The mediating effect of the cyberchondria and anxiety sensitivity in the association between problematic internet use, metacognition beliefs, and fear of COVID-19 among Iranian online population
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ABSTRACT

With the rapid growth of the novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), individuals may try to find related medical information using the internet to overcome their fears. Under such circumstances, individuals with the features of cyberchondria, anxiety sensitivity, and metacognitive beliefs in negative thoughts may suffer more fears than those without these features. Therefore, the present study proposed a model to understand the associations between problematic internet use (PIU), cyberchondria, anxiety sensitivity, metacognition beliefs, and fear of COVID-19. Utilizing a cross-sectional online survey, 651 Iranians completed the following psychometric scales: Metacognition Questionnaire-30 (MCQ-30), Anxiety Sensitivity Questionnaire (ASI), Cyberchondria Severity Scale-Short Form (CSS-12), Fear of COVID-19 Scale (FCV–19S), and Generalized Problematic Internet Use Scale (GPIUS). Structural equation modeling (SEM) was used to assess the proposed model via several fit indices. The fit indices (CFI = 0.948, TLI = 0.938, RMSEA = 0.053, and SRMR = 0.001) indicated the good fit between the data and the proposed model. Moreover, fear of COVID-19 was significantly and directly predicted by cyberchondria (β = 0.479, p < .001) and anxiety sensitivity (β = 0.286, p < .001). The relationship between PIU and cyberchondria with fear of COVID-19 was mediated significantly by anxiety sensitivity and metacognitive beliefs. Because fear of COVID-19 was found to be significantly associated with cyberchondria and anxiety sensitivity, healthcare providers may want to provide additional support for those with cyberchondria and anxiety sensitivity tendencies.

1. Introduction

The novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), which has plagued the world in recent months, has been the cause of more than 950,000 deaths around the world at the time of writing [1]. The virus has had devastating effects on human social life in many countries and in some cases has had serious consequence including death [2, 3, 4]. High-speed contamination and relatively high mortality have raised concerns about COVID-19, and many individuals have reported a fear of COVID-19 [5]. Many recent studies have investigated psychological issues related to COVID-19 [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]. Among the current literature on COVID-19 and psychological health, some psychosocial factors have been found to be crucial in causing and increasing COVID-19 fears that result in serious health problems [5, 10, 13, 14].

* Corresponding author.
** Corresponding author.
E-mail addresses: cylin36933@gmail.com (C.-Y. Lin), Pakpour_Amir@yahoo.com (A.H. Pakpour).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e05135
Received 23 January 2020; Received in revised form 20 September 2020; Accepted 28 September 2020
2405-8440/© 2020 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).
The internet is a resource that individuals use to address their concerns about various aspects of their lives [7, 15]. Information and medical issues are also widely available on the internet in most countries worldwide [16, 17]. Indeed, evidence shows that more than 50% of internet users search for and study medical information through online news, newspapers, and magazines [17, 18]. Studies have also shown that the use of the internet and social networking sites for stress and anxiety reduction has increased during the COVID-19 pandemic, and for some individuals, this stress and anxiety reduction may be accompanied by problematic internet use (PIU) [15, 19, 20]. Searching for medical information on the internet can also be a problem if individuals have little or no medical education, especially when web searches are used as a diagnostic tool because this can increase their anxiety [16].

The latest (eleventh) revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-11) notes that individuals with hypochondriac illness have a strong desire to seek medical information because of fear [21]. When individuals with hypochondriasis characteristics use the internet and social media networks to search for medical information, they are conceptualized as having cyberchondria [22]. Cyberchondria has not been identified as a separate diagnosis in the latest (fifth) edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5) [23], but it is a type of anxiety disorder in which individuals self-diagnose after conducting internet searches based solely on their own criteria and conclude that they have a disease [24]. In most cases, they perceive the disease in an acute form, which increases fear and anxiety [22].

Several studies have shown an association between infectious diseases such as COVID-19, natural and social disasters, and anxiety disorders [25, 26, 27]. Individuals with high anxiety sensitivity may have beliefs that their feelings and symptoms are harmful. They then begin over-searching and worrying about their health because of what they have read on the internet [15, 19, 20, 28]. Furthermore, anxiety sensitivity has been shown as a potential risk factor for increased anxiety related to COVID-19 [27]. Individuals with severe anxiety sensitivity may find physical feelings of anxiety harmful, and as a result, try to find the cause of these feelings by searching for relevant medical information online [22].

Metacognitive beliefs have also been studied alongside PIU in relation to cyberchondria [22, 29, 30, 31]. Studies have shown that metacognitive beliefs (especially, biased thinking and beliefs about uncontrollable thoughts related to health) lead individuals to worry about their health and search the internet for medical information to reduce health anxiety [22, 30, 31]. There is likely to be a two-way relationship between PIU and cyberchondria, and metacognitive beliefs appear to play a reinforcing role between these variables. Therefore, individuals with cyberchondria can search for medical information that can increase their health anxiety, maintaining this status with metacognitive beliefs about uncontrollable health-related thoughts [22, 30].

In some countries, such as Iran, social trust in the government is low, and individuals use less official media to access important information [32, 33, 34, 35]. The low trust in the government can also cause individuals to have concerns and fears about their health. In special conditions such as the COVID-19 pandemic, there is a huge amount of misinformation on social media and on social networking sites [36, 37]. Individuals may receive misinformation from social media, which exacerbates fears of COVID-19, cyberchondria, and metacognitive beliefs appear to play a reinforcing role between these variables. Therefore, individuals with cyberchondria can search for medical information that can increase their health anxiety, maintaining this status with metacognitive beliefs about uncontrollable health-related thoughts [22, 30].

In some countries, such as Iran, social trust in the government is low, and individuals use less official media to access important information [32, 33, 34, 35]. The low trust in the government can also cause individuals to have concerns and fears about their health. In special conditions such as the COVID-19 pandemic, there is a huge amount of misinformation on social media and on social networking sites [36, 37]. Individuals may receive misinformation from social media, which exacerbates fears of COVID-19, cyberchondria, and other mental health problems. For example, in Iran, hundreds of individuals have died as a result of misinformation on social media sites about the healing properties of alcohol for the treatment of COVID-19 [38]. Since the outbreak of the disease, various studies have discussed the psychological consequences of COVID-19 [4, 9, 39, 40], and have suggested different therapeutic interventions to help treat the fear and anxiety of COVID-19 [41, 42]. However, in order to make effective interventions at individual and social levels, it is important to know and accurately explain the variables that affect this situation. Therefore, the present study utilized important variables identified in the research literature, and tested the following model in the general community of users of Iranian social networking sites in connection with COVID-19: (i) PIU is directly associated with cyberchondria, metacognitive beliefs, anxiety sensitivity, and fear of COVID-19; (ii) PIU is indirectly associated with fear of COVID-19 via the mediators of cyberchondria, metacognitive beliefs, and anxiety sensitivity; (iii) cyberchondria is directly associated with metacognitive beliefs, anxiety sensitivity, and fear of COVID-19; (iv) cyberchondria is indirectly associated with fear of COVID-19 via the mediators of metacognitive beliefs and anxiety sensitivity; (v) metacognitive beliefs is directly associated with anxiety sensitivity and fear of COVID-19; (vi) metacognitive beliefs is indirectly associated with fear of COVID-19 via the mediator of anxiety sensitivity; and (vii) anxiety sensitivity is directly associated with fear of COVID-19.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants, procedure, and ethics

The present study was a cross-sectional study that was conducted as a survey using an online questionnaire among the Iranian online community active on social networking sites between April and May 2020. On a page with the survey link, the text explained the objectives of the research. Individuals were informed that their participation was optional, that they had the right to leave the study at any time, and that all their data would be anonymous and confidential. Informed consent was obtained from all participants. A total of 701 social media users (including Telegram, Facebook and Twitter) clicked on the survey link, of which data from 50 participants was incomplete. Consequently, 651 survey responses were included in the final analysis. All procedures were carried out in compliance with the Helsinki Declaration. The study procedures were compliant with the Helsinki Declaration. The University Ethics Committee approved the study protocol with the reference number of IR.QU.MREC.1399.260. All participants read and signed the online informed consent.

2.2. Measures

2.2.1. Metacognition Questionnaire-30 (MCQ-30)

The MCQ-30 is a self-report scale comprising 30 items developed by Wells and Cartwright-Hatton [43], and includes five subscales (need to control thoughts, uncontrollability/danger, cognitive self-consciousness, positive beliefs, and cognitive confidence). Items of the MCQ-30 are rated on a four-point Likert scale (1 = I don't agree to 4 = I strongly agree). The Iranian version of the MCQ-30 has shown acceptable psychometric properties [44]. Internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha) of the MCQ-30 in the present study was 0.90.

2.2.2. Anxiety Sensitivity Questionnaire (ASQ)

The ASQ is a 16-item self-report measure developed by Reiss, Peterson, Gorsky, and McNally [45] and responses are made on a five-point Likert scale (0 = very low to 4 = very high). Higher scores of the ASQ indicate more experience in fear of anxiety symptoms. The score range is between 0 and 64. The structure of the scale consists of three factors; physical concerns (eight items), cognitive concerns (four items), and social concerns (four items). The psychometric properties of the Persian language version showed promising results [46]. Internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha) of the ASQ in the present study was 0.91.

2.2.3. Cyberchondria Severity Scale–Short Form (CSS-12)

The CSS-12 is a self-report scale developed by McElroy et al. [47] to assess cyberchondria behaviors. The CSS-12 has 12 items that are rated on a five-point Likert type scale from 1 (never) to 5 (always). The psychometric properties of the Persian CSS-12 have been reported to be favorable [48]. Internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha) of the CSS-12 in the present study was 0.90.
2.2.4. Fear of COVID-19 scale (FCV–19S)

The FCV-19S is a self-reported scale of seven items developed by Ahorsu et al. [5] to assess fear of COVID-19. Participants’ scores are rated on a five-point Likert scale from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree), with a total score calculated by summing all items, and ranging from 7 to 35. Higher scores indicate greater fear of COVID-19. The internal consistency of the FCV-19S (Cronbach’s alpha) in the present study was 0.87.

2.2.5. Generalized Problematic Internet Use Scale (GPIUS)

The GPIUS is a self-report 29-item scale developed by Caplan [49] to assess the extent and severity of internet addiction and to assess the overall potential harms of internet addiction. GPIUS includes seven subscales (interpersonal control, withdrawal, compulsivity excess time, negative outcomes, social benefit, and mood alteration), which is scored on a five-point Likert scale from 5 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). The validity and reliability of this scale has been confirmed among Iranian participants [50]. Internal consistency (Cronbach’s alpha) of the GPIUS in the present study was 0.93.

2.3. Statistical analysis

For statistical analysis of this study, means and standard deviations were used as descriptive statistics. After examining the normality of the data through the Shapiro Wilk test, Pearson correlation coefficients were used to investigate the relationship between the research variables. Structural equation modeling (SEM) was used to evaluate the proposed model using full information maximum likelihood estimation via IBM SPSS AMOS 24. Model fit of the proposed model was assessed using the following recommendations: (i) Tucker-Lewis index (TLI) and comparative fit index (CFI) > 0.9; (ii) standardized root mean square residual (SRMR) and root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA) < 0.08; and (iii) non-significant chi-square [51, 52, 53]. However, the chi-square is very sensitive to a large sample size (e.g., over 200) [54]. Therefore, the data fit with the proposed model is decided using CFI, TLI, RMSEA, and SRMR. Moreover, the direct and indirect effects were evaluated using the bias-corrected bootstrapped confidence intervals (CIs) with 2,000 repetitions and a 95% confidence interval [55].

3. Results

Participants’ demographic information is presented in Table 1. In brief, the sample (mean age = 33.53 years; SD = 10.81; age range = 13–73 years) had more females (62.5%) and more than one-third of them were single (46.5%). More than one-third of the participants had a bachelor’s degree (37.8%), and more than one-third of them had Turkish ethnicity (39.3%). In the information on the history of using social networking sites, most participants used the Telegram platform (45.3%) and the maximum access time to these social networking sites was 15 times or more per day (30.1%). One-third of the sample reported that they spent 4 hours daily on these social media sites (31.8%).

Table 2 provides the correlation between FCV-19S, CSS-12, GPIUS, ASI, and MCQ-30. The results of the correlation matrix showed that there were positive and significant correlations between fear of COVID-19 and cyberchondria (r = 0.54, p < .01), problematic use of the internet (r = 0.40, p < 0.01), anxiety sensitivity (r = 0.53, p < .01) and metacognitive beliefs (r = 0.36, p < .01).

The model tested by the SEM yielded an acceptable fit to the data, \( \chi^2 = 709.975, \text{df} = 251, \text{RMSEA} = 0.053 (90\% \text{CI} = 0.048, 0.058), \text{SRMR} = 0.001, \text{CFI} = 0.948, \text{TLI} = 0.938. \) As shown in Figure 1, fear of COVID-19 was significantly and directly predicted by cyberchondria (standardized coefficient (\( \beta = 0.479, p < .001 \)) and anxiety sensitivity (\( \beta = 0.286, p < .001 \)). However, the direct effects of metacognitive beliefs (\( \beta = 0.017, p = .851 \)) and PIU (\( \beta = 0.025, p = .654 \)) on fear of COVID-19 were not significant.

Table 3 shows that the indirect effects of the model shown in Figure 1 are significant. These results show that the relationship between PIU with fear of COVID-19 mediated significantly by cyberchondria, anxiety sensitivity, and metacognitive beliefs. According to the results of Table 3, problematic internet use had indirect effects on metacognitive beliefs (\( \beta = 0.144; 95\% \text{confidence interval} \ (\text{CI}) = 0.083, 0.237)\), anxiety sensitivity (\( \beta = 0.425; 95\% \text{CI} = 0.272, 0.439)\), and fear of COVID-19 (\( \beta = 0.489; 95\% \text{CI} = 0.092, 0.153)\), and cyberchondria had indirect effects on anxiety sensitivity (\( \beta = 0.94; 95\% \text{CI} = 0.045, 0.126)\) and fear of COVID-19 (\( \beta = 0.119; 95\% \text{CI} = 0.017, 0.048)\), and metacognitive beliefs had an indirect effect on fear of COVID-19 (\( \beta = 0.110; 95\% \text{CI} = 0.013, 0.046)\), and all indirect effects were significant (\( p < .001)\).

| Table 1. Main participants’ characteristics (n = 651). |
|------------------------------------------------------|
| **Sociodemographic, social media use history** | **n** | **%** |
| **Age** | | |
| 13–20 | 62 | 9.5 |
| 21–40 | 446 | 68.6 |
| 41–60 | 128 | 19.6 |
| 60+ | 15 | 2.3 |
| **Gender** | | |
| Men | 245 | 37.6 |
| Women | 406 | 62.4 |
| **Marital status** | | |
| Single | 302 | 46.4 |
| Married | 312 | 47.9 |
| Divorced | 37 | 5.7 |
| **Education level** | | |
| Diploma and under diploma | 156 | 24.0 |
| Associate degree | 59 | 9.1 |
| Bachelor | 246 | 37.8 |
| MA | 156 | 24.0 |
| P.H.D | 34 | 5.2 |
| **Race/Ethnicity** | | |
| Turkish | 256 | 39.3 |
| Fars | 241 | 37.0 |
| Kurdish | 56 | 8.6 |
| Arab | 13 | 2.0 |
| Lor | 35 | 5.4 |
| Baloch | 10 | 1.5 |
| Turkmen | 10 | 1.5 |
| Others | 30 | 4.6 |
| **Social networking sites** | | |
| Telegram | 295 | 45.3 |
| Instagram | 161 | 24.9 |
| Whatsapp | 87 | 13.4 |
| Facebook | 8 | 1.2 |
| Twitter | 8 | 1.2 |
| Various social networks (simultaneously) | 86 | 13.2 |
| Others (imo, Soroush, BeeTalk and etc) | 6 | .9 |
| **Times accessed social network sites per day** | | |
| 1–2 times | 61 | 9.4 |
| 3–5 times | 155 | 23.8 |
| 6–9 times | 151 | 23.2 |
| 10–14 times | 88 | 13.5 |
| 15 times or more | 196 | 30.1 |
| **Time spent on social network sites per day** | | |
| 0–15 min | 16 | 2.5 |
| 16–45 min | 61 | 9.4 |
| 46 minutes–2 hours | 165 | 25.3 |
| 2–4 h | 202 | 31.0 |
| 4 h or more | 207 | 31.8 |
4. Discussion

The present study investigated the mediating roles of anxiety sensitivity and metacognitive beliefs in the relationship between PIU and cyberchondria with fear of COVID-19 among an Iranian population. The results showed that the proposed model fitted well with the empirical data and was able to explain the role of PIU and cyberchondria by mediating anxiety sensitivity and metacognitive beliefs, in the fear of COVID-19. The direct effect of PIU on fear of COVID-19 was non-significant, but the total and indirect paths were significant. These findings are consistent with the results of a study by Garcia-Priego et al. (2020) which reported a linear relationship between PIU and fear of COVID-19. However, a few studies have highlighted the importance of PIU in the mental health problems associated with COVID-19 [15]. The study found individuals who have some psychopathological conditions (especially anxiety disorders) and problematic use of the internet may be more anxious and cyberchondria behaviors than normal users [27, 28, 59]. During the period of the COVID-19 pandemic, it is possible that these individuals search for information and medical news related to COVID-19 with high sensitivity to anxiety, which leads to an increase in their fear [27].

The direct effect of metacognitive beliefs on the fear of COVID-19 was non-significant. However, the total and indirect effects on fear of COVID-19 in the proposed model were significant. Until now, and to the best of the present authors’ knowledge, no study has ever examined the relationship between metacognitive beliefs and fear of COVID-19. However, in a study conducted by Teovanovic et al. [57], the association of irrational beliefs and adherence to guidelines and pseudoscientific practices to protect against COVID-19 was assessed, and the results showed that irrational beliefs predicted COVID-19-related health behaviors. Therefore, the findings of the present study were somewhat inconsistent with the findings of Teovanovic et al. More specifically, the present study’s results showed metacognitive barriers cannot solely predict fear of COVID-19. There may be psychological contexts or variables (including

![Figure 1. Proposed model.](image-url)

Table 2. Correlations and descriptive statistics for fear of COVID-19, cyberchondria, problematic internet use, anxiety sensitivity, and metacognitions.

| M | SD | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 10.72 | 5.81 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7.54 | 2.84 | 43** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6.44 | 2.73 | 33** | 64** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5.68 | 2.64 | 53** | 56** | 57** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6.58 | 2.60 | 54** | 69** | 59** | 70** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6.27 | 9.19 | 54** | 85** | 83** | 83** | 87** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7.88 | 2.72 | 17** | 17** | 20** | 19** | 19** | 22** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8.12 | 4.79 | 30** | 19** | 18** | 30** | 33** | 29** | 31** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9.62 | 4.05 | 23** | 18** | 12** | 26** | 28** | 24** | 28** | 55** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9.33 | 3.69 | 34** | 26** | 26** | 41** | 38** | 38** | 28** | 56** | 63** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8.43 | 3.25 | 37** | 29** | 33** | 50** | 41** | 45** | 28** | 57** | 42** | 67** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12.30 | 4.54 | 32** | 29** | 27** | 37** | 41** | 40** | 32** | 55** | 41** | 46** | 55** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12.43 | 4.02 | 32** | 20** | 17** | 27** | 24** | 26** | 26** | 49** | 48** | 40** | 42** | 56** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 17.43 | 20.10 | 40** | 31** | 29** | 45** | 44** | 44** | 49** | 81** | 75** | 78** | 75** | 77** | 72** | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9.69 | 7.29 | 53** | 41** | 37** | 47** | 55** | 53** | 27** | 46** | 31** | 45** | 47** | 41** | 34** | 53** | | | | | | | | |
| 4.38 | 3.71 | 48** | 38** | 35** | 47** | 53** | 50** | 23** | 48** | 34** | 48** | 50** | 38** | 32** | 54** | 79** | | | | | | |
| 6.88 | 3.11 | 30** | 28** | 30** | 25** | 36** | 35** | 30** | 36** | 34** | 37** | 29** | 34** | 31** | 45** | 58** | 52** | | | | | | |
| 20.96 | 12.57 | 53** | 42** | 39** | 48** | 56** | 54** | 30** | 50** | 37** | 50** | 49** | 43** | 37** | 58** | 95** | 88** | 74** | | | | | |
| 12.17 | 4.15 | 27** | 21** | 22** | 31** | 31** | 31** | 17** | 32** | 19** | 31** | 32** | 25** | 19** | 34** | 36** | 33** | 32** | 39** | | | |
| 14.43 | 4.47 | 40** | 33** | 23** | 34** | 41** | 38** | 21** | 38** | 37** | 39** | 35** | 36** | 37** | 48** | 54** | 55** | 46** | 59** | 40** | | |
| 8.12 | 4.22 | 26** | 22** | 16** | 29** | 31** | 29** | 22** | 35** | 29** | 35** | 37** | 38** | 26** | 43** | 40** | 40** | 32** | 43** | 38** | 48** | 1
| 12.30 | 3.84 | 32** | 26** | 23** | 33** | 35** | 34** | 21** | 38** | 29** | 34** | 34** | 31** | 29** | 42** | 46** | 48** | 42** | 51** | 56** | 66** | 53** | 1
| 18.72 | 4.16 | 08** | 18** | 22** | 09** | 13** | 18** | 20** | 10** | 08** | 06 | 06 | 06 | 09 | 11** | 13** | 15** | 13** | 28** | 20** | 47** | 40** | 19** | 50** | 1
| 24.70 | 15.72 | 36** | 32** | 28** | 36** | 40** | 40** | 27** | 41** | 33** | 39** | 38** | 37** | 32** | 48** | 51** | 51** | 48** | 56** | 74** | 79** | 69** | 86** | 68** | 1

Notes: 1- Fear of COVID-19; 2- Excessiveness; 3- Reassurance 4- Compulsion 5- Distress 6- Cyberchondria 7- Interpersonal ox 8- Compulsivity 9- Negative outcomes 10- Mood alteration 11- Obsessions 12- Social benefit 13- Mood alteration 14- Problematic internet use 15- Physical concern 16- Cognitive concern 17- Social concern 18- Anxiety sensitivity 19- Positive beliefs 20- Uncontrollability and danger 21- Cognitive confidence 22- Need to control thoughts 23- Cognitive self-consciousness 24- Metacognitions.

*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01.
Problematic Internet use → Cyberchondria

0.585 (<0.001)

0.585 (<0.001)

Problematic Internet use → Metacognitive beliefs

0.640 (<0.001)

0.496 (<0.001)

0.144 (<0.001)

0.034 (.083, .237)

Problematic Internet use → Anxiety sensitivity

0.691 (<0.001)

0.266 (<0.001)

0.425 (<0.001)

0.041 (.272, .439)

Problematic Internet use → Fear of COVID-19

0.514 (<0.001)

0.025 (<0.654)

0.489 (<0.001)

0.046 (.092, .153)

Cyberchondria → Metacognitive beliefs

0.246 (<0.001)

0.246 (<0.001)

Cyberchondria → Anxiety sensitivity

0.400 (<0.001)

0.206 (.654)

0.094 (<0.001)

0.023 (.045, .126)

Cyberchondria → Fear of COVID-19

0.598 (<0.001)

0.479 (<0.001)

0.119 (<0.001)

0.030 (.017, .048)

Metacognitive beliefs → Anxiety sensitivity

0.384 (.026)

0.384 (.851)

–

–

Metacognitive beliefs → Fear of COVID-19

0.127 (<0.026)

0.017 (<0.851)

0.110 (<0.001)

0.033 (.013, .046)

Anxiety sensitivity → Fear of COVID-19

0.286 (<0.001)

0.286 (<0.001)

–

–

Table 3. Direct, indirect, and total standard effects.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, the results of the present study provide sufficient evidence to support the present study’s proposed model. More specifically, the model explained the role of PIU and cyberchondria directly and indirectly via anxiety sensitivity and metacognitive beliefs concerning fear of COVID-19. The results of the study can be used in clinical settings by identifying vulnerable populations at risk of biological and psychological problems and to intervene effectively.
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