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Items with Roman numerals (i., ii, iii, iv etc.) are CONSORT-EHEALTH extensions/clarifications.
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Induction of Efficacy Expectancies in an Ambulatory Smartphone-based Digital Placebo Mental Health Intervention: Randomized Controlled Trial

Name of your App/Software/Intervention *
If there is a short and a long/alternate name, write the short name first and add the long name in brackets.

ohmage app for Android-based smartphones:

Evaluated Version (if any)
e.g. "V1", "Release 2017-03-01", "Version 2.0.27913"

Language(s) *
What language is the intervention/app in? If multiple languages are available, separate by comma (e.g. "English, French")

German

URL of your Intervention Website or App
e.g. a direct link to the mobile app on app in appstore (itunes, Google Play), or URL of the website. If the intervention is a DVD or hardware, you can also link to an Amazon page.

Meine Antwort
URL of an image/screenshot (optional)

Meine Antwort

Accessibility *
Can an enduser access the intervention presently?

- access is free and open
- access only for special usergroups, not open
- access is open to everyone, but requires payment/subscription/in-app purchases
- app/intervention no longer accessible

- Sonstiges: The intervention is for this specific research purpose only (inducti

Primary Medical Indication/Disease/Condition *
e.g. "Stress", "Diabetes", or define the target group in brackets after the condition, e.g. "Autism (Parents of children with)", "Alzheimers (Informal Caregivers of)"

The intervention was developed for research p

Primary Outcomes measured in trial *
comma-separated list of primary outcomes reported in the trial

Primary outcomes of this study are published i
Secondary/other outcomes
Are there any other outcomes the intervention is expected to affect?

Secondary outcomes of this study are published elsewhere (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT02365220).

Recommended "Dose" *
What do the instructions for users say on how often the app should be used?

- Approximately Daily
- Approximately Weekly
- Approximately Monthly
- Approximately Yearly
- "as needed"
- Sonstiges: There is no instruction for users. This application was developed
Approx. Percentage of Users (starters) still using the app as recommended after 3 months *

- unknown / not evaluated
- 0-10%
- 11-20%
- 21-30%
- 31-40%
- 41-50%
- 51-60%
- 61-70%
- 71%-80%
- 81-90%
- 91-100%

Sonstiges: Not applicable, as this intervention was developed for research pu
Overall, was the app/intervention effective? *

- yes: all primary outcomes were significantly better in intervention group vs control
- partly: SOME primary outcomes were significantly better in intervention group vs control
- no statistically significant difference between control and intervention
- potentially harmful: control was significantly better than intervention in one or more outcomes
- inconclusive: more research is needed
- Sonstiges: The aim of this manuscript was not to assess the effectiveness at all

Article Preparation Status/Stage *

At which stage in your article preparation are you currently (at the time you fill in this form)

- not submitted yet - in early draft status
- not submitted yet - in late draft status, just before submission
- submitted to a journal but not reviewed yet
- submitted to a journal and after receiving initial reviewer comments
- submitted to a journal and accepted, but not published yet
- published
- Sonstiges:
Journal *
If you already know where you will submit this paper (or if it is already submitted), please provide the journal name (if it is not JMIR, provide the journal name under "other")

- not submitted yet / unclear where I will submit this
- Journal of Medical Internet Research (JMIR)
- JMIR mHealth and UHealth
- JMIR Serious Games
- JMIR Mental Health
- JMIR Public Health
- JMIR Formative Research
- Other JMIR sister journal
- Sonstiges:

Is this a full powered effectiveness trial or a pilot/feasibility trial? *

- Pilot/feasibility
- Fully powered
Manuscript tracking number *
If this is a JMIR submission, please provide the manuscript tracking number under "other" (The ms tracking number can be found in the submission acknowledgement email, or when you login as author in JMIR. If the paper is already published in JMIR, then the ms tracking number is the four-digit number at the end of the DOI, to be found at the bottom of each published article in JMIR)

- no ms number (yet) / not (yet) submitted to / published in JMIR
- Sonstiges: ms #20329

TITLE AND ABSTRACT

1a) TITLE: Identification as a randomized trial in the title

1a) Does your paper address CONSORT item 1a? *
I.e does the title contain the phrase "Randomized Controlled Trial"? (if not, explain the reason under "other")

- yes
- Sonstiges:
1a-i) Identify the mode of delivery in the title

Identify the mode of delivery. Preferably use "web-based" and/or "mobile" and/or "electronic game" in the title. Avoid ambiguous terms like "online", "virtual", "interactive". Use "Internet-based" only if intervention includes non-web-based Internet components (e.g. email), use "computer-based" or "electronic" only if offline products are used. Use "virtual" only in the context of "virtual reality" (3-D worlds). Use "online" only in the context of "online support groups". Complement or substitute product names with broader terms for the class of products (such as "mobile" or "smart phone" instead of "iphone"), especially if the application runs on different platforms.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important  ○  ○  ○  ●  ○  essential

Does your paper address subitem 1a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

"Induction of Efficacy Expectancies in an Ambulatory Smartphone-based Digital Placebo Mental Health Intervention: Randomized Controlled Trial"

1a-ii) Non-web-based components or important co-interventions in title

Mention non-web-based components or important co-interventions in title, if any (e.g., "with telephone support").

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important  ○  ○  ●  ○  ○  essential

Auswahl löschen
Does your paper address subitem 1a-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable.

1a-iii) Primary condition or target group in the title

Mention primary condition or target group in the title, if any (e.g., "for children with Type I Diabetes")

Example: A Web-based and Mobile Intervention with Telephone Support for Children with Type I Diabetes: Randomized Controlled Trial

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 1a-iii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Less relevant in our study, because inclusion of healthy participants. To avoid that the title may become too long, we focused on terms regarding the study design in the title.

1b) ABSTRACT: Structured summary of trial design, methods, results, and conclusions

NPT extension: Description of experimental treatment, comparator, care providers, centers, and blinding status.
1b-i) Key features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Mention key features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator in the abstract. If possible, also mention theories and principles used for designing the site. Keep in mind the needs of systematic reviewers and indexers by including important synonyms. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ● ○ essential

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 1b-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"We conducted a randomized, controlled, single-blinded superiority trial with a multi-arm parallel design. Participants underwent an Android-based smartphone-based digital placebo mental health intervention for 20 days. We induced prospective efficacy expectancies via initial instructions on the purpose of the intervention and retrospective efficacy expectancies via feedback on the success of the intervention at days 1, 4, 7, 10, and 13. 132 healthy participants were randomized to a prospective expectancy only (n=33), a retrospective expectancy only (n=33), a combined expectancy (n=34), or a control condition (n=32)."
1b-ii) Level of human involvement in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Clarify the level of human involvement in the abstract, e.g., use phrases like “fully automated” vs. “therapist/nurse/care provider/physician-assisted” (mention number and expertise of providers involved, if any). (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

Does your paper address subitem 1b-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks “like this” to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Meine Antwort

1b-iii) Open vs. closed, web-based (self-assessment) vs. face-to-face assessments in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Mention how participants were recruited (online vs. offline), e.g., from an open access website or from a clinic or a closed online user group (closed usergroup trial), and clarify if this was a purely web-based trial, or there were face-to-face components (as part of the intervention or for assessment). Clearly say if outcomes were self-assessed through questionnaires (as common in web-based trials). Note: In traditional offline trials, an open trial (open-label trial) is a type of clinical trial in which both the researchers and participants know which treatment is being administered. To avoid confusion, use “blinded” or “unblinded” to indicated the level of blinding instead of “open”, as “open” in web-based trials usually refers to “open access” (i.e. participants can self-enrol). (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)
Does your paper address subitem 1b-iii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Meine Antwort

1b-iv) RESULTS section in abstract must contain use data
Report number of participants enrolled/assessed in each group, the use/uptake of the intervention (e.g., attrition/adherence metrics, use over time, number of logins etc.), in addition to primary/secondary outcomes. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-iv?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Meine Antwort
1b-v) CONCLUSIONS/DISCUSSION in abstract for negative trials
Conclusions/Discussions in abstract for negative trials: Discuss the primary outcome - if the trial is negative (primary outcome not changed), and the intervention was not used, discuss whether negative results are attributable to lack of uptake and discuss reasons. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

|   | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| subitem not at all important | ☐   | ☐   | ☐   | ☐   | ☜   |
| essential                     | ☜   | ☐   | ☐   | ☐   | ☐   |

Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 1b-v?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Meine Antwort

INTRODUCTION

2a) In INTRODUCTION: Scientific background and explanation of rationale
### 2a-i) Problem and the type of system/solution

Describe the problem and the type of system/solution that is object of the study: intended as stand-alone intervention vs. incorporated in broader health care program? Intended for a particular patient population? Goals of the intervention, e.g., being more cost-effective to other interventions, replace or complement other solutions? (Note: Details about the intervention are provided in "Methods" under 5)

|   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| subitem not at all important |   |   |   |   |   |
| essential |   |   |   |   |   |

Does your paper address subitem 2a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks “like this” to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"In sum, there is the urgent need to i) further scrutinize smartphone-based mental health interventions in the context of the WHO grand challenge on the development of mobile and IT technologies to increase access to evidence-based care, ii) explore potential mechanisms of change underlying smartphone-based mental health interventions that are already widespread however little validated, and iii) scrutinize and exploit efficacy expectancies as a potential factor underlying placebo effects, by means of smartphone-based interventions with respect to their methodological advantages."
2a-ii) Scientific background, rationale: What is known about the (type of) system

Scientific background, rationale: What is known about the (type of) system that is the object of the study (be sure to discuss the use of similar systems for other conditions/diagnoses, if appropriate), motivation for the study, i.e. what are the reasons for and what is the context for this specific study, from which stakeholder viewpoint is the study performed, potential impact of findings [2]. Briefly justify the choice of the comparator.

1  2  3  4  5

subitem not at all important  ○  ○  ○  ◼  ○   essential

Does your paper address subitem 2a-ii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Previous studies in the context of digital placebo effects introduced the concept [13], focused on methodological recommendations for RCTs of smartphone-based interventions [21,22] or utilized a sham version of an active app as control condition [23]. However, to the best of our knowledge, no study investigated efficacy expectancies as a potential mechanism of the digital placebo effect in a particularly designed inert smartphone-based mental health intervention."

2b) In INTRODUCTION: Specific objectives or hypotheses
METHODS

3a) Description of trial design (such as parallel, factorial) including allocation ratio

"We report the results of a randomized, controlled, single-blinded superiority trial with a multi-arm parallel design, registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (Identifier: NCT02365220)."

3b) Important changes to methods after trial commencement (such as eligibility criteria), with reasons

"We hypothesized that trajectories of efficacy expectancies throughout the smartphone-based placebo mental health intervention differed between conditions. Furthermore, we hypothesized that efficacy expectancies were highest in the combined expectancy condition, followed on a comparable level in the prospective expectancy condition and the retrospective expectancy condition, and lowest in the control condition."
Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 3b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not relevant for our study.

3b-i) Bug fixes, Downtimes, Content Changes

Bug fixes, Downtimes, Content Changes: ehealth systems are often dynamic systems. A description of changes to methods therefore also includes important changes made on the intervention or comparator during the trial (e.g., major bug fixes or changes in the functionality or content) (5-iii) and other "unexpected events" that may have influenced study design such as staff changes, system failures/downtimes, etc. [2].

Does your paper address subitem 3b-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"In cases of unexpected events, the Master's students communicated via e-mail with participants, which was reduced to a necessary extent."

4a) Eligibility criteria for participants
Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 4a? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The following inclusion criteria applied: no severe visual impairment, no dyschromatopsia, no severe defective hearing, no regular intake of medication (e.g., antidepressants), and no severe mental disorders (e.g., schizophrenia, other psychotic disorders, or severe affective disorders)."

4a-i) Computer / Internet literacy
Computer / Internet literacy is often an implicit "de facto" eligibility criterion - this should be explicitly clarified.

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 4a-i?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"We introduced them to the applications they would use during the 20 intervention days."

"We instructed participants to download and install the ohmage app [29] from the Google Play Store on their smartphones."
4a-ii) Open vs. closed, web-based vs. face-to-face assessments:

Open vs. closed, web-based vs. face-to-face assessments: Mention how participants were recruited (online vs. offline), e.g., from an open access website or from a clinic, and clarify if this was a purely web-based trial, or there were face-to-face components (as part of the intervention or for assessment), i.e., to what degree got the study team to know the participant. In online-only trials, clarify if participants were quasi-anonymous and whether having multiple identities was possible or whether technical or logistical measures (e.g., cookies, email confirmation, phone calls) were used to detect/prevent these.

|   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| subitem not at all important | ○ | ○ | ○ | ● | ○ |

Does your paper address subitem 4a-ii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks “like this” to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

"The study consisted of an introductory session and 20 consecutive days of ambulatory smartphone-based intervention (see Multimedia Appendix 2)."

"We recruited participants from the Bachelor student body of the University of Basel, Switzerland, in Psychology and other lectures, where we presented our study. Advertisements of our study were posted on the website of the psychology students' Facebook group and on local bulletin boards of the Department of Psychology."
4a-iii) Information giving during recruitment

Information given during recruitment. Specify how participants were briefed for recruitment and in the informed consent procedures (e.g., publish the informed consent documentation as appendix, see also item X26), as this information may have an effect on user self-selection, user expectation and may also bias results.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 4a-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Meine Antwort

4b) Settings and locations where the data were collected

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 4b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

"We recruited participants from the Bachelor student body of the University of Basel, Switzerland, in Psychology and other lectures, where we presented our study."

"The study consisted of an introductory session and 20 consecutive days of ambulatory smartphone-based intervention (see Multimedia Appendix 2)."
4b-i) Report if outcomes were (self-)assessed through online questionnaires

Clearly report if outcomes were (self-)assessed through online questionnaires (as common in web-based trials) or otherwise.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ● ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 4b-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The detailed procedure of each session is being illustrated in Multimedia Appendix 2. The placebo mental health intervention consisted of a green picture or a mock sound, delivered in a video Ple on EFS Survey which participants accessed via their Android-based smartphones. The videos lasted for two minutes each and alternated daily between green color and mock sound. Regarding the mock sound, we told participants in the initial instructions that the sound would be a very soft tone acoustically not perceivable for the human ear and completely innocuous. On intervention days 1, 4, 7, 10, and 13, we asked participants to take a self-portrait with their smartphone camera within the ohmage app. After this second self-portrait, we provided participants with written feedback regarding the self-portrait in the ohmage app which we had programmed in advance. On intervention days 1, 7, 14, and 20, we asked participants to rate efficacy expectancies, measured with the CEQ (for further details see below)."
### 4b-ii) Report how institutional affiliations are displayed

Report how institutional affiliations are displayed to potential participants [on ehealth media], as affiliations with prestigious hospitals or universities may affect volunteer rates, use, and reactions with regards to an intervention. (Not a required item – describe only if this may bias results)

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | essential |
|---|---|---|---|---|-----------|
| ○ | ○ | ○ | ○ | ○ | subitem not at all important |

---

**Does your paper address subitem 4b-ii?**

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks “like this” to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Meine Antwort

---

### 5) The interventions for each group with sufficient details to allow replication, including how and when they were actually administered

---

### 5-i) Mention names, credential, affiliations of the developers, sponsors, and owners

Mention names, credential, affiliations of the developers, sponsors, and owners [6] (if authors/evaluators are owners or developer of the software, this needs to be declared in a “Conflict of interest” section or mentioned elsewhere in the manuscript).

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | essential |
|---|---|---|---|---|-----------|
| ○ | ○ | ○ | ○ | ○ | subitem not at all important |
Does your paper address subitem 5-i?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Meine Antwort

5-ii) Describe the history/development process
Describe the history/development process of the application and previous formative evaluations (e.g., focus groups, usability testing), as these will have an impact on adoption/use rates and help with interpreting results.

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important   essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-ii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Meine Antwort
5-iii) Revisions and updating

Revisions and updating. Clearly mention the date and/or version number of the application/intervention (and comparator, if applicable) evaluated, or describe whether the intervention underwent major changes during the evaluation process, or whether the development and/or content was “frozen” during the trial. Describe dynamic components such as news feeds or changing content which may have an impact on the replicability of the intervention (for unexpected events see item 3b).

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important   essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-iii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks “like this” to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Meine Antwort

5-iv) Quality assurance methods

Provide information on quality assurance methods to ensure accuracy and quality of information provided [1], if applicable.

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important   essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-iv?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks “like this” to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Meine Antwort
5-v) Ensure replicability by publishing the source code, and/or providing screenshots/screen-capture video, and/or providing flowcharts of the algorithms used

Ensure replicability by publishing the source code, and/or providing screenshots/screen-capture video, and/or providing flowcharts of the algorithms used. Replicability (i.e., other researchers should in principle be able to replicate the study) is a hallmark of scientific reporting.

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-v?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Meine Antwort

5-vi) Digital preservation
Digital preservation: Provide the URL of the application, but as the intervention is likely to change or disappear over the course of the years; also make sure the intervention is archived (Internet Archive, webcitation.org, and/or publishing the source code or screenshots/videos alongside the article). As pages behind login screens cannot be archived, consider creating demo pages which are accessible without login.

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential
Does your paper address subitem 5-vi?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Meine Antwort

5-vii) Access
Access: Describe how participants accessed the application, in what setting/context, if they had to pay (or were paid) or not, whether they had to be a member of specific group. If known, describe how participants obtained “access to the platform and Internet” [1]. To ensure access for editors reviewers/readers, consider to provide a “backdoor” login account or demo mode for reviewers/readers to explore the application (also important for archiving purposes, see vi).

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ☐ ☐ ☐ ☑ ☐ essential
Auswahl löschen

Does your paper address subitem 5-vii? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"We compensated Psychology students with 12 signatures for study completion, which they required as parts of their Bachelor’s studies. If participants dropped out before completion, compensation was granted proportionally. For students of other faculties, we offered participation in a lottery drawing for a tablet computer as compensation."

"We instructed participants to download and install the ohmage app [29] from the Google Play Store on their Android-based smartphones."
5-viii) Mode of delivery, features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator, and the theoretical framework

Describe mode of delivery, features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator, and the theoretical framework [6] used to design them (instructional strategy [1], behaviour change techniques, persuasive features, etc., see e.g., [7, 8] for terminology). This includes an in-depth description of the content (including where it is coming from and who developed it) [1], whether and how it is tailored to individual circumstances and allows users to track their progress and receive feedback” [6]. This also includes a description of communication delivery channels and – if computer-mediated communication is a component – whether communication was synchronous or asynchronous [6]. It also includes information on presentation strategies [1], including page design principles, average amount of text on pages, presence of hyperlinks to other resources, etc. [1].

Does your paper address subitem 5-viii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks “like this” to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Ohmage is an open mobile system consisting of a smartphone application for self-reported data collection and a server system for web-based data storage, management, and administration. We set up and maintained our own ohmage server at the IT division of the Department of Psychology."

5(ix) Describe use parameters

Describe use parameters (e.g., intended “doses” and optimal timing for use). Clarify what instructions or recommendations were given to the user, e.g., regarding timing, frequency, heaviness of use, if any, or was the intervention used ad libitum.
Does your paper address subitem 5-ix?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Meine Antwort

5-x) Clarify the level of human involvement
Clarify the level of human involvement (care providers or health professionals, also technical assistance) in the e-intervention or as co-intervention (detail number and expertise of professionals involved, if any, as well as “type of assistance offered, the timing and frequency of the support, how it is initiated, and the medium by which the assistance is delivered”. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of human involvement required for the trial, and the level of human involvement required for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability).

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-x?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Meine Antwort
5-xi) Report any prompts/reminders used

Report any prompts/reminders used: Clarify if there were prompts (letters, emails, phone calls, SMS) to use the application, what triggered them, frequency etc. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of prompts/reminders required for the trial, and the level of prompts/reminders for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability).

Does your paper address subitem 5-xi? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Participants were enrolled and assigned to the different conditions by two Master’s students, according to predefined rules of a standard operating procedure, which included the utilization of predefined impersonal standard e-mails resp. SMS for inviting and reminding participants to study participation."

5-xii) Describe any co-interventions (incl. training/support)

Describe any co-interventions (incl. training/support): Clearly state any interventions that are provided in addition to the targeted eHealth intervention, as ehealth intervention may not be designed as stand-alone intervention. This includes training sessions and support [1]. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of training required for the trial, and the level of training for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability).
Does your paper address subitem 5-xii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"In the introductory session, we informed participants about the aim and procedure of the study, and assessed inclusion criteria, sociodemographic information, and information on their general and mental health (Patient Health Questionnaire – German version [25,26], Perceived Stress Scale – 10-items version [27,28]). Irrespective of potential condition assignment, we informed all participants that in our study we would be interested in how mood and perceived stress tuctuated in daily life, and whether smartphones would be suitable to assess their temporal trajectories. We instructed participants to download and install the ohmage app [29] from the Google Play Store on their Android-based smartphones."

6a) Completely defined pre-specified primary and secondary outcome measures, including how and when they were assessed

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 6a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"We measured efficacy expectancies as outcome variable with the Credibility and Expectancy Questionnaire (CEQ) that has been developed to measure treatment expectancy and rationale credibility in clinical outcome studies [30]. Further details on the structure of the CEQ and how we built the subscales credibility and expectancy can be found in [31]. The CEQ shows good psychometric properties [30]. It was administered at the introductory session (day 0) and on intervention days 1, 7, 14, and 20, after participants had completed the smartphone-based mental health intervention and the IAPS picture ratings."
6a-i) Online questionnaires: describe if they were validated for online use and apply CHERRIES items to describe how the questionnaires were designed/deployed

If outcomes were obtained through online questionnaires, describe if they were validated for online use and apply CHERRIES items to describe how the questionnaires were designed/deployed [9].

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 6a-i?
Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

Meine Antwort

6a-ii) Describe whether and how “use” (including intensity of use/dosage) was defined/measured/monitored

Describe whether and how “use” (including intensity of use/dosage) was defined/measured/monitored (logins, logfile analysis, etc.). Use/adoption metrics are important process outcomes that should be reported in any ehealth trial.

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 6a-ii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

Meine Antwort
6a-iii) Describe whether, how, and when qualitative feedback from participants was obtained

Describe whether, how, and when qualitative feedback from participants was obtained (e.g., through emails, feedback forms, interviews, focus groups).

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 6a-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

Meine Antwort

6b) Any changes to trial outcomes after the trial commenced, with reasons

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 6b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not relevant for our study.

7a) How sample size was determined

NPT: When applicable, details of whether and how the clustering by care provides or centers was addressed
7a-i) Describe whether and how expected attrition was taken into account when calculating the sample size
Describe whether and how expected attrition was taken into account when calculating the sample size.

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 7a-i?
Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Meine Antwort

7b) When applicable, explanation of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 7b? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this* to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable.

8a) Method used to generate the random allocation sequence
NPT: When applicable, how care providers were allocated to each trial group
Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 8a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Participants who met inclusion criteria were randomly assigned to one of the four conditions, as well as to whether they would start the ambulatory smartphone-based placebo exposure by either green color or mock sound, resulting in eight groups (1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1). Randomization was stratified by sex and included a randomly permuted block procedure with fixed block sizes of 8, 16, and 24 participants. To account for the presumably higher percentage of female participants, the female strata included six blocks grouped into two pairs of three blocks, each of them containing one block for each of the three block sizes, whereas the male strata included only three blocks, one block for each block size. Randomization was done in RStudio (Version 0.99.891; R Project for Statistical Computing [32]) by an independent party."

8b) Type of randomisation; details of any restriction (such as blocking and block size)
Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 8b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

"Participants who met inclusion criteria were randomly assigned to one of the four conditions, as well as to whether they would start the ambulatory smartphone-based placebo exposure by either green color or mock sound, resulting in eight groups (1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1). Randomization was stratified by sex and included a randomly permuted block procedure with fixed block sizes of 8, 16, and 24 participants. To account for the presumably higher percentage of female participants, the female strata included six blocks grouped into two pairs of three blocks, each of them containing one block for each of the three block sizes, whereas the male strata included only three blocks, one block for each block size. Randomization was done in RStudio (Version 0.99.891; R Project for Statistical Computing [32]) by an independent party."

9) Mechanism used to implement the random allocation sequence (such as sequentially numbered containers), describing any steps taken to conceal the sequence until interventions were assigned.
Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 9? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Participants who met inclusion criteria were randomly assigned to one of the four conditions, as well as to whether they would start the ambulatory smartphone-based placebo exposure by either green color or mock sound, resulting in eight groups (1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1). Randomization was stratified by sex and included a randomly permuted block procedure with fixed block sizes of 8, 16, and 24 participants. To account for the presumably higher percentage of female participants, the female strata included six blocks grouped into two pairs of three blocks, each of them containing one block for each of the three block sizes, whereas the male strata included only three blocks, one block for each block size. Randomization was done in RStudio (Version 0.99.891; R Project for Statistical Computing [32]) by an independent party."

10) Who generated the random allocation sequence, who enrolled participants, and who assigned participants to interventions
Randomization was done in RStudio (Version 0.99.891; R Project for Statistical Computing [32]) by an independent party.
Participants were enrolled and assigned to the different conditions by two Master's students, according to predefined rules of a standard operating procedure, which included the utilization of predefined impersonal standard e-mails resp. SMS for inviting and reminding participants to study participation. In cases of unexpected events, the Master’s students communicated via e-mail with participants, which was reduced to a necessary extent. Eligible participants were blinded to their allocation. At the end of intervention day 20, we debriefed participants via ohmage app on the actual aims of the study and that we had exposed them to a placebo intervention.

11a) If done, who was blinded after assignment to interventions (for example, participants, care providers, those assessing outcomes) and how
NPT: Whether or not administering co-interventions were blinded to group assignment

11a-i) Specify who was blinded, and who wasn’t
Specify who was blinded, and who wasn’t. Usually, in web-based trials it is not possible to blind the participants [1, 3] (this should be clearly acknowledged), but it may be possible to blind outcome assessors, those doing data analysis or those administering co-interventions (if any).

| Subitem not at all important | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-----------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|
|                             |   |   |   |   |   |

essential

Auswahl löschen
Does your paper address subitem 11a-i? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Eligible participants were blinded to their allocation."

11a-ii) Discuss e.g., whether participants knew which intervention was the “intervention of interest” and which one was the “comparator”
Informed consent procedures (4a-ii) can create biases and certain expectations - discuss e.g., whether participants knew which intervention was the “intervention of interest” and which one was the “comparator.”

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 11a-ii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Meine Antwort

11b) If relevant, description of the similarity of interventions
(this item is usually not relevant for ehealth trials as it refers to similarity of a placebo or sham intervention to a active medication/intervention)
Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 11b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Efficacy expectancies in the four conditions were induced via two ways: 1) the instructions on the purpose of the study on intervention day 1 and 2) the written feedback following the second (i.e., post-placebo-intervention) self-portrait on intervention days 1, 7, 14, and 20. While the initial instructions in our experiment served to induce prospective expectancies in participants, the feedback on the self-portraits served to induce retrospective expectancies (see Figure 1 for fourfold table of the four conditions): 1) Control: on intervention day 1, participants received the identical information about the purpose of the study like in the introductory session, according to which were interested in how mood and perceived stress tuctuated in daily life, and whether smartphones were suitable to assess their temporal trajectories. Regarding the self-portraits, we did not give any explanation on their purpose. After having sent the post-placebo-intervention self-portrait, participants received a 'Thank you' message from us. 2) Prospective expectancy only: on intervention day 1, we told participants that we were interested whether a smartphone-based intervention lasting several weeks might have a positive effect on mood and stress perception. Moreover, we explained that previous studies had demonstrated that green light and soft tones beyond acoustic detection threshold had positively affected the activity of certain brain regions, for instance, the insular lobe. We provided further details on the role of the insular lobe in the formation of unpleasant emotions and the release of stress hormones. We told participants that we assumed that daily exposure to a green picture or an inaudible sound would positively affect their mood and perceived stress in general and their ratings of emotional pictures in particular. Regarding the self-portraits, the procedures were identical to the control condition. 3) Retrospective expectancy only: initial instructions on the purpose of the study were identical to the control condition. Regarding the self-portraits, we told participants that the ohmage app would compare the emotional facial expression of the two self-portraits which might differ according to the levels of mood and perceived stress. After having taken the post-placebo-intervention self-portrait, participants were informed by the ohmage app that their picture was currently."
12a) Statistical methods used to compare groups for primary and secondary outcomes
NPT: When applicable, details of whether and how the clustering by care providers or centers was addressed
Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 12a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"We split the four different conditions into two factors consisting of two levels each: prospective expectancy condition (yes vs. no) and retrospective expectancy condition (yes vs. no), and we entered these variables separately into the models. The variable ‘intervention day’ was logarithmized with base 10 and centered. Each of the two subscales of the CEQ was entered as outcome variable in separate linear mixed-effects models [33], to estimate changes in credibility or expectancy across intervention days, depending on condition. For our main analyses, we entered the following predictors into the models: i) ‘intervention day’ (dimensional, days 0, 1, 7, 14, 20, logarithmized with base 10 and centered), ii) ‘prospective expectancy’ (yes vs. no), iii) ‘retrospective expectancy’ (yes vs. no), as well as the interactions of ‘intervention day’ with ‘prospective expectancy’ and ‘retrospective expectancy’. We entered random intercept and random slope parameters as this improved model fit, the latter assessed based on Akaike’s Information Criterion (AIC) [33], allowing time trajectories of participants to vary per participant and intervention day. With respect to our hypothesis, we were especially interested in a condition x time interaction effect (three-way interaction as condition was entered as two separate variables). We checked residual plots for linearity and normal distribution of residuals.

In additional analyses, we conducted separate linear mixed models each controlling for the effects of either ‘prospective expectancy’ or ‘retrospective expectancy’. When controlling for ‘prospective expectancy’, we conducted separate models for cases with ‘prospective expectancy’ respectively without, and the predictors i) ‘intervention day’ and ii) ‘retrospective expectancy’, as well as the interaction of ‘intervention day’ with ‘retrospective expectancy’. Likewise, when controlling for ‘retrospective expectancy’, we conducted separate models for cases with ‘retrospective expectancy’ respectively without, and the predictors i) ‘intervention day’ and ii) ‘prospective expectancy’, as well as the interaction of ‘intervention day’ with ‘prospective expectancy’."
12a-i) Imputation techniques to deal with attrition / missing values

Imputation techniques to deal with attrition / missing values: Not all participants will use the intervention/comparator as intended and attrition is typically high in eHealth trials. Specify how participants who did not use the application or dropped out from the trial were treated in the statistical analysis (a complete case analysis is strongly discouraged, and simple imputation techniques such as LOCF may also be problematic [4]).

Does your paper address subitem 12a-i? *

"For our mixed model analyses, we included all subjects of the intention-to-treat population (see Figure 2)."

12b) Methods for additional analyses, such as subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 12b? *

Not applicable.
X26) REB/IRB Approval and Ethical Considerations [recommended as subheading under "Methods"] (not a CONSORT item)

X26-i) Comment on ethics committee approval

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| ○ | ○ | ○ | ○ | ○ |
subitem not at all important  essential

Does your paper address subitem X26-i?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Meine Antwort

x26-ii) Outline informed consent procedures
Outline informed consent procedures e.g., if consent was obtained offline or online (how? Checkbox, etc.?), and what information was provided (see 4a-ii). See [6] for some items to be included in informed consent documents.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| ○ | ○ | ○ | ○ | ○ |
subitem not at all important  essential
Does your paper address subitem X26-ii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Meine Antwort

X26-iii) Safety and security procedures
Safety and security procedures, incl. privacy considerations, and any steps taken to reduce the likelihood or detection of harm (e.g., education and training, availability of a hotline)

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem X26-iii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Meine Antwort

RESULTS

13a) For each group, the numbers of participants who were randomly assigned, received intended treatment, and were analysed for the primary outcome
NPT: The number of care providers or centers performing the intervention in each group and the number of patients treated by each care provider in each center
Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 13a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

"The flow of participants is presented in Figure 2, according to the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) [42]."

13b) For each group, losses and exclusions after randomisation, together with reasons

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 13b? (NOTE: Preferably, this is shown in a CONSORT flow diagram) *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

"The flow of participants is presented in Figure 2, according to the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) [42]."

13b-i) Attrition diagram

Strongly recommended: An attrition diagram (e.g., proportion of participants still logging in or using the intervention/comparator in each group plotted over time, similar to a survival curve) or other figures or tables demonstrating usage/dose/engagement.

```
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| o | o | o | o | o | essential
```

Does your paper address subitem 13b-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript or cite the figure number if applicable (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Meine Antwort

14a) Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow-up

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 14a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The study was conducted between February and October 2015 at the Department of Psychology of the University of Basel, Switzerland."

14a-i) Indicate if critical “secular events” fell into the study period

Indicate if critical “secular events” fell into the study period, e.g., significant changes in Internet resources available or “changes in computer hardware or Internet delivery resources”

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ essential
Does your paper address subitem 14a-i?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Meine Antwort

14b) Why the trial ended or was stopped (early)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 14b? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable.

15) A table showing baseline demographic and clinical characteristics for each group
NPT: When applicable, a description of care providers (case volume, qualification, expertise, etc.) and centers (volume) in each group

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 15? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Baseline characteristics of study participants are presented in Table 1."
15-i) Report demographics associated with digital divide issues

In ehealth trials it is particularly important to report demographics associated with digital divide issues, such as age, education, gender, social-economic status, computer/Internet/ehealth literacy of the participants, if known.

subitem not at all important 1 2 3 4 5 essential

Does your paper address subitem 15-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Baseline characteristics of study participants are presented in Table 1."

16) For each group, number of participants (denominator) included in each analysis and whether the analysis was by original assigned groups

16-i) Report multiple “denominators” and provide definitions

Report multiple “denominators” and provide definitions: Report N's (and effect sizes) “across a range of study participation [and use] thresholds” [1], e.g., N exposed, N consented, N used more than x times, N used more than y weeks, N participants “used” the intervention/comparator at specific pre-defined time points of interest (in absolute and relative numbers per group). Always clearly define “use” of the intervention.

subitem not at all important 1 2 3 4 5 essential

Auswahl löschen
Does your paper address subitem 16-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

In our Tables, we included ns per group whenever applicable.

16-ii) Primary analysis should be intent-to-treat

Primary analysis should be intent-to-treat, secondary analyses could include comparing only "users", with the appropriate caveats that this is no longer a randomized sample (see 18-i).

Does your paper address subitem 16-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Meine Antwort

17a) For each primary and secondary outcome, results for each group, and the estimated effect size and its precision (such as 95% confidence interval)
Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 17a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Descriptive statistics can be found in Table 2 and in the interaction plots of Figure 3. Results of the main mixed models are presented in Table 3."

"Results from additional analyses (see Multimedia Appendix 4) suggest that the significant three-way interaction was driven by two opposite two-way interactions intervention day*RE, one positive in cases with PE (b = 1.18, 95%CI [0.31; 2.05], P = .009) and one negative in cases with no PE (b = -0.87, 95%CI [-2.06; 0.33], P = .15), with 95% confidence intervals of estimates minimally overlapping."

"Descriptive statistics can be found in Table 2 and in the interaction plots of Figure 4. Results of the main mixed models are presented in Table 3."

"Results from additional analyses (see Multimedia Appendix 5) suggest that the significant three-way interaction was driven by two opposite two-way interactions intervention day*RE, one positive in cases with PE (b = 0.81, 95%CI [-0.01; 1.62], P = .05) and one negative in cases with no PE (b = -0.74, 95%CI [-1.92; 0.44], P = .21), with 95% confidence intervals of estimates minimally overlapping."

17a-i) Presentation of process outcomes such as metrics of use and intensity of use

In addition to primary/secondary (clinical) outcomes, the presentation of process outcomes such as metrics of use and intensity of use (dose, exposure) and their operational definitions is critical. This does not only refer to metrics of attrition (13-b) (often a binary variable), but also to more continuous exposure metrics such as “average session length”. These must be accompanied by a technical description how a metric like a “session” is defined (e.g., timeout after idle time) [1] (report under item 6a).

| subitem not at all important | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | essential |
|-----------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|-----------|
Does your paper address subitem 17a-i?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable.

17b) For binary outcomes, presentation of both absolute and relative effect sizes is recommended

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 17b? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable.

18) Results of any other analyses performed, including subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses, distinguishing pre-specified from exploratory

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 18? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable.
18-i) Subgroup analysis of comparing only users

A subgroup analysis of comparing only users is not uncommon in ehealth trials, but if done, it must be stressed that this is a self-selected sample and no longer an unbiased sample from a randomized trial (see 16-iii).

1  2  3  4  5
subitem not at all important  ☐  ☐  ☐  ☐  ☐  essential

Does your paper address subitem 18-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks “like this” to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Meine Antwort

19) All important harms or unintended effects in each group
(for specific guidance see CONSORT for harms)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 19? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"In cases of unexpected events, such as technical problems, the Master’s students communicated via e-mail with participants."
19-i) Include privacy breaches, technical problems

Include privacy breaches, technical problems. This does not only include physical “harm” to participants, but also incidents such as perceived or real privacy breaches [1], technical problems, and other unexpected/unintended incidents. “Unintended effects” also includes unintended positive effects [2].

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| subitem not at all important |   |   |   |   | essential |

Does your paper address subitem 19-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks “like this” to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Meine Antwort

19-ii) Include qualitative feedback from participants or observations from staff/researchers

Include qualitative feedback from participants or observations from staff/researchers, if available, on strengths and shortcomings of the application, especially if they point to unintended/unexpected effects or uses. This includes (if available) reasons for why people did or did not use the application as intended by the developers.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| subitem not at all important |   |   |   |   | essential |
DISCUSSION

22) Interpretation consistent with results, balancing benefits and harms, and considering other relevant evidence

NPT: In addition, take into account the choice of the comparator, lack of or partial blinding, and unequal expertise of care providers or centers in each group

22-i) Restate study questions and summarize the answers suggested by the data, starting with primary outcomes and process outcomes (use)

Restate study questions and summarize the answers suggested by the data, starting with primary outcomes and process outcomes (use).

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ● ○ essential

Auswahl löschen
Does your paper address subitem 22-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"To the best of our knowledge, this is the first empirical study investigating whether efficacy expectancies could be successfully induced in a smartphone-based placebo mental health intervention. We found that efficacy expectancies decreased throughout intervention days, irrespective of condition. Efficacy expectancies decreased least in the combined expectancy and the control condition, most in the prospective expectancy only condition and the retrospective expectancy only condition."

22-ii) Highlight unanswered new questions, suggest future research

Highlight unanswered new questions, suggest future research.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 22-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Meine Antwort

20) Trial limitations, addressing sources of potential bias, imprecision, and, if relevant, multiplicity of analyses
20-i) Typical limitations in ehealth trials

Typical limitations in ehealth trials: Participants in ehealth trials are rarely blinded. Ehealth trials often look at a multiplicity of outcomes, increasing risk for a Type I error. Discuss biases due to non-use of the intervention/usability issues, biases through informed consent procedures, unexpected events.

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important essential

Does your paper address subitem 20-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Our results may be interpreted in lights of several limitations. First, we designed the smartphone-based intervention in line with the aim of our study to create an inert intervention. We did not focus on making the intervention particularly attractive to study participants, for instance, by integrating elements of gamification [44], which may have affected the decrease in efficacy expectancies throughout the intervention. It may be hypothesized that the time trajectories in efficacy expectancies may differ in a study using a smartphone-based application designed to have a specific effect. Still, in contrast to the “law of attrition”, which describes the observation of high rates of discontinuation in eHealth trials [45], we have a high completion rate, with data available for 93% of cases, which makes our findings relatively robust. Second, the study sample was quite homogenous, with most of the participants being female psychology students. As our sample did not consist of a clinical sample, it may rather reflect subjects using smartphone for preventive purposes. Hence, findings may be generalizable rather to populations seeking prevention. Notable, in a clinical population, participants’ desire to get an effect out of the intervention are expected to be higher than in a healthy sample, which has been found to modulate placebo analgesia in irritable bowel syndrome patients [46]. Therefore, it may even be easier to induce a digital placebo effect in a clinical sample, as compared to ours, which, however, requires further investigation. Another limitation regarding our sample is that data collection took place in the year 2015 and it would have been preferable using more recent data, particularly in a fast-emerging field like mHealth. However, the latency between...
data collection and dissemination of findings in our study is comparable to other relevant studies in this field [23,47]. Furthermore, while timely dissemination of findings from clinical trials would be important to base clinical decisions on best scientific evidence, a previous study [48] found that only 29% of completed RCTs of US academic medical centers are published within two years after study completion, indicating that, to reduce publication bias, older data needs to be disseminated, too. Nonetheless, our findings need imminent replication. For replication, it would also be preferable to increase the sample size, which, however, encompassed 132 participants in our main statistical analyses, and, thus, was above the median of comparable RCTs included in two recent meta-analyses ([11,12]. Third, some participants reported technical or usability problems with the ohmage app which may have led to a certain level of frustration throughout the course of the intervention, and which may have diminished efficacy expectancies. However, as the reported frequency of technical problems with the app was low (0.6% of all cases), we do not assume that this aspect has reduced the validity of our findings. Fourth, as participants entered the study at different points of time, we cannot exclude that participants who had already finished the study might have informed others about the actual study purpose prior to study completion, which may have reduced the effect of the induction of efficacy expectations particular in the experimental conditions. However, we speculate that this may have affected efficacy expectancies of only few participants, because i) participants might not have remembered and passed all the details of the study design to others; ii) it might have been in the interest of most of the Psychology students to promote the study and iii) students from other fields than Psychology might did not systematically participate and, thus, might not have shared details of the purpose of the study. Fifth, we included only participants with access to an Android-based smartphone which limits the generalizability to iPhone and other operating systems users. However, a recent study found that personality traits (e.g. well-being, self-esteem, optimism, pessimism, and the Big Five) which might affect efficacy expectancies differed only little between iOS and Android users [49].

21) Generalisability (external validity, applicability) of the trial findings

NPT: External validity of the trial findings according to the intervention, comparators, patients, and care providers or centers involved in the trial
21-i) Generalizability to other populations

Generalizability to other populations: In particular, discuss generalizability to a general Internet population, outside of a RCT setting, and general patient population, including applicability of the study results for other organizations

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 21-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks “like this” to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Meine Antwort

21-ii) Discuss if there were elements in the RCT that would be different in a routine application setting

Discuss if there were elements in the RCT that would be different in a routine application setting (e.g., prompts/reminders, more human involvement, training sessions or other co-interventions) and what impact the omission of these elements could have on use, adoption, or outcomes if the intervention is applied outside of a RCT setting.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential
Does your paper address subitem 21-ii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Meine Antwort

OTHER INFORMATION

23) Registration number and name of trial registry

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 23? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Trial registration: ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT02365220. Registered February 18, 2015."

24) Where the full trial protocol can be accessed, if available

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 24? *
Cite a Multimedia Appendix, other reference, or copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The trial protocol is being described in detail in the manuscript. Further information are available from the authors.
25) Sources of funding and other support (such as supply of drugs), role of funders

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 25? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Funding: MT received funding from the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF, to MT, project no. PZ00P1_137023). Additionally, MT and GM received funding from the Korea Research Foundation within the Global Research Network Program under project no. 2013S1A2A2035364. Currently, GM receives funding from the Stanley Thomas Johnson Stiftung & Gottfried und Julia Bangerter-Rhyner-Stiftung under projects no. PC_28/17 and PC_05/18, from the Swiss Cancer League (Krebsliga Schweiz) under project no. KLS-4304-08-2017, from Gesundheitsförderung Schweiz under project no. 18.191, from the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF) under project no. 100014_135328, as well as from the Research Foundation of the International Psychoanalytic University (IPU) Berlin. The funding sources had no involvement in study design; in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of the data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the article for publication."

X27) Conflicts of Interest (not a CONSORT item)
**X27-i) State the relation of the study team towards the system being evaluated**

In addition to the usual declaration of interests (financial or otherwise), also state the relation of the study team towards the system being evaluated, i.e., state if the authors/evaluators are distinct from or identical with the developers/sponsors of the intervention.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| subitem not at all important | | | | |

---

**Does your paper address subitem X27-i?**

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks “like this” to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.
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---

**About the CONSORT EHEALTH checklist**

---

**As a result of using this checklist, did you make changes in your manuscript? ***

- O yes, major changes
- O yes, minor changes
- O no

---

**What were the most important changes you made as a result of using this checklist?**

Meine Antwort
How much time did you spend on going through the checklist INCLUDING making changes in your manuscript *

5 hours

As a result of using this checklist, do you think your manuscript has improved? *

☐ yes

☐ no

☐ Sonstiges: We have already considered CONSORT while writing the manuscript

Would you like to become involved in the CONSORT EHEALTH group?

This would involve for example becoming involved in participating in a workshop and writing an "Explanation and Elaboration" document

☐ yes

☐ no

☐ Sonstiges:

Any other comments or questions on CONSORT EHEALTH

Meine Antwort
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