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Abstract

We give a natural monomorphism from the necklace Lie coalgebra, defined for any quiver, to Connes and Kreimer’s Lie coalgebra of trees, and extend this to a map from a certain quiver-theoretic Hopf algebra to Connes and Kreimer’s renormalization Hopf algebra, as well as to pre-Lie versions. These results are direct analogues of Turaev’s results in 2004, by replacing algebras of loops on surfaces with algebras of paths on quivers. We also factor the morphism through an algebra of chord diagrams and explain the geometric version. We then explain how all of the Hopf algebras are uniquely determined by the pre-Lie structures, and discuss noncommutative versions of the Hopf algebras.

1 Introduction

Motivated by an attempt to understand the moduli space of flat connections on a vector bundle over a surface, Goldman constructed in [Gol84] a Lie bracket on the free vector space spanned by homotopy classes of (basepoint-free) loops on a surface, together with a Lie homomorphism (by taking trace of holonomy) to the Poisson algebra of functions on the aforementioned moduli space.

In [Tur91], Turaev discovered that one may define, in a similar way, a cobracket on the aforementioned space of loops, which is compatible with Goldman’s bracket and yields a Lie bialgebra. He also constructed a quantization of this Lie bialgebra in terms of link diagrams on the surface.

This left open the question to find an interpretation of the Lie coalgebra. In [Tur05], Turaev discovered a relation between his Lie coalgebra and Connes and Kreimer’s renormalization algebras [Kre98, CK98], which form part of the algebraic foundations of perturbative quantum field theory. Specifically, he found a homomorphism from an up-to-isotopy, pointed version of his Lie coalgebra of loops to Connes and Kreimer’s Lie coalgebra of trees, and constructed from this a commutative Hopf algebra on the loop side mapping to Connes and Kreimer’s renormalization Hopf algebra. This allows one to interpret the combinatorics of loops and Turaev’s Lie coalgebra as Feynman diagrams connected to renormalization.

Turaev found that additional structure from the construction is preserved, and accordingly generalized Connes and Kreimer’s algebras to include the extra data. This attached to the trees the following: (1) a homotopy class of loops assigned to each vertex; (2) orientations on the edges; and (3) a ribbon graph structure.

An essential step of the above construction is the observation that, when one has a basepoint, Turaev’s Lie coalgebra actually arises from a more fundamental pre-Lie coalgebra structure. In

1This Hopf algebra differs significantly from the Hopf algebra mentioned in the previous paragraph: aside from the previous one referring to homotopy classes rather than isotopy classes, the Hopf algebra here is commutative, unlike the one of the previous paragraph.
the basepoint-free case, Turaev constructed an “oriented trees” version of Connes and Kreimer’s Lie coalgebra (which does not come from a pre-Lie coalgebra), so that one is still equipped with a homomorphism.

There is a known analogue for quivers of the Goldman/Turaev Lie bialgebra of loops, called the necklace Lie bialgebra. Here, the Lie algebra was discovered for much the same reason as the Goldman algebra: because of its representation into the Poisson algebra of functions on the corresponding quiver variety [Gin01] (the Lie algebra was independently discovered in [BLB02]). In [Sch05], the second author constructed the cobracket and quantized the resulting Lie bialgebra, following in analogy with [Tur91]. It is thus natural to ask for an interpretation of the cobracket in terms of representations, and in particular, if all of the above results from [Tur05] can be generalized to the quiver setting.

In this note, we answer this question affirmatively, and present quiver analogues of the results of [Tur05]. We define a pre-Lie coalgebra on the quiver side in the rooted (basepointed) case. We also define a commutative “renormalization” Hopf algebra associated to any quiver. Then, our main theorem (Theorem 3.3.18) is the construction of a natural monomorphism from these quiver algebras and the (oriented) necklace Lie coalgebra to the Connes and Kreimer algebras, with additional quiver-theoretic structure we define. We explain how the pre-Lie and Hopf algebra structures are essentially equivalent, in all cases (necklaces, trees (Connes-Kreimer), and loops (Turaev)), using a general result about pre-Lie algebras [GO05].

Unlike in Turaev’s case, for quivers, there is no distinction between “up to isotopy” and “up to homotopy,” so there is only one Lie coalgebra to consider in each of the oriented/rooted cases, which is the one that is compatible with the necklace bracket in the oriented case. In contrast, the isotopy coalgebra from [Tur05] is not compatible with the Goldman Lie bracket (compatibility requires passing to homotopy classes).

For quivers, we find that introducing a basepoint is the same as cutting a necklace and considering algebras of paths, so our algebras in the rooted case are actually algebras of paths in the quiver. The additional structure attached to trees in our setting replaces the loops (with basepoint) attached to vertices with cyclic paths (paths) in the quiver. The rest of the structure—the ribbon graph structure and orientation of edges—is unchanged.

Furthermore, we discover that the monomorphisms factor through a “chord algebra” we define, which essentially is the span of necklaces with certain chord diagrams (Theorem 3.4.14). This clarifies the construction and the reason that it exists. We also briefly describe the corresponding geometric object, in the setting of [Tur05], where the chord diagrams become geometric chord diagrams [AMR96] (the chord algebras were not mentioned in [Tur05]).

Finally, we briefly give a noncommutative version of the Hopf algebra, analogous to Section 8.5 of [Tur05], which maps to Foissy’s noncommutative algebra of labeled rooted trees [Foi02]. In particular, this shows that paths and loops have a noncommutative (=ordering) structure which does not exist for rooted trees without labels. The noncommutative Hopf algebras, unlike their commutative counterparts, are not determined by the pre-Lie structure alone (which essentially forgets about the “labelings” on the associated trees).

The organization of the paper is as follows: in Section 2, we briefly recall the necessary definitions from [Kre98, CK98, Tur05] (for the tree side), and from [Sch05] (for the quiver side). Then,

---

2 As in Turaev’s case, this Hopf algebra is quite different from the quantized necklace algebra of [Sch05]: the latter is noncommutative, unlike the former; and the former involves paths which are not mod commutators, unlike the latter.
in Section 3, we define the new quiver-theoretic Hopf algebra and pre-Lie coalgebra, state our main result, and generalize it through chord algebras. We also explain the equivalence of pre-Lie coalgebras and commutative Hopf algebras of a special form, and give the noncommutative version of the constructions in this paper. Finally, in Section 4, we provide the postponed proofs (e.g., of the main theorem).
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1.2 Notation

Notation 1.2.1. Throughout, \( k \) denotes a fixed commutative ring with unit.

Notation 1.2.2. For any permutation \( \sigma \in \Sigma_n \), we define \( \tau_\sigma : V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_n \to V_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes V_{\sigma^{-1}(2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{\sigma^{-1}(n)} \) as the permutation of components corresponding to \( \sigma \).

Notation 1.2.3. Our permutations use cycle notation. Thus, \((123)\) denotes the permutation \(1 \mapsto 2 \mapsto 3 \mapsto 1\).

2 Tree algebras

In this section, we recall the needed constructions of [Tur05, Kre98, CK98].

2.1 Algebras of trees We recall the Lie coalgebra and Hopf algebra of rooted trees from [Kre98, CK98], following [Tur05], where the former is generalized to a pre-Lie coalgebra, and to the setting of oriented trees.

2.1.1 Pre-Lie (co)algebras We recall first the definition of pre-Lie algebras (independently discovered by [Ger63] and [Vin63]) and their dual, pre-Lie coalgebras (following [Tur05]). Note that there are a wide variety of important pre-Lie algebras, including the Hochschild cochain complex of an algebra, vector fields, and the examples in this paper.

A (left) pre-Lie algebra over \( k \) is a \( k \)-module with a \( k \)-bilinear product \( \ast \) satisfying

\[
(x \ast y) \ast z - x \ast (y \ast z) = (y \ast x) \ast z - y \ast (x \ast z).
\]  

(2.1.1)

If \( \ast \) is a pre-Lie multiplication, then \([x, y] := x \ast y - y \ast x\) must be a Lie bracket.

To obtain the definition of pre-Lie coalgebra, we dualize in the sense of determining what structure exists on \( g^* \) if \( g \) is a pre-Lie algebra. Precisely, a (left) pre-Lie coalgebra, \( g \), over \( k \) is a \( k \)-module with a \( k \)-linear map \( \delta_0 : g \to g \otimes g \) satisfying

\[
(Id - \tau_{(12)})(\delta_0 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \delta_0)\delta_0 = 0 \in \text{Hom}_k(g, g \otimes g \otimes g). \]  

(2.1.2)

If \( \delta_0 \) is a pre-Lie comultiplication, then \( \delta := \delta_0 - \tau_{(12)}\delta_0 \) must be a Lie cobracket.
2.1.2 The pre-Lie coalgebra of rooted trees and Lie coalgebra of oriented trees

A rooted tree $T$ is a collection of vertices $V(T)$ and edges $E(T)$, and a map $E(T) \to V(T)^{(2)}$ from edges to unordered pairs of vertices, such that the resulting graph is connected and has no cycles (or loops), together with a distinguished vertex, called the root.

An oriented tree is the same but without the distinguished vertex, and whose edge map is actually a map $E(T) \to V(T)^{(2)}$, from edges to ordered pairs of vertices.

Let $\mathcal{T}_{rt}$ be the free $k$-module with basis given by the isomorphism classes of rooted trees. In \cite{CK98} (\cite{Tur05} for the “pre-”), the following pre-Lie comultiplication $\rho$ is defined on $\mathcal{T}_{rt}$:

$$\rho(T) = \sum_{e \in E(T)} T_e^1 \otimes T_e^2,$$

(2.1.3)

where $T_e^1, T_e^2$ are the trees obtained by deleting the edge $e$, and $T_e^2$ is the tree which contains the root. The root of $T_e^1$ is the vertex which was incident to $e$, and the root of $T_e^2$ is the root of $T$.

**Proposition 2.1.4.** \cite{Tur05} The map $\rho$ is a pre-Lie comultiplication.

Thus, one deduces that the skew-symmetrization, $\rho^{ss} := \rho - \tau(12)\rho$, is a Lie cobracket, which was already discovered in \cite{CK98} (and motivated the above result).

In \cite{Tur05}, a version for oriented trees is also given. Let $\mathcal{T}_{or}$ be the free $k$-module with basis given by the isomorphism classes of oriented trees. Then, a Lie cobracket $\rho^{ss}_O : \mathcal{T}_{or} \to \mathcal{T}_{or} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{or}$ is defined by the same formula as the skew-symmetrization of \eqref{2.1.3}, except letting $T_e^2$ be the subtree that $e$ points to, and $T_e^1$ be the subtree that $e$ points away from (note that there is no pre-Lie comultiplication $\rho_O$).

Finally, we will need the generalization given in \cite{Tur05}: Let $RTrees, OTrees$ be the categories whose objects are rooted and oriented trees, respectively, and whose morphisms are embeddings of trees (maps of rooted or oriented trees, that preserve incidence and are injective on vertices and edges; the root must get sent to the vertex of the image subtree which is closest to the root of the whole tree). Then, we have

**Definition 2.1.5.** For any contravariant functor $\Phi : RTrees \to Sets$ (called a rooted tree-structure), we let $\mathcal{T}_{rt}(\Phi)$ be the free $k$-module spanned by isomorphism classes of pairs $(T, s)$ where $T$ is a rooted tree and $s \in \Phi(T)$. Here, an isomorphism of pairs $(T, s) \to (T', s')$ is an isomorphism of trees $T \Rightarrow T'$ whose pullback carries $s'$ to $s$. In the oriented case, one similarly defines $\mathcal{T}_{or}(\Phi)$.

**Proposition 2.1.6.** \cite{Tur05} For any rooted tree-structure $\Phi$, the following formula defines a pre-Lie comultiplication $\rho$ on $\mathcal{T}_{rt}(\Phi)$:

$$\rho(T, s) = \sum_{e \in E(T)} (T_e^1, s|_{T_e^1}) \otimes (T_e^2, s|_{T_e^2}),$$

(2.1.7)

where $T_e^1 \sqcup T_e^2 = T \setminus \{e\}$ and $T_e^2$ contains the root. Similarly, the skew-symmetrization of this defines a Lie coalgebra in the case of oriented trees, where now $T_e^2$ is the tree that $e$ points to.

2.1.3 The Hopf algebra on rooted trees

We briefly recall Connes and Kreimer’s Hopf algebra on rooted trees \cite{Kre98 CK98}, as formulated with tree structures in \cite{Tur05}.

Let $\text{Sym}(\mathcal{T}_{rt})$ be the symmetric algebra on $\mathcal{T}_{rt}$ (polynomials in rooted trees).
Definition 2.1.8. [CK98] A cut $H$ of a rooted tree $T$ with root $rt \in V(T)$ is a subset $H \subset E(T)$ of edges. It is a **simple cut** if each connected component of $T \setminus \{rt\}$ contains at most one edge in $H$. (The empty cut $H = \emptyset$ is included, and is simple.)

Definition 2.1.9. [CK98] For any simple cut $H$ of $T$, let $\{T_{H,e} : e \in H\} \cup \{T_{H,0}\}$ be the set of connected components of $T \setminus H$, where $T_{H,0}$ is the component containing the root $rt$, and $T_{H,e}$ is the other connected component which was adjacent to $e$ as a subset of $T$.

Definition 2.1.10. [CK98, Tur05] For any rooted tree-structure $\Phi : RTrees^{\text{op}} \to \text{Sets}$, define a map $\Delta : T_{rt}(\Phi) \to \text{Sym} T_{rt}(\Phi) \otimes \text{Sym} T_{rt}(\Phi)$ by the formula

\[
\Delta(X) = 1 \otimes X + X \otimes 1 + \Delta'(X),
\]

where $\Delta'$ is the projection of $\Delta$ away from $(1 \otimes \text{Sym} T_{rt}(\Phi)) \oplus (\text{Sym} T_{rt}(\Phi) \otimes 1)$ (that is, $\Delta' = (1 - \eta \circ \epsilon)^\otimes \Delta$, with $\eta$ the unit map).

Furthermore, using the natural grading by total number of edges in the trees, $\Delta'(X)$ has strictly lower degree than $X$ if $X$ is a tree. Thus, one may easily verify (cf. [Sch05], §3.9) that the following general formula for the antipode $S$ holds (with $X = (T, s)$):

\[
S(X) = -X + \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^{n+1} \mu^n \circ (\Delta')^n(X),
\]

where $\mu^n : \text{Sym} T_{rt}(\Phi)^\otimes (n+1) \to \text{Sym} T_{rt}(\Phi)$ is the multiplication, and $(\Delta')^n : \text{Sym} T_{rt}(\Phi) \to \text{Sym} T_{rt}(\Phi)^\otimes (n+1)$ is the iterated application of the coassociative $\Delta'$. This extends to products of trees anti-multiplicatively. So, it is enough to check the bialgebra condition above.

3 Quiver version and results

We now proceed to the quiver versions of the preceding and formulate our results.

3.1 Necklace (pre-)Lie coalgebras
3.1.1 Original necklace Lie coalgebra (“oriented”) We recall the definition of the necklace Lie coalgebra from [Sch05], which will correspond to the “oriented” case. Let $Q$ be any quiver (with edge set also denoted by $Q$), and let $\overline{Q} = Q \sqcup Q^*$ be the double quiver, $Q^* := \{ e^* : e \in Q \}$, where if $e$ is an arrow from $i$ to $j$ (denoted $e : i \to j$), then $e^* : j \to i$ is the reverse. The double quiver has the same set $I$ of edges as $Q$. Let $P$ be the path algebra on the double quiver. Precisely, one has $P = T_k(I) \langle Q \rangle$, where $\langle Q \rangle$ is the $k$-bimodule with basis $Q$, so that $i \langle Q \rangle j$ is the space with basis those edges $e : i \to j$. For each edge $e : i \to j$ let $e_s := i, e_t := j$ (the “source” and “target,” respectively).

Let $L := P/[P, P] = HH_0(P)$ be the $k$-module with basis the cyclic paths in the quiver $\overline{Q}$ (forgetting the initial edge). We call such cyclic paths “necklaces,” and the cobracket operation will involve splitting necklaces into two necklaces (by making two cuts and gluing the endpoints of the two resulting strands=paths in the quiver). Then, one defines the cobracket $\delta = \delta_{or} : L \to L \wedge L$ (or=oriented) as follows:

$$\delta_{or}([a_1 \cdots a_n]) = \sum_{i<j} \omega(a_i, a_j)[(a_j)_{i}a_{j+1} \cdots a_{i-1}] \wedge [(a_i)_{i}a_{i+1} \cdots a_{j-1}],$$

where $\omega(e, e^*) = -\omega(e^*, e) = 1$ for $e \in Q$, and $\omega(e, f) = 0$ if $e \neq f^*$ (we use the notation $(e^*)^* := e$).

A typical summand is depicted in Figure 1.
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Figure 1: The original Lie cobracket on $P/[P, P]$ from [Sch05]

3.1.2 Necklace (pre-)Lie coalgebra of paths, “rooted” We define “rooted” versions of the necklace Lie coalgebra. By being rooted, we will actually obtain a pre-Lie structure, as in the rooted tree case.

To add a basepoint to a necklace, one should pick an initial edge. Equivalently, one can replace the necklace with a closed path. In this generality, one may actually speak of non-closed paths as well, which we do.

In [Sch07], a (Loday or Lie) cobracket is defined in this way by the following idea: When one makes two cuts in a path and joins the cut ends the same way we did before for necklaces, one obtains one path and one necklace. This is depicted in Figure 2.

This will not give a pre-Lie coalgebra, however: to get one, one needs (as in [Tur05]) to split a path into two paths (resp. a rooted tree into two rooted trees by cutting). To do this, we make two
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Figure 2: The Loday cobracket \( P \to P/[P, P] \otimes P \) from \cite{Sch07}
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Figure 3: A summand in the pre-Lie multiplication of “Before”.

cuts in the path, but only glue once: the left strand to the right, obtaining two strands (Figure 3). Precisely, we define the rooted pre-Lie comultiplication \( \delta_{p,rt} \) and Lie cobracket \( \delta_{rt} \) by the formulas
\[
\delta_{p,rt}(a_1 \cdots a_n) = \sum_{i<j} -\omega(a_i, a_j)(a_1)_{i+1} \cdots a_{j-1} \otimes (a_1)s_{a_1} \cdots a_1 a_{i-1}a_{j+1} \cdots a_n, 
\]
\[
\delta_{rt} = \delta_{p,rt} - \tau(12)\delta_{p,rt}.
\]

Proposition 3.1.4. The maps \( \delta_{or}, \delta_{rt} \) are Lie cobrackets, and \( \delta_{p,rt} \) is a pre-Lie comultiplication.

Proof. It suffices to check that \( \delta_{p,rt} \) is a pre-Lie comultiplication (\( \delta_{or} \) is a Lie cobracket by \cite{Sch05}). This follows along similar lines to the proof that \( \delta_{or} \) is a Lie cobracket in \cite{Sch05}, §2.2. \( \square \)

Note that, while in the rooted case, one may consider paths that are not closed, the first component of the image of \( \delta_{p,rt} \) lies in the span of closed paths.

3.2 Hopf algebra of paths We now define a Hopf algebra which completes the analogy “pre-Lie coalgebra of rooted trees :: Renormalization Hopf algebra == Necklace pre-Lie coalgebra of paths (rooted) :: ??” Note that this does not have an oriented version (since there is no pre-Lie coalgebra in the oriented case, cf. Proposition 3.5.2).

Definition 3.2.1. Given a path \( a_1 \cdots a_n \in P \), a cut \( H \) is a choice of pairs
\[
H = \{(i_1, j_1), \ldots, (i_m, j_m)\} \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}^2,
\]

\( 7 \)
such that
1. \( i_1, j_1, \ldots, i_m, j_m \) are distinct,
2. for all \( \ell, i_\ell < j_\ell \),
3. the pairs do not cross: that is, there do not exist \( \ell, \ell' \) such that \( i_\ell < i_{\ell'} < j_\ell < j_{\ell'} \), and
4. for all \( \ell \), there exists \( e_\ell \in Q \) such that \( \{ a_{i_\ell}, a_{j_\ell} \} = \{ e_\ell, e^*_\ell \} \).

**Definition 3.2.3.** A cut is called **simple** if there do not exist \( \ell, \ell' \) with \( i_\ell < i_{\ell'} < j_\ell < j_{\ell'} \): that is, as in Figure 4, no added (semicircular) edge contains another such.

**Definition 3.2.4.** For any cut \( H \) of a path \( X := a_1 \cdots a_n \), let \( \{ X_{H,c} \} \) be the collection of paths obtained by applying Figure 3 repeatedly (cut each pair of edges \( a_{i_\ell}, a_{j_\ell} \) and glue one pair of endpoints each, as in (3.1.2)). By definition, \( X_{H,0} \) is the unique path which shares the endpoints of the original path (beginning at \( (a_1)_s \) and ending at \( (a_n)_t \)), and \( X_{H,c} \) for \( c = (i_\ell, j_\ell) \) is the unique path which begins at the target \( (a_{i_\ell})_t \) of \( a_{i_\ell} \), and ends at the source \( (a_{j_\ell})_s \) of \( a_{j_\ell} \).

**Definition 3.2.5.** For any cut \( H = \{(i_1, j_1), \ldots, (i_m, j_m)\} \) of a path \( a_1 \cdots a_n \), let \( \varepsilon_H = \pm 1 \) be defined by

\[
\varepsilon_H := \prod_{\ell=1}^{m} -\omega(a_{i_\ell}, a_{j_\ell}).
\]  

(3.2.6)

**Definition 3.2.7.** Define the coproduct \( \Delta : \text{Sym} \ P \to (\text{Sym} \ P)^{\otimes 2} \) on a path \( X = a_1 \cdots a_n \) by

\[
l_H(X) := X_{H,c_1} \& \cdots \& X_{H,c_{|H|}}, \quad r_H(X) := X_{H,0},
\]

(3.2.8)

\[
\Delta(X) := X \otimes 1 + \sum_{\text{simple cuts } H} \varepsilon_H l_H(X) \otimes r_H(X),
\]

(3.2.9)

where \( H = \{c_1, \ldots, c_{|H|}\} \).

**Proposition 3.2.10.** The map \( \Delta \) endows \( \text{Sym} \ P \) with the structure of a commutative Hopf algebra with antipode given by (2.1.15) (where \( X \) is a path).

This proposition will be proved in Section 4.1. Note that the result also follows from Theorem 3.3.18 since the map \( \eta \) gives a monomorphism of Hopf algebras (i.e., without knowing \( \text{Sym} \ P \) is Hopf, the theorem shows that \( \eta \) is injective and carries the proposed multiplication, comultiplication, unit, and counit to those for Connes and Kreimer’s Hopf algebra).

### 3.3 The monomorphisms to renormalization algebras

**3.3.1 The tree-structures** Following in analogy with [Tur05], we define a pre-Lie coalgebra map \( (P, \delta_{\rho,rt}) \to (T_{rt}(\Phi_{rt}), \rho) \) and a Lie coalgebra map \( (L, \delta_{or}) \to (T_{or}(\Phi_{or}), P^*_O) \) for certain rooted (resp. oriented) tree-structures \( \Phi_{rt}, \Phi_{or} \). The former induces a Hopf algebra map \( (\text{Sym} \ (P), \Delta) \to (\text{Sym} \ (T_{rt}), \Delta) \), as we explain. As a result of the pre-Lie coalgebra map, one also obtains a Lie coalgebra map on the associated Lie coalgebra \( (P, \delta_{rt}) \) (by skew-symmetrizing the pre-Lie comultiplication).
Definition 3.3.1. A ribbon graph structure on a tree is a choice, for each vertex of the tree, of a fixed cyclic ordering of the edges incident with that vertex.

Definition 3.3.2. Let $\Phi_{or}$ be the oriented tree-structure which assigns to each oriented tree a choice of ribbon graph structure on the tree, and to each vertex of the tree a cyclic path in $Q$ (which is a basis element of $L$).

Definition 3.3.3. \cite{Tsuruoka} A corner of a vertex of a ribbon graph is a choice of initial edge at the vertex (giving a linear ordering of the incident edges).

Definition 3.3.4. Let $\Phi_{rt}$ be the rooted tree-structure which assigns to each rooted tree a choice of ribbon graph structure with a corner at the root, together with an orientation of all edges, and a labeling of vertices by paths in $Q$ (basis elements of $P$).

Remark 3.3.5. Instead of assigning (cyclic) paths to each vertex, an alternative would be to assign a single element of $P \otimes V$ or $L \otimes V$ to the tree, where $\otimes$ means taking $\otimes |V|$ with components labeled by $V$. Then, $\Phi_*$ would obtain a $k$-module structure, and we could work with the quotient $T(\Phi_*)$ of $T(\Phi_*)$ by the relation $(T,s+s') = (T,s) + (T,s')$.

Remark 3.3.6. Note that, at all vertices other than the root of a rooted tree with a ribbon-graph structure, the cyclic ordering actually has a canonical lifting to a linear ordering (i.e., a corner), by choosing as initial the edge that lies between the given vertex and the root. So with the corner at the root, one obtains rooted trees with linear orderings at all vertices (rather than merely cyclic orderings).

3.3.2 Chord diagrams and dual trees In order to define the homomorphisms of pre-Lie coalgebras and Hopf algebras, we first need to construct from a simple cut of a path (or later, a cyclic path), the dual tree to the chord diagram associated to this cut:

Definition 3.3.7. For any path $X = a_1 \cdots a_n$ of length $n$, associate to this a line segment $L_X \subset \mathbb{R}$ with edges $e_i = [i - \frac{1}{2}, i + \frac{1}{2}]$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, and vertices $\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \ldots, n + \frac{1}{2}\}$. The root is defined to be $rt := \frac{1}{2}$. (See Figure 4).

We also think of the vertex $n + \frac{1}{2}$ as the root, essentially considering it to be the same vertex as $\frac{1}{2}$. That is, a circle with basepoint is essentially equivalent to a line by cutting at the basepoint: then, the endpoints of the line are both the basepoint. We chose $\frac{1}{2}$ rather than $n + \frac{1}{2}$ for the root only for definiteness: the choice makes no difference.

Definition 3.3.8. For any cut $H = \{(i_1, j_1), \ldots, (i_m, j_m)\}$ of a path $X = a_1 \cdots a_n \in P$, consider the associated chord diagram $C_{X,H}$, obtained from $L_X$ by adding interior vertices $i_\ell, j_\ell$ to the edges $e_{i_\ell}, e_{j_\ell}$, and a new edge with endpoints $i_\ell, j_\ell$ for each $\ell$, as in Figure 4. The edges are chosen so as to not intersect (giving a planar graph).

Definition 3.3.9. For any cut $H$ as above, let the dual rooted tree $T_H$ be obtained by dualizing the chord diagram: place one vertex inside each face of the chord diagram, and one edge crossing each edge of the chord diagram, connecting the vertices associated to the two faces. The root corresponds to the unbounded face (which is included as a face).

\footnote{This is called a corner to agree with \cite{Tsuruoka}, Remark 2 of §5.2, where it is defined as a choice of two consecutive edges in the cyclic ordering (these are the last and first edges in our linear ordering).}
Definition 3.3.10. For any cut $H$ of a path as above with dual rooted tree $T_H$, we define an element $s_H$ of $\Phi_{rt}(T_H)$ as follows: First, orient the edges of the chord diagram, by assigning the edge with endpoints $i_{\ell}, j_{\ell}$ the orientation $i_{\ell} \to j_{\ell}$ if $a_{i_{\ell}} \in Q$ and $j_{\ell} \to i_{\ell}$ otherwise. Then, the orientation of the edge $e$ of $T_H$ which crosses an edge $f$ of the chord diagram is such that $e \wedge f$ is the positive orientation on $\mathbb{R}^2$. Next, the unbounded face is still considered a face, and its vertex is declared the root. This is naturally a ribbon graph. The linear ordering of the edges at the root (choice of corner) is given by the usual linear ordering of the endpoints of the edges of the unbounded face of the chord diagram in the interval $[\frac{1}{2}, n + \frac{1}{2}]$. Finally, the labeling of the vertices is given as follows: to each face $f$ of the chord diagram, $J = \partial f \cap [\frac{1}{2}, n + \frac{1}{2}]$ is a union of closed intervals; let $J^o$ be the interior and $I^o \cap \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ the set of integers incident to the face which are not incident to any of the edges of the chord diagram (not including $[\frac{1}{2}, n + \frac{1}{2}]$). Let $i_J$ be the vertex of the quiver which is the left endpoint of $J$: that is, $i_J = (a_{\min(J \cap \{1, 2, \ldots, n\})})_{t}$. Then, $i_J \prod_{j \in J \cap \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}} a_j$ is the path associated to the vertex attached to $f$ (it is the path which remains in that face after performing cuts as in Figure 4).

The dual tree is depicted (without the tree-structure) in Figure 4. We proceed to define the dual oriented tree:

Definition 3.3.11. For any cut $H$ as above, the dual oriented tree is the dual tree $T_H$, forgetting the root, together with the orientation of edges given by the element of $\Phi_{rt}(T_H)$ above. Call it $T_{H, or}$.

Definition 3.3.12. For any closed path $a_1 \cdots a_m$ with dual oriented tree $T_{H, or}$, define an element $s_{H, or}$ of $\Phi_{or}(T_{H, or})$ from $s_H$ by taking the image of the labels of vertices in cyclic paths ($L = P/[P, P]$), and forgetting the corner structure.

One may easily verify the
Lemma 3.3.13. For any closed path \( a_1 \cdots a_m \), the space of cuts of \( a_1 \cdots a_m \) is naturally isomorphic to that of \( a_1a_{i+1} \cdots a_{i-1} \) for all \( i \), in a way that yields a natural isomorphism of dual oriented trees which carries the elements \( \Phi_{or}(T_{H,or}) \) to each other. One may therefore define a cut of the cyclic path \([a_1 \cdots a_m]\) and its associated dual oriented tree \(T_{H,or} \), with associated element \( s_{H,or} \in \Phi_{or}(T_{H,or}) \).

3.3.3 The homomorphisms Finally, we may define the homomorphisms and state the first theorem.

Definition 3.3.14. For any path \( a_1 \cdots a_n \), define the element \( \eta_{rt}(a_1 \cdots a_n) \in T_{rt}(\Phi_{rt}) \) as follows:

\[
\eta_{rt}(a_1 \cdots a_n) = \sum_{\text{cuts } H} \varepsilon_H(T_H, s_H). \tag{3.3.15}
\]

Definition 3.3.16. For any cyclic path \([a_1 \cdots a_n]\), define the element \( \eta_{or}([a_1 \cdots a_n]) \in T_{or}(\Phi_{or}) \) as follows:

\[
\eta_{or}([a_1 \cdots a_n]) = \sum_{\text{cuts } H} (T_{H,or}, s_{H,or}). \tag{3.3.17}
\]

Theorem 3.3.18. The maps \( \eta_{or} \) and \( \eta_{rt} \) extend linearly to an injective pre-Lie coalgebra homomorphism \((P, \delta_{p,rt}) \rightarrow T_{rt}(\Phi_{rt})\) and an injective Lie coalgebra homomorphism \((L, \delta_{or}) \rightarrow T_{or}(\Phi_{or})\), respectively. Furthermore, \( \eta_{rt} \) extends multiplicatively (and linearly) to a Hopf algebra monomorphism \( \operatorname{Sym} P \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym} T_{rt}(\Phi_{rt}) \).

This theorem will be proved in Section 4.2.

M. Livernet pointed out to us that, by [CL01], the pre-Lie coalgebra of decorated trees \( T_{rt}(\Phi) \) is a cofree pre-Lie coalgebra, for any \( \Phi \). This explains why morphisms such as the above must always exist (although the one we construct is particularly natural).

3.4 Factorization of \( \eta \) through chord algebras It turns out that one can understand the \( \eta \) homomorphisms (and their injectivity) through a factorization as follows:

\[
(L, \delta_{or}) \xrightarrow{S_{or}} Ch_{or} \xrightarrow{D_{or}} T_{or}(\Phi_{or}), \tag{3.4.1}
\]

\[
(P, \delta_{p,rt}) \xrightarrow{S_{rt}} Ch_{rt} \xrightarrow{D_{rt}} T_{rt}(\Phi_{rt}), \tag{3.4.2}
\]

where \( Ch_{or} \) and \( Ch_{rt} \), called chord algebras, are spanned by chord diagrams on necklaces or paths, and the first maps in (3.4.1), (3.4.2) take a necklace (resp., path) to the sum of all possible chord diagrams on that necklace or path. We will equip \( Ch_{rt} \) and \( Ch_{or} \) with the appropriate pre-Lie and Lie coalgebra structures, and \( \operatorname{Sym} Ch_{rt} \) with the appropriate Hopf algebra structure, so that one obtains the following sequence of Hopf algebra homomorphisms:

\[
\operatorname{Sym} P \xrightarrow{S_{rt}} \operatorname{Sym} Ch_{rt} \xrightarrow{D_{rt}} \operatorname{Sym} T_{rt}(\Phi_{rt}). \tag{3.4.3}
\]

We also briefly explain how this construction can also be done in the context of [Tur05], yielding the space of geometric chord diagrams.

Definition 3.4.4. A necklace chord diagram is a necklace (=cyclic monomial) \([a_1 \cdots a_n]\) \( \in L \), for \( a_i \in \mathcal{O} \), together with a cut \( H \) of \([a_1 \cdots a_n]\). Denote the necklace chord diagram by \(([a_1 \cdots a_n], H)\).
Theorem 3.4.14. The algebra \((Ch_{rt}, \delta_{prt})\) is a pre-Lie coalgebra and \((Ch_{or}, \delta_{or})\) is a Lie coalgebra, and \(\text{Sym} \ Ch_{rt}\) is a Hopf algebra given by (3.4.13), (2.1.5) (with counit given by \(\epsilon(X) = 0\) for any chord diagram \(X\)). Then, the diagrams (3.4.11), (3.4.12), and (3.4.13) are homomorphisms, where \(S_*\) takes a path or necklace to the sum over all chord diagrams over that path or necklace, and \(D_*\) takes a chord diagram with cut \(H\) to \(\epsilon_H\) times its dual tree, assigning data as in Section 3.3.3.

This theorem will be proved in Section 4.2.
### 3.4.1 Geometric chord diagrams
We briefly indicate the geometric counterpart of Theorem 3.4.14 (since algebras of chord diagrams were not discussed in [Tur05]). We consider geometric chord diagrams with a single loop: this means ([AMR96]) a smooth map of a loop with chords into a surface, such that the map is constant on the chords. Then, we let \( Ch_{rt} \) be the algebra which, as a free \( k \)-module, has basis the isotopy classes of geometric chord diagrams with a single loop with basepoint (the isotopies must be through such geometric chord diagrams), and \( Ch_{or} \) is, as a \( k \)-module, the space of isotopy classes of geometric chord diagrams with a single loop without basepoint. One may then form the sequences of homomorphisms (3.4.1), (3.4.2), and (3.4.3): the map \( \Phi \) takes an isotopy class of loops to the sum of all isotopy classes of chord diagrams whose underlying isotopy class of loops is the original class, and the map \( D \) takes a geometric chord diagram to the dual tree, which then has all the structure required of \( \Phi_{or}, \Phi_{rt} \).

### 3.5 Relationship between the Hopf algebras and pre-Lie algebras
We note that the Hopf algebras considered here all have the following special form: as an algebra, they are \( \text{Sym} V \) for some vector space \( V \), and the comultiplication \( \Delta \) and counit \( \epsilon \) have the form

\[
\Delta(v) = v \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes v + \Delta'(v), \quad \Delta'(v) \in (\text{Sym}^{\geq 1} V) \otimes V, \quad \epsilon(v) = 0, \quad \forall v \in V. \quad (3.5.1)
\]

We claim that such Hopf algebras are in one-to-one correspondence with pre-Lie comultiplications on the vector space \( V \), as follows (this was essentially observed in [GO05] in the dual setting, but not quite formulated the same way):

**Proposition 3.5.2.** Let \( V \) be any \( \mathbb{Z}_+ \)-graded vector space.

(i) For any Hopf algebra on \( \text{Sym} V \) satisfying (3.5.1), the map \( \rho : V \to V \otimes V \) given by the composition of \( \Delta' \) with the projection to \( V \otimes V \), is a pre-Lie comultiplication.

(ii) Conversely, given any pre-Lie comultiplication \( \rho : V \to V \otimes V \) which preserves the total grading (induced by the grading on \( V \)), there exists a unique graded comultiplication on \( \text{Sym} V \) of the form (3.5.1), which yields \( \rho \) as in part (i).

(iii) Moreover, we describe an inductive procedure for computing the \( \Delta \) guaranteed in part (ii).

**Proof.** In all parts, let subscripts \( n \) denote the standard (not total) grading on \( \text{Sym} V \), so that \( (\text{Sym} V)_n = \text{Sym}^n V \), extended to \( (\text{Sym} V)^{\otimes 2} \) and \( (\text{Sym} V)^{\otimes 3} \) by

\[
(\text{Sym} V)^{\otimes 2}_n = \bigoplus_{i+j=n} \text{Sym}^i V \otimes \text{Sym}^j V, \quad (\text{Sym} V)^{\otimes 3}_n = \bigoplus_{i+j+k=n} \text{Sym}^i V \otimes \text{Sym}^j V \otimes \text{Sym}^k V. \quad (3.5.3)
\]

(i) Let us formally write

\[
\Delta = \Delta_0 + \Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \ldots, \quad (3.5.4)
\]

where \( \Delta_n : V \to \text{Sym}^n V \otimes V \) for \( n \geq 1 \) and \( \Delta_0(v) = 1 \otimes v + v \otimes 1 \) for any \( v \in V \) (and \( \Delta_n(1) = \delta_{n,0} \cdot (1 \otimes 1) \)); for any \( v \in V \), only finitely many of the \( \Delta_n(v) \) can be nonzero. Also, let \( \Delta'_0(X) := \Delta_0(X) - (1 \otimes X + X \otimes 1) \) (thus, \( \Delta'_0(v_1v_2) = v_1 \otimes v_2 + v_2 \otimes v_1 \) for any \( v_1, v_2 \in V \)). Then, modulo \( \bigoplus_{m \geq 4} (\text{Sym} V)^{\otimes 3}_m \), one has

\[
0 = (\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta(v) - (1 \otimes \Delta)\Delta(v) = ((\Delta_1 \otimes 1)\Delta_1(v) - (1 \otimes \Delta_1)\Delta_1(v)) + (\Delta'_0 \otimes 1)\Delta_2(v). \quad (3.5.5)
\]
This equation says that, setting $\rho := \Delta_1$, $\rho$ is pre-Lie (since $(\Delta_0 \otimes 1)\Delta_2(v)$ is symmetric in the first and second components).

(ii,iii) Suppose we are given $\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_n$ for $n \geq 1$ such that $\Delta_{\leq n} := \Delta_0 + \Delta_1 + \cdots + \Delta_n$, extended multiplicatively to $\text{Sym} V$, is coassociative modulo $\bigoplus_{m \geq n+2}(\text{Sym} V)^{\otimes 3}_m$. We would like to find $\Delta_{n+1}$ such that $\Delta_{\leq n} + \Delta_{n+1}$ is coassociative modulo $\bigoplus_{m \geq n+3}(\text{Sym} V)^{\otimes 3}_m$. This is equivalent to

$$(\Delta_0 \otimes 1)\Delta_{n+1}(v) = \sum_{i+j=n+1, i,j>0} (1 \otimes \Delta_i - \Delta_i \otimes 1)\Delta_j(v). \quad (3.5.6)$$

In particular, $\Delta_{n+1}$ exists iff the first two components live in $\Delta'_0(\text{Sym} V)$, and in this case $\Delta_{n+1}$ is unique, and may be computed algorithmically as indicated. Existence follows from the dual version of the construction of [GO05].

We note that we used the grading above only to guarantee finiteness of the sum $\Delta_0 + \Delta_1 + \cdots$ on any vector $v \in V$: without assuming gradedness, the above proposition still holds if we work in the completed tensor product $\text{Sym} V \otimes \text{Sym} V$ with respect to the grading $(\text{Sym} V)^{\otimes 2}$. Alternatively, one could assume that iterated applications of $\rho$ on any $v \in V$ eventually yield zero.

As a result of the proposition, proving the main results of this paper (or [Tur05]) on the pre-Lie level is in fact equivalent to proving them on the Hopf algebra level, as one can translate between the two using the above proposition. This explains why one must arrive at (3.2.9) (resp., the formulas from [Tur05], §8.3) for coproduct given the choice of pre-Lie comultiplication.

We see that the fact that the pre-Lie structure fails to exist in the oriented case is the same as the fact that the renormalization Hopf algebra does not exist without using rooted trees.

### 3.5.1 Noncommutative version

In [Tur05], §8.5, a “noncommutative” version of the Hopf algebras was defined, using the tensor algebras over $V$ instead of the symmetric algebras. In this version, $\Delta_0$ is the usual “shuffle” coproduct on $T(V)$ generated multiplicatively by $\Delta_0(v) = 1 \otimes v + v \otimes 1$ for $v \in V$. In this case, Proposition 3.5.2 is no longer true (for instance, $\Delta'_0(vw-wv) = 0$ for any $v, w \in V$, so that one would have a choice of $\Delta_2$).

However, in the case of paths, as in Turaev’s case of loops, one has a canonical choice of $\Delta$. Namely, in $T(P)$, we can define the coproduct by (3.2.8), (3.2.9) except replacing $l_H$ (3.2.8) by an ordered tensor product, choosing the left-to-right order of components induced by the original ordering on the path. As in [Tur05], it is easy to check that this gives a Hopf algebra, and that a suitable version of the homomorphism $\eta$ maps this Hopf algebra to Foissy’s noncommutative algebra of labeled rooted trees [Foi02] (the rooted trees must have labeled edges, or equivalently, labeled vertices, in order to capture the left-to-right order that we obtain in paths). So more “noncommutative” or “ordering” information is included in paths or loops than in rooted trees without labels.

On the other hand, one can obtain a generalization of Proposition 3.5.2 if one imposes the additional condition that $\Delta_n(V) \subset \text{Sym}^n V \otimes V \subset T^n(V) \otimes V$. In this case, there is not really anything new to check since coassociativity is proved in $\text{Sym} (V) \subset T(V)$ and extends to all of $T(V)$ using the bialgebra condition (since we define $\Delta(fg) := \Delta(f)\Delta(g)$). This might be the dual version of the noncommutative structure hinted at in Remark 2.14 of [GO05]. However, this is not the way to construct the noncommutative Hopf algebras described above, since it uses extra structure (the ordering in paths, or in the case of trees, the labeling on edges and/or vertices), and does not map $V$ to $(\text{Sym} V \otimes V) \oplus (1 \otimes V)$.
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4 Postponed proofs

4.1 Proof of Proposition 3.2.10 We only need to check coassociativity. Then, the bialgebra property essentially follows from the definition. Coassociativity is easily verified by an explicit formula as follows:

Definition 4.1.1. For any path \( X = a_1 \cdots a_n \in P \), any cut \( H \) of \( X \), and any vertex \( v \) of \( L_X \) (so \( v \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2} \) and \( \frac{1}{2} \leq v \leq n + \frac{1}{2} \)), define the order \( \text{ord}(H, v) \) to be the minimum number of chords (the edges connecting \( i_e \) and \( j_e \) if \( H = \{(i_e, j_e)\}_{1 \leq e \leq m} \)) of the chord diagram \( C_{X,H} \) that must be crossed by any path from \( v \) to the root which intersects the chord diagram \( C_{X,H} \) only transversely. If \( v \) is the root, we define \( C_{H,v} := 0 \).

Definition 4.1.2. For any path \( X \) and cut \( H \), let the order of \( H \), \( \text{ord}(H) \), be defined to be the maximum of all \( \text{ord}(H, v) \) for vertices \( v \) of \( L_X \).

We note that a cut is simple iff its order is one.

Definition 4.1.3. For two disjoint cuts \( H_1, H_2 \) of a path \( X = a_1 \cdots a_n \), we say that \( H_1 \prec H_2 \) if, from all points, there is a path, intersecting \( H_1 \) and \( H_2 \) transversally, to the root that does not intersect chords from \( H_1 \) after those from \( H_2 \). In other words, the chords from \( H_2 \) are not separated from the root by any chords from \( H_1 \).

In particular, \( \emptyset \prec H \) and \( H \prec \emptyset \). Note that \( \prec \) satisfies the transitivity property.

We now may give the formula

\[
(\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta(X) = \Delta(X) \otimes 1 + \sum_{1 \leq \text{ord}(H) \leq 2} \varepsilon_H \sum_{\text{simple cuts } H_1,H_2 \text{ such that } H = H_1 \sqcup H_2, H_1 \prec H_2} l_{H_1} \otimes l_{H_2},
\]

(4.1.4)

where \( l_{H_2},l_{H_1} \) is the product of all paths along \( L_X \) cut from the chord diagram for \( H \) which lie between a chord from \( H_1 \) and a chord from \( H_2 \). This implies the result.

4.2 Proof of Theorems 3.3.18, 3.4.14 We prove Theorem 3.4.14 as well as injectivity of the composition \( D_s \circ S_s \), which implies Theorem 3.3.18.

First, we show that \( S_s \) is a homomorphism of pre-Lie or Lie coalgebras. For this, we note that a cut \( H \) together with a specified chord \( c \in H \) is the same information as a specified chord \( c \) together with two cuts \( H_1,H_2 \), one on each side of \( c \), under the correspondence \( H = H_1 \sqcup H_2 \sqcup \{c\} \). The datum \( (H, c) \) corresponds to a summand in the expression for \( \delta \circ S_s \) of a given (cyclic) path, while the datum \( (c, H_1, H_2) \) corresponds to a summand in the expression for \( (S_s \otimes S_s) \circ \delta_s \). It is then easy to see that the two summands are identical.

Next, we show that \( S_s \) extends to a homomorphism of Hopf algebras (3.3.3). We only need to check that \( S_s \) sends the coproduct on \( \text{Sym} \ P \) to the coproduct on \( \text{Sym} \ Ch_{rt} \). For this, we extend the observation of the previous paragraph: the datum \( (H,H_1) \) of a cut \( H \) and a simple subcut \( H_1 \) (corresponding to a summand of \( \Delta \circ S_s(X) \)) yields the same information as the datum \( (H_1,\{H'_c\}_{c \in H_1},H'_0) \), where \( H_1 \) is a simple cut, and \( \{H'_c,H'_0\}_{c \in H_1} \) is a collection of cuts on the connected components \( \{X_{H_1,c}\}_{c \in H_1} \sqcup \{X_{H_1,0}\} \) which result from cutting \( X \) along \( H_1 \) (corresponding to a summand of \( (S_s \otimes S_s) \circ \Delta(X) \)). This correspondence is given by \( H = H_1 \sqcup \bigcup_{c \in H_1} H'_c \sqcup H'_0 \). Corresponding data give identical summands of \( \Delta \circ S_s(X) \) and \( (S_s \otimes S_s) \circ \Delta \), given by

\[
\varepsilon_{H_1} l_{H_1}(X)_{(H'_c)_{c \in H_1}} \otimes r_{H_1}(X)_{H'_0},
\]

(4.2.1)
where the subscripts of $H_0', H_1'$ indicate which chord diagrams to attach to the corresponding paths in the above monomial of paths.

Next, we show that $D_*$ is a homomorphism. First we tackle the pre-Lie, Lie cases. For any chord diagram $C_{X,H}$, we need to show that $(D_* \otimes D_*) \delta_*(C_{X,H}) = \delta_* \circ D_*(C_{X,H})$. First, note that a choice of chord of a chord diagram is the same as a choice of edge of the dual tree. It is easy to see that the same tree-structure is obtained by either cutting along this chord and then applying $D_*$ (dualizing), or applying $D_*$ first and then removing the corresponding edge. It remains to show that, for any chord $c \in H$, we have

$$\varepsilon_{H \setminus c} \varepsilon_c = \varepsilon_H,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.2.2)

which follows from the definition (and was first noticed in \text{[Tur05]}).

In the Hopf algebra setting (3.4.2), showing $D_{rt}$ is a homomorphism amounts to showing, for any chord diagram $C_{X,H}$, that $(D_{rt} \otimes D_{rt}) \Delta(C_{X,H}) = \Delta(D_{rt}(C_{X,H}))$. First, we note that a simple subcut of a chord diagram is the same as a simple cut of the dual tree. As before, it remains to show that the signs work out correctly, that is, if $H_1$ is a simple subcut of $H$,

$$\varepsilon_{H_1 \varepsilon_{H \setminus H_1}} = \varepsilon_H.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.2.3)

This identity, noticed in \text{[Tur05]}, is obvious from the definition.

Finally, we show that $D_* \circ S_*$ is injective. This follows because, for any (cyclic) path $X$, the trivial chord diagram yields a summand of $D_* \circ S_*(X)$ which is the trivial tree (a point) whose rooted or oriented tree-structure at that point includes $X$ itself. All other summands are trees with $\geq 1$ edges. So if we compose $D_* \circ S_*$ with the projection to the space spanned by the trivial tree with arbitrary structure, we easily obtain the (cyclic) path $X$.

Note that, if the tree-structure is forgotten and we take the map to the \text{[CK98]} algebra of trees itself, the composition is \textbf{not} injective; e.g., any path without any pair of edges of the form $e, e^*$ for $e \in Q$ must map to the trivial tree.

\section*{References}

[AMR96] Jorgen Ellegaard Andersen, Josef Mattes, and Nicolai Reshetikhin, \textit{The poisson structure on the moduli space of flat connections and chord diagrams}, Topology \textbf{35} (1996), no. 4, 1069–1083.

[BLB02] Raf Bocklandt and Lieven Le Bruyn, \textit{Necklace Lie algebras and noncommutative symplectic geometry}, Math. Z. \textbf{240} (2002), no. 1, 141–167.

[CK98] Alain Connes and Dirk Kreimer, \textit{Hopf algebras, renormalization, and noncommutative geometry}, Comm. Math. Phys. \textbf{199} (1998), no. 1, 203–242, arXiv:hep-th/9808042.

[CL01] Frédéric Chapoton and Muriel Livernet, \textit{Pre-Lie algebras and the rooted trees operad}, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2001), no. 8, 395–408.

[Foi02] L. Foissy, \textit{Les algèbres de Hopf des arbres enracinés décorés. I.}, Bull. Sci. Math. \textbf{126} (2002), no. 4, 249–288.

[Ger63] Murray Gerstenhaber, \textit{The cohomology structure of an associative ring}, Ann. of Math. (2) \textbf{78} (1963), 267–288.

[Gin01] Victor Ginzburg, \textit{Non-commutative symplectic geometry, quiver varieties, and operads}, Math. Res. Lett. \textbf{8} (2001), no. 3, 377–400.

[GO05] Daniel Guin and Jean-Michel Oudom, \textit{Sur l’algèbre enveloppante d’une algèbre pré-Lie}, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris \textbf{340} (2005), no. 5, 331–336, arXiv:math.QA/0404457.

[Gol84] William M. Goldman, \textit{The symplectic nature of fundamental groups of surfaces}, Adv. in Math. \textbf{54} (1984), no. 2, 200–225.
[Kre98] Dirk Kreimer, *On the Hopf algebra structure of perturbative quantum field theories*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998), no. 2, 303–334, arXiv:q-alg/9707029.

[Sch05] Travis Schedler, *A Hopf algebra quantizing a necklace Lie algebra canonically associated to a quiver*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2005), no. 12, 725–760, IMRN/14217; arXiv:math.QA/0406200.

[Sch07] ———, *Hochschild homology of preprojective algebras over the integers*, arXiv:0704.3278, 2007.

[Tur91] Vladimir G. Turaev, *Skein quantization of Poisson algebras of loops on surfaces*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 24 (1991), no. 6, 635–704.

[Tur05] Vladimir Turaev, *Loops on surfaces, Feynman diagrams, and trees*, J. Geom. Phys. 53 (2005), no. 4, 461–482, arXiv:hep-th/0403266.

[Vin63] E. B. Vinberg, *The theory of convex homogeneous cones*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 12 (1963), 340–403, translated from Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obshch. 12 (1963), 303–358.

**W.L.G.**: Department of Mathematics, University of California, Riverside CA 92521, USA;

wlgan@math.ucr.edu

**T.S.**: Department of Mathematics, University of Chicago, 5734 S. University Ave, Chicago IL 60637, USA;

trasched@math.uchicago.edu