The Neuronal Calcium Sensor Protein Acrocalcin: A Potential Target of Calmodulin Regulation during Development in the Coral *Acropora millepora*
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**Abstract**

To understand the calcium-mediated signalling pathways underlying settlement and metamorphosis in the Scleractiniun coral *Acropora millepora*, a predicted protein set derived from larval cDNAs was scanned for the presence of EF-hand domains (Pfam Id: PF00036). This approach led to the identification of a canonical calmodulin (AmCaM) protein and an uncharacterised member of the Neuronal Calcium Sensor (NCS) family of proteins known here as Acrocalcin (AmAC). While AmCaM transcripts were present throughout development, AmAC transcripts were not detected prior to gastrulation, after which relatively constant mRNA levels were detected until metamorphosis and settlement. The AmAC protein contains an internal CaM-binding site and was shown to interact *in vitro* with AmCaM. These results are consistent with the idea that AmAC is a target of AmCaM *in vivo*, suggesting that this interaction may regulate calcium-dependent processes during the development of *Acropora millepora*.
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**Introduction**

Scleractinian corals play important ecological roles, as they are responsible for the underlying framework of coral reefs, one of the most productive ecosystems on earth [1,2]. However, the molecular mechanisms underlying many aspects of their biology, including symbiosis, calcification and regeneration, are still poorly understood. Calcium metabolism and homeostasis are of particular interest in corals in the context of calcification. Recent microarray studies [3,4,5,6] suggest that calcium-dependent signalling pathways may regulate metamorphosis, symbiosis and skeleton deposition in scleractinian corals. Consistent with this, clear counterparts of many of the molecules known to play key roles in calcium signalling and homeostasis in vertebrates are present in *Acropora* [7,8]. However, surprisingly little is known about either calcium metabolism or calcium-dependent signalling pathways in corals.

Eukaryotes use changes in intracellular calcium concentration to regulate a diverse variety of cellular signalling pathways [9,10]. Calcium signalling is regulated by calcium itself via calcium-modulating proteins, which are involved in all aspects of cell function [11]. The EF-hand family is the most studied group of calcium-binding proteins able to implement the calcium signal or to buffer its cytosolic concentration [9,12]. Despite sequence and structural similarity, the responses of these “calcium sensors” to binding of calcium are diverse [13]. Upon calcium binding, this group of molecules typically undergoes topological changes within the EF-hand domain, a helix-loop-helix motif [14], enabling interaction with specific target proteins initiating a signalling cascade that will lead to specific cellular responses [13].

Calmodulin (CaM) is considered the most versatile “calcium sensor” due to its role regulating essential cellular processes such as cell cycle and calcium homeostasis across eukaryotes [15,16]. CaM sequences are known for several cnidarians [17,18,19,20] including *Hydra magnipapillata*, *Nematostella vectensis* and *Acropora* species [18] and CaM expression is up-regulated during metamorphosis in the coral *Montastraea faveolata* [5]. Although CaM has been extensively investigated in the context of regulation of many calcium dependent processes, little is known about its interactions in early diverging metazoans and, as a key regulator of calcium-dependent processes, the identification of CaM targets may shed some light on the control of calcium carbonate deposition in corals as well as other processes such as metamorphosis and symbiont interactions. Furthermore, because Scleractinia represent an early diverging animal phylum, unravelling the roles of calcium-dependent process in corals may contribute to understanding the broader evolutionary history of calcium-dependent cellular pathways.

A number of transcripts encoding putative calcium sensor proteins were identified in the transcriptome of *Acropora millepora* [21], amongst which an uncharacterized NCS protein known here as Acrocalcin (AmAC) emerged as a putative AmCaM target as it contains a predicted CaM-binding site. In this study, we characterized AmCaM and AmAC expression profiles as well as the ability of the AmCaM and AmAC proteins to interact *in vitro*. 
This interaction may be significant during settlement and metamorphosis.

**Materials and Methods**

**Collection of Coral Life History Stage**

Early coral life history stages were collected on Magnetic Island (Queensland, Australia, GBRMPA Marine Park Permit G10/33222.1) and maintained in fresh filtered seawater (1 µm) prior to either snap freezing in liquid nitrogen (for RNA extraction) or fixation in 4% formaldehyde (for in-situ hybridisation; [22]).

**RNA Extraction and Virtual Northern Blotting**

Total RNA was extracted from the following key stages of *Acropora millepora* development: 1) the pre-gastrulation “prawnchip” (PC) stage, 2) late gastrula “sphere” (S), 3) early planula “pear” (Pea), 4) planula larva (Pla) and 5) settled juvenile polyps (Post). RNA extractions were performed using Ambion RNaseq™ RNA isolation Briefly, 1 µg samples of total RNA were used from each sample to synthesise first strand cDNA, 300 ng aliquots of which were subjected to limited PCR amplification using the SMART PCR cDNA synthesis kit as described previously [23]. To generate virtual northern blots, 2 µl aliquots of the resulting double-stranded (stage specific) cDNA samples were loaded into independent wells on agarose gels and transferred to nylon membranes (Hybond-N+, Amersham) by capillary blot transfer and hybridised as described [24]. Because the amount of starting material (RNA) is uniform and subject to PCR within the range where amplification is directly proportional to the number of cycles performed, hybridisation signals detected after virtual northern analysis are directly comparable and reflect levels of transcript present at each developmental stage.

**Generation of Radioactive Probes**

Radioactive probes were prepared by random oligonucleotide-primed synthesis (oligolabelling) using α-32P dATP (Geneworks). Linear DNA (25 ng) was radioactively labelled using the Megaprime oligolabelling kit (Amersham Biosciences). Membranes were exposed to Phosphorimag screens (Molecular Dynamics) for 5 h.

**Expression of Recombinant Proteins**

Complete coding sequences of AmCaM and AmAC were cloned into pGEX-6P (GE-Healthcare) or pProEX HTb (Invitrogen) respectively, allowing expression in E. coli BL21 of fusion proteins carrying either GST- (pGEX-6P) or 6×His (pProEX) tags at their N-termini. To induce expression of the fusion proteins, IPTG was added to cultures of optical density 0.5–0.8 at 600 nm for 15 min at 4°C. Pellets were suspended in 10 ml aliquots of ice cold PBS and lysed by sonication. Cell debris was pelleted by centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 5 min and supernatants subjected to affinity chromatography on the appropriate ligand. Using the manufacturers’ recommended protocols.

**Affinity Purification of Recombinant Proteins**

To 0.25 ml of either equilibrated 50% Glutathione Sepharose 4B suspension beads (Pharmacia Biotech) or Ni-NTA resin (Qiagen) recovered supernatants were added and purifications were carried out according to the manufacturers’ protocols. Eluted samples were subjected to standard protein electrophoresis on a 10% acrylamide gel according to [24]. Gels were stained with Coomassie brilliant blue and the sizes of recombinant proteins estimated by comparison with standard commercial protein standards.

**Protein Interaction Experiments Using Affinity Chromatography**

Aliquots (0.5 ml) of sonicated soluble fractions of GST-AmCaM and His-AmAC preparations were incubated with 0.25 ml of Ni-NTA resin for one hour at 4°C with shaking in the presence of either 1 mM CaCl2 or 5 mM EGTA. Mixtures were then loaded onto a protein purification column and treated with wash and elution buffers containing either 1 mM CaCl2 or 5 mM EGTA. Eluted and flow through samples were subjected to standard protein electrophoresis to test for co-localization of GST-AmCaM and His-AmAC proteins within the same fraction.

**Protein Interaction Experiments Using Immunoprecipitation**

Aliquots (0.5 ml) of sonicated soluble fractions of GST-AmCaM and His-AmAC preparations were mixed and incubated at 4°C for 1 h with shaking in the presence of either 1 mM CaCl2 or 5 mM EGTA. After this time, an aliquot (50 µl) of agarose-conjugated mouse antibody to human calmodulin, raised against amino acids 1–149 of the full length human Calmodulin I (CalM-I; Santa Cruz sc-5537 AC) in a 500 µg/ml stock solution, was added and incubation continued for another 1 h at 4°C with shaking, prior to collection of immunoprecipitates by centrifugation and washing of the pellets (X3) with 0.5 ml aliquots of PBS containing either 1 mM CaCl2 or 5 mM EGTA. The resulting immunoprecipitates and supernatants were analysed by protein electrophoresis followed by western blotting [24].

**In situ Hybridization**

The template for riboprobe production was generated from mixed stage cDNA by PCR using the following primer pair: forward (5’-CCACGAGTGGCAGCTTAC0.5) and reverse (5’-TGAAATCTAGCTCACGGAAAA) and the product cloned into pGEM-T (Promega). Antisense and control sense strand RNA-probes were generated, and in situ hybridization performed as previously described [22], with the exception that clearing and photography were carried out as described by [25].

**Results**

**Identification and Characterization of EF-hand Proteins**

A predicted protein set derived from early stage *A. millepora* cDNA libraries was scanned for the presence of EF-hand domains (Pfam Id: PF00036). Several cDNAs encoding putative calcium sensor proteins were identified; two of these clearly corresponded to widely distributed proteins - a canonical calmodulin (CaM) protein, designated here as AmCaM and an uncharacterised member of the Neuronal Calcium Sensor (NGS) family of proteins known here as Acrocalcin (AmAC).

AmCaM encodes an acidic protein of 149 AA with predicted molecular weight (MW) of ~17 kDa and isoelectric point (pI) of 4.13. The AmCaM protein (corresponding to Cluster 043479; Fig. 1A) has a high level of similarity with other CaM molecules from other species, e.g. 100% identity to sequences from *Acropora muricata* and *A. digitifera* (ACAS1013.1, and aug_v2a.01102.t1 respectively), approximately 99% identity with *Nematothisia XP_001638381.1 and 97% identity to the human CaM (NP_001734.1). Interestingly, we were unable to identify a canonical calmodulin in the genome of the sponge.
Amphimedon, although clear orthologs were present in two other sponges, Suberites domuncula and Halicondria okadi, the former of which is included in the alignment shown as Fig. 1A. The canonical CaM protein is remarkably similar across the eukaryotes, the yeast (Schizosaccharomyces) sequence having 73% identity with the coral sequence. AmCaM is typical in terms of structure; the protein contains four EF-hand motifs (each of ~13 AA), each of which fulfills the criteria for Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding activity.

The coral NCS protein AmAC (corresponding to Cluster 043479) (Fig. 1B) encodes a protein of 190AA with a predicted MW of ~22 kDa and predicted pI of 5.03. BlastP significance and identity values against the canonical NCS-B proteins Neurocalcin from Drosophila (NP_788543.1, E = 3e\(^{-116}\) and 95% identity) and Hippocalcin from the mosquito Aedes aegypti (XP_001662431.1, E = 6e\(^{-116}\) and 84% identity) identify the AmAC protein as an uncharacterised NCS-B class member [26]. Like some other NCS-B proteins, AmAC contains three EF-hand motifs and an N-terminal myristoylation site (MGK). This modification allows the association of NCS proteins with targets that are usually membrane associated or directs them to specific subcellular compartments in a calcium dependent manner [10,26].

After Gastrulation AmCaM and AmAC Genes are Co-expressed during Coral Development

Northern blotting revealed that the developmental profiles of the transcripts encoding the two Acropora EF-hand proteins differed. Whilst AmCaM transcripts (~1500 bp) were present at relatively uniform levels across each of the developmental stages examined (prawn chip to post settlement; Fig. 2A), the (~2500 bp) AmAC transcript was first detected at late gastrulation and was present higher levels from the pear stage through to post settlement (Fig. 2B). In situ hybridization with AmAc probes revealed a faint, salt-and-pepper like pattern of expression in the gastrula (donut) (Fig. 2C: b), expression becoming uniform but restricted to the endoderm from the early planula (sphere) stage through to post-settlement (Fig. 2G, c–g). No staining was detected prior to gastrulation (Fig. 2C, a) or when using the sense probe (Fig. 2C: b', c' and e'). In situ hybridization with various probes implied that AmCaM was expressed ubiquitously throughout development (data not shown).

The major developmental stages of embryonic development until early settlement of Acropora together with an approximate timeline are summarized in [27]. The embryo at “prawn chip” stage (Figure 2C-a) consists of an irregularly shaped cellular bilayer. During the next stage “donut” (Figure 2C-b and –b'), the
morphogenetic movements of gastrulation result in the formation of the two germ layers, ectoderm and endoderm with a cell-free mesoglea between. At about 28–36 hrs the embryo becomes spherical (Figure 2C-c and -c’9) with a closing blastopore marking the end point of gastrulation and the embryonic life. At the start of larval life, the larva becomes “pear”-shaped (Figure 2C-d), cilia develop and an oral pore appears at the posterior end, as defined by the direction of swimming. In the later larval stages the planula elongates to a “spindle” (Figure 2C-e and e’9) with numerous differentiated cell types [22,27,28]. On receipt of appropriate settlement cues, e.g. crustose coralline algae [29], the coral planula attaches to the substrate by the aboral end, contracts along the oral-aboral axis forming a flattened disc that becomes radially subdivided by mesenteries during the process of permanent settlement (Figure 2C-g) and metamorphosis (Figure 2C-f) into a juvenile coral polyp [6,23,30]. Associated with metamorphosis from planula to polyp and the start of calcification of the complex species-specific aragonite exoskeleton is dramatic reorganisation of certain tissues [30,31].

Note that the calmodulin studied here (AmCaM) is clearly distinct from the A61 calmodulin previously reported [23]; the proteins encoded by these loci have only 48% identity. AmCaM and A61 have very different temporal expression patterns, the former being ubiquitously expressed in all stages, whereas in the latter expression was restricted to larval stages (see Fig. 2 in [23]). Given the complexity of early coral development, the requirement for multiple EF-hand proteins with overlapping patterns of expression is consistent with complex roles for calcium in regulating a wide range of processes [10,16,32].

AmCaM and AmAC Proteins Interact in vitro

Use of the Calmodulin Target Database [33] allowed the identification of a potential CaM-binding site (13AA) between motifs EFl and EFII in the AmAC protein (Fig. 1B), suggesting
that the AmAC and AmCaM proteins might interact in vivo. The AmCaM CaM binding motif (LSVTSRGSLEKKL) seems to be a novel structure combining characteristics of canonical IQ and 1-9-14 motifs [34]. Although this site lacks some canonical IQ residues, the terminal residues (KKL) are characteristic of IQ motifs from neurite growth related proteins and the internal RG sequence is commonly associated with the IQ motifs of myosin molecules [34]. Furthermore, the presence of flanking and internal bulky hydrophobic residues such as leucines (L) resembles the structure of 1-9-14 motifs [33] with the difference that the anchoring residues of the calmodulin binding site are in position 1-9-13.

Virtual northern blotting experiments (Fig. 2A, B) showed that AmCaM and AmAC are both expressed during late larval development, when metamorphosis and settlement occur and calcification is initiated. As CaM transcript levels are relatively constant through development, the interaction may be regulated by the availability of AmAC [12,35]. To test the ability of AmCaM to interact with AmAC, affinity chromatography and immunoprecipitation experiments were conducted in the presence of available calcium (1 mM CaCl2) or in its absence (5 mM EGTA). For this purpose, recombinant GST-AmCaM (~42 KDa) and His-AmAC (~24 KDa) proteins were produced and purified (Fig. 3A).

Affinity chromatography confirmed the interaction of the AmCaM and AmAC proteins predicted on the basis of sequence analysis. After incubating the fusion-proteins together in the presence or absence of calcium, the resulting protein complexes were subjected to chromatography using the His-tag affinity ligand Ni-NTA (Fig. 3C). As can be seen in the right panel of Fig. 3C, in the presence of Ca2+, GST-AmCaM was retained on the Ni-NTA affinity column via its interaction with AmAC (which carries the poly(His) affinity tag), as the two proteins are eluted together in E1 and E2. In the absence of Ca2+ (left panel of Fig. 3C), neither protein was retained by the column, because the interaction of the affinity (poly-His) tag with the column is prevented in the presence of EGTA.

The observed discrepancies in size of the fusion-proteins (GST-AmCaM and His-AmAC) between the 5 mM EGTA and the 1 mM CaCl2 treatments (Fig. 3C), is explained by topological changes experienced in Ca2+-binding molecules under denaturing gel electrophoresis. In the presence of calcium, CaM molecules are known to migrate faster on the SDS gels, thus having smaller apparent molecular weights than in the absence of calcium [36,37] (Fig. 3C, black arrow heads). The same phenomenon was observed during denaturing gel electrophoresis of the calcium-binding protein AmAC (Fig. 3C, red arrow heads).

To test whether the AmAC/AmCaM interaction occurs in the absence of Ca2+, the recombinant proteins were incubated together in medium containing 1 mM CaCl2 or 5 mM EGTA and then subjected to immunoprecipitation using anti-human calmodulin. The anti-human CaM-I antibody detected specifically the Acropora CaM (Fig. 3B). As can be seen in Fig. 3D, AmCaM was co-precipitated with AmCaM in both the presence and absence of Ca2+, implying that the AmAC/AmCaM interaction occurs in a calcium-independent manner in vitro.

**Discussion**

In eukaryotes, regulatory EF-hand proteins are the primary mediators of calcium signalling pathways [38], and amongst these, the Neuronal Calcium Sensor (NCS) protein family appear to have a wide variety of roles [39,40]. Five classes (A-E) of NCS protein are recognized based on sequence similarity [10,39].

While members of the NCS-A class, including NCS-1 and frequenin [41,42], are widely distributed across eukaryotes, the coral NCS protein reported here clearly belongs to the NCS-B class, members of which were previously known only from bilaterians [38,43]. Searching the database revealed the presence of two uncharacterised genes encoding predicted NCS-B proteins (Nv1: XP_001639634 and Nv2: XP_001639635) in the genome of the sea anemone _Nematostella vectensis_ genome [44]. Clearly related NCS-B sequences are also present in the sponge _Aplysina_ (XP_003386697.1) and the placozoon _Trichoplax_ (EDV29214.1). NCS proteins are also present in the choanoflagellate _Monosiga_, but at this time it is unclear to which class the closest _Monosiga_ match (EDQ0181.1) belongs. Thus the NCS-B protein class clearly predates the Bilateria, but whether it predates the Metazoa is unclear.

Despite canonical calmodulins being highly conserved, they participate in a diverse range of biological processes due to the ability to interact with an enormous range of target proteins, many of which are taxonomically restricted [12,35]. For example, in another coral, _Stylophora pistillata_, CaM is thought to interact with a calcium-ATPase, and this interaction may be relevant to the regulation of skeleton deposition [45]. The presence of clear counterparts of most of the key molecules involved in vertebrate calcium signalling as well as a number of coral-specific calcium sensors (in preparation) suggests that both conserved and coral-specific calcium dependent signalling pathways function during settlement and metamorphosis in _A. millepora_. Given the wide phylogenetic distribution and sequence conservation displayed by both calmodulin and NCS-B proteins, it is surprising that the interaction identified here has not been previously reported, however, we were unable to find precedents in the literature or the String interaction databases [string-db.org] or IntAct [ebi.ac.uk/ intact].

The affinity chromatography and immunoprecipitation experiments presented here demonstrate that AmCaM interacts with AmAC in vitro in the presence of calcium (Figs. 3C and D), and immunoprecipitation experiments indicate that this interaction is likely to also occur in the absence of calcium (Figure 3D, left panel).

The temporal expression data (Fig. 2) are consistent with this interaction occurring during late larval development in _Acropora_, although the in situ data indicate that this is more likely to occur in the endoderm than in the aboral ectoderm that is the site of calcification initiation. The fact that the putative CaM binding site is located between two EF-hand domains in the AmAC protein suggests that cooperative coordination may occur between the calcium binding motifs of the two proteins. For some target proteins, CaM coordination is necessary to enable calcium binding or to induce exposure of hydrophobic residues [10,46,47,48].

Most NCS family members are thought to be multifunctional regulators of neuronal cellular processes [39,49], only NCS-A types being known to function in both neuronal and non-neuronal cell types [39,50,51]. Whereas NCS-B proteins have neuronal functions in vertebrates, the broad endodermal expression pattern observed for AmAC (Fig. 3) suggests a non-neuronal role during coral development. Analog with the vertebrate NCS proteins neurocalcin and hippocalcin suggests possible roles in vesicle or mRNA transport, regulation of cGMP intracellular levels and/or apoptosis [38,52,53] in the larval endoderm.

Coral larvae accumulate calcium in endodermal lipid containing vesicles prior to skeleton deposition [54]. During the development of _Acropora_ larvae, endodermal tissue functions primarily in the mobilisation of stored lipids for energy metabolism and buoyancy control [31,53,56], presumably also releasing calcium from endodermal lipid stores. AmAC might therefore have
a regulatory role during the calcium release process that accompanies metamorphosis and skeleton deposition. Clarifying the role of AmAC and the biological relevance of the AmAC/AmCaM interaction will require the development of tools to allow functional analysis of coral genes.
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