Higher dimensional generalization of Buchdahl-Vaidya-Tikekar model for super compact star
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I. INTRODUCTION

There exists a vast literature on relativistic models for a star interior. The simplest and the oldest one is the constant density sphere described by Schwarzschild interior solution, and its universality for higher dimensions as well as for Lovelock gravity has also been established [1]. Another interesting fluid solution is isothermal sphere with a linear equation of state \( p = \gamma \rho \sim 1/r^{n-2} \) where \( n \) is dimension of spacetime. It turns out that it also has universal solution for pure Lovelock (for which action and the equation of motion has only one term corresponding to \( N \), the degree of Lovelock action) gravity [2]. The former has undesirable feature of sound velocity being infinite, yet it accords to typical star interior parameters and overall behaviour while for the latter density is singular at the center though mass goes to zero, and it describes a relaxed equilibrium state of distribution without compact boundary. It could very well approximate a star or galaxy cluster. A physically reasonable distribution with a finite boundary lies between these two extremes.

There is a fairly general metric ansatz due to Buchdahl [3] that covers almost all interesting solutions. Vaidya and Tikekar [4] particularized Buchdahl ansatz by giving it a geometric meaning. They envisioned that 3-hypersurface is embedded in 4 dimensional spheroid rather than sphere, and it is characterized by a dimensionless parameter \( K \), a measure of deviation from sphericity. Interestingly it turns out that sign of this parameter is also associated with density gradient. That is for gradient to be non-positive, \( K \) must be non-negative and \( K = 0 \) gives constant density. This indicates for a given mass or radius, star is densest when density is constant.

The point to be appreciated is that besides sphere and spheroid one can explore various topologies and see whether they accord to some meaningful physical distributions? For instance, if we consider a torus instead of spheroid, then we will write

\[
(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - L})^2 + w^2 = R^2
\]

where \( L \) is distance from torus center to tube center and \( R \) is tube radius. This will lead to the metric,

\[
ds^2 = e^{\nu}dt^2 - \frac{R^2}{R^2 - (r - L)^2}dr^2 - r^2d\Omega_2^2
\]

describing a fluid distribution with diverging density at center. It is therefore not physically acceptable.
Vaidya and Tikekar obtained solution for the case of \( K = 2 \) \cite{4} and the most general solution for integer values of \( K \) was obtained by Mukherjee, Paul and Dadhich \cite{5}. It may be noted that this metric ansatz is particularly suited for describing compact objects like neutron stars \cite{6}. In this paper we wish to extend Buchdahl-Vaidya-Tikekar (BVT) model for compact star to higher dimensions. It turns out that the key pressure isotropy equation has the same Gauss form in higher dimensions as well. Hence 4-dimensional solutions could be carried over to higher dimensions with appropriately redefining the parameter \( K \) which satisfies the relation \( K_n = (K_4 - n + 4)/(n - 3) \) where subscript indicates spacetime dimension. It may however be noted that though the solution is the same yet distribution is not entirely identical because density and pressure depend upon the parameter \( K \) which is different for different \( n \). For a given \( K_4 \), there would be a spectrum of \( K_n \) values for different \( n \) which has a cut-off at \( n = K_4 + 4 \) when \( K_n = 0 \) representing uniform density.

There is also fairly large literature on star interior solution in higher dimensions, we give some representative references \cite{7–13}. We have here studied how 4-dimensional solution could be taken over to appropriate higher dimensions in BVT metric ansatz. The paper is organized as follows: In the next section we write BVT metric and set up the equations for perfect fluid distribution. In Sec 3, we discuss the generalized higher dimensional solutions followed by discussion of their physical features. We end up with a discussion.

II. METRIC AND EINSTEIN EQUATIONS

Let us begin with the general static spherically symmetric metric in the \( n \)–dimensional spacetime,

\[
ds^2 = e^\nu dt^2 - e^\lambda dr^2 - r^2 d\Omega_{n-2}^2. \tag{2}
\]

Substituting the metric in the Einstein equation for a perfect fluid distribution, we obtain density and pressure (see for instance, Ref. \cite{11} with \( \tilde{\alpha} = 0 \)) as

\[
\rho = \frac{n-2}{2r^2} e^{-\lambda} \left( r\lambda' - (n - 3)(1 - e^\lambda) \right) \tag{3}
\]

\[
p = \frac{n-2}{2r^2} e^{-\lambda} \left( r\nu' + (n - 3)(1 - e^\lambda) \right) \tag{4}
\]

and the pressure isotropy equation is given by

\[
\nu'' + \frac{1}{2} \nu'^2 - \left( \frac{1}{2} \lambda' - \frac{1}{r} \right) \nu' - 2(n - 3) \left[ \frac{1}{r} \left( \frac{1}{2} \lambda' + \frac{1}{r} \right) - \frac{e^\lambda}{r^2} \right] = 0. \tag{5}
\]
By writing $\psi = e^{\frac{1}{2}\nu}$, we rewrite the above equation

$$
\psi'' - \left(\frac{1}{2}\lambda' + \frac{1}{r}\right)\psi' - (n - 3) \left[\frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{1}{2}\lambda' + \frac{1}{r}\right) - \frac{e^\lambda}{r^2}\right] \psi = 0.
$$

(6)

The Buchdahl ansatz [3] prescribes

$$
e^\lambda = \frac{1 + cr^2}{1 + (c - a)r^2}
$$

(7)

with $a > 0, c > 0$, which was particularized by Vaidya and Tikekar [4] to write

$$
e^\lambda = \frac{1 + K\alpha r^2}{1 - \alpha r^2}.
$$

(8)

where $\alpha = R^{-2}$. Now density reads as follows:

$$
\rho(r) = (K + 1)(n - 2)\alpha \frac{n - 1 + (n - 3)K\alpha r^2}{2(1 + K\alpha r^2)^2}.
$$

(9)

Clearly $K + 1 > 0$ for density to be positive. For physical reasonableness, density gradient must be negative or zero,

$$
\rho(r)' = -K(K + 1)(n - 2)\alpha^2 r \frac{n + 1 + (n - 3)K\alpha r^2}{(1 + K\alpha r^2)^3} \leq 0.
$$

(10)

This requires $K > 0$. The physical requirement of density decreasing with radius constrains the nature of 3-geometry or deviation from sphericity parameter $K$ cannot be negative.

Putting in the metric ansatz, the pressure isotropy equation takes the form

$$
(1 - \alpha r^2)(1 + K\alpha r^2)\psi'' - r^{-1}(1 + K)\left[1 + \frac{K}{1 + K}(1 - \alpha r^2)^2\right] \psi' - (n - 3)(1 + K)K\alpha^2 r^2 \psi = 0.
$$

(11)

We further, as in Ref. [4], transform $r$ to

$$
u^2 = \frac{K}{K + 1}(1 - \alpha r^2), \quad K > 0
$$

(12)

then Eqn (6) becomes

$$
(1 - u^2) \frac{d^2\psi}{du^2} + u \frac{d\psi}{du} + (1 + K)(n - 3)\psi = 0.
$$

(13)
The only difference with the expression given in [4] is the factor \((n - 3)\) in the last term of Eqn (13). This second order equation has two singular regular points in \(u = \pm 1\) and we can write the solution around \(u = 0\) as in:

\[
\psi = \sum_{m} A_{m} u^{m}
\]

(14)

where now coefficients are determined in terms of \(A_{0}\) and \(A_{1}\), the two arbitrary constants of integration, as

\[
A_{m+2} = \frac{m^{2} - 2m - (K + 1)(n - 3)}{(m + 1)(m + 2)} A_{m}.
\]

(15)

Here even and odd coefficients are given respectively in terms of \(A_{0}\) and \(A_{1}\). In the two tables below we give for \(K = 2\) explicitly even and odd coefficients, and they are:

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{ccc}
\hline
\(m\) & \(A_{m}(n > 4)\) & \(A_{m}(n = 4)\) \\
\hline
0 & \(A_{0}\) & \(A_{0}\) \\
2 & \(-\frac{3}{2}(n - 3)A_{0}\) & \(-\frac{3}{2}A_{0}\) \\
4 & \(\frac{3}{8}(n - 3)^{2}A_{0}\) & \(\frac{3}{8}A_{0}\) \\
6 & \(\frac{(17 - 3n)(n - 3)^{2}}{80}A_{0}\) & \(\frac{1}{16}A_{0}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Comparison for even \(A_{m}\) coefficients at \(K = 2\), for \(m = 0, 2, 4, 6\)}
\end{table}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{ccc}
\hline
\(m\) & \(A_{m}(n > 4)\) & \(A_{m}(n = 4)\) \\
\hline
1 & \(A_{1}\) & \(A_{1}\) \\
3 & \(-\frac{3n - 8}{6}A_{1}\) & \(-\frac{2}{3}A_{1}\) \\
5 & \(\frac{(3n - 8)(n - 4)}{40}A_{1}\) & \(0\) \\
7 & \(\frac{(3n - 8)(n - 4)(n - 8)}{560}A_{1}\) & \(0\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Comparison for odd \(A_{m}\) coefficients at \(K = 2\), for \(m = 1, 3, 5, 7\)}
\end{table}

From Eqn (15) it is clear that corresponding to a \(K_{4}\) value for \(n = 4\), the solution is the same for some \(K_{n}\) in \(n\) dimension. The general relation is

\[
n - 3 = \frac{K_{4} + 1}{K_{n} + 1}
\]

(16)

where subscript refers to dimension of spacetime. The solution is the same with \(K\) replaced. This means there exists a higher dimensional fluid compact star with the same solution.
corresponding to every 4 dimensional solution with proper $K$ value as given by the above
relation. Note that coefficients of the corresponding series will be the same in terms of
constants $A_0$ and $A_1$.

Let us now consider some particular cases. For $K_4 = 2$, $K_6 = 0$ which means six
dimensional fluid sphere is of constant density. For $n > 6$, $K_n$ will turn negative and
thereby implying density gradient being positive and hence untenable. For a given $K_4$, the
upper bound for dimension $n = K_4 + 4$, else $K_n < 0$ which is not physically admissible.
Thus for $K_4 = 2$, $n = 6$ is only possible while for $K_4 = 7$ there could be $n = 5, 7, 11$
respectively corresponding to $K_n = 3, 1, 0$, and so on. Uniform density marks the limiting
case of maximum density and once that is reached, one cannot go any further in $n$.

Here we have taken $K \in \mathbb{Z}$ but that is not necessary, it can be any positive value. Uniform
density sphere has the universal solution in the Schwarzschild interior metric irrespective of
dimension of spacetime as well as Einstein or Lovelock gravity theory [1].

To gain some more insight let us recall the Vaidya-Tikekar construction [4]. We consider
a spheroidal hypersurface in 4-Euclidean space defined by

\[ \frac{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}{R^2} + \frac{w^2}{b^2} = 1 \]  

which will generate the metric

\[ d\sigma^2 = \frac{1 + K \alpha r^2}{1 - \alpha r^2} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_2^2 \]  

where

\[ K = \frac{b^2}{R^2} - 1. \]

Now the relation [16] can be written in the equivalent form, as:

\[ K_4 + 1 = (K_n + 1)(n - 3) \rightarrow \frac{b_4}{R_4} = \sqrt{n - 3} \frac{b_n}{R_n}. \]  

This brings out how spheroidal parameters are related in the two cases.

Note that to obtain a polynomial solution instead of a series in Eqn (13), the coefficient
$A_{m+2}$ must vanish. For $n = 4$ this condition implies $K = (m - 1)^2 - 2$, where $m \geq 3$.
Generalization of this simple rule in the case of Eqn [13] for a generic dimension $n$ leads
to the requirement $m^2 - 2m - (K + 1)(n - 3) = 0$, which should have solution for positive
integer number $K$ and $n \geq 4$. This shows there is a richer structure in higher dimensions [19].
As in [5], a general solution for \( n = 4 \) is given in terms of the Gegenbauer functions while in [3] it is expressed as the hypergeometric functions. By redefining variable, we can also write our solution in a more general form using hypergeometric functions, which would also include Gegenbauer functions as a particular case. Note that the equation \([13]\), obtained for a spacetime of arbitrary dimension \( n > 4 \), can be transformed to a Gauss type differential equation \([15]\), by a simple redefinition of the variable, \( u = 1 - \frac{1}{2}u^2 \). Then we can transform Eqn. \([13]\) to the standard form of the Gauss differential equation, written as: \([16]\)

\[
z(1 - z)\frac{d^2\psi}{dz^2} + \left(-\frac{1}{2} + z\right)\frac{d\psi}{dz} + (1 + K)(n - 3)\psi = 0.
\]  

and the solution can be expressed as

\[
\psi(z) = A\ _2F_1(a, b; -\frac{1}{2}, z) + B\ z^3\ _2F_1(a + \frac{3}{2}, b + \frac{3}{2}; \frac{5}{2}, z)
\]  

where \( A \) and \( B \) are arbitrary integration constants, and \(_2F_1(a, b; c, z)\) is the Gauss hypergeometric function in the usual notation \([16]\).

The Gauss hypergeometric function has the following parameters:

\[
a = -1 \pm j, \quad b = -2 - a = -1 \mp j, \quad c = -\frac{1}{2}
\]  

where \( j = \sqrt{1 + (1 + K)(n - 3)} \). Recall the parameter \( K \) is restricted to be non-negative, \( K \geq 0 \). Thus we have the solution in closed form in terms of the Gauss hypergeometric functions \([20]\).

It is obvious, that Eqn. \([21]\) has two singular points \([21]\), and they are \( z = 0, 1 \). Near \( z = 0 \), the solution takes the form

\[
\psi(z) = A\ _2F_1(a, b; -\frac{1}{2}, z) + B\ z^3\ _2F_1(a + \frac{3}{2}, b + \frac{3}{2}; \frac{5}{2}, z)
\]  

while for near \( z = 1 \), it reads as

\[
\psi(z) = A\ _2F_1(a, b; -\frac{1}{2}, 1 - z) + B\ (1 - z)^{\frac{3}{2}}\ _2F_1(-\frac{1}{2} - b, -\frac{1}{2} - a; \frac{5}{2}, 1 - z).
\]  

We have given above in Eqns \([9]\) and \([4]\) the expressions for density and pressure. Let us consider

\[
p(r) = -\rho(r) + \frac{n - 2}{2r}\ \left\{(1 - e^{-\lambda})' + e^{-\lambda}v'ight\}
\]  

\[26\]
and we can write
\[ \nu' = \alpha r \sqrt{\frac{K}{(K + 1)(1 - \alpha^2)}} \frac{1}{\psi(z)} dz. \]  
(27)

To determine the unknown integration constants \( A \) and \( B \) we should match \( g_{00} \) and \( g_{11} \) functions in (2) with the exterior Schwarzschild solution,

\[ ds^2 = f(r)dt^2 - f^{-1}(r)dr^2 - r^2d\Omega^2, \]

where
\[ f(r) = 1 - \frac{C_n}{r^{n-3}} \quad C_n = \pi^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right) \frac{k_n^2 \cdot M}{n - 2}. \]
(29)

Here \( k_n^2 \) is higher dimensional gravitation constant and \( M \) is mass of star \([17],[18]\). The star boundary is defined by vanishing pressure, \( p = 0 \) on the surface and we determine the free parameters as

\[ M \to M_n = \frac{\pi^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} (n - 2) (1 + K) \alpha r_0^{n-1}}{k_n^2 \Gamma\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)} \frac{k_n^2 \cdot M}{1 + K \alpha r_0^2}, \]

\[ A = \frac{c \cdot \frac{df_2}{dz} - d \cdot F_2}{F_1 \frac{df_1}{dz} - F_2 \frac{df_2}{dz}} \bigg|_{z_0}, \quad B = -\frac{c \cdot \frac{df_1}{dz} - d \cdot F_1}{F_1 \frac{df_1}{dz} - F_2 \frac{df_2}{dz}} \bigg|_{z_0}, \]

where
\[ c = \sqrt{1 - \frac{C_n}{r_0^{n-3}}} = \sqrt{\frac{1 - \alpha r_0^2}{1 + K \alpha r_0^2}}, \]

\[ d = 2 \sqrt{\frac{(K + 1)(1 + K \alpha r_0^2)}{K}} \left( \frac{\rho(r_0)}{\alpha(n - 2)} - \frac{1 + K}{(1 + K \alpha r_0^2)^2} \right), \]

\[ F_1(z) = {}_2F_1(a, b; \frac{1}{2}, z), \quad F_2(z) = z^{\frac{3}{2}} {}_2F_1(a + \frac{3}{2}, b + \frac{3}{2}; \frac{5}{2}, z). \]

The variable \( z \) is expressed in terms of \( r \) \([22]\):
\[ z = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \sqrt{\frac{K(1 - \alpha^2)}{K + 1}} \right), \]

where \( r_0 \) is the star radius. For \( 0 \leq r \leq r_0 \), density and pressure are given by
\[ \rho(r) = \frac{\alpha(1 + K)(n - 2)(n - 1 + (n - 3)K \alpha^2)}{2(1 + K \alpha^2)^2}, \]
\[ p(r) = -p(r) + \frac{\alpha(1 + K)(n - 2)}{(1 + K\alpha r^2)^2} + \frac{\alpha(n - 2)}{2(1 + K\alpha r^2)} \sqrt{\frac{K(1 - \alpha r^2)}{K + 1}} A \frac{dF_1}{dz} + B \frac{dF_2}{dz}. \] (30)

To compute the derivatives \( \frac{dF_1}{dz} \) and \( \frac{dF_2}{dz} \) we can use some properties of the Gauss hypergeometric functions and obtain for them the following expressions:

\[
\frac{dF_1}{dz} = -2ab \cdot _2F_1(a + 1, b + 1; \frac{1}{2}, z)
\] (31)

\[
\frac{dF_2}{dz} = \frac{3}{2} z^{\frac{3}{2}} \cdot _2F_1(a + 3, b + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{5}{2}; \frac{5}{2}, z) + \frac{2}{5} (a + \frac{3}{2})(b + \frac{3}{2}) z^{\frac{3}{2}} \cdot _2F_1(a + \frac{5}{2}, b + \frac{5}{2} + \frac{7}{2}; z).
\] (32)

In Figs. 1 and 2 we plot normalized density, \( \rho/\rho_0(r = 0) \) and pressure for the two cases \( K_4 = 7, 14 \). Since pressure value as well as its variation is very small for the case of constant density for \( n = 11, 18 \), its blow up is shown in Fig 3. Note that for \( K_4 = 7 \), we have \( K_n = 7, 3, 1, 0 \) corresponding respectively to \( n = 4, 5, 7, 11 \), while for \( K_4 = 14 \) it is \( K_n = 14, 4, 2, 0 \) and \( n = 4, 6, 8, 18 \). Clearly density variation slows down as dimension increases until constant density is reached (Fig 1). In Fig 2 we see that central pressure decreases with increasing dimension and so does its variation. For constant density distribution pressure has very small value and so is its variation (Fig 3). It should be noted that for the case \( K = 0 \), the variable \( u \) \[14\] turns vacuous and hence it should be considered separately from the isotropy equation \[11\] itself.

Using the expression for the density \( \rho(r) \) in Eqn \[3\], let us introduce a new function, defined as:

\[
\eta(\rho) = \frac{1}{4}(n - 3)\left\{ \sqrt{1 + \frac{16\rho}{\alpha(1 + K)(n - 2)(n - 3)^2}} - 1 \right\}
\] (33)

In terms of this function we can express the \( z \) variable, like \( z(r) \rightarrow z(\rho) \) which simply looks as:

\[
z(r) \rightarrow z(\rho) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \sqrt{(K + 1)\eta(\rho) - 1} \right) \] (34)

After these redefinitions of variables, the equation of state, (EoS), can be written using the change of variables in the form \( p = p(r) \rightarrow p = p(\rho) \) and the speed of sound \( c_S^2 = \frac{dp}{d\rho}, \) where \( \rho_{surface} \leq \rho \leq \rho_0 \)
The equation of state is given by

\[
p(\rho) = -\rho + \alpha(n - 2)\left((1 + K)\eta^2(\rho) + \frac{1}{2}\eta(\rho)\sqrt{\frac{(K + 1)\eta(\rho) - 1}{(K + 1)\eta(\rho)}}\Phi(\rho)\right)
\]  

(35)

where \(\Phi(\rho)\) is defined as:

\[
\Phi(\rho) = \frac{A\frac{dF_1}{dz} + B\frac{dF_2}{dz}}{A\cdot F_1 + B\cdot F_2} \bigg|_{z(r) \to z(\rho)}.
\]  

(36)
The speed of sound is written as

\[ c_s^2 = -1 + \alpha(n-2) \left( 2(1+K)\eta \frac{d\eta}{d\rho} + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \eta \sqrt{\frac{(K+1)\eta - 1}{(K+1)\eta}} \Phi(\rho) \right\}_\rho \right) \]  

(37)

here \{\ldots\}_\rho \equiv \frac{d\{\ldots\}}{d\rho} \). We have thus obtained the equation of state and sound velocity involving \( K, \alpha, n \) parameters.

**IV. DISCUSSION**

The main point we wish to make is that character of the key pressure isotropy equation for the BVT ansatz for the static spherically symmetric metric remains unaltered when we go higher in dimension. That means solution would always have the same form. Further it turns out that corresponding to every 4-dimensional solution with a given \( K_4 \), there exists a similar solution (since \( K \) is different in two cases, solution would not be the same but similar) in higher \( n \) dimension with corresponding \( K_n = (K_4+4-n)/(n-3) \). The 4-dimensional solution is carried over to higher dimensions but it represents different distribution. That is, the same metric with different \( K \) value describes different distributions in different dimension. For a given \( K_4 \), we can only go upto \( n = K_4 + 4 \) dimension when \( K_n \) vanishes indicating constant density. Beyond that \( K_n \) would turn negative implying density increasing with radius which is not physically tenable. Note that for \( K_4 = 2 \), the only possible solution is constant density.
star in 6 dimension with $K_6 = 0$ while for $K_4 = 7$ we could have solutions for $n = 5, 7, 11$ with $K_n = 3, 1, 0$ respectively. As $n$ increases $K_n$ decreases to zero marking the cutoff for $n$.

Since density gradient is always required to be negative, star is densest when density is constant implying infinite sound velocity. This is the limiting case in evolution of a static distribution in equilibrium, and it is always described by the Schwarzschild interior solution irrespective of spacetime dimension as well as gravitational theory, Einstein or Lovelock gravity [1]. Though there exists uniform density solution in any dimension with $K = 0$ given by the Schwarzschild interior solution but that would not have a correspondence with $K_4$ solution unless $n = K_4 + 4$. For the BVT ansatz, we have found a correspondence between 4 dimensional solutions with their analogues in higher dimensions. For a given value of $K_4$, there is a spectrum of $K_n$ values.

It is possible to generalize the metric ansatz to bring in rotation by considering 3-geometry being ellipsoidal. That would be very suitable for considering fluid distribution with rotation which is a very important open problem as there exists no interior metric for a rotating Kerr black hole. This may shed some new light and perhaps lead to some new insight. Another important question for further investigation is stability analysis of these higher dimensional solutions. These would be our concerns for future study.
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[20] here also \( |z| = \frac{1}{2} |1-u| < 1 \)

[21] we don’t consider here the singularity at \( z \to \infty \)

[22] for \( z_0 \) we have \( z_0 = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \sqrt{\frac{K(1-\sigma)^2}{K+1}} \right) \)