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We analyze a possibility of macroscopic quantum effects in the form of coupled structural oscillations and shuttle motion of bright two-component spin-orbit-coupled striped (one-dimensional, 1D) and semi-vortex (two-dimensional, 2D) matter-wave solitons, under the action of linear mixing (Rabi coupling) between the components. In 1D, the intrinsic oscillations manifest themselves as flippings between spatially even and odd components of striped solitons, while in 2D the system features periodic transitions between zero-vorticity and vortical components of semi-vortex solitons. The consideration is performed by means of a combination of analytical and numerical methods.

I. INTRODUCTION

Atomic Bose-Einstein condensates (BEC), in addition to exhibiting a great deal of their own dynamical regimes [1–3], have drawn a lot of interest as testing grounds for the emulation of various effects from condensed-matter physics [4], a prominent example provided by the spin-orbit coupling (SOC). Although the true spin of bosonic atoms, such as $^{87}$Rb, used for the SOC emulation in BEC, is zero, the wave function of the condensate may be composed as a mixture of two components representing different hyperfine atomic states. The resulting pseudospin $\frac{1}{2}$ makes it possible to map the spinor wave function of electrons in solids into the two-component bosonic wave function of the atomic BEC. Breakthrough experiments [5, 6] have demonstrated the real possibility to simulate the SOC effect in the bosonic gas, in the form of the linear interaction between the momentum and pseudospin of coherent matter waves.

Two fundamental types of the SOC, well known from works on physics of semiconductors, which are represented by the Dresselhaus [9] and Rashba [8] Hamiltonians, as well as the Zeeman-splitting effect [7], may be simulated in the atomic BEC. While the initial experiments on the SOC emulation realized effectively one-dimensional (1D) settings [10, 11], the implementation of the SOC in an effectively 2D geometry was reported too [12].

The SOC being a linear effect by itself, its interplay with the intrinsic nonlinearity of the BEC, which is usually induced, in the mean-field approximation, by contact inter-atomic collisions or long-range dipole-dipole interactions, produces various localized structures, such as vortices [13–17], monopoles [18], skyrmions [19, 20], and dark solitons [21, 22]. The use of periodic potentials, induced by optical lattices, offers additional possibilities – in particular, the creation of gap solitons [23, 24, 57].

The conventional repulsive sign of inter-atomic forces can be switched to attraction by means of the Feshbach resonance [26, 27], which suggests possibilities for the creation of bright matter-wave solitons [28–31], in addition to the well-known dark ones [32]. In particular, the modulational instability [33] and various options for the making of effectively 1D bright solitons under the action of SOC in attractive condensates have been theoretically analyzed in detail [34]-[44]. A challenging possibility is to introduce 2D bright solitons, which are always unstable against the critical collapse in the usual BEC models based on the nonlinear Schrödinger – Gross-Pitaevskii equations (NLSE-GPEs) with attractive cubic terms [45]. As demonstrated in Ref. [46], the SOC terms break the specific scaling invariance of the GPE system in the 2D space, lift the related degeneracy of the norm of the respective 2D solitons, and thus push the norm below the threshold necessary for the onset of the critical collapse, securing their stability. This unique possibility to stabilize bright solitons in the free 2D space was further elaborated in Refs. [47]-[54]. Furthermore, the same mechanism may create free-space metastable solitons in the 3D geometry, although in that case the solitons cannot realize the system’s ground state [58].

In addition to the realizations of SOC in BEC, the similarity between the GPEs for the binary condensate and the NLSE system modeling the copropagation of orthogonal polarizations of light in twisted nonlinear optical fibers [36, 55] suggests to link the SOC to a broad range of nonlinear effects in optics. This link has been recently extended to 2D setting too [49, 50], making it possible to predict stable spatiotemporal optical solitons in planar dual-core waveguides. Manifestations of SOC are also known in other photonic settings [52]. In particular, SOC can be directly realized in exciton-polariton fields trapped in semiconductor microcavities [51]. Taking into regard nonlinearity in the latter
setting makes it possible to predict 2D trapped modes similar to the solitons found in the 2D model of the BEC with SOC [53].

A common feature of 1D and 2D bright solitons supported by the attractive nonlinearity in the two-component system coupled by the spin-orbit interaction is the different shape of solitons in the cases when the XPM/SPM ratio (the relative strength of the cross-attraction and self-attraction), \( \gamma \), takes values \( \gamma \leq 1 \) or \( \gamma \geq 1 \). In the former case, the 1D system produces stable striped solitons (see, e.g., Ref. [48]), built as patterns featuring multiple density peaks in the two components, with density maxima of one component coinciding with minima of the other. Accordingly, the two components of the striped solitons feature opposite spatial parities, one being even and the other odd. In the case of \( \gamma \geq 1 \), stable 1D solitons feature a smooth single-peak density profile, identical for both components. Similarly, the 2D system with \( \gamma \leq 1 \) supports semi-vortex (SV) solitons as stable modes, with isotropic components which carry, respectively, vorticities 0 and 1, while stable solitons produced by the same system with \( \gamma \geq 1 \) are mixed modes, which combine terms with zero and nonzero vorticities in each component [46]. Precisely at \( \gamma = 1 \) (the Manakov’s nonlinearity [56]), solitons of both types stably coexist [46, 48].

Because bright matter-wave solitons, predicted and observed in BEC, are macroscopic quantum objects, the consideration of the overall dynamics of solitons in binary condensates under the action of SOC suggests a possibility to observe macroscopic manifestations of SOC. An example is provided by recent work [43], in which an artificial magnetic field, induced by the SOC terms in the 1D system, drives precession of the soliton’s pseudospin, which, in turn, drives shuttle motion of the 1D soliton as a whole. Prior to that, coupling of the precession of the total pseudospin to the motion of a dark soliton in a ring-shaped effectively one-dimensional SOC system was predicted in Ref. [25].

The objective of the present work is to report another kind of macroscopic dynamical effects featured by 1D and 2D solitons alike, under the combined action of the SOC and Rabi coupling (RC). These effect exhibit periodic flippings between the two components of the condensate, coupled to the shuttle motion of the soliton’s center, with the same period. In the 1D system, these are flippings between spatially even and odd components of striped solitons, while in the 2D setting the vorticity is periodically exchanged between two components of the SV soliton, if the motion of the soliton is restricted in one direction by a quasi-1D confining potential. The latter dynamical effect somewhat resembles periodic transfer of a single vortex between two Rabi-coupled components of a 2D condensate, with the repulsive nonlinearity acting in each component [59], although in that case the mode periodically exchanged between the components is not a bright soliton, but rather a vortex supported by the modulationally stable background. As for the RC, it represents linear mixing between two hyperfine atomic states (which constitute the two components), induced by a resonant electromagnetic (GHz-frequency) wave coupling the atomic levels [60]-[64].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. The flipping-shuttle motion of 1D solitons in considered, by means of analytical approximations and systematic simulations, in Section II. The regime of periodic flippings between the 2D SV soliton and its mirror-image counterpart, coupled to the shuttle motion of the soliton as a whole in the direction which is not restricted by the confining potential, is investigated, chiefly by means of numerical simulations, in Section III. It is also shown that the use of an isotropic trapping potential, instead of the quasi-1D one, leads to chaotic dynamics, instead of regular flipping-shuttle motion. The paper is concluded by Section IV.
The total norm and energy of the general 1D system (1), which includes the SOC and RC terms, are
\[
\begin{align*}
N &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left( |\phi_+(x)|^2 + |\phi_-(x)|^2 \right) dx, \\
E &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \phi_+}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \phi_-}{\partial x} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} (|\phi_+|^2 + |\phi_-|^2)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \left( \phi_+^* \frac{\partial \phi_-}{\partial x} - \phi_-^* \frac{\partial \phi_+}{\partial x} + \text{c.c.} \right) \right\} dx,
\end{align*}
\]
where c.c. stands for the complex-conjugate expression. When RC is absent, \(d = 0\), and SOC is weak, i.e., \(\lambda\) is small, an approximate solution to Eq. (1) with a large even component, \(\phi_+\), and a small odd one, \(\phi_-\) (these assumptions are suggested by the presence of the weak SOC terms), may be sought for as
\[
\phi_+ = \frac{A e^{iAx^2/2}}{\cosh(Ax)}, \quad \phi_- = \frac{B \sinh(Ax) e^{iAx^2/2}}{\cosh^2(Ax)},
\]
with \(B^2 \ll A^2\). The substitution of this ansatz in Eq. (4) yields
\[
E = \frac{7}{15} AB^2 - \frac{B^4}{35A} + \frac{4}{3} \lambda AB - \frac{1}{3} A^3.
\]
for parameters $d\phi$ and the corresponding numerically found law of motion of the soliton’s center-of-mass coordinate, $\phi$, time-evolution method. Due to the presence of the SOC terms, the two components have opposite spatial parities: ansatz (5), this time it combines expressions modeled on solution (2) in both components: an ansatz in the form which is also suggested by exact solution (2) of the Manakov’s system, but, unlike the above motion appears, coupled to flipping oscillations. For an analytical consideration of this dynamical regime, we adopt (b) show, respectively, snapshots of profiles of $\lambda$ the SOC coefficient, respectively) as functions of time. (c) Period $T$ of the shuttle-flipping oscillations vs. the Rabi-coupling coefficient, $d$, shown on the log-log scale, for $N = 2$ and $\lambda = 0.5$. The dashed line is $T = 8.9d^{-1/2}$. (d) The amplitude of the shuttle motion, $2\Xi$, vs. the SOC coefficient, $\lambda$, on the log-log scale, for $N = 2$ and $d = 0.005$. The dashed line is $2\Xi = 1.56\lambda^{-1}$.

For given $A$ and small $\lambda$, the corresponding small amplitude $B$ is predicted by the variational equation $\partial E/\partial B = 0$:

$$B = (-10/7)\lambda + O \left(\lambda^2/A^2\right).$$

(7)

Proceeding to simulations of the full GPE system (1), but, at first, with small SOC and RC terms, Figs. 1(a) and (b) show, respectively, snapshots of profiles of $|\phi_+(x)|$ and $|\phi_-(x)|$ at time moments $t = 150 \times n$ ($n = 1, 2, \cdots, 12$), and the corresponding numerically found law of motion of the soliton’s center-of-mass coordinate, $X(t)$, obtained for parameters $d = 0.002$, $\lambda = 0.02$, $\gamma = 1$ and $N = 2$. For these simulations, initial conditions, $\phi_+(x, t = 0) = \phi_+(x, t = 0)$, were produced as stationary solutions of Eq. (1) with $d = 0$ (but $\lambda \neq 0$), by dint of the imaginary-time-evolution method. Due to the presence of the SOC terms, the two components have opposite spatial parities: $\phi_+(-x, t = 0) = \phi_+(x, t = 0)$, $\phi_-(x, t = 0) = -\phi_-(x, t = 0)$. Thus, Fig. 1 demonstrates that, at $d \neq 0$, shuttle motion appears, coupled to flipping oscillations. For an analytical consideration of this dynamical regime, we adopt an ansatz in the form which is also suggested by exact solution (2) of the Manakov’s system, but, unlike the above ansatz (5), this time it combines expressions modeled on solution (2) in both components:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \phi_+ \\ \phi_- \end{array}\right) = \exp \left[ i \frac{A^2}{2} t + i \frac{d\xi}{dt} (x - \xi) \right] \text{sech} [A(x - \xi)]$$

$$\times \left( A \cos(dt) - i B \sin(dt) \tanh [A(x - \xi)] \right)$$

$$\left( i A \sin(dt) + B \cos(dt) \tanh [A(x - \xi)] \right),$$

(8)

where $\xi(t)$ is the central position of the soliton. Then, applying the variational approximation to this system leads, eventually, to the same relation (7) between the small and large amplitudes, $A$ and $B$.

Further, to address the motion of the soliton as a whole, it is relevant to consider the total momentum of the system,

$$P = i \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left( \frac{\partial\phi_+}{\partial x} \phi_+ + \frac{\partial\phi_-}{\partial x} \phi_- \right) dx.$$  

(9)

Being a dynamical invariant of Eq. (1), $P$ keeps zero initial value. On the other hand, ansatz (8), if substituted in Eq. (9), produces

$$P = -\frac{2}{3}AB \sin(dt) \cos(dt) + 2 \left( A + \frac{B^2}{3A} \right) \frac{d\xi}{dt}.$$  

(10)

Finally, substituting $B$, as given by Eq. (7) for sufficiently small $\lambda$, in the momentum-conservation condition $P = 0$ following from Eq. (10), leads to the prediction for the velocity of the moving soliton:

$$\frac{d\xi}{dt} = -\frac{20}{21} \lambda \sin(2dt).$$  

(11)

A solution of this equation, satisfying the initial condition $\xi(0) = 0$, is

$$\xi(t) = -\Xi_{\text{pert}} \left[ 1 - \cos(2dt) \right],$$  

$$\Xi_{\text{pert}} = (10/21) (\lambda/d).$$  

(12)

(13)
(1). Figure 3(a) shows snapshot profiles of \(\lambda/L = 3\). Between even and odd spatial components, accompanying the shuttle motion of the soliton as a whole (with amplitude \(|\phi|\)), rocking fiber-optics filters in the fiber-optics model, similar oscillations of the polarization of light, coupled to shuttle motion of the soliton’s center-of-mass coordinate, and group-velocity birefringence are taken into regard, emulating the Zeeman splitting and SOC, respectively [55]. In the nonlinear twisted fiber (the twist accounts for the effective RC between two polarizations of light), if the phase-velocity \(RC\), and Zeeman detuning. In Ref. [43], the variational approximation and direct simulations have revealed shuttle oscillations of two-component solitons, both bright and dark ones, coupled to the rotation of their pseudo-spin vectors around the artificial magnetic field (the bright soliton suffered decay if the cubic nonlinearity was not strong enough). Getting back to the present model, we note that it also applies in fiber optics to the bimodal light propagation in a nonlinear twisted fiber (the twist accounts for the effective RC between two polarizations of light), if the phase-velocity and group-velocity birefringence are taken into regard, emulating the Zeeman splitting and SOC, respectively [55].

Figure 2(a) shows numerically evaluated half-amplitude of the shuttle oscillations, \(\Xi\) and its perturbative prediction (the dashed line), given by Eq. (13), for \(\lambda = 0.2\), \(\gamma = 1\), and \(N = 2\). Figure 2(b) shows the numerically found period of the flipping-shuttle oscillations, \(T\) and its perturbative prediction, \(\pi/d\) (the dotted line), for the same parameters. They demonstrate that the predictions are fairly good unless the RC strength, \(d\), becomes too small (roughly, smaller than \(\lambda/L\), where \(L\) is a characteristic width of the soliton), when it must be treated as a perturbation, see below [note that, to derive Eq. (11), the RC terms were taken into account not perturbatively but as leading ones, while the SOC was treated as a perturbation].

For stronger SOC (larger \(\lambda\)), the shuttle-flipping dynamics was studied by means of experimental simulations of Eq. (1). Figure 3(a) shows snapshot profiles of \(|\phi_+|\) and \(|\phi_-|\) for \(\lambda = 0.5\) and \(d = 0.01\). In this case, flipping oscillations between even and odd spatial components, accompanying the shuttle motion of the soliton as a whole (with amplitude \(2\Xi = 3.08\)) are clearly observed. Figure 3(b) illustrates this dynamical regime by displaying the evolution of amplitudes of components \(|\phi_+|\) and \(|\phi_-|\). This dynamical regime may be compared to a different one, which was reported, as mentioned above, in Ref. [43], which addressed the 1D system with SOC of the mixed Rashba-Dresselhaus type, RC, and Zeeman detuning. In Ref. [43], the variational approximation and direct simulations have revealed shuttle oscillations of two-component solitons, both bright and dark ones, coupled to the rotation of their pseudo-spin vectors around the artificial magnetic field (the bright soliton suffered decay if the cubic nonlinearity was not strong enough). Getting back to the present model, we note that it also applies in fiber optics to the bimodal light propagation in a nonlinear twisted fiber (the twist accounts for the effective RC between two polarizations of light), if the phase-velocity and group-velocity birefringence are taken into regard, emulating the Zeeman splitting and SOC, respectively [55].

In the fiber-optics model, similar oscillations of the polarization of light, coupled to shuttle motion of the soliton’s center along the temporal coordinate, were predicted long ago [55], and a related dynamical regime was proposed for the use in rocking fiber-optics filters [67].

Figure 3(c) summarizes the numerical results by showing a relationship between the period, \(T\), of the flipping-shuttle oscillations and small values of the RC coefficient, \(d\), at \(\lambda = 0.5\). The figure demonstrates scaling \(T \sim d^{-1/2}\), which is clearly different from that exhibited by the exact solution (2) of the Manakov’s system, as well as by the approximate solution (12) derived by means of the perturbation theory for small \(\lambda\) (while the RC terms were treated as basic ones, rather than as a perturbation), \(T_0 = \pi/d\). Scaling \(T \sim d^{-1/2}\) can be explained by the fact that, if the RC represents a perturbation, while the SOC terms are included in the main part of the system (even if it is not easy to do that explicitly), the restoration force, induced by the perturbation, scales as \(d\), hence the frequency of small oscillations, induced by this force, scales as \(\sqrt{d}\). A global picture of the \(T(d)\) dependence is depicted in Fig. 2(b), showing the crossover from \(T = 19/d^{1/2}\) at smaller \(d\) to \(T_0 = \pi/d\) at larger \(d\).

Further, Fig. 3(d) displays the dependence of amplitude \(2\Xi\) of the shuttle motion on non-small values of the SOC coefficient, \(\lambda\), for fixed small \(d = 0.005\). The dependence suggests scaling \(\Xi \sim \lambda^{-1}\), which is strongly different from that in Eq. (13), derived above for small \(\lambda\). This scaling can be readily explained in the limit of large \(\lambda\). Indeed, as shown in Refs. [50] and [68], for large \(\lambda\) one may neglect, in the first approximation, the kinetic-energy terms in Eq. (1), which lends the system a quasi-Dirac spectrum with a gap, \(\omega^2 = d^2 + \lambda^2k^2\) (\(\omega\) and \(k\) are the frequency and wavenumber of small excitations), keeping \(\lambda^{-1}\) as the single spatial scale.
FIG. 5: (a) The evolution of components $|\phi_+|$ and $|\phi_-|$ (solid and dashed curves) in the case of $\gamma = 1.25$; (b) the respective motion of the soliton’s center of mass. In this case, robust flipping-shuttle dynamics is observed at $\gamma > 1$. Other parameters are $\lambda = 0.2$, $d = 0.05$, and $N = 2$. (c) Chaotic motion of the center of mass at $d = 0.1$ for $\gamma = 1.3$ and $\lambda = 0.2$.

FIG. 6: (a) The evolution of cross-sections $x = 0$ of two-dimensional components $|\phi_+(x,y)|$ and $|\phi_-(x,y)|$ of the semi-vortex soliton (solid and dashed lines, respectively), produced as a numerical solution (semi-vortex) of Eq. (15) at $d = 0.05$, $\gamma = 1$, $\lambda = 1$, with total 2D norm $N = 5$, see Eq. (16). (b) The evolution of coordinate $Y$ of the soliton’s center, defined as per Eq. (19). The dashed line is $Y = 0.05 \cdot t$.

In the case of the Manakov’s nonlinearity, considered above ($\gamma = 1$), flipping occurs at arbitrarily small values of the RC strength, $d$, which is explained by the fact that this form of the nonlinearity supports rotational invariance in the plane of the two components, $(\phi_+, \phi_-)$, thus facilitating their mutual conversion. However, at $\gamma < 1$ there is a barrier against the conversion, which prevents flippings at small $d$. Figure 4(a) illustrates this effect, showing that flippings take place at $d = 0.1$ for $\gamma = 0.5$, $\lambda = 0.5$, and $N = 2$. On the other hand, in is seen in Fig. 4(b) that flippings are suppressed at $d = 0.05$ (the amplitude of $|\phi_+|$ always remains larger that that of $|\phi_-|$). The evolution displayed in Figs. 4(a) and (b) shows some irregularity at $\gamma \neq 1$, due to the fact that the evolution was initiated by the initial condition constructed as the stationary solution of Eq. (1) with $d = 0$, while the simulations were performed with $d \neq 0$. Further, Fig. 4(c) shows the critical (smallest) value of $d$ at which flippings commence. To explain the nearly linear dependence between critical $d$ and $1 - \gamma$, we recall the above-mentioned argument, according to which the RC terms, if treated as a perturbation, induce a force (torque) $\sim d$ driving the linear conversion in the plane of $(\phi_+, \phi_-)$. On the other hand, the barrier blocking the rotation is proportional to $1 - \gamma$ (the deviation from the Manakov’s case, $\gamma = 1$). The onset of flipping is determined by the equilibrium between these factors, i.e., indeed, $d \sim 1 - \gamma$.

At $\gamma > 1$, the 1D bright smooth solitons with $|\phi_+(x)| = |\phi_-(x)|$ have a lower energy than the striped ones (which exist at $\gamma > 1$ too), and the smooth solitons do not exhibit the flipping dynamics. Nevertheless, simulations performed at $d > 1$ with the input in the form of the striped solitons demonstrate that regular flipping-shuttle dynamics still occurs, as shown in Fig. 5(a) and (b) for $\gamma = 1.25$, $d = 0.05$, $\lambda = 0.2$, and $N = 2$. The increase of $\gamma$ from 1.25 to 1.30, and of $d$ from 0.05 to 0.1 leads to chaotization of the flipping dynamics, as shown in Fig. 5(c).

III. FLIPPING-SHUTTLE DYNAMICS OF TWO-DIMENSIONAL SV (SEMI-VORTEX) SOLITONS

The 2D GPE system, which includes the SOC of the Rashba type (again, with coefficient $\lambda$) and the RC terms in 2D, along with an harmonic-oscillator (HO) trapping potential (generally, an anisotropic one, with confining frequencies
The period of the flipping-shuttle motion of a robust 2D semi-vortex obtained from simulations of Eq. (15), at $\gamma = 1$, $d = 0.001$, $\lambda = 1$, $\Omega_+ = 0$, $\Omega_- = 1$, and $N = 5$. The motion of the soliton’s center in the $y$ direction, in the course of the shuttle motion. (c) Cross-section profiles of components $|\phi_+ (x, y)|$ and $|\phi_- (x, y)|$, drawn along $y = 0$ (solid and dashed lines), at $t = 61.5 \times n (n = 0, 1, \cdots, 10)$.

Four snapshot profiles of $\phi_+$, displayed at (a) $t = 30.75$, (b) $t = 61.5$, (c) $t = 123$, and (d) $t = 184.5$, illustrate the dynamics of the flipping evolution of a 2D semi-vortex, presented in Fig. 7. Different colors cover four regions, defined by $|\phi_+| > 0.1$, $\text{Re} (\phi_+) > 0$, $\text{Im} (\phi_+) > 0$ (green); $|\phi_+| > 0.1$, $\text{Re} (\phi_+) < 0$, $\text{Im} (\phi_+) > 0$ (blue); $|\phi_+| > 0.1$, $\text{Re} (\phi_+) < 0$, $\text{Im} (\phi_+) < 0$ (red); $|\phi_+| > 0.1$, $\text{Re} (\phi_+) > 0$, $\text{Im} (\phi_+) < 0$ (purple). The junction point of the four colors (sometimes seen as a white dot) is the pivot of the vortex, which enters the zero-vorticity component from outside through the edge, attains the central position, and then moves backwards, exiting the component through the same edge.

At $d = 0$ and $\gamma \leq 1$, Eqs. (15) in free space (with $\Omega_{x,y} = 0$) give rise to stable bright solitons in the form of the SVs, composed of an isotropic wave field with zero vorticity in one component, and a solitary vortex in the other. Loosely speaking, the SVs may be considered as the 2D generalization of the 1D striped solitons (in particular, the difference of even and odd parities of the two components of the 1D solitons resembles the difference of the zero and nonzero

FIG. 9: (a) The period of the flipping-shuttle motion of a robust 2D semivortex vs. $d$ at $\lambda = 1$. (b) The amplitude $2\Xi$ vs. $\lambda$ of the shuttle motion at $d = 0.01$. Other parameters are the same as in Fig. 7.
FIG. 10: The evolution of amplitudes of components $|\phi_+ (x,y)|$ and $|\phi_- (x,y)|$ (solid and dashed lines, respectively) of the 2D semi-vortex soliton at $d = 0.1$ (a) and $d = 0.05$ (b). Other parameters are $\gamma = 0$, $\lambda = 1$, $\Omega_x = 0$, $\Omega_y = 1$, and $N = 4$, in both cases.

FIG. 11: (a) The trajectory of chaotic motion of the center of mass of the semi-vortex soliton under the action of a shallow isotropic HO trapping potential, with $\Omega_x = \Omega_y = 0.01$, other parameters being $d = 0.02$, $\gamma = 1$, $\lambda = 1$. (b) The evolution of amplitudes of components $|\phi_+ (x,y)|$ and $|\phi_- (x,y)|$ (solid and dashed curves, respectively) in the same case.

vorticities of the SV’s components). Note that, although coordinates $x$ and $y$ in the free-space version of Eq. (15) appear differently, the equations are invariant with respect to a change of the notation which readily swaps $x$ and $y$: $\phi_+ \equiv \phi_{xx}, \phi_- \equiv i\phi_{-y}$, $\tilde{x} \equiv -y$, $\tilde{y} \equiv x$. The total norm of the 2D soliton is

$$N = \int \int [ |\phi_+ (x,y)|^2 + |\phi_- (x,y)|^2 ] dxdy.$$

(16)

Similar to the 1D system, we here focus on the Manakov’s nonlinearity, with $\gamma = 1$, which is quite close to the physically relevant situation, as mentioned above. First of all, in the free space ($\Omega_{x,y} = 0$), results reported in Ref. [46] actually demonstrate that, under the action of the RC terms with strength $d$, the SV moves at a constant velocity,

$$v_y = d/\lambda.$$

(17)

Indeed, the transformation of Eq. (15) with $d = 0$ and $\Omega_{x,y} = 0$ into a reference frame moving in the $y$ direction with velocity $v_y$, which is carried out by means of the substitution,

$${\phi}_\pm(x,y;t) = \tilde{\phi}_\pm (x,y-v_y t; t) \exp [ iv_y y - (i/2) v_y^2 t]$$

(18)

generates effective RC terms with $d = -\lambda v_y$, which compensate the RC terms in Eq. (1), thus making the existence of the solitons moving at velocity (17) obvious. In exact accordance with this, simulations of Eq. (15) with $\Omega_{x,y} = 0$ produce stable SVs moving at a constant velocity in the $y$ direction, as shown in Fig. 6. The initial condition is taken not as Eq. (18) at $t = 0$, but as the stationary SV state of Eq. (15) with $\Omega_{x,y} = 0$ and without the RC term. The center of mass of the 2D solitons is defined as

$${\{X,Y\}} = N^{-1} \int \int \{x,y\} (|\phi_+|^2 + |\phi_-|^2) dxdy$$

(19)
the oscillate without crossing zero. In this case, the critical value at which the flipping regime sets in is in the matter-wave dynamics.

of the soliton as a whole. These results predict a possibility to observe new macroscopic manifestations of the SOC in both cases, the intrinsic oscillations of the internal structure of the soliton are coupled to the periodic shuttle motion.

to periodic flippings between the spatially even and odd components of 1D striped solitons, and between the zero-vorticity and vortical components of the stable 2D semi-vortices, in the presence of a quasi-1D confining potential. In both cases, the intrinsic oscillations of the internal structure of the soliton are coupled to the periodic shuttle motion of the soliton as a whole. These results predict a possibility to observe new macroscopic manifestations of the SOC in the matter-wave dynamics.

Lastly, it is relevant to stress that the presence of the anisotropic HO trapping potential, which acts only along the y direction in the case corresponding to Figs. 7 and 9, is essential for supporting the robust flipping-shuttle dynamical regime for the SVs in the 2D geometry. If an isotropic HO trapping potential is used, with \( \Omega_x = \Omega_y \), the evolution of the SV becomes chaotic under the action of the RC, and regular shuttle motion is not observed, as shown in Fig. 11. A possible explanation to this may be the mismatch between the isotropic shape of the trapping potential and anisotropic structure of the SOC operator in Eq. (15). In a detailed form, this situation may be analyzed in a finite-mode approximation, expanding the two components of the wave function over a truncated set of eigenstates of the isotropic HO Hamiltonian, and, accordingly, replacing the coupled GPEs by a system of ordinary differential equations for the evolution of amplitudes of the truncated expansion (cf. Ref. [69]), but detailed analysis of this approach is beyond the scope of the present work.

IV. CONCLUSION

The objective of this work is to expand the variety of macroscopic quantum effects produced by coherent evolution of matter waves in BEC. To this end, we have considered the dynamics of 1D and 2D solitons in the binary SOC (spin-orbit-coupled) system with intrinsic self- and cross-attractive interactions, under the action of the linear RC (Rabi coupling). The latter ingredient of the system can be readily induced by a resonant GHz-frequency electromagnetic wave mixing different atomic states representing the two components of the binary condensate. The RC gives rise to periodic flippings between the spatially even and odd components of 1D striped solitons, and between the zero-vorticity and vortical components of the stable 2D semi-vortices, in the presence of a quasi-1D confining potential. In both cases, the intrinsic oscillations of the internal structure of the soliton are coupled to the periodic shuttle motion of the soliton as a whole. These results predict a possibility to observe new macroscopic manifestations of the SOC in the matter-wave dynamics.

As an extension of the present analysis, it may be relevant to consider interactions, including collisions, between 1D and 2D solitons performing the flipping-shuttle oscillations. A challenging possibility is to extend the consideration to the full 3D setting.
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