Heavy Metals Contamination of Soil in the Vicinity of Hawassa Industrial Zone, Ethiopia
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ABSTRACT: Industrial effluents, containing heavy metals, drain directly into downstream water sources within the Hawassa Industrial Zone. These, water sources are used for irrigation, drinking water and other domestic purposes. The load of pollutants, environmental risks and potential human impacts are generally, unknown for soil in Ethiopia. Therefore, the aim of this study was to determine the extent of heavy metal pollution of soil within the Hawassa Industrial Zone and to evaluate environmental impacts using contamination factor (CF), degree of contamination (C\text{d}), modified degree of contamination (mC\text{d}), ecological risk factor (E\text{r}), ecological risk index (ERI) and pollution load index (PLI) analyses. Seven heavy metals: Cr, Ni, Cu, Zn, As, Cd and Pb were determined in soil samples from three different sites by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). Average concentration of metals decreased in the order of Zn>Cu>Cr>Ni>Pb>As>Cd consistent with the normal order of abundance in non-polluted soils. One way ANOVA revealed significant differences (P<0.05) in the concentrations of Cu, As, Cd and Pb among the sampling sites. Pearson’s correlation, principal component and cluster analyses revealed that heavy metals are originating from different sources. Within the industrial area, the CF increased in the order of Pb<Cd<Zn<As<Cu<Cr<Ni. The result of C\text{d} revealed that there was considerable to very high contamination of the soil. The mC\text{d} index showed moderate contamination of the soils. The E\text{r} increased in the order of Zn<Cr<Pb<Cu<Ni<As<Cd and the result of the ERI value revealed considerable ecological risk for the soils. Overall, the study showed that the soils within the Hawassa Industrial Zone are highly contaminated with heavy metals. Therefore, regular monitoring of heavy metals concentration in soil and policy interventions with respect to waste disposal are recommended.
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Soil pollution by heavy metals has become a severe global problem (Danica and Juraj, 2020; Fawen et al., 2020), especially for African countries like Ethiopia where waste disposal is a major problem. Although heavy metals occur naturally in soil, anthropogenic activities such as agriculture (fertilizers and pesticides application), urbanization, industrialization and mining significantly raises the levels of heavy metals. As a result of rapid industrialization and urbanization, the disposal of untreated and/or partially treated effluents from various industries, urban wastes, and the use of agrochemicals, the pollution level has reached alarming situation in Ethiopia with increasing metal levels and deterioration of agricultural soil quality (Benti et al., 2016; Ftsum and Abraha, 2018). At present, agricultural soils in Ethiopia are becoming increasingly polluted with heavy metals, especially, in urban centers. The dominant heavy metal pollutant sources in Ethiopian agricultural soils are irrigation with rivers/streams laden with industrial effluents and application of fertilizers and pesticides (Hintsø et al., 2016; Gebeeyehu and Bayissa, 2020). Hawassa Industrial Zone consists of a cluster of industries (Moha Soft Drink, Brewery-BGI, Textile, etc) that discharge untreated and/or partially treated effluents directly into the nearby streams that the local community uses for different purposes such as irrigation, water supply to their cattle, and other domestic uses (Zinabu and Zerihun, 2002; Solomon et al., 2015). Although there are some studies (Hintsø et al., 2016; Ftsum and Abraha, 2018; Gebeeyehu and Bayissa, 2020) on the concentration of heavy metals in soils in Ethiopia, there is no such study in Hawassa Industrial Zone. Therefore, the aim of this study was to determine the concentration of heavy metals in soil from Hawassa Industrial Zone and assess pollution of the soil using different environmental indices such as contamination factor (CF), degree of contamination (C\text{d}), modified degree of contamination (mC\text{d}), ecological risk factor (E\text{r}), ecological risk index (ERI)
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and pollution load index (PLI) analyses. These environmental indices provide information on the potential impacts from soil pollution in the area.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Description of the Study Area:** Hawassa Industrial Zone is located 275 km South of Addis Ababa (the capital of Ethiopia) at an altitude of 1697–1742 m a.s.l. at 7°06'N and 38°48'E. It has a sub-humid climate and has bimodal rainfall distribution. The main rainy season generally extends from June to October with a mean annual rainfall of about 1150 mm. The mean annual temperature of this area is 19.5°C, with March and April having the highest (31.5°C) and November and December having the lowest (15°C) temperature (Gezahegn, 2017). Hawassa Industrial Zone has a cluster of factories such as Textile, Moha-Soft Drink and Brewery-BGI. These factories discharge untreated and/or partially-treated wastewaters (Zinabu and Zerihun, 2002) into the nearby streams called Boga and Boicha that pass through the neighboring residential area before entering into “Tikur Wuha”, the only perennial river that flows into Lake Hawassa (Fig. 1). The residents of the downstream areas of the Boicha stream use the effluent laden water for irrigation, washing and watering their cattle, especially during the dry season.

**Soil Sampling Site Selection:** The sampling design aimed at reveal if the contamination levels exceed a threshold of unacceptable risk, identify locations of “Hot spots” (areas having high levels of contamination) and to characterize the nature and extent of contamination in the area. The sampling site was divided into three parts based on the effluent discharge routes. **Site one** was the Biological Lagoon area that receives effluents from the Hawassa Textile factory after settling for a short time in the Biological Lagoon on its way downstream to Boicha stream. **Site two** was the Boicha stream area that is the area where effluents from Textile, Moha-Soft Drink and Brewery-BGI factories meet downstream and merge into Tikur Wuha River. **Site three** was the Reference site, located 10 km from the Industrial Zone towards the North around Wondo Genet. It was selected as a Reference Site or a benchmark, to compare changes taking place in the Industrial Zone. This site is assumed to be free from urban and industrial effluents and that there is relatively little influence from human activities.

**Soil Sampling:** Soil samples were collected randomly from agricultural land near the Biological Lagoon (**Site one**), agricultural land near the Boicha stream (**Site two**) and the Reference site agricultural land (**Site three**) using adaptive core sampling technique as described in Nybakken (1988). Ten top soil samples (0-20 cm) were collected from each site. Standard methods (Buckley and Cranston, 1971; Berrow and Mitchell, 1993) were used for collecting soil samples, preparation and analyses of heavy metals. The samples were sealed in polyethylene bags and kept in the refrigerator at 4°C for 5 days before being transported to Norway. The samples were air transported, in icebox filled with ice, to the Soil and Water Laboratory of the Norwegian University of Life Sciences (NMBU) for analyses of heavy metal concentrations (Cr, Ni, Cu, Zn, As, Cd and Pb).

**Sample Preparation and Analyses for Heavy Metals:** At NMBU, the soil samples were freeze-dried at app. -50.0°C at 180 mTorr until dryness, and then screened by passing it through a sieve of 2 cm mesh size and crushed using pestle and mortar. Dry soil samples (0.25 g) were weighed into Teflon vials and 5 ml ultrapure HNO₃ was added to the vials before they were digested in an Ultracclave (Milestone) at 260°C for 1.5 hours. Digested soil samples were diluted with ultrapure Mill-Q water and analyzed for heavy metals using ICP-MS (Agilent 8800 QQQ). Rh, Tn and Bi were used as internal standards, and O₂ gas modus was used to avoid interferences (As). According to reference material (CRM1575), the recoveries were from 90-95 %.

**Physicochemical Properties of Soils:** The organic carbon (OC) content of the soil was determined by loss on ignition (LOI) by heating the dry soil at 550.0°C for 4 hours (Hoogsteen et al., 2015). The cation exchange capacity (CEC) was determined by using sodium acetate and ammonium acetate solutions based on the standard published methods (Loring and Rantala, 1992). The soil pH was measured using a digital pH-
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Statistical Analyses: Data were analyzed using SPSS software version 20.0 and R studio. One way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare site wise differences in mean values of the parameters at α=0.05 level of significance. Where significant differences were indicated, means were tested using Tukey’s multiple comparisons test at α=0.05 significance level. Pearson’s correlation with statistical significance was tested at P<0.05 and P<0.01. Multivariate Statistical Approach (Principal component analysis and hierarchal cluster analysis) was performed using R studio for heavy metals.

Assessment of Ecological Risk of Heavy Metals in the Soils: Six assessment indices, which are explained below, were used to ascertain the potential ecological risk of heavy metals in the soils at Hawassa Industrial Zone. These include contamination factor (CF), degree of contamination (Cd), modified degree of contamination (mCd), single element potential risk factor (Er), potential ecological risk index (ERI) and pollution load index (PLI).

Contamination Factor (CF): The level of contamination of soils by heavy metals suggested by Håkanson (1980) is expressed by CF. Although Håkanson has based his indices of lake sediments; his method has been developed further to soils as used by Nwankwo et al. (2019) and Danica and Juraj (2020). It is obtained from a ratio between the measured concentration of the heavy metal in soil and the pre-industrial reference value for the same metal as in Equation (1):

$$ CF = \frac{C}{C_r} \quad (1) $$

Where: C is concentration of the metal in soil (mg kg⁻¹); C_r is the reference value, baseline level, or national criteria for metals.

Since there is no data on pre-industrial level of heavy metal concentrations for the study sites (no national criteria for the heavy metals in Ethiopia), concentrations of elements in the earth’s crust was used as a reference value (Krzyostof et al., 2004). Accordingly, the reference values are 100 mg kg⁻¹ for Cr, Ni (75), Cu (55), Zn (70), As (15), Cd (0.15) and 12.5 mg kg⁻¹ for Pb (32). According to Håkanson (1980), the CF is categorized as: CF<1, low contamination factor; 1 ≤CF< 3, moderate contamination factor; 3 ≤CF< 6, considerable contamination factor; and CF≥ 6, very high contamination factor.

Degree of Contamination (Cd): Degree of contamination is an overall indicator of contamination based on the calculation of CF for each pollutant (Xu et al., 2015). It is defined as the sum of all contamination factors as in Equation (2):

$$ Cd = \sum_{i=1}^{n} CF \quad (2) $$

Where n = number of analyzed elements, i= i_th element (or pollutant), CF= contamination factor. According to Håkanson (1980), the degree of contamination is rated as follows:

| C_d | Closest description |
|-----|---------------------|
| C_d<6 | Low degree of contamination |
| 6≤C_d<12 | Moderate degree of contamination |
| 12≤C_d<24 | Considerable degree of contamination |
| C_d≥24 | Very high degree of contamination |

Modified Degree of Contamination (mCd): The mCd was introduced to estimate the overall degree of contamination at a given site (Xu et al., 2015). Modified degree of contamination is defined as the sum of Cd divided by the number of analyzed heavy metals and estimated according to Equation (3).

$$ mCd = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} CF}{n} \quad (3) $$

Where: n= number of analyzed elements, i= i_th element and CF = contamination factor. Modified degree of contamination (mCd) is rated according to Håkanson (1980) as follows:

| mCd | Closest description |
|-----|---------------------|
| mCd<1.5 | Very low degree of contamination |
| 1.5≤mCd<2 | Low degree of contamination |
| 2≤mCd<4 | Moderate degree of contamination |
| 4≤mCd<8 | High degree of contamination |
| 8≤mCd<16 | Very high degree of contamination |
| 16≤mCd<32 | Extremely high degree of contamination |
| mCd≥32 | Ultra-high degree of contamination |

| Site                  | OM   | pH    | CEC (meq/1000g) | Sand (%) | Clay (%) | Silt (%) |
|-----------------------|------|-------|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Biological Lagoon area| 13.53| 7.42  | 72.69           | 51.34    | 30.50    | 18.16    |
| Boicha stream area     | 18.68| 7.21  | 70.20           | 48.98    | 32.76    | 18.26    |
| Reference site         | 12.94| 7.10  | 65.70           | 40.84    | 38.50    | 20.66    |

meq = milliequivalent, CEC = cation exchange capacity, OM = organic matter.
Single Element Potential Ecological Risk Factor (E$_i$): An ecological risk factor ($E_i$) is based on contamination factor of a metal and its toxic response factor. It is used to quantitatively express the potential ecological risk of a single heavy metal risk proposed by the Swedish scholar Håkanson (1980), and calculated using Equation (4).

$$Er = TrCF$$

Where $T_r$ is the toxic-response factor for a given substance with values for Zn = 1, As = 10, Cd = 30, Cr = 2, Cu = 5, Pb = 5, Ni = 5 according to Håkanson (1980), and $CF$ is the contamination factor of each metal. The potential ecological risks were classified on the basis of the values of the risk factor as: $E_r < 40$, low potential ecological risk; 40 < $E_r$ < 80, moderate potential ecological risk; 80 < $E_r$ < 160, considerable potential ecological risk; 160 < $E_r$ < 320, high potential ecological risk; and $E_r$ > 320 very high potential ecological risks.

Potential Ecological Risk Index (ERI): Potential ecological risk index is one of the most commonly used evaluation method of metal pollution. It incorporates the concentration of heavy metals with environmental effect, toxicology, and used to assess the heavy metals pollution and ecological hazard (Xu et al., 2015). Potential ecological risk index is defined as the sum of all single element ecological risk factors (Håkanson, 1980) as in Equation (5):

$$ERI = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Er$$

Table 2 Classification of potential ecological risk (Håkanson, 1980):

| $E_r$ | Status of potential ecological risk for single heavy metal | ERI | Status of ecological risk for environment |
|------|-------------------------------------------------|------|-----------------------------------------|
| $E_r$ = 0 | Low | ERI = 100 | Low |
| 0 < $E_r$ < 40 | Moderate | 100 < ERI < 120 | Moderate |
| 40 < $E_r$ < 100 | Considerable | 120 < ERI < 200 | Considerable |
| 100 < $E_r$ < 150 | | 200 < ERI < 500 | High |
| $E_r$ = 200 | Very high | ERI > 500 | Very high |

Pollution Load Index (PLI): The pollution load index provides simple but comparative means for assessing the level of heavy metal pollution (Tomlinson et al., 1980). Each sampling site can be evaluated for the extent of the metal pollution by employing the method based on the PLI developed by Tomlinson et al. (1980) as below:

$$PLI = (CF_1 	imes CF_2 	imes CF_3 	imes ... 	imes CF_n)^{1/n}$$

Where: $CF$ is the contamination factor; $n$ is the number of metals studied and $CF^n$ is contamination factor for $n^{th}$ element as mentioned above. According to Tomlinson et al. (1980), PLI < 1 means that there is no indication of pollution; if it is greater than 1, there is metal pollution.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Levels of Heavy Metals in Soils: The mean concentration of heavy metals in the sampling sites is shown in Table 3. Mean concentrations of heavy metals in the soils generally followed the order: Zn > Cu > Cr > Ni > Pb > As > Cd from Biological Lagoon area, Zn > Cu > Ni > Cr > Pb > As > Cd from Boicha stream area and Zn > Cr > Ni > Pb > Cu > As > Cd from the Reference site. The results of the present study revealed that the concentrations of Cr in the soil were lower than the values reported by Fitsum and Abraha (2018) Cr (53.8 mg kg$^{-1}$) from Mekelle and Hintsa et al. (2016) Cr (40.66 mg kg$^{-1}$) from Adwa, Ethiopia. Similarly, Gebeeyehu and Bayissa (2020) reported higher values of Ni (35.38 mg kg$^{-1}$) and Pb (37.93 mg kg$^{-1}$) in soils from Mojo area, Ethiopia. The mean concentrations of Cd in the present study were lower than the values reported by Temesgen and Seyoum (2017) Cd (0.98 mg kg$^{-1}$) from Koka and Danica and Juraj (2020) Cd (0.73 0.98 mg kg$^{-1}$) from Slovakia. On the contrary, our results revealed that the concentrations of other heavy metals were higher than those reported by Fitsum and Abraha (2018) Cu (20.6 mg kg$^{-1}$) from Mekelle, Temesgen and Seyoum (2017) Zn (67.9 mg kg$^{-1}$) from Koka, Danica and Juraj (2020), from a study in Slovakia, reported 90.07 mg kg$^{-1}$ of As in soils. This value is extremely higher than the mean concentrations detected in soils (8.36, 6.72 and 1.51 mg kg$^{-1}$ in soils from Biological Lagoon area, Boicha stream area and the Reference site, respectively) in this study. The differences could be attributed to variances in the level of anthropogenic inputs such as industrial activities, municipality wastewater discharges and the use of agrochemicals. Moreover, differences in natural sources of heavy metals such as rocks can be the reason for the differences in soil heavy metals between the studies (USEPA, 1996). The mean concentrations of Cr, Cu, As and Pb were higher, while the mean concentrations of Ni, Zn and Cd were lower in soils from Biological Lagoon area compared to the soils from Boicha stream area (Table 3). Higher concentrations of Cr, Cu, As and Pb in the soils from Biological Lagoon area can be due to the discharges from Hawassa textile factory that had been using dyeing chemicals for many years according to Zinabu et al. (1982). Other possible reasons for the higher concentrations of Cu, As and Pb in soils from Biological Lagoon area can be the use of chemical fertilizers and pesticides in the agricultural land near the lagoon (Raymond and Felix, 2011). The higher concentration of As in the soil is likely due to the...
arsenic-containing compounds (Lead arsenate) that have been used to control pests in fruits and vegetables in the study area as noted by to Ermiyas et al. (2014). Higher concentrations of Pb in the soils from Biological Lagoon area may be due to the emission of Pb from discharge of motor oils, grease, fuel burning and buttery from the city since this site is closer to the city compared to the other sites. The application of livestock manures for agricultural lands is a common practice in the present study areas and it can be a possible reason for high Ni concentration in the soils as reported by Basta et al. (2005), in addition to the effluents from Brewery-BGI and Moha Soft Drink factories. The possible reason for higher concentration of Zn in the soils in the study areas can be through air deposition from nearby roads since there is busy road around the areas in addition to discharges from industries (USEPA, 1996). Reflecting lower degree of impact from pollutant activities, the mean concentrations of all heavy metals were lower in soils from the Reference site compared to their concentrations from the other two sites. This shows that the reference site is further away from anthropogenic influences (urban and industrial wastewater). There were statistically significant differences ($P<0.05$) in the mean concentrations of Cu, As, Cd and Pb in soils among the study sites (Table 3). The concentrations of all heavy metals in soils sampled from all the study sites were below the maximum permissible level (MPL) for agricultural soil by FAO/WHO (2001) see Table 3. This shows that the soils from all the study areas could be safe for agricultural activities with respect to the studied heavy metals. However, this must be cautiously interpreted as there could be uptake of heavy metals by vegetables grown on these soils, and being accumulated and transferred to other living organisms through the food chain.

| Site                  | Cr         | Ni         | Cu         | Zn         | As         | Cd         | Pb         |
|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Biological Lagoon area| 26.10 ± 1.02a | 13.90 ± 0.38a | 73.30 ± 1.12a | 133.0 ± 5.97a | 8.36 ± 0.23a | 0.22 ± 0.005b | 12.90 ± 0.38a |
| Boicha stream area    | 19.70 ± 0.47b | 21.90 ± 1.09b | 28.72 ± 1.00a | 140.0 ± 3.94a | 6.72 ± 0.24a | 0.25 ± 0.005b | 10.93 ± 0.40a |
| Reference site        | 19.40 ± 0.48b | 12.70 ± 0.59b | 8.91 ± 0.50a | 129.0 ± 3.79a | 1.51 ± 0.18a | 0.16 ± 0.01c | 9.22 ± 0.17a |

**Mean values with different superscript letters in a column are significantly different ($P<0.05$) from each other at $\alpha = 0.05$.**

**MPL=Maximum Permissible Limit for agricultural soils according to FAO/WHO, 2001.**

**Correlation between Heavy Metals in Soils:** Correlation analysis was performed in order to check for the existence of correlations among heavy metals in the soils. According to Diop et al. (2015), examination of correlations provides clues on the sources of pollution, distribution and similarities of behaviors of heavy metals. The results of Pearson’s correlation coefficients from this study are presented in Table 4. The analysis revealed that there were significant ($P<0.01$, $P<0.05$) positive correlations between heavy metals: Cr-Cu ($r=0.80$), Cr-As ($r=0.59$), Cr-Pb ($r=0.61$), Ni-Zn ($r=0.41$), Ni-Cd ($r=0.64$), Cu-As ($r=0.85$), Cu-Pb ($r=0.82$), As-Cd ($r=0.71$), As-Pb ($r=0.73$), and Cd-Pb ($r=0.44$). The results of the present study are similar with the findings of Elżbieta et al. (2020) who reported significant positive correlations between: Cr-Cu ($r=0.793$), Cr-Pb ($r=0.663$), Ni-Zn ($r=0.617$), Ni-Cd ($r=0.483$), Cu-Pb ($r=0.756$) and Cd-Pb ($r=0.588$) in the soils from Poland. As noted by Elżbieta et al. (2020), the correlations among the above heavy metal pairs indicate that they may have originated from common sources. Correlations between Zn and other heavy metals were very low, suggesting that the pollution sources of Zn differed from those of the other metals. This result was also supported by the results of cluster analysis revealing separate cluster of Zn from other heavy metals (Fig. 2). The result of the present study is different from the findings of Abu et al. (2019) who reported negative correlations between Zn-Cu, Cd-Cu, and Pb-Cu from Bangladesh. The differences might be due to the differences in physicochemical properties of the soils between the two studies.

**Table 4 Pearson’s correlation matrix between heavy metal concentrations in soils from Hawassa Industrial Zone.**

|            | Cr | Ni  | Cu | Zn | As  | Cd  | Pb  |
|------------|----|-----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|
| Cr         | 1  | -0.28 | 1  | -0.10 | 1  | 0.80** | 1  |
| Ni         | -0.28 | 1  | -0.10 | 1  | 0.80** | 1  | 0.41* |
| Cu         | 0.80** | -0.10 | 1  | 0.41* | 1  | 0.30  | 0.85** |
| Zn         | -0.08 | 0.41* | 0.03 | 1  | 0.16  | 0.36  | 0.28  | 0.71** |
| As         | 0.59** | 0.30  | 0.85** | 0.16 | 1  | 0.64** | 0.36  | 0.28  | 0.71** | 1  |
| Cd         | 0.05  | 0.64** | 0.36  | 0.28  | 0.71** | 1  |
| Pb         | 0.61** | 0.04  | 0.82** | 0.14  | 0.73** | 0.44* | 1  |

**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level and *correlation is significant at the 0.05 level**

**Sources of Heavy Metals:** Hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA) was applied to heavy metal contents of the soil by using Euclidean distance as a measure of similarity (Fig. 2). The results indicated two main clusters:
Cluster 1 includes (Zn) and Cluster 2 contains (Cu, Cr, Cd, Ni, As and Pb) which is further grouped into (Cu and Cr), and (Cd, Ni, As and Pb). Heavy metals such as Cu and Cr were included in the same cluster indicating similar origin. The result of the present study is consistent with the findings of Rajnees et al. (2019) who reported Cr and Cu grouped in the same cluster in soil from India. Similarly, heavy metals like Cd, Ni, As and Pb were included in the same cluster probably originated from the same source. Zinc alone was grouped in a separate cluster indicating the likelihood that it originated from a source different from the other heavy metals.

The result of the principal component (PC) analysis is presented in Table 5. The first two principal components explained 78% of the total variance for soil heavy metals. PC1 had maximum loadings on As, Cu and Pb, and explained 50.15% of the total variance. Natural sources like rock mineralization as well as anthropogenic sources such as industrial effluents, agrochemicals (fertilizers and pesticides) and releases from automobiles might have contributed to the high content of these heavy metals. The result of the present study is consistent with the findings of Rajnees et al. (2019) who reported maximum loading on Cu and Pb at PC1 in soil from India. PC2 had maximum loadings on Ni, Zn and Cd, and explained 28.06% of the total variance (Fig. 3).

### Table 5 Factor loadings of heavy metals from Soil of Hawassa Industrial Zone

| Heavy metal | PC1 | PC2 |
|-------------|-----|-----|
| Cr          | 0.71 | -0.56 |
| Ni          | 0.22 | **0.88** |
| Cu          | **0.92** | -0.32 |
| Zn          | 0.20 | **0.59** |
| As          | **0.95** | 0.11 |
| Cd          | 0.64 | **0.63** |
| Pb          | **0.87** | -0.14 |
| % Variance  | 50.15 | 28.06 |

Potential Ecological Risk of Heavy Metals: The Contamination Factor (CF) ranged from 2.03-4.35 for Cr, 2.13-7.30 (Ni), 0.44-6.11 (Cu), 1.22-2.80 (Zn), 0.40-4.18 (As), 1.20-2.28 (Cd), and 1.08-1.84 for Pb (Table 6). The CF of heavy metals was in the order: Ni>Cr>Cu>As>Zn>Cd>Pb. The CF value of Ni for soil from Boicha stream area and CF value of Cu from Biological Lagoon area were greater than 6, indicating that the soils in these areas were very highly contaminated with Ni and Cu (Table 6). Danica and Juraj (2020), from a study in Slovakia, reported CF=6 for As, Cd, Pb, and Zn, higher values than our findings. It could be attributed to iron ore mining works in the area, which do not exist in present study areas. The value of Cd was 25.73, 22.98 and 8.50 from the Biological Lagoon area, Boicha stream area and the Reference site, respectively. This reveals that soils at the Biological Lagoon area, Boicha stream area and Reference site were very highly, considerably and moderately contaminated with heavy metals, respectively (Table 6). The contamination status of the study sites by heavy metals using Cd was in the order of: Biological Lagoon area > Boicha stream area > Reference site. The Biological Lagoon area might be exposed to heavy metal pollution from various activities such as long term industrial discharges from Hawassa textile factory, emissions from vehicles since it is near to the busy road, residential wastes and sewage effluents compared to the other two sites. The contamination status of the soils using mCd index was modified contamination in Biological Lagoon and Boicha stream areas and low contamination in the Reference site (Table 6). This shows that soils from Biological Lagoon and Boicha stream areas were more contaminated by heavy metals than the soils from the Reference site likely due to more anthropogenic influences. The single element ecological risk factor (Eci) values ranged from 6.47-8.70 for Cr, 21.17-36.50 (Ni), 3.71-30.54 (Cu), 2.58-2.80 (Zn), 7.54-41.80 (As), 43.36-68.46 (Cd) and 6.59-9.21 for Pb. The Eci values of As and Cd in Biological Lagoon area, and Cd in Boicha stream area and the Reference site were between 40 and 80, indicating moderate potential ecological risks. Teresiah et al. (2016) reported much lower values of Eci (Cr = 0.01, Ni = 0.02, Cu = 0.25, Zn = 0.12, As = 0.61, Cd = 9.92 and Pb = 0.15) in
agricultural soils from Kenya than the values obtained in this study. This disparity in Ec values might be due to differences in pollution levels between the study areas. The average Ec of heavy metals in the soil was in the order: Cd>As>Ni>Cu>Pb>Cr>Zn. The Ec value was between 150 and 200 for Biological Lagoon and Boicha stream areas, indicating considerable potential ecological risks whereas it was less than 100 for the Reference site, indicating low potential ecological risks. The higher values of ERI at Biological Lagoon and Boicha stream areas might be due to the industrial and urban wastes. The PLI values were greater than unity (≥1) for soils from Biological Lagoon and Boicha stream areas (Table 7) revealing that the soil from these sites was polluted with heavy metals.

Table 6 Contamination factor (CF), degree of contamination (C₃i), modified degree of contamination and pollution load index (PLI) of heavy metals for soils from Hawassa Industrial Zone.

| Site                  | Contamination factor (CF) | Pollution load index (PLI) |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
|                       | Cr | Ni | Cu | Zn | As | Cd | Pb | C₃i | Status  | mC₃ | Status   | PLI level |
| Biological Lagoon area| 4.35| 4.53| 6.11| 2.66| 4.18| 1.95| 1.84| 25.73| Very high | 3.68| Moderate | 3.69 Polluted |
| Boicha stream area     | 3.28| 7.30| 2.39| 2.80| 3.36| 2.28| 1.56| 22.98| Considerable | 3.28| Moderate | 2.95 Polluted |
| Reference site         | 2.03| 2.13| 0.44| 1.22| 0.40| 1.20| 1.08| 6.50 | Moderate | 1.21| Low | 0.98 Not polluted |

Table 7 Ecological risk factor (Er) and Potential ecological risk index (RI) of heavy metals for soils from Hawassa Industrial Zone.

| Site                  | Ecological risk factor (Er) | Ecological risk index (RI) | Ecological risk index (RI) |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                       | Cr | Ni | Cu | Zn | As | Cd | Pb | Er | RI |
| Biological Lagoon area| 8.70| 23.17| 30.54| 2.66| 41.80| 58.64| 9.21| 174.72 | Considerable |
| Boicha stream area     | 6.57| 36.50| 11.97| 2.80| 33.60| 68.46| 7.81| 167.69 | Considerable |
| Reference site         | 6.47| 21.17| 3.71| 2.58| 7.54| 43.36| 6.59| 91.42 | Low |

Conclusions: The findings of this study have revealed that the soil from Hawassa Industrial Zone is highly contaminated with heavy metals. This puts the neighboring communities into health risks through consumption of vegetables grown on such soils and hence calls for regular monitoring. The study also presents relevant information on potential ecological risk of soil in upcoming industrialized areas of developing countries. Furthermore, the study provides basic data for comparative studies with highly industrialized parts of the world.
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