Ectoparasite of *Tupaia glis* (Scandentia: Tupaiidae) from Lingai agricultural area, Terengganu
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**ARTICLE INFO**

**ABSTRACT**

**Objective:** To investigate ectoparasite fauna on the common tree shrew (*Tupaia glis* (*T. glis*)) in Lingai agricultural area, Terengganu.

**Methods:** The sampling was conducted once a month with five consecutive days from November 2012 to February 2013. Five mammal cage traps (60 cm × 30 cm × 30 cm) and 30 rat cage traps (45 cm × 15 cm × 15 cm) baited with banana, salt fish or fleshy-grilled coconut were used. One line transect was built and each trap was set up along the line transect with 5 m intervals. Ectoparasite was collected by combing host’s fur vigorously and kept in vials containing 70% ethanol.

**Results:** Out of 23 hosts examined, 20 individuals (87%) of *T. glis* in Lingai agricultural area were infested by three species of ticks and two species of mites. It was found that *Laelaps echidninus* showed higher mean intensity (5) as compared to the other ectoparasites. However, the prevalence was higher on *Ixodes* sp. (43.5%) though its mean intensity was among the lowest (1.9) from the rest.

**Conclusions:** This study provides useful information of ectoparasite fauna infesting *T. glis* in the agricultural area. It is important to have knowledge regarding what type of ectoparasite infests small animals in agricultural area, which in turn can assist responsible agencies to take precaution if epidemic outbreaks caused by tick-borne zoonotic diseases occur in the future.

**1. Introduction**

The first record of *Tupaia glis* (*T. glis*) in 1780 was reported by William Ellis[1]. It was identified as *Sciurus dissimilis* (Lyon 1913). In Malaysia, common tree shrew (*T. glis* Diard, 1820) is called tupai tanah or ground squirrel. It is a small mammal which is native to the tropical forests of Southeast Asia and currently 20 species have been recorded. The *T. glis* are active on the ground than in trees[1], while squirrel is arboreal fauna. All *T. glis* are small, agile and omnivorous. Their food preference includes fruits and invertebrates especially arthropods[2]. Adult *T. glis* shows cannibalistic behaviour that newborn or fresh dead adult *T. glis* are eaten by others[3]. The International Union for Conservation of Nature has classified *T. glis* as a least concern species[4]. This is due to their high adaptability towards habitat degradation. Tree shrews have a wide range of habitat utilization and usually found in dipterocarp forests, secondary forests, plantations, fruit orchards and near human settlements.

In Malaysia, *T. glis* is among identified animals which threatened fruit orchards, although the level of destruction is lesser as compared to synanthropic primates such as monkey. Nonetheless, the problem is not only based on plummeted production yield, yet involves the effect of disease transmitted by them. Currently, the studies on the *T. glis*-ectoparasite relationship are limited in Malaysia. Understanding types of ectoparasite-parasitized *T. glis* is...
not only important for our knowledge, but also provides beneficial information for us to predict the host behaviour and assists the authorities to come out with an effective plan focusing in human health security[5]. This is because there was a case of plague outbreak among human in Vietnam caused by the small mammal and its ectoparasite which inhabited near human settlements[6].

Previous studies from Gombak Forest Reserve, Malaysia, reported that T. glis was found infested by Laelaps sculpturata, Laelaps aingworthae and Dermacentor sp. and also reported that three chiggers species, namely, Gahlrieia (Walchia) sp., Leptotrombidium delense and Ascoschoengastia sp. were found infested on T. gliss from Dusun Wildlife Reserve[7,8]. Two types of ectoparasites, chiggers and ticks, were reported to parasitize the T. glis in Ulu Muda Forest Reserve[9], while four species of ticks, one mesostigmatids species and eight species of chiggers were found to infest the T. glis in Panti Forest Reserve[5]. Although there were several studies done on the ectoparasites infested the T. glis in Malaysia, yet the information is still not enough especially in agricultural areas. Therefore, this study has been conducted with aims to identify the ectoparasites on T. glis in Terengganu with the focus area of Lingai agriculture area (LAA). Furthermore, this study also focuses the prevalence and mean intensity of T. glis ectoparasites.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study sites

This study was conducted in LAA (5°25’16.32” N, 102°59’58.46” E). The area is situated in 22.9 km from northwest of Kuala Terengganu and 16.1 km west from Universiti Malaysia Terengganu. The agricultural area is planted by rubber plantation and orchards. The orchards are planted by several types of fruit trees such as durian (Durio sp.), langsat (Lansium parasiticum), cempedak (Artocarpus integer), and bitter bean (Parkia speciosa).

2.2. T. glis sampling

The sampling was conducted once a month with five consecutive days from November 2012 to February 2013. Five mammals cage traps (60 cm × 30 cm × 30 cm) and 30 rat cage traps (45 cm × 15 cm × 15 cm) were used to capture the T. glis. One line transect was built and each trap was set up along the line transect with 5 m intervals. Traps were baited with either banana, salt fish or fleshy-grilled coconut. Traps were attended twice daily during day time at 08:00 and late evening at 17:00. Caught animals were kept individually in separate clean cloth bags to avoid the mixing of ectoparasites from one host to the other[5,8].

2.3. Ectoparasites collection

Caught hosts were then sedated with chloroform before examining their ectoparasites. The sedated hosts were placed on a white plain paper and combed vigorously from the tail forward with a toothbrush. Not only parasites under hosts’ furs were examined, but the ear and nasal canal were also thoroughly examined for chiggers. Ectoparasite especially ticks was dislodged by spraying 70% ethanol on it[10]. Dislodged ectoparasites which fell on the white plain paper were collected using fine pointed forceps or a moistened end of toothpick and kept in labeled vials containing 70% ethanol for preservation. A separate tube was used for the different host. All collected ectoparasites were deposited in Parasitology Laboratory of Institute of Tropical Aquaculture, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu for identification and curation.

2.4. Ectoparasites identification

All ectoparasites were sorted directly under a dissecting microscope. Identification was done by using Leica DM 750 and tabletop scanning electron microscope (TM-1000). All ectoparasites were identified for their genus and species by using available taxonomic keys[11,12].

2.5. Data analysis

The data such as the prevalence and mean intensity were analyzed by using the Quantitative Parasitology 3.0.

3. Results

A total of 23 individuals of T. glis were trapped, out of which 20 individuals (87%) were found to be infected by ectoparasites (Table 1). Five species of ectoparasites were identified comprising two orders, Metastigmata (ticks) and Mesostigmata (mites), and collected from T. gliss in LAA. T. glis predominantly were found to be infested by ticks with 19 individuals (82.6%) while only five (21.7%) T. glis were infested by mites. Ixodes sp. showed the highest prevalence rate (43.5%) followed by Haemaphysalis sp. (34.8%) while Amblyomma sp. have the least prevalence (4.3%). Regarding the mean intensity, L. echidninus showed the highest intensity with five individuals per host while Haemaphysalis sp. and Ixodes sp. recorded the lowest intensity with 1.9 individuals per host, respectively.

| Ectoparasite species | No. of host | Ectoparasite frequency | Ectoparasite percentage | Prevalence (%) | Mean intensity |
|----------------------|-------------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|---------------|
| Amblyomma sp.        | 1           | 2                      | 3.51                    | 4.3            | 2.0           |
| Haemaphysalis sp.    | 8           | 15                     | 26.32                   | 34.8           | 1.9           |
| Ixodes sp.           | 10          | 19                     | 33.33                   | 43.5           | 1.9           |
| L. echidninus        | 2           | 10                     | 17.54                   | 8.7            | 5.0           |
| Laelaps sp.          | 3           | 11                     | 19.30                   | 13.0           | 3.7           |
| Total                | 25          | 57                     | 100.00                  |                |               |

L. echidninus: Laelaps echidninus.
4. Discussion

In Malaysia, there have been substantial studies conducted especially in forest reserves to record, map and catalogue the geographical distributions of ectoparasites and their host preferences[8,9,13-19]. Unfortunately, only a few are focusing on agricultural, plantation, urban or near human settlement areas[7,20,21]. The short of studies of shrews-ectoparasite or rodent-ectoparasite relationship within or near human settlements should not be ignored as there is high possibility of shrews-borne or rodent-borne zoonotic diseases transmitted directly or indirectly to human through bites, urine and faeces.

The presence of ectoparasites on T. glis might be caused by a lack of grooming activities. Out of 110 observations on the behaviour of T. glis, just one grooming activity was observed (0.9%) while foraging was the most frequent activity (42.7% or 47 observations)[22]. Grooming was proven as the most effective way in reducing the ectoparasite load on animals whereas the number of ectoparasites would be on the wane as the frequency of grooming activities increases[23].

In a different perspective, the presence of ectoparasites was also influenced by the availability of different forest biotopes as different species of ticks have their own habitat preferences. Some species of the genus Haemaphysalis prefer different ecological conditions (e.g. Haemaphysalis turturis and Haemaphysalis kinneari prefer semi-evergreen and semi-deciduous forests; Haemaphysalis spinigera prefer deciduous forests, teak and eucalyptus plantations)[22]. Ecotone zone, however, is the most preferred habitat of various ectoparasite species[24]. Though T. glis is considered as a kind of forest dwelling animal[25], availability of various food sources consisting of variety of fruits causes T. glis to move out of the forest. Thus, the probability of them to get infested by ectoparasites is high since they have to get through the ecotone zone between the forest and LAA. Furthermore, the ecotone zone is also considered as a preferred site for ectoparasite host(s) or reservoir to find food sources and habitats[26].

Some of the ectoparasites species identified in this study were found to be hosts for single or several pathogens, which have been identified to have medical and veterinary interests. Ixodes sp. which was found infested T. gliss in this study is expected to be Ixodes granulatus since it is the only species which has been reported to be parasitized rats, squirrels and shrews in all active stages (larva, nymph and adult)[7]. Infestation of Ixodes granulatus is significant as Langat virus has often been segregated from it[27,28]. Furthermore, the genus Ixodes was also found related as a causative agent of tick-borne zoonotic diseases[29-31].

Knowledge of diseases carried by mites is still scanty and fragmentary. For example, although spiny rat mite (L. echidinus) is known as an agent to spread and transmit murine typhus among wild rodents, its role as a vector of human pathogens is perpetually undetermined. In South America, Junin virus which is known as a causative agent for Argentinean hemorrhagic fever had been isolated from rodents infested by L. echidinus[32]. Currently, there is no information whether L. echidinus in Asia harbor the Junin virus. Thus, dissemination of Junin virus in Southeast Asia remains uncertain. Henceforth, some literatures stated that L. echidinus predominantly infects murid rodents, especially species of Rattus, and if there is a report of L. echidinus associated with other animals, it only represents accidental and temporary association[33]. However, if the results of LAA study should serve as a model, it clearly contradicts the statement[33]. This is because although there is only two T. gliss individuals got infested by L. echidinus, the mean intensity was the highest as compared to other ectoparasites.

At the moment, most acarines are probably to have lived in close relationship with their host(s) in pristine and stable habitat such as in the forest reserve where human anthropogenic activities are unlikely to happen. Unfortunately, due to the development pressure, acarine which is a vector of zoonotic diseases will pose a danger to humans due to the changes of behaviours and habitats of their host(s). Habitat degradation will lead wild animals to live near human settlements with the ability of the host(s) to adapt with the new habitat within human vicinity, and contact between human-acarine host(s) cannot be circumvented. Therefore, probability of the occurrence of tick-borne disease transmission is very high. Currently, the information regarding ectoparasite and their principal host in Malaysia’s urban areas is still lacking. However, due to the current rapid development, to identify risk, and emergence of new rickettsial organisms, mapping of animal hosts and arthropod vectors in a particular area is essential[34]. As such, this study will add valuable information to stimulate discussion among authorities and researchers to come out with efficient and long term solutions to prevent epidemic outbreaks caused by tick-borne zoonotic diseases in Malaysia.
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