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ABSTRACT

Heterotic grouping based on combining ability for traits of interest, in addition to plant performance and genetic relationship among germplasm, would be useful in planning crosses in breeding programmes. This study aimed at determining the combining abilities of selected landraces for morphological and physiological traits under contrasted environments and identifying the suitable heterotic grouping method for superior hybrid production. For this purpose, nineteen accessions representing different origins and population structure among West African Sorghum Accessions Panel (WASAP) and two female lines, were used in « Line x tester » mating design to produce thirty-eight (38) hybrids. Analysis of variance showed significant general combining ability and specific combining ability effects for grain weight, plant height, days to flowering, panicle length and chlorophyll content implying existence of heterotic responses for these traits. Both additive and non-additive gene effects were important in controlling the inheritance of the traits. Parents K31.3, K37 and Ni331 were the best combiners for grain weight, providing the opportunity for breeders to improve grain yield under diverse environments. AVG-1 x K31.3 and AVG-1 x Tg148 were the superior hybrids. Combining ability based heterotic grouping methods classified sorghum accessions into four groups. The heterotic group’s specific and general combining ability (HSGCA) method was the suitable in predicting hybrid superiority. This combining abilities based heterotic grouping study is an additional tool that breeders could use to identify the best parents for superior hybrids development in West Africa.
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RESUME

La détermination des groupes hétérotiques basée sur les aptitudes à la combinaison des traits d’intérêt en plus des performances et des relations génétiques dans une collection est importante pour planifier les croisements en sélection. Cette visait la détermination des aptitudes à la combinaison des accessions locales pour certains traits morphologiques sous environnements contrastés et l’identification de la meilleure méthode dans la prédiction de la vigueur hybride. Dans cette perspective, dix-neuf accessions représentant différentes origines et la structure de la population d’un panel ouest africain de sorgho (WASAP) et deux mâles stériles ont été utilisés dans un croisement « Line x tester » pour produire trente-huit hybrides F1, objet de cette étude. L’analyse de variance a
indiqué des effets significatifs de l’aptitude générale et spécifique à la combinaison pour le poids grain, la hauteur plante, la durée semis floraison et la concentration en chlorophylle traduisant l’existence des réponses hétérotiques pour ces traits. Les effets géniques additif et non-additif ont été importants dans le contrôle de ces caractères. K31.3, K37 et Ni331 présentent les meilleures aptitudes générales à la combinaison puis AVG-1 x K31.3 et AVG-1 x Tg148 ont été les meilleurs hybrides pour le poids grains. Ils constituent une opportunité pour l’amélioration dans divers environnements. Les différentes méthodes ont classé les accessions de sorgho en quatre groupes. Le groupage basé sur la méthode HSGCA accroit l’efficience en sélection de 15 %. Cette étude offre un outil d’aide pour les sélectionneurs dans le choix des meilleurs parents à croiser pour la production des hybrides supérieurs en Afrique de l’Ouest.

Mots Clés: Afrique de l’Ouest, aptitude à la combinaison, groupe hétérotique, sorgho

INTRODUCTION

Sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench] is the world’s fifth most important cereal grain, after wheat, maize, rice and barley in terms of production; and is largely a subsistence food crop in Africa (Taylor, 2003). Sorghum has a large genetic diversity (Billot et al., 2013), derived from farmers’ selection over years, under a wide range of environments. This adaptation to diverse agriclimatic conditions is a source of favourable alleles that could be used in breeding (Morris et al., 2013).

In West Africa, despite their weak yield potential, local landraces are still largely used in sorghum production. After 70th drought, high yielding and short maturing varieties (SEPON 82 and SRN 39) as well as promising sorghum hybrids with high yield potential (Hageen-Dura-1, NAD-1, ICSH 89002 and 89009) were introduced and created by research and released with the support of McKnight foundation (Murty, 2002; Olembo et al., 2010). These varieties had met a high enthusiasm at producer levels at the time of their release and impact sorghum production in the region. However, gradually the use of these exotic new varieties (mainly Caudatum type) has declined due to their lack in local food quality requirements (Olembo et al., 2010). In addition, they did not fit with the local farming system because of their height input demand (Yapi et al., 2000; Ouédraogo, 2005). To overcome this limitation, hybrid might meet farmers’ use requirements, such as grain quality, plant height and adaptation to local constraints (Kante et al., 2017). As farmers are interested to their accessions, the local landraces-based hybrids are expected to have good acceptability and good adaptation to local grow conditions in the region (Camara et al., 2006). Combining ability and Heterotic grouping studies of such germplasm, facilitates its exploitation in breeding and the choice of suitable parents for superior hybrid combinations (Akinwale et al., 2014).

Combining ability is the capacity of an individual to transmit superior performance to its offspring. It provides information on gene effects in controlling inheritance of traits of interest and helps in selecting the parents to be included in cultivar improvement or hybridization programmes. It is the best way to test the value of a germplasm and identify the best parents to produce superior hybrids (Kanawade et al., 2001; Kenga et al. 2004; Mindaye et al., 2016). As the performance of lines crossed with testers could be used as criteria for grouping the lines (Melchinger, 1999), using combining ability information for heterotic grouping of local germplasm may help to select superior hybrid parents to meet farmer’s use requirements.

Heterotic grouping refers to the identification of groups that are genetically distinct from each other and that produce superior hybrids when crossed. breeders have been using morphological per se performance (Barro-Kondombo et al., 2008; Sawadogo et al., 2014) and genetic relationship (Zongo et al., 2005; Deu et al., 2006; Sagnard et al., 2011; Billot et al., 2013) method to classify
Combining ability and heterotic pattern in West African sorghum landraces available germplasm into distinct heterotic groups and to identify suitable parents for crosses. However, several methods using combining ability information for heterotic grouping, reported in maize, showed the usefulness of such methods in identifying suitable hybrid parents (Fan et al., 2009; Badu-Apraku et al., 2013; Akinwale et al., 2014). These are the specific combining ability (SCA) effects of grain yield (Melchinger and Gumber 1998; Fan et al., 2009), the heterotic group’s specific and general combining ability % HSGCA (Fan et al., 2009; Akinwale et al., 2014) and heterotic grouping based on general combining ability (GCA) of multiple traits % HGCAMT (Badu-Apraku et al., 2013). The discovery of male sterility (Stephens and Holland, 1954) in sorghum, the key of hybrids production, gives an opportunity for heterosis exploitation to increase sorghum production. Thus, there is a need of information on combining ability based heterotic grouping, particularly in west african local sorghum accessions to help breeders when selecting parents to use in crosses. The objectives of this study were to determine the combining abilities of selected landraces for morphological and physiological traits under contrasted environments and to identify the suitable heterotic grouping method to increase hybrid breeding efficiency.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Site characteristics. The trial was conducted in Senegal during the rainy season of 2016 (July to December) in two contrasted locations representing the main sorghum growing area in West Africa (Sahelian and Soudano-Sahelian climate). The first location was the National Centre for Agronomic Research (CNRA) in Bambey (latitude 14°42’N; longitude 16°28’W, altitude 17 m). The climate is typical of the Sahelian zone with the average rainfall between 400 and 700 mm from July to October. The total rainfall during experiment period was 387 mm. The second location was Sinthiou Maleme (latitude 12°47’N; longitude 15°33’W, altitude 30 m) research station of Senegalese agronomic research institute (ISRA). This station is in Soudano-Sahelian zone with the average rainfall between 800 to 1000 mm from June to October. The total rainfall during the period of the experiment was of 548 mm.

Parental lines and hybrids development. The experiment involved 19 male parents and two cytoplasmic male sterile lines as female parents, along with their 38 hybrids and three checks. The male parents belonged to West African Sorghum Association Panel (WASAP) from four countries (Niger, Mali, Senegal and Togo). They were selected to represent the different origins involved in this panel and the population structures based on SNPs markers (Fig. 1). The characteristics of selected genotypes are presented in Table 1. The female parents were CE 310-31A (CK612A x CE311-25B) and AVG-1. These 21 parents (19 males and two females) were crossed according to the line x tester mating design developed by Kempthorne (1957), during the rainy season of 2015 at CNRA in Senegal. In order to synchronize flowering of the male and female parents, all the 21 parents were planted three times, with 10 days intervals. Thirty-eight F$_1$ hybrids were obtained from the crosses between the male and female parents. A total of sixty-three genotypes, comprising of hybrids, their parents and four checks were used in this study. The check varieties included two hybrids (AVG-1A x DORADO and CE 310-31A x NGANDA), one local improved line (IRAT204) and one Guinea Senegalese landrace (53-49).

Field experiments and data collection. The 63 sorghum entries were arranged in a 9 x 7 lattice design, with three replications at each location. Each genotype was planted in one row of 5 m long, with 40 cm between hills, giving 13 hills per row and an inter-row spacing of 80 cm. The experiments were hand-planted and, ten days after planting, all rows were thinned to three plants per hill; resulting in a population of about 93,750 plants per ha.
Fertiliser NPK (15-15-15) was applied at the dose of 150 kg ha\(^{-1}\) at each location before sowing. One hundred kg ha\(^{-1}\) of urea, subdivided into two sub-doses of 50 kg ha\(^{-1}\) was applied two times, 30 and 45 days after planting. Weeding was done manually.

Six quantitative traits, namely four morphological and two physiological characters, were measured. Morphological traits such as number of days to flowering, plant height, panicle length and grain weight were recorded according to the International Board for Plant Genetic Resources (IBPGR) and ICRISAT descriptor list for sorghum (IBPGR/ICRISAT, 1993). Chlorophyll content and leaf temperature were recorded on the
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TABLE 1. Description of the 19 West African sorghum accessions and two male steriles used in this study

| Accession | Local Cod | Origin | Race | Genotype |
|-----------|-----------|--------|------|----------|
| ML110     | Nioro3    | Mali   | Caudatum | landrace |
| ML202     | SMIL-147  | Mali   | Guinea | landrace |
| ML33      | 09-SB-Caract-1097 | Mali | Guinea | landrace |
| Ni133     | S402      | Niger  | Durra-Caudatum | landrace |
| Ni206     | K31-3     | Niger  | Durra-Caudatum | landrace |
| Ni216     | K37       | Niger  | Durra-Caudatum | landrace |
| Ni331     | SSD 35    | Niger  | Guinea | landrace |
| Sn125     | 198 SSM964 D | Senegal | Guinea | landrace |
| Sn30      | 5349      | Senegal | Guinea | landrace |
| Sn32      | Rassoulou  | Senegal | Guinea | landrace |
| Sn35      | Samba Souko SD 3 | Senegal | Guinea | landrace |
| Sn45      | Wan Bassi | Senegal | Guinea | landrace |
| Sn69      | Samba souki SD6 | Senegal | Guinea | landrace |
| Tg108     | TGVL 108  | Togo   | Guinea | landrace |
| Tg136     | TGVL 136  | Togo   | Guinea-Caudatum | landrace |
| Tg148     | TGVL 148  | Togo   | Caudatum | landrace |
| Tg164     | Sorvato1  | Togo   | Caudatum | improved line |
| Tg38      | TGVL 38   | Togo   | Caudatum | landrace |
| Tg91      | TGVL 91   | Togo   | Guinea | landrace |
| CE 310-31A/B | -     | Senegal | Caudatum | Improved line |
| A/BVG-1   | -         | USA    | Caudatum | Improved line |

Physiologically active young leaf (third, from top to bottom) at midday on the 30th day after planting. Leaf temperature was recorded by an infrared thermometer (Quicktemp 860-T2 Testo AG) and chlorophyll content was measured using SPAD chlorophyll content meter (SPAD-502, Konica Minolta). Number of days to flowering was assessed on a whole plot basis. Plant height, panicle length, chlorophyll content and leaf temperature were taken on five central randomly selected plants of each plot. At maturity, panicles of the five central selected plants were cut, sun-dried, threshed and weighed to determine the grain weight per plant.

**Genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS).** Punch leaf disks were sampled from eight weeks old plants in the field and dried in a stove at 40° during three days. DNA was extracted following a Mixed AlkylTrimethyl Ammonium Bromide (MATAB) method (Frost et al., 2007) at Centre d’Étude Régional pour l’Amélioration de l’Adaptation à la Sécheresse (CERAAS) and sent to Morris Laboratory at Kansas State University in USA for genotyping. GBS libraries were prepared and analysed as described by Elshire et al. (2011), using the enzyme ApeKI for digestion. This was followed by the ligation of the adapters to the digested DNA. Each sample has unique identifiers, which are the barcoded adapter located upstream and the common adapter downstream of the digested DNA. The ligated DNA from each 96-well plate was then pooled in a 1.5 ml tube to obtain a 96-plex library. The PCR was done using the forward and reverse primers from the adapters and amplified products were purified (using QIAquick PCR purification kit, Qiagen) before the determination of sample size. For each step, quality control was performed to verify if samples were digested, ligated and amplified using agarose gel, picogreen and nanodrop. The library size (in base pairs) was obtained using the bioanalyzer and single-end read sequences were obtained using Illumina HiSeq2500 100-cycle at University of Kansas.
Medical Center, USA. Sequences data obtained were processed with the GBS v2 pipeline implemented in TASSEL 5 (Glaubitz et al., 2014). The filtered sequences were aligned to the sorghum reference genome BTx623 (Sorghum bicolor v.1.0). After filtering for missing data, minor allele frequency, linkage disequilibrium pruning and thinning, 1500 SNPs covering all the ten chromosomes of the sorghum genome were retained and used to assess population structure.

**Data analysis.** The analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed for each location to test homogeneity. Then, the checks were removed and data of all locations were combined and rearranged according to line x tester design as outlined by Singh and Chaudhary (1985). The analysis of variance and the estimation of combining ability were performed using R-package “plantbreeding” (Rosyara, 2014) under R software Version 3.2.3. The Sum of Squares for hybrids was further partitioned into variation due to lines (GCA1), testers (GCA2) and line x tester interactions (SCA). Estimates of GCA variances ($\sigma^2_{GCA}$) and SCA variances ($\sigma^2_{SCA}$) were obtained as suggested by Singh and Chaudhary (1977). Ratios of Mean Square Components associated with variance of GCA and SCA effects were computed as suggested by Baker (1978) to estimate the relative importance of GCA in explaining performance. The closer the ratio is to unity, the greater the predictability of progeny performance based on GCA effects alone. Standard errors for GCA and the SCA effects were calculated using the method described by Cox and Frey (1984). The significance of the GCA and SCA effects were tested by calculating “t” value using the formula of Cox and Frey (1984) as follow:

- t-test for GCA effects:

$$t = \frac{GCA}{SEGCA_l}, \quad \text{where}, \ SEGCA_l = \frac{MSE}{\sqrt{rs}}$$

(1)

- t-test for SCA effects:

$$t = \frac{SCA}{SESCA_s} \quad \text{where}, \ SESCA_s = \frac{MSE}{\sqrt{rs}}$$

(2)

Where:

$SEGCA_l$ and $SEGCA_t$ = standard error for GCA line and tester, $r$ = number of replication, $l$ = line number, $t$ = tester number, $s$ = number of location, $MSE$ = mean square of error.

- t-test for GCA effects:

$$t = \frac{GCA}{SEGCA_l}, \quad \text{where}, \ SEGCA_l = \frac{MSE}{\sqrt{rs}}$$

(3)

Heterotic grouping. Four heterotic grouping methods were used to assign male parents into different groups, including SCA effects for grain yield (Psawai and Vivek 2004; Menkir et al., 2004), heterotic group’s specific and general combining ability (HSGCA) of the grain yield (Fan et al., 2009), heterotic grouping based on GCA of multiple traits (HGCAMT) method proposed by Badu-Apraku et al. (2013) and genetic distance from SNPs markers.

As two female lines (CE 310-31A and AVG-1) were used in this study, the principle of SCA effects method is as follows: Germplasm showing positive SCA effects with CE 310-31A and exhibiting negative SCA effects with AVG-1 were classified into heterotic Group 1. Genotypes showing positive SCA effects with AVG-1 and negative effects with CE 310-31A were assigned into heterotic Group 2. Similarly, accessions showing positive SCA effects with both testers were placed under heterotic Group 3. Likewise, the individuals exhibiting negative SCA effects with both testers were
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kept under a Group 4. The HSGCA method was used as follows:

\[
\text{HSGCA} = \text{Cross mean } X_{ij} - \text{Tester mean } X_i = \text{GCA}_i + \text{SCA}
\]

Where:

\(X_{ij}\) is the mean yield of the cross between the \(i\)th tester and the \(j\)th line, \(X_i\) is the mean yield of the \(i\)th tester.

The calculated HSGCA effects for grain yield were used in three steps to classify the 19 sorghum accessions into heterotic groups. At the first step, all accessions with negative HSGCA effects were placed into the same heterotic groups. At this step, certain genotypes were classified into more than one heterotic group. At the second step, accessions assigned to more than one heterotic group in step 1, were kept in the heterotic group corresponding to the smallest value of HSGCA (or largest negative value) and thus removed it from other heterotic groups. Furthermore, the ratio of the mean square components associated with variance of GCA and SCA was much less than the theoretical maximum of unity for all traits studied.

Combining ability estimates

General combining ability. The general combining ability effect of testers for all measured traits are presented in Table 3. Significant positive and negative GCA effects were observed for all traits, except leaf temperature. Lines K31.3, Ni331 and K37 recorded significant and positive GCA effects for grain weight. In contrast, Tg91, Sn69, Sn35, Tg108 and Sn125 recorded negative GCA effects for grain weight. For days to flowering, testers Ni331, Ml33, Sn32, Ml110, Tg148 and Sn69 exhibited significant and negative GCA effects. In contrast, Tg91, Tg108, Sn45, Ml202 and Tg38 recorded significant and positive for the same trait. For plant height, Sn30, Sn45 and K31.3 recorded significant and positive values; while Sn125, Tg148, Tg108 and Sorvato1 exhibited negative GCA effects. For panicle length, K31.3, K37 and Sn30 are good combiners. For chlorophyll content, Tg136 recorded positive GCA effect and Tg148 the negative one.

Specific combining ability. The specific combining ability effects of crosses for mean squares were significant for all measured traits except the leaf temperature. The mean square for G x E interaction, was significant for all traits except leaf temperature. The mean square of GCA x E interaction was significant for days to flowering (both lines and testers), plant height and chlorophyll content (lines). The mean square of SCA x E interaction was significant for all traits. In all cases, the mean squares of GCA effects were larger than those of the SCA for all traits except chlorophyll content and leaf temperature. The variance component estimates of SCA were greater than those of GCA for days to 50% flowering, plant height, panicle length, chlorophyll content, leaf temperature and grain weight (Table 2).

RESULTS

Analysis of variance. The Mean Squares for genotypes (G) and environments (E) were significant for all measured traits (Table 2). Partitioning of the genotypes into components revealed that GCA (lines and testers) and SCA
measured traits are presented in Table 4. For days to 50% flowering, hybrids CE310-31A x Sn32, CE310-31A x Tg148, AVG-1 x Tg148, AVG-1 x Ni331, AVG-1 x Sn32, AVG-1 x Sn69 and AVG-1 x ML33, exhibited highly significant negative SCA effects. In contrary, the hybrids CE310-31A x Sn45, CE310-31A x Tg91, AVG-1 x Sn45, AVG-1 x Tg91, could be adapted to long cropping season zones. Hybrids CE310-31A x Sn30, CE310-31A x Tg91, CE310-31A x Sn45, CE310-31A x Tg136 and AVG-1 x ML33, with the highest significant positive SCA effects were the tallest; while CE310-31A x Sn125, CE310-31A x Tg108, AVG-1 x Sn125, AVG-1 x Tg108 and AVG-1 x Tg148 exhibited negative SCA effect for this trait.

For grain weight, hybrids with high yield and significant positive SCA effects were obtained in combinations CE310-31A x ML202, CE310-31A x Sn32, CE310-31A x K37, AVG-1 x ML33, AVG-1 x Tg148, AVG-1 x K31.3 and AVG-1 x K37. For panicle length, the best combinations were CE310-31A x Sn30, CE310-31A x K37, CE310-31A x K31.3, AVG-1 x Sn30, AVG-1 x K37, AVG-1 x ML33, with positive SCA effects. For chlorophyll content, significant SCA effects were found for combinations between CE310-31A and ML202, Sn32, Sn45, Tg148 and Tg164. Hybrid CE310-31A x Tg164 showed positive effects; while CE310-31A x Tg148, CE310-31A x ML202 and CE310-31A x Sn45 exhibited negative effects.

**TABLE 2.** Mean squares derived from the combined analysis of variance for morphological traits of 38 hybrids along their parents evaluated at Bamby and Sinthiou in Senegal

| Source of variation | Df | DFLo       | PH (cm)          | PL (cm) | GrW (g) | (SPAD) | LT (°C) |
|---------------------|----|------------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Env. (E)            | 1  | 304.53***  | 254234.13***     | 393.47*** | 117835.58*** | 5480.49*** | 116,58*** |
| Gen. (G)            | 37 | 384.12***  | 16668.99***      | 128.86*** | 4607.28*** | 20.84***  | 3.47    |
| GCA_l               | 84 | 383.34***  | 67804.01***      | 112.73*** | 6837.27*** | 72.53***  | 7.69    |
| GCA_t               | 58 | 589.04***  | 22863.88***     | 210.24*** | 6941.74*** | 15.46*    | 2.44    |
| SCA                 | 18 | 153.69***  | 7633.26***      | 48.38*** | 2148.94*** | 23.35***  | 4.25    |
| G * E               | 37 | 21.85***   | 3848.44***      | 21.63**  | 2305.28*** | 16.37**   | 2.72    |
| GCA_l x E           | 85 | 5.35**     | 94.13           | 3.82     | 870.26    | 0.06      | 1.65    |
| GCA_t x E           | 18 | 25.35***   | 3030.15***      | 14.3     | 2870.33   | 15.12*    | 3.92    |
| SCA x E             | 18 | 14.82*     | 4875.31***      | 29.96**  | 1819.96** | 18.52**   | 1.59    |
| Error               | 148| 8.6        | 1090.89         | 12.76    | 909.49    | 9.03      | 2.66    |

**Variance component estimates**

| Source of variation | \(\sigma^2\) | \(\sigma^2\) | \(\sigma^2\) | \(\frac{\sigma^2_{GCA}}{\sigma^2_{SCA}}\) |
|---------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Line                | 24.34       | 2111.33     | 2.26        | 164.51          | 1.73       | 0.12        |
| Tester              | 144.94      | 5076.8      | 53.95       | 1597.64         | -2.62      | -0.6         |
| GCA_l               | 10.87       | 426.56      | 3.8         | 116.1           | 0.12       | 0.04         |
| GCA_t               | 96.21       | 4095.99     | 25.52       | 931             | 2.48       | 1.51         |
| SCA                 | 0.11        | 0.10        | 0.15        | 0.12            | 0.05       | 0.03         |

**Proportional contribution to total variance**

| Source of variation | \(\sigma^2\) | \(\sigma^2\) | \(\sigma^2\) | \(\frac{\sigma^2_{GCA}}{\sigma^2_{SCA}}\) |
|---------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Line                | 5.97        | 10.99       | 2.36        | 4.01            | 9.41       | 6            |
| Tester              | 74.58       | 66.73       | 79.37       | 73.3            | 36.11      | 34.27        |
| Line x tester       | 19.45       | 22.28       | 18.27       | 22.69           | 54.48      | 59.73        |

*, significant at 0.05 probability level; ***, significant at 0.01 level; ***, highly significant; DFLo = days to 50% flowering; PH = plant height; SPAD = leaf chlorophyll content; LT = leaf temperature; PL = panicle length and GrW = grain weight / panicle.
TABLE 3. Estimates of general combining ability (GCA) effects of 19 lines (West african local adapted sorghum) and 2 testers for morphological and physiological traits

| Parental line | DFLo  | PH (cm) | SPAD | LT (°C) | PL (cm) | GrW (g) |
|---------------|-------|---------|------|---------|---------|---------|
| Tester        |       |         |      |         |         |         |
| CE310-10B     | -1.93 | -17.24  | 0.56 | 0.18    | -0.70   | -5.48   |
| AVG-1B        | 1.93  | 17.24   | -0.56| -0.18   | 0.70    | 5.48    |
| Line          |       |         |      |         |         |         |
| Ni331         | -8.74***| 13.93  | 0.65 | 0.12    | -1.72   | 36.83** |
| Ni133         | -2.45 | 22.86   | -0.52| -0.52   | -2.50   | 14.04   |
| Ni206         | 1.51  | 46.01*  | 0.04 | -0.80   | 9.47*** | 37.50** |
| Ni216         | -1.83 | 27.60   | -0.28| -0.15   | 8.91*** | 35.30** |
| ML110         | -6.07***| 1.29   | 0.34 | -0.22   | -2.47   | 21.67   |
| ML33          | -7.57***| -17.07 | -0.83| -0.27   | 3.58*   | -12.06  |
| ML202         | 4.38** | 30.71   | -0.64| -0.04   | -3.78*  | -21.11  |
| Sn32          | -6.82***| 2.81   | 1.55 | -1.13   | -1.89   | 8.97    |
| Sn30          | 1.01  | 59.32** | -1.17| -0.10   | 7.05*** | 9.61    |
| Sn45          | 6.92***| 51.79*  | -0.66| 0.28    | -2.77*  | -5.31   |
| Sn69          | -3.66**| -18.09 | -0.32| 0.38    | -0.56   | 32.09** |
| Sn125         | -2.33 | -81.53***| 0.56 | 0.51    | -3.64*  | -24.39* |
| Sn35          | -1.49 | -19.32  | 0.98 | -0.05   | -2.35   | 30.32*  |
| Tg108         | 6.08***| -69.26***| 0.67 | 0.15    | -2.18   | -26.33* |
| Tg91          | 22.13***| 25.79   | -0.15| 0.54    | -2.63   | 36.024**|
| Tg136         | 1.51  | 37.21   | 1.98*| 0.42    | 1.55    | 4.83    |
| Tg148         | -4.32**| -77.06***| 2.70***| 0.46    | -0.97   | -5.73   |
| Tg38          | 3.58* | 16.38   | -1.12| 0.15    | -2.91*  | 7.43    |
| Tg164         | -1.83 | -53.38**| 1.63 | 0.29    | -0.18   | 17.19   |

*, significant at 0.05 probability level; **, significant at 0.01 level; ***, highly significant; DFLo = days to 50% flowering; PH = plant height; SPAD = leaf chlorophyll content; LT = leaf temperature; PL = panicle length and GrW = grain weight/panicle.

**Per se performance and combining ability.** Closed correlations \((r = 1)\) were observed between parents *per se* performance and general combining ability for grain weight (Fig. 2). In addition, significant and positive correlation was observed between GCA of grain weight and panicle length \((r = 0.52, P<0.05)\) and negative with leaf temperature \((r = -0.47, P<0.05)\). Genotypes with good GCA for grain weight showed poor GCA for leaf temperature. Hybrid *per se* performance was found closely correlated to specific combining ability of all traits (Fig. 2). For grain weight, relative hybrid superiority was found to be correlated to general combining ability \((r = 0.65, P<0.0001)\), to specific combining ability \((r = 0.69, P<0.0001)\) and closely to hybrid *per se* performance \((r = 0.94, P<0.0001)\).

**Heterotic groups and relative hybrid superiority.** The SCA effects of grain yield method classified sorghum accessions into four heterotic groups (Table 5). Accessions of Group 1 had non-significant GCA for grain weight; while Group 2 and 4 are poor combiners for the same trait. Genotypes of Group 3 were good combiners for grain weight. The HSGCA method assigned accessions to four heterotic groups of 5, 3, 5 and 6 genotypes (Table 5). This method classified together in Group 1, late flowering and negative GCA of grain weight accessions. Genotypes of Group 2 were good combiners for grain weight and earliness. Group 3 comprised of good combiners for grain weight and non-significant GCA for flowering time. Accessions
### TABLE 4. Estimates of specific combining ability (SCA) effects of 38 hybrids from line (19 West African local adapted sorghum) x testers (2) for morphological and physiological traits

| Hybrid cross      | DFLo | PH (cm) | SPAD | LT (°C) | PL (cm) | GrW (g) |
|-------------------|------|---------|------|---------|---------|---------|
| **CE310-31A x**   |      |         |      |         |         |         |
| Ni331             | 0.68 | 9.77    | 4.52 | 0.56    | 1.26    | 0.61    |
| ML202             | 3.18 | 53.29** | -7.03** | 0.86    | -1.41   | 34.66*  |
| Sn32              | -29.53*** | -25.62 | 5.34* | -2.62   | 0.22    | 38.96*  |
| Sn30              | 5.92** | 146.60*** | 6.62* | -0.65   | 8.28*** | 25.34   |
| Sn45              | 10.76*** | 121.48*** | -6.84* | 0.45    | -1.67   | -21.44  |
| Sn69              | 1.22 | -65.65** | 0.89 | 0.86    | 4.92*   | -39.04* |
| Sn125             | -3.91 | -109.67*** | 1.43 | 0.32    | -11.43*** | -53.70** |
| Sn35              | 2.51 | -57.11* | 2.11 | 0.00    | -6.87*** | -19.67  |
| Tg108             | 0.93 | -83.48*** | -2.42 | 1.99    | -2.09   | -32.22  |
| Tg91              | 19.26*** | 19.02 | -3.19 | 0.06    | 2.65    | -42.52* |
| Tg136             | 4.09* | 126.85*** | 4.40 | -0.73   | 6.67**  | 3457*   |
| Tg148             | -8.65*** | -0.47 | -9.52*** | 0.27    | 0.16    | 0.34    |
| Tg38              | 2.93 | 5.83    | -1.07 | -1.03   | -2.69   | 33.67*  |
| Tg164             | -5.32** | -55.14* | 9.09** | 0.40    | 3.37    | 13.91   |
| Ni133             | -3.00 | -15.78 | -4.14 | -0.84   | -12.43*** | -33.03* |
| Ni206             | 10.34*** | 52.79* | 0.18 | 0.18    | 1.67*** | 11.53   |
| Ni216             | -5.94** | -1.00 | 0.75 | 0.21    | 11.59*** | 47.73** |
| ML110             | -4.40* | -59.33* | 1.97 | 0.06    | -9.96*** | 4.67    |
| ML33              | -1.24 | -62.36** | -3.73 | 0.00    | -2.24   | -3.37   |
| **AVG-1A x**      |      |         |      |         |         |         |
| Ni331             | -6.96*** | -18.70 | -2.19 | 0.08    | -0.48   | 22.19   |
| ML202             | 0.99 | 96.77*** | 1.19 | -1.05   | -1.64   | -20.23  |
| Sn32              | -7.76*** | -31.77 | -2.84 | -0.61   | -2.92   | 10.18   |
| Sn30              | -3.18 | 32.73   | -3.66 | -0.16   | 9.71*** | -7.82   |
| Sn45              | 13.65*** | 21.56 | -0.35 | -0.32   | -1.98   | -43.19* |
| Sn69              | -7.59*** | -39.41 | -1.23 | 1.22    | -13.01*** | -76.69** |
| Sn125             | 7.16*** | -82.29*** | 0.68 | 1.07    | -2.29   | -52.06** |
| Sn35              | -2.30* | 4.83*   | 4.75 | 0.47    | 2.39    | -7.81   |
| Tg108             | 17.07*** | -117.16*** | 4.33 | 0.00    | -7.42*** | -39.02* |
| Tg91              | 21.73*** | -1.13* | 4.57 | 0.36    | -4.56*  | 7.89    |
| Tg136             | 2.74 | 48.42*   | 0.47 | 1.12    | 2.42    | 30.81   |
| Tg148             | -28.22*** | -110.18*** | -2.33 | 0.00    | 2.70    | 50.55** |
| Tg38              | 7.07*** | 66.78** | -1.97 | 0.45    | -3.73   | -17.91  |
| Tg164             | -0.09 | -14.62 | -3.59 | 0.00    | -0.63   | -4.15   |
| Ni133             | -1.76 | -12.11 | 2.54 | 0.75    | -10.95*** | -11.70  |
| Ni206             | 6.32* | 55.00*   | 1.32 | 0.00    | 6.15**  | 53.87** |
| Ni216             | 4.73* | 22.69   | -3.67 | 0.66    | 11.91** | 35.01*  |
| ML110             | -15.68*** | -4918* | 3.06 | -0.68   | 0.74    | 34.36*  |
| ML33              | -7.92*** | 127.86*** | -1.08 | -1.32   | 13.60*** | 35.74*  |

* significant at 0.05 probability level; **, significant at 0.01 level; ***, highly significant; DFLo = days to 50% flowering; PH = plant height; SPAD = leaf chlorophyll content; LT = leaf temperature; PL = panicle length and GrW = grain weight/panicle
Combining ability and heterotic pattern in West African sorghum landraces

Figure 2. *Per se* performance and combining ability in predicting hybrid superiority for grain weight. Close relationship between line *per se* performance and general combining ability. Strong correlation between general and specific combining ability, *hybrid per se* performance and hybrid superiority for grain weight. GrWP = grain weight of lines; GrWHb = hybrid *per se* performance of grain weight; GrWca = specific combining ability of grain weight; GrWgca = general combining ability of grain weight and MPHts = relative hybrid superiority over mid-parents.

Dendrogram based on HGCAMT method grouped sorghum accessions into four heterotic clusters (Fig. 3). Germplasm of Group 1 had negative significant GCA effect for grain weight and flowering time; while Group 2 individuals were lateness accessions. Group 3 was earliness and non-significant GCA effects for grain weight and plant height. Group 4 was tallest and high yielding combiners.

NJ tree showed population structure with seven cluster reflecting mainly geographic origin and racial group (Fig. 1). There was no significant heterosis for all traits, although some hybrids showed relative superiority over mid-parent performance. For grain weight, the
### TABLE 5. Heterotic groups of 19 West African sorghum accessions identified by SCA of grain weight and HSGCA methods under two contrasted environments in Senegal

| Method                  | G1: positive SCA with CE310-31A | G2: positive SCA with AVG-1 | G3: positive SCA with both | G4: negative SCA with both |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| SCA effects             | ML202                            | Tg91                        | Sn32                      | Ni133                     |
|                         | Tg38                             | Tg148                       | Tg136                     | Sn125                     |
|                         | Tg164                            | ML33                        | K37                       | Sn35                      |
|                         | Sn30                             |                             | K31.3                     | Sn45                      |
|                         |                                  |                             | Ni331                     | Sn69                      |
|                         |                                  |                             | ML110                     | Tg108                     |
| HSGCA effects           | ML202                            | Ni331                       | Sn32                      | Ni133                     |
|                         | Tg38                             | K31.3                       | Tg136                     | Sn35                      |
|                         | Sn45                             | ML110                       | K37                       | Sn125                     |
|                         | Sn69                             |                             | Tg164                     | Tg91                      |
|                         | Tg108                            |                             | Sn30                      | Tg148                     |
|                         |                                  |                             |                           | ML33                      |

**Figure 3.** Dendrogram of 19 West African sorghum accession based on HGCASMT values using Ward’s minimum variance cluster analysis under two contrasted environments in Senegal. GCA=general combining ability.
highest value (35.64\%) was observed for hybrid CE310-31A x Tg38, followed by AVG-1A x K37 (26.59\%) and AVG-1A x ML33 (23.5\%). In terms of breeding efficiency, the average hybrid superiority for grain weight varied from 2\% for SCA to 15\% for heterotic group’s specific and general combining ability method. Based on genomic population structure, hybrid superiority observed was of 14\% and for heterotic grouping based on GCA of multiple traits method, 13\% of yield increase was observed.

**DISCUSSION**

Combining ability and traits inheritance control

In the present study, significant Mean Squares were found for G, E, and G x E interactions (Table 2) for all measured traits, except for leaf temperature, highlighting the difference between environments. Furthermore, our results indicate the existence of heterotic responses for these traits among West African sorghum accessions. This result indicated that genotypes show difference in their response to each environment. Whether hybridization or population improvement programmes, good progress could be expected using this germplasm (Kenga et al., 2004; Akinwale et al., 2014). In addition, significant interactions found between the environment and both GCA and SCA infer that their rankings changed across different environments and, therefore, selection would be more effective when based on performance under specific environments (Parviz et al., 2016).

The significant GCA and SCA mean squares observed for measured traits showed that both additive and non-additive gene actions were important in the inheritance of the traits. This suggests that significant breeding progress could be achieved using both inbreeding and hybridization. The importance of source of variation is indicated by the relative magnitude of variance components. Higher magnitude of SCA variances found for all traits studied, depicted the relative predominance of non-additive gene effects in the inheritance of these traits. These results were in agreement with findings of Kenga et al. (2004) reporting on prevalence of non-additive variance for days to anthesis, plant height, inflorescence length and grain yield per plant. Contrary to our study, earlier works have reported that additive gene effects were a predominant factor determining grain yield in sorghum (Beil and Atkins, 1967; Kirby and Atkins, 1968). The previous studies used breeding lines or previously characterized genotypes with known behaviour in cross. In this study, we used local accessions randomly selected with all wild genes. The difference could be due to plant materials used as suggested by Kenga et al. (2004) using local released genotypes and sorghum mutants.

The general combining ability (GCA) of a parent is an important indicator of its potential to provide superior lines. Both positive and negative effects of GCA were observed for all traits (Table 3). For days to flowering, in the context of short cropping season, early maturing genotypes are needed to escape terminal drought stress. However, this trait must be assessed in the context of agro-ecologic zone (Kenga et al., 2005). Accessions Ni331, ML33, Sn45 and Tg148 were found to be early maturing gene sources. This trait could be introgressed into preferred germplasm to select for short cropping season zones. On the other hand, genotypes with positive GCA could be used when delaying flowering to avoid grain mold is the desired target (Thakur et al., 2006). The result showed the existence of genetic resources to improve these traits depending on breeding target. These parents provide an opportunity to generate more desirable transgressive segregants for traits of interest under diverse environments, if used in breeding program (Kanawade et al., 2001).

The estimates of SCA provide important information about hybrid performance as related to its parents, showing the importance of non-additive interaction due to large or minor gene effects in specific hybrid combination (Kenga et al., 2004). The
significant negative or positive SCA found for many crosses in this study could be used in breeding programmes. For days to flowering and plant height, both negative and positive significant SCA effects could be used depending on the targeted environments and farmer’s preferences. For grain weight and panicle length, highly significant positive SCA crosses are expected to increase grain yield. The best combinations were AVG-1 x K31.3 and AVG-1 x Tg148 for the same such traits. Only accession K37 with good GCA had significant positive SCA, with both female lines AVG-1 and CE310-1A, which had contrasted GCA. This implies that accession K37 could be used as a suitable parent to develop not only superior hybrids but also improve inbred lines. Per se performance and combining ability in predicting hybrid superiority

A significant and high correlation was observed for all traits between general combining ability and per se performance of parents. This means that parents’ per se performance gives an idea on their general combining ability, and thus constitutes a good predictor of their performance in cross. For grain weight, strong correlations were observed between hybrid superiorities and specific combining ability (r = 0.69), and general combining ability (r = 0.65); and between hybrid superiorities and per se performance of hybrid (r = 0.94). Similar findings were reported in previous studies (Premalatha et al. 2006; Rajendrakumar, 2015). This implies that parents of superior hybrids could be identified based on their combining ability among high yielding accessions. This will reduce the number of testcrosses to be carried out on field evaluation. However, hybrid per se performance may be the paramount criteria.

Suitable heterotic grouping method. The genetic distance based on SNPs markers structured the population into seven classes, with respect to geographic origin and racial group (Fig. 1). This result indicates that local adaptation alleles are the most discriminants ones in genetic population structure. This population pattern is in agreement with Morris et al. (2013) who found a population structure with respect to geographic origin and morphological type in 971 worldwide accessions, using 265,000 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs).

Combining ability based methods classified sorghum accessions into four groups (Table 5). The HGCAMT method provides information related to plant performance and general combining ability of multiple traits as showed by correlation between per se performance and general combining ability (Fig. 2). The SCA effects of grain weight distinguished parents generating good hybrid with one or both female parents from other accessions. This method identified Sn32, Tg136, K37, K31.3, Ni331 and ML110 with both female parents as the best combinations for superior hybrids production. The SCA effects of grain weight method could allow identification of the best combination for hybrid breeding programs. This is in agreement with Cruz and Regazzi (1994), who suggested examining GCA effect of each parent when the objective is to develop superior genotypes; while specific combining ability (SCA) effects when looking for hybrid performance. The HSGCA method distinguished genotypes with good GCA and SCA with both testers (Fig. 2). There was similarity between the classifications based on the four grouping methods. Genotypes Sn69, Sn35 and Sn125 were classified together by SNPs based genetic distance, SCA effect and HGCAMT. The three genotypes had a detrimental effect on grain yield when crossed. Similarly, K31.3 and K37 were classified into the same group by SCA effects of grain weight, HGCAMT and genetic distance methods. The two genotypes had good GCA for grain weight. This result strengthens the consistency of heterotic grouping methods used in this study. The suitable heterotic grouping method is the one that allow the identification of superior hybrids.
Accordingly, our study identified HSGCA as the best heterotic grouping method that distinguished genotypes whose hybrids yielded a 15% advantage over mid-parents.

CONCLUSION

Our study highlighted heterotic responses among West African sorghum accessions for traits studied indicating the existence of favourable alleles for breeding programmes. Specific environment based improvement may allow good progress rather than large adaptation. The dominance gene effect was predominant in the inheritance of these traits suggesting direct selection methods. Parents K31.3, K37 and Ni331 were the best combiners for grain weight providing opportunity for breeders to improve grain yield under diverse environments. AVG-1 x K31.3 and AVG-1 x Tg148 were found to be the best combinations for superior hybrids. The HSGCA method was the suitable in superior hybrid prediction. These combining ability based heterotic grouping information could be useful for sorghum breeders using local adapted accessions to identify best parents for superior hybrids development in West Africa.
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