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ABSTRACT
Objective: To describe the epidemiological profile of patients with traumatic hip dislocation treated in our Institute from November/2012 to July/2013. Methods: A descriptive cross-sectional study based on interviews and involving 43 patients who suffered traumatic hip dislocation was conducted. Results: The mean age of patients was 34.4 years old and 90.7% were male. Regarding the mechanism of injury, 95% involved traffic accidents. The posterior dislocation of the hip was the most common injury (93%). Associated lesions were observed in 74.4% of patients, hip fractures being the most frequent. The time span between accident and dislocation reduction was less than 6 hours in 37.2% of patients, between 6 and 12 hours in 32.5% and over 12 hours in 30.3%, ranging from 1 hour to 15 days. A fraction of 90.7% of patients was submitted to closed reduction. Conclusion: Traumatic hip dislocation affected mostly young adults, victims of traffic accidents. The posterior dislocation of the hip was the most frequent injury and closed reduction was performed in 90.7% of patients. The time span between accident and dislocation reduction was less than 12 hours in most patients. Level of Evidence III, Study of Nonconsecutive Patients.
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INTRODUCTION
Sprains are considered orthopedic urgencies and need early and precise diagnosis.¹⁻³ Proper conduct reduces the deleterious effects caused by trauma and subsequent established joint incongruity. The approach to patient with dislocation should occur in the hospital, due to the resources available for proper diagnosis and correct therapeutic approach, especially in those who require anesthesia and operation room resources.²,⁴ Traumatic hip dislocation is a serious orthopedic injury that can cause permanent disability. It is usually caused by high-energy trauma, most frequently related to accidents caused by motor vehicles and their condition are worsened when associated with acetabular or head femoral fracture.²,⁵,⁶ Posterior dislocation of the hip is the most common, being produced by direct impact on the flexed hip in automobile accidents, the patient showing the affected limb shortened, adducted and with medial rotation.¹,⁷ Even avoiding the most immediate complications such as avascular necrosis and wear caused by loose bodies, long-term results of hip dislocation are not satisfactory, having been reported high incidence of hip osteoarthritis (circa 50 %).⁸,⁹ Other authors¹⁰ consider that the results may vary mostly due to the interval between the time of the accident and dislocation reduction. The more the time elapse between dislocation and reduction, the worse the results. These authors¹⁰ cited other studies in which reduction after 12 hours increased the incidence of unsatisfactory results from 22% to 52%. For this reason, treatment of hip dislocations should be conducted in a way to avoid complications, through immediate dislocation reduction and achievement of a stable and congruent joint.

The aim of this study was to delineate the epidemiological profile of patients with traumatic hip dislocation treated at Hospital Instituto Dr. José Frota, a tertiary trauma care center in the state of Ceará, Brazil, from November/2012 to July/2013.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
A cross-sectional descriptive study was performed between November 2012 and July 2013, evaluating forty-three patients with traumatic dislocation of the hip treated at the Hospital Instituto Dr. José Frota, Ceará, Brazil. Inclusion criteria for the study were: 1) Patient treated at the Tertiary Trauma Unit with anterior or posterior traumatic dislocation; 2) Have preserved consciousness; 3) Consent to participate in the study after explanation of the treatment;
4) Sign the free and informed consent term; 5) Age equal or higher than 18 years old.

Exclusion criteria were: 1) Refusal by the patient to participate in this study after explanation of the treatment; 2) Patient (or his/her legal representative) unable to answer the questionnaire; 3) Patient presenting central or inveterate dislocation of the hip. After the diagnosis of the lesion, the patient underwent an interview with a previously designed questionnaire. (Annex 1). Data were analyzed using EpiInfo® version 6.04. The hip dislocations were divided anatomically into anterior and posterior. (Figures 1A, 1B, and 2). Anterior dislocations were classified according to Epstein and Harvey11 and posterior ones according to Thompson and Epstein.12

This study was authorized by the Institution Research Ethics Committee.

RESULTS

Between November 2012 and July 2013 43 patients with traumatic hip dislocation treated at Hospital Instituto Dr. José Frota, Ceará, Brazil were evaluated. Patients' mean age was 34.4 years old, ranging from 18 to 75 years old, 90.7% of them were males and 9.3% females.

Regarding the mechanism of injury, 95% of hip dislocations were caused by traffic accidents, followed by 5% due to fall from height. The most frequent type of hip dislocation observed was posterior dislocation (93%), and only 7% of anterior dislocations. The classification of lesions can be seen in Table 1. Approximately 55.8% of the patients had associated hip fractures (Figure 3), totaling 26 lesions in 24 affected patients. Regarding the occurrence of associated lesions, it was noticed that 74.4% of patients presented such lesions, which are shown in Figure 4.

In 90.7% of patients closed reduction was performed using the Allis maneuver. In the other cases (9.3%) an open reduction was required.

The time between the accident and the dislocation reduction varied according to Figure 5, with a minimum time of 1 hour and maximum of 15 days having been observed.

DISCUSSION

The paucity of epidemiological studies that address the hip dislocations makes it difficult to establish an objective comparison of the obtained results.

We evaluated 43 patients over a nine months period. In our midst, Giordano et al.6 analyzed 34 patients during four years and reported complications observed after 18 and 56 months follow-up. Onyemaechi and Eyichukwu13 performed similar epidemiological study in 49 patients over five years.

The present study demonstrated that traumatic hip dislocation is quite common in young patients, considering that the mean age of affected patients was 34.4 years old and affects mainly males (90.7%), with similar findings as those reported by Giordano et al.6 and Onyemaechi and Eyichukwu.13

Regarding the mechanism of injury, traffic accidents were responsible for 95% of dislocations, which is in agreement with the literature.1,6,13,14 Tornetta and Mostafavi14 stated that the main mechanism is high-energy trauma caused by car accidents. Studies state that the number of posterior dislocations exceeds the number of anterior dislocations, in a ratio of about 9:1.18,9,11-13

In the present study, we also observed mainly posterior dislocations (93%), and only 7% anterior dislocations. Associated lesions were observed in 74.4% of patients, mostly hip fractures (55.8%) and head trauma (9.3%). Suraci15 found that 95% of patients in his study presented other injuries besides hip dislocation.

It is well known that the prognosis of hip dislocation depends on several factors, including the reduction time.10,14,16 According to the literature, the incidence of avascular necrosis of the femoral head varies from 1.7% to 40%, but it may be reduced to 0 to 10% if the reduction is performed in the first six hours after trauma.10 We verified, among our patients, that the time
Table 1. Demography of evaluated patients. (Fortaleza, 2013)

| Patient | Gender | Age (years old) | Classification |
|---------|--------|-----------------|----------------|
| 1       | Male   | 18              | A IIA          |
| 2       | Female | 26              | P IV           |
| 3       | Male   | 27              | A IIA          |
| 4       | Female | 20              | P I            |
| 5       | Female | 39              | P I            |
| 6       | Male   | 38              | P II           |
| 7       | Male   | 51              | P I            |
| 8       | Male   | 27              | P I            |
| 9       | Male   | 31              | P I            |
| 10      | Male   | 34              | P I            |
| 11      | Male   | 38              | P I            |
| 12      | Male   | 29              | P I            |
| 13      | Male   | 75              | P I            |
| 14      | Female | 44              | P II           |
| 15      | Male   | 25              | P I            |
| 16      | Male   | 42              | P II           |
| 17      | Male   | 34              | P II           |
| 18      | Male   | 18              | P I            |
| 19      | Male   | 21              | P II           |
| 20      | Male   | 26              | P I            |
| 21      | Male   | 37              | P II           |
| 22      | Male   | 44              | P I            |
| 23      | Male   | 31              | P I            |
| 24      | Male   | 46              | P I            |
| 25      | Male   | 26              | P I            |
| 26      | Male   | 26              | P II           |
| 27      | Male   | 26              | P II           |
| 28      | Male   | 36              | P II           |
| 29      | Male   | 25              | P V            |
| 30      | Male   | 30              | P II           |
| 31      | Male   | 50              | P I            |
| 32      | Male   | 33              | P II           |
| 33      | Male   | 43              | P I            |
| 34      | Male   | 29              | P I            |
| 35      | Male   | 31              | A IC           |
| 36      | Male   | 42              | P III          |
| 37      | Male   | 23              | P III          |
| 38      | Male   | 32              | P II           |
| 39      | Male   | 24              | P III          |
| 40      | Male   | 44              | P III          |
| 41      | Male   | 71              | P I            |
| 42      | Male   | 32              | P IV           |
| 43      | Male   | 35              | P V            |

Between the accident and dislocation reduction was less than six hours in approximately one third of cases (37.2%), ranging from 1 hour to 15 days, and most (69.7%) had a reduction in less than 12 hours. Although the dislocation is considered an orthopedic emergency, many patients in our study underwent reduction 12 hours after trauma. The delay in the treatment of these patients can be caused by delays in transporting those patients, either due to distance from the crash site, since this service receives patients from various cities in the state, as well as a delay in diagnosis due to professionals’ attendance by non-trained personnel on secondary care centers, or due to associated injuries that demand priority in emergency care. In our series, a patient waited fifteen days for treatment. He was admitted in our hospital eight days after trauma, during which time he was admitted to the local hospital. This patient presented beyond dislocation, fracture of the femoral neck and ipsilateral acetabular dislocation, and the definitive treatment of choice was total hip arthroplasty.

Giordano et al.6 observed that the elapsed time between hospital admission and dislocation reduction ranged from one to five hours. We must point out that this time was lower in our findings, since these authors considered the time of admission as reference, not considering the time elapsed between the accident and hospital admission, which was the case in our study. Regarding the type of dislocation reduction, 90.7% of our patients underwent closed reduction, all of which were reduced by the Allis maneuver, in agreement with other studies.6,13 Closed reduction should always be the first choice in treatment of hip dislocation, unless there is a formal indication to perform an open reduction.

Figure 4. Distribution of associated lesions. (Fortaleza, 2013)

Figure 5. Distribution of patients according to time between accident and injury reduction. (Fortaleza, 2013)

Figure 3. Distribution of associated hip fractures. (Fortaleza, 2013)
open reduction. In general, open reduction is recommended when there is any obstacle to closed reduction (such as intra-articular free-body or soft tissue interposition) or neurological deterioration after sprain reduction, or yet a proximal femoral fracture or other associated injury which makes impossible manipulating the lower limb to perform the reduction. 

CONCLUSION

The present study showed that traumatic hip dislocation was more frequent in younger patients (mean age 34.4 years) and affected mainly males. Injuries are usually caused by high-energy trauma, 95% of which were caused by traffic accidents.
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