Dynamic Alignment in Driven Magnetohydrodynamic Turbulence
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Motivated by recent analytic predictions, we report numerical evidence showing that in driven incompressible magnetohydrodynamic turbulence the magnetic- and velocity-field fluctuations locally tend to align the directions of their polarizations. This dynamic alignment is stronger at smaller scales with the angular mismatch between the polarizations decreasing with the scale λ approximately as θλ ∝ λ^1/3. This can naturally lead to a weakening of the nonlinear interactions and provide an explanation for the energy spectrum E(k) ∝ k^{-3/2} that is observed in numerical experiments of strongly magnetized turbulence.
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INTRODUCTION.

Incompressible hydrodynamic turbulence is described by the Kolmogorov theory of energy cascade, in which turbulent fluctuations (or eddies) transfer energy from the largest scales, where it is injected, to smaller eddies until the dissipative scale is reached and energy is extracted from the system (e.g., [1, 2]). Such an energy cascade is local, i.e. its rate at each scale depends solely upon the characteristics of eddies at that scale. It follows that an eddy of size λ, with typical velocity fluctuations of strength δv_λ, will lose energy to (or get fragmented into) smaller-scale eddies over a time of duration τ_λ ∝ λ/δv_λ (the only quantity having the dimensions of time that can be constructed in this system). In a steady state, the energy flux from the injection scale to the dissipative scale is the same at all scales, leading to δv^2_λ/τ_λ = const and δv_λ ∝ λ^{1/3}. The corresponding Fourier spectrum of velocity fluctuations has the Kolmogorov scaling, E_k ∝ k^{-5/3}.

Iroshnikov [3] and Kraichnan [4] realized that magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) turbulence is qualitatively different from non-magnetized turbulence. The governing equations of incompressible MHD are (see, e.g., [2])

$$\partial_t \mathbf{v} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} = -\nabla p + (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{B} + \nu \Delta \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{f},$$

$$\partial_t \mathbf{B} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) + \eta \Delta \mathbf{B}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0,$$

where \(\mathbf{v}(x, t)\) is the velocity field, \(\mathbf{B}(x, t)\) the magnetic field, \(p\) the pressure and \(\mathbf{f}(x, t)\) is the external force. Unlike the large-scale velocity which can be removed from the hydrodynamic system by means of a Galilean transformation (thus allowing the hydrodynamic system to be treated locally), here the magnetic field of large-scale eddies cannot be eliminated by transforming into a moving reference frame. Thus the small-scale eddies always experience the action of the large-scale magnetic field. The MHD turbulent cascade is therefore mediated by such a guiding field.

The Iroshnikov-Kraichnan theory of MHD turbulence is formulated upon the assumption that the turbulence is three-dimensionally isotropic. In this theory, the turbulence becomes progressively weaker as the cascade proceeds to smaller scales and the turbulent spectrum has the form \(E_k ∝ k^{-3/2}\). A detailed discussion of the Iroshnikov-Kraichnan theory can be found, for example, in [2].

The isotropy of turbulence in the presence of a strong, large-scale magnetic field was questioned in early analytic and numerical considerations (see, e.g., [5, 6, 7, 8]), and it was demonstrated that the energy cascade is directed mostly perpendicularly to the guiding field (e.g., [9]). In 1995, Goldreich and Sridhar [10] developed a theory by proposing that the turbulent eddies become progressively more stretched along the guiding field as the cascade proceeds toward small scales. As a result, the time of nonlinear interaction can be estimated as in the Kolmogorov theory, however, the energy cascade is anisotropic with respect to the guiding field. The field-perpendicular energy spectrum then has then the form \(E(k_\perp) ∝ k^{-5/3}_\perp\).

In recent years, increasingly high-resolution numerical simulations have indeed confirmed the anisotropy of MHD turbulence. The turbulent fluctuations are elongated along the guiding field (see, e.g., [11, 12, 13, 14]), and the anisotropy is scale-dependent. However, the numerical simulations also find the field-perpendicular energy spectrum \(E(k_\perp) ∝ k^{-3/2}_\perp\) (13, 14, 15); see also [16]. Thus the findings are neither described by the isotropic Iroshnikov-Kraichnan theory, nor do they agree with the Goldreich-Sridhar scaling for the energy spectrum.

A possible resolution to this controversy has been recently proposed in [17, 18]. To discuss it, let us first note that at each wave number \(k\), the Fourier components of fluctuating fields can be expanded in shear-Alfvén and pseudo-Alfvén modes (we will provide more detail in the next section). Since the turbulent cascade proceeds mainly in the field perpendicular direction, it is dominated by shear-Alfvén modes, while the pseudo-
Alfvén modes are passively advected by the turbulent cascade (see \cite{10} for a detailed discussion). It was suggested in \cite{17, 18} that the polarizations of the shear-Alfvén magnetic-field and velocity-field fluctuations become spontaneously aligned in a turbulent cascade. This alignment becomes progressively stronger for smaller scales. It was proposed that at a given scale \( \lambda \) the typical fluctuations \( \delta v_\lambda \) and \( \pm \delta b_\lambda \) are aligned within the angle

\[
\theta_\lambda \propto \lambda^{1/4}. \tag{2}
\]

As in the Goldreich-Sridhar theory, the eddies are stretched along the guiding field. However, as a result of polarization alignment, they also become anisotropic in the field-perpendicular plane, and this anisotropy increases as the scale decreases. This leads to a scale-dependent depletion of the nonlinear interaction in \( E \) and to the spectrum of field-perpendicular fluctuations \( E(k_\perp) \propto k_\perp^{-3/2} \), in good agreement with the numerical results described above.

As proposed in \cite{17, 18}, the reason for such a dynamic alignment may be the existence of two conserved quantities in magnetohydrodynamics, whose cascades are directed toward small scales in a turbulent state. The MHD system \( \mathbf{1} \) in the ideal limit \( \nu = \eta = 0 \) conserves the integral of energy

\[
E = \frac{1}{2} \int (b^2 + v^2) d^3 x, \tag{3}
\]

and the integral of cross-helicity,

\[
H^C = \int (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{b}) d^3 x, \tag{4}
\]

provided that the fluctuations \( \mathbf{v}(x) \) and \( \mathbf{b}(x) \) have periodic boundary conditions or vanish at infinity. It was proposed in \cite{17, 18} that the sole requirement of constant energy flux does not allow one to find the spectrum of the fluctuations uniquely. The structure of turbulent fluctuations in the inertial region should accommodate constant fluxes of both conserved quantities, which leads to scale-dependent anisotropy of turbulent eddies in the field-perpendicular plane, and to the alignment \( \mathbf{2} \).

Interestingly, the effect of dynamic alignment has been extensively investigated in the case of decaying MHD turbulence (e.g., \cite{19, 20, 21, 22, 23}), where it essentially means that decaying magnetic and velocity fields approach asymptotically in time the so-called Alfvénic state \( \mathbf{v}(x) = \pm \mathbf{b}(x) \). However, decaying turbulence is qualitatively different from its forced counterpart, and the effects we discuss in the present work have not been addressed in the earlier investigations.

We also mention that previous explanations of the numerically observed shallower-than-Kolmogorov spectrum have essentially invoked intermittency effects, e.g., \cite{13, 14, 24}. Although intermittency may significantly affect the scaling of higher-order correlation functions, it usually provides only small corrections to the energy spectrum. Our explanation of the -3/2 spectrum does not require intermittency.

In the present paper we investigate the phenomenon of dynamic alignment via direct numerical simulations. We analyze driven incompressible MHD turbulence with a strong guiding magnetic field. We measure the degree to which the velocity and magnetic field fluctuations align as a function of scale and we also investigate the dependence on the strength of the imposed field. Numerical verification of the scale-dependent dynamic alignment \( \mathbf{2} \) is the main goal of our work.

**DYNAMIC ALIGNMENT IN MHD TURBULENCE.**

We solve the MHD equations \( \mathbf{1} \) using standard pseudospectral methods. An external magnetic field is applied in \( z \) direction with strength \( B_0 \) measured in units of velocity. The periodic domain has a resolution of 256\(^3\) mesh points and is elongated in the \( z \) direction, with aspect ratio 1:1:B\(_0\). The external force, \( \mathbf{f}(x,t) \), is chosen so as to drive the turbulence at large scales and it satisfies the following requirements: it has no component along \( z \), it is solenoidal in the \( x-y \) plane, and all the Fourier coefficients outside the range \( 1 \leq k \leq 2 \) are zero, the Fourier coefficients inside that range are Gaussian random numbers with amplitude chosen so that the resulting rms velocity fluctuations are of order unity, and the individual random values are refreshed independently on average every turnover time of the large scale eddies. The Reynolds number is defined as \( Re = U_{rms} L/\nu \), where \( L \approx 1 \) is the field-perpendicular box size, \( \nu \) is fluid viscosity, and \( U_{rms} \approx 1 \) is the rms value of velocity fluctuations. We restrict ourselves to the case in which magnetic resistivity and fluid viscosity are the same, \( \nu = \eta \). The system is evolved until a stationary state is reached (confirmed by observing the time evolution of the total energy of fluctuations) and the data are then sampled in intervals of order of the eddy turn-over time. All results presented correspond to averages over these samples (approx. 10 samples).

First we measure the two-dimensional energy spectrum, defined as \( E(k_\perp) = \langle |\mathbf{v}(k_\perp)|^2 k_\perp \rangle + \langle |\mathbf{b}(k_\perp)|^2 k_\perp \rangle \), where \( \mathbf{v}(k_\perp) \) and \( \mathbf{b}(k_\perp) \) are two-dimensional Fourier transformations of the velocity and magnetic fields in a plane perpendicular to \( \mathbf{B}_0 \) and \( k_\perp = (k_x^2 + k_y^2)^{1/2} \). The average is taken over all such planes in the data cube, and then over all data cubes. The resulting spectrum of fluctuations is presented in Fig. 1. It is impossible to infer the exponent of the power-law distribution with good accuracy here and in particular it is hard to distinguish between the spectral indices \(-5/3\) and \(-3/2\). As was demonstrated in \cite{14, 13}, a much higher resolution allows greater Reynolds numbers to be explored and yields
a longer inertial range. Since recent high resolution calculations do yield \( E(k) \sim k^{-3/2} \) (see [13, 14, 15, 16]) we choose not to pursue this issue further here. Instead we concentrate our study on the effect of dynamic alignment that, as we shall demonstrate presently, can be observed well even with limited resolution.

To investigate the dynamic alignment of the shear-Alfvén fluctuations in the field-perpendicular plane, we use specially constructed structure functions. Let us denote \( \delta v_r = v(x+r) - v(x) \) and \( \delta b_r = b(x+r) - b(x) \), where \( r \) is a point-separation vector in a plane perpendicular to the large-scale field, \( B_0 \) (see [23]). In incompressible MHD there are two types of linear modes: shear-Alfvén waves and pseudo-Alfvén waves. Consider a wave whose wave vector \( k \) is almost perpendicular to the guiding field. Then the shear-Alfvén wave will have polarization of \( v \) and \( b \) fluctuations in the direction perpendicular to both \( B_0 \) and \( k \). The polarization of the pseudo-Alfvén wave will lie in the plane of \( B_0 \) and \( k \), perpendicularly to \( k \). Since the wave vector is almost perpendicular to \( B_0 \), the polarization of the pseudo-Alfvén wave will be closely aligned with \( B_0 \).

In the nonlinear case, the Fourier component of fluctuations at each wave vector \( k \) can be expanded into two components: those with polarizations in the \( B_0 \times k \) direction, and those in the \((B_0 \times k) \times k \) direction. Although, in contrast with the linear case, such modes are generally not solutions of the MHD equations, we will refer to them as the shear-Alfvén mode and the pseudo-Alfvén mode, respectively. As we mentioned earlier (see also [10]), the shear-Alfvén modes play the dominant role in the anisotropic cascade, while the pseudo-Alfvén modes are passively advected by them. The scaling of the pseudo-Alfvén spectrum then follows the scaling of

the shear-Alfvén spectrum. However, since the pseudo-Alfvén modes do not dominate the dynamics, they should be excluded when the angular alignment is calculated. In other words, in order to restrict ourselves to shear-Alfvén fluctuations we need to exclude the components of \( \delta v_r \) and \( \delta b_r \) parallel to the large scale magnetic field.

It is important to note however, that since the turbulence is driven by a solenoidal random force at wave numbers \( k = 1, 2 \), as is explained in the text.

To investigate the dynamic alignment of the shear-Alfvén spectrum in the \( B \) direction, and those in the \((B \times k) \times k \) direction. Although, in contrast with the linear case, such modes are generally not solutions of the MHD equations, we will refer to them as the shear-Alfvén mode and the pseudo-Alfvén mode, respectively. As we mentioned earlier (see also [10]), the shear-Alfvén modes play the dominant role in the anisotropic cascade, while the pseudo-Alfvén modes are passively advected by them. The scaling of the pseudo-Alfvén spectrum then follows the scaling of
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Their averaging procedure, however, does not respect the fact that, by definition, the \( \delta V_\lambda \) and \( \delta \lambda_\Lambda \) to which \( \lambda \) refers are typical fluctuations at the scale \( \lambda \), that is, fluctuations whose strengths are close to their rms values (cf. \([1, 2]\)). The alignment angle should be measured between such dynamically relevant fluctuations, as, for instance, in our approach \([4]\).

The numerical verification of the scale dependent dynamic alignment of the magnetic and velocity polarizations in driven MHD turbulence, presented in Fig. 2, is the main result of our work.

**DISCUSSION**

Two consequences of the observed polarization alignment have particular importance. These concern the energy spectrum and the viscous scale (or inner scale) of MHD turbulence.

*Energy spectrum.*—The scale dependent alignment can naturally imply that the energy transfer time is \( \tau_\lambda \sim \lambda / (\delta \nu \delta \lambda) \) (see \([17, 18]\)). Since we obtained \( \delta \lambda_\Lambda \propto \lambda^{3/4} \), the requirement of constant energy flux, \( \delta \nu^2 / \tau_\lambda = \text{const} \), then leads to \( \delta \lambda_\Lambda \propto \lambda^{1/4} \), where \( \lambda \) is the field-perpendicular scale of fluctuations. This translates to the field-perpendicular energy spectrum of MHD turbulence, \( E(k_\perp) \propto k_{\perp}^{-3/2} \), announced in the introduction.

*Viscous scale.*—At the viscous scale \( \lambda_\nu \), the time of nonlinear interaction \( \tau_\lambda \) is of the order of the diffusive time \( \tau_\nu \sim \lambda_\nu^2 / \nu \). A simple calculation then leads to \( \lambda_\nu \sim L / \text{Re}^{2/3} \). This result is qualitatively different from that for nonmagnetized turbulence, \( \lambda_\nu(B = 0) \sim L / \text{Re}^{3/4} \) (see e.g., \([11]\)). This implies that for the same Reynolds numbers, the viscous scale in MHD turbulence is larger than that in nonmagnetized turbulence. This difference is especially relevant for astrophysical plasmas, where Reynolds numbers are quite large, \( \text{Re} \sim 10^5 \sim 10^{10} \).

Apart from its fundamental value, the existence of dynamic alignment in driven MHD turbulence has consequences for our understanding of such astrophysical phenomena as solar wind structure, interstellar scintillation, cosmic-ray transport in galaxies, and heat conduction in galaxy clusters. A discussion of these matters will be presented elsewhere.
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