Abstract. Cutaneous malignant melanoma is a malignancy with one of the fastest increasing incidence rates worldwide; however, the mechanism underlying the occurrence and development of melanoma remains unclear. The aim of the present study was to identify novel biomarkers for the occurrence and development of melanoma. The results of the present study demonstrated that the expression levels of microRNA (miR)-27b were decreased in melanoma tissue samples compared with those in adjacent noncancerous tissue samples and cells according to online and experimental data. By contrast, MYC expression levels were upregulated in melanoma compared with those in adjacent noncancerous tissue samples. miR-27b overexpression significantly inhibited A375 and A2085 melanoma cell DNA synthesis, viability and invasive ability. Dual-luciferase reporter assay results demonstrated that miR-27b inhibited MYC expression through binding to the 3'-untranslated region of MYC mRNA. MYC knockdown in melanoma cells exerted similar effects to those of miR-27b overexpression on DNA synthesis, cell viability and invasive ability; the effects of miR-27b inhibition were significantly reversed by MYC knockdown. In conclusion, the miR-27b/MYC axis may modulate malignant melanoma cell biological behaviors and may be a potential target for melanoma treatment.

Introduction

Cutaneous malignant melanoma is a malignancy with one of the fastest increasing incidence rates worldwide (1,2). Although melanoma accounts for only a limited proportion of all skin malignancies, it causes the largest number of skin cancer-related deaths, with ~55,500 deaths each year (3). The high mortality of melanoma mainly occurs due to distant metastasis (4). Immunotherapy has transformed the treatment of melanoma in the past decade, with a significant increase in overall survival (5). For example, an antibody against cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein 4 (CTLA-4), ipilimumab, increased the 2-year survival rates of patients with metastatic melanoma from 14 to 24% (6). With the application of monoclonal antibodies against inhibitory immune checkpoints, such as CTLA-4 and anti-programmed death 1, the treatment efficacy for metastatic melanoma has improved (5,7). However, predictive biomarkers for melanoma are still lacking. The occurrence and progression of melanoma is considered to result from disorders of the oncogenic and tumor inhibitory pathway functions (8). The importance and relevance of various biomarkers have been demonstrated in melanoma studies (9,10), and targeted agents such as vemurafenib and dabrafenib have enhanced the survival of patients with melanoma; however, patient prognosis remains poor (3). Therefore, it is crucial to study novel biomarkers that drive the occurrence and development of melanoma, which may contribute to the development of new diagnostic and treatment targets.

The Wnt/β-catenin pathway contributes to cancer metastasis through regulating the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) (11,12), which has been reported in melanoma (13). The Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway is often abnormally activated and may participate in the occurrence and development of tumors (14), leading to β-catenin nuclear translocation and the transcription of downstream target genes, such as MYC (15). The MYC gene is typically expressed constitutively in malignancies, and c-Myc, which is encoded by MYC, has been reported to regulate the gene expression of ≤15% of the human genome (16). From a clinical perspective, high expression levels of c-Myc protein exhibit a significant association with distant metastasis and impaired prognosis; from a biological perspective, the overexpression of c-Myc results in a significant increase in cell viability, invasion and migration (17). Furthermore, c-Myc increases the protein expression levels of Snail, which is an EMT marker, in vivo and in vitro (18). Thus, MYC may be a novel therapeutic target in melanoma, and the suppression of MYC expression may be an effective therapeutic option for patients with melanoma.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs), a type of noncoding RNAs that are <22 nucleotides long, bind to the 3'-untranslated region

MicroRNA-27b inhibits the development of melanoma by targeting MYC

YI TIAN1, JUAN NI ZENG2 and ZONGLIANG YANG2

Departments of 1Dermatology and 2Anorectal Disease, The Second Affiliated Hospital, Hunan University of Chinese Medicine, Changsha, Hunan 410005, P.R. China

Received June 14, 2020; Accepted February 2, 2021

DOI: 10.3892/ol.2021.12631

Correspondence to: Dr Zongliang Yang, Department of Anorectal Disease, The Second Affiliated Hospital, Hunan University of Chinese Medicine, 233 Caie North Road, Changsha, Hunan 410005, P.R. China
E-mail: 1988yzlmt@sina.cn

Key words: melanoma, microRNA-27b, MYC, proliferation, invasion
overnight. Subsequently, the sections were incubated with anti-c-Myc (1:200, cat. no. ab32072; Abcam) at 4˚C in 5% normal rat serum (Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd) at 37˚C for 30 min and stained using a diaminobenzidine staining kit (Wuhan Boster Biological Technology, Ltd.). The nuclei were counterstained with hematoxylin. The sections were mounted with neutral balsam and observed under an optical microscope (Olympus Corporation) with x100 magnification in three fields per sample.

Bioinformatics analysis. For miRNA target gene prediction, the online tool TargetScan was used (http://www.targetscan.org/vert_72/) (27). To analyze the differentially expressed miRNAs (logFC>0.56; P<0.05) in melanoma cells, the GEO dataset GSE77090 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE77090) was downloaded and analyzed using the ‘Limma’ package in R (28,29).

Cell lines and culture. Normal human melanocytes (MC) were obtained from ATCC (cat. no. PCS-200-013) and cultured using an Adult Melanocyte Growth kit (cat. no. PCS-200-042; ATCC). The human melanoma cell lines A375 and A2058 were obtained from ATCC (cat. nos. CRL-1619 and CRL-11147) and cultured in DMEM (cat. no. 30-2002) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), 100 mg/ml penicillin and 100 U/ml streptomycin. The cells were incubated with 5% CO₂ at 37˚C.

Cell transfection. For miR-27b overexpression or inhibition, miR-27b mimics, mimics-negative control (NC), miR-27b inhibitor or inhibitor-NC were transfected into A375 and A2058 cells (1x10⁶ cells/ml). MYC knockdown was achieved by transfection of small interfering (si)RNA specific to MYC (si-MYC). All transfection vectors (final concentration, 20 nM) were synthesized by Shanghai GenePharm Co., Ltd. The transfections were performed using Lipofectamine® 3000 (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) at 37˚C for 6 h. Subsequently, the culture medium was replaced with fresh culture medium. At 48 h post-transfection, the cells were harvested for further experiments. The sequences of the miR-27b mimics, inhibitor, si-MYC and the corresponding NC vectors are listed in Table I.

5-bromo-2-deoxyuridine (BrdU) assay. The DNA synthesis ability of the cells was examined using BrdU assay by determining the BrdU incorporation by proliferating cells. A375 and A2058 cells were transfected with the miR-27b mimics, inhibitor or si-MYC for 48 h. Subsequently, 1x10⁴ A375 and A2058 cells were cultured in 24-well plates for 8 h and incubated with 10 μg/ml BrdU (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA) for 24 and 48 h at 37˚C with 5% CO₂; subsequently, the BrdU solution was removed. Following fixation by 4% paraformaldehyde and permeabilization by 0.1% Triton for 10 min each at room temperature, the cells were incubated sequentially with a BrdU antibody (1:50; cat. no. 5290; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.) at 4˚C overnight and an HRP-goat anti-mouse secondary antibody (1:200; cat. no. A0216; Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology) for 1 h at room temperature. The optical density at 450 nm was measured using a microplate reader (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.).

MTT assay. The MTT assay was used to determine the cell viability. A375 and A2058 cells transfected with the miR-27b (3'-UTR) of their downstream target mRNAs, inhibiting their expression (19,20). Depending on the extent of base pairing, miRNAs lead to translational repression, mRNA deadenylation or decay (21). Changes in miRNA expression levels contribute to human tumor occurrence and development (19). In melanoma, deregulation of miRNA expression levels has also been reported previously. Using C57BL/6 mice, Noori et al (22) have demonstrated that high levels of miRNA (miR)-30a expression in melanoma suppress metastasis in vivo through binding to zinc finger E-box-binding homeobox 2 and E-cadherin. A series of miRNAs, such as miR-1908, miR-199a-5p and miR-199a-3p, have been reported as endogenous factors promoting melanoma metastatic invasion, angiogenesis and colonization (23). Since distinct miRNA expression profiles have been investigated at various stages of melanoma progression (24,25), identifying additional miRNAs that target MYC may provide new therapeutic options for melanoma treatment.

The aim of the present study was to identify a miRNA/mRNA axis that may modulate the biological malignant behaviors of melanoma cells in vitro. To achieve this, an online tool was used to select the candidate miRNAs that could bind to the MYC 3'UTR, and the effects of the miR-27b/MYC axis on melanoma progression were determined.

Materials and methods

Clinical sampling. A total of 18 paired melanoma and adjacent noncancerous tissue samples (5 cm from the edge of the tumor) were collected from patients who received surgical treatment for cutaneous melanoma at the Second Affiliated Hospital of Hunan University of Chinese Medicine (Changsha, China) with the approval of the Ethics Committee of the Second Affiliated Hospital of Hunan University of Chinese Medicine (approval no. 2019-KY-031). All patients provided written informed consent. The obtained clinical samples were stored at -80˚C or fixed in 10% formalin at room temperature until further use.

Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and immunohistochemical staining. The histomorphological changes in melanoma and adjacent noncancerous tissues were evaluated by H&E staining at room temperature. The 5-µm sections were stained with hematoxylin solution for 5 min, destained with 0.5% acetic acid ethanol (pH 2.0) and stained with eosin for 30 sec. Following dehydration with graded alcohol (80, 90, 95% and absolute ethanol incubated for 3 min each) and clearing with xylene, the sections were rehydrated using graded ethanol (absolute ethanol, 95, 90, 80 and 75% ethanol incubated for 3 min each) in PBS. Endogenous peroxidase was blocked with 0.3% hydrogen peroxide for 10 min at room temperature. The sections were incubated with 5% normal rat serum (Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd.) at room temperature for 10 min, followed by incubation with anti-c-Myc (1:200, cat. no. ab32072; Abcam) at 4˚C overnight. Subsequently, the sections were incubated with an HRP-polymer-conjugated anti-rabbit/mouse secondary antibody (1:500; cat. no. SV0004; Wuhan Boster Biological Technology, Ltd) at 37˚C for 30 min and stained using a diaminobenzidine staining kit (Wuhan Boster Biological Technology, Ltd.). The nuclei were counterstained with hematoxylin. The sections were mounted with neutral balsam and observed under an optical microscope (Olympus Corporation) with x100 magnification in three fields per sample.

Samples were detected by IHC as previously described (26). Briefly, 5-µm tissue sections were deparaffinized in xylene and rehydrated using graded alcohol (absolute ethanol, 95, 90, 80 and 75% ethanol incubated for 3 min each) in PBS. Endogenous peroxidase was blocked with 0.3% hydrogen peroxide for 10 min at room temperature. The sections were incubated with 5% normal rat serum (Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd.) at room temperature for 10 min, followed by incubation with anti-c-Myc (1:200, cat. no. ab32072; Abcam) at 4˚C overnight. Subsequently, the sections were incubated with
mimics, inhibitor or si-MYC were collected and, following 24-h culture in 96-well plates (5x10^3 cells/well) at 37˚C, the cells were supplemented with 20 µl MTT (5 mg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA) and incubated for 4 h in a humidified incubator at 37˚C. Subsequently, the supernatant was removed, and 200 µl DMSO was added to dissolve the formazan crystals. The optical density at 490 nm was measured using a microplate reader.

**Transwell assay.** The invasive ability of A375 and A2058 cells was determined using a Transwell invasion assay. A375 and A2058 cells transfected with the miR-27b mimics, inhibitor or si-MYC were collected, suspended in FBS-free DMEM and seeded into the upper chambers of the Transwell inserts pre-coated with Matrigel for 4 h at 37˚C. DMEM with 10% FBS was added to the lower chambers. Following 24-h culture at 37˚C, cells adhering to the upper surface of the membrane were removed with a cotton swab, and the cells that had penetrated to the lower surface of the membrane were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min, stained with crystal violet for 5 min at room temperature, and counted under an inverted optical microscope (Olympus Corporation) with x100 magnification in three fields per sample.

**Immunoblotting.** The protein expression levels of c-Myc were determined by western blotting. A375 and A2058 cells were transfected with the miR-27b mimics, inhibitor or si-MYC for 48 h. Protein samples were extracted from the cells using RIPA lysis buffer (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology). The protein concentration was determined by a BCA kit (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology). The isolated proteins (20-100 µg) were separated by 10% SDS-PAGE. The proteins were transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes (Bio-Rad Table I. Primer and microRNA sequences.

| A, Primers used for RT-quantitative PCR | Sequences (5’→3’) |
|----------------------------|------------------|
| miR-27b-5p RT: GTCGTATCCAGTGCGTGTCGTGGAGTCGGCAATTGCACTGGATACGACGTTCAC | F: GCCAGAGCTTAGCTGATTTG |
| R: CAGTGCGGTGTGGAGAAT |
| MYC F: GGCTCCCTGGCAAAAAGTCA | R: CTGCGTAGTTGTGGTAGATGT |
| GAPDH F: ACAAGCCTCAAGATATCATCACG | R: GTGCTAGTGTCCTCCACGAT |
| U6 F: CTCGCTTCGGCAGCACA | R: AACGCTTCAGAATTTCGAT |
| MYC (RIP assay) F: G6CCTTGTTTCATCTGGGTCTAA | R: TGGGGTTGATGTAGATAGTGGAT |

| B, Vectors used for transfections | Sequences (5’→3’) |
|----------------------------|------------------|
| Mimics NC S: UUCUCCGAACGUACGUACGUT | AS: ACGUGACACGUUCGGAAGAAT |
| miR-27b mimics S: AGAGCUUAGCUAAGUGUGAAC | AS: UCACCAACACGUACGUAACGUCUU |
| Inhibitor NC S: GUUCACAGCAUCAGCUAAGCUCU | |
| miR-27b inhibitor S: CAGUACUUUUGUGUAGUACAA |
| Wt-MYC 3'-UTR S: aattctagctagctagcAGATAATAAAGCACTGGAC | AS: attttatgctagctagcTTCCCTATCATGGATACTTCGG |
| Mut-MYC 3'-UTR S: GgctctGCTATTTGGTGCTCCCAAGCAGCTCTCA | CACAAAATAGAGtaggcCATTTCATGGTAGTCTGGTCAT |
| Si-NC S: UUCUCCGAACGUACGUACGUT | AS: ACGUGACACGUUCGGAAGAAT |
| Si-MYC S: AGAAUGAUUAAAUAACCCCTT | AS: GGGUUAUAAAUAACUCCUTT |

RT, reverse transcription; miR, microRNA; RIP, radioimmunoprecipitation; F, forward; R, reverse; NC, negative control; Wt, wild-type; UTR, untranslated region; Mut, mutant; si, small interfering RNA; S, sense; AS, antisense.
Laboratories, Inc.). Following blocking with 3% skim milk for 2 h at room temperature in Tris-buffered saline containing 0.1% Tween-20, the membranes were incubated with an anti-c-Myc primary antibody (1:1,000; cat. no. ab32072; Abcam) for 1 h at room temperature. Following washing with tris-buffered saline with 0.1% Tween 20 for 5 min thrice at room temperature, the membranes were incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary IgG antibodies (1:5,000; cat. no. A0208; Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology) for 1 h at room temperature. The signals were visualized using enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) with a ChemiDOC XRS system (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.). The densitometry analysis was performed by ImageJ 1.52 software (National Institutes of Health).

Reverse transcription-quantitative (RT-q)PCR. A375 and A2058 cells were transfected with the miR-27b mimics, inhibitor or si-MYC for 48 h. Total RNA was extracted from the A375 and A2058 cells using TRIzol® reagent (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Complementary DNA was synthesized from the extracted RNA using the StarScript II First-strand cDNA Synthesis Mix (cat. no. A223-2; GenStar) according to the manufacturer's protocol (42°C for 30 min and 85°C for 5 min, followed by storage at 4°C). The expression levels of miRNA and mRNA were examined using a SYBR® Green PCR Master Mix (Qiagen GmbH). An ABI7500 real-time PCR detection system (Applied Biosystems; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) was used for qPCR, and the thermocycling conditions were as follows: Initial denaturation at 95°C for 2 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 15 sec, and annealing and extension at 60°C for 30 sec. GAPDH (for miRNA) or RN6U6B (for miRNA) expression was used as an internal reference. The relative expression levels were calculated using the 2^ΔΔCq method (30). The primer sequences are listed in Table I.

Dual-luciferase reporter assay. The dual-luciferase reporter assay was used to determine the potential binding between miR-27b and MYC predicted by TargetScan. The wild-type vector (wt-MYC 3'-UTR) was generated by amplifying and cloning the MYC 3'-UTR into the downstream region of the Renilla psiCHECK2 vector (Promega Corporation). The mutant reporter (mut-MYC 3'-UTR) was generated by mutating the predicted miR-27b binding site in MYC 3'-UTR. Subsequently, ~5x10^4 293T cells/ml (cat. no. CRL-3216; ATCC) were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and were co-transfected with 1 µg/ml luciferase reporter vectors and 20 nM miR-27b mimics or inhibitor using Lipofectamine® 3000 for 48 h at 37°C. Following transfection, the luciferase activity was evaluated by the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega Corporation) using firefly luciferase activity for normalization. The primers used for plasmid construction are listed in Table I.

RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP). The RIP assay was used to confirm the predicted binding between miR-27b and MYC 3'-UTR using a Magna RIP RNA-Binding Protein Immunoprecipitation kit (cat. no. 17-700; MilliporeSigma) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, A2058 cells were lysed using RIP lysis buffer (MilliporeSigma) and centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 10 min at 4°C to collect the supernatant. The magnetic A/G beads (50 µl) were incubated with 5 µg argonaute 2 (Ago2; cat. no. ab18673; Abcam) or IgG (cat. no. ab109489; Abcam) antibodies. Subsequently, the bead-antibody complex was rinsed, resuspended in 900 µl RIP Wash Buffer, and incubated with 100 µl supernatant at 4°C overnight. Following incubation with protease K, the RNA was extracted from the samples for RT-qPCR detection for miR-27b and the 3'UTR of MYC.

Statistical analysis. Data from triplicate experiments were analyzed with GraphPad Prism 6 software (GraphPad Software, Inc.) and presented as the mean ± SD. Data normality was assessed by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Paired Student's t-test was used for statistical comparison between tumor tissues group and adjacent noncancerous tissues group. Differences among multiple groups were determined using one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc test. The correlation between miR-27b and MYC mRNA expression levels was analyzed by Pearson's correlation analysis. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

c-Myc protein levels and distribution in melanoma and adjacent noncancerous tissue samples. To determine the mechanism of c-Myc functions in melanoma, melanoma and paired adjacent noncancerous tissue samples were collected, and the histomorphological changes in these samples were examined by H&E staining. As demonstrated in Fig. 1A,
miR-27b modulates the melanoma cell phenotype through MYC. The present study further validated the dynamic effects of the miR-27b/MYC axis on the melanoma cell phenotype. A375 and A2058 cells were transfected with si-MYC to validate the specific roles of miR-27b in melanoma cell lines, to confirm the binding of miR-27b to MYC, RIP assay was performed using an Ago2 antibody. Anti-AGO2 immunoprecipitants containing miRNAs and their interacting RNA components were examined for the levels of miR-27b and MYC in A2058 cells; as demonstrated in Fig. 4E, compared with the anti-IgG group, miR-27b and MYC were more abundant in the Ago2 group. In addition, the miR-27b mimics or inhibitors did not affect luciferase activity, suggesting that miR-27b bound to the predicted binding site in the MYC 3’-UTR.
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achieve MYC knockdown; the transfection efficiency was confirmed using immunoblotting, and the results demonstrated that si‑MYC successfully reduced the c‑Myc protein levels compared with those in the cells transfected with si‑NC (Fig. 5A and B). Subsequently, A375 and A2058 cells were co‑transfected with the miR‑27b inhibitor and si‑MYC. The results of the in vitro assays demonstrated miR‑27b inhibition promoted, whereas MYC knockdown inhibited the DNA synthesis ability (Fig. 5C), cell viability (Fig. 5D and E) and invasive ability (Fig. 5F and G) compared with those in the si‑NC and inhibitor‑NC group; additionally, MYC knockdown significantly attenuated the effects of miR‑27b inhibition (Fig. 5C‑G). Thus, miR‑27b may exert its effects on melanoma cells through MYC.

Discussion

The results of the present study demonstrated that the expression levels of miR‑27b were markedly decreased in melanoma tissue samples and cells compared with those in adjacent...
normal tissues and normal cells, respectively. By contrast, MYC expression levels were upregulated in melanoma tissues and cells compared with those in the corresponding control groups. miR-27b overexpression significantly inhibited melanoma cell DNA synthesis ability, viability and invasive ability compared with those in cells transfected with the mimic-NC. Through binding to MYC 3'-UTR, miR-27b inhibited MYC expression. MYC knockdown in melanoma cells exerted similar effects as miR-27b overexpression on the DNA synthesis ability, cell viability and invasive ability; the effects of miR-27b inhibition were significantly reversed by MYC knockdown.

miRNAs regulate a number of biological and pathological processes, such as the biological malignant behaviors of cancer cells (23). For example, miR-21 inhibits malignant biological behaviors of melanoma (31). The abnormal regulation and dysfunction of miRNAs have been reported in patients with melanoma as well as in melanoma cells (32). In addition, miR-137, miR-148 and miR-182 have been demonstrated to regulate the levels of microphthalmia-associated transcription factor in melanoma (33). miR-26a induces apoptosis in melanoma cells by modulating SMAD1 and silencer of death domains (34). miRNAs have also been implicated in the

Figure 4. miR-27b targets MYC by binding to the MYC 3'-UTR. (A and B) A375 and A2058 cells were transfected with the miR-27b mimics or inhibitor, and immunoblotting revealed that the miR-27b mimics decreased, whereas the miR-27b inhibitor increased the c-Myc protein levels compared with those in the corresponding NC groups. (C) Wt and mut MYC 3'-UTR luciferase reporter vectors were constructed; the mut-MYC 3'-UTR vector contained a 5-bp mutation in the predicted miR-27b binding site. (D) Dual-luciferase reporter assay in 293T cells demonstrated that the miR-27b mimics reduced, whereas the miR-27b inhibitor increased the luciferase activity in the wt-MYC group; no changes were observed in the mut-MYC group. (E and F) RIP assay was performed to confirm the binding of miR-27b to the MYC 3'-UTR using the Ago2 antibody; the levels of miR-27b and MYC 3'-UTR in the precipitates were examined using reverse transcription-quantitative PCR. The miR-27b and MYC 3'-UTR fragments were enriched in the Ago2 precipitate compared with the IgG group. In the miR-27b mimics-transfected cells, the MYC 3'-UTR fragments were more highly enriched compared with those in the mimics-NC transfected cells. **P<0.01 vs. mimics-NC; ***P<0.01 vs. inhibitor-NC. miR, microRNA; UTR, untranslated region; NC, negative control; wt, wild-type; mut, mutant; RIP, radioimmunoprecipitation; Ago2, argonaute 2.
miR-27b inhibits melanoma drug resistance and organ-specific metastasis (35). For example, a miR-150-5p, miR-15b-5p, miR-16-5p and miR-374b-3p prognostic signature distinguishes brain metastatic melanomas and non-brain metastatic primary melanomas (36). miR-200c inhibits melanoma progression and drug resistance through downregulation of BMI-1 (37).

According to the online expression profiles GSE77090, a total of 58 miRNAs are downregulated in melanoma (data not shown); among them, miR-27b may target MYC, which is a proto-oncogene (17). As previously reported, miR-27b suppresses the capacity of a number of cancer cell types to proliferate, invade and migrate. For example, miR-27b inhibits the capacity of aggressive prostate cancer cells to migrate and invade, but does not affect their proliferative ability (38). By targeting peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ, miR-27b suppresses neuroblastoma cell proliferation, tumor development and inflammatory response (39). In colorectal cancer, miR-27b binds to vascular endothelial growth factor C to suppress tumor development and the formation of new blood vessels (40). In the present study, consistent with its abnormal downregulation in melanoma, miR-27b inhibition significantly promoted melanoma cell DNA synthesis ability, viability and invasive ability; by contrast, miR-27b overexpression markedly suppressed these biological malignant behaviors of melanoma cells, suggesting that miR-27b may exert a tumor-suppressive effect on melanoma.

Figure 5. miR-27b modulates the melanoma cell phenotype through MYC. (A and B) MYC knockdown was achieved in A375 and A2058 cells by the transfection with si-MYC; the transfection efficiency was confirmed by western blotting. (C) A375 and A2058 cells were co-transfected with the miR-27b inhibitor and si-MYC, and the DNA synthesis ability was determined by BrdU assay; si-MYC inhibited the DNA synthesis ability compared with that in the si-NC + inhibitor-NC group, which was reversed by the miR-27b inhibitor at 48 h in both cell lines. (D and E) Cell viability was determined by MTT assay at 24, 48 and 72 h. si-MYC inhibited the cell viability compared with that in the si-NC + inhibitor-NC group, which was reversed by miR-27b inhibitor transfection. (F and G) Cell invasive ability was determined by Transwell assay; si-MYC inhibited the cell invasive ability compared with that in the si-NC + inhibitor-NC group, which was reversed by the miR-27b inhibitor. *P<0.05 and **P<0.01 vs. si-NC + inhibitor-NC; ##P<0.01 vs. si-NC + miR-27b inhibitor. miR, microRNA; si, small interfering RNA; BrdU, 5-bromo-2-deoxyuridine.
Regarding the mechanisms by which miRNAs exert their biological functions, miRNAs are considered to target various downstream mRNAs, leading to translation inhibition, mRNA deadenylation or decay (21). Based on the TargetScan analysis performed in the preliminary experiments of the present study, it was determined that miR-27b may target the 3′-UTR of MYC, which was confirmed by experimental analyses. The c-Myc proto-oncogene is located on chromosome 8, and a variety of anomalies related to its activated expression occur during the development of numerous types of malignant tumors (41). c-Myc is considered to modulate the expression of ≥15% of all human genes (16). A number of genes modulated by c-Myc are involved in cell proliferation, migration, invasion and apoptosis, thus promoting the occurrence and development of tumors (42–45).

A previous study supports the suggestion that oncogenic c-Myc affects the presence of determinants of immunological importance on melanoma cells (46). c-Myc overexpression promotes melanoma metastasis by promoting vasculogenic mimicry (18). By contrast, MYC depletion results in the repression of the expression of a number of genes encoding enzymes that are rate-limiting for dNTP metabolism, including phosphoribosyl pyrophosphate synthetase 2 and inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase 2, resulting in the inhibition of melanoma proliferation (47). In the present study, MYC mRNA expression levels were demonstrated to be upregulated in melanoma tissue samples compared with those in paired adjacent noncancerous tissues. MYC knockdown in melanoma cells significantly inhibited the DNA synthesis ability, viability and invasive ability compared with those in the cells transfected with si-NC. In addition, miR-27b served as a tumor suppressor in melanoma cells, as miR-27b overexpression inhibited melanoma cell proliferation and invasive capability compared with those in the NC group. By contrast, miR-27b inhibition promoted cell proliferation and invasion compared with those in the inhibitor-NC-transfected cells. Additionally, MYC expression levels were reduced by miR-27b overexpression and increased by miR-27b inhibition compared with those in the corresponding NC groups. MYC knockdown significantly reversed the effects of miR-27b inhibition, indicating that miR-27b may serve as a tumor suppressor in melanoma by targeting MYC. Due to the crucial role of c-Myc in melanoma progression, targeting c-Myc is considered a potential therapeutic strategy for melanoma. In a previous study, nanodelivery of the c-Myc inhibitor 10058-F4 effectively inhibited human and mouse melanoma cell proliferation (10).

In conclusion, the results of the present study demonstrated that the miR-27b/MYC axis modulated melanoma cell biological malignant behaviors, suggesting that it may be a potential target for melanoma treatment.
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