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Accurate and precise regulation of gene expression is necessary to ensure proper brain development and plasticity across the lifespan. As an ATP-dependent chromatin-remodeling complex, the BAF (Brg1 Associated Factor) complex can alter histone-DNA interactions, facilitating dynamic changes in gene expression by controlling DNA accessibility to the transcriptional machinery. Mutations in 12 of the potential 29 subunit genes that compose the BAF nucleosome remodeling complex have been identified in several developmental disorders including Autism spectrum disorders (ASD) and intellectual disability. A novel, neuronal version of BAF (nBAF) has emerged as promising candidate in the development of ASD as its expression is tied to neuron differentiation and it's hypothesized to coordinate expression of synaptic genes across brain development. Recently, mutations in BAF53B, one of the neuron specific subunits of the nBAF complex, have been identified in patients with ASD and Developmental and epileptic encephalopathy-76 (DEE76), indicating BAF53B is essential for proper brain development. Recent work in cultured neurons derived from patients with BAF53B mutations suggests links between loss of nBAF function and neuronal dendritic spine formation. Deletion of one or both copies of mouse Baf53b disrupts dendritic spine development, alters actin dynamics and results in fewer synapses in vitro. In the mouse, heterozygous loss of Baf53b severely impacts synaptic plasticity and long-term memory that is reversible with reintroduction of Baf53b or manipulations of the synaptic plasticity machinery. Furthermore, surviving Baf53b-null mice display ASD-related behaviors, including social impairments and repetitive behaviors. This review summarizes the emerging evidence linking deleterious variants of BAF53B identified in human neurodevelopmental disorders to abnormal transcriptional regulation that produces aberrant synapse development and behavior.
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INTRODUCTION

Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is a common neurodevelopmental disorder characterized by deficits in communication and social interactions, as well as by repetitive or restricted behaviors and intellectual disability (ID) (Sharma et al., 2018; Lord et al., 2020). In addition to these core symptoms, there are several co-occurring neuropsychiatric disorders or symptoms including hyperactivity, attention disorders, anxiety, depression, and epilepsy (Lord et al., 2020). The rising diagnosis rates of ASD and limited treatments currently available, make the need to accelerate the discovery and development of novel therapeutics of critical importance. While ASD is highly heritable, the genetic architecture is complex, with hundreds of genes implicated and
any single gene mutation accounting for only 0.5–2% of ASD cases (Devlin and Scherer, 2012). The majority of ASD risk genes are critical for synapse formation and function, or chromatin remodeling and gene regulation (Lord et al., 2020).

Within eukaryotic cells, nuclear DNA is packaged in chromatin. The basic unit of chromatin is the nucleosome, in which DNA is wrapped around an octamer of histone proteins. Access to the underlying DNA is controlled by regulation of nucleosome-DNA interactions. Chromatin remodeling complexes use the energy of ATP to slide, evict, or restructure nucleosomes in concert with other regulatory factors to control access to the DNA and ultimately gene expression (Clapier and Cairns, 2009). There are four families of ATP-dependent chromatin remodelers—ISWI, SWI/SNF (BAF), CHD, and INO80 (Clapier et al., 2017). Mammalian BAF (BRG1/BRM associated factor) complexes are multisubunit ATP dependent chromatin remodelers that play essential roles in human development and disease (Lessard et al., 2007; Zahir and Brown, 2011; Staahl and Crabtree, 2013; Kadoch and Crabtree, 2015; Ciernia et al., 2017; Sokpor et al., 2018; Alfert et al., 2019; Cytra et al., 2020). Mutations in the BAF complex are associated with neurodevelopmental disorders including ASDs (Devlin et al., 2012; O’Roak et al., 2012; De Rubeis et al., 2014; Vogel-Ciernia and Wood, 2014; Wenderski et al., 2020), schizophrenia (Koga et al., 2009; Vogel-Ciernia and Wood, 2014), Coffin-Siris syndrome (Santen et al., 2013; Wieczorek et al., 2013), Nicolaides-Baraitser syndrome (Van Houdt et al., 2012; Wieczorek et al., 2013), and Kleefstra’s syndrome (Kleefstra et al., 2006). The BAF complex is critical for proper brain development (Lessard et al., 2007; Zhan et al., 2011; Vogel-Ciernia et al., 2013; Petrik et al., 2015), and BAF complex subunit mutations are predicted to have deleterious impacts on neuronal development and function.

Neuronal activity induces the expression of genes that are required for synaptic function and plasticity. Neuronal depolarization produces a rapid increase in intracellular calcium which initiates a cascade of downstream signaling molecules resulting in the activation of gene expression. For instance, during a novel learning event, neuronal firing induces expression of immediate early genes (IEGs). IEGs are rapidly upregulated upon neuronal activity and often used as a surrogate to identify active neuronal ensembles (Guzowski et al., 1999; Gallo et al., 2018). A prime example of this is c-fos, an IEG whose rapid expression following behavioral training correlates with learning and cellular programs involved in memory retrieval (Guzowski et al., 2001; Gallo et al., 2018). Many IEGs are transcriptional regulators themselves and their expression initiates a cascade of cellular remodeling events that culminates in the strengthening and weakening of specific synaptic connections within brain circuits thought to underlie learned behaviors. The formation and plasticity of synapses are mediated by alterations in cytoskeletal actin dynamics (Rex et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2007, 2010), where actin polymerization facilitates the outgrowth of synapses. Mutations in synaptic genes are also commonly observed in ASD and other neurodevelopmental disorders. Together, this suggests that genetic mutations that disrupt transcription regulation or cause synapse dysfunction may converge to produce overlapping negative impacts on brain development.

The BAF complex appears to be critical for neuronal dendrite growth, synapse development, and several behaviors relevant to both ID and ASD. Despite the evidence that mutations in the BAF complex are connected to ASD (Lopez and Wood, 2015), it is still unclear how mutations in the BAF complex actually lead to ASD. Within the last 4 years, several reports have been published describing mutations in the BAF complex subunit Baf53b in the neurodevelopmental disorders Developmental and epileptic encephalopathy-76 (DEE76) and ASD (Bell et al., 2019; Fichera et al., 2019; Maddirevula et al., 2019; Yüksel et al., 2019; Wenderski et al., 2020). This review will summarize the data from these recent reports while shedding light on the role of the BAF complex in neuronal gene expression and how this might contribute to symptoms of patients with Baf53b-induced neurodevelopmental disorders.

BRG1/BRM ASSOCIATED FACTOR COMPLEX

The BAF (mSWI/SNF) complex is a 1–1.5 MDa complex with a highly diverse subunit composition (i.e., many paralogs within the complex), which provides cell type and tissue specificity in mammals (Mashtalir et al., 2018). The SWI/SNF complex was first discovered in yeast, and homologous chromatin remodeling complexes were also found in drosophila (Brahma-associated protein (BAP) complex), and eventually in mammals (BAF). The complexity of the BAF complex relative to BAP and SWI/SNF homologs is evident when comparing subunit conservation between organisms. Critical functional subunits are conserved between mammals and yeast, such as BAF250a/b (Swi1), Baf47 (Snf5), Baf155 (Swi3), BAF60 (Swp73), BAF53 (ARP79), and Baf45 (Swp 82) (Alfert et al., 2019; Marcum et al., 2020). Newly evolved subunits BCL7a/b/c, BCL11a/b, BRD7/9, and SS18/CREST do not have homologs in yeast (Alfert et al., 2019). The complexity of the BAF complex increases with the genomic complexity of the organism (Wang et al., 1996). In yeast, the SWI/SNF complex mostly activates transcription and in Drosophila, the main function of BAP is to oppose the polycomb repressive complex (PRC) (Alfert et al., 2019). Conversely, the mammalian BAF complex is able to both activate and repress genes and its function can vary depending on the need of the cell (Alfert et al., 2019).

The mammalian BAF complex is made up of 15 subunits which assemble in a combinatorial fashion, with specific combinations depending on both the cell type and developmental stage (Wu et al., 2007; Staahl and Crabtree, 2013; Kadoch and Crabtree, 2015). The ATPase subunit is either BRG1 or BRM (Son and Crabtree, 2014), but across different cell types, remaining subunit composition of BAF changes. The exchange of cell type specific subunits during development confers unique targets and functions of BAF. The polymorphic nature of the BAF complexes give rise to hundreds of different complexes variations. For example, the embryonic stem cell BAF (esBAF) complex controls gene expression important for pluripotency. Similarly, neural progenitor BAF (nPBAF) maintains neural stem cell identity, and the neuronal BAF (nBAF) contains subunits essential for...
neuronal development and function (Yoo et al., 2009; Bao et al., 2013; Staahl and Crabtree, 2013; Vogel-Ciernia et al., 2013; Vogel-Ciernia and Wood, 2014; Kadoch and Crabtree, 2015; Lopez and Wood, 2015; Lopez et al., 2020).

Cell type specificity of BAF is driven by correspondingly specific expression of distinct subunits. The neuron specific subunits of nBAF are expressed exclusively in neurons (Harata et al., 1999; Olave et al., 2002). In neuronal progenitors npBAF has the unique subunits Baf53a, Baf45a, and SS18. During neuronal differentiation these subunits are exchanged for Baf53b (also known as Actl6b), Baf45b/c, and CREST (Staahl et al., 2013). This subunit switching drives cell cycle exit of neural progenitors, allowing for neuron specific gene expression and functions such as axonal guidance and dendritic branching (Aizawa et al., 2004; Wu et al., 2007; Staahl and Crabtree, 2013; Braun et al., 2021). The nBAF specific subunits appear to confer unique functions to nBAF. For example, CREST is a calcium binding protein that upon neuronal stimulation helps target nBAF to neuron specific genes required for dendritic outgrowth (Aizawa et al., 2004). The function of Baf45b remains elusive, although it has been suggested that Baf45b requires other neuronal subunits and/or specific neuronal post-translational modifications for its full function (Lessard et al., 2007). The majority of this review will focus on Baf53b, the most highly studied neuron-specific nBAF subunit that has been critically linked to neuronal development, plasticity and ASD (Vogel-Ciernia and Wood, 2014).

NON-REDUNDANT FUNCTIONS OF BAF53A AND BAF53B

Baf53b is a class 4 actin-related protein (Arp) that is expressed exclusively in neurons (Harata et al., 1999; Park et al., 2002). Arps are structurally similar to actin at their ATP binding pockets and actin folds, however, divergent surface features of Arps may produce distinct functions. A novel human Arp, Baf53a, was found to be a subunit of the BAF complex that disrupts nucleosomes in vitro (Zhao et al., 1998). Harata et al. (1999) identified Baf53b based on its sequence similarity to Baf53a. Baf53b and Baf53a are 83% identical based on their amino acid sequence, with the most divergent region appearing in subdomain 2 (SB2) from amino acids 39 to 82 (Harata et al., 1999). Analysis of total RNA fractions obtained from samples from a wide variety of human tissues showed that Baf53b was expressed exclusively in the brain and neuronal tissues, while Baf53a was expressed in all tissues except the brain (Harata et al., 1999; Kuroda et al., 2002; Park et al., 2002). Baf53b is combinatorially assembled into nBAF complexes and interacts strongly with both BRM and BRG1 ATPase subunits (Olave et al., 2002), but is not required for nBAF complex assembly as all 10 core subunits assemble in the absence of Baf53b (Wu et al., 2007; Wenderski et al., 2020). Additionally, Baf53b does not have any known nBAF complex-independent functions and Baf53b is not found in complexes other than nBAF (Lessard et al., 2007; Wu et al., 2007; Staahl et al., 2013).

Baf53a and Baf53b appear to have largely non-overlapping and non-redundant roles in BAF complexes. The switch from Baf53a to Baf53b upon neuronal differentiation is highly controlled through selective expression of miR-9* and miR-124, which silence expression of Baf53a, mediating cell cycle exit of neural progenitor cells (Yoo et al., 2009). Baf53a appears uniquely critical for npBAF's function. Neural progenitors do not proliferate properly if Baf53b is exchanged for Baf53a in npBAF (Wu et al., 2007). However, Baf53b does promote the survival of neuroprogenitors in the absence of Baf53a (Zhu et al., 2017). In the context of nBAF, there is conflicting data as to the level of compensation Baf53a can confer in the absence of Baf53b. In the initial characterization of Baf53b knockout neurons, Baf53a was not found to be retained in nBAF complexes lacking Baf53b (Wu et al., 2007). However, in subsequent follow up work, Baf53a was found to be fully retained in Baf53b−/− nBAF complexes, however, these complexes were not as stably associated with neuronal chromatin (Wenderski et al., 2020). In either case, Baf53a is unable to functionally compensate for a lack of Baf53b in the context of neuronal development (Wu et al., 2007). Despite being 83% identical, exchanging Baf53a for Baf53b in the nBAF complex failed to rescue neuronal dendritic branching deficits observed in Baf53b−/− cultures (Wu et al., 2007). Comparable experiments with Baf45a and Baf45b showed similar results (Lessard et al., 2007).

PATIENT MUTATIONS IN BAF53B

Recently, mutations in BAF53B have been identified in patients exhibiting Developmental and epileptic encephalopathy-76 (DEE76; OMIM #618468). DEE76 is an autosomal recessive neurodevelopmental disorder characterized by seizures, developmental delay, intellectual disability and delayed myelination. Karaca et al. (2015) first identified two siblings of consanguineous parents presenting with severe intellectual disability, microcephaly, seizures, and autistic behaviors. The origin of their unknown developmental disorder was attributed to a mutation in exon 10 of BAF53B (c.893G > A; p.Arg298Gln) (Karaca et al., 2015). Several years later, four papers were published further implicating mutations in BAF53B in neurodevelopmental disorders and until this time BAF53B had not been conclusively reported to play a role in disease (Bell et al., 2019; Fichera et al., 2019; Maddirevula et al., 2019; Yüksel et al., 2019; Figure 1). In the most comprehensive study, 8 families were identified by whole exome sequencing: children with BAF53B mutations had global developmental delay, seizures, intellectual disability, and delayed myelination (Bell et al., 2019). Several homozygous recessive and compound heterozygous mutations were found in BAF53B (Bell et al., 2019; Figure 1 and Table 1). Of the recessive mutations, none were found in the SB2 domain and they did not cluster in any particular area of BAF53B (Bell et al., 2019). Of note, a mutation in exon 12 (c.1045G > A;p.Gly349Ser) was found in a highly conserved glycine residue in two unrelated children
by Bell et al. (2019) and one child by Fichera et al. (2019). It is predicted that most of the recessive mutations identified are non-sense or frameshift mutations and result in non-sense mediated decay of the transcript, as they occur before the final exon of BAF53B. Heterozygous de novo, dominant missense mutations were discovered in 10 patients who displayed hypotonia, intellectual disability, developmental delay, autism, and Rett-like stereotypies (Bell et al., 2019). Of the dominant mutations, 8 children from different families had the mutation c.1027G > A (p.Gly343Arg) present in exon 12 (Bell et al., 2019). Additionally, one child was identified with a mutation in the SB2 domain (c.230A > G;p.Asp77Gly) (Bell et al., 2019; Figure 1 and Table 1).

Induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC) derived neurons from patients with the c.1279delp.*427Aspext*33 recessive BAF53B mutation had almost a complete loss of MAP2 (a marker of neuronal branching) and increased nuclei size at 15DIV (days in vitro), similar to that of BAF53B KO neurons (Wenderski et al., 2020). Interestingly, this mutation occurs in the final exon of BAF53B and protein expression is retained in these cells (Bell et al., 2019). The defects present in neurons with c.1279del phenocopy BAF53B deletion, suggesting they are likely a result of loss of function due to alterations to BAF53B protein structure. However, defects in neuronal dendritic branching are likely transient as at 25DIV, neurons with this mutation appeared similar to wild type, indicating BAF53B deficits result in delayed differentiation (Bell et al., 2019).

Neurons with the most common dominant de novo mutation (1027G > A) did not display defects in TPPP (tubulin polymerization-promoting protein) or FSCN1 (fascin actin-bundling protein 1) expression that were seen in BAF53B KO neurons (Bell et al., 2019). This data suggests that dominant and recessive mutations in BAF53B cause disease through unique molecular mechanisms and is consistent with differential symptoms present in patients (Bell et al., 2019).

There are very few reported cases of patients with BAF53B mutations, but this number is steadily growing due in large part to increased clinical use of whole exome and whole genome sequencing. The heterogeneity and overlap of symptoms with ID, epilepsy and ASD may have hindered the identification of mutations in BAF53B. An example of this is the eventual identification of the BAF53B homozygous stoploss mutation c.1279delT (p.*427Aspext*32) in a cohort of Rett Syndrome patients (Sajan et al., 2017); Wenderski et al. (2020) examined whole exome sequencing on 135 ASD patients and identified 13 children from 6 families with homozygous recessive mutations in BAF53B (Figure 1 and Table 1). In addition to symptoms of ASD, these children with BAF53B mutations demonstrated ID, epilepsy, corpus callosum hypoplasia, developmental delay and hyperactivity (Wenderski et al., 2020). iPSCs derived from patients with mutations located in residues essential for stabilizing the binding surface between BAF53B and BRG1 (c.460C > T;p.Leu154Phe and c.1177G > A;p.Gly393Arg), had severe reductions in BAF53B protein (Wenderski et al., 2020). The mutant protein failed to incorporate into the BAF complex and resulting in defective nBAF complexes lacking BAF53B (Wenderski et al., 2020). This data further supports the idea that recessive mutations that occur before the final exon of the BAF53B coding region result in mRNA destabilization and non-sense mediated decay.

**FIGURE 1 |** Patient mutations in the BAF53B gene. (A) The BAF53B gene locus. Each circle represents a nucleotide position where a mutation was found by whole exome sequencing. Black boxes represent exons and nucleotide position of the mutation is in the coding sequence (c.). Scale is in base pairs. (B) The BAF53B protein. Each circle represents an amino acid position where a mutation was found by whole exome sequencing. The most divergent regions of BAF53B, SB2, is located in amino acids 39–82 (blue). The HD, predicted to form protein-protein interactions with other nBAF subunits, is located in amino acids 323–333 (purple). Scale is in amino acids. Recessive mutations are in black text and dominant mutations in red text.

**BAF53B REGULATES NEURONAL DEVELOPMENT AND BEHAVIOR**

To study the function of Baf53b, several mouse models have been used. Wu et al. (2007), were the first to generate mice lacking exon 2 and 3 of Baf53b by homologous recombination (Baf53b−/− mice). Baf53b−/− mice were born at expected Mendelian ratios but only 25% survived beyond postnatal day 2 and 12% to adulthood (Wu et al., 2007). Despite the brain of Baf53b−/− mice appearing grossly normal, hippocampal Baf53b−/− neuron cultures had severe defects in activity-dependent dendrite growth (Wu et al., 2007). RNAi knockdown of Brg1, Baf57, and Baf45b in Baf53b−/− neurons did not

---

**Table 1**

| Mutation | Description | Nucleotide Position |
|----------|-------------|---------------------|
| c.1027G > A | p.Gly343Arg | Exon 12 |
| c.230A > G | p.Asp77Gly | SB2 domain |
| c.1279delT | p.*427Aspext*32 | Final exon |

---

**Rowland et al. Baf53b in Autism Spectrum Disorder**
TABLE 1 | Summary of Baf53b mutations and patient symptoms.

| Coding change | Protein change | Mutation type | Citation | Age of assessment | Sex | ASD | Intellectual disability | Epilepsy | Developmental delay | Hypotonia | Myelin abnormalities | Lethal | Un-diagnosed, potentially affected siblings | Protein information |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------|------------------|-----|-----|-------------------------|----------|----------------------|-----------|---------------------|--------|--------------------------------|----------------------|
| c.230A>G      | p.Asp77Gly    | Dominant, de novo missense | Bell et al., 2019 | 8 years | Female | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | Unknown | No | Subdomain 2 |
| c.289C>T      | p.Arg97*      | Homozygous recessive | Bell et al., 2019 | 4 years | Female | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Unknown | No |
| c.389G>A, c.556C>T | p.Arg130Gln, p.Gln186* | Recessive, compound heterozygous | Bell et al., 2019 | 8 years | Female | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Unknown | No |
| c.441_443delCTT | p.delPhe147    | Homozygous | Bell et al., 2019 | 3 years | Female | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Unknown | No |
| c.460C>T      | p.Leu154Phe   | Homozygous missense | Wenderski et al., 2020 | 3 years (Female) | Yes | No | Yes | No | No | Yes | Unknown | No |
| c.465delC     | p.Ala156Profs*64 | Homozygous truncating | Wenderski et al., 2020 | 4 months | Male | Yes | No | Yes | No | No | Yes | Unknown | No |
| c.523A>C      | p.Thr175Pro   | Homozygous missense | Wenderski et al., 2020 | 3 years | Male | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Unknown | No |
| c.617T>C, c.724C>T | p.Leu206Pro, p.Gln242* | Recessive, compound heterozygous | Bell et al., 2019 | 14 months | Female | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Unknown | No |
| c.695delC, c.1275C>A | p.Pro232Glnfs*24, p.Cys425* | Recessive, compound heterozygous | Bell et al., 2019 | 5 years | Male | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| c.740G>A, c.852C>G | p.Trp247*, p.Tyr284* | Recessive, compound heterozygous | Bell et al., 2019 | 5 months | Female | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | Unknown | No |
| c.820C>T      | p.Gln274*     | Homozygous non-sense | Fichera et al., 2019 | 4 and 10 years | Male | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Unknown | No |
| c.892C>T      | p.Arg298Gln   | Homozygous truncating | Wenderski et al., 2020 | 5 years (Male) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | No |
| c.893G>A      | p.Arg298Gln   | Homozygous missense | Karaca et al., 2015 | Unknown | Female | Yes | Yes | Yes | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | No |
| c.999T>A      | p.Cys333*     | Homozygous non-sense | Maddirevula et al., 2019 | 13 months | Female | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Yes | Unknown | Yes | Unknown | Yes |
| c.1027G>C     | p.Gly343Arg   | Dominant, de novo missense | Bell et al., 2019 | 12 years | Female | No | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | No | Unknown | No | Hydrophobic domain |

(Continued)
| Coding change | Protein change | Mutation type | Citation | Age of assessment | Sex | ASD | Intellectual disability | Epilepsy | Developmental delay | Hypotonia | Myelin abnormalities | Lethal | Undiagnosed, potentially affected siblings | Protein information |
|---------------|----------------|--------------|----------|------------------|-----|-----|-------------------------|----------|----------------------|-----------|---------------------|--------|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| c.1027G > A | p.Gly343Arg | Dominant, de novo missense | Bell et al., 2019 | 3.5, 5.75, 4.5, 3, 21, and 2.5 years | 2 males, 6 females | Yes | Yes | 1 of 8 | Yes | No | Yes | Unknown | No |
| c.1045G > A | p.Gly349Ser | Homozygous missense | Bell et al., 2019; Fichera et al., 2019 | 4, 5, and 6 years | 3 females | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Unknown | Yes |
| Glycine at position 349 is highly conserved |
| c.1177G > A | p.G393R | Homozygous missense | Wenderski et al., 2020 | 1 year, 4 years | Male, female | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Unknown | No |
| c.1231C > T, c.669 + 1G > A | p.Gln411*, splicing | Recessive, compound heterozygous | Bell et al., 2019 | 12 months | Male | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |
| c.1249G > T | p.Gly417Trp | Recessive, homozygous missense | Wenderski et al., 2020 | 6 years | Male | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Unknown | No |
| c.1261_1275del | p.Val421_Cys425del | Homozygous in-frame deletion | Yüksel et al., 2019 | 1, 1, and 3 years | 4 female, 2 male (3 with confirmed mutation) | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Unknown | Yes, 3 |
| c.1279delIT | p.*427Aspext*32 | Homozygous stoploss | Sajan et al., 2017 | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown |
| c.1279delIT | p.*427Aspext*33 | Homozygous stoploss | Bell et al., 2019 | 4.5 years | Female | No | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | Unknown | No |
BAF53B REGULATES ADULT LONG-TERM MEMORY

To circumvent the lethality of complete Baf53b deletion and to study the role of Baf53b in the adult brain, Vogel-Ciernia et al. (2013) examined Baf53b heterozygous null mice (Baf53b<sup>+/−</sup>). Baf53b<sup>+/−</sup> mice have normal motor function and levels of anxiety, making them a good candidate to study the role of Baf53b in transcriptional regulation underlying adult long-term memory formation (Vogel-Ciernia et al., 2013). Consistent with a requirement for new gene expression during learning and Baf53b potential role in activity-dependent transcription, Baf53b<sup>+/−</sup> mice had significant impairments in long-term object location (OLM), object recognition (ORM), and contextual fear memory (Vogel-Ciernia et al., 2013). Reintroduction of Baf53b into the dorsal hippocampus rescued defects in long-term OLM (Vogel-Ciernia et al., 2013), supporting the reversibility of the phenotype. Baf53b is also required for cocaine-induced conditioned place preference (CPP) (White et al., 2016), indicating Baf53b plays an important role in the nucleus accumbens. The rescue of social deficits in Baf53b<sup>+/−</sup> mice with the serotonin receptor 5-HT1b agonist (Walsh et al., 2021) could be due to elevated serotonin levels in the nucleus accumbens as oxytocin-induced release of serotonin is critical for social reward (Dölen et al., 2013).

In addition to examining Baf53b<sup>+/−</sup> mice, two different types of dominant negative transgenic mice that over-express a mutant form of Baf53b have been developed. Vogel-Ciernia et al. (2013) over-expressed Baf53b with a deletion in the hydrophobic domain corresponding to amino acids 323–333 (Baf53bΔHD). The hydrophobic domain of Baf53b is predicted to form protein–protein interactions with other nBAF subunits and its deletion in Baf53b results in a dominant-negative mutation (Park et al., 2002). There is one human mutation identified thus far within the hydrophobic domain of BAF53b (c.999T > A; p.Cys333*) (Maddirevula et al., 2019). The patient (and potentially affected sibling) presented with global developmental delay, agensis of the corpus callosum, mild atrophic changes and simplified gyral pattern (Maddirevula et al., 2019). In comparison, Ciernia et al. (2017) generated transgenic mice that over-express a mutant form of Baf53b with a deletion of the entire SB2 (BAF53bΔSB2) (Ciernia et al., 2017). There has been one missense mutation uncovered in subdomain 2 of BAF53B (c.230A > Gp.Asp77Gly) (Bell et al., 2019). As this region is the most divergent between BAF53B and BAF53A, mutations in this region are compelling. Symptoms of the patient identified include developmental delay, ID and ASD. To date, there have been no studies performed on patient cells with either the HD or SB2 mutations and so their exact molecular functions are not well understood.

In transgenic mice, the Baf53bΔHD and Baf53bΔSB2 transgenes are over-expressed in postnatal forebrain excitatory neurons by the Camk2u promoter. The delayed postnatal induction of the transgenes in both models limits their application for early developmental studies, but does allow for examination of Baf53b in adult plasticity. In both cases, two independent lines were established; one expressing high levels of transgene and one expressing low levels to account for off-target impacts of transgene integration. Similar to Baf53b<sup>+/−</sup> mice, Baf53bΔHD mice, and Baf53bΔSB2 mice showed defects in long term OLM, ORM, and long-term contextual fear memory, but not short term memory (Vogel-Ciernia et al., 2013). Cocaine-induced CPP was also examined in Baf53bΔHD mice to determine if Baf53b mutation results in abnormal reward...
induced memory (White et al., 2016). Indeed, \textit{Ba}f53b\textsubscript{ΔHD} results in defective cocaine CPP in a dose-dependent manner (White et al., 2016), suggesting \textit{Ba}f53b plays a critical role in drug-context associations.

\textit{Ba}f53b also contributes to the establishment of long-term fear memory. Endogenous \textit{Ba}f53b is upregulated in the lateral amygdala 2 days after auditory fear conditioning (Yoo et al., 2017, 2020). Acute knock down of \textit{Ba}f53b, specifically in the lateral amygdala, produced robust deficits in long-term cued fear conditioning (Yoo et al., 2017). Impairments in fear conditioning were specific to \textit{Ba}f53b knockdown as deletion of the nBAF subunit \textit{Ba}f45b, had no effect on memory (Yoo et al., 2020). In support of this, \textit{Ba}f53b and a chimeric \textit{Ba}f53a containing the SB2 domain of \textit{Ba}f53b were able to enhance fear memory (Yoo et al., 2017). Together data from multiple \textit{Ba}f53b manipulations demonstrates \textit{Ba}f53b is essential for long-term memory and social behaviors that could be relevant to ASD patients.

**BAF53B REGULATES SYNAPTIC PLASTICITY**

The severe deficits in dendritic branching observed by Wu et al. (2007) supports the important role of \textit{Ba}f53b in regulating neuronal connectivity and circuit formation. To functionally examine the impacts on neuronal connectivity and plasticity, several studies have examined electrophysiological recordings of neuronal activity in \textit{Ba}f53b mutant mice. Long-term potentiation (LTP) is the electrophysiological correlate of long-term memory and its stable induction and maintenance requires significant remodeling of neuronal synapses (Toni et al., 2001). Maintenance, but not induction, of hippocampal slice LTP was significantly impaired in \textit{Ba}f53b\textsuperscript{+/−} mice and in the presence of either low or high levels of the \textit{Ba}f53b\textsubscript{ΔHD} transgene (Vogel-Ciernia et al., 2013). However, high levels of \textit{Ba}f53b\textsubscript{ΔHD} produced increased initial potentiation suggesting the dosage of transgene expression affects the induction of LTP (Vogel-Ciernia et al., 2013). Defects in CPP and LTP in the nucleus accumbens can be reversed in \textit{Ba}f53b\textsubscript{ΔHD} mice when given recombinant brain derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) (White et al., 2016) which is also decreased following BrG1 knockout in neurons (Zhang et al., 2015).

LTP stabilization requires synaptic remodeling through activation of actin treadmilling, a process regulated by phosphorylation of cofilin at activated dendritic spines (Chen et al., 2007). LTP induction in \textit{Ba}f53b\textsuperscript{+/−} (Vogel-Ciernia et al., 2013) or \textit{Ba}f53b\textsubscript{ΔSB2} (Ciernia et al., 2017) hippocampal slices failed to fully engage cofilin at PSD95 positive dendritic spines, suggesting a failure in actin remodeling may underlie LTP and memory deficits in \textit{Ba}f53b mutant animals. In support of this hypothesis, introduction of phosphomimic cofilin protein using adeno-associated viral over-expression in brain, rescues LTP and long-term memory deficits in \textit{Ba}f53b\textsubscript{ΔSB2} mice (Ciernia et al., 2017). \textit{Ba}f53b does not appear to directly regulate cofilin mRNA expression (Vogel-Ciernia et al., 2013) and how nBAF directly or indirectly controls the actin signaling pathway remains to be uncovered.

Impairments in LTP and dendritic spine plasticity suggest that \textit{Ba}f53b regulated gene expression may alter the basic structure of dendritic spines. When looking at the number and structure of dendritic spines in juvenile \textit{BAF53A}\textsuperscript{HT\textsuperscript{high}} mice, there was a modest decrease in the overall spine density with a significant increase in mushroom spines, and a concomitant decrease in thin spines of CA1 pyramidal cells (Vogel-Ciernia et al., 2013). However, the opposite shift in spine ratio was seen in juvenile hippocampus following BrG1 knockdown (Zhang et al., 2015) and adult \textit{Ba}f53b\textsubscript{ΔSB2} mice do not show deficits in the number or type of dendritic spines (Ciernia et al., 2017). Additionally, there were no defects in spine density in neurons of the \textit{Ba}f53b\textsuperscript{−/−} lateral amygdala, however, transient expression of wild type \textit{Ba}f53b produced an increase in total spine density, specifically thin-type spines, following fear conditioning (Yoo et al., 2017). The impact on dendritic spines may be dependent on developmental stage at which nBAF is impaired and/or dependent on the type of nBAF manipulation. Bap55, the Drosophila ortholog of \textit{Ba}f53b, has been shown to be crucial for dendritic targeting of neurons in the fly olfactory system (Tea and Luo, 2011). \textit{Ba}f53b was able to rescue deficits in dendrite targeting (Tea and Luo, 2011; Wenderski et al., 2020) but not \textit{Ba}f53b containing patient missense variants c.460C > T (p.Leu154Phe) or c.1177G > A (p.Gly393Arg) (Wenderski et al., 2020). Together, these models provide compelling evidence that \textit{Ba}f53b is critical for neuronal development and synaptic plasticity.

**THE ROLE OF BAF53B IN REGULATING GENE EXPRESSION**

As a chromatin remodeling complex, it is expected that nBAF controls a transcriptional program required for dendrite outgrowth and synapse formation. Transcriptional levels of many genes involved in neurite outgrowth including \textit{Gap43}, \textit{Stmns2}, \textit{Rap1A}, and \textit{Gprin1}, were altered in \textit{Ba}f53b\textsuperscript{−/−} neurons (Wu et al., 2007). Additionally, several Rho-GTPases important for activity-dependent dendrite development, were aberrantly expressed in \textit{Ba}f53b\textsuperscript{−/−} neurons (Wu et al., 2007). Reintroduction of the depleted Rho-GEF \textit{Ephexin1}, rescued the impaired activity-dependent dendritic outgrowth in \textit{Ba}f53b\textsuperscript{−/−} neurons, suggesting it is an essential nBAF target gene (Wu et al., 2007). A chimeric \textit{Ba}f53a containing the SB2 domain of \textit{Ba}f53b was able to rescue dendritic branching and \textit{Ephexin1} and \textit{Gap43} expression in \textit{Ba}f53b\textsuperscript{−/−} neurons (Wu et al., 2007), highlighting the importance of SB2 of \textit{Ba}f53b.

To investigate potential nBAF-regulated target genes involved in memory and synapse formation \textit{in vivo}, RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) was performed on the dorsal hippocampus following a learning event in \textit{Ba}f53b\textsuperscript{+/−} adult mice (Vogel-Ciernia et al., 2013). There were several genes that failed to increase following learning in \textit{Ba}f53b\textsuperscript{+/−} mice that were involved in chromosome organization and chromatin modification,
pointing to a role for Baf53b in higher-order chromatin structure (Vogel-Ciernia et al., 2013). Additionally, genes involved in postsynaptic cell membrane and cytoskeleton regulation failed to show an activity-dependent decrease in Baf53b+−/− brain tissue (Vogel-Ciernia et al., 2013). Focusing on genes involved in spine plasticity, several genes involved in phosphorylation of coflin and cytoskeleton reorganization were misregulated in Baf53b+−/− brain (Vogel-Ciernia et al., 2013). Additionally, following deletion of Brg1 in neurons of the dentate gyrus, several genes involved in cytoskeleton, synaptogenesis, and calcium signaling were misregulated (Zhang et al., 2015). Comparing Brg1-regulated genes to known synaptic genes and genes linked to ASD, there was significant overlap, providing a potential link between defective synaptic plasticity and ASD pathogenesis (Zhang et al., 2015).

Baf53b is required for activity-dependent dendrite branching, memory formation and LTP (Vogel-Ciernia and Wood, 2014) all of which requires de novo transcription (Alberini and Kandel, 2015). Baf53b may be involved in the transcription of IEGs in response to neuronal activation, however, the current data is somewhat conflicting. A time course of KCl treatment administered to Brg1 depleted neurons revealed a lack of induction of the IEGs c-fos and Arc most notably 2 h post activation (Kim et al., 2021). In contrast, in Baf53b−−/− neurons where neuronal firing was artificially silenced by tetrodotoxin, IEG expression was aberrantly induced (Wenderski et al., 2020). In fact over 40% of differentially expressed genes were activity response genes that normally would be induced upon neuronal depolarization in wild type cultures (Wenderski et al., 2020). Surprisingly, Baf53b−−/− neurons artificially stimulated with KCl also had hyper-induced expression of activity-regulated genes (Wenderski et al., 2020), indicating Baf53b deletion increases IEG expression irrespective of stimulation. Lastly, following a learning event in vivo, Baf53b+/− mice do not show changes in the expression of most IEGs (Vogel-Ciernia et al., 2013). This discrepancy could be due to the heterogeneous composition of brain tissue, the artificial nature of culture conditions required for silencing or depolarizing entire neuronal populations, or differences in heterozygous vs. homozygous loss of Baf53b. As there have been reports of normal IEG expression (Vogel-Ciernia et al., 2013) and activation (Wenderski et al., 2020) following Baf53b deletion, future work will be required to more fully investigate Baf53b’s role in IEG expression.

Evidence does support the Baf53b-regulation of a subset of activity-dependent genes. RNA-seq in the lateral amygdala following auditory fear conditioning training revealed Fgf1 as a candidate gene that failed to increase following Baf53b deletion (Yoo et al., 2020). Fgf1 is normally increased upon neuronal activity (Ma et al., 2009) and is important for neurite outgrowth (Raju et al., 2014) and memory (Uchida et al., 2017). Restoration of FGF1 levels in Baf53b−−/− lateral amygdala rescued memory deficits (Yoo et al., 2020). Together, this provides evidence that nBAF is critical for gene expression involved in dendrite outgrowth, synapse formation and maturation, and ultimately memory formation. However, the exact target genes and direct mechanistic linkage to synapse function is still an active area of investigation.

**POTENTIAL nBAF MECHANISMS FOR NEURONAL GENE REGULATION**

The BAF complex has been shown to be essential for regulation of gene expression across numerous cell types and contexts (Alfert et al., 2019). In vitro, BAF complexes can slide, evict, and unwind nucleosomes as well as participate in DNA looping (Kassabov et al., 2003; Dechassa et al., 2010). Disruption of the BAF complex in cultured cells results in altered nucleosome patterning and loss of nucleosomes at promoters (Tolstorukov et al., 2013). Additionally, BAF has been shown to remodel nucleosomes at enhancers (Hu et al., 2011) and is required at enhancers for genes involved in lineage specification (Alver et al., 2017). The BAF complex directly opposes the PRC deposits the facultative heterochromatin mark H3K27me3 at inactive regions of the genome (Kadoch et al., 2016). While the PRC mediated H3K27me3 deposition is opposed by npBAF to upregulate cell cycle genes (Braun et al., 2021), it is unknown if nBAF acts similarly to increase genes involved in neuronal differentiation. The eviction of the PRC occurs within minutes of BAF complex recruitment and is dependent on the ATPase activity of the BAF complex (Kadoch et al., 2016). In line with this, changes in chromatin accessibility following BRG1/BRM catalytic inhibition occur within 5–10 min and are rapidly restored following inhibitor washout (Schick et al., 2021). Both promoters and enhancers lost accessibility following inhibition of BAF complex ATPase activity (Iurlaro et al., 2021; Schick et al., 2021). These data suggest that the BAF complex is required for establishment and maintenance of accessible chromatin that allows for cell-type specific transcription factor binding (Iurlaro et al., 2021; Schick et al., 2021). This dynamic and activity sensitive system may allow cells to respond to intrinsic and extrinsic signals important for establishing new gene expression depending on the cellular context.

It might be expected that nBAF binding increases global chromatin accessibility, leading to increased expression of genes important for branching and neural activity. However, Brg1 depletion in depolarized neurons did not produce significant changes in chromatin accessibility (Kim et al., 2021). Analysis of chromatin accessibility in silenced Baf53b−−/− neurons showed increased accessibility at AP-1 transcription factor motifs. AP-1 is a known regulator of IEG induction in neurons, suggesting that chromatin accessibility at AP-1 regulatory sites is still intact in the absence of Baf53b and may even be enhanced in compensation (Wenderski et al., 2020). Furthermore, BAF mediated changes in chromatin accessibility are not simplistically linked to increases in gene expression, as there are numerous genes that are still increased in expression following depolarization in Brg1 knockout neurons (Kim et al., 2021). Similarly, examination of targeted chromatin looping in prefrontal cortex of Baf53b−−/− mice did not reveal changes in loop formation (Bharadwaj et al., 2014). Overall, the mechanistic
FIGURE 2 | nBAF regulates activity-induced gene expression through a dynamic system. (A) Under wild type baseline conditions, HDACs (maroon) are bound to nBAF (gray), which suppresses transcription of target genes. When BAF53B (purple) is mutated, there is atypical upregulation of activity-dependent genes. (B) With neuronal activation, Brg1 S1382 becomes phosphorylated (blue circle), p300/CREB (teal) replaces HDACs and H3K27ac (red circles) is increased, facilitating upregulation of activity-dependent genes. When BAF53B is mutated, there are some reports of normal activation of activity-regulated genes and some reports of hyper-induction of activity-regulated genes. Question marks represent key contributors whose status is unknown in BAF53B mutants. Transcript abundance is depicted by pink lines. nBAF specific subunits BAF53B, BAF45B/C and CREST are highlighted.

link between chromatin structure and nBAF regulation remains largely unclear.

There are currently several potential mechanisms by which nBAF specifically targets neuronal genes. Baf53b may be responsible for recruiting nBAF to target genes, as Baf53b deletion disrupts target promoter binding (Wu et al., 2007). However, Baf53b does not contain a known DNA interacting domain and so presumably any targeting would be via specific interactions with additional transcriptional factors. For example, BAF complexes are recruited to target genes through interaction with transcription factors like SPI (Qiu and Ghosh, 2008), MEF2 (Zhang et al., 2015), and AP-1 (Vierbuchen et al., 2017). Myocyte enhancer factor 2 (MEF2) transcription factors regulate several IEGs as well as genes that are mutated in ASD and epilepsy (Flavell et al., 2008). MEF2C is important for dendritic spine and synapse elimination thereby facilitating learning and memory by negative regulation of synapse number (Barbosa et al., 2008). Brg1 regulates several MEF2 target genes including those necessary for synapse structure and plasticity like Bdnf and Nr4a1 (Zhang et al., 2015). Furthermore, MEF2C interacts with Brg1 and is required for its recruitment to activity regulated genes including Bdnf and Arc (Zhang et al., 2015) making MEF2C a promising nBAF interacting transcription factor.

nBAF may also be targeted by interactions with modified histones. Brg1 contains a bromodomain that binds H3K27ac (Filippakopoulos et al., 2012) and inhibition of this domain results in lower Brg1 binding and target activity-regulated gene expression (Kim et al., 2021). Inhibition of the bromodomain alone did not reduce H3K27ac shortly after depolarization, but inhibition of the histone acetyltransferase p300/CREB did (Kim et al., 2021). As p300/CREB inhibition also blocked Brg1 binding, this suggests H3K27ac recruits BAF to activity acetylated genes (Kim et al., 2021). Several activity-regulated genes showed co-occupancy of Brg1 and H3K27ac at enhancers, including c-fos and Nr4a1 (Kim et al., 2021). Furthermore, enhancer RNAs for c-fos and Arc activation are decreased following Brg1 knockdown, as was enhancer-promoter looping (Kim et al., 2021). Together, this suggests that gene specific targeting of Baf53b and the nBAF complex may involve several mechanisms working in concert.

nBAF regulation also appears to occur at the level of post-translational modification of its subunits. Brg1 undergoes activity induced phosphorylation at S1382 both in vitro and in vivo (Kim et al., 2021), which may facilitate an activity-dependent role for the BAF complex. S1382 is located in the histone-interacting Snf2 ATP Coupling (SnAC) domain which regulates ATPase activity and serves as a histone anchor (Sen et al., 2013). Phosphorylation at Brg1 S1382 does not affect its ATPase activity or complex assembly (Kim et al., 2021). However, constitutive phosphorylation of Brg1 S1382 rescued c-fos and Arc expression in depolarized Brg1−/− neurons (Kim et al., 2021), indicating phosphorylation is required for their expression. Brg1 phosphorylation appears to be necessary for induction of
several neuronal IEGs. RNA-seq in neurons containing a non-phosphorylatable Brg1 S1382 showed altered expression of IEGs, which could be rescued with constitutive phosphorylation at S1382 (Kim et al., 2021). In line with this, H3K27ac and enhancer-promoter looping at c-fos were enhanced with constitutive Brg1 S1382 phosphorylation (Kim et al., 2021). Brg1 phosphorylation at S1382 and enhancer regulation can modify nBAF’s response to neuronal activity, supporting an additional activity-dependent level of nBAF regulation.

A dual role for the nBAF complex in both transcriptional activation and repression has recently been proposed (Wenderski et al., 2020; Kim et al., 2021). The duality may be conferred in part by the complex’s interaction with either histone deacetylases (HDACs) or histone acetyltransferases (HATs). HDACs remove histone acetyl groups and generally facilitate repression of gene expression while HATs add acetyl groups to histone tails, facilitating gene expression (Vogel-Ciernia and Wood, 2012). Brg1 binds three histone deacetylases Hdac1, Hdac2, and Hdac3 (Qiu and Ghosh, 2008). Proteomic analysis revealed four subunits of the NuRD co-repressor complex (Gata2b, Mta1, Mta3, and Hdac2) were bound to the BAF complex and released upon neuronal stimulation (Kim et al., 2021). In the absence of Brg1 S1382 phosphorylation, more NuRD subunits were co-immunoprecipitated with Brg1 and the KCl-induced departure of the NuRD complex at c-fos and Arc promoters was impaired (Kim et al., 2021). This data provides compelling evidence that phosphorylation of Brg1 at S1382 provides a precise control mechanism to maintain a repressed state at baseline and to induce the rapid expression of activity-regulated genes following phosphorylation. Additionally, in conjunction with Hdac1, Brg1 was found to bind and repress the c-fos promoter under basal conditions (Qiu and Ghosh, 2008). After neuronal depolarization with KCl, Hdac1 is released from the BAF complex and replaced by the HAT CBP to activate c-fos expression (Qiu and Ghosh, 2008). These two opposing BAF interacting partners could also explain the discrepancy in activation/inhibition of IEGs in nBAF knockout models. Hdac3 depletion has been shown to enhance memory formation by allowing sustained activation of the IEG Nr4a2 (McQuown et al., 2011). Nr4a2 is reduced in KCl stimulated neuronal cultures lacking Brg1 (Zhang et al., 2015). Additional deletion of Hdac3 in the dorsal hippocampus of Baf53bΔSB2 mice restores memory and LTP impairments (Shu et al., 2018) potentially by elevating Nr4a2 expression. Together, these findings support the role for additional epigenetic mechanisms such as histone acetylation in dictating nBAF’s regulation of gene expression.

CONCLUSION AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Recently, whole exome sequencing has identified mutations in BAF53B present in patients with DEE76 and ASD. Until these reports, BAF53B had not been conclusively reported to play a role in human disease. Baf53b mutant mice display hyperactivity and impairments in long-term memory and social interactions, mimicking behaviors seen in patients. Baf53b regulates activity-dependent dendritic outgrowth and synaptic plasticity, potentially explaining behavioral impairments. As a chromatin remodeling complex, nBAF likely modulates several genes crucial for these processes. nBAF may be important for the expression of activity-induced IEGs, although the data is far from conclusive. It appears as though nBAF is essential for the activation of a subset of IEGs important for memory, including c-fos. Inconsistency among reports supports the idea that nBAF may regulate gene expression through a dynamic system that is essential for modulating gene expression quickly and depending on the cellular environment. This is achieved by post-translational phosphorylation of Brg1, as well as nBAF complex interaction with HDACs and HATs (Figure 2).

It is clear that more work is needed to parse out the details of this system and how it might relate to patients with Baf53b-related neurodevelopmental disorders. Nonetheless, Baf53b presents as a promising candidate gene as it intersects the two most commonly disrupted systems in ASD, synapse regulation and chromatin remodeling. Recent therapeutic work has focused on developing gene therapies for genetic forms of neurodevelopmental disorders including advances for Rett syndrome (Sinnett et al., 2021), Angelman syndrome (Wolter et al., 2020), and fragile X syndrome (Lee et al., 2018). Baf53b and other nBAF subunits may be amenable to similar types of approaches to directly rescue nBAF function and developmental gene expression. Given that re-expression of Baf53b in heterozygous knockout mice was able to rescue synaptic plasticity and memory deficits (Vogel-Ciernia et al., 2013), gives hope that at least some of the impacts of Baf53b loss during development may be reversible later in life. Alternatively, manipulations of cytoskeleton remodeling machinery (Ciernia et al., 2017) or expression of Bdnf (White et al., 2016) can rescue memory deficits and treatment with serotonin rescues social behavior deficits in Baf53b mutant mice (Walsh et al., 2021). Together, this work provides a number of novel avenues to pursue for therapeutic advances for treating ASD symptoms in children with Baf53b and nBAF mutations.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

MR and AC conceived of the main topic ideas and edited the manuscript. MR wrote the majority of the sections with direct assistance from JJ and TO. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CRC-RS 950-232402 to AC) and the SickKids Foundation (NI20-1004 to AC). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.
REFERENCES

Aizawa, H., Hu, S. C., Bobb, K., Balakrishnan, K., Ince, G., Gurevich, I., et al. (2004). Dopamine and metabolism regulation by CREST, a calcium-regulated transcriptional activator. Science 303, 197–202. doi: 10.1126/science.1089845

Alberini, L. S., and Alm, K. (2015). The regulation of transcription in memory consolidation. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 7.a021741.

Alvarez, B. H., Kim, K. H., Lu, P., Wang, X., Manchester, H. E., Wang, W., et al. (2017). The SWI/SNF chromatin remodelling complex is required for maintenance of lineage specific enhancers. Nat. Commun. 8:14648. doi: 10.1038/ncomms14648

Bao, X., Tang, J., Lopez-Pajares, V., Tao, S., Qu, K., Crabtree, G. R., et al. (2013). ACTL6a enforces the epidermal progenitor state by suppressing SWI/SNF dependent induction of KLF4. Cell Stem Cell 12, 193–203. doi: 10.1016/j.stem.2012.12.014

Barbosa, A. C., Kim, M.-S., Ertunc, M., Adachi, M., Nelson, E. D., McAnally, J., et al. (2008). MEFC2, a transcription factor that facilitates learning and memory by negative regulation of synapse numbers and function. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 105, 9391–9396. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0802679105

Bell, S., Rousseau, J., Peng, H., Aouabed, Z., Priam, P., Theroux, J. F., et al. (2019). Mutations in ACTL6A cause neurodevelopmental deficits and epilepsy and lead to loss of dendrites in human neurons. Ann. J. Hum. Genet. 104, 815–834. doi: 10.1016/j.ajhg.2019.03.022

Bharadwaj, R., Peter, C. J., Jiang, Y., Roussos, P., Vogel-Ciernia, A., Shen, E. Y., et al. (2014). Conserved higher-order chromatin regulates NMDA receptor gene expression and cognition. Neuron 84, 997–1008. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2014.10.032

Braun, S. M. G., Petrova, R., Tang, J., Krokhotin, A., Miller, E. L., Tang, Y., et al. (2021). BAF subunit switching regulates chromatin accessibility to control cell cycle exit in the developing mammalian cortex. Genes Dev. 35, 335–353. doi: 10.1101/gad.342345.120

Chen, L. Y., Rex, C. S., Casale, M. S., Gall, C. M., and Lynch, G. (2007). Changes in synaptic morphology accompany actin signaling during LTP. J. Neurosci. 27, 5363–5372. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0164-07.2007

Ciernia, A. V., Kramár, E. A., Mathesos, D. P., Havekes, R., Hemstedt, T. J., Magnan, C. N., et al. (2017). Mutation of neuron-specific chromatin remodeling subunit ACTL6b: rescue of plasticity and memory by manipulating actin remodeling. Learn. Mem. 24, 199–209. doi: 10.1101/lm.044602.116

Clapier, C. R., and Cairns, B. R. (2017). Mechanisms of action and regulation of ATP-dependent chromatin-remodelling complexes. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 18, 407–422. doi: 10.1038/nrm.2017.26

Curta, J., Augspach, A., De Filippo, M. R., Prandi, D., Thienger, P., Benelli, M., et al. (2020). Role of specialized composition of SWI/SNF complexes in prostate cancer lineage plasticity. Nat. Commun. 11:5549. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-19328-1

De Rubeis, S., He, X., Goldberg, A. P., Poulton, C. S., Samocha, K., Cicek, A. E., et al. (2014). Synaptic, transcriptional and chromatin genes disrupted in autism. Nature 515, 209–215. doi: 10.1038/nature13772

Dechassa, M. L., Sabri, A., Pondugula, S., Kasabov, S. R., Chatterjee, N., Kladde, M. P., et al. (2010). SWI/SNF has intrinsic nucleosome disassembly activity that is dependent on adjacent nucleosomes. Mol. Cell 38, 590–602. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2010.02.040

Devlin, B., Boone, B. E., Levy, S. E., Lihm, J., Busbaum, J. D., Wu, Y., et al. (2012). Patterns and rates of exonic de novo mutations in autism spectrum disorders. Nature 485, 242–246. doi: 10.1038/nature11011

Devlin, B., and Scherer, S. W. (2012). Genetic architecture in autism spectrum disorder. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. 22, 229–237.

Dölen, G., Darvishzadeh, A., Huang, K. W., and Malenka, R. C. (2013). Social reward requires coordinated activity of nucleus accumbens oxytocin and serotonin. Nature 501, 179–184. doi: 10.1038/nature12518
Lessard, J., Wu, J. I., Ranish, J. A., Wan, M., Winslow, M. M., Staal, B. T., et al. (2007). An essential switch in subunit composition of a chromatin remodeling complex during neural development. *Neuron* 55, 201–215. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2007.06.019

Loomes, R., Hull, L., and Mandy, W. P. L. (2017). What is the male-to-female ratio in autism spectrum disorder? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Am. Acad. Child Adolesc. Psychiatry* 56, 466–474. doi: 10.1016/j.jaac.2017.03.013

López, A. J., Hecking, J. K., and White, A. O. (2020). The emerging role of ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling in memory and substance use disorders. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 21:6816. doi: 10.3390/ijms21186816

López, A. J., and Wood, M. A. (2015). Role of nucleosome remodeling in neurodevelopmental and intellectual disability disorders. *Front. Behav. Neurosci.* 9:100. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2015.00100

Lord, C., Brugha, T. S., Charman, T., Cusack, J., Dumas, G., Frazier, T., et al. (2020). Autism spectrum disorder. *Nat. Rev. Dis. Primers* 6:5.

Ma, D. K., Jang, M.-H., Gun, J. U., Kitabatake, Y., Chang, M., Pow-anpongkul, N., et al. (2009). Neuronal activity–induced Gadd45b promotes epigenetic DNA demethylation and adult neurogenesis. *Science* 323, 1074–1077. doi: 10.1126/science.1166859

Maddirevula, S., Alzahrani, F., Al-Owain, M., Al Muhaizea, M. A., Kayyali, H. R., AlHashem, A., et al. (2019). Autozygome and high throughput confirmation of disease genes candidacy. *Genet. Med.* 21, 736–742. doi: 10.1038/s41436-018-0138-x

Marcum, R. D., Reyes, A. A., and He, Y. (2020). Structural insights into the evolutionarily conserved BAF chromatin remodeling complex. *Biochemistry* 9:146. doi: 10.3390/biochemistry90146

Maslilatir, N., D’Avino, A. R., Michel, B. C., Luo, J., Pan, J., Otto, J. E., et al. (2018). Modular organization and assembly of SWI/SNF family chromatin remodeling complexes. *Cell* 175, 1277–1288e20. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2018.09.032

McQuown, S. C., Barrett, R. M., Matheos, D. P., Post, R. J., Rogge, G. A., Alenghat, T., et al. (2011). HDAC3 is a critical negative regulator of long-term memory formation. *J. Neurosci.* 31, 764–774. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0532-10.2011

Olave, I., Wang, W., Xue, Y., Kuo, A., and Crabtree, G. R. (2002). Identification of a polymorphic, neuron-specific chromatin remodeling complex. *Genes Dev.* 16, 2509–2517. doi: 10.1101/gad.992102

O’Roak, B. J., Vives, L., Girirajan, S., Karakoc, E., Krumm, N., Coe, B. P., et al. (2013). Coffin-Siris syndrome and with Rett syndrome lacking mutations in MECP2. *Hum. Mutat.* 34, 1384–1394. doi: 10.1002/humu.22394

Park, J., Wood, M. A., and Cole, M. D. (2002). BAF53 forms distinct nuclear foci in nestin-lineage adult neural stem and progenitor cells. *J. Neurosci.* 22, 1307–1316. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2385-02.2002

Pedraza, S. M., Sandhya, V. K., Sahu, A., Alipoor, A., Balakrishnan, L., et al. (2014). A calcium-dependent switch in a CREST-BRG1 dependent chromatin remodeling in memory and substance use disorders. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 21:6816. doi: 10.3390/ijms21186816

Qiu, Z., and Ghosh, A. (2008). A calcium-dependent switch in a CREST-BRG1 dependent chromatin remodeling complexes and functions as a critical c-Myc-interacting nuclear cofactor for transcriptional activation. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 28, 485, 246–250. doi: 10.1038/nature10989

Rowland et al. Baf53b in Autism Spectrum Disorder

Sharma, S. R., Gonda, X., and Tarazi, F. I. (2018). Autism spectrum disorder: classification, diagnosis and therapy. *Pharmacol. Ther.* 190, 91–104. doi: 10.1016/j.pharmthera.2018.03.007

Shu, G., Kramár, E. A., López, A. J., Huynh, G., Wood, M. A., and Kwapis, J. J. (2018). Deleting HDAC3 rescues long-term memory impairments induced by disruption of the neuron-specific chromatin remodeling subunit BAF53b. *Learn. Mem.* 25, 109–114. doi: 10.1101/lm.046920.117

Son, E. Y., and Crabtree, G. R. (2014). The role of BAF (mSWI/SNF) complexes in mammalian neural development. *Am. J. Med. Genet. C Semin. Med. Genet.* 166, 333–349. doi: 10.1002/ajmg.c.31416

Staal, B. T., and Crabtree, G. R. (2013). Creating a neural specific chromatin landscape by npBAF and nBAF complexes. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 23, 903–913. doi: 10.1016/j.conb.2013.09.003

Staal, B. T., Tang, J., Wu, W., Sun, A., Gitler, A. D., Yoo, A. S., et al. (2013). Kinetic analysis of npBAF to nBAF switching reveals exchange of SS18 with CREST and integration with neuron developmental pathways. *J. Neurosci.* 33, 10348–10361. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1258-13.2013

Tea, J. S., and Luo, L. (2011). The chromatin remodeling factor Bap55 functions through the TIP60 complex to regulate olfactory projection neuron dendrite targeting. *Neural Dev.* 6:5. doi: 10.1186/1749-8104-6-5

Tolstorkov, M. Y., Sansam, C. G., Lu, P., Koellhoffer, E. C., Helming, K. C., Alver, D. G., et al. (2017). Enrichment of mutations in chromatin regulators in people with Rett syndrome lacking mutations in MECP2. *Hum. Mutat.* 38, 2509–2517. doi: 10.1002/humu.23294

Vogel-Ciernia, A., Matheos, D. P., Barrett, R. M., Kramár, E. A., Azzawi, S., Chen, Y., et al. (2013). The neuron-specific chromatin remodeling/tumor suppressor complex establishes nuclease occupancy at target promoters. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 110, 10165–10170. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1302209110

Vogel-Ciernia, A., Christoffel, W., van Minderhout, I. J. H. M., et al. (2013). Coffin-Siris syndrome and Nicolaides-Baraitser syndrome. *Hum. Mutat.* 34, 1384–1394. doi: 10.1002/humu.22394

Walsh, J. J., Llorach, P., Cardozo Pinto, D. F., Wenderski, W., Christoffel, D. J., Salgado, J. S., et al. (2021). Systemic enhancement of serotonin signaling reverses social deficits in multiple mouse models for ASD. *Neuropharmacology* 168, 107676. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2021.107676
Wenderski, W., Wang, L., Krokhin, A., Walsh, J. J., Li, H., Shoji, H., et al. (2020). Loss of the neural-specific BAF subunit ACTL6B relieves repression of early response genes and causes recessive autism. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 117, 10055–10066. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1908238117

White, A. O., Kramár, E. A., López, A. J., Kwapis, J. L., Doan, J., Saldana, D., et al. (2016). BDNF rescues BAF53b-dependent synaptic plasticity and cocaine-associated memory in the nucleus accumbens. *Nat. Commun.* 7:11725. doi: 10.1038/ncomms11725

Wieczorek, D., Bögershausen, N., Beleggia, F., Steiner-Haldenstätt, S., Pohl, E., Li, Y., et al. (2013). A comprehensive molecular study on Coffin-Siris and Nicolaides-Baraitser syndromes identifies a broad molecular and clinical spectrum converging on altered chromatin remodeling. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 22, 5121–5135. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddt366

Wolter, J. M., Mao, H., Fragola, G., Simon, J. M., Krantz, J. L., Bazick, H. O., et al. (2020). Cas9 gene therapy for Angelman syndrome traps Ube3a-ATS long non-coding RNA. *Nature* 587, 281–284. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2835-2

Wu, J. I., Lessard, J., Olave, I. A., Qiu, Z., Ghosh, A., Graef, I. A., et al. (2007). Regulation of dendritic development by neuron-specific chromatin remodeling complexes. *Neuron* 56, 94–108. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2007.08.021

Yoo, M., Park, S., Jung, I., and Han, J.-H. (2020). Persistence of fear memory depends on a delayed elevation of BAF53b and FGF1 expression in the lateral amygdala. *J. Neurosci.* 40, 7133–7141. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3220-16.2017

Zahir, F. R., and Brown, C. J. (2011). Epigenetic impacts on neurodevelopment: pathophysiological mechanisms and genetic modes of action. *Pediatr. Res.* 69, 92–100. doi: 10.1203/PDR.0b013e318213565e

Zhan, X., Shi, X., Zhang, Z., Chen, Y., and Wu, J. I. (2011). Dual role of Brg chromatin remodeling factor in Sonic hedgehog signaling during neural development. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 108, 12758–12763. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1018510108

Zhang, Z., Cao, M., Chang, C.-W., Wang, C., Shi, X., Zhan, X., et al. (2015). Autism-associated chromatin regulator Brg1/SmarcA4 is required for synapse development and MEF2-mediated synapse remodeling. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 36, 70–83. doi: 10.1128/MCB.00534-15

Zhu, B., Ueda, A., Song, X., Horike, S., Yokota, T., and Akagi, T. (2017). Baf53a is involved in survival of mouse ES cells, which can be compensated by Baf53b. *Sci. Rep.* 7:14059. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-14362-4

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Rowland, Iajarmi, Osborne and Ciernia. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.