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**Abstract**

**Background:** Peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) is considered one of the most important legume and oil crops in Egypt. Root-knot nematodes *Meloidogyne* spp. is the most damaging nematodes of peanut. Losses can exceed 50% in severely infested soil. Application of nematicides is one of the primary strategies in controlling plant-parasitic nematodes. The nematicides are proven to be hazardous to the environment. A promising alternative is the use of microorganisms antagonistic to plant-parasitic nematodes. Some microorganisms that can grow in the rhizosphere such as *Azotobacter* and *Bacillus* bacteria and fungi, e.g., *Trichoderma* and *Paecilomyces* represent the front line of defense for roots against nematode attack and ideal for use as biocontrol agents.

**Objectives:** The main aim of this study was to evaluate the efficacy of application of yeast fungus *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* singly or combined with fusant Bas 8; (*Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* and *Lysinibacillus sphaericus* Amira strain); *Bacillus thuringiensis* strain code K, *Trichoderma harzianum*, or *Paecilomyces lilacinus* to control the root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne javanica* infecting peanut plant cv. Giza 6, and to estimate their yield under field conditions.

**Results:** Compared to the untreated control, all treatments exhibited variable potential inhibitory activities against root-knot nematode *M. javanica* and enhanced peanut yield production. The most nematode suppressive treatment was the single treatment of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, followed by *T. harzianum* either singly or combined with the yeast. Moreover, data indicated that application of the combined treatment of yeast plus *T. harzianum* gave the best results in improving peanut production, plant growth parameters, and seed nutrient contents.

**Conclusion:** It was concluded that integrated application of microorganisms could enhance peanut production and reduce the need for either chemical fertilizers or nematicides.
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Background
Peanut (Arachis hypogaea L.) is considered one of the most important legume and oil crops in Egypt. Its seeds have high nutritive value for humans, and their green leaf hay for feeding farm animals (Fageria et al. 1997). The cultivated area of peanut in Egypt has been recorded to be 190,000 hectare in the season 2017, (Anonymous 2017). Plant-parasitic nematodes are considered one of the major obstacles to the production of peanut crop. Root-knot nematodes Meloidogyne spp. is the most damaging nematodes in agriculture (Oka 2010). Several species of root-knot nematodes are pathogenic on peanut and cause considerable yield loss annually (Starr and Morgan 2002). Losses can exceed 50% in severely infested soil. Ibrahim and Mokbel (2009) reported the occurrence of M. arenaria on peanut plants in Alexandria and El-Behera governorates of Egypt. Many other workers reported the occurrence of M. arenaria and or M. javanica on peanut plants (Starr and Morgan 2002).

Application of nematicides is one of the primary strategies in controlling plant-parasitic nematodes. The nematicides have been proven to be carcinogenic, build up residues in the edible plants, and leak out into groundwater. Moreover, some of these chemicals are hazardous to livestock, plant, and beneficial fauna and flora of the soil. Due to constrain in the use of such nematicides, alternative methods for disease management are being applied (Osman et al. 2018).

A promising alternative is the use of microorganisms antagonistic to plant-parasitic nematodes. Some microorganisms that can grow in the rhizosphere such as Azotobacter and Bacillus bacteria, and fungi, e.g., Tricoderma and Paecilomyces represent the front line defense for roots against nematode attack and ideal for use as biocontrol agents. Biological control of plant-parasitic nematodes by using fungi and bacteria has been found to be a feasible option (Osman et al. 2018).

Bacteria that colonize roots are termed plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR). Controlling nematodes by using the rhizobacteria can be achieved by many mechanisms such as direct effects on egg hatching (Meyer et al. 2001), production of toxins (Ravari and Moghaddam 2015), plant growth promotion (Kloeppe and Ryu 2006), induction of enzymes that modulate plant hormone levels, hydrolytic enzymes of proteins and glucanase, and antibiotics or antimicrobial products of low molecular weight (Sela et al. 1998). Other indirect effects include alteration of root exudates which make roots less attractive to nematodes. Another promising mechanism has been studied in detail is induced systemic resistance against plant pathogenic nematodes (Kloeppe and Ryu 2006). A particular plant growth-promoting bacterium may have one or more of these mechanisms (Kerry 2000). Many studies confirmed the toxicity of the B. thuringiensis against plant pathogenic nematodes and improving host production (Elkelany 2017; Osman et al. 2018; Saad et al. 2019).

The antagonistic fungi play an important role as bio-control agents for many plant-parasitic nematodes (Jatala 1986). Paecilomyces lilacinus, a saprophytic soil fungus has attracted many research workers due to its promising effect in parasitizing and controlling the population of Meloidogyne spp. and improving plant growth (Brand et al. 2010, Wagh and Pramanik 2014). The pathogenic fungus P. lilacinus has a high frequency of occurrence in the tropics, subtropics areas and can be found in most of agricultural soils.

Trichoderma is a genus of fast-growing fungi widely distributed in soil. Trichoderma spp. is known in plant agriculture, both for disease control and yield improvement even under axenic conditions (Harman 2006). The role of Trichoderma harzianum in controlling nematodes and improving plant growth was reported by many investigators (Olabiyi et al. 2013; Osman et al. 2018).

In recent years, considerable attention has been paid to the application of antagonistic yeasts for controlling of different plant diseases and improving plant growth (Hashem et al. 2008; Karajeh 2013; Cunha et al. 2018). Saccharomyces cerevisiae is considered a promising yeast fungus for promoting plant growth of different crops (Ignatova et al. 2015). It became an effective alternative to chemical fertilizers safely used for human, animal, and environment (Omran 2000). The yeast fungus, S. cerevisiae, reduced infection of M. incognita on Egyptian hebane plant, Hyoscyamus, and increased its growth (Youssef and Soliman 1997).

The main aim of this study was to compare the efficacy of application of yeast fungus S. cerevisiae singly or combined with Fusant Bas 8; (Bacillus amyloliquefaciens and Lysinibacillus sphaericus Amira strain); B. thuringiensis (strain code K), T. harzianum, or P. lilacinus in controlling the root-knot nematode M. javanica infecting peanut plant, and estimate their yield under field conditions.

Materials and methods
Source of seeds
Seeds of peanut cv. Giza 6, which is susceptible to M. javanica, were obtained from the Department of Horticulture Research Centre, Ministry of Agriculture, Giza, Egypt.

Preparation of the experimental field and nematode identification
The experimental area was naturally infested with root-knot nematode M. javanica. The roots of peanut plants previously planted in the experimental field were collected, and the adult females were removed from their
egg nematodes. Adult females were collected to identify the nematode species by the morphological characteristics according to the female perineal pattern (Taylor and Sasser 1978). Initial population densities of nematodes were determined as described under experimental design and treatments.

**Sources of used microorganisms**

Five nematode-antagonistic microorganisms viz, *S. cerevisiae*, Fusant Bas 8 (produced by protoplast fusion technique between two parents, *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* and *Lysinibacillus sphaericus* Amira strain and registered in Gene Bank); *B. thuringiensis* strain code K, *T. harzianum*, and *P. lilacinus* were provided as follows:

- *B. thuringiensis* Bt. (strain code K) from Microbial Genetics Department, National Research Centre, Giza, Egypt. Lauria Bartani (LB) medium (Davis et al. 1980) was used for bacterial culture.
- Fusant Bas 8 (*Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* sub sp. *plantarum*) SA5, Microbiol Genetic Dept. NRC (GenBank accession number: KCU 29571.1). *Lysinibacillus sphaericus* Amira strain, Microbiol Chemistry Dept., NRC (GenBank accession number: KT 361851.1).
- Cultures of *T. harzianum* and *P. lilacinus* on liquid media, potato dextrose broth (PDB), from the laboratory of Plant Pathology Department, National Research Centre, Giza, Egypt.
- Dry active bread yeast (commercial product), containing the fungus (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*), was carefully prepared before use by adding 100 g of the active bread yeast +20 ml Egyptian treacle + 1 L of distilled water to prepare a solution to activate reproduction of yeast. Yeast solution was left standing at 38 °C for 1 hour before soil application (5 ml/hill).

**Experimental design and treatments**

**Agricultural practices**

Inorganic and organic fertilizers were applied according to the following schedule: potassium sulfate 60 kg/faddan and urea 50 kg/faddan 30 days after planting, followed by calcium nitrate 100 kg/faddan 50 days after planting.

This field experiment was carried out during June-October 2018, at Kafre Hakim village Giza Governorate, Egypt. The environmental conditions during this season were temperature (30–40 °C) and humidity (40-60%). The experimental area (naturally infested with *Meloidogyne javanica*) was divided into plots each comprising of rows of 5 m length and 50 cm width, and the distance between each plant was 15 cm. The experiment was set up in a completely randomized block design with eleven treatments (ten of them representing microorganisms under investigation and one treatment representing positive control using the nematicide oxamyl). Each treatment was replicated three times. Methods of application and doses are shown in Table 1. Initial population densities of *M. javanica* juveniles were determined 1 week prior to planting time from 250 g subsamples of well-mixed soil from each row according to Barker (1985). Four months later, at harvest time, five plants were chosen at random from every row, carefully uprooted and the following data were recorded. (1) Peanut pods were hand harvested for yield estimation as follows: Peanut pods were collected, and the pods from each of the five rows taken were weight and their average weights were recorded. (2) For evaluation of nematode reproductive parameters, the numbers of root galls and egg masses/5 g roots were recorded. Final nematode soil population was determined according to Barker (1985). The nematodes were counted and an average of three counts was taken to determine the final population densities of *M. javanica* juveniles in soil and expressed as number of juveniles/250 g soil. Percentage nematode

| Treatments                        | Concentrations       | Methods and time of applications                              |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| A: Single applications           |                      |                                                                  |
| 1-Infected untreated negative Control |                      |                                                                  |
| 2-Oxamyl* positive control       | 6 ml/L 24% liquid    | Foliar spraying two times. The first one after plants emerged and the second 15 days from the first spraying |
| 3-Fusant Bas 8                   | 2 × 10⁶ CFU/ml       | 7 ml/of the suspension/hill at planting                       |
| 4-Bacillus *thuringiensis* Bt    | 2 × 10⁶ CFU/ml       | 20 ml/of the suspension/hill at planting                      |
| (strain code K)                  |                      |                                                                  |
| 5- Saccharomyces cerevisiae yeast| 5 ml/hill            | At planting                                                    |
| 6-Paeclomyces lilacinus          | 1 × 10³ CFU/ml       | At planting                                                    |
| 7-Trichoderma harzianum          | 10⁴ CFU/ml           | 10 ml of the filtrate/hill, at planting                       |
| B: Combined treatments           |                      |                                                                  |
| 8-Yeast+Fusant Bas 8             |                      |                                                                  |
| 9-Yeast+Bt                       |                      |                                                                  |
| 10-Yeast+P. lilacinus            |                      |                                                                  |
| 11-Yeast+T. harzianum            |                      |                                                                  |

Oxamyl is a systemic nematicide: methyl-N-N-dimethyl-(N-9-methyl) carbomycocyl-1-thioamiate.
reduction was determined according to Henderson and Tilton formula (Puntener 1981) as follows:

\[
\text{Nematode reduction } % = \left(1 - \frac{\text{PTA}}{\text{PTB} \times \text{PCB} / \text{PCA}}\right) \times 100,
\]

where PTA is the population in the treated plot after application, PTB is the population in the treated plot before application, PCB is the population in the check plot before application, and PCA is the population in the check plot after application.

**Methods of analysis**
The soil nutrient status of the experimental field was subjected to analysis (Table 2) in the Department of Soil Sciences National Research Centre, Giza, Egypt according to (Chapman and Pratt 1961).

Nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium in seeds were determined according to the methods described by (A. O. A. C 2000); nitrogen (%) was estimated by using Micro-Kjeldahl, then the seed protein content was calculated by multiplying total nitrogen content by 6.25 (A. O. A. C. 1990); phosphorus (%) was also estimated by using a spectrocolorimeter and micronutrients elements by using atomic absorption spectrophotometry.

**Statistical analysis**
Data were subjected to analysis of variance and means were compared according to Duncan (1951).

**Table 2** Physical and chemical properties of the soil of the experiment

| Components                      | Value     |
|---------------------------------|-----------|
| Silt %                          | 20.2      |
| Sand %                          | 21.6      |
| Clay %                          | 58.0      |
| Chemical analysis               |           |
| pH                              | 8.0       |
| Ec                              | 1.2/ds m⁻¹|
| Soluble ions in soil paste (meq/L) |         |
| Ca⁺⁺                            | 4.8 meqL⁻¹|
| Mg⁺⁺                            | 3.5 meqL⁻¹|
| K⁺                              | 0.74 meqL⁻¹|
| Na⁺                             | 1.3 meqL⁻¹|
| Cl⁻                             | 1.24 meqL⁻¹|
| HCO₃⁻                           | 5.5 meq⁻¹ |
| SO₄⁻                            | 5.6 meqL⁻¹|
| Available elements (ppm)        |           |
| P                               | 112 ppm   |
| N                               | 344 ppm   |
| Organic matter%                 | 2.2       |

**Results**

**Effect of different treatments on nematode reproductive parameters**

Under field conditions, the obtained data in Table 3 indicated that all treatments resulted in variable significant decreases in Meloidogyne javanica populations in soil and roots of peanut plants compared to the untreated control. The percentage reduction in M. javanica egg masses were decreased by 81.9%, 76.1%, 71.4%, 60.0%, and 59.1% by using each of yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Trichoderma harzianum, Bacillus thuringiensis, Paecilomyces lilacinus, and the fusant Bas 8 respectively compared to untreated control. The combination of fusant Bas 8 with yeast produced the least significant reduction (40.0% and 44.7%) in galls and egg masses of M. javanica than single treatment (56.0% and 59.1%) respectively. However, the applications of combined treatments of yeast with P. lilacinus were significantly effective than P. lilacinus single treatment in reducing M. javanica population in soil. The combined treatment exhibited 84.2% reduction while the single treatment exhibited 66.6% reduction in M. javanica population in soil. Moreover, the applications of combined treatments of yeast with either P. lilacinus or B. thuringiensis were more effective than P. lilacinus or B. thuringiensis single treatments. These combined treatments exhibited 65.7% and 74.2% reduction in egg masses due to P. lilacinus and B. thuringiensis respectively. Compared with the nematicide oxamyl, the results showed the greatest percentage reduction 91.2%g in M. javanica population in soil versus untreated control.

**Effects of different treatments on peanut yield**

All treatments showed a significant increase in peanut yield compared to the untreated control (Table 3). The combined treatment with yeast plus T. harzianum exhibited the highest percentage increase 202.7% in yield as compared to untreated control. It was followed by application of single treatment of P. lilacinus, B. thuringiensis, T. harzianum, the fusant Bas 8, and yeast. The percentage increase in yields were 166.6%, 152.7%, 130.5%, 111.1%, and 100% respectively compared to untreated control. While the nematicide oxamyl exhibited 52.8% percentage increases in peanut production as compared with untreated control (Table 3).

**Effects of different treatments on peanut growth parameters**

*Fresh and dry weight of 100 pods and weight of 200 seeds in 100 pods*

The data in Table 4 revealed that all the treatments improved peanut pod characters and weight of 200 seeds in 100 pods except by application of the combined treatment of yeast plus the fusant Bas 8 (−9.2%) and the
nematicide oxamyl (−19.4%) reduction in weight of 200 seeds in 100 pods compared to untreated control. The combined treatment of yeast plus T. harzianum resulted in the highest significant increase 107.9% and 129.5% in weight of 200 seed and dry weight of 100 pods respectively as compared to T. harzianum single treatment which exhibited 23.7% and 27.1% respectively.

Weight of dry shells of 100 pods
All the treatments whether single or combined significantly increased the dry shell weight of 100 pods compared to untreated control. The greatest percentage increase 207.6% was recorded in P. lilacinus single treatment compared to untreated control. It was followed by 197.1% by application of yeast plus T. harzianum combined treatment compared to untreated control. However, the application of yeast plus B. thuringiensis resulted in the lowest percentage increase 36.2% in weight of dry shells compared to untreated control. However, the nematicide oxamyl exhibited a 143.2% increase in weight of dry shells compared with the untreated control (Table 4).

Table 3 Effects of fusant Bas 8, Bacillus thuringiensis, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Paecilomyces lilacinus, Trichoderma harzianum, and oxamyl on peanut plants cv. Giza 6 infected with root-knot nematode Meloidogyne javanica under field conditions

| Treatments                        | Initial pop. 250/g soil | Final pop. % Red | Root galls/5 g roots | Egg masses/5 g roots | Peanut production kg % Inc. |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| Oxamyl (positive control)         | 901 a                   | 140 e            | 91.2                 | 42.0 fg              | 28.0 cd                     |
| Fusant Bas 8                      | 907 a                   | 253 d            | 84.3                 | 50.0 d               | 43.0 c                      |
| Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt)       | 908 a                   | 256 d            | 84.1                 | 42.0 fg              | 30.0 cd                     |
| Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Yeast)  | 902 a                   | 352 c            | 78.0                 | 37.0 fg              | 19.0 f                      |
| Paecilomyces lilacinus            | 900 a                   | 532 b            | 66.6                 | 56.0 cd              | 42.0 cd                     |
| Trichoderma harzianum             | 904 a                   | 242 d            | 85.0                 | 36.0 g               | 27 de                       |
| Yeast+ usant Bas 8                | 898 a                   | 239 d            | 85.00                | 75.0 c               | 58 b                        |
| Yeast + Bt                        | 903 a                   | 247 d            | 84.6                 | 45.0 ef              | 27 de                       |
| Yeast+ P. lilacinus               | 908 a                   | 255 d            | 84.2                 | 52.0 de              | 36 cd                       |
| Yeast+ T. harzianum               | 900 a                   | 270 d            | 83.1                 | 37.0 fg              | 25 ef                       |
| Infected untreated (negative control) | 907 a                   | 609 a            | -                    | 125.0 a              | 105 a                       |

Each value represents mean of five replicates
No. number, %Red. reduction, %Incr. % increase
Means followed by the same letter(s) within a column are not significantly (P ≤ 0.05) different according to Duncan’s multiple range test
Initial pop. initial population of M. javanica juveniles, Final pop. final population of M. javanica juveniles

Table 4 Growth parameters of peanut plant infected by M. javanica and treated with fusant Bas 8, Bacillus thuringiensis, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Paecilomyces lilacinus, Trichoderma harzianum, and oxamyl under field conditions

| Treatments                        | Fresh weight of 100 pods (g) % Incr. | Dry weight of 100 pods (g) % Incr. | Weight of 200 seed of 100 pods (g) % change | Yield of dry shell of 100 pods (g) % Incr. |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| Oxamyl (positive control)         | 427.1 ed                              | 372.7 ef                           | 189.3 I                                      | 183.4 d                                    |
| Fusant Bas 8                      | 583.6 b                               | 466.7 c                            | 305.g d                                      | 160.8 e                                    |
| Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt)       | 606.2 cd                              | 420.1 e                            | 273.1 b                                      | 147.0 f                                    |
| Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Yeast)  | 482.2c                                | 368.0 f                            | 248.9 f                                      | 111.9 g                                    |
| Paecilomyces lilacinus            | 600.3 b                               | 485.7 c                            | 253.7 f                                      | 232.0 g                                    |
| Trichoderma harzianum             | 463.1 cd                              | 394.4 e                            | 290.5 e                                      | 103.9 g                                    |
| Yeast + fusant Bas 8              | 381.8 ef                              | 332.5 gh                           | 213.4 g                                      | 119.1 g                                    |
| Yeast + Bt                        | 483.8 c                               | 425.9 d                            | 323.2 c                                      | 102.7 g                                    |
| Yeast+ P. lilacinus               | 640.7 b                               | 520.2 b                            | 314.8 cd                                     | 205.4 c                                    |
| Yeast+ T. harzianum               | 900.9 a                               | 712.3 a                            | 488.3 a                                      | 224.0 b                                    |
| Infected untreated (negative control) | 350.7 f                              | -                                 | 234.9 h                                      | 75.4 h                                     |

Each value represents mean of five replicates
% Red. % reduction, %Incr. % increase, % change % change of control
Means followed by the same letter(s) within a column are not significantly (P ≤ 0.05) different according to Duncan’s multiple range test
Seed quality
Protein contents as well as some macro and micronutrients in peanut seeds are shown in Table 5. The results revealed that most of the treatments had relatively positive effects on nutritional status as compared to infected untreated control. Concerning seed contents of macro nutrients, the highest significant protein content (9.75%), (9.63), and (9.13) were obtained in B. thuringiensis, yeast single treatments, and yeast combined with T. harzianum respectively as compared with infected untreated control. Moreover, the highest significant increases (1.56%), (1.54%), in N% value were recorded in B. thuringiensis, yeast single treatment respectively. It was followed by an insignificant increase (1.46%) in yeast combined with T. harzianum treatment.

Almost the same trend was observed in phosphorus content. The highest significant increase (0.86%), (0.73%), (0.67% and 0.67%) in P value were recorded in yeast combined with T. harzianum, oxamyl, yeast, and B. thuringiensis single treatments respectively compared to infected untreated control.

Generally, potassium contents were not significantly affected by any treatment (Table 5).

Regarding the seed contents of micro elements, data in Table 5 clarified that Zn concentration was significantly increased in all the treatments except insignificant differences were recorded in yeast combined with fusant Bas 8 treatment and oxamyl treatments. The highest significant increase 8.46 in Zn concentration was recorded in B. thuringiensis treatment, followed by 4.20 due to application of B. thuringiensis and oxamyl treatments. The highest significant increase (5.38) in Cu content, by either P. lilacinus or T. harzianum, then yeast single treatment. Insignificant differences were recorded in oxamyl and in yeast combined with fusant Bas 8 treatments in Mn content as compared to infected untreated control.

In contrast, all the treatments exhibited a significant decrease in copper content except B. thuringiensis single treatment recorded increase (5.38) in Cu content, followed by (2.98) significant increase due to yeast single treatment compared to untreated control (Table 5).

Discussion
The results of Table 3 can be discussed in the light of Hashem et al. (2008) who stated that the production of acetic acid, chitinases, and proteases by different yeast strains may result in a similar action in killing the nematode juveniles. It could be suggested that the accumulation of such enzymes in yeast plus either P. lilacinus or B. thuringiensis treatments may lead to synergistic effects on reducing egg masses compared to their single treatments. This hypothesis needs to be confirmed by future studies. The effects of the nematicide oxamyl against M. javanica in our study came in the same direction with Dramola et al. (2013), Osman et al. (2018) who recorded the efficacy of oxamyl as a carbamate nematicide in suppressing nematode population and increasing the crop yield. They attributed this effect to be due to starvation resulting from the inability of the nematodes to penetrate and initiate feeding sites on the roots and not due to acute toxicity of the nematicide. On the other hand, the nematicide oxamyl proved their potentiality in controlling Meloidogyne spp., by inhibiting cholinesterase enzyme in nematodes leading to increased levels of the neurotransmitter acetylcholine that end with paralysis of the nematode (Nordemeyer and Dickson 1990).

Table 5 Protein content, macro and micronutrients in peanut seeds in response to fusant Bas 8, Bacillus thuringiensis Bt, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Paecilomyces lilacinus, and Trichoderma harzianum applications of peanut plants infected by M. javanica

| Treatments                  | N%   | Protein** | P%   | K%   | Zn (ppm) | Mn (ppm) | Cu (ppm) |
|-----------------------------|------|-----------|------|------|----------|----------|----------|
| Oxamyl (positive control)   | 1.35b| 8.44f     | 0.73ab| 1.64a| 2.96j    | 0.62 h   | 1.40d    |
| Fusant Bas 8                | 1.25b| 7.81i     | 0.63bc| 1.46a| 3.08e    | 0.64 g   | 1.24 g   |
| Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt)| 1.56a| 9.75a     | 0.67b | 1.45a| 8.46a    | 1.18a    | 5.38a    |
| Saccharomyces cerevisiae (yeast) | 1.54a| 9.63b     | 0.67b | 1.42a| 3.01l    | 0.90C    | 2.98B    |
| Paecilomyces lilacinus      | 1.04b| 6.50j     | 0.55bc| 1.44a| 4.20b    | 0.88d    | 1.08j    |
| Trichoderma harzianum       | 1.28b| 8.00 h and| 0.58bc| 1.51a| 3.02 h   | 0.72f    | 1.36f    |
| Yeast+ fusant Bas 8         | 1.32b| 8.25 g    | 0.52 cd| 1.51a| 2.96j    | 0.54| 1.10i    |
| Yeast+Bt                    | 1.38b| 8.63e     | 0.55bc| 1.44a| 3.04 g   | 0.84e    | 1.14 h   |
| Yeast+ P. lilacinus         | 1.43b| 8.84d     | 0.56bc| 1.75a| 3.32c    | 0.98b    | 1.06j    |
| Yeast+ T. harzianum         | 1.46b| 9.13c     | 0.65a | 1.65a| 3.06f    | 0.98b    | 1.38e    |
| Infected untreated (negative control) | 1.02b| 6.38 k   | 0.42d | 1.40a| 2.96j    | 0.62 h   | 1.50c     |

Means followed by the same letter(s) within a column are not significantly (P ≤ 0.05) different according to Duncan’s multiple range test
Total protein content: protein content was determined by Kjeldal method for the calculation of all protein which equal nitrogen content multiplied by 6.25 (A.O.A.C. 1990)
**Calculated from nitrogen content
In a previous study in our laboratory, the nematicidal activities of the fusant Bas 8 and its parents (Bacillus amyloliquefaciens, Lysinibacillus sphaericus) were studied on Meloidogyne incognita on tomato plant under greenhouse conditions. Fusant Bas 8 proved to be more effective in reducing nematode reproduction and increasing tomato plant growth more than its parents (Soliman et al. 2017). The data in Tables 3 and 4 of the present study indicated that fusant Bas 8 single treatment exhibited significant reduction in galls and egg masses, and significantly increased peanut yield compared with untreated control. El-Hamshary et al. (2006), and Zaied et al. (2009) indicated that the protoplast fusion technique is a promising biotechnological approach to improve the potentiality of bacterial strains to control plant-parasitic nematodes and improve plant growth.

The toxicity observed by application of fusant Bas 8 and the nematicide oxamyl on the weight of 200 seeds of 100 pods compared to untreated control may be indirectly supported by the findings of Karajeh (2013), who indicated that the use of the organophosphate ester nematicide ethoprophos in controlling root-knot nematode M. javanica infecting cucumber plant resulted in phytotoxicity caused by stress on cucumber plants as evidenced by reduced growth compared with the other treatments and the untreated control. Moreover, Osman et al. (2017) reported that the chemical nematicide oxamyl plus amino green11 treatment resulted in a decrease in sunflower shoot weight compared to untreated control. Also, the combined treatment of another nematicide furfural plus amino green 11 exhibited a significant decrease in sunflower oil contents. The authors suggested that the applied dose levels were not appropriate. The mechanism of the observed phytotoxicity in the present study may be explained in the light of the fact that a possible synergistic toxic action of yeast plus fusant Bas 8 treatment or by application of nematicide oxamyl treatment at the applied dose levels. In fact, there has been evidence that application of yeast increased generation of H2O2 (Guo and Ohta 1994) and it has been reported that the spore/crystal protiens of B. thuringiensis as a single treatment, our results are in harmony with many authors (Ravari and Moghaddam 2015; Osman et al. 2018), who reported positive effects of T. harzianum in controlling root-knot nematode M. incognita and a mild increase in eggplant yield production. They attributed their results to a chitinolytic activity of the fungus which causes degradation in the chitin layer of nematode eggs. Selim et al. (2014) showed that T. harzianum isolate (T10) induced systemic resistance to tomato plants against the root-knot nematode, M. javanica, by increasing the accumulation of hydrolytic enzymes which affect nematode invasion. Concerning the application of B. thuringiensis as an isolated treatment, our results are in harmony with many authors (Ravari and Moghaddam 2015; Osman et al. 2018), who reported that the spore/crystal protiens of B. thuringiensis strains can produce a number of toxins with different structure and mode of action against M. incognita. More supportive evidence to the efficiency of B. thuringiensis K. used in this study as a single application has been reported by Elkelany (2017). He showed significant nematicidal effects of the bacteria with improvement in eggplant yield. He refers to the potentiality of bacterial suspension to produce antimicrobial compounds and protease enzymes.

Application of the antagonistic fungi P. lilacinus single treatment improved peanut plant growth and reduced nematode M. javanica reproductive parameters as compared to untreated control (Tables 3, 4). The present data is concordant with previous findings recorded by several workers (Mostafa et al. 2015).
The mechanism of action of *P. lilacinus* against plant-parasitic nematode was suggested as a direct infection of sedentary stages in particular the eggs (Kiewink and Sikora 2006). The production of leucinotoxin, chitinases, proteases, and acetic acid by *P. lilacinus* has been associated with the infection process (Park et al. 2004).

Concerning macro and micronutrients in peanut seeds, the increase in protein content mainly due to the increase in N% in the same treatments indicates that both yeast and *B. thuringiensis* can provide plants with essential nutrient elements required for protein formation (Mekki and Ahmed 2005). The results of Mn content join with Mekki and Ahmed (2005), who reported that Mn concentration was increased by treatment with yeast singly or combined with a biofertilizer. They also considered yeast as a natural growth stimulator, due to its improvement of phosphorus and Mn uptake. Our results are in harmony with Korayem et al. (2016), who reported that N, P, Mn, and Zn contents were decreased with increasing nematode infestation, while K content was not affected in sunflower plants infected with *Meloidogyne arenaria*. It has been suggested that the nematode parasitism adversely affects the nutrition uptake of the plants that leads to reduced photosynthetic activities and reduction in chlorophyll contents (Robert 2015).

In general, microorganisms can play a significant role in making available nutrients to plants. They are capable of promoting plant growth through different mechanisms such as phosphate and potassium solubilization and siderophore production (Bashan and de-Bashan 2005). Osman et al. (2018) reported that *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Bt), a phosphate solubilizing bacteria had the potential to break down the insoluble phosphate compounds in the soil into soluble forms and make it available to roots, thus increasing nutrient acquisition and consequently the yield of the crop.

The translocation of nutrients from soil via roots as well as minerals uptake increases the size of the root system and consequently increases the root surface adsorption (Soliman et al. 2011). The appropriate supply of nutrients is very important and positively affects peanut plant yield and its components, (weight of pods, weight of seeds, and weight of shell) and seed quality as cleared in Tables 3, 4, and 5).

**Conclusion**

Compared to the untreated control group, all treatments exhibited variable potential activities against root-knot nematode *M. javanica* and peanut yield production as well as seed quality. The most nematode suppressive treatment was yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) single treatment, followed by using *T. harzianum* single treatment and combined with yeast. Moreover, the application of the combined treatment of yeast plus *T. harzianum* gave the best result in improving peanut yield and quality. Continuous application of microorganisms could enhance peanut production and reduce the need to chemical fertilizers and nematicides that may hurt both crop yield as well as the environment.

The authors recommend the application of combined treatment of yeast plus either *T. harzianum* or *P. lilacinus* for enhancement peanut production, seed quality, and controlling root-knot nematode *M. javanica* under field conditions; however, further studies are needed to investigate the optimum dosage forms of these biocontrolling agents that could achieve the best nematocidal activity as well as plant quantity and quality.
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