STUDY OF SHORT BUNCHES AT THE FREE ELECTRON LASER CLIO
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Abstract
CLIO is a Free Electron Laser based on a thermionic electron gun. In its normal operating mode it delivers 8 electron pulses but studies are ongoing to shorten the pulses to about 1 ps. We report on simulations showing how the pulse can be shortened and the expected signal yield from several bunch length diagnostics (Coherent Transition Radiation, Coherent Smith Purcell Radiation).

INTRODUCTION
Experimental comparison of Coherent Smith-Purcell Radiation (CSPR) and Coherent Transition Radiation (CTR) will take place at CLIO accelerator [1]. This accelerator can produce single electron bunches with length of about $8 \pm 1$ ps [2] and energy up to 50 MeV. To predict the spectrums of CTR and CSPR and optimise the CLIO parameters for the experiment, we have performed simulations of the accelerator using ASTRA [3].

THE CLIO ACCELERATOR
The CLIO free electron laser is an accelerator built in 1992. It is described in details in [1] and it is shown on figure [1]. The CLIO accelerator consist of a thermionic gun, a subharmonic buncher (SHB), a fundamental buncher (FB) and an accelerating cavity (AC). The gun produce bunches about 1.5 ns long at an energy of 90 keV. These bunches are then compressed by the subharmonic buncher to 200 ps or less to make it suitable for further compression with the fundamental buncher. This fundamental buncher further compresses the beam to a few ps and accelerates bunch to several MeV, making the electrons relativistic. The bunches are then further accelerated in the accelerating cavity to the operation energy (typically 15-50 MeV).

For our purpose we have simulated this accelerator using the ASTRA code. These simulations have been compared to the PARMELA [1] simulations available in the CLIO TDR [5] and other documents [6]. The code ASTRA tracks particles through user defined external fields tacking into account the space charge field of the particle bunch. The tracking is based on a non-adaptive Runge-Kutta integration of 4th order. It is described in [3].

The gun is a classical Pierce gridded gun with a thermoelectric dispenser cathode [7]. This gun has a complicated geometry, so in the ASTRA simulation only a simplified model was used. All output parameters (emittance, transverse distribution, bunch length, energy etc) from this simplified model were checked against the original data.

The subharmonic buncher (SHB) is a stainless-steel reentrant cavity in the mode TM01 at 499.758 MHz i.e. the 1/6th subharmonic of the fundamental frequency of the accelerating cavity [8].

The phase of the accelerating cavity and the maximum field in that cavity play an important role in the final bunch length. To optimise these parameters we have used a 2D scan to find the optimal bunch properties. Figure 2 shows the bunch Full-Width Half-Maximum (FWHM) as a function of the phase and the field strength.

Taking into account other parameters (charge in a 200ps window, full width at 10% of the maximum, energy from the technical report [5]) we are able to choose the optimal values of the energy gradient and the phase of the cavity for the SHB, 2.56 MV/m and 126 degrees respectively. As from the exit of the SHB to the entrance of the FB the bunch is still evolving, we look at the result of compression at the entrance of the FB. A comparison of the longitudinal bunch size at the gun’s exit and at the entrance of the FB is presented on fig. 3.

The fundamental buncher (FB) is a copper triperiodic, S-band standing wave structure [9]. It is composed of cells at 3 different wavelengths, slightly matched to the beam velocity (0.92, 0.98 and 1 lambda) [1]. The role of the 3 GHz buncher is to complete the compression of the pulses initiated by the SHB at 500 MHz and to bring the particles to ultra-relativistic energies [5].
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Similarly to the SHB, the FB also requires a phase study. We found the optimal phase to be 210 degree and the maximum cavity field as 22 MV/m.

The accelerating cavity (AC) is a constant gradient S band travelling wave disk-loaded structure. The cavity is surrounded with a set of solenoidal coils which give a continuous axial field adjustable up to 0.2 Tesla [1]. Comparison of the longitudinal bunch size at the entrance and at the exit of the AC is presented on the fig. 3. In ideal conditions the profile is almost not altered in the AC, but particles are significantly accelerated.

From our simulations we find that the parameter that has the most significant impact on the bunch length is the field in the FB (see fig. 4). The FB has been upgraded with respect to the original CLIO design and this explains why we can predict shorter bunches than what was originally foreseen in [2, 6, 7]. Once this field is increased the phases of the other components need to be slightly optimized. To help us with this optimization we will use CTR and CSPR signals measured at the exit of the AC.

Our simulations also show that it is possible to make even shorter bunches with the accelerator, but at the
expense of degrading others parameters (energy spread, etc.).

BUNCH LENGTH MEASUREMENTS

To measure and optimize the bunch length at CLIO we plan to use install a bunch length monitor at the exit of the AC at CLIO. This bunch length monitor will use two different radiative phenomenon: Coherent Smith-Purcell Radiation (CSPR) [10] and Coherent Transition Radiation (CTR) [11]. A comparison of CSPR and CTR is presented in another contribution to this conference [12].

On figure 5 the form factor of the bunch at different AC phases is shown. On figure 6 (top) one can see the predicted spectrum for CSPR (the code used is based on [13]). Making the distinction between these profiles to know if the bunch has the target length will be rather easy as it will be a matter of looking at the direction in which the signal is the most intense as shown on figure 6 (bottom).

The CTR measurement will use a single detectors located at 90°. The discrimination between pulse length will therefore be based primarily on CTR intensity but to enhance this phenomena we will also use band-pass filters in THz wavelength designed according to [14]. Figure 7 shows the CTR spectrum before filtering.

Unlike the case of CSPR, CTR will only be used to estimate the bunch length and no detailed profile reconstruction will be attempted.

CONCLUSION

We have simulated the CLIO Free Electron Laser using the ASTRA code. We have used these simulations to predict the longitudinal bunch length, its form factor and the expected signal yield using Coherent Smith-Purcell Radiation and Coherent Transition Radiation. The experimental chamber is currently being built and we expect our first experimental measurement in the coming few months.
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