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**Low-molecular-weight cyclin E: the missing link between biology and clinical outcome**
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**Abstract**

Cyclin E, a key mediator of transition during the G₁/S cellular division phase, is deregulated in a wide variety of human cancers. Our group recently reported that overexpression and generation of low-molecular-weight (LMW) isoforms of cyclin E were associated with poor clinical outcome among breast cancer patients. However, the link between LMW cyclin E biology in mediating a tumorigenic phenotype and clinical outcome is unknown. To address this gap in knowledge, we assessed the role of LMW isoforms in breast cancer cells; we found that these forms of cyclin E induced genomic instability and resistance to p21, p27, and antiestrogens in breast cancer. These findings suggest that high levels of LMW isoforms of cyclin E not only can predict failure to endocrine therapy but also are true prognostic indicators because of their influence on cell proliferation and genetic instability.
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**Introduction**

Cell division relies on the activation of cyclins, which bind to their catalytic partners, the cyclin-dependent kinases (Cdk), to induce cell cycle progression from the G₀/G₁ phase to the S phase and later to initiate mitosis. Cyclin E, a G₁ phase cyclin, has been shown to be overexpressed in many human cancers [1]. In tumor cells cyclin E can be deregulated by a number of mechanisms: gene amplification [2,3], downregulation of p27 [4], and downregulation of its specific F-box protein Fbw7 (also called hCDC4), which tags phosphorylated cyclin E for proteosomal degradation [5–7]. Mutations in hCDC4 were found in breast, ovarian, endometrial [8] and colorectal cancers [6], and were associated with elevated levels of cyclin E protein. Moreover, cyclin E overexpression in breast epithelium predisposes transgenic mice to neoplasia [9]. A new mechanism of cyclin E deregulation recently described by our group is the proteolytic processing of full-length cyclin E at two sites in the amino-terminus by an elastase-like protease, independently of proteasome-mediated proteolytic degradation [10,11].

The predominant 50-kDa isoform of cyclin E, called EL1, is found in both normal cells and tumor cells, and it is encoded by an alternative, spliced mRNA that is different from originally cloned cDNA [11,12]. The EL4 cyclin E isoform is accounted for by alternative translation at methionine 46, whereas cleavage at two distinct sites in the amino-terminus accounts for the two pairs of low-molecular-weight (LMW) cyclin E isoforms (EL2/3 and EL5/6). Only tumor cells have the machinery to process cyclin E into its LMW forms [10]. These LMW isoforms are nuclear and functionally active because they increase the progression from G₁ to S phase [11].

Overexpression of the LMW forms in immortalized mammary epithelial cells resulted in decreased doubling time and increased cyclin E kinase activity [13]. Additionally, overexpression of these hyperactive cyclin E isoforms in ovarian cells deregulated the G₁/S transition [14]. The prognostic potential of LMW isoforms of cyclin E was recently demonstrated in a retrospective study of 395 breast cancer patients; the LMW isoforms were found to be much more powerful predictors of poor outcome than
were estrogen and progesterone receptor status or levels of cyclin D₁, cyclin D₂, and HER2/neu [15]. On multivariate analysis, cyclin E was found to be eight times more predictive of poor prognosis than was nodal status [15].

Recently, we directly assessed the mechanism by which the LMW forms of cyclin E contribute to breast tumor cell progression [16]. In this commentary we highlight biochemical and functional differences between the full-length and LMW isoforms of cyclin E, which may help to explain how LMW cyclin E isoforms are strong predictors of breast cancer mortality. This work provides the link between the prognostic power of LMW cyclin E isoforms and their biology in breast cancer.

Low-molecular-weight cyclin E and resistance to p21 and p27 inhibition

Constitutive overexpression of cyclin E has been shown to shorten the duration of the G₁ phase, to decrease cell size, and to diminish requirements for growth factors [12,17]. In addition, cyclin E overexpression has been shown to be associated with increased resistance to transforming growth factor-β mediated growth inhibition in some cell lines [18], delayed response to lovastatin-induced G₁ arrest in NIH3T3 cells [19], and partially abrogated tamoxifen-induced G₁ arrest in MCF-7 cells [20,21]. The common mediators of these growth inhibitory signals are Cdk inhibitors, which ultimately inhibit cyclin E and Cdk2 kinase activity.

To assess the sensitivity of LMW isoforms of cyclin E to Cdk inhibition, we stably transfected MCF-7 cells with constructs encoding the full-length and two LMW forms representing elastase-cleaved variants of cyclin E [16]. Clones with equal levels of cyclin E overexpression (fivetfold greater than the endogenous expression) were selected for comparison. Cyclin E overexpression led to induction of p53 and upregulation of p21, which partially abrogated cyclin E and Cdk2 kinase activity of the full-length form of cyclin E. Despite having the same levels of protein overexpression as the full-length form, the LMW forms of cyclin E had twofold greater associated kinase activity. This was not due to a defect in p21 or p27 binding, as was shown by immunoprecipitation followed by Western blot analysis. This conclusion was further confirmed when we used the baculovirus expression system, which allowed for precise control of the expression (i.e. amount) of the different proteins implicated in the activity of the cyclin E and Cdk2 complexes. In this system, the IC₅₀ (50% inhibitory concentration) of p21 required to inhibit the LMW forms of cyclin E was three to fivefold greater than that needed to inhibit the full-length form; similar results were obtained with p27.

In a parallel study using an ovarian cancer cell line model, our group demonstrated an increased resistance to lovastatin induced G₁ arrest in cells overexpressing the LMW isoforms of cyclin E, as compared with cells not expressing these forms, despite the binding of p21 and p27 to these LMW isoforms [14]. Removing 40–69 amino acids from the amino-terminus of cyclin E conferred new functions to these deleted isoforms, which resisted the action of cell cycle inhibitors and extended the range of Cdk substrates. Because Cdk inhibitors are the mediators of antiestrogen-induced cell growth inhibition, these observations prompted us to explore the role of LMW cyclin E in antiestrogen resistance.

Low-molecular-weight cyclin E and resistance to antiestrogen treatment

To decipher the effect of cyclin E overexpression on antiestrogen sensitivity, the pure antiestrogen ICI 182,780 was added to MCF-7 cells to block estrogen receptor signaling, and the S phase fraction was measured by flow cytometry at different times after addition of the drug. These experiments showed that cells transfected with LMW cyclin E were more refractory to ICI-induced G₁ arrest than were cells transfected with the full-length form over a 3-day period. This increased resistance was shown to be due to decreased sensitivity of LMW cyclin E associated kinase activity to p21 and p27 inhibition.

These experiments confirm that overexpression of full-length cyclin E results in only a slight decrease in sensitivity to the growth-inhibiting effects of antiestrogens [20,21]; these results also demonstrate that LMW cyclin E overexpression results in sustained resistance.

To corroborate our in vitro data, we tested the hypothesis that patients with ER positive tumors who were eligible for adjuvant systemic therapy including hormonal therapy would not benefit from antiestrogen treatment if their tumors had high levels of total cyclin E. Among 150 stage I–III estrogen receptor-positive patients who had high levels of cyclin E, we found no difference in disease-specific survival rates between patients receiving antiestrogen treatment with those not treated with antiestrogens (P= 0.083, log rank test). This observation suggests that tumors that greatly overexpress cyclin E are resistant to the effects of antiestrogen therapy. An independent study also found that cyclin E is a strong predictor of endocrine therapy failure in human breast cancer [22].

Low-molecular-weight cyclin E and genomic instability

Constitutive overexpression of cyclin E in both immortalized rat embryo fibroblasts and human breast epithelial cells induces chromosomal instability without changing centrosome numbers but while impairing S phase progression, pointing to possible alterations in the process of licensing the origins of DNA replication [23].
Additionally, the overexpression and appearance of LMW cyclin E are associated with microsatellite instability in colorectal carcinomas [24].

In our studies we pinpointed that the LMW forms of cyclin E and, to a lesser extent, the full-length forms were the mediators of genomic instability. It should be noted that in all previous studies [23,24] the form of cyclin E used was an alternatively spliced cyclin E that did not give rise to protein products in cell lines or tissues. For our analysis we used constructs representing the full-length and the LMW forms of cyclin E. We observed that although overexpression of cyclin E isoforms increased the fraction of cells in S phase, it did not decrease the doubling time of the cells, suggesting an S phase delay. However, flow cytometry analysis demonstrated a four to sixfold increase in the percentage of cells with polyplody DNA. Karyotype analysis revealed a four to sevenfold increase in the number of chromosome aberrations in those cells that overexpressed the LMW forms. These aberrations included multiple chromosomal fragments and subtelomeric chromatid breaks, resulting in telomeric associations. In addition, a three to fourfold increase in the number of polyplody and tetraploid cells was observed in LMW cyclin E transfectants, most likely as a result of chromosomal endoreduplication. We also found a significant correlation (P = 0.0003) between ploidy and cyclin E protein levels among 331 stage I–III breast cancer patients. Of patients whose tumors expressed high levels of cyclin E, the 5-year disease specific survival rate was significantly lower among those with polyplody tumors (P = 0.02, log-rank test). These results suggest that overexpression of the LMW forms of cyclin E induced genomic instability by promoting aneuploidy and the formation of structural chromosomal lesions.

These observations indicate that cyclin E overexpression interferes with the processes shown to be affected when cyclin E is deleted in mice, such as occurs in endoreduplication and firing of the origin of replication. Cyclin E1/E2 double knockout mice died during mid-gestation because of impairment of endoreduplication in the trophoblast giant cells of the placenta [25]. In addition, cyclin E null mouse embryonic fibroblasts could not exit from G0 because of the absence of MCM2 loading on the origin of replication. Most importantly, cyclin E knockout mouse embryonic fibroblasts were resistant to oncogenic transformation, confirming the crucial role played by cyclin E in tumorigenesis.

The phenotype of the Cdk2 knockout mice, which were viable and had no developmental defects, also raised questions about the function of cyclin E independent of Cdk2 [26,27] and p27 binding [28]. Recent work also provided a direct link between a genetic mutation in the CDC4 gene, cyclin E overexpression, and genomic instability, excluding the implication of other biologic processes in this phenomenon [6].

**Conclusion**

In summary, overexpression of LMW cyclin E isoforms in tumor cells conferred biologic properties that impacted on the cells’ resistance to cell growth inhibitory signals and on processes that led to genomic instability, such as DNA replication and chromosomal segregation. The increased sensitivity of LMW cyclin E overexpressing cells to genomic instability could help to explain why these isoforms are such strong predictors of poor outcome among patients with node negative, stage I breast cancer. Once expressed, these forms drive the cells to a more aggressive phenotype, and their detection at such an early stage, especially in patients with negative lymph nodes, could help physicians to make a decision toward more aggressive treatments. This study implies that estrogen receptor positive tumors that express high levels of LMW cyclin E will respond poorly to antiestrogens; thus, patients with these tumors should be considered for alternative therapeutic strategies. These data could be used to find inhibitors of LMW isoforms of cyclin E that could specifically target and kill tumor cells. We showed in this study that high levels of LMW cyclin E isoforms not only can predict failure of endocrine therapy but also are true prognostic indicators because of their influence on cell proliferation and genetic instability.
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