Prevalence and distribution of selected developmental dental anomalies in Taif, Saudi population
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Abstract

Background: Developmental dental anomalies are seen as abnormalities in tooth size, shape, position, and structure due to multiple reasons during various stages of tooth development. These anomalies can create disturbances in dental arch lengths and occlusions. Hence, it is very important to treat, recognize and perform proper treatment. The purpose of this study was to find out the prevalence and distribution of selected developmental anomalies in shape, size and position of teeth in the Saudi population of Taif Region.

Design and Methods: The study was based on the clinical examination of 2481 adults who are Saudi nationals came for dental treatment from September 2019 to February 2020, at Taif University Dental Hospital, Saudi Arabia. These patients were examined clinically for developmental dental anomalies affecting shape, size and position.

Results: We found that a total of 512 individuals (20.63%) had developmental anomalies and out of which 386 persons (15.56%) had at least one developmental dental anomaly. The frequency and distribution of anomalies of shape and size, number and position were 46.8%, 26.9% and 42.9% respectively. In the present study, 15.56% individuals exhibited at least one anomaly, 8.54% subjects had more than one anomaly and 79.36% did not any developmental anomaly. On comparison, statistically significant results were seen between different groups of anomalies.

Conclusions: The present study had varying results for the prevalence rate of selected dental anomalies. This variation in results might be due racial differences or discrepancy in sample size or/and diagnostic or inclusion criteria. Treatment of developmental anomalies necessitates a multidisciplinary approach and mostly may comprise of orthodontic correction or prosthetic replacement.

Introduction

Morphological variations of tooth are common developmental anomalies due to disturbance during morpho-differentiation of odontogenesis. The relevant information about prevalence and distribution of such dental anomalies may go unnoticed during routine clinical examination other than chief complain of patient, such teeth are asymptomatic and are discovered on careful clinical and radiographic examination of the oral cavity. The most common complication of dental anomalies is malocclusion, change in eruption sequence and path (ectopic eruption), difficult in restorative, endodontic or surgical procedures. Identification and timely intervention at an early stage is needed to minimize or prevent complications. The aim of this study is to identify, analyze few selected of developmental dental anomalies in the Taif region of Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

Significance for public health

The assessment of prevalence and distribution of dental anomalies in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia is very much required. These dental anomalies are significant and show no symptoms, seen on careful examination. These mostly result in malocclusion, change in eruption, increased vulnerability to caries, compromised esthetics and problems in permanent teeth, difficulties in endodontic or surgical procedure of the affected tooth, so on. It is very essential to take care of these anomalies, if not can produce disturbances in maxillary and mandibular dental arch and occlusions. Further, these might pose complication in planning the orthodontic treatment. Hence to know the prevalence rate and distribution of such anomalies will help various dental faculties/specialists to identify and recognize prevailing dental anomalies early and perform proper treatment planning. There are no such studies in adult populations of Saudi Arabia. This study has indicated that about 20% of the participants had dental anomalies and was observed more in males of about 63.3%.
Social Sciences version 20 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA). All the results were formulated and presented as Tables 1-4. Comparative analysis was done between different study groups of anomalies using Spearman’s rank Correlation test and the correlation was significant at the P<0.01 level for all groups as presented in Table 4.

### Results

Out of 2481 subjects [1444 (26.86%) males and 1037 (41.79%) females], a total of 512 individuals (20.63%) had developmental dental anomalies. The distribution by gender was 324 males (63.4%) and 188 females (36.6%). The frequency and distribution of the developmental dental anomalies are shown in Table 1. Out of the total 512 individuals, 386 (15.56%) exhibited at least one anomaly and 212 (8.54%) subjects displayed more than one anomaly (Table 2). Large number of individuals (1969) did not have any anomaly which was about 79.36%. There were no statistically significant differences among males and females, when compared for different groups of anomalies such as shape, size and position (Table 3). On comparison between groups, all the anomalies were significantly most prevalent (P<0.05) as shown in Table 4.

Among the anomalies in the present study, the most frequent developmental dental anomaly was rotation (24.6%), followed by ectopic eruption (18.3%), belong to group of positional anomalies. The second most common group was size and shape anomalies which included microdontia (15.2%). Among the number anomaly group was Hyperdontia or supernumerary teeth (15.6%), which had a higher frequency than hypodontia (11.3%). Anomalies related to shape such as Taurodontism (2.1%), Fusion (3.3%) were in smaller numbers. All these data are presented in Table 1.

### Discussion

Developmental anomalies of permanent dentition cause complications such as malocclusion, increased susceptibility to caries, esthetics, and problems in eruption of succedaneous teeth, difficulties in endodontic or surgical procedure of the affected teeth. These may pose problem subsequently causing irreversible damages. In general, these anomalies remain overlooked/ignored/unnoticed in routine clinical practice and are rare chief complaints of the patients. The prevalence rate and distribution of such anomalies will help various dental faculties/specialists to identify and recognize prevailing dental anomalies early as well as in comprehensive treatment.

Although there are studies related to the prevalence of individual dental anomalies, the present study was done in a different way. They have found a wide range of prevalences in several dental anomalies. In our study, the prevalence of Hypodontia found to be affecting 58 (11.3%) individuals which was higher compared to previously reported studies.5 In the present study, fusion was the rarest anomaly compared to other shape anomalies, with a prevalence of 3.3% which is similar to previously reported studies.6 The prevalence of fusion ranged from

### Table 1. Distribution and prevalence of developmental dental anomalies.

| Dental anomalies          | Total (n=512) | Male (n=324) | Female (n=188) | Level of significance |
|---------------------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|----------------------|
|                           | n (%)        | n (%)       | n (%)         | (P-value)            |
| Shape and size anomalies  |              |             |               |                      |
| Macroodontia              | 240 (46.8)   | 158 (48.7)  | 82 (43.5)     | 0.438                |
| Microdontia               | 150 (29.1)   | 100 (30.9)  | 50 (26.6)     | 0.047                |
| Talon cusp                | 28 (5.4)     | 16 (4.9)    | 12 (6.5)      | 0.391                |
| Fusion                    | 17 (3.3)     | 12 (3.7)    | 5 (2.6)       | 1.42                 |
| Taurodontism              | 10 (1.9)     | 8 (2.4)     | 2 (1.0)       | 0.109                |
| Dens evaginatus           | 11 (2.1)     | 7 (2.1)     | 4 (2.1)       | 0.547                |
| Supernumerary roots       | 3 (0.6)      | 2 (0.6)     | 1 (0.5)       | 0.793                |
| Dilaceration              | 10 (2.0)     | 8 (2.4)     | 2 (1.1)       | 0.483                |
| Number anomalies          |              |             |               |                      |
| Hypodontia                | 58 (11.3)    | 42 (12.9)   | 16 (8.5)      | 0.153                |
| Supernumerary teeth       | 80 (15.6)    | 52 (16.0)   | 28 (14.8)     | 0.804                |
| Positional anomalies      |              |             |               |                      |
| Rotation                  | 126 (24.6)   | 86 (26.5)   | 40 (21.2)     | 0.215                |

*Note: The values in the table are prevalence rates of each anomaly in males and females.*
0.5% to 5% depending on the geographic area, race and genetic factors. In the current study, we noted 10 (1.9%) of the patients having taurodontism and found to be almost similar to previous studies. The prevalence was found to be higher by 8.0% as reported by Darwazeh et al. in Jordanian patients. It was reported to be higher in the French population with a prevalence of around 15%. The prevalence of dens evaginatus in the present study was 2.1% and previous studies have shown a range of 1.01% in the Thai population and 3% in Hong Kong Chinese patients. 

Dilaceration was noted in about 2.5% of the individuals in our study and Hamasha et al. the occurrence of root dilacerations was 3.7% of the dentition. However, dilacerations occurring in mesial and distal directions are clearly visible with radiographs for diagnosis of root dilaceration. The prevalence of dilaceration reported to be very least of about 0.39 in one study and 16.48% in another study. Among various studies, it was found to be 5.29% in Iranian population and 0.18% in French population. Very few or limited studies are available on the prevalence of supernumerary roots. The prevalence is very much varying from 0.034% to 5.9% as reported previously. We found it to be 6.4% among 33 persons with male predilection as shown in Table 1. The variations in the prevalence rates of the developmental anomalies are mainly due to sampling technique, ethnic diversity, and different inclusion criteria.

Conclusions

The present study showed that 20.63% of the participants had dental anomalies. Most anomalies were observed in males about 63.3%. Timely identification and intervention will minimize the complications in the permanent dentition. Rarely, developmental anomalies of teeth are associated with many syndromes and genetic diseases and hence it is very important and helpful in precise and timely diagnosis of complex genetic abnormalities of the craniofacial region and in the treatment for better aesthetic and function in the future.

Table 2. Frequencies of dental anomalies in the total subjects.

| Variable                        | Total (2481) n (%) |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|
| Patients with no anomalies      | 1909 (79.36)       |
| Patients with at least one anomaly | 386 (15.56)       |
| Patients with more than one anomaly | 212 (8.54)       |

Table 3. Comparison of different groups of anomalies between male and female individuals.

| Dental anomalies          | Number (%) | Gender         | P-value |
|---------------------------|------------|----------------|---------|
|                           | Male (%)   | Female (%)     |         |
| Shape and size anomalies  | 240 (39)   | 158 (65.8)     | 82 (34.2) | 0.438 |
| Number anomalies          | 138 (22.5) | 94 (68.11)     | 44 (31.89) | 0.260 |
| Positional anomalies      | 220 (36)   | 144 (65.45)    | 76 (34.55) | 0.643 |

Table 4. Comparative analysis between different study groups.

|                         | Shape anomalies | Number anomalies | Positional anomalies |
|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Correlation Coefficient |                 |                 |                     |
| Sig. (2-tailed)         |                 |                 |                     |
| N                       |                 |                 |                     |
| Shape and size anomalies|                 | 0.009* | 0.002*              |
| Number anomalies        |                 | 0.005* | -                   |
| Positional anomalies    |                 | 0.002* | 0.000*              |

*Indicates statistically significant (P<0.01).
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