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 ABSTRACT

The flora of the Cianowice 2 borehole (~20 km NW of Cracow, Poland), dominated by cycadophytes (mainly bennettitealans) and conifers, shows high taxonomic diversity relative to the low number of specimens. Twenty species were identified in the 96 determinable plant fragments found in 27 core samples: Cladophlebis sp. (ferns), Pachypteris rhomboidalis (Ertingshausen) Nathorst and Ptilozamites cycadea (Berger) Möller (seed ferns), Anomozamites nilssonii (Phillips) Seward, Nilsoniopteris solitaria
KEY WORDS
Bennettitalean dominance, sporomorphs, palaeoenvironment reconstruction.

MOTS CLÉS
Dominance des bennettitales, sporomorphes, reconstruction de paléoenvironnement.

INTRODUCTION

Early and Middle Jurassic floras are each known from several areas of Europe (for details see Barbacka et al. 2014a). Among the most important Early Jurassic localities are: Scoresby Sound (Greenland; Harris 1937), Franken (Germany; Weber 1968), Scania (Sweden; Brongniart 1825; Nathorst 1886, 1909; Pott & McLoughlin 2009), Holy Cross Mts. (Poland; Makarewiczówna 1928; Barbacka et al. 2010, 2014b; Pacyna 2013), Mecsek Mts. (Hungary;
Macrofloral and microfloral changes in the Middle Jurassic plant assemblages

The whole siliciclastic complex is divided into five successions composed of grey, brown and green-grey terrigenous deposits. In the richest of these localities – Grojec – ferns are abundant, with lower shares of other plant groups, we focus on similar assemblages. The present paper gives a taxonomic review of this very heterogeneous flora. In the Cracow area (Poland; e.g. Raciborski 1894; Reymańówna 1963b, 1977, 1985; Jarzynka 2012, 2016; Jarzynka & Pacyna 2015), as well as some early and Middle Jurassic localities in France (Brongniart 1828a; Saporta 1873-1891; Philippe et al. 1998), Italy (e.g. Krasser 1912; Scano et al. 2015; Costa-magna et al. 2018) and Romania (Dragastan & Barbulescu 1977-78; Popa 1997a, b, 1998, 2000a, 2014; Popa & Van Konijnenburg-van Cittert 2006). Late Jurassic floras are known from only five areas (Barbacka et al. 2014a).

Middle Jurassic localities are generally poorer in taxa (8-38) than the Early Jurassic ones (usually 30-100+), with the exception of Yorkshire, which is extremely rich and diverse (197 taxa; e.g. Harris 1961, 1964, 1969, 1979; Harris & Millington 1974; Harris & Miller 1974; Barbacka et al. 2014a). All plant groups are most diverse in the Early Jurassic. In this period, the percentage of bennettitaleans is relatively high, and bennettitalean-dominated assemblages are known from some localities such as Malmes (Lenoirge 1968; Philippe et al. 1998) and Sardinia (Tornquist 1904; Krasser 1912, 1913, 1920; Edwards 1929; Comaschi Caria 1959; Dienesi et al. 1983; Salard-Cheboldaeff & Vozenin-Serra 1984; Scano et al. 2015).

Polish Jurassic floras are known from three main areas. The first is at the northern margin of the Holy Cross Mountains, with Early Jurassic localities; Gromadzice (e.g. Małkiewiczowa 1928; Pacyna 2013), Chmielow (e.g. Reymańówna 1963a; Pacyna 2013), Odrowąż (Barbacka et al. 2010; Pacyna 2013), Studzianna and Huta (Pacyna 2013; Barbacka et al. 2014b) and some other smaller sites (Pacyna 2013). The second area, with Middle Jurassic plant assemblages, is near Cracow in Grojec (Raciborski 1894; Reymańówna 1963b, 1985) and Cianowice (this paper). In the third area, in central Poland, sporadic Jurassic plant fossils are known only from deep boreholes such as Brześć Kujawski, Ciechocinek (Barbacka et al. 2014b) and Kaszewy (under study).

In the richest of these localities – Grojec – ferns are abundant and diverse in other localities ginkgophytes or conifers are also quite common. Bennetitaleans are frequent, but so far the flora from the Cianowice borehole is the first in Poland with such significant dominance of this plant group. Thanks to the particular mode of accumulation and good preservation of plant remains, despite a limited sampling opportunity, it was possible to obtain enough data on the assemblages along several levels representing different environmental conditions. The present paper gives a taxonomic review of this very interesting flora, supplemented by palynological data, and discusses its possible connection with environmental change. Since the macroflora suggests domination of bennettitaleans with lower shares of other plant groups, we focus on similar floras known mainly from the Middle Jurassic.

FIG. 1. — Location of the Cianowice 2 borehole and other Middle Jurassic localities mentioned in the paper (after Pieńkowski 2014, modified).

LOCALITY AND GEOLOGICAL SETTINGS

We studied material from the Cianowice 2 borehole, located in southern Poland c. 20 km NW of Cracow, in the vicinity of the Kreszowskie Graben and Kraków-Lubliniec Suture Zone. This zone, marking the contact between Baltica and the Palaeozoic European platform, is an important, long-lived strike-slip feature, finally closed during late stages of the Variscan collision in the late Carboniferous to early Permian (Zba 1999; Żelaźniewicz et al. 2016). This is the contact zone between two regional tectonic units: the Upper Silesian Block and the Malopolska (Lesser Poland) Block (Fig. 1). The drill core reached the Ediacaran anchimetamorphic rock (mainly shale, claystone and subordinate sandstone) at 600 m depth (Fig. 2). The studied part of the profile includes depths from 600 m to 265.2 m (Habryn et al. 2014). Ore mineralization of the oldest rocks in the profile is very poor but suggests hydrothermal activity and a low-temperature regime (Markowiak 2014).

During the period documented in the Lower Jurassic, the study site was largely a land area, with numerous freshwater basins, characterized by mainly terrestrial sedimentation (Jurkiewiczowa 1974). During the late Bathonian and Callovian, the marine transgression (NW to SE) flooded the Cracow area (Dayczak-Całikowska et al. 1997; Matyja & Ziółkowski 2014) and reached its maximum during the Oxfordian (Jurkiewiczowa 1974; Matyja & Ziółkowski 2014).

Geological and sedimentological analyses of two parts (lower-terrestrial; upper-marine) of the Jurassic profile were carried out by Pieńkowski (2014) and by Matyja & Ziółkowski (2014) respectively. The lower, terrestrial sequence is 21.2 m thick and composed of grey, brown and green-grey terrigenous deposits. The whole siliciclastic complex is divided into five successions
| Depth [m] | Lithology | Depositional systems | Succession | Micro- and macro remains |
|----------|-----------|---------------------|------------|--------------------------|
| 264.2 m  | Channel fill/distributary channel | Meandering/anastomosing rivers | 5 | Eucommiidites troedssonii, Pseudotorellia samylinae, Pseudotorellia grojecensis, Otozamites parallelus |
| 249.0 m  | Channel fill/distributary channel | Meandering/anastomosing rivers | 4 | Eucommiidites troedssonii, Pseudotorellia samylinae, Pseudotorellia grojecensis, Otozamites parallelus |
| 250.1 m  | Channel fill/distributary channel | Meandering/anastomosing rivers | 3 | Eucommiidites troedssonii, Pseudotorellia samylinae, Pseudotorellia grojecensis, Otozamites parallelus |
| 256.3 m  | Channel fill/distributary channel | Meandering/anastomosing rivers | 2 | Eucommiidites troedssonii, Pseudotorellia samylinae, Pseudotorellia grojecensis, Otozamites parallelus |
| 256.0 m  | Channel fill/distributary channel | Meandering/anastomosing rivers | 1 | Eucommiidites troedssonii, Pseudotorellia samylinae, Pseudotorellia grojecensis, Otozamites parallelus |

### Fig. 2. — Simplified lithological profile of the Cianowice 2 borehole (after Pieškowski 2014, modified).
connected mainly with meandering/anastomosing river systems (plant fossils are especially rich in fluvial plain and lacustrine sediments). Immediately overlying the erosional unconformity above the Neoproterozoic deposits are breccias and conglomerates, with undeterminable drifted plant fragments and sporomorphs which were examined. This part extends upward to 264.4 m depth and is assigned by Pieńkowski (2014) to depositional succession 1 – alluvial fans with three cycles separated by erosional unconformities. According to Pieńkowski (2014), succession 2 (255.5-262.4 m) is formed by fine-grained and very fine-grained sandstone, mudstone-claystone and siltstone-mudstone developed in five cycles. Horizons with plant remains and palaeosols with siderite concretions were recognised within this section. This part represents a depositional system of meandering/anastomosing rivers with channel fills and floodplain, levee and crevasse-splay deposits. The bounding surface between successions 2 and 3 represents a rise in the water table, which could be associated with a rise in the base level. Siderites and plant remains deposited in a lacustrine occurrence above mudstones, claystones and siltstones. The upper layers (succession 4; 249-245.1 m) consist of fine-grained and very fine-grained sandstone, siltstone and claystone, with palaeosols and plant fragments. They were deposited in a meandering/anastomosing river system (channel fill/point bars – distributary channels, floodplains). The uppermost deposits of this succession probably were eroded. The latest terrestrial succession 5 (245.1-244.0 m) is connected with sedimentation in the channel fill, and the deposits contain numerous intercalations with plant remains within grey fine-grained sandstone (Pieńkowski 2014).

The overlying part of the Jurassic section (234.5-244.5 m) is represented by carbonate marine sediments of Callovian, Oxfordian and upper Kimmeridgian ages (Matyja & Ziółkowski 2014).

Due to the absence of index fossils, the stratigraphic position of the siliciclastic terrestrial part of Cianowice 2 could not be determined. Based on tentative lithological comparisons, its possible age has been suggested to be Early (Pieńkowski 2014) or Middle Jurassic (Matyja & Ziółkowski 2014). So far there were no fossil data that could confirm the exact age of these deposits.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The studied plant remains come from a core section (265.5-244.5 m depth) from the Cianowice 2 borehole. All rock fragments yielding plant fossils were picked out from the core. The maximum size of the fossils was restricted by the core diameter (70 mm). The examined macroflora is represented by 27 core fragments (here referred to as hand specimens), often covered with undeterminable plant debris; 96 plant fragments were preserved well enough to enable identification of taxa. The best-preserved fossils are cycadophyte pinnae fragments. Seed ferns are preserved mostly as separate pinnules; *Pseudotorellia* Florin or conifers are represented by separate leaves or leaf fragments, often without apex and base, or small parts of leafy shoots. Some detached *Cycadeolepis* Saporta scales were also found. Ninety-six plant fragments were usable for taxonomic determination, preserved as compressions with relatively good cuticles or as impressions with visible morphological details.

The hand specimens are stored in the palaeobotanical collection of the W. Szafer Institute of Botany, Polish Academy of Sciences, Cracow, and are labelled KRAM P 141 (the locality number), followed by the specimen number and plant fragment number. When the leaf fragments were small and were used to make a whole-fragment preparation, the slide number is given.

The pieces of cuticle were prepared in the standard way in Schulze’s reagent (KClO₃ + HNO₃) and washed in 3% KOH (Schulze 1855). They were examined by light microscopy. The macro photographs were taken under cross-polarized light.

Palynological samples were taken along the same section as the macroflora, but with additional sampling from both ends of the section (245.30 m, 264.18 m, 264.20 m). They were not taken from exactly the same core fragments as the macroremains because that would destroy the plant remains, which are crowded in the core sections, but represent the same lithological and sedimentological features. Twenty-six palynological samples were obtained. Thirteen samples did not contain sporomorphs, and in eight samples the number of sporomorphs was very low. The preservation of most fern spores and bisaccate pollen grains is very poor. They are so damaged that their diagnostic characters are not observable. Since identification at species level was not possible in most cases, some are determined at morphological group level and some are mentioned only as bisaccate pollen grains because they do not show surface details needed for closer determination. This paper does not include systematic descriptions of sporomorphs but they are listed in the Appendix 1 (from levels containing sporomorphs) together with quantitative data and are discussed in the palaeoenvironmental context.

Samples for sporomorph analysis were prepared by standard palynological techniques with 38% HCl, 40% HF, Schulze’s reagent and 10% KOH, and sieved (if needed) through 250 μm and 15 μm sieves (with ultrasonic treatment). Glycerine-gelatine jelly was used as mounting medium. Two slides were made from each sample. Number of sporomorphs per slide was calculated as the average of two slides (Appendix 1; Fig. 5). The slides were examined under a Carl Zeiss Axio Scope. Appendix 1 transmitted light microscope, which was also used to take microphotographs. Microphotographs were taken with the use of an AxioCam ICc5 camera and Zeiss A-Plan 100x/1.25 oil-immersion objective.

SYSTEMATIC PALEONTOLOGY

**Family OSMUNDACEAE** Martinov, 1920

**Genus Cladophlebis** Brongniart, 1849

*Cladophlebis* sp. (Fig. 3A, B)

**MATERIAL.** — Two small frond fragments (12 mm and 7 mm long) on two hand specimens, very poorly preserved, incomplete pinnules with barely visible venation.

**HAND SPECIMENS.** — KRAM P 141/2, 141/9/II/G.
**Age range.** — The genus *Cladophlebis* is known from the Triassic to the Cretaceous.

**Occurrence.** — Widespread, mainly in the Jurassic of the Northern Hemisphere.

**Description.**

One fragment showing rachis with two incomplete pinnules, rachis 0.5–1.6 mm wide, pinnules c. 6 mm long and ~4 mm wide, with prominent midrib and with veinsforking once. Distance between veins 1.3 mm. Veins dichotomizing at 1/3 of their total length. Other characters not preserved.

**Remarks.**

The fragments are very small, the pinnules are incomplete, and details are poorly preserved. The pinnules resemble mostly those of *Cladophlebis* in shape, base or venation, but are considerably smaller than in usual in this genus, being rather more in the range of *Todites princeps* (Presl) Gothan. As the venation does not seem to fit the *T. princeps* type, we classified these small samples as ?*Cladophlebis* sp. to indicate the uncertainty of their taxonomic affinity.

**Family Pteridospermae incertae sedis**

Genus *Pachypteris* Brongniart emend. Harris (1964)

*Pachypteris rhomboidalis* (Ettingshausen) Nathorst (Fig. 3C-E)

Oversigt af Kongl. Vetenskaps-akademians forhandlinger 37 (5): 84 (Nathorst 1880). — *Thinnfeldia rhomboidalis* Ettingshausen, Abhandlungen der K. K. geologischen Reichsanstalt 1 (3): 2-4, pl. 1, figs 4-7 (Ettingshausen 1852). — Type: Romania, Thinnfeld Pit, in Steierdorf, Anina. Lectotype Ettingshausen, 1852, pl. 1, fig. 5, designated by Doludenko 1974.

*Thinnfeldia rhomboidalis* Ettingshausen, Abhandlungen der K. K. geologischen Reichsanstalt 1 (3): 2-4, pl. 1, figs 4-7 (Ettingshausen 1852). — *Pachypteris thinnfeldi* Andrae, Abhandlungen der K. K. geologischen Reichsanstalt III (4): 43-44, pl. 11, fig. 6; pl. 12, figs 7-9 (Andrae 1855). — *Pachypteris rhomboidalis* (Ettingshausen) Doludenko, Birbal Sahni Institute of Palaeobotany Special Publication 2: 103, pl. 12, figs 1-6 (Doludenko 1974); *Acta Palaeontologica Romani*te 81-87, pl. 1, figs 3, 4; pl. 2, fig. 6; text fig. 4 (Popa 1997b).

**Material.** — One leaf fragment with four pairs of pinnae and apical pinna, and eight separate pinnae (mostly apical), with well-preserved cuticles. One separate piece of cuticle was prepared from debris.

**Hand specimens.** — KRAM P 141/5/5, 141/5/BM, 141/6I, 141/6I/CC, 141/9/II/B, 141/10/II/CB, 141/12/14, 141/17/4, 141/32/4, CiaI/32 (cuticle only).

**Age range.** — Late Triassic to Jurassic.

**Occurrence.** — Widespread in the Jurassic, mainly in the Northern Hemisphere.

**Description.**

The most complete specimen is an apical leaf fragment 65 mm long, with 9 pinnae. Rachis 1 mm wide (Fig. 3C). Pinnae alternately inserted, oval, with entire margins and rounded apex. Pinnae joining rachis along their whole width, base slightly decurrent, pinnae 22 × 8.5 mm at lowest part of fragment to 14 × 6 mm near apex. Apical pinnae (including separate ones) generally oval/rectangular but margins irregularly undulate, up to 20 mm long and 6-11 mm wide. Venation visible in some parts of pinnae, with thin but well-visible midrib and slightly arched, forkling lateral veins running to margins. Leaves amphistomatic, with rather thick cuticle. Cell outlines irregular, cell walls straight, similar on both cuticles (Fig. 3D, E).

Upper cuticle much thicker than lower one, stomata sporadic on upper cuticle, densely scattered on whole blade on lower cuticle. Subsidiary cells (5-7) form thickened rings around stomatal pit, encircling cells commonly present. Guard cells thin and usually destroyed during maceration.

**Remarks.**

Since the family Umkomasiacaeae Petriella is considered to be restricted to the Southern Hemisphere according to the newest revision (Anderson et al. 2019), we feel justified in labelling the family affiliation of *Pachypteris rhomboidalis* as *incertae sedis*. Describing *Pteroma thomasi* as a microsporophyll probably belonging to *Pachypteris*, Harris (1964) suggested an attribution of *Pachypteris* different from Umkomasiacaeae (with microsporophyll *Pteruchus*). So far, the female organ that could be attributed to *Pachypteris* is unknown.

The remains from Cianowice closely resemble *Pachypteris rhomboidalis* in pinna shape and size, the variable margins, venation, and cuticular structure. *P. rhomboidalis* has been mentioned from Poland from the Early or Middle Jurassic of the Lublin Coal Basin (Szydel & Szydel 1981).

Genus *Ptilozamites* Nathorst, 1878b

*Ptilozamites cycadea* (Berger) Möller (Fig. 3F-H)

*Kungliga Svenska Vetenskapsakademians Handlingar* 36 (6): 19-20 (Möller 1902). — *Odontopteris cycadea* Berger, *Die Versteinerungen der Fischer und Pflanzen im Sandsteine der Coburger Gegend*: 23, 27, pl. 3, figs 2, 3 (Berger 1832). — Type: Germany, Coburg region, Rhaeto-Liassic lectotype designed by Harris (1964): specimen figured by Berger (1832: pl. 3, fig. 2).

*Cladophlebis cycadea* (Berger) Schenk, Bibliotheca Botanica Stuttgarter 6: 5, pl. 3, fig. 11-16a; pl. 4, fig. 18; pl. 6, fig. 30; pl. 7, fig. 36; pl. 8, fig. 43; pl. 9, fig. 54 (Schenk 1887); *The Yorkshire Jurassic Flora II. Caytoniales, Cycadales & Pteridosperms*: 95-99, pl. 4, figs 3, 7; text-figs 41, 42 (with synonymy) (Harris 1964); Proceedings 4th European Palaeobotanical and Palynological Conference. Mededellingen Nederlands Instituut Toegang Geowetenschappen TNO 58: 82, figs 1-9, text fig. 1 (with synonymy) (Barbacka 1997).

**Material.** — One small fragment of compressed pinna with 6 pinnules, separated from matrix.

**Hand specimen.** — KRAM P 141/1.

**Age range.** — Late Rhaetian to Middle Jurassic.
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Fig. 3. — A, ?Cladophlebis sp. (no. 141-2-CA); B, ?Cladophlebis sp. (no. 141-9-8 G); C, Pachypteris rhomboidalis (Ettingshausen) Nathorst (no. 141-10-II); D, Pachypteris rhomboidalis upper cuticle (slide no. 141/10/S/22); E, Pachypteris rhomboidalis lower cuticle (slide no. 121/10/S/22); F, Ptilozamites cycadea (Berger) Moller (no. 141-1); G, Ptilozamites cycadea upper cuticle (slide no. 141/01/S/2); H, Ptilozamites cycadea lower cuticle (slide no. 141/01/S/2); I, Ctenis sp. (no 141-10-BD); J, Ctenis sp. lower cuticle (slide no. 141/10/S/5). Scale bars: A, B, F, I, 2 mm; C, 5 mm; D, E, H, J, 50 μm; G, 100 μm.
Occurrence. — United Kingdom (Yorkshire), Germany, Hungary, Romania, France, Sweden, Switzerland, Poland (this paper).

Description
Pinna fragment 13 mm wide, rachis 1 mm wide. Pinnules alternate, 7 mm long and 4 mm wide, rhomboid, with obtuse apex and entire margins. Base (Fig. 3F) as wide as segment, sometimes slightly decurrent. Veins not visible, due to poor preservation. Leaf hypostomatic. Cuticle (Fig. 3G, H) of medium thickness, cell outlines irregular, stomata on lower cuticle scattered, consisting of 5-7 large subsidiary cells around small stomatal pit and forming small thickened ring above guard cells. On lower cuticle, small one-celled trichome bases present, as well as multicellular trichome bases consisting of mostly 6 radially arranged cells forming central rounded, star-shaped or irregular thickening with cavity.

Remarks
The attribution of the former Ctenozamites Nathorst, 1886 to Ptilozamites Nathorst, 1878 was proposed by some authors (Popa & McElvain 2009; Van Konijnenburg-van Cittert 2018), but the first to use the combination Ptilozamites cycadea was Möller (1902). The fragment is small and no details of venation are visible, but the pinnule shape is characteristic for Ptilozamites. It is somewhat smaller than usually described (e.g. Harris 1964; Barbacka 1997) but fits within its variability. The cuticle is typical for Ptilozamites cycadea, with characteristic stomata and trichome bases (Harris 1961; Barbacka 1997).

Order BENNETTITALES Engler, 1892
Genus Anomozamites Schimper emend Harris, 1969

Anomozamites nilsonii (Phillips) Sward emend. Harris (Fig. 4B-D)

The Yorkshire Jurassic flora. III. Bennettitales: 79-83; text-figs 37, 38 (with synonymy) (Harris 1969). — Apuliopteris Nilsonii Phillips, Illustrations of the geology of Yorkshire, or, a description of the strata and organic remains of the Yorkshire Coast: Accompanied by a geographical map, sections, and plates of the fossil plants and animals: 147, pl. 8, fig 4 (Phillips 1829). — Anomozamites Nilsonii (Phillips) Sward, The Jurassic flora I. The Yorkshire coast. Catalogue of the Mesozoic Plants in the Department of Geology, 204; text-fig. 36 (Seward 1900); Jurassic continental deposits of the Middle-Caspian Basin. 2: Facies, taphonomy, interregional correlations; flora (Pinophyta: Pteridospermae, Cyccadales, Bennettitales, Ginkgoales, Czekanowskiales, Coniferales): 58, pl. 13, figs 1-12; pl. 14, figs 1-13; pl. 15, figs 1-14 (Kiritchkova & Nosova 2012). — Type: Great Britain, Middle Jurassic, Grisborough Bed, holotype designated by Seward (1900): specimen illustrated on pl. 8, fig. 4 (Phillips 1829), York Museum.

Material. — Four leaf fragments on one specimen, all without base and apex, impressions with small cuticle pieces.

Hand specimens. — KRAM P 141/15/I/BK, 141/15/I/BL, 141/15/I/BW, 141/15/I/BZ.

Age range. — Middle Jurassic.

Occurrence. — United Kingdom (Yorkshire), Russia (Middle Caspian Basin), Korea, Poland (this paper).

Description
Leaves 9-12.3 mm wide. Midrib smooth, 1.2 mm wide, leaf blade deeply divided (to midrib) into alternate rectangular segments c. 6 mm long and 7.2-9.2 mm wide (Fig. 4B). Size of segments decreasing toward base. Veins running nearly parallel from rachis to margin, forking at least once (poor preservation). Segment margins entire. Leaf hypostomatic. On upper cuticle, cell outlines rectangular to irregular, deeply sinusoid, one-celled hair bases. On lower cuticle, cell outlines more irregular than on upper cuticle, and sinuses not so deep. Stomata oriented irregularly but often transversely. Trichome bases rare, adjacent to stomata (Fig. 4C, D).

Remarks
The gross morphology generally agrees with that of small specimens described by Harris as A. nilsonii (Harris 1969) from the Middle Jurassic of Yorkshire (or the basal part of a normal-size leaf) and with specimens from the Early and Middle Jurassic of the Middle Caspian Basin (Kiritchkova & Nosova 2012). The proportions of the segments from Cianowice fit the variability of the species, corresponding well to specimens from Russia (Kiritchkova & Nosova 2012, pl. 14, figs 6-8). The small pieces of cuticle show features very similar to those from Yorkshire and Russia. Although the specimens are too small to show all the known characters, we assign the specimens from Cianowice to this species.

Division CYCADOPHYTA Bessey, 1907
Order CYCADALES Persoon
ex Berchtold & Presl 1820
Ctenis sp.
(Figs 3L, J; 4A)

Material. — One specimen, on which one fragment of a pinna is preserved, mainly as an imprint but with a small piece of cuticle.

Hand specimen. — KRAM P 141/10/BD.

Description
Pinna fragment 17.4 mm long and 6 mm wide at base, shape unclear due to poor preservation. Veins running parallel and anastomosing to form loose meshes. Cuticle (Fig 3I) of moderate thickness, commonly showing nearly square cells tending to form short rows. Cell outlines thick and straight. Stomata occurring on lower cuticle, sparsely scattered, orientation unknown because cuticle fragment too small. Subsidiary cells 5-6, surrounding well-cutinized, large guard cells (Fig 3J; 4A).

Remark
This taxon is very difficult to determine since the pinna is very fragmentary. Only the details of venation, with anastomoses, qualify the fossil as Ctenis sp.
Fig. 4. — A, Ctenis sp. lower cuticle, stomata (slide no. 141/10/S/5); B, Anomozamites nilssonii (Phillips) Seward emend. Harris (no. 141-15-I-BK); C, Anomozamites nilssonii lower cuticle with stomata (slide no. 141/15/I/S/3); D, Anomozamites nilssonii upper cuticle with one-cell hair bases (slide no. 141/15/I/S/5); E, Nilssoniopteris solitaria (Phillips) Cleal & Rees (no. 141/15/I); F, Nilssoniopteris solitaria upper cuticle (slide no. 141/15/I/S/16); G, Nilssoniopteris solitaria lower cuticle with stomata and hair cell (slide no. 141/15/I/S/16). Scale bars: A, C, D, 25 μm; B, 2 mm; E, 5 mm; F, G, 50 μm.
**Genus Nilssoniopteris** Nathorst, 1909

**Nilssoniopteris solitaria** (Phillips) Cleal & Rees  
(Fig. 4E-G)

_Palaeontology_ 46 (4): 764 (Cleal & Rees 2003). — _Scolopendrium solitarium_ Phillips, _Illustrations of the geology of Yorkshire_, or, a _description of the strata and organic remains of the Yorkshire Coast: Accompanied by a geological map, sections, and plates of the fossil plants and animals_; 147/153, pl. 8, fig. 5 (Phillips 1829). — Type: Great Britain, White Nab near Scarborough (Yorkshire), Grindstone Member, Cloughton Formation, Bajocian, Middle Jurassic, type specimen: OU&MNH J.29628 stored at the Museum of Natural History Oxford University United Kingdom, designated by Pott & Van Konijnenburg-van Cittert (2017): 180, pl. 1, figs 1-5.

**Material.** — One leaf fragment with apex, without base, preserved as impression, some parts with cuticle.

**Hand specimen.** — KRAM P 141/15/I.

**Age range.** — Early to Middle Jurassic.

**Occurrence.** — Greenland, Bornholm, United Kingdom (Yorkshire, Stonesfield), Switzerland, Poland (Lublin area, Cianowice, this paper).

**Description**

Leaf entire, linear-lanceolate. Midrib strong, 1.5 mm wide, whole lamina 20 mm wide. Apex obtuse, margin entire, veins parallel and arising at almost 90°; sometimes bifurcating (Fig. 4E), their density 22 veins/cm. Leaf hypostomatic. Upper cuticle thicker than lower, cell outlines rectangular to polygonal, cell walls sinusoid. Cell outlines more irregular in lower cuticle than upper, stomata of syndetocheilic type, trichome bases present (Fig. 4F, G).

**Remarks**

The taxonomy of this species was thoroughly discussed by Cleal & Rees (2003), Cleal _et al._ (2006), Pott & McLoughlin (2009) and Van Konijnenburg-van Cittert _et al._ (2017). Pott & Van Konijnenburg-van Cittert (2017) provided a full synonymy and diagnosis of _Nilssoniopteris solitaria_. The specimen from Cianowice, according to the shape, vein density, and cuticular structure, corresponds with _N. solitaria_ although the small fragments of cuticle are not enough for a full comparison with the type material. _Nilssoniopteris vittata_, now included in _N. solitaria_ (Pott & Van Konijnenburg-van Cittert 2017), was mentioned from Poland by the Early Jurassic of the Lublin Coal Basin (Szydel & Szydel 1981).

**Genus Otozamites** Braun, 1842

**Otozamites mimetes** Harris  
(Fig. 5A-D)

_Annals and Magazine of Natural History, London_ 12 (2): 285, figs 3B, C, 5 (Harris 1949); _Harris, The Yorkshire Jurassic flora. III. Bennettitales_; 41, text fig. 18A-G. (Harris 1969). — Type: Great Britain, Yorkshire, Middle Jurassic, holotype designated by Harris (1969): specimen in Yorkshire Museum, figured by Harris (1949: figs 3B, C, 5) (Harris 1969).

**Material.** — Three leaf fragments, the larger one with five pairs of pinnae, all preserved as compressions.

**Hand specimens.** — KRAM P 141/6/II/7, 141/6/II/AD, 141/18/U.

**Age range.** — Early to Middle Jurassic.

**Occurrence.** — United States (Alaska), United Kingdom (Yorkshire), Poland (this paper).

**Description**

Leaf pinnate, 23 mm wide. Pinnae alternate to subopposite, c. 11 mm long and 5.4-6.5 mm wide, slightly curved upwards. Veins very thin, arising from whole base except auricle, nearly parallel. Apex obtuse (Fig. 5A). Leaf hypostomatic. Upper and lower cuticles of equal thickness. On upper cuticle, cell outlines mostly rectangular, tending to form rows. Walls deeply sinusoid (Fig. 5D). On lower cuticle cells more irregular, cell walls strongly sinusoid, most cells showing central papilla. Papillae on cells near stomata may overhang stomatal pit. Stomata irregularly spaced, in some cases forming short rows, oriented transversely to veins (Fig. 5B, C).

**Remark**

The leaf fragment from Cianowice closely corresponds to the material from Yorkshire reported by Harris (1969), who, besides giving a description, discussed the taxonomic position of his specimens; we follow his opinion. The material is also similar to _Otozamites mimetes_ from Alaska (Barbacka _et al._ 2006).

**Otozamites parallelus** Phillips  
(Fig. 5E-H)

_Illustrations of the geology of Yorkshire, or, a description of the strata and organic remains. Part I. The Yorkshire Coast_; 221, fig. 47 (Phillips 1875). — Type: Great Britain, Yorkshire, Middle Jurassic, holotype designated by Harris (1969): specimen figured by Phillips (1875: 221, fig. 47) (Harris 1969).

**Material.** — Seven leaf fragments from the middle part, the most complete of which shows eight pairs of pinnae. They are impressions or compressions, with poorly preserved cuticles.

**Hand specimens.** — KRAM P 141/2/I/2, 141/2/I/4, 141/6/2, 141/6/I/6, 141/9/I/II/CD, 141/20/F, 141/31/5.

**Age range.** — Middle Jurassic

**Occurrence.** — United Kingdom (Yorkshire), Poland (this paper).

**Description**

Leaf pinnate, c. 13.5 mm wide. Pinnae 6-9.6 mm long and 3.3-4.6 mm wide, alternate, crowded and overlapping the 0.6-1 mm wide rachis. Pinna apex obtuse, base with small but distinct acroscopic auricle, basal margin slightly constrained. Veins forking, running from lower $V_3$ of pinna base slightly radially, in auricle closer to rachis (Fig. 5E, F). Leaf hypostomatic. Upper and lower cuticles of equal thickness. On upper cuticle, cell outlines rectangular to polygonal, rectangular ones tending to form short rows (above veins?).
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Fig. 5. — A, Otozamites mimetes Harris (no. 141-6-II-7); B, Otozamites mimetes lower cuticle (slide no. 141/06/II/S/15); C, Otozamites mimetes lower cuticle, stomata (slide no. 141/06/II/S/15); D, Otozamites mimetes upper cuticle (slide no. 141/06/II/S/15); E, Otozamites parallelus Philips (no. 141-6-I-6); F, Otozamites parallelus details of pinnae (no. 141-9-II-CD); G, Otozamites parallelus lower cuticle (slide no. 141/06/II/S/6); H, Otozamites parallelus lower cuticle with details of stomata (slide no. 141/06/II/S/6). Scale bars: A, 5 mm; B, C, 100 μm; D, G, 50 μm; E, F, 2 mm; H, 25 μm.
On lower cuticle, cells irregular but along veins may be elongated. Stomata distributed between veins in two quite irregular rows, oriented transversely, syndetocheilic. Papillae present on lower cuticle, at different densities depending on the specimen (Fig. 5G, H).

**Remark**

This species is known only from Yorkshire, where it occurs relatively rarely (Harris 1969). In Cianowice, _O. parallelus_ is one of the commonest species. These leaf fragments correspond with Harris's material in the whole complement of macro- and micromorphological features, although Harris's specimens lacked the upper cuticle. In Cianowice, the upper cuticle was found as an exception, recognised on only one leaf fragment.

**Genus Pterophyllum** Brongniart, 1828

*Pterophyllum thomasi* Harris

_Figs. 6A-D_

_Annals and Magazine of Natural History, London_ 12 (5): 93, text figs 43A-E, 44A-H (Harris 1952).

**Description.** Leaf pinnate, largest fragment c. 15 mm wide. Rachis 0.8 mm wide, smooth. Pinnae opposite, close to each other, often in contact, linear, with obtuse apex, up to 8 mm long and up to 2.5 mm wide. Pinna base straight, rarely slightly expanded, apex truncate. Veins very thin, barely visible, parallel, c. 7 per pinna, bifurcation not visible due to poor preservation (Fig. 6E). Leaf hypostomatic, upper and lower cuticles of equal thickness. Upper cuticle showing square to polygonal cell outlines, rectangular at pinna margin (Fig. 6F). Cell walls straight. Cells on lower cuticle rectangular to polygonal, veins slightly marked by elongated cells, stomata scattered, oriented mostly transversely. Subsidiary cells usually bear papillae overhanging stomatal pit. Papillae on ordinary cells not observed (Fig. 7A).

**Remark**

The leaf shape, pinna characters (shape, apex and arising angle) as well as cuticular structure are closest to _P. aequale_ (Brongniart) Nathorst emend. Pott & McLoughlin (2009; Pott et al. 2016), for which an emended diagnosis was given by Pott & McLoughlin (2009). Especially the cell pattern and stomata with two opposite papillae are very peculiar, but there are some differences that make full identification difficult. The first is the size of the leaves, which are about half the typical size of this species. The number of veins is much lower than in the type material, in which up to 17 were counted in 1 cm (Pott & McLoughlin 2009), or even 30 (Lundblad’s specimens, described as separate species *Pterophyllum compressum* Lundblad (1950) and synonymized with _P. aequale_ by Pott & McLoughlin (2009)). Also, papillae are lacking on the cuticle of the specimens from Cianowice. The last difference is in age, which is typically Late Triassic and Early Jurassic; here it was together with typical Middle Jurassic species on the same specimen. Despite the above
Fig. 6. — A, Pterophyllum thomasi Harris (no. 141-15 I BJ); B, Pterophyllum thomasi upper cuticle (slide no. 141/15/I/S/1); C, Pterophyllum thomasi lower cuticle (slide no. 141/15/I/S/1); D, Pterophyllum thomasi lower cuticle (slide no. 141/15/I/S/1); E, Pterophyllum cf. aequale (Brongniart) Nathorst emend. Pott & McLoughlin (no. 141–4–III); F, Pterophyllum cf. aequale upper cuticle (slide no. 141/10/3). Scale bars: A, 2 mm; B, C, F, 50 μm; D, 25 μm; E, 3 mm.
dissimilarities, we here determine the leaves from Cianowice as *Pterophyllum* cf. *aequale* based on the shape and arrangement of pinnae, as well as cell pattern and stomata structure as most important diagnostic characters distinguishing this species. The leaf size or number of veins (which also significantly varies between the specimens mentioned by Pott & McLoughlin (2009) and those of Lundblad (1950), and also the presence of papillae may vary highly depending on numerous ecological factors (authors’ own observations). As for the difference in age, in our opinion it does not affect the determination (Kerp & Pott pers. comm. 2018); thus, erection a new species is not justified in this case.

**Genus *Pterophyllum*** Morris, 1840 emend. Harris, 1969

**Pterophyllum cf. okribense forma ratchiana**

Doludenko & Svanižde

(Fig. 7B-E)

*International Geological Congress XXII Session Reports of Soviet Geologists Problem 9 Gondwana: 118, pl. 4 fgs 1-12 (Doludenko & Svanižde 1964). — Type: specimen No. 135/1 Georgia, Tkibuli, Middle Jurassic, Ordzhonikidze coal mine.*

**Material.** — Ten leaf fragments preserved as compressions and impressions, all from the middle part of the leaf. Largest one shows 23 pairs of pinnae and is 59 mm long.

**Hand specimens.** — KRAM P 141/4/II/21, 141/4/II/22, 141/9/I/9, 141/9/I/25, 141/9/II/A, 141/9/II/H, 141/10/11, 141/10/BB, 141/15/II/24, 141/15/II/17.

**Age range.** — Middle Jurassic.

**Occurrence.** — Georgia (Middle-Caspian Basin), Poland (this paper).

**Description**

Leaf pinnate, up to 12.4 mm wide. Pinnae alternate, attached to upper side of the rachis, elongated and commonly slightly curved upwards, up to 12 mm long and 2 mm wide. Pinnae usually in contact, rarely separate. Base asymmetric, basiscopic side decurrent, acroscopic side contracted. Apex truncate. Veins very fine, forking, c. 10 in middle of pinna (Fig. 7B). Leaf hypostomatic, upper and lower cuticles of equal thickness. Cells on upper cuticle mostly rectangular, tending to form short rows (Fig. 7C), cell walls strongly sinusoid. On lower cuticle, cell outlines similar but sometimes polygonal, sinuses strong but not as much as on upper cuticle. Stomata occurring in bands between usually 2-cell-wide strips above veins. Stomatal bands varying in width: from 2-3 to 5-6 rows of stomata wide. Stomata oriented mainly transversely. Guard cells strongly cutinized; both subsidiary cells possessing a papilla overhanging the stomatal pit (Fig. 7D, E). One-celled hair bases sporadically present.

**Remarks**

Generally the specimens from Cianowice correspond well with *Pterophyllum okribense forma ratchiana* (Doludenko & Svanižde 1964). Both macro- and micromorphology are very similar, but in the material from Poland the arrangement of stomata (width of stomatal bands) is more varied (from typical to wider). Also, papillae are lacking in the Polish specimens, and hair bases occur only sporadically. We interpreted these deviations as natural variability conditioned by the environment. Nevertheless, we determined these specimens in the open nomenclature.

**Pterophyllum pecten** Phillips emend. Harris, 1969

(Figs 7F; G; 8A)

The Yorkshire Jurassic flora. III. Bennettitales: 64-67, text figs 30, 31 (with synonymy) (Harris 1969); Annals and Magazine of Natural History: 117 (Morris 1841); Journal of Iberian Geology 35 (2): 132, fig. 6 (Diéguez et al. 2009). — Cycadites pecten Phillips, Illustrations of the geology of Yorkshire, or, a description of the strata and organic remains of the Yorkshire Coast. Accompanied by a geological map, sections, and plates of the fossil plants and animals: 168, pl. 7, fig. 22 (Phillips 1829). — Type: Great Britain, Yorkshire, Middle Jurassic, holotype Phillips (1829): pl. 7, fig. 22.

**Material.** — One specimen, fragment of leaf with 14 pairs of incomplete pinnae, 26 mm long. Preserved as impression of abaxial side of leaf with small fragments of cuticle.

**Hand specimen.** — KRAM P 141/12/1/Z.

**Age range.** — Middle Jurassic to Early Cretaceous.

**Occurrence.** — United Kingdom (Yorkshire), Spain.

**Description**

Leaf pinnate, estimated width 11 mm. Rachis 1 mm wide at the base of the fragment, narrowing to 0.5 mm towards leaf apex. Pinnae alternate, attached on the upper side of the rachis. Pinna length 4-5 mm, width 1.1-2 mm. Apex truncate, base not visible because impression shows lower side of the leaf (Fig. 7F). Only lower cuticle preserved. Cell outlines rectangular to irregularly polygonal, sinusoid. Each cell possessing large papilla. Stomata occurring between veins marked by more rectangular cells (two cells wide) in irregular rows 2-3 stomata wide and oriented transversely. Guard cells well cutinized, subsidiary cells possessing papillae overhanging stomatal pit (Figs 7G; 8A).

**Remarks**

The species is distinctive for its very small size together with strongly papillate on the lower cuticle, stomata with crescent shaped guard cells and subsidiary cells bearing papillae. The characters of the Polish specimen agree with *Pterophyllum pecten* (Phillips) Morris from Yorkshire (Harris 1969).

**Pterophyllum sirkennethii** Watson & Sincock

(Fig. 8B-E)

*Bennettitales of the English Wealden: 94, text figs 62A-C, 63A-G, 64A-D (Watson & Sincock 1992). — Type: Great Britain, Ecclesbourne Glen, Hastings, E. Sussex, Early Cretaceous, Berriasian, holotype No V. 2227 British Museum (Natural History).*

**Material.** — One leaf fragment 58 mm long with 13 pairs of pinnae. All pinnae incomplete, apices lacking.
Fig. 7. — A, Pterophyllum cf. aequale (Brongniart) Nathorst, 1878a, emend. Pott & McLaughlin, 2009, lower cuticle (slide no. 141/10/S/3); B, Ptilophyllum cf. okribense forma ratchiana Doludenko et Svanidze (no. 141-15 I 24); C, Ptilophyllum cf. okribense upper cuticle (slide no. 141/04/I/S/6); D, Ptilophyllum cf. okribense lower cuticle (slide no. 141/04/I/I/S/6); E, Ptilophyllum cf. okribense lower cuticle with details of stomata (slide no. 141/04/I/S/6); F, Ptilophyllum pecten Phillips emend. Harris (no. 141-21-Z); G, Ptilophyllum pecten lower cuticle (slide no. 141/21/S/6). Scale bars: A, C, D, G, 50 μm; B, 3 mm; E, 25 μm; F, 2 mm.
Hand specimen. — KRAM P 141/15/II/16.
Age range. — Middle Jurassic–Early Cretaceous.
Occurrence. — United Kingdom (Ecclesbourne Glen, Hastings),
Poland (this paper).

Description
Leaf pinnate, 30 mm wide, with rachis 2.3 mm wide and
alternate pinnae. Pinnae borne on upper surface of the rachis,
lanceolate, with constricted acroscopic margin. Basiscopic
margin decurrent, and apex subacute. Basal pinna c. 12 mm
long (almost complete), longest complete pinna 14.4 mm
long. Pinnae width almost constant, c. 4.4 mm at widest part.
Ventral fine, poorly visible due to coarse grain size of host
rock. Veins slightly radiating from pinna base and seeming to
divide, probably 8–12 per pinna (Fig. 8B). Leaf hypostomatic,
cuticle moderately thick. Cell pattern similar on both upper
and lower cuticles; cells isodiametric to rectangular, forming
longitudinal files. Cell walls more strongly sinusoid on upper
cuticle (Fig. 8C). On lower cuticle, stomata arranged in wide
bands of c. 4 longitudinal rows, separated by 2–3 cell-wide
non-stomatal bands. Stomata transversely oriented, subsidiary
cells large, each bearing a prominent papilla; both papillae
meeting over stomatal pit (Fig. 8D, E).

Remark
The leaf structure, especially pinna shape, base and venation,
correspond well with *Ptilophyllum sirkennethii* described from
the Lower Cretaceous of English Wealden by Watson & Sinnock (1992). The significant difference is in leaf size, being at
least four times smaller than the English one, but the cuticular
features entirely agree between the two materials. The cell pat-
ttern, stomata distribution and structure are the same. Since
the species was established based on two specimens and we
have only a single specimen, the possible size diversity within
this taxon is unknown, but it is well known that leaf size is
one of the most variable features in the genus. Because this
is the only difference between the two materials, and numer-
ous other species from this locality also show unusually small
dimensions, we classify it as *P. sirkennethii*.

Genus *Cycadolepis* Saporta, 1874 emend. Harris, 1969

*Cycadolepis* sp.
(Fig. 8F, G)

Material. — Five bennettitalean cone scale specimens c. 20 mm
long, preserved fragmentarily, mainly as compressions.

Hand specimens. — KRAM P 141/4/II/19, 141/4/II/43, 141/4/
II/41, 141/9/II/28, 141/16/18.

Description
Leaf scales small, probably spatulate, acroscopic part extended,
up to 13 mm wide. Apex subacute. Veins thin, parallel, c. 10 per
5 mm. Forking not observed (Fig. 8F). Cuticle thick, cell
walls straight. On abaxial (?) cuticle, cell outlines thick and
square to rectangular, forming rows. Stomata lacking, or very
sporadic if present. Cell pattern on abaxial (?) cuticle similar
to that on adaxial side, but less regular. Syndetocheilic sto-
matata frequent, scattered, oriented longitudinally (Fig. 8G).

Remark
Since only fragments were found, their shape is not deter-
nicable. The cuticular features resemble mostly *Cycadolepis
ballei* Harris, 1969 but the material is too fragmentary for
a full comparison.

 Gymnospermae incertae sedis
Genus *Pseudotorellia* Florin, 1936

*Pseudotorellia grojecensis* Reymanówna
(Figs 8H; 9A, B)

*Acta Palaeobotanica* 4 (2): 33–36, pl. 7, figs 1,3-5; text-figs 8A-K,
9 A-F (Reymanówna 1963b). — Type: Poland, Grojec clays, Middle
Jurassic, Grojec near Krakow. Palaeobotanical collection KRAM
P PM 53 in the National Biodiversity Collection – Herbarium
KRAM at W. Szafer Institute of Botany, Polish Academy of Sciences,
Palaeobotanical Collection KRAM P type specimen: KRAM P PM
53 hand specimen no. 49b and slide from this specimen no. 79.

Material. — Six leaf fragments/groups of leaf fragments, all without
base, only two apices preserved. Longest fragment 26.5 mm long.
Preserved as compressions or impressions. Besides these, three cu-
ticles were prepared from different specimens.

Hand specimens. — KRAM P 141/6/II/AF, 141/6/III/P, 141/9/II/27,
141/12/12 (4 fragments), 141/12/13 (debris), 141/32/1, 141/15/
II/S/1, 141/28/S/1, 141/28/S/II.

Age range. — Middle Jurassic.

Occurrence. — Poland (Grojec, Cianowice, this paper).

Description
Leaves elongated, narrowing towards base and apex, margins
parallel in middle part. Lamina 3.7–4.2 mm wide, apex nar-
rowly obtuse or subacute (Fig. 8H). Veins parallel, c. 6-7 within
leaf. Leaf hypostomatic. Upper cuticle thicker than lower, cell
outlines square and trapezoid, sometimes triangular, arranged
in rows, cell walls straight. Some cells thickened, forming
uncellular hair base over vein. Cell outlines on lower cuticle
more irregular between veins and rectangular over veins. Sto-
matata occurring in strips (c. 12 stomata wide) between veins
(c. 9 cells wide), oriented longitudinally. Stomata large, with
large, well-cutinized guard cells. Subsidiary cells differ between
lateral and terminal. Polar subsidiary cells small, rectangular,
rarely triangular (Fig. 9A, B). Lateral cells mostly two (one on each side), narrow, but also often four (two each side), or
three (two and one). In some cases encircling cells present.
Between cuticles, longitudinal strands (fibres) present, easily
moved or removed with a needle (ducts?).

Remark
Originally Florin (1936) put *Pseudotorellia* among the ginkgophytes. Watson & Harrison (1998) suggested that
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Fig. 8. — A, Ptilophyllum pecten Phillips, 1829 emend. Harris, lower cuticle (slide no. 141/21/5/6); B, Ptilophyllum sirkennethi Watson & Sincock (no. 15-II-16); C, Ptilophyllum sirkennethi upper cuticle (slide no. 141/15-II/5/1); D, Ptilophyllum sirkennethi lower cuticle with details of stomata (slide no. 141/15-II/5/4); E, Ptilophyllum sirkennethi lower cuticle (slide no. 141/15-II/5/4); F, Cycadolepis sp. (no. 141-1618); G, Cycadolepis sp. the cuticle (slide no. 141/16/S1); H, Pseudotorellia grojencensis Reymanówna (no. 141-12-12). Scale bars: A, C, D, F, 25 μm; B, E, H, 2 mm; G, 50 μm.
at least some species could be assigned to conifers based on their association and cuticular similarity with coniferalean shoots *Sulcatocladus* Watson & Harrison, 1998. Dong et al. (2019) demonstrated that *Umaltolepis yimaensis* Dong, Zhou, Zhang, Wang & Shi, ovules (which is not a typical ginkgalean organ), and *Pseudotorellia yimaensis* Dong, Zhou, Zhang, Wang & Shi belong to the same plant (Dong et al. 2019), but they do not exclude its ginkgophytalean affinity, rather stressing the group’s diversity. Based on cuticular features and stomata structure Shi et al. (2018) linked *Pseudotorellia* with corystosperms, although the question of relationship was left to resolve later. Because of the above uncertainty, we treat *Pseudotorellia* as incertae sedis. The material from Cianowice corresponds well in all features (gross morphology, cuticular structure, presence of fibres) with the species erected by Reymanówna (1963b) from Grojec. Our determination is made more certain by the proximity of the two localities (Cianowice, Grojec); in Grojec this species is very common.

**Pseudotorellia samylinae** Nosova & Kiritchkova
(Fig. 9C-E)

*Stratigraphy and Geological Correlation* 17: 625, pl. 1, figs 15-17, 23-25; pl. 4, figs 1-14 (Kiritchkova & Nosova 2009); *Jurassic continental deposits of the Middle-Caspian Basin. 2: Facies, taphonomy, interregional correlations, flora* (Pinophyta: Pteridospermae, Cycadales, Bennettitales, Ginkgoales, Czekanowskiales, Coniferales): 143, pl. 103, figs 15-17, 23-25; pl. 104, figs 1-14. — Type: Western Kazakhstan, Mangyshlak Peninsula, Chaga-Bulak Spring, lower member of the Kokala Formation; Lower Jurassic, Toarcian, holotype no. 757/1840–27, VNIGRI collection Botanical Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, illustrated on plate I, fig. 15 (Kiritchkova & Nosova 2009).

**Material.** — Three leaf fragments (but only small pieces from the middle part of the leaf preserved as compressions) and two pieces of cuticle.

**Hand specimens.** — KRAM P 141/15/II/A, 141/20/S/2, 141/02/S/8.

**Age range.** — Early Jurassic (Toarcian) to Middle Jurassic.

**Occurrence.** — Eastern Caspian region, Poland (this paper).

**Description**

Leaf elongated, margins parallel, at least along 5-mm-wide middle part of leaf. Veins parallel, c. 5 per leaf, quite conspicuous (Fig. 9C). Upper and lower cuticles of equal thickness. On upper cuticle, cell outlines rectangular, elongated, cell walls straight, cells forming longitudinal rows. On lower cuticle, cell outlines irregular among stomata and elongated over veins. Stomata scattered and longitudinally oriented in strips more than nine stomata wide between veins. Occasionally, some stomata are very close to each other (with shared or neighbouring polar subsidiary cells). Guard cells large, well cutinized, subsidiary cells differentiated into polar and lateral. Polar cells rectangular, small. Lateral cells two in most cases, also three or rarely four (Fig. 9D, E).

**Remark**

The material agrees well with the species *Pseudotorellia samylinae* (Kiritchkova & Nosova 2012), who stated that it is somewhat similar to *P. grojecensis*, but that having both species in the same locality makes it easy to distinguish them on the basis of immediately observable differences in number of veins, width of stomatal strips, cell pattern, and stomata size and shape (larger and more elongated in *P. grojecensis*).

**Pseudotorellia sp.**
(Figs 9F, G; 10A)

**Material.** — One leaf fragment (middle part), and two cuticle fragments prepared from the rock.

**Hand specimens.** — KRAM P 141/15/II/A, 141/20/S/2, 141/02/S/8.

**Description**

Leaf linear at least in middle part, 1.7 mm wide. Veins not marked on lower or upper cuticle. Very fine striations (c. 12) indicating stomatal and non-stomatal bands along the blade. Leaf hypostomatic, upper and lower cuticles of equal thickness. On upper cuticle, cells with rectangular outlines, forming rows, transverse walls thicker than longitudinal ones. On lower cuticle, cell outlines narrower and more elongated, particularly over veins. Stomata occurring between veins in strips of same width as veins. Stomata oriented longitudinally, not crowded but occasionally forming groups. Polar and lateral subsidiary cells differ: polar cells rectangular, with shorter side directed to stomatal pore; lateral cells 2–4, elongated to rectangular. Each subsidiary cell possessing a hollow papilla overhanging the stomatal pore; their alternate arrangement may close it completely (Figs 9F, G; 10A). Relatively thick fibres adjoin cuticles.

**Remarks**

The very narrow leaf and cuticular structure are exceptional (very elongated cells and peculiar stomata strongly regulated by papillae). Unfortunately, a more precise determination cannot be made from the small macroremain.

**Order CONIFERALES incertae sedis**

*Genus Bilsdalea* Harris, 1952

*Bilsdalea dura* Harris
(Fig. 10B-F)

*Annals and Magazine of Natural History, London* 12 (5): 374, text figs 6A-F, 7A-Z, 8A-E, 9A, D, F (Harris 1952); *Acta Hortibergiani* 17 (10): 315, pl. 31, figs 1-9; pl. 32 figs 1-4; pl. 33, figs 1-6 (Florin 1958); *Acta Palaeobotanica* 25: 14, pls 1-3, text figs 1, 2 (with synonymy) (Wcislo-Luranic 1985). — Type: Great Britain, Yorkshire, Middle Jurassic, lectotype V. 29310, British Museum (Natural History), selected by Florin (1958).

**Material.** — Four pieces of cuticles taken from three different specimens (only very small leaf fragments preserved); also, one small leaf fragment, probably from near apex (prepared).
Fig. 9. — A, *Pseudotorellia grojecensis* Reymanówna lower cuticle (slide no. 141/09/I/29); B, *Pseudotorellia grojecensis* lower cuticle with detail of stomata (slide no. 141/09/I/29); C, *Pseudotorellia samylinae* Nosova & Kirtchikova (no. 141-10-1); D, *Pseudotorellia samylinae* lower cuticle (slide no. 141/20/S/4); E, *Pseudotorellia samylinae* lower cuticle with details of stomata (slide no. 141/20/S/4); F, *Pseudotorellia* sp. upper cuticle (slide no. 141/02/S/8); G, *Pseudotorellia* sp. lower cuticle (slide no. 141/02/S/8). Scale bars: A, D, G, 50 μm; B, E, F, 25 μm; C, 2 mm.
Fig. 10. — A, Pseudotorellia sp. lower cuticle, details of stomata (slide no. 141/02/S/8); B, Bilsdalea dura Harris, upper surface (slide no. 141/04/I/14); C, Bilsdalea dura lower surface (slide no. 141/04/I/14); D, Bilsdalea dura upper cuticle structure (slide no. 141/04/I/14); E, Bilsdalea dura lower cuticle structure (slide no. 141/04/I/14); F, Bilsdalea dura lower cuticle with details of stomata (slide no. 141/04/I/14). Scale bars: A, F, 25 μm; B, C, 150 μm; D, 100 μm; E, 50 μm.
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Fig. 11. — A, *Mirovia* szaferi Reymanówna (no. 141-10-BF); B, *Mirovia* szaferi lower cuticle (slide no. 141/09/I/S/5); C, *Mirovia* szaferi upper cuticle with details of stomata (slide no. 141/09/I/S/5); D, *Brachyphyllum* stemonium Kendall, terminal shoot fragment (no. 141-10-1); E, *Brachyphyllum* stemonium, subterminal shoot fragment (no. 141-10-2); F, *Brachyphyllum* stemonium lower cuticle with fringes (slide no. 141/09/I/S/9); G, *Brachyphyllum* stemonium lower cuticle with details of stomata (slide no. 141/09/I/S/9). Scale bars: A, D, E, 2 mm; B, 50 μm; C, F, G, 25 μm.
**Genus Brachyphyllum** Brongniart, 1828b

*Brachyphyllum stemonium* Kendall

(Fig. 11D-G)

**Description**

Leaf linear with obtuse apex. Venos not visible. Along adaxial side stomatal band (not sunken) visible, occupying c. 1/3 the width of lamina (Fig. 11A). Leaf hypostomatic. On upper cuticle, cell outlines rectangular to polygonal outside stomatal band, irregularly polygonal within stomatal band. Most stomata oriented longitudinally, occasionally transversally, forming 8 crowded rows. Guard cells well cutinized, subsidiary cells usually four, occasionally five. Polar cells wide, lateral cells semicircular (Fig. 11B, C).

**Remarks**

Based on the original material, Nosova & Wcisło-Luraniec (2007) revised and broadly discussed this species; we follow their interpretation of the genus and not the one earlier given by Bose & Manum (1990). The specimens from Cianowice show the same gross morphology and cuticular structure as the original material described by Reymanówna (1985) from Mirów and Zabierzów.
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Macrofloral and microfloral changes in the Middle Jurassic plant assemblages

The studied plant remains originated from 27 levels of a depositional succession (14 levels for macroflora, 13 for microflora) in an 18.9 m long section of the core. Pietkowksi (2014) distinguishes five major depositional successions representing different sedimentary environments: 1) depth 262.3-265.2 m: alluvial fans; 2) depth 255.3-262.3 m: fluvial plain including channel-fluvial plain-channel transitions; 3) depth 249.7-255.3 m: lacustrine swamp; 4) depth 245.3-249.7 m: fluvial plain with alternation of channel-fluvial plain-channel-fluvial plain; and 5) 244.2-245.3 m, channel. Within this section, specimens with macroflora as well as palynological samples were collected from different succession levels (Appendix 1).

The macroflora from Cianowice is represented by 20 species from six groups: ferns, seed ferns, cycads, bennettitaleans, conifers and gymnosperms *incertae sedis* (*Pseudotorellia*). It is dominated by bennettitaleans, which are the most diverse and most frequent (10 species, 49 plant fragments). Conifers (3 species, 14 fragments) and *Pseudotorellia* (3 species, 19 fragments) are less diverse but are frequent. Among the seed ferns (2 species) only *Pachypteris rhomboidalis* is common (10 fragments), whereas *Ptilozamites cycadea* (1 fragment) and undefined cycads (1 fragment) are rare. The least frequent are ferns (1 species, 2 fragments; Fig. 12). Generally, the macroflora shows an assemblage of species having small leaves or pinnae. Here, leaves of these taxa, which in other localities reached larger size, are significantly smaller (*Pterophyllum cf. aequale*, *Ptilophyllum sirkennethii*) or fit within the minimum size range (*Pterophyllum thomasi*, *Ptilozamites cycadea*). The assemblage consists mainly of uncommon taxa otherwise known from just a single or a few localities. Moreover, the age range is in the most cases restricted to the Middle Jurassic.

Five of the 20 species are represented only by one leaf fragment (*Ptilozamites cycadea*, *Ctenis sp.*, *Nilsonioperis solitaria*, *Ptilophyllum pecten* and *P. sirkennethii*). The most numerous fragments belong to *Pseudotorellia grojecensis* (12), *Pterophyllum cf. aequale* (11), *Ptilophyllum cf. okribense* (10), *Pachypteris rhomboidalis* (10) and *Pterophyllum thomasi* (6) (Fig. 13). This suggests that the biodiversity of the assemblage was relatively high when viewed against the background of the low frequency of remains, though we note that this assertion of a relatively rich flora is based on scant material (a small-diameter core from one borehole).

The character of the remains, their fragmentation, the presence of debris, the number of remains in one core fragment – all these features suggest that the plant fragments were transported, and washed into a common sedimentary basin where they fossilized. The shared features of the examined plants, but especially the ferns, bennettitaleans and conifers, are their extremely small size and their cuticle structure. The small size of most leaves suggests that the plants grew in similar poor conditions, possibly nutrient-poor or thin soil. Except for *Brachyphyllum stemonium*, which is amphistomatic, all species have hypostomatic leaves. Six out of ten bennettitalean leaf species have stomata with an aperture more or less protected by papillae, and two are strongly papillate on the whole surface. *Brachyphyllum stemonium* also has papillae on the subsidiary cells. *Ptilozamites cycadea* possesses a thickened ring around the stomatal aperture, strongly restricting its size. These features may indicate periodic, climatic or topography-dependent fluctuations of moisture, groundwater level, insolation, or other factors that demand control of evaporation or respiration. Soil factors like salinity or negative osmotic potential, which cause similar symptoms (Krings et al. 2005, Pott et al. 2008; Pott & McLoughlin 2009; Haworth & McElvain 2008, 2009), are less probable in an area having such depositional successions.

A lot of bennettitaleans are known in association with arid conditions (Diéguez et al. 2009), although a study of numerous species of *Otozamites* from China suggests that some Late Triassic–Early Jurassic species were connected with warm and humid tropical – to subtropical climate (Wang et al. 2008). Bomfleur et al. (2011) also discussed adaptation of *Otozamites* to different conditions. In Cianowice, *Otozamites* species as well as other bennettitaleans were adapted to dry conditions, similarly to other taxa from this area. The most frequent genera apart from the bennettitaleans, such as *Pachypteris*, *Mirovia* and some species of *Brachyphyllum* are usually connected with rather arid conditions (respectively: Vakhrameev 1991; Gomez 2002; Thévenard et al. 2005), whereas *Pseudotorellia* and some other species of *Brachyphyllum* may prefer wet conditions (respectively Nosova & Golovneva 2018; Barbacka 2011). In our opinion, a very common feature of the specimens from Cianowice, such as equal cuticle thickness on both sides of the lamina may suggest that solar radiation was not very intense and might depend on the exposure. All xeromorphic features observed in the plants from Cianowice are specific for those taxa. Their xeromorphism reduced the size of the leaves as compared with plants from the same taxa but from other localities.

The dry conditions were not favourable for plant groups such as lycopsids, ferns or ginkgophytes, which prefer moist habitats. The absence of these plant groups may also be explained by taphonomic or other biasing factors such as the limitation of sampling to the core, which, if bored exactly through the depositional basin with plant fragments from the slope, could not contain plant remains from the surroundings.

Early Jurassic floras very abundant in bennettitaleans are known from coastal-lagoon deposits of Vendée, France (De Zigno 1856-1868, 1873-1885; Grandori 1913a, b;
Wesley 1956, 1958, 1966, 1974; Bartiromo & Barone Lumaga 2009), allochthonous coastal-lagoonal deposits of Veneto, Italy (environment Barbacka et al. 2014a, Bartiromo & Barone Lumaga 2009; Scetu et al. 2015), and fluviatile deposits at Reşiţa, Romania (Krasser 1915; Semaka 1962a, b, 1965, 1970; Givulescu 1997, 1998; Popa 1997a, 1997b, 1998, 2000a, 2000b, 2009; Popa & Van Konijnenburg-van Cittert 2006). Bennettitaleans are diverse in these floras, but during the Jurassic they were generally one of the richest and most diverse groups. The same applies to the Middle Jurassic of Yorkshire, United Kingdom, connected to a delta/fluvial depositional environment (Harris 1969; Van Konijnenburg-van Cittert & Morgans 1999). For this study, however, more interesting are the small and relatively homogenous assemblages with significant domination of bennettitaleans and sparse other plant taxa. These include assemblages from localities in Sardinia (i.e., Krasser 1912, 1913, 1920; Scatu et al. 2012, 2015) and Mamers, France (Philippe et al. 1998),
which were assigned to coastal environments (discussed by Barbacka et al. 2014a). The Late Jurassic—Early Cretaceous plant assemblage of Villelo (Spain) was interpreted as a dry savannah type of community (Diéguez et al. 2009) or growing in drier areas of flood plains (Popa 2014).

In Poland, Reymanówka (1963b) described the Middle Jurassic flora from Grojec (in her paper the Early Jurassic). Two species present in Grojec were found in Cianowice (Bilodealea dura, Mirovia zaferti) but the two localities are not similar. The flora from Grojec, although also connected with fluvial deposits, is dominated in number and diversity by ferns (Barbacka et al. 2014a; Jarzynka 2016). In Cianowice, fern diversity was represented only by spores.

**FLORISTIC OCCURRENCES ALONG THE DEPOSITIONAL SUCCESSIONS**

The taxonomic composition of the plant assemblages in particular successions and subordinate depositional environments changed little. In fact, the same taxa of the micro- and macroflora occurred along the whole sequence, appearing in depositional environments within successions in different numbers and combinations (for more details see Appendix 1).

**SUCCESSION 1**

– Alluvial fan (264.20-264.18 m): macroremains not found. Cheirolepidiaceae pollen grains dominate (30/slide, average count from 2 slides – this applies to all palynological data); spores of Dicksoniaceae-Cyatheaaceae-Dipteridaceae-Matoniaceae type and pollen grains of Caytoniales are sporadic.

**SUCCESSION 2**

– Channel (262.0-262.0 m): Macroremains are very sporadic in the lower part of the deposit, with Cycadolepis sp. (1 specimen) and Brachyphyllum stemonion (1). Sporadic fern spores of Dicksoniaceae-Cyatheaaceae-Dipteridaceae-Matoniaceae type (10) and single pollen grains of Caytoniales.

– Flood plain (258.8 m): sporadic fern spores of Dicksoniaceae-Cyatheaaceae-Dipteridaceae-Matoniaceae type.

– Channel (255.7-256.55 m): In the lower part of this deposit occur Pachypteris rhomboidalis (3) Pterophyllum cf. aequale (1), Pterophyllum thomasi (1) and Brachyphyllum stemonion (1). Fern spores are sporadic, as are pollen grains of Erdtmannithecales and Cheirolepidiaceae.

**SUCCESSION 3**

– Lacustrine, swamp (255.3-250.1 m): Dicksoniaceae-Cyatheaaceae-Dipteridaceae-Matoniaceae (219) and Schizaeaceae (Kluaiisporites, 38) type spores dominate the lower part of the succession. All other sporomorphs (Osmundaceae, Pteridaceae, Erdtmannithecales, Araucariaceae, Cheirolepidiaceae, Caytoniales) are sporadic (less than 10 spores/pollen grains per slide). In the upper part of the deposit occur, among the macroremains, Ptilophyllum pecten (1), Pterophyllum thomasi (2), Pachypteris rhomboidalis (3), Pseudotorellia grojceensis (7), Otozamites mimetes (2), Otozamites parallelus (2), and Pseudotorellia samylinae (1), and significantly fewer sporomorphs than in the lower part of the deposit: Dicksoniaceae-Cyatheaaceae-Dipteridaceae-Matoniaceae spores (17) and Araucariaceae pollen (13), and other sporomorphs (Lycophyta, Osmundaceae, Marattiacae, Erdtmannithecales, Caytoniales, Taxodiaceae, bisaccate pollen grains of conifers or seed ferns) were sporadic or single.

**SUCCESSION 4**

– Channel (249.4-247.7 m): In lower part of the deposit are preserved Cladophlebis sp. (1), Pachypteris rhomboidalis (1), Ptilozamites cycadea (1), Otozamites parallelus (3), Pseudotorellia samylinae (1) and Pseudotorellia sp. (1). The sporomorph assemblage is not rich: Dicksoniaceae-Cyatheaaceae-Dipteridaceae-Matoniaceae (17) and single spore/pollen grains belonging to the Lycopha, Osmundaceae, Erdtmannithaceae and Taxodiaceae. In the upper part of this deposit the sporomorph assemblage is almost the same with abundant Dicksoniaceae-Cyatheaaceae-Dipteridaceae-Matoniaceae spores (11) whereas other fern spores, Erdtmannithecales and Taxodiaceae pollen grains are sporadic.

– Fluvial plain (247.3 m): Some species for the first time in this sequence, including Anomozamites nilsonii (4), Nilsonioperis solitaria (1), Pterophyllum sirkennethii (1) and Pseudotorellia sp. (1) apart from occurring before Pterophyllum thomasi (1), Pterophyllum cf. okribense (2), Pseudotorellia grojceensis (1).

– Channel (246.9 m): the macroplant assemblage is less diverse with Otozamites mimetes (1), Pseudotorellia samylinae (1), Pterophyllum thomasi (1) and Pseudotorellia grojceensis (2).

– Fluvial plain (246.0-245.3 m): Pachypteris rhomboidalis (1), Otozamites parallelus (1), Pseudotorellia grojceensis (3) occur among the macroremains and single pollen grains of Erdtmannithecales and Caytoniales in the microflora.

**COMPOSITION OF FLORA**

In overall the macroplant assemblages is dominated by the gymnosperms, with hygrophytic plant groups such as lycophytes, horsetails and ginkgophytes lacking, and ferns and cycads are very sparse. The microflora consists of 19 taxa (Figs 14A-O; 15A-L), similar to the number of taxa in the macroflora, but the two assemblages differ in composition. The most abundant among the sporomorphs are the fern spores belonging to the Dicksoniaceae-Cyatheaaceae-Dipteridaceae-Matoniaceae, Schizaeaceae and Marattiacae, followed by conifer pollen grains (mainly Cheirolepidiaceae) and Erdtmannithecales. Other sporomorphs are present only...
Fig. 14. — **A**, Cyathidites sp.; KRAM P 141 (255.3 m / 2); **B**, Deltoidospora sp. 1; KRAM P 141 (255.3 m / 2); **C**, Deltoidospora sp. 2; KRAM P 141 (255.3 m / 2); **D**, Deltoidospora sp. 3; KRAM P 141 (255.3 m / 2); **E**, Apiculatisporites sp.; KRAM P 141 (250.1 m / 2); **F**, Baculatisporites sp. 1; KRAM P 141 (255.3 m / 2); **G**, Baculatisporites sp. 2; KRAM P 141 (249 m / 2); **H**, Osmundacidites wellmanii Couper; KRAM P 141 (249 m / 2); **I**, Lycopodiacidites sp.; KRAM P 141 (249 m / 2); **J**, Contignisporites sp.; KRAM P 141 (255.3 m / 2); **K**, Contignisporites sp.; KRAM P 141 (255.3 m / 2); **L**, Klukiosporites variegatus Couper; KRAM P 141 (255.3 m / 2); **M**, Sestrosporites sp.; KRAM P 141 (249 m / 2); **N**, Marattisporites scabratus Couper; KRAM P 141 (256 m / 2); **O**, Callialasporites dampieri (Balme) Dev; KRAM P 141 (256 m / 2). Scale bar: 20 μm.
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Fig. 15. — A, Callialasporites trilobatus (Balme) Dev; KRAM P 141 (255.3 m / 2); B, Bisaccate pollen grain 1; KRAM P 141 (256 m / 1); C, Bisaccate pollen grain 2; KRAM P 141 (256 m / 1); D, Bisaccate pollen grain 3; KRAM P 141 (256 m / 1); E, Vitreisporites pallidus (Reissinger) Nilsson; KRAM P 141 (256 m / 1); F, Araucar-iacites sp.; KRAM P 141 (250.1 m / 2); G, Cerebropollenites macroverrucosus (Thiergart) Schulz; KRAM P 141 (250.1 m / 2); H, ?Monosulcites sp.; KRAM P 141 (256 m / 1); I, Eucommiidites troedssonii Erdtman; KRAM P 141 (256 m / 1); J, Eucommiidites sp.; KRAM P 141 (249 m / 1); K, Classopollis torosus (Reissinger) Couper; KRAM P 141 (256 m / 1); L, Cluster of Classopollis torosus (Reissinger) Couper pollen grains; KRAM P 141 (264.18 m / 2). Scale bar: 20 μm.
very sporadically, however with a combination of taxa that repeats itself along the sequence. Cycadales and Bennettitales, whose leaves dominate the macroflora, are poorly represented in the microflora and are limited to the channel deposits of succession 2 (Fig. 16; Appendix 1).

*Classopolis* dominates at 264.18 m depth (alluvial fan of succession 1) with 81%, but its absolute value is not high, since its average frequency (always counted from 2 slides) was 30. In the remaining levels it is represented by only few pollen grains (frequency lower than five sporomorphs). At 256.0 m depth (river channel deposits within succession 2) the percentage of fern spores reaches 44.6% (120 spores) in correspondence with the highest frequency for Cheirolepidiaceae (29%, 78 pollen grains) and Erdmanithecaceae (13%, eight pollen grains). At 255.3 m depth (lacustrine swamp environment within succession 3), ferns reached their highest abundance 95% (222 spores on average).

The most abundant spores in the microflora belong to the ferns, and are mainly represented by the two genera *Cyathidites* and *Deltoidospora* (the poor state of preservation of the spores made it impossible to identify them at species level), which generally prefer moist conditions (Fan Konijnenburg-van Cittert 2002; Wang 2002), although some of them also tolerate drier habitats (Barbacka 2011). *Classopolis torosus* (Reissinger) Couper, 1958 belongs to the Cheirolepidiaceae and occurs at all levels but in lower numbers (with one exception in succession 4). This pollen type is connected with arid or coastal environments (e.g. Thévenard et al. 2005) but may also occur at moist sites (Nguyen et al. 1999).

The most common and persistent taxon among the macroremains was *Pachypteris rhomboidalis*, present in most depositional successions, though its record decreases through time. Other common macroplant taxa are *Otozamites parallelus*, *Pterophyllum thomasi*, *Pseudotorellia grojecealis* (which had its maximum abundance in the lacustrine sediments of succession 3) and *P. samylinae*. *Brachyphyllum stemonum* was not found in the lacustrine sediments but was present in all others. Generally, the abundance and number of recorded macroplant taxa as well as number of levels yielding plants decreased towards younger successions, even when the younger successions represented similar environmental types. This may suggest that conditions became less favourable for the for plant to grow and/or to preserve, or that the older successions were deposited under circumstances more favourable for the deposition of plant remains (more wind, storms, heavy rains).

The macro- and microflora from Cianowice, with its fluvio-lacustrine depositional environment, is represented by relatively few plant groups and there are substantial differences

---

![Diagram showing occurrences of macroflora (in black) and microflora (in grey) at different depths of depositional successions. Quantities of both palynomorphs and macroremains are given as sums in each depositional system. For palynological data, log values are given in order to provide a clearer overview. The value of 1 has been added to each palynomorph sum in order to take into account single occurrences.](image-url)
in abundance between the macro- and microfossils. The same
was noted in the material from Yorkshire by Slater & Well-
man (2015, 2016) and explained by the dispersal of pollen
and spore in different plant groups, and by the differences
in their accumulation and fossilization, factors that must be
considered also when interpreting the plant palaeoecology of
Cianowice (see Discussion and Conclusions below).

PALAEOENVIRONMENTAL RECONSTRUCTION
OF THE CIANOWICE AREA
The proposed palaeoenvironmental reconstructions (Fig. 17)
is based on the environmental adaptation of the various plants
from Cianowice (macro- and microflora) and on some tapho-
nomic considerations:
— Gross morphology and cuticular structure of the mac-
roremains suggest rather dry conditions;
— Macroflora and microflora differ in composition along
the core and between adjacent levels along the depositional
succession;
— Depositional environments (fluvial-lacustrine-fluvial)
reconstructed based on sedimentological analyses do not
agree with the micro- and macromorphology of most remains,
which show rather xeromorphic features;
— Plant remains show high fragmentation and accumula-
tion of leaves (up to 7 species represented by 8 leaf fragments
in one sample);
— Fern spores dominate the palynological samples, unlike
in the macroremains.

Assuming that the sporomorph producers lived at approxi-
mately the same time (the distances between the analysed slices
were short), we conclude that the gymnosperms grew in dif-
ferent position from the ferns found in the plant assemblage,
possibly on a rise above over a river/lake plain dominated by
ferns. The small leaf size might be due to them growing on
a rocky/stony slope and/or under the influence of constant
wind, which could limit growth and account for the equal
thickness of cuticles on both leaf surfaces of plants growing
in a dry but not necessarily very sunny place. Well-drained
soils on the elevation slope and the periodic influence of
wet air from the plain might support regulation of stomatal
pores by papillae or a thickened ring, a mechanism common
in this locality. The role of wind helps to explain the poverty
of bisaccate pollen grains in the samples, but their producer
Pachypteris, represented also by macroremains, is very com-
mon in the majority of strata (since pollen diversity is much
richer than macroremains, it is not excluded that there were
also different producers of bisaccate pollen grains, e.g. certain
conifers or seed fern taxa). The bisaccate pollen grains found
in the Cianowice sediments may also have originated from
conifers or seed ferns growing far from the site of deposition
(e.g. from vegetation of higher terrain). While the leaves were
washed down by rain or stream/river water to the plain and
were fossilized in rapidly deposited flood sediment, the bisac-
cates could have been blown away from the area of deposi-
tion. This may also be a matter of differences in the type of
transport for micro- and macroremains which influence the
interpretation of paleoenvironment (Kustatscher et al. 2012;
Costamagna et al. 2018).

The situation is different in the case of Cheirolepidiaceae
and bennettitalean pollen, which lack air sacs. The structure
of cheirolepidiaceous Classopollis pollen grains has no ana-
logues in the pollen of recent floras. The small size and large

Fig. 17. — Reconstruction of hypothetical environment of Cianowice. Arrow, transport of leaves by river.
a small amount of *Classopolis* pollen grains produced by male cones of *Hirmeriella*, for example, suggest wind dispersal. *Classopolis* pollen grains tend to remain in tetrads or to form clusters. Not only wind but also insects may have taken part in the transport of *Classopolis* pollen grains (Hughes 1976; Alvin 1982). Bennettitaleans were at least partly insect-pollinated (Crane 1986; Crepet et al. 1991), and their pollen production level is relatively low (Norstog 1987). The sediments yielding plant remains contain a high percentage of spores belonging to a rich, diverse fern assemblage that probably grew along river or lake banks on the plain. This corresponds to their potential habitats and suggests that at least some fern spores were dispersed at the site where they were produced (Slater & Wellman 2016). This phenomenon is also explained as the “Neves effect” (Chaloner & Muir 1968) according to which the continental deposits, especially hill slopes can be richer in spores than in pollen grains (especially bisaccate ones) which are transported by wind beyond the area where the plants grow. The highest amount of spores was found in the lacustrine swamp succession. The almost complete absence of fern macroremains in the core raises the possibility that, perhaps, their leaves were more vulnerable to damage by destructive factors such as water currents. The sporadic occurrence of pollen grains produced by the bennettitaleans dominating the macroflora can be explained by their relatively low dispersal ability by wind (Slater & Wellman 2015, 2016).

**POSSIBLE AGE OF THE FLORA**

Most of the European floras that contain many and similarly diverse bennettitaleans are known from the Middle Jurassic: Sardinia (25% bennettitalean species), Yorkshire (24%), and Mammers, France (56.6%) (Barbacka et al. 2014a). Cianowice also has a high percentage (45%). Moreover eight of the total number 20 species have been reported exclusively from the Middle Jurassic (Figs 18; 19). Three species are known from the Early-Middle Jurassic, two range from the Middle Jurassic to Early Cretaceous, and one ranges from the Late Triassic to Early Cretaceous. One species, *Perophyllum c. acucale*, is known from the Late Triassic–Early Jurassic; possibly its occurrence in Cianowice would extend its age range from the Late Triassic to the Middle Jurassic. The Cianowice material contains four taxa common to the Middle Jurassic flora of Poland. Two species, *Pseudotorellia grojecensis* (Reymànowa 1963b) and *Mirovia szafleri* (Reymànowa 1985), were established by Reymanówna from Grojec and Mirów respectively. One species, *Bisilda de dura*, was reported by Reymanówka (1977) from Orlej. To our knowledge, these three species are so far restricted to the Middle Jurassic floras of Poland. All of the localities are situated in one region near Cracow. In Poland the fourth species, *Pachypteris rhomboitidalis*, is noted from the Early or Middle Jurassic of Lublin area. Its palaeogeographic and age range is wider from Early to Late Jurassic.

The Cianowice material contains four taxa common to the Middle Jurassic flora of Poland. Two species, *Pseudotorellia grojecensis* (Reymànowa 1963b) and *Mirovia szafleri* (Reymànowa 1985), were established by Reymanówka from Grojec and Mirów respectively. One species, *Bisilda de dura*, was reported by Reymanówka (1977) from Orlej. To our knowledge, these three species are so far restricted to the Middle Jurassic floras of Poland. All of the localities are situated in one region near Cracow. In Poland the fourth species, *Pachypteris rhomboitidalis*, is noted from the Early or Middle Jurassic of Lublin area. Its palaeogeographic and age range is wider from Early to Late Jurassic.

The sporomorph assemblages from Cianowice suggest an age not older than an Early Jurassic (Toarcian). *Cerebropollenites macroverrucosus* (Thiergart) Schulz, 1967 is reported from the Sinemurian but is not common; it may be abundant at some younger intervals such as the Toarcian-Aalenian. *Kluksporites variegatus* Couper, 1958 (also known as *Ischyosporites variegatus* (Couper) Schulz, 1967) is reported from the latest Pliensbachian. *Callialasporites dampieri* (Balme) Dev, 1961 and *Callialasporites trilobatus* (Balme) Dev, 1961 first appear in

---

**Fig. 18.** — Stratigraphic extension of the macroremains (e.g. Johansson 1922; Kendall 1947; Reymanówna 1963a, 1985; Dolûdenko & Svanidze 1964; Harris 1964, 1969, 1977; Achillea 1981; Sydol & Sydol 1981; Van Konijnenburg-van Cittert & van der Burgh 1989; Watson & Sincock 1992; Cleal & Rees 2003; Nosova & Wcislo-Luraniec 2007; Diéguez et al. 2009; Pott & McGoughin 2009; Kirtchikova & Nosova 2012; Barbacka et al. 2014a, 2017).
upper Toarcian beds and are common in the Middle Jurassic from the Aalenian to Bathonian (Callialasporites-Perinopollenites Zone). The approximate time for the first appearance for Marattisporites scabratus Couper, 1958 is Rhaetian but these spores occur mainly in Aalenian – ?Bathonian strata. Baculatisporites commaumensis (Cookson) Potonić, 1956, Osmundacites welmanii Couper, 1953, Eucommiidites troedssonii Erdtmann, 1948 and Vitreisporites pallidus (Reissinger) Nilsson, 1958 are not diagnostic (according to e.g. Batten & Koppelhus 1996) and/or found in wide temporal spans of the Late Triassic and/or Jurassic of Northwestern Europe. Trilete, smooth fern spores from the genera Cyathidites, Concavisporites and Delvoidopora are also reported from almost all Jurassic sediments.

Similar taxa (without Callialasporites and Baculatisporites) were found in the Grojec clays (Ichas 1986). Sporomorphs from the Grojec clays dated to the Middle Jurassic by Marcinkiewicz (1980) on the basis of megaspores are not specific for this age.

To summarize, the sporomorphs may restrict the succession of Cianowice to the upper Lower Jurassic (Toarcian) or to the Middle Jurassic, whereas the majority of leaf fossils represent taxa hitherto reported mainly from the Middle Jurassic. This tends to support the interpretation that the siliciclastic deposits from Cianowice (at least the for its major part) would be of Middle Jurassic age.

CONCLUSIONS

The flora from Cianowice is rather poorly differentiated, with a lack of horsetails, lycophytes or ginkgophytes, and very sparse occurrence of ferns in the macroremains. It is also strongly biased taphonomically. Despite this, it yielded interesting new data for Middle Jurassic floras of Europe and Poland, as an example of a locally affected assemblage. It is one of the few bennettitean-dominated floras from the Middle Jurassic of Europe, and contains taxa known from Europe and Poland but from areas situated far from Cianowice.

The plants of the whole assemblage show gross morphology and cuticle structure (small size of leaves/leaflets, thick cuticle, frequent papillae, protected stomata) influenced by harsh environmental conditions. This relatively monotypic plant assemblage apparently was formed by local conditions different from those suggested by the depositional succession of the borehole site. The record suggests that the plant composition was the same (although in different species combinations) during the whole time interval represented by the sequence. It also seems that the changing depositional environment in the basin had no significant impact on the original flora of the vicinity that was washed in this basin.
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### APPENDIX

**APPENDIX 1.** — Appearance of macro- and microremains in the Cianowice borehole. Frequency of sporomorphs is given as average number of sporomorphs per slide.

| Depth (m) | Macroflora taxon | Microflora taxon | Botanical affinity of microflora | Frequency | Number of fragments |
|-----------|------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 245.3     | Eucommiidites troedssonii, Erdtman, Vitreisporites pallidus Couper | Erdtmanithecales | 2 | |
| 245.6 (1) | *Pseudotorellia grojecensis* | | |
| 246.0 (2) | *Pachypterys rhomboidalis*<br>*Otozoamites paralleli*<br>*Pseudotorellia grojecensis* | | |
| 246.9 (1) | *Otozamites mimetes* | *Pseudotorellia samylinae*<br>*Pterophyllum thomasi* | 1 | |
| 247.3 (2) | *Anomozamites nilssonii*<br>*Nilssonioptera solitaria*<br>*Ptilophyllum sirkennethii*<br>*Pterophyllum cf. okribense*<br>*Pseudotorellia grojecensis*<br>*Pseudotorellia sp.* | | |
| 247.7     | *Deltoidospora/Dictyophyllidites/Cyathidites* spp.<br>*Cerebropollenites* sp.<br>*Contignisporites* sp.<br>*Sestrosporites* sp.<br>*Cerebropollenites macroverrucosus* (Thiergart)<br>*Schulz* | *ferror* (Dicksoniaceae, Cyatheaceae, Dipteridaceae, Matoniaceae) | 8 | |
| 248.7     | *Deltoidospora/Dictyophyllidites/Cyathidites* spp.<br>*Apiculatisporites* sp.<br>*Baculatisporites* spp.<br>*Osmundacidites wellmanii* Couper<br>*Sestrosporites* sp.<br>*Eucommiidites* sp.<br>*Cerebropollenites macroverrucosus* (Thiergart)<br>*Schulz* | *ferror* (Dicksoniaceae, Cyatheaceae, Dipteridaceae, Matoniaceae) | 11 | |
| 249.0     | *Lycopodiacidites* sp.<br>*Deltoidospora/Dictyophyllidites/Cyathidites* spp.<br>*Baculatisporites* spp.<br>*Osmundacidites wellmanii* Couper<br>*Sestrosporites* sp.<br>*Eucommiidites* sp.<br>*Cerebropollenites macroverrucosus* (Thiergart)<br>*Schulz* | *ferror* (Dicksoniaceae, Cyatheaceae, Dipteridaceae, Matoniaceae) | 16 | |
| 249.4 (4) | *Cladophlebis* sp.<br>*Pachypterys rhomboidalis*<br>*Ptilozamites cycadea*<br>*Otozamites paralleli*<br>*Pseudotorellia samylinae*<br>*Pseudotorellia sp.* | | |
| 250.1     | *Lycopodiacidites* sp.<br>*Deltoidospora/Dictyophyllidites/Cyathidites* spp.<br>*Apiculatisporites* sp.<br>*Baculatisporites* spp.<br>*Osmundacidites wellmanii* Couper<br>*Marattisporites scabratus* Couper | *ferror* (Dicksoniaceae, Cyatheaceae, Dipteridaceae, Matoniaceae) | 17 | |

**Depositional environment**

- Fluvial plain
- Channel
- Lacustrine, swamp

**Succession**

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
| Depth (m) | Macroflora taxon | Microflora taxon | Botanical affinity of microflora | Frequency | Number of fragments | Depository environment | Succession |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|-----------|---------------------|------------------------|------------|
| 250.2 (4) | Pachypteris rhomboidalis | Eucommiidites minor Groot & Penny | Erdtmanithecales | 1 | 2 | Lacustrine, swamp | Succession 3 |
|          | Otozamites mimenites | Eucommiidites troedssonii Erdtman | 3 | | | | |
|          | Otozamites parallellus | Eucommiidites sp. | seed ferns (Caytoniales) | 1 | 3 | | |
|          | Pseudotorellia samynae | Vitreisporites pallidus Couper | conifers (Taxodiaceae) | 3 | 3 | | |
|          | Pseudotorellia grojecensis | Cerebropollenites macroverrucosus (Thiergart) Schulz | | | | | |
|          | Pseudotorellia sp. | Cerebropollenites sp. | conifers (Araucariaceae) | 13 | 14 | | |
|          | Araucariaites sp. | Araucariaites sp. | seed ferns or conifers | 2 | 2 | | |
| 250.3 (1) | Pachypteris rhomboidalis | Eucommiidites sp. | Erdtmanithecales | 5 | | | |
|          | Pseudotorellia grojecensis | Vitreisporites pallidus Couper | seed ferns (Caytoniales) | 1 | | | |
|          | Pachypteris troedssonii Erdtman | Cerebropollenites macrovverrucosus (Thiergart) Schulz | conifers (Taxodiaceae) | 3 | 3 | | |
| 251.3 | Detoidospora/Dictyophyllidites/ Cyathidites sp. | Detoidospora/Dictyophyllidites/ Cyathidites sp. | ferns (Dicksoniaeceae, Cyathaceae, Dipteridaceae, Matoniacae) | 2 | 2 | | |
| 255.3 | Klukisporites variegatus Couper | Klukisporites variegatus Couper | ferns (Schizaceae) | 1 | 1 | | |
|          | Detoidospora/Dictyophyllidites/ Cyathidites sp. | Detoidospora/Dictyophyllidites/ Cyathidites sp. | ferns (Dicksoniaeceae, Cyathaceae, Dipteridaceae, Matoniacae) | 2 | 219 | | |
|          | Baculatisporites spp. | Baculatisporites spp. | ferns (Osmundaceae) | 2 | | | |
|          | Klukisporites variegatus Couper | Klukisporites variegatus Couper | ferns (Schizaceae) | 38 | | | |
|          | Contignisporites sp. | Contignisporites sp. | ferns (Pteridaceae) | 3 | 3 | | |
|          | Eucommiidites sp. | Eucommiidites sp. | Erdtmanithecales | 3 | | | |
|          | Callialasporites trilobatus (Balme) Dev | Callialasporites trilobatus (Balme) Dev | conifers (Araucariaceae) | 2 | | | |
|          | Glassopollis torous (Reissinger) Couper | Glassopollis torous (Reissinger) Couper | conifers (Cheirolepideaceae) | 2 | | | |
|          | Vitreisporites pallidus Couper | Vitreisporites pallidus Couper | seed ferns (Caytoniales) | 1 | 1 | | |
|          | bisaccate pollen grains | bisaccate pollen grains | seed ferns or conifers | | | | |
| 255.7 (2) | Pterophyllum cf. aequale | Pterophyllum cf. okribense | ferns (Dicksoniaeceae, Cyathaceae, Dipteridaceae, Matoniacae) | 2 | 2 | | |
|          | Ptilophyllum sp. | Ptilophyllum sp. | ferns (Schizaceae) | 3 | | | |
|          | Bilsadalea dura | Bilsadalea dura | ferns (Pteridaceae) | 3 | | | |
|          | Brachyphyllum sternonum | Brachyphyllum sternonum | | | | | |
| 255.8 (5) | Cladophlebis sp. | Pachypteris rhomboidalis | ferns (Dicksoniaeceae, Cyathaceae, Dipteridaceae, Matoniacae) | 6 | | | |
|          | Pachypteris troedssonii Erdtman | Pachypteris troedssonii Erdtman | ferns (Schizaceae) | 1 | | | |
|          | Otozamites parallellus | Otozamites sp. | ferns (Pteridaceae) | 1 | | | |
|          | Pterophyllum thomasi | Pterophyllum thomasi | ferns (Schizaceae) | 1 | | | |
|          | Pterophyllum cf. aequale | Pterophyllum cf. aequale | ferns (Osmundaceae) | 7 | | | |
|          | Ptilophyllum sp. | Ptilophyllum sp. | ferns (Pteridaceae) | 1 | | | |
|          | Pseudotorellia samynae | Pseudotorellia samynae | ferns (Pteridaceae) | 4 | | | |
|          | Bilsadalea dura | Bilsadalea dura | ferns (Schizaceae) | 3 | | | |
|          | Mirovia szaferii | Mirovia szaferii | ferns (Pteridaceae) | 2 | | | |
|          | Brachyphyllum sternonum | Brachyphyllum sternonum | ferns (Pteridaceae) | 1 | | | |
|          | Ctenis sp. | Ctenis sp. | ferns (Pteridaceae) | 1 | | | |
| 256.0 | Detoidospora/Dictyophyllidites/ Cyathidites sp. | Detoidospora/Dictyophyllidites/ Cyathidites sp. | ferns (Dicksoniaeceae, Cyathaceae, Dipteridaceae, Matoniacae) | 80 | | | |
|          | Baculatisporites spp. | Baculatisporites spp. | ferns (Osmundaceae) | 3 | | | |
|          | Klukisporites variegatus Couper | Klukisporites variegatus Couper | ferns (Schizaceae) | 3 | | | |
|          | Contignisporites sp. | Contignisporites sp. | ferns (Pteridaceae) | 1 | | | |
|          | Pachypteris rhomboidalis | Pachypteris rhomboidalis | ferns (Schizaceae) | 2 | | | |
|          | Otozamites parallellus | Otozamites parallellus | ferns (Pteridaceae) | 2 | | | |
|          | Pterophyllum thomasi | Pterophyllum thomasi | ferns (Schizaceae) | 3 | | | |
|          | Pterophyllum cf. aequale | Pterophyllum cf. aequale | ferns (Osmundaceae) | 7 | | | |
| Depth (m) | Macroflora taxon | Microflora taxon | Botanical affinity of microflora | Frequency | Number of fragments | Depository environment | Succession |
|----------|------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|-----------|---------------------|------------------------|------------|
| 256.1 (1) Pachypteris rhomboidalis | Marattisporites scabratus Couper | ferns (Marattiaceae) | 34 |
| 256.3 | Monosulcites/Cycadopites spp. | ferns (Cycadales, Bennettiales) | 8 |
| 256.5 (1) Pachypteris rhomboidalis | Eucommiidites troedssonii | Erdtmaninthecales | 2 |
| 256.55 (1) | Monosulcites/Cycadopites spp. | conifers (Araucariaceae) | 35 |
| 258.8 | Calilasporites dampieri (Balme) | conifers (Araucariaceae) | 1 |
| 262.0 | Araucariacites sp. | conifers (Araucariaceae) | 1 |
| 262.2 (1) | Vitreisporites pallidus Couper | seed ferns (Caytoniales) | 12 |
| 264.18 | Classopolis torosus (Reissinger) | conifers | 78 |
| 264.2 | Couper bisaccate pollen grains | seed ferns or conifers | 12 |
| 256.3 | Deltoidospora/Dictyophyllidites/ Cyathidites spp. | ferns (Dicksoniaceae, Cyatheaceae, Dpteridaceae, Matoniaceae) | 3 |
| 262.0 | Contignisporites sp. | ferns (Pteridaceae) | 1 |
| 262.2 (1) | Eucommiidites sp. | Erdtmaninthecales | 1 |
| 264.18 | Classopolis torosus (Reissinger) | conifers (Cheirolepidiaceae) | 1 |
| 264.2 | Contignisporites sp. | conifers (Cheirolepidiaceae) | 1 |
| 264.2 | Deltoidospora/Dictyophyllidites/ Cyathidites spp. | ferns (Dicksoniaceae, Cyatheaceae, Dpteridaceae, Matoniaceae) | 1 |
| 264.2 | Klukisporites variegatus Couper | Ferns (Schizaeaceae) | 1 |
| 264.2 | Vitreisporites pallidus Couper | seed ferns (Caytoniales) | 1 |
| 264.2 | Classopolis torosus (Reissinger) | conifers (Cheirolepidiaceae) | 30 |

APPENDIX 1. — Continuation.