COMBINATORIAL MODELS FOR TAYLOR POLYNOMIALS OF FUNCTORS
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Abstract. Goodwillie’s calculus of homotopy functors associates a tower of polynomial approximations, the Taylor tower, to a functor of topological spaces over a fixed space. We define a new tower, the varying center tower, for functors of categories with a fixed initial object, such as algebras under a fixed ring spectrum. We construct this new tower using elements of the Taylor tower constructions of Bauer, Johnson, and McCarthy for functors of simplicial model categories, and show how the varying center tower differs from Taylor towers in terms of the properties of its individual terms and convergence behavior. We prove that there is a combinatorial model for the varying center tower given as a pro-equivalence between the varying center tower and towers of cosimplicial objects; this generalizes Eldred’s cosimplicial models for finite stages of Taylor towers. As an application, we present models for the de Rham complex of rational commutative ring spectra due to Rezk on the one hand, and Goodwillie and Waldhausen on the other, and use our result to conclude that these two models will be equivalent when extended to $E_\infty$-ring spectra.

1. Introduction

This paper arose from a desire to understand the connection between two seemingly disparate ideas for defining the Quillen derived de Rham cohomology for rational commutative algebras and their extensions to ring spectra. The first, suggested by Friedhelm Waldhausen and Tom Goodwillie, uses Goodwillie’s calculus of homotopy functors, a theory that has played a significant role in understanding connections between $K$-theory, Hochschild homology, and related constructions. The second is a combinatorial approach explored by Charles Rezk.

For a homotopy functor of spaces or spectra, that is, a functor that preserves weak equivalences, Goodwillie constructed a tower of functors and natural transformations that can be viewed as playing the role of a Taylor series for the functor [11]. This construction has been extended to more general contexts, such as simplicial or topological model categories, in [19] and [1]. In this paper, we work primarily with the discrete calculus, a variant of Goodwillie calculus developed in [1]. The discrete calculus associates to a functor $F : C \to S$ and morphism $f : A \to B$ in $C$, a sequence of functors
\( \Gamma_n^f F : \mathcal{C}_f \to \mathcal{S} \) and natural transformations

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\cdots \Gamma_{n+1}^f F \xrightarrow{\gamma_{n+1}^f} \Gamma_n^f F \xrightarrow{\gamma_n^f} \cdots \Gamma_1^f F \xrightarrow{\gamma_1^f} \Gamma_0^f F
\end{array}
\]

where \( \mathcal{C} \) is a simplicial model category, the category \( \mathcal{C}_f \) is the category of factorizations of \( f : A \to B \) in \( \mathcal{C} \), and \( \mathcal{S} \) is a suitable model category of spectra, such as that of \([8]\) or \([16]\). The \( n \)th term in this tower can be thought of as a degree \( n \) approximation to \( F \), where being degree \( n \) means that the functor takes certain types of \((n + 1)\)-cubical homotopy pushout diagrams to homotopy pullback diagrams. Goodwillie's original formulation of polynomial degree \( n \) functors used a stronger notion of degree \( n \). If \( F \) is nice (in the sense of Definition 4.5), the discrete tower converges to \( F \). That is, \( F \) is weakly equivalent to the homotopy inverse limit, \( \Gamma_{\infty}^f F \), of the tower.

To explain how we see the de Rham complex in terms of Taylor towers, we first look at an analogous situation for the Taylor series of a function. Consider a function \( f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) and a real number \( b \). We can construct the \( n \)th Taylor polynomial for \( f \) about \( b \):

\[
T_b^nf(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{f^{(n)}(b)(x-b)^k}{k!}.
\]

Typically, we treat this as a function in the variable \( x \) and think of \( b \) as being fixed. However, one could also fix \( x \) and consider \( T_b^nf(x) \) as a function of \( b \) instead. For functor calculus, this point of view provides a way to think about Taylor towers for functors on categories of objects that naturally live under a fixed object as opposed to over a fixed object.

In the setting of Goodwillie's functor calculus, expanding a Taylor tower about an object \( B \) entails working with objects \( X \) that all come equipped with a morphism to the fixed object \( B \). However, in some contexts, such as that of \( k \)-algebras for a fixed ring (spectrum) \( k \), it is more natural to consider objects \( X \) that come with a morphism \( k \to X \) from the fixed object \( k \).

In this paper, we work with Taylor towers from this perspective. For a functor \( F : A \setminus \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{S} \), from a category of objects under a fixed object \( A \), and an object \( f : A \to X \) in \( A \setminus \mathcal{C} \), we define \( V_nF(f : A \to X) \) as the \( n \)th term in a varying center tower for \( F \), that is, the analogue in the functor calculus context of the function obtained from \( T_b^nf(x) \) by fixing \( x \) and varying the center of expansion \( b \). The tower \( \{V_nF\} \) is strikingly different from the tower \( \{\Gamma_n^f F\} \) described earlier. The \( n \)th term is constructed from the degree \( n \) functors \( \Gamma_n^f F \) by using all choices of functors \( \Gamma_n^f \) simultaneously (see Definition 3.8). In brief, we define \( V_nF(f : A \to X) \) by

\[
V_nF(f : A \to X) := \Gamma_n^f F(A).
\]
The analogous functions $T^n_b f(x)$, when considered as a function of $b$, need not be polynomial (see Remark 2), and we do not expect $V_n F$ to be a degree $n$ functor either. However, we note that just as is the case for functions (see Remark 2), the tower $\{V_n F\}$ exhibits peculiar convergence behavior: it approximates the constant functor with value $F(A)$ rather than the functor $F$ itself.

Goodwillie and Waldhausen proposed that a tower like this varying center tower for the forgetful functor $U$ from rational commutative ring spectra to $S$-modules, that is, from ring spectra under $HQ$, should play the role of the de Rham complex for rational commutative ring spectra. We refer to $V_\infty U$ as the Goodwillie-Waldhausen model for the de Rham complex. We explain why this is a reasonable model for the de Rham complex in the case of rational algebras in Section 3.4 of this paper. In particular, for a fixed simplicial rational algebra $f : \mathbb{Q} \to B$, and object $\mathbb{Q} \to X \to B$, that factors $f$, let $DR^n_X B$ denote the de Rham complex truncated at the $n$th stage:

$$DR^n_X B = \cdots \leftarrow 0 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow 0 \leftarrow \Omega^n_X B \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow \Omega^2_X B \leftarrow \Omega^1_X B \leftarrow B.$$  

We show that as a functor of $X$, $DR^n_X B \simeq \Gamma^f_{U} U(X)$ where $U$ is the forgetful functor from algebras to modules. This implies that the $n$-truncated de Rham complex $DR^n_Q B$ is $V_n U(B)$.

The model for the de Rham complex of a rational ring spectrum used by Rezk (in unpublished work [21]) is the cosimplicial ring spectrum $B \otimes_{HQ} \text{sk}_1 \Delta^\bullet$. Here, $\text{sk}_1 \Delta^\bullet$ is the 1-skeleton of the standard simplices $\Delta^n$, assembled into a cosimplicial simplicial set. The tensor product symbol $\otimes_{HQ}$ denotes a coproduct, and is a generalization of the join construction (cf. Theorem 4.6). For a finite set $U$, $B \otimes_{HQ} U$ is the coproduct of $|U|$ copies of $B$ along the morphism $HQ \to B$. For rational algebras $A \to B$, Rezk analyzed the homotopy spectral sequence of the cosimplicial object $B \otimes_A \text{sk}_1 \Delta^\bullet$. When the map $A \to B$ is a smooth morphism of $HQ$-algebras, he determined that the $E_2$-page of this spectral sequence is essentially the de Rham complex and that there are no non-trivial differentials after this page. Using this, he shows that $\pi_*(B \otimes_A \text{sk}_1 \Delta^\bullet)$ is isomorphic to the de Rham cohomology of the smooth map $A \to B$ of rational commutative algebras. We refer to $B \otimes_{HQ} \text{sk}_1 \Delta^\bullet$ as the combinatorial model for the de Rham complex.

The combinatorial and Goodwillie-Waldhausen models for the de Rham complex of a smooth map of rational algebras both have natural extensions to suitable categories of $E_\infty$-algebras. Because crystalline cohomology is closely related to the de Rham complex, it is expected that a good generalization of the de Rham complex to $E_\infty$-algebras would also be useful for modelling crystalline cohomology analogues more generally. However, two models for the de Rham complex of $E_\infty$-algebras need not be the same just because they agree rationally. Thus, the question this paper seeks to address is whether or not the rational agreement of these two models for
the de Rham complex remains when the models are extended to all $E_\infty$-algebras, hence providing evidence that either of these may be regarded as candidates to model the de Rham complex of a map of $E_\infty$-algebras. We prove that, not only rationally, but also for all $E_\infty$-algebras, the combinatorial and Goodwillie-Waldhausen models for the de Rham complex agree. In fact, this equivalence is a special case of a much more general relationship that holds for a wide range of functors. This relationship is established in Theorem 4.6. In the statement of the theorem that follows, $|\cdot|$ denotes the geometric realization of a simplicial object, and Tot denotes the totalization of a cosimplicial object. Recall that a homotopy functor is a functor that preserves weak equivalences.

**Theorem 4.6.** Suppose that $F : C \to S$ is a homotopy functor where $C$ is either Top or $S$, $f : A \to B$ is a $c$-connected map in $C$, and $F(X) \simeq \Gamma^f_\infty F(X)$ whenever $A \to X \to B$ is a factorization of $f$ with $X \to B$ $\rho$-connected. If $F$ commutes with the geometric realization functor, that is, the natural map $|F(X)| \to F(|X|)$ is a weak equivalence for each simplicial object $X$, then

$$V_n F(A \to B) \simeq \text{Tot} |(B \otimes_A sk_n \Delta^\bullet)|$$

whenever $n \geq \rho - c - 1$.

We note that the condition that $F$ commutes with the geometric realization functor is a mild hypothesis. For example, [20, Corollary 5.11] shows that this is satisfied by any $n$-excisive functor to spectra which also commutes with filtered colimits of finite complexes.

The forgetful functor $U$ satisfies $U(X) \simeq \Gamma^\infty_\infty U(X)$ whenever $X \to B$ is a 1-connected map. Thus, setting $F = U$, $A = H\mathbb{Q}$ and $n = 1$ in this theorem yields the desired equivalence between the combinatorial model and the Goodwillie-Waldhausen model for the de Rham complex.

A key step in proving this theorem is the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.5.** For each $n \geq 0$, the cosimplicial simplicial sets $sk_n \Delta^\bullet$ are pro-equivalent to the empty set, thought of as a constant cosimplicial simplicial set with value $\emptyset$.

In other words, we view $\text{Tot}^k sk_n \Delta^\bullet$ as approximating the empty set, and by extension, $B \otimes_A \text{Tot}^k sk_n \Delta^\bullet$ as approximating $A$.

When combined with conditions that guarantee that the tower

$$\{||\Gamma^f_k F(X \otimes_A sk_n \Delta^\bullet)||\}$$

converges, Theorem 4.6 is a direct consequence of Theorem 4.4, which we state below. This theorem relates the limit of the varying center tower $\{V_n F(f : A \to B)\}$ to the totalization of the object obtained by evaluation of the limit of $\{\Gamma^f_k F\}$ on the approximation of $A$ given by Proposition 2.5.

**Theorem 4.4.** Suppose that $F$ commutes with realization, $f : A \to B$ is a morphism in $C$, and $X$ is an object in $C_f$. For any $n \geq 0$, the tower of
spectra
\[ \{V_k F(f : A \to B)\}_{k \geq 1} \]
is pro-equivalent to the tower of Tot-towers of spectra
\[ \{\text{Tot}^{m(k+1)} | \Gamma_k^f F(X \otimes_A sk_n \Delta^k)\}_{k \geq 1} \]
for any integer \( m \geq n + 1 \).

An immediate consequence of this theorem is that
\[ V_\infty F(f : A \to B) \simeq \text{Tot} | \Gamma_\infty^f F(X \otimes_A sk_n \Delta^k) |, \]
since pro-equivalences of towers imply that their homotopy inverse limits are weakly equivalent.

This theorem can be compared to Theorem 4.0.2 of the second author’s thesis [6] (or the published work, [5]), which says that there are weak equivalences
\[ P_n F(A) \to \text{Tot}^{(k+1)n} P_n F(X \otimes_A sk_n \Delta^k) \]
for each \( k \) and \( n \), where \( F \) is a homotopy functor which commutes with colimits, and \( P_n F \) is the \( n \)th term in Goodwillie’s Taylor tower for \( F \). There is an equivalence \( P_n F(A) \simeq \Gamma_n^f F(A) \) where \( f : A \to X \), see [1] 6.8, 6.9. Together with the fact that the tower \( \{V_n F\} \) is constructed using all possible choices of the functors \( \Gamma_n^f F \) simultaneously, it is not surprising that there is a similarity between [6, Theorem 4.0.2] and Theorem 4.4. However, just as \( \Gamma_n^f F \) is only a part of the construction of the tower \( \{V_n F\} \), the finite stage \( \text{Tot}^{(k+1)n} P_n F(X \otimes_A sk_n \Delta^k) \) is only part of the Tot-tower considered in Theorem 4.4.

We also extend Theorem 4.6 to functors from arbitrary simplicial model categories, \( C \), to spectra.

**Corollary 4.8.** Let \( C \) be a simplicial model category and let \( F : C \to S \) be a homotopy functor that commutes with the geometric realization functor. Let \( f : A \to B \) be a morphism in \( C \) and \( X \) be an object in \( C \) with morphisms \( A \to X \to B \) that factor \( f \). Suppose there exists an \( n \geq 0 \) for which we have an equivalence
\[ |F(X \otimes_A sk_n \Delta^k)| \simeq |\Gamma_n^f F(X \otimes_A sk_n \Delta^k)| \]
for all cosimplicial degrees \( k \geq 0 \). Then
\[ \text{Tot} |F(X \otimes_A sk_n \Delta^\bullet)| \simeq V_\infty F(f : A \to B). \]

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review basic results about simplicial and cosimplicial objects, and prove Proposition 2.5. In addition, we review some ideas concerning pro-equivalences of towers associated to cosimplicial objects. We review the construction and basic properties of the Taylor tower of [1] in Section 3. We then use this Taylor tower to define the varying center towers \( \{V_n F\} \) described earlier in this introduction. We finish Section 3 by explaining why the de Rham complex can be treated as a varying center tower for the functor \( U \). We devote the final section of the paper to proofs of Theorems 4.4 and 4.6 and Corollary 4.8.
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2. Cosimplicial objects and $\text{Tot}$

In this paper, we provide a combinatorial model for the limit of a certain tower of degree $n$ approximations to a homotopy functor. In this section, we provide relevant background on simplicial and cosimplicial objects. We demonstrate how the empty set can be obtained from $n$-connected spaces in a systematic way in Proposition 2.5. This seemingly simple fact about cosimplicial spaces leads to the equivalence of Theorem 1.6. We review towers of objects associated to cosimplicial objects, and introduce pro-equivalences between these as our preferred notion of “equivalence” for towers. We finish the section by recording a useful lemma concerning multicosimplicial objects. For an introduction to simplicial and cosimplicial objects, the reader is referred to [2] and [9].

Let $\Delta$ be the category of non-empty finite totally ordered sets and order-preserving functions. A cosimplicial object in any category $C$ is a covariant functor $X^\bullet : \Delta \to C$. Let $X^m$ denote the value of $X^\bullet$ at $[m] = \{0, 1, \cdots, m\}$. Morphisms of cosimplicial objects in $C$ are natural transformations of functors. The category of cosimplicial objects and natural transformations between them is denoted $C^{\Delta}$. Dually, a simplicial object is a contravariant functor $Y_* : \Delta \to C$, and its $k$th object is $Y_k$. In this section the category $C$ will be one of simplicial sets, spaces, or spectra, $S$, depending on the context. The model category of (unpointed) simplicial sets will be the usual one, as in [13] Definition 7.10.7. We require our category of (unpointed) topological spaces to satisfy the criteria set out in [13] 7.10.2, and we use the model category structure explained in [13] Definition 7.10.6. By spectra, we mean a suitable model category of spectra with symmetric monoidal smash product, such as the ones defined in [16] or [8].

We make use of the standard cosimplicial simplicial set $\Delta^\bullet$ given by:

$$\Delta^\bullet_k = \text{hom}_\Delta([k], [n]).$$

By taking the geometric realization of this cosimplicial simplicial set in each cosimplicial degree we obtain a cosimplicial space which we denote $\Delta^\bullet$.

**Definition 2.1.** The totalization of any cosimplicial object $X^\bullet$ is

$$\text{Tot } X^\bullet := \text{holim}_\Delta X^\bullet.$$
We take this as the definition of the totalization because it is homotopy invariant. We will assume that in this paper we have selected functorial homotopy limits everywhere satisfying the conditions enumerated in [1, Lemma 2.5]. In many situations, it is convenient to use a particular model for the homotopy limit defining Tot (see, e.g. [2]). This leads to an alternate description of the totalization as

$$\text{tot } X^\bullet := \text{Hom}_{C^\Delta}(\Delta^\bullet, X^\bullet),$$

where Hom denotes the appropriate function complex. In particular, if $X$ is a fibrant object in $C^\Delta$ (with the Reedy model structure, see [13]), there is a weak equivalence $\text{Tot } X^\bullet \simeq \text{tot } X^\bullet$ (as in [9, Lemma 2.12]). The latter part of this equivalence is often taken as the definition of the totalization.

We can filter $\Delta$ by full subcategories $\Delta_r$ consisting of sets with at most $r + 1$ elements. The inclusion $i_r : \Delta_r \to \Delta$ induces a truncation functor $C^\Delta \to C^{\Delta_r}$ given by sending $X^\bullet$ to its restriction $X^\bullet \circ i_r$. The totalization of $X$ inherits a filtration from $\Delta$.

**Definition 2.2.** The $r$th totalization of a cosimplicial object $X^\bullet$ is

$$\text{Tot}^r X^\bullet := \text{holim}_{\Delta_r} (X^\bullet \circ i_r).$$

The functors $\text{Tot}^r$ assemble into a tower $\text{Tot}^r X^\bullet \to \text{Tot}^{r-1} X^\bullet$ for $r \geq 1$. We denote this tower by

$$\underline{\text{Tot}} X^\bullet := \{\text{Tot}^r X^\bullet\}_{r \geq 0}.$$

We set

$$\text{tot}^r X^\bullet := \text{hom}_{C^\Delta}(\text{sk}_r \Delta^\bullet, X^\bullet),$$

where $\text{sk}_n Y_*$ denotes the $n$-skeleton of a simplicial space, as in section IV.3.2 of [9]. This is a model for $\text{Tot}^r X^\bullet$ in the same way that $\text{tot} X^\bullet$ is a model for $\text{Tot} X^\bullet$. In particular, if $X^\bullet$ is Reedy fibrant we have $\text{tot}^r X^\bullet \simeq \text{Tot}^r X^\bullet$.

To compare two cosimplicial objects $X^\bullet$ and $Y^\bullet$, we could ask when a map $f : X^\bullet \to Y^\bullet$ yields an equivalence. In the Reedy model structure on cosimplicial spaces or spectra, weak equivalences are defined to be levelwise equivalences $X^n \to Y^n$ for each $n \geq 0$. This notion is too strong for our purposes. We could instead ask that the associated map $\text{Tot} X^\bullet \to \text{Tot} Y^\bullet$ is a weak equivalence in the category $C$. However, this notion misses the topology coming from the inverse limit tower. To incorporate the structure of the Tot-tower in equivalences of cosimplicial objects, we want equivalences which are weaker than Reedy equivalences but stronger than equivalences in $C$.

**Definition 2.3.** [2, Chapter III] A map of towers $\{f_s : \{A_s\} \to \{B_s\}\}$ in any category is a pro-isomorphism if for each $s$ there is a $t$ and a map
$B_{s+t} \rightarrow A_s$ making the following diagram commute:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A_{s+t} & \xrightarrow{f_{s+t}} & B_{s+t} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
A_s & \xrightarrow{f_s} & B_s
\end{array}
\]

For pointed towers of connected spaces or spectra, a map of towers is a weak pro-homotopy equivalence if it induces a pro-isomorphism of sets on $\pi_0$ and a pro-isomorphism of groups on $\pi_n$ for each $n \geq q$.

We will shorten “weak pro-homotopy equivalence” to “pro-equivalence”. A pro-equivalence of Tot-towers $\text{Tot} X^\bullet \rightarrow \text{Tot} Y^\bullet$ automatically induces a weak equivalence of objects $\text{Tot} X^\bullet \simeq \text{Tot} Y^\bullet$ (see [2, Chapter III Prop. 2.6]), but need not have $\text{Tot}^r X^\bullet \simeq \text{Tot}^r Y^\bullet$ for any $r$. On the other hand, if there is a weak equivalence $\text{Tot}^r X^\bullet \simeq \text{Tot}^r Y^\bullet$ for all $r \geq n$, then the towers $\text{Tot} X^\bullet$ and $\text{Tot} Y^\bullet$ are automatically pro-equivalent.

The next few results show that the cosimplicial spaces $sk_n \Delta^\bullet$ approximate the empty set by $n$-connected spaces in a systematic way.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let $n \geq 0$. The space $\text{tot}^k sk_n \Delta^\bullet$ satisfies

$$\text{tot}^k sk_n \Delta^\bullet = \emptyset$$

for all $k \geq n + 1$.

**Proof.** An element of $\text{tot}^k sk_n \Delta^\bullet$ can be treated as a sequence of maps

$$\alpha_i : sk_k \Delta^i \rightarrow sk_n \Delta^i$$

that commutes with the cosimplicial face and degeneracy maps. We claim that no such sequence can exist when $k \geq n + 1$. To see why, suppose that we have a commuting diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Delta^n & \xrightarrow{d^i} & \Delta^{n+1} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
sk_n \Delta^n & \xrightarrow{d^i} & sk_n \Delta^{n+1}
\end{array}
\]

where the horizontal maps $d^i$ are any of the $n + 2$ cosimplicial face maps. Since the $\alpha_i$’s are required to commute with the cosimplicial structure maps, $\alpha_{n+1}$ restricted to the boundary of $\Delta^{n+1}$ is required to be homotopic to the identity map. However, this is impossible since $\alpha_{n+1}$ extends to $\Delta^{n+1} \simeq D^{n+1}$, meaning that $\alpha_{n+1}$ is contractible. Since there are no contractible maps whose image is all of the $n$-sphere $sk_n \Delta^{n+1}$, this shows that $\text{hom}_{C^\Delta}(\Delta^\bullet, sk_n \Delta^\bullet)$ is empty.

Since $sk_k \Delta^n = \Delta^n$ whenever $k \geq n$, the same argument also shows that $\text{hom}_{C^\Delta}(sk_k \Delta^\bullet, sk_n \Delta^\bullet)$ is empty whenever $k \geq n + 1$. \qed
Proposition 2.5. For each $n \geq 0$, the cosimplicial simplicial sets $sk_n \Delta^\bullet$ are pro-equivalent to the empty set, thought of as a constant cosimplicial simplicial set with value $\emptyset$. Moreover, we have

$$\text{Tot}^k sk_n \Delta^\bullet = \emptyset$$

whenever $k \geq n + 1$.

Remark 2.6. Note that $sk_n \Delta^\bullet$ is not a fibrant cosimplicial simplicial set. Therefore Lemma 2.4 and Proposition 2.5 are distinct statements.

Proof of Proposition 2.5. Suppose that $X^\bullet$ is a simplicial set. To show that $X^\bullet = \emptyset$, it suffices to show that the realization of the simplicial set $|X^\bullet| = \emptyset$. This is sufficient because the realization of a non-empty simplicial set must be non-empty. We apply this to the simplicial set $X^\bullet = \text{Tot}^k sk_n \Delta^\bullet$. The geometric realization commutes with finite limits ([9, Chapter I, Prop. 2.4] or [15, Prop. 3.2.3]), and by using Reedy fibrant replacement one sees that it also commutes with finite homotopy limits such as $\text{Tot}^k$.

We obtain

$$|\text{Tot}^k sk_n \Delta^\bullet| \simeq \text{Tot}^k |sk_n \Delta^\bullet|.$$  

By [13, Theorem 19.8.4(2)] we have a natural weak equivalence

$$\text{Tot}^k |sk_n \Delta^\bullet| \simeq \text{tot}^k |sk_n \Delta^\bullet| = \text{tot}^k sk_n \Delta^\bullet.$$  

By Lemma 2.4 the right hand side is equal to $\emptyset$. The conclusion follows by noting that the only space weakly equivalent to the empty set is the empty set itself. $\square$

We end this section with a kind of cosimplicial Eilenberg-Zilber Theorem. A $k$-multicosimplicial object in $C$ is a functor $X$ from $\Delta^{\times k}$, the $k$-fold product of the category $\Delta$ with itself, to $C$. The diagonal cosimplicial object, $\text{diag} X^\bullet$, is obtained from $X$ by precomposing with the diagonal functor $\Delta \to \Delta^{\times k}$. Let $\text{holim}_i^j$ denote the homotopy limit $\text{holim}_\Delta, X$ taken in the $i$-th variable only.

Lemma 2.7. [13] The diagonal functor preserves Reedy fibrations. In particular, if $X$ is a Reedy fibrant multicosimplicial object of $C$, then $\text{diag} X$ is a Reedy fibrant cosimplicial object of $C$.

This lemma implies that the diagonal is well-behaved with respect to taking homotopy limits. In particular, it implies that if $X \simeq Y$ is a Reedy weak equivalence of Reedy fibrant multicosimplicial objects, then

$$\text{holim}_I \text{diag} X \simeq \text{holim}_I \text{diag} Y$$

for any indexing category $I$.

The following lemma appears as [6, Lemma 3.3], where it is proved in the case where $C$ is the category of simplicial sets. The proof presented there works for any category $C$ enriched over simplicial sets.
Lemma 2.8. [6, Lemma 3.3] Let $X$ be a $k$-multicosimplicial object in $C$. Then

$$\text{Tot}^{n_1} \cdots \text{Tot}^{n_k} X \simeq \text{Tot}^N \text{diag}(\text{csk}_{i_1}^{n_1} \cdots \text{csk}_{i_k}^{n_k} X)$$

where $N = n_1 + \cdots + n_k$ and $\text{csk}_{i}^{n_i} X$ is the $n_i$-th coskeleton of $X$ in the $i$-th variable for all $1 \leq i \leq k$.

These two lemmas immediately imply the following proposition, which is a cosimplicial version of the Eilenberg-Zilber Theorem.

Proposition 2.9. Let $X$ be a Reedy fibrant $k$-multicosimplicial object of $C$ such that $X \simeq \text{csk}_{i_1}^{n_1} \cdots \text{csk}_{i_k}^{n_k} X$ is a Reedy weak equivalence. Then

$$\text{Tot}^{n_1} \cdots \text{Tot}^{n_k} X \simeq \text{Tot}^N \text{diag} X$$

where $N = n_1 + \cdots + n_k$.

3. Calculus for functors of $A\backslash C$

The main results of this paper, which we prove in Section 4, are stated in terms of the varying center tower for functors whose source categories are categories whose objects live naturally under a fixed initial object. The primary goal of this section is to define these towers and explain how they are related to Taylor towers, i.e., the towers of functors described in the second paragraph of the introduction. Existing models for Taylor towers use categories whose objects live naturally over a fixed terminal object. The definition of the varying center tower will use the discrete Taylor tower $\{\Gamma^F_A\}$ of $[1]$, which is defined for functors whose source categories consist of objects that factor a fixed morphism, $f$. To construct the varying center tower, we combine the discrete Taylor towers corresponding to all possible values of $f$. In Section 3.1 we review these discrete Taylor towers.

Let $A \backslash C$ be the category of objects in a simplicial model category $C$ under a fixed object $A$, with morphisms given by commuting triangles. As part of the process of extending the construction of Section 3.1 to the category $A \backslash C$, in Section 3.2 we explain how the new varying center tower serves as an analogue of the series obtained by fixing the variable of a Taylor series and allowing the center of expansion to vary. The construction of the varying center tower is carried out in Section 3.3. We conclude the section by describing how the de Rham complex can be viewed as an example of one of these varying center towers.

Throughout this section, we let $C$ be a simplicial model category, $S$ be a category of spectra, and $\star$ be the initial/final object in $S$. We assume that all functors are homotopy functors; that is, that they preserve weak equivalences.
3.1. Taylor towers for $\mathcal{C}_f$. Let $f : A \to B$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{C}$ and let $\mathcal{C}_f$ be the category whose objects factor the map $f$. The objects of $\mathcal{C}_f$ are triples $(X, \alpha_X, \beta_X)$ where $X$ is an object of $\mathcal{C}$ and $\alpha_X : A \to X$ and $\beta_X : X \to B$ are morphisms such that $\beta_X \circ \alpha_X = f$. When the maps $\alpha_X$ and $\beta_X$ are understood, we use $X$ to denote the triple $(X, \alpha_X, \beta_X)$. Morphisms are given by the obvious commuting diagrams. In order for our constructions to be homotopy invariant, we assume that all objects of $\mathcal{C}_f$ are cofibrant, i.e., that $f : A \to B$ is a cofibration in $\mathcal{C}$ and each $\alpha_X$ is a cofibration.

To review existing Taylor towers for functors from $\mathcal{C}_f$, we begin by summarizing the two notions of polynomial degree $n$ functors considered in [1] and [10], respectively. For full details, see Sections 3.4 and 4 of [1] and Section 3 of [10]. The first notion depends on cross effects functors. Let $\coprod A$ denote the coproduct in $\mathcal{C}_f$. Recall that an $n$-cubical diagram in a category $\mathcal{D}$ is a functor from the power set $P(n)$ of $n = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ to $\mathcal{D}$.

**Definition 3.1.** [1] Definition 3.4] Let $X$ be an $n$-tuple $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ of objects in $\mathcal{C}_f$.

- Let $(\coprod_n X)_B : P(n) \to \mathcal{S}^n$ be the $n$-cubical diagram defined on $S \subseteq n$ by
  \[
  (\coprod_n X)_B(S) = X_B^1(S) \coprod_A \cdots \coprod_A X_B^n(S)
  \]
  where $X_B^i(S) = X_i$ when $i \notin S$ and $X_B^i(S) = B$ otherwise. If $S \subset T$, the morphism $(\coprod_n X)_B(S \subset T)$ is induced by the maps $\beta_X$ on summands $X_B^i(S)$ with $i \in T - S$ and identity maps on summands with $i \in S$.

- If $F : \mathcal{C}_f \to \mathcal{S}^n$, the $n$th cross effect of $F$ relative to $f$ evaluated at $X$, $cr^n F(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$, is the iterated homotopy fiber (see [1] Definition 3.2]) of the cubical diagram obtained by applying $F$ to $(\coprod_n X)_B$.

Since the $n$-cubical diagram $(\coprod_n X)_B$ and the homotopy fiber are functorial in $X$, this defines a functor $cr^n F : \mathcal{C}_f^{\times n} \to \mathcal{S}$, called the $n$th cross effect functor.

When $n = 2$, the 2-cubical diagram $(\coprod_2 X)_B$ of Definition 3.1 is simply the square diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X_1 \coprod_A X_2 & \xrightarrow{\beta_X} & X_1 \coprod_A B \\
\beta_X \downarrow & & \beta_X \downarrow \\
B \coprod_A X_2 & \xrightarrow{\beta_X} & B \coprod_A B
\end{array}
\]

and $cr^2 F(X_1, X_2)$ is the iterated homotopy fiber of the diagram obtained by applying $F$ to this square.

**Definition 3.2.** [1] Definition 3.21] A functor $F : \mathcal{C}_f \to \mathcal{S}^n$ is degree $n$ relative to $f : A \to B$ provided that $cr^{n+1} F \simeq \star$. We note that this condition was simply called “degree $n$” in [1].
This is a weaker condition than the \( n \)-excisive condition satisfied by the \( n \)th term in Goodwillie’s Taylor tower, defined as follows.

**Definition 3.3.** [10] Definition 3.1] A functor \( F : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{S} \), where \( \mathcal{D} \) is \( \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{C} \), or \( \mathcal{C}_f \), is \( n \)-excisive provided that when \( F \) is applied to any strongly cocartesian \((n+1)\)-cubical diagram, \( \chi : P(n) \to \mathcal{D} \), the result is a homotopy cartesian diagram in \( \mathcal{S} \).

The properties of degree \( n \) relative to \( f \) and \( n \)-excisive are related by the following definition and proposition.

**Definition 3.4.** [1] Definition 4.2] A functor \( F : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{S} \), where \( \mathcal{D} \) is \( \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{C} \) or \( \mathcal{C}_f \), is \( n \)-excisive relative to \( \mathcal{A} \) provided that when \( F \) is applied to any strongly cocartesian \((n+1)\)-cubical diagram \( \chi : P(n) \to \mathcal{D} \) with \( \chi(\emptyset) = \mathcal{A} \), the result is a homotopy cartesian diagram in \( \mathcal{S} \).

**Proposition 3.5.** [1] Propositions 4.3, 4.11] Let \( f : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B} \), and let \( F : \mathcal{C}_f \to \mathcal{S} \).

1. The functor \( F \) is degree \( n \) relative to \( f \) if and only if \( F \) is \( n \)-excisive relative to \( \mathcal{A} \).
2. If \( F \) commutes with realizations, that is, if the natural map \(|F(X, \ldots, X)| \to F(|X|)\) is an equivalence for all simplicial objects \( X \), then \( F \) is \( n \)-excisive relative to \( \mathcal{A} \) if and only if \( F \) is \( n \)-excisive.

The functor \( \perp_n F \) obtained by precomposing \( cr^{f}_{n+1}F \) with the diagonal, \( \perp_n F(X) := cr^{f}_{n}F(X, \ldots, X) \), forms a cotriple (or comonad) on the category of homotopy functors from \( \mathcal{C}_f \) to \( \mathcal{S} \) [1 Theorem 3.17]. Standard results about cotriples yield a simplicial spectrum \((\perp^f_n \perp^{+1} F(X))^{+1} \) whose \( k \)th spectrum is given by iterating the diagonal cross effects construction \( k + 1 \) times. One can then define

\[
\Gamma^f_n F(X) := hocof \left( \left( (\perp^{f}_{n+1})^{+1} F(X) \right) \to F(X) \right)
\]
as in [1] Definition 5.3]. These functors assemble into the tower in Diagram [11] of functors and natural transformations [1 Theorem 5.8] and satisfy:

1. \( \Gamma^f_n F \) is degree \( n \) relative to \( f \) [1 Proposition 5.4],
2. \( \Gamma^f_n F \) is universal, up to weak equivalence, among functors that are degree \( n \) relative to \( f \) and have natural transformations from \( F \) [1 Proposition 5.6].

We now turn our attention to Goodwillie’s construction. In [11] Section 6.1] we extended Goodwillie’s construction of Taylor towers for functors of spaces or spectra [11, Section 1] to functors \( F : \mathcal{C}_f \to \mathcal{S} \) as follows. For any finite set \( U \) of cardinality \( u \) and object \( X \) in \( \mathcal{C}_f \), let \( B \otimes_X U \) be the homotopy colimit over \( u \) copies of the map \( \beta_X : X \to B \) out of a single domain \( X \). This is a generalization of the fiberwise join construction found in [11 Section 1], denoted there as \( X \ast_B U \). The \( n \)th term in Goodwillie’s Taylor tower is
Theorem 3.6. Let $f : C_f \to \mathcal{S}$ and $X$ be an object in $C_f$. Suppose that $F$ commutes with the geometric realization functor, or that $X = A$. Let $\bar{\Gamma}_n^f F(X)$ denote the homotopy fiber of the natural map $q_n^f : \Gamma_n^f F(X) \to \Gamma_{n-1}^f F(X)$. Then there exists a functor of $n$ variables, $\bar{\Gamma}_n^f : C_f^{\times n} \to \mathcal{S}$ such that

1. $\bar{\Gamma}_n^f$ is degree 1 relative to $f$ in each variable,
2. $\bar{\Gamma}_n^f$ is $n$-reduced, that is, it is equivalent to $\star$ when evaluated at $B$ in any of its variables,
3. for any permutation $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$, there is a natural weak equivalence

   \[ \bar{\Gamma}_n^f F(X_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, X_{\sigma(n)}) \simeq \bar{\Gamma}_n^f F(X_1, \ldots, X_n), \]

   and

4. when evaluated at $X$, $\bar{\Gamma}_n^f F(X)$ is equivalent to

   \[ [\bar{\Gamma}_n^f F(X, \ldots, X)]_{h\Sigma_n} \]

   where $h\Sigma_n$ denotes the homotopy orbits with respect to the natural $\Sigma_n$ action that permutes the variables of $\bar{\Gamma}_n^f F$.

Proof. Goodwillie’s proof of Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.2 in [11] can be applied to the constructions $Y \otimes_X U$, $T_n F$ and $P_n F$ as we have defined them above. This proves the stated result since $P_n F(X) \simeq \Gamma_n^f F(X)$ under either hypothesis.

3.2. Motivation from the Taylor series of a function. For a morphism $f : A \to B$, we have defined $\Gamma_n^f F$ to be the degree $n$ approximation to $F$ relative to $f$. We consider this to be analogous to a degree $n$ polynomial approximation $T_n^f(x)$ of Equation (2) where $A \to X$ plays the role of $x$ and $B$ plays the role of $b$. The object $B$ should be viewed as a “center of expansion” for $\Gamma_n^f F$ in the following sense. In contexts where we can measure
the connectivity of a map \( X \to B \) (such as spaces or spectra), for a suitably nice functor \( F \), the functor \( \Gamma_f^n F \) approximates \( F \) in the sense that if the map \( X \to B \) is \( k \)-connected for \( k \) above some constant \( \kappa \) that depends on \( F \), then the map \( F(X) \to \Gamma_f^n F(X) \) is on the order of \((n+1)k\)-connected. That is, for objects that are within some distance of \( B \) homotopically, \( \Gamma_f^n F(X) \) is an approximation to \( F(X) \) that improves as \( n \) increases. See, for example, [11, Proposition 1.6].

We make three observations about the Taylor series of a function \( f \) that motivate our study of polynomial approximations for functors from categories of objects under a fixed initial object.

**Observation 1.** In considering \( T_n^b f \), we can change our perspective from \( x \) to \( b \). That is, we can view \( T_n^b f(x) \) as a function of \( b \) by evaluating at a fixed \( x \), say \( x = 0 \) for simplicity:

\[
T_n f(b) = T_n^b f(0) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{f^{(k)}(b)(-1)^k(b)^k}{k!}.
\]

In Definition 3.8, we explain how to implement this observation for functors.

**Observation 2.** When we shift our focus from \( x \) to \( b \), we observe that \( T_n f(b) \) is not trying to approximate the function \( f \), but only the discrete value \( f(0) \). In particular, \( T_n f(b) = f(0) \) for \( b \neq 0 \) if and only if \( f^{(n+1)}(b) = 0 \) for all \( b \). For, if

\[
f(0) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{f^{(k)}(b)(-1)^k(b)^k}{k!}
\]

then differentiating both sides with respect to \( b \) yields

\[
0 = \sum_{k=0}^n \left( \frac{f^{(k+1)}(b)(-1)^k b^k}{k!} + \frac{f^{(k)}(b)(-1)^k b^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \right).
\]

This is a telescoping sum, and hence we obtain \( f^{(n+1)}(b)(-1)^n b^n = 0 \). If \( b \) is non-zero, then this equation holds if \( f^{(n+1)}(b) = 0 \). Thus, \( T_n f(b) = f(0) \) if and only if \( f \) is a polynomial of degree \( n \). Compare this to Proposition 3.14.

**Observation 3.** When considered as a function of \( b \) with \( x = 0 \) fixed, \( T_n f(b) \) is not necessarily a degree \( n \) polynomial function in \( b \). As a simple example, consider the function with \( f(x) = x^3 \). Then

\[
T_2 f(b) = b^3 + 3b^2(-b) + 3b(-b)^2 = b^3,
\]

which is a degree 3 polynomial in \( b \) rather than the expected quadratic polynomial. In fact, \( T_n f(b) \) need not even be polynomial in \( b \), as is seen by considering the function \( f(x) = e^x \). Compare this to Example 3.13.

The varying center tower was constructed with these three observations in mind, since defining the \( n \)th polynomial approximation for a functor \( F : A \to C \to S \) means letting \( B \) vary.
3.3. Varying Center Towers. In this section we will define a tower of functors \( V_nF \) which act like an approximation tower for the object \( F(A) \) in the same way the \( T_nf(b) \)'s form a sequence of functions which approximates \( f(0) \) as in Observation 2. As the terms \( V_nF \) need not be polynomial nor approximations of the functor \( F \), we will call this new sequence the \textit{varying center tower} (and not a Taylor tower) for \( F : A \rightarrow S \).

Let \( \phi_f : C_f \rightarrow A \) be the forgetful functor that sends \((X, \alpha_X, \beta_X)\) to \(\alpha_X : A \rightarrow X\) (similar to \( \phi \) from Section 4, [11]). A functor \( F : A \rightarrow S \) can be restricted to the functor \( \phi_f^*F : C_f \rightarrow S \) defined by \( \phi_f^*F = F \circ \phi_f \). We often suppress \( \phi_f^* \), and abuse notation by writing \( F \) instead of \( \phi_f^*F \) when the context is clear. Let \( A = (A, 1_A, f) \) denote the initial object of \( C_f \).

\textbf{Definition 3.8.} The \( n \)th term in the varying center tower for the functor \( F : A \rightarrow S \) evaluated at the object \( f : A \rightarrow B \) is

\[
V_nF(f : A \rightarrow B) := \Gamma^f_n(\phi_f^*F)(A).
\]

\textbf{Lemma 3.9.} The \( n \)th term of the varying center tower, \( V_nF \), is a functor from \( A \rightarrow S \) whenever \( F : A \rightarrow S \).

\textit{Proof.} In order to show \( V_nF \) is a functor, we need to define it for each morphism \( \gamma \) from \( f \) to \( g \):

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & B \\
\downarrow^f & & \downarrow^g \\
B & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & C.
\end{array}
\]

Let \( \gamma_* : C_f \rightarrow C_g \) be the functor that is obtained by post-composition with \( \gamma \). That is, \( \gamma_*(X, \alpha_X, \beta_X) = (X, \alpha_X, \gamma \circ \beta_X) \).

Recall that \( \Gamma^f_n(\phi_f^*F)(A) \) is the homotopy cofiber of the map

\[
| (\bot_{n+1}^f)^{n+1} F(A) | \rightarrow \phi_f^*F(A).
\]

The morphism \( \gamma : f \rightarrow g \) induces a morphism of the \((n+1)\)-cubes that define \( \bot_{n+1}^f(\phi_f^*F) \) and \( \bot_{n+1}^g(\phi_g^*F) \). This induces a map

\[
\gamma_* : (\bot_{n+1}^f)(\phi_f^*F)(A) \rightarrow (\bot_{n+1}^g)(\phi_g^*F)(A)
\]

on the total homotopy fibers of these cubes after the functor \( F \) has been applied to them. This in turn induces the map \( \Gamma^A_n C(\gamma) \) of cofibers in the commuting diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
(\bot_{n+1}^f)^n F(A) & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & F(A) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
(\bot_{n+1}^g)^n F(A) & \xrightarrow{=} & F(A)
\end{array}
\]
Since $V_n F(f : A \to B) := \Gamma^n_f(\phi^*_f F)(A)$ and $V_n F(g : A \to C) := \Gamma^n_g(\phi^*_g F)(A)$, this defines $V_n F(\gamma)$ . The fact that $\gamma_*$ preserves compositions and identities on the underlying $(n + 1)$-cubes ensures that $V_n F(\gamma)$ does as well.

The functors $\Gamma^n_f F$ assemble into a tower of functors via natural transformations $q^n_f : \Gamma^n_f F \to \Gamma^{n-1}_f F$. These natural transformations can be used to assemble $V_n F$ into a tower of functors as well, justifying our use of the term “varying center tower.”

**Lemma 3.10.** There are natural transformations $\rho_n : V_n F \to V_{n-1} F$.

*Proof.* For each object $f : A \to B$ of $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{C}$, the map $q^n_f : \Gamma^n_f F \to \Gamma^{n-1}_f F$ induces a natural transformation $q^n_f : \Gamma^n_{\phi^*_f F} \to \Gamma^{n-1}_{\phi^*_f F}$.

These assemble into a natural transformation $\rho_n : V_n F \to V_{n-1} F$ because any morphism $\gamma : f \to g$ in $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{C}$ induces a commuting diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma^n_{\phi^*_f F}(A) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_*} & \Gamma^n_{\phi^*_g F}(A) \\
q^n_f \downarrow && q^n_g \downarrow \\
\Gamma^{n-1}_{\phi^*_f F}(A) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_*} & \Gamma^{n-1}_{\phi^*_g F}(A)
\end{array}
$$

To verify that this square commutes, use $\gamma_*$ to define maps between the cubes involved in the construction of $q^n_f$ and $q^n_g$. See §5 of [1] for details. □

Next we turn to understanding what role the notion of the degree of a functor plays in this context. The first step is to define degree $n$ for functors of $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{C}$. We then establish the analogue of Proposition 3.5 for functors from $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{C}$.

**Definition 3.11.** A functor $F : \mathcal{A} \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{S}$ is degree $n$ relative to $\mathcal{A}$ provided that for all objects $f : A \to B$ in $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{C}$, $\phi^*_f F : \mathcal{C}_f \to \mathcal{S}$ is degree $n$ relative to $f$ (see Definition 3.2).

**Proposition 3.12.** The functor $F : \mathcal{A} \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{S}$ is degree $n$ relative to $\mathcal{A}$ if and only if $F$ is $n$-excisive relative to $\mathcal{A}$. If $F$ commutes with realizations, then $F$ is degree $n$ relative to $\mathcal{A}$ if and only if $F$ is $n$-excisive.

*Proof.* Let $\emptyset \subset n$ denote the map in $P(n)$ which is the inclusion of the empty set into the set $n$. For any cubical diagram $\chi : P(n) \to \mathcal{A} \mathcal{C}$, the choice $f_\chi = \chi(\emptyset \subset n) \circ \alpha_{\chi(\emptyset)}$,

$$
\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\chi(\emptyset)}} \chi(\emptyset) \xrightarrow{\chi(\emptyset \subset n)} \chi(n),
$$

provides us with an object $f_\chi$ of $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{C}$ with the property that the cubical diagram $\chi$ can be viewed as a cubical diagram in $C_{f_\chi}$. 


Suppose that $F$ is degree $n$ relative to $A$. Let $\chi$ be any strongly cocartesian $(n+1)$-cubical diagram in $A\backslash C$ with initial object $A$. By assumption, $F$ is degree $n$ relative to $f_\chi$ and $\chi$ is an $(n+1)$-cubical diagram in $C_{f_\chi}$. By Proposition 3.5, $F$ takes $\chi$ to a cartesian diagram, and so $F$ is $n$-excisive relative to $A$.

Conversely, if $F$ is $n$-excisive relative to $A$ as a functor of $A\backslash C$, then by restriction, it is $n$-excisive relative to $A$ as a functor of $C_f$ for any $f : A \to B$ in $C$. Proposition 3.5 guarantees that $F$ is degree $n$ relative to $f$.

The proof of the second part of the theorem is similar. \hfill \square

As is the case for Taylor series of functions (cf. Remark 3), we do not expect $V_n F$ to be a degree $n$ functor of $A\backslash C$. The next example demonstrates that $V_n F$ is not necessarily degree $n$, even in degree 0.

**Example 3.13.** Let $F : A\backslash C \to S$. Then $V_0 F(f : A \to B) = \Gamma_0^f F(A)$. The latter is calculated using the first cross effect functor

$$cr_1^F(X) := \text{hofib} \left( F(X) \to F(B) \right)$$

for any $X \in C_f$, including $X = A$. Since $cr_1^n F(X) \simeq cr_1 F(X)$ for all $n \geq 1$, the degree 0 approximation $\Gamma_0^f F(A)$ is defined by taking the homotopy cofiber of

$$cr_1 F(A) \to F(A).$$

But the homotopy fiber sequence

$$cr_1 F(A) \to F(A) \to F(B)$$

defining $cr_1 F$ is also a cofiber sequence in sequence in spectra, hence $\Gamma_0^f F(A) = F(B)$. Thus,

$$V_0 F(f : A \to B) = F(B)$$

for all $A \to B$ in $A\backslash C$. But this functor is not degree 0 as a functor of $B$, i.e., it is not constant if $F$ is not constant. In fact, it will not even have finite degree if $F$ is not finite degree.

However, even though $V_n F$ may not have finite degree, starting with a degree $n$ functor ensures that the varying center tower

$$\cdots \to V_n F \to V_{n-1} F \to \cdots \to V_0 F$$

converges to the constant functor $F_A$ (i.e., given a functor $F : A\backslash C \to S$, $F_A : A\backslash C \to S$ is the functor satisfying $F_A(f : A \to B) = F(id : A = A)$ for all $f : A \to B$ in $A\backslash C$).

**Proposition 3.14.** Let $F : A\backslash C \to S$. If $F$ is degree $n$ relative to $A$, then the natural transformation $\phi_j^* F \to \Gamma_0^F(\phi_j^* F)$ evaluated at $A$ induces a weak equivalence $F_A \simeq V_k F$ for all $k \geq n$. 
Proof. Consider \( f : A \to B \). By assumption, \( \phi^*_f F \) is degree \( n \) relative to \( f \). By Proposition 3.22 of [1], \( \phi^*_f F \) is degree \( k \) relative to \( f \) for all \( k \geq n \).

Then, by Proposition 5.6 of [1], \( \Gamma_k \phi^*_f F \simeq \phi^*_f F \), and so \( V_k F(f : A \to B) = \Gamma_k \phi^*_f F(A) \simeq \phi^*_f F(A) = F(A) \). □

This allows us to consider what happens as \( n \) increases.

**Definition 3.15.** Let \( F : A \setminus \mathcal{C} \to S \). We say that the tower \( \{V_n F(f)\} \) converges at \( f \) if the constant functor \( F_A \) is homotopy equivalent to the limit \( V_\infty F(f) := \operatorname{holim}_n V_n F(f) \).

The preceding proposition tells us that if \( F \) is degree \( n \) relative to \( A \), then \( V_n F \) is equivalent to the constant functor with value \( F(A) \) for all \( f : A \to B \) in \( A \setminus \mathcal{C} \). Thus, the calculus tower \( \{V_n F\} \) is trying to approximate the value of \( F \) at the initial object \( A \), just as the Taylor polynomials approximated the initial value \( f(0) \) when we allowed the center to become the variable in Remark 2. This is a departure from the usual: the Taylor towers of [11], [17] and [1] for functors \( F \), under certain conditions, can be treated as approximations to the functor \( F \), rather than a constant functor given by a particular value of \( F \). For functors \( G \) from the category of unbased topological spaces, this means that \( V_n G \) is trying to approximate the value of \( G \) on the empty set, the initial object in the category of unbased spaces.

3.4. **The de Rham complex as a varying center tower.** We finish this section by justifying the claim made in the introduction that for rational algebras, the de Rham complex is the varying center tower for the forgetful functor from rational algebras to modules. We begin by describing the functors and categories we use to do so.

We use \( \text{Comm}_\mathbb{Q} \) to denote the category of commutative rational algebras and \( s.\text{Comm}_\mathbb{Q} \) to denote the category of simplicial objects in \( \text{Comm}_\mathbb{Q} \). In particular, let \( \mathbb{Q} \) denote the constant simplicial object in \( s.\text{Comm}_\mathbb{Q} \) that is \( \mathbb{Q} \) in each simplicial. Let \( \mathcal{U} \) denote the forgetful functor from \( \text{Comm}_\mathbb{Q} \) to the category of \( \mathbb{Q} \)-modules. We can extend this to a functor from \( s.\text{Comm}_\mathbb{Q} \) to simplicial \( \mathbb{Q} \)-modules by applying \( \mathcal{U} \) degreewise.

Recall that for a morphism of rational algebras \( f : X \to B \), the de Rham complex \( DR_X B \) is the cochain complex of exterior algebras of the Kähler differentials:

\[
\cdots \leftarrow \Omega^3_{B/X} \leftarrow \Omega^2_{B/X} \leftarrow \Omega^1_{B/X} \leftarrow B.
\]

See [23] Sections 8.8.1 and 9.8.9 for further details. The construction is natural in maps \( f : X \to B \), so given a map of simplicial algebras \( f : X \to B \), we can construct a simplicial cochain complex whose \( k \)th object is \( DR_{X_k} B_k \). Let \( DR_X B \) denote the associated (second quadrant) bicomplex obtained via normalization.

For a fixed \( B \), in \( s.\text{Comm}_\mathbb{Q} \), the functor \( DR_{(-)} B : (X \to B) \mapsto DR_X B \) is a functor whose source category is the category of simplicial rational algebras over \( B \). However \( DR_{(-)} B \) is not in general a homotopy functor;
to remedy this we will assume that the map $X \to B$ is a cofibration in $s.\text{Comm}_\mathbb{Q}$. The total complex $\text{Tot}^\Pi(DR_X B)$ is what we mean by the de Rham complex of $f : X \to B$, as the columns of $DR_X B$ correspond to the exterior algebra terms in the de Rham complex and the filtration of $DR_X B$ by columns converges to $\text{Tot}^\Pi DR_X B$. However, for ease of exposition, we will usually suppress $\text{Tot}^\Pi$ and work directly with the underlying bicomplex.

For a simplicial rational algebra over $B$, $X \to B$, we use $DR^n_B$ to denote the $n$th truncated de Rham complex of $X \to B$, i.e., the bicomplex whose first $n+1$ truncated de Rham complex of $X \to B$, and whose columns are identically 0 thereafter:

$$DR^n_B = \cdots \leftarrow 0 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow \Omega^n_X B \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow \Omega^2_X B \leftarrow \Omega^1_X B \leftarrow B.$$  

For a fixed rational algebra, $f : \mathbb{Q} \to B$, we make use of the $n$th truncated of $s.\text{Comm}_\mathbb{Q}$ $f$ as follows.

**Definition 3.16.** Let $f : \mathbb{Q} \to B$ be a morphism in $(s.\text{Comm}_\mathbb{Q})_f$. The functor $DR^f_n$ is a functor from $(s.\text{Comm}_\mathbb{Q})_f$ to the category of rational chain complexes that takes the object $X = \mathbb{Q} \to X \to B$ to

$$DR^f_n(X.) := \text{Tot}^\Pi(DR^n_B).$$

Our goal is to outline a proof of the following unpublished result of Goodwillie and Waldhausen. We learned of this result and method of proof from conversations with Goodwillie.

**Proposition 3.17.** For a rational algebra $\mathbb{Q} \to B$, and its cofibrant replacement $\mathbb{Q} \to B$, $V_n\text{U}(\mathbb{Q} \to B) \simeq DR^n_B$.

Our first step in justifying this claim is to describe a strategy for identifying $V_n F$ for a functor $F : \mathcal{A} \backslash \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{S}$. By Definition 3.8 to identify $V_n F(f : A \to B)$ for $F : \mathcal{A} \backslash \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{S}$ and an object $f : A \to B$ in $\mathcal{A} \backslash \mathcal{C}$, we must find $\Gamma^f_n F$ and then determine the value of this functor at $A$ (viewed as the object $A = A \to B$ in $\mathcal{C}_f$). When $F$ commutes with realizations, Proposition 3.12 and a variant of Proposition 1.6 of [11] provide a means of proving that a particular functor is equivalent to $\Gamma^f_n F$. More explicitly, these results guarantee that we can determine $V_n F$ by first identifying for each $f : A \to B$ in $\mathcal{A} \backslash \mathcal{C}$ a functor $G^n_f : \mathcal{C}_f \to \mathcal{S}$ that is natural in $f$ and satisfies the following:

1. $G^n_f : \mathcal{C}_f \to \mathcal{S}$ is n-excisive, and
2. there is a natural transformation $\phi^f_n F \to G^n_f$ with the property that there are constants $\kappa$ and $c$ such that for any object $A \to X \to B$ in $\mathcal{C}_f$, where $X \to B$ is $k$-connected with $k \geq \kappa$, the induced morphism $\phi^f_n F(X) \to G^n_f(X)$ is at least $(-c + (n+1)k)$-connected.

These conditions guarantee that $G^n_f \simeq \Gamma^f_n F$ as a functor of $\mathcal{C}_f$. Then $V_n F(f : A \to B) \simeq G^n_f(A)$. 


We apply this result to the case where $C = s.\text{Comm}_Q$, $A = Q$, and $F = U$, the forgetful functor of Proposition 3.17. We claim that in this context, the functor $DR^n_f$ of Definition 3.16 is the correct choice for the functor $G^n_f$ described above. Thus, to confirm Proposition 3.17 it suffices to show that for any cofibrant object $f : Q \to B$ in $s.\text{Comm}_Q$,

1. $DR^n_f$ is $n$-excisive as a functor of $(s.\text{Comm}_Q)_f$, and
2. for an object $X = Q \to X \to B$ in $(s.\text{Comm}_Q)_f$, where $X \to B$ is $k$-connected with $k \geq 1$,

$$U(X) \to DR^n_f X,$$

is at least $(n+1)k - (n+1)$-connected. The natural map $U(X) \to DR^n_f(X)$ is the map that is $f : X \to B$ in the 0th level of the complex and 0 elsewhere.

We describe how to do this in what follows.

We begin by explaining why condition (1) holds. In the case $n = 1$, for morphisms of commutative rational algebras $X \to B$, one can verify that the functor $(X \to B) \mapsto \Omega^1_{B/X}$ is 1-excise and reduced by first recalling that $\Omega^1_{B/X}$ is isomorphic to $I/I^2$ where $I$ is the kernel of the map $B \otimes_X B \to B$ ([23], 9.2.4). The functor $I/I^2$ is equal to the composition $(K/K^2) \circ E$ where $E$ is the functor from $\text{Comm}_Q$ to the category of augmented rational algebras that takes $Q \to X \to B$ to $B \to B \otimes_X B \to B$ and $K(B \to Y \to B)$ is the augmentation ideal functor from augmented rational algebras to rational modules. The functor $E$ preserves cocartesian diagrams and the functor $K/K^2$ is known to be linear (see for example, [17], [18], [12].) This implies that $DR^1_f$ is degree 1.

For $n > 1$, the fact that $(X \to B) \mapsto \Omega^n_{B/X}$ is 1-excise and reduced also tells us that $(X \to B) \mapsto \Omega^n_{B/X}$ is a homogeneous degree $n$ functor. In particular, this holds because $\Omega^n_{B/X}$ is the $n$-fold exterior power of $\Omega^1_{B/X}$. As such it is given by the orbits of the canonical action of the $n$th symmetric group $\Sigma_n$ (and hence, homotopy orbits, since we are working rationally) of a multilinear functor of $n$ variables. By fundamental results of Goodwillie (see [11] or [19]), we know that functors of this form are homogeneous of degree $n$. As a result, the functor $DR^n_f$ is degree $n$.

To see that (2) holds, consider an object in $(s.\text{Comm}_Q)_f$, $Q \to X \to B$, where $X \to B$ is $k$-connected for some $k \geq 1$. Consider the map of bicomplexes $tr_n : DR^n_X B \to DR^n_X B$ which truncates the de Rham complex at the $n$th column. We note that any $k$-connected cofibration $f$ has a factorization

$$X \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} B' \xrightarrow{\cong} B,$$

where the map $\tilde{f}$ is a cofibration, an isomorphism in dimensions up to $k$ and an injection in dimension $k+1$. Thus, since $DR_{(-)} B$ and $DR^n_{(-)} B$ are homotopy functors, we can assume that our $k$-connected cofibration has
the same form as $\tilde{f}$. As a result, $\Omega_{B_i/X_i}^1$ is zero for $0 \leq i \leq k$, and in turn $\Omega_{B_i/X_i}^m$ is zero for $0 \leq i \leq mk$ (using the fact that $\Omega_{B/X}^n$ is the $n$th exterior algebra of $\Omega_{B/X}^1$). Taking the total complex, we see that this means that $tr_n : DR_X B. \to DR^n_X B.$ is $(n+1)k-(n+1)$-connected.

We next claim that because $k \geq 1$, $\text{Tot}^\Pi(DR_X B) \simeq X$. This follows from the fact that when $k \geq 1$, the resulting connectivity of the columns of $DR_X B.$ guarantees that the bicomplex is bounded, and so $\text{Tot}^\Pi(DR_X B) \simeq \text{Tot}^\oplus(DR_X B)$. Since we are working rationally, the Poincaré lemma tells us that the $n$th row of $DR_X B.$ is equivalent to $X_m$ and so $\text{Tot}^\oplus(DR_X B) \simeq X$. Hence $U(X \to B.) \to DR^n(X.B.)$ is at least $(n+1)k-(n+1)$-connected.

Given that conditions (1) and (2) hold, we know that for $f : Q. \to B.$, $\Gamma^n_f U \simeq DR^n_B$. Evaluating at the initial object $Q.$, that is, $Q. = Q. \to B.$, in $(s.\text{Comm}_Q)f$ gives us

$$V_nU(f : Q. \to B.) \simeq DR^n_B(Q.) = DR^n_B,$$

as predicted by Proposition 3.17. The convergence of this tower when $Q. \to B.$ is a $k$-connected cofibration with $k \geq 1$ (which will be addressed further in Corollary 4.7) is now a restatement of the Poincaré Lemma. That is, $DR^n Q.B. \simeq Q. \simeq V_nU(Q. \to B.)$ in this case. A striking feature of the varying center tower is that $V_nU$ is independent of $B$. entirely when the tower converges.

4. Proof of main theorem

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 4.4, which gives an equivalence between the limit of the varying center tower, $V_\infty F(f : A \to B)$, and the total space of the cosimplicial spectrum $|\Gamma^\infty_{\infty} F(X \otimes_A sk_n \Delta^*_{\infty})|$ for any $A \to X \to B$ that factors $f$. We proceed inductively, first proving results for linear functors, then finite degree functors and then for limits of Taylor towers.

The advantage of examining $\Gamma^n_{\infty} F(X \otimes_A sk_n \Delta^*)$ is that $X \otimes_A sk_n \Delta$ can be thought of as being more highly connected than $X$. Combined with a good notion of analyticity (Definition 4.6) this allows us to describe $V_\infty F(f : A \to B)$ more succinctly as $\text{Tot} |F(B \otimes_A sk_n \Delta^*)|$, avoiding the need to calculate the Taylor tower $\{\Gamma^n_f F\}$ at all. For spaces, where the notion of “connectivity” is well-understood, this is made precise in Theorem 4.6. The general form of this result for arbitrary model categories is stated in Corollary 4.8.

Let $f : A \to B$ be any object of $A \setminus C$. Let $A \to X \to B$ be any factorization of $f$, so that $X = A \to X \to B$ is an object of $C_f$. In this section we work with functors $F : D \to S$ where $D$ is $A \setminus C$ or $C_f$. When starting with $F : A \setminus C \to S$, we will also use $F$ to represent the functor $\phi^*_f F : C_f \to S$, as defined in Section 3.3. As in the previous section, we assume that $F$ is a homotopy functor and $C$ is a simplicial model category. When applying $F$
to simplicial or cosimplicial objects in \( \mathcal{D} \), \( F \) will be applied degreewise. In Section 3, we defined \( B \otimes_A U \) for a morphism \( f : A \rightarrow B \) in \( \mathcal{C}_f \) and a finite set \( U \); it is the colimit of \( \# U \) copies of \( B \) “added” along \( A \) via the maps \( f \). This can be generalized to cosimplicial-simplicial sets \( Z \) by using \( Z^k \) in place of \( U \). The various face, degeneracy, coface and codegeneracy maps involved become insertions and fold maps. Throughout this section we write \( \emptyset \) for the constant cosimplicial-simplicial set that is empty in each simplicial and cosimplicial degree. Thus \( A = X \otimes_A \emptyset \) is a constant cosimplicial simplicial object of \( \mathcal{C} \). The inclusion map \( \emptyset \rightarrow sk_n \Delta_+^* \) induces a map of cosimplicial simplicial \( \mathcal{C} \)-objects \( A \rightarrow X \otimes_A sk_n \Delta_+^* \), where \( A \) denotes the constant cosimplicial simplicial object. This map induces a weak equivalence of spectra when a functor \( F \) of finite degree is applied to it, as is proved in the next few propositions.

**Proposition 4.1.** If \( F : \mathcal{C}_f \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \) is degree 1 relative to \( f \) then for all \( X \) in \( \mathcal{C}_f \), there is a weak homotopy equivalence

\[
F(A) \simeq \text{Tot}^m | F(X \otimes_A sk_n \Delta_+^*) |
\]

for all \( m \geq n + 1 \). Thus, if \( F : A \mid \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \) is degree 1 relative to \( A \), then for all \( f : A \rightarrow B \) in \( A \mid \mathcal{C} \) and \( A \rightarrow X \rightarrow B \) in \( \mathcal{C}_f \), there is a pro-equivalence of towers

\[
\text{Tot} F(A) \rightarrow \text{Tot} | F(X \otimes_A sk_n \Delta_+^*) |.
\]

When \( F \) commutes with realizations, the case \( m = n + 1 \) can be deduced from Proposition 3.0.3 of [1], using the fact that in this case, \( F(A) \simeq T_i F(A) \). However the results of [3] do not extend to a pro-equivalence of towers.

**Proof.** We claim that \( F(X \otimes_A U) \simeq F(X) \otimes_{F(A)} U \) for any finite non-empty set \( U \). First note that if \( U \) has exactly one element, then \( F(X \otimes_A U) \simeq F(X) \simeq F(X) \otimes_{F(A)} U \). For \( U = n \), consider the \( n \)-cube \( X \otimes_A T \) with \( (X \otimes_A)(T) = X \otimes_A T \) for subsets \( T \subseteq U \). This is a strongly cocartesian \( n \)-cube. By Proposition 3.22 of [3] and Proposition 3.33 \( F \) is \( k \)-excisive relative to \( A \) for all \( k \geq 1 \). As a result, \( F(X \otimes_A) \) is a cartesian \( n \)-cube. Since \( F \) takes values in spectra, \( F(X \otimes_A) \) is also cocartesian. By induction, for each \( T \subseteq n \) with \( T \neq n \), \( F(X \otimes_A T) \simeq F(X) \otimes_{F(A)} T \). Since \( F(X \otimes_A) \) is cocartesian, this implies that

\[
F(X \otimes_A n) \simeq \text{hocolim}_{T \neq n} F(X \otimes_A T)
\]

(5)

\[
\simeq \text{hocolim}_{T \subseteq n} F(X) \otimes_{F(A)} T
\]

\[
\simeq F(X) \otimes_{F(A)} n.
\]

Now, \( F(A) \simeq F(X) \otimes_{F(A)} 0 \) as constant cosimplicial simplicial spectra. So we have equivalences of cosimplicial simplicial spectra

\[
F(A) \simeq F(X) \otimes_{F(A)} 0 = F(X) \otimes_{F(A)} \text{Tot}^m sk_n \Delta_+^*.
\]
whenever $m \geq n + 1$, by Proposition 2.3. Suppose that $F(A) = \ast$, the base point in the category $S$. In $S$, the coproduct $F(X) \otimes U = \bigsqcup U F(X)$ is weakly equivalent to a product. The functor $\text{Tot}^m$ commutes with products, so we have an equivalence of simplicial spectra

$$F(A) = \ast \simeq \text{Tot}^m (F(X) \otimes \text{sk}_n \Delta^\bullet )$$

where the left hand side is a constant simplicial spectrum.

Upon taking the geometric realization, we obtain the equivalence

$$\ast \simeq |\text{Tot}^m (F(X) \otimes \text{sk}_n \Delta^\bullet )| \simeq \text{Tot}^m (|F(X) \otimes \text{sk}_n \Delta^\bullet |)$$

since geometric realizations commute with finite homotopy limits such as $\text{Tot}^m$ in $S$. Since $F$ is 1-excisive relative to $A$, this is equivalent to $\text{Tot}^m (|F(X) \otimes \text{sk}_n \Delta^\bullet |)$ by (5). Since this holds for every $m \geq n + 1$, we obtain the desired pro-equivalence

$$\text{Tot}^m \ast \simeq \text{Tot}^m |F(X) \otimes \text{sk}_n \Delta^\bullet |.$$

Now, if $F(A) \neq \ast$, form the reduced functor $\tilde{F}$ by

$$\tilde{F}(X) := \text{hocofiber} (F(A) \to F(X)) .$$

Note that if $F$ is degree 1 relative to $f$, then so is $\tilde{F}$. Furthermore, $\tilde{F}(A) \simeq \ast$. Since geometric realization and the functor $\text{Tot}^m$ preserve (co)fibration sequences of spectra, we have a (co)fibration sequence

$$F(A) \to \text{Tot}^m |F(X) \otimes_A \text{sk}_n \Delta^\bullet | \to \text{Tot}^m |\tilde{F}(X) \otimes_A \text{sk}_n \Delta^\bullet |.$$

By the previous case, $\text{Tot}^m |\tilde{F}(X) \otimes_A \text{sk}_n \Delta^\bullet | \simeq \ast$ whenever $m \geq n + 1$. The result follows.

It is also possible to prove Proposition 4.1 by constructing explicit cosimplicial homotopies to show that $\text{Tot}^m |F(X) \otimes_A \text{sk}_n \Delta^\bullet | \simeq \ast$ in the case that $F(A) \simeq \ast$.

The conclusion of Proposition 4.1 can be reformulated as a statement about the coskeleta of the cosimplicial simplicial spectrum $F(X \otimes_A \text{sk}_n \Delta^\bullet )$. Let $Z$ be any cosimplicial object. The $k$th matching object of $Z$ is defined by

$$M^k Z := \lim_{\alpha : [k+1] \to [t]} X^t$$

where $\alpha : [k+1] \to [t]$ is a surjection in $\Delta$ with $t \leq k$. See [4 §VII.4] for details. In particular, this means that

$$(\text{csk}^n Z)^k = \begin{cases} Z^k & k \leq n \\ M^{k-1}(Z \circ i_n) & k > n \end{cases}$$

where the restriction $Z \circ i_n$ is as discussed in Definition 2.2. Note that, in particular, $(\text{csk}^n Z)^{n+1} = M^n Z$.

**Corollary 4.2.** If $F$ is degree 1 relative to $f$, then for all $X$ in $C_f$ and all $m \geq n + 1$, we have a levelwise equivalence of cosimplicial simplicial spectra

$$F(X \otimes_A \text{sk}_n \Delta^\bullet ) \simeq \text{csk}^m F(X \otimes_A \text{sk}_n \Delta^\bullet )$$.
\textbf{Proof.} For any cosimplicial spectrum and any \( m \geq 1 \), there is a pullback square
\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Tot}^m Z \\
\downarrow
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\text{hom}(\Delta^m, Z^m)
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\downarrow
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Tot}^{m-1} Z \\
\text{hom}(\Delta^m, Z^m) \times \text{hom}(\Delta^m, M^{m-1} Z) \text{hom}(\Delta^m, M^{m-1} Z)
\end{array}
\]
where \( \text{hom} \) is the enriched \( \text{hom} \) in spectra. From this pullback square, it is possible to show that
\[
\text{hofib}(\text{Tot}^m Z \to \text{Tot}^{m-1} Z) \simeq \Omega^m \text{hofib}(Z^m \to M^{m-1} Z)
\]
(see \([9, \text{VIII.1}]\), or \([7\) for full details). When \( Z = F(X \otimes_A \text{sk}_n \Delta^\bullet) \), Proposition \([3.7\) implies that \( \text{Tot}^m Z \to \text{Tot}^{m-1} Z \) is an equivalence for all \( m > n + 1 \). Since \( F \) is a functor to spectra, we can conclude that \( Z^m \to M^{m-1} Z \) is an equivalence for all \( m > n + 1 \) as well.

The rest of the proof follows by induction. \( \square \)

We next consider the case of a functor that is degree \( k \) relative to \( f \). Recall that under the hypothesis that \( F \) commutes with realizations, this is the same as saying that \( F \) is \( k \)-excisive (Proposition \([3.5\)).

\textbf{Proposition 4.3.} Let \( F : A \downarrow C \to S \) be a functor that commutes with realizations. Let \( f : A \to B \) and let \( X \) be any object in \( C_f \). For all \( k \geq 1 \) and \( n \geq 0 \), the map
\[
\Gamma_k F(f) = \Gamma_k^f F(A) \to \text{Tot}^t |\Gamma_k^f F(X \otimes_A \text{sk}_n \Delta^\bullet)|
\]
is an equivalence of spectra for each \( t \geq (n + 1)k \). Thus there is a pro-equivalence of towers
\[
\text{Tot} V_k F(f) \to \text{Tot} |\Gamma_k^f F(X \otimes_A \text{sk}_n \Delta^\bullet)|.
\]

\textbf{Proof.} We establish first that we have a pro-equivalence of cosimplicial spectra
\[
\text{Tot} \overline{\Gamma}_k^f F(A) \to \text{Tot} |\overline{\Gamma}_k^f F(X \otimes_A \text{sk}_n \Delta^\bullet)|
\]
where \( \overline{\Gamma}_k^f F \) is the fiber of the natural transformation \( q_k^f : \Gamma_k^f F \to \Gamma_{k-1}^f F \). Let \( \overline{L}_k^f F(-, \ldots, -) \) denote the evaluation on the diagonal, \( \overline{L}_k^f F(X, \ldots, X) \), by \( \overline{L}_k^f F(X) \). Since \( F \) commutes with realizations, Proposition \([3.7\) guarantees that \( \Gamma_k^f F(X) \simeq \overline{L}_k^f F(X)_{h\Sigma_k} \).

Fix objects \( X_1, \ldots, X_{k-1} \) for the first \( k-1 \) variables of \( \overline{L}_k^f F \) and consider the single variable functor \( \overline{L}_k^f F(X_1, \ldots, X_{k-1}, -) \). Since \( \overline{L}_k^f F \) is linear in each variable, Proposition \([4.1\) implies that we have a weak equivalence of spectra
\[
\overline{L}_k^f F(X_1, \ldots, X_{k-1}, A) \simeq \text{Tot}^m |\overline{L}_k^f F(X_1, \ldots, X_{k-1}, X \otimes_A \text{sk}_n \Delta^\bullet)|
\]
for each $X_i \in \mathcal{C}_f$ and each $m \geq n+1$. We repeat this process in each variable separately to obtain a similar equivalence for each of the $k$ variables. By Corollary 4.2 and Proposition 2.9, these equivalences assemble to

$$\tilde{L}^f_k F(A) \simeq \text{Tot}^m \tilde{L}^f_k F(X \otimes_A \sk_n \Delta_s^\bullet)$$

for any $m \geq n+1$ and any $X \in \mathcal{C}_f$. In the category $S$, finite homotopy limits commute with finite homotopy colimits. The partial totalization $\text{Tot}^m$ is a finite homotopy limit so it commutes with the homotopy colimit that constructs the homotopy orbits of the $\Sigma_k$-action in spectra. We have

$$\tilde{L}^f_k F(A)_{h\Sigma_k} \simeq \left(\text{Tot}^m \tilde{L}^f_k F(X \otimes_A \sk_n \Delta_s^\bullet)\right)_{h\Sigma_k}$$

whenever $m \geq n+1$. The last equivalence follows from the fact that homotopy colimits commute. Thus, we have a pro-equivalence

$$\text{Tot} \tilde{L}^f_k F(A) \to \text{Tot} \tilde{L}^f_k F(X \otimes_A \sk_n \Delta_s^\bullet)$$

for all $k$ and $n$ greater than or equal to 1.

The proof of the proposition now follows by induction on $k$, using the (objectwise) fibration sequence of functors

$$\tilde{L}^f_k F \to \Gamma^f_k F \to \Gamma^f_{k-1} F.$$ 

The base case is given by Proposition 4.1 since $\Gamma^f_1 F$ is degree 1. The functors $\text{Tot}^t$ preserve homotopy fiber sequences, so applying $\text{Tot}^t$ to this fibration sequence evaluated on the morphism $A \to X \otimes_A \sk_n \Delta_s^\bullet$ yields a commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{L}^f_k F(A) & \to & \Gamma^f_k F(A) \to \Gamma^f_{k-1} F(A) \\
\simeq & & \simeq \\
\text{Tot}^t \tilde{L}^f_k F(X \otimes_A \sk_n \Delta_s^\bullet) & \to & \text{Tot}^t \Gamma^f_k F(X \otimes_A \sk_n \Delta_s^\bullet) \to \text{Tot}^t \Gamma^f_{k-1} F(X \otimes_A \sk_n \Delta_s^\bullet),
\end{array}$$

with fibration sequences in each row. Here we have used that $\text{Tot}^t Z = Z$ when $Z$ is a constant cosimplicial object. We have already shown that the left hand arrow is a weak equivalence whenever $t \geq (n+1)k$. Assuming that the right hand arrow is a weak equivalence whenever $t \geq (n+1)(k-1)$, we can conclude that the middle arrow is a weak equivalence whenever $t \geq (n+1)k$. \hfill $\square$

The pro-equivalence of Proposition 4.3 extends to a pro-equivalence of the discrete calculus towers associated to each functor. We prove this next.
Theorem 4.4. Suppose that \( F : A \setminus C \to S \) commutes with realizations. Let \( f : A \to B \) and let \( X \) be any object in \( C_f \). For any \( n \geq 0 \), the tower of spectra
\[
\{ V_kF(f) \}_{k \geq 1} = \{ \Gamma^f_kF(A) \}_{k \geq 1}
\]
is pro-equivalent to the tower of Tot-towers of spectra
\[
\{ \text{Tot}^m(k+1) | \Gamma^f_kF(X \otimes_A \text{sk}_n \Delta^*) \}_{k \geq 1}.
\]

Proof. For any \( k \geq 0 \) and any \( m \geq n + 1 \), we have a commuting square
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma^f_{k+1}F(A) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \text{Tot}^m(k+1) | \Gamma^f_{k+1}F(X \otimes_A \text{sk}_n \Delta^*) | \\
q^f_{k+1} & & \downarrow \text{Tot}(q^f_{k+1}) \\
\Gamma^f_kF(A) & \xrightarrow{\alpha'} & \text{Tot}^m | \Gamma^f_kF(X \otimes_A \text{sk}_n \Delta^*) |
\end{array}
\]
where \( q^f_k \) is the natural transformation from Theorem 4.3, and \( b \) is the usual fibration between stages of the Tot-tower. The horizontal maps \( \alpha \) and \( \alpha' \) are the maps induced by the inclusion \( \emptyset \to \text{sk}_n \Delta^* \) from Proposition 4.3. By the proof of Proposition 4.3, \( \alpha \), \( \alpha' \) and \( b \) are weak equivalences whenever \( m \geq n + 1 \). The map \( \text{Tot}(q^f_{k+1}) \) provides the diagonal map from Definition 2.3, hence the towers are pro-equivalent.

An immediate consequence of Theorem 4.4 is that there is a weak equivalence of spectra
\[
V_\infty F(f) \to \text{Tot} | \Gamma^f_{\infty}F(X \otimes_A \text{sk}_n \Delta^*) |
\]
obtained by taking the inverse limit of the towers in the statement of the theorem. We can use this result to better understand the relationship between the limit of the varying center tower \( \{ V_nF \} \) and the functor \( F \). The last two results of the paper show that if \( F \) is analytic in the sense of Definition 4.5, then \( V_\infty F \) is equivalent to the functor that takes \( A \to B \) to \( F(B \otimes_A \text{sk}_n \Delta^*) \). In light of Proposition 2.3 and since the map \( A \to B \otimes_A \text{sk}_n \Delta^* \) is induced by \( \emptyset \to \text{sk}_n \Delta^* \), this tells us that the failure of the varying center tower \( \{ V_nF \} \) to converge is measured by the failure of \( F \) to commute with \( \text{Tot} \).

In the case of spaces or spectra, we use Corollary 1.4 of [5] to show that the equivalence between \( V_\infty F \) and \( F(B \otimes_A \text{sk}_n \Delta^* ) \) holds when \( F \) is a weakly \( \rho \)-analytic functor, as defined below.

Definition 4.5. Let \( F : C \to S \) where \( C \) is either \( \text{Top} \) or \( S \). Let \( f : A \to B \) be a morphism in \( C \). We say that \( F \) is weakly \( \rho \)-analytic relative to \( f \) provided that for any object \( A \to X \to B \) in \( C_f \), \( X \to B \) is \( \rho \)-connected,
\[
F(X) \cong \Gamma^f_{\infty}F(X).
\]
This condition is related to Goodwillie’s stronger condition of $\rho$-analyticity (see [10] for details) in the sense that both conditions guarantee convergence of Taylor towers. In particular, any $\rho$-analytic functor is also a weakly $\rho$-analytic functor.

**Theorem 4.6.** Suppose that $F : C \to S$ is a homotopy functor where $C$ is either $\text{Top}$ or $\mathcal{S}$, $f : A \to B$ is a $c$-connected map in $C$, and $F$ is weakly $\rho$-analytic relative to $f$. If $F$ commutes with realizations, then there is a weak equivalence of spectra

$$V_\infty F(f) \simeq \text{Tot } |F(B \otimes_A \text{sk}_n \Delta^*)|$$

whenever $n \geq \rho - c - 1$ is a non-negative integer.

**Proof.** In the category of spaces or spectra, the product $B \otimes_A U$ is the same as the join construction $A *_B U$ of [11] for any finite set $U$. From [11], we have the useful facts that

- $A *_B (U * V) \cong (A *_B U) *_B V$ where $U * V$ is the ordinary join of two spaces, and
- $A *_B U \to B$ is at least $(m + 1)$-connected if $f : A \to B$ is at least $m$-connected and $U$ is not empty.

Thus, if $f : A \to B$ is at least $c$-connected, then

$$A *_B (\text{sk}_0 \Delta^k * \cdots * \text{sk}_0 \Delta^k) \to B$$

is at least $(c + n + 1)$-connected, where $\text{sk}_0 \Delta^k * \cdots * \text{sk}_0 \Delta^k$ is the join of $n + 1$ copies of $\text{sk}_0 \Delta^k$ with itself. Theorem 1.2 of [5] combined with Remark 7.1.3 of [6] says that for any homotopy functor $F$, there is a weak equivalence

$$\text{Tot } |F(A *_B (\text{sk}_0 \Delta^* * \cdots * \text{sk}_0 \Delta^*))| \simeq \text{Tot } |F(A *_B \text{sk}_n \Delta^*)|$$

where $\text{sk}_0 \Delta^* * \cdots * \text{sk}_0 \Delta^*$ denotes the join of $n + 1$ copies of $\text{sk}_0 \Delta^*$ with itself. Since $F$ is weakly $\rho$-analytic, for each $k$ we have a weak equivalence

$$F(A *_B (\text{sk}_0 \Delta^k * \cdots * \text{sk}_0 \Delta^k)) \simeq \Gamma^f_\infty F(A *_B (\text{sk}_0 \Delta^k * \cdots * \text{sk}_0 \Delta^k))$$

as long as $n \geq \rho - c - 1$. This levelwise equivalence of cosimplicial spectra assembles to produce an equivalence of the associated total complexes. Putting this together with the aforementioned result from [5] and [6], we have a weak equivalence

$$\text{Tot } |F(A *_B \text{sk}_n \Delta^*)| \simeq \text{Tot } |\Gamma^f_\infty F(A *_B \text{sk}_n \Delta^*)|.$$ 

The left hand side of this equivalence is $\text{Tot } |F(B \otimes_A \text{sk}_n \Delta^*)|$, the right hand side is $\text{Tot } |\Gamma^f_\infty F(B \otimes_A \text{sk}_n \Delta^*)|$, and the conclusion now follows from Theorem 1.4.

□

As a special case of Theorem 4.6, we obtain the desired comparison of the Goodwillie-Waldhausen and Rezk constructions.
Corollary 4.7. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be the forgetful functor from rational commutative ring spectra to modules and let $f : Q \to B$ be a morphism of rational commutative ring spectra. Then there is a weak equivalence

$$V_\infty \mathcal{U}(Q \to B) \simeq \text{Tot}|B \otimes_{Q} \text{sk}_1 \Delta^*_k|.$$  

Proof. By the Blakers-Massey theorem (see [10], or [4] for spectra), $\mathcal{U}$ is 1-analytic. Using this, the result follows immediately from Theorem 4.6. □

When $B = \ast$, the usual terminal object of $\text{Top}$ or $\mathcal{S}$, Theorem 4.6 follows more directly from Theorem 4.4. In particular, $\text{sk}_n \Delta^k$ is at least $n$-connected, and hence, $A \ast_B \text{sk}_n \Delta^* \to B$ is at least $n$-connected. If $F$ is weakly $n$-analytic, this is sufficient to conclude that $F(A \ast_B \text{sk}_n \Delta^*) \simeq \Gamma^f_\infty F(A \ast_B \text{sk}_n \Delta^*)$ and so in this case, Theorem 4.6 follows immediately from Theorem 4.4.

More generally, the condition that $F$ be weakly $\rho$-analytic can be replaced with a condition dictating that $F$ and $\Gamma^f_\infty F$ are equivalent on the objects $X \otimes_A \text{sk}_n \Delta^k$.

Corollary 4.8. Let $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{S}$ be a functor that commutes with realizations. Let $f : A \to B$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{C}$ and $X$ be an object in $\mathcal{C}_f$. Suppose there exists an $n \geq 0$ for which we have a weak equivalence of spectra

$$|F(X \otimes_A \text{sk}_n \Delta^k)| \simeq |\Gamma^f_\infty F(X \otimes_A \text{sk}_n \Delta^k)|$$

for all cosimplicial degrees $k \geq 0$. Then $\text{Tot}|F(X \otimes_A \text{sk}_n \Delta^*)| \simeq \Gamma^f_\infty F(A) = V_\infty F(f : A \to B)$.

Proof. The levelwise hypothesis of the statement guarantees that there is a weak equivalence of spectra

$$\text{Tot}|F(X \otimes_A \text{sk}_n \Delta^*)| \simeq \text{Tot}|\Gamma^f_\infty F(X \otimes_A \text{sk}_n \Delta^*)|.$$  

Composing with the equivalence from Theorem 4.4 we have

$$\Gamma^f_\infty F(A) \simeq \text{Tot}|F(X \otimes_A \text{sk}_n \Delta^*)|,$$

which implies the result. □

The key point in requiring the existence of $n$ in Corollary 4.8 is that the space $\text{sk}_n \Delta^k$ is at least $n$-connected for all $k$. So, like the analyticity condition in Theorem 4.6, the condition in this proposition requires convergence on analogues of $n$-connected objects.
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