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Abstract
Porcine Hokovirus (PHoV) was recently discovered in Hong Kong. This new Parvovirus of pigs is closely related to the human Parvoviruses 4 and 5 (PARV4/5) and bovine Hokovirus (BHoV). So far, nothing is known about the presence and prevalence of PHoV in regions of the world other than Hong Kong. A study was initiated to investigate PHoV in German wild boars from five different geographical regions, using a newly established quantitative real-time PCR assay. Analysis of collected liver and serum samples revealed high overall prevalence (32.7%; 51/156) of PHoV in wild boars. The prevalence differed between the regions and increased with age. Two near full-length genomes and a large fragment for three additional isolates from different regions were sequenced and used for phylogenetic analysis. The German PHoV sequences from wild boars showed a close relationship with sequences of isolates from Hong Kong.

Findings
A broad spectrum of parvoviruses is circulating worldwide in different species causing diseases in animals and humans. One of several novel animal parvoviruses is the porcine Hokovirus (PHoV), a putative member of the genus Parvovirus within the family of Paroviridae. This new parvovirus PHoV has been described in pigs from Hong Kong [1]. The non-enveloped parvovirus harbours a single-stranded DNA genome of approximately 5 kb. The genome has two open reading frames (ORFs) coding for non-structural and capsid proteins. Closely related human Parvoviruses PARV 4 and PARV5 were detected in various samples from healthy and diseased individuals [2-5].

Up to now no information is available about the presence of PHoV in other pig populations. This study was initiated to analyse PHoV in German wild boars. Wild boars in Germany are carrier of Hepatitis E virus (HEV) and it was of interest to analyse whether this species harbours additional viruses with a zoonotic potential [6].

Liver, serum and bile samples from a total of 156 wild boars were tested for the presence of PHoV genomes. Samples (n = 127) were collected during the hunting season 2007/2008 at several sites in Germany. Collection points in the different federal states were described in a previous study on HEV [6]. Additional samples (9 wild boar livers) were collected at sites in the federal state of Hesse (HE) near Herleshausen/Werra, Bauhaus, Oberellen, Friedewald and Lengers between January and March 2008 and 20 wild boar serum samples were collected between November 2005 and January 2006 in the federal state of Baden Württemberg (BW) at different sites in the nature reserve Schönbuch which were nearly identical to the later sampling places in the hunting season 2007/2008. In general, sampling, age determination of animals, storing and handling of samples were carried out as published previously [6]. Briefly, liver samples (20 to 40 mg) were homogenized in 500 μl PBS using Precellys ceramic beads (diameter of 1.4 mm; Peqlab Biotechnology, Erlangen, Germany) and the FastPrep® FP220A homogenizer (Qbiogene, MP Biomedicals, Solon, OH, USA). A volume of 200 μl of supernatant of the centrifugated homogenized liver, bile or serum samples was used for DNA extraction using the NucleoSpin® Blood preparation kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany) and the FastPrep® FP220A homogenizer (Qbiogene, MP Biomedicals, Solon, OH, USA). A volume of 200 μl of supernatant of the centrifugated homogenized liver, bile or serum samples was used for DNA extraction using the NucleoSpin® Blood preparation kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany). A quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) assay using the PHoV_TM 5' nuclease probe (TaqMan®) in combination with 3 primers PHoV_F/PHoV_R/HPV_R (Table 1; TIB MOLBIOL, Berlin, Germany) was applied in this study to determine the copy numbers of PHoV genomes. The assay was established to detect the newly described parvovirus PHoV and the human PARV4/PARV5 using primers binding within a conserved region...
for each virus. DNA samples in a volume of 2.5 μl were analysed using the following qPCR protocol in a final volume of 25 μl with 10xbuffer, 4 mM of MgCl₂, dNTPs 0.2 mM each, 0.3 μM of each primer, 0.1 μM of probe, ROX 0.1 μM and Platinum™ Taq 0.5 U. Platinum™ Taq DNA polymerase, MgCl₂ and dNTPs were obtained from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA, USA) and water (Molecular Biology Grade) from Eppendorf (Hamburg, Germany). General reaction conditions for the real-time assay were 95°C for 10 min and 45 cycles with 95°C for 15 sec, 60°C for 30 sec. Reactions were run in an ABI GeneAmp® 9700 Detection System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Plasmid pHoko containing the 83 nucleotide (nt) amplification product from the isolate PHoV_BW2117 [GQ869539] was established. Insert was verified by sequencing and copy numbers in this preparation were determined using a standard curve. The detection limit was estimated to be 10 copies of DNA per reaction. The β-Actin-qPCR assay was used as internal control [6]. The near full-length genomes were generated with PCR and nested PCR using several primer pairs in combination with primers for sequencing (Table 1) with the Platinum™ Taq DNA polymerase kit as described previously for HEV [6]. Sequence of amplicons was determined either directly using the PCR product or after cloning into vector pCR II TOPO (Invitrogen) by sequencing both strands with the Big Dye3.1 protocol using an automated sequencer (Genetic Analyzer 3130 xl, Applied Biosystems). Sequence data were analysed using ABI PRISM DNA Sequencing Analysis Software (Version 3.7, Applied Biosystems). Phylogenetic tree analysis was performed using MEGA 4.01 [7] program http://www.megasoftware.net and BLAST network program (National Center for Biotechnology Information, Bethesda, MD, USA).

The prevalence of PHoV in liver or serum samples of wild boars differed between sampling regions: While a low prevalence was seen in Rhineland Palatinate (RP), BW and HE, the samples collected in Saxony (SA) and Brandenburg (BB) showed high values (Table 2). The overall prevalence was 32.7% (51/156), 17 of 51 (33.3%) animals tested positive with Ct-values lower than 30 indicating high copy numbers of more than 10⁶ genome equivalents per mg of liver tissue, 16 of the 17 animals (94%) showing high copy numbers were below 2 years of age (7 animals <1 year, 9 animals 1-2 years). The analysis of the age distribution showed an increase in prevalence for animals older than 1 year, but the highest proportion of animals with high virus loads was seen in the group below 2 years of age (Table 1). Corresponding serum and liver tissue samples from six animals were tested in parallel showing comparable values for both compartments. Although it was shown previously that HEV was detected in high virus load in bile samples [6], quantification for PHoV in samples from three animals yielded virus loads that were up to 1000 times lower in bile samples than in the liver (data not shown). This result implicates, that PHoV has an organ tropism different from HEV. It can be assumed that a high virus

| Region | n(PHoV positive)/Total | <1 year | 1-2 years | Adult | Unknown |
|--------|------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|---------|
| BW_2005 | 2/20 (10%) | 0/9 | 2/8 | 0/3 | 0/0 |
| BW_2007 | 5/27 (18.5%) | 0/10 | 2/7 | 0/4 | 3/6 |
| HE     | 2/9 (22.2%) | 1/3 | 1/4 | 0/2 | 0/0 |
| RP     | 4/53 (7.9%)  | 1/27 | 0/14 | 3/11 | 0/1 |
| BB     | 12/19 (63.2%)  | 4/9 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 2/4 |
| SA     | 26/28 (92.9%)  | 9/9 | 10/10 | 7/9 | 0/0 |

| Region | Total n(PHoV positive)/Total | <1 year | 1-2 years | Adult | Unknown |
|--------|-------------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|---------|
| Total  | 51/156 (32.7%) | 15/67 (22.4%) | 18/46 (39.1%) | 13/32 (40.6%) | 5/11 (45.5%) |
| n(Ct<30) | 17 | 7 | 9 | 1 | 0 |
| %/Pos* | 33.3 | 46.7 | 50.0 | 7.7 | 0 |
| %/Total | 10.9 | 10.5 | 19.6 | 3.1 | 0 |

Table 2 Overview of the analysed samples for PHoV

| Primer name | Orientation 5‘-3’ |
|-------------|------------------|
| PHoV_F       | gTT ggT CCT ggT AAT CCT Ytg g |
| PHoV_R       | Tcg TAC CgT TCA Tcg Tgg Tg |
| HPV_R        | Tgc gTA CCG TTC ATC ATg ATg TT |
| PHoV_TM      | FAG-Agg gAC CAg Tgg ATg Atg CAg C-BQQ |
| PHoV_240F    | CACC TAC CTC gCC TAT AAg AAT C |
| PHoV_1273F   | ggT AYT Ttg Cwg CHg ggg C |
| PHoV_1408R   | CAA TTC ACR CAR CCR TAA gAw gqA |
| PHoV_1847F   | CgC ATC TCC CgC TCT gCC |
| PHoV_2293F   | CgC CAC TAg ggg CTA Cg |
| PHoV_2492F   | ggT AAa MAA WCA Tgw Cwg Cyg C |
| PHoV_2492R   | gCR gCW gWC Atg WTT KCT TAC |
| PHoV_4115F   | ggg ARA ATT ATg TTY TKC CTC ART Atg g |
| PHoV_4395R   | ATW Mcc Mcc TgT CAT RAT MgC |
| PHoV_5288R   | CAC TgA TCA gAA ggM ACY TCR TAC AC |

Table 2 Used primers and probe for the quantitative analysis and generation of genome fragments of PHoV

F: forward; R: reverse orientation; TM: TaqMan probe
load of PHoV in liver tissue and serum indicates an acute or persistent infection with a simultaneous viremia.

In order to analyse the phylogenetic relationship between PHoV in Hong Kong and in Germany near full-length genome sequences with 4942 nt and 4944 nt were amplified from isolates BW2117 [GQ869539] and Sa15 [GQ869540], respectively. Additionally, discontinuous genome sequences of isolates from isolate BW22 [GQ869543], RP1754 [GQ869541] and BB09 [GQ869542] were generated with total sizes of 4564, 3027 and 3928 nt, respectively. All isolates were incomplete at the 3’-end of the VP1 and VP2 ORFs. The phylogenetic analysis showed that the PHoV isolates from German wild boars were closely related to Hong Kong isolates but formed a separate branch in the phylogenetic tree of all known porcine, bovine and human isolates from the GeneBank database (Figure 1). All German sequences were closely related to each other. The generated full-length sequences BW2117 and Sa15 differed in 44 of 4796 nucleotides (99.1% identity). A divergence of up to 40% was found to complete PARV4/5 sequences, and of 37% to bovine isolates. Compared to the isolates from Hong Kong a difference of 1.8-2.0% (Sa15) and 2.0-2.3% (BW2117) was seen for the German full-length sequences on nucleotide level.

Within NS1 7 (1.1%) unique amino acid exchanges were observed in the German isolates BW2117 and Sa15 in comparison to the Hong Kong isolates. The phylogenetic analysis showed that within the ORFs coding for VP1 and VP2 proteins only one (VP1; 0.2%) and three (VP2; 0.3%) unique amino acid exchanges were found in the German isolates (BW2117 and Sa15) in comparison to the Hong Kong isolates.

In this study it was shown that the newly discovered PHoV is present in European wild boar populations. The virus was detectable in approximately every third animal tested. PHoV prevalence showed regional variation as determined in samples from animals collected in 5 geographic regions in Germany. The presence of high copy numbers of viral genomes in younger animals (≤ two years) points to an infection early in life. The increase of the prevalence in older animals supports the hypothesis of PHoV persistence in liver comparable to the situation observed for PARV4 and PARV5 infections in humans [8]. Therefore persistence might be a common feature for this new group of parvoviruses. So far, no clear disease has been linked to the infection or persistence of these new parvoviruses. The phylogenetic analysis showed a close relationship of the German PHoV sequences with the isolates from Hong Kong, although the European isolates clustered together in one separate branch. It can be speculated that the virus has been distributed through pigs that have been imported from Europe to Hong Kong.

Although the qPCR assay was established to detect all known isolates of the new Parvovirus group (PARV4, PARV5, PHoV and BHoV), only PHoV was found in the wild boar samples. The fact that approximately 600,000 wild boars are shot and consumed every year in Germany clearly highlights a potential route for a zoonotic transmission to humans. While the prevalence of PHoV in commercial pigs is yet unknown PHoV has been detected in a variety of porcine tissues with high virus load [1] indicating yet another potential risk of zoonotic transmission of PHoV to humans that urgently needs to be evaluated.

Acc. numbers of generated sequences:
[P._Hokovirus_BW2117: GQ869539; P._Hokovirus_Sa15: GQ869540; P._Hokovirus_1754: GQ869541; P._Hokovirus_BB09: GQ869542; P._Hokovirus_BW22: GQ869543]
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