Spin-orbit density wave induced hidden topological order in URu$_2$Si$_2$
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The conventional order parameters in quantum matters are often characterized by 'spontaneous' broken symmetries. However, sometimes the broken symmetries may blend with the invariant symmetries to lead to mysterious emergent phases. The heavy fermion metal URu$_2$Si$_2$ is one such example, where the order parameter responsible for a second-order phase transition at $T_H$=17.5 K has remained a long-standing mystery. Here we propose via \textit{ab-initio} calculation and effective model that a novel spin-orbit density wave in the $f$-states is responsible for the hidden-order phase in URu$_2$Si$_2$. The staggered spin-orbit order spontaneously breaks rotational, and translational symmetries while time-reversal symmetry remains intact. Thus it is immune to pressure, but can be destroyed by magnetic field even at $T=0$ K, that means at a quantum critical point. We compute topological index of the order parameter to show that the hidden order is topologically invariant. Finally, some verifiable predictions are presented.

Most states or phases of matter can be described by local order parameters and the associated broken symmetries in the spin, charge, orbital or momentum channel. However, recent discoveries of quantum Hall states\textsuperscript{1}, and topological insulators\textsuperscript{2,3} have revamped this conventional view. It has been realized\textsuperscript{4} that systems with combined time-reversal ($T\overline{R}$) symmetry and large spin-orbit (SO) coupling can host new states of matter which are distinguished by topological quantum numbers of the bulk band structure rather than spontaneously broken symmetries. Subsequently, more such distinct phases have been proposed in the family of topological Mott insulators\textsuperscript{5}, topological Kondo insulators\textsuperscript{6}, topological antiferromagnetic insulators\textsuperscript{7}. In the latter cases, the combined many-body physics and $T\overline{R}$ symmetry governs topologically protected quantum phases. Encouraged by these breakthrough developments, we search for analogous exotic phases in the heavy fermion metal URu$_2$Si$_2$, whose low-energy $f$ states accommodate $T\overline{R}$ and strong SO coupling. This compound also naturally hosts diverse quantum mechanical phases including Kondo physics, large moment antiferromagnetic (LMAF), mysterious 'hidden-order' (HO) state, and superconductivity\textsuperscript{8}.

In URu$_2$Si$_2$, the screening of $f$-electrons due to the Kondo effect begins at relatively high temperatures, ushering the system into a heavy fermion metal at low-temperature\textsuperscript{9}. Below $T_H$=17.5 K, it enters into the HO state via a second-order phase transition characterized by sharp discontinuities in numerous bulk properties\textsuperscript{10-12}. The accompanying gap is opened both in the electronic structure\textsuperscript{14-16}, as well as in the magnetic excitation spectrum\textsuperscript{17}, suggesting the formation of an itinerant magnetic order at this temperature. However, the associated tiny moment ($\sim 0.03\,\mu_B$) cannot account for the large (about 24%) entropy release\textsuperscript{18} and other sharp thermodynamic\textsuperscript{10,11} and transport anomalies\textsuperscript{12,13} during the transition. Furthermore, very different evolutions of the HO parameter and the magnetic moment as a function of both magnetic field\textsuperscript{19,20} and pressure\textsuperscript{21,22} rule out a possible magnetic origin of the HO phase in this system. Any compelling evidence for other charge, orbital or structural ordering has also not been obtained\textsuperscript{23}. Existing theories include multiple spin correlator\textsuperscript{24}, Jahn-Teller distortions\textsuperscript{25}, unconventional spin-density wave\textsuperscript{26-27}, antiferromagnetic fluctuation\textsuperscript{28}, orbital order\textsuperscript{29}, helicity order\textsuperscript{30}, staggered quadrupole moment\textsuperscript{31}, octupolar moment\textsuperscript{32}, hexadecapolar order\textsuperscript{33}, linear antiferromagnetic order\textsuperscript{34}, incommensurate hybridization wave\textsuperscript{34}, spin nematic order\textsuperscript{35}, modulated spin liquid\textsuperscript{36}, $j\cdot j$ fluctuations\textsuperscript{37}, unscreened Anderson lattice model\textsuperscript{38}, among others\textsuperscript{3}. However, a general consensus for the microscopic origin of the HO parameter has not yet been attained.

Formulating the correct model for the HO state requires the knowledge of the broken symmetries and the associated electronic degrees of freedom that are active during this transition. A recent torque measurement on high quality single crystal sample reveals that the four-fold rotational symmetry of the crystal becomes spontaneously broken\textsuperscript{25} at the onset of the HO state. Furthermore, several momentum-resolved spectroscopic data unambiguously indicate the presence of a translational symmetry breaking at a longitudinal incommensurate wavevector $Q_h = (1\pm0.4, 0, 0)$\textsuperscript{14,16,18,39}. Previous first-principle calculation has demonstrated that an
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### Results

**Ab initio band structure.** In order to find out the symmetry properties of the low-lying states, we begin with investigating the \textit{ab initio} ‘parent’ band dispersion and the FS of URu\textsubscript{2}Si\textsubscript{2}.\textsuperscript{33} In \textsubscript{33} Fig. 1. The electronic structure in the vicinity of the Fermi level (\(E_F\)) (±0.2 eV) is dominated by the 5\textit{f} states of U atom in the entire Brillouin zone.\textsuperscript{14–16,33,39,42} Owing to the SO coupling and the tetragonal symmetry, the 5\textit{f} states split into the octet \(J = \frac{5}{2}(\Gamma_5)\) states and the sextet \(J = \frac{5}{2}(\Gamma_6)\) states.\textsuperscript{43} URu\textsubscript{2}Si\textsubscript{2} follows a typical band progression in which the \(\Gamma_8\) bands are pushed upward to the empty states while the \(\Gamma_6\) states drop to the vicinity of \(E_F\). The corresponding FS in \textit{Fig}. 1d reveals that an even number of anti-crossing features occurs precisely at the intersection between two oppositely dispersing conducting sheets. Unlike in topological insulators,\textsuperscript{34} the departure of the band crossing points from the \(TR\)-invariant momenta here precludes the opening of an inverted band gap at the crossings, and Dirac-cones crop up with Kramer’s degeneracy in the bulk states. Therefore, URu\textsubscript{2}Si\textsubscript{2} is an intrinsically trivial topological metal above the HO transition temperature.

The SO interaction introduces two prominent FS instabilities at \(Q_2 = (1, 0, 0)\) and \(Q_6 = (1 \pm 0, 0, 0)\). The commensurate wavevector \(Q_2\) might be responsible for the LMAF phase,\textsuperscript{35} which is separated from the HO state via a first order phase transition.\textsuperscript{19–21} As it is often unlikely to have two phases of same broken symmetry but separated by a phase boundary, we expect that LMAF and HO phases are different.] In general, the order parameter that emerges due to a broken symmetry relies incipiently on the good quantum number and symmetry properties of the ‘parent’ or non-interacting Hamiltonian. In case of URu\textsubscript{2}Si\textsubscript{2}, spin and orbital are not the good quantum numbers, rather the presence of the SO coupling renders the total angular momentum to become the good quantum number. Therefore, SU(2) symmetry can not be defined for spin or orbital alone, and the ‘parent’ Hamiltonian has to be defined in SU(2)⊗SU(2) representation. The ‘parent’ Hamiltonian also accommodate other symmetries coming from its crystal, wavefunction properties which we desire to incorporate to formulate the HO parameter.

![Figure 1](image1.png) **Figure 1** | \textit{Ab initio} band structure and Fermi surface of URu\textsubscript{2}Si\textsubscript{2}. (a) Computed non-interacting energy dispersions of URu\textsubscript{2}Si\textsubscript{2} using Wien2k software,\textsuperscript{33} are presented along \(\Gamma(0,0,0), X(\pi,0,0), M(\pi,\pi,0)\), and \(Z(0,0,\pi)\) directions. The band structure is consistent with the previous full potential local orbitals (FPLO) and full potential linearized augmented plane wave (FPLAPW) calculations in the paramagnetic state.\textsuperscript{33} The low-energy dispersions along \(\Gamma-X\) is expanded in (b) and contrasted with the same but without the SO coupling in (c). The FS in the \(k_z = 0\) plane is shown in (d). The red arrow dictates the FS ‘hot-spot’ that emerges after including SO coupling.

![Figure 2](image2.png) **Figure 2** | Spin-orbit density wave and the hidden-order gap opening. (a) A typical form of the staggered SO order is schematically described for an illustrative case of commensurate wavevector. The solid and dashed circles encode two opposite orbitals, \(\tau = \pm\), where the associated arrows depict their ‘pseudospins’ \(\sigma\). Both \(\tau\) and \(\sigma\) representing orbital and spin respectively, individually break \(TR\) symmetry, while their product remains \(TR\) invariant. (b) Model dispersions of the \(E(\pm \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})\) and \(E(\pm \frac{3}{2})\) subbands plotted along the axial direction. Black and red lines give dispersion before and after including the HO gap, respectively. An artificially large value of \(\Delta = 50\) meV is chosen here to clearly explicate the momentum dependence of the modulated SO gap opening. (c) Modifications of DOS upon entering into the HO phase are compared with measured DOS in the STM experiment (green line).\textsuperscript{35} Note that the experimental data is subtracted from the background spectrum at \(T > T_{ho}\) which helps highlight the appearance of multiple structures in the DOS spectrum at the HO state. Here the gap magnitude \(\Delta(0) = 5\) meV, obtained at a coupling strength of \(g = 27\) meV, see SI. Inset: The self-consistent value of \(\Delta(T)\) exhibits the mean-field behavior of the HO gap, in consistent with experiments.\textsuperscript{34} We obtain \(T_{ho} = 22\) K which is larger than the experimental value of \(T_{ho} = 17.5\) K. However, recently it has been pointed out that there exists a ‘pseudogap’ above the HO state,\textsuperscript{35} which presumably reduces the mean-field temperature scale. (d) RPA result of SO correlation function at \(g = 28.4\) meV shows a resonance peak at \(\omega_{Q2} = 4.7\) meV at \(Q_6\) in good agreement with experimental data.\textsuperscript{35,39}
collectively propagate with alternating sign in the total angular momentum at the wavelength determined by the modulation vector. This is the guiding instability that drives spontaneous rotational symmetry breaking, while the $TR$ symmetry remains intact (see Fig. 2a). This is because, both $SU(2)$ groups for spin and orbital separately are odd under $TR$, but their product $SU(2) \otimes SU(2)$ becomes even. As the parent state is not a non-trivial topological phase, a gap is opened to lift the FS instability.

Low-energy effective model. Motivated by the above-mentioned experimental results and band structure symmetry properties, we formulate a simple and unified model by using the theory of invariant$^{44}$. We restrict our discussion to the low-lying $\Gamma_{\text{e}}$ bands and neglect the unfilled $\Gamma_{\text{e}}$ bands. Due to $\{j\}$ SO coupling and $TR$, the $\Gamma_{\text{e}}$ atomic states consist of three doublets, characterized by up and down 'pseudospins': $m_j = \pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{3}{2}, \pm \frac{5}{2}$ where $m_j$ is the $z$ component of $J$. On entering into the HO state, the FS instability commences in between the two doubly degenerate $|m_j| = \frac{3}{2}$ states only$^{39,35}$. If no other symmetry is broken, the degenerate $|m_j| = \frac{3}{2}$ state remains unaltered in the HO state$^4$, and hence they are not considered in our model Hamiltonian.

Throughout this paper, we consistently use two indices: orbital index $\tau = |m_j| = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$, and 'pseudospin' $\sigma = \uparrow(\uparrow), \downarrow(\downarrow)$. In this notation, we consider the 'pseudospinor' field $\Psi^\dagger(k) = \{f_{k_{\uparrow\uparrow}}, f_{k_{\uparrow\downarrow}}, f_{k_{\downarrow\uparrow}}, f_{k_{\downarrow\downarrow}}\}$, where $f_{k_{\tau,\sigma}}$ is the creation operator for an electron in the orbital $|m_j| = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$ with momentum $k$ and 'pseudospin' $\sigma$.

The representation of the symmetry operations that belongs to the $D_{3h}$ symmetry of the URu$_2$Si$_2$ crystal structure is: $TR$, inversion symmetry $I$, four-fold rotational symmetry $C_4$, and the two reflection symmetries $P_{x'y'}$. The SO $f$-state of actinides is invariant under all symmetries except the mirror reflection, which in fact allows the formation of the SO density wave into a finite gap in the HO state (see SI). On the basis of these symmetry considerations, it is possible to deduce the general form of the non-interacting Hamiltonian as:

$$H_0 = \sum_{k,\sigma} \Psi^\dagger(k) \left( \begin{array}{cc} h_{11}(k) & h_{12}(k) \\ h_{21}(k) & h_{22}(k) \end{array} \right) \Psi(k),$$

where $h_{TT}(k) = \epsilon_{TT}(k) + d_{TT}(k) \tau$.

Here, $\tau^\mu (\mu \in \{0, x, y, z\})$ depict the 2D Pauli matrices in the orbital space and $\epsilon^\sigma$ is the identity matrix ($\sigma^\text{r}$ matrices will be used later to define the spin space). The $TR$ invariance requires that $h_{22/21}(k) = h_{11/12}(-k)$. Under $TR$ and $I$, the symmetry of $\epsilon_{TT}(k)$ and $d_{TT}(k)$ must complement to their corresponding identity and Pauli Matrix counterparts, respectively. Hence we obtain the Slater-Koster hopping terms as: $\{e(k), d^\sigma(k), d^\tau(k)\}_{11} = [-2t(\cos k_x + \cos k_y), -2t(\sin k_x - \sin k_y), -2t(\cos k_x - \cos k_y)]$ and $\{e(k), d^\sigma(k), d^\tau(k)\}_{11} = [0.0, -2t(\cos k_x/2), 2t(\cos k_y/2)]$. The obtained values of the tight-binding hopping parameters as $(t_1, t_2, t_3) = (-45, 45, 50, -25)$ in meV. The above Hamiltonian can be solved analytically which gives rise to four SO-split energy dispersions as:

$$E^{\tau\sigma}(k) = \epsilon(k) + \tau \sqrt{\sum_{\mu} |d_{\mu}(k)|^2} + \sigma \sqrt{\sum_{\mu} |d_{\mu}^\sigma(k)|^2}. $$

Here $\sigma = \pm$ and $\tau = \pm$ become band indices. An important difference of the present Hamiltonian with that of bulk topological insulators$^5$ or quantum spin-Hall systems$^6$ is the absence of a mass or gap parameter in the former case. The computed non-interacting bands are plotted in Fig. 2b, which exhibit several Dirac points along the high-symmetry lines. Focusing on the Dirac point close to $E_F$, we find that it occurs at the crossing between bands $E^\uparrow$ and $E^\downarrow$, demonstrating that it hosts four-fold Kramer's degeneracy (two orbitals and two spins). Therefore, lifting this degeneracy requires the presence of a SO order parameter. However, it is important to note that the gap opening at the Dirac point is not a manifestation of the presence of degeneracy at it, but a consequence of the SO density wave caused by FS instability.

SO density wave induced HO. The 'hot-spot' $Q_h$ divides the unit cell into a reduced 'SO Brillouin zone' in which we can define the Nambu operator in the usual way $\{\Psi^\dagger(k), \Psi^\dagger(k + Q_h), \Psi(k + Q_h)\}$. In this notation, the SO density wave (SODW) interaction term can be written in general as

$$H_{SODW} = \sum_{\mu} g^{\mu\nu} : \left[ \Psi^\dagger(k) \Gamma^\mu \Psi(k + Q_h) \right]^2 :,$$

where $\mu, \nu \in \{0, x, y, z\}$. The symbol $: \cdot :$ represents normal ordering. Here $g$ is the contact coupling interaction arising from screened interorbital Coulomb term embedded in Hund's coupling parameter, and $\Gamma^\mu = \tau^\mu \otimes \sigma^\nu, \tau$ and $\sigma$ represent Pauli matrices in orbital and spin bases, respectively. Absorbing $g$ and $I$ into one term we define the mean-field order parameter

$$M^{\mu\nu}(k) = g^{\mu\nu}(k) \left( \Psi^\dagger(k) \left( \tau^\mu \otimes \sigma^\nu \right) \Psi(k + Q_h) \right),$$

and

$$M^\mu(k) = g^{\mu\nu}(k) \{f_{k_{\tau,\sigma}}^\dagger \sigma_{\tau,\sigma} f_{k + Q_h, \tau, \sigma} \}. $$

Here $\tau, \tau'$ and $\sigma, \sigma'$ (not in bold font) are the components of the $\tau^\text{r}$ and $\sigma^\text{r}$ matrices, respectively. Without any loss of generality we fix the spin orientation along $z$-directions ($\nu = z$). Therefore, we drop the index $\nu$ henceforth. Furthermore we define the gap vector as $E^\mu_{\tau\sigma} = g^{\mu\nu}(k) t_{\tau\sigma}^\nu$, where we split the interaction term $g(k)$ into a constant onsite term and the dimensionless order parameter $\Delta(k)$. With these substitutions, we obtain the final result for the order parameter as

$$M^\mu = \left( \sum_{\nu} f_{k_{\tau,\sigma}}^\dagger \sigma_{\tau,\sigma} f_{k + Q_h, \tau, \sigma} \right).$$

Eq. 7 admits a plethora of order parameters related to the SO density wave formations which break symmetry in different ways. Among them, we rule out those parameters which render gapless states by using the symmetry arguments (see SI): All four order parameters obey $I$ symmetry, while only $M^\mu$ term is even under $TR$, because it is the product of two odd terms $\tau$ and $\sigma$ (we drop the superscript 'y' henceforth). This is the only term which commences a finite gap opening if the translational or rotational symmetry is spontaneously broken. We have shown in SI that there exists a considerably large parameter space of coupling constant $\gamma$ where this order parameter dominates.

Eq. 7 implies that spin and orbital orderings occur simultaneously along the 'hot-spot' direction $Q_h$, as illustrated in Fig. 2a. It propagates along $Q_{h1}$ or $Q_{h2}$ directions with alternating signs (particle-hole pairs) to commence a SO density wave. The resulting Hamiltonian breaks the four-fold rotational symmetry down to a two-fold one $C_2$, and gives rise to a so-called spin-orbit 'smectic' state which breaks both translational and $C_2$ symmetry$^{30}$. The present $TR$ invariant SO order parameter is inherently distinct from any spin or orbital or even interorbital spin-density wave order which break $TR$ symmetry. This criterion also rules out any similarly between our present SO smectic state with the spin-nematic phase$^{30}$ or spin-liquid state$^{30}$. Furthermore, the present order parameter is different from $TR$ invariant 'hybridization wave' (between $f$ and $d$ orbitals of same
spin), or charge density wave or others\textsuperscript{30,32}, as SO order involves flipping of both orbital (between split \textit{j} orbitals that belong to \Gamma_{6} symmetry) and spin simultaneously. Taking into account the band-structure information that \(Q_{i}\) represents the interband nesting, it is instructive to focus on only \(b_{1}(k)\) component (thus the subscript ‘1’ is eliminated hereafter). Therefore, the SO density wave does not introduce a spin or orbital moment, but a polarization in the total angular momentum \(\delta m_{j}=\pm 2\) [for the ordering between \(\frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\right)\) and \(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)\)].

The \(b\) vector belongs to the same irreducible point group representation, \(E_{\text{cr}}\), of the crystal with odd parity, and can be defined by |\(b(k)|=2i\gamma(\Delta \sin k_{\alpha}a, 2i\gamma(\Delta \sin k_{\alpha}a)\) for the wavevectors \(Q_{i}=(1 \pm 0.4, 0, 0)\), \(Q_{s}=(0, 1 \pm 0.4, 0)\), respectively. The mean-field Hamiltonian for the HO state within an effective two band model reduces to the general form \(H_{\text{MF}}=H_{0}+H_{\text{SODW}}\), where the particle-hole coupling term is

\[
H_{\text{SODW}}=2i\sum_{k}\sum_{\mu=x,y}^{n} \Delta^{\mu} \sin \left(\vec{k}_{\mu}a\right)\delta_{k_{\mu},1}+\alpha^{+}_{k,2}+h.c. \tag{8}
\]

In the Nambu representation, it is obvious that the HO state merely adds a mass term to the \(d_{\mu,2}\) term defined above. At the band-crossing points located where \(|\vec{d}_{\mu,2}|=0\), a gap opens by the value of |\(b(k)|\). Figure 2 demonstrates the development of the quasi-particle structure in the HO state. The band progression and the associated gap opening is fully consistent with the angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) observations\textsuperscript{15,16}. The scanning tunneling microscopy and spectroscopic (STM/S)\textsuperscript{8,14} fingerprints of the gap opening in the density of state (DOS) is also described nicely within our calculations, see Fig. 2c.

**Discussion**

The SO moment is \(\Sigma_{\mu,\alpha,\beta}(q,T)=\sum_{k}J_{\sigma,\alpha,\beta}(T)\left[\delta\vec{k}_{\mu} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{\mu}\delta_{k+q,\alpha,\beta}(T)\right]\), where \(T\) is imaginary time. Introducing simplified indices \(\alpha, \beta = \tau'\sigma'\), the correlation function of \(\Sigma_{\mu,\alpha,\beta}(q,T)\) can be defined as \(x_{\mu,\alpha,\beta}(q,T)=\frac{1}{N}\left(\sum_{\tau}J_{\alpha,\beta}(T)\frac{\delta k_{\mu}}{\delta q_{\alpha,\beta}}\right)\), where \(T_{\tau}\) is normal time-ordering. Our numerical calculation of the \(x_{\mu,\alpha,\beta}(Q_{h},\omega)\) within random-phase approximation (RPA) yields an inelastic neutron scattering (INS) mode with enhanced intensity at \(Q_{h}\), near \(\omega_{0} \sim 4.7\) MeV below \(T_{\gamma}\) as shown in Fig. 1d. INS data (symbols) at a slightly large momentum agrees well with our calculation, however, a polarized INS measurement will be of considerable value to distinguish our SO density wave order scenario. In what follows, the magnetic critical field can be obtained from \(|\delta B_{c}|=\frac{2\pi\gamma}{\Delta(\gamma-1)}\)\textsuperscript{45}, where \(\Delta\) is the critical field and \(\gamma_{0}=(\gamma^{0})_{\tau_{k}}(\gamma^{0})_{\tau_{k}}\) at the resonance mode that develops in the HO state. \(\gamma=|q||\Delta|m_{\text{eff}}|=2g_{B}B_{c}\) and bare g-factor \(g = 0.8\). Substituting \(\gamma_{0} = 4.7\) MeV, we get the location of the QCP at \(B_{\text{c}} \approx 38\) T, which is close the experimental value of \(B_{\text{c}} = 34\) T.

The HO gap is protected from any \(TR\) invariant perturbation such as pressure (with sufficient pressure the HO transforms into the LMAF phase), while \(TR\) breaking perturbation such as magnetic field will destroy the order. Remarkably, these are the hallmark features of the HO states\textsuperscript{2,15,46}, which find a natural explanation within our SO density wave order scenario. In what follows, the magnetic field will destroy the HO state even at \(T = 0\) K, that means at a quantum critical point (QCP) as the HO is a spontaneously broken symmetry phase\textsuperscript{47}. However, due to the finite gap opening at the HO state, it requires finite field to destroy the order. The thermodynamical critical field can be obtained from \(\Delta=\left(\chi_{0}(\omega_{0})\right)_{\Delta}^{2}\)\textsuperscript{48}, where \(\Delta\) is the critical field and \(\chi_{0}(\omega_{0})\) is the static magnetic susceptibility at \(\omega_{0}\). In our present model, we expect two surface states of opposite spin connecting different orbitals inside the HO gap. As the system is a weak-topological system, the surface states are unlikely to be topologically protected. The SO locking of these states can be probed by ARPES using circular polarized incident photon which will be a definite test of this postulate.

We now evaluate the topological invariant index of interacting Hamiltonian in Eq. 8 to demonstrate that HO gap opening in URu\textsubscript{2}Si\textsubscript{2} also induces topological phase transition. To characterize the topological phenomena, we recall the Fu-Kane classification scheme\textsuperscript{49} which implies that if a time-reversal invariant system possesses an odd value of \(Z_{2}\) invariant index, the system is guaranteed to be topologically non-trivial. \(Z_{2}\) index is evaluated by the time-reversal invariant index \(v_{T}=\pm 1\), if defined, for all filled bands as \(Z_{2}=v_{T}v_{B}...v_{n}\), where \(n\) is the total number of orbitals in the Fermi sea. A more efficient method of determining the topological phase is called the adiabatic transformation scheme used earlier in realizing a large class of topological systems, especially when \(Z_{2}\) calculation is difficult\textsuperscript{46}. In this method, the non-trivial topological phase of a system can be realized by comparing its band-progression with respect to an equivalent trivial topological system. URu\textsubscript{2}Si\textsubscript{2} is topologically trivial above the HO state, i.e. \(Z_{2} = +1\). The gap opening makes the top of the valence band (odd parity) to drop below \(E_{F}\) as shown in Fig. 2b. Thereby, an odd parity gained in the occupied level endows the system to a non-trivial topological metal. To see that we evaluate the topological index for the HO term as \(v_{\text{HO}}=|d_{k}\text{K}(k)|\), where the corresponding Berry curvature can be written in terms of \(b\)-vector as \(\Omega=\hat{b}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}d_{k}}{\partial k_{x}} - \frac{\partial^{2}d_{k}}{\partial k_{y}}\right)\) for each spin with \(\hat{b}=b/|b|\). Due to the odd parity symmetry of \(b\), it is easy to show that \(v_{\text{HO}} = -1\) which makes the total \(Z_{2}\) value of the HO phase to be \(Z_{2}^{\text{trivial}}=Z_{2}^{\text{HO}} \times Z_{2}^{\text{trivial}} = -1\), and hence we show that hidden order gapping is a topologically nontrivial phase. The consequence of a topological bulk gap is the presence of surface states\textsuperscript{51}. In our present model, we expect two surface states of opposite spin connecting different orbitals inside the HO gap. As the system is a weak-topological system, the surface states are unlikely to be topologically protected. The SO locking of these states can be probed by ARPES using circular polarized incident photon which will be a definite test of this postulate.

In summary, we proposed a novel SO density wave order parameter for the HO state in URu\textsubscript{2}Si\textsubscript{2}. Such order parameter is \(TR\) symmetry invariant. We find no fundamental reason why such order parameter cannot develop in other systems in which both electronic correlation and SO of any kind are strong. Some of the possible materials include heavy fermion systems, Iridates\textsuperscript{4}, SrTiO\textsubscript{3} surface states\textsuperscript{49}, SrTiO\textsubscript{3}/LiAlO\textsubscript{2} interface\textsuperscript{52}, Half-Heusler topological insulator\textsuperscript{53}, and other \(d\) - and \(f\)-electron systems with strong SO. In particular, a Rashba-type SO appears due to relativistic effect in two-dimensional electron system yielding helical FSs. In such systems, the FS instability may render similar SO density wave, and the resulting quasi-particle gap opening is observed on the surface state of
BiAg alloys even when the spin-degeneracy remains intact. Furthermore, recent experimental findings of quasiparticle gapping in the surface state of topological insulator due to quantum phase transition even in the absence of time-reversal symmetry breaking can also be interpreted as the development of some sort of spin orbit order.
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