The Level of Children’s Independence with Cerebral Palsy in Several Regions in Java and Sumatra
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Abstract
Background: The most common disorder or disability in childhood is cerebral palsy, obtained during the antenatal, perinatal or early postnatal period. Cerebral palsy is a non-progressive injury or brain lesion with very variable clinical manifestations. Children with cerebral palsy have impaired movement, ability levels and functional limitations and affected body parts. Therefore, to find out the level of independence in children with cerebral palsy, it is necessary to have an examination carried out by health workers, especially physiotherapy. In this case, an examination using the Gross Motor Function Classification System (GMFCS). The purpose of this study was to determine the degree of independence of children with cerebral palsy in several regions in Java and Sumatra.

Methods: A total of 315 children with cerebral palsy (mean ± SD) participated in this cross-sectional study design. The research was conducted in March-June 2019. GMFCS data was collected from children with cerebral palsy in the parent community of cerebral palsy in 10 cities. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test used for data normality test.

Results: Overall research subjects from 10 cerebral palsy communities with a total sample of 315 children, most cerebral palsy with GMFCS level 4 as many as 117 children (37.1%), then GMFCS level 3 as many as 84 children (26.7%), GMFCS level 5 is 67 children (21.3%), level 2 GMFCS is 24 children (7.6%), and at least children with level 1 GMFCS are 23 children (7.3%).

Conclusion: The conclusion is from a total of 315 children with cerebral palsy, the level of Indonesian children's independence based on GMFCS most of them are less independent.
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INTRODUCTION
Children experience growth and development processes that begin from the womb, infancy, toddler, school, age and adolescence, where in the growth and development of children can experience obstacles caused by many factors. Children who experience neurological dysfunction can cause weakness and disability with will affect the next life (Ari, 2014; Purnomo, 2013).

Cerebral palsy is a collection of motor disorders due to brain damage that occurs before, during or after birth which can be caused by many factors such as, congenital, genetic, inflation, infection and poisoning during pregnancy, trauma and metabolic disorders (Colver, Fairhurst and Pharoah, 2014). Damage to the child's brain, affects the motor system and as a result the child has poor coordination, poor balance or abnormal movement patterns or a combination of these characteristics (Morgan and McGinley, 2018; Selekta, 2018).

Congenital cerebral palsy (or cerebral palsy present from birth) has the largest proportion in cases of cerebral palsy (Selina, Priambodo and Sakundarno, 2013). In other children, injuries that occur during birth or in early childhood can be considered a cause of cerebral palsy. When motor disorders appear after age 5, they differ slightly from cerebral palsy motor disorders and are usually diagnosed as injuries to adults, such as strokes or traumatic brain injuries (A.T., K. and M., 2009; Pakula, Van Naarden Braun and Yeargin-Allsopp, 2009; Graham et al., 2016).
The topographic classification of cerebral palsy is monoplegia, hemiplegia, diplegia and quadriplegia; monoplegia and triplegia are relatively rare (MacLennan, Thompson and Gecz, 2015). There is substantial overlap in the affected area. Cerebral Palsy (CP) is the most common motor disability disorder in children with a prevalence of 2-3 per 1000 live births (Stavsky et al., 2017). 50% of cases are mild, namely the patient can take care of himself, and 10% is classified as severe, namely the patient requires special services. 35% are accompanied by seizures and 50% have speech problems, with an average of 70% spastic type, 10-20% atetotic type, 5-10% ataxia, and the rest are mixed type (Selina, Priambodo and Sakundarno, 2013; Gulati and Sondhi, 2018).

Cerebral palsy is not a disease entity in the traditional sense. The most common disorder or disability in childhood is cerebral palsy obtained during the antenatal, perinatal or early postnatal period. Cerebral palsy is a non-progressive injury or brain lesion with very variable clinical manifestations. Children with cerebral palsy have impaired movement, ability levels and functional limitations and affected body parts. (Liptak et al., 2011; Arshad et al., 2018).

Cerebral palsy is a term used to describe disorders of motion and posture that occur in children. Motion disorders and posture are not caused due to problems in the muscle data or nerves, but are caused by abnormalities in the brain that occur during the period of development. In other words, brain development is imperfect, whereas we know that the brain is the center of movement control (Graham et al., 2016; Shira Sender, 2019).

So from the description above, to find out the level of independence in children with cerebral palsy, it is necessary to have an examination carried out by health workers, especially physiotherapy. In this case, an examination using Gross Motor Function Measure (GMFM) and Gross Motor Function Classification System (GMFCS) (Harvey, 2017; Silva, Pfeifer and Funayama, 2013).

GMFM is a type of clinical measurement that can be done in children with cerebral palsy. Consists of 88 examination items including activities in lying and rolling positions (17 items), sitting (20 items), crawling and kneeling (14 items), standing (13 items), walking (12 items), running and jumping (12 items). There are 4 assessment scores namely 0, 1, 2 and 3, each of which has the meaning 0 = has no initiative, 1 = initiative, 2 = can do part, 3 = can do the whole movement (Silva, Pfeifer and Funayama, 2013; Kenyon, 2014).

Based on the explanation above, the formulation of the problem that the author wants to point out is what is the level of independence of children with cerebral palsy in several regions in Java and Sumatra?

The goal to be achieved is to determine the level of independence of children with cerebral palsy in several regions in Java and Sumatra.

METHODS

This type of research is descriptive research which is a method of examining human status, an object, a situation or condition, system of thought, or a broad range of thoughts in the present. The purpose of this research is to research a group of people, an object, a set of...
conditions in a system of thought or broad thinking in the present with the aim of describing, describing, or describing systematically, factually, and accurately about facts, traits, and the relationship between the phenomena investigated.

The research have conducted in March-June 2019. Treatment in the form of survey data and direct examination of children with cerebral palsy in the parents' community association Cerebral palsy existing in 10 cities namely Surakarta, Karanganyar, Sragen, Yogyakarta, Mojokerto, Bandung, Bogor, Tangerang, Padang and Pekanbaru.

The subjects were 315 cerebral palsy children from 10 community associations of parents of cerebral palsy children who met the inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Inclusion criteria that must be met are as follows: (1) children with a medical diagnosis of cerebral palsy and (2) age range between 2-18 years.

Exclusion criteria are (1) Cerebral palsy children who are taking part in an intervention program other than physiotherapy, (2) the presence of severe complications that interfere with motor function such as contractures and deformity, respiratory distress, and poor nutritional status.

The drop-out criteria are (1) the subject did not complete the intervention program more than twice or more during the intervention period and (2) was sick, injured, or died so as to stop the exercise program.

The variable in this study is the level of independence of cerebral palsy children, namely the ability of cerebral palsy children to perform functional activities in daily life independently.

The measuring instrument used in this study is the Expanded and Revised Gross Motor Function Classification System (GMFCS - ER) (Kenyon, 2014). GMFCS-ER is an alternative approach to classifying cerebral palsy. Based on the concept of disability and functional limitations as determined by WHO, GMFCS-ER can be used to classify children according to their level of motor ability in the age range of 2 to 18 years. GMFCS-ER is presented in five classification classes where the higher the level, the less the ability of children. In general, the level of GMFCS-ER classification is as follows: (1) level I children are able to walk without obstacles in various road terrains, (2) level II children are able to walk with obstacles on certain road terrain, (3) level III children are able to walk but using hand-held aids such as crutches, walkers or sticks, (4) level IV of child mobility is increasingly limited; where children use electrical mobility aids and need caregiver assistance in several activities, (5) level V children fully need caregiver assistance in their activities and in ambulation children must use manual wheelchairs.

Data normality test is used to determine whether the data to be analyzed is normally distributed or not. Statistical analysis is used to test the normality of data using the saphiro-wilk test if the subject is ≤ 50. Whereas the subject of ≥ 50 uses the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The basis for decision making is if the probability p> 0.05 then the data distribution is declared normal. If the data is normally distributed then the statistical analysis used is parametric. Conversely if p<0.05 then the data are declared to be abnormally distributed and statistical analysis using non-parametric. The data is then analyzed with a frequency
distribution table using the SPSS-20 application.

RESULTS

Characteristics of The Children

The study was conducted in a multi-flashlight manner in 10 cerebral palsy communities in Indonesia, namely in Surakarta, Sragen, Karanganyar, Yogyakarta, Mojokerto, Bandung, Bogor, Jakarta, Padang and Pekanbaru.

The research sample is the total population of cerebral palsy children in all communities that meet the research criteria, namely the age range of 2-18 years, with a total sampling method.

Table 1. Subject Distribution

| City        | Frequency |
|-------------|-----------|
| Surakarta   | 45        |
| Sragen      | 30        |
| Karanganyar | 23        |
| Yogyakarta  | 41        |
| Mojokerto   | 19        |
| Bandung     | 37        |
| Bogor       | 30        |
| Jakarta     | 20        |
| Padang      | 32        |
| Pekanbaru   | 38        |

Amount 315

Based on the table 1, data of 326 cerebral palsy children in all communities, the number of samples that met the inclusion criteria was 315 children so the number of samples in this study was 315 research samples that did not meet the inclusion criteria were 11 children, namely 3 children over 18 years, 2 children with severe respiratory distress, and 6 children with complications of contractures and deformities.

Picture 1. Distribution of Subjects by Gender

Based on the picture 1, it appears that the research subjects of 10 cerebral palsy communities are mostly male, as many as 191 children (61%), and a small proportion are female as many as 124 children (39%).
Based on the picture 2, it appears that the research subjects of the 10 cerebral palsy communities are mostly late childhood aged 7-12 years, which is 152 children (48%), and most are early childhood aged 2-6 years, namely 68 children (22%).

Based on the picture 3, it appears that the research subjects of 10 cerebral palsy communities are mostly quadriplegia types, as many as 103 children (32%), 119 diplegia children (38%), hemiplegia 27 children (9%), hypotonia 12 children (4%), athetosis 50 children (16%) and ataxia with 4 children (1%).

Based on the picture 4, it appears that the study subjects 10 cerebral palsy communities are mostly cerebral palsy with prenatal risk factors of 219 children.
(69.5%), perinatal risk factors of 61 children (30.5%), and postnatal risk factors of 35 children (11%).

Specific description of research results is the distribution of research subjects based on level independence of children in 10 cerebral palsy communities with the following research results:

1. **Level of Independence in The Surakarta Community**

![Image](5. Distribution of Subjects in Surakarta based on GMFCS)

Based on the picture 5, it appears that from the number of research subjects 32 children in the cerebral palsy community in Surakarta, most of the children with GMFCS level 4 were 13 children (40.6%), then GMFCS levels 3 and 5 were 7 children each (21.9%), level 1 GMFCS is 3 children (9.4%), and at least children with level 2 GMFCS are 2 children (6.3%).

2. **Level of Independence in The Karanganyar Community**

![Image](6. Distribution of Subjects in Karanganyar based on GMFCS)

Based on the picture 6, it appears that from the number of research subjects 35 children in the cerebral palsy community in Karanganyar, most of the children with GMFCS level 4 were 14 children (40.6%), then GMFCS levels 3 and 5 namely as many as 8 children each (21.9%), GMFCS level 2 as many as 3 children (8.6%), and the least number of children with GMFCS level 1, namely 2 children (5.7%).

3. **Level of Independence in The Sragen Community**


Based on the picture 7, it appears that from the number of research subjects 30 children in the cerebral palsy community in Sragen, most children with GMFCS level 3 are 12 children (40%), then GMFCS level 4 which is 8 children (26.7%), GMFCS level 5 that is 7 children (23.3%), GMFCS level 5 is as much as 2 children (6.3%), and at least children with level 1 GMFCS are 1 child (3.3%).

4. Level of Independence in The Yogyakarta Community

Based on the picture 8, it appears that from the number of research subjects 32 children in the cerebral palsy community in Yogyakarta, most children with GMFCS level 4 as many as 12 children (37.5%), then GMFCS level 3, as many as 10 children (31.3%), GMFCS level 5 are 4 children (12.5%), Level 5 GMFCS are 4 children (12.5%), and at least children with level 1 GMFCS are 2 children (6.3%).

5. Level of Independence in The Mojokerto Community

Based on the picture 9, it appears that from the number of research subjects 32 children in the cerebral palsy community in Mojokerto, most children with GMFCS level 4 were 12 children (37.5%), then GMFCS level 5 was 11
children (34.4%), Level 3 GMFCS is 4 children (12.5%), level 1 GMFCS is 3 children (9.4%), and at least children with level 2 GMFCS are 2 children (6.3%).

6. Level of Independence in The Bandung Community

![Bar Chart: Level of GMFCS in Bandung]

Picture 10. Distribution of Subjects in Bandung Based on GMFCS

Based on the picture 10, it appears that from the number of research subjects 32 children in the cerebral palsy community in Bandung, most of the children with GMFCS level 4 were 13 children (39.4%), then GMFCS 4 and level 5 were 7 children each (21.2%), and at least children with level 2 and 1 GMFCS, namely 3 children each (9.1%).

7. Level of Independence in the Bogor Community

![Bar Chart: Level GMFCS in Bogor]

Picture 11. Distribution of Subjects in Bogor Based on GMFCS

Based on the picture 11, it appears that from the number of research subjects 30 children in the cerebral palsy community in Bogor, most of the children with GMFCS level 3 and level 5 are 10 children (33.3%), then GMFCS level 5 is 5 children (16.7%). Level 1 GMFCS are 3 children (10%), and at least children with level 2 GMFCS are 2 children (6.7%).

8. Level of Independence in the Tangerang Community

![Bar Chart: Level GMFCS in Tangerang]

Picture 12. Distribution of subjects in Tangerang based on GMFCS
Based on the picture 12, it appears that from the number of research subjects 32 children in the cerebral palsy community in Tangerang, most of the children with GMFCS level 4 are 14 children (43.8%), then GMFCS level 3 is as many as 8 children (25%), GMFCS level 5, as many as 5 children (15.6%), level 1 GMFCS that is as much as 3 children (9.4%), and at least children with level 2 GMFCS are as many as 2 children (6.3%).

9. Level of Independence in the Padang Community

Based on the picture 13, it appears that from the number of research subjects 30 children in the cerebral palsy community in Padang, most of the children with level 3 and level 4 GMFCS were 10 children (33.3%), then GMFCS level 5 which was 6 children (20 %), and at least children with level 1 and level 2 GMFCS are 2 children (6.7%).

10. Level of Independence in the Pekanbaru Community

Based on the picture 14, it appears that from the number of research subjects 30 children in the cerebral palsy community in Pekanbaru, most children with GMFCS level 4 are 11 children (36.7%), then GMFCS level 3 is as many as 8 children (26.7% ), GMFCS level 5 is 7 children (23.3%), and at least children with level 1 and level 2 GMFCS are 2 children (6.7%).
Based on the picture 15, it appears that from the overall research subjects of 10 cerebral palsy communities with a total sample of 315 children, most cerebral palsy with GMFCS level 4 are 117 children (37.1%), then GMFCS level 3 is 84 children (26.7%), GMFCS level 5 with 67 children (21.3%), GMFCS level 2 with 24 children (7.6%), and at least children with GMFCS level 1 with 23 children (7.3%).

DISCUSSION

After conducting a multi-center research nationally in 10 cerebral palsy communities in Indonesia, based on gender criteria, the cerebral palsy children studied were mostly male, as many as 191 children (61%), and a small proportion were female as many as 124 children (39%).

Cerebral palsy and other nervous system development disorders from epidemiological studies are more common in boys compared to girls, but the clinical reasons for these differences are not known with certainty. Based on research in children with boys with preterm birth showed that brain tissue was more susceptible to damage to albedo subatansia and the occurrence of intraventricular bleeding (A.T., K. and M., 2009).

Research shows the results that hormonal factors and neuroprotective factors in the nervous system show different responses in men and women, and the results of other studies provide information that there are differences in the response of neurobiological systems to nerve tissue injuries between men and women (A.T., K. and M., 2009).

Based on age criteria, most of the cerebral palsy children studied were late childhood aged 7-12 years, namely 152 children (48%), and some were early childhood aged 2-6 years, namely 68 children (22%).

Based on the criteria of cerebral palsy type, most of the children studied were quadriplegia with 103 children (32%), diplegia 119 children (38%), hemiplegia 27 children (9%), 12 child hypotonia (4%), athetosis 50 children (16%) and ataxia 4 children (1%).

Based on the criteria of risk factors that cause cerebral palsy of cerebral palsy children, most of the cerebral palsy with 219 prenatal risk factors (69.5%), perinatal risk factors of 61 children (30.5%), and 35 postnatal risk factors children (11%).

Based on the results of statistical tests with chi square obtained $p = 0.016$ then $\alpha = 0.05$ is greater than 0.016, which means there is a significant relationship between the nutritional status of children...
with motor development of cerebral palsy children. From the results of the analysis also obtained the value of OR = 3.52 which means that children with good nutritional status have a 3.52 times chance to obtain good motor development (Beckung et al., 2008).

The incidence of cerebral palsy based on spastic CP is the most common type with a prevalence of 70%. Diksenic CP includes dystonia and atetod of 10-15%. Ataxia CP occurs at least 5%. Understanding mild motor deficits are patients who can take care of themselves, while those classified as severe motor deficits are sufferers who cannot take care of themselves need special care (Reddihough, 2011).

The incidence of cerebral palsy from studies conducted in Europe obtained a prevalence of 5 out of 1000 children showing motor deficits corresponding to cerebral palsy; 50% of cases are severe, while 10% are mild. Understanding mild motor deficits are patients who can take care of themselves, while those classified as severe motor deficits are sufferers who cannot take care of themselves need special care (A.T., K. and M., 2009).

CONCLUSION

Based on the results of the study and the results of data processing with frequency tables, from a total of 315 cerebral palsy children who were studied multi-flashlight 10 communities namely in Surakarta, Sragen, Karanganyar, Yogyakarta, Mojokerto, Bandung, Bogor, Jakarta, Padang and Pekanbaru, the level of children's independence based on GMFCS most of them are less independent.

This research is a descriptive study that has obtained the frequency distribution and percentage of aspects studied, namely the level of children's independence based on GMFCS, for further researchers should conduct experimental research by intervening in children with cerebral palsy with the aim of increasing the level of children's independence.
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