Long-Long or Long-Short Range Interactions of Nonlinear Schrödinger Systems in One Space Dimension
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1 Introduction and Main Results

Nonlinear Schrödinger systems are studied extensively from the view points of theory and applications, (see e.g., [1], [5], [20]). In this paper, we consider the Cauchy problem for the following nonlinear Schrödinger system

\begin{align*}
&\begin{cases}
i\partial_t v_1 + \frac{1}{2m_1} \partial_x^2 v_1 = \lambda_1 |v_1|^{p_1} v_1 + \mu_1 v_2^2 v_3^2, \\
i\partial_t v_2 + \frac{1}{2m_2} \partial_x^2 v_2 = \lambda_2 |v_2|^{p_2} v_2 + \mu_2 v_1^2 v_3^2, \\
i\partial_t v_3 + \frac{1}{2m_3} \partial_x^2 v_3 = \lambda_3 |v_3|^{p_3} v_3 + \mu_3 v_1 v_2 v_3 \\
v_j(0,x) = \phi_j(x),
\end{cases}
\end{align*}

(1.1)
in one space dimension, where \( x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0, m_j \) is a mass of a particle, \( v_j \) is an unknown function, \( p_j > 0, \lambda_j, \mu_j \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\} \) for \( j = 1, 2, 3 \). We assume that the mass resonance condition

\[ m_1 + m_2 = 2m_3. \]

(1.2)

We define \( E = e^{-\frac{i}{2} |\xi|^2}, M = e^{-\frac{i}{2} |x|^2}, D_t \phi = (it)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi \left( \frac{x}{t} \right) \) and \( \mathcal{F} \) is the Fourier transform. For \( \delta \neq 0 \), evolution operator \( U_\delta(t) \) is written as

\[ U_\delta(t) = M^{-\frac{1}{2}} D_{\delta t} \mathcal{F} M^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \]

We also have

\[ U_\delta(-t) = iM^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{F}^{-1} E^\delta D_{\delta t}. \]

These formulas are used to study asymptotic behavior of solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equations (see [10]).

There is a large amount of studies (see e.g.,[2], [22],[25] and [6] ) on the following nonlinear Schrödinger equation

\[ i\partial_t u + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 u = |u|^q u \]

(1.3)
in one space dimension, where \( x \in \mathbb{R}, q > 0 \). When \( 0 < q \leq 2 \), nonexistence of asymptotic free solutions to (1.3) was studied in [2]. On the other hand, existence of asymptotic free solutions to (1.3) was studied in [25], if \( q > 2 \) holds. Therefore \( q = 2 \) is regarded as the borderline of the short range interactions and long range ones in (1.3). The initial value problem for nonlinear Schrödinger equation

\[ i\partial_t u + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 u = \lambda |u|^q u \]

(1.4)
in one space dimension, where $x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0, \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, q = 2$, was studied in [24] for small initial data in the case of $\text{Im} \lambda < 0$. If $q < 2$ and $q$ is close to 2, (1.4) was investigated in [15] under the dissipative condition such that $\text{Im} \lambda < 0$ with the smallness conditions on the initial data, and in [16] and [12] under the strong dissipative conditions such that $\text{Im} \lambda < 0$ and $|\text{Im} \lambda| \geq \frac{q^2}{2(2-q)} \text{Re} \lambda$ without smallness conditions on the initial data. In these cases, nonlinear effects of the equation (1.4) are considered as dissipative ones. In [22], the final state problem for nonlinear Schrödinger equation with the nonlinear interaction term

$$i \partial_t u + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 u = \lambda |u|^2 u + \mu |u|^3 u \quad (1.5)$$

in one space dimension, where $x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0, u$ is an unknown function, $q > 2, \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, was considered and modified scattering operator was constructed. In this case, the first term of the equation (1.5) acts as the long range effect. On the other hand, the initial value problem for the equation (1.5) was studied in [14] on some situations of the system (1.7) was studied in [14] on some situations of the system (1.1). Global existence of small solutions to the system (1.7) is a special situation of the system (1.1). Therefore the system (1.7) is a special situation of the system (1.1). Global existence of small solutions to the system (1.7) was studied in [14] on some situations of the system (1.1). Global existence of small solutions to the system (1.7) was studied in [14] on some situations of the system (1.1).
was first studied in [13]. The author of [14] treats (1.7) by using the similar techniques as [13]. In [14], two theorems are presented. One of them says that if the estimate
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \sum_{j=1}^{3} |u_j| \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{3} |R_j|
\] holds, where \( u_j = D_{m_j} \mathcal{F} U_{m_j} (-t)v_j \), then (1.7) has global small solutions with time decay such that \( \| v(t) \|_{L^\infty} \leq C t^{-\frac{\theta}{2}} \) for \( t \geq 1 \). Another one of them says that if there exists a positive constant \( k \) such that
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \sum_{j=1}^{3} |u_j| + k^t \sum_{j=1}^{3} |u_j|^3 \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{3} |R_j|
\] holds, where \( u_j = D_{m_j} \mathcal{F} U_{m_j} (-t)v_j \), then global small solution \( v(t) \) to (1.7) exists and we have the time decay such that \( \| v(t) \|_{L^\infty} \leq C t^{-\frac{\theta}{2}} (\log (1 + t))^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \) for \( t \geq 0 \). We assume that there exist some positive constants \( k_1, k_2, k_3 > 0 \) such that
\[
k_1 \mu_1 + k_2 \mu_2 = k_3 \]
and
\[
\text{Im} \lambda_j \leq 0
\]
for \( j = 1, 2, 3 \). Then we have (1.9). In addition to (1.11), we assume that
\[
\text{Im} \lambda_j < 0
\]
for \( j = 1, 2, 3 \). Then we have (1.10). The main idea to get time decay of solutions as \( \| v(t) \|_{L^\infty} \leq C t^{-\frac{\theta}{2}} (\log (1 + t))^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \) for \( t \geq 0 \) in [14] can be seen in [13]. We consider the following generalized nonlinear Schrödinger system
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{i} \partial_t v_1 + \frac{1}{2m_1} \partial_x^2 v_1 &= \lambda_1 |v_1|^{p_1} v_1 + G_1(v_1, v_2, v_3), \\
\text{i} \partial_t v_2 + \frac{1}{2m_2} \partial_x^2 v_2 &= \lambda_2 |v_2|^{p_2} v_2 + G_2(v_1, v_2, v_3), \\
\text{i} \partial_t v_3 + \frac{1}{2m_3} \partial_x^2 v_3 &= \lambda_3 |v_3|^{p_3} v_3 + G_3(v_1, v_2, v_3),
\end{align*}
\]
in one space dimension, where \( x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0, m_j \) is a mass of a particle, \( v_j \) is an unknown function, \( p_j > 0, \lambda_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \), and \( G_j(v_1, v_2, v_3) \) is a cubic nonlinear term with the following form
\[
G_j(v_1, v_2, v_3) = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq n \leq 6} \mu^j_{m,n,l} v_m v_n v_l
\]
for \( j = 1, 2, 3, v_m, v_n, v_l \) are elements of the set
\[
A = \{ v_1, v_2, v_3, \overline{v_1}, \overline{v_2}, \overline{v_3} \} = \{ v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6 \}
\]
and \( \mu^j_{m,n,l} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \). We assume the gauge condition
\[
G_j(v_1, v_2, v_3) = e^{im_j \theta} G_j(e^{-im_1 \theta} v_1, e^{-im_2 \theta} v_2, e^{-im_3 \theta} v_3)
\]
for any \( \theta \in \mathbb{R} \). Then we obtain that the system (1.1) with the condition (1.2) is a special case of the generalized system satisfying the gauge condition above. We can solve the initial value problem of the generalized Schrödinger system with the gauge condition by using the similar method as this paper.

In this paper, we consider the problem (1.1) under different situations on \( \lambda_j, \mu_j \) for small initial data in the function space \( H^{0, \frac{s}{2} + \varepsilon} (\mathbb{R}) \cap H^{\frac{s}{2}, 0} (\mathbb{R}) \), where \( \frac{1}{2} < \varepsilon < s < 1 \). In particular, \( L^\infty (\mathbb{R}) \) time decay of solutions to (1.1) is obtained. We consider (1.1) under the long-long and long-short range interactions, respectively. We treat the case of long-short range interactions (Theorem 1.1) by using the similar method as [8]. The results on long-long range interactions are new. We consider two situations of long-long range interactions. One of our results below (Theorem 1.2) says that if \( \text{Im} \lambda_j < 0 \) and \( |\text{Im} \lambda_j| \) is large enough,
then the first terms of the right hand sides of (1.1) are considered as strong dissipation terms when \( p_j < 2 \) for \( j = 1, 2, 3 \) and the second terms of the right hand sides of (1.1) will be remainder ones. However if \( |\text{Im}\lambda_j| \) is not large enough, then our result (Theorem 1.3) says that we can not find which ones will be the main terms. Therefore we assume that (1.11) holds in Theorem 1.3 to make the second terms of the right hand sides of (1.1) be negligible.

To state our results, we introduce the function spaces. Let \( L^p(\mathbb{R}) \) denote the usual Lebesgue space with the norm

\[
\|\phi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi(x)|^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
\]

if \( 1 \leq p < \infty \) and

\[
\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} = \text{ess.sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\phi(x)|.
\]

For \( m, r \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( 1 \leq p \leq \infty \), weighted Sobolev space \( H^m, r_p(\mathbb{R}) \) is defined by

\[
H^m, r_p(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}) \colon \|f\|_{H^m, r_p(\mathbb{R})} = \| (1 - \partial_x^2)^{\frac{m}{2}} (1 + |x|^2)^\gamma f \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} < \infty \right\}.
\]

We write \( \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} = \|f\|, \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} = \|f\|_{L^\infty}, H^m, r_p(\mathbb{R}) = H^m, r_p \) and \( H^m, 0(\mathbb{R}) = H^m \) for simplicity. We denote by the same letter \( C \) various positive constants.

We define the fractional derivatives of \( J^{\gamma}_{m} = U^{\gamma}_{m} \ (t) x U_{m}^{\gamma} (-t) \) as

\[
|J^{\gamma}_{m} \gamma(t) = U^{\gamma}_{m} \ (t) x |^{\gamma} U_{m}^{\gamma} (-t),
\]

where \( \gamma \geq 0 \). From [10], we have \( |J^{\gamma}_{m} \gamma(t) = M - m \left( -\frac{t^2}{m^2} \Delta \right)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} M^m \). We also have commutation relations with \( |J^{\gamma}_{m} \gamma \) and \( L_{m} = i \partial_t + \frac{1}{m^2} \partial_x^2 \) such that

\[
\left[ L_{m}, |J^{\gamma}_{m} \gamma \right] = 0.
\]

First we state our result for \( p_j > 2 \) for \( j = 1, 2, 3 \). In this case, the first terms and the second terms on the right hand sides of (1.1) are considered as short and long range interactions, respectively. Therefore the first terms are regarded as remainder ones of the system (1.1).

**Theorem 1.1** We assume that (1.2) holds, \( p_j > 2 \) for \( j = 1, 2, 3 \) and there exist some positive constants \( k_1, k_2, k_3 > 0 \) such that (1.11) holds. Let \( \phi_j \in H^{0, \frac{1}{2} + s} \cap H^{\frac{1}{2}, s}, \sum_{j=1}^{3} \|\phi_j\|_{H^{0, \frac{1}{2} + s} \cap H^{\frac{1}{2}, s}} \leq \epsilon \), where \( \epsilon \) is sufficiently small, and \( \frac{1}{2} < s < 1 \). Then there exists a unique global solution \((v_j(t))_{j=1,2,3} \subset C \left( [0,\infty) ; H^{0, \frac{1}{2} + s} \cap H^{\frac{1}{2}, s} \right) \) to the system (1.1). Moreover, we have the following time decay estimate

\[
\|v_j(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(1 + t)^{-\frac{s}{2}}
\]

for \( t \geq 0 \) and \( j = 1, 2, 3 \).

Next result says the case \( 0 < p_j < 2 \) for \( j = 1, 2, 3 \), namely long-long interactions. In this case, second terms on the right hand sides of (1.1) are considered as remainder terms under the condition (1.13) with a strong dissipative condition such that

\[
\frac{1}{\epsilon^{\sqrt{s}}} \leq |\text{Im}\lambda_j|.
\]

Therefore we do not need to assume (1.11). Time decay of solutions of (1.1) is still open problem if we do not assume (1.13) and (1.14).

**Theorem 1.2** We assume that (1.2), (1.13) and (1.14) hold, \( p_j = 2 - \sqrt{s} \), \( \phi_j \in H^{0, \frac{1}{2} + s} \cap H^{\frac{1}{2}, s} \) for \( j = 1, 2, 3 \), \( \sum_{j=1}^{3} \|\phi_j\|_{H^{0, \frac{1}{2} + s} \cap H^{\frac{1}{2}, s}} \leq \epsilon \), where \( \epsilon \) is sufficiently small, and \( \frac{1}{2} < s < 1 \). Then there exists a unique global solution

\[
(v_j(t))_{j=1,2,3} \subset C \left( [0,\infty) ; H^{0, \frac{1}{2} + s} \cap H^{\frac{1}{2}, s} \right)
\]
to the system \((1.1)\). Moreover, we have the following time decay estimate

\[ \|v_j(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \]

for \(t \geq 0\) and \(j = 1, 2, 3\).

If we do not assume \((1.14)\), namely \(|\text{Im}\lambda_j| \leq C\), then it seems that dissipation property of the first terms on the right hand sides of \((1.1)\) do not control the time decay of second terms. In this case we use the dispersive condition \((1.11)\) on the second terms.

**Theorem 1.3** We assume that \((1.2), (1.13)\) and there exist some positive constants \(k_1, k_2, k_3 > 0\) such that \((1.11)\) holds. Let \(p_j = 2 - \varepsilon^{p_j}\), \(\phi_j \in H^0 \frac{1}{2} + s \cap H^\frac{1}{2} + s\) for \(j = 1, 2, 3\), \(\sum_{j=1}^3 \|\phi_j\|_{H^0 \frac{1}{2} + s, H^\frac{1}{2} + s} \leq \varepsilon\), where \(\varepsilon\) is sufficiently small, and \(\frac{1}{2} < s < 1\). Then there exists a unique global solution

\[ (v_j(t))_{j=1,2,3} \in C([0, \infty); H^0 \frac{1}{2} + s \cap H^\frac{1}{2} + s) \]

to the system \((1.1)\). Moreover, we have the following time decay estimate

\[ \|v_j(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \]

for \(t \geq 0\) and \(j = 1, 2, 3\).

**2 Proof of Theorem 1.1**

We define

\[ \|v\|_{X_T} = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sum_{j=1}^3 \left( (1 + t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|U_{\frac{j}{2}} (-t) v_j\|_{H^0 \frac{1}{2} + s} + \|D_{\frac{j}{2}} \mathcal{F} U_{\frac{j}{2}} (-t) v_j\|_{L^\infty} \right), \]

where \(\frac{1}{2} < s < 1\), \(T > 0\) and \(\varepsilon > 0\) is sufficiently small.

**Lemma 2.1** We assume that assumptions in Theorem 1.1 hold. Then the system \((1.1)\) has a unique pair of solution \(v = (v_j)_{j=1,2,3} \in X_T\) such that

\[ \|v\|_{X_T} \leq 2\varepsilon. \]

Local existence of solutions to \((1.1)\) is obtained by a standard method, (see, e.g., [4]). Therefore, we prove global existence and time decay estimates of solutions to \((1.1)\). By the local existence result, we may assume that

\[ \sum_{j=1}^3 \left( \|U_{\frac{j}{2}} (-1) v_j\|_{H^0 \frac{1}{2} + s} + \|D_{\frac{j}{2}} \mathcal{F} U_{\frac{j}{2}} (-1) v_j\|_{L^\infty} \right) \leq 2\varepsilon. \]

We prove that for any \(T\), the estimate

\[ \sup_{t \in [1, T]} \sum_{j=1}^3 \left( t^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|U_{\frac{j}{2}} (-t) v_j\|_{H^0 \frac{1}{2} + s} + \|D_{\frac{j}{2}} \mathcal{F} U_{\frac{j}{2}} (-t) v_j\|_{L^\infty} \right) < \varepsilon^2 \]

holds. By the contradiction, we assume that there exists a time \(T\) such that

\[ \sup_{t \in [1, T]} \sum_{j=1}^3 \left( t^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|U_{\frac{j}{2}} (-t) v_j\|_{H^0 \frac{1}{2} + s} + \|D_{\frac{j}{2}} \mathcal{F} U_{\frac{j}{2}} (-t) v_j\|_{L^\infty} \right) \leq \varepsilon^2. \] (2.1)
Let

\[ F_1 := F_1(v_1, v_2, v_3) = \mu_1 v_2 v_3^2, \]
\[ F_2 := F_2(v_1, v_2, v_3) = \mu_2 v_1 v_3^2, \]
\[ F_3 := F_3(v_1, v_2, v_3) = \mu_3 v_1 v_2 v_3^2. \]

We multiply both sides of (1.1) by \( D \frac{i}{m_j} \mathcal{F}U \frac{1}{m_j} (-t) \) to get

\[ i\partial_t u_j = \lambda_j D \frac{i}{m_j} \mathcal{F}U \frac{1}{m_j} (-t) [v_j]^{p_j} v_j + D \frac{i}{m_j} \mathcal{F}U \frac{1}{m_j} (-t) F_j, \tag{2.2} \]

where \( u_j = D \frac{i}{m_j} \mathcal{F}U \frac{1}{m_j} (-t) v_j \). Then we have by using the factorization formula \( \mathcal{F}U \frac{1}{m_j} (-t) = i\mathcal{M}_{m_j} E \frac{1}{m_j} D \frac{1}{m_j} \)

with \( \mathcal{M}_{m_j} = \mathcal{F}M^{m_j} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \) to find that

\[ D \frac{i}{m_j} \mathcal{F}U \frac{1}{m_j} (-t) (\lambda_j [v_j]^{p_j} v_j) = \lambda_j t^{-\frac{p_j}{2}} |u_j|^{p_j} u_j + D \frac{i}{m_j} \sum_{h=1}^{2} R_{h,j}, \]

where

\[ R_{1,j} = \lambda_j \left( \frac{it}{m_j} \right)^{-\frac{p_j}{2}} \left( [\mathcal{F}M^{-m_j} U \frac{1}{m_j} (-t) v_j]^{p_j} \mathcal{F}M^{-m_j} U \frac{1}{m_j} (-t) v_j - \mathcal{F}U \frac{1}{m_j} (-t) v_j |^{p_j} \mathcal{F}U \frac{1}{m_j} (-t) v_j \right) \]

and

\[ R_{2,j} = \lambda_j \left( \frac{it}{m_j} \right)^{-\frac{p_j}{2}} \mathcal{F}(M^{m_j} - 1) \mathcal{F}^{-1} [\mathcal{F}M^{-m_j} U \frac{1}{m_j} (-t) v_j]^{p_j} \mathcal{F}M^{-m_j} U \frac{1}{m_j} (-t) v_j. \]

In the same way as the proof in [17], we have by the resonance gauge condition (1.2)

\[ D \frac{i}{m_j} \mathcal{F}U \frac{1}{m_j} (-t) F_j (v_1, v_2, v_3) = \frac{1}{t} F_j (u_1, u_2, u_3) + D \frac{i}{m_j} \sum_{h=3}^{4} R_{h,j}, \]

where

\[ R_{3,j} = i \lambda_j (M_{m_j} - 1) \frac{m_j}{t} F_j \left( D_{m_1} M_{m_1}^{-1} D_{m_1}^{-1} u_1, \ldots, D_{m_3} M_{m_3}^{-1} D_{m_3}^{-1} u_3 \right) \]

and

\[ R_{4,j} = i \lambda_j \left( \frac{m_j}{t} \right) F_j \left( D_{m_1} M_{m_1}^{-1} D_{m_1}^{-1} u_1, \ldots, D_{m_3} M_{m_3}^{-1} D_{m_3}^{-1} u_3 \right) \]

\[ -i \lambda_j \left( \frac{m_j}{t} \right) F_j \left( D_{m_1} D_{m_1}^{-1} u_1, \ldots, D_{m_3} D_{m_3}^{-1} u_3 \right) \]

Therefore we have

\[ i\partial_t u_j = \lambda_j t^{-\frac{p_j}{2}} |u_j|^{p_j} u_j + \frac{1}{t} F_j (u_1, u_2, u_3) + D \frac{i}{m_j} \sum_{h=1}^{4} R_{h,j}. \tag{2.3} \]

In what follows, we only consider the case \( t \geq 1 \).

To prove our main results, we first show
Lemma 2.2 Let \((v_j)_{j=1,2,3}\) be a solution of the system (1.1) satisfying (2.1) and \(\frac{1}{2} < s < 1\), then we have
\[
\sum_{h=1}^{2} \|R_{h,j}\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\varepsilon^{2}r_{j}^{(p_{j+1})}t^{2} - \frac{p_{j}}{2} + \sqrt{s}r_{j+1}
\]
and
\[
\sum_{h=3}^{4} \|R_{h,j}\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\varepsilon^{2}t^{2} - \frac{p_{j}}{2} + 3\sqrt{s}
\]
for \(t \in [1, T]\) and \(j = 1, 2, 3\).

Proof By using the similar method as Lemma 2.1 in [12], we have
\[
\sum_{h=1}^{2} \|R_{h,j}\|_{L^\infty} \leq Ct^{\frac{p_{j}}{2} - \frac{r_{j}}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \|U_{j}(\pm t)v_{j}\|_{H^{1}h_{j}^{+}}^{p_{j}+1},
\]
Now we consider \(\sum_{h=3}^{4} \|R_{h,j}\|_{L^\infty}\). By the Sobolev inequality, we have
\[
\|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\|f\|_{H^{1}h^{+}}^{\frac{1}{r_{j}+1}} \|f\|_{L^{r_{j}}}^{\frac{2}{r_{j}+1}}.
\]
which implies
\[
\|(M_{m_{j}} - I) f\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\|f\|_{H^{1}h^{+}}^{\frac{1}{r_{j}+1}} \|(M_{m_{j}} - I) f\|_{L^{r_{j}}}^{\frac{2}{r_{j}+1}} \leq Ct^{-\frac{r_{j}}{2}} \|f\|_{H^{1}h^{+}}.
\]
Therefore
\[
\|R_{3,j}\|_{L^\infty} \leq \left\|(M_{m_{j}} - I) \right\|_{L^{\infty}} \left\|F_{j}\left(D_{m_{j}}M_{m_{j}}^{-1}D_{m_{j+1}}^{-1}u_{1}, D_{m_{j}}M_{m_{j}}^{-1}D_{m_{j+1}}^{-1}u_{2}, D_{m_{j}}M_{m_{j}}^{-1}D_{m_{j+1}}^{-1}u_{3}\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}}
\]
\[
\leq Ct^{-\frac{r_{j}}{2}} \left\|F_{j}\left(D_{m_{j}}M_{m_{j}}^{-1}D_{m_{j+1}}^{-1}u_{1}, D_{m_{j}}M_{m_{j}}^{-1}D_{m_{j+1}}^{-1}u_{2}, D_{m_{j}}M_{m_{j}}^{-1}D_{m_{j+1}}^{-1}u_{3}\right)\right\|_{H^{1}h^{+}},
\]
where \(F_{j}\) is a cubic nonlinearity which satisfies (1.2). Hence we have
\[
\|R_{3,j}\|_{L^\infty} \leq Ct^{-\frac{r_{j}}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \left\|U_{j}(\pm t)v_{j}\right\|_{H^{1}h^{+}}^{3}
\]
for \(t \geq 1\). In the same way as above we obtain
\[
\|R_{4,j}\|_{L^\infty} \leq Ct^{-\frac{r_{j}}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \left\|U_{j}(\pm t)c_{j}\right\|_{H^{1}h^{+}}^{3}
\]
for \(t \geq 1\). Combining all the inequalities obtained above, we have the lemma.

Lemma 2.3 Let \((v_j)_{j=1,2,3}\) be a solution of the system (1.1) satisfying (2.1) and \(\frac{1}{2} < s < 1\), then there exist \(k_{j} > 0\) for \(j = 1, 2, 3\) such that the estimate
\[
\frac{d}{dt}\sum_{j=1}^{3} k_{j} \left\|D_{m_{j}}F_{j}U_{m_{j}}(\pm t)v_{j}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}
\]
\[
\leq C \left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} \varepsilon^{\frac{r}{2}}(p_{j+1})t^{2} - \frac{p_{j}}{2} + \sqrt{s}r_{j+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{3} \varepsilon^{\frac{r}{2}}(p_{j+1})t^{2} - \frac{p_{j}}{2} + \sqrt{s}r_{j+1} + \varepsilon^{2}t^{2} - \frac{p_{j}}{2} + 3\sqrt{s}\right)
\]
holds for any \(t \in [1, T]\), where \(u_{j} = D_{m_{j}}F_{j}U_{m_{j}}(\pm t)v_{j}\).
Proof (2.2) shows that

\[ i\partial_t u_j = \lambda_j D_{m_j} \mathcal{F} U_{m_j} (-t) |v_j|^{p_j} v_j + D_{m_j} \mathcal{F} U_{m_j} (-t) F_j, \]

where \( u_j = D_{m_j} \mathcal{F} U_{m_j} (-t) v_j \). By (1.2), we have

\[ i\partial_t u_j = \lambda_j D_{m_j} \mathcal{F} U_{m_j} (-t) |v_j|^{p_j} v_j + \frac{1}{t} F_j(u_1, u_2, u_2) + D_{m_j} \sum_{h=3}^4 R_{h,j}, \]

From (1.11), we have

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \left( \sum_{j=1}^3 k_j |u_j| \right) \leq C \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{h=3}^4 |R_{h,j}| + C \sum_{j=1}^3 \left\| \mathcal{F} U_{m_j} (-t) |v_j|^{p_j} v_j \right\|_{L^\infty}, \tag{2.5} \]

where \( k_j > 0 \) for \( j = 1, 2, 3 \). By Lemma 2.2, we have

\[ \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{h=3}^4 |R_{h,j}| \leq C_\varepsilon t^{-1-\frac{s}{2}+\varepsilon}. \]

From (2.4) and Lemma 2.1 in [15], it follows that

\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{j=1}^3 \left\| \mathcal{F} U_{m_j} (-t) |v_j|^{p_j} v_j \right\|_{L^\infty} &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^3 \left\| \mathcal{F} U_{m_j} (-t) |v_j|^{p_j} v_j \right\|_{H^{\frac{s}{2}+\varepsilon}} \left\| \mathcal{F} U_{m_j} (-t) |v_j|^{p_j} v_j \right\|_{H^{\frac{s}{2}}} \\
&\leq C \sum_{j=1}^3 \left( \| v_j \|_{L^\infty} \left\| U_{m_j} (-t) v_j \right\|_{H^{\frac{s}{2}+\varepsilon}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2+s}} \left\| v_j \right\|_{H^{\frac{s}{2}}}^{\frac{2}{s}} \\
&\leq C \sum_{j=1}^3 \| v_j \|_{L^\infty} \left\| U_{m_j} (-t) v_j \right\|_{H^{\frac{s}{2}+\varepsilon}} \left\| v_j \right\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{s}} \\
&\leq C \sum_{j=1}^3 \| v_j \|^{p_j}_{L^\infty} \left\| U_{m_j} (-t) v_j \right\|_{H^{\frac{s}{2}+\varepsilon}}. \quad (2.6)
\end{align*}
\]

Since

\[ \| v_j \|_{L^\infty} \leq C t^{-\frac{s}{2}} \left\| \mathcal{F} U_{m_j} (-t) v_j \right\|_{L^\infty} + C t^{-\frac{s}{2}} \left\| U_{m_j} (-t) v_j \right\|_{H^{\frac{s}{2}+\varepsilon}}, \tag{2.7} \]

we obtain

\[ \sum_{j=1}^3 \left\| \mathcal{F} U_{m_j} (-t) |v_j|^{p_j} v_j \right\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \sum_{j=1}^3 \varepsilon \frac{2}{p_j+1} \left( t^{-\frac{p_j}{2}+\varepsilon} + t^{\frac{p_j}{2} - \frac{s}{2} + \varepsilon} \right), \]

This completes the proof of the lemma. \( \blacksquare \)

Lemma 2.4 Let \( (v_j)_{j=1,2,3} \) be a solution of the system (1.1) satisfying (2.1). Then we have

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{j=1}^3 \left\| J_{m_j} \right\|_{1+(s+\varepsilon)} \leq C \left( t^{-1+\varepsilon} + t^{-1-s+3\varepsilon} \right) \varepsilon^2 \]

\[ + C \sum_{j=1}^3 \left( t^{-\frac{p_j}{2}+\varepsilon} + t^{\frac{p_j}{2} - \frac{s}{2} + \varepsilon} \right) \varepsilon^2 (p_j+1) \]

for any \( t \in [1, T] \).
We multiply both sides of (2.8) by $\frac{1}{2m_j} \partial_x^2 |J_{\frac{1}{\gamma}}|^2 |v_j| = |J_{\frac{1}{\gamma}}|^2 N_j$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

By Lemmas 2.1 in [15] and (2.7) we get with

$$|J_{\frac{1}{\gamma}}|^2 F_j(v_1, v_2, v_3) = M^{-m_j} \left( -\frac{\iota^2}{m_j^2} \Delta \right)^{\frac{2}{\gamma}} F_j(M^{m_1} v_1, M^{m_2} v_2, M^{m_3} v_3).$$

By Lemma 2.1 in [15] and (2.7) we get with $\gamma = \frac{1}{2} + s$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left| |J_{\frac{1}{\gamma}}|^2 v_j \right| \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{3} \left( \|v_j\|_{L^\infty} + \|p_j\|_{L^\infty} \right) \sum_{j=1}^{3} \left| |J_{\frac{1}{\gamma}}|^2 v_j \right|$$

$$\leq C \left( t^{-1+\sqrt{s}} + t^{-1-\sqrt{s}+\sqrt{s}} \right) \varepsilon^2$$

$$+ C \sum_{j=1}^{3} \left( t^{-\frac{2}{\gamma}+\sqrt{s}} + t^{-\frac{2}{\gamma}+\frac{2}{p_j+1}+\sqrt{s}} \right) \varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma}{3}(p_j+1)}, \quad (2.9)$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. 

By Lemmas 2.3, 2.4 we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{3} k_j \left| D_{\frac{1}{\gamma}} F U_{\frac{1}{\gamma}} (-t) v_j \right|_{L^\infty} \leq C \varepsilon + \sum_{j=1}^{3} \varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma}{3}(p_j+1)} + \varepsilon^2 < C \varepsilon$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{3} \left| U_{\frac{1}{\gamma}} (-t) v_j \right|_{H^s} \leq 2 \varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 t^{\sqrt{s}} + \sum_{j=1}^{3} \varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma}{3}(p_j+1)} < C \varepsilon t^{\sqrt{s}},$$

since $s > 0, p_j > 2$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\frac{\sqrt{s}}{2} > 3 \sqrt{\varepsilon}, \frac{p_j-2}{2} > \sqrt{\varepsilon}$. This is the desired contradiction.

Therefore, we have a unique global solution of the system (1.1) satisfying

$$\sup_{\varepsilon \in [1, T]} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \left( (1+t)^{-\sqrt{s}} \left| U_{\frac{1}{\gamma}} (-t) v_j \right|_{H^s} + \left| D_{\frac{1}{\gamma}} F U_{\frac{1}{\gamma}} (-t) v_j \right|_{L^\infty} \right) < \varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma}{3}}$$

for any $T > 1$. Time decay estimates of solutions to the system (1.1) comes from (2.7). Namely we have

$$\left| v_j \right| \leq C T^{-\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma}{3}} + C T^{-\frac{3}{2}+\sqrt{s}} \varepsilon^{\frac{\gamma}{3}}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.
3 Proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3

We define
\[\|v\|_{X_T} = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \left( (1 + t)^{-\frac{p-1}{2s}} \|U_{\frac{1}{2s}} (t) v_j\|_{H^s} + (1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|D_{\frac{1}{2s}} F U_{\frac{1}{2s}} (t) v_j\|_{L^\infty} \right),\]
where \( p = p_j, j = 1, 2, 3, \frac{1}{2} < s \leq 1, T > 0 \) and \( \varepsilon > 0 \) is sufficiently small. In the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we may assume that
\[\sum_{j=1}^{3} \left( \|U_{\frac{1}{2s}} (-1) v_j(1)\|_{H^s} + \|D_{\frac{1}{2s}} F U_{\frac{1}{2s}} (-1) v_j(1)\|_{L^\infty} \right) \leq 2\varepsilon.\]
By the contradiction method, we prove for any \( T > 1 \) the estimate
\[\sup_{t \in [1, T]} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \left( (1 + t)^{-\frac{p-1}{2s}} \|U_{\frac{1}{2s}} (t) v_j\|_{H^s} + (1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|D_{\frac{1}{2s}} F U_{\frac{1}{2s}} (t) v_j\|_{L^\infty} \right) < \varepsilon^{-1}\]
holds. We assume that there exists a time \( T \) such that
\[\sup_{t \in [1, T]} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \left( (1 + t)^{-\frac{p-1}{2s}} \|U_{\frac{1}{2s}} (t) v_j\|_{H^s} + (1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|D_{\frac{1}{2s}} F U_{\frac{1}{2s}} (t) v_j\|_{L^\infty} \right) \leq \varepsilon^{p-1}.\]
We derive the desired contradiction to extend the proof in [13] to subcritical nonlinearities.

3.1 Proof of Theorem 1.2

We have by (2.3)
\[\frac{d}{dt} f_j + |\text{Im} \lambda_j| t^{-\frac{p}{2}} f_j^{p+1} \leq C t^{-1} |F_j (u_1, u_2, u_3)| + C \left| D_{\frac{1}{2s}} \sum_{h=1}^{4} R_{h,j} \right|, \tag{3.1}\]
where \( f_j = |u_j| = \left| D_{\frac{1}{2s}} F U_{\frac{1}{2s}} (-t) v_j \right|. \) Let \( g_j \) be the positive solution of
\[\frac{d}{dt} g_j + |\text{Im} \lambda_j| t^{-\frac{p}{2}} g_j^{p+1} = 0, g_j(1) = \left| D_{\frac{1}{2s}} F U_{\frac{1}{2s}} (-t) v_j(1) \right|. \tag{3.2}\]
We may assume that \( \varepsilon \leq g_j(1) \leq 2\varepsilon \) by the local existence result in Lemma 2.1. Explicit solution of (3.2) is given by
\[g_j(t) = \frac{g_j(1)}{\left( 1 + \frac{2p|\text{Im} \lambda_j|}{s-p} g_j(1)^p (t^{1-\frac{p}{2}} - 1) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}, \tag{3.3}\]
The strong dissipation assumption (1.14) implies that (3.1) is valid if we replace \( |\text{Im} \lambda_j| \) by \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma}} \). To keep \( g_j(t) \) small enough, we use \( p = 2 - \sqrt{\sigma} \) and explicit solution of (3.3) with \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma}} = |\text{Im} \lambda_j| \). Namely,
\[g_j(t) = \frac{g_j(1)}{\left( 1 + \frac{2p}{s} g_j(1)^p (t^{1-\frac{p}{2}} - 1) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}, \tag{3.4}\]
Then we find that there exist positive constants \( C_1, C_2 \) such that
\[C_2 \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{s}} \leq g_j(t) \leq C_1\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{s}} \tag{3.5}\]
for a large $t$. Multiplying both sides of (3.1) by $g_j^{-p-1}$, we have
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left( g_j^{-p-1} f_j \right) \leq t^{-\frac{p}{2}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon \sqrt{\varepsilon}} \left( (p+1) g_j^{-1} f_j - g_j^{-p-1} f_j^{p+1} \right) + C g_j^{-p-1} R_j,
\]
where
\[
R_j = C t^{-1} |F_j (u_1, u_2, u_3)| + C \left| \frac{1}{m} \sum_{h=1}^{4} R_{h,j} \right|.
\]
In the same way as in the proof of Lemma 2.2, we get
\[
\left| \frac{1}{m} \sum_{h=1}^{4} R_{h,j} \right| \leq C \varepsilon^{3(p-1)} t^{-1-\frac{p}{2}+3\sqrt{\varepsilon}} + C \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}} \varepsilon^{(p-1)(p+1)} t^{-\frac{p}{2}-\frac{3}{2}+(p+1)\sqrt{\varepsilon}}.
\]
Hence we get
\[
R = \sum_{j=1}^{3} R_j \leq C \varepsilon^{3(p-1)} t^{-1+3\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)} + C \varepsilon^{3(p-1)} t^{-1-\frac{p}{2}+3\sqrt{\varepsilon}}
\]
\[
+ C \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}} \varepsilon^{(p-1)(p+1)} t^{-\frac{p}{2} - \frac{3}{2} + (p+1)\sqrt{\varepsilon}}.
\]
The second and third terms of the right hand side of (3.7) are considered as remainder terms. We note here that the third term has $\varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ since the condition (1.14). By the Young inequality $|a| |b| \leq \frac{1}{p} |a|^p + \frac{1}{q} |b|^q$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, we get
\[
(p+1) g_j^{-1} f_j = \left( (p+1)^{\frac{1}{p+1}} g_j^{-1} f_j \right) (p+1)^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \leq g_j^{-p-1} f_j^{p+1} + p.
\]
Hence
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left( g_j^{-p-1} f_j \right) \leq p \frac{1}{\varepsilon \sqrt{\varepsilon}} t^{-\frac{p}{2}} + C g_j^{-p-1} R.
\]
Integrating in time, we obtain by (3.5)
\[
f_j(t) \leq g_j^{-p-1} (1) f_j (1) g_j^{p+1} (t) + \frac{2p}{2-p} g_j^{p+1} (t) \frac{1}{\varepsilon \sqrt{\varepsilon}} \left( t^{1-\frac{p}{2}} - 1 \right)
\]
\[
+ C g_j^{p+1} (t) \int_{1}^{t} g_j^{-p-1} (\tau) R(\tau) d\tau
\]
\[
\leq C \varepsilon^{2+\frac{3}{2} - p} t^{\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)} + C \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} t^{\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)(p+1)}
\]
\[
+ C t^{\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)(p+1)} \int_{1}^{t} \tau^{-\left(1-\frac{3}{2}\right)(p+1)} R(\tau) d\tau.
\]
We apply (3.7) to the right hand side of the above to get
\[
f_j(t) \leq C \varepsilon^{2+\frac{3}{2} - p} t^{\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)(p+1)} + C \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} t^{\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)(p+1)} t^{1-\frac{p}{2}}
\]
\[
+ C \varepsilon^{3(p-1)} t^{\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)(p+1)} \int_{1}^{t} \tau^{-\left(1-\frac{3}{2}\right)(p+1)+\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{p}\right)} d\tau,
\]
if we take a suitable $s$. Since
\[
- \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p} \right) (p+1) + \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{p} \right) = -1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2(2 - \sqrt{\varepsilon})},
\]
we get
\[
\int_{1}^{t} \tau^{-\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)(p+1)+\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{p}\right)} d\tau \leq C \varepsilon^{-1}.
\]
where by (3.6)

\[ \left\| D \frac{1}{m_j} FU \frac{1}{m_j} (-t) v_j \right\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \varepsilon + C \varepsilon^{3p-4} \leq C \varepsilon, \]

from which it follows that

\[ t^\frac{\gamma}{2} \left\| D \frac{1}{m_j} FU \frac{1}{m_j} (-t) v_j \right\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \varepsilon + C \varepsilon^{3p-4} \leq C \varepsilon, \]

where \( p \geq \frac{5}{4} \). By (2.7), we obtain

\[ \left\| v_j \right\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \varepsilon t^{-\frac{r}{2}} + C \varepsilon^{p-1} t^{-\frac{r}{2} + \sqrt{\varepsilon}} \leq C \varepsilon^{p-1} t^{-\frac{r}{2}} \]

if \( -\frac{r}{2} + \sqrt{\varepsilon} < \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p} \). In the same way as in the proof of (2.9) with \( \gamma = \frac{1}{2} + s \)

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \left\| J \frac{1}{m_j} \gamma v_j \right\| \]

\[ \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{3} \left\| v_j \right\|_{L^\infty} \left\| J \frac{1}{m_j} \gamma v_j \right\| + \sum_{j=1}^{3} \left\| v_j \right\|_{L^\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \left\| J \frac{1}{m_j} \gamma v_j \right\| \]

\[ \leq C \left( t^{-1} \varepsilon^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \left\| J \frac{1}{m_j} \gamma v_j \right\| + \varepsilon^{2(p-1)} t^{-\frac{r}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \left\| J \frac{1}{m_j} \gamma v_j \right\| \right) \]

\[ \leq C \varepsilon^{(p-1)p} \left( t^{-1} + t^{-\frac{r}{2}} \right) \sum_{j=1}^{3} \left\| J \frac{1}{m_j} \gamma v_j \right\| \]

\[ \leq C \varepsilon^{(p-1)p} t^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \left\| J \frac{1}{m_j} \gamma v_j \right\|. \]

Gronwall’s inequality says that

\[ \sum_{j=1}^{3} \left\| J \frac{1}{m_j} \gamma v_j \right\| \leq C \varepsilon C \int_{0}^{t} \varepsilon^{(p-1)p} \tau^{-1} d\tau = C \varepsilon t^{(p-1)p} \leq C \varepsilon t^{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}. \]

Hence

\[ t^\frac{\gamma}{2} \left\| D \frac{1}{m_j} FU \frac{1}{m_j} (-t) v_j \right\|_{L^\infty} + t^{-\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \left\| J \frac{1}{m_j} \gamma v_j \right\| \leq C \varepsilon < \varepsilon^{p-1}. \]

This is the desired contradiction. Time decay of solutions is obtained by (3.9). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

### 3.2 Proof of Theorem 1.3

By the condition of (1.11), we have in the same way as in the proof of (3.1)

\[ \sum_{j=1}^{3} k_j \left( \frac{d}{dt} f_j + |\operatorname{Im}\lambda_j| t^{-\frac{r}{2}} f_j^{p+1} \right) \leq Q, \quad (3.10) \]

where by (3.6)

\[ Q = C \left| \sum_{j=1}^{3} k_j D_{\frac{1}{m_j}} \sum_{b=1}^{4} R_{b,j} \right| \]

\[ \leq C \varepsilon^{3(p-1)} t^{-1 - \frac{r}{2} + 3\sqrt{\varepsilon}} + C \varepsilon^{(p-1)(p+1)} t^{-\frac{r}{2} - \frac{r}{2} + (p+1)\sqrt{\varepsilon}}. \]
We put \( f = \sum_{j=1}^{3} k_j f_j \), then there exists a positive constant \( k \) such that
\[
\frac{d}{dt} f + k t^{-\frac{p}{2}} f^{p+1} \leq C Q. \tag{3.11}
\]
Let \( g \) be the positive solution of
\[
\frac{d}{dt} g + k t^{-\frac{p}{2}} g^{p+1} = 0, \quad g(1) = \sum_{j=1}^{3} k_j D_{N_j} F U_{\frac{1}{m_j}} (-1) v_j(1). \tag{3.12}
\]
Explicit solution of (3.12) is given by
\[
g(t) = \frac{g(1)}{\left(1 + \frac{2p}{p-2} g(1)^{p} (t^{1-\frac{p}{2}} - 1)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}},
\]
If \( p = 2 - \varepsilon \), then we find that there exist positive constants \( C_3, C_4 \) such that
\[
C_3 \varepsilon t^{-\frac{1}{v} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \leq g(t) \leq C_4 \varepsilon t^{-\frac{1}{v} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}}.
\]
In the same way as in the proof of (3.8)
\[
C g^{p+1}(t) \int_1^t g^{p-1}(\tau) Q(\tau) d\tau \leq C \varepsilon t^{(\frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{p}) (p+1)} + C_\varepsilon t^{(\frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{p}) (p+1) t^{1-\frac{p}{2}}},
\]
which implies that
\[
C \varepsilon t^{-\frac{1}{v}-\frac{1}{p}} \left\| D_{N_j} F U_{\frac{1}{m_j}} (-t) v_j \right\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \varepsilon.
\]
The rest of the proof is the same as in the proof of Theorem 1.2, and so we omit it.
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