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1. Introduction

As a country with a high population growth, the transport ownership and energy demand in Indonesia have rapidly increased. Over the past decade, the population density has increased from 132 people/km² in 2009 to 144 people/km² in 2019 (World Population Review, 2020). In Asia Pacific, the energy demand was expected to increase from 40 quadrillion Btu in 2020 to 55 quadrillion Btu in 2040 (Statista, 2019). The population increase has escalated the transportation demand and harmful gas emissions, leading to air pollution (Frank and Engelke, 2005; Marshall et al., 2005).

DKI Jakarta, the capital of Indonesia, is the fifth most polluted capital city in 2019 (Statista, 2020). This is because DKI Jakarta is the center of mobility and industry in Indonesia. The high levels of air pollution are believed to cause numerous health problems, such as asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and acute coronary syndrome (Rizwan et al., 2015). Moreover, a study by the Health Effects Institute (2010) found that transportation facilities pose a great health risk to the populations living within their vicinities.

In 2020, COVID-19 was declared as a pandemic by the World Health Organization (WHO). It is a severe disease caused by a viral infection that attacks the vital organs; it is also highly contagious. The first case of COVID-19 was reported in Wuhan, China, in December 2019. As of October 21, there were 41,273,267 cases with a total death of 1,132,563 (World Health Organization (WHO), 2020). In Indonesia, COVID-19 has significantly affected the economic mobility, industry, companies, environment, and government policy arrangements and caused severe economic losses (Caraka et al., 2020). The high rate of COVID-19 transmission has forced numerous counties, such as China, Italy, and India, to implement lockdowns. However, some countries did not implement lockdowns due to several reasons.

The Ministry of Health of the Republic of Indonesia implemented a large-scale social restriction (PSBB) policy in several big cities, including DKI Jakarta, from April 10 to June 4, 2020. Indonesia did not implement total lockdown due to economic considerations. The government’s initiatives to limit people’s mobility in order to minimize the spread of the virus were the main reason for not implementing total lockdown. Indonesia did not implement total lockdown due to economic considerations.
COVID-19 have been very diverse, such as closing down classrooms and offices, shutting down public transportation, banning public meetings, and implementing the “stay at home” policy (Indonesian Ministry of Health, 2020). The DKI Jakarta PSBB has reduced mobility among people, industrial activities, and mass transportation, thus decreasing the transportation flow and gas emissions from various vehicles.

Several researches have studied the effect of lockdown on air quality in several countries. Wang et al. (2020) and Bao and Zhang (2020) investigated the effect of lockdown on six air pollutants (PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, CO, SO$_2$, NO$_2$, and O$_3$) in northern China. They found that during the lockdown, the air quality improved due to the reduction in emissions from vehicles and secondary industry sectors. Adams (2020) also conducted a research to evaluate the effect of lockdown on air quality in Ontario, Canada. The results revealed that the PM$_{2.5}$ concentration did not change; contrarily, O$_3$ and NO$_2$ demonstrated moderate and significant decreases, respectively. Mahato et al. (2020) studied the effect of lockdown amid the COVID-19 pandemic on air quality in Delhi, India. The results revealed that PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ both decreased by 50%, whereas NO$_2$ and CO decreased by 52.65% and 30.35%, respectively.

Similar research was also conducted in numerous countries, such as Egypt (El-Magd and Zanaty, 2020), Bangladesh (Rahman et al., 2020), Iraq (Hashim et al., 2021), and the United States (Chen et al., 2020). Different results were obtained; some countries experienced a significant improvement in several air pollutants, whereas others did not. To the best of the author’s knowledge, no study on the effect of lockdown on air quality in Indonesia has been conducted yet. Thus, this research aimed to explore and evaluate the changes in outdoor air quality during PSBB in the Province of Jakarta (DKI Jakarta). We hypothesized that PSBB significantly alleviates air pollution in DKI Jakarta. This study evaluates five air pollutants (PM$_{10}$, SO$_2$, CO, O$_3$, and NO$_x$) in five regions in DKI Jakarta (North, West, East, Central, and South Jakarta).

2. Indonesian large-scale social restriction (PSBB)

The first case of COVID-19 in Indonesia was reported in the first week of March 2020. As an initiative to prevent the spread of COVID-19, the government has announced to implement PSBB or partial lockdown instead of total lockdown. However, PSBB was not implemented in all regions in Indonesia, only in provinces with a high number of cases. DKI Jakarta was the first to implement PSBB, which began on April 10, 2020. PSBB was implemented in 4 provinces and 72 cities (14%) out of a total of nearly 514 cities in Indonesia (Indonesian COVID Handling Committee, 2020). When the cases became manageable, the number of cities that implemented PSBB has continued to decline.

Similar to the implementation of lockdown in other countries, in the first phase of PSBB (April 10 to 4 June 2020), the government has decided to close down all schools and offices (except strategic services, e.g., health, communication, and export and import services, defense and security activities, and basic need provision); implement “work from home” for non-essential services; close down all religious places; ban activities and social and cultural events, including wedding; limit people’s mobility to only buying basic needs; and decrease vehicle capacity to only 50%. All rules were established in accordance with the DKI Jakarta Governor Regulation No. 33/2020.

The second phase of PSBB is referred to as transitional PSBB, which was implemented from June 5 to September 13, 2020. It is also known as the new normal phase. Schools and universities were still closed. Non-essential service companies, religious places, public facilities, public transportations, recreational places, and places for sports activities were allowed to open at only 50% capacity. All transitional PSBB regulations were established in accordance with the DKI Jakarta Governor Regulation No. 51/2020. The implementations of PSBB and transitional PSBB were set alternately, depending on the current condition.

3. The study area

DKI Jakarta occupies an area of approximately 664.01 km$^2$ and is located in the geocoordinate of 6.2088°S, 106.8456°E (Fig. 1). It has five air quality monitoring stations, with each city having one machine. The stations are located at the Hotel Indonesia Roundabout in Central Jakarta (6.1934°S, 106.8228°E), Kelapa Gading in North Jakarta (6.1614°S, 106.9044°E), Jagakarsa in South Jakarta (6.3339°S, 106.8237°E), Lubang Buaya in East Jakarta (6.2939°S, 106.9034°E), and Kebon Jeruk in West Jakarta (6.1835°S, 106.7647°E). The Hotel Indonesia Roundabout is strategically located in the center of Jakarta which has a high flow of vehicles. The West and East Jakarta were the densest and largest industrial cities in DKI Jakarta (DKI Jakarta Department of Statistic, 2020). However, the DKI Jakarta seaport is located in North Jakarta where trucks and other large loading vehicles are allowed to pass through.

Indonesia ranked 8th among countries with premature mortality linked to outdoor air pollution in 2010 (Lelieveld et al., 2015). As the capital of Indonesia, Jakarta is full of motorized vehicles, and the number of factories is continuously increasing, which also increases the air pollutants. Jakarta has the largest number of vehicles among the cities in Indonesia. According to the Indonesian Department of Statistics (2019), the total number of vehicles in DKI Jakarta is nearly 21 million. Aligned with a high vehicle population, the study by AirVisual IQ (2018) revealed that DKI Jakarta is the most polluted province in Southeast Asia.

The INRIX Global Traffic Scorecard (2019) indicated that Jakarta is the most congested city in Southeast Asia, ranks 2nd in Asia, and ranks 16th worldwide. The main source of air pollution in Jakarta is vehicle exhaust emissions, which account for 57% of the air pollution (Vital Strategies, 2019). During the implementation of PSBB, community mobility has changed, resulting in the reduction of traffic activity. According to COVID-19 community mobility reports (2020), the mobility to retail and recreational places, train stations, and workplaces dropped by 56.01%, 65.96%, and 46.73%, respectively, compared with those during the normal days (Fig. 2). Moreover, the congestion level in DKI Jakarta was reduced by 36% compared with the previous year (Tomtom Traffic Index, 2020). Better traffic condition can be observed in April and May 2020, during which traffic congestion declined by 42% and 38%, respectively.

Jakarta is one of the largest industrial provinces in Indonesia. By the end of 2018, there were 1555 medium-sized manufacturing industries and 563 large-sized industries (Indonesian Department of Statistics, 2018); 35% of them were located in West Jakarta (dominated by food industries), 27% in North Jakarta, 22% in East Jakarta, 9% in South Jakarta, and 7% in Central Jakarta. The automobile industry has the highest gross output value in Jakarta, which is over 9 million USD. During the PSBB period, the automobile production sharply declined from over 100,000 units a month in January–March 2020 to only 21,000, 2,510, and 17,689 units in April, May, and June, respectively (Indonesia Automotive Industries Association, 2020). Overall, the industrial sector in Indonesia declined by 7.75% in the second trimester of 2020 (Indonesian Ministry of Industry, 2020).

4. Current air pollution reduction initiative in Indonesia and Jakarta Province

The car-free day program is the main initiative of the government to reduce air pollution in Indonesia. It is being implemented in several big cities, including the cities in DKI Jakarta, from 6 a.m. to 11 a.m. on weekends. Through this policy, air pollution can be temporarily reduced to a certain level. However, more congestion and pollution problems can be observed in alternate routes (Farda and Balijepalli, 2018). Meanwhile, during weekdays, an alternative odd–even policy is implemented; this policy restricts vehicle movement based on vehicle registration numbers. For example, vehicles with odd registration numbers only
operate on odd dates and vice versa. The odd–even policy was shown to be a practical solution; however, drivers started buying more vehicles or using fake vehicle registration plates.

Another initiative to reduce air pollution is by improving public transportation. This policy has been implemented by providing various public transportations, such as paratransit (minibus that can accommodate 12–14 passengers), TransJakarta buses, mass rapid transit, commuter line (KRL), and light rail transit. Moreover, the Government of Indonesia has improved several infrastructures to reduce the use of private vehicles, such as sidewalks, pedestrian walk lanes, bike parks, and parking control. Frequent and regular vehicle emission tests were also being conducted to check the eligibility of private vehicles to be on the road (Gunawan et al., 2017).

5. Methodology

To study the impact of large-scale social restriction (PSBB) on air quality, data from five cities in DKI Jakarta, namely, North, South, West,
East, and Central Jakarta, were analyzed. Air quality data were collected from the DKI Jakarta Provincial Integrated Data Portal (data.jakarta.go.id) from 2018 to 2020 during the PSBB period (January to June). The data were then divided into three groups: pre-PSBB (January 1 to April 9), during PSBB (April 10 to June 4), and post-PSBB (June 5 to June 30). There were 13,600 available data; the missing data (502 data) were completed via interpolation.

Five air pollutants were included in this study, namely, PM10, SO2, CO, O3, and NO2. The air quality in Indonesia is reported using the Air Pollution Index (API) and is derived from the upper and lower limit values of API and real ambient data in µg/m³. The API is the only official standard in Indonesia for the measurement of air quality at a certain location and time. The conversion of the actual ambient air measurement to API is explained in Equation (1):

\[
\text{API} = \frac{\text{API}_u - \text{API}_l}{X_u - X_l} (X - X_i) + \text{API}_l \tag{Eq. 1}
\]

where:

- API: air pollution index.
- API_u: API upper limit.
- API_l: API lower limit.
- X: Real ambient air measure.
- X_u: Ambient upper limit.
- X_l: Ambient lower limit.

The API is categorized into five according to its level of severity: good (1–50), moderate (51–100), unhealthy (101–199), very unhealthy (101–199), and hazardous (300 above). The unhealthy API is harmful to sensitive groups, and the very unhealthy API has serious effects on sensitive groups and the general population (Indonesian Ministry of Environment, 2010). Moreover, the hazardous API is the most severe level and can cause death to a large population. In the next step, the independent sample t-test is employed to investigate whether the large-scale social restriction (PSBB) would significantly affect the air quality in Jakarta.

6. Results

6.1. Concentration of air pollutants in DKI Jakarta during PSBB and Pre-PSBB

The concentrations of the air pollutants in five cities in DKI Jakarta were non-uniform. The CO concentration significantly decreased, whereas the SO2 and NO2 concentrations moderately decreased. Contrarily, the PM10 and O3 concentrations slightly increased. The CO concentration decreased from 21.8 to 13.1 (39.9%), whereas the SO2 and NO2 concentrations decreased by 5.7% and 7.5%, respectively (Table 1). Conversely, the PM10 and O3 concentrations increased by 10.9% and 5.9%, respectively, during the PSBB implementation.

About 80% of the air pollutant concentrations statistically changed before and during PSBB (Table 2). In Central and North Jakarta, the concentrations of all the air pollutants were significantly different, whereas in East Jakarta, four out of five air pollutants demonstrated a statistical difference. Moreover, a similar pattern can be observed in West and South Jakarta, where the PM10 and O3 concentrations did not significantly change during the PSBB implementation. The concentrations of SO2, CO, and NO2 in all cities were significantly different; for instance, the SO2 and NO2 concentrations significantly decreased and increased, respectively.

Among the five cities in DKI Jakarta Province, East Jakarta experienced the greatest improvement in air quality during the PSBB period. In this city, the concentrations of all the air pollutants decreased, except for the PM10 concentration, which increased by 13%. In South and Central Jakarta, the concentrations of three pollutants decreased: CO and NO2 in both cities and SO2 (concentration decreased by 52%) in Central Jakarta; moreover, the PM10 concentration improved by 1% in South Jakarta (Fig. 3). However, only the CO concentration decreased in North Jakarta, whereas the concentrations of other four pollutants increased by 15%–106%.

6.2. Seasonal variation of pollutant gases in 2018–2020

The time series of daily air pollutant concentrations for the ground station in the last 6 months (January–June) from 2018 to 2020 is presented in Fig. 4. The graphs are divided into three periods: pre-PSBB (January 1–April 9), during PSBB (April 10–June 4), and post-PSBB (June 5–30). The PM10 concentration always exhibits an increasing trend in the first 6 months of each year from 2018 to 2020. The lowest PM10 concentration is observed in January, and it steadily increased until June every year. During the PSBB period, the PM10 concentration increased by 7.14% between pre-PSBB and during PSBB and increased by 29.01% between pre-PSBB and post-PSBB. However, in the year over year (YOY) comparison, the PM10 concentrations were 3.3%, 15.4%, and 2.4% lower in April, May, and June, respectively, compared with the 2019 data (see Table 3). PSBB had no impact on the decrease in the SO2 concentration. In the YOY comparison during the PSBB period (April to June), this pollutant increased by 1.5%, 6.9%, and 4.9% respectively, compared with the data in 2019. However, comparison of the data in 2020 during the pre-PSBB and PSBB periods revealed that the SO2 concentration decreased from 15.8 to 14.9. In the post-PSBB period, SO2 continued to increase by 13.47% compared with that in the pre-PSBB period.

CO, O3, and NO2 exhibited a downward trend during the implementation of the PSBB in 2020 (Table 3). In DKI Jakarta, the PSBB period was divided into three phases: Phase 1 (April 10–23, 2020), Phase 2 (April 24–May 22, 2020), and Phase 3 (May 23–June 4, 2020).

### Table 1

Comparison of air pollutants between Pre-PSBB and during PSBB in five cities of DKI Jakarta.

| Pollutants | Pre-Large-scale social restriction (January 1, 2020–April 9, 2020) | Large-scale social restriction (April 10, 2020–June 4, 2020) |
|------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
|            | Central Jakarta | North Jakarta | South Jakarta | East Jakarta | West Jakarta | AVG Central Jakarta | North Jakarta | South Jakarta | East Jakarta | West Jakarta | AVG |
| PM10       | 40.8            | 46.2          | 47.7          | 55.5         | 42.5         | 46.5            | 48.0          | 53.0          | 47.3         | 62.8         | 46.7 | 51.6 |
| SO2        | 11.5            | 9.1           | 14.8          | 26.7         | 16.7         | 15.8            | 5.5           | 18.7          | 17.5         | 23.7         | 9.0  | 14.9 |
| CO         | 18.3            | 15.3          | 29.7          | 20.6         | 25.3         | 21.8            | 6.6           | 11.5          | 15.7         | 15.2         | 12.5 | 13.1 |
| O3         | 37.0            | 50.6          | 58.6          | 72.8         | 76.9         | 59.2            | 48.9          | 62.2          | 61.1         | 57.0         | 84.1 | 62.7 |
| NO2        | 12.4            | 6.7           | 7.9           | 6.1          | 7.9          | 8.0             | 9.2           | 8.5           | 5.5          | 5.8          | 8.1  | 7.4  |

### Table 2

T-Test analysis on the Effect of PSBB on Air Quality.

| Pollutants | Central Jakarta | North Jakarta | South Jakarta | East Jakarta | West Jakarta |
|------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| PM10       | 0.000*          | 0.001*        | 0.823         | 0.019*       | 0.081*       |
| SO2        | 0.000*          | 0.000*        | 0.000*        | 0.002*       | 0.000*       |
| CO         | 0.000*          | 0.001*        | 0.000*        | 0.000*       | 0.000*       |
| O3         | 0.000*          | 0.009*        | 0.536         | 0.000*       | 0.457*       |
| NO2        | 0.000*          | 0.000*        | 0.000*        | 0.438*       | 0.005*       |

*Indicates there is a significant difference in mean concentrations of air pollutants before (1 January – April 9, 2020) and during implementation of PSBB (10 April – June 4, 2020), p < 0.05.
The Government of DKI Jakarta Province continued to extend the PSBB period in response to the current situation. During the PSBB Phases 1 to 3, the concentrations of CO, O$_3$, NO$_2$ decreased by 4.49%, 34.0%, and 11.71%, respectively. In the YOY basis, the CO and NO$_2$ concentrations exhibited significant decreases in April by 38.0% and 34.6%, respectively. The upward trend was observed in June when the government started to loosen the regulation. However, the O$_3$ concentration moderately decreased from 67.26 in April to 64.10 in June 2020. During the post-PSBB period (June 5–30, 2020), the Government of DKI Jakarta announced to loosen PSBB and promote a “new normal” behavior. During the post-PSBB period, all activities continued as usual, but health protocols were followed. The average PM$_{10}$, SO$_2$, O$_3$, and NO$_2$ concentrations in 2020 reached the highest average in the last 6 months (January–June 2020), even if we compare them with the pre-PSBB period.

7. Discussion

Our analysis was conducted using ground-level measurements of air pollutants that would provide benefit and limitation. The benefit is that the air pollutant measurement data was close to the real breathing height, whereas the limitation is the geographical scope (Adams, 2020). In DKI Jakarta Province, the real air quality monitoring station is located in five cities, with each city having one machine. In this study, each air quality station reveals the real condition of each city.

Our study indicated that three air pollutants, CO, SO$_2$, and NO$_2$, decreased by 39.9%, 5.7%, and 7.5%, respectively. However, the PM$_{10}$ concentration in most cities in DKI Jakarta increased during the PSBB period, whereas the O$_3$ concentration exhibited a mixed trend. These results demonstrated a similar pattern in terms of the air quality reduction worldwide. Kumari and Toshniwal (2020) observed changes in the PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, NO$_2$, SO$_2$, and O$_3$ concentrations in 12 cities across the globe. They found that the concentrations of most of the air pollutants decreased significantly, whereas that of O$_3$ increased. An increase in the O$_3$ concentration was also observed in several countries, such as Ecuador (Zambrano-Monserrate and Ruano, 2020), India (Selvam et al., 2020), and Spain (Briz-Redón et al., 2021). In our study, the O$_3$ concentration increased from 4% to 32% on average in four cities, except in East Jakarta. The increase in the O$_3$ concentration was caused by the high levels of solar activity during the PSBB period (Kerimray et al., 2020). However, changes in the O$_3$ concentration could also be influenced by the decline in the emission of NO due to the inverse relationship between them (Kumari and Toshniwal, 2020; Hashim et al., 2021).

No downward trend was observed in the PM$_{10}$ concentration during the PSBB period (April to June 2020) as compared with the pre-PSBB period (January to March 2020). This is an unexpected result as most of the published research revealed a significant decrease in the PM concentrations in many countries, even more than 50% during the lockdown (Othmani et al., 2020; Selvam et al., 2020). However, we found a 15.9% reduction in the PM$_{10}$ concentration in Phase 1 and Phase 3 of PSBB, but the average was still higher compared with that during the pre-PSBB period. This result is non-linear with the fact that the road traffic and industrial exhaust emissions in Indonesia were the main contributors of PM concentrations as high as 46% and 43%, respectively (Vital Strategies, 2020). Even the increase in PM$_{10}$ concentration in Central Jakarta was among the highest, whereas the air quality monitoring machine is located in the roundabout that is usually heavy in traffic.

In the YOY performance, the PM$_{10}$ concentrations decreased moderately, with May demonstrating the highest reduction of 15.4% and a decrease by 3.3% and 2.4% in April and June, respectively, compared with 2019. We also found a consistent upward trend from January to June every year from 2018 to 2020, where the PM$_{10}$ concentration reached its peak in June. Several researchers also found an increase in the PM$_{10}$ concentration during the lockdown period in several countries, such as Ropkins and Tate (2021) and Shakoor et al. (2020). Secondary aerosols are the main components of particulate matter and involve complex atmospheric chemical processes, including emissions of precursors and meteorological conditions (Pei et al., 2020). Several researchers also found that in Southeast Asia, the PM$_{10}$ concentration demonstrated an upward trend and even exceeded the emission standard during the southwest monsoon between April and October (Mohtar et al., 2018; Norazian et al., 2015).

The other air pollutants in this study (CO, SO$_2$, and NO$_2$) exhibited a decrease in concentration by 7.5%–39.9%. The major reduction was
observed in the CO concentration, in which Central and West Jakarta demonstrated a reduction of 64% and 50%, respectively. In DKI Jakarta, land transportation was the major contributor of CO emission, which accounts for up to 93% (Vital Strategies, 2020). This finding is linear with the existing publications that demonstrated that lockdown and social restriction could slow down the transportation activities, thus

Fig. 4. The daily average concentration of PM$_{10}$, CO, O$_3$, NO$_2$, and SO$_2$ from five cities in DKI Jakarta between 1 January to 30 June from 2018 to 2020. PSBB measure was imposed on 10 April – 4 June (indicated with a red background), pre-PSBB was imposed on 1 January – 9 April (indicated with a blue background), and post-PSBB was imposed on 5 June – 30 June (indicated with green background). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

Table 3
Average air pollution index in Jakarta from 2018 to 2020.

| Year | Month | PM$_{10}$ % change from the previous year | SO$_2$ % change from the previous year | CO % change from the previous year | O$_3$ % change from the previous year | NO$_2$ % change from the previous year |
|------|-------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 2018 | January | 27.77 | 28.75 | 13.86 | 38.06 | 6.17 |
| | February | 38.08 | 19.81 | 25.21 | 48.83 | 7.62 |
| | March | 48.40 | 20.97 | 20.58 | 74.46 | 8.69 |
| | April | 50.35 | 20.76 | 19.56 | 62.55 | 8.95 |
| | May | 62.97 | 19.43 | 17.32 | 77.39 | 8.88 |
| | June | 56.22 | 17.49 | 15.16 | 80.12 | 7.67 |
| 2019 | January | 41.22 | 48.4% | 15.35 | 28.75 | 13.86 | 38.06 | 6.17 |
| | February | 50.12 | 31.6% | 17.60 | 11.2% | 22.23 | -11.8% | 59.94 | 22.7% |
| | March | 45.55 | 5.9% | 15.51 | -26.1% | 19.10 | -7.2% | 65.92 | -11.5% |
| | April | 53.06 | 5.4% | 13.64 | -34.3% | 22.01 | 12.5% | 79.94 | 27.8% |
| | May | 59.01 | -6.3% | 14.41 | -25.8% | 19.16 | 10.7% | 70.14 | -9.4% |
| | June | 61.80 | 9.9% | 15.44 | -11.7% | 16.77 | 10.6% | 82.29 | 2.7% |
| 2020 | January | 46.39 | 12.5% | 18.86 | 22.9% | 22.95 | 31.7% | 50.34 | -12.7% |
| | February | 44.66 | -10.9% | 14.95 | -15.1% | 24.65 | 10.9% | 54.23 | -9.5% |
| | March | 49.28 | 8.2% | 13.97 | -9.9% | 20.76 | 8.7% | 73.29 | 11.2% |
| | April | 51.29 | -3.3% | 13.84 | 1.5% | 13.64 | -38.0% | 67.26 | -15.9% |
| | May | 49.95 | -15.4% | 15.40 | 6.9% | 12.44 | -35.1% | 58.80 | -16.2% |
| | June | 60.30 | -2.4% | 16.20 | 4.9% | 13.55 | -19.2% | 64.10 | -22.1% | 8.74 | -18.4% |
improving the air quality (Mahato et al., 2020). According to the data from COVID-19 community mobility reports (2020), the transportation activities in Jakarta during the PSBB period dropped to 66%.

No significant improvement in the air quality was observed in North Jakarta. The concentrations of all the air pollutants in this city increased, except that of CO. In North Jakarta, where the sea port is located, the loading activities and flow of large vehicles continued as usual or were slightly restricted during the PSBB period. Large vehicles used to transport food, gas, and industrial tools and for export-import activities were still allowed to operate during the PSBB period. This may have led to an increase in SO2 concentration due to the sulfur content of petrol and diesel (Lamarque et al., 2010). In addition, two coal-fired power plants in North Jakarta were the reason for the increase in the SO2 and NO2 concentrations. During the PSBB period, the coal consumption for the power increased by 9 million tons (CNBC Indonesia, 2020). Moreover, it was reported that several manufacturers of sulfuric acid and aluminum sulfate in North Jakarta did not have an appropriate fireplace, thus mainly contributing to the air pollution in the city (Viva News, 2019).

The reduction in the concentrations of the air pollutants in DKI Jakarta during the PSBB period was temporal. This can be observed from the significant increase in air pollutants after the PSBB period. The average concentration of the air pollutants in the pre-PSBB period was even higher compared with that in the pre-PSBB period, except for the CO concentration. However, the large-scale social restriction (PSBB) is one of the solutions to decrease the air pollution problems in DKI Jakarta. For the long-term benefit, the government should improve the currently available public transportation and develop hybrid and more environmentally friendly technologies (e.g., green vehicles) for the public (Purnomo and Anugerah, 2020; Saari et al., 2020).

8. Conclusions

In this study, we investigated the effects of lockdown amid the COVID-19 pandemic on the air quality changes in DKI Jakarta as a result of the restrictions on transportation and industrial activities. A significant reduction of 39.9% on average in the CO concentration was observed in five cities, followed by a moderate reduction in the SO2 and NO2 concentrations of 5.7% and 7.5%, respectively. However, we unexpectedly observed that the PM10 concentration in DKI Jakarta increased by 10.9% compared with that in the pre-PSBB period. The increase in the PM10 concentration in Indonesia was mainly caused by the road traffic and industrial exhaust emissions, which account for 89%. However, the ground-level measurement of the PM10 concentration during the PSBB period. The increase in the PM10 concentration during the PSBB period was mainly due to seasonal variation, in which the PM10 concentration tends to be higher in April to October. The concentration of O3 also increased, but it was expected due to its inverse relationship with NO2.

Among the five cities in DKI Jakarta, East and Central Jakarta demonstrated an improvement in air quality. The concentrations of all the air pollutants, except PM10, decreased. This was due to the reduction in traffic activities all over Jakarta, especially Central Jakarta, which has the highest flow of transportation among the other cities. Conversely, there was no air quality improvement in North Jakarta, but a reduction in the CO concentration was observed. The SO2 concentration even increased by 106% due to the high coal consumption for the power plants during the PSBB period.

To the best of the author’s knowledge, this research is the first to analyze the effect of lockdown on the air quality changes in Indonesia using ground-level measurement data. The results were accurate because the collected data were close to the real breathing height. The large-scale social restriction (PSBB) can be adopted as one of the temporal strategies to reduce the concentrations of the air pollutants in DKI Jakarta and as a supplementary initiative to existing regulations. A permanent reduction in the air pollutant concentrations in a high-density area like DKI Jakarta needs to be achieved. Most of the published studies on the effect of lockdown on air quality changes were conducted in capital cities or urban areas, including the study on Southeast Asia conducted by Kanniah et al. (2020). However, further investigation in medium-sized and small-sized cities should also be conducted.
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