Novel Plasma Biomarker-Based Model for Predicting Acute Kidney Injury After Cardiac Surgery: A Case Control Study
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Introduction: Acute kidney injury (AKI) after cardiac surgery is independently associated with a prolonged hospital stay, increased cost of care, and increased post-operative mortality. Delayed elevation of serum creatinine (SCr) levels requires novel biomarkers to provide a prediction of AKI after cardiac surgery. Our objective was to find a novel blood biomarkers combination to construct a model for predicting AKI after cardiac surgery and risk stratification.

Methods: This was a case-control study. Weighted Gene Co-expression Network Analysis (WGCNA) was applied to Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) dataset GSE30718 to seek potential biomarkers associated with AKI. We measured biomarker levels in venous blood samples of 67 patients with AKI after cardiac surgery and 59 control patients in two cohorts. Clinical data were collected. We developed a multi-biomarker model for predicting cardiac-surgery-associated AKI and compared it with a traditional clinical-factor-based model.

Results: From bioinformatics analysis and previous articles, we found 6 potential plasma biomarkers for the prediction of AKI. Among them, 3 biomarkers, such as growth differentiation factor 15 (GDF15), soluble suppression of tumorigenicity 2 (ST2, IL1RL1), and soluble urokinase plasminogen activator receptor (uPAR) were found to have prediction ability for AKI (area under the curve [AUC] > 0.6) in patients undergoing cardiac surgery. They were then incorporated into a multi-biomarker model for predicting AKI (C-statistic: 0.84, Brier 0.15) which outperformed the traditional clinical-factor-based model (C-statistic: 0.73, Brier 0.16).

Conclusion: Our research validated a promising plasma multi-biomarker model for predicting AKI after cardiac surgery.
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INTRODUCTION

Acute kidney injury (AKI), a common perioperative complication, happens in 5–42% of patients going through adult cardiac surgery. About 2–3% of patients need renal replacement therapy (RRT) after cardiac surgery. Cardiac-associated acute kidney injury (CSA-AKI) is independently associated with a prolonged hospital stay, increased cost of care, and increased post-operative mortality (1–3). Even those who get only transient perioperative AKI at stage 1 or 2 take a significantly increased risk of death as well as a higher risk of chronic kidney disease (4–6).

All consensus guidelines, such as the Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Guidelines rely on two essential criteria to define and stage AKI, which are a reduction in urinary output and increased serum creatinine (SCr) level. Using SCr level and urine output presents some challenges for AKI detection. First, the widely used diuretics in the perioperative period make it hard to detect AKI based on oliguria. Second, a rise in SCr level is delayed following renal dysfunction, which makes it a deficient biomarker for the early detection of AKI. The glomerular filtration rate (GFR) of patients can decrease significantly while SCr level shows minimal fluctuation (7).

Cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) and fluid administration cause hemodilution, which allows post-operative SCr level likely to fall below the pre-operative baseline, thus probably delaying the recognition of AKI (8).

Owing to the limitations of SCr and urinary output, much work has been done to identify biomarkers which can offer pre-operative risk stratification and early detection of AKI (9). Risk stratification of AKI helps to provide preventative measures and advises patients about possible bad outcome that patients face after cardiac surgery (7). Early identification along with proper intervention has been reported to decrease the frequency and severity of AKI after cardiac surgery, showing the prospect of early diagnosis (10).

A lot of risk evaluation scores for post-operative AKI based on demographic data were put forward, such as Cleveland Clinic (11), the Metha (12), and the Birnie scores (13), but they were designed to discriminate post-operative AKI that require RRT. Other than the urine indicators, such as neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL), kidney injury (KIM1), liver-type fatty-acid-binding protein (L-FABP), insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-7 (IGFBP-7), and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-2 (TIMP2), plasma biomarkers deserve exploration due to their continued participation in the inflammatory response during AKI development and disease prognosis (14).

Cardiac-associated acute kidney injury involves multidimensional networks of molecules and cells. Thus, the sensitivity of individual genes can be low while network analysis is informative, especially when the available expression data is a large set. Weighted Gene Co-expression Network Analysis (WGCNA) is a vital method to perceive the gene function and gene association from genome data (15). WGCNA can be applied to detect co-expression modules of highly correlated genes and target modules associated with clinical traits, which offers information about foreseeing the functions of co-expression genes and discovering genes that have significant roles in target diseases (16).

In this study, we performed the WGCNA and reviewed previous articles to find 6 potential biomarkers for prediction. Then, we collected peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) RNA and plasma of patients undergoing cardiac surgery with or without AKI. The prediction capability of these 6 candidates was evaluated in the discovery cohort and 3 of them came out with better predictive values, which were further verified in the validation cohort. For better clinical practice, a multi-biomarker model for predicting CSA-AKI was then built. The workflow of the study was shown in a flowchart (Figure 1).

METHODS

Differentially Expressed Gene (DEG) Analysis and WGCNA

The GSE30718 dataset was downloaded from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) and the platform used was the GPL570 [HG-U133_Plus_2] Affymetrix Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, USA) (17). We determined differentially expressed genes (DEGs) using the Linear Models for Microarray (Limma) package for RNA-seq in the R platform. Genes with |log FC| > 1 and an adjusted p < 0.05 were regarded as DEGs. WGCNA package was used to identify AKI-related modules and find hub genes in significant modules. We calculated gene significance (GS), which is the absolute value of the correlation coefficient between genes and outcome, and module membership (MM) of the gene in the module defined as the relation to expression profiles. Hub genes of significant modules were filtered by GS (|GS| > 0.2), MM (|MM| > 0.8), and DEG.

Patient Selection, Data Collection, and Definitions

Between October 2020 and March 2021, 425 patients undergoing elective cardiac surgery were recruited from the surgical intensive care unit (ICU) of the hospital, which contained 131 patients in the discovery cohort and 294 in the validation cohort. Eligible participants underwent cardiac surgery with CPB in the center. Patients were excluded if they met any of the following criteria: (1) aged <18 or >80 years; (2) history of renal transplantation or dialysis; (3) exposure to nephrotoxic drugs within 2 weeks before surgery; (4) comorbidity of advanced chronic kidney disease; and (5) recent urinary tract infection.
or obstruction. Nephrotoxic drugs include aminoglycoside antibiotics, glycopeptide antibiotics, antifungal drugs, antiviral drugs, beta-lactam antibiotics, other antimicrobial drugs, diuretics, or dehydration drugs, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, chemotherapy, and traditional Chinese medicine. All patients were followed until discharge or hospital mortality. Clinical data were collected by reviewing the hospital database.

The diagnosis of AKI was established according to the KDIGO guidelines as follows: AKI stage-1: increase in SCr by $\geq 0.3$ mg/dl ($\geq 26.5$ µmol/l) within 48 h or increase in SCr to 1.5~1.9 times baseline within prior 7 days; AKI stage-2: increase in SCr to 2.0~2.9 times baseline; AKI stage-3: increase in SCr to 3 times baseline or an increase in SCr by 354 µmol/L or when the patient initiated RRT. RRT was administered for uremia, volume overload, or biochemical abnormalities, according to institutional protocols. The outcome was AKI.

**Sample Collection and Plasma Biomarker Measurement**

Venous blood samples were uniformly collected from all participants into EDTA (Ethylene Diamine Tetraacetic Acid) anticoagulation tubes before an operation, at admission to the
ICU (between 0.5 and 1 h after cardiac surgery), morning (6:00 a.m.) of the first post-operative day (between 8 and 18 h after cardiac surgery) and the second post-operative day. At a 4°C temperature, the samples were centrifuged at 1,500 × g for 15 min. Supernatant plasma was stored at −80°C before analysis.

In total, 38 subjects (AKI group = 17; reference (REF) group = 21) were used for the initial screen. Among them, 17 subjects of the AKI group came from the discovery cohort, and 21 subjects of the control group were randomly selected from 114 patients without CSA-AKI in the discovery cohort. In the discovery cohort, a total of 6 plasma biomarkers [such as, growth differentiation factor 15 (GDF15), soluble ST2 (interleukin 1 receptor-like 1) (sST2), soluble urokinase plasminogen activator receptor (uPAR), lipocalin 2 (NGAL), fatty acid binding protein 3 (FABP3), and interleukin 6 (IL6)] were measured using the Quantibody Custom Array (RayBio; RayBiotech, GA, USA) according to the instructions of manufacturer. Promising biomarkers in the discovery cohort were further validated in the validation cohort (AKI = 50; REF = 38) using ELISA assays, where 50 patients of the AKI group came from the validation cohort and 38 patients of the control group were randomly selected from 244 patients without AKI after cardiac surgery in the validation cohort.

Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) Detection
ELISA kits for the measurement of GDF-15 (70-EK1100-96), IL1RL1 (RK-KOAO636), and uPAR (70-EK1171-96) were purchased from Multi Sciences (Lianke) Biotech Ltd. (Hangzhou, China). ELISAs were performed according to guidelines provided by the manufacturer.

RNA Extraction, cDNA Synthesis, and Real-Time Quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR)
The density gradient centrifugation by Ficoll-Hypaque (Sigma Chemical Co, St Louis, MO, USA) was applied for peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) separation from the blood of AKI and control subjects. RNA was isolated from PBMCs obtained from the patients using Trizol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and reverse transcribed using the RevertAid First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The RT-qPCR analysis was performed using AceQ Universal SYBR qPCR Master Mix (Vazyme, Nanjing, China), primers, and the C1000 Touch™ thermal cycler (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). The data were normalized to GAPDH levels within each sample and analyzed using the ΔΔCt method. Primer sequences are listed as follows: GDF-15: forward 5′-GACCCCTCAGAGTGCACCTC-3′, reverse 5′-GGCTTGTTTAG CAGGCTCCTC-3′; IL1RL1: forward 5′-GAAAACCTAGTTACA CCGTGGA3′; reverse 5′-GCAAACACAGCAGTTCTTTCTTC G-3′; uPAR: forward 5′-GAGCTATCGGACTGGCTTGAA-3′, reverse 5′-CGGCTCCGGAAATAGGGTGAC-3′.

Statistical Analysis
For continuous variables, normally distributed variables are summarized as the mean and SD, and non-normally distributed variables are shown as the median and interquartile range (IQR). Categorical variables are expressed as frequencies with proportions. The unpaired Student’s t-test and Mann–Whitney U-test were applied to compare the difference between the two groups. Three-group comparisons were accomplished by analysis of variance or the Kruskal–Wallis test. The Fisher’s exact test or chi-square test was adopted to evaluate the association between two categorical variables. Simple logistic regression was used to examine the association between each biomarker and AKI. Multivariate logistic regression models, such as biomarkers and clinical factors were constructed to achieve better performance of prediction. We conducted leave-one-out cross-validation (LOOCV) with logistic regression. The goodness-of-fit of the models was assessed by the Akaike information criterion (AIC) and the Bayesian information criterion (BIC). A better model ought to have lower AIC and BIC statistics. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was conducted to evaluate the model discrimination. The area under the curve (AUC), which is equal to the C-statistic, was calculated. The Brier score was used to assess the calibration of the model. In addition, ROC curves were formulated to assess the discriminability of each selected biomarker for predicting AKI. Based on the Youden index, the optimal cutoff values were determined. Afterward, according to these cut-off values, the specificity, and sensitivity of each biomarker for predicting AKI was determined. Afterward, according to these cut-off values, the specificity, and sensitivity of each biomarker for predicting AKI was determined.

RESULTS
WGCNA and DEG Analysis
The GEO dataset GSE30718 (17) included 26 patients with AKI and 11 control patients. Then, 79 DEGs between the AKI group and control group were extracted ([logFC] > 1, adj.p < 0.05), among which 52 genes were upregulated and 27 genes were downregulated (Figures 2A,B). The 20,188 genes in GSE30718 were screened by median absolute deviation (MAD) to reduce noise and the top 10,094 highly genes were extracted for further analysis. We calculated the Pearson’s correlation coefficient to cluster the samples in GSE30718. We drew a sample clustering tree and found no outliers (Figure 2C). We set the soft threshold to 8 and constructed a scale-free network. Finally, 18 modules were identified with average hierarchical clustering and dynamic tree clipping. Five modules showed significant correlations with AKI (p < 0.01), such as salmon, blue, yellow, brown, and pink modules (Figures 2D,E). The 25 hub genes are identified by the criterion of AKI based GS > 0.2 and MM > 0.8 with a threshold of p < 0.05 in 5 key modules (Figures 2F-H).
Identification and Dataset Validation of Candidate Genes

We got GDF15 from hub genes, which was previously reported to be related to AKI, (18, 19) and designated IL1RL1, uPAR, FABP3, IL6, and NGAL from other articles (9, 20–22).

Clinical Characteristics of the Study Cohorts

The clinical characteristics of patients from the two cohorts are summarized in Table 1. The clinical characteristics of the two groups are comparable. No significant differences were observed.
### TABLE 1 | Clinical characteristics of the patients.

|                         | Validation cohort | Discovery cohort |
|-------------------------|-------------------|------------------|
|                         | AKI \((N = 50)\) | Non-AKI \((N = 38)\) | \(P\) | AKI \((N = 17)\) | REF \((N = 21)\) | \(P\) |
| Age, y, median (IQR)    | 65 (58–72)        | 61 (56–67)       | 0.198 | 64 (59–69)        | 60 (56–66)       | 0.483 |
| Male, n (%)             | 32 (64.0%)        | 23 (60.5%)       | 1     | 13.0 (76.5%)      | 13.0 (61.9%)     | 0.542 |
| LVEF, %, median (IQR)   | 61 (55–68)        | 64 (59–68)       | 0.353 | 57 (50–61)        | 62 (56–68)       | 0.202 |
| NYHA class III or IV, n (%) | 16 (32.0%) | 6 (15.8%)     | 0.127 | 7.00 (41.2%) | 3.00 (14.3%)     | 0.133 |
| Diabetes mellitus, n (%) | 4 (8.0%)         | 1 (2.6%)         | 0.559 | 0 (0%)            | 0 (0%)           | 1     |
| Hypertension, n (%)     | 20 (40.0%)        | 10 (26.3%)       | 0.303 | 8.00 (47.1%)      | 4.00 (19.0%)     | 0.135 |
| Previous heart surgery, n (%) | 9 (18.0%) | 2 (5.3%)        | 0.155 | 3.00 (17.6%)     | 0 (0%)           | 0.161 |
| CPB time, min, median (IQR) | 120 (94–140)   | 110 (84–130)    | 0.127 | 120 (78–140)     | 110 (83–120)     | 0.246 |
| CPB time > 120 min, n (%) | 21 (42.0%)       | 14 (36.8%)       | 0.098 | 8.00 (47.1%)      | 6.00 (28.6%)     | 0.22  |
| Hospitalized time, d, median (IQR) | 18 (14–21)   | 14 (13–18)      | 0.009 | 17 (11–20)        | 14 (13–18)       | 0.27  |
| ICU stay, d, median (IQR) | 4 (2.0–5.0)     | 3 (2.0–3.0)      | 0.006 | 3.0 (2.0–4.0)     | 2.0 (2.0–3.0)    | 0.145 |
| Ventilation time, h, median (IQR) | 21 (16–27)   | 18 (13–21)      | 0.008 | 21 (12–24)        | 19 (15–21)       | 0.113 |

AKI, acute kidney injury; REF, reference; CPB, cardiopulmonary bypass; IQR, interquartile range; RRT, renal replacement therapy; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fractions; CABG, coronary artery bypass graft; ICU, intensive care unit; NYHA, new York heart association.

### FIGURE 3 | Boxplots of the RNA expression level of 6 candidate genes in our cohorts \((AKI = 19, REF = 27)\). (A) GDF-15 expressional level in peripheral blood in patients after cardiac surgery in the cohort. (B) IL1RL1 expressional level in peripheral blood in patients after cardiac surgery in the cohort. (C) The urokinase plasminogen activator receptor (uPAR) expressional level in peripheral blood in patients after cardiac surgery in the cohort. (D) Neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL) expressional level in peripheral blood in patients after cardiac surgery in the cohort. (E) Interleukin 6 (IL6) expressional level in peripheral blood in patients after cardiac surgery in the cohort. (F) Fatty acid binding protein 3 (FABP3) expressional level in peripheral blood in patients after cardiac surgery in the cohort. **\(p < 0.01\), ***\(p < 0.001\), *\(p < 0.05\), ns, \(p > 0.05\).
FIGURE 4 | Heatmap showing the plasma levels of six candidate biomarkers in the discovery cohort using Quantibody Custom Array. Plasma levels of the AKI group ($n = 17$) and control group ($n = 21$) at different time points were measured. Blue color indicates lower and red color indicates higher protein expression, with intensities signifying magnitude of the change. (A) All 4 time points, (B) pre (pre-operative), and (C) day0 [at admission to intensive care unit (ICU)]. AKI, acute kidney injury.

Potential Blood Biomarker Markers for AKI

We inspected 6 candidate biomarker expression levels in PBMCs within the cohort, such as GDF-15, IL1RL1, uPAR, NGAL, IL6, and FABP3 and patients who developed AKI had significantly higher GDF-15, IL1RL1, uPAR, and IL6 expression in comparison with the patients without AKI (Figure 3). For further validation in the plasma, these six candidate biomarkers at different time points were measured in the discovery cohort using Quantibody Custom Array (Figure 4).

The post-operative concentrations of GDF-15 and uPAR were found significantly elevated in patients with AKI. Additionally, pre-operative GDF-15, IL1RL1, and NGAL were significantly higher in the AKI group (Figure 5). Moreover, these biomarkers were shown to have prediction ability for AKI (AUC $>0.6$) and their combination has a bigger AUC (pre-biomarkers: AUC: 0.82; post-biomarkers: AUC: 0.86) (Figure 6).

Performance of Candidate Biomarkers in Tracking AKI in Patients After Cardiac Surgery

To interrogate the early diagnosis value of these 3 candidate biomarkers, the tracking plots (Figure 7) show that the perioperative fluctuations of these candidate biomarkers along with SCr. In some cases, these proteins increase...
Validation of the Biomarker-Based Predictive Model for AKI

We further validated these 3 potential biomarkers GDF-15, IL1RL1, and uPAR in the patients from the validation cohort. Patients with CSA-AKI had significantly higher concentrations of GDF-15, IL1RL1, and uPAR than patients without AKI (Figure 8). The area under the ROC curves, represented by...
the C-statistic, indicated that at admission to ICU, these biomarkers had good discriminability for CSA-AKI, with GDF-15 (C-statistic: 0.8, 95% CI, 0.7–0.89), IL1RL1 (C-statistic: 0.68; 95% CI, 0.56–0.8), uPAR (C-statistic: 0.63; 95% CI, 0.5–0.76) and their combination (C-statistic: 0.84; 95% CI, 0.74–0.93) (Figures 9A,B).

The previous studies of the same center have constructed a clinical model based on the traditional clinical factors from Cleveland Clinic (11), the Metha (12), and the Birnie scores (13) to predict AKI after cardiac surgery, such as age, sex, left ventricular ejection fraction, diabetes, hypertension, previous cardiac surgery, estimated GFR (eGFR), and hemoglobin (23). We constructed a similar clinical-factor-based model with similar prediction ability for AKI (C-statistic: 0.73, 95% CI, 0.62–0.85). The combination of GDF-15 or IL1RL1 with clinical parameters had a better power to discriminate between the two groups.

FIGURE 7 | Line plot depicting plasma GDF15, IL1RL1, and uPAR in five detected AKI after cardiac surgery patients. The time point is shown on the x-axis, while the biomarker levels and serum creatinine (SCr) levels are indicated on the left and right vertical axes, respectively. The perioperative tracking plots demonstrated the fluctuations of plasma GDF15, IL1RL1, and uPAR along with SCr and NGAL over time. , candidate biomarkers increased simultaneously with the increase of SCr. , increasing of candidate biomarkers preceded Scr.

FIGURE 8 | Boxplots showing plasma concentration of three candidate biomarkers in validation cohorts using ELISA. Three candidate biomarkers were measured and compared in the AKI group (n = 50) and control group (n = 38) at admission to ICU. (A) The concentration of GDF15 was significantly increased in the AKI group compared with the non-AKI group. (B) Concentration of IL1RL1 was significantly increased in the AKI group compared with the non-AKI group. (C) Concentration of uPAR were significantly increased in the AKI group compared with the non-AKI group. ***p < 0.001, **p < 0.01, *p < 0.05, ns. p > 0.05.
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than the model only with clinical parameters (base: 0.73; base + GDF-15: 0.79; base + IL1RL1: 0.77) (Figure 9C).

At last, 3 multivariate logistic regression models, such as the biomarker-based model (Model 1), clinical-factor-based model (Model 2), and the mixed model (Model 3) were developed and compared. Compared with Model 2, Model 1 yielded lower AIC and BIC values and had better calibration and discrimination performance [C-statistic; Model 1, 0.84 (0.74–0.93); Model 2, 0.73 (0.62–0.85)]. Moreover, the mixed model (Model 3) performed better than Model 1 and Model 2 (Table 2).

Additionally, these biomarkers were investigated in the risk stratification of AKI. In multivariate logistic regression analyses, after adjusting for confounders, the third and fourth quantiles of GDF-15 showed an 8.01-fold risk and a 161.36-fold risk of AKI, respectively. The third and fourth quantiles of IL1RL1 held a 5.8-fold risk and a 25.56-fold risk of AKI compared with the first quantile. The third and fourth quantiles of IL1RL1 held a 5.8-fold risk and a 25.56-fold risk of AKI compared with the first quantile. The fourth quantile of uPAR held a 6.87-fold risk of AKI compared with the first quantile (Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

Weighted Gene Co-expression Network Analysis was employed in the current study to mine the hub gene. Together with 5 potential biomarkers from previous articles, we evaluated these 6 candidates by multiplex cytokine array and ELISA. Then, we developed and validated a novel predictive model incorporating uPAR, GDF15, and IL1RL1 at admission to ICU for predicting CSA-AKI, which outperformed the traditional clinical-factor-based model. This study offers an early predictive method for CSA-AKI as previous articles showed, which happened several times before in the early post-operative period plasma of adults undergoing cardiac surgery. Among these [TIMP-2*,IGFBP7*] studies for the diagnosis of CSA-AKI in adults, only one study (27) showed prediction value of TIMP-2 and IGFBP7 at admission to ICU (AUC: 0.72; 95% CI, 0.60–0.85) showed moderate diagnostic value for CSA-AKI. In our study, plasma GDF-15 performed better than these biomarkers (AUC: 0.8, 95% CI, 0.7–0.89; sensitivity: 0.76; and specificity: 0.76).

When it came to the measurement of time points, the frequently used time points of collecting samples for the prediction of CSA-AKI were at admission to ICU, 4 h and 12 h after cardiac surgery. Among these [TIMP-2*,IGFBP7*] studies for the diagnosis of CSA-AKI in adults, only one study (27) showed prediction value of TIMP-2 and IGFBP7 at admission to ICU (AUC: 0.72; 95% CI, 0.60–0.85) showed moderate diagnostic value for CSA-AKI. In our study, plasma GDF-15 performed better than these biomarkers (AUC: 0.8, 95% CI, 0.7–0.89; sensitivity: 0.76; and specificity: 0.76).
FIGURE 9 | Receiver operator characteristic curves of 3 candidate biomarkers at admission to ICU for AKI in the validation cohort. (A) ROC curve of biomarkers for AKI. (B) ROC curve of biomarkers combination for AKI. (C) Incremental changes to the ROC curve for AKI by adding plasma biomarkers to the traditional clinical model in the discovery cohort.

TABLE 2 | Predicting AKI at admission to ICU in the validation cohort.

|        | C-statistics (95%CI) | Sensitivity | Specificity | Accuracy | AIC  | BIC  | Brier |
|--------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|----------|------|------|-------|
| GDF15  | 0.8 (0.7–0.89)       | 0.76        | 0.76        | 0.78     | 83   | 88   | 0.17  |
| IL1RL1 | 0.68 (0.56–0.8)      | 0.62        | 0.73        | 0.72     | 99   | 104  | 0.21  |
| uPAR   | 0.63 (0.5–0.76)      | 0.71        | 0.59        | 0.67     | 106  | 110  | 0.23  |
| Model 1| 0.84 (0.74–0.93)     | 0.76        | 0.81        | 0.82     | 81   | 90   | 0.15  |
| Model 2| 0.73 (0.62–0.85)     | 0.64        | 0.81        | 0.79     | 98   | 124  | 0.16  |
| Model 3| 0.86 (0.77–0.94)     | 0.83        | 0.84        | 0.85     | 80   | 99   | 0.12  |

AIC, akaike information criterion; BIC, bayesian information criterion; ICU, intensive care unit. Model 1: GDF15, IL1RL1, and uPAR; Model 2: age, sex, hypertension, diabetes, estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), hemoglobin, and previous heart disease; Model 3: GDF15, IL1RL1, uPAR, eGFR, and hemoglobin.

TABLE 3 | Multivariate logistic regression analyses of selected biomarkers for predicting higher risk of AKI.

|        | Range, pg/ml | OR (95% CI) | p       | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | Adjusted p |
|--------|--------------|-------------|---------|----------------------|------------|
| GDF15  | Continuous   | 5.59 (3–13.9) | 0       | 6.64 (3–19.4) | 0.0001     |
| Q1     | (203, 522)   | 1           | Ref     | 1                    | Ref        |
| Q2     | (522, 1.37e+03) | 4.36 (1.04–23.21) | 0.0567 | 5.82 (1.19–37.35) | 0.0401     |
| Q3     | (1.37e+03, 2.28e+03) | 7.33 (1.73–39.9) | 0.0109 | 8.01 (1.6–53.17) | 0.0171     |
| Q4     | (2.28e+03, 4.75e+03) | 101.33 (13.76–2231) | 1e–04 | 161.36 (16.89–4295.26) | 1e–04 |
| IL1RL1 | Continuous   | 2.86 (2–6.07) | 0.0018 | 3.59 (2–8.4) | 0.0007     |
| Q1     | (3.93e+03, 9.19e+03) | 1             | Ref     | 1                    | Ref        |
| Q2     | (9.19e+03, 1.63e+04) | 1.44 (0.39–5.59) | 0.5844 | 2.21 (0.48–11.42) | 0.3169     |
| Q3     | (1.63e+04, 2.73e+04) | 2.98 (0.81–11.88) | 0.1073 | 5.8 (1.25–32.5) | 0.032      |
| Q4     | (2.73e+04, 6.27e+04) | 12.28 (2.85–69.26) | 0.0017 | 25.66 (4.86–185.8) | 4e–04 |
| uPAR   | Continuous   | 1.96 (1–3.48) | 0.0115 | 2.15 (1–4.21) | 0.0146     |
| Q1     | (1.95e+03, 3.69e+03) | 1             | Ref     | 1                    | Ref        |
| Q2     | (3.69e+03, 5.26e+03) | 1.4 (0.39–5.19) | 0.6051 | 2.22 (0.48–11.53) | 0.3184     |
| Q3     | (5.26e+03, 6.26e+03) | 2.36 (0.65–9.05) | 0.1972 | 4.61 (1.01–24.84) | 0.0581     |
| Q4     | (6.26e+03, 1.02e+04) | 5.14 (1.36–22.07) | 0.0197 | 6.87 (1.57–36.43) | 0.015      |

OR, odds ratio; Q1, the first quartile; Q2, the second quartile; Q3, the third quartile; Q4, the fourth quartile; RRT, renal replacement therapy; GDF-15, growth/differentiation factor-15; IL1RL1, interleukin 1 receptor like 1; uPAR, urokinase plasminogen activator.

Adjusted for age, sex, diabetes, hypertension, previous heart disease, eGFR, and hemoglobin.
requires an evaluation in a larger multicenter and prospective study. Third, in our study, AKI was defined according to the KDIGO guideline while the diagnosis of AKI is recently challenged by the fact that creatinine is not the optimal biomarker for renal function impairment. Therefore, there remains the possibility that patients in the non-AKI group have AKI in our study.

CONCLUSIONS

In this research, we developed and validated a multi-biomarker predictive model containing GDF-15, IL1RL1, and uPAR for predicting CSA-AKI, which outperformed the traditional clinical-factor-based model.
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