I. Introduction

A blockchain, by design and definition, is a particular type of database [1]. It is constructed as a to-read-only-once database. This means that blockchain databases are designed to be only-ever-created, and not edited or deleted. Data stored in a blockchain decentralized ledger (computer file asset) is a transactional type of data that requires space of 1 kB or less, and no one is able to access it as long as the owner holds the private keys. Moreover, the owner can use the InterPlanetary File System (IPFS) to access the data and transfer it from one computer to another much faster and more securely and economically in comparison to centralized databases [2].

The rationale for the use of blockchain technology in healthcare is the fact that maintenance of a typical healthcare information system involves various operations including but not limited to performing backup storage services, having recovery mechanisms in place, and ensuring up-to-date fields [3]. In a blockchain, data are distributed across the network, and there is no single point of failure leading to an inherent backup mechanism. Also, a single version of data is copied on every node of the blockchain. This reduces the volume of transactions that occur between information sys-
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tems, thus reducing the burden on the healthcare ecosystem [4].

With the progress in electronic health-related data gathering, cloud healthcare data storage and patient data privacy protection regulations, new opportunities are opening for daily health data management, as well as for convenience for patients to access and share their own health data (Table 1). In this study, the available applications of blockchains in healthcare and cases of their use are reported in general.

### II. Case Description

**1. Blockchain for Electronic Medical Record (EMR) Data Management**

The potential for the use of blockchain technology in hospitals has started to be tested in several pilot projects globally. Last year in the United States, Booz Allen Hamilton Consulting developed and implemented a blockchain-based pilot platform designed to help the Food and Drug Administration’s Office of Translational Sciences explore how to use the technology for healthcare data management (Figure 1). The pilot project is currently being implemented at four major hospitals; it is using Ethereum to manage data access via virtual private networks. The project is built on the IPFS to utilize encryption and reduce data duplication via off-chain cloud components with cryptographic algorithms to create user sharing [6].

**2. Blockchain and Healthcare Data Protection**

In Europe the relationship between blockchains and the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) is somewhat controversial. On one hand, blockchains seem to represent a good alignment with GDPR (when it comes to data portability, as an example, or consent management, data traceability and lawful access auditability). On the other hand, various issues can be identified (when it comes to right to be forgotten, but also when the technical implementation through smart contracts might weaken the actual control over data, through automatic execution). One option to tackle this issue is ‘dynamic consent management’, which is fully in line with the GDPR provision regarding consent [7]. In addition, it is considered that ‘private blockchains’, e.g., Enterprise Blockchain can easily comply with GDPR directives since the transactions of the digital records of the stored infor-
mation can be modified and erased by private entities or authorities who can own and control this platform, using a particular class of consensus algorithm [8]. These private blockchains are run by a single company or organization, but they grant access to users, typically organizations, that fulfill certain pre-established credentials or criteria [9]. Such systems would be similarly managed in terms of how a company manages its private web applications. Their use cases could include the following: record keeping by government agencies, public health records owners, and healthcare reimbursement providers. In the future, these private blockchains could have the most significant impact on healthcare policy and management. The potential of blockchains is also addressed by the European Commission Research & Innovation Program IMI (Innovative Medicine Initiative) Pilot project named “Blockchain Enabled Healthcare” lead by Novartis, which aims to leverage existing standards, such as Ethereum, and to develop complementary standards if required. The focus is on enabling services that directly benefit patients [10].

3. Blockchain for Personal Health Record (PHR) Data Management

Personal life-log data recently has begun to be captured through wearable sensors or medical IoT devices as personal health records (PHR). Real-time artificial intelligence (AI)-powered healthcare analytics will be fed back to the related users, including patients, physicians, pharmaceutical researchers, and payers [11,12]. This entire PHR service trajectory is becoming a valuable source of data for blockchain service providers. (Figure 2).

Distributed or decentralized applications (Dapps) developed on the blockchain enable physicians and patients to easily participate in telemedicine with no middleman costs aside from the minimal fees of the Ethereum network, thus enhancing patient empowerment.

4. Blockchain for Point-of-Care Genomics

According to Timi Inc., which is a blockchain platform company, an individual patient’s data is estimated to be worth as much as USD 7,000 per year [13]. Most of the mHealth companies developing blockchain services target the potential of patients to own and sell their health data with a focus on personal Electronic Health Records (EHRs) and wellness-routine profiles gathered by wearable sensors, as well as the personal (at-home) genome [14]. Therefore, many consumer companies have been offering DNA sequencing for some time. The company ’23andMe’, which was founded in 2006, is the most prolific, offering direct-to-consumer genetic testing services [15]. However, privacy is a major issue in the healthcare industry. Last year, 23andMe announced that they had sold a USD 300 million stake in the company to the pharmaceutical giant GlaxoSmithKline, effectively handing over access to the 5 million customers’ data, despite it only containing exome data. To address such future concerns, blockchain startups in healthcare claim to offer a solution for
consumers wanting to have a DNA test done, while keeping data ownership. They offer the option of data monetization through blockchain-supported providers. For example, a startup called Nebula Genomics is offering whole-genome sequencing for free, as a way to stock up for its real ploy: a blockchain-based genetic marketplace [16]. Once users have their genome sequenced, they can charge a fee, in tokens, to anyone who wants to access it. Thereafter, those tokens can be redeemed for additional tests and products that will further interpret DNA. Genomes.io is another genomics blockchain company that allows consumers to securely store their genome from the moment it is sequenced and subsequently grant access selectively. The idea is to prevent genetic information getting into the wrong hands, while giving consumers the opportunity to sell their genetic data bit by bit if they wish to do so [17].

5. Blockchain for EHR Data Management
Blockchain technology is also a viable choice for personal EHR management. So-called ‘smart contracts’, could allow patients to be remunerated with tokens for their sharing of health data with providers and their research partners. For example, Health Wizz is piloting a blockchain- and FHIR-enabled EHR aggregator mobile app, which uses blockchains to tokenize data, enabling patients to securely aggregate, organize, share, donate, and/or trade their personal medical records [18]. The idea is to enable individuals to control their health data as easily as they do their online bank accounts to allow better communication between healthcare organizations and caregivers to pave the way for a higher standard of care.

As an EHR blockchain company, Medicalchain is working on enabling various healthcare agents, such as doctors, hospitals, laboratories, pharmacists, and insurers, to request permission to access and interact with patients’ medical records [19]. Each interaction is auditable, transparent, and secure and is recorded as a transaction on Medicalchain’s distributed ledger.

III. Discussion
On a blockchain, it is cheap to verify the integrity of an individual transaction. A single piece of information can be audited in real time; moreover, its integrity is available to any participant in the network. As a result, costless verification can be economically implemented. For example, healthcare accounting information that can be built up with integrity from the simplest units of transactions has previously constituted a time consuming and costly audit. Now, with blockchains, this process can run continuously in the background in compliance with regulations [20].

There are five potential benefits of blockchains in comparison with traditional healthcare database management systems [5]. First, blockchains enable decentralized management; they are suitable for applications where healthcare stakeholders (e.g., hospitals, patients, payers, etc.) wish to collaborate with one another without the control of a central management intermediary. Second, blockchains provide immutable audit trails; they are suitable for unchangeable databases to record critical information (e.g., insurance claim re-
Blockchains enable data provenance; they are suitable for use in managing digital assets (e.g., patient consent in clinical trials). The ownership can only be changed by the owner, following cryptographic protocols. Also, the origins of the assets are traceable (i.e., the sources of the data and records can be confirmed), increasing the reusability of verified data. Fourth, blockchains ensure the robustness and availability of data; they are suitable for the preservation and continuous availability of records (e.g., the electronic health records of patients). Finally, they increase the security and privacy of data; data is encrypted in blockchains and can only be decrypted with the patient’s private key. Even if the network is infiltrated by a malicious party, there is no practical way to read patient data.
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