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The electrical infrastructure around the globe is expanding at a rapid rate for the sake of fulfilling power demands in the domestic, commercial and entertainment industries aiming to boost the living standards. In this regard, renewable energy sources (RES) are globally accepted potential candidates for maintaining inexhaustible, clean, and reliable electricity with a supplementary feature of economic prospect. The efficiency of power distribution at reduced cost to the consumers can be further enhanced by introducing a two-way billing system so-called net-metering which has the potential to overcome issues such as voltage regulation, power blackouts, overstressed grid and need for expensive storage systems thereby making it beneficial for the grid and the end user. This envisioning has encouraged the Government of Pakistan to install net-metering infrastructure at places which accommodate surplus renewable energy reserves. According to the Electric Power Act 1997, the National Electric Power Regulatory Authority (NEPRA) issued the net-metering rules and regulations in September 2015 by the endorsement of Federal Government which allowed the distribution companies in Pakistan to buy surplus electricity units generated by the consumers in order to partly reimburse the units imported from the utility grid. The aim behind this research work is to promote renewable energy utilization through net-metering mechanism in order to achieve maximum power. The export of units from consumer side to utility grid and vice versa can be made through bidirectional energy meter. In this paper, a solar net-metering analysis has been carried out on ETAP software to determine its benefits in a distribution network. Different scenarios have been investigated, and it is concluded that solar net-metering technique has multiple influential benefits, e.g., improvement in voltage regulation, reduction in transmission and distribution losses, increase in power availability, less billing to consumers, and reduction of loading on utility grid.

1. Introduction

In Pakistan, the energy demand is growing by more than nine percent annually, and it is predicted that an increase in energy demand will be eightfold by 2030 and twenty-fold by 2050 [1]. Among all developing countries, Pakistan is also in a race of development and in order to conform to its huge load demand, Pakistan requires expanding the scale of power network to be able to maintain the balance between energy supply and demand. Due to the continuous and overwhelming population growth in the country, the supply of electricity is lagging behind the demand and due to this significant imbalance, a severe load shedding of 10 to 12 hours in urban areas and 16 to 18 hours in rural areas is taking place in summer [2]. The best and feasible solution to overcome this energy gap is to promote renewable energy on an individual basis and develop awareness for its efficient utilization through net-metering. The renewable energy sources such as wind, tidal, geothermal, and solar energy can be accessible in excess quantity, and the dramatic increase in energy demand can be easily maintained with them [3]. Net-metering is an advanced billing mechanism which has the capability to run the meter in backward direction when electricity is credited to the grid during off-peak hours; thus, units are sold by the consumer. Now with the help of Alternative Energy Development Board (AEDB), National
Electric Power Regulatory Authority (NEPRA) and concerned Distribution Companies (DISCOS), the Government of Pakistan is motivating people to draw their attention towards net-metering by promoting renewable energy so that the investments can be made on renewable energy sector at small/individual level. In 2015, the rules and standards on a net-metering scheme were defined by NEPRA by allowing DISCOS to take/purchase excess energy units generated by renewable energy sources from consumer side at the same rates prescribed by the company [4].

In a modernized era of technological advancements, net-metering adoption has expanded in a significantly influential manner in the power sector. The net-metering mechanism is of great interest for renewable energy users, e.g., wind and solar. Net-metering is a scheme by which electric power can be transferred to an electric grid on the same rates prescribed by the distribution companies at which consumer is being charged. For renewable energy users such as solar and wind energy, the net-metering mechanism is very attractive as it allows the end users to consume all their generated electrical energy, depending upon their need or to transfer extra electrical energy/all generated electrical energy to utility grid by bidirectional billing mechanism. When required, the extra exported electrical energy can again be drawn on the same rates as it was transferred to the utility grid. During this process, the electric grid behaves like a storage device (battery) to solar energy or wind energy users thereby eliminating the need for dedicated battery banks [5]. The block diagram of the net-metering mechanism is shown below in Figure 1.

In terms of geography, Pakistan is full of renewable energy sources especially solar energy and is located in a very attainable solar range where sunshine’s up to 7 to 8 hours regularly in winter and 11 to 12 hours regularly in a summer season. Although, the weather conditions, e.g., humidity, dust, fog, and clouds also affect the solar irradiance, yet, the amount of solar energy reaching the earth is about 80% in clear and bright days. Having such a special geographical area, Pakistan receives the solar radiations continuously the whole year, and average solar radiation is approximately 9 hours [6]. The annual direct solar irradiation values in all four provinces of Pakistan are shown in Table 1.

The installation of a rooftop PV system through a net-metering mechanism can meet increasing energy demand [7]. This will not only reduce the burden on the power system but also reduces transmission and distribution losses.

Due to the best geographical area, Pakistan has aimed to set about 10 GWh from renewable energy until 2030 [8]. With the collaboration of AEDB, the Government of Pakistan is playing a vital role for the promotion and the advancement of solar power in Pakistan. In 2006, AEDB abolished the tax duties on solar energy products for the purpose of promoting and increasing the solar technology in Pakistan [8].

One of the examples for the advancement of solar power within Pakistan is by setting up the world’s largest solar power plant named Quaid-e-Azam Solar Park (QASP). QASP is located in Bahawalpur (Punjab) having a capacity of 1000 MW with Commercial Operation Date (COD) set for 2020 [9]. Up to now, 4 units are in operation and synchronized with the national grid, each having a 100 MW capacity [9].

![Figure 1: Block-diagram of net-metering mechanism [5].](image)

| Province name | Min. solar irradiation (kWh/m²/day) | Max. solar irradiation (kWh/m²/day) |
|---------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Punjab        | 6.5                                | 7                                  |
| Baluchistan   | 7                                  | 7.5                                |
| Sindh         | 5                                  | 5.5                                |
| KPK           | 4.5                                | 5                                  |

2. Literature Review

In former times, the net-metering scheme was firstly adopted by the USA where the solar energy or wind energy was synchronized with the grid network. This system was introduced for the users of renewable energy because they were interested to use all their generated electrical energy at different times according to their demand. In 1981, the first state that passed the net-metering license was Minnesota [10]. After Minnesota, many other states also passed the net-metering scheme license, since the interest behind this law was only to feed excess energy to utility grids on the rates prescribed by concerned distribution companies. Up to now, 42 states have adopted and implemented the net-metering in USA [10].

In the Philippines, the net-metering mechanism was initiated by the Renewable Energy Act (REA) 2008, and its Implementing Rules and Regulation (IRR). The Energy Regulatory Commission (ERC) is the implementing body which is in coordination with the National Renewable Energy Board (NREB) [11]. In 2013, a net-metering scheme was also started in Cyprus with the cooperation of the Cyprus Energy Regulatory Authority (CERA) [12].

The interest behind this scheme was to promote the rooftop installation of the PV system in Cyprus as a sign of clean and environmental friendly energy. After the first promotion of a net-metering scheme in Cyprus, the rooftop installations of PV started becoming popular on the individual basis because of the interest and simplicity of the net-metering policy offered in Cyprus. The Cyprus that lies in the Eastern side of the Mediterranean region has the greatest solar irradiance and photovoltaic potential of Europe [12].

The yearly (horizontal) irradiance in hilly areas lies between the ranges of 1800 to 1900 kWh/m² and in seaside areas/inner-side of the country lies between 1900 to 2100 kWh/m² [12].

Due to the fast economic growth in the past few years, many southeast countries are planning to equalize rapid economic development with long-range renewability goals. Due to this upgradation, several countries have aimed to increase...
their renewable energy share within their national grids. Recently the attention has inflated to promote the renewable energy sources on small-scale geared towards commercial as well as residential areas [13]. Among these aimed countries, Malaysia, Singapore, Thailand, Philippines, and Indonesia have initiated frameworks for its residential users to install rooftop PV systems. Recently, Indonesia also introduced a net-metering scheme [13]. Thailand and Malaysia are also initiating a net-metering mechanism [9].

In India, Maharashta was the first state to availed net-metering. The first bidirectional meter was installed in Verdean Industries Ltd. by Tata Power Company. Afterwards, further 25 kW of solar metering was installed at Vatic-Nagar word office. Some other projects were also effectively installed by Rooftop-Urjacompany, i.e., 3 kW solar net-metering system at Telangana, 15 kW solar net-metering system at Karnataka, 10 kW solar net-metering system at Multan [14].

Many distribution companies are promoting solar net-metering mechanism to residential level. Several states in the United States of America and also in Japan have executed a net-metering mechanism where generated electricity is self-consumed before feeding surplus electricity to the utility grid. On the other side, Germany is widely known for the thriving execution of the gross-metering scheme [15].

India is also known for its fervent solar energy, where solar radiations are accessible for longer durations on a daily basis. As solar energy has much potential to overcome energy losses. Therefore, the National Solar Mission was planned by India [16]. The aim of National Solar Mission was to increase generation capacity by technological innovation and also to drop down the cost of electricity as low as possible. The mission consists of three-stage approach. The 1st approach (i.e., 2010-2013) is promoting off-grid system which comprises of grid-tied solar power generation to 1000 MW. The 2nd approach (i.e., 2014-2017) comprises of further 3000 MW or more grid-tied solar power generation. The 3rd phase (i.e., 2017-2022) will comprise of 20000 MW or more grid-tied solar power generation [16].

In Gujarat, power generation through net-metering scheme aims to set up to 5 MW of power, i.e., 1 MW in private society and 4 MW in government buildings [15].

### 3. Methodology

In this paper, an 11 kW feeder named “CITY-3, Azeem Abad” is chosen for solar net-metering analysis which is emanating out from the 132 kV grid station, Burewala in the region of Multan electric power company (MEPCO). The grid voltage is stepped down by 160 distribution transformers (3-phase) from 11 kV to 415 V at which multiple loads are connected. For the convenience of easiness in simulation, these 160 distribution transformers are combined to form 8 distribution transformers. Table 2 shows the detail of the total 3-phase distribution transformers of CITY-3 feeder [17].

| Transformer (KVA) | Quantity |
|------------------|----------|
| 10               | 4        |
| 15               | 7        |
| 25               | 77       |
| 50               | 23       |
| 75               | 1        |
| 100              | 25       |
| 200              | 20       |
| 400              | 1        |
| 630              | 2        |
| Total            | 160      |

Table 3 shows below indicates the status of a net-metering applicant on which simulation has to be performed [17].

| Net-metering applicant status |
|------------------------------|
| Name of applicant            | M. Sarwar (PC Burewala) |
| Sub division codes           | 15333                  |
| Feeder name                  | CITY-3 Azeem Abad      |
| Sectioned load               | 170                    |
| Generation license           | DGL/620/2018           |
| Installation status          | Installed              |
| Billing started              | Yes                    |
| Solar generation (kW)        | 192                    |

In this paper, a different number of cases are performed with respect to Bus22 on CITY-3 feeder with solar net-metering mechanism, and critical analysis, i.e., load flow analysis and harmonics analysis are also carried out on ETAP. The Bus22 is selected subject to the net-metering status of MEPCO [17]. Multiple loads are connected on N-M network transformer as shown in Figure 2(b). Out of these loads, the only PC Burewala load had installed a solar system of 192 kW and had availed net-metering. As the scope of this paper is to promote the net-metering scheme and to show its notable advantages on the distribution system. So in the light of the above points, the Bus22 is selected. The following cases are performed:

*Case 1. Load flow analysis of CITY-3 feeder with Bus22 load ON/solar power OFF.*
Figure 2: (a) Single line diagram of CITY-3 feeder (MEPCO). (b) Single line diagram of net-metering network.
Figure 3: (a) Load flow analysis with Bus22 load ON/solar power OFF. (b) Load flow analysis (N-M network) with Bus22 load ON/solar power OFF.
4. Load Flow Analysis and Results

A single line diagram of CITY-3 feeder represents a 3-phase power system as shown in Figure 2(a). For the sake of consideration, a single line diagram of the solar net-metering mechanism is separately shown in a new network/window (N-M network) and is connected with the feeder as shown below in Figure 2(b). The reason to make a separate network is to observe the prominent effects of solar net-metering in a distribution network.

4.1. Case 1, Load Flow Analysis with Bus22 Load ON/Solar Power OFF.

In the first case, the solar power generation is not available (during night or cloudy weather condition), and the load Bus22 of PC Burewala is drawing all the required power from the utility grid as shown in Figures 3(a) and 3(b). In this case, the voltage on load bus Bus21 of N-M network is 408 V, while the nominal voltage is 415 V. It can be seen that there is a voltage drop of 8 volts across load Bus21. In addition, there is an additional burden of 120 kW (PC Burewala load) on utility grid as PC Burewala load is drawing all the required power from the grid station, resulting in increase in transmission and distribution losses.

In this case, load flow analysis shows the real and reactive power flowing within the network, the buses voltage levels as well as the transmission and transformation losses in a distribution network which can be seen in reports generated by the load flow study analysis in ETAP.

It can be seen in Figure 3(b) that the solar power is OFF which means the solar is not feeding power to Bus22, and all the power is coming from the utility grid to Bus22 to feed PC Burewala load as shown by multimeter. As seen by multimeter, the active and reactive power consumption of PC Burewala load is -109.5 kW and -35.99 kVAR. The negative sign depicts that the load is drawing both real power and reactive power from the utility grid. The voltage profile of the feeder can also be observed from the utility grid, 11 kV feeder to load Bus22 of PC Burewala.

The negative sign depicts that the load is drawing both real power and reactive power from the utility grid. The voltage profile of the feeder can also be observed from the utility grid, 11 kV feeder to load Bus22 of PC Burewala. The Table 4 shows the comparison of voltages on different buses between nominal voltage and observed voltage profile percentage in Case 1.

The Table 5 shown below shows the load flow on relevant buses of the net-metering network for Case 1.

It can be seen from the Table 5 that there is a load of 108.5 kW on Bus22 and the solar power is OFF (no generation on Bus22), and voltage profile on main load Bus21 of the net-metering network is 97.78% and on PC Burewala load Bus22 is 97.55%. A complete feeder is drawing 3274 kW and 469.8 kVAR power from the utility grid as shown in Figure 3(a). The negative sign with 0.109 MW on Bus22 shows that the PC Burewala load on Bus22 is extracting power from the utility grid.
Figure 4: (a) Load flow analysis with Bus22 load ON/solar ON. (b) Load flow analysis (N-M network) with Bus22 load ON/solar ON.
Branch losses in Case 1: Table 6 shows the branch losses report of CITY-3 feeder.

Table 6 shows that the total losses of the system in Case 1 when PC Burewala load is ON and solar power is OFF are 45.6 kW. All these values are of importance from the comparison point of view when solar is generating power, (solar ON) to meet consumer demand and feeding surplus energy to the utility grid.

4.2. Case 2: Load Flow Analysis with Bus22 Load ON/Solar Power. In Case 2, the solar power is available (i.e., Solar ON) feeding the Bus22 load and exporting surplus power to the utility grid. Due to net-metering phenomena, a number of notable changes can be observed in a distribution network which can be visible in corresponding load flow and branch losses results. It can be seen that, in Case 1, when solar was OFF, the complete feeder was drawing 3274 kW from the utility grid, but in this case, when solar is ON, the complete feeder is drawing 3105.1 kW from the utility grid which shows that solar net-metering mechanism reduces the burden on utility grid as well as the dependency of the net-metering user on utility grid as shown in Figure 4(a).

In Case 2, the voltage level across all the busses of a distribution network especially in N-M network on main load Bus21 is 98.18%, and on solar net-metering associated load Bus22 is 98.3%. In previous case (i.e., solar OFF), the voltage level on these two busses was 97.78% on Bus21 and 97.55% on Bus22 which clearly depicts that solar net-metering improves voltage profile across load as well as feeding surplus power to the utility grid also improves voltage profile of the system that makes the power system more stable as well as improves the quality of power supply to the consumer.

In Case 2, a complete feeder is drawing 3105 kW real power and 463.2 kVAR reactive power from the utility grid as shown in Figures 4(a) and 4(b), while in Case 1 (i.e., solar OFF), the power drawn by the utility grid is 3274 kW and 469.8 kVAR. The solar power is generating 170 kW out of which 110.1 kW is consumed by the associated PC Burewala load, and extra power of 60.64 kW is fed to the utility grid. Table 7 shows the comparison between nominal voltages and the observed voltage profile at different busses in Case 2.

The load flow shown in Table 8 shows the load flow and voltage profile on relevant buses, i.e., Bus20, Bus21, and Bus22 of N-M network for Case 2.

It can be observed from the Table 8 when solar power generation of 170.8 kW is on Bus22 and voltage profile on
Figure 5: (a) Load flow analysis with Bus22 load OFF/solar ON. (b) Load flow analysis (N-M network) with Bus22 load OFF/solar ON.
The main load Bus21 of N-M network is 98.18%, while in Case 1, the voltage profile on Bus21 was 97.78% which clearly depicts that by only single solar net-metering user, a 0.4% improvement can be seen in voltage profile on Bus21.

Voltage profile on associated load Bus22 of N-M network is 98.3%, while in Case 1, the voltage profile on Bus22 was 97.55% which shows 0.75% improvement in voltage profile on user’s load Bus22. A complete feeder load drawn from the utility grid is 3105 kW but in actual, the total load of the feeder is 3274 kW as shown in Figure 4(a). The difference is covered by the solar net-metering mechanism which reduces the burden on the grid station as well as the dependency of the user’s on the utility grid.

Branch losses in Case 2: Table 9 shows the total branch losses of the system in Case 2 when PC Burewala load ON/solar ON are 40.5 kW, while in previous case when solar was OFF were 45.6 kW and which shows that power generation through solar net-metering reduces losses in a distribution network. The Table 10 shows the clear impact of

| Bus ID | Voltage (kV) | Load flow |
|-------|-------------|-----------|
|       | Nominal voltage | % mag. | MW | MVAR |
| Bus1  | 11000       | 99.229   | -0.022 | -0.058 |
| Bus2  | 0.415       | 98.762   | -0.022 | -0.057 |
| Bus21 | 0.415       | 99.102   | 0.171 | 0 |

Table 11: Voltage profile in Case 3.

| Bus ID | Nominal voltage (V) | Observed voltage level (% age) |
|-------|---------------------|------------------------------|
| Bus1  | 11000               | 100                          |
| Bus2  | 11000               | 99.41                        |
| Bus3  | 11000               | 99.23                        |
| Bus4  | 415                 | 97.74                        |
| Bus5  | 415                 | 98.83                        |
| Bus6  | 11000               | 98.94                        |
| Bus7  | 11000               | 99.05                        |
| Bus8  | 11000               | 98.72                        |
| Bus9  | 415                 | 97.63                        |
| Bus10 | 415                 | 98.04                        |
| Bus11 | 11000               | 99.12                        |
| Bus12 | 11000               | 99.23                        |
| Bus13 | 11000               | 98.87                        |
| Bus14 | 415                 | 97.92                        |
| Bus15 | 415                 | 97.79                        |
| Bus16 | 11000               | 98.9                         |
| Bus17 | 11000               | 99.13                        |
| Bus18 | 11000               | 99.01                        |
| Bus19 | 11000               | 98.03                        |
| Bus20 | 11000               | 99.23                        |
| Bus21 | 415                 | 98.76                        |
| Bus22 | 415                 | 99.1                         |

Table 12: Load flow of N-M network for Case 2.

| Bus ID | Voltage (kV) | Load flow |
|-------|-------------|-----------|
|       | Nominal voltage | % mag. | MW | MVAR |
| Bus1  | 11000       | 99.229   | -0.022 | -0.058 |
| Bus2  | 0.415       | 98.762   | -0.022 | -0.057 |
| Bus21 | 0.415       | 99.102   | 0.171 | 0 |

Table 13: Branch losses for Case 3.

| Branch ID | Losses kW | Branch ID | Losses kW |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Line1     | 16.1      | Line12    | 0.6       |
| Line2     | 0.8       | Cable1    | 0.6       |
| Line3     | 2.1       | T1        | 2.8       |
| Line4     | 0.2       | T2        | 0.1       |
| Line5     | 1.4       | T3        | 1.4       |
| Line6     | 3.0       | T4        | 1.5       |
| Line7     | 0.3       | T5        | 1.4       |
| Line8     | 1.6       | T6        | 1.7       |
| Line9     | 0.9       | T7        | 1.4       |
| Line10    | 1.0       | T8        | 0.2       |
| Line11    | 0.4       |           |           |

Table 14: Voltage level comparison for all cases.

| Bus ID | Case 1: when Bus22 load ON/solar OFF | Case 2: when Bus22 load ON/solar ON | Case 3: when Bus22 load OFF/solar ON |
|--------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Bus1   | 100%                                 | 100%                                | 100%                                |
| Bus2   | 99.36%                               | 99.39%                              | 99.41%                              |
| Bus3   | 99.18%                               | 99.21%                              | 99.23%                              |
| Bus4   | 97.69%                               | 97.72%                              | 97.74%                              |
| Bus5   | 98.78%                               | 98.81%                              | 98.83%                              |
| Bus6   | 98.89%                               | 98.92%                              | 98.94%                              |
| Bus7   | 99%                                  | 99.03%                              | 99.05%                              |
| Bus8   | 98.66%                               | 98.69%                              | 98.72%                              |
| Bus9   | 97.58%                               | 97.61%                              | 97.63%                              |
| Bus10  | 97.95%                               | 98%                                 | 98.04%                              |
| Bus11  | 99.03%                               | 99.08%                              | 99.12%                              |
| Bus12  | 99.14%                               | 99.19%                              | 99.23%                              |
| Bus13  | 98.78%                               | 98.83%                              | 98.87%                              |
| Bus14  | 97.83%                               | 97.88%                              | 97.92%                              |
| Bus15  | 97.7%                                | 97.75%                              | 97.79%                              |
| Bus16  | 98.8%                                | 98.86%                              | 98.9%                               |
| Bus17  | 99.03%                               | 99.08%                              | 99.13%                              |
| Bus18  | 98.91%                               | 98.96%                              | 99.01%                              |
| Bus19  | 97.94%                               | 97.99%                              | 98.03%                              |
| Bus20  | 99.06%                               | 99.15%                              | 99.23%                              |
| Bus21  | 97.78%                               | 98.18%                              | 98.76%                              |
| Bus22  | 97.55%                               | 98.3%                               | 99.1%                               |

Table 15: Branch losses comparison for all cases.
the solar net-metering mechanism on the reduction of losses in a distribution network by the comparison of Case 1 and Case 2.

4.3. Case 3: Load Flow Analysis with Bus22 Load OFF/ Power. In Case 3, a consumer is not using any power, i.e., not at home. So, there will be no power consumption at consumer premises, but solar is generating power, i.e., solar ON, when all generated power by solar energy will be exported to the grid as shown in Figures 5(a) and 5(b). This case is performed to check how the system will behave when all the generated energy from the solar system is fed to the utility grid.

From Figure 5(b), it can be seen that solar system is generating 170.8 kW and there is no any consumption of power at consumer premises because the PC Burewala load on Bus22 is OFF, i.e., weekend or holidays. In this case, the complete generated power from the solar system is fed to the distribution grid. The multi-meter on consumer side with installed solar net-metering mechanism shows a positive active power of 170.8 kW which means that the consumer is exporting all the generated power to the utility grid.

The voltage profile on Bus21 is 98.76%, while in Case 2, it was 98.18% that means there is a further 0.58% improvement in voltage profile on Bus21 of the utility grid. By comparing the Case 3 with the Case 1 when the voltage profile was 97.78% on Bus21, a difference of 0.98% is observed which is improved by an only single solar net-metering user. Table 11 shows the comparison of nominal voltages and the observed voltage profile at different buses in Case 3.

5. Overall Voltage Profile and Branch Losses Comparison for all Cases

5.1. Overall Voltage Profile Comparison at Buses for all Cases. The voltage profile comparison for all three cases is shown in Table 14. It can be seen that there is a notable improvement in voltage profile in Case 2 by Case 1 and more improvement in Case 3 by Case 2, which means as more as the solar is feeding to the utility grid by a solar net-metering mechanism, the more will be the improvement in voltage profile.

5.2. Overall Branch Losses Comparison for all Cases. The overall branch losses comparison for all Cases shows in Table 15 depicts that the more the solar power is feeding to the utility grid by a solar net-metering mechanism, the more reduction in branch losses in a distribution network is observed.

6. Harmonic Analysis

Whenever power is exported to the grid through a solar net-metering scheme, there is always a probability of harmonics generation in a power system that causes some harmful effects on a power system. The power produced by solar energy is DC in nature which is converted into AC with the help of inverter. An inverter is a nonlinear device with the hallmarks of harmonics generation. The harmonics generation within any system can cause some serious problems, i.e., overheating of transmission lines and increased magnetic
losses in transformers. According to NEPRA regulations for the interconnection to the grid through solar net-metering, the allowable total harmonic distortion (THD) is up to 2.5% [4].

Authorized vendor for installation of the solar system in MEPCO circle used ABB inverter. In harmonics analysis, ABB solar harmonic model is used for analysis. The output sine wave Bus22 after harmonic distortion is shown below in Figure 6. It can be seen that there are little distortions in sine wave due to the harmonics on Bus22 resulting voltage drop in the power system. As prescribed by NEPRA net-metering regulations, these distortions are in acceptable limits of up to 2.5% [4].

6.1 Harmonic Results Report. It can be seen from harmonics analysis results as shown in Table 16 that the maximum harmonics in the system existing on solar net-metering user’s Bus22 is 1.15% only which are under acceptable limit (2.5%) as prescribed by NEPRA [4].

7. Conclusion

Many countries around the world are adopting renewable energy sources as the primary source of power generation. Due to the increase in energy demand, many countries around the world are facing energy crises. Out of which, Pakistan is also facing energy crises. Pakistan is blessed with the large potential of solar energy. The only need is to promote solar energy at individual level. The best available and the large potential of solar energy. The only need is to promote solar energy at individual level. The best available and the large potential of solar energy. The only need is to promote solar energy at individual level. The best available and

Table 16: System harmonics bus information.

| Bus ID | Total harmonics distortion (%) | Bus ID | Total harmonics distortion (%) |
|--------|-------------------------------|--------|-------------------------------|
| Bus1   | 0.14                          | Bus12  | 0.15                          |
| Bus2   | 0.14                          | Bus13  | 0.15                          |
| Bus3   | 0.15                          | Bus14  | 0.14                          |
| Bus4   | 0.13                          | Bus15  | 0.14                          |
| Bus5   | 0.08                          | Bus16  | 0.15                          |
| Bus6   | 0.13                          | Bus17  | 0.15                          |
| Bus7   | 0.14                          | Bus18  | 0.15                          |
| Bus8   | 0.14                          | Bus19  | 0.14                          |
| Bus9   | 0.14                          | Bus20  | 0.14                          |
| Bus10  | 0.14                          | Bus21  | 1.12                          |
| Bus11  | 0.15                          | Bus22  | 1.15                          |

of power (from the utility grid side to consumer side and vice versa) is beneficial for both a consumer and for a power system due to its multiple number of advantages. From harmonics analysis, it is concluded that the integration of solar energy through solar net-metering mechanism with distribution system is not harmful, because THD was in permissible limit, i.e., 2.5%. Hence, a solar net-metering technique can completely eliminate the energy crises of Pakistan.
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