Prediction of Canola Seed Longevity in the Drying Process
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Abstract: The probit analysis has been an important tool to predict seed longevity during storage and has been applied for seed drying simulation. Sealed aluminum pouches containing approximately 50 g of canola seed at moisture range of 7% to 21% of water content web basis (%) were conditioned in water-bath at 50, 60 and 70 °C to obtain the model to evaluate the reduction of canola seed germination. This model was included in the drying simulation program and the estimated germination was compared to the experimental values of germination during drying to validate the model. Canola seeds at 21% of moisture content and germination of 93% were dried at 51 °C and 61 °C, and the model represented significantly the drying experiments. The aim of this study was to propose a germination model to evaluate the quality of canola seeds during the drying process and to offer the seed producers an important tool to control the drying process. The experimental data validated the objectives of the proposed drying model, optimizing the process at given conditions, managing the energy consumption, according to the minimum germination or maximum moisture content limitation for seed storage. For 51 °C, the drying time for canola seed would be about 6 h to maintain germination above 90% and for 61 °C, 4 h of drying time maintained germination up to 89%.
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1. Introduction

Canola (Brassica napus and Brassica campestris) is the third most important oilseed, especially in the modern agribusiness. In 2013, the world production was about 71 million tons; the largest producer was Canada with 17.5 million tons, and Brazil harvested 61,000 tons [1]. Canola is the breeding of rapeseed with low levels of erucic acid and glucosinolate, which are toxic compounds for human and animal feeding [2]. High composition of unsaturated fatty acids prevented health hazardous low density lipoprotein (LDL), recognized as GRAS [3].

Canola oil is also a good alternative for biodiesel production [4, 5] due to the elevated oil content (40%-45%), according to Refs. [6, 7].

Canola seeds are harvested at high moisture content (18%-24%) to prevent dehiscence [8, 9], and immediate drying is required to maintain quality [10].

Germination and vigor maintenance should be considered for drying quality, due to correlation to the thermal stress to the seed [11].

Maintaining the seeds in hermetic tubes at constant conditions, Ellis and Roberts [12] verified that the death in the population of stored seeds at constant conditions of temperature and moisture followed the normal distribution, representing the death dispersion (Eq. (1)), defining the probit viability.

\[ G = \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{t - \overline{z}}{\sigma} \right)^2 \right] dt \] (1)

where, \( G \): germination (decimal), \( G = f (G_0, T, M) \); \( T \):
temperature (°C); \(M\): moisture content (%); \(\bar{T}\): time for \(G = 0.5\) (min); \(\sigma\): standard deviation (min).

Andreoli [14] applied to longevity of maize seeds, a simplification of the original equation proposed by Refs. [12, 15] studied the equation from Andreoli [14] for the physiological potential of artificially aged maize seeds.

This approach was used for drying process by Daniel et al. [16] and Oyekale et al. [17] for maize. Butler et al. [18] applied probit analysis for *Digitalis purpurea* and Soltani [19] tested with *Haloxylon persicum*. Pozitano and Usberti [20] obtained good relationship for controlled deterioration in grass seeds, using the theory of probit analysis and Surki et al. [21] applied for soybean viability. Babiker et al. [22] concluded that controlled conventional drying process resulted in better viability, compared to alternative methods, such as silica-gel or sun drying. Gürsoy et al. [23] tested germination as maize quality in microwave drying.

From the modified gamma distribution (from where Eq. (1) is originated), a simplification of the viability distribution (application of probit analysis [12]), the variable \(X\) (standardized normal deviate variable) was introduced in Eq. (2), and Eq. (3) is obtained.

\[
X = \left(\frac{t - \bar{T}}{\sigma}\right) \quad (2)
\]

\[
G = \frac{\text{erfc}(X)}{2} \quad (3)
\]

The deviation may be expressed as in Eq. (4), and the methodology of Ellis and Roberts [12] may be followed.

\[
\sigma = e^{a - bT - c\ln(M)} \quad (4)
\]

where, \(T\): temperature (°C); \(M\): moisture content (%); \(a, b, c\): seed coefficients.

In the simulation program, each \(dt\) loop, a new value of \(X\) was determined, and \(G\) is obtained by the complementary error function (erfc(\(X\))).

For \(t = t_0\), \(G_0 = \text{erfc}(X_0)/2\), and from Eq. (2), for time \(t_1 = t + dt\), the variable \(X_1\) comes to:

\[
X_1 = X_0 + \frac{t_1}{\sigma} \quad (5)
\]

The objectives of the research were: (1) to obtain a germination model to evaluate the quality of canola seeds during the drying process; (2) to test the model for lower drying temperatures; (3) to offer to the seed producers an alternative to optimize the drying process with the germination model, preventing excessive drying time and germination reduction.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Canola Seeds

Canola seeds were harvested with 21% moisture and 93% of germination.

2.2 Sealed Storage

Sealed storage tests were the main experiments conducted to evaluate the germination loss in canola seeds as a function of temperature and time of exposure. Sealed aluminum pouches (a three-layer laminate of 0.0122 mm polyester film, laminated to 0.0089 mm aluminum foil, which was laminated to a 0.0762 mm modified polypropylene film), containing approximately 50 g of canola seeds, were conditioned in water-bath at constant conditions of temperature and moisture content.

The seeds in sealed pouches were treated at 50, 60 and 70 °C, during different time interval, using a controlled temperature water-bath (20 L capacity and ± 1.0 °C precision from Thomas Scientific).

2.3 Analytical Methods

Germination tests were based on the ISTA rules [24] of seed germination tests, 10 d after the treatments. Facilities of the Michigan Seed Foundation were used to perform all the canola seed germination tests.

Three replications of 100 seeds were placed on moistened blotter papers over germination trays and kept at 22 °C. The first count of normal seedlings was
made after 5 d, and the second count, after 10 d.

The moisture content was determined in an air circulating oven at 130 °C for 4 h [25].

2.4 Simulation and Validation

A Fortran drying simulation program modified by Maier [26] was used. Through the probit analysis, using Eq. (3), \( G \) is converted to \( X \). From the sealed storage, the parameters of Eq. (4) were determined and Eq. (5) gave the value of \( X \) of next step of drying simulation, and new \( G \) is obtained. The simulation may be stopped at moisture content of 8% (final moisture content \( M_f \)) or at minimum germination \( (G_f) \).

2.5 Experimental Drying

An oil barrel of 45 cm in diameter, holding approximately 100 kg of seeds when filled to a depth of 1.0 m, was used as canola seed dryer with airflow of 0.5 m/s being provided by a centrifugal fan. The temperature of the drying air (51 °C and 61 °C) was controlled by a system of electrical heaters and measured by thermocouples each 20 cm depth.

3. Results and Discussion

The results from the sealed storage tests for the determination of the parameters of the Eq. (4) were presented in Table 1.

The parameters of Eq. (4) were determined from the sealed storage experimental data, resulting in Eq. (6). The linear regression presented correlation \( R^2 = 0.899 \) [25].

\[
\sigma = e^{13.55 - 0.12 T - 0.72 \ln(M_f)}
\]  

(6)

The experimental and estimated sigma values were represented in Fig. 1. Sigma is standard deviation of Eq. (1).

Eq. (6) was introduced to the given Fortran program to simulate the drying process for 10 h, resulting in the germination values for the temperature and moisture conditions at the moment of simulation step. From the simulation and validation tests, the experimental and estimated values of temperature, moisture content and germination of canola seeds after drying were presented in Fig. 2.

From the experiments, drying canola seeds were at temperature of 51 °C, after 390 min, the average moisture content was 5.9%, resulting in 91.6% of final germination; for drying temperature of 61 °C, after 330 min, the average moisture content was 4.1% and the final germination was at 87.7%.

| Table 1  Germination of canola seeds in sealed storage at 50, 60 and 70 °C. | Germination of canola seeds in sealed storage at |
|---|---|---|
| | 50 °C | 60 °C | 70 °C |
| Moisture (%) | Time (min) | Germination (%) | Moisture (%) | Time (min) | Germination (%) | Moisture (%) | Time (min) | Germination (%) |
| 7.3 | 10 | 94.3 | 12.5 | 30 | 91.3 | 7.3 | 7.2 | 67.0 |
| 7.3 | 270 | 29.3 | 12.5 | 120 | 74.3 | 7.3 | 12 | 74.7 |
| 7.3 | 420 | 25.0 | 12.5 | 180 | 52.3 | 7.3 | 37 | 54.0 |
| 12.5 | 10 | 93.7 | 12.5 | 210 | 50.7 | 13.8 | 2.9 | 90.3 |
| 12.5 | 210 | 72.7 | 13.8 | 120 | 50.3 | 13.8 | 7.2 | 56.3 |
| 12.5 | 360 | 48.3 | 13.8 | 150 | 46.3 | 13.8 | 57 | 8.7 |
| 13.8 | 180 | 82.0 | 13.8 | 180 | 25.0 | 14.2 | 2.9 | 87.0 |
| 13.8 | 240 | 52.3 | 14.2 | 40 | 94.0 | 14.2 | 7.2 | 79.7 |
| 13.8 | 420 | 21.0 | 14.2 | 60 | 92.3 | 14.2 | 17 | 48.0 |
| 16.0 | 60 | 94.0 | 14.2 | 90 | 78.7 | 14.2 | 27 | 19.3 |
| 16.0 | 120 | 93.7 | 16.7 | 50 | 82.7 | 16.7 | 60 | 76.0 |
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Fig. 1  Experimental and estimated values of sigma.
Applying the model of Eq. (6), the results of experimental data from Corrêa et al. [27] and Kumar et al. [28] at 30, 40 and 50 °C were analogous.

Kumar et al. [28] obtained 96% of germination, drying at 30 °C, 92% at 40 °C and 90% at 55 °C, and this proposed germination model (Eq. (6)) resulted in 93%, 92% and 87%, respectively.

Corrêa et al. [27] drying canola seed at 30 °C and 40 °C, obtained final germination of 94% and this proposed model presented germination of 92%-93% at the same temperature.

The experimental drying and simulation was extended up to 10 h, however, the maximum recommended moisture content for long storage period of canola seeds would be 8%.

Drying at 51 °C, the average temperature was 40.2 °C after drying canola seed for 6 h and the germination maintained at 91%. If drying at 61 °C, for 4 h, the average temperature was 43.2 °C, and final germination was 89%.

If the drying has to be concluded only after the top layer (L4) reach the air temperature, for drying at 51 °C, the final germination was 88.4% and for 61 °C, the drying process results in 85% of germination, reaching 5% of moisture content, and the seeds may suffer breakage during handling.

These situations present an important tool for the seed drying operators for any species to predict drying time to prevent germination reduction, determining the parameters for the proposed model.

The seed grower may control the drying process through a simple computer program or a worksheet developed for several drying conditions, introducing the drying conditions as input data to the model of sealed storage model for the selected seed species, and finish the process when the final moisture or the minimum germination is achieved.

The estimated germination for drying at 51 °C enhances the validation of the experimental data, for the safe viability the seed drying process. Even for drying process at 61 °C, assuming that the final moisture content is of 8%, the model achieved the proposed objective.

4. Conclusions

The proposed model for canola seed quality adjusted very well the experimental data, for a wide range of drying conditions.

The model of seed quality to evaluate germination losses in drying process is an important tool for the seed companies.

Seed germination evaluation during drying is a useful tool for seed quality preservation achieved by a simple model to estimate the germination for the given experimental drying conditions.

References

[1] Embrapa. 2014. “Canola in Numbers.” Accessed July 19,
Kumar, S., Chauhan, J. S., and Kumar, A. 2010. “Screening for Eruccic Acid and Glucosinolate Content in Rapeseed-Mustard Seeds Using Near Infrared Reflectance Spectroscopy.” *J. Food Sci. Technol.* 47 (6): 690-2.

Lin, L., Allemekinders, H., Dansby, A., Campbell, L., Firrisa, M. T., van Duren, I., and Voinov, A. 2014. “Energy Efficiency for Rapeseed Biodiesel Production in Different Farming Systems.” *Energy Effic.* 7 (1): 79-95.

Silva, L. F. L. 2013. “Viability of Canola Oils.” *Nutr. Rev.* 71 (6): 370-85.

Firrisa, M. T., Van Duren, I., and Voinov, A. 2014. “Energy Efficiency for Rapeseed Biodiesel Production in Different Farming Systems.” *Energy Effic.* 7 (1): 79-95.

Rossato, R., Prete, C. E. C., De Castro, C., Tomm, G. O., Leite, R. S., Mandarino, J. M. G., De Araújo, P. M., and De Carvalho, C. G. P. 2013. “Predicting Rapeseed Oil Content with Near-Infrared Spectroscopy.” *Pesqui. Agropecuária Bras.* 48 (12): 1601-5.

Khattab, R., Rempel, C., Suh, M., and Thiym, U. 2012. “Quality of Canola Oil Obtained by Conventional and Supercritical Fluid Extraction.” *Am. J. Anal. Chem.* 3 (12A): 966-76.

Kumar, R., Jain, S., and Garg, M. K. 2010. “Drying Behaviour of Rapeseed under Thin Layer Conditions.” *Behaviour of Rapeseed under Thin Layer Conditions.*

Albrecht, L. P., Krenchinski, F. H., Placido, H. F., Bom, M. A. R., Kunz, V. L., Korber, Â. H. C., and Bieler, R. R. 2013. “Canola Desiccation at Different Stages of Pods Maturation.” *Brazilian Journal of Herbicides* 12 (2): 143-50. (in Portuguese)

Kadkol, G. 2009. “Brassica Shatter-Resistance Research Update.” In *Proceedings of the 16th Australian Research Assembly on Brassicas Conference*, Ballarat Victoria.

Sathya, G., Jayas, D. S., and White, N. D. G. 2009. “Safe Storage Guidelines for Canola as the Seeds Slowly Dry.” *Can. Biosyst. Eng.* 51: 329-38.

Thuzar, M., Puteh, A. B., Abdullah, N. A. P., Lassim, M. B. M., and Jusoff, K. 2010. “The Effects of Temperature Stress on the Quality and Yield of Soya Bean.” *J. Agric. Sci.* 2 (1): 172-9.

Ellis, R. H., and Roberts, E. H. 1980. “Improved Equations for the Prediction of Seed Longevity.” *Ann. Bot.* 45 (1): 13-30.

Nellist, M. E. 1978. *Safe Temperatures for Drying Grain.* Accessed June 10, 2014. National Institute of Agricultural Engineering. [books.google.com.br/books/about/Safe_Temperatures_for_Drying_Grain.html?id=C4zzGgAACAAJ&redir_esc=y](books.google.com.br/books/about/Safe_Temperatures_for_Drying_Grain.html?id=C4zzGgAACAAJ&redir_esc=y).

Andreoli, C. 2004. “Simplification of Viability Equation to Predict Seed Longevity of Corn and Soybean.” *Brazilian Agricultural Research* 39 (9): 911-7. (in Portuguese)

Gazola, S., Scapim, C. A., De Luca e Braccini, A., De Araujo, Â. M. M., Do Amaral Junior, A. T., and Vivas, M. 2015. “Probit Regression to Estimate the Physiological Potential of Hybrid Maize Seed.” *J. Seed Sci.* 37 (1): 33-9.

Daniel, I. O., Oyekale, K. O., Ajala, M. O., Sanni, L. O., and Okelana, M. O. 2009. “Physiological Quality of Hybrid Maize Seeds during Containerized-Dry Storage with Silica Gel.” *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 8 (2): 181-6.

Oyekale, K. O., Daniel, I. O., Ajala, M. O., and Sanni, L. O. 2012. “Potential Longevity of Maize Seeds under Storage in Humid Tropical Seed Stores.” *Nat. Sci.* 10 (8): 114-24.

Butler, L. H., Hay, F. R., Ellis, R. D., and Murray, T. B. 2009. “Priming and Re-drying Improve the Survival of Mature Seeds of *Digitalis purpurea* during Storage.” *Ann. Bot.* 103 (8): 1261-70.

Soltani, A. 2011. “Seed Germination Response of *Haloxylon persicum* (Chenopodiaceae) to Different Hydrothermal Conditions and Sand Burial Depths.” *Casp. J. Env. Sci.* 9 (2): 211-21.

Pozitano, M., and Usberti, R. 2009. “Seed Controlled Deterioration of Three Interspecific Elephant Grass × Pearl Millet Hybrids.” *Rev. Bras. Zootec.* 38 (3): 428-34.

Surki, A. A., Sharifzadeh, F., and Afshari, R. T. 2012. “Effect of Drying Conditions and Harvest Time on Soybean Seed Viability and Deterioration under Different Storage Temperature.” *Afr. J. Agric. Res.* 7 (36): 5118-27.

Babiker, A. Z., Dullao, M. E., El Balla, M. A. M., and Ibrahim, E. T. 2010. “Effects of Low Cost Drying Methods on Seed Quality of *Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Monch.” *Afr. J. Plant Sci.* 4 (9): 339-45.

Gürsoy, S., Choudhary, R., and Watson, D. G. 2013. “Microwave Drying Kinetics and Quality Characteristics of Corn.” *Int. J. Agric. Biol. Eng.* 6 (1): 90-9.

“Introduction to the ISTA Rules.” *Int. Rules Seed Test* 2017: 1-12. doi:10.15258/istarules.2017.i.

Fujii, A. K. 2007. “Drying Simulation of Canola Seeds (*Brassica napus*) with Prediction of Germination.” Ph.D. thesis, University of Campinas. http://libdigit.unicamp.br/document/?code=vtls000417636.

Maier, D. E. 1992. “The Chilled Aeration and Storage of Cereal Grains.” Ph.D. thesis, Michigan State University.

Corrêa, P. C., Martins, J. H., and Christ, D. 1999. “Thin Layer Drying Rate and Loss of Viability Modelling for Rapeseed (Canola).” *J. Agric. Eng. Res.* 74 (1): 33-9.

Kumar, R., Jain, S., and Garg, M. K. 2010. “Drying Behaviour of Rapeseed under Thin Layer Conditions.” *J. Food Sci. Technol.* 47 (3): 335-8.