Superconductivity in an electron band just above the Fermi level: possible route to BCS-BEC superconductivity

K. Okazaki, Y. Ito, Y. Ota, Y. Kotani, T. Shimajima, T. Kiss, S. Watanabe, C.-T. Chen, S. Niitaka, T. Hanaguri, H. Takagi, A. Chainani & S. Shin

Conventional superconductivity follows Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) theory of electrons-pairing in momentum-space, while superfluidity is the Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) of atoms paired in real-space. These properties of solid metals and ultra-cold gases, respectively, are connected by the BCS-BEC crossover. Here we investigate the band dispersions in FeTe0.6Se0.4 (Tc = 14.5 K) using ultra-high resolution laser angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy. We uncover an electron band lying just 0.7 meV above the Fermi level (EF) in an accessible range below and above the Fermi level (EF) using ultra-high resolution laser angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy. The obtained band dispersions were similar to prior studies, which open up a superconducting gap (Δ) at the Fermi level (EF) above EF. However, the observation of a pseudogap in the normal phase of the high-Tc copper oxide superconductors identified a remarkable challenge in our understanding of superconductivity and its origin is still extensively debated. In particular, does the pseudogap represent preformed Cooper pairs, or does it reflect another ground state competing with superconductivity? More recently, a pseudogap was also found in the strongly interacting ultracold Fermi gas above the superfluid condensation temperature. These fascinating results suggest the important role of pairing in the normal phase, above the onset of superfluidity/superconductivity in strongly interacting systems. The existence of a pseudogap state has been discussed in the scheme of BCS-BEC crossover. Very interestingly, the study on ultracold Fermi gases reported a pseudogap for a system with Δ/EF ~ 1.1, which was larger than an earlier report which claimed strong coupling BCS-BEC crossover. Although a pseudogap is expected based on theories of the BCS-BEC crossover, no study to date has identified a pseudogap in the momentum resolved electronic structure of Fe(Se,Te). Further, a tuning of the BCS to BEC regimes across the Feshbach resonance using a magnetic field which eventually leads to a change in sign of the chemical potential for fermions, is well-known in ultracold atomic gases. However, a similar control of the chemical potential in a solid is not possible, and consequently, the BEC superconductivity in a solid is still eluded experiments. In the following, we report experiments indicative of composite superconductivity in an iron-based superconductor: Cooper pairing in a hole band coexisting with Bose-Einstein condensation in an electron band.

The iron-based high-temperature superconductors possess multi-band hole and electron Fermi surfaces (FSs) which have motivated the role of FS nesting, spin- and orbital-fluctuations, or a combination of these mechanisms for understanding their properties. Electronic structure studies have concentrated on band dispersions in the occupied states lying below the Fermi level (EF) below Tc, which open up a superconducting gap (Δ) below Tc. However, the observation of a pseudogap in the normal phase of the high-Tc copper oxide superconductors identified a remarkable challenge in our understanding of superconductivity and its origin is still extensively debated. In particular, does the pseudogap represent preformed Cooper pairs, or does it reflect another ground state competing with superconductivity? More recently, a pseudogap was also found in the strongly interacting ultracold Fermi gas above the superfluid condensation temperature. These fascinating results suggest the important role of pairing in the normal phase, above the onset of superfluidity/superconductivity in strongly interacting systems. The existence of a pseudogap state has been discussed in the scheme of BCS-BEC crossover. Very interestingly, the study on ultracold Fermi gases reported a pseudogap for a system with Δ/EF ~ 1.1, which was larger than an earlier report which claimed strong coupling BCS-BEC crossover. Although a pseudogap is expected based on theories of the BCS-BEC crossover, no study to date has identified a pseudogap in the momentum resolved electronic structure of Fe(Se,Te). Further, a tuning of the BCS to BEC regimes across the Feshbach resonance using a magnetic field which eventually leads to a change in sign of the chemical potential for fermions, is well-known in ultracold atomic gases. However, a similar control of the chemical potential in a solid is not possible, and consequently, the BEC superconductivity in a solid is still eluded experiments. In the following, we report experiments indicative of composite superconductivity in an iron-based superconductor: Cooper pairing in a hole band coexisting with Bose-Einstein condensation in an electron band.
**Results**

**Electron band lying just above $E_F$**. Figure 1(a) shows an intensity plot of $E$ vs. $k$ (energy vs. momentum) measured at 25 K ($T_c = 14.5$ K) along $\Gamma$-X line in the Brillouin zone of FeTe$_{0.6}$Se$_{0.4}$ after dividing by the Fermi-Dirac (FD) function broadened with the Gaussian corresponding to the experimental energy resolution. We employed three different methods to determine the band dispersions: a second derivative map with respect to energy, fitting to the energy distribution curves (EDCs), and fitting to the momentum distribution curves (MDCs) (see Supplementary Information). Three hole bands can be clearly recognized in the second derivative map. Band-structure calculations based on density functional theory (DFT) were carried out for the parent FeTe and are overlaid as solid lines in Fig. 1(a) after a suitable energy shift and rescaling which are ascribed to renormalization effects, as is known from earlier work$^{7,15-17}$. The calculation details and complete band structure are discussed in the Supplementary Information and the energy shifts and rescaling are listed in Table 1. The calculated band
Table 1 | Energy shifts and rescaling values required for matching the calculated dispersions with the experimental dispersions

| Shift | Scale |
|-------|-------|
| -130 meV | 1/15 |
| -278 meV | 1/10 |
| -110 meV | 1/4.5 |
| -110 meV | 1/12 |

structure and orbital characters are also consistent with known results24-25 and were confirmed by measuring the linear polarization dependence of spectral intensities (see Supplementary Information). However, we note that the DFT calculations predict existence of three hole FSs around the Γ point, which is not relevant to superconductivity. Only the positions of the top of the hole bands and the bottom of the electron band are important, and they can be evaluated rather clearly from the MDCs, of which line shape is not affected by dividing by the FD function (see Supplementary Information).

Sharp superconducting coherence peak in the electron band just above $E_F$. Figures 2(a) and 2(b) show the energy distribution curves above $E_F$ for the DFT results for the parent FeTe (According to the reported DFT results for FeSe, the electronic dispersion is located at $\sim 0.5$ eV above $E_F$ at the Γ point. Hence, we can expect that the electron band in the DFT regime is located at $\sim 0.2$ eV above $E_F$ for FeTe$0.6$Se$0.4$. This is small enough to be shifted just above EF by a renormalization effect, because the two hole bands which are predicted to make Fermi surfaces by the DFT calculations get shifted below $E_F$). For checking the dispersions above $E_F$, Fig. 1(b) shows the band dispersions in a narrow energy window near $E_F$ and band dispersions deduced from fits to the EDCs are overlaid. The fits to the EDCs, obtained after dividing by the FD function, are shown in Fig. 1(c). It is clear that there are two bands above $E_F$ at the Γ-point, which get merged around $k \sim 0.07\ $Å and then again separate out into two bands around $k \sim 0.1\ $Å. Figure 1(d) shows the fits with the component Lorentzian functions for these three cases. We also performed measurements with another sample at higher temperatures of 35 K and 50 K in addition to 25 K as shown in Fig. S7. The peak positions are consistent with those shown in Fig. 1.

In the occupied states below $E_F$, the degenerate band top of the $xz/yz$ hole bands are positioned at $\sim 15$ meV below $E_F$. The band top of the $E_F$-crossing $x^2 - y^2$ band is located at least above $\sim 6.5$ meV from $E_F$ at the Γ-point. Most interestingly, we do find the expected electron band existing just above $E_F$ at the Γ-point, with the band bottom located at $\sim 0.7 \pm 0.2$ meV above $E_F$ (Fig. 1(b)). This electron band has been mixed in all earlier studies of the momentum resolved electronic structure of FeTe$0.6$Se$0.4$. We note that the $x^2 - y^2$ hole band and the electron-like band just above $E_F$ may be hybridized due to spin-orbit interactions (However, the spin-orbit interaction of Fe 3$d$ states is $\sim 70$ meV, for Se 4$p$ states is $\sim 400$ meV, and for Te 5$p$ is $\sim 1\ $eV$^{26}$, and all these values are significantly larger than the hole and electron-like dispersions being discussed here), for example, and result in a wing-shaped dispersion. However, even if these two bands are hybridized and merge to a single band, this does not affect our conclusions. Since the nature of the conducting carriers being electron-like or hole-like is determined by the gradient of the band dispersion (d$E$/dk), the carriers at $k_F$ and the thermally-excited carriers at the Γ point will be hole-like and electron-like, respectively. Also, the details of electron band at the higher energy region above $E_F$ are not relevant to superconductivity. Only the positions of the top of hole band and the bottom of the electron band are important, and
Temperature dependence of EDCs at \( k = k_F \) and \( k \sim \Gamma \). Temperature dependence of raw EDCs at (a) \( k = k_F \) of the \( \chi^2 - \gamma^2 \) hole-like band and (b) \( k \sim \Gamma \) (bottom of the electron-like band), respectively. Fitting results with the BCS spectral function are indicated by black solid lines. (c), Temperature dependence of the obtained SC-gap sizes from the fitting to the BCS spectral function. Shaded area indicates pseudogap for the hole-like band.

(EDCs) after dividing by the FD functions corresponding to each temperature along \( \Gamma - X \)-line at \( T = 25 \) K (above \( T_c \)) and at \( T = 2.5 \) K (below \( T_c \)), respectively. The open circles in Fig. 2(a) mark the normal-state band dispersions obtained from the second derivative spectra shown in Fig. S3. In Fig. 2(b), we can clearly see that the superconducting coherence peaks emerge below \( T_c \) for the hole band. The small circles in Fig. 2(b) mark the positions of the coherence peaks, and they are plotted in the enlarged scale in Fig. S10. Figures 2(c) and 2(d) show the EDCs above \( T_c \) (25 K) and below \( T_c \) (2.5 K) at \( k = k_F \) and \( k \sim \Gamma \), respectively. We can see that the electron band just above \( E_F \) at the \( \Gamma \) point also shows a sharp superconducting coherence peak, although this band does not cross the \( E_F \) in the normal state. The solid lines are fits to the BCS spectral function \( A_{BCS}(k, \omega) \), which can be expressed as

\[
A_{BCS}(k, \omega) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left\{ \frac{|\mu_k|^2}{(\omega - E_k)^2 + \Gamma^2} + \frac{|\nu_k|^2}{(\omega + E_k)^2 + \Gamma^2} \right\},
\]

where \( E_k \) and \( |\mu_k|^2, |\nu_k|^2 \) are the quasiparticle energy and the coherence factors of Bogoliubov quasiparticles (BQPs), respectively. Using the normal-state dispersion \( \epsilon_k \) with respect to the chemical potential \( \mu \) and the SC gap \( \Delta(k) \), \( E_k \) can be expressed as

\[
E_k = \sqrt{\left(\epsilon_k - \mu\right)^2 + |\Delta(k)|^2}
\]

and

\[
|\mu_k|^2 = 1 - |\nu_k|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{\epsilon_k}{E_k} \right),
\]

respectively. From the fits to the data, we estimate the Bogoliubov quasiparticle energy \( E_k = \sqrt{\epsilon_k^2 + |\Delta(k)|^2} \) of the hole band to be 2.3 meV (\( \Delta(k) \), because \( \epsilon_k = 0 \) at \( k = k_F \) of this band) and of the electron band to be 1.3 meV, respectively. From the value of \( \epsilon_k \sim 0.7 \) meV, \( \Delta(k) \) is estimated to be \( \sim 1.1 \) meV for the electron band. This indicates different pairing strengths and reduced gap values \( \Delta/\kappa_F T_c \) for the electron and hole bands. In addition, \( \Delta/\epsilon_F \) for the hole band is estimated to be \( \sim 0.3 \), corresponding to a relatively weak coupling. On the other hand, since the energy position of the electron band is just 0.7 meV (\( \sim 8 \) K) above \( E_F \), it means that its occupancy in the normal state will strongly depend on temperature. Accordingly, the exact value of \( \epsilon_F \) of the electron band cannot be described in the usual way. If we regard \( T_c \) as a measure of \( \epsilon_F \), based on the fact that \( T_c \) is the lowest temperature representing the normal state (\( T_c = 14.5 \) K \( \sim 1.2 \) meV), we obtain \( \Delta/\epsilon_F \geq 1 \), indicative of the strong coupling limit. This estimation may seem to be fairly rough. However, if \( \epsilon_F \) equals to \( \Delta \), the bottom of the electron band should be located at \( E = -\Delta \) below \( E_F \). Hence, we can say at least \( \Delta/\epsilon_F \geq 1 \). Thus, the electron band with a smaller \( \Delta \) is actually in the strong-coupling regime. This represents the condition of an electron band with only a small number of carriers, but with a strong pairing interaction and a finite \( \Delta \) exists for this band. On the other hand, the hole band with a larger \( \Delta \) lies in the relatively weaker-coupling regime. It is suggestive of Cooper pairing for the hole band and Bose condensation for the electron band. We note that even for the strong-coupling electron band, we have used a BCS spectral function to estimate the value of \( \Delta \). This is not a problem as the obtained value of \( \Delta \) represent the lower bound of \( \Delta \), because a smaller value of \( \epsilon_k - \mu \) for the BEC regime will give a larger value of \( \Delta \).

Figures 2(c) and 2(f) show the intensity maps of the spectra above and below \( T_c \), respectively, after dividing by the corresponding FD functions. The open circles are the same as in Fig. 2(a) and the solid line is a fitting result to the open circles using a polynomial function, representing the normal-state dispersions of the hole and electron bands merge to a single band. This means that these two bands are assumed to be hybridized at the band crossing). The solid lines in Fig. 2(f) are the BQP dispersions using \( \epsilon_k \) in Fig. 2(e) and the \( \Delta_k \) values obtained above for the electron and hole bands. Colors of the lines corresponds to the magnitude of the coherence factors \( |\nu_k|^2 \) above \( E_F \) and \( |\nu_k|^2 \) below \( E_F \). The red and
Figure 4 | Schematic band structure showing: a typical electron band, a typical hole band, an electron band lying just above $E_F$, the band structure in the absence of hybridization, and with hybridization showing composite BCS-BEC superconductivity. Similarly, a hole band lying just below $E_F$ and another possible route to BCS-BEC superconductivity. The black dashed lines show similarity to Dirac point dispersion (see also Fig. S1 for relation with band-structure calculations).

blue regions correspond to the higher and lower values, respectively. It is noted that the BQP dispersion does not cross the brightest intensity around the $\Gamma$ point above $E_F$. This is attributed to the tail of the hole-band top, which is also positioned at the $\Gamma$ point. The normal-state and BQP dispersions have been plotted in the same panel of Fig. 2(g). The open circles plotted in Fig. 2(g) correspond to the dispersion of the coherence peaks at $T = 2.5$ K shown in Fig. 2(b). The gray-scale density of the BQP dispersion corresponds to the amplitude of coherence factors. It is interesting to note that the BQP dispersions merge for the electron and hole bands, indicative of a composite BCS-BEC superconductivity. The results indicate that irrespective of weak or strong coupling, both the hole and electron bands in the superconducting state exhibit Bogoliubov quasiparticle dispersions due to particle-hole mixing. However, the superconductivity in the electron band can be expected to be very sensitive to the occupancy of the electron band with Se substitution for Te, as well as pressure/strain. This possibly explains the reported large variation in $T_c$ with pressure for FeSe ($T_c = 8.5-36.7$ K).

Discussion

Another difference can be recognized between the weak-coupling hole band and the strong-coupling electron band. Figures 3(a) and 3(b) show the temperature dependence of EDCs at $k_F$ for the hole band and $k \sim \Gamma$ for the electron band, respectively. The black solid lines indicate the fitting results using the BCS spectral function. The estimated SC-gap sizes are shown in Fig. 3(c). The existence of the pseudogap only for the hole band is clearer from the symmetrized EDCs (Fig. S11) or the FD-divided EDCs (Fig. S12). The temperature dependence of the gap opening indicates another important difference for the weaker-coupling hole band compared to the strong-coupling electron band. A pseudogap behavior can be recognized for the weaker coupling hole band in the spectra above $T_c$. The existence of the pseudogap for the hole band can be clearly recognized also from the temperature-dependent symmetrized EDC (see Fig. S9). However, in strong contrast to the currently available BCS-BEC crossover theory which predict existence of a pseudogap in the BEC strong coupling regime, the electron band does not show a pseudogap above $T_c$. This observation might lead to suspect that the superconducting gap near the $\Gamma$ point is not originated from the electron band, but just a continuation from the BQP near $k_F$. However, as shown in Fig. S5, the observation of the superconducting coherence peaks well separately at $k = k_F$ and the $\Gamma$ point evidently indicate that the superconducting gap at the $\Gamma$ point is originated from the electron band just above $E_F$. Thus, we find a coexistence of the weak coupling and strong coupling superconductivity in the same material but with attributes not fully consistent with our present understanding of weak and strong coupling superconductivity. Our study identifies the required band structure for composite superconductivity, which is closely related to Dirac point dispersions, coexisting with a simple electron or hole band as schematically shown in Fig. 4.

Methods

Single crystals of FeTe$_{0.6}$Se$_{0.4}$ were prepared by a melt-growth technique. Chemical composition of the grown crystals was determined by electron probe microanalysis (EPMA) and inductively coupled plasma (ICP) atomic emission spectrometry. Details have been described in Ref. 31. ARPES data were collected using the laser ARPES apparatus developed at ISSP with the 6.994 eV, 6th harmonic of Nd:YVO$_4$ quasi continuous wave (q-CW, repetition rate = 120 MHz) laser and VG-Scienta HR8000 electron analyzer. While this apparatus achieves the maximum energy resolution of 70 μeV, the overall energy resolution was set to ~1.2 meV for the measurements of EDCs and MDCs near $E_F$ and 5 meV for E-k map measurements. The angular resolution was 0.1 deg, corresponding to the momentum resolution of 0.0015 Å$^{-1}$. Polarization of incident excitation laser was adjusted using a half-wave plate and a quarter-wave plate. The $E_F$ positions were calibrated by measuring the Fermi edge of a gold film evaporated onto the sample substrate.
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