Dual Inhibition of mTORC1/2 Reduces Migration of Cholangiocarcinoma Cells by Regulation of Matrixmetalloproteinases
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Cholangiocarcinoma (CCA) is a rare but highly aggressive tumor entity for which systemic therapies only showed limited efficacy so far. As OSI-027—a dual kinase inhibitor targeting both mTOR complexes, mTORC1 and mTORC2—showed improved anti-cancer effects, we sought to evaluate its impact on the migratory and metastatic capacity of CCA cells in vitro. We found that treatment with OSI-027 leads to reduced cell mobility and migration as well as a reduced surviving fraction in colony-forming ability. While neither cell viability nor proliferation rate was affected, OSI-027 decreased the expression of MMP2 and MMP9. Moreover, survival as well as anti-apoptotic signaling was impaired upon the use of OSI-027 as determined by AKT and MAPK blotting. Dual targeting of mTORC1/2 might therefore be a viable option for anti-neoplastic therapy in CCA.
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INTRODUCTION

Cholangiocarcinoma (CCA) arising from epithelial cells of the biliary tract, can be subdivided into an intra- and extrahepatic subtype (iCCA and eCCA) depending on the anatomic localization. While CCA is currently considered a rare cancer entity, its incidence is constantly increasing worldwide. Radical surgery remains the only curative option but recurrence is common even after complete tumor removal. In case of irresectable disease, systemic therapy based on gemcitabine and cisplatin is applied with limited success. Even more, no targeted therapy has entered the clinical routine for CCA.

Abbreviations: 4E-BP1, Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E-binding protein 1; AKT, Protein kinase B; ATP, Adenosine triphosphate; BrdU, Bromodesoxyuridin; CCA, Cholangiocellular carcinoma; iCCA, Intrahepatic cholangiocellular carcinoma; CDK4/6, Cyclin dependent kinase 4/6; ECM, Extracellular matrix; EMT, Epithelial–mesenchymal transition; ERK, Extracellular-signal regulated kinases; MAPK, Mitogen-activated protein kinase; MTT, 3-(4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazoliumbromid; mTOR, Mammalian target of rapamycin; mTORC1, Mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1; mTORC2, Mammalian target of rapamycin complex 2; MMP2, Matrix metalloproteinase 2; MMP9, Matrix metalloproteinase 9; OSI-027, Trans-4-[4-Amino-5-(7-methoxy-1H-indol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazoliumbromid; RAPTOR, Rapamycin-insensitive companion of mTOR; RICTOR, Rapamycin-sensitive companion of mTOR; RNAi, RNA interference; S6K, Ribosomal protein S6 kinase; Ser, Serine; SF, Surviving fraction; SGK1, Serum/glucocorticoid regulated kinase 1; Thr, Threonine; Tyr, Tyrosine.
advanced CCA although several efforts have been made to unravel the molecular basis of the disease (Brindley et al., 2021). Hence, the prognosis of CCA remains poor and novel insights and strategies are urgently needed for targeting CCA.

Several studies indicate that activation and dysregulation of the serine/threonine kinase mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) are associated with tumorigenesis but also processes like tumor growth, metastasis, and drug resistance in various tumor entities (Popova and Jäcker 2021). In general, this makes mTOR an interesting target for anti-neoplastic therapy. However, mTOR resides in two structurally distinct multiprotein complexes, mTORC1 and mTORC2, distinguished by different co-factors, with RAPTOR and RICTOR being specific for either complex (Saxton and Sabatini 2017). While mTORC1 is extensively studied mainly due to the availability of pharmacological mTORC1 inhibitors, the role of mTORC2 in cancer is less clear. Inhibitors such as rapamycin and everolimus, also known as rapalogs, primarily target mTORC1 by allosteric inhibition but direct inhibition of mTORC2 is lacking and, even more, mTORC2 effectors (e.g., AKT) can be activated via feedback mechanisms upon treatment with rapalogs (O’Reilly et al., 2006; Lang et al., 2010). However, effective pan-mTOR inhibition is eligible as mTORC2 is supposed to be a promising target for anti-cancer therapy.

Direct targeting of RICTOR, the crucial component of mTORC2, led to impairment of tumor growth in vitro for CCA. Moreover, cholangiocarcinogenesis was completely abrogated upon liver-specific RICTOR knockout in mice (Zhang et al., 2017). In addition, enhanced apoptosis and reduced cell proliferation were detected upon si-RNA mediated RICTOR knockdown and simultaneous treatment with sorafenib in CCA (Ding et al., 2016). While these data show the importance of mTORC2 inhibition in CCA for anticancer treatment effects might even be potentiated when combining mTORC2 with mTORC1 inhibition as everolimus is also known to lead to decreased cell proliferation in CCA in vitro (Rattanasinganchan et al., 2006). A new generation of mTOR inhibitors has been developed for targeting both, mTORC1 and mTORC2, with the aim to overcome the limitations of sole mTORC1 inhibition by blocking the ATP-binding pocket of the complexes at the active site (Schenone et al., 2017). These so-called dual kinase inhibitors such as OSI-027 show a strong suppression of both mTORC1- and mTORC2-mediated downstream signaling in various tumor cell lines, which translates into an improved anti-neoplastic activity in vitro and in vivo (Bhagwat et al., 2011). Hence, dual kinase inhibition might be a promising new therapy for CCA. Reduced cell proliferation in vitro and partial tumor regression in vivo were achieved with MLN0128 and AZD8055 treatment (Ewald et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2017). However there are no data on the effects of dual kinase inhibition on metastasis and tumor cell migration for CCA so far and clinical trials are warranted to prove the efficacy in patients (Bendell et al., 2015; Mateo et al., 2016; Statz et al., 2017).

In summary, targeting mTORC2 seems to be an interesting option for anti-cancer treatment in CCA.

The ability to degrade extracellular proteins is crucial for regular cell migration as well as for metastasis of tumor cells. Metastasis is a complex interaction between tumor cells and the extracellular matrix. Various proteolytic enzymes are involved in the degradation of the tumor environment such as the matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs). Under physiological conditions, MMPs are involved in inflammation processes, proliferation and differentiation, and are therefore latently expressed. In solid tumors, the expression levels of many MMPs can be correlated with the malignancy and invasive capacity as well as a poor prognosis. Interestingly, regulation of MMPs at least in part via the mTOR pathway has been described (Liang et al., 2017). Furthermore, we have recently described that targeting mTORC2 with a novel specific inhibitor modulates MMP expression in melanoma cells (Guenzle et al., 2020). Therefore, MMP expression might also be susceptible for targeting mTORC1 and mTORC2.

The main objective of the present study was to investigate the effect of the dual kinase inhibitor OSI-027 on the migration and invasion capacity of cholangiocarcinoma cells in vitro. We provide evidence that dual kinase inhibition of mTORC1/2 regulates survival and proliferation by impaired AKT and MAPK signaling as well as migration processes by decreased expression of MMP2 and MMP9 in cholangiocarcinoma.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell Culture**

The human intrahepatic cholangiocellular carcinoma (iCCA) cell lines HuCCA1 and HuCCT1 (JCRB cell bank) cultured in DMEM containing 10% fetal bovine serum at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2. The dual mTORC1/2-inhibitor OSI-027 was obtained from Selleckchem, United States, and dissolved in DMSO. Cells were exposed to OSI-027 concentrations between 100 and 1500 nM for up to 72 h. The final concentration of DMSO was not higher than 0.01% (when using 1500 nM OSI-027).

**Migration Assay**

Cells were seeded in 24 well plates with silicon bars to create a gap of about 2 mm as described before and treated with OSI-027 up to 500 nM for 120 h (Guenzle et al., 2017). The time required for wound closure was measured at three independent points in each image by microscopy (Leica DMIL LED, Camera Leica DFC450C) and documented up to 120 h.

**Clonogenic Assay**

Cells were seeded in flasks and exposed to up to 500 nM OSI-027 for 48 h. 500 cells were reseeded in 6-well plates and cultured in complete DMEM. After 2 weeks, cells were washed with PBS, fixed in PFA for 30 min, and stained with hematoxylin for 30 min. Plates were washed with water and air-dried. Counting of colonies was performed by microscopy (Leica DMIL LED, Camera Leica DFC450C) and differed in the colony types holoclone, meroclone, and paraclone (Barrandon and Green 1987; Beaver et al., 2014; Guenzle et al., 2020). Plating efficiency (PE) and Surviving fraction (SF) was calculated and set to control to 100% using following equation: PE = # of colonies
formed/# of cells seeded x 100%; SF = # colonies formed after treatment/# of cells seeded x PE).

**Viability Assay**
Cell viability was determined by MTT Assay. 5 × 10^6 cells were grown in 96 well plates with complete DMEM and treated with 100–1500 nM OSI-027 for up to 72 h. MTT Assay was performed as described before (Guenzle et al., 2019). Absorbance at 570 nm was measured (Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland). Percentages were calculated relative to the viability of untreated controls set to 100%. The experiment was done in triplicate and is representative of a minimum of three independent studies.

**Cell Proliferation Assay**
Cell proliferation was monitored by 5′-bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) incorporation assay (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). 5 × 10^4 cells were seeded in 96 well plates and treated with OSI-027 up to 1500 nM. Cells were stained with BrdU following the manufacturer’s instructions. The percentage of cells exhibiting genomic BrdU incorporation was measured by absorbance at 370 nm (Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland). Percentages were calculated relative to the proliferation of untreated controls set to 100%.

**Cell Cycle Analysis**
Cell cycle phases were analyzed by flow cytometry. Treated cells were fixed with 4% PFA for 20 min at RT. Permeabilization was performed with 0.05% triton X-100 for 15 min before staining with DAPI for 20 min at RT. 10 000 cells were acquired by flow cytometry at FACSCalibur (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, United States). The populations of cells in Go-G1 phase, S phase and G2-M phase were analyzed by FlowJo software (BD group, Ashland, OR, United States).

**Western Blotting**
Cholangiocellular carcinoma cells were cultured in serum-free DMEM. Supernatant of OSI-027 treated cells was collected. Equal amounts of protein (4 µg of supernatant, 20 µg of lysate) were applied on 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gels and electrophoresed (BioRad, Munich, Germany). 50 mM Tris, 5 mM CaCl2, 0.02% NaN3) for 4 h. Gels were fixed with 4% PFA for 20 min at RT. Permeabilization was performed with 0.05% triton X-100 for 15 min following incubation in development buffer (25 mM Tris, 192 mM glycine, 0.1% SDS). Gels were washed twice in 2.5% Triton-X-100/ddH2O for 15 min following incubation in development buffer (50 mM Tris, 5 mM CaCl2, 0.02% NaN3) for 4 h. Gels were fixed by shaking in methanol:ethanol:acetic-acid (4:5:4.5:1) for 15 min and stained with fixation buffer containing 0.1% Coomassie for 2 h. Gels were incubated in fixation buffer until transparent bands appeared. Gels were visualized by ChemiDoc (BioRad, Munich, Germany).

**Statistical Analysis**
All experiments were performed in triplicates. Data are shown as mean ± SD and were compared using an unpaired two-tailed student’s t-test; *p < 0.05* was considered statistically significant. Asterisks (* p ≤ 0.05, ** p ≤ 0.01, *** p ≤ 0.001) indicate significance.

**RESULTS**

**Dual Inhibition of mTORC1/2 Reduces Migration Capacity in a Time- and Dose-dependent Manner**
To investigate the effect of OSI-027 on the migration capacity of CCA cells, we performed a wound closure assay over 120 h for HuCCA1 and HuCCT1 cells exposed to 100–500 nM of the dual kinase inhibitor (Figure 1). Control cells, not exposed to OSI-027 closed the gap within 120 h (HuCCA1) or 72 h (HuCCT1). Starting at 24 h, the ability to close the migration gap is time- and dose-dependently reduced in HuCCT1 (Figure 1B) more than in HuCCA1 (Figure 1A). While HuCCA1 cells almost closed the initial gap within 96 h, cells exposed to 500 nM OSI-027 still exhibited a gap of about 25% (p = 0.03) as demonstrated in Figure 1C. However, HuCCT1 cells closed the gap within 48 h, cells exposed to 500 nM OSI-027 still exhibited a gap of about 24% (24 h, p = 0.04; 48 h, p = 0.03).

The cell surviving fraction (SF) was calculated based on a clonogenic assay over 2 weeks and is dependent on the dose of 250 and 500 nM of the dual mTORC1/2 inhibitor OSI-027. After exposure of OSI-027, HuCCA1 revealed a significantly reduced SF of 68% compared to control (p = 0.03) (Figure 2A). As described by Barrandon and Green, colonies of CCA cells were subdivided into holoclone-, paraclone- and meroclone-like colonies based on their morphology as demonstrated in Figures 2B–D. Subdivided fractions of non-treated HuCCA1 cells revealed 11% holoclone-like cells exhibiting differentiated cells with high growth potential in cell-rich colonies, 17%
meroclone-like, and 72% parac-line-like colonies (Figure 2E). HuCCT1 cells exhibited 10% of holoclone-like cells, 29% of meroclone-like, and 61% of parac-line-like colonies (Figure 2F). Treatment with 250 nM or 500 nM OSI-027 did not alter this ratio in a significant manner. Taken together, dual kinase inhibition of mTORC1/2 leads to reduced cell mobility and migration as well as a reduced surviving fraction in colony-forming ability in CCA cells.

Dual Inhibition of mTORC1/2 Does Not Affect Cell Viability and Proliferation of CCA Cell Lines

To exclude increased cell death as an explanation for the inhibition of the migration capacity cell viability was determined by MTT assay (Figures 3A,B) over 72 h with concentrations of 100–500 nM OSI-027 as well as proliferation rate by BrdU incorporation assay (Figures 3C,D) and cell cycle analysis (Supplementary Figure S1). Less than 32% of HuCCA1 cells underwent cell death induced by mTORC1/2 inhibition after 72 h with the highest dose of 500 nM and less than 19% of the cells were affected by 250 nM (Figure 3A). For HuCCT1 cells, less than 22% of the cells were dead at 500 nM and less than 19% after exposure to 250 nM after 48 h, whereas after 72 h of exposure, cell viability recovered completely (Figure 3B). Likewise, the influence of OSI-027 on the proliferation rate was never more than 16% for both cell lines after exposure to 500 nM over 72 h (Figures 3C,D). Cell cycle analysis revealed no significant differences between controls and treated cells (Supplementary Figures S1A–B). In summary, inhibition of mTORC1/2 with up to 500 nM OSI-027 does affect neither cell viability nor the proliferation rate of CCA cell lines in vitro.

Exposure to OSI-027 Leads to Impaired Activation of AKT and MAPK Signaling in Cholangiocarcinoma

Protein analysis was used to determine the effect of OSI-027 on the activation of important targets of mTOR (Figure 4A). One of the main targets of mTOR inhibitors is the phosphorylation of the anti-apoptotic AKT at serine 473. As the results of the quantification shown, the activation of AKT was highly impaired up to 84% compared to untreated control in relation to total AKT in both the cell lines (Figures 4B,C). According to the dual inhibition of mTOR, the downstream effector molecules p-p70S6K and 4EBP1 were decreased by OSI-027 in HuCCA1 more obvious than in HuCCT1 (Figures 4H, I–L), while survival signaling by activation of STAT3 was slightly increased by dual
kinase inhibition with OSI-027 in HuCCA1 (Figures 4H, M). The MAPK pathway was interrupted by impaired ERK and p38 activation after exposure to OSI-027. Cells exposed to 500 nM OSI-027 revealed a decrease of ERK-phosphorylation at threonine 202 and tyrosine 204 up to 83% in relation to total ERK (Figures 4D,E). The activation of MAPK p38 was reduced up to 87% for HuCCA1 (Figure 4F) in relation to total p38 but phosphorylation at threonine 180 and tyrosine 182 was not affected in HuCCT1 (Figure 4G). These results demonstrate that treatment with the dual-kinase inhibitor OSI-027 impairs important oncogenic signaling pathways, involved in cancer cell motility in CCA cell lines.

**OSI-027 Regulates the Protein Level of Matrix Metalloproteinases 2 and 9 in Cholangiocarcinoma**

MMPs are involved in tumor cell migration by degrading the extracellular matrix of the tumor tissue. In the present study, we found a dose-dependent decrease of protein expression of MMP2 in the lysate (MMP2—L) of CCA cells up to 83% after exposure to 250 and 500 nM OSI-027 for both the cell lines (Figures 5A–C). In addition, the expression level of MMP9 revealed a dose-dependent decrease in HuCCA1 up to 63% (Figure 5D) but no impaired expression in HuCCT1 (Figure 5E). Western blot of the conditioned media obtained from HuCCA1 and HuCCT1 cells showed a dose-dependent reduced protein level of MMP2 (MMP2—S) up to 25% with increasing concentrations of OSI-027 up to 500 nM (Figures 5F–H). Likewise, zymography analysis of the conditioned media in non-degrading gelatin gels revealed a reduced activation of MMP2 in HuCCA1 and HuCCT1 exposed to OSI-027 (Figures 5F–J). Taken together, dual-kinase inhibition of mTORC1/2 impairs the expression level and activation of MMP2 in CCA cells in vitro.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we assessed the role of mTORC1/2 inhibition in tumor cell survival and migration in iCCA. Using the dual-kinase inhibitor OSI-027, we found decreased migration capacity and surviving fraction but also maintained viability and proliferation. However, survival as well as anti-apoptotic signaling was reduced in treated iCCA cells. Finally, inhibition of mTORC1/2 signaling with OSI-027 impaired the expression of MMP2, MMP9, and the activation of MMP2 in iCCA cells.

The protein complex mTORC1 is known to be activated by PI3K/AKT and MAPK signaling pathways (Hay and Sonenberg 2004). These oncogenic pathways are frequently mutated, resulting in hyperactivation of mTORC1, which is found in many human cancers and associated with increased tumorigenesis (Hay 2005; Sarbassov et al., 2005; Rosen and She 2006). While rapalogs did not show the anticipated success in tumor therapy due to compensatory upregulation of
Dual inhibition of mTORC1/2 does not decrease cell viability and proliferation rate. Cytotoxic effect of up to 500 nM OSI-027 was assessed for HuCCA1 (A) and HuCCT1 (B) by MTT assay over 72 h. Viability was plotted relative to untreated controls set to 100% (±SD of three independent experiments). BrdU incorporation assay indicates no inhibition of proliferation rate in HuCCA1 (C) and HuCCT1 (D) over 72 h. Treatment was normalized to untreated controls (±SD of three independent experiments).

OSI-027 treatment reduces AKT and MAPK signaling. Representative western blot analysis of total AKT (60 kDa), pAKTSer473 (60 kDa), total ERK 1/2 (42, 44 kDa), pERKThr202/Tyr204 1/2 (42, 44 kDa), p38 (40 kDa) and p-p38Thr180/Tyr182 (43 kDa) in human HuCCA1 and HuCCT1 after treatment with 250 and 500 nM OSI-027 as well as untreated (ctr) (A). Analysis shows a dose-dependent reduction in anti-apoptotic AKT signaling in CCA cells as well as of ERK 1/2 and p38 survival and proliferation signaling. Densitometry of pAKTSer473 phosphorylation is determined by ratio of pAKTSer473/AKT (B–C). Phosphorylation of pERKThr202/Tyr204 1/2 was determined by ratio of pERKThr202/Tyr204 1/2/ERK (D–E). The activation of p38 as part of the MAPK signaling pathway was determined by ratio of p-p38Thr180/Tyr182/p38 (F–G). OSI-027 treatment reduces the phosphorylation of the mTOR downstream effectors p70S6KThr389 (70, 85 kDa) and 4EBP1Ser65 (15 kDa) in HuCCA1 and HuCCT1 after treatment with 250 and 500 nM OSI-027 as well as untreated control (H). Survival signaling by phosphorylation of STAT3Y705 (88 kDa) is increased by dual kinase inhibition in HuCCA1 (I). Densitometry of p-p70S6KThr389, p4EBP1Ser65 and pSTAT3Y705 is determined by ratio to loading control (J–N). 20 µg of protein lysates were separated by SDS-PAGE in three independent experiments, displayed are cropped blots. PDI was used as a loading control.
AKT—the main downstream effector of mTORC2 signaling and one of the most frequently activated proteins in cancer - mTORC2/RICTOR gained more and more importance in cancer research. The upregulation of RICTOR was described in different kinds of cancer to be associated with an impaired prognosis (Bian et al., 2015; Schmidt et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2017). Moreover, RICTOR was shown to be involved in tumorigenesis and metastasis. Accordingly, we recently found that selective pharmacological inhibition of mTORC2 significantly decreased migration and invasion in vitro and the establishment of liver metastasis of melanoma cells in vivo (Guenzle et al., 2020). Moreover, the specific knockdown of RAPTOR and RICTOR caused a decrease in cell migration, suggesting their essential role in prostate cancer cell movement (Venugopal et al., 2020). In addition, RICTOR knockdown reduced cellular chemotactic capacity and ablates pulmonary metastasis in breast cancer (Zhang et al., 2010). Finally, we recently demonstrated a significant reduction of cell motility and liver metastasis by RNAi-mediated suppression of RICTOR in a melanoma mouse model (Schmidt et al., 2018) and a significant decrease of cancer cell motility when targeting mTORC2/RICTOR in addition to rapamycin treatment in gastric cancer cells (Lang et al., 2010). These findings emphasize that mTORC1 and mTORC2 are of particular importance for metastasis in various cancer entities and targeting both complexes might be a potential option for anti-neoplastic therapy.

Results from our experiments demonstrate that targeting mTORC1/2 using a dual kinase inhibitor significantly reduced migration and invasion capacity, one of the key steps in metastasis, in iCCA cells. OSI-027 reduced the migration capacity in a dose and time-dependent manner. While migration capacity was rather delayed at early time points statistically different results between treated and control cells were reached after 48 h or 96 h of treatment. However, this might reflect the drug’s biologic dose-effect curve and therefore be translated into physiological consequences for tumor progression. Our findings are consistent with different studies showing an important role for mTORC1 as for mTORC2 in the migratory potential of cancer cells. When inhibiting mTORC1 and mTORC2, (Gulhati et al., 2011) found a significantly reduced migration of colorectal cancer cells by regulation of the GTPases RhoA and Rac1. Moreover, it was shown that mTORC1-mediated S6K1 and 4E-BP1 pathways are involved in the regulation of cell motility (Berven et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2006) and that rapamycin treatment blocked the cytoskeletal actin polymerization, a functional indicator of cell motility.
migration (Berven et al., 2004). When examining the role of mTORC2 in cancer cell migration, the protein complex was found to phosphorylate filamin A, an actin cross-linking protein to maintain focal adhesion dynamics and cell migration (Sato et al., 2016). Accordingly, knockdown of its central subunit RICTOR resulted in defective F-actin fiber formation and interruption of the cytoskeleton (Jacinto et al., 2004). Masri et al. showed that overexpression of RICTOR resulted in enhanced activity of PKC, the cytoskeleton (Jacinto et al., 2004). Masri et al. found to be decreased One might speculate that activation of the MAPK pathway is important since survival signaling is impaired in both the cell lines and even more anti-apoptotic and MAPK signaling is inhibited by targeting the PP2A-ERK1/2 pathway. This goes along with the findings of Reddy et al. who described ERK1/2 to be involved in the process of cell motility and invasion by mTORC1 mediated regulation of PP2A (Reddy et al., 2003; Harwood et al., 2008). These results suggest that rapalogs may inhibit cell motility by targeting the PP2A-ERK1/2 pathway.

In our study, the viability and the proliferation are not affected by the dual kinase inhibition. This is the particular importance since survival signaling is impaired in both the cell lines and even more anti-apoptotic and MAPK signaling is found to be decreased. One might speculate that activation of alternative pathways might regulate and stabilize the viability of the cells. In fact, we found an upregulation of phosphorylated STAT3 which at least in part might explain our observation (Ma and Blenis 2009; Foster and Fingar 2010; Shull et al., 2015; Qin et al., 2016). Nonetheless, the lack of inhibitory effects on proliferation and viability may also provide the basis for the combination of OSI-027 with chemotherapeutic agents such as gemcitabine or cisplatin. However, further research is warranted to clarify this.

Studies investigating dual kinase inhibition in CCA are very limited. However, the findings of this study go along with the recently published results of Zhang et al. (Zhang et al., 2017) who showed effective mTORC1 and mTORC2 signaling suppression by MLN0128, another ATP-competitive mTOR kinase inhibitor, and inhibited cell growth of iCCA cell lines due to induction of apoptosis without affecting cell proliferation. When applying MLN0128 in vivo, mTORC1/2 inhibition led to disease stabilization in an early stage and partial tumor regression in a late stage of AKT/YAP127A induced iCCA in mice. Adding palbociclib, a CDK4/6 inhibitor, to MLN0128, could even potentiate its anti-tumor effects for iCCA (Song et al., 2019) making dual kinase inhibition of mTORC1/2 a promising treatment strategy for CCA. Dual kinase inhibition in CCA was also examined by Ewald et al. (Ewald et al., 2014) who showed a reduced proliferation rate of three CCA cell lines in vitro upon treatment with AZD8055. This effect was even stronger for combined treatment with AKT inhibitor MK-2206 and AZD8055. While studies examining the effect of ATP-competitive inhibitors for CCA are rare, encouraging data for example in hepatocellular carcinoma, laryngeal carcinoma or lung carcinoma led to the establishment of the first early clinical trials. However, they showed mixed results, especially due to high toxicity profiles requiring dose reductions which were below biological effects (Magaway et al., 2019). Nevertheless, patients with RICTOR amplification in gastric and small cell lung cancer were sensitive to treatment with mTOR kinase inhibitors and were subsequently identified as a subgroup that responded to the treatment (Kim et al., 2017; Sakre et al., 2017).

In summary, our current study shows that inhibition of mTORC1 and mTORC2, using the dual kinase inhibitor OSI-027, diminishes oncogenic signaling in ICCA cells. Furthermore, treatment with the inhibitor leads to reduction of tumor cell motility and impairs expression of MMPs. Therefore, targeting mTORC1/2 might be an option for future therapy concepts in iCCA.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization, JG and SL; Data curation, JG; Formal analysis, KJ, JG, Funding acquisition, CH and SL; Investigation, JG, KJ, and SL; Methodology, JG and KJ; Writing - original draft, JG and KJ; Writing - review & editing, JG, KJ, and SL.
FUNDING
These studies were supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) within FOR2127. The article processing charge was funded by the Baden-Württemberg Ministry of Science, Research and Art and the University of Freiburg in the funding programme Open Access Publishing.

REFERENCES
Barrandon, Y., and Green, H. (1987). Three Clonal Types of Keratinocyte with Different Capacities for Multiplication. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 84, 2302–2306. doi:10.1073/pnas.84.8.2302
Beaver, C. M., Ahmed, A., and Masters, J. R. (2014). Clonogenicity: Holoclones and Meroclones Contain Stem Cells. PLoS One 9 (2), e89834. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0089834
Bendell, J. C., Kellely, R. K., Shih, K. C., Grabowsky, J. A., Bergslan, E., Jones, S., et al. (2015). A Phase I Dose-escalation Study to Assess Safety, Tolerability, Pharmacokinetics, and Preliminary Efficacy of the Dual mTORC1/mTORC2 Kinase Inhibitor CC-223 in Patients with Advanced Solid Tumors or Multiple Myeloma. Cancer 121 (19), 3481–3490. doi:10.1002/cncr.29422
Berven, L. A., Willard, F. S., and Crouch, M. F. (2004). Role of the p70S6K Pathway in Regulating the Actin Cytoskeleton and Cell Migration. Exp. Cell Res. 296, 183–195. doi:10.1016/j.yexcr.2003.12.032
Bhagwat, S. V., Gokhale, P. C., Crew, A. P., Cooke, A., Yao, Y., Mantis, C., et al. (2015). A Phase I Dose-escalation Study to Assess Safety, Tolerability, Pharmacokinetics, and Preliminary Efficacy of the Dual mTORC1/mTORC2 Kinase Inhibitor CC-223 in Patients with Advanced Solid Tumors or Multiple Myeloma. Cancer 121 (19), 3481–3490. doi:10.1002/cncr.29422
Brindley, P. J., Bachini, M., Ilyas, S. I., Khan, S. A., Loukas, A., Sirica, A. E., et al. (2011). Preclinical Characterization of OSI-027, a Potent and Selective Inhibitor of MTORC1 and MTORC2: Distinct from Rapamycin. Mol. Cancer Ther. 10 (8), 1394–1406. doi:10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-10-1099
Bian, Y., Wang, Z., Xu, J., Zhao, W., Cao, H., and Zhang, Z. (2015). Elevated Rictor Expression Is Associated with Tumor Progression and Poor Prognosis in Patients with Gastric Cancer. Biochem. Biophysical Res. Commun. 464 (2), 534–540. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2015.07.001
Ding, X., Chaiterarkij, R., Moser, C. D., Shaleh, H., Boakye, J., Chen, G., et al. (2016). Antitumor Effect of the Novel Shpinosing KInase 2 Inhibitor ABC294640 Is Enhanced by Inhibition of Autophagy and by Sorafenib in Human Cholangiocarcinoma Cells. Oncotarget 7 (15), 20080–20092. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.79194
Ewald, F., Nörz, D., Grottke, A., Hofmann, B. T., Nashan, B., and Jücker, M. (2014). Dual Inhibition of PI3K/PTEN/AKT/MTOR Pathway in Invasion and Metastasis in Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Association with MMP-9. Hepatol. Res. 39 (2), 177–186. doi:10.1111/hepr.12049.8004949
Foster, K. G., and Finger, D. C. (2010). Mammalian Target of Rapamycin (MTOR): Conducting the Cellular Signaling Symphony. J. Biol. Chem. 285 (19), 14071–14077. doi:10.1074/jbc.R109.094003
Guenzle, J., Jarrells, N. W. C., Goedlnd, J. M., and Weyerbrock, A. (2019). Cyclooxygenase (COX) Inhibition by Acetyl Salicylic Acid (ASA) Enhances Antitumor Effects of Nitric Oxide in Glioblastoma. In Vitro. Mol. Neurobiot. 56, 6046–6055. doi:10.1016/j.vitmol.2019.1513-6
Guenzle, J., Wolf, I. J., Jarrells, N. W. C., Goedlnd, J. M., Osterberg, N., Schindler, C. R., et al. (2017). ATF3 Reduces Migration Capacity by Regulation of Matrix Metalloproteinases via NFκB and STAT3 Inhibition in Glioblastoma. Cell Death Discov. 3, 17006. doi:10.1038/cddiscovery.2017.6
Harwood, F. C., Shu, L., and Houghton, P. J. (2008). MTORC1 and MTORC2 Regulate EMT, Motility, and Metastasis of Colonctal Cancer via Rhos and Rac1 Signalling Pathways. Cancer Res. 71 (9), 3246–3256. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-4058
Hay, N., and Sonenberg, N. (2004). Upstream and Downstream of MTOR. Genes Dev. 18 (16), 1926–1945. doi:10.1101/gad.121704
Jacinbo, E., Loewith, R., Schmidt, A., Lin, S., Rüegg, M. A., Hall, A., et al. (2004). Mammalian TOR Complex 2 Controls the Actin Cytoskeleton and Is Rapamycin Insensitive. Nat. Cell Biol (11), 1122–1128. doi:10.1038/ncll1183
Liang, X., Sun, R., Zhao, X., Zhang, Y., Gu, Q., Dong, X., et al. (2017). Rictor抑制对微管形成有影响。Hepatol. Res. 49 (2), 118–128. doi:10.1111/hepr.12049.8004949
Lang, S. A., Hackl, C., Moser, C., Fichtner-Feigl, S., Koehl, G. E., Schlitt, H. J., et al. (2010). Implication of RICTOR in the MTOR Inhibitor-Mediated Induction of Insulin-like Growth Factor-1 Receptor (IGF-IR) and Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor-2 (Her2) Expression in Gastrointestinal Cancer Cells. Biochim. Biophys. Acta (Bba) - Mol. Cell. Res. 1803 (4), 435–442. doi:10.1016/j.bbamcr.2010.01.009
Li, X., Sun, R., Zhao, X., Zhang, Y., Gu, Q., Dong, X., et al. (2017). Rictor Regulates the Vascularogenic Mimicry of Melanoma via the AKT-MMP-2/9 Pathway. J. Cell. Mol. Med. 21 (12), 3579–3591. doi:10.1111/jcm.13268
Lin, J.-J., Su, J.-H., Tsai, C.-C., Chen, Y.-J., Lao, M.-H., and Wu, Y.-J. (2011). 14-Episinulariolide Acetate Reduces Cell Migration and Invasion of Human Hepatocellular Carcinoma by Reducing the Activation of ERK1/2, PI3MAPK and FAK/PI3K/MTOR Signaling Pathways. Mar. Drugs 9 (2), 4783–4798. doi:10.3390/md9020202
Liu, L., Li, F., Cardelli, J. A., Martin, K. A., Martin, K. A., Blenis, J., et al. (2006). Rapamycin Inhibits Cell Motility by Suppression of MTOR-Mediated S6K1 and 4E-BP1 Pathways. Oncogene 25 (53), 7029–7040. doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1209691
Ma, X. M., and Blenis, J. (2009). Molecular Mechanisms of MTOR-Mediated Translational Control. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol 10 (5), 307–318. doi:10.1038/nrm2672
Magaway, C., Kim, E., and Jacinto, E. (2019). Targeting MTOR and Metabolism in Cancer: Lessons and Innovations. Cells 8 (12), 1584. doi:10.3390/cells8121584

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
The authors thank Armin Setzer for technical assistance.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL
The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcell.2021.785979/full#supplementary-material

Supplementary Figure S1 | HuCCA1 (A) and HuCCT1 (B) were treated with 100–500 nM OSI-027 and stained with DAPI showing DNA content distribution in histograms. G0-G1 and G2-M phase peaks are separated by S phase. Populations of phases are displayed in percent. Violet laser 405 nm.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL
Masri, J., Bernath, A., Martin, J., Jo, O. D., Vartanian, R., Funk, A., et al. (2007). MTORC2 Activity Is Elevated in Gliomas and Promotes Growth and Cell Motility via Overexpression of Rictor. *Cancer Res.* 67 (24), 11712–11720. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-2223

Mateo, J., Olmos, D., Dunne, H., Poondu, S., Samberg, N. L., Barr, S., et al. (2016). A First in Man, Dose-Finding Study of the MTORC1/MTORC2 Inhibitor OSI-027 in Patients with Advanced Solid Malignancies. *Br. J. Cancer* 114 (8), 889–896. doi:10.1038/bjc.2016.59

O’Reilly, K. E., Rojo, F., She, Q.-B., Solit, D., Mills, G. B., Smith, D., et al. (2006). MTOR Inhibition Induces Upstream Receptor Tyrosine Kinase Signaling and Activates Akt. *Cancer Res.* 66 (3), 1500–1508. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-05-2925

Popova, N. V., and Jucker, M. (2021). The Role of MTOR Signaling as a Therapeutic Target in Cancer. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 22 (4), 1743. doi:10.3390/ijms22041743

Qin, X., Jiang, B., and Zhang, Y. (2016). 4E-BP1, a Multifactor Regulated Multifunctional Protein. *Cell Cycle* 15 (6), 781–786. doi:10.4161/cc.12016.115158

Rattanasinghan,潘, Peelaawat, K., Treepongkaruna, S-a., Tocharoentanaphol, C., Subwongcharoen, S., Suthipongchai, T., et al. (2006). Establishment and Characterization of a Cholangiocarcinoma Cell Line (RMCCA-1) from a Thai Patient. *World J. Gastroenterol.* 12 (40), 6500–6506. doi:10.3748/wjg.v12.i40.6500

Reddy, K. B., Nabha, S. M., and Atanaskova, N. (2003). Role of MAP Kinase in Motility via Overexpression of Rictor. *Curr. Med. Chem.* 10 (16), 1538–1546. doi:10.2174/092986701796391651

Rosen, N., and She, Q.-B. (2006). AKT and Cancer—is it All mTOR? *Cancer Res.* 66 (22), 15008–15013. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-1198

Sato, T., Ishii, J., Ota, Y., Sasaki, E., Shibagaki, Y., and Hattori, S. (2016). Mammalian Target of Rapamycin (MTOR) Complex 2 Regulates Filamin A-dependent Focal Adhesion Dynamics and Cell Migration. *Genes Cells* 21 (6), 579–593. doi:10.1111/gtc.12366

Saxton, R. A., and Sabatini, D. M. (2017). MTOR Signaling in Growth, Metabolism, and Disease. *Cell* 169 (2), 361–371. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.03.035

Schenone, S., Brullo, C., Musumeci, F., Radi, M., and Botta, M. (2011). ATP-competitive Inhibitors of MTOR: An Update. *Carr. Med. Chem.* 18 (20), 2995–3014. doi:10.2174/092986711796391651

Schmidt, K. M., Dietrich, P., Hackl, C., Guenzel, J., Bronsert, P., Wagner, C., et al. (2018). Peter Dietrich, Christina Hackl, Jessica Guenzel, Peter Bronsert, Christine Wagner, Stefan Fichtner-Feigl, et al Inhibition of MTORC2/RICTOR Impairs Melanoma Hepatic Metastasis. *Neoplasia* 20 (12), 1198–1208. doi:10.1080/15280337.2018.14632

Schmidt, K. M., Hellerbrand, C., Ruemmele, P., Michalski, C. W., Kong, B., Kroemer, A., et al. (2017). Claus Hellerbrand, Petra Ruemmele, Christoph W. Michalski, Bo Kong, Alexander Kroemer, Christina Hackl, Hans J. Schlitt, Edward K. Geissler, and Sven A. Lang Inhibition of MTORC2 Component RICTOR Impairs Tumor Growth in Pancreatic Cancer Models. *Oncotarget* 8 (15), 24491–24503. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.15524

Shull, A. Y., Noonspalle, S. K., Awan, F. T., Liu, J., Pei, L., Bollag, R. J., et al. (2015). RPPA-based Protein Profiling Reveals EIF4G Overexpression and 4E-BP1 Serine 65 Phosphorylation as Molecular Events that Correspond with a Pro-survival Phenotype in Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia. *Oncotarget* 6 (16), 14632–14645. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.4104

Song, X., Liu, X., Wang, H., Wang, J., Qiao, Y., Cigliano, A., et al. (2019). Combined CDK4/6 and Pan-MTOR Inhibition Is Synergistic against Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 25 (1), 403–413. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-18-0284

State, C. M., Patterson, S. E., and Mockus, S. M. (2017). MTOR Inhibitors in Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer: A Systematic Review. *Targ Oncol.* 12 (1), 47–59. doi:10.1007/s11523-016-0453-6

Venugopal, S. V., Caggia, S., Gambrell-Sanders, D., and Khan, S. A. (2020). Differential Roles and Activation of Mammalian Target of Rapamycin Complexes 1 and 2 during Cell Migration in Prostate Cancer Cells. *Prostate* 80 (5), 412–423. doi:10.1002/pros.23956

Wang, L., Qi, J., Yu, J., Chen, H., Zou, Z., Lin, X., et al. (2017). Overexpression of Rictor Protein in Colorectal Cancer Is Correlated with Tumor Progression and Prognosis. *Oncol. Lett.* 14 (5), 6198–6202. doi:10.3892/ol.2017.6936

Zhang, F., Zhang, X., Li, M., Chen, P., Zhang, B., Guo, H., et al. (2010). mTOR Complex Component Rictor Interacts with PKCg, and Regulates Cancer Cell Metastasis. *Cancer Res.* 70 (22), 9360–9370. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-0207

Zhang, S., Song, X., Cao, D., Xu, Z., Fan, B., Che, L., et al. (2017). Pan-MTOR Inhibitor MLN0128 Is Effective against Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma in Mice. *J. Hepatol.* 67 (6), 1194–1203. doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2017.07.006

Zhou, H. Y., and Wong, S. T. (2006). Activation of p70S6KInduces Expression of Matrix Metalloproteinase 9 Associated with Hepatocyte Growth Factor-Mediated Invasion in Human Ovarian Cancer Cells. *Endocrinology* 147 (5), 2557–2566. doi:10.1210/en.2005.1404

Zhou, R., Xu, L., Ye, M., Liao, M., Du, H., and Chen, H. (2014). Formononetin Inhibits Migration and Invasion of MDA-MB-231 and 4T1 Breast Cancer Cells by Suppressing MMP-2 and MMP-9 through PI3K/AKT Signaling Pathways. *Horm. Metab. Res.* 46 (11), 753–760. doi:10.1055/s-0034-1376977

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher’s Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Joechle, Jumaa, Thieme, Hellerbrand, Kulemann, Fichtner-Feigl, Lang and Guenzel. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.