Conformational plasticity of JRAB/MICAL-L2 provides “law and order” in collective cell migration
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ABSTRACT In fundamental biological processes, cells often move in groups, a process termed collective cell migration. Collectively migrating cells are much better organized than a random assemblage of individual cells. Many molecules have been identified as factors involved in collective cell migration, and no one molecule is adequate to explain the whole picture. Here we show that JRAB/MICAL-L2, an effector protein of Rab13 GTPase, provides the “law and order” allowing myriad cells to behave as a single unit just by changing its conformation. First, we generated a structural model of JRAB/MICAL-L2 by a combination of bioinformatic and biochemical analyses and showed how JRAB/MICAL-L2 interacts with Rab13 and how its conformational change occurs. We combined cell biology, live imaging, computational biology, and biomechanics to show that impairment of conformational plasticity in JRAB/MICAL-L2 causes excessive rigidity and loss of directionality, leading to imbalance in cell group behavior. This multidisciplinary approach supports the concept that the conformational plasticity of a single molecule provides “law and order” in collective cell migration.

INTRODUCTION

In biological processes such as embryonic morphogenesis, wound repair, and vascularization, cells often move in groups, a process termed collective cell migration (Friedl and Gilmour, 2009; Gray et al., 2010; Mayor and Carmona-Fontaine, 2010; Rorth, 2012; Theveneau and Mayor, 2012). This phenomenon is also a common mechanism of cancer invasion (Sahai, 2005; Friedl et al., 2012).
Collectively migrating cells are much better organized than a random assemblage of individual cells. Therefore some factor or factors must impose a certain “law and order” that allows myriad cells to behave as a single unit. Many molecules, including components of diverse signaling pathways, have been identified as factors in collective cell migration. However, we are far from understanding the whole picture.

Here we focus on the conformational plasticity of a single molecule, junctional Rab13-binding protein (JRAB)/molecules interacting with CasL-like 2 (MICAL-L2), to explain the complexity in collectively migrating cells. JRAB/MICAL-L2 is an effector protein of Rab13, a member of the Rab family of small GTPases (Rabs), which serve as molecular switches in the regulation of membrane trafficking (Takai et al., 2001; Zerial and McBride, 2001; Hutagalung and Novick, 2011). Rab13–JRAB/MICAL-L2 is involved in transport of cell adhesion molecules and regulation of cell–cell adhesion in epithelia (Zahraoui et al., 1994; Marzesco et al., 2002; Morimoto et al., 2005; Terai et al., 2006; Yamamura et al., 2008; Sakane and Sasaki, 2015). In addition, JRAB/MICAL-L2 spatiotemporally regulates actin dynamics (Sakane et al., 2012).

In this study, we use a multidisciplinary approach combining cell biology, live imaging, computational biology, and biomechanics to show that conformational plasticity of JRAB/MICAL-L2 is critical for the “law and order” underlying integrated collective cell migration. We also provide a structural model for JRAB/MICAL-L2 and elucidate the basis of its conformational change via a combination of bioinformatic and biochemical analyses.

RESULTS
Structural modeling of JRAB/MICAL-L2 via a combination of bioinformatic and biochemical analyses

Previously we used biochemical analyses to propose a model in which JRAB/MICAL-L2 adopts the closed form via intramolecular interaction and the conformation can be changed into the open form by interaction with activated Rab13 (Sakane et al., 2010). To further support our hypothesis (and since crystallization of recombinant JRAB/MICAL-L2 has been challenging), we sought to build a structural model of JRAB/MICAL-L2 in complex with Rab13 by a combination of bioinformatic and biochemical analyses.

Secondary structure prediction (PSIPRED; Jones, 1999) and disorder prediction (POODLE-S; Shimizu et al., 2007) of the C-terminal portion of JRAB-C (amino acids [aa] 806–1009) suggested that both ends of JRAB-C are intrinsically disordered and the intervening sequence contains three helical regions (Supplemental Figure S1A, A and B). For convenience, we divided the last two helical regions, which are long (-50 residues), into two parts based on the secondary structure prediction, separating JRAB-C into five putative helices (helix 1, aa 843–872; 2, aa 880–900; 3, aa 901–928; 4, aa 937–960; and 5, aa 961–984) and four loop regions (loop 1, aa 806–842; 2, aa 873–879; 3, aa 929–936; and 4, aa 985–1009; Supplemental Figure S1A, A and B).

Generally, five Rab family (RabF) regions distinguish Rab proteins from other proteins of the Ras superfamily, and four Rab subfamily–specific (RabSF) regions are highly conserved within each of the Rab subfamilies, that is, clusters of related Rab proteins (Pereira-Leal and Seabra, 2000). We found that the C-terminal RabSF4 region of Rab13 is involved in binding to JRAB/MICAL-L2, its effector (Supplemental Figure S1C, C and D; Materials and Methods). We then hypothesized that the structure of other Rab–effector complexes, in which the SF4 region of Rab interacts with the effector, may be similar to that of Rab13–JRAB/MICAL-L2. We searched the Protein Data Bank (PDB) for structures of Rab–effector complexes associated via the SF4 region and identified three: Rab3A–Rabphilin3A (PDBID: 1zbd), Rab27B–Slac2-1–melanophilin (2zet), and Rab27A-Slp2a–Exophilin4 (3bc1). The Rab-binding sites of all three effector proteins consist of several helices. A structural alignment of the three effector proteins was generated using Mustang (Konagurthu et al., 2006) to extract common structural features. We next generated a sequence alignment of JRAB/MICAL-L2 from seven species (UniProt ID: Q8IY33 [Homo sapiens], GSBUG1 [Heterocephalus glaber], Q3TN34 [Mus musculus], G3GVG4 [Cricetulus griseus], D3ZEN0 [Rattus norvegicus], L5KCO8 [Pteropus alecto], and L8Y79J [Tupaia chinensis]) using ClustalW (Thompson et al., 1994). Alignment of the effectors with the JRAB C-terminal fragments (corresponding to aa 880–1009 in mouse) was generated using Fugue (Shi et al., 2001), based on the structural alignment of the three effector proteins and their amino acid sequence information and on the sequence alignment of JRAB/MICAL-L2 from the seven species. In this alignment, the helical regions of the three effectors are aligned well with those of JRAB/MICAL-L2 predicted earlier, although the sequence similarities between JRAB/MICAL-L2 and the other effector proteins are low, suggesting that helices 2, 3, and 5 and loop 4 have the potential to bind to Rab13 (Supplemental Figure S1E).

To corroborate the prediction from the bioinformatic analysis, we performed biochemical experiments. First, we prepared several deletion mutants of JRAB-C containing the putative helical regions in various combinations according to the secondary structure prediction described earlier. Pull-down assays using JRAB-C mutants revealed that helices 2–5 and part of loop 4 (following helix 5) are required for binding of JRAB-C to activated Rab13 (Rab13ΔΔC3 was used as a dominant-active mutant; Materials and Methods; Supplemental Figure S1D and Figure 1A), consistent with the predicted result, and that JRAB-N (calponin homology [CH] + LIM) interacts with JRAB-C via helices 1 and 2 and the first half of helix 3 (Figure 1B). Finally, we built a rough model of how the structures of JRAB-C, JRAB-N (LIM), and Rab13 could be arranged in three-dimensional (3D) space (Figure 1C) in a manner consistent with all the data from the biochemical experiments and additional prediction results (Materials and Methods). Our final model shows that the hydrophobic or negatively charged regions of JRAB-C (enclosed by solid and dotted lines, respectively, in Figure 1C) are involved in the interactions with JRAB-N (LIM) and Rab13, respectively.

Our present structural model of JRAB-C indicates that JRAB-N (CH + LIM) and Rab13 competitively bind to helices 2 and 3 of JRAB-C, although our previous data showed that JRAB-N interacts with the region indicated as coiled coil (CC; aa 806–912) in JRAB-C, whereas Rab13 associates with JRAB-C via the C-terminal (CT; aa 913–1009), the region just after CC (Sakane et al., 2010). To verify our new prediction, we performed pull-down assays. The presence of either hemagglutinin (HA)-Rab13DA or hexahistidine (His)-tagged Rab13DAΔC3 recombinant protein inhibited the interaction between green fluorescent protein (GFP)–JRAB-C and glutathione S-transferase (GST)–JRAB-N (CH + LIM; Figure 1D and E), indicating that activated Rab13 displaces JRAB-N (CH + LIM). In other words, the intramolecular interaction between JRAB-N and JRAB-C is disrupted competitively by the binding of Rab13 to JRAB-C.

JRAB/MICAL-L2 conformational mutants, JRABΔCT and JRABΔCC, adopt a closed and an open form, respectively

We previously developed two deletion mutants of JRAB/MICAL-L2: JRABΔCT, which lacks the CT domain (aa 913–1009), and JRABΔCC, which lacks the CC domain (aa 806–912; Sakane et al., 2010). In this study, we found that CT does not cover the full region associated with Rab13, that is, JRABΔCT maintains a part of Rab13-binding
Therefore we sought to examine whether JRAB\textsuperscript{ΔCT} and JRAB\textsuperscript{ΔCC} exhibit closed and open forms, respectively, as we expected.

First, we performed pull-down assays using GST-JRAB-C, as reported in our previous study (Sakane et al., 2010; Figure 2A). As expected, GFP-JRAB\textsuperscript{ΔCC} was pulled down by GST-JRAB-C, whereas GFP-JRAB\textsuperscript{ΔCT} was not. GFP-JRABwt (wild type) was also pulled down by GST-JRAB-C but less efficiently than GFP-JRAB\textsuperscript{ΔCC}. Next we purified the recombinant His-tagged JRABwt and mutants from HEK293 cells and applied them to a gel filtration column that separates proteins according to their molecular size—larger proteins elute in earlier fractions. Although the molecular weights of JRAB\textsuperscript{ΔCC} and JRAB\textsuperscript{ΔCT} are almost the same and both are smaller than JRABwt, as clearly shown by SDS–PAGE, JRAB\textsuperscript{ΔCC} eluted in an earlier fraction than JRABwt and JRAB\textsuperscript{ΔCT} (Figure 2B). JRAB\textsuperscript{ΔCC} may pass through the column faster because it is in an open conformation, resulting in a larger apparent molecular size than the other proteins.

Last, we analyzed the purified proteins by 8-anilino-1-naphthalenesulfonic acid (ANS) fluorescence. The fluorescence emission of ANS increases when it is bound to an accessible hydrophobic surface or cavity of a protein (Stryer, 1965). JRAB\textsuperscript{ΔCC} induced a slight enhancement in ANS fluorescence relative to JRABwt and JRAB\textsuperscript{ΔCT} (Figure 2C), indicating that it has more hydrophobic surface accessible to ANS than the other two proteins. Together these results demonstrate that JRAB\textsuperscript{ΔCT} and JRAB\textsuperscript{ΔCC} adopt closed and open forms, respectively.

Inhibition of JRAB/MICAL-L2 conformational plasticity alters the “dancing style” of cell groups during wound healing

To examine the involvement of JRAB/MICAL-L2 conformational plasticity in collective cell migration, we confirmed that there is no great difference in expression level between MTD-1A cells stably expressing GFP-tagged JRABwt, JRAB\textsuperscript{ΔCC},
and JRABΔCT previously prepared (Sakane et al., 2012; Supplemental Figure S2) and then subjected them to a wound-healing assay, visualized by time-lapse confocal microscopy (Figure 3A and Supplemental Videos S1–S3). Groups of cells expressing GFP-JRABwt, GFP-JRABΔCT, or GFP-JRABΔCC were scratched, followed by observation under a time-lapse microscope for >12 h. Each image was captured at the indicated time (minutes). Scale bar, 50 μm. Video images are shown in Supplemental Videos S1–S3. (B) Volume rendering of MTD-1A cells expressing GFP-JRABwt, GFP-JRABΔCT, or GFP-JRABΔCC was prepared using VoTracer software and shown as 3D images consisting of two spatial (XY) axes and one time-lapse (T) axis. Cross-sections of XY and XT planes of the top right 3D image are shown together. (a) GFP-JRABwt, (b) GFP-JRABΔCT, (c) GFP-JRABΔCC. Schematic illustrates the “dancing style” of cells expressing GFP-JRABΔCT (precision dancing) or GFP-JRABΔCC (awa-odori). Video images are shown in Supplemental Videos S4–S6.
others (Supplemental Figure S4A). In addition, we examined the conformational change of JRAB/MICAL-L2 under the effect of activated Rab13 in the MTD-1A cell cluster. For this purpose, we prepared the GST-tagged JRAB-CC5 (aa 806–960), which is expected to bind to JRAB-CH + LIM but not to Rab13DA according to our model (Figure 1). Pull-down assays using GST-JRAB-CC5 indicated that GST-JRAB-CC5 interacts with GFP-JRAB-CH + LIM but not with GFP-Rab13DA, whereas GST-JRAB-C interacts with both proteins (Supplemental Figure S4B). The same pull-down assays revealed that the expression of Venus-Rab13DN increases the open form of endogenous JRAB/MICAL-L2 in the cluster of MTD-1A cells compared with the cluster of the cells expressing Venus-Rab13DN (Supplemental Figure S4C). These observations validated the involvement of Rab13 in the conformational change of JRAB/MICAL-L2.

We next visualized three sets of time-lapse images of the wound-healing assay (hereafter, JRABwt, JRABCC, and JRABCT) using volume rendering (Figure 3B and Supplemental Videos S4–S6) as 3D images consisting of two spatial (XY) axes and one time-lapse (T) axis. Our visualization revealed notable spatiotemporal dynamics of each group. The rendering results of JRABCT were usually complex, and it was difficult to extract a common denominator from the image set (Figure 3Bc); roughly speaking, they were closer to random than those of JRABCT and JRABwt. The XT-image of JRABCC also revealed the complex dynamics of the population behavior over time (in particular, the boundary between the population and wound regions). The rendering results and the XT-images of JRABCT and JRABwt contain a layered geometry of gentle slopes, which were geometrically close to a straight line (Figure 3B, a and b), suggesting that their speeds of population movement were close to constant. The main difference between the populations of JRABCT and JRABwt was that the slopes of JRABwt contained several holes (Figure 3Ba)—black/dark regions compared with distinctive slope lines in spatiotemporal space, which are generated by the JRABCC-type behavior. In other words, the phenotype of JRABCC sometimes breaks into that of JRABCT (the slope of a straight line), resulting in the disruption of the slope. Collectively two conformational mutants exhibit distinctive “dancing styles”; the behavior of the cell population expressing GFP-JRABCT is akin to precision dancing, whereas that of the cell population expressing GFP-JRABCC resembles awkwardness, Japanese traditional dance (as the song goes, “Dancing fools and watching fools are fools both the same, so why not dance?”; schematics in Figure 3B, b and c). Clusters of cells expressing GFP-JRABwt exhibited an intermediate phenotype (Figure 3Ba).

**FIGURE 4:** Roles of the open or closed forms of JRAB/MICAL-L2 in cell clusters during wound healing. (A) Left, classification of the quality of F-actin bundles (++, +, ±, –). Right, histogram showing the proportion of cells in each class. A one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post hoc test was used for multiple group comparisons. Differences were assessed using a two-sided test and considered significant when \( p < 0.05 \). (B) Superresolution images of F-actin at the edges of the cell clusters. MTD-1A cells expressing either GFP-JRABwt, GFP-JRABCC, or GFP-JRABCT were stained with rhodamine–phalloidin (red). Note that cells expressing GFP-JRABCC resemble JRABwt, whereas cells expressing GFP-JRABCT contain a fine meshwork of F-actin. Boxes, 36.41 × 36.41 × 2.10 μm. (C) Confocal microscopic images of focal adhesion in MTD-1A cells expressing either GFP-JRABCC or GFP-JRABCT were stained with an anti-vinculin antibody (red). Note that cells expressing GFP-JRABCC resemble JRABwt, whereas that of the cell population expressing GFP-JRABCT is akin to precision dancing, whereas that of the cell population expressing GFP-JRABCC resembles awkwardness, Japanese traditional dance (as the song goes, “Dancing fools and watching fools are fools both the same, so why not dance?”; schematics in Figure 3B, b and c). Clusters of cells expressing GFP-JRABwt exhibited an intermediate phenotype (Figure 3Ba).

**Roles of the open and closed forms of JRAB/MICAL-L2 in cell clusters during wound healing**

To elucidate how the open and closed forms of JRAB/MICAL-L2 exhibit distinctive “dancing styles,” we examined in more detail the differences between cell clusters expressing JRABACT and JRABCC. First, we categorized the thickness of the actin bundle at the free edge into four classes (++, +, ±, –); examples in Figure 4A) and then counted the number of cells belonging to each class. Statistical analyses revealed that expression of GFP-JRABACT increased the proportion of cells in the ++ class, whereas expression of GFP-JRABCC increased the proportion of cells in the – class (Figure 4A,
histograms). These results indicate that formation of thick actin bundles at the free edge is enhanced by the closed form of JRAB/MICAL-L2 but inhibited by the open form.

We next examined the detailed structure of cells at the free border using superresolution microscopy. Superresolution images revealed the fine meshwork of filamentous actin (F-actin) generated by GFP-JRABΔCC (Figure 4B, top). In cells expressing GFP-JRABΔCT, several F-actins radiated from the thick bundle and seemed to project onto the growth surface adjacent to the cell (Figure 4B, bottom).

Therefore we analyzed structures involved in the cell–matrix adhesion, that is, focal adhesions (FAs). Cell clusters expressing JRABΔCT exhibited prominent staining of long, vinculin-positive structures at the free edge, whereas those expressing JRABΔCC exhibited punctate staining of shorter structures (Figure 4C). Long and short vinculin-positive structures indicate mature and immature FAs, respectively. These results suggest that closed JRAB/MICAL-L2 may form mature FAs at the tip of F-actins radiating from the thick F-actin bundle. It is conceivable that the traction force is generated in GFP-JRABACT–expressing cell clusters via FAs. To identify the traction force regulated by JRAB/MICAL-L2, we developed silicone elastomer–coated dishes and visualized the traction force as wrinkles (Saito et al., 2014). Prominent wrinkles parallel to the edge were observed around the edges of GFP-JRABΔCT–expressing cell clusters (Figure 4D). By contrast, wrinkles were seldom observed at the edges of GFP-JRABΔCC–expressing cell clusters (Figure 4D). According to the traction force index calculated from the number of the wrinkles, the constructs could be ranked in order of decreasing strength as follows: JRABACT, JRABwt, and JRABΔCC (Figure 4E). These data suggest that the closed form of JRAB/MICAL-L2 may be involved in generation of traction force through F-actins radiating outward from the thick bundle in order to pull the cell group (Figure 4F).

Next we investigated the rear cells of each group. At the junctional level, the sizes of individual cells (i.e., the areas surrounded by F-actin) were larger in groups expressing GFP-JRABACT than in those expressing GFP-JRABΔCC or controls (Supplemental Figure S5A). At the basal level, the stress fibers were rarely observed in groups expressing GFP-JRABΔCC; however, expression of GFP-JRABΔCT enhanced formation of stress fibers (Supplemental Figure S5B). Such actin cytoskeleton regulation mediated by GFP-JRABACT at the basal level may impair the formation of stable cell–cell adhesion, resulting in expanded cell area at the junctional level. To confirm this, we examined spheroid formation by cells expressing each JRAB variant using the hanging-drop culture method (Yonemura, 2014). Cells expressing GFP-JRABACT formed smaller spheroids than those expressing GFP-JRABΔCC (Supplemental Figure S5C), suggesting that cells expressing the closed form of JRAB/MICAL-L2 have difficulty in maintaining clusters.

**Statistical motion analysis of cell populations expressing JRABwt or conformational mutants**

To corroborate the significance of conformational plasticity of JRAB/MICAL-L2 in collective cell migration, we quantitatively analyzed the dynamics of JRABΔCC, JRABΔCT, and JRABwt populations using an optical flow approach.

For a given time-lapse image set, the optical flow produced a speed vector field at each time point (i.e., a time-varying speed field), such that the vector at each pixel represented the local motion of cell population (speed and direction; Figure 5A and Supplemental Videos S7–S9). Then the following statistical analyses of these fields were applied to characterize the behavior of each population.

To extract directional characteristics of local motion for each, we examined the angle histogram and its kurtosis (Figure 5, B–D). Most graphs of the histograms were close to a Gaussian distribution, with the exception of a few JRABΔCC graphs that had another peak located opposite the Gaussian peak (Figure 5C). This pattern indicates that the majority of their local motions were in a particular direction, corresponding to the peak of the graph (distribution mean). The JRABΔCT and JRABwt populations moved more anisotropically/randomly. According to the average graphs (Figure 5C), the order of decreasing anisotropy was JRABΔCT, JRABwt, and JRABΔCC. The kurtosis graph corroborates these results (Figure 5D). The average kurtosis of JRABwt was very close to zero, and the direction of JRABwt population movement corresponded approximately to a Gaussian distribution. All kurtosis values within the JRABΔCC graphs were less than zero; thus the JRABΔCC population moved more randomly than the JRABΔCT and JRABwt populations. The average kurtosis of the JRABΔCT population was greater than that of JRABwt because the maximum kurtosis was the same but the minimum kurtosis was close to zero; thus the movement of the JRABACT population is more anisotropic than that of the JRABwt population.

Although the angle histogram revealed interesting dynamic features of each cell population (JRABΔCC, JRABΔCT, and JRABwt), this analysis used only directional information of speed vectors. Therefore we generated a two-dimensional (2D) motion (median) histogram of the time-varying speed field (Figure 5E and Supplemental Figure S6) and performed principal component analysis (PCA) in order to simultaneously characterize the speed and direction for each population type. PCA, a multidimensional generalization of variance, provides a set of linearly uncorrelated directions and their corresponding magnitudes (eigenanalysis). In other words, the PCA provides information about the distribution of the speed vectors in the spatial domain defined by two unit axes and their corresponding magnitudes. We also used the PCA contribution rate $C_r$, which evaluates the anisotropy of population dynamics in our case. Here $C_r$ is defined by $\lambda_1/(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$, where the PCA eigenvalues ($\lambda_1$, $\lambda_2$) were calculated based on the 2D median histograms using the equations shown in Materials and Methods. The 2D median histograms can be understood as an ellipse with major and minor axes: the two unit PCA axes (eigenvectors) with their corresponding magnitudes (square root of $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$).

Figure 5F shows the PCA contribution rate $C_r$ of the 2D median histograms (Figure 5E, bottom) for each population. If $C_r$ is close to 0.5 (or 1), the population dynamics is isotropic (or anisotropic). Figure 5G illustrates the PCA ellipses for each population. Roughly speaking, the horizontal and vertical axes approximate how the speed and angle of local motions are distributed, respectively. This $C_r$-based analysis reveals that the JRABwt population was the most efficient (in this study, we consider that a population is efficient if it tends to undergo anisotropic motions), followed by the JRABΔCT and JRABΔCC populations. Supplemental Figure S7A shows $C_r$ with respect to the speed vector averaged over all population types and its corresponding PCA ellipses (see Figure 5, F and G, for comparison with the in-population PCA results). Although the difference between the JRABΔCT and JRABwt populations is smaller than those in Figure 5F, the conclusion is the same.
At first glance, the JRAB\(\Delta\)CT population might be expected to be more efficient than the JRABwt population because the former had the highest kurtosis based on our angle analysis (Figure 5, C and D). On the other hand, the speed vector magnitudes, which were not considered in the angle analysis, cause the JRABwt population to have the highest \(C_r\). Therefore we hypothesized that large movements (high-speed local motions) of the JRABwt population would be more concentrated in one direction (i.e., more ordered) than those of the JRAB\(\Delta\)CT population.

Conformational plasticity of JRAB/MICAL-L2 makes the cell population more efficient in terms of anisotropy in high-speed motions

To test the hypothesis, we first analyzed the speed distributions of each population via a speed histogram (Figure 6A) in which each bin contained the frequency (0–100%) of speed vectors according to magnitude. In Figure 6A, three frequency curves (red, green, and blue, corresponding to

---

**FIGURE 5:** Computational analyses of group behavior of cells expressing JRABwt, JRAB\(\Delta\)CC, or JRAB\(\Delta\)CT during wound healing, using an optical flow approach. (A) Speed vector field at each time point. The vector at each pixel represents speed and direction. Video images are shown in Supplemental Videos S7–S9. (B) Directional analysis. The angle is given by the normalized speed vector (blue) and the unit x-axis vector (red). (C) Angle histogram. The horizontal and vertical axes of the angle histogram present angle range (polar angle) and its normalized frequency, respectively. The angle histogram of different data sets within each population type is indicated by different colors. The angle histogram of the average for GFP-JRABwt, GFP-JRAB\(\Delta\)CC, and GFP-JRAB\(\Delta\)CT is indicated by green, blue, and red, respectively. (D) Kurtosis of angle histogram. The kurtosis of angle histogram was calculated as described in Materials and Methods. The minimum (min; red), maximum (max; green), and average (ave; blue) kurtosis values of the angle histogram within each population type were examined. For a graph of a given function, kurtosis measures its peakedness, which represents sharpness (or bluntness) relative to a Gaussian function. A perfect Gaussian distribution gives \(k_2 = 0\), and positive (negative) \(k_2\) corresponds to a sharper (blunter) shape. (E) Top, 2D motion histogram. For a given time-varying speed vector field, let the starting points of all vectors be the origin (the center of the 2D histogram). The 2D motion histogram was calculated by counting the vectors whose endpoint was located at each pixel. The 2D motion histograms for all data sets of each population type are shown in Supplemental Figure S6. Bottom, 2D median histogram. The histogram value was converted into a probability distribution by dividing its frequency total in order to normalize the difference in the time length of the data. The median histogram consists of the median values of all motion histograms of each population type and excludes outliers of speed vectors. The spatial space was quantized by intervals of 0.1 (0.1 subpixel). The median histogram is visualized using a pseudocolor image in which color at the pixel \(x_i^k\) is given by a Hue color function whose value is equal to \(\log(1+f_i^k)^{1/5}\). (F) PCA contribution rate \(C_r\). The PCA of the 2D median histogram for each population with respect to each population average was computed as described in Materials and Methods. Consider the PCA principal values \(\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}\), \(\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2\), and their corresponding principal axes \(e_1, e_2 \in \mathbb{R}^2\), which are defined by the eigensystem of a 2 × 2 covariance matrix. The contribution rate of PCA is defined by \(C_r = \lambda_1/(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\), where 0.5 ≤ \(C_r\) ≤ 1. If \(C_r\) is close to 0.5 (or 1), then histogram probabilities are isotropically (or anisotropically) distributed. (G) PCA ellipses of each population. PCA ellipse consists of the eigenvector and singular values (\(\sqrt{\lambda}\)) of the PCA. Red, GFP-JRAB\(\Delta\)CC; green, GFP-JRABwt; blue, GFP-JRAB\(\Delta\)CT.
JRABΔCC, JRABwt, and JRABΔCT, respectively) closely intersect each other, and we estimated that the JRABΔCC and JRABΔCT population frequencies interchanged around a speed of \( \sqrt{2} \), with the JRABwt frequency located between them.

According to the speed histogram (Figure 6A), we divided the speed interval into four groups \( 0 < |v| \leq 0.25 \), \( 0.25 < |v| \leq \sqrt{2} \), \( \sqrt{2} < |v| \leq 3 \), and \( 3 < |v| \) of the 2D median histogram, where \( |v| \) is the magnitude of the speed. Figure 6B shows the ratio of speed distributions within each population; as expected, the JRABΔCT and JRABwt populations produced much larger movements than did the JRABΔCC population.

We applied PCA to four speed intervals of the 2D median histogram. Figure 6C and D illustrates the PCA contribution rate \( C_r \) and the corresponding PCA ellipse for each speed interval. The \( C_r \) of large movements \( \sqrt{2} < |v| \leq 3 \) and \( 3 < |v| \) in the JRABwt population were smaller than those of JRABΔCT (Figure 6C). Note that the major PCA axes of large movements (Figure 6D) are almost orthogonal to those of Figure 5G. Thus smaller \( C_r \) (close to 0.5) represents lower variance in movement direction, in contrast to Figure 5G. This observation implies that the large local motions in the JRABwt population were more concentrated in one direction than those of JRABΔCT (i.e., our hypothesis holds). Supplemental Figure S7B corresponds to Figure 6C and D, and the interpretation remains the same. In fact, further angle analysis (Figure 6E) for each interval revealed the highest kurtosis value in the interval \( 3 < |v| \) of the JRABwt population. Simultaneous analysis of speed and direction (PCA) showed that large movements, which occupied 35–45% of movements according to our speed analysis (Figure 6B), characterize the JRABwt and JRABΔCT populations. Consequently the JRABwt population is more efficient than the JRABΔCT population in terms of anisotropy of high-speed motions (see also Supplemental Figure S7B). Taken together, these data strongly support the idea that conformational plasticity of JRAB/MICAL-L2 is required for efficient collective cell migration, namely the open or closed form of JRAB/MICAL-L2 alone is insufficient (Figure 6F).

Collective cell migration in collagen gels

Finally, to determine the physiological significance of JRAB/MICAL-L2 structural plasticity in collective cell migration, we used a lentiviral expression system to generate Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells

**FIGURE 6:** PCA for local motion of JRABwt, JRABΔCC, and JRABΔCT populations. (A) Speed histogram indicating the frequency (0–100%) of speed vectors in each bin according to magnitude. Inset, zoom at \( \sqrt{2} \). Red, GFP-JRABΔCC; blue, GFP-JRABΔCT; green, GFP-JRABwt. (B) Probability of speed vector within four speed intervals. \( |v| \) is the speed magnitude. Blue, \( 0 < |v| \leq 0.25 \); red, \( 0.25 < |v| \leq \sqrt{2} \); green, \( \sqrt{2} < |v| \leq 3 \); yellow, \( 3 < |v| \). (C) PCA contribution rate \( C_r \) for each speed interval. Blue, \( 0 < |v| \leq 0.25 \); red, \( 0.25 < |v| \leq \sqrt{2} \); green, \( \sqrt{2} < |v| \leq 3 \); yellow, \( 3 < |v| \). (D) PCA ellipses of each population within four speed intervals. Blue, \( 0 < |v| \leq 0.25 \); red, \( 0.25 < |v| \leq \sqrt{2} \); green, \( \sqrt{2} < |v| \leq 3 \); yellow, \( 3 < |v| \). (E) Kurtosis within four intervals: \( 0 < |v| \leq 0.25 \), \( 0.25 < |v| \leq \sqrt{2} \), \( \sqrt{2} < |v| \leq 3 \), and \( 3 < |v| \). Red, GFP-JRABΔCC; blue, GFP-JRABΔCT; green, GFP-JRABwt. (F) Working model of collective cell migration regulated by JRAB/MICAL-L2 conformational plasticity. The cell population expressing JRABΔCT exhibits excessive rigidity, whereas the one expressing JRABΔCC loses directionality. For efficient collective cell migration, JRAB/MICAL-L2 must be able to change its conformation freely depending on the situation. The arrows indicate how each cell population is constrained in terms of its movement (note that these are not speed vectors).
expressing GFP-JRABwt, GFP-JRABΔCC, or GFP-JRABΔCT and performed collagen gel overlay culture (Ishida et al., 2014) with them. Using this method, we observed collective migration from the periphery toward the center of the sheet based on the folding of the sheet of MDCK cells, ultimately resulting in the formation of a lumen structure within the collagen gel. MDCK cells expressing GFP-JRABwt formed a lumen as expected, whereas expression of GFP-JRABΔCC or GFP-JRABΔCT resulted in formation of multiple lumens (Figure 7). These results demonstrate that deficiency in JRAB/MICAL-L2 conformational plasticity is disadvantageous for collective cell movement in a 3D environment.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we demonstrated that “law and order” in the moving cell population could be provided simply by the conformational plasticity of single molecule, JRAB/MICAL-L2, contrary to all expectations. Our accumulated data supported a model in which JRAB/MICAL-L2 is induced to undergo a conformational change by Rab13; however, definitive proof was lacking, and the mode of interplay between them remains elusive. Because the recombinant protein of JRAB/MICAL-L2 was extremely hard to crystallize, we verified the model through a combination of bioinformatic and biochemical analyses. Our structural models of complexes (JRAB-C–Rab13 and JRAB-C–JRAB-N) revealed that Rab13 and JRAB-N compete for binding with JRAB-C and that this competition leads to a release of the intramolecular interaction. Consistent with this, wound-healing assays using cells expressing a JRAB/MICAL-L2 fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) probe indicated the conformational plasticity of JRAB/MICAL-L2 in populations of moving cells (Supplemental Figure S8 and Supplemental Video S10). Previously we established two deletion mutants, JRABΔCC and JRABΔCT (Sakane et al., 2010). Our present results demonstrated that JRABΔCT and JRABΔCC adopt a closed and open form, respectively, based on results from several methods (pull-down assay, ANS analysis, and gel filtration chromatography). Live images of cell sheets revealed that groups of cells expressing GFP-JRABΔCC or GFP-JRABΔCT exhibited distinctive movements—awa-odorí (Japanese dance-like carnival dance in Rio) or orderly precision dancing, respectively. The results of optical flow followed by kurtosis and PCA analysis demonstrated that in order to achieve well-balanced and efficient migration of cell groups, JRAB/MICAL-L2 must be able to change its conformation without limitation.

Our detailed investigations of cell populations expressing GFP-JRABΔCC or GFP-JRABΔCT revealed the roles of the open and closed forms of JRAB/MICAL-L2. The closed form of JRAB/MICAL-L2 generates a traction force at the free edge of the cell population, probably via formation of radial F-actins extending from the thick actin bundle followed by the maturation of FAs (Figure 4F), whereas JRABΔCC impairs the generation of such force at the edge. The distinctive modes of collective cell migration caused by either JRABΔCC or JRABΔCT revealed by volume rendering and kurtosis analysis could be partly explained by such differences. In addition, given the results obtained from the PCA contribution rate C, the heterogeneity of the traction force in the cells at the front, which depends on the conformational plasticity of JRAB/MICAL-L2, may be required for efficient collective cell migration (Figure 6F). We do not know the detailed molecular mechanism allowing the closed form of JRAB/MICAL-L2 to promote the maturation of FAs. However, there are several possibilities. First, we found several actin-binding proteins (actinin-1, actinin-4, and filamins) preferentially interacting with the open form of JRAB/MICAL-L2. Such interplay between them may keep FAs from being mature. Conversely, the closed form of JRAB/MICAL-L2, without effects of the association with actinin-1, actinin-4, and filamins, may enhance the formation of FAs. Second, linkage between JRAB/MICAL-L2 and Rho family protein, which is one of the representative regulatory systems of actin cytoskeletal rearrangement, should not be excluded. There may be switching of the activation of Rho family protein, depending on the conformational change of JRAB/MICAL-L2; the closed form of JRAB/MICAL-L2 may generate a thick actin bundle with radial F-actins leading to maturation of FAs via activated RhoA, whereas activated Rac may increase focal contact rather than FAs under the effect of the open form of JRAB/MICAL-L2. These possibilities need to be pursued elsewhere.

Next we examined the follower cells and found that JRABΔCT increased cell size at the junctional level and enhanced the formation of stress fibers at the basal level. The relationship between these two effects is unclear, but one possible explanation is that it is difficult for cells expressing JRABΔCT to maintain stable cell–cell adhesions because the actin cytoskeleton undergoes a spatial shift from cell–cell adhesion to cell–matrix adhesion, as previously reported (Maruthamuthu et al., 2011), thereby increasing the area of the cell at the junctional level. On the contrary, the open form of JRAB/MICAL-L2 regulates the actin cytoskeleton to maintain appropriate cell area at the junctional level (i.e., stable cell–cell junctions), and therefore JRABΔCC generates fewer stress fibers than JRABΔCT. The results of the hanging-drop culture assay also suggest that JRABΔCC maintains more stable cell–cell adhesion, resulting in larger spheroids than those formed by control cells or those expressing JRABΔCT. We previously showed several lines of evidence suggesting that open-form JRAB/MICAL-L2 maintains more stable cell–cell adhesion than closed-form JRAB/MICAL-L2; actinin-4 preferentially interacts with JRABΔCC, and the interaction between actinin-4 and JRABΔCC mutually inhibits F-actin bundling activity; and stabilization of F-actin mediated by JRAB-N is impaired by JRAB-C (Sakane et al., 2012). Collectively these findings support our hypothesis.

Finally, we demonstrated the physiological importance of JRAB/MICAL-L2 conformational plasticity. In general, under 3D conditions, cell sheets turn up at the edges, followed by formation of a single lumen. MDCK cell sheets expressing GFP-JRABwt formed flat single lumens, whereas cell sheets expressing GFP-JRABΔCC or
GFP-JRAB\textsuperscript{ΔCT} formed multiple lumens. Although the difference between the phenotypes of JRAB\textsuperscript{CC} and JRAB\textsuperscript{ΔCT} is subtle compared with the that observed in the 2D wound-healing assay, we found that lumens generated by cells expressing GFP-JRAB\textsuperscript{ΔCT} seemed to be closer to fusion than those generated by cells expressing GFP-JRAB\textsuperscript{ΔCT}. Given that multiple lumens initially form and then fuse with one another in the process of forming a single lumen, both JRAB\textsuperscript{CC} cells and JRAB\textsuperscript{ΔCT} cells fail in the formation of a single lumen, but their endpoints seem to be different. In any case, we showed the importance of JRAB/MICAL-L2 conformational plasticity in the physiological environment. However, we do not know how a disturbance in the conformational change of JRAB/MICAL-L2 confers a disadvantage on collective migration in the 3D environment. To obtain more definitive conclusions on 3D lumen formation, further investigation will be needed.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plasmid construction**

Mouse JRAB/MICAL-L2 mutants; CT1 (aa 901–1009), CT2 (aa 895–1009), CT3 (aa 877–984), CT4 (aa 877–1009), CT5 (aa 877–960), CC1 (aa 806–900), CC2 (aa 806–876), CC3 (aa 877–930), CC4 (aa 840–930), and CC5 (aa 806–960) were amplified by PCR using pCIneo-HA-JRAB/MICAL-L2 as a template. To generate enhanced GFP (EGFP) constructs, the JRAB-C (aa 806–1009), CC (aa 806–912), CT (aa 913–1009), CH + LIM (aa 1–260), and JRAB mutants were subcloned into pEGFP-C1, pEGFP-JRABwt, -JRAB\textsuperscript{ΔCC}, and -JRAB\textsuperscript{ΔCT} were generated as reported previously (Sakane et al., 2010). For production of the His-tagged recombinant proteins, cDNA encoding JRABwt, JRAB\textsuperscript{ΔCC}, or JRAB\textsuperscript{ΔCT} was subcloned into pcDNAHisMax. pGEX-6P-1-JRAB-CH + LIM and -JRAB-C have been previously described (Sakane et al., 2012), and pGEX-6P-1-JRAB-CC5 was generated in the same way. cDNAs encoding the dominant-active and dominant-negative mutants of mouse Rab13 (Rab13DA, Q67L and Rab13DN, T22N) were subcloned into pCIneo-HA, pRSET-A, or pEGFP-C1. Rab13DA\textsuperscript{ΔC1}, ΔC2, and ΔC3 (the character of these mutants is described later) were subcloned into pGEX-6P-1. To produce retrovirus-expressing, GFP-tagged proteins, we generated pMX-EGFP-JRABwt, -JRAB\textsuperscript{ΔCC}, and -JRAB\textsuperscript{ΔCT} as previously described (Kitamura et al., 2003; Sakane et al., 2012). pMX-Venus was prepared by PCR from pCNA3-Venus obtained from A. Miyawaki (RIKEN Molecular Biology of the Cell) and pCMV-VSV-G-RSV-Rev; RIKEN BioResource Center), and the vector plasmid, lentiviral particles were prepared by standard transfection procedures using packaging-plasmid DNAs (pCAG-HIVgfp and pCMV-HSV-G-RSV-Rev; RIKEN BioResource Center), and the resulting viral particles were used to introduce the transgene into MDCK cells. After transduction of the transgenes at a multiplicity of infection of 1–2, pools of the cultured cells resistant to blasticidin (20 μg/mL) were selected and used for experiments. For imaging analyses, MDCK cells expressing GFP-tagged or Venus-tagged protein or JRAB indicator were selected based on fluorescence intensity and isolated by single-cell sorting on a JSAN desktop cell sorter (Bay Bioscience, Kobe, Japan).

**Recombinant retrovirus preparation and infection**

The pMX-EGFP vector containing JRAB/MICAL-L2 or its mutant, the pMX-JRAB indicator, the pMX-Venus vector containing Rab13DA or Rab13DN, or the pMX-Venus vector alone were transfected into PLAT-E cells as described previously (Mori et al., 2000). After a 48-h transfection, each culture supernatant was collected and filtered through a 0.45-μm filter before infection. MTD-1A cells were infected with each recombinant retrovirus separately. After a 48-h culture, cells were subjected to further experiments. For imaging analyses, MTD-1A cells expressing GFP-tagged or Venus-tagged protein or JRAB indicator were selected based on fluorescence intensity and isolated by single-cell sorting on a JSAN desktop cell sorter (Bay Bioscience).

**Lentiviral vector-mediated gene expression**

A self-inactivating lentiviral expression vector and two packaging-vector plasmids were obtained from the RIKEN BioResource Center (Ibaraki, Japan; Miyoshi et al., 1998). Using the lentiviral expression vector plasmid, lentiviral particles were prepared by standard transfection procedures using packaging-plasmid DNAs (pCAG-HIVgfp and pCMV-HSV-G-RSV-Rev; RIKEN BioResource Center), and the resulting viral particles were used to introduce the transgene into MDCK cells. After transduction of the transgenes at a multiplicity of infection of 1–2, pools of the cultured cells resistant to blasticidin (20 μg/mL) were selected and used for experiments. For imaging analyses, MDCK cells expressing GFP-tagged protein were selected based on fluorescence intensity and isolated by single-cell sorting on a JSAN desktop cell sorter (Bay Bioscience).

**Wound-healing assay and live-cell imaging**

Cells were seeded at a density of 4 × 10\textsuperscript{5} cells on 35-mm glass-based dishes (Matsunami Glass Ind., Kishiwada, Japan) and grown to confluence over 48 h. Wound edges were generated by scratching with a yellow pipette tip. After scratching, cells were washed three times with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and refed with culture medium. Live-cell images were obtained by an A1 confocal laser-scanning microscope using an inverted microscope (Ti; Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a 40×/numerical aperture 0.95 Plan Apo objective (Nikon) and a stage-top incubator system (37°C, 5% CO\textsubscript{2}; Tokai Hit, Fujinomiya, Japan).

**Pull-down assays**

HEK293 cells were seeded at a density of 5 × 10\textsuperscript{5} cells on 60-mm dishes and transfected the next day with an appropriate amount of each plasmid using PEI-Max transfection reagent (Reed et al., 2006). After a 48-h incubation at 37°C, the cells were washed once and
scraped from the dishes in PBS. The cells were lysed in 300 μl of buffer A (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, 1% [wt/vol] Nonidet P-40, 150 mM NaCl, and 10 μM p-APMSF [p-amidophenyl]methylsulfonyl fluoride). The cell lysates were centrifuged at 4°C for 5 min at 16,100 × g, and each supernatant was incubated with the respective purified GST-JRAB mutant or GST-Rab13DAΔC3 (see later description) attached to glutathione-Sepharose beads and incubated for 90 min at 4°C. The beads were then washed with buffer A three times and resuspended in SDS sample buffer. Comparable amounts of the proteins that remained associated with the beads were separated by SDS–PAGE. The fraction of target protein bound to the affinity column was determined by Western blotting using an anti-GFP antibody.

Preparation of Rab13DA truncation mutants
To assess the interaction between Rab13 and JRAB–MICAL-L2, we first prepared recombinant Rab13DA protein. However, the expression level of full-length Rab13DA (aa 1–202) was not sufficient for repeated experiments, regardless of whether it was GST or His tagged. To increase the expression level, we prepared C-terminal–truncated mutants such as those used previously for crystallization of other small G proteins. All small G proteins contain switch I and switch II regions, whose spatial positions change drastically between GTP- and GDP-bound forms, as well as an interswitch region. Cross-species mammalian sequence analyses have revealed five Rab-binding regions within the sequence that distinguish Rab proteins from other Ras superfamily members and four RabSF regions that are highly conserved within each Rab subfamily (Pereira-Leal and Seabra, 2000). In addition, complementarity-determining regions (CDRs) 1–3 are believed to be exposed to the surface in the 3D structure. Regarding Rab–effector complexes, there are three types of combinations of Rab protein interaction sites: 1) switch and interswitch, 2) type 1 plus CDR1 and CDR3, and 3) type 1 plus CDR1, CDR2, and CDR3 (Wu et al., 2005; Stein et al., 2012). Multiple alignments of Rab13 and other Rab proteins revealed the position of CDR3 (SF4), as shown in Supplemental Figure S1C. On the basis of this alignment, we prepared three Rab13DA truncation mutants: Rab13DAΔC1 (aa 1–166), Rab13DAΔC2 (aa 1–180), and Rab13DAΔC3 (aa 1–190). Among these mutants, only Rab13DAΔC1 lacks CDR3 (SF4). In the pull-down assay, GFP-Rab13DAΔC2 and GFP-Rab13DAΔC3 bound to GST-JRAB-C, whereas GFP-Rab13DAΔC1 and GFP-Rab13ΔDN did not (Supplemental Figure S1D). These results indicate that CDR3 (SF4) is required for the Rab13–JRAB–MICAL-L2 interaction. We measured the expression level of recombinant Rab13DAΔC2 and Rab13DAΔC3 and found that the Rab13DAΔC3 was much more highly expressed than Rab13DA full-length or Rab13DAΔC2. Therefore, in this article, we used Rab13DAΔC3 for further analysis.

Establishment of a structural model of JRAB-C and models of the JRAB-C–JRAB-N and JRAB-C–Rab13 interactions
Structures of an ideal α-helical conformation of putative helices 1–5 and of random conformations for loops 1–4 were constructed by SYBYL-X, and the electrostatic potentials and hydrophobic properties on the molecular surfaces of the structures were calculated using eF-surf (Kinoshita and Nakamura, 2004).

Helices 1 and 2 and the first half of helix 3 are involved in the interaction between JRAB-N and JRAB-C, indicating that these three helices should be located near each other. Coiled-coil prediction (McDonnell et al., 2006) revealed that helix 1 has the potential to form a coiled-coil within itself or with other helices, such as helix 3 or 5. Therefore we positioned helices 1–3 so that helices 1 and 2 and the first half of helix 3 were located close together and the hydrophobic regions of helices 1 and 3 contacted each other to form a coiled-coil–like conformation. On the other hand, helices 2–5 and loop 4 are involved in the interaction between Rab13 and JRAB-C. In addition, the features of the three effector proteins that we used in the structural alignment revealed that in general, two antiparallel helices are involved in Rab-binding via hydrophobic and electrostatically negative regions. On the basis of these observations, we positioned helices 4 and 5 so that helices 2 and 3 and helices 4 and 5 were antiparallel and located close together; consequently the surface properties of the putative Rab-binding site on JRAB-C resemble those in the three aligned effector proteins (Figure 1C). To construct a model of the interaction between JRAB-C and JRAB-N (LIM) or Rab13, we modeled the structures of JRAB-N (LIM) and Rab13 by comparative modeling (Fugue [Shi et al., 2001] and Modeller [Eswar et al., 2006]) and calculated their surface properties (eF-surf [Kinoshita and Nakamura, 2004]). The structural model of LIM was placed on the JRAB-C model such that the protein-binding residues in LIM predicted by PSIVER (Murakami and Mizuguchi, 2010) were in contact with the putative LIM-binding regions in the JRAB-C model. The Rab13 model was placed on JRAB-C based on the known structures of the three Rab–effector complexes.

ANS fluorescence spectra measurement
Fluorescence emission spectra of ANS were collected from 400 to 600 nm at an excitation wavelength of 395 nm with a Hitachi F-4500 fluorescence spectrophotometer (Hitachi High-Technologies, Tokyo, Japan) at 25°C. Protein and ANS concentrations were 30 μg/ml and 250 μM, respectively.

Volume rendering
Volume rendering is a popular computer graphics technique for visualizing 3D images and has been used in many scientific fields (Engel et al., 2004). Basically, volume rendering generates a 2D image on a view plane relative to a given view (camera position and direction) by integrating 3D image intensity according to a given transfer function that defines opacity and pseudocolor values. We used the VoTracer software for volume rendering (Ijiri, 2013). We focused on GFP fused to JRABwt, JRABΔCC, or JRABACT by manually adjusting a multidimensional transfer function (Kniss et al., 2002; intensity and its gradient field) implemented in the VoTracer.

Local motion estimation
We used an optical flow approach to extract local motions. Optical flow is a popular motion analysis approach in computer vision and video analysis, and many different optical flow models have been proposed. We initially examined three popular models (Horn and Schunck, 1981; Lucas and Kanade, 1981; Farnebäck, 2003) and their parameters implemented in OpenCV (Bradski, 2000). We selected Farnebäck’s (2003) algorithm with a Gaussian filter option (and window size is equal to 35) because of its high stability and robustness on our data.

For the optical flow approach, the motion orientations of all time-lapse images were aligned from right to left; that is, flopped images were used if the original images captured cell population movement from right to left. The JRABACT, JRABΔCT, and JRABwt data consist of 10, 7, and 10 time-lapse image sets, respectively. The spatial domain image size of all images is equal to 512 × 512 pixels. The temporal domain image sizes are given in Table 1.

Directional analysis
For a given time-varying speed field, an angle histogram was calculated; the angle is given by the normalized speed vector and the
unit x-axis vector because the orientations of the time-lapse images were aligned from left to right. The horizontal and vertical axes of the angle histogram present angle range and its normalized frequency, respectively. The angle range was equally quantized by N histogram bins (N = 64 in our experiments) with a periodic boundary condition. The average angle histograms for each population type were also calculated by shifting histogram peaks to match them.

The kurtosis of angle histogram was calculated using the following equations. For a given angle histogram with N bins, let \( x_i \in R \) and \( f_i = f(x_i) \in (0, 1) \), \( i = 1, 2, 3... , N \) be the \( i \)th interval center of the histogram bin and its corresponding frequency, respectively. The histogram kurtosis is given by

\[
k_k = \frac{1}{F} \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i (m - x_i)^4 - 3, \quad F = \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i,
\]

\[
m = \frac{1}{F} \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i x_i, \quad \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{F} \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i (m - x_i)^2
\]

where \( F \) is a frequency sum, \( m \) is a frequency-weighted average of \( x_i \), and \( \sigma \) is the variance of the histogram. The minimum (min), maximum (max), and average (ave) kurtosis values of the angle histogram within each population type are examined.

### Two-dimensional median histogram

Consider a 2D histogram that is equivalent to a grayscale image quantizing a spatial 2D space; thus each histogram bin becomes an image pixel. Assume that the coordinate origin is located at the center of the 2D histogram (Figure 5E). For a given time-varying speed vector field, let the starting points of all vectors be the origin (the center of the 2D histogram). The 2D motion histogram was calculated by counting the vectors whose endpoint is located at each pixel. Then the histogram value was converted into a probability distribution by dividing its frequency total in order to normalize the difference in the time length of the data. To obtain robust statistical results, we used 2D median histograms as shown in Figure 5E, bottom, and Supplemental Figure S6. The median histogram consists of the median values of all motion histograms of each population type. The median histogram excludes outliers of speed vectors. In our experiments, we quantized the spatial space by intervals of 0.1 (0.1 subpixel).

For a given 2D histogram with \( N^2 \) bins, let \( x_i = (x_i^x, y_i^y) \in R^2 \) and \( f_i = f(x_i^x, y_i^y) \in (0, 1) \) be the \( i \)th pixel center coordinates and its probability in each population, where \( k \) indicates a JRAB population group (\( \Delta CC, \Delta CT \), and wild type [WT]).

### In-population PCA

The PCA of the 2D median histogram for each population with respect to each population average was computed using the following equations. Consider the PCA principal values \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in R \), \( \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \), and their corresponding principal axes \( e_1, e_2 \in R^2 \) which are defined by the eigensystem of a \( 2 \times 2 \) covariance matrix. In our case, the covariance matrix \( A \) of the histogram is given by

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
a_{11} & a_{12} \\
a_{21} & a_{22}
\end{pmatrix}, \quad F = \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \overline{x} \\ \overline{y} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{F} \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i \begin{pmatrix} x_i \\ y_i \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
a_{11} = \frac{1}{F} \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i (x_i - \overline{x})^2, \quad a_{12} = \frac{1}{F} \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i (x_i - \overline{x})(y_i - \overline{y}),
\]

\[
a_{21} = \frac{1}{F} \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i (x_i - \overline{x})(y_i - \overline{y}), \quad a_{22} = \frac{1}{F} \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i (y_i - \overline{y})^2
\]

where \( N = N^k \) is a number of bins, \( f_i = f_i^k \) is the probability at the pixel coordinates \( (x_i, y_i) \) of each population, \( k \) indicates a JRAB population group (\( \Delta CC, \Delta CT \), and WT), \( F \) is a probability sum (note that it is not equal to 1 because of our median strategy), and \( (\overline{x}, \overline{y}) \) is a probability-weighted average of \( x_i^k \). For calculating the eigensystem of \( A \), we used the QR factorization algorithm (Francis, 1961) implemented in the linear algebra software package LAPACK (Anderson et al., 1999).

### PCA contribution rate

The contribution rate of PCA is defined by \( C_r = \lambda_1/(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \), where \( 0.5 \leq C_r \leq 1 \). If \( \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 \), then \( C_r = 0.5 \), and \( \lambda_2 = 0 \) gives \( C_r = 1 \).

### PCA with all-population average

In our analysis, we used the PCA via the average speed vector within each population type. Here we present another PCA result that consists of the speed vector averaged over all population types. The PCA of the 2D median histogram for each population with respect to the all-population average is computed by the same equations used in covariance matrix \( A \), except for the average vector:

\[
\begin{pmatrix} \overline{x} \\ \overline{y} \end{pmatrix} = \left( \sum_{k=1}^{4} \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i^k x_i \right)/ \left( \sum_{k=1}^{4} \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i^k \right)
\]

where \( k \) indicates a JRAB population group (\( \Delta CC, \Delta CT \), and WT).

### Statistical analysis

One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s post hoc test was used for multiple group comparisons (Figures 2C and 4, A and E, and Supplemental Figure S8C). When assumptions of normality of the distribution or homogeneity of variances were not verified (Supplemental Figure S5A), Kruskal–Wallis ANOVA followed by Steel–Dwass post hoc test was used. Differences were assessed with a two-sided test and considered significant when \( p < 0.05 \).

### Cell traction force assay

Relative magnitude of cell traction forces of clusters of MTD-1A cells expressing GFP-JRABwt, GFP-JRAB\( \Delta CC \), or GFP-JRAB\( \Delta CT \) was evaluated using a modified version of a method originally developed to visualize cell traction forces based on wrinkling of deformable silicone cell substrates (Harris et al., 1980, 1981; Chrzanowska-Wodnicka and Burridge, 1996; Hinz et al., 2001; Castella et al., 2010). In the previous method, prepolymer silicone fluid was coated on a culture dish and polymerized by passing it through a burner flame for a few seconds so that wrinkles were generated on the originally planar substrate.
surface upon application of cellular traction forces. Here we instead coated a 35-mm-diameter glass-bottom dish with prepolymer silicone elastomers (Sylgard 184; Dow Corning Toray, Tokyo, Japan). No curing agent was added to the silicone elastomers. The dish was placed in an oven at 70°C to induce thermal expansion of the silicone substrates and then exposed to oxygen plasma (4 mA, 10 Pa, 1 min) using a plasma generator (SEDE-GE; Meiwafosis, Tokyo, Japan). The surface of the silicone substrates was thus hydrophilized, highly enhancing cell adhesiveness (Yokoyama et al., 2014) and increasing substrate stiffness (Saito et al., 2014), so that wrinkles with an amplitude of several hundred nanometers could be generated in a reversible manner on the functionalized surface in a direction perpendicular to traction forces.

Hanging-drop assay
To generate spheroids, we used the hanging-drop culture method (Yonemura, 2014). Briefly, MTD-1A cell solution (2.5 × 10^5/ml) was seeded in 25-μl drops on a glass coverslip in a 60-mm dish. Cover slips were inverted and incubated for 3 d until spheroids formed.

Three-dimensional culture assay
A 1.6 mg/ml collagen type I gel (Cellmatrix type I-P; Nitta Gelatin, Osaka, Japan) was used for the collagen overlay assay (Ishida et al., 2014). An 8.0-mm-radius glass dish was filled with 150 μl of the collagen gel, onto which trypsinized cells (2.0 × 10^5) were seeded. After culture for 3 or 5 d, a collagen gel solution (75 μl) was poured onto the cells, and the sample was incubated for 30 min at 37°C to induce gelation. The dish was then filled with culture medium. After 1 d, cells were fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 10 min, permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS for 5 min, and blocked with 0.5% bovine serum albumin (Sigma-Aldrich) in PBS for 1 h. Samples were incubated with Alexa Fluor 555-phalloidin (1:300 dilution in PBS) overnight at room temperature. Fluorescence images were obtained using a confocal laser-scanning microscope (C1 confocal imaging system; Nikon) with a 60× objective.

Evaluation of JRAB indicator in vitro
HEK293 cells transiently expressing JRAB indicator were collected and lysed. Cell lysates were then directly subjected to spectral measurement by using an FP-6300 spectrofluorometer (Jasco, Hachioji, Japan) with 433-nm excitation.

FRET fluorescence imaging
FRET images were captured on an inverted microscope (Ti; Nikon) equipped with a 40×/NA Plan Apo objective (Nikon), cooled charge-coupled device camera (ANDOR iXon3), and 130-W mercury lamp (Intensilight C-HGFI; Nikon) with an FF01-442 excitation filter, an FF458-Di02 dichroic mirror, and emission filters (FF01-483/518 and FF01-520) for Venus. This work was supported by Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology KAKENHI Grants 20113004 (T.S.), 25111723 (A.S.), and 15H05954 (H.Y.), Japan Society for the Promo-
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