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Sustenance of visual function is the ultimate focus of ophthalmologists. Failure of complete recovery of visual function and complications that follow conventional treatments have shifted search to a new form of therapy using stem cells. Stem cell progenitors play a major role in replenishing degenerated cells despite being present in low quantity and quiescence in our body. Unlike other tissues and cells, regeneration of new optic cells responsible for visual function is rarely observed. Understanding the transcription factors and genes responsible for optic cells development will assist scientists in formulating a strategy to activate and direct stem cells renewal and differentiation. We review the processes of human eye development and address the strategies that have been exploited in an effort to regain visual function in the preclinical and clinical state. The update of clinical findings of patients receiving stem cell treatment is also presented.

1. Introduction

Blindness or loss of visual function can be caused by failure of the light path to reach the retina or failure of the retina to capture and convert light to an electrochemical signal before transmission to the brain via optic nerve [1]. The major causes contributing to blindness include age-related macular degeneration (ARMD), diabetic retinopathy, cataracts, and glaucoma [2–4], which are genetically linked [5] and associated with multiple risk factors including diet [6], hypertension [7], pregnancy [8], and smoking [9]. The occurrences of these pathologies increase with the age of the patient and are thus widely spread among aging populations. Blindness is an extensive disease that not only affects the quality of life of the patients themselves but may have a negative impact on the socioeconomic status of their immediate families [10, 11].

Current treatments have aimed at protecting vision and preventing visual impairment by early diagnosis using various methods of intervention such as surgery, ionizing radiation, laser, or drug treatments [12–14]. Despite the efficiencies of these treatment modalities, they do not provide a complete solution to stop the progression to blindness.
Many recent findings from preclinical data have supported the notion that stem cells have the capacity to revive degenerated cells or replace cells in many major diseases including ocular disorders [15–18]. Stem cells are present in all tissues in our body and are self-renewable and capable of maintaining a certain level of differentiation in response to injury for tissue repair [19–21]. We mainly aimed this review at both clinicians and academicians, so we presented the localization of stem cell progenitors with eye development in different regions in the eye, the functions of these progenitors, and the current clinical trials and their exploitation of nontissue specific stem cells as alternative sources for regaining lost vision.

2. Gene and Protein Regulation during Eye Development

Eye development involves indispensable participation of the neural ectoderm (NE), surface ectoderm (SE), ectomesenchymal/cranial neural crest cell (CNCC), and modicum of mesenchymal tissues [22]. During the fourth week of intrauterine life, the forebrain gives rise to two bulges called optic vesicles that extend like a stalk and a cup to trigger the surface ectoderm on both sides [22]. The retinal pigmented epithelium (RPE) and neural retina (NR) are developed from outer and inner layer of optic cup, while the optic nerve is developed from optic stalk [22]. The cup tip becomes the ciliary body and iris by integrating with the CNCC [23]. The surface ectoderm is repressible for the lens, cornea, and conjunctiva [24]. The sclera, corneal endothelium, corneal stroma, iridial stroma, and iridial muscles are contributed by the CNCC [25]. The neural ectodermal derivatives of eye are permanent cells and lack the self-renewal, as like other nervous tissues. But unlike other surface ectodermal derivatives, the ocular ectodermal derivatives do lack the self-renewal in the eye during aging which collectively results in various degenerative disorders.

The well-organized time-dependent interactions and gene expression of all these layers for initiation, pattern determination, and organogenesis are significant for eye development [22, 24–27]. Eye development in an embryonic mouse at 9.5 days is shown in Figure 1 [26]. The neural ectoderm bulges as the optic vesicle to reach the surface ectoderm. The surface ectoderm becomes thicker upon the contact with NE to become the lens placode. Except in the lens placode region, the NE and SE are separated by the EOM. In the NE, the presumptive RPE, neural retina, and optic tract are colored red, green, and yellow, respectively. The lens placode is colored blue. The TF reciprocally act to regulate eye development. EOM, extraocular mesenchyme; RPE, retinal pigmented epithelium; NR, neural retina; TF, transcription factor. Copyright 2012. Modified with permission from Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press [26].

Initiation of optic vesicle formation from the neural ectoderm by Rx/Rax involves extensive cell movements and proliferation [36]. In addition, Rx is essential for expression of Lhx2, Pax6, Mab2112, and Six3, which specifies the retinal progenitor cells in the optic cup [30, 31, 36, 37]. Lhx2, a pattern gene expressed in the neural ectoderm, is important for expression of Mitf [32]. Mitf is a governing gene for RPE that specifies the neural retina, and in the neural ectoderm, RPE regulates the vesicle to cup transformation and activates the retinoid acid receptor, which is another important factor for eye development [33, 34]. In Lhx2 mutant mouse, Mitf and Vax2 are never initiated and Pax2, Vax2, and Rx are initiated but not maintained, resulting in arrest of eye development in the optic vesicle stage [40]. The optic vesicle is important for lens formation and the lens is important for the vesicle to cup formation. The surface ectoderm will not form the lens if the optic vesicle is removed. In contrast, when provided with an optic vesicle, any primitive ectoderm will develop into the lens [40].

The neural retina, the brain of eye with nine distinct layers, transmits color signals in and out as vision [22]. During development, the neural retina depends on the expression of Vsx2, an important homeobox gene for early patterning and maintenance of cell proliferation and fate [41]. MAPK/FGF signaling is important for neural retina and
upregulates Vsx2 [42] and Vsx2 downregulates Mitf [42–44]. This regulation helps control the distinct neural retina and RPE specification in the optic cup. FGFR9, normally expressed in the distal optic vesicle, is important for the boundary between the neural retina and the RPE [44–46]. FGFR activation is crucial in chicks but not in mice [46]. This suggests that there is species-specific neurogenesis. Interestingly, specific activation of MAPK/FGF can induce neural retina formation from presumptive RPE with distinct layers [43, 45, 47–49]. BMP is important for Vsx2 regulation [50]. Figure 2 shows a schematic of the adult eye of different vertebrates (frogs and fish (a), avians (b), and mammals (c)) is shown in blue and represents the neural retina of embryonic origin, which lacks the continuous renewal ability of the CMZ, which is shown in yellow. CMZ: ciliary marginal zone. Copyright 2004. Modified with permission from UBC Press [27].

![Figure 2: CMZ in vertebrates.](image)

The CMZ is progressively reduced in higher vertebrates. The adult eye of different vertebrates (frogs and fish (a), avians (b), and mammals (c)) is shown in blue and represents the neural retina of embryonic origin, which lacks the continuous renewal ability of the CMZ, which is shown in yellow. CMZ: ciliary marginal zone. Copyright 2004. Modified with permission from UBC Press [27].

RPE specification in the optic cup. FGFR9, normally expressed in the distal optic vesicle, is important for the boundary between the neural retina and the RPE [44–46]. FGFR activation is crucial in chicks but not in mice [46]. This suggests that there is species-specific neurogenesis. Interestingly, specific activation of MAPK/FGF can induce neural retina formation from presumptive RPE with distinct layers [43, 45, 47–49]. BMP is important for Vsx2 regulation [50]. Figure 2 shows a schematic of the adult eye of different vertebrates (frogs and fish (a), avians (b), and mammals (c)) is shown in blue and represents the neural retina of embryonic origin, which lacks the continuous renewal ability of the CMZ, which is shown in yellow. CMZ: ciliary marginal zone. Copyright 2004. Modified with permission from UBC Press [27].

The lens is derived from the surface ectoderm upon receiving instruction by Pax6 to respond to FGF, BMP, and Sox2. Fox-3 helps in the separation of the lens from the surface ectoderm and formation of lens fibers. Lens fibers are epithelial cells that undergo clever modification to become transparent fibers by losing their organelles and accumulating crystalline protein; Pax6, Fox3, c-Maf, HSF4, RAR, Six, Sox, and Prox are the transcription factors related to crystalline genes [66, 67]. The CNCC is crucial for eye development and...
restricts the lens formation area in the surface ectoderm by inhibiting cells other than those for the lens. The lens is under the control of the retina throughout life. The retinal secretion of FGF accumulates in the vitreous humor and stimulates the lens part facing the retina to form lens fibers. If the developing lens is rotated, the cell type changes to form lens fibers from the surface facing the retina (Figure 3) [23]. Attempt can be made to turn the defective lens, front to back to find the results, because the side of the lens which faces the retina is influenced with better survival.

The optic cup is surrounded by mesenchymal cells predominantly of CNCC origin that help in the formation of the vascular coat called the choroid and fibrous coat, namely, the sclera. Transcription factors involved with the scleral development are Sox2, Otx2, Six2, and Pax2. [36, 39].

The corneal epithelium is continuous with the conjunctiva covering the visible part of the sclera. The junction between the corneal and conjunctiva is named the limbus, which holds stem cells for the renewal of the epithelium throughout life [22]. The corneal epithelium is constantly renewed every 7 to 10 days. Corneal epithelium expresses ΔNp63α, ABCG2, integrin α9, Bmi-1, EGFR, TGF, and PDGF growth factor indicators for their stemness. The stromal interaction is important for the cell renewal achieved by paracrine factors, hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), and keratinocyte growth factor (KGF). These factors are fibroblast-derived epithelial mitogens of the FGF7 family. In the corneal endothelium the morphology, collagen expression, and cell proliferation are maintained by TGF-β1 and TGF-β2 [46, 49]. Altogether, the corneal endothelial integrity is preserved by Pax6, Lmx1b, and Pitx2 [37].

The tissues of eye which are commonly associated with diseases are the surface ectoderm derivatives cornea and lens and the neural ectoderm derivatives RPE and retina. Since the lens and cornea do lack the renewal capacity during aging, stem cells from other surface ectoderm derivatives which are relatively easy to collect can be reprogrammed by manipulating target genes and proteins with the help of gained knowledge from molecular biology for regenerative therapy. Regenerated lenses from stem cells can be more exciting personalized regenerative treatment.

The aim of understanding the sequential events during embryogenesis at molecular level cell to cell communication is to understand the pathogenesis and to design the regenerative or genetic therapy to restore normal. The commonest degenerative eye disorders can be tactfully managed by delivering target proteins to prevent and to repair several types of ocular diseases. Stem cells of eye are closely associated with maxillofacial tissues including dental stem cells (a derivative of CNCC) during embryogenesis which retain the stem cells till life can be traced and reprogrammed for

Figure 3: Flow chart: major events of eye development and the involvement of biomolecules. Copyright 2009. Modified with permission from Mosby/Elsevier Ltd. [23].
stem cell therapy. Researchers differentiated retina [68] from dental pulp stem cells. Epigenetic memory explains that the differentiated cells retain the memory of their original tissue and on reprogramming they spontaneously dedifferentiate to its original tissue [69,70]. If the suggested RPE differentiation from dental stem cells [71] is succeeded, it will be more acceptable than the controversial embryonic stem cells, which has proven its success after 2 years of follow-up of clinical trial [72, 73]. Autologous oral mucosal epithelial cells have been successfully reconstructed to fabricate cornea to restore vision [74].

3. Manipulation of Stem Cells for Cell Replacement Therapy for Treatment of Ocular Disorders

To dispense a suitable intervention, the mechanism that regulates cell renewal, differentiation, and maturation change in a diseased microenvironment needs to be understood. One of the major inherited ocular disorders, Retinitis Pigmentosa (RP), is characterized by progressive degeneration of photoreceptors in the retina [75–78]. Complete blindness in most cases proves that humans lack a homeostatic mechanism to replace lost photoreceptors [79].

The earliest interventions used autologous tissue resident stem cells such as RPE cell suspensions or RPE-choroid sheets to improve vision of patients affected by age-related macular degeneration via subretinal translocation [80]. Other sources of stem or progenitor cells from extraocular tissues such as hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) [81–83], dental pulp stem cells (DPSCs) [68], hair follicle stem cells (HFSCs) [84], mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) [76, 85–89], and induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) [90–92] have been explored for regenerating retinal neurons, corneal or conjunctival epithelial cells, and the RPE. The reason for using these stem cells is their capability to form neural progenitor cells or mature optic cells and the release of trophic factors important for reparative mechanism (Table 1 [76, 82, 83, 85–88, 90, 91, 93–99]). The manipulation of these cells raises less debate over moral and ethical issues than the use of ESCs [93] and fetal stem cells [100,101]. Moreover, the eye is a suitable target organ for stem cell transplantation because it is immune-privileged, and strict containment by the blood-retinal barrier will disable the emigration of possibly maltransformed injected cells to extracocular tissues [102].

Figure 4 shows microcomputed tomography images to track the injected human Wharton’s jelly-derived MSCs (hWJ-MSCs) in a Retinitis Pigmentosa rat model [103]. The gold-loaded hWJ-MSC remained in the eye with no systemic migration to other organs detected on day 70 after injection. This study indicated that the injected MSCs were confined to the subretinal layer of experimented eyes and that no systemic migration occurred in the rat model [103]. Figure 5 shows rat retinal cell phenotypes exhibiting modest level of human MSCs marker, as observed by confocal microscopy. Colocalization of stem 121 (mesenchymal stem cell marker, red color in Figure 5) with rhodopsin (green), GFAP (Müller glial cells, green color in Figure 5), and PKC-α (bipolar cells, green color in Figure 5) [103] was found, implying that MSCs could have differentiated into specific retinal cell phenotypes upon activation by cytokines released by the dying cells or fused with the degenerating cells to rescue tissue death [104, 105]. It is noteworthy that other studies have also demonstrated differentiation of human Wharton’s jelly-derived MSCs into neurons [106], glia [107], and retinal progenitor cells [108]. Hence, introduction of hWJ-MSCs might be beneficial in inducing certain level of cell repair or regeneration in retinal degeneration.

However, the most significant barrier for successful visual restoration has been the failure of these neuron-derived stem cells to integrate into the retinal circuitry. In central nervous system, stem cells and its neuron derivatives were reported to successfully integrate into the host neural circuitry [109–112]. On the contrary, the integration of transplanted cells might be influenced by the molecular predisposition in the damaged eye tissues, which could vary even between different regions [111] and the ontogenetic stage of transplanted neurons [104]. MacLaren et al. first demonstrated that physiologically older retinal tissues showed predilection and tissue acceptance to later ontogenetic stage of transplanted retinal cells, that is, immature postmitotic photoreceptors over neural progenitor cells [104]. Human ESCs-directed differentiated retinal cells could migrate and integrate into the retinal layer and form synapses in CRX−/− transgenic mice following intravitreal injection at birth or postnatal day 1 [113]. Conversely, there is also a report that ESCs-derived neural stem cells showed lesser migration and integration in the retina. To prove that ontogenetic stage of transplanted neurons would also determine the level of integration, West et al. used three-dimensional culture of mouse ESCs with overexpression of Rax genes to direct generation of retinal neuron cells at different time points to establish an equivalent retinal developmental stage for a retinal cell integration study [114]. Unfortunately, their results were not able to prove that the transplantation of photoreceptors at the late ontogenetic stage has better integration into the retinal layer. However, a significant reduction of tumorigenic formation in the retina was observed when photoreceptors were used than when ESCs were used. The difference in the gene expression profile of the different ontogenetic stage of stem cells or progenitors may not mimic the native characteristics of retinal neurons, hence, an incomplete integration into the retinal circuitry. The characteristics of transplanted cells can be significantly affected by the choice of culture methods [115]. Generally, future studies should widen focus on the determination of geographical protein expression in different ocular disorders and identification of similarities in gene expression, rather than mere dependence on morphological observation or in vitro functional studies. It is hoped that these efforts would provide clue on tissue predilection over specific stem cells or its neuron derivatives for maximum therapeutic efficacy.

There is also a suggestion that concomitant transplantation of stem cells with telocytes may help restore the microenvironment. Telocytes are interstitial cells that reside in close contact with stem cells (Figure 6) and may be responsible for the transfer of bioactive molecules (nutrients
| Stem cells | Experimental design/research or disease model | Route of injection | Research outcomes | References/sources |
|------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) | Chemically damaged retinal neuron in mice | Intravenous injection | Fusion with ganglion, amacrine, and Müller glial cells, heterokaryons reprogramming, and dedifferentiation into neuroectodermal lineage | Sanges et al. [82] |
| | Delivery of granulocyte-colony stimulating factor in rats with retina ischemia | Intravenous injection | Apoptosis of retinal cells was reduced and improved visual function Localization of HSCs in the retinal layer HSC-treated group of mice showed improved retinal histopathology. However there was no significant difference compared to control mice. No intraocular tumor and no abnormal proliferation of human cells in major organs | Lin et al. [94] |
| | Transplantation of human HSCs in mice with acute retinal ischemia-reperfusion injury | Intravenous injection | HSC-treated group of mice showed improved retinal histopathology. However there was no significant difference compared to control mice. No intraocular tumor and no abnormal proliferation of human cells in major organs | Park et al. [83] |
| | Transplantation in retinal degenerative conditions (atrophic ARMD, Retinitis Pigmentosa) or retinal vascular disease (diabetes, vein occlusion) | Intravitreal injection | Clinical trial to measure primary outcome on adverse events is still ongoing | NCT01736059 (ClinicalTrials.gov) |
| Induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) | Injection of mouse fibroblast iPSC-conditioned medium | Intravenous injection | Maintenance of retina integrity and function by reducing apoptosis of retinal neurons following photodamage | Chang et al. [91] |
| | Swine iPSCs-derived photoreceptors | Subretinal injection | Integration of photoreceptors was observed in chemically damaged retina | Zhou et al. [90] |
| | Generation of 3-dimensional neural retina sheet derived from mouse iPS Cs and ESCs for subretinal transplantation into retinal degenerative mice | Subretinal injection | Development into outer nuclear layer (ONL) with completely structured inner and outer segments of photoreceptor | Assawachananont et al. [95] |
| | Generation of photoreceptor cell from adult mouse dermal fibroblast-derived iPSCs for subretinal transplantation into retinal degenerative mice | Subretinal injection | Development of functional photoreceptor in mice | Tucker et al. [96] |
| | Generation of RPE sheets from human iPSCs for transplantation into wet ARMD patients | Submacular injection | Pilot safety study involving six patients is currently ongoing. RPE were observed to be retained in patients | Kamao et al. [141] |
| | In vitro differentiation of rostral neural progenitors into retinal neuron cells | Not available | Increased cell expression of CRX, S-opsin, and Rho/Rcvtm in hypoxic culture condition, indicating differentiation | Garita-Hernández et al. [93] |
| | Treatment of patients affected by Stargardt’s macular dystrophy and atrophic ARMD with human ESCs-derived RPE suspension | Submacular injection | Improved visual function. No signs of hyperproliferation, tumorigenicity, ectopic tissue formation, and immune rejection were observed Clinical trial is still ongoing. This method of delivery is hoped to overcome the disadvantages of using ESC-derived RPE suspension | NCT01344993, NCT01345006 (ClinicalTrials.gov) |
| | Treatment of patients affected by wet ARMD with human ESCs-derived RPE sheets | Intraocular injection | NCT01691261 (ClinicalTrials.gov) |
| Stem cells                          | Experimental design/research or disease model                                                                 | Route of injection               | Research outcomes                                                                                                                                                                                                 | References/sources               |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs)      | Injection of bone marrow-derived MSCs into a laser-induced ocular hypertensive glaucoma of rat model              | Intravitreal injection           | Increase in retina ganglion cell (RGC) axon survival and significant decrease in the rate of RGC axon loss normalized to cumulative intraocular pressure exposure                                                                 | Johnson et al. [97]              |
|                                    | Transplantation of bone marrow-derived MSCs into Retinopathy of Prematurity (ROP) rat model                      | Not available; Corneal surface   | Reduced apoptosis in retinal cells with higher expression of neurotrophin-3 and CNTF in ROP rats                                                                                                               | Zhao et al. [86]                  |
|                                    | Direct topical application of MSCs or MSCs-conditioned medium on cornea for two hours                          | Intravitreal injection           | Reduced inflammation, opacity, and neovascularization in chemically burned cornea                                                                                                                          | Oh et al. [85]                   |
|                                    | Transplantation of bone marrow-derived MSCs in rats following optic nerve crush                                | Not available; Corneal surface   | Reduced apoptosis in corneal epithelial cells, vascularization, and infiltration of macrophages                                                                                                                | Mesentier-Louro et al. [88]      |
|                                    | Transplantation of bone marrow-derived MSCs in alkali-induced oxidative stress rabbit corneas                    | Not available; Corneal surface   | Differentiated cells expressed neuronal and photoreceptor phenotypes                                                                                                                                       | Cějková et al. [87]              |
|                                    | In vitro differentiation of adult human bone marrow stem cells with retinal pigmented epithelium cells          | Coculture experiment             | Inhibition of neovascularization and MSCs adopted RPE phenotypes                                                                                                                                         | Chiou et al. [98]                |
|                                    | Delivery of human adipose-derived MSCs to light-induced in vitro and in vivo models                             | Intravitreal injection           | Inhibition of photoreceptor degeneration and retinal dysfunction                                                                                                                                         | Sugitani et al. [99]             |
|                                    | Transplantation of human umbilical cord blood-derived MSCs to neurodegenerative rat model                      | Intraperitoneal injection        | Promotion of regeneration and protection of damaged retinal ganglion cells                                                                                                                              | Zwart et al. [166]               |
|                                    | In vivo delivery of human umbilical cord-derived MSCs to early retinal degenerative rat model                    | Subretinal injection             | Preservation and rescue of photoreceptor degeneration and improvement in visual functions                                                                                                                     | Lund et al. [167]                |
|                                    | Delivery of ADSCs into atrophic ARMD patients. The cells are harvested from liposuction tissues                  | Intravitreal injection           | Clinical trial to measure primary outcomes on adverse events; visual acuity and visual field analysis is still ongoing                                                                                 | NCT02024269 (ClinicalTrials.gov) |
| Adipose-derived stem cells (ADSCs) | Injection of BMSCs in patients with advanced ARM (atrophic or neovascular)                                     | Intravitreal injection           | Clinical trial to measure primary outcome on visual acuity is still ongoing                                                                                                                                | NCT01518127 (ClinicalTrials.gov) |
| Bone marrow stem cells (BMSCs)     | Unilateral ocular transplantation into patients with advanced atrophic AMD                                       | Subretinal injection             | Clinical trial to measure primary outcome on adverse events is still ongoing                                                                                                                               | NCT01632527 (ClinicalTrials.gov) |
| Central nervous system stem cells  | Unilateral ocular transplantation into patients with advanced atrophic AMD                                      | Subretinal injection             | Clinical trial to measure primary outcome on adverse events is still ongoing                                                                                                                               | NCT01632527 (ClinicalTrials.gov) |
Figure 4: Tracking of injected human Wharton's jelly-derived MSCs in an RP rat model (Royal College of Surgeons rats) with microcomputed tomography. Microcomputed tomography images show localization of gold-loaded human Wharton's jelly-derived MSCs in the right eye (a) on day one. The cells were found to be retained in the eye without further migration at day thirty (b) and day seventy (c) after transplantation. PKH 26 (labelled red) indicated the subretinal site of human Wharton's jelly-derived MSCs after cell transplantation at week two. Modified with permission from Creative Commons Attribution License [103].

Advanced techniques have also used a denuded amniotic membrane as a substratum for epithelial cell culture and stratification [123] and used cord blood serum to replace xenobiotic material [124] for conjunctival or corneal transplant. Recently, there is also research effort in developing a new mode of delivery of stem cells through direct application of contact lenses on the ocular surface [125]. Observation of successful stratified epithelization on a corneal wound bed in a rabbit model of limbal stem cell deficiency following application of modified-contact lens (with plasma polymer with high acid functional group) cultured with limbal cells has high clinical indications, suggesting that surgery for corneal transplant may not be needed in the future [125]. Laboratory procedures are getting standardized with simple protocol for culturing limbal cells to adopt with many cell sources [126]. Markers like Keratin 14 is used to map the distribution of precursor cells of cornea and suggested for corneal renewal with stem cells for alternative regenerative therapy [127]. Also to strengthen the universal standard in techniques, good manufacturer practice based on UK facilities on ocular surface reconstruction is suggested for use outside the UK [128].

4. Current Use of Stem Cells for Ocular Disorders

4.1. Retinal Degeneration. Retinal degeneration is a medical condition that affects the health and welfare of adults and children in the developed world. It represents a group of blinding diseases that include age-related macular disease, glaucoma, optic neuropathies, and retinal vascular complication. Many clinical trials were performed to develop treatments for these diseases. However, it was reported that those approaches were still unable to entirely cure the disease. Interestingly, a stem cell-based treatment shows an extraordinary potential to rectify some of these diseases. In the past few years, studies strongly propose that stem-cell-based therapy has the ability to correct defective function of retina photoreceptors [114, 126], ganglion cells, retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) [129, 130], and optic nerve [131, 132].
Retinal Pigment Epithelial Cells (RPE) and Age-Related Macular Disease (ARMD). The macula enables people to read, process faces, and drive. Degeneration of the RPE leads to malformation at the macular area of the central vision at the initial phase and eventually progressive loss of central vision. This medical condition, known as age-related macular degeneration (ARMD), contributes to the highest cases of blindness in the elderly population globally [92, 133].

ARMD could be present either in wet or in dry forms (wet and dry ARMD) [134]. Wet ARMD manifests as neovascularization, which can be successfully managed with monthly inoculation of antiangiogenic drugs such as Lucentis [135].
transplanted cells in 72% of the treated patients with dry ARMD and Stargardt’s macular dystrophy at 3–15 months later [72]. Figures 7(b) and 7(c) showed that the patch of transplanted cells, which were present typically at the boundary of atrophic lesion on the eye of dry ARMD patients, became larger and more pigmented within 6 months. Meanwhile, in a patient with Stargardt’s macular dystrophy, patches of pigmented cells were found around the boundary of baseline atrophy in retinal pigment epithelium layer (Figure 7(e)) and appeared more prominent after 12 months of transplantation (Figure 7(f)). Figure 7(g) shows preoperative image of another Stargardt’s macular dystrophic patient with a large central area of atrophy. Six months later after transplantation, the superior half of the atrophic lesion was totally filled in by the transplanted retinal pigment epithelial cells (Figure 7(h)). The filled area became larger in size and more pigmented sites were seen after 15 months of transplantation (Figure 7(i)) [72]. It is important to emphasize that the vision-related quality of life was enhanced in both patients of atrophic ARMD and Stargardt’s macular dystrophy. None of the patients have reported signs of abnormal tissue formation at either the local or ectopic site of injections or immune reactions even four months after injection [72].

It should be mentioned that Professor Takahashi’s group [137–141] at Kyoto University has been studying the transplantation of retinal pigmented epithelium cells into ARMD patients, which are differentiated from human iPSCs reprogrammed from patient cells. The tissue has maintained its brownish color, which is a sign that it has not been attacked by the immune system [142].

Ocata Therapeutics (formerly known as Advanced Cell Technology) has sponsored the trials at the Jules Stein Eye Institute, Massachusetts Eye & Ear, Wills Eye Institute and Bascom Palmer Eye Institute. Neurotech Pharmaceuticals (NCT00447954) has conducted a trial using encapsulated, modified human RPE cells to express ciliary neurotrophic factor for intraocular implantation into ARMD patients. Another report (NCT01518127) shows that Siqueira has been engaged in wet ARMD treatment using bone marrow-derived stem cells in a prospective phase I/II clinical trial [143]. Unfortunately, the complete outcomes have not yet been posted in the clinical trial registry of US National Institutes of Health (ClinicalTrials.gov) despite the fact that the trial was ended in December 2015. Other institutes, such as CHA Bio & Diostech (NCT01674829), Janssen R&D (NCT01226628), University of California, Davis Eye Center (NCT01736059) [83], University College London, Moorfields Eye Hospital (NCT01691261) [144], Hollywood Eye Institute (NCT02024269), and Stem Cells Inc. (NCT01632527) [145], were also engaged in stem cell therapy for ARMD.

4.2. Glaucoma. Glaucoma is the most common neurodegenerative disease in the inner part of retina. Prevalence models predict an increase of glaucoma incidence to 79.6 million by 2020 worldwide, a jump from 60.5 million in 2010 [11]. Similar to other neurodegenerative disorders, the loss of the nerve cell population from the central nervous system can be used to predict the risk of glaucoma. Additionally, signs of glutamate toxicity, oxidative stress, impaired axonal transport, and

Although effective in treating wet ARMD, the monthly injection into the eye causes discomfort and inconvenience to the patient and is expensive [136]. In contrast, dry ARMD presents as drusenoid aggregates under the basal side of the RPE layer at the early phase. These aggregations will lead to geographic atrophy with pronounced loss of the RPE and photoreceptors at later stage. Most of the ARMD cases (80 to 90% patients) occurred due to the dry form as no effective treatments have been found to date.

Currently, clinical trials using RPE-derived human from ESCs and other stem cell-derived therapy are ongoing and becoming a promising approach for the treatment of ARMD. Several companies and institutions are actively involved in stem cell research to treat various ocular diseases, including institutions in Japan, USA, Europe, South America, China, Iran, Taiwan, and South Korea. To date, stem cell therapies have been administered to over 200 patients globally. Schwartz and his colleagues [72, 130] performed clinical trials on patients affected by dry ARMD (NCT01344993) and Stargardt’s macular dystrophy (NCT01345006) [72]. In these trials, the researchers injected 50,000 to 200,000 hESC-derived retinal pigment epithelial cells into the worst-affected retina of the patients. Figure 7 shows fundus images taken from the patients following transplantation with hESCs-derived retinal pigment epithelial cells. There were increases in the area size and subretinal pigmentation of patches of
Figure 7: Pictures of eye fundus with pigmentation where retinal pigment epithelium differentiated from human ESCs was transplanted. (a–c) Color fundus pictures and images of spectral domain-optical coherence tomography at baseline of patient eyes of ARMD (dotted circle indicates an outline of the site of cell transplantation) and at an eye after 3 and 6 months of the transplantation. A pigmented patch of transplanted cells (arrows in (b) and (c)) grows bigger and has more pigmentation in six months. Optical coherence tomography (inset of figures) indicates the existence of cells on the inner sites of Bruch's membrane at six months compared with the baseline of the eye. (d–f) Color fundus pictures and pictures of spectral domain-optical coherence tomography at baseline of patient eyes of Stargardt's macular dystrophy (dotted circle indicates an outline of the site of cell transplantation) and an eye after six and twelve months after transplantation. Patches of transplanted cells exist around the edge of baseline atrophy in retinal pigment epithelium (e), which grow more significant after twelve months (arrows in (f)). Pictures of spectral domain-optical coherence tomography at baseline (d) and six months (e) indicate that the enhancement of pigmentation is found at the level of normal monolayer retinal pigment epithelium engraftment, the retinal pigment epithelium, and survival at six months (arrows in (e)), which is close to the site of bare Bruch's membrane being lack of native retinal pigment epithelium. (g–i) Color fundus pictures of a patient of Stargardt's macular dystrophy (dotted circle indicates an outline of the transplantation site). A big central site of atrophy can be seen on the preoperative picture (g). A site of transplantation of retinal pigment epithelium cells can be seen at the superior half of the atrophic lesion at six months (h), which grows bigger and has more pigmentation at fifteen months (i). Copyright 2015. Modified with permission from Elsevier Ltd. [72].
reactive glial changes are also well-characterized in glaucoma [146, 147]. However, in glaucoma, retinal ganglion cells (RGC) predominantly die, which leads to the degeneration of the optic nerve and disconnecting the communication of signals from the retina to the brain.

Increases in age and raised intraocular pressure can lead to the occurrence of glaucoma. Diagnosis and prescription of a suitable treatment for glaucoma can be too late as patients may present asymptptomatically until the end stage of the disease, which results in significant loss of visual function. Clinically verified treatments such as medication and eye surgery could delay the development of the glaucoma by reducing intraocular pressure but fail to halt the disease entirely to prevent loss of vision [148]. As of the date of this review, two registered clinical trials (NCT01920867 and NCT02330978) are recruiting patients for glaucoma treatment with bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells. The safety of autologous stem cells derived from adipose tissue is also currently being tested in a phase I/II clinical trial (NCT02144103) for glaucomatous neurodegeneration. Additionally, Dr. Goldberg at the University of California has tested the treatment of ciliary neurotrophic factor on primary open angle glaucoma patients at the Bascom Palmer Eye Institute, University of Miami (NCT01408472). Several preclinical models have proven that ciliary neurotrophic factor could augment the survival and renewability of retinal ganglion cells [149, 150].

4.3. Optic Nerve Disease. The optic nerve can lead to various pathologies due to intraorbital, intracranial, intrinsic, or systemic disorders. Optic nerve diseases could also lead to life- and vision-threatening conditions [151]. Neural loss from the optic nerve is a frequently occurring, irreversible blinding pathology that involves optic light-sensing tissue. Similar to the brain, the eye, which is a part of the central nervous system, will not be able to restore neuron loss after the occurrence of disease [148]. The patterns of optic nerve diseases provide information to the researcher to help understand the fundamental pathological activity and establish a method to enhance advanced detection and treatment strategies [148]. Recently, Dr. Jamadar worked in a clinical trial (NCT01834079) at Chaitanya Hospital, Pune, to evaluate the safety and efficacy of using bone marrow-derived autologous cells for treating optic nerve disease. It is hoped that the primary outcome of reducing degeneration of the optic nerve will also lead to improvement in visual function and decreased intracranial hypertension. Neurotech Pharmaceuticals also used similar RPE cell implants to administer CNTF to patients with optic nerve stroke in a separate phase I clinical trial (NCT01411657).

4.4. Other Retinal Diseases. Retinal diseases other than the major ocular diseases discussed above also cause problems. These diseases include retinal detachment and retinal vascular complications. Retinal detachment is a medical condition in which the retina separates from the back of the eye. In a case report by Wilkes et al. [152], one in 10,000 people faces this problem per year. As the detachment period increases, the visual recovery reduces at an exponential rate after macula-off retinal detachment [153]. With modern surgical techniques, such as scleral buckling, pneumatic retinopexy, and pars plana vitrectomy, we can anticipate more than a 90% success rate for anatomical repair [154]. Although these treatments show positive results anatomically, the visual result still remains displeasing due to the enduring functional injury to the macula [155].

Clinical trials for treating retinal detachment began in the 1980s. A report by Brinton states that of 106 cases of eye trauma, 55 eyes (52%) attained final visual acuity of 20/100 after surgery [156]. The researchers also found that patients who engaged in later vitrectomy did not achieve a better final visual outcome than those who engaged in early vitrectomy within 14 days of impairment. In a separate study, Burton found that 53% of patients who experienced macula-off retinal detachments and underwent early surgery reached visual acuity of 20/20 to 20/50 [153]. However, patients with long-standing detachments were not able to reap functional benefits after surgery. A case reported by Suzuki and Hirose in 1997 states that after 3 months of total retinal detachment, vision was recovered in a patient with no light perception (NLP) [157]. After undergoing two surgeries, the patient recovered counting fingers (CF) vision. The scientists hypothesized that some retinal receptors were capable of eluding the failure. Although all of these trials showed a positive result in patient visual function recovery, the treatment is applicable to only early stage impairment and is costly and inconvenient. The use of stem cell-based therapy in retinal detachment cases might be one of the alternative treatments for early or late stage retinal detachment. For instance, fibrovascular scarring in ARMD, DR, ROP, and neovascular glaucoma [158] can be attenuated by introduction of MSCs. The scar tissue could prevent reattachment of retina [159]. MSCs could also neutralize reactive oxygen species in injured eye tissue and secrete various cytokines and growth factors including hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), interleukin 10, and adrenomedullin, which has antifibrotic properties [160].

Some of the commonly arising retinal diseases that lead to vision loss are associated with retinal vascular complications. Of these diseases, diabetic retinopathy, retinal vein occlusion (RVO), diabetic macular oedema (DMO), and proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR) are of definite epidemiological significance and lead to blindness. Diabetic retinopathy is the third most dominant source of profound visual function impairment and blindness, followed by RVO [161]. In addition to RVO and PDR, ischemic retinopathies are also familiar diseases involved in vasodegeneration. This situation leads to hypoxia, which provokes the release of cytokines and growth factor in neighboring tissues [162] and then leakage of blood vessels and neovascularization, which has a functionally negative effect on optics. Intravitreal injections of anti-VEGF antibodies and corticosteroids or laser photocoagulation are the contemporary clinical treatments that help attenuate vascular leakage and macular oedema. However, these treatments cause undesirable side effects and do not resolve the fundamental pathology. The vasodegeneration that occurs in the retina is primarily due to the loss of endothelial cells, smooth muscle cells, and pericytes, finally resulting in vascular blockage.
and hypoxia [162]. An ongoing clinical trial (NCT02119689), which started since 2011, has aimed to study on the impaired function of endothelial progenitor cells in patients of diabetic retinopathy. Stitt et al. hypothesized that the introduction of vascular stem cells such as endothelial progenitor cells can recondition the retinal nerve diseases by repairing and restoring the damaged vessel [163]. EyeCyte Inc. develops endothelial progenitor cells for use as angiogenic therapy in response to clinical indications specific to retinal nerve diseases, particularly those of ischemic diseases [148, 164, 165]. Additionally, the University of Sao Paulo has sponsored Dr. Ruben’s trial using intravitreal injections of bone marrow-derived hematopoietic stem cells (CD34+ cells) for treating ischemic and diabetic retinopathies (NCT01518842). A subset of CD34+ hematopoietic stem cells, which are proangiogenic, could work in synergy with endothelial cells to repair damaged blood vessels.

5. Conclusion
Stem cell-based therapy holds an extraordinary prospective in improving the lives of people who suffer from visual disorders. Research in this area will continue to grow to develop new remedies in treating and preventing the problem of vision loss. Interestingly, stem cell-based therapy is not a one-stop general remedy; however, it carries a promising future in producing new biological elements used to treat vision loss.
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