Long-term efficacy and safety of biosimilar infliximab (CT-P13) after switching from originator infliximab: open-label extension of the NOR-SWITCH trial
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Background and objectives. The 52-week, randomized, double-blind, noninferiority, government-funded NOR-SWITCH trial demonstrated that switching from infliximab originator to less expensive biosimilar CT-P13 was not inferior to continued treatment with infliximab originator. The NOR-SWITCH extension trial aimed to assess efficacy, safety and immunogenicity in patients on CT-P13 throughout the 78-week study period (maintenance group) versus patients switched to CT-P13 at week 52 (switch group). The primary outcome was disease worsening during follow-up based on disease-specific composite measures.

Methods. Patients were recruited from 24 Norwegian hospitals, 380 of 438 patients who completed the main study: 197 in the maintenance group and 183 in the switch group. In the full analysis set, 127 (33%) had Crohn’s disease, 80 (21%) ulcerative colitis, 67 (18%) spondyloarthritis, 55 (15%) rheumatoid arthritis, 20 (5%) psoriatic arthritis and 31 (8%) chronic plaque psoriasis.

Results. Baseline characteristics were similar in the two groups at the time of switching (week 52). Disease worsening occurred in 32 (16.8%) patients in the maintenance group vs. 20 (11.6%) in the switch group (per-protocol set). Adjusted risk difference was 5.9% (95% CI –1.1 to 12.9). Frequency of adverse events, anti-drug antibodies, changes in generic disease variables and disease-specific composite measures were comparable between arms. The study was inadequately powered to detect noninferiority within individual diseases.

Conclusion. The NOR-SWITCH extension showed no difference in safety and efficacy between patients who maintained CT-P13 and patients who switched from originator infliximab to CT-P13, supporting that switching from originator infliximab to CT-P13 is safe and efficacious. Abbreviations: ADAb, anti-drug antibodies; AE, adverse event; ASDAS, Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score; BASDAI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; CDAI, Clinical Disease Activity Index; CD, Crohn’s disease; DAS28, Disease Activity Score in 28 joints; DLQI, Dermatology Life Quality Index; FAS, full analysis set; HBI, Harvey-Bradshaw index; IBD, inflammatory bowel diseases; IBDQ, Inflammatory Bowel Disease Questionnaire; MHAQ, Modified Health Assessment Questionnaire; PASI, Psoriasis Area and Severity Index; PMS, Partial Mayo Score; PPS, per-protocol set; PROM, patient-reported outcome measure; PsAID, Psoriatic Arthritis Impact of Disease; PsA, psoriatic arthritis; Ps, plaque psoriasis; RAID, Rheumatoid Arthritis Impact of Disease; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; SDAI, Simplified Disease Activity Index; SpA, spondyloarthritis; UC, ulcerative colitis.
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Introduction

Biologic agents such as the TNF-α inhibitor infliximab have had a substantial, positive impact on the treatment of many chronic immune-mediated inflammatory disorders, including inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD), inflammatory rheumatic diseases and chronic plaque psoriasis [1]. However, access to these biologic treatments varies globally, and drug availability is limited in many countries due to the drug costs [2]. Biosimilars are reproductions of their originator counterparts, are usually less expensive and therefore provide a potential opportunity to improve patient access. An increasing number of biosimilars are coming into clinical use [3], and so far, no clinical studies
have shown any unexpected effects of starting patients on a biosimilar instead of an originator drug [4–8].

However, to achieve a significant impact on health budgets, it is not sufficient that only new patients starting biologic therapy are prescribed biosimilars. For this, it is also necessary that patients on current originator treatment switch to biosimilar agents. Since biosimilars cannot be exact copies of the original molecule, there are lingering concerns about switching stable patients to a biosimilar when they are doing well on an originator. So far, only a few randomized studies have examined the impact of switching patients from stable originator treatment to a biosimilar [9–13]. The NOR-SWITCH study was the first randomized controlled trial examining switching from an originator product to a biosimilar in stable, long-term infliximab-treated patients [14]. Efficacy and safety were assessed in patients randomized (1 : 1) to switch to CT-P13 or continue treatment with infliximab originator for a study period of 52 weeks [14]. All six relevant diagnoses were included. The primary outcome measure was occurrence of disease worsening, with a number of disease-specific secondary outcome measures. Serum drug levels of infliximab, as well as development of anti-drug antibodies (ADAb), were assessed in every patient at each study visit. The NOR-SWITCH study showed that switching from originator to biosimilar infliximab was not inferior to continued treatment with the originator and supported switching for nonmedical reasons, that is to reduce costs [14].

Very few randomized, industry-independent studies on the effects of switching exist. Here, we present results from the 26-week extension of the NOR-SWITCH study, where all patients received open-label treatment with CT-P13. The efficacy, safety and immunogenicity of switching to CT-P13 in patients treated with infliximab originator in the main study were compared to patients on continuous CT-P13 treatment, providing a different comparator group to that of the main study. Also, the NOR-SWITCH extension adds valuable, independent data on the longer-term efficacy and safety of biosimilar CT-P13.

Methods

Setting and participants

Patient recruitment and the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the NOR-SWITCH study have previously been described in detail [14]. Patients with a diagnosis of Crohn’s disease (CD), ulcerative colitis (UC), spondyloarthritis (SpA), rheumatoid arthritis (RA), psoriatic arthritis (PsA) or plaque psoriasis (Ps) who had completed the main study period (week 0 to week 52) were recruited to a further 26-week follow-up period. All patients received verbal and written information about the extension study and signed an informed consent. After completion of the main study period, patients continued with open-label CT-P13 treatment at 34 participating centres (17 gastroenterology, 12 rheumatology and five dermatology hospital departments).

Study design

The NOR-SWITCH main study was designed as a 52-week randomized, double-blind, parallel-group, multicentre, noninferiority comparative phase IV study. Full details on randomization, masking and statistical analyses have been published (Data S1) [14]. The 26-week extension study recruited 380 consenting patients who were all given open-label CT-P13 with an unchanged treatment regimen regarding dose and infusion intervals. Treatment given during the main study period remained blinded for all participants until 17 October 2016. On this date, information on main study intervention was made available to study personnel, who then passed this information on to patients at the discretion of the treating physician. At this point, there were only 68 participants (33 in the maintenance group, 35 in the switch group) who had still not completed their last visit in the extension study.

The study was conducted in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki and the International Conference on Harmonization Guidelines for Good Clinical Practice. The study protocol and patient consent documents were approved by an independent ethics committee (Regional Committees for Medical and Health Research Ethics South East; reference number 2014/848), by appropriate institutional review boards and by the Norwegian Medicines Agency (reference number 14/07192-11).

A project group including representatives from all three relevant specialities and with patient representatives from all three relevant patient organizations planned and conducted the study.

Study assessments

Data were collected at every infusion visit using an electronic case report form similar to the main study (Viedoc®). Clinical assessment was performed by a trained study nurse and/or physician. Blood samples for protocol-specified laboratory tests were obtained before infusions, including
measurement of trough drug concentrations and ADAb, and for storage in a biobank. The patients with IBD were asked to deliver a faecal sample for calprotectin measurements after each visit (CalproLab; Calpro AS, Oslo, Norway, Buhlmann Laboratories AG, Basel, Switzerland). Full details about the collected variables can be found in the main study report [14]. The main composite measures for the six diseases were Harvey–Bradshaw index (HBI) for CD, Partial Mayo Score (PMS) for UC, Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index (BASDAI) for SpA, Disease Activity Score in 28 joints (DAS28) for RA and PsA, and Psoriasis Area and Severity Index (PASI) for Ps [14]. The number of study visits differed according to treatment regimen.

End-points

The primary end-point was disease worsening during the 26-week follow-up, defined by worsening in disease-specific composite measures and/or a consensus on disease worsening between investigator and patient leading to major change in treatment (the same as in the main study) [14]. Disease worsening according to disease-specific composite measures was defined as ΔHBI ≥4 and HBI level ≥7 points for CD patients, ΔPMS >3 and PMS level ≥5 for UC patients, ΔASDAS ≥1.1 and ASDAS level ≥3 for RA patients, ΔDAS28 of ≥1.2 and DAS28 level >3.2 for SpA patients, and ΔPASi ≥3 and PASI level ≥5 for Ps patients. A indicates change from time of switching (baseline week 52) to the end of the 26-week follow-up period (study end minus baseline). The secondary end-points (for details, see the main study report [14]) included changes in investigator and patient global assessments (study end (week 78) minus baseline extension study (week 52)), changes in erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) as well as C-reactive protein (CRP), overall remission status based on the main composite measures, and study drug discontinuation. Prespecified secondary end-points for CD and UC were change and remission status of HBI and PMS, respectively, as well as changes in faecal calprotectin levels. In SpA, change in ASDAS and achievement of ASDAS inactive disease were prespecified. Secondary end-points for RA and PsA included achievement of remission according to DAS28, Clinical Disease Activity Index (CDAI) and Simplified Disease Activity Index (SDAI) as well as ACR/EULAR remission criteria. Other secondary end-points were changes in DAS28, CDAI and SDAI. In Ps, we prespecified the following as secondary end-points: PASI complete clearance, mild-to-moderate disease, remission and change in PASI score.

Secondary end-points also included patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs): SF-36 (change in each of the eight domains as well as the physical and mental component scores), ΔEQ-5D and WPAI (change in absenteeism, presenteeism, work productivity loss and activity impairment). Changes in disease-specific PROMs were also secondary end-points (CD/UC: Inflammatory Bowel Disease Questionnaire (IBDQ); SpA/RA/PsA: Modified Health Assessment Questionnaire (MHAQ) score; SpA: Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index (BASDAI); RA: Rheumatoid Arthritis Impact of Disease (RAID) score; PsA: Psoriatic Arthritis Impact of Disease (PsAID) score; Ps: Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI)).

Measures of safety included clinical and laboratory adverse events (AEs). Coding of AEs was performed according to the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MEDDRA, v.13.0). Safety measures further included vital signs, laboratory data, infusion reactions, drug concentrations (trough measurements) and ADAb assessments.

INX/CT-P13 trough serum levels and ADAb (for INX and CT-P13) were analysed using in-house target-based assays automated on the Auto-DELFIA® (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA) immunoassay platform [14]. ADAb were not analysed in samples with INX/CT-P13 levels above 5 mg L⁻¹, since high drug concentrations cause interference in the assays for ADAb.

Statistical analysis

The end-points of this trial were primarily addressed in the per-protocol set (PPS) and secondarily in the full analysis set (FAS). The PPS population consisted of subjects without major protocol deviations throughout the extension study, whilst the FAS population comprised all subjects entering the extension study. Analysis of the primary end-point (as well as other binary outcomes) was performed using logistic regression, with intervention group (switch versus maintenance) as a covariate. Continuous outcomes were analysed using linear mixed-effect models, treating time as a categorical variable and including a time-by-treatment group interaction. Severely skewed variables, such as CRP, were log-transformed prior to model estimation. Analyses of both binary and continuous outcomes were adjusted for diagnosis and duration of infliximab use at the time of switch (baseline extension study, week 52). Missing data for remission and disease worsening in the FAS (n = 2) were imputed with last observation carried forward. For the primary end-point, we...
applied the same noninferiority margin as in the main study (−15%) as a reference for the assessment of similarity between the groups.

Role of the funding source
The funder of the study (Norwegian Ministry of Health and Care Services) had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, writing of this article or decision to submit. All authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript. The corresponding author (GLG) together with the statistician (JS) had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Results
Between October 2014 and July 2016, 482 patients were enrolled and randomized into the NOR-SWITCH main study at 40 study centres. Based on the randomization, 241 patients continued treatment with infliximab originator and 241 switched treatment from infliximab originator to CT-P13. Between 19 October 2015 and 15 June 2016, 380 of the 438 patients who completed the 52-week main trial continued into the 26-week extension study (Fig. 1). Six of the 40 former study centres did not recruit patients to the extension phase, this being the main reason for patient discontinuation (Fig. 1). The patients treated with CT-P13 in the main trial continued this treatment in the extension phase (maintenance group) whereas patients treated with originator infliximab in the main trial switched to CT-P13 at extension study baseline at week 52 (switch group). Consequently, the maintenance group consisted of patients treated with CT-P13 for 18 months and the switch group received CT-P13 for 26 weeks during the extension part of the study.

**Fig. 1** Patient disposition in the NOR-SWITCH main and extension study.
Results from the NOR-SWITCH extension trial / G. L. Goll et al.

FAS included 197 patients in the maintenance group and 183 patients in the switch group, and PPS consisted of 190 and 173 patients in the maintenance group and the switch group, respectively (Fig. 1).

The mean age at inclusion in the extension phase was 48.4 years (SD 14.9), and 142 (37%) patients were female. The mean duration of ongoing infliximab originator treatment was 7.5 years (SD 3.6), and the majority of patients (298, 78%) were biologic treatment naïve prior to the ongoing infliximab treatment (Table 1). The extension study cohort consisted of 127 (33%) patients with CD, 80 (21%) with UC, 67 (18%) with SpA, 55 (15%) with RA, 20 (5%) with PsA and 31 (8%) with Ps (Table 1). In general, the two treatment groups were similar for major baseline demographic and disease characteristics, as shown in Table 1 (FAS) and in Table S1 (PPS), Appendix, page 3. Both the general and the disease-specific measures indicated low disease activity at baseline (Table 1). Comparing the extension cohort to the main study cohort at randomization (week 0) did not demonstrate any major differences regarding disease distribution, demographics or disease characteristics (Tables S3 and S4). In the same way, comparing the dropouts with the included patients in each study group did not display any major differences between groups (Table S5).

Disease worsening occurred in 32 (16.8%) patients in the maintenance group and in 20 (11.6%) patients in the switch group (PPS; Fig. 2). The 95% confidence interval (CI) of the adjusted risk difference (5.9%) was −1.1 to 12.9, which was clearly within the prespecified noninferiority margin of −15%. The findings regarding risk of disease worsening in the FAS were consistent, as shown in Appendix, Figure S1. When comparing disease worsening after 26 weeks in patients switched from originator to biosimilar in the main study to results after 26 weeks in the current extension study, results were similar (Table S6).

At study end (week 78), 116 (61.1%) patients in the maintenance group and 117 (67.6%) patients in the switch group were in clinical remission. The adjusted rate difference was −4.9% (95% CI −13.4 to 3.7) (PPS; Figure S2, Appendix).

Disease state at baseline and changes in the generic disease variables and disease-specific composite measures from extension phase baseline (week 52) to the end of follow-up (week 78) were generally similar in both groups, both in the PPS (Table 2) and in the FAS (Appendix, Table S2).

Figure 3 shows no differences between the two treatment groups for disease-specific composite measures in each of the six diseases during follow-up. Likewise, changes in PROMs were similar between the groups in the PPS (Table 2). However, we noted statistically significant differences for three of the end-points in both the PPS and FAS (Tables 2 and S2, Appendix) (SF-36 social functioning in favour of the maintenance group, and patient’s global assessment of disease activity and IBDQ total score in favour of the switch group).

Time from the extension phase baseline to disease worsening (PPS; Figure S3, Appendix), occurrence of drug discontinuation (FAS; Table S2, Appendix) and time from baseline to drug discontinuation (FAS; Figure S4, Appendix page 7) were similar between groups.

Similar numbers of patients in the maintenance and switch groups reported at least one adverse event during follow-up, 87 (44%) and 74 (40%), respectively (Table 3). The most frequent adverse events were infections. No deaths and no suspected unexpected serious adverse reactions occurred. Notably, there was no difference in the occurrence of infusion reactions between the two treatment arms.

Trough drug concentrations were similar in both groups during follow-up (FAS; Figure S5, Appendix). ADAb were observed at any time-point during the 26-week extension study (week 52 to 78) in 5 (2.5%) patients in the maintenance group and in 4 (2.2%) patients in the switch group (FAS).

Discussion

The NOR-SWITCH study addresses the important issue of whether stable patients on infliximab can be safely switched to biosimilar CT-P13, maintaining a prolonged response with minimal adverse effects and no increased immunogenicity. The main study showed noninferiority of switching from originator to biosimilar [14]. The extension phase of this study addresses the need for independent clinical studies on switching, comparing patients on long-term CT-P13 treatment versus those being switched from infliximab originator to CT-P13.

Disease worsening during the extension phase occurred at a similar rate in the two groups, with no significant difference amongst those switched at main study baseline and those switched at extension study baseline. The individual disease groups show a somewhat diverse pattern, but even without adjusting for multiple testing, none of these subgroups shows any significant difference between
Table 1  Demographics and characteristics at extension study baseline (week 52) (full analysis set)

| Demographics                                                                 | Maintenance group (n = 197) | Switch group (n = 183) |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
| **Demographics**                                                             |                            |                        |
| Age (years) 48.8 (14.9)                                                    | 48.8 (14.9)                | 48 (14.3)              |
| Female 64 (33%)                                                             | 78 (43%)                   |                        |
| Disease duration (years) 18.1 (10.6)                                        | 17.3 (10.4)                |                        |
| Duration of ongoing infliximab treatment (years) 7.7 (3.8)                  | 7.4 (3.5)                  |                        |
| **Previous biological therapy**                                             |                            |                        |
| TNF α inhibitors                                                             |                            |                        |
| Not used 158 (80%)                                                          | 140 (77%)                  |                        |
| Used one 31 (16%)                                                           | 33 (18%)                   |                        |
| Used two 6 (3%)                                                             | 10 (5%)                    |                        |
| Used three or more 2 (1%)                                                   | 0                          |                        |
| Other biologicals 0                                                         | 2 (1%)                     |                        |
| Concomitant immunosuppressive therapy a                                     | 97 (49%)                   | 75 (41%)               |
| Concomitant use of prednisolone                                             | 5 (3%)                     | 7 (4%)                 |
| **Diagnoses**                                                               |                            |                        |
| Crohn’s disease 65 (33%)                                                    | 62 (34%)                   |                        |
| Ulcerative colitis 42 (21%)                                                 | 38 (21%)                   |                        |
| Spondyloarthritis 38 (19%)                                                  | 29 (16%)                   |                        |
| Rheumatoid arthritis 27 (14%)                                               | 28 (15%)                   |                        |
| Psoriatic arthritis 9 (5%)                                                  | 11 (6%)                    |                        |
| Psoriasis 16 (8%)                                                           | 15 (8%)                    |                        |
| **General baseline characteristics**                                        |                            |                        |
| Erythrocyte sedimentation rate (mm/h)                                       | 8 (4–17)                   | 7 (4–15)               |
| C-reactive protein (mg/L)                                                   | 2 (1–5)                    | 2 (1–5)                |
| Patient’s global assessment of disease activity (0–10)                     | 2 (0–4)                    | 2 (0–3)                |
| Physician’s global assessment of disease activity (0–10)                   | 2 (0–4)                    | 2 (0–3)                |
| EQ-5D Index score 0.8 (0.2)                                                  | 0.8 (0.2)                  |                        |
| **Disease-specific baseline characteristics**                               |                            |                        |
| Crohn’s disease                                                             |                            |                        |
| Harvey-Bradshaw index 1 (0–4)                                               | 1 (0.2–4)                  |                        |
| Ulcerative colitis                                                          |                            |                        |
| Partial Mayo score 0 (0–0)                                                  | 0 (0–1)                    |                        |
| Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis                                      |                            |                        |
| Faecal calprotein (mg/kg) 79 (24–273)                                      | 56 (21–209)                |                        |
| Spondyloarthritis                                                           |                            |                        |
| HLA-B27 positive b                                                         | 26/30 (87%)                | 19/21 (91%)            |
| Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index 3.2 (1.8)               | 2.6 (1.6)                  |                        |
| Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score 1.9 (0.8)                    | 1.7 (0.7)                  |                        |
long-term CT-P13 treatment and a recent switch to CT-P13. We warn against placing too much emphasis on findings in individual patient groups as patient numbers are small and no definite conclusions can be drawn for individual diseases in the NOR-SWITCH extension study, as in the main trial. Concerns have been raised about borderline non-inferiority in CD in the main study, but the subgroup analyses for CD and other diseases did not raise any concerns in this extension study. On the contrary, the occurrence of disease worsening was numerically lower in the switch versus the maintenance group overall and also in the CD subgroup. The secondary end-points in the current extension study showed small but significant differences between the study groups for patient global assessment, SF-36 social functioning and IBDQ total.

Table 1 (Continued)

| Diagnosis                                      | Maintenance group (n = 197) | Switch group (n = 183) | Risk difference (95% CI) |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Rheumatoid arthritis                          |                             |                        |                          |
| Anti-citrullinated protein antibody positive   | 13/17 (77%)                 | 17/21 (81%)           |                          |
| Rheumatoid factor positive^b                  | 17/22 (77%)                 | 19/27 (70%)           |                          |
| Disease Activity Score in 28 joints with CRP  | 2.4 (0.9)                   | 2.8 (0.9)             |                          |
| Psoriatic arthritis                           |                             |                        |                          |
| Disease Activity Score in 28 joints with CRP  | 2.1 (1.1)                   | 2.9 (1.8)             |                          |
| Clinical Disease Activity Index               | 4.7 (3.4)                   | 6.6 (10)              |                          |
| Simplified Disease Activity Index             | 4.8 (3.4)                   | 6.9 (10.2)            |                          |
| Spondyloarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis and psoriatic arthritis | | | |
| Modified Health Assessment Questionnaire      | 0.4 (0.4)                   | 0.4 (0.4)             |                          |
| Chronic plaque psoriasis                      |                             |                        |                          |
| Psoriasis Area and Severity Index             | 2.1 (1.4)                   | 1.3 (1.2)             |                          |

Data are n (%), mean (SD) or median (IQR). TNF, tumour necrosis factor; HLA, Human leukocyte antigen; EQ5D, EuroQol questionnaire time trade-off (UK weighted). ^Immunosuppressive concomitant medication includes methotrexate, leflunomide, sulfasalazine, azathioprine and mercaptopurine. bData were missing for some patients.

Fig. 2 Forest plot of risk difference according to disease. The figure shows data for the per-protocol set. Risk difference is adjusted for treatment duration of infliximab originator at extension study baseline (week 52).
### Table 2 Secondary efficacy endpoints in the per-protocol set

| Change variables | Baseline extension study (52 weeks) | Study end (78 weeks) | Difference at 78 weeks (95% CI) |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| Maintenance group (n = 190) | Switch group (n = 173) | Maintenance group (n = 190) | Switch group (n = 173) | |
| **Change variables** | | | | |
| Physician’s global assessment of disease activity | 1.26 (1.47) | 1.11 (1.48) | 1.45 (1.55) | 1.15 (1.51) | 0.13 (−0.13 to 0.4) |
| Patient’s global assessment of disease activity | 2.32 (2.07) | 1.98 (1.9) | 2.58 (2.26) | 1.88 (1.96) | 0.48 (0.16 to 0.8) |
| Erythrocyte sedimentation rate (mm/h), log10 | 0.93 (0.41) | 0.88 (0.39) | 0.89 (0.4) | 0.86 (0.38) | 0 (−0.05 to 0.05) |
| C-reactive protein (mg/L), log10 | 0.34 (0.48) | 0.32 (0.45) | 0.31 (0.48) | 0.33 (0.4) | −0.02 (−0.1 to 0.05) |
| Calprotectin (mg/kg), log10 | 1.98 (0.67) | 1.87 (0.62) | 2.12 (0.71) | 1.92 (0.62) | 0.2 (0.03 to 0.37) |
| Harvey-Bradshaw Index (Crohn’s disease) | 2.49 (2.9) | 2.77 (3.18) | 2.93 (3.24) | 2.44 (3.28) | 0.57 (−0.2 to 1.33) |
| Partial Mayo Score (ulcerative colitis) | 0.44 (1.07) | 0.71 (1.1) | 0.88 (1.55) | 0.47 (0.82) | 0.44 (−0.13 to 1.01) |
| ASDAS (spondyloarthritis) | 1.9 (0.82) | 1.69 (0.69) | 2.13 (0.85) | 1.79 (0.61) | 0.2 (−0.06 to 0.46) |
| DAS28 (rheumatoid arthritis and psoriatic arthritis) | 2.29 (0.97) | 2.87 (1.26) | 2.48 (1.54) | 2.62 (1.16) | 0.19 (−0.33 to 0.71) |
| CDAI (rheumatoid arthritis and psoriatic arthritis) | 4.35 (3.23) | 6.22 (6.61) | 6.81 (7.47) | 6.45 (6.74) | 1.92 (−1.07 to 4.91) |
| SDAI (rheumatoid arthritis and psoriatic arthritis) | 4.71 (3.25) | 6.55 (6.69) | 7.41 (7.95) | 6.84 (6.79) | 2.13 (−1.86 to 6.12) |
| PASI (chronic plaque psoriasis) | 2.12 (1.39) | 0.95 (0.85) | 1.49 (0.89) | 1.25 (0.88) | −0.28 (−0.87 to 0.31) |
| **State variables** | | | | |
| HBI remission (Crohn’s disease) | 46 (73%) | 43 (70%) | 41 (65%) | 46 (75%) | −0.12 (−0.27 to 0.04) |
| PMS remission (ulcerative colitis) | 37 (95%) | 32 (91%) | 32 (84%) | 30 (86%) | −0.01 (−0.17 to 0.16) |
| Table 2 (Continued) | Baseline extension study (52 weeks) | Study end (78 weeks) | Difference at 78 weeks (95% CI) |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| **Maintenance**     | **Switch**                         | **Maintenance**     | **Switch**             | **Difference** |
| group               | group                              | group               | group             | at 78 weeks   |
| (n = 190)           | (n = 173)                          | (n = 190)           | (n = 173)           |               |
| ASDAS inactive disease (spondyloarthritis) | 7 (18%) 8 (32%) | 7 (18%) 6 (21%) | −0.05 (−0.25 to 0.15) |
| DAS28 remission status (rheumatoid arthritis and psoriatic arthritis) | 22 (65%) 16 (46%) | 20 (59%) 22 (61%) | −0.02 (−0.26 to 0.21) |
| CDAI remission status (rheumatoid arthritis and psoriatic arthritis) | 12 (35%) 9 (26%) | 9 (35%) 7 (25%) | 0.08 (−0.16 to 0.33) |
| SDAI remission status (rheumatoid arthritis and psoriatic arthritis) | 15 (44%) 14 (40%) | 11 (42%) 8 (29%) | 0.11 (−0.14 to 0.36) |
| ACR/EULAR remission status (rheumatoid arthritis and psoriatic arthritis) | 10 (29%) 8 (23%) | 7 (21%) 11 (31%) | −0.11 (−0.32 to 0.1) |
| PASI complete clearance (chronic plaque psoriasis) | 2 (12%) 4 (31%) | 1 (6%) 1 (8%) | −0.01 (−0.2 to 0.17) |
| PASI mild to moderate (chronic plaque psoriasis) | 16 (100%) 13 (100%) | 16 (100%) 13 (100%) | 0 (0 to 0) |
| PASI remission (chronic plaque psoriasis) | 15 (94%) 13 (100%) | 16 (100%) 13 (100%) | 0 (0 to 0) |
| **Patient-reported outcome measures** | | | |
| SF-36 physical functioning | 84.18 (20.11) 83.26 (21.47) 83.25 (21) 83.15 (22.12) | | −0.71 (−2.78 to 1.37) |
| SF-36 role limitation physical | 67.34 (39.32) 67.02 (40.27) 66.64 (38.23) 70.78 (38.03) | | −3.7 (−9.8 to 2.4) |
| SF-36 pain | 73.14 (23.2) 74.93 (22.57) 73.65 (21.54) 75.05 (22.05) | | 0.08 (−3.24 to 3.41) |
Table 2 (Continued)

| SF-36 general health | SF-36 emotional well-being | SF-36 role limitation emotional | SF-36 social functioning | SF-36 energy fatigue | SF-36 physical component summary score | SF-36 mental component summary score | EQ-5D index | WPAI percent work missed due to specified problem (absenteeism) | WPAI percent work impaired while working due to specified problem (presenteeism) | WPAI percent overall work impairment due to specified problem | WPAI percent activity impairment due to specified problem | IBDQ total score (Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis) |
|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Maintenance group (n = 190) | Switch group (n = 173) | Maintenance group (n = 190) | Switch group (n = 173) | Difference at 78 weeks (95% CI) |
| SF-36 general health | 61.91 (23.1) | 64.12 (24.55) | 62.42 (23.09) | 62.2 (23.61) | 2.01 (0.41 to 4.43) |
| SF-36 emotional well-being | 78.33 (17.31) | 77.77 (17.23) | 79.25 (15.32) | 79.01 (16.41) | 0.37 (1.85 to 2.6) |
| SF-36 role limitation emotional | 73.33 (37.56) | 80.32 (33.04) | 77.17 (34.67) | 81.06 (32.46) | 0.25 (5.49 to 6) |
| SF-36 social functioning | 82.49 (22.13) | 83.83 (23.34) | 82.96 (19.97) | 88.08 (17.67) | −3.54 (−6.79 to −0.28) |
| SF-36 energy fatigue | 53.49 (25.67) | 53.11 (23.78) | 52.3 (23.24) | 54.74 (23.76) | −2.63 (−5.62 to 0.37) |
| SF-36 physical component summary score | 47.3 (9.61) | 46.9 (10.8) | 46.55 (10.13) | 46.72 (10.69) | −0.39 (−1.61 to 0.83) |
| SF-36 mental component summary score | 48.03 (11.48) | 49.03 (10.57) | 48.89 (10.34) | 50.19 (9.99) | −0.47 (−1.98 to 1.05) |
| EQ-5D index | 0.78 (0.21) | 0.78 (0.23) | 0.8 (0.19) | 0.79 (0.22) | 0.01 (−0.02 to 0.04) |
| WPAI percent work missed due to specified problem (absenteeism) | 7.37 (20.49) | 4.51 (15.3) | 8.2 (21.4) | 6.89 (21.65) | 0.95 (−4.27 to 6.18) |
| WPAI percent work impaired while working due to specified problem (presenteeism) | 20.35 (22.83) | 14 (19.49) | 16.85 (19.86) | 14.7 (21) | 2.31 (−2.21 to 6.83) |
| WPAI percent overall work impairment due to specified problem | 23.78 (26.6) | 15.83 (22.11) | 20.3 (24.09) | 17.17 (24.31) | 1.87 (−3.67 to 7.41) |
| WPAI percent activity impairment due to specified problem | 26.47 (26.48) | 22.88 (24.81) | 25.33 (24.31) | 21.6 (22.42) | 1.87 (−1.97 to 5.71) |
| IBDQ total score (Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis) | 188.26 (27.97) | 189.38 (27.76) | 185.54 (28.3) | 191.43 (27.08) | −4.79 (−9.21 to −0.36) |
There were no new safety signals during the extension study period, and adverse events were evenly distributed between study arms. Importantly, there was no difference in the occurrence of infusion reactions following a switch to CT-P13, and these were rare occurrences in both study arms. This observation is in agreement with findings from the main NOR-SWITCH study [14]. Immunogenicity analyses after switching between different versions of infliximab may be of particular interest, as this molecule is thought to be more immunogenic than other TNF-α inhibitors [15]. Thus, assessing ADAbs to infliximab could be an especially sensitive test for altered immunogenicity after switching from originator to biosimilar agent. No significant differences in immunogenicity were detected during the entire 78-week trial period. Serum drug levels remained very similar as were the ADAbs detected in the two study arms. Thus, the current extension study data suggest that there is no increase in immunogenicity reaction over a prolonged treatment period after switching to CT-P13. This is in agreement with data from the PLANETRA and PLANETAS extension studies [9, 10]. It is also in agreement with previous publications suggesting that ADAbs development is most frequent early after starting treatment [16].

The NOR-SWITCH trial results serve as a reminder that disease worsening occurs in a substantial number of patients even when treatment has been

Table 2 (Continued)

|                          | Baseline extension study (52 weeks) | Study end (78 weeks) | Difference at 78 weeks (95% CI) |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|
|                          | Maintenance group (n = 190)       | Switch group (n = 173) |                                   |
| MHAQ (spondyloarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, psoriatic arthritis) | 0.38 (0.36) | 0.36 (0.41) | 0.39 (0.36) | 0.35 (0.41) | 0.05 (−0.03 to 0.12) |
| BASDAI (spondyloarthritis) | 3.23 (1.76) | 2.38 (1.44) | 3.81 (1.67) | 2.62 (1.6) | 0.43 (−0.05 to 0.92) |
| RAID total score (rheumatoid arthritis) | 2.78 (1.68) | 2.82 (1.74) | 2.83 (1.49) | 2.48 (1.39) | 0.31 (−0.29 to 0.92) |
| PsAID total score (psoriatic arthritis) | 2.2 (1.7) | 2.92 (2.3) | 2.16 (2.04) | 3.65 (2.43) | −0.26 (−1.19 to 0.68) |
| DLQI total score (chronic plaque psoriasis) | 2.31 (4.63) | 1.08 (2.14) | 1.73 (3.25) | 1.46 (2.44) | −0.31 (−1.16 to 0.55) |

MHAQ, Modified Health Assessment Questionnaire; BASDAI, Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; ASDAS, Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score; DAS28, Disease Activity Score in 28 joints with CRP; CDAI, Clinical Disease Activity Index; SDAI, Simplified Disease Activity Index; ACR/EULAR, American College of Rheumatology/European League Against Rheumatism; PASI, Psoriasis Area and Severity Index; SF-36, RAND Short Form Health Survey t-scores using Norwegian norms; EQ-SD, EuroQol questionnaire time trade-off UK weighted; WPAI, Work Productivity and Impairment Questionnaire; IBQD, Inflammatory Bowel Disease Questionnaire; RAID, Rheumatoid Arthritis Impact of Disease; PsAID, Psoriatic Arthritis Impact of Disease; DLQI, Dermatology Life Quality Index. aData are mean (SD) at baseline and mean (SD) change from baseline (follow-up minus baseline). Difference is adjusted treatment difference of change from baseline with 95% CI. bData are N (%) of state at baseline and study end. Difference is adjusted treatment difference at study end.
stable over many years. This is also corroborated by real-life DANBIO data [17]. Disease worsening in an individual patient should therefore not necessarily be attributed to a recent switch to a specific biosimilar. It is notable that the rate of disease worsening over 6 months in the extension study is approximately half of the disease worsening rate seen over the 12-month observation in the main study, indicating a constant rate of disease worsening in stable, long-term patients.
The nocebo effect is a concern when switching from originator to biosimilar medicines [18, 19]. The DANBIO register provides switching data after a mandated, nonmedical switch was done nationwide in Denmark, following a decision by Danish health authorities [17, 20]. One might reasonably expect the nocebo effect to be very pronounced under these circumstances. Retention rates in the DANBIO data were slightly lower in the switched patients than in a historical cohort, and the authors suggest this might be due to a nocebo effect. Overall, however, the DANBIO switch data show a surprisingly moderate nocebo effect [17]. In the same way, Razanskaite et al. [21] demonstrated no deterioration in clinical and patient-reported outcomes after an open prospective switch in 143 CD and UC patients. On the other hand, a recently published open prospective switch study including 222 patients with RA, SpA and PsA displayed a 25% retention rate 6 months after switching due to PROM, demonstrating a significant nocebo effect [19]. Our patients were volunteers and remained blinded to what treatment had been given during the main study period. Perhaps for these reasons, we do not see a clearly delineated nocebo effect in the NOR-SWITCH patients.

A strength of the current extension study is the large proportion of patients included from the main study period. The main reason patients did not continue into the extension phase was that some study centres did not have the resources necessary to participate further. Only eight and nine patients

### Table 3

| Overview | Maintenance group (n = 197) | Switch group (n = 183) |
|----------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| SUSAR    | 0                           | 0                      |
| Serious adverse events | 17/14 (7%) | 9/8 (4%) |
| Adverse event | 145/87 (44%) | 118/74 (40%) |
| Adverse events leading to study drug discontinuation\(\text{a}\) | 2 (1%) | 1 (0.5%) |

### Most frequent treatment emerging adverse events

|                     | Maintenance group (n = 197) | Switch group (n = 183) |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| Nasopharyngitis     | 19/19 (10%)                 | 8/7 (4%)               |
| Gastroenteritis     | 6/5 (3%)                    | 3/3 (2%)               |
| Urinary tract infection | 7/7 (4%)                  | 2/2 (1%)               |
| Rash                | 3/3 (2%)                    | 4/3 (2%)               |
| Elevated liver enzymes | 3/2 (1%)                  | 3/2 (1%)               |
| Iron deficiency     | 4/3 (2%)                    | 2/1 (1%)               |
| Influenza-like illness | 3/3 (2%)                  | 2/2 (1%)               |
| Infusion related reaction | 3/3 (2%)               | 2/2 (1%)               |
| Respiratory tract infection | 4/4 (2%)              | 1/1 (1%)               |

### Treatment emerging serious adverse events by system organ class

|                      | Maintenance group (n = 197) | Switch group (n = 183) |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| Cardiac disorders    | 2/2 (1%)                    | 1/1 (1%)               |
| Gastrointestinal disorders | 1/1 (1%)               | 1/1 (1%)               |
| General disorders and administration site conditions | - | 1/1 (1%) |
| Infections and infestations | 2/2 (1%)                  | 3/3 (2%)               |
| Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders | 2/2 (1%) | - |
| Neoplasms benign, malignant and unspecified | 1/1 (1%) | - |
| Nervous system disorders | 1/1 (1%)                  | -                      |
| Renal and urinary disorders | 1/1 (1%)                  | 2/1 (1%)               |
| Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders | 1/1 (1%) | - |
| Surgical and medical procedures | 3/3 (2%) | - |

Data are number of events/number of patients (%). SUSAR, suspected unexpected serious adverse reaction. \(\text{a}\)Patients could have other primary reason for study drug discontinuation.

The nocebo effect is a concern when switching from originator to biosimilar medicines [18, 19]. The DANBIO register provides switching data after a mandated, nonmedical switch was done nationwide in Denmark, following a decision by Danish health authorities [17, 20]. One might reasonably expect the nocebo effect to be very pronounced under these circumstances. Retention rates in the DANBIO data were slightly lower in the switched patients than in a historical cohort, and the authors suggest this might be due to a nocebo effect. Overall, however, the DANBIO switch data show a surprisingly moderate nocebo effect [17]. In the same way, Razanskaite et al. [21] demonstrated no deterioration in clinical and patient-reported outcomes after an open prospective switch in 143 CD and UC patients. On the other hand, a recently published open prospective switch study including 222 patients with RA, SpA and PsA displayed a 25% retention rate 6 months after switching due to PROM, demonstrating a significant nocebo effect [19]. Our patients were volunteers and remained blinded to what treatment had been given during the main study period. Perhaps for these reasons, we do not see a clearly delineated nocebo effect in the NOR-SWITCH patients.

A strength of the current extension study is the large proportion of patients included from the main study period. The main reason patients did not continue into the extension phase was that some study centres did not have the resources necessary to participate further. Only eight and nine patients
in each study arm, respectively, did not enter the extension study for reasons other than study centre nonparticipation. Data collection from participating patients was comprehensive, with immunogenicity assessed at every visit.

The main weakness of the current study is that it does not allow for statistically meaningful analysis within each disease. The primary outcome is the occurrence of disease worsening, which relies on differing composite measures for each disease. This was also highlighted as a limitation and extensively discussed in the main publication. Also, the extension study period is fairly short with just 26 weeks, giving us a total of 18 months of observation time for patients switched to CT-P13. We cannot rule out the possibility that there is a gradual loss of effect over longer periods of time. Extension studies with etanercept biosimilar have shown a reassuringly robust response up to 2 years [11], though this is a low-immunogenicity agent.

We conclude that after 6 months, patients switched to CT-P13 from the originator do as well as patients on CT-P13 treatment for 18 months. Also, a similar efficacy and safety profile can be expected 18 months after a switch to biosimilar CT-P13 as after 12 months of continuous originator infliximab or 12 months of biosimilar CT-P13. We did not observe any increase in immunogenicity, SAEs and no loss of efficacy during the extension phase.

The extension of the NOR-SWITCH study supports that switching from originator to CT-P13 does not have a negative impact on efficacy, safety and immunogenicity. These results can be expected to enhance confidence in the use of biosimilars in patients with rheumatic diseases, IBD and psoriasis [22]. This should reduce the cost of biologic treatments. The consequence for health policies will hopefully be that patients in many areas of the world will have better access to biologic agents, at a lower cost than today. Further, with lower cost for biologic medicines, funds might become available for new innovative drugs in current nonresponders.
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