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Exoscopic carotid endarterectomy using movable 4K 3D monitor: Technical note
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INTRODUCTION

Carotid endarterectomy (CEA) using a conventional surgical microscope has been established as a gold standard. There are many reports on improving its safety and efficiency.\[2,10,16\] Recently, exoscopes, including ORBEYE (OLYMPUS, Tokyo, Japan), were introduced into the field of neurosurgery, and its advantages have been reported.\[5,6,9,11,12,15\]

For the use of ORBEYE, a 55-inch 4K 3D monitor is usually installed in front of the operator and is often semi-fixed.\[6,9,15\] In surgeries requiring numerous operative visual axes like CEA, a movable monitor may make use of the ergonomic merits of the exoscope more than a fixed monitor. It is highly important that the exoscope enables ergonomically comfortable surgical manipulation even when the angle of the operative visual axis against the horizontal plane is small,\[9,15\] and this advantage can be applied to CEA. We report 15 cases of exoscopic CEA for internal carotid artery
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Keywords: Carotid endarterectomy, Exoscope, Movable monitor, ORBEYE
(ICA) stenosis using a movable 4K 3D monitor and discuss its feasibility.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fifteen consecutive patients who underwent CEA using ORBEYE with a 31-inch movable 4K 3D monitor between January 2020 and April 2021 were targeted, and surgical outcomes and complications were examined retrospectively. The 31-inch movable 4K 3D monitor was installed in the Maquet Moduevo ceiling supply unit (Getinge Group Japan, Tokyo, Japan) [Figure 1]. We evaluated the posture of the operator during this approach compared with that using a conventional surgical microscope. This study was carried out in accordance with the recommendations of the institutional review board with written informed consent from all subjects.

The indication of CEA was as follows: In symptomatic patients, the degree of stenosis should be >50%, and in asymptomatic patients, the degree of the stenosis should be greater than 80%. Carotid artery stenosis was evaluated using the NASCET method.\(^{[10]}\)

The mean age of the patients was 76 ± 6-years-old, 93% were male, and 53% had right-side carotid stenosis. Of the patients, 33% were symptomatic and 63% had unstable plaque. The mean degree of stenosis was 71 ± 12%.

Surgery was performed under general anesthesia in all patients. The patient was placed in a supine position and the head rotated to the contralateral side. The Lone Star disposable retractor system (CooperSurgical, Inc., Trumbull, Connecticut, USA) was utilized as previously reported.\(^{[17]}\) A linear skin incision was made along the anterior sternocleidomastoid (SCM) border, and the platysma was cut and retracted. Under the exoscope, the jugular vein was exposed with retraction of the SCM posteriorly. After cutting the facial vein, connective tissue surrounding the jugular vein was hooked and retracted in a posterior direction, and the jugular vein was then dissected along the anterior border. After incision, the carotid sheath was hooked and retracted. The connective tissue surrounding the carotid bifurcation and the ICA was hooked and lifted to obtain a shallow operative field including the target structures.

All endarterectomy procedures were performed using the Pruitt-Inahara Carotid Shunt system (LeMaitre Vascular, Inc., Burlington, Massachusetts, USA). In all cases, systemic heparinization (3000–5000 IU) was performed just before insertion of the shunt system, without reversal with protamine.

RESULTS

In all 15 cases, the procedures were accomplished only using the exoscope. There were no operative complications due to the use of ORBEYE.

In response to the operative site, the 4K 3D monitor was moved to face the operator. When the distal portion of the ICA was manipulated, the visual axis of the exoscope was directed rostral and the monitor was moved to the rostral side [Figure 2a]. When the proximal portion of the common carotid artery (CCA) was manipulated, the visual axis was directed caudal and the monitor was moved to the caudal side [Figure 2b]. In order to expose the distal ICA portion as much as possible, the hypoglossal nerve and distal ICA portion were dissected and mobilized by setting a small angle of the operative visual axis of the exoscope against the

Image 1: Set-up of 31-inch movable 4K 3D monitor. The 31-inch movable 4K 3D monitor installed in the Maquet Moduevo ceiling supply unit. The monitor can be moved in response to the operative site. (a) A 31-inch movable 4K 3D monitor (b) Maquet Moduevo ceiling supply unit c: ORBEYE™ main body d: A 55-inch 4K 3D monitor (covered by blue cloth).

Image 2: Simulation of right-side CEA (a) When the distal portion of the ICA is manipulated, the visual axis of the exoscope is set to the rostral direction and the monitor is moved to the rostral side to face the operator. (b) When the proximal portion of the CCA is manipulated, the visual axis is set to the caudal direction and the monitor is moved to the caudal side. *31-inch movable 4K 3D monitor. CEA: Carotid endarterectomy, ICA: Internal carotid artery, CCA: Common carotid artery.
horizontal plane [Figure 3a]. The operators were not forced to be in a posture that extended their arms [Figure 3b], as is often the case using a conventional surgical microscope [Figure 4].

The average time of microsurgical procedure in this series was 175 ± 17 min. It was 159 ± 41 min in our previous series of 15 cases using conventional microscope. There was no significant difference.

**DISCUSSION**

CEA reduces the risk of disabling stroke or death in patients with advanced carotid stenosis, and its effectiveness has been demonstrated in many large studies. [2,10] Although endovascular carotid artery stenting has become increasingly popular, CEA is still the gold standard of surgical treatment for severe carotid artery stenosis, and the effectiveness of the procedure has been established in many large studies. [2,10] To maintain the efficacy of CEA, the complication rate should be low. Many CEA surgical techniques that depend on the surgeon's preference are utilized. [4,8,14,17] CEA for high cervical ICA stenosis is considered technically demanding because of the difficulty in dissecting the distal ICA portion. [7,18] Many techniques have been developed to increase the exposure of the distal ICA portion because the approach is complicated by cranial nerves and bony structures that limit exposure. [1,3,7,13] We always dissect and mobilize the hypoglossal nerve and the distal ICA portion to expose the distal ICA portion as much as possible. For this manipulation, it is necessary to set a small angle of the operative visual axis against the horizontal plane because skin and subcutaneous tissue can be obstacles. ORBEYE facilitates ergonomic microsurgery even when the angle of the operative visual axis against the horizontal plane is small. This advantage of the exoscope may aid in safe exposure of the distal ICA portion in patients with high cervical ICA stenosis.

The usefulness of exoscopes, including ORBEYE, has been reported in neurosurgical fields, [5,9,15] including CEA. [6] One of their advantages is that they are superior to a conventional surgical microscope in terms of ergonomic features. They do not limit the posture of the operator regardless of the angle of the operative visual axis, and we previously reported that ORBEYE facilitates ergonomic microsurgery even with the angle of the operative visual axis being approximately horizontal. [8,15] When we set the angle of the operative visual axis smaller against the horizontal plane using a conventional surgical microscope, the distance from the eyepiece to objective lens increases. As a result, the distance from our eyes to the operative field is longer, and we are forced to be in a posture that extends our arms. Unfortunately, this report does not contain the evaluation of degree of fatigue of a surgeon. In the future study, objective data to evaluate ergonomic advantage is expected to discuss the feasibility of the exoscope.

To the best of our knowledge, there are no reports to emphasize the advantages of the movable monitor in the
use of exoscopes. For the use of ORBEYE, a 55-inch 4K 3D monitor is usually installed in front of the operator and is semi-fixed.[6,8,9,15] However, the ergonomic merits of the exoscope cannot be used with a fixed monitor because the operators have to face the fixed monitor even when the operative visual axes is changed. On the other hand, the movable monitor can be moved to face the operator in response to the operative site. It can be moved to the rostral side during manipulation of the distal portion of the ICA and to the caudal side during manipulation of the proximal portion of the CCA. In surgeries requiring multiple operative visual axes like CEA, utilization of a movable monitor is feasible.

**CONCLUSION**

Using a movable 4K 3D monitor, exoscopic CEA can be performed ergonomically. In response to the operative site, the 4K 3D monitor was moved to face the operator. Even when the angle of the visual axis of the exoscope against the horizontal plane was small during surgical manipulation in the distal portion of the ICA, the operator was able to maintain a comfortable posture.
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