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Abstract

AIM: To compare the histological outcome of chronic hepatitis B (CHB) patients treated with entecavir (ETV) or lamivudine (LAM)-based therapy.

METHODS: We conducted a retrospective analysis of data from 42 CHB patients with advanced fibrosis (baseline Ishak score ≥ 2) or cirrhosis who were treated with ETV or LAM-based therapy in Beilun People's Hospital, Ningbo between January 2005 and May 2012. The patients enrolled were more than 16 years of age and underwent a minimum of 12 mo of antiviral therapy. We collected data on the baseline...
characteristics of each patient and obtained paired liver biopsies pre- and post-treatment. The Knodell scoring system and Ishak fibrosis scores were used to evaluate each example. An improvement or worsening of necroinflammation was defined as ≥ 2-point change in the Knodell inflammatory score. The progression or regression of fibrosis was defined as ≥ 1-point change in the Ishak fibrosis score. The continuous variables were compared using t-test or Mann-Whitney test, and the binary variables were compared using χ² test or Fisher’s exact test. The results of paired liver biopsies were compared with a Wilcoxon signed rank test.

RESULTS: Nineteen patients were treated with ETV and 23 patients were treated with LAM therapy for a mean duration of 39 and 42 mo, respectively. After long-term antiviral treatment, 94.74% (18/19) of the patients in the ETV arm and 95.65% (22/23) in the LAM arm achieved an HBV DNA level less than 1000 IU/mL. The majority of the patients (94.74% in the ETV arm and 73.91% in the LAM arm) had normalized ALT levels. The median Knodell necroinflammatory score decreased from 11 to 0 in the patients receiving ETV, and the median Knodell score decreased from 9 to 3 in the patients receiving LAM (P = 0.0002 and < 0.0001, respectively). The median Ishak fibrosis score showed a 1-point reduction in ETV-treated patients and a 2-point reduction in LAM-treated patients (P = 0.0019 and 0.0205, respectively). The patients receiving ETV showed a more significant improvement in necroinflammation than the LAM-treated patients (P = 0.0003). However, there was no significant difference in fibrotic improvement between the two arms. Furthermore, two patients in each arm achieved a fibrosis score of 0 post-treatment, which indicates a full reversion of fibrosis after antiviral therapy.

CONCLUSION: CHB patients with advanced fibrosis or cirrhosis benefit from antiviral treatment. ETV is superior to LAM therapy in improving necroinflammatory but not fibrotic outcome.
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Core tip: This retrospective cohort study compared the histological outcomes of long-term antiviral treatment for an average of more than 3 years with entecavir monotherapy or lamivudine-based combination therapy in chronic hepatitis B patients with significant fibrosis or cirrhosis. There was a histological improvement observed in the majority of patients in both arms. There were also improved virological responses, alanine aminotransferase normalization, and serological responses. Additionally, 4 of 48 patients achieved a full reversion of fibrosis or cirrhosis. Entecavir was superior to lamivudine-based therapy in improving necroinflammatory but not fibrotic outcome.

INTRODUCTION

Chronic hepatitis B (CHB) affects approximately 350 million people worldwide and hepatitis B virus (HBV)-related end stage liver diseases are responsible for 1 million deaths annually[1-3]. In China, the prevalence of hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) is found in approximately 7% of the general population[4]. Liver fibrosis is one of the common complications of CHB and is a result of sustained viral replication and chronic inflammation. Liver fibrosis may also lead to cirrhosis. Hepatic cirrhosis is the end stage of fibrosis and is characterized by distinguishing histological features such as the formation of regenerative nodules and diffuse fibrosis. Patients with cirrhosis have high mortality and morbidity due to poor liver function and the development of portal hypertension[5]. Another major complication is the development of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)[6]. Thus, effective therapies that prevent the progression of HBV-related liver diseases are urgently needed in clinical practice.

The current therapy regimens available for CHB patients include nucleos(t)ide analogues (NAs) and interferon-α (INF-α). These therapy regimens differ with respect to antiviral mechanism and treatment responses[7]. The drugs lamivudine (LAM), adefovir (ADV), telbivudine (LdT), entecavir (ETV) and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) are approved NAs for the treatment of CHB. NA therapy directly inhibits viral replication by targeting HBV DNA polymerases and can achieve rapid viral suppression and normalization of serum transaminases[8]. LAM was the first approved oral antiviral agent and was initially considered the best choice for CHB treatment because of its good potency and safety profile[9,10]. However, the major limitation of LAM is the high rate of virological resistance, and ADV add-on is a rescue therapy for LAM resistance[11,12]. The use of LAM has been gradually reduced since the introduction of novel antiviral agents with a higher genetic barrier of resistance such as ETV and TDF. However, LAM is still widely used in developing countries due to its low cost.

Two early global clinical trials found that 48 wk of ETV therapy was superior to LAM in treatment-naïve CHB patients with respect to virological response, alanine aminotransferase (ALT) normalization, and histological improvement[8,9]. However, it is unclear whether patients with advanced fibrosis or cirrhosis benefit more from ETV therapy than LAM therapy.
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Thus, the aim of this study was to compare the histological outcome of CHB patients with advanced fibrosis or cirrhosis after long-term treatment with ETV or LAM-based therapy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population
The patients eligible for this retrospective study were CHB cases with advanced fibrosis or cirrhosis who had received ETV or LAM-based combination therapy (ADV was added when patients had LAM resistance) at the clinic or were hospitalized in Bileniu People’s Hospital, Ningbo between January 2005 and May 2012. The inclusion criteria of our study were the following: age \( \geq 16 \) years, HBsAg-positivity for more than 6 mo, a minimum of 12 mo of antiviral therapy with ETV or LAM-based combination therapy (LAM + ADV), adequate pre- and post-treatment biopsy samples, and a diagnosis of advanced fibrosis or compensated cirrhosis at baseline (Ishak score \( \geq 2 \)). The patient exclusion criteria included the following: co-infection with hepatitis C virus, hepatitis D virus, or human immunodeficiency virus, hepatic decompensation, or prior exposure to either IFN-\( \alpha \) or other NAs including LdT or ADV monotherapy. There were 42 patients enrolled in the current study including 19 in the ETV-treated arm and 23 in the LAM-based combination arm. Paired liver biopsies and clinical data were collected and analyzed from each patient. The study protocol was reviewed by the local ethics committees, and the ethical approval documents were waived. Written informed consent for the liver biopsy was obtained from each participant. This is a retrospective study and all study participants were de-identified. Therefore, informed consent was not obtained prior to study enrollment.

Analysis of necroinflammatory level and fibrosis
Both the Knodell scoring system (0 to 18) and Ishak fibrosis scores (0 to 6) were used to evaluate the necroinflammatory level and fibrotic extent in the liver biopsy specimens. A pathologist who was blinded to the patient clinical data and the sequences of biopsy samples evaluated the liver histology. An improvement or worsening of necroinflammation was defined as \( \geq 2 \)-point change in the Knodell inflammatory score. The progression or regression of fibrosis was defined as \( \geq 1 \)-point change in Ishak fibrosis score.

Statistical analysis
The continuous variables are expressed as mean ± SD and were compared by \( t \)-test or Mann-Whitney test. All binary variables were summarized as counts and percentages and were compared by \( \chi^2 \) test or Fisher’s exact test. The results of paired liver biopsies were expressed as the median and were compared by Wilcoxon signed rank test. The statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 19.0. A two-sided \( P \)-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics
This retrospective cohort study enrolled 42 CHB patients with advanced fibrosis or cirrhosis. There were 19 cases treated with ETV and 23 cases treated with LAM-based combination therapy for a mean duration of 39 and 42 mo, respectively. The baseline characteristics of the two arms are summarized in Table 1.

Virological, biochemical and serological responses after long-term therapy
The outcomes of the two arms after long-term therapy are outlined in Table 2. The results include virological, biochemical, and serological responses. The data indicated that 94.74% (18/19) of patients in the ETV arm and 95.65% (22/23) in the LAM arm achieved an HBV DNA level less than 1000 IU/mL after long-term antiviral therapy. Furthermore, 76.92% (10/13) of patients in the ETV arm and 68.75% (11/16) in the LAM arm achieved an HBV DNA level less than 60 IU/mL based on the results of the COBAS AmpliPrep/COBAS TaqMan HBV Test. The majority of patients (94.74% in the ETV arm and 73.91% in the LAM arm) achieved ALT normalization after long-term treatment. However, there were no significant differences between the two arms (\( P = 0.0715 \)). Hepatitis B e antigen (HBeAg) loss and seroconversion occurred in 46.15% (6/13) and 38.46% (5/13) of HBeAg positive patients in the ETV arm, respectively. Additionally, 71.43% (10/14) and 42.86% (6/14) of patients in the LAM arm experienced HBeAg loss and seroconversion, respectively. HBsAg loss was not observed in any patient.

Histological outcome after long-term therapy
There was a significant improvement of histological outcome observed in both treatment arms compared to baseline (Table 3). The median Knodell necroinflammatory score decreased from 11 to 0 in the ETV arm and from 9 to 3 in the LAM arm (\( P = 0.0002 \) and < 0.0001, respectively). The median Ishak fibrosis score showed a 1-point reduction in the ETV arm and a 2-point reduction in the LAM arm (\( P = 0.0019 \) and 0.0205, respectively). Eighteen of the 19 patients in the ETV arm showed improvement of necroinflammatory scores. Additionally, 11 patients achieved a score of 0 post-treatment. This result indicates full reversion of the necroinflammatory condition. Furthermore, 68.42% of patients in the ETV
Table 1 Demographic data and liver biopsy results for chronic hepatitis B patients in both entecavir and lamivudine arms at baseline

|              | ETV    | LAM ± ADV | P-value |
|--------------|--------|-----------|---------|
| n            | 19     | 23        |         |
| Male gender, n (%) | 14 (73.7) | 16 (69.6) | 0.7687 |
| Age (mean ± SD), yr | 43.2 ± 9.8 | 43.7 ± 9.9 | 0.8721 |
| log_{10} HBV DNA (mean ± SD), IU/mL | 6.6 ± 1.5 | 6.2 ± 1.4 | 0.4019 |
| ALT (mean ± SD), U/L | 107 ± 84 | 96 ± 112 | 0.2450 |
| HBcAg positive, n (%) | 13 (68.2) | 14 (60.8) | 0.6112 |
| Median grade (range) | 11 (0-16) | 9 (3-12) | 0.0749 |
| Median stage (range) | 4 (2-6) | 5 (2-6) | 0.7381 |
| Duration of treatment (mean ± SD), mo | 39 ± 11 | 42 ± 15 | 0.6951 |

ETV: Entecavir; LAM: Lamivudine; ADV: Adefovir; HBV: Hepatitis B virus; ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; HBeAg: Hepatitis B e antigen.

Table 2 Virological, biochemical and serologic outcomes after long-term treatment in both entecavir and lamivudine arms n (%)

|              | ETV    | LAM ± ADV | P-value |
|--------------|--------|-----------|---------|
| n            | 19     | 23        |         |
| HBV DNA < 1000 IU/mL | 18 (94.7) | 22 (95.6) | 0.8997 |
| HBV DNA < 60 IU/mL | 10 (52.6) | 11 (46.2) | 0.6524 |
| ALT ≤ 1 × upper limit of normal | 18 (94.7) | 17 (73.9) | 0.0715 |
| HBeAg loss/HBeAg positive at baseline | 6/13 (46.15) | 10/14 (71.43) | 0.1817 |
| HBe seroconversion/HBeAg positivity at baseline | 5/13 (38.46) | 6/14 (42.86) | 0.8163 |
| HBeAg loss | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | - |

ETV: Entecavir; LAM: Lamivudine; ADV: Adefovir; HBV: Hepatitis B virus; ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; HBeAg: Hepatitis B e antigen; HBeAg: Hepatitis B surface antigen.

arm and 47.83% in the LAM arm showed improvement in fibrosis. The results indicate 26.32% of patients in the ETV arm and 39.13% in the LAM arm remained stable. There was 1 patient from the ETV arm and 3 patients from the LAM arm who had worsening Ishak fibrosis scores.

There was a significant improvement in necro-inflammatory score in the ETV arm compared to the LAM arm after long-term therapy (P = 0.0003) (Figure 1A). However, there was no significant difference in Ishak score improvement observed between the two arms (P = 0.0810) (Figure 1B). There were more patients in the ETV arm who achieved regression and fewer patients suffered Ishak score progression (Figure 2).

We further analyzed the distribution of the Ishak score pre- and post-treatment in the two arms and found that two patients from each arm had achieved a score of 0, which indicates a full reversion of advanced fibrosis or cirrhosis (Figure 3). The two patients from the ETV arm had baseline Ishak scores of 4 and 6. The scores for both cases decreased to 0 after 44 and

Table 3 Comparison of liver histology at baseline and after long-term treatment in both entecavir and lamivudine arms n (%)

|              | ETV    | LAM ± ADV | P-value |
|--------------|--------|-----------|---------|
| n            | 19     | 23        |         |
| Change in necroinflammation |         |         |         |
| Median grade (range) | 11 (0-16) | 9 (3-12) | 0.0810 |
| Improved | 18 (94.7) | 19 (82.6) |         |
| No change | 1 (5.3) | 4 (17.39) |         |
| Worsened | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |         |
| Change in fibrosis |         |         |         |
| Median stage (range) | 4 (2-6) | 5 (2-6) | 0.0003 |
| Improved | 13 (68.42) | 11 (47.83) |         |
| No change | 5 (26.32) | 9 (39.13) |         |
| Worsened | 1 (5.26) | 3 (13.04) |         |

1Comparison of change in necroinflammation at baseline and after long-term treatment in ETV arm, P = 0.0002; 2Comparison of change in necroinflammation at baseline and after long-term treatment in LAM arm, P < 0.0001; 3Comparison of change in fibrosis at baseline and after long-term treatment in ETV arm, P = 0.0019; 4Comparison of change in fibrosis at baseline and after long-term treatment in LAM arm, P = 0.0205. ETV: Entecavir; LAM: Lamivudine; ADV: Adefovir.

Figure 1  Plots of Knodell inflammatory scores (A) and Ishak fibrosis scores (B) of both entecavir and lamivudine arms at baseline and post-treatment. ETV: Entecavir; LAM: Lamivudine; ADV: Adefovir.
30 mo of ETV monotherapy, respectively. The two patients in the LAM arm had baseline fibrosis scores of 3 and 5. These patients achieved a score of 0 after 43 mo of LAM monotherapy and 47 mo of LAM and ADV combination therapy (ADV was added when LAM resistance emerged), respectively. Figure 4 shows the favorable histological outcome of a 35-year old female patient with a Knodell score of 14 and an Ishak score of 4 at baseline. This patient achieved a score of 0 for both necroinflammatory and fibrotic score after 44 mo of ETV monotherapy.

We further investigated which patients benefited most from long-term NA therapy in fibrotic regression or reversion. The results showed that patients with higher Ishak score at baseline and longer duration of treatment benefit more in fibrotic regression (Table 4). Conversely, patients who experienced significant improvement in fibrosis after long-term NAs therapy had higher HBV DNA and ALT levels at baseline (Table 5).

DISCUSSION
This was a retrospective study that investigated the efficacy of long-term treatment with two different NAs in CHB patients with advanced fibrosis or cirrhosis. LAM was the first approved NA for treatment of CHB in 1998 and was effective in suppressing HBV DNA, normalizing ALT, and improving histological outcome. However, the use of LAM was limited by the high rate of LAM resistance[14]. It was reported that 5 years of LAM treatment resulted in 70% drug relevant resistance[3,15]. The addition of ADV is one...
A

B
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of the rescue therapies for patients who experienced LAM resistance. In 2005, ETV was approved for use in treatment-naïve and LAM-resistant patients at a dose of 0.5 mg/d and 1 mg/d, respectively\[16\]. In 2006, two global multicenter clinical trials demonstrated that both HBeAg negative and positive patients benefit more from 48 wk of ETV treatment than LAM treatment in virological, biochemical, and histological improvements\[17,18\]. Moreover, a study in 2008 found a trend of histological improvement after 48 wk of LAM and ETV treatment in patients with advanced fibrosis or cirrhosis was similar\[19\]. The respective histological improvements after long-term treatment of the two NAs were significant. However, whether ETV is superior to LAM after long-term treatment remains unclear\[20-23\]. In this study, we enrolled patients with advanced fibrosis or cirrhosis receiving more than three years of treatment with the two agents. Several patients in the LAM-treated arm were also treated with ADV when LAM resistance was detected. We found that patients all benefited from long-term treatment of the two agents and most patients in both arms achieved undetectable HBV DNA and normalization of ALT levels after 3 years of NA treatment. There was

Figure 4  Pre- (A) and post-treatment (B) micrographs for a typical 35-year-old female patient with a favorable treatment outcome. Hematoxylin-eosin, reticular fiber and Masson’s trichrome staining was used in each row of the photos, respectively; × 20 for the left column and × 100 for the right column, respectively.

| Table 4  Comparison of baseline characteristics between patients with and without improvement in fibrosis after long-term antiviral treatment |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| With improvement in fibrosis   | Without improvement in fibrosis | P-value |
| n                               | 24                     | 18                  |                     |
| Male gender, n (%)              | 17 (70.83)             | 13 (72.22)          | 0.9215             |
| Age (mean ± SD), yr             | 43.8 ± 9.9             | 42.9 ± 9.8          | 0.7596             |
| log_{10}HBV DNA (mean ± SD), IU/mL | 6.7 ± 1.2             | 5.9 ± 1.5           | 0.0602             |
| ALT (mean ± SD), U/L            | 102 ± 82               | 99 ± 121            | 0.6473             |
| HBeAg positivity, n (%)         | 17 (70.83)             | 10 (55.6)           | 0.3605             |
| Median grade (range)            | 10 (3-16)              | 9 (0-13)            | 0.1713             |
| Median stage (range)            | 5 (3-6)                | 3.5 (2-5)           | 0.0290             |
| Treated with ETV, n (%)         | 13 (54.17)             | 6 (33.33)           | 0.1795             |
| Treatment duration ≥ 24 mo, n (%) | 24 (100)               | 13 (72.22)          | 0.0101             |

HBV: Hepatitis B virus; ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; HBeAg: Hepatitis B e antigen; ETV: Entecavir.

| Table 5  Comparison of baseline characteristics between patients with significant or without improvement in fibrosis after long-term antiviral therapy |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| With significant improvement\[1\] | Without improvement | P-value |
| n                               | 9                     | 18                  |                     |
| Male gender, n (%)              | 6 (66.7)              | 13 (72.2)           | 0.7657             |
| Age (mean ± SD), yr             | 42.1 ± 11.6           | 42.9 ± 9.8          | 0.8557             |
| log_{10}HBV DNA (mean ± SD), IU/mL | 7.3 ± 1.0             | 5.9 ± 1.5           | 0.0175             |
| ALT (mean ± SD), U/L            | 140 ± 72              | 99 ± 121            | 0.0270             |
| HBeAg positivity, n (%)         | 7 (77.8)              | 10 (55.6)           | 0.2597             |
| Median grade (range)            | 11 (3-14)             | 9 (0-13)            | 0.2778             |
| Median stage (range)            | 5 (3-6)               | 3.5 (2-5)           | 0.2717             |
| Duration of treatment (mean ± SD), mo | 44 ± 11               | 41 ± 18             | 0.3956             |

\[1\]Significant improvement in fibrosis was defined as an Ishak fibrosis score of 0 or 1 post-treatment. HBV: Hepatitis B virus; ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; HBeAg: Hepatitis B e antigen.
no significant difference in HBV DNA suppression, ALT normalization, HBeAg loss, and seroconversion in the LAM-treated and ETV-treated arms. Thus, it raised the question of whether the superior efficacy of 48 wk ETV and LAM therapy proven by the global clinical trials was caused by LAM resistance. We suggest that low genetic barrier NAs such as LAM remain a suitable candidate for management of CHB if viral resistance is well controlled.

Previous studies demonstrated that prolonged treatment with the currently approved NAs (including LAM, ADV, LdT, ETV, TDF) could reduce inflammation grades and fibrosis stages, which results in the prevention of cirrhosis and subsequent hepatic decompensation[24]. It is unclear whether there was any difference among the 5 NAs in the improvement of inflammation and regression of fibrosis. In the current study, we found that long-term treatment with NAs resulted in significant histological improvement. This result indicates that long-term NA therapy improves histological outcome. Moreover, a more significant decline of median Knodell necroinflammatory score was observed in the ETV arm than in the LAM arm. However, the median Ishak fibrosis score was not different between groups. Interestingly, the same conclusion was drawn in a 48-wk study comparing LAM and ETV in the treatment of naïve patients. Our data suggest that patients may benefit more from ETV than LAM therapy in the improvement of inflammation but not in the regression of fibrosis.

Accumulating clinical data have revealed that hepatic fibrosis or cirrhosis can be reversed when active treatment was performed. This result was further proven in our study showing hepatitis B-associated advanced fibrosis or cirrhosis can be reversed or reversed by long-term treatment with NAs. We found that the regression of hepatitis B-associated advanced fibrosis was closely related with baseline fibrotic stage and treatment duration. Interestingly, patients with higher ALT and HBV DNA levels at baseline achieved significant improvement in fibrosis. However, activated hepatic stellate cells were key players in hepatic fibrogenesis and its resolution is closely associated with fibrotic regression[25]. Taken together, we suggest that the regression of hepatitis B associated fibrosis might not simply result from the suppression of HBV DNA and there are probably other benefits caused by long-term NA therapy. It has been proven that long-term therapy with NAs can restore the function of HBV specific T cells[26,27]. Previous studies demonstrated that NA therapy can regulate the immune system[28-30]. Thus, immunomodulation effects of long-term NA treatment may also play a role in the regression of hepatic fibrosis. Hepatic stellate cells play a key role in fibrogenesis and it remains unclear whether NA therapy can directly or indirectly regulate activated hepatic stellate cells. Finally, we believe that significant progress in understanding mechanism of hepatic fibrogenesis and reversion of cirrhosis can eventually translate into more effective treatment strategies for hepatitis B associated fibrosis or cirrhosis.
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