Loss of inner-envelope $K^+$/H$^+$ exchangers impairs plastid rRNA maturation and gene expression
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Abstract

The inner-envelope $K^+$ EFFLUX ANTIPORTERS (KEA) 1 and 2 are critical for chloroplast development, ion homeostasis, and photosynthesis. However, the mechanisms by which changes in ion flux across the envelope affect organelle biogenesis remained elusive. Chloroplast development requires intricate coordination between the nuclear genome and the plastome. Many mutants compromised in plastid gene expression (PGE) display a virescent phenotype, that is delayed greening. The phenotypic appearance of Arabidopsis thaliana kea1 kea2 double mutants fulfills this criterion, yet a link to PGE has not been explored. Here, we show that a simultaneous loss of KEA1 and KEA2 results in maturation defects of the plastid ribosomal RNAs. This may be caused by secondary structure changes of rRNA transcripts and concomitant reduced binding of RNA-processing proteins, which we documented in the presence of skewed ion homeostasis in kea1 kea2. Consequently, protein synthesis and steady-state levels of plastome-encoded proteins remain low in mutants. Disturbance in PGE and other signs of plastid malfunction activate GENOMES UNCOUPLED 1-dependent retrograde signaling in kea1 kea2, resulting in a dramatic downregulation of GOLDEN2-LIKE transcription factors to halt expression of photosynthesis-associated nuclear-encoded genes (PhANGs). PhANG suppression delays the development of fully photosynthesizing kea1 kea2 chloroplasts, probably to avoid progressing photo-oxidative damage. Overall, our results reveal that KEA1/KEA2 function impacts plastid development via effects on RNA-metabolism and PGE.

Introduction

Biochemical pathways in eukaryotic cells are compartmentalized in different membrane-bound organelles with characteristic ion and pH compositions maintained by transport proteins. The maintenance of ion and proton gradients across cell membranes and within subcellular compartments is termed `homeostasis' (Chanroj et al., 2012; Höhner et al., 2016a).
Of particular importance for ion and pH homeostasis are K⁺/(Na⁺)/H⁺ exchangers, which are highly abundant in plant cells (Pittman, 2012; Sze and Chanroj, 2018). These carriers link internal ion and proton levels. Bioenergetic organelles such as the chloroplast and mitochondrion build up steep pH and ion gradients across membranes, and thus invest considerable energy in ion homeostasis. Both compartments harness the gradients' potential energy, collectively known as proton-motive force (PMF), to supply the cell with ATP and other high energy compounds. Chloroplasts use photosynthesis to convert solar energy into PMF and then into chemical bonds. This process provides carbon and chemical energy for most organisms on earth. Thus, the chloroplast is a highly relevant target of ion homeostasis research. In recent years, there has been substantial progress in identifying transporters and channels that maintain subcellular ion gradients within the plastid (Finazzi et al., 2015; Szabo` and Spetea, 2017).

In this study, we focus on the physiological role of two members from the K⁺-efflux antiporter family, KEA1 and KEA2, which facilitate K⁺/H⁺ exchange across the chloroplast inner envelope membrane in Arabidopsis thaliana (Aranda-Sicilia et al., 2012; Aranda Sicilia et al., 2021). A simultaneous loss of both carriers results in stunted mutant plants with elevated leaf K⁺ content (Kunz et al., 2014; Höhner et al., 2019). The photosynthetic efficiency is dramatically reduced in kea1 kea2 even though the stromal pH in illuminated mutant chloroplasts is only mildly affected (Kunz et al., 2014; Aranda Sicilia et al., 2021). Since the volume of kea1 kea2 leaf plastids is increased, it is expected that in wild-type (WT) plants KEA1/KEA2 facilitates K⁺ efflux from the stroma to adjust the osmotic potential of the organelle. KEA1 and KEA2 are also relevant for chloroplast biogenesis, as the double mutants display pale young leaves with immature chloroplasts (Aranda-Sicilia et al., 2016). However, the mechanistic role of envelope ion transport via KEA1/KEA2 in plastid development remains an outstanding scientific question (Sze and Chanroj, 2018). Therefore, the rationale of this research was to understand the connection between these two processes and their relationship to photosynthesis.

Chloroplasts develop during the plant life cycle from photosynthetically inactive proplastids in the meristems through coordinated expression of specific genes (Pogson and Albrecht, 2011; Hernandez-Verdeja and Strand, 2018). This coordination is complex because plastids have retained a small genome of approximately 120 genes known as the plastome, which is essential for chloroplast function (de Vries and Archibald, 2018). The plastome encodes several components of the electron transport chain, including the photosystem reaction centers (Allen et al., 2011). During endosymbiosis, the majority of chloroplast genes were transferred to the nuclear genome. Many conserved and newly evolved nuclear genes encoding chloroplast proteins, including chlorophyll biosynthesis enzymes and the light-harvesting machinery, are collectively known as the photosynthesis-associated nuclear-encoded genes (PhANGs) (Berry et al., 2013).
Notably, many photosynthetic protein complexes including the photosystems, the cytochrome $b_{6}f$ complex, ATP synthase, and ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate-carboxylase (RuBisCo) contain subunits from both genomes (Allen et al., 2011). The division of genes encoding the chloroplast proteome between the nuclear and plastid genomes necessitates tight regulation and communication between the two genetic compartments to balance protein stoichiometry (Woodson and Chory, 2008). Nucleus to plastid communication to moderate plastid gene expression (PGE) is known as anterograde signaling. Anterograde signaling impacts PGE at the transcriptional, posttranscriptional, and translational level via nuclear-encoded plastid RNA polymerases (NEPs), prokaryotic-type RNA polymerase-associated proteins (PAPs), and chloroplast RNA-binding proteins (cRBPs) that are posttranslationally imported into plastids (Barkan and Small, 2014; Zoschke and Bock, 2018). cRBPs assist in stabilizing, end processing, splicing, and editing of mRNAs and rRNAs (Schmitz-Linneweber and Small, 2008; Hammani et al., 2014). Intriguingly, many mutants defective in anterograde control of PGE display a distinctive ‘virescent’ (delayed greening) phenotype (Zhou et al., 2009). In virescent mutants, young leaves remain pale and contain underdeveloped poorly photosynthesizing chloroplasts, whereas older leaves recover to a certain extent levels of chlorophyll and photosynthetic proteins.

Communication from the chloroplast to nucleus to moderate nuclear gene expression is referred to as retrograde signaling (RS) (Kleine and Leister, 2016). The RS pathway is activated in response to various plant stressors, chloroplast damage, and by changes in PGE (Leister et al., 2017). While the exact nature of the signal(s) sent back to the nucleus remains poorly understood, RS has been shown to alter nuclear gene expression and prevent oxidative damage (Cheng et al., 2011; Woodson, 2016; D’Alessandro et al., 2018; Kacprzak et al., 2019). Little is known about a potential role of RS in response to perturbation of the plastid ion homeostasis. This is somewhat surprising, as many stages of PGE including RNA folding, RNA–protein interactions, and ribosomal activity rely on the prevalent ion and pH conditions (Bhya and Jagendorf, 1984; Horlitz and Klaff, 2000; Draper et al., 2005; McDermott et al., 2018; Gawroński et al., 2020). These buffer conditions are disrupted when abiotic stress or alteration of ion transport offsets plastid ion homeostasis (Schröppelmeier and Kaiser, 1988). Although it remains unclear how the stromal ion–pH–RNA interplay is orchestrated, we hypothesized that plastid K$^+$(Na$^+$)/H$^+$ exchangers such as those from the KEA family might be involved.

While the pale young leaf phenotype in kea1 kea2 double mutants has been noted before (Aranda-Sicilia et al., 2016), it has not been investigated if it constitutes a virescent phenotype resulting from changes in PGE. Intriguingly, the pale young leaf phenotype in kea1 kea2 mutants can be suppressed by exposing plants to salt stress (Kunz et al., 2014). This behavior suggests that kea1 kea2 may serve as a useful model to gain insights into the relationship between chloroplast ion homeostasis and PGE. Furthermore, investigation of PGE may also provide a mechanistic explanation for the delayed chloroplast biogenesis documented in kea1 kea2 loss-of-function lines and thus answer one of the outstanding questions in the field (Sze and Chanroj, 2018). Using transcriptomics combined with the salt recovery phenomenon in kea1 kea2, we set out to gain insights into the molecular basis of the kea1 kea2 phenotype and its potential connection to PGE.

## Results

### Insights into the molecular consequences of disturbed chloroplast ion transport using nuclear transcriptomics

The loss of KEA1/KEA2 function in the two previously isolated independent T-DNA double-mutant insertion lines (kea1-1 kea2-1 and kea1-2 kea2-2 [Kunz et al., 2014]) resulted in decreased maximum quantum yield of photosystem II (PSII) (Figure 1, A and B; $F_{v}/F_{m}$). In addition, both double mutants exhibited lower steady-state PSII quantum yield (Figure 1C; $\Phi_{II}$), and higher quantum yield of regulated nonphotochemical energy loss in PSII (Figure 1D; $NPQ$) compared with WT plants (Col-0). The quantum yield of nonregulated nonphotochemical energy loss in PSII (Figure 1E; $\Phi_{NO}$) remained unchanged. Interestingly, PSII-related parameters in both kea1 kea2 lines recovered drastically under moderate salt stress as shown by a significant increase in $F_{v}/F_{m}$ (Figure 1B; Kunz et al., 2014). The NaCl-treated kea1 kea2 plants were also homogenously greener compared with control conditions. Whereas WT individuals grew smaller under NaCl stress, mutant plants did not exhibit a similar size reduction after treatment. The rescue effect was specific for NaCl. Treatments with equimolar KCl did not recover kea1 kea2 plants but worsened the mutants’ performance (Supplemental Figure S1). Next up, we determined the leaf ionome of WT and mutant plants grown in the presence or absence of exogenous NaCl (Table 1). In line with previous studies (Höhner et al., 2016b, 2019), kea1 kea2 lines exhibited elevated potassium (K) and phosphorus (P) but decreased magnesium (Mg) levels. When exposed to salt stress, sodium (Na) accumulated in all genotypes to a similar degree. The levels of K, Mg, and all other elements in both kea1 kea2 lines were also equivalent to the WT under these conditions. Due to the specific recovery effect of NaCl on photosynthesis and the leaf ionome of kea1kea2, we employed the salt treatment rescue to dissect the molecular consequences of decreased K$^+$/H$^+$ exchange across the plastid envelope membrane.

Aerial tissue from WT plants and the two independent T-DNA double-mutant kea1 kea2 lines grown on control and NaCl media as shown in Figure 1A were harvested. Subsequently, total mRNA was extracted, converted into a cDNA library, and sequenced on an Illumina platform. The standard Tuxedo Suite software was used for transcript alignment and differential expression analysis (Trapnell et al., 2012).
Each sample had high coverage and sequence quality (Supplemental Table S1). Furthermore, principal component analysis of gene expression values output from Cufflinks indicated that most variance in gene expression results from sample identity, as sample replicates cluster tightly in relation to the first principal component, which accounted for 69.5% of the variance (PC1, Supplemental Figure S2).

Under control conditions, 3630 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were identified when comparing WT and both kea1 kea2 double-mutant lines (Supplemental Table S2 and Supplemental Figure S3). Interestingly, when WT and kea1
ke2 were compared under salt stress conditions, which rescue development and photosynthesis in double mutants, the number of DEGs decreased to only 1,109 genes (Supplemental Table S2 and Supplemental Figure 3).

According to the Arabidopsis protein subcellular localization database SUBA4 (Hooper et al., 2017), there was an approximately two-fold over-representation of A. thaliana genes encoding chloroplast-localized proteins in the DEG list for ke1 ke2 compared with the WT under control conditions.
the control-treated WT versus kea1 kea2 compared with the High Confidence Marker standard (Supplemental Figure S4, A and B). For the DEG list comparing NaCl-treated WT with NaCl-treated kea1 kea2 (Supplemental Figure S4C), there was a three-fold overrepresentation of chloroplast-targeted genes compared with the high-confidence-marker (HCM) standard (Supplemental Figure S4A). Thus, kea1 kea2 disproportionately alters the expression of chloroplast-targeted gene products under both treatments. The two lists of DEGs only shared 242 upregulated genes, and 358 downregulated genes, suggesting that many of the genes that are deregulated in mutant plants under control conditions are no longer differentially expressed compared with WT after salt treatment (Supplemental Figure S5).

Functional enrichment analyses provide evidence that PGE is affected by loss of KEA1/KEA2

To deduce functional information from the nuclear transcriptomic dataset, we employed the functional enrichment tool FUNC-E (Ficklin and Alex Feltus, 2018). We drew on information from three independent annotation systems to do our analysis: molecular function, cellular component, and biological process terms from the Gene Ontology (GO) database, protein domains from InterPro, and protein families from PFAM. Briefly, the more DEGs assigned to a particular annotation (i.e. GO term, PFAM family, InterPro domain), the lower the false discovery rate (FDR)-adjusted P value for this annotation (Figure 2). We use the word “annotation” to refer to a specific term, family, domain, or class from the aforementioned databases.

Supporting our previous finding that genes encoding chloroplast-targeted proteins were overrepresented in our dataset (Supplemental Figure S4), we found most enriched GO terms when comparing WT to kea1 kea2 under control conditions (WC_KC) related to the broad categories of chloroplast/photosynthesis, light signaling, and abiotic stress response (Figure 2). Protein domains and families relating to all three of these categories were also enriched based on InterPro and PFAM databases, corroborating the notion that loss of the inner envelope carriers KEA1 and KEA2 primarily impacts key processes linked to chloroplast function. Furthermore, we found enrichment in six GO terms assigned to abiotic stress factors, namely cold, wounding, drought, salt, and oxidative stress. Many of these annotations were also significantly enriched under salt, so were unlikely to be causal for the kea1 kea2 phenotype.

To gain insight into the delayed greening phenotype in kea1 kea2 mutants, we focused on annotations that were significantly enriched in the WC_KC comparison, but not in the NaCl-treated WT-kea1 kea2 comparison (WS_KS). This approach unveiled several GO terms, which prompted further investigations. First, enrichment of the term “chlorophyll biosynthesis” (GO:0015995) supported earlier results showing reduced chlorophyll levels in kea1 kea2 and subsequent NaCl-mediated recovery (Kunz et al., 2014). Additionally, several GO terms related to organellar gene expression triggered our interest, as PGE is a key process required for chloroplast development (Bollenbach et al., 2005; Stoppel and Meurer, 2011; Tillier and Bock, 2014). PGE-related terms included “nucleoid” (GO:0009295), “plastid chromosome” (GO:0009508), and “chloroplast rRNA processing” (GO:1901259). Furthermore, analysis with InterPro and PFAM databases revealed that nuclear-encoded proteins related to organelar gene expression were enriched under the control treatment, but not under salt treatment. These included organellar RNA-binding proteins such as pentatricopeptide repeat (PPR) proteins (IPR002885, PF13812) and ribosome-binding proteins (IPR003029, PF01926). This trend suggests the delayed leaf greening and chloroplast development in kea1 kea2 may be related to alterations in chloroplast RNA processing, ribosome assembly, and/or general PGE.

A closer look at the individual genes with respective annotations of interest (Figure 3; Supplemental Dataset 5) showed that transcripts were not uniformly deregulated in one direction. For instance, in the case of the annotation “chloroplast organization” (GO:0009658; Figure 3A), we found that only about 20% of the transcripts were suppressed, and the remaining transcripts increased in expression in the WC_KC comparison. Interestingly, two of the most suppressed genes with this annotation encode the GOLDEN2-LIKE1 (GLK1) and GOLDEN2-LIKE2 (GLK2) transcription factors (TFs). Together, GLK1/GLK2 coordinate the expression of many PhANGs and genes controlling chloroplast development (Waters et al., 2008, 2009). Both TF mRNAs return to WT levels under salt recovery. In the case of “chlorophyll biosynthesis” (GO:0015995; Figure 3B), about 50% of DEGs were suppressed, but the other half increased in expression. Notably, many downregulated genes associated with this GO term encode proteins, which catalyze or regulate committed steps in the chlorophyll biosynthesis pathway during de-etiolation. These include HEMA1 (McCormac et al., 2001), GUN4 (Adhikari et al., 2011), PORA (Paddock et al., 2012), and DXS (Mandel et al., 1996; Estévez et al., 2001). The transcripts that increased in expression were generally not involved in key chlorophyll biosynthesis reactions. Gene transcripts, annotated with “de-etioliation” (GO:0009704; Figure 3C), were also primarily downregulated. In contrast, transcripts associated with organellar gene expression-related annotations (Ribosomal protein S1, IPR003029; “Plastid Chromosome”, GO:0009508; PPR Domain, PF13812; 50S Ribosome Binding GTPase, PF01926; and “Plastid rRNA Processing”, GO:1901259) were almost universally significantly upregulated in kea1kea2, although the degree of transcript increase varied (Figure 3, D–H). Across all annotations, we observed a reversion of most of these effects on gene expression in salt-stressed plants, i.e. the transcription of two independent kea1 kea2 mutants behaved more similarly to WT under salt stress conditions.

Additionally, even some annotations still significantly enriched under salt treatment in the FUNC-E analysis displayed partial salt-mediated recovery of specific gene expression. These
Go terms, most transcripts were downregulated under control treatment. However, salt treatment returned expression to WT level as described above. Independent of the FUNC-E analysis, we hand-curated a gene list for the TOC/TIC translocon, which included light-harvesting complex (GO:0030076; Supplemental Figure S6A), red and far-red (R/FR) light signaling (GO:0010017; Supplemental Figure S6B), and circadian rhythm (GO:0007623; Supplemental Figure S6D). For each of these GO terms, most transcripts were downregulated under control conditions. However, salt treatment returned expression to WT level as described above. Independent of the FUNC-E analysis, we hand-curated a gene list for the TOC/TIC translocon, which

Figure 3 Log2 fold change expression of individual genes that are significantly differentially expressed in kea1 kea2 compared with WT under control conditions are no longer differentially expressed when comparing kea1 kea2 and WT when both are treated with NaCl. A–H, Log2 fold change gene expression for significantly differentially expressed genes (FDR-adjusted P < 0.05) from the WT versus the two independent kea1 kea2 DNA loss-of-function lines under control conditions (WC_KC1 and WC_KC2 for comparisons with kea1-1 kea2-1 and kea1-2 kea2-2, respectively) and corresponding information for the NaCl treatment (WS_KS1 and WS_KS2 for respective kea1 kea2 lines). Control and NaCl treatments are as described for Figure 1. Red boxes denote statistically significant upregulation; blue boxes denote statistically significant downregulation. Deeper colors represent more extreme changes in log2 fold change gene expression (see scale bar). Gray boxes denote gene is not significantly differentially expressed (NS, FDR-adjusted P > 0.05). Annotations include (A) GO term GO:0009658 chloroplast organization; (B) GO term GO:0015995 chlorophyll biosynthetic process; (C) GO term GO:0009704 de-etiolation; (D) INTERPRO domain IPR003029 Ribosomal protein S1, RNA binding domain; (E) GO term GO:0009508 plastid chromosome; (F) PFAM family PF13812 pentatricopeptide repeat domain; (G) PFAM family PF01926 50S ribosome-binding GTPase; (H) GO:1901295 plastid rRNA processing.
facilitates plastid protein import, a process highly relevant for organelle biogenesis and PGE (Jarvis and Soll, 2001; Köhler et al., 2016). Most transcripts in this category were significantly upregulated in the mutant under control conditions (Supplemental Figure S6C).

In summary, expression analysis of nuclear DEGs and their broad recovery in NaCl-treated mutants substantiated the salt-induced phenotypic rescue reported for kea1 kea2 double mutants (Kunz et al., 2014). More importantly, it revealed a potential link between plastid ion transport and PGE that may explain the delayed greening phenotype in kea1kea2.

Simultaneous loss of KEA1 and KEA2 affects chloroplast RNA maturation

Intact PGE is a prerequisite for chloroplast development, integrity, and function (Pogson and Albrecht, 2011; Börner et al., 2015). The upregulation of plastid RNA and ribosome-binding proteins uncovered in the RNA sequencing (RNA-SEQ) experiment suggested that PGE might be impacted in

Figure 4 Average transcript accumulation, translation output and translation efficiency of kea1 kea2 compared with WT show no substantial changes for specific plastid genes under NaCl stress versus control conditions. Detection of a plastid rRNA processing defects in kea1 kea2. A The ratio of transcript accumulation, (B) translation output (ribosome footprint abundances), and (C) translation efficiency (ribosome footprint abundances normalized to transcript accumulation) of all chloroplast reading frames is shown as log2 fold change ratio kea1-1 kea2-1 versus WT for both control (dark gray bars) and NaCl-treated plants (light gray bars). Control and NaCl treatments are as described for Figure 1. Positive or negative values depict a relative increase or decrease in expression in kea1-1 kea2-1 relative to WT (average values of n = 2; gray dots represent individual biological replicates). The horizontal dotted line indicates less than two-fold change. The dashed vertical lines separate genes according to the complexes their protein products reside in: Rub. = Rubisco, PSI = Photosystem I, , Cyt. b6f = Cytochrome b6f complex, ATP synth. = ATP synthase, RNA pol. = RNA polymerase, Others = other proteins. D–G Average signal intensity of (D) 16S, (E) 23S, (F) 4.5S, (G) 5S plastid rRNA transcripts in the WT and kea1-1 kea2-1 under control and NaCl conditions. Dark gray bars represent signal from the 5'- and 3'-noncoding regions of precursor transcripts, and the light gray bars represent signal from the coding portion of the rRNA transcript. The bars are the average values of n = 2; the black dots represent individual biological replicates.
kea1 kea2 loss-of-function mutants. Therefore, we investigated if disturbances in K⁺/H⁺ exchange across the chloroplast envelope caused by simultaneous loss of KEA1/KEA2 affect PGE. This was achieved by analyzing chloroplast mRNA accumulation and translation by transcript and ribosome profiling with a plastid microarray (Zoschke et al., 2013; Troesch et al., 2018). As in the salt-rescue experiment described previously (Figure 1), we compared chloroplast gene expression from kea1-1kea2-1 and the WT under control and salt treatment (Figure 4). We were able to document some alterations in transcript accumulation (Figure 4A), translation output (footprint abundances, Figure 4B), and translation efficiency (ribosome footprint abundances normalized to transcript abundances; Figure 4C) in kea1 kea2 compared with the WT. However, for most genes, the fold change was low, i.e., less than two (ocher-shaded areas in Figure 4; Supplemental Dataset 1). More importantly, not a single chloroplast gene exhibited substantially altered expression between kea1 kea2 and WT comparing salt treatment and control condition (i.e., more than two-fold change). Altogether, the mild changes in the transcript accumulation of specific chloroplast genes are an unlikely cause for the observed phenotypic differences between salt and control conditions. This contrasts our observations on alterations in nuclear gene expression (Figures 2 and 3; Supplemental Figure S6).

The probes on the chloroplast microarray also allow for semi-quantitative estimation of the rRNA-processing status, although the high abundance of mature rRNAs and concomitant saturation effects may skew these estimations. Nevertheless, we noticed unusually high-signal intensities from probes covering a plastid microarray (Zoschke et al., 2013; Troesch et al., 2018).

Figure 5. Loss-of-function lines with plastid rRNA maturation defects resemble kea1 kea2. A, Percent survival rates for WT, kea1-1kea2-1, rap-1, and rps5-2 phenocopies on increasing concentrations of plastid translation inhibitor spectinomycin (±SEM, n = 9–10). Asterisks denote concentration where mean survival rate is significantly below WT survival based on a Kruskal–Wallis test with Dunn’s multiple comparisons test for non-parametric data (P < 0.05). B, RGB image of WT, kea1-1kea2-1, and phenocopies rps5-2 and rap-1. C, False color image of image of maximum quantum yield of PSII (Fv/Fm) for soil-grown plants. D, Plot of Fv/Fm for 1/2 MS grown plants with or without NaCl (±SEM, n = 51–94). Control (dark gray bars) and NaCl treatments (light gray bars) are as described for Figure 1. Different letters denote significantly different means based on a Kruskal–Wallis test with Dunn’s multiple comparisons test for nonparametric data (P < 0.05).
Salt treatment resulted in signal reductions from the immature extensions and moderate increases in signal from the functional mature regions in kea1 kea2 compared with WT. Hence, our results suggest a disturbed rRNA maturation in kea1kea2.

To further investigate the potential rRNA processing effect, we compared the phenotype of kea1-1kea2-1 with two previously described mutants: rap-1 (Kleinknecht et al., 2014) and rps5-2 (Figure 5; Zhang et al., 2016). In both mutants, the loss of a cRBP results in a chloroplast rRNA maturation defect. To test disruption of chloroplast rRNA function, we subjected all three mutants to increasing concentrations of spectinomycin, which binds bacterial ribosomes and inhibits translation (Brink et al., 1994). All three mutant lines were more susceptible to the inhibitor than the WT, indicating a preexisting deficit of functional ribosomes (Figure 5A). The rap-1 mutant was the most sensitive (survival rate <50% at 1 μg ml⁻¹), rps5-2 was the least sensitive (survival rate <50% at 5 μg ml⁻¹), and kea1-1kea2-1 had an intermediate susceptibility (survival rate <50% at 3 μg ml⁻¹). When we grew kea1-1kea2-1, rap-1, and rps5-2 side-by-side, all three mutants displayed a delayed-greening phenotype with pale young leaves, which improved with leaf age (Figure 5B).

Figure 6 Plastid rRNA processing defects in the kea1 kea2 mutant are alleviated under NaCl stress conditions compared with control conditions. A, Physical map of the plastid rRNA transcript with binding position of probes (black lines) used in RNA gel blot analyses. B, From top to bottom: RNA gel blot analyses of 16S rRNA accumulation using probes against the 5′-noncoding region (5′), the 16S rRNA coding region (16S), and the 3′-noncoding region (3′). In the middle blot, the upper and lower bands represent unprocessed and mature 16S rRNA, respectively. C, The same RNA gel blots from (B) rehybridized to analyze additional plastid rRNAs. From top to bottom: 23S, 4.5S, and 5S rRNA accumulation. Sizes of marker bands are indicated on the left side in kilonucleotides (knt). Pictures of methylene blue-stained chloroplast and cytosolic rRNAs (M.B.) are provided below each blot to illustrate equal loading. Black asterisks show the rRNA precursor bands whose accumulation changes in kea1-1 kea2-1 upon NaCl treatment. Samples analyzed include control and NaCl-treated WT, kea1-1 kea2-1, and two lines with previously characterized plastid rRNA maturation defects, rap-1 and rps5-2. Control and NaCl treatments are as described for Figure 1.
leaves of all three mutants (Figure 5C). Again, the phenotype was most extreme in rap-1, while rps5-2 was less affected than the kea1-1kea2-1 mutant. Interestingly, kea1-1kea2-1 recovered in the presence of NaCl, yet neither rap-1 nor rps5-2 showed a significant $F_o/F_m$ increase, although a slight, non-significant $F_o/F_m$ increase was noticeable in rap-1 (Figure 5D).

Next, we carried out RNA gel blots to probe all four plastid rRNA species (Figure 6). In the case of the 16S rRNA, three different probes were hybridized: functional transcript, 5'-extension transcript, and 3'-extension transcript. For 23S, 4.5S, and 5S rRNAs, the functional transcript regions were probed (Figure 6A). Substantiating the microarray results (Figure 4, D–F), we found unprocessed 16S, 23S, and 4.5S rRNA transcripts to accumulate in kea1 kea2 under control conditions (Figure 6, B and C). A similar albeit more severe maturation defect was found in control rap-1 and rps5-2 plants (Figure 6, B and C). Interestingly, NaCl treatment reduced the accumulation of immature 16S, 23S, and 4.5S RNAs in kea1 kea2 (Figure 6, B and C) but had no effect on rRNA maturation in rap-1 or rps5-2 (Figure 6, B and C). The maturation of 5S rRNA transcripts was similar across genotypes regardless of the treatment. In summary, the virescent phenotype in kea1 kea2 is associated with rRNA processing defects, which are rescued by NaCl exposure.

The secondary structure of plastid rRNA transcripts and RNA–protein interactions are compromised in kea1 kea2 mutants

RNA processing relies on direct interaction between transcript and cRBPs (Stoppel and Meurer, 2011; Barkan and Small, 2014). These interactions are dependent on the secondary structure of transcripts. Therefore, we performed dimethyl sulfate (DMS) treatments to test if the in vivo structure of rRNA transcripts is altered when the two envelope K⁺/H⁺ exchangers are missing. DMS, a readily diffusible
reactive reagent, methylates adenine and cytosine bases exclusively in single-stranded RNAs. DMS methylation of nucleotides prevents base pairing during a reverse transcription primer extension assay, resulting in shorter primer extension products. Less structured transcripts that are unprotected through protein binding have higher DMS reactivity and thus yield altered patterns of extension products. Indeed, we observed significant and highly reproducible structural changes in kea1 kea2 in 23S transcripts and mild changes in 16S rRNA transcripts (Figure 7A). Structural alterations were less prevalent when mutant plants were grown in the presence of NaCl.

The structural RNA changes may affect the capacity of cRBPs to bind their target. This could explain the observed processing defects in 16S, 23S, and 4.5S rRNAs. As most chloroplast RNA-binding proteins are encoded in the nucleus (Barkan, 2011; Manavski et al., 2018), we initially tested if the lack of KEA1 and KEA2 hampers protein import into the organelle. The uptake rates for two well-established import substrates, ferredoxin-NADP$^+$ reductase (FNR), and pyruvate dehydrogenase E1 alpha subunit (E1a), into isolated kea1 kea2 mutant plastids were no different from WT (Supplemental Figure S7). Therefore, the rRNA processing defects documented in kea1 kea2 are not caused by a shortage of nuclear-encoded cRBPs in the chloroplast. Next, we tested RNA–protein interaction via RNA-Co-IP between the PALE CRESS (PAC) protein and one of its specific binding targets, the 23S rRNA transcript (Meurer et al., 2017). Even though slightly more PAC was immunoprecipitated in mutant specimens, the amount of bound 23S rRNA was lower in mutant plastids than in WT, suggesting that the lack of KEA1 and KEA2 hampers protein binding to their targets.

Figure 8 Immunoblots and pulse-chase experiments revealed lower steady-state levels of plastid-encoded proteins, and decreased translation rates. A, B, All experiments carried out in young (Y) versus mature (M) leaf tissue from WT and kea1-1 kea2-1. A, Immunoblots for steady-state levels of plastid and nuclear-encoded proteins. Plastid-encoded proteins include Rubisco large subunit (RbcL) and the D1 reaction center of PSII (PsbA). Nuclear-encoded plastid-targeted proteins include Rubisco small subunit (RbcS), LHC a/b binding protein, protein import complex components Tic110 and Tic40, chloroplast rRNA binding protein Pale Cress (PAC), plastid ribosomal protein S5 (RPS5), and K$^+$/H$^+$ antiporters KEA1/KEA2. The nuclear-targeted transcription factor involved in PhANG expression Golden-Like 1 (GLK1) was also quantified. Vacular ATPase (V-ATPase) was used to probe the abundance of non-chloroplast proteins and demonstrate equal loading of samples. Additional blot is shown in Supplemental Figure S8. B, Quantification of total protein produced in pulse-chase experiment (top), RbcL production (middle), and PsbA (bottom). Leaf discs were harvested after 0, 15, and 40 min during the pulse portion of the experiment. After chase with nonradioactive amino acids, samples were taken every 2 h for a total of 400 min. Red line represents transition from pulse (P) to chase (C) stage. For each parameter, values were normalized to the intensity of the WT at the 40-min timepoint for each leaf age (±SEM, n = 3). Blue arrows adjacent to line indicate kea1-1 kea2-1 has a significantly lower protein synthesis rate from the WT at given timepoint based on an ordinary two-way ANOVA with Sidak’s multiple comparisons test (P < 0.05). Images of the autoradiographs are available in Supplemental Figure S9.
of the experiment revealed significantly lower rates of \(^{35}\)Si incorporation in \(kea1\) \(kea2\) young pale leaves and mature green leaves with respective WT tissues (Figure 8A; Supplemental Figure S8). Initially, we checked protein levels of the vacuolar–ATPase as a loading control. V-ATPase amounts were unchanged between genotypes and leaves of different ages. KEA1 and KEA2 carriers were more abundant in young WT leaves, but as expected were absent from \(kea1\) \(kea2\) protein extracts. Interestingly, plastome-encoded Rubisco small subunit (RbcL) and PsbA proteins were markedly decreased in young pale \(kea1\) \(kea2\) leaf tissue compared with young WT tissue. However, Rbcl was detectable at WT levels in the older green leaves of \(kea1\) \(kea2\). The two PhANG-encoded proteins Rubisco small subunit (RbcS) and light harvesting chlorophyll (LHC) were decreased in \(kea1\) \(kea2\) young leaves but present at WT level in older tissue. Correspondingly, GLK1, the TF controlling PhANG expression (Waters et al., 2009) was barely detectable in \(kea1\) \(kea2\) young leaves but very pronounced in WT young leaves. Finally, the plastid protein translocon subunits Tic110 and Tic40 and the two tested cRBPs, RPS5, and PAC, were more abundant in \(kea1\) \(kea2\) young leaves than in corresponding WT tissue.

Pulse-chase studies reveal altered translation in \(kea1\) \(kea2\) chloroplasts

The ribosome profiling method is useful to unveil translation defects for specific chloroplast genes. However, the rRNA processing defect in \(kea1\) \(kea2\) may cause a general chloroplast translation deficiency as indicated by altered steady-state levels of plastome-encoded proteins (Figure 8A; Supplemental Figure S8). These global differences may be obscured by normalization in ribosome profiling. Hence, we performed pulse-chase assays to determine if in \(kea1\) \(kea2\) the overall synthesis or turnover of plastome-encoded proteins was altered due to translational defects. In consideration of the characteristic delayed greening phenotype in \(kea1\) \(kea2\), we again investigated young and mature leaves from both genotypes separately (Figure 8B; Supplemental Figure S9). Initially, we analyzed total chloroplast translation and protein turnover rates in young and mature leaf samples under illumination. The pulse portion of the experiment revealed significantly lower rates of \(^{35}\)S incorporation in \(kea1\) \(kea2\) chloroplast proteins compared with WT specifically in young leaves (Figure 8B). Protein degradation rates did not vary significantly over the course of the 320-min chase period. Rbcl and PsbA, the two most abundantly synthesized proteins in chloroplasts, were utilized to track the translation degradation kinetic of individual proteins. Unexpectedly, Rbcl translation occurred to the same degree in young WT and \(kea1\) \(kea2\) leaf tissue, and yet older green \(kea1\) \(kea2\) leaves showed a significant increase of Rbcl synthesis compared with its respective WT control tissue. Rbcl protein was also very stable and no significant loss in labeling was detected in either genotype during the chase portion of the assay. In contrast, PsbA synthesis rates were strongly reduced in young pale \(kea1\) \(kea2\) leaves. The difference between the genotypes was less dramatic in older leaves. As expected, PsbA turnover was quick and took place at a similar rate in WT and \(kea1\) \(kea2\) during the 320-min-long chase period. We conclude that overall chloroplast protein production rates are lower in \(kea1\) \(kea2\). This effect is most dramatic in the pale young mutant leaf tissue.

Immature rRNAs in \(kea1\) \(kea2\) affect polysome loading

We have documented structural changes in rRNA transcripts, reduced RNA-protein binding, buildup of unprocessed rRNAs, and lower protein output in \(kea1\) \(kea2\) chloroplasts. Thus, we hypothesized that immature rRNAs in mutants may not properly assemble into functional plastid ribosomes. To test this hypothesis, we fractionated polysomes in sucrose gradients and probed specific transcripts in addition to 16S and 23S rRNAs. We observed a clear shift toward lower density fractions (lower numbers) for all probed RNAs in \(kea1\) \(kea2\) under control conditions (Figure 9A). This finding indicates that in mutants fewer ribosomes were bound to plastome mRNAs explaining in part the reduced chloroplast translation rate and lower steady-state level of plastome-encoded proteins (Figure 8). When \(kea1\) \(kea2\) plants were grown in the presence of NaCl the distribution of polysome-bound RNAs more closely resembled WT as we found stronger signals in higher density fractions (higher numbers) for all RNA probes (Figure 9B). This implies that ribosome loading onto mRNAs recovered in NaCl-treated \(kea1\) \(kea2\). In parallel, we extracted total leaf proteins from all specimens. Immunoblotting confirmed that steady-state levels of plastome-encoded proteins represented by Rbcl and PsbA were reduced in the \(kea1\)-\(kea2\) mutants under control conditions but increased upon NaCl treatment (Figure 9C). In line with the recovery of photosynthetic parameters (Figure 1), protein amounts of the two PhANGs RbcS and LHC also recovered in NaCl-treated \(kea1\) \(kea2\) plants.

The GUN1-dependent RS pathway acts to suppress the GLK1/GLK2 nexus in \(kea1\) \(kea2\) mutants

Our transcriptomics data showed that the GLK1/GLK2 TFs responsible for chloroplast development and PhANG expression were downregulated in \(kea1\) \(kea2\) under control conditions (Figure 3A; GO:0009658 chloroplast organization). Consequently, GLK1 protein did not accumulate in young mutant leaves (Figure 8A). The GLK1/GLK2 downstream
Figure 9  Polysome loading and immunoblot analysis of chloroplast proteins showing recovered PGE in NaCl-treated kea1 kea2 plants. A, Polysomes were isolated via sucrose density gradients and fractions probed via RNA blot for loading with psaC, rbcL, and psaA mRNAs and 16S or 23S rRNAs, respectively. Increasing fraction numbers represent heavier polysomes. A representative result of three replicates is shown. MB = methylene blue staining. B, Quantification of transcript abundance from (A) showed more similar polysome loading to WT if kea1 kea2 was treated with NaCl. NaCl treatments are as described for Figure 1. C, Immunoblotting of total protein extracts from same plant tissue showed higher steady-state protein levels for plastome-encoded proteins RbcL and PsbA, and for nuclear-encoded PhANGs LHC and RbcS in NaCl-treated kea1-1 kea2-1. CBB, Coomassie Brilliant Blue.
targets have been well characterized to contain numerous PhANGs, particularly LHC components and chlorophyll biosynthesis enzymes (Fitter et al., 2002; Waters et al., 2009; Berry et al., 2013). We used the gene information to draw a quantitative GLK1/GLK2 nexus map by plotting RNA-SEQ log2 fold change values from our WC_KC and WS_KS comparisons (Figure 10). Indeed, we found that GLK1/GLK2 and many of their downstream targets were significantly downregulated in the kea1 kea2 mutant under control conditions but were no longer significant upon salt treatment (Figure 10). This suggests PhANG suppression via reduction of GLK1/GLK2 transcripts in kea1 kea2 is a response to disturbed plastid ion transport and contributes to the low content of chlorophyll and photosynthetic rates found in the mutant. Negative regulation of GLK1/GLK2 and subsequent PhANG suppression was shown to delay chloroplast development (Fitter et al., 2002; Waters et al., 2009), and has been suggested as a coping mechanism to minimize damage in malfunctioning plastids (Kakizaki et al., 2009; Leister and Kleine, 2016).

This raises the question of how chloroplasts can sense and communicate ion imbalance to the nucleus to trigger changes in gene expression via GLK1/GLK2 TFs. Two main pathways control GLK1/GLK2 transcription: (1) R/FR light signaling via phytochromes activates GLK1/GLK2 expression (Waters et al., 2008; Oh and Montgomery, 2014) and (2) the chloroplast-to-nucleus RS pathway suppresses specifically GLK1 transcription through function of its central player GENOMES UNCOUPLED 1 (GUN1) (Kakizaki et al., 2009; Waters et al., 2009; Martin et al., 2016). Consequently, we investigated both pathways in the kea1 kea2 background to uncover which pathway is involved in the response to loss of envelope K+/H+ exchange and the resulting negative effects on PGE. Based on RNA-SEQ data, neither the R/FR-sensing phytochromes PHYA/PHYB nor the RS component GUN1 exhibit dramatic alteration of transcript levels (Figure 10). However, our FUNC-E analysis showed that downstream components of R/FR light perception (GO:0010017; Supplemental Figure S6, B) were enriched in our RNA-SEQ dataset.
Figure 11 Retrograde signaling via GUN1 is triggered in response to loss of KEA1/KEA2 to downregulate PhANGs. A, Schematic showing genotype position on the plate. B, RGB and (C) false color image of maximum quantum yield of PSII ($F_v/F_m$) of 1-week-old plants including WT, two independent gun1 loss-of-function mutants, the two independent kea1 kea2 mutants, and gun1 kea1 kea2 triple mutants. D, Mean $F_v/F_m$ for 1-week old seedlings in Figure 11C ($\pm$ SEM, $n=4$, points represent individual bioreplicates). Different letters above the bars denote significantly different means based on an ordinary 1-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test ($P < 0.05$). E, Three-week-old plants including WT, kea1-1 kea2-1, gun1-201, and gun1-201 kea1-1 kea2-1 mutant. F, Total chlorophyll content of three-week-old plants from Figure 10E ($\pm$ SEM, $n=12$). Different letters above the bars denote significantly different means based on a Kruskal–Wallis test with Dunn’s multiple comparisons test for nonparametric data ($P < 0.05$). G–I, Normalized gene expression based on RT-qPCR in three-week-old WT, gun1-201, kea1-1 kea2-1, and gun1-201 kea1-1 kea2-1 lines ($\pm$ SEM, $n=8–9$). Genes tested included GLK1 (H), LHCB1.2 (I), and LHCB2.2 (J). Different letters above the bars denote significantly different means based on either an ordinary one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test for normally distributed data, or a Kruskal–Wallis test with Dunn’s multiple comparisons test for nonparametric data ($P < 0.05$).
Therefore, we set up germination experiments under monochromatic R/FR light and determined the hypocotyl length as a proxy for R/FR light signal perception (Fukazawa et al., 2020). As positive controls, we included phytochrome-deficient mutants phyb in red light or phyA for FR light experiments, respectively (Nagatani et al., 1993; Reed et al., 1993; Neff and Chory, 1998). The kea1 kea2 hypocotyl length under both monochromatic light treatments was roughly the same as in WT when normalized to a dark-grown control (Supplemental Figure S10). Conversely, phyb and phyA mutants failed to respond to R/FR light, respectively, and revealed the characteristically extended hypocotyl under the given light condition. Consequently, perturbed R/FR light signaling is unlikely a factor in the observed low GLK1/GLK2 expression in kea1 kea2 double mutants.

Disruption of PGE, changes in tetrapyrrole biosynthesis, and reactive oxygen species (ROS) accumulation result in downregulation of the PhANGs via GLK1 suppression. This occurs through the RS pathway and its main mediator GUN1 (Kleine and Leister, 2016; Hernandez-Verdeja and Strand, 2018; Tadini et al., 2020). We demonstrated that PGE is decreased in kea1 kea2 mutants (Figures 4–9). Additionally, we documented significantly higher H$_2$O$_2$, i.e. ROS production in kea1 kea2 leaves compared with WT under control conditions (Supplemental Figure S11, A and B). To genetically test the role of the RS pathway in governing GLK1 expression in response to disturbed plastid ion transport, we introgressed two independent gun1 T-DNA insertion lines gun1-101 (Ruckle et al., 2007) and gun1-201 (Martin et al., 2016) into both kea1 kea2 lines and isolated gun1 kea1 kea2 triple mutants (Figure 11).

When we germinated all genotypes side-by-side and documented their photosynthetic capacity, We noticed a strongly aggravated phenotype in both gun1 kea1 kea2 lines compared with parental mutant lines (Figure 11, A–D). Many gun1 kea1 kea2 triple mutant seedlings either died immediately after germination or showed significantly lower F$_{v}$/F$_{m}$ than kea1 kea2 double or gun1 single mutants. Interestingly, a few gun1 kea1 kea2 triple mutant individuals did survive. These slow-growing individuals were visibly greener and indeed had increased total chlorophyll compared with kea1 kea2 (Figure 11, E and F; Supplemental Figure S12). In line with the previously assigned role of GUN1 in the suppression of GLK1 and the PhANGs (Kakizaki et al., 2009; Waters et al., 2009; Martin et al., 2016), our quantitative PCR data show that GLK1 mRNA level was suppressed in kea1 kea2 double mutants compared with the WT, but recovered in gun1 kea1 kea2 triple mutants (Figure 11G). Furthermore, representative PhANG members downstream of GLK1, namely LHCB1.2 and LHCB2.2, showed closer to WT mRNA levels (Figure 11, H and I). Thus, the increase in chlorophyll in older triple mutants (Figure 11F) is likely due to PhANG expression in the absence of GUN1-mediated GLK1 suppression. We hypothesize that the high rate of gun1 kea1 kea2 seedling mortality is due to pre-existing oxidative stress exacerbated by uncontrolled expression of the PhANGs after loss of GUN1. Our finding that kea1 kea2 double mutants exhibit increased levels of plastid H$_2$O$_2$ supports this hypothesis (Supplemental Figure S11).

**Discussion**

In this study, we characterized the consequences resulting from the loss of KEA1/KEA2, two chloroplast envelope K$^+$ / H$^+$ exchangers, as well as compensatory mechanisms used by the plant cell to tolerate this defect. Previous studies revealed that kea1 kea2 double mutants exhibit altered chloroplast biogenesis, delayed leaf greening, and impaired photosynthesis (Kunz et al., 2014; Aranda-Sicilia et al., 2016). However, the mechanistic link between loss of transport function and the developmental defects remained unknown (Sze and Chanroj, 2018). Here, we show through a systems biology approach that the delayed chloroplast biogenesis and leaf greening in kea1 kea2 originate from an impairment of PGE.

Moderate NaCl stress, a treatment known to revert developmental defects in kea1 kea2 (Kunz et al., 2014), was used in combination with RNA-SEQ to pinpoint regulatory and metabolic pathways most altered by loss of KEA1/KEA2. Functional enrichment analysis revealed numerous genes with photosynthesis and chloroplast-related annotations were deregulated in kea1 kea2 (Figure 2). However, only a small set of these annotation groups recovered to WT levels under NaCl treatment. Most of these annotations were related to chloroplast RNA metabolism. A deeper investigation of these genes revealed that many cRBPs involved in RNA processing and editing were upregulated in kea1 kea2, suggesting that a cellular program was triggered to compensate for a defect in the organelle’s RNA metabolism or other impairments of PGE (Figure 3).

Through plastid transcriptomics and RNA gel blotting, we documented maturation defects for most chloroplast rRNAs (16S, 23S, and 45S) in mutants (Figures 4 and 6). Polysome studies and pulse–chase experiments suggest that this defect impacts the assembly and function of chloroplast ribosomes in kea1 kea2 chloroplasts (Figures 8 and 9). In young pale leaves, the steady-state levels and translation rates for chloroplast-encoded proteins, especially for PsbA, were drastically decreased. Interestingly, kea1 kea2 mutants phenotypically resemble “virescent” (pale young leaf) mutants such as rap and rps5, which both suffer from plastid rRNA maturation defects (Figure 5). All three mutant lines were susceptible to the bacterial ribosome inhibitor spectinomycin, a typical behavior for mutants in which the pool of functional ribosomes is reduced by genetic defects. In summary, our data suggest that kea1 kea2 null mutants are affected in PGE because of rRNA processing defects.

These findings raise the question: Why are plastid ribosomes impacted by the loss of KEA1/KEA2 and resulting diminished K$^+$/H$^+$ exchange across the envelope membrane? PGE relies heavily on nuclear-encoded cRBPs (Barkan, 2011; Hammani et al., 2014; Manavski et al., 2018). Our in vitro import assays suggest that protein uptake in kea1 kea2 mutant
chloroplasts proceeds at WT rates (Supplemental Figure S7). The transcriptomic data revealed a striking increase in nuclear-encoded cRBPs (Figure 3). By immunoblotting two representative cRBPs, RPS5 and PAC, we show that protein and mRNA levels correspond. Therefore, we conclude that sufficient amounts of RNA-binding protein are present in kea1 kea2 mutant chloroplasts. Hence, the rRNA processing defect exhibited by kea1 kea2 mutants is likely the result of reduced binding or activity of RNA-binding proteins. In vitro studies on cRBP member PPR10 established that the binding capacity of PPR10 to its target mRNA is strongly dependent on electrostatic forces (McDermott et al., 2018). Even weak changes to RNA structure, such as secondary structures induced by NaCl, disturb the binding site and protein–RNA interaction.

Indeed, the secondary structure for 23S and 16S rRNA transcripts was altered in mutants lacking the two-envelope K\(^+\)/H\(^+\) exchangers (Figure 7A). Furthermore, PAC protein immunoprecipitated from kea1 kea2 bound less 23S rRNA than respective WT isolates, suggesting that access to its RNA-binding site may be sterically hindered or its affinity is reduced (Figure 7B). Disturbed ion homeostasis may also influence ribosomal assembly and function independently of rRNA processing. Optimal ribosomal function requires balanced concentrations of Mg\(^2+\), K\(^+\), and cationic polyamines in bacteria (Nierhaus, 2014). While it has been known for years that a lack or excess of K\(^+\) prevents proper integrity and function of bacterial-type ribosomes (Blaha et al., 2002; Hirokawa et al., 2002; Konevega et al., 2004; Petrov et al., 2012). However, excess K\(^+\) outcompetes Mg\(^2+\) for binding sites on ribosomal subunits, which impairs ribosome assembly and consequently protein synthesis (Nierhaus, 2014). A recent structural study in bacteria confirmed that K\(^+\) ions play a direct role in stabilizing the ligand interactions between the translation machinery and messenger RNAs, transfer RNAs, ribosomal RNAs, and ribosomal proteins (Rozov et al., 2019). We cannot directly assign the phenotypic malfunction in kea1 kea2 to stromal K\(^+\) level due to the challenge of measuring the chloroplast ionome of the highly compromised mutants using standard elemental analytical techniques, such as ICP or total reflection X-ray fluorescence (TXRF). Employing a genetically encoded K\(^+\) sensor targeted to the stroma may overcome the current technical barriers. Nevertheless, transport assays using reconstituted recombinant KEA2 protein, isolated WT versus kea1 kea2 plastids, as well as complementation experiments with K\(^+\) transport deficient yeast and Escherichia coli strains unanimously confirmed K\(^+\)/H\(^+\) exchange for all KEA family members (Aranda-Sicilia et al., 2012; Zhu et al., 2018; Tsujii et al., 2019; Aranda Sicilia et al., 2021). Aranda-Sicilia demonstrated that stromal pH and thus likely K\(^+\) level in isolated chloroplasts dynamically respond to light–dark transitions, which is in part dependent on KEA1/2 activity. Therefore, also a role of pH homeostasis and osmoregulation on the delayed development of mutant plastid should be considered. Interestingly, lack of KEA1/KEA2 barely altered the stromal steady-state pH in illuminated leaf plastids (Aranda Sicilia et al., 2021).

kea1 kea2 mutants exhibit increased K\(^+\) content in green tissues, especially in developing young leaves (Höhner et al., 2016b, 2019). In line with previous research (Zhu et al., 1998; Stepień and Johnson, 2009; Chao et al., 2013), we have shown here that NaCl treatments and the concomitant competition between Na\(^+\) and K\(^+\) ions for uptake from the substrate result in K\(^+\) depletion in WT but more strongly in mutant leaf cells (Table 1). This situation is favorable for kea1 kea2 probably because less K\(^+\) builds up in the mutant chloroplasts, partially resetting the stromal ion homeostasis. This explains the recovery of rRNA maturation, the increased steady-state plastome protein levels, and restored photosynthesis in salt-treated kea1 kea2. A similar rescue effect cannot be achieved if RNA maturation is directly hampered, for instance through the loss of a cRBP as exemplified in rap and rps5 mutants (Figure 5). Notably, treating kea1 kea2 with equimolar KCl does not rescue but aggravates the mutant defects (Supplemental Figure S1). Therefore, it is reasonable to postulate the observed effects on plastid rRNA maturation and PGE in kea1 kea2 mutants are primarily due to the failure to dynamically regulate stromal K\(^+\) levels (and possibly pH). However, we cannot rule out the effect of other ion imbalances such as Mg\(^2+\), which may be caused indirectly by the loss of KEA1/KEA2.

Lastly, we investigated which signaling pathways become activated to alter nuclear gene expression in response to plastid ion imbalance. Previous studies have shown that a lack of PGE triggers ROS production and the GUN1-dependent RS pathway (reviewed in Tadini et al., 2020; Colombo et al., 2016). Once activated, the RS pathway slows gene expression for nuclear-encoded chloroplast-targeted proteins by suppressing the TF GLK1 and downstream targets, the PhANGs, to protect the plant from additional photo-oxidative damage (Kakizaki et al., 2009; Leister and Kleine, 2016; Martin et al., 2016). Our results show that rRNA maturation defects in kea1 kea2 hamper PGE, resulting in a strong suppression of GLK1 and its downstream PhANG targets (Figure 10). Accordingly, we found that an additional loss of the key RS mediator GUN1 in kea1 kea2 had dramatic consequences on plant vitality (Figure 11). In spite of this, surviving triple mutant individuals displayed significantly higher GLK1 and PhANG transcript levels and increased chlorophyll content.

This research has revealed a previously uncharacterized in vivo effect of plastid ion transport on PGE, chloroplast-to-nucleus signaling, and nuclear gene expression resulting in dramatic changes in chloroplast development and delayed leaf greening. According to our model, the inner envelope K\(^+\)/H\(^+\) exchangers KEA1 and KEA2 have an important role in setting up stromal ion and pH conditions for proper...
plastid gene expression in WT leaf cells (Figure 12A). Simultaneous KEA1 and KEA2 loss-of-function perturbs leaf K\(^+\) level and stromal buffer conditions, which affects RNA folding and RNA-protein interactions between cRBPs and their targets (Figure 12B). This results in a quantifiable chloroplast rRNA processing defect, decreased translation rates, and overall lower PGE. GUN1 senses PGE defects and other signs of plastid malfunction such as ROS. GUN1 initiates a signaling cascade that suppresses nuclear GLK1/GLK2 TFs. In the absence of GLK1/GLK2 TFs, PhANG expression remains low, thus halting plastid development, leaf greening, and photosynthesis (Waters et al., 2009). The addition of Na\(^+\) partially restores leaf and stromal ion homeostasis to sufficiently recover rRNA maturation and PGE (Figure 12C). This keeps the GUN1-dependent RS pathway inactive and allows for increased expression of GLK1 and PhANGs. The result is a timelier plastid development with improved photosynthetic rates in the *kea1 kea2* mutant under salt treatment.

In summary, our data show that the physiological significance of plastid ion transport goes beyond the well-known direct effects on photosynthesis. The activity of plastid ion transport proteins affects PGE, plastid biogenesis, and plastid-to-nucleus signaling. Each of these aspects also impacts photosynthetic rates. In other words, the primary role of KEA1/KEA2 is likely in plastid development and therefore many effects on photosynthetic activity are secondary. The results of this study have important implications for understanding stress physiology in crop plants and toward engineering photosynthesis. In recent years, changes to the plastid ion transportome have been pitched as a viable strategy to improve photosynthetic efficiency. However, this study shows that the organelle’s ion transportome maintains a much wider set of functions within the biological system than previously understood, which need to be considered when pursuing this strategy.

Materials and methods

Germplasm and plant growth

Homozygous *kea1-1 kea2-1* (CS72318) and *kea1-2 kea2-2* (CS72319) were obtained from our previous study (Kunz et al., 2014). Except for *rps5-2*, all other loss-of-function lines were obtained from ABRC. Lines were confirmed to be homozygous using PCR with one gene-specific primer and one T-DNA-specific primer. For a full list of lines and primers, see Supplemental Table S4. Seeds were sterilized in 70% (v/v) ethanol, plated on \(\frac{1}{2}\) concentration Murashige and Skoog (MS) media with 0.8% (w/v) agar and stratified in the dark at 4°C for 48 h (Murashige and Skoog, 1962). Plates were then placed in growth chamber (CU-41L4; Percival Scientific) with 150 μmol photons m\(^{-2}\)s\(^{-1}\) of continuous light (cool-white fluorescent bulbs), 16-h:8-h light: dark cycle. Growth temperatures were 22°C in the light and 18°C in the dark. Plants were grown for 7 days and then either

---

*Figure 12* Model depicting the influence of chloroplast ion homeostasis on PGE in WT plants, and in the *kea1 kea2* mutant under control and NaCl treatment conditions. A, WT leaf cell under control conditions with functional KEA1/KEA2 transporters. In this cell, stromal buffer conditions are appropriate for rRNA processing, ribosome assembly, and ribosome activity, allowing for sufficient PGE. Thus, GUN1 remains inactive, and expression of the GLK1/GLK2 TFs and PhANGs establishes chloroplast development and photosynthesis. B, *kea1 kea2* leaf cell under control conditions. Disruption of ion transport increases cellular K\(^+\), some of which accumulates in the plastid. This impairs plastome rRNA processing and ribosomal assembly/activity. The resulting disturbance of PGE triggers GUN1-mediated retrograde signaling to suppress the GLK1/GLK2 TFs and expression of PhANGs. As a result, chloroplast biogenesis is delayed, and photosynthetic rates remain low. C, *kea1 kea2* leaf cell after treatment with NaCl. We predict salt treatment partially recovers stromal ion balance, by preventing K\(^+\) accumulation in the cell and/or in the plastid. Thus, PGE is partially recovered. This keeps GUN1 signaling inactive, alleviating suppression of GLK1/GLK2 TFs and PhANG expression. The result is the execution of organelle biogenesis yielding photosynthesizing mutant chloroplasts.
transferred to soil (Sungro Professional Growing Mix #1, Sun Gro Horticulture, USA) or to ½ MS agar plates with additional treatment. Plants transferred to soil were grown at the same light intensity, light cycle, and temperature described above in a Bio Chamber SPC-56 with florescent tubes (Phillips FS4T5/841HO) and LED bulbs (Satco LED A19 15.5 watt, 2700K). Treatment plates contained 67.5mM NaCl or respective NaCl or KCl amounts as given in the figure legend.

Elemental analysis
Leaf rosette elements from 3-week-old NaCl treated or untreated plants were analyzed via TXRF spectroscopy using an S4 T-STAR T-Star (Bruker) except for Na and Mg, which were analyzed by atomic absorption spectroscopy. All procedures were described in detail previously (Höhner et al., 2016b). Significant differences in the normalized mean were determined using an ordinary one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Dunnnett’s multiple comparison test for parametric data, or a Kruskal–Wallis test and Dunn’s multiple comparison test for nonparametric data (P < 0.05). All statistical analyses are available in Supplemental Dataset S6. Unless otherwise noted, all statistical tests were conducted using Graphpad Prism 8 (Graphpad Software Inc).

Chlorophyll fluorescence measurements
Chlorophyll fluorescence measurements were taken on 3-week-old plants as previously described (Kunz et al., 2009), unless otherwise stated. Plants were dark adapted for 20 min prior to imaging. Imaging was carried out on an Imaging PAM M-series chlorophyll fluorometer (Walz) using a built-in induction protocol for chlorophyll fluorescence kinetics with a photosynthetically active radiation intensity of 185 photons m⁻²s⁻¹. Statistical evaluation was carried out for each figure containing PAM data as shown in Supplemental Dataset S6.

RNA isolation and sequencing
One-week-old Col-0 WT A. thaliana and independent kea1 kea2 double-mutant lines were transferred to ½ MS agar media with or without 67.5 mM NaCl and grown for an additional 15 days (plants were 22 days old at harvest). For each treatment and genotype, there were three biological replicates. Total RNA was isolated from leaf tissue using the GeneJet Plant RNA purification kit (Thermo Fisher). Contaminating genomic DNA was removed via treatment with DNase I (Thermo Fisher). Total RNA was then converted into a cDNA library using an Oligo(dT) polyA tail pulldown kit. Sequencing was carried out on an Illumina HiSeq 2500. Reads were 100 base pairs long, paired end, with over 15 million reads per sample. For details, see Supplemental Table S1. Raw sequencing data were repositied at the NCBI SRA public database (accession PRJNA573960, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/PRJNA573960).

RNA-SEQ data analysis
The raw fastq files were uploaded to the Illumina platform Basespace for further analysis through the Tuxedo Suite of RNA-SEQ analysis software. This pipeline includes the programs Bowtie, TopHat, Cufflinks, and Cuffdiff (Trapnell et al., 2012). These programs aligned raw reads to the TAIR10 Arabidopsis reference genome (Berardini et al., 2015), assembled transcripts, and subsequently called DEGs based on pairwise comparisons between each sample group. For this analysis, the FDR-adjusted P value (q value) was set to 0.05 to call significantly DEGs. For output files from Cuffdiff, see Supplemental Dataset S2. Subsequently, these genes were matched with a functional annotation from TAIR10 (Yoon Rhee et al., 2003). The lists of DEGs from the WT versus mutant comparisons under both control and salt conditions were then further filtered for genes that were differentially expressed in both independent kea1 kea2 mutant lines in the same direction to form a consensus DEG list. In other words, each gene on the consensus list was significantly up- or downregulated in both the WT comparison with kea1-1kea2-1 and the comparison with kea1-2kea2-2. Values from the consensus list for the control and salt treatment are labeled as “WC_KC” and “WS_KS,” respectively, in the figures and text. For the full consensus DEG list in the control treatment comparison with corresponding values for the salt comparison, see Supplemental Dataset S3, sheet “WC_KC.” For the full consensus DEG list in the salt comparison, see Supplemental Dataset S3, sheet “WS_KS.” The consensus lists for both treatments from Supplemental Dataset S3 were first analyzed by the subcellular compartment localization prediction tool SUBA4 (Supplemental Figure S4; Hooper et al., 2017). The consensus lists for both treatments from Supplemental Dataset 3 were then used for functional enrichment analysis through the tool FUNC-E to find significantly enriched GO terms, PFAM protein families, and INTERPRO domains in kea1 kea2 compared with WT under control and salt conditions (Ficklin and Alex Feltus, 2018). Annotations were pulled from the DAVID gene annotation tool website (Jiao et al., 2012). FUNC-E was run with a Fisher’s P value cutoff of 0.01 (–ecut 0.01) and a high stringency (–preset high). Then, FDR-adjusted P values were calculated. FDR-adjusted P values for significantly enriched terms (FDR-adjusted P < 0.01) in the WC_KC comparison were mapped as heatmaps using Graphpad Prism 8 (Graphpad Software Inc). FDR-adjusted P values from the WS_KS comparison were mapped to the enriched terms from the WC_KC comparison, with nonsignificant annotations plotted in gray. For output files from FUNC-E, see Supplemental Dataset S4. Heatmaps of gene expression (Figure 3; Supplemental Figure S6) were created in Graphpad using log₂ fold change values from comparisons with both independent kea1 kea2 lines for significantly DEGs from the WC_KC consensus list (WC_KC1, WC_KC2, FDR-adjusted P < 0.05) and corresponding log₂ fold changes for the salt treatment comparisons (WS_KS1, WS_KS2, non-significantly expressed genes plotted in gray). AGI numbers corresponding to gene names in heatmap are presented in
Supplemental Dataset S5. For the heatmaps of the GLK1/ GLK2 signaling nexus (Figure 10), a list of downstream targets was curated from the genes shown to be most induced by GLK1/2 based on microarray data (Waters et al., 2009). Log, fold change values for statistically significantly DEGs from the WC_KC1, WC_KC2 and WS_KS1, and WS_KS2 comparisons (FDR-adjusted P < 0.05) in the list are plotted in color. Nonsignificant genes are gray. The heatmaps were then arranged in the vector graphics program Inkscape (open source).

Sodium Dodecyl Sulphate–Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis (SDS–PAGE) and immunoblotting
To prepare samples, plants were grown on soil for 3 weeks as described above. About 20 mg of fresh weight from young or mature leaves was solubilized in 66 μL 4x Laemmli buffer; then, the final volume was adjusted to 200 μL with ddH2O. Samples were incubated at 80°C for 10 min, and then spun at 6,000g. For each sample, 2.5 μL of supernatant (∼ 0.25 mg FW) was loaded onto a 12% (w/v) polyacrylamide SDS–PAGE gel and run at 100V. Proteins were blotted from the gel onto 0.2-μm pore-size Biotrace NT nitrocellulose membrane (Pall Corporation) and blocked for 1 h in blocking buffer (Tween Tris buffered saline (TTBS) with 5% (w/v) nonfat milk powder). After rinsing three times for 5 min in TTBS, blots were incubated with 1:1,000 diluted respective primary antibody in TBS-T. RbcL (AS03037), RbcS (AS07259), V-ATPase (AS07213), PsbA (AS05084), and RPS5 (AS153075) antibodies were purchased (Agrisera). Custom antibodies included KEA1/KEA2 (Böltner et al., 2019), Tic40 (Stahl et al., 1999), Tic110 (Lübeck et al., 1996), LHCP (Clausen et al., 2004), PAC (Stoppel et al., 2011), and GLK1 (Tokumaru et al., 2017). Incubation was 1 h at room temperature, or overnight at 4°C. Subsequently, blots were rinsed in TTBS and incubated with secondary antibody ( Peroxidase-conjugated Affinipure Goat Anti-Mouse Ig (H + L), Protein Tech) diluted 1:25,000 in blocking buffer for 90 min. Blots were then incubated with Clarity Western ECL Substrate (Bio-Rad) and imaged on a LIFOR C-DiGit scanner (LI-COR). For GLK1 detection, SuperSignal West Femto Maximum Sensitivity Substrate (Thermo Fisher) and ImageQuant LAS4000 (GE Healthcare) were used.

Array-based ribosome and transcript profiling of plastome-encoded genes
Ribosome footprints and total RNA were isolated as described in Zoschke et al. (2013), following the modifications introduced in (Trösch et al., 2018). RNA labeling and hybridization were performed according to Zoschke et al. (2013) with slight modifications: 3.5 μg purified footprints and 4 μg fragmented total RNA from kea1 kea2 and WT (control and salt condition for each) were labeled with Cy3 and Cy5, respectively, using the ULS Small RNA Labelling Kit (Kreatech Diagnostics) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The analysis of ribosome profiling and transcriptome data was conducted as described in Trösch et al. (2018). Additional information in is provided in Supplemental Dataset S1.

RNA gel blot
About 300 ng total RNA was separated on 1.2% (w/v) agarose-formaldehyde gels as described previously (Beick et al., 2008). Separated RNAs were transferred to Hybond-N nylon membrane (GE Healthcare). The target transcripts were detected by hybridization at 50°C for the short (5’ and 3’) and at 65°C for the coding-region probes, respectively, with PCR products, which were body-labeled with [alpha-P32]-dCTP using random primers or sequence-specific reverse primers (see Supplemental Table S3). In order to prevent saturation effects for the detection of the highly abundant mature ribosomal RNA, 10 times cold probe (nonradioactive probe) was added to the hybridization reaction. The signals were detected by exposing phosphorimager screens to the radiolabeled membranes. Signals were quantified with Image Lab software (Bio-Rad).

DMS probing of RNA structure
Three-week-old plants were harvested and incubated in 1% of DMS freshly prepared in water for 4 min. Subsequently, DMS solution was replaced with ice-cold water and samples incubated on ice for 5 min. Leaves were frozen in liquid nitrogen and total RNA was extracted using TRIzol (Thermo Fisher Scientific) following the manufacturer’s instructions. In total, 2 μg of total RNA was incubated in the presence of [gamma-32P]-ATP 5’-end-labeled primer (23S rRNA intern and 3‘-end, 16S rRNA 3‘-end, 4.5S rRNA and 5S rRNA 3‘-end) and 0.5 mM dNTPs at 75°C for 5 min. Subsequently, the temperature was dropped to 50°C, SSIV RT enzyme mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific) added according to the manufacturer’s protocol for first-strand cDNA synthesis reaction and incubated for 30 min. The reaction was stopped by adding one volume of RNA loading buffer (90% deionized formamide, 20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 20 mM EDTA, traces of bromophenol blue, and xylene cyanole) and by incubating at 95°C for 5 min. Extension products were loaded onto an 8% polyacrylamide gel containing 8 M urea and run in 1×Tris-Borate-EDTA (TBE) buffer.

RNA-Co-IP
About 200 mg of ground tissue from 3-week-old plants was resuspended in 200 μL Co-IP buffer (100 mM Tris pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 5 mM EGTA, 0.5% Triton X-100, complete EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail [Roche], and 40 U of RNase Inhibitor [Thermo Fisher Scientific]) and incubated on ice for 20 min. Following 15-min centrifugation 20,000g at 4°C, the supernatant (1 mg) was incubated under gentle rotation with PAC-specific antibodies or control IgGs for 1 h at 4°C. IgGs were captured with SureBeads Protein A Mag beads (Bio-Rad). Co-purified RNA was recovered by TRizol extraction followed by phenol/chloroform/isoamyl-alcohol extraction and ethanol precipitation. Half of the pellet RNA and 1/80th of the supernatants were heated at 70°C for 10 min in 2x SSPE
buffer (300 mM NaCl, 20 mM Na2HPO4, and 2 mM EDTA pH 7.4) and blotted onto Hybond-N+ membrane (GE Healthcare) using a slot blot system (GE Healthcare). Membranes were hybridized with 16S and 23S rRNA-specific 80-mers as described in polysome loading section. To check for successful immunoprecipitation ca. 50 μg of the input and supernatant along with 1/10th of each IP fraction was separated via SDS PAGE, blotted onto a PVDF membrane and incubated with PAC-specific antibodies (1:3,000).

Polysome loading

Polysome loading experiment was performed as described previously (Meurer et al., 2017). [γ-32P]ATP 5’-end-labeled 80-mers were used as probes. Hybridization was performed with PerfectHybTM Plus Hybridization Buffer (Sigma) at 55°C for 3 h. Membranes were washed twice at 55°C for 5 min with buffer containing 1× SSC and 0.1% SDS.

RT-qPCR

Total RNA was extracted and treated with DNase I as described for the RNA-SEQ experiment. After removal of genomic DNA, a cDNA pools were prepared using a ReverTaid FirstStrand cDNA synthesis kit with Oligo(dT)18 primers (Thermo Fisher). RT-qPCR reactions were run using PerfeCTaq SYBR Green FastMix (QuantaBio), with 15 ng of template cDNA, and 300 nM final concentration of each primer per reaction. For lists of primers, see Supplemental Table S5. Three biological replicates of each sample and two technical replicates of each biological replicate were run in a Biorad CFX96 Real-Time thermocycler. PCR parameters can be found in Supplemental Table S6. This experiment was run independently three times, and a ΔCq value was calculated for each reaction using SAND or TIP2 as a house-keeping gene, as both genes show low variation in expression under different light conditions (Czechowski et al., 2005). Technical replicates for each biological replicate were averaged, and each biological replicate was normalized to the average WT level of expression. These normalized values were then used to calculate the mean and standard error of the mean (SEM) for each genotype. Significant differences in the normalized mean were determined using an ordinary one-way ANOVA and Tukey’s multiple Comparison test for parametric data, or a Kruskal–Wallis test and Dunn’s multiple comparisons test for nonparametric data (P < 0.05).

Chlorophyll determination

About 20 mg of leaf tissue from 24-day-old plants was ground in liquid nitrogen, and then incubated in 80% (v/v) acetone for 1 h on ice in the dark, vortexing occasionally. Samples were then spun at maximum speed for 5 min at 4°C. The absorbance of the supernatant at 646, 663, and 750 nm was measured in a spectrophotometer. The chlorophyll content was calculated from the absorption at these wavelengths as described previously (Porra et al., 1989). Statistically significant differences in mean chlorophyll content between genotypes were determined by use of a Kruskal–Wallis test and Dunn’s multiple comparisons test for nonparametric data (P < 0.05).

Hypocotyl elongation assays

WT, kea1-1kea2-1, kea1-2kea2-2, phyA-211 (Nagatani et al., 1993), and phyB-9 (Reed et al., 1993) seeds were sterilized as described in the plant growth section, but then plated in 25 mm-deep petri dishes. Seeds were stratified for 2–3 days at 4°C. Plates were then placed in 50 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ of light of red light (RL, λ = 627 nm, 14–16-h light: 8–10-h dark) in a Fytoscope (Photon Systems Instruments) for 12 h to stimulate germination. After 12 h, the plates were either transferred to 5 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ of constant far-red light (FRL, λ = ~750 nm) or remained in RL as described above for 4 more days. Hypocotyl lengths were measured by removing seedlings from agar, photographing flat, then quantifying hypocotyl length using the Simple Nuerite Tracer in Fiji image analysis software. Hypocotyl lengths were then normalized to the mean of the dark control of each genotype, and significant differences in the normalized mean were determined using a Kruskal–Wallis test and Dunn’s multiple comparisons test (P < 0.05).

ROS staining

H2O2 staining was carried out by incubating rosettes in 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (DAB), which forms a brown precipitate when reduced by H2O2 (Arsalan Daudi, 2012). Rosettes from control and salt-treated WT, kea1-1kea2-1, and kea1-2kea2-2 were vacuum infiltrated with 1% (w/v) DAB as previously described (Arsalan Daudi, 2012). Infiltrated rosettes were exposed to 200 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ of white light for 8 h to induce H2O2 production. As a negative control, some DAB infiltrated rosettes were incubated in the dark. Chlorophyll was bleached from the plants by boiling in a 3:1:1 solution of ethanol, acetic acid, and glycerol for 15 min. The % leaf area stained with DAB was quantified in Fiji image analysis software. Significant differences in the normalized mean were determined using a using a Kruskal–Wallis test and Dunn’s multiple comparisons test (P < 0.05).

Pulse-chase labeling of leaves

About 5 mg of tissue was punched from young and mature leaves of each genotype and placed into microfuge tubes. Punches were vacuum infiltrated with 50 μL of reaction buffer (1 mM KH2PO4 pH 6.3, 75 μM cycloheximide) and incubated on ice in the dark for 30 min. Tissue was then infiltrated with 10 μCi of Express 35S Protein Labelling Mix (Perkin Elmer) and incubated at 25°C under 300 photons m⁻² s⁻¹ of white light. For the chase, samples were washed once with incubation buffer, then once with chase buffer (reaction buffer with 10 mM L-cysteine, 10 mM L-methionine). Samples were then vacuum infiltrated with 50 μL of chase buffer and placed back under light. Samples were processed at each timepoint by washing twice in 200 mM Na2CO3, then homogenized in 50 μL of 100 mM Na2CO3, mixed with 33 μL 4× Laemmli buffer, and incubated at 80°C for 10 min. Samples where then spun at 1,000g for 5
Sorbitol, 5 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM EDTA, 20 mM HEPES–NaOH pH 8.0 (AT2G28390), and TIP2 (AT3G26520). For information on T-DNA insertion lines, see Supplemental Table S4.

**Spectinomycin susceptibility assay**

Plates for spectinomycin resistance were prepared as described in the plant growth section except that sterilized seeds were directly sown on treatment media. Spectinomycin treatment plates contained 0, 1, 3, 5, and 7 µg ml⁻¹ spectinomycin dihydrochloride. Plants were then grown for 14 days in the light and temperature conditions described in the plant growth section. Survival rates were calculated by dividing the number of plants with developed true leaves for each genotype. The rap-1 genotype was predisposed toward poor growth. Thus, the survival rate for each genotype was normalized to the rap-1 genotype.

## In vitro protein translation

Reading frames for FNR and PDHe1α (At1g01090) were cloned into pGEM®-SZF(+) Vector. In vitro translation of protein import substrates was performed applying the SP6 TNT coupled transcription/translation kit (Promega, WI, USA) in the presence of ³⁵S labeled Met/Cys for 60 min at 30°C.

## Chloroplast isolation and import

Seeds from respective lines were surface sterilized and sown on ½ MS with 1% (w/v) sucrose plates. After 3 weeks at 21°C, 16-h light: 8-h dark, plants were harvested using a razor blade from one (WT) or two (kea1-1kea2-1, kea1-2 kea2-2) plates, respectively. Leaf material was homogenized with a polytron mixer for 1–2 s in 25 mL of isolation buffer (0.3 M sorbitol, 5 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM EDTA, 20 mM HEPES, 10 mM NaHCO₃, pH 8.0 with KOH) and centrifuged for 4 min at 1,500 g and 4°C. The final pellets were resuspended in a small volume of wash buffer, and chlorophyll concentration was determined according as previously described (Arnon, 1949). Import was conducted in wash buffer containing 3 mM Na-ATP, 10 mM L-Met, 10 mM L-Cys, 50 mM ascorbic acid, 20 mM K-gluconate, 10 mM NaHCO₃, 0.2% (w/v) BSA plus 2-µL translation product for 8 min at 25°C. Afterward, chloroplasts were pelleted at 1,500g, washed once in 200 µL wash buffer, and then resuspended in SDS loading buffer. Proteins were separated on SDS gels, which were Coomassie stained, and then vacuum dried and exposed on a Phosphorimager Screen for 14 h. Screens were analyzed by a Typhoon Phosphorimager (GE Healthcare) and radioactive bands were quantified with Image Quant (GE Healthcare). In general, WT chloroplasts equivalent to 10–15 µg chlorophyll were used per import.

Since kea1 kea2 mutants have reduced chlorophyll content, equal plastid amounts per assay were quantified based on Coomassie-stained bands from the SDS–PAGE using Image Quant (GE Healthcare). Bands corresponding to RubCl and the LHC proteins were excluded from the quantification since kea1 kea2 has a preexisting deficiency in these typically high abundance proteins. For statistical evaluation, the amount of WT chloroplasts was set to 100%, as was the imported protein. All experiments were performed from three different chloroplast isolations.

### Statistical analysis

For specifics on statistical tests related to RNA-SEQ, see “RNA Sequencing (RNA-SEQ) Data Analysis” in “Methods”. All statistical tests not related to the RNA-SEQ analysis were conducted in GraphPad Prism™ version 8. Universally, error bars represent ±SEM, and α = 0.05 (P < 0.05). For comparisons of means influenced by two independent variables, a two-way ANOVA was used in conjunction with Tukey's multiple comparisons test or Sidak's multiple comparisons test depending on the types of comparisons made. For comparisons of means of normally distributed data influenced by one independent variable, an ordinary one-way ANOVA was used in conjunction with either Tukey's multiple comparisons test or Dunn's multiple comparisons test depending on the types of comparisons made. For comparisons of means of nonparametric data influenced by one independent variable, a Kruskal–Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons test was used. Details about the specific test used for each figure, table, and supplemental figure are provided in Supplemental Dataset S6.

### Accession numbers

These genetic loci were important to this project: KEA1 (AT1G01790), KEA2 (AT4G00630), GLK1 (AT2G20570), GLK2 (AT5G44190), GUN1 (AT2G31400), VAR2 (AT2G30950), RAP (AT2G31890), RPS5 (AT2G33800), PAC (AT2G48120), PHYA (AT1G09570), PHYB (AT2G18790), LHCBl2.1 (AT1G29910), LHCBl2.2 (AT2G05070), SAND (AT2G28390), and TIP2 (AT3G26520). For information on T-DNA insertion lines, see Supplemental Table S4.
information about use of these loci for RT-qPCR, see Supplemental Table S5.
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