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Abstract

Regulatory small RNAs play an essential role in maintaining cell homeostasis in bacteria in response to environmental stresses such as iron starvation. Prokaryotes generally encode a large number of RNA regulators, yet their identification and characterisation is still in its infancy for most bacterial species. *Burkholderia cenocepacia* is an opportunistic pathogen with high innate antimicrobial resistance, which can cause the often fatal cepacia syndrome in individuals with cystic fibrosis. In this study we characterise a small RNA which is involved in the response to iron starvation, a condition that pathogenic bacteria are likely to encounter in the host. BrrF is a small RNA highly upregulated in *Burkholderia cenocepacia* under conditions of iron depletion and with a genome context consistent with Fur regulation. Its computationally predicted targets include iron-containing enzymes of the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle such as aconitase and succinate dehydrogenase, as well as iron-containing enzymes responsible for the oxidative stress response, such as superoxide dismutase and catalase. Phenotypic and gene expression analysis of BrrF deletion and overexpression mutants show that the regulation of these genes is BrrF-dependent. Expression of *acnA*, *fumA*, *sdhA* and *sdhC* was downregulated during iron depletion in the wild type strain, but not in a BrrF deletion mutant. TCA cycle genes not predicted as target for BrrF were not affected in the same manner by iron depletion. Likewise, expression of *sodB* and *katB* was downregulated during iron depletion in the wild type strain, but not in a BrrF deletion mutant. BrrF overexpression reduced aconitase and superoxide dismutase activities and increased sensitivity to hydrogen peroxide. All phenotypes and gene expression changes of the BrrF deletion mutant could be complemented by overexpressing BrrF in trans. Overall, BrrF acts as a regulator of central metabolism and oxidative stress response, possibly as an iron-sparing measure to maintain iron homeostasis under conditions of iron starvation.

Introduction

*Burkholderia cenocepacia* J2315 is a member of the *Burkholderia cepacia* complex (BCC), a group of aerobic Gram-negative beta-proteobacteria which mainly live in the rhizosphere, but can also act as opportunistic pathogens, particularly in individuals with cystic fibrosis [1].
Iron is essential for living organisms, as part of the catalytic/active site of many enzymes, yet it is mostly inaccessible for bacteria due to the low solubility under oxic conditions at neutral pH [2, 3]. Iron acquisition mechanisms are therefore important for survival of bacteria [4], as well as mechanisms to conserve iron under conditions of iron depletion. On the other hand, higher intracellular concentrations of iron are toxic to cells [3]. Free iron can react with the superoxide anion and with H$_2$O$_2$, natural by-products of respiration and oxidase reactions, to form the very reactive hydroxyl radical via the Fenton reaction. All reactive oxygen species (ROS) can damage DNA, proteins and lipids, and an excess of ROS leads to cell death. For protection against ROS, cells produce detoxifying enzymes such as catalases and superoxide dismutases (SOD) [3].

The ferric uptake regulator (Fur) is important for iron homeostasis in many bacteria. Its best known mechanism of action is the repression of genes involved in iron uptake under iron replete conditions by iron-dependent binding to a specific sequence motif, the Fur box [2]. In Escherichia coli and Pseudomonas aeruginosa Fur also positively regulates SOD, the iron scavenger protein bacterioferritin and several enzymes of the tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA) [5, 6]. This positive regulation was attributed to indirect effects mediated by Fur-regulated small RNAs (sRNAs), RyhB in E. coli [6] and PrrF in P. aeruginosa [5]. Under iron-depletion the Fur repression of these sRNAs is lifted, they bind to the mRNA of their targets and the sRNA-mRNA hybrid is then rapidly degraded, or the translation of targets is inhibited [7]. This reduces the demand for iron in the cell, since many targets have iron or iron-sulfur clusters as cofactor.

A small RNA highly upregulated under iron depletion was identified in B. cenocepacia J2315 by screening dRNA-Seq data for short transcripts [8, 9] and designated ncS63. Its computationally predicted targets included confirmed targets of E. coli RyhB and P. aeruginosaprrF, such as sdhC and sodB [5, 6, 10, 11], yet ncS63 has no sequence similarity to any known Fur-regulated sRNAs. Here we report on the full characterisation of this low iron-induced sRNA. As our results suggest that B. cenocepacia ncS63 shows analogy to RyhB and PrrF, we suggest the name BrrF (Burkholderia regulatory RNA involving iron, Fe), analogous to P. aeruginosa PrrF [5] and Neisseria meningitidis NrrF [12].

### Materials and methods

#### Media

Strains were routinely cultured in LB broth or agar (low-salt Lennox formulation: 10 g/L tryptone, 5 g/L yeast extract, 5 g/L NaCl, 1.5% agar), supplemented with 600 μg/ml trimethoprim (TP) as selective antibiotic where appropriate. Gene expression from the plasmid was induced by adding rhamnose (Sigma) to a final concentration of 0.2% (w/v). Iron depletion was induced by adding the iron chelator 2,2’-dipyridyl (Sigma) at 200 μM final concentration to LB broth or agar.

For growth curves on single carbon compounds, a phosphate buffered mineral medium (basal salt medium, BSM) was used; which contained 18.6 mM K$_2$HPO$_4$, 7.2 mM NaH$_2$PO$_4$, 37.4 mM NH$_4$Cl, 0.1 g/L nitrilotriacetic acid, 0.2 g/L MgSO$_4$ x 7 H$_2$O, 0.012 g/L FeSO$_4$ x 7 H$_2$O, 0.003 g/L MnSO$_4$ x H$_2$O, 0.003 g/L ZnSO$_4$ x 7 H$_2$O, 0.001 g/L CoSO$_4$ x 7 H$_2$O, [13]. As B. cenocepacia J2315 does not grow in BSM when iron is depleted by addition of dipyridyl or by treating the medium with Chelex, low iron conditions were obtained by omitting iron sulfate.

All incubations were performed at 37˚C.

#### Strains and plasmids

*B. cenocepacia* strain J2315 ([S1 Table](#)) was used as background for all experiments. A *brrF* deletion mutant was constructed by allelic recombination as described by Aubert et al. [14].
Deletion of *brrF* was confirmed by Sanger sequencing of a 449 nt long region spanning *brrF*. Amplification of all inserts was performed with the HotStar HiFidelity Polymerase Kit (Qiagen).

For overexpressing BrrF, a region ranging from 80 nt upstream of the putative processing site to 49 nt downstream of the computationally predicted terminator sequence was amplified and cloned into an overexpression vector with a rhamnose-inducible promoter [15], yielding pBrrF-d1. The overexpression vector had previously been modified to remove the ribosome binding site and start codons [16].

The transcript expressed from pBrrF-d1 needs to be processed/cleaved to produce the native BrrF. Most computationally predicted interactions with targets were located at the very 5’ end of the cleaved transcript, making it the most interesting region to introduce point mutations for complementation experiments. Introducing mutations so close to the cleavage site could potentially interfere with the processing of the overexpression transcript. For this reason, a second overexpression vector was constructed by inverse PCR with pBrrF-d1 as template, removing the sequences upstream of the putative processing site, and yielding pBrrF-d2. In the same manner, a vector carrying a *brrF* derivative with point mutations at position 8 and 9 was constructed, also by inverse PCR with pBrrF-d1 as template, yielding pBrrF-d3.

Overexpression plasmid derivatives were created by inverse PCR with the LongRange PCR kit (Qiagen). PCR products were digested with DpnI (Promega) to remove template plasmid, blunted with T4 DNA polymerase (Promega) to remove A-overnhangs and gel-cleaned. Amplified plasmid was then phosphorylated using T4 polynucleotide kinase (Promega) and self-ligated (T4 DNA ligase, Promega). All plasmid inserts were verified by Sanger sequencing. Plasmids were transformed into *B. cenocepacia* J2315 by triparental mating and expression of BrrF from the plasmid in the presence of rhamnose was confirmed by qPCR.

All primer sequences are listed in S2 Table.

**Growth curves**

Growth on single carbon sources was measured in round-bottom 96-well microtiter plates (SPL Life Sciences) in a temperature-controlled microplate reader (Envision, Perkin Elmer), with intermittent shaking. Cells were inoculated at 10^6 CFU/ml and optical density (O.D.) was measured at 30 min intervals over >60 hours. The mineral medium was supplemented with 0.05% yeast extract and 0.05% casamino acids, without which strain J2315 would grow extremely slowly on only one carbon source. Controls with only the organic supplements were run on every plate. Compounds were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Fluka, Janssen, and Acros Organics. Every compound was tested in at least two independent biological replicates.

Growth in LB broth was investigated using glass flasks in a shaking incubator. Growth was monitored via backscattered light every 2 min using a Cell Growth Quantifier (CGQ, Aquila Biolabs).

Growth rates were determined using the equation \( \mu \) = \( \frac{\ln (x_{t2}/x_{t1})}{(t_{2}-t_{1})} \), where \( x \) denotes optical density or backscatter arbitrary units, and \( t_{1} \) and \( t_{2} \) refer to a point at the beginning or end of the analysed time interval, respectively [17]. The obtained values for \( \mu \) of the biological replicates were then analysed either by One-way ANOVA or a two-tailed Student’s t-test using SPSS (v. 25).

**Sensitivity to H\(_2\)O\(_2\)**

Cell suspensions with an O.D. (595 nm) of 0.1 (approx. 10^8 colony forming units (CFU) per ml) were spread on low-nutrient LB agar plates (1 g/L tryptone, 0.5 g/L yeast extract, 5 g/L NaCl, 1.5% agar) with a sterile cotton swab. Inoculated plates were pre-incubated for 1 hour to
allow gene expression to start. Then, 10 μl of a 1% H₂O₂ (Sigma) solution were applied to 6 mm blank susceptibility testing discs (Oxoid) which were placed into the middle of the agar plate. Tests were performed in six replicates, on three different days, and growth inhibition zones were measured after 24 h incubation.

**Superoxide dismutase activity measurements**

SOD activity was determined with a SOD assay kit (Sigma 19160-1KT-F), which uses a water soluble tetrazolium salt that reacts with superoxide produced by a xanthine oxidase. SOD inhibits this reaction by removing the superoxide. Cells were grown in 25 ml LB broth in flasks on a shaker as described above, without addition of inducers, to an O.D. of 0.5 (5 x 10⁸ CFU/ml). Then the inducer was added and incubation continued for another hour (pulse expression). Cultures were then cooled in ice water and centrifuged at 4°C. The cells were washed twice in 25 ml 50 mM ice-cold sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7, re-suspended in 1 ml of the phosphate buffer supplemented with 1 mg/ml dithiothreitol, and centrifuged again. The supernatant was removed and the cell pellet stored at -80°C for a maximum of 24 hours. Cells were lysed in 1 ml ice cold phosphate buffer by bead beating with zirconia-silica beads (0.1 mm diameter, BioSpec). The lysate was centrifuged at max speed for 5 min at 4°C, the supernatant transferred into a fresh tube and kept on ice. The SOD assay was performed immediately according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The protein concentration in the extract was determined with a Bradford assay (Sigma) and used for normalisation of the SOD activity.

**Aconitase activity measurements**

Aconitase activity was determined by measuring the production of cis-aconitate. To this end isocitrate was added to a crude cell extract in the presence of high concentrations of citrate and the increase of absorbance at 240 nm was measured [18–20].

Cells were grown in flasks as mentioned for SOD activity measurements. After harvest (as above), cell were washed twice in a TRIS/citrate buffer (20 mM citric acid, 20 g/L TRIS, pH 8), resuspended in 1 ml ice cold TRIS/citrate buffer and immediately lysed by bead beating. The lysate was centrifuged and the supernatant kept on ice. For aconitase activation, freshly prepared cysteine and ammonium iron (II) sulfate solutions were subsequently added to a final concentration of 5 and 0.5 mM, respectively. Supplemented lysates were then incubated on ice for one hour before measurements.

DL-isocitrate (Na₃-salt, Sigma) was added to the extract to a final concentration of 40 mM, at room temperature in a Biodrop μLite spectrophotometer, with a microvolume sample port of 0.5 mm path length. The absorbance at 240 nm was measured in intervals of 5 seconds for a total of 5 min and corrected for absorbance of the same sample without isocitrate addition. The protein concentration in the extract was determined with a Bradford assay, and a standard curve was generated with cis-aconitate (Sigma) added to heat-inactivated lysates (supplemented lysates heated to 50°C for 15 min and centrifuged) containing 40 mM isocitrate.

**qPCR**

Cells were grown in 25 ml LB broth in flasks on a shaker as described above. Rhamnose and/or dipyridyl were added 30 min before flasks were cooled in ice water and 4 ml culture harvested by centrifugation in microcentrifuge tubes at 4°C and maximum speed. This “pulse-expression” method was chosen to minimise secondary effects of iron depletion or BrrF-overexpression on target gene expression. Pellets were stored at -80°C for a maximum of one week. RNA was extracted with the RiboPure bacteria kit (ThermoFisher) with the following changes to the manufacturer’s instructions: the crude extract was mixed with 1.25 x volumes ethanol before
column cleaning to better retain sRNAs, and DNase I treatment time was increased to 60 min. RNA was transcribed to cDNA with the High Capacity cDNA RT kit (Applied Biosystems). qPCR was performed as described before [9], using the GoTaq qPCR Master Mix (Promega). Each reaction was run with two technical replicates, each condition with 3 biological replicates and a no-RT control, and no-template controls were performed for each gene. Cq values were normalised to control gene rpoD (BCAM0918). One-Way ANOVA with a Tukey Post-hoc test using SPSS (v. 25) was performed to determine statistical significance. All primer sequences are listed in S2 Table.

Computational methods

To identify loci with Fur boxes in the *B. cenocepacia* J2315 genome, and to predict the Fur box consensus sequence, a two-step procedure was employed. Fur box-containing upstream sequences of *B. multivorans* [21] were analysed using the MEME tool [22] and the resulting motif was submitted to FIMO [22] to identify Fur box-containing upstream sequences of genes induced under iron-depleted conditions according to a microarray reference dataset [23]. Of these, sequences comprising the entire 5'UTR and 150 nt upstream of the transcription start site (TSS) were extracted and returned to MEME for motif prediction. The resulting motif was then re-submitted to FIMO to screen for Fur boxes across the entire *B. cenocepacia* J2315 genome.

*brrF* homologs were screened for using BLASTn [24], with search parameters adjusted to short sequences with low similarity: word size 7, match/mismatch scores -1/1, gap costs 0/2 and expect threshold 0.001.

Global alignments of *brrF* homologs were generated with LocARNA [25], using default parameters (see S1 Fig for strains and sequences used). Secondary structures of *BrrF* and selected mRNAs were predicted with mfold [26] and visualised using StructureEditor [27].

*BrrF* target prediction was performed with CopraRNA [11], using default parameters and the *Burkholderia* strains from S1 Fig as input strains. This algorithm takes accessibility of interaction sites and conservation of putative targets into account. Sequences adjacent to start codon of annotated genes, from 200 nt upstream to 100 nt downstream of the first nucleotide, were considered for target prediction; most confirmed sRNA-mRNA interactions are located in those regions. Predicted interactions located upstream of a known TSS [8] were removed from the results list. Functional enrichment analysis of predicted targets was performed with the DAVID tool [28], which is incorporated in CopraRNA.

Results and discussion

Sequence, conservation, genome context and regulation of *BrrF*

*BrrF* is located within the 3' end of BCAL2297, a small protein designated HemP for its involvement in heme iron uptake in *Burkholderia multivorans* (Fig 1A, [29]). *BrrF* appeared to be cleaved from the *hemP* mRNA, as indicated by the strong depletion of the *BrrF* transcript by treatment with a 5'-monophosphate-dependent exonuclease (TEX, [8]). Its size was determined as 126 nt by dRNA-Seq (position 2548559 to 2548684 on replicon 1), confirmed by Northern blotting [9]. *BrrF* does not extend into the computationally predicted rho-independent terminator (position 2548709 to 2548732) downstream of *hemP*, instead it terminates at a succession of U-residues without a preceding stem loop. The same genome context was identified for the *BrrF* homolog BTH_s39 in *Burkholderia thailandensis*, which was identified using tiling microarrays [30]. BTH_s39 is also located downstream of *hemP* and does not extend into its computationally predicted downstream rho-independent terminator. The size of BTH_s39 was determined by rapid amplification of cDNA ends and confirmed by Northern blotting, and is, with 130 nt, a close match to *BrrF*. 
Although the 5' end of BrrF (GUAUU) bears similarities with typical RNase E consensus recognition sites in *E. coli* (RNWUU [31]), it is not cut at the central AUU as is typical for RNase E in that bacterium, but upstream of the first guanosine residue (CGA GUAUU, Fig 2A). The RNase recognition sequences in *B. cenocepacia* have not been systematically investigated, but the putative cutting sites of two other processed *B. cenocepacia* sRNAs (ncS35 CGA UUC [16], ncS27 CGA AUG [32]) are somewhat similar to the putative BrrF cutting site. This indicates that RNase recognition sites in *B. cenocepacia* differ from those in *E. coli*, and that one possible consensus recognition site might be CGA NUN.

*brrF* is conserved over the full length in the genera *Burkholderia* (100% coverage, 90–100% identity), *Paraburkholderia* (100% coverage, 81–89% identity) and in *Pandoraea* (100% coverage, 70–74% identity, S1 Fig). In particular the 5' end (nucleotides 4–45) shows a high degree of conservation. In the genus *Cupriavidus*, only nucleotides 4 to 76 are present (57% coverage, 75–80% identity). No similar sequences were found outside the order *Burkholderiales*. The genome context is also conserved, *brrF* is always located downstream of a *hemP* homolog. Located downstream of *brrF* is either the ferrous iron uptake operon FtrABCD [33] or a heme-binding protein (Fig 1B). The computationally predicted secondary structure of BrrF shows extensive internal base pairing, with two conserved hairpins at the 5' end (Fig 2A).

BrrF was previously found to be more than 50-fold up-regulated under iron-depleted conditions, whereas other tested growth conditions such as biofilm growth, oxidative stress, stationary phase and starvation did not induce BrrF expression [9]. *hemP* was upregulated by iron depletion to approximately the same fold change as *brrF*. HemP in *B. multivorans* is Fur regulated [21, 29]; therefore the Fur box consensus sequence for *B. cenocepacia* was
determined (S2 Fig) and the whole genome screened for motif occurrences. Fur boxes were identified directly upstream of the TSS for hemP (Fig 1A), and upstream of known iron transport-related genes such as orbS and fecI. The sequence upstream of the hemP gene start in B. cenocepacia is identical to that upstream of hemP in B. multivorans for the first 77 nt, which includes the Fur box. This B. multivorans sequence was bound by B. multivorans Fur protein in an electrophoresis mobility shift assay [21], the respective sequence in B. cenocepacia is therefore likely also bound by Fur.

brrF itself did not have a Fur box directly upstream of its 5' end. Expression of BrrF is therefore probably under control of the Fur regulator via co-expression with hemP. In contrast to that, the Fur-regulated sRNAs RyhB in E. coli and PrrFs in P. aeruginosa are directly preceded by a Fur binding site.

hemP is possibly also under the regulation of OxyR. A putative OxyR box was found upstream of hemP (Fig 1A), and hemP was upregulated 10-fold in H2O2-treated biofilms of B.
Cenocapacia J2315 [34] while in exponentially growing planktonic cells exposed to H$_2$O$_2$, neither hemP nor brrF change expression [9, 23]. However, in these studies biofilms were treated with 3% H$_2$O$_2$ for 30 min, whereas planktonic cultures were treated with 0.05 or 0.15% H$_2$O$_2$ for a shorter time period, which might account for the observed differences in hemP regulation under oxidative stress.

**Computationally predicted targets of BrrF**

Target prediction resulted in 206 genes with sequence complementarity to BrrF (p-value ≤ 0.01, S3 Table). The categories with the largest number of genes with known function were amino acid transport and metabolism (12.6%) and energy production and conversion (10.0%). Most predicted interactions involved the 5’ end of BrrF (S3 Table, S3 Fig), notable exceptions were targets sdhC and sdhA (Fig 2B). The predicted interaction regions in putative target mRNAs are located across the entire input region from 200 nt upstream to 100 nt downstream of the gene start (S3 Fig). Only a small proportion (17%) of the predicted mRNA interactions include the first 10 nt upstream of the gene start and the Shine-Dalgarno sequence, whereas most interactions were predicted for further upstream in the 5’UTR (38%) or within the coding sequence (45%, S3 Table). Secondary structure analysis of putative target mRNAs before and after virtual cleavage at the predicted interaction site did not indicate an occlusion of Shine-Dalgarno sequences by mRNA intramolecular structures. This indicates that binding of BrrF does not alter the rate of translation initiation, but that BrrF mainly acts by interfering with mRNA target degradation rate.

Functional enrichment analysis of predicted targets pointed to a relative over-representation of genes involved in aerobic respiration, iron- or heme-binding, and in the TCA cycle [9]. Furthermore, several genes involved in iron-sulfur cluster formation and in ROS detoxification, such as those encoding catalases and SOD, were predicted as targets (Table 1). These genes or functional categories are also targeted by RyhB and/or PrrF (see Table 1 for references).

The target prediction results and the similarity of BrrF to other Fur-regulated sRNAs suggests that BrrF is a regulator of the TCA cycle and of the oxidative stress response under conditions of iron depletion, most likely by down-regulating the putative target genes. Down-regulating genes encoding SdhC, SodB and other iron-containing proteins saves iron and facilitates iron homeostasis. Iron limitation and a decreased activity of TCA cycle enzymes leads to a decrease in ROS production, reducing the need for ROS detoxification. BrrF-dependent regulation could therefore also save energy and contribute to overall homeostasis in the bacterial cell.

A link between iron availability and expression of ROS detoxifying genes has previously been demonstrated in B. multivorans. A B. multivorans Fur mutant showed increased sensitivity to oxidative stress, reduced sodB expression and reduced SOD and catalase activities [21]; and also growth attenuation on many carbon compounds. These phenotypes could be complemented by deleting hemP together with the BrrF sequence from the B. multivorans genome [29].

**BrrF affects growth in B. cenocepacia J2315**

The deletion mutant ΔbrrF had no growth defect compared to wild type (WT) in iron-replete media, neither in a rich medium nor during growth on single carbon sources in a mineral medium (Fig 3A and S4 Fig). ΔbrrF was also not impaired in heme utilisation, indicating that expression of HemP is not affected by brrF deletion (S4 Fig). Under iron limitation, ΔbrrF grew marginally faster than WT. This is in contrast to observations with a PrrF double deletion
mutant in *P. aeruginosa*, which showed a growth defect compared to wild type under iron depletion [39]. *B. cenocepacia* J2315 is a slow growing small colony variant and possibly less affected by iron starvation. That Δ*brrF* is less growth attenuated than WT under iron limitation suggests that key metabolic genes are down-regulated by BrrF.

Overexpressing BrrF from a vector (WT-pBrrF-d1 and WT-pBrrF-d2) attenuated growth (Fig 3B). Overexpressing a derivative of BrrF with point mutations near its 5’end abolished this effect, showing that the growth attenuation depended on the BrrF region which produced the most computationally predicted interactions (Fig 3A).

To test whether growth attenuation by BrrF overexpression was substrate dependent, growth curves were obtained in iron-replete mineral medium supplemented with single carbon compounds. Overexpressing BrrF attenuated growth on nearly all carbon sources tested, including on TCA cycle intermediates such as succinate, citrate, malate and fumarate, on carbohydrates such as glycerol, glucose and gluconate, and on amino acids. Growth of Δ*brrF* under iron depletion was tested on five compounds, and it grew faster than WT on four of them (Fig 3C and S4 Fig). This is consistent with a BrrF-dependent downregulation of the TCA cycle, since nearly all growth substrates are either directly or indirectly metabolised through the TCA cycle. The notable exception was propionate, growth on which was not affected by BrrF overexpression under iron-replete conditions, and on which Δ*brrF* grew.

### Table 1. Regulation of computationally predicted BrrF target genes in response to iron depletion.

| Predicted target | Gene     | Annotation                                      | Log2 fold change WT low Fe | Log2 fold change Δ*brrF* low Fe | Ref.* ** |
|------------------|----------|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
|                  |          | TCA and methylcitrate cycle                    |                             |                                 |         |
| BCAM0967         | *sdhC*   | Heme-binding succinate dehydrogenase cytochrome b556 subunit | -1.28                       | n.s.                            | [5, 10] |
| BCAM0969         | *sdhA*   | Succinate dehydrogenase flavoprotein subunit    | -2.25                       | n.s.                            | [5, 10] |
| BCAM0961         | *acnA*   | [Fe-S]-dependent aconitate hydratase A           | -4.22                       | n.s.                            | [10, 35]|
| BCAL2287         | *fumA*   | [Fe-S]-dependent class I fumarate hydratase     | -5.38                       | 1.10                            | [10, 35]|
| BCAL2908         | *fumC*   | Class II fumarate hydratase, iron-free          | 1.76                        | 0.42                            | [36]    |
| BCAM2701         | *acnM*   | [Fe-S]-dependent 2-methylisocitrinate dehydratase | -2.00                       | n.s.                            |         |
|                  |          | Defense against ROS                             |                             |                                 |         |
| BCAL2757         | *sodB*   | Fe^{2+}-containing SOD                          | -2.33                       | 0.75                            | [5, 10] |
| BCAL3299         | *katB*   | Bifunctional heme-containing catalase/peroxidase, homologous to *katG* in *E. coli* | -1.72                       | 0.98                            | [36, 37]|
| BCAM0931         |          | Monofunctional heme-containing catalase clade 1, homologous to *katA* in *P. aeruginosa* | -0.83                       | -0.18                           | [5]     |
|                  |          | Aerobic respiration                             |                             |                                 |         |
| BCAL2336         | *nuoI*   | [Fe-S]-dependent NADH dehydrogenase subunit I   | n.d.                        | n.d.                            | [10, 37]|
| BCAL2343         | *nuoB*   | [Fe-S]-dependent NADH dehydrogenase subunit B   | -1.20                       | n.d.                            | [10]    |
| BCAL0785         | *cydA*   | Heme-binding cytochrome bd-I ubiquinol oxidase subunit I | n.d.                        | n.d.                            | [10]    |
| BCAL2143         | *cyoB*   | Heme-binding cytochrome bo ubiquinol oxidase subunit I | n.s.                        | n.s.                            | [38]    |
|                  |          | Iron-sulfur cluster formation                   |                             |                                 |         |
| BCAL2196         | *iscA*   | [Fe-S] assembly accessory protein               | n.d.                        | n.d.                            | [10, 37]|
| BCAL2198         | *iscS*   | Cysteine desulfurase                            | n.d.                        | n.d.                            | [10, 35, 36]|
|                  |          | Other                                           |                             |                                 |         |
| BCAL3367         | *edd*    | [Fe-S]-dependent phosphogluconate dehydratase   | -3.60                       | -1.54                           |         |

*Log2-fold change after addition of 200 μM dipyridyl to a culture at mid-log phase, harvest after 30 min of further incubation, compared to untreated culture. The threshold for reporting statistically significant fold changes was p ≤ 0.05, n. s. denotes "not significant". The actual p-values from SPSS ANOVA analysis are presented in S4 Table. [Fe-S]: Iron-sulfur cluster, n.d. not determined. Ref.* **: references for regulation by RyhB and/or PrrF.*

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0236405.t001
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marginal slower than WT under iron depletion. Propionate is metabolised via the methylcitrate cycle in *B. cenocepacia* [40], and the lack of growth attenuation on this substrate suggests that this pathway is less affected by BrrF-dependent down-regulation.

**Fig 3. Growth of *B. cenocepacia* J2313 strains during iron depletion and BrrF overexpression.** A) Left: Wild type and ΔbrrF were attenuated by iron depletion (addition of 200 μM dipyridyl), ΔbrrF to a lesser extent than wild type. Right: Overexpressing the native form of BrrF (BrrF-d1 and -d2) under iron-replete conditions attenuated growth. Introducing mutations in the 5’ end of BrrF (BrrF-d3) abolished the growth attenuating effect of BrrF overexpression. B) On substrates such as succinate and gluconate, overexpressing BrrF attenuated growth. On propionate no attenuation was observed. C) Under iron depletion (FeSO₄ omitted from mineral medium), ΔbrrF grew to a higher O.D. than WT with succinate and gluconate as substrate, while the opposite was true on propionate. Growth was monitored in LB broth in flasks by a Cell Growth Quantifier (A) or in mineral medium in microtiter plates (B, C), the carbon source concentration was 20 mM. Significant differences in growth rate of the wild type or wild type vector control culture and the respective test condition are indicated by asterisks (* = p < 0.05, ** = p < 0.01).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0236405.g003

**BrrF regulates the TCA cycle**

To test the hypothesis that BrrF induction causes down-regulation of the expression of genes encoding TCA cycle enzymes, all predicted target genes involved in the TCA cycle, and some additional TCA cycle genes which were not predicted targets, were assessed for BrrF-dependent gene expression changes. All samples were collected 30 min after adding rhamnose and/or dipyridyl to cultures initially grown without inducer.
In the WT strain, all TCA genes with complementarity to BrrF were differentially regulated in response to iron depletion. Most of the genes were downregulated, with *acnA* and *fumA* most affected (Table 1, Fig 4). *fumC*, encoding an iron-free fumarate hydratase with an analogous function to [4Fe-4S]-dependent FumA, was upregulated under iron depletion. In Δ*brrF*, this regulation was attenuated or abolished (Table 1, Figs 4 and 5). Of the TCA cycle enzymes not predicted as targets, only *gltA* was downregulated significantly in WT under iron depletion. *gltA* is located downstream of and in the same operon as *sdhABCD* [41] and the reduced expression of *gltA* under iron depletion is therefore likely a downstream effect of *sdhC* and *sdhA* downregulation. Of the putative target genes tested, only *fumC* is upregulated under iron depletion, and in a BrrF-dependent manner. In *E. coli* *fumC* is upregulated under iron depletion via iron-dependent activation by the SoxR protein, probably as an iron-saving measure [42]. In *P. aeruginosa*, expression of *fumC* is Fur-regulated [43], whereas no Fur box is associated with *fumC* in *B. cenocepacia* J2315. The upregulation of *fumC* expression observed in the present study can therefore be a result of BrrF interacting with *fumC* mRNA.
sRNAs capable of both repressing and activating gene expression have been described [44]. The most common mechanisms for activation are reduction of secondary structure in the region of the Shine-Dalgarno sequence, and occluding RNase sensitive sites [45]. BrrF is predicted to interact with fumC mRNA in its 5'UTR, 65 to 75 nt upstream of the gene start.

Fig 5. BrrF-dependent expression of computationally predicted targets under low iron condition. Cells were harvested for RNA extraction after “pulse-expression” of 30 min in a mid-log culture. Downregulation of gene expression could be complemented in ΔbrrF by overexpressing BrrF in trans. Complementation was dependent on the 5'end of BrrF for most genes except for sdhA and sdhC. Light grey: Expression compared to iron-replete condition. Dark grey: Expression compared to ΔbrrF-vector control. pBrrF-d1 and pBrrF-d2: plasmids overexpressing native BrrF with or without processing. pBrrF-d3: derivative of pBrrF-d2 with point mutations near the BrrF 5'end. Asterisks: Significant difference to the respective control, with p ≤ 0.05.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0236405.g005
Secondary structure analysis of the fumC 5’UTR revealed a rho-independent terminator-like hairpin structure at position 32 to 51 from gene start, suggesting transcription termination as a possible mechanism of FumC expression regulation. However, the putative interaction site does not overlap the hairpin structure, and cleaving the 5’UTR at the interaction site does not change the structure of the predicted hairpin. The mechanism of transcription activation by BrrF is therefore not apparent from secondary structure analysis.

Overexpressing BrrF in ΔbrrF under iron-depletion complemented the downregulation of the tested genes (S4 Table, Fig 5), whereas overexpressing the BrrF derivative with mutations at its 5’ end (pBrrF-d3) abolished or reduced that effect, with the notable exception of sdhC and sdhA. The BrrF region predicted to be important for the interaction with these two genes is further away from the 5’ end and is not altered in pBrrF-d3 (Fig 2A and 2B). The abundance of the three BrrF derivatives over-expressed in trans was similar (S5 Table).

Under iron-replete conditions, overexpressing BrrF in the wild type strain significantly repressed acnA and fumA, and induced fumC (S4 Table). In line with this, aconitase activity was also reduced by BrrF overexpression (Fig 6). This suggests that growth attenuation during BrrF overexpression under iron-replete conditions (Fig 3B) is mainly due to repression of acnA. Expression of sdhA and sdhC is not affected in this condition, and metabolism of propionate via the methylcitrate cycle completely bypasses AcnA (Fig 4). Fumarate dehydratase activity might overall not be affected by BrrF overexpression, because FumC can replace FumA.

**BrrF regulates the response to oxidative stress**

Predicted targets genes sodB and katB were downregulated significantly in WT under low iron condition (Table 1, Fig 5). SOD activity was significantly reduced in WT cultures under iron limitation, while SOD activity in ΔbrrF remained unchanged compared to iron-replete condition (Fig 7A). Reduced SOD activity could be complemented by overexpressing the native BrrF in ΔbrrF in trans, while overexpressing the mutated BrrF derivative did not complement the phenotype. In a H₂O₂ sensitivity assay, overexpression of BrrF in ΔbrrF lead to a significant increase of size of inhibition zone (Fig 7B).

*B. cenocepacia* J2315 has two SODs, the cytoplasmic iron-containing SodB (BCAL2757) and the periplasmic Cu-Zn-containing SodC (BCAL2643) [41, 46]. However, only sodB is predicted as target for BrrF, and only sodB changed expression significantly (S4 Table). The observed SOD activity changes are therefore probably caused by sodB expression changes.

The B. cenocepacia J2315 genome encodes four catalases [41], the bifunctional, heme-containing catalase/peroxidases Kata (BCAM2107, [20]) and KatB (BCAL3299, [20, 47]), an additional monofunctional heme-containing catalase (BCAM0931) and a manganese-containing catalase (BCAS0635). katB and BCAM0931 are predicted as targets for BrrF. katA did not change expression upon iron depletion (S4 Table) and BCAS0635 is not expressed under iron-replete conditions [16]. Taken together, this suggest the increased sensitivity to H₂O₂ is linked to downregulation of katB, which is the major determinant for catalase activity in *B. cenocepacia* [20]. Concomitantly, baseline expression of katB is relatively high compared to katA, with a 2-fold reduction in the media containing rhamnose and trimethoprim which were used for overexpression experiments (S5 Table). This lower expression of katB could be the reason for the larger effect of BrrF overexpression on H₂O₂ sensitivity compared to induction of BrrF by low iron (Fig 7), as this would increase the excess of BrrF relative to its target katB.

**BrrF-dependent regulation of other predicted targets**

While computational target prediction often results in a large number of false positive predicted targets [11], BrrF likely regulates additional genes besides the ones mentioned above.
Other predicted targets of BrrF are also involved in metabolism. The nuo respiratory complex is known to be repressed by RyhB in *E. coli* under iron depletion [48], while cytochrome bo oxidase genes cyoABC are regulated by RyhB in *Salmonella typhimurium* in response to nitrosative stress [38]. cyoB and nuoB were tested for BrrF-dependent gene expression changes; nuoB was downregulated in WT under iron depletion (Table 1), while cyoB did not change expression.

The *edd* gene (BCAL3367; encoding a [Fe-S]-cluster containing phosphogluconate dehydratase) was downregulated in response to iron depletion in a BrrF-dependent manner (Table 1, S4 Table). *edd* is the first gene of the Entner-Doudoroff pathway for carbohydrate degradation, particularly important in *B. cenocepacia* because *Burkholderia* spp. lack a complete glycolysis pathway. Moreover, *edd* is upregulated by H$_2$O$_2$ stress [23], and has been
implicated in the oxidative stress response of *Pseudomonas putida* [49]. In *P. putida*, an organism also lacking a complete glycolysis pathway, phosphogluconate dehydratase is necessary for generating NADPH in order to combat oxidative stress. Therefore, downregulating phosphogluconate dehydratase is possibly not only saving iron, but also contributing to overall NADPH balance in the cell.

**Conclusion**

Our results demonstrate that sRNA BrrF is involved in downregulating TCA and oxidative stress response in *B. cenocepacia* J2315. This sRNA can be added to the growing list of sRNA involved in regulating metabolism, growth and stress responses in the versatile opportunistic pathogen *B. cenocepacia* [16, 32].

The exact molecular mechanisms of BrrF regulation remain to be resolved. RyhB regulates target genes mainly by binding to its cognate sequence and subsequently increasing the rate of mRNA degradation. This process is Hfq- and RNase E-dependent [50]. It seems likely that BrrF also acts this way, as its sequence is complementary to homologs of confirmed RyhB targets, and most interactions do not include the Shine-Dalgarno sequence of target mRNAs. However, indirect regulation by BrrF via a different yet unknown mechanism cannot be ruled out.

**Supporting information**

**S1 Fig. Conservation and secondary structure of BrrF homologues.** The full length of BrrF is very conserved throughout *Burkholderia* (first 8 lines), *Paraburkholderia* (lines 9–16) and *Pandoraea* sp. (lines 17–21). In *Cupriavidus* sp. (lines 22–26) only the first 45 nt of BrrF are present. Underlined are bases conserved in all sequences. Consensus secondary structures were computed using the sequences of the alignment. Red: fully conserved compatible base pairs. Alignment and consensus structures were computed using LocARNA [25].

**S2 Fig. Fur box consensus sequence for *B. cenocepacia* J2315.** The canonical 19 bp palindromic Fur binding site is indicated by a bracket.

**S3 Fig. Density plots of predicted interaction regions.** mRNA regions are depicted in the upper panel and sRNAs regions in the lower panel. The graphs represent all predictions with P < 0.01. x-axis depicts the nucleotide position, position 1 in mRNA is the first nucleotide of the coding sequence. The y-axis depicts the relative frequency of a nucleotide position being part of the predicted sRNA–target interactions.

**S4 Fig. Growth of *B. cenocepacia* J2313 strains during iron depletion and BrrF overexpression.** Growth was monitored in microtiter plates. y-axis: Optical density (590 nm). x-axis:
Time (hours).

S1 Table. Strains and plasmids used in this study.

S2 Table. Primers used in this study.

S3 Table. Computationally predicted targets of BrrF.

S4 Table. All log2-fold changes in gene expression determined by qPCR.

S5 Table. All qPCR raw Cq values.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Andrea M. Sass.
Data curation: Andrea M. Sass.
Funding acquisition: Tom Coenye.
Investigation: Andrea M. Sass, Tom Coenye.
Methodology: Andrea M. Sass.
Project administration: Tom Coenye.
Resources: Tom Coenye.
Software: Andrea M. Sass.
Validation: Andrea M. Sass.
Visualization: Andrea M. Sass.
Writing – original draft: Andrea M. Sass.
Writing – review & editing: Tom Coenye.

References

1. Coenye T, Vandamme P. Diversity and significance of Burkholderia species occupying diverse ecological niches. Environ Microbiol. 2003; 5(9):719–29. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1462-2920.2003.00471.x PMID: 12919497
2. Andrews SC, Robinson AK, Rodríguez-Quiñones F. Bacterial iron homeostasis. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2003; 27(2–3):215–37. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-6445(03)00055-X PMID: 12829269
3. Cornelis P, Wei Q, Andrews SC, Vincx T. Iron homeostasis and management of oxidative stress response in bacteria. Metallomics. 2011; 3(6):540–9. https://doi.org/10.1039/c1mt00022e PMID: 21566833
4. Butt AT, Thomas MS. Iron acquisition mechanisms and their role in the virulence of Burkholderia species. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2019; 7:460.
5. Wilderman PJ, Sowa NA, FitzGerald DJ, FitzGerald PC, Gottesman S, Ochsner UA, et al. Identification of tandem duplicate regulatory small RNAs in Pseudomonas aeruginosa involved in iron homeostasis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004; 101(26):9792–7. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0403423101 PMID: 15210934
6. Massé E, Gottesman S. A small RNA regulates the expression of genes involved in iron metabolism in *Escherichia coli*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2002; 99(7):4620–5. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.032066599 PMID: 1197098

7. Salvail H, Massé E. Regulating iron storage and metabolism with RNA: an overview of posttranscriptional controls of intracellular iron homeostasis. Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA. 2012; 3(1):26–36. https://doi.org/10.1002/wrna.102 PMID: 21793218

8. Sass AM, Van Acker H, Förstner KU, Van Nieuwerburgh F, Deforce D, Vogel J, et al. Genome-wide transcription start site profiling in biofilm-grown *Burkholderia cenocepacia* J2315. BMC Genomics. 2015; 16:775. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-015-1993-3 PMID: 26462475

9. Sass A, Kieken S, Coeny T. Identification of small RNAs abundant in *Burkholderia cenocepacia* biofilms reveal putative regulators with a potential role in carbon and iron metabolism. Sci Rep. 2017; 7(1):15665. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-15818-3 PMID: 29142288

10. Massé E, Vanderpool CK, Gottesman S. Effect of RyhB small RNA on global iron use in *Escherichia coli*. J Bacteriol. 2005; 187(20):6962–71. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.187.20.6962-6971.2005 PMID: 16199566

11. Wright PR, Richter AS, Papenfort K, Mann M, Vogel J, Hess WR, et al. Comparative genomics boosts target prediction for bacterial small RNAs. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013; 110(37):E3487–96. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1303248110 PMID: 23980183

12. Mellin JR, Goswami S, Grogan S, Tjaden B, Genco CA. A novel Fur- and iron-regulated small RNA, NnrF, is required for indirect Fur-mediated regulation of the *sdhA* and *sdhC* genes in *Neisseria meningitidis*. J Bacteriol. 2007; 189(10):3686. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01890-06 PMID: 17351036

13. Hareland WA, Crawford RL, Chapman PJ, Dagley S. Metabolic function and properties of 4-hydroxyphenylacetic acid 1-hydroxylase from *Pseudomonas acidovorans*. J Bacteriol. 1975; 121(1):272–85. PMID: 234937

14. Aubert DF, Hamad MA, Valvano MA. A markerless deletion method for genetic manipulation of *Burkholderia cenocepacia* and other multidrug-resistant gram-negative bacteria. Methods Mol Biol. 2014; 1197:311–27. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-1261-2%5F18 PMID: 25172289

15. Cardona ST, Valvano MA. An expression vector containing a rhamnose-inducible promoter provides tightly regulated gene expression in *Burkholderia cenocepacia*. Plasmid. 2005; 54(3):219–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plasmid.2005.03.004 PMID: 15925406

16. Kieken S, Sass A, Van Nieuwerburgh F, Deforce D, Coeny T. The small RNA ncS35 regulates growth in *Burkholderia cenocepacia* J2315. mSphere. 2018; 3(1):e00579–17. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00579-17 PMID: 29359187

17. Bruder S, Reifenrath M, Thomik T, Boles E, Herzog K. Parallelised online biomass monitoring in shake flasks enables efficient strain and carbon source dependent growth characterisation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Microb Cell Fact. 2016; 15(1):1–14.

18. Kennedy MC, Emptage MH, Dreyer JL, Beinert H. The role of iron in the activation-inactivation of aconitase. J Biol Chem. 1983; 258(18):11098–105. PMID: 6309829

19. Gruer MJ, Guest JR. Two genetically-distinct and differentially-regulated aconitases (AcnA and AcnB) in *Escherichia coli*. Microbiology. 1994; 140(10):2531–41.

20. Lefebre MD, Flannagan RS, Valvano MA. A minor catalase/peroxidase from *Burkholderia cenocepacia* is required for normal aconitase activity. Microbiology. 2005; 151(6):1975–85.

21. Yuhara S, Komatsu H, Goto H, Ohtsubo Y, Nagata Y, Tsuda M. Pleiotropic roles of iron-responsive transcriptional regulator Fur in *Burkholderia multivorans*. Microbiology. 2008; 154(6):1763–74.

22. Bailey TL, Johnson J, Grant CE, Noble WS. The MEME Suite. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015; 43(W1):W39–49. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv416 PMID: 25953851

23. Sass AM, Schmerk C, Agnoli K, Norville PJ, Eberl L, Valvano MA, et al. The unexpected discovery of a novel low-oxygen-activated locus for the anoxic persistence of *Burkholderia cenocepacia*. ISME J. 2013; 7(8):1568–81. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2013.36 PMID: 23486248

24. Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schäffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, et al. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: A new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids Res. 1997; 25(17):3389–402. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/25.17.3389 PMID: 9254694

25. Will S, Joshi T, Hofacker IL, Stadler PF, Backofen R. LocARNa-P: Accurate boundary prediction and improved detection of structural RNAs. RNA. 2012; 18(5):900–14. https://doi.org/10.1261/rna.025041.111 PMID: 22450757

26. Zuker M. Mfold web server for nucleic acid folding and hybridization prediction. Nucleic Acids Res. 2003; 31(13):3406–15. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkg595 PMID: 12824337

27. Reuter JS, Mathews DH. RNAstructure: software for RNA secondary structure prediction and analysis. BMC Bioinformatics. 2010; 11(1):129.
28. Huang da W, Sherman BT, Lempicky RA. Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. Nat Protoc. 2009; 4(1):44–57. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2008.211 PMID: 19131956

29. Sato T, Nonoyama S, Kimuma A, Nagata Y, Ohtsubo Y, Tsuda M. The small protein HemP Is a transcriptional activator for the hemin uptake operon in Burkholderia multivorans ATCC 17616. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2017; 83(16):e00479–17. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00479-17 PMID: 28625994

30. Stubben CJ, Micheva-Viteva SN, Shou Y, Buddenborg SK, Dunbar JM, Hong-Geller E. Differential expression of small RNAs from Burkholderia thailandensis in response to varying environmental and stress conditions. BMC Genomics. 2014; 15:385. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-15-385 PMID: 24884623

31. Chao Y, Li L, Girodat D, Förster NU, Said N, Corcoran C, et al. In vivo cleavage map illuminates the central role of RNase E in coding and non-coding RNA pathways. Mol Cell. 2017; 65(1):39–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2016.11.002 PMID: 28061332

32. Sass AM, Waale SD, Daled S, Devreese B, Deforce D, Nieuwerburgh FV, et al. Small RNA NcS27 co-regulates utilization of carbon sources in Burkholderia cenocepacia J2315. Microbiology. 2019; 165 (10):1135–50. https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.000846 PMID: 31464662

33. Brickman TJ, Armstrong SK. Iron and pH-responsive FtrABCD ferrous iron utilization system of Bordetella species. Mol Microbiol. 2012; 86(3):580–93. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2012.01906.x PMID: 22924881

34. Peeters E, Sass A, Mahenthiralingam E, Nelis H, Coenye T. Transcriptional response of Burkholderia cenocepacia J2315 sessile cells to treatments with high doses of hydrogen peroxide and sodium hypochlorite. BMC Genomics. 2010; 11:90. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-11-90 PMID: 20137066

35. Nelson CE, Huang W, Brewer LK, Nguyen AT, Kane MA, Wilks A, et al. Proteinomic analysis of the iron-responsive Fur and RyhB regulons. MBio. 2015; 6(9):e01947–15. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01947-15 PMID: 26546940

36. Hassett DJ, Howell ML, Ochsner UA, Vasil ML, Johnson Z, Dean GE. An operon containing sodC, a periplasmic superoxide dismutase from Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium. Microbiology. 2004; 150(Part 3):927–41. https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.27207-0 PMID: 15018062

37. Park SJ, Gun salaus RP. Oxygen, iron, carbon, and superoxide control of the fumarase fumA and fumC genes of Escherichia coli: role of the arcA, for, and soxR gene products. J Bacteriol. 1995; 177 (21):6255–62. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.177.21.6255-6262.1995 PMID: 7592392

38. Hassett DJ, Howell ML, Ochaner UA, Vasil ML, Johnson Z, Dean GE. An operon containing fumC and sodA encoding fumarase C and manganese superoxide dismutase is controlled by the ferric uptake regulator in Pseudomonas aeruginosa; fur mutants produce elevated alginate levels. J Bacteriol. 1997; 179 (5):1452–9. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.179.5.1452-1459.1997 PMID: 9045799

39. Papenfort K, Vanderpool CK. Target activation by regulatory RNAs in bacteria. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2015; 39(3):362–78. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fvu016 PMID: 23582330

40. Keith KE, Valvano MA. Characterization of SodC, a periplasmic superoxide dismutase from Burkholderia cenocepacia, and its role in fumarate metabolism. Infect Immun. 2007; 75(5):2451–60. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01556-06 PMID: 17325048
47. Loprasset S, Whangsuk W, Sallabhan R, Mongkolsuk S. Regulation of the katG-dpsA operon and the importance of KatG in survival of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* exposed to oxidative stress. FEBS Lett. 2003; 542(1–3):17–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0014-5793(03)00328-4 PMID: 12729890

48. Chareyre S, Barras F, Mandin P. A small RNA controls bacterial sensitivity to gentamicin during iron starvation. PLoS Genet. 2019; 15(4):e1008078. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1008078 PMID: 31009454

49. Chavarria M, Nikel PI, Perez-Pantoja D, de Lorenzo V. The Entner-Doudoroff pathway empowers *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 with a high tolerance to oxidative stress. Environ Microbiol. 2013; 15(6):1772–85. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.12069 PMID: 23301697

50. Massé E, Escorcia FE, Gottesman S. Coupled degradation of a small regulatory RNA and its mRNA targets in *Escherichia coli*. Genes & Dev. 2003; 17:2374–83