Malignant hyperthermia in severe COVID-19: 2 case reports
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Abstract
Malignant hyperthermia is a rare but potentially fatal condition. We present 2 cases of young patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) requiring intubation for hypoxic respiratory failure who both developed significant hyperthermia post intubation and were suspected to have malignant hyperthermia. However, the 2 patients had different responses to conservative management and dantrolene. These cases highlight the increased challenge imposed by intubation complications when managing patients with COVID-19.
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Introduction
Patients with severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) develop hypoxemia and acute respiratory distress syndrome requiring invasive mechanical ventilator support within a week of disease onset.[1] Such patients may also develop high grade fever (>39°C or 102°F) and genetic variations could account for differences.[2] In addition, these patients are more likely to be exposed to anesthetic agents and neuromuscular blockers such as succinylcholine for sedation and rapid-sequence intubation. Taking this into consideration, these patients are at high risk for developing malignant hyperthermia (MH) – a rare but potentially fatal condition. We herein, present 2 COVID-19 patients who developed severe hyperthermia after endotracheal intubation, which raised concern for MH.

Report
Patient 1
A 29-year-old woman with a body mass index (BMI) of 59.71 kg/m², type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM), and Hashimoto thyroiditis presented with progressive cough and dyspnea with known COVID-19 exposure in March 2020. Upon presentation, she was hypoxic (blood oxygen saturation [SpO2] – 61% on room air) which improved to 75% with a nonrebreather (NRP) mask. SARS-CoV-2 was detected by rapid PCR. Persistent hypoxemia led to emergent intubation utilizing rocuronium. She received tocilizumab and was started on hydroxychloroquine. On hospital day (HD) 3, she was started on remdesivir and methylprednisolone. Due to clinical improvement, normalization of inflammatory markers (Fig. 1A) and a successful spontaneous breathing trial, she was extubated to high flow nasal cannula (HFNC) on HD8. However, the patient required reintubation for progressive hypoxia 3 hours later using succinylcholine. On HD11, after clinical optimization she was extubated to Bilevel Positive Airway Pressure support. Steroids were started for possible upper airway edema. Subsequently, she became anxious and was started on infusions of lorazepam and dexmedetomidine; she also received 1 dose of olanzapine. Nevertheless, on HD12, she became hypoxic with increased work of breathing, requiring a second reintubation using etomidate, succinylcholine, and rocuronium.

Although her SpO2 improved to 92% on volume control ventilation mode, she remained tachypneic with a respiratory rate of 54, tachycardic and hypotensive, requiring 3 vasopressors. Her arterial blood gas showed pH 7.24, pO2 93 mmHg, pCO2 of 52 mmHg. Four hours post intubation, she became hyperthermic (maximum 108.2°F), which was unresponsive to supportive management. Laboratory results demonstrated lactic acid 17 mmol/L, creatinine kinase (CK) 49,792 U/L, serum myoglobin 4720 mcg/L, ferritin 68,250 ng/mL, aspartate aminotransferase 5251 U/L, and alanine transaminase 2724 U/L. Given the temporal correlation of rise in the temperature and exposure to succinylcholine alongside the above-mentioned laboratory findings, an empiric diagnosis of MH was entertained. The patient received 3 loading doses of dantrolene (2.5 mg/kg), followed by maintenance doses. Moreover, although she had only received 1 dose of olanzapine, bromocriptine was started for possible...
neuroleptic malignant syndrome (NMS). Six hours after the initiation of dantrolene, 12 hours postintubation, her temperature started down trending.

On HD13, she developed acute anuric renal failure requiring continuous veno-venous hemofiltration. She was empirically started on ceftazidime, metronidazole, vancomycin, and fluconazole; however, the infectious workup was negative. On HD15, she developed a coagulopathy manifesting as persistent bleeding from her endotracheal tube and central line catheter site; the platelet count dropped to 21 per microliter, raising concern for disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC). On HD16, dantrolene was stopped given the worsening of transaminitis. She was started on steroids to address a possible late inflammatory response to COVID-19, even though her inflammatory markers prior to reintubation had normalized. On HD17, she again became febrile and repeat blood culture grew Candida Glabrata; her fluconazole was switched to anidulafungin. She remained minimally responsive off sedatives. Due to multi-organ failure and poor prognosis for meaningful neurological recovery, and per patient’s family decision, she was transitioned to “Comfort Measures Only” and died on HD21.

**Case 2**

A 31-year-old woman with a BMI of 45 kg/m² and type 2 DM presented in April 2020 with 10 days of subjective fever, cough, myalgia, and progressive dyspnea. She was hypoxic with SpO₂ of 50% on room air. SARS-CoV-2 infection was diagnosed by rapid PCR. She was started on a NRB mask and subsequently HFNC. She received 1 dose of tocilizumab and was started on hydroxychloroquine. During the next 4 days, she became afebrile with improvement in her inflammatory markers (Fig. 1B). However, her respiratory status remained precarious with SpO₂ of 90% despite maximum HFNC and prone positioning. On HD5, she was started on empiric broad-spectrum antibiotics and therapeutic anticoagulation for possible pulmonary embolism. On HD6, given worsening of her respiratory status, she underwent intubation, using succinylcholine, propofol, and rocuronium.

Seven hours after intubation, her temperature rose to 102°F, which was unresponsive to acetaminophen and cooling with ice packs. She also developed hypercarbia (pCO₂ 57 mmHg) and hypotension requiring pressor support. During this time, she had been tachypneic with a minute ventilation of 12L. She was kept on broad-spectrum antibiotics, despite an unrevealing extensive infectious workup. Her temperature remained elevated with a maximum of 103.8°F, 19 hours postintubation. Her physical exam revealed no rigidity; however, the serum CK rose to 1355 U/L and the myoglobulin to 953 ng/mL. Myoglobin was also detected in the urine. On HD7, an empiric trial of dantrolene was initiated for suspected MH post exposure to succinylcholine. She remained hypercapnic (pCO₂ > 60 mmHg), tachycardic, and febrile (102°F) despite 4 bolus doses of IV dantrolene (2.5 mg/kg) every hour. Given the lack of response to dantrolene and persistent hypotension, dantrolene was discontinued. She completed two 8-day courses of broad-spectrum antibiotics with multiple negative infectious workups in 18 days. The patient was evaluated for possible drug related fever with a change in sedatives, including a trial off dexmedetomidine and change in her antibiotics; however, she remained persistently febrile. On HD16, her temperature rose to 105°F despite intermittent use of supportive measures including Arctic sun. On HD22, she was started on stress dose hydrocortisone because of concern for adrenal insufficiency, given her persistent hypotension. On HD23, her oxygenation improved and she was extubated. Postextubation, she remained on phenylephrine and had low-grade fevers. On HD24, 2 days into the steroid course her fever started to resolve. On HD 26, phenylephrine was discontinued and she was transferred to the floor with improved oxygenation and resolution of fever. On HD35, she was discharged from the hospital without any neurologic sequela of high fevers.

**Discussion**

Malignant hyperthermia is a condition which is usually associated with inhaled anesthetic agents or depolarizing neuromuscular blockers such as succinylcholine in...
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Unresponsiveness to dantrolene treatment should prompt consideration for other diagnoses, such as adrenal insufficiency and drug related fever.
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