Developing a data mining based model to extract predictor factors in energy systems: Application of global natural gas demand
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Abstract:
Recently natural gas (NG) global market attracted much attention in case it is cleaner than oil, and simultaneously in most regions is cheaper than renewable energy sources. However, price fluctuations, environmental concerns, technological development, emerging unconventional resources, energy security challenges, and shipment are some of the forces that made the NG market more dynamic and complex. From a policy-making perspective, it is vital to uncover demand-side future trends. This paper proposed an intelligent forecasting model to forecast NG global demand, however investigating a multi-dimensional purified input vector. The model starts with a data mining (DM) step to purify input features, identify the best time lags, and to pre-process selected input vector. Then a hybrid artificial neural network (ANN) which equipped with genetic optimizer is applied to set up ANN’s characteristics. Among 13 available input features, six features (e.g. Alternative and Nuclear Energy, CO2 Emissions, GDP per Capita, Urban Population, Natural Gas Production, Oil Consumption) selected as the most critical feature via the DM step. Then, the hybrid prediction model is designed to extrapolate the consumption of future trends. The proposed model overcomes competitive models refer to different error based evaluation statistics. Besides, as the model proposed the best input feature set, results compared to the model which used the raw input set, with no DM purification process.
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1. Introduction:
The world energy demand increased in the two past decades and even predictions implying the growing trends for the next decades [1-3]. Still, fossil fuels play a critical role in the
energy supply chain due to economic feasibility. Refer to International Energy Agency's (IEA) 2016 report, fossil fuels in the form of liquid fuels, natural gas, and coal contain more than 80% of the world energy consumption [4]. Easiness of utilization, higher performance, compared to traditional energy sources, ease of mobility via land or sea and affordable extraction cost introduced oil and natural gas (NG) as strategic commodities [5, 6]. However, emergent ecological concerns and rethinking of a more peaceful future (sustainable development goals) attracted attention toward climate change challenges (such as greenhouse gases emissions and global warming) [7]. The two non-aligned objectives, on one hand, development and increasing needs for energy supply and on the other hand, global environmental concerns, attracted researchers to study energy systems and develop different plausible future perspectives.

Despite successful efforts, the main problem is still existing, which is defined as “discovering reliable future trends and probable alternative futures in the field of energy systems and uncover the most influencing driving forces to aid energy management process”. This paper is aimed to develop an intelligent learning-based prediction model which is equipped with data mining (DM) techniques to purify and the setup input vector. The DM step is used to select and organize the best input features that represent patterns of future global NG demand trends. Although many previous studies successfully addressed NG global demand prediction problem, we attempt to uncover the most effective driving forces as input features and analyzing how they will affect the objective function (NG global demand prediction). For example, the proposed model studies time relation between input variables and the target variable. So a less-dimension input set is available to policymakers to simplify and experience reliable decision-making process.

As it is impressed by a series of variables and oscillating time series, the NG forecasting problem is a very challenging [8]. These days, massive efforts investigated artificial intelligence (AI) models or integration of several models (hybrid models) for prediction problems to increase the accuracy and the model reliability [9, 10]. Also, numerous notable studies investigated by demand prediction for the case of energy resources [11-16]. The prediction performance of the CDA model overcame compared to the earlier neural networks (NN) and an engineering based model.

Baumeister and Kilian published a research paper to analyze how vector autoregression (VAR) models form policy-relevant forecasting scenarios in the case of an oil market. The model investigates the influence of scenario weights' probability changes to the real-time oil price forecasting [17]. Also, Dilaver et al. investigated NG consumption in Europe to support long-term investments and contracts [18]. They estimated an OECD-Europe NG demand trends with annual time series during the period from 1978 to 2011 by applying a structural time series model (STSM). Finally, three scenario streams developed based on business as usual, high, and low case scenarios.

Li et al. used dynamic system models to create possible outlooks to 2030 for the case of China's NG consumption growth. Then to assess the results accuracy and propose policy recommendations on NG exploration and development of China's NG industry, a scenario
analysis step was applied [19]. Also, Suganthi and Samuel provided a comprehensive review of the energy model, which attempted to forecast the demand function [20]. Authors classified prediction models and presented that most of the recent researches contained quantitative models that result in a single future prediction. Models used statistical error functions to estimate, accuracy compared with other comparative models. However, as mentioned above, data-driven models may regret set of effective qualitative variables. In the other hand projecting alternative futures based on qualitative approaches are challenging, especially in the case of validation and moreover, they are extremely affected by the expert group (number of experts and judgment validation). To present a universal review and to dedicate insights about prediction approaches used by previous studies, table 1 summarized models used to address energy consumption prediction problem.

Table 1. Analyzing previous studies, based on their approaches to address energy consumption prediction problem

| Approaches                                      | References              |
|------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Classical computational extrapolation           | Time series             |
|                                                 | [11, 12, 18, 21-30]     |
|                                                 | Regression              |
|                                                 | [15, 31-34]             |
| Econometrics                                    |                         |
| Expert systems and learning models              | Artificial neural network (ANN) | [8, 27, 40-53] |
|                                                 | Genetic programming (GP) | [8, 11, 27, 45, 52, 54-59] |
|                                                 | Ant colony optimization  | [60] |
|                                                 | Particle swarm optimization (PSO) | [13, 61] |
|                                                 | Support vector machine (SVM) | [12, 27, 46, 51, 62, 63] |
|                                                 | Fuzzy inference system (FIS) | [8, 31, 39, 57, 64] |
| Others                                          | Decomposition approach  | [65, 66] |
|                                                 | Input-output model      | [67, 68] |
|                                                 | Bottom-up model         | [69-71] |
|                                                 | Grey method             | [13, 24, 68, 72, 73] |
|                                                 | Logistic model          | [74] |

To dedicate a more detailed understanding of various existed forecasting models table 2 shows the pros and cons of main forecasting methods.

Table 2. The major pros and cons of main forecasting methods

| Type of Models       | Pros & Cons                      |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|
| Classic price modeling/ | • Focus on historical data.      |
In this paper, we are aimed to propose a learning-based model, which is designed to present a more reliable and relevant input features (driving forces) to initialize a hybrid prediction ANN to equip decision-making process with accurate and reliable forecasts. Following section investigates the proposed methodology and brief descriptions of various steps, then section three is dedicated to presenting the implementation phase and discussing results to show how the proposed methodology overcomes other benchmark models. Finally, section four provides summaries and conclusions.

2. The methodology of research:
As noted previously, the following research is aimed to expand a data mining based prediction model. Two primary goals targeted: (1) determining features which effectively present trends for NG global demand and (2) identification of time lags to define time relations between input variables and the target variable, (3) developing an adaptive intelligent prediction model that can extrapolate future trends for the global NG demands. Fig 1 conceptually shows designed data mining genetic-neural network (DmGNN) methodology to approach noted goals.
The main phases and steps of the proposed methodology discussed as follow:

PHASE 1.

Step1. Data gathering: in this step, previous studies reviewed to detect raw input features. Unlike most of the previously published researches, this paper pursues the maximum approach, means we will gather and use maximum available input data to ensure that the developed model will not neglect a possible solution. In simple words, the proposed methodology does not limit the solution space due to the use of confined input features — output: input feature set.

PHASE 2.

Step2. Feature selection: this step is designed to select the most relevant subset of the gathered features. The main target is to reduce problem dimensions while preserving all local optimal solutions — output: refined input feature sub-set.

Step3. Time lag selection: is investigated to study how different time lags for input features may affect forecasting accuracy. This step will study time relation between the input variable and the target variable. Output: timed input features sub-set.

Step4. Normalization: different scales of input features may cause in a biased final forecasting model. This step is aimed at reproducing input features but in similar, uniform scales. Output: uniformed timed input features sub-set.

PHASE 3.
Step 5. **Design of the forecasting model:** in this step, an ANN is equipped with a GA in order to optimize the network’s characteristics and develop an accurate prediction model. **Output:** prediction framework

**PHASE 4.**

Step 6. **Implementation:** finalized input features applied to the prediction framework. In this step, the input set divided into two main portions, one to train and other to test the performance of the prediction framework. **Outputs:** adjusted prediction model & obtained extrapolated results.

**PHASE 5.**

Step 7. **Validation:** this step dedicated to comparing the obtained results of the proposed prediction framework with other benchmark comparative models. **Output:** output/accuracy analysis

To model complex systems (like ours), selecting a robust model architecture is very challenging [75, 76]. The DM approach is selected to handle the complexity of input variables. DM is defined as the process of extracting appealing patterns and deriving knowledge in massive datasets. So, as Han et al. noted: "the principal dimensions are data, knowledge, applications, and technologies" [77].

### 2.1. Data gathering and data pre-processing:

Input data remarkably affect the accuracy and quality of the obtained results. In the case of energy consumption, previous researches investigated different sets of input features to predict energy consumption’s upcoming trends. A significant limitation of a prediction model is that it cannot reflect effects of variables which did not exist in the input feature set (those have been neglected). To ensure robustness and the validity of the proposed prediction model, the paper proposes the maximal approach, which means to investigate all available input data and reduce dataset dimension through a DM technique. This approach has the advantage of retaining all signals and trends while simultaneously, the model faces an undeniable challenge that is the increased complexity level due to the large input set which may negatively affect prediction efficiency. In another hand, it is a challenging process to set up strategic decisions based on an extensive collection of parameters/inputs. To handle the noted problem a DM based data pre-processing step is proposed by this paper to examine and purify input features. Table 3 summarizes the most frequently used input features (by other researchers) and the features which were available/accessible online.

| Title                      | Unit              | Reference(s)    | Source       |
|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Alternative and Nuclear Energy | % of total energy use | Proposed by authors | World Bank   |
| CO2 Emissions              | metric tons per capita | [36]            | World Bank   |
| CO2 Emissions              | Kt                |                 | World Bank   |
| Energy Imports, Net        | % of energy use   | Proposed by authors | World Bank   |
Fossil Fuel Energy Consumption | % of total | [78] | World Bank
---|---|---|---
GDP Growth | annual % | [36, 42, 46, 60, 61, 79-87] | World Bank
GDP per Capita | current US$ | | World Bank
Population Growth | annual % | [33, 39, 40, 60, 61, 79, 81-83, 86-91] | World Bank
Urban Population | Person | [79, 85] | World Bank
Gold Price | 10:30 A.M.in London Bullion Market, US$ | Proposed by authors | World Bank
Natural Gas Production | Billion cubic meters | Proposed by authors | British Petroleum
Oil Consumption | Million tones | Proposed by authors | British Petroleum
Crude Oil Prices | US dollars per barrel ($2013) | [39, 78, 80, 86, 88, 89, 92] | British Petroleum

In machine learning problems, it is very challenging to select a representative collection of features to build the model [93]. Studying more features (a larger feature set), helps to explore more problem dimensions and to reduce the threat of missing potential solutions, but at the same time it may conclude more computational complexity, learning algorithm confusing and over learning.

DM, as a process, generally contains data cleaning, integration, selection, and transformation to discover patterns, evaluate them, and present the extracted knowledge [77, 94]. In knowledge discovery processes, such as DM, the feature subset selection is very crucial, not only for the insight achieved from determining variables, but also for the upgraded reprehensibility, scalability, and the validity of the constructed models [95]. This research uses a correlation-based feature selection (CFs) algorithm to determine the most relevant input features. CFs was initially proposed by Hall in 1999 [93]. The key idea of CFs is the high correlation rate among features and the prediction class (target variable), yet selected features remain uncorrelated with each other [93]. "Best First" [96] and "Greedy Stepwise" [97] searching methods were applied to the CFs to study input dataset using various searching paradigms. Both of searching methods resulted in the same feature subset which means they support each other. Finally, through 13 representative input features (presented in table 3) 6 input features selected as the model’s input, contains: (1) alternative and nuclear energy, (2) CO₂ emissions, (3) GDP per capita, (4) urban population, (5) NG production and (6) oil consumption.

Sometimes important features in a time series dataset show their influence with lags of time. Also, there would be time lags for a policy/decision in the complex energy market. Detecting related lags would assist a prediction model to accurately follow possible fluctuations [76]. At this step, the proposed DmGNN methodology attempts to determine time lags related to finalized feature subset correlated with the target attribute (i.e. NG global demand).
Numerous lag selection approaches exist that contain lag selection as a pre-processing, post-processing, or even as a part of the learning process [98]. Among popular statistical tests based on information criteria pre-processing lag selection methods, Akaike information criteria (AIC), Bayesian information criteria (BIC) and Schwarz Bayesian information criteria (SBIC) are well used [99, 100]. Information criteria methods consider 1 lag (as the minimum number) to \( p \) which define intermediate lags. The main hypothesis is to define the lag order \( p \) to minimize the following equation:

\[
IC(p) = N \ln \hat{\sigma}^2(p) + p \left[ f(N) \right]
\]

(1)

Where \( \hat{\sigma}^2(p) \) is defined as the estimated regression variance, related to the sample size and order \( p \) of lag structure, and \( N \) is the number of observations [101]. \( p \left[ f(N) \right] \) is the penalty function to increase the order of the model. Different choices of \( f(N) \) cause in different information criteria.

A -20 to +20 time lags implemented for each feature versus the target attribute using “Matlab” software. Fig 2 summarizes results of the time lag selection process for selected features, alternative and nuclear energy, and CO2 emissions. For each chart, the vertical axis shows the level of correlation between the correspondence feature and targeted variable while horizontal axis implies different time lags. The order \( p \) defines the effective time lag which possess the highest correlation level, according to the chart.
Fig2. Time lag selection results for selected input features (red bars show the time lags that represent higher correlation among the feature versus target attribute for each input feature).

Now, optimum input features are detected. Six selected features are representatives of all 13 identified input features and also the selected subset has been reorganized based on detected time lags.

Although an optimum set of input features have been selected, still input features are asymmetric and the units are different in scales. Data normalization step is investigated to restrain the parameters range influence on the results and adapt values of different features with different domains and scales to a shared scale. The “min-max” normalization method is used to adjust dataset using the following equation:

$$Normalized \ Data = \left( y(i) - \min\{y\} \right) / \left( \max\{y\} - \min\{y\} \right)$$ (2)
Where \( y(i) \) is an \( i^{th} \) element in the column and \( \min\{y\} \) minimum and \( \max\{y\} \) is the maximum of related column’s elements.

The next sub-section is dedicated to discussing the forecasting framework.

### 2.3. Designing the forecasting framework:

#### 2.3.1. Artificial neural network:

Computational intelligence methods such as an artificial neural network (ANNs) \(^{[102]}\) are modern paradigms to handle complex optimization problems \(^{[103-105]}\). ANN is organized as a simplified abstract of the biological nervous system to emulate neurons mechanism. A neuron is the computation unit of an ANN. Mathematically a neuron is a function, which aimed at dynamically reduce deviation cost. The mathematical description of a neuron presented as follows:

\[
o_j(t) = f\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{ij} x_i(t - \tau_{ij}) - T_j \right\}
\]

(3)

Where \( x_i \) and \( o_j \) respectively are the input and the output at time \( t \), \( \tau_{ij} \) defines the delay between \( x_i \) and \( o_j \). \( T_j \) presents the threshold of the \( j^{th} \) neuron, while \( w_{ij} \) is the connection coefficient from neuron \( i \) to neuron \( j \).

An ANN consists of characteristics: the input layer, the hidden layer, the interconnection between different layers, the learning step to find the optimum values of interconnections weights, the transformer function which assigned to produce outputs refer to weighted inputs, the number of neurons performing in each layer and the output layer. Fig 3 schematically presents the architecture of an ANN with a single hidden layer.

As it has been shown in the fig.3 neurons are deployed in layers. Nodes of layers in row are connected to show interactions and information flow in an ANN. The connection between node \( i \) and \( j \) defines by the weight \( w_{ij} \) and also a bias \( b_i \) parameter is assigned to each neuron \(^{[106]}\). To minimize the error at each step (which is known as epoch) an ANN compute and error function and uses an algorithm to reduce the error value.
An ANN has the ability to be trained in order to build a precise network and minimize the lost function via adjusting $w_{ij}$ weight matrices [76]. So, the performance of learning algorithm will define the performance of the ANN. In this paper, genetic algorithm (GA) is used to equip ANN as the learning algorithm. In the next section, GA procedure is explained briefly.

2.3.2. Genetic algorithm:
Training an ANN is very complex which can directly influence outcomes' quality. Recently, numerous academic studies are presented which applied meta-heuristic and intelligent algorithms (i.e. GA) as learning algorithms [107].

GA is an evolutionary optimization approach developed by Holland in 1975 [108] which acts based on random search procedure. Compared to traditional optimization methods the GA has numerous advantages. For example the algorithm converge to a good, feasible solution faster than other existing traditional methods [11]. Series of computational operators like selection, mutation, and crossover functions are used in a GA to achieve a reliable solution. Fig 4 briefly presents the GA procedure.

2.3.3. Genetic neural network:
In this paper, weights and thresholds of the ANN are updated by a GA. For this purpose, input vectors transformed to a genetic gene in the format of the chromosome. Then, the initial population is formed from the randomly generated chromosome. Now values of the
optimization algorithm such as selection, crossover, and mutation rates can be set to design the algorithm. The fitness function is the reciprocal of the quadratic sum of the difference between predicted and real values [109]. Roulette wheel selection is used to select a new individual, then two chromosomes are exchanged via crossover operation to generate a new individual. Finally, mutation step is applied to avoid premature convergence.

Equipping an ANN with a GA could save training time and improve the precision of the forecasting model [109]. Fig 5 schematically shows the flowchart of the presented GNN.

![Flowchart of a GNN](image_url)

Next section is dedicated to present the architecture of ANN, which is the basic framework of the developed forecasting model.

### 2.3.4. The architecture of the ANN:
This research targeted to present an accurate NG demand predictions, so the selected features were inputted at the initiatory layer (input layer) of the designed ANN. A single hidden layer
network was designed to perform the prediction so the model contains a three-layer architecture. Fig 6 shows the performance of a three-layered NN for three, four, five, six and seven neurons in the hidden layer. Four neurons were used for the hidden layer as it returns the best performance among other tested number of neurons (see fig 6).

![Error vs Number of Neurons](image)

**Fig 6. Performance of DmGNn for different numbers of neurons** (A: R² statistic for the different number of DmGNn neurons; B: RMSE statistic for the different number of DmGNn neurons)

As it has been represented in fig 6, based on the R² and root mean square error (RMSE) statistics, four number of neurons the proposed data mining genetic-neural network (DmGNn) model performs better than other examined set.

3. Outputs and Results:

As mentioned before this paper is aimed at developing a forecasting model to accurately forecast global NG demand. Here, historical behavior of the global NG demand during 1965 to 2013 period (billion cubic meters) is gathered via [www.bp.com](http://www.bp.com). Now the model is designed and it can be used to project future trends for NG global consumption. For this reason, 40 historical annual fundamental time series data (from 1665 to 2004) are investigated as a learning set. The forecasting period contains 9 annual values for NG global demand prediction problem (from 2005 to 2013). Ten iterations have been investigated for the proposed DmGNn model. Fig 7 presents projections (average for 10 iterations) resulted by the DmGNn models.
Fig7. Performance of the proposed DmGNn model for the training and testing data sets

Learning models were extensively applied in the case of NG demand predictions [49, 110]. Some competitive prediction models were selected to compare outputs of the proposed model and analysis of the accuracy. Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference Systems (ANFIS) [111-113] and a set of classical well-known neural network based techniques such as: Radial Basis Function Neural Network (RBF) [114, 115], Multi-Layered Perceptron (MLP) [116, 117] and Generalized Regression Neural Network (GRNN) [118-120] are nominated and optimized (through trial and error processes) to prove the accuracy of the proposed DmGNn model through a comparison study.

To evaluate different models, a set of mathematical criteria organized to measure prediction performance. A relatively large set of validity indicators support the justification of a model usage [8]. These statistics are summarized in table 4 (where $y_i$ refers to real historical value and $f_i$ presents forecasting value).

| Error Title                      | Abbreviation | Formula          |
|---------------------------------|--------------|------------------|
| R-squared                       | R2           | $R^2 = 1 - \frac{SS_{res}}{SS_{tot}}$ |
| Mean Absolute Error             | MAE          | $MAE = \frac{1}{n} \sum |f_i - y_i|$ |
| Mean Absolute Percentage Error  | MAPE         | $MAPE = \frac{100}{n} \sum \frac{|f_i - y_i|}{y_i}$ |
| Mean Bias Error                 | MBE          | $MBE = \frac{1}{n} \sum (f_i - y_i)$ |
Each model ran for 10 times and the average of outputs was calculated. Table 5 presents the performance of the proposed and competitive models refer to statistics introduced in table 4.

**Table5. Statistical errors for each prediction model**

| Models | Characteristics | \( R^2 \) | MAE | MAPE | MBE | RMSE |
|--------|-----------------|----------|-----|------|-----|------|
| DmGNn  | Number of Neurons= 4; Maximum generation= 100; Cross Over Probability=0.8; Mutation Probability= 0.05; | **0.9847** | **52.19** | **1.69** | 13.54 | **61.33** |
| MLP    | Maximum Epochs= 200; Train Parameter Goal= 1e-7; | 0.8241 | 115.59 | 3.80 | -44.85 | 145.61 |
| ANFIS  | FIS Generation Approach: FCM; Number of Clusters= 10; Partition Matrix exponent= 2; | 0.8494 | 63.45 | 1.89 | 21.31 | 84.31 |
| RBF    | Spread Value= 0.17; | 0.0018 | 308.64 | 10.42 | -308.64 | 366.51 |
| GRNN   | Spread Value= 1; | 0.9864 | 127.63 | 4.17 | **-4.03** | 142.12 |

As it is shown in table 5 the proposed DmGNn significantly outperforms other competitive models. The pattern of the absolute error for each model is shown in Fig 8, which represents how various forecasting models behave along the test period. As it is shown the proposed DmGNn outperforms other benchmark forecasting models (with lower absolute error value for forecasting period) and resulted in a robust forecast series (unlike other forecasting models DmGNn’s forecast errors showed a low swing pattern).
Yet Table 5 and Fig 8 showed how the proposed GNN model overcame other benchmark models. To show the efficiency of the data mining phases, both pre-processed and raw data were applied to the design forecasting model. Fig 9 and Table 6 compared the results.

Table 6. Statistical errors for different input vectors (raw versus processed)

| Input protocol | R²    | MAE   | MAPE  | MBE   | RMSE  |
|----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Processed data | 0.9847| 52.19 | 1.69  | 13.54 | 61.33 |
| Raw data      | 0.9679| 79.96 | 2.61  | 2.66  | 94.21 |
4. Conclusion:

Energy is a major topic both in practice and theory which many researchers investigated issues related to energy sectors and industries. The international energy supply system is characterized by a complicated combination of technological, social, economic and political elements. Predicting and planning for future global energy market is an interesting and simultaneously a challenging subject in both research and practical investment projects. Thus accurate prediction of energy demand is critical to developing future policies, modify current plans and evaluate potential strategies. This paper primary targeted to provide an accurate and robust prediction model to predict the global natural gas demands. In other hand authors aimed at introducing a process which reduces problem space dimensions to define the most relevant features which affect NG future consumption trends. So policymakers can monitor and manipulate NG market refers to extracted features.

In order to investigate maximum feasible solutions and to prevent missing any potential optimal solution, all available input features were gathered based on the literature review and related online dataset survey. Input features would define the model structure and support the accuracy of the output results. Although, increasing in the number of input variables may cause computational complexity and reducing interpretability of the results. Instead, a large number of input features expands solution space and consequently reduces the probability of ignoring appropriate answers. A feature selection step is proposed and is implemented to reduce the dataset dimensions while guarantees that the prediction model will explore all optimal solutions. Finally, 6 input features were selected among 13 primary input features. The feature selection approach guarantees to investigate all solution space using a limited set of input features. Then possible time lags among input features versus the targeted attribute (NG global demand) were studied and subsequently applied to the refined input set.
Investigating suitable time lags will cause in a more accurate and rational prediction model, which guarantees synchronization between input features and the target attribute at $t$ time step. Finally, a neural network framework is developed which equipped using a genetic algorithm to optimize the network’s characteristics aimed to predict future NG global demands.

Four comparative models are investigated to study the performance of the proposed data mining genetic-neural network (DmGNN) model. The proposed DmGNN model outperforms other benchmark models refer to 5 different error statistics. Based on the R2 statistic the DmGNN track real testing set fluctuations very well (only missed about 2%). Moreover, to distinct how the proposed pre-processing step affects the model accuracy, DmGNN model compared to a single GNn (without pre-processing step). As shown the proposed pre-processing step improves predictions both in term of accuracy and reliability (robustness). Moreover, based on the interpretative capability index, the DmGNN dedicates a more clear vision about future trends since it uses a smaller input dataset. A limited input feature set enables decision makers to design responsive policies/strategies/actions as they aware of attributes affecting the global NG demands.

The proposed DmGNN is characterized by high flexibility, universal operation, learning ability and low requirements for computation resources. As a result, it can be used by decision makers and market participants who face a complex environment.
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