Chronicity and Sex Affect Genetic Risk Prediction in Schizophrenia
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Schizophrenia (SCZ) is a severe mental disorder with immense personal and societal costs; identifying individuals at risk is therefore of utmost importance. Genomic risk profile scores (GRPS) have been shown to significantly predict cases-control status. Making use of a large-population based sample from Sweden, we replicate a previous finding demonstrating that the GRPS is strongly associated with admission frequency and chronicity of SCZ. Furthermore, we were able to show a substantial gap in prediction accuracy between males and females. In sum, our results indicate that prediction accuracy by GRPS depends on clinical and demographic characteristics.
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INTRODUCTION

Schizophrenia (SCZ) is regarded as one of the most serious mental disorders, due to the substantial morbidity, mortality, immense personal and societal costs of the disorder (1–3). Given the serious long-term implications, early detection is of the essence. SCZ has a strong genetic component, and it is nowadays well accepted that a large number of independent loci contribute to the disease, each adding only a small risk (4). A recent advancement in psychiatric genetics has been the use of genomic risk profile scores (GRPS) (5). As a measurement of genetic liability, GRPS aggregate risk alleles from variants identified in genome-wide association studies (GWAS) into a weighted sum that assesses the inherited liability to SCZ. GRPS have been shown to successfully predict case-control status of SCZ, albeit with varying sensitivity and specificity (4). Besides known confounders, such as population stratification, clinical and demographic characteristics of the samples studied might affect prediction accuracy of GRPS. Recently, we showed that GRPS are significantly associated with chronicity of SCZ. In our analyses we demonstrated that oversampling of cases with a high number of admissions significantly improved prediction accuracy (6). Here, we aim to replicate our previous findings from Denmark in a large population-based sample in Sweden that was enriched in more chronic and older SCZ patients in contrast to our original sample (6). Given the differential expression of SCZ in women and men, especially with regard to the clinical course, we also aim to explore potential mediating effects of sex.
METHOD

A detailed description of the Swedish cohort with regard to subject ascertainment, diagnosis and validation can be found elsewhere (7). Briefly, ethical committees in Sweden and the US approved all procedures, and all subjects provided written informed consent. Cases with SCZ were identified using the Swedish Hospital Discharge Register (8), which captures all public and private inpatient hospitalizations. Controls were randomly selected from Swedish population registers. In this study, we only included individuals born after 1954 in order to ensure complete coverage for hospital contacts from time of diagnosis to the end of follow-up. The sample included 2,457 cases with SCZ and 2,702 controls from Sweden, and 2,183 of the study subjects were female (897 cases – 1,286 controls) and 2,976 male (1,560 cases – 1,416 controls). At the time of sampling they were on average 50 years old (standard deviation (sd) 7.15) and since the time of diagnosis they were followed for an average of 22 years (sd 8.22). For all cases, we identified the number of inpatient and outpatient contacts, hospitalization length as well as age of onset from the Swedish Hospital Discharge Register (8). On average, cases with SCZ had in total 27 (sd 30.77) contacts with the health care system, 12 (sd 13.72) as inpatients and 19 (sd 25.44) as outpatients with an average hospitalization length of 642 days (sd 932.83; see Table 1). GRPS were calculated based on summary statistics (effect allele, effect size) and derived from the 2014 SCZ GWAS from the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (4), excluding the Swedish sample. More details on genotyping procedures, quality controls, imputation and calculation of GRPS can be found in the SCZ PGC publication (4) as well the original publication that used this data (7). In a case-only design, we subsampled cases according to their number of admissions, to explore whether by increasing the chronicity of admissions for SCZ, in particular for number of contacts in total (B=0.078, P=0.002), inpatient contacts (B=0.073, P=0.001), and hospitalization length (B=0.089, P=0.005). However, there was no significant association observed for GRPS with the number of inpatient contacts (B=0.024, P=0.374), in line with our previous results (6) (see Table 2). Stratified by sex, we only observed significant associations of GRPS and number of admissions in males, for number of contacts in total (B=0.079, P=0.008), inpatient contacts (B=0.095, P=0.001), and hospitalization length (B=0.104, P=0.010). In females, no significant associations were observed (number of contacts in total (B=0.082, P=0.074); inpatient contacts (B=0.041, P=0.297); hospitalizations length (B=0.071, P=0.166)). However, we observed no significant interaction of GRPS and sex on number of contacts in total (B=0.006, P=0.895), inpatient contacts (B=0.036, P=0.381), and hospitalization length (B=0.043, P=0.465; see Table 3).

In case-control analyses, enriching the Swedish samples for more frequently admitted cases significantly increased the variance explained by GRPS compared to the full dataset. Enriching cases for number of contacts in total (NKR$^2$ = 0.147, Pperm = 0.008), inpatient contacts (NKR$^2$ = 0.148, Pperm = 0.011),

### RESULTS

The hospitalization length was significantly longer in male SCZ patients (males=712.69, females=509.33, B=0.141, P=0.015), whereas female patients had more outpatient contacts (males=15.18, females=17.23, B=0.155, P=0.002). GRPS for SCZ showed significant associations with the number of admissions for SCZ, in particular for number of contacts in total (B=0.078, P=0.002), inpatient contacts (B=0.073, P=0.001), and hospitalization length (B=0.089, P=0.005). However, there was no significant association observed for GRPS with the number of inpatient contacts (B=0.024, P=0.374), in line with our previous results (6) (see Table 2). Stratified by sex, we only observed significant associations of GRPS and number of admissions in males, for number of contacts in total (B=0.079, P=0.008), inpatient contacts (B=0.095, P=0.001), and hospitalization length (B=0.104, P=0.010). In females, no significant associations were observed (number of contacts in total (B=0.082, P=0.074); inpatient contacts (B=0.041, P=0.297); hospitalizations length (B=0.071, P=0.166)). However, we observed no significant interaction of GRPS and sex on number of contacts in total (B=0.006, P=0.895), inpatient contacts (B=0.036, P=0.381), and hospitalization length (B=0.043, P=0.465; see Table 3).

In case-control analyses, enriching the Swedish samples for more frequently admitted cases significantly increased the variance explained by GRPS compared to the full dataset. Enriching cases for number of contacts in total (NKR$^2$ = 0.147, Pperm = 0.008), inpatient contacts (NKR$^2$ = 0.148, Pperm = 0.011),

| Markers of Chronicity | Total M | SD | Females M | SD | Males M | SD |
|-----------------------|---------|----|-----------|----|---------|----|
| N_total               | 27.38   | 30.77 | 28.75     | 33.98 | 26.6    | 28.75 |
| N_inpatient           | 11.51   | 13.72 | 11.51     | 15.71 | 11.41   | 12.42 |
| N_outpatient          | 18.85   | 25.44 | 17.23     | 26.4  | 15.18   | 23.09 |
| N_length              | 641.84  | 922.83 | 509.33    | 743.91 | 712.69  | 1016.77 |

GRPS, genomic risk profile scores; N_total, number of contacts in total; N_inpatient, number of inpatient contacts; N_outpatient, number of outpatient contacts; N_length, hospitalization length; M, mean; SD, standard deviation.

| PT=0.05 | PT=0.1 | PT=0.01 |
|---------|--------|--------|
| B      | P      | B      | P      | B      | P      |
| N_total | 0.078  | 0.002  | 0.071  | 0.005  | 0.089  | <0.001 |
| N_inpatient | 0.073  | 0.001  | 0.076  | 0.006  | 0.074  | 0.001  |
| N_outpatient  | 0.024  | 0.374  | 0.018  | 0.501  | 0.046  | 0.077  |
| N_length    | 0.089  | 0.005  | 0.103  | 0.001  | 0.071  | 0.022  |

GRPS, genomic risk profile scores; N_total, number of contacts in total; N_inpatient, number of inpatient contacts; N_outpatient, number of outpatient contacts; N_length, hospitalization length; PT, p-value threshold; B, regression coefficient; P, p-value.
and hospitalization length (NKR² = 0.143, Pperm = 0.010) significantly improved prediction accuracy. However, no improvement was seen for restricting cases by the number of outpatient contacts (NKR² = 0.127, Pperm = 0.235), in line with the results observed in the case-only design. In both males and females, enriching cases for chronicity significantly improved prediction accuracy (data not shown). Interestingly, we observed a strong effect of sex on the overall risk prediction; the variance explained by GRPS was significantly higher in males (NKR² = 0.167) than in females (NKR² = 0.117, Pperm <0.001; see Table 4).

**DISCUSSION**

Chronic SCZ has long been hypothesized to index higher familiality and genetic loading. In this study, we report strong associations of GRPS and treatment contacts for SCZ, suggesting an effect of genetic vulnerability on the clinical outcome in SCZ. Specifically, we observed associations with number of contacts in total, inpatient contacts, and hospitalization length. But we did not observe an association with the number of outpatient contacts. In contrast to the other measurements, outpatient contacts are unlikely to configure markers of chronicity, as they are mostly prearranged and reflect with a higher likelihood regular check-ups and not medical needs due to a worsening of symptoms. In line with our findings, familial loading for psychotic disorders was found to deteriorate the course of SCZ (9). This relationship can be more clearly observed in male subjects, although we observed no moderating effect of sex. Interestingly, independent of chronicity the prediction accuracy of GRPS was significantly worse in female subjects with SCZ. While we observed no significant sex-specific effects of GRPS on chronicity, GRPS did not differentiate female cases as well from controls as male cases. This could indicate that female SCZ might be less (or differently) genetically determined and potentially has a stronger contribution of other factors, although GWASs did not find or reveal any sex-specific effects of SCZ so far (7). But the samples included in SCZ GWAS from the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (4) were also more likely to be male and chronically ill, which might be reflected in our results. Meaning that males and chronic cases are simply better represented in current genetic studies of SCZ and thus more genes might have been identified for these specific subtypes resulting in higher prediction accuracy. In sum, our results demonstrate that prediction accuracy of GRPS can be affected by sample characteristics and thereby inform on potential usage of GRPS in clinical prognosis.
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