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**ABSTRACT**

**Background:** Capsicums having high respiration, transpiration, and ethylene production rates along with high susceptibility to microbial growth deteriorate rapidly during storage leading to higher loss. The current study was conducted to assess the effects of Perforation mediated-modified atmospheric packaging (MAP) on shelf life and quality of calcium chloride treated bell pepper (*Capsicum annum*).

**Methods:** For this purpose green mature fruits *capsicum annum* variety Indra were pretreated with calcium chloride, weighed 1kg and packed in Low-density polyethylene with no perforation (T1), LDPE with 4 perforations (T2), LDPE with 8 perforations (T3), LDPE with 12 perforations (T4), LDPE with 16 perforation (T5) and open tray (T6) and stored at ambient room temperature of laboratory. Three replications were maintained for each treatment with CRD Design and different parameters were evaluated during the study period.

**Result:** Capsicum stored in perforated LDPE packet exhibited less weight loss, higher shelf life and higher vitamin C content compared to capsicum kept in an open tray. With less perforations weight loss was less. Moreover, LDPE with 16 perforations can be considered the best treatment because with higher numbers of pores it exhibited comparatively higher shelf life, optimum quality of fruits and also check the spoilage of the fruits as compared to all treatments.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Sweet pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.) is a potential vegetable crop grown extensively in Terai and mid hills of Nepal. Sweet pepper, also known as the bell pepper, is considered as the High value veggie due to its Superior taste, incredibly higher yield for the space they use, enormous health benefits and excellent return. In addition to being an ancestral culinary spice, Capsicum provides with an array of health benefits when consumed; essentially it helps relieve stomach aches, prevents skin-aging, muscle spasms, aches and arthritis. Likewise, it also prevents psoriasis, peptic ulcer and lowers the risk of cardiovascular diseases due to its analgesic properties. However, it has high rate of respiration and thus a shorter shelf-life at room temperature due to various physiological factors and high susceptibility to fungal diseases. Thus, it deteriorates rapidly during handling and storage due to poor post-harvest handling leading to huge losses (Annonymus, 2003). The major changes taking place during its senescence include loss in weight due to moisture loss, degradation of chlorophyll, loss of turgidity and change in texture, loss of nutritional value and reduction in marketability (Sahoo et al. 2014).

For those crops like Capsicum having high respiration, transpiration and ethylene production rates along with high susceptibility to microbial growth, the techniques such as atmospheric modification using packaging can be useful supplements to prolong the shelf life among farmers. The Modified atmosphere Packaging (MAP) is the practice of sealing actively respiring produce in polymeric film packages to modify the composition of internal atmospheres within the package. The internal atmospheres mainly depend on the respiration of product, and packaging film permeability. The firm permeability varies within low-density polyethylene (LDPE), linear low-density polyethylene (LLDPE), high-density polyethylene (HDPE), polypropylene (PP), polyvinyl chloride (PVC), polyester, *i.e.* polyethylene terephthalate (PET), polyvinylidene chloride (PVDC), polyamides (Nylon) and other suitable films (Goswami and Mahajan, 2009). The main principle of MAP is to develop a gaseous equilibrium between the produce and the sealed atmosphere resulting in lower O₂ and higher CO₂ levels which prolong shelf life and improve quality. In addition, MAP mightly enhances water retention capacity preventing dehydration and wilting. However, inside the sealed atmosphere the increase in water
vapor and heat generation may elevate exposure to pathogens and contaminants. Hence, packing films are often perforated with very small holes called micro-perforations to allow the outward movement of water vapor and heat but this method can effect ideal establishment of \( \text{O}_2 \) and \( \text{CO}_2 \) concentrations. Therefore, main objective of present study was to evaluate the effect of perforation mediated packaging on enhancing the shelf life and quality of capsicum during storage.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The experiment was conducted in Agriculture and Forestry University (AFU), Rampur, Chitwan from 2017 December to 2018 February in the Post Harvest Laboratory at the Department of Horticulture. Fruits of Capsicum annum variety Indra were harvested at the mature green stage from the commercial Farm in Chitwan, Nepal (longitude 84.3542° E and latitude 27.5291° N,) and Oxalic acid, sodium bicarbonate, 2, 6-dichlorophenolindophenols and ascorbic acid were obtained from biochemistry lab for the measurement of Ascorbic acid and Vitamin C content. The fruits were washed with tap water thoroughly to remove field heat and adhering soil particles on the surface. Then, Fruits were dipped in calcium chloride (Cacl\(_2\)) solution of concentration (0.5m)l and left for air drying for a while. In the laboratory, the samples were sorted for uniform size and color, and packed separately, approximately about 1kg per each pack. Different treatments were MAPs with Low-density polyethylene (LDPE) with no perforation, LDPE with 4 perforations, LDPE with 8 perforations, LDPE with 12 perforations, LDPE with16 perforation and fruit maintained in tray in an open condition. Three replications were maintained for each treatment with CRD design. All the samples bags were packed and stored at ambient room temperature of laboratory.

**Assessment of shelf life and post harvest quality**

**Cumulative Weight Loss**

Weight loss was recorded in 3 days difference over the storage period using a digital sensitive balance. Initial weight \( (W_0) \) of each fruit (marked) at day 0 and the new weight of the same \( (W_t) \) was taken for the subsequent days. The weight loss was calculated according to the formula:

\[
W_1 = \left( \frac{W_0 - W_t}{W_0} \right) \times 100\%
\]

Where

- \( W_1 \) is the percentage weight loss,
- \( W_0 \) is the initial fruits weight and
- \( W_t \) is the weight of the fruits at the designated time.

**Shelf life**

The shelf life of sweet pepper fruits were evaluated by counting the number of days required to attain the last stage but up to the stage when fruits remained still acceptable for marketing as described by Rao et al. (2011). It was decided based on the appearance and spoilage of fruits.

**Statistical analysis**

All the data were subjected to analysis of variance and all the means of the treatments were analyzed with the least significant difference test (LSD) \( P<0.05 \) using the Genstat software.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Physiological weight loss percentage.**

Weight loss in the crop not only lead to physical weight loss but also results in change in appearance, color, the texture of produce which decreases the market value as well as consumer preferences. It is a physiological process that can be controlled by storage temperature and humidity and also using appropriate packaging. In this study, Treatments have shown a highly significant difference in physiological weight loss (Cumulative weight loss) of \( \text{CaCl}_2 \) treated Capsicum throughout the storage period. The highest percentage weight loss was observed in \( \text{CaCl}_2 \) treated Capsicum kept in the open tray (9.13%) while the lowest percentage weight loss was found in the LDPE packet with no perforation (0.45%) at the end of respective shelf life. Lownds et al. (1994) demonstrated that packaging with perforated bags reduced the postharvest weight loss by up to 20 times in
several pepper genotypes. Unperforated bags reduced the weight loss in Jalapeño peppers up to 37 times (Ornelas-Paz et al. 2012). Among the perforated LDPE packets, packets with 16 perforations showed higher percentage weight loss (2.73%) at the end of shelf life. CaCl2 treated Capsicum kept in LDPE packet with 8 and 12 perforations showed lower percentage weight loss of 1.90% and 1.63% respectively and were successful in lowering the weight loss by approximately 7.23% and 7.5% respectively than the open tray at the end of shelf life. The reduction in weight loss in film-packed fruits is attributed to restricted respiratory process of fruits inside the packing films. We can infer that weight loss in an open could due to direct exposure to the environment, which caused more moisture loss. Moreover, the shelf life of CaCl2 treated Capsicum kept in LDPE packet with 4, 8, 12 and 16 perforations were 8 and 4 days longer than Capsicum kept in LDPE packet with no perforation and open tray respectively. Results are presented in (Table 1).

Biochemical properties

The biochemical properties of Capsicum like TSS, TA, pH, and vitamin C were significantly influenced by various treatments during storage. The highest TSS (3.75° Brix) was recorded in Capsicum kept in the open tray while the lowest TSS (2.30°brix) was found in those kept in LDPE packet with no perforation and was also found statistically similar with capsicum kept in LDPE packet with 4, 8, 12 and 16 perforation. The increase in TSS content for all the sweet peppers throughout the storage in ambient conditions (Open) indicates continuous metabolic conversion of sugars from starch (Samira et al. 2013). Likewise, highest TA (0.79) was found in Capsicum kept in LDPE packet with 16 perforations while lower TA (0.22) was found in open tray. The higher loss of TA in control fruits could be due to depletion of organic acids as a result of relatively faster respiration and ripening rate of fruits at ambient storage (Wills et al. 1989). The atmospheric modification created when fruits are packaged with Polyethylene bags may delay respiration and as a direct effect, the consumption of respiration substrates such as organic acids and sugars is retarded. Consequently, as the fruit respires, the O2 level could decrease and the CO2 level increases in the bags (Kader, 1985). Similarly, the highest pH value (6.46) was recorded in Capsicum kept in the open tray and lowest (5.87) in capsicum kept in the LDPE packets with no perforation. Moving towards Vitamin C, it was found the highest (19.03) in LDPE packet with 16 perforations which were found at par with LDPE packet with 12 perforations (17.76) and the LDPE packets with 8 perforations (17.54) consequently. Meanwhile, the lowest vitamin C was seen in capsicum kept in the open tray (8.03) and was found statistically similar with the LDPE packets with no perforations with value of 9.08. Vitamin C was lower in sweet pepper kept in the open tray compared to the LDPE packets due to direct exposure to the environment while the LDPE packet storage reduced respiration rate, delayed ripening, reduced ethylene production and maintained higher vitamin C (Day, 1993; Farber, 1991). Also, Pankotai et al. (2007) showed that Vitamin C contents in unpacked and packed (unperforated and perforated bags) peppers were similar during refrigerated storage. Results are presented on (Table 2).

**Shelf life**

There was highly significant effect of various treatments on shelf life of CaCl2 treated Capsicum annum during storage. The Higher Shelf life of Capsicum was observed in LDPE packet with 16 perforations, meanwhile lower shelf life was observed in Capsicum kept in Open tray and LDPE packet with no perforation. Lower respiration and ethylene production rates, reduced ethylene action, delayed ripening and senescence, retarding the growth of decay causing pathogens and insects due to modification of the gas atmosphere inside the package could be possible reason to extend the storage life of fruits (Exama et al. 1993; Kader et al. 2004). Whereas in perforated packages, it was observed that perforations significantly reduced the extent of decay. So, perforations had an advantage over unperforated packages and open tray to extend the shelf life of fruits (Exama et al. 1993; Kader et al. 2004).

**Table 1:** Physiological weight loss (cumulative weight loss) of CaCl2 treated Capsicum annum in four days interval from first day (day of packaging) until the end of shelf life.

| Treatments | 5th day | 9th day | 13th day | 17th day | 21st day |
|------------|---------|---------|----------|----------|---------|
| T1         | 0.18*   | 0.30*   | 0.45*    | -        | -       |
| T2         | 0.21*   | 0.64*   | 0.79*    | 0.90*    | 1.03*   |
| T3         | 0.48*   | 0.89*   | 1.14*    | 1.45*    | 1.63*   |
| T4         | 0.61*   | 1.08*   | 1.60*    | 1.80*    | 1.90*   |
| T5         | 0.70*   | 1.35*   | 1.96*    | 2.50*    | 2.73*   |
| T6         | 1.79*   | 4.48*   | 6.30*    | 9.13*    | -       |
| SEM        | 0.04    | 0.08    | 0.08     | 0.09     | 0.10    |
| LSD0.05    | 0.12*** | 0.24*** | 0.26***  | 0.27***  | 0.32*** |
| CV (%)     | 12.8    | 11.2    | 8.7      | 6.3      | 6.7     |

Note: T1= LDPE packet with no perforation; T2= LDPE packet with 4 perforations; T3= LDPE packet with 8 perforations; T4= LDPE packet with 12 perforations; T5= LDPE packet with 16 perforations; T6= Open tray. SEms., Standard Error of mean; CV, Coefficient of variation; LSD, Least significant difference. Means in the column with the same letter (s) in superscript indicate no significant difference between treatments at 0.05 level of significance; *** Significant at 0.001 level of Significance.
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Table 2: Biochemical analysis of CaCl$_2$ treated Capsicum annum at the end of shelf life.

| Treatments | TSS  | TA   | pH     | Vitamin C |
|------------|------|------|--------|-----------|
| T1         | 2.30b| 0.36b| 5.87c  | 9.08c     |
| T2         | 2.35b| 0.38b| 6.02bc | 14.87a    |
| T3         | 2.70a| 0.26bc|6.02bc|17.54a     |
| T4         | 2.45b| 0.26bc|6.30ab|17.76a     |
| T5         | 2.60b| 0.79a |6.23ab|19.03a     |
| T6         | 3.75a| 0.22c |6.46a  | 8.03c     |
| SEM        | 0.20 | 0.11 | 0.09   | 0.51      |
| LSD$_{0.05}$ | 0.61*** | 0.03*** | 0.28** | 1.53*** |
| CV (%)     | 15.3 | 20.7 | 3.2    | 7.2       |

Note: T1= LDPE packet with no perforation; T2= LDPE packet with 4 perforations; T3= LDPE packet with 8 perforations; T4= LDPE packet with 12 perforations; T5= LDPE packet with 16 perforations; T6= Open tray. SEM, Standard Error of mean; CV, Coefficient of variation; LSD, Least significant difference. Means in the column with the same letter (s) in superscript indicate no significant difference between treatments at 0.05 level of significance; ‘***’ Significant at 0.001 level of Significance.

Table 3: Effects of different treatments on the shelf life of Capsicum annum.

| Treatments | Shelf life |
|------------|-----------|
| T1         | 23c       |
| T2         | 36.25b    |
| T3         | 37b       |
| T4         | 40.50ab   |
| T5         | 42.75a    |
| T6         | 22.50c    |
| SEM        | 1.64      |
| LSD$_{0.05}$ | 4.8***   |
| CV (%)     | 9.8       |

Note: T1= LDPE packet with no perforation; T2= LDPE packet with 4 perforations; T3= LDPE packet with 8 perforations; T4= LDPE packet with 12 perforations; T5= LDPE packet with 16 perforations; T6= Open tray. SEM, Standard Error of mean; CV, Coefficient of variation; LSD, Least significant difference. Means in the column with the same letter (s) in superscript indicate no significant difference between treatments at 0.05 level of significance; ‘***’ Significant at 0.001 level of Significance.

Fig 1: Relationship between Shelf life and Physiological weight loss.

Relationship between Shelf life and Physiological weight loss

The correlation between Shelf life and Physiological weight loss ($r = -0.779$) were highly significant shown in (Fig 1). The coefficient of determination ($R^2$) value was 0.607 which means that Physiological weight loss had only 60.7% contribution to reduce the shelf life of CaCl$_2$ treated capsicum annum and the remaining contribution was due to other factors. Packaging of fruits and vegetables in plastic films is common for reducing excessive water loss that aids in prolonging the postharvest life of fresh produce. Low O$_2$ and elevated CO$_2$ concentrations in the modified atmosphere (MA) of the package reduce the respiration rate and hence improve storage life. Alleviation of water stress is the main factor extending postharvest life of pepper sealed in plastic...
film (Hughes et al. 1981). Other factors could be involved in this phenomenon (e.g., initial water content, surface area, surface morphology and fruit weight).

**CONCLUSION**

In accordance with the different observations made on physiological weight loss, physicochemical analysis and shelf life of CaCl₂ treated Capsicum annum throughout the research period, it can be concluded that the Capsicum kept in perforated LDPE showed lower weight loss, higher vitamin C content, and higher shelf life compared to the open tray. Similarly, the accumulation of water vapor inside the packets was high with the lesser number of perforations resulting in microbial growths, decay, and reduced shelf life. Even though physiological weight loss was much less in the LDPE without any perforation, it could not maintain quality and shelf life due to restriction for movement of oxygen and carbon dioxide (anaerobic condition). LDPE packets with 8, 12, and 16 perforations were almost similar as they maintained optimum quality and the higher shelf life. Likewise, weight loss from the LDPE packets with 16 perforations was slightly higher than LDPE packets with 8 and 12 perforations; it was able to maintain higher vitamin C content and less accumulation of water inside the packet than those with 8 and 12 perforations. Conclusively, the LDPE packet with 16 perforations were the best technology to store Capsicum annum.
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