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Desorption Characterization of Methane in Coal with Different Moisture Contents and Its Influence on Outburst Prediction
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Coal and gas outburst is a dynamic phenomenon with violent eruptions of coal and gas from the working coal seam. It has been proved that rapid desorption within a short period is necessary for the occurrence of an outburst. Due to the limitation of the present test condition, gas desorption characterization in coal with different moisture content for the first several seconds (0–60 s) has not been researched sufficiently. In this study, initial desorption characterization of gas in coal with different moisture content is studied by experiments with methane. The most remarkable characteristic of the experimental setup is the application of a self-developed real-time data acquisition system with a time interval of about 10 ms, which achieves the goal of collecting enough pressure data for analysis and calculation. The data is used to study gas pressure variation and calculate the initial amount of desorbed gas and index (ΔP) of initial velocity diffusion of coal gas. From the experimental results, the new proof has been found to verify that coal with lower moisture content and methane outburst is more dangerous than coal with higher moisture content and outburst. The degree of coal and methane outburst is exponentially decaying with increasing moisture content.

1. Introduction

Gas is an associated product in the coal formation process [1]. Mine gas is deposited in coal beds in a few forms: (1) adsorbed in micropores and on the surface of larger pores, (2) absorbed in the coal molecular structure, (3) as free gas in fissures and larger pores whose share becomes of significance at higher sorbate pressures, and (4) dissolved in deposit waters [2–4]. Coal and gas outburst is a dynamic phenomenon with violent eruptions of coal and gas from the working coal seam, resulting in economic loss and casualties. It is becoming more and more serious as mining is extended deeper in seams [5, 6]. Coal and gas outburst accidents occur frequently during the process of uncovering coal in deep mines [7]. Many scholars have developed sensitivity indexes to predict outburst-prone coal seams through research on the desorption properties of coal [8, 9]. For instance, the variation $K_V$ of gas emission was adopted to predict outburst proneness in Russia [10]. The gas emission V-index is a measure of the volume of gas desorption in the interval between 35 and 70 s in the condition of atmospheric pressure [11–13]. The gas adsorption index ($K_1$ and $\Delta h_2$) of drill cuttings has been conducted frequently in China. $K_1$ represents the volume of gas desorption in 1 min, and $\Delta h_2$ is the amount of gas desorption in the interval between 3 and 5 min under atmospheric pressure, respectively [14]. Moreover, the index (ΔP) of initial velocity diffusion of coal gas has been widely adopted worldwide. Besides, time
constant reflecting drop rate of gas pressure in front of the briquette face is proposed by Norbert [15].

These sensitivity indexes play a very important role in outburst prevention [16]. However, the effect of moisture on the gas desorption properties of coal particles is often overlooked during the experimental measurement process. Due to the fact that water in the coal will block the gas migration channel, thus blocking the gas desorption properties of coal particles and reducing the ability of coal diffusion [17–19], the study of moisture in the coal gas desorption characteristics is of great significance for the prevention and control of coal mine gas. A lot of research has been done. It was found that the diffusion rate of anthracite decreased with the increase of water content, while the diffusion rate of bituminous coal shows a U-shaped distribution with the increase of water content with decreases first and then increases [20]. Methane and carbon dioxide desorption diffusion experiments show that the moisture content in the coal matrix has a significant effect on the desorption rate of the gas, and the effect of moisture content on the diffusion rate of methane is greater than its effect on the diffusion rate of carbon dioxide [21, 22]. The results show that the initial desorption rate, diffusion capacity, and gas desorption capacity of coal are higher than those of static pressure after pulsating water injection, and the effect of gas desorption and diffusion is better than that of static pressure after water injection [23]. It is revealed that the gas desorption and desorption rates of the coal samples decrease with the increase of the moisture content of the coal samples in the same time period, regardless of the degree of metamorphism coal [24, 25]. The adsorption-water-desorption experiment was carried out to obtain the conclusion that the desorption rate decreased with the decrease of the critical pore scale [26]. The analysis of outburst prevention effect of coal seam water injection comes to the conclusion that the higher the original water content of the coal seam, the less the risk of coal and gas outbursts [27]. According to the study [28], it is shown that the cumulative gas removal rate of dry and wet coal samples is similar to that of Langmuir adsorption isotherm, and the addition of water restrains the coal desorption rate and the desorption rate of dry coal is larger than that of water.

In this paper, gas desorption characterization in the different moisture content for the first tens of seconds (0–60 s) is studied from three aspects: gas pressure, an initial amount of desorbed gas, and initial velocity diffusion of coal gas. Methane is used in the experiments. The effect of different moisture content on coal and gas outburst risk is analyzed. An effort is made to find a new proof for the phenomenon that coal and methane outburst with lower moisture content is more dangerous than that of higher moisture content.

2. Experimental Setup and Procedure

A schematic of the experimental setup is shown in Figure 1. It is mainly composed of a pressure container (5), a constant temperature water bath (11), a vacuum system (1), an inflation system (7–10), and a self-developed real-time data acquisition system (4). The container (5) is connected to the diffusion space (3) through the electromagnetic valve (6). A pressure regulating valve (8) is installed on the inflation system to achieve the designated gas pressure.

The application of the real-time data acquisition system is the most remarkable characteristic of the experimental setup. Time interval is brought down to about 10 ms to acquire enough gas pressure data in the initial desorption process. The ultimate pressure is almost decreasing to the level of an absolute vacuum (less than 10 mmHg). For the isovolumetric variable pressure measuring instrument, when the sample is not loaded, the diffusion space is degassed to make the pressure below 10 mmHg. After stopping the pump and placing it for 5 min, the increase of the space pressure should be less than 1 mmHg. Otherwise, the equipment should be overhauled until the air tightness meets the requirements.

Coal material was sampled from Quanlun coal mine of Shandong Energy Guizhou Co., Ltd., in Guizhou province, China. The geographical location of the Quanlun coal mine is shown in Figure 2. The to-be-mined coal seams in this coal mine are abundant, and the geological occurrence is simple, as shown in Figure 3. Pieces of coal were ground and sieved in order to achieve the desired size of 0.20 mm–0.25 mm. The weight of the coal sample was 3.5 grams. Proximate analysis of the coal sample was as follows: moisture content $M_{ad}$ = 1.50%, volatile matter content $V_{ad}$ = 7.06%, ash content $A_{ad}$ = 16.92%, total sulfur content $S_{ad}$ = 0.56%, porosity $n = 10.49\%$, and average pore size $r = 9.3$ nm.

The coal sample was placed into the container (5). After $1.5$ h evacuation, vacuum pump (1) was closed, while gas was injected into the container. So the coal sample adsorption was for $1.5$ h. When the pressure remained constant, the electromagnetic valve (2) was opened, while electromagnetic valves (6, 7) were closed. The vacuum pump (1) was opened for degassing the diffusion space (including the instrument gas piping). Then, stop the vacuum pump, close the electromagnetic valve (2), and open the electromagnetic valve (6), so that the coal sample container and diffusion space are connected, and start the data acquisition. When the time is 10s, the electromagnetic valve (6) is closed and the diffusion space real-time pressure data are recorded. Then, when the time is 45s, the coal sample container and diffusion space are connected, and once again the diffusion space real-time is read.

When the coal sample container was filled with coal particles, methane was separately injected into the container. Experiments were carried out with equilibrium methane pressures of 0.100 MPa and moisture balance pretreatment content of 0.5%, 1%, 2%, 4%, 6%, 8%, and 10%. For comparison, the pressure regulating valve (8) was used to adjust the similar pressure under different gas conditions.

The following are the steps of moisture balance pre-treatment of coal samples (as shown in Figure 4): First, weight a certain amount of air dried coal sample (with a precision of 0.1 mg). Second, place the coal sample in a container and evenly add appropriate amount of distilled water. Third, place the container with the sample in a moisture-tight seal (25°C, a relative humidity of 97% in a humidified environment), which was filled with a sufficient
amount of potassium sulfate supersaturated solution and weight once every 24 hours until the two adjacent weight variations do not exceed 2% of the weight of the sample. The formula is as follows:

\[
M_0 = \left(1 - \frac{G_2 - G_1}{G_2}\right) \times M_{ad} + \frac{G_2 - G_1}{G_2} \times 100, \quad (1)
\]

where \(M_0\) is moisture balance content of the sample, expressed as a percentage (%); \(G_1\) is quality of the air-dried sample before balance, expressed in gram (g); \(G_2\) is quality of the sample after balance, expressed in gram (g); \(M_{ad}\) is moisture content of the air-dried sample, expressed in percentage (%).

To eliminate the effect of the coal particles’ own volume on the diffusion space, which is determined by their masses and densities, their masses were measured by using an analytical balance. The density of coal was measured by immersing in the paraffin method.

Since adsorption/desorption is extremely sensitive to temperature, the entire container was placed into the constant temperature water bath (11) with a water temperature of 25°C (298.15 K) during the whole experiments [29]. In order to ensure the reliability of the experimental setup, the airtightness of instrument needs to be detected. It was determined that the pressure change of the diffusion space in the test device is less than 10 Pa, which satisfied the pressure requirement of the coal gas dispersion process.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Initial Gas Pressure of Desorbed Gas. According to the measurement range of pressure transducer (0–0.100 MPa), data of real-time pressure data collected by pressure transducer and real-time data acquisition system is divided into two parts: the high range of 45s pressure data → 60s pressure data \(p_2\) and the low range of 0s pressure data → 10s...
pressure data \( p_1 \) (\( p_1 \) is the first pressure data which is almost approximately equal to the 10 s diffusion space’s pressure; \( p_2 \) is the last pressure data which is almost approximately equal to the 60 s diffusion space’s pressure). To simplify data analysis, the 10 s–45 s inner coal sample container’s pressure is discarded. The middle data (the 10 s–45 s diffusion space’s pressure is constant) and pressure data collected by pressure transducer and real-time data acquisitions (0 s pressure data \( \rightarrow \) 10 s pressure data; 45 s pressure data \( \rightarrow \) 60 s pressure data) are combined for analysis. An example of pressure variation is shown in Figure 5.

It can be found that gas pressure increases sharply in the initial time and then levels slowly. Gas pressure variations are different in different time [30, 31]. The pressure rise rate of 45 s–60 s diffusion space’s pressure is lower than that of 0 s–10 s diffusion space’s pressure [32, 33]. The reason is that the adsorbed gas on micropore surfaces within the coal matrix is rapidly desorbed to free gas under the action of gas pressure gradient and concentration gradient [25–28].

The pressure variation when the container is filled with coal particles is shown in Figure 6. It can be found that the lower moisture content curve is always above the higher moisture content curve, which indicates that the diffusion
space’s pressure rise rate of methane in coal with higher moisture content is lower than that of lower moisture content [34]. If $Z_{\text{hat}}$ is to say, methane in coal with lower moisture content pressure keeps a high level for a longer time. If $Z_{\text{hus}}$, a large amount of methane is desorbed to free gas after the exposure of coal, which contributes to producing enough energy for an outburst. If $Z_{\text{he}}$ research result is consistent with the practical situation and laboratory experiments [20, 22].

3.2. Initial Amount of Desorbed Gas. The amount of gas diffusion ($n$) through the electromagnetic valve (6) consists of two parts: gas flux from the void volume ($n_1$) and that from the coal particles ($n_2$).

Collected data of gas desorption pressure is adopted to calculate gas mass flow ($m$) at the electromagnetic valve (6) by using [27]

$$m = \frac{p \sigma^*}{\sqrt{T}} \left[ \frac{2 \gamma}{y - 1} \left( \frac{P_1}{P} \right)^{2\gamma - 1} - \left( \frac{P_2}{P} \right)^{y + 1/y} \right],$$

where $p$ and $T$ are the absolute pressure and temperature, respectively; $P_1$ is the atmospheric pressure; $\gamma$ is the adiabatic exponent; $\sigma^*$ is the cross-sectional area of the nozzle orifice; and $R$ is the gas constant. The amount of gas flowing through the electromagnetic valve (6) can be calculated as

$$n = \frac{1}{M} \int_0^{t_0} m \, dt$$

where $M$ is the molar mass of the gas; $R$ is molar gas constant; $V$ is the volume of the diffusion space; $Z$ is the compressibility factor.

The calculation result is shown in Figure 7. It is evident from this figure that the total amount of desorbed gas is increasing with time at a great speed in the initial time. Then, the increasing rate continuously decreases.

From a microscopic view, the adsorption of water and methane in coal is due to the interaction of water molecules and methane molecules with coal-based molecules [35, 36]. The maximum adsorption potential of methane on the coal surface is \(-2.704\, \text{kJ/mol}\), and the maximum adsorption potential of water on the coal surface is \(-24.0\, \text{k/mol}\). The larger the adsorption potential is, the more adsorbable molecules are adsorbed [35–38]. Therefore, the water in coal has a great influence on the adsorption capacity of methane. Water mainly affects the adsorption of coal to gas through three aspects [37–40]: First, the combination of partial free water and coal surface occupies a certain coal surface space, thus reducing the adsorption space of methane molecules and reducing the adsorption of methane on the coal surface. Second, because the water has a certain vapor pressure, a small amount of water molecules in gaseous form exists in coal micropore, hindering the methane molecules adsorbed in the coal surface and reducing the amount of methane absorbed by coal. Third, water blocks the channel of methane molecules into the micropores. Due to the fact that the specific surface area of the pores is the main carrier of coal adsorption [41], water will form capillary resistance within the micropores of coal [42, 43]. Especially when the pressure between the internal and the external environments of the pores is insufficient to overcome the capillary resistance, the methane molecules are prevented from entering the pores, thereby reducing the amount of adsorbed methane in coal [44]. In general, water reduces the amount of adsorbed gas in the coal sample, thereby reducing the initial desorption pressure of the gas.

Gas flux from the container volume ($n_1$) is equal to the gas increase in the diffusion space volume, and it can be calculated as
3.3. Initial Velocity Diffusion of Coal Gas. The index (ΔP) of initial velocity diffusion of coal gas (abbreviated as IVDCG) is an index that is used widely worldwide to identify outburst-prone coal seams. It has been proved that the occurrence probability of outburst increases with the increase of IVDCG and the threshold for outburst coal seams is 10 mmHg, respectively.

According to the research of Yang and Wang [45], when the coal particle size is less than the limit size, the coal particles are basically composed of pore structure. The limit particle size varies with the coal, about 0.5–10 mm [46]. Therefore, the mass flow of gas at the initial velocity measurement will be proportional to the gas concentration gradient, which is consistent with the diffusion law, that is, the law of Fick.

\[
J = -D \frac{\partial C}{\partial x}
\]

(6)

where \( J \) is the diffusion velocity, \( m^3/(m^2\cdot s) \); \( D \) is the coal gas diffusion coefficient, \( m^2/s \); \( C \) is the coal gas concentration, \( m^3/l \); \( x \) is the distance from the center of the particle, m.

A large number of experiments at home and abroad show that when the coal adsorbs gas, the adsorption isothersms conform to the Langmuir equation [47, 48]:

\[
W = \frac{abP}{1 + bP} \times \frac{1}{1 + 0.31M_0},
\]

(7)

where \( W \) is at a certain temperature, when the adsorption equilibrium gas pressure is \( p_r \), mL/g; \( P \) is gas pressure at adsorption equilibrium, MPa; \( a \) is the adsorption constant, which is the maximum amount of adsorbed gas, mL/g, when the gas pressure approaches infinity at a certain temperature; \( b \) is adsorption constant, MPa\(^{-1}\), \( b \) is the reciprocal of Langmuir pressure; \( M_0 \) is moisture balance content of the sample, %.

Suppose the following: (1) the coal dust is composed of spherical particles; (2) the coal is homogeneous and the same; (3) the gas flow conforms to the law an of conservation of mass and the continuity principle; (4) the average radius \( R \) of the coal particles is 0.125 mm.

Coal particles desorption coalbed methane in the first-class boundary conditions of the approximate solution [49] is as follows:

\[
\frac{C - C_p}{C_0 - C_p} = -\frac{2(1-n)\sin nR}{\pi n r} \exp \left( -\pi^2 n^2 D \frac{t}{R^2} \right).
\]

(8)

According to the determination method for index \( \Delta P \), the following can be obtained:

\[
\Delta P = \frac{3.5}{27\rho(4/3\pi r)^3} \int_0^{60} \left( \int_0^{60} \int_0^{60} v' (C_0 - C_p) dV \right) dt,
\]

(9)

where \( \rho \) is coal particle density; \( C_p \) is a gas concentration at any time.

The approximate solution of the index \( \Delta P \) is obtained by formulas (8) and (9):

\[
\Delta P = \frac{3.5}{27\rho} \left( \frac{abP}{1 + bP} \times \frac{1}{1 + 0.31M_0} - C_p \right) \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{6}{\pi n^2} \left[ \exp \left( -\pi^2 n^2 \frac{160D}{R^2} \right) - \exp \left( \pi^2 n^2 \frac{10D}{R^2} \right) \right] \right\}.
\]

(10)
Moisture content is shown in Figure 9. References [31–33] have proved that IVDCG varies directly with moisture. However, there is no experimental result for coal containing moisture content. From Figure 9, it is found that the relationship is adequately described by the exponential function equation of $\Delta p = k \exp ( -A*M_{eq}) + B$ ($k$ is the coefficient of moisture influence, the value of which indicates the degree of moisture affecting the IVDCG; $A$ is the attenuation coefficient of the IVDCG, and its value indicates the effect of moisture on the rate of decrease of the IVDCG; $B$ is the constant).

According to the outburst threshold of $10 \text{mmHg}$ (as is shown in Table 3) [11], coal seams should be identified as non-outburst-prone seams when the moisture content is higher than 4% for the experimental coal sample in the adsorption methane pressure 0.1 MPa condition, which confirms that the lower moisture content coal and methane outburst is more dangerous than that of higher moisture content.

Also, the IVDCG ($\Delta p$) and the adsorption constants ($a$ and $b$ value) of coal have a certain relationship [50]. Therefore, the ultimate adsorption capacity of coal can be estimated approximately according to the initial speed of gas diffusion.

The index $\Delta P$ is an indicator of the capacity of coal to adsorb gas at standard atmospheric pressure (0.1 MPa) and the speed of gas desorption when exposed to air suddenly. The performance of coal to diffuse gas is determined by the physical and mechanical properties of coal. Under the same moisture content, the greater the IVDCG, the greater the risk of coal and gas outburst [51].

The index $\Delta P$ is one of the indicators used for the coal and gas outburst forecast, the critical value of which is $10 \text{mmHg}$. When $\Delta p \geq 10 \text{mmHg}$, the coal seam has the outburst risk; when $\Delta p < 10 \text{mmHg}$, the coal seam does not have the outburst risk.

**Figure 8:** The relation between gas desorbed velocity from the coal particles and time. (a) Time range of 0 s–10 s. (b) Time range of 45 s–60 s.
According to the obtained exponential function equation, it can be seen that, with the increase of the moisture content of coal samples, the index $\Delta p$ of IVDCG has exponential decrease. In particular, the experimental result shows that when the moisture content of coal samples increased to more than 4%, the index $\Delta p$ of IVDCG will be reduced to below the critical value of 10 mmHg. It can be seen that, in the case of coal samples which used water injection measures to determine the IVDCG, as the coal sample moisture increased, it makes the index $\Delta p$ of IVDCG decrease, thus covering the information of coal and gas outburst risk, which will cause actual inconsistent results.

Due to the small amount of data in this experiment, the problem of correction will continue in the future study.

### 4. Conclusions

1. The greater the amount of gas adsorption, the greater the effect of the moisture content on the IVDCG. Under conditions of constant equilibrium gas adsorption pressure and the same coal sample, the lower the moisture content, the greater the index $\Delta p$; the higher the moisture content, the smaller the index $\Delta p$.

2. The desorbed gas velocity is decreasing with time at a great speed in the initial time. Then, the decreasing rate continuously decreases. For the data of experiments at the moisture content level of 10%, the desorbed gas velocity is slightly larger than that after the unstable fluctuation curve. Then, the total amount of desorbed methane is still increasing fast. With the time increasing, the total desorbed gas gap between the time period of 0–10 s and the time period of 45–60 s is larger and larger.

3. IVDCG is greatly affected by the moisture content. The relationship between IVDCG and equilibrium moisture content is adequately described by the exponential function equation of $\Delta p = 18.07 \times \exp(-M_{ad}/5.6) + 1.08$. With the increase of equilibrium moisture content, the index $\Delta p$ has exponential decrease.

4. When the moisture content of coal samples increased to more than 4%, the index $\Delta p$ of IVDCG will be reduced to below the critical value of 10 mmHg; thus coal seams should be identified as non-outburst-prone seams in the adsorption methane pressure 0.1 MPa condition.

5. Determination index $\Delta p$ should try to keep the coal samples moisture content consistent with that of the site coal seam. If the critical value (10 mmHg) is used, it should be adjusted according to the actual moisture content of the coal seam after water injection.
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