Methods and techniques

A new strategy to identify potentially dangerous coronary arterial patterns before percutaneous pulmonary valve implantation
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Abstract

Despite advances in surgical techniques, right ventricular outflow tract (RVOT) conduits are prone to fail over time. Percutaneous pulmonary valve implantation was introduced to expand the lifetime of these conduits and to decrease the number of open heart operations during a patient’s lifetime. The procedure can be performed with excellent results; however, serious complications such as coronary arterial compression and conduit rupture have been reported. We present percutaneous treatment of a patient after Ross-Konno operation with RVOT conduit dysfunction and a potentially problematic course of the left anterior descending artery.
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Introduction

Despite advances in surgical techniques, right ventricular outflow tract (RVOT) conduits are prone to fail over time [1]. Patients with surgically reconstructed outflow tracts often require multiple open heart operations during their lifetime. Percutaneous pulmonary valve implantation (PPVI) was introduced to decrease the number of open heart operations and increase the lifespan of conduits by removing obstruction and revalving the surgically placed outflow tube [2]. This procedure can be performed with excellent short- and mid-term results, with low morbidity and mortality [3–5]. One of the most serious potential complications is coronary arterial compression [6, 7]. Preprocedural imaging (computed tomography (CT) or cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR)), careful patient selection, and a patient-modified approach during the procedure can guide a safe and successful intervention [4]. The large variation in anatomy that exists amongst patients who require RVOT conduits leads to significant diversity in the relationship between the outflow anatomy and the coronary arterial course [8]. Coronary arterial compression, a potentially fatal complication, may occur during PPVI [3, 4, 6, 9]. To minimise the risk of this major adverse event we suggest noninvasive imaging followed by meticulous biplane visualisation of the coronary anatomy. Introduction of a coronary guide wire to the segment of the artery deemed to be a hazard during RVOT conduit expansion may provide further information regarding the risk of coronary compression.

We present the findings of a patient after Ross-Konno operation with dysfunction of the RVOT conduit. In CMR a potentially dangerous course of the left anterior descending (LAD) artery was noticed. The balloon test was done with concomitant placement of a coronary guide wire to exclude a compression of the LAD during PPVI.

Case report

A 15-year-old boy (177 cm, 97 kg) presented with mixed stenotic and regurgitant dysfunction of an RVOT conduit. Following an initial neonatal aortic balloon valvuloplasty a Ross-Konno operation was performed in infancy. Five years later the initial RVOT conduit (pulmonary homograft) was replaced with a 20 mm bovine xenograft conduit (Contegra, Medtronic Inc, Minneapolis, MN).
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The patient presented in good clinical condition with typical ECG and echocardiographic findings of RVOT conduit dysfunction. The maximal RVOT velocity ($V_{\text{max}}$, continuous wave Doppler) was 4.2 m/s, with proximal stenosis of the conduit and significant pulmonary regurgitation. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance demonstrated good global biventricular function without regional wall disturbances and moderate right ventricular hypertrophy. The RV was mildly dilated with an indexed end diastolic volume of 88 ml/m². The coronary artery origins were in the usual positions. The right coronary artery was far from the conduit, whereas the LAD branch coursed parallel to and posteroinferior to the conduit at a distance of approximately 4 mm (Figures 1 A–D). This arrangement was deemed to be potentially high risk for coronary compression therefore further interrogation was performed.

At cardiac catheterisation under general anaesthesia, angiography delineated a tight proximal stenosis of the conduit with a minimal diameter of 11 mm. Moderate calcifications around the proximal segment of the conduit were noted (Figures 2 A, B). Hemodynamic measurements were performed (right ventricle – 52/5/13 mm Hg, pulmonary artery – 23/12/15 mm Hg, aorta – 85/62/79 mm Hg). For balloon testing an 18 mm low-pressure balloon (BiB, NuMed Inc, Hopkinton, NY) was inflated in the RVOT with concomitant selective left coronary angiography in posterior-anterior and left lateral projections. As depicted by CMR, the LAD branch coursed at a close distance to the proximal calcified part of the conduit, creating a potential risk of compression in case of expansion. No evidence of coronary compression was seen on angiography or on ECG analysis at this point. To better understand the relationship along the proximal course of the LAD and the conduit, a soft tipped 0.014 inch standard coronary guide wire was advanced into the LAD to a point where it looked to be closest to the con-
Figure 2. Step-by-step angiographic images of PPVI. Standard posterior-anterior (PA) (A) and left lateral (LL) (B) projections showing relationship between the narrow conduit (white curved lines), calcifications (black arrows) in the proximal segment of the conduit, and the proximal segment of the left anterior descending (LAD) branch exposed with the aid of the tip of the coronary guidewire (white arrow). Note the hazardous proximity between the proximal part of the LAD branch and the conduit. The LL (C) and the ‘barrel-view’ projection (D) during balloon testing with 20 mm balloon catheter. On LL view, fully inflated balloon seems to project on the tip of the guidewire; however, in the barrel-view projection the tip of the guidewire turns away from the balloon and proximal calcifications. Final selective left coronary angiography in PA (E) and LL (F) projections showing unobstructed flow to all branches.
duet (Figures 2 A, B). Selective biplane left coronary artery angiography was repeated in a left lateral and a frontal 40° caudal angulation (the so-called “barrel” projection), during inflation of a 20 mm BiB catheter (Figures 2 C, D). In the lateral plane the tip of the guidewire projected over the proximal part of the balloon; however, in the barrel view it was clearly leftward from the conduit. This was deemed to be reassuring and the decision was made to implant the percutaneous pulmonary valve (Melody, Medtronic Inc, Minneapolis, MN). After preparing a landing zone with a 34 mm long covered Cheatham Platinum (cCP) stent (NuMed Inc) mounted on a 20 mm BiB catheter, the percutaneous pulmonary valve was implanted on a 20 mm Ensemble delivery system (Melody, Medtronic Inc, Minneapolis, MN). The whole assembly was post-dilated with a 20 mm high-pressure balloon catheter (Mullins, NuMed Inc) before control angiography of the left coronary artery showed unobstructed flow in all branches (Figures 2 E, F). Haemodynamic measurements confirmed significant reduction of the RVOT gradient (residual gradient 7 mm Hg) and right ventricle (39/9/12 mm Hg) to systemic pressure (116/71/89 mm Hg) ratio. The patient was discharged home on the second day post intervention.

Discussion

Despite advances in surgical techniques, RVOT conduits fail over time [1]. Percutaneous pulmonary valve implantation has provided a major step forward in reducing the number of “re-do” surgical conduit replacements during a patient’s life [2]. This procedure can be performed with a low morbidity and mortality and excellent medium-term results [3–5]. Along with conduit rupture, coronary compression remains a major potential complication [6–8]. Careful patient selection should include pre-procedural imaging (CT and or CMR imaging), with angiographic coronary assessment in selected cases. Balloon interrogation of the conduit with concomitant selective biplane coronary arteriography is invaluable in some cases, but the aggression of the balloon interrogation needs to be balanced by the risk of conduit rupture during this diagnostic step. The PPVI operators should have criteria and protocols for pre-procedure assessment and be prepared to tailor their approach depending on the surgical anatomy. There is no clear method of predicting how a conduit will behave on aggressive expansion and how adjacent structures, including the coronary arteries, will be affected. Opinions vary from expert to expert regarding the “safe distances” between coronary and conduit, the behaviour of soft tissues versus calcified structures, the position of the conduit with respect to the sternum, and how the proposed degree of conduit expansion might predict the amount of excursion of the expanded conduit. All have been touted as determinants of risk of coronary compression [9]. Our approach of combining angiography with the positioning of a coronary wire allows increased information linking the position of the conduit narrowing to the course of the coronary artery. It does not in itself prevent compression but may help predict the likelihood of compression in concerning anatomy. Although major complications during PPVI are rare, we must remember that the risk of surgical conduit replacement in most cases is very low. Our tolerance of risk in these alternative interventional procedures must take this into account in each individual case.
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