Non-Newtonian stagnation point flow due to a stretchable rotating disk

Abstract
In this study, steady, three dimensional, laminar stagnation point flow and heat transfer of a non-Newtonian Reiner-Rivlin fluid due to a radially stretchable rotating disk has been investigated for the first time. Analytical solutions of the governing coupled, nonlinear, ordinary differential equations, deduced directly from the Navier-Stokes equations by means of similarity transformations, are obtained using a highly effective analytical method, called, Homotopy Analysis Method (HAM). A comparison of HAM results with Homotopy Perturbation Method (HPM) is also performed to show the efficiency of HAM over HPM. It has been observed that, increasing stretching in general decreases the magnitude of azimuthal, axial component of velocity and temperature distributions, while the radial component increases near the surface of the disk for small stretching followed by a continuous decrease for large stretching. Also, the radial and tangential stresses are found to be decreasing functions of the stretching parameter.
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Introduction
In general, it is always difficult to find exact solution of the Navier-Stokes equations, primarily because of their non-linearity. The planer two dimensional stagnation point flow, initially solved by\(^1\) and axisymmetric three dimensional stagnation point flow by\(^2,3\) are a few of the problems in fluid dynamics which admit exact solution of the Navier-Stokes equations. The stagnation point flow represents the fluid flow in the immediate neighborhood of solid surface at which fluid approaching the surface divides into different streams and it is evident from literature that stagnation flows are studied extensively because of their importance in both theory and practice. From a practical point of view, these flows have applications in forced convection cooling processes where a coolant is impinged on a flat plate. The classical Karman\(^4\) problem is another example of an exact solution of the Navier-Stokes equations. In his paper, Karman showed that, the steady, viscous flow around a single disk rotating in an initially stationary fluid is an exact solution of the Navier-Stokes equations reducing the governing system of partial differential equations into a set of ordinary differential equations using the similarity variables which are now known as Karman similarity variables. The subject of flows associated with rotating disks occupies a key position in the field of fluid mechanics because of their immense practical importance. Rotating-disk systems can be used to model the flow and heat transfer associated with the internal-air systems of gas turbines, where disks rotate close to a rotating and stationary surface. In addition, rotating-disk systems are used in electro-chemistry (rotating-disk electrodes), bio and chemical reactors, transport engineering (automobile brakes), rotating-disk cleaners, etc. Hence, after Karman’s\(^4\) seminal work, considerable attention has been given to the extensions of his problem, such as, the effects of suction,\(^5\) of partial slip,\(^6\) of stretching,\(^7\) of forced convection\(^8\) and many more.

Present study focuses on another important extension of this problem which is the stagnation point flow over a rotating disk, which has long been a subject of investigations. This phenomenon commonly occurs in many engineering and industrial applications such as wastewater treatment, rotating machinery, medical equipment, rotating blades, computer storage devices etc. Moreover, forced flow due to a rotating disk occurs on all blunt rotating bodies moving in a fluid and thus present analysis meets the identified need to evaluate the exact analytical solution of a practical problem. Hannah\(^9\) was the first to analyze the flow fields arising from a laminar forced flow impinging on a rotating disk. He obtained an approximate series solution of velocity profiles near the disk surface. Schlichting et al.,\(^10\) gave another series solution of velocity components using an integral method. The steady heat transfer in this flow has been investigated by Tien et al.,\(^11\) using the velocity functions of Hannah’s\(^9\) result. A more general problem of boundary-layer transfer over a rotating axisymmetric bodies, including rotating disk as a special case has been studied by Lee et al.,\(^12\) A more recent study by Shevchuk et al.,\(^13\) investigates the stagnation point solution for a rotating disk with simultaneous orthogonal impingement regarding the heat transfer. One can find a comprehensive review relevant to stagnation flow over a rotating disk in the book.\(^14\)

This analytical study also explores the effect of surface stretching owing to its several practical applications in the field of metallurgy and chemical engineering. Extrusion processes, fibers spinning, hot rolling, manufacturing of plastic and rubber sheet, continuous casting and glass blowing are examples of industrial applications of stretching of a surface in an ambient fluid. Turkyilmazoglu\(^15\) investigated the three dimensional stagnation point flow of viscous, steady boundary layer of an electrically conducting fluid, in the presence of a magnetic field, due to a rotating disk permitting it to stretch radially. Using spectral technique he solved the governing system of equations numerically and found strong dependence of the stagnation velocities and shear stresses on the rotation parameter, stretching parameter and magnetic field parameter. Recently, Shateyi et al.,\(^16\) extended and studied the steady stagnation-point flow and heat transfer of an electrically conducted incompressible viscous fluid to the case where the disk surface is convectively heated and radially stretched. Though, the study of viscous stagnation point flow due to a stretchable rotating disk has attained considerable attention, very little attention
is given to the non-Newtonian counterpart of the same. Therefore, the objective of the present investigation is to extend this study to the non-Newtonian case considering the Reiner–Rivlin fluid model. This fluid model was introduced by Reiner to describe the phenomenon of “dilatancy” and it’s constitutive equation is given by

\[ \tau_y = -p\delta_y + 2\mu e_{ij} + 2\mu e_{ij} e_{ij}, \quad e_{ij} = 0 \]  

(1)

Where \( p \) is denoting the pressure, \( \mu \) is the coefficient of viscosity and \( \mu_e \) is the coefficient of cross viscosity. The Reiner-Rivlin model does not predict distinct normal stress differences in simple shear flows and thus the model might be unsuitable for fluids having distinct normal stress differences but many geological, biological materials as well as food products and chemicals are adequately described by this model. In fact the most popular models in chemical engineering, food rheology, glaciology and other areas belong to the class of Reiner-Rivlin fluids. For details one can refer the recent works in which the authors have thoroughly discussed about the Reiner-Rivlin fluid.

In this study, we use the popular and promising technique, called, Homotopy Analysis Method (HAM) devised by Liao to produce approximate analytical solutions for the considered problem. The homotopy analysis method is unique among other perturbation techniques as it allows us to effectively control the region of convergence and rate of convergence of a series solution to a nonlinear differential equation, via control of an initial approximation, an auxiliary linear operator, an auxiliary function and a convergence control parameter. Moreover, many other methods such as homotopy perturbation method, Adomian’s decomposition method, \( \delta \) -expansion method etc. are special cases of HAM. A few successful implementation of HAM can be found in the works.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows: next section presents the formulation of the problem which is followed by application of HAM to the resulting system of nonlinear differential equations. The convergence of the obtained solution series is discussed in the following section. Then the results are discussed in Results and discussion section and finally, conclusions are drawn in the next section.

Formulation of the problem

Let us consider steady stagnation point flow of a non-Newtonian Reiner-Rivlin fluid due to a stretchable rotating disk of infinite dimension placed at \( z = 0 \). The disk is assumed to rotate with uniform angular velocity \( \Omega \) which stretches radially with constant rate \( c_r \) (Figure 1). Using cylindrical polar coordinates to describe the flow, let \( u, v, w \) be the components of velocity along \( r, \phi, z \) respectively. And in view of rotational symmetry taking \( \varphi = 0 \), the equation of continuity, motion and energy read as:

\[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = 0 \]  

(2)

\[ \rho \left( u \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + r \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{\partial \tau_{rr}}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \tau_{rz}}{\partial z} = \rho \frac{v}{r} \frac{du}{dz} \]  

(3)

\[ \rho \left[ u \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} + w \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \tau_{rw}}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \tau_{zw}}{\partial z} \right] = \frac{\partial \tau_{rz}}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \tau_{zz}}{\partial z} \]  

(4)

\[ \rho \left( u \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} + r \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right) = \frac{\partial \tau_{rw}}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \tau_{zw}}{\partial z} \]

(5)

\[ \rho e_p \left( u \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + r \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + w \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right) = \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{\partial \tau_{rr}}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \tau_{rz}}{\partial z} \right) - k \left( \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial r^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z^2} \right) \]  

(6)

Where, \( T \) is fluid’s temperature, \( e_p \) and \( k \) are specific heat constant and thermal conductivity of the fluid respectively. And the boundary conditions are:

\[ u(0) = cr, \quad v(0) = r\Omega, \quad w(0) = 0, \quad T(0) = T_w \]  

(7)

\[ u(\infty) \rightarrow ar, \quad v(\infty) \rightarrow 0, \quad w(\infty) \rightarrow -2ac, \quad T(\infty) \rightarrow T_\infty \]  

(8)

where, \( a \) is a constant, \( T_s \) is the temperature at the surface of the disk and \( T_\infty \) is the temperature far away from the disk. Next we use the following similarity transformations:

\[ u = ar^F(\eta), \quad v = arG(\eta), \quad w = -2\sqrt{ac}f(\eta), \quad \theta = \frac{T - T_w}{T_\infty - T_w} \]  

(9)

with the boundary conditions

\[ F(0) = 0, \quad G(0) = \omega \alpha, \quad F'(0) = s, \quad \theta(0) = 1 \]  

\[ F'(\infty) \rightarrow 1, \quad G(\infty) \rightarrow 0, \quad \theta(\infty) \rightarrow 0 \]  

(10)

where \( \eta \) denotes derivatives with respect to \( \eta, \quad s = \frac{c_r}{\alpha} \) is the stretching parameter, \( \alpha = \frac{\Omega}{a} \) is the rotation number, \( Pr = \frac{E_{\mu \gamma}}{k} \) is the Prandtl number and \( L = \frac{\mu \mu_c}{\mu_e} \) is the non-Newtonian parameter.

The heat transfer from the disk’s surface to the fluid is computed by the application of Fourier’s law, \( q = -k \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right)_w \) which upon introducing the transformed variables become

\[ q = -k(T_w - T_s) \frac{\partial F(0)}{\partial \eta} \]  

(11)

By rewriting the heat transfer results in terms of the Nusselt number defined as \( N_u = \frac{q \sqrt{\alpha}}{k(T_w - T_s)} \), we get

\[ N_u = \frac{\partial \theta(\eta)}{\partial \eta} \]  

(12)

In terms of variables of the analysis, the expressions for the tangential shear stress \( \tau_r \) and radial shear stress \( \tau_z \) are given by

\[ \tau_r = \left. \frac{\tau_{rr}}{\alpha \mu_r} \right|_{\tau_{r0}} - F''(0) - LF''(0)F'(0) \]  

(13)

And

\[ \tau_z = \frac{\tau_{zz}}{\alpha \mu_z} \]  

(14)
where the nonlinear differential operators, $N_F$, $N_G$ and $N_\eta$ are defined by:

$$N_F = \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{F}(\eta,q)}{\partial \eta^2} - \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{F}(\eta,q)}{\partial \eta} \right)^2 + \left( \tilde{G}(\eta,q) \right)^2 + 2 \tilde{F}(\eta,q) \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{F}(\eta,q)}{\partial \eta^2},$$

$$N_G = - \frac{\partial \tilde{G}(\eta,q)}{\partial \eta} + 2 \tilde{F}(\eta,q) \frac{\partial \tilde{G}(\eta,q)}{\partial \eta} - 2 \tilde{F}(\eta,q) \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{F}(\eta,q)}{\partial \eta^2} \tilde{G}(\eta,q),$$

$$N_\eta = \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{\theta}(\eta)}{\partial \eta^2} + 2\tilde{F}(\eta,q) \frac{\partial \tilde{\theta}(\eta)}{\partial \eta} - \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{F}(\eta,q)}{\partial \eta^2} \tilde{G}(\eta,q)$$

(30)

(31)

(32)

Clearly, when $q$ varies from 0 to 1, $\tilde{F}(\eta,q), \tilde{G}(\eta,q)$ and $\tilde{\theta}(\eta,q)$ change from the initial approximations $F_0(\eta), G_0(\eta), \theta_0(\eta)$ to the original solutions $F(\eta), G(\eta), \theta(\eta)$. Next, following the procedure similar to Liao, we have the high order approximations for $F_m, G_m, \theta_m$, called, $m^{th}$-order deformation equations

$$L_F[F_m(\eta) - \chi_m F_{m-1}(\eta)] = \tilde{H}_F h_m R_F^m$$

(33)

$$L_G[G_m(\eta) - \chi_m G_{m-1}(\eta)] = \tilde{H}_G h_m R_G^m$$

(34)

$$L_\eta[\theta_m(\eta) - \chi_m \theta_{m-1}(\eta)] = \tilde{H}_\eta h_m R_\eta^m$$

(35)

where, $R_F^m, R_G^m, R_\eta^m$ by given by:

$$R_F^m = \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{F}_m(\eta)}{\partial \eta^2} + \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{F}_n(\eta)}{\partial \eta^2} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{F}_{m-n}(\eta)}{\partial \eta^2} + \tilde{G}(\eta) \tilde{G}_{m-1}(\eta) - 2 \tilde{F}(\eta) \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{F}_{m-1}(\eta)}{\partial \eta^2}$$

(36)

$$R_G^m = \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{G}_m(\eta)}{\partial \eta^2} + \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{G}_n(\eta)}{\partial \eta^2} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{G}_{m-n}(\eta)}{\partial \eta^2} + \tilde{G}(\eta) \tilde{G}_{m-1}(\eta) - 2 \tilde{F}(\eta) \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{G}_{m-1}(\eta)}{\partial \eta^2}$$

(37)

$$R_\eta^m = \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{\theta}_m(\eta)}{\partial \eta^2} + \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{\theta}_n(\eta)}{\partial \eta^2} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{\theta}_{m-n}(\eta)}{\partial \eta^2} - \tilde{G}(\eta) \tilde{G}_{m-1}(\eta)$$

(38)

And,

$$X_m = \frac{1}{m} \quad m > 1$$

(39)

$$X_m = \begin{cases} 1 & m > 1 \\ 0 & m \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

(40)

Finally, choosing the auxiliary functions as $\tilde{H}_F = \tilde{H}_G = \tilde{H}_\eta = e^{-x}$, these linear equations (33)-(36) were solved for $F_m(\eta), G_m(\eta), \theta_m(\eta)$ and the results are analyzed graphically in section $5$. 

**Convergence of HAM**

To get a proper value of the convergence control parameter, constant $h$, curves of some special quantities, such as $F''(0), G''(0), \theta'(0)$, are drawn and a value from the region that corresponds to the line segments nearly parallel to horizontal axis is chosen to ensure the
convergence of the obtained solution series. A few such curves, for
different combinations of flow parameters $L, Pr, \omega, s$ are shown in
Figure 2.

We know that for the case when $h = -1$ and the auxiliary function
is chosen to be unity, the solutions obtained using HAM can be
considered solutions of HPM. Note that, HPM cannot guarantee
convergent solution series for any arbitrary combination of the flow
parameters and this is clear from Figures 2(b) & (c) as $h = -1$ does
not fall inside the valid region of convergence. Moreover, it can be
observed from Table 1 & Table 2 that HPM values of $G'(0)$ do not
converge for the selected values of the relevant parameters. However,
HAM provides one with great freedom to chose the auxiliary function
and the presence of convergence control parameter in HAM enables
one to obtain convergent solution series by controlling and adjusting the
region of convergence whenever necessary. In view of the superiority
of HAM over HPM, HAM is used to investigate this problem and
the results obtained using $10^{th}$-order homotopy approximations are
plotted for different combinations of the flow parameters.

![Figure 2 Constant $h$-curves](image)

**Table 1** Comparison of HAM and HPM values $G'(0)$ of for
\(\omega = 2, \omega = 5\) and $Pr = 1$ with the following boundary conditions

| Order of approximation | HAM results ($h_G = -0.6$) | HPM results |
|------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|
| 1                      | -5.86667                   | -24         |
| 2                      | -6.41532                   | 258.667     |
| 4                      | -6.70878                   | 22925       |
| 5                      | -6.74594                   | -8.22644 × 10^6 |
| 6                      | -6.76502                   | 6.39729 × 10^11 |
| 7                      | -6.77649                   | 6.39729 × 10^11 |
| 8                      | -6.7836                    | 6.39729 × 10^11 |
| 9                      | -6.78844                   | -3.01938 × 10^13 |
| 10                     | -6.78849                   | 1.4845 × 10^15 |

**Table 2** Comparison of HAM and HPM values of $G'(0)$ for
\(\omega = 5, \omega = 2\) and $Pr = 1$

| Order of approximation | HAM results ($h_G = -0.5$) | HPM results |
|------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|
| 5                      | -12.0929                   | -786775     |
| 6                      | -12.0292                   | 2.35077 × 10^7 |
| 8                      | -12.0252                   | 3.26597 × 10^10 |
| 9                      | -12.0703                   | 6.59287 × 10^13 |
| 10                     | -12.1022                   | 6.59287 × 10^13 |

**Result and Discussion**

This section presents the variation of velocity and temperature
fields with stretching, rotation, and non-Newtonian parameter
graphically, obtained using $10^{th}$-order homotopy approximations.
To validate the results obtained using HAM, first the Newtonian case
is considered. For this case, that is, when $L = 0$ variations of the flow fields with stretching parameter $s$ are plotted in Figure 3 when $\omega = 2$ and in Figure 4 when $\omega = 5$, keeping the Prandtl number $Pr = 1$ fixed. The radial velocity $F'$ increases near the wall with increasing stretching whereas the axial ($-F$) and azimuthal velocity ($G$) decreases. The temperature distribution is also seen to decrease attaining its limiting value nearer to disk surface with increasing values of $s$. For higher rotation rate one can observe from Figure 4, that the radial velocity initially increases exceeding its asymptotic value for some small values of $s$, gradually decreasing for higher values of $s$. These observations are in agreement with those reported by Turkyilmazoglu.\textsuperscript{15} Moreover, a comparison of the values of shear stresses (radial and tangential) with those reported in\textsuperscript{15} (in his paper, in Tables 2–4 for $M = 0$) shows a good agreement, see Figure 5(a) & Figure (b).

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure3.png}
\caption{Variation of velocity and temperature profiles with stretching parameter $s$ for $L = 0, \omega = 2, Pr = 1$ (a) Radial. (b) Axial. (c) Azimuthal. (d) Temperature.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure4.png}
\caption{Variation of velocity and temperature profiles with stretching parameter $s$ for $L = 0, \omega = 5, Pr = 1$ (a) Radial. (b) Axial. (c) Azimuthal. (d) Temperature.}
\end{figure}
Effect of stretching parameter on the non-Newtonian flow profiles are shown in Figure 6 & Figure 7. Axial distributions of flow fields are plotted for $\omega = 5$ in Figure 6 and $\omega = 5$ in Figure 7, keeping $L = 0.1, Pr = 1$ fixed.

It is clear from Figure 6(a) & Figure (b), Figures 7(a) & Figure (b), that for this case, behavior similar to the Newtonian case can be observed, as with increasing stretching (rotation), centrifugal force pushes the fluid particles in the radial direction which is balanced by particles which are drawn to the disk due to surface stretching in the negative axial direction. Also, disk’s angular velocity and temperature distributions decrease as stretching increases.

The case of stagnation point flow due to a stationary disk, that is, when the disk does not rotate and there is no radial stretching ($\omega = 0, s = 0$), is presented in Figure 8. This case is analogous to the case of three dimensional axisymmetric stagnation flow over a flat plate. The Newtonian velocity and temperature fields displayed in Figure 8(a) and the effect of non-Newtonian parameter on the flow fields can be observed from Figures 8(b)–8(d). Since the disk is not rotating, the azimuthal component of velocity is zero for this case. The flow consists of an inward axial flow which upon striking the stationary disk is thrown radially outward inside the boundary layer and heat transfer is from the surface of the disk to the fluid, which decreases gradually from its starting value at the surface of the disk to its limiting value as it moves away from the disk. It can be seen that increasing $L$, increases the magnitude of radial velocity whereas the axial velocity decreases. In Figure 8(d) enlarged view of the temperature profiles are shown and it is clear that magnitude of temperature distributions decreases with increasing values of $L$.

In Figure 9, we have presented the case of stagnation point flow towards a radially stretching disk ($\omega = 0, s = 2$). This scenario is similar to the axisymmetric stagnation flow towards a stretchable surface. Newtonian profiles are shown in Figure 9(a) and from Figures 9(b)–9(d) shows the effect of $L$ on the velocity and temperature fields. Again, azimuthal velocity is zero due to no rotation of the disk and due to the presence of surface stretching, axial fluid impinging on disk’s surface is cast away radially near the surface of the disk. Analogous to the earlier observations, temperature $\theta$ is maximum at the surface the disk and it decreases with $\eta$ attaining it’s limiting value at sufficiently large $\eta$. Moreover, the increasing effect of $L$ is to increase the value of $\eta$ at all nonzero $\eta$. The values of radial component of velocity are found to be increasing for increasing values of the non-Newtonian parameter $L$ and this decrease is balanced by an increase in the values of the axial component of velocity.

Next, the case of stagnation point flow towards a rotating disk without radial stretching ($\omega = 0, s = 2$) is presented in Figure 10. Centrifugal forces arising in the flow due to rotation, drives the fluid particles radially away from the disks surface which is compensated by an negative axial flow towards the disk (due to continuity). Angular velocity of the disk and temperature distributions are seen to be decreasing functions of $\eta$. For this case, Newtonian flow profiles are displayed in Figure 10(a) and the effect of non-Newtonian parameter on the flow fields are displayed in Figures 10(b)–(e). With increasing values of the non-Newtonian parameter $L$, the radial velocity is decreasing whereas the tangential, axial velocity and temperature distribution are increasing with increasing $L$, at all $\eta \neq 0$.

When the disk rotates as well as stretches radially ($\omega = 2, s = 2$), for this case too, due to disk’s rotation and stretching, the fluid impinging on the disk’s surface is thrown radially outward. For increasing values of the non-Newtonian parameter $L$, radial component of velocity is seen to decrease at all ($\omega = 2, s = 2$), which is balanced by an increase in the values of axial velocity, as can be observed from Figure 11(a) & (c). Azimuthal component of velocity is found to be increasing function of the non-Newtonian parameter $L$. The temperature distribution is seen to increase and it takes larger distance from the disk to approach its asymptotic value, with increasing values of $L$.

Figure 12(a) & (b), present the effect of the non-Newtonian parameter on radial and tangential stress. Effect of $L$ on Nusselt number is plotted in Figure 12(c). When the disk is neither rotating nor stretching ($\omega = s = 0$), radial stress and Nusselt number is found to be increasing for increasing values of $L$. When $\omega = 2, s = 0$, radial stress is increasing but Nusselt number is decreasing with $L$. For the case, when the disk is rotating without any surface stretching ($\omega = 2, s = 0$), both the stresses and Nusselt number are decreasing function of $L$. And when the disk rotates as well as stretches ($\omega = s = 2$), the effect of increasing the non-Newtonian parameter is to decrease the radial stress and Nusselt number but to increase the tangential stress.

**Conclusion**

In this article, the stagnation point flow of a non-Newtonian Reiner-
Rivlin fluid due to a radially stretching, rotating disk is investigated and the effect of different flow parameters such as stretching, rotation, non-Newtonian parameter on the flow fields are discussed in detail using an effective analytical method called Homotopy Analysis Method. The results are verified for the case of Newtonian fluid with the available literature and excellent agreements have been observed. In addition, a comparison of HAM results with those obtained using HPM validates the efficiency of HAM over HPM. Both radial and tangential stresses are found to be decreasing functions of the stretching parameter. Radial stress is seen to decrease from positive values to negative ones whereas the tangential stress starts from a negative value and becomes more and more negative with increasing values of stretching parameter. Moreover, it can be concluded that the present successful implementation of HAM to solve the system of nonlinear equations governing the problem under consideration yet again verifies the effectiveness of the method and thus can be applied to study many other problems of scientific and engineering interest.
Non-Newtonian stagnation point flow due to a stretchable rotating disk

**Figure 8** The case of stagnation point flow due to a stationary disk \((s = 0, \omega = 0)\) (a) Newtonian velocity and temperature fields. (b) Radial. (c) Axial. (d) Temperature.

**Figure 9** The case of stagnation point flow towards a radially stretching disk \((s = 0, \omega = 2)\) (a) Newtonian velocity and temperature fields. (b) Radial. (c) Axial. (d) Temperature.

**Citation:** Das A, Sahoo B. Non-Newtonian stagnation point flow due to a stretchable rotating disk. Fluid Mech Res Int. 2018;2(2):73–83. DOI: 10.15406/fmrij.2018.02.00023
Figure 10 The case of stagnation point flow over a rotating disk ($\omega = 2, \varphi = 0$) (a) Newtonian velocity and temperature fields. (b) Radial. (c) Axial. (d) Azimuthal. (e) Temperature.

Figure 11 Variation of velocity and temperature profiles with the non-Newtonian parameter $L$ for $\omega = 2, \varphi = 2$ (a) Radial. (b) Axial. (c) Azimuthal. (d) Temperature.
Non-Newtonian stagnation point flow due to a stretchable rotating disk

Figure 12 Variation of radial stress, tangential stress and Nusselt number with the non-Newtonian parameter L for different $\omega$ and s when $Pr = 1$ (a) Radial stress. (b) Tangential stress. (c) Nusselt number.
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