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Suppression of Stem-End Rot on Avocado Fruit Using *Trichoderma* spp. in the Central Highlands of Kenya
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Demand for organic avocado fruits, together with stringent food safety standards in the global market, has made producers to use alternative, safe, and consumer-friendly strategies of controlling the postharvest fungal disease of avocado fruits. This study assessed the *in vitro* efficacy of *Trichoderma* spp. (*T. atroviride, T. virens, T. asperellum,* and *T. harzianum*) against isolated avocado stem-end rot (SER) fungal pathogens (*Lasiodiplodia theobromae, Neofusicoccum parvum, Nectria pseudotrichia,* and *Fusarium solani*) using a dual culture technique. The *Trichoderma* spp. were also evaluated singly on postharvest “Hass” avocado fruits. Spore suspension at 5 × 10^4 conidial/ml of the *Trichoderma* spp. was applied on the avocado fruits at three time points, twenty-four hours before the fungal pathogen (preinoculation), at the same time as the fungal pathogen (concurrent inoculation), and 24 hours after the fungal pathogen (postinoculation). In the *in vitro* study, *T. atroviride* showed the highest mycelial growth inhibition against *N. parvum* (48%), *N. pseudotrichia* (55%), and *F. solani* (32.95%), while *T. harzianum* had the highest mycelial growth inhibition against *L. theobromae*. *Trichoderma asperellum* was the least effective in inhibiting the mycelial growth of all the pathogens. Similarly, *T. virens* showed the highest mycelial growth inhibition against *N. pseudotrichia* at 45% inhibition. On postharvest “Hass” fruits, *T. atroviride* showed the highest efficacy against *N. parvum, N. pseudotrichia,* and *F. solani* in all the applications. *Trichoderma virens* and *T. harzianum* were most effective against all the pathogens during postinoculation, while *Lasiodiplodia theobromae* was best controlled by *T. virens, T. harzianum,* and *T. asperellum* during postinoculation. Both *T. atroviride* and *T. harzianum* present a potential alternative to synthetic fungicides against postharvest diseases of avocado fruits, and further tests under field conditions to be done to validate their efficacy. The possibility of using *Trichoderma* spp. in the management of SER on avocado fruits at a commercial level should also be explored.

1. Introduction

Avocado (*Persea americana* Mill.) is one of the economically most important subtropical fruit crops worldwide and a major foreign exchange earner in Kenya [1, 2]. In the year 2017, 300 MT of avocado fruits were exported from Kenya, contributing USD 50.5 million to the GDP [3]. Globally, avocado fruits are cultivated in a wide range of agroecological zones for both domestic and commercial purposes [4]. The fruit is valued worldwide for its high nutrition value due to the presence of monounsaturated fatty acids, several minerals (potassium, iron, and phosphorus), and vitamins (E, B, and C), as well as lipids and phytochemicals. Moreover, the consumption of avocado fruit is associated with improved overall diet quality [2, 5].

Stem-end rot (SER) disease causes losses of avocado fruits in all avocado-growing regions of the world. The disease affects the fruits during marketing, storage, or even transit to the market [6]. Members of the Botryosphaeriaceae family (*Diplodia mutila, D. pseudoseriata, D. seriata, Dothiorella iberica; Lasiodiplodia theobromae; and Neofusicoccum australis, N. nonquasitum, and N. parvum*) have mainly been associated with SER on avocado fruits. Other pathogens reported to cause the disease include *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* or *C. fructicola* and *Diaporthe foeniculacea Phomopsis perseae, Thyronectria pseudotrichia,*
Dothiorella aromatica, Pestalotiopsis versicolor, Rhizopus stolonifer, Fusarium sambucinum, and Fusarium solani [6–9]. In a previous study [10], L. theobromae, N. parvum, N. pseudotrichia, and F. solani pathogens were identified as the leading cause of SER of avocado fruits in Kenya.

Over the years, synthetic chemicals have successfully been used to control plant diseases, and they have a promising future. However, chemical residues on produce, nonbiodegradable toxins on fruits and soil, and the high cost of the chemicals have continued to be of significant concern [11]. Additionally, consumers are increasingly demanding reduced use of chemicals on produce. More so, food safety standards and organic food consumer organizations demand minimum detectable residues in produce [12]. Utilizing microbial fungicides, microbial antagonists, and biocontrol agents (yeast, bacteria, and antagonistic fungi) offers a potential alternative to synthetic fungicides in the management of postharvest diseases of fruits [13]. A biological control approach involves using microorganisms to reduce or maintain the postharvest fungal pathogens below economic loss [14].

Currently, several postharvest diseases of fruits can be controlled by either natural microbial antagonists or artificially introduced microbial antagonists [15]. Microbial antagonists present several advantages over synthetic fungicides. They are environmentally friendly, safer in application, have nontoxic residues, and are economical to produce [16]. Trichoderma spp. have been widely used during postharvest storage to protect fruits and vegetables of commercial importance such as chilli, mangoes, apples, bananas, strawberries, and tomatoes [17, 18]. Trichoderma viride, T. harzianum, and T. koningii have demonstrated antagonistic activity against L. theobromae and Colletotrichum musae that cause postharvest crown rot disease complex of banana stored at room temperature and at cold storage [19]. Trichoderma harzianum has also been reported to control anthracnose in bananas, maintain postharvest fruit quality, and reduce natural fruit infections [20].

Substantial progress has been made towards biological control of postharvest diseases of avocado fruits [16, 21]. However, no attempt has been made towards the biological control of postharvest diseases of avocado fruits [21]. D’his study, therefore, investigated the antagonistic activity of the selected Trichoderma spp. against fungal pathogens associated with stem-end rot of avocado fruits in the central highlands of Kenya.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Source of the Isolates. Samples of “Hass” avocado fruits were obtained from orchards and local markets in Murang’a County in the central highlands of Kenya. The fruits were incubated at room temperature (22°C–25°C) at Kenya Agricultural and Livestock Research Organization (KALRO), Kandara, for 7–14 days to allow development of stem-end rot disease. Fruits that displayed stem-end rot symptoms were cleaned with clean tap water, surface-sterilized by dipping in 75% ethanol for 3 minutes, and rinsed in distilled water. Small pieces of rotten tissues from the margins of the rot were aseptically isolated, inoculated on potato dextrose agar (PDA), and incubated at room temperature (22–25°C) for 5 days. Pure cultures were obtained by subculturing the hyphal tips of the mycelia. The isolates were identified based on morphological and cultural characteristics and confirmed through molecular identification. Slant universal bottles were used to store the pure cultures in PDA at 4°C. Four commonly isolated pathogens were used in this study.

2.2. Source of the Antagonists. Two commercial species spp. (T. asperellum and T. harzianum) and two locally acquired spp. (T. atroviride and T. virens) of Trichoderma were used in this study. Trichoderma harzianum was obtained from the biological fungicide TRIANUM P (T. harzianum Rifai strain T22, 1 × 10^9 colony-forming units (cfu)/gram of dry weight) from Koppert Biological Systems. Trichoderma asperellum was obtained from the biological fungicide MAZAO SUS-TAIN (TRC900 1.7 × 10^9 cfu/gram of dry weight) from real IPM. Trichoderma atroviride (KRI) and T. virens (BMLT54P1) were obtained from the Department of Agriculture Science and Technology, Kenyatta University. Spore suspension was prepared by flooding fourteen-day-old pure cultures in PDA with sterile distilled water. A sterile wire loop was used to scrape off the conidia and bring them to suspension. The suspension was then filtered through a double-layer muslin cloth, and the collected filtrate was diluted serially to 1 × 10^{-5}. A haemocytometer was used to adjust the spore concentration.

2.3. Antagonistic Activity of Trichoderma spp. against Avocado Fruit Stem-End Rot Pathogens In Vitro

2.3.1. Dual Culture Assay. The inhibitory activity of four Trichoderma spp., T. atroviride, T. virens, T. asperellum, and T. harzianum, against the four SER fungal pathogens, Lasiodiplodia theobromae, Neofusicoccum parvum, Nectria pseudotrichia, and Fusarium solani, was determined using the dual culture technique [8]. Sterile PDA was poured into Petri dishes 9 cm in diameter. The mycelial disc (5 mm in diameter) from the edge of actively growing 7-day-old fungal colonies was placed at the edge of one side of the Petri dish. A mycelial disc 5 mm in diameter from an actively growing Trichoderma spp. culture was placed at the opposite edge of the Petri dish. The Petri dishes inoculated at one edge with a mycelial disc 5 mm in diameter of fungal pathogens served as control. Each treatment was replicated 6 times, and the Petri dishes were incubated at 25 ± 2°C. The mycelial growth of the test pathogen and of the antagonist was recorded. Percentage inhibition was calculated using the following formula as described by Rajendiran et al. [22]:

\[
\% \text{ inhibition} = \frac{C - T}{C} \times 100,
\]  

(1)
where C- mycelial growth of the pathogen in control and T- mycelial growth of the pathogen in the dual-culture plate.

2.3.2. Effect of Trichoderma spp. against Stem-End Rot Fungal Pathogens on Postharvest Avocado Fruits. Mature “Hass” avocado fruits were harvested from a farm in Murang’a County. Fruits with no apparent signs or symptoms of a disease and no physical damage were selected. The fruits were washed with running tap water and surface-sterilized by dipping them in 75% ethanol for 3 minutes. The fruits were then rinsed with distilled water and placed on sterilized trays to air-dry at room temperature.

The ability of Trichoderma species to suppress the development of SER on “Hass” avocado fruit was tested by adding each of the antagonists at three time points: (i) 24 hours before the fungal pathogen (preinoculation), (ii) at the same time as the fungal pathogen (concurrent inoculation), and (iii) 24 hours after the fungal pathogen (postinoculation) [8].

“Hass” avocado fruits were individually sprayed at the stem end with 50 μL spore suspension (5 × 10⁵ conidial/ml) of the SER fungal pathogens (L. theobromae, N. parvum, N. pseudotrichia, and F. solani). A similar quantity of the antagonist was also used, and each of the treatments was replicated four times. The pathogens and the antagonist were applied on the fruits according to the schedule mentioned above. Fruits inoculated with each pathogen only and replicated four times served as the control. The experiment was conducted twice.

The inoculated avocado fruits were placed in sealed plastic containers (separate container for each fruit) at 25 ± 2°C and incubated. Evaluation was conducted after 12 days by cutting the fruits lengthwise. A category scale of 0 to 5 was used to rate the severity of SER development on the avocado fruits; Table 1.

The percent disease index was calculated using the following formula as described by Lakshmi et al. [23]:

\[
\text{Percent disease index (PDI)} = \frac{\text{Sum of numerical ratings}}{\text{No. of fruits examined} \times \text{Maximum grade}} \times 100.
\]

2.4. Data Analysis. The data obtained were recorded and tabulated in a spreadsheet. After that, the data were exported to Minitab 17.0 software (Minitab, LLC). Descriptive statistics were generated upon which the data were expressed as mean ± standard error of mean (SEM). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to analyze the statistical significance of difference among treatment groups. Tukey’s post hoc test was used for pairwise separation and comparison of means. The hypothesis for significance was tested at \( p \leq 0.05 \).

3. Results

3.1. Growth Inhibition of SER Pathogens by Trichoderma spp. in Dual Culture. All the Trichoderma species reduced the mycelial growth of the four (L. theobromae, N. parvum, N. pseudotrichia, and F. solani) avocado SER pathogens. The highest mycelial growth inhibition of L. theobromae was produced by T. harzianum (54.57%) followed by T. atroviride (36.28%). Trichoderma asperellum and T. virens were found to give the least growth inhibition (29.88% and 29.27%, respectively) against L. theobromae (Table 2). Trichoderma atroviride had the highest mycelial growth inhibition against N. parvum (48%), N. pseudotrichia (55%), and F. solani (32.95%). Trichoderma atroviride \((p \leq 0.05)\) significantly inhibited the mycelial growth of N. parvum, N. pseudotrichia, and F. solani compared to the other antagonists. Trichoderma asperellum was found to be the least effective in inhibiting the mycelial growth of all the pathogens (L. theobromae (29.88%), N. parvum (14.50%), N. pseudotrichia (25%), and F. solani (14%) (Table 2). Trichoderma virens inhibited the mycelial growth of all the pathogens; however, the highest inhibition was on N. pseudotrichia at 45% inhibition.

3.2. Effect of Trichoderma spp. on the Severity of SER on Postharvest “Hass” Avocado Fruits. All Trichoderma spp. inhibited the development of SER on avocado fruits. Fruits treated with T. asperellum in the three inoculations (pre-inoculation, concurrent inoculation, and postinoculation) remained free from SER caused by F. solani. Similarly, the severity of SER by L. theobromae was significantly different \((p \leq 0.05)\) reduced up to 10%, 7.5%, and 5% in the three tests, respectively. During the three inoculation, T. asperellum reduced SER on avocado fruits by N. parvum up to 30%, 55%, and 40%, respectively. There was no development of SER by N. pseudotrichia during concurrent inoculation with T. atroviride; however, during pre-inoculation and postinoculation, SER severity reduced to 20% and 7.5, respectively (Table 3).

All fruits remained free from SER due to N. parvum, N. pseudotrichia, and F. solani during concurrent and postinoculation with T. atroviride. Trichoderma atroviride did not inhibit development of SER on the fruits by L. theobromae during concurrent and postinoculation; however, during

---

**Table 1:** Category scale used to rate the severity of SER development on the avocado fruits.

| % Rot on avocado fruit | Grade |
|-----------------------|-------|
| No rot                | 0     |
| 0–10%                 | 1     |
| 11–25%                | 2     |
| 26–50%                | 3     |
| 51–75%                | 4     |
| ≥76%                  | 5     |

---
preinoculation, the fruits remained free from SER development due to *N. pseudotrichia*. Similarly, the severity of SER due to *L. theobromae*, *N. parvum*, and *F. solani* was reduced to 5%, 7.5%, and 7.5%, respectively, during preinoculation with *T. atroviride* (Table 3).

During postinoculation with *T. harzianum*, no SER developed on the avocado fruits. Besides, during concurrent inoculation of *T. harzianum* with *N. parvum*, *N. pseudotrichia*, and *F. solani*, the fruits remained free from SER. *Trichoderma harzianum* did not inhibit development of SER on the avocado fruits due to *L. theobromae* during concurrent inoculation and *N. pseudotrichia* during preinoculation (Table 3).

All fruits remained free from SER when *Trichoderma virens* was inoculated 24 hours after the fungal pathogen. Similarly, during preinoculation, the avocado fruits remained free from SER due to *N. pseudotrichia* and *F. solani*, while in concurrent inoculation, no SER developed on the fruits due to *N. parvum* and *F. solani*. The severity of SER due to *L. theobromae* was reduced up to 42.5% in both preinoculation and concurrent inoculation with *T. virens* (Table 3).

*Trichoderma atroviride* was most effective in controlling the development of SER by *N. parvum*, *N. pseudotrichia*, and *F. solani* in all treatments, while *Trichoderma virens* and *T. harzianum* were most effective during postinoculation (Table 3).

**4. Discussion**

The ability of *T. harzianum* to significantly inhibit the mycelial growth of *L. theobromae* reported in this study agreed with the study by Wijeratnam et al. [24] where *T. harzianum* was reported to effectively control *L. theobromae* that caused SER of papaya and mangoes in Sri Lanka. Similarly, Bhadra et al. [25] reported the greatest inhibition of *T. harzianum* against *L. theobromae* in concurrent inoculation. Moreover, *T. harzianum* has been reported to significantly reduce stem-end rot of Rambutan caused by *L. theobromae* [26].

In this study, *T. atroviride* was the most effective against *F. solani* as compared to *T. asperellum*, *T. harzianum*, and *T. virens*, corroborating results by Kumar et al. [27] who reported higher efficacy of *T. atroviride* against *F. solani* compared to *T. harzianum*. Rajendiran et al. [22] also reported strong antagonistic activity of *T. atroviride* against *Fusarium* species that caused postharvest rots of fruits. *Trichoderma atroviride* inhibited the mycelial growth of

---

**Table 2: Antagonistic activity of *Trichoderma* spp. against SER fungal pathogens in vitro.**

| Pathogens          | % Mycelial growth inhibition |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|
|                    | *T. asperellum*             | *T. harzianum* | *T. atroviride*  | *T. virens* |
| *L. theobromae*    | 29.88 ± 3.94a               | 54.57 ± 1.33a  | 36.28 ± 1.35a    | 29.27 ± 1.93b |
| *N. parvum*        | 14.50 ± 2.22a               | 37.50 ± 2.50b  | 49.00 ± 1.00a    | 35.50 ± 1.26b |
| *N. pseudotrichia* | 25.00 ± 0.58a               | 42.50 ± 2.50b  | 55.00 ± 2.89a    | 45.00 ± 3.79b |
| *F. solani*        | 14.00 ± 4.76b               | 15.40 ± 1.78b  | 25.00 ± 3.00a    | 21.00 ± 1.00b |

Values are expressed as Mean ± SEM for four replicates per group. Statistical comparisons were made within a row, and values with the same letter are not significantly different by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post hoc test (*p* ≤ 0.05).

**Table 3: Effect of *Trichoderma* spp. on the severity of SER on postharvest "Hass" avocado fruits.**

| Antagonist          | Disease severity index % |
|---------------------|--------------------------|
|                     | *N. pseudotrichia* | *N. parvum* | *L. theobromae* | *F. solani* |
| **Preinoculation**  |                       |            |               |            |
| *T. asperellum*     | 20.00 ± 20.00b         | 30.00 ± 10.00b | 10.00 ± 10.00d | 0.00 ± 0.00b |
| *T. atroviride*     | 0.00 ± 0.00a           | 5.00 ± 5.00c  | 7.50 ± 2.50c   | 7.50 ± 2.50a |
| *T. harzianum*      | 90.00 ± 0.00a          | 30.00 ± 15.00b | 42.50 ± 2.50a  | 0.00 ± 0.00b |
| *T. virens*         | 0.00 ± 0.00a           | 35.00 ± 35.00a | 70.00 ± 0.00a  | 0.00 ± 0.00b |
| **Concurrent inoculation** |                   |            |               |            |
| *T. asperellum*     | 0.00 ± 0.00a           | 55.00 ± 0.00a  | 7.50 ± 7.50d | 0.00 ± 0.00a |
| *T. atroviride*     | 0.00 ± 0.00a           | 0.00 ± 0.00a  | 100.00 ± 0.00e | 0.00 ± 0.00a |
| *T. harzianum*      | 90.00 ± 0.00a          | 17.50 ± 2.50b  | 42.50 ± 2.50a  | 0.00 ± 0.00a |
| *T. virens*         | 7.50 ± 7.50b           | 0.00 ± 0.00a  | 70.00 ± 0.00b  | 0.00 ± 0.00a |
| **Postinoculation** |                       |            |               |            |
| *T. asperellum*     | 7.50 ± 7.50a           | 40.00 ± 0.00a  | 5.00 ± 5.00a   | 0.00 ± 0.00a |
| *T. atroviride*     | 0.00 ± 0.00b           | 0.00 ± 0.00a  | 100.00 ± 0.00b | 0.00 ± 0.00a |
| *T. harzianum*      | 0.00 ± 0.00b           | 0.00 ± 0.00a  | 0.00 ± 0.00b   | 0.00 ± 0.00a |
| *T. virens*         | 0.00 ± 0.00b           | 0.00 ± 0.00a  | 0.00 ± 0.00b   | 0.00 ± 0.00a |
| Control             | 90.00 ± 0.00a          | 60.00 ± 0.00a  | 100.00 ± 0.00a | 40.00 ± 0.00b |

Values are expressed as Means ± SEM for four avocado fruits per group. Means within respective columns followed by different lower-case superscripts are significantly different at *p* ≤ 0.05.
**L. theobromae** up 36.28%, although the inhibition was lower than that of **T. harzianum** (54.57%), and **T. atroviride** has been reported to effectively control **L. theobromae** that cause stem-end rot of mangoes [28]. **Trichoderma virens** inhibited the mycelial growth of **L. theobromae** corroborating report by Buensanteai and Athinuwat [29] where **T. virens** strain TVSUT10 inhibited the mycelia growth of **L. theobromae** causing SER of cassava by 53%.

**Trichoderma asperellum** inhibited the mycelial growth of **L. theobromae** up to 29.88%. **Trichoderma asperellum** strain NG-TI61 was previously reported not to have any antagonistic activity against **L. theobromae** in vitro. However, the conidia and culture filtrates of **T. asperellum** controlled the rot caused by **L. theobromae** on the banana fruits [30] corroborating results in this study.

**Trichoderma atroviride** stood out in the control of **N. parvum**, **N. pseudotrichia**, and **F. solani**, while **T. harzianum** performed better in the control of **L. theobromae** during the in vitro test and postharvest treatment of the avocado fruits. Similarly, studies conducted by Borges et al. [31] on biocontrol of teak canker caused by **L. theobromae** showed a positive correlation between the in vivo and in vitro studies. **Trichoderma atroviride** showed higher efficacy than **T. harzianum** against **L. theobromae**, **N. parvum**, and **N. pseudotrichia** during preinoculation. In the evaluation of biocontrol agents for grapevine pruning wound protection, Kotze et al. [32] reported that **T. atroviride** was more effective than **T. harzianum** against **L. theobromae** and **N. parvum** when it was applied before the pathogens corroborating results from this study. Similarly, Valenzuela et al. [8] reported high efficacy of **T. atroviride** against **C. gleosporiodes** when it was inoculated 24 hours before the pathogen. The results could suggest that the bioactivity nature of the **T. atroviride** against fungal pathogens is protective.

**Trichoderma asperellum** showed high efficacy against **L. theobromae** on postharvest avocado fruits. Contrary to what was expected in the in vitro test, **T. asperellum** displayed an inhibition percentage of 29%. However, this is comparable to report by Borges et al. [28] in the in vivo test of **T. asperellum** against **L. theobromae** causing teak canker, where **T. asperellum** showed complete control of **L. theobromae**.

When the fungal pathogens were applied on postharvest avocado fruits 24 hours before the antagonists, **T. asperellum**, **T. harzianum**, and **T. virens** showed high efficacy against SER caused by the four fungal pathogens. Likewise, **T. atroviride** showed complete efficacy against **N. parvum**, **N. parvum**, **N. pseudotrichia**, and **F. solani** showing the ability of **Trichoderma** spp. to control the fungal pathogens even when they have established on the fruits.

### 5. Conclusions

Results from this study have demonstrated that **Trichoderma** spp. could be viable biological tools that can be used in the management of SER diseases of avocado fruits and they have the potential to replace the synthetic fungicides. The use of biological fungicides will go a long way in facilitating the avocado producers to produce high-quality avocado fruits free from toxic residues. However, there is a need to carry out field trials to validate the efficacy of the **Trichoderma** spp. and the possibility of using the species on a commercial scale.
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