Presenilin (PS), a causative molecule of familial Alzheimer disease, acts as a crucial component of the γ-secretase complex, which is required to cleave type I transmembrane proteins such as amyloid precursor protein and Notch. However, it also functions through γ-secretase-independent pathways. Recent reports suggested that PS could regulate the expression level of cell surface receptors, including the PDGF and EGF receptors, followed by modulating their downstream pathways via γ-secretase-independent mechanisms. The main purpose of this study was to clarify the effect of PS on expression of the insulin receptor (IR) as well as on insulin signaling. Here, we demonstrate that PS inhibited IR transcription and reduced IR expression, and this was followed by down-regulation of insulin signaling. Moreover, we suggest that neither γ-secretase activity nor Wnt/β-catenin signaling can reduce the expression of IR, but a PS-mediated increase in the intracellular Ca2+ level can be associated with it. These results clearly indicate that PS can functionally regulate insulin signaling by controlling IR expression.

Presenilin (PS)2 has been identified as a causative gene of familial Alzheimer disease (AD). Mutations in two homologous PS genes, presenilin 1 (PS1) and presenilin 2 (PS2), account for the vast majority of early onset familial AD (FAD). Although various PS functions have been reported so far, the most intensively examined is the one associated with proteolysis, in other words, the function as the catalytic core of γ-secretase. PS/γ-secretase is an enzymatic complex composed of at least four proteins: PS1 or PS2, nicastrin, Pen2, and Aph1 (1–3). PS/γ-secretase plays important roles not only in AD pathology by producing amyloid β peptides but also in various cellular signaling processes. Cleavage of various type I membrane proteins by PS/γ-secretase leads to nuclear translocation of the intracellular domain of the cleaved proteins, which could modulate the transcription of target proteins. For example, PS/γ-secretase cleaves Notch and releases the Notch intracellular domain (4) from the membrane. The Notch intracellular domain translocates into the nucleus, where it interacts with the DNA-binding protein CSL/RBP-J to regulate the transcription of target genes such as Hes1 (5). This kind of signaling process mediated by intramembranous cleavage of a protein is now well known as regulated intramembrane proteolysis.

In addition to an essential role in regulated intramembrane proteolysis mediated by γ-secretase, PS works through γ-secretase-independent pathways. For example, it has been shown that PS can interact with β-catenin (6) and regulate β-catenin stability (7, 8), thereby modulating β-catenin-mediated cellular signaling processes. Moreover, PS can also act as a regulator of intracellular Ca2+ homeostasis. Recent reports of electrophysiological experiments using reconstituted lipid bilayer and Ca2+ imaging experiments using mouse embryonic fibroblast (MEF) cells from PS1/PS2 double knockout mice demonstrated that PS functions as a passive endoplasmic reticulum (ER) Ca2+ leak channel that controls steady-state ER Ca2+ levels (9, 10). Interestingly, these reports suggested that several FAD mutants of PS disrupt the activity of transporting calcium ions, which might be associated with AD pathophysiology. Furthermore, PS is also known to regulate the expression of several cell surface receptors as well as their downstream pathways. For instance, it has been reported that expression of the PDGF receptor is decreased in cells genetically deficient in PS (11), whereas that of the EGF receptor is dramatically increased in these cells (12). Both PDGF and EGF receptors belong to a large family of growth factor receptors with intrinsic tyrosine kinase activity, and both regulate common downstream pathways such as PI3K/Akt signaling. Thus, PS itself possesses these functions, independent of γ-secretase activity.

The insulin receptor (IR) is a tetrameric transmembrane protein that acts as a cell surface receptor with intrinsic tyrosine kinase activity (13). Insulin binding to IR at the plasma membrane activates the tyrosine-specific kinase of the intracellular domain of the IR β-subunit. This activation subsequently phosphorylates intracellular substrates, which have roles in cell growth, energy metabolism, cholinergic gene expression, inhibition of oxidative stress, and apoptosis (14). Notably, it has been reported that γ-secretase processes IR along with other substrates (15, 16). Therefore, in this study, we directed our attention to IR, which belongs to the tyrosine kinase family, and...
hypothesized that IR/insulin signaling may also be regulated by PS.

Here, we demonstrate that PS inhibits IR transcription and reduces IR expression, followed by down-regulation of insulin signaling. In addition, the negative regulation of IR/insulin signaling by PS is not through either a γ-secretase-dependent mechanism or Wnt/β-catenin signaling but is caused by a change in intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis. Our findings suggest the possibility that PS can regulate insulin signaling via modifying IR expression through regulation of intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Plasmid Constructs**—Plasmids expressing WT PS1 and a dominant-negative mutant of PS1 that is deficient in γ-secretase activity (D385A PS1) were constructed as described previously (17). The precision of cloning of reading frames was verified by sequencing.

**Cell Culture and Transfection**—PS1/PS2 double knock-out MEF cells were generously donated by Dr. B. DeStrooper (Catholic University, Leuven, Belgium). WT and –/– MEF cells were maintained in DMEM (Sigma) with 10% FBS (Invitrogen). For transient transfection into –/– MEFs, cells were plated in 35-mm dishes, and plasmid DNA was transfected using Transfectin reagent (Bio-Rad) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For β-catenin knockdown, a pre-designed siRNA construct was synthesized by Dharmacon and transfected into WT and –/– MEF cells using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen).

**Adipocyte Differentiation and Oil Red O Staining**—Adipocyte differentiation of WT and –/– MEF cells was induced in DMEM (Sigma) with 10% FBS (Invitrogen). For transient transfection into –/– MEFs, cells were plated in 35-mm dishes, and plasmid DNA was transfected using Transfectin reagent (Bio-Rad) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For β-catenin knockdown, a pre-designed siRNA construct was synthesized by Dharmacon and transfected into WT and –/– MEF cells using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen).

**Antibodies and Chemical Reagents**—Mouse anti-IR monoclonal antibody was purchased from NeoMarkers. Rabbit anti-Akt and anti-phospho-Akt (Ser-473) polyclonal antibodies were from Cell Signaling Technology. Mouse anti-β-catenin monoclonal antibody was from BD Transduction Laboratories. Insulin solution and the solution (60% isopropyl alcohol and 40% water) for 2 h at room temperature. The cells were then washed with PBS and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (Pierce Bio) for 1 h. After fixation, the cells were stained with 0.6% Oil Red O (Sigma) for 2 h at room temperature. The cells were then washed with PBS to remove unbound dye.

**Analysis of the band density** was carried out using NIH ImageJ to compare the relative expression of proteins. All values are given as means ± S.E. Comparisons were performed using an unpaired Student’s t test. For comparison of multiparametric analysis, one-way factorial analysis of variance, followed by post hoc analysis by Fisher’s protected least significant difference test, was used. \( p < 0.05 \) was considered to indicate a significant difference (\( n = 4 \) for indicated four independent experiments).

**RESULTS**

**Insulin-mediated Phosphorylation of Akt Is Enhanced in –/– MEF Cells**—To investigate the effect of PS on insulin signaling, we treated WT and –/– MEF cells with insulin and statistically analyzed the phosphorylation ratio of Akt, a downstream phosphorylation target of insulin signaling. Because insulin is known to cause rapid signal transmission, we analyzed the phosphor-
ylation ratio of Akt (phosphorylated/total Akt) at several time points within 30 min. Interestingly, the phosphorylation ratio of Akt in /H11002/MEF cells was significantly larger than that in WT MEF cells after insulin treatment (Fig. 1, A and B), suggesting that PS may down-regulate insulin signaling.

To determine the mechanism of how PS down-regulates insulin signaling, we next analyzed the expression level of several molecules associated with insulin signaling. Among them, we found that the expression level of IR in /-/MEF cells was significantly increased compared with that in WT MEF cells (Fig. 1, C and D). Moreover, overexpression of WT P51, which is one type of PS gene, in /-/MEF cells also significantly decreased IR expression (Fig. 1, E and F). Collectively, these results indicate that PS negatively regulates IR expression, which may lead to inhibition of insulin signaling.

IR mRNA Is Increased in /-/MEF Cells—Next, we asked whether PS decreases IR expression levels by inhibition of transcription. For this, we examined the mRNA level of IR by real-time PCR assay. In addition to the expression level, the mRNA level of IR in /-/MEF cells was significantly increased compared with that in WT MEF cells (Fig. 2A). Moreover, transiently expressing P51 in /-/MEF cells also decreased the mRNA level of IR (Fig. 2B). Thus, these results show that PS negatively regulates IR transcription, followed by reduction of IR expression.

PS-mediated IR Reduction Is Independent of /H9253-secretase Activity—Given that PS down-regulates insulin signaling by inhibiting transcription of IR as well as its expression, we next tried to elucidate the detailed mechanism of IR reduction by PS. According to the previous literature (20), PS carries out at least three major physiological functions: 1) intramembrane cleavage as a component of /H9253-secretase, 2) regulation of Wnt/β-catenin signaling, and 3) intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis. Because PS is known to cleave IR (15), we wondered if the cleavage of IR may reflect the expression level of IR in the above experiments. Thus, we first examined the effect of γ-secretase activity on the reduction of IR expression mediated by PS using the γ-secretase inhibitor DAPT. The band of the APP C-terminal fragment was detected as a positive control to show the inhibition of γ-secretase activity by DAPT. As shown in Fig. 3A,
the APP C-terminal fragment accumulated not only in −/− MEF cells but also in WT MEF cells treated with DAPT. On the other hand, the expression level of IR was significantly higher in −/− MEF cells compared with that in WT MEF cells even after DAPT treatment (Fig. 3, A and B), which was almost unresponsive to DAPT application. Thus, the difference in IR levels between WT and −/− MEF cells is not attributable to γ-secretase activity. This result was confirmed using L-685458, another γ-secretase inhibitor (supplemental Fig. 1). However, the IR level was slightly enhanced in WT MEF cells treated with DAPT, indicating that this enhancement could be caused by the inhibition of IR cleavage by γ-secretase (15).

**PS-mediated IR Reduction Is Independent of Wnt/β-Catenin Signaling**—PS is also reported to be associated with β-catenin stability (7, 8), followed by regulation of Wnt/β-catenin signaling. Because Wnt/β-catenin signaling regulates the transcription of several target genes (21), we next analyzed the effect of β-catenin on IR levels in WT and −/− MEF cells. To down-regulate β-catenin activity, we transfected β-catenin siRNA into WT and −/− MEF cells. The base-line expression level of β-catenin in WT MEF cells was lower than that in −/− MEF cells, which is in agreement with previous reports (22). Moreover, the expression level of β-catenin was clearly decreased in siRNA-transfected WT MEF cells as well as in −/− MEF cells compared with control transfected cells. Interestingly, siRNA transfection did not change the IR level in either WT or −/− MEF cells (Fig. 4, A and B). On the other hand, to enhance β-catenin activity, we treated WT and −/− MEF cells with glycogen synthase kinase inhibitors (23). Treatment of cells with glycogen synthase kinase inhibitors did not change the difference in IR levels between WT and −/− MEF cells (Fig. 4, C and D). Collectively, these results suggest that PS-mediated IR reduction is independent of Wnt/β-catenin signaling.

**PS-mediated Up-regulation of Intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) Decreases the Level of IR**—As mentioned above, PS is also known to regulate intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis by functioning as a Ca\(^{2+}\) leak channel in the ER membrane (9, 10). It has been reported that the intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) level in the presence of PS is 1.4 times larger than in its absence (9). Therefore, we hypothesized that a PS-mediated increase in the intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) level may induce IR reduction. To examine this hypothesis, we treated WT or −/− MEF cells with BAPTA-AM or ionomycin to decrease or increase the intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) level, respectively. Microscopic analysis using the Fluo-8 calcium imaging system confirmed that these reagents actually changed the intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) state (Fig. 5, A, C, and D). Interestingly, the IR level was increased by BAPTA-AM, whereas ionomycin treatment decreased the IR level in WT and −/− MEF cells (Fig. 5, E and F). Moreover, we treated WT or −/− MEF cells with dantrolene to inhibit Ca\(^{2+}\) leakage via the ryanodine receptor localizing at the ER. Calcium imaging analysis suggested that dantrolene also decreased the intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) level (Fig. 5B). As expected, dantrolene dose-dependently increased the IR level. Statistical analysis indicated that the IR level in WT MEF cells treated with 10 μM dantrolene was the same as that in untreated −/− MEF cells (Fig. 5, E and F). In addition, we treated WT or −/− MEF cells with thapsigargin to inhibit Ca\(^{2+}\) transport from the cytoplasm to the ER via Ca\(^{2+}\)-ATPase (sarco/endo-
plasmic reticulum Ca\(^{2+}\)-ATPase). Thapsigargin clearly decreased the IR level in WT cells as well as in −/− MEF cells (supplemental Fig. 2). These results suggest that enhancement of the intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) level decreases the level of IR.

Next, to clarify the contribution of PS to these events, we analyzed the effect of D385A PS1 on the IR level. Although the D385A PS1 construct is frequently used as a dominant-negative construct of γ-secretase (24), which is known to fail to undergo endoproteolysis, it has also been shown to be nonfunctional as an ER Ca\(^{2+}\) leak channel (10). Thus, D385A PS1 fails not only to cleave several substrates but also to pass Ca\(^{2+}\) through the ER membrane. Calcium imaging analysis indicated that the level of intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) was enhanced in WT PS1-transfected cells compared with pcDNA- or D385A PS1-transfected cells (Fig. 5, G–J), which is in agreement with previous reports (9, 10). Interestingly, the IR level in D385A PS1-transfected cells was significantly larger than that in WT PS1 cells (Fig. 5, J and K). Collectively, these results demonstrate that PS functions as an ER Ca\(^{2+}\) leak channel (10).
Ca\(^{2+}\) leak channel and enhances the intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) level, which may lead to inhibition of the expression of IR.

**PS Decreases the IR Level in Adipose Cells**—To clarify the contribution of these PS-mediated events to insulin target tissues, we analyzed the expression of PS1 in these tissues. Immunoblot analysis clearly indicated that PS1 was expressed in the adipose tissue, skeletal muscle, and liver of mice (supplemental Fig. 3). Because PS1 was expressed at a high level in adipose tissue, we next examined the effect of PS1 on the IR level in adipose cells. After 8 days of incubation with insulin, 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine, and dexamethasone, the lipid accumulation in WT and \(-/-\) MEF cells was evaluated by Oil Red O staining (Fig. 6A). To examine the expression level of IR in the adipose cells derived from MEFs, we conducted immunoblot analysis. Notably, the IR level in the adipocytes derived from WT or \(-/-\) MEF cells was lower than that derived from \(-/-\) MEF cells (Fig. 6, B and C). These results indicate that PS might decrease the level of IR in adipose cells.

**DISCUSSION**

PS was originally identified as a causative gene for FAD and has been clearly demonstrated to work as an essential component of γ-secretase, producing amyloid β from APP (25). In addition, PS/γ-secretase is known to play an important role in many cellular events, including differentiation, proliferation, and apoptosis, via cleavage of various substrates (19, 26). On the other hand, PS may also be involved in the regulation of the expression of cell surface receptors and their downstream pathways, including PDGF and EGF receptors (11, 12). In this study, we directed our attention to IR, a cell surface receptor for insulin signaling, and asked whether PS could regulate IR expression as well as insulin signaling because IR is functionally associated with PS (27). The mechanism of insulin signaling and the biological effects of insulin have been studied mainly in classical insulin target tissues such as skeletal muscle, adipose tissue, and liver with respect to glucose uptake, regulation of cell proliferation, gene expression, and suppression of hepatic glucose production (28). However, recent studies suggested that insulin also has profound effects in the CNS, where it regulates key processes such as energy homeostasis and neuronal survival (29). In addition, insulin signaling is also known to be associated with the pathology setting of AD (30, 31). Therefore, it is essential to clarify the cellular regulatory mechanism of insulin signaling with AD-related proteins.

This study addressed that PS down-regulates insulin signaling by using PS-deficient (\(-/-\) MEF) and WT MEF cells. Interestingly, the mRNA level of IR was significantly decreased in the presence of endogenous PS compared with that in cells deficient in PS, which presumably leads to the reduction of IR expression. Moreover, transient transfection of WT PS1 in \(-/-\) MEF cells reduced the mRNA level of IR (Fig. 2), indicating that the decrease in the level of IR by PS could be attributed to the reduction of its mRNA. A previous report showed that turnover of the EGF receptor is reduced in the presence of PS, suggesting that PS can negatively regulate EGF receptor degradation (12). On the other hand, PS was reported to reduce the protein and mRNA levels of the PDGF receptor, suggesting that PS also may inhibit its transcription (11). Thus, PS regulates the expression of cell surface receptors in various ways. In the case of IR, we have demonstrated that PS down-regulated IR transcription, which led to a decrease in IR expression.

To clarify the detailed mechanism for this, we examined three possibilities for the down-regulation of IR transcription: 1) regulated intramembrane proteolysis mediated by γ-secretase, 2) Wnt/β-catenin signaling, and 3) intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis. In this study, γ-secretase inhibitors did not
change the difference in the IR expression level (Fig. 3), indicating that γ-secretase-mediated regulated intramembrane proteolysis is not associated with the reduction in IR transcription. Moreover, the down- and/or up-regulation of β-catenin also did not change IR expression (Fig. 4), indicating that Wnt/β-catenin signaling is not associated with the down-regulation of IR transcription.

Recent reports suggested that PS functions as a passive ER Ca\(^{2+}\) leak channel (9, 10). However, the readout of this function remains largely unknown. One report showed that FAD mutants of PS1 failed to activate this function, leading to impairment of synaptic plasticity in the pathological setting of AD (10). On the other hand, Ca\(^{2+}\) leak activity could be associated with neurotransmitter release, thereby modulating long-term potentiation and short-term plasticity in the presynaptic domain (32). Therefore, we asked whether IR reduction is associated with neurotransmitter release, thereby modulating long-term potentiation and short-term plasticity in the presynaptic domain (32). Interestingly, using several compounds that can change the intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) level, we demonstrated that the decrease in the intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) level could elevate the level of IR (Fig. 5), indicating that the intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) level might be associated with the IR level. Moreover, to confirm the contribution of PS to these events, we used the D385A PS1 mutant, which lacks ER Ca\(^{2+}\) leak channel activity (10). Notably, the expression of D385A PS1 elevated the IR level compared with that of WT PS1 (Fig. 5). We concluded that PS can regulate IR expression by changing the intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) level. Our result may be one of the novel readouts of PS-mediated ER Ca\(^{2+}\) leak channels, which may be strongly associated with insulin signaling. Long-term dantrolene feeding increases amyloid accumulation in the brains of AD model mice (10). Moreover, insulin is known to promote the release of amyloid β (33), and the knock-out of insulin receptor substrate, a direct downstream molecule of IR, down-regulates brain insulin signaling, followed by a decrease in amyloid β accumulation in AD model mice (34).

In this study, we suggested that dantrolene treatment significantly increased the expression of IR (Fig. 5). Dantrolene might hyperactivate insulin signaling via the increase in IR expression, thereby exacerbating amyloid accumulation in the brain. Therefore, we assume that PS can modulate the pathology of AD through regulating the expression of several cell surface receptors in a γ-secretase-independent manner.

In addition to the pathology setting of AD, our results indicate that PS might decrease the level of IR, thereby modulating insulin signaling in adipose cells (Fig. 6). In adipose cells, insulin signaling contributes to glucose uptake, which controls the energy homeostasis (28). In addition, it is known to regulate adipogenesis (35). Our Oil Red O staining experiment showed that the red signals in −/− MEflo cells were larger than those in WT cells at 4 days, which indicates the possibility that adipogenesis in −/− MEflo cells might be faster than that in WT cells. This result might be caused by the up-regulation of insulin signaling in −/− MEflo cells because up-regulation of insulin signaling is reported to positively regulate adipogenesis (36). On the other hand, the result might be caused by the inhibition of γ-secretase activity because recent literature has demonstrated that the γ-secretase inhibitor inhibits adipogenesis via inhibit-
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