Gardnerella vaginalis clades in pregnancy: New insights into the interactions with the vaginal microbiome
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Abstract

Gardnerella vaginalis (GV) is an anaerobic bacterial species involved in the pathogenesis of bacterial vaginosis (BV), a condition of vaginal dysbiosis associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes. GV strains are categorized into four clades, characterized by a different ability to produce virulence factors, such as sialidase. We investigated the distribution of GV clades and sialidase genes in the vaginal ecosystem of a cohort of pregnant women, assessing the correlations between GV clades and the whole vaginal microbiome. A total of 61 Caucasian pregnant women were enrolled. Their vaginal swabs, collected both at the first and third trimester of pregnancy, were used for (i) evaluation of the vaginal status by Nugent score, (ii) vaginal microbiome profiling by 16S rRNA sequencing, (iii) detection and quantification of GV clades and sialidase A gene by qPCR assays. DNA of at least one GV clade was detected in most vaginal swabs, with clade 4 being the most common one. GV clade 2, together with the presence of multiple clades (>2 simultaneously), were significantly associated with a BV condition. Significantly higher GV loads and sialidase gene levels were found in BV cases, compared to the healthy status. Clade 2 was related to the major shifts in the vaginal microbial composition, with a decrease in Lactobacillus and an increase in several BV-related taxa. As the number of GV clades detected simultaneously increased, a group of BV-associated bacteria tended to increase as well, while Bifidobacterium tended to decrease. A negative correlation between sialidase gene levels and Lactobacillus, and a positive correlation with Gardnerella, Atopobium, Prevotella, Megasphaera, and Sneathia were observed. Our results added knowledge about the interactions of GV clades with the inhabitants of the vaginal microbiome, possibly helping to predict the severity of BV and opening new perspectives for the prevention of pregnancy-related complications.
Introduction

In healthy reproductive-aged women, the vaginal microbiome is characterized by a low bacterial diversity, often dominated by different species of the Lactobacillus genus [1–3]. However, when a deep change in bacterial profiles occurs, a dysbiosis condition called bacterial vaginosis (BV) may arise. BV is characterized by a depletion of lactobacilli, combined with the growth of a polymicrobial community of anaerobic species [4]. Among these species, Gardnerella vaginalis (GV) is thought to play a key role in the pathogenesis of BV [5]. Indeed, GV can produce various virulence factors—including sialidase, a hydrolase able to degrade local immunoglobulin A (IgA) and vaginal mucins, likely contributing to the diminished viscosity of local secretion, resulting in an increased vulnerability to pathogens [6,7]. Moreover, GV has a great ability to form a biofilm acting as a scaffold to which other anaerobic species, such as Atopobium vaginae and Prevotella spp., can subsequently attach [8,9].

Recent genomic analyses based on the sequencing of a chaperonin-60 gene region (cpn60) have revealed that the GV population structure consists of four clades. Each clade represents a distinct gene pool with specific genomic properties [10].

It is not clear yet whether the presence and the distribution of the different GV clades in the vaginal environment are universally applicable fingerprints of vaginal health or disease. Previous studies have reported contrasting results about the association between GV clades and the BV condition, although it is known that all four clades are present at higher abundances in women with abnormal vaginal flora [11–14].

At present, little is known about the distribution of the different GV clades in the vaginal environment of pregnant women. Considering that increased levels of BV-associated bacteria in the vaginal microbiome can negatively affect both maternal and neonatal health, the assessment of GV clade distribution during pregnancy can deepen the pathogenesis of dysbiotic conditions and open the way to new strategies for the prevention of obstetric complications (e.g., preterm birth) [15–17].

Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate the prevalence and distribution of GV clades in the vaginal ecosystem of pregnant women at two different gestational stages (first and third trimester). Moreover, to shed light on the pathobiology of GV clades, we assessed the (i) correlation between the different clades and the vaginal microbiome profiles (by means of 16S rRNA), and (ii) the presence and quantification of the sialidase A gene in the vaginal environment.

Materials and methods

Study group and sample collection

From April 2019, a total of 61 Caucasian pregnant women attending Family Advisory Health Centers of Ravenna (Italy) for prenatal care were enrolled for the study.

The exclusion criteria were: (i) age < 18 years; (ii) HIV positivity; (iii) body mass index (BMI) > 33; (iv) medically assisted procreation; (v) use of any antibiotics in the month preceding the examination; (vi) use of vaginal douches or topical agents in the last two weeks; (vii) presence of uncontrolled chronic diseases (e.g., diabetes, autoimmune disorders, malignancies); (viii) drug addiction or heavy smokers (>15 cigarettes/day). Moreover, women with urogenital infections due to sexually transmitted pathogens (Chlamydia trachomatis, Neisseria gonorrhoeae, Trichomonas vaginalis, Mycoplasma genitalium), to aerobic vaginitis, or to symptomatic candidiasis were excluded after the laboratory testing.

A vaginal swab (E-swab, Copan, Brescia, Italy) from each woman was collected at gestational stages between 9–12 weeks (first trimester) and between 31–34 weeks (third trimester).
For all patients, demographic data and information about urogenital symptoms were recorded. A written informed consent was obtained from all subjects and the study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Romagna (CEROM) (n° 2032 of 21st February 2018). This study was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, following the recommendations of the Ethics Committee.

**Microbiological investigations**

Pathogens responsible for sexually transmitted infections were excluded by a commercial nucleic acid amplification technique (NAAT, Seeplex STI Master Panel 1; Seegene, Seoul, KR). Microscopic examination and semi-quantitative cultures were performed for aerobic vaginitis and candidiasis diagnosis [18,19].

The composition of the vaginal microbiota was evaluated by a Gram stain scoring system (Nugent score). Based on this score, women were divided into three groups: “H” (score 0–3; normal lactobacilli-dominated microbiota), “I” (score 4–6; intermediate microbiota), “BV” (score 7–10; bacterial vaginosis) [20].

**Vaginal microbiome profiling**

Nucleic acids were extracted from vaginal swabs by means of the Versant molecular system (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Tarrytown, NY, USA) equipped with a sample preparation module designed for automated sample preparation [21]. As previously reported, Versant system performs a highly efficient DNA extraction and purification by means of proteinase K, a chaotropic lysis buffer and highly uniform magnetic silica beads [21].

The V3-V4 hypervariable regions of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene were amplified, according to the 16S metagenomic sequencing library preparation protocol (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). Final indexed libraries were prepared by equimolar (4 nmol/L) pooling, denaturation, and dilution to 6 pmol/L before loading onto the MiSeq flow cell (Illumina). A 2 × 300 bp paired-end run was used. Raw reads were analyzed according to a previously described procedure [22]. Briefly, a single fragment from two overlapping pairs was generated using PandaSeq software (v2.5) [23]. Downstream analyses, such as filtering, denoising via the creation of “zero-radius Operational Taxonomic Units” (zOTUs), taxonomy assignments, and diversity analyses were performed using the QIIME suite (release 1.9.0) [24], the unoise3 algorithm [25], the RDP classifier [26], and the SILVA 16S rRNA database (release 132, https://www.arbsilva.de/fileadmin/silva_databases/qiime/Silva_132_release.zip), respectively.

Alpha-diversity evaluation was estimated according to several microbial diversity metrics (i.e., Chao1, Shannon index, Observed Species, Good’s coverage, Faith’s phylogenetic distance). The beta-diversity analysis was conducted using both weighted and unweighted UniFrac distance metrics [27], and through the Principal Coordinates Analysis (PCoA).

**Detection of *Gardnerella vaginalis* clades**

Starting from the remaining eluate of the Versant PCR plate, each sample was tested against the four different GV clades with quantitative PCR (qPCR) assays, as previously described [14,28]. The list of primers and probes used are reported in S1 Table.

After the production of a standard curve, a single-plex TaqMan real-time qPCR assay was used for each clade. Briefly, the PCR reaction mixtures (final volume: 25 μL) included 12.5 μL of Platinum Quantitative PCR Supermix-UDG with ROX (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA), 400 nM of each DNA primer, 100 nM of the specific probe, and 2.5 μL of the template. All PCR reactions were performed with the following cycling conditions using a QuantStudio Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Thermo Fisher): 45 °C for 3
minutes, 95 °C for 3 minutes, and 40 cycles of 95 °C for 15 seconds and 60 °C for 45 seconds. Any reaction which failed to produce a cycling threshold (Ct) value after 40 cycles was recorded as negative. Results were expressed as log_{10} DNA copies/reaction.

**Detection of the putative *G. vaginalis* sialidase A gene**

The residual DNA of each vaginal sample was used for molecular detection and quantification of the GV sialidase A gene, using the primers and probes described by Lopes dos Santos Santiago et al. [29].

Real-time PCR amplifications were performed in reactions (total volume 25 μL) containing 12.5 μL of Platinum Quantitative PCR Supermix-UDG with ROX (Invitrogen), 10 μM of each DNA primer, 5 μM of the specific probe, and 5 μL of the template. All PCR reactions were performed with the following cycling conditions using a QuantStudio Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems): 45 °C for 3 minutes, 95 °C for 10 minutes, and 40 cycles of 95°C for 5 seconds and 58 °C for 10 seconds.

Starting from a quantitative standard curve, the results for each sample were expressed as log_{10} DNA copies/reaction.

**Data analysis and statistics**

Statistical analyses were performed by using GraphPad Prism software (version 5.02; GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). Fisher’s exact test was used to compare categorical data (i.e., presence of GV clades stratified by the vaginal status by Nugent score), whereas one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test, followed by Tukey’s multiple comparisons test was used to compare GV or sialidase loads among the different categories. Statistical significance was determined at a p-value < 0.05.

Statistical evaluation of the alpha-diversity indices was performed by non-parametric Monte Carlo-based tests, whereas beta-diversity differences were assessed by a permutation test with pseudo F-ratios (“adonis” function from R package “vegan”, version 2.0–10 [30]. Pairwise relative abundance analysis was performed using a non-parametric Mann–Whitney U test, with Benjamini-Hochberg false-discovery-rate (FDR) correction. For comparing relative abundances across multiple categories, we applied a Kruskal-Wallis test, followed by Dunn’s post hoc test for pairwise comparisons.

Correlation between microbial composition at the genus level and presence/absence of each GV clade was calculated using the point biserial correlation [31], whereas the correlation between microbial profiles and sialidase A quantity was performed using Spearman’s rank-based correlation coefficient on the log_{2}-transformed sialidase copy number. Only coefficients showing a p-value of the linear model < 0.05 were considered. Statistical evaluations were performed in Matlab (Software version 7.7.0, Natick, MA, USA).

**Results**

**Study population**

A total of 61 Caucasian pregnant women with a median age of 31.0 years (min-max: 21–44) were enrolled, therefore 122 vaginal samples (61 at the first and 61 at the third trimester) were available for the analyses.

Going from the first to the third trimester of pregnancy, we noticed a significant decrease of cases of BV, together with an increase of cases characterized by a normal microbiota (p<0.001). At the first trimester, 31 (50.8%) women showed a lactobacilli-dominated vaginal flora (Nugent score: 0–3), 19 (31.1%) were characterized by an intermediate microbiota
(Nugent score; 4–6), whereas the remaining 11 (18.1%) harbored a BV-associated microbial composition (Nugent score; 7–10). Conversely, at the third trimester, most women (54; 88.5%) were characterized by a normal microbiota, with only 4 cases of BV (6.6%).

When looking to paired vaginal samples from the same women, we found that all the subjects with a normal microbiota at the first trimester (n = 31) maintained the same status at the third trimester, except in three cases where an intermediate microbiota was detected at the end of the pregnancy. All the women with a BV condition at the first trimester (n = 11) showed a normal microbiota at the third trimester, except for 2 cases who continued to have BV-like status by Nugent score. Only two new cases of BV, not present at the beginning of the pregnancy, was observed at the third trimester.

Overall, 85 cases of normal vaginal flora (Health -H- group), 15 BV, and 22 cases of intermediate microbiota (I group) were considered for the analysis.

Detection and quantification of GV clades

DNA of at least one GV clade was detected in all the vaginal swabs except in four samples (4/122, 3.2%). Two of them belonged to the same woman, negative both at the first (characterized by an intermediate flora) and third trimester (BV condition) of pregnancy. The remaining two cases belonged to two women at the first trimester, with a normal vaginal flora by Nugent score.

Of the 118 positive vaginal swabs, 23 samples were positive for only one clade (18.9%), 47 for two clades (38.5%), and 40 for three clades (32.8%). Eight samples showed the contemporary presence of all four clades (6.6%).

The most common GV clade was represented by clade 4 (101/122; 82.8%), followed by clade 1 (88/122; 72.1%), clade 2 (51/122; 41.8%), and clade 3 (19/122; 23.8%). Detailed results are shown in S1 File. Overall, the distribution of GV clades in the first trimester of pregnancy was similar to that of the third trimester (i.e., almost same number of cases in the two trimesters stratified for GV clade; see S1 File).

Considering the distribution of GV clades stratified by the vaginal status (i.e., Nugent score: H vs I vs BV), a significant association between BV status and clade 2 was found (p = 0.01) (S2 Table).

Interestingly, multiple GV clades (i.e., more than two different clades at the same time) were more often detected in BV conditions than in intermediate or healthy vaginal microbiota (p = 0.035) (S3 Table).

In terms of GV loads (expressed as log_{10} GV copies/reaction ± SD), clade 4 showed the highest mean levels (1.6 ± 1.9), followed by clade 1 (1.5 ± 1.9), clade 3 (1.0 ± 1.9) and clade 2 (0.9 ± 1.5). As shown in Table 1, significantly higher GV loads were found in BV cases compared to healthy conditions, especially for clades 1, 3, and 4. No differences in bacterial loads for any of the clades were found between H and I groups.

Considering paired vaginal samples, we found that women who cleared their BV status at the third trimester of pregnancy were characterized by a significant reduction of GV clade 1 (4.1 ± 1.9 vs 2.5 ± 2.0; p = 0.01) and clade 4 loads (4.3 ± 1.9 vs 2.7 ± 2.0; p = 0.001). Moreover, in this group, the positivity for clade 2 decreased from 77.7% (7/9) to 22.2% (2/9). The two cases of BV observed at the third trimester, not present at the beginning of the pregnancy, showed a pattern of GV clades/loads similar to the third trimester.

Presence of the putative GV sialidase A gene

GV sialidase A gene was detected in 89.3% of the samples (109/122). All the negative samples belonged to H (n = 10) or I women (n = 3). When considering quantitative results (expressed
as log$_{10}$ DNA copies/reaction), we found higher sialidase levels in BV group (3.9 ± 2.4) compared to both healthy (1.3 ± 1.7; p < 0.0001) and intermediate conditions (1.1 ± 1.1; p < 0.0001). No significant difference was found between H and I groups (p = 0.9).

Overall, considering paired vaginal samples from the same women, we noticed a significant reduction of sialidase gene count going from the first to the third trimester of pregnancy (2.0 ± 2.2 vs 1.5 ± 1.8, p = 0.02). These data reflected the increase of cases characterized by a normal microbiota at the end of the pregnancy. Women who cleared their BV status at the third trimester of pregnancy showed a significant decrease of sialidase levels (4.3 ± 1.9 vs 2.6 ± 1.3; p = 0.04). The two cases of BV observed at the third trimester, not present at the beginning of the pregnancy were characterized by low levels of sialidase (0.09 ± 0.09).

**Correlation between GV clades and vaginal microbiome**

A correlation between the presence of the different GV clades and the vaginal microbiome profiles was performed on the whole dataset (122 vaginal samples), not dividing the two different sampling timepoint (first vs third trimester of pregnancy).

Alpha-diversity evaluation showed a significant (p < 0.002) difference in the biodiversity of samples positive or negative for GV clade 2 for all the metrics (i.e., Chao1, Observed Species, Faith’s Phylogenetic tree, Shannon index, Good’s coverage), and for clade 1 (p < 0.035, Observed Species and Good’s coverage only), with an increase in biodiversity in positive samples. Moreover, we detected a somewhat significant difference in biodiversity according to the number of clades contemporary found in the vaginal ecosystem, with increased diversity for samples positive for 3 clades compared to samples positive for 1 or 2 clades (p < 0.02 for all metrics; S1 Fig).

The evaluation of the microbial composition of the samples (beta-diversity; Fig 1A) confirmed that the most evident differences were associated with clade 2 (both unweighted and weighted Unifrac distances: p = 0.001 and p = 0.002, respectively). On the other hand, no significant difference in the microbial composition was noticed between positive and negative samples for clades 1, 3, and 4 (with the only exception of weighted Unifrac distance for clade 1, p = 0.044) (S2 Fig).

Stratification of the samples according to the vaginal status (by Nugent score) highlighted the peculiar behavior of the BV samples for clade 2, the only combination that presented a statistically significant difference (p = 0.003) (Fig 1B).

Considering the number of clades simultaneously detected in the same sample, the unweighted Unifrac distances depicted a certain separation between samples negative to all clades and the other samples (although non-significant). On the other hand, samples positive to 3 clades were significantly different (p < 0.03, unweighted Unifrac) to those positive to none, 1 or 2 clades; no differences were found between samples with 3 or 4 positive clades (Fig 1C).

**Table 1. GV bacterial loads stratified by the vaginal status (Nugent score).**

| Clade | H        | I        | BV       | H vs BV | I vs BV | H vs I |
|-------|----------|----------|----------|---------|---------|--------|
| 1     | 1.2 ± 1.6| 0.6 ± 1.3| 3.9 ± 1.9| < 0.0001| < 0.0001| 0.37   |
| 2     | 0.8 ± 1.5| 0.3 ± 0.8| 1.9 ± 1.1| 0.06    | 0.08    | 0.78   |
| 3     | 0.5 ± 0.9| 0.6 ± 0.3| 3.0 ± 2.4| 0.007   | 0.06    | 0.99   |
| 4     | 1.3 ± 0.7| 1.4 ± 1.0| 3.8 ± 1.3| 0.0001  | 0.003   | 0.96   |

GV loads are expressed as log$_{10}$ DNA copies/reaction. Results are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Statistical significance was deemed for p < 0.05. H = healthy (Nugent Score: 0–3); I = intermediate flora (Nugent Score: 4–6); BV = bacterial vaginosis (Nugent Score: 7–10).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0269590.t001
Moreover, the plot of the weighted Unifrac centroids for each group seemed to depict a sort of trajectory along PCoA first component axis (Fig 1D).

The analysis of bacterial relative abundances at genus level provided further evidence that GV clade 2 could be the one associated with the major shifts in the microbial composition. Major components of the vaginal microbiota were found altered in samples positive for this clade, compared to clade 2-negative samples: Lactobacillus was observed to be decreased (-7.3% in clade 2-positive samples), while Prevotella, Megasphaera, Sneathia, and Dialister were all increased in relative abundance. In particular, Megasphaera and Sneathia both had a 14-fold increase, and Prevotella in samples positive for clade 2 was 8-times higher than in negative ones. On the other hand, clade 1 showed only an alteration of Prevotella (avg. rel. ab: 0.7% vs. <0.1% in clade positive and negative, respectively) plus some minor components, such as Fastidiosipila and Parvimonas (rel. ab. <0.2%). Detailed results are shown in Table 2.

When evaluating relative abundances according to the number of GV clades found simultaneously in the same sample, interesting trends were found (Fig 2). In particular, Bifidobacterium tended to decrease with the increasing number of positive clades (R = -0.994, Pearson’s...
correlation coefficient, considering only samples positive to 1, 2 or 3 clades), whereas a group of BV-related bacteria (i.e., *Prevotella*, *Megasphaera*, *Sneathia*, *Prevotella 6*, *Ureaplasma*, and *Dialister*) tended to increase (Pearson’s coefficients between 0.833 and 0.916). No significant trend was found between *Lactobacillus* spp. abundance and GV multi-clade colonization. In vaginal samples negative for GV (n = 4), a significant proportion of *Atopobium* was found (average relative abundance about 30%).

The alterations suggested by analyzing the relative abundance of bacterial genera were confirmed with the point-biserial correlation between genus-level relative abundances and the presence/absence of each of the four GV clades (Fig 3). Clade 2 was the one displaying most of the correlations: indeed, 13 genera among the first 16 most abundant in the vaginal ecosystem showed a significant correlation. For example, clade 2 was negatively correlated with *Lactobacillus* (R = -0.122) and positively with several BV-associated bacteria (i.e., *Prevotella*, *Megasphaera*, *Sneathia*, *Dialister*, *Coriobacteriales* DNF00809, *Fastidiosipila*, with coefficients in the range 0.201–0.324). On the other hand, all the other GV clades displayed fewer and weaker correlations (i.e.: all significant correlations had R < 0.159, Fig 3). Among them, we observed a positive correlation between GV clade 1 and *Parvimonas*, *Prevotella*, *Coriobacteriales* DNF00809, and *Fastidiosipila*, as well as a positive correlation between clade 4 and *Peptoniphilus* and *Ureaplasma*. Notably, all the significant correlations corresponded to the taxa found as differentially abundant in samples positive or negative to each clade.

### Table 2. Average relative abundances for samples positive and negative to each of the four GV clades.

| Clade | Num [+] | Num [-] | Genus                      | Avg. clade [+] (%) | Avg. clade [-] (%) | p-value | Significance |
|-------|---------|---------|----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------|--------------|
| Clade 1 | 88      | 34      | *Prevotella 6*             | 0.65               | 0.01               | 0.0053  | **           |
|       |         |         | DNF00809                  | 0.19               | 0.00               | 0.0187  | *            |
|       |         |         | *Fastidiosipila*          | 0.17               | 0.00               | 0.0143  | *            |
|       |         |         | *Parvimonas*              | 0.09               | 0.00               | 0.0143  | *            |
|       |         |         | *Lactobacillus*           | 73.07              | 80.34              | 0.0191  | *            |
| Clade 2 | 51      | 71      | *Prevotella*              | 3.92               | 0.48               | 0.0189  | *            |
|       |         |         | *Megasphaera*             | 3.10               | 0.21               | 0.0350  | *            |
|       |         |         | *Sneathia*                | 1.74               | 0.12               | 0.0030  | **           |
|       |         |         | *Ureaplasma*              | 0.33               | 0.45               | 0.0419  | *            |
|       |         |         | *Dialister*               | 0.47               | 0.15               | 0.0244  | *            |
|       |         |         | DNF00809                  | 0.32               | 0.00               | 0.0049  | **           |
|       |         |         | *Fastidiosipila*          | 0.28               | 0.00               | 0.0022  | **           |
|       |         |         | *Peptoniphilus*           | 0.09               | 0.10               | 0.0008  | ***          |
|       |         |         | *Dietzia*                 | 0.07               | 0.11               | 0.0463  | *            |
|       |         |         | *Porphyromonas*           | 0.16               | 0.03               | 0.0223  | *            |
|       |         |         | *Parvimonas*              | 0.16               | 0.00               | 0.0021  | **           |
| Clade 3 | 29      | 93      | -                         | -                  | -                  | -       | -            |
| Clade 4 | 101     | 21      | -                         | -                  | -                  | -       | -            |

Number of samples per group, as well as the p-value of the Mann-Whitney U-test is reported. Significance was assessed using a Benjamini-Hochberg FDR correction on the 25 most abundant genera (using an FDR of 0.15 as a threshold). For better clarity, in the table, raw unadjusted p-values were provided. Asterisks indicate graphically the statistical significance:

- ***: p<0.001,
- **: p<0.01;
- *: p<0.05.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0269590.t002
Correlation between sialidase gene levels and vaginal microbiome

A correlation between sialidase gene levels and the vaginal microbiome profiles was performed on the whole dataset (122 vaginal samples).

When evaluating Spearman’s correlation between the sialidase A gene count and the relative abundance of the main bacterial genera (Fig 4), we observed a negative correlation with *Lactobacillus* (R = -0.27) while positive correlations were observed with *Gardnerella* (R = 0.52), *Atopobium* (R = 0.26), *Prevotella* (R = 0.21), *Megasphaera* (R = 0.30), *Sneathia* (R = 0.28), and *Prevotella 6* (R = 0.21). Many of them were also the bacterial genera found as differentially abundant in the comparison between samples positive or negative for each clade.

**Discussion**

In this work we explored the distribution and loads of the different GV clades in the vaginal environment of a cohort of Caucasian pregnant women, deciphering the correlations of the different clades with the inhabitants of the vaginal microbiome. Moreover, considering the key role of putative GV sialidase A in the ability to form biofilm and BV pathogenesis, we assessed sialidase gene count in the vaginal ecosystem of each woman [32].

At first, in agreement with previous observations, we found that GV is extremely common even in women harboring a lactobacilli-dominated vaginal microbiome, thus confirming its commensal role in many healthy women [6].

Moreover, the distribution of GV clades found in our population was in line with previously published literature, with clade 4 being the most common one, followed by clade 1, clade 2, and clade 3 [12,14]. When analyzing the distribution of GV stratified by the vaginal status (i.e., Nugent score), we found a significant association between clade 2 and BV condition. In
In this context, it should be noted that the correlation between single GV clade and BV status is still under debate. Even though most studies indicate clade 1 as the one most associated with BV, even clades 2 and 3 were found related to an abnormal vaginal microbiota, in conjunction with higher Nugent scores [11–14]. In our dataset, the relationship between BV status and GV clade 2 was strengthened by the association of this clade to a higher vaginal microbial biodiversity, as well as to several BV-associated bacteria. Indeed, women positive for clade 2 were more likely to harbor a plethora of anaerobic bacteria in the vaginal ecosystem, typically found during dysbiotic conditions (e.g., *Gardnerella*, *Prevotella*, *Megasphaera*, *Sneathia*, *Dialister*).

Although some studies found a relationship between clade 4 and dysbiotic conditions [13,33], we did not observe this association, being clade 4 very frequently detected also in women with low Nugent scores (i.e., normal lactobacilli-dominated flora). Our results, on the other hand, agree with several other observations suggesting that clade 4 is not associated with BV or altered vaginal microbiota [11,12,14], presumably for its reduced pathogenicity. Indeed clade 4 strains are characterized by low or no production of virulence factors, including sialidase A enzyme, resulting in a reduced ability to mucin degradation and to form biofilm.

---

**Fig 3.** Diagram representing the correlations between bacterial genera and presence/absence of each of the four GV clades. Edge color represents the sign of the correlation (blue = negative, red = positive, gray = not significant) and edge thickness represents the strength of correlation. Node and label size of the bacterial genera is proportional to the average abundance over the whole set of samples. Piecharts in each node represent the skewness of the average relative abundance of the genera in samples not showing (green) or showing (red) the specific clade. Thus, for example, genera with a piechart nearly completely red are those whose abundance in the samples is more associated with positivity for the specific clade. Data used for building the figure are provided in S4 Table.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0269590.g003
The discrepancies between studies in defining the specific GV clades associated with BV or healthy vaginal status could be due to population differences, including ethnicity, sexual activities and networks, pregnancy status, and behavioral practices such as smoking, diet, and hygiene.

Other interesting data emerged when considering the contemporary presence of more than one GV clade. Indeed, in our study, according to previous observations, BV-women were more likely (p = 0.003) to have multiple clades of GV (i.e., co-colonization with more than two different GV clades) compared to single or dual clades [6,33]. As previously hypothesized, multiple clades may act synergistically to suppress *Lactobacillus* spp. or form biofilms [12]. During BV, biofilm can incorporate various bacteria, including different GV strains/clades, over time, so it can be hypothesized that in BV status, GV is commonly found as multi-clade, in contrast with healthy women characterized by dispersed forms of the microorganism (i.e., single-clade).

In line with these findings, as the number of GV clades increased, we observed an increase in the severity (i.e., higher microbial diversity with an abundance of BV-associated taxa) of vaginal dysbiosis. Indeed, women co-colonized by multi-clade of GV were characterized by lower levels of *Bifidobacterium*, and higher levels of BV-related bacteria, such as *Prevotella*, *Megasphaera*, *Sneathia*, *Ureaplasma*, and *Dialister*.
In this context, it should be remembered that, despite being typically associated with the gut microbiota, some *Bifidobacterium* are frequent and abundant colonizers of the ‘healthy’ vaginal microbiota [36]. Bifidobacteria are able to produce L-lactic acid, which may contribute to lowering vaginal pH values, thus inhibiting the growth of dysbiosis-associated bacteria [36].

Overall, no significant trend was found between lactobacilli abundance and GV multi-clade colonization. However, additional studies based on more in-depth 16s rRNA gene analyses might possibly reveal associations between GV multi-clade colonization and specific *Lactobacillus* species (e.g., *L. crispatus*, *L. iners*).

During dysbiotic conditions, *Gardnerella* spp. can adhere to glycan-binding sites, and biofilm-forming *Gardnerella* spp. can, then, act as a scaffold for the attachment of other BV-associated species, such as *Atopobium vaginae* and *Prevotella* spp. [32]. We had the chance to find a few cases characterized by a high proportion of *Atopobium* spp. in absence of GV. Even though *Atopobium vaginae* is considered a highly specific marker for BV, especially when combined with GV [37], it should be remembered that this microorganism can also be found in the vaginal microbiota of healthy women and detected without GV [38]. Considering the low number of data points (n = 4), the exact role of *Atopobium* in GV-negative samples remains to be further elucidated.

We found that BV conditions were characterized by higher GV bacterial loads for all the four clades, in agreement with previous observations demonstrating that the quantification of GV clades is a good and accurate predictor of an abnormal vaginal microbiome, associated with BV condition [6].

Similarly, sialidase A gene levels were significantly higher in women with a BV status compared to H and I groups. In agreement with this observation, sialidase gene count was positively correlated with several BV-associated bacteria, such as *Gardnerella*, *Atopobium*, *Prevotella*, *Megasphaera*, and *Sneathia*, and negatively with *Lactobacillus* genera.

Recently, Ferreira et al. observed that many BV-related taxa (especially belonging to *Prevotella* genera) were enriched in sialidase-positive vaginal samples and that only two taxa, including *Lactobacillus helveticus*, were associated with sialidase-negative samples [7].

The negative correlation between sialidase gene levels and *Lactobacillus* is not surprising if we consider that these microorganisms are the hallmark of vaginal health and eubiosis, being detected only in low abundances in BV/dysbiotic bacterial communities [2,39].

Considering that sialidase A gene is not the best marker of functional sialidase in vivo [32,34], further studies assessing the presence of additional GV sialidase genes (namely, NanH2 and NanH3) are needed to better understand the correlation between sialidase gene count and BV presence/severity. Indeed, NanH2 and NanH3 enzymes have been recently recognized as the primary sources of sialidase activity in GV [40].

Taken together, our data highlight that BV status is associated with the presence of clade 2, the contemporary presence of multi-clades of GV (i.e., >2 different clades), higher GV bacterial loads, and higher sialidase gene count.

We are fully aware of some limitations of this study. First, the limited number of BV-affected women may have led to missing significant associations between specific GV clade and loads, sialidase gene count, and microbial signatures during vaginal dysbiosis. Second, the use of culture-based approaches will be needed to better understand the role of sialidase enzymes in BV pathogenesis and severity. Finally, detailed information about the clinical manifestations of BV, as well as an evaluation of Amsel criteria of each woman will help to better define the role of GV clades and sialidase activity in the conditions of vaginal dysbiosis.

In conclusion, we added knowledge on the distribution of GV clades in the vaginal ecosystem of a cohort of pregnant women, assessing the potential role of specific GV clades, bacterial loads, and sialidase A gene count in BV pathogenesis. We found specific microbial fingerprints...
related to the different GV clades, thus underlining the ability of each clade to interact and cooperate in a different way with the inhabitants of the vaginal environment.

Further studies will be needed to understand if GV clades have a different clinical and prognostic impact on pregnancy status, as well as if one or more combined parameters (e.g., number of GV clades, type of clades, GV loads) can predict the severity of BV in pregnant women.

Since a vaginal microbiome enriched in BV-related taxa, is associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes [41,42], a detailed characterization of BV conditions in pregnant women could open new perspectives for the prevention of pregnancy-related complications, such as first-trimester miscarriage and preterm birth.
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