Characterization of Spindle Checkpoint Kinase Mps1 Reveals Domain with Functional and Structural Similarities to Tetratricopeptide Repeat Motifs of Bub1 and BubR1 Checkpoint Kinases*5
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Background: The N terminus is required for localization and functions of Mps1, Bub1, and BubR1 kinases.

Results: A novel Bub1/BubR1-related TPR motif is identified in Mps1 and is required for kinase activity.

Conclusion: TPR domain of Mps1 regulates kinase activity, Mps1 chromosome alignment, and checkpoint functions.

Significance: Identification of a novel domain in Mps1 enhances our understanding of its contribution to maintaining genome integrity.

Kinetochore targeting of the mitotic kinases Bub1, BubR1, and Mps1 has been implicated in efficient execution of their functions in the spindle checkpoint, the self-monitoring system of the eukaryotic cell cycle that ensures chromosome segregation occurs with high fidelity. In all three kinases, kinetochore docking is mediated by the N-terminal region of the protein. Deletions within this region result in checkpoint failure and chromosome segregation defects. Here, we use an interdisciplinary approach that includes biophysical, biochemical, cell biological, and bioinformatics methods to study the N-terminal region of human Mps1. We report the identification of a tandem repeat of the tetratricopeptide repeat (TPR) motif in the N-terminal kinetochore binding region of Mps1, with close homology to the tandem TPR motif of Bub1 and BubR1. Phylogenetic analysis indicates that TPR Mps1 was acquired after the split between deutorostomes and protostomes, as it is distinguishable in chordates and echinoderms. Overexpression of TPR Mps1 resulted in decreased efficiency of both chromosome alignment and mitotic arrest, likely through displacement of endogenous Mps1 from the kinetochore and decreased Mps1 catalytic activity. Taken together, our multidisciplinary strategy provides new insights into the evolution, structural organization, and function of Mps1 N-terminal region.

Mitosis equally distributes the duplicated genome to each of the nascent daughter cells. Defects in chromosome segregation can lead to aneuploidy, which in turn is implicated in tumorigenesis (1, 2). Attachment of mitotic chromosomes to spindle microtubules is mediated by the kinetochore (KT), a protein-rich framework that assembles onto the centromeric region of DNA molecules (3, 4). Notably, the KT functions not only as a structural platform but also as a signaling hub to coordinate chromosome attachment, spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC) activity, and the metaphase to anaphase transition (5). The SAC is a signaling cascade that prolongs mitosis until all chromosomes form stable attachments. The target of the checkpoint is Cdc20, a substrate-specific subunit of the anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome that catalyzes the polyubiquitination of the key mitotic proteins cyclin B and Securin, targeting them for eventual degradation. The delay imposed on mitotic exit is not permanent, and cells that cannot satisfy the checkpoint ultimately die or exit mitosis as a result of cyclin B degradation or inactivation and enter the next G1 as single tetraploids (6).

The core components of the spindle checkpoint are highly conserved and include a number of serine/threonine kinases such as Bub1, BubR1, and the dual specificity kinase Mps1. Mps1 was originally discovered in a yeast genetic screen for mutants producing monopolar spindles (7). Its role in SAC signaling was subsequently identified in yeasts and confirmed in

5 The abbreviations used are: KT, kinetochore; TPR, tetratricopeptide repeat; SAC, spindle assembly checkpoint; STLC, S-trityl-L-cysteine; BAM, Brewster angle microscopy; mN, millinewton.
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EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Structural Bioinformatics Analyses—The sequence of human N-terminal Mps1 was compared with all the protein sequences deposited in Swiss-Prot by using BLAST. A PSI-BLAST search produced an alignment between N-terminal Mps1 and close homologues and highlighted conserved residues in N-terminal Mps1 family. Homologous proteins with known structure were identified by using the sequence-structure homology (fold)-recognition program FUGUE (22), which initially searches for homologues in the structural profile library derived from structure-based alignments in the HOMSTRAD (23) database. The alignment produced by FUGUE for the highest scoring hit (TPR BubR1) was formatted with JOY (24) and analyzed visually to highlight the conservation of structurally and functionally important residues. The model of N-terminal Mps1 was constructed with MODELLER (25) and validated with PROCHECK (26), VERIFY3D (27), JOY, and visual inspection by using three-dimensional graphics software. All of these programs revealed that the model needed no further modifications.

Protein Expression and Purification—N-terminal Mps1 fragments (1–239, 55–239, 58–210, 51–210, 58–175, 51–175, and 55–210; numbering according to human Mps1) were amplified and cloned into pGEX-6P3 and expressed in Escherichia coli BL21(DE3) at 20 °C, 250 rpm in 2× YT broth. Expression was induced with isopropyl 1-thio-D-galactopyranoside for 3 h.

Cell lysis was performed using BugBuster (Merck) in TBS buffer and cleared by centrifugation, and the soluble fraction was loaded onto a chromatographic column packed with TBS-equilibrated GST-Sepharose. After washing, recombinant Mps1 fragments were eluted in TBS buffer containing 20 mM reduced glutathione and concentrated. The cleaved GST tag was removed by passing the digested sample through a GST-Sepharose column. As the final purification step, Mps1 fragments were loaded onto a gel filtration column (Superdex 75, HR 26/60) and eluted in 10 mM Tris, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, pH 8.0. The lysate was cleared by centrifugation, and the soluble fraction was loaded onto a chromatographic column packed with TBS-equilibrated GST-Sepharose. After washing, recombinant Mps1 fragments were eluted in TBS buffer containing 20 mM reduced glutathione and concentrated. The cleaved GST tag was removed by passing the digested sample through a GST-Sepharose column. As the final purification step, Mps1 fragments were loaded onto a gel filtration column (Superdex 75, HR 26/60) and eluted in 10 mM Tris buffer, 200 mM NaCl, pH 8.0, at 1 ml/min. After analysis by SDS-PAGE and measurement of UV absorption spectra (200–300 nm), fractions containing pure Mps1 were collected, concentrated, and stored at −20 °C.

N-terminal sequencing passed through a retardation plate, a Glan-Thompson analyzer to indicate the purity.

Monolayers, Null Ellipsometry, and Surface Pressure Measurements—Protein monolayers were prepared on a circular trough (surface = 20 cm²), and the surface pressure was measured using a sensor (Nima Technology Ltd., Coventry, UK) using a Wilhelmy plate with a precision of ± 0.5 mN/m. All measurements were performed in 20 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7 (0.01 mM Na2HPO4, 0.01 mM NaH2PO4, ultrapure water), and a temperature of 20 ± 2 °C.

Ellipsometry measurements were carried out with an in-house automated ellipsometer (28) in a “null ellipsometer” configuration (29). He-Ne laser beam (λ = 632.8 nm, Melles Griot) is polarized with a Glan-Thompson analyzer and reflected on the surface of the trough (incidence angle of 52.12°). After reflection on the water surface, the laser light passed through a λ/4 retardation plate, a Glan-Thompson ana-
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lyzer, and a photomultiplier. The analyzer angle, multiplied by two, yielded the value of the ellipsometric angle ($\Delta$), i.e. the phase difference between parallel and perpendicular polarization of the reflected light. The ellipsometric angle, $\Delta_0$, is proportional to the quantity of protein adsorbed at the interface in case of a monolayer. Hence, the variation of the ellipsometric angle is a relevant probe for changes occurring at the interface. Using the measured ellipsometric angle, $\Delta_0$, and estimating the refractive index increment of the protein to 0.2 ml/g, the surface concentration, $\Gamma_0$, of adsorbed protein was calculated using the relationship between $\Delta$ and $\Gamma$ reported by De Feijter et al. (30), $\Gamma$ (mg/m$^2$) = $0.2 \Delta_0$. The laser beam probed a surface of 1 mm$^2$ and a depth on the order of 1 $\mu$m. Initial values of the ellipsometric angle ($\Delta_0$) and surface tension of pure buffer solutions were recorded on the subphase for at least half an hour. These values have been subtracted from all data presented below. Values of $\Delta$ were stable and recorded every 4 s with a precision of $\pm 0.5^\circ$.

Determination of the Shear Elastic Constant—The principles and implementation of our experimental setup for the measurement of the lateral rigidity of monolayers and the procedure for data analysis have been extensively described before (31). Briefly, at the center of a 48-mm diameter Teflon trough, a 10-mm diameter paraffin-coated aluminum disc floats at the air/water interface, in contact with the monolayer, whose rigidity is measured. The subphase is 5 mm deep. The float carries a small magnet and is kept centered by a permanent magnetic field, $B_0 = 6 \times 10^{-5}$ tesla, parallel to the earth’s field and created by a small solenoid located just above the float. Sensitive angular detection of the float rotation is achieved by using a mirror fixed on the magnet to reflect a laser beam onto a differential photodiode. A sinusoidal torque excitation is applied to the float in the 0.01–100 Hz frequency range by an oscillating field perpendicular to the permanent solenoid field. The latter field acts as a restoring torque equivalent to a monolayer with a rigidity of 0.16 mN/m. This number set the sensitivity limit of the rheometer. The device behaves like a simple harmonic oscillator. The resistance that the monolayer opposes to the rotation of the float is directly measured. An important advantage of this setup is the absence of a physical link between the outside and the float torsion (i.e. no torsion wire). This allows high sensitivities such that the applied deformation is very small, below $u_{xy} \sim 10^{-7}$, where $u_{xy}$ is the horizontal component of the deformation tensor. This device introduces very small excitation strains (from $10^{-7}$ down to $10^{-9}$) to the system. Because in previous experiments we have shown that pure shear elastic response spectra exhibit a linear stress-strain relationship over this range (31), we concluded that the rotation coupling between the float and the contacting monolayer is satisfactory. Moreover, we have showed that such small strains do not create plastic deformations on fragile surface objects (32). For the experimental procedure, the amplitude and phase of the mechanical response of the pure subphase was first analyzed in the frequency range 0.01–100 Hz to assess that no rigidity was detected. This measurement takes approximately 1 h. Then the protein solution was directly poured into the trough, and the mechanical response of the layer formed at the interface was recorded at the fixed frequency of 5 Hz. At the end of the kinetics, when the shear elastic constant, $\mu$ (expressed in mN/m), reached a constant value, a new measurement between 0.01 and 100 Hz was recorded to determine whether the system behaves as an elastic layer. Rigidity measurements were performed at 20°C in parallel to ellipsometry.

Far-UV Circular Dichroism—Spectra were recorded on an AVIV 62-S spectropolariometer (AVIV) previously calibrated with camphorsulfonic acid and equipped with a temperature control unit. In all experiments, spectra were recorded at 25°C in a 0.1-cm quartz cell using an average time of 1.0 s, a step size of 0.5 nm, 1-nm bandwidth, and averaged over 20 scans. After subtraction of the buffer base line, the CD data were normalized to calculate the mean molar ellipticity. For studies on protein stability as a function of temperature, five unfolding curves were recorded upon heating from 25 to 95°C at a rate of 1°C/min and 50 s accumulation time. The apparent melting temperature, $T_m$, was determined from differential melting curves of the function $d[T]/dT$. The concentration of protein solutions was determined from amino acid composition analysis at the PNAC facility (Department of Biochemistry, University of Cambridge).

Analytical Gel Permeation Chromatography and Protein Analysis—Analytical and preparative size-exclusion chromatography was performed in a HiLoad 26/60 Superdex 75 preparative grade column previously equilibrated in TBS buffer. For determination of the protein oligomerization state, the following proteins standards were used: BSA (67 kDa), ovalbumin (43 kDa), chymotrypsinogen A (25 kDa), thaumatin I (22 kDa), and ribonuclease A (13 kDa). MALDI-TOF, amino acid composition analysis, and N-terminal sequencing by the Edman degradation method were conducted at the PNAC facility.

Evolutionary Bioinformatic Analysis—To study the evolutionary history of N-terminal Mps1, we generated 160 amino acids overlapping (by 10 amino acids) sequence fragments encompassing the entire human Mps1 protein, which corresponds to the approximate length of a triple tandem repeat of the TPR domain. This allowed us to examine whether the different regions of the protein may have different evolutionary histories. Each fragment was then blasted (PSI-BLAST) against all sequences in the nonredundant protein sequences database (NCBI). For each fragment, all matches were identified and classified by organism and significance of match (E-value), which estimates the number of hits “expected” by chance. An interactive electronic version of the matrix is available online. Secondary structure alignment of the TPR region in Mps1 orthologs was performed using PSIPRED (33).

Cell Culture and Transfections—HeLaS3 and 293T cells were routinely maintained in DMEM (Hyclone) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, PAA Laboratories) at 37°C and 5% CO$_2$. Transfections and siRNA-mediated depletions in HeLa cells were performed using TransIT-LT1 (Mirus) and Oligo-fectamine (Invitrogen) reagents, respectively, according to the manufacturers’ instructions. Transfections in 293T cells were performed using polyethyleneimine (PEI) at a 15:2 (PEI/DNA) ratio. Cell extracts for pulldowns, immunoprecipitations, and Western blots were prepared in RIPA lysis buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% Triton X-100, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 1 mM sodium vanadate, 10 μg/ml leupeptin, 1 μg/ml
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The observation that the multidomain protein kinases Bub1, BubR1, and Mps1 require kinetochore attachment to perform efficiently their essential roles in the SAC prompted us to investigate further the predicted structural similarity between N-terminal Mps1, Bub1, and BubR1 using a multidisciplinary experimental strategy involving biochemical, biophysical, and cellular methods.

**N-terminal Mps1 Is Homodimeric and Predominantly \( \alpha \)-Helical**—Based on our secondary structure analysis for the possible domain boundaries of the putative Mps1 TPR region using diverse secondary structure prediction programs (JPred, PsiPred, and PredictProtein), several N-terminal fragments encompassing the N-terminal Mps1 region were generated (see under “Experimental Procedures”), of which fragment 1–239 was the most stable after GST cleavage and removal. Far-UV CD confirms that Mps1(1–239) is a predominantly \( \alpha \)-helical protein (Fig. 1D) with \( \sim 62\% \) \( \alpha \)-helix content as estimated from 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol titration experiments (data not shown). The thermal unfolding of Mps1(1–239) resembles that of Bub1 and BubR1 TPRs and follows a highly cooperative two-state transition process (\( T_m = 62^\circ C \); Fig. 1D, inset), indicating that Mps1(1–239) functions as a single independently folded domain. As Mps1 dimerization has been suggested to be critical for Mps1 functions in mitotic checkpoint signaling (14, 42), we investigated the oligomerization state of Mps1(1–239) in aqueous solutions. Analytical gel filtration chromatography revealed that Mps1(1–239) associates to form homodimers (Fig. 1E), thus lending support to this notion.

Some of the seven predicted \( \alpha \)-helices of Mps1(1–239) are also predicted to be of an amphipathic nature. Because the affinity of this class of \( \alpha \)-helices for water/air interfaces is importantly influenced by the magnitude of the hydrophobic moment (\( \mu H \)), this parameter was estimated for each of the predicted \( \alpha \)-helices of this domain. The calculated \( \mu H \) values were as follows: helix 1 (61–75), 0.43; helix 2 (84–94), 0.59; helix 3 (107–119), 0.62; helix 4 (126–135), 0.02; helix 5 (143–155), 0.09; helix 6 (159–172), 0.54; and helix 7 (177–192), 0.34. The average hydrophobic moment (\( \mu H_{avg} \)) of Mps1(1–239) was 0.37 kcal/mol per residue, which is very close to that calculated for TPR Bub1 and TPR BubR1 (\( \mu H_{avg} = 0.38 \) and \( \mu H_{avg} = 0.32 \) kcal/mol per residue, respectively) (43) indicating similarity in tertiary structure. Because the amphipathic character that results from the spatial arrangement of tandem repeats of the N-terminal Mps1 is homodimeric and predominantly \( \alpha \)-helical.
TPR motif confers surface activity to the protein when deposited onto monolayers, we set out to investigate in more detail the physiochemical properties of the putative TPR tandem repeat of N-terminal Mps1 at the air/water interface.

**Mps1(1–239) as a Surface-active Domain**—A typical feature of amphiphilic molecules (such as TPR folds) is the gradual migration of molecules toward the air/water interface. This property leads to an increase of the surface pressure until the surface is saturated and can be characterized by monitoring the evolution of surface pressure as a function of protein subphase concentration. The ellipsometric angle (θ) at equilibrium (20°) confirmed that N-terminal Mps1 is highly surface-active (Fig. 2, A and B). After 6 h, the surface concentration remains virtually constant at 2.1 mg/m². The maximal surface pressure of Mps1(1–239) as measured at the bulk concentration of 30 μg/ml is very similar to that of TPR domains of Bub1,

**FIGURE 1. Identification of a TPR motif in the N-terminal region of Mps1.** A, amino acid sequence alignment of human N-terminal Mps1 and BUB1 and BUBR1 from different species. Secondary structure elements are mapped onto the crystal structure of N-terminal BUBR1 (Protein Data Bank code 2WVI). Figure was generated with ESPript (68). B, structure model of N-terminal Mps1 predicts this region is organized as a triple tandem of the TPR motif. C, model highlighting the Mps1 residues that are conserved and located in positions that define a canonical TPR motif. Most of these conserved residues are predicted to be engaged in stabilizing stacking interactions. Purple indicates the phosphorylation site serine 80 is highlighted. D, far-UV CD confirms N-terminal Mps1 is organized as a predominantly α-helical region. Inset, the thermal denaturation of this domain is highly cooperative and follows a two-state unfolding process. E, size-exclusion chromatogram of molecular mass markers only (●): peak 1, bovine serum albumin; peak 2, ovalbumin; peak 3, chymotrypsinogen A; peak 4, ribonuclease A. For the second chromatogram (●), the same molecular mass markers were combined with Mps1(1–239) prior to gel filtration. Mps1(1–239) retention time was close to that of ovalbumin (43 kDa) thus revealing the former self-associates to form stable dimers.
Bub1, and PP5 (i.e. between 18 and 20 mN/m), again suggesting a similar tertiary structure (43).

The adsorbed surface concentration (2.1 mg/m²) and surface pressure (1.3 mN/m) of Mps1(1–239) monitored at the low bulk concentration of 5 μg/ml made it possible to record initial adsorption events, which in turn allowed extraction of several parameters that are relevant to surface activity such as \( \Gamma_0 \), the surface concentration at which the surface pressure becomes different from zero, and \( \Gamma_s \), which corresponds to the increase of surface pressure relative to the increase of surface concentration. The \( \Gamma_0 \) value of Mps1(1–239) was 0.84 mg/m², and \( \Gamma_s \) value was 25 mN·m/mg, similar to those of Bub1 and BubR1 (Fig. 2C).

In the first steps of Mps1(1–239) adsorption at this low bulk concentration, the transport of protein molecules from the subphase to the interface is assumed to be a diffusion-controlled process. Thus, estimation of the diffusion coefficient allows a comparison of the transport rate of protein molecules from the subphase to the interface. The magnitude of the diffusion coefficient of Mps1(1–239), 0.2 \( \times 10^{-10} \) m²/s, was of the same order of magnitude as that of TPR Bub1 (0.7 \( \times 10^{-10} \) m²/s), TPR BubR1 (4.8 \( \times 10^{-10} \) m²/s), and TPR PP5 (3 \( \times 10^{-10} \) m²/s).

Consistent with null ellipsometry, Brewster angle microscopy (BAM), which is very sensitive to the amount of matter adsorbed at the interface, allowed the direct observation of the rapid migration of Mps1(1–239) from the bulk toward the surface. At the low concentration of 5 μg/ml, protein adsorption could be noticed as early as 1 min after deposition (Fig. 2D). After 6 h, the protein interfacial layer thus formed remained stable, showed a high contrast with respect to pure buffer solution, and was homogeneous at the micrometer scale (Fig. 2D).
Such behavior closely resembles BAM observations of TPR Bub1 and TPR BubR1 monolayers (43, 46).

The study of the evolution of the shear elastic constant as a function of time provides clues about the cohesiveness and possible self-organization of the molecules reaching the interface to form a layer. The shear elastic constant of Mps1(1–239) monolayers measured at a fixed frequency of 5 Hz reveals an elastic monolayer thus indicating that medium and long range interactions play a major role in the cohesion of the layer (supplemental Fig. S1). This is consistent with the observation that extensive medium and long range interactions are one important functional characteristic of the TPR motif (47) and constitute the interactions most frequently observed in all-α-helix proteins compared with the classes all-β, α+β, and α/β (48). Collectively, our bioinformatics, biochemical, and biophysical data indicate that Mps1(1–239) most likely adopts a TPR fold that is highly similar to that of the mitotic kinases Bub1 and BubR1.

Evolutionary Analysis of the Mps1 N-terminal Region—To study the evolutionary history of the Mps1 TPR domain, we blasted overlapping regions of the hMps1 protein sequence (see under “Experimental Procedures”) against all sequences in the nonredundant protein sequences database. Our bioinformatic analysis indicates that the MPS1 TPR appeared in the lineage leading to the deuterostomes (Fig. 3A) as no significant PSI-BLAST hits for the N-terminal region were detected outside the group, except for few exceptions such as Albugo (oomycetes, data not shown) that may result from either contamination in the sequenced genomic DNA or horizontal gene transfer from animals. We identified fragments homologous to the human MPS1 TPR region in some Urochordates (Ciona intestinalis) and Echinoderms (Strongylocentrotus purpuratus) but in no

FIGURE 3. Mps1 TPR domain appeared after the emergence of deuterostomes. A, human Mps1 overlapping fragments (length, 160 amino acids; offset, 10 amino acids) were blasted (PSI-BLAST) against the entire NCBI sequence database (nonredundant). For each listed organism for which we could find a hit for one or more fragments, we show if the hit was highly significant (red rectangles, E-value < 1E-5), close to the threshold (orange rectangles, -1E-5 < E-value < 1), or not significant (yellow rectangles, E-value ≥ 1). If we could not find a hit for a specific fragment, the corresponding rectangle is gray. The column highlighted between white lines corresponds to the exact hMps1 TPR region. B, secondary structure prediction of the TPR region of hMps1 and the corresponding region in Mps1 orthologues. Alignment and prediction were performed using PSIPRED (33).
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with endogenous Mps1 by recruiting it away from KTs. In agreement with this, we found that a GST-Mps1(1–239) fragment is able to pull down endogenous Mps1 from mitotic cell extracts (supplemental Fig. S2).

Mps1 kinase activity is required for the KT docking of a number of checkpoint proteins, including Mad1, Mad2, Bub1, BubR1, CenpE, Plk1, and Sgo1 (10, 15, 16, 49, 50). However, in most cases whether the N-terminal region plays a role is not clear. To investigate this, we overexpressed the empty 3×MYC vector or 3×MYC-Mps1(1–239) in HeLa cells and determined whether overexpression of Mps1(1–239) disrupted KT recruitment of these proteins in cells synchronized in mitosis by release from G1/S arrest. Our results indicate that whereas endogenous Mps1 is clearly reduced in cells expressing Mps1(1–239), Bub1, Mad2, BubR1, CenpE, Plk1, Sgo1, and the KNL-1/Mis12/Ndc80 (KMN) subunit Blinkin (also known as hKNL1, AF15q14, D40, and CASC5), are all normally localized to the KT (Fig. 4D).

Overexpression of Mps1(1–239) disrupts chromosome congression and weakens the spindle checkpoint—It has been demonstrated that Mps1 kinase activity is required for both spindle checkpoint function and efficient chromosome congression (13, 51). In addition, overexpression of an extended N-terminal kinetochore-binding fragment of Mps1(1–301) or an Mps1 allele lacking the first 100 amino acids (Mps1AN) decreased mitotic arrest efficiency in cells treated with nocodazole (15, 16). Similar to the case of TPR Bub1 and TPR BubR1, a series of bioinformatic analyses of the Mps1 region following the TPR domain, residues 240–301, consistently predicted this region to be of low structural complexity (data not shown). In oocyte meiosis I, the absence of Mps1 from KTs severely impairs chromosome segregation and fertility in mice (19). To test whether the TPR domain of Mps1 is involved in regulating these processes, we overexpressed Mps1(1–239) in HeLa cells and determined the efficiency of chromosome alignment in these cells. Although most Mps1(1–239)-expressing cells were able to align chromosomes to a metaphase formation after a 1-h treatment with the proteosomal inhibitor MG132, about 10% (compared with 5% of control cells) displayed mild alignment defects, including 1–2 lagging chromosomes and broad metaphase plates (data not shown). We reasoned that Mps1(1–239) overexpression may reveal a more severe phenotype in cells undergoing recovery from spindle stress. To test this, we treated Mps1(1–239)-overexpressing cells with STLc, an inhibitor for the Eg5 kinesin motor, which results in monopolar spindle formation and mitotic delay because of the inability of the centrosomes to separate. STLc washout reverses this phenotype and allows for bipolar spindle formation, although the rate of KT-MT attachment errors is elevated. We found that significantly fewer Mps1(1–239)-expressing cells aligned at metaphase plates in the presence of MG132 1.5 h after release from STLc compared with control cells (Fig. 5A). This indicates that Mps1(1–239) overexpression disrupts efficient chromosome congression and metaphase alignment during the recovery from spindle stress.

Mps1 is required for SAC function, but the role of the N-terminal domain remains controversial (15, 16, 19). To test whether overexpression of TPR Mps1 interferes with efficient

Mps1(1–239) Localization—The identification of a presumptive TPR fold in the N-terminal region of Mps1 that is related to and behaves similarly to the TPR domains of Bub1 and BubR1 led us to postulate that these regions may have similar functions in the cell. As the Mps1 N-terminal region and the TPR domain of Bub1 and BubR1 are critical for KT localization of these proteins, we investigated the role of Mps1(1–239) in Mps1 KT recruitment. We first overexpressed triple MYC (3×MYC)-tagged Mps1 wild-type (WT), kinase-dead (KD), and Mps1(1–239) and compared the localization of each of these constructs with the KT marker CREST. As expected, 3×MYC-Mps1-WT and 3×MYC-Mps1-KD localization overlapped that of CREST, and both were clearly visible at KTs (Fig. 4A, rows i and ii), as confirmed by colocalization profiles across sister KT pairs. We also noted that Mps1- KD showed stronger KT staining as reported previously (11, 14, 42). In contrast, we noticed that in cells strongly overexpressing Mps1(1–239), KT staining was often absent from or difficult to detect at KTs, suggesting that overexpression of this Mps1 relative to the CREST autoimmune antigen in cells highly expressing Mps1-KD showed stronger sister KT pairs. We also noted that Mps1 expression disrupted KT targeting of endogenous Mps1. As the Mps1(1–239) purified fragment behaved as a dimer in solution, we interpret these results to mean that Mps1(1–239) interferes with docking of endogenous Mps1. As the Mps1(1–239) purified fragment behaved as a dimer in solution, we interpret these results to mean that Mps1(1–239) interferes with docking of endogenous Mps1. The Mps1(1–239) purified fragment behaved as a dimer in solution, we interpret these results to mean that Mps1(1–239) interferes with docking of endogenous Mps1. As the Mps1(1–239) purified fragment behaved as a dimer in solution, we interpret these results to mean that Mps1(1–239) interferes with docking of endogenous Mps1. The Mps1(1–239) purified fragment behaved as a dimer in solution, we interpret these results to mean that Mps1(1–239) interferes with docking of endogenous Mps1....

arthropods or other animal lineages (see full sequence analysis on Landry lab homepage). Secondary structure analysis of the hMps1 TPR and the corresponding regions in Mps1 orthologues corroborated these results and support the idea that the TPR domain appeared with the emergence of the deuterostome lineage, as deuterostomes share the great majority of the secondary structures present in that region, in particular the pattern of α-helix-turn-α-helix (Fig. 3B), although organisms like Drosophila and Saccharomyces cerevisiae do not.

Mps1(1–239) Localization—The identification of a presumptive TPR fold in the N-terminal region of Mps1 that is related to and behaves similarly to the TPR domains of Bub1 and BubR1 led us to postulate that these regions may have similar functions in the cell. As the Mps1 N-terminal region and the TPR domain of Bub1 and BubR1 are critical for KT localization of these proteins, we investigated the role of Mps1(1–239) in Mps1 KT recruitment. We first overexpressed triple MYC (3×MYC)-tagged Mps1 wild-type (WT), kinase-dead (KD), and Mps1(1–239) and compared the localization of each of these constructs with the KT marker CREST. As expected, 3×MYC-Mps1-WT and 3×MYC-Mps1-KD localization overlapped that of CREST, and both were clearly visible at KTs (Fig. 4A, rows i and ii), as confirmed by colocalization profiles across sister KT pairs. We also noted that Mps1- KD showed stronger KT staining as reported previously (11, 14, 42). In contrast, we found that 3×MYC-Mps1(1–239) was largely cytoplasmic (Fig. 4A, row iii). However, we occasionally observed low level kinetochore recruitment as demonstrated by partial overlap with CREST (Fig. 4A, row iv), in good agreement with previous observations (16).

While studying the localization of the Mps1 constructs, we noticed that in cells strongly overexpressing Mps1(1–239), endogenous Mps1 was often absent from or difficult to detect at KTs. To address this in more detail, we compared endogenous Mps1 KT recruitment in cells expressing low, medium, and high levels of Mps1(1–239). Because Mps1 kinase activity negatively regulates its KT localization (11, 14, 42), we performed these experiments with or without treatment of cells with the Mps1 inhibitor reversine prior to fixation. The same results were obtained under both conditions. Whereas low mCherry-Mps1(1–239) expression did not visibly interfere with KT docking of endogenous Mps1 (data not shown), we observed a decrease in KT Mps1 levels in cells expressing medium levels of mCherry-Mps1(1–239) compared with cells expressing similar levels of the mCherry-tag alone (Fig 4B, row ii versus i). This effect was further enhanced in cells expressing high levels of mCherry-Mps1(1–239) where endogenous Mps1 was almost undetectable at KTs, suggesting that overexpression of this Mps1 N-terminal fragment interferes with KT targeting of endogenous Mps1. Quantification of the levels of endogenous Mps1 relative to the CREST autoimmune antigen in cells highly expressing mCherry-Mps1(1–239) confirmed these observations (Fig. 4C). These results argue that Mps1(1–239) on its own is not sufficient for KT docking but likely plays an important role in the context of the full-length Mps1 protein as its overexpression interferes with docking of endogenous Mps1. As the Mps1(1–239) purified fragment behaved as a dimer in solution, we interpret these results to mean that Mps1(1–239) interferes...
checkpoint activity, we took two independent approaches. Recent reports have indicated that rigorous assessment of checkpoint signaling requires microtubules to be completely depolymerized, as occurs at very high concentrations of nocodazole (3.2 μM) (52). The spindle checkpoint by definition cannot be satisfied under these conditions, thus enabling the dissection of checkpoint function from chromosome congression. We therefore treated cells expressing mCherry or mCherry-Mps1(1–239) with DMSO or 3.3 μM nocodazole and quantified the percentage of mitotic cells under these conditions. DMSO-treated mCherry and mCherry-Mps1(1–239) cells demonstrated no significant difference in the percentage of mitotically arrested cells (Fig. 5B). However, treatment of Mps1(1–239)-overexpressing cells with 3.3 μM nocodazole resulted in an attenuated checkpoint response with a significantly smaller percentage of cells remaining in mitosis compared with cells expressing mCherry alone 16 h after drug treatment (Fig. 5B). These observations indicate that Mps1(1–239) overexpression disrupts SAC signaling independent of its role on chromosome alignment.
As a second approach, we tested the effect of Mps1(1–239) overexpression on CenpE-mediated mitotic delay. In mammalian cells, disruption of CenpE function prolongs mitotic timing in a checkpoint-dependent manner (53). Cells in which CenpE is depleted or inhibited exhibit elevated levels of checkpoint proteins at unaligned kinetochores, including Mps1, and co-injection of antibodies targeting both CenpE and Mps1 abrogated the mitotic arrest observed upon inactivation of CenpE alone.

FIGURE 5. Functional analysis of Mps1(1–239). A, HeLa cells were transfected with mCherry or mCherry-Mps1A and then synchronized in STLC followed by release into MG132 according to the schematic. After 1.5 h of MG132 treatment, cells were fixed and processed for immunofluorescence with antibodies against α-tubulin (green) and CREST (Cy5, illustrated here in magenta). Hoechst 33342 was used to visualize the DNA (blue). Chromosome alignment at metaphase plates was examined in cells expressing either mCherry or mCherry-Mps1(1–239) and grouped into the three indicated categories based on the extent of the alignment. Only cells in which both spindle poles were visible in the same plane were counted (n = 3, ≥100 cells/experiment). B, cells transfected with either mCherry or mCherry-Mps1 were treated for 16 h either with DMSO as control or 3.3 μM nocodazole (Noco), fixed, and stained with Hoechst 33342 to visualize the DNA. The mitotic index of transfected cells was counted (n = 5, ≥100 cells/experiment). C, cells were transfected as in B but were also simultaneously transfected with siRNA oligonucleotides targeting Gl2 (as control) or CenpE. 48 h after transfection, the cells were fixed and stained as in B before mitotic index counts were performed (n = 3, ≥100 cells/experiment). D, cells were transfected with either mCherry or mCherry-Mps1(1–239) and treated with 3.3 μM nocodazole for 16 h before being fixed and stained for Mad2 (green) and CREST (Cy5, shown here in blue). E, quantification of the Mad2/CREST ratio from cells in D. F, 293T cells were transfected with 3 MYC Mps1-WT or 3 MYC Mps1ΔN and treated with nocodazole for 16 h before being harvested. Immunoprecipitated Mps1 fragments were resolved by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted with anti-Thr(P)-676, anti-Thr(P)-686, and anti-Ser(P)-821 Mps1 antibodies. The membranes were stripped and reprobed for MYC to demonstrate equal input.
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(16). To test whether overexpression of TPR Mps1 attenuates the checkpoint response in CenpE-depleted cells, we expressed either mCherry or mCherry-Mps1(1–239) in cells treated with control siRNA or in cells depleted of CenpE. Overexpression of mCherry-Mps1(1–239) did not increase the percentage of mitotic cells compared with the expression of mCherry alone in control cells as indicated above (Fig. 5C). As expected, depletion of CenpE resulted in an increase in the mitotic index of cells expressing mCherry. This increase was significantly attenuated in cells transfected with mCherry-Mps1(1–239) indicating that this fragment disrupted the SAC response induced by CenpE inactivation (Fig. 5C). Collectively, our data indicate that Mps1(1–239) overexpression attenuates the checkpoint response under conditions of both partial and complete microtubule disruption.

Mps1 kinase activity is thought to mediate checkpoint function by facilitating the KT recruitment of Mad2 and its conversion from open and inactive (O-Mad2) to closed and activated (C-Mad2) capable of Cdc20 binding and inhibition (14). We therefore reasoned that the increased slippage observed in nocodazole-treated cells overexpressing Mps1(1–239) may be due to a decrease in KT recruitment of Mad2. To test this, we took advantage of a recently described Mad2 monoclonal antibody that specifically recognizes the Cdc20 inhibitory form of Mad2, C-Mad2, to determine KT recruitment of “active” Mad2 in cells overexpressing Mps1(1–239) (54). Using this antibody, we observed that although Mad2 was not completely lost at KTs in Mps1(1–239)-expressing cells treated with 3.3 μM nocodazole, C-Mad2 levels at KTs were visibly diminished (Fig. 5D). Quantification of Mad2/CREST ratios in these cells indeed revealed a significant trend toward lower Mad2 levels at KTs compared with control cells (Fig. 5E). As tight control of Mad2 is crucial for optimal checkpoint function and even a small reduction of the protein attenuated checkpoint signaling (see for example Refs. 55, 56), our observations suggest that Mps1(1–239) overexpression may allow increased slippage by reducing the levels of active KT-bound C-Mad2.

The conversion of O-Mad2 to checkpoint-proficient C-Mad2 is dependent on Mps1 kinase activity (14, 15), implying that the decrease in C-Mad2 at KTs in cells overexpressing Mps1(1–239) may be due to decreased Mps1 catalytic activity. Mps1 dimerization, which is likely mediated by the TPR domain, has been shown to enhance its catalytic activity (57); we therefore reasoned that mutation of the Mps1 TPR domain may inhibit Mps1 function through attenuation of kinase activity. Mps1 is known to autophosphorylate both in vitro and in vivo at Thr-676 and Thr-686 in the activation loop resulting in increased catalytic activity (57–60), whereas phosphorylation at Ser-821 is likely due to MAPK (58, 61). To test the contribution of the Mps1 TPR to kinase function, we generated Mps1 lacking the N-terminal 100 amino acids (Mps1ΔN (15)) and thus a significant portion of the TPR domain, and we tested the effect of this mutation on kinase function using phosphospecific antibodies against Mps1 (58). Immunoprecipitation of Mps1-WT and Mps1ΔN followed by Western blotting clearly revealed that autophosphorylation of Mps1 at Thr-676 and Thr-686 is severely compromised in Mps1ΔN compared with Mps1-WT, whereas phosphorylation of the proline-directed, MAPK site Ser-821 remains unchanged. Together, these results indicate that Mps1 TPR is required for efficient functioning of this checkpoint protein, and mutations in this region reduce the checkpoint response, likely through attenuation of kinase activity.

**DISCUSSION**

**Biophysical Characteristics of the Mps1 N Terminus**—Although the requirement of the N-terminal region of Mps1 for both its localization at KTs and efficient functionality has been reported by several groups (9, 15, 16, 18, 19), a more detailed understanding of the functional significance and structural organization of this region was a pending assignment in the field. To this purpose, we combined a bioinformatics approach with biochemical and biophysical analyses and provided novel evidence strongly suggesting that Mps1 residues 1–239 adopt a stable TPR fold that remarkably mimics the N-terminal TPR-containing region of Bub1 and BubR1. For instance, far-UV circular dichroism confirmed that Mps1(1–239) is a predominantly α-helical protein domain, whereas analytical gel filtration shows that the protein self-associates to form stable dimers. Moreover, ellipsometry, polarized fluorescence microscopy, and BAM experiments revealed that like TPR Bub1 and TPR BubR1 domains, when deposited onto monolayers, Mps1(1–239) functions as a surface-active domain that forms stable, rigid monolayers at the air/water interface. Definition of protein physicochemical properties and behavior at interfaces can yield relevant information such as the molecular orientation of protein domains in phospholipid films (62), the differential affinity for the substrate across lipid-binding proteins (63), and the relative surface activity and interfacial stability of proteins sharing the TPR motif, including Bub1, BubR1, and protein phosphatase 5 (43). The latter case is of particular importance as it provided a framework to investigate the interfacial behavior of Mps1(1–239) at the air/water interface. Indeed, the biophysical characterization of the N-terminal fragment Mps1(1–239) revealed striking similarities with TPR Bub1 and TPR BubR1. For instance, Langmuir-Blodgett films coupled to null ellipsometry measurements showed a similar maximal surface pressure and surface concentration at air/water interfaces for the three proteins, whereas BAM observations revealed the rapid migration of Mps1(1–239) from the bulk toward the surface in a fashion that closely resembles the behavior of TPR Bub1 and TPR BubR1 monolayers. Moreover, the evolution of the shear elastic constant of Mps1(1–239) interfacial layers measured at the end of the adsorption kinetics (i.e., around 4 h) also reveals a monolayer of a rigidity comparable with that of TPR Bub1 and TPR BubR1 films.

**Evolution of the Mps1 TPR Domain**—Through extensive protein database searches, we found that the MPS1 TPR most likely appeared at the split between the protostomes and deuterostomes because it is found in the chordates as well as in echinoderms. We favor this scenario over domain loss in other animal lineages such as the insects, as the domain also appears to be absent in fungi. This conclusion is supported by secondary structure analysis that identified the analogous pattern of α-helices and turns predicted in hMps1 in the Mps1 homologues of other deuterostomes. Our results also imply that the
MPS1 TPR region has a more limited phylogenetic distribution than the BUB1 or BUBR1 TPR, suggesting that the MPS1 TPR could have been acquired from one of these two genes. Indeed, alignment generated by FUGUE indicated that the highest scoring hit was TPR BubR1, and a search in the SMART database using the human Mps1 TPR fragment as query retrieved the Mad3_BUB1_1 domain (SMART accession number SM00777, 5.02e+01) as the most significant hit. The reciprocal SMART search with S. cerevisiae and Drosophila Mps1 N-terminal regions, neither of which is predicted to form a TPR domain, returned no significant hit. Together, these observations suggest two possible scenarios for the emergence of MPS1 TPR. If BUB1 and BUBR1 duplicated in the deuterostome ancestor, the MPS1 TPR was acquired at about the same time as this duplication, making it difficult to assign its origin to either BUB1 or BUBR1 because little divergence would have accumulated between the two genes. The alternative scenario holds that duplication occurred after the chordate divergence from the echinoderms. In this case, the MPS1 TPR would have derived from the BUB1 and BUBR1 ancestor. Because of the limited set of genomes from echinoderms available to date, it is difficult to favor one scenario over the other.

**Mps1 TPR Functions**—The demonstration that Mps1(1–239) behaves as an independently folded domain prompted us to test its function(s) in mitotic cells. Our data show that Mps1(1–239) is only partially competent in KT localization, but its overexpression nevertheless causes mislocalization of endogenous Mps1, likely through dimerization with endogenous Mps1 and its titration away from KTs. This idea is supported by our gel filtration and GST pulldown experiments (Fig. 1E and supplemental Fig. S2). Also in agreement with our observations, deletion of N-terminal residues that are predicted to form part of TPR Mps1 preclude Mps1 KT binding and interfere with full Mps1 functionality in both mitotic and meiotic systems (15, 19). This behavior is remarkably reminiscent of the Bub1 TPR domain. Mutations in the Bub1 TPR that eliminated the interaction with the KMN subunit Blinkin and thus abrogated KT recruitment resulted in checkpoint deficiency and caused severe defects in chromosome alignment and segregation (64, 65). These data are in full agreement with the shared evolutionary history between the TPR domains of the Bub kinases and Mps1 and argue that at least transient KT docking of TPR Mps1 is required for optimal activity.

The direct binding partners of Mps1 responsible for its KT recruitment are not known, although both Aurora B and Hec1 play an important role in this process (9, 50, 66). Bub1 and BubR1 TPR domains bind directly to Blinkin, and disruption of this interaction by depletion of Blinkin or mutation of the TPR domains precludes KT recruitment of Bub1 and BubR1 resulting in loss of checkpoint control and severe errors in chromosome segregation during mitosis (64, 67). However, despite the close relationship between the TPR domains of Bub1, BubR1, and Mps1, we found no interaction between Mps1(1–239) and Blinkin, and depletion of Blinkin did not significantly affect KT docking of endogenous Mps1, nor did Mps1(1–239) noticeably interfere with Blinkin KT docking (data not shown and Fig. 4D), suggesting that Mps1 may bind to other core KT proteins to facilitate its recruitment. Because TPR Mps1 overexpression may affect not only the function of Mps1 but also that of Bub1 and BubR1, we decided to investigate whether N-terminal Mps1 physically interacts with N-terminal Bub1 and/or N-terminal BubR1. For this, we used recombinant proteins and studied the possibility of heterodimer complex formation between TPR Bub1 and TPR Mps1 as well as between TPR BubR1 and TPR Mps1 using analytical size-exclusion chromatography and dynamic light scattering. The formation of stable binary complexes between N-terminal Mps1 with N-terminal Bub1 or BubR1 was not detected with the aforementioned techniques suggesting that these TPRs do not physically interact with each other at least under our *in vitro* conditions (data not shown).

Mps1 KT localization is also regulated by its own catalytic activity (11, 42), and recent studies identified a number of auto-phosphorylation sites that map to the N-terminal domain, which may regulate Mps1 KT recruitment (17, 41, 58, 59). Importantly, we demonstrate here that the Mps1 TPR enhances kinase activity as N-terminal truncation of Mps1 resulted in a marked decrease of Mps1 autophosphorylation at two sites in the activation loop critical for optimal kinase function (Fig. 5F).
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We imagine that the TPR contributes to Mps1 activation and function through both dimerization and KT enrichment, both of which increase the local concentration of Mps1 (Fig. 6A). Because kinase-inactive Mps1 exhibits longer residency at KT regions, autophosphorylation or phosphorylation of a KT target may promote release of Mps1 into the cytoplasm (Fig. 6B). Abolishing TPR function (Fig. 6C) results in inefficient dimerization and KT binding of Mps1, effectively diluting the monomeric enzyme in the cytoplasm and reducing kinase activity.

Our interdisciplinary approach demonstrates that the N-terminal region of Mps1 likely forms a TPR domain that is evolutionarily, structurally, and biophysically related to the TPR domains of Bub1 and BubR1. Functionally, this region in Mps1 is critical for KT binding and for optimal Mps1 kinase activation in cells. Although the Mps1 TPR awaits direct structural confirmation, the data presented here provide novel evidence for the close relationship between these three checkpoint kinases and prompt a closer examination of the role of KT binding and the TPR domains in regulating their catalytic activity during mitosis.
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