Height to Crown Base Modelling for the Main Tree Species in an Even-Aged Pedunculate Oak Forest: A Case Study from Central Croatia
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ABSTRACT
The height to crown base (hcb) is a critical measure used in many investigations as an input variable to investigate the vigour of the stands, the social position of the trees, and to evaluate the behaviour of forest fires, among other uses. Though measuring height-related variables in the field is always time-consuming, the foremost benefits offered by modelling hcb are that it permits to generalize and average a very uneven attribute and, furthermore, provides insights about which tree and stand variables have a significant impact on hcb. However, there are many species in which models of the crown base height have not been developed in Croatia. The objective of this research was to develop a height to base crown model for each of the main species present in the two-layered mixed stands of this study. According to previous investigations, logistic models provide the highest precision and require the lowest inventory cost owing to less frequent measurements. Tree- and plot-level variables with distance-independent competition indexes were studied in the fitting model. In this research, we obtained models for the main stand species: Acer campestre (root mean squared error (RMSE) = 2.28 m, R² = 82.80%); Alnus glutinosa (RMSE = 1.78 m, R² = 85.36%); Carpinus betulus (RMSE = 2.47 m, R² = 67.55%); Fraxinus angustifolia (RMSE = 2.46 m, R² = 82.45%); Quercus robur (RMSE = 2.60 m, R² = 80.57%); Tilia sp. (RMSE = 2.01 m, R² = 89.07%); and Ulmus laevis (RMSE = 1.71 m, R² = 92.42%). The combination of the total height, tree, and plot-level variables with distance-independent competition indexes contributed to the prediction accuracy of proposed model significantly.
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INTRODUCTION

Tree crowns are involved in many key physiological processes, such as photosynthesis (Ma et al. 2017, Liu et al. 2019), respiration (Plain et al. 2009, Drake et al. 2016), and transpiration (Köstner et al. 1992, Gupta et al. 2018), and they also influence soil water storage (Meinzer et al. 1999, Zhang et al. 2007) and the interception of radiation (Stenberg et al. 1994, Chen et al. 2017), being the most important part of the tree.

The crown structure also affects the biomass productivity (Valentine et al. 1994, Russell and Weiskittel 2011), stem form, and wood quality (Maguire et al. 1991, Hein et al. 2008) and individual tree competition status (Kershaw et al. 1990, Cameron et al. 2020). The crown structure includes decisive parameters to assess the crown fuel characteristics that influence potential crown fire behaviour (Cruz et al. 2003, Ruiz-González and Álvarez-González 2011, Jiménez et al. 2013).

Therefore, these metrics are critically important for informing forest management (Li et al. 2020). However, crown measurements require an appropriate abstraction of crown size and shape; however, direct measurement of the crown shape or profile is difficult (Cameron et al. 2020).
In this work, hcb is defined as the height from the ground to the base of the first living branch that is a part of the crown, ranging from 0 to the height of the tree (Rijal et al. 2012). This must be differentiated from the concept of the Canopy Base Height, a parameter used in the study of forest fires, which represents the mean vertical distance, in meters, between the ground and the beginning of the crown, ranging from 0 to the height of the tree (Rijal et al. 2020). In addition, the labour-intensive and time- and money-consuming endeavour of measuring descriptive crown parameters hinders the wide application to forest management (Hasenauer and Monserud 1996, Fu et al. 2017, Luo et al. 2018) particularly in mixed stands.

This has motivated many studies to focus their efforts on modelling crown parameters, such as tree height to crown base (hcb). hcb is an important variable often included as a predictor in various forest models that serve as the fundamental tools for decision-making in forestry (Sharma et al. 2017). hcb reports important information to assess the social position of the trees and the competition they suffer, closely related to the crown size and may affect the tree vigour (Hasenauer and Monserud 1996, Fu et al. 2013). Although traditional field-based methods can obtain reliable and accurate hcb measurements (Dean et al. 2009, S. Luo et al. 2016), it is rarely measured in the field and it is often predicted using static models (Rijal et al. 2012).

hcb models for many tree species have been developed from data at the tree and plot level using: (1) basic models methods (Rijal et al. 2012, Fu et al. 2017, Sharma et al. 2017, Jia and Chen 2019, Pan et al. 2020) to obtain high precision models with low field inventory cost, and (2) mixed-effects models (Fu et al. 2017, Jia and Chen 2019, Pan et al. 2020), which have been widely used in recent years as they show an increase prediction accuracy to an extent (Jia and Chen 2019, Pan et al. 2020).

The variability of hcb has been evaluated through three groups of variables: (1) tree size metrics referred to as tree-level variables, (2) variables of the size and vigour of the plot or stand denominated as plot-level variables, and (3) variables of the site quality and distance-independent competition indexes. For instance, the dominant height is usually used to describe the site quality and the stand development age, since it is strongly correlated with the growth condition of the dominant trees (Fu et al. 2017, Sharma et al. 2017, Li et al. 2020, Pan et al. 2020), and the total basal area of all trees with a diameter larger than the target tree is usually used to assess competition effects (Fu et al. 2017). These variables have been used combined or separately in many studies (Rijal et al. 2012, Fu et al. 2017, Pan et al. 2020).

In this work, hcb is defined as the height from the ground to the base of the first living branch that is a part of the crown, ranging from 0 to the height of the tree (Rijal et al. 2012, Fu et al. 2017). This must be differentiated from the concept of the Canopy Base Height, a parameter used in the study of forest fires, which represents the mean vertical distance, in meters, between the ground and the beginning of the living canopy at which there is enough fuel to spread the fire vertically through the canopy (Scott and Reinhardt 2001).

Although hcb is often assessed in research or monitoring (e.g., International Co-operative Programme on Assessment and Monitoring of Air Pollution Effects on Forests (ICP Forests)) projects, in general, hcb modelling was not a research focus in Croatia. Such models were often not validated. The objectives of this study were (i) to develop species-specific hcb logistic models for the main tree species in the mixed, lowland pedunculate oak (Quercus robur L.) forests in Central Croatia; and (ii) to study which variables best explain the variability of hcb for each species and how each group of variables (tree-, plot-, and competition-distance index variables) affects the accuracy of the models. Mixed pedunculate oak forests are among the most important forest ecosystems in Croatia with exceptional ecological, economical, and sociological significance (Novotny et al. 2013). Pedunculate oak is also a key tree species of European forests (Čater 2015). Despite these facts and to the best of authors knowledge, there were no previous studies dealing with hcb modelling in pedunculate oak forest ecosystems.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Study Area

The study area is located in the continental part of Croatia, near Jastrebarsko and 35 km southwest of Zagreb, within the Pokupsko Basin lowland forest complex (~12,000 ha, Figure 1). The forests of the study area consist of even-aged pedunculate oak stands mixed with other tree species: common hornbeam (Carpinus betulus L.), black alder (Alnus glutinosa (L.) Gaertn.), and narrow-leaved ash (Fraxinus angustifolia Vahl.). Other tree species that sporadically occur are Ulmus laevis Pall., Tilia sp., Populus sp., Acer campestre L., Betula pendula Roth., Pyrus pyraster (L.) Burgsd., etc. These stands commonly have a two-layered structure (a dominant and suppressed tree layer) with pedunculate oak in the dominant crown class layer and other tree species in the suppressed crown class layer. The understorey is dominated by two shrub species: hazel (Corylus avellana L.) and common hawthorn (Crataegus monogyna Jacq.), while alder buckthorn (Frangula alnus Mill.) occurs sporadically. The terrain of the study area is mostly flat (105–118 m a.s.l.). Soils are hydromorphic (hypoglie, eugley, and pseudogley) on a clay parent material. The climate is warm temperate with the mean annual temperature of 10.6 °C and precipitation of 962 mm·y⁻¹ (Ostrogović Sever et al. 2019).

The pedunculate oak forests of the study area are state-owned, and they are actively managed for sustained timber in 140 year-long rotations, ending with two or three regeneration fellings during the last 10 years of the rotation. This management approach provides sustainability in terms of the yield, biodiversity, and ecosystem stability (Klepac and Fabijanić 1996). It has been applied for all even-aged pedunculate oak forests in Croatia where they cover an area of 225,000 ha or 9% of the total forest area (Croatian Forests Ltd. 2016). Further detailed information on sustainable management of pedunculate oak forests in Croatia can be found in Ostrogojović Sever et al. (2019).

#### Field Measurements

Field data were collected from a total of 164 circular sample plots during 2017. The plots were systematically distributed throughout the study area, i.e., within the 30 stands of different age classes and with a total area of 576.32 ha. The radius of plots (8, 12, and 15 m) and systematic distance between the plots (100 × 100, 100 × 200, and 200 × 200 m) were defined in respect to the stand age and size. The positions of the plot centres were recorded during the leaf-off conditions (beginning of March 2017) using a Global Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSS) receiver (Stonex S9IIIN) connected to a network of GNSS reference stations.
(the Croatian Positioning System (CROPOS)) to achieve high positional accuracy. The average receiver reported precision of the plots positioning was 0.13 m (Balenović et al. 2019).

At each plot, the tree species and its location were recorded, and the diameters at breast height (dbh) were measured for all trees with dbh ≥ 10 cm. The position of each tree in the plot was recorded by measuring the distance and azimuth from the plot centre to each tree using a Vertex III hypsometer and Haglöf compass, respectively. Out of 4953 trees sampled within the 164 plots, the tree height was measured for 3247 trees using a Vertex III hypsometer, while the heights of the rest of the trees were estimated using the species-specific dbh-height models fitted with Michailloff’s function (Michailloff 1943). In addition to the total tree height, the height from the bottom of the tree to the first live branch, i.e., the hcb, was measured for 3163 trees that were subsampled from trees with height measurements. A summary of the main forest attributes for the entire sample of 164 plots used for developing hcb models at tree-level is presented in Table 1. The plot-level volume values in Table 1 refers to the merchantable tree volume comprising of stemwood and branchwood up to a diameter of 7 cm overbark. It was calculated for each sampled tree using the Schumacher-Hall equation (Schumacher and Hall 1933) and parameters from Croatian two-entry (dbh and height) volume tables (Špiranec 1975, Cestar and Kovačić 1981, 1982, 1984), and summarized at plot-level.

### Development and Validation of Tree Height to Crown Base (hcb) Models

Species-specific hcb models were developed for all species present in the dataset consisting of 164 sample plots. A model was adjusted for all species that had at least 20 trees measured in the field. The models were fitted with the outliers removed (Montgomery 2009).

For model development, the logistic equation of Walters and Hann (1986) (Equation 1) was used:

\[
h_{cb} = \frac{ht}{1+\exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i x_i\right)}
\]

where \(ht\) is the total height of the tree, \(a_i\) are model parameters to be estimated, and \(x_i\) are plot-, tree-level, or competition explanatory variables (Table 2). The hcb values in this equation are constrained to be less than the total height and greater or equal to zero (Ritchie and

### Table 1. Summary of the main plot-level forest attributes for the 164 measured sample plots (DBH—diameter at breast height, \(H_L\)—Lorey’s mean height, \(N\)—stem density, \(G\)—basal area, and \(V^*\)—volume).

| Forest attribute | Mean | Minimum | Maximum | Standard deviation |
|------------------|------|---------|---------|--------------------|
| Age (years)      | 88   | 33      | 163     | 39                 |
| Mean DBH (cm)    | 31.7 | 17.0    | 69.4    | 11.3               |
| \(H_L\) (m)      | 26.5 | 17.4    | 37.9    | 4.5                |
| \(N\) (trees∙ha\(^{-1}\)) | 526  | 56      | 1840    | 303                |
| \(G\) (m\(^2\)∙ha\(^{-1}\)) | 31.9 | 13.7    | 67.0    | 8.3                |
| \(V^*\) (m\(^3\)∙ha\(^{-1}\)) | 427.1| 158.0   | 1020.1  | 153.2              |
Hann 1987). This equation presents several advantages over other crown base height equations. First, it is well constrained and should, thus, be a more reliable expression of the true relationships between crown length and the predictor variables; second, this equation provides slightly better fits than similar equations; and third, it is more easily interpreted than other crown base height equations (Ritchie and Hann 1987).

In addition to field-measured tree-level variables (e.g., the dbh and total tree height), various plot-level metrics and distance-independent competition indexes (Table 2) were calculated from field data and considered in hcb modelling. A total of 17 potential explanatory variables were included in the statistical modelling.

Variable selection was performed in R 3.6.2 (R Core Team 2019) through a stepwise procedure with the linearized form of Equation 1 using the step function from the stats package (R Core Team 2019). This function eliminates predictors one at a time, until it finds the optimum Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) value. Next, the selected independent variables were included in the original form of the equation, and the model was fitted by nonlinear least squares with the ‘nls’ function from the stats package (R Core Team 2019).

The models were selected and inspected graphically and evaluated numerically through the root mean squared error (RMSE), both absolute and relative; mean error (BIAS) in percentage and its p-value to assess whether it is significantly different from 0; EF: the model efficiency (BIAS) in percentage and its p-value to assess whether it is significantly different from 0.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The species-specific hcb models (Table 3) were developed for seven tree species (A. campestre, A. glutinosa, C. betulus, F. angustifolia, Q. robur, Tilia sp., and U. laevis) that had at least 20 trees measured in the field. Other species (Populus sp., B. pendula, and P. pyraster), that had fewer measurements, were not included in any model.

Table 3 displays a statistical summary of all the predictor variables per model. The crown base heights to be predicted varied remarkably among species, motivated by their different morphologies and bioecologies, with the minimum inventoried being 0.30 m for A. glutinosa and the maximum of 32.10 m for Q. robur. The independent parameters that are repeated in different models varied considerably among species, which gives an idea of the great variability present in the study area. N is the variable with the highest dispersion for the two models in which it has been used as a predictor, followed by AGE and bal in the third place. The maximum ht values show how the highest heights and, therefore, the dominant species in the study area.

ht and dbh predictors have been used as the main predictor in many hcb modelling studies (Fu et al. 2017, Sharma et al. 2017, Pan et al. 2020). Instead, we only used ht as the main predictor in the base model (see Equation 1), and dbh did not enter any of the evaluated models. The hcb models used ht plot-level variables with tree-level variables and distance-independent competition indexes.

Table 4 incorporates the hcb model performances. The results showed accuracies between 67.55% (C. betulus) and 92.42% (U. laevis) of R² and p.BIAS between 0.7115 (U. laevis) and 0.9488 (F. angustifolia). The RMSE ranged from 12.02% for A. glutinosa to 34.05% for C. betulus. One of the reasons for the greater variation among the models’ performances may be the intraspecific morphology diversity since some species present higher heterogeneity among their crowns than others. Namely, the number of sampled trees differ from species to species; they were collected from forest stands of different ages where certain species may have different status (e.g., dominant, co-dominant, intermediate, suppressed layers) and consequently form different crown shapes and dimensions. Thus, the C.

Table 2. Field derived variables used for the development of the tree-level height to crown base (hcb) models. Plot-level variable acronyms are upper-case, while tree-level variables use lower-case acronyms.

| Variable acronym | Variable description |
|------------------|----------------------|
| DM | Mean diameter at breast height (cm) |
| DG | Quadratic mean diameter at breast height (cm) |
| HM | Mean height (m) |
| HL | Lorey’s mean height (m) |
| HO | Dominant height (m) |
| G | Total basal area with slope correction (m²·ha⁻¹) |
| N | Stem density (trees·ha⁻¹) |
| IH | Hart–Becking Index (%) |
| AGE | Stand age (years) |
| ht | Total tree height (m) |
| dbh | Diameter at breast height (cm) |
| bal | Basal area of trees thicker than target tree (m²·ha⁻¹) |
| balmod_g | bal/(G·IH) (-) |
| bar | Normal tree section ratio (m²) and G (%) |
| rddg | dbh/DG (-) |
| rbad | bar⁻¹ht (-) |
| dratio | dbh/ht (-) |
### Table 3. Statistical summary of the dependent and predictor variables (parameters) of the hcb models. See acronyms in Table 2.

| Species            | Parameter | Mean  | Minimum | Maximum | Standard deviation |
|--------------------|-----------|-------|---------|---------|--------------------|
| Acer campestre     | hcb       | 8.79  | 1.80    | 15.20   | 4.01               |
|                    | ht        | 16.39 | 10.80   | 23.30   | 3.54               |
|                    | IH        | 17.13 | 13.10   | 25.20   | 4.05               |
| Alnus glutinosa    | hcb       | 14.79 | 0.30    | 21.70   | 3.39               |
|                    | ht        | 20.92 | 6.80    | 28.40   | 3.21               |
|                    | bar       | 0.16  | 0.03    | 0.59    | 0.11               |
|                    | dh ratio  | 1.12  | 0.63    | 1.87    | 0.27               |
|                    | rbad      | 0.19  | 0.05    | 0.61    | 0.11               |
|                    | bal       | 21.34 | 0.00    | 50.05   | 8.66               |
| Carpinus betulus   | hcb       | 7.24  | 0.50    | 17.80   | 3.33               |
|                    | ht        | 18.84 | 5.20    | 31.50   | 4.22               |
|                    | dh ratio  | 1.09  | 0.54    | 3.18    | 0.28               |
|                    | balmod_g  | 0.82  | 0.00    | 1.00    | 0.19               |
|                    | bal       | 28.05 | 0.00    | 66.85   | 11.07              |
|                    | DG        | 29.31 | 17.00   | 58.20   | 6.95               |
|                    | AGE       | 88.85 | 33.00   | 163.00  | 38.66              |
|                    | bar       | 0.12  | 0.01    | 0.58    | 0.10               |
| Fraxinus angustifolia | hcb     | 14.69 | 3.40    | 25.80   | 4.34               |
|                    | ht        | 24.71 | 7.80    | 38.60   | 6.44               |
|                    | AGE       | 79.91 | 43.00   | 163.00  | 39.85              |
|                    | dh ratio  | 1.24  | 0.57    | 3.26    | 0.37               |
| Quercus robur      | hcb       | 15.34 | 2.00    | 32.10   | 4.39               |
|                    | ht        | 27.19 | 9.4     | 43.50   | 5.52               |
|                    | N         | 548.04| 55.70   | 1691.00 | 279.56             |
|                    | AGE       | 76.76 | 33.00   | 163.00  | 33.73              |
|                    | dh ratio  | 1.38  | 0.63    | 3.49    | 0.42               |
|                    | IH        | 18.71 | 10.90   | 50.40   | 6.09               |
| Tilia sp.          | hcb       | 8.73  | 1.50    | 19.90   | 4.37               |
|                    | ht        | 17.99 | 3.90    | 28.40   | 5.83               |
|                    | DM        | 26.31 | 20.80   | 31.70   | 3.10               |
|                    | HL        | 25.80 | 23.10   | 32.80   | 1.79               |
|                    | AGE       | 85.59 | 63.00   | 163.00  | 17.69              |
|                    | dh ratio  | 1.17  | 0.59    | 3.27    | 0.31               |
| Ulmus laevis       | hcb       | 7.30  | 1.80    | 12.69   | 4.02               |
|                    | ht        | 14.43 | 7.60    | 23.20   | 4.55               |
|                    | G         | 31.07 | 21.10   | 51.10   | 7.73               |
|                    | HO        | 25.43 | 22.40   | 35.40   | 3.27               |
|                    | AGE       | 89.43 | 43.00   | 163.00  | 49.93              |
|                    | N         | 739.60| 367.80  | 1392.60 | 363.69             |

**betulus** hcb model clearly performed worse than the rest of the species and had the highest relative RMSE associated.

In view of these results, the models developed were unbiased, and they adequately explained the variations in the height to crown base. While it is true that the models offer good results, some models have been built with small samples and should be applied carefully, particularly *A. campestre* (27 trees), which shows a narrow interval of stand density (IH 13.1-25.2). Nevertheless, in the case of *U. laevis* (21 trees), the range of variation of both the predicted and explanatory variables is quite wide, which makes the fitted equation suitable for a broad spectrum of stands.
In this study, a high correlation was found among plot variables, the distance-independent competition index, and the hcb for each species. The plot variables gave rise to the most accurate models, since hcb is a dimensional measurement of a tree and since it is more strongly correlated with other dimensional measures (Rijal et al. 2012), whereas competition measures better describe competitive interactions among species (Petritan et al. 2007, Thorpe et al. 2010, Sharma et al. 2017).

Distance-independent competition indexes originate models whose accuracies are conditioned by intra- and inter-species competition and stages of development, originating more variable precisions that depend on the intimate mixture of trees present in each plot. Several studies have shown that competition variables and stand density have a remarkable influence on hcb values (Garber et al. 2008, Russell et al. 2014). Therefore, it is not surprising that the most repeated parameters in the models were dhratio and AGE.

Table 4. Regression coefficients and goodness of fit parameters for predicting the crown base height by species. Trees with measured hcb in field (M) and used in the models (U); root mean squared error (RMSE): absolute (m) and relative (%); BIAS: in percentage; p.BIAS: BIAS p-value; R²: coefficient of correlation of observed versus predicted (%), EF: Model Efficiency (%); Parameter: independent variable; Est: parameter estimates; VIF: Variance Inflation Factor of parameter estimates; p-value: significant codes: ***: 0, **: 0.001, and *: 0.01.

| hcb model    | Trees   | RMSE   | BIAS   | p.BIAS | R²   | EF   | Parameter | Est   | VIF | p-value |
|--------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|------|------|-----------|-------|------|---------|
| *Acer campestre* | 27 M 27 U | 2.28 m 25.95% | -0.1074 | 0.8084 | 82.80 | 67.63 | Int | -1.957 | - | ** |
|              |         |        |        |        |      |      | IH       | 0.107 | 0.00 | **      |
|              |         |        | -0.0078 | 0.9413 | 85.36 | 72.56 | Int | -1.909 | - | ***    |
| *Alnus glutinosa* | 283 M 281 U | 1.78 m 12.02% |        |        |      |      | bar | -3.506 | 4.50 | ***    |
|              |         |        |        |        |      |      | dhratio | 1.210 | 4.44 | ***    |
|              |         |        |        |        |      |      | rbad | 3.251 | 2.31 | ***    |
|              |         |        |        |        |      |      | bal  | -0.019 | 2.46 | ***    |
|               |         | 0.0142 | 0.8647 | 67.55  | 45.31 |      | dhratio | 1.467 | - | ***    |
|               |         |        |        |        |      |      | balmod_g | 0.531 | 3.36 | **      |
| *Carpinus betulus* | 875 M 871 U | 2.47 m 34.05% |        |        |      |      | bal | -0.013 | 2.88 | ***    |
|              |         |        |        |        |      |      | DG  | 0.031  | 2.59 | ***    |
|              |         |        |        |        |      |      | AGE | -0.002 | 1.86 | *       |
|              |         |        |        |        |      |      | bar  | -1.670 | 4.81 | ***    |
|               |         | 0.0103 | 0.9488 | 82.45  | 67.84 |      | AGE | -0.896 | - | ***    |
|               |         |        |        |        |      |      | dhratio | 0.537 | 1.64 | ***    |
| *Fraxinus angustifolia* | 237 M 235 U | 2.46 m 16.74% |        |        |      |      | Int | -0.175 | - | *       |
|              |         |        | -0.0126 | 0.8491 | 80.57 | 64.85 | AGE | -0.002 | 1.64 | *       |
|              |         |        |        |        |      |      | dhratio | 0.537 | 1.64 | ***    |
| *Quercus robur* | 1549 M 1538 U | 2.60 m 16.99% |        |        |      |      | Int | -0.175 | - | *       |
|              |         |        |        |        |      |      | N   | -0.0005| 2.39 | ***    |
|              |         |        |        |        |      |      | AGE | -0.008 | 2.69 | ***    |
|              |         |        |        |        |      |      | dhratio | 0.795 | 1.88 | ***    |
|              |         |        |        |        |      |      | IH  | -0.016 | 1.95 | ***    |
| *Tilia sp.* | 140 M 139 U | 2.01 m 23.09% |        |        |      |      | DM  | -0.101 | 1.76 | ***    |
|              |         |        |        |        |      |      | HL  | 0.230  | 1.73 | ***    |
|              |         |        |        |        |      |      | AGE | -0.009 | 1.06 | ***    |
|              |         |        |        |        |      |      | dhratio | 0.488 | 1.02 | **      |
| *Ulmus laevis* | 21 M 21 U | 1.71 m 23.39% |        |        |      |      | Int | 5.639  | - | **      |
|              |         |        | -0.1285 | 0.7115 | 92.42 | 81.95 | G   | 0.080  | 3.45 | *       |
|              |         |        |        |        |      |      | HO  | -0.222 | 2.86 | **      |
|              |         |        |        |        |      |      | AGE | -0.011 | 1.53 | **      |
|              |         |        |        |        |      |      | N   | -0.002 | 3.33 | *       |
Pan et al. (2020) observed that additional explanatory variables can significantly improve the prediction accuracy of an hcb model; however, introducing too many variables into a model can lead to overparameterization, which can produce a biased prediction (Fu et al. 2017) and increased forest inventory costs by requiring more measurements in the field (Pan et al. 2020). There are models with a high number of variables, e.g., six for *C. betulus*, but the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) remains low.

Logistic models are considered by some authors to be those that provide the most accurate predictions for many tree species (Fu et al. 2017, Sharma et al. 2017). Rijal et al. (2012) observed that they significantly reduced bias and eliminated convergence problems.

In this study, the best accuracies were obtained for the *U. laevis* (21 used trees and R²=92.42%) and *Tilia* sp (139 used trees and R²=89.07%) models. On the other hand, the main species *C. betulus* (871 used trees and R²=67.55%) and *Q. robur* (1538 used trees and R²=80.57%) models had the lowest accuracies. These two species appeared in both the main and secondary vegetation layers, increasing the variability of hcb, which explains the low precision of their models. An alternative to reduce the variability of the hcb models would be to create models by species for each layer considered.

As expected, the correspondence between the field hcb and estimated hcb increased with outlier removal in all models in which outliers were detected. No outliers were found in *A. campestre* and *U. laevis* models. In *Tilia* sp. models, only one outlier was found; two in *A. glutinosa* and *F. angustifolia* models; four for *C. betulus*; and 11 for *Quercus robur*. The larger the database, the larger the outliers found.

Our accuracies are moderately higher than those found in similar investigations. Rijal et al. (2012) evaluated the hcb in thirty species of the North American Acadian Region using a logistic equation with plot-level variables and competition variables, obtaining performances ranging from 47% to 79% of R². Fu et al. (2017) studied the hcb of Mongolian oak natural forest located in the Northeast China. They obtained accuracies of 49.72% of R² applying logistic models. As final variables, tree size measures and the spacing index were selected. Li et al. (2020) worked with *Pinus massoniana* in Southern China, obtaining an 88.03% R² by applying logistic equations in hcb modelling with ht and dbh as predictive variables.

Validation results of the eight hcb models are shown in Table 5. After LOOCV, it can be seen how the values of the validation statistics increased slightly compared to those obtained in the fitting procedure, as in Fu et al. (2017), demonstrating the robustness of the models. None of them presented significant bias, and the RMSE ranged from 12.27% for *A. glutinosa* to 34.24% for *C. betulus*. The most abundant species (*Q. robur*) showed an error of 17.04% for p.BIAS and p.BIAS of BIAS p-value.

**Table 5.** The leave-one-out cross-validation (LOOCV) parameters: RMSE (m) and relative (%); BIAS in percentage; and p.BIAS: BIAS p-value.

| hcb model         | RMSE (m) | RMSE (%) | BIAS | p.BIAS |
|-------------------|----------|----------|------|--------|
| *Acer campestre*  | 2.53     | 28.77    | -0.0770 | 0.8755 |
| *Alnus glutinosa* | 1.81     | 12.27    | -0.0105 | 0.9226 |
| *Carpinus betulus*| 2.48     | 34.24    | 0.0140 | 0.8677 |
| *Fraxinus angustifolia* | 2.49    | 16.98    | 0.0119 | 0.9417 |
| *Quercus robur*   | 2.61     | 17.04    | -0.0126 | 0.8502 |
| *Tilia* sp.       | 2.09     | 23.95    | -0.0510 | 0.7740 |
| *Ulmus laevis*    | 2.10     | 28.80    | 0.0181 | 0.9690 |

**CONCLUSIONS**

In this work, seven main species without previous hcb models were fitted. These proposed hcb models have high importance in their novelty, but foremost by their management implications. hcb is a tree structural measure and is, therefore, related to other structural variables. However, it is a characteristic strongly conditioned by the competence and social position of each tree. This makes it essential to consider the factors that describe the competition to which each tree is subjected. Therefore, the combination of tree and plot-level variables with the site
Figure 2. Residual vs. predicted hcb values for eight selected models.
index significantly contributed to the prediction accuracy of the proposed models. The distance-independent competition indexes explained the inter- and intraspecific critical relationships among trees to predict the hcb. These logistic models demonstrated their great versatility as they showed good precision in a wide range of species. The leave-one-out cross-validation further confirmed the high robustness of our logistic models.
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