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ABSTRACT
Heart failure (HF) is a clinical syndrome, which is becoming a major public health problem in recent decades, due to its increasing prevalence, especially in the developed countries, mostly due to prolonged lifespan of the general population as well as the increased of HF patients. The HF treatment, particularly, new pharmacological and non-pharmacological agents, has markedly improved clinical outcomes of patients with HF including increased life expectancy and improved quality of life. However, despite the facts that mortality in HF patients has decreased, it still remains unacceptably high. This review of summarizes the evidence to date about the mortality of HF patients. Despite the impressive achievements in the pharmacological and non-pharmacological treatment of HF patients which has undeniably improved the survival of these patients, the mortality still remains high particularly among elderly, male and African-American patients. Patients with HF and reduced ejection fraction have higher mortality rates, most commonly due to cardiovascular causes, compared with patients HF and preserved ejection fraction. (Anatolian J Cardiol 2015; 15: 63-8)
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Introduction
Heart failure (HF) is a clinical syndrome, which is becoming a major public health problem in recent decades, due to its increasing prevalence, especially in the developed countries (1, 2). The increased prevalence is mostly due to prolonged lifespan of the general population in these countries (2, 3) as well as the increased life expectancy of HF patients. The life expectancy is prolonged in HF patients, mainly due to the improved pharmacological and non-pharmacological treatment (4). The etiology of HF is different in different countries and different populations, but nowadays arterial hypertension and coronary artery disease (CAD) are predominant etiologic factors (5). In the past, HF with reduced left ventricular ejection fraction (HFrEF) was the most commonly diagnosed clinical entity in HF patients. However, with the improvement of diagnostic tools, especially with the introduction of new echocardiography modalities, recent clinical and epidemiologic studies have shown that nearly half of HF patients have preserved EF, and this clinical entity of HF with preserved left ventricular EF (HFpEF) was introduced as (6, 7). HF is one of the most frequent causes of hospitalizations and multiple hospitalizations (8), as well as of high costs (9). Recent studies have shown that the HF treatment, particularly, new pharmacological agents, implantation of intra-cardiac defibrillators (ICD), cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) and other surgical procedures, has markedly improved clinical outcomes of patients with HF including increased life expectancy and improved quality of life (4, 10). However, despite the facts that mortality in HF patients has decreased, it still remains unacceptably high (3).

Trends of mortality in patients with heart failure
Many studies have addressed trends in the mortality and survival patients with HF comparing different time periods in different countries (Table 1). Based on the Framingham Heart Study, the mortality rate after diagnosis of HF in the USA was around 10% at 30 days, 20-30% at 1 year and 45-60% over 5 years of follow-up (11). Conversely, the Rotterdam study, which included HF patients in Europe, showed a lower mortality, with 11% and 41% mortality rates at 1 year and 5 years of follow-up, respectively (12).

Most epidemiological studies demonstrated a trend toward a decrease in mortality rates in HF patients in the last decades (3, 4, 13). From the 1959-1969 to 1990-1999 time periods, mortality in HF patients is decreased from 70% to 59% in man, and from 57 to 45% in women (3). Hillingdon-Hasting Study demonstrated that 6-month mortality after diagnosis of HF decreased significantly (p<0.001) from 26% in 1995-1997 to 14% in 2004-2005 (14). Similarly, the
The Framingham heart study documented that 30-day, 1-year and 5-year mortality among men with HF declined (p=0.01) from 12%, 30% and 70% in the period 1959-1969, to 11%, 28% and 59% in the period 1990-1999. The mortality rate among women was also decreased (p=0.02) from 18%, 28% and 57% in the period 1959-1969 to 10%, 24% and 45% in the period 1990-1999 (3, 11). Along the same lines, the study by Loh et al. (4) showed a significant decrease of 3 years mortality among patients with HF with reduced EF from 36% in the time period between 1993 and 1998 to 31% in the time period between 2005 and 2010 (p=0.02). Moreover, a recent study by Laribi et al. (15) found that mortality decreased in seven European countries (Germany, Greece, England and Wales, Spain, France, Finland and Sweden) from 52 per 100 000 inhabitants in 1987, to 33 per 100 000 inhabitants in 2008.

In summary, the overall survival and lifespan of HF patients increased in last decades, particularly after 1998 (4, 16). From 1989-1991 to 1999-2001, the survival of patients with HF at 30 days, 1 year and 5 years, improved by 5%, 10% and 9%, respectively (16). Data from the Framingham study showed comparable improvement in the long-term survival in both men and women (12% per decade) after HF onset (11). Likewise the Olmsted Country study underscored the improvement of 5-year survival, from 43% during the period of time 1979-1984 to 52%, during the period of 1996-2000 (p<0.001). This survival improvement was better in women than men (17). The study by Barker et al. (18) showed an improvement of survival in elderly (≥65 years) patients after the HF diagnosis, by 33% in men and 24% in women from mid-1970s to mid-1990s.

In-hospital mortality in patients with heart failure
Epidemiological studies have shown that despite increased total number of HF hospitalizations and readmissions rates in last decades (19), the mean length of hospital stay as well as the in-hospital mortality were significantly decreased (Table 2) (8, 20). Data from the National Hospital Discharge Survey showed that the total number of hospitalizations for HF in USA was tripled from 1979 to 2004 (21). Likewise, Blecker et al. (22) showed that hospitalizations for HF in USA increased from 2.75 million in 2001 to 3.15 million in 2009.

The Based on Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) data, showed that in-hospital mortality rate in HF patients during the 16 years study period, declined by 4.3%, from 1993 to 2008 (8.5% to 4.2%, p<0.001) (23). The mean length of hospital stay also decreased (p<0.001) from 6 days in 1987-1991 to 4 days...

### Table 1. The mortality rate in heart failure patients in different period of time

| Author                  | Study                                                                 | Number of patients | Period of time | Mortality                        | P             |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|---------------|
| Levy et al. (11)        | Framingham Heart Study, USA (Population Based cohort)                 | 1075               | 1950 - 1959    | 1 year, M: 30%, F: 28%           | 0.01, for M   |
|                         |                                                                       |                    | 1990 - 1999    | 5 years, M: 70%, F: 57%          | 0.02, for F   |
|                         |                                                                       |                    |                | 1 year, M: 28%, F: 24%           |               |
|                         |                                                                       |                    |                | 5 years, M: 57%, F: 45%          |               |
| Roger et al. (17)       | Olmsted Country MN, USA (Population Based cohort)                      | 4537               | 1979 - 1984    | 1 year, M: 30%, F: 20%           | <0.001, for M |
|                         |                                                                       |                    | 1996-2000      | 5 years, M: 65%, F: 51%          | <0.001, for F |
|                         |                                                                       |                    |                | 1 year, M: 21%, F: 17%           |               |
|                         |                                                                       |                    |                | 5 years, M: 50%, F: 46%          |               |
| Loh et al. (4)          | Ahmanson-University of California Los Angeles Cardiomyopathy Centre   | 2507               | 1993 - 1998    | 1 year, 20.6%                    | 0.04, for 1 y |
|                         |                                                                       |                    | 2005 - 2010    | 3 years, 36.4 %                  | 0.02, for 3 y |
|                         |                                                                       |                    |                | 1 year, 17.8%                    |               |
|                         |                                                                       |                    |                | 3 years, 31.5%                   |               |
| Mehta et al. (14)       | Hillingdon-Hasting study, England (Population Based cohort)           | 948                | 1995 - 1997    | 6 months, 22%                    | <0.001        |
|                         |                                                                       |                    | 2004 - 2005    | 6 months, 16%                    |               |
| Laribi et al. (15)      | Seven European Countries (Population Based cohort)                    |                    | 1987           | 54.2/100 000                     | <0.001        |
|                         |                                                                       |                    | 2008           | 32.6/100 000                     |               |
| Gomez-Soto et al. (13)  | Framingham criteria HUPR, Spain                                      | 4793               | 2000           | 1 year, M: 34.8/100              | <0.05, for M  |
|                         |                                                                       |                    | 2007           | F: 27.0/100                      | <0.05, for F  |
|                         |                                                                       |                    |                | 1 year, M: 33.4/100              |               |
|                         |                                                                       |                    |                | F: 23.7/100                      |               |

F - female; M - male; MN - Minnesota; Seven European Countries - Germany, Greece, England and Wales, Spain, France, Finland and Sweden; HUPR - The University Hospital of Puerto Real; y - year
in 2002-2007 (8). Moreover, Blackledge et al. (24) showed that, similarly to other countries, the hospital mortality rate decreased in England from 25% during the 1993-1994 to 20% during 2000-2001 years. In Sweden, Schaufelberger et al. (25), also reported trends toward decreasing hospital mortality due to HF, from 1988 to 2000, but the decrease rate was more evident among patients of younger age. In this study, between 1988 and 2000, 1-year mortality declined from 9% in men and 10% in women 45-54 years of age and 4% and 5%, respectively among men and women among 75-84 years of age. Contrary to this study, Kosiborod et al. (26) found no substantial improvement in mortality, during the 1990s particularly among elderly patients hospitalized with HF. In this study, 30-day mortality (11.0% to 10.3%) and 1-year (32.5% to 31.7%) in-hospital mortality did not change significantly during the study period between 1992 and 1999.

Mortality in HF patients in relation to age, gender and race

There are differences in mortality of patients with HF in groups according race, gender and age (2). The earlier studies have shown that mortality rate in HF patients is age-dependent and it increases progressively with the advancing age (27-30). As the life of expectancy lengthened in recent years in Western Countries, the mean age of patients at the time of death increased in last decade: from 70±9 years, before 1980, to 81±9 years after1980 (27). Szczynski et al. (28) found that in-hospital death rates increased from 3% in patients younger than 65 years to 8.2% in those older than 75 years. In addition, the study of Wong et al. (29), also demonstrated that mortality rate increased by increasing patients’ age. In this study, 3-year mortality of HF patients increased parallel to the age of patients at the time of their admission for symptomatic HF: 12% (for the age group 20-39 years), 13% (for the age group 40-49 years), 13% (for the age group 50-59 years), 19% (for the age group 60-69 years) and 31% (for the age group ≥70 years). In contrast, Rodriguez et

Table 2. Trends of mortality in heart failure related hospitalization, in different period of time

| Author            | Setting                  | Number of hospitalizations | Period of time | Mean length of stay | In-hospital mortality |
|-------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| Kosiborod et al. (25) | CMS, USA                | 3 957 520                  | 1992           | 7.3 days            | 30 days: 11.0%        |
|                   |                          |                            | 1999           | 5.5 days            | 1 year: 32.5%         |
| Bueno et al. (22)  | MEDPAR, CMS USA          | 498 500                    | 1993           | 8.8 days            | 1 year: 31.7%         |
|                   |                          | 412 614                    | 2003           | 6.3 days            | 8.50%                 |
| Schaufelberger et al. (24) | National Hospital Discharge Register Sweden | 156 919                         | 1988           |                     | 1 year                 |
|                   |                          |                            | 2000           |                     | age 45-54, M: 23%, F: 31% |
|                  |                          |                            |                |                     | age 75-84, M: 48%, F: 41% |
|                  |                          |                            |                |                     | 1 year                 |
|                  |                          |                            |                |                     | age 45-54, M: 9%, F: 8% |
|                  |                          |                            |                |                     | age 75-84, M: 36%, F: 29% |
| Blackledge et al. (23) | Office of National Statistics England | 498 500                         | 1993-1994      | 9 days              | 22 months, 24.8%      |
|                   |                          | 413 614                    | 2000-2001       | 9 days              | 22 months, 20.5%      |
| Shahar et al. (26)  | Hospitals of Minneapolis-St. USA | 2257                         | 1995           |                     | 6 months, M: 27%, F: 21% |
|                   |                          | 1825                       | 2000           |                     | 1 year, M: 36%, F: 27% |
|                   |                          |                            |                |                     | 6 months, M: 21%, F: 18% |
|                   |                          |                            |                |                     | 1 year, M: 27%, F: 27% |

CMS - Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services; F - female; M - male; MEDPAR - Medicare Provider Analysis and Review

Figure 1. CV - cardiovascular; DD - diastolic dysfunction; EF - ejection fraction; HFrEF - heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; HFrEF - heart failure with preserved ejection fraction; LVEF - left ventricular ejection fraction
al. (30), using data from the 2007-2008 Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project, found an U-shaped pattern of mortality across the ages for men with mortality rates for age groups <25, 25-64, and >64 years being 2.9%, 1.4%, and 3.8%, respectively.

Moreover, several studies showed that mortality was different in male vs. female patients with HF (Table 3), (17, 31-34). In the study by Rathore et al. (31), the mortality rate was lower in female patients than in male US patients with HF during time period between 1998 and 1999. In this study, the 30-day mortality was 9.2%, in female patients versus 11.4% in male patients (p<0.001). Similarly, 1-year mortality was 36.2% in females versus 43.0% in males (p=0.02). The cohort study by Vaartjes et al. (33), which was based on the National Cause of Death Registry, showed that mortality risk for HF is higher among men than women. One-year mortality risk was 17% in men and 14% in women younger than 55 years, and 58% in men and 49% in women older than 85 years. However, the 5-year mortality risk in these patients did not differ between genders. Few epidemiological data have also shown that the mortality rate in patients with HF did not differ according to gender (34, 35).

Racial or ethnic differences in the mortality among patients with HF have been reported. It has been reported that mortality in African American patients was higher than in white patients (2). From the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study, the 2-year mortality rates were similar for white and African-American patients, but 5-year mortality rate was higher among African-American male (52% vs. 41%, p=0.02) and female (46% vs. 36%, p=0.03) patients compared with white patients with HF (36).

### Mortality rate in HFrEF compared to HFP EF

In general, the mortality rate of patients with HFP EF varied substantially across the studies (7). Many cohort studies reported lower mortality in HFP EF patients compared with HFrEF patients (Table 4) (8, 37). Smith et al. (38) found that patients with HFrEF had a higher death rate during six months of follow-up compared with HFP EF patients (21% vs. 13%; p=0.02). Along the same lines, the Veterans Administration Heart Failure Trial (V-HeFT) showed that the annual mortality was higher in HFrEF compared with HFP EF patients (19% vs. 8%, p=0.0001) (39). Similarly, the study by Tribouilloy et al. (40), showed that patients with reduced EF had higher in-hospital mortality rate than those with preserved (8.2% vs. 2.7%, p=0.002). Similarly, a meta-analysis of 17 studies of HF patients with a total of 24501 patients, by Somaratne et al. (41) also showed that there is difference in mortality between these two groups of patients. In this study, after 47 months of follow-up period (starting in 2006), the mortality rate among patients with HFrEF was 40.6% compared with 32.1% among HFP EF patients. In regard to age, Kerzner et al. (42) found that mortality rate in elderly HFrEF patients was higher compared with HFP EF (53.9% vs. 35.8%, p=0.03), whereas in patients older than 75 years, the difference in mortality rates between groups was not significant (38.5% vs. 29.6%, p=0.22).

On the other hand, several epidemiological cohort studies reported similar mortality rates in patients with HFP EF and HFrEF (43-45). Bhatia et al. (44) based on the data from 103 hospitals in the province of Ontario, Canada during the 1999-2001 period, demonstrated that mortality rate in these two types of HF was similar: 1 year mortality in HFrEF was 26% compared to 22% in HFP EF (p=0.07). More recently, Quiroz et al. (45) noted that 30-day and 1-year mortality rates were not different between HFrEF and HFP EF groups (3.0% versus EF versus 2.7%, p=0.74 for 30-day mortality and 18.2% versus 17.1%, p=0.34 for 1-year mortality, respectively).

Several studies have shown that the mortality rate among patients with HFrEF correlates closely with the level of reduced left ventricular EF (46, 47). Curtis et al. (47) based on the Digitalis Investigation Group (DIG) trial showed that the mortality rate increased proportionally with the decrease in the left ventricular EF. In this study, the mortality rates among patients group with left

### Table 3. The mortality rate in patients with heart failure male vs. female

| Author | Study | Period of time | Follow up | Number of patients | Mortality in males | Mortality in females | P |
|--------|-------|----------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---|
| Rathore et al. (31) | NHF Project, USA (Population Based cohort) | 1998-1999 | 30 996 | 30 day: 11.4% | 30 day: 9.2% <0.001 |
| | | | | 1 year: 43.0% | 1 year: 36.2% <0.001 |
| Parashar et al. (32) | CHS Forsyth Country, North Carolina | 1989-1993 | 1264 | White: 35.5/100 American-African: 33.6/100 | White: 44.4/100 American-African: 40.5/100 |
| Roger et al. (17) | Olmsted Country, MN (Population based cohort) | 1996-2000 | 4736 | 1 year: 21% | 1 year: 17% <0.001 |
| | | 4.2 years (mean) | 5 years: 50% | 5 years: 46% <0.001 |
| Sakata et al. (35) | CHART-2 Study Japan Prospective study | 2006-2010 | 4736 | 52.4/1000 | 47.3/1000 0.22 |
ventricular EF <15%, 16-25%, 26-35% and 36-45% were 51%, 41.7%, 31.4% and 25.6%, respectively. The mortality rates, however, were comparable among patients with HFrEF (23.3% among patients with left ventricular EF 46-55% versus 23.5% among patients with left ventricular >55%; p=0.25). Redfield et al. (48) described a relationship between the degree of diastolic dysfunction and mortality in HFrEF. Mortality rate in HFrEF increased as the degree of diastolic dysfunction increased. Compared to normal, mild diastolic dysfunction increased the risk of death by 8.3 fold (p<0.001) and moderate to severe diastolic dysfunction increased the risk of mortality by 10.2 fold (p<0.001).

The mode of death is also different between the two groups of patients. Among patients with reduced EF, death was due to cardiovascular causes in 64% of deaths (43% coronary heart disease and 21% other cardiovascular causes) and to non-cardiovascular causes in 36%. In contrast, deaths among patients with preserved EF were most commonly due to non-cardiovascular causes (49% of all deaths) followed by coronary artery disease (29%) and other cardiovascular (22%) causes (49). Similarly, Hamaguchi et al. (50) also showed that mortality from non-cardiovascular causes was significantly higher among HFrEF than among patients with HFrEF (28% vs. 18%, p=0.02). In particular, cardiovascular causes including sudden death, were more frequent among patients with reduced EF compared with patients with HFrEF.

### Conclusion

Despite the impressive achievements in the pharmacological and non-pharmacological treatment of HF patients which has undoubtedly improved the survival of these patients, the mortality still remains high particularly among elderly, male and African American patients. HFrEF patients have higher mortality rates, most commonly due to cardiovascular causes, compared with patients with HFrEF.
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