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Abstract

In this paper the generalized inverse distribution is defined. Some properties and applications of the generalized inverse distribution are studied in some detail. Characterization theorems generalizing the new family in terms of the hazard function are obtained. Recommendation for further study concludes the paper.

1 Introduction

Suppose random variable $X$ has CDF $F(x)$, the CDF of the inverse distribution associated with the random variable $X$ is defined as

$$1 - F_X\left(\frac{1}{x}\right).$$

The PDF is given by

$$\frac{1}{x^2} f_X\left(\frac{1}{x}\right).$$

Two methods for creating probability distributions appeared in [1] and [2]. The work in [2] extends that of [1]. The CDF of the distribution generalizing that of [1] is given by
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\[ G(x) = R_T[Q_Y(F_R(x))], \]

where \( R_T \) is the CDF of the random variable \( T \), \( Q_Y \) is the quantile function of the random variable \( Y \), and \( F_R \) is the CDF of the random variable \( R \). The PDF is given by

\[ g(x) = \frac{f_R(x)}{p[Q_Y(F_R(x))]} \ r_T[Q_Y(F_R(x))], \]

where \( p \) is such that \( \frac{d}{dy} Q(y) = \frac{1}{(p \circ Q)(y)} \), \( f_R \) is PDF of the random variable \( R \), and \( r_T \) is PDF of the random variable \( T \). This paper defines the inverse of the distributions with the above CDF and PDF and studies some of their properties with application. It should be noted that some works generalizing the inverse distributions have appeared in \([3]-[16]\).

\section{Organization of Manuscript}

This manuscript is organized as follows. In Section 3, we define the new family introducing its CDF, PDF, and support. In Section 4, we discuss three sub-models according to the support of the new family, there the CDF, PDF, survival function (SF), and hazard function (HF) are highlighted in a plot. In Section 5 we obtain some statistical properties of the new family including quantile function, random number generation, rth non-central moments, and the Renyi entropy. In Section 6, the method of maximum likelihood in estimating model parameters are discussed. In Section 7, a simulation study is conducted to assess the performance of the maximum likelihood method in estimating model parameters. In Section 8, application to three real life data sets are considered, and submodels of the new family are shown to be competitive in fitting real life data. In Section 9, some characterization theorems generalizing the new family are presented in terms of the hazard function of a random variable. Some further research direction concludes the paper in Section 10.
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3 The New Family Defined

3.1 The CDF of the New Family

Suppose random variable $X$ has CDF

$$G(x) = \int_a^{Q_Y(F_R(x))} r_T(t)dt.$$  

Consider the random variable $Z = \frac{1}{X}$. The CDF of $Z$ is

$$F_Z(z) = \mathbb{P}(Z \leq z)$$
$$= \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{X} \leq z\right)$$
$$= \mathbb{P}(X \geq \frac{1}{z})$$
$$= 1 - \mathbb{P}(X < \frac{1}{z})$$
$$= 1 - G\left(\frac{1}{z}\right).$$

So

$$F_Z(z) = 1 - \int_a^{Q_Y\left(F_R\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)\right)} r_T(t)dt = 1 - R_T\left[Q_Y\left(F_R\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)\right)\right].$$

We call the random variable $Z$ with above CDF a generalized inverse type distribution, or the inverse $T - R\{Y\}$ distribution.

3.2 The PDF of the New Family

The PDF of the generalized inverse type distribution is given by

$$f_Z(z) = r_T\left[Q_Y\left(F_R\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)\right)\right] \times q_Y\left(F_R\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)\right) \times \frac{1}{z^2} f_R\left(\frac{1}{z}\right),$$
where

\[ R'_T = r_T, \]
\[ Q'_Y = q_Y, \]
\[ F'_R = f_R. \]

### 3.3 The Support of the New Family

Our discussion is motivated by [1] and [2]. Here we consider three cases:

**Case #1: T has support \([0, \infty)\)**

As recorded in Table 1 [2], in this case we may take \(Y\) as Exponential, Weibull, Rayleigh, Dagum, Lomax, Log-Logistic, and Exponential-Exponential.

**Case #2: T has support \((-\infty, \infty)\)**

As recorded in Table 1 [2], in this case we may take \(Y\) as Cauchy, Extreme Value (Gumbel), Laplace, Logistic, and Generalized Logistic II.

**Case #3: T has support \([0, 1]\) or bounded support in general**

According to [1], we may take \(Q_Y(y) = y\).

In all three cases \(F_R(\frac{1}{z})\) and \(f_R(\frac{1}{z})\) has same support as the support of the distribution associated with the random variable \(R\).
4 Some Sub-Models of the New Family

In this section we introduce three sub-models according to the support of the new family. The PDF, CDF, survival function (SF), and hazard function (HF) are visualized.

Case #1: \( T \) has support \([0, \infty)\)

The proposed sub-model is called the Inverse Standard Exponential-Dagum (Standard Log-Logistic) distribution. The PDF is given by

\[
    f(x; a, b, c) = \frac{abe^{\frac{1}{c}} \left( \left( \frac{1}{c} \right)^{-b} + 1 \right)^a}{x \left( \left( \frac{1}{c} \right)^b + 1 \right) \left( \left( \frac{1}{c} \right)^{-b} + 1 \right)^a}.
\]

The CDF is given by

\[
    F(x; a, b, c) = e^{\frac{1}{c} \left( \frac{1}{c} \right)^{-b} + 1}.
\]

The SF is given by

\[
    SF(x; a, b, c) = 1 - F(x; a, b, c) = 1 - e^{\frac{1}{c} \left( \frac{1}{c} \right)^{-b} + 1}.
\]

and the HF is given by

\[
    HF(x; a, b, c) = \frac{f(x; a, b, c)}{1 - F(x; a, b, c)} = \frac{abe^{\frac{1}{c} \left( \frac{1}{c} \right)^{-b} + 1} \left( \left( \frac{1}{c} \right)^{-b} + 1 \right)^a}{x \left( \left( \frac{1}{c} \right)^b + 1 \right) \left( \left( \frac{1}{c} \right)^{-b} + 1 \right)^a},
\]

where \( x, a, b, c > 0 \). We write

\[
    J \sim \text{ISEDSLL}(a, b, c)
\]
if $J$ is an Inverse Standard Exponential-Dagum\{Standard Log-Logistic\} random variable.
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Figure 1: The CDF(blue), PDF(red), SF(purple), and HF(brown) of ISEDSLL(5.1028,1.22623,0.195919).

Case #2: $T$ has support $(-\infty, \infty)$

The proposed sub-model is called the Inverse Standard Extreme Value-Dagum\{Standard Logistic\} distribution. The PDF is given by

$$k(x; a, b, c) = \frac{abe^{1-\left(\frac{1}{cx}\right)^{-b}+1}}{x\left(\left(\frac{1}{cx}\right)^{-b}+1\right)^{a}}.$$  

The CDF is given by

$$K(x; a, b, c) = 1 - e^{1-\left(\frac{1}{cx}\right)^{-b}+1}.$$  

The SF function is given by

$$SF(x; a, b, c) = 1 - K(x; a, b, c) = 1 - (1 - e^{1-\left(\frac{1}{cx}\right)^{-b}+1})^a.$$
The HF is given by

\[ HF(x; a, b, c) = \frac{k(x; a, b, c)}{K(x; a, b, c)} = \frac{abc \left( \left( \frac{1}{x} \right)^{-b} + 1 \right)^{a} \left( \frac{1}{x} \right)^{-b} + 1}{x \left( \left( \frac{1}{x} \right)^{-b} + 1 \right)^{a}} \]

where \( x, a, b, c > 0 \). We write

\[ JJ \sim ISEVDSL(a, b, c) \]

if \( JJ \) is an Inverse Standard Extreme Value-Dagum\{Standard Logistic\} random variable.

Figure 2: The CDF(blue), PDF(red), SF(purple), and HF(brown) of ISEVDSL(0.526334, 1.88075, 1.04701).

Case #3: \( T \) has support \([0, 1]\) or bounded support in general

The proposed sub-model is called the Inverse Beta(2,2)-Dagum\{Standard Uniform\} distribution. The PDF is given by
\[ w(x; a, b, c) = \frac{6ab \left( \left( \frac{1}{cx} \right)^{-b} + 1 \right)^a - 1 \left( \frac{1}{cx} \right)^{-b} + 1 \right)^{-3a}}{x \left( \frac{1}{cx} \right)^b + 1} \].

The CDF is given by

\[ W(x; a, b, c) = 1 - I \left( \left( \frac{1}{cx} \right)^{-b+1} \right)^{-a}(2, 2). \]

The SF is given by

\[ SF(x; a, b, c) = 1 - W(x; a, b, c) = 1 - \left( 1 - I \left( \left( \frac{1}{cx} \right)^{-b+1} \right)^{-a}(2, 2) \right). \]

The HF is given by

\[ HF(x; a, b, c) = \frac{w(x; a, b, c)}{1 - W(x; a, b, c)} = \frac{6ab \left( \left( \frac{1}{cx} \right)^{-b+1} \right)^a - 1 \left( \frac{1}{cx} \right)^{-b} + 1 \right)^{-3a}}{x \left( \frac{1}{cx} \right)^b + 1} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \left( 1 - I \left( \left( \frac{1}{cx} \right)^{-b+1} \right)^{-a}(2, 2) \right)}, \]

where

\[ I_z(a, b) = \frac{\int_0^z t^{a-1}(1-t)^{b-1} dt}{\int_0^1 t^{a-1}(1-t)^{b-1} dt} \]

and \( x, a, b, c > 0 \). We write

\[ JK \sim IBDSU(a, b, c) \]

if \( JK \) is an Inverse Beta(2,2)-Dagum\{Standard Uniform\} random variable.
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5 Some Mathematical Properties

5.1 Quantile Function

**Theorem 5.1.** The quantile function of the generalized inverse type distribution is given by

\[ Q(p) = \frac{1}{Q_R(F_Y(Q_T(1 - p)))}, \]

where \( 0 < p < 1 \).

**Proof.** With \( 0 < p < 1 \), we solve the following equation for \( Q(p) \)

\[ p = 1 - R_T \left[ Q_Y \left( F_R \left( \frac{1}{Q(p)} \right) \right) \right], \]

where \( Q_R = F_R^{-1}, F_Y^{-1} = Q_Y, \) and \( Q_T = R_T^{-1} \). \( \square \)

5.2 Random Number Generation

If \( U \) is uniform on \((0, 1)\), then random numbers from the generalized inverse type distribution can be obtained using
\[ X = \frac{1}{Q_R(F_Y(Q_T(1-U)))}. \]

### 5.3 \( r \)th Non-Central Moments

Assuming the random variable \( R \) follows the standard log-logistic distribution, then the random variable

\[ X = \frac{F_Y(Q_T(1-U)) - 1}{F_Y(Q_T(1-U))} \]

follows the generalized inverse type standard log-logistic distribution. Thus we have the following

**Theorem 5.2.** The ordinary moments of the inverse type standard log-logistic distribution for \( r \in \mathbb{N} \), can be expressed as

\[ \mu'_r = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{r+k} \binom{r}{k} \left( F_Y(Q_T(1-U)) \right)^{k-r}. \]

**Proof.** By the binomial series we can write

\[ \left( \frac{F_Y(Q_T(1-U)) - 1}{F_Y(Q_T(1-U))} \right)^r \]

as

\[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{r+k} \binom{r}{k} (F_Y(Q_T(1-U)))^{k-r}. \]

Hence the result \( \square \)

### 5.4 Renyi Entropy

By definition the Renyi entropy is defined as

\[ I_R(\delta) = \frac{1}{1 - \delta} \log \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^\delta(z)dz \right], \]

where \( \delta > 0 \) and \( \delta \neq 1 \).
Since the PDF of the inverse type standard log-logistic distribution is given by

\[ f_Z(z) = r_T \left[ Q_Y \left( \frac{1}{1+1} \right) \right] \times q_Y \left( \frac{1}{1+z} \right) \times \frac{1}{(1+z)^2}. \]

The definition immediately above implies the following

**Theorem 5.3.** The Renyi entropy of the generalized inverse type log-logistic distribution can be expressed as

\[ I_R(\delta) = \frac{1}{1-\delta} \log \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ r_T \left[ Q_Y \left( \frac{1}{1+z} \right) \right] \times q_Y \left( \frac{1}{1+z} \right) \times \frac{1}{(1+z)^2} \right\}^{\delta} dz \right], \]

where \( \delta > 0 \) and \( \delta \neq 1 \).

### 6 Parameter Estimation

The method of maximum likelihood is used in this paper to estimate model parameters. Here we discuss this method for the generalized inverse type distribution. Suppose \( x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \) is a random sample of size \( n \) from the generalized inverse type distribution. It can be shown that the total log-likelihood function is given by

\[
\ln L = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \ln \left( r_T \left[ Q_Y \left( F_R \left( \frac{1}{z} : \xi \right) \right) \right] \right) \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \ln \left( q_Y \left( F_R \left( \frac{1}{z} : \xi \right) \right) \right) \right\} \\
+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \ln \left( \frac{1}{z^2} \right) \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \ln \left( f_R \left( \frac{1}{z} : \xi \right) \right) \right\},
\]

where \( \xi \) is a vector of parameters associated with the distribution of the random variable \( R \). Partial differentiation of the total log-likelihood function with respect to model parameters gives the following as the score function.
\[
\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \xi} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{r_T \left( Q_Y \left( F_R \left( \frac{1}{z}; \xi \right) \right) \right) \times q_Y \left( F_R \left( \frac{1}{z}; \xi \right) \right) \times f_R \left( \frac{1}{z}; \xi \right)}{r_T \left[ Q_Y \left( F_R \left( \frac{1}{z}; \xi \right) \right) \right]} + 
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{q_Y \left( F_R \left( \frac{1}{z}; \xi \right) \right) \times f_R \left( \frac{1}{z}; \xi \right)}{q_Y \left( F_R \left( \frac{1}{z}; \xi \right) \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{f_R' \left( \frac{1}{z}; \xi \right)}{f_R \left( \frac{1}{z}; \xi \right)}.
\]

Equating the score function to zero and numerically solving the equation using techniques such as the quasi Newton-Raphson method, gives the maximum likelihood estimates for the model parameters. Let \( \Delta = (\xi) \), for the purposes of constructing confidence intervals for the parameters in the hyperbolic tan-X family of distributions, the observed information matrix, call it \( J(\Delta) \), can be used due to the complex nature of the expected information matrix. The observed information matrix is given by

\[
J(\Delta) = - \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \ln L}{\partial \xi \partial \xi} \right].
\]

When the usual regularity conditions are satisfied and that the parameters are within the interior of the parameter space, but not on the boundary, the distribution of \( \sqrt{n}(\hat{\Delta} - \Delta) \) converges to the multivariate normal distribution \( N_p(0, I^{-1}(\Delta)) \), where \( I(\Delta) \) is the expected information matrix, and it is assumed that \( \xi = (\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_p) \). The asymptotic behavior remains valid when \( I(\Delta) \) is replaced by the observed information matrix evaluated at \( J(\hat{\Delta}) \). The asymptotic multivariate normal distribution \( N_p(0, J^{-1}(\hat{\Delta})) \) is a very useful tool for constructing an approximate \( 100(1 - \psi)\% \) two-sided confidence intervals for the model parameters, where \( \psi \) is the significance level.

7 Simulation Study

In this section we show that the method of maximum likelihood is adequate in estimating the parameters in the generalized inverse type distribution. For this,
A Monte Carlo simulation study is carried out to assess the performance of the estimation method in the ISEVDSL sub-model. Samples of sizes 200, 400, 500, and 700, are drawn from the ISEVDSL distribution, and the samples have been drawn for the following set of parameters

(a) Set I: \((a, b, c) = (0.3, 0.5, 0.7)\),

(b) Set II: \((a, b, c) = (0.7, 0.5, 0.3)\),

(c) Set III: \((a, b, c) = (0.5, 0.5, 0.5)\).

The maximum likelihood estimators for the parameters \(a\), \(b\) and \(c\) are obtained. The procedure has been repeated 400 times, and the mean and standard deviation for the estimates are computed, and the results are summarized in Tables 1-3 below for each of sets I, II and III, respectively, considered above.

Table 1: Result of Simulation Study for Set I.

| Parameter | Sample Size | Average Estimate | Standard Deviation |
|-----------|-------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Parameter a | 200 | 0.3892565 | 0.3328055 |
| | 400 | 0.349296 | 0.2133193 |
| | 500 | 0.3424607 | 0.1929938 |
| | 700 | 0.3191086 | 0.1541398 |
| Parameter b | 200 | 0.5111777 | 0.06877959 |
| | 400 | 0.5048487 | 0.04457237 |
| | 500 | 0.5040079 | 0.04033673 |
| | 700 | 0.5001244 | 0.03365005 |
| Parameter c | 200 | 0.7595461 | 0.2755513 |
| | 400 | 0.7286692 | 0.1859357 |
| | 500 | 0.7165568 | 0.1435993 |
| | 700 | 0.7201309 | 0.1159644 |
From the table above, we find that the simulated estimates are close to the true values of the parameters and hence the estimation method is adequate. We have also observed that the estimated standard deviation consistently decrease with increasing sample size as can been seen by plotting the standard deviation against the sample size.

Table 2: Result of Simulation Study for Set II.

| Sample Size | Parameter a Average Estimate | Parameter a Standard Deviation |
|-------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 200         | 0.8608792                   | 0.6430768                     |
| 400         | 0.7671702                   | 0.3629744                     |
| 500         | 0.7725065                   | 0.3436264                     |
| 700         | 0.7729478                   | 0.2817265                     |

| Sample Size | Parameter b Average Estimate | Parameter b Standard Deviation |
|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 200         | 0.5159465                     | 0.08208287                    |
| 400         | 0.5067538                     | 0.05257483                    |
| 500         | 0.5070602                     | 0.04684715                    |
| 700         | 0.5069424                     | 0.03793475                    |

| Sample Size | Parameter c Average Estimate | Parameter c Standard Deviation |
|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 200         | 0.306919                      | 0.07088208                    |
| 400         | 0.3032652                     | 0.04883596                    |
| 500         | 0.3009655                     | 0.04330803                    |
| 700         | 0.2984207                     | 0.03330566                    |

From the table above, we find that the simulated estimates are close to the true values of the parameters and hence the estimation method is adequate. We have also observed that the estimated standard deviation consistently decrease with increasing sample size as can been seen by plotting the standard deviation against the sample size.

http://www.earthlinepublishers.com
Table 3: Result of Simulation Study for Set III.

| Sample Size | Parameter $a$ Average Estimate | Standard Deviation |
|-------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|
| 200         | 0.6291761                      | 0.5183241          |
| 400         | 0.5504443                      | 0.2885157          |
| 500         | 0.575298                       | 0.2909363          |
| 700         | 0.5474437                      | 0.2274251          |

| Sample Size | Parameter $b$ Average Estimate | Standard Deviation |
|-------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|
| 200         | 0.5107722                      | 0.07200797         |
| 400         | 0.5043487                      | 0.04647903         |
| 500         | 0.5069798                      | 0.04290096         |
| 700         | 0.5038033                      | 0.03537766         |

| Sample Size | Parameter $c$ Average Estimate | Standard Deviation |
|-------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|
| 200         | 0.5287993                      | 0.1546726          |
| 400         | 0.5128494                      | 0.09710285         |
| 500         | 0.5029422                      | 0.08321209         |
| 700         | 0.5037321                      | 0.07232243         |

From the table above, we find that the simulated estimates are close to the true values of the parameters and hence the estimation method is adequate. We have also observed that the estimated standard deviation consistently decrease with increasing sample size as can been seen by plotting the standard deviation against the sample size.

Overall the simulation study conducted, indicated that using the method of maximum likelihood in estimating model parameters is adequate.

8 Applications

We study some new generalizations of the inverse Dagum Distribution.
8.1 Data Set #1

The proposed sub-model is called the Inverse Standard Exponential-Dagum {Standard Log-Logistic} distribution. The first application is a real data set given by [17]. It consists of thirty successive values of March precipitation (in inches) in Minneapolis/St Paul as recorded in [18].

8.1.1 The PDF of the Proposed Sub-Model

\[
f(x; a, b, c) = \frac{abe}{x} \frac{1}{\left[ \left( \frac{1}{x^c} \right) + 1 \right]} \left( \frac{1}{(x^c)^b + 1} + 1 \right)^a x^{(\frac{1}{x^c})^b + 1} \left( \left( \frac{1}{(x^c)^b + 1} \right)^a - 1 \right)^2,
\]

where \(x, a, b, c > 0\).

8.1.2 The CDF of the Proposed Sub-Model

\[
F(x; a, b, c) = e^{1 - \left( \frac{1}{x^c} \right)^{b+1}},
\]

where \(x, a, b, c > 0\).

8.1.3 The Competitor

The competing model is called the Inverse Standard Extreme Value-Dagum {Standard Logistic} distribution. The PDF is given by

\[
k(x; a, b, c) = \frac{abe}{x} \frac{1}{\left( \frac{1}{x^c} \right)^b + 1} \left( \frac{1}{(x^c)^b + 1} + 1 \right)^a x^{\left( \frac{1}{x^c} \right)^b + 1} \left( \left( \frac{1}{(x^c)^b + 1} \right)^a - 1 \right)^2,
\]

where \(x, a, b, c > 0\), and the CDF is given by

\[
K(x; a, b, c) = 1 - e^{1 - \left( \frac{1}{x^c} \right)^{b+1}},
\]

where \(x, a, b, c > 0\).
Using the R software, we report below in Table 4, the estimates for the parameters in each of the two distributions alongside their standard errors.

Table 4: Estimates for the parameter of fitted distribution.

| Distribution | Parameters | Estimates | Standard error |
|--------------|------------|-----------|----------------|
| ISEDSLL      | \( \hat{a} \) | 41.80316368 | 49.74838160 |
|              | \( \hat{b} \) | 1.14765052  | 0.12907628  |
|              | \( \hat{c} \) | 0.02635994  | 0.02868664  |
| ISEVDSL      | \( \hat{a} \) | 0.4560337   | 0.3066097   |
|              | \( \hat{b} \) | 2.4955535   | 0.8924501   |
|              | \( \hat{c} \) | 0.9265444   | 0.3951511   |

The fitted CDF and PDF of ISEDSLL to the March precipitation data using the above table is shown below

![Figure 4: The CDF and PDF of ISEDSLL to the March precipitation data.](image)

and the fitted CDF and PDF of ISEVDSL are shown below

![Figure 4: The CDF and PDF of ISEVDSL to the March precipitation data.](image)
Figure 5: The CDF and PDF of ISEVDSL to the March precipitation data.

The measures of goodness of fit we consider include Bayesian information criterion (BIC), negative Log-Likelihood, Cramer von-Misses (W), Anderson Darling (A), KS (Kolmogorov Smirnov), AIC (Akaike’s Information Criterion), CAIC (Consistent Akaike’s Information Criterion), and HQIC (Hannan-Quinn information criterion), and they are reported in Table 5. Whilst it appears from the fits above, that all the distributions are competitive in fitting the March precipitation data, Table 5 reveals that the ISEVDSL distribution is most compatible with this data set, and hence can be considered the best in this instance.

Table 5: Goodness of fit measures.

|          | ISEDSL | ISEVDSL |
|----------|--------|---------|
| W        | 0.04518177 | 0.01436276 |
| A        | 0.2824271  | 0.1062863  |
| KS statistic | 0.12341  | 0.060637   |
| KS p-value | 0.7508   | 0.9999     |
| AIC      | 83.50382  | 82.31917   |
| CAIC     | 84.4269   | 83.24224   |
| BIC      | 87.70742  | 86.52276   |
| HQIC     | 84.84859  | 83.66393   |
| -Log(likelihood) | 38.75191 | 38.15958   |
8.2 Data Set #2

The proposed sub-model is called the Inverse Standard Extreme Value-Dagum \{Standard Logistic\} distribution. The second application is given by [19]. The data refers to the time between failures for repairable items as recorded in [18].

8.2.1 The PDF of the Proposed Sub-Model

\[
k(x; a, b, c) = abe^{1-\left(\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{b}+1\right)^{a}}\left(\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{-b}+1\right)^{a}\left\{\frac{1}{x}\right\}^{b},
\]

where \(x, a, b, c > 0\).

8.2.2 The CDF of the Proposed Sub-Model

\[
K(x; a, b, c) = 1 - e^{1-\left(\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{b}+1\right)^{a}},
\]

where \(x, a, b, c > 0\).

8.2.3 The Competitor

The competing model is called the Inverse Beta(2,2)-Dagum\{Standard Uniform\} distribution. The PDF is given by

\[
w(x; a, b, c) = 6ab\left(\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{-b}+1\right)^{a}\left(\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{-b}+1\right)^{-3a}\left\{\frac{1}{x}\right\}^{b},
\]

where \(x, a, b, c > 0\), and the CDF is given by

\[
W(x; a, b, c) = 1 - I\left(\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{-b}+1\right)^{a}(2, 2),
\]

where

\[
I_{x}(a, b) = \frac{\int_{0}^{x} t^{a-1}(1-t)^{b-1}dt}{\int_{0}^{1} t^{a-1}(1-t)^{b-1}dt}
\]

and \(x, a, b, c > 0\).
Using the R software, we report below in Table 6, the estimates for the parameters in each of the two distributions alongside their standard errors.

| Distribution | Parameters | Estimates   | Standard error |
|--------------|------------|-------------|----------------|
| ISEVDSL      | \( \hat{a} \) | 0.526420    | 0.3552995      |
|              | \( \hat{b} \) | 1.880821    | 0.6403359      |
|              | \( \hat{c} \) | 1.046672    | 0.5831751      |
| IBDSU        | \( \hat{a} \) | 14.15461481 | 29.53868234    |
|              | \( \hat{b} \) | 1.03405481  | 0.15222980     |
|              | \( \hat{c} \) | 0.04336123  | 0.09835706     |

The fitted CDF and PDF of ISEVDSL to the repairable items data using the above table are shown below

![Figure 6: The CDF and PDF of ISEVDSL to the repairable items data.](http://www.earthlinepublishers.com)

and the fitted CDF and PDF of IBDSU are shown below

---
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The measures of goodness of fit we consider include Bayesian information criterion (BIC), negative Log-Likelihood, Cramer von-Misses (W), Anderson Darling (A), KS (Kolmogorov Smirnov), AIC (Akaike’s Information Criterion), CAIC (Consistent Akaike’s Information Criterion), and HQIC (Hannan-Quinn information criterion), and they are reported in Table 7. Whilst it appears from the fits above, that all the distributions are competitive in fitting the repairable items data, Table 7 reveals that the ISEVDSL distribution is most compatible with this data set, and hence can be considered the best in this instance.

Table 7: Goodness of fit measures.

|                  | ISEVDSL     | IBDSU      |
|------------------|-------------|------------|
| W                | 0.01732601  | 0.01757459 |
| A                | 0.1290585   | 0.1323956  |
| KS statistic     | 0.06792     | 0.065782   |
| KS p-value       | 0.9991      | 0.9995     |
| AIC              | 85.19467    | 85.25216   |
| CAIC             | 86.11775    | 86.17524   |
| BIC              | 89.39826    | 89.45575   |
| HQIC             | 86.53943    | 86.59692   |
| -Log(likelihood) | 39.59733    | 39.62608   |
8.3 Data Set #3

The proposed sub-model is called the Inverse Beta(2,2)-Dagum\{Standard Uniform\} distribution. The third application is the vinyl chloride data obtained from clean upgrading, monitoring wells in mg/L; this data set was used by [20] and is recorded in [13].

8.3.1 The PDF of the Proposed Sub-Model

\[ w(x; a, b, c) = \frac{6ab\left(\left(\frac{1}{cx}\right)^{-b} + 1\right)^a - 1\left(\frac{1}{cx}\right)^{-b} + 1\right)^{-3a}}{x\left(\frac{1}{cx}\right)^{b} + 1}, \]

where \(x, a, b, c > 0\).

8.3.2 The CDF of the Proposed Sub-Model

\[ W(x; a, b, c) = 1 - I_{\left(\frac{1}{cx}\right)^{-b} + 1, a}(2, 2), \]

where

\[ I_z(a, b) = \frac{\int_{0}^{z} t^{a-1}(1 - t)^{b-1}dt}{\int_{0}^{1} t^{a-1}(1 - t)^{b-1}dt}, \]

where \(x, a, b, c > 0\).

8.3.3 The Competitor

The competing model is called the Inverse Standard Exponential-Dagum\{Standard Log-Logistic\} distribution. The PDF is given by

\[ f(x; a, b, c) = \frac{abe^{-\left(\frac{1}{cx}\right)^{x+1}}\left(\frac{1}{cx}\right)^{-b} + 1\right)^a}{x\left(\frac{1}{cx}\right)^{b} + 1\left(\left(\frac{1}{cx}\right)^{-b} + 1\right)^a - 1\right)^2}, \]

where \(x, a, b, c > 0\), and the CDF is given by

\[ \text{http://www.earthlinepublishers.com} \]
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\[ F(x; a, b, c) = e^{\frac{1}{1-x^{-1(b-c+1)}}}, \]

where \( x, a, b, c > 0 \).

Using the R software, we report below in Table 8, the estimates for the parameters in each of the two distributions alongside their standard errors.

| Distribution | Parameters | Estimates | Standard error |
|--------------|------------|-----------|----------------|
| IBDSU        | \( \hat{a} \) | 9.5796402 | 12.77780197    |
|              | \( \hat{b} \) | 0.7239137 | 0.09656385     |
|              | \( \hat{c} \) | 0.0227487 | 0.04812812     |
| ISEDSLL      | \( \hat{a} \) | 22.427212314 | 13.178166097 |
|              | \( \hat{b} \) | 0.662065711 | 0.067428760    |
|              | \( \hat{c} \) | 0.007888539 | 0.006353141    |

The fitted CDF and PDF of IBDSU to the vinyl chloride data using the above table are shown below

![Figure 8: The CDF and PDF of IBDSU to the vinyl chloride data.](image)

and the fitted CDF and PDF of ISEDSLL are shown below
Figure 9: The CDF and PDF of ISEDSLL to the vinyl chloride data.

The measures of goodness of fit we consider include Bayesian information
criterion (BIC), negative Log-Likelihood, Cramer von-Misses (W), Anderson
Darling (A), KS (Kolmogorov Smirnov), AIC (Akaike Information Criterion),
CAIC (Consistent Akaike Information Criterion), and HQIC (Hannan-Quinn
information criterion), and they are reported in Table 9. Whilst it appears from
the fits above, that all the distributions are competitive in fitting the vinyl chloride
data, Table 9 reveals that the IBDSU distribution is most compatible with this
data set, and hence can be considered the best in this instance.

Table 9: Goodness of fit measures.

|                      | IBDSU        | ISEDSLL      |
|----------------------|--------------|--------------|
| W                    | 0.03134333   | 0.03845091   |
| A                    | 0.2063411    | 0.3086084    |
| KS statistic         | 0.082004     | 0.10125      |
| KS p-value           | 0.9762       | 0.8768       |
| AIC                  | 116.0194     | 116.7265     |
| CAIC                 | 116.8194     | 117.5265     |
| BIC                  | 120.5985     | 121.3056     |
| HQIC                 | 117.581      | 118.2881     |
| -Log(likelihood)     | 55.00969     | 55.36324     |
9 Characterization Theorems

In this section, we present two generalizations of the generalized inverse type distributions using the hazard rate function.

9.1 Hazard Function Characterization I

It is well known that the hazard function, \( h_F \), of a twice differentiable function, \( F \), satisfies the first order differential equation

\[
\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} = \frac{h'_F(x)}{h_F(x)} - h_F(x).
\]

In this section we present a Kumaraswamy-generalized inverse type distribution. The result here is inspired by [21]. First let us introduce the following

**Definition 9.1.** We say a random variable \( X \) follows a Kumaraswamy-G type distribution if its CDF is given by

\[
F(x; \xi) = 1 - (1 - G(x; \xi))^2,
\]

where \( G \) is some baseline distribution, \( x \in \text{Supp}(G) \), and \( \xi \) is a vector of parameters in the baseline distribution whose support depends on \( G \).

**Remark 9.2.** Note that if we take \( \lambda = 1 \) and \( \varphi = 2 \) in equation (1) of [22], then we get the CDF in the above definition.

The PDF of the Kumaraswamy-G type distribution is given by

\[
f(x; \xi) = 2g(x; \xi)(1 - G(x; \xi)),
\]

where \( g \) is the PDF of the baseline distribution. Clearly the hazard rate function of the Kumaraswamy-G type distribution is given by

\[
h(x; \xi) = \frac{2g(x; \xi)}{(1 - G(x; \xi))}.
\]
Theorem 9.3. Let $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous random variable. The PDF of $X$ is

$$2g(x; \xi)(1 - G(x; \xi))$$

for some baseline distribution with PDF $g$ and CDF $G$ if and only if its hazard rate function $h(x)$ satisfies the following differential equation

$$h'(x) - \frac{g'(x)}{g(x)}h(x) = \frac{2g(x)^2}{(1 - G(x))^2}$$

with boundary condition $h(0) = 2g(0)$.

Proof. If $X$ has PDF as stated in the theorem, then the differential equation as stated holds. Now if the stated differential equation holds, then

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left\{g(x)^{-1}h(x)\right\} = 2\frac{d}{dx}\left\{(1 - G(x))^{-1}\right\}$$

which implies

$$h(x) = \frac{2g(x)}{1 - G(x)}$$

which is the hazard rate function of the Kumaraswamy-$G$ type distribution. □

Clearly, a characterization of the Kumaraswamy-generalized inverse type distribution is obtained from the above theorem by letting the baseline PDF be given as in Section 3.2, and letting the baseline CDF be given as in Section 3.1.

9.2 Hazard Function Characterization II

It is well known that the hazard function, $h_F$, of a twice differentiable function, $F$, satisfies the first order differential equation

$$\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} = \frac{h'_F(x)}{h_F(x)} - h_F(x).$$

In this section we present a Weibull-generalized inverse type distribution. The result here is inspired by [23]. First let us introduce the following
**Definition 9.4.** We say a random variable $X$ follows a Weibull-$G$ distribution if its CDF is given by

$$F(x; \xi) = 1 - e^{-\left(\frac{G(x; \xi)}{G(x; \xi)}\right)^\alpha},$$

where $G$ is some baseline distribution, $x \in \text{Supp}(G)$, and $\xi$ is a vector of parameters in the baseline distribution whose support depends on $G$, and $\alpha > 0$, and $\overline{G} = 1 - G$.

The PDF of the Weibull-$G$ distribution is given by

$$f(x; \xi) = \alpha g(x; \xi) \frac{G(x; \xi)^{\alpha-1}}{G(x; \xi)^{\alpha+1}} e^{-\left(\frac{G(x; \xi)}{G(x; \xi)}\right)^\alpha},$$

where $g$ is the PDF of the baseline distribution. Clearly the hazard rate function of the Weibull-$G$ distribution is given by

$$h_F(x; \xi) = \alpha g(x; \xi) \frac{G(x; \xi)^{\alpha-1}}{G(x; \xi)^{\alpha+1}}.$$

**Theorem 9.5.** Let $X : \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous random variable. The PDF of $X$ is

$$\alpha g(x; \xi) \frac{G(x; \xi)^{\alpha-1}}{G(x; \xi)^{\alpha+1}} e^{-\left(\frac{G(x; \xi)}{G(x; \xi)}\right)^\alpha}$$

for some baseline distribution with PDF $g$, CDF $G$, $\alpha > 0$, and $\overline{G} = 1 - G$, if and only if its hazard rate function $h_F(x)$ satisfies the following differential equation

$$h'_F(x) - g'(x)g(x)^{-1}h_F(x) = \alpha g(x) \frac{d}{dx} \frac{G(x)^{\alpha-1}}{G(x)^{\alpha+1}}$$

with $x \in \mathbb{R}$, with initial condition $h_F(0) = 0$ for $\alpha > 1$.

**Proof.** If $X$ has PDF as stated in the theorem, then the differential equation as stated in the theorem holds. Now if the stated differential equation holds, then

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left( g(x)^{-1}h_F(x) \right) = \alpha \frac{d}{dx} \frac{G(x)^{\alpha-1}}{G(x)^{\alpha+1}}.$$
or

\[ h_F(x; \xi) = \alpha g(x; \xi) \frac{G(x; \xi)^{\alpha - 1}}{G(x; \xi)^{\alpha + 1}} \]

which is the hazard function of Weibull-\(G\)

Clearly, a characterization of the Weibull-generalized inverse type distribution is obtained from the above theorem by letting the baseline PDF and CDF be given as in Section 3.2, and Section 3.1, respectively.

10 Further Recommendation

In the sense of [24] and [25], the “CDF” of the quantile generated family of distributions is given by

\[ Q_T[V[F(x)]] \]

where \( Q_T \) is a quantile function, \( V \) is an appropriate weight depending on the support of \( T \), and \( F(x) \) is some baseline distribution. The truncated distribution in the sense of [26] has CDF

\[ \frac{Q_T[V[F(x)]] - Q_T[V(0)]}{Q_T[V(1)] - Q_T[V(0)]}. \]

Suppose the random variable \( X \) has CDF given as above, and consider the random variable \( Z = \frac{1}{X} \), the CDF of \( Z \) is given by

\[ F_Z(z) = 1 - \frac{Q_T[V[F(1/z)]] - Q_T[V(0)]}{Q_T[V(1)] - Q_T[V(0)]}. \]

We call the random variable \( Z \) with the above CDF the inverse truncated quantile generated random variable. Obviously the PDF can be obtained by differentiating the CDF. A future interesting problem is to obtain some properties and applications of the inverse truncated quantile generated family of probability distributions.

http://www.earthlinepublishers.com
References

[1] Ayman Alzaatreh, Carl Lee and Felix Famoye, A new method for generating families of continuous distributions, METRON 71 (2013), 63-79. 
https://doi.org/10.1007/s40300-013-0007-y

[2] Mohammad A. Aljarrah, Carl Lee and Felix Famoye, On generating T-X family of distributions using quantile functions, J. Stat. Distrib. App. 1 (2014), Article No. 2. 
https://doi.org/10.1186/2195-5832-1-2

[3] Amal Soliman Hassan, Elsayed Ahmed Elsherpieny and Rokaya Elmorsy Mohamed, Odds generalized exponential-inverse Weibull distribution: properties and estimation, Pak. J. Stat. Oper. Res. 14(1) (2018), 1-22.

[4] J. A. Rodrigues, A. P. C. M. Silva and G. G. Hamedani, The exponentiated Kumaraswamy inverse Weibull distribution with application in survival analysis, Journal of Statistical Theory and Applications 15(1) (2016), 8-24. 
https://doi.org/10.2991/jsta.2016.15.1.2

[5] Muhammad Shuaib Khan and Robert King, New generalized inverse Weibull distribution for lifetime modeling, Communications for Statistical Applications and Methods 23 (2016), 147-161. 
https://doi.org/10.5351/CSAM.2016.23.2.147

[6] Abdulkareem M. Basheer, Alpha power inverse Weibull distribution with reliability application, Journal of Taibah University for Science 13(1) (2019), 423-432. 
https://doi.org/10.1080/16583655.2019.1588488

[7] Broderick O. Oluyede and Tao Yang, Generalizations of the inverse Weibull and related distributions with application, Electronic Journal of Applied Statistical Analysis 7(1) (2014), 94-116. 
https://doi.org/10.1285/120705948v7nlp94

[8] C. Satheesh Kumar and Subha R. Nair, An extended version of Kumaraswamy inverse Weibull distribution and its properties, STATISTICA LXXVI(3) (2016), 249-272.

[9] C. Satheesh Kumar and S. H. S. Dharmaja, Inverse Kies distribution: properties and applications, South African Statistical Journal 51(1) (2017), 45-65.

[10] Ogunde Adebisi Ade, Chukwu Angela Unna and Agwuegbo Samuel Obi-Nnamdi, The characterization of the cubic rank inverse Weibull distribution, Asian Research
Journal of Mathematics 16(7) (2020), 20-33.
https://doi.org/10.9734/arjom/2020/v16i730200

[11] I. Elbatal and Hiba Z. Muhammed, Exponentiated generalized inverse Weibull distribution, Applied Mathematical Sciences 8(81) (2014), 3997-4012.
https://doi.org/10.12988/ams.2014.44267

[12] Hassan M. Okasha, A. H. El-Baz and Abdulkareem M. Basheer, On Marshall-Olkin extended inverse Weibull distribution: properties and estimation using Type-II censoring data, J. Stat. Appl. Pro. Lett. 7(1) (2020), 9-21.
https://doi.org/10.18576/jsapl/070102

[13] Salman Abbas, Syed Ali Taqi, Fakhar Mustafa, Maryam Murtaza and Muhammad Qaiser Shahbaz, Topp-Leone inverse Weibull distribution: theory and application, European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics 10(5) (2017), 1005-1022.

[14] Kawser Fatima, Uzma Jan and S. P. Ahmad, Transmuted Weibull-inverse exponential distribution with applications to medical science and engineering, Progress in Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaos 6(1) (2018), 49-64.

[15] B. O. Oluyede, B. Makubate, D. Wanduku, I. Elbatal and V. Sherina, The gamma-generalized inverse Weibull distribution with applications to pricing and lifetime data, Journal of Computations and Modelling 7(2) (2017), 1-28.

[16] Arwa M. Alshangiti, M. Kayid and M. Almulhim, Reliability analysis of extended generalized inverted exponential distribution with applications, Journal of Systems Engineering and Electronics 27(2) (2016), 484-492.
https://doi.org/10.1109/JSEE.2016.00051

[17] D. Hinkley, On quick choice of power transformations, Journal of the Royal Statistical Series C (Applied Statistics) 26(1) (1977), 67-69.
https://doi.org/10.2307/2346869

[18] A. M. Abd AL-Fattah, A. A. EL-Helbawy and G. R. AL-Dayian, Inverted Kumaraswamy distribution: properties and estimation, Pak. J. Statist. 33(1) (2017), 37-61.

[19] D. N. P. Murthy, M. Xie and R. Jiang, Weibull Models, Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 2004.

http://www.earthlinepublishers.com
[20] D. K. Bhaumik, K. Kapur and R. D. Gibbons, Testing parameters of a gamma distribution for small samples, *Technometrics* 51 (2009), 326-334. [https://doi.org/10.1198/tech.2009.07038]

[21] Haitham M. Yousof, Morad Alizadeh, S. M. A. Jahanshahi, Thiago G. Ramires, Indranil Ghosh and G. G. Hamedani, The transmuted Topp-Leone G family of distributions: theory, characterizations and applications, *Journal of Data Science* 15 (2017), 723-740.

[22] Marcelino A. R. de Pascoa, Edwin M. M. Ortega and Gauss M. Cordeiro, The Kumaraswamy generalized gamma distribution with application in survival analysis, *Statistical Methodology* 8 (2011) 411-433. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stamet.2011.04.001]

[23] Morad Alizadeh, Mahdi Rasekhi, Haitham M. Yousof and G. G. Hamedani, The transmuted Weibull-G family of distributions, *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics* 47(6) (2018), 1671-1689. [https://doi.org/10.15672/hjms.2017.440]

[24] Clement Boateng Ampadu, *New Classes of Quantile Generated Distributions: Statistical Measures, Model Fit and Characterizations*, Lulu Press Inc., 2020. ISBN: 9781678166670

[25] Clement Ampadu, *Results in Distribution Theory and Its Applications Inspired by Quantile Generated Probability Distributions*, Lulu Press Inc., 2018. ISBN: 9780359249954

[26] Abbas Mahdavi and Giovanna Oliveria Silva, A method to expand families of continuous distributions based on truncated distributions, *J. Statist. Res. Iran* 13 (2016), 231-247. [https://doi.org/10.18869/acadpub.jsri.13.2.231]

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted, use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, or format for any purpose, even commercially provided the work is properly cited.