On the number of generators needed for free profinite products of finite groups

Miklós Abért and Pál Hegedűs

March 30, 2022

Abstract

We provide lower estimates on the minimal number of generators of the profinite completion of free products of finite groups. In particular, we show that if \( C_1, \ldots, C_n \) are finite cyclic groups then there exists a finite group \( G \) which is generated by isomorphic copies of \( C_1, \ldots, C_n \) and the minimal number of generators of \( G \) is \( n \).

1 Introduction

For a group \( G \) let \( d(G) \) denote the minimal number of generators for \( G \). If \( G \) is a profinite group then we mean topological generation rather than the abstract one. Let \( \hat{G} \) denote the profinite completion of \( G \); trivially \( d(\hat{G}) \leq d(G) \). The first finitely generated residually finite examples where the two quantities are different were found by Noskov [Nos]. His examples were metabelian and he also showed that for these groups we have

\[
d(G) \leq (t^2 + 5t + 2)/2 \text{ with } t = d(\hat{G}).
\]

An old question of Melnikov [Kou, 6.31] asked whether \( d(G) \) is always bounded by a function of \( d(\hat{G}) \) for a residually finite, finitely generated group \( G \). This
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has been recently answered negatively by Wise \[\text{[Wi]}\] but is still open for linear groups.

Another class of groups where passing to profinite completion may imply a drop in the minimal number of generators is free products of finite groups. Let \(G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_n\) be finite groups, let \(s = \max_i d(G_i)\) and let

\[
\Gamma = G_1 \ast G_2 \ast \cdots \ast G_n
\]

be the free product of the \(G_i\). Then the so-called Grushko-Neumann theorem (see \[\text{[Gru]}\] and \[\text{[Neu]}\]) says that \(d(\Gamma) = \sum_i d(G_i)\). On the other hand, Kovács and Sim \[\text{[KoS]}\] showed that if the \(G_i\) are solvable and have pairwise coprime orders then \(d(\tilde{\Gamma}) \leq n + s - 1\), where \(\tilde{\Gamma}\) denotes the prosolvable completion of \(\Gamma\). In the language of finite groups this translates to stating that if \(G\) is a finite solvable group which is generated by subgroups isomorphic to \(G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_n\), then \(d(G) \leq n + s - 1\) (see \[\text{[RiW]}\]).

This was followed by work of Lucchini \[\text{[Lu1]}\] who, using the Classification of Finite Simple Groups, showed that there exists an absolute constant \(c\) such that if the \(G_i\) have pairwise coprime orders and \(n > 2\) then

\[
d(\tilde{\Gamma}) \leq (1 + \frac{4c}{3})(n - 1) + 2s + c.
\]

It is conjectured that if the \(G_i\) have pairwise coprime orders then in fact

\[
d(\tilde{\Gamma}) = n + s - 1.
\]

The upper bound \(d(\tilde{\Gamma}) \leq n + s\) is proved by Lucchini in \[\text{[Lu1]}\] Theorem C] in the case when the \(G_i\) are \(p_i\)-groups for distinct primes \(p_i\).

The aim of this paper is to support general lower bounds for \(d(\tilde{\Gamma})\). For some special families of finite groups this has been done by Kovacs and Sim \[\text{[KoS]}\]. The first estimate of this type works for arbitrary finite groups and trivially implies \(d(\Gamma) \leq d(\tilde{\Gamma})^2\).

**Theorem 1** Let \(G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_n\) be finite groups and let \(\Gamma = G_1 \ast G_2 \ast \cdots \ast G_n\). Then

\[
d(\tilde{\Gamma}) \geq n.
\]
In particular, if all the $G_i$ are nontrivial cyclic, then we have the equality $d(\hat{\Gamma}) = n$, proving the above conjecture for the case $s = 1$. In fact, as Proposition 8 shows, already $d(\hat{\Gamma}) = n$.

Note that the weaker estimate

$$d(\hat{\Gamma}) \geq n - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{|G_i|}$$

can be proved in various ways. E.g. it is immediate from the following observation, which may be interesting in itself.

**Proposition 2** Let $\Gamma$ be a finitely presented residually finite group. Then

$$d(\hat{\Gamma}) \geq b_1^{(2)}(\Gamma) + 1$$

where $b_1^{(2)}(\Gamma)$ denotes the first $L_2$-Betti number of $\Gamma$.

Note that by the definition of $L_2$-Betti numbers $d(\Gamma) \geq b_1^{(2)}(\Gamma) + 1$ for arbitrary groups [Luc].

Our second theorem involves $s$ in the lower estimate in the following form.

**Theorem 3** Let $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_n$ be finite groups, let $\Gamma = G_1 * G_2 * \cdots * G_n$ and let

$$s' = \max(d(G_i/G'_i))$$

Then

$$d(\hat{\Gamma}) \geq n + s' - 1.$$ 

In particular, if all the $G_i$ are nilpotent then $d(\hat{\Gamma}) \geq n + s - 1$ which sets the conjectured lower bound. If moreover the $G_i$ are $p_i$-groups for distinct primes $p_i$, then using Lucchini’s upper bound we get

$$n + s - 1 \leq d(\hat{\Gamma}) \leq n + s.$$ 

For groups of pairwise coprime order where the minimal number of generators is not witnessed by the abelianization, we are unable to set the conjectured lower bound in general, but in the case $s = 2$ we can show that it is the best possible one can hope for.
**Theorem 4** For every \( n \) there exist solvable groups \( G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_n \) of pairwise coprime order such that \( d(G_i) = 2 \), \( G_i/G'_i \) is cyclic (\( 1 \leq i \leq n \)) and for \( \Gamma = G_1 \ast G_2 \ast \cdots \ast G_n \) we have \( d\left( \hat{\Gamma} \right) \geq n + 1 \).

**2 Proofs**

First we prove Proposition 2. Note that this is independent of the rest of the paper and it provides a weaker bound than the one obtained with our main method. However, it readily generalizes to all classes of groups where we can compute the first \( L_2 \) Betti number, e.g., to amalgamated products.

**Proof of Proposition 2.** Let \( N_1 \triangleleft \Gamma \) be a normal subgroup of finite index such that \( d(\hat{\Gamma}) = d(\Gamma/N) \). Let

\[
\Gamma = N_0 \geq N_1 \geq N_2 \geq \ldots
\]

be an infinite chain of normal subgroups of \( \Gamma \) of finite index such that \( \cap_i N_i = 1 \). Let \( K_i = N'_i N_i^2 \), where \( N'_i \) denotes the derived subgroup and \( N_i^2 \) is the normal subgroup generated by all squares in \( N_i \) (\( i \geq 0 \)). Let \( G_i = \Gamma/N_i \) and let \( H_i = \Gamma/K_i \) (\( i \geq 0 \)). Let \( d_i \) denote the torsion-free rank of the abelianization of \( N_i \) (or in other words, the first homology of \( N_i \)). Using a theorem of Lück [Luc], we have

\[
\beta_1^2(\Gamma) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{d_n}{|G_n|}
\]

Now \( N_i/K_i \) is an elementary Abelian 2-group and

\[
d(N_i/K_i) \geq d_i \ (i \geq 0).
\]

The index of \( N_i/K_i \) in \( H_i \) is \( |G_i| \) and so using the Nielsen-Schreier theorem we have

\[
d(N_i/K_i) \leq (d(H_i) - 1) |G_i| + 1 \ (i \geq 0)
\]

which gives us

\[
d(H_i) \geq \frac{d(N_i/K_i) - 1}{|G_i|} + 1 \geq \frac{d_i - 1}{|G_i|} + 1 \ (i \geq 0)
\]
Since \( \cap_i K_i \leq \cap_i N_i = 1 \) and \( |G_n| \to \infty \), we have

\[
d(\hat{\Gamma}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(H_i) \geq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{d_n - 1}{|G_n|} + 1 = \beta_1^2(\Gamma) + 1
\]

The proposition holds. \( \square \)

Now we start building towards Theorem 1 and Theorem 3.

Let \( \Gamma \) be a finitely generated group and \( H \) a finite group. Let \( \text{Hom}(\Gamma, H) \) denote the set of homomorphisms from \( \Gamma \) to \( H \). Then \( \text{Hom}(\Gamma, H) \) is finite. Let

\[
h(\Gamma, H) = \frac{\log |\text{Hom}(\Gamma, H)|}{\log |H|}
\]

The number \( h(\Gamma, H) \) will be the key notion of this paper. Let

\[
K(\Gamma, H) = \bigcap_{\varphi \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, H)} \ker \varphi
\]

and let the quotient group

\[
G(\Gamma, H) = \Gamma / K(\Gamma, H).
\]

Since \( K(\Gamma, H) \) can be obtained as a finite intersection of subgroups of finite index, \( G(\Gamma, H) \) is a finite image of \( \Gamma \). Also, each homomorphism from \( \Gamma \) to \( H \) factors through \( K(\Gamma, H) \), so we have

\[
|\text{Hom}(\Gamma, H)| = |\text{Hom}(G(\Gamma, H), H)|
\]

implying

\[
h(G(\Gamma, H), H) = h(\Gamma, H).
\]

The following two basic lemmas are needed later.

**Lemma 5** Let \( \Gamma_i \ (1 \leq i \leq n) \) be finitely generated groups and let \( H \) be a finite group. Then

\[
h(\Gamma_1 \ast \Gamma_2 \ast \cdots \ast \Gamma_n, H) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} h(\Gamma_i, H)
\]
Proof. By the definition of a free product, for every set of homomorphisms \( \varphi_i \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma_i, H) \) (1 \( \leq i \leq n \)) there exists a unique homomorphism \( \varphi \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma_1 \ast \Gamma_2 \ast \cdots \ast \Gamma_n, H) \) such that the restriction of \( \varphi \) to \( \Gamma_i \) equals \( \varphi_i \) (1 \( \leq i \leq n \)). Hence

\[
|\text{Hom}(\Gamma_1 \ast \Gamma_2 \ast \cdots \ast \Gamma_n, H)| = \prod_{i=1}^{n} |\text{Hom}(\Gamma_i, H)|
\]

implying

\[
h(\Gamma_1 \ast \Gamma_2 \ast \cdots \ast \Gamma_n, H) = \frac{\log |\text{Hom}(\Gamma_1 \ast \Gamma_2 \ast \cdots \ast \Gamma_n, H)|}{\log |H|} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(|\text{Hom}(\Gamma_i, H)|)}{\log |H|} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} h(\Gamma_i, H)
\]

as claimed. \( \square \)

Lemma 6 Let \( \Gamma \) be a finitely generated group and let \( H \) be a finite group. Then

\[
h(\Gamma, H^n) = h(\Gamma, H)
\]

for all natural numbers \( n \).

Proof. A function \( \varphi : \Gamma \to H^n \) is a homomorphism if and only if all the coordinate functions of \( \varphi \) are homomorphisms into \( H \). Thus \( |\text{Hom}(\Gamma, H^n)| = |\text{Hom}(\Gamma, H)|^n \) which implies the statement. \( \square \)

The following lemma establishes a connection between the function \( h \) and the minimal number of generators for the profinite completion.

Lemma 7 Let \( G_i \) be finite groups (1 \( \leq i \leq n \)) and let

\[
\Gamma = G_1 \ast G_2 \ast \cdots \ast G_n.
\]

Then

\[
d(\hat{\Gamma}) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} h(G_i, H)
\]

for any finite group \( H \).
Proof. If \( G \) is an arbitrary homomorphic image of \( \Gamma \) then \( \text{Hom}(G, H) \leq \text{Hom}(\Gamma, H) \) and so we have \( h(G, H) \leq h(\Gamma, H) \) as well. In particular for \( d = d(G) \) we have

\[
  h(G, H) \leq h(F_d, H) = \frac{\log |\text{Hom}(F_d, H)|}{\log |H|} = \frac{\log(|H|^d)}{\log |H|} = d
\]

Using this and Lemma \( \text{[5]} \) we have

\[
  d(\hat{\Gamma}) \geq d(G(\Gamma, H)) \geq h(G(\Gamma, H), H) = h(\Gamma, H) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} h(G_i, H)
\]
as claimed. \( \Box \)

So in order to obtain a lower bound on \( d(\hat{\Gamma}) \) we have to find a target group \( H \), such that all the \( G_i \) have many homomorphisms into \( H \). Note that if we choose the target group to be a large symmetric group or a large dimensional general linear group over a fixed finite field, we get the estimate

\[
  d(\hat{\Gamma}) \geq n - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{|G_i|}
\]
already established by Proposition \( \text{[2]} \). It turns out that the best target groups for our purposes will be produced from semisimple \( G_i \)-modules over finite fields.

Proof of Theorem \( \text{[1]} \). Recall that \( O_p(G) \) denotes the largest normal \( p \)-subgroup of \( G \). Let \( p \) be a prime such that

\[
  O_p(G_i) = 1 \quad (1 \leq i \leq n)
\]

and let \( F = \mathbb{F}_p \) be the field of order \( p \). Let \( M_i \) be a nontrivial simple \( G_i \)-module over \( F \) of dimension \( d_i = \dim_F M_i \) \( (1 \leq i \leq n) \). Let \( l \) be the least common multiple of the \( d_i \) and let \( V \) be a vectorspace over \( F \) of dimension \( l \).

Let \( 1 \leq i \leq n \). Since \( d_i \) divides \( l \), \( V \) can be turned into a semisimple \( G_i \)-module such that all the simple factors of \( V \) under \( G_i \) are isomorphic to \( M_i \).

Let \( L_i \subseteq GL(V) \) denote the linear action of \( G_i \) on \( V \). Since \( M_i \) is nontrivial, \( L_i \) is not the trivial group and since \( M_i \) is simple, \( L_i \) has no nonzero fixed vector in \( V \).
Let
\[ R = \langle L_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n \rangle \subseteq GL(V) \]
be the linear group generated by the \( L_i \). Then \( V \) is a semisimple \( R \)-module. Let \( r = |R| \).

Let \( m \) be a natural number and let \( H \) be the semidirect product of \( V^m \) and \( R \). Then \( H \) has order \( p^l m r \). We want to estimate \( |\text{Hom}(G_i, H)| \) from below. It will suffice to consider conjugates of a fixed surjective homomorphism from \( G_i \) to \( L_i \). The number of those conjugates equals the size of the conjugacy class of \( L_i \) in \( H \). Since \( L_i \) has no fixed vector in \( V \), the centralizer \( Z_H(L_i) \leq R \).

This implies
\[ |\text{Hom}(G_i, H)| \geq \frac{|H|}{|Z_H(L_i)|} \geq \frac{|H|}{r} = p^l m \]
so
\[ h(G_i, H) \geq \log p^l m \log(p^l m r) = 1 - \frac{\log r}{m \log p^l + \log r} \]
Using Lemma \( \text{Lemma 7} \) this gives
\[ d(\hat{\Gamma}) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} h(G_i, H) \geq n \left( 1 - \frac{\log r}{m \log p^l + \log r} \right) \]
Letting \( m \) to be arbitrarily large this leads to
\[ d(\hat{\Gamma}) \geq n \]
The theorem holds. \( \square \)

Now we prove Theorem \( 3 \) using the construction above.

**Proof of Theorem 3.** We can assume that \( d(G_n/G'_n) = s' \). Let \( p \) be a prime such that \( p^{s'} \) divides \( |G_n/G'_n| \) and let \( F = \mathbb{F}_p \) be the field of order \( p \). By permuting the \( G_i \) we can also assume that there exists \( 0 \leq t < n \) such that \( p \) does not divide \( |G_i/G'_i| \) \((1 \leq i \leq t)\) and \( p \) divides \( |G_i/G'_i| \) \((t + 1 \leq i \leq n)\). Let us define a new list of finite groups \( H_i \) \((1 \leq i \leq t + 1)\) as follows. For \( 1 \leq i \leq t \) let
\[ H_i = G_i/O_p(G_i) \]
and let
\[ H_{t+1} = C_{p^s}^{n-t} \].
From here we follow the construction and notation in the proof of Theorem [1] using the $H_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq t)$ and $p$ as prime. This is allowed since $O_p(H_i) = 1$ $(1 \leq i \leq t)$. For a large enough $m$ let $H$ be the target group given by the construction. Then, as before, we have

$$h(H_i, H) \geq 1 - \frac{\log r}{m \log p^l + \log r} \quad (1 \leq i \leq t).$$

Now $\text{Hom}(H_{t+1}, V^m) \subseteq \text{Hom}(H_{t+1}, H)$ implying

$$h(H_{t+1}, H) = \frac{\log |\text{Hom}(H_{t+1}, H)|}{\log |H|} \geq \frac{\log |\text{Hom}(H_{t+1}, V^m)|}{m \log |V| + \log |S|} = h(H_{t+1}, V^m) \frac{m \log |V|}{m \log |V| + \log |S|}$$

and $|\text{Hom}(H_{t+1}, C_p)| = p^{s'+n-t-1}$ implying

$$h(H_{t+1}, V^m) = h(H_{t+1}, C_p) = s' + n - t - 1$$

which gives

$$\sum_{i=1}^{t+1} h(H_i, H) \geq (s' + n - t - 1) \frac{m \log |V|}{m \log |V| + \log |S|} + t \left( 1 - \frac{\log r}{m \log p^l + \log r} \right)$$

Setting $m$ to be large enough and using Lemma [7] we get

$$d(\hat{\Gamma}) = d(H_1 * \cdots * H_{t+1}) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{t+1} h(H_i, H) \geq s' + n - 1.$$ 

On the other hand, $H_i$ is a quotient of $G_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq t)$ and $H_{t+1}$ is a quotient of $G_{t+1} * \cdots * G_n$ which implies that $H_1 * \cdots * H_{t+1}$ is a quotient of $\Gamma$, leading to

$$d(\hat{\Gamma}) \geq d(H_1 * \cdots * H_{t+1}) \geq s' + n - 1.$$ 

The theorem holds. $\square$

Now we prove Proposition [8]. It is again a slight modification of the construction in Theorem [1].
Proposition 8 Let $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_n$ be finite cyclic groups and let $\Gamma = G_1 \ast G_2 \ast \cdots \ast G_n$. Then
\[ d\left(\tilde{\Gamma}\right) = d\left(\hat{\Gamma}\right) = n \]
where $\tilde{\Gamma}$ denotes the prosolvable completion of $\Gamma$.

Proof. Obviously $d\left(\tilde{\Gamma}\right) \leq d\left(\hat{\Gamma}\right) \leq n$ holds, so it is enough to show that $d\left(\tilde{\Gamma}\right) \geq n$. Just as before, we can assume that the $G_i$ have prime order $p_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$). Let
\[ k = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i. \]
By Dirichlet’s theorem there are infinitely many primes in the arithmetic progression $kn + 1$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$). Let $p$ be such a prime and let $F = F_p$. Then the multiplicative group $F^*$ is a cyclic group of order divisible by all the $p_i$, so $G_i$ embeds nontrivially into $F^*$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$). In other terms, $F$ can be turned into a nontrivial one-dimensional $G_i$-module. The linear actions of the $G_i$ will generate a subgroup of $F^*$ that is isomorphic to the direct product $G$ of the $G_i$. Following the construction, we get a target group $H$ which is metabelian, being the extension of an $F$-space by $G$. But then the witness group
\[ G(\Gamma, H) = \Gamma / K(\Gamma, H) = \Gamma / \bigcap_{\varphi \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, H)} \ker \varphi \hookrightarrow H^{[\text{Hom}(\Gamma, H)]} \]
embeds into the direct product of metabelian groups, so it is metabelian itself. By Lemma 7 we have $d(G(\Gamma, H)) \geq n$, finishing the proof. □

Now we start building towards Theorem 4. The first result needed is due to Erdős [Erd]. The first result needed is due to Erdős [Erd] and is purely number-theoretic.

Theorem 9 (Erdős) Let $A$ be an infinite set of positive integers and let
\[ f_n(A) = |A \cap \{1, \ldots, n\}|. \]
Assume that
1) $f_n(A)$ increases faster than $n^{(\sqrt{5} - 1)/2}$;
2) Every arithmetic progression contains at least one integer which is the sum of distinct elements of $A$.
Then every sufficiently large integer is a sum of distinct elements of $A$. 
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For a prime \( p \) let \( \text{Aff}(p) \) denote the group of affine transformations of \( \mathbb{F}_p \). Then \( \text{Aff}(p) \) acts on \( \mathbb{F}_p \) and so it embeds into the symmetric group \( \text{Sym}(\mathbb{F}_p) \).

**Lemma 10** Let \( H \leq \text{Aff}(p) \) be a subgroup properly containing the additive subgroup \( \mathbb{F}_p \). Then the centralizer \( Z_{\text{Sym}(\mathbb{F}_p)}(H) = 1 \).

**Proof.** Since \( \mathbb{F}_p \) is Abelian and transitive in \( \text{Sym}(\mathbb{F}_p) \), \( Z_{\text{Sym}(\mathbb{F}_p)}(\mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{F}_p \), implying \( Z_{\text{Sym}(\mathbb{F}_p)}(H) \leq \mathbb{F}_p \). Let \( h \in H \setminus \mathbb{F}_p \). Then \( h \) acts on \( \mathbb{F}_p \) as multiplication by a non-identity element, thus \( Z_{\mathbb{F}_p}(h) = \{0\} \), giving \( Z_{\text{Sym}(\mathbb{F}_p)}(H) = 1 \). \( \square \)

We are ready to prove Theorem 4.

**Proof of Theorem 4.** Let \( p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n \) be the first \( n \) odd primes and let \( D = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n \). For \( 1 \leq i \leq n \) let \( m_i \in \{1, \ldots, D\} \) be the (unique) solution of the congruence system

\[
m_i \equiv \begin{cases} 1 \pmod{p_j} & \text{if } i = j \\ 2 \pmod{p_j} & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases} \quad (1 \leq j \leq n)
\]

and let \( S_i \) be the set of primes in the arithmetic progression

\[
\{Dx + m_i \mid x \in \mathbb{N}\}.
\]

Then the \( S_i \) (\( 1 \leq i \leq n \)) are pairwise disjoint.

We claim that \( S_i \) satisfies both assumptions in Theorem 9 (\( 1 \leq i \leq n \)). The first assumption follows from the asymptotic form of Dirichlet’s theorem saying \( f_n(S_i) = O(n/\log n) \). For the second assumption let \( a \) and \( r \) be positive integers; we shall check that the assumption holds for the arithmetic progression \( \{ax + r \mid x \in \mathbb{N}\} \). Let \( p_j^{o_j} \) be the maximal \( p_j \)-power dividing \( a \) (\( 1 \leq j \leq n \)), let

\[
b = \prod_{j=1}^{n} p_j^{o_j}
\]

and let \( a' = a/b \) and let \( D' \) be the least common multiple of \( b \) and \( D \). Then \( a' \) and \( D' \) are relatively prime, so there exists a solution \( m_i' \) to the congruence system

\[
m_i' \equiv m_i \pmod{D'}
\]

\[
m_i' \equiv 1 \pmod{a'}
\]
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Since $m'_i$ and $D'a'$ are relatively prime, using Dirichlet’s theorem, the set

$$S'_i = \{ x \in S_i \mid x \equiv m'_i \pmod{D'a'} \}$$

consists of infinitely many primes. Also there exists $t$ with $m'_it \equiv r \pmod{D'a'}$.

Let $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_t$ be distinct elements of $S'_i \subseteq S_i$. Then since $a$ divides $D'a'$, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{t} s_j \equiv m'_it \equiv r \pmod{a}$$

provides the required sum in the second assumption. The claim holds.

Now Theorem 9 implies that there exists a natural number $k$ that can be obtained as a sum of different elements of the $S_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$. Let $q_{i,j} \in S_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq l_i)$ be different primes satisfying the decompositions

$$k = \sum_{j=1}^{l_i} q_{i,j}$$

Let $C_i$ denote the cyclic group of order $p_i$. Let $F_{i,j} = \mathbb{F}_{q_{i,j}}$, let

$$V_i = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{l_i} F_{i,j} \text{ and } X_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^{l_i} F_{i,j}$$

Then $p_i$ divides $q_{i,j} - 1$, so $C_i$ embeds into the multiplicative group of $F_{i,j}$. Let $G_i$ be the semidirect product of $V_i$ and $C_i$ acting diagonally on the components $F_{i,j}$. This action defines an embedding of $G_i$ into $\text{Sym}(X_i)$. Let $G_{i,j}$ denote the action of $G_i$ on $F_{i,j}$. Then $G_{i,j}$ is permutation isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{Aff}(p)$ properly containing $\mathbb{F}_{p_i}$ and for $j \neq j'$ the permutation groups $G_{i,j}$ and $G_{i,j'}$ are not permutation isomorphic. Applying Lemma 10, the centralizer

$$Z_{\text{Sym}(X_i)}(G_i) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{l_i} Z_{\text{Sym}(F_{i,j})}(G_{i,j}) = 1$$

is trivial. We showed that the $G_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ have a permutation action on $k$ points with trivial centralizer in the full symmetric group $\text{Sym}(k)$.

It is easy to see that $G'_i = V_i$ and so $G_i/G'_i$ is cyclic. Trivially, $G_i$ is solvable and non-cyclic, so $d(G_i) = 2$. Also

$$|G_i| = p_i \prod_{j=1}^{l_i} q_{i,j}$$
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so for $i \neq i'$ the orders of $G_i$ and $G_{i'}$ are relatively prime.

We estimate $d(\hat{\Gamma})$ using Lemma 7 with $\text{Sym}(k)$ as target group. We have seen that the $G_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) have an embedding into $\text{Sym}(k)$ with trivial centralizer. Taking into account the trivial permutation representation, this gives

$$|\text{Hom}(G_i, \text{Sym}(k))| \geq |\text{Sym}(k)| + 1$$

which yields

$$d(\hat{\Gamma}) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} h(G_i, \text{Sym}(k)) \geq n \frac{\log(k! + 1)}{\log k} > n$$

The theorem holds. □

Remark. The upper estimate $d(\hat{\Gamma}) \leq n + s - 1$ does not hold in general, even if we assume that all the $G_i$ are perfect. Indeed, let the $G_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) be isomorphic to $A_5$, the alternating group on 5 letters. Now $\text{Hom}(A_5, A_5)$ consists of the set of automorphisms and the trivial homomorphism, so

$$h(A_5, A_5) = \frac{\log 121}{\log 60} \approx 1.1713$$

implying

$$d(\hat{\Gamma}) \geq 1.1713n.$$
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