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1. Introduction

The study of the electromagnetic (EM) properties of hadrons provides important insights into the non-perturbative structure of QCD. The EM form factors reveal information on the internal structure of hadrons including their size, charge distribution and magnetisation.

While the EM form factors of the nucleon have received a lot of recent attention in lattice simulations (see, e.g., [1] for a review), the investigation of the hyperon EM form factors has so far received only limited attention [2, 3]. These, however, are of significant interest as they provide valuable insights into the environmental sensitivity of the distribution of quarks inside a hadron. For example, how does the distribution of $u$ quarks in $\Sigma^+$ change as we change the mass of the (spectator) $s$ quark?

Semileptonic form factors of the hyperons provide an alternative method to the standard $K_{l3}$ decays (see e.g. [4]) for determining the CKM matrix element, $|V_{us}|$. This is done by using the experimental value for the decay rate of the hyperon beta decays, $B \rightarrow b \ell \nu$

$$\Gamma = \frac{G_F^2}{60\pi^3} (M_B - M_h)^5 (1 - 3\delta)|V_{us}|^2 |f_1(0)|^2 \left(1 + 3 \left|\frac{g_1(0)}{f_1(0)}\right|^2 + \cdots \right),$$

where $G_F$ is the Fermi constant, $\delta = (M_B - M_h)/(M_B + M_h)$ describes the size of $SU(3)_{\text{flavour}}$ breaking and the ellipsis denotes terms which are $\mathcal{O}(\delta^2)$ and can be safely ignored [5]. Hence for a determination of $|V_{us}|$, we need to know the form factors, $f_1(q^2)$ and $g_1(q^2)$, at zero momentum transfer ($q^2 = 0$). These can be determined on the lattice and are the subject of the second part of this talk. Earlier quenched and $N_f = 2$ results for $\Sigma^{-} \rightarrow n \ell \nu$ and $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \ell \nu$ can be found in [6, 7].

In this talk we present preliminary results from the QCDSF/UKQCD Collaboration for the octet hyperon electromagnetic and semi-leptonic decay form factors determined from $N_f = 2 + 1$ lattice QCD.

2. Simulation Details

Our gauge field configurations have been generated with $N_f = 2 + 1$ flavours of dynamical fermions, using the tree-level Symanzik improved gluon action and nonperturbatively $\mathcal{O}(a)$ improved Wilson fermions [8]. We choose our quark masses by first finding the $SU(3)_{\text{flavour}}$ symmetric point where flavour singlet quantities take on their physical values and vary the individual quark masses while keeping the singlet quark mass $m_q = (m_u + m_d + m_s)/3 = (2m_u + m_s)/3$ constant [9]. Simulations are performed on lattice volumes of $24^3 \times 48$ with lattice spacing, $a = 0.078(3)$. A summary of the parameter space spanned by our dynamical configurations can be found in Table 1. More details regarding the tuning of our simulation parameters are given in Ref. [9].

3. Electromagnetic Form Factors

On the lattice, we determine the form factors $F_1(q^2)$ and $F_2(q^2)$ by calculating the following matrix element of the electromagnetic current

$$\langle B(p', s') | j_\mu(q) | B(p, s) \rangle = \bar{u}(p', s') \left[ \gamma_\mu F_1(q^2) + \sigma_{\mu\nu} \frac{q_\nu}{2M_B} F_2(q^2) \right] u(p, s),$$

(3.1)
where \( u(p, s) \) is a Dirac spinor with momentum, \( p \), and spin polarisation, \( s \), \( q = p' - p \) is the momentum transfer, \( M_B \) is the mass of the baryon, \( B \), and \( j_\mu \) is the electromagnetic current. The Dirac \( (F_1) \) and Pauli \( (F_2) \) form factors of the proton are obtained by using \( j_\mu^{(p)} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{u} \gamma_\mu u - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{d} \gamma_\mu d \), while the form factors for the \( \Sigma \) and \( \Xi \) baryons are obtained through the appropriate substitution, \( u \to s \) or \( d \to s \). It is common to rewrite the form factors \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \) in terms of the electric and magnetic Sachs form factors, \( G_e = F_1 + q^2/(2M_B)^2 F_2 \) and \( G_m = F_1 - F_2 \).

If one is using a conserved current, then (e.g. for the proton) \( F_1^{(p)}(0) = G_e^{(p)}(0) = 1 \) gives the electric charge, while \( G_m^{(p)}(0) = \mu^{(p)} = 1 + \kappa^{(p)} \) gives the magnetic moment, where \( F_2^{(p)}(0) = \kappa^{(p)} \) is the anomalous magnetic moment. From Eq. (3.1) we see that \( F_2 \) always appears with a factor of \( q \), so it is not possible to extract a value for \( F_2 \) at \( q^2 = 0 \) directly from our lattice simulations. Hence we are required to extrapolate the results we obtain at finite \( q^2 \) to \( q^2 = 0 \). Form factor radii, \( r_i = \sqrt{\langle r_i^2 \rangle} \), are defined from the slope of the form factor at \( q^2 = 0 \).

In this talk, we are primarily interested in searching for any \( SU(3) \)-flavour breaking effects in the octet hyperon form factors. In order to highlight these effects, we will consider ratios of the individual quark contributions to the hyperon radii. For example, the ratio \( \langle r_1^2 \rangle_u/\langle r_1^2 \rangle_d \) will tell us about the distribution of the doubly represented \( (u)\)-quark in a baryon as we change the doubly-singly represented quark mass splitting (in effect, changing the mass of the spectator quark).

In Fig. 1 we see results for the ratio of the doubly-represented quark’s contribution to the Dirac radius of the hyperons plotted as a function of \( m_N^2 \). Here we clearly see that the Dirac radii, \( \langle r_1^2 \rangle \), of both \( u(d) \)-quark in the \( \Sigma^{(--)} \) and the \( s \)-quark in the \( \Xi^{0(--)} \) become smaller than that of the \( u \)-quark in the proton as we move away from the \( SU(3)_{\text{flavour}} \) symmetric point by decreasing (increasing) the light (strange) quark mass. This is particularly interesting in the case of \( u_N \), since here the only difference between \( u_N \) and \( u_p \) is the mass of the spectator quark \( (d(u)) \) in the proton (neutron), \( s \) in the \( \Sigma \), so the fact that the ratio is \( < 1 \) is a purely environmental effect.

In Fig. 2 we see a similar picture for the distribution of the singly represented quarks with the \( d(u) \)-quark in the proton (neutron) having a larger Dirac radius than the \( u(d) \)-quark in the \( \Xi^{0(--)} \) and the \( s \)-quark in the \( \Sigma \) having the smallest Dirac radius.

These effects are similar to those seen in an earlier quenched QCD simulation [2], however here the effects are slightly enhanced due to the fact that the strength of the meson loops is suppressed in quenched QCD [10].

Similar results are found for \( \langle r_2^2 \rangle \), albeit with larger statistical errors due to the fact that the results need to be extrapolated from \( q^2 \neq 0 \).
4. Hyperon Semi-Leptonic Form Factors

The matrix element for SU(3)-octet baryon semileptonic decays, $B \to b \ell \nu$, in Euclidean space is given by

\[ \langle b(p', s') | V_\mu(x) + A_\mu(x) | B(p, s) \rangle = \pi_b(p', s')(\gamma_\mu(q) + \gamma^\dagger_\mu(q))u_B(p, s), \]  

(4.1)

where the vector and axial-vector transitions are each governed by three form factors, namely the vector ($f_1$), weak magnetism ($f_2$), induced scalar ($f_3$), axial-vector ($g_1$), weak electricity ($g_2$) and induced pseudoscalar ($g_3$)

\[ \gamma_\mu(q) = f_1(q^2) \gamma_\mu + f_2(q^2) \sigma_{\mu\nu} \frac{q^\nu}{M_b + M_B}, \]

(4.2)

\[ \gamma^\dagger_\mu(q) = g_1(q^2) \gamma^\dagger_\mu + g_2(q^2) \sigma_{\mu\nu} \frac{q^\nu}{M_b + M_B} \gamma_s + g_3(q^2) \gamma_\mu \frac{q^\mu}{M_b + M_B} \gamma_s. \]

(4.3)

The vector and axial-vector currents in Eq. (4.1) are defined as $V_\mu(x) = \bar{u}(x) \gamma_\mu d(x)$ and $A_\mu(x) = \bar{u}(x) \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 s(x)$ for $\Delta S = 0$ decays, and $V_\mu(x) = \bar{u}(x) \gamma_\mu s(x)$ and $A_\mu(x) = \bar{u}(x) \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 s(x)$ for $\Delta S = 1$ decays.

For a lattice calculation of hyperon beta decays, it is useful to define the scalar form factor

\[ f_0(q^2) = f_1(q^2) + \frac{q^2}{M_B^2 + M_b^2} f_3(q^2), \]

(4.4)

which can be obtained from the divergence of the vector current, $\langle b(p', s') | \partial_\mu V_\mu | B(p, s) \rangle = (M_b - M_B) f_0(q^2) \bar{u}(p', s') u(p, s)$, and the linear combination

\[ g_1(q^2) = g_1(q^2) - \frac{M_B - M_b}{M_b + M_B} g_2(q^2). \]

(4.5)

The scalar form factor (4.4) can be obtained on the lattice at $q^2_{\text{max}} = (M_B - M_b)^2$ with high precision from the ratio [11]

\[ R(t') = \frac{G_b^{BB}(t', t; 0, 0) G_b^{bb}(t', t; 0, 0)}{G_b^{BB}(t', t; 0, 0) G_b^{bb}(t', t; 0, 0)} \rightarrow |f_0(q^2_{\text{max}})|^2, \]

(4.6)
where, e.g., \( G_4^{bb}(t', t; 0, 0) \), is the zero three-momentum lattice three-point function of the fourth component of the vector current, \( V_4 \), inserted at time \( t \) between the source baryon, \( B \), located at time \( t = 0 \) and the sink baryon, \( b \), at time \( t' \). We note that \( R(t', t) = 1 \) in the \( SU(3)_{\text{flavour}} \) symmetric limit, hence any deviations from unity are purely due to \( SU(3)_{\text{flavour}} \) symmetry breaking effects.

In Fig. 3 we display our results for \( R(t', t) \) for ensemble 4 in Table 1 for the \( \Sigma^- \to n\ell\nu \) decay. Here we see that it is possible to determine \( f_0(q_{\text{max}}^2) \) with a high level of accuracy. In order to quantify the size of the \( SU(3)_{\text{flavour}} \) symmetry breaking effects in the quantity, in Fig. 4 we show the results for \( f_0(q_{\text{max}}^2) \) on each of our ensembles. The results are plotted as a function of \( (m_B^2 - m_N^2) \), hence the \( SU(3)_{\text{flavour}} \) symmetric limit occurs at zero on the x-axis and is indicated by the vertical dotted line, while the physical mass splitting is indicated by the vertical dot-dashed line. It is obvious from this figure that \( f_0(q_{\text{max}}^2) \) is \(< 1 \) away from the \( SU(3)_{\text{flavour}} \) symmetric limit and that the deviation from unity increases as we move further away from the \( SU(3)_{\text{flavour}} \) symmetric limit.

We find the same qualitative behaviour in our results for the \( \Xi^0 \to \Sigma^+ \ell\nu \) decay and these findings are in agreement with earlier lattice results [6, 7].

The next step is to determine the full \( q^2 \)-dependence of \( f_0(q^2) \) and interpolate the results to \( q^2 = 0 \) to obtain a value for \( f_1(0) = f_0(0) \). The procedure for doing this has been described in detail in [6], and this is the next step in our work which will be completed soon.

The other quantity that we need to calculate before we can determine \(|V_{us}| \) from Eq. (1.1) is \( g_1(0)/f_1(0) \). As pointed out in [6], this can also be determined from considering appropriate ratios of two- and three-point functions. At \( q_{\text{max}}^2 \), it is possible to determine the ratio \( \bar{g}_1(q_{\text{max}}^2)/f_0(q_{\text{max}}^2) \) from the following ratio of three-point functions

\[
\bar{R}(t', t) = \frac{\text{Im}(A_4^{bb}(t', t; 0, 0))}{\text{Re}(V_4^{bb}(t', t; 0, 0))} \left|_{t, (t' - t) \to \infty} \right. \quad \frac{g_1(q_{\text{max}}^2)}{f_1(q_{\text{max}}^2)} + \frac{M_B - M_N}{M_B + M_N} f_2(q_{\text{max}}^2) \right. \quad \frac{\bar{g}_1(q_{\text{max}}^2)}{f_0(q_{\text{max}}^2)}. \tag{4.7}
\]

We show in Fig. 5 \( \bar{R}(t', t) \) from ensemble 1 where we see again the excellent accuracy with which the ratio can be determined. The dependence of this ratio on the size of the \( SU(3)_{\text{flavour}} \) symmetry breaking is seen in Fig. 6.

Once again, this is only the first step in calculating \( g_1(0)/f_1(0) \) and so we must now subtract the second terms in both numerator and denominator in Eq. (4.7) in order to obtain \( g_1(q_{\text{max}}^2)/f_1(q_{\text{max}}^2) \).
We then need to map out the $q^2$-dependence of $g_1(q^2)/f_1(q^2)$ which will then enable us to interpolate to $q^2 = 0$. This will be completed soon.

5. Conclusions

We have presented preliminary results from the QCDSF/UKQCD collaboration for the electromagnetic semileptonic decay form factors of the $SU(3)$ baryon octet.

Our results for the individual quark contributions to the Dirac radii of the hyperons show that the $u(d)$-quark is more broadly distributed in the proton (neutron) than in the $\Sigma^{+(-)}$, while the $s$-quark in the $\Xi$ is the least broadly distributed of the doubly-represented quarks. Similarly for the singly-represented quarks, we find that the $d(u)$-quark is more broadly distributed in the proton (neutron) than in the $\Xi^{-(0)}$, while the $s$-quark in the $\Sigma$ is the least broadly distributed.

For the hyperon semileptonic form factors, we have only performed the first stage of the analysis by computing the appropriate form factors at $q^2_{\text{max}}$. Our results are encouraging and show a similar quark mass behaviour as earlier quenched [6] and $N_f = 2$ [7] results.
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