Prdm9 Intersubspecific Interactions in Hybrid Male Sterility of House Mouse
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Abstract

The classical definition posits hybrid sterility as a phenomenon when two parental taxa each of which is fertile produce a hybrid that is sterile. The first hybrid sterility gene in vertebrates, Prdm9, coding for a histone methyltransferase, was identified in crosses between two laboratory mouse strains derived from Mus mus musculus and M. m. domesticus subspecies. The unique function of PRDM9 protein in the initiation of meiotic recombination led to the discovery of the basic molecular mechanism of hybrid sterility in laboratory crosses. However, the role of this protein as a component of reproductive barrier outside the laboratory model remained unclear. Here, we show that the Prdm9 allelic incompatibilities represent the primary cause of reduced fertility in intersubspecific hybrids between M. m. musculus and M. m. domesticus including 16 musculus and domesticus wild-derived strains. Disruption of fertility phenotypes correlated with the rate of failure of synapsis between homologous chromosomes in meiosis I and with early meiotic arrest. All phenotypes were restored to normal when the domesticus Prdm9dom2 allele was substituted with the Prdm9dom2Hu humanized variant. To conclude, our data show for the first time the male infertility of wild-derived musculus and domesticus subspecies F1 hybrids controlled by Prdm9 as the major hybrid sterility gene. The impairment of fertility surrogates, testes weight and sperm count, correlated with increasing difficulties of meiotic synapsis of homologous chromosomes and with meiotic arrest, which we suppose reflect the increasing asymmetry of PRDM9-dependent DNA double-strand breaks.
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Introduction

The biological species concept defines species as groups of interbreeding natural populations reproductively isolated from other such groups (Mayr 1963). One postzygotic reproductive isolation mechanism, the sterility of interspecific hybrids, has attracted human curiosity since Aristotle discussed the infertility of mules. Charles Darwin foresaw hybrid sterility as a by-product of evolution, rather than a naturally selected trait (Darwin 1859). To reconcile Darwinian evolution with genetics, Dobzhansky and Muller (Dobzhansky 1936, 1951; Muller 1942; Muller and Pontecorvo 1942) proposed a genetic model explaining hybrid sterility as an incompatibility between independently diverged genes. During the last 80 years, most of our genetic knowledge on hybrid sterility in animals came from the studies of Drosophila species (Naveira and Maside 1998; Coyne and Orr 2004; Presgraves 2008; Maheshwari and Barbash 2011), nonetheless, the main principles of hybrid sterility proved valid for many other animal and plant species hybrids (Schilthuizen et al. 2011). These include Haldane’s rule stating that the heterogametic (XY or ZW) sex is preferentially affected in hybrids (Haldane 1922), or the large X-effect (Coyne’s rule) referring to the predominant role of the X chromosome in contrast to autosomes (Dobzhansky 1951; Forejt 1996; Coyne and Orr 2004; Good et al. 2008; Presgraves 2018). Perhaps surprisingly, given the extensive genetic studies in species such as fruit flies, yeasts, and house mice, the genetic architecture of hybrid sterility as well as the molecular mechanisms behind these rules remain
mostly unclear (reviewed in Maheshwari and Barbash [2011], Phifer-Rixey and Nachman [2015], Dion-Cote and Barbash [2017], Mack and Nachman [2017], Payseur et al. [2018]).

Although hybrid sterility usually behaves as a complex polygenic trait, a handful of discrete hybrid sterility and hybrid inviability genes such as Odsh, JyAlpha, Hmr, Nup96, and Ovd have been described mostly in Drosophila (Ting et al. 1998; Barbash et al. 2003; Presgraves et al. 2003; Masly et al. 2006; Phadnis and Orr 2009). Forejt and Ivanyi (1974) mapped the first hybrid sterility genetic locus in vertebrates (Hybrid sterility 1, Hst1) in crosses of wild male mice of the Mus musculus musculus (hereafter referred to as musculus) subspecies with females of laboratory inbred strains of the Mus musculus domesticus (hereafter domesticus) origin. Later, by positional cloning, they identified the Hst1 locus with the Prdm9 gene coding for PR/SET domain-containing 9 protein (Mihola et al. 2009). The current model of Prdm9-controlled hybrid sterility refers to male progeny of an intersubspecific cross of musculus wild-derived PWD strain females and C57BL/6J (hereafter B6) domesticus laboratory strain males. Hybrid descendants of the cross are viable and healthy individuals except that all males are completely sterile. The phenotype of hybrid sterility includes small testes, absence of sperm, meiotic arrest at the mid-to-late pachytene stage (Forejt 1996; Forejt et al. 2012), impairment of transcriptional inactivation of the sex chromosomes (MSCI), and incomplete meiotic chromosome synopsis (Forejt 1984; Bhattacharya et al. 2013; Gregorova et al. 2018). Obeying Haldane’s rule, the female hybrids are fertile, though with partially defective oogenesis (Bhattacharya et al. 2014). In contrast to other mouse studies, which observed a complex polygenic nature often of dozens of hybrid incompatibilities (Tucker et al. 1992; Payseur et al. 2004; Macholan et al. 2007, 2011; Duvaux et al. 2011; Janoušek et al. 2012; Turner et al. 2012; Morgan et al. 2020), the genomic architecture of the F1 hybrid sterility of (PWD×B6)F1 males appears relatively straightforward. The three main components consist of the intersubspecific allelic combination Prdm9<sub>PWD/B6</sub>, the PWD allelic form of the X-linked Hstx2 locus (Storchova et al. 2004; Bhattacharya et al. 2014; Lustyk et al. 2019), and the PWD/B6 heterozygosity of homeologous autosomes (homeologs are homologous autosomes from related (sub)species) (Gregorova et al. 2018).

Prdm9 is a histone methyltransferase enzyme that initiates meiotic recombination (Baudat et al. 2010; Myers et al. 2010; Parvanov et al. 2010) by directly binding DNA via its C-terminal zinc finger (ZnF) domain. The ZnF domain is highly polymorphic within and between mouse subspecies and determines the allelic specificity of PRDM9 DNA-binding sites. After binding to DNA, PRDM9 defines specific sites (hotspots) where meiotic recombination may occur (Hayashi and Matsui 2006; Smagulova et al. 2011; Wu et al. 2013; Baker et al. 2014; Eram et al. 2014; Powers et al. 2016) by inserting trimethylation marks on the lysine 4 and lysine 36 residues of histone 3 (H3K4me3 and H3K36me3). The PRDM9-marked hotspots guide SPO11 protein to induce programmed DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) along the chromosomes, which are repaired by homologous recombination either as crossovers or noncrossovers (gene conversions) (for review, see Hunter [2015] and Smith and Nambiar [2020]). The lack of PRDM9 leads to redistribution of recombination events toward the functional elements such as promoters that are also marked by H3K4me3 (Smagulova et al. 2016) resulting in sterility in both sexes (Hayashi and Matsui 2006) (but see Mihola et al. [2019]).

The molecular mechanism by which Prdm9 acts as a mouse hybrid sterility gene is less clear. The “asymmetry-due-to erosion” hypothesis posits the differential erosion of PRDM9 binding sites in musculus and domesticus subspecies as the initial event (Davies et al. 2016). The evolutionary erosion is a well-documented feature of recombination as well as Prdm9 hotspots in several species (Boulton et al. 1997; Myers et al. 2010; Baker et al. 2015). The erosion at the evolutionary scale is explained by biased gene conversion that favors repair using the template with lower PRDM9 affinity, because initiation of DNA DSBs is preferred at the PRDM9 binding sites with higher affinity. In this way, a subset of PRDM9 recognition sequences is gradually blurred in one subspecies but remains intact in the other. In (PWD×B6)F1 intersubspecific hybrids, the asymmetric hotspots occur predominantly on a “nonself chromosome” where the recognition motifs are intact; the PRDM9<sub>B6</sub> hotspots appear mostly on PWD chromosomes and PRDM9<sub>PWD</sub> hotspot on B6 chromosomes. It is presumed that the SPO11-induced DSBs at such asymmetric hotspots are difficult to repair from the homologous chromosome or are repaired using the sister chromatid as a template (Hinch et al. 2019), resulting in asynapsis and apoptosis. Many aspects of this “asymmetry-due-to erosion” hypothesis remain unsolved, such as the role of the second major hybrid sterility factor located within the X-linked Hstx2 locus, the significance of “default,” Prdm9-independent hotspots (Hayashi and Matsui 2006; Smagulova et al. 2016), or the effect of PRDM9 binding sites within repetitive sequences (Yamada et al. 2017). However, several pieces of evidence supporting this idea have been gathered (Gregorova et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2018; Gergelits et al. 2019).

To sum up, the Prdm9-dependent model of hybrid sterility has all principal attributes of classical F1 hybrid sterility (Coyne and Orr 2004) including underdominance (Prdm9 heterozygosity-dependent sterility), male-limited infertility following Haldane’s rule, and the large X-effect reflected by the interacting X-linked Hstx2 locus (Lustyk et al. 2019). However, virtually nothing is known about Prdm9 allelic incompatibilities in the hybrids of wild mice, known to display a high level of intrasubspecific Prdm9 polymorphism (Buard et al. 2014; Kono et al. 2014; Vara et al. 2019), an unorthodox feature for a hybrid sterility gene. To investigate the role of Prdm9-dependent reproductive isolation in natural mouse populations, we tested ten different Prdm9 alleles from 16 European populations of musculus and domesticus subspecies for their effect on fertility of intersubspecific F1 hybrids. We found that aberrant synopsis of meiotic chromosomes is indeed a Prdm9-dependent trait negatively correlated with surrogate fertility phenotypes—number of produced sperm cells and testes weight. Moreover, despite a continuum of variation in fertility-related phenotypes, full hybrid sterility occurred when the asynapsis rate exceeded a certain
threshold level. Our results provide the first direct evidence that incompatibilities between \textit{Prdm9} alleles segregating in natural populations can result in F1 hybrid sterility.

\section*{Results}

\subsection*{Identification of Intersubspecific Introgressions in Genomes of Wild-Derived Strains}

All 16 wild-derived mouse strains originated from founders trapped in Central and Western Europe (Gregorova and Forejt 2000; Pialek et al. 2007; Martincová et al. 2019) (see also Materials and Methods and https://housemice.cz/en; last accessed July 11, 2020). The sampling sites were located on both sides of the European natural hybrid zone separating \textit{musculus} and \textit{domesticus} subspecies (Macholan et al. 2007; Geraldes et al. 2008; Duvaux et al. 2011; Baird and Macholan 2012), whose genomes diverged ~0.5 Ma (She et al. 1990; Boursot et al. 1996; Salcedo et al. 2007; Geraldes et al. 2008) (fig. 1A). Since genomic introgression occurs in both directions across the hybrid zone (Payseur et al. 2004; Teeter et al. 2007; Dušková et al. 2011; Macholan et al. 2011; Janoušek et al. 2012), we first searched for possible genomic admixtures from the other subspecies. Such introgressions could influence local meiotic pairing between \textit{musculus} and \textit{domesticus} homologs, as modeled by hybrids of the intersubspecific chromosome substitution strains (Gregorova et al. 2018). To identify the introgressed genomic segments, we used a high-density SNP genotyping array (Morgan et al. 2015) in eight \textit{musculus} and seven \textit{domesticus} wild-derived inbred strains. The wild-derived PWD/Ph (hereafter PWD) and classical laboratory strain C57BL/6J (hereafter B6) inbred strains were included as a reference. The highest admixture of \textit{musculus} in \textit{domesticus} strains was 7.01% in the B6 reference strain; it is well known that most classical inbred strains, although primarily of \textit{domesticus} ancestry, have significant \textit{musculus} component (Yang et al. 2011). The remaining seven \textit{domesticus} strains (SPLY, SIN, SIT, SCHUNT, STRB, STRA, STAIL) all showed <1% of the genome (autosomes + X chromosome) of \textit{musculus} ancestry (0.07–0.97%). The SPLY strain differs from other \textit{domesticus} strains by the presence of a \textit{musculus} Y chromosome, and C57BL/6J is confirmed to carry a different \textit{musculus} Y chromosome (Nagamine et al. 1992; Morgan and Pardo-Manuel de Villena 2017) (supplementary table S1 and fig. S1, Supplementary Material online). The SCHEST strain became extinct before genotyping could be performed. Remarkably, many introgressed segments were found recurrently; seven \textit{domesticus} strains (excluding B6 reference) displayed in total 73 \textit{musculus} segments, of which 35 occurred only once and the remaining 38 consisted of 12 distinct segments shared by 2–5 strains (supplementary fig. S1 and table 1A).
S2, Supplementary Material online). Eight *musculus* strains displayed 91 islands of the *domesticus* sequence. Eighty-one occurred only once, whereas ten consisted of five distinct islands shared by two strains. These regions could represent introgression events that have risen to high frequency in source populations, or (less likely) artifacts in ancestry assignment. All *musculus* strains except PWD showed <1% (0.02–0.6%) of the *domesticus* ancestry. The PWD genome includes 4.89% (133.5 Mb) of *domesticus* segments, of which 26.52 and 44.2 Mb are situated on the chromosomes 9 and 14, respectively (Supplementary table S3, Supplementary Material online, see also Yang et al. [2011]). Thus, besides these two PWD chromosomes, no chromosome in any other studied strain displayed >27-Mb introgression, a threshold previously shown to be sufficient for rescuing meiotic synopsis of intersubspecific homologs (Gregorova et al. 2018).

ZnF Sequence, Nomenclature, Geography, and Phylogeny of the Studied Prdm9 Alleles

The PRDM9 ZnF haplotypes of individual wild-derived strains were identified after amplification and sequencing of PRDM9 exon12, which contains the entire ZnF array except for the first, invariant ZnF repeat. Sequences of individual ZnFs were translated, and three highly variable DNA-binding amino acids at positions −1, +3, and +6 (Oliver et al. 2009) were used to identify each ZnF type. Five distinct haplotypes were present in eight *musculus* strains, and five haplotypes in eight *domesticus* strains (fig. 1B). The PRDM9 ZnF sequences were deposited to GenBank under accession numbers MT252946–MT252960. To follow the official nomenclature (International Committee on Standardized Genetic Nomenclature for Mice; http://www.informatics.jax.org/mgihome/nomen/index.shtml last accessed July 11, 2020), we applied serial numbers to the newly identified *Prdm9msc* *musculus* and *Prdm9dom* *domesticus* alleles. The only so far registered *musculus* allele *Prdm9msc* (PWD/Ph inbred strain) was given number 1, *Prdm9msc1*.

Only the PWD/Ph and STUS wild-derived *musculus* strains, from the localities Kunratice and Studenc, Czech Republic, carried the *Prdm9msc1* allele (fig 1B). Three other strains displayed variants of *Prdm9msc1* protein, which we designated *Prdm9msc2* and *Prdm9msc3* and which differ only in a single ZnF (SKE strain from Estonia, substitution of QNK by ANQ in the 13th ZnF, and SENK strain from Slovakia, substitution of QVK by QDK in the third ZnF). The *Prdm9msc2* differs by two ZnFs (SKA strain from Estonia—substitution of QVK by QDK in the third ZnF and QVK by QNK in the 11th ZnF). The remaining three *musculus* strains (BULS and BUSNA) carry the same *Prdm9msc2* allele, which differs from *Prdm9msc1* by deletion of sequence for the fourth and fifth ZnFs and by substitution of QVK by QVQ in the third ZnF (fig. 1B). Notably, all five substitutions but one occurred at the QVK ZnF, and always at amino acid positions responsible for DNA binding, namely positions +3 or +6 of the alpha-helix of the C2H2 ZnF.

The *Prdm9dom2* *domesticus* allele present in the reference B6 strain and in many other laboratory inbred strains was absent among the eight *domesticus* wild-derived strains. The allele most similar to *Prdm9dom2* was *Prdm9dom3* found in four wild-derived *domesticus* strains. The same allele has already been described in several laboratory inbred strains (C3H/HeJ, CBA/CaJ, NOD/LtJ, PERA/Eij, and WSB/Eij). The founders of strains carrying *Prdm9dom3* came from Orkney Islands, UK (SIN and SIT); Bavaria, Germany (SPLY); and Hesse, Germany (SCHENG). SCHUNT, also from Hesse carries the *Prdm9dom3*, which differs from *Prdm9dom3* only at ZnF8 (substitution of ANQ by QNQ) (fig. 1B). The *Prdm9dom5* allele (strain STRB) from Bavaria differs from *Prdm9dom3* only by a deletion of the fourth ZnF. The remaining two alleles *Prdm9dom6* (STAIL, Hessen) and *Prdm9dom7* (STRA, Bavaria) appear the most distant from the *Prdm9dom2* reference.

The *Prdm9dom3* allele was the most frequent *domesticus* allele identified in two large-scale studies of wild mice, occurring in 23.1% (9/39) and 35% (7/20) cases in widely separated sites along the European hybrid zone (Buard et al. 2014; Kono et al. 2014). Admittedly, our choice of strains was not completely random, since SIN and SCHENG strains, which both turned out to be *Prdm9dom3*, were sequenced based on our previous knowledge of male sterility of their hybrids (see below). The *Prdm9msc1* allele of the PWD reference and STUS strains was the most common allele among the *musculus* samples (30%, 6/20) (Buard et al. 2014), though only in 7.4% (2/27) of mice in Eastern Europe and Asia screened in a previous (Kono et al. 2014).

The evolutionary relationships between the zinc finger arrays of *Prdm9* alleles of individual wild-derived strains were estimated using MEGA X (Kumar et al. 2018) (fig. 1C). The *musculus* alleles can be divided into two subgroups—the group of BULS, BUSNA, and STUF and the group of “PWD-like” alleles SKE, SKE, and SENK. In the *domesticus* group, STRA and STAIL alleles seem evolutionarily distant forming a separate group from the remaining alleles. The distribution of *musculus* and *domesticus* alleles respected the hybrid zone between both subspecies, but within each subspecies territory showed limited local clustering.

Prdm9 Controls Fertility Phenotypes of Intersubspecific Hybrids

Three main components, intersubspecific allelic heterozygosity *Prdm9msc1/dom2*, *Hstx2PWD* locus on Chromosome X, and F1 hybrid genetic background, define the genomic architecture of the (PWD × B6)F1 laboratory model of hybrid sterility (Bhattacharyya et al. 2013, 2014; Gregorova et al. 2018; Lustyk et al. 2019) (fig. 2A). To evaluate the general validity of this model for other wild-derived *musculus Prdm9msc* alleles, we designed crosses where *Hstx2PWD* and *Prdm9dom2* alleles remained constant, and the only variables in the F1 hybrid genome were the wild-derived *Prdm9msc* allele and the *musculus* portion of the genomic background (fig. 2B). The effect of a “humanized” *Prdm9dom2H* allele (Davies et al. 2016) known to rescue sterility of (PWD × B6)F1 hybrids was assessed in hybrids with wild-derived *Prdm9msc* variants (fig. 2C). Similarly, to test domesticus *Prdm9* alleles, PWD females were crossed with the domesticus wild-derived males. In this cross, both *Hstx2PWD* and *Prdm9msc1* were controlled, whereas the wild-derived *Prdm9dom2* and the domesticus part
of genetic background varied (fig. 2D). The synopsis of meiotic chromosomes was visualized by immunostaining of axial elements of synaptonemal complexes by antibody against SYCP3 protein on spermatocyte nuclei spreads. Furthermore, the HORMA domain-containing protein-2 (HORMAD2), which is a meiosis-specific protein known to accumulate on unsynapsed chromosome axes (Wojtasz et al. 2009) was used to visualize asynapsed homologs (Bhattacharyya et al. 2013, 2014; Gregorova et al. 2018). To score the synopsis failure, one asynapsis event was defined as one HORMAD2 positive structure, mostly an unsynapsed univalent. Normal asynapsis events, namely HORMAD2 positive nonhomologous parts of X and Y sex chromosomes as well as the unpaired axial elements of cells at earlier stages (leptotene, zygotene) were not scored as asynapsis events. To differentiate between zygotene and pachytene stages of the first meiotic prophase, we utilized phosphorylated histone H2AX at Ser 139 (γH2AX) immunostaining (fig. 3). Phosphorylation of H2AX is an early mark of DNA DSBs. In wild-type spermatocytes, it decorates unsynapsed autosomal...
stretches at the zygotene stage and transcriptionally inactive X and Y chromosomes engulfed within the XY (sex) body in the pachytene spermatocytes (Wojtasz et al. 2012). Altogether 10,333 immunostained pachynemas were analyzed, and relation between meiotic chromosome asynapsis rate and fertility phenotypes was studied.

The Wild-Derived *musculus* Prdm9msc\# Alleles Control Fertility Phenotypes of Hybrids

Females of congenic domesticus strain B6.PWD-Chr X.1s (hereafter B6.DX1s) carrying the hybrid sterility-augmenting Hstx2\textsuperscript{PWD} locus (Bhattacharyya et al. 2014; Lustyk et al. 2019) were crossed with males of the eight *musculus* wild-derived inbred strains to ensure the same Hstx2 allele in hybrids. Their F1 hybrid male progeny was phenotyped at 60-day postpartum (dpp) by determining the absolute testes weight, the number of sperm cells in both epididymides, and asynapsis rate (see Materials and Methods for using absolute testes weight values). Prdm9 alleles were determined after fertility data were obtained. Phenotyping of (B6.DX1s×*musculus*)F1 hybrids revealed a considerable variation between both fertility phenotypes and asynapsis rates, dependent on the wild-derived Prdm9 allele. Besides the PWD reference strain, only STUS and SKE produced fully sterile hybrids (no sperm and >90% of asynapsed pachynemas). STUS was the only strain that shared the Prdm9\textsuperscript{msc1} allele with PWD (fig. 4A). The most related to Prdm9\textsuperscript{msc1} were the Prdm9\textsuperscript{msc2} and Prdm9\textsuperscript{msc3} alleles of SKE and SENK strains, which each showed a single ZnF substitution in their ZnF arrays. Following the predicted importance of ZnF3 to ZnF6 for specificity of the DNA-binding motif (Paigen and Petkov 2018), a single substitution of ZnF at position 3 (QVK to QNK amino acid triplets) in Prdm9\textsuperscript{msc3} of the SENK strain was associated with restoration of full male fertility and only 8% of asynaptic pachynemas. In contrast, the SKE males with the substituted ZnF13 (QNK to ANQ) produced sterile hybrids with 0–0.17×10\textsuperscript{6} sperm cells, small testes, and 68.2% of pachynemas showing asynapsis (fig. 4A).

To further investigate the contribution of Prdm9 to these fertility phenotype variations, we employed B6.DX1s mice with humanized Prdm9\textsuperscript{dom2H} gene. This engineered allele is identical with Prdm9\textsuperscript{dom} except for the substituted sequence of the ZnF array coming from the human PRDM9b ortholog. In (PWD×B6.Predm9\textsuperscript{dom2H}\textsuperscript{dom})F1 hybrid males, humanization of Prdm9 improved symmetric binding of PRDM9 equally on paternal and maternal chromosomes and reversed their sterility (Davies et al. 2016). We used the B6.DX1s.Predm9\textsuperscript{dom2H} females with humanized Prdm9\textsuperscript{dom2H} and Hstx2\textsuperscript{PWD} locus to probe the involvement of Prdm9 in fertility impairment of hybrids with wild-derived *musculus* strains. Provided that the low testes weight and sperm count were caused by Prdm9-unrelated hybrid sterility gene(s), the expected increase of symmetric PRDM9 binding caused by humanized allele should not improve the fertility scores. On the contrary, if the decreased fertility of hybrids is (predominantly) under the Prdm9 control, the humanized allele should restore fertility to normal values. Indeed, in crosses of B6.DX1s.Predm9\textsuperscript{dom2H} females with males of wild-derived *musculus* strains, we observed complete reversal of male sterility of PWD, STUS, and SKE hybrids and recovery of physiologically normal synopsis of homologous chromosomes (fig. 4A). The fertility
phenotypes of hybrids of the remaining five strains were improved proportionally to the degree of their spermato
genic damage. In all cases of lower sperm counts and testes weights in the (B6.DX1s/C2 musculus) hybrids, we saw an increase in sperm production after the Prdm9dom2 allele was replaced by the humanized one. The alleles of BULS and BUSNA showed a mean sperm count increase from 11.8 to 32.2 million and from 29.9 to 50.2 million, respectively. The asynapsis rates were lowered accordingly. In the case of SKE allele, asynapsis decreased from 68.0% down to 14.6%. The Prdm9dom2H allele also reduced the mean asynapsis rate of pachynemas in the hybrids of SKA (15.7–11.2%), BULS (from 44.4% to 8.8%), BUSNA (from 48.7% to 7.3%), and STUF (from 20.6% to 8.4%) strains. The reduction of the asynapsis rate coincided with a complete lack of cells with more than five asynapses along with a decrease of the cell population with one to six asynapses (fig 4A and supplementary fig. S2, Supplementary Material online).

We can conclude that the sterility of the studied F1 hybrids was Prdm9-dependent since the humanized Prdm9 allele completely rescued meiotic pairing and spermatogenic arrest. The persisting differences in the testes weight and sperm...
The overall asynapsis rate in intrasubspecific crosses of wild-derived musculus strains does not exceed 30%. The role of Prdm9 in intrasubspecific and “humanized” hybrids. The lowest asynapsis rate was observed in PWD and B6 inbred strains. (8) The significant difference between inter and intrasubspecific crosses and between intrasubspecific hybrid and inbred B6 mice is apparent in domesticus crosses as well. Note the significant elevation of asynapsis on B6 background caused by introgression of 67-Mb od PWD sequence at the X chromosome centromeric end. Dashed lines in the violin plots denote quartiles, full line medians. Msc, domest, and domH denote musculus, domesticus, and humanized domesticus (Prdm9<sup>dom3/mc1</sup>). P values <0.0001****, 0.001–0.01**, 0.01–0.05*.

Fig. 5. Asynapsis rate in inter- and intrasubspecific crosses. (A) The overall asynapsis rate in intrasubspecific crosses of wild-derived musculus strains does not exceed 30%. The role of Prdm9 in intrasubspecific and “humanized” hybrids. The lowest asynapsis rate was observed in PWD and B6 inbred strains. (B) The significant difference between inter and intrasubspecific crosses and between intrasubspecific hybrid and inbred B6 mice is apparent in domesticus crosses as well. Note the significant elevation of asynapsis on B6 background caused by introgression of 67-Mb od PWD sequence at the X chromosome centromeric end. Dashed lines in the violin plots denote quartiles, full line medians. Msc, domest, and domH denote musculus, domesticus, and humanized domesticus (Prdm9<sup>dom3/mc1</sup>). P values <0.0001****, 0.001–0.01**, 0.01–0.05*.

The STRB Prdm9<sup>dom3</sup> allele also differs from the Prdm9<sup>dom2</sup> allele only at a single ZnF repeat, in this case by deletion of the fourth ZnF at the N-terminal part of ZnF array, which is essential for the DNA-binding pattern. In accordance with the importance of the N-terminus of the array for the DNA-binding pattern, the (PWD×STRB)F1 hybrids showed physiologically normal values of sperm count (mean 32.7 million) and 27.7% asynapsis rate. The SCHUNT strain also differs by a single ZnF substitution (at position 8), in this case from Prdm9<sup>dom2</sup>. Still, the (PWD×SCHUNT)F1 hybrids displayed the highest sperm count of 49.2 million and asynapsis rate of 21%. The most phylogenetically distant from Prdm9<sup>dom2</sup> were Prdm9<sup>dom1</sup> and Prdm9<sup>dom7</sup> alleles of STAIL and STRA strains, which produced fertile hybrids with sperm counts of 42.4 and 51.4 million, respectively. They also exhibited the lowest asynapsis rates among the studied domesticus alleles—18.9% and 9.7%, respectively (fig. 4B).

To conclude, out of the five wild-derived domesticus alleles only the Prdm9<sup>dom3</sup> allele generated sterile hybrids. The interference of genetic background was apparent from the fertility of SPLY hybrids, which carry the Prdm9<sup>dom3</sup> allele. The SPLY differs from other domesticus strains (fig. 4B) by the presence of the Y chromosome of musculus origin, but other factor(s) in the SPLY genome can be responsible for the fertility rescue. The latter seems to be the more likely explanation as many classical laboratory strains including B6 strain also carry the musculus type of Y chromosome (Bishop et al. 1985; Yang et al. 2011) but produce sterile males in crosses with PWD mice.

Meiotic Asynapsis Varies within and between Musculus and Domesticus Subspecies

The most likely, though not exclusive explanation for the deficiency of proper synopsis of subspecific homeologs seems to be the asymmetry of PRMD9 binding due to differential erasure and resulting heterozygosity of PRMD9 binding motifs (Davies et al. 2016; Hinch et al. 2019). In principle, such asymmetry can vary in hybrids depending on differential erasure of the parental recombination hotspots but it drops to zero in inbred strains because of the sequence identity of homologs. To analyze Prdm9-related variation in meiotic count between hybrids with humanized Prdm9 allele can indicate either the presence of minor Prdm9-independent hybrid incompatibilities or genetically controlled physiological variations between these quantitative traits (Le Roy et al. 2001). These findings provide the first evidence for the Prdm9 behaving as a hybrid sterility gene outside the (PWD×B6)F1 laboratory model.

Fertility Phenotypes Are Controlled by the Wild-Derived domesticus Prdm9<sup>dom3</sup> Alleles

The (PWD×domesticus)F1 hybrids revealed varying degrees of fertility impairment estimated by the testes weight, sperm count, and meiotic asynapsis rate. The PRMD9<sup>dom3</sup> ZnF array is identical with the reference PRMD9<sup>dom2</sup> of the B6 laboratory strain except for an extra copy of AVQ ZnF at position 11 (fig. 1B). After crosses with PWD females, the SIN, SIT, and SCHUNT males carrying the Prdm9<sup>dom3</sup> allele produced sterile hybrids (Prdm9<sup>dom3/mc1</sup> Hstx2<sup>PWD</sup>) with sperm count close to zero and mean testes weight <80 mg. They significantly differed from other Prdm9<sup>dom2</sup> strains, the wild-derived SPLY and laboratory strain C3H/Di (not shown), which produced fertile hybrids (see fig. 4B for statistics). Both C3H/Di and SPLY are almost entirely domesticus on the autosomes and X chromosome, but carry a musculus Y chromosome. It is unclear which modifiers in the SPLY genome ensure fertility restoration. The involvement of the Y chromosome is unlikely (see Discussion). The asynapsis estimates were unavailable for SIT and SCHENT hybrids, but the asynapsis rate of SIN and SPLY hybrids correlated with the weight of testes and the number of produced sperm (see below).

The STRB Prdm9<sup>dom3</sup> allele also differs from the Prdm9<sup>dom2</sup> allele only at a single ZnF substitution, and in this case by deletion of the fourth ZnF at the N-terminal part of ZnF array, which is essential for the DNA-binding pattern. In accordance with the importance of the N-terminus of the array for the DNA-binding pattern, the (PWD×STRB)F1 hybrids showed physiologically normal values of sperm count (mean 32.7 million) and 27.7% asynapsis rate. The SCHUNT strain also differs by a single ZnF substitution (at position 8), in this case from Prdm9<sup>dom2</sup>. Still, the (PWD×SCHUNT)F1 hybrids displayed the highest sperm count of 49.2 million and asynapsis rate of 21%. The most phylogenetically distant from Prdm9<sup>dom2</sup> were Prdm9<sup>dom1</sup> and Prdm9<sup>dom7</sup> alleles of STAIL and STRA strains, which produced fertile hybrids with sperm counts of 42.4 and 51.4 million, respectively. They also exhibited the lowest asynapsis rates among the studied domesticus alleles—18.9% and 9.7%, respectively (fig. 4B).

To conclude, out of the five wild-derived domesticus alleles only the Prdm9<sup>dom3</sup> allele generated sterile hybrids. The interference of genetic background was apparent from the fertility of SPLY hybrids, which carry the Prdm9<sup>dom3</sup> allele. The SPLY differs from other domesticus strains (fig. 4B) by the presence of the Y chromosome of musculus origin, but other factor(s) in the SPLY genome can be responsible for the fertility rescue. The latter seems to be the more likely explanation as many classical laboratory strains including B6 strain also carry the musculus type of Y chromosome (Bishop et al. 1985; Yang et al. 2011) but produce sterile males in crosses with PWD mice.

Meiotic Asynapsis Varies within and between Musculus and Domesticus Subspecies

The most likely, though not exclusive explanation for the deficiency of proper synopsis of subspecific homeologs seems to be the asymmetry of PRMD9 binding due to differential erasure and resulting heterozygosity of PRMD9 binding motifs (Davies et al. 2016; Hinch et al. 2019). In principle, such asymmetry can vary in hybrids depending on differential erasure of the parental recombination hotspots but it drops to zero in inbred strains because of the sequence identity of homologs. To analyze Prdm9-related variation in meiotic...
chromosome synapsis, we compared the overall asynapsis rates of intersubspecific hybrids (musculus × domesticus) to intrasubspecific hybrids (domesticus × domesticus and musculus × musculus) and control inbred strains (fig. 5A and B; supplementary fig. 2, Supplementary Material online) and their relation to fertility phenotypes. In intersubspecific musculus crosses, the asynapsis rate was reduced from median 45.7% to 9.4% by the humanized Prdm9<sup>dom2H</sup> allele (P < 0.0001, Mann–Whitney test). The musculus intrasubspecific crosses showed median 11.3% asynapsis rate, which was significantly lower than interspecific average (P < 0.0001, Mann–Whitney test), but significantly higher than the asynapsis rate in the humanized crosses (P = 0.046, Mann–Whitney test). As predicted from the absence of hotspot asymmetry, the lowest value of asynapsis, 3.5%, was observed in the PWD strain (compared with humanized crosses P = 0.0144, Mann–Whitney test, fig. 5A). Similarly, in domesticus hybrids, the asynapsis rate was significantly higher in intersubspecific (median 23.4%) compared with the intrasubspecific hybrids (9.9%, P < 0.0001, Mann–Whitney test, fig. 5B), which was significantly higher than in the B6 inbred males (2.2%, P = 0.0484, unpaired t-test). The unexpectedly increased asynapsis rate observed in B6.DX1s compared with B6 (12.6%, P = 0.0032, unpaired t-test) cannot be explained by hotspot asymmetry and will be analyzed separately.

The sperm count and testes weight showed a positive correlation in all hybrids (fig. 6A). Testes <80 mg produced no sperm or extremely low number of sperm cells in the epididymides and occurred exclusively in intersubspecific hybrids. Sterility was consistently associated with high asynapsis rate (range 46–96%) (fig. 7A and B; supplementary fig. S3, Supplementary Material online). In fertile intersubspecific hybrids, the asynapsis rate showed continuous variation inversely proportional to the testes weight and sperm count. Asynapsis and fertility phenotypes were not significantly correlated in intraspecific or humanized interspecific hybrids.

**Discussion**

The Prdm9 gene is the first hybrid sterility gene identified in vertebrates. In this study, we investigated whether it
V. Ivanů et al. 2018). The X–Y intragenomic conflict caused by the copy-number imbalance of the Sla/Sld1 and Sly gene families in hybrids does lead to a hybrid sterility-like incompatibility (Cocquet et al. 2009, 2012; Campbell et al. 2012). However, Prdm9-related infertility operates at the first meiotic prophase, upstream of the postmeiotic defects associated with X–Y intragenomic conflict. Notwithstanding, it is highly likely that the X–Y conflict can operate as a hybrid sterility barrier independently of Prdm9, and, in the case of partial Prdm9-controlled hybrid fertility with attenuated early meiotic arrest, the X–Y interactions could contribute to the strength of the overall reproductive barrier between subspecies (Martinová et al. 2019). The third explanation seems most likely so far. The renewed fertility of Prdm9dom3 hybrids could be either a consequence of presumed low level of erosion of PRDM9dom3 binding sites in SPLY and C3H strains, or due to action of polymorphic accessory factors promoting PRDM9 binding (Mahgoub et al. 2020; Spruce et al. 2020).

Hybrid male sterility was also observed in musculus wild-derived hybrids, where it was restricted to the Prdm9msc1 allele (STUS and PWD strains) and closely similar Prdm9msc2 (SKE) allele. To distinguish the effect of Prdm9 gene from unrelated hybrid sterility factor(s) in the genetic background, we substituted the domesticus Prdm9dom2 allele of the B6.DX1s parent with humanized Prdm9dom2H carrying the Znf array from the human PRDM9B variant. Because the human PRDM9 binding sites have no history of evolutionary erasure in the naive mouse genome, Prdm9dom2H is thought to reverse sterility of (PWD×B6. Prdm9dom2H)F1 hybrids by reducing PRDM9 hotspot asymmetry (Davies et al. 2016). Consequently, if the Prdm9-dependent erasure of DSB hotspots is the main cause of fertility impairment of B6.DX1s×musculus hybrids, we can expect the humanized allele to improve their fertility phenotypes. Indeed, as expected, the humanized Prdm9dom2H gene significantly increased the testes weight, number of sperm, and normalized meiotic chromosome synopsis in intersubspecific hybrids, thus confirming the absence of a major Prdm9-unrelated mechanism of male sterility.

Variation of Meiotic Synapsis and Fertility Parameters within Subspecies

We found that meiotic asynapsis rate >60% is diagnostic for full hybrid sterility in musculus and domesticus intersubspecific F1 hybrids. Remarkably, variation of asynapsis was also found in intrasubspecific crosses within the range of 1.2–30% in intra-domesticus hybrids and 3.8–27.6% in intra-musculus hybrids. It is tempting to speculate that the increased levels of asynapsis in intrasubspecific crosses represent a cytological counterpart of hotspot asymmetry between populations within the same subspecies. To verify the idea, direct estimation of the DSB hotspot asymmetry in intraspecific F1 hybrids and their parental strains is necessary.
Prdm9-Dependent Hybrid Sterility in Musculus/Domesticus Hybrid Zone?

Our relatively simple model of hybrid sterility composed of Prdm9, Hstx2, and genomic asymmetry of PRDM9 binding sites contrasts with the complex polygenic control found in wild mice from the European hybrid zone or from the laboratory intercrosses between wild-derived inbred strains. We believe that the difference can be explained by multiplicity of unrelated reproductive isolation mechanisms differentially revealed by different experimental approaches. For instance, the studies of gene flow across the hybrid zone (Tucker et al. 1992; Macholan et al. 2007; Teeter et al. 2007, 2010; Janoušek et al. 2012) can reveal regions in the genome that are resistant to introgression and potentially carry genes affecting any form of reproductive fitness at prezygotic and/or postzygotic level. In addition, the genome-wide association studies of male fertility in two feline interspecies models (Davis et al. 2015) and in mice from the hybrid zone (Turner and Harr 2014) disclosed complex genetic networks potentially affecting unrelated phenotypes of reproductive isolation. The mouse study included strong GWAS interactions between loci on Chromosomes X and 17, which, however, clearly mapped outside the Prdm9 and Hstx2 loci. The Prdm9-dependent hybrid sterility requires F1 hybrid genetic background since even small stretches of consubspecific sequence present in multiple chromosomes can rescue meiotic synopsis and fertility of hybrids (Gregorova et al. 2018).

The laboratory crosses of wild-derived mouse strains designed to map fertility phenotypes (Good et al. 2007; Vyskocilova et al. 2009; Oka et al. 2010; White et al. 2011, 2012; Wang et al. 2015; Larson et al. 2018; Schwahn et al. 2018) or gene misexpression in hybrid testis (Mack et al. 2016; Mack and Nachman 2017; Morgan et al. 2020) are much closer to our F1 hybrid sterility model. However, although the classical F1 hybrid sterility is governed by underdominance, the fertility of intersubstric specific backcrosses and F2 crosses is affected by more frequent autosomal recessive incompatibilities (Coyne and Orr 2004; White et al. 2011). Indeed, in a study of (PWD×WSB)F2 hybrid population (White et al. 2011), out of 19 autosomal QTLs only three were underdominant, two of them for sperm density and testes size on chromosome 17. Admittedly, there must be some additional genetic factors in Prdm9-controlled hybrid sterility, besides Prdm9, Hstx2, and background heterozygosity, that modify fertility of F1 hybrids. The hybrid sterility factors on chromosomes 3, 9, and 13 polymorphic between PWD and STUS musculus strains could represent possible examples (Bhattacharya et al. 2014).

Previously, it was shown that the intersubspecific F1 hybrids are virtually missing in the central parts of the European zone so that a pure form of F1 hybrid sterility, as seen in (PWD×B6) laboratory crosses, could hardly function as a major reproductive barrier (Macholan et al. 2007; Teeter et al. 2010; Albrechtova et al. 2012; Turner et al. 2012). On the other hand, we know that the Prdm9-dependent meiotic arrest and associated asynapsis between homologous autosomes gradually weakens, but does not disappear in intersubspecific laboratory backcrosses (Dzur-Gejdosova et al. 2012; Gregorova et al. 2018). We can speculate that similar intersubspecific and consubspecific genomic mixture reduces the Prdm9 hotspot asymmetry and results in the prevalence of recessive incompatibilities within the hybrid zone. Future studies will be necessary to elucidate the role of the Prdm9-controlled hybrid sterility in maintenance of the current hybrid zone between the musculus and domesticus subspecies of house mouse.

To conclude, our data show for the first time that the male infertility of wild-derived musculus and domesticus subspecies F1 hybrids is controlled by Prdm9 as the major hybrid sterility gene. The impaired fertility surrogates, testes weight and sperm count, negatively correlated with increasing difficulties of meiotic synopsis of homologous chromosomes and meiotic arrest, which might reflect the increasing asymmetry of PRDM9-dependent DNA DSBs.

Materials and Methods

Mice

Except for the PWD/Ph strain (Gregorova and Forejt 2000), all wild-derived inbred strains (F13−F61) in this study have been developed and maintained in the breeding facility of the Institute of Vertebrate Biology in Studenec (Pialek et al. 2007; Baird and Macholan 2012; Albrechtová et al. 2014; Martincová et al. 2019), with licenses for maintaining the mice and experimental work (61,974/2017-MZE-17214 and 62,065/2017-MZE-17214, respectively). The localities of origin of the musculus strains are: SKA/Jpia—Kaerepere, Estonia, [N: 58° 57′, E: 24° 50′], SKE/Jpia—Keava, Estonia [N: 58° 56′ 27″, E: 24° 54″], BULS/Jpia and BUSNA/Jpia—Buskovec, Czech Republic [N: 50° 13′, E: 13° 23′], PWD/Ph—Kunratice (Prague), Czech Republic, STUF/Jpia and STUS/Jpia—Studenec, Czech Republic [N: 49° 12′, E: 16° 04′], SENK/Jpia—Senkvice, Slovak Republic [N: 48° 18′, E: 17° 21′]. The domesticus strains: STRA/Jpia and STRB/Jpia—Straas, Bavaria, Germany [N: 50° 11′, E: 11° 46′], STAIL/Jpia, SCHEST/Jpia, SCHUNT/Jpia—Schweben, Hessen, Germany [N: 50° 26′, E: 9° 35′], SPLY/Jpia—Plößnitz, Bavaria, Germany [N: 49° 51′ 18″, E: 11° 47″], SIN/Jpia and SIL/Jpia—Scar, Whitemill Bay, Sanday Island, Orkneys, Scotland [N: 59° 18′, E: −2° 33′].

The C57BL/6-Chr X1s (PWD/Ph; Forejt) (short, B6.DX1s) is a consomic strain carrying 69.6 Mb of the proximal PWD sequence on the genetic background of the B6/J strain (Bhattacharyya et al. 2014). Cosogenic strain CSB6/6-Prdm9Tmhu (abbreviated B6.Prdm9H1.mut1H1) (Davies et al. 2016) was kindly provided by Dr Simon Myers, Oxford University, UK. All mice were maintained in the Specific Pathogen-Free Facilities, in accordance to animal care protocols approved by the Committee on the Ethics of Animal Experiments of the Institute (No. 141/2012). The animal care obeyed the Czech Republic Act for Experimental Work with Animals (Decree No. 207/2004 Sb and Acts Nos 246/92 Sb and 77/2004 Sb), fully compatible with the corresponding regulations and standards of the European Union (Council Directive 86/609/EEC and Appendix A of the Council of Europe Convention ETS123).
Genotyping of Mouse Strains and Quality Control

One male and one female from each of 17 strains of interest (including PWK/Ph and C57BL/6J) were genotyped at 143,259 markers with the GigaMUGA array (Neogen Europe, Ayr, Scotland). An updated annotation for the GigaMUGA array (https://kbroman.org/MUGAarrays/new_annotations.html, last accessed July 11, 2020) was used for all subsequent analyses. All samples had <10% missing calls, a useful cutoff for this platform (Morgan et al. 2015), and <5% heterozygous calls, as expected for inbred strains. The sex of each sample was confirmed by comparing hybridization intensities for X- and Y-linked markers.

Ancestry Inference

To define ancestry-informative markers, we used published genotypes on the GigaMUGA array from 15 wild-caught M. m. domesticus and 5 wild-caught M. m. musculus individuals (Morgan 2015). The domesticus set includes mice trapped in Greece, Spain, Italy, Switzerland, and the eastern United States. The musculus set includes mice trapped in China, Poland, Germany, and Russia. All trapping locations were far from the musculus–domesticus hybrid zone, so these individuals are presumed to be pure representatives of their subspecies.

Autosomes and X Chromosome. Markers with absolute allele frequency differences >0.75 between the musculus and domesticus reference groups and <25% missing genotypes within each group were retained as putative ancestry-informative sites. A total of 28,146 unique autosomal and 1,303 X-linked sites were retained. Genotypes for inbred strains of interest were recoded as musculus, domesticus, or heterozygous at each marker according to the consensus allele in each reference group. A hidden Markov model (HMM) was then used to decode ancestry along the genome of each inbred strain. The HMM has two hidden states, musculus and domesticus. (Given the low observed residual heterozygosity in these strains, a musculus/domesticus heterozygous state was not modeled.) The probability of transition between states over consecutive sites is 1e-5; the probability of observing the opposite subspecies’ allele is 5e-2; and probability of observing a heterozygous genotype is 1e-3. Although these parameters were set arbitrarily, we confirmed that the output of the procedure is relatively insensitive to their values. The HMM was applied separately to observed genotypes of each sample, and the posterior decoding was obtained by the Viterbi algorithm. Per-site ancestry was aggregated into blocks by simple run-length encoding. For strains previously analyzed using the Mouse Diversity Array (Yang et al. 2011), we confirmed by manual inspection that introgressed blocks >5 Mb in size were recovered in both platforms. Analyses were performed in “R” v3.3.2 using packages “argyle” (https://github.com/andrewparkermorgan/argyle; last accessed July 11, 2020) and “HMM” (https://cran-project.org/package=HMM; last accessed July 11, 2020). The ancestry origin of C3H/Di strain was extrapolated from Mouse Phylogeny Viewer (http://msub.csbio.unc.edu/; last accessed July 11, 2020).

Sequencing of Mouse Prdm9 Alleles and Phylogeny

Partially purified DNA from mouse spleens was isolated by Puregene Core Kit A (QIAGEN 158267) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. DNA template of 50–100 ng was used for amplification of Prdm9 Exon12 in a reaction mixture containing primers for Prdm9 Exon12: Exon12-L—TGAGATCTGAGAAGTAAAGAG and Exon12-R—TGCTGTGGCTTTCTCATTTC with a concentration of 0.4 μM of each primer, 0.2 mM dNTP, 2 mM MgCl₂, and Taq DNA Polymerase, recombinant (1 U/ml) (Thermofisher Scientific EP0404) by 0.15 U per reaction. Samples were amplified by BIOER XP Cycler under the PCR program: 94 °C for 5 min and 40 cycles at 94 °C for 30 s, 61 °C for 1 min, 68 °C for 2 min, and a single step after the cycles of 72 °C for 7 min. The PCR products were resolved in 2% agarose gel allowing visual estimation of the quality and homogeneity of amplified DNA. The samples were prepared for sequencing as ExoSAP-treated samples. The obtained sequencing data were visualized and extracted by the Chromas Lite 2.1 application.

In crosses between heterozygous Prdm9<sub>dom2H</sub>/♀ females versus wild males, the type of Prdm9 allele in the hybrid offspring was checked by forward primer (5’TCTGCCCATCACTCCTGGTTGA-3’) and reverse primer (5’TCTGAAGCCCAACTATTTCAATTACCC-3’). A 677-bp amplicon was obtained from the humanized allele and a 491-bp amplicon was obtained from the wild-type allele (Davies et al. 2016). The reaction mixture was the same as for the sequencing of Prdm9 Exon12 by PCR program: 95 °C for 2 min and 40 cycles at 95 °C for 30 s, 55 °C for 40 s, 72 °C for 40 s, and a single step after the cycles of 72 °C for 3 min.

The evolutionary relationships of among Prdm9 alleles were inferred using the neighbor-joining method (Saitou and Nei 1987). The optimal tree with the sum of branch length = 0.03369633 is shown. The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (10,000 replicates) is shown next to the branches (Felsenstein 1985). The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths in the same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree. The evolutionary distances were computed using the maximum composite likelihood method (Tamura et al. 2004) and are in the units of the number of base substitutions per site. This analysis involved 17 nucleotide sequences. Codon positions included were 1st + 2nd + 3rd+Noncoding. All ambiguous positions were removed for each sequence pair (pairwise deletion option). There were a total of 1,263 positions in the final data set. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA X (Kumar et al. 2018).

Fertility Phenotyping

Males were euthanized by cervical dislocation at 60 dpd and their body weight, the weight of paired testes in milligrams (TW), and sperm count in million was determined. Since the correlation between the body weight and testes weight was not significant in hybrid males (supplementary fig. S4, Supplementary Material online), we used the absolute weight of paired testes as one of the fertility phenotypes. Spermatozoa were released from the whole epididymides,
and the number of sperm heads was counted in 25 squares of a Bürker chamber using an Olympus CX41 microscope under 200× magnification (for details, see Vyskočilová et al. [2005]). For each F1 cross, four to eight hybrid males were examined for testes weight and sperm count.

**Immunostaining and Asynapsis Rate Determination**

For immunocytochemistry, the spread spermatocyte nuclei were prepared as described (Anderson et al. 1999) with modifications. Briefly, a single-cell suspension of spermatogenic cells in 0.1 M sucrose with protease inhibitors (Roche) was dropped on 1% paraformaldehyde-treated slides and allowed to settle for 3 h in a humidified box at 4 °C. After brief washing in distilled water and PBS and blocking with 5% goat sera in PBS (vol/vol), the cells were immunolabeled using a standard protocol with the following antibodies: anti-HORMAD2 (1:700, rabbit polyclonal antibody, a gift from Attila Toth) and SYCP3 (1:100, mouse monoclonal antibody, Santa Cruz, #74569). Centromere painting was done by human antibodies from autoimmune serum, AB-Incorporated, 15-235. Rabbit α-γ-H2AX (1:1000, Rabbit polyclonal anti gamma H2AX, ABCAM, ab2893) identified early DSBs and unsynapsed parts of autosomes and sex chromosomes. Secondary antibodies were used at 1:300 dilutions and incubated at 4 °C for 60 min: goat anti-Mouse IgG-AlexaFluor568 (MolecularProbes, A-11031), goat anti-Rabbit IgG-AlexaFluor647 (MolecularProbes, A-21245), goat anti-Human IgG-AlexaFluor647 (MolecularProbes, A-21445), goat anti-Rabbit IgG-AlexaFluor488 (MolecularProbes, A-11034).

The images were acquired and examined using a Nikon Eclipse 400 microscope with a motorized stage control using a Plan Fluor objective, 60× (MRH00601; Nikon) and captured using a DS-QiMc monochrome CCD camera (Nikon) and the NIS-Elements program (Nikon). The images were processed using the Image J software (Schneider et al. 2012). For each sample, we analyzed between 68 and 121 pachynemas. The number of asynapses per nucleus was scored in each pachynema. One asynapsis was equal to one HORMAD2 stained element, excluding XY chromosomes. The asynapsis rate was represented as the percentage of pachynemas with asynapses out of the total number of the checked pachynemas of each male. A minimum of three males per F1 cross was used for asynapsis rate estimation.

**Statistics**

The statistical significance for the testes weight and sperm count was assessed by two-tailed Mann–Whitney test. Asynapsis rate was evaluated by unpaired t-test in the GraphPad Prism version 8.4.0. for MacOS.

**Supplementary Material**

Supplementary data are available at Molecular Biology and Evolution online.
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