OGLE-LMC-ECL-11893: THE DISCOVERY OF A LONG-PERIOD ECLIPSING BINARY WITH A CIRCUMSTELLAR DISK
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ABSTRACT

We report the serendipitous discovery of a disk–eclipse system OGLE-LMC-ECL-11893. The eclipse occurs with a period of 468 days, a duration of about 15 days, and a deep (up to Δm1 ∼ 1.5), peculiar, and asymmetric profile. A possible origin of such an eclipse profile involves a circumstellar disk. The presence of the disk is confirmed by the H-α line profile from the follow-up spectroscopic observations, and the star is identified as Be/Ae type. Unlike the previously known disk–eclipse candidates, the eclipses of OGLE-LMC-ECL-11893 retain the same shape throughout the span of ∼17 yr (13 orbital periods), indicating no measurable orbital precession of the disk.
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1. IDENTIFICATION OF THE PECCULAR ECLIPSES OF OGLE-LMC-ECL-11893

The OGLE LMC eclipsing binary (EB) catalog (Graczyk et al. 2011) reported the discovery of 26121 EBs toward the LMC. In 2011 November, while searching the catalog for long-period (period P > 100 days), highly eccentric binaries (Dong et al. 2013), we serendipitously identified a very unusual EB OGLE-LMC-ECL-11893 (R.A.: 05:17:21.17 ± 0.00:55:7; J2000) by visual inspection. The period-folded I-band light curve shows an eclipse with a peculiar shape (Figure 1). The data are taken from phases II and III of the OGLE survey (Udalski et al. 1997, 2008) and span 12 yr (further data from OGLE-IV survey are discussed in Section 2). The period is 468.124 days, and the baseline I-band magnitude is about 17.6.

Each eclipse occurrence is shown with a different color. The shape of the OGLE-LMC-ECL-11893 eclipses.

1. The eclipse profile, which spans about 15 days, has an unusual asymmetric shape that cannot be produced by a spherical occulting star. The shape of the profile bears a close similarity to EE Cephei during its 2008/9 eclipse (e.g., see the right panel of Figure 3 in Gałan et al. 2012) and the duration of EE Cephei is also similar (∼30 days). The ingress and egress of OGLE-LMC-ECL-11893 are steeper than those of EE Cephei.

2. The eclipse is deep, with a maximal depth of ∼1.5 mag or equivalent, and 75% of the I-band light is occulted. This is comparable to that of EE Cephei, whose eclipse depths vary between ∼0.5 and 2.0 mag (Gałan et al. 2012).

3. The shape of the eclipse does not change with time. The nine eclipses spanning 17 yr detected so far have exactly the same profile within measurement uncertainties. This is in contrast with EE Cephei and three other well-known disk–eclipse events (ε Aurigae, OGLE-LMC-ECL-17782, and KH 15D), whose eclipse depths vary from one orbital period to another. These variations are generally attributed to the precessions of the disks.

The similarities between OGLE-LMC-ECL-11893 and EE Cephei hint that they have similar physical origins, possibly disk-eclipsing events, as suggested by Gałan et al. (2012) for EE Cephei.

2. FOLLOW-UP OBSERVATIONS

We obtained two optical spectra of OGLE-LMC-ECL-11893, first on 2011 December 23 with IMACS f/2 (Dressler et al. 2011) mounted on the Magellan Baade 6.5 m and the second on 2013 January 7 with the Magellan Echellette spectrograph (MagE; Marshall et al. 2008). For the IMACS f/2 spectrum, we used the 300 1 mm−1 grism and 0.7 slits, which gives resolution $R = 1800$ and wavelength coverage 3700–9500 Å. We show the IMACS spectrum of the star in the upper panel of Figure 2. MagE with 1" slit gives $R = 4800$ and wavelength...
coverage 3300–10,000 Å. The IMACS spectrum was reduced with standard techniques in IRAF. The MagE spectrum was reduced with the Carnegie pipeline from D. Kelson.

Using the Ulyss package, which constrains stellar parameters by fitting stellar spectra with the Elodie spectroscopy library (Koleva et al. 2009), we find that the spectrum is consistent with that of a late B/early A-type giant with $T_{\text{eff}} \sim 10,000$ K. The RV of the star measured from the MagE spectrum is 270 km s$^{-1}$, consistent with the radial velocity (RV) of the LMC. In both spectra, we find H-α line profiles characteristic of circumstellar disks (Silaj et al. 2010), confirming the existence of the disk indicated by the eclipse and that the system is of Be/Ae type. The H-α profile in the MagE spectrum is shown in the lower panel of Figure 2. The H-α profile is similar to that of ε Aurigae (Silaj et al. 2010), typical for a Be star observed edge-on (see Figure 3 in Slettebak 1979). ε Aurigae is a disk-eclipsing event for which the disk was directly confirmed by infrared interferometry (Kloppenborg et al. 2010).

Multi-band photometry obtained using the 1 m telescopes (Domes A and B) at LCOGT’s site in Chile (CTIO) during 2013 February and March (at the early commissioning stages of the telescopes) are shown in Figure 3 and given in Table 1. The observations were conducted through the Observation Control interface developed for the RoboNet project, taken in semirobotic mode as the underlying software was in the process of being upgraded to integrate with the new 1 m network at the time. The image data were preprocessed using LCOGT’s Standard Pipeline, which is based around ORAC-DR, after which the RoboNet pipeline (based on DanDIA by Bramich 2008) was used for difference image analysis photometry.

The different bands (filled and empty dots) are compared to the folded $I$ and $V$ bands OGLE II, III, and IV photometry (empty squares and filled and empty pentagons). Given the photometric uncertainties in the LCOGT data, there is reasonable agreement between the LCOGT data and the OGLE data for the $V$ and $I$ bands where they could be directly compared. While most bands have a roughly similar profile, the eclipse depth seems to increase for bluer bands (from $I$, $R$, $V$, to $B$). In particular, the $B$ band seems to have a consistently deeper eclipse and the two $B$-band measurements during HJD 2,456,353, in the deepest point of the eclipse, seem to be particularly deep compared to
Table 1

| Telescope ID        | Filter | HJD (days) | m<sub>ins</sub> (mag) | σ<sub>m</sub> (mag) | f<sub>ref</sub> (ADU s<sup>-1</sup>) | σ<sub>ref</sub> (ADU s<sup>-1</sup>) | f<sub>diff</sub> (ADU s<sup>-1</sup>) | σ<sub>diff</sub> (ADU s<sup>-1</sup>) | p      |
|---------------------|--------|------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------|
| lsc-doma-1m0-05-kb78 | B      | 2,456,343.58641 | 21.502                | 0.034             | 69.323                           | 1.879                            | −43.868                          | 0.773                            | 0.9913 |
| lsc-doma-1m0-05-kb78 | B      | 2,456,343.59010 | 21.404                | 0.032             | 69.323                           | 1.879                            | −41.498                          | 0.804                            | 0.9908 |
|                     |        |            |                       |                   |                                  |                                  |                                  |                                  |        |
| lsc-doma-1m0-05-kb78 | V      | 2,456,343.60545 | 21.035                | 0.021             | 38.004                           | 3.224                            | 0.599                            | 0.819                            | 1.0731 |
| lsc-doma-1m0-05-kb78 | V      | 2,456,343.68000 | 21.011                | 0.026             | 38.004                           | 3.224                            | 1.451                            | 0.976                            | 1.0451 |
|                     |        |            |                       |                   |                                  |                                  |                                  |                                  |        |
| lsc-domeb-1m0-09-kb73 | I      | 2,456,364.58433 | 20.885                | 0.019             | 43.815                           | 2.107                            | 0.426                            | 0.787                            | 1.0018 |
| lsc-domeb-1m0-09-kb73 | I      | 2,456,364.58801 | 20.923                | 0.020             | 43.815                           | 2.107                            | −1.077                           | 0.786                            | 1.0024 |

Notes. The instrumental magnitudes are listed in Column 4 corresponding to the LCOGT site/dome/telescope/instrument code, filter, and epoch of mid-exposure listed in Columns 1–3, respectively. The uncertainty on m<sub>ins</sub> is listed in Column 5. For completeness, we also list the quantities f<sub>ref</sub>, f<sub>diff</sub>, and p in Columns 6, 8, and 10, along with the uncertainties σ<sub>ref</sub> and σ<sub>diff</sub> in Columns 7 and 9. Note that m<sub>ins</sub>(t) = 25 − 2.5 log(f<sub>ref</sub>(t) + f<sub>diff</sub>(t)/p(t))) where t is time. Note that these magnitudes are not calibrated to standard systems and they should be used only for studying the relative flux changes. The calibrated magnitudes of the system at the baseline are: I = 17.55 ± 0.03, V = 17.74 ± 0.02, and B = 17.95 ± 0.04.

This table is available in its entirety in a machine-readable form in the online journal. A portion is shown here for guidance regarding its form and content.

3. DISCUSSION

Assuming a circular orbit, the size of an eclipsing region is of the order of: D ≈ 2πaΔt/P = Δt(2πGM<sub>tot</sub>/P)<sup>1/3</sup> ≈ 0.35(M<sub>tot</sub>/3M<sub>S</sub>)<sup>1/3</sup>AU for eclipse duration Δt ≈ 15 days and P = 468 days, where M<sub>tot</sub> is the total mass of the system and a is the semi-major axis.

Three well-known periodic disk–eclipse EB candidates are ε Aurigae (P = 27 yr), EE Cephei (P = 5.6 yr), and OGLE-LMC-ECL-17782 (P = 13.4 day, identified by Graczyk et al. 2011 in the OGLE LMC EB catalog). The remarkably complicated eclipses of KH 15D are interpreted as a circumbinary disk eclipsing a pair of stars (Winn et al. 2006). Evidences for disk-eclipsing nature of young stellar objects YLW 16A and WL 4 have been presented in Plavchan et al. (2013) and Parks et al. (2014). An additional disk–eclipse candidate with one occurrence of eclipse was suggested by Mamajek et al. (2012). A LMC Be star, FTS ID 78.5979.72, which had a sudden transition from no measurable variabilities to eclipsing with short time variabilities, was reported in Struble et al. (2006).

The period, depth, eclipse duration, and stellar type of OGLE-LMC-ECL-11893 are similar to those of EE Cephei, suggesting a similar origin for the peculiar eclipses in both systems. The H–α line profile is similar to that of ε Aurigae. One important difference is that the shape of OGLE-LMC-ECL-11893 appears to be constant while those of EE Cephei, ε Aurigae, OGLE-LMC-ECL-17782, and KH 15D change between eclipses, interpreted as precessions of disks. OGLE-LMC-ECL-11893 is exceptional in this respect in that it does not have measurable precession. Another interesting qualitative difference between OGLE-LMC-ECL-11893 and EE Cephei is that the former has relatively short durations of the ingress and egress than the latter.

The disk–eclipse scenario along with other physical properties of the system will be studied in detail by E. Scott et al. (2014, in preparation).
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The serendipitous identification of OGLE-LMC-ECL-11893 demonstrates the power of the OGLE survey in making discoveries of unanticipated variables, thanks to its long-term, high-cadence, and high quality photometry of a large number of stars. This has implications for planning future large time-domain surveys such as LSST because the overwhelming majority of such systems in the Galaxy would lie in the inner two quadrants of the Galactic disk, a region that was excluded in the recently published LSST planning (see Figure 1 of Gould 2013 and Figure 4.4 of Abate et al. 2012).
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