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**Background**

Inguinal hernia repair is one of the most common procedures and represents as an example of ambulatory surgery. Any techniques providing better analgesia, earlier ambulation, and shorter hospitalization are considered to be important factors. The choice of anesthetic technique is concerned to have a major impact on the postoperative period. Local anesthesia, spinal anesthesia and general anesthesia are commonly used for this operation. While spinal anesthesia has been shown to decrease postoperative pain after inguinal herniorrhaphy compared with general anesthesia; local anesthesia has been shown to provide a shorter hospital time, lower cost and no side effect compared with spinal and general anesthesia.\(^{[1-6]}\) Although the local anesthesia seems to have superior results in many clinical aspects, some studies have reported less patients’ satisfaction, lower benefits and more risk of recurrence rates with this technique.\(^{[7-9]}\) Nowadays, there is still no consensus about the best anesthetic technique for inguinal hernia repair.

Surgical manipulation associates with degree of inflammatory response and leads to various postoperative outcomes. There is significant evidence showing that certain cytokines is directly involved in activating nociceptive sensory neurons.\(^{[10]}\) One study in 2001 demonstrated that there was a relationship between the inflammatory response and the number of postoperative days before recovery and length of hospital stay.\(^{[11, 12]}\) Interventions or equipment affecting the acute inflammatory response have been consider to be important factors to manipulate surgical outcomes including the prosthetic mesh and surgical manipulation which induce physiological changes and acute inflammatory response. Many studies have been published on the modifications of inflammatory serum markers with different mesh implantation or surgical technique.\(^{[13-17]}\) Another study proposed that the measurement strategy considering the link between biology and outcome.\(^{[18]}\) Local anesthetics is a medication having a wide range of anti-inflammatory actions through their effects on
cells of the immune system, however the effect of anesthetic technique with local anesthetics on the inflammatory response and the relation between the inflammatory process and clinical outcomes in inguinal hernia repair have not been done yet.\(^{(19-28)}\)

This present randomized trial is designed to evaluate the postoperative pain, postoperative analgesic medication, length of hospital stay and the modifications of inflammatory mediators in patients undergoing inguinal hernia repair using local, spinal or general anesthesia.

**Methods**

**Patient selection**

After approval by Siriraj Institutional Review Board, a prospective randomized study in patients scheduled to undergo elective unilateral inguinal hernia repair at Siriraj Hospital will be undertaken. Patients, ASA I–III, greater than 18 years old will be approached in the outpatient surgical department and recruited in this study. Informed written consent will be obtained from each of them. Exclusion criteria are allergy to any medication used this study, femoral hernia, recurrent hernia, bilateral hernia, bleeding abnormalities, severe hepatic, renal or cardiovascular disease, chronic use of opioid, history of using steroidal or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in the past 6 months, inability to communicate in Thai or to understand the purpose of the study.

**Anesthesia and surgical procedure**

All patients will be admitted one day before operation as routine and receive no premedication. Patients will be randomly allocated in the morning of the operation to receive one of the three anesthetic techniques: local anesthesia (LA), spinal anesthesia (SA) or general anesthesia (GA) for their inguinal hernia repair. The randomization process will be done by the use of a computer-generated number sealed in a brown envelope. All the patients will undergo standardized inguinal hernia repairs by three surgeons, A Trakarnsanga; V Chinsawangtanakul; T Akaraviputh, who agree to follow a precise protocol using Lichtenstein technique as described by Amid.\(^{(29)}\)

Patients in the LA group received local anesthesia according to a simple six-step infiltration technique as the protocol described by Amid, et al. with 0.5% bupivacaine plus 2% lidocaine with adrenaline (1:200,000) by surgeons.\(^{(30)}\) Surgeons will be taught to do the local anesthetic technique in a standardized manner. In the SA group, patients will be positioned in the lateral position and a Whitacre 25 G needle will be inserted at L3-4 intervertebral space and then heavy bupivacaine 0.5% 15 mg will be injected. Sensory block (T4 and below dermatomes) to cold and pinprick will be tested before starting
operation. An incremental dose containing 1 mg of midazolam and 25 mcg of fentanyl will be intravenously given if patients in the LA and SA group require. In the GA group, patients will be induced with propofol 2 mg/kg and fentanyl 1.5 µg /kg. They are then allowed to breathe spontaneously with sevoflurane 2% to 2.5% in a mixture of 60% oxygen through a laryngeal mask. End-tidal concentration of sevoflurane will be adjusted to keep end-tidal sevoflurane 1MAC. Supplemental doses of 25 µg of fentanyl will be administered if intraoperative heart rate and blood pressure are greater than 20% of baseline.

For postoperative analgesia, all groups will receive infiltration 10 ml of 0.5% bupivacaine into the surgical wounds. They will also receive oral acetaminophen two tablets every 6 hours and Arcoxia® (etoricoxib) 60-90 mg daily unless contraindicated for the duration of their hospital stay. Intravenous morphine 1-2 mg will be provided every 4 hours as a breakthrough medication.

For hospital discharge, patients will be allowed to discharge home when they fulfill criteria: obtain and self-administer medications; perform self-care activities; eat an appropriate diet or otherwise manage nutritional needs; follow-up with designated providers.

**Blood samples**

A blood sample 7 mL will be taken at anticubital vein with aseptic technique, proper decontamination procedures and needle 22-gauge on the preoperative day, at 8 and 24 hours after completion of the surgery. Blood samples will be collected in tubes without anticoagulant to perform interleukin-1 beta (IL-1 beta), IL-6 and IL-10 assays. All blood samples will be centrifuged for 15 min at 1000g. Serum will be stored at −80 °C until performing assay for cytokines. The IL-1 beta, IL-6 and IL-10 will be assessed in the serum with LEGEND MAX Human Interleukin ELISA Kit (Biolegend, USA). Briefly, the quantitative sandwich enzyme immunoassay technique uses monoclonal antibodies specific for IL-1 beta or IL-6 or IL-10. Serum concentrations will be calculated by using regression analysis with standard curves and expressed as picograms per milliliter (pg /ml). All samples will be measured in duplicate, with averages used in the statistical analyses. The minimum detectable concentrations IL-1 beta, IL-6 and IL-10 are 0.5 pg /ml, 1.6 pg /ml and 2 pg /ml, respectively.

**Data collection**

All the perioperative data will be collected from patients’ chart and filled in case record form by one of the authors. The ward nurses round and the postoperative anesthetic nurses round will follow the care pathway as routine. Patients have no
restrictions on activities, and they are encouraged to resume work and normal daily activities as soon as possible. Patients are discharged in accordance with routine at our respective hospital.

The following data will be collected: demographic characteristics, diagnosis, duration of anesthesia and surgery, conversion to other anesthetic techniques or other operations, quality of pain relief, postoperative use of analgesics and amount of analgesic medication, intraoperative and postoperative complications, incidence of postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV), length of postoperative hospital stay, acute inflammatory markers, patient satisfaction, incidence of complications and readmission rate during 30 days after the operation. Complications are defined as bleeding or hematoma necessitating reoperation or compression bandage, urinary retention that requires catheterization, fever > 38°Celsius requiring medication treatment.

**Outcome measures**

Primary outcome was postoperative pain on mobilization at 24 hours after surgery, as measured by verbal rating scale (VRS) that ranged from 0 (no pain) to 10 (worst pain). Additionally, postoperative pain on mobilization were recorded at 8 hours and pain at rest were recorded at 2, 8 and 24 hours after surgery. Secondary outcome measures were acute inflammatory markers. Intermediate outcomes included duration of anesthesia and surgery, conversion to other anesthetic techniques, postoperative use of analgesics and amount of analgesic medication, incidence of nausea and vomiting, length of postoperative hospital stay in hours, patient satisfaction measured by verbal rating scale (VRS) that ranged from 0 (worst) to 100 (best), incidence of complications, readmission rate during 30 days after the operation, cost of intraoperative period and total cost for inguinal hernia repair.

**Sample size calculation**

The primary outcome was postoperative pain on mobilization at 24 hours after the operation. The primary outcome was postoperative pain on mobilization at 24 hours after the operation. The sample size was based on data from two previous studies.\(^2,4\) Using nQuery Advisor version 7.0 (Statistical Solutions, Cork, Ireland), a balanced analysis of variance (ANOVA) test was performed to obtain a type I error of 0.05 and a power of 80%. The calculated sample size per group was 15 patients, which was increased to 18 patients per group to compensate for a dropout rate that was estimated not to exceed 20%.

**Statistical analysis**
Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS Statistics version 18 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Categorical variables were analyzed using chi-square test or Fisher's exact test with compare column proportions and adjust p-values (Bonferroni method), and continuous variables were analyzed using either ANOVA with Bonferroni post hoc test for normally distributed data or Kruskall-Wallis test for non-normally distributed data. Categorical variables are presented as number and percentage, and continuous variables are presented as mean ± standard deviation or median and range (min – max). All statistical tests were two-tailed, and a p-value of less than 0.05 was regarded as being statistically significant.
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Recruit patients

**Inclusion criteria**
- Patient ASA I–III, age ≥ 18
- undergoing inguinal hernia

**Exclusion criteria**
- allergy to any medication used this study
- femoral hernia
- recurrent or bilateral inguinal hernia
- bleeding abnormalities
- severe hepatic, renal or cardiovascular disease
- chronic use of opioid
- hx of using steroid/NSAIDs in the past 6 months
- inability to communicate

**Before operation:** A blood sample 7 mL will be collected before prescribe iv fluid administration

Randomly allocated

**Local anesthesia (LA)**
- Sedation: Dormicum 1 mg and fentanyl 25 mcg
- Patients will receive local anesthesia with 1% lidocaine adrenaline

**Spinal anesthesia (SA)**
- Sedation: Dormicum 1 mg and fentanyl 25 mcg
- 0.5% heavy bupivacaine 15 mg will be injected to initiate sensory block at T4 level

**General anesthesia (GA)**
- Induction: propofol, fentanyl
- Airway: LMA
- Maintain: Sevo in 60% O2, fentanyl (if need)

**Before finish operation:** 0.5% bupivacaine 10 ml will locally be infiltrated around incision.

**Postoperative pain control**
1. Oral acetaminophen one-two tablets every 6 hours
2. Arcoxia® (etoricoxib) 60-90 mg daily unless contraindicated
3. morphine 1-2 mg IV will be provided prn q 4 h as a breakthrough medication

**POD 8 hr after operation:** Pain at rest and on mobilization (score 0-10) will be records
- A blood sample 7 mL will be collected ->

**POD 24 hr after operation:** Pain at rest and on mobilization (score 0-10) will be records
- A blood sample 7 mL will be collected -> send to department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital. Tel. 0241970000 ext 8409 or contact Navin Tel. 0816490040

**Total postop analgesics:** Morphine-dose
- Paracetamol, NSAIDs

**Complication:** N/V, urinary retention, bleeding/hematoma, fever, infection

**Patient satisfaction**
In the LA group, patients will receive local anesthesia, 0.5% bupivacaine plus 2% lidocaine with adrenaline (1:200,000) (maximum dose is 300 mg in plain form, and 500 mg with epinephrine), similar to that described by Amid et al. Surgeons will be taught to do the local anesthetic technique in a standardized manner.

In the SA group, patients will be positioned in the lateral position and a Whitacre 25 G needle will be inserted at L3-4 intervertebral space and then heavy bupivacaine 0.5% 15 mg will be injected. Sensory block (T4 and below dermatomes) to cold and pinprick will be tested before starting operation.

An incremental dose containing 1 mg of midazolam and 25 mcg of fentanyl will be intravenously given if patients in the LA and SA group require.

In the GA group, patients will be induced with propofol 2 mg/kg and fentanyl 1.5 µg /kg. They are then allowed to breathe spontaneously with sevoflurane 2% to 2.5% in a mixture of 60% oxygen through a laryngeal mask. End-tidal concentration of sevoflurane will be adjusted to keep end-tidal sevoflurane 1MAC. Supplemental doses of 25 µg of fentanyl will be administered if intraoperative heart rate and blood pressure are greater than 20% of baseline.

Postoperative analgesia,
- All groups will receive infiltration 10 ml of 0.5% bupivacaine into the surgical wounds.
- Oral acetaminophen two tablets every 6 hours
- Arcoxia® (etoricoxib) 60-90 mg daily unless contraindicated for the duration of their hospital stay
- Intravenous morphine 1-2 mg will be provided every 4 hours as a breakthrough medication.
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