APPLICATION OF ULTRASONIC OR MICROWAVE RADIATION TO DELAY CRYSTALLIZATION AND LIQUEFY SOLID HONEY
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A b s t r a c t
Crystallization of honey is a natural process occurring during honey storage and forces beekeepers to practice the decrystallization process, which mainly concerns honey heating. The aim of this study was to examine the possible use of ultrasounds or microwave radiation to delay the crystallization of honey and to liquefy crystallized honeys while maintaining their biological activity. Lime, acacia and multifloral honeys obtained from a local apiary were used. Fresh honeys were pretreated through ultrasounds (40 kHz, for 5 and 20 min) or microwaves (800 W, 4 x 30s) in order to obtain samples U5, U20 and M, respectively. Experimental and control samples were stored for twelve months at room temperature (20±2°C) without light. Crystallized honey was liquefied through the same methods of ultrasounds (sample U5* and U20*) and microwaves (sample M*). Naturally crystallized honeys were used as the controls. For fixed (U5, U20 and M) and decrystallized (U5*, U20*, M*) honeys, the water content (refractometrically), antioxidant properties (DPPH method), total phenolics content (Folin-Ciocalteu method) and enzymatic activity (diastase, α-glucosidase, β-galactosidase and α-mannosidase) were determined. The analyzed physicochemical parameters for both fixed and liquefied honeys did not differ significantly (P>0.05) in comparison to the control honey. Moreover, the decrystallization process increased the antioxidant activity of all tested honeys. The smallest changes in honey properties to ultrasonic treatments were observed, and this method was recommended to delay the crystallization process and significantly accelerate the liquefaction time of solid honeys without compromising its quality.
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I N T R O D U C T I O N
Honey is a natural sweet food product produced by bees (Apis mellifera), which contains mainly simple sugars and water, but also organic acids, amino acids, vitamins, enzymes, bioelements, antioxidants and phenolic compounds (Sohaimy et al., 2015). Their content fluctuates significantly, mainly depending on the type and species of plants from which bees collect nectar or honeydew (Borkowska et al., 2011). To this date, over three-hundred ingredients belonging to several chemical groups have been discovered in honey, but most occur in trace amounts (Abeshu & Bekesho, 2016). Honey crystallization is a natural phenomenon occurring in natural unadulterated honey (Stasiak & Dolatowski, 2007). It is a physical process and therefore does not change the healing and nutritional properties of honey (Bakier & Pękala, 2007). From a physical point of view, crystallization is the separation of glucose crystals from its supersaturated solution (Thrasyvoulou et al., 1994). Nectar honeys crystallize faster than honeydew honey, due to the low glucose and the high melecytose and fructose content honeydew honey crystallize very slowly (Rybak-Chmielewska et al., 2013). Decrystallization, reverse to the crystallization process, involves the liquefaction of honey from a solid (crust) to a liquid phase (Subramanian et al., 2017). The process is most often carried out in a water bath at a temperature no higher than 40°C, for 24-48 hours. However, keeping honey
for several days at 42°C can cause changes as strong as short-term heating (Kowalski et al., 2012). All honey types behave similarly when heated, which causes the loss of the crystalline phase. Despite the carried-out treatments, honey crystals often remain invisible resulting in re-crystallization. Improper decrystallization results in honey overheating and quality decrease (Bhandari et al., 2009). The main symptoms of honey overheating are a decrease in diastase number and an increase in 5-hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF or 5-HMF) content (Dżugan et al., 2018). HMF is the basic product of the transformation of simple sugars through dehydrogenation in acid environment or during the Maillard reaction. HMF is not present or occurs in trace amounts in freshly collected honey. Its content increases when honey is overheated and thus it is recognized as a marker of the correct storage and distribution of honey. HMF has a negative effect on the human body, including neurotoxic, carcinogenic, genotoxic and mutagenic (Shapla et al., 2018). The European Union has set concentration limits of this substance in honey up to 40 mg/kg, which requires effective methods for liquefying honey without changing its quality. The relatively new use of ultrasound accelerates the decrystallization and delays the re-crystallization of honey, as well as delays the crystallization of fresh honey (P. 420598). The two side effects of honey sonication are the disintegration of such microorganisms present in honey as yeast and spore-forming bacteria, especially of the genus Bacillus, which allows pasteurization to be avoided when honey is intended for export (Bogdanov, 2011). Recently, it has been proposed to use physical methods for decrystallization and to slow down the crystallization of fresh honey (Dżugan et al., 2017, P. 420598). The purpose of this work was to evaluate how the use of ultrasonic or microwave radiation affects liquid fresh honey fixation as well as how solid honey liquefying affects its antioxidant and enzymatic activity.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The three varietal honeys: acacia, multifloral and lime were collected in July 2016 from a local apiary. After centrifugation from the comb, fresh honey was divided into four equal parts (by volume 150 ml each), three experimental and one control. The same was done for each type of honey.

1st treatment: fixation of fresh liquid honey

Two samples of honey were subjected to ultrasonic fixation (U) (40 kHz, max honey temperature 43-45°C) for 5 or 20 min (samples U5 and U20) with the use of an ultrasonic water bath (Polsonic Sonic-10, Poland). The next sample (M) was fixed with a microwave (800 W, 4 cycles for 30 s, with 2 min intervals for samples shaking and cooling, max honey temperature 73°C). The fixed samples and control honey were stored at room temperature (20±2°C) in the dark for twelve months. After this period, the quality of crystallized honeys was assessed in the same way as for fresh honey.

2nd treatment: liquefaction of crystallized honey

Subsequently, the experimental samples were processed to complete liquefaction with the same methods: U5* (40 kHz, 5 cycles for 5 min, max honey temperature 50°C regardless of the variety), U20* (40 kHz, for all tested honeys max temperature 53°C); M* (800 W, for multifloral and lime honey four cycles for 30 s, with 2 min intervals for samples shaking and cooling, max honey temperature 80°C, and for acacia honey 2 cycles for 30 s, with intervals for samples shaking and cooling, max honey temperature 60°C). After liquefaction, samples were evaluated regarding chosen parameters in relation to the control (naturally crystallized and not liquefied honey).

The visual assessment of the samples included determination of the color, crystallization degree and size of the honey crystals. Moreover, observations conducted with a microscope (Delta Optical) at 10x magnification allowed the size, amount and distribution of glucose crystals to be determined.

The honey was analyzed in accordance with the regulation for honey quality assessment analysis (RMRiW Journal of Laws of 2015, item
refractometric determination of water content (RHN1-ATC, refraktometr.eu, Czech Republic), acidity using a pH meter (Elmetron, CP-461) and a diastase number by the spectrophotometric method (Biomate 3, Thermo, USA) using the Phadebas® Honey Diastase Test (*Magle AB Lund, Sweden 2010) according to the manufacturer instructions.

As an additional sensitive indicator, the activity of three selected glycolytic enzymes: α-glucosidase (α-GLU), β-galactosidase (β-GAL) and α-mannosidase (α-MAN) were determined spectrophotometrically with the use of appropriate synthetic p-nitrophenol substrates. For determination, a 20% w/v aqueous honey solution was used. The reaction mixture contained 25 μl of substrate in 0.2 M citric buffer (for β-GAL pH 4.0; α-GLU pH 5.5 and α-MAN pH 5.0) and 25 μl of sample introduced into a well of a microplate. The control sample contained the sample and appropriate buffer instead of substrate. Samples were incubated at 37ºC for sixty minutes. After this time, the reaction was stopped by the addition of 250 μl 1M carbonate buffer (pH 10) and absorbance was measured at 405 nm with an Elisa microplate reader (Clindiag, Belgium). Each determination was done in triplicate. Enzyme activity was expressed in units per g of honey [U/g]. Diastase activity was determined with Phadebas® Honey Diastase Test strictly according to manufacturer instruction.

Antioxidant activity was determined based on the ability to neutralize DPPH (free radical scavenging activity) with 20% honey solution. A solution of DPPH was added to the sample, and after thirty minutes the absorbance (A) was measured at a wavelength λ=517 nm relative to the control (Ao). The percentage inhibition [%] of DPPH was calculated from the equation: [% A] = (Ao - A)/Ao × 100% (Dżugan et al., 2018). Total phenolics content (TPC) was determined on the basis of the colored reaction of polyphenols with the Folin-Ciocalteu reagent, and the absorbance of the resulting complex was measured at a wavelength λ=760 nm. The total content of polyphenols was expressed as mg of gallic acid equivalent per kg of honey (GAE), for which a calibration curve was made in the concentration range of 0÷100 mg GAE/kg (Dżugan et al., 2018). The 5-hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF) concentration was determined colorimetrically at 550 nm as a result of the reaction of HMF with barbituric acid and p-toluidine in an acid medium where a red product is formed. The result was calculated from the formula: HMF = 19.2×(A / d)×10, with 19.2- conversion factor, d- fluid thickness in the cuvette and A- mean of the absorbance. Results were expressed as mg of HMF per kg of honey.

All tests were performed in triplicate. The results are expressed as mean values with standard deviations (SD). The differences between individual groups were analyzed with the use of the one-way analysis of variance with Tukey’s test, p<0.05 significant statistical differences were accepted. The Statistica 13.1 program was used for the calculations.

RESULTS

Visual observations showed that fresh honey subjected to microwave radiation and ultrasound fixation (1st treatment) crystallized more slowly in comparison to the control honey, and the rate of transformation of liquid honey into crust varied depending on the honey variety (Tab. 1). Control samples of all honey varieties were found to naturally crystallize after twelve months of storage at room temperature, whereas in samples fixed with microwave and ultrasounds the delay of crystallization process was observed. The samples fixed with ultrasounds solidified more slowly as compared to other samples. The only exception was lime honey, while the prolongation of the sonication time did not affect the crystallization process significantly.

The fixation influenced not only the rate of the crystallization process but also changed the form of crystallized honey. During microscopic studies we compared the degree of crystallization and the crystal structure of the treated honeys in relation to the control sample in terms of size, shape, amount and distribution of crystals. The formation of large crystals was observed in the
microwave-fixed honey, while smaller crystal sizes were observed in the honey fixed with ultrasounds in comparison to the control honey (natural crystallization) (Fig. 1). The obtained results indicate that the crystallization process was performed correctly.

According to the literature, lime honey crystallizes within a few to several weeks, multifloral honey within a few months, while acacia honey needs a dozen or so months (Bogdanov, 2011). Microscopic examination of naturally crystallized varietal honeys showed that lime honey crystal-

| Variety      | Control (C)                                           | Microwave (M)                                           | Ultrasounds 5 min (U5)                | Ultrasounds 20 min (U20)              |
|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Acacia       | Semisolid, crystals throughout the sample              | Liquid with crystallization process started              | Liquid, fine crystals                 | Liquid with crystallization process started |
| Multifloral  | Total crystallization with clusters of coarse crystals  | Visible few clusters of coarse crystals                   | All liquid volume                     | Advanced crystallization process, coarse crystals |
| Lime         | Solid, thick crystals                                  | Liquid with thick crystals                               | Solid with thick crystals suspended in the whole sample | Completely crystallized, fine-grained crystals |

Table 1. Impact of 1st treatment on the crystallization rate of honey during twelve months of storage

Fig. 1. Crystal structure of acacia, multifloral and lime honey stored for 12 months: naturally crystallized (control-C), after 1st treatment (fixation) with ultrasounds in shorter (U5) or longer (U20) time and with microwaves (M).
There are very large clusters of glucose that prevent the identification of a single molecule. Only the use of microwaves slowed down the crystallization of honey. The fixation had the greatest impact on the structure of acacia honey. The use of both, microwaves and U20, caused a visible slowdown in the crystallization process. The microscopic observation showed few visible glucose particles only.

### Table 2.

| Acacia honey | Moisture content (%) | pH     | Enzyme activity | Antioxidant activity | Physicochemical analysis of fresh acacia honey, after fixation (1st treatment) with ultrasounds (U5) and microwaves (M) in order to delay crystallization and liquefaction (2nd treatment) with the same methods (U5*, U20*, and M*. After 12 months of storage in laboratory conditions at room temperature in the dark. The results were presented as mean value ± SD (n=3).

|   | Diastase number (DN) | α-GLU (U/g) | β-GAL (U/g) | α-MAN (U/g) | DPPH (%) | TPC (mg GAE/kg) | HMF (mg/kg) |
|---|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|----------------|-------------|
| Control (Raw) | 18.1±0.05a | 3.3±0.01a | 23.28±1.12a | 0.20±0.008a | 0.39±0.003a | 0.13±0.002a | 10.52±1.56a | 225.86±125a | 0.57±0.026a |
| U5 | 18.0±0.05a | 3.3±0.01b | 21.68±1.01b | 0.08±0.004b | 0.36±0.006b | 0.13±0.004b | 13.82±1.92b | 225.82±10.2b | 1.35±0.039b |
| U20 | 18.4±0.03b | 3.3±0.02c | 23.18±1.32c | 0.20±0.006c | 0.39±0.004c | 0.14±0.013c | 15.50±1.06c | 228.25±11.9b | 1.76±0.057b |
| M | 18.2±0.02d | 3.3±0.01c | 16.47±1.38d | 0.20±0.007b | 0.37±0.003b | 0.15±0.042a | 13.22±1.46d | 227.02±9.2c | 1.10±0.009d |
| Control (Stored) | 18.1±0.05a | 3.3±0.01a | 23.36±2.21a | 0.20±0.003a | 0.35±0.007b | 0.14±0.030a | 10.69±1.70a | 225.86±13.6a | 0.57±0.015a |
| U5* | 18.0±0.03a | 3.3±0.01c | 18.79±1.45c | 0.07±0.001b | 0.34±0.006b | 0.12±0.016a | 15.22±1.03c | 368.00±12.2c | 1.48±0.015c |
| U20* | 18.2±0.02a | 3.3±0.02c | 16.31±1.06c | 0.15±0.004c | 0.37±0.003b | 0.15±0.014c | 15.72±1.12c | 369.36±12.3c | 1.79±0.025c |
| M* | 18.2±0.05c | 3.3±0.01b | 14.14±1.56c | 0.16±0.006d | 0.31±0.009c | 0.16±0.013a | 14.76±1.16c | 362.16±12.3c | 1.12±0.010d |

a,b,c,d,e,f,g - means marked with different letters within the column differ statistically (P < 0.05).
All samples stored by twelve months were ultrasounds and microwaves until honey was subjected to the 2nd treatment with the use of obtained in liquid form, with no crystals visible.

Table 3. Physicochemical analysis of fresh multiflora honey, after fixation (1st treatment) with ultrasounds (U5 and U20) and microwaves (M) in order to delay crystallization and liquefaction (2nd treatment) with the same methods (U5*, U20* and M*) after 12 months of storage in laboratory conditions at room temperature in the dark. The results were presented as mean value ± SD (n=3).

| Multifloral honey | Moisture content (%) | pH | Enzyme activity | Antioxidant activity | HMF (mg/kg) |
|-------------------|----------------------|----|----------------|----------------------|-------------|
|                   |                      |    | Diastase number (DN) | α-GLU (U/g) | β-GAL (U/g) | α-MAN (U/g) | DPPH (%) | TPC (mg GAE/kg) |
| Control (Raw)     | 18.8±0.05<sup>a</sup> | 3.5±0.05<sup>a</sup> | 26.04±1.46<sup>a</sup> | 0.29±0.002<sup>a</sup> | 0.82±0.017<sup>a</sup> | 0.38±0.023<sup>a</sup> | 21.19±2.16<sup>a</sup> | 288.28±14.4<sup>a</sup> | 0.67±0.052<sup>a</sup> |
| 1<sup>st</sup> treatment: fixation of fresh liquid honey |
| U5                | 18.8±0.05<sup>a</sup> | 3.6±0.06<sup>b</sup> | 19.16±2.03<sup>b</sup> | 0.09±0.001<sup>b</sup> | 0.72±0.016<sup>b</sup> | 0.25±0.030<sup>b</sup> | 21.98±2.16<sup>b</sup> | 277.47±10.0<sup>b</sup> | 1.31±0.023<sup>b</sup> |
| U20               | 18.7±0.02<sup>b</sup> | 3.7±0.05<sup>c</sup> | 19.39±1.04<sup>b</sup> | 0.23±0.006<sup>c</sup> | 0.70±0.002<sup>b</sup> | 0.36±0.014<sup>c</sup> | 21.98±1.89<sup>b</sup> | 268.46±13.0<sup>c</sup> | 2.22±0.045<sup>c</sup> |
| M                 | 18.7±0.05<sup>b</sup> | 3.6±0.08<sup>b</sup> | 23.86±1.47<sup>b</sup> | 0.24±0.005<sup>b</sup> | 0.71±0.010<sup>b</sup> | 0.34±0.026<sup>b</sup> | 22.54±2.23<sup>b</sup> | 264.82±10.10<sup>b</sup> | 0.99±0.056<sup>b</sup> |
| Control (Stored)  | 18.8±0.05<sup>a</sup> | 3.5±0.02<sup>a</sup> | 26.04±2.12<sup>a</sup> | 0.24±0.007<sup>a</sup> | 0.76±0.006<sup>c</sup> | 0.35±0.016<sup>d</sup> | 21.59±1.46<sup>b</sup> | 288.28±11.30<sup>a</sup> | 0.67±0.022<sup>a</sup> |
| 2<sup>nd</sup> treatment: liquefaction of crystallized honey |
| U5*               | 18.0±0.06<sup>a</sup> | 3.5±0.01<sup>a</sup> | 17.24±1.13<sup>d</sup> | 0.07±0.003<sup>b</sup> | 0.34±0.006<sup>c</sup> | 0.12±0.014<sup>e</sup> | 22.75±1.47<sup>e</sup> | 500.90±17.60<sup>e</sup> | 1.49±0.016<sup>e</sup> |
| U20*              | 18.2±0.05<sup>b</sup> | 3.5±0.01<sup>a</sup> | 19.16±1.05<sup>b</sup> | 0.15±0.007<sup>d</sup> | 0.37±0.005<sup>e</sup> | 0.15±0.012<sup>e</sup> | 24.35±2.16<sup>d</sup> | 469.46±16.45<sup>f</sup> | 2.24±0.022<sup>f</sup> |
| M*                | 18.2±0.05<sup>b</sup> | 3.5±0.01<sup>a</sup> | 8.5±1.01<sup>e</sup> | 0.16±0.009<sup>d</sup> | 0.31±0.009<sup>e</sup> | 0.16±0.016<sup>d</sup> | 25.91±1.89<sup>e</sup> | 450.45±13.30<sup>d</sup> | 1.04±0.030<sup>d</sup> |

a,b,c,d,e,f,g - means marked with different letters within the column differ statistically (P < 0.05).
Table 4. Physicochemical analysis of fresh lime honey, after fixation (1st treatment) with ultrasounds (U5 and U20) and microwaves (M) in order to delay crystallization and liquefaction (2nd treatment) with the same methods (U5*, U20* and M*) after 12 months of storage in laboratory conditions at room temperature in the dark. The results were presented as mean value ± SD (n=3).

| Lime honey | Moisture content (%) | pH | Enzyme activity | Antioxidant activity | HMF (mg/kg) |
|------------|----------------------|----|----------------|---------------------|-------------|
|            |                      |    | Diastase number (DN) | α-GLU (U/g) | β-GAL (U/g) | α-MAN (U/g) | DPPH (%) | TPC (mg GAE/kg) |
| Control (Raw) | 17.6±0.04³ | 3.8±0.03³ | 25.69±2.16³ | 0.36±0.006³ | 1.81±0.09³ | 0.35±0.013³ | 31.79±1.96³ | 411.6±14.10³ | 0.08±0.032³ |
| U5         | 17.6±0.03³ | 3.8±0.02³ | 23.22±2.20³ | 0.14±0.007³ | 1.57±0.04³ | 0.29±0.018³ | 30.62±1.52³ | 432.43±14.56³ | 1.07±0.020³ |
| U20        | 17.8±0.02³ | 3.8±0.01³ | 25.31±1.56³ | 0.35±0.008³ | 1.82±0.07³ | 0.34±0.022³ | 32.91±1.14³ | 409.00±12.10³ | 1.75±0.025³ |
| M          | 17.8±0.02³ | 3.8±0.01³ | 22.78±1.30³ | 0.36±0.009³ | 1.86±0.23³ | 0.33±0.031³ | 27.20±1.06³ | 410.81±14.20³ | 0.91±0.010³ |
| Control (Stored) | 17.4±0.05³ | 3.8±0.01³ | 25.22±2.15³ | 0.36±0.006³ | 1.79±0.08³ | 0.34±0.020³ | 31.62±1.23³ | 410.81±12.50³ | 0.08±0.032³ |

1st treatment: fixation of fresh liquid honey

2nd treatment: liquefaction of crystallized honey

abc,def,g - means marked with different letters within the column differ statistically (P < 0.05)
needed for acacia decrystallization was by 2-fold shorter. The liquid samples were evaluated according to water content, pH and HMF content, 4 glycolytic enzyme activities and antioxidant activity. The results were presented separately for each honey variety: acacia (Tab. 2), multifloral (Tab. 3) and lime (Tab. 4). During twelve months of storage at room conditions, no significant changes in terms of tested parameters for control samples were observed regardless of honey variety (Tab. 2-4). Meanwhile, both used processing slightly disturbed honey properties excluding HMF content and β-galactosidase activity.

The applied treatments significantly influenced the water content in honeys (P<0.05). The analysis of fresh honey pH showed the values in the following order: lime> multifloral> acacia honeys. Both the fixation and liquefaction process of acacia honey did not affect its pH (P>0.05) (Tab. 2), and a statistically significant (P<0.05) increase in pH was observed during the 1st treatment of multifloral honey only with the use of all methods (Tab. 3). In the case of lime honey (Tab. 4), significant changes in pH were observed for samples subjected to the liquefaction process with all the methods used. The tested fresh honeys showed pH in the range of 3.3-3.8. The value of the diastase number (DN) was variety-dependent, the highest for fresh multifloral (26.01 DN) and the lowest for acacia (23.28 DN) honeys were observed (Tab. 2, 4). During fixation, the microwave method caused the largest DN losses. For microwave-fixed samples, the loss of diastase activity as compared to the control sample amounted from 12% to 30% for lime and acacia honey, respectively. The 2nd treatment (liquefaction) by microwave (M* sample) caused a further decrease in diastase activity, from 9% for lime honey to 31% for multifloral honey. Compared to the control sample, the use of the microwave decrystallization method as well as ultrasound for five minutes had the greatest impact on the reducing of diastase number even prolonged ultrasonics processing.

Diastase is the main enzyme studied in honey and is used as a quality standard to control honey overheating. Other honey glycosidases, including α-glucosidase, β-galactosidase, and α-mannosidase, are not widely analyzed (Elezau et al., 2013). The studies showed that in all of the tested honeys, the glycosidase activity decreases in sequence: β-GAL> α-GLU> α-MAN (Tab. 2-4). The highest activity of these enzymes was observed in lime, next in multifloral and then in acacia honey. A reduced activity of the tested enzymes was found in honeys that were fixed, regardless of the technique used, the strongest in multifloral honey fixed with the U5 method (69%). Smaller changes were observed for honey fixed and then liquefied using microwave method (17%). The liquefying of honeys resulted in a further reduction of enzyme activity in the tested samples. The 2nd treatment of honey caused a significant decrease in the activity of the α-glucosidase enzyme in lime honey (73%), while the smallest decrease in the activity of the α-mannosidase enzyme (2-10%) was observed in all honey varieties (Tab. 2-4).

The tested fresh honey showed the ability to scavenge free radicals in the range of 10-31% (Tab. 2-4), with the highest antioxidant activity characterized by lime honey (Tab. 4) and the lowest by acacia honey (Tab. 2). At the final stage of experiments, significant increases in the antioxidant activity in acacia and multifloral honey were found (Tab. 2-3). The greatest changes in antioxidant activity after the 2nd treatment process was demonstrated in the U20* acacia honey sample (increase by 49%). The process of liquefaction of multifloral honey increased the antioxidant activity, the highest of which was in the sample M* (20%) and U20* (12.8%) (Tab. 3). Both fixation and liquefaction significantly influenced the antioxidant properties of lime and acacia honeys (Tab. 2, 4).

The total content of polyphenols in fresh honeys was in the range of 225-659 mg GAE/kg of honey, with the highest level in lime honey (Tab. 4) and the lowest in acacia honey (Tab. 2). Depending on the method (U5, U20 and M) used in the 1st treatment of honey, statistically significant changes were observed in the total content of phenolic compounds (p<0.05) compared to the control honey. The highest
increase was recorded in lime honey sonicated for 5 min (5% compared to the control sample) (Tab. 4), while the highest statistically significant decrease was recorded in multifloral honey fixed with the M method (8.4%).

Under the influence of elevated temperature and long storage, honey may undergo a non-enzymatic browning process that occurs between reducing sugars and amino acids, peptides or proteins containing a free amino group. This creates compounds with health-promoting properties, phenolic compounds, but also unwanted HMF. The highest HMF values were found in fresh multiflorous honey (0.67 mg/kg), and the lowest in lime honey (0.08 mg/kg) (Tab. 2-4). The process of honey fixation resulted in a significant increase in the HMF content in the tested samples. However, the HMF content was still below the established EU limits (below 40 mg/kg). The U20 method resulted in the highest increases in HMF levels and the lowest microwave binding, which was probably due to the processing time. This relationship was found for all types of fixed and liquefied honeys.

**DISCUSSION**

In terms of water content, all the tested honeys (Tab. 2-4), fresh, stored and processed, met the requirements set out in the applicable legal provisions (below 20%) (RMRiW Journal of Laws of 2015, Item 1173, as amended). The results obtained for fresh honeys were comparable with the results obtained by other authors for Polish (Wilczyńska, 2011; Dżugan et al., 2017) and European (Laos et al., 2011; Shelear, 2013; Tomczyk et al., 2019) honeys. Shelear (2013) who conducted research on the impact of the storage on the quality of honey, showed a similar results of DN (in the range of 16.2-179) for fresh honey and decrease in α-amylase activity during storage by 19.45-79.85% depending on the variety of honey. These results obtained for diastase number are also comparable with the data presented by Skowronek et al. (1994). In addition, Wesołowska & Dżugan (2017) noted a significant decrease in diastase activity (from 17 to 42%) depending on the type of honey during long-term storage of samples at a temperature of 20±2°C for 24 months. However, despite the observed losses, the DN values found in fixed or liquefying honeys were still within the standard requirements, mainly due to the high diastase activity of fresh Polish honey (Wilczyńska et al., 2010; Dżugan et al., 2017; Wesołowska & Dżugan, 2017; Tomczyk et al., 2019).

The results obtained for antioxidant activity for fresh honey were in agreement with earlier studies (Wilczyńska, 2011; Dżugan et al., 2018) where the antioxidant activity for various varieties of fresh honey as 25-43% on average was reported. The obtained results also confirm the relationship found by Kaškoniene et al. (2009), that light honeys contain significantly less polyphenols than dark honeys as well as the findings of Zak et al. (2017) who showed a content of polyphenols in the range of 112-760 mg/kg. In our experiment, honey processing and/or storage resulted in an average of 62% increase in antioxidant properties. Similarly, Wilczyńska (2010) found an increase in the content of phenolic compounds during honey storage. The above results may indicate that non-enzymatic browning reactions occur during storage and/or thermal processing. The honey darkening processes are related to the formation of melanoids, products of the Maillard reaction with antioxidant properties, which compensate the loss of other natural ingredients.

White (1979) analyzed 40 fresh honey samples and showed a HMF content up to 4.83 mg/kg, which was similar to our fresh or processed honeys. Shelear (2013) found for thermally de-crystallized honeys an increased HMF level in all tested samples depending on the processing temperature and storage duration. Based on the experiment, it can be concluded that the use of ultrasound and microwave for honey de-crystallization is fast and safe regarding HMF formation.

A few studies have been related to the use of ultrasounds to honey processing but the use of microwave has been less frequent (Thra-syvoulou et al., 1994; Bakier, 2007; Stasiak & Dolatowski, 2007; Kowalski et al., 2012; Subramanian et al., 2017). However, all of these
authors focused on the physical aspects of decrystallization process and honey quality parameters according to legal requirements, including diastase activity. Our study deepens the knowledge about the effect of honey processing with ultrasounds or microwaves in terms of the more sensitive indicators of honey bioactivity which can be directly related to its antibacterial activity (Dżugan et al., 2018). The study based on antioxidant activity, polyphenolic content and activity of selected glycosidases, rarely studied in honey, confirmed the benefits of the use of ultrasonics processing to honey liquefying. Moreover, the process of the crystallization of fresh honey may be delayed by the use of ultrasonic waves, with slight changes in the biological properties of honey.

In conclusion, the use of ultrasounds for honey decrystallization allows for a significant shortening of the liquefaction process and for maintaining the quality of honey required by relevant legal regulations. The use of microwaves was also effective but the greater changes in enzymatic activity were observed due to the stronger overheating of honey. Our new tested idea is soft fixation of fresh honey with ultrasounds which delay the crystallization process during shelf-life which would be of great importance for consumers who prefer liquid honey. During honey liquefaction, the observed disturbances in studied parameters were lower than reported during the use of conventional thermal heating method whereas the time of processing was significantly shortened. However, because this is the first research focusing on the use of additional parameters to assess honey behavior during ultrasonics processing, it needs further studies.
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