MetFish: a Metabolomics Pipeline for Studying Microbial Communities in Chemically Extreme Environments
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ABSTRACT Metabolites have essential roles in microbial communities, including as mediators of nutrient and energy exchange, cell-to-cell communication, and antibiotic resistance. However, detecting and quantifying metabolites and other chemicals in samples having extremes in salt or mineral content using liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS)-based methods remains a significant challenge. Here, we report a facile method based on in situ chemical derivatization followed by extraction for analysis of metabolites and other chemicals in hypersaline samples, enabling for the first time direct LC-MS-based exometabolomics analysis in sample matrices containing up to 2 M total dissolved salts. The method, MetFish, is applicable to molecules containing amine, carboxylic acid, carbonyl, or hydroxyl functional groups, and it can be integrated into either targeted or untargeted analysis pipelines. In targeted analyses, MetFish provided limits of quantification as low as 1 nM, broad linear dynamic ranges (up to 5 to 6 orders of magnitude) with excellent linearity, and low median interday reproducibility (e.g., 2.6%). MetFish was successfully applied in targeted and untargeted exometabolomics analyses of microbial consortia, quantifying amino acid dynamics in the exometabolome during community succession; in situ in a native prairie soil, whose exometabolome was isolated using a hypersaline extraction; and in input and produced fluids from a hydraulically fractured well, identifying dramatic changes in the exometabolome over time in the well.

IMPORTANCE The identification and accurate quantification of metabolites using electrospray ionization-mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) in hypersaline samples is a challenge due to matrix effects. Clean-up and desalting strategies that typically work well for samples with lower salt concentrations are often ineffective in hypersaline samples. To address this gap, we developed and demonstrated a simple yet sensitive and accurate method—MetFish—using chemical derivatization to enable mass spectrometry-based metabolomics in a variety of hypersaline samples from varied ecosystems and containing up to 2 M dissolved salts.
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Microbial communities are ubiquitous and colonize a wide range of habitats and organisms, often thriving even in extreme environments with physicochemical conditions unsuitable for most other life forms. There is increasing evidence that microbial communities are responsible for a wide range of processes critical to the health of the ecosystems they inhabit and that they impact it in ways of which we currently
have limited knowledge. Thriving in complex or extreme environments requires specific adaptations; therefore, studying these organisms lends evolutionary insight into microbial stress responses (1, 2). The balance between cooperation and competition in harsh conditions contributes to the resistance and resilience of these communities (3–7), and elucidating the role of chemical exchange and communication among members will provide an improved understanding of the underlying molecular mechanisms that might be exploited, as well as aid in the identification of beneficial natural products (8–14). While metagenomics studies have been conducted to identify genes encoding novel biosynthetic pathways (15–17), the measurement of primary and secondary metabolites in chemically extreme environments has been hampered by the complexities of the associated sample matrices.

Mass spectrometry is an indispensable analytical tool for identifying, quantifying, and structurally characterizing chemical and biological molecules with high sensitivity and accuracy (18–21). As the central workhorse for proteomics and metabolomics, liquid chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry (LC-MS) has played a critical role in the development of omics technologies that have enabled high-throughput systems biology investigations of organisms (22–24). However, performing exometabolomics analyses in environmental samples can be challenging, due to the complexity of the associated sample matrices. A particular challenge is the presence of high (e.g., mM to M) concentrations of salts and minerals, which can compromise the extraction of metabolites from the samples and suppress the ionization of metabolites during LC-MS analysis, resulting in diminished or skewed quantitative performance (25–27). The majority of the Earth’s water bodies are saline. Hypersaline environments such as soda lakes, acidic hypersaline lakes, solar salterns, and deep-sea brine pools contain salt concentrations that typically far exceed ocean salt levels, the latter of which average 35 g/liter total dissolved salts (28). Studying the metabolisms of and chemical communication among the halophilic microorganisms that inhabit these unique ecosystems could provide important insights into specialized functional adaptations and ecosystem interactions to survive such extreme conditions and are also of astrobiological interest as analogues to lifeforms that might have existed on Mars (29). Researchers have been able to characterize the microbial diversity in these extreme and evolutionally important environments using sequencing-based approaches but have not had nearly the same success using metabolomics (30–34). Until now, samples consisting of or derived from such matrices have precluded the application of LC-MS-based measurements of metabolites and other small molecules. Conventional metabolomics approaches and strategies that have worked well for sample types that contain relatively lower salt concentration, such as seawater (35–38) or human urine (39–41), have not been applied successfully to hypersaline samples.

To address this, we present MetFish, a method based on chemical tagging and extraction for comprehensive and quantitative measurement of metabolites and other small molecules in LC-MS-prohibitive matrices. Named for its ability to selectively “fish” metabolites of interest from sample matrices based upon common functional groups, MetFish is composed of four simple and inexpensive chemical tags targeting amine, carboxyl, carbonyl, and hydroxyl functional groups and allows for sensitive quantification of low-abundance metabolites in both targeted and untargeted approaches. The four functional groups targeted by MetFish represent over 97%, 89%, and 83% of the metabolites contained in the Natural Products Atlas, *E. coli* Metabolome, and PlantCyc databases, respectively (42–44). The chemical tags can be either used in tandem for untargeted global analysis of the metabolome or individually to profile the submetabolome by targeting the molecules containing a specific functional group. MetFish uses low-cost, commercially available reagents that (i) could be used to study diverse sample types based on the functional groups of interest; (ii) facilitate physical separation of metabolites from salt, mineral, and other matrix components that interfere with quantitative LC-MS-based analysis; and (iii) can be deployed in situ to minimize sample manipulation.
Here, we demonstrate the utility and simplicity of MetFish in LC-MS-based exometabolomics analyses of three types of samples containing or derived from microbial communities from diverse ecosystems, namely, a hypersaline aquatic microbial community, a prairie soil, and fluids injected into and produced from a hydraulically fractured well, each consisting of or derived from hypersaline (i.e., from 400 mM to 2 M) sample matrices. MetFish demonstrated excellent sensitivity, reproducibility, and linear dynamic range, and is a simple, rapid, and effective approach for addressing the needs of the broader research community.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Background and overview of MetFish. In our search for an effective and simple approach to separate metabolites from interfering matrix constituents such as high concentrations of salts, we evaluated several commercially available solid-phase extraction (SPE) chemistries to capture metabolites from a hypersaline matrix (e.g., 2 M total dissolved salts) but all were unsuccessful (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). We determined that separation methods based on molecular weight (e.g., dialysis or size exclusion) were not suitable, since the masses of low-molecular-weight metabolites (e.g., glycine, 75.07 g/mol) overlap those of salt components (e.g., sulfate, 96.06 g/mol), resulting in loss of metabolites in the lower mass range. We also tested the feasibility of using gas chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry (GC-MS) to detect the presence of amino acid standards in high-salt matrices and found that the presence of salt (both 400 mM and 2 M total dissolved salts) severely affected the measurement, and no analyte peaks were observed in the chromatograms (see Fig. S2 and Table S4 in the supplemental material). Subsequently, we explored chemical tagging and capture techniques, including metabolite enrichment by tagging and proteolytic release (METPR) (45) and a derivatization approach developed by Mattingly et al. (45, 46). Both approaches were time-consuming and required significant solid-/liquid-phase chemical synthesis (e.g., up to 1 week for a single METPR probe for a researcher with basic organic synthesis skills), followed by structural characterization for preparing the capture or derivatization reagents. We were able to obtain a small amount of the QDA (N-[2-(aminooxy)ethyl]-N,N-dimethyl-1-dodecylammonium iodide) (46) derivatizing agent from the authors of the original paper to evaluate its efficacy in hypersaline samples. We found that QDA derivatization was effective for detecting sodium pyruvate, the test analyte, in hypersaline sample matrices containing up to 2 M MgSO4 (see Fig. S3 in the supplemental material). However, it is important to note that the use of QDA as a derivatizing agent is limited to metabolites that contain reactive carbonyl groups. Recognizing the need for a more efficient method that could be readily adopted by researchers from a broad range of disciplines, we adopted a suite of dansylated and related reagents coupled with downstream enrichment. The reagents were selected for their low cost, commercial availability, ease of use to increase accessibility of the method in the research community, and optimal coverage of various major functional groups represented in the metabolome. Dansylation has been used for decades as a derivatization method for quantification of amino acids based on fluorescence detection (47). More recently, Li and colleagues have used dansylated and related reagents for targeted profiling of various submetabolomes using LC-MS (48–51). We postulated that the derivatization chemistries associated with these reagents would be successful when applied in hypersaline matrices, and that we could then efficiently extract derivatized molecules from the samples and away from interfering salts. For MetFish, we selected dansylchloride, dansylhydrazine, dansylcadaverine, and 4-(dimethylamino)benzoyl chloride to specifically tag metabolites containing amine, carbonyl, carboxyl, and hydroxyl functional groups, respectively (Fig. 1a). The one-step derivatization reactions require as few as 10 min to a maximum of 120 min to couple the target metabolite (the “fish”) and the chemical tag (the “hook”), thus increasing its hydrophobicity and facilitating its extraction with organic solvent (the “line”) and concomitant enrichment from interfering components of the sample matrix (Fig. 1b).
tagged and extracted metabolites are subsequently analyzed using reversed-phase liquid chromatography (LC) coupled with MS (48–51). The reversed-phase LC includes inline solid-phase extraction, which focuses the tagged metabolites prior to the analytical separation and separates them from any residual matrix components. Tandem MS (MS/MS) is used to fragment the tagged metabolites, resulting in fragment ions that are uniform for a given reagent and unique for a given metabolite (50), providing identification confidence and metabolite specificity, respectively. Exceptions to the latter are some isomeric metabolites, such as leucine and isoleucine, which do not produce unique fragment ions during collision-induced dissociation.

To illustrate metabolite identification using unique fragment ions, the fragmentation spectrum for dansylated glycine is shown in Fig. 2a. Fragment ions due only to the dansyl moiety are, e.g., \( m/z \) 157, 170, and 252, whereas fragment ions due to dansylglycine are \( m/z \) 263 and 294. Some amount of the molecular ion (\( m/z \) 308) also appears in the MS/MS spectrum. All metabolites that have been tagged using the dansyl chloride reagent will generate the same fragment ions (e.g., \( m/z \) 157, 170, and 252), providing confidence in detection of an appropriately tagged amine-containing metabolite. In contrast, each dansylated metabolite will also generate fragment ions that are specific to the dansyl-metabolite complex and proportional in \( m/z \) to the mass of the tagged metabolite. The other MetFish reagents also produce uniform and specific fragment ions upon dissociation (see Table S2 in the supplemental material). These chemical characteristics enable MetFish reagents to be effective for both targeted and untargeted metabolomics applications. An added benefit is that differentially isotopically labeled reagents can be used, allowing for the multiplexing of labeled samples in untargeted metabolomics analysis, analogous to the isobaric tags for relative and absolute quantitation (iTRAQ) and tandem mass tag (TMT) peptide labeling approaches commonly used for multiplexing proteomics sample analyses using LC-MS/MS (52). Differences in abundances of “reporter ions” from MS/MS fragmentation of differentially labeled reagent-metabolite complexes would be used to provide accurate relative or absolute metabolite quantification. Alternatively, labeled
metabolites could be incorporated as internal standards in targeted metabolite analysis (48–51). As shown in Fig. 2a, dansylated, uniformly labeled 13C- and 15N-glycine produces fragment ions specific to the dansyl-glycine complex and with mass shifts proportional to the degree and type of isotope labeling.

Validation of MetFish. To assess the effectiveness of MetFish for targeted metabolite analysis in MS-prohibitive samples, we analyzed a mixture of 19 proteinogenic amino acids in water containing 2 M MgSO4, with and without the MetFish method and using LC-MS/MS with the mass spectrometer operating in selected reaction monitoring (SRM) mode. MgSO4 was chosen because it is a major salt component of Hot Lake, located in Oroville, WA, where a photoautotrophic microbial mat community resides (53, 54). In typical MS-based metabolomics analyses, amino acids would be enriched from samples using extraction with organic solvents or a solid phase. As described above and shown in Table S1, SPE is not effective for extracting small polar molecules from matrices containing high salt concentrations. Liquid/liquid extraction of amino acids from high-salt matrices either carries over sufficient salt in the extract to cause ionization suppression during analysis or does not effectively extract amino acids due to formation of amino acid-salt complexes that are insoluble in the organic solvent. As shown in Fig. 2b (top), analysis of a 25 pmol mixed amino acid standard dissolved in deionized water was straightforward using hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography (HILIC)-MS/MS; however, no signal was observed above background for the same 25 pmol mixed amino acid standard dissolved in 2 M MgSO4 (Fig. 2b, middle). Applying the MetFish method using the amine tagging reagent resulted in quantitative measurement of all amino acids using reversed-phase LC-MS/MS (Fig. 2b, lower).
In the top and bottom panels of Fig. 2b, the extracted ion chromatograms of each amino acid are normalized to a relative abundance of 100% and overlaid. Because of the increased hydrophobicity of the tagged amino acids, their SRM signals were also more intense (due to enhanced electrospray ionization [55]), and they were better resolved chromatographically using reversed-phase LC compared to their native forms, which were measured using HILIC. In the MetFish analyses, the unique fragment ion from each singly charged, tagged amino acid was used for quantification purposes, and a fragment ion common to all tagged amino acids (e.g., m/z 157, 170, or 252) provided confident identification.

To demonstrate the broad applicability of the MetFish approach for detecting metabolites containing other functional groups, we analyzed metabolites containing carbonyl, carboxyl, and hydroxyl functional groups. As with amino acids (Fig. 2a and 3a), the MetFish method enabled quantification of metabolites with carboxylic acids (Fig. 3b), carbonyl (Fig. 3c), and hydroxyl groups, including sugars (Fig. 3d) and alcohols (Fig. 3e), all in water containing 2 M MgSO₄.

To further validate MetFish, we determined limits of quantification (LOQ), linear dynamic ranges, and relative standard deviations (RSDs) for all four MetFish reagents and in measurements of 45 metabolites containing amine, carboxyl, carbonyl, or hydroxyl functional groups (see Table S3 in the supplemental material) dissolved in water containing 2 M total salt. The amine tagging method provided the lowest LOQ (median of 5 nM), the broadest linear dynamic range (5 to 6 orders of magnitude), and the lowest median interday reproducibility (median of 2.6%) of the four methods, based on data for 19 proteinogenic amino acids (Table S3A). The other tags showed median LOQs ranging from 40 nM (carboxyl; 10 metabolites) to 3.5 μM (hydroxyl; 8 metabolites), linear dynamic ranges of 3 to 5 orders of magnitude, and median

**FIG 3** MetFish is applicable to measuring metabolites with a broad range of functional groups in challenging sample matrices. Shown are extracted ion chromatograms with the transitions obtained in selected reaction monitoring (SRM) mode for metabolite quantification from application of MetFish in measurement of (a) amine metabolites, (b) carboxyl metabolites, (c) carbonyl metabolites, (d) hydroxyl metabolites as sugars, and (e) hydroxyl metabolites as alcohols. In all cases, MetFish was deployed in situ in metabolite-salt mixtures containing 2 M MgSO₄.
interday RSDs of 3.3% (carboxyl; 10 metabolites) to 9.3% (hydroxyl; 8 metabolites) (Table S3B to D). The hydroxyl tagging approach gave the highest LOQ, ranging from sub- to low micromolar. All four MetFish tags showed excellent linearity over the dynamic range of quantification, with an $R^2$ of 0.99.

**Application of MetFish in targeted analyses of proteinogenic amino acids in hypersaline matrices.** After validating that the MetFish method can be used to enrich polar metabolites from a model hypersaline solution, we then applied the amine capture reagent in quantification of amino acids in exometabolomics analyses of two microbial communities, (i) a unicyanobacterial phototrophic microbial community and (ii) a prairie soil.

MetFish was used to examine nitrogen metabolism over a 28-day succession in a unicyanobacterial consortial biofilm isolated from a benthic phototrophic microbial mat from a highly saline alkaline lake in northern Washington state (53, 54, 56). During the seasonal cycle, the salt concentration in the lake fluctuates from low hundreds of mM to well over 2 M total dissolved salts (primarily MgSO$_4$); the consortium in this experiment was therefore cultured in a defined medium containing 400 mM MgSO$_4$ (54). As organisms in the consortium are divergent for their ability to incorporate nitrate (57), this experiment aimed to determine how differences in the organismal access to nitrogen for amino acid biosynthesis influenced community dynamics and metabolite exchange. To test the hypothesis that availability of reduced nitrogen would increase the rate of amino acid sharing, the nitrate-containing growth medium was amended with either ammonium or urea. The samples were spiked with $^{13}$C and $^{15}$N uniformly labeled amino acid standards, and endogenous amino acids in the medium were quantified using isotope dilution MS. The MetFish analysis quantified 14 extracellular proteinogenic amino acids over a 17-day cultivation period (Fig. 4a). The remaining 5 amino acids were below the limit of detection. In general, amino acid concentrations increased to detectable levels early in cultivation until they reached a maximum at $\sim$7 to 9 days for nitrite and ammonium or 4 d for urea, and decreased thereafter. Surprisingly, this trend did not hold true for all amino acids. For example, serine reached a maximum concentration at 14 days in medium amended with nitrate and at 11 days for ammonium. For proline, the maximum extracellular concentration occurred at 11 days for both ammonium and urea. The exometabolomics analysis of amino acid profiles during the phototrophic consortia succession revealed that availability of extracellular amino acids as community “public goods” differed among nitrogen sources at the level of individual amino acids. MetFish therefore enabled us to conclude that the nitrogen source for amino acid biosynthesis rewires overall community amino acid exchange.

We next used MetFish in exometabolomics analyses to quantify free proteinogenic amino acids in soil, followed by analysis of biomass-associated molecules. To do so, we modified the classic fumigation-extraction method (58) for measuring microbial biomass-associated carbon content. In the traditional format, soil samples are fumigated with chloroform to lyse microbial cells, followed by immediate extraction with 500 mM K$_2$SO$_4$, which extracts the total of free and biomass-associated molecules but cannot be used to distinguish between the two (59–61). Makarov and colleagues reported that microbial biomass-associated carbon is increasingly extractable with increasing concentration of the K$_2$SO$_4$ extraction solution, with solubility increases of 1.5- to 3.9-fold in 500 mM K$_2$SO$_4$ compared with 50 mM K$_2$SO$_4$ (62). We therefore hypothesized that performing a 500 mM K$_2$SO$_4$ extraction of soil prior to microbial cell lysis would allow us to obtain higher recovery of molecules located in the extracellular milieu and also enable us to follow up with a subsequent measurement of microbial biomass-associated molecules. Because the hypersaline environment of the salt extract would otherwise prohibit a LC-MS-based exometabolomics analysis, MetFish was employed. We used three different extractants—deionized water, 10 mM K$_2$SO$_4$, and 500 mM K$_2$SO$_4$—to extract amino acids from a native prairie soil at the Konza Prairie Biological Station, a long-term ecological research site located in eastern Kansas, USA. Accordingly, we extracted equivalently size aliquots of soil in replicate (see Materials and Methods for details), and subsequently
spiked the extracts with $^{13}$C- and $^{15}$N-labeled amino acid standards and applied the amine
tagging MetFish reagent. The extracted soil remaining was then subjected to bead beat-
ing to lyse microbial cells, followed by spiking with labeled standards and derivatization
of amino acids directly in the soil samples, demonstrating the in situ applicability of
MetFish. Nineteen proteinogenic amino acids in both the free and biomass-associated
extracts were quantified using isotope dilution MS (Fig. 4b and c). As expected, preextraction
of the soil with 500 mM K$_2$SO$_4$ resulted in 2- to 10-fold higher recovery of amino acids
from the extracellular milieu compared to preextraction with water and 10 mM K$_2$SO$_4$.
Asparagine, glutamine, and glutamic acid were the three most abundant biomass-associ-
ated amino acids with concentrations of 70.9 $\mu$M/mg, 191.7 $\mu$M/mg, and 337.7 $\mu$M/mg
soil, respectively (Fig. 4c). Intracellular levels of amino acids were similar between the
three different preextractants.

Application of MetFish in untargeted metabolomics analysis of fluids injected
into and produced from a hydraulically fractured well. As described above, each
MetFish reagent generates one or more tag-specific fragment ions during collision-
induced dissociation during MS analysis. These “reporter ions” can be exploited in untargeted exometabolomics analyses to broadly query the metabolome in otherwise MS-prohibitive sample matrices. To demonstrate this, we applied each of the 4 MetFish reagents, separately, in parallel analyses of fluids injected into and produced from a hydraulically fractured well from the Utica-Point Pleasant shale (Ohio, USA), and operated the mass spectrometer in data-dependent MS/MS mode to obtain comprehensive untargeted data (Fig. 5a). Although the complete composition of fracture fluid is typically proprietary, the fracking fluid used in our analyses was known to be complex, with up to 125 g/liter total dissolved solids, including salts, various corrosion inhibitors, and gelling agents. We initially applied each of the 4 MetFish reagents in untargeted exometabolomics analysis of a representative produced fluid sample, in order to identify as many putative molecules as possible (see Materials and Methods for details). It is important to note that the reagents were not used in combination in the same sample to avoid cross-reactivity in derivatization chemistry and confounding of data processing. Figure 5b shows both the putatively identified and unknown features profiled using the MetFish reagent targeting the amine functional group. A total of 17,714 precursor ions were initially detected in the raw data, which was then postprocessed using MASIC (63) to remove low-abundance ions and reduce false identifications and duplicate features, resulting in 100 confidently detected features (see Table S5 in the supplemental material). Low-abundance ions can arise due to chemical noise or to other factors, such as poor ionization of tagged analytes, incomplete derivatization, and matrix effects. To increase the confidence in detected features, three reporter ion matches were used as the criteria for selection instead of one, based on the most abundant fragments in the SRM product ion scan. Precursor ions that generated all three reporter ions were considered potential features and duplicate features having the same precursor ions were removed. We then purchased isotopically labeled standards for putatively identified metabolites and applied MetFish in a targeted exometabolomics analysis to confirm molecular identities in a time series of produced fluid samples collected between 86 and 154 days postinjection (Fig. 6a). Using this approach, we confirmed the identities of 37 metabolites. As shown in Fig. 6a, fluids initially produced from the well at 86 to 98 days showed larger amounts of amino acids than those at

FIG 5 Untargeted metabolomics using MetFish. (a) Workflow for untargeted metabolomics analysis using MetFish. (b) Global amine tag-based metabolite profile of a produced fluid sample. The size of the circle is proportional to the ion intensity, and putatively identified metabolites are labeled.
105 and 154 days, while the concentrations of most alcohols and organic acids detected were evenly distributed over the time course. Compared to the input fluids, the metabolite concentrations in produced fluid samples show significant differences (Fig. 6b). Metabolites such as amino acids and organic acids have significantly higher concentrations in produced fluid samples than in the input fluids, indicating the presence of metabolically active microbial communities. The input fluids also contained extremely high levels of diols, such as propylene glycol, which are typical additives in hydraulic fracturing fluids. For untargeted discovery of metabolites in the samples, the data-dependent MS/MS spectra were also searched against reference GNPS (64) spectral libraries. This resulted in 99 unique metabolites being identified across all of the fracturing fluid data sets (see Table S6 in the supplemental material).

Conclusion. As with any chemical derivatization-based analysis (65), artifact formation is an inherent limitation of this approach. As with the chemical derivatization used for GC-MS, some compounds may form additional products of derivatization apart from the desired derivative or incompletely derivatized products, known as artifacts. Artifacts cause unexpected or multiple peaks in the LC-MS analysis for the same compound, confounding data interpretation. Related to this, we note that the expected product m/z of cysteine was not observed when using the amine tagging reagent. We suspect that this was due to the formation of oxidative side products.

In summary, the MetFish method enables highly sensitive targeted and untargeted exometabolomics measurements in chemically extreme environments that are otherwise prohibitive to MS-based analyses. We demonstrated use of MetFish in quantification of exometabolites in hypersaline matrices, including spent medium from a phototrophic microbial consortium, salt-extracted soil, and injected/produced fluids from hydraulic fracturing. The combination of a high-salt wash and MetFish was particularly useful for extracting metabolites from the extracellular soil milieu, prior to subsequent in situ application of MetFish for analysis of intracellular metabolites in the same samples after microbial cell lysis. The use of MetFish offers control over the subclass of metabolites being captured, which greatly constrains the chemical search space when attempting to identify unknowns during untargeted exometabolomics analysis. This is particularly useful for samples containing a diversity of high concentration organic constituents, such as soils or those produced from hydrocarbon-bearing, hydraulically fractured wells. We believe that such an approach will aid in the investigation of metabolite exchange in microbial communities and provide a more effective way to understand the microbial metabolism in extreme ecosystems that remain understudied.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

MetFish chemical tagging methods. (i) Amine reagent. Aliquots (200 μl) of amine-containing metabolites in water, medium, or matrix were combined with 50 μl of dansyl chloride in acetonitrile (40 mM) and diluted to a final volume of 1,200 μl with 0.5 M Na₂CO₃-NaHCO₃ buffer (pH 9.5). The reaction solution was mixed using a ThermoMixer (Eppendorf, Hauppauge, NY) at 60°C for 40 min at 1,500 rpm. The organic portion of the resulting solution was removed using a SpeedVac (Eppendorf) for 30 min, and the pH of the aqueous portion was adjusted to 3 to 4 by using 20% formic acid (vol/vol). Then a liquid-liquid extraction was performed using dichloromethane and water. The organic layer was collected and reconstituted in 1 ml of a mixture of water and methanol (95:5, vol/vol) containing 0.1% formic acid, followed by online SPE-nanocapillary LC-MS/MS analysis.

(ii) Carbonyl reagent. Aliquots (200 μl) of carbonyl-containing metabolites in water, medium, or matrix were combined with 50 μl of 10% trichloroacetic acid in water (wt/vol) and 250 μl dansyl hydrazine in ethanol (10 mM), and diluted to a final volume of 900 μl with 400 μl of water. The reaction solution was mixed using a ThermoMixer (Eppendorf, Hauppauge, NY) at 60°C for 15 min at 1,500 rpm. The organic portion of the resulting solution was removed using a SpeedVac (Eppendorf) for 30 min, and the pH of the aqueous portion was adjusted to 3 to 4 by using 20% formic acid (vol/vol). A liquid-liquid extraction was then performed by using dichloromethane and water. The organic layer was collected and reconstituted in 1 ml of a mixture of water and methanol (95:5, vol/vol) containing 0.1% formic acid, followed by online SPE-nanocapillary LC-MS/MS analysis. Dansylhydrazine (DNSH) is a derivatization agent used for carbonyl bonds, such as ketones and aldehydes (66). It is less reactive with esters because the carbonyl carbon of this functional group has decreased electrophilicity due to resonance stabilization.

(iii) Carboxylic acid reagent. Aliquots (150 μl) of carboxylic acid-containing metabolites in water, medium, or matrix were combined with 300 μl of 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide in water (10 mM), 300 μl dansylcadaverine in methanol (10 mM), and 300 μl hydroxybenzotriazole in ethanol, and diluted to a final volume of 1350 μl with 300 μl of water. The reaction solution was mixed using a ThermoMixer (Eppendorf) at 60°C for 120 min at 1,500 rpm. The organic portion of the resulting solution was removed using a SpeedVac (Eppendorf) for 30 min, and the pH of the aqueous portion was adjusted to 3 to 4 by using 20% formic acid (vol/vol). Then, a liquid-liquid extraction was performed using dichloromethane and water. The organic layer was collected and reconstituted in 1 ml of a mixture of water and methanol (95:5, vol/vol) containing 0.1% formic acid, followed by online SPE-nanocapillary LC-MS/MS analysis.

(iv) Hydroxyl reagent. Aliquots (300 μl) of hydroxyl-containing metabolites in water, medium, or matrix were combined with 300 μl 4-dimethylaminobenzoic chloride in tetrahydrofuran (10 mM) and diluted to a final volume of 900 μl with 300 μl of 100 mM sodium carbonate in water. The reaction solution was mixed using a ThermoMixer (Eppendorf) at 30°C for 50 min at 1,500 rpm. The organic portion of the resulting solution was removed using a SpeedVac (Eppendorf) for 30 min, and the pH of the aqueous portion was adjusted to 3 to 4 using 20% formic acid (vol/vol). Then a liquid-liquid extraction was performed using dichloromethane and water. The organic layer was collected and reconstituted in 1 ml of a mixture of water and methanol (95:5, vol/vol) containing 0.1% formic acid, followed by online SPE-nanocapillary LC-MS/MS analysis.

Sample preparation for metabolomics analyses. (i) Photoautotrophic microbial consortium. The photoautotrophic microbial consortium was routinely cultured as described previously (54). Briefly, biofilms were grown and maintained in T75 Corning cell culture flasks (catalog no. 10-126-37; Fisher) on Hot Lake autotroph medium (HLA; see Table S7 in the supplemental material for details) at room temperature and atmosphere under ~15 μE/m²/s light (PL/4Q; General Electric) over 28-day succession experiments. Sterile water was replaced weekly to replace volume lost to evaporation. For exometabolomics analysis, 20 ml of cell culture was centrifuged at 6,000 × g for 15 min at 4°C. The supernatant was then transferred into a separate vessel. A portion of the supernatant was spiked with isotopically labeled amino acids as internal standards and analyzed using the amine tagging protocol.

(ii) Fracture fluid and produced fluids. Due to the high acidity of fracking fluid samples, the samples were pretreated by adding 250 μl of 0.5 M NaOH solution. Then, the MetFish methodology was applied to the fracture fluid and produced fluid samples by using each chemical tagging approach followed by LC-MS/MS analysis. A Q Exactive hybrid quadrupole-Orbitrap high-resolution mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA) was used for metabolite profiling, and data-dependent MS/MS spectra were obtained. Data analysis was performed by using in-house developed software, MASIC, to extract tag-derived metabolite masses with the use of three diagnostic fragments for each tagging approach. The masses of unknown metabolites were matched against the METLIN database and the Human Metabolome Database (HMDB) using a mass accuracy of <10 ppm. Additionally, the data were searched against the GNPS spectral libraries using the online workflow (https://ccms-ucsd.github.io/GNPSDocumentation/) on the GNPS website (http://gnps.ucsd.edu). Data were filtered by removing all MS/MS fragment ions within ±17 Da of the precursor m/z. MS/MS spectra were window filtered by choosing only the top 6 fragment ions in the ±50 Da window throughout the spectrum. The precursor ion mass tolerance was set to 2.0 Da and a MS/MS fragment ion tolerance of 0.5 Da. All matches were required to have a cosine score above 0.7 and more than 6 matched peaks.

(iii) Extracellular soil metabolite extraction. Silty loam soil was collected from the upper 15 cm of a watershed (39°06’11” N, 96°36’48” W) located at the Konza Prairie Biological Station. Upon collection, soil samples were shipped on ice to the Pacific Northwest Laboratory, where soils were immediately sieved (<2 mm) and stored at ~80°C until further use. Soil pH (in water) was 6.5, and the sulfate concentration was 5.45 ppm. Soil gravimetric water and clay content were determined to be 37% and 2%, respectively. A
salt wash of soil samples was performed in order to completely extract extracellular metabolites from soil particulates. K$_2$SO$_4$ (500 mM and 10 mM) solutions were used for salt washes; a water extraction was also performed as a control. Each extraction was performed in triplicate. Sieved soil sample (1 g) and 2 ml K$_2$SO$_4$ salt wash solution or water were added into a centrifuge tube. The mixture was vortexed for 30 min. The resulting mixture was centrifuged at 5,000 rpm for 5 min, and the supernatant was depleted. Then, another salt or water wash was performed on the residual soil sample. Another 2 ml K$_2$SO$_4$ salt wash solution or water was added to the residual soil sample, and the mixture was vortexed for 30 min. The two supernatants were combined and filtered through a 0.22-µm filter. A 20-µl aliquot of 300 µg/ml $^{13}$C- and $^{15}$N-labeled amino acid mixture standard was added to the filtered supernatant. Then, the amine-specific tagging approach was conducted to analyze extracellular metabolites.

(iv) Intracellular soil metabolite extraction. The residual soil from the extracellular metabolite extraction was used for subsequent intracellular metabolite extraction. A scoop of stainless-steel beads and garnet beads was added into the centrifuge tube. A 1-ml aliquot of water was then added, and bead beating was performed at speed 7 in a Bullet Blender Tissue Homogenizer 24 (Next Advance, NY, USA) for 4 min at 4°C to lyse microbial cells. A 20-µl aliquot of 300 µg/ml $^{13}$C- and $^{15}$N-labeled amine acid mixture standard was added to the sample. Then, the amine-specific tagging approach was directly conducted in soil to quantify released intracellular amino acids.

Instrumentation. (i) Online SPE-nanocapillary liquid chromatography system. An online SPE-nanocapillary liquid chromatography system was used for analysis of MetFish-tagged metabolites. The system consists of two parallel subsystems, each of which consists of two Agilent 1200 series nanopumps (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA), a six-port injection valve (VICI Valco, Houston, TX) with a sample loop, and a six-port injection valve (VICI Valco, Houston, TX) with a micro-solid-phase-extraction (SPE) column coupled in line (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). The valves were switched by program and directed the LC flow into or off to carry the analyte from the sample loop into the SPE. After the analyte was enriched by SPE, it was backflushed to a nine-port valve (VICI Valco, Houston, TX), which delivered the analyte into the nanocapillary LC column. The nanocapillary LC column was packed with porous C$_8$ particles (3-µm particle size, 300-Å pore size; Phenomenex, Terrence, CA) in fused silica capillaries (35 cm × 75-µm inside diameter [i.d.] × 360 µm outside diameter [o.d.]; Polymicro Technologies, Phoenix, AZ). The outlet of the nanocapillary LC column was connected to an approximately 3-cm-long nanoESI emitter, which was chemically etched from a 20-µm i.d. × 150-µm o.d. fused silica capillary. An autosampler (LEAP Technologies, Carrboro, NC) with a cooled-drawer sample holder was used for both parallel subsystems. A 5-µl sample loop was used for all experiments except when otherwise noted. Chromatography solvents consisted of 0.1% formic acid in water (mobile phase A) and 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile (mobile phase B). Chromatographic mobility was performed by using gradient elution of 2% to 30% B over 5 min, 30% to 95% B over 35 min, and 95% B for 20 min. The LC system was operated at constant flow rate at 300 nl/min. Data acquisition begins after 10 min of gradient elution to avoid recording of data-poor regions.

(ii) Mass spectrometry. A TSQ Quantum Ultra mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA) was used for targeted metabolite quantification. The mass spectrometer was operated with an electrospray voltage of ±2,400 V, a capillary offset voltage of 35 V, an ion transfer capillary temperature of 310°C, and a skimmer offset voltage of 0 V. Tube lens voltages were obtained from automatic tuning without further optimization. In selected reaction monitoring (SRM) mode, both Q1 and Q3 were set at a resolution of 0.7 at the full width at half maximum of the LC-MS peak, and Q2 gas pressure was set at 1.5 mTorr. Scan width was set at 0.002 m/z, and dwell time was set at 25 ms. Scan time was typically set at 20 ms for each SRM transition and adjusted as necessary based on transition numbers to provide enough data points across the chromatographic peaks. The collision energy was optimized for each SRM transition by using automatic tuning. Peak identification and quantification were performed using Quan Browser, provided by Xcalibur 2.0 software.

A Q Exactive hybrid quadrupole-Orbitrap high-resolution mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used for untargeted metabolite analysis. The mass spectrometric conditions were as follows: electrospray voltage, ±3,900 V; ion transfer capillary temperature, 320°C; source DC offset, 21 V; and S-lens radiofrequency (RF) level, 50. The instrument was operated in data-dependent mode acquiring high-resolution full-scan (resolution = 70,000, automatic gain control [AGC] = 3 × 10⁶) spectra followed by MS/MS scans (resolution = 70,000, AGC = 1 × 10⁵) of the top five most abundant ions within the mass range of 200 to 2,000 m/z. An isolation window of 2.0 Da was used. The dynamic exclusion function was not used. Stepped normalized collision energy (NCE) during collision-induced dissociation (CID) was set as 30, 33, and 36. Data were processed using MASiC (63) with a precursor ion tolerance of ±0.1 ppm and a product ion tolerance of ±0.01 Da. The reporter ions for each chemical tagging approach were identified and the corresponding precursor ion mass spectra were generated by using MASiC. Reporter ions searched were as follows: carboxylic acid tag, 171.104, 234.058, and 336.174 m/z; carbonyl tag, 171.104, 236.074, and 157.088 m/z; hydroxyl tag, 151.063 and 166.087 m/z; amine tag, 157.089, 170.097, and 234.059 m/z.

Analytical method validation. Fragment ions for each analyte were generated from product ion scans using the TSQ Quantum Ultra mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The most abundant ion generated during collision-induced fragmentation was selected as the quantification ion, and two other abundant and characteristic fragment ions were used as confirmation ions. The quantification of metabolites was accomplished in the SRM mode by using internal calibration ($R^2 > 0.99$). The calibration curve was produced by plotting the ratio of peak area of analyte to peak area of the internal standard versus the concentration of metabolite standard solution before derivatization. The method detection limits were determined by dilution series of metabolite standard solutions followed by chemical tagging
until a signal-to-noise ratio of 10 was noted in the LC-MS chromatogram. The signal-to-noise ratio was calculated based on a Genesis peak detection algorithm provided by the Xcalibur 2.0 software. Reproducibility was examined by evaluating the relative standard deviation (RSD) for 3 days (interday reproducibility) using sample solutions spiked with 5 μM metabolite standards.

Data availability. The data that support the findings of this study are openly available in MassIVE (accession number MSV000085713, doi:10.25345/C53Q93).
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