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Aqueous all-polymer batteries (AqPBs) are foreseen as promising solutions for safe, sustainable, and high-performance energy storage applications. Nevertheless, their development is still challenging as it demands precise optimization of both electrodes and the electrolyte composition to be able to sustain a stable redox activity, while delivering an optimal voltage output. Herein, we report AqPBs based on a poly(imide) (PI) anode and poly(catechol) (PC) cathode that exhibit tunable cell voltage depending on the salt used in the aqueous electrolyte, i.e., 0.58, 0.74, 0.89, and 0.95 V, respectively, when Li+, Zn2+, Al3+ and Li+H+ were utilized as charge carriers. The PI–PC full-cell delivers the best rate performance (a sub-second charge/discharge) and cycling stability (80% capacity retention over 1000 cycles at 5 A g⁻¹) in Li+. Furthermore, a maximum energy/power density of 80.6 W h kg⁻¹anode+cathode⁻¹/348 kW kg⁻¹anode+cathode⁻¹ is achieved in Li+/H⁺, superior to most of the previously reported AqPBs.

Introduction

The proper integration of affordable, scalable and eco-friendly electrochemical energy storage (EES) systems is critical to facilitate the development of a safe, secure, and sustainable energy landscape. However, commercial Li-ion batteries exclusively include insertion-type inorganic anodes, 3d transition metal cathodes and organic electrolytes, which are unsafe, scarce, expensive and energy intensive, and hardly meet the requirements of a sustainable society. This calls for a radical change in research and development beyond Li-ion battery chemistries to meet the ever-increasing sustainability demands.

Aqueous all-polymer batteries (AqPBs) that incorporate redox-active polymers (RAPs) as organic electrode materials (OEMs) and aqueous solutions as safe and cost-effective electrolytes can be promising alternatives for the development of sustainable energy storage systems. Although a plethora of RAPs have been successfully applied as OEMs in numerous rechargeable battery technologies (mostly, in metal-ion–polymer configuration), examples of AqPBs sporadically appeared in the literature. This is partly due to the formidable challenge that requires careful designing of both anode and cathode RAP partners to be not only able to sustain their redox activity in aqueous media, but also deliver a high voltage output within a relatively narrow electrochemical window of aqueous electrolytes (~1.23 and ~2 V for pure water and typical salt-in-water electrolytes, respectively).

Based on the charge storage mechanisms of RAPs, they can be generally classified into: n-type undergoing N⟵N⁻, p-type undergoing P⟵P⁺, and bipolar exhibiting B⟵B⁺→B⁻ redox reactions, with the simultaneous shuttling of electro-neutralizing cations, anions, and dual ions, respectively. Depending on the RAP (n- or p-type) and the chemical nature of the charge carriers, three kinds of AqPBs were realized: (i) n and p-type combination is generally preferred for the capacity-oriented design (20–65 mA h g⁻¹cell⁻¹, <1 V), p–p-type combination mostly preferred for the voltage-oriented design (1.1–1.3 V, <45 mA h g⁻¹cell⁻¹), and n–p-type combination offers a compromise between the capacity and the voltage (10–52 mA h g⁻¹cell⁻¹ and 0.9–1.3 V) (Fig. S1 and Table S1, see the ESI†). From these limited examples, it is evident that the development of AqPBs is at a slow pace and further it is necessary to simultaneously improve their capacity and voltage in order to be competitive with other aqueous-based battery technologies.

Among different varieties of n-type Redox-Active Polymers (RAPs), poly(imide)s and poly(quinone)s have been attracting tremendous interest in AqPBs owing to their (i) high theoretical
specific capacity, tunable redox potentials and fast kinetics, (ii) high chemical and electrochemical stability, and (iii) good compatibility with different types of cationic charge carriers (e.g., Li⁺, Na⁺, Mg²⁺, Zn²⁺, Al³⁺, etc.) (vide supra). Particularly, their last merit is highly encouraging, which enables them to be used as universal organic electrodes in numerous polymer-based battery configurations.44–48 So far, their n-type redox behavior was mainly exploited to design various metal-ion/polymer mono- and multivalent batteries, but interactions between the polymeric host and the charge carriers were largely overlooked. Of note, our recent work emphasized the importance such interactions from the perspective of electrochemical performance tunability, but in half-cells.41

In this article, we demonstrate the construction of AqPBs, comprising a poly(imide) anode and poly(catechol) cathode. First, we studied the electrochemical performance of individual electrodes in different aqueous electrolytes containing Li⁺, Zn²⁺, Al³⁺, and Li⁺/H⁺ charge carriers. Then, all-organic full-cells were tested denoting an increase of cell voltage depending on the charge carriers from Li⁺ to Zn²⁺, to Al³⁺ and to Li⁺/H⁺, respectively. Finally, the performance of full-cells was optimized including cyclability studies and compared favorably with the state-of-the-art all-polymer aqueous stationary batteries.

Experimental section

Materials

Lithium nitrate (LiNO₃, ≥ 99.0%, Sigma-Aldrich), zinc sulfate heptahydrate (ZnSO₄·7H₂O, ≥ 99.5%, Sigma-Aldrich), aluminum nitrate nonahydrate (Al(NO₃)₃, 9H₂O, ≥98.0%, Sigma-Aldrich), sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄, ACS reagent, 95–98%, Sigma-Aldrich), hydrous 1-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP, 99.5%, Sigma-Aldrich), aluminum(III) tris(triethylformamide) (Al(NO₃)₃·9H₂O, ≥ 99.5%, Sigma-Aldrich), lithium(1)_bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (LiTFSI, 99.9%, Solvionic), zinc(11)_bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (Zn(TFSI)₂, 99.5%, Solvionic), aluminum(III)tri(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (Al(TFSI)₃, 99.5%, Solvionic), polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF, Sigma Aldrich), thin multi-walled carbon nanotubes (CNTs; Elicarb® MW, Thomas-Swan) and carbon paper (Toray TP-060, QUINTECH) were used as received. Anhydrous N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF, ≥ 99.8%, Sigma-Aldrich) was dried over 4 Å molecular sieves for at least 48 h, distilled, and stored under argon prior to use.

Synthesis of redox-active polymers

The synthesis of the cathode active-material, P(DA70-stat-AMPS)ₙ (term ed simply poly(catechol) = PC, Mₙ ≈ 12.0 kg mol⁻¹ and Mₕ/Mₙ = 1.19) bearing catechol pendants has been previously reported.42 The anode organic partner, poly(1,4,5,8-naphthalenetetracarboxylic diimide-1,2-diethylenes) (poly idi me = PI) with imide functionalities in the polymer backbone, was synthesized by a polycondensation reaction as described previously.43

Electrode preparation

Preparation of the PC/CNT cathode. 5 mg of thin multi-walled carbon nanotubes (CNTs) were dispersed in 3 mL 1- methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) using a tip sonicator, followed by addition of 7.5 mg of PC (the mass ratio of PC : CNT was 6 : 4 wt%), proceeding to sonication for 2 h in a bath sonicator (Branson 2510, 100 W, 42 kHz) and overnight stirring to prepare the electrode slurry. This viscous smooth slurry was then uniformly coated onto a carbon paper current collector by a doctor blade method, dried overnight at 50 °C under vacuum and cut into 12-mm disks. The mass loading of polymers on the current collector was controlled between 1.1 and 1.5 mg cm⁻².

Preparation of the PI/CNT anode. PI-based organic anodes were prepared following a similar protocol as mentioned above; however, the difference is the use of an additional electrode component, PVDF as the binder. The mass ratio of PI : CNT : PVDF was 6 : 3 : 1, and the loading of PI was about 1.5 mg cm⁻².

PI–PC full-cell fabrication

PI–PC full-cells were assembled using circular discs (12 mm diameter) of PI/CNTs as the anode, PC/CNTs as the cathode, and a pair of porous Whatman® glass microfiber filters (Grade GF/B) soaked with ~200 μL of electrolyte in CR2032 coin cells. The mass ratio between PI and PC was 1 : 1, 1 : 0.9, 1 : 0.7, and 1 : 0.72 in Li⁺, Zn²⁺, Al³⁺, and Li⁺/H⁺ configurations, respectively, according to the capacities of individual electrodes in the three-electrode investigations. The aqueous electrolytes for Li⁺, Zn²⁺ and Al³⁺ ion batteries were prepared by dissolving LiNO₃, ZnSO₄ or Al(NO₃)₃ in degassed milli-Q water to a 2.5 M concentration. The mixed aqueous electrolyte (Li⁺/H⁺) was composed of 2.5/0.25 M LiNO₃/H₂SO₄. The cells were assembled in a high-purity argon-filled glovebox (MBraun; O₂ < 0.5 ppm) to avoid any possible contamination by oxygen.

Electrochemical characterization

The electrochemical performance of individual electrodes and full-cells was investigated by cycling voltammetry (CV) and galvanostatic charge–discharge (GCD) experiments using a Biologic VMP3 multichannel Potentiostat/Galvanostat (Biologic SP-150). The CV and GCD profiles of redox-active polymers (RAPS) were obtained using a wound three-electrode electrochemical cell with RAP/CNT ink (80/20 wt%) modified glassy carbon (GC, with an area of 0.07 cm²), Ag/AgCl (KCl sat.) and platinum wire as the working, reference and counter electrodes, respectively, in different aqueous electrolytes. The electrolyte was degassed with argon, and CV/GCD was performed at room temperature under a positive pressure of argon atmosphere. The real-time evolution of individual voltages of electrode partners in full-cells was assessed with Swagelok™ T-cells, in which PI, PC, and Ag/AgCl (KCl sat.) were used as the anode, cathode and reference electrode, respectively. As control experiments, the CV analysis of PC electrodes was also carried out in aqueous citrate buffer solutions (pH = 5) and organic electrolytes (anhydrous DMF) containing Li⁺ (from LiTFSI), Zn²⁺ (from Zn(TFSI)₂), and Al³⁺ (from Al(TFSI)₃) charge carriers. Ag wire was used as a pseudo-reference electrode in organic electrolytes.

Theoretical specific capacity (mAh g⁻¹) was calculated using the equation = (26 801 × n × uRA)/MWRA, where n is the
number of electrons exchange per unit of catechol/o-quinone \((n = 2)\), and \(w_{RA}\) and \(MW_{RA}\) are the weight fraction and the molecular weight of redox-active (RA) units, respectively.\(^{42}\) The theoretical specific capacity of PC and PI is about 180 and 166 mA h g\(^{-1}\), respectively. The energy density (W h kg\(^{-1}\)) of the full-cell was determined by integrating the area under the galvanostatic discharge curve.\(^{18,40,43,45\text{–}48}\)

### Computational method

The structure of the PI and PC polymers is truncated to a simple monomer structure that is composed of naphthalene-tetracarboxylic diimide (NDI) substituted with a monomer structure that is composed of naphthalene-tetracarboxylic diimide (NDI) substituted with a monomer structure that is composed of naphthalene-tetracarboxylic diimide (NDI).

**Results and discussion**

#### Effect of charge carriers on the electrochemical response

**Redox response of individual electrodes with Li\(^+\), Zn\(^{2+}\), and Al\(^{3+}\) as charge carriers.** The redox reactions in both anode and cathode redox polymers were probed by cyclic voltammetry (CV)
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**Fig. 1** (a and c) Schematic representation of the n-type redox behavior of PI (a) and PC (c) with both mono- and multivalent cations. (b) Cyclic voltammograms of PI (profiles in green) and PC (profiles in red) half-cells in unbuffered aqueous electrolytes containing different charge carriers, i.e., Li\(^+\), Zn\(^{2+}\), Al\(^{3+}\), and Li\(^+\)/H\(^+\) (top to bottom). The CVs were recorded at 10 mV s\(^{-1}\) in a standard three-electrode configuration.
same order of electroneutralizing cation valences (Li⁺ < Zn²⁺ < Al³⁺), as previously reported by our group.⁴⁴

Although the electrochemical analysis was carried out in unbuffered aqueous electrolytes containing different charge carriers, it was recently manifested by our group that the reduction/oxidation of ortho-quinones catecholates in similar electrolytes occurs via the coordination/uncoordination of cations of the supporting salts.⁴⁴ Very recently, Yan et al.⁴⁷ and Wang et al.⁴⁸ have demonstrated a similar redox mechanism with anthraquinone and quinone compounds, respectively. Additionally, this redox reaction mechanism with the coordination/uncoordination of designated metal cations has been also well proven for both PC and PI elsewhere in the context of metal-ion aqueous batteries.³⁴⁻⁴⁰

The reduction of ortho-quinones into catecholates and the subsequent n-type coordination reaction depend not only on the pH of the aqueous electrolyte, but also on the nature and concentration of cationic charge carriers.⁴⁹ As control experiments, we accomplished CV analysis of PC in both aqueous citrate buffer solutions (pH = 5; Fig. 2a) and aprotic organic electrolyte media (Fig. 2b) containing Li⁺, Zn²⁺, and Al³⁺ charge carriers, separately, which revealed a similar shift of redox potential in the Li⁺ < Zn²⁺ < Al³⁺ order. Notably, the latter results are in line with the recent study by Svensson et al., who elucidated the redox mechanism of quinone and catechol redox polymers in organic electrolytes containing different charge carriers.⁵⁰ It is worth mentioning that besides the main redox peak associated with the coordination/uncoordination of Li⁺, the CV of PC in a slightly acidic Li⁺ buffer solution (Fig. 2a) also shows a small peak at higher potential which might be attributed to the contribution of protons to the redox mechanism. Interestingly, this second peak does not appear in the CV of PC in a neutral Li⁺ containing aqueous electrolyte (see Fig. 1b). These results suggest that the neutral pH favours Li⁺ cycling, whereas under slightly acidic conditions both protons and lithium ions might be involved. Furthermore, the involvement of different charge carriers (Li⁺, Zn²⁺ or Al³⁺) in the redox reaction of PC was confirmed here by ex situ ATR FTIR experiments (Fig. 2c), where the stretching vibration of the catecholic C=O bond at ~1650 cm⁻¹ becomes discernible upon electrochemical oxidation but its intensity significantly decreased upon reduction. Parallelly, the evolution of a characteristic catecholate stretching band below 1200 cm⁻¹ upon the formation of catecholic C–O−···M⁶⁺ (M⁶⁺ = Li⁺, Zn²⁺ or Al³⁺) during the reduction was also evident and different from proton reduction. Interestingly, its red shift in the Li⁺ (1202 cm⁻¹) < Zn²⁺ (1106 cm⁻¹) < Al³⁺ (1029 cm⁻¹) order indicates stronger interaction of M⁶⁺ with C–O− in the same order of metal cation valences.⁵⁰,⁵¹ Taken together, these results confirm that the cations of the supporting electrolytes are mainly involved in the electrochemical reaction. However, despite all those control experiments, we cannot completely exclude the involvement of protons on the redox mechanism in acidic electrolytes and further studies would be necessary to undoubtedly elucidate this aspect.

Using a mixed electrolyte as a strategy to boost the voltage further. In order to maximize the cell voltage, the potential of the anode and the cathode should be kept as low (negative) and as high (positive) as possible, respectively. Thanks to the distinguishing voltage characteristics of PI and PC, all-organic full-cells with increasing voltage in the Li⁺ < Zn²⁺ < Al³⁺ order can be anticipated (Fig. 1b and Table 1). It is worth mentioning here that chemical manipulation,⁵²⁻⁵⁷ π-conjugation adaptivity⁵⁸,⁵⁹ and spectator cation substitution in the host structure⁶⁰ approaches are most often used to tune the redox potentials of the organic core. Negatively, such strategies also add dead-weight to the active materials, which might penalize the specific capacity as well. However, in this work we realize voltage tunability of all-organic cells without modifying the redox core structure.

In this sense, it is well-known that catechols also undergo ortho-quinone catechol redox transformations via a proton-coupled two-electron process (Fig. 1c) at significantly high redox potentials.⁵⁴⁻⁵⁸ Therefore, to boost the voltage output of the PI–PC full-cell further we explored the mixed electrolyte concept (containing Li⁺ and H⁺).⁵⁵ Fig. 1b (lower panel) shows the CVs of PI and PC in such a mixed electrolyte anticipating a maximum voltage output of 0.95 V with H⁺ and Li⁺ involved in

---

**Fig. 2**  (a) Cyclic voltammograms of PC in (a) aqueous citrate buffer solutions (pH = 5) and (b) organic electrolytes (anhydrous DMF). The CVs were recorded at 5 mV s⁻¹ in a standard three-electrode configuration. Potentials in Fig. 2b were later corrected with the ferrocene/ferrocnium (Fc/Fc⁺) redox couple. (c) Vertically offset ex situ ATR-FTIR spectra of oxidized PC(ii) and reduced PC with H⁺ (ii), Li⁺ (iii), Zn²⁺ (iv), and Al³⁺ (v) in the aqueous unbuffered electrolytes.
the electrochemistry of the PC cathode and PI anode, respectively. It should be noted that achieving AqPBs with an output voltage above 0.9 V is not that simple task, particularly for the n/n-type combination (Table S1†). We did not consider proton cycling in the case of PI because to the best of our knowledge, imides are not known to undergo proton coupled redox reactions. Evidently, Ghetiyan et al. demonstrated the pH independent redox activity of PI in aqueous electrolytes.25

Quantum chemical calculations. In order to elucidate the difference in redox potentials for PI and PC, we looked into the electronic structure of imide/enolate and catecholate/ortho-quinoine repeating units to calculate the second-reduction potential \( E_{\text{red,2,calc}} \) and binding free energy \( E_{\text{bind}} \) using quantum-chemical calculations based on density functional theory (DFT) (see details in the ESI†). From Table 1, it can be seen that there is a good correlation between the experimental and the calculated reduction potentials for both imide and ortho-quinoine moieties in the presence of Li⁺, Zn²⁺ and Al³⁺ charge carriers. Analogues to the CV observations, a distinctive small and huge increase of \( E_{\text{red,2,calc}} \) in the order of \( \text{Li}^+ < \text{Zn}^{2+} < \text{Al}^{3+} \) was accounted for PI and PC, respectively. Assuming the similar redox mechanism of both PI and PC based on their n-type nature, the difference in redox potentials should be ascribed to the differences in strength of metal cation-reduced redox core interactions \( E_{\text{bind}} \). The computed \( E_{\text{bind}} \) between enolate (of PI) and \( \text{Li}^+ \), \( \text{Zn}^{2+} \) and \( \text{Al}^{3+} \) was +1.2, −2.8, and −7.9 kcal mol⁻¹ per cation, respectively (Table 1). However, a higher \( E_{\text{bind}} \) of −7.3, −25.2, and −49.0 kcal mol⁻¹ per cation was obtained for metal cation–catecholate (of PC) with \( \text{Li}^+ \), \( \text{Zn}^{2+} \) and \( \text{Al}^{3+} \), respectively. In general, a higher value of \( E_{\text{bind}} \) is associated with larger positive shifts in reduction potentials due to the enhanced thermodynamic stabilization of the reduced species into the complexes,41,47,50 and thus their re-oxidation becomes increasingly difficult and occurs at more positive potentials in the \( \text{Li}^+ < \text{Zn}^{2+} < \text{Al}^{3+} \) order. Therefore, a small enhancement by −4.0 and −9.0 kcal mol⁻¹ in \( E_{\text{bind}} \) induced a minute increase of redox potential for enolate (PI) with \( \text{Zn}^{2+} \) and \( \text{Al}^{3+} \), respectively, compared to \( \text{Li}^+ \), whereas, a huge increase in redox potential for catecholate (PC) was linked to the large increment of \( E_{\text{bind}} \) (by −17.9 and −41.7 kcal mol⁻¹ for \( \text{Zn}^{2+} \) and \( \text{Al}^{3+} \), respectively, compared to \( \text{Li}^+ \)). This distinctive trend in \( E_{\text{bind}} \) and thus redox potential between PI and PC are presumably due to their different electron density. Localized electron density on the catecholate ring and the ortho-nature of quinone redox sites lead to strong metal–polymer interactions in PC,52,53 while for PI the para-oriented redox-active enolates and more diffused electron density over the extended naphthalenetetracarboxylic diimide (NDI) ring result in weak metal–polymer interactions.54

Electrochemical performance of individual electrodes

Fig. 3 shows the rate performance of PI and PC half-cells by GCD experiments at progressively increasing C-rates in different aqueous electrolytes containing \( \text{Li}^+ \), \( \text{Zn}^{2+} \), \( \text{Al}^{3+} \), and \( \text{Li}^+/\text{H}^+ \) charge carriers. The representative specific capacity–potential profiles are given in the ESI (Fig. S4 and S5†). It is also evident from these potential profiles that despite their sloping nature, the charge/discharge plateaus of PI and PC were shifted to higher values in the \( \text{Li}^+ < \text{Zn}^{2+} < \text{Al}^{3+} \) order, but to a different extent, which is in good agreement with CV studies and DFT calculations. Both PI and PC delivered reversible capacities in the range of 128–164 mAh g⁻¹ and 167–183 mAh g⁻¹, respectively, at 2C in the aforementioned electrolytes (Fig. 3a). PI in \( \text{Li}^+ \) provided the highest discharge capacity of 164 mAh g⁻¹, close to the theoretical limit (166 mAh g⁻¹). However, lower capacity utilization values of 93, 86 and 77% were obtained in \( \text{Zn}^{2+} \), \( \text{Al}^{3+} \), and \( \text{Li}^+/\text{H}^+ \), respectively. Notably, the coulombic efficiencies were close to 100% in all the cases (Fig. S4†). On the other hand, PC demonstrated a better active-material utilization in the range of 93–100% (theoretical specific capacity of 180 mA h g⁻¹) but lower coulombic efficiencies at low C-rates, and subsequently recovered to the quantitative values at higher C-rates (Fig. S5†). With increasing C-rates from 2C to 240C, both the discharge capacities (Fig. 3a) and consequently the capacity retentions (capacities at higher C-rates w.r.t. the capacity at 2C; Fig. 3b) of PI and PC decreased monotonically in the \( \text{Li}^+ < \text{Zn}^{2+} < \text{Al}^{3+} \) order. As demonstrated in our previous publication,41 this diminished rate performance should be ascribed to the sluggish ion mobilities on account of stronger metal cation–polymer interactions in the same order of charge carrier valences.

| Cation   | \( E_{\text{ave}} \) (V) | \( E_{\text{red,2,calc}} \) (V) | \( E_{\text{bind/cation}} \) (kcal mol⁻¹) | PI anode | \( E_{\text{ave}} \) (V) | \( E_{\text{red,2,calc}} \) (V) | \( E_{\text{bind/cation}} \) (kcal mol⁻¹) | PC cathode |
|----------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------|
| \( \text{Li}^+ \) | −0.50                | −0.78                         | +1.2                                     | 0.1       | +0.05                  | −0.06                         | −7.3                                       | 0.6       |
| \( \text{Zn}^{2+} \) | −0.47                | −0.73                         | −0.60 (±0.11)                             | 0.28      | +0.2                   | +0.17 (±0.23)                 | −25.2 (−17.9)                            | 0.75      |
| \( \text{Al}^{3+} \) | −0.40                | −0.54                         | −0.49 (±0.22)                             | 0.51      | +0.43                  | +0.69 (±0.76)                 | −49.0 (−41.7)                            | 0.91      |
| \( \text{Li}^+/\text{H}^+ \) | −0.38                | −0.45                         | nd                                        | 0.57      | +0.52                  | n d                           | n d                                       | 0.95      |

The values of \( E_{\text{ave}} \) and \( E_{\text{red,2,calc}} \) were calculated at 10 mV s⁻¹. \( E_{\text{red,2,exp}} \) obtained experimentally at 10 mV s⁻¹. \( E_{\text{bind/cation}} \) was calculated in the computational method to calculate \( E_{\text{bind/cation}} \) per cation. \( E_{\text{bind}} \) was determined at 2C in the electrolyte \( \text{Li}^+/\text{H}^+ \). The potentials (in CV and DFT calculations) are referenced against Ag/AgCl. The calculated reduction potentials for both imide and ortho-quinoine moieties are given in the Supporting Information (Table S1†). All the potentials (in CV and DFT calculations) are referenced against Ag/AgCl. The calculated reduction potentials for both imide and ortho-quinoine moieties are given in the Supporting Information (Table S1†). All the potentials (in CV and DFT calculations) are referenced against Ag/AgCl.
Remarkably, even at a very high C-rate of 240C, PI and PC still retained 71, 60 and 47% and 71, 57 and 52% of their initial capacities in Li\(^+\), Zn\(^{2+}\) and Al\(^{3+}\), respectively. Additionally, both the electrode partners of the all-organic cell also demonstrated excellent dynamic performance in the Li\(^+\)/H\(^+\) electrolyte, but the overall performance of PC was superior to that of PI (Fig. 3).

In order to understand the origin of the outstanding rate performance of PI and PC, the electrochemical kinetics of redox reactions in polymer working electrodes were investigated by CV at different scan rates (v) in the aqueous electrolyte containing Li\(^+\) as the representative charge carrier. The Laviron method was used to determine the transfer coefficient (\(\alpha\)) and apparent reaction rate constant (\(k_0\)) parameters (Fig. 4a, d and S6†). The value of \(\alpha\) was close to 0.5 for both systems, indicating almost symmetric energy barriers for the oxidation and reduction reactions. Furthermore, the calculated \(k_0\) was found...
to be 1.5 and 2.6 s⁻¹ for PI and PC, respectively. Additionally, considering that the peak currents (\(i_p\)) in the CV curves obey the power-law relationship as: \(i_p = a v^b\), where \(a\) and \(b\) are adjustable coefficients, the slope \((b\text{-value})\) of the \(\log(i_p)\) vs. \(\log(v)\) plot provides insights into the underlying redox mechanism.⁵⁵

Ideally, the \(b\)-value of 0.5 indicates a diffusion-controlled process, whereas the \(b\)-value of 1.0 is the signature of a capacitive-controlled behavior.

High \(b\)-values of 0.79/0.78 and 0.92/0.90 for the anodic/cathodic peaks were obtained for PI and PC (Fig. 4b and e), respectively, suggesting a mixed electrochemical response but tending to be less diffusion limited. Here, the capacitive-type electrochemical response is assumed to have mainly originated from bulk electrochemical reaction sites (similar to intercalation pseudocapacitance that is observed in some inorganic intercalation compounds, which is different from conventional surface pseudocapacitance in nanomaterials)⁶⁵ that are less limited by the diffusion processes on account of polymer’s high reaction rates and superior ion mobilities.⁴¹⁻⁴³ To further quantify the contributions of diffusion- and non-diffusion-controlled charge storage to the total capacity, the correlation between normalized capacity and \(v^{-1/2}\) was established (Fig. 4c and f). The capacity, capacitive-controlled, which is non-diffusion limited, was obtained as the \(y\)-intercept,⁵⁵ resulting in 80 and 83% for PI and PC, respectively, resembling a fast pseudocapacitive behavior. Thanks to the smart design of both the electrode partners, they are engineered to exhibit pseudo-capacitive like energy storage behavior on account of fast redox reactions and facilitated ion-mobility in the bulk of the material. In fact, the incorporation of ion conducting 2-acrylamido-2-methylpropane sulfonate⁴² and poly(ethylene oxide)⁴⁴ comonomer moieties in PC and PI, respectively, assisted the ion transport. Therefore, superior rate performance is anticipated for the PI-PC full-cells.

It is worth noting that both PI and PC demonstrated satisfactory stable cycling over 100 GCD cycles at 10C, retaining 98, 97, 91, and 98% (Fig. S7†) and 96, 95, 94, and 94% (Fig. S8†) of their initial capacities, respectively, with quantitative coulombic efficiencies (>99%) in Li⁺, Zn²⁺, Al³⁺, and Li⁺/H⁺.

Electrochemical performance evaluation of PI-PC all-organic batteries

Based on the excellent electrode performance of PI and PC individual electrodes, along with their tunable voltage behaviors, all-organic aqueous rechargeable batteries were assembled with PI and PC serving as the anode and cathode, respectively. A schematic illustration of the full-cell structure and the overall electrode reactions of the cells in two different sets of aqueous electrolytes are given in Scheme 1.

The full-cells operate in a cationic rocking-chair mode in Li⁺, Zn²⁺ or Al³⁺ based electrolytes, with unidirectional shuttling of charge carriers from the anode to the cathode during discharge (Scheme 1a) and from the cathode to the anode during charge. On the other hand, the PI-PC full-cell is assumed to operate in a non-rocketing chair cationic hybrid-ion configuration with a mixed Li⁺/H⁺ electrolyte: during the discharging process, enolates are oxidized to imides while releasing Li⁺ into the electrolyte reservoir, and ortho-quinones are reduced to the electrolyte reservoir, and ortho-quinones are reduced to the total capacity, the correlation between normalized capacity and \(v^{-1/2}\) was established (Fig. 4c and f). The capacity, capacitive-controlled, which is non-diffusion limited, was obtained as the \(y\)-intercept,⁵⁵ resulting in 80 and 83% for PI and PC, respectively, resembling a fast pseudocapacitive behavior. Thanks to the smart design of both the electrode partners, they are engineered to exhibit pseudo-capacitive like energy storage behavior on account of fast redox reactions and facilitated ion-mobility in the bulk of the material. In fact, the incorporation of ion conducting 2-acrylamido-2-methylpropane sulfonate⁴² and poly(ethylene oxide)⁴⁴ comonomer moieties in PC and PI, respectively, assisted the ion transport. Therefore, superior rate performance is anticipated for the PI-PC full-cells.

It is worth noting that both PI and PC demonstrated satisfactory stable cycling over 100 GCD cycles at 10C, retaining 98, 97, 91, and 98% (Fig. S7†) and 96, 95, 94, and 94% (Fig. S8†) of their initial capacities, respectively, with quantitative coulombic efficiencies (>99%) in Li⁺, Zn²⁺, Al³⁺, and Li⁺/H⁺.

Electrochemical performance evaluation of PI-PC all-organic batteries

Based on the excellent electrode performance of PI and PC individual electrodes, along with their tunable voltage behaviors, all-organic aqueous rechargeable batteries were assembled with PI and PC serving as the anode and cathode, respectively. A schematic illustration of the full-cell structure and the overall electrode reactions of the cells in two different sets of aqueous electrolytes are given in Scheme 1.

The full-cells operate in a cationic rocking-chair mode in Li⁺, Zn²⁺ or Al³⁺ based electrolytes, with unidirectional shuttling of charge carriers from the anode to the cathode during discharge (Scheme 1a) and from the cathode to the anode during charge. On the other hand, the PI-PC full-cell is assumed to operate in a non-rocketing chair cationic hybrid-ion configuration with a mixed Li⁺/H⁺ electrolyte: during the discharging process, enolates are oxidized to imides while releasing Li⁺ into the electrolyte reservoir, and ortho-quinones are reduced to the total capacity, the correlation between normalized capacity and \(v^{-1/2}\) was established (Fig. 4c and f). The capacity, capacitive-controlled, which is non-diffusion limited, was obtained as the \(y\)-intercept,⁵⁵ resulting in 80 and 83% for PI and PC, respectively, resembling a fast pseudocapacitive behavior. Thanks to the smart design of both the electrode partners, they are engineered to exhibit pseudo-capacitive like energy storage behavior on account of fast redox reactions and facilitated ion-mobility in the bulk of the material. In fact, the incorporation of ion conducting 2-acrylamido-2-methylpropane sulfonate⁴² and poly(ethylene oxide)⁴⁴ comonomer moieties in PC and PI, respectively, assisted the ion transport. Therefore, superior rate performance is anticipated for the PI-PC full-cells.

It is worth noting that both PI and PC demonstrated satisfactory stable cycling over 100 GCD cycles at 10C, retaining 98, 97, 91, and 98% (Fig. S7†) and 96, 95, 94, and 94% (Fig. S8†) of their initial capacities, respectively, with quantitative coulombic efficiencies (>99%) in Li⁺, Zn²⁺, Al³⁺, and Li⁺/H⁺.

Scheme 1 Schematic of the working principle of the PI-PC full-cell during the discharge process in a cationic rocking-chair mode with Li⁺, Zn²⁺ or Al³⁺ (a), and hybrid-ion configuration with Li⁺/H⁺ (b).
Zn^{2+}, Al^{3+}, and Li^{+}/H^{+}, respectively (Fig. 5a). The rate performance of PI–PC full-cells is once again hampered in the Li^{+} < Zn^{2+} < Al^{3+} order, which is in accordance with the half-cell studies. For instance, when the applied current density was increased to 60 A g^{-1}, full-cells achieved discharge capacities of 129, 64, and 7 mA h g^{-1}, corresponding to 83, 43, and 6% of their initial capacities in Li^{+}, Zn^{2+}, and Al^{3+}, respectively (Fig. 5b). Remarkably, full-cells in Li^{+} and Li^{+}/H^{+} can still deliver discharge capacities of 35 and 17 mA h g^{-1}, respectively, at even an extremely high current density of 500 A g^{-1} (corresponding to a sub-second charge or discharge), reflecting the unprecedented rate capabilities of both PI and PC in these electrolytes. Furthermore, when the current density was brought back to 0.5 A g^{-1}, nearly quantitative capacity recovery was observed in all the cases, discarding irreversible capacity fade during the high current experiments. It is also worth noting here that the average coulombic efficiencies were close to 100% in Li^{+} and Zn^{2+} aqueous electrolytes at all the current densities, while slightly lower efficiencies (ca., 94–97%) were obtained below 1 A g^{-1} with Al^{3+} and Li^{+}/H^{+} and then subsequently improved to > 99% (Fig. S11†).

The cycling stability of PI–PC full-cells was evaluated at a current density of 5 A g^{-1}. Full-cells were able to maintain 80, 74, 53, and 75% of their initial capacities over 1000 GCD cycles in Li^{+}, Zn^{2+}, Al^{3+}, and Li^{+}/H^{+}, respectively (Fig. 5c and S12†). Additionally, the coulombic efficiencies were stabilized at >99% after the initial few catechol-to-ortho-quinone activation cycles.

Finally, to demonstrate the availability of our PI–PC full-cells as sustainable high-performance energy storage systems, we compared their performance with that of the state-of-the-art all-polymer aqueous stationary batteries. The maximum attained specific capacities in the range of 78–85 mA h g^{-1} were higher than that of the reported AqPBs, and the average cell voltage of 0.95 V in Li^{+}/H^{+} was also notable for the n|n-type configuration (Fig. 5d and Table S1†). As shown in the Ragone plot (Fig. 5e), full-cells in Li^{+} and Li^{+}/H^{+} containing aqueous electrolytes can deliver a maximum energy/power density of 46.5 W h kg^{-1}/302 kW kg^{-1} and 82.2 W h kg^{-1}/355 kW kg^{-1}, respectively. The concurrent high specific capacity, high cell voltage, and ultrafast kinetics, particularly in the mixed Li^{+}/H^{+} aqueous electrolyte, endowed the PI–PC full-cell with a steady and high energy density over a wide range of power values that clearly rivals most of the state-of-the-art AqPBs, and also comparable to the pol-y(viologen)-poly[2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidinyloxy-4-yl acrylamide) aqueous battery (example 3 in Fig. 5e and Table S1†),28 which is based on the p|p-type combination. Yet another advantage of n|n-type combination is that it can make use of lighter weight shuttling cations (e.g., H^{+}, Li^{+} etc.), in contrast to

![Fig. 5](image_url)

**Fig. 5** (a and b) Rate performance of PI–PC full-cells: discharge capacity vs. cycle number at different current densities (a), and discharge capacity retention (b). (c) Cyclic performance: discharge capacity retention (%) and coulombic efficiencies measured at 5 A g^{-1}. The capacity and current density in experiments a–c were based on the PI component of the full-cell. (d and e) Comparison of full-cell performance with the state-of-the-art all-polymer aqueous stationary batteries: cell voltage vs. specific capacity (d) and Ragone plot (e). The specific capacity and gravimetric energy/power density were evaluated based on the total mass of the anode and cathode. Both these plots for various all-organic full-cells (mostly, all-polymer) are computed by considering some of the best performing full-cells in their class (see Table S1†). p|p-type: half-filled green symbols in the light green region, n|p-type: half-filled blue symbols in the light blue region, n|n-type: half-filled red symbols in the light red region, and filled spheres represent this work.
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the p|p- and n|p-type configurations, which require bulky anions and dual ions, respectively, as the electroneutralizing charge carriers. Consequently, when the energy and power density were evaluated based on the total mass of both electrodes and the consumed salt, a small decrease of energy/power density (<1%) was noted for PI-PC full-cells in Li+ and Li+/H+, while a substantial lowering of 19, 32, and 30% energy/power density for the examples 3,28 6,30 and 7,33 respectively, is noticeable from their corresponding Ragone plots (Fig. 5e, S13 and Table S1).

Conclusions

In this work, we have developed all-organic aqueous batteries comprising a poly(imide) (PI) anode and poly(catechol) (PC) cathode that demonstrated tunable electrochemical performance. We realized this by exploiting the distinctive strength of metal cation–redox core ionic interactions of electrode partners with different charge carriers based on their n-type redox behavior, resulting in various cationic rocking-chair cells. The increase of cell voltage from 0.58 to 0.74 and further to 0.89 V was achieved, when the type of charge carrier changed from Li+ to Zn2+ and to Al3+. Adversely, this gain also comes with the penalty of rate capability and cycling stabilities in the Li+<Zn2+<Al3+ order. The PI-PC full-cell also worked in hybrid-ion mode with a mixed Li+/H+ aqueous electrolyte, exhibiting the highest cell voltage of 0.95 V with good cycling stability and excellent rate capability. The concurrent high specific capacity (85 mA h g⁻¹), high cell voltage (0.95 V), and ultrafast kinetics (working at 500 A g⁻¹, which corresponds to 0.1 s charge or discharge), particularly in Li+/H+, provided an impressive energy density of ~80.6 W h kg⁻¹, where a high value of ~10 W h kg⁻¹ was still achieved at an unprecedented power density of ~348 kW kg⁻¹ based on the total mass of both electrodes and the consumed salt. This overall performance is far superior to that of most of the reported all-polymer aqueous stationary batteries.

Based on the CV, GCD results and DFT calculations, we postulate that PI and PC can be used as universal organic electrodes in numerous Li-ion and post-Li-ion energy storage technologies, including proton and all-polymer batteries, stemming from their versatile n-type charge storage mechanism. The development of such universal organic electrodes is particularly intriguing and gaining popularity among the battery community due to the fact that it demands minimal electrode/device engineering efforts. We hypothesize that the combination of high safety aqueous electrolytes and high-performance PI and PC based organic electrodes could offer a practical option as a truly sustainable energy storage system for wide use in large-scale applications.
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