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ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study is to investigate the concepts of multiculturalism and multicultural education and the multicultural experiences, attitudes and efficacies of prospective teachers of Turkish based on different variables. The study was carried out with a total of 249 prospective teachers of which 77% were female, and 23% were male who were receiving education in the spring semester of the academic year of 2018-2019 at the department of Turkish education of a state university. The study utilized the Multicultural Efficacy Scale. The data were analyzed by utilizing statistics and statistical techniques such as percentages, frequencies, arithmetic means, standard deviations, t-test and one-way analysis of variance. The Cronbach’s Alpha reliability coefficient that was calculated for this study was .868. Based on the findings of the study, it was determined that the prospective Turkish teachers had above-average and positive efficacies towards multicultural education, the saw themselves capable in the “experience, attitude and self-efficacy” dimensions, their perception levels were high, and among different variables, there were significant differences in their multicultural efficacy levels based on the variables of class and place of residence.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The prevalence of information and communication technologies has affected and still affecting social, political, economic and cultural life deeply. The changes and developments in question have affected education, and therefore, curricula. In addition to the function of education to train good citizens and labor that is suitable for the social and cultural structure, improvement of individual characteristics has emerged today as an additional important value [1-5]. Nowadays, where the effects of globalization are being felt more with developed technology, serious differences in individual and social structures have also emerged, and interaction has increased very fast by communication becoming easy and economical. This process that is experienced in the sociocultural area has made it inevitable for different cultures to live together, and therefore, brought the concept of multiculturalism forward.

Moreover, establishment of communication or gathering with various cultures by individuals growing up in the information society is shown as a pre-condition for development and acceptance of what is different without reservations. This situation that is shown as a pre-condition is possible by respecting different culture, beliefs, ethnic origins, human rights and differences and developing a positive attitude towards all these differences. The most important way of achieving this is the democratic approaches and...
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period is considered as a necessity [26], in the practical sense, developing new policies towards multicultural curricula and internalization of these by students, teachers and administrators are among the needs that are carefully emphasized in Turkey’s education system. It is needed to carefully focus on the experiences, attitudes and efficacies of teachers, who play a key role in the education of an individual, regarding multicultural education, and in this context, to allocate more resources for theoretical and experimental studies. Based on this, the purpose of this study is to investigate the experiences, attitudes and efficacies of prospective teachers, who are the teachers, education planners and practitioners of the future, towards multicultural education based on different variables. Especially in relation to investigating the management, attitudes and capacities of educators and prospective educators that work in teaching native and foreign languages towards multiculturalism, conducting such studies and sharing their results have a significant role in stakeholders’ acquisition of a more modern identity and design of instruction environments in suitability with this.

2. RESEARCH METHOD
2.1. Research design
This study was a screening study that was carried out for the purpose of investigating the multicultural experiences, attitudes and efficacy perceptions of prospective teachers of Turkish. A screening model allows the researcher to quantitatively describe the tendencies, attitudes or views within a population through studies conducted on a sample selected from the population [27].

2.2. Population and sample
The population of the study consisted of prospective Turkish teachers who were receiving education in the fall semester of the academic year of 2018-2019 at a state university located in the Eastern Anatolia Region of Turkey. The sample consisted of 249 prospective Turkish teachers who were determined by using the simple random sampling method. Information on the demographic characteristics of the sample is provided in Table 1.

| Variables         | Characteristics | f  | %   |
|-------------------|-----------------|----|-----|
| Gender            | Female          | 187| 75.1|
|                   | Male            | 62 | 24.9|
| Total             |                 | 249| 100.0|
| Class             | 1st Year        | 56 | 22.5|
|                   | 2nd Year        | 74 | 29.7|
|                   | 3rd Year        | 71 | 28.5|
|                   | 4th Year        | 48 | 19.3|
| Total             |                 | 249| 100.0|
| Place of Residence| Village         | 53 | 21.3|
|                   | Town            | 64 | 25.7|
|                   | City            | 50 | 20.1|
|                   | Metropolitan City| 82| 32.9|
| Total             |                 | 249| 100.0|

2.3. Data collection instruments
The study utilized the “Multicultural Efficacy Scale” that was developed by Guyton and Wesche [28] and adapted into Turkish by Akcaoğlu and Arsal [29] as the data collection tool. The scale consists of 5 parts. The first part was created for the purpose of comparing the multicultural experiences of participants. The second part consists of items related to the attitudes of participants, and the calculation here is made as 0-8 points for low, 9-13 points for moderate and 14-16 points for high attitudes. The 3rd and 4th parts consist of items regarding self-efficacy perceptions. The calculation for these parts is made as 0-43 points for low, 44-53 points for moderate and 54-64 points for high self-efficacy. The last part consists of items that aim to determine what participants think about the purpose of multicultural instruction. As in the original scale, the Turkish version of the scale has 3 dimensions. These are the experience (1, 2, 3, 4, 5), attitude (6, 7, 8, 9) and self-efficacy (10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25) dimensions. The item that is the last item of the original scale and measures the points of view of participants regarding multicultural education was kept as it is. The Cronbach’s Alpha internal consistency coefficient for the total “Multicultural Efficacy Scale” was calculated as .87, which is accepted as good reliability. The Cronbach’s Alpha coefficient for this study was found as .885.
2.4. Data analysis

In data analysis, in cases where comparisons were not possible, descriptive statistics were utilized. Before data analysis, firstly the normal distribution of the data was checked. In cases where the data were normally distributed, independent-samples t-test was used to compare two groups, while one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare more than two groups.

3. FINDINGS

Table 2 shows the descriptive statistics that were calculated based on the views of the participants on their multicultural experiences.

| Items                                                                 | Mean | Sd  | Interpretation |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|------|-----|----------------|
| 1) When I was a child, I played with people who were different than me.| 2.88 | .95 | Sometimes      |
| 2) In my childhood and youth, I went to a school where there were students different than me. | 2.06 | 1.16 | Rarely         |
| 3) People with differences lived in the neighborhood where I grew up.   | 2.42 | 1.00 | Rarely         |
| 4) I used to prefer watching TV shows and films about people with differences. | 2.52 | .93  | Rarely         |
| 5) When I was a child/young, I was in the same team and/or club with students who had differences. | 2.26 | 1.04 | Rarely         |

As seen in Table 2, based on their mean scores, the participants answered as “sometimes” to the statement “When I was a child, I played with people who were different than me”, while they answered as “rarely” to the statements “In my childhood and youth, I went to a school where there were students different than me”, “People with differences lived in the neighborhood where I grew up”, “I used to prefer watching TV shows and films about people with differences” and “When I was a child/young, I was in the same team and/or club with students who had differences”. Table 3 shows the descriptive statistics that were calculated based on the multiculturalism attitude and self-efficacy mean scores of the participants.

| Dimensions | Mean | Sd  | Interpretation |
|------------|------|-----|----------------|
| Attitude   | 13.59| 2.31| Moderate-High  |
| Self-Efficacy | 49.77| 7.29| Moderate       |

As seen in Table 3, the mean multicultural attitude score of the participants was “moderate-high”, while their mean self-efficacy score was “moderate”.

The results of the independent-samples t-test that was carried out to determine the differences in the multicultural attitude and self-efficacy scores of the participants based on the variable of gender are shown in Table 4.

| Variable | Group | N   | X  | sd  | df  | t    | p    |
|----------|-------|-----|----|-----|-----|------|------|
| Attitude | Female | 187 | 13.75 | 2.21 | 247 | -1.959 | .051 |
|          | Male   | 62  | 13.09 | 2.52 |    |      |      |
| Self-Efficacy | Female | 187 | 50.02 | 7.36 | 247 | -940  | .348 |
|          | Male   | 62  | 49.01 | 7.09 |    |      |      |

As seen in Table 4, according to the results of the independent-samples t-test, in terms of the multicultural attitude and self-efficacy mean scores, there was no significant difference between the female students (X_attitude= 13.75; X_self-efficacy= 50.02) and the male students (X_attitude = 13.09; X_self-efficacy= 49.01) (lmultattitude(247)=-1.959, p>.05; lself-efficacy (247)=-940, p>.05).

The results of one-way ANOVA that was carried out to determine the differences in the multicultural attitude and self-efficacy scores of the participants based on the variable of class are shown in Table 5 and Table 6.
Table 5. Data on descriptive statistics

| Variables | N | X | ss |
|-----------|---|---|----|
| **Attitude** | | | |
| 1st Year | 56 | 14.07 | 1.90 |
| 2nd Year | 74 | 13.65 | 2.22 |
| 3rd Year | 71 | 13.49 | 2.32 |
| 4th Year | 48 | 13.09 | 2.77 |
| **Self-Efficacy** | | | |
| 1st Year | 56 | 51.84 | 6.66 |
| 2nd Year | 74 | 50.61 | 7.67 |
| 3rd Year | 71 | 47.92 | 7.12 |
| 4th Year | 48 | 48.81 | 7.04 |

Table 6. One-way ANOVA results on the differences in the multicultural attitude and self-efficacy scores based on the variable of class

| Multiculturalism | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Squares | F | p | Significant Difference |
|------------------|----------------|----|--------------|---|---|------------------------|
| **Attitude** | | | | | | |
| Between groups | 25,976 | 3 | 8.659 | 1.639 | .181 | (1>3) |
| Within Group | 1294.502 | 245 | 5.284 | | | |
| Total | 1320.479 | 248 | | | | |
| **Self-Efficacy** | | | | | | |
| Between groups | 580.573 | 3 | 193.524 | 3.759 | .011 | 1>3 |
| Within Group | 12614.429 | 245 | 51.487 | | | |
| Total | 13195.002 | 248 | | | | |

A one-way ANOVA test was carried out to determine whether or not there was a difference in the multicultural attitude and self-efficacy scores of the participants based on their class, and while there was no significant difference among the groups based on their attitude mean scores ($F_{(3,245)} = 1.639, p > 0.05$), there was a significant difference based on their self-efficacy mean scores ($F_{(3,245)} = 3.759, p < 0.05$). As a result of the multiple comparisons test that was carried out, it was seen that the significant difference in the multicultural self-efficacy dimension was between the 1st-year prospective teachers ($\overline{X} = 51.84$) and the 3rd-year prospective teachers ($\overline{X} = 47.92$) and in favor of the 1st-year participants. The effect size value that corresponds to the ratio of variance in the dependent variable explained by the independent variable was found as $\eta^2 = .044$, and this showed that the variable of class had a moderate-level effect on the mean scores of multicultural self-efficacy.

The results of one-way ANOVA that was carried out to determine the differences in the multicultural attitude and self-efficacy scores of the participants based on the variable of place of residence are shown in Tables 7 and 8.

A one-way ANOVA test was carried out to determine whether or not there was a difference in the multicultural attitude and self-efficacy scores of the participants based on their place of residence, and while there was no significant difference in the mean scores of the groups for the attitude dimension ($F_{(3,245)} = 1.286, p > 0.05$), there was a significant difference in the self-efficacy dimension ($F_{(3,245)} = 2.724, p < 0.05$). As a result of the multiple comparisons test that was carried out, it was seen that the difference in the self-efficacy dimension was between the prospective teachers living in metropolitan cities ($\overline{X} = 51.03$) and those living in villages ($\overline{X} = 47.63$) and in favor of the participants living in metropolitan cities. The effect size value that corresponds to the ratio of variance in the dependent variable explained by the independent variable was found as $\eta^2 = .032$, and this showed that the variable of place of residence had a moderate-level effect on the mean scores of multicultural self-efficacy.

Table 7. Data on descriptive statistics

| Multiculturalism | Variables | N | X | ss |
|------------------|-----------|---|---|----|
| **Attitude** | | | | | |
| Village | 53 | 13.45 | 2.37 |
| Town | 64 | 14.02 | 2.18 |
| City | 50 | 13.20 | 2.51 |
| Metropolitan City | 82 | 13.59 | 2.23 |
| **Self-Efficacy** | | | | | |
| Village | 53 | 47.63 | 6.69 |
| Town | 64 | 50.47 | 7.99 |
| City | 50 | 49.09 | 7.05 |
| Metropolitan City | 82 | 51.03 | 7.01 |
4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Today, where societies are experiencing a very fast change and transformation in the social and cultural sense, it is not possible to consider education separately from this process. In today’s world that is getting increasingly smaller where borders are being eliminated and transitivity increases, while people’s interests in and tendencies towards education, science and communication increase, their rates of knowing different cultures, living together with them and interacting with them have also started to rise fast. This situation has naturally made it inevitable for educators to reorganize in this direction and form and implement new education environments and programs suitable for it.

With the differences diversifying in increasingly growing countries, the world is getting smaller, but different cultures and identities gather at the point of multiculturalism for living together. At this point, multiculturalism is considered as an alternative way to prevent conflicts between differences [30]. It is an indisputable fact that this alternative way naturally affects the education approaches and policies of countries, and there is a higher need to relate new concepts such as multilingualism, multiculturalism and digital nativism to the field of education and conduct studies in this direction. Considering the near future where educators and students who will work in the field of education in the future will interact more with students from several ethnic groups with different numbers and qualities, it is believed that studies on attitudes, perceptions and capacities on this issue have great importance in this context.

According to the results on this study which was carried out on the multicultural attitudes and self-efficacy perceptions of prospective teachers of Turkish, the participants generally had high and positive multicultural experience, attitude and efficacy perceptions. Considering the literature, this result was supportive of the findings of the studies [31-35]. Based on the studies of the researchers conducted with students, teachers, administrators and prospective teachers, it is possible to reach the conclusion that the participants valued multiculturalism, and they had a positive attitude towards multicultural education.

In this study where the multicultural attitudes and efficacies of prospective Turkish teachers were examined, it was determined that there was no significant difference in the participants’ multicultural attitude and self-efficacy mean scores based on their gender. This result was in agreement with the results of the study by Yazıcı et al. [34] conducted with 415 teachers of different branches employed at the province of Tokat and its districts, the study by Polat [32] conducted with 203 school principals appointed for the first time to public schools in the province of Kocaeli and the study by Özdemir and Dil [33] conducted with 204 teachers working in the province of Çankırı. However, in the literature, there are also studies which determined that the variable of gender created a significant difference on the mean scores of multiculturalism and multicultural education, as opposed to the result mentioned above. In the study conducted by Coşkun [36] to determine and compare the attitudes of students of the department of Religious Culture and Moral Knowledge (DKAB) at the Faculty of Theology and Faculty of Education towards multicultural education, female students were found to have higher scores, while in the study by Demir and Başarr [37], in the study by Demircioğlu and Özdemir [38] on 156 students receiving pedagogic formation training at Çankırı Karatekin University and the studies of Tortop [39], Engin and Genç [40], Şahin and Kılıç [41], Arslan and Çalışma [42] and Güngör et al. [35], it was seen that there was a difference based on the variable of gender in favor of women, and the attitudes of women towards multicultural education were significantly higher than those of men.

Looking at the variable of class based on the findings of the study, it is seen that there was a significant difference in the multicultural self-efficacy mean scores based on the variable of class in favor of the participants attending their 1st year. In the study by Coşkun [36], which included only 4th-year students with the assumption that targeted outcomes to be obtained in the context of multiculturalism would reach the maximum level on the level of the 4th year, it was seen that the attitudes of the participants towards multicultural education were positive, while the levels of attitudes did not significantly vary based on the variables of gender, economic level, mother’s/father’s education levels, ÖSS-AGNO scores, type of the educational institution and place of residence. According to the data obtained as a result of the study by

Table 8. One-way ANOVA results on the differences in the multicultural attitude and self-efficacy scores based on the variable of place of residence

| Multiculturalism | Sum of Squares | df  | Mean Squares | F    | p     | Significant Difference |
|------------------|----------------|-----|--------------|------|-------|------------------------|
| Attitude         |                |     |              |      |       |                        |
| Between groups   | 20196          | 3   | 6.732        |      |       |                        |
| Within Group     | 1300.283       | 245 | 5.307        | 1.286| .286  |                        |
| Total            | 1320.479       | 248 |              |      |       |                        |
| Self-Efficacy    |                |     |              |      |       |                        |
| Between groups   | 425.894        | 3   | 141.965      |      |       | Metropolitan City>Village |
| Within Group     | 12769.108      | 245 | 52.119       | 2.724| .045  |                        |
| Total            | 13195.002      | 248 |              |      |       |                        |
Yılmaz and Gökçen [43] with 404 prospective form teachers on intercultural sensitivity, while the variable of gender did not lead to a difference in attitudes, the sensitivity levels of 3rd- and 4th-year students were higher than those of 1st- and 2nd-year students. In the study by Şahin and Kılınç [41] that was conducted to determine the views of prospective teachers on their multicultural personality levels, there were significant differences in the cultural empathy sub-dimension based on the variable of class in favor of 1st-year students as in the case of this study. While Güngör et al. [35] determined that prospective teachers have high levels of positive attitudes towards multiculturalism, their multiculturalism attitudes did not vary significantly based on their ages or years of study, but there were significant differences in their attitudes based on gender, region of origin, program and native languages.

Looking at the last variable of the study, place of residence, based on the findings, while there was no significant difference in the mean attitude scores based on the variable, there was a significant difference in the mean self-efficacy scores, and the significant difference was between those living in metropolitan cities and those living in villages in favor of the former. While this result supported the studies of Yazeri et al. [34], Bulut and Başbay [44], Koçak and Özdemir [15] and Arslan and Çalışmaşur [42] with teachers and prospective teachers on multicultural education and democratic attitudes, it contradicted the finding of Coşkun [36] in their study with university students that results of the students did not significantly differ based on the qualities of the place of their experiences.

Based on the results, the following recommendations may be offered for future studies: This study is limited with the views of a certain group of students from Atatürk University. This is why the findings of the study are limited. Conducting the study with more university students, teachers and also instructors will enrich the literature as it will provide more generalizable findings. It will also provide the opportunity of comparing universities, faculties and departments in terms of their multicultural education programs, if any.

In addition to experimental and qualitative studies on experiences, attitudes and efficacy perceptions towards multicultural education, usage of different data collection instruments and different variables will contribute greatly to the literature. As in the case of prospective teachers, the same scale may be applied with teachers and instructors of Turkish who work at provinces where students of foreign nationality are found prevalently, and a comparative study may be carried out in terms of their experiences, attitudes and efficacy perceptions and those of their colleagues working in different provinces. Different scales on this topic may be applied on the same population and/or sample with different research designs, and the results may be compared. Furthermore, whether or not there is a relationship between the awareness levels of teachers and prospective teachers of Turkish and their experiences, attitudes and efficacies may be measured.

Moreover, based on the necessity to provide multicultural awareness knowledge and skills, investigating the reasons for not having provided these, provision of in-service or pre-service trainings for teachers and planning projects, workshops and other practices for prospective teachers that would increase their knowledge, skill and awareness levels in the instruction process will be useful. In today’s world where multicultural education and awareness on it are a factor that needs to be considered starting from primary education through people’s professional lives, it is an indispensable reality that more in-depth studies need to be conducted on this issue. In this sense, considering that experiences, attitudes and efficacy perceptions towards multiculturalism are affected by different variables, it is seen to be important to replicate such a study with variables such as status of having studied or lived abroad, knowing a foreign language and having received education on different cultures, as it will contribute to the field.

5. CONCLUSION

Based on the findings on the multicultural experiences of the prospective Turkish teachers, it was seen that they did not have much experience. The participants answered as “sometimes” to the statement “When I was a child, I played with people who were different than me”, while they answered as “rarely” to the statements “In my childhood and youth, I went to a school where there were students different than me”, “People with differences lived in the neighborhood where I grew up”, “I used to prefer watching TV shows and films about people with differences” and “When I was a child/young, I was in the same team and/or club with students who had differences.”

According to the results on this study which was carried out on the multicultural attitudes and self-efficacy perceptions of prospective teachers of Turkish, the participants generally had high and positive multicultural experience, attitude and efficacy perceptions.
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