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Abstract

Background: Knowledge of hepatitis B and C has been reported to be low among respondents in different studies. We conducted a cross-sectional study among international students of Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM) to ascertain their levels of knowledge, attitude and practices regarding hepatitis B and C and its associated factors.

Methods: Six hundred and sixty two (662) international students participated in this study. A cluster sampling method was employed and data was generated using self-administered questionnaire, which was validated and its reliability checked.

Results: Normality test was conducted followed by descriptive statistics, spearman’s correlation and Chi-square tests to explore associations between variables in the study. The response rate was 71.49%. Of these, 50.3% of the respondents had better knowledge of hepatitis B; 52.7% had better knowledge of hepatitis C; 54.8% had positive attitude towards hepatitis B and C and 77.6% had safer practices towards hepatitis B and C. Positive correlations were found between knowledge of hepatitis B and knowledge of hepatitis C; knowledge hepatitis B and attitude; knowledge hepatitis C and attitude; knowledge hepatitis B and practice; knowledge hepatitis C and practice; and attitude and practice regarding hepatitis B and C. Similarly, some socio-demographic variables and history of hepatitis were found to be associated with knowledge, attitude and practice related to hepatitis B and C.

Conclusion: The levels of knowledge and attitude towards hepatitis B and C were low among respondents but majority of them exhibited safe practices. The study level, faculty, age, nationality, marital status and gender of the respondents were significantly associated with their levels of knowledge, attitude and practices towards the disease. These findings imply that there is need for hepatitis health promotion among the international students of UPM and possibly other international students across the globe. It will serve to improve their levels of knowledge, attitude and practices in short term and get them protected against the disease in the long run.
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Knowledge of hepatitis is shown to be low among different populations [1–6]. It is estimated that one out of every twelve people in the world is chronically infected with either hepatitis B or C. These result in about 1 million deaths annually. Hepatitis B and C are also responsible for about 78 % of all primary liver cell carcinoma and 57 % of all cases of liver cirrhosis. About 2 billion people have been infected with hepatitis B globally, resulting in 350 million hepatitis B virus carriers globally and 600,000 deaths annually. It is also estimated that the global prevalence (hepatitis B surface antigen positive) of hepatitis B infection is 5 % but ranges between 0.1 to 20 % among the low and high endemic areas [7, 8].

Globally, there are about 130–170 million carriers of hepatitis C virus, this results in about 350,000 of global mortality annually. Prevalence (antibody seropositive) of hepatitis C globally stands at 3 % [8, 9], but it ranges between 0.2 to 18 % depending on the geographical region [9, 10]. Malaysia experienced relative drop in prevalence of hepatitis B from 5–7 % before 1989 to between 0.4 to 2.5 % depending on the region in 1996 and now to 0.62 % [11] among young age group entering university. Malaysia is considered to be among the low endemic countries as the prevalence of hepatitis B has declined to below 2 %. The prevalence of hepatitis C in the country was estimated to be around 1.5 % [12, 13]. The trend can be reversed with increase in international students coming into Malaysia if they are not aware of the risk factors of the disease and they became infected along their study period. This is because some of the students came from hepatitis endemic countries like China and Indonesia among other countries. This is more so with regards to hepatitis C which has no vaccine and is believed to be more common among intravenous drug abusers, which is a factor in Malaysia.

This study aimed to assess the levels of knowledge, attitude and practice related to hepatitis B and C among international students of UPM at Serdang campus. The international students that were active and registered international student of UPM at Serdang Campus. The international students that were included in the study. Inclusion criteria require being an active and registered international student of UPM at Serdang Campus. The international students that were not available during data collection were excluded from the study.

Sample size was estimated based on the finding of level of knowledge regarding hepatitis B and C among university students in Pakistan. It was found to be 45.9 and 31 % for those aged 20–21 and those aged greater than 21 respectively [14]. Therefore, formula for 2 proportions was used [15]. The estimated sample size was 742.

A questionnaire, which was self-administered and written in English was used as instrument for data collection in this study. Content and face validities as well as reliability tests were conducted on the questionnaire before it was used for the study. The questionnaire had 63 items grouped into five sections: socio-demographic, history of hepatitis, knowledge, attitude and practice sections.

SPSS version 21 was used for data analysis and P-value of equal to or less than 0.05 was taken as significant. Descriptive analysis was initially conducted, which was then followed by normality test. The data was not normally distributed as such the following statistical tests were conducted:

1. Chi-square test was conducted to determine the association of independent variables with the outcome variable of interest (knowledge, attitude and practice related to hepatitis B and C).
2. Spearman’s correlation was used to determine the correlation between knowledge hepatitis B, knowledge hepatitis C, attitude and practice.

The successfully completed and returned questionnaires were 662 and eligible respondents were 926,
giving an overall response rate of 71.49%. About 192 international students were not available during data collection. Most of them went to their respective countries for data collection or for personal reasons as such they were excluded from the study. Normality test was conducted and the data was not normally distributed with Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk tests showing p-value of less than 0.001.

Distribution of the respondents by socio-demography and history of hepatitis

Table 1 shows the distribution of respondents according to their socio-demographic characteristics. A total of 662 international students participated in the study out of which 388 (58.6%) were males, and 274 (41.4%) were females. The ages of the respondents ranged between 18 and 56 years, with median age of 26 years (inter quartile range = 26–37). Two hundred and twenty four respondents did not report their monthly income. However, the monthly income of respondents who reported theirs ranged between (in Malaysian Ringgit (RM)) RM 100–RM 16,000 with mean of RM 2545.16.

Table 2 shows the distribution of the respondents according to past history related to hepatitis. From the results as shown in the table above only 38.1% of the respondents were vaccinated.

Levels of knowledge attitude and practice

The findings revealed that the percentages of international students in UPM with better knowledge of hepatitis B and C (Better knowledge is achieved by the respondent when the respondent obtained aggregate knowledge score of median (8 for hepatitis B and 6 for hepatitis C with inter quartile ranges of 5–10 and 2–9 respectively) or above and less knowledge for those with aggregate scores less than median score) are 50.3 % and 52.7 % for hepatitis B and hepatitis C respectively. The prevalence of positive attitude (Positive attitude is considered when a respondent got aggregate of median attitude score (25 with inter quartile range of 22–28) or above and negative attitude for those with less than aggregate scores less than median) among the respondents is 54.8 %, indicating that more than half of the respondents have positive attitude towards the disease. Similarly, the proportion of participants with safer practices (Safe practice is when a respondent got aggregate practice scores of median (6 with inter quartile range of 6–7) or above and unsafe practice for those with less than median practice score.) towards hepatitis B and C is shown to be good as 77.6 % of the respondents exhibited safe practices.

Correlation of knowledge, attitude and practice regarding hepatitis B and C

There were significant positive correlations between combinations of these variables: with strongest correlation found between knowledge of hepatitis B and hepatitis C r 0.73, p-value <0.001; knowledge of hepatitis B with practice r 0.154, p-value <0.001; knowledge of hepatitis B with attitude r 0.373, p-value <0.001; knowledge of hepatitis C with attitude r 0.329, p-value <0.001; knowledge of hepatitis C with Practice r 0.141, p-value <0.001 and weakest
correlation was between attitude and practice towards hepatitis B and C, $r = 0.11$, $p$-value $0.004$.

Comparison between levels of knowledge, attitude and practice and socio-demographic factors as well as history of hepatitis

Table 3 shows factors associated with knowledge, attitude and practice related to hepatitis B and C among international students of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Discussion

Main findings

The aim of this study was to assess the levels of knowledge, attitude and practice related to hepatitis B and C among international students of UPM and its associated factors. The findings indicated that the percentages of international students in UPM with better knowledge of hepatitis B and C were found to be low, although is better than results from study among university students in Karachi, which showed the level of knowledge of hepatitis B and C combined to be $39\%$ [14]. This may be explained by the mandatory hepatitis test for all prospective students to be enrolled here in UPM. The prevalence of positive attitude among the respondents is $54.8\%$, indicating that more than half of the respondents have positive attitude towards the disease. This is similar to the findings of Razi et al. [16]. The positive attitude towards the diseases by the students could be explained by the on-going HIV campaign globally. The proportion of participants with safer practices towards hepatitis B and C is shown to be high. This is similar to the findings of Razi et al. [16] too. This finding could also be explained by popular HIV campaign ongoing as well.

Females represented a significantly higher proportion of respondents with better knowledge of hepatitis C as compared with males which is similar to the findings of Khan et al. [14]. For hepatitis B, there is no significant association found which is similar to findings of a previous study [17]. However, it contradicts findings of Roya Mansour-Ghanae and co-researchers who found females to have better knowledge of hepatitis B [18].

The faculty of the participants in this study was significantly associated with hepatitis B knowledge as respondents from the faculty of sciences showed better knowledge of hepatitis B compared to those in faculties of arts and humanities. This is as reported previously in a study among university students in Pakistan [16]. This could be explained by the fact that respondents from the faculty of sciences are more likely to get curricular knowledge of the disease along their study unlike respondents from faculties related to arts and humanities.

Nonetheless, study level was also associated with the level of knowledge of hepatitis B as PhD students showed better knowledge compared to masters and undergraduate students. This result corroborates what was reported in previous study [19]. The finding can be supported by the fact that the more student advances in his/her study the more likely the student is to know more about his/her study area and other related knowledge and skills which can be obtained through seminars, journal clubs among other media.

There were significant association between the knowledge of hepatitis B and C and the family history in relation to hepatitis. Respondents with family members or
Table 3 Factors associated with hepatitis B and C knowledge, attitude and practice (N = 662)

| Variable          | Knowledge HB Better f (%) | Knowledge HC Better f (%) | Attitude Positive f (%) | Practice Safe f (%) |
|-------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| Gender            |                           |                           |                         |                     |
| Male              | 191 (49.2)                | 192 (49.5)                | 211 (54.4)              | 288 (74.2)          |
| Female            | 142 (51.8)                | 132 (48.2)                | 152 (55.5)              | 226 (82.5)          |
| Faculty           |                           |                           |                         |                     |
| Sciences          | 156 (55.3)                | 126 (44.7)                | 178 (63.1)              | 219 (77.7)          |
| Arts & Humanities | 177 (46.6)                | 203 (53.4)                | 185 (48.7)              | 295 (77.6)          |
| Nationality       |                           |                           |                         |                     |
| Africans          | 117 (50.6)                | 114 (49.4)                | 156 (67.5)              | 185 (80.1)          |
| Asians            | 213 (49.9)                | 214 (50.1)                | 203 (47.5)              | 326 (76.3)          |
| Europeans         | 3 (75.0)                  | 1 (25.0)                  | 4 (100.0)               | 3 (75.0)            |
| Study level       |                           |                           |                         |                     |
| Undergraduates    | 57 (47.5)                 | 63 (52.5)                 | 41 (34.2)               | 80 (66.7)           |
| Masters           | 89 (43.2)                 | 117 (56.8)                | 112 (54.4)              | 155 (75.2)          |
| PhD               | 187 (55.7)                | 149 (44.3)                | 210 (62.5)              | 279 (83.0)          |
| Age group         |                           |                           |                         |                     |
| <20               | 19 (52.8)                 | 17 (47.2)                 | 10 (27.8)               | 22 (61.1)           |
| 21–29             | 109 (49.5)                | 111 (50.5)                | 102 (46.4)              | 168 (76.4)          |
| ≥30               | 205 (50.5)                | 201 (49.5)                | 215 (50.0)              | 172 (58.8)          |
| Marital status    |                           |                           |                         |                     |
| Single            | 152 (47.8)                | 166 (52.2)                | 158 (47.9)              | 231 (72.6)          |
| Ever married      | 181 (52.6)                | 168 (47.4)                | 205 (59.6)              | 283 (82.3)          |
| Family history of hepatitis |         |                           |                         |                     |
| Yes               | 31 (68.9)                 | 14 (31.1)                 | 31 (68.9)               | 37 (82.2)           |
| No                | 302 (48.9)                | 315 (51.1)                | 323 (53.8)              | 477 (77.3)          |
| Friend with hepatitis |                 |                           |                         |                     |
| Yes               | 117 (59.4)                | 80 (40.6)                 | 133 (67.5)              | 155 (78.7)          |
| No                | 216 (46.6)                | 248 (53.4)                | 230 (49.6)              | 359 (77.4)          |
| Yellowness of the eyes |                 |                           |                         |                     |
| Yes               | 20 (48.8)                 | 21 (51.2)                 | 20 (48.8)               | 32 (78.0)           |
| No                | 313 (50.4)                | 308 (49.6)                | 343 (55.2)              | 482 (77.6)          |
| Diagnosed with hepatitis |              |                           |                         |                     |
| Yes               | 15 (55.6)                 | 12 (44.4)                 | 15 (77.8)               | 23 (85.2)           |
| No                | 318 (50.1)                | 317 (49.9)                | 342 (53.9)              | 491 (77.3)          |
| Vaccination status |                 |                           |                         |                     |
| Yes               | 166 (65.9)                | 86 (34.1)                 | 161 (63.9)              | 226 (89.7)          |
| No                | 167 (40.7)                | 243 (59.3)                | 202 (49.3)              | 288 (70.2)          |
| Doses received    |                           |                           |                         |                     |
| 0                 | 125 (40.2)                | 186 (59.8)                | 20 (64.5)               | 26 (83.9)           |
| 1                 | 19 (61.3)                 | 12 (38.7)                 | 10 (23.8)               | 39 (92.9)           |
| 2                 | 29 (69.0)                 | 13 (31.0)                 | 68 (71.6)               | 87 (91.6)           |
| 3                 | 72 (75.8)                 | 23 (24.2)                 | 157 (50.5)              | 220 (70.7)          |
| Do not know       | 88 (48.1)                 | 95 (51.9)                 | 86 (47.0)               | 142 (77.6)          |

*Likelihood ratio was used where χ² and Fisher’s exact test were not applicable, f, frequency, %, percentage, N total number of respondents, n number of respondents in the sub-group, HB hepatitis B, HC hepatitis C.
relatives diagnosed with hepatitis showed better knowledge compared to respondents with no family history of hepatitis. Likewise, respondents with friend diagnosed with hepatitis significantly showed better knowledge of hepatitis compared to those who do not have. This result corresponds with the results of previous study that indicated that having a family member or a friend diagnosed with hepatitis is a predictor of hepatitis B knowledge [20]. However, the result goes contrary to the findings of Darwish and Khalbld’s study [21].

Likewise vaccination status and number of hepatitis vaccine doses received were significantly associated with knowledge of hepatitis B and C. This contradicts results of Darwish and Khalbld who found no significant association between vaccination status of medical students and knowledge of hepatitis B [21]. This may not be surprising as the effect of vaccination may be neutralized by the active knowledge of the disease given to the students during their medical training.

The age of the respondents in this study was significantly associated with positive attitude towards hepatitis B and C. This is in conformity with the findings of a previous study conducted among medical students [14].

Faculty of the respondents in this study was significantly associated with better attitude towards hepatitis B and C. Respondents in the faculty of sciences showed better attitude as compared to those in faculties of arts and humanities. This is as reported previously in a study among university students in Pakistan [16]. Moreover, study level is also associated with positive attitude towards hepatitis B and C. The PhD students among the respondents showed better attitude compared to masters and undergraduate students. This is in keeping with what was reported among students of Guilan University Rast Iran [18]. Moreover, the nationality of the participants was significantly associated with their attitude towards hepatitis B and C with Africans having better attitude compared to other nationalities.

Marital status of the respondents in this study was shown to be associated with the attitude of the respondent towards hepatitis B and C. Ever married respondents had better attitude compared to singles. This contradicts findings of previous study that reported marital status having no significant influence on attitudes of medical students towards hepatitis B and C [18]. As mentioned earlier, this could be a result of formal knowledge of the disease that the medical students received during their medical training as well as their interaction with hepatitis patients. Most of the respondents in this study lack this type of privileged information.

There was significant association between attitude towards hepatitis B and C with family history of hepatitis. Respondents with relatives diagnosed with hepatitis showed better attitude towards the disease compared to those who do not have family history of hepatitis. Likewise, respondents with friends diagnosed with hepatitis significantly showed better knowledge of hepatitis compared to those who do not have. This finding contradicts what was obtained in a previous study [18]. The participants in this study that were diagnosed with hepatitis in the past, or were vaccinated against hepatitis B had higher proportions of those with better attitude towards the disease compared with those who do not. This is determined with the number of doses of the vaccine received directly related to the attitude of the respondents. However, this was contrary to the findings of Mansour-Ghanaei et al. [18]. All the differences between this study and Mansour-Ghanaei’s study could be explained by the difference in study population.

Gender was significantly associated with safer practices towards hepatitis B and C. Females significantly had higher proportions of those with safer practices towards the disease compared to males. This could be explained by the fact that females generally could be more cautious in their day-to-day life routines compared to males. Nonetheless, this finding is similar to what is obtainable in a previous study [22].

The age of the participants in this study was associated with safer practices towards hepatitis B and C. Participants aged 30 years and above had higher proportion of those with better knowledge compared to other younger age groups. This may be explained by the fact that age of the respondents is linked to their study level with the younger age group being undergraduates and the elderly being PhD students. This is contrary to the findings of another study which demonstrated no significant contribution of age to safer practices towards hepatitis B and C [22].

Marital status of the respondents in this study was shown to be associated with safer practices towards hepatitis B and C of the respondents. The ever married respondents had higher proportion of those with safer practices compared to single respondents. This could be explained by the fact that married individuals are more likely to be subjected to mandatory test during ante natal care. These mandatory tests that may include HIV and hepatitis may prompt the couple to become better informed about the diseases they were investigated for, and to have acquired knowledge that could shape their practices towards it. However, other factors not captured or thought of in this study could explain the finding.

Study level of the respondents was significantly associated with practices of the respondents towards hepatitis B and C. The proportion of those with safer practices increased with the increasing level of study as the undergraduates had the least proportion, while the PhD students had the highest proportion. The finding may be explained by the fact that the more a student advances in his/her study the more likely the student is to know more about his/her study area and other related knowledge.
Acknowledgements
The researchers would like to acknowledge all the participants in this study and the volunteers during the study design and data collection who are too numerous to be mentioned at this point. Thank you all and remain blessed.

Authors’ contributions
AA contributed in designing the study, questionnaire development, data collection, data analysis and manuscript preparation. LMS contributed in designing the study, questionnaire development, data analysis, interpretation and manuscript preparation. HA contributed in designing the study, questionnaire development and manuscript preparation. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests. The study was not funded by any agency and has no other conflict of interest to declare.

Ethics approval and consent to participate
Approval for the study was obtained from the Universiti Putra Malaysia Ethics Committee for Research Involving Human (Ref: UPM/TNCPI/RMC/1.4.18.1 (KEUPM/F2)) as well as respective faculties involved in the study. Likewise, consents from individual respondents were obtained prior to administering the questionnaire which was self-administered and written in English.

Author details
1Department of Community Health, Faculty of Medicine, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia. 2Department of Medicine, Specialist Hospital Sokoto, Sokoto, Nigeria.

Received: 11 February 2015 Accepted: 20 December 2015
Published online: 21 July 2016

References
1. Adebamowo CA, Odukogbe AA, Ajuwon AJ. Knowledge, attitude, and practices related to hepatitis B virus infection among Nigerian obstetricians and midwives. J Obstet Gynaecol (Lahore). 1998;18(6):528–32.
2. Mesfin YM, Kibret KT. Assessment of knowledge and practice towards hepatitis B among medical and health science students in Haramaya University, Ethiopia. PLoS One. 2013;8(11)e79642.
3. Zahaoui-mehadji M, Baakrim MZ, Laraqui S, El-kabouss Y, Verger C, Caubet A, et al. Risque infectieux lie au sang chez les coiffeurs-barbiers traditionnels et leurs clients au Maroc (Infectious risks associated with blood exposure for traditional barbers and their customers in Morocco). Cah Sante. 2004;14:211–6.
4. Adjemey AB, Enabor OO, Ugwu IA, Bello FA, Olajemoyi OM. Knowledge of hepatitis B virus infection, access to screening and vaccination among pregnant women in Ibadan, Nigeria. J Obstet Gynaecol (Lahore). 2013;33(2):155–9.
5. Frambo AAB, Atashili J, Fon PN, Nidumwe PM. Prevalence of HBsAg and knowledge about hepatitis B in pregnancy in the Buea Health District, Cameroon: a cross-sectional study. [Internet]. BMC Res Notes. 2014, p. 394. Available from: http://bmcresnotes.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1756-0500-7-394. Accessed 19 Oct 2014.
6. Khosravanifard B, Rakshvan N, Najafi-saliei L, Sherafat S. Tehran dentists’ knowledge and attitudes towards hepatitis B and their willingness to treat simulated hepatitis B positive patients. EMHJ. 2014;20(8):498–507.
7. WHO. Global Alert and Response (GAR) Hepatitis B. 2013. p. 1–16.
8. Coovin HM, Mitchell AE. Hepatitis and Liver Cancer: A National Strategy for Prevention and Control of Hepatitis B and C [Internet]. 2010. p. 1–253. Available from: http://www.nap.edu/catalog/12793.html. Accessed 30 Nov 2013.
9. WHO. Hepatitis C. WHO/CD5/CSR/LYD/2003.7 Hepatitis C. 2003. p. 1–69.
10. Eissa S, Eissa M, Sharaf SM, Ibrahim Mh, Haseenae OMAOM. Prevalence of hepatitis C virus infection and evaluation of a health education program in el-ghar village in zagazig, egypt. J Egypt Public Health Assoc. 2007;82(5–6):379–404.
11. Ng KP, Ngeow YF, Rozainah K, Rosmawati M. Hepatitis B seroprevalence among University of Malaya Students in the Post-universal Infant Vaccination Era. Med J Malaysia. 2013;68(2):144–7.
12. Duraisamy G, Zuridah H, Ariff NM. Prevalence of hepatitis C virus antibodies in blood donors in Malaysia. Med J Malaysia. 1993;48(3):313–6.
13. Zawawi WM. Management of Hepatitis [Internet]. Malaysian Society of Gastroenterology and Hepatology. p. 1–3. Available from: http://www.msgh.org.my/resources/managementhepatitis.htm. Accessed 23 Nov 2013
14. Khan N, Ahmed S, Khalid M, Siddiqui S, Merchant A. Effect of gender and age on the knowledge, attitude and practice regarding Hepatitis B and C and Vaccination status of Hepatitis among medical students of Karachi, Pakistan. J Pak Med Assoc. 2010;60(6):450–5.
15. Lvanga S, Lemeshow S. Sample size determination in health studies: A practical manual. World Health Organization. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1991.
16. Razi A, Rehman RU, Naz S, Ghafoor F, Khan MAU. Knowledge Attitude and Practices of University Students Regarding Hepatitis B and C. ARPN J Agric Biol Sci. 2010;5(4):38–43.
17. Cheung J, Lee TK, Teh C-Z, Wang CYM, Kwan WCP, Yoshida EM. Cross-sectional study of hepatitis B awareness among Chinese and Southeast Asian Canadians in the Vancouver-Richmond community. Can J Gastroenterol. 2005;19(4):245–9.
18. Mansour-Ghanaei R, Jaukar F, Souti F, Atkar-Roushan Z. Knowledge and attitude of medical science students towards hepatitis B and C infections. Int J Clin Exp Med. 2013;6(3):197–205.
19. Lee H-O, Lee O-J, Kim S, Hontz I, Warner A. Differences in Knowledge of Hepatitis B Among Korean Immigrants in Two Cities in the Rocky Mountain Region. Asian Nurs Res. 2007;1(3):165–75.
20. Brouard C, Gautier A, Saboni L, Jestin C, Semaille C, Beltzer N. Hepatitis B knowledge, perceptions and practices in the French general population: the room for improvement. [Internet]. BMC Public Health. 2013. p. 576. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3849746/pdf/1471-2458-13-576.pdf. Accessed 28 Jun 2014
21. Darwish MA, Khaldi NMA. Knowledge about Hepatitis B Virus Infection among Medical Students in University of Dammam, Eastern Region of Suadi Arabia. Life Sci J. 2013;10(2):860–7.
22. Asadpour M, Arabbaanissad F, Bidaki R, Moazzeni V, Shabani Z, Sayadi A. Assessment of Knowledge, Attitude and Practice about Hepatitis B among Patient Porters of the Training and Treatment Hospitals of Rafsanjan, 2011. GMJ. 2012;1(2):60–5.