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Malondialdehyde and Uric Acid as Predictors of Adverse Outcome in Patients with Chronic Heart Failure
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In chronic heart failure (HF), some parameters of oxidative stress are correlated with disease severity. The aim of this study was to evaluate the importance of oxidative stress biomarkers in prognostic risk stratification (death and combined endpoint: heart transplantation or death). In 774 patients, aged 48-59 years, with chronic HF with reduced ejection fraction (median: 24.0 (20-29)%), parameters such as total antioxidant capacity, total oxidant status, oxidative stress index, and concentration of uric acid (UA), bilirubin, protein sulphydryl groups (PSH), and malondialdehyde (MDA) were measured. The parameters were assessed as predictive biomarkers of mortality and combined endpoint in a 1-year follow-up. The multivariate Cox regression analysis was adjusted for other important clinical and laboratory prognostic markers. Among all the oxidative stress markers examined in multivariate analysis, only MDA and UA were found to be independent predictors of death and combined endpoint. Higher serum MDA concentration increased the risk of death by 103.0% (HR = 2.103; 95% CI (1.330-3.325)) and of combined endpoint occurrence by 100% (HR = 2.000; 95% CI (1.366-2.928)) per µmol/L. Baseline levels of MDA in the 4th quartile were associated with an increased risk of death with a relative risk (RR) of 3.64 (95% CI (1.917 to 6.926), p < 0.001) and RR of 2.71 (95% CI (1.551 to 4.739), p < 0.001) for the occurrence of combined endpoint as compared to levels of MDA in the 1st quartile. Higher serum UA concentration increased the risk of death by 2.1% (HR = 1.021; 95% CI (1.005-1.038), p < 0.001) and increased combined endpoint occurrence by 1.4% (HR = 1.014; 95% CI (1.005-1.028), p < 0.001), for every 10 µmol/L. Baseline levels of UA in the 4th quartile were associated with an increased risk for death with a RR of 3.21 (95% CI (1.734 to 5.931)) and RR of 2.73 (95% CI (1.560 to 4.766)) for the occurrence of combined endpoint as compared to the levels of UA in the 1st quartile. In patients with chronic HF, increased MDA and UA concentrations were independently related to poor prognosis in a 1-year follow-up.

1. Introduction

Despite advances in diagnosis and therapy, prognosis in patients with heart failure (HF) is still poor. The prevalence of chronic HF with reduced ejection fraction is increasing, and 1-year mortality is still growing [1]. Evaluation of mortality risk may be a crucial component that can help in choosing patients for invasive treatment (LVAD, HT) [2]. Many parameters are useful in predicting prognosis in patients with chronic HF. Some parameters like natriuretic
peptides, age, sex, etiology, NYHA class, left ventricle ejection fraction, and diabetes markedly influence the prognosis of HF [3]. Different biological processes like oxidative stress, inflammation, neurohumoral activation, vascular remodeling, and renal impairment are crucial for the development of the disease [4, 5].

Numerous biomarkers can be a reflection of various pathophysiological pathways [5]. Beyond the well-known N-terminal brain natriuretic propeptide (NT-proBNP), simple biochemical parameters like natrium, creatinine, or hemoglobin can reflect systemic abnormalities in HF. Several studies have found a correlation between different parameters of oxidative stress and HF severity [6–8]. During oxidative stress, excessive production of reactive oxygen species exceeds the possibility of antioxidant protection. However, their role in the pathogenesis of HF remains unclear [9].

There is still a need to search for a new, easily measured biomarker that can help us understand the HF pathophysiology [5]. Oxidative stress markers, namely, lipid and protein oxidation products, uric acid (UA), and bilirubin, play a multifold role in redox balance during HF, and assessment of these parameters may offer an advantage as compared to other well-known clinical HF markers.

Some studies show serum UA as a poor marker for the prognosis of HF [10, 11]. Impaired oxidative metabolism in HF can be a result of increased xanthine oxidase activity which generates superoxide free radicals proportional to UA synthesis [12–14].

Considering that HF is a state of chronic deterioration of oxidative mechanisms due to enhanced oxidative stress, we assessed if UA, total antioxidant capacity (TAC), total oxidant status (TOS), products of lipid peroxidation (MDA), and protein sulfhydryl groups (PSH), when added to known risk factors, are associated with adverse outcome in patients with stable chronic HF in a 1-year follow-up.

2. Study Group and Methods

2.1. Patients. In our study, we analyzed the data collected in Prospective Registry of Heart Failure (PR-HF), undertaken since 2003, and Studies Investigating Co-morbidities Aggravating Heart Failure (SICA-HF) described elsewhere [15]. For a prospective cohort study, consecutive patients with primarily chronic systolic HF (LVEF ≤ 40%) were recruited from the patients referred to our Inpatient Clinic as potential candidates for heart transplantation. The primary inclusion criterion was a clinical diagnosis of HF according to published contemporary ESC criteria. Patients received optimal medical pharmacotherapy for at least 3 months before inclusion. Participants were excluded if they had a noncardiac condition resulting in an expected mortality of less than 12 months as judged by the treating physician or had a history of alcohol abuse or known antioxidant supplementation or if they were unable or unwilling to provide informed consent. Study criteria were fulfilled in 1216 PR-HF or SICA-HF. The data of 774 participants (aged 48–59 years) who had completed clinical laboratory assessment were included into final analysis.

2.2. Endpoints of the Study.Endpoints of the study were death and combined endpoint (death or urgent heart transplantation).

2.3. Consent of the Patient and the Opinion of the Bioethical Commission. All participants provided written informed consent, and the protocol was approved by the participating institution.

2.4. Clinical Assessments. At the time of study entry, detailed clinical data were obtained using a standardized questionnaire. Ischemic cardiomyopathy was recognized according to the definition proposed by Felker et al. [16], based on patients who have undergone coronary angiography within six months before inclusion. History of smoking was defined as current or previous use of tobacco products. Comorbidities such as hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, or diabetes mellitus were recognized based on clinical history, current medication, or actual measurements of respective variables. Body mass and height were measured on a day of inclusion visit and body mass indices (BMI) were calculated. The NYHA classification and cardiopulmonary exercise testing CPX were used to assess functional capacity [17]. Two-dimensional transthoracic echocardiography was performed in all patients, and echocardiographic images were acquired in standard views as recommended by the American Society of Echocardiography Committee [18]. Follow-up events including all-cause mortality and cardiac transplantation were prospectively ascertained every 6 months via direct or phone contact with patients or their family members by a dedicated research personnel. In some cases, the exact data of death were obtained from the national identification number database. All participants provided written informed consent, and the protocol was approved by the participating institution.

2.5. Biochemical Methods. Venous blood samples obtained at enrollment were processed, separated by centrifugation at 1500 g for 10 minutes, frozen at -70°C, and partially stored at -70°C until time of assay. UA, bilirubin, lipid parameters, blood hemoglobin, and serum iron, sodium, creatinine, glucose, and albumin concentrations were measured by colorimetric method (Roche, Cobas 6000 e 501). NT-proBNP was measured by chemiluminescence method (Roche, Cobas 6000 e 501). Spectrophotometric method by Erel was used to determine total oxidant status (TOS). In this method, the reaction proceeds in an acidic environment and consists of measuring the color intensity of complex of Fe³⁺ ions and Xylenol orange [19]. TOS is expressed in mmol/L.

TAC was measured by colorimetric methods given by Erel, based on 2,2′-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonate) (ABTS⁺) reaction [20]. In this method, reduced ABTS, a colorless molecule, is oxidized to blue-green ABTS⁺. After mixing the colored ABTS⁺ with any substance that can be oxidized, it is reduced to its original colorless reduced form and the reacted substance is oxidized. TAC is expressed in mmol/L.

Oxidative stress index (OSI) is expressed as ratio of TOS to TAC. The OSI was calculated according to the following
2.6. Statistical Analysis. The study subjects were divided into groups, for the purpose of the analysis, depending on outcome: A—patients who survived without endpoints, B—patients who died, and C—patients who achieved combined endpoint. Distribution of all continuous variables was evaluated by the Shapiro-Wilk test. The continuous data were presented as median with the interquartile range. Differences in the number of achieved endpoints in particular groups while PSH was lower in group B. AU, bilirubin, and UA were higher in the groups with unfavorable prognosis. However, TAC, TOS, and OSI were similar for all the groups while PSH was lower in group B.

3.2. Association between Redox Parameters and Risk of All-Cause Death

3.2.1. Uni- and Multivariate Cox Regression Analyses. Demographic and clinical parameters, basic laboratory parameters, comorbidities, pharmacotherapy, and oxidative stress-related markers assessed as risk factors for all-cause death in 1-year follow-up in uni- and multivariate Cox regression analyses are presented in Table 2.

In univariate Cox regression analysis, higher levels of TAC (HR = 3.263 per mmol/L; p = 0.017), UA (HR = 1.036 per 10 μmol/L; p < 0.001), bilirubin (HR = 1.031 per μmol/L; p < 0.01), and MDA (HR = 1.967 per μmol/L; p < 0.001) and lower levels of PSH (HR = 0.853 per μmol/g protein, p = 0.026) were associated with the risk of death. In order to evaluate these oxidative stress parameters in the context of all available clinical information, a final multivariate model was generated that included all significant clinical, laboratory, and treatment predictors. Multivariate analysis revealed that oxidative stress-related risk factors of death were UA (increase of risk (IoR) by 2.1% per 10 μmol/L) and higher serum MDA concentration (IoR by 110.3% per mmol/L). Moreover, in a multivariate analysis, independent risk factors were lower MVO2 (IoR by 11.1% per mL/min/kg B.M.), lower LVEF (IoR by 6.27% per 1%p), lower sodium (IoR by 6.95% per mmol/L), and higher level of NT-proBNP (IoR by 0.9% per 100 pg/mL). Multivariate analysis showed that the following factors are associated with a better prognosis: use of ACE inhibitors (reduction of risk (RoR) by 55.7%) and presence of ICD (RoR by 90.1%).
|                      | All groups $N=774$ | Survivors $N=639$ | Death $N=106$ | $p$ B vs. A | Death or OHT $N=135$ | $p$ C vs. A |
|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------|
| **General characteristics** |                     |                   |              |             |                      |             |
| Male $n$ (%)         | 664 (85.79)         | 549 (85.78)       | 93 (87.74)   | 0.726       | 115 (85.19)          | 0.952       |
| Age (years)          | 48.00-59.00         | 48.00-59.00       | 50.00-61.00  | 0.019       | 50.00-60.00          | 0.191       |
| BMI (kg/m$^2$)       | 26.24               | 26.40             | 25.13        | 0.035       | 24.77                | 0.002       |
| Duration of symptoms before inclusion (months) | 33.85               | 33.17             | 51.05        | 0.002       | 44.80                | 0.013       |
| NYHA class           |                     |                   |              |             |                      |             |
| Maximum measured VO$_2$ (mL/min/kg B.M.) | 12.20-18.45         | 12.90-19.10       | 9.60-14.20   | 0.000       | 9.70-14.20           | 0.000       |
| LVEDD (mm)           | 64.00-76.00         | 63.00-76.00       | 65.00-77.00  | 0.201       | 65.00-77.00          | 0.046       |
| LVEDV (mL)           | 170.0-285.0         | 163.0-278.0       | 198.0-319.50 | 0.002       | 200.0-320.0          | 0.000       |
| LVEF (1%)            | 20.00-29.00         | 20.00-30.00       | 17.00-25.00  | 0.000       | 17.00-25.00          | 0.000       |
| **Basic biochemistry** |                     |                   |              |             |                      |             |
| Hemoglobin (g/100mL) | 14.02               | 14.02             | 13.94        | 0.428       | 14.02                | 0.788       |
| Sodium (mmol/L)      | 13.05-14.99         | 13.05-14.99       | 12.73-14.99  | 0.428       | 12.89-15.15          | 0.788       |
| Iron concentration ($\mu$mol/L) | 136.00              | 137.00            | 135.00       | 0.000       | 135.00               | 0.000       |
| Creatinine clearance (mL/min) | 134.00-139.00      | 134.00-139.00     | 131.0-137.0  | 0.000       | 132.0-138.0          | 0.000       |
| Fasting glucose (mmol/L) | 12.00-22.20         | 12.30-22.50       | 11.07-20.90  | 0.071       | 10.80-20.90          | 0.004       |
| Fasting glucose (mmol/L) | 95.09               | 98.89             | 75.66        | 0.000       | 79.84                | 0.000       |
|  | 69.76-119.38        | 73.53-121.70      | 59.83-100.12  | 0.000       | 59.83-104.23         | 0.000       |
| Serum protein (g/L)  | 71.00               | 71.00             | 72.00        | 0.105       | 72.00                | 0.207       |
| Albumin (g/L)        | 42.00               | 42.00             | 41.00        | 0.131       | 40.00                | 0.011       |
| Fasting glucose (mmol/L) | 39.00-44.00         | 39.00-44.00       | 38.00-44.00  | 0.305       | 5.60                 | 0.643       |
### Table 1: Continued.

|                              | All groups N = 774 | Survivors N = 639 | Death N = 106 | ρ B vs. A | Death or OHT N = 135 | ρ C vs. A |
|------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------|----------------------|-----------|
| **Total cholesterol (mmol/L)** | 4.29               | 4.30              | 4.27          | 0.546     | 4.25                 | 0.431     |
| 3.65-5.21                    | 3.65-5.21          | 3.57-5.25         |               |           | 3.57-5.19            |           |
| 1.21                         | 1.24              | 1.12              |               |           | 1.13                 | 0.122     |
| **Triglycerides (mmol/L)**   | 0.89-1.73          | 0.90-1.77         | 0.84-1.58     | 0.054     | 0.86-1.60            |           |
| 1.15                         | 1.16              | 1.09              |               |           | 1.10                 | 0.018     |
| **Cholesterol HDL (mmol/L)** | 0.94-1.41          | 0.96-1.42         | 0.83-1.37     | 0.022     | 0.83-1.37            | 0.578     |
| 2.44                         | 2.43              | 2.51              |               | 0.493     | 1.90-3.26            |           |
| **Cholesterol LDL (mmol/L)** | 1.90-3.16          | 1.89-3.11         | 1.92-3.27     | 0.000     | 30.63                | 0.000     |
| 13.91                        | 12.24             | 29.64             |               |           |                      |           |
| **NT-proBNP (pg/mL)/100**    | 6.39-31.81         | 5.56-25.66        | 16.20-52.04   | 0.000     | 15.53-52.65          | 0.000     |
| **Comorbidities**            |                    |                   |               |           |                      |           |
| Ischemic heart disease n (%) | 479 (61.89)        | 391 (61.00)       | 74 (70.00)    | 0.015     | 88 (65.00)           | 0.085     |
| Diabetes n (%)               | 218 (28.17)        | 169 (26.41)       | 39 (36.79)    | 0.037     | 49 (36.30)           | 0.027     |
| Arterial hypertension n (%)  | 423 (54.65)        | 357 (55.78)       | 55 (51.89)    | 0.168     | 66 (48.89)           | 0.361     |
| Permanent atrial fibrillation n (%) | 183 (23.64) | 146 (22.81)       | 33 (31.13)    | 0.084     | 37 (27.41)           | 0.307     |
| ICD presence n (%)           | 215 (27.78)        | 209 (32.66)       | 4 (3.77)      | 0.000     | 6 (4.44)             | 0.000     |
| Smoker n (%)                 | 265 (34.24)        | 224 (35.00)       | 33 (31.13)    | 0.499     | 41 (30.37)           | 0.346     |
| **Pharmacotherapy**          |                    |                   |               |           |                      |           |
| Beta-blockers n (%)          | 759 (98.06)        | 629 (98.28)       | 102 (96.23)   | 0.244     | 130 (96.30)          | 0.196     |
| ACE inhibitors (yes/no)      | 666 (86.05)        | 564 (88.13)       | 77 (72.64)    | 0.000     | 102 (75.56)          | 0.000     |
| ARB (yes/no)                 | 82 (10.59)         | 69 (10.78)        | 8 (7.55)      | 0.372     | 13 (9.63)            | 0.762     |
| Loop diuretics (yes/no)      | 679 (87.73)        | 549 (85.78)       | 103 (97.17)   | 0.002     | 130 (96.30)          | 0.001     |
| Thiazide diuretics (yes/no)  | 99 (12.79)         | 69 (10.78)        | 25 (23.58)    | 0.000     | 30 (22.22)           | 0.000     |
| MRA (yes/no)                 | 713 (92.12)        | 589 (92.03)       | 96 (90.57)    | 0.711     | 124 (91.85)          | 0.961     |
| Statins (yes/no)             | 506 (65.37)        | 428 (66.88)       | 58 (54.72)    | 0.019     | 78 (57.78)           | 0.052     |
| Fibrates (yes/no)            | 28 (3.62)          | 25 (3.91)         | 2 (1.89)      | 0.452     | 3 (2.22)             | 0.483     |
| Digitalis (yes/no)           | 352 (45.48)        | 280 (43.75)       | 55 (51.89)    | 0.000     | 72 (53.33)           | 0.000     |
| XO inhibitors (yes/no)       | 285 (36.82)        | 229 (35.78)       | 41 (38.68)    | 0.649     | 56 (41.48)           | 0.164     |

BMI: body mass index; NYHA: New York Heart Association functional class; VO₂: rate of oxygen consumption; VO₂ max.: maximum rate of oxygen consumption; VCO₂: rate of carbon dioxide output; LVEDD: left ventricle end-diastolic diameter; LVEDV: left ventricle end-diastolic volume; LVEF: left ventricle ejection fraction; NT-proBNP: N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; CM: cardiomyopathy; ICD: implantable cardioverter defibrillator; ACE inhibitor: angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB: angiotensin-2 receptor blockers; MRA: mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists; XO: xanthine oxidase; NS: nonsignificant.
Figure 1: Oxidative stress parameters in examined subgroups depending on prognosis. TAC: total antioxidant capacity; TOS: total oxidant status; OSI: oxidative stress index; MDA: malondialdehyde; PSH: sulfhydryl groups; NS: nonsignificant.
Table 2: Clinical and laboratory parameters as risk factors of death of patients with CHF in one-year follow-up. Uni- and multivariate Cox regression analyses.

| General characteristics                              | Univariate Cox regression analysis | Multivariate Cox regression analysis |
|-------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|                                                      | HR  95% CI    p                  |          HR  95% CI    p                  |
| Male (yes/no)                                         | 0.993 0.575-1.715 0.981          | 1.012 0.984-1.040 0.406          |
| Age (1 year)                                          | 1.021 1.001-1.041 0.035          | 1.012 0.996-1.006 0.700          |
| BMI (1 kg/m²)                                         | 0.957 0.915-1.001 0.053          | 1.023 0.659-1.587 0.921          |
| Duration of symptoms (1 month)                       | 1.005 1.001-1.008 0.006          | 1.111 1.035-1.192 0.004          |
| NYHA class (by 1)                                     | 3.080 2.334-4.605 0.000          | 1.002 0.659-1.587 0.921          |
| VO₂ max. (1 mL/min/kg B.M.)                           | 1.208 1.144-1.247 0.000          | 1.002 0.993-1.010 0.735          |
| LVEDD (1 mm)                                          | 1.009 0.989-1.029 0.400          | 1.002 0.993-1.010 0.735          |
| LVEDV (1 mL)                                          | 1.003 1.001-1.006 0.004          | 1.002 0.993-1.010 0.735          |
| Lower LVEF (%)                                        | 1.078 1.026-1.111 0.000          | 1.063 1.017-1.110 0.006          |
| Basic biochemistry                                    |                                    |                                    |
| Hemoglobin ↓ (1 g/100 mL)                            | 1.042 0.927-1.171 0.491          |                                    |
| Sodium ↓ (1 mmol/L)                                  | 1.122 1.073-1.168 0.000          | 1.070 1.012-1.131 0.018          |
| Iron concentration ↓ (1 μmol/L)                       | 1.019 0.994-1.045 0.129          |                                    |
| Creatinine clearance ↓ (1 mL/min)                    | 1.014 1.008-1.020 0.000          | 1.002 0.993-1.010 0.735          |
| Serum protein (1 g/L)                                | 1.028 0.997-1.060 0.074          |                                    |
| Albumin ↓ (1 g/L)                                    | 1.056 1.008-1.105 0.021          | 1.018 0.953-1.088 0.592          |
| Fasting glucose (1 mmol/L)                            | 1.082 0.988-1.185 0.090          |                                    |
| Total cholesterol (1 mmol/L)                          | 0.959 0.817-1.126 0.609          |                                    |
| Triglycerides (1 mmol/L)                              | 0.831 0.643-1.073 0.156          |                                    |
| Cholesterol HDL (1 mmol/L)                            | 0.608 0.368-1.005 0.053          |                                    |
| Cholesterol LDL (1 mmol/L)                            | 1.079 0.895-1.301 0.425          |                                    |
| NT-proBNP (100 pg/mL)                                 | 1.017 1.013-1.021 0.000          | 1.009 1.000-1.017 0.042          |
| Comorbidities                                         |                                    |                                    |
| Ischemic CM (yes/no)                                  | 1.279 0.853-1.919 0.233          |                                    |
| Diabetes (yes/no)                                     | 1.531 1.031-2.272 0.035          | 1.065 0.643-1.764 0.806          |
| Arterial hypertension (yes/no)                        | 0.863 0.590-1.264 0.450          |                                    |
| Atrial fibrillation (yes/no)                          | 1.488 0.986-2.245 0.058          |                                    |
| ICD presence (yes/no)                                | 0.090 0.033-0.244 0.000          | 0.099 0.031-0.319 0.000          |
| Smoker (yes/no)                                       | 0.835 0.554-1.260 0.391          |                                    |
| Pharmacotherapy                                       |                                    |                                    |
| Beta-blockers (yes/no)                                | 0.434 0.160-1.178 0.101          |                                    |
| ACE inhibitors (yes/no)                               | 0.375 0.245-0.575 0.000          | 0.443 0.257-0.764 0.003          |
| ARB (yes/no)                                          | 0.874 0.455-1.675 0.684          |                                    |
| Loop diuretics (yes/no)                               | 5.308 1.684-16.726 0.004         | 1.759 0.403-7.677 0.452          |
| Thiazide diuretics (yes/no)                           | 2.367 1.512-3.707 0.000          | 1.757 0.956-3.226 0.069          |
| MRA (yes/no)                                          | 0.852 0.445-1.633 0.630          |                                    |
| Statins (yes/no)                                      | 0.616 0.420-0.902 0.013          | 1.082 0.648-1.807 0.763          |
| Fibrates (yes/no)                                     | 0.496 0.122-2.010 0.326          |                                    |
| Digitalis (yes/no)                                    | 1.370 0.936-2.005 0.105          |                                    |
| XO inhibitors (yes/no)                                | 0.991 0.930-1.056 0.781          |                                    |
| Oxidative stress markers                              |                                    |                                    |
| TAC (1 mmol/L)                                        | 3.263 1.237-8.607 0.017          | 0.618 0.150-2.546 0.505          |
| TOS (1 mmol/L)                                        | 1.052 0.990-1.118 0.102          |                                    |
3.2.2. Kaplan-Meier Survival Analysis. The Kaplan-Meier
dehth curves for biomarker quartiles of MDA and UA are
shown, respectively, in Figures 2 and 3.

There were 14 (7.35%) deaths in the first quartile, 19
(10.16%) deaths in the second quartile, 30 (16.30%) deaths
in the third quartile, and 43 (22.87%) deaths in the fourth
quartile of MDA ($p < 0.001$), whereas there were 16 (8.47%)
deaths in the first quartile, 25 (13.44%) deaths in the second
quartile, 22 (12.09%) deaths in the third quartile, and 43
(22.87%) deaths in the fourth quartile of UA ($p < 0.001$).

Baseline levels of MDA in the upper quartile were associ-
ated with the risk of death with a RR of 3.64 (95% CI (1.917
to 6.926)) compared to levels of MDA in the bottom quartile.

Baseline levels of UA in the upper quartile were associ-
ated with the risk of death with a RR of 3.21 (95% CI (1.734
to 5.931)) compared to levels of UA in the bottom quartile.

3.3. Association between Redox Parameters and Risk of
Combined Endpoint

3.3.1. Uni- and Multivariate Cox Regression Analyses. Risk
factors of death or HT in one-year follow-up in univariate
and multivariate Cox regression analyses are shown in
Table 3. In univariate Cox regression analysis, the following
markers reflecting oxidative stress were the risk factors of
death or HT: higher concentration of UA (HR = 1.029 per
10 μmol/L; \( p < 0.001 \)), bilirubin (HR = 1.029 per μmol/L; \( p < 0.001 \)), and MDA (HR = 2.037 per μmol/L; \( p < 0.001 \)). Multivariate analysis showed that only two parameters reflecting oxidative stress were independent predictors of combined endpoint, UA (IoR by 1.40% per 10 μmol/L) and higher serum MDA concentration (IoR by 100% per mmol/L). Moreover, in a multivariate analysis, independent risk factors were lower MVO₂ (IoR by 9.41% per mL/min/kg B.M.), lower LVEF (IoR by 7.35% by every 1%p), lower sodium (IoR by 5.37% per mmol/L), and higher level of NT-proBNP (IoR by 0.7% per 100 pg/mL). Multivariate analysis showed that the following factors are associated with a better prognosis: use of ACE inhibitors (RoR by 43.2%) and presence of ICD (RoR by 82.1%).

### 3.3.2. Kaplan-Meier Survival Analysis

The Kaplan-Meier combined endpoint curves for biomarker quartiles of MDA and UA are shown, respectively, in Figures 4 and 5.

There were 21 (10.88%) deaths or HT in the first quartile, 25 (12.95%) in the second quartile, 41 (21.03%) in the third quartile, and 48 (24.87%) deaths in the fourth quartile of MDA (\( p < 0.001 \)), whereas there were 21 (10.82%) deaths or HT in the first quartile, 34 (17.44%) in the second quartile, 32 (16.679%) in the third quartile, and 48 (24.87%) deaths or HT in the fourth quartile of UA (\( p < 0.001 \)).

Baseline levels of MDA in the 4th quartile were associated with the risk of occurrence of combined endpoint with a RR of 2.71 (95% CI (1.551 to 4.739)) as compared to levels of UA in the 1st quartile.

### 4. Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is one of the few large prospective studies assessing the effect of oxidative stress parameters including MDA, TAC, TOS, PSH, UA, and bilirubin on prognosis in patients with HF. Increased UA, bilirubin, and MDA were associated with death or OHT in 1-year follow-up. Additionally, higher TAC and lower PSH were risk factors of death. It is worth emphasizing that MDA and UA remained predictors of death and a combined endpoint after considering known demographic, clinical, and biochemical prognostic factors in the analysis.

These data provide a comprehensive evaluation of the prevalence and prognostic importance of redox balance abnormalities in chronic HF due to reduced left ventricular systolic function. Prior studies investigating redox balance abnormalities in HF patients were relatively smaller and evaluated highly selected populations. In several of them, oxidative stress parameter levels were shown to have a significant correlation with hemodynamic measurements, natriuretic serum peptides, and other prognostic parameters of HF [24–26].

There was an inverse relation between the severity of the disease (as measured by the left ventricular ejection fraction, LVEF) and MDA levels, supporting the hypothesis that free...
|                     | Univariate Cox regression analysis |                     | Multivariate Cox regression analysis |                     |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
|                     | HR  | 95% CI  | p      | HR  | 95% CI  | p      |
| General characteristics |     |         |        |     |         |        |
| Male (yes/no)       | 1.003 | 0.617-1.629 | 0.992 | | | |
| Age (1 year)        | 1.009 | 0.993-1.026 | 0.272 | | | |
| BMI (1 kg/m²)       | 0.946 | 0.909-0.984 | 0.006 | 0.976 | 0.924-1.030 | 0.377 |
| Duration of symptoms (1 month) | 1.004 | 1.001-1.007 | 0.019 | 1.001 | 0.997-1.005 | 0.683 |
| NYHA class (by 1)   | 2.815 | 2.201-3.601 | 0.000 | 1.122 | 0.764-1.648 | 0.556 |
| VO₂ max. (1 mL/min/kg B.M.) | 1.192 | 1.138-1.248 | 0.000 | 1.094 | 1.032-1.161 | 0.003 |
| LVEDD (1 mm)        | 1.014 | 0.996-1.032 | 0.139 | | | |
| LVEDV (1 mL)        | 1.004 | 1.002-1.005 | 0.000 | | | |
| Lower LVEF (%)      | 1.087 | 1.057-1.119 | 0.000 | 1.075 | 1.025-1.117 | 0.000 |
| Basic biochemistry  |     |         |        |     |         |        |
| Hemoglobin ↓ (1 g/100 mL) | 1.006 | 0.907-1.115 | 0.913 | | | |
| Sodium ↓ (1 mmol/L) | 1.099 | 1.058-1.142 | 0.000 | 1.054 | 1.003-1.106 | 0.037 |
| Iron concentration ↓ (1 μmol/L) | 1.028 | 1.004-1.050 | 0.021 | 1.020 | 0.990-1.052 | 0.184 |
| Creatinine clearance ↓ (1 mL/min) | 1.013 | 1.007-1.018 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.992-1.007 | 0.912 |
| Serum protein ↓ (1 g/L) | 0.980 | 0.954-1.007 | 0.152 | | | |
| Albumin ↓ (1 g/L)   | 1.067 | 1.025-1.111 | 0.002 | 1.011 | 0.923-1.072 | 0.707 |
| Fasting glucose (1 mmol/L) | 1.068 | 0.983-1.160 | 0.123 | | | |
| Total cholesterol (1 mmol/L) | 0.965 | 0.838-1.112 | 0.624 | | | |
| Triglycerides (1 mmol/L) | 0.855 | 0.687-1.065 | 0.163 | | | |
| Cholesterol HDL (1 mmol/L) | 0.594 | 0.379-0.932 | 0.023 | 1.140 | 0.705-1.843 | 0.594 |
| Cholesterol LDL (1 mmol/L) | 1.079 | 0.915-1.273 | 0.365 | | | |
| NT-proBNP (100 pg/mL) | 1.016 | 1.013-1.020 | 0.000 | 1.007 | 1.000-1.015 | 0.038 |
| Comorbidities        |     |         |        |     |         |        |
| Ischemic CM (yes/no) | 1.179 | 0.828-1.681 | 0.360 | | | |
| Diabetes (yes/no)   | 1.490 | 1.049-2.116 | 0.026 | 1.170 | 0.750-1.825 | 0.489 |
| Arterial hypertension (yes/no) | 0.796 | 0.568-1.115 | 0.184 | | | |
| Atrial fibrillation (yes/no) | 1.265 | 0.867-1.847 | 0.223 | | | |
| ICD presence (yes/no) | 0.127 | 0.059-0.271 | 0.000 | 0.179 | 0.082-0.391 | 0.000 |
| Smoker (yes/no)     | 0.803 | 0.557-1.160 | 0.242 | | | |
| Pharmacotherapy     |     |         |        |     |         |        |
| Beta-blockers (yes/no) | 0.541 | 0.200-1.464 | 0.227 | | | |
| ACE inhibitors (yes/no) | 0.447 | 0.301-0.665 | 0.000 | 0.568 | 0.345-0.935 | 0.026 |
| ARB (yes/no)        | 0.899 | 0.508-1.593 | 0.715 | | | |
| Loop diuretics (yes/no) | 4.984 | 1.843-13.477 | 0.002 | 1.832 | 0.552-6.079 | 0.323 |
| Thiazide diuretics (yes/no) | 2.179 | 1.452-3.270 | 0.000 | 1.579 | 0.909-2.744 | 0.105 |
| MRA (yes/no)        | 1.079 | 0.567-2.053 | 0.817 | | | |
| Statins (yes/no)    | 0.710 | 0.504-1.000 | 0.051 | | | |
| Fibrates (yes/no)   | 0.578 | 0.184-1.814 | 0.347 | | | |
| Digitalis (yes/no)  | 1.469 | 1.047-2.061 | 0.026 | 0.828 | 0.541-1.266 | 0.383 |
| XO inhibitors (yes/no) | 0.993 | 0.955-1.034 | 0.746 | | | |
| Oxidative stress markers |     |         |        |     |         |        |
| TAC (1 mmol/L)      | 2.405 | 0.993-5.824 | 0.052 | | | |
| TOS (1 mmol/L)      | 1.044 | 0.986-1.104 | 0.139 | | | |
| OSI (TOS/TAC)       | 1.016 | 0.966-1.068 | 0.537 | | | |
radical production is indeed involved in HF and may be linked to its severity [27].

Our results are consistent with those of a study by Radovanovic et al., in which it was reported that high plasma MDA concentration (>8 μmol/L) was a significant independent predictor of mortality in 120 patients with chronic HF in median 13-month follow-up. The patients with MDA above cut-off had eight times higher mortality risk. Protein thiol groups were decreased only in patients with NYHA class IV and were not predictors of death. In our study, PSH concentration was lower in groups of patients who died and was a risk factor in univariate analysis; however, it showed no prognostic significance in multivariate model [28].

Similar predictive significance of MDA levels was also found in other oxidative diseases, such as chronic renal failure [29] and coronary artery disease [30].

The high plasma level of MDA was shown to be a strong independent predictor of cardiovascular disease and mortality in patients on hemodialysis [31].

The most representative subanalysis of the predictive value of MDA in 634 patients from the Prospective Randomized Evaluation of the Vascular Effects of Norvasc Trial showed that MDA is an independent risk factor for a cardiovascular event. Patients with MDA concentration in the highest quartile had a RR of 3.3 for major vascular events (myocardial infarction—fatal, nonfatal, stroke), RR of 4.1 for nonfatal vascular events (unstable angina), and RR of 3.8 for major vascular procedures (percutaneous interventions, coronary artery bypass grafting) as compared to the lowest quartile. In a multivariate analysis, the prognostic value was independent of the other inflammatory biomarkers (IL-6, CRP) and classical risk factors of atherosclerosis [30].
In the present study, patients with MDA levels in the highest quartile had a RR of 3.64 for death and a RR of 2.71 for combined endpoint as compared to the lowest quartile, and MDA was shown to be a strong independent predictor of adverse outcome in HF patients. MDA remained a strong poor prognosis biomarker even after adjustment for other important clinical and laboratory prognostic markers.

Similarly, elevated level of UA was an independent risk factor of death or urgent heart transplantation in 1-year follow-up. Participants with a UA level in the upper quartile had a RR of 3.21 for all-cause death and a RR of 2.73 for combined endpoint as compared to the lowest quartile. Results of UA testing as a predictor of death due to cardiovascular causes and all-cause death in different patient populations are not consistent. In the large epidemiological studies, the adjustment of UA to cardiovascular disease risk factors did not confirm the prognostic value of UA [32]. However, Ioachimescu et al. showed that an increase in serum UA by 1 mg% was associated with 39% increase in the risk of death in univariate Cox regression analysis and 26% increase in the risk of death in multivariate model (after adjusting for age, sex, alcohol consumption, smoking status, body mass index, blood pressure, history of cardiovascular disease, estimated glomerular filtration rate, levels of cholesterol fractions, plasma glucose levels, and other cardiovascular risk factors) in the cohort of patients with high risk of cardiovascular disease [33].

Similarly, in heart failure population, elevated serum UA levels were independently associated with an increased risk of adverse clinical outcomes, both in patients with acute HF [34, 35] and in those with chronic HF [36].

The role of UA as a prognostic factor was confirmed in a study by Iliesiu et al. UA was the most powerful predictor of survival for patients with severe HF (NYHA classes III and IV), with a RR of 7.4 for death in patients with elevated UA (>9.5 mg/dL). Additionally, UA and MDA concentrations were higher in HF patients with lower EF and increased LV filling pressures, and a strong positive correlation between concentrations of MDA and UA was detected [37].

Similarly, the analysis by Wu et al. demonstrated that elevated serum UA levels are an independent predictor of mortality in patients with severe systolic HF [38]. Jankowska et al. documented that hyperuricemia was an independent predictor of death in 18-month follow-up in patients with mild and moderate HF (NYHA I-III) [39]. In a study by Anker et al., it was shown that high serum UA levels were a strong, independent marker of impaired prognosis in patients with moderate to severe HF in derivation and validation group. UA concentration ≥ 565 μmol/L was a valid prognostic marker and useful for metabolic, hemodynamic, and functional staging in chronic HF [10].

Hyperuricemia in HF may be due to the upregulation of xanthine oxidase (XO), a key enzyme in the generation of oxygen free radicals and can reflect an impairment of oxidative metabolism [6]. Significant factors that may also increase the UA concentration in HF are reduced glomerular filtration rate and diuretic use. However, in the present study as well as other previous studies, a significant effect of hyperuricemia
on outcomes was observed even after the adjustment for risk factors including renal dysfunction and treatment with thiazide and loop diuretics [40, 41]. Although the question of whether UA is a marker of oxidative stress or a causal factor in the pathogenesis of HF remains unresolved, UA has the potential to serve as an important risk biomarker in heart failure patients. Gotsman et al. demonstrated that increased mortality in patients with UA in upper quartiles (>7.7 mg/dL) and treatment with allopurinol was associated with improved survival [42]. However, in a study by Wu et al., the adjusted risk of death associated with allopurinol use was similar to or slightly worse than the adjusted risk associated with the highest quartile UA among patients without treatment with allopurinol [38]. Givertz et al. showed that xanthine oxidase inhibition in patients without treatment with allopurinol [38].

Allen et al. showed that elevated total bilirubin was the predictor of adverse outcome of cardiovascular death or HF hospitalization and all-cause mortality even after adjustment for other clinical prognostic variables [45]. In a small study by Charniot et al., the authors observed decreased TAC in acute HF patients and suggest that it may be responsible for arrhythmias and complications of AHF [46].

In our study, bilirubin and TAC had strong predictive power in univariate analysis. However, when incorporated into other well-established HF markers, there was no influence of bilirubin and TAC on adverse outcome in HF patients.

5. Conclusion

Our study, conducted on a large group of patients, had sufficient numbers of events to investigate a wide range of prognostically important clinical and laboratory variables, especially oxidative markers. Other authors had often assessed the effect of a single oxidative stress marker on the survival of HF patients. We have evaluated a wide range of oxidative stress markers and their impact on mortality and morbidity in HF patients. Finally, malondialdehyde and UA were strongly associated with worse prognosis in this group of patients, even after adjusting for a wide array of other predictors, even well-known NT-proBNP. Proposed biomarkers may be useful in terms of 1-year all-cause mortality. MDA and especially UA tests are widely available, noninvasive laboratory tests. In the light of the results obtained in this study, it seems that validation of elevated MDA and UA levels as independent predictors of outcome has a potentially significant value for risk stratification of chronic HF patients. However, the clinical usefulness of the above findings requires confirmation in subsequent studies.
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