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ABSTRACT

The Developmental Origins of Health and Disease (DOHaD) theory predicts that prenatal and early life events shape adult health outcomes. Birth weight is a useful indicator of the foetal experience and has been associated with multiple adult health outcomes. DNA methylation (DNAm) is one plausible mechanism behind the relationship of birth weight to adult health. Through data linkage between Generation Scotland and historic Scottish birth cohorts, and birth records held through the NHS Information and Statistics Division, a sample of 1,757 individuals with available birth weight and DNAm data was derived. Epigenome-wide association studies (EWAS) were performed in two independently generated DNAm subgroups (nSet1 = 1,395, nSet2 = 362), relating adult DNAm from whole blood to birth weight. Meta-analysis yielded one genome-wide significant CpG site (p = 5.97x10−5), cg00966482. There was minimal evidence for attenuation of the effect sizes for the lead loci upon adjustment for numerous potential confounder variables (body mass index, educational attainment, and socioeconomic status). Associations between birth weight and epigenetic measures of biological age were also assessed. Associations between lower birth weight and higher Grim Age acceleration (pFDR = 3.6x10−5) and shorter DNAm-derived telomere length (pFDR = 1.7x10−5) are described, although results for three other epigenetic clocks were null. Our results provide support for an association between birth weight and DNAm both locally at one CpG site, and globally via biological ageing estimates.

Introduction

The Developmental Origins of Health and Disease theory (DOHaD) states that through developmental plasticity, the foetal experience can permanently influence adult health [1]. The theory’s main proponent, David Barker, originally relied on birth weight as an
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Research in Context

Evidence before this study

The associations between birth weight and various adult health outcomes have been well established. DNA methylation (DNAm) is a plausible mechanism through which early life experiences may continue to affect health throughout the lifespan; however, evidence for birth weight associations with DNAm in adulthood has not yet been robustly established. This is likely due to small sample sizes of previous studies, as well as the use of poor-quality birth weight data, such as binary ‘low/normal’ variables or retrospective self-report. Alternatively, work has attempted to describe the persistence into adulthood of DNAm at sites identified at birth.

Added value of this study

We investigated genome-wide associations between DNA methylation patterns from whole blood in adulthood and data linkage-derived, continuous birth weight data, in a meta-analysis of two DNAm subsets of data from the same parent cohort (nSet1 = 1,395; nSet2 = 362). We identified one epigenome-wide significant CpG site which is, to our knowledge, the first significant EWAS result reported for birth weight in an adult sample. In addition, we demonstrate accelerated biological aging in lower birth weight individuals, using two DNAm-derived measures of biological age: GrimAge – an epigenetic clock trained on mortality data and a DNAm derived measure of telomere length. Together, these results suggest differential methylation exists in adulthood related to birth weight, and this may be relevant to health and mortality.

Implications of all the available evidence

Although CpG sites differentially methylated with birth weight at parturition may not remain so throughout life, the adult epigenome may still provide information on the relationship between birth weight and health outcomes. The adult epigenome, therefore, may represent a useful archive of the foetal experience which may influence birth weight variability, and this may provide clinically useful information in mid-life.

Supplemental data for this article can be accessed here.
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index of foetal nutrition – an assumption that has been contested by the awareness that multiple factors can influence birth weight [2]. Maternal stress, illness, and socioeconomic status [3–5] are among modifiable influences over offspring birth weight. In addition, foetal genetics and maternal genetics both influence birthweight, the latter acting through the intrauterine environment [6,7]. Thus, birth weight can be seen as an index of the general foetal experience.

There is strong, well-replicated evidence for an association between birth weight and adult health. There is particularly consistent evidence for associations between low birth weight and poor cardiovascular outcomes, such as heart disease, type II diabetes, stroke, and hypertension [8–11], as well as poorer cognitive ability and a raised risk for mood disorders [12,13]. Birth weight is clinically conceptualized as ‘low’ below 2.5 kg [14], however it can also be analysed on a continuous scale. These associations are found after accounting for adult lifestyle factors, such as smoking and body mass index (BMI), indicating a residual association between birth weight and adult health outcomes.

Prenatal factors affect the foetus in its highly plastic state, giving rise to birth weight variability, and also to developmental changes which permanently affect the function and health of organs and systems [1]. Given the genetic and environmental contributions to birth weight variability, epigenetic modifications such as DNA methylation (DNAm) might provide insights into the pathways and mechanisms through which these associations with health become manifest. DNAm is typically characterized by the addition of a methyl group to cytosine nucleotides in the context of cytosine-guanine (CpG) dinucleotides. DNAm changes are linked to the regulation of gene expression, providing a possible mechanism through which environmental influences may have lasting biological effects [15]. Therefore, DNAm is one putative mechanism through which developmental experience may relate to adult health.

Birth weight associations with DNAm have been previously described in neonatal blood [16–18] and during childhood [19], seeming to diminish into adolescence and beyond [16,18]. The established association between differential DNAm and birth weight in these highly plastic early years raises the possibility of a direct effect of transcripational up- or down-regulation on the development of organs and tissues [20]. This is one way in which DNAm may mediate the association between birth weight and adult health. It is possible, however, that examining only differential DNAm patterns which persist from birth into the later years ignores the full adult epigenome which, despite having changed since birth, may provide information on a relationship between birth weight and downstream health outcomes nevertheless. Many of the health phenotypes associated with birthweight present in adulthood, so the question remains whether we can see biological marks of these associations laid down in the methylome. It has, for instance, been demonstrated that prenatal famine exposure is associated with differential methylation in late adulthood [21]. It is therefore plausible that other prenatal factors may continue to influence DNAm into adulthood. While none of the longitudinal DNAm analysis studies have yet identified any epigenome-wide significant evidence of persistent CpG methylation in adulthood, there are some associations which do have p < 1x10<−5 [18] but do not withstand Bonferroni correction for multiple testing. This may be tentatively interpreted as evidence that the adult epigenome holds information relating to birth weight, so a full, unbiased scan of the adult methylome seems a logical step. We would hope for this agnostic approach to confirm any genuine DNAm relationships in loci identified in other samples at birth, as well as revealing any new sites which might provide information on biological pathways through which the birth weight/health relationship is operating.

In addition to the stand-alone importance of discovering DNAm associations with birth weight in adults, recent work has revealed the utility of so-called ‘epigenetic clocks’ in demonstrating elements of vulnerability to disease and mortality [22]. These clocks attempt to calculate an individual’s biological age, and the residual of biological age regressed on chronological age (i.e. age acceleration) can be used to indicate health vulnerabilities. The earliest versions of epigenetic clocks, such as the pan-tissue Horvath clock [23] and the leukocyte-based Hannum clock [24], were trained simply on chronological age. The second generation of these clocks added clinical information on top of chronological
age, to improve the biological relevance of the measure. The PhenoAge clock is of this second generation, it is based on clinical biomarkers known to associate with mortality [25], as is GrimAge (a predictor combining DNA methylation and seven plasma proteins and trained on time-to-death [26]). Finally, a DNA methylation-derived estimate of telomere length outperforms phenotypic measures of telomere length [27], with validated utility in predicting lifespan and other aspects of health [27,28]. DNA methylation with birthweight, together with epigenetic clock analyses, have the potential to describe the known associations between birthweight and many aspects of whole-body health in adulthood.

Here, we report an Epigenome-Wide Association Study (EWAS) of birthweight using whole blood DNA methylation in an adult sample, and associations between birth weight and five epigenetic clocks. While previous work has attempted to find consistent DNA methylation relationships to birth weight from infancy to adulthood [16,18], none have performed an agnostic, genome-wide study of DNA methylation in adults. We, therefore, hypothesize that while birth weight associated DNA methylation patterns may change over time, differences will exist in adulthood.

**Materials and methods**

This study was performed on a subgroup of the Generation Scotland (GS) cohort whose birthweight data were collected at parturition in historical cohort studies. Data linkage strategies were employed to ascertain birthweight in grams from those historical cohorts. A subgroup of these individuals for whom DNA methylation data were processed formed the sample for EWAS and epigenetic clock analyses (Figure 1).

**Generation Scotland and other cohorts**

Generation Scotland (GS) is a Scottish family-based cohort n = 23,690 [29]. Data were collected from participants between 2006 and 2011. GS is a deeply-phenotyped cohort, allowing examination of many aspects of adult health, alongside genomic and biometric measures. In addition, 98% of GS participants gave informed consent for data linkage to routinely collected health data and to information from other Scottish population cohort studies, both current and historical. These include several with neonatal and mortality information: the Aberdeen Children of the 1950s [30]; the Aberdeen Maternity and Neonatal Databank [31]; the Walker Birth Cohort [32]; and the Scottish Morbidity Records (SMR02 – the Maternity Inpatient and Day Case record, and SMR11 – the Neonatal Inpatient dataset). Birth weight in grams, alongside gestational age at birth and twin information, was collated from these sources and linked to adult GS records for 4,710 participants (Supplementary File 1, Figure 1). Birth weight data derived from health records taken at birth has been shown to improve slightly on self-reported birth weight, which is often used in population cohorts [34].

**Statistical analyses**

All analyses were conducted in R version 3.5.1 [35].

To control for the known effects of gestational age and sex on birthweight [36], we considered the scaled residuals (mean=0, SD=1) from a regression model in place of raw birth weight throughout:

\[
\text{Birth weight}(g) \sim \text{sex} + \text{gestational age}
\]

These are referred to as 'birth weight residuals' hereafter.

**Epigenome-wide association study**

Peripheral whole blood genome-wide DNA methylation was profiled in Generation Scotland in two different sets of ~5,000 samples. First, data were generated for 5,190 individuals, using the Illumina HumanMethylationEPIC BeadChip (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA). Quality control and normalization were carried out as described elsewhere ([37,38]; Supplementary File 2). Birth weight and gestational age information were available for n = 1,395 of this methylation set (Figure 1), which will hereafter be referred to as ‘set 1’.

The second set of DNA methylation data used a near identical protocol (Supplementary File 2), creating an independent set of methylation data for an additional 4,450 GS participants. In this replication sample, a further 362 participants with both birth weight
Figure 1. Inclusion flow diagram detailing the selection of samples for the current study.
and gestational age information were used, hereafter called ‘set 2’.

The birth weight residuals described above were used in the EWAS model, which was run using the ‘limma’ package in R (empirical Bayes moderated t-statistics). The set 1 EWAS model used CpGs corrected for relatedness (Supplementary File 2), as the first batch of DNAm data were collected on related individuals:

\[ \text{CpG} \sim \text{birth weight residuals} + \text{age} + \text{sex} + \text{smoking [ever/never]} + \text{smoking pack years} + 20 \text{methylation PCs} \]

The 20 methylation PCs were included to try and eliminate unmeasured confounders in the DNAm data. Additional covariates (estimated white blood cell proportions – CD4T, CD8T, Granulocytes, BCells, Natural Killer cells – and methylation batch), which were regressed out during the relatedness pre-correction for the set 1 dataset, were also included in the set 2 dataset of unrelated individuals.

EWAS findings are considered epigenome-wide significant if \( p < 3.6 \times 10^{-8} \) [39].

**Meta-analysis of set 1 and set 2 samples**

An inverse variance-weighted meta-analysis of the set 1 and set 2 EWASs was performed using the METAL software package. Summary statistics from the set 1, set 2, and meta-analysis EWASs are available at https://doi.org/10.7488/ds/2876.

**Subsample analysis excluding preterm births**

To account for the possible differences between preterm- and term-born infants, we re-ran the analyses detailed above on a subsample excluding those with gestational age <37 weeks. This is in line with the World Health Organization’s guidelines on preterm birth which states that birth before 37 completed weeks of gestation should be defined as preterm [40]. The total subsample had \( n_{\text{Set 1}} = 1,346 \), \( n_{\text{Set 2}} = 351 \), resulting in a total \( n_{\text{Meta}} = 1,697 \). By contrast, the full sample discussed in this report comprises both preterm and term born individuals.

**Sensitivity analysis**

As a sensitivity analysis, the EWAS meta-analysis was re-examined, fitting additional covariates individually, and together in a fully-adjusted model. Covariates were selected to account for additional lifestyle factors which may associate with DNAm or birth weight. These variables could represent confounding or mediating factors on the relationship between birthweight and adult DNAm. One of these covariates was socioeconomic status as ranked by the postcode-derived Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation (SIMD). Socioeconomic status is positively associated with birth weight [41], and has been associated with DNAm variability [42]. BMI was included as a covariate in the sensitivity analyses, as is has been shown to associate with birth weight [43], and with DNAm [44]. The number of years of education was also included as educational attainment associates with DNAm in adulthood (most likely via its links to smoking) [45,46], and birth weight has been associated with educational attainment [47]. For detail on acquisition of covariate data see Supplementary File 1. Correction for smoking and 20PCs were already included in the EWAS model. Set 1 DNAm data were pre-corrected for batch, cell counts, and relatedness. The fully-adjusted model was:

\[
\text{CpG} \sim \text{birth weight residuals} + \text{age} + \text{sex} + \text{BMI} + \text{SIMD} + \text{years of education} \\
+ \text{smoking [ever/never]} + \text{smoking pack years} + 20 \text{methylation PCs} \\
+ \text{batch [set 2 only]} + \text{cell counts [set 2 only]}
\]

**Epigenetic clock analyses**

Detail on the estimation of the five epigenetic clocks used have previously been reported elsewhere [22]. Briefly, penalized regression models were used to identify subsets of CpG sites that predict chronological age [23,24], ‘phenotypic age’ [25], telomere length [27], and survival [26]. The clocks either trained predictors directly on the outcome (e.g., chronological age or telomere length), or via surrogate markers (e.g., protein levels and biomarkers that are known to associated with biological/health processes). The Horvath clock uses DNAm from 51 tissues and cell types to yield a pan-tissue predictor of chronological age [23]; the Hannum clock also predicts chronological age, but using blood-based DNAm [24]. The more recently developed PhenoAge incorporates blood-based DNAm proxies for clinical biomarkers found to associate with mortality risk, inflammatory and blood-based markers, as well as chronological age, in a ‘phenotypic age’ predictor that associates with
healthspan and lifespan [25]. GrimAge takes a similar approach, using blood-based DNAm estimates of seven plasma proteins, as well as smoking pack years and chronological age to predict time to death [26]. Finally, DNAmTL is a blood-based DNAm estimator of telomere length (a measure of cellular ageing), found to outperform measured telomere length in prediction of mortality [27]. The residuals from regressions of these predictors on chronological age gives an index of biological age acceleration. Here, we used linear regression to examine the association between birth weight (outcome) and the five sets of age acceleration residuals, with covariate adjustment for age, sex, methylation ‘set’, and estimated white cell proportions. Correction for multiple testing was carried out using false discovery rate p < 0.05 for epigenetic clock analyses.

**Ethics approval and consent to participate**

All components of GS received ethical approval from the NHS Tayside Committee on Medical Research Ethics (REC Reference Number: 05/S1401/89). GS has also been granted Research Tissue Bank status by the Tayside Committee on Medical Research Ethics (REC Reference Number: 10/S1402/20), providing generic ethical approval for a wide range of uses within medical research.

**Results**

**Population characteristics**

There were 1,757 Generation Scotland (GS) participants with both birth weight and DNAm information available (Table 1). The set 1 population was 59.2% female, with a mean birth weight of 3,377 g (SD = 518 g), and gestational age of 40 weeks (SD = 1.8). The set 2 population was 55.8% female, with a mean birth weight of 3,421 g (SD = 535.4 g), and mean gestational age of 39.7 weeks (SD = 1.5). The minimum birth weight in the sample was 907 g, the maximum was 5,090 g. Using the clinical cut-off of 2,500 g, 4.8% (n = 84) of the sample were of clinically ‘low’ birth weight [14]. This means the data describe DNAm relationships to birth weight across the full spectrum.

**Epigenome-wide association study of birthweight**

The set 1 EWAS of birth weight revealed no CpGs significant at the genome-wide level (p < 3.6x10^-8 [39]), although 19 CpGs had P < 1x10^-5 (minimum p-value of 6.05 x 10^-8 for cg00966482) (Figure 2(a); Supplementary Table 1). The 19 CpGs were largely uncorrelated, with the exception of three CpGs (located within CASZ1 – two within 200 base pairs of each other, with the third site around 11kb away – Supplementary Table 1) that had absolute r ≥ 0.6.

**Table 1. Population characteristics of the Set 1 and Set 2 EWAS samples.**

| Phenotype Sample | N | Mean | SD | N | Mean | SD | N | Mean | SD |
|------------------|---|------|----|---|------|----|---|------|----|
| **Age (years)** | 4,710 | 29.5 | 10.9 | 1,395 | 37.1 | 14.7 | 362 | 25.8 | 5.2 |
| **Birthweight (g)** | 4,710 | 3,399 | 516 | 1,395 | 3,377 | 518 | 362 | 3,421 | 535.4 |
| **Gestation (weeks)** | 4,710 | 39.8 | 1.7 | 1,395 | 40 | 1.8 | 362 | 39.7 | 1.5 |
| **BMI (kg/m2)** | 4,385 | 25.2 | 5.2 | 1,387 | 26.1 | 5.4 | 360 | 24.9 | 4.8 |
| **Education** | 4,411 | 5 | 4–6 | 1,317 | 5 | 4–6 | 350 | 5 | 4–6 |
| **Sex – Male** | 2,025 | 43 | 569 | 40.8 | 310 | 40.8 | 160 | 44.2 | |
| **Female** | 2,688 | 57 | 826 | 59.2 | 337 | 59.2 | 202 | 55.8 | |
| **Socioeconomic Status** | 4,389 | 1,310 | 337 | 86 | 55 | 202 | 55.8 | |
| **Quintile 1 (most deprived)** | 621 | 14.2 | 207 | 15.8 | 65 | 19.3 | |
| **Quintile 2** | 717 | 16.3 | 210 | 16 | 57 | 16.9 | |
| **Quintile 3** | 715 | 16.3 | 183 | 14 | 62 | 18.4 | |
| **Quintile 4** | 1,064 | 24.2 | 301 | 23 | 72 | 21.4 | |
| **Quintile 5 (least deprived)** | 1,272 | 29 | 409 | 31.2 | 81 | 24 | |
| **Smoking** | 4,525 | 1,344 | 357 | 275 | 19.1 | 88 | 24.6 | |
| **Current Smoker** | 918 | 20.3 | 257 | 19.1 | 88 | 24.6 | |
| **Ex-Smoker (<12 months)** | 237 | 5.2 | 56 | 4.2 | 26 | 7.3 | |
| **Ex-Smoker (>12 months)** | 662 | 14.6 | 273 | 20.3 | 48 | 13.4 | |
| **Never Smoker** | 2,708 | 39.8 | 758 | 56.4 | 195 | 54.6 | |

* Median and Interquartile range reported. Education was coded as an ordinal variable: 0 = 0 yrs, 1 = 1–4 yrs, 2 = 5–9 yrs, 3 = 10–11 yrs, 4 = 12–13 yrs, 5 = 14–15 yrs, 6 = 16–17 yrs, 7 = 18–19 yrs, 8 = 20–21 yrs, 9 = 22–23 yrs, 10 = ≥24 yrs.

**SIMD Quintile. SIMD is the Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation, a postcode-derived index of socioeconomic status. The quintiles derived on the full Generation Scotland cohort ranged from 1 (most deprived) to 5 (least deprived).**
There were no genome-wide significant associations in the set 2 sample (n = 362) (Figure 2(b); Supplementary Table 2). Of the 19 CpGs that exceeded p < 1x10^{-5} significance threshold in the set 1 EWAS, two reached nominal significance (p < 0.05) in the set 2 analysis: cg00590817 (p = 0.0069), and cg00966482 (p = 0.031). The latter CpG was the most significantly associated site from the set 1 EWAS. There was moderate concordance between the effect sizes of the top 19 DNAm associations with birthweight in set 1, and the same CpGs in the set 2 analysis (r = 0.59) (Figure 3).

**Meta-analysis EWAS**

Meta-analysis of the set 1 and set 2 EWAS samples resulted in a genome-wide significant association between birthweight and DNAm at the CpG site cg00966482 mapping to the HERV-FRD and LOC221710/SMIM13 genes (β = 0.0206, SE = 0.0035, p = 5.97x10^{-9}; Figure 2(c); Supplementary Table 3). There were 36 CpG sites with p < 1x10^{-5}, including four CpGs located within the gene CASZ1. These four sites in CASZ1 were co-methylated, absolute r ≥ 0.92 (Figure 4)

![Figure 2](image-url)  
*Figure 2.* Manhattan plots for the set 1 epigenome-wide association study of birth weight (a); the set 2 sample EWAS (b); and the meta-analysis EWAS (c). The black and red lines represent the suggestive, and genome-wide significant p-value thresholds of P = 1x10^{-5} and 3.6x10^{-8}, respectively.
Figure 3. Effect sizes for 18 of the top 19 CpG sites in the set 1 sample plotted against the effect sizes in the set 2 sample (cg04988918 did not pass quality control in the set 2 array). The point size is determined by the -log10 of the p-values for these hits in the set 2 analysis. The two points labelled in black are the two CpG sites which achieved nominal significance in the set 2 study, and the three highlighted in red are the three co-methylated CpG sites within the CASZ1 gene.

Subsample analysis excluding preterm births

To address the view that preterm births may represent a distinct group, we ran the EWASs on a subgroup (nSet1 = 1,346, nSet2 = 351) excluding those with less than 37 complete weeks gestational age at birth (see Supplementary Table 4 for population characteristics). In the meta-analysis EWAS performed in this subgroup, cg00966482 remained significant, with a slightly greater effect ($\beta = 0.0213; \text{SE} = 0.0037; p = 5.68 \times 10^{-9}$). There were 36 CpG sites with $p < 1 \times 10^{-5}$, 23 of which appeared in the list of 36 lead sites in the main meta-analysis EWAS (Supplementary Table 5).

Adjusting the EWAS model for lifestyle factors

As a sensitivity analysis, we re-ran the EWAS pipeline, with corrections for BMI, education, and SIMD separately, and all three covariates together. This fully-adjusted model resulted in some changes to the effect sizes for the 36 sites with $p < 1 \times 10^{-5}$ in the basic meta-analysis output (mean attenuation of 2.2%, range 12.2% attenuation to 15.3% increase in effect size; Supplementary Table 6). There was, however, good concordance between the effect sizes for the top 36 CpG sites identified in the main model, and the same sites in this fully-adjusted sensitivity model ($r = 0.998$; Figure 5). Full summary statistics for the sensitivity analyses are available at https://doi.org/10.7488/ds/2876.

Relationship of birth weight to epigenetic signatures of age and telomere length

The mean values for the five epigenetic clocks were: Horvath age 44.7 yrs (SD = 10.6); Hannum age 36.1 yrs (SD = 10.2); PhenoAge 32.8 yrs (SD = 12); GrimAge 45.7 yrs (SD = 14.1); DNA telomere length 7.6 kilobase pairs (SD = 0.29). Linear regression models of birth weight residuals (outcome) against five signatures of epigenetic age acceleration (the scaled residuals from regression of the clock predictors on chronological age) revealed significant associations between higher birth weight and lower Grim Age acceleration ($\beta = -0.083; \text{SE} = 0.026, p_{(FDR)}$...
and longer DNAm telomere length ($\beta = 0.098$; $\text{SE} = 0.027$, $P_{(FDR)} = 1.7 \times 10^{-3}$; Table 2). Associations between birth weight and the other three measures of epigenetic age acceleration were non-significant (Table 2).

**Discussion**

We identified one epigenome-wide significant association between birth weight and blood-based DNA methylation in adulthood, and significant associations between birth weight and two epigenetic age measures.

In the EWAS meta-analysis we observed a genome-wide significant association between higher birthweight and higher methylation levels at cg00966482 (mapping to HERV-FRD and LOC221710/SMIM13). HERV-FRD encodes syncitin-2, a protein involved in placental embedding, whereas LOC221710/SMIM13 is a gene with unknown functions [48]. This site was not identified in a previous EWAS meta-analysis of birthweight in newborns [18]. Of the 36 CpG sites with $P < 1 \times 10^{-5}$ in the meta-analysed EWAS, 20 were located within known genes. Eighteen of the 20 sites were within regions with evidence for transcription factor binding, open chromatin, or DNase hypersensitivity. These may be attractive candidates for further investigation of the relationship between birth weight, DNA methylation, and transcriptional activity (Supplementary Table 3). Several of these genes contain SNPs that have genome-wide significant associations (GWAS $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) with cardiovascular, psychiatric, and developmental pathways (Supplementary Table 7). Four of the 36 CpG sites exceeding $p < 1 \times 10^{-5}$ identified in the meta-analysis EWAS were highly correlated (min $r = 0.92$, Figure 4). Higher birthweight was associated with higher methylation levels at these sites, which were located within CASZ1, a gene encoding the zinc finger protein castor homolog 1, a transcriptional activator involved in vascular morphogenesis [49]. A differentially methylated region in the CASZ1 gene was recently identified in placental tissue between infants born small vs. large for gestational age [17]. Additionally, a recent epigenome-wide meta-analysis of gestational age in children and infants reports several significant sites within CASZ1 [50]. In these studies CASZ1 methylation was positively associated with both size and
gestational age. The findings presented here, although non-significant \((p \geq 4.37 \times 10^{-7})\), suggest that future studies might wish to focus on studying this relationship between birth weight and CASZ1 methylation in further detail. Genetic variants in CASZ1 have previously been implicated by GWAS in various aspects of cardiovascular health (Supplementary Table 7). These have included studies in multi-ethnic populations on blood pressure \([51,52]\), and on other cardiovascular health issues such as atrial fibrillation \([53]\) and stroke \([54]\).

Sensitivity analyses that excluded preterm births or adjusted individually and collectively for a series of possible confounder/mediator lifestyle variables resulted in only minor changes to the effect sizes of the primary model. However, the covariate adjustments did result in increased \(p\)-values for all CpG sites, suggesting that replication in larger samples and further work to investigate the possibility of mediation by lifestyle factors should be considered. The analysis excluding preterm births identified the same epigenome-wide significant site \((cg00966482, HERV-FRD/SMIM13 locus)\) as the primary analysis. However, there was an increase in the effect size, resulting in a lower \(p\)-value for this CpG \((p = 5.68 \times 10^{-9})\). There were some differences between the CpGs identified at a suggestive epigenome-wide threshold of \(p < 1 \times 10^{-5}\) (36 in both analyses). This may indicate differences in the biological pathways associated with birth weight in adults, according to the length of gestation, and would be an interesting topic for further study.

Figure 5. Effect sizes for the main meta-analysis EWAS, and the fully-adjusted sensitivity analysis model. The hollow point labelled in black is cg00966482, in the HERV-FRD/SMIM13 gene which achieved epigenome-wide significance in the main model meta-analysis. The points in red are in the four CpG sites in the gene CASZ1 which had \(p < 1 \times 10^{-5}\) in the meta-analysis EWAS.

Table 2. Outputs of linear regression models between birth weight residuals and five epigenetic signatures of accelerated biological ageing – Horvath (Intrinsic Epigenetic Age Acceleration; IEAA), Hannum, PhenoAge, GrimAge, and DNAmTL.

| Clock      | Standardised Beta | SE      | \(p\)  | \(P\text{ adj}\) |
|------------|-------------------|---------|--------|------------------|
| Horvath    | \(3.2 \times 10^{-3}\) | 0.025   | 0.90   | 0.90             |
| Hannum     | \(-6.2 \times 10^{-3}\) | 0.027   | 0.82   | 0.90             |
| GrimAge    | \(-0.083\)       | 0.026   | \(1.4 \times 10^{-3}\) | \(3.6 \times 10^{-3}\) |
| PhenoAge   | \(-0.042\)       | 0.027   | 0.12   | 0.20             |
| DNAmTL     | 0.098             | 0.027   | \(3.3 \times 10^{-4}\) | \(1.7 \times 10^{-3}\) |
Significant associations are demonstrated between birth weight and epigenetic predictors of ageing, mortality, and cellular senescence. Higher birth weight is associated with lower GrimAge acceleration [26] and longer telomeres as estimated by DNAm data [27]. The effect sizes are relatively small; for instance, with a standardised Beta value of $-0.083$ between GrimAge acceleration and birth weight. These results, however modest, are supportive of the known associations between low birth weight and a broad range of adverse health outcomes. We did not find significant associations between birth weight and Horvath Age [23], Hannum Age [24], or PhenoAge [25] here. We have previously found that GrimAge outperforms the other clocks in the prediction of self-reported disease burden and clinical traits in GS [22], and the creators of GrimAge reported its superiority in predicting onset of a host of health conditions [26]. The DNAm estimate of telomere length performs a different function than the other epigenetic age estimators, as it specifically predicts telomere length – a measure of cellular age. The DNAmTL result suggests a relationship between lower birth weight and accelerated ageing at a cellular level, while the GrimAge result demonstrates this at a whole-body level. It is of interest that an association exists between birth weight and some, but not all, of the epigenetic age predictors tested. It is possible that some of the specific variables included in the different clocks might underlie this observation. For instance, GrimAge contains a methylation-based proxy for leptin [26], a hormone involved in regulating food intake and energy expenditure, which is likely to be linked to adult weight. In turn, adult weight associates with birth weight [43]. In contrast, PhenoAge is calculated based on methylation proxies primarily of inflammatory processes, which may not associate with birth weight as strongly; meanwhile the Hannum and Horvath clocks are more broadly trained on age, and so may not be picking up the same level of detail on susceptibility to ill-health. Maternal GrimAge acceleration during pregnancy has recently been associated with shorter gestation and, independently, lower birthweight of the offspring in a small sample of pregnant women [55]. This indicates the potential utility of GrimAge in describing both processes relevant to foetal development, and the later-life consequences of the foetal experience.

**Strengths and limitations**

This study exploited rarely available data linkage capacity to acquire neonatal information from birth medical records. This was then linked to DNAm in a large adult sample. There are, however, some limitations inherent to the design of this study. Longitudinal data would allow analysis of the persistence of DNAm signatures across time, although this has been investigated in previous studies [18]. A further limitation to this study is the lack of maternal characteristics available for inclusion in our models. As GS is a cross-sectional study, and birth information was derived from multiple historical sources, this information was not consistently available for our sample.

DNAm data were derived from whole blood taken during adulthood, so our findings might not generalize to other tissue types. However, there are advantages to interrogating blood-based methylation levels: first, it is the only tissue type that is readily available in large epidemiological cohorts; and second, it is systemic and tracks multiple biological processes including biomarkers of inflammation, cardiovascular disease, cardiometabolic disease, all of which are relevant processes related to birthweight.

**Conclusions**

This study presents the first epigenome wide association study of birth weight on DNA methylation in adulthood, alongside associations between birth weight and both epigenetic age acceleration and telomere length. The Developmental Origins of Health and Disease theory predicts that birth weight, which may be seen as a marker of the intrauterine environment, is associated with outcomes in many domains of adult health, and it has been proposed that these associations may be reflected in the epigenome. We found evidence to support this at both the level of single CpG site methylation, and at the level of broader mortality and health-related DNAm phenotypes.
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