Abstract

**Aim:** To evaluate the linear variability of comfortable gait according to socioeconomic status in community-dwelling elderly.

**Method:** For this cross-sectional observational study 63 self-functioning elderly were categorized according to the socioeconomic level on medium-low (n= 33, age 69.0 ± 5.0 years) and medium-high (n= 30, age 71.0 ± 6.0 years). Each participant was asked to perform comfortable gait speed for 3 min on an elliptical circuit, recording in video five strides which were transformed into frames, determining the minimum foot clearance, maximum foot clearance and stride length. The intra-group linear variability was calculated by the coefficient of variation in percent.

**Results:** The trajectory parameters variability is not different according to socioeconomic status with a 30% (range= 15-55%) for the minimum foot clearance and 6% (range= 3-8%) in maximum foot clearance. Meanwhile, the stride length consistently was more variable in the medium-low socioeconomic status for the overall sample (p= 0.004), female (p= 0.041) and male gender (p= 0.007), with values near 4% (range = 2.5-5.0%) in the medium-low and 2% (range = 1.5-3.5%) in the medium-high.

**Conclusions:** The intra-group linear variability is consistently higher and within reference parameters for stride length during comfortable gait for elderly belonging to medium-low socioeconomic status. This might be indicative of greater complexity and consequent motor adaptability.

Resumen

**Objetivo:** Evaluar la variabilidad lineal de marcha confortable según el nivel socioeconómico en adultos mayores de la comunidad.

**Métodos:** Participaron en este estudio observacional y transversal 63 adultos mayores autovalentes, los cuales se categorizaron según el estatus socioeconómico en medio-bajo (n= 33; edad 69.0 ± 5.0 años) y medio-alto (n= 30; edad 71.0 ± 6.0 años). Se solicitó a cada participante realizar marcha natural durante 3 min en un circuito elíptico de 40 metros, registrándose en video cinco zancadas las que se transformaron a fotogramas, determinándose mediante su promedio, el mínimo despeje del pie, máximo despeje del pie y la longitud de zancada. La variabilidad lineal intra-grupo se calculó mediante el porcentaje del coeficiente de variación.

**Resultados:** La variabilidad de los parámetros de trayectoria no es diferente según el nivel socioeconómico con un 30% (rango= 15-55%) para el mínimo despeje del pie y 6% (rango= 3-8%) en el máximo despeje del pie. Por su parte, la longitud de zancada presenta sistemáticamente mayor variabilidad en el nivel socioeconómico medio-bajo para la muestra general (p= 0.004), género femenino (p= 0.041) y masculino (p= 0.007), siendo sus valores cercanos al 4% (rango= 2.5-5.0%) en el nivel medio-bajo y 2% (rango= 1.5-3.5%) en el medio-alto.

**Conclusiones:** La variabilidad lineal intra-grupo es sistemáticamente mayor y dentro de parámetros de referencia en la longitud de zancada durante marcha confortable para adultos mayores pertenecientes al nivel socioeconómico medio-bajo. Esto sería indicativo de mayor complejidad y consecuente adaptabilidad motora.
Introduction

While aging is defined as a heterogeneous process of irreversible and natural changes, this entails a diminution of the physiological reserve, which would significantly explain the risk of functional deficit in various work capacities. However, the emergence of these consequences depends on the particular characteristics of each subject.

Movement by bipedal locomotion is considered a central element of the expression of functionality in the human being; therefore, its pertinent and sensitive characterization ensures to define appropriate prevention interventions in health. Notwithstanding the foregoing, most assessments of gait do not consider proven predictive and sensitivity factors that significantly affect it, such as intra-group and intra-subject kinematic variability and the relationship with an irregular supporting surface. In this regard, a functional gait must ensure a skilled and efficient expression in different types of surfaces, as this is the usual ecological context for the performance of subjects in both urban and rural settings. It has been suggested that the main consequence of its dysfunction is falls, which are considered as the highest morbidity problem in this age group; however, its clinical estimate is developed through tests that focus on a measure based on the individual performance of a subject, leaving aside the relationship with the environment or the regularity of its trajectory. In this context, it has been said that gait efficiency is a complex process which could be associated with temporary fluctuation of parameters and environmental characteristics.

Currently, the most significant environmental regulators for quality of life in humans are access to information and acquisition of goods, which are considered as the main dimensions in the development of instruments relevant to the measurement of socioeconomic status (SES). It has been documented that the environment would play a key role in the variability and corresponding performance of human gait; regarding this, research conducted in elderly population (EP) have shown differences in walking speed according to SES, which could be associated with temporary fluctuation of parameters and environmental characteristics.

Inclusion criteria were controlled by applying the test of Preventive Medicine of the Elderly (EMPAM, for its initials in Spanish), verifying the following: age between 60-75 years, self-functioning condition according to the Evaluación Funcional del Adulto Mayor, parte A (EFAM-Chile; Functional Assessment of the Elder-Chile, part A), cognitively normal (abbreviated Mini Mental State Examination ≥13 points) and without established depression (Yessavage Scale <5 points). The EFAM-Chile is a screening tool for the comprehensive functional assessment of EP, which was designed to predict the loss of physical, mental and social functioning. It sets diagnostic categories called self-functioning without risk, self-functioning with risk, and risk of dependency. This instrument has been validated by the behavior of indicators of fragility according to their diagnostic categories.

Meanwhile, there were excluded subjects with uncompensated chronic diseases, established risk of falls (Tests “Unipodal Stance” and “Timed up and Go” positive), moderate sequelae of neurological or cardiovascular diseases, and moderate lower limb pain (Analogous Visual Scale >3).

The SES was determined by applying the ADIMARK survey. The medium-low SES (ML) considered the C3 and D groups; while the medium-high SES (MH), the Abc1 and C2 categorization.

Material and Methods

Participants
Observational-type and cross temporality research. 63 EP from 4 groups of the city of Talca-Chile participated; a non-probability convenience sample was used. Contact with the groups was carried out by a personal interview between the investigator and their formal representatives. Later, in February 2014, participants were recruited, being requested to attend measurements while wearing comfortable clothes and shoes, to be then evaluated in morning sessions (09:00-11:30 h) developed in the facilities of the Universidad Católica del Maule (UCM). The requested tests were completely performed. Before starting measurements, each of the participants signed an informed consent which was approved by the Scientific Ethics Committee of the UCM (follow-up report No. 2/2014).

Measurements

After measuring the functional (EFAM-Chile) and anthropometric status according to specific stratification of body mass index of the Chilean EP, was applied a photogrammetric protocol in accordance with a specific proposal documented. They were asked to walk naturally for 3 min on a 40 m elliptical circuit. In this regard, a camera was strategically located in the sagittal plane (Sony Handycam HDR-XR550) in an area called "registration", at a distance of 4 m to capture a video of each stride (5 strides in total) executed by the EP. Each record measurement was carried out posterior to the first 15 m path from the starting circuit area.

Subsequently, the video records were stored on a laptop computer (Toshiba*, model NB505-SP0115LL). The simple kinematic analysis was developed at a rate of 30 frames per second through a program of free access (TRACKER version 4.8 for Windows). In order to monitor the recovery of the participants, the physiological variables heart rate and blood pressure were measured at the end of the test execution.

Determining variables for trajectory and distance
The operational definition of the path kinematic variables considers the minimum foot clearance (MFC) as the lowest height between the antero-inferior border of the foot and the ground, being obtained in the late rolling phase of gait. The maximum clearance of the foot (MaxFC) represents the largest height between the antero-inferior border of the foot and the ground, this value is determined during the early swing phase of gait. Meanwhile, the stride length (SL), is defined as the distance to make a complete gait cycle, which comprises the antero-lower vertex of the foot at the beginning and the end of a stride. The measurement unit used for all kinematic variables was the meter.
The calculation of the kinematic variables was performed by analyzing frames, considering a demarcation process that has shown a good reliability and applicability level\(^1\). The procedure was developed by an external evaluator previously instructed in the protocol.

The percentage of LV for the kinematic parameters described was established by the following calculation formula:

\[
\%CVkp = \frac{(Akp/SDkp) \times 100}
\]

Where, CVkp\% is the percentage of the Coefficient of Variation of the kinematic parameter; Akp = Average of the 5 strides for the magnitude of the kinematic parameter; and SDkp = Standard Deviation of the 5 strides to the magnitude of the kinematic parameter. The formula was applied to every SES of the overall sample, female and male.

**Statistics**

The contrast of normality was carried out with the Shapiro-Wilk test. The description of the variables was developed by average ± 1 standard deviation.

The LV of each kinematic parameter was established by the percentage of the coefficient of variation (% CV). The LV comparison, according to the SES and gender, was performed by the Mann-Whitney U test. The level of statistical significance was set at \( p \leq 0.05 \). The statistical programs used were SPSS\(^*\), version 18.0; and GraphPad Prism\(^*\), version 5.0 (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA).

**Results**

From the point of view of general characteristics, participants in this research have an age range comprising the 65-75 years decade; and their nutritional status is mostly overweight (Table 1). Meanwhile, although the functional characterization presents a specific score superior for SES MH (\( p < 0.001 \); Table 1), both operationalized SES are in the self-functioning ranking.

The intra-group LV for the analyzed trajectory indicators shows no statistically significant differences according to gender factors and SES (Table 2). In this regard, according to the behavior of 95% Confidence Intervals, the MFC presents a fluctuation between 14.9 and 57.1%; while in the MaxFC, the variability range is between 2.4 and 6.8%.

On the other hand, even though the behavior of the intra-group LV for SL is systematically higher in the SES ML for the overall sample (\( p = 0.004 \)), female gender (\( p = 0.041 \)) and male (\( p = 0.007 \)), being the fluctuation registration obtained in most cases less than 5% for both SES analyzed.

**Discussion**

The main finding of this exploratory research was that when performing gait, the SL behavior presents a higher LV in EP belonging to socioeconomic ML. In this scenario, the variability associated with the expression of movement turns out to be a very attractive field for disciplines that contribute to biomedical and human movement sciences\(^24-27\). It has been reported that gait variability would be an indicator of ontogeny maturity\(^24\), aging\(^25\), morbidity associated to imbalance\(^26\), and a translator of mechanical and physiological efficiency\(^27\).

Regarding the behavior of the MFC as a kinematic indicator of gait, it is interesting to note that for the feminine gender, it can be seen a trend to greater variability in the SES ML (Table 2), which could strengthen the idea of a gait with more complex features for this stratum\(^25\), given the requirements of the environment to labor needs and walkway conditions, this statement is justified by the fact that the MFC performance in both social groups is very close to the normal value reported in the literature\(^29\), which would provide the “acceptable minima” for this expression. Meanwhile, in men, the analysis is difficult because of the small number of subjects and the irregular behavior of the data.

The analysis during the early swing phase reports an intra-subject variability of MaxFC that is close to 6% in the SES ML, and 5% in the SES MH (Table 2). In this regard, reports with similar information are unknown. However, it is interesting to note that it is consistently higher the variability of this indicator in subjects of both genders belonging to SES ML. Whereas this indicator of variability behaves in a general reference set for the musculoskeletal system\(^10\), this demonstration would result in behavior adaptability of motion to land slopes, which during this phase of the gait cycle is crucial to overcome the challenges imposed by the environment. Surely the inability to achieve statistical significance could be caused by the small number of strides analyzed and the number of subjects.

SL variability behavior reports statistically significant results by SES in both genders (Table 2). Moreover, it is observed that most of the data are under 6% for SES ML, and 3.5% for SES MH. In 1984, Gabell & Nayak analyzed the SL intra-group variability in healthy EP, reporting variation coefficient values that were less than 6%\(^31\). Meanwhile, Beauchet et al., assessed in young subjects the behavior of stride variability in different spatiotemporal parameters, with and without the implementation of additional tasks (dual), reporting values close to 4% in both experimental situations\(^32\); the interesting thing is that the most affected expression would be the SL, which is less than 1,400 mm, being less than that reported in the literature\(^7\). In this scenario, the analysis of intra-subject variability of spatial gait parameters has clinical relevance for the specific and early diagnosis of gait’s function and dysfunction in EP.

### Table 1. Demographic and anthropometric characteristics of participants (N= 63)

| Socioeconomic status | Gender | Age (yrs) | Mass (kg) | Height (m) | BMI (Kg/m²) | EFAM A (points) |
|----------------------|--------|-----------|-----------|------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Low                  | F      | 69 ± 4    | 71.8 ± 9.8| 1.52 ± 0.06| 31.2 ± 4.3 | 49 ± 3          |
|                      | M      | 68 ± 6    | 82.4 ± 12.7| 1.64 ± 0.05| 30.5 ± 3.1 | 51 ± 2          |
| Total                |        | 33        | 74.3 ± 11.3| 1.54 ± 0.07| 31.0 ± 4.0 | 49 ± 3          |
| Medium low           | M      | 69 ± 5    | 74.1 ± 11.3| 1.53 ± 0.06| 30.2 ± 4.7 | 52 ± 3          |
|                      | F      | 70 ± 6    | 70.7 ± 13.0| 1.53 ± 0.07| 29.8 ± 4.6 | 52 ± 3          |
| Total                |        | 30        | 71.8 ± 13.2| 1.56 ± 0.09| 29.8 ± 4.6 | 52 ± 3          |
| Medium High M        |        | 7         | 71.4 ± 13.2| 1.56 ± 0.09| 29.8 ± 4.6 | 52 ± 3          |

\( p \text{ value} = 0.154 \text{ } 0.699 \text{ } 0.326 \text{ } 0.273 < 0.001 \)

Values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation for each variable.

F = Female; M = Male; n = number of participants per group; BMI = Body Mass Index; EFAM A = Evaluación Funcional del Adulto Mayor parte A (Functional Assessment of the Elder-Chile, part A). The p value established according to SES.

\( %CVkp = \frac{(Akp/SDkp) \times 100}{100} \)

Where, CVkp\% is the percentage of the Coefficient of Variation of the kinematic parameter; Akp = Average of the 5 strides for the magnitude of the kinematic parameter; and SDkp = Standard Deviation of the 5 strides to the magnitude of the kinematic parameter.
The exclusive representation of movement through measures of central tendency is incomplete, because the normal motor expression does not represent a point in space or time, given its complexity to account for the challenges posed by the environment under the conceptual framework of adaptability; thus, it becomes necessary to determine normal ranges of a quality or quantity of specific movement.

Therefore, if the adaptive motor range is outside these “acceptable limits,” it would represent in subjects an important indicator of deficit or motor immaturity, resulting in movement dysfunctions.

It is known that socioeconomic factors influence morbidity and population functionality, data that give support to the hypothesis of this research. However, human gait is complex and of non-linear character, since it is a process of multi-systemic physiological signals with irregular fluctuations. Thus, a high variability within normal limits represent a greater adaptive capacity; this situation is displayed in the present study, given the variability within normal limits, “it would represent in subjects an important indicator of deficit or motor immaturity, resulting in movement dysfunctions.

From the standpoint of the projections, it is known that the magnitude of the variability does not change in healthy EP; however, gait dynamics changes with the aging process, so it is expected in future investigations to evaluate this behavior in various age ranges. Considering this conceptual stage, Costa et al., used the approximate entropy method to evaluate the progress at different speeds; they found out differences in all indicators. Thus, it looms the developing of new research models which integrate as analysis variables both gait speed and the measurement of temporal parameters. In this context, it is expected that this proposal will complement the already developed in the clinical field for guidance, upon determination of normal values of the analyzed kinematic variables, diagnosis and therapeutic interventions to characterize and pertinently resolve dysfunctions of human movement.

Finally, when evaluating the results of this research, there is more LV for EP SL in the community belonging to the SES ML, which would be indicative of a more complex comfortable gait and consequent motor adaptability.

![Figure 1. Scheme of gait variability associated with the environment.](image)

**Table 2. Linear variability of comfortable gait according to socioeconomic status and gender.**

| Parameter | Gender | n  | X ± DE | 95% CI | n  | X ± DE | 95% CI | p value |
|-----------|--------|----|--------|--------|----|--------|--------|---------|
| **Variability** |        |    |        |        |    |        |        |         |
| MFC †     | F      | 25 | 26.7 ± 9.3 | 22.7-30.8 | 23 | 21.5 ± 9.2 | 17.5-25.4 | 0.056   |
|           | M      | 8  | 23.0 ± 10.6 | 15.6-30.9 | 7  | 36.0 ± 22.7 | 14.9-57.1 | 0.170   |
| Total     |        | 33 | 25.8 ± 9.6 | 22.4-29.2 | 30 | 24.9 ± 14.5 | 19.4-30.3 | 0.755   |
| **MaxFC †** |        |    |        |        |    |        |        |         |
| F         | 25     | 6.5 ± 2.4 | 5.6-7.6 | 23 | 5.6 ± 2.7 | 4.4-6.8 | 0.079   |
| M         | 8      | 5.9 ± 2.5 | 3.7-7.5 | 7  | 3.8 ± 1.5 | 2.4-5.2 | 0.249   |
| Total     |        | 33 | 6.3 ± 2.4 | 5.4-7.2 | 30 | 5.2 ± 2.5 | 4.2-6.1 | 0.074   |
| **Variability** |        |    |        |        |    |        |        |         |
| SL †      | F      | 25 | 3.5 ± 1.6 | 2.9-4.2 | 23 | 2.6 ± 1.6 | 1.9-3.2 | 0.041   |
| M         | 8      | 3.3 ± 1.2 | 2.3-4.1 | 7  | 1.7 ± 0.7 | 1.1-2.3 | 0.007   |
| Total     |        | 33 | 3.5 ± 1.5 | 3.0-3.9 | 30 | 2.4 ± 1.5 | 1.8-2.9 | 0.004   |

† Variabilidad
- It presents the mean ± standard deviation for the percentage of the Coefficient of Variation (%CV) for each kinematic variable in relation to registered 5 steps. F= Female; M = Male; n = number of participants per group.
- For the analysis of linear variability comfortable gait according to socioeconomic status was used U Mann Whitney test.
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