One-step preparation of RGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ nanocomposites as highly effective photocatalysts under natural sunlight illumination
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The study used a one-step hydrothermal method to prepare Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ and xRGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ nanocomposites. XRD, TEM, EDS, XPS, DRS, and PL techniques were used to examine the structurally and morphologically properties of the prepared samples. The XRD results appeared that the Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ has a triclinic crystal structure. Under hydrothermal treatment, (GO) was effectively reduced to (RGO) as illustrated by XRD and XPS results. UV–Vis analysis revealed that the addition of RGO enhanced the absorption in the visible region and narrowed the band gap energy. The photoactivities of the prepared samples were evaluated by degrading methylene blue (MB), phenol and brilliant green under sunlight illumination. As indicated by all the nanocomposites, photocatalytic activity was higher than the pure Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ photocatalyst, and the highest photodegradation efficiency of MB and phenol was shown by the 10%RGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄. In addition, the study examined the mineralization (TOC), photodegradation process, and photocatalytic reaction kinetics of MB and phenol.

The world of today is seeking state-of-the-art technologies to deal with the major challenges of the environment pollutions¹–³. The fast industry expansion and development, water becomes contaminated, carrying significant concentrations of hazardous and dangerous contaminants such as dyes, inks, pesticides, and so on⁴–⁶. Almost 10–15% of dyes are released in the environment during the dying process, contaminating sewage water⁷. Many attempts were taken in order to use new and various light sources and nanomaterials for removal of organic pollutants by photodegradation method⁷–¹⁰. Different approaches like doping and compositing used to enhance the structural properties and photodegradation efficiency of the prepared nanomaterials. Various modified metal oxide such as, Vanadium doped CaTiO₃¹¹, Rh doped SrTiO₃¹², Rhodium doping barium titanate¹³, Graphene Wrapped SrTiO3 Nanocomposite¹⁴, … etc. have been prepared by different approaches and applied as efficient photocatalysts.

Meta-vanadates, which are characterized as cohesive materials’ class and have prospective uses in various fields, are one of former mentioned materials¹,¹⁵. In addition, because of its various stable oxidizing states (+ 2 to + 5), vanadium interacts with numerous components. However, FeVO₄ gave low photocatalytic activity, low optical absorption and poor transport of photogenerated charges which limited its applications⁷,¹⁶. Many novel composites are created as a result of this combining procedure. Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ in particular stands out for its broad range of beneficial properties¹⁷. It has been investigated for distinctive applications due to its advantageous properties, including ease of preparation, low cost, environmental friendliness, and small band gap¹⁷,¹⁸. The present investigation could not be carried out without Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ feature of small band gap (2.7–2.03 eV)¹⁹. Furthermore, Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ has the necessary conductivity and energy levels to format nanocomposite catalysts¹⁵,¹⁷. In addition, it was revealed that Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ catalysts were effective applied in the treatment of various organic contaminants present in water over a wider pH range. A varying of methods were applied for water purification²⁰. The photocatalysis method does not entail an issue of waste disposal. Furthermore, a lot of composite materials were prepared with graphene and the resultant composites displayed different advantages and applied in various sectors. For example, various composites including FeVO₄·xH₂O/Graphene²¹, ZnO/FeVO₄²², FeVO₄/Bi₄O₅I₂³, rGO-FeVO₄²³, RGO–ZnWO₄–Fe₃O₄²⁴, N-doped RGO-FeWO₄/Fe₃O₄²⁵, BaWO₄/NRGO–g-C₃N₄²⁶, and RGO–ZnWO₄²⁷ have recently been prepared and applied in different sectors.
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In addition, RGO-based ortho-vanadates were applied as useful material for water. In this research, Fe$_3$O$_4$–FeVO$_4$ and xRGO/Fe$_3$O$_4$–FeVO$_4$ with various RGO quantities were prepared. The hydrothermal technique was used to efficiently decrease GO to RGO. The study investigated the influence of RGO quantity on the structural characteristics and photocatalytic effectiveness of Fe$_3$O$_4$–FeVO$_4$. The photocatalytic activities of the prepared samples were examined by the photodegradation of MB, phenol and brilliant green (BG) solution under natural sunlight illumination. The xRGO/Fe$_3$O$_4$–FeVO$_4$ demonstrated excellent charges separation, stability, reusability, and photocatalytic activity, indicating that it is a potential material for a variety of environmental applications.

**Experimental**

**Preparation of GO.** Graphene oxide (GO) was prepared as described in our previous literature.

**Preparation of Fe$_3$O$_4$–FeVO$_4$ and xRGO/Fe$_3$O$_4$–FeVO$_4$ nanocomposites.** The hydrothermal technique was used to produce Fe$_3$O$_4$–FeVO$_4$ and xRGO/Fe$_3$O$_4$–FeVO$_4$ nanocomposites. According to this technique, 3 mmol of Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O was dissolved in 10 ml of HNO$_3$ solution with the concentration of 1 mol/L. 3 mmol NH$_4$VO$_3$ (Sigma-Aldrich) was dissolved in 10 ml of deionized water and kept at ultrasonic bath @50°C for 30 min. After that, the solution of Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$ was added into NH$_4$VO$_3$ solution under vigorous agitation for 1 h. A certain quantity of the prepared GO was suspended in 20 ml of ethanol solution (2:1) under vigorously stirring and ultrasonicated for 1 h and then added to the mixture under magnetic agitation for 2 h. Finally, the resultant mixture was transferred to an autoclave with 50 ml volume and kept at 200°C for 2 h. In comparison, a similar technique was used to produce pure Fe$_3$O$_4$–FeVO$_4$ without RGO.

**Characterization methods.** Crystal structure of the prepared samples was characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD, Bruker-D8-AXS diffractometer, Germany) with Cu-Ka radiation at a setting of 40 kV and 150 mA. The images were captured using a transmission electron microscope (TEM) with a Jeol JEM-1230 apparatus operating at 120 kV. With the same EDAX detector, an energy-dispersive X-ray (EDx) study was performed (SEM, Hitachi S-4200). The chemical compositions (Axis Ultra DLD, Kratos) were performed using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) with a 325 nm excitation wavelength. At room temperature, UV–visible absorption studies were conducted by the UV–Vis 2450 (Shimadzu) spectrophotometer to record diffuse reflectance spectra (DRS). The photoluminescence (PL) spectra were carried out with a fluorescent spectrophotometer (HORIBA-Jobin-Yvon).

**Photocatalytic activity study.** Photodegradation studies. The photocatalytic activity of the produced catalysts was assessed for MB, phenol and BG photodegradation. Natural sunshine provided irradiation, and the reactor was encased in a water-cooling system. The solution was transported to the photoreactor after 0.05 g of sample powder was added to 50 ml of pollutant (Co = 10 MB/L). The degradation of MB, phenol and BG in solar light was performed on sunny days between 11.00 a.m. and 2.00 p.m. with a maximum temperature of 35°C. The intensity solar light was measured every 30 min over LT Lutron LX-10/A digital Lux meter and the average light intensity was nearly constant during the experiments. The mixture was first agitated in the dark for 30 min to achieve the adsorption–desorption equilibrium. The mixture was then stirred on a magnetically under direct sunshine lighting. The degradation of MB, phenol and BG was calculated using the Eq. (1), and the change in pollutant concentrations was measured using a Shimadzu, MPC-2200 UV–Vis spectrophotometer.

$$D\% = \left(\frac{C_o - C_t}{C_o}\right) \times 100\%$$

where $C_o$ and $C_t$ represent the concentrations of dyes before and after irradiation, respectively (t). The reactive radicals that might be formed in photocatalytic processes were also investigated employing several scavengers at concentrations of 1 mM, including benzoquinone (BQ), isopropanol (IPA), and Na$_2$EDTA as ·O$_2^-$, OH, and h$^+$ scavengers, respectively. The total organic carbon (TOC) was measured using a Shimadzu 5000 TOC Analyzer which applied to investigate the mineralization of MB, phenol and BG. After photodegradation, the %TOC of MB, phenol and BG was estimated using the following equation:

$$%\text{TOC} = \left(\frac{\text{TOC}_{\text{Initial}} - \text{TOC}_{\text{Final}}}{\text{TOC}_{\text{Initial}}}\right) \times 100$$

**Results and discussion**

**XRD analysis.** The XRD patterns of Fe$_3$O$_4$–FeVO$_4$ and xRGO/Fe$_3$O$_4$–FeVO$_4$ nanocomposites are displayed in Fig. 1. The diffraction peaks of Fe$_3$O$_4$–FeVO$_4$ demonstrates that the sample have a triclinic phase (JCPDS card No. #71–1592). Further, another diffraction peaks appeared at 18.51°, 30.24°, 35.61° and 73.91° matched very well with the Fe$_3$O$_4$ structure (JCPDS No. 19-0629). The XRD patterns of xRGO/Fe$_3$O$_4$–FeVO$_4$ showed similar peaks as of Fe$_3$O$_4$–FeVO$_4$. Moreover, the sample with 15 wt.% of RGO displayed a small peak appeared at 2θ = 26.05° indicating the existence of RGO. As seen in Fig. 1S displays positional shift of the peaks after the addition of RGO. This resulted from effect the introduction of RGO which led to changes in the lattice parameters of Fe$_3$O$_4$–FeVO$_4$ (d-spacing changed from 3.17 to 3.19 Å at 2θ = 27.9°). In addition, Fig. 1 illustrates that the intensity of characteristic peaks increased with the percentage of RGO increased.
The crystal size of the prepared samples was calculated according to the Scherrer’s equation. Table 1 illustrates that the crystals size of xRGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ increased with the percentage of RGO increased compared to pure Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ indicating the existence of RGO enhanced the crystals growth of Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄.

**TEM and EDX analysis.** The morphology and size of Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ and xRGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ were characterized by TEM as depicted in Fig. 2. Figure 2a, b reveals that the Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ have nanorod and spherical particles structures. The particles with nanorod structure indicate to the structure of Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ while the spherical particles attribute to the Fe₃O₄. On the other hand, the particles with nanorods structure showed average size ~ 138 nm and ~ 20 nm in length and diameter while the spherical particles is 13.8 nm. Figure 2c illustrates the influence of the addition of reduced graphene oxide on the structure of Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄. TEM images of 10%Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ (Fig. 2c) displays that the particles still have the nanorods shape. The HR-TEM images of Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ and 10%RGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ are shown in Fig. 2c, e and displays that the d-spacing are 0.30, 0.32 and 0.33 nm where 0.30 nm approach to the (220) lattice plane of Fe₃O₄ (peak at 2θ = 30.24°) while 0.32 nm belong to (1–12) plane of FeVO₄ (2θ = 27.9°). The increasing in the lattice spacing from 0.30 nm of Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ to 0.32 nm of 10%RGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ resulted from effect the introduction of RGO as described in the XRD. In addition, Fig. 2e also reveals the formation of heterojunction interface between FeVO₄ and Fe₃O₄ on the RGO surface.

Figure 3 shows the EDX spectra of Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ and xRGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄. Figure 3a displays that the Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ have Fe, V, C and O and no another impurities were detected. In addition, the 10%RGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ nanocomposites (Fig. 3b) showed the similar elements as Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ and C which attributes to RGO in the sample. Also, Table 1S illustrates the weight percent of elements in the 10%RGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ which confirmed the successful preparation of the desired nanocomposites.

**XPS studies.** Figure 4 displays the XPS results of Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ and 10%RGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄. The XPS spectrum of Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ in Fig. 4a presents peaks corresponding to Fe 2p, V 2p, and O 1s and the spectrum of 10%RGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ nanocomposites (Fig. 3b) showed the similar elements as Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ and C which attributes to RGO in the sample. Also, Table 1S illustrates the weight percent of elements in the 10%RGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ which confirmed the successful preparation of the desired nanocomposites.

| Sample name          | Crystallite size D (nm) | Band gap energy (eV) |
|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄          | 72.9                    | 2.07                 |
| 5%RGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄    | 79.6                    | 2.04                 |
| 10%RGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄   | 88.1                    | 1.9                  |
| 15%RGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄   | 85.8                    | 1.98                 |

**Table 1.** Crystallite size and BG energy of the prepared photocatalysts.
observed in the spectrum of 10%RGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ (Fig. 4c) comparing with Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄. Also, Fig. 4c displays that the peaks located at 516.66 and 523.65 eV were shifted to 516.13 and 521.47 eV, respectively indicating the presence V³⁺ resulted due to the reduction of V⁴⁺ to V³⁺ state⁸,⁴⁰. The formation of V⁴⁺ and V³⁺ in the sample indicates the existence of oxygen vacancies (Vo) in their crystal structure⁸.

Figure 4d illustrates the spectrum of O 1s of Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ showed three peaks located at 529.92, 530.37 and 532.54 eV assign to the lattice O in Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄⁸,⁴¹. However, 10%RGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ exhibited new peaks located at 529.46 and 531.66 eV attributed to the oxygen and hydroxyl groups on the RGO⁸,⁴²,⁴³. Also, Fig. 4d shows that the binding energies peaks of O 1s in the 10%RGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ were shifted to 529.97, 530.47 and 532.84 eV, indicating the existence of high Vo formed after the addition of RGO¹⁷. Figure 2S gives compression between GO and RGO. In the XPS spectrum of GO (Fig. 2S), four peaks appear at 284.59, 286.28, 288.33 and 289.06 eV are attributed to sp² and sp³ carbon⁸,⁴²,⁴³. However, positional shift of the peaks located at 288.33 and 289.06 eV to 287.84 and 288.91 eV in the 10%RGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ were observed and the intensity of peaks that attributed to oxygenated groups were decreased sharply which indicates the effective reduction of GO to RGO⁸,⁴⁴. Based on the results above the shifting in the peaks position of Fe 2p, V 2p and O 1s in the 10%RGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ attribute to the strong interactions between Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ and RGO in the nanocomposites⁸,⁴³.
DRS analyst. DRS spectra of Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ and xRGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ with different RGO contents are depicted in Fig. 5. As depicted in Fig. 5, the samples showed strong absorption in the visible region. The absorbance threshold of Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ appeared nearly at 705 nm. However, the absorption in the visible region (strong red-shift) improved largely in the xRGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ comparing with Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ indicating improving the optical properties Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ when composed with RGO. The band gap energy (E₉) was calculated using Tauc’s equation:

$$a\nu = A (\nu - E_g)^n$$

where \(\nu\) is the wavenumber, \(h\) is Planck constant, \(a\) is absorption coefficient, \(E_g\) is the energy band gap and \(A\) is a constant. From the plot of (\(a\nu\))\(^{1/2}\) versus photon energy (eV) as shown in Fig. 3S, the band gap energies (\(E_g\)) of the prepared samples were calculated and the resulted values are listed in Table 1. As shown in Table 1, the band gap energy of Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ is 2.06 eV whereas the \(E_g\) of xRGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ were narrowed from 2.04 of sample with 5wt.% of RGO to 1.97 of sample with 15wt.% of RGO. The reducing in the \(E_g\) of xRGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ can attribute to the effect and overlap of different factors. New orbitals can formed in the xRGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ due to the electronic interactions between RGO and Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ leading narrowing in the \(E_g\) of xRGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄. Another reason attributes to increase the \(Vo\) in the nanocomposite after the addition of RGO which contributes in the narrowing of \(E_g\) of Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ due to creation of intermediated states of energy levels in the \(E_g\) of xRGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ causing narrowing in the \(E_g\). The creation of intermediated energy states and new molecular orbitals in the xRGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ improved the absorption in the visible region and also contributed in retarding the recombination of photocarriers charges leading improving the photoactivity of xRGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ under solar light.

PL spectra. The photoluminescence spectra (PL) of Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ and xRGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ are displayed in Fig. 6. All the samples displayed similar PL spectra. Figure 6 illustrates that the emission peaks intensities of xRGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ decreased noticeably compared to the Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄, denoting the retardation of charges carriers (e–h) recombination after the addition of RGO. On the other hand, Fig. 6 showed that the 10%RGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ has the lowest intensity indicating the sample with 10 wt.% of RGO separated the photogenerated carriers effectively. The enhancing in the suppression of photocarriers of xRGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ resulted from the role of RGO which acted as efficient electrons trapping by creating of new defects or vacancies within the Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ leading to enhancing the lifetime of photocarriers. However, increasing the RGO amount.
beyond 10 wt.% accompanied by increasing the PL emission intensity (Fig. 6) indicating the opposite role of RGO where played as recombination centers and consequently accelerated the recombination rate of charges in the xRGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄.

**Photocurrent analysis.** The transient photocurrent response of the prepared samples was determined under visible light⁵¹. Figure 4S exhibits stable and reversible photocurrent densities of the prepared catalysts after several runs of on and off. Also, Fig. 4S displays that the 10%RGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ showed the highest density comparing to other samples. Based on these results, the photocurrent density of the prepared catalysts strongly depends on the RGO content. These observations confirm the role of RGO in retardation the recombination and improving the transfer of photogenerated charges⁵². However, a reduction in the photocurrent response was observed after increasing the content of RGO to 15 wt.% indicating the opposite role of RGO as described above which in turn reduce the density of photocurrent.

![Image of Figure 4](https://www.nature.com/scientificreports/)
Photocatalytic performance. Photodegradation studies. The photocatalytic performances of the prepared samples were evaluated by the photodegradation of MB, phenol and BG under sunlight irradiation as displayed in Fig. 7 and Fig. 5S, respectively. No photoreaction was observed in absence the catalyst or light source. Comparing with Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄, the xRGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ showed the highest photocatalytic activity against of MB, phenol and BG. Also, the sample with 10 wt.% of RGO displayed the highest photoactivity compared with other samples. These results indicate that the addition of RGO enhanced the photocatalytic activity of Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ by enhancing the suppression of the photogenerated charges recombination which in turn improved the photoactivity of Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ under sunlight irradiation.

The total effect of RGO in improving and reducing the photoactivity of Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ can attributed to the following reasons: the improving resulted due to the effect of RGO in acceleration the transition of electrons to the catalyst surface leading inhibition of the photogeneration charges recombination. Also, the addition of RGO facilitated the transition of electrons from VB to CB due to creation of new levels of energy through the Eg of Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ which in turn reduced the required energy for this transfer. On the other hand, the reduction in the photocatalytic activity of Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ in the existence of high amount of RGO resulted from the shielding effect of RGO which restrained the absorption of incident photons to arrival at the active sites on the surface of Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄. Moreover, increasing the amount of RGO acted as recombination centers of electrons and holes pairs.

According to the Langmuir–Hinshelwood kinetics model, the photodegradation process of MB, phenol and BG over Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ and xRGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ using the following formula:

Figure 5. UV–Vis absorbance spectra of (a) Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ and xRGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ at (b) 5%, (c) 10%, (d) 15% wt.% of RGO.

Figure 6. PL spectra of (a) Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ and xRGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ at (b) 5%, (c) 10%, (d) 15% wt.% of RGO.
where $k$ is the photodegradation rate constant, $C_0$ and $C$ are the original concentration and concentration of pollutant at time $t$. The linear approximation of the kinetics equation is shown in Fig. 6S. The apparent values of $k$ and correlation coefficient ($R^2$) were calculated and listed in Table 2. The values of $R^2$ indicated that the degradation of MB, phenol and BG follows pseudo 1st order kinetics. Also, Table 2 shows the values of $k$ increased with increasing the RGO content and the sample with 10 wt.% of RGO displayed the highest photodegradation rate compared with other tested photocatalysts.

Figure 7S illustrates the values of %TOC of MB, phenol and BG degradation over 10%RGO/Fe$_3$O$_4$–FeVO$_4$. The results illustrated that the mineralization of MB, phenol and BG after 180 min was 92.8%, 85.3% and 99.9%, respectively. Comparing these results with the photodegradation results we found the values of %TOC were lower than the photodegradation values. This indicates to existence of some un-degraded intermediates (colorless). However, with increasing the irradiation time to 360 min, the %TOC values increased sharply to achieve 100% of mineralization of both MB, phenol and BG.

Table 1S displays comparison between the photocatalytic activity of our samples that obtained in this work and that found in other literatures$^{17,19,20,22,23,25,27,54–58}$. As shown in Table 1S the 10%RGO/Fe$_3$O$_4$–FeVO$_4$ showed the highest photoactivity for photodegradation of organic pollutants under solar light. Also, our samples showed high visible light absorption, photogenerated charges separation and reusability. Moreover, in this work, the reduction of graphene oxide was performed effectively without using chemical reduction agents by green reduction method and during short time (2 h) comparing to other literatures.

**Photocatalytic mechanism.** To understand the photodegradation mechanism of MB, the radical scavengers were added during the photodegradation of MB. Figure 8S shows effect the addition of scavengers on the degradation of MB over 10%RGO/Fe$_3$O$_4$–FeVO$_4$ sample. As shown in Fig. 8S, the addition of BQ (·O$_2^-$ radical) was accompanied with sharply reduction in the degradation of MB while the addition of Na$_2$EDTA (h$^+$ radical) and IPA (·OH radical) accompanied with high suppression for Na$_2$EDTA and venial reduction for IPA. These results imply that the O$_2^-$ played the main role in degradation of MB while the OH played the minor role. This indicates that the O$_2^-$ is the more active radical contributed in the degradation of MB.
From the radical scavengers results, the possible mechanism of the degradation of MB, phenol and BG over xRGO/Fe3O4–FeVO4 was suggested as a direct Z-scheme as proposed in Fig. 8. In this mechanism, when the xRGO/Fe3O4–FeVO4 absorbed the sunlight illumination, the incident photons promoted transfer of electrons (e−) to the CB of FeVO4 and the holes (h+) accumulated in the VB of Fe3O4 [Eqs. (3), (4)]. The photoinduced e− on the CB of Fe3O4 can transfer to the VB of FeVO4, and then quickly recombine with the h+ of FeVO4 were achieved, which is favorable for higher separation rate of e−-h+ pairs of the single photocatalyst and higher redox potential59,60. In this case, the e− accumulated in CB of FeVO4 are taken by the RGO and then reduce O2 into ·O2− [Eqs. (5), (6)], while the h+ in VB of Fe3O4 VB are more positive potential and has sufficient oxidation capacity to oxidize OH− into OH or react with pollutants molecules directly [Eqs. (7–9)]59–63. These results imply the contribution of generated radicals in the degradation of MB and phenol to gives CO2 and H2O.

$$\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 + h\nu (\text{photon}) \rightarrow e^- + \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4^* (h^+) \quad (3)$$

$$\text{FeVO}_4 + e^- \rightarrow \text{FeVO}_4 (e^-) \quad (4)$$

$$\text{FeVO}_4 (e^-) + \text{RGO} \rightarrow \text{RGO} (e^-) \quad (5)$$

$$\text{RGO} (e^-) + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{RGO} + \text{O}_2^- \quad (6)$$

$$\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4^* (h^+) + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow H^+ + \text{OH}^- \quad (7)$$

$$\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4^* (h^+) + \text{OH}^- \rightarrow \cdot \text{OH} \quad (8)$$

$$\cdot \text{O}_2^- + h^+_{\text{VB}} + \text{OH} + \text{Organic pollutants} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (9)$$

| Samples                  | MB       | Phenol   | BG       |
|--------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
|                          | K1       | R²       | K1       | R²       | K1       | R²       |
| Fe3O4–FeVO4              | 0.01428  | 0.99766  | 0.00592  | 0.99318  | 0.01122  | 0.98814  |
| 5%RGO/Fe3O4–FeVO4        | 0.02304  | 0.99862  | 0.01061  | 0.99643  | 0.02174  | 0.99524  |
| 10%RGO/Fe3O4–FeVO4       | 0.06001  | 0.99959  | 0.02257  | 0.02257  | 0.04989  | 0.99846  |
| 15%RGO/Fe3O4–FeVO4       | 0.04161  | 0.9986   | 0.01599  | 0.01599  | 0.03295  | 0.99875  |

Table 2. Correlation coefficients and rate constants for MB, phenol and BG photodegradation.

Figure 8. Postulated mechanism of electron transfer in xRGO/Fe3O4–FeVO4 nanocomposites.
Reusability study. The reusability and stability of 10%RGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ were studied. Figure 9S displays the degradation of MB, phenol and BG after four cycles (runs) under the same conditions. The catalyst powder was separated from the reaction mixture after each run and soaked in ethanol for 1 h. Then, the powder was washed with water and finally dried at 100 °C for 8 h. The obtain results illustrated no significant decline in photodegradation activity of 10%RGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ was observed after five runs. To investigate the effect of reused times on the structural properties of catalyst, the 10%RGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ was investigated by the XRD and TEM techniques before and after reuse as shown in Fig. 9 and the results showed no changes were observed in the structural properties of 10%RGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ indicating the excellent reusability and sustainability of the prepared photocatalysts.

Conclusion
Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ and xRGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ were successfully prepared by the hydrothermal method. The results confirmed successfully reduction GO to RGO by green method. The UV–Vis results showed improving of the absorption in the visible region and enhancing of the photogenerated charges separation of after the addition of RGO. The samples showed excellent degradation of MB, phenol, BG after the addition of RGO under sunlight illumination and the sample with 10 wt.% of RGO exhibited the highest photodegradation efficiency. The TOC analysis illustrated that the MB and phenol completely mineralized after 360 min. The results of scavenger tests showed that the ·O₂⁻ played the main role in oxidant of MB. The kinetic studies results illustrated that the degradation of MB and phenol follows the pseudo 1st order kinetics. The prepared samples showed excellent reusability after five runs without significant reduction in the photoaactivity. Based on these results, we can be concluded that the xRGO/Fe₃O₄–FeVO₄ are suitable and convenient material for treatment of organic pollutants and industrial effluent.

Received: 3 January 2022; Accepted: 7 April 2022
Published online: 21 April 2022

References
1. Biswas, S. K. & Baeg, J. O. Enhanced photoactivity of visible light responsive W incorporated FeVO₄ photoanode for solar water splitting. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 38, 14451–14457. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2013.09.064 (2013).
2. Long, X. et al. Bamboo shoots shaped FeVO₄ passivated ZnO nanorods photoanode for improved charge separation/transfer process towards efficient solar water splitting. Appl. Catal. B Environ. 257, 117813. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2019.117813 (2019).
3. Jansi Rani, B. et al. Ni supported anorthic phase FeVO₄ nanorods for electrochemical water oxidation. Mater. Lett. 275, 128091. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matlet.2020.128091 (2020).
4. Appavu, B., Thiripuranthagan, S., Ranganathan, S., Erusappan, E. & Kannan, K. BiVO₄/N-rGO nano composites as highly efficient visible active photocatalyst for the degradation of dyes and antibiotics in eco system. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 151, 118–126. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2018.01.008 (2018).
5. Hassan, S. M. & Mannaa, M. A. Photocatalytic degradation of brilliant green dye by SnO₂/TiO₂ nanocatalysts. Int. J. Nano Mater. Sci. 5, 9–19 (2016).
6. Mannaa, M. A., Altass, H. M. & Salama, R. S. MCM–41 grafted with citric acid: The role of carboxylic groups in enhancing the synthesis of xanthenes and removal of heavy metal ions. Environ. Nanotechnol. Monit. Manag. 15, 100410. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enmm.2020.100410 (2021).
7. Mannaa, M. A. et al. Morphological effects on the photocatalytic performance of FeVO₄ nanocomposite. Nano-Struct. Nano-Objects 22, 100431. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoano.2020.100431 (2020).
8. Manna, M. A., Qasim, K. F., Alshorifi, F. T., El-Bahy, S. M. & Salama, R. S. Role of NiO nanoparticles in enhancing structure properties of TiO₂ and its applications in photodegradation and hydrogen evolution. ACS Omega 6, 30386–30400. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.1c03693 (2021).
9. Alshorifi, F. T. et al. Facile and green synthesis of silver quantum dots immobilized onto a polymeric CTS-PEO blend for the photocatalytic degradation of p-nitrophenol. ACS Omega 6, 30432–30441. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.1c03735 (2021).
37. Ibrahim, A. A., Hassan, S. M. & Mannaa, M. A. Mesoporous tin oxide-supported phosphomolybdic acid as high performance photocatalyst for the degradation of organic pollutants under visible light. *J. Mater. Chem. A*. 6, 548–555. https://doi.org/10.1039/c7ta08923h (2017).

38. Hashtroudi, H. et al. One-step preparation (3D/2D/2D) BiVO₄/FeVO₄@rGO heterojunction composite photocatalyst for the removal of tetracycline and hexavalent chromium ions in water. *Chem. Eng. J.* 390, 124522. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.124522 (2020).

39. He, G. L. et al. Preparative synthesis of MnOx/CuO composite and its application to prepare a novel and magnetized heteropolyacid based heterogeneous nanocatalyst for three- and four-component reactions. *Chem. Eng. J.* 810–818. https://doi.org/10.1039/C8RA08962K (2019).

40. Mishra, A. et al. Comparative electrochemical analysis of rGO–Fe₂O₃ nanocomposite and Fe₂O₃ for supercapacitor application. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 488, 221–227. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2019.05.259 (2019).

41. Zhang, M. et al. Elucidation of the opto-electronic and photoelectrochemical properties of FeVO₄ photoanodes for solar water oxidation. *J. Mater. Chem. A*. 6, 357–364. https://doi.org/10.1039/d3ta070772f (2017).

42. Rahimpour, R., Chaibakhsh, N., Zarandi, M. A. & Moradi-Shoefili, Z. Fabrication of ZnO/FeVO₄ heterojunction nanocomposite with high catalytic activity in photo-Fenton-like process. *J. Alloys Compd.* 817, 152702. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2019.152702 (2020).

43. Chachvalvitkul, A., Jatkunnee, J., Thongtem, S., Kittiwachana, S. & Kaowphong, S. Novel FeVO₄/Bi₂O₃ nanocomposite with enhanced photocatalytic dye degradation and photoelectrochemical properties. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 475, 175–184. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2018.12.214 (2019).

44. Sadiq, M. M. J., Sheny, U. S. & Bhat, D. K. Novel RGO-ZnWO₄-Fe₃O₄ nanocomposite as high performance visible light photocatalyst. *RSC Adv.* 6, 61821–61829. https://doi.org/10.1039/c6ra10002j (2016).

45. Sadiq, M. M. J., Sheny, U. S. & Bhat, D. K. Enhanced photocatalytic performance of N-doped RGO-Fe₂O₃/Fe₃O₄ ternary nanocomposite in environmental applications. *Mater. Today Chem.* 4, 133–141. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtchem.2017.04.003 (2017).

46. Sadiq, M. M. J., Sheny, U. S. & Bhat, D. K. Synthesis of BaWO₄/NGRO–g-C₃N₄ nanocomposites with excellent multifunctional catalytic performance via microwave approach. *Front. Mater. Sci.* 12, 247–263. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11706-018-0433-3 (2018).

47. Alsulami, Q. A., Rajeh, A., Mannaa, M. A., Al Bukhari, S. M. & Baamer, D. Preparation of highly efficient sunlight driven photo-degradation of some organic pollutants and H₂ evolution over rGO/FeVO₄ nanocomposites. *J. Phys. Chem. Energy*. 46, 27349–27363. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydene.2021.05.211 (2021).

48. Hassan, M. S., Ahmed, A. I. & Mannaa, M. A. Structural, photocatalytic, biological and catalytic properties of SnO₂/TiO₂ nano-particles. *Chem. Eng. J.* 44, 6201–6211. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemat.2018.01.005 (2018).

49. Hassan, S. M., Ahmed, A. I. & Mannaa, M. A. Preparation and characterization of SnO₂, doped TiO₂ nanoparticles: Effect of phase changes on the photocatalytic and catalytic activity. *J. Sci. Adv. Mater. Devices*. 11, 604–612. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsamd.2019.06.004 (2019).

50. Hassan, S. M., Ahmed, A. I. & Mannaa, M. A. Surface acidity, catalytic and photocatalytic activities of new type H₂PW₁₂O₄₀/SnO₂/TiO₂ nanoparticles. *Colloids Surf. A Physicochem. Eng. Asp.* 577, 147–157. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2019.05.070 (2019).

51. Khan, M. et al. Functionalized diamond composite nanomaterials for photocatalytic hydrogen evolution and effective pollutant degradation. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*. 45, 29709–29801. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2020.07.274 (2020).

52. Zhang, J., Zhao, W., Li, Z., Lu, G. & Zhu, M. Visible-light-assisted peroxymonosulfate activation over Fe(II)/V(IV) self-doped BiVO₄ TiO₂ nanoparticles. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 488, 618–629. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2019.05.259 (2019).

53. Hassan, S. M., Ahmed, A. I. & Mannaa, M. A. Preparation and characterization of SnO₂, doped TiO₂ nanoparticles: Effect of phase changes on the photocatalytic and catalytic activity. *J. Sci. Adv. Mater. Devices*. 4, 400–412. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsamd.2019.06.004 (2019).

54. Hassan, S. M., Ahmed, A. I. & Mannaa, M. A. Structure, photocatalytic, biological and catalytic properties of SnO₂/TiO₂ nano-particles. *Chem. Eng. J.* 44, 6201–6211. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemat.2018.01.005 (2018).

55. Hassan, S. M., Ahmed, A. I. & Mannaa, M. A. Preparation and characterization of SnO₂, doped TiO₂ nanoparticles: Effect of phase changes on the photocatalytic and catalytic activity. *J. Sci. Adv. Mater. Devices*. 11, 604–612. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsamd.2019.06.004 (2019).

56. Hassanein, A. H. et al. Hydrogen gas sensing properties of microwave-assisted 2D Hybrid Pd/rGO: Effect of temperature, humidity and UV illumination. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*. 46, 7653–7665. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2020.11.268 (2021).

57. Hassanein, A. H., Mannaa, M. A. & Ibrahim, A. A. Nano-sized mesoporous phosphated tin oxide as an efficient solid acid catalyst. *RSC Adv.* 9, 810–818. https://doi.org/10.1039/C9RA08962K (2019).

58. Ibrahim, A. A., Hassanein, A. H., Mannaa, M. A. & Hussein, M. M. Bi-layered ZnO/SnO₂ composites and their analytical application to the detection of hydrogen peroxide. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 233, 162–172. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2016.04.041 (2016).

59. Wang, Y. et al. BiVO₄ nanocomposites with maximized interfacial coupling for visible light photocatalysis. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* 2, 2235–2238. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.suschemeng.4b00465 (2014).

60. Yuan, Y. et al. Peroxidase-like activity of FeVO₄ nanobelts and its analytical application for optical detection of hydrogen peroxide. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 233, 162–172. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2016.04.041 (2016).

61. Wang, T. et al. Reduced graphene oxide (rGO)/BiVO₄ composite with maximized interfacial coupling for visible light photocatalysis. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* 2, 2235–2238. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.suschemeng.4b00465 (2014).

62. Yang, Z., He, R., Wu, H., Ding, Y. & Mei, H. Needle-like CoP/rGO growth on nickel foam as an efficient electrocatalyst for hydrogen evolution reaction. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*. 46, 9690–9698. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2020.07.114 (2021).

63. Zhang, L. et al. Synthesis of butterfly-like BiVO₄/rGO nanocomposites and their photocatalytic activities. *Chin. J. Chem. Eng.* 26, 667–674. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjche.2017.09.007 (2018).

64. He, G. L. et al. Hydrothermal synthesis of Fe₂WO₆-graphene composites and their photocatalytic activities under visible light. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 351, 474–479. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2015.05.159 (2015).
59. Wang, Q., Liu, Z., Lu, Q., Guo, E. & Wei, M. Fabrication of direct Z-scheme α-Fe2O3/FeVO4 nanobelts with enhanced photoelectrochemical performance and enhanced photocatalytic activity. J. Hazard. Mater. 382, 121059. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2019.121059 (2020).

60. Vinodh, R., Karthik, P., Muthamizhchelvan, C., Neppolian, B. & Ashokkumar, M. Carrier separation and charge transport characteristics of reduced graphene oxide supported visible-light active photocatalysts. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 18, 5179–5191. https://doi.org/10.1039/C6CP08041J (2016).

61. Ren, X. et al. Band gap engineering of BiOCl: Via oxygen vacancies induced by graphene for improved photocatalysis. New J. Chem. 43, 1523–1530. https://doi.org/10.1039/c8nj05358f (2019).

62. Sajid, M. M. et al. Fast surface charge transfer with reduced band gap energy of FeVO4/graphene nanocomposite and study of its electrochemical property and enhanced photocatalytic activity. Arab. J. Sci. Eng. 44, 6659–6667. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13369-019-03927-2 (2019).

63. Peng, W., Zhang, S. S., Shao, Y. B. & Huang, J. H. Bimetallic PtNi/g-C3N4 nanotubes with enhanced photocatalytic activity for H2 production and organic pollutant degradation. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 43, 22215–22225. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2018.10.102 (2018).

64. Chen, K. L. et al. Excellent visible light photocatalytic efficiency of Na and S co-doped g-C3N4 nanotubes for H2 production and organic pollutant degradation. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 44, 31916–31929. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2019.10.060 (2019).

65. Bashir, A. et al. Influence of nickel and lanthanum ions co-doping on photocatalytic properties of TiO2 for effective degradation of reactive yellow 145 in the visible region. J. Sol-Gel Sci. Technol. 93, 438–451. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solgel.2019.01.055 (2020).

66. Bera, G. et al. Methylene blue dye degradation by bulk, nano FeVO4 and rGO-FeVO4. 3rd Int. Conf. Condens. Mater Appl. Phys. 2220, 80070. https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0001217 (2020).

67. Mohamed, M. J. S., Shenoy, S. & Bhat, D. K. Novel NRGO-CoWO4/Fe3O4 nanocomposite as an efficient catalyst for dye degradation and reduction of 4-nitrophenol. Mater. Chem. Phys. 208, 112–122. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matchemphys.2018.01.012 (2018).

68. B. Appavu, S. Thiripuranthagan, S. Ranganathan, E. Erusappan, K. Kannan, BiVO4/N-rGO nano composites as highly efficient visible active photocatalyst for the degradation of dyes and antibiotics in eco system, in: Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf., Elsevier Inc., 2018: pp. 118–126. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2018.01.008.

69. Chen, H. et al. Improved visible light photocatalytic activity of mesoporous FeVO4 nanorods synthesized using a reactable ionic liquid. Cailusia Xuebao/Chin. J. Catal. 40, 744–754. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catal.2019.03.001 (2019).

70. Liu, X. & Kang, Y. Synthesis and high visible-light activity of novel Bi2O3/Fe2O3 heterojunction photocatalyst. Mater. Lett. 164, 229–231. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matlet.2015.10.137 (2016).

71. Wang, Q., Liu, Z., Du, Q., Guo, E. & Wei, M. Fabrication of direct Z-scheme α-Fe2O3/FeVO4 nanobelts with enhanced photoelectrochemical performance. ChemistrySelect 3, 809–815. https://doi.org/10.1002/slct.201702654 (2018).

72. Xu, G., Du, M., Li, T., Guan, Y. & Guo, C. Facile synthesis of magnetically retrievable Fe3O4/FeVO4/Cds heterojunction composite for enhanced photocatalytic degradation of tetracycline under visible light. Sep. Purif. Technol. 275, 119157. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2021.119157 (2021).

73. Dehghan, S., Jafari, A. J., FarnadKia, M., Esmaili, A. & Kalantary, R. Visible-light-driven photocatalytic degradation of Metalaxyl by reduced graphene oxide/Fe2O3/ZnO ternary nanohybrid: Influential factors, mechanism and toxicity bioassay. J. Photochem. Photobiol. A Chem. 375, 280–292. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotochem.2019.01.024 (2019).

74. Yan, X., Liu, K. & Shi, W. Facile synthesis of CdS/MnWO4 heterojunction with enhanced visible-light driven photocatalytic activity and mechanism investigation. Colloids Surf. A Physicochem. Eng. Asp. 520, 138–145. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2017.01.065 (2017).

75. Xie, T. et al. Magnetic photocatalyst BiVO4/Mn-Zn ferrite/reduced graphene oxide: Synthesis strategy and its highly photocatalytic activity. Nanomaterials 8, 3390. https://doi.org/10.3390/nano8060380 (2018).

Acknowledgements
The authors extend their appreciation to the Deputyship for Research and Innovation, Ministry of Education in Saudi Arabia for funding this research work through the Project Number IFPHI-027-247-2020. and King Abdulaziz University, DSR, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

Author contributions
All authors contributed in writing and analyzing data.

Competing interests
The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information
Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-10542-z.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Q.A.A.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher’s note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022