Dimerization interface of osteoprotegerin revealed by hydrogen–deuterium exchange mass spectrometry
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Osteoprotegerin (OPG)4 plays a crucial role in bone remodeling by acting as a decoy receptor that inhibits the activity of RANKL (receptor activator of nuclear factor κ-B ligand), an essential osteoclastogenic factor (1, 2). OPG belongs to the tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily but is expressed as a secreted soluble protein. OPG is a highly flexible multidomain protein that can be dissected into seven domains (Fig. 1A) (3, 4). The N-terminal half of OPG contains four cysteine-rich domains (CRD1–CRD4), which harbor numerous intramolecular disulfide bonds. The second half of the sequence contains two death domains (DD1 and DD2) and a short C-terminal domain (tail domain hereafter). Previous studies have shown that CRD2 and CRD3 are responsible for RANKL binding, whereas DD2 and the tail domain mediate binding to heparan sulfate (HS) (3–5). The biological function of DD1 remains unknown.

OPG exists naturally in monomeric and dimeric states (1, 6). Exactly why both forms are produced, and whether there is any difference in the biological function of the two forms, remains unknown. The main dimerization mechanism has been believed to be the formation of an intermolecular disulfide bond at the penultimate Cys-400 (4, 5). We will refer to this SS-linked homodimer as OPGSS, as opposed to monomeric OPGSH, which lacks the covalent linkage (the “SH” superscript indicates the free sulfhydryl groups of Cys-400). However, recent work in our laboratory has revealed a previously unknown mechanism of OPG dimerization, which involves binding to HS (5). Interestingly, formation of the HS-dependent OPG dimer (referred to as [OPGSH]2) does not require the intermolecular disulfide, as the C400A mutant of OPG also undergoes HS-induced dimerization (5). This finding makes it highly likely that there is an unidentified dimerization interface.

X-ray crystallography has provided some insights into the interaction of OPG with RANKL (3, 7). Fig. 1, B and C, depict the structure of a 3:3 complex between the RANKL-receptor-binding domain (gray) and the CRD1–CRD4 domains of OPG (colored). RANKL is a trimer and each of its subunits interacts with one OPG chain. These interactions are mediated by segments 71–TDSWHHTDESCVYCSVPVCKEL90 and 111–RYLEIEFC118 in CRD2 and CRD3, respectively (3). Fig. 1C displays the remaining OPG regions (DD1, DD2, Tail) in diagram form due to the lack of crystallographic information for these segments. Given the propensity of OPG to dimerize (1, 4), receptor for advance glycation end product; mOPG, mouse OPG; PDB, Protein Data Bank; TCEP, tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine.
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the main physiological binding scenario likely involves two sub-units of the RANKL trimer that interact with one OPG dimer (7). The third RANKL subunit can bind a second OPG dimer, but likely with lower affinity because that interaction would only involve a single RANKL chain and a single OPG chain (8). Of note, our laboratory has recently reported that RANKL, OPG, and HS can form a stable ternary complex. Based on size exclusion chromatography (SEC), the stoichiometry of the complex is consistent with a RANKL trimer interacting with two OPG dimers (5).

Despite these insights, our knowledge unfortunately only extends to truncated constructs because full-length OPG has not been amenable to crystallographic investigations. As a result, the structure of the three C-terminal domains, the spatial relationship between the CRDs and the C-terminal domains, and the structural details of OPG dimerization remain unknown. In addition, gaining structural insights of the C-terminal domains is especially important for deciphering how HS binding to the C-terminal domains regulates formation of the newly discovered HS–OPG–RANKL ternary complex (5). Filling this significant knowledge gap would require another experimental method to probe the structure and dynamics of full-length OPG in solution. Hydrogen–deuterium exchange (HDX) has emerged as a powerful tech-

**Figure 1. OPG sequence and domain structure.** A, mouse OPG sequence and domain structure. Cysteine residues involved in disulfide bonds are shown in green. Basic residues that are responsive for HS binding are shown in blue. B, crystal structure of a complex consisting of three truncated OPG monomers and trimeric truncated RANKL (PDB code 4E4D). View from the OPG N-terminal side. C, side view of the same structure, with key contact regions highlighted in cyan for one of the OPG chains. Disulfides are shown in green spheres. Representations of the two OPG death domains (DD1 and DD2) and the tail domain containing Cys-400 involved intermolecular disulfide interactions are shown.
nique to probe the structure and dynamics of proteins in solution (9). Founded on the basis that backbone NH hydrogen atoms are labile and can exchange with deuterium from the solvent, HDX-MS is well-suited to probe structural changes experienced by proteins during intermolecular interactions. We and others have shown that HDX-MS can provide detailed information on ligand–protein and protein–protein contacts (9–15). Such interactions usually stabilize the protein in the vicinity of the binding site, thereby reducing the extent of H-bond opening/closing fluctuations such that the corresponding regions become more protected. Although H-bond fluctuations are believed to be the main determinant of HDX rates (16), other factors such as the electrostatic environment of NH sites may also play a certain role (17, 18).

Guided by comprehensive HDX-MS analysis of purified OPGSH and OPG2SS in complex with HS and/or RANKL, here we report the identification of two hydrophobic regions, located in DD1 and in the tail domain, which are required for forming OPG2SS. We further show that these hydrophobic residues are also involved in forming a HS-induced dimer [OPGSH]2. We also provide evidence that the newly discovered hydrophobic dimerization interface works synergistically with HS to stabilize the dimer, which we found is required for the optimal inhibitory potency of OPG toward RANKL. Additionally, our HDX data reveal that the interconnection between the N-terminal and C-terminal domains is likely much more intimate than previously predicted, which might represent an important link to understand the interplay between the ligand-binding N-terminal and C-terminal domains.

**Results**

**OPGSH binds HS with significantly lower affinity than OPG2SS**

We and others have previously shown that monomeric OPGSH was eluted from heparin-Sepharose at much lower salt concentrations compared with OPG2SS (750 versus 1050 mM) (4, 5). Here, by using surface plasmon resonance (SPR), we determined that the binding affinity of OPGSH to immobilized HS is 17-fold weaker than that of OPG2SS (290 versus 17 nM, Fig. 2A and B, and Table 1). Kinetic analyses of the SPR sensograms suggest that the main factor contributing to the weaker HS binding of OPGSH is the dramatically reduced association rate (30-fold, from 8.9 × 10^5 to 3 × 10^4 M s^-1). Consistent with these SPR data, the apparent binding affinity of OPGSH to osteoblast cell-surface HS was only 60 nM, (Fig. 2C), which represents a 50-fold reduction in affinity compared with OPG2SS (5).

**OPGSH is naturally made in the bone marrow**

Although secretion of OPGSH has been documented in cell culture systems (6), it remains unknown whether OPGSH truly exists in living animals, or if it immediately gets converted to OPG2SS. To test this, we examined the OPGSH/OPG2SS ratio in murine bone marrow plasma. Based on the much lower binding affinity of OPGSH to cell-surface HS (Fig. 2C), we devised a method to quantify OPGSH and OPG2SS. The whole bone marrow content was first resuspended with a small volume of PBS to recover the unimmobilized portion of OPG. Next, the cell pellet was washed quickly with 1.5 M NaCl to elute the OPG that was immobilized by binding to cell-surface HS. Both portions were then analyzed by heparin-Sepharose chromatography and the eluted fractions were analyzed by ELISA to quantify the amount of OPG. We found that the soluble portion of OPG eluted from the heparin-Sepharose as a very broad peak at salt...
concentrations between 550 and 1350 mM. This elution pattern precisely mirrors that of recombinant OPG, where OPG\textsubscript{SH} eluted between 550 and 900 mM (fraction F6–F9), and OPG\textsubscript{SS} between 900 and 1350 mM (fraction F9–F13, Fig. 3A). Interestingly, the soluble OPG contains roughly equal amounts of OPG\textsubscript{SH} and OPG\textsubscript{SS} (Fig. 3B), whereas the cell-surface bound portion contains 2.5-fold more OPG\textsubscript{SS} than OPG\textsubscript{SH} (Fig. 3C). The total fraction of OPG\textsubscript{SH} present in the bone marrow is 45 ± 3% (average of two separate mouse samples). To our knowledge, our experiment is the first to show that monomeric OPG\textsubscript{SH} is found naturally in mice.

**Overall HDX pattern of OPG**

HDX-MS was employed to interrogate changes in the structure and dynamics of full-length OPG\textsubscript{SH} and OPG\textsubscript{SS} in response to HS and/or RANKL addition. Eight conditions were tested: 1) OPG\textsubscript{SH} alone, 2) OPG\textsubscript{SH} + HS, 3) OPG\textsubscript{SH} + HS + RANKL, 4) OPG\textsubscript{SH} + RANKL, 5) OPG\textsubscript{SS} alone, 6) OPG\textsubscript{SS} + HS, 7) OPG\textsubscript{SS} + HS + RANKL, and 8) OPG\textsubscript{SS} + RANKL. In all samples we identified >60 peptides, for a sequence coverage of ~80%. The digestion patterns of OPG\textsubscript{SS} and OPG\textsubscript{SH} were slightly different. The large number of intramolecular disulfides in CRD1–CRD4 necessitated the implementation of a carefully optimized digestion protocol, because SS bonds are known to interfere with peptic digestion (19–22) (see “Experimental procedures” for details). However, even with this optimized protocol the number of peptides originating from CRD1–CRD4 was significantly lower than in the DD1/DD2/Tail regions (Figs. S1 and S2). The complete set of HDX kinetics recorded under all eight conditions is summarized in Figs. S3 and S4, and typical unprocessed mass spectra are exemplified in Fig. S5. Overall, a striking feature of the entire HDX-MS data set are the relatively high exchange levels for the vast majority of the peptides. Typical globular proteins tend to possess rigid segments that remain incompletely deuterated even after hours of D\textsubscript{2}O incubation (10–15). OPG showed a very different behavior, as many peptides were ~50% labeled already after 10 s. After 100 min, dehydration had gone to completion for nearly all peptides, even in the presence of ligands. This behavior reflects the highly dynamic nature of OPG, which has thus far precluded crystallization of the full-length protein (3, 7). Difficulties of growing crystals from proteins that show high HDX levels have been noted previously (23).

Representative examples taken from the complete HDX data set (Figs. S3 and S4) are highlighted in Figs. 4 and 5, illustrating the behavior of various regions in OPG\textsubscript{SH} (left-hand side) and OPG\textsubscript{SS} (right-hand side). As noted above, the peptic cleavage patterns were somewhat different for the two forms. In Figs. 3 and 4 an effort was made to compare OPG\textsubscript{SH} and OPG\textsubscript{SS} peptides that cover approximately the same regions. The data interpretation is guided by the tenet that noncovalent intermolecular interactions often (though not always) cause reduced HDX levels. These HDX changes tend to be most pronounced directly at the interaction site, but allosteric effects may take place as well (24–27).

**HDX pattern in the CRDs**

CRD1 (Fig. 4, peptide 22–40) was found to be quite dynamic, with high deuteration levels that were insensitive to addition of HS and/or RANKL. This behavior is consistent with the view that CRD1 is not involved in HS or RANKL binding, both in the case of OPG\textsubscript{SH} and OPG\textsubscript{SS} (3, 5, 7). CRD2 in OPG\textsubscript{SH} appeared to be highly dynamic, regardless of the presence of HS or...
RANKL (Fig. 4, 86–98). In OPG$_{2SS}$ this segment was much more protected even without ligands (Fig. 4, peptide 86–103). This behavior strongly suggests the occurrence of noncovalent CRD2–CRD2 binding interactions in OPG$_{2SS}$, likely promoted by the “pre-alignment” of the two OPG chains by the C-terminal disulfide. Significant additional stabilization of CRD2 in OPG$_{2SS}$ was observed after addition of RANKL, and very similar effects were seen for CRD3 (Fig. 4, 130–142). These findings are consistent with crystallographic data that identified CRD2 and CRD3 as RANKL-binding regions (3, 7). In contrast to peptide 86–103 of OPG$_{2SS}$, which was greatly protected after RANKL binding, peptide 86–98 in OPG$_{2SH}$ did not display any protection from HDX. Of note, Luan et al. (7) have reported that mutating Asp-57 and Glu-58 in CRD2 (corresponds to Asp-78 and Glu-79 in our numbering) had no effect on OPG-RANKL interaction, which suggests that CRD2-RANKL contacts is in fact dispensable for OPG-RANKL interaction. The fact that we did observe significant protection of CRD2 (peptide 86–103) of dimeric OPG$_{2SS}$ after RANKL binding suggests that whether CRD2 make contributions to RANKL binding in solution likely depends on the oligomeric states of OPG.

The only peptides in our dataset that did not display 100% deuteration at the end the HDX labeling period were located in (or close to) CRD4 (Fig. 4, 153–182; Fig. 5, 166–202). This finding suggests that CRD4 has the most stable secondary structure among all domains in OPG; this behavior is somewhat surprising because like all CRDs, CRD4 mostly consists of loops that lack extensive H-bonding in the crystal structure (7). The low HDX rate of CRD4 is independent of the dimerization status and RANKL or HS binding, suggesting that CRD4 interacts intramolecularly with other elements of OPG. In our view, the most likely scenario is that CRD4 interacts with the linker region (186–197), and the N-terminal tip of DD1. Interestingly, a high density of bulky hydrophobic residues (Phe-169, Leu-171, Leu-172, Leu-173, Ile-174, Val-184) are located at the C-terminal tip of the CRD4,

**Figure 4. HDX kinetics of peptides that covers the RANKL-binding site.** Individual peptides of OPG were highlighted with mesh representation. Bound RANKL is shown in gray cartoon. Residues located in the hydrophobic patch at the tip of CRD-4 domain are shown in space-filling representation. Structure is based on PDB code 3URF. Of note, kinetics graphs highlighted here are part of the full set shown in supporting Figs. S3 and S4.
and the location of this hydrophobic cluster is depicted in Fig. 4 (Val-168, Phe-169, Leu-171, Leu-172, Leu-173, Ile-184 in human OPG). It is possible that this hydrophobic cluster forms intramolecular interactions with six highly conserved hydrophobic residues located at the N-terminal tip of DD1 (Ile-197, Val-199, Leu-201, Phe-206, Phe-207, Phe-209). In addition, two conserved cysteines (Cys-195 and Cys-202) are found in the linker region and the N-terminal tip of DD1, respectively, which could further increase the structural rigidity of the region via disulfide formation. Consistent with this proposal, peptides 199–206 and 191–220 of OPGSH (Fig. S1) had significantly lower HDX levels in the first minute compared with all other peptides in DD1, suggesting the N-terminal portion of DD1 is relatively rigid.

HDX pattern in C-terminal domains

Like the CRD domains, the vast majority of DD1 and DD2 were highly dynamic in both OPGSH and OPG2SS. Close inspection of the HDX profiles in these regions reveals many interesting features. In OPG2SS, two peptides stand out in that they showed significantly lower deuteration in the presence of HS (Fig. 5, 347–374 and 378–384). A similar effect of HS-binding on these peptides was also observed in OPGSH. Remarkably, these peptides include 7 of 8 HS-binding residues that we previously identified by site-directed mutagenesis (Lys-350, Lys-353, Lys-359, Arg-366, Lys-367, Arg-370, and Arg-379) (5), which was a nice confirmation of the sensitivity and accuracy of HDX-MS in probing the structure of OPG.

Aside from the peptides that directly cover the HS-binding regions, we identified several additional regions that were protected from HDX in the presence of HS (Fig. 5, 381–391 and 304–332 in OPGSH, 254–266 and 382–397 in OPG2SS). What is unique about these peptides is that they do not contain any HS-binding residues but instead harbor many conserved hydrophobic residues. The reason that these peptides became protected in HS–OPG dimeric complexes could be that they are part of the dimerization interface. In principle, because the dimerization interface becomes more stable in the presence of HS, the residues involved in dimerization should become more protected from HDX. Interestingly, these peptides are located in three regions that are far apart, with two peptides (381–391 in OPGSH and 382–397 in OPG2SS) in the tail domain, one in the DD2 (304–332 in OPGSH) and one in the DD1 (254–266 in OPG2SS). The HDX protection in DD2 and in the tail domain attributed to the combination of HS-protein interactions and HS-mediated protein-protein contacts is further highlighted in the difference plots of Fig. S6.

Identification of hydrophobic residues involved in forming OPG2SS

Because of the prominent role hydrophobic interactions play in protein oligomerization (28), we focused on the hydrophobic residues within the three aforementioned regions (254–266, 304–332, and 381–397). To narrow down the number of hydrophobic residues for mutagenesis, two criteria were used. First, we generated a death domain homology model using
Phyre2 and used it to rule out those hydrophobic residues that are directly involved in protein folding (29). Second, those residues that are not conserved from human to *Xenopus* were excluded. Application of these two criteria prompted us to mutate 11 hydrophobic residues to alanine, and to examine their effects on OPG dimerization. When these mutants were tested by heparin-Sepharose chromatography, we found that 8 of the 11 mutations had significant reductions in OPG HS binding, and 4 mutants (L254A, W257A, F385A, and L386A) were expressed exclusively as monomer (Table 2). Interestingly, none of the two mutants within the 304–332 peptide had any effect on the monomer/dimer ratio, whereas two out three mutants in DD1 and all mutants in the tail domain altered the monomer/dimer ratio. This result strongly suggests that the hydrophobic residues within the DD1 and the tail domain contribute to protein–protein contacts in OPG$_{2}$. 

**Hydrophobic mutants show reduced HS-induced dimerization**

To investigate whether the hydrophobic residues identified above (L254A, W257A, L380A, L384A, F385A, L386A, M388A and L389A) are also involved in HS-induced OPG dimerization, we incubated the monomeric hydrophobic mutants with heparin-Sepharose chromatography, and 4 mutants (L254A, W257A, F385A, and L386A) were expressed exclusively as monomer (Table 2). Interestingly, none of the two mutants within the 304–332 peptide had any effect on the monomer/dimer ratio, whereas two out three mutants in DD1 and all mutants in the tail domain altered the monomer/dimer ratio. This result strongly suggests that the hydrophobic residues within the DD1 and the tail domain contribute to protein–protein contacts in OPG$_{2}$. 

**Hydrophobic residues are required for optimal activity of OPG toward RANKL**

We have previously reported that HS on the surface of osteoblasts facilitates immobilization of OPG and consequently promotes OPG–RANKL interaction to inhibit osteoclastogenesis (5). Because we found now that the hydrophobic mutants show reduced binding to HS on osteoblast surface, we anticipate that these mutants might display impaired inhibition to osteoclastogenesis in bone marrow macrophage-osteoblast co-culture. In this system, primary osteoblasts are stimulated with vitamin D$_{3}$ and dexamethasone to induce expression of macrophage colony-stimulating factor and membrane-bound RANKL, which drives differentiation of co-cultured bone marrow macrophages into osteoclasts (2). Adding recombinant OPG into the system should dose-dependently inhibit RANKL and thus the extent of osteoclast differentiation (5, 32). As expected, L254A,F385A and W257A,M388A OPG$^{{SH}}$ both showed reduced potency in inhibiting osteoclastogenesis compared with WT OPG$^{{SH}}$. When used at 1 µg/ml, WT OPG$^{{SH}}$ was able to inhibit 88% of osteoclastogenesis as measured by TRAP activity, whereas L254A,F385A and W257A,M388A OPG$^{{SH}}$ were only able to inhibit 38 and 44% of osteoclastogenesis (Fig. 8A). The difference is even more apparent when the osteoclasts were visualized by TRAP staining. Cells treated with 1 µg/ml of WT OPG$^{{SH}}$ showed an absence of TRAP-positive cells with more than 10 cell nuclei, whereas abundant large to giant osteoclasts were present in samples treated with L254A,F385A and W257A,M388A OPG$^{{SH}}$ (Fig. 8, B–E). This result provides direct evidence that an intact hydrophobic dimerization interface is required for the optimum inhibitory activity of OPG$^{{SH}}$.

### Table 2

| Mutant name | Percentage in total yield | Dimerization | OPG$^{{SH}}$ monomer | OPG$^{{SH}}$ dimer |
|-------------|--------------------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| L254A       | 100%                     | 0%           |                      |                   |
| L256A       | 40%                      | 60%          |                      |                   |
| W257A       | 100%                     | 0%           |                      |                   |
| L326A       | 35%                      | 66%          |                      |                   |
| L331A       | 40%                      | 60%          |                      |                   |
| L380A       | 60%                      | 40%          |                      |                   |
| L384A       | 80%                      | 20%          |                      |                   |
| F385A       | 100%                     | 0%           |                      |                   |
| L386A       | 100%                     | 0%           |                      |                   |
| M388A       | 75%                      | 25%          |                      |                   |
| L389A       | 65%                      | 35%          |                      |                   |
| WT          | 40%                      | 60%          |                      |                   |

**Figure 6.** L254A,F385A and W257A,M388A OPG$^{{SH}}$ show reduced HS-mediated dimerization. 30 µg (0.5 nmol) of mOPG OPG$^{{SH}}$ was incubated with 1.8 µg (0.5 nmol) of HP-derived dodecasaccharide (H12) at room temperature for 2 h and resolved on a SEC column (Enrich650, Bio-Rad).

**Figure 7.** HDX-MS reveals OPG dimerization interface.
To make sure that the intrinsic RANKL binding capacity and the structural integrity of the hydrophobic mutants are unaltered, we tested the inhibitory potency of the hydrophobic mutants toward RANKL in a monoculture assay using only bone marrow macrophages. Different from the co-culture system described above, no osteoblasts were present and the osteoclastogenesis was induced by exogenously added soluble RANKL (50 ng/ml). Therefore, the monoculture system allowed us to directly measure the inhibitory potency of OPG toward RANKL in solution without taking into account the role of osteoblast HS–OPG interaction. As expected, in this system, both hydrophobic double mutants showed identical inhibitory potency to the WT OPG at 1 μg/ml (Fig. 8F), which suggests that the hydrophobic mutants had no defect in inhibiting soluble RANKL, a strong indicator of their structural integrity. We further analyzed the binding kinetics of the hydrophobic mutants to immobilized RANKL by SPR (Table S1) and found that both hydrophobic mutants showed similar kinetic parameters to WT OPG, again suggesting that their structural integrity is minimally affected.

**Discussion**

Why OPG exists in both monomeric (OPG\textsuperscript{SS}) and as a covalently linked dimer (OPG\textsubscript{2}SS) and whether there is a functional difference between the two forms remains an open question. Our recent discovery that HS can induce OPG\textsuperscript{SH} to form a dimer |

---

**Figure 7.** L254A,F385A and W257A,M388A OPG\textsuperscript{SH} show reduced binding to osteoblast HS. Binding of WT, L254A,F385A and W257A,M388A OPG\textsuperscript{SH} (1 μg/ml) to MC3T3 cells was determined by a FACS-based binding assay. The bound mOPG were detected by staining with a goat anti-mOPG antibody, followed by anti-goat IgG-Alexa 647. The shaded histogram is from cells stained only with antibodies.
prediction. Obviously, validation of our model would require solving the atomic structure of an OPG construct that includes both CRD4 and DD1, which we are currently pursuing. Finally, our HDX-MS data provided critical information that led to the identification of the dimerization interface of OPG. Identifying the location of potential protein interfaces is difficult without molecular structures but this study demonstrates the power of HDX-MS in aiding this task.

In our data set we found a number of peptides located in the C-terminal domains that were more protected in OPG–HS complex compared with free OPG. These peptides fall into two categories. One class of peptides cover part of the known HS-binding site of OPG, and HS-binding alone would explain their reduced HDX levels. The other class of peptides are, however, not part of the HS-binding site, yet HS-binding somehow led to their greatly reduced deuteration. We reasoned that the latter class of peptide might include residues that directly participate in dimerization, because the dimerization interface might only be stable enough (therefore display protection from HDX) when OPG is complexed with HS. The common feature of the

**Figure 8.** L254A,F385A and W257A,M388A OPGSH show reduced inhibition of osteoclastogenesis. A, TRAP activity assay of the whole cell lysate after 6 days of co-culture of osteoblasts and bone marrow macrophage. Co-cultures were either untreated or treated with WT, L254A,F385A and W257A,M388A OPGSH at 1 µg/ml (n = 3). Error bars represent S.D. * represents p < 0.01. Data are representative of three separate assays. B–E, representative images of differently treated OB-BMM co-cultures stained with a TRAP staining kit. Panel B is untreated control, C is treated with WT OPGSH, D and E are treated with L254A,F385A and W257A,M388A OPGSH, respectively. F, inhibition of osteoclastogenesis in bone marrow macrophage mono-culture by WT OPG or double mutant OPG at 1 µg/ml (n = 3). Error bars represent S.D. Osteoclastogenesis in this assay is induced by recombinant soluble RANKL (50 ng/ml) and macrophage-colony stimulating factor (20 ng/ml).
HDX-MS reveals OPG dimerization interface

four peptides of interest (254–266, 304–332, 381–391, and 382–397) is that they all contain a high density of conserved hydrophobic residues, as well as basic residues. The potential involvement of the basic residues in dimerization through salt bridges can be ruled out because all 10 conserved basic residues within these peptides have been mutated previously and none of them showed much effect on dimerization (5). We then focused on the conserved hydrophobic residues because it is known that solvent-exposed hydrophobic residues are a major driver in protein oligomerization (28). Indeed, 8 of 11 hydrophobic residues that we tested had a greatly increased monomer/dimer ratio, with 4 of them displaying an absence of dimer. This dramatic effect on the monomer/dimer ratio by mutating just a single hydrophobic residue suggests there is a high likelihood that these residues are directly involved in dimerization. Of note, whereas peptide 304–332 was protected from HDX in the HS-bound OPG, it does not appear to directly participate in dimerization based on our mutagenesis study. One possibility that might lead to its protection is that this region is involved in the dramatic conformational change experienced by OPG upon HS-binding (5).

Interestingly, the hydrophobic residues that might participate in dimerization come from DD1 and the tail domain (Fig. 9). This is unexpected because these two domains do not directly connect to each other in sequence and are gapped by DD2. We envision two possible dimerization mechanisms. The first one is that two small dimerization interfaces might exist, one centered around residue 254–258 and another around residue 384–389, and they work independently by forming hydrophobic interactions with the corresponding regions on the other protomer (Fig. 9). The other possibility is that they work together and combine to form a large single dimerization interface. This would require that DD2 orient in such a way that brings the first death domain and the tail domain in close proximity. Either way, HS-binding is an essential factor to stabilize the otherwise weak hydrophobic intermolecular interactions. Based on the fact the hydrophobic mutants show greatly reduced occurrence of OPG$_{2}$$^SS$, we believe that our newly identified hydrophobic interface facilitates intermolecular disulfide bond formation, likely by providing a transient stabilization force during the process (Fig. 9).

Our study provided yet another example of hydrophobic interaction and HS-binding work synergistically to promote protein dimerization (Fig. 9). Previously, we have shown that in order for RAGE (receptor for advance glycation end product) to oligomerize, both a small hydrophobic dimerization interface and HS binding are required (33). Similar to the results of the current work, HS-binding mutants of RAGE had impaired oligomerization and the hydrophobic mutants of RAGE had reduced HS-binding affinity. Another example is amyloid precursor-like protein 1 (APLP-1), whose dimerization is promoted by heparin (34). Again, it was found that mutation of conserved hydrophobic residues at the dimerization interface had a negative effect on heparin binding, and most HS-binding mutants of APLP-1 showed impaired dimerization. Together, these findings suggest that energetically coupled hydrophobic intermolecular interaction and HS-binding may be a common mechanism for HS-induced oligomerization.

In conclusion, our study has provided new evidence that HS-binding is tightly knitted into OPG biology by regulating OPG dimerization. It can be expected that our discoveries will lead to a more comprehensive picture of the regulatory mechanism of OPG in bone remodeling and stimulate novel ways of manipulating the pathway.

Experimental procedures

Expression and purification of full-length mouse OPG

The expression and purification of full-length mouse OPG (mOPG) was performed using the method previously reported (5). The complete ORF of mOPG (GE Dharmacon) was cloned into pUNO1 (Invivogen) using NcoI and Nhel sites. Transfection was performed using FectoPRO transfection reagent (Polyplus transfection). Recombinant mOPG was produced in 293-freestyle cells (ThermoFisher Scientific). Purification of mOPG from culture supernatant was carried out using a Hitrap heparin-Sepharose column (GE Healthcare) at pH 7.1 (HEPES buffer), followed by gel permeation chromatography on a Superdex 200 column in 20 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.4 (GE Healthcare). After purification, mOPG was 99% pure as judged by silver staining, and mOPG monomers were fully separated from dimers. Throughout the study, we used the K241A,R242A,R243Q (AAQ) mutant interchangeably with WT mOPG because of its higher expression level. The AAQ mutant performed equivalently as WT mOPG in HS-binding, dimerization and osteoclastogenesis assays.

Surface plasma resonance

Porcine intestinal HS (14 kDa, Celsus Laboratories, Cincinnati, OH) was biotinylated by sulfo-NHS long-chain biotin (ThermoFisher) with free amino groups of unsubstituted glucosamine residues in the polysaccharide chain following a published procedure (35). The biotinylated HS was immobilized to a streptavidin chip based on the manufacturer’s protocol. In brief, 20 μl of solution of the HS-biotin conjugate (0.1 mg/ml) in HBS-EP running buffer (0.01 M HEPES, pH 7.4, 0.15 M NaCl, 3 mM EDTA, 0.005% (v/v) Surfactant P20) was injected over flow cell 2 of the streptavidin chip at a flow rate of 10 μl/min. The successful immobilization of HS was confirmed by the observation of a 100–200 resonance unit increase in the sensor chip. The control flow cell 1 was prepared by a 1-min injection with saturated biotin. Different dilutions of protein samples (centrations from 1.25 to 160 nM) in HBS-EP buffer were injected at a flow rate of 30 μl/min. At the end of the sample injection, the same buffer was flowed over the sensor surface to facilitate dissociation. After a 3-min dissociation time, the sensor surface was regenerated by injecting with 30 μl of 0.25% SDS to get fully regenerated surface. The sensograms were fit with 1:1 Langmuir binding model from BIAevaluate 4.0.1.

For measuring RANKL-OPG interactions, the recombinant RANKL extracellular domain was immobilized on CM5 chips according to standard amine coupling protocol (GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden). Different dilutions of OPG or mutants (6.25 to 200 nM) were injected at a flow rate of 30 μl/min with HBS-EP buffer as a running buffer followed by a 3-min dissociation time.
Isolation and purification of OPG from bone marrow plasma and cell surface

Tibias and femurs from one WT BL/6 mouse were collected to isolate OPG. Collection of these tissues were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University at Buffalo. Bone marrow cavities were flushed with 0.6 ml of PBS and the cells were centrifuged to collect the supernatant, which contained soluble OPG. The bone marrow cell pellets were then washed with PBS and incubated with 0.6 ml of 1.5 mM NaCl for 5 min at room temperature. The high salt wash, which contains the cell surface-associated OPG was collected after cells were centrifuged. The high salt wash was then diluted by 25 mM HEPES, pH 7.1, to a final salt concentration of 150 mM. Purifications of both PBS wash and diluted high salt wash were carried out with the same method for recombinant mOPG purification using a HiTrap heparin-Sepharose column, eluent carried out with the same method for recombinant mOPG.

OPG ELISA

OPG was measured by sandwich ELISA. Briefly, a 96-well plate was coated by goat anti-mOPG antibody (R&D Systems, AF574) and blocked by 1% BSA. Recombinant mOPG with concentrations at 25 pg to 1.5 ng/ml was used to make the standard curve, heparin column fractions were added into wells and incubated for 2 h followed by incubation with biotinylated rabbit anti-mOPG antibody (made in house) for 1 h and streptavidin-horseradish peroxidase for 30 min. 50 μl of horseradish peroxidase substrate solution was added for developing, and the reaction was stopped by adding 50 μl of 1 M H2SO4, the absorbance at 450 nm was measured by a plate reader. Concentrations of OPG in each fraction were calculated with Straightforward ELISA software online.

HDX samples

HDX samples were prepared in 25 mM HEPES, 50 mM NaCl, and 85% D2O (HDX buffer) at pH read 7.1 at room temperature, 22 ± 1 °C. All samples contained 8 μM OPGSS or 16 μM OPGSi. RANKL trimer was added at a concentration of 7.5 μM, and heparin-derived dodecasaccharide (H12) at 11.4 μM. On the basis of nanomolar literature Kd values (8, 36) for HS interactions with RANKL, OPG was ~99% saturated with its binding partners under HDX conditions. A stoichiometric excess of RANKL was chosen to suppress the presence of free OPG. This scenario precluded meaningful HDX measurements on RANKL because a significant fraction of RANKL chains remained unbound. The OPG response to ligand binding was probed by conducting HDX-MS on eight types of samples: 1) OPGSi without binding partners, 2) OPGSi + HS, OPGSi + HS + RANKL, 4) OPGSi + RANKL, 5) OPGSS without binding partners, 6) OPGSS + HS, 7) OPGSS + HS + RANKL, and 8) OPGSS + RANKL. 10-μl aliquots were removed from the HDX solutions at 10 s, 1 min, 10 min, 50 min, 100 min, and 200 min. In initial digestion experiments we found that the high number of disulfides in the OPG CRD1–CRD4 region caused poor sequence coverage, a problem that is commonly encountered with SS-containing proteins (19–22). After extensive testing and optimization we settled on a disulfide reduction strategy involving TCEP (20, 37). Aliquots (10 μl) were mixed in a 1:1 volume ratio with ice-cold quenching buffer (8 mM urea and 1 mM TCEP-HCl) at a measured pH of 2.3. The samples were then flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C. Prior to analysis the samples were thawed to 0 °C, and the liquid samples were kept on ice for 5 min for TCEP-mediated disulfide reduction. The samples were then diluted with 3 volumes of aqueous formic acid, pH 2.3, to lower the TCEP concentration for protecting the downstream pepsin column. The resulting 60-μl samples were analyzed as outlined below. The reduction/digestion workflow resulted in 60+ peptides for a sequence coverage of ~80%. The digestion patterns of OPGSS and OPGSi were slightly different. Although the use of TCEP significantly improved peptic digestion of the CRD regions, the number of peptides originating from this region was significantly lower than in the DD1/DD2/Tail regions (Figs. S1 and S2). Zero-time point samples (m0) were produced by exposing pre-quenched OPG to D2O labeling solution. Maximally exchanged controls (m100) were generated by incubation of OPG in HDX solution at pH 2.4 for 24 h and 37 °C. In all other aspects, the m0 and m100 samples were treated like the regular time points.

HDX-MS analysis

Quenched aliquots (60 μl) were injected into a nano-ACQUITY UPLC with HDX technology (Waters, Milford, MA) for digestion, desalting, and peptide separation. Online digests were performed on a POROS pepsin column (2.1 × 30 mm, Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA) at 15 °C. The resulting peptides were trapped on a guard column (BEH C18 1.7 μm, 2.1 × 5 mm) and separated on a reversed phase column (BEH C18 1.7 μm, 1 × 100 mm) using a water/acetonitrile gradient in the presence of 0.1% formic acid at 40 μl/min. Peptide mass spectra was recorded on a Waters Synapt G2 instrument with source and desolvation temperatures of 80 and 250 °C, respectively. The cone voltage was set at 20 V, and the electrospray source and desolvation temperatures of 80 and 250 °C, respectively. The cone voltage was set at 20 V, and the electrospray source and desolvation temperatures of 80 and 250 °C, respectively. The cone voltage was set at 20 V, and the electrospray source and desolvation temperatures of 80 and 250 °C, respectively. The cone voltage was set at 20 V, and the electrospray source and desolvation temperatures of 80 and 250 °C, respectively. The cone voltage was set at 20 V, and the electrospray source and desolvation temperatures of 80 and 250 °C, respectively. The cone voltage was set at 20 V, and the electrospray source and desolvation temperatures of 80 and 250 °C, respectively. The cone voltage was set at 20 V, and the electrospray source and desolvation temperatures of 80 and 250 °C, respectively.

Site-directed mutagenesis

Mouse OPG mutants were prepared using published methods (5). Mutations were confirmed by sequencing, and recombinant protein was expressed and purified as described for WT mOPG.

Analytical size-exclusion chromatography

For analyses of mOPG and heparan sulfate dodecasaccharide (H12) complex, purified WT OPG monomer, or mutant OPG monomer (30 μg) were incubated with H12 (2 μg) in 20 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.4, at room temperature for 2 h. All complexes were resolved on an Enrich SEC 650 column (10/300 mm, Bio-Rad) using 20 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.4, at 4 °C.
HDX-MS reveals OPG dimerization interface

Flow cytometry-based binding assay

MC3T3-E1 cells were lifted from the culture dish using Accutase (Bioreagent) and incubated with WT monomer or mutant mOPG monomer (1 μg/ml) in 100 μl of PBS, 0.1% BSA for 1 h at 4 °C. Bound mOPG was stained with goat anti-mouse OPG (400 ng/ml, AF459, R&D Systems) for 1 h at 4 °C, followed by anti-goat IgG-Alexa 647 (1:1000, ThermoFisher Scientific) for 30 min and analyzed by flow cytometry. The apparent binding affinity between OPG and cell-surface HS was calculated by using the geometric means of Alexa 647 fluorescence intensity as the binding signal.

Osteoclastogenesis assay

Co-culture osteoclastogenesis assay—Primary osteoblasts were isolated from calvaria of 5–8-day-old WT mice following an established protocol (38). Osteoblasts (5 × 10^5 cells/well) were seeded in a 96-well plate the day before starting the coculture. Freshly isolated bone marrow cells (from one WT mouse) were suspended in 10 ml of α-minimal essential medium containing 10% FBS and 1 penicillin/streptomycin, 10^-7 M dexamethasone, and 10^-8 M 1α- and 25-dihydroxyvitamin D3. 100 μl of bone marrow cells were added into each well. In selected wells, 1 μg/ml of WT or double mutants OPG monomer (L254A,F385A and W257A,M388A) were added. The unattached cells were removed after 24 h in culture and the medium was replaced. The medium was replaced every 2 days thereafter until the appearance of giant osteoclasts. To visualize osteoclasts, the cells were fixed and stained for TRAP activity using an Leukocyte Acid Phosphatase kit (Sigma). For quantitative measurement of TRAP activity, cells were lysed with 50 μl of lysis buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Nonidet P-40) for 30 min at 4 °C. 10 μl of lysate were then mixed with 50 μl of TRAP assay buffer containing 0.5 M sodium acetate, 10 mM tartrate, and 10 mM p-nitrophenyl phosphate substrate and incubated at 37 °C for 15 min. The reaction was stopped by adding 50 μl of 0.5 N NaOH, and the absorbance at 405 nm was measured by a plate reader.

Mono-culture osteoclastogenesis assay—20 ng/ml of macrophage-colony stimulating factor (Peprotech) and 50 ng/ml of soluble RANKL (prepared in house with endotoxin level <0.1 EU/μg of protein) were used to induce osteoclastogenesis of nonadherent murine bone marrow cells. WT or double mutant OPG were added at 1 μg/ml. After appearance of giant osteoclasts, cells were lysed and assayed for TRAP activity as described above.
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