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Summary

Objective

Fructose consumption is a risk factor for metabolic disease. We recently demonstrated that fibroblast growth factor 21 (FGF21), a metabolic hormone involved in lipid and glucose metabolism, is acutely stimulated in humans by 75 g oral fructose, with peak levels occurring 2 h after consumption. This study reports on the dose dependency and reproducibility of the FGF21 response to fructose.

Methods

Lean, healthy adults drank either five different doses of fructose dissolved in water, each separated by 2 weeks, or the same dose on three occasions, each separated by 1 week.

Results

Fibroblast growth factor 21 levels peaked at 2 h in a dose-dependent manner. No significant increase in FGF21 was seen after consumption of 10 g fructose, while robust increases were seen after drinking solutions containing 30, 50 and 75 g. At 2 h, the minimal fold change of FGF21 was highest following a 75 g fructose drink, and all subjects demonstrated at least a doubling of FGF21 levels following consumption of this dose.

Conclusions

The increase in FGF21 following an oral fructose challenge is dose dependent, with levels peaking at 2 h independent of dose. The FGF21 response to 75 g fructose is also highly reproducible within individuals.

Clinical Implications

By demonstrating that the FGF21 response to fructose is dose dependent and reproducible, this study deepens current understanding of FGF21 fructose dynamics and physiology in humans. This is an important area of clinical interest given associations between fructose intake and a wide variety of metabolic derangements.
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Introduction

Fibroblast growth factor 21 (FGF21) is a member of the endocrine FGF family. It is released into the circulation in states of metabolic stress and requires both an FGF receptor and an obligate co-receptor, beta klotho, in order to exert endocrine, autocrine and paracrine effects. Administration of exogenous FGF21 to rodents leads to insulin-independent glucose uptake into adipocytes, thereby improving glycaemic control (1–4). It also increases energy expenditure and protects against diet-induced obesity (1–4). FGF21 is stimulated in rodent models through nutritional manipulations including fasting and ketogenic diet (1,2); however, there is currently limited understanding of the regulation of FGF21 in humans. Several cross-sectional studies have shown that FGF21 levels are increased in obesity and metabolic disease (5–10), suggesting the possibility of an FGF21-resistant state, similar to obesity-induced insulin and leptin resistance. Elevated FGF21 levels have also
been reported in other acute inflammatory states including sepsis (11) and pancreatitis (12).

Identifying factors that regulate FGF21 in humans is an important and active area of investigation. In contrast to nutritional manipulations in rodents, until recently, there have been no known dietary manipulations that consistently and robustly increase FGF21 levels in humans. It has since been demonstrated that intake of a 75 g fructose beverage leads to a robust increase in FGF21 levels in humans at 2 h, a response that is analogous to the effect of glucose ingestion on insulin secretion (13). A comparable increase in FGF21 levels has also been observed after ingestion of a 75 g oral sucrose load (14); similar changes have not been seen with an oral glucose load (13). A 3 d high-carbohydrate diet (80% carbohydrates, 52 g d⁻¹ fructose) significantly increased FGF21 levels in lean humans (15). Furthermore, baseline fasting FGF21 levels are higher, and the FGF21 response to fructose is exaggerated in humans with metabolic syndrome compared with lean counterparts (13). Understanding the physiological impact of fructose consumption in humans is particularly germane given that fructose consumption has been implicated in the growing obesity epidemic and has been associated with metabolic derangements including insulin resistance and fatty liver (16,17). FGF21 has also been implicated in sweet preference, macronutrient intake and alcohol consumption in humans (14,18), possibly through impacts on the reward system, and has potential to be a future target for modifying human eating behaviours.

In humans, baseline (unstimulated fasting) FGF21 levels vary within individuals, across individuals and across assays (3,12). It has also been showed that the FGF21 response to 75 g of fructose is quite variable across individuals (13,14,19), raising questions about what dose of fructose should be used in large populations to assess the FGF21 response. Reasons for this variability are unclear and are possibly related to differences in gender, age, genetic variants or chronic fructose intake. Furthermore, FGF21 circulates as both a total and active (intact) protein (20); triggers for inactivation of FGF21 are also poorly understood and may account for some of the inter-individual variability (21). A better understanding of the FGF21 fructose response across a range of doses of fructose is critical for understanding the in vivo role of FGF21 as an endocrine hormone and metabolic regulator. This manuscript examines the dose response of FGF21 to oral fructose beverages in humans, as well as the reproducibility of the FGF21 response to a specific dose of fructose and the stability of the ratio of intact (active) to total FGF21 levels in lean humans. We hypothesize that stimulated FGF21 levels will increase correspondingly with greater doses of fructose and that intra-individual variability of the FGF21 response to 75 g of fructose will be low despite differences between individuals.

Methods

Subjects

Subjects were recruited through local advertisement to participate in two different protocols. Written informed consent was obtained from each subject. The protocols were approved by the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Institutional Review Board (clinicaltrials.gov; Identifiers: NCT00968747, NCT2884791). All visits were conducted at the Harvard Catalyst Clinical Research Center at the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center in Boston, Massachusetts, in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Eight lean, healthy subjects were enrolled in the dose response study. The initial screening visit included a medical history, physical exam, baseline laboratory tests and a standard 2 h 75 g oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT). Inclusion criteria were age 18–60, body mass index 19–25 kg m⁻², absence of chronic medical illness and absence of long-term medication use other than oral contraceptive pills and thyroid hormone (stable dose for more than 6 months and Thyroid Stimulating Hormone (TSH) in the normal range at the screening visit). Subjects were excluded if they had impaired glucose tolerance, diabetes, hyperlipidaemia, hypertension, liver disease, kidney disease or a history of fructose intolerance. Using the same inclusion and exclusion criteria, 12 lean, healthy subjects were enrolled in a study examining the reproducibility of the FGF21 response to a 75 g fructose beverage.

Dose response protocol

Following an overnight fast of at least 8 h, subjects consumed five different doses of oral fructose ranging from 10 to 75 g dissolved in 225 mL of water. Each subject consumed every dose of fructose. Study visits were separated by at least 2 weeks, and the order in which the subjects consumed each fructose beverage was randomly assigned. Blood was drawn prior to drinking the fructose beverage and hourly thereafter for 5 h. Subjects also completed an online dietary questionnaire (Vioscreen, Viocare Inc., NJ, USA) that provides data on food frequency consumption over the previous 90 d and allows for assessment of average daily carbohydrate and fructose consumption.

Reproducibility protocol

The dose response protocol yielded data identifying the optimal dose of fructose to be used to assess the
reproducibility of the FGF21 response to fructose. Based on these data, a second cohort of subjects enrolled in the reproducibility of the FGF21 response study drank a 75 g fructose beverage on three occasions, each separated by at least 1 week. All other measurements were the same as those in the dose response protocol.

Biochemical analysis

Serum total FGF21 levels were measured using a commercially available ELISA assay (R&D Systems, Inc., MN, USA), and serum intact FGF21 levels were measured using an intact FGF21 ELISA kit (Eagle Biosciences, Nashua, NH, USA). Samples were collected in aprotinin-treated tubes and stored at –80°C until analysis. Evaluation of baseline screening labs including glucose, triglycerides, total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein and low-density lipoprotein was performed at LabCorp using standard protocols.

Calculations and statistical analysis

Fibroblast growth factor 21 levels are presented as mean ± standard error. Post-ingestion FGF21 levels were compared with fasting values using a linear mixed effects with random-intercept term to account for the within-subject correlations. The time and dose were included as fixed effects with appropriate indicator variables in the model, and subsequently, appropriate contrast statements were constructed to obtain the estimate of differences and their standard errors between different timing and dosing levels. A two-tailed paired t-test was used to compare peak FGF21 fold change at the 2 h time point for each fructose dose. Area under the curve (AUC) for FGF21 was calculated by the trapezoidal method, with incremental AUC (iAUC) calculated by subtracting the area accounted for by baseline values. Correlations between fructose doses and iAUC were calculated using a one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post hoc analysis. Results for one subject after 30 g fructose consumption were excluded as peak FGF21 levels were greater than two standard deviations above average, concerning for inaccurate measurement. Reproducibility of the FGF21 response to 75 g fructose was calculated using repeated measures ANOVA. A p value of ≤0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Dose response study

Baseline characteristics of the study population are summarized in Table 1a. All subjects were lean and without biochemical or clinical evidence of metabolic disease. Baseline (unstimulated, after an overnight fast) FGF21 levels were highly variable, with a mean level of 105.5 ± 32 pg mL⁻¹, range 3–411 pg mL⁻¹.

To evaluate whether the FGF21 response to an oral fructose load is dose dependent, subjects consumed beverages containing 10, 20, 30, 50 and 75 g pure fructose powder dissolved in water. In agreement with previously published data, it was observed that the peak FGF21 level occurred 2 h after ingestion of fructose (Figure 1A–E). There was no significant increase in FGF21 levels 2 h after ingestion of 10 g of fructose (Figure 1A), but there were increases in peak FGF21 levels with higher fructose concentrations (Figure 1B–E). The minimum fructose concentration that led to a statistically significant increase in FGF21 levels from baseline to 2 h peak was 20 g; further increases were seen with 30, 50

| Subjects (M/F) | 8(3/5) |
|---------------|--------|
| Age (years)   | 34 ± 4.9 |
| Body mass index (kg m⁻²) | 23.0 ± 0.5 |
| Waist circumference (cm) | 83.4 ± 2.0 |
| Total cholesterol (mg dL⁻¹) | 156.6 ± 8.5 |
| LDL cholesterol (mg dL⁻¹) | 82.0 ± 5.0 |
| HDL cholesterol (mg dL⁻¹) | 59.4 ± 4.5 |
| Triglycerides (mg dL⁻¹) | 76.1 ± 8.9 |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 118.5 ± 4.3 |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 75.0 ± 3.3 |
| Fasting plasma glucose (mg dL⁻¹) | 83.1 ± 1.7 |
| 2 h OGTT plasma glucose (mg dL⁻¹) | 94.3 ± 6.7 |
| Plasma FGF21 (pg mL⁻¹) | 105.5 ± 32.4 |
| Average fructose consumption (g d⁻¹) | 29.6 ± 6.9 |

Table 1 (a) Baseline characteristics of the study population for the dose response study. Values are mean ± SE. (b) Baseline characteristics of the study population for the dose reproducibility study. Values are mean ± SE.
and 75 g fructose solutions ($p < 0.001$). The absolute peak FGF21 response following consumption of 30, 50 and 75 g of fructose was significantly greater than the peak response observed with 10 g ($p < 0.05$); additionally, the peak response at 75 g was significantly greater than the response seen with 20 g ($p < 0.002$).

To account for individual variability in unstimulated FGF21 levels, we evaluated the fold change from baseline to 2 h peak. There was a dose-dependent increase in the fold change of FGF21, with a 0.3-fold increase in FGF21 following 10 g of fructose, 1.2-fold increase following 20 and 30 g, 2.8-fold increase following 50 g, and 3.3-fold increase following 75 g (Figure 2A).

The fold increase seen following the 10 g fructose beverage was significantly lower than that seen with 20 ($p = 0.01$), 30 ($p = 0.006$), 50 ($p = 0.01$) or 75 g ($p = 0.004$). The peak fold change following 50 g fructose was significantly greater than that of 20 g ($p = 0.05$), while the response following 75 g was significantly greater than that following 20 ($p = 0.009$) and 30 g ($p = 0.036$). Figure 2B and Table 2 demonstrate the incremental response to higher doses of fructose for each individual subject, rather than for the study group as a whole (total study

Table 2: Average and range fold change of fibroblast growth factor 21 response to fructose by dose

| Dose (g) | Average fold change | Range fold change |
|---------|---------------------|-------------------|
| 10      | 0.4 ± 0.6           | –0.4 to 1.2       |
| 20      | 1.2 ± 0.5           | 0.4 to 1.9        |
| 30      | 2.9 ± 4.7           | 0.2 to 14.3       |
| 50      | 2.8 ± 2.1           | 0.3 to 6.6        |
| 75      | 3.2 ± 1.6           | 1.2 to 5.0        |
As demonstrated, the only dose of fructose for which all subjects had at least a 100% increase in FGF21 levels following stimulation was the 75 g dose.

Figure 3 demonstrates the increase in iAUC with each incremental fructose dose. The iAUC of FGF21 response after consumption of 75 g of fructose was significantly greater than that with 10 (p = 0.002), 20 (p = 0.011) or 30 g (p = 0.03). The iAUC with 50 and 75 g were not statistically significantly different despite nearly a twofold absolute difference.

Reproducibility of the fibroblast growth factor 21 response to 75 g fructose

Baseline characteristics of the participants in the reproducibility study are summarized in Table 1. All subjects were lean and without biochemical or clinical evidence of metabolic disease. Mean baseline FGF21 levels were 182.9 ± 38.2 pg mL\(^{-1}\), range 15.7 to 1037.0 (average CV% = 49.87). We found that the FGF21 fold change response to repeated ingestion of the 75 g dose of fructose was also highly reproducible (average CV% = 44.82%). Across all individuals, there was no statistically significant difference in baseline or stimulated fold change FGF21 values, although the amount of inter-individual variability was greater with FGF21 compared with insulin, another secreted peptide (Table 3). To further characterize FGF21/fructose dynamics following ingestion of 75 g fructose, we measured the ratio of intact to total FGF21 in both baseline and stimulated states. The ratio of intact to total FGF21 was approximately 50% and consistent across three separate baseline assessments (44%, 51%, 51%; p = 0.79) and also across three separate stimulated observations (55%, 48%, 49%; p = 0.91).

Discussion

Many cross-sectional studies report that FGF21 levels are increased in obesity and metabolic disease (5, 6), but very few studies have prospectively investigated regulation of FGF21 in humans through nutritional manipulation (18, 22). An oral fructose load is one of the few interventions that has been shown to impact FGF21 levels in humans. Initial data evaluating the fructose response was limited to a 75 g challenge, analogous to the 75 g oral glucose tolerance test. The present study confirms that consumption of 75 g of oral fructose leads to a significant and highly reproducible increase in FGF21 levels in humans 2 h following intake, with a return to baseline levels after 5 h (13).

However, daily dietary consumption of fructose amongst adults in the USA ranges from 30 to 75 g; 80–90% of this is in the form of added sugar (23, 24). In this particular cohort, ad libitum daily fructose consumption was 29.9 ± 6 g. Because of highly variable dietary habits, it was not clear whether 75 g of fructose was the ideal dose to assess the in vivo FGF21 response, prompting the present dose response study.

Herein, it is demonstrated for the first time that the 2 h peak in FGF21 levels following an oral fructose beverage is consistent across a range of doses of fructose, and the magnitude of the peak appears dose dependent. There was only a minimal change in FGF21 following a 10 g fructose beverage, with increasing responses through the 75 g dose. Given that baseline FGF21 levels show considerable inter-individual variability, there was concern that looking solely at peak values may over or underestimate the response for those individuals with very high or low fasting values. Fold change of total FGF21 levels were calculated to account for this variability, and it demonstrated a similar pattern to peak FGF21 responses. Using a threshold of 100% increase (twofold change) in

...
FGF21 as the minimal threshold for significance (15), a 75 g fructose challenge appears to be the optimal dose for studying regulation of FGF21 by fructose in humans, as this was the only dose for which all subjects achieved at least a twofold change above baseline. A twofold increase in FGF21 was seen in only 86% of subjects following consumption of the 50 g fructose solution, raising concern that the 50 g dose may not be provocative enough to detect an impaired response in some individuals.

Once the 75 g fructose dose was established, the focus was shifted to study the reproducibility of the fold change of FGF21 following consumption of a 75 g fructose beverage. As there is known to be variability in baseline FGF21 levels between individuals (5,19), which was confirmed in this study, it was hypothesized that similar inter-individual variability would be seen in the stimulated FGF21 response to 75 g of fructose. The data, however, suggest that the fold change FGF21 response to a 75 g fructose beverage is indeed reproducible, insofar as the fold change of FGF21 following 75 g of fructose was similar on three separate occasions. Additionally, the stable and consistent ratio of intact to total FGF21 levels in both the baseline and unstimulated states indicates that fructose ingestion increases secretion and not just cleavage of the FGF21 protein. The consistency of intact to total FGF21 levels in a stimulated state has not previously been reported.

Minimal side effects were noted with the 75 g fructose beverage; however, nausea, vomiting and diarrhoea were observed with higher doses of fructose (unpublished data), thereby limiting the feasibility of a fructose beverage containing greater than 75 g. These findings therefore suggest that a 75 g fructose challenge is an appropriate dose for future studies designed to assess FGF21/fructose dynamics. Indeed, much larger studies will be helpful for definitively determining whether there are significant differences between 50 and 75 g oral fructose challenges. Larger studies are needed to define more precisely the threshold increase, both absolute and relative, of FGF21 following fructose ingestion in order to assess present or future risk for metabolic disease.

This study has several limitations. First, the sample size is small. Given the large variation seen in response to an oral fructose tolerance test, the small sample size may limit our ability to draw conclusions that apply to larger populations. Further studies are required to understand the mechanisms underlying this variability and implications for metabolic health. It remains unclear whether a robust (or weak) FGF21 response is adaptive and physiologically beneficial or whether it is an early warning signal for risk of developing metabolic disease.

Conclusions

The FGF21 response to oral fructose in humans is dose dependent with peak FGF21 levels occurring 2 h following ingestion of a range of doses of fructose. FGF21 levels are variable both within and between lean, healthy individuals, but this variability is not statistically significant. For the first time, it is demonstrated that the ratio of intact to total FGF21 levels remain stable in the unstimulated and stimulated states. The underlying physiologic implications for the FGF21 response have yet to be elucidated; however, the response appears most robust and is reproducible, with a 75 g fructose challenge. We propose that larger studies confirm this dose as the standard for further investigation of an oral fructose tolerance test.
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