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Abstract: Exposure to metals poses potential health risks, including insulin resistance (IR), to those exposed to them in excess. Limited studies have examined such risks in occupational workers, including welders, and these have yielded inconsistent results. Thus, we examined the associations between exposure to welding metals and IR in welders. We recruited 78 welders and 75 administrative staff from a shipyard located in northern Taiwan. Personal exposure to heavy metals, including chromium (Cr), manganese (Mn), iron (Fe), nickel (Ni), copper (Cu), zinc (Zn), and cadmium (Cd), was monitored through particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of less than 2.5 µm (PM2.5) and urine analysis by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP–MS). After each participant fasted overnight, blood samples were collected and analyzed for IR assessment through updated homeostasis model assessment (HOMA2) modeling. Air sampling in the personal breathing zone was performed during a Monday shift prior to the blood and urine sample collection the following morning. The welders’ median personal Cr, Mn, Fe, Ni, Cu, and Zn airborne PM2.5 levels and urinary Cd levels were significantly higher than those of the administrative staff. After adjustment for covariates, logarithmic PM2.5-Mn, PM2.5-Fe, PM2.5-Cu, and PM2.5-Zn levels were positively correlated with logarithmic fasting plasma glucose (P-FGAC) levels (PM2.5-Mn: $\beta = 0.0105$, 95% C.I.: 0.0027–0.0183; PM2.5-Fe: $\beta = 0.0127$, 95% C.I.: 0.0027–0.0227; PM2.5-Cu: $\beta = 0.0193$, 95% C.I.: 0.0032–0.0355; PM2.5-Zn: $\beta = 0.0132$, 95% C.I.: 0.0005–0.0260). Logarithmic urinary Zn was positively correlated with logarithmic serum insulin and HOMA2-IR levels and negatively correlated with logarithmic HOMA2-insulin sensitivity (%S; $\beta_{\text{insulin}} = 0.2171$, 95% C.I.: 0.0025–0.4318; $\beta_{\text{IR}} = 0.2179$, 95% C.I.: 0.0027–0.4330; $\beta_{\%S} = -0.2180$, 95% C.I.: −0.4334 to −0.0026). We observed that glucose homeostasis was disrupted by Mn, Fe, Cu, and Zn exposure through increasing P-FGAC and IR levels in shipyard welders.
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1. Introduction

Widespread heavy metal hazards perturb both the workplace and environment. Exposure to heavy metals continues to generate serious health impacts on humans. Heavy metals, such as chromium (Cr), manganese (Mn), iron (Fe), nickel (Ni), copper (Cu), zinc (Zn), and cadmium (Cd), can disturb human metabolomics, contributing to morbidity and mortality [1,2]. Industrial processes remain the primary source of heavy metals that may cause impaired health and well-being for workers [3]. Therefore, the recognition, evaluation, and control of heavy metals in the workplace are vital measures for sustainable development in enterprises.
Insulin resistance (IR) is a systemic disorder defined as the compromised ability of insulin to regulate insulin-mediated glucose disposal or inhibit hepatic glucose production and adipose tissue lipolysis. IR also plays a substantial pathophysiological role in type 2 diabetes (T2DM) [4]. Long-term IR has been associated with several metabolic abnormalities and notable public health problems, including cardiovascular disease and abnormalities, visceral adiposity, endothelial dysfunction, kidney disease, hypertension, coronary artery disease, and dyslipidemias [5,6]. The deficiencies and excesses of trace elements were implicated in the increasing risk of T2DM through interfering with blood glucose homeostasis [7,8].

Essential metals, such as Mn, Fe, Cu, and Zn, are essential for the function of various enzymatic systems of the human body. For example, Zn is required for insulin biosynthesis and crystallization, activation of the kinase 3 phosphatidylinositol enzyme, and induction of the translocation of glucose transporter 4 [9,10]. However, an excess intake of these metals could consequently lead to an increased risk of IR. An overload of Fe could modify hepatocytes’ insulin sensitivity by interfering with insulin receptors and intracellular insulin signaling [11]. Additionally, exposure to Cu in excess could create oxidative stress, a factor in the onset and progression of T2DM [12].

In addition to essential metals, toxic metals without any known biological function, such as Cd, have been associated with an increase in the occurrence of diabetes and the risk of metabolic syndrome [13]. The metals could affect hormone states by substituting for essential metals, such as Fe and Zn, in biological systems. Additionally, in vitro models illustrated that metals can catalyze an oxidative stress reaction that leads to decreased insulin gene promoter activity and insulin messenger ribonucleic acid (mRNA) expression in islet β-cells [7].

Both essential and toxic metals are unambiguously present in the environment, and pollution sources could result in various metal profiles [14,15]. Industrial process and operations, such as welding, mining, and refining, continue to be prominent sources of metals and produce unique metal mixtures [15]. Our biomonitoring studies determined that welding fumes heavily contain Cr, Mn, Fe, Ni, Cu, Zn, and Cd. Welders experienced higher concentrations of those metals in their urine than did administrative staff who did not work in welding [16].

Epidemiological studies have investigated the impact of metal exposure on IR responses in the general population [17,18], but these studies have yielded inconsistent results because most of them only measured limited metals. Few studies have examined the associations between metal exposure and insulin homeostasis in occupational workers, including welders. Thus, the evaluation of heavy metals in the workplace and their associations with IR are essential measures of sustainable development in enterprises. This study (1) quantified personal exposure to metals in particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of less than 2.5 µm (PM$_{2.5}$) in welding fumes (2) evaluated the IR of welders and administrative staff and (3) identified associations between exposure to metals from welding fumes and IR in welders and administrative staff.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Ethics
The Institutional Review Board of Tri-Service General Hospital, National Defense Medical Center, Taiwan, approved this study (TSGHIRB 2-106-05-180). All participants voluntarily provided informed consent.

2.2. Study Participants
We conducted a cross-sectional study and recruited 153 healthy male workers, comprising 78 welders and 75 administrative staff, from a shipyard located in northern Taiwan (Figure 1). The welders served as the exposed group that had been chronically exposed to heavy metals, and the administrative staff served as the reference group. We collected participants’ airborne PM$_{2.5}$ samples during a Monday shift prior to a physical examination.
and collected blood and urine samples on Tuesday. Selection criteria comprised men aged 20–65 years with >1 year of employment in the plant, no diagnosis of diabetes or cardiovascular diseases, and no moderate to severe renal dysfunction. Renal function was estimated using the Taiwanese modification of diet in renal disease (TMDRD) formula [19,20]. We also excluded participants with a diagnosis of diabetes or cardiovascular diseases. Trained interviewers met the participants to collect their demographic information, namely age, work experience, height, weight, waist circumference, number of years working in the shipyard, health condition, and information on lifestyle habits, encompassing cigarette smoking and alcohol consumption. Numerous studies have reported that social smokers or occasional smokers are exposed to various health threats, including cardiovascular diseases [21]. Participants who stated that they had no habit of smoking were defined as nonsmokers. Alcohol intake was deemed positive if intake occurred at least 4 days per week.

Figure 1. Geographic location of the study shipyard.

2.3. Exposure Assessment for Metals in Workplace Air

All participants were requested to wear a Personal Environmental Monitor (PEM, SKC Ltd., Blandford, Dorset, UK) sampler with polytetrafluoroethylene filters (diameter: 37 mm, pore size: 0.45 µm, Cat. No. 225-17-04, SKC Ltd.) at a flow rate of 2.0 L/min during their working hours to monitor their personal PM$_{2.5}$ levels of seven targeted heavy metals, namely Cr, Mn, Fe, Ni, Cu, Zn, and Cd. The levels of the targeted heavy metals in the shipyard workers’ personal breathing zone were quantified using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS, iCAP RQ, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) combined with microwave-assisted acid digestion, which provides superior detectability of trace elements [22,23]. The detection limits of Cr, Mn, Fe, Ni, Cu, Zn, and Cd were 5.3, 3.0, 12.3, 5.7, 2.9, 17.9, and 0.7 ng/L, respectively, and were obtained using seven repeated
analyses of deionized water. The measured levels below the lower detection limit were imputed using a monotone imputation method (IBM SPSS 22.0, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). We also collected data from the nearest Taiwan Environmental Protection Agency’s (TWEPA’s) monitoring station in Keelung from the same time period as the personal air sampling to use as control levels.

2.4. Urinary Metal Determination

Urine samples were collected using BD centrifuge tubes (Becton, Dickinson and Company, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) and stored at −80 °C until analysis. They were prepared through centrifugation of samples at 1500 × g for 10 min to remove the supernatant, followed by dilution three times with 1.3% nitric acid (HNO₃), filtration through a 0.22-µm syringe filter, and storage in a plastic centrifuge tube for measuring heavy metals. The levels of Cr, Mn, Fe, Ni, Cu, Zn, and Cd were quantified using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS, iCAP RQ, Thermo Scientific). The detection limits of Cr, Mn, Fe, Ni, Cu, Zn, and Cd were 5.3, 3.0, 12.3, 5.7, 2.9, 17.9, and 0.7 ng/L, respectively, and were obtained using seven repeated analyses of deionized water. The measured levels below the lower detection limit were imputed using a monotone imputation method (IBM SPSS 22.0, IBM Corp.).

2.5. Biochemical Assays

Blood specimens were collected using the BD Vacutainer system (Becton, Dickinson and Company), and biochemical assays were performed immediately after collection. The hexokinase method was used for analyzing fasting plasma glucose (P-FGAC), glycerol phosphate dehydrogenase method for serum triglycerides (S-TGs), catalase elimination method for serum high density lipoprotein (S-HDL), Jaffe method for creatinine, chemiluminescence method for serum insulin (S-insulin), and high-performance liquid chromatography method for blood glycated hemoglobin (B-HbA1c) levels. The levels of P-FGAC, S-TG, S-HDL, and creatinine were measured using the automated ADVIA Chemistry XPT system (Siemens Healthineers AG, Erlangen, Germany) [24]. The S-insulin level was determined using the automated ADVIA Centaur XPT system (Siemens Healthineers AG) [25], and the B-HbA1c level was quantified using the automated D-100 System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Hercules, CA, USA) [26].

2.6. Updated Homeostatic Model Assessment

The parameters of the updated Homeostatic Model Assessment (HOMA2), namely estimated insulin resistance (IR), β cell function (%B), and insulin sensitivity (%S), were obtained using the HOMA2 calculator software developed by the Diabetes Trials Unit at the University of Oxford [27].

2.7. Statistical Analysis

Because of the skewness of the continuous variables, especially the metals (Cr, Mn, Fe, Ni, Cu, Zn, and Cd) and biochemical markers (P-FGAC, S-insulin, and B-HbA1c), descriptive statistics were described as the median and 25th–75th percentile. Categorical variables were described as frequency and percent in descriptive statistics. In inferential statistics, to compare the differences in values and frequencies of risk factors and outcomes between the exposed and reference groups, the Mann–Whitney U and χ² tests were applied. All data from the shipyard welders were then included in single-pollutant multiple linear regression to identify the significant and risky metals to welders’ P-FGAC, S-insulin, B-HbA1c, HOMA2-IR, HOMA2-%B, and HOMA2-%S levels. The welders’ ages, smoking habits, alcohol intake, respirator usage, T2DM family histories, body mass indexes (BMIs), background PM₂.₅ levels, and urinary creatinine levels served as covariates. The multiple linear regression formula is expressed as follows:

\[ y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \ldots + \beta_n x_n + \varepsilon. \]
where $\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \ldots + \beta_n x_n$ represents the best fit line for the data points in the coordinate system of the regression model, and $\varepsilon$ is the residual, which represents the deviation of observed $y$ values from their mean. We also adopted a wild-bootstrap process integrated in IBM SPSS statistics software for Windows version 22.0 (IBM SPSS 22.0, IBM Corp.) to quantify the uncertainty estimation of the glucose homeostasis markers. The number of samples was set to 1000, and the results of the uncertainty analysis are presented in the Supplementary Materials. Statistical significance was set at $\alpha = 0.05$ in all tests. Statistical analysis was conducted using IBM SPSS statistics software.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Characteristics of Study Participants

The demographic characteristics of the 153 workers by job title are presented in Table 1. The study population consisted of 78 welders with an average age of $46.7 \pm 11.1$ years who served as welders for an average of $24.6 \pm 15.8$ years and 75 administrative staff with an average age of $44.1 \pm 13.4$ who served as administrative staff for an average of $18.9 \pm 16.5$ years. No statistically significant differences were noted in age or alcohol intake proportion between the welders and administrative staff. However, compared with the administrative staff, the welders had served significantly more years in their profession, had increased smoking habits, wore respirators during their shift more often, and had significantly lower proportions of high school diplomas and family histories of T2DM.

Table 1. Sociodemographic characteristics of participants by job title.

|                      | Welders | Administrative Staff | $p$-Value |
|----------------------|---------|----------------------|-----------|
| Gender               |         |                      | N/A       |
| Male                 | 78 (100.0) | 75 (100.0)         |           |
| Gender               |         |                      | N/A       |
| Male                 | 78 (100.0) | 75 (100.0)         |           |
| Age (years, (mean ± SD)) $^a$ | 46.7 ± 11.1 | 44.1 ± 13.4         | 0.51      |
| Age (years, (mean ± SD)) $^a$ | 46.7 ± 11.1 | 44.1 ± 13.4         | 0.51      |
| Job-year (years (mean ± SD)) $^a$ | 24.6 ± 15.8 | 18.9 ± 16.5         | 0.04      |
| Job-year (years (mean ± SD)) $^a$ | 24.6 ± 15.8 | 18.9 ± 16.5         | 0.04      |
| Education $^b$       |         |                      | <0.001    |
| College degree or above | 8 (10.3)     | 44 (58.7)            |           |
| College degree or above | 8 (10.3)     | 44 (58.7)            |           |
| Under high school diploma | 70 (92.7)   | 31 (41.3)            |           |
| Smoking habit $^b$   |         |                      | 0.04      |
| Yes                  | 47 (60.3) | 33 (44.0)            |           |
| Yes                  | 47 (60.3) | 33 (44.0)            |           |
| No                   | 31 (39.7) | 42 (56.0)            |           |
| Alcohol intake $^b$  |         |                      | 0.57      |
| Yes                  | 41 (52.6) | 36 (48.0)            |           |
| Yes                  | 41 (52.6) | 36 (48.0)            |           |
| No                   | 37 (47.4) | 39 (52.0)            |           |
| No                   | 37 (47.4) | 39 (52.0)            |           |
| With regular respirator use $^b$ | 39 (50.0)   | 11 (14.7)            | <0.001    |
| With regular respirator use $^b$ | 39 (50.0)   | 11 (14.7)            | <0.001    |
| Yes                  | 39 (50.0) | 64 (85.3)            |           |
| Yes                  | 39 (50.0) | 64 (85.3)            |           |
| No                   | 71 (91.0) | 16 (21.3)            | 0.03      |
| No                   | 71 (91.0) | 16 (21.3)            | 0.03      |

$^a$ $p$ values were obtained by performing the Mann–Whitney U test. $^b$ $p$ values were obtained by performing the $\chi^2$ test. N/A: Not applicable.

#### 3.2. Comparisons of PM$_{2.5}$ and Urinary Metal Levels between Exposed and Reference Groups

A comparison of the median levels of personal air sampling PM$_{2.5}$, background PM$_{2.5}$, and metals in the PM$_{2.5}$, and urine between the welders and administrative staff is presented in Table 2. The median personal sampling, Cr, Mn, Fe, Ni, Cu, Zn, and Cd PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations of the welders were $1013.1, 0.224, 40.25, 200.9, 0.120, 0.653, 30.2$, and $0.0072 \mu g/m^3$, respectively, and significantly exceeded the median levels of the administrative staff, which measured $185.0, 0.055, 0.63, 7.9, 0.067, 0.167, 5.9$, and $0.0036 \mu g/m^3$, respectively. Furthermore, the median urinary Cd concentration of welders ($0.66 ng/mL$) was significantly higher than that of the administrative staff ($0.57 ng/mL$). No statistically
significant differences in the background PM$_{2.5}$ levels were observed between the welders and administrative staff.

### Table 2. PM$_{2.5}$ and urinary metal levels by job title.

|                          | Welders $(n = 78)$ | Administrative Staff $(n = 75)$ | p-Value $^a$ |
|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|
| Background PM$_{2.5}$ level (µg/m$^3$) | 19.9 (6.1–27.6) | 19.9 (13.4–27.8) | 0.379 |
| Personal exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ (µg/m$^3$) | 1013.1 (422.8–3300.0) | 185.0 (74.1–578.9) | $<0.001$ |
| Metal levels in PM$_{2.5}$ $^c$ | 0.224 (0.102–0.623) | 0.055 (0.010–0.162) | $<0.001$ |
| Personal PM$_{2.5}$-Cr (µg/m$^3$) | 40.25 (4.14–250.71) | 7.9 (2.1–31.5) | $<0.001$ |
| Personal PM$_{2.5}$-Mn (µg/m$^3$) | 199.9 (55.9–745.0) | 0.63 (0.13–2.79) | $<0.001$ |
| Personal PM$_{2.5}$-Ni (µg/m$^3$) | 0.120 (0.073–0.296) | 0.067 (0.037–0.124) | $<0.001$ |
| Personal PM$_{2.5}$-Fe (µg/m$^3$) | 0.653 (0.280–1.559) | 0.167 (0.080–0.576) | $<0.001$ |
| Personal PM$_{2.5}$-Zn (µg/m$^3$) | 15.8 (17.0–94.6) | 5.9 (2.9–14.3) | $<0.001$ |
| Personal PM$_{2.5}$-Cd (µg/m$^3$) | 0.0072 (0.0030–0.0228) | 0.0036 (0.0018–0.0087) | 0.009 |
| Biomarkers of internal dose | 2.6 (2.4–3.2) | 2.5 (2.1–3.0) | 0.061 |
| U-Cr (ng/mL) | 3.5 (3.2–4.5) | 2.7 (2.4–3.5) | 0.004 |
| U-Mn (ng/mL) | 5.6 (4.8–6.9) | 3.7 (3.3–4.5) | 0.001 |
| U-Fe (ng/mL) | 23.8 (18.9–27.6) | 21.8 (18.9–25.6) | 0.001 |
| U-Ni (ng/mL) | 10.2 (5.6–13.2) | 9.2 (5.6–11.2) | 0.038 |
| U-Cu (ng/mL) | 3.5 (3.0–4.0) | 2.5 (2.0–3.0) | 0.001 |
| U-Zn (ng/mL) | 10.2 (8.0–12.0) | 8.9 (7.0–10.0) | 0.001 |
| U-Cd (ng/mL) | 0.01 (0.003–0.03) | 0.005 (0.002–0.01) | 0.001 |

$^a$ p values were obtained using the Mann–Whitney U test. $^b$ Background PM$_{2.5}$ levels were obtained by averaging the data from the nearest TWEPA monitoring station during the same time period as personal PM$_{2.5}$ air sampling. $^c$ Personal exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ levels was measured through personal PM$_{2.5}$ air sampling during a working shift.

3.3. Comparisons of Physical and Biochemical Markers between Exposed and Reference Groups

A comparison of the physical and biochemical markers, including the HOMA2 parameters, between the welders and administrative staff is presented in Table 3. The median P-FGAC and HOMA2-%S of welders (P-FGAC: 95.0 mg/dL; HOMA2-%S: 88.0 mg/dL) were significantly higher than those of the administrative staff (P-FGAC: 91.0 mg/dL; HOMA2-%S: 67.6 mg/dL). By contrast, the median waist circumference and S-insulin, HOMA2-IR, and HOMA2-%B levels of the welders (waist circumference: 85.0 cm; S-insulin: 8.6 mU/L; HOMA2-IR: 1.13 mU/L; HOMA2-%B: 92.8 mU/L) were significantly lower than those of the administrative staff (waist circumference: 89.0 cm; S-insulin: 11.8 mU/L; HOMA2-IR: 1.48 mU/L; HOMA2-%B: 117.3 mU/L). The differences in BMI, systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), and levels of B-HbA1c, S-triglycerides, S-HDL, and serum and urinary creatinine between the welders and administrative staff did not reach statistical significance. Notably, the eGFR obtained by adopting the TM-DRD formula was significantly lower in the welders (79.5 mL/min/1.73 m$^2$) than in the administrative staff (85.4 mL/min/1.73 m$^2$).

### Table 3. Physical and biochemical markers by job title.

|                          | Welders $(n = 78)$ | Administrative Staff $(n = 75)$ | p-Value $^a$ |
|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|
| BMI (kg/m$^2$) | 24.6 (22.6–26.7) | 25.5 (23.6–28.3) | 0.034 |
| Waist circumference (cm) | 85.0 (81.0–91.0) | 89.0 (83.0–96.0) | 0.024 |
| SBP (mmHg) | 128.7 (117.3–136.3) | 129.7 (118.0–141.0) | 0.335 |
| DBP (mmHg) | 77.8 (70.0–87.3) | 79.0 (69.7–85.7) | 0.945 |
| P-FGAC (mg/dL) | 95.0 (91.0–101.0) | 91.0 (87.0–97.0) | 0.004 |
| B-HbA1c (%) | 5.4 (5.2–5.7) | 5.4 (5.2–5.7) | 0.691 |
| S-triglycerides (mg/dL) | 100.5 (82.0–139.0) | 102.0 (64.0–155.0) | 0.569 |
| S-HDL (mg/dL) | 50.5 (44.0–58.0) | 47.0 (41.0–53.0) | 0.038 |
Table 3. Cont.

|                     | Welders  | Administrative Staff |
|---------------------|----------|----------------------|
|                     | (n = 78) | (n = 75)             |
|                     | Median   | Median               | p-Value $^a$ |
|                     | (25th–75th Percentile) | (25th–75th Percentile) |           |
| S-insulin (mU/L)    | 8.6 (6.2–13.1) | 11.8 (6.5–16.4) | 0.011     |
| HOMA2-IR            | 1.13 (0.81–1.70) | 1.48 (0.84–2.12) | 0.015     |
| HOMA2-%B            | 92.8 (71.8–112.4) | 117.3 (86.4–181.8) | <0.001    |
| HOMA2-%S            | 88.0 (58.7–123.8) | 67.6 (47.1–119.7) | 0.015     |
| S-creatinine (mg/dL)| 0.92 (0.84–0.98) | 0.88 (0.81–0.96) | 0.131     |
| TMDRD (mL/min/1.73 m$^2$) | 79.5 (72.0–86.5) | 85.4 (76.8–90.9) | 0.031     |
| U-creatinine (mg/dL)| 120.8 (88.4–176.8) | 108.9 (78.2–161.2) | 0.344     |

$^a$ p values were obtained using the Mann–Whitney U test.

3.4. Correlation between Metals in PM$_{2.5}$ and Urinary Excretion of Metals

The correlation among the logarithmized levels of personal air sampling PM$_{2.5}$, metals in PM$_{2.5}$, and the urinary excretion of metals in the 78 shipyard welders is presented in Table 4. Personal air sampling PM$_{2.5}$ was significantly and positively correlated with U-Zn and U-Cd, and PM$_{2.5}$–Zn levels were significantly and positively correlated with U-Zn.

Table 4. Spearman’s correlation coefficients among logarithmized PM$_{2.5}$ and urinary metals in shipyard welders. (n = 78).

|                     | Log U-Metals (ng/mL) |
|---------------------|----------------------|
|                     | U-Cr | U-Mn | U-Fe | U-Ni | U-Cu | U-Zn | U-Cd |
| Log Personal PM$_{2.5}$-metals (µg/m$^3$) | 0.041 | -0.062 | -0.135 | -0.209 | -0.076 | 0.358 ** | 0.236 * |
| Personal PM$_{2.5}$ | 0.108 | -0.062 | -0.135 | -0.209 | -0.076 | 0.358 ** | 0.236 * |
| Personal PM$_{2.5}$-Cr | 0.183 | -0.077 | -0.143 | -0.143 | -0.143 | 0.162 | 0.247 * |
| Personal PM$_{2.5}$-Mn | 0.108 | -0.062 | -0.135 | -0.209 | -0.076 | 0.358 ** | 0.236 * |
| Personal PM$_{2.5}$-Fe | 0.135 | -0.209 | -0.076 | 0.358 ** | 0.236 * |
| Personal PM$_{2.5}$-Ni | -0.076 | 0.358 ** | 0.236 * |
| Personal PM$_{2.5}$-Cu | 0.358 ** | 0.236 * |
| Personal PM$_{2.5}$-Zn | 0.236 * |
| Personal PM$_{2.5}$-Cd | 0.095 |

$^*$ p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01.

3.5. Effects of Metals in PM$_{2.5}$ on Plasma Glucose, S-Insulin, B-HbA1c, and HOMA2 Parameters

The effects of metals in PM$_{2.5}$ on the P-FGAC, S-insulin, B-HbA1c, and HOMA2 parameters in the unadjusted model and the covariate-adjusted model in welders are presented in Table 5. After adjustment for covariates, namely age, smoking status, alcohol intake, regular use of a respirator during work, family history of T2DM, BMI, and background PM$_{2.5}$, we observed that the logarithmized PM$_{2.5}$-Mn, PM$_{2.5}$-Fe, PM$_{2.5}$-Cu, and PM$_{2.5}$-Zn levels were significantly and positively correlated with the logarithmized P-FGAC (Mn: $\beta = 0.0105, 95\%$ C.I.: 0.0027–0.0183; Fe: $\beta = 0.0127, 95\%$ C.I.: 0.0027–0.0227; Cu: $\beta = 0.0193, 95\%$ C.I.: 0.0032–0.0355; Zn: $\beta = 0.0132, 95\%$ C.I.: 0.0005–0.0260).

3.6. Effects of Urinary Metals on Plasma Glucose, S-Insulin, B-HbA1c, and HOMA2 Parameters

The effects of urinary metals on the P-FGAC, S-insulin, B-HbA1c, and HOMA2 parameters in the unadjusted and covariate-adjusted models for welders are presented in Table 6. After adjusting for the covariates, namely age, smoking status, alcohol intake, regular use of respirator during work, family history of T2DM, BMI, and urinary creatinine, we observed that the logarithmized U-Zn was significantly correlated with increased logarithmized S-insulin and HOMA2-IR levels ($\beta_{\text{insulin}} = 0.2171, 95\%$ C.I.: 0.0025–0.4318; $\beta_{\text{IR}} = 0.2179, 95\%$ C.I.: 0.0027–0.4330) and decreased logarithmized HOMA2-%S levels ($\beta_{\%S} = -0.2180, 95\%$ C.I.: -0.4334 to -0.0026).
Table 5. Effects of PM$_{2.5}$ metals on glucose homeostasis markers in shipyard welders. ($n = 78$).

|                      | Log P-FGAC (mg/dL) | Log B-HbA1c (%) | Log S-Insulin (mU/L) | Log HOMA2-IR | Log HOMA2-%B | Log HOMA2-%S |
|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|                      | $\beta$ (95% C.I.) | $\beta$ (95% C.I.) | $\beta$ (95% C.I.) | $\beta$ (95% C.I.) | $\beta$ (95% C.I.) | $\beta$ (95% C.I.) |
| Log PM$_{2.5}$-Cr ($\mu$g/m$^3$) | $-0.0035$ (-0.0159–0.0090) | $0.0221$ (-0.0100–0.0058) | $0.0274$ (-0.0387–0.0935) | $0.0263$ (-0.0394–0.0920) | $0.0252$ (-0.0198–0.0701) | $-0.0263$ (-0.0921–0.0394) |
| Log PM$_{2.5}$-Cr ($\mu$g/m$^3$) | $0.0109$ (-0.0009–0.0227) | $0.0052$ (-0.0023–0.0127) | $0.0220$ (-0.0442–0.0883) | $0.0245$ (-0.0419–0.0908) | $-0.0066$ (-0.0465–0.0332) | $-0.0246$ (-0.0910–0.0419) |
| Log PM$_{2.5}$-Mn ($\mu$g/m$^3$) | $0.0022$ (-0.0065–0.0108) | $-0.0008$ (-0.0003–0.0047) | $0.0183$ (-0.0274–0.0641) | $0.0186$ (-0.0229–0.0641) | $0.0081$ (-0.0233–0.0394) | $-0.0187$ (-0.0642–0.0268) |
| Log PM$_{2.5}$-Mn ($\mu$g/m$^3$) | $0.0105$ (0.0027–0.0183) | $0.0021$ (-0.0030–0.0072) | $0.0276$ (-0.0168–0.0720) | $0.0297$ (-0.0147–0.0741) | $0.0019$ (-0.0288–0.0250) | $-0.0298$ (-0.0743–0.0146) |
| Log PM$_{2.5}$-Fe ($\mu$g/m$^3$) | $0.0044$ (0.0071–0.0159) | $0.0009$ (-0.0065–0.0082) | $0.0198$ (-0.0415–0.0881) | $0.0206$ (-0.0403–0.0816) | $0.0046$ (-0.0374–0.0466) | $-0.0207$ (-0.0817–0.0403) |
| Log PM$_{2.5}$-Fe ($\mu$g/m$^3$) | $0.0127$ (0.0027–0.0227) | $0.0034$ (-0.0031–0.0100) | $0.0324$ (-0.0245–0.0894) | $0.0350$ (-0.0220–0.0920) | $-0.0030$ (-0.0375–0.0315) | $-0.0351$ (-0.0922–0.0219) |
| Log PM$_{2.5}$-Ni ($\mu$g/m$^3$) | $-0.0071$ (-0.0292–0.0151) | $-0.0058$ (-0.0198–0.0082) | $0.0707$ (-0.0461–0.1875) | $0.0680$ (-0.0482–0.1841) | $0.0616$ (-0.0177–0.1409) | $-0.0680$ (-0.1842–0.0483) |
| Log PM$_{2.5}$-Ni ($\mu$g/m$^3$) | $0.0148$ (-0.0050–0.0345) | $0.0042$ (-0.0084–0.0167) | $0.0667$ (-0.0422–0.1756) | $0.0694$ (-0.0397–0.1785) | $0.0162$ (-0.0497–0.0821) | $-0.0694$ (-0.1786–0.0399) |
| Log PM$_{2.5}$-Cu ($\mu$g/m$^3$) | $-0.0018$ (-0.0198–0.0161) | $0.0074$ (-0.0187–0.0038) | $0.0658$ (-0.0285–0.1601) | $0.0640$ (-0.0298–0.1578) | $0.0479$ (-0.0164–0.1123) | $-0.0644$ (-0.1583–0.0295) |
| Log PM$_{2.5}$-Cu ($\mu$g/m$^3$) | $0.0193$ (0.0032–0.0355) | $0.0017$ (-0.0089–0.0122) | $0.0645$ (-0.0265–0.1555) | $0.0679$ (-0.0232–0.1590) | $0.0056$ (-0.0498–0.0610) | $-0.0683$ (-0.1596–0.0229) |
| Log PM$_{2.5}$-Zn ($\mu$g/m$^3$) | $0.0015$ (-0.0122–0.0152) | $-0.0013$ (-0.0099–0.0122) | $0.0186$ (-0.0540–0.0912) | $0.0186$ (-0.0536–0.0985) | $0.0093$ (-0.0403–0.0590) | $-0.0187$ (-0.0909–0.0536) |
| Log PM$_{2.5}$-Zn ($\mu$g/m$^3$) | $0.0132$ (0.0005–0.0260) | $0.0010$ (-0.0073–0.0092) | $0.0565$ (-0.0047–0.1358) | $0.0676$ (-0.0027–0.1380) | $0.0184$ (-0.0246–0.0614) | $-0.0676$ (-0.1381–0.0028) |
| Log PM$_{2.5}$-Cd ($\mu$g/m$^3$) | $-0.0033$ (-0.0168–0.0102) | $-0.0038$ (-0.0123–0.0048) | $0.0158$ (-0.0563–0.0878) | $0.0150$ (-0.0567–0.0866) | $0.0169$ (-0.0232–0.0860) | $-0.0148$ (-0.0865–0.0569) |
| Log PM$_{2.5}$-Cd ($\mu$g/m$^3$) | $0.0030$ (-0.0088–0.0148) | $0.0004$ (-0.0071–0.0078) | $0.0107$ (-0.0542–0.0757) | $0.0115$ (-0.0536–0.0767) | $0.0011$ (-0.0379–0.0401) | $-0.0114$ (-0.0766–0.0538) |

* Unadjusted model. $^b$ Covariates: age (years), smoking habit (yes/no), alcohol intake (yes/no), regular respirator use (yes/no), family history of T2DM (yes/no), log BMI (kg/m$^2$), log background PM$_{2.5}$ ($\mu$g/m$^3$).

$\beta$ $p < 0.05$; $^* \beta$ $p < 0.01$ against the null.
Table 6. Effects of urinary metals on glucose homeostasis markers in shipyard welders. (n = 78).

|                          | Log P-FGAC (mg/dL) β (95% C.I.) | Log B-HbA1c (%) β (95% C.I.) | Log S-Insulin (mU/L) β (95% C.I.) | Log HOMA2-IR β (95% C.I.) | Log HOMA2-%B β (95% C.I.) | Log HOMA2-%S β (95% C.I.) |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Log U-Cr (ng/mL) a       | −0.0381 (−0.0895−0.0134)        | −0.0282 (−0.0606−0.0043)      | 0.0126 (−0.2648−0.2900)          | 0.0018 (−0.2739−0.2775)  | 0.0836 (−0.1050−0.2721)  | −0.0221 (−0.2781−0.2739) |
| Log U-Cr (ng/mL) b       | −0.0193 (−0.0675−0.0290)        | −0.0255 (−0.0546−0.0035)      | 0.0869 (−0.1776−0.3513)          | 0.0790 (−0.1862−0.3442)  | 0.0978 (−0.0617−0.2572)  | −0.0793 (−0.3448−0.1863) |
| Log U-Mn (ng/mL) a       | −0.0047 (−0.0463−0.0368)        | −0.0138 (−0.0400−0.0212)      | −0.1913 (−0.4080−0.0254)         | −0.1898 (−0.4052−0.0255) | −0.1204 (−0.2689−0.0281) | 0.1897 (−0.0259−0.4053)  |
| Log U-Mn (ng/mL) b       | 0.0285 (−0.0124−0.0694)         | 0.0013 (−0.0267−0.0240)       | −0.1065 (−0.3320−0.1190)         | −0.0983 (−0.3245−0.1279) | −0.1276 (−0.2620−0.0069) | 0.0981 (−0.1285−0.3246)  |
| Log U-Fe (ng/mL) a       | 0.0166 (−0.0578−0.0910)         | −0.0252 (−0.0721−0.0217)      | 0.0460 (−0.3502−0.4423)          | 0.0492 (−0.3446−0.4430)  | −0.0019 (−0.2727−0.2689) | −0.0487 (−0.4429−0.3459) |
| Log U-Fe (ng/mL) b       | 0.0162 (−0.0475−0.0799)         | −0.0275 (−0.0660−0.0110)      | 0.0566 (−0.2924−0.4056)          | 0.0597 (−0.2900−0.4095)  | 0.0059 (−0.2062−0.2181)  | −0.0591 (−0.4093−0.2911) |
| Log U-Ni (ng/mL) a       | 0.0001 (−0.0260−0.0261)         | 0.0005 (−0.0160−0.0170)       | −0.0861 (−0.2038−0.0715)         | −0.0656 (−0.2024−0.0712) | −0.0449 (−0.1390−0.0491) | 0.0653 (−0.0717−0.2022)  |
| Log U-Ni (ng/mL) b       | 0.0021 (−0.0200−0.0243)         | 0.0024 (−0.0111−0.0160)       | −0.0511 (−0.1717−0.0694)         | −0.0504 (−0.1712−0.0705) | −0.0388 (−0.1118−0.0342) | 0.0500 (−0.0710−0.1710)  |
| Log U-Cu (ng/mL) a       | −0.0358 (−0.0848−0.0132)        | −0.0217 (−0.0527−0.0094)      | −0.0310 (−0.2949−0.2329)         | −0.0396 (−0.3018−0.2226) | 0.0487 (−0.1313−0.2287)  | 0.0395 (−0.2230−0.3020)  |
| Log U-Cu (ng/mL) b       | −0.0192 (−0.0645−0.0261)        | −0.0121 (−0.0396−0.0157)      | −0.0913 (−0.3397−0.1572)         | −0.0948 (−0.3438−0.1541) | −0.0249 (−0.1763−0.1266) | 0.0948 (−0.1544−0.3441)  |
| Log U-Zn (ng/mL) a       | −0.0237 (−0.0547−0.0073)        | −0.0177 (−0.0372−0.0018)      | 0.1780 (0.0158−0.3402)           | 0.1686 (0.0070−0.3303)  | 0.1675 (0.0599−0.2752)  | −0.1690 (−0.3308−0.0072) |
| Log U-Zn (ng/mL) b       | 0.0165 (−0.0236−0.0567)         | 0.0122 (−0.0367−0.0123)       | 0.2171 (0.0025−0.4318)           | 0.2179 (0.0027−0.4330)  | 0.1148 (−0.0165−0.2462)  | −0.2180 (−0.4334−0.0026) |
| Log U-Cd (ng/mL) a       | 0.0360 (−0.0006−0.0726)         | 0.0278 (0.0049−0.0507)        | −0.0357 (−0.2349−0.1635)         | −0.0272 (−0.2253−0.1708) | −0.0954 (−0.2299−0.0390) | 0.0256 (−0.1727−0.2238)  |
| Log U-Cd (ng/mL) b       | 0.0388 (−0.0079−0.0855)         | −0.0043 (−0.0334−0.0249)      | 0.1044 (−0.1552−0.3641)          | 0.1112 (−0.1489−0.3712)  | −0.0065 (−0.1650−0.1519) | −0.1113 (−0.3736−0.1470) |

a Unadjusted model. b Covariates: age (years), smoking habit (yes/no), alcohol intake (yes/no), regular respirator use (yes/no), family history of T2DM (yes/no), Log BMI (kg/m²), Log U–creatinine (mg/dL).

* p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01 against the null.
4. Discussion

We provided evidence of the relationships among PM$_{2.5}$ metals, urinary metals, and biomarkers linked to glucose homeostasis and IR measures. Notably, higher Mn, Fe, Cu, and Zn levels in PM$_{2.5}$ were significantly associated with increased P-FGAC. Urinary Zn was also significantly linked to IR measures in shipyard welders. Exposure to metals in welding fumes in a shipyard environment may increase the risk of diabetes. To our knowledge, this study is the first to examine the glucose homeostasis and IR responses induced by metal exposure in shipyard welders.

Typical risk factors of developing IR and prediabetes include a family history of T2DM, an age of $\geq 45$ years, being overweight or obese, a lack of physical activity, hypertension or hyperlipidemia, cigarette smoking, and excessive alcohol use [28]. In this study, most of the covariates in the linear regression analysis were adjusted for.

In this study, the PM$_{2.5}$ impactor system was adopted to assess welding fume exposure. Most of the parent materials are mild steel used in welding processes for shipbuilding and repairing. Cena et al. investigated the particle size distributions of mild steel gas metal arc welding fumes and reported that a majority of particles in welding fumes had cutoff diameters ranging from 0.01 to 1.0 $\mu$m [29]. Additionally, Rice et al. revealed that PM$_{2.5}$ can penetrate deep into the lungs, and therefore, lead to severe health problems [30]. We observed the median PM$_{2.5}$-Mn level of the welders was higher than the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists’ (ACGIH’s) 20 $\mu$g/m$^3$ threshold limit value (TLV) for respirable Mn fumes. Both the welders’ and administrative staff’s median PM$_{2.5}$-Cd levels were lower than the ACGIH’s 2 $\mu$g/m$^3$ TLV for respirable Cd, but the welders’ median levels of PM$_{2.5}$-Cd and urinary Cd were significantly higher than the administrative staff’s. The median levels of PM$_{2.5}$-Fe and PM$_{2.5}$-Zn of the study participants were lower than the ACGIH’s TLV for respirable iron oxide and zinc oxide, and no significant difference was observed in urinary Fe and Zn levels between the exposed group and the unexposed controls. The welders had higher median exposure levels of PM$_{2.5}$-Cr, PM$_{2.5}$-Ni, and PM$_{2.5}$-Cu than did the administrative staff. However, currently, neither the United States Occupational Safety and Health Administration, the United States National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, nor the ACGIH have standards for respirable Cr, Ni, or Cu [31].

The relationship between occupational metal exposure and an increased risk of diabetes was reported in steel production workers [32], coke oven workers [33], and nonferrous metal production workers [34,35]. After adjusting for age, Cappelletti et al. determined a significant 1.39 times increase in the relative risk of diabetes in steel production workers compared with the general population from the same province [32]. Liu et al. observed that urinary Cu, Zn, and Cd levels were significantly higher in both diabetic and hyperglycemic coke oven workers compared with normoglycemic workers. Furthermore, the increased urinary Cu and Zn levels were related to the elevation of the diabetes and hyperglycemia odds ratios, respectively [33]. An epidemiological study revealed that significantly elevated high-fasting-glucose (fasting glucose $\geq 93.6$ mg/dL) odds ratios were observed in the groups with the highest quartiles of urinary Ni ($\geq 9.06$ $\mu$g/L) and Zn ($\geq 584.43$ $\mu$g/L) levels. The trend tests of the quartiles of urinary Ni and Zn levels on the risks of high-FPG reached statistical significance [34]. Yang et al. also observed a significant increase in log-transformed P-FGAC in nonferrous production workers with urinary Zn levels over 369 $\mu$g/L; the trend tests of the quartiles of urinary Zn levels on P-FGAC also reached statistical significance [35]. The present study demonstrated the significant relationship between occupational Mn, Fe, Cu, and Zn exposure and blood glucose homeostasis in shipyard welders.

Although epidemiological studies have established the relationship between PM and the increased risk of T2DM, reports on the mechanisms for the relationship between inhaled metal particles and diabetes remain limited. Chuang et al. observed that intratracheal-instilled exposure to nanosized zinc oxide induced systemic inflammation and oxidative stress in Sprague–Dawley rats [36]. In an animal study, Pan et al. highlighted the metabolic
mechanisms that may be essential to the responses to zinc oxide nanoparticles [37]. Pavello et al. determined that steel production workers occupationally exposed to Fe, Ni, Cu, and Zn in PM$_{10}$ (PM with an aerodynamic diameter of less than 10 µm) were positively associated with elevated expressions of micro-RNA miR-196b [38], which is involved in a posttranscriptional regulation mechanism of insulin biosynthesis in mice [39]. By contrast, these metals also play vital roles in regulating normal physiological functions involved in the homeostasis of glucose and insulin. For example, zinc ions target to tyrosine phosphatase 1B, which is a crucial regulator for insulin receptor phosphorylation [40]. Zinc also induces glucose transport into cells through enhancing the insulin signaling pathway [41]. Ahn et al. determined a significantly negative relationship between levels of serum zinc and HOMA-IR in a Korean general population without diabetes [42].

In this study, the urinary metals served as the biomarkers of exposure. Many metals, including Cd, that cause chronic kidney disease (CKD) [20,43–45] have also been associated with renal tubular dysfunction in welders [46]. Moreover, CKD is a common comorbid disease in patients with T2DM [47]. In this study, participants with an eGFR of less than 60 mL/min/1.73 m$^2$ were excluded to avoid the confounding bias of renal dysfunction in the relationship between urinary metals and IR.

This study has certain limitations. First, the lack of data on dietary essential and micronutrient intake possibly confounded the results concerning urinary metal excretion. The other limitation was the lack of data on the exposure to metals outside occupational settings, such as from vehicle traffic emissions. However, the shipyard workers spent >10 h/day at the shipyard, including work and rest periods, but only <1 h/day in traffic. The contribution of traffic sources to the metal exposure of shipyard workers is thus assumed to be limited.

5. Conclusions

In the present study, the airborne Mn, Fe, Cu, and Zn levels in PM$_{2.5}$ were observed as significant risk factors for P-FGAC. Urinary Zn was also significantly correlated with increasing IR measures. Because of the long-term development of diabetes, a follow-up study on the welders must be conducted. Furthermore, developing immediate preventive measures, including adequate ventilation and the use of personal protection equipment (gloves and respirators), to protect the health of shipyard workers is critical.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/su132413924/s1. Table S1: Effects of PM$_{2.5}$ metals on Log P–FGAC (mg/dL) in shipyard welders, Table S2: Effects of PM$_{2.5}$ metals on Log B–HbA1c (%) in shipyard welders, Table S3: Effects of PM$_{2.5}$ metals on Log S–insulin (mU/L) in shipyard welders, Table S4: Effects of PM$_{2.5}$ metals on Log HOMA2–IR in shipyard welders, Table S5: Effects of PM$_{2.5}$ metals on Log HOMA2–%B in shipyard welders, Table S6: Effects of PM$_{2.5}$ metals on Log HOMA2–%S in shipyard welders, Table S7: Effects of urinary metals on Log P–FGAC (mg/dL) in shipyard welders. ($n=78$), Table S8: Effects of urinary metals on Log B–HbA1c (%) in shipyard welders. ($n=78$); Table S9: Effects of urinary metals on Log S–insulin (mU/L) in shipyard welders. ($n=78$); Table S10: Effects of urinary metals on Log HOMA2–IR in shipyard welders. ($n=78$), Table S11: Effects of urinary metals on Log HOMA2–%B in shipyard welders. ($n=78$), Table S12: Effects of urinary metals on Log HOMA2–%S in shipyard welders. ($n=78$).
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