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ABSTRACT

Background: The Quality of Life (QOL) of patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) is important to be examined. Some determinant factors of the QOL for ALL patients are age, gender, ethnicity, nutritional status, level of income of parents, educational level of parents, and risk groups. This study aims to determine the quality of life score among children with ALL after the induction phase of chemotherapy and to determine determinant factors that are associated with the quality of life of children with ALL.

Methods: An observational analytic study using a cross-sectional approach has been conducted among 38 ALL patients to assess the QOL after the induction phase chemotherapy at Sanglah General Hospital. The independent sample T test evaluated comparative values between independent factors. Multivariate analysis was assessed by the General Linear Model ANCOVA. Data were analyzed using SPSS version 20 for Windows.

Results: Most of the respondents were age > 5 years old (55.3%), followed by the male (55.3%), well-nourished of nutritional status (55.3%), low parents’ educational status (55.3%), a high income of parents (52.6%), standard risk of stratification (52.6%), and non-Balinese ethnicity (55.3%). The mean score of QOL was 79.37 ±21.64. The results showed that male gender (95% CI: 2.33-16.04; p=0.010), high income of parents (95% CI: 3.17-22.05; p=0.010), standard risk factors (95% CI: 1.48-16.36; p=0.020) were significantly related to the QOL of children with ALL.

Conclusion: High-income parents, standard risk stratification, and male gender are determinant factors for higher QOL scores of children with ALL after the chemotherapy induction phase.
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INTRODUCTION

Leukemia is a malignant disease of the bone marrow and blood characterized by the proliferation of white blood cells with the manifestation of abnormal cells in peripheral blood.¹

Patients with acute leukemia will face intervention therapy, such as corticosteroids, chemotherapy, and an increased risk of infection.² These will reduce the quality of life of these children.² The study of the quality of life of ALL patients in the active phase of therapy, especially in the induction phase, is still few.³ The induction phase aims to kill most leukemia cells in the blood and bone marrow.³

Chemotherapy induction therapy requires long-term hospital care due to the hospital care has a detrimental effect on children and families.⁴ Stressors faced by children during a prolonged hospitalization such as a foreign environment, different habits, pain due to the treatment process, or the effects of care, separation from family members, and peers.⁵ The quality of life of ALL patients requires great attention. An examination of the quality of life in ALL patients is important, aiming for ALL patients and parents of patients to anticipate the events that occur during therapy.⁶ Quality of life examination can also help parents and health care workers to choose strategies to improve the quality of life for ALL patients.⁷ In addition to examining the quality of life, identification of determinants of quality of life in ALL patients is also important to know.

Some determinants of the quality of life for ALL patients are age, gender, ethnicity, nutritional status, level of income of parents, and risk groups.⁸ Research on the quality of life of pediatric ALL patients in the active phase of treatment showed that ALL patients in high-risk groups had a poorer quality of life compared to standard risk groups.⁹

Based on those mentioned above, this study aims to determine the determinant factors for Quality of Life (QOL) among children with Acute
Lymphoblastic Leukemia (ALL) after induction phase at Sanglah General Hospital, Bali, Indonesia.

METHODS
This study was an observational study with a cross sectional design to determine the determinant factors of quality of life patient with ALL after completing the induction chemotherapy phase. This study was conducted from February 2017 - September 2018 in the Pediatric Ward at Sanglah Hospital, Denpasar. Evaluation of quality of life score was obtained using the PedsQL 3.0 cancer module.

The subjects in this study were children aged 2 - 18 years with ALL, who fulfilled the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Inclusion criteria including ALL patients aged 2 to 13 years who were admitted to Sanglah General Hospital February 2017-September 2018, ALL patients after induction phase chemotherapy. Exclusion criteria, including ALL patients with critical conditions, suffering from congenital abnormalities, and intellectual disabilities, patients not willing to be respondents. Sampling is done by consecutive sampling until the number of samples was fulfilled.

Subjects with ALL who fulfilled the eligibility criteria were given complete information regarding this study and asked for willingness to follow the study and sign the informed consent after getting and understand the information. Quality of life scores was taken using PedsQL 3.0 cancer module questionnaire and factors determinant of quality of life were measured. To test the normality of the study used the Shapiro-Wilk test. Descriptive analysis was performed to describe the characteristics of the subjects. Bivariate analysis was carried out with the Mann Whitney test. Multivariate analysis was carried out with the General Linear Model, ANCOVA. Data were analyzed by SPSS version 20 for Windows.

RESULTS
Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of respondents during the study period. Most of the respondents were predominant by age > 5 years (55.3%), male gender (55.3%), well-nourished nutritional status (55.3%), low parents’ educational status (55.3%), high parents income (52.6%), standard risk stratification (52.6%), non-Balinese ethnicity (55.3%), and the average score of QOL ALL patients was 79.37±21.64 (Table 1).

Bivariate analysis of determinant factors for the QOL of ALL patients was determine based on gender, parent’s income, parent’s educational status, and risk stratification. Mann-Whitney test of those determinants was showed in Table 2. The median (IQR) score of QOL among ALL patients tend to be higher in age > 5 years old (88.0 (26)), male gender (88.0 (14)), well-nourished nutritional status (87.7 (25)), and non-Balinese ethnicity (88.0 (22)) although not statistically significant (p>0.05) (Table 2). However, there was a statistically significant difference in the median (IQR) QOL score of ALL patients in the parents’ educational status (p=0.002), parents’ income (p=0.000), and risk stratification (p=0.045) (Table 2).

Multivariate analysis using General Linear Model ANCOVA by including determinant factors for quality of life scores was shown in Table 3. The table showed the determinant factors for quality of life were male gender (B = 9.19; 95% CI: 2.35 to 16.04, p = 0.010), high income of parents (B = 12.61; 95% CI: 3.17 to 22.05; p = 0.010), standard risk stratification (B = 8.92; 95% CI: 1.48 to 16.36; p = 0.020) related significantly to the better quality of life of children (Table 3).

DISCUSSION
Observational study with a cross sectional study on 38 children aged 2-18 years who underwent...
Table 2  The QOL of ALL patients according to age, gender, nutritional status, parents’ educational level, parents’ income, risk stratification, and ethnicity

| Variable                        | QOL score | p        |
|---------------------------------|-----------|----------|
|                                 | Median (IQR) |          |
| **Age**                         |           |          |
| > 5 years                       | 88.0 (26)  | 0.910    |
| < 5 years                       | 82.1 (28)  |          |
| **Gender**                      |           |          |
| Female                          | 72.9 (29)  | 0.110    |
| Male                            | 88.0 (14)  |          |
| **Nutritional status**          |           |          |
| Well-nourished                  | 87.7 (25)  | 0.330    |
| Other than well-nourished       | 82.0 (25)  |          |
| **Parents educational level**   |           |          |
| High                            | 90.0 (7)   | 0.002    |
| Low                             | 72.5 (26)  |          |
| **Parents income**              |           |          |
| High                            | 89.4 (5)   | 0.000    |
| Low                             | 67.0 (24)  |          |
| **Risk stratification**         |           |          |
| High                            | 73.10 (26) | 0.045    |
| Standard                        | 87.85 (19) |          |
| **Ethnicity**                   |           |          |
| Balinese                        | 82.2 (27)  | 0.510    |
| Non-Balinese                    | 88.0 (22)  |          |

Table 3  Multivariate analysis of variables associated with the QOL score

| Variable                        | B         | 95% CI      | p     | R2 (%) |
|---------------------------------|-----------|-------------|-------|--------|
| Male gender                     | 9.19      | 2.35-16.04  | 0.010 |        |
| High parents’ educational level | 0.95      | -9.12-11.04 | 0.848 |        |
| High parents’ income            | 12.61     | 3.17-22.05  | 0.010 |        |
| Standard risk stratification    | 8.92      | 1.48-16.36  | 0.020 | 56.5   |
| Age <5 years                    | -2.79     | -6.68-6.32  | 0.932 |        |
| Bali ethnicity                  | -1.40     | -7.80-4.99  | 0.657 |        |
| Well-nourished                  | 0.08      | -7.21-7.37  | 0.982 |        |

consequences of therapy. The value of the quality of life of children that we obtained in this study is also not much different from that reported in the study conducted by Martinez in 2008, regarding the quality of life in ALL patients in the induction phase. The study found that the average quality of life in the induction phase was 78.84.

Gender is a determinant factor in some aspects of the quality of life of children with leukemia. Girls are reported to have better autonomous functions, while boys are reported to have a better quality of life in cognitive and emotional aspects. In this study, male patients increased the quality of life score of 9.19 better than female sex with a confidence range of 2.35 to 16.04 and p-value = 0.010. The results of this study are in line with the research on the quality of life of children with leukemia who are undergoing active treatment, found that girls have a poorer quality of life than men. Women in the general population are more likely to experience anxiety or depression and low self-esteem, which can ultimately reduce their quality of life.

Lack of knowledge about cancer and the limitations of parents in obtaining information about the prognosis of cancer will result in anxiety for parents of patients. In this study, parents’ educational factors were not related to the quality of life of patients. This is in line with the research conducted by Sitaresmi et al. in 2008 regarding the assessment of the quality of life of children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia in Indonesia. The results of this study found that the quality of life of children with high-educated parents was 73 and for children with low-educated parents a score was 68. The difference in results did not reach statistical significance based on the previous study. This is not in line with the results of research conducted by Ghodsbin et al. in 2014 regarding the effects of parental knowledge and education on the total score of quality of life. The study found a significant difference in the quality of life scores in children with parents with good knowledge compared to parents with less knowledge. The results of this different study may be due to the regular provision of communication, information, and education at the place where this research was conducted. Giving communication, information, and education to parents has an impact on increasing parents’ knowledge about the disease suffered by their children, assisting children in dealing with illness and treatment, facing distress in children and reactions due to chemotherapy. In addition, it can also improve medication adherence, which will lead to a decrease in the incidence of recurrence and affect the quality of life of the child. Patients with ALL can be classified as high-risk and standard-risk. In general, patients at high-risk groups have a poorer hospital care at Sanglah Hospital Denpasar starting in February 2017 until samples were fulfilled in September 2018, 2 children were excluded for not completing the induction phase. This study showed the quality of life of in the induction phase ALL children had an average of 79.37±21.64. A previous study regarding the quality of life of ALL patients found a decrease in quality of life in ALL patients on treatment compared to patients who had undergone treatment for at least 2 years. Decreasing quality of life in the induction phase reflects a combination of the disease itself and the
quality of life than standard-risk groups. In this study, the score of the quality of life of the standard risk ALL patients was better 8.92 with a confidence range of 1.48 to 16.36 and p-value 0.020. The results of this study are not very different from the results of research by Sung et al. in 2011 where scores for physical, psychosocial, and social aspects were worse for high-risk patients compared to standard-risk patients. Poorer quality of life in the high-risk group of patients occurs in all phases of treatment. In particular, pain, nausea, worry scores, physical appearance, and cognitive problems were significantly worse in high-risk patients. The results of this study are also in accordance with the results of a study by Magal-Vardi O et al., in 2004 that suggest risk stratification has a relationship with the quality of life. Children with the high-risk disease report a lower quality of life than children at moderate risk.

Multivariate analysis of parent’s income factors appears to affect the quality of life scores. The results of this study are in line with research by Sung L et al. regarding the quality of life of ALL patients during the active treatment phase in Canada. The results of this study found that high family income significantly increased the quality of life scores of ALL patients similar with a previous study. The cost of treatment for cancer when the research was carried out was still covered by the government insurance, but to fulfill the daily necessities of life during treatment is very dependent on family income. Low family income, coupled with the inability to carry out work obligations because accompanying children to take medication, will cause stress and anxiety for parents that will affect the quality of life of children.

In the age factor, there was no statistically significant difference in the quality of life scores in preschool and school-age children. Still, it was found that the median quality of life scores in preschoolers was lower than school-age children. This is different from the results of research by Sidabutar et al. in 2012 regarding the description of the quality of life of school-age cancer patients, it was found that school-age children had a poorer quality of life than preschoolers. Theory argues that the economic conditions of parents strongly influence the quality of life of preschoolers. In theory, it is said that a low economic condition will hinder the development of preschoolers. A low economic situation results in adverse effects on social, emotional, and cognitive aspects, resulting in a decrease in quality of life. The differences in the results of this study with other studies may be because subjects with preschool-age mostly have parents with low income so that according to the theory mentioned, the child has a lower quality of life score than school-age children.

There was also no difference in the nutritional status factor in the quality of life scores between patients with well-nourished and other than well-nourished. Some studies show that nutritional status is a determinant factor in determining treatment outcomes and quality of life. Patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia with poor nutrition are said to have a poorer quality of life than nonmalnutrition during chemotherapy induction. The difference in the results of this study was because the subjects of the research were comparing the quality of life scores of ALL patients with well-nourished with those with severe malnutrition status. In this study, ALL patients with severe malnutrition status were only 1 person and were included in other than the well-nourished group. The quality of life scores for the Balinese and non-Balinese did not show a significant difference, but the median quality of life scores in patients with the Balinese ethnicity was lower than the non-Balinese. Research conducted by Barakat et al. in 2010 found the same results, which found that the tribe did not affect the quality of life score. Other research has different things where the quality of life of children with ALL is influenced by several factors, including psychological factors, namely anxiety, and depression. Research on anxiety, depression, and behavioral changes in ALL patients suggests that ALL patients with particular ethnicity have worse symptoms of anxiety and depression. In this study, the median score for the Balinese ethnicity was lower than for the non-Balinese. This is probably due to the inability of parents to fulfill traditional “Banjar” obligations, causing stress in the family and decreasing the quality of life of children.

CONCLUSION

This study showed that the QOL of the induction phase ALL children had an average of 79.37±21.64. This study proves that high-income parents, a standard risk, and male sex stratification as determinant factors of a higher quality of life scores in children with ALL after the chemotherapy induction phase.
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