A NON-ISOTHERMAL THEORY FOR INTERPRETING SODIUM LINES IN TRANSMISSION SPECTRA OF EXOPLANETS
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ABSTRACT

We present a theory for interpreting the sodium lines detected in transmission spectra of exoplanetary atmospheres. Previous analyses employed the isothermal approximation and dealt only with the transit radius. By recognizing the absorption depth and the transit radius as being independent observables, we develop a theory for jointly interpreting both quantities, which allows us to infer the temperatures and number densities associated with the sodium lines. We are able to treat a non-isothermal situation with a constant temperature gradient. Our novel diagnostics take the form of simple-to-use algebraic formulae and require measurements of the transit radii (and their corresponding absorption depths) at line center and in the line wing for both sodium lines. We apply our diagnostics to the HARPS data of HD 189733b, confirm the upper atmospheric heating reported by Huitson et al., derive a temperature gradient of 0.4376 ± 0.0154 K km$^{-1}$, and find densities \(\sim 1 \times 10^4\) cm$^{-3}$.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Due to its large cross section and favorable wavelength range, the sodium doublet lines have been a boon to astronomers seeking to characterize exoplanetary atmospheres (Seager & Sasselov 2000; Brown 2001). In fact, the first detection of an exoplanetary atmosphere (that of HD 209458b) was accomplished via measuring the sodium doublet (Charbonneau et al. 2002). Ever since that discovery, sodium has been detected in several hot Jupiters using both space- and ground-based transmission spectroscopy (Redfield et al. 2008; Sing et al. 2008a, 2008b, 2012; Snellen et al. 2008; Vidal-Madjar et al. 2011; Wood et al. 2011; Huitson et al. 2012; Zhou & Bayliss 2012; Pont et al. 2013; Nikolov et al. 2014; Burton et al. 2015; Wyttenbach et al. 2015).

As better instruments come online and our ability to resolve the sodium lines improves, it is worth revisiting and redeveloping a theory of how to interpret them. From a remote sensing perspective, a pair of fully resolved sodium lines can, in principle, map out the temperature–pressure profile of an atmosphere at high altitudes and yield the sodium abundance. A quantity used to interpret absorption lines is the equivalent width (Spitzer 1978; Draine 2011),

\[
W = \int W_\lambda\,d\lambda, \\
W_\lambda = 1 - \exp(-\tau),
\]

where \(\tau\) is the optical depth of the intervening material and \(\lambda\) denotes the wavelength. The equivalent width is essentially the width of a box with the same depth as the trough of the absorption line, such that it encompasses the same area.

While it is not immediately obvious, \(W_\lambda\) and the transit radius \((R)\) are actually independent observables. A simple thought experiment demonstrates this. Consider a fictitious star that emits achromatically. At the exact moment of transit, one may record an absorption spectrum of the exoplanetary atmosphere. If the wavelength coverage is sufficient, the sodium doublet and the continuum and thus \(W_\lambda\) may be measured. To record the transit radius requires temporal information: the change in flux in and out of transit. In short, \(W_\lambda\) measures changes in absorption across wavelength, while \(R\) derives from the change in flux across time. Certainly, stars are not achromatic light sources and one needs to measure the stellar lines in and out of transit to properly subtract out their influence, but this is an observational, rather than a theoretical, obstacle.

Nevertheless, a theoretical challenge with interpreting \(W\), for transmission spectra of exoplanets, is that the optical depth depends on the transit radius and the radius itself depends on wavelength (Figure 1). It is generally difficult to derive the functional form of \(R(\lambda)\), but it is straightforward to measure it. Additionally, while \(W\) is associated with a fixed sightline in traditional studies of the interstellar medium, it is associated with a set of sightlines in transmission spectra, each corresponding to a different wavelength. Instead of a theory for \(W\), we develop one for \(W_\lambda(R)\). Observationally, it takes the form,

\[
W_\lambda = \frac{F_\lambda - F}{F_c},
\]

where \(F_c\) is the flux associated with the continuum and \(F\) is the flux at any point within the line. More specifically, both \(F_c\) and \(F\) are integrated over a (small) wavelength interval \(\delta\lambda\) that is chosen based on practical constraints. We shall term \(F/F_c\) the absorption depth (and leave \(W_\lambda\) nameless).

2. THEORY

2.1. Order-of-magnitude Estimates

Since the line-center wavelength of both sodium lines is \(\lambda_0 \approx 0.6\,\mu m\), the line-center frequency is \(\nu_0 = c/\lambda_0\)
\[ \lambda_0 \approx 5 \times 10^{14} \text{Hz}. \] This means that the Doppler line width is
\[ \Gamma_D = \nu_0 \left( \frac{2k_B T}{m} \right)^{1/2} \approx 5 \times 10^9 \text{Hz} \left( \frac{T}{10^3 \text{K}} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{m}{2m_H} \right)^{1/2}, \tag{3} \]
where \( c \) is the speed of light, \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant, \( T \) is the temperature, \( m \) is the mean molecular mass, and \( m_H \) is the mass of the hydrogen atom. We assume that sodium is a trace element in the atmosphere and that Doppler broadening is mediated by a dominant buffer or inert gas (i.e., molecular hydrogen).

By contrast, the natural line width is
\[ \Gamma_L = \frac{A_{21}}{2\pi} \approx 10^7 \text{Hz}, \tag{4} \]
where \( A_{21} \approx 6 \times 10^7 \text{s}^{-1} \) is the Einstein A-coefficient. Furthermore, the damping coefficient associated with the Voigt profile is
\[ a_0 = \frac{\Gamma_L}{2\Gamma_D} \approx 10^{-3} \left( \frac{T}{10^3 \text{K}} \right)^{-1/2} \left( \frac{m}{2m_H} \right)^{1/2}, \tag{5} \]
implying that the Voigt and Doppler profiles are essentially identical near line center.

If pressure broadening is significant, then \( \Gamma_L \) needs to be replaced by \( \Gamma_L + \Gamma_{\text{coll}} \) in the Lorentz profile, where \( \Gamma_{\text{coll}} \) is the collisional frequency (Mihalas 1970). Pressure broadening is similar in nature to Doppler broadening,
\[ \Gamma_{\text{coll}} \approx \frac{\lambda_0 \Gamma_D}{l_{\text{mf}}^2} = P \sigma_{H_2} \left( \frac{2}{mk_BT} \right)^{1/2}, \tag{6} \]
where \( l_{\text{mf}} \) is the mean free path of collisions and \( \sigma_{H_2} \approx 10^{-15} \text{cm}^2 \) is the cross section of collisions with hydrogen molecules. Pressure broadening may be ignored if the pressure being sensed is approximately
\[ P < 1 \text{ mbar} \left( \frac{m}{2m_H} \right)^{1/2}. \tag{7} \]

We will neglect pressure broadening for our analysis of the sodium lines, while being aware of a shortcoming of our theory: we cannot directly diagnose the value of the total pressure being sensed, since it is degenerate with the sodium abundance. Non-Lorentzian corrections to the sodium line wings are only important far away (\( > 100 \Gamma_D \)) from line center and for \( P \gtrsim 1 \text{ bar} \) (Allard et al. 2012).

Consider a point on one of the sodium lines that is separated by \( \Delta \lambda \), in wavelength, from line center. In frequency, the separation is \( \Delta \nu \). If \( \Delta \nu = \Gamma_D \), then we have
\[ \Delta \lambda = \frac{\lambda^2 \Delta \nu}{c} \approx 0.06 \lambda \left( \frac{T}{10^3 \text{K}} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{m}{2m_H} \right)^{1/2}, \tag{8} \]
implying that the lines are tens of Doppler widths wide. Even by moving 0.3 Å away from line center, one is already well into the Lorentzian wings of the profile. In the line wings, we may approximate the line shape with a Lorentz profile.

Collectively, the sodium line profile in hot exoplanetary atmospheres (\( T \sim 1000 \text{K} \)) is well approximated by a Doppler core and Lorentzian wings (Figure 2), which allows us to circumvent the more challenging task of inverting a Voigt profile.

2.2. Review of Previous Analytical Formulae

In Fortney (2005), the assumptions of hydrostatic equilibrium and an isothermal atmosphere led to an expression for the number density,
\[ n = n_{\text{ref}} \exp \left( -\frac{z}{H} \right), \tag{9} \]
where \( n_{\text{ref}} \) is a reference value of the number density, \( z \) is the height above some reference radius \( R \), \( H \equiv k_B T m g \) is the pressure scale height, and \( g \) is the surface gravity. Using Pythagoras’s theorem and assuming \( z \ll R \), Fortney (2005)
obtained (see Figure 1 for the geometry)

\[ z \approx \frac{x^2}{2R} \tag{10} \]

It follows that the optical depth of the chord associated with the transit radius is

\[ \tau = n_{\text{ref}} \sigma \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp \left( -\frac{x^2}{2HR} \right) dx \]

\[ = n_{\text{ref}} \sigma (2\pi HR)^{1/2}, \tag{11} \]

where \( \sigma \) is the absorption cross section. In hindsight, one could have obtained a quick answer by writing \( \tau = n_{\text{ref}} \sigma X \) and recognizing that \( X \sim \sqrt{HR} \) is roughly the geometric mean of two vastly different length scales, \( H \) and \( R \).

Several properties associated with the formula of Fortney (2005), restated in our Equation (11), are worth emphasizing. First, it can only be applied to isothermal situations. Second, \( R \) is a wavelength-dependent quantity. Third, since one is sensing different values of \( R \) across wavelength, the value of \( n_{\text{ref}} \) sampled varies as well. The number density can be fixed by writing \( n_{\text{ref}} = n_{\text{ref}}' \exp(-z/H) \), where \( n_{\text{ref}}' \) is its value in the line wings. Unlike \( n_{\text{ref}} \), \( n_{\text{ref}}' \) is a wavelength-independent quantity.

The third line of reasoning allowed Lecavelier des Etangs et al. (2008) to invert the expression for \( \tau \) and obtain

\[ z = H \ln \left[ \frac{n_{\text{ref}}' \sigma (2\pi HR)^{1/2}}{\tau} \right]. \tag{12} \]

Since the transit radius always picks out \( \tau \sim 1 \) by definition and \( T \) is not a wavelength-dependent quantity, it follows that

\[ T = \frac{mg}{k_B} \frac{\partial z}{\partial \lambda} \left[ \frac{\partial (\ln \sigma)}{\partial \lambda} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial (\ln R)}{\partial \lambda} \right]^{-1}. \tag{13} \]

The second term within the square brackets is typically smaller than the first, i.e., \( \partial (\ln R)/\partial \lambda \ll \partial (\ln \sigma)/\partial \lambda \), since we expect the transit radius to vary by fractions of a percent, whereas the cross section is expected to vary by orders of magnitude. Dropping the second term reproduces Equation (2) of Lecavelier des Etangs et al. (2008). Equation (13) is directly applicable to situations where \( \partial z/\partial \lambda \) is constant (e.g., Rayleigh scattering), but is less amenable to analyzing spectral line shapes, where \( \partial z/\partial \lambda \) is non-constant by definition.

### 2.3. Obtaining Temperature and Density in the Isothermal Limit

At line center, the absorption cross section of the sodium atom is (Draine 2011)

\[ \sigma = \frac{\varepsilon f_{\text{lu}} \lambda_0}{m_c} \left( \frac{\pi m}{2k_B T} \right)^{1/2}, \tag{14} \]

where \( f_{\text{lu}} \) is the oscillator strength. The mass and charge of the electron are given by \( m_c \) and \( e \), respectively.

By denoting the line-center value of \( W_\lambda \) as \( W_\lambda^c \), we may express the line-center optical depth as

\[ \tau_0 = -\ln \left( 1 - W_\lambda^c \right) = -\ln \left( \frac{F_0}{F_c} \right), \tag{15} \]

where \( F_0 \) and \( F_c \) are the flux at line center and of the continuum, respectively. For the remainder of the study, we shall deal only with the absorption depth as an observable. It follows that the number density associated with line center is

\[ n_0 = -\ln \left( \frac{F_0}{F_c} \right) \frac{m_c c}{\pi e^2 f_{\text{lu}} \lambda_0} \left( \frac{g}{R_0} \right)^{1/2}. \tag{16} \]

In the line wings, the optical depth is given by

\[ \tau = n_0 \exp \left[ \frac{\Delta R}{R} \right] (2\pi HR)^{1/2} \frac{\pi e^2 f_{\text{lu}} \Phi}{m_c c}, \tag{17} \]

with the line shape \( \Phi \) being approximated by a Lorentz profile sufficiently far away from line center,

\[ \Phi = \frac{A_{21} \lambda^2 \lambda_0^2}{4\pi^2 (\lambda - \lambda_0)^2}. \tag{18} \]

The transit radius at line center \( (R_0) \) and in the line wings \( (R) \) are related by

\[ R_0 = R + \Delta R. \tag{19} \]

Like \( R \), \( \Delta R \) is a wavelength-dependent observable. Equation (17) can be inverted to solve for the temperature,

\[ T = \frac{mg}{k_B} \ln r, \tag{20} \]

by eliminating \( n_0 \) using Equation (16). We have defined the diagnostic ratio as

\[ r = \frac{\ln (F_c/F_0)}{\ln (F_c/F_0)} \left( \frac{k_B T}{2\lambda_0} \right)^{1/2} \frac{4c^2 \Delta \lambda^2}{\lambda_0^2 A_{21}} \]

\[ \approx 2 \times 10^3 \ln \frac{F_c}{F_0} \left( \frac{R_0}{R} \right)^{1/2} \]

\[ \times \left( \frac{T}{10^3 K} \right)^{1/2} \left[ \frac{m}{2m_H} \right]^{1/2} \left( \frac{\Delta \lambda}{0.1 \text{ Å}} \right)^2, \tag{21} \]

where \( \Delta \lambda \equiv \lambda - \lambda_0 \).

The temperature is mostly controlled by the difference in transit radii between the line center and wings. Formally, \( \ln r \) has a very weak dependence on \( T \), so Equation (20) is not an explicit formula for \( T \). In practice, one sets \( T \sim 1000K \), measures or assumes all of the other parameter values, uses Equation (20) to compute an updated value of \( T \) and iterates. A converged answer should obtain within a few iterations.

Figure 3 shows calculations of \( n_0 \) as a function of \( F_c/F_0 \) for both sodium lines. For the sodium D1 line, we have used \( \lambda_0 = 5897.558 \text{ Å} \) and \( f_{\text{lu}} = 0.320 \); for the sodium D2 line, we have used \( \lambda_0 = 5891.582 \text{ Å} \) and \( f_{\text{lu}} = 0.641 \) (Draine 2011). The D1 and D2 lines are sensing different altitudes within the atmosphere. Figure 3 also shows the line-wing temperature as a function of the diagnostic ratio.
For the non-isothermal cases, we set \( b \) panel: the line-center number density. Bottom panel: the line-wing temperature. Where \( g \) is in hydrostatic equilibrium and the physical assumption being made is that the buffer or inert gas (e.g., molecular hydrogen) is in hydrostatic equilibrium and the collisional time between itself and the sodium atoms is so short that the latter are effectively coupled to the former as a single fluid.

By using the ideal gas law, \( P = n k_B T \), one obtains

\[
\int_{n_{ref}}^{n} \frac{1}{n} dn = -\frac{m g}{k_B} \int_0^z \frac{1}{T_{ref} + T z} dz. \tag{25}
\]

Here, \( g \equiv g \pm k_B T'/m \) functions like an “effective gravity” with an additional term associated with the constant temperature gradient. Completing the integration yields

\[
\begin{align*}
 n &= \begin{cases} 
 n_{ref} (1 + T z/T_{ref})^{-(b+1)}, & \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} > 0, \\
 n_{ref} (1 - T z/T_{ref})^{b-1}, & \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} < 0,
\end{cases} \tag{26}
\end{align*}
\]

where the non-isothermal pressure scale height is \( H = T_{ref}/T' \) and the index,

\[
b \equiv \frac{mg}{k_B T'}, \tag{27}
\]

is the ratio of the non-isothermal to the isothermal scale heights.

For \( \partial T/\partial z < 0 \), the minimum value of \( b \) is set by the adiabatic lapse rate such that \( b \geq (2 + N_{dof})/2 \), where \( N_{dof} \) is the number of degrees of freedom of the buffer gas. For a diatomic gas, we have \( N_{dof} = 5 \) and \( b \geq 3.5 \) (ignoring the vibrational modes).

The chord optical depth is

\[
\tau = n_{ref} \sigma \left( \frac{2 RT_{ref}}{T'} \right)^{1/2} \int_{-\pi/2}^{+\pi/2} (\cos \theta)^N_k d\theta, \tag{28}
\]

where the index \( N_k \) is

\[
N_k = \begin{cases} 
 2b, & \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} > 0, \\
 2b - 1, & \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} < 0.
\end{cases} \tag{29}
\]

The integral in Equation (28) has no general analytical solution. However, it is soluble if we consider integer values of \( N_k \). This is not unreasonable. For example, for HD 189733b, Huitson et al. (2012) estimate \( T' \approx 1 \text{ K km}^{-1} \), which yields \( N_k \approx 5 \) for \( \partial T/\partial z > 0 \). For \( N_k = 0, 2, 4, \) and \( 6 \), the integral is \( \pi, \pi/2, 3\pi/8, \) and \( 5\pi/16 \), respectively. Essentially, the integral may be represented as \( \zeta \pi \), where \( \zeta \approx 0.1 \sim 1 \). Numerical evaluations of the integral, over a continuous range of values of \( N_k \), confirm our intuition (not shown). The optical depth thus becomes

\[
\tau = \zeta \pi n_{ref} \sigma \left( \frac{2 RT_{ref}}{T'} \right)^{1/2}, \tag{30}
\]

similar in spirit to its isothermal counterpart where we again have the characteristic length scale being \( X \sim \sqrt{HR} \). Generalizing from the isothermal situation, we note that \( n_{ref}, R \) and \( T_{ref} \) are wavelength-dependent quantities.
At line center, we again assume a Doppler profile and derive the number density,

\[ n_0 = -\ln \left( \frac{F_0}{F_e} \right) \frac{m_e c}{\zeta \pi e^2 f_{\text{lo}} \lambda_0} \left( \frac{k_B T^1}{\pi m R_0} \right)^{1/2}, \]  

(31)
an expression which holds for both \( \partial T/\partial z > 0 \) and \( \partial T/\partial z < 0 \). Unlike before, \( n_0 \) now depends also on \( T' \). In the line wings, we can again assume a Lorentz profile, eliminate \( n_0 \), and obtain

\[ T = \begin{cases} 
T' \Delta R \left[ e^{(b+1)} \lambda - 1 \right]^{-1}, & \partial T / \partial z > 0, \\
T' \Delta R \left[ 1 - r^{-1/(b-1)} \lambda \right]^{-1}, & \partial T / \partial z < 0.
\end{cases} \]  

(32)
The definition for \( r \) is identical to the one stated in Equation (21). It is worth noting that our expression for \( T \) in Equation (32), does not depend on \( \zeta \).

Equations (31) and (32) constitute an underdetermined system: two equations and three unknowns \((n_0, T, T')\). We will break this degeneracy by using measurements from both sodium lines to determine \( T' \).

3. APPLICATION TO DATA

To apply the diagnostics to data requires that both sodium lines are at least partially resolved, such that for each line we may measure the absorption depths at line center and in the line wing. Generally, at the same distance \( (\Delta \lambda) \) from line center, the line wings of the D_1 and D_2 sodium lines are sampling different temperatures, which we denote by \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \), respectively. We denote the line-center temperatures by \( T_{0,1} \) and \( T_{0,2} \).

1. To determine if the system is isothermal, one computes the ratio of the line-wing temperatures,

\[ \frac{T_1}{T_2} = \frac{\Delta R_1}{\Delta R_2}, \]  

(33)
where \( \Delta R_1 \) and \( \Delta R_2 \) are the differences in transit radii, between the line center and wing, for the D_1 and D_2 lines, respectively. To a very good approximation, the preceding expression holds regardless of whether one uses the isothermal or non-isothermal formula for \( T \). If \( T_1 = T_2 \), the isothermal formulae in Equations (16) and (20) will suffice.

2. If \( T_1 \neq T_2 \), then we first use the isothermal formula in Equation (20) to estimate \( T_1, T_2 \) and

\[ \partial T / \partial z = \frac{T_1 - T_2}{R_1 - R_2}. \]  

(34)
After assessing if the temperature gradient is positive or negative, we use the appropriate non-isothermal formula in Equation (32) to iterate for \( T_1, T_2 \), and \( T' \).

3. With the value of \( T' \) in hand, we may also estimate the number densities using Equations (26) and (31).

We apply our diagnostics to the sodium doublet measured in HD 189733b using HARPS ground-based data (Wytenbach et al. 2015). At line center, the absorption depths and transit radii are

\[ \frac{F_0}{F_e} = 0.9960 \pm 0.0007, \quad R_{0,1}/R_1 = 1.227 \pm 0.021, \]

\[ \frac{F_0}{F_e} = 0.9936 \pm 0.0007, \quad R_{0,2}/R_1 = 1.277 \pm 0.020. \]  

At \( \Delta \lambda = 0.3 \text{ Å} \) from line center, the absorption depths and transit radii are

\[ \frac{F_0}{F_e} = 0.9987 \pm 0.0007, \quad R_{1}/R_1 = 1.168 \pm 0.022, \]

\[ \frac{F_0}{F_e} = 0.9974 \pm 0.0007, \quad R_2/R_1 = 1.196 \pm 0.022. \]  

All quantities were extracted using Gaussian fits to the data. The white-light radius used to normalize the transit radii is \( (1.138 \pm 0.027)R_1 \) (Torres et al. 2008). We verified that instrumental broadening is not a major source of error (Wytenbach et al. 2015).

To facilitate comparison with Huitson et al. (2012), we use \( g = 2141 \text{ cm s}^{-2} \) and \( m = 2.3 \text{ mbar} \) for HD 189733b. We propagate the data uncertainties in quadrature. Using the isothermal formula, we obtain \( T_1 = 3117 \pm 79 \text{ K} \) and \( T_2 = 4240 \pm 103 \text{ K} \). Next, we derive \( T_1/T_2 = 0.7284 \pm 0.0256 \), which indicates that the non-isothermal treatment is warranted. We obtain

\[ \partial T / \partial z = 0.4376 \pm 0.0154 \text{ K cm}^{-1}, \]  

(37)
thus confirming the positive temperature gradient reported by Huitson et al. (2012). Our line-wing and line-center temperatures are

\[ T_1 = 2460 \pm 86 \text{ K}, \quad T_{0,1} = 4306 \pm 151 \text{ K}, \]

\[ T_2 = 3336 \pm 117 \text{ K}, \quad T_{0,2} = 5870 \pm 206 \text{ K}. \]  

(38)
The number densities are

\[ n_1 = (1.439 \pm 0.051) \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}, \]

\[ n_2 = (1.219 \pm 0.043) \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}, \]

\[ n_{0,1} = 3.990 \pm 0.140 \text{ cm}^{-3}, \]

\[ n_{0,2} = 3.128 \pm 0.110 \text{ cm}^{-3}, \]  

(39)
while \( b = 13.63 \pm 0.48 \). If the abundance of sodium is solar, then the total pressure implied is \( \lesssim 5 \text{ mbar} \).

The temperatures and temperature gradient inferred using our analytical diagnostics are in broad agreement with the numerical models of Wytenbach et al. (2015), applied to the same data set.

4. CONCLUSION

We have presented a previously unelucidated theory for inferring the temperatures, temperature gradient, and number densities associated with the absorption depths and transit radii of the sodium doublet lines. Our formalism is applicable to other alkali metal lines such as potassium. Applying our diagnostics to infrared lines of molecules requires the inclusion of pressure broadening.
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1. Omission of a Factor of $\pi$ from a Line Profile

In Equation (18) of the published article, there is a missing factor of $1/\pi$. It should read

$$\Phi = \frac{A_{21} \lambda^2}{4\pi^2 c^2 (\lambda - \lambda_0)^2}. \quad (18)$$

This error propagates into Equation (21), which should read

$$r \equiv \ln\left(\frac{F/F_c}{F_0/F_c}\right) \left(\frac{\pi m R_0}{2 k_B R T}\right)^{1/2} \frac{4\pi c^2}{\lambda_0 A_{21}} \Delta \lambda^2$$
$$\approx 6 \times 10^3 \ln\left(\frac{F/F_c}{F_0/F_c}\right) \left(\frac{R_0}{R}\right)^{1/2}$$
$$\times \left(\frac{T}{10^3 \text{ K}}\right)^{-1/2} \left(\frac{m}{2 m_1}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\Delta \lambda}{0.1 \text{ Å}}\right)^2, \quad (21)$$
due to a missing factor of $\pi$.

2. Revised Numbers for Section 3

For the isothermal estimates, we now have $T_1 = 2710 \pm 69 \text{ K}$ and $T_2 = 3690 \pm 89 \text{ K}$. Equation (37) now reads

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial z} = 0.3793 \pm 0.0133 \text{ K km}^{-1}. \quad (37)$$

This revised estimate for the temperature gradient should also apply to the text in the abstract. Equation (38) now reads

$$T_1 = 2119 \pm 74 \text{ K}, \quad T_{0,1} = 3719 \pm 131 \text{ K},$$
$$T_2 = 2878 \pm 101 \text{ K}, \quad T_{0,2} = 5074 \pm 178 \text{ K}. \quad (38)$$

Equation (39) now reads

$$n_1 = (4.865 \pm 0.171) \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3},$$
$$n_2 = (4.119 \pm 0.145) \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3},$$
$$n_{0,1} = 3.985 \pm 0.140 \text{ cm}^{-3},$$
$$n_{0,2} = 3.124 \pm 0.110 \text{ cm}^{-3}. \quad (39)$$

Furthermore, we now have $b = 15.73 \pm 0.55$. If the abundance of sodium is solar, then the total pressure implied is $\lesssim 15 \text{ nbar}$. The qualitative conclusions of the study remain unchanged.