Genomic and secretomic insight into lignocellulolytic system of an endophytic bacterium \textit{Pantoea ananatis} Sd-1
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Abstract

Background: Exploring microorganisms especially bacteria associated with the degradation of lignocellulosic biomass shows great potentials in biofuels production. The rice endophytic bacterium \textit{Pantoea ananatis} Sd-1 with strong lignocellulose degradation capacity has been reported in our previous study. However, a comprehensive analysis of its corresponding degradative system has not yet been conducted. The aim of this work is to identify and characterize the lignocellulolytic enzymes of the bacterium to understand its mechanism of lignocellulose degradation and facilitate its application in sustainable energy production.

Results: The genomic analysis revealed that there are 154 genes encoding putative carbohydrate-active enzymes (CAZy) in \textit{P. ananatis} Sd-1. This number is higher than that of compared cellulolytic and ligninolytic bacteria as well as other eight \textit{P. ananatis} strains. The CAZy in \textit{P. ananatis} Sd-1 contains a complete repertoire of enzymes required for cellulose and hemicellulose degradation. In addition, \textit{P. ananatis} Sd-1 also possesses plenty of genes encoding potential ligninolytic relevant enzymes, such as multicopper oxidase, catalase/hydroperoxidase, glutathione S-transferase, and quinone oxidoreductase. Quantitative real-time PCR analysis of parts of genes encoding lignocellulolytic enzymes revealed that they were significantly up-regulated (at least $P < 0.05$) in presence of rice straw. Further identification of secretome of \textit{P. ananatis} Sd-1 by nano liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry confirmed that considerable amounts of proteins involved in lignocellulose degradation were only detected in rice straw cultures. Rice straw saccharification levels by the secretome of \textit{P. ananatis} Sd-1 reached 129.11 ± 2.7 mg/gds. Correspondingly, the assay of several lignocellulolytic enzymes including endoglucanase, exoglucanase, β-glucosidase, xylanase-like, lignin peroxidase-like, and laccase-like activities showed that these enzymes were more active in rice straw relative to glucose substrates. The high enzymes activities were not attributed to bacterial cell densities but to the difference of secreted protein contents.

Conclusion: Our results indicate that \textit{P. ananatis} Sd-1 can produce considerable lignocellulolytic enzymes including cellulases, hemicellulases, and ligninolytic relevant enzymes. The high activities of those enzymes could be efficiently induced by lignocellulosic biomass. This identified degradative system is valuable for the lignocellulosic bioenergy industry.
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Background

Lignocellulose in vascular plant cell walls is the largest reservoir of organic polymers in terrestrial ecosystems and is a potential biofuel feedstock alternative to petroleum [1]. The main components of lignocellulose are polysaccharides and lignin. They are bound together in a matrix and the recalcitrance of structure gives rise to a major bottleneck in the efficient conversion of lignocellulosic biomass to biofuels [2]. Thus, exploring highly efficient lignocellulose decomposition microorganisms has attracted significant research attention [3]. During the past decade, only a limited number of microorganisms, such as various types of fungi, were found to breakdown the complex lignocellulose polymer structures [4]. Recently, bacterial systems have attracted an increasing amount of attention due to their unique mechanisms for lignocellulose decomposition and the high specificity of their lignocellulolases. Bacteria also have great advantages over fungi due to their rapid growth, wide range of environmental adaptability, and facilitative genetic manipulations [5, 6].

Bacterial candidates for degrading lignocellulosic polysaccharides have been identified by genomic and proteomic analysis. *Caldicellullosiruptor bescii* DSM 6725, *Clostridium thermocellum* ATCC 27405 and *Streptomyces* SDP86 had been sequenced, and a lot of genes in these bacteria strains encoding putative carbohydrate-active enzymes (CAZy) were identified, and their presence were partially confirmed by proteomic analysis results [7–9]. Recently, qualitative and quantitative proteomic analysis methods were used to demonstrate that lignocellulolytic enzymes in *Thermobifida fusca* were highly up-regulated in the presence lignocellulosic biomass [10–12]. However, bacterium that can degrade both lignocellulosic polysaccharides and lignin was rarely found [13, 14], let alone a comprehensive research of the lignocellulolytic system, including cellulases, hemicellulases, and ligninolytic enzymes in the bacteria was reported.

Endophytes which spend the whole or part of its life cycle colonizing inside the healthy host plant tissues have been the subject of research attention worldwide, due to its wide distribution and the ability to produce a wide variety of secondary metabolites. They can secrete a large number of proteins, including lignocellulolytic enzymes, to assist their adaption and survival within higher plants [15]. A few endophytic fungi demonstrating lignocellulosic biomass degrading ability have already been discovered [16, 17]. However, endophytic bacteria as potential lignocellulose degradation resources have been largely overlooked.

We have isolated a rice endophytic bacterium *Pantoea* sp. Sd-1 (China General Microbiological Culture Collection Centre, no. 6698). It showed strong lignocellulosic biomass degradation capacity with 54.5 % of rice straw weight lost (cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin content reduced 80.1, 59.6, and 33.1 %, respectively) after 6 days treatment and kraft lignin content reduced 69.1 % after 4 days incubation [18]. It was further identified as *Pantoea ananatis* Sd-1 by whole genome sequencing analysis. Generally the *Pantoea* genus is recognized for its plant pathogenesis [19]. However, it has also been reported as lignocellulose degradation bacterium. *Pantoea* sp. SL1_M5 isolated from invasive woodwasp *Sirex noctilio* and displayed degrading ability toward cellulose and its derivatives [20]. A few other *P. ananatis* strains were also reported to possess carbohydrate degradation enzymes [14, 21]. *Pantoea* sp. RCT2 isolated from pulp paper mill effluent and showed ligninolytic enzyme activity and strong pulp paper mill effluent decolorisation capacity [22]. The *Pantoea* sp NII-153 isolated from soil showed high strength lignin derivative degradation ability [23]. These indicated that *Pantoea* genus strains might be potential resources for lignocellulosic biomass biotransformation industry.

*Pantoea ananatis* Sd-1 possesses the degradation ability for both lignocellulosic polysaccharides and lignin. Uncovering corresponding genes and enzymes in its lignocellulolytic system will be essential for its efficient application in biofuel industry. In the present study, we sequenced the whole genome of *P. ananatis* Sd-1 and compared its characteristics to those of five typical cellulolytic and ligninolytic bacteria as well as eight other *P. ananatis* strains. We identified candidate genes involved in lignocellulose degradation through genomic analysis, and some of them were confirmed by analyzing their expression levels. Comparative proteome analysis was also conducted to differentiate the enzyme cocktails produced by *P. ananatis* Sd-1 when it grew on different carbon sources. In addition, this secretome was also evaluated for rice straw saccharification. Furthermore, several typical lignocellulolytic enzymes activity were detected and compared.

Results

Genomic analysis of *P. ananatis* Sd-1

The assembled genome of *P. ananatis* Sd-1 [GenBank: AZTE00000000] comprises a total of 4927.500 bp containing 4332 protein coding sequences (CDS) (detailed results were shown in Additional file 1: Table S1). To identify genes involved in lignocellulose degradation, CDS were analyzed using dbCAN carbohydrate-active enzymes (CAZy) annotation algorithm. Results indicated that 154 genes have multiple domains assigned to CAZy families, including 59 glycoside hydrolases (GHSs), 25 carbohydrate esterases (CEs), 2 polysaccharide lyases (PLs), 9 enzymes with auxiliary activities (AAs), and 11 carbohydrate binding modules (CBMs); 28 of these proteins...
contain signal peptides and are predicted to be extracellular proteins (Additional file 2: Table S2).

The genome characteristics of *P. ananatis* Sd-1 was compared with five well-studied cellulolytic and ligninolytic bacteria: *Pantoea* sp. SL1_M5 [GenBank: ADWZ00000000] [20], *C. bescii* DSM 6725 [GenBank: CP001393] [7], *C. thermocellum* ATCC 27405 [GenBank: CP000568] [8], *Sphingobium* sp. SYK-6 [GenBank: AP012222] [24], and *Enterobacter lignolyticus* SCF1 [GenBank: CP002272] [25], and eight reported *P. ananatis* strains with potential carbohydrate degradation ability [21]: *P. ananatis* AJ13355 [GenBank: AP012032], *P. ananatis* LMG 20103 [GenBank: CP001875], *P. ananatis* LMG 5342 [GenBank: HE617160], *P. ananatis* PA13 [GenBank: CP003085], *P. ananatis* B1-9 [GenBank: CAEJ00000000], *P. ananatis* LMG 2665 [GenBank: JMJK00000000], *P. ananatis* PA4 [GenBank: JMJK00000000], and *P. ananatis* BD442 [GenBank: JMJK00000000]. The number of putative CAZy genes in *P. ananatis* Sd-1 (154) is the highest, and the percentage of putative CAZy genes (3.6 %) is the second highest among all compared strains (Table 1).

*P. ananatis* Sd-1, the eight other *P. ananatis* strains and the cellulolytic bacterium *C. bescii* DSM 6725 possess similar numbers of GHs and CBMs, which are only less than those of the cellulolytic bacterium *C. thermocellum* ATCC 27405. The amounts of CEs and AAs in *P. ananatis* Sd-1 are significantly higher than those in all compared strains, including the eight *P. ananatis* strains. It is also worth mentioning that AAs were absent from the five other cellulolytic and ligninolytic bacteria as well as two *P. ananatis* strains. We only found one AA in *P. ananatis* AJ13355, *P. ananatis* LMG 20103, *P. ananatis* B1-9, *P. ananatis* LMG 2665, *P. ananatis* PA4, and *P. ananatis* BD442.

In *P. ananatis* Sd-1, there are 10 cellulase genes including: one endoglucanase (GH8) gene, one exoglucanase (GH10) gene, and eight β-glucosidase genes (GH1, 3) (Table 2). Among those cellulases, four proteins sequences including endoglucanase and exoglucanase exhibit potential secretion signals. The number of cellulase genes in *P. ananatis* Sd-1 is the same as found in the eight compared *P. ananatis* strains, which is only less than that possessed by the cellulolytic bacterium *C. thermocellum* ATCC 27405 (16).

Similar to the eight compared *P. ananatis* strains, there are five GHs containing CBM domains in *P. ananatis* Sd-1 (Additional file 3: Figure S1), which are surprisingly not related to cellulose degradation. However, there are three cellulase genes containing multiple CBM domains in cellulolytic bacterium *C. bescii* DSM 6725, and the majority of cellulase system-related genes comprise multiple CBM domains in cellulolytic bacterium *C. thermocellum* ATCC 27405.

Although there are no typical xylanase genes in *P. ananatis* Sd-1, we found nine esterases (CE1, 3, 10, 16)

### Table 1 Comparison of genome of *P. ananatis* Sd-1 with cellulolytic, ligninolytic bacteria, and other *P. ananatis* strains

| Species              | Genome size (bp) | Protein coding genes | Total CAZy§ | % CAZy§ | GHs* | CEs* | CBMs* | PLs† | AAs§ |
|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------|--------|------|------|-------|------|------|
| *P. ananatis* Sd-1   | 4,927,500         | 4332                 | 154         | 3.6    | 59   | 25   | 11    | 2    | 9    |
| *P. ananatis* AJ13355| 4,877,280         | 4222                 | 108         | 2.6    | 52   | 4    | 9     | 0    | 1    |
| *P. ananatis* LMG 2013| 4,703,370      | 4076                 | 105         | 2.6    | 51   | 4    | 8     | 0    | 1    |
| *P. ananatis* LMG 5342| 4,908,140        | 4345                 | 108         | 2.5    | 55   | 4    | 10    | 0    | 0    |
| *P. ananatis* PA13   | 4,867,130         | 4403                 | 112         | 2.5    | 59   | 4    | 10    | 0    | 0    |
| *P. ananatis* B1-9   | 5,105,560         | 4672                 | 129         | 2.8    | 58   | 9    | 10    | 2    | 1    |
| *P. ananatis* LMG 2665| 4,633,915        | 4397                 | 123         | 2.8    | 55   | 5    | 10    | 2    | 1    |
| *P. ananatis* PA4    | 5,163,480         | 4662                 | 131         | 2.8    | 60   | 7    | 13    | 2    | 1    |
| *P. ananatis* BD442  | 4,798,550         | 4292                 | 125         | 2.9    | 55   | 8    | 11    | 2    | 1    |
| *Pantoea* sp. SL1_M5 | 4,924,830         | 4626                 | 41          | 0.9    | 28   | 2    | 3     | 0    | 0    |
| *C. thermocellum* ATCC 27405 | 3,843,300 | 3263            | 145         | 4.4    | 74   | 16   | 90    | 4    | 0    |
| *C. bescii* DSM 6725 | 2,931,660         | 2662                 | 88          | 3.3    | 49   | 6    | 18    | 3    | 0    |
| *Sphingobium* sp. SYK-6 | 4,348,130     | 3939                 | 70          | 1.7    | 21   | 1    | 3     | 0    | 0    |
| *E. lignolyticus* SCF1 | 4,814,050       | 4350                 | 94          | 2.1    | 42   | 5    | 7     | 0    | 0    |

* Percentage of CAZy genes in protein coding genes  
§ CAZy carbohydrate-active enzymes  
* GHs glycoside hydrolases  
§ CEs carbohydrate esterases  
* CBMs carbohydrate binding modules  
† PLs polysaccharide lyases  
§ AAs auxiliary activities
### Table 2 Predicted CAZymes and other potential lignocellulolytic enzymes in the *P. ananatis* Sd-1 genome

| Enzymes                              | Specific activity | Locus tag     | CAZy modules | Signal peptides |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|--------------|----------------|
| Cellulose and hemicellulose degradation relevant enzymes |                  |               |              |                |
| Endoglucanases                      |                  | Y903_RS0123720 | GH8          | Y              |
| Exoglucanases                       |                  | Y903_RS0108720 | GH10         | Y              |
| β-glucosidases                      |                  | Y903_RS0109910 | GH1          | N              |
|                                     |                  | Y903_RS0123340 | GH1          | Y              |
|                                     |                  | Y903_RS0115000 | GH1          | N              |
|                                     |                  | Y903_RS0103625 | GH1          | N              |
|                                     |                  | Y903_RS0104945 | GH1          | N              |
|                                     |                  | Y903_RS0106975 | GH1          | N              |
|                                     |                  | Y903_RS0113180 | GH3          | Y              |
|                                     |                  | Y903_RS0116520 | GH3          | N              |
| Oxidoreductase                      |                  | Y903_RS0100765 | GH10         | N              |
|                                     |                  | Y903_RS0119930 | GH10         | N              |
|                                     |                  | Y903_RS0103245 | GH10         | N              |
|                                     |                  | Y903_RS0108420 | GH10         | N              |
| α-N-arabinofuranosidase             |                  | Y903_RS0119145 | GH4          | N              |
|                                     |                  | Y903_RS0120675 | GH5           | N              |
| α-mannosidase                       |                  | Y903_RS0105780 | GH3          | N              |
| Galactosidase                       |                  | Y903_RS0108500 | GH2           | N              |
|                                     |                  | Y903_RS0110160 | GH4           | N              |
| Esterase                            |                  | Y903_RS0100380 | CE1           | N              |
|                                     |                  | Y903_RS0104455 | CE10          | Y              |
|                                     |                  | Y903_RS0104990 | CE16          | N              |
|                                     |                  | Y903_RS0120815 | CE10          | Y              |
| Acyl-CoA esterase                    |                  | Y903_RS0113655 | CE1           | N              |
| Acyl-CoA thioesterase               |                  | Y903_RS0114420 | CE3           | N              |
| Carboxylesterase                    |                  | Y903_RS0117855 | CE1           | N              |
|                                     |                  | Y903_RS0116340 | CE10          | N              |
| Lignin degradation relevant enzymes |                  |               |              |                |
| Multicopper oxidase                 |                  | Y903_RS0111680 | –            | Y              |
|                                     |                  | Y903_RS0119240 | –            | Y              |
| Catalase/hydroperoxidase             |                  | Y903_RS0115335 | AA2          | N              |
| GMC family oxidoreductase           |                  | Y903_RS0107765 | AA3          | N              |
| Quinone oxidoreductase              |                  | Y903_RS0112360 | –            | N              |
|                                     |                  | Y903_RS0117910 | –            | N              |
|                                     |                  | Y903_RS0118595 | –            | N              |
|                                     |                  | Y903_RS0110255 | –            | N              |
|                                     |                  | Y903_RS0120835 | –            | N              |
|                                     |                  | Y903_RS0120850 | –            | N              |
|                                     |                  | Y903_RS0120855 | –            | N              |
| Glutathione S-transferase           |                  | Y903_RS0123560 | –            | N              |
|                                     |                  | Y903_RS0108490 | –            | N              |
|                                     |                  | Y903_RS0107875 | –            | N              |
|                                     |                  | Y903_RS0120970 | –            | N              |
Several genes encoding proteins potentially involved in lignin degradation were identified in *P. ananatis* Sd-1 (Table 2). These include multicopper oxidases, catalase/hydroperoxidase (AA2), glucose–methanol–choline (GMC) family oxidoreductases (AA3), glutathione S-transferases (GSTs), and quinone oxidoreductases. Phylogenetic analysis revealed that the GMC family oxidoreductase was closely related to pyranose oxidases (Fig. 1a). There are seven GSTs in *P. ananatis* Sd-1. Phylogenetic analysis indicated that *gst*1 (Y903_RS0123560), *gst*3 (Y903_RS0107875), and *GST4* (Y903_RS0120970) were closely related to *LigG*, and *GTA*1 (Y903_RS0108490) belongs to the *LigF* group (Fig. 1b). Our analyses indicate that these four GSTs might possess β-etherase activity. Among the above-mentioned enzymes potentially involved in lignin degradation, only the multicopper oxidases are predicted to be extracellular proteins.

Gene expression analysis for *P. ananatis* Sd-1 cultured in rice straw or glucose medium

Expression profiles of selected *P. ananatis* Sd-1 lignocellulolytic enzymes were monitored in cultures grown on rice straw compared to cultures utilizing glucose as sole carbon source. Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) investigation results of genes encoding endoglucanase (egf; *Y903_RS0137230*), exoglucanase (exgl *Y903_RS0108720*), β-glucosidase (*bg1* *Y903_RS0116520* and *bg2* *Y903_RS0113180*), esterase (*est1* Y903_RS0120815, *est2* Y903_RS0117780, *est3* Y903_RS0100380 and *est4* Y903_RS0113655), multicopper oxidase (*lac3* Y903_RS0111660 and *lac4* Y903_RS0119240), catalase/hydroperoxidase (*cat* Y903_RS0115335), GMC family oxidoreductase (*gmc* Y903_RS0107765), GST (*gst*1 Y903_RS0123560, *gst*2 Y903_RS0108490, *gst3* Y903_RS0107875, and *GST4* Y903_RS0120970), and quinone oxidoreductase (*qrd1* Y903_RS0107980, *qrd2* Y903_RS0118595, *qrd3* Y903_RS0110255, and *qrd4* Y903_RS0112360) are shown in Fig. 2. Transcript levels of all the genes of interest were significantly up-regulated (at least *P* < 0.05) in rice straw cultures compared to basic glucose cultures. Remarkably, transcript levels of several cellulase genes (*egl*, 1 and 2, *bgl* 3, 4), hemicellulase genes (*est* 1, 2, and 4), and ligninolytic-related genes (*lac3*, *lac4*, *gst*4, *qrd1*, *qrd2*, and *qrd3*) were increased more than tenfold in rice straw cultures.

Identification of secretomes of *P. ananatis* Sd-1 grown on medium containing with or without rice straw

One-dimensional polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (1D-PAGE) followed by nano liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry (nanoLC–MS/MS) analysis was used to further identify the lignocellulolytic enzymes system in *P. ananatis* Sd-1 cultured in rice straw.
and glucose media, respectively (1D-PAGE gel picture was shown in Additional file 4: Figure S2). The results showed that the composition of the secreted proteins from *P. ananatis* Sd-1 differed significantly between the two media. The molecular weights of identified proteins along with their isoelectric points (pI) were shown in Additional file 5: Figure S3. Most of pI were in the range of 5.0–10.0. In total, 108 proteins were identified in rice straw culture and only 52 proteins were identified in glucose culture (detailed results were shown in Additional file 6). Among these proteins, 17 were found to be common in both cultures (shown in Fig. 3a). The presence of a few intracellular proteins was also detected, which could be a result of cell death and/or cell lysis during incubation during secretome extraction. Similar results were also reported in previous studies [12, 26].

Identified proteins were divided into the following categories: lignocellulolytic enzyme, protease, flagellar protein, transport protein, out membrane protein, lipoprotein, hypothetical protein, and other proteins. 15 of the 108 proteins (13.9 %) in the rice straw growth proteome may be putatively associated with lignocellulose degradation, while there might be only 3 (5.8 %) associated with glucose grown cells (Fig. 3b). Enzymes involved in polysaccharides degradation included cellulolytic and hemicellulolytic enzymes as well as other GHs were prevalent in rice straw cultures, such as one endoglucanase (GH8) (*egl* Y903_RS0123720), three β-glucosidases (GH1 and GH3) (*bgl1* Y903_RS0123340, *bgl2* Y903_RS0113180, and *bgl3* Y903_RS0111680), multicopper oxidase 2, *cat* (Y903_RS0115335): catalase/hydroperoxidase, *gmc* (Y903_RS0107765): GMC family oxidoreductase, *gst1* (Y903_RS0123560): glutathione S-transferase 1, *gst2* (Y903_RS0108490): glutathione S-transferase 2, *gst3* (Y903_RS0107875): glutathione S-transferase 3, *gst4* (Y903_RS0120970): glutathione S-transferase 4, *qrd1* (Y903_RS0107980): quinone oxidoreductase 1, *qrd2* (Y903_RS0118595): quinone oxidoreductase 2, *qrd3* (Y903_RS010255): quinone oxidoreductase 3, *qrd4* (Y903_RS0112360): quinone oxidoreductase 4. The values represent the means of the three replicates with the standard deviation (SD). Asterisks represent significant differences from the glucose-containing medium (Statistical significance: * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01, *** P < 0.001).
(AA2) \textit{cat Y903_RS0115335} and one multicopper oxidase \textit{lac4 Y903_RS0119240} (Table 3). Among these enzymes, most possessed signal peptides, except for one β-glucosidase \textit{Y903_RS0103625}, one glycosyl hydrolase \textit{Y903_RS0104515}, and one catalase/hydroperoxidase \textit{Y903_RS0115335}. There were only three CAZymes identified in glucose cultures: one hypothetical protein (CE1) \textit{Y903_RS0116730}, one translocation protein TolB (PL22) \textit{Y903_RS0113490}, and one lysozyme (GH24) \textit{Y903_RS0115180}.

Comparison of enzymes activities from \textit{P. ananatis} Sd-1 grown on medium containing with or without rice straw

We detected several lignocellulolytic enzymes activities displayed by \textit{P. ananatis} Sd-1 to further confirm its degradative ability. As presented in Fig. 4, the lignin peroxidase-like activity was only detected in rice straw cultures. The activities of endoglucanase, β-glucosidase, xylanase-like, exoglucanase, and laccase-like for rice straw were significantly higher than those for glucose, especially for the first three enzymes. However, manganese peroxidase-like activity remained undetected in both cultures.

To investigate whether higher enzymes activities were caused by increases in bacterial growth or in the content of secreted proteins, \textit{P. ananatis} Sd-1 grew on rice straw and glucose medium were assayed. In Fig. 5a, cell density of \textit{P. ananatis} Sd-1 in glucose cultures was significantly higher compared to growth in rice straw cultures (at least $P < 0.01$). Cultures grown separately on glucose and rice straw reached saturation on day 3 with the value of 9.42 ± 0.01 log10 CFU/ml and 8.67 ± 0.03 log10 CFU/ml, respectively. In contrast, the amount of secreted protein contents in rice straw was significantly higher compared to that of glucose cultures (at least $P < 0.01$). The maximum protein contents of both cultures were reached at day 3 with the value of 1.19 ± 0.03 mg/ml and 1.42 ± 0.02 mg/ml, respectively (Fig. 5b).

Hydrolysis of rice straw by secretome of \textit{P. ananatis} Sd-1 from rice straw culture

To further assess the lignocellulose degrading ability, the secretome of \textit{P. ananatis} Sd-1 were extracted from rice straw cultures at different time intervals and evaluated for rice straw saccharification. As depicted in Fig. 6, with increased incubation, a basically increase in reducing sugar was released from rice straw. The highest yield of released reducing sugar was detected from the third day’s secretome with a value of 129.11 ± 2.7 mg/gds. And the sixth day’s secretome produced the least reducing sugar.

Discussion

Lignocellulose degrading microorganisms and their degradative systems possess great potential for biofuels production [27]. To date few microorganisms with the exception of white-rot fungi have been reported to have the ability to degrade cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin, simultaneously [28]. However, currently there is no commercial product for lignocellulose biodegradation, in part due to the practical challenges of fungal enzyme expression and fungal genetic manipulation [29]. Recent work indicates that the diverse bacterial lignocellulolytic systems may be more significant than previously thought, but their degradation catabolism has not been well characterized [30, 31]. Our previous study found that the endophytic bacterium \textit{P. ananatis} Sd-1 had strong comprehensive ability to degrade cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin [18]. Characterization of its degradation system will be very useful for utilization of plant lignocellulose for production of biofuels.

According to CAZy family classification, GHs, CEs, PLs, and CBMs reportedly play main roles in Table 3 Identification of main lignocellulolytic proteins in the secretome of \textit{P. ananatis} Sd-1 in rice straw culture

| Locus tag       | Identified protein description | Score | Unique peptides | Peptides | Protein mass (kDa) | Isoelectric point | Signal peptides |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|-------|----------------|----------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Y903_RS0108720  | exoglucanase                   | 12.84 | 5              | 5        | 41.6               | 8.72              | Y               |
| Y903_RS0103625  | beta-glucosidase               | 12.06 | 3              | 3        | 44.2               | 5.79              | N               |
| Y903_RS0113180  | beta-glucosidase               | 10.31 | 3              | 3        | 84                 | 6.48              | Y               |
| Y903_RS0123340  | beta-glucosidase               | 22.52 | 5              | 5        | 53.2               | 6.33              | Y               |
| Y903_RS0123720  | endoglucanase                  | 10.8  | 4              | 4        | 38.6               | 6.55              | Y               |
| Y903_RS0104515  | glycosyl hydrolase             | 12.79 | 4              | 4        | 43.8               | 5.23              | N               |
| Y903_RS0104455  | esterase                       | 6.93  | 3              | 3        | 37.8               | 5.75              | Y               |
| Y903_RS0120815  | esterase                       | 7.74  | 2              | 2        | 33.1               | 9.60              | Y               |
| Y903_RS0108355  | beta-N-acetylhexosaminidase    | 8.47  | 3              | 3        | 88.4               | 6.26              | Y               |
| Y903_RS0115335  | catalase/hydroperoxidase        | 5.95  | 2              | 2        | 80                 | 5.71              | N               |
| Y903_RS0119240  | multicopper oxidase            | 11.23 | 4              | 4        | 58.1               | 6.25              | Y               |
lignocellulosic polysaccharide degradation. Similarly, it has also been reported that AAs contribute largely to lignin breakdown [32]. Bioinformatic comparisons of CAZy in *P. ananatis* Sd-1 to other known cellulolytic and ligninolytic bacteria as well as *P. ananatis* strains confirmed its lignocellulolytic capabilities. Moreover, the biodegradation system in *P. ananatis* Sd-1 seems has its own characteristics.

In general, cellulose degradation is attributed to the synergistic action of three classes of glycosyl hydrolases: (1) endoglucanases, (2) exoglucanases, and (3) β-glucosidases [33]. CBM, a non-catalytic

![Fig. 4 Lignocellulolytic enzyme activities of *P. ananatis* Sd-1 in the presence of different substrates. The activities of endoglucanase, β-glucosidase, exoglucanase, xylanase-like, lignin peroxidase-like, laccase-like are listed in a–f, respectively. Glucose substrate, RS rice straw substrate. Data are presented in triplicate from experiments as the mean standard deviation.](image-url)
polysaccharide-recognizing module possessed by some GHs, influences enzymes activities by attaching to the substrate [34]. We found that only five GHs containing CBM domains in P. ananatis Sd-1. The value is far less than that reported in C. bescii DSM 6725 (14) and C. thermocellum ATCC 27405 (38). C. thermocellum ATCC 27405 is a typical cellulose production strain [35], which might contain multiple CBM domains for cellulose substrates attachment. Its cellulose subunits have been identified by the proteomic analysis [8]. Caldicellulosiruptor bescii genus are well-studied multifunctional enzymes production strains. Their multifunctional enzymes generally contain one or several CBMs [36]. Correspondingly, a group of glycosidases with CBM3 domains have been identified in the secretome of C. bescii when grown on crystalline cellulose. This implied that their enzymes might exist as free enzymes or are incorporated into cellulosomes [37]. In contrast, P. ananatis Sd-1 possesses typical cellulase genes encoding endoglucanase, β-glucosidase, and exoglucanase lacking CBM domains. Upon comparison of expression levels, we found that transcripts of all detected genes encoding these enzymes were significantly up-regulated in P. ananatis Sd-1 cultured in rice straw-containing medium (at least P < 0.05). Higher activities of these enzymes were also all detected in the supernatant of rice straw cultures relative to those in glucose cultures. Correspondingly, we found that endoglucanase (egl), exoglucanase (exgl), and two β-glucosidase enzymes (bgl1 and bgl2) all contain signal peptides. These four enzymes as well as another β-glucosidases (Bgl3) could only be identified in supernatant of rice straw cultures. These evidences indicate that the cellulase systems in P. ananatis Sd-1 might be composed of free single enzymes, which are soluble and diffuse away from the cell under culture conditions. Several enzymes, including xylanases and esterases, are needed to completely degrade the hemicellulose polysaccharides [38]. No typical xylanase gene was found, but it was noticed that the CEs number (25) present in P. ananatis Sd-1 are strikingly higher than that of all the compared strains, including other eight P. ananatis strains. There are nine esterases belonging to CEs in P. ananatis Sd-1: Ets1 Y903_RS0120815 and est2 Y903_RS0117780 belonging to the CE10 family
shared 85 and 75 % similarity with the endo-1,4-beta-xylanase (GenBank: CRH34589.1) and xynB (GenBank: WP_052270754), respectively. Both sequences of the two proteins contain signal peptides. Members of CE10 have been found to possess both carboxylesterase and xylanase activities [39]. In addition, two enzymes from CE10 have been identified in the secretome of Penicillium purpureogenum when it was cultured with acetylated xylan substrates [40]. Consistent with these reports, in P. ananatis Sd-1, the transcript levels of four detected esterase genes including est1 and est2 were significantly up-regulated (at least $P < 0.01$) in rice straw cultures, which corresponded with high xylanase-like activity detected in the same cultures. It should be mentioned that the maximum xylanase-like activity reached 25.29 ± 0.87 U/ml in rice straw cultures, which is higher than that was previously reported for cellulosytic fungus [26]. Furthermore, two esterases including est2 were only detected in rice straw cultures. These results are consistent with the previous reports, which indicated that P. ananatis Sd-1 might possess additional enzymes for efficient breakdown of hemicellulose and further characterization of these enzymes might broaden existing knowledge of hemicellulose degradation system. Interestingly, no CE10 was found in the eight other compared P. ananatis strains, except for typical xylanase genes. The difference between P. ananatis Sd-1 and other P. ananatis strains might be attributed to an evolutionary adaption of P. ananatis Sd-1 to the endophytic environment, which was different with that of other compared P. ananatis strains [21, 41].

Rice straw saccharification by the P. ananatis Sd-1 secretome from rice straw cultures confirmed the existence of its lignocellulosic polysaccharides degradation system. The highest yield of released reducing sugars was attained from the third day's secretome, whereas the lowest yield was observed from the sixth day's secretome. These were approximately consistent with results of enzymes activities detection. Analyses indicated that the secretome of P. ananatis Sd-1 release higher amounts of reducing sugars than that reported for Phoma exigua secretome which used pretreated paddy straw or wheat straw as substrates [42, 43]. These indicated that P. ananatis Sd-1 is deserved an in-depth exploration for its enzyme system, such as GHs and CEs.

Although bacterial enzymes associated with lignin degradation are not well characterized in comparison to their fungal counterparts, it has been reported that bacteria have their own unique enzymes for lignin degradation, e.g., Ca-dehydrogenase (LigD), glutathione-dependent β-etherase enzymes (LigE, F, G), dimethylase enzyme (LigX), and DyP-type peroxidases [30, 44–46]. Hence it is not surprising that there is no classic fungi lignin degradation enzyme in genome of P. ananatis Sd-1. Recent reports of multicopper oxidases demonstrating laccase activities have increased [47, 48]. Secreted catalase/hydroperoxidase, which possess similar heme-containing domains to lignin peroxidases, is associated with strong peroxidase activity and was shown to play an important role in the lignin degradation process in E. lignolyticus SCF1 [25]. In P. ananatis Sd-1, significantly up-regulated transcript levels of 2 multicopper oxidase genes ($P < 0.001$) and catalase/hydroperoxidase gene ($P < 0.05$) were found to corresponded with higher laccase-like and lignin peroxidase-like activities in rice straw cultures relative to glucose cultures. These results suggest that these enzymes might fulfill similar roles to laccase and lignin peroxidase, respectively, in P. ananatis Sd-1. Notably, the maximum value (2.59 ± 0.11 U/ml) for lignin peroxidase-like activity produced by P. ananatis Sd-1 in rice straw culture exceeds previously reported values for any fungus or bacterium [49, 50]. Furthermore, the identification of multicopper oxidase (lac4) and catalase/hydroperoxidase (cat) proteins among secretome of rice straw cultures provides supporting evidence. These data provide a catalog of novel bacterial enzymes participating in the deconstruction of lignin. Indeed, we have cloned one multicopper oxidase gene lac4 (Y903_RS0119240) and the recombinant enzyme can efficiently degrade lignin and decolorize dyes in vitro [51].

During fungal degradation of lignin, GMC family oxidoreductases such as glucose oxidase, aryl-alcohol oxidase, methanol oxidase, and pyranose oxidase were reported to participate by providing the hydrogen peroxide required by ligninolytic peroxidases [52, 53]. According to our phylogenetic analysis, the pyranose oxidase enzyme might be the GMC family oxidoreductase equivalent in P. ananatis Sd-1. In addition, hydrogen peroxide production is a typical character in the Fenton chemistry pathway. Quinone oxidoreductases were found to drive Fenton chemistry systems participated in lignin degradation in the brown-rot fungus Gloeophyllum trabeum [54]. Interestingly, transcript levels of the GMC family oxidoreductase and quinone oxidoreductases in P. ananatis Sd-1 were all significantly up-regulated in rice straw cultures relative to glucose cultures (at least $P < 0.01$). These data provide clues that the Fenton chemistry pathway might also exist in bacteria, although this process was shown previously to only exist in fungi. Further research in support of this hypothesis is currently underway.

In our study, it was surprisingly to find that there are nine AAs in P. ananatis Sd-1, while they are absent from the other compared strains except for one. Considering their supposed role in lignin degradation [32], the enzyme system in P. ananatis Sd-1 might be a new resource for lignin decomposition. Although in this study, we only confirmed two AAs (AA2-Catalase/hydroperoxidase and
A part of these genes transcript levels were found to be highly up-regulated when induced by lignocellulosic substrates, which was corroborated by the secretomic analysis. According to phylogenetic analysis, four GST genes in *P. ananatis* Sd-1 might possess β-etherase activity. Transcript levels of these four GST genes were significantly up-regulated when rice straw was used as substrate (at least \( P < 0.05 \)). It was not surprising that GST remained undetected in the secretome of *P. ananatis* Sd-1, as none of the four GSTs possesses signal peptides. This is consistent with a report of GSTs in *Sphingomonas paucimobilis* SYK-6 [44]. Our results suggested that a distinct bacteria β-aryl ether degradation pathway might also exist for lignin degradation by *P. ananatis* Sd-1.

Our results confirmed that microbial lignocellulosolytic enzymes production is dependent on the carbon source [11]. Growth comparisons of *P. ananatis* Sd-1 in different media indicated poorer growth in rice straw compared to glucose. However, the secreted protein contents from rice straw cultures were higher. These indicated that the discrepancy in enzymes activities was not related to cell density, but rather differences in gene expression in response to the carbon sources.

According to the work in this paper, further studies should focus on the individual enzyme function to aid in development of efficient biological treatment schemes. Elucidation of the mechanistic features of *P. ananatis* Sd-1 lignocellulosolytic system is also indispensable for its further biotechnological application.

**Conclusions**

This study confirms the strong lignocellulosic biomass degradation capacity of rice endophytic bacterium *P. ananatis* Sd-1. Genomic analysis revealed that *P. ananatis* Sd-1 possesses abundant lignocellulosolytic enzymes genes, especially for ligninolytic enzymes relevant genes compared to other cellulolytic and ligninolytic bacteria. A part of these genes transcript levels were found to be highly up-regulated when induced by lignocellulosic substrates, which was corroborated by the secretomic and enzyme activity profiles. In addition, the secretome of *P. ananatis* Sd-1 showed strong saccharification ability toward rice straw. Furthermore, we conclude that the cellulase system of *P. ananatis* Sd-1 might function as free single enzymes. The β-aryl ether degradation and Fenton chemistry pathways might exist in lignin degradation process of *P. ananatis* Sd-1. The discovery of lignocellulosolytic system in *P. ananatis* Sd-1 is crucial for a deeper understanding of its degradation mechanisms and the regulation of their extracellular proteins. This work and further evaluation will therefore be a cornerstone for future applications of *P. ananatis* Sd-1 in biofuels production.

**Methods**

**Bacterial strains and growth media**

The *P. ananatis* Sd-1 (China General Microbiological Culture Collection Centre, no. 6698) is an endophytic bacterium isolated from rice seed in Changsha city of Hunan Province, China [18]. *P. ananatis* Sd-1 colonies were inoculated in Luria–Bertani (LB) broth medium and incubated until the logarithmic growth phase at 30 °C with shaking at 170 rpm. Then 1 ml culture was inoculated into triplicate 100 ml sterile rice straw (pretreated as previously described [18]) or glucose-containing mineral salt media (10.0 g rice straw or glucose, 2.0 g (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$, 1.0 g KH$_2$PO$_4$, 1.0 g KH$_2$PO$_4$, 0.2 g MgSO$_4$, 0.1 g CaCl$_2$, 0.02 g MnSO$_4$, and 0.05 g FeSO$_4$ in 1000 ml distilled water, pH 7.2) and incubated for 6 days at 30 °C with shaking at 170 rpm.

**Bacterial strain growth measurement**

To assess growth of bacteria in rice straw or glucose-containing media, 1 ml samples were periodically withdrawn at 24 h intervals and serially diluted (up to \( 10^{-7} \)) were proceeded with sterile 0.8 % (w/v) normal saline. Thereafter, 1 ml diluted sample was placed on LB medium and spread over the agar surface, and incubated at 30 °C for 24 h. Cell counts were performed on plates containing between 30 and 300 colonies. Results were calculated as log10 CFU/ml. All assays were performed with three replicates.

**Enzyme assays**

A total of 2 ml samples were withdrawn from rice straw and glucose cultures periodically at every 24-h interval for lignocellulosolytic enzyme activity assays. Endoglucanase and exoglucanase activities were determined according to the method of Ghose using 1 % (w/v) sodium carboxymethyl cellulose and Whatman grade 1 filter paper as substrates, respectively [55]. Xylanase-like activity was assayed as described by the method of Bailey et al. using beechwood xylan as substrate [56]. Released reducing sugar from the reaction were determined by the 3,5-dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS) method [57] using glucose or xylose as standard. One unit of enzyme activity was defined as the amount of enzyme that catalyzes the release of 1 µmol reducing sugar as glucose or xylose equivalent per minute under the specified assay conditions. The β-glucosidase activity was assayed as described
by Parry et al. [58] using 10 mM \( p \)-nitrophenyl-\( \beta \)-d-glucopyranoside as substrate. The reaction was terminated by adding 100 \( \mu l \) 1 M \( Na_2CO_3 \) and the color developed was measured at 405 nm. One unit of enzyme activity was defined as the amount of enzyme required to release 1 \( \mu mol \) \( p \)-nitrophenol per minute under the conditions of the assay.

Lignin peroxidase-like activity was measured according to the method of Shi et al. by monitoring oxidation of veratryl alcohol to veratraldehyde at 310 nm (\( \varepsilon_{310} = 9300 \) mol\(^{-1} \) cm\(^{-1} \)) [59]. Laccase-like activity was measured according to the method of Nakagawa et al. by monitoring oxidation of 2,2-azino-bis (3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) (ABTS) to ABTS radical at 420 nm (\( \varepsilon_{420} = 36000 \) mol\(^{-1} \) cm\(^{-1} \)) [60]. The manganese peroxidase-like activity was measured by monitoring oxidation of 2,6-dimethyl phenol (2,6-DMP) to coeruleignone at 469 nm (\( \varepsilon_{469} = 49600 \) mol\(^{-1} \) cm\(^{-1} \)) [59]. One unit of lignin peroxidase-like or laccase-like or manganese peroxidase-like activity was defined as the amount of enzyme needed to produce 1 \( \mu mol \) product per minute. All assays were performed with three replicates.

**Genome sequencing and analysis of *Pantoea ananatis* Sd-1**

Genomic DNA of *P. ananatis* Sd-1 was extracted from an overnight culture in LB broth medium using a GenRay Bacterial Genomic DNA Extraction Kit (GeneRay, Shanghai, China). The genome of *P. ananatis* Sd-1 was sequenced at Novogene Bioinformatics Technology Co., LTD (Beijing, China) using Illumina HiSeq 2000, with a shotgun library of 500 bp insertion size. Prediction and annotation of genes were performed using the Prodigal (Prokaryotic Dynamic Programming Gene-finding Algorithm). Functional annotation was performed by BLASTP algorithm using the public database KEGG, NR, TrEMBL, and SWISS-PROT. The complete genome sequence of *P. ananatis* Sd-1 collected from rice straw and glucose-containing medium at the third day using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's specifications.

**Total RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis**

Total RNA was extracted from the cells of *P. ananatis* Sd-1 collected from rice straw and glucose-containing medium at the third day using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's specifications.

**Quantitative real-time PCR**

The primers (Additional file 7: Table S3) used for qRT-PCR in this study were designed by Beacon Designer 7.7 software. The qRT-PCR reactions were performed on MX3000P thermal cycler (Stratagene, Santa Clara, CA) and were normalized using 16S rRNA expression levels as internal reference. Reactions were conducted using SYBR® Premix Ex Taq™ II (Tli RnaseH Plus) (Takara, Dalian, China). The applied cycling conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 95 °C for 30 s, followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 5 s, then 60 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s. At the end of the amplification of reaction, dissociation curves were performed to verify primer specificity. All reactions were performed in triplicate. Relative transcript levels were determined by the 2\(^-\Delta\Delta Ct\) method [64]: normalizing the gene expression levels in rice straw-containing medium to that in glucose-containing medium, where expression level of the gene in glucose-containing medium was set to one.

**Total secretome protein extraction**

Cultures of *P. ananatis* Sd-1 grew in rice straw and glucose-containing media were harvested at every 24-h interval. After filtering, cells and supernatant were separated by centrifugation (4000 rpm, 10 min, 4 °C) and further clarified by filtration through 0.2 \( \mu m \) membranes (Millipore corporation, Billerica, MA). The supernatant protein fraction was concentrated using acetone precipitation [42]. The precipitate protein pellets were dissolved in an appropriate volume of citrate buffer (50 mM, pH 4.8) and used for the saccharification of rice straw. For identification of the secretome protein, the supernatant protein fraction of the third day was precipitated with 10 % (w/v) trichloroacetic aid overnight at 4 °C and collected by centrifugation (10,000 rpm, 30 min, 4 °C). The resulting protein pellets were washed three times with 96 % ethanol (v/v) and then dried. Protein pellets were resuspended in a sample preparation solution containing 8 M urea, 4 % (w/v) 3-[(3-cholamidopropyl)
dimethylammonio] propanesulfonate (CHAPS), 40 mM dithiothreitol (DTT). Protein concentrations were determined with the Non-Interference Protein Assay Kit (Sangon Biotech, Shanghai, China).

**Saccharification of rice straw by secretome of P. ananatis Sd-1**

Saccharification of pretreated rice straw was carried out in screw-capped plastic bottles containing 10 % substrate (w/v) and secretome of *P. ananatis* Sd-1 with 0.01 % sodium azide. Saccharification was carried out at 50 °C with shaking at 150 rpm for 72 h. Samples were withdrawn at every 12- intervals for total reducing sugars assay. The yield of released reducing sugar was expressed as mg per gram of dry solid cell mass (mg/gds).

**Secretome protein identification**

Twenty-five micro gram of protein samples from each treatment were loaded into a 12 % SDS–polyacrylamide gel for electrophoresis. After destaining, each sample lane was horizontally dissected into 16 fractions and further excised into 1 mm² pieces.

Protein digestion and peptide extraction were performed according to Andreji et al. [65]. Gel fragments were washed once with 50 % (v/v) methanol and destained twice for 30 min in acetonitrile 50 % (v/v) with 25 mM ammonium bicarbonate. Then incubated with 10 mM DTT in 25 mM ammonium bicarbonate at 56 °C for 1 h and subsequently incubated with 55 mM iodoacetamide in the dark at room temperature for 45 min. Thereafter, gel fragments were digested for 18 h at 37 °C with 12 ng/µl sequencing grade modified trypsin (Promega, Madison, USA) dissolved in 25 mM ammonium bicarbonate. Then incubated with 25 mM ammonium bicarbonate and 10 % (v/v) acetonitrile solution. Following trypsin digestion, extraction solution containing 66.7 % acetonitrile and 5 % formic acid was added and incubated with sonication for 15 min. Peptides were collected from the extraction solution, dried by vacuum centrifugation, and resuspended in 0.1 % formic acid solution for mass spectrometric analysis.

Samples were analyzed with two technical repetitions each. NanoLC–MS/MS analysis was performed using an EASY-nano LC system (Proxeon Biosystems, Odense, Denmark) coupled online with an LTQ-Orbitrap Velos mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA). Peptides were loaded onto a PepMap C18 trap column (75 µm, 15 cm) (Dionex corporation, Sunnyvale, CA) and eluted using a gradient from 100 % solvent A (0.1 % formic acid) to 35 % solvent B (0.1 % formic acid, 100 % acetonitrile) for 38 min, 35–90 % solvent B for 15 min, and 100 % solvent B for 5 min (a total of 65 min at 200 nL/min). After each run, the column was washed with 90 % solvent B and re-equilibrated with 5 % solvent A. Mass spectra were acquired in positive ion mode applying data-dependent automatic survey MS scan and MS/MS acquisition modes. Each MS scan in the Orbitrap analyzer (mass range = m/z 350–2000, resolution = 60,000) was followed by MS/MS of the seven most intense ions in the LTQ. Fragmentation in the LTQ was performed by high-energy collision-activated dissociation, and selected sequenced ions were dynamically excluded for 30 s. The raw data were viewed in Xcalibur (version 2.1, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA), and data processing was performed using Proteome Discoverer (version 1.3 beta, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA). The raw files were submitted to a database search using Proteome Discoverer with an in-house sequence algorithm against all predicted CDS of *P. ananatis* Sd-1 described above. The searches were performed with the following parameters: MS accuracy, 10 ppm; MS/MS accuracy, 0.05 Da; two missed cleavage sites allowed; carbamidomethylation of cysteine as a fixed modification; and oxidation of methionine as variable modifications. A minimum of two peptides per protein was accepted for identification. The identification lists from technical repetitions were merged, and repeated protein groups were removed.
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- **Additional file 1: Table S1.** Genome features of *Pantoea ananatis* Sd-1. pkl.
- **Additional file 2: Table S2.** Carbohydrate-active enzymes identified in the *Pantoea ananatis* Sd-1 genome.xlsx.
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- **Additional file 4: Figure S2.** The identified proteins in proteomes induced by rice straw-containing medium (G) and glucose-containing medium (S).png.
- **Additional file 5: Figure S3.** Molecular weight and isoelectric focusing of identified proteins; G: proteins identified in the supernatant of glucose-containing medium; RS, proteins identified in the supernatant of rice straw-containing medium. Both the molecular weight and isoelectric point were theoretical obtained from the Proteome Discoverer v.1.3 beta (Thermo Scientific) prediction during the proteins identification.png.
- **Additional file 6.** The identified proteins in proteomes induced by rice straw and glucose substrates.xlsx.
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