Study of Four Rainstorm Design Methods in Chongqing
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Based on minute-by-minute precipitation data from 1961 to 2016 obtained from the National Basic Weather Station of Shapingba, Chongqing, China, the Chicago rainstorm method, the Pilgrim & Cordery (P&C) method, the Common-frequency method and the natural rainstorm moving regularity (NRMR) method were used to design short-duration (1–3 h) and long-duration (24 h) rainstorm hyetographs. Then, a standardization method for test samples was designed to ensure that each test sample was standardized and underwent an actual precipitation process. The designed rainstorm hyetographs and test samples were compared and analyzed, and the results show that the hyetographs for the 1, 3, and 24-h durations obtained with the NRMR method best represented the actual corresponding precipitation processes. The hyetograph for the 2-h duration obtained with the Chicago rainstorm method was best for the actual 2-h precipitation process. The design results of the Common-frequency method were generally good, but not outstanding. Finally, the P&C method showed a relatively poor performance for each duration.
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INTRODUCTION

Chongqing, an important city in central China, is an economic center in the upper reaches of the Yangtze River, an important junction between “the Belt and Road” and an inland opening highland. In particular, since the area came under the jurisdiction of the People’s Government in 1997 and due to its continuous urbanization, the impervious surface area in Chongqing has increased significantly. This increase has led to waterlogging disasters (especially on July 17, 2007 and July 16 and 17, 2020), which have caused considerable loss of life for urban residents and negative socioeconomic impacts. At present, the low design standard for urban underground water distribution networks in China is one of the important causes of urban flood and waterlogging disasters. Rainstorm hyetograph design is an important factor for drainage design standards and is the basis for scientifically and reasonably planning and designing urban drainage systems (Pochwat et al., 2017). Therefore, a reasonably designed rainstorm hyetograph is particularly important.

The intensity-duration-frequency (IDF) relationship of rainfall extremes is usually summarized in the evaluation process and widely used as a convenient tool for understanding the characteristics of extreme rainfall events at given locations (Ben-Zvi, 2009). Wang presented an effective approach for assessing the impacts of climate change on both the intensity and frequency of extreme rainfall events by integrating regional climate modeling and IDF curves into a general framework (Wang et al., 2014). The classic methods that are currently used are described as follows: Keifer and Chu (1957) proposed a method that is based on an intensity-duration-frequency (IDF) curve and a rainstorm intensity formula. This method is known as the Chicago rainstorm method. Pilgrim and Cordery
(1975) developed an order-average hyetograph based on the principle of statistics (referred to as the P&C method). Yen and Chow (1980) proposed a triangular-shaped hyetograph. In addition to the abovementioned methods, other methods for deriving hyetographs have been developed more recently; please refer to Lin and Wu (2007), Lee and Ho (2008), Powell et al. (2008), Yin et al. (2014), Kottegoda et al. (2014), NRMR (Liao et al., 2019b) for detailed descriptions (Wang et al., 2018). Furthermore, there are advantages and disadvantages among the different rainstorm hyetograph design methods. Cen (1993), Cen et al. (1998), Cen (1999) compared four methods for designing rainstorm hyetographs, including the methods of Keifer and Chu (1957), Huff (1967), Pilgrim and Cordery (1975), Yen and Chow (1980), and the results showed that the flood peak discharges obtained by the different rainstorm hyetograph design methods were significantly different, and the sensitivities of the different methods to the duration of precipitation events and to precipitation data varied.

The purpose of designing a rainstorm hyetograph is to allow the designed results to represent the natural precipitation process in an actual local rainstorm. However, scholars in China and abroad have rarely conducted comparative studies to determine if rainstorm hyetograph designs represent local conditions. To address this situation, minute-by-minute precipitation data from 1961 to 2016 were obtained from the National Basic Weather Station of Shapingba, Chongqing, China, and the Chicago rainstorm method, P&C method, Common-frequency method and NRMR method were used to design short-duration (1–3 h) and long-duration (24 h) rainstorm hyetographs. Then, the rainstorm hyetograph designs were compared with samples from actual precipitation processes that led to waterlogging from 1961 to 2016 (these samples were not used for the rainstorm hyetograph designs). These methods were compared to determine the method that had the optimal design results.

DATA AND SAMPLING METHODS

The data used in this study are minute-by-minute precipitation data from 1961 to 2016 from the National Basic Weather Station of Shapingba in Chongqing, China, and these data were provided by the Chongqing Municipal Center of Weather Information and Technology Support. The precipitation data from 1961 to 2008 are the self-recording minute-by-minute paper records, and the data from 2009 to 2016 are the observation data of automatic stations. Using the color scanning digitizing processing system of the precipitation autographic record paper (Wang et al., 2004) provided by the China Meteorological Administration (CMA), the precipitation autographic record papers were subjected to scanning, inspection, and extraction of minute-by-minute precipitation data. However, the 6-year (1968, 1971, 1975, 2000, 2001, 2005) record papers cannot be digitized since they are not well preserved; thus, these data were excluded. The remaining 50 years of data were used. The sampling method used in this paper was the natural rainfall moving sampling method for heavy precipitation (Liao et al., 2019a). The two largest samples of each year were selected, in which the largest sample was used for the rainstorm hyetograph design, and the second largest sample was used as the test sample (a total of 47 samples were used in this paper). When the samples were selected by the natural rainfall moving sampling method for heavy precipitation, the 3-year (2008, 1980, 1987) samples with less than 50 mm of rainfall were excluded.

RESULTS OF DIFFERENT RAINSTORM HYETOGRAPH DESIGN METHODS

Four methods commonly used in China were used for the rainstorm hyetograph design, including the Chicago rainstorm method, P&C method, Common-frequency method and NRMR method.

Chicago Rainstorm Method

The Chicago rainstorm method is based on the storm intensity formula, and the rainstorm peak position is determined by rainstorm statistics (Dai et al., 2017). By introducing the position coefficient r of the rainstorm peak to describe the time when the rainstorm peak occurs, the time series of rainfall duration is divided into two parts of prepeak and postpeak.

The duration of a rainstorm process is \( t_o \), the instantaneous rainfall intensity before the peak is \( I_{t\leq t_o} \), the corresponding duration is \( t_{o} \), the cumulative rainfall is \( H_{t\leq t_o} \), the instantaneous rainfall intensity after the peak is \( I_{t\geq t_o} \), the corresponding duration is \( t_{o} \), the cumulative rainfall is \( H_{t\geq t_o} \), and the total rainfall is \( H_{t} = H_{t\leq t_o} + H_{t\geq t_o} \). Let \( t_0 = 1 \) and the intensity peak position \( r \) be between 0 and 1, then \( t_o = t_o/r = t_o/(1 - r) \). The rainstorm intensity formula is \( i = A/(T + b)^n \), the rainstorm peak time is set as 0 and the average intensities before and after the peak are \( i_a \) and \( i_b \), respectively:

When \( 0 \leq t \leq t_o \), \( i_a = \frac{A}{(t + rb)^n} = \frac{r^nA}{(t + rb)^n} = \frac{r^nA}{(t + rb)^n} + nb r^{n+1}A 

When \( 0 \leq t \leq t_o \), \( i_b = \frac{A}{(t + rb)^n} = \frac{A}{(t + rb)^n} = \frac{A}{(t + rb)^n} + nb r^{n+1}A 

Therefore, the instantaneous rainfall intensity before and after the peak \( i_a \) and \( i_b \) is obtained as follows:

When \( 0 \leq t \leq t_o \), \( I_a = \int_0^{t_o} \frac{r^nA}{(t + rb)^n} + nb r^{n+1}A 

When \( 0 \leq t \leq t_o \), \( I_b = \int_0^{t_o} \frac{A}{(t + rb)^n} + nb r^{n+1}A 

Based on the two equations above, the cumulative rainfall hyetograph is calculated as follows:

When \( 0 \leq t \leq rT \),

\[
H = \int_0^t (t - 0)dt = HT \left[ r - \left( \frac{t}{T} \right) \right]^{1/rT} - \left( \frac{t}{rT} \right)^{1/rT} 
\]
When $rT \leq t \leq T$,

$$H = rH_T + \int_{rT}^{T} I_t dt = H_T \left[ r + \left( \frac{T}{T - r} \right) \left[ 1 + \frac{t - T}{(1 - r)(T + b)} \right]^{-r} \right]$$

Figure 1 shows the short-duration design rainstorm hyetographs according to the above steps. Since the maximum sampling time of this method is only 180 min, it was not used for the design rainstorm hyetograph for the 24-h duration.

**P&C Method**

The P&C method places the rainstorm peak period at the position with the highest possibility of occurrence, the percentage of precipitation in the peak period in each rainstorm is taken to calculate the average percentage of precipitation and the positions and percentages of other periods are calculated in the same way (Jiang, 2015). The specific steps are described as follows:

1. The rainstorm samples with a certain duration are selected. Multiple rainfall events with the largest amounts of precipitation are selected, and the more rainfall events there are, the greater the statistical significance.
2. The duration is divided into several periods. The length of the period is determined by the desired time unit for the time distribution, and generally, the smaller this value is, the better. For example, if a 5-min unit is used for a 180-min rainstorm hyetograph design, the 180-min duration selected in step 1 is divided into 36 periods.
3. For every rainfall event selected, according to the rainfall of each period, the time series number of each period is determined from largest to smallest, and the period with large rainfall corresponds to the small time series number. The time series number of each corresponding period is averaged, and the average time series number from smallest to largest corresponds to rainfall intensities from largest to smallest.
4. The percentage of rainfall in each period compared with the total rainfall is calculated, and the average percentage of each period is taken.
5. A rainfall hyetograph is formed by arranging periods according to the time series with the maximum possibility determined in step 3 and the distribution percentage determined in step 4.

Figure 2 shows the designed rainstorm hyetographs of all durations according to the above steps.

**Common-Frequency Method**

The Common-frequency method, also known as the “long-duration including short-duration,” provides a long-duration hyetograph that includes a short-duration hyetograph. This method determines the time series using the situation with the
most occurrences (namely, the mode value) and uses the average situation (namely, the mean value) to define the rainfall in each period (Li et al., 2018). The 1,440-min rainstorm hyetograph design with a 5-min unit is used as an example. The specific steps are described as follows:

1. Based on the rainfall standard, multiple rainstorms with a duration of 1,440 min are selected, the starting position of $H_{720}$, which is the maximum rainfall in a 720-min window in each rainstorm, is determined by using time-based sliding windows, and the starting time of $H_{720}$ in the rainstorm hyetograph design is determined by the position of the mode value.

2. The main peaks of the selected typical rainstorm samples with a duration of 1,440 min are superimposed, and the percentage of precipitation in each period ($H_{720}$–$H_{1440}$) compared with the total rainfall in each rainstorm sample, and the average precipitation percentage of each period ($H_{360}$–$H_{720}$) in multiple rainstorm samples is calculated, i.e., the precipitation distribution percentage of each period ($H_{360}$–$H_{720}$).

3. In the same way, according to steps (1) and (2), multiple rainstorms with a duration of 720 min are selected based on the rainfall standard. Using the method in step 1 for $H_{720}$, the starting position of $H_{360}$, which is the maximum rainfall in a 360-min window in each rainstorm, is determined using time-based sliding windows, and the starting time of $H_{360}$ in the rainstorm hyetograph design is determined by the position of the mode value. The main peaks are aligned and superimposed to calculate the percentage of precipitation in each period ($H_{360}$–$H_{720}$) compared with the total rainfall in each rainstorm sample, and the average precipitation percentage of each period ($H_{360}$–$H_{720}$) in multiple rainstorm samples is calculated, i.e., the precipitation distribution percentage of each period ($H_{360}$–$H_{720}$).

4. The precipitation distribution percentages of $H_{240}$–$H_{360}$, $H_{180}$–$H_{240}$, $H_{150}$–$H_{180}$, $H_{120}$–$H_{150}$, $H_{90}$–$H_{120}$, $H_{60}$–$H_{90}$, $H_{45}$–$H_{60}$, $H_{30}$–$H_{45}$, $H_{15}$–$H_{30}$, and $H_{5}$–$H_{15}$ corresponding to 360, 240, 180, 150, 120, 90, 60, 45, 30, and 15 min, respectively, are calculated. Finally, the percentage of the maximum 5-min precipitation is 100%.

5. In summary, the distribution results of the rainstorm hyetograph design with a duration of 1,440 min and a 5-min unit are obtained.
In this paper, according to the idea of the Common-frequency method, the peak position is determined using the average position, the peak intensity is the average maximum 5-min intensity, and the distribution percentages of remaining periods are determined based on the averages. Figure 3 shows the designed rainstorm hyetographs of all durations according to the above steps.

**NRMR Method**

After sampling with the natural rainfall moving sampling method for heavy precipitation, the rain hyetograph is calculated using Eq. 1, which is the NRMR method (Liao et al., 2019a).

$$P_k = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{\frac{k\times 5}{n+1}} X_j}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$X$ represents a minute-based precipitation series for a given duration (there are multiple durations), $n$ is the total number of minutes for a given duration, $k$ represents the index of a 5-min period in the series, $P$ represents the percentage of the precipitation during a 5-min period and $P_k$ is the percentage of the precipitation during the $k$th 5-min period in the current precipitation series.

Figure 4 shows the rainstorm hyetograph designs with different durations according to the above steps.

**DETERMINATION OF TEST SAMPLES**

**Standardization of Test Samples**

The second largest sample of each year from 1961 to 2016 selected by the natural rainfall moving sampling method for heavy precipitation was taken, and all of them were used as the test samples. Because the peak positions of selected test samples were different, it was difficult to use them for verification. To this end, standardization was conducted for the selected test samples. First, the peak position of each sample
was determined. This position was determined using the designed rainstorm hyetograph method, and different methods provided different peak positions. The purpose of moving the peak position in the second time is for the convenience of inspection. Then, the peak position of each sample was moved to the determined peak position. To ensure that the test sample was still a true natural precipitation process, the vacant precipitations caused by the left-right movement in the test sample were supplemented with the original precipitations. The specific process is shown in Figure 5. Figure 5A shows the standardization process of a 3 h-duration test sample from 2003 by the NRMR method, in which the gray parts are the sample time intervals before moving, and the oblique line marks the peak position of the sample the moving, i.e., the 28th 5-min interval of the sample. The gray parts in Figure 5B are the sample time intervals after moving, i.e., the 28th 5-min interval is moved forward by 15 intervals and aligned with the 13th interval (the determined peak position of the test sample). The front 15 intervals are removed due to moving, therefore, 15 intervals from the original sample are used, which ensures that the sample is still a natural precipitation process. The test samples of the other durations and other rainstorm hyetograph design methods were standardized in the same way.

### Determination of Peak Positions in Test Samples

The peak positions of the test samples were determined based on the results of the designed rainstorm hyetographs. The peak positions of the test samples varied with different methods. Table 1 shows the peak positions for different durations by the four methods.

Table 1 shows that for the 1 and 2-h durations, the differences in the peak positions obtained by the different rainstorm hyetograph design methods are relatively small; however, the differences between the peak positions at the 3 and 24-h durations are considerable. Especially for the 3-h duration, the peak position by the P&C method was five to seven units (intervals) away from the results of other methods. For the peak position of 3-h duration, the P&C method moved the peak position in all test samples to the sixth interval, the Chicago rainstorm method...
moved it to the 11th interval, and the Common-frequency method and the NRMR method moved it to the 13th interval, as did the other durations.

Representativeness of Standardized Samples

The standardization of the test samples may result in changes in the precipitation of the test samples. Therefore, it is necessary to test and analyze the standardized test samples. Table 2 shows the variations in precipitation in each test sample before and after standardization (the 1,579.6 mm in the table is the sum of the precipitation samples before standardization, which is the same for other methods). Table 2 shows that the difference in the total rainfall between the periods before and after standardization is not large, and the variation is basically within 9% (only the variation in the total rainfall for the 3-h duration by the P&C method is 12.7%). Therefore, the standardized test samples can basically represent the original samples. Meanwhile, this finding indicates that the standardization is reasonable.

![Graph showing the precipitation variations](image)

**TABLE 1** | Peak positions for different durations determined by the four rainstorm hyetograph design methods.

| Duration (h) | P&C method | Chicago rainstorm method | Common-frequency method | NRMR method |
|--------------|-------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| 1            | 7           | 6                         | 5                      | 6           |
| 2            | 8           | 9                         | 10                     | 10          |
| 3            | 6           | 11                        | 13                     | 13          |
| 24           | 164         | —                         | 125                    | 125         |

**TABLE 2** | Comparison of total rainfall in test samples with different durations before and after the natural moving process.

| Method          | Total precipitation of samples | 1 h       | 2 h       | 3 h       | 24 h      |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| —               | Before standardization (mm)    | 1,579.6   | 1,946.5   | 2,205.2   | 3,343.0   |
| P&C method      | After standardization (mm)     | 1,424.6   | 1,778.1   | 1,925.9   | 3,063.6   |
|                 | Before standardization/after standardization (%) | 90.2 | 91.4 | 87.3 | 91.6 |
| Chicago rainstorm method | After standardization (mm) | 1,445.9   | 1,788.1   | 2,024.4   | —         |
|                 | Before standardization/after standardization (%) | 91.5 | 91.9 | 91.8 | —         |
| Common-frequency method | After standardization (mm) | 1,449.3   | 1,787.9   | 2,033.4   | 3,101.3   |
|                 | Before standardization/after standardization (%) | 91.8 | 91.9 | 92.2 | 92.8 |
| NRMR method     | After standardization (mm)     | 1,445.9   | 1,787.9   | 2,033.4   | 3,101.3   |
|                 | Before standardization/after standardization (%) | 91.5 | 91.9 | 92.2 | 92.8 |

**FIGURE 5** | The gray parts in (A) are the samples selected before moving, and the gray parts in (B) are the selected samples after moving. Abscissa: time (5 min), ordinate: precipitation (mm).
TABLE 3 | Correlations between the results of the different rainstorm hyetograph designs and the test samples.

| Method                  | Correlation characteristics | 1 h   | 2 h   | 3 h   | 24 h  |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| P&C method              | The average correlation coefficient | 0.322 | 0.507 | 0.531 | 0.122 |
|                         | Percentage of samples that are significant at the 5% level (%) | 23.4  | 76.6  | 83.0  | 78.7  |
| Chicago rainstorm method| The average correlation coefficient | 0.576 | 0.569 | 0.526 | —     |
|                         | Percentage of samples that are significant at the 5% level (%) | 55.3  | 80.9  | 80.9  | —     |
| Common-frequency method | The average correlation coefficient | 0.509 | 0.518 | 0.463 | 0.444 |
|                         | Percentage of samples that are significant at the 5% level (%) | 42.6  | 68.1  | 80.9  | 91.5  |
| NRMR method             | The average correlation coefficient | 0.620 | 0.483 | 0.520 | 0.471 |
|                         | Percentage of samples that are significant at the 5% level (%) | 63.8  | 63.8  | 83.0  | 93.6  |

VALIDATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE RESULTS OF THE RAINSTORM HYETOGRAPH DESIGNS

The results of the rainstorm hyetograph designs are represented by the distribution diagram of rainfall with time. The continuous percentage data can be obtained by dividing the precipitation of each period by the total precipitation (hereinafter referred to as fixed samples). The standardized test samples can be transformed into continuous multiple percentage data so that all test samples can be used for correlation analysis with fixed samples. The greater the correlation coefficient between the fixed samples (the rainstorm hyetographs designed by the different design methods) and the test samples (the second heaviest rainstorm of every year) is, the closer the fixed samples are to the real precipitation process, and vice versa. A correlation analysis (Spearman’s Rank correlation, 5% significance level) was performed on the test samples for the different methods with different durations and the corresponding fixed samples, and a significance test was also conducted on the correlations. Table 3 lists the detailed results.

Table 3 shows that the P&C method had the smallest average correlation coefficient for each duration, indicating that the rainstorm hyetograph designs obtained with the P&C method were the least representative of the actual precipitation processes. For the P&C method, the percentages of samples that were significant at the 1 and 3-h durations were the smallest. For the P&C method, although the correlation coefficient between the designed rainstorm hyetograph and the test sample for the 24-h duration was significant, the average correlation coefficient was significantly lower than that of both the Common-frequency method and the NRMR method. Therefore, using the P&C method to design rainstorm hyetographs in Chongqing was the least effective. For the rainstorm hyetograph design obtained with the Chicago rainstorm method, both the average correlation coefficient and the percentage of samples that were significant were the highest among all the methods for the 2-h duration, indicating that the rainstorm hyetograph design for the 2-h duration obtained with the Chicago rainstorm method can best represent the actual 2 h precipitation process, but for other durations, the performance of the Chicago rainstorm method was relatively poor. The performance of the design rainstorm hyetographs for the four durations obtained with the Common-frequency method was moderate and stable but not outstanding. For the rainstorm hyetograph designs obtained with the NRMR method, in addition to the one for the 2-h duration, the average correlation coefficient and the percentage of samples that were significant for the other durations were the highest among all the methods, indicating that the rainstorm hyetograph designs obtained with the NRMR method for these three durations can best represent the actual precipitation processes in Chongqing.

SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

Based on minute-by-minute precipitation data from 1961 to 2016 obtained from the National Basic Weather Station of Shapingba, Chongqing, China, the Chicago rainstorm method, the P&C method, the Common-frequency method and the NRMR method were used to design short-duration (1–3 h) and long-duration (24 h) rainstorm hyetographs. The second largest sample in each year during 1961–2016 was taken as a test sample, and all them were used to verify the design results of different methods. The following conclusions were obtained:

1. The results of the rainstorm hyetograph designs obtained with the Chicago rainstorm method, the P&C method, the Common-frequency method and the NRMR method show that the differences in the peak intensity (the percentage of the maximum 5 min precipitation compared with the total precipitation) were small, the differences between the peak positions at the 1 and 2-h durations were not large, and the peak positions at the 3 and 24-h durations were far apart.
2. The test sample standardization method designed in this study can make the peak position of the test sample the same as the peak position determined by the rainstorm hyetograph design method; in addition, there were no significant changes in the precipitation before and after standardization for all the test samples, and the variations were all within 9%. This finding indicates that the standardization was reasonable, and it ensured that the test sample was still a true natural precipitation process.
3. The analysis and verification of the results of the four methods showed that the designed rainstorm hyetographs for the 1, 3, and 24-h durations obtained with the NRMR method were the most representative of the actual precipitation processes; the rainstorm hyetograph for the 2-h duration obtained with the Chicago rainstorm method was the most prominent; and the results of the Common-frequency method were generally good but not...
outstanding; additionally, the performance of the P&C method was relatively poor. Therefore, this paper recommends the NMRM method for rainstorm hyetographs with durations of 1, 3, and 24 h and the Chicago rainstorm method for rainstorm hyetographs with a duration of 2 h. The test method for rainstorm hyetograph design and the recommendations proposed in this paper need to be verified in more areas. We hope that this test method can be used to provide a better basis for urban drainage network design and to achieve the goal of reducing urban waterlogging disasters and flood disasters.
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