Radiation Effects on the Peristaltic flow of a Williamson fluid through a porous medium in a planar channel
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Abstract

In this paper, we studied the interaction of heat transfer with peristaltic pumping of a Williamson fluid through a porous medium in a planar channel, under the assumptions of low Reynolds number and long wavelength. The flow is investigated in a wave frame of reference moving with velocity of the wave. The perturbation series in the Weissenberg number ($We < 1$) was used to obtain explicit forms for velocity field, pressure gradient and friction force per one wavelength. The effects of Weissenberg number $We$, Darcy number $Da$ and amplitude ratio $\phi$ on the pumping characteristics and heat transfer are discussed through graphs in detail.
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1. Introduction

Many researchers considered the fluid to behave like a Newtonian fluid for physiological peristalsis including the flow of blood in arterioles. But such a model cannot be suitable for blood flow unless the non-Newtonian nature of the fluid is included in it. The non-Newtonian peristaltic flow using a constitutive equation for a second order fluid has been investigated by Siddiqui \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{13} for a planar channel and by Siddiqui and
Schwarz for an axisymmetric tube. They have performed a perturbation analysis with a wave number, including curvature and inertia effects and have determined range of validity of their perturbation solutions. Subba Reddy et al. studied the peristaltic flow of a power-law fluid in an asymmetric channel. Peristaltic motion of a Williamson fluid in an asymmetric channel was studied by Nadeem and Akram. Peristaltic pumping of Williamson fluid in a horizontal channel under the effect of magnetic field was investigated by Subba Reddy et al.

In all the above mentioned studies no porous media has been taken into account. The study of blood flow through arteries are of considerable importance in many cardiovascular diseases particularly arteriosclerosis. In some pathological situations, the distribution of fatty cholesterol and artery clogging blood clots in the lumen of coronary artery can be considered as equivalent to a porous medium. The first study of peristaltic flow through a porous medium is presented by Elsehawey et al. Elsehawey et al. have studied the peristaltic motion of a Carreau fluid through a porous medium in a channel. The interaction of peristaltic flow with pulsatile fluid under the effect of a transverse magnetic field through a porous medium bounded by a two-dimensional channel was studied by Afifi and Gad. Mekheimer and Arabi studied the non-linear peristaltic transport of MHD flow through a porous medium. Elsehawey have studied the peristaltic flow of Newtonian fluid through a porous medium in an asymmetric channel. Navaneeswar Reddy and Viwanatha Reddy have discussed the slip effects on peristaltic motion of a williamson fluid through a porous medium in a planar channel. Peristaltic flow of phan-thien-tanner fluid in an asymmetric channel with porous medium was discussed by Vajravelu et al. Abdulhadi and Ahmed have studied the effect of magnetic field on peristaltic flow of Williamson fluid through a porous medium in an inclined tapered asymmetric channel.

The importance of thermodynamic effects of blood in the processes like oxygenation and hemodialysis make the study of heat transfer effects in peristalsis important. Radhakrishnamacharya and Srinivasulu investigated the interaction of peristalsis and heat transfer. Vajravelu et al. have investigated the peristaltic flow of a Newtonian fluid through a porous medium in a vertical annulus with heat transfer. Mekheimer Elmaboud investigated the influence of heat transfer and magnetic field on peristaltic transport of a Newtonian fluid in a vertical annulus. The influence of heat and mass transfer on MHD peristaltic flow through a porous space with compliant walls was investigated by Srinivas and Kothandapani. Hayat et al. studied the effect of heat transfer on peristaltic flow of an electrically conducting fluid in a porous space. Nadeem and Akram studied the heat transfer in a peristaltic flow of MHD fluid with partial slip. Vasudev et al. have investigated the peristaltic pumping of Williamson fluid through a porous medium in a horizontal channel with heat transfer.

In view of these, we studied the interaction of heat transfer with peristaltic pumping of a Williamson fluid through a porous medium in a planar channel, under the assumptions of low Reynolds number and long wavelength. The flow is investigated in a wave frame of reference moving with velocity of the wave. The perturbation series in the Weissenberg number \( We < 1 \) was used to obtain explicit forms for velocity field, pressure gradient and friction force per one wavelength. The effects of Weissenberg number \( We \), Darcy number \( Da \) and amplitude ratio \( \phi \) on the pumping characteristics and heat transfer are discussed through graphs in detail.

2. Mathematical Formulation

We consider the peristaltic motion of a Williamson fluid through a porous medium in a two-dimensional symmetric channel of width \( 2a \). The flow is generated by sinusoidal wave trains propagating with constant speed \( c \) along the channel walls. A rectangular co-ordinate system \( (X, Y) \) is chosen such that \( X \)-axis lies along the centre line of the channel in the direction of wave propagation and \( Y \)-axis transverse to it. Since we are
considering uniform channel therefore the upper wall is maintained at temperature $T_1$ and due to symmetry at the center of the channel the change of the temperature taken to be zero (given in Nadeem and Akbar\cite{10}). Fig. 1 shows the schematic diagram of the channel.

The wall deformation is given by

\begin{equation}
Y = \pm H(X, t) = \pm a \pm b \sin \frac{2\pi}{\lambda}(X - ct)
\end{equation}

where $b$ is the amplitude of the wave, $\lambda$ - the wave length and $X$ and $Y$ - the rectangular co-ordinates with $X$ measured along the axis of the channel and $Y$ perpendicular to $X$.

The flow is unsteady in the laboratory frame $(X, Y)$. However, in a co-ordinate system moving with the propagation velocity $c$ (wave frame $(x, y)$), the boundary shape is stationary. The transformation from fixed frame to wave frame is given by

\begin{equation}
x = X - ct, y = Y, u = U - c, v = V
\end{equation}

where $(u, v)$ and $(U, V)$ are velocity components in the wave and laboratory frames respectively.

The constitutive equation for a Williamson fluid (given in Bird et al.) is

\begin{equation}
\tau = -[\eta_\infty + (\eta_\infty + \eta_0)(1 - \Gamma \dot{\gamma})^{-1}]\dot{\gamma}
\end{equation}

where $\tau$ is the extra stress tensor, $\eta_\infty$ is the infinite shear rate, viscosity $\eta_0$ is the zero shear rate viscosity, $\Gamma$ is the time constant and $\dot{\gamma}$ is defined as

\begin{equation}
\dot{\gamma} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum \sum_i \dot{\gamma}_{ij} \dot{\gamma}_{ji} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \pi
\end{equation}

where $\pi$ is the second invariant stress tensor. We consider in the constitutive Equation (2.3) the case for which $\eta_\infty = 0$ and $\Gamma \dot{\gamma} < 1$ so we can write.

\begin{equation}
\tau = -\eta_0 (1 + \Gamma \dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma}
\end{equation}
The above model reduces to Newtonian for $\Gamma = 0$

The equations governing the flow in the wave frame of reference are

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0 \quad (2.6)$$

$$\rho \left( u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \tau_{xx}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \tau_{xy}}{\partial y} - \mu \frac{u + c}{k_0} \quad (2.7)$$

$$\rho \left( u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial \tau_{xy}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \tau_{yy}}{\partial y} - \mu v \quad (2.8)$$

$$\zeta \left[ u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right] = \frac{k}{\rho} \nabla^2 T + \nu \left[ 2 \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right] + 4 \frac{\alpha^2}{\rho c_p} (T - T_0) \quad (2.9)$$

where $\rho$ is the density, $\mu$ is the co-efficient of viscosity of the fluid, $k_0$ is the permeability of the porous medium, $\zeta$ is the specific heat at constant volume, $\nu$ is kinematic viscosity of the fluid, $\alpha$ is coefficient of linear thermal expansion of the fluid $k$ is thermal conductivity of the fluid and $T$ is temperature of the fluid.

Introducing the non-dimensional variables defined by

$$\tilde{x} = \frac{x}{\lambda}, \tilde{y} = \frac{y}{\lambda}, \tilde{u} = \frac{u}{c}, \tilde{v} = \frac{v}{c\theta}, \tilde{T} = \frac{T}{\lambda}, \tilde{h} = \frac{H}{\alpha}, \tilde{\tau} = \frac{c}{\alpha},$$

$$\tau_{xx} = \frac{\lambda}{\eta_0 c}\tilde{\tau}_{xx}, \tilde{\tau}_{xy} = \frac{\lambda}{\eta_0 c}\tilde{\tau}_{xy}, \tilde{\tau}_{yy} = \frac{\lambda}{\eta_0 c}\tilde{\tau}_{yy}, Re = \frac{\rho ac}{\eta_0}, We = \frac{\Gamma c}{\alpha},$$

$$\tilde{T} = \frac{T - T_0}{\zeta (T_1 - T_0)}$$

into the Equations (2.6) - (2.9), reduce to (after dropping the bars)

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0 \quad (2.11)$$

$$Re \delta \left( u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \tau_{xx}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \tau_{xy}}{\partial y} - \frac{1}{Da} (u + 1) \quad (2.12)$$

$$Re \delta^3 \left( u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial \tau_{xy}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \tau_{yy}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial^2 \tau_{yy}}{Da} \quad (2.13)$$

$$Re \left[ u \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} \right] = \frac{1}{Pr} \left( \delta^2 \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial y^2} \right) + N^2 \theta +$$

$$E \left\{ 4 \delta^2 \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \delta^2 \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right)^2 + 2 \delta^2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right\} \quad (2.14)$$

Where

$$\tau_{xx} = -2[1 + We\tilde{\gamma}] \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \tau_{xy} = -[1 + We\tilde{\gamma}] \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \delta^2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right), \tau_{yy} = -2\delta[1 + We\tilde{\gamma}] \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}$$

$$\tilde{\gamma} = \left[ 2\delta^2 \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \delta^2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2 + 2 \delta^2 \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2}$$
and $Da = \frac{k_0}{a^2}$ is the Darcy number.

Under lubrication approach, neglecting the terms of order $\delta$ and $Re$, we get

$$\frac{dp}{dy} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \left( 1 + We \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right] - \frac{1}{Da} (u + 1)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.15)

$$\frac{dp}{dy} = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.16)

$$\frac{1}{Pr} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial y^2} \right] + E \left[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right]^2 + N^2 \theta = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.17)

From Eq. (2.15) and (2.16), we have

$$\frac{dp}{dx} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + We \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] - \frac{1}{Da} (u + 1)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.18)

The corresponding dimensionless boundary conditions are

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad y = 0 \hspace{1cm} (2.19)$$

$$u = -1 \quad \text{at} \quad y = h = 1 + \phi \cos(2\pi x) \hspace{1cm} (2.20)$$

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad y = 0 \hspace{1cm} (2.21)$$

$$\theta = 1 \quad \text{at} \quad y = h = 1 + \sin(2\pi x) \hspace{1cm} (2.22)$$

The volume flow rate $q$ in a wave frame of reference is given by

$$q = \int_0^h \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} dy.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.23)

The instantaneous flow $Q(X, t)$ in the laboratory frame is

$$Q(X, t) = \int_0^h U dy = \int_0^h (u + 1) \, dy = q + h \hspace{1cm} (2.24)$$

The time averaged volume flow rate $\bar{Q}$ over one period $T \left( = \frac{\lambda}{c} \right)$ of the peristaltic wave is given by

$$\bar{Q} = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T Q \, dt = q + 1 \hspace{1cm} (2.25)$$

3. Solution :

Since Eq. (2.18) is a non-linear differential equation, it is not possible to obtain closed form solution. Therefore we employ regular perturbation to find the solution.

For perturbation solution, we expand $u, p, q$ and $\theta$ as follows

$$u = u_0 + Weu_1 + O(We^2) \hspace{1cm} (3.1)$$

$$\frac{dp}{dx} = \frac{dp_0}{dx} + We \frac{dp_1}{dx} + O(We^2) \hspace{1cm} (3.2)$$

$$q = q_0 + Weq_1 + O(We^2) \hspace{1cm} (3.3)$$
\[ \theta = \theta_0 + \text{We}\theta_1 + \mathcal{O}(\text{We}^2) \]  
(3.4)

Substituting these equations into the Equations (2.17) - (2.22), we obtain

3.1. System of order \( \text{We}^0 \)

\[
\frac{dp_0}{dx} = \frac{\partial^2 u_0}{\partial y^2} - \frac{1}{Da} (u_0 + 1) \tag{3.5}
\]

\[
\frac{1}{Pr} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \theta_0}{\partial y^2} \right] + E \left[ \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial y} \right]^2 + N^2 \theta = 0 \tag{3.6}
\]

and the respective boundary conditions are

\[
\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial y} = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad y = 0 \tag{3.7}
\]

\[
u_0 = -1 \quad \text{at} \quad y = h \tag{3.8}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \theta_0}{\partial y} = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad y = 0 \tag{3.9}
\]

\[
\theta_0 = 1 \quad \text{at} \quad y = h \tag{3.10}
\]

3.2. System of order \( \text{We}^1 \)

\[
\frac{dp_1}{dx} = \frac{\partial^2 u_1}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] - \frac{1}{Da} u_1 \tag{3.11}
\]

\[
\left[ \frac{\partial^2 \theta_1}{\partial y^2} \right] + N^2 Pr \theta_1 = -PrE \frac{\partial u_0 \partial u_1}{\partial y \partial y} \tag{3.12}
\]

and the respective boundary conditions are

\[
\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y} = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad y = 0 \tag{3.13}
\]

\[
u_1 = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad y = h \tag{3.14}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \theta_1}{\partial y} = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad y = 0 \tag{3.15}
\]

\[
\theta_1 = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad y = h \tag{3.16}
\]

3.3 Solution for system of order \( \text{We}^0 \)

Solving Eq. (3.5) using the boundary conditions (3.7) and (3.8), we obtain

\[
u_0 = Da \left[ \frac{\cos h \left( \frac{y}{\sqrt{Pr}} \right)}{\cos h \left( \frac{h}{\sqrt{Da}} \right)} \right] - 1 \tag{3.17}
\]

Substituting Eq. (3.17) into the Eq. (3.6) and solving the Eq. (3.6), using the boundary conditions (3.9) and (3.10), we obtain

\[
\theta_0 = \frac{\cos N \sqrt{Pr}}{\cos N \sqrt{Pr} h} \left[ 1 + EPr \left( \frac{Da}{2} \right)^2 \left( \frac{dp_0}{dx} \right)^2 \sec h^2 \left( \frac{h}{\sqrt{Da}} \right) \left( \frac{Da}{1 + N^2 DaPr} \cos h \left( \frac{2h}{\sqrt{Da}} \right) - \frac{1}{N^2 Pr} \right) \right] \tag{3.18}
\]
The volume flow rate $q_0$ is given by

$$q_0 = Da \frac{dp_0}{dx} \left( \sqrt{Da} \tanh \left( \frac{h}{\sqrt{Da}} \right) - h \right) - h$$

(3.19)

From Eq. (3.19), we have

$$\frac{dp_0}{dx} = \frac{(q_0+h)}{Da \left( \sqrt{Da} \tan \left( \frac{h}{\sqrt{Da}} \right) - h \right)}$$

(3.20)

### 3.3 Solution for system of order $\text{We}^1$

Substituting Equation (3.17) in the Eq. (3.11) and solving the Eq. (3.11), using the boundary conditions (3.13) and (3.14), we obtain

$$u_1 = Da \frac{dP_1}{dx} \left[ \frac{\cosh \left( \frac{y}{\sqrt{Da}} \right)}{\cosh \left( \frac{h}{\sqrt{Da}} \right)} - 1 \right] + \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{DP_0}{dx} \right)^2 \left( \frac{dp_0}{dx} \right)^2 \left[ 2 \sinh \left( \frac{y}{\sqrt{Da}} \right) - \sinh \left( \frac{2y}{\sqrt{Da}} \right) \right]$$

\[ + A_1 \cosh \left( \frac{y}{\sqrt{Da}} \right) \] (3.21)

where $A_1 = 2 \left[ \cosh \left( \frac{h}{\sqrt{Da}} \right) - 1 \right] \tanh \left( \frac{h}{\sqrt{Da}} \right)$.

Substituting Equations (3.17) and (3.21) into the Eq. (3.12) and solving the Eq. (3.12), using the boundary conditions (3.15) and (3.16), we get

$$\theta_1 = \frac{dP_0}{dx} \cos \sqrt{Pr} \left[ \frac{dP_0}{dx} A_3 + \left( \frac{dP_0}{dx} \right)^2 \left( A_4 - A_2 \sin \sqrt{Pr} \right) \right] + \left( \frac{dP_0}{dx} \right)^2 A_2 \sin \sqrt{Pr} h$$

\[ \begin{aligned}
&\left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{DP_0}{dx} \right)^2 \frac{DP_0}{dx} \frac{dP_1}{dx} \frac{1}{4 + N^2 DaPr} - \cosh \left( \frac{y}{\sqrt{Da}} \right) \cos^2 \left( \frac{h}{\sqrt{Da}} \right) \frac{1}{4 + 2 \sqrt{DaPr}} \right] \\
&\left[ \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{DP_0}{dx} \right)^3 \frac{DP_1}{dx} \frac{1}{4 + N^2 DaPr} \cosh^3 \left( \frac{h}{\sqrt{Da}} \right) - \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{DP_1}{dx} \right)^3 \frac{dp_0}{dx} \left( \frac{dp_0}{dx} \right)^2 \frac{1}{4 + N^2 DaPr} \cosh^3 \left( \frac{h}{\sqrt{Da}} \right) \right] \\
&\left[ \frac{1}{6} \left( \frac{DP_0}{dx} \right) \frac{1}{4 + N^2 DaPr} \cosh^3 \left( \frac{h}{\sqrt{Da}} \right) + \frac{1}{6} \left( \frac{DP_1}{dx} \right)^3 \frac{1}{4 + N^2 DaPr} \cosh^3 \left( \frac{h}{\sqrt{Da}} \right) \right] \\
&\left[ \left( \frac{DP_0}{dx} \right)^2 \frac{1}{4 + N^2 DaPr} \cosh^3 \left( \frac{h}{\sqrt{Da}} \right) - \frac{A_1}{6 \sqrt{Da Pr} N^2} \left( \frac{dp_0}{dx} \right)^3 \frac{1}{4 + N^2 DaPr} \cosh^3 \left( \frac{h}{\sqrt{Da}} \right) \right] \\
\end{aligned} \] (3.22)

$$A_2 = \frac{E \sqrt{Pr}}{N} \left( \frac{DP_0}{dx} \right)^2 \frac{1}{4 + N^2 DaPr} \cosh^3 \left( \frac{h}{\sqrt{Da}} \right) \frac{1}{3} \left[ \frac{1}{4 + N^2 DaPr} \cosh^3 \left( \frac{h}{\sqrt{Da}} \right) - \frac{1}{9 + N^2 DaPr} \right]$$
\[ A_3 = \frac{PrE}{2} Da \frac{1}{\cosh \left( \frac{h}{\sqrt{Da}} \right)} \left[ Da \frac{1}{4 + N^2 Da Pr} + \frac{1}{\cosh \left( \frac{h}{\sqrt{Da}} \right)} \right] \]

and
\[ A_1 = \frac{PrE}{3} (Da)^3 \frac{1}{\cosh^2 \left( \frac{h}{\sqrt{Da}} \right)} \left[ \frac{Da}{4 + N^2 Da Pr} \sinh \left( \frac{2h}{\sqrt{Da}} \right) - \frac{Da}{9 + N^2 Da Pr} \sinh \left( \frac{3h}{\sqrt{Da}} \right) + \frac{Da}{4 + N^2 Da Pr} \sinh \left( \frac{2h}{\sqrt{Da}} \right) + \frac{A_1}{2} \right] \]

The volume flow rate is given by
\[ q = Da \frac{dp_1}{dx} \left[ \sqrt{Da} \tanh \left( \frac{h}{\sqrt{Da}} \right) - h \right] + \frac{(Da)^3}{3 \cosh^2 \left( \frac{h}{\sqrt{Da}} \right)} A_5 \]

where
\[ A_5 = 2 \sqrt{Da} \left( \cosh \left( \frac{h}{\sqrt{Da}} \right) - 1 \right) + A_1 \sinh \left( \frac{h}{\sqrt{Da}} \right) - \sqrt{Da} \left[ \cosh \left( \frac{2h}{\sqrt{Da}} \right) - 1 \right]. \]

From Equations (3.23) and (3.20), we have
\[ \frac{dp_1}{dx} = \frac{q_1}{Da \left[ \sqrt{Da} \tanh \left( \frac{h}{\sqrt{Da}} \right) - h \right] - \frac{(Da)^3 A_5 (q_0 + h)^2}{3 (Da) \left[ \sqrt{Da} \tanh \left( \frac{h}{\sqrt{Da}} \right) - h \right] ^3 \cosh^2 \left( \frac{h}{\sqrt{Da}} \right)} \]

Substituting Equations (3.20) and (3.24) into the equation Eq. (3.27), we get
\[ \frac{dp}{dx} = \frac{(q + h)}{Da \left[ \sqrt{Da} \tanh \left( \frac{h}{\sqrt{Da}} \right) - h \right] - We} - \frac{A_5 (q_0 + h)^2}{3 (Da)^3 \left[ \sqrt{Da} \tanh \left( \frac{h}{\sqrt{Da}} \right) - h \right] ^3 \cosh^2 \left( \frac{h}{\sqrt{Da}} \right)} \]

The dimensionless pressure rise per one wavelength in the wave frame is defined as
\[ \Delta P = \int_0^1 \frac{dp}{dx} \, dx \]

As \( N \to 0 \) our results coincides with the results of vasudev et al.\textsuperscript{20}.

4. Discussion of the results

In Fig 2, shows the variation of pressure gradient \( \frac{dp}{dx} \) for different values \( We \) with \( \phi = 0.5 \), \( Da = 0.1 \) and \( q = -1 \). It is observed that the variation of axial pressure gradient \( \frac{dp}{dx} \) increases with increasing \( We \).

In Fig 3, depicts the variation of pressure gradient \( \frac{dp}{dx} \) for different values \( Da \) with \( \phi = 0.6 \) and
We = 0.01. It is noted that the variation of axial pressure gradient $\frac{dp}{dx}$ decreases with increasing Da.

In Fig 4, shows the variation of axial pressure gradient $\frac{dp}{dx}$ for different values of $\phi$ with $We = 0.01$ and $Da = 0.1$. It is found that the variation of axial pressure gradient $\frac{dp}{dx}$ increases for different values of $\phi$ except at the end of the channel.

In Fig 5, presents the variation of pressure rise $\Delta p$ with $Q$ for different values of $We$ with $\phi = 0.5$ and $Da = 0.1$. It is observed that the average volume flow rate $\bar{Q}$ increases in the pumping ($\Delta p < 0$) and free-pumping ($\Delta p = 0$) regions with increasing We, while it increases in the co-pumping region with increasing We for chosen ($\Delta p < 0$).

In Fig 6, shows the variation of pressure rise $\Delta p$ with $Q$ for different values of Darcy number $Da$ with $\phi = 0.5$ and $We = 0.1$. It is found that any two curves intersecting in first quadrant to the left of the point of intersection, the $\bar{Q}$ decreases with increasing $Da$ whereas to the right of this point of intersection $\bar{Q}$ increasing with increasing $Da$.

In Fig 7, presents the variation of pressure rise $\Delta p$ with $Q$ for different values of amplitude ratio $\phi$ with $We = 0.01$ and $Da = 0.1$. It is observed that the $\bar{Q}$ increases with increasing $\phi$ both in the pumping and free-pumping regions, whereas it decreases with increasing $\phi$ in the co-pumping region for chosen ($\Delta p < 0$).

In Fig 8 shows the temperature profiles for different values of $We$ with $\phi = 0.5$, $Da = 0.1$, $PrE=1$, $N=1$, $x=0.1$, $q_0 = -1$, $q_1 = 0$. It is noted that the temperature $\theta$ increases with increasing $We$.

In Fig 9 shows the temperature profiles for different values of Darcy number $Da$ with $\phi = 0.5$, $We = 0.01$, $PrE = 1$, $N = 1$, $x = 0.1$, $q_0 = -1$, $q_1 = 0$. It is found that the temperature $\theta$ increases with increasing $Da$.

In Fig 10 shows the temperature profiles for different values of Amplitude ratio $\phi$ with $Da = 0.1$, $We = 0.01$, $PrE = 1$, $N = 1$, $x = 0.2$, $q_0 = -1$, $q_1 = 0$. It is found that the temperature $\theta$ increases with increasing $\phi$.

In Fig 11 shows the temperature profiles for different values of $PrE$ with $Da = 0.1$, $We = 0.01$, $\phi = 0.5$, $x = 0.1$, $N = 1$, $q_0 = -1$, $q_1 = 0$. It is observed that the temperature $\theta$ increases with increasing $PrE$.

In Fig 12 shows the temperature profiles for different values of $N$ with $Da = 0.1$, $We = 0.01$, $\phi = 0.5$, $PrE=1$, $x = 0.1$, $q_0 = -1$, $q_1 = 0$. It is found that the temperature $\theta$ increases with increasing $N$.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, we studied the radiation Effects on the Peristaltic flow of a Williamson fluid through a porous medium in a planar channel, under the assumptions of low Reynolds number and long wavelength. A closed form solutions are obtained for the velocity, temperature and the pressure gradient. It is observed that, the axial pressure gradient and the time averaged volume flow rate increases with increasing $We$ and $\phi$, while they decreases with $Da$. The temperature increases with increasing the parameters $We, Da, Pr, \phi, N$.

6. Scope of future work :

This paper can be extended by considering slip effects on the peristaltic flow of a Williamson fluid through porous medium with radiation.
Fig. 2 The variation of axial pressure gradient $\frac{dp}{dx}$ for different values of $We$ with $\phi = 0.5$ and $Da = 0.1$ and $q = -1$.

Fig. 3 The variation of pressure rise $\frac{dp}{dx}$ for different values of $Da$ with $\phi = 0.6$ and $We = 0.01$.

Fig. 4 The variation of axial pressure gradient $\frac{dp}{dx}$ for different values of $\phi$ with $We = 0.01$ and $Da = 0.1$.

Fig. 5 The variation of pressure rise $\Delta p$ with $\bar{Q}$ for different values of $We$ with $\phi = 0.5$ and $Da = 0.1$.

Fig. 6 The variation of pressure rise $\Delta p$ with $\bar{Q}$ for different values of Darcy number $Da$ with $\phi = 0.6$ and $We = 0.01$.

Fig. 7 The variation of pressure rise $\Delta p$ with $\bar{Q}$ for different values of amplitude ratio $\phi$ with $We = 0.01$ and $Da = 0.1$. 

B. Ranjitha, et al., JUSPS-B Vol. 30(12), (2018).
Fig. 8 Temperature profiles for different values of $We$ with $\phi = 0.5$, $Da = 0.1$, $PrE = 1$, $N = 1$, $x = 0.1$, $q_0 = -1$, $q_1 = 0$

Fig. 9 Temperature profiles for different values of Darcy number $Da$ with $\phi = 0.5$, $We = 0.01$, $Pr = 1$, $E = 1$, $x = 0.1$, $q_0 = -1$, $q_1 = 0$

Fig. 10 Temperature profiles for different values of amplitude ratio $\phi$ with $We = 0.01$, $Da = 0.1$, $Pr = 1$, $E = 1$, $x = 0.2$, $q_0 = -1$, $q_1 = 0$

Fig. 11 Temperature profiles for different values of $PrE$ with $\phi = 0.5$, $We = 0.01$, $Da = 0.1$, $x = 0.1$, $q_0 = -1$, $q_1 = 0$

Fig. 12 Temperature profiles for different values of $N$ with $\phi = 0.5$, $We = 0.01$, $Da = 0.1$, $PrE = 1$, $x = 0.1$, $q_0 = -1$, $q_1 = 0$
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