Phospholipid fatty acids in soil—drawbacks and future prospects
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Abstract
The current opinion and position paper highlights (1) correct assignation of indicator phospholipid fatty acids (PLFA), (2) specificity and recycling of PLFA in microorganisms, and (3) complete extraction and detection of PLFA. The straight-chain PLFA 14:0, 15:0, 16:0, and 17:0 occur in all microorganisms, i.e., also in fungi and not only in bacteria. If the phylum Actinobacteria is excluded from the group of Gram-positive bacteria, all remaining bacteria belong to the bacterial phylum Firmicutes, which should be considered. The PLFA 16:1ω5 should be used as an indicator for the biomass of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) as there is no experimental evidence that they occur in marked amounts in Gram-negative bacteria. Fungal PLFA should embrace the AMF-specific 16:1ω5. In the presence of plants, ergosterol should be used instead of the PLFA 18:2ω6,9 and 18:1ω9 as fungal indicators for Mucoromycotina, Ascomycota, and Basidiomycota. The majority of indicator PLFA are not fully specific for a certain microbial group. This problem might be intensified by recycling processes during decomposition to an unknown extent. Soil handling and extraction conditions should be further optimized. The reliability and accuracy of gas chromatographic separation need to be regularly checked against unintentional variations. PLFA analysis will still be of interest over the next decades as an important independent control of DNA-based methods.
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Introduction
Phospholipid fatty acids (PLFA) are the main components of cell membranes in all organisms other than archaea and do not occur in storage components (Zelles 1999). PLFA are rapidly synthesized during microbial growth and do not accumulate in soil organic matter (Zelles 1999; Zhang et al. 2019). Consequently, the total PLFA concentration is used as an indicator for microbial biomass in soil (Frostegård et al. 1991; Joergensen and Emmerling 2006), largely consisting of viable but non-culturable organisms (Zelles 1999). This contrasts neutral lipid fatty acids (NLFA), which are storage components, especially in fungi, and give interesting information on the nutritional status of fungi (Bååth 2003). Total fatty acid methyl ester (FAME) or ester-linked (EL) total FAME have been repeatedly determined in soil by in situ hydrolysis and methylation reactions of fatty acids, without the PLFA extraction step (Acosta-Martínez et al. 2010). Total FAME and EL-FAME give similar information on the composition of the main microbial groups to that provided by PLFA (Acosta-Martínez et al. 2010) but create an additional link to the formation of microbial necromass from decaying biomass by different microbial groups (Miltner et al. 2012). This link warrants further elaboration as microbial necromass has been recognized as an important source of soil organic carbon (Liang et al. 2020).

PLFA are not only an indicator for soil microbial biomass, with a variety of indicator PLFA (Table 1) providing information on the composition of large and important main microbial groups (Joergensen and Wichern 2008). Soil bacteria consist of Gram-negative (G-) and Gram-positive (G+) bacteria, the latter formed by the two bacterial phyla Firmicutes (with low guanine-cytosine content) and Actinobacteria (with high guanine-cytosine content). Soil fungi largely consist of biotrophic arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF), and Mucoromycotina, Ascomycota, and Basidiomycota, mainly saprotrophic in arable and grassland soils. PLFA analysis is an important independent control of DNA-based analytical methods and may help to reduce the occurrence of alternative facts created by different methodological approaches in soil...
microbiology. In addition, the comparison of membrane components such as PLFA and ergosterol with 16S-rRNA or 18sRNA gene abundance gives information on the cell size of bacteria and fungi in soil and animal feces (Meyer et al. 2021), i.e., oligotrophic or copiotrophic conditions. PLFA-specific analysis of the $^{13}$C/$^{12}$C ratio makes it possible to measure the incorporation of fresh plant substrate into PLFA (Lønne Enggrob et al. 2020). The ratio of G+ to G- bacteria is important for estimating the contribution of bacterial necromass to SOC, using amino sugar analysis (Joergensen 2018; Meyer et al. 2021). The staining method of bacterial cell-walls, developed by the Danish scientist Hans Christian Gram in the

| Bacterial PLFA: Gram-positive (G+) + Gram-negative (G-) |
|---------------------------------------------------------|
| **G+:** Firmicutes + Actinobacteria                      |
| Firmicutes: i14:0, i15:0, i16:0, i17:0, i18, a15:0, a16:0, a17:0, a18:0, a19:0 |
| Actinobacteria: 10Me16:0, 10Me17:0, 10Me18:0             |
| **G-:** cy17:0, cy19:0, 16:1ω7, 16:1ω9, 17:1ω8, 18:1ω7 |
| Fungal PLFA: AMF + Zygomycota + Ascomycota and Basidiomycota + unspecific fungal |
| AMF: 16:1ω5c                                             |
| Zygomycota: 18:1ω9c                                      |
| Ascomycota and Basidiomycota: 18:2ω6c                    |
| Unspecific fungal PLFA: 18:3ω6,9,12d                     |
| Unspecific microbial PLFA: 14:0, 15:0, 16:0, 17:0, 18:0, 20:0, 20:4ω6,9,12,15 |
| Total microbial PLFA: bacterial + fungal + unspecific microbial |

Table 1 Assignment of PLFA to different main microbial groups

| **Bold:** > 1 mol% contribution to total PLFA in soil (Murugan et al. 2021) |
|---|
| a| Also some cultured G- bacteria contained higher concentrations of PLFA i15:0 and i16:0 (Zelles 1997) |
| b| Also some cultured G- bacteria contained minor concentrations of PLFA 16:1ω5 (Nichols et al. 1986; Kieft et al. 1997; Zelles 1997) |
| c| Also some cultured G- bacteria and plants contained higher concentrations of PLFA 18:1ω9 (Zelles 1997) |
| d| Also plants contained higher concentrations of PLFA 18:2ω6,9, 18:3ω6,9,12, and 16:0 (Zelles 1997) |

Correct assignation

In soil biology, the assignation of indicator PLFA to microbial groups is often not congruent with basic microbiological knowledge. This is especially a problem when failing to assign Actinobacterial PLFA to the group of G+ bacterial PLFA and AMF PLFA to the group of fungal PLFA, as well as when assigning unspecific microbial PLFA as bacterial PLFA.

The straight-chain PLFA 14:0, 15:0, 16:0, 17:0, 18:0, and 20:0 were often assigned as bacterial PLFA (e.g., Yang et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2018). However, they occur in all microorganisms, i.e., also in fungi and not only in bacteria as clearly demonstrated by Zelles (1997) based on PLFA analysis of cultured microorganisms. One reason for this perpetual fault might be that PLFA analysis was initially introduced from sediment microbiology (Guckert et al. 1985), where the microbiome seems to consist nearly exclusively of bacteria. However, also the ratios of 18:2ω6,9 to bacterial PLFA (e.g., Bardgett et al. 1996), fungal to bacterial gene copies (Jan et al. 2020; Meyer et al. 2021), and fungal to bacterial CFU (Jan et al. 2020) give the wrong impression that fungi also contribute only minimal percentages to the soil microbiome. This is unlikely as most of the plant C input consists of cell-wall material such as hemicellulose, cellulose, and lignin, nearly exclusively decomposed by fungi.

The staining method of bacterial cell-walls, developed by the Danish scientist Hans Christian Gram in the
nineteenth century, remains an important criterion for differentiating bacterial phyla. G+ bacteria consist of the two phyla Firmicutes and Actinobacteria (no longer actinomycetes!). The PLFA 10Me16:0, 10Me17:0, and 10Me18:0 indicate Actinobacteria, but they were often not assigned as G+ bacteria (e.g., Wang et al. 2018), although this is old text-book knowledge. G+ bacteria were often regarded as slow growing bacteria (Bååth 1996). However, this is mainly true for Actinobacteria, which are capable of degrading cell wall components, such as chitin and cellulose (Lacombe-Harvey et al. 2018). In contrast, many bacteria of the Firmicutes phylum are the most rapidly growing microorganisms, e.g., lactobacilli, enterococci, and streptococci. The extraordinarily rapid growth of bacteria in soil has recently been shown by Lønne Enggrob et al. (2020). Consequently, good reasons exist to differentiate Actinobacteria from Firmicutes, but in this case, these bacteria should be denoted as Firmicutes and not as G+ bacteria.

Fungal PLFA embrace AMF (16:1ω5), Mucoromycotina (18:1ω9c, 18:3ω6,9,12), Basidiomycota and Ascomycota (18:2ω6,9, 18:3ω6,9,12) in soil. However, AMF-PLFA are often not considered as fungal PLFA (e.g., Faust et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2018; Hansen et al. 2019). One reason might be that the PLFA 16:1ω5 was for many years not an accepted AMF-specific indicator due the false belief that there are serious interferences with G- bacteria. However, direct experimental evidence is missing as this PLFA has been found only in minute amounts in G- bacteria (Nichols et al. 1986; Kieft et al. 1997; Zelles 1997). It should be further considered that G- bacteria contribute most likely only 10% to the total microbial biomass (Joergensen and Wichern 2008; Murugan et al. 2021), i.e., markedly less than AMF (Faust et al. 2017). For this reason, the neutral lipid fatty acid (NLFA) 16:1ω5 has sometimes been used as an AMF indicator (Jiang et al. 2020) although NLFA do not solely occur in the biomass of organisms (Zelles 1999). Faust et al. (2017) showed that PLFA 16:1ω5 and NLFA 16:1ω5 give similar information on the presence of AMF in soil and recommended solely using the PLFA as an indicator for AMF biomass. However, it is better to analyze the NLFA 16:1ω5 than to simply ignore AMF (e.g., Xu et al. 2021).

**Extractability and temporal variation**

PLFA are usually extracted from soil with various modifications of the Bligh and Dyer (1959) procedure, followed by purification with silicic acid chromatography (Frostegård et al. 1991), separation by capillary gas chromatography (GC), and detection using a mass spectrometer (MS) or a flame ionization detector (FID) (Frostegård and Bååth 1996). The content of total PLFA often showed strong temporal variation between different sampling dates (e.g., Hamer et al. 2008; Murugan et al. 2021), which have often been explained as seasonal changes in microbial biomass. These changes were often accompanied by significant changes in the composition of the main microbial groups according to PCA (principal component analysis), although the shifts in the contribution of single PLFA to total PLFA were small (Zelles 1999; Hamer et al. 2008). However, PCA overinterprets small shifts in PLFA composition (Martinez-Abraín 2008), suggesting that more emphasis should be placed on the interrelationships of the different main microbial groups.

In the incubation study of Murugan et al. (2021), the significant variation in total PLFA contents between the sampling days was not accompanied by changes in CO2 evolution and enzyme activities. One reason for the temporal changes in total PLFA contents might be the varying contribution of non-ester-linked PLFA, which may add a highly variable contribution to the true total PLFA content (Zelles 1999). The extent of differences in bonding strength between non-ester-linked and ester-linked PLFA is virtually unknown and might vary between different extraction times of a time series. Also, betaine lipids and other polar lipids seem to contribute a variable percentage to the fraction, which should be purely PLFA (Warren 2019).

Changes in soil moisture affect hydrophobicity (Fu et al. 2021) and, thus, extraction efficiency of PLFA. Zelles (1999) extracted 100% more total PLFA from a hydrophilic moist soil than from a hydrophobic dry soil. This is certainly an important reason for temporal changes in total PLFA content. However, the available advice to optimize PLFA extraction efficiency by sample pre-treatment, such as moisture adjustment, sieving, and pre-incubation, is still limited. Not only soil properties but also solvent and buffer composition significantly affect quantity and profile of PLFA extracted from soil (Papadopoulou et al. 2011). Other analytical constraints, e.g., aging of the capillary GC columns and small shifts in gas flow conditions, might cause insufficient separation of different PLFA and co-chromatographing (Joergensen and Wichern 2008). Such unintentional variation in PLFA extraction conditions could presumably largely explain the changes observed after long-term storage (Wu et al. 2009; Veum et al. 2019). For optimizing the extraction conditions and for controlling GC separation, photometric measurement of total PLFA should be considered (Frostegård et al. 1991). An important independent control for the reliability of extraction and detection procedures might be the comparison of total PLFA and microbial biomass C measured by fumigation extraction or substrate-induced respiration (Willers et al. 2015).
Specificity and recycling of PLFA

One main problem of the PLFA method, which must be accepted to certain extent, is the imperfect specificity of virtually all PLFA for a certain microbial group in soil, in contrast to fungal ergosterol (Joergensen and Wichern 2008) or bacterial muramic acid (Joergensen 2018). This is especially true for the fungal indicator PLFA linoleic acid (18:2ω6,9), oleic acid (18:1ω9), and γ-linolenic acid (18:3ω9,6,12), which sometimes occur in large concentrations in plants, as indicated by their names. However, the removal of plant debris by sieving and tweezers picking reduces these interferences to an acceptable level (Kaiser et al. 2010). In the presence of plant tissue, ergosterol should replace the indicator PLFA 18:2ω6,9, 18:1ω9, 18:3ω9,6,12 for Mucoromycotina, Ascomycota, and Basidiomycota (Joergensen and Wichern 2008). A close correlation of ergosterol and PLFA 18:2ω6,9 has been shown in the absence of living plants (Frostegård and Bååth 1996).

Another inherent problem of the PLFA method is that these membrane components remain in soil after cell death for certain and largely unknown periods (Zelles 1999). This means that the PLFA approach is not suitable for short-term experiments with a rapid sequence of microbial growth and death processes.

Dormant soil microorganisms can recycle their own cell-membrane PLFA, but also that taken up during decomposition of plant material and decaying neighbors (Dippold and Kuzyakov 2016). Such PLFA recycling might further lower the specificity of indicator PLFA in soil but is certainly a highly interesting feature when analyzing the survival mechanisms of microorganisms in soil.

Number of PLFA determined

The number of PLFA used as a group specific indicator by the simple PLFA extraction procedure proposed by Frostegård and Bååth (1996) varies between 13 and 70 (Zelles 1999). Total PLFA often but not always consisted of the 29 listed in Table 1, dominated by the 16 PLFA shown in bold, which contribute more than 1 mol% to total PLFA. The range in detected and evaluated PLFA might affect the total PLFA content to an unknown extent. For this reason, all PLFA used for the calculation of total PLFA should be clearly listed in the Materials and Methods sections, especially as several unspecific microbial PLFA exist (Table 1).

The extended PLFA extraction procedure of Zelles (1999), which can detect up to 400 lipids, results in 21 to 50% higher total PLFA contents. However, this extended procedure has gained less popularity in the past two decades, despite its ability to identify high numbers of signature fatty acids for defined organisms and to identify the organisms causing the shift in microbial community. Not only the complexity of the procedure but also the competition with DNA-based methods might explain why extraction procedure of Zelles (1999) has been used less often.

Joergensen and Emmerling (2006) presented a weighted mean of 5.8 for converting nmol PLFA into µg microbial biomass C. However, this conversion value did not gain much popularity, although a term “biomass” would require the conversion of measured cell components to microbial biomass C. One reason for this observed reluctance might be that the conversion of PLFA to biomass is biased by the fact that cultured microorganisms contain lower PLFA concentrations than starving soil microorganisms (Joergensen and Wichern 2008).

Conclusions

PLFA analysis will still be of interest over the next decades for estimating the contribution of the main microbial groups to the total soil microbial biomass, especially in combination with PLFA-specific δ13C analysis. PLFA give information on bacterial groups such as Gram-negative (cy17:0, 17:1ω8, and 18:1ω7) and Gram-positive bacteria, i.e., Firmicutes (i15:0, i17:0, and a15:0) and Actinobacteria (10Me17:0 and 17Me18:0) as well as fungal groups such as AMF (16:1ω5), Mucoromycotina (18:1ω9), and Ascomycota + Basidiomycota (18:2ω6,9). The straight-chain PLFA 14:0, 15:0, 16:0, and 17:0 occur in all microorganisms, i.e., also in fungi and not only in bacteria. PLFA analysis can be used as an important independent control of DNA-based methods. In this case, the comparison of membrane components and 16S-rRNA or 18S-rRNA gene abundance gives information on the cell size of bacteria and fungi under different growth conditions. The reliability and accuracy of gas chromatographic separation need to be regularly checked against unintentional variations. Important will be also to investigate more thoroughly the effects of soil properties, such as water content, on the extractability of PLFA to obtain the full potential of this approach.
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