Rapid synthesis of 3-amino-1H-isochromene from ortho-ynamidyl het(aryl) aldehyde derivatives†
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A simple and original efficient synthesis of 3-amino-1H-isochromene bearing a bromine atom at the C-1 position via a 6-endo-cyclization approach from in situ generated ortho-ynamidyl het(aryl) aldehyde derivatives is achieved under mild reaction conditions and with good yields. Original ortho-ynamidyl benzaldehyde compounds were also successfully obtained.

Introduction

Efficient efforts have been devoted toward developing methods and technologies to synthesize original collections of small heterocyclic molecules with useful therapeutic properties. Due to its potent biological activities, the 1H-isochromene framework has attracted considerable attention. A significant number of functionalized 1H-isochromene derivatives have been reported to have significant biological activities including antimicrobial1, antifungal activity,2 and some exhibit a dual antiproliferative and anti-MRSA effect3 (Scheme 1). Several methods have been developed for the synthesis of this 1H-isochromene scaffold. Most of them involved either a transition metal-catalyzed (i.e. Au, Pd, Ag, Ru and Cu) annulation of 2-alkynyl benzaldehyde derivatives with nucleophiles4 or an electrophilic iodocyclization approach.5 Achieving the cyclization process in presence of C, O, or P-nucleophiles permitted the introduction of various substituents at the C-1 position of 1H-isochromene. However, most of these examples illustrate the difficulty of predicting the regioselectivity of the 5-exo- vs. 6-endo-dig ring closure depending on the nature of the starting derivatives. It should be mentioned that Walsh and Yang recently solved this regioselectivity issue using a cascade radical cyclization strategy from a 2-aza allyl anion.6 The literature survey thus clearly pointed out the lack of simple, cost-effective, atom-economic and fast protocols for accessing these useful frameworks. In view of our previous reports devoted to the functionalization of ynamide to provide key intermediates in the synthesis of small nitrogen-containing scaffolds,7 we anticipated benefiting from the high reactivity of these derivatives to access original functionalized 3-amino-1H-isochromene derivatives. This achievement will constitute a breakthrough in this field. To the best of our knowledge, there are indeed only two reported syntheses of 3-amino-1H-isochromene derivatives observed as a side compound.9 Pursuing this objective and considering our previous reports in ynamide chemistry,7 we wish therefore to report herein our preliminary results on the unprecedented synthesis of functionalized 3-amino-1H-isochromene compounds from ortho-ynamidyl het(aryl) aldehyde derivatives in metal-free conditions.

Results and discussion

Access to the brominated precursor 2a–e was achieved from readily available 2-ethylbenzaldehydes 1a–e according to the bromination method described by Jin’s team (Table 1).10 We started our investigation by combining 2-ethylbenzaldehyde 1a with NBS as a brominating agent and DBU as a base in acetonitrile.11 Under these conditions, a good conversion of 1a was attained in less than one minute leading to the brominated 2-ethylbenzaldehyde 2a in excellent yield. Then, we investigated the influence of the aryl substitution pattern and found that the presence of a strong 3-OMe electron-donating group leads to product 2b with good yield, whereas a 4-CH3 group (2c) triggered a significant reduction in the yield, as did the presence of a 4-F electron-withdrawing group (2d). Good yield was also

Scheme 1 Biologically active 1H-isochromene derivatives.
obtained with a thiophene group allowing access to the heterocyclic product 2e. It is important to note that the reaction time plays a key role in the formation of the targeted product. As the bromination reaction is very fast, 2 was obtained in only one minute. However, when the reaction was stirred for a longer period of time (10 minutes), we found that a new cyclized product 3a or 3b appeared as a unique compound when electron-withdrawing groups (i.e., Cl, NO₂) were present on the aryl moiety. The scalability of the cyclization was then explored by conducting the reaction at gram-scale, leading to 3a in good yield. It is worth noting, however, that the compounds 3 were found to be unstable over time even at low temperature. Traditional functionalization by a cross-coupling reaction can thus be envisaged from 3a, which can be furthermore considered as an oxocarbenium ion precursor. The replacement of NBS with N-bromophthalimide in order to increase the diversity on the imide moiety was unfortunately not successful.

Intrigued by the formation of the cyclized product 3, and based on the literature precedents, a plausible mechanism is outlined in Scheme 2. We initially assumed the formation of the brominated 2-ethynylbenzaldehyde 2 via a bromination reaction accomplished in presence of DBU and NBS. However, the deprotonated form of succinimide attacks the brominated product 2 according to a nucleophilic substitution reaction leading to the 2-ynimidylbenzaldehyde A, which was not isolated at this stage. It should be noted that ynimides are difficult to access; only two groups have described their synthesis in the literature to date. In addition, the formation of 2-ynimidylbenzaldehyde is totally unprecedented, unlike the corresponding ester compound. This process then allows the release of hydrogen bromide in the medium which can then react with the newly formed ynimide A, affording the keteniminium B and promoting a regioselective 6-endo-dig intramolecular nucleophilic attack of carbonyl oxygen to the isobenzopyrrolium intermediate C. Nucleophilic addition of the bromide anion on the latter provides access to the 3-amino-1H-isochromene 3.

Taking into account this reactivity, we set about extending this methodology by modifying the nature of the amino substituent (Table 2). With a view to obtaining various 3-amino-1H-isochromene motifs 4, we first attempted from 2 to replace the imide (NBS), as described in 3, by a tosylamide in presence of DBU, but without success. Thus, to generate the precursor of the cyclization process (similar to A in Scheme 2), the Hsung coupling strategy was chosen for the synthesis of the 2-ynimidylbenzaldehyde intermediate. A study was then carried out by varying the nature of the amide or aryl group. Reported reaction conditions were used from 2 without any modification affording 4a in good yield. As previously observed in Scheme 2, lower yields were observed with electron-donating groups (4b) as competing substrate decomposition was observed prior to the complete consumption of the starting material. In this case, we assume that an electron-donating group (i.e., 4-Me) may favor the reverse addition step (cf. Scheme 2, intermediate B), causing degradation. The presence of electron-withdrawing groups such as fluorine (4c) made the final cyclized compound more stable but slowed down the cyclization process (t = 54 h). In a second step, variation of the amide protecting group was studied, demonstrating the possibility to access compounds 4d–e. However, the introduction of an allyl or a Boc group on the nitrogen atom led to the formation of the corresponding 2-ynimidylbenzaldehyde 5a or 5b in moderate yield; no cyclization product was obtained. The tests carried out using this intermediate 5 to force the cyclization reaction in neutral or acidic conditions proved unsuccessful, only degradation products were observed. Hence, given the prevalence of heterocycles in medicinal chemistry, we then tested the possibility of increasing the level of structural complexity by introducing a thiophene moiety (5c–e). However, no cyclization was observed either; these original thiophenyl ynamides 5c–e were isolated as stable compounds. In the case of 5e, the corresponding amide 6e, resulting from a hydration process, was isolated as a side compound. Remarkably, it is the first reported example of isolated ortho-ynamidyl het(aryl) aldehyde derivatives and thus provides access to more complex compounds, thereby allowing the exploration of a new chemical space in

**Table 1** Scope of the addition/cycloisomerization reaction from 2-ethynylbenzaldehydes 1
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Scheme 2 Plausible mechanism.
terms of heteroatom-containing compounds. Finally, we presumed that the electronic nature of the het(aryl) moiety directly impacted the reactivity of the aldehyde function. This trend was confirmed with electron-rich thiophene-2-carboxaldehyde derivatives (5c–e) which did not undergo cyclization probably due to a lower stability of the potential iso-benzopyrilium intermediate C. Similar results were observed with the less stabilized ynamides 5a–b.

Conclusions

In summary, the strategy developed herein is likely to provide an original and convenient access to 3-amino-1-bromo-1H-isochromene from readily available 2-ethynylbenzaldehydes as starting materials. The approach involved an unprecedented 2ynamidylbenzaldehyde intermediate, which can be isolated as a stable compound. A tandem addition/cycloisomerization reaction provided regioselective access to 3-amino-1H-isochromene bearing a bromine atom at the C-1 position that would otherwise be difficult to prepare using alternative procedures. The use and application of the reported protocols in the synthesis of biologically important related molecules may be anticipated and is the focus of ongoing research.

Experimental

General information

Unless otherwise noted, all reagents and solvents were purchased from commercial sources and used as received. All manipulations were conducted under argon. The reactions were monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) using silica gel (60 F254) plates. Compounds were visualized using a UV lamp (254 nm) and/or by potassium permanganate stain. Flash column chromatography was carried out on silica gel 60 (230–400 mesh, 0.040–0.063 mm). Melting points (mp [°C]) were taken on samples in open capillary tubes and are uncorrected. The infrared spectra of compounds were recorded on a Thermo Scientific Nicolet iS10. 1H, 13C and 19F NMR spectra were recorded on a spectrometer at 250 MHz (13C, 62.9 MHz) or 400 MHz (13C, 100 MHz; 19F: 376 MHz CPD). High-resolution accurate mass measurements (HRAM) were recorded with a Maxis Bruker 4G instrument and were performed in positive mode with an ESI source on a Q-TOF mass spectrometer with an accuracy tolerance of 2 ppm by the “Fédération de Recherche” ICOA/CBM (FR2708) platform. Compounds 1b–d,16e were synthesized according to the literature procedures.

General procedure GP1 for the synthesis of 2a–2e

To a solution of aryl alkyne 1 (1.0 mmol) in MeCN (2.0 mL) was added NBS (1.5 mmol) and DBU (1.0 mmol). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 min. The reaction mixture was then poured into water and extracted with CH2Cl2 (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with water (3 × 10 mL), filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product 2 was purified by flash-column chromatography on silica gel.

Table 2 Synthesis of 3-amino-1-bromo-1H-isochromene motifs 4 or ortho-ynamidyl het(aryl) aldehyde 5\textsuperscript{ab}

| \(R_1\) | \(R_2\) | \(R_3\) | Product |
|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| Ts    | N    | N    | 4a (70%) |
| Ts    | N    | N    | 4b (26%) |
| Br    | Ts   | N    | 4c (63%) |
| Br    | Ts   | N    | 4d (80%) |
| Br    | Ts   | N    | 4e (42%) |
| Br    | N    | N-Boc| 5a (64%) |
| Br    | N    | N-Boc| 5b (58%) |
| Br    | Ts   | OMe  | 5c (82%) |
| Br    | Ts   | OMe  | 5d (71%) |
| Ts    | N-BOc| N    | 5e (25%) |

\textsuperscript{a} Reaction conditions: \(2 (1.1 \text{ mmol}, 1.1 \text{ equiv.}), R_1R_2NH (1 \text{ equiv.}), K_2PO_4 (2 \text{ equiv.}), CuSO_4 \cdot 5H_2O (0.1 \text{ equiv.}), 1,10-phenanthroline (0.2 \text{ equiv.}), \) toluene (0.33 M), 80 °C, 48 h. \textsuperscript{b} Isolated yields. \textsuperscript{c} t = 54 h.
General procedure GP2 for the synthesis of 3a–3b
To a solution of aryl alkyne 1st (1.0 mmol) in MeCN (2.0 mL) was added NBS (1.5 mmol) and DBU (1.0 mmol). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 10 min. The reaction mixture was then poured into water and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with water (3 × 10 mL), filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product 3 was purified by flash-column chromatography on silica gel.

General procedure GP3 for the synthesis of 4a–4e, 5a–5e, 6e
In a reaction vial flushed with argon and equipped with a stirring bar, were added bromoalkyne 2 (1.1 equiv.), the protected secondary amine (1.0 equiv.), CuSO₄•5H₂O (10 mol%), 1,10-phenanthroline (20 mol%), K₂PO₄ (2.0 equiv.) and toluene (0.33 M). The reaction mixture was copped and heated in an oil bath at 80 °C for 48 h while being monitored with TLC analysis. Upon completion, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and diluted with EtOAc and filtered through Celite™, and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. The crude products were purified by silica gel flash column chromatography [gradient eluent: EtOAc in hexane] to afford the desired compound.

1-(Bromo-7-chloro-1H-isochromen-3-yl)pyrrolidine-2,5-dione (3a). Flash-column chromatography on silica gel with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (80 : 20) as eluent gave 3a as a white solid (950 mg, 60%) according to GP2. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.39 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 2H), 7.21 (m, 1H), 7.13 (s, 1H), 5.79 (s, 1H), 2.77–2.75 (s, 4H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 182.5, 145.3, 133.8, 131.8, 129.8, 72.8, 57.6. HRMS (ESI+): calc. for C₁₀H₂₉BrO₂M [M + H]+: 214.91674 found 214.91564. Mp: 65–66 °C.

1-(Bromo-7-nitro-1H-isochromen-3-yl)pyrrolidine-2,5-dione (3b). Flash-column chromatography on silica gel with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (80 : 20) as eluent gave 3b as a white gum (240 mg, 62%) according to GP2. 1H NMR (250 MHz, DMSO): 8.44 (s, 1H), 8.35 (dd, J = 8.5, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.00 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (s, 1H), 6.68 (s, 1H), 2.69 (d, J = 5.8 Hz, 4H). 13C NMR (63 MHz, DMSO) δ 176.8 (2C), 154.9, 148.5, 138.9, 138.7, 125.7, 121.7, 119.4, 82.6, 80.3, 28.7 (2C). HRMS (ESI+): calc. for C₁₀H₁₀BrO₂S [M + H]+: 235.9768 found 235.9764. Mp: 95 °C.

N-(1-Bromo-1H-isochromen-3-yl)-N,4-dimethylbenzenesulfonylhydrazide (4a). Flash-column chromatography on silica gel with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (90 : 10) as eluent gave 4a as a white solid (297 mg, 70%) according to GP3. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.95 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.45–7.35 (m, 7H), 5.62 (s, 1H), 2.45 (s, 3H), 2.30 (s, 3H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 155.3, 143.9, 136.5, 134.6, 132.9, 130.2, 129.8, 129.5, 123.4, 120, 92.3, 74.8, 28.1, 21.6. HRMS (ESI+): calc. for C₁₁H₁₀BrO₂S [M + H]+: 352.9768 found 352.9764. Mp: 114–115 °C.

N-(1-Bromo-6-methyl-1H-isochromen-3-yl)-N,4-dimethylbenzenesulfonylhydrazide (4b). Flash-column chromatography on silica gel with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (99 : 1) as eluent gave 4b as a white solid (65 mg, 26%) according to GP3. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.95 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.40–7.23 (m, 5H), 7.19 (s, 1H), 5.58 (s, 1H), 2.45 (s, 3H), 2.41 (s, 3H), 2.30 (s, 3H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 153.5, 143.8, 140.5, 134.8, 133.9, 131.3, 129.5, 128.4, 123.0, 120.1, 92.1, 74.3, 28.0, 21.6, 21.4. HRMS (ESI+): fast degradation of compound.

N-(1-Bromo-7-fluoro-1H-isochromen-3-yl)-N,4-dimethylbenzenesulfonylhydrazide (4c). Flash-column chromatography on silica gel with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (90 : 10) as eluent gave 4c as a white solid (246 mg, 63%) according to GP3. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.93 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.40–7.34 (m, 5H), 7.32 (s, 1H), 7.18–7.11 (m, 2H), 5.57 (s, 1H), 2.46 (s, 3H), 2.33 (s, 3H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 163.8, 154.4, 144.1, 138.8, 134.4, 129.7, 128.9, 128.4, 121.7, 118.3, 110.5, 91.7, 74.4, 28.1, 21.6. HRMS (ESI+): calc. for C₁₁H₁₀BrF₂SO₂N [M + H]+: 412.0012 found 412.0012. Mp: 157–158 °C.

N-(1-Bromo-1H-isochromen-3-yl)-N-(2-(3,4-dimethylphenyl)ethyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonylhydrazide (4d).
Flash-column chromatography on silica gel with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (90 : 10) as eluent gave 4d as a yellow oil (241 mg, 60%) according to GP3. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): 7.96 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 7.42–7.39 (m, 2H), 7.35–7.31 (m, 4H), 6.60 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 1H), 6.25 (dd, $J = 8.1$, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 6.13 (d, $J = 2.0$ Hz, 1H), 5.65 (s, 1H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 2.86–2.67 (m, 3H), 2.41 (s, 3H), 2.38–2.29 (s, 1H). $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): all carbons were not visible on the NMR spectrum and the compound showed fast degradation in the NMR solvent over time. HRMS (ESI+): calcd for C$_{26}$H$_{32}$Br$_2$SO$_3$N $[M + H]^+$: 544.0787 found 544.0786.

N-(1-Bromo-1H-isochromen-3-yl)-4-methoxy-N-methylbenzenesulfonamide (4e). Flash-column chromatography on silica gel with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (90 : 10) as eluent gave 4e as a white gum (184 mg, 42%) according to GP3. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): 8.02 (d, $J = 9.0$ Hz, 2H), 7.48–7.41 (m, 4H), 7.37 (s, 1H), 7.06 (d, $J = 9.0$ Hz, 2H), 5.64 (s, 1H), 3.91 (s, 2H), 2.32 (s, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 163.3, 155.3, 136.5, 132.9, 130.7, 130.2, 129.1, 123.4, 119.9, 114.2, 92.4, 74.7, 55.6, 28.1. HRMS (ESI+): calcd for C$_{12}$H$_{16}$BrSO$_3$N $[M + H]^+$: 410.0056 found 410.0055.

Allyl-N-((2-formylphenyl)ethynyl)(phenyl)carbamate (5a). Flash-column chromatography on silica gel with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (80 : 20) as eluent afforded 5a as a pale yellow oil (239 mg, 64% according to GP3). $^1$H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl$_3$): 9.84 (s, 1H), 7.92–7.85 (m, 2H), 7.69 (d, $J = 9.2$ Hz, 2H), 7.66 (dd, $J = 5.0$, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.09 (dd, $J = 8.9$, 7.0 Hz, 3H). $^1$C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 182.9, 164.1, 142.4, 133.9, 131.1, 130.6, 130.0 (2 × C), 127.6, 114.6 (2 × C), 90.7, 63.1, 55.8, 39.0. HRMS (ESI+): calcd for C$_{14}$H$_{16}$O$_2$N$_3$ $[M + H]^+$: 336.0359 found 336.0357.

N-((2-Formylthiophen-3-yl)ethyl)-4-methoxy-N-methylbenzenesulfonamide (5e). Flash-column chromatography on silica gel with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (90 : 10) as eluent gave 5e as a colorless oil (254 mg, 69%) according to GP3. $^1$H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl$_3$): 10.00 (d, $J = 1.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.89 (d, $J = 9.2$ Hz, 2H), 7.76 (dd, $J = 5.0$, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.09 (dd, $J = 8.9$, 7.0 Hz, 3H). $^1$C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 182.9, 164.1, 142.4, 133.9, 131.1, 130.6, 130.0 (2 × C), 127.6, 114.6 (2 × C), 90.7, 63.1, 55.8, 39.0. HRMS (ESI+): calcd for C$_{14}$H$_{16}$O$_2$N$_3$ $[M + H]^+$: 336.0359 found 336.0357.
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