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Prolactin from chinook salmon pituitaries was purified by acid acetone extraction, saline precipitation, chromatofocusing, and gel filtration. This procedure allowed us to recover highly purified prolactin as demonstrated by the presence of a single NH₂-terminal amino acid and a single band in sodium dodecyl sulfate gel electrophoresis. Chinook salmon prolactin appeared to be a basic protein of 22,500 molecular weight. Throughout the purification, prolactin bioactivity was followed by radioreceptor assay for lactogenic hormones. The prolactin character of the purified protein was established by its lactogenic activity in the rabbit mammary gland in vitro and its sodium-retaining activity in hypophysectomized Fundulus heteroclitus.

It is now well established that the teleost pituitary contains a protein which is similar to mammalian prolactin and which is endowed with osmoregulatory activity (see reviews by Ensor, 1979; Clarke and Bern, 1980). Teleost prolactin is devoid of lactogenic activity as judged by the pigeon crop sac and mouse mammary gland bioasays (Nicoll et al., 1966; Nicoll and Bern, 1968; Doneen, 1976; Farmer et al., 1977). However, Prunet et al. (1979) and Houdebine et al. (1981) have recently observed that salmon and tilapia prolactins can induce casein synthesis in rabbit mammary gland in culture. These findings suggest that the lactogenic activity expressed in the rabbit and osmoregulatory activity expressed in various euryhaline teleosts may be two properties of teleostean prolactin. In a previous study (Prunet et al., 1979), partial purification of chinook salmon was carried out using the radioreceptor assay for lactogenic hormone as a test. In this communication we discuss the purification and the characterization of prolactin from chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tschawytscha). To detect prolactin activity the radioreceptor assay was used throughout the purification. The final product exhibited the lactogenic activity previously observed in the partially purified fractions (Prunet et al., 1979). In addition, the purified hormone proved to have an osmoregulatory activity as judged by the Fundulus bioassay (see Grau et al., 1983).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Hormone Isolation

Salmon pituitary collection. Pituitary glands from female and male chinook salmon (O. tschawytscha) which had been in freshwater for several weeks at the Spring Creek National Fish Hatchery (Underwood, Wash.) were collected following decapitation and were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and lyophilized. Osmoregulatory activity. The sodium-retaining activity of this hormone was assessed in hypophysectomized Fundulus heteroclitus transferred in freshwater according to the techniques of Grau et al. (1983).

Biochemical Analysis

Several analytical electrophoresis systems were used during this purification. Anionic electrophoresis at pH 9.3 was performed in discontinuous polyacrylamide gel
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with a spacer gel of 3% acrylamide and a running gel of 7.5% (Maurer, 1968). Cationic electrophoresis at pH 4.5 was performed on 15% acrylamide gel according to the method of Riesfeld et al. (1962). Electrophoresis in the presence of sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) was done according to Weber and Osborn (1969). In all cases proteins were stained with 0.2% (w/v) Coo massie blue in 50% methanol solution and destained overnight in 7% acetic acid. Using these analytical techniques purified chinook salmon prolactin was compared with chum salmon prolactin purified by Kawauchi et al. (1983) and with homogenate of rostral pars distalis from coho salmon pituitaries.

To evaluate the purity of the final salmon prolactin preparation, 200 µg of this material was submitted to NH₂-terminal analysis by the dansyl procedure (Gray 1967; Woods and Wang, 1967). The amino acid composition was determined by the method of Spackman et al. (1958) using an automatic amino acid analyzer. These analyses were performed by Professor H. Papkoff (Hormone Research Laboratory, University of California, San Francisco).

The electrofocusing experiment was monitored on a flat-bed FBE 1000 (Pharmacia). The agarose gel (1%) with a volume of 28 ml contained Biolyte ampholytes (2%, v/v) in the pH range 8–10. The cathode and anode solutions were, respectively, 1 N NaOH and 0.2 M histidine. Following 1 hr of prefocusing at 5 W, the material was focused for 3 h at 5 W.

First purification protocol tested (Idler et al., 1978; Ng et al., 1981). The alkaline extract from 10 g of lyophilized pituitary glands, using 0.05 M Tris–HCl, pH 9.5, 0.5 M NaCl buffer, was chromatographed on concanavalin A–Sepharose (Pharmacia) in two successive runs. The nonglycoprotein material flowing through was layered on an Ultrogel AcA 54 (IBF) column (Pharmacia K 50–100). The bioactive proteins corresponding to a molecular weight of 22,000 were rechromatographed on the same gel. The resulting enriched prolactin fraction was subjected to ion-exchange chromatography (DEAE Bio-Gel A (Biorad)-equilibrated with 0.005 M Tris–HCl, pH 9.5). The nonabsorbed material which contained prolactin was submitted to a final gel filtration using Sephadex G-75 in 0.05 M NH₄HCO₃ and the resulting fractions obtained were lyophilized.

Second purification protocol. This protocol followed initial acid acetone extraction indicated by Kawauchi et al. (1983). The acid acetone powder thus obtained was dissolved in water at pH 2.5 and NaCl was added to a final concentration of 10% NaCl saturation (Cole and Li, 1955; Kawauchi and Tubokama, 1979). The solution was stirred for 12 hr at 4°C and centrifuged for 1 hr at 100,000g. The resulting supernatant was dialyzed against distilled water and lyophilized. This fraction was then layered on a Polybuffer exchanger gel, PBE 94 (Pharmacia), equilibrated with 0.025 M ethanolamine–HCl, pH 10.2. The elution was carried out with a linear pH gradient using 0.025 M ethanolamine–HCl buffer from pH 10.2 to pH 9.3. After dialysis and lyophilization the bioactive fraction was submitted to a final gel filtration on Sephadex G-50 in 0.05 M NH₄HCO₃. The fraction containing prolactin was then lyophilized.

Bioassays

Radioreceptor assay. The presence of salmon prolactin activity during purification was determined using a radioreceptor assay for lactogenic hormone initially proposed by Shiu et al. (1973) and also used for fish hormone (Prunet et al., 1977, 1979). The lack of parallelism between the displacement curves generated with ovine prolactin and with the salmon prolactin-containing fractions in the presence of ¹²⁵I-labeled ovine prolactin renders uneasy an accurate appreciation of the fish prolactin concentration. For the sake of convenience, the biological activity (µg of ovine prolactin/mg of protein) of each sample was estimated by finding the yield of the ovine prolactin concentration and the sample protein concentration, calculated by the displacement curves and both inhibiting 50% of the ¹²⁵I-labeled ovine prolactin binding.
**Lactogenic activity.** The lactogenic activity was evaluated by estimating its capacity to induce casein synthesis in rabbit mammary explants. The culture technique was similar to that presented in previous reports (Prunet *et al.*, 1979; Houdebine *et al.*, 1981). The ovine prolactin was the generous gift of the National Institutes of Health (NIH-P-S13). One major difference has been introduced in the estimation of casein synthesis. Instead of measuring the capacity of the tissue to incorporate labeled amino acids into casein, the content of the explant in β-casein was evaluated using a radioimmunoassay. Rabbit β-casein was purified by two successive chromatographies on DEAE-cellulose (Testud and Ribadeau-Dumas, 1973). Anti-rabbit β-casein was obtained in goat, and anti-goat immunoglobulin was obtained in rabbit. After a culture of 1 day in the presence of ovine prolactin or salmon prolactin, the explants (50 mg of tissue) were homogenized in 4 ml of 0.14 M NaCl, 0.01 M sodium phosphate (pH 7.6), and 0.1% Triton X-100. To 50 μl of the 5000g supernatant 30,000 cpm [125I]-labeled β-casein, labeled by a chloramine-T procedure, at a specific activity of 50-75 μCi/μg and 200 μl of the goat anti-β-casein serum, diluted 2000-fold, were added. The final volume was adjusted to 600 μl with phosphate buffer. After 24 hr at room temperature, 200 μl of a 5-fold diluted rabbit serum containing anti-goat immunoglobulin antibodies was added to the incubate and the mixture was further incubated for 24 hr at room temperature. The immunoprecipitate was sedimented at 2200g and the radioactivity of the pellet was measured with a gamma counter.

**RESULTS**

**Isolation of the Hormone**

The first purification procedure led to a fractionation similar to that described previously by Idler *et al.* (1978). Chinook salmon prolactin, which was not retained on concanavalin A-Sepharose, eluted from gel filtration as a protein having a molecular weight of 22,000. Moreover, this protein was not retained on DEAE-ionic exchanger at pH 9 and low salt concentration (0.005 M Tris–HCl). However, the prolactin preparation thus obtained did not seem to be pure as indicated by the asymetric peak obtained after Sephadex G-50 gel filtration (data not shown). The low recovery of prolactin material (4 mg) after this gel filtration chromatography did not allow an additional chromatography step. The heterogeneity of the prolactin fraction obtained was confirmed by the presence of several N-terminal amino acids and by the presence of four bands in the electrophoresis trial performed at pH 4.5 (the main band having a $R_f = 0.5$) and that performed in the presence of SDS (Prunet, 1981). Moreover, this preparation did not give any clear band after an electrophoresis at pH 9.3. A preliminary study of this material using electrophoresing techniques confirmed the basic pI of this protein (pI > 8). These problems led us to question whether the saline and alkaline extraction procedure was suitable for this purification.

The second protocol based on acid acetone extraction gave rise to a recovery of 335 mg of powder from 5 g of lyophilized pituitaries. After saline precipitation at pH 2.5, 96% of the prolactin activity detected by the radioreceptor assay remained in the supernatant (Table 1). This crude prolactin preparation (80 mg) was layered on a chromatofocusing gel. The linear pH gradient eluted the proteins having pI's between 9 and 10. To obtain the pH gradient described under Materials and Methods, the Polybuffer (PBE) 94 recommended by Pharmacia was replaced by a 0.025 M ethanolamine–HCl buffer. These conditions led to a good recovery of proteins from the eluted fractions, a problem which proved to be difficult to solve in the presence of Polybuffer. About 87% of the prolactin activity was concentrated in the Fb fraction (Fig. 1). Chromatography on Sephadex G-50 of this fraction led to separate the prolactin activity (first symetric peak) from smaller contaminants (Fig. 2). The prolactin preparation thus obtained was further demonstrated to be pure. Surprisingly, this chromatography step did not increase the biological activity measured in the radioreceptor assay of the prolactin preparation (Table 1). This might be due to the low yield of this gel filtration experiment. Such a low recovery of material has been observed previously when very low quantities of pro-
TABLE 1

CHINOOK SALMON PROLACTIN ACTIVITY ESTIMATED IN THE RADIORECEPTOR ASSAY FOR LACTOGENIC HORMONE

| Sample                                | Biological activity (μg ovine prolactin/mg protein) | Amount of ovine prolactin equivalent (μg) |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Crude pituitary extract               | 0.30                                                | 521                                      |
| Acid acetone powder                   | 0.75                                                | 190                                      |
| Supernatant from saline precipitation | 1.61                                                | 128                                      |
| 2e Chromatofocusing fraction (Fc)     | 7.43                                                | 63                                       |
| 3e Chromatofocusing fraction (Fc)     | 4.86                                                | 10                                       |
| Gel filtration (the peak)             | 7.2                                                 | 26                                       |

Note. The displacement curves obtained in the radioreceptor assay for each fish sample and for ovine prolactin are made linear by log-log transformation. The biological activity of the different fractions is expressed in ovine prolactin equivalent per milligram of protein (for details see Material and Methods). Amount of ovine prolactin equivalent is obtained after multiplying the biological activity by the protein amount of the fraction.

teins (a few milligrams) are layered on the gel.

Chemical and Physical Characterization

The amount of final purified prolactin corresponds approximately to 70 mg/kg wet wt tissue. The purity of the prolactin preparation was assessed by the existence of a single NH₂-terminal amino acid (leucine). Electrophoresis in the presence of SDS revealed a single band corresponding to a molecular weight of 22,500 ± 2000. Electro-

![Fig. 1. Chromatofocusing. The supernatant of the saline precipitation was applied to a 1.5 x 30-cm column containing PBE 94 gel (Pharmacia) equilibrated with 0.025 M ethanolamine–HCl, pH 10.2. Elution was performed with a linear gradient of 0.025 M ethanolamine–HCl buffer ranging from pH 10.2 to 9.3 (fraction size: 2 ml; elution rate: 20 ml/hr). The peak thus obtained was divided into three fractions (Fa, Fb, Fc).](image-url)
CHINOOK SALMON PROLACTIN

Fig. 2. Gel filtration. Fraction Fb (6 mg) was layered on a 1 x 60-cm column of Sephadex G-50 gel (Pharmacia). Elution was performed with 0.05 M NH₄HCO₃ (elution rate: 5.2 ml/hr; size fraction: 0.6 ml). The void volume of the column was determined with thyroglobulin (dashed line). Prolactin activity was concentrated in the first symmetrical peak.

phoresis of the rostral pars distalis of coho salmon pituitary glands revealed the existence of a major protein running at the same position as the purified chinook salmon prolactin (Fig. 3) and the prolactin fraction obtained using the first purification protocol: this band appeared to have sodium-retaining activity in hypophysectomized F. heteroclitus, a biological property which is specific to prolactin (Grau et al., 1983). Moreover, chum salmon prolactin kindly provided by Professor H. Kawauchi of Kitasato University also gave the same electrophoresis pattern as our chinook salmon prolactin (Fig. 3). When electrophoresis was performed at pH 4.5, essentially a single major band appeared (Rf = 0.5) with a second band of lower intensity running immediately behind (Fig. 3).

The pI of chinook prolactin determined by electrofocusing analysis confirmed its basic value (pI = 9.4).

The comparison of the amino acid composition of chinook prolactin with tilapia prolactin indicated that the former has a lower content of glutamine and glutamic acid and a higher content of lysine and arginine than the latter (Table 2). This finding is compatible with the high pI of chinook salmon prolactin. Except for alanine and valine which were higher in Tilapia prolactin, the number of other residues was similar for both hormones.

Biological Properties

Lactogenic activity. Throughout the purification, prolactin bioactivity estimated by the radioreceptor assay progressively increased. The purified chinook salmon prolactin was estimated to be 24-fold more potent than the crude pituitary extract (Table 1). However, considering the heterologous nature of this assay, such biological quantification should be viewed with some caution.

It seemed necessary therefore to confirm the lactogenic activity of the pure hormone in the mammary gland culture system. Sev-
eral concentrations of ovine or chinook salmon prolactin were added to the culture medium of rabbit mammary explants. Figure 4 indicates that the maximum response was obtained between 100 and 1000 ng/ml ovine prolactin with reduced responses at higher concentrations. This result is in agreement with previous experiments (Houdebine et al., 1981; Djiane et al., 1982). Thus it may be concluded that evaluation of lactogenic activity of prolactin can be evaluated equally well by measuring either the biosynthesis of total casein (the previous method) or the accumulation of casein. The purified chinook salmon prolactin exhibited a clear lactogenic activity which was roughly 20 times lower than the activity of ovine prolactin.

**Osmoregulatory activity.** In the Fundulus bioassay, depicted by Grau et al. (1983), chinook salmon prolactin showed maximum activity for injected dose as low as 4 ng/ml; thus our chinook prolactin preparation appeared to be approximately 100 times more potent than ovine prolactin.

**DISCUSSION**

Chinook salmon prolactin has been obtained in a highly purified form as judged by the presence of a single NH₂-terminal amino acid and by its migration as a single band in electrophoresis in presence of SDS. The prolactin character of this purified material was established by its lactogenic activity in organ-cultured rabbit mammary gland and by its sodium-retaining activity in *F. heteroclitus.*

The first purification procedure adapted from Idler et al. (1978) and Ng et al. (1980) did not allow us to get pure chinook salmon prolactin. However, we obtained a preparation in which chinook salmon prolactin was the main protein as indicated by elec-
Chinook salmon prolactin appeared to be a basic protein according to the electrofocusing experiments, a property which is also shared by chum salmon prolactin (Idler, 1981; Kawauchi et al., 1983). This result is confirmed by the behavior of chinook salmon prolactin on a chromatofocusing gel equilibrated at a basic pH and in electrophoresis performed at alkaline pH. The absence of a stained band in this last technique is not associated with possible staining problem (Farmer et al., 1977), as this prolactin band can be clearly stained in the same electrophoresis system when polarity is reversed ($R_f < 0.1$).

The comparison between chinook salmon prolactin and chum salmon prolactin purified by Dr. H. Kawauchi shows that these two prolactins have similar or nearly identical biochemical characteristics. They run the same way in electrophoresis performed in presence of SDS, they are both basic proteins and their amino acid compositions are essentially in agreement (Kawauchi et al., 1983; see Table 2). This is not surprising if we consider the close phylogenetic relationship between these two salmon species. As Tilapia prolactin, chinook salmon prolactin has a molecular weight smaller than that of mammalian pro-
lactin and possesses four half-cystine residues or two disulfide bridges. The similarity between these two fish prolactins is partially confirmed by the comparison of their amino acid compositions.

In electrophoresis carried out at pH 4.5, chinook salmon prolactin migrated as a major band preceded by a less intense band, a picture strikingly reminiscent of the results obtained by Farmer et al. (1977) with tilapia prolactin. If we consider NH$_2$-terminal amino acid analysis and electrophoresis in presence of SDS as stringent criteria of purity, it seems unlikely that this less intensively stained band is a contaminant. The polymorphism revealed by electrophoresis and frequently observed with prolactin leads us to assume that this band is a deamidated form or a polymer (Shoer et al., 1978; Wallis et al., 1980; Nyberg et al., 1980; Proudman and Corcoran, 1981). If fish prolactin behaves like mammalian prolactin, our purification conditions (basic pH, lyophilization) should favor the generation of this polymorphism (Lewis et al., 1970).

The lactogenic activity of chinook salmon prolactin, determined by its capacity to increase of casein synthesis in rabbit mammary gland explants is essentially similar to the activity of Tilapia prolactin (Houdebine et al., 1981). This result indicates that fish prolactins are endowed with significant lactogenic activity in the rabbit.

It is noteworthy that in the Fundulus bioassay pure chinook salmon prolactin was approximately 100 times more potent than ovine prolactin. An opposite ratio of bioactivity was observed for these two hormones in the radioreceptor assay, ovine prolactin being about 100 times more potent than chinook salmon prolactin.

Given that the chinook salmon prolactin and tilapia prolactin exhibit both osmoregulatory activity in fish and lactogenic activity in the rabbit, it seems legitimate to use routinely the radioreceptor assay established with rabbit mammary gland receptors as a bioindicator for fish prolactins, and to quantify the bioactivity of the preparation with the Fundulus bioassay.
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