LCS-1 inhibition of superoxide dismutase 1 induces ROS-dependent death of glioma cells and degradates PARP and BRCA1
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Gliomas are characterized by high morbidity and mortality, and have only slightly increased survival with recent considerable improvements for treatment. An innovative therapeutic strategy had been developed via inducing ROS-dependent cell death by targeting antioxidant proteins. In this study, we found that glioma tissues expressed high levels of superoxide dismutase 1 (SOD1). The expression of SOD1 was upregulated in glioma grade III and V tissues compared with that in normal brain tissues or glioma grade I tissues. U251 and U87 glioma cells expressed high levels of SOD1, low levels of SOD2 and very low levels of SOD3. LCS-1, an inhibitor of SOD1, increased the expression SOD1 at both mRNA and protein levels slightly but significantly. As expected, LCS-1 caused ROS production in a dose- and time-dependent manner. SOD1 inhibition also induced the gene expression of HO-1, GCLC, GCLM and NQO1 which are targeting genes of nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2, suggesting the activation of ROS signal pathway. Importantly, LCS-1 increased the expression SOD1 at both mRNA and protein levels slightly but significantly. As expected, LCS-1 caused ROS production in a dose- and time-dependent manner. SOD1 inhibition also induced the gene expression of HO-1, GCLC, GCLM and NQO1 which are targeting genes of nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2, suggesting the activation of ROS signal pathway. Importantly, LCS-1 induced death of U251 and U87 cells dose- and time-dependently. The cell death was reversed by the pretreatment of cells with ROS scavenges NAC or GSH. Furthermore, LCS-1 decreased the growth of xenograft tumors formed by U87 glioma cells in nude mice. Mechanistically, the inhibition of P53, caspases did not reverse LCS-1-induced cell death, indicating the failure of these molecules involving in cell death. Moreover, we found that LCS-1 treatment induced the degradation of both PARP and BRCA1 simultaneously, suggesting that LCS-1-induced cell death may be associated with the failure of DNA damage repair. Taking together, these results suggest that the degradation of both PARP and BRCA1 may contribute to cell death induced by SOD1 inhibition, and SOD1 may be a target for glioma therapy.
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Introduction

Owing to the localization and the often locally invasive growth, central nervous system tumors are characterized by high morbidity and mortality (1). Gliomas are the most common types of primary brain tumors, accounting for almost 30% of all primary brain tumors, and 80% of all malignant types, and are responsible for the majority of deaths from primary brain tumors (1). Conventional treatment strategies of gliomas provide a gross total removal of tumors, which are associated with several cycles of radiotherapy and chemotherapy (1). Though considerable improvements in terms of surgical approaches including operative microscopes and image guided surgery have been reached, patients have only slightly increased survival (1). So optimal therapeutic strategy is required to improve the therapeutic effects and increase patient survival.

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are oxygen-containing free radicals which are derived from the partial reduction of oxygen (2). ROS have dual roles in cell metabolism. At low to moderate levels, ROS act as signal transducers to activate cell proliferation, migration, invasion, and angiogenesis. In contrast, high levels of ROS cause damage to proteins, nucleic acids, lipids, membranes, and organelles, leading to cell death (3). Typically, cancer cells exhibit high levels of ROS compared with normal cells as a result of an imbalance between oxidants and antioxidants (3). Anticancer therapeutic strategies have been developed by manipulating ROS levels via inducing more oxidants and/or targeting antioxidants (3). By modulating ROS, a number of natural or synthesized compounds have been used for cancer therapy (4–9).

Superoxide Dismutases (SOD) are highly conserved enzymes, which play fundamental roles in protecting cells from oxidative stress by catalyzing the dismutation of the superoxide radical (10). There are three forms of SOD that incorporate different covalently bound substances (Mn, Zn, Cu, Fe), and inactivate both intra- and extra-cellular superoxides and also in mitochondrial intermembrane space (10). SOD2 (Mn SOD) contains manganese and is predominantly observed in the mitochondrial matrix (10). The third one, SOD3 (Cu/Zn extracellular SOD), also contains copper and zinc but is secreted to the extracellular space (10). SOD1 is a 15.9 kDa homodimer which is held by hydrophobic contacts that reduce solvent accessibility and increase its stability. Each monomer contains a copper and a zinc ion, which together have either a structural or catalytic function. Beside its enzymatic activity to dismutate superoxide radical, SOD1 translocates nuclei as a transcription factor to regulate the expression of oxidative resistance and repair genes in response to high levels of hydrogen peroxide (11).

In cancer cells, the dysfunction of SOD1 causes ROS-dependent cell damage which should benefit for cancer therapy. Early, SOD1 inhibitor ATN-224 has been reported to attenuate angiogenesis and tumor cell proliferation (12). ATN-224 has also been reported to induce cell death in various NSCLC cells, including those harboring KRAS mutations (13). Another small molecular LCS-1 (lung cancer screen 1, 4,5-Dichloro-2-(3-methylphenyl)-3(2H)-pyridazinone) is screened as an inhibitor of SOD1 and inhibits the growth of lung adenocarcinoma cell lines (14), and has been reported to induce death of colorectal cancer cells and breast cancer cells (15–17). However, the effect of SOD1 inhibition on glioma therapy is not understood. Especially, the detailed mechanism of cell death induced by SOD1 inhibition remains elusive in cancer cells.

In this study, we found that clinical glioma expressed increased SOD1. LCS-1 inhibition of SOD1 induced ROS-dependent cell death in glioma cells, and decreased glioma growth in vivo. Mechanistically, LCS-1-induced cell death was not associated with P53 and caspase. But it may be associated with PARP and BRCA1, because PARP inhibitors induce anti-cancer effect in BRCA1-mutant cancer types, and LCS-1 induced the degradation of both PARP and BRCA1 simultaneously.

Materials and methods

Cell lines

Hunan glioma cell line U87 was purchased from ATCC (Manassas, VA, USA), U251 is a human glioma cell line as well (18). Cells were grown in DMEM, containing 10% FCS, 100 units/ml penicillin, and 100 mg/ml streptomycin. All cells were cultured in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂ at 37°C.

Animals

Female BALB/c nude mice (6–8 weeks old) were purchased from SLAC Laboratory Animal Center (Shanghai, China). All animal studies were carried out in accordance with the Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals issued by the National Institutes of Health and approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of the Changsha Central Hospital, University
of South China (No. CCH-AEC-2020-02). Animals were maintained with standard rodent chow and free access to water under controlled conditions with a 12-h light and 12-h dark cycle and a temperature of 24 ± 2°C.

Reagents

Mouse monoclonal anti-human SOD1 (sc-101523), SOD2 (sc-137254), BRCA1 (sc-6954) and BRCA2 (sc-518154) were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA, USA). Rabbit anti-human caspase 3 (9662), PARP (9532), HO-1 (5853) antibodies were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Beverly, MA, USA). ROS scavengers N-acetyl cysteine (NAC, ST1546) and reduced glutathione (GSH, S0073), DCF ROS assay kit (S0033), pan-caspase inhibitor Z-vad-FMK (C1202), and a mouse monoclonal anti-human GAPDH antibody (AF5009) were purchased from Beyotime (Shanghai, China). PARP inhibitor PJ34 (3255), were purchased from Tocris (Ellisville, MO, USA). SOD1 inhibitor LCS-1 (567417) was purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Recombinant human EGF (AF-100-15), IL-6 (200-06) were purchased from PeproTech (Rocky Hill, NJ, USA).

Immunoblot

1 × 10^6–2 × 10^6 cells were lysed in 200 ml lysis buffer (20 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM β-glycerophosphate, 1 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 1 mM Na3VO4, 1 mg/ml leupeptin). The cell lysate was centrifuged at 12,000 g for 5 min at 4°C. Proteins were electrophoresed on 8–10% SDS-PAGE gels, and transferred onto Immobilon P membranes (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). The membranes were blocked by incubation in 3% nonfat dry milk at room temperature for 1 h and then incubated with primary antibodies in PBS containing 0.01% Tween 20. After incubation with a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody, the protein bands were detected with SuperSignal chemiluminescent substrate-stable peroxide solution (Pierce Rockford, IL, USA) and BIOMAX-MR film (Eastman Kodak Co., Rochester, NY, USA). When necessary, the membranes were stripped with Restore Western Blot Stripping Buffer (Pierce) and re-probed with antibodies against various cellular proteins.

Quantitative reverse transcriptional-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR)

The qRT-PCR was performed as described by Sun et al. (19). Briefly, total RNA was extracted from 1-2 × 10^6 cells by use of TRIzol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) as described by the manufacturer. mRNA was reverse transcribed with RevertAid (MBI Fermentas, Burlington Ontario, Canada) at 42°C for 60 min. CDNA was amplified by use of TaqMan Universal PCR master mix (Roche Applied Science) and a LightCycle 96 detection system (Roche Applied Science). The amplification of the target genes was normalized by use of the amplification levels of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) as an endogenous control. The efficiency of the PCR was tested by amplification of the target from serially diluted cDNA generated from the reverse transcription of a stock set of human RNA. The data analysis and calculations were performed using the 2^−DDCT comparative method, as described by the manufacturer. Gene expression is shown as the fold induction of a gene measured in LCS-1-treated samples relative to samples cultured with medium. The forward and reverse primer pairs are listed (5’ to 3’) as follows: BCL2-S: CGTTTGGCAGTGCAATGGT, BCL2-A: TTCTTGATTGAGCGAGCCTT; GAPDH-S, AATCCCATCACCATCTTCCA, GAPDH-A, CCTGCTTCACACCTTCTTG; GCLC-S: ATCCTCCAGTTCCTGCACAT, GCLC-A: TTTTCGCATGTTGGCCTCAA; GCLM-S: TCCTTGGAGCATTTACAGCC, GCLM-A: AGAGCTTCTTGAAACACTTGCT; HO-1-S: CCACTTCTGGCCCTGTGC, HO-1-A: GGCGCTTTCTGGGCAAATCTT; MDM2-S: TTTGTGAGAATTGGCTTCC, MDM2-A: GGCGGGCTTATTTCCCTTCT; Noxa-S: CCACACTTCCTGTGAGGAA, Noxa-A: ATCCACGGTCATCTCCCTTCA; NQO1-S: GTCGGACCTCTATGCCATGA, NQO1-A: GGGTCCTTTGTCATACATGGC; SOD1-S: AGGGCATCATCAATTTCGAGC, SOD1-A: TGATGCAATGGTCTCCTGAG; SOD2-S: ACATCAACGCGCAGATCATG, SOD2-A: AGGAGCTATGACCGTCCAG; SOD3-S: CCACCATCCTCTCGACATCGA, SOD3-A: GAAACAGTGAGAGCGCGG.

DCF staining assay for measurement of ROS

Intracellular ROS levels were measured by DCF ROS assay according to the manufacturers’ standard protocols. Briefly, 1 × 10^6–2 × 10^6 cells were cultured in FCS-free medium with 10 μM dichlorofluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA) at 37°C for 30 min, and then washed with FCS-free medium trice, followed by the treatment with various reagents described in figure legends. ROS in the cells causes the oxidation of DCFH-DA, yielding the fluorescent product 2',7'-dichlorofluorescein (DCF). The fluorescence of DCF was measured using a FACScan (BD Bioscience, San Jose, CA). For each analysis, 10,000 events were recorded.
Flow cytometric analysis

Cell death was detected by propidium iodide (PI)/fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-annexin V staining. Briefly, 1 - 2 × 10⁶ cells were washed twice with PBS and then labeled with FITC-annexin V and PI in binding buffer according to manufacturer’s instructions. The fluorescence signals were detected on a FACScan (BD Bioscience, San Jose, CA). The log of FITC-annexin V–fluorescence was displayed on the x-axis, and the log of PI fluorescence was displayed on the y-axis. For each analysis, 10,000 events were recorded.

For protein detection, cells were cultured in 6 well plates for 24 h, and harvested and washed with fluorescence-activated cell sorting buffer (5 mmol/L EDTA, 0.1% NaN₃, and 1% FCS in Dulbecco’s PBS). After incubation with an antibody against human SOD1 or SOD2 for 30 min on ice, the cells were stained with a FITC-labeled secondary antibody and protein expression was examined by flow cytometry (BD Bioscience, San Jose, CA).

Tissue microarray and immunohistochemistry

For immunohistochemistry, a tissue microarray (78 samples) was purchased from Bioaitech company (F1081301, Xian, China), which contained four samples of brain normal tissue, 10 samples of glioma adjacent tissue, 64 samples of glioma. The use of the human tissue microarrays was approved by the ethics committee of Changsha Central Hospital, University of South China. Immunohistochemistry was performed to detect SOD1 expression as described in a previous study (20). Positive staining was evaluated in random four fields (100 cells) under microscope at 400× magnification. The staining intensity was scored as follows: 0 = no expression, 1 + = weak expression, 2 + = moderate expression, 3 + = strong expression, and 4 + = very strong expression. The final scores were expressed as immunohistochemical staining scores (IHC scores) obtained by multiplying the percentage of positive cells with the staining intensity (21).

Implantation of cervical cancer cells in nude mice

Female BALB/c nude mice (6 – 8 weeks old) from SLAC Laboratory Animal Center (Shanghai, China) were used in all experiments. 1 × 10⁷ U87 glioma cells in 200 µl PBS were implanted by s.c. injection into the right flanks of the mice. At day 15 after initial implantation, 10 mice were divided into two groups. In experimental group, five mice were injected i.p. with LCS-1 (400 nmol per mouse) every two days for 14 times beginning at day 15. Five mice were injected with vehicle as control. The growth of implanted tumors was examined every two days. Tumor sizes were calculated by the formula L^2/2, where L is the length of the tumors in centimeters and W is the width of the tumors in centimeters. At day 45, all mice were euthanized, and the weight of tumors and mouse bodies was measured. Animal care was provided in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

Statistical analysis

All experiments were performed at least three times, and the representative results were shown. The results were expressed as the mean ± S.D. Differences between groups were examined for statistical significance using two tailed Student’s t test, and P values equal to or < 0.05 were considered statistically significant (n = 3 for each qRT-PCR and ELISA test).

Results

The expression of SOD1 was up-regulated in glioma tissues

Emerging evidences indicate that SOD1 is overexpressed in cancers and is essential to maintain cellular redox homeostasis under the condition with excessive ROS derived from the aberrant metabolism (22, 23). However, the expression of SOD1 in gliomas is still unknown. In this study, SOD1 expression was first detected in glioma tissue microassay (78 samples) which included four samples of brain normal tissues, 10 samples of glioma adjacent tissues, 12 samples of glioma grade I tissues, 12 samples of glioma grade II tissues, 22 samples of glioma grade III tissues, and 18 samples of glioma grade IV tissues (Bioaitech). The expression levels of SOD1 were evaluated by use of immunohistochemical (IHC) scores. The results showed that SOD1 IHC scores were 224 ± 57, 294 ± 51, 238 ± 85, 281 ± 78, 308 ± 55, 314 ± 42 for normal tissues, glioma adjacent tissues, glioma grade I tissues, glioma grade II tissues, glioma grade III tissues, and glioma grade IV tissues respectively (Figure 1A). Statistical results showed that the expression scores of SOD1 in glioma grade III and IV tissues were significantly higher than that in brain normal tissues and glioma grade I tissues (P < 0.05) (Figure 1A). In brain normal tissues, non-sample was SOD1 negative staining (scores: 0-80), two samples were SOD1 weak staining (scores: 81-200), two samples were SOD1 moderate staining (scores: 201-300) and non-sample was SOD1 strong staining (301-400) (Figure 1B). In glioma adjacent tissues, non-sample was SOD1 negative staining, one sample was SOD1 weak staining, five samples were SOD1 moderate staining, and four samples were SOD1 strong staining (Figure 1B). In glioma grade I tissues, non-sample was SOD1 negative staining, three samples were SOD1 weak staining, six samples were SOD1 moderate staining, and three samples were SOD1 strong staining.
In glioma grade II tissues, non-sample was SOD1 negative staining, two samples were SOD1 weak staining, four samples were SOD1 moderate staining and 6 samples were SOD1 strong staining (Figure 1B). In glioma grade III tissues, non-sample was SOD1 negative staining, one sample was SOD1 weak staining, five samples were SOD1 moderate staining and 16 samples were SOD1 strong staining (Figure 1B). In glioma grade IV tissues, non-sample was SOD1 negative staining, 8 samples were SOD1 moderate staining and 10 samples were SOD1 strong staining (Figure 1B). In glioma grade IV tissues, non-sample was SOD1 negative staining, non-sample was SOD1 weak staining, 8 samples were SOD1 moderate staining and 10 samples were SOD1 strong staining (Figure 1B). Figure 1C showed the immunohistochemical (IHC) staining of SOD1 in the full tissue microarray. Figures 1D, E showed the representative SOD1 weak, moderate staining in normal brain tissues. Figures 1F-H showed the representative SOD1 weak, moderate, strong staining in glioma adjacent tissues. Figures 1I-K showed the representative SOD1 weak, moderate in glioma grade I tissues. Figures 1L-M showed the representative SOD1 weak, moderate in glioma grade II tissues. Figures 1O-Q showed the representative SOD1 weak, moderate in glioma grade III tissues. Figure 1R showed the representative SOD1 strong staining in glioma grade IV tissues.
moderate staining in normal brain tissues. Figures 1F-H showed the representative SOD1 weak, moderate, strong staining in glioma adjacent tissues. Figures 1I-K showed the representative SOD1 weak, moderate, strong staining in glioma grade I tissues. Figures 1L-N showed the representative SOD1 weak, moderate, strong staining in glioma grade II tissues. Figures 1O-Q showed the representative SOD1 weak, moderate, strong staining in glioma grade III tissues. Figure 1R showed the representative SOD1 strong staining in glioma grade IV tissues. These results indicate that SOD1 expression is increased in clinical gliomas.

The expression of SOD1 in glioma cell lines

Before the testing of SOD1 inhibitor on cell survival in glioma, the expression of SOD1, SOD2 and SOD3 in U251 and U87 glioma cell lines was measured. qRT-PCR results showed that these two glioma cell lines expressed higher levels of SOD1, lower levels of SOD2, and very low levels of SOD3 (Figures 2A, B). FACS results showed that U251 and U87 cells expressed SOD1 and SOD2 proteins (Figure 2C). When SOD1 was inhibited by LCS-1, qRT-PCR results showed that SOD1 mRNA levels were slightly but significantly up-regulated (Figures 2D, E). Meanwhile, western blot results showed that LCS-1 treatment of U251 and U87 cells increased the protein levels slightly but significantly (Figures 2F-I). These results indicate that glioma cells expressed SOD1 and SOD2, and LCS-1 up-regulated SOD1.

LCS-1 mediates ROS production

The inhibition of SOD1 elicits the accumulation of ROS (22). To test the effect of SOD1 inhibitor LCS-1 on the production of ROS in U251 and U87 cells, DCF staining assay was used to detect the ROS levels in cells treated with LCS-1. FACS results showed that LCS-1 up-regulated ROS levels in a dose- and time-dependent manner (Figure 3A), suggest that SOD1 inhibition induced ROS production. To further test the activity of ROS induced by LCS-1, qRT-PCR was used to measure the expression of the targeting genes regulated by ROS pathways. The results showed that the mRNA levels of heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1), γ-glutamyl cysteine ligase modulatory and catalytic subunits GCLM and GCLC, NAD(P)H dehydrogenase quinone 1 (NQO1) were significantly increased in a dose- and time-
dependent manner (Figures 3B, C). Meanwhile, western blot results showed that the treatment of U87 cells with LCS-1 significantly up-regulated the protein levels of HO-1 in U87 cells (Figures 3D, E). These results indicate that LCS-1 inhibition of SOD1 induces the production of ROS, and activates the ROS signaling pathways in glioma cell lines.

### SOD1 inhibitor LCS-1 induces cell death

It is reported that SOD1 inhibitor LD100 promotes cancer cell apoptosis via regulating ROS signal pathway (23). In this study, SOD1 inhibitor LCS-1 induced ROS production, activated ROS signal pathway in glioma cells, indicating that LCS-1 may induce cell death in gliomas. By use of PI/FITC-Annexin V staining and FACS, we detected the effect of LCS-1 on the cell survival in U251 and U87 cells. The results showed that LCS-1 significantly induced cell death in both U251 and U87 cells in a dose- and time-dependent manner (Figures 4A-H). These results suggest that SOD1 inhibitor LCS-1 is an effective chemical for the induction of cell death in glioma cells.

### ROS scavengers reverse LCS-1-induced cell death

To determine that LCS-1-induced cell death is related to the production of ROS, U251 and U87 cells were pretreated with ROS scavengers N-acetyl-L-cysteine (NAC) and reduced glutathione (GSH), and retreated with LCS-1, then cell death was measured. The results showed that in both U251 and U87 cells, NAC and GSH significantly reversed the cell death induced by LCS-1 in a dose-dependent manner (Figures 5A-H). These results indicate that LCS-1-induced cell death is ROS-dependent.

### LCS-1 inhibits glioma growth in nude mice model

To determine the effect of LCS-1 on glioma growth in vivo, U87 glioma cells were implanted s.c. into the flanks of nude mice (n = 10). At day 15 after implantation, the mice (n = 5) in the experimental group were treated with LCS-1, and the mice (n = 5) in the control group were injected with vehicle.
Tumors in LCS-1-treated mice grew more slowly than those in control mice (Figure 6A), as shown by the photographs in Figure 6B, as well as the weight of tumors shown in Figure 6C. However, there was no difference in the mouse body weight between LCS-1-treated and control groups (Figure 6D). These results suggest that LCS-1 inhibits glioma growth in vivo without causing side effects in mice.

**LCS-1-induced cell death is P53-independent**

It has been reported that ROS activates P53 signaling pathway (24–26). To determine whether LCS-1-induced cell death is associated with P53 activation, P53-targeted genes including Bcl-2, MDM2, Noxa were measured by qRT-PCR. The results showed that the treatment of U87 cells with LCS-1 did not down-regulate the mRNA levels of Bcl-2, only slightly up-regulated the mRNA of MDM2 and Noxa (Figures 7A, B), indicating that P53 did not involve in LCS-1-induced cell death. Furthermore, U87 cells were pretreated with P53 inhibitor Pifithrin-α (PFT-α), and retreated with LCS-1, then cell death was measured. The results showed that Pifithrin-α did not reverse LCS-1-induced cell death (Figures 7C-F). These results indicate that LCS-1-induced cell death is P53-independent.

**LCS-1-induced cell death is caspase-independent**

It has been reported that ROS induces caspase-dependent cell death in breast cancer cells and in hepatocellular carcinoma (27, 28). To determine where LCS-1-induced cell death is associated with caspase activation, western blot was used to measure the activation of caspase 3. The results showed that LCS-1 treatment of U251 and U87 cells did not activate caspase 3, the cleaved fragments p19 and p17 were not observed (Figure 8A). As a control, staurosporine induced the activation of caspase 3, cleaved p19 and p17 were detected by western blot (Figure 8A). Meanwhile, when U251 and U87 cells were pretreated with caspase paninhibitor Z-vad-FMK, and then retreated with LCS-1, LCS-1-induced cell death was not
reversed (Figures 8B-D). These results suggest that LCS-1-induced cell death is caspase-independent.

LCS-1 induces degradation of PARP and BRCA1

Parthanatos is a poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP)-dependent programed cell death (29, 30), and ROS may elicit Parthanatos (31). To determine LCS-1-induced cell death is associated with parthanatos, U251 and U87 cells were pretreated with PARP-1 inhibitor PJ34, and retreated with LCS-1, and cell death was measured. The results showed that PJ34 did not reverse LCS-1-induced cell death (Figures 9A-D). On the contrary, PJ34 treatment increased LCS-1-induced cell death slightly but significantly (Figures 9A-D). Considering that PARP inhibitors can elicit cell death in BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutant breast cancer cells (32), we speculated that LCS-1 may induce cell death via degrading PARP and BRCA1. This hypothesis was confirmed by the observation that LCS-1 treatment induced the degradation of PARP and BRCA1 dose- and time-dependent in both U251 and U87 cells (Figures 9E-H). However, LCS-1 did not induce the decrease of the mRNA levels of PARP and BRCA1 (Figure 9I). Meanwhile, LCS-1 treatment increased the phosphorylated levels of H2AX, which is a maker for DNA damage (Figure 9J). Moreover, EGF increased the expression of PARP, but IL-6 decreased the expression of PARP (Figure 9K). Expectedly, EGF decreased the cell death induced by LCS-1, and IL-6 increased cell death induced by LCS-1 (Figures 9L, M). These results suggest that LCS-1-induced cell death is not associated with parthanatos, but may be associated with the degradation of PARP and BRCA1.

Discussion

In normal cells, SOD1 localizes in the cytoplasm, the intermembrane space of the mitochondria and the nucleus (33).
Observed evidences from several groups indicate that SOD1 is upregulated in cancers and is essential to maintain cellular redox balance under the condition with excessive ROS derived from the aberrant metabolism (33, 34). The SOD activities in normal and tumor breast tissues are determined, and each donor has a higher SOD activity in cancer than in normal tissue samples (35). In cisplatin resistant human ovarian cancer cells, the SOD1 expression is higher than that in cisplatin-sensitive human ovarian cancer cells (36). In breast cancer, no difference is found in SOD1 levels between matched plasma and nipple aspirate fluid (NAF) from cancer patients, whereas SOD1 levels in no-cancer NAF are significantly higher compared with matched plasma (37). In lung cancer patients, erythrocyte SOD1 activities are significantly higher than those in normal controls (38). In bronchial epithelium adjacent to invasive cancer, the expression of cytoplasmic or nuclear SOD1 is significantly lower compared with its expression in the uninvolved bronchial epithelium away from cancer (39). In breast cancer cells, SOD2 to SOD1 switch is found, resulting in the SOD2 down-regulation, and SOD1 upregulation, and SOD1 functions to maintain the integrity of the organelle (16). A significant upregulation of SOD1 in nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) tissue is observed and high SOD1 expression is a predictor of poor prognosis and is correlated with poor outcome, indicating that SOD1 is a potential prognostic biomarker and a promising target for NPC therapy (40). However, less evidence is reported about the expression of SOD1 in gliomas. In this study, SOD1 moderate staining was observed in normal brain tissues, glioma adjacent tissues, glioma grade I and II tissues, whereas SOD1 strong staining was found in glioma grade III, IV tissues. The higher expression of SOD1 in glioma tissue may be due to the higher levels of ROS, which are derived from the aberrant metabolism. In glioma cell lines, SOD1 inhibitor induced ROS production, activated ROS signaling, and increased SOD1 expression. So we consider that the upregulation of SOD1 in glioma may be associated with the high levels of ROS.

SOD function to catalyze superoxide anion into oxygen and hydrogen peroxide, to decrease ROS levels, to maintain cellular redox homeostasis. SOD dysfunction leads to excessive increase of ROS, blocks redox balance, and results in tissue and cell damage. However, in cancer cells, the cell damage induced by SOD dysfunction should benefit to cancer therapy. Early evidences show that SOD1 inhibitor ATN-224 inhibits endothelial cell proliferation in vitro, and attenuates angiogenesis in vivo (12). The effects of ATN-224 on endothelial and tumor cells could be substantially reversed using a catalytic small-molecule SOD mimetic (12). Other evidences show that inhibition of SOD1 by
ATN-224 induces cell death in various non–small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) cells, including those harboring KRAS mutations (13). ATN-224 inhibition of SOD1 increases superoxide, which diminishes enzyme activity of the antioxidant glutathione peroxidase, leading to an increase in intracellular hydrogen peroxide levels (13). By combining affinity proteomics and gene expression analysis, a small molecule, referred to as lung cancer screen 1 (LCS-1) is identified as SOD1 inhibitor and reduces the growth of lung adenocarcinoma cell lines (14). Overexpression of SOD1 increases proliferation of lung cancer cells and reduces sensitivity of these cells to LCS-1 (14). Chebulinic acid (CA), a polyphenol derived from the fruits of various medicinal plants, downregulates the expression of SOD1, reduces its enzyme activity, elicits cell oxidative stress, inhibits cell proliferation and promotes cell apoptosis in breast cancer cells (41). In this study, SOD1 inhibitor LCS-1 induced time- and dose-dependent cell death in glioma cells. And LCS-1 reduced growth of glioma in vivo. These observations suggest that targeting SOD1 may be a strategy for glioma therapy.

Several natural or synthetic compounds have been reported to induce anti-glioma effect via ROS-dependent mechanism. WIN 55,212-2, a cannabinoid analogue, dose-dependently inhibits glioma cell proliferation, migration, and invasion in vitro, effectively suppresses glioma spheroids growth ex vivo (42). WIN 55,212-2 also induces significant apoptosis, and causes dysfunction of VEGF-AKT/FAK signaling (42). The effects of WIN 55,212-2 are ROS-dependent, ROS inhibition effectively attenuates dysfunction of VEGF-AKT/FAK signaling and eventually improves glioma cell proliferation, migration, and invasion (42). Osthole, a coumarin derivative, is found to trigger glioma cell necroptosis accompanied with ROS production (43). Osthole treatment decreases the expression of necroptosis inhibitor caspase-8, and the levels of necroptosis proteins receptor-interacting protein 1 (RIP1), RIP3 and mixed lineage kinase domain-like protein (43). The pretreatment with RIP1 inhibitor necrostatin-1 attenuates both osthole-induced necroptosis and the production of ROS in glioma cells (43). Natural borneol has been reported to sensitize human glioma cells to cisplatin-induced apoptosis by triggering ROS-mediated oxidative damage and regulating MAPK and PI3K/AKT signaling (44). Paris polyphyllins are monomers extracted from rhizome of Paris polyphylla var. yunnanensis. Polyphyllin VII promotes apoptosis and autophagic cell death via ROS-inhibited AKT activity, and sensitizes glioma cells to
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**Figure 7**
LCS-1-induced cell death is P53 independent. (A) qRT-PCR analysis of the mRNA levels of P53-targeted genes in U251 cells treated with the indicated doses of LCS-1 for 12 h. *P < 0.05 compared with the medium groups. (B) qRT-PCR analysis of the mRNA levels of P53-targeted genes in U87 cells treated with 10 μM LCS-1 for the indicated time periods. *P < 0.05 compared with the medium groups. (C, D) PI/FITC-Annexin V staining of death of U251 (C) and U87 (D) cells pretreated with the indicated doses of P53 inhibitor Pifithrin-a (PFT-a) for 1 h and retreated with 20 μM LCS-1 for 24 h. (E, F) Quantitative data from C (E) and D (F). *P < 0.05 compared with LCS-1-treated alone groups.
Temozolomide (45). Ampelopsin, an effective component of the traditional Chinese herb of Ampelopsis grossedentata, inhibits human glioma through inducing apoptosis and autophagy dependent on ROS generation and JNK pathway (46). Fucoxanthin, a natural carotenoid derived from algae, induces apoptosis in human glioma cells via triggering of ROS-mediated oxidative damage and regulation of MAPKs and PI3K-AKT pathways (47). In this study, LCS-1 induces ROS production, activates ROS signaling. ROS scavengers reversed LCS-1-induced cell death. These results suggest that LCS-1 induced cell death via ROS-dependent pathway.

Multiple evidences show that ROS induced by various factors elicit cell differentiation, cell death, and inhibit tumor growth via P53 pathway (24–26). In this study, we found that LCS-1 has less effect on P53-targeted genes, indicating that LCS-1 did not activate P53. Meanwhile, P53 inhibitor did not reverse LCS-1-induced cell death, suggesting that LCS-1-induced cell death is P53-independent.

ROS have been reported to induce tissue damage, to elicit anti-tumor immune response, to cause cell death via caspase 1, 3 and 8 pathways (27, 28). However, in this study, we found that LCS-1 did not activate caspase 3. Meanwhile, caspase pan-inhibitor did not reverse LCS-1-induced cell death. These results suggest that LCS-1-induced cell death is caspase-independent.

PARP has been reported to involve in inflammatory response and cell death induced by ROS (48). In this study, we found that SOD1 inhibitor LCS-1 induced ROS-dependent cell death. But PARP inhibitor did not reverse LCS-1-induced cell death, suggesting that LCS-1-induced cell death is not associated with PARP activation.

ROS induces DNA damage and activates DNA damage responses (49). There are three ways to repair DNA damage: the PARylation-mediated repair, the homologous recombination (HR)-mediated repair, and end-joining (EJ)-mediated repair (32). Upon DNA damage, PARP is rapidly recruited to single-strand breaks (SSBs) and double-strand breaks (DSBs) where it PARylates itself and other proteins resulting in the recruitment of downstream DNA repair factors (32, 50). Therefore, the treatment of BRCA1/2-deficient cells with PARP inhibitors blocks all three DNA damage repair pathways, leading to the induction of cell death, and these inhibitors have been used as cancer therapeutic strategies (51–53).

The results presented in this study are compatible with the model outlined in Figure 10. The treatment of glioma cells with LCS-1 inhibits SOD1, resulting in the accumulation of ROS, leading to DNA damage. Meanwhile, LCS-1 induces the degradation of PARP, which cause the dysfunction of PARylation-mediated repair and EJ-mediated repair, and the degradation of BRCA1, which causes the blocking of HR-
FIGURE 9
LCS-1 induces degradation of both PARP and BRCA1. (A) PI/FITC-Annexin V staining of death of U251 glioma cells pretreated with the indicated doses of PARP inhibitor PJ34 for 1 h. and retreated with 20 μM LCS-1 for 24 h. (B) PI/FITC-Annexin V staining of death of U87 glioma cells pretreated with the indicated doses of PARP inhibitor PJ34 for 1 h. and retreated with 20 μM LCS-1 for 24 h. (C, D) Quantitative data of A (C) and B (D) respectively. * P < 0.05 compared with LCS-1-treated alone groups. (E, F) Western blot analysis of the protein levels of PARP, BRCA1 and BRCA2 in U251 (E) and U87 (F) cells treated with the indicated doses of LCS-1 for 24 h. GAPDH protein levels were measured as loading controls. (G, H) Western blot analysis of the protein levels of PARP, BRCA1 and BRCA2 in U251 (G) and U87 (H) cells treated with 20 μM LCS-1 for the indicated time periods. GAPDH protein levels were measured as loading controls. (I) qRT-PCR analysis of the mRNA levels of PARP1, PARP2, BRCA1 and BRCA2 in U251 cells treated with the indicated doses of LCS-1 for 12 h. * P < 0.05 compared with the control groups. (J) Western blot analysis of the phosphorylated levels of H2AX in U251 and U87 cells treated with 20 μM LCS-1 for the indicated time periods. GAPDH protein levels were measured as loading controls. (K) Western blot analysis of the protein levels of PARP, BRCA1 and BRCA2 in U87 cells treated with the indicated doses of EGF, or IL-6 for 24 h. GAPDH protein levels were measured as loading controls. (L) PI/FITC-Annexin V staining of death of U87 glioma cells pretreated with 20 ng/mL EGF, or 20 ng/mL IL-6 for 24 h. and retreated with 20 μM LCS-1 for 24 h. (M) Quantitative data from L. * P < 0.05 compared with LCS-1-treated alone group.
mediated repair. Combining these effects of LCS-1 in glioma cells, it induces death of glioma cells through the similar mechanism compared to that PARP inhibitors induce cell death in BRCA1/2 deficient cells.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the ethics committee of Changsha Central Hospital, University of South China. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. The animal study was reviewed and approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of the Changsha Central Hospital, University of South China.

Author contributions

JH conceived and designed the study. ML, QL, YW, XL, MJ, and JH collected and analyzed the data. ML and QL completed the experimental cell manipulation. YW completed immunohistochemistry of SOD1 and immunohistochemical scoring. ML and XL completed western blot analysis. ML and MJ completed qRT-PCR analysis. JH wrote the manuscript. All authors revised the manuscript and read and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81172042).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher’s note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2022.937444/full#supplementary-material
References

1. Wellner M, Wick W, Aldape K, Brada M, Berger M, Puster S, et al. Glomia. Nat Rev Dis Primers (2015) 1:15007. doi: 10.1038/nrd.2015.17.

2. Schieber M, Chandel NS. ROS function in redox signaling and oxidative stress. Curr Biol (2014) 24:453–462. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2014.03.034.

3. Nakamura H, Takada K. Reactive oxygen species in cancer: Current findings and future directions. Cancer Sci (2021) 112:3945–52. doi: 10.1111/cas.15088.

4. Ruiz-Torres V, Rodriguez-Perez C, Herranz-Lopez M, Martin-Garcia B, Gomez-Carava AM, Arreaza-Roman D, et al. Marine invertebrate extracts induce colon cancer cell death via ROS-mediated DNA oxidative damage and mitochondrial impairment. Biomolecules (2019) 9:771. doi: 10.3390/biom9120771.

5. Sunilkumar D, Drisnya G, Chandrasekharan A, Shaji SK, Bose C, Jossart J, et al. Oxysterol-activated des-caspase-independent apoptosis-like cell death in MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells through the induction of ROS. Biochem Pharmacol (2020) 173:113724. doi: 10.1016/j.bcp.2019.113724.

6. Lin X, Jia Y, Dong X, Shen J, Jin Y, Li Y, et al. Diploten, a novel and low-toxicity anti lung cancer platinum complex, activation of cell death in tumors via a ROS/INK4/p53-dependent pathway, and a low rate of acquired treatment resistance. Front Pharmacol (2019) 10:982. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2019.00982.

7. Teng JF, Qin DL, Mei BJ, Qu WQ, Pan R, Xiong P, et al. Polyphlyllin VL, a saponin from trichum thosonii maximi induces apoptotic and autophagic cell death via the ROS triggered mTOR signaling pathway in non-small cell lung cancer. Pharmacol Res (2019) 147:104396. doi: 10.1016/j.phrs.2019.104396.

8. Zhang T, Zheng P, Shen X, Shao R, Wang B, Shen H, et al. Curcuminoid W226, a TrxR1 inhibitor, effectively inhibits colon cancer cell growth and enhances cisplatin-induced cell death through the induction of ROS. Free Rad Biol Med (2019) 141:93–102. doi: 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2019.06.005.

9. Li Y, Tian X, Liu X, Gong P. Bufalin inhibits human breast cancer tumorigenesis by inducing cell death through the ROS-mediated RIP1/RIP3/ PARP1 pathways. Cancerogenesis (2018) 19:709–70. doi: 10.1093/carcin/bgy059.

10. Alabedlilli GG, Van Noorden S, Balato A, Cimini V. Copper/Zinc superoxide dismutase in human skin: Current knowledge. Front Med (Lausanne) (2020) 7:183. doi: 10.3892/fmed.2020.00183.

11. Tsang CK, Liu Y, Thomas J, Zhang Y, Zheng XF. Superoxide dismutase 1 acts as a nuclear transcription factor to regulate oxidative stress resistance. Nat Commun (2014) 5:3446. doi: 10.1038/ncomms4446.

12. Juarez IC, Betancourt O Jr, Pirie-Shepherd SR, Guan X, Price ML, Shaw DE, et al. Copper binding by tetrathiomolybdate attenuates angiogenesis and tumor cell toxicity anti-lung cancer platinum complex, activation of cell death in tumors via the ROS-mediated p53 pathway. Curr Med Chem Longev (2020) 2021:5546711. doi: 10.2174/156802665546711.

13. Ye Z, Chen D, Zheng R, Chen H, Xu T, Wang C, et al. Curcumin induced G2/M cycle arrest in SK-N-SH neuroblastoma cells through the ROS-mediated p53 signaling pathway. J Food Biochem (2021) 45:e13888. doi: 10.1111/jfbc.13888.

14. Zhang C, Li Z, Wang J, Jiang X, Xiao M, Lu S, et al. Ethanol extracts of solanum lyratum thunb regulate ovarian cancer cell proliferation, apoptosis, and epithelial-to-Mesenchymal transition (EMT) via the ROS-mediated p53 pathway. J Immunol Res (2021) 2021:5569354. doi: 10.1155/2021/5569354.

15. An H, Heo JS, Kim P, Lian Z, Lee S, Park J, et al. Tetrasaccharide hexahexosides enhance generation of mitochondrial ROS to promote pyroptosis by inducing the activation of caspase-3/GSDME in triple-negative breast cancer cells. Cell Death Dis (2021) 12:159. doi: 10.1038/s41419-021-03454-9.

16. Mu W, Cheng X, Zhang X, Liu Y, Ly Q, Liu G, et al. Hinokiflavone induces apoptosis via activating mitochondrial ROS/RIPK/caspase pathway and inhibiting NF-KB activity in hepatocellular carcinoma. J Cell Mol Med (2020) 24:8151–65. doi: 10.1111/jcmm.15474.

17. Wang X, Ge P. Parthanatos in the pathogenesis of nervous system diseases. Neuroscience (2020) 499:241–50. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2020.09.049.

18. Zhou Y, Liu L, Tao S, Yao Y, Wang Y, Wei Q, et al. Parthanatos and its associated components: Promising therapeutic targets for cancer. Pharmacol Res (2016) 103:259. doi: 10.1016/j.phrs.2015.10.029.

19. Dias M, Moser S, Ganasan S, Jonkers J. Understanding and overcoming PARP resistance in cancer therapy. Nat Rev Clin Oncol (2018) 15:773–91. doi: 10.1038/s41571-021-00532-x.

20. Papa L, Manfredi G, Germain D. SOD1, an unexpected novel target for cancer therapy. Genes Cancer (2014) 5:15–21. doi: 10.1089/gncancer.2014.0506.

21. Damiano S, Sozio C, La Rosa G, Guida B, Farano R, Santillo M, et al. Metabolism regulation and redox state: Insight into the role of superoxide dismutase 1. Int J Mol Sci (2020) 21:6606. doi: 10.3390/ijms2116606.

22. Bianchi MS, Bianchi NO. Bolzan AD. Superoxide dismutase activity and superoxide dismutase-1 gene methylation in normal and tumoral human breast tissues. Cancer Genet Cytogenet (1992) 59:26–30. doi: 10.1016/0165-4608(92)90152-J.

23. Mannello F, Tonti GA, Pedroni A, Simone P, Smaniotto A, Medda V. Detection of superoxide dismutase-1 in nucleotide precursor: a reactive oxygen species-regulating enzyme in the brain cancer microenvironment. Clin Breast Cancer (2010) 10:238–45. doi: 10.3816/CBC.2010.n.032.

24. Kaynar H, Meral M, Turhan H, Keles M, Cellik G, Acarz F. Glutathione peroxidase, glutathione-s-transferase, catalase, xanthine oxidase, Cu-zn superoxide dismutase activities, total glutathione, nitric oxide, and malondialdehyde levels in erythrocytes of patients with small cell and non-small cell lung cancer. Cancer Lett (2007) 237:133–45. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet.2007.05.007.

25. Piyathalike CJ, Bell WC, Oelschlager DK, Heimburger DC, Grizzle WE. The pattern of expression of Mn and Cu-zn superoxide dismutase varies among squamous cell cancers of the lung, larynx, and oral cavity. Head Neck (2002) 24:659–67. doi: 10.1002/hed.10135.

26. Li S, Fu L, Tian T, Deng L, Li H, Xia W, et al. Disrupting SOD1 activity inhibits cell growth and enhances lipid accumulation in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Cell Commun Signal (2018) 16:2. doi: 10.1186/s12976-018-0204-3.

27. Sharma A, Mishra T, Thacker G, Mishra M, Narendar T, Trivedi AK. Chebulinic acid inhibits MDA-MB-231 breast cancer metastasis and promotes cell death through down regulation of SOD1 and induction of autophagy. Cell Biol Int (2020) 44:2553–69. doi: 10.1002/cbin.11463.
42. Wang K, Wang Q, Li Q, Zhang Z, Gao J, Fan C, et al. Cannabinoid WIN 55,212-2 inhibits human glioma cell growth by triggering ROS-mediated signal pathways. *BioMed Res Int* (2021) 2021:6612592. doi: 10.1155/2021/6612592

43. Huangfu M, Wei R, Wang J, Qin J, Yu D, Guan X, et al. Osthole induces necroptosis via ROS overproduction in glioma cells. *FEBS Open Bio* (2021) 11:456–67. doi: 10.1002/2211-5463.13069

44. Cao WQ, Zhao XQ, Ma JW, Fu XQ, Zhao BS, Zhang P, et al. Natural borneol sensitizes human glioma cells to cisplatin-induced apoptosis by triggering ROS-mediated oxidative damage and regulation of MAPKs and PI3K/AKT pathway. *Pharm Biol* (2020) 58:72–9. doi: 10.1080/13880209.2019.1703756

45. Pang D, Li C, Yang C, Zou Y, Feng B, Li L, et al. Polyphyllin VII promotes apoptosis and autophagic cell death via ROS-inhibited AKT activity, and sensitizes glioma cells to temozolomide. *Oxid Med Cell Longev* (2019) 2019:1805635. doi: 10.1155/2019/1805635

46. Guo Z, Guo Zhang H, Wang H, Li Z, Liu N. Ampelopsin inhibits human glioma through inducing apoptosis and autophagy dependent on ROS generation and JNK pathway. *BioMed Pharmacother* (2019) 116:108524. doi: 10.1016/j.biopharma.2018.12.136

47. Wu HL, Fu XY, Cao WQ, Xiang WZ, Hou YJ, Ma JK, et al. Induction of apoptosis in human glioma cells by fucoxanthin via triggering of ROS-mediated oxidative damage and regulation of MAPKs and PI3K-AKT pathways. *J Agric Food Chem* (2019) 67:2212–9. doi: 10.1021/acs.jafc.8b07126

48. Chiu LY, Wu NL, Hung CF, Bai P, Dai YS, Lin WW. PARP-1 involves in UVB-induced inflammatory response in keratinocytes and skin injury via regulation of ROS-dependent EGFR transactivation and p38 signaling. *FASEB J* (2021) 35:e21393. doi: 10.1096/fj.202002285RR

49. Srinivas U, Tan B, Vellayappan B, Jeyasekharan A. ROS and the DNA damage response in cancer. *Redox Biol* (2019) 25:101084. doi: 10.1016/j.redox.2019.101084

50. Wei H, Yu X. Functions of PARylation in DNA damage repair pathways. *Genomics Proteomics Bioinf* (2016) 14:131–9. doi: 10.1016/j.gpb.2016.05.001

51. Verma P, Zhou Y, Cao Z, Deraska P, Deb M, Arai E, et al. ALC1 links chromatin accessibility to PARP inhibitor response in homologous recombination-deficient cells. *Nat Cell Biol* (2021) 23:160–71. doi: 10.1038/s41556-020-00624-3

52. Yap T, Kristeleit R, Michalarea V, Pettitt S, Lim J, Carreira S, et al. BRCA1/2 Phase I trial of the PARP inhibitor olaparib and Akt inhibitor capivasertib in patients with - and non-mutant cancers. *Cancer Discovery* (2020) 10:1528–43. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.cd-20-0163

53. Lin K, Harrell M, Orza A, Oakenin A, Ray-Coquard I, Tinker A, et al. BRCA reversion mutations in circulating tumor DNA predict primary and acquired resistance to the PARP inhibitor rucaparib in high-grade ovarian carcinoma. *Cancer Discovery* (2019) 9:210–9. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.cd-18-0715