Coral reefs are one of the most diverse and productive ecosystems on the planet, yet they have suffered tremendous losses due to anthropogenic disturbances and are predicted to be one of the most adversely affected habitats under future climate change conditions. Coral reefs can be viewed as microbially driven ecosystems that rely on the efficient capture, retention, and recycling of nutrients in order to thrive in oligotrophic waters. Microorganisms play vital roles in maintaining holobiont health and ecosystem resilience under environmental stress; however, they are also key players in positive feedback loops that intensify coral reef decline, with cascading effects on biogeochemical cycles and marine food webs. There is an urgent need to develop a fundamental understanding of the complex microbial interactions within coral reefs and their role in ecosystem acclimatization, and it is important to include microorganisms in reef conservation in order to secure a future for these unique environments.

INTRODUCTION

Coral reefs are facing significant challenges from anthropogenic and natural stressors, including declining water quality, overfishing, physical destruction, disease, and climate change (Figure 1)(Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2017; Hughes et al., 2017; Pawlik et al., 2016). These ecosystems are one of the most diverse and productive environments on the planet, offering shelter, food, and habitat for at least 25% of known marine species and providing important economic services, including coastal protection, tourism, and fisheries (Figure 1)(Bourne et al., 2016; Moberg and Folke, 1999; Silveira et al., 2017; Torda et al., 2017). Despite their global importance, coral reefs have suffered unprecedented losses in the recent past and are critically threatened by the continued increase in sea surface water temperature and ocean acidification resulting from climate change (Hughes et al., 2017b; Pawlik et al., 2016). The first mass coral bleaching event was recorded in 1988 (Cziesielski et al., 2019), and over the past 4 years alone, prominent coral reef ecosystems like the Great Barrier Reef have lost as much as 50% of shallow-water corals (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2019). A thorough understanding of the mechanisms involved in coral bleaching and resilience is needed, in combination with immediate global action to reduce carbon emissions in order to avoid further coral reef decline (Sully et al., 2019).

Coral reefs rely on a diverse consortium of free-living and host-associated microorganisms for the capture, retention, and recycling of nutrients and trace elements that allow these ecosystems to thrive in the marine equivalent of a desert (Cardini et al., 2014; de Goeij et al., 2013). Benthic organisms, such as scleractinian (stony) corals, i.e. the foundation species of coral reefs (see Box 1 for full definition of this term and others used in this review article), and sponges, form close associations with a wide diversity of eukaryotes, prokaryotes, and viruses, collectively termed the holobiont (Bourne and Webster, 2013). The importance of endosymbiotic dinoflagellates in providing nutrients and energy to animal hosts such as corals has been well established (Stat et al., 2006). However, there is increasing support for the fundamental role of prokaryotic members of the holobiont in maintaining host fitness, with functions ranging from nutrient supply and recycling to protection against invading pathogens (Bourne et al., 2016). Climate change conditions can trigger a destabilization of the natural microbiome, leading to a state of dysbiosis with the emergence of opportunistic and potentially pathogenic taxa and resulting in a rising incidence of disease, bleaching, and ultimately host mortality (Littman et al., 2011; van Oppen and Blackall, 2019). It has therefore been postulated that the microbiome could play a central role in coral reef restoration (van Oppen and Blackall, 2019) and that microbial community changes in response to disturbances could act as early warning signals since these shifts may precede visual signs of bleaching and tissue necrosis (Bourne et al., 2008; Glasl et al., 2017; Lee et al., 2016).

Coral reef microorganisms can also contribute to ecosystem phase shifts that occur due to changes in competitiveness of the dominant benthic organisms following anthropogenic disturbances, with cascading
effects on nutrient cycles, energy use, and higher trophic levels (Kelly et al., 2018). An example is the shift from coral- to algae-dominated states, which has been observed on reefs worldwide following mass coral bleaching and/or disease (Meirelles et al., 2018). This transition is accelerated and intensified through a microbially driven positive feedback loop that leads to increased coral mortality and microbialization of the ecosystem (Haas et al., 2016; Silveira et al., 2017). A holistic microbial ecosystem approach is therefore needed to accurately evaluate and predict the impacts of future climate conditions on coral reefs, integrating across scales from individual microbes and holobiont functioning to ecosystem-wide food webs and biogeochemical processes (Garren and Azam, 2012; Kelly et al., 2018).

In this review, we discuss the central role that microorganisms play in coral reef ecosystems, focusing on bacteria and archaea. We link microbial community dynamics to animal host physiology (corals and sponges) and biogeochemical transformations in order to unravel the impacts of climate change on the fate of coral reefs. By discussing microbially driven effects on holobiont health in relation to the broader ecosystem and food webs through benthic-pelagic coupling and ecosystem phase shifts, we strive to provide a holistic view of microbial interactions in coral reef environments. Finally, we assess the use of microorganisms as biomarkers of ecosystem health and their potential application in marine conservation and reef restoration practices. Given the critical role of microorganisms in driving global climate change, we advocate that microbes become an integral component of climate change research and decision-making in conservation and natural resource management.

**NUTRIENT CYCLING WITHIN THE CORAL HOLOBIONT**

**Functional Roles of the Coral Microbiome**

Corals obtain a fraction of their nutrients via heterotrophic feeding, i.e., predation of zooplankton, but largely depend on their microbiome for proficient nutrient acquisition and recycling in nutrient-poor
seawaters (Figure 2) (Bourne et al., 2016). Although the endosymbiotic Symbiodiniaceae translocates photosynthates to the coral host, there is increasing support for an active role of prokaryotes in meeting the host’s nutritional needs (Peixoto et al., 2017). For example, genes encoding enzymes involved in carbon fixation via the Calvin cycle, reverse Krebs cycle, and modified hydroxypropionate/hydroxybutyrate pathway have been identified in coral microbiomes (Kimes et al., 2010; Robbins et al., 2019). In addition, coral-associated microorganisms are capable of utilizing simple and complex carbohydrates (Wegley et al., 2007), which would allow them to recycle a portion of the carbon and energy invested in mucus production (Bourne et al., 2016; Kimes et al., 2010).

Metabolic potential for complete nitrogen cycling has been detected in microbiomes of multiple coral species, suggesting a key role for nitrogen in coral holobiont functioning (Radecker et al., 2015). Inorganic and organic nitrogen sources may be actively taken up from the surrounding seawater via ammonia and urea transporters (Robbins et al., 2019), or alternatively, nitrogen fixation could serve as a mechanism to counteract environmental nitrogen deficits (Pogoreutz et al., 2017). Potential N₂-fixing bacteria (diazotrophs) have been detected across all coral life stages and are persistent over time and space (Benavides et al., 2017). Their ubiquity indicates that diazotrophs likely represent symbiotic partners interacting in a close biological relationship with the holobiont (Hernandez-Agreda et al., 2017).
Porites lutea coral holobiont, which showed that nitrogen fixation genes were either absent or present at very low abundance within the Porites lutea microbiome (Robbins et al., 2019). Various nitrifying, denitrifying, and ammonifying bacteria and archaea have also been found within coral microbiomes (Robbins et al., 2019; Siboni et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2013). Although their specific roles remain understudied, potential involvement in the removal of ammonia waste produced by the host (Siboni et al., 2008) and in maintaining stable coral-Symbiodiniaceae symbiosis by controlling nitrogen availability to the algae have been proposed (Morris et al., 2019; Peixoto et al., 2017).

However, this needs to be further validated since there is currently only one genome-centric study of a coral holobiont, which showed that nitrogen fixation genes were either absent or present at very low abundance within the Porites lutea microbiome (Robbins et al., 2019). Various nitrifying, denitrifying, and ammonifying bacteria and archaea have also been found within coral microbiomes (Robbins et al., 2019; Siboni et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2013). Although their specific roles remain understudied, potential involvement in the removal of ammonia waste produced by the host (Siboni et al., 2008) and in maintaining stable coral-Symbiodiniaceae symbiosis by controlling nitrogen availability to the algae have been proposed (Morris et al., 2019; Peixoto et al., 2017).

Corals are the largest producers of dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP) in the marine environment (Raina et al., 2013). Bacteria capable of using DMSP and its breakdown product dimethylsulfide (DMS) as sole carbon sources have been observed in coral microbiomes, indicating substantial involvement in dissolved sulfur cycling on the reef (Frade et al., 2016; Hernandez-Agreda et al., 2017; Raina et al., 2010). Moreover, DMSP can function as an osmolyte or cryoprotectant, whereas DMS can act as a scavenger of reactive oxygen species (ROS) via conversion to dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) (Ainsworth et al., 2015). A recent study showed the presence of DMSO reductase genes associated with Endozoicomonas, a likely constituent of the coral core microbiome (Box 2), highlighting a potential role in the regeneration of this antioxidant (Robbins et al., 2019). As various microorganisms can show chemotaxis toward DMSP, it may also be involved in the acquisition of symbionts, such as Rhizobiales and Roseobacter, or even potential pathogens like Vibrio spp (Bourne et al., 2016; Garren et al., 2014). The presence of micro-niches within corals (Box 2) may facilitate anaerobic processes to occur, such as sulfate reduction potentially coupled to methane oxidation and...
Scleractinian corals are marine invertebrates that form close associations with a wide diversity of microorganisms, including phototrophic dinoflagellates (Symbiodiniaceae), protozoa, fungi, bacteria, archaea, and viruses. This symbiotic consortium is termed the coral holobiont and acts as an integrated unit to maintain organismal function under constant environmental pressure (Morrow et al., 2018). Coral-associated microorganisms frequently form intimate species-specific relationships with their host (Forest et al., 2002), but they can also vary across different temporal and spatial scales, the latter ranging from biogeographical regions to the many microbial niches of a coral colony (Hernandez-Agreda et al., 2018). Although most contemporary coral microbiome research has analyzed composite coral samples, it is important to distinguish between the different microhabitats, including the coral mucus, tissues, skeleton, and gastric cavity. Within each of these habitats, microorganisms can reside as transient communities or established symbionts with positive, neutral, or negative interactions (Wada et al., 2019). The surface mucous layer (SML) is the interface between the surrounding seawater and the coral epithelium and plays an essential role in coral reef nutrient cycling, heterotrophic feeding, sediment removal, pathogen defense, and protection against stress (Bourne et al., 2016). The microbial community within this layer is expected to be dynamic because of the highly variable environment and more loosely associated with the host owing to continuous shedding and replenishing of the SML (Hernandez-Agreda et al., 2017). Coral-associated microbial aggregates (CAMAs) on the other hand are prevalent and abundant within coral tissues (epidermal and gastrodermal epithelia). They are often co-localized with Symbiodiniaceae and are likely integrated into shared metabolic pathways central to maintaining coral fitness (Wada et al., 2019). Finally, the coral skeleton is highly environmentally stable yet harbors a vastly diverse endolithic community, comprising bacteria, fungi, and filamentous algae, and is structured down to millimeter scales (Pernice et al., 2019; van Oppen and Blackall, 2019). Several attempts have been made to identify a core coral microbiome in order to establish a baseline from which to decipher key determinants of holobiont well-being and functioning under future environmental change (Ainsworth et al., 2015; Hernandez-Agreda et al., 2018, Trevathan-Tackett et al., 2019). This has, for example, led to an alleged classification of the microbiome into three components: an environmentally responsive community (mostly transient), a resident microbiome (species specific), and a small conserved core microbiome (possibly symbiotic) (Hernandez-Agreda et al., 2018). Putative beneficial microorganisms for corals have also been established that may be used to improve coral health (Peixoto et al., 2017). As a level of functional redundancy has been suggested among members of the coral holobiont (Hernandez-Agreda et al., 2018; Robbins et al., 2019), it may, however, be more insightful to define a core functionome consisting of metabolic traits that are essential to establishing a symbiotic relationship and maintaining holobiont fitness.
Coral Holobiont Response to Climate Change

Microorganisms can respond rapidly to changing conditions, and environmental stress linked to climate change can alter the coral microbiome, generally leading to an increased richness, lower evenness (higher variability), and reduced stability (McDevitt-Irwin et al., 2017; van Oppen and Blackall, 2019) (Figure 2). Most studies report that, upon exposure to higher ocean temperature and/or acidification, there is a shift in dominance from putatively beneficial bacterial taxa, e.g., Endozoicomonas, to opportunistic and potentially pathogenic groups, such as Alteromonadaceae and Vibrionaceae (Bourne et al., 2016; Littman et al., 2011; McDevitt-Irwin et al., 2017; O’Brien et al., 2016; Thurber et al., 2009). This microbial shift typically coincides with an increased prevalence of stress resistance and virulence genes (Littman et al., 2011; Nguyen et al., 2015) and may result in elevated incidence of disease, including black band disease, yellow band disease, and dark spot syndrome, particularly when corals are exposed to multiple stressors (Zaneveld et al., 2016). Furthermore, several studies have reported shifts in microbiome metabolism, such as a transition from predominantly autotrophic to heterotrophic metabolism, along with changes in secondary metabolite profiles, sulfur and nitrogen metabolism, motility and chemotaxis, and fatty acid and lipid utilization (Littman et al., 2011; Thurber et al., 2009). There is also increasing support for the role of nitrogen-fixing bacteria in maintaining the stability of the coral-Symbiodiniaceae symbiosis, and thus in regulating bleaching (Morrow et al., 2018). Elevated temperatures could increase diazotroph activity and abundance, with a resultant rise in nitrogen availability (Cardini et al., 2016; Pogoreutz et al., 2017; Santos et al., 2014). This can be beneficial for corals since moderate levels of ammonia have been shown to increase thermal tolerance (Morris et al., 2019). However, as nitrogen fixation continues to increase under heat-stressed conditions, the finely tuned internal nutrient balance within the coral holobiont may shift, thereby releasing Symbiodiniaceae from its nutrient limited state and inducing phosphate starvation (Morris et al., 2019; Pogoreutz et al., 2017). This in turn could lead to reduced translocation of photosynthates to the coral host - also known as the selfish symbiont (Baker et al., 2018) - and increased susceptibility to photodamage and subsequent bleaching (Morrow et al., 2018; Pogoreutz et al., 2017; Radecker et al., 2015; Wiedenmann et al., 2013).

It is well recognized that climate change conditions can destabilize the microbiome, initially leading to a state of dysbiosis, which can develop into an alternate stable state characterized by disease, bleeding, and mortality (Egan and Gardiner, 2016; van Oppen and Blackall, 2019). Nonetheless, the underlying cause-effect pathway is still underexplored. Some coral species show resistance to environmental changes and are capable of maintaining a stable microbiome at lower pH (Meron et al., 2012) or elevated temperatures (Epstein et al., 2019; Grottoli et al., 2018; Tracy et al., 2015), whereas other species maintain a stable microbiome until a critical temperature threshold is exceeded (Salerno et al., 2011). Compositional and functional changes in the microbiome can also be decoupled as a result of functional redundancy within the holobiont (Glasl et al., 2017). Adding to the complexity is the observation that some coral microbiomes can return to their original state once the stressor has been removed (Bourne et al., 2008; Ziegler et al., 2019), whereas others change irreversibly into a new stable state that can be either beneficial or detrimental to the holobiont (Tracy et al., 2015).

Microbial Contributions to Coral Acclimatization

It has been suggested that the microbiome may help increase the resilience of reef-building corals to future climate conditions upon pre-exposure to sub-lethal elevated temperatures, e.g., via seasonal variations or natural anomalies (Ziegler et al., 2017). Furthermore, microorganisms could positively or negatively impact the long-term resilience of coral holobionts and reef ecosystems to environmental change through their rapid response to disturbances (McDevitt-Irwin et al., 2017; Webster and Reusch, 2017). The extensive taxonomic and metabolic diversity of the microbiome, and notably shorter generation times compared to the coral host, provide considerable potential for microorganisms to contribute to the adaptive response of the holobiont (Torda et al., 2017). Variation in microbial symbionts can occur via acquisition of novel microbial strains (symbiont switching), microbial community shifts (symbiont shuffling), lifestyle changes (host-associated versus free-living, mutualistic versus parasitic), horizontal gene transfers (McDaniel et al., 2010), or genetic mutations (Torda et al., 2017; Webster and Reusch, 2017). Advantageous modifications to the microbiome could potentially be vertically transferred to subsequent coral generations, thereby increasing the overall population fitness (Quigley et al., 2019; Webster and Reusch, 2017). Importantly, however, although there is theoretical potential for the microbiome to contribute to coral acclimatization, to date there is little empirical evidence to support these ideas, and further research into the role of the microbiome in coral reef adaptation is therefore urgently needed.
IMPACTS OF CLIMATE CHANGE AT THE CORAL REEF ECOSYSTEM LEVEL
Microbially Driven Nested Ecosystems

Diverse holobionts like reef-building corals are themselves considered as complex ecosystems in which the (inter)actions of the individual members shape the overall functioning and fitness of the holobiont (Pita et al., 2018). However, these meta-organisms do not occur in isolation but are nested within communities of neighboring holobionts that, in turn, interact with and influence successively larger and more complex communities and marine environments (McFall-Ngai et al., 2013). This concept is referred to as nested ecosystems and implies that the actions of individual constituents of the coral microbiome can have effects far beyond that of the holobiont (Costello et al., 2012; Pita et al., 2018). The impacts of climate change on the coral microbiome can therefore have ramifications at the coral reef ecosystem level, including potentially transformative effects on primary production, nutrient cycling, disease ecology, and benthic community structure (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2017).

Tight benthic-pelagic coupling occurs in shallow, well-mixed coral reefs with strong interlinkages between microbial communities associated with different benthic organisms, the sediment, and the overlying water column (Bourne and Webster, 2013). Considering these connections, predictions of the resilience of coral reef ecosystems to future ocean conditions could benefit from linking microbial community dynamics to host physiology and biogeochemical transformations (Garren and Azam, 2012; Kelly et al., 2018). An example of an important energetic and ecological link between the coral holobiont and its surrounding environment is coral mucus (Wild et al., 2004). It provides a nutritious medium on which a diverse assemblage of coral-associated microbes thrive and interact with the environment via quorum sensing (Garren et al., 2014) and the production of allelochemicals (Ritchie, 2006). The mucus simultaneously operates as a defensive barrier against invasive pathogenic microbes from the surrounding seawater through the production of antimicrobial compounds and the colonization of this bordering niche by resident microorganisms (Glasl et al., 2016; Peixoto et al., 2017; Ritchie, 2006). Coral mucus is also continuously or periodically - depending on the coral species and mucus age - sloughed off from the coral surface into the reef environment. This represents one of the largest sources of organic matter in the coral reef ecosystem (Rix et al., 2017; Wild et al., 2004). Mucus can dissolve in seawater (dissolved organic matter, DOM) where it fuels the microbial loop (Box 3) (Fonvielle et al., 2015; Wild et al., 2004), get consumed by detritivores (see sponge loop) (de Goeij et al., 2013), or sink to be degraded by sediment microbial communities, all of which result in the recycling of essential nutrients and energy back into the reef system (Bourne and Webster, 2013; Garren and Azam, 2012; Wild et al., 2004). Coral bleaching has been shown to change the production, structure, and composition of coral mucus (Wright et al., 2019), which will have flow-on consequences for microbially driven nutrient cycles and food web dynamics within coral reefs (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2017; Morrow et al., 2018).

Sponges as Potential Winners under Climate Change Conditions

Marine sponges are ecologically important constituents of global benthic environments, often exceeding the diversity of corals and algae (Bell, 2008; Maldonado et al., 2017). Sponges provide habitat for a wide range of reef fauna and are known to host dense and highly specific microbial communities, which can comprise up to 40% of the sponge biomass (Webster and Taylor, 2012). As such, sponge-microbial symbioses represent one of the most diverse and complex holobionts in the marine environment (Moitinho-Silva et al., 2017; Thomas et al., 2016). Depending on the abundance of their associated microorganisms, sponge species are typically classified as having high (HMA) or low (LMA) microbial abundance (Gloeckner et al., 2014). The sponge microbiome is involved in autotrophic and/or heterotrophic carbon metabolism, nitrogen metabolism (e.g., the oxidation of ammonia waste from the host), sulfur cycling, storage of polyphosphate granules, and the biosynthesis of essential vitamins (Engelberts et al., 2020, Zhang et al., 2019). A remarkable property of the sponge holobiont is the capacity to produce a diverse range of secondary metabolites that may provide protection against predators and epibionts (Pawlik, 2011; Slaby et al., 2017).

Sponges represent a textbook example of functionally important nested ecosystems within coral reefs owing to their impressive filtering capacity (Pita et al., 2018), which provides a vital link between the benthos and ambient seawater (i.e., benthic-pelagic coupling) (Glasl et al., 2017; Maldonado et al., 2017). Although sponges have been thought to primarily feed on picoplankton, a number of encrusting, excavating, and massive reef sponges are also able to assimilate DOM, and this can comprise up to 90% of the holobiont’s total heterotrophic carbon uptake (Achlatis et al., 2019; Pita et al., 2018; Rix et al., 2017). The DOM pool...
Box 3. The Microbial Loop and Viral Shunt

The microbial loop is recognized as an important mechanism for the retention and recycling of valuable nutrients and energy within all marine environments, including coral reefs (Silveira et al., 2017). It was first described in 1983 to represent the missing link between DOC resulting from phytoplankton primary production and its transfer to higher trophic levels within the open ocean (Azam et al., 1983). Heterotrophic marine microorganisms utilize DOC as an energy source and transfer carbon up the food web to flagellates and microzooplankton, which in turn are fed upon by progressively larger macroorganisms. The microbial loop has since expanded to incorporate other groups, including photosynthetic prokaryotes, mixotrophic protists, and viruses (Fenchel, 2008). Viruses are the most abundant organisms in global ecosystems, and evidence suggests that they represent important agents of mortality for marine microorganisms (Payet et al., 2014; Payet and Suttle, 2013; Thurber et al., 2017). Viral infection and lysis of microbial cells releases DOM and nutrients back into the seawater DOM pool, retaining them within the microbial food web (Wilhelm and Suttle, 1999). This process is referred to as the viral shunt and diverts microbial biomass away from higher trophic levels while strongly impacting marine nutrient cycles (Danovaro et al., 2011; Weitz and Wilhelm, 2012). Viruses also exert control on microbial community structure and diversity through “kill-the-winner” or “piggy-back-the-winner” mechanisms (Coutinho et al., 2017; Gregory et al., 2019; Silveira and Rohwer, 2016; Thingstad, 2000; Weitz and Wilhelm, 2012). Climate change conditions are expected to influence the interactions between viruses and their hosts, with profound impacts on biogeochemical cycles and ecosystem functioning (Danovaro et al., 2011). For example, viral lysis of microbial blooms in algal-dominated reefs results in amplified carbon and nutrient levels within the ecosystem, with concomitant impacts on microbial populations and viral production, leading to a positive feedback on coral disease and mortality (Thurber et al., 2017).

Box 3 Figure. A simplified overview of DOM—consisting of dissolved organic carbon (DOC), nitrogen (DON), phosphorous (DOP)—cycling in marine environments via the microbial loop.
The sponge microbiome is reportedly highly stable across different geographic locations and natural environmental gradients and over time (Astudillo-García et al., 2017). There is also an increasing body of evidence suggesting that sponge holobionts are generally resistant to local and global stressors, including ocean warming and acidification (Botte et al., 2019; Simister et al., 2012), with some studies suggesting sponges will be potential winners under future climate scenarios (Bell et al., 2018; Glasl et al., 2018). However, other studies have demonstrated shifts in the microbiome following disturbances, leading to a loss of metabolic potential and dysbiosis and eventually resulting in sponge disease and/or mortality (Botte et al., 2019; Fan et al., 2013; Lesser et al., 2016; Pita et al., 2018). Changes in the sponge microbiome have been detected prior to visual signs of bleaching, whereas other studies have observed stable microbial communities until the very late stages of thermal stress when the host itself becomes necrotic (Ramsby et al., 2018). Considering this variability in sensitivity, it is apparent that the impact of climate change on sponge holobionts will be species specific, as well as dependent on the type, intensity, and duration of the disturbance. At the ecosystem level, this could result in an alternate stable state with higher abundance yet lower diversity of resistant/resilient sponges (Bell et al., 2015; Glasl et al., 2017; Pawlik et al., 2016; Pita et al., 2018). A relative increase in sponge dominance at the expense of coral abundance would cause the benthic community to shift from being primarily dominated by photoautotrophic organisms to heterotrophic species (Bell et al., 2018a; Pawlik et al., 2016). As this may also result in an overall loss of complexity, it is unclear if higher trophic levels can remain supported under these conditions (Bell et al., 2018b). Considering the vital functional roles that sponge holobionts fulfill in reef ecosystems, changes in the benthic communities in response to climate change will have significant consequences for food webs and biogeochemical cycles on coral reefs (Rix et al., 2017).

**Ecosystem Phase Shifts from Coral- to Algae-Dominated Reefs**

Anthropogenic disturbances have led to worldwide shifts from coral-dominated reefs to reef systems characterized by turf and fleshy macroalgae (Barott and Rohwer, 2012; Pawlik et al., 2016). Coral demise due to bleaching and disease triggers a positive feedback loop of coral degradation and increasing algal dominance that is further accelerated and intensified by coral reef microorganisms (Radecker et al., 2015). It has been hypothesized that algae can transfer harmful pathogens to corals upon contact and that labile algal-derived DOC stimulates the growth of copiotrophic and potentially pathogenic microorganisms (Barott and Rohwer, 2012; Meireles et al., 2018; Nelson et al., 2013). It has also been hypothesized that elevated levels of DOC combined with temperature stress can enhance bleaching through proliferation of diazotrophs (Pogoreutz et al., 2017; Radecker et al., 2015). Furthermore, increased microbial metabolism resulting from higher amounts of bioavailable DOC can create hypoxic zones at the coral-algal interface leading to additional coral mortality (Bourne et al., 2016; Silveira et al., 2017). The mechanisms by which both disease and microbial respiration favor algal overgrowth are collectively described in the DDAM model (DOC, disease, algae, and microbes) (Barott and Rohwer, 2012; Haas et al., 2016).

Greater quantities of labile algal-derived DOC can stimulate the microbial loop and cause a shift in ecosystem trophic structure toward significantly higher microbial biomass (Figure 3) (Kelly et al., 2018). This is referred to as microbialization and involves a depletion of the DOC standing stock, as well as a transition in central carbohydrate metabolism from the energy-efficient Embden-Meyerhof-Parnas pathway to the less efficient but faster Enter-Doudoroff and pentose phosphate pathways (Haas et al., 2013, 2016). This yield-to-power switch is a common ecological strategy employed by microorganisms that allows them to sacrifice energetic efficiency in order to outgrow competitors and deprive them of food. However, it also means resources are locked within the microbial compartments of the reef at the expense of energy partitioning toward higher trophic levels (Haas et al., 2016; Silveira et al., 2017). Elevated temperatures further stimulate microbial metabolism, and ocean acidification and hypoxia are additionally predicted to enhance nitrogen fixation while limiting nitrification, resulting in a net increase in nitrogen availability in the reef (Hutchins and Fu, 2017). Together with local impacts from overfishing and eutrophication (Hughes et al., 2007), these effects can further exacerbate algal overgrowth and the positive feedback loop toward microbialization.

A less studied phase shift is the potential transition to sponge-dominated reefs and the competitive interactions between coral, algae, and sponges (Bell et al., 2018a). On the one hand, sponges could benefit from an increased food supply in the form of algal exudates as it has been shown that algal-derived DOM is more rapidly assimilated by sponges compared with coral-derived DOM (Rix et al., 2017). Although stimulated nutrient retention via the sponge loop would support coral reef primary production, elevated nitrogen
Figure 3. Cycling of Dissolved and Particulate Organic Matter through the Microbial and Sponge Loops
As coral reef ecosystems shift toward an algae-dominated state after coral bleaching and/or disease, significant amounts of readily available DOM are released into the environment, which stimulates growth of copiotrophic and potentially pathogenic microorganisms. Although microbes in healthy reefs dominated by calcifying corals excel at capturing and recycling energy and nutrients within the reef—thereby supporting high primary production and a diverse marine food web—microbialization limits the flow of energy to higher trophic levels and can lead to an overall loss of energy from the ecosystem under future climate change conditions.

release to the benthos may lead to eutrophication, which would further stimulate algal overgrowth and have negative consequences for coral health (Pawlik et al., 2016; Rix et al., 2017). Similar to the microbial loop, labile algal-derived DOM may preferentially fuel microbial metabolism within the sponge holobiont, and this could potentially occur at the expense of the animal host (Rix et al., 2017). Given the complexity of microbial interactions within coral reefs, and the ongoing degradation from local and global disturbances, it is imperative that we investigate these nested microbial ecosystems in order to predict how future reefs will function (Bell et al., 2018b).

MICROBIAL ECOLOGY IN CORAL REEF MANAGEMENT
Microbes as Early Warning Indicators to Avert Coral Reef Decline
Coral reef monitoring is currently based on visual signs of health deterioration in corals (e.g., bleaching, disease, and mortality) and shifts in benthic community structure in response to climate change (Hill and Wilkinson, 2004). Since these signs often only become evident once the reef is in an advanced stage of stress and decline, sensitive and rapid markers for ecosystem stress would be highly advantageous (Glasl et al., 2017). Microorganisms have previously been raised as potential early warning bioindicators given their rapid and often specific responses to environmental disturbances (Faust et al., 2015; Glasl et al., 2019; Semenza et al., 2017). For example, total coliform counts are typically used as a measure of fecal contamination in surface waters and drinking water supplies (Tallon et al., 2005). To assess human impacts on coral reefs, a microbialization score has been proposed as a measure of metabolic rates of microbial communities and reef-associated fishes (McDole et al., 2012). Presence/absence and shifts in relative abundance of free-living or host-associated microorganisms in coral reefs could also be of high diagnostic value (Glasl et al., 2017; McDevitt-Irwin et al., 2017). Recent research has revealed that seawater microbial
communities rather than host-associated microbiomes have a strong potential to reflect environmental conditions owing to their high sensitivity and predictability (Glasl et al., 2019). However, further research is needed to establish robust baselines for microbial communities in all compartments of the reef (Egan and Gardiner, 2016) and to elucidate their structural and functional responses to local and global stressors by incorporating microbes in long-term reef monitoring initiatives (Faust et al., 2015; Glasl et al., 2019).

The Central Role of the Microbiome in Coral Reef Restoration

Reef conservation strategies have predominantly focused on the establishment and management of marine protected areas and preservation of water quality, but massive global coral decline in response to climate change (Hughes et al., 2017b) has prompted a rise in efforts toward reef restoration (Silveira et al., 2017). Corals have been the focus of restoration practices such as coral aquaculture (“gardening”) or fragmentation followed by transplantation onto the reef (Rinkevich, 2008; Silveira et al., 2017). However, a growing appreciation for the role that microorganisms play in maintaining animal health and ecosystem functioning, together with a realization of possible implications for conservation and management of threatened species (West et al., 2019), has led some researchers to explore whether manipulation of the microbiome could be used to assist coral reef restoration (Silveira et al., 2017). For example, natural microbiomes of healthy/stress-resistant corals, synthetic communities consisting of beneficial microorganisms for corals (BMC as probiotics) or even genetically modified bacterial strains could be used to inoculate new or diseased coral colonies in an attempt to transfer health benefits and stress resistance (Peixoto et al., 2019; Rosado et al., 2019; van Oppen and Blackall, 2019). Another possibility could be the development of coral stocks with enhanced stress tolerance through acceleration of coral microbiome evolutionary changes, an approach referred to as assisted evolution (van Oppen et al., 2017). It remains to be established, however, whether long-term modifications of the microbiome can be achieved, if upscaling is at all feasible and what the implications will be for the ecosystem as a whole (van Oppen and Blackall, 2019). An alternative approach could be the identification and application of microbial cues either to increase coral larval settlement (Hadfield, 2011) or to avoid the growth of fouling microorganisms that would otherwise compete for space (Wood et al., 2016). Finally, phage therapy has been proposed to control disease progression in corals (Cohen et al., 2013). Nonetheless, the majority of these methods are still in their infancy and require significant research and development to determine their suitability for active reef restoration efforts.

Microorganisms in Climate Change Research and Conservation Management

The distribution, abundance, diversity, interactions, and functions of microbial communities are directly and indirectly impacted by climate change (Hutchins et al., 2019), with altered nutrient cycles and resource allocations in coral reefs being just one of the many examples. Given that the microbial world constitutes the life support system of the biosphere, the potential consequences of diversity and biomass loss, local extinction, and community shifts are substantial and cannot be ignored (Hutchins and Fu, 2017; McFall-Ngai et al., 2013). Although microorganisms directly contribute to climate change through the production of greenhouse gases, they also offer important opportunities for remediating human-induced change (Cavicchioli et al., 2019). We need to understand how marine microbiomes respond and potentially adapt to climate change in order to define tolerance thresholds and identify climate-ecosystem feedbacks in coral reefs (Hutchins et al., 2019; Webster et al., 2018). Despite the overwhelming evidence of the fundamental role of microorganisms in climate change biology, they have rarely been the focus of climate change research thus far (Cavicchioli et al., 2019). It is time for microbial ecologists, conservationists, and policy makers to work together to construct an integrated understanding of coral reef functioning that includes microorganisms, which is vital for the long-term survival and conservation of these diverse environments (Kelly et al., 2018; West et al., 2019).

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

Coral reefs support tremendous biodiversity and provide essential ecosystem services, yet they are suffering from rapid degradation in response to climate change and local anthropogenic disturbances (Hughes et al., 2017a). There is substantial research supporting the vital role of the microbiome in maintaining coral health and in regulating ecosystem resilience. Microorganisms are capable of buffering or exacerbating cumulative anthropogenic impacts via their role in holobiont fitness as well as by modifying nutrient and energy flows within coral reefs (Bourne et al., 2016). Recent advances in DNA-based molecular approaches have provided unprecedented insights into the vast taxonomic and metabolic diversity of the
Integrated systems, from genes and microbes to ecosystem-scale processes (Garren and Azam, 2012). Microbial responses to global and local stressors also need to be evaluated over different time scales, ranging from immediate physiological acclimatization to prolonged adaptive evolution (Hutchins et al., 2019). Furthermore, the incorporation of microbial monitoring in long-term coral reef monitoring projects would provide invaluable insights into microbial baselines and community dynamics in relation to biogeochemical parameters, natural anomalies, and anthropogenic disturbances. Robust predictive models should include microbial processes to interpolate and extrapolate observed microbial interactions within the coral reef environment in order to predict future reef outcomes under different disturbance regimes as well as the capacity for reef acclimatization (Hutchins et al., 2019). Finally, interdisciplinary and collaborative research is the key to successful coral reef conservation and is essential in order to guarantee a future for these unique and critical ecosystems.
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