Molecular Docking studies of D2 Dopamine receptor with Risperidone derivatives
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Abstract:
In this work, 3D model of D2 dopamine receptor was determined by comparative homology modeling program MODELLER. The computed model’s energy was minimized and validated using PROCHECK and Errat tool to obtain a stable model structure and was submitted in Protein Model Database (PMDB-ID: PM0079251). Stable model was used for molecular docking against Risperidone and their 15 derivatives using AutoDock 4.2, which resulted in energy-based descriptors such as Binding Energy, Ligand Efficiency, Inhib Constant, Internmol energy, vdW + Hbond + desolv Energy, Electrostatic Energy, Total Internal Energy and Torsional Energy. After that, we have built quantitative structure activity relationship (QSAR) model, which was trained and tested on Risperidone and their 15 derivatives having activity value pKi in μM. For QSAR modeling, Multiple Linear Regression model was engendered using energy-based descriptors yielding correlation coefficient r2 of 0.513. To assess the predictive performance of QSAR models, different cross-validation procedures were adopted. Our results suggests that ligand-receptor binding interactions for D2 employing QSAR modeling seems to be a promising approach for prediction of pKi value of novel antagonists against D2 receptor.
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Background:
Schizophrenia is a severe form of mental illness affecting ~0.001% of the adult population globally in the age group 15-35 years [1]. Schizophrenic patients have delusions, hallucinations, disorganized speech and bizarre behaviour as positive symptoms and have poverty of speech, blunted affect, social withdrawal, absence of normal emotional feelings and expressions, lack of energy, interest, motivation as well as absence of purpose of life as negative symptoms [1-4]. Neuroleptics are used to treat Schizophrenia and divided into two main classes such as typical neuroleptics (Chlorpromazine, Promazine, Haloperidol, Phenothiazines etc) and atypical neuroleptics (Clozapine, Melperone, Risperidone, Olanzapine, Quetiapine etc). Typical neuroleptic acts by blocking the action of the neurotransmitter dopamine at the level of D2 receptor. D2 receptor blockade is the main target for antipsychotic drugs, because there is a higher density of D2 in schizophrenic brains [5-7]. The lower level of dopamine stimulation mainly reduces positive symptoms. However, decreased dopamine level results in increased acetylcholine levels that may cause side effects called extrapyramidal symptoms (EPS) [5, 7, 8]. Atypical neuroleptics have effect on positive symptoms as well as on negative symptoms that’ why the atypical neuroleptics are more effective than typical neuroleptics [8]. Five dopamine receptors D1, D2, D3, D4, and D5 have been identified and each of the receptors contains about 400 amino acids, and they have seven regions spanning the neural membrane [9, 10]. When drugs block dopamine receptors in the basal ganglia, the symptoms of schizophrenia are reduced. Their function is to bind to
dopamine secreted by presynaptic nerve cells and this binding triggers change in the metabolic activity of the postsynaptic nerve cells [11].

Risperidone is second generation antipsychotic agent used for the treatment of Schizophrenia. In a large population of elderly patients the use of risperidone is associated with a lower risk of extrapyramidal side effects compared to First Generation Antipsychotics [12, 13]. In this work we had made a Homology model for D2 dopamine receptor of Homo Sapiens. The computed model's energy was minimized and reliability of model was checked by Ramachandran plot and ERRAT value. Stable model was docked with the risperidone and their 15 antagonists of D2 dopamine receptor. The docking results were further used for the QSAR modelling: Multiple Linear Regression (MLR) model was engendered using energy-based descriptors yielding correlation coefficient r² of 0.513.

Methodology:
Retrieval of target protein Sequence
Amino acid sequence of D2 dopamine receptor (ID: P14416) of Homo Sapiens was obtained from uniprot database (http://www.uniprot.org/uniprot/).

Template Searching
To find a suitable template protein for the modeling of the target D2 dopamine receptor BLAST [14] program was used against the PDB database. By the BLAST search, we selected structure of the human dopamine D3 receptor in complex with Eticlopride (PDB Id: 3PBL) as template protein for query sequence and has sequence identity 50%.

Sequence alignment
The sequence alignment of target sequence with template was performed by using dynamics programming based align2D module in Modeller 9.11 software [15]. Default parameters were applied and the aligned sequences were inspected and adjusted manually to minimize the number of gaps and insertions.

Homology modeling and structure refinement
Homology model of D2 dopamine receptor was constructed using Modeller 9.11 software [15]. Alignment of query with template protein was used as input for model single script in Modeller program and five comparative models were generated. These models were validated with the help of Modeller objective function and DOPE score, which were the statistical parameter for the assessment of model using the standard Modeller energy function. The constructed models were subjected to constraint energy minimization with a harmonic constraint of 200 kJ/mol/Å², applied for all protein atoms, using the steepest descent and conjugate gradient technique to eliminate bad contacts between protein atoms. Computations were done in vacuo with the GROMOS96 43B1 parameters set, without reaction field. Energy computations were done with the GROMOS96 implementation of SWISSpdbViewer (http://iac.ethz.ch/gromos) [16]. The qualities of models were checked with the help of Procheck [17] and Errat [19] tools.

Docking
To gain better insight for the interactions between Risperidone and their derivatives with D2 dopamine receptor molecular docking studies were carried out [19]. AutoDock Software along with a graphical user interface, AutoDock Tools (ADT) was utilized to generate grids, calculate dock score and evaluate the conformations. ADT requires the receptor and ligand coordinates in either Mol2 or PDB format [20-23]. The receptor PDB file was transformed into the PDBQT format file containing the receptor atom coordinates, partial charges and salvation parameters. The ligand file was transformed into a PDBQT file, merged nonpolar hydrogen atoms and torsions were defined. The grid calculations were set up and maps were calculated with the programme AutoGrid. All docking runs were performed using the Lamarckian genetic algorithm and the obtained dock score were reported in kcal/mol. Docking of Resperidone and their 15 antagonists on the predefine and experimentally characterized binding pocket, where the residues ASP78, ILE148, THR376, and TYR380 were being particularly important.

Figure 1: The sequence alignment of the query protein and the template protein using align 2D script of modeller software. Target and template have 50% sequence identity.

Figure 2: Errat plot for D2 dopamine receptor structure. Error values for residues as predicted by ERRAT for D2 dopamine receptor. Y axis presents the error value and X axis presents the
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amino acid sequences of D2 dopamine receptor. An error value exceeding 99% confidence level indicates poorly modelled regions. The overall quality factor assigned to D2 dopamine receptor is 87.940.

2D QSAR study

Risperidone and their 15 antagonists of D2 dopamine receptor were used for 2D QSAR studies. Using MLR, the QSAR model was developed with eight types of independent variables Binding Energy, Ligand Efficiency, Inhib Constant, Intermol energy, vdW + Hbond + desolv Energy, Electrostatic Energy, Total Internal Energy and Torsional Energy and one dependent variable activity (Ki) with the help of different cross-validation procedures values.

![Figure 3: Risperidone Derivatives: On the basis of four different groups at R1, R2, R3 and R4 positions](image)

On the basis of R groups (Figure 3) at four different positions, Risperidone and their 15 derivatives were taken from literature [19] is shown in Table 1 (see supplementary material). Docking studies predicted the interaction of ligands with protein and residues involved in these complexes. In this work, optimal interactions and the best autodock score were used as criteria to interpret the best conformation among the 15 conformations, generated by AutoDock program.

To assess the predictive performance of QSAR models, different cross-validation procedures were adopted. First, in leave-one-out strategy (LOOCV), one molecule was removed from the dataset as a test compound and the remaining 15 molecules were used to build the model. This process was repeated 15 times with each inhibitor as a test molecule. Once a model was constructed, goodness about the fit and statistical significance was assessed using the statistical parameters [24].

Docking and QSAR results of Risperidone and their 15 derivatives with D2 receptor are shown in Table 2 (see supplementary material) in which the Antagonist potency (Observed pKi, and Predicted pKi) are mentioned. A graphical representation between experimental pKi vs Predicted pKi is shown in (Figure 4).

**Conclusion:**

Flexible docking of ligand from chemical database to receptor is an emerging approach and is widely used in drug discovery to reduce the cost and time. Risperidone and their fifteen known derivatives having inhibitory value pKi with D2 dopamine were used for 2D QSAR study. Using MLR analysis, a QSAR based model was generated having eight descriptors namely Binding Energy, Ligand Efficiency, Inhib Constant, Intermol energy, vdW + Hbond + desolv Energy, Electrostatic Energy, Total Internal Energy and Torsional Energy, which accomplished correlation coefficient r² value 0.513.

**Acknowledgement:**

The authors are grateful to the Bioinformatics Division of
Biotech Park, Lucknow for providing computational facilities. The authors are thankful to the Department of Pharmacology and Therapeutics, KGMU, Lucknow, for providing necessary facilities for research work. This study is financially supported by the Ragiv Gandhi National Fellowship of University Grants Commission.

References:
[1] Naheed M et al. International Current Pharmaceutical Journal. 2012 1: 81
[2] Sumiyoshi T, Front Pharmacol. 2013 4: 102 [PMID: 23986702]
[3] Berenbaum H et al. Psychiatry Res. 2008 159: 163 [PMID: 18423619]
[4] Galletly C, Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2009 202: 259 [PMID: 18766331]
[5] Gershanik OS & Gomez Arevalo Gj, Handb Clin Neurol. 2011 100: 579 [PMID: 21496609]
[6] Sumiyoshi T et al. Front Behav Neurosci. 2013 7: 140 [PMID: 24137114]
[7] Nasrallah HA, Mol Psychiatry. 2008 13: 27 [PMID: 17848919]
[8] Damasio J & Carvalho S, Acta Med Port. 2011 4: 915 [PMID: 22863500]
[9] Beaulieu JM & Gainetdinov RR, Pharmacol Rev. 2011 63: 182 [PMID: 21303898]
[10] http://www.alomone.com/upload/newsletters/gpcr%20pathways%204%20papers/controversial%20feelings%20about%20dopamine%20receptors.pdf
[11] Gillig PM & Sanders RD, Psychiatry (Edgmont). 2010 7: 37 [PMID: 20532157]
[12] Bishop JR & Pavuluri MN, Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2008 4: 55 [PMID: 18728804]
[13] Vasilyeva I et al. PLoS One. 2013 8: e64217 [PMID: 23696870]
[14] Altschul SF et al. Nucleic Acids Res. 1997 25: 3389 [PMID: 9254694]
[15] Sali A & Blundell TL, J Mol Biol. 1993 234: 779 [PMID: 8254735]
[16] Guex N & Peitsch MC, Electrophoresis. 1997 18: 2714 [PMID: 9504803]
[17] Laskowski RA et al. J Appl Cryst. 1993 26: 283
[18] Bowie JU et al. Science 1991 253: 164 [PMID: 1853201]
[19] Avram S et al. J Serb Chem Soc. 2011 76: 263
[20] Sanner MF, J Mol Graphics Mod. 1999 17: 57 [PMID: 10660911]
[21] Goodsell DS et al. J Mol Recognit. 1996 9: 1 [PMID: 8723313]
[22] Morris GM et al. J Computational Chemistry. 1998 19: 1639
[23] http://autodock.scripps.edu/resources/adt
[24] Garg A et al. BMC Bioinformatics 2010 11: 125 [PMID: 20229269]

Edited by P Kangueane

Citation: Bhargava et al. Bioinformation 10(1): 008-012 (2014)

License statement: This is an open-access article, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, for non-commercial purposes, provided the original author and source are credited
Supplementary material:

Table 1: Risperidone and their 15 derivatives having different groups

| Molecule Name | Groups | R1 | R2 | R3 | R4 |
|---------------|--------|----|----|----|----|
| Risperidone   | CH₃    | H  | H  | F  |    |
| RisA          | C₂H₅   | H  | H  | F  |    |
| RisB          | (CH₃)₂CH | H | H  | F  |    |
| RisC          | CH₃    | (CH₃)₂ | H | F  |    |
| RisD          | (CH₃)₂CH | H | OH | F  |    |
| RisE          | C₆H₁₁   | H  | H  | F  |    |
| RisF          | H₃C-NH₂ | H  | H  | F  |    |
| RisG          | CH₂N(CH₃)₂ | H | H  | F  |    |
| RisH          | OH     | H  | H  | F  |    |
| RisI          | C₆H₇    | H  | H  | F  |    |
| RisJ          | C₆H₇    | H  | NH₂ | F  |    |
| RisK          | CH₃    | C₆H₅ | F  |    |    |
| RisL          | C₆H₇    | H  | H  | OH |    |
| RisM          | C₆H₇    | H  | H  | Cl |    |
| RisN          | C₆H₇    | H  | H  | COOH |    |
| RisO          | C₆H₇   | CH₃ | H  | F  |    |

Table 2: Docking Results of D2 Dopamine Receptor with Risperidone and their derivatives with activity (pKᵢ).

| Molecule Name | BE   | Lef | InCo | InE | VDHE | ElecE | TotE | TorE | Experimental pKᵢ | Predicted pKᵢ |
|---------------|------|-----|------|-----|------|-------|------|------|------------------|----------------|
| Risperidone   | -10.58 | -0.35 | 17.48  | -11.78  | -11.19  | -0.58 | -1.02 | 1.19 | 8.180            | 9.083          |
| RisA          | -10.17 | -0.33 | 34.82  | -11.67  | -11.13  | -0.54 | -1.05 | 1.49 | 9.240            | 8.482          |
| RisB          | -9.98  | -0.31 | 48.34  | -11.47  | -11.28  | -0.19 | -1.34 | 1.49 | 9.300            | 8.762          |
| RisC          | -10.2  | -0.32 | 33.24  | -11.4   | -10.82  | -0.58 | -0.79 | 1.19 | 8.920            | 9.393          |
| RisD          | -8.66  | -0.26 | 446.49 | -10.45  | -9.98   | -0.47 | -0.61 | 1.79 | 9.020            | 9.018          |
| RisE          | -9.55  | -0.27 | 100.33 | -12.23  | -11.97  | -0.27 | -1.51 | 2.68 | 6.740            | 7.238          |
| RisF          | -10.83 | -0.35 | 11.49  | -12.62  | -10.83  | -1.79 | -0.13 | 1.79 | 8.060            | 7.960          |
| RisG          | -9.77  | -0.3  | 68.75  | -11.56  | -10.47  | -1.09 | -0.98 | 1.79 | 9.140            | 8.436          |
| RisH          | -9.24  | -0.31 | 169.27 | -10.73  | -10.32  | -0.41 | -1.17 | 1.49 | 8.280            | 8.427          |
| RisI          | -9.99  | -0.3  | 47.21  | -11.78  | -11.4   | -0.38 | -1.42 | 1.79 | 8.180            | 8.427          |
| RisJ          | -8.39  | -0.25 | 706.54 | -10.48  | -9.37   | -1.11 | -2.55 | 1.49 | 8.360            | 8.357          |
| RisK          | -9.87  | -0.27 | 57.88  | -11.37  | -11.17  | -0.2  | -1.95 | 1.49 | 9.320            | 8.953          |
| RisL          | -10.7   | -0.33 | 62.35  | -12.01  | -11.57  | -0.26 | -1.64 | 2.09 | 8.320            | 7.915          |
| RisM          | -10.44  | -0.32 | 22.35  | -12.23  | -11.89  | -0.34 | -1.62 | 1.79 | 8.360            | 7.756          |
| RisN          | -7.61  | -0.22 | 2.65   | -9.99   | -7.86   | -2.13 | -1.44 | 2.39 | 8.660            | 8.740          |
| RisO          | -10.41  | -0.31 | 23.45  | -12.2   | -11.68  | -0.52 | -1.68 | 1.79 | 6.660            | 7.792          |

BE: Binding Energy; Lef: Ligand Efficiency; InCo: Inhib Constant; InE: Intermol energy; VDHE: vdW + Hbond + desolv Energy; ElecE: Electrostatic Energy; TotE: Total Internal Energy; TorE: Torsional Energy; Ris: Risperidone.