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Abstract

We consider renormalization effects for a bosonic QCD-like string, whose partons have $1/p^2$ propagators instead of Gaussian. Classically this model resembles (the bosonic part of) the projective light-cone (zero-radius) limit of a string on an AdS\textsubscript{5} background, where Schwinger parameters give rise to the fifth dimension. Quantum effects generate dynamics for this dimension, producing an AdS\textsubscript{5} background with a running radius. The projective light-cone is the high-energy limit: Holography is enforced dynamically.
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1 Introduction

It has been argued that for a string theory to describe 4-dimensional gauge theories (QCD strings) it must live in five dimensions \cite{1}. For the usual bosonic string theory outside of the critical dimension, the fifth dimension arises from the conformal anomaly, the Liouville field. Consistent quantization of Liouville theory that preserves the conformal symmetry is essential for the understanding of non-critical strings and is still an active research area.

Another way to understand the quantum string is to replace the world-sheet by a random lattice: The lattice is the Feynman diagram of “partons” that compose the string: Each link is identified with a propagator, and the vertices are the interaction vertices \cite{2}. The randomness of the lattice, corresponding to different geometries, is associated with the summation over different Feynman diagrams \cite{3}. This approach was first applied to understand pure 2D quantum gravity, and in conjunction with the $1/N$ expansion (which defines “planarity” for diagrams) \cite{4}, the connection was made with the Liouville approach in the continuum limit \cite{5}.

However, the bosonic (or super \cite{6}) lattice string has several unsatisfactory properties at large transverse momentum for the underlying parton theory, such as Gaussian propagators and no particle degrees of freedom in the deconfinement phase. In \cite{7} one introduces a Schwinger parameter to give the usual $1/p^2$ propagators, which gives rise to a QCD-like string that predicts the correct dimension 4 for preserving T-duality. For such a theory the open string is identified as “mesons” while the closed string is “pomeron”. However, little success has been obtained in this approach except for scalar partons.

The AdS/CFT correspondence also gives a correspondence between gauge theory and string theory \cite{8}. The IIB string states correspond to color-singlet bound states of N=4 super Yang-Mills. An important ingredient is “holography”, which conjectures that the dynamical properties are uniquely determined by the four-dimensional boundary theory. The background $\text{AdS}_5 \otimes S^5$ has isometries $\text{SO}(4,2)$ for $\text{AdS}_5$ and $\text{SO}(6)$ for $S^5$, which are the same as the 4-dimensional conformal group and the $\text{SU}(4)_R$ of N=4 SYM. It was shown in \cite{9} that by taking another limit, the projective light-cone limit, one obtains a different holography where the fifth dimension is still present, albeit non-dynamical to leading order. Random latticizing this superstring it was shown that the bosonic part corresponds to a wrong-sign $\phi^4$ theory similar to that used in \cite{7}, while the entire superstring gives a manifestly N=4 supersymmetric action for a matrix field identified with N=4 SYM.
In this paper we take the previous QCD-like string [7] and perform a one-loop calculation. A dynamical AdS radius is generated for a fifth dimension arising from the Schwinger parameter. (This field already appears classically, and so is not the Liouville mode.) This radius runs: At high energy the theory is asymptotically free in this dynamically produced coupling, producing four-dimensional space as the projective light-cone limit [9].

2 QCD-like strings

In the usual random lattice quantization approach one expresses the string world-sheet as a random lattice, using the irregularity of the lattice to represent world-sheet curvature:

\[ \int DX \, e^{-S} \sim \int \prod_i dx_i e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{(ij)} (x_i - x_j)^2 + \mu \sum_i \log N (\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} (\sum_{(ij)} + 1 + \sum_i \mu N^{-1})^2 + \mu )} \] (1)

where \( x_i \) are the vertices, \( \langle ij \rangle \) label the links or propagators and \( I \) are the faces. Thus summing over different lattices corresponds to integrating over different geometries of the world-sheet. One can then identify the lattice with the Feynman diagrams of some underlying (parton) field theory. One can obtain the Feynman rules from the lattice string action: (1) The usual \( \frac{1}{2} (\partial X)^2 \) term becomes on the lattice \( \frac{1}{2} (x_i - x_j)^2 \), giving a Gaussian propagator for the parton theory. (2) The 2D cosmological term gives the world-sheet area and corresponds to the number of vertices, and is thus related to the coupling constant. (3) The curvature term has the usual interpretation of the \( 1/N \) expansion in the parton theory.

The Gaussian propagators produce non-parton like behavior at large transverse momenta [10] and produce no degrees of freedom beyond the Hagedorn temperature [11] (there are no poles in the propagator), where there should be parton degrees of freedom in the deconfinement phase. One can incorporate the usual \( 1/p^2 \) in the random lattice approach by using Schwinger parameters [7]. That is, we can write:

\[ \frac{1}{p^2} = \int_0^\infty d\tau \, e^{-\tau p^2} \] (2)

A Feynman diagram with non-derivative interactions can now be written in a first-quantized form:

\[ \int dp_{ij} dx_i d\tau_{ij} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{(ij)} [\tau_{ij} p_{ij}^2 + (x_i - x_j) \cdot p_{ij}]} \] (3)

Integration over the vertices \( x_i \) gives momentum conservation at each vertex, while the \( \tau \) integration gives the propagators. Taking the underlying parton theory as wrong-sign \( \phi^4 \) theory, wrong sign meaning a negative coupling constant since the string
amplitudes are always positive, then each vertex has two independent propagators. Therefore in the continuum limit $\tau^{mn}$ has two components at each point on the world-sheet which is a symmetric traceless tensor. This suggests the following continuum action:

\[
L = \frac{1}{2} \tau_{mn} p^m \cdot p^n + \lambda \tau^{mn} g_{mn} + i p^m \cdot \partial_m x + L_g
\]

\[
L_g = \sqrt{-g} \left( \Lambda - R \ln N + \frac{c}{24} R \sqrt{-g} R \right)
\]  

(where $\tau^{mn}$ is the inverse of $\tau_{mn}$). $L_g$, which depends only on the world-sheet metric, includes the cosmological constant and curvature terms, while $\lambda$ is the Lagrange multiplier enforcing the traceless condition. Integrating out $p$,

\[
L = \frac{1}{2} \tau^{mn} (\partial_m x) \cdot (\partial_n x) + \lambda \tau^{mn} g_{mn} + L_g
\]

The $R(1/\Box)R$ term was expected from quantum effects. (It really belongs in the effective action; in the continuum case it comes from ghosts, but on the lattice the analog of ghosts is obscure.) We can determine its coefficient by comparison with ordinary string theory: In $D = 0$ there is no $x$, and $\tau$ becomes irrelevant, so there the QCD-like string is identical to the usual string. The metric $g_{mn}$ then describes simply the counting of Feynman diagrams, with respect to the $1/N$ expansion, with no dynamics. But we know the continuum limit there: It’s the usual action for the $D = 0$ subcritical string. Thus, quantization of the metric will produce the usual $c = -26$ from the ghosts, which is now not canceled by $x$, which does not couple directly to the metric. (We could also choose a gauge in terms of $\tau$ rather than $g$, which is more practical for the rest of the analysis, but then $g$ would be propagating and its one-loop evaluation more complicated.) Such a term is necessary also because in its absence the equations of motion for $g$ and the constraint induced by the Lagrange multiplier

\[
\lambda \tau^{mn} = -\frac{1}{2} \Lambda \sqrt{-g} g^{mn}, \quad \tau^{mn} g_{mn} = 0
\]

are incompatible. After choosing a gauge (in terms of either $g$ or $\tau$), this part of the theory totally decouples from the $x$ fields classically but comes in through a Liouville mode in the effective action to maintain local scale invariance as we will show.

Since there are no self-interactions in $x$, one-loop calculations give the complete contribution of $x$ to the effective action in $\tau$. In practice one first introduces vertex operators that depend only on $x$; integrating out $x$ then gives this $\tau$ action, as well as the usual factors of the $x$ Green function (now $\tau$-dependent) multiplying external-line momenta and polarizations.
3 One loop integral

We now compute the one-loop two-point integral for the $\tau$ field. This will be sufficient to determine the contribution of $x$ to the renormalization and renormalization group behavior of the theory. We assign the vacuum expectation value $\langle \tau \rangle_{ab}$ for the tree-level $x$ propagator, and then restore an arbitrary $\tau$ background using 2D coordinate invariance.

In arbitrary world-volume dimension $D$ (where on the world-sheet $D=2$) we calculate the 2-point effective action

$$\Gamma[\tau] = \int \frac{d^D p}{(2\pi)^{D/2}} A(\tau, p)$$

$$A = \frac{-d}{2} \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^{D/2}} \tau^{ab}(p) \frac{(k + \frac{1}{2} p)_a(k - \frac{1}{2} p)_b(k + \frac{1}{2} p)_c(k - \frac{1}{2} p)_d}{\langle \tau \rangle^{kl}(k + \frac{1}{2} p)_k(k + \frac{1}{2} p)_l} \tau^{cd}(-p)$$

(7)

With space-time dimension $d=4$ the integral gives:

$$\frac{1}{4 \sqrt{\langle \tau \rangle}} \left( \frac{1}{8} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{D+3}{2})} \Gamma(1 - \frac{D}{2}) \right) \times$$

$$\left\{ \frac{2}{B} \left[ \tau^{ab} \langle \tau^{-1}_{ab} \rangle^2 + 2 \tau^{ac} \langle \tau^{-1}_{cd} \rangle \tau^{db} \langle \tau^{-1}_{ba} \rangle \right] + \frac{1}{2} \tau^{ab} \langle \tau^{-1}_{ab} \rangle \frac{P_a P_d}{\langle \tau \rangle^{kl} p_k p_l} \tau^{cd}$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \tau^{ab} \langle \tau^{-1}_{bc} \rangle \frac{p_a P_d}{\langle \tau \rangle^{kl} p_k p_l} \tau^{cd} - (1 - \frac{D}{2}) \tau^{ab} \frac{4 p_a p_b p_c p_d}{\langle \tau \rangle^{kl} p_k p_l} \langle \tau^{cd} \rangle \right\}$$

(8)

with $\langle \tau \rangle = det \langle \tau^{ab} \rangle$. Using $D = 2 + 2\epsilon$ we arrive at

$$A(\tau, p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\langle \tau \rangle}} \left\{ \left[ \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \log \left( \langle \tau^{mn} \rangle p_m p_n \right) \right] I(\tau, p) - \frac{1}{6} \tau^{ab} \frac{p_a p_b p_c p_d}{\langle \tau^{mn} \rangle p_m p_n} \tau^{cd} \right\}$$

$$I(\tau, p) = \tau^{ab} \left[ -\frac{1}{6} p_a p_b \langle \tau^{-1}_{cd} \rangle - \frac{1}{24} \langle \tau^{mn} \rangle p_m p_n \left( \langle \tau^{-1}_{ab} \rangle \langle \tau^{-1}_{cd} \rangle + 2 \langle \tau^{-1}_{ac} \rangle \langle \tau^{-1}_{bd} \rangle \right) + \frac{1}{6} p_a p_c \langle \tau^{-1}_{bd} \rangle \right] \tau^{cd}$$

(9)

(A modified minimal subtraction scheme has been implemented by adding a finite number to $1/\epsilon$.)

4 Manifestly covariant effective action

We can obtain part of the full effective action by promoting the vev’s $\langle \tau^{mn} \rangle$ and $\langle \tau^{-1}_{mn} \rangle$ to the full field, using symmetry principles such as coordinate invariance. First we write

$$\tau^{mn} = \frac{\sqrt{\gamma^{mn}_{15}}}{(x_5)^2}$$

(10)
\( \gamma^{mn} \) is like a second world-sheet metric (in addition to \( g^{mn} \), but Euclidean instead of Minkowskian), with \( \gamma = \det \gamma_{mn} \). (In D=2 this is a separation of \( \tau \) into its determinant and determinant-free parts.) This introduces an extra degree of freedom that can be gauged away by a local scale invariance:

\[
\gamma^{mn} \rightarrow \rho^2 \gamma^{mn}, \quad x_5 \rightarrow \rho^{\frac{2-D}{2}} x_5
\]

Since this symmetry holds for arbitrary dimensions, the effective action should still retain this symmetry. Furthermore the one-loop action should be zero degree in \( x_5 \), since it can be seen as counting the number of loops.

These two requirements allow the following two terms:

\[
A \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \left[ x_5 \left( \frac{D-2}{4(D-1)} R_{\gamma} - \Box_{\gamma} \right) \right]^{D/2} x_5
\]

and a pure “gravity” term (independent of \( x_5 \))

\[
B \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \left( R_{\gamma} - \frac{1}{D-2} \Box_{\gamma} \right) R_{\gamma} - R_{\gamma}
\]

where \( \Box_{\gamma} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \partial_m \sqrt{\gamma} \gamma^{mn} \partial_n \). Plugging in \( D = 2 + 2\epsilon \) we have

\[
\sqrt{\gamma} \left\{ A x_5^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \log(-\Box_{\gamma}) \right] \Box_{\gamma} x_5 + B \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon} R_{\gamma} + \frac{1}{2} R_{\gamma} - \frac{1}{\Box_{\gamma}} R_{\gamma} \right) \right\}
\]

(11)

The coefficients \( A \) and \( B \) can be determined by comparing to the previous quadratic expansion (9). We express \( \tau \) in terms of \( x_5 \) and \( \gamma \), and expand both about their vev’s:

\[
x_5 = \langle x_5 \rangle + \tilde{x}_5
\]

and similarly for \( \gamma \). The \( \tilde{x}_5 \tilde{\gamma} \) crossterm cancels, as expected from (linearized) coordinate invariance. The \( (\tilde{x}_5)^2 \) term is

\[
\frac{\langle \sqrt{\gamma} \rangle}{\langle x_5 \rangle^2} \left\{ 2 \tilde{x}_5 \left[ \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \log\left( -\frac{\Box_{\gamma}}{\langle x_5 \rangle^2} \right) \right] \Box_{\gamma} \tilde{x}_5 + \frac{2}{3} \tilde{x}_5 \Box_{\gamma} \tilde{x}_5 \right\}
\]

(12)

(This is equivalent to coupling \( x \) to just a scalar.) One can then see \( A = 2 \). (The last term is finite and local, and so is regularization dependent, and can be canceled by a finite renormalization. The same applies to the \( \log \langle x_5 \rangle \) term.) Similarly, from the \( (\tilde{\gamma})^2 \) term one finds \( B = -1/3 \). (This is equivalent to the usual calculation in a background consisting of just a metric.) Thus the final form of this part of the bare effective action is

\[
\sqrt{\gamma} \left\{ -2 x_5^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \log(-\Box_{\gamma}) \right] \Box_{\gamma} x_5 + \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon} R_{\gamma} + \frac{1}{2} R_{\gamma} \right) \right\}
\]

(13)
If one tries to convert the above action into $\tau$, using $\tau^{-1/4} = x_5$ and $\sqrt{\gamma} \gamma^{mn} = \tau^{mn}/\sqrt{\tau}$, one immediately arrives at the difficulty of rewriting terms depending only on $\gamma^{mn}$, since it is impossible to express it in terms of $\tau$. Furthermore, renormalization of the action (13) spoils the scale invariance the unrenormalized effective action was proclaimed to preserve! This is not a surprise, since the pure gravity term (in terms of metric $\gamma^{mn}$, not the world-sheet metric $g^{mn}$) is the usual 2D gravity effective action, which is known to have a conformal anomaly after renormalization. We discuss these difficulties in the next section, and show that one must include the Liouville mode to restore covariance.

5 Renormalization

The appearance of a scale anomaly in the “$B$” term is clear, since it has the same form as the usual gravitational effective action except for the replacement of $g$ with $\gamma$. The unrenormalized effective action is locally scale invariant by construction, but the infinite, local counterterm breaks the invariance, leaving the renormalized effective action (their difference) anomalous. The origin of the anomaly in the “$A$” term is even simpler: It works in the same way as the scale anomaly for massless matter fields. (In this case, the analog is $x_5$.) At $D = 2 + 2\epsilon$ under scaling that term in (11) becomes

$$- \sqrt{\gamma} A x_5^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{\epsilon} (1 - 2\epsilon \log \rho) + \log(-\rho^2 \Box_{\gamma}) \right] \Box_{\gamma} x_5$$

which is indeed invariant. Note the second term comes from the $\epsilon$ piece in $\sqrt{\gamma} = \sqrt{\rho}^{2-2\epsilon}$, which is not present in $D=2$.

However, unlike the usual scale anomaly for $g$, which re-introduces the scale of the metric as a physical Liouville mode, the scale anomaly for $\gamma$ is a fiction, since $\gamma$ was introduced only as a change of variables from $\tau$. This second anomaly can be avoided by using the original Liouville mode of $g$ in its place.

The procedure is to scale $\gamma$ by a quantity that will eliminate its anomaly while preserving all physical properties. As seen above, since the unrenormalized effective action is scale invariant, the only effect will be to add a finite, local counterterm to the renormalized effective action.

A similar problem appears in the expression (9) for the two-point function in an arbitrary constant background: There, instead of $\gamma$ we find $\tau$, which has instead the problem that it breaks coordinate invariance because $\tau^{mn}$ is a density. But $\tau$ is a scaling of $\gamma$, so the solution is the same. (In fact, we already needed finite counterterms to relate (9) to (13).)
Thus the conditions the argument of the log should satisfy with the scaled version of $\gamma$ (or $\tau$) are: (1) dependence on $\gamma$ only through $\tau$ (i.e., $\gamma$-scale invariance), (2) degree zero in $\tau$ (since it counts the number of loops), or equivalently space-time dimensionlessness (since only $\tau$ and $x$ carry this dimension), and (3) coordinate covariance, or equivalently world-sheet dimensionlessness (global scale invariance is a particular coordinate transformation).

Since $\gamma^{mn}$ is itself a scaling of $\tau^{mn}$, the only available quantities with which to scale $\tau^{mn}$ are the determinants of $\tau^{mn}$ and $g^{mn}$, thus satisfying condition (1). Since the determinant of $g$ is required, its Liouville mode is necessarily introduced. Condition (2) is then satisfied by multiplying $\tau^{mn}$ by an appropriate power of its determinant, while (3) is satisfied by multiplying by an appropriate power of $g$’s determinant. This procedure also results in a rescaling of $x_5$, as easily obtained by noting that $\tau$, as expressed in terms of $\gamma$ and $x_5$, is invariant under a rescaling of $\gamma$ and $x_5$ by definition. The result in arbitrary dimensions is then

$$
\gamma^{mn} \rightarrow \frac{\tau^{mn}}{(\sqrt{\tau \sqrt{-g}})^{2/D}}, \quad x_5 \rightarrow \sqrt{\tau} \frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{-g} m^2}}{D}
$$

Note that now $\sqrt{\gamma} = \sqrt{-g}$, so we have effectively separated the determinant of $\tau^{mn}$ and its unit-determinant part into $x_5$ and $\gamma^{mn}$.

This substitution can be applied to fix the unrenormalized effective action (13), but it’s simpler to apply directly to the renormalized one, since its net affect is just the addition of finite counterterms to restore the above properties. Then the final result for covariantly renormalizing (13) is

$$
\Gamma_R[\tau] = \sqrt{\gamma} \left[ -2x_5^{-1} \log \left( -\frac{\Box_5}{m^2} \right) \Box_5 x_5 + \frac{1}{6} R_5 \frac{1}{\Box_5} R_5 \right] \quad (15)
$$

where $m$ is the renormalization scale and

$$
x_5 = \tau^{-1/4}, \quad \gamma^{mn} \rightarrow \frac{\tau^{mn}}{\sqrt{\tau \sqrt{-g}}}
$$

or we can simply treat $x_5$ and $\gamma^{mn}$ as new fields replacing $\tau^{mn}$, with the constraint

$$
\sqrt{\gamma} = \sqrt{-g}
$$

The final renormalized action written in the component fields is

$$
L = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\gamma} \gamma^{mn} \partial_m x_i \partial_n x^i + 2 \sqrt{\gamma} \gamma^{mn} \partial_m x_5 \partial_n x_5 \left( x_5 \right)^2 + \lambda \tau^{mn} g_{mn} + L_g \quad (16)
$$
(or we can replace $\lambda^{mn} g_{mn}$ with $\lambda^{mn} g_{mn}$), where $r^2$ corresponds to the log term in $\Gamma_R$, and the renormalization-invariant mass scale resulting from dimensional trans-mutation is

$$M^2 = m^2 e^{-r^2/4}$$

so that the coupling $1/r^2$ is asymptotically free. (There could also be an $R\gamma$ term, but it’s topological and hence the same as an addition to the $R$ term in $L_g$.) At this point the only breaking of global scale invariance in the effective action is through the log term, with its scale $M^2$, and the cosmological term, with its scale $\Lambda$. Thus, the Liouville mode can always be redefined by a constant scale so that these constants appear only through the combination $\Lambda/M^2$, which gives the coupling of the parton theory. (In fact, without this quantum effect, $\Lambda$ could be scaled away.)

One can choose the coordinate gauge $\sqrt{\gamma^{mn}} = \delta^{mn}$; then the Lagrange multiplier enforces the constraint $\delta^{mn} g_{mn} = 0$, leaving only two components in the world-sheet metric: One will be the Liouville mode, contributing a factor of $\sqrt{g}$ that sets the scale for the running.

6 AdS$_5$ geometry

The first term in (16) looks like the metric for AdS$_5$. Indeed the AdS$_5$ metric

$$ds^2 = r^2 (dx_a)^2 + (dx_5)^2$$

(17)

can be transformed into that of (16) by the rescaling $x_5 = x'_5 r^2$ so that the metric is

$$ds^2 = \frac{(dx_a)^2 + r^2 (dx'_5)^2}{(x'_5)^2}$$

(18)

In [9] one considers the classical Type IIB string propagating in $AdS_5 \otimes S^5$ background in the zero-radius limit, that is, with the metric in (18) and taking the $r \to 0$ limit, which becomes the projective light-cone. It was shown in that limit the $S^5$ shrinks to zero and the fifth dimension of the $AdS_5$ becomes non-dynamic. Taking the random lattice approach the fifth dimension becomes a Schwinger parameter and the world-sheet has a natural interpretation as a planar Feynman diagram. (In the bosonic case, it is a diagram of massless wrong-sign $\phi^4$ theory.) The coupling constant of the field theory was identified as $r \sim N g^2$; therefore, this limit corresponds to weak coupling of the field theory.

Here we consider quantum corrections to the (bosonic) continuum world-sheet theory, corresponding to performing loop-momentum (but not Schwinger parameter)
integration in the Feynman diagrams of the field theory. (This is the usual first step in evaluating diagrams.) We see that the Schwinger parameter generates the fifth dimension, and the $AdS_5$ metric arises. The radius $r$, or the coupling constant for the field theory, runs in energy above some scale $M$ set by the Liouville field. Recalling the underlying parton theory is wrong-sign $\phi^4$, which is asymptotically free, in the high-energy limit the theory is at weak coupling. This is reflected in the fact that $r \to 0$ at high energies, and we are forced onto the projective light-cone of the original geometry. On the other hand, as the energy approaches the scale $M$, $r$ becomes large and the perturbative picture is no longer valid. This is in contrast to earlier effective string theories derived from four-dimensional field theories such as Abelian Higgs models [12]. In these theories one expands around a classical long string configuration; then the conformal anomaly can be expanded in inverse powers of string length. In the infinite length limit the theory is perfectly conformally invariant at the quantum level. In our QCD-like string the emergence of a string in $AdS_5$ is really a weak-coupling duality in spirit closer to discussions of string bits, where the correspondence of perturbative $N=4$ SYM (the limit of vanishing 't Hooft coupling) and tensionless IIB string is examined.

Note that, since the AdS radius $r$ is really a log, some of the isometry of the usual $AdS_5$ metric is broken. One can see that the transformations that mix $x_5$ with $x_a$ (these are the conformal boosts) no longer preserve the action. This is not a surprise since the underlying $\phi^4$ is not strictly conformal due to the running of the coupling.

An interesting extension of this is the twistor string. In [13] it was shown that twistor strings are dual to perturbative $N=4$ SYM in 4 dimensions at least at tree level. It would seem to imply that the twistor string is somehow related to the usual type IIB string in the large $N$ limit where the closed-string coupling is suppressed. If one tries to extract perturbative $N=4$ SYM from the AdS/CFT correspondence, it should correspond to a classical string (no closed string coupling) in the $r^2/\alpha' \to 0$ limit. This limit can be taken in two ways: $r^2 \to 0$ or $\alpha' \to \infty$. The first limit is the limit taken in [9], and explicit calculation of the partition function on both sides seems to agree in this limit [14]. The second is taking a tensionless limit. In [15], it was shown that the bosonic part of the ADHM twistor string is really the tensionless limit of the QCD-like string in [7]. Thus, all this seems to say that classically the twistor string is the tensionless limit of the type IIB string. Of course this discussion is really in the framework of the bosonic part; combining with the fermionic part one encounters the difficulty of rewriting second-class constraints in terms of first-class (perhaps by introducing new gauge symmetry) and consistently reducing the number
of $\kappa$ symmetries [16].
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