Post-discharge treatment of air effluents polluted by butyl-mercaptan: role of nitrate radical
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Abstract. Dry air polluted by butyl-mercaptan was treated in a Dielectric Barrier Discharge (DBD) reactor at atmospheric pressure using air as plasmagene gas in discharge and post-discharge modes. The energy density was varied between 200 to 1300 J/L. To assess the treatment efficiency, the concentrations of butyl-mercaptan, total Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) and SO₂ were determined in the exhaust gas. Whatever the energy density was, the treatment efficiency was better in post-discharge mode. The butyl-mercaptan could be completely eliminated from 400 J/L and SO₂ selectivity was always low, below 10%. Measurements of CO, CO₂ and total VOCs indicated that 50 to 70% of the reaction products were condensed on the reactor wall in the form of micro-droplets, depending on the energy density. FTIR and XPS techniques were used to characterize the reaction products which were soluble in water. These analyses indicated that the reaction products contain oxygen, nitrogen and sulphur in an oxidized form. A reaction mechanism involving hydrogen abstraction from the -SH bond by the nitrate radical was proposed, pointing out the important role of nitrate radicals NO₃ in the reactivity of air flowing post-discharge.

1. Introduction

The mercaptans are sulfur-containing organic compounds with the general formula R-SH. They belong to the class of chemical pollutants called “Volatile Organic Compounds” (VOCs) if their vapour pressure is higher than 0.1 mmHg. These compounds are toxic and malodorous, they also represent danger for human health and ecosystem. It is thus of great importance to fight against this environmental pollution. The conventional oxidation techniques such as thermal or catalytic oxidation are not satisfactory because they require a lot of energy considering the very high conditions of temperature [1]. In the case of catalytic oxidation, the poisoning of catalyst by mercaptans is an additional problem [2]. It is therefore necessary to develop more effective technologies for the elimination of mercaptans in gaseous effluents.

Technologies based on the use of non-thermal plasma generated at atmospheric pressure are considered as relevant alternatives for the treatment of VOCs [3]. The advantage of plasma consists in its ability to generate high-energy electrons while keeping the background gas close to room temperature. Thus, a highly reactive environment is created without spending energy on gas heating as in thermal processes. Moreover, plasma techniques are flexible and easy to be implemented. Nowadays, most studies focus on processes combining plasma and heterogeneous catalysis with the
Objective to oxidise completely the pollutants into CO₂ with an acceptable energetic cost [4, 5]. However, such processes may not be adapted with mercaptans because of catalyst poisoning, which is the most crucial problem.

Consequently, our research team has developed an original process which has already been tested successfully with beta-pinene as a model pollutant [6]. In this process, the active species of the plasma are used to modify chemically the pollutants by partial oxidation reactions. As a result, the molecules become more polar and less volatile and in a second step, they can be trapped easily using techniques such as condensation, absorption or adsorption. With this strategy, the energy required is far lower that the energy needed for complete oxidation.

In this article the results obtained when air polluted by mercaptans is treated in discharge and post-discharge modes with the active species produced by non-thermal plasma generated at room temperature and atmospheric pressure with a Dielectric Barrier Discharge (DBD) are presented. The model sulfur molecule is butyl-mercaptan (C₄H₉-SH). To determine the treatment efficiency, the butyl-mercaptan concentration and the total VOC concentration in the exhaust gas phase have been measured. Advanced analysis techniques such as gas chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry (GC/MS) and X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) have been also carried out to characterize the oxidation products. Special attention was dedicated to the comparison of discharge and post-discharge modes in order to (i) validate the best configuration and (ii) bring useful information on the species involved in the reactions.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Experimental set-up

Plasma treatment is operated in the DBD reactor as presented in figure 1. The reactor is constituted by a 190 mm long and 2 mm thick glass tube with an internal diameter of 29 mm. The stainless steel high voltage electrode is a 4 mm thick and 25 mm diameter disc. The space between high voltage electrode and the glass tube used as dielectric material is 2 mm. A wire sheet (20 mm width and 2 mm thick) wrapped around the tube constitutes the ground electrode. A high voltage generator supplies from 5 to 30 kV peak to peak (Uₚkpk) alternative voltage. The voltage and the current are measured by a LeCroy LT 342, 500 MHz digital oscilloscope. In the conditions of the experiments, the discharge is filamentary. The discharge power P was calculated by the integration on two entire periods of instant applied voltage and instant discharge current. The energy density DE (J/L) was defined as the ratio P/Q where Q is the gas flow (L/s) through the discharge. During the experiments, the discharge power was varied from 2 to 24 watts (DE from 100 to 1200 J/L).

1.2 L/min of pure air is injected at the gas inlet A to flow between the two electrodes. The synthetic polluted gaseous effluent containing 36 000 ppmv of butyl-mercaptan is produced by bubbling 40 ml/min of air in liquid butyl-mercaptan. This polluted air flow is either premixed with pure air and injected at the gas inlet A (“discharge” mode) or injected after the discharge zone at the gas inlet B (“post-discharge” mode). The distance of the gas inlet B from the electrode is 10 mm. The air flow carrying the pollutant is low compared to the pure air flow so that the gas flow through the discharge could be considered identical whatever the location of pollutant injection is.
2.2. Analytical methods

Two types of analyses were carried out during the study: the analyses of the exhaust gas phase and the analyses of the products condensed on the reactor wall.

2.2.1. Analyses of exhaust gas

Gaseous samples were taken at the exit of the plasma reactor and injected in a gas chromatograph (Varian STAR, 3400Cx) equipped by a Flame Ionization Detector (FID). The injector and detector temperatures were set at 250 °C and high-purity He gas was used as the carrier gas. To determine the pollutant concentration, a capillary non polar column (BPX5, SGE) was used. To measure the concentration in total Volatile Organic Compounds (total VOCs), a short silica capillary (length = 10 cm) heated at 150°C and connected directly from the injector to the detector was used. In this case, the organic compounds are burned together in the flame (no separation) and one peak corresponding to the sum of the atoms of organic carbon present in the sample is obtained.

The concentration of Sulphur Dioxyde (SO₂) was determined according to the protocol of standard NF X43-013. In this method, the SO₂ contained in the exhaust gas is absorbed in a solution containing H₂O₂ and dioxane to be converted into sulphate ions SO₄²⁻. The sulphate ions are then measured by a spectrophotometric method using thorin.

2.2.1. Characterization of oxidation products condensed on the reactor wall

During the experiment, the formation on the reactor wall of a white deposit consisting of micro droplets was observed. For FTIR analysis, the deposit was recovered in CH₂Cl₂ and directly analysed. X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were carried out to characterize the chemical composition of the oxidation products and the electronic character of sulphur element. In this method, a thin film of pure Polyethylene (PE) is pasted on the upper part of the reactor interior wall. The plasma treatment is then operated during 15 minutes, allowing the surface of the PE film to be covered by the treatment products. Finally, the PE film is removed and XPS analysis of its surface is carried out (XPS PHI 5600-ci, Physical Electronics, Eden Prairie, MN, USA) with Al Ka (1486.6 eV) source for spectrums on survey scan (chemical compositions) and Mg Ka (1253.6 eV) source for high resolution spectrums. The spectrometer was run between 0 and 1400 eV during 8 min for survey.
spectrums. High resolution spectrums on carbon (C) and sulfur (S) were also conducted using 10 and 20 scans respectively. A correction for binding energy was made to account for sample charging based on the C1s peak at 285.0 eV. The S2p peak curve was resolved using mixed Lorentzian-Gaussian line shape.

3. Experimental results

3.1. Air treatment efficiency

3.1.1. Butyl-mercaptan and VOCs removal efficiencies

The concentrations of butyl-mercaptan in the exhaust gas were measured for treatments carried out in discharge and post-discharge modes at different energy densities. The figure 3 shows the butyl-mercaptan removal as function of the applied energy density for the two configurations.

![Figure 3. Butyl-mercaptan removal vs energy density in discharge and post-discharge](image)

The butyl-mercaptan removal increases logically when the energy injected per liter of gas increases, indicating that the number of active species which can react with butyl-mercaptan increase when the energy density increases. Surprisingly, for a given energy density, the removal is slightly higher in the post-discharge mode compared to the discharge mode. For example, at 450 J/L, the removal is 100% in post-discharge mode but only 73% in discharge mode. In post-discharge mode, only the long-life active species produced by the discharge can react with the target pollutant whereas in discharge mode, the short-life species such as atomic oxygen can also participate to the oxidation reactions. The respective roles of short-life and long-life active species will be discussed in section 4.

The total VOCs concentrations in the gas exiting the reactor were also determined for different energy densities. Useful information concerning the fate of the reaction products can be obtained when measuring total VOCs concentration. In our experimental conditions, no CO or CO₂ was measured indicating that the oxidation of the pollutant was not complete. In this case, if the oxidation products are volatile enough to remain in the gaseous state, the total VOCs concentration should remain unchanged. On the contrary, if the volatility of the oxidation products is low enough so that they can
condense on the reactor wall, the total VOCs concentration should decrease. The figure 4 presents the removal of total VOCs defined as 

\[
\text{VOCs}_{\text{total}}^{\text{discharge off}} - \text{VOCs}_{\text{total}}^{\text{discharge on}}
\]

as function of the energy density.

![Bar chart showing relative concentrations of total VOCs as function of energy density](chart.png)

**Figure 4.** Relative concentrations of total VOCs as function of energy density

The removals of total VOCs are not equal to zero, which indicates that some of the carbonaceous products formed by the reaction of the butyl-mercaptan with the active species are no longer gaseous. These compounds are probably responsible for the formation of droplets on the reactor walls. In fact, the formation of a white deposit consisting of micro droplets in the upper part of the reactor wall was observed during the experiments. The reaction between butyl-mercaptan and plasma species is then responsible of the formation of products which are spontaneously trapped on the wall of the reactor. With these measurements, the efficiency of trapping was calculated. The trapping efficiency is the amount of organic carbon contained in the reaction products condensed on the reactor walls divided by the total amount of organic carbon contained in all the reaction products. It can be calculated by the following expression:

\[
\text{Trapping efficiency} = \left[\frac{\text{VOCs}_{\text{total}}^{\text{discharge off}}}{\text{VOCs}_{\text{total}}^{\text{discharge on}}}ight] \times (1 - \tau_{\text{butanethiol}})
\]

Where \(\tau_{\text{butanethiol}}\) is the butyl-mercaptan removal. The variation of the trapping efficiency as function of the energy density is represented in table 1.

| Energy density (J/L) | Trapping efficiency |
|----------------------|---------------------|
| 200 J/L              | 15                  |
| 650 J/L              | 57                  |
| 1300 J/L             | 75                  |

**Table 1.** Trapping efficiency

The trapping efficiency is about 50% for low (200 J/L) and medium (650 J/L) energy densities. For 1300 J/L, a higher value of 70% is obtained. At high energy density, the higher amount of active species compared to the amount of pollutant may allow the formation of more condensable products.
3.1.2. SO2 formation
The sulfur dioxide SO2 was measured in the exhaust gas and the SO2 selectivity was calculated. It is defined as the ratio between the number of moles of SO2 that have been formed \( n_{\text{SO}_2} \) and the number of moles of butyl-mercaptan that have reacted \( n_{\text{butyl-mercaptan}} \):

\[
\text{SO}_2 \text{ selectivity} = \frac{n_{\text{SO}_2}}{n_{\text{butyl-mercaptan}}} = \frac{[\text{SO}_2]_{\text{out}}}{[\text{Butyl-mercaptan}]_{\text{in}} - [\text{butyl-mercaptan}]_{\text{out}}}
\]

Where \([\text{SO}_2]_{\text{out}}\) is the molar concentration of SO2 at the reactor outlet, and \([\text{butyl-mercaptan}]_{\text{in}}\) and \([\text{butyl-mercaptan}]_{\text{out}}\) the molar concentrations of butyl-mercaptan at the inlet and at the outlet of the reactor. Table 2 shows the SO2 selectivity for each energy density applied.

### Table 2. SO2 selectivity as function of energy density

|               | 200 J/L | 650 J/L | 1300 J/L |
|---------------|---------|---------|----------|
| Discharge mode| 9       | 11      | 37       |
| Post-discharge mode| 4       | 4       | 10       |

Whatever the energy density, the SO2 selectivity is low (\(< 10\%\)) what shows that the butyl-mercaptan is only partially oxidised. The SO2 selectivity remains almost constant between 200 and 650 J/L with a value of about 3\% then increases abruptly to reach 9\% at 1300 J/L.

3.2. Characterization of the oxidation products condensed on the reactor wall
Two different techniques were used to characterize the oxidation products trapped on the reactor wall. Whatever the technique used, there was no significant differences between the two configurations. Consequently, only the results obtained in post-discharge mode are presented.

3.2.1. FTIR analysis
FTIR analyses were carried out to obtain structural information about the oxidation products trapped on the reactor wall. On the spectrum presented in figure 5, the presence of C-H bonds is shown by the three bands at 2874, 2930 and 2960 cm\(^{-1}\) and the band at 1466 cm\(^{-1}\). The broad absorption band around 3300 cm\(^{-1}\) may correspond to the OH function of a carboxylic acid. At 1735 cm\(^{-1}\), the characteristic band of C=O function is detected. In the presence of ester compounds, a strong C-O absorption band is detected around 1250-1150 cm\(^{-1}\). The bands around 1200 cm\(^{-1}\) may indicate that the deposit contains ester compounds. On the spectrum, a strong band is visible at 1040 cm\(^{-1}\). This band may be attributed to the C-O bond in a carboxylic acid or an ester, but in this case its intensity is low compared to the two other vibrations observed at 1735 and 1250-1150 cm\(^{-1}\). We can therefore assume that another chemical group is at the origin of this band. This band could be due to oxygenated sulfur compounds such as sulfoxides \( \text{RS(=O)-R'} \) (strong absorption between 1030 and 1070 cm\(^{-1}\)) or sulfonate ion (1055 and 1175 cm\(^{-1}\)). Some bands characteristic of other oxygenated sulfur compounds are also visible. The bands at 1130 and 1320 cm\(^{-1}\) may be due to sulfones \( \text{RS(=O)_2-R'} \). Similarly, the bands at 1180 and 1380 cm\(^{-1}\) can be attributed to compounds of type \( \text{RS(=O)_2-OR'} \). Finally, the two intense bands at 1630 and 1280 cm\(^{-1}\) correspond to the vibration of the NO\(_2\) group in an organic nitrate RO-NO\(_2\).
3.2.2. XPS analysis

A survey scan was first carried out to obtain the elemental composition of the surface of the polyethylene film covered by the oxidation products. The composition was as follows: carbon 83.3%, oxygen 11.2%, nitrogen 3.6% and sulfur 3%. These values do not represent the average chemical composition of the oxidation products because the PE was only partially covered. However, these results confirm the presence of nitrogen and sulfur in the oxidation products. In a second step, high resolution XPS measurements were carried out to obtain more information on the chemical bonding of the sulphur element in the oxidation products. The deconvolution of the XPS spectrum of sulphur S$^{2p}$ presented in figure 6 gives two chemical states of sulphur with two peaks at 169.84 eV and 168.54 eV. The full width at half maximum (FWHM) was fixed at 1.95 eV [7]. These binding energies correspond to a high degree of oxidation of sulphur. The functions proposed for a binding energy greater than 168 eV are in increasing order of binding energy: R-SO$_3^-$, R-SO$_2$-SR', R-SO$_2$-OR', SO$_4^{2-}$, SO$_3$-ROO and R-SO$_2$-OH [8].
4. Discussion

The experimental results indicate that the long-life species play a major role in the oxidation of the butyl-mercaptan. In fact, the results obtained in the discharge and post-discharge modes are roughly the same in terms of butyl-mercaptan removal, total VOCs removal, SO₂ formation, and nature of oxidation products.

The long-life species produced by an atmospheric plasma discharge in air have been characterized by Eliasson and Kogelschatz [9]. A single microdischarge in air with a short current pulse of 10 ns duration deposits energy in various excited levels of N₂ and O₂, some of which lead to dissociation and finally to the formation of ozone and different nitrogen oxides. After about 50 ns, most charge carriers have disappeared and after 10 ms, only long-life species such as O₃, N₂O, NO, NO₂, NO₃ and N₂O₅ remain. At low energy density, the concentrations of NO₂, NO₃ and N₂O₅ are low compared to the concentration of O₃. On the opposite, at high energy density, the concentration of O₃ becomes negligible compared to the concentrations of nitrogen species.

Information about reactivity of the long-life species with mercaptans can be found in the literature dealing with atmospheric chemistry [10]. Among the long-life species produced by the atmospheric air discharge, only the nitrate radical NO₃ can react with mercaptans. The kinetic constants of the second-order reactions between NO₃ and ethyl-mercaptan C₂H₅-SH and methyl-mercaptan CH₃-SH are respectively 1.2E-12 [10] and 9.2E-13 [11] cm³.molecule⁻¹.s⁻¹ at 298 K and the reaction mechanism involves hydrogen abstraction from the -SH bond by the nitrate radical with formation of a radical R-S° and nitric acid HNO₃ [12]:

\[
R-\text{SH} + \text{NO}_3 \rightarrow R-S^° + \text{HNO}_3
\]

The radical R-S° can then undergo multiple reactions due to reactions with molecular oxygen and/or nitrogen oxides. Basing on the mechanism proposed by Jensen et al.[12], the scheme presented in figure 7 can be suggested for the destruction of mercaptans:

![Figure 7](image-url)
According to this reaction scheme, the final reaction products are butanesulfonic acid \( R-S(\equiv O)_{2}\cdot OH \), aldehydes, butyl nitrate \( R-O-NO_{2} \), and \( SO_{2} \). In the conditions of the present study, the concentration of butyl-mercaptan is high and recombination reactions between radicals (\( RS^{o}, RSO^{o}, RSO_{2}^{o} \ldots \)) are also likely to occur with production of high molecular weight compounds.

This mechanism is coherent with the FTIR and XPS analyses presented in 3.2. FTIR analyses indicated the presence of organic compounds with \( S-O \) or \( S=O \) functions, aldehyde and/or ester functions as well as \( -O-NO_{2} \) groups and XPS analyses highlighted the high content of sulphur and nitrogen in the reaction products as well as the high oxidation state of sulphur.

It can be noted that sulfonic acids and high molecular weight compounds are likely to condense easily either in a homogeneous way or in a heterogeneous way. This explains the condensation as micro-droplets on the reactor wall [13].

The crucial role of long-life species brings also fundamental information on the phenomena taking place in discharge mode. In air at atmospheric pressure, the electrical discharge is filamentary. In the gaseous gap between the two electrodes, we can distinguish two regions [14]: the filamentary volumes where discharge takes place (“discharge” region) and the region outside these volumes (“post-discharge” region) (figure 8). Each time the discharge takes place, electrons and active species such as ions, radicals and excited species are generated in the filamentary volumes. They recombine rapidly into more stable species and can further diffuse in the post-discharge region. The short life duration of radicals such as atomic oxygen \( O^{o} \) makes that in the post-discharge region, only the molecules in the close vicinity of the filaments are likely to react with them. As a consequence, if the ratio (filamentary volume/total volume) is low, the reactions involve mainly the long-life species. In the conditions of the present study, it can be therefore supposed that the (filamentary volume)/(total volume) ratio is low.

The experimental results have also shown that for a given energy density, the removal of butyl-mercaptan is slightly higher in the post-discharge mode compared to the discharge mode. To explain this result, we can introduce the yield of the oxidation of butyl-mercaptan defined as follows:

\[
\text{Yield} = \frac{R - SH \text{ removed by the discharge}}{O^{o} \text{ formed by the discharge}}
\]

In the post-discharge mode, the oxygen radicals in the filamentary region are converted partly in \( NO_{x}, NO_{2} \) and \( NO_{3} \). The \( NO_{3} \) radicals can react then further in post-discharge region with butyl-mercaptan according to (1). This reaction is selective because the rate constants of \( NO_{3} \) radicals toward oxidation products such as aldehydes, sulfonic acids or \( R-O-NO_{2} \) are low compared to the rate constant toward butyl-mercaptan. As a result, a high yield is obtained.
In the discharge mode, some oxygen radicals are converted in NO and NO\textsubscript{2} and NO\textsubscript{3} as previously mentioned, whereas other ones react directly not only with butyl-mercaptan but also with its oxidation products. In fact, O\textsuperscript{°} radicals are nonselective toward organic species. As a consequence, in discharge mode, less NO\textsubscript{3} is produced and butyl-mercaptan is oxidised with a low yield in the filamentary region, which explains why butyl-mercaptan removal is slightly higher in the post-discharge mode compared to the discharge mode.

5. Conclusion
In this work, air polluted with butyl-mercaptan was treated with non-thermal DBD plasma in discharge and post-discharge modes. In the two modes, the oxidation reactions took place mainly in post-discharge region and the nitrate radicals played a crucial role in the oxidation of butyl-mercaptan. Spontaneous condensation of the oxidation products on the reactor wall was observed, which indicates that the plasma treatment could be used as a pre-treatment to modify the properties of the mercaptans so that they can be trapped further easily using techniques such as condensation, absorption or adsorption. Finally, the results show that if the plasma treatment is carried out with the objective to oxidise partially the mercaptans, the post-discharge mode is the best configuration.
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