‘Fractional Recovery’ Analysis of a Presynaptic Synaptotagmin 1-Anchored Endocytic Protein Complex
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Background. The integral synaptic vesicle protein and putative calcium sensor, synaptotagmin 1 (STG), has also been implicated in synaptic vesicle (SV) recovery. However, proteins with which STG interacts during SV endocytosis remain poorly understood. We have isolated an STG-associated endocytic complex (SAE) from presynaptic nerve terminals and have used a novel fractional recovery (FR) assay based on electrostatic dissociation to identify SAE components and map the complex structure. The location of SAE in the presynaptic terminal was determined by high-resolution quantitative immunocytochemistry at the chick ciliary ganglion giant calyx-type synapse.

INTRODUCTION

Transmitter release is triggered by the influx of calcium ions through local calcium channels[1], which bind to a calcium sensor on the transmitter release site and gate the fusion of the docked synaptic vesicles (SV) with the surface membrane. After discharge of the vesicle contents, the SV is recovered by a clathrin-dependent endocytosis mechanism[2–4]. Coat formation, cargo capture, vesicle budding and dynamin-dependent scission involve a large number of proteins and protein interactions (see[5,6] for review). Synaptotagmin 1 (STG) is best known as the putative calcium ion sensor in the triggering of SV fusion. Interestingly, however, this protein has also been implicated as a regulator of endocytosis and interference with STG markedly slows the rate of vesicle recovery[7–11]. Recent observations demonstrate that STG remains clustered even after the exocytic vesicle has fused,[12] and suggests that the protein is a component of a relatively stable molecular complex associated with endocytosis. Our objective was to analyze this STG-endocytosis-related complex.

Our first observation was the STG co-precipitates from purified synapticosome lysate with a number of endocytosis related proteins, including the clathrin coat proteins, heavy and light chain clathrin (H-clathrin; L-clathrin) and dynamin, adaptor protein such as intersectin and AP180. We hypothesized bridging proteins by a search of the literature for proteins known to bind the two proteins on either side of each gap. Some of the proteins that abut in this model were previously described binding partners but others were not. We hypothesized bridging proteins by a search of the literature for proteins known to bind the two proteins on either side of each gap.

We reasoned that we could gain further insight into the substructure of a multi-molecular complex by deriving the FR sequence based on a second IP-protein from the same complex. We then used these two FR sequences as coordinates to fix the location of each protein in the complex.

The SAE was examined using four different FR sequence pairs and the resulting complex structures were compared to derive a consensus model. Some of the proteins that abut in this model were previously described binding partners but others were not. We hypothesized bridging proteins by a search of the literature for proteins known to bind the two proteins on either side of each gap. The location of each protein in the complex.

FR values, the FR sequence, provides a clue to the interrelationship between the individual members of a multi-molecular complex. We reasoned that we could gain further insight into the substructure of a multi-molecular complex by deriving the FR sequence based on a second IP-protein from the same complex.

Conclusions/Significance. We hypothesize that the SAE serves as a recognition site and also as a seed complex for clathrin-mediated synaptic vesicle recovery. The combination of FR analysis with quantitative immunocytochemistry provides a novel and effective strategy for the identification and characterization of biologically-relevant multi-molecular complexes.
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We then carried out additional FR experiments to test putative bridging proteins.

Our analysis generated a blueprint of a novel multi-protein complex with an endocytosis adaptor-protein core and coat-protein periphery. Quantitative immunocytchemistry (ICA/ICQ method, [13]) was used to determine the sub-cellular location of the complex within a native presynaptic terminal. The SAE was localized to the transmitter release face region, consistent with a role in secretory vesicle recycling.

RESULTS
Synaptotagmin co-precipitates with endocytotic proteins from purified synaptosome lysate

Synaptosomes were prepared from 2–10 day rats and were solubilized in a standard detergent-containing lysis buffer at a physiological ionic strength of 150 mM. We used standard co-immunoprecipitation to test for an STG endocytosis complex. Immunoprecipitation of a range of endocytosis-related proteins, including adaptors such as AP180, intersectin and AP2 (α-adaptin), the coat proteins H- and L-clathrin and the scission protein dynamin co-precipitate with STG (Fig. 1A, 2B). However, immunoprecipitation alone gives little information with respect to the strength of these associations or the proximity of each IP-protein to STG, the co-IP protein. In order to obtain more information we stressed the electrostatic interactions within the complex by exposing it to buffers containing elevated salt. We tested two salt concentrations, 650 and 1150 mM, and compared these to a 150 mM control. Exposure of the immobilized complex to 1150 mM salt for 15 minutes was selected as an effective and experimentally repeatable (Fig. 1B–D) assay protocol that liberated a significant fraction of the protein members (Fig. 2, 3). The 650 mM salt served as a control for non-specific or very weakly bound components: only proteins with >40% mean retention at this ionic strength were included in the complex. Thus, each immunoprecipitation experiment was split into three identical samples which were treated for 15 minutes in 150, 650 and 1150 mM salt. Proteins that remained bound to the precipitation beads were eluted by boiling in a denaturing buffer and were assayed by immunoblotting and densitometry (see Supporting Information Figs S1A, B). A fractional recovery (FR) value was defined as the ratio of protein recovered after exposure to the highest salt [1150 mM] concentration divided by that at 150 mM. Not counting controls, data from over 240 individual immunoprecipitation experiments, each employing a three-salt paradigm, were carried out in this study.

Figure 1B–D illustrates the method. STG (the IP-protein) was immunoprecipitated from the lysate and we probed for L-clathrin (a co-IP-protein). L-clathrin bands were detected in the Western blot after all three salt treatments (Fig. 1B). This method was found to be repeatable (e.g. Fig. 1C) and precipitations were reciprocal (Figs 1B–D, Supporting Information, Figure S1) while IgG controls were negative or very faint (Figs 1B, D). Immunoprecipitation of H-clathrin or STG both captured a range of endocytosis-related proteins (Fig. 2A, B; left lanes). These findings support the presence in the lysate of a multi-protein complex, the SAE complex, but yield little information as to its molecular organization. However, we argue that the sequence of co-IP-protein FR values with a given IP-protein is an indicator of the internal structure of the complex relative to that IP-protein (see below).

FR values
We carried out a full set of salt sensitivity experiments on four immunoprecipitated complex components: STG, H-clathrin, dynamin and AP180. Each IP-protein was tested two salt concentrations, 650 and 1150 mM, and compared to the 150 mM control. Exposure of the immobilized complex to 1150 mM salt for 15 minutes was selected as an effective and experimentally repeatable (Fig. 1B–D) assay protocol that liberated a significant fraction of the protein members (Fig. 2, 3). The 650 mM salt served as a control for non-specific or very weakly bound components: only proteins with >40% mean retention at this ionic strength were included in the complex. Thus, each immunoprecipitation experiment was split into three equal aliquots. Each aliquot was exposed to one of three buffers with different salt concentrations before elution of the remaining bead-attached proteins and analysis by Western blot. In each case we first tested the FR value of the IP-protein itself in order to ensure that the high salt did not simply liberate the antibody from the bead or the IP-protein from the antibody. >75% recovery was obtained in all four cases (Fig. 3A–D). The FR values for each of the co-IP-
proteins (Fig. 2A–D) were normalized to that for the IP-protein. Thus, we generated sets of FR values for each IP-protein for which we had a reliable antibody. We did not have antibodies that can distinguish between the two S- and L- variants of intersectin, precluding the experimental determination of their respective FR value sets. However, FR values were reciprocal, that is the FR value of co-IP-protein A with IP-protein B was in essence the same as that for co-IP-protein B with IP-protein A (Fig. 1B–D; Supporting Fig. S1C). Thus, we generated FR value sets for the two intersectin variants by compiling their respective proteolytic fragment, as reported previously.[31] Other details as in Figure 1.

Figure 2. Endocytosis-related proteins co-precipitate from rat brain synaptosomes and co-precipitated protein recovery is ionic strength-sensitive. Proteins immunoprecipitated with H-clathrin (left panel) or STG (right panel) from rat synaptosome lysate after exposure to the three salt buffers. Each panel shows bands that correspond in molecular weight to the labeled protein recovered after the three salt treatments (see Fig. 1 legend). For example, comparing the 1150 mM salt-treated to the control, 150 mM salt-treated, there was a high recovery of H-clathrin, a moderate recovery of L-clathrin or 5-intersectin and a low recovery of dynamin. The asterisk denotes an intermediate intersectin proteolytic fragment, as reported previously.[31] Other details as in Figure 1.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000067.g002

Analysis of complex organization by FR values
i. FR values reflect sequence of proteins in the complex
The diagram in Fig. 3F depicts the degree to which high salt displaced the members of the protein complex from the IP-protein, in this case H-clathrin. This diagram is purely descriptive, and has only limited information with respect to the structure of the parent complex. The FR values can, however, be used to predict favorable linear protein series within the complex because a co-IP-protein that dissociates readily in high salt can not also serve as the key bridge between the IP-protein and a more salt-resistant second co-IP-protein. Thus, in Fig. 3F since dynamin has the lowest FR value, it could be sited attached to H-clathrin or beyond any of the other co-IP proteins. However, its most likely location is attached to a known binding partner such as intersectin.[14]

Since many complexes involve linear protein arrays, an alternative and, as it turns out, more useful working model is to arrange the co-IP-proteins in a linear sequence according to their FR values (Fig. 3G). However, this arrangement can not distinguish a structural model in which the IP-protein is at one end of the complex with the co-IP-proteins arranged in a linear series (Fig. 3G), from a more complex models where the IP-protein is in the middle and the co-IP-proteins radiate in two or more directions (e.g. Fig. 3Gi). Further, it can not detect branches within the structure. The creation of a more useful organizational model of the complex requires more data.

ii. Protein complex analysis using multiple IP-protein FR sequences
We rationalized that a blueprint of the complex might be derived by a comparison of two FR sequences obtained with two different IP-proteins. It was first necessary, however, to ensure that these sequences reflect the same protein complex within the tissue lysate. This assumption was supported by the finding that the FR values were reciprocal (Supporting Information Fig. S1C, see also discussion above). While it is undoubtedly the case that the proteins associated with the SAE complex are also components of other key presynaptic terminal lysate complexes, the SAE appears to be common so that it dominates the FR analysis. We also devised a test for complex congruence with different IP-proteins (Supporting Information Fig. S2). In essence, this tests if the FR value sets generated by pairs of IP-proteins originate from the same complex. The finding that all the protein pairs generated highly congruent FR value sets suggests that the SAE must be the predominant endocytosis complex in our lysate.

An example of the analysis of protein complex organization using interacting FR series is presented in Fig. 4A–iii using AP180 and STG as the IP-proteins. First, these two IP-proteins were given a provisional location relative to each other. Generally, if the reciprocal FR values are very different, the two IP-proteins are probably distant within the complex, and vice versa. The co-IP-proteins were then added one at a time, using their corresponding FR values as coordinates. Typically, a pair of high FR values indicates a location between the IP-proteins while one high and one low value indicate a location distal to one or other IP-protein (Fig. 4Ai). Thus, L-clathrin was located between AP180 and STG. Dynamin was distal to STG as it has a high STG but a low AP180 FR value and fell distal to intersectin. H-clathrin had low FR values for both STG and AP180 and could be located at any of three positions (for simplicity the third location, attached to STG, is not shown). The α-adaptin-containing AP2 complex is highly promiscuous and due to its low FR values could be positioned at several attachment sites.

The above analysis results in a map of proteins within the SAE complex. Some of these proteins (e.g. dynamin and intersectin) are known binding partners whereas others are not (e.g. AP180 and STG). Thus, the next step (Fig. 4Aiii) was to incorporate previously reported (abutting boxes) and unknown binding interactions (gaps) into the model. We searched the literature and on-line binding databases (BIND, PPID) to identify proteins that might bridge the gaps (Fig. 4Aiii). For simplicity, we limited the search to a single bridging protein in each case. Thus, the gap between STG and intersectin could be bridged by stonin2.[15,16] A possible direct link between intersectin[17] and H-clathrin[18] is offered by epsin.[16] We were unable, however, to span the AP180/STG/L-Cl gap (labeled X) by a single protein and suspect a multi-protein or novel link. While AP2 was a potential candidate, the low α-adaptin FR rules out this option.

We repeated the steps above using the FR sequences for three more IP-protein pairs using the data in (Fig. 3A–E). The resulting organizational models exhibited strong similarities to that presented in Fig. 4Aiii, in particular with respect to the complex core (defined as the proteins with more than one attached partner).
The proteins that are predicted in the above analyses to span gaps provide a demanding test for the validity of the 
FR method. The very recent report of an antibody against stonin2 [19] gave us a unique opportunity to carry out such a test. We predicted that:

stonin2 co-immunoprecipitates with the entire protein set and, a more stringent test, that its FR values would be consistent with a location between STG and intersectin. Thus, from Fig. 4Aiii, B–D, stonin2 should have an FR value greater than 0.81 relative to AP180 or STG; a value between 0.88 and 0.98 relative to L-clathrin; greater than 0.81 for S- or L-Intersectin, and between 0.86 and 0.93 for H-clathrin. Stonin2 co-immunoprecipitated with all complex proteins tested (Fig. 5). Since immunoprecipitated stonin2 was retained on the bead in high salt (stonin 2: FR = 0.94 ± 0.08, N = 6) it was possible to use this antibody for a full FR analysis. Experimentally determined FR means were:

STG, 0.98 ± 0.07 (N = 5); L-clathrin, 0.84 ± 0.16 (N = 5); AP180, 1.00 ± 0.17; L-intersectin, 1.0 ± 0.04 (N = 3); S-intersectin, 0.83 ± 0.18 (N = 3); and H-clathrin, 0.78 ± 0.11 (N = 6). These values were obtained with stonin2 as the IP-protein except for AP180 and H-clathrin where these proteins were IP-proteins while immunoblotting for stonin2. Our findings demonstrate that the predicted and experimentally determined FR values were remarkably similar and support the hypothesis that stonin2 links STG and intersectin as in Fig. 4Aiii. B, C, and D. We can

**Figure 3. FR analysis of SAE.** Analysis frequency histograms. Each plot shows the fraction of a variety of co-IP-protein recovered at 1150/150 mM salt after immunoprecipitation with antibodies to (A) H-clathrin, (B) AP180, (C) L-clathrin, and (D) STG (the IP-proteins). Each bar is the mean ± SE of the indicated number of separate IP experiments as in Fig. 1C. The vertical dotted lines indicate the 0.25, 0.50, 0.75 and 1.00 recovery fractions. E. Plot of mean ± SE FR values for IP of S- or L-Intersectin compiled from co-IP values for the indicated IP-proteins (y axis; see text). F, G. Protein complex model relative to the IP-protein. Working models of the protein complex depicted by the FR set for a single IP-protein using H-clathrin as an example. In F the co-IP proteins are displayed with linking bars with widths proportional to their FR value (there is no significance to the distance between the proteins in this diagram). Dynamin is depicted as either linked directly to H-clathrin or, because of its low FR value, linked via intersectin (see text). In G the complex is depicted as a linear sequence of co-IP proteins in order of their normalized FR values (number in box) starting from the IP protein, H-clathrin, on one end (i) or at the centre (ii) of the complex. FR values for S- and L-intersectin were very similar as if they can substitute for each other in this complex. Figure abbreviations: S-Int, short-variant intersectin; STG, synaptotagmin; a-Ada, a-adaptin; L-Cl, L-clathrin; Dyn, dynamin.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000067.g003
compile these models for a consensus SAE blueprint (Fig. 6). The lack of a suitable antibody prevented us from testing epsin as the second putative bridge. Thus, our model of SAE has an AP180-X-stonin2-intersectin-dynamin core with one preferred and a second likely location for H-clathrin, and at least two possible locations for AP2.

**Localization of SAE at the presynaptic terminal**

It has been shown that STG is located both with synaptic vesicles and on the presynaptic transmitter release face membrane [20,21]. We used the giant calyx-type synapse of the chick ciliary ganglion to carry out quantitative, high-resolution immunocytochemistry in order to localize the SAE complex. This synapse is ideal for such an analysis since we have previously demonstrated that it can be isolated intact on a cover-slip, and can be imaged at near light-limited optical resolution [13,22–24]. Further, the large size of the presynaptic terminal permits a ready distinction of transmitter release face and intracellular regions of interest (ROIs). We first tested the hypothesis that the SAE complex is associated with SVs using the integral vesicular protein SV2 as a marker [13]. As expected, STG co-localized with the SVs in the intracellular region (Fig. 7A), a finding confirmed by ICA analysis with high salt on recovery of proteins co-precipitated with stonin2.

**Figure 4. FR protein complex analysis.** A. Each figure is a model of the protein complex with each protein indicated by a box together with their respective IP-protein FR values (see Fig 3A). Thus, in Ai-IIi AP180 is one IP-protein and the upper numbers for each co-IP-protein reflect its FR values. Similarly, STG is the second IP-protein and the lower numbers in each box are its FR values. The FR value for the IP-protein itself is always 1.0. Proteins are mapped to a single locus (solid line box), two or three loci (dashed line box) or to multiple loci (dotted line) with only a few possible positions shown. Hypothetical proteins are indicated in italics. Ai–III Analysis method (see text). B–D. Complex structure as predicted by three additional IP-protein pairs: STG/L-Cl (B); STG/S-Int (C) and AP180/H-Cl (D). Note all four bait pairs generate models with similar cores while most of the variations occur in the extremities. STG, synaptotagmin; L-Cl, L-clathrin; H-Cl, H-clathrin; stonin, stonin2; X unknown protein or protein complex. Abbreviations are as in Fig. 2.

**Figure 5. FR test of stonin2 as a complex component.** Effect of high salt on recovery of proteins co-precipitated with stonin2. Legend as in Figure 1C. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000067.g004

**Figure 6. Consensus model for SAE.** Model based on Fig. 3 Ai–iii, B, C and D with stonin2. H-Clathrin is most likely located abutting epsin but could also be attached to L-Clathrin. Note that L-Intersectin was very similar to S-Intersectin throughout. We assume that a-adaptin is marker of the entire AP2 adaptor complex. Abbreviations and notations as in Fig. 3 but without FR values.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000067.g006
a positive mean ICQ value (0.12±0.02, N=8; p=0<0.001). However, cytoplasmic SV2 staining did not covary with two other SAE members: stonin2 (data not shown, ICQ 0.02±0.01, N=0; p=0<0.2) and AP180 (data not shown, ICQ 0.05±0.02; N=8; p=0<0.05), arguing against an association of the complete SAE with SVs. At the transmitter release face STG covaried strongly with stonin2 (Fig. 7B; ICQ 0.143±0.030, N=6; p=0<0.001), a key SAE component. Release face stonin2 also covaried with three other SAE components: AP180 (Fig. 7C; ICQ 0.18±0.02; N=7; p=0<0.0001); S-/L-intersectin (data not shown, ICQ

---

**Figure 7. Localization of the SAE complex at the transmitter release face.** Isolated chick ciliary ganglion calyx synapses were immunostained with antibodies against protein pairs. We used ICA plots to test for staining covariance (plot of normalized pixel intensity for each immunostain against the (A-a)(B-b) function, where A and B are the normalized pixel intensities for stain A and B and a and b are their respective means) in which a left skew indicates segregated staining, a symmetrical plot random staining and a right skew staining intensities that covary (see Methods). A. Calyx stained for secretory vesicle marker SV2 (green, left panel) and STG (STG; red, left panel) with ICA plots as labeled. The presynaptic calyx and postsynaptic neuron are indicated. The left panel is an overlay of the two black/white images. The ICA plots are for SV2 versus STG (left panel) and STG versus SV2 (right panel). B. As in A but staining for STG (red, left panel, left ICA plot) and the SAE component, stonin2 (green, left panel, right ICA plot). C. As in A but staining for Stonin2 (green, left panel, left ICA plot) and AP180 (red, left panel, right ICA plot). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000067.g007
0.143±0.020, N = 4; p = 0.01) and H-clathrin (data not shown, ICQ 0.10±0.02; N = 5; p = 0.01). These results localize SAE to the presynaptic transmitter release face STG pool but not to that with the synaptic vesicles.

DISCUSSION
We present a biochemical/immunocytochemical experimental method to derive a blueprint of an STG-containing multi-protein complex. Further, we demonstrate that this complex is associated with STG at the presynaptic transmitter release face.

This study reports a new strategy for the characterization of a multi-molecular protein complex based on a combination of co-immunoprecipitation, FR analysis and quantitative immunocytochemistry. Co-immunoprecipitation has been widely used to identify protein binding partners within a native biological tissue. As a stand-alone method it has, however, significant limitations. The method does not distinguish between moderately and strongly bound partners, false-positive rogue proteins can attach during antibody incubation and, with respect to larger protein complexes, little or no information is gained with respect to the binding relationship between a particular co-IP protein and the IP-protein. Strategies that are commonly used to improve the method include increasing the stringency of the co-precipitation conditions and biochemical cross-linking prior to tissue solubilization. The problem with the former is that while this will highlight proteins bound at high affinity this is not a guarantee of specificity and also, lower-affinity binding proteins may yet reflect important, if less stable, biological interactions. The cross-linking strategy can help with selectivity but the artificial strong binding precludes further analysis of complex internal organization. Our strategy was first, to use low-stringency conditions to co-precipitate a large population of IP-protein direct or indirect binding partners; second, use the ionic strength challenge to both provide an index of binding ’strength’ and as a clue to multi-molecular complex organization; and third, ICA/ICQ analysis was used to test whether the putative protein partners covary in situ, as expected for two proteins that are parts of the same molecular complex.

The FR analysis method can generate an architectural blueprint of a multi-protein complex. The method is applicable to a group of proteins that co-precipitate and, hence, exhibit a high binding affinity relative to transient interactions such as with enzymes. It involves a number of simplifying assumptions and limitations which may significantly affect the accuracy of the ensuing blueprint. The method only distinguishes proteins that are susceptible to high-salt dissociation. Protein subsets that form highly stable structures within the complex, by, for example, covalent binding, would be expected to act as a single protein with a common FR value. We expect, however, that the method will work for complexes where the proteins are linked to several binding partners. Since protein shedding will only occur when the highest (electrostatic) affinity link is cleaved, the other binding links should simply not be detected and the resulting map will always reflect the strongest associations within the complex. It also assumes that the complex contains one example of each protein: more than one could generate a mixed FR value with an unclear interpretation. However, the method can be developed further by the use of a larger range of ionic strengths or salt treatment durations, permitting the identification of different binding relations. In addition, other methods could also be used to dissociate the complex such as pH, enzymatic cleavage or temperature.

One of the most interesting aspects of the FR analysis method is the ability to predict additional protein complex components. An opportunity to test this occurred when an antibody against stonin2 became available after the completion of most of this project (the models in Figure 4 predate the stonin2 immunoprecipitation analysis). Not only did stonin2 co-precipitate with all complex members tested (Fig. 5), its measured FR values relative to STG, AP180, L-intersectin, S-intersectin and L-clathrin were remarkably consistent with values predicted from its putative location. Thus, our findings were consistent with the hypothesis that stonin2 bridges STG and intersectin in this complex. In addition, this experiment confirmed the predictive capability of the method. We anticipate that miniaturization and automation of these methods will allow the rapid generation of detailed blueprints for small to mid-sized protein complexes.

The blueprint for the SAE describes an AP180/X/STG/stonin 2/intersectin/epsin core (where X is unknown and epsin is hypothesized), together with peripheral AP2 adaptor, H-/L-clathrin coat and dynamin scission proteins (Fig. 6). We can be more confident about the locations of the core proteins than the peripheral ones as the FR coordinates are less reliable when both values are low. Smaller fragments of a similar complex have been reported previously: one of the more interesting members of the complex, the binding of stonin2 to STG has been recently noted [25] and these proteins also associate with AP2 [19]. In a separate study (Khanna et al., unpublished) we have noted a different endocytotic protein complex that is associated with transmitter release sites, as identified by the calcium channel. However, that entity and the SAE differ most notably by the absence of AP2 from the former. The SAE is consistent with previous reports on

| Antibody                  | Source                          | IP    | WB   |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------|-------|------|
| AP180 (m)                 | BD Biosciences (Rockville, MD)  | 1:500 | 1:750|
| β-adaptin (m)             | Abcam Inc. (Cambridge, MA)      | 1:500 | 1:500|
| Clathrin-heavy chain (m)  | Abcam Inc.                      | 1:150 | 1:150|
| Clathrin-light chain (m)  | Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA) | 1:1000 | 1:250|
| Dynamin (m)              | Abcam Inc.                      | 1:50  | 1:250|
| Intersectin/ESE-1 (m)     | BD Biosciences                  | 1:250 | 1:500|
| Stonin (p)                | Volker Haucke Freie Univ., Berlin | 1:500 | 1:1000|
| Synaptotagmin (m)         | Abcam Inc.                      | 1:500 | 1:500|

Abbreviations used: IF, immunofluorescence; IP, immunoprecipitation; WB, Western blot; -, not tested; (m), monoclonal; (p), polyclonal. See text for other abbreviations.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000067.e001
endocytic protein binding [5,16,19,23–35] and may reflect the parent complex explored in those reports.

The presence of STG in the SAE suggested that this complex was associated with SVs. However, an alternative location is implicated by the involvement of STG in SV recovery [19,36,37]. Our observation that the SAE complex members do not co-localize with SV markers within the terminal together with previous biochemical analyses that failed to identify clathrin coat proteins with purified SVs [38] argue against an association with SVs. Recent studies have identified a significant pool of STG in the presynaptic surface membrane that exchanges with the SV pool during exocytosis [20,21]. Our immunostaining analysis suggests that the SAE is associated with this pool. Very recent findings by Diril et al. [19] suggest that stonin2 acts together with AP2 as a sorting adaptor for STG in clathrin-dependent internalization. The SAE complex described here supports and expands on this hypothesis by confirming a close association between stonin2, STG and AP2 while describing a specific multi-molecular complex that includes other adaptor proteins in addition to clathrin coat proteins. Since this complex must be abundant in the presynaptic terminals, we speculate that it may act not only as a sorting adaptor, but also as a seed entity to initiate clathrin coat formation. If, as suggested, STG serves as the Ca sensor and also plays a key role in exocytosis, using this protein as the key endocytosis adaptor would ensure that it is always included in the newly formed SV.

METHODS

Antibodies

Dilutions and source of antibodies are shown in Table 1. All antibodies were tested for specificity by Western blot (data not shown).

Synaptosome preparation

Synaptosomes were prepared as described [22]. Briefly, brains from neonatal (2–10 days old) Sprague Dawley rats were dissected into 10 volumes of ice-cold homogenization buffer (0.32 M sucrose, 10 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 2 mM EDTA, supplemented with protease inhibitors) and homogenized using 10–15 strokes of a glass Teflon hand-held homogenizer. The homogenate was then spun for 15 minutes at 1000 × g 4°C and the supernatant was spun for 45 minutes at 200,000 × g 4°C. The res-suspended pellet was spun at 200,000 × g for 45 minutes. This second pellet (P2) was re-suspended in HEPES lysis buffer (50mM HEPES pH 7.4, 2 mM EDTA plus protease inhibitors), layered onto 4 mL of 1.2M sucrose and centrifuged at 230,000 × g (4°C) for 30 minutes. The gradient interphase was diluted in 7–8 mLs of ice-cold HEPES-buffered sucrose (0.32 M sucrose, 4 mM HEPES, pH 7.4) and layered onto 4 mL of 0.8 M sucrose and re-centrifuged at 230,000 × g for 15 minutes (4°C). The pellet was re-homogenized in modified RIPA buffer; filtered through a 0.22 μm syringe filter and stored at −80°C.

Immunoprecipitation and Western blotting [22]

Synaptosomes were pre-cleared by a 1 hr incubation with 20 μL of a 50% slurry of protein A beads (Pierce, Rockford, IL) and incubated overnight with primary or control antibodies. Antibody-captured complexes were recovered with fresh protein A or protein A/G (for rabbit or mouse antibodies, respectively) agarose beads (20 μL original bead slurry) by incubation with lysate-antibody mixture at 4°C for 2 hr and were then washed 3 times. Protein samples were boiled in Laemmli sample buffer for 5 minutes prior to fractionation on 5%, 7.5%, 10% or 4–15% separating gels with 4% stacking gels. Proteins were transferred to PVDF membranes (Invitrogen) for immunoblotting or stained with Amido black (BioRad) to monitor transfer efficiency. Western blots were performed using standard procedures (Khanna et al., 2006). The membranes were blocked for 1 hr in 5% skim milk powder in TBST (25 mM Tris-Cl, pH 8.0, 125 mM NaCl, 0.1% Polyoxyethylene Sorbitan Monolaurate (Tween-20)) at room temperature. Primary antibody incubations were for 2 hr at room temperature or overnight at 4°C. After secondary antibody treatment (goat anti-rabbit or anti-mouse IgG horseradish peroxidase, Stressgen; 1:5000), blots were washed extensively in TBST and probed with Enhanced Chemiluminescence reagent (LEN Life Science) before exposure to photographic film. Films were exposed for varying periods to ensure a non-saturated example suitable for quantitative analysis. Control gels with titrated protein concentrations demonstrated the near-linear range of the film (Supporting Fig. S1A, B). Protein bands were scanned (Canon Lide30, Canon, Mississauga, Ontario) at an image quality of 600 dpi, digitized and quantified using Un-Scan-It gel V6.1 scanning software (Silk Scientific Inc., Orem, UT).

High salt treatment of immunoprecipitates

Immunoprecipitation was carried out as above. After the triple wash step the beads were split into three equal aliquots and were incubated for 15 min on ice in RIPA buffer with 150, 650 or 1150 mM total salt, respectively, vortexing once. The immunoprecipitate-carrying beads were spun briefly and the supernatant discarded. The beads were boiled in Laemmle sample buffer for 5 minutes and liberated proteins were analyzed by electrophoresis and Western blot, as above. Each trial consisted of three samples, two at high salt (650 and 1150 mM) and a matched 150 mM salt control.

Database mining

On-line database (Biomolecular Interaction Network Database (BIND; http://www.bind.ca) and Protein Protein Interaction Database (PPID; H. Husi; http://www.anc.ed.ac.uk/mscs/PPID/) were used to screen but we relied on cited literature.

Chick ciliary ganglion calyx synapse preparation

This has been described in detail [13,23,39,40]. After trituration of the ganglia the cells/terminal preparation was plated at 37°C in a standard cell incubator for 45 minutes prior to fixation and staining.

Immunostaining, Microscopy and Iterative deconvolution deblurring

This has been described in detail [13,23]. Microscopy techniques were as described [13]. Regions of interest (ROIs) were identified by eye from the sampled and neighboring optical sections.

Intensity Correlation Analysis (ICA)/Intensity Correlation Quotient (ICQ)

This analysis has been described in detail [13]. Basically, for the ICA we calculated the function \((\alpha_i, \beta_i, b - \beta_i)\), where \(a\) and \(b\) are the means of each pixel staining pair intensity values \(\alpha_i\) and \(\beta_i\). \(\alpha_i\) or \(\beta_i\) was graphed in separate scatter plots against their respective \((\alpha_i, \beta_i, b - \beta_i)\) value. Distributions that skew to the right reflect dependent staining patterns (where the two pixel staining intensity values vary in synchrony), ones that are symmetrical about the 0 axis indicate random staining, while those that skew to the left reflect independent staining patterns, where the pixel staining...
intensity values vary inversely. Note that the analysis can be carried out for each stain separately so that a dependence of stain A on B but a lack of dependence of B on A can be identified and, further, that the plots permit detection of complex or mixed staining relations. The intensity correlation quotient (ICQ) reflects the ratio of the number of positive (A – b) or (B–b) values to the total number of pixels in the ROI, corrected to a –0.5 (independent staining) to +0.5 (dependent staining) range by subtracting 0.5. The ICQ provides a single value indication that can be used for statistical comparison. Typically, with N > 6 ROIs, a mean ICQ value of –0.5 to +0.5 indicates random staining, +0.5 to +1.0 indicates a moderate covariance and >1.1 a strong covariance. The mean ICQ was tested for equaling zero (p = 0.05) using a standard Students t-test. ICA/ICQ analysis was carried out by means of an automated graphic plugin [22], Image Correlation Analysis for the public domain image analysis software ImageJ [Wayne Rasband; Research Services Branch, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda MD] using the package and plugin available at the Wright Cellular Imaging Facility, Toronto Western Research Institute, UHN.

Image presentation
Images were cut, background was subtracted (using an area outside the cell), overlays were created and brightness/contrast was adjusted with ImageJ and PowerPoint software. No non-linear or partial-image adjustments were made.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Figure S1 Calibration of protein recovery and reciprocity of immunoprecipitations. (A) Western blot analysis of a gel loaded with various amounts of rat brain synaptosomes at concentration between 1.25 and 30 mg and immunoblotted for synaptotagmin (STG). (B) Plot of band intensity against amount of protein loaded. Following exposure to film and scanning, bands were quantified using Un-SCAN-IT gel 6.1. The data were fit by a straight line. Detection of proteins bands was linear with respect to the amount of protein. (C) Comparison of fractional recovery (FR) values for protein pairs using one or other as the immunoprecipitated (IP)-protein (as indicated on y-axis). The rows show the FR for the same protein pair, immunoprecipitating protein A and probing for protein B or vice versa (as labeled, Fig. S1C). Note the similar FR values in either direction, as would be expected if these reflect the same molecular interactions.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000067.s001 (0.08 MB TIF)

Figure S2 Fractional recovery (FR) series congruence analysis. Each plot compares two FR sequences for congruence and, hence, origin from the same protein complex. The filled symbols show the set of mean±SE FR sequence for a test IP-protein (replofed from Fig. 2A-E). The open symbols show the FR sequence for a second IP-protein adjusted for the differences in the FR values of the two IP-proteins. Note that most of the transformed IP-protein predictions are within 2SE of the test protein, supporting their origin from the same protein complex. The test IP-protein/transformed IP-protein pairs are: A. AP180/H-clathrin, B. AP180/synaptotagmin, C. L-clathrin/synaptotagmin, and D. S-intersectin/synaptotagmin.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000067.s002 (0.07 MB TIF)
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