A randomised, double-blind, placebo controlled cross-over study to determine the gastrointestinal effects of consumption of arabinoxylan-oligosaccharides enriched bread in healthy volunteers
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Abstract

Background: Prebiotics are food ingredients, usually non-digestible oligosaccharides, that are selectively fermented by populations of beneficial gut bacteria. Endoxygenases, altering the naturally present cereal arabinoxylans, are commonly used in the bread industry to improve dough and bread characteristics. Recently, an in situ method has been developed to produce arabinoxylan-oligosaccharides (AXOS) at high levels in breads through the use of a thermophilic endoxylanase. AXOS have demonstrated potentially prebiotic properties in that they have been observed to lead to beneficial shifts in the microbiota in vitro and in murine, poultry and human studies.

Methods: A double-blind, placebo controlled human intervention study was undertaken with 40 healthy adult volunteers to assess the impact of consumption of breads with in situ produced AXOS (containing 2.2 g AXOS) compared to non-endoxylanase treated breads. Volatile fatty acid concentrations in faeces were assessed and fluorescence in situ hybridisation was used to assess changes in gut microbial groups. Secretory immunoglobulin A (sIgA) levels in saliva were also measured.

Results: Consumption of AXOS-enriched breads led to increased faecal butyrate and a trend for reduced iso-valerate and fatty acids associated with protein fermentation. Faecal levels of bifidobacteria increased following initial control breads and remained elevated throughout the study. Lactobacilli levels were elevated following both placebo and AXOS-breads. No changes in salivary secretory IgA levels were observed during the study. Furthermore, no adverse effects on gastrointestinal symptoms were reported during AXOS-bread intake.

Conclusions: AXOS-breads led to a potentially beneficial shift in fermentation end products and are well tolerated.
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Background

Dietary approaches to manipulate the human gut microbiota have long been used as an approach to improve host health. The aim of probiotic and prebiotic inclusions into the diet are to increase beneficial gut bacteria and their activities, thus generating benefits to human health. These benefits include protection from gastroenteritis by pathogen inhibition [1], an improved tolerance to lactose [2], toxins [3] and cholesterol reduction [4], vitamin synthesis [3], improved mineral bioavailability [5], potential protection from bowel cancer [6-8], reduced symptoms of irritable bowel syndrome [9], improved digestion, gut function [10] and immune regulation [11].

Much interest in the development of prebiotics has been focused on non-digestible oligosaccharides. The prebiotic effects of fructooligosaccharides (FOS), inulin [12-15] and galactooligosaccharides (GOS) [9,16] have been extensively evidenced by changes in gut microbial composition through...
numerous volunteer trials. Despite the prebiotic oligosaccharides on the market, the development of novel forms of oligosaccharides as prebiotics is required to enable incorporation into more versatile food forms. In the bakery industry, the enzyme endoxylanase has been used for more than 30 years. Endoxylanase can improve dough consistency by enhancing strength of the gluten network [17]; improving bread volume and bread crumb structure through solubilising arabinoxylans (AX) [18]. Furthermore, AX have a beneficial influence on the bread-making process [18]. By using the appropriate type and dose of endoxylanases during bread-making, naturally present AX fibres can be converted into arabinoxylan-oligosaccharides (AXOS) fragments, with an average degree of polymerization (avDP) between 5 and 50. This provides an in situ production approach that may be considered as an alternative to straightforward fortification [18,19].

AXOS have shown prebiotic potential [20], leading to a potentially beneficial shift in gut bacteria in in vitro intestinal models [21,22], poultry studies [23] and human studies [24]. In intervention studies with poultry, it was observed that AXOS added to the diet dose dependently lead to reduced Salmonella numbers following infection when compared to a control group [25]. The positive impact of AXOS on intestinal fermentation was observed in a human study, whereby a daily intake of 10.0 g AXOS added to the diet of healthy persons was well-tolerated and promoted bifidobacterial growth while suppressing the excretion of urinary p-cresol, a potentially harmful metabolite of protein fermentation [24]. Additionally, Cloetens et al. [26] indicated that consumption of AXOS added to the diet at doses as low as 2.2 g was associated with a beneficial shift from urinary to fecal N excretion.

In vitro AXOS fermentation led to decreases in the potentially negative proteolytic products (phenol and p-cresol) in distal regions of a colonic simulator model, whilst increasing levels of short chain fatty acids [22]. A potentially favourable metabolic shift in fermentation was also observed in human volunteers [26]. Furthermore, the fermentation of AXOS has been linked to increased butyrate levels in rat studies [27]. Butyrate is a major energy source for intestinal epithelial cells and is considered to be a potential anti-cancer agent through its ability to stimulate apoptosis [28]. As such, its increase is largely regarded to be of benefit to the host.

Recently, there has been interest in the ability of the bacteria in the intestine to influence host immune functions, including through an up-regulation of immunoglobulins (Ig), that aid the fight against infection. It has recently been observed that probiotics can lead to increased salivary secretory IgA levels [29].

The current human trial was conducted to assess effects of the consumption of breads with in situ produced AXOS on the faecal microbiota and their fermentation products, such as faecal volatile fatty acid concentrations. A secondary aim was to determine whether AXOS–breads could impact on salivary secretory IgA concentrations. Volunteer diaries were collected to assess gastrointestinal effects such as tolerance, changes in bowel habit and food consumption and also emotional changes.

Methods

Production and characterisation of the investigational products
Refined wheat endosperm flour bread without in situ produced AXOS (control bread) was prepared by mixing 25000 g wheat flour (white) (Surbi, Dossche Mills & Bakery, Deinze, Belgium) with 500 g (2%) of salt, 500 g of baker’s yeast (Algist Bruggeman, Gent, Belgium), 500 g of endoxylanase-free bread improver mix (Puratos Group, Groot-Bijgaarden, Belgium), 500 g of gluten (Syral, Aalst, Belgium) and 14500 ml of water. Wheat/rye bread without in situ produced AXOS (placebo bread) was prepared by mixing 18750 g wheat flour (white) with 4375 g rye whole meal (Type 1740; Plange Mühle, Düsseldorf, Germany), 1875 g rye bran (Paniflower, Gent, Belgium), 500 g of salt, 500 g of baker’s yeast, 500 g of endoxylanase-free bread improver mix, 500 g of gluten and 15525 ml of water.

Wheat/rye bread with in situ produced AXOS (AXOS-bread) was prepared as placebo except that the water was reduced (15500 ml) and an endoxylanase preparation with an activity of 42000 Units/g (25 g) (Puratos Group, Groot-Bijgaarden, Belgium) was added. Major constituents of the breads are shown in Table 1.

After mixing, the dough was divided in pieces of 820 g each, rounded manually and allowed to rise at room temperature for 20 min, followed by mechanical moulding, panning and fermentation proofing (90 min at 35 °C and 95% relative humidity). Doughs were baked in a hot air oven at 230 °C for 35 min. Two and a half hours after baking, the breads were sliced, packaged in polypropylene bags in rations of 180 g per pack, and frozen at −20 °C until consumption by the volunteers.

The breads were labelled by colours, therefore volunteers and investigators were unaware which bread treatment was issued.

Compositional analyses of breads
Bread slices from the middle of the loaf were dried (12 h at 105 °C) then cooled to room temperature in an excicator for 1 h. The dried bread was homogenised to yield a powder. For characterisation of the AX population, aqueous extracts of breads dried and ground (2 g) was mixed with water (20 ml) and Termamyl 120 L (120 μl) (Novozymes, Bagsvaerd, Denmark), the Termamyl was
Volunteers with a history of alcohol or drug abuse and current smokers were excluded as were those that had taken experimental drugs or been involved in experimental drug studies within the last month and those regularly consuming excessive alcohol (weekly more than 21 units/wk (male), 14 units/wk (female)). Volunteers were required to not have taken part in pre- and probiotic studies in the previous 3 months. Major surgery and physical or mental conditions that might effect participation in the study were also used as exclusion factors.

Furthermore, volunteers with severe allergy to foods including celiac disease; severe abnormal drug reactions, chronic gastroenterological complaints, those on calorie restricted or other special diets (e.g. Atkins diet, montignac diet) 6 weeks prior to the start of the study, those who had been vaccinated against with the current season’s influenza and AH1N1 flu, those with severe allergies, asthma and dermatitis and those who had had colonic irrigation within the previous 3 months were excluded from the study.

Forty-four healthy adults, 22 males and 22 females, were recruited from the local Reading area (UK) for participation in this study. Four subjects dropped out during the first feeding period, 3 due to the bread quantity, 1 to altered travel plans. A final volunteer dropped out (male) mid-way through the study for personal reasons. For analysis purposes, 40 volunteers were included, 1 treatment was completed by the final drop-out volunteer for the remaining time-points missing data was omitted from the calculations using Minitab 16 (Lead technologies inc., North Carolina, USA). The final study group of 40 volunteers consisted of 20 males and 20 females. Their mean age was 31.4 years (± 8.9) and average BMI was 23.3 kg/m2 (± 2.8). The Research Ethics Committee of The University of Reading approved the study and it was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975 as revised in 1983.

Table 1 Major constituents of the breads used in the study

|                     | Wheat flour | Rye flour | Rye bran | Endoxylanase |
|---------------------|-------------|-----------|----------|--------------|
| Control bread       | 100%        | 0%        | 0%       | none         |
| Placebo bread       | 75%         | 17.5%     | 7.5%     | none         |
| AXOS-bread          | 75%         | 17.5%     | 7.5%     | 42000 units/g |

Subjects

Volunteers were aged 18–55 years, BMI: 18.5–30 kg/m², of good general health and free of chronic diseases such as gastrointestinal illness and were required to avoid consumptions of any pharmaceuticals active on the gastrointestinal tract, including antibiotics, for at least 6 months before the study began. Volunteers were asked to refrain from any consumption of prebiotics and probiotics or laxatives within 1 month of the start and during the trial. Volunteers were excluded if pregnant or lactating.

The dietary intervention study was a randomised, double-blind, placebo controlled cross-over trial. The dietary intervention was split into 5 periods including AXOS-treatment and placebo periods which were separated by 3 control periods, each period consisting of 21 days (Figure 1). The volunteers were randomly allocated into 1 of the 2 groups. Group 1 (n 20, 10 males and 10 females, Age 31.8 ± 9.9 years, BMI 23.2 ± 2.79 kg/m²) consumed control breads for the first period, then consumed AXOS-breads (treatment 1) for the following 21 days, and thereafter control breads for a further 21 days as a washout period. Placebo breads (treatment 2) were consumed for 21 days, followed by 21 days washout period of control bread. Meanwhile, Group 2 (n
20, 10 males and 10 females, Age 31.5 ± 8.5 years, BMI 23.2 ± 2.97 kg/m²) underwent the same control and treatment periods as Group 1, but they received placebo breads when the AXOS-breads were consumed by Group 1 and vice versa.

Volunteers were required to consume 7 slices of bread each day of the trial (total 180 g/day). Faecal and saliva samples were collected from each volunteer at 6 different time points, the first sample was prior to any intervention this was the baseline sample. Thereafter samples were taken post intervention period and post washout periods these sample periods are indicated in Figure 1.

Volunteer diaries
To record gastrointestinal symptoms, volunteers kept diaries on a daily basis during the study. The diaries detailed stool frequency and consistency, abdominal pain, intestinal bloating and flatulence. Emotional changes including energetic status, happiness, alertness and stress levels were recorded as compared to normal. Lastly, concomitant medication, adverse events and failure to consume breads was also documented by the volunteers. A food frequency diary was completed by the volunteers over 4 consecutive days during the study periods (one day of which was during a weekend). Daily nutritional intake was calculated using Dietplan 6 (Forestfield software, West Sussex, United Kingdom).

Sample collection and preparation of faecal sample
Freshly voided faecal samples were collected in plastic pots, placed in an anaerobic workstation (H2:CO2:N2, 10:10:80 by volume at 37 °C) (Don Whitley Scientific, West Yorkshire, UK) and processed within 2 h of voiding. Samples were diluted 1:10 (w/v) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, 0.1 M; pH 7.4), then homogenised using a stomacher (460 paddle beats/min) for 2 min. One ml of this was taken, centrifuged for 10 min at 13,000 x g at room temperature and the supernatant retained for volatile fatty acid analysis. Samples were vortexed with 3 mm glass beads (VWR) for 30 s before being centrifuged at 400 x g for 2 min at room temperature. The supernatant (375 µl) was fixed in 4% (w/v) (1125 µl) paraformaldehyde for 4 h at 4 °C. To wash the cells out of paraformaldehyde, samples were centrifuged at 13000 x g in 1 ml PBS for 5 min at room temperature and this centrifugation was repeated two more times, then samples were re-suspended in 150 µl PBS and stored in ethanol (1:1 by v/v) at −20 °C for fluorescence in situ hybridisation (FISH).

Enumeration of faecal microbial population
FISH, using fluorescently labelled 16S rRNA targeted oligonucleotide probes (Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany) labelled at the 5’ end with the fluorescent dye Cy3, was used for bacterial enumeration of the faecal samples. The details of probes used in this study and hybridisation conditions are in Table 2. The FISH procedure was conducted as by Martín-Peláez et al., 2008 [32].

Faecal volatile fatty acid analysis
Volatile fatty acids (VFA) were converted into tertbutyldimethylsilyl derivatives and analysis performed using the extraction procedure of Richardson et al., 1989 [42]. A HP 5890 series II GC system (Hewlett Packard, Palo Alto, Calif.) with a fused silica dimethyl polysiloxane 10 m, 0.18 mm ID, 0.20 µm df (Crossbond, Restek, Bellefonte, PA) was used. Injector and detector temperatures were 275 °C with the column temperature programmed from 60 °C for 3 min to 150 °C at 10 °C/min. Helium was the carrier gas. Injections of 1 µl volume were made by an autosampler. The response factors of compounds were calculated compared to the internal standard solution. This was used along with the calibrated compounds to ascertain concentrations. To maintain calibration, at every 15 samples, an external standard solution with known concentrations of volatile fatty acids was injected.

Collection of saliva samples and sIgA assessment
Volunteers arrived at the Department following 30 min of fasting and no brushing of teeth. Volunteers were
Table 2 Hybridisation conditions for oligonucleotide probes used in this study

| Probe name | Sequence (5’ to 3’) | Hybridisation pre-treatment | Formamide (%) for hybridisation | Temperature (°C) | Bacteria covered |
|------------|---------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Ato291     | GGTCCGCTCCTCAACCC   | Lysozyme                   | 0                               | 50              | Aropobium- Cariebacterium [33] |
| Bac303     | CCAATGTTGGGGGACCTT  | None                       | 46                               | 48              | Bacteroides – Prevotella [34] |
| Bif164     | CATTCCGCATTACCACCC  | Lysozyme                   | 50                               | 50              | Bifidobacterium spp [35] |
| Chis150    | TTAACCGTTATATCCCTTTT| None                       | 50                               | 50              | Clostridium histolyticum- Clostridium perfringens [36] |
| Erec482    | GCTTCTTAGCARGTACCG  | None                       | 50                               | 50              | Eubacterium rectale- Clostridium cocoides [36] |
| Lab158     | GGTATTAGCAYCTGTTCCA | Lysozyme                   | 35                               | 37              | Escherichia coli [38] |
| Eco1531    | ACCGGTGCCGTCTGTAATCA| None                       | 35                               | 37              | Escherichia coli [38] |
| Rrec584    | TCAGACTTGCCGYACCBC  | None                       | 0                                | 50              | Roseburia and Eubacterium rectale [39] |
| Fprau645   | CCTCTGACTACTCAAGAAAAAC| Lysozyme                  | 15                               | 46              | Faecalibacterium prausnitzii [40] |
| EUB338I†   | GCTGCGTCCCATGAGGAT  | None                       | 35                               | 46              | Total bacteria 1 [41] |
| EUB338II†  | GACGCCACCCGTAGGGGT  | None                       | 35                               | 46              | Total bacteria 1 [41] |
| EUB338III‡ | GCTGCCACCGTAGGGGT  | None                       | 35                               | 46              | Total bacteria 1 [41] |

Statistical analyses

Bacterial numbers were statistically evaluated after transformation to log counts using Microsoft Office Excel 2007 (Washington, USA). Target bacterial groups, fermentation characteristics, salivary sIgA and faecal pH were grouped according to which treatment was consumed, then analysed by an enzyme-linked immunosorbet assay (ELISA) kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Immunodiagnostik AG, Bensheim, Germany). Absorption was detected at 450 nm against 630 nm using an ELISA reader (Tecan Genios, Switzerland). A range of IgA standards were used in every assay, for which a calibration curve was constructed, to quantify the sIgA amount within the samples.

Results

In terms of faecal bacteriology, consumption of the initial control breads led to a significant increase in bifidobacteria from baseline (p = 0.0011). The bifidobacteria levels remained elevated throughout the study (Table 3).

Lactobacilli and bacteroides significantly increased following both placebo (p = 0.018, <0.001) and AXOS-breads (p = 0.025, 0.050) as compared to pre- treatment levels. Post-AXOS treatment, bacteroides numbers continued to rise (p = 0.004) whilst lactobacilli numbers declined to pre-AXOS treatment levels (p = 0.007).

Bacteria in the E. rectale group, the Roseburia – Eubacterium subgroup and Faecalibacterium prausnitzii group increased significantly following placebo treatment, compared to pre-placebo treatment (p = 0.003; 0.010 and 0.044 respectively). E. rectale group and bacteroides both remained elevated following the post-placebo treatment washout, so were significantly different to pre-placebo treatment (p = 0.001; 0.001). Total bacteria increased significantly following AXOS treatment (p = 0.038).
Butyrate levels increased significantly following AXOS treatment (p = 0.041) (Table 4). Propionate levels were significantly higher post-AXOS treatment washout compared to pre-AXOS treatment (p = 0.045). Valerate levels significantly increased following consumption of control breads (p = 0.008) compared to baseline and remained elevated throughout all interventions, therefore the valerate concentration was significantly greater at pre-placebo, placebo, pre-AXOS and AXOS treatment compared to baseline (p = 0.010, 0.013, 0.004 and 0.017, respectively). Following AXOS-bread consumption, a trend for reduced iso-valerate concentration was observed (p = 0.058).

Furthermore, a trend for increased combined acetate, propionate and butyrate following AXOS breads compared to pre-AXOS treatment (p = 0.072) and decreased combined iso-valerate, iso-butyrate, valerate and caproate (p = 0.091) was observed.

### Table 3 Faecal bacterial numbers (log10 cells/g faeces) determined by fluorescent in situ hybridisation for forty volunteers over the trial period during which they were consuming “placebo” or “AXOS” experimental bread products enriched with AXOS (mean values and standard deviations)

|                      | baseline control bread | pre-placebo treatment | placebo treatment | post-placebo washout | pre-AXOS treatment | AXOS treatment | post-AXOS washout |
|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Bifidobacterium      | 9.14 ±0.57 9.37 ±0.59 a| 9.32 ±0.58 a          | 9.31 ±0.44 a      | 9.33 ±0.33 a         | 9.39 ±0.40 a       | 9.40 ±0.42 a    | 9.34 ±0.40 a       |
| Clostridium histolyticum group | 8.35 ±0.72 8.43 ±0.69    | 8.07 ±0.64           | 8.04 ±0.76 a      | 8.05 ±0.85 a        | 8.14 ±0.79        | 8.13 ±0.74      | 8.14 ±0.57        |
| E. coli              | 7.20 ±0.39 7.35 ±0.56       | 7.38 ±0.47 a         | 7.33 ±0.40        | 7.34 ±0.45          | 7.34 ±0.53        | 7.34 ±0.50 a    | 7.40 ±0.39 a       |
| Lactobacillus – Enterococcus | 8.85 ±0.54 8.92 ±0.37    | 8.60 ±0.66           | 8.95 ±0.65 b      | 8.72 ±0.75          | 8.74 ±0.69        | 9.05 ±0.40 b    | 8.69 ±0.71        |
| Atopobium - Coriobacterium group | 9.73 ±0.24 9.80 ±0.27   | 9.79 ±0.28           | 9.84 ±0.34        | 9.87 ±0.26 a        | 9.88 ±0.28 a      | 9.79 ±0.21      | 9.87 ±0.26 a       |
| E. rectale group     | 9.96 ±0.27 9.87 ±0.33       | 9.91 ±0.33 c         | 10.07 ±0.29 ab    | 10.08 ±0.29 a b     | 9.99 ±0.33        | 10.01 ±0.34     | 10.08 ±0.32 a      |
| Total bacteria       | 10.73 ±0.22 10.69 ±0.22   | 10.72 ±0.25          | 10.68 ±0.22       | 10.72 ±0.18         | 10.81 ±0.21 b     | 10.72 ±0.25     |                   |
| Bacteroides          | 9.54 ±0.32 9.64 ±0.44       | 9.85 ±0.46 ac        | 10.07 ±0.25 ab    | 10.11 ±0.29 a b     | 9.91 ±0.42 ac     | 9.99 ±0.34 abc   | 10.12 ±0.22 a b    |
| Roseburia – Eubacteria | 9.65 ±0.21 9.69 ±0.26    | 9.57 ±0.44           | 9.79 ±0.39 ab     | 9.67 ±0.51          | 9.74 ±0.27        | 9.73 ±0.32      | 9.61 ±0.47        |
| Faecalibacterium praunitzii cluster | 9.57 ±0.42 9.48 ±0.44 | 9.53 ±0.40 | 9.65 ±0.33 b | 9.52 ±0.46 | 9.50 ±0.51 c | 9.56 ±0.38 | 9.67 ±0.41 b |

A paired t-test was used to compare bacteria levels at different time points, for E. coli the non parametric Wilcoxon signed rank test was used, as this data did not follow a normal distribution. a - different to baseline; b- different to pre-treatment; c- different to washout, (p < 0.05).

### Table 4 Volatile fatty acid (VFA) concentrations (μmol/g faeces) determined by tertbutyldimethylsilyl derivatisation and GC analysis for forty volunteers over the trial period during which they were consuming control, placebo or experimental bread products enriched with AXOS. (Mean values and standard deviations)

|                      | baseline control bread | pre-placebo treatment | placebo treatment | post-placebo washout | pre-AXOS treatment | AXOS treatment | post-AXOS washout |
|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Acetate              | 45.6 ±27.9 47.3 ±21.8 | 51.1 ±18.8           | 54.2 ±26.4        | 48.0 ±22.5           | 46.7 ±22.3        | 55.1 ±34.5     | 52.1 ±19.6         |
| Propionate           | 17.8 ±13.7 16.5 ±11.1 | 18.2 ±10.1           | 19.5 ±11.2        | 17.1 ±10.9           | 16.1 ±10.9        | 17.5 ±10.4     | 18.8 ±8.65 b       |
| Butyrate             | 11.5 ±7.9 9.4 ±14.9    | 14.9 ±10.1           | 14.6 ±7.2 a       | 15.0 ±10.1           | 12.8 ±8.8         | 16.3 ±12.3 ab   | 14.5 ±7.9          |
| Total acetate, propionate and butyrate | 74.9 ±44.7 77.4 ±37.0 | 84.2 ±33.3 | 87.8 ±6.2 | 80.2 ±38.3 | 75.6 ±36.9 | 88.9 ±52.4 | 85.4 ±31.6 |
| Valerate             | 1.2 ±1.4 2.3 ±2.3 a    | 2.4 ±2.3 a           | 2.2 ±1.8 a        | 2.0 ±2.3             | 2.4 ±1.5 a        | 2.3 ±2.2 a      | 1.7 ±2.0           |
| Iso-valerate         | 1.3 ±0.6 1.3 ±1.0 a    | 1.3 ±0.6             | 1.3 ±1.1          | 1.7 ±1.1             | 1.4 ±0.7          | 1.1 ±1.0 c      | 1.7 ±2.0           |
| Caproate             | 0.8 ±0.8 0.7 ±0.9 a    | 0.9 ±1.3             | 1.0 ±1.4          | 0.8 ±0.8             | 0.8 ±0.8          | 0.7 ±0.8       | 1.1 ±1.4           |
| Iso-butyrate         | 1.7 ±0.7 1.6 ±1.2 a    | 1.9 ±1.5             | 1.7 ±1.3          | 1.8 ±1.2             | 1.6 ±0.9          | 1.3 ±1.1       | 1.8 ±1.3           |
| Total valerate, iso-valerate, caproate and iso-butyrate | 4.9 ±2.5 5.8 ±4.5 | 6.7 ±5.0 | 6.2 ±4.9 a | 6.2 ±3.7 | 6.1 ±2.8 | 5.4 ±4.2 | 6.3 ±4.5 |

P-values of VFA changes. Wilcoxon signed rank test was used to determine statistical differences between levels of VFA, as this data did not follow a normal distribution. a - different to baseline; b- different to pre-treatment; c- different to washout, (p < 0.05).
Analysis of the volunteer diaries revealed that following placebo treatment there was an increase in stool number, compared to pre-placebo treatment (p = 0.049). Volunteers noted having more energy than normal following the placebo treatment compared to pre-placebo treatment (p = 0.006) (Table 5).

There were no significant changes in salivary sIgA concentrations (data not shown) or for volunteer dietary intakes when consuming the AXOS and placebo treatments (Table 6).

Discussion
A double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomised cross-over study was undertaken to determine the potential benefits of AXOS-enriched bread on the gut. Bacteriology within faeces, faecal volatile fatty acid profiles and concentrations of sIgA in saliva, bowel habit and food intake of healthy volunteers was monitored throughout the feeding study.

During the study, 3 volunteers were withdrawn due to difficulties in eating 180 g bread daily. Based on the volunteer diaries, between 4 to 9 volunteers had difficulty in taking product in each of the periods. However, the breads did not lead to adverse symptoms and compliance was excellent with 99.24% of breads issued to the volunteers consumed. Volunteers were observed to be maintaining their diets throughout the study, with no significant changes in energy, protein, carbohydrate, fibre, non-starch polysaccharide, fat or iron consumption. The observation of increased stool number (stools/day) and an increased energetic feeling following placebo treatment (Table 5) was not observed following AXOS treatment. In the study of Cloetens et al., [24] AXOS extracted from wheat bran resulted in increased flatulence, this was not observed in the current study when AXOS was consumed at a lower dose within bread. Hence in the current study the AXOS-bread was well-tolerated.

Following AXOS treatment, a significant increase in faecal butyrate was observed (Table 4). Such an increase is regarded to be of benefit to the host, as butyrate is a major intestinal epithelial cell energy source, associated with potential anticancer activities observed as reducing cellular malignancy through stimulation of apoptosis in malignant cells [28]. Butyrate has also been observed to beneficially effect oxidative stress in the human colonic mucosa [43] and to induce immune-modulatory effects [44]. Furthermore, a trend for increased combined concentrations of acetate, propionate and butyrate, which are potentially beneficial to the host, was observed following AXOS-bread consumption compared to pre-AXOS treatment.

Protein fermentation is considered to be a non-beneficial process within the colon [45]. Following AXOS-bread consumption, a trend for reduced isovalerate and reduced combined iso-butyrate, iso-valerate, valerate and caproate concentrations was observed (Table 4), which can therefore be viewed as a potentially positive effect as these organic acids are associated with protein fermentation [45]. This potentially beneficial modulation of fermentation of AXOS observed through VFA changes has been supported by previous research in vitro [22], within rats [27] and in humans [26]. It was therefore concluded that the AXOS-breads led to potential benefits following consumption.

Selective stimulation of indigenous beneficial gut genera is characteristic of a prebiotic [46]. Some potentially beneficial micro-organisms including Lactobacillus paracasei, Bifidobacterium adolescentis and Bifidobacterium bifidum [47-49] are able to breakdown AXOS. Previous studies

| Table 5 Volunteers diaries detailing bowel habits and general mood on a daily basis throughout the study |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| control bread | pre-placebo treatment | placebo | post-placebo treatment | pre-AXOS treatment | AXOS treatment | post-AXOS treatment |
|----------------|------------------------|---------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------|---------------------|
| Nº of stools  | 1.30 ±0.72  | 1.33 ±0.75  | 1.43 ±0.76b  | 1.44 ±0.78  | 1.37 ±0.76  | 1.39 ±0.71  | 1.39 ±0.79 |
| Abdominal discomfort score * | 0.11 ±0.18  | 0.10 ±0.16  | 0.10 ±0.18  | 0.10 ±0.17  | 0.13 ±0.20  | 0.18 ±0.37  | 0.10 ±0.17 |
| bloating score *  | 0.18 ±0.27  | 0.18 ±0.16  | 0.20 ±0.27  | 0.17 ±0.23  | 0.19 ±0.27  | 0.25 ±0.40  | 0.19 ±0.23 |
| flatulence score * | 0.52 ±0.53  | 0.47 ±0.50  | 0.54 ±0.55  | 0.49 ±0.49  | 0.53 ±0.53  | 0.51 ±0.52  | 0.50 ±0.48 |
| Happy score ** | 0.01 ±0.20  | 0.02 ±0.17  | 0.02 ±0.16  | 0.01 ±0.18  | 0.01 ±0.19  | 0.02 ±0.14  | 0.00 ±0.13 |
| Alert ** | -0.01 ±0.15  | -0.01 ±0.09  | 0.03 ±0.19  | -0.01 ±0.07  | -0.01 ±0.14  | -0.01 ±0.17  | -0.01 ±0.08 |
| Energetic ** | -0.07 ±0.26  | -0.07 ±0.18  | 0.01 ±0.14b  | -0.01 ±0.21  | -0.03 ±0.25  | -0.03 ±0.18  | -0.03 ±0.13 |
| Stressed ** | 0.03 ±0.23  | 0.02 ±0.15  | 0.05 ±0.26  | -0.03 ±0.26  | 0.04 ±0.24  | 0.07 ±0.25  | 0.05 ±0.12 |
| Consistency *** | 3.67 ±0.79  | 3.51 ±0.79  | 3.45 ±0.77  | 3.54 ±0.92  | 3.73 ±0.85  | 3.69 ±0.98  | 3.51 ±0.85 |

* 0 – none, 1 – mild, 2 – moderate, 3 – severe.
** -1 – less than normal, 0 – normal, 1 – more than normal.
*** Bristol stool scale, 1 = hard, 7 = liquid.
b – significantly different to pre-treatment, (p < 0.05).
P-values of volunteer diary changes. A paired t-test was used to compare volunteer scores at different time points.
have indicated that oat bran containing high levels of AX play a role in stimulating Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium [50]. Moreover in research conducted by Cloetens et al. (2010) [24], AXOS (10 g/d) produced by partial enzymic hydrolysis of wheat AX led to a significant Bifidobacterium increase. Therefore, in the current study increases in numbers of faecal Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium were expected. However, it was observed that levels of Bifidobacterium increased significantly from baseline following consumption of the control white breads (Table 3). It has previously been noted that white flour can be rich in fructans (up to 2.8%, [51]), and are largely available for fermentation in the large intestine [3] therefore it is likely that within the control white bread, with 180 g consumed daily, were sufficient fructans to have an effect on the faecal microbiota. The fructan content of rye flour has been observed to be as high as 4.5% [52]. The lack of observed bifidogenic effect, following consumption of the active breads, could therefore be attributable to the naturally occurring fructans within all bread products, thus masking any AXOS related effects. In a prebiotic dose related study [53], it was observed that a 10 g dose of galactooligosaccharide did not give rise to an enhanced bifidogenic effect when compared to a 5 g dose, it was therefore considered that above this threshold, the prebiotic dose did not determine the prebiotic effect. In terms of the current study this could explain that, although additionally 2.2 g AXOS were available within the treatment AXOS-bread, the required prebiotic dose for bifidogenicy had already been achieved in the control and placebo breads; thus any added effect was not apparent.

The bacteria numbers in the Lactobacillus – Enterococcus group were observed to significantly increase following the consumption of the placebo and AXOS-breads compared to pre-treatment breads (Table 3). Both of these breads were rye based, therefore it would seem that rye was influencing the microbiota. This has been supported by the use of rye grains commercially with lactobacilli for the production of sourdough [54,55]. This therefore indicates that rye may be considered as an appropriate substrate for lactobacilli.

Consumption of the placebo breads led to significant increases in numbers of bacteria in the E. rectale group, the Roseburia and the Faecalibacterium prausnitzii cluster compared to the pre-placebo treatment (Table 3). This was expected as AX, which would be found naturally occurring in the rye flour, is known to be nondigestible [56] and also stimulates the growth of organisms that possess microbial endoxylanase enzymes, such as bacteria within E. rectale, Roseburia groups [57] and Faecalibacterium prausnitzii. In previous human studies on AXOS, bacteria in the E. rectale group have not been stimulated following 10 g AXOS [24], thus this lower dose was not expected to stimulate growth of these microorganisms. Furthermore, Roseburia growth was not stimulated in vitro by AXOS [58], thus the AXOS bread may not be expected to stimulate growth of such microorganisms [59]. More research into this area would be required to determine this.

AXOS-breads were stimulatory to the microbiota, as observed through a significant increase in total bacteria compared to pre-AXOS treatment (Table 3). Previous intervention studies with a high dose (10 g/d) fructooligosaccharides have led to similar results [60]. An increase in the total bacteria numbers indicates that the AXOS breads have an impact on the microbiota. The butyrogenic effects then observed could relate to the direct activities of bacteria that have been stimulated, or from a cross feeding network taking place within the microbiota components [61] (Belenguer et al., 2006). There was no direct increase of bacteria within the E. rectale, Roseburia and F. prausnitzii groups which contain some known butyrate producers, however there are many other bacteria able to produce butyrate within the microbiota through both cross-feeding networks and also direct stimulation [62] (Louis and Flint 2007). An increase in butyrate is considered to be a beneficial effect to the host, therefore the AXOS breads lead to this endpoint, whilst the placebo breads did not.

Bacteroides constitutes a large proportion of the healthy adult gastrointestinal tract, that have an ability to adapt well to limited substrate availability [63]. In the current study, a significant increase in numbers of Bacteroides – Prevotella was observed following intervention with AXOS and placebo breads. This is in agreement with previous findings where both AXOS and AX have been observed to increase levels of Bacteroides spp. [19,59]. Bacteroides can grow on carbohydrates or on

|   | Calories (kcal) | Protein (g) | Fat (g) | Carbohydrate (g) | Fibre (g) | Non starch polysaccharides (g) | Iron (mg) |
|---|----------------|-------------|--------|------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|----------|
| placebo | 1796.0 | 68.1 | 53.8 | 227.5 | 19.8 | 14.9 | 12.9 |
| treatment | ±726.1 | ±31.2 | ±25.2 | ±110.5 | ±7.9 | ±6.0 | ±8.5 |
| AXOS | 1900.3 | 73.6 | 64.1 | 227.2 | 18.9 | 14.4 | 11.2 |
| treatment | ±767.5 | ±53.0 | ±27.4 | ±127.3 | ±5.7 | ±4.3 | ±3.9 |

(Average consumption from 4 consecutive days).
proteins; depending on the overall diet, proteolytic bacterioides are considered detrimental [64], whereas saccharolytic are not, because they produce potentially beneficial VFA [65]. In the current study as there is more carbohydrate likely to persist to the large intestine following the AXOS and placebo treatments, these changes are likely to be positive.

It has recently been observed that probiotics can lead to increased salivary secretory IgA levels [27]. In the current study, no changes in salivary sIgA were observed (Table 6). It is worth noting that sIgA has been seen to be elevated following a stressful work-session [66], and examination periods [67]. Furthermore, sIgA concentrations have declined in athletes following vigorous exercise [68]. Therefore, there are many other parameters that may be impacting on salivary sIgA results.

In situ enrichment of AXOS in breads provides an enhanced approach to straightforward fortification. This process utilises naturally occurring AX – furthermore xylanases are already used within the baking industry. The production of these breads may be subject to some variations, e.g. as rye and wheat composition may vary amid intrinsic and extrinsic factors. However, providing the level of AX within the grain is in excess of 2%, acceptable levels of AXOS generation would still be possible [18]. Therefore, it is unlikely that changes in grain will pose a problem in production of AXOS enriched breads.

Whilst shifts in microbial groups that are generally considered to be of benefit (bifidobacteria, lactobacilli) to the host were not observed following AXOS consumption, there were changes in the fermentation characteristics observed in terms of increased butyrate production and a trend for less protein fermentation markers. These results are important within a human population as similar shifts have been observed in animal studies and in vitro. Furthermore, the effect of such doses on the microbial community within humans has not been tested in such a population and whilst a (typically) beneficial bacterial shift could not be directly observed a fermentation shift showing positive potential was observed. This was not observed with the placebo products, therefore, although fermentation of the placebo was apparent, additional benefits from consumption of the AXOS breads – in terms of a fermentation shift are evident.

Conclusions
AXOS-enriched breads were well tolerated and gave rise to a butyrogenic effect, which is of potential benefit to the consumer. Furthermore, a trend for reduced indicators of protein fermentation, with increased saccharolytic fermentation end products also suggests a beneficial shift in activities of bacteria. AXOS containing breads enabled enhanced bifidobacterial numbers that existed following the control bread treatment to be maintained. It is likely that a high level of bifidobacteria, following control bread consumption contributed to the stable population seen during the consumption of placebo or AXOS-breads, thus further bifidogenic effects of the treatment breads could not be elucidated. An increase in total bacteria following consumption of AXOS-bread showed them to be stimulatory to the faecal microbiota; furthermore both AXOS and placebo breads led to increases in the potentially beneficial lactobacilli group. The effects on fermentation end-products, that were not observed following the placebo breads, indicate that AXOS-bread consumption elicited a potentially beneficial shift in fermentation characteristics.
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