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ABSTRACT

Professional paper

Crashes involving pedestrians account for a higher proportion of all road traffic crashes. So, in order to develop more effective safety measures, it is important to determine the causes of the crashes that involve pedestrians. This paper adopts an extended Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) to predict and explain pedestrians’ intention to violate traffic rules when crossing the road. In addition to the three traditional determinants of intention (instrumental attitude, Subjective norm and perceived behavioral control), this paper analyzes the effects of sensation seeking and conformity tendency on the intention to violate traffic rules while crossing the road. In order to analyze the relationships among the aforementioned variables, a survey was conducted in Dalian city (China) and Djibouti city (Djibouti). 452 participants from Dalian city and 828 participants from Djibouti city were interviewed. Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) was employed to analyze the collected data. The results indicated that the instrumental attitude, the subjective norm, and perceived behavioral control positively affected the behavioral intention to violate traffic rules when crossing the road for both cities except for perceived behavioral control which was not significant for Dalian city. Conformity tendency and sensation seeking had a significant and positive impact on behavioral intention for Djibouti city. However, for Dalian city, conformity tendency significantly and positively affected the behavioral intention, but sensation seeking did not. Several empirical and practical implications were also discussed.
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1. Introduction

Road traffic accident became one of the principal causes of deaths (World Health Organization, 2013). Pedestrians having no protective equipment around them are more vulnerable to road traffic accidents than vehicle drivers and so account for a higher proportion of road traffic accidents (Ben-ari & Shay, 2012; R. Zhou & Horrey, 2010). In Djibouti, pedestrian fatalities are the leading category of road traffic fatalities, accounting for 60% of total deaths (World Health Organisation, 2015). However pedestrian safety research in Djibouti has been quite rare due to the limitations of resources and institutional capacity in the area and this limitation is shared by other developing countries. In China, the way that pedestrians crossed the road can be different from other countries because 40% of the travel is accomplished on foot, accompanied by very common traffic violations (Yang, Deng, Wang, Li, & Wang, 2006).

Nowadays, pedestrian injury for both countries (China and Djibouti) became a major public health problem among adults. Most of road traffic accidents involving pedestrians are caused by either the drivers’ errors or violations but also the pedestrian violation of traffic rules (Qu et al., 2016). Among the factors that lead a pedestrian to violate the rules of the road traffic is the long waiting time, it means that the more road crossing waiting time is increasing, the more the pedestrians are willing to violate the road traffic rules in order to reach their destination as soon as possible to save time. They will use the traffic gap or will use mid-block to cross the road in a faster way (Xuehao Chu & A., n.d.; Zhuang & Wu, 2011) found that, concerning the crossing at unmarked roadways, 65.7% of pedestrians did not give attention to looking at the vehicles around them and try to cross anxiously the road.

Many empirical studies explored the driver behavior in order to understand the driver error or violation (Tova Rosenbloom a, 2004) which are considered among the main factors causing road accidents, but only few studies explored pedestrian road crossing violation behavior. Therefore, to ensure a safer traffic environment for all road users especially pedestrians, a full understanding of psychological factors of pedestrians is necessary for exploring their intention towards road traffic rules violation during road crossing.

One of the most used models for predicting behavioral intention is the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB), developed by (Ajzen, 1991). According to this theory, intention can be interpreted as individual’s willingness to perform a given behavior (Martin Fishbein Icek Ajzen, 2010). The TPB assumes three independent constructs of intention which are attitude, subjective norm and perceived behavioral control (Martin Fishbein Icek Ajzen, 2010). The attitude construct towards behavior refers to an individual’s positive or negative evaluation towards the behavior of interest. The subjective norm refers to the perceived social pressure to perform or not to perform the behavior in question and the perceived behavioral control construct refers to the perceived ease or difficulty of performing the behavior of interest and it is assumed to reflect past experience as well as anticipated impediments and obstacles (AJZEN, 1991).

Based on the empirical studies just mentioned above, we noticed that the researchers’ primary interest in the traffic environment was to focus on the driver behavior in the most part; therefore, pedestrian-focused studies are not as common as the driver-focused studies in the literature. Moreover, the existing literature on pedestrian behavior is mostly theoretical. However, in Africa and Asia, empirical studies on pedestrian behavior are little exploited compared to the driver behavior and need more attention because, according to the statistical data, two-thirds of road accident victims are pedestrians. In this study, we studied the pedestrian’s behavior when crossing the road. Therefore, the first objective of this paper is to find out the most significant factors that determine pedestrians’ intention to violate traffic rules when crossing the road by employing the TPB. The second objective is to deliver policies for the future implementation of some safety measures specific to pedestrians in order to protect them against the vehicle crashes in the context of developing countries.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. The next section provides a brief overview of TPB, which is a foundation for evaluating the determinants of pedestrians’ intention to violate the road traffic rules. Section 3 presents the research method and data. Section 4 presents the results. Section 5 concludes this study with a discussion of the results and directions for future research.

2. Theoretical background and research framework

Theory of Planned Behavior was developed from the Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA) by (AJZEN, 1991) and has been validated in several studies. Drawing from those studies and the framework shown in Figure 1, we hypothesize that:

H1: The Attitude has a positive effect on behavioral intention to violate traffic rules when crossing the road.

H2: The subjective norm positively influences the behavioral intention to violate traffic rules when crossing the road.

H3: The Perceived Behavioral intention has a positive effect on behavioral intention to violate traffic rules when crossing the road.

Among the personality trait an individual possesses is sensation seeking, which is defined as “the try to find of varied like intense sensations, complex, novel, and experiences, and the readiness to take social, physical and financial risks for the sake of such new experiences” (Marvin Zuckerman, 1979). For evaluating sensation seeking, (Marvin Zuckerman, 1979) developed the Sensation Seeking Scale Form (SSS-V) which has been widely used. Sensation seekers were more likely to involve in thrill-seeking behavior (Zuckerman, 2015). Therefore, sensation seekers would be expected to engage in risky activities such as the violation of traffic rules.

![Figure 1. The theory of planned behavior adapted from (AJZEN, 1991)](image-url)
This relationship was also supported in previous studies (Marvin Zuckerman, 2006). Therefore, we propose:

**H4:** The Sensation Seeking positively influences the behavioral intention to violate traffic rules when crossing the road.

Finally, the conformity tendency referred a tendency to adjust behavior when an individual is around other persons so as to adapt the perceived expectation of the social conformity. People who exhibit conformity tendency would be expected to violate traffic rules when they see people do it. This relationship was also corroborated in previous research (Behavior, 1995; Khan et al., 1999; Santor et al., 2000; R. Zhou, Horrey, et al., 2009). Therefore, we hypothesize:

**H5:** The Conformity tendency has a positive effect on behavioral intention when crossing the road.

Figure 2 depicts the relationships hypothesized in this study.

![Figure 2. Research-modified theory of planned behavior model](image)

### 3. Research data and method

#### 3.1 Study area

This study was conducted in two cities which are Dalian city and Djibouti city. Dalian city is one of the largest cities in Liaoning province in Northeast China. Djibouti city is located in east Africa and is the capital city of the Republic of Djibouti. It has an area of 630 km²; it is populated in 2013 by around 570,000 inhabitants, more than 2/3 of the country's total population. In Djibouti city, the study took place in the road section between national road number one and Hassan goulled road. The reason we selected this area is that, according to the Djibouti police, among the accidents that happened in this area in 2018, 60% of the victims were pedestrians. The location which was selected during Dalian data collection was the areas located near the Northgate and Westgate of Dalian University of Technology. The survey content was divided into three parts. In the first part, we proposed the participants four risky road crossing behaviors and asked them if they had in the past crossed the road like one or more of the proposed crossing behaviors. These four risky situations were, “Crossing pedestrian crosswalks when the signal is red if no vehicle is visible”, "Listening to music or talking on the phone while crossing", “Crossing the road in places where there is not a pedestrian crosswalk (i.e., jaywalking)”, and “Crossing the pedestrian crosswalks when other pedestrians begin to cross even though the signal is red”. The second part was a list of several questions which served as items for the latent variables used in this study. The respondent had to respond to the questions in part two based on their response to the question in part one. The last part of the survey consisted of recording the respondents’ demographic characteristics such as gender, age, income (monthly), etc.

#### 3.2 Participants

During the survey, participants were randomly selected in the study areas of the two cities and asked to report their previous behavior as well their attitudes towards violating traffic rules when crossing the road.

500 questionnaires were distributed during data collection in Dalian and 900 questionnaires in Djibouti city. After elimination of incomplete responses, 452 samples were obtained, indicating a response rate of 90.4% for Dalian data. For Djibouti city 828 valid samples were obtained, indicating a response rate of 92%. All respondents gave their written consent to take part in the survey and provided some personal information. Tables 1 and 2 summarize the socioeconomic characteristics of the participants. About 54% of the 452 respondents were male, and 46% were female in Dalian city but in Djibouti city 60% of the 828 respondents were male, and 40% were female. The majority of the respondents in Dalian city were aged between 0 and 25 (80%), followed by those aged between 26 and 45 years old (16%) and for Djibouti city 51% were age between 0 and 25 year old, followed by those aged between 26 and 45 years old (41%). Regarding their monthly income, most of the participants in Dalian city had low income (82%) with a salary less than or 3000 Yuan (438 USD), same for Djibouti city where the majority of the participants (81%) received a low income which is less than or 70000 Djiboutian franc (394 USD). Concerning the last education level, 83% of participants from Dalian city have bachelor and master degree, followed by those who held a PhD degree (6%). In Djibouti city, most of the participants (86%) have a high school diploma and bachelor degree followed by those who hold a master's degree (8%). all the participants who took part in this survey were citizens of these two countries (china and Djibouti). We separated the pedestrians into two groups according to their choice of the reckless behavior of crossing the road which are the Pedestrian violator and the Pedestrian non-violator, the majority of participants (96%) from the Djibouti city are Pedestrian violators and admitted that they behave recklessly when crossing the road but On the other hand, 40% of the participants from the Dalian city are non-violating pedestrians.
3.3. Measurement scales

A careful review of the literature on pedestrian behavior has been done in order to select the adequate measurement items. All items of the present study were validated in previous studies (Reynolds et al., 2006; H. Zhou et al., 2016; R. Zhou, Horrey, et al., 2009). The questionnaire was translated into Chinese language by two English professors and Road Traffic Safety experts. In order to check the wording and relevance of the selected items in the current study, we interviewed two college professors from the School of Transportation and Logistics at Dalian University of Technology. With the help of the aforementioned professors, 22 items were selected to conduct the pilot survey. The pilot survey was done in October 2019 in Dalian city and helped to further estimate the validity and wording of the selecting items. 50 participants (students) were interrogated and the outcome was used to improve the wording and remove the least relevant items. At the end of this process, all twenty two items remained for the final survey. All items were measured on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from “strongly disagree” to “strongly agree” and “neutral” as mid-point anchor. As suggested by (Studies, 1996), items measuring the same variable were assembled together. (Studies, 1996) showed that grouping items is more suitable for the respondents. A detailed list of the items is displayed in Table 3.

3.4. Data processing method

The present study analyzed the determinants of Pedestrian Behavior intention about the non-complying of traffic rules for two countries which are China and Djibouti. In order to test the hypotheses about causal relationships between latent variables, the structural equation modeling (SEM) is the most common analysis technique and it examines the relationships between constructs, which are measured by several consistent items. The relationship between latent variables of the research model has been hypothesized in above section. As suggested by (Fornell et al., 1988), the model was tested using a two-step approach.
So, in the first step, confirmatory factor analysis was conducted to test the reliability and validity of the latent variables (constructs). In the second step, SEM is used to measure the hypothesized relationships among the latent variables of the research model. The R program and lavaan package (Rosseel, 2011) were used during the analysis of the data.

### 4. Model estimation and results

#### 4.1. Normality check

In order to adopt the Maximum Likelihood estimation method to build the CFA and SEM, we first checked if the data follow (or do not severely violate) a normal distribution. So, in general terms, the absolute values of kurtosis indexes larger than 10 and the absolute values of skew indexes larger than 3 are indication that there is severe violation of normality (Kline, 2015). In this study, Table 4 which represent the normality check for first sample relate to Dalian city that the skew indexes range from -1.824 to -0.234, the kurtosis indexes range from 1.625 to 5.735 for Dalian city. Similarly, for Djibouti city, the skewness indexes and kurtosis indexes range from -2.821 to -0.653 and 5.689 to 1.008, respectively. Thus, we can see in Tables 4 and 5 that there is no extreme violation of normality and Maximum Likelihood could be adopted.

### Table 4. Kurtosis and Skewness indexes for Djibouti city

| Constructs | Indicators | Kurtosis  | Skewness |
|------------|------------|-----------|----------|
| Attitude   | Att1       | 4.932     | -2.821   |
|            | Att2       | 3.725     | -2.083   |
|            | Att3       | 1.843     | -1.973   |
|            | Att4       | 1.655     | -0.853   |
|            | Att5       | 1.732     | -0.794   |
|            | Att6       | 1.543     | -0.653   |
| SN1        | 5.689      | -1.942    |          |
| SN2        | 2.154      | -1.625    |          |
| SN3        | 2.463      | -1.268    |          |
| SN4        | 1.689      | -1.873    |          |
| PBC1       | 2.671      | -1.823    |          |
| PBC2       | 3.472      | -2.783    |          |
| PBC3       | 2.768      | -1.578    |          |
| PBC4       | 1.008      | -0.978    |          |
| BI1        | 3.291      | -1.456    |          |
| BI2        | 2.329      | -1.501    |          |
| BI3        | 1.932      | -0.843    |          |
| SS1        | 5.087      | -2.571    |          |
| SS2        | 2.941      | -0.908    |          |
| SS3        | 3.541      | -1.848    |          |
| C1         | 4.687      | -2.214    |          |
| C2         | 2.876      | -1.904    |          |

#### 4.2. Measurement model

First of all, the properties of the measurement model were assessed by checking the convergent validity, reliability, and discriminant validity. The value of composite reliability (CR) for all variables (see Tables 6 and 7) was higher than 0.6 for both cities (Dalian city and Djibouti city), thereby proving the reliability of the model (Bagozzi & Yi, 1988). Tables 5 and 6 show that the factor loadings of all items were higher than 0.5, and also we checked the values of average variance extracted (AVE) for all constructs which were also higher than 0.5, proving the convergent validity of the model (Fornell & Larcker, 1981). We also evaluated the square root of AVE for each construct of the model (diagonal values) in Tables 7 and 8 and found that they were higher than the inter-

### Table 3 Latent Variables with theirs indicators

| constructs | items        | wording                                                                 |
|------------|--------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Attitude   | Att1         | It is safe to cross the road during the red light.                     |
|            | Att2         | You will take any opportunity to cross the road no matter the crossing types described above. |
|            | Att3         | Crossing the road like the crossing type that you have selected in question 1, will save you a time as pedestrian. |
|            | Att4         | Saving time is very important in a daily life.                          |
|            | Att5         | Crossing the road like the crossing type that you have selected in question 1, will make you feel more comfortable. |
|            | Att6         | Comfort is very important in a daily life.                               |
| Subjective Norm | SN1 | Your family will accept that you cross the road like the crossing type that you have selected in question 1. |
|               | SN2 | You will accept that your family crosses the road like the crossing types that you have selected in question. |
|               | SN3 | Your family wants you to involve in traffic light violation.             |
|               | SN4 | Your family has always approved your opinion even if it's bad.            |
| Perceived Behavioral Control | PBC1 | You cross the road without paying attention to traffic.                  |
|               | PBC2 | You have the ability to cross the road as crossing type that you have selected in question 1. |
|               | PBC3 | You cross the road even though obstacles (parked vehicles, buildings, trees, etc.) obstruct the visibility. |
|               | PBC4 | You would endanger your life if you cross the road as the crossing type that you have selected in question 1. |
| Behavioral intention | BI1 | It is likely that you will attempt to cross the street while the traffic light is red for pedestrians. |
|               | BI2 | You intend to engage in a pedestrian violation.                           |
|               | BI3 | You will probably involve in a pedestrian violation behavior.            |
| Sensation Seeking | SS1 | You jump into new situations without much thought.                       |
|               | SS2 | You quit your job without finding another one.                           |
|               | SS3 | You are willing to take a risk in order to get what you want.            |
| Conformity tendency | C1  | Your friend crosses the road like the crossing type that you have selected in a question 1, when you prompt him/her to do it. |
|               | C2  | You cross the road like the crossing type that you have selected in a question 1, when your friend prompts you to do it. |

---
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construct correlations (off-diagonal values), showing discriminant validity for the model (Chin, 2014). Thus, the measurement models meet the requirement for the reliability and validity of the constructs for both cities.

Several goodness-of-fit indices are used in order to evaluate how well the measurement model represents the sample. The p-value of the Chi-square for Dalian data was 0.000 (χ² = 454,567, df = 162) and for Djibouti data, it was 0.000 (χ² = 478,392, df = 156). As a rule of thumb, the p-value must be larger than 0.05 for good model fit. However, the Chi-square is too sensitive to large sample size and usually rejects the model when the sample is large (Bentler & Bonett, 2014). One way to overcome the issue of effect of sample size is to use the use of the normed Chi-square (χ²/df) (Wheaton et al., 2014). (Wheaton et al., 2014) suggested a normed Chi-square between 1 and 5 for an acceptable fit. The value obtained for two samples in this study were χ²/df = 2.80, χ²/df = 3.06, showing an acceptable fit. Others indices were also used such as the Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA), Standardized Root Mean Square Residual (SRMR), The Comparative Fit Index (CFI), Normed Fit Index (NFI) and Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI). To indicate a good fit, the value of CFI, NFI and TLI should be equal or higher than 0.95, the RMSEA less (square root of AVE in diagonals) (Dalian city).

Table 5. Validity and reliability of the measurement model (Dalian city).

| Constructs | Items | Factors loading | AVE | Cronbach’s Alpha | CR |
|------------|-------|----------------|-----|-----------------|----|
| Attitude   | Att1  | 0.868          | 0.626 | 0.894          | 0.908 |
|            | Att2  | 0.862          | 0.751 | 0.774          |     |
|            | Att3  | 0.857          | 0.743 | 0.869          |     |
|            | Att4  | 0.868          | 0.996 | 0.824          |     |
|            | Att5  | 0.868          | 0.791 | 0.765          |     |
|            | Att6  | 0.868          | 0.751 | 0.774          |     |
| Subjective Norm | SN1 | 0.817 | 0.642 | 0.887 | |
|            | SN2  | 0.811          | 0.642 | 0.887          |     |
|            | SN3  | 0.713          | 0.642 | 0.887          |     |
|            | SN4  | 0.857          | 0.642 | 0.887          |     |
| Perceived Behavioral Control | PBC1 | 0.835 | 0.676 | 0.893 | |
|            | PBC2 | 0.845          | 0.676 | 0.893          |     |
|            | PBC3 | 0.845          | 0.676 | 0.893          |     |
|            | PBC4 | 0.845          | 0.676 | 0.893          |     |
| Behavioral intention | BI1 | 0.74 | 0.7 | 0.912 | 0.874 |
|            | BI2  | 0.897          | 0.897 | 0.897          |     |
|            | BI3  | 0.897          | 0.897 | 0.897          |     |
| Sensation Seeking | SS1 | 0.785 | 0.739 | 0.884 | |
|            | SS2  | 0.753          | 0.739 | 0.884          |     |
|            | SS3  | 0.753          | 0.739 | 0.884          |     |
| Conformity tendency | Ct1 | 0.953 | 0.802 | 0.89 | |
|            | Ct2  | 0.953          | 0.802 | 0.89 |     |

Note: Model measurement fits: χ² = 478,392, df = 156, p < 0.001; χ²/df = 3.06; CFI = 0.978; TLI = 0.976; RMSEA = 0.041; SRMR = 0.058, AVE = average variance extracted, CR = composite reliability.

Table 6. Validity and reliability of the measurement model (Djibouti city).

| Constructs | Items | Factors loading | AVE | Cronbach’s Alpha | CR |
|------------|-------|----------------|-----|-----------------|----|
| Attitude   | Att1  | 0.624          | 0.6 | 0.842 | 0.898 |
|            | Att2  | 0.717          | 0.842 | 0.791          |     |
|            | Att3  | 0.684          | 0.791 | 0.791          |     |
|            | Att4  | 0.964          | 0.791 | 0.791          |     |
|            | Att5  | 0.824          | 0.791 | 0.791          |     |
|            | Att6  | 0.791          | 0.791 | 0.791          |     |
| Subjective Norm | SN1 | 0.743 | 0.62 | 0.864 | 0.864 |
|            | SN2  | 0.654          | 0.864 | 0.864          |     |
|            | SN3  | 0.765          | 0.864 | 0.864          |     |
|            | SN4  | 0.957          | 0.864 | 0.864          |     |
| Perceived Behavioral Control | PBC1 | 0.605 | 0.635 | 0.872 | |
|            | PBC2 | 0.798          | 0.897 | 0.872          |     |
|            | PBC3 | 0.897          | 0.856 | 0.872          |     |
|            | PBC4 | 0.856          | 0.856 | 0.872          |     |
| Behavioral intention | BI1 | 0.852 | 0.671 | 0.858 | |
|            | BI2  | 0.701          | 0.671 | 0.858          |     |
|            | BI3  | 0.892          | 0.671 | 0.858          |     |
| Sensation Seeking | SS1 | 0.907 | 0.684 | 0.864 | |
|            | SS2  | 0.653          | 0.752 | 0.864          |     |
|            | SS3  | 0.897          | 0.752 | 0.864          |     |
| Conformity tendency | Ct1 | 0.865 | 0.734 | 0.846 | |
|            | Ct2  | 0.849          | 0.734 | 0.846          |     |

Table 7. Inter-construct correlations as discriminant validity (square root of AVE in diagonals) (Dalian city).

| Factors | ATT | SN | PBC | BI | SS | CT |
|---------|-----|----|-----|----|----|----|
| ATT     | 0.791 |    |     |    |    |    |
| SN      | 0.634** | 0.801 |    |    |    |    |
| PBC     | 0.519** | 0.329** | 0.822 |    |    |    |
| BI      | 0.673** | 0.283** | 0.728** | 0.836 |    |    |
| SS      | 0.426** | 0.189*  | 0.642** | 0.145** | 0.859 |    |
| CT      | 0.281** | 0.678** | 0.391** | 0.546** | 0.455** | 0.895 |

Note: * Significant at the 0.05 level (two-tailed); ** Significant at the 0.01 level (two-tailed).

Table 8. Inter-construct correlations as discriminant validity (square root of AVE in diagonals) (Djibouti city).

| Factors | ATT | SN | PBC | BI | SS | CT |
|---------|-----|----|-----|----|----|----|
| ATT     | 0.774 |    |     |    |    |    |
| SN      | 0.365** | 0.787 |    |    |    |    |
| PBC     | 0.634** | 0.221** | 0.796 |    |    |    |
| BI      | 0.498** | 0.365** | 0.651** | 0.818 |    |    |
| SS      | 0.176** | 0.434*  | 0.642** | 0.547** | 0.827 |    |
| CT      | 0.763** | 0.452** | 0.411** | 0.111** | 0.375** | 0.856 |

Note: * Significant at the 0.05 level (two-tailed); ** Significant at the 0.01 level (two-tailed).
4.3. Path analysis

Tables 9 and 10 display the results of the path analyses with standardized coefficients. Similar to the measurement model, the structural equation modeling results showed that the model fits the data for both cities (χ²/df = 315.306, df = 67, p < 0.001; χ²/df = 4.7; CFI = 0.956; TLI = 0.981; RMSEA = 0.043; SRMR = 0.073); (χ² = 438.033, df = 104, p < 0.001; χ²/df = 4.21; CFI = 0.963; TLI = 0.974; RMSEA = 0.029; SRMR = 0.063).

Attitude (ATT) positively affected the behavioral intention (BI) when Pedestrian are crossing the road in unsafely way for both cities (β = 0.162, p < 0.05 and β = 0.148, p < 0.05 for Dalian and Djibouti, respectively), providing support for H1. The effect of subjective norm (SN) on BI was also significant and positive (β = 0.581, p < 0.05 and β = 0.674, p < 0.05, for Dalian and Djibouti, respectively), supporting H2.

Table 9. Structural equation modeling (SEM) results (Dalian city)

| Hypotheses | Path | Coeff. | SE  | P-Value | Results |
|------------|------|--------|-----|---------|---------|
| H1         | ATT→BI | 0.162  | 0.109 | 0.004   | Supported |
| H2         | SN→BI  | 0.581  | 0.018 | 0.037   | Supported |
| H3         | PBC→BI | 0.743  | 0.262 | 0.843   | Unsupported |
| H4         | SS→BI  | 0.209  | 0.068 | 0.902   | Unsupported |
| H5         | CT→BI  | 0.464  | 0.079 | 0.009   | Supported |

Note: Model measurement fits: χ² = 315.306, df = 67, p < 0.001; χ²/df = 4.7; CFI = 0.956; TLI = 0.981; RMSEA = 0.043; SRMR = 0.073; AVE = average variance extracted, CR = composite reliability.

Table 10. Structural equation modeling (SEM) results (Djibouti City)

| Hypotheses | Path | Coeff. | SE  | p-Value | Results |
|------------|------|--------|-----|---------|---------|
| H1         | ATT→BI | 0.148  | 0.002 | 0.006   | Supported |
| H2         | SN→BI  | 0.674  | 0.019 | 0.013   | Supported |
| H3         | PBC→BI | 0.111  | 0.005 | 0.022   | Supported |
| H4         | SS→BI  | 0.352  | 0.29  | 0.001   | Supported |
| H5         | CT→BI  | 0.797  | 0.045 | 0.015   | Supported |

Note: Model measurement fits: χ² = 438.033, df = 104, p < 0.001; χ²/df = 4.21; CFI = 0.963; TLI = 0.974; RMSEA = 0.029; SRMR = 0.063; AVE = average variance extracted, CR = composite reliability.

Perceived Behavioral Control (PBC) and Sensation Seeking (SS) did not show any impact on BI in Dalian City (β = 0.743, p > 0.05 and β = 0.209, p > 0.05, respectively). So, H3 and H4 are not confirmed for Dalian City. However, for Djibouti, PBC and SS both had significant positive effect on BI (β = 0.111, p < 0.05 and β = 0.352, p < 0.05, respectively), providing support for H3 and H4. Conformity tendency (CT) had a significant and positive impact on behavioral intention (BI) for both cities (β = 0.464, p < 0.05 and β = 0.797, p < 0.05 for Dalian City and Djibouti City, respectively), providing support for H5. Altogether, our analysis model explained 60% of the variance in the behavioral intention for Djibouti City and 50% of the variance in the behavioral intention for Dalian City.

5. Conclusions and future research

5.1. Conclusions

This paper examined pedestrians’ intentions to violate traffic rules when crossing the road using the Theory of Planned Behavior coupled with other constructs (sensation seeking and conformity tendency). The data was collected in Dalian City (China) and Djibouti City (Djibouti). The major findings are summarized below.

The results show that the basic components of TPB have significant effect on the intention for both cities except for PBC, which is insignificant in Dalian city. This indicates that the basic components of TPB are important predictors of the intention to violate traffic rules, making the TPB an appropriate tool for understanding pedestrian crossing behavior. In addition, the results from Dalian city prove the earlier researchers’ conclusion that PBC may have a weaker relationship with intention than attitude and the subjective norm (H. Zhou et al., 2016).

Interestingly, conformity tendency showed a high amount of variance in behavioral intention for both cities (Dalian city and Djibouti city), indicating that this construct is one of the most important factors which influenced the pedestrians’ intention. This finding supports previous studies (H. Zhou et al., 2016; R. Zhou, Horrey, et al., 2009) and means that people would be more likely to violate traffic rules (e.g. crossing against a traffic light) when others are doing. So, this finding also indicates that the variable of conformity, defined as an individual’s readiness (or intention) to be influenced by others varies from the measure of subjective norms. The current study also found that sensation seeking significantly affected pedestrian’s crossing intention in Djibouti city, but its effect was insignificant in Dalian city. The sensation seekers would be expected to engage in risky activities such as the violation of traffic rules. The peoples of Djibouti violate the traffic rules. They are not aware about traffic signals. The traffic signals are newly installed in Djibouti and the peoples have no awareness about the traffic signals, so most of the peoples are ignoring the traffic signals but in Dalian the peoples are followed the traffic rules and they are aware about traffic signals.

The findings have implications not only for scholars, but also for practitioners. The results show that conformity tendency and sensation seeking are strong significant predictors of intention. Therefore, future studies should also consider these factors when investigating pedestrian crossing behavior. According to the results, the road infrastructure should be fitting to the pedestrians’ characteristics. For example, integrate a specific place (walking place) like building a bridge over the road in order to decrease the probability of an unlawful crossing. Similarly, the red-light duration should be compatible with the pedestrians’ optimal walking duration to avoid illegal crossing.
In summary, the present research contributes to our understanding of pedestrians’ intention to violate traffic rules when crossing the road by using an extended TPB. Overall, the current paper proves that the TPB is an appropriate theoretical model for understanding pedestrians’ intention regarding the violation of traffic rules. The second contribution of this paper is its identification of sensation seeking and conformity tendency as significant predictors of behavioral intention. Furthermore, to the best of our knowledge, the current research was the first attempt to explore pedestrian behavior in Africa (Djibouti city) using psychological factors in the examined model. Therefore, the present study has provided the insight into pedestrian violating behavior when crossing the road in African countries.

5.2. Future research

The current study has some limitations. First the use of intention only in TPB, does not allow an assessment of actual behavior. Extension from behavioral intention to actual behavior should be tested. However, our intention was not to predict risk behaviors or measure increments in actual risk, but rather to provide a theoretical structure to the examination of a real problem affecting pedestrians, especially in developing countries. Another limitation of this study can be the small number of items. In order to keep high internal reliability of the data, a small number of items were included in the final questionnaire, which could affect the results. In the future studies, it would be desirable to increase the number of items in each construct.
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