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The license plate recognition is an important part of the intelligent traffic management system, and the application of deep learning to the license plate recognition system can effectively improve the speed and accuracy of recognition. Aiming at the problems of traditional license plate recognition algorithms such as the low accuracy, slow speed, and the recognition rate being easily affected by the environment, a Convolutional Neural Network- (CNN-) based license plate recognition algorithm-Fast-LPRNet is proposed. This algorithm uses the nonegmentation recognition method, removes the fully connected layer, and reduces the number of parameters. The algorithm—which has strong generalization ability, scalability, and robustness—performs license plate recognition on the FPGA hardware. Increasing the depth of network on the basis of the Fast-LPRNet structure, the dataset of Chinese City Parking Dataset (CCPD) can be recognized with an accuracy beyond 90%. The experimental results show that the license plate recognition algorithm has high recognition accuracy, strong generalization ability, and good robustness.

1. Introduction

In recent years, neural networks have been widely studied by researchers. Among them, the convolutional neural network, which has high adaptability and excellent recognition ability, has been widely used in such fields as classification and recognition and target detection [1–3]. Examples include Italian wine and iris classification [4], malicious code variant detection [5], and operational target threat assessment [6]. Because of the advantages of abundant hardware resources, powerful parallel computing capabilities, and configurable devices, FPGA has become an ideal choice for neural network implementation platforms. Therefore, many researchers have gone about studying the method of accelerating neural network by using FPGA [7–9].

There are researches on deep learning target detection algorithms at home and abroad, which not only reduces the amount of algorithm calculations and improves the efficiency of target detection in actual research applications, but also promotes the development of development platforms.

The rapid development of deep learning promotes the research process of target detection algorithms. In [10], Yi et al. introduced the adaptive strategy into the basic PNN model and proposed the adaptive neural network, which solved the problem of transformer fault diagnosis better. In [11], the learning-based intelligent optimization algorithm (LIOA) is studied, which has a certain learning ability and achieves better optimization behavior. Having studied the target detection algorithms, Safaei found that the training time of Fast-RCNN algorithm detection was reduced by 9.5h, which opened up a new research path for target detection algorithms [12]. The advantages of the YOLO algorithm have promoted the use of YOLO in the field of license plate recognition systems [13, 14].

The rapid development of deep learning is inseparable from the support of engineering implementation technology. With the expansion of deep learning network systems, the amount of calculation of data information processing has increased, and the traditional CPU processors can no longer meet the demand for calculations. Abd E1-Maksoud et al. selected ASIC processors, FPGA processors, and GPU processors as the research objects. By comparing and
analyzing the characteristics and functions of several processors, it was concluded that FPGA processors are more suitable for development in target detection systems’ application [15].

In [16], the monitoring method of neural network is used to realize the supervision and control of maglev train. In [4], an adaptive control method based on neural network is proposed to stabilize the air gap of nonlinear maglev train. All these are the combination of neural network and modern transportation system. License plate recognition is one of the important research topics in the application of target detection in the field of intelligent transportation, which has a wide range of practical application prospects [17]. Traditional license plate recognition algorithms have low accuracy and slow speed, and the recognition rate is easily affected by the environment. However, networks such as CNN and RPNet are used in license plate recognition systems with high accuracy and fast speed [18, 19]. The facts have proved that the advantages of the license plate recognition system using deep neural networks are very clear.

The research of this paper provides the following contributions:

(i) A license plate recognition algorithm, FAST-LPRNET, based on convolutional neural network was proposed
(ii) This algorithm can simultaneously complete license plate detection and segmentation-free recognition steps
(iii) The neural network hardware environment was successfully deployed on FPGA and the experiment was completed
(iv) A large number of experimental results show that this method is a fast and accurate license plate recognition algorithm

2. Overview of Convolutional Neural Networks

2.1. Network Structure. The core structure of the convolutional neural network consists of three parts, namely, the convolutional layer, the pooling layer, and the fully connected layer. The structure of the convolutional neural network is shown in Figure 1, each part of the convolutional neural network can be a single layer or multiple layers and can train images or convert data in image format. Taking the image format as input, the main function of the convolutional layer is to extract features, the function of the pooling layer is to reduce the amount of data processing while retaining useful information, and the function of the fully connected layer is to transform the feature map into the final desired output.

2.1.1. Convolutional Layer. The convolution layer convolves the input data to extract the new feature map. After the convolution layer, the neural network does not need to deal with each point, but with each small block of regional data. Through the continuous movement of convolution kernel in the input feature map, new feature maps are obtained. These feature maps are continuously compressed, but the depth is increased so as to have a deeper understanding of the input.

In image processing, convolution operation is the weighted summation of each region by using convolution kernel. The depth represents the color image in the image to obtain the information of RGB three colors. The convolution kernel moves continuously to obtain a new feature map. Convolution kernels in convolutional neural networks are unknown and can be adjusted during training to write weight W. The calculation method of convolution layer is shown in the following formula:

$$y_j^{l} = \sum_{w_{ij}\in M_{j}} x_{i}^{l-1} \times w_{ij} + b_{j},$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Among them, $y_j^{l}$ represents the output of the $j$ neurons in the first layer of the neural network, $M_{j}$ represents the set of all convolution kernels, $w$ represents the convolution kernel, $x$ represents the input, and $b$ is the bias.

2.1.2. Pooling Layer. The pooling layer, also known as the downsampling layer, can achieve nonlinear dimension reduction, expand the perception field, and extract the sparse characteristics of the input. The formula of the pooling layer is shown in the following formula:

$$x_i = f(\beta, \text{down}(x_i) + b_i).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Down represents the downsampling parameters, and the commonly used pooling methods are the two ways to maximize the pooling of the local area of the pooling kernel.

2.1.3. Full-Connection Layer. The full-connection layer is also called “classifier” throughout the convolutional neural network. Each neuron in the full-connection layer is connected with the neurons in the previous layer. There is only multiplication in the full-connection layer, and one feature space is linearly transformed to another feature space to achieve the classification effect.

2.2. Main Features of CNN. CNN has the characteristics of less network parameters, abstract feature extraction, and receptive field. Because of the existence of convolution layer, the number of CNN parameters is greatly reduced. Another feature of CNN is that it has certain advantages in the extraction of abstract features. For ordinary neural networks, it is necessary to manually design certain features, and then extract features from the dataset as the input of the network. Since the extracted features are more representative, the performance of CNN is also good. The receptive field is defined as the size of the region mapped by the pixels on the feature map output by CNN at each layer on the input image, which can well reflect the correlation between the local features of the feature map.

2.3. CNN-Based Nonsegmentation License Plate Recognition Method. CNN network can be used in scene classification and image classification [20, 21]. It has strong feature
extraction ability, and convolution operation can maintain the spatial relationship between pixels so that the features obtained by convolution are arranged regularly. The license plate image is input into the convolution network. After a series of convolution operations, the features are arranged in a certain order. At this time, multiple full-connection layers (FC) are used to perform full-connection operation on the convolutional feature map. Through training, each full-connection layer has different sensitivity to different regions of the feature map, so as to achieve the effect of identifying multiple characters.

As shown in Figure 2, license plate Jing A12345, FC3 is responsible for predicting characters in area A3, while FC3 is basically insensitive to other areas. There are 34 different characters in region A3. To accurately identify all characters in this region, the characters appearing in the image in the training set on this region should be as complete as possible; otherwise, FC3 cannot learn all character classification features.

3. Research on the License Plate Recognition Algorithm Fast-LPRNet

Compared with the traditional license plate recognition method, undividing the end-to-end recognition method avoids the uncertainty caused by character segmentation [22]. Combined with the advantages of object-based recognition method and undivided CNN recognition method, the license plate recognition network Fast-LPRNet is designed to remove the full-connection layer and reduce the parameters, which can quickly and accurately identify the license plate.

3.1. Design of Fast-LPRNet. The Fast-LPRNet structure is shown in Figure 3. In this paper, feature extraction network is based on CNN and the classifier with weight sharing to compose the final Fast-LPRNet. Compared with the traditional license plate recognition algorithm, the end-to-end recognition network without segmentation has significant advantages in recognition accuracy and reasoning speed. The network has three convolution kernels with different structures, namely, the convolution kernel with $3 \times 3$ step length of 2, the convolution kernel with $8 \times 1$ step length of 1, and the convolution kernel with $1 \times 8$ step length of 4. ReLU is also used as a network activation function. The network removes the pooling layer and full-connection layer, greatly reducing the network parameters and reasoning time.

The superparameters are based on experience and experiment, including learning rate and momentum. In the algorithm, the use of $3 \times 3$ step length 2 convolution can maximize the computational density and have fast computing power while having an appropriate receptive field [23]. The use of $8 \times 1$ step length 1 and $1 \times 8$ step length 4 is to change the layout of the feature graph because the feature becomes $8 \times 32$ after the $3 \times 3$ convolution. In order to facilitate and accelerate, using only one $8 \times 1$ and one $1 \times 8$ turns into a $1 \times 7$ feature map layout.

The convolution layer is used to extract the feature information of the input, which is composed of several convolution units. The parameters of each convolution unit are optimized by the backpropagation algorithm. Through the regular movement of the receptive field to the input image, the convolution operation is performed to extract the feature of the corresponding region. The bottom convolution is extracted to the low-level feature, and the high-level convolution can extract the deep feature. Convolution layer has the characteristics of local receptive field and weight sharing, which can reduce the parameters in the network. The pseudocode of the fast-LPRNet algorithm is shown in Figure 4.

The image features extracted by convolution operation are linear, but the real samples are often nonlinear. The activation function is introduced so that each pixel can be represented by any value from 0 to 1 to simulate more subtle
Activation functions are generally nonlinear, continuously differentiable, and monotonic. The network uses the ReLU function as the activation function. Compared with the sigmoid function and the tanh function, the ReLU function has the characteristics of unilateral suppression and sparse activation [24]. That is, when \( x > 0 \), the gradient is constant to 1, and there is no gradient dissipation problem. When \( x < 0 \), the output is 0. After training, the more neurons 0, the sparser the network, and the more representative the extracted features can alleviate the problem of overfitting to some extent. The disadvantage of this activation function is that the forced sparse processing will cause the model to fail to learn more effective features, so pay attention to the setting of the learning rate during training to prevent too many neurons’ necrosis.

### 3.2. Implementation of Fast-LPRNet

#### 3.2.1. Shared-Weight Classifier

Two shared-weight classifiers with convolution kernel of \( 1 \times 8 \) step size of 4 are used to perform convolution operation on the feature map, and two feature maps with size of \( 1 \times 7 \) are obtained. Their channel numbers are 33 and 35, respectively. The layout of the \( 1 \times 7 \)
4. Experiment and Discussion

In order to verify the feasibility of the improved algorithm, the proposed algorithm is applied to the hardware experimental platform built by FPGA. The platform uses hardware and software cooperation to verify the license plate recognition. Firstly, HLS high-level synthesis tool is used to generate the design of CNN algorithm acceleration [26], and then Quartus integrated development environment is used to complete the hardware design. The Linux system is built in PS of FPGA, and the dataset, executable program, and device tree file are copied into SD card to start Intel FPGA: Cyclone V development board for license plate recognition. The specific experimental platform architecture is shown in Figure 6.

4.1. Implementation of License Plate Recognition Based on FPGA

The operating environment of this experiment is CentOS Linux system, in which Quartus Prime 18.1 is the FPGA development software, Eclipse is the integrated development environment, C language is used for development, and Cyclone V: 5CSEBA6U23I7 is the selected FPGA chip model.

In Linux system, HLS compiler tool i ++ is used to compile the conv layer and ReLU layer code written by c into RTL level, which is added to the Q-SYS system. The required clock and reset interface are configured, and the four operators are connected to the control IP through the Avalon interface and HLS interface.

According to the structure of the neural network, three convolution kernels with different structures are needed, namely, the convolution kernel with 3 × 3 step size of 2, the convolution kernel with 8 × 1 step size of 1, and the convolution kernel with 1 × 8 step size of 4. In addition, ReLU is used as the activation function of the network, which is also the key part that needs to be accelerated. The IP of the four operators compiled by HLS is shown in Figure 7. The hardware structure of the corresponding neural network structure is designed in Quartus Prime, and the generated sof file is generated to generate the .rbf file required by uboot, and finally the .dtb binary device tree file required by Linux is generated.

4.2. Test and Analysis

4.2.1. Graying

Converting a color image to a grayscale image refers to image decolorization. Grayscale operation can simplify the image information, but the recognition
accuracy is not affected. Color image has three components. If red, green, and blue are set as the same value, the image will become gray image, that is, monochromatic image. The gray value is represented by the number between 0 and 255, representing the gray depth between white and black. The results of license plate graying are shown in Figure 8.

4.2.2. Normalization. Before the image is input to the convolutional neural network, the convolutional neural network is standardized to make different sizes of data fall in a small specific interval. The most typical normalization method is used to map the data to \([0, 1]\) interval. Normalized image data has the following advantages:

1. Improving the convergence speed of the model: when the value range of two different feature objects is too large, when optimizing them, an irregular narrow and long ellipse will be obtained. When training on the network, the direction of gradient descent will change from the direction of the vertical contour is changed to a zigzag route, which greatly reduces the iteration speed and affects the efficiency of network recognition.

2. Improving the accuracy of the model: when the network needs to perform distance calculations such as Euclidean distance, the difference of the features will cause the loss of accuracy.

4.2.3. System Performance Test. The experiment builds a test environment on the AWCloud artificial intelligence edge experimental platform to test the detection function and operation performance of the license plate recognition algorithm. The LPR network uses an “end-to-end” recognition method. For the dataset of 30 license plate images, Fast-LPRNet was used for recognition. Thirty license plate images were successfully identified within 5.5 seconds, and the recognition accuracy was 100%. The recognition results are shown in Figure 9.
4.2.4. **Algorithm Generalization Ability Test.** The recognition method in this paper eliminates the segmentation step enough to extract feature information on the complete license plate image so that the network has strong generalization ability. In order to test the generalization ability of the network, add some interference to the license plate image, test the accuracy of the network to identify the license plate. As shown in Figure 10, adding random salt and pepper noise in the case of character adhesion, the network can correctly identify.

In addition, different interference is added between the upper, lower, and diagonal characters of the license plate image. As shown in Figure 11, after testing, the network can still accurately identify the license plate characters.

4.2.5. **Algorithm Scalability and Robustness Test.** In order to explore the high scalability of the proposed license plate recognition network, the depth of the network is increased on the basis of the Fast-LPRNet structure, and the Deep-LPRNet license plate recognition network is obtained. The structure is shown in Figure 12. Deep-LPRNet has more convolution layers and channels and can extract more feature information from the original image. There is no additional block structure in the network, such as BN layer and residual block, because it is necessary to analyze the expansion ability of the end-to-end identification network itself, so it is necessary to have a network structure that is basically the same as Fast-LPRNet, additional block structure that reduces the network overfitting ability and convergence effect.

Deep-LPRNet is used to train CCPD data. CCPD dataset is a public dataset of Chinese automobile license plate, which is commonly used in the research of license plate recognition [27, 28]. At present, there are more than 250,000 license plate images, including a total of nine categories, as shown in Figures 13 and 14 shows the sample images in the CCPD dataset.

Select CCPD-Base images after cutting and resize (Figure 15) to network training. CCPD-Base has nearly 200,000 images, selecting 120,000 as training sets and 80,000 as test sets, using the PyTorch framework for training. Other hyperparameters are basically the same as when training Fast-LPRNet. When the network is trained to the convergence state, the recognition accuracy reaches more than 90%
Deep-LPRNet

| size    | channel | description                  |
|---------|---------|------------------------------|
| 64×256  | 3       | CONV3-ReLu                   |
| 32×128  | 16      | CONV3-ReLu-CONV3-ReLu       |
| 16×64   | 64      | CONV3-ReLu-CONV3-ReLu       |
| 8×32    | 128     | CONV3-ReLu-CONV8×1-ReLu     |
| 1×32    | 128     | (CONV1×8)x2                 |
| 1×7     | 33      | out                          |
| 1×7     | 35      | out                          |
Figure 13: CCPD dataset layout [14].

Figure 14: Sample picture of CCPD dataset [14].

Figure 15: License plate images after cutting and adjusting size.
on the test set (Figure 16), indicating that the network based on this structure has strong scalability and robustness and has strong practical application value.

5. Conclusion

This paper studies the algorithm of license plate recognition network based on FPGA. Through the combination of software and hardware design, the Fast-LPRNet network is proposed on the basis of CNN, and the license plate segmentation is improved and optimized. Finally, the feasibility of the algorithm is verified. The average frame rate is 5.45 frames/second, and the accuracy of recognizing 30 license plate images is 100%. The deep-LPRNet network is added. The recognition test is carried out on the CCPD-Base dataset, and the recognition accuracy is as high as 90%.

In this study, the combination of convolutional neural network and FPGA development is our innovation, but there is still room for improvement in FPGA hardware. In the future work, we hope to optimize the algorithm structure and build the optimized hardware structure on the FPGA. In addition, it will be combined with some other new metaheuristic algorithms, such as monarch butterfly optimization (MBO) [29], earthworm optimization algorithm (EWA) [30], elephant herding optimization (EHO) [31], slime mould algorithm (SMA) [33], Harris’s hawks optimization (HHO) [34], and so on. This combination will further improve the performance of Fast-LPRNet.
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