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Abstract
This paper studies the problem of finite-time synchronization for a class of heterogeneous complex networks which not only have node time-varying delays and coupled time-varying delays but also contain uncertain disturbance. An appropriate controller is designed such that this type of network can be synchronized within a finite time. By constructing a proper Lyapunov function and using the finite-time stability theory, the sufficient conditions for the network to achieve finite-time synchronization are given and the finite time is estimated. Finally, the conclusions obtained are extended to the case of homogeneous complex networks with time-varying delays and uncertain disturbance.
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1. Introduction
In the past few decades, complex networks (CNs) have received increasing attention due to their applications in various fields including food webs, communication networks, the Internet and so on. Much effort has been devoted to the control and synchronization of CNs, since it can describe many phenomena in nature and human society, and has many potential applications [1] [2] [3]. So far, some fruitful results about the synchronization of CNs have been obtained [4] [5] [6] [7]. The research on synchronization and synchronization control of CNs is very meaningful. In order to achieve system synchronization, many methods such as impulse control [8] [9] [10], adaptive control [11] [12] and their combination [13] [14] are widely used in chaotic systems. Due to noise, limited
signal transmission and other reasons, time delays are common in nature and they can also change with time. The time-varying delays in complex networks usually include node time delays and coupling time delays [15] [16] [17].

The complex dynamic network model studied in [18] has node time delay and coupling time delay, but it studies the asymptotic synchronization of complex dynamic network, which means that the complex network achieves synchronization in infinite time. In practical applications, the synchronization of complex dynamic networks usually needs to be achieved within a limited time. Therefore, in recent years, the finite-time synchronization of complex dynamic networks has been paid attention to by many scholars, and some research results have been obtained [19]-[24]. In [24] the finite-time synchronization of complex dynamic networks with time delay is studied. The nodes of the complex networks studied above all have the same dynamic system, but in the real world, there are differences between the network nodes, and the dynamic systems of the network nodes are not exactly the same, that is, the expression of the state function for different nodes is different. The synchronization control of heterogeneous complex network has also attracted the attention of scholars [25] [26] [27]. Reference [28] studied the finite-time synchronization problems of heterogeneous complex networks, but did not discuss the situation of nodes with time delay and coupling time delay.

According to the points discussed above, this paper aims to further investigate finite-time synchronization of heterogeneous complex networks with time-varying delays and uncertain disturbance. Based on the finite-time stability theory, by designing an appropriate controller and constructing proper Lyapunov function this kind of node heterogeneous time-delayed complex networks can achieve finite-time synchronization. And we can obtain the sufficient conditions for the finite-time synchronization. Then, the results obtained are extended to the case of homogeneous complex networks with time-varying delays and uncertain disturbance.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the model and necessary preliminaries. In Section 3 the main results are given. Section 4 is the conclusions.

2. Model and Preliminaries

Consider a heterogeneous complex network with time-varying delay and linearly coupled by $N$ different nodes, the state equation of the $i$-th node ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$) is

$$
\dot{x}_i(t) = f_i(t, x_i(t), x_i(t - \tau_i(t))) + p_i(t, x_i(t)) + c \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{ij} G x_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t)) \tag{1}
$$

$x_i(t) = (x_{i1}(t), x_{i2}(t), \ldots, x_{in}(t))^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the state variable of the $i$-th node at time $t$, $f_i(t, x_i(t), x_i(t - \tau_i(t))): \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is the smooth vector function of the $i$-th dynamic system; $p_i(t, x_i(t)) : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is the uncertain disturbance term of the $i$-th dynamic system and it is a bounded function;
\begin{align*}
0 \leq \tau _1 (t) \leq \tau _1, 0 \leq \tau _2 (t) \leq \tau _2 \quad \text{are respectively node time-varying delay and coupling time delay, where } \tau _1, \tau _2 \text{ are known constants; } c \in R^+ \text{ is the network coupling strength; external coupling matrix } A = \left\{ a _{ij} \right\} \in R^{N \times N} \text{ represents the external coupling constant matrix between nodes, where the matrix } A \text{ does not need to be a symmetric matrix, if there is a connection between node } i \text{ and node } j, \text{ then } a _{ij} > 0, \text{ otherwise } a _{ij} = 0 \left( i \neq j \right), \text{ and the diagonal elements } a _{ii} = - \sum _{j=1, j \neq i} ^N a _{ij}, i = 1, 2, \cdots , N; \quad G = \text{diag} \left( \delta _1, \delta _2, \cdots , \delta _N \right) \in R^{n \times n} \text{ is an internal coupling matrix and } \delta _i \geq 0, \left( i = 1, 2, \cdots , N \right), \text{ which represents the internal topology of the network.}

\text{Definition 1. The synchronous solution of the heterogeneous complex network (1) can be described by}
\begin{equation}
\dot{x}_0 (t) = f_0 \left( t, x_0 (t), x_0 (t-\tau _1 (t)) \right) + p_0 \left( t, x_0 (t) \right) \quad \quad (2)
\end{equation}
\text{It can be a balance point, periodic trajectory or chaotic trajectory.}

\text{Definition 2. The node error of heterogeneous complex network (1) is}
\begin{equation}
e_i (t) = x_i (t) - x_0 (t), \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots , N \quad (3)
\end{equation}
\text{The finite-time synchronization problem of the heterogeneous complex network (1) can be defined as follows:}
\text{If there is a time } t' > 0 \text{ such that}
\begin{equation}
\lim _{t \to t'} \left\| e_i (t) \right\| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| e_i (t) \right\| = 0 \quad \text{for } t > t', i = 1, 2, \cdots , N,
\end{equation}
\text{where } t' \text{ is called the settling time, then the complex network is synchronized with the synchronization solution } x_0 (t) \text{ in a finite time.}
\text{In order to complete the finite-time synchronization of the heterogeneous complex network (1), the controller } u_i (t) \in R^n \text{ needs to be applied to the nodes of the complex network (1). The design is as follows:}
\begin{equation}
u_i (t) = -d_1 e_i (t) - \eta (t) SIGN \left( e_i (t) \right)
- k \left[ \text{sign} \left( e_i (t) \right) \right] \left[ e_i (t) \right] + \left( k_1 \int _{t-t_1 (t)} ^t e_i (s) e_i (s) ds \right) \frac{1}{2} \left[ e_i (t) \right] + \left( k_2 \int _{t-t_2 (t)} ^t e_i (s) e_i (s) ds \right) \left[ e_i (t) \right]
\end{equation}
\text{where } \text{sign} \left( \cdot \right) \text{ represents a symbolic function, } d_1, \eta > 0, k_1 > 0, k_2 > 0 \text{ are undetermined constants, } k \text{ is a given positive constant, } \beta \in R \text{ and satisfies } 0 < \beta < 1.
\text{In Equation (4),}
\begin{equation}
\text{SIGN} \left( e_i (t) \right) = \left[ \text{sign} \left( e_{i_1} (t) \right), \text{sign} \left( e_{i_2} (t) \right), \cdots , \text{sign} \left( e_{i_n} (t) \right) \right]
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\text{sign} \left( e_i (t) \right) = \text{diag} \left[ \text{sign} \left( e_{i_1} (t) \right), \text{sign} \left( e_{i_2} (t) \right), \cdots , \text{sign} \left( e_{i_n} (t) \right) \right]
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\left[ e_i (t) \right] = \left[ e_{i_1} (t) \right]^p, \left[ e_{i_2} (t) \right]^p , \cdots , \left[ e_{i_n} (t) \right]^p
\end{equation}
\text{So the complex network (1) with controller } u_i (t) \text{ can be expressed as:}
From Equation (2), Equation (3) and Equation (8) the network error dynamic equation of the system can be derived as

\[ \dot{e}_i(t) = f_i(t, x_i(t), x_i(t - \tau_i(t))) - f_0(t, x_0(t), x_0(t - \tau_i(t))) \\
+ p_i(t, x_i(t)) - p_0(t, x_0(t)) + c \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{ij} G_j(t - \tau_j(t)) + u_i(t) \\
= F_i(t, e_i(t), e_i(t - \tau_i(t))) + P_i(t, e_i(t)) \\
+ c \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{ij} G_j(t - \tau_j(t)) + u_i(t) \tag{9} \]

The assumptions and lemmas needed to prove the finite-time synchronization of the heterogeneous complex network (1) are given below.

Assumption 1. [29] For any \( x(t), y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \), there are positive constants \( L_1, L_2 \), satisfying the inequality

\[ (x(t) - y(t))^T \left[ f(t, x(t), x(t - \tau(t))) - f(t, y(t), y(t - \tau(t))) \right] \]

\[ \leq L_1 \left[ x(t) - y(t) \right]^T \left[ x(t) - y(t) \right] \\
+ L_2 \left[ x(t - \tau(t)) - y(t - \tau(t)) \right]^T \left[ x(t - \tau(t)) - y(t - \tau(t)) \right] \]

Assumption 2. \( 0 \leq \dot{\tau}_i(t) \leq h_i < 1, \quad 0 \leq \dot{\tau}_2(t) \leq h_i < 1 \) where \( h_i (i = 1, 2) \) are known constants.

Lemma 1. [30] For any two vectors \( x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \), the following inequality holds

\[ 2x^T y \leq x^T x + y^T y. \]

Assumption 3. [31] There is a time-varying bounded function \( \mu(t) > 0 \), such that the following inequality holds

\[ \left\| f_i(t, x(t)) - f_0(t, x(t)) \right\| < \mu(t), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, N. \]

Assumption 4. There exists a positive constant \( p_{\text{max}} \) for the uncertain disturbance term \( p_i(t, x_i(t)), i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, N \), such that \( \left\| p_i(t, x_i(t)) \right\| \leq p_{\text{max}} \).

Lemma 2. [32] For \( \forall a_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, N \), if \( p, q \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < p < 1, 0 < q < 2 \) then we have

\[ |a_p^p + a_q^q + \cdots + a_s^s| \geq \left( |a_1|^p + |a_2|^p + \cdots + |a_s|^p \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \]

\[ \left( |a_1| + |a_2| + \cdots + |a_s| \right)^q \leq |a_1|^p + |a_2|^p + \cdots + |a_s|^p. \]

Lemma 3. [33] Assume that a continuous, positive-definite function \( V(t) \) satisfies the following differential inequality:

\[ \frac{dV(t)}{dt} \leq -\varepsilon V^\alpha(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0, V(0) \geq 0, \]

where \( \alpha, \varepsilon \) are positive constants and \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \), then
3. Main Results

In this section, by using Lyapunov function and finite-time stability theory, the authors focus on investigating finite-time synchronization of the heterogeneous complex networks with time-varying delay and uncertain disturbance. The main results are given by the following theorem:

Theorem 1. If there are positive constants \( d_i (i = 1, 2, \cdots, N) \), \( k_1, k_2 \) satisfy the following conditions

\[
\left( L_1 + \frac{k_1}{2} + \frac{k_2}{2} \right) I - (D \otimes I_n) + \frac{c}{2} BB^T \leq 0
\]

(10)

\[
L_2 - \frac{k_1}{2} (1 - h_1) \leq 0
\]

(11)

\[
\frac{c}{2} k_2 \leq \frac{1}{2} (1 - h_2) \leq 0
\]

(12)

\[
2 p_{\text{max}} + \mu(t) - \eta(t) \leq 0
\]

(13)

where \( D = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \cdots, d_N) > 0 \), \( A^s = \frac{A + A^T}{2} \), \( B = A^s \otimes G \), then under the premise of satisfying Assumptions 1 - 4, the controlled network (8) of the nodes applying the controller (4) can be synchronized in finite time. The settling time is estimated as

\[
t^* = \frac{V^{1-\beta}(0)}{k_2^{1+\beta} (1 - \beta)}
\]

Proof: Construct Lyapunov function,

\[
V(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i^T(t) e_i(t) + \frac{k_1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{-\tau_i(t)}^{0} e_i^T(s) e_i(s) ds + \frac{k_2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{-\tau_i(t)}^{0} e_i^T(s) e_i(s) ds
\]

(14)

Take the derivative of \( V(t) \) along the error system (9),

\[
\dot{V}(t) = \dot{V}_1(t) + \dot{V}_2(t) + \dot{V}_3(t)
\]

(15)

The derivative of \( V_1(t) \) can be calculated as

\[
\dot{V}_1(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i^T \left[ F_i(t, e_i(t), e_i(t - \tau_i(t))) + P_i(t, e_i(t)) \right]
\]

\[
+ c \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{i} G e_j(t - \tau_j) + u_j(t)
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i^T(t) F_i(t, e_i(t), e_i(t - \tau_i(t))) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i^T(t) P_i(t, e_i(t))
\]

\[
+ c \sum_{j=1}^{N} e_j^T(t) \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i} G e_j(t - \tau_j) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i^T(t) u_j(t)
\]

\[
= W_1 + W_2 + W_3 + W_4
\]

(16)
In Equation (16),

\[ W_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i^T(t) [f_i(t, x_i(t), x_i(t - \tau_i(t))) - f_0(t, x_0(t), x_0(t - \tau_1(t)))] \]
\[ W_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i^T(t) P_i(t, e_i(t)) \]
\[ W_3 = c \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i^T(t) \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{ij} G e_j(t - \tau_2(t)) \]
\[ W_4 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i^T(t) u_i(t) \]

\( W_1 \) can be rewritten as

\[ W_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i^T(t) \left[ f_i(t, x_i(t), x_i(t - \tau_i(t))) - f_i(t, x_0(t), x_0(t - \tau_1(t))) + f_i(t, x_0(t), x_0(t - \tau_1(t))) - f_0(t, x_0(t), x_0(t - \tau_1(t))) \right] \]
\[ = W_{11} + W_{12} \]

where

\[ W_{11} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i^T(t) \left[ f_i(t, x_i(t), x_i(t - \tau_i(t))) - f_i(t, x_0(t), x_0(t - \tau_1(t))) \right] \]
\[ W_{12} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i^T(t) \left[ f_i(t, x_0(t), x_0(t - \tau_1(t))) - f_0(t, x_0(t), x_0(t - \tau_1(t))) \right] \]

Let \( e(t) = [e_1^T(t), e_2^T(t), \ldots, e_N^T(t)]^T \) and using Assumption 1, we have

\[ W_{11} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ L_1 e_i^T(t) e_i(t) + L_2 e_i^T(t - \tau_i(t)) e_i(t - \tau_i(t)) \right] \]
\[ = L_1 e^T(t) e(t) + L_2 e^T(t - \tau_1(t)) e(t - \tau_1(t)) \]

Using Assumption 3 and the formula \( \| e_i(t) \| = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{N} e_j^2(t) \right)^{1/2} \), we obtain

\[ W_{12} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \| e_i(t) \|_2 \left\| f_i(t, x_0(t), x_0(t - \tau_i(t))) - f_0(t, x_0(t), x_0(t - \tau_1(t))) \right\|_2 \]
\[ \leq \mu(t) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \| e_i(t) \|_2 \]

(17)

By using Assumption 4, we can get

\[ W_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i^T(t) \left[ P_i(t, x_i(t)) - P_0(t, x_0(t)) \right] \]
\[ \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \| e_i(t) \|_2 \left\| P_i(t, x_i(t)) - P_0(t, x_0(t)) \right\|_2 \]
\[ \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \| e_i(t) \|_2 \left( \left\| P_i(t, x_i(t)) \right\|_2 + \| P_0(t, x_0(t)) \|_2 \right) \]
\[ \leq 2p_{\max} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \| e_i(t) \|_2 \]

(19)
Let $A^s = \frac{A + A^T}{2}$, and note that $G$ is a diagonal matrix, by utilizing the quality of the Kronecker product of matrices,

$$ W_3 = c(t)\sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i^T(t)\sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j G e_j(t - \tau_2(t)) $$

$$ \leq c e^T(t) (A \otimes G) e(t - \tau_2(t)) $$

$$ = c e^T(t) \left( \frac{A \otimes G + (A \otimes G)^T}{2} \right) e(t - \tau_2(t)) $$

$$ = c e^T(t) \left( A^s \otimes G \right) e(t - \tau_2(t)) $$

Let $B$ be defined by $B = A^s \otimes G$, it can be obtained that

$$ W_3 \leq c e^T(t) Be(t - \tau_2(t)) $$

From Equation (4), namely the definition of $u_i(t)$, $W_4$ can be represented as follows:

$$ W_4 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i^T(t)\left\{−d_i e_i(t) - \eta(t) \text{SIGN} (e_i(t)) - k \left[ \text{sign} (e_i(t)) \right] e_i(t) \right\} $$

$$ + \left\{ k_1 \int_{t-\tau_3(t)}^{t} e_i^T(s)e_i(s) ds \right\}^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}} \frac{e_i(t)}{\left\| e_i(t) \right\|^\beta} $$

$$ + \left\{ k_2 \int_{t-\tau_2(t)}^{t} e_i^T(s)e_i(s) ds \right\}^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}} \frac{e_i(t)}{\left\| e_i(t) \right\|^\beta} $$

$$ = W_{41} + W_{42} + W_{43} $$

Define $D = \text{diag} (d_1, d_2, \cdots, d_N) > 0$, and note that

$$ \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i^T(t) \text{SIGN} (e_i(t)) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} e_j(t), $$

we have from Equation (22),

$$ W_{41} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i^T(t)\left[−d_i e_i(t) - \eta(t) \text{SIGN} (e_i(t)) \right] $$

$$ = -e^T(t) (D \otimes I_N) e(t) - \eta(t) \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i^T(t) \text{SIGN} (e_i(t)) $$

$$ \leq -e^T(t) (D \otimes I_N) e(t) - \eta(t) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \| e_i(t) \| $$

By using Lemma 2, we have

$$ \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} e_j(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}} \geq \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} e_j(t)^\beta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} $$

$$ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \| e_j(t) \|^{\beta+1} \geq \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \| e_j(t) \|^\beta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} $$

Combining (24) and setting $\| e_i(t) \| = \left[ \| e_1(t) \|, \| e_2(t) \|, \cdots, \| e_N(t) \| \right]^T$, it can be obtained that
\[
W_{42} = -k \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i^T(t) \text{sign}(e_i(t)) |e_i(t)|^{\beta}
\]

\[
= -k \sum_{i=1}^{N} |e_i(t)| |e_i(t)|^{\beta}
\]

\[
= -k \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{n} e_{ij}^T(t) e_{ij}(t) |e_{ij}(t)|^{\beta+1}
\]

\[
\leq -k \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{n} |e_{ij}(t)|^{\beta+1} \right)^{\frac{\beta+1}{2}}
\]

\[
= -k \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i^T(t) e_i(t) \right)^{\frac{\beta+1}{2}}
\]  

(25)

\[
W_{43} = -k \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i^T(t) \left[ k_1 \int_{t-t_{ij}(t)}^{t} e_i^T(s) e_i(s) ds \right]^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}} e_i(t) \left\| e_i(t) \right\|^{\frac{\beta}{2}}
\]

\[
+ \left( k_2 \int_{t-t_{ij}(t)}^{t} e_i^T(s) e_i(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}} e_i(t) \left\| e_i(t) \right\|^{\frac{\beta}{2}}
\]

\[
= -k \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( k_1 \int_{t-t_{ij}(t)}^{t} e_i^T(s) e_i(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}}
\]

\[
- k \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( k_2 \int_{t-t_{ij}(t)}^{t} e_i^T(s) e_i(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}}
\]

(26)

From (14), (25), (26) and Lemma 2, one has

\[
W_{42} + W_{43} \leq -k \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i^T(t) e_i(t) + k_1 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{t-t_{ij}(t)}^{t} e_i^T(s) e_i(s) ds \right]^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}} e_i(t) \left\| e_i(t) \right\|^{\frac{\beta}{2}}
\]

\[
+ k_2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{t-t_{ij}(t)}^{t} e_i^T(s) e_i(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}} e_i(t) \left\| e_i(t) \right\|^{\frac{\beta}{2}}
\]

\[
= -k (2^V)^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}} = -k 2^V = \frac{1+\beta}{2} = \frac{1+\beta}{2}
\]  

(27)

Thus, substituting (17), (18), (19), (21), (23), (27) into (16), the following inequality holds:

\[
\dot{V}_1(t) \leq L_{e} e^T(t) e(t) + L_{x} e^T(t-t_{1}(t)) e(t-t_{1}(t)) + \mu(t) \left( e(t) \right) \left\| e(t) \right\|^{\frac{\beta}{2}}
\]

\[
+ 2 p_{\max} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\| e_i(t) \right\|^{\frac{\beta}{2}} + c \left( e(t) \right) \left( e(t) \right) + \mu(t) \left( e(t) \right) \left\| e(t) \right\|^{\frac{\beta}{2}}
\]

\[
- e^T(t) (D \otimes I_a) e(t) - \eta(t) \left( e(t) \right) \left\| e(t) \right\|^{\frac{\beta}{2}}
\]

(28)

From (14) and \( e(t) = [e_1^T(t), e_2^T(t), \cdots, e_N^T(t)]^T \), \( \dot{V}_2 \), \( \dot{V}_3 \) can be derived as follows:

\[
\dot{V}_2 = \frac{k_1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i^T(t) e_i(t) - \frac{k_1}{2} \left( 1 - \tau_i(t) \right) \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i^T(t-t_{ij}(t)) e_i(t-t_{ij}(t))
\]

\[
= \frac{k_1}{2} e^T(t) e(t) - \frac{k_1}{2} \left( 1 - \tau_i(t) \right) e^T(t-t_{ij}(t)) e(t-t_{ij}(t))
\]  

(29)
\[
\dot{V}_3 = \frac{k_2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i^T(t) e_i(t) - \frac{k_2}{2} (1 - \tau_z(t)) \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i^T(t - \tau_z(t)) e_i(t - \tau_z(t)) \\
\quad = \frac{k_2}{2} e^T(t) e(t) - \frac{k_2}{2} (1 - \tau_z(t)) e^T(t - \tau_z(t)) e(t - \tau_z(t))
\] (30)

By using Assumption 2, (28), (29), (30) and (15), we have
\[
\dot{V}(t) \leq e^T(t) \left[ L_1 + \frac{k_1}{2} + \frac{k_2}{2} \right] I - (D \otimes I_n) \right] e(t) \\
+ \left( L_2 - \frac{k_1}{2} (1 - h_2) \right) e^T(t - \tau_i(t)) e(t - \tau_i(t)) \\
+ \left( 2p_{\text{max}} + \mu(t) - \eta(t) \right) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \| e_i(t) \|_2 + ce^T(t) Be(t - \tau_z(t)) \\
- k_2 \frac{1 - \beta}{2} V^\frac{1 - \beta}{2} - \frac{k_2}{2} (1 - h_2) e^T(t - \tau_z(t)) e(t - \tau_z(t))
\] (31)

Then by utilizing Lemma 1, it follows from (31)
\[
ce^T(t) Be(t - \tau_z(t)) \leq \frac{c}{2} e^T(t) BBT e(t) + \frac{c}{2} e^T(t - \tau_z(t)) e(t - \tau_z(t))
\] (32)

Substituting (32) into (31) and utilizing the conditions (10), (11), (12), (13) in Theorem 1 yields
\[
\dot{V}(t) \leq e^T(t) \left[ L_1 + \frac{k_1}{2} + \frac{k_2}{2} \right] I - (D \otimes I_n) \right] e(t) \\
+ \left( L_2 - \frac{k_1}{2} (1 - h_2) \right) e^T(t - \tau_i(t)) e(t - \tau_i(t)) \\
+ \left( 2p_{\text{max}} + \mu(t) - \eta(t) \right) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \| e_i(t) \|_2 \\
+ \left[ \frac{c}{2} - \frac{k_2}{2} (1 - h_2) \right] e^T(t - \tau_z(t)) e(t - \tau_z(t)) - k_2 \frac{1 - \beta}{2} V^\frac{1 - \beta}{2} \\
\leq -k_2 \frac{1 - \beta}{2} V^\frac{1 - \beta}{2} .
\]

It is derived from Lemma 3 that, 
\[ V(t) \equiv 0 \quad \text{for} \quad t > t^* = \frac{\frac{V^\frac{1 - \beta}{2}(0)}{k_2^{\frac{\beta - 1}{2}(1 - \beta)}}}{1 - \beta} .
\]

Therefore, \( \lim_{t \to \infty} \| e_i(t) \| = 0 \) and \( \| e_i(t) \| \equiv 0 \) for \( t > t^*, i = 1, 2, \cdots, N \).

This completes the proof.

Finally, the conclusions obtained are extended to the case of homogeneous complex networks with the same node dynamic system.

When the nodes of the complex network have the same dynamic system where \( f_1 = f_2 = \cdots = f_N = f \), the complex network (8) will be homogeneous complex network as follows:
\[
\dot{x}_j(t) = f\left(t, x_j(t), x_j(t - \tau_i(t)) \right) + p_j(t, x_j(t)) \\
+ e \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{ji} G x_j(t - \tau_z(t)) + u_j(t)
\] (33)
The synchronous solution can be described by
\[
\dot{x}_0(t) = f_0(t, x_0(t), x_0(t - \tau_1(t))) + p_0(t, x_0(t))
\] (34)

Controllers in Theorem 1 are added to each node, we have error system
\[
\dot{e}_i(t) = f(t, x_i(t), x_i(t - \tau_i(t))) - f_0(t, x_0(t), x_0(t - \tau_1(t)))
+ p_i(t, x_i(t)) - p_0(t, x_0(t)) + c \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{ij} G e_j(t - \tau_2(t)) + u_i(t)
\] (35)

Based on Theorem 1, we can get the sufficient conditions of network (33) to achieve synchronization in finite time.

Corollary 1: If there are positive constants \( d_i(i = 1, 2, \cdots, N), k_1, k_2 \) satisfy the following conditions
\[
\left( L_1 + \frac{k_1}{2} + \frac{k_2}{2} \right) I - (D \otimes I_n) + \frac{C}{2} BB^T \leq 0
\]
\[
L_2 - \frac{k_1}{2} (1 - h_1) \leq 0
\]
\[
\frac{C}{2} - \frac{k_2}{2} (1 - h_2) \leq 0
\]
\[
2 p_{\max} - \eta(t) \leq 0
\]

where \( D = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \cdots, d_N) > 0 \), \( A^x = \frac{A + A^T}{2} \), \( B = A^x \otimes G \), then under the premise of satisfying assumptions 1 - 4, the controlled network (33) of the node applying the controller (4) can be synchronized in finite time. The settling time is estimated as
\[
t^* = \frac{V_{\alpha}^{\beta}(0)}{k 2^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \Gamma(1 - \beta)}
\]
The proof of Corollary 1 is similar to the proof of Theorem 1.

4. Conclusion

In this paper, the finite-time synchronization problem of a class of heterogeneous complex networks with node time-varying delays and coupled time-varying delays and uncertain disturbance is considered. By designing an appropriate controller and using Lyapunov function and the finite-time stability theory, the sufficient conditions formulated by a set of inequalities are derived to guarantee that all the node systems achieve synchronization with the synchronous solution in a finite settling time. Specially, the above conclusions can be applied to the case of homogeneous complex networks with time-varying delays and uncertain disturbance.
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