Host-guest liquid gating mechanism with specific recognition interface behavior for universal quantitative chemical detection
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Universal visual quantitative chemical detection technology has emerged as an increasingly crucial tool for convenient testing with immediate results in the fields of environmental assessment, homeland security, clinical drug testing and health care, particularly in resource-limited settings. Here, we show a host-guest liquid gating mechanism to translate molecular interface recognition behavior into visually quantifiable detection signals. Quantitative chemical detection is achieved, which has obvious advantages for constructing a portable, affordable, on-site sensing platform to enable the visual quantitative testing of target molecules without optical/electrical equipment. Experiments and theoretical calculations confirm the specificity and scalability of the system. This mechanism can also be tailored by the rational design of host-guest complexes to quantitatively and visually detect various molecules. With the advantages of versatility and freedom from additional equipment, this detection mechanism has the potential to revolutionize environmental monitoring, food safety analysis, clinical drug testing, and more.
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Quantitative detection methods are useful to quantify and analyze chemical molecules in the environment and organisms, providing evaluation guidance regarding environmental assessment, food safety, health monitoring, clinical drug testing, and homeland security. The principles of current analytical methods, such as the unique and innovative host-guest principle with intuitive and electricity-free molecular interface signal conversion and readout.

The idea of using liquids as a structural and functional material to build responsive gates sounds counterintuitive, even verging on science fiction. However, this idea has already become a reality. Liquid gating technology is an emerging technology that adopts a unique combination of dynamic molecular reconversion, reconstruction, and assembly, and even changes in interfacial physical behavior, providing unprecedented opportunities for the development of sensing technology. Liquid gating technology is an emerging technology that adopts a unique combination of dynamic molecular reconversion, reconstruction, and assembly, and even changes in interfacial physical behavior, providing unprecedented opportunities for the development of sensing technology.

Results
Mechanism of the HG-LGS. The behavior of a liquid gating system is based on reversible reconfigurable gates, which can use a capillary-driven functional gating liquid to seal microscale pores that can be opened at a certain pressure. For gas to pass through the liquid gating system, the pressure $P$ must be greater than the gating threshold $P_{\text{Critical}}$ (the smallest pressure needed to open the pores). Otherwise, the gas is prevented from passing through the system. Changes in the physical properties of functional gating liquids (e.g., surface tension) can be practically reflected by the transmembrane behavior of the gas. The HG-LGS is fabricated by impregnating the functional gating liquid that contains the host (macrocyclic molecules, such as cucurbituril and cyclodextrin) and guest (surfactants, such as hexadecyl trimethyl ammonium bromide and sodium dodecylbenzene sulfonate) in a hydrophilic nylon membrane. The selection of an appropriate host-guest system as the gating liquid in the HG-LGS is crucial. Macrocyclic molecules with high affinity and specific recognition will form specific host-guest couples with unique host-guest interactions. Surfactant molecules act as guest indicators because their surface activities are closely related to $P_{\text{Critical}}$ in the HG-LGS. Surfactant molecules in solution usually reside preferentially at the interface with the interfacial structure of the hydrophobic end facing the air phase, allowing surface activity to reduce the system’s surface tension. The surface activity is shielded when the hydrophobic chain of the surfactant molecule enters the hydrophobic cavity of a macrocyclic molecule, which provides a potential framework for the analyte-responsive mechanism. When a specific and competitive target molecule is present in the gating liquid, the surfactant indicator is displaced into the solution, where it occupies the surface, leading to a low $P_{\text{Critical}}$. In contrast, nonspecific molecules (weak competitors) cannot displace the surfactant indicator, and the system still maintains a high $P_{\text{Critical}}$.

The HG-LGS can achieve visual, quantitative. Various $P_{\text{Critical}}$ values, which reflect the transmembrane ability of the gas, have been quantified by the position change of a preloaded marker that advances by the released gas (air) in a thin tube. The concentrations of the target molecule can be utilized to control the amount of released gas (CO$_2$), finally resulting in obvious changes in the color of the indicator solution. Figure 1b shows the relationships between the movement distance of the visual marker, the transmembrane critical pressure of gas in the HG-LGS, and the concentration of the target molecule at different macrocyclic:surfactant molar ratios. With no surfactant in the gating liquid ($N = 0$), the system shows no response to the target molecule. When the macrocyclic:surfactant ratio is 1.5, there is a linear relationship between the concentration of the target molecule and the movement distance of the marker. The mechanism of the HG-LGS ensures the direct conversion of target responses at the interface to obtain quantitative and visual parameters, which is quite different from the mechanisms of previously reported fluorescent or electrochemical sensors.

Establishment of the HG-LGS. Hexadecyl trimethyl ammonium Bromide (CTAB), an amphiphilic molecule that possesses a long alkyl chain (hydrophobic end) and a quaternary ammonium group (hydrophilic end), is a key surfactant molecule in the establishment of the HG-LGS. When the CTAB solution is introduced into the gating liquid of the HG-LGS, increasing the CTAB concentration will reduce the surface tension of the gating liquid. The critical concentration of the target molecule will increase (Supplementary Fig. 1a). Staining from the liquid gating mechanism, a decrease in the gas's $P_{\text{Critical}}$ then occurs (Fig. 2a). With different pore diameters, the $P_{\text{Critical}}$ is also stably regulated by changing the CTAB concentration, verifying that CTAB
reliably affects \( P_{\text{Critical}} \) and can serve as the unique molecule as the sensor indicator (Supplementary Fig. 2).

The host cavity is another important factor in establishing the HG-LGS, which determines the specificity and quantitative performance of sensors with host-guest interactions by adjusting the surface activity of the gating liquid. The surface tensions of CB\( n \) (\( n = 6 \) and 8):CTAB solutions were first measured to examine the surface activity of CTAB in the gating liquid by forming host-guest complexations (Fig. 2b). With increasing the CB8, the surface tension of the liquid gradually increases, and the largest change occurs at molar ratios ranging from 1:1 to 2:1. The surface tension is close to that of pure water when the molar ratio is 2:1. Upon the addition of more CB8, the surface tension no longer changes because the CTAB molecules are all in CB8 cavities, and no further CB8:CTAB complexation can occur. However, when CB6 is used, no change in the surface tension of the solution is observed regardless of the CB6:CTAB molar ratio. The reasons for the differences are as follows: (i) the alkyl chain of CTAB can insert into the CB8 cavity by adopting a U-shaped conformation, which shields the hydrophobic part of CTAB to establish a CTAB-deficient interface; (ii) the alkyl chain of CTAB has a straight-chain structure in the cavity of CB6, which forms an unstable CB6:CTAB structure that results in a weak van der Waals contact between the alkyl chain and inner wall of CB6\(^{26}\). The above conjecture is also verified by the optimized binding geometry of the CB8:CTAB complex and CB6:CTAB complex (Supplementary Fig. 3). The results show that the hydrophobic chains of CTAB are more likely to undergo conformational changes in the presence of CB8 and eventually change the gas-liquid interface behavior of the gating liquid. A Job plot analysis confirms a 1:1 stoichiometry of the complex formed between CB8 and CTAB (Supplementary Fig. 4). Choosing the proper CTAB concentration for a certain molar ratio of CB8:CTAB is crucial, affecting the sensitivity and range of detection. Figure 2c shows that at different CB8 concentrations, with an increasing CB8: CTAB molar ratio, all the surface tensions display the same increases, and the maximum \( \Delta \gamma \) values of CTAB solutions with concentrations of 0.05 mM, 0.10 mM, and 0.20 mM are 18.27 mN/m (CB8: CTAB = 1), 20.55 mN/m (CB8: CTAB = 1.5) and 23.86 mN/m (CB8: CTAB = 2), respectively. The detection sensitivity is higher when the CTAB concentration is 0.1 mM or 0.2 mM. Because the critical pressure of gas decreases linearly from 0 to 0.1 mM (Supplementary Fig. 1b), 0.1 mM CTAB was selected.

Target molecule influence on the gating behavior of the HG-LGS. Cucurbiturils have been reported to bind many biologically, medically, and environmentally relevant analytes\(^{26-31}\). A target
molecule should have a high binding affinity with cucurbituril and the ability to free the surfactant molecule from the formed cucurbituril-surfactant in the host-guest interaction. As a proof-of-concept study, we chose phenylalanine-glycine-glycine (Phe-Gly-Gly) as the molecular recognition model to test the feasibility of the HG-LGS. The Phe-Gly-Gly molecule is a well-known guest and is usually detected by IDAs and NMR13,32. The critical pressure of the gas and the surface tension of the gating liquid in the HG-LGS both gradually decrease with increasing Phe-Gly-Gly concentration (Supplementary Fig. 5a). This result reveals that Phe-Gly-Gly can effectively affect the gating behavior of HG-LGS by displacing the surfactant in the host-guest interaction (on the left of Fig. 2d). The right panel in Fig. 2d shows the target response during the critical pressure change at different CB8: CTAB molar ratios. It is worth mentioning that the $P_0$-$P$ value is different at different CB8: CTAB molar ratios. At 2:1, the $P_0$-$P$ value exhibits a slowly increasing trend, mainly stemming from the high quantity of free CB8 at the beginning. At a ratio of 1.5:1, the pressure value and analyte concentration exhibit a linear relationship in the concentration range of 0–100 μM (Supplementary Fig. 5b). There is a 588 Pa pressure drop when the concentration of Phe-Gly-Gly decreases to 10 μM, which is sufficient for sensing the target molecule.

In addition, the competitive binding between CB8:CTAB and guests with different binding affinities is further investigated. The results show that those potential interfering molecules (metal ion, cholesterol, and fatty acid) do not affect the gating behavior of the system. While these guests with a high binding affinity to CB8 can displace the surfactant to change the gating behavior and can be used as target molecules (Supplementary Fig. 6). Such as, memantine, a drug molecule for the treatment of Alzheimer’s disease, can effectively affect the gating behavior in the HG-LGS by replacing the surfactant (Supplementary Fig. 7).

The pore size of porous membranes is vital in determining the detection sensitivity. There is always a linear relationship between the critical pressure and the analyte concentration with different pore diameters (Fig. 2e). The smaller the membrane pore size is, the higher the critical pressure and the more sensitive the analyte response.

**Competitive binding mechanisms with CB8:CTAB.** Urbach and coworkers have explored a series of molecular recognition systems using sequence-specific peptides (e.g., N-terminal tryptophan, N-terminal aromatic peptides, tripeptides) with CB8 by testing the binding affinities and have discussed the selective recognition mechanisms11,13,33,34. However, which molecule is preferred in competitive binding with CB8:CTAB in the presence of a surfactant guest needs further confirmation. The interactions of various molecules with hydrophilic or hydrophobic ends with CB8:CTAB in the gating liquid were observed. As shown in Fig. 3a, compared with the target molecules, no obvious changes in the critical pressure of gas are observed with the interfering molecules (Trp-Gly-Gly, His-Gly-Gly, Phe, Trp and His). The surface tension results are also consistent (Supplementary Fig. 8).
We further compared the binding energies of different complexes from thermodynamics to quantitatively evaluate the competitive effects of various guest molecules with CB8. Semiempirical quantum mechanical methods using the Molclus program with all-electron density functional theory were used to search for the optimal complex structures. Implicit solvation models based on density (SMD) were used to describe the effect of the aqueous solution environment on the host-guest molecular configurations. The SMD includes a polar part describing the bulk electrostatic interaction and a nonpolar part describing solvent cavitation and possible changes in the local solvent structure, which can virtually describe the structural changes of water molecules around the complex molecules. Here, we chose the calculation method (B3LYP with D3BJ dispersion) with suitable basis sets (6-311G (d, p)) to calculate and obtain the relatively accurate binding energy value. Although these computed binding energies are not precise in absolute value, they can provide the right trend of our different systems. The binding energies were plotted in Fig. 3b. The results show that CB8 is more likely to bind to two Phe-Gly-Gly molecules, and has the lowest binding energy (~427 kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) compared to other tripeptides (Trp-Gly-Gly and His-Gly-Gly), amino acids (Phe, Trp, and His), and surfactant CTAB (~232 kJ mol\(^{-1}\)). The lower the binding energy is, the better the thermodynamic stability of the complex, and the more likely it exists in the system. This means that Phe-Gly-Gly can drive the CTAB indicator to leave the cavity of CB8, inducing a change in the transmembrane behavior of the gas.

The binding thermodynamics of CB8•CTAB to various amino acids and peptides were also evaluated by isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC). Unexpectedly, only the binding constant of Phe-Gly-Gly was obtained. The equilibrium association constants for other molecules were <10\(^3\) M\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 3c and Supplementary Fig. 9). These results are inconsistent with the previously reported recognition of amino acids and peptides by the CB8 or CB8-MV complex. This is probably due to the presence of CTAB, which affects the binding affinity of CB8 for guests.

An independent gradient model (IGM) that relies on Multiwfn software was used to investigate the specific molecular interactions of CB8•CTAB and CB8•Phe-Gly-Gly. This model reveals that CTAB is in the U-shaped conformation in CB8•CTAB, having the maximum van der Waals force between the alkyl chain and CB8 cavity and simultaneously forming more hydrogen bonds between the alkyl chain and carbonyl oxygen of...
CB8 (Fig. 3d). Regarding CB8-Phe-Gly-Gly, two peptides enter the cavity from opposite openings, and the phenyl groups contact CB8 via van der Waals interactions. Two phenyl groups have a positive synergistic effect on the formation of the complex. N-H…O hydrogen bonds and van der Waals interactions are observed between the Gly-Gly tails and CB8, indicating that a long peptide tail may improve the binding affinity between CB8 and peptides. This IGM model result is consistent with the previously reported selective recognition of Phe-Gly-Gly for CB8 as revealed by the crystal structure13. Further coloring of the atoms involved in CB8 that join in the host-guest complexation clearly shows that the major interaction sites derive from the carbonyl group (Fig. 3e).

Quantitative visual detection of Phe-Gly-Gly. A simple device was designed to realize the transformation of host-guest molecular recognition into quantitative and visual parameters. The marker is propelled by gas (air) released from the chamber to indicate the critical pressure change caused by the variation in the concentration of the target molecule in HG-LGS, enabling visual detection (Fig. 4a). The time dependence of the marker movement was plotted in Fig. 4b, and the result indicates that the movement rate of the marker is positively correlated with the Phe-Gly-Gly concentration. A high Phe-Gly-Gly concentration causes more displacement of the surfactant molecules and a clear decrease in the critical pressure of the HG-LGS sensor, resulting in rapid movement of the marker. A longer distance of movement reflects a lower critical pressure in the system and a higher target analyte concentration. With 100 μM Phe-Gly-Gly, the marker can move 52.0 mm in one minute. With 10 μM Phe-Gly-Gly, the marker moves only 22.8 mm in one minute (Fig. 4c). The HG-LGS sensor has an excellent quantitative detection ability with a linear range of 0–100 μM. The limit of detection (LOD) is 4.56 μM, according to the 3σ/slope rule (N = 3). With a 5 μm membrane, the LOD of the HG-LGS sensor is 7.98 μM (Supplementary Fig. 10). We also find a linear relationship between the change in chamber pressure and the target molecule concentration, which can be measured by a portable pressure gauge (Supplementary Fig. 11). These results prove that this system can successfully transform the molecular recognition signal into a quantitative and visual physical signal by combining host-guest interactions and liquid gating technology.
Quantitative substance release by the HG-LGS. Drug delivery systems with stimuli-responsive release abilities are highly desired in the pharmaceutical and biomedical fields. As a proof-of-concept, a device based on the HG-LGS was designed to verify the feasibility of regular substance release. The successful quantitative release of carbon dioxide (CO₂) depends on the control of the target molecule in the gating liquid to change the transmembrane behavior (Fig. 4d). CO₂ is the transport substance, and bromothymol blue solution is the carbon dioxide indicator. A calibration curve is established between the CO₂ flux and target molecule concentration. Increasing the concentration of the target, increases the CO₂ flux, and the color of the carbon dioxide indicator changes from blue to green to yellow. The concentration values of target molecules can be expressed by different colors, which are easily recognizable with the naked eye. The HG-LGS has the potential to control drug release by quantitatively regulating the target concentration to obtain visual color results. Integration into artificial intelligence wearable devices that can implement the stimulus-release mechanism of the HG-LGS will provide a potential method for drug detection and treatment⁴⁰,⁴¹.

Specific detection of memantine with the HG-LGS. To explore the generality and versatility of the HG-LGS, we used β-cyclodextrin (β-CD)-sodium dodecylbenzene sulfonate (SDBS) as a host-guest system to detect memantine. β-CD, similar to cucurbit[8]uril, has a hydrophobic cavity and can combine with SDBS to regulate the surface activity of liquids. The surface tension of the system reaches the maximum value when the molar ratio of β-CD:SDBS is 2:1, where the surface tension is close to that of pure water (Supplementary Fig. 12). According to the binding energy from the simulation results, memantine binds β-CD with a stronger affinity than that of the indicator molecule SDBS, which was used as the analyte (Supplementary Fig. 13). When memantine is present, SDBS is displaced by the formation of β-CD·memantine, resulting in a decrease in the critical pressure (Supplementary Fig. 14). To evaluate the selectivity of the designed HG-LGS for memantine detection, we also explored the response of the HG-LGS to some potential interferents (Fig. 5a). The sensor exhibited selectivity to memantine over other interfering molecules, such as biomolecules (amino acids and cholesterol), pharmaceuticals (levodopa and ethinylestradiol), and metal cations (Ca²⁺). Moreover, experiments were conducted to detect memantine in urine with the HG-LGS to prove the feasibility of target detection in complex biofluids. Urine samples containing a series of concentrations of memantine were tested by the HG-LGS. The target molecule memantine still effectively displaced the surfactant from the host cavity to change the system’s surface tension and critical transmembrane pressure of the gas (Supplementary Fig. 15). These results ensure that the HG-LGS can operate in complex biofluidic environments, which is attractive for practical applications. Various concentrations of memantine in the gating liquid can be successfully detected based on the movement distance of the marker. As shown in Fig. 5b, the movement rate of the marker gradually increases with increasing amounts of memantine. The movement distance of the marker has a linear relation to the memantine concentration, which enables the quantitative detection of memantine with the HG-LGS (Fig. 5c). The detection limit is 3.52 μM, and the detection range is 0–80 μM. The detection mechanism developed here can visually quantify many other targets because functionalized macrocyclic molecules can bind a broad range of targets⁴²,⁴³.

Discussion
In summary, we have demonstrated a specific recognition interface behavior mechanism that converts molecular interface recognition behavior to visually quantifiable detection signals. The detection mechanism inherently addresses key challenges in terms of universality, portability, and visibility while requiring no energy input for target molecule detection. Unlike traditional detection based on fluorescence molecules as an indicator, the HG-LGS utilizes a dynamic gas-liquid interface to convert host-guest interactions into gas transmembrane behavior, which is expressed by visual and quantitative physical parameters. The approach of stimulating an interfacial change in a gating liquid can also be used for colorimetric detection or drug release control. Moreover, the HG-LGS is validated as a highly scalable system that can be customized to detect a broad range of target molecules because it can integrate with various host-guest molecule bindings. We envision that this mechanism will open avenues for more in-depth exploration of chemical detection and spur advances in environmental monitoring, point-of-care test, public health security, and biomedical applications.

Methods

Chemicals. Cucurbit[8]uril (CB₈), cucurbit[6]uril (CB₆), β-Cyclohexadecin (β-CD), hexadecyltrimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB), L-phenylalanine (Phe), L-tryptophan (Trp), and L-histidine (His) were purchased from Macklin. Sodium dodecylbenzene sulfonate (SDBS) was purchased from Sunpharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. H-Phe -Gly-Gly-OH and H-His -Gly-Gly-OH were purchased from Shanghai Fusheng Industrial Co., Ltd. H-Trp -Gly-Gly-OH and H-Gly-Gly-OH were purchased from Shanghai Fusheng Industrial Co., Ltd.
Fabrication of liquid gating membranes. The CB8-CTAB functional liquid was prepared by mixing the two compounds CB8-CTAB (1.5: 1, CTAB 1 mM) in 10 mM phosphate buffer solution (pH 7.0). After 10 min of ultrasonic treatment, the solution was incubated at room temperature for 24 h to form a stable complex. The β-CD-SDBS functional liquid was prepared by mixing the two compounds β-CD-SDBS (1.5: 1, SDBS 0.2 mM) in deionized water. After ultrasonic treatment for 10 min and incubation at room temperature for 24 h, stable β-CD-SDBS complexes were formed. Finally, the liquid gating membranes were generated by infusing the functional liquid into various hydrophilic organic nylon 66 membranes (0.45, 1, and 5 μm).

Surface tension measurements. The surface tension was directly measured via the pendant drop method on the OCA100 system at 25 °C. The surface tension data were recorded with droplet volume as large as possible. The same sample was repeated at least three times in all experiments.

Transmembrane critical pressure measurements. The gating properties of the HG-LGS were determined by measuring the transmembrane critical pressure during the flow of gas with a syringe pump (Harvard Apparatus PHD ULTRA). Unless specified otherwise, all gas transmembrane experiments were performed using air. The pressure was measured by wet/wet current output pressure transmitters (PX273-100DI) from OMEGA Engineering Inc. (Stamford, CT, USA). During testing, the system was filled with a flow rate of 2000 μL min⁻¹ and an organic nylon 66 membrane in a circular shape of 25 mm in diameter was used in all experiments. Unless otherwise specified, the pore size of organic nylon 66 membranes used in HG-LGS is 1 μm. For each system, the same sample was tested for pressure data at least three times under the same conditions.

Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC). All ITC experiments were carried out using a MicroCal® ITC 200 microcalorimeter (GE Healthcare) in 10 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.0, 25 °C). In typical experimental, the CB8-CTAB (0.15 mM, 0.1 mM) was placed in a reaction cell, and each analyte (2 mM) was injected 19 times (2 μL per time) into CB8-CTAB solutions with stirring. In the CB8 binding CTAB experiment, the CB8 (0.1 mM) was in the reaction cell, and CTAB (2.5 mM) was injected 19 times (2 μL per time) into CB8 solutions with stirring. The data were analyzed by Origin software.

Visual quantitative detection. The HG-LGS sensor was prepared by assembling a gas chamber, silicone tubes, and filter membrane support device. The nylon membrane was immersed in the analytical sample solution, then sealed in the filter membrane support device. A certain amount of gas (air) was stored in the gas chamber by the syringe pump, and the pressure in the chamber was P0. A pressure sensor was used to measure pressure in real-time, and a camera was used to record the movement of the marker. For controlled release experiments, change the air in the gas chamber to CO2, and monitor the amount of CO2 released with a bromothymol blue indicator.

Quantum chemical calculations. To find out the optimal structures of each complex, the configuration search was performed using the Molclus program. For each peptide and CB8, more than 2000 original structures were generated and optimized based on the semiempirical quantum mechanical methods GEni-xTB14 and GFN2-xTB35. Then the ten lowest energy configurations were further optimized by an all-electron density functional theory (DFT) study using the hybrid density functional B3LYP together with the basic set of 6-31 G(d). Then, the single point energies and binding energies based on the structure with the lowest energy were further calculated using B3LYP/6-311 G (d, p) level. The all-electron DFT calculations were carried out using Gaussian 16 program36. To describe the weak interactions more accurately, all the calculations were done with Grimme’s D3BJ dispersion. Here we took the solvent effects of water (ε = 78.3533) into account by using the SMD-flavor of self-consistent reaction field (SCRF) theory. The binding natures in the studied complexes were revealed with independent gradient model (IGM)38 using the software Multiwfn39, and binding energies were obtained based on the following equation:

\[ E_{\text{binding}} = E_{\text{complex}} - (E_{\text{CB8}} + E_{\text{analyte}}) \]

All the visualizations of molecular structures and contour surfaces were performed by VMD47.

Reporting summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability
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