A comparative study of microbial diversity and community structure in marine sediments using poly(A) tailing and reverse transcription-PCR
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INTRODUCTION
Numerous studies on natural microbial communities from a variety of environments have been undertaken using 16S rRNA gene sequencing mediated by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with oligonucleotide primers. In the past decade, high-throughput next-generation sequencing (NGS) technologies have facilitated the identification of a diverse array of organisms that are rare in terms of biomass and could not be examined using previous molecular assays such as Sanger sequencing analysis of clone libraries (Sogin et al., 2006; Webster et al., 2010). Despite the fact that the latest NGS technologies enable reading only of relatively short sequence fragments (~500 bp), these so-called “deep sequencing” methods are still powerful tools that ultimately may enable researchers to obtain a more holistic understanding of microbial communities in their natural environments (Vergin et al., 1998; Takami et al., 2012). Considering the current limitations of NGS technology, full-length 16S rRNA gene sequences are better suited to downstream analytical methods such as fluorescence in situ hybridization.

The original designs of most of the PCR primers used for the analysis of 16S rRNA genes were based on known sequences deposited in public databases. Researchers have since cautioned that these primer sequences contain mismatches with respect to environmental 16S rRNA genes (Baker et al., 2003, 2006; Teske and Sørensen, 2008), which may lead to considerable bias in interpreting results (Hong et al., 2009). In addition, primer sequence mismatches may have a negative impact on the amplification efficiency of PCR analyses (Acinas et al., 2005; Sipos et al., 2007; Bru et al., 2008). Regardless of the presence of sequence mismatches, the use of PCR with primers may introduce biases associated with the next base adjacent to annealed oligonucleotide primers (Ben-Dov et al., 2012).

One way to avoid these bias issues is to employ PCR-independent metagenomic approaches. For example, a complete 16S rRNA gene sequence can be obtained by analyzing the sequences of genomes or large genome fragments, providing taxonomic information along with information on other functional genes. However, metagenomic approaches may not be well-suited to focused studies of microbial diversity and community structure that involve a large number of samples. In fact, it has been reported that only a small portion of inserts in fosmid libraries contain 16S rRNA genes (Vergin et al., 1998; Takami et al., 2012).
Another method that can avoid the possibility of bias caused by primer mismatching is the addition of a poly(A) tail to the 3’ end of fractionated 16S rRNA prior to synthesis of the full-length complementary DNA (cDNA; Botero et al., 2005). Since the technique does not involve the use of published primers, we anticipate that this method will enable recovery of full-length environmental 16S rRNAs, potentially illuminating as yet unknown microbial community constituents that have otherwise been difficult or impossible to detect using conventional PCR-dependent molecular approaches (Inagaki et al., 2002). In the present study, we tested this hypothesis using poly(A) tailing of full-length 16S rRNA and reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) with domain-specific primers, and compared sequence libraries prepared from a marine sediment sample collected from the Yonaguni Knoll IV hydrothermal field.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

SAMPLING OF MARINE SEDIMENTS

The sediment samples used in this study were obtained from the Yonaguni Knoll IV hydrothermal field in the southern Okinawa Trough (24°50.544’N, 122°42.879’E, water depth, 1,371 m) using a push corer, and were collected during the JAMSTEC NT10-06 cruise involving RV Natsushima and R/V Hyper-Dolphin (Dove #1111, April 17, 2010). Sediment samples were anaerobically placed in autoclaved 250-ml glass bottles using a nitrogen flush and the bottles were sealed with a rubber cap and stored at 4 °C until analysis.

RNA EXTRACTION AND PURIFICATION

Bulk environmental RNA was extracted from 8 g of sediment using an RNA PowerSoil® Total RNA Isolation Kit (MO BIO Laboratories, Inc., Solana Beach, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The extracted RNA was electrophoresed on a 2% agarose gel for 30 min in 1× TAE [Tris-acetate-ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA)] buffer, and the gel was stained with 1× SYBR Green II (Life Technologies Japan, Tokyo, Japan) to visualize 16S and 23S rRNA. The rRNA was recovered from the gel using a Recohpich (Takara Bio, Japan), and then further purified using a PureLink RNA Mini Kit (Life Technologies Japan) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The quality of the recovered 16S rRNA was verified by electrophoresis using an automated capillary electrophoresis system (Experion, Bio-Rad Laboratories, Tokyo, Japan) and an Experion RNA HighSens Analysis kit.

POLY(A) TAILING AND COMPLEMENTARY DNA SYNTHESIS

We compared two molecular approaches [poly(A) tailing and RT-PCR] for examining metabolically active microbial communities. The approaches are summarized in Figure 1. Since the reaction buffer composition and source of poly(A) polymerase can reportedly affect the efficiency of the poly(A) tailing reaction (Raynal and Cuppousis, 1999; Silero et al., 2001), we used two commercially available poly(A) polymerases: Escherichia coli poly(A) polymerase (New England BioLabs, hereafter denoted as NEB) and Takara Bio poly(A) polymerase. Each poly(A) tailing reaction was conducted in 20 μl of reaction mixture containing 10 μl of purified 16S rRNA solution. The other components of the reaction mixture were as follows: for NEB polymerase, 1× reaction buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl, 250 mM NaCl, and 10 mM MgCl2), 1 mM ATP, and 0.25 U/μl of poly(A) polymerase; for Takara Bio, 1× reaction buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl, 10 mM MgCl2, 2.5 mM MnCl2, 250 mM NaCl, and 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT)), 0.5 mg/ml of bovine serum albumin, 0.5 mM ATP, and 0.1 U/μl of poly(A) polymerase. After incubation at 37 °C for 30 min, the reaction was stopped by adding 2 μl of 250 mM EDTA. The poly(A)-tailed 16S rRNA was subsequently purified using a Nucleospin® RNA XS Kit (Takara Bio). The cDNA of full-length 16S rRNA was synthesized and amplified by PCR using a SMART®er Pico PCR cDNA Synthesis Kit (Takara Bio) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

REVERSE TRANSCRIPTION-PCR

Reverse transcription-PCR was performed to obtain nearly full-length rRNA gene sequences from the purified 16S rRNA without poly(A) tailing using a One-Step PrimeScript RT-PCR Kit (Takara Bio). The bacterial domain-specific primers 26F (AGAGTTTGTATCCTGGCTCAG; Hicks et al., 1992) and 1492R (GGYTACCCTTGTAGACTC) (Loy et al., 2002) were used for RT-PCR. The reaction mixture consisted of 1× PrimeScript buffer, 300 nM of each primer, 0.8 μl of PrimeScript Enzyme mix, 1 μl of 16S rRNA (diluted 1,000-fold), and water to 20 μl. First, reverse transcription was performed at 50 °C for 30 min followed by inactivation of the reverse transcriptase at 94 °C for 2.5 min. Next, synthesized cDNA was amplified by PCR under the following conditions: 20 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 54 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 90 s. The number of PCR cycles used in this study was determined by selecting a cycle number in the log-linear phase of the real-time PCR amplification curve (i.e., before the plateau phase). The PCR products were purified using NucleoSpin Extract II Columns (Takara Bio) and stored at −20 °C until further analysis.

CLONING AND SEQUENCING

The PCR products obtained using poly(A) tailing and RT-PCR were cloned into the pCR®2.1-TOPO® vector and transformed into competent E. coli DH5α (Life Technologies Japan, Tokyo, Japan). For RT-PCR, the cloned inserts were sequenced using an ABI 3130xl genetic analyzer (Life Technologies Japan) with the bacterial domain-specific primers 26F and 1492R (Hicks et al., 1992) and 1492R (Liu et al., 1997), and 1390R (Zheng et al., 1996). For the poly(A) tailing method, sequencing was first performed using the M13 primers followed by screening of the 16S rRNA sequence using a hidden Markov model implemented in version 3.0 of the HMMER software package (Eddy, 1998), as described elsewhere (Lagesen et al., 2007; Huang et al., 2009). The screened 16S rRNA inserts were sequenced using primers 8F (Amann et al., 1990), 907R (Walter et al., 2011), 926R, and 1390R to assemble full-length 16S rRNA sequences. A primer walking approach was employed for inserts that could not be sequenced using the primers described above. The sequences were trimmed and assembled to obtain consensus sequences using Sequencher software (Hitachi Software, Tokyo, Japan). Chimeric sequences were removed using the UCHIME program (Edgar et al., 2011) implemented in the Mothur Utility package (Schloss et al., 2009).
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DATA ANALYSIS

Alignment of all 16S rRNA sequences was performed using the ARB software package (Ludwig et al., 2004). Since some of the 16S rRNA sequences were fragmented after poly(A) tailing, a 600-bp fragment (corresponding to E. coli 16S rRNA positions 287–886) was used for comparisons of microbial diversity and community structure. Taxonomic assignments were made using Silva taxonomy and the Bayesian classifier clustering sequences, calculation of diversity indices (i.e., Shannon and Simpson indices) and Libshuff test (Singleton et al., 2001; Schloss et al., 2004) were performed using the Mothur software package (Schloss et al., 2009; Hoshino et al., 2011). Phylogenetic tree was constructed by ARB software (Ludwig et al., 2004) using the neighbor-joining method (Saitou and Nei, 1987) with an Olsen correction. The coverage rate of the used primer set (26F-1492R) at the genus level was evaluated using TestPrime 1.0 program (Klindworth et al., 2013) using SILVA database SSU r114 with RefNR.

NUCLEOTIDE SEQUENCE ACCESSION NUMBERS

All 16S rRNA sequences obtained in this study were deposited in the DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank nucleotide sequence databases under the accession numbers KC470861–KC471309.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

HMMER SCREENING OF 16S rRNA

HMMER screening of 16S rRNA sequences obtained using the poly(A) tailing method resulted in the detection of 115 and 144 bacterial 16S rRNA sequences for the NEB and Takara poly(A) polymerase reactions, respectively. Approximately a half number of the total cDNA sequences (i.e., 107 and 92 sequences in the NEB and Takara cDNA libraries, respectively) were found to be 23S rRNA fragments according to the HMMER analysis. Interestingly, few cDNAs from mRNA were detected. The fragmented 23S rRNA sequences were excluded from the downstream analysis. Some fragmented 16S rRNA sequences were also
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observed in the poly(A) tailing libraries, suggesting that part of the 16S rRNA pool was damaged during the fractionation step by excision of the band and extraction of 16S rRNA from agarose gel.

Only one and three of the 16S rRNA sequences obtained using the NEB and Takara polymerases, respectively, were identified as archaeal 16S rRNA. This result was consistent with results from previous analyses of samples from the same location, which indicated that the archaeal population is generally smaller than the bacterial population (Yanagawa et al., 2012). In addition, a previous study of geothermally heated soil from Yellowstone National Park in the United States recovered no archaeal RNA sequences using the poly(A) tailing method, despite the fact that numerous archaeal 16S rRNA sequences were obtained using the PCR-based clone library method (Botero et al., 2005). Therefore, poly(A) tailing might have bias which underestimate archaeal population abundance or due to this bias. It is important to note here that although it is unknown whether the low abundance of archaeal tailing might have bias which underestimate archaeal population abundance or due to this bias. This is important to note here that RNA-based methods depend on the recovery of intracellular RNA; therefore, the results cannot be correlated with the cellular biomass or DNA copy number of the genomic pool.

COMPARISON OF MICROBIAL DIVERSITY

More than 85% of the total 16S rRNA sequences obtained using the poly(A) tailing and conventional RT-PCR methods were found to be derived from the Deltaproteobacteria, indicating that sulfate-reducing bacteria are predominant members of sedimentary habitats (Figure 2, pie charts on the left). Conventional RT-PCR analysis identified 94% (169/179) of the sequences obtained as Deltaproteobacteria, whereas 85% (98/115) and 88% (127/144) of the bacterial 16S rRNA sequences obtained using the NEB and Takara polymerase poly(A) tailing methods, respectively, were identified as Deltaproteobacteria (Figure 2, pie charts on the left). Overall, these results are consistent with those of a previous analysis due to the limited number of 23S rRNA in the database, 23S rRNA sequences might be insufficient for the genus-level classification. Two of the detected sequences affiliated with Desulfuromonadales and Desulfothermales, both of which contain sulfur- and/or sulfate-reducing bacteria, consistently appeared as predominant phyotypes in the clone libraries. However, there was a clear difference in the clonal frequency between libraries constructed using the two methods; the RT-PCR method indicated the predominance of Desulfuromonadales, while the poly(A)-tailing method indicated that Desulfothermales predominated (Figure 2).

The detected sequences affiliated with Desulfuromonadales were mainly composed by the genera Pelobacter, Geosalibacter, and Geoalkalibacter (Figure 3). Almost half of the sequences from RT-PCR (84/179) and more than 25 sequences from both poly(A) libraries were classified to be Pelobacter, indicating predominance of this genus in the environment. TestPrime analysis (Klindworth et al., 2013) indicated that the coverage rates of the 26F and 1492R primers with perfect match for Pelobacter, Geosalibacter, and Geoalkalibacter are 50.0, 73.0, and 100%, respectively. On the other hand, the detected sequences of Desulfothermales mainly consist of the genera Desulfofusa, Desulfolodha, and Desulfohalobus (Figure 3), for which the coverage rates are 40, 100, and 41.7%, respectively. Among those three genera, the Desulfopila-related sequences were predominant in both poly(A) tailing clone libraries. The coverage rates of the detected genera within the Desulfothermales were lower than those of Desulfuromonadales, resulting in lower abundance of Desulfobacteriales in the RT-PCR libraries. Therefore, we infer that primer-dependent RT-PCR assay overestimated Desulfuromonadales but underestimated Desulfothermales due to the primer bias.

Representatives of the Gammaproteobacteria and Sphingobacteria were relatively minor components of all three libraries we examined. Although some sequences derived from Lentisphaerae and Holophagae were only detected by RT-PCR, the poly(A) tailing libraries constructed using the two different polymerases revealed more diverse lineages than did the RT-PCR library. The clone libraries obtained from poly(A) tailing included some classes that were not detected by RT-PCR, such as Nitrospira, Alphaproteobacteria, and Caldilineae.

In theory, poly(A) tailing methods could also be used to obtain archaeal 16S rRNA, although a previous study failed to retrieve any archaeal 16S rRNA from geothermally heated soils from Yellowstone National Park (Botero et al., 2005). In this study, a total of four archaeal 16S rRNA sequences were obtained using the poly(A) tailing method.

Two of these sequences were derived from Candidatus “Parvarchaearum” (Baker et al., 2010), which belonged to Deep-sea Hydrothermal Vent Euryarchaeotic Group (DHVEG-6; Takai and Horikoshi, 1997), while the other two sequences formed a new branch distinct from the ancient archaeal group (AAG; Takai and Horikoshi, 1997; Figure 4). Organisms belonging to DHVEG-6 are primarily associated with deep-sea hydrothermal vent systems (Takai and Horikoshi, 1997; Teske and Sørensen, 2008; Nunoura et al., 2012), but have also been found in marine sediment and anoxic soil. The AAG were first described as a hydrothermal vent lineage, and, consistent with the results of this study, were later found in the cold organic-rich subsurface environment (Sørensen and Teske, 2006). Due to primer mismatching, there have been few reports to date of the use of conventional PCR with published primer sets to detect the four archaeal 16S rRNA sequences we detected in this study. For example, all four sequences have one mismatch to A806F (Wang and Qian, 2009), while Arch958R (DeLong, 1992) has six mismatches to T_34 and N_100, and two mismatches to T_35 and T_36.

In addition, we retrieved 23S rRNA by poly(A) tailing with Takara polymerase: a total of 78 partial 23S rRNA sequences (~400 bp in length) were obtained. Although classification of the 23S rRNA sequences might be insufficient for the genus-level classification due to the limited number of 23S rRNA in the database, we found predominance of Deltaproteobacteria (60/78) containing Desulfothermales (19/78), Desulfuromonadales (20/78), and unclassified sequences (19/78), consistently supporting our observation of 16S rRNA gene sequences.

COMPARISON OF MICROBIAL COMMUNITY STRUCTURES

To compare the microbial community structures indicated by the poly(A) tailing and RT-PCR approaches, we calculated Shannon (H′) and Simpson diversity (1/D) indices for the 16S rRNA libraries. The highest diversity value was for the poly(A)-tailed
sequences obtained using the NEB polymerase (Table 1). For the unique poly(A)-tailed sequences (i.e., singletons), the highest diversity indices were obtained using the Takara polymerase. In contrast, the RT-PCR method was associated with the lowest diversity indices, regardless of the similarity cutoff used or not (Table 1). The results of Libshuff analysis indicate that the two poly(A) clone libraries are statistically different from that of RT-PCR whereas poly(A) libraries are not significantly different (Table 2). Overall, these results indicate that the poly(A) tailing methods retrieve more diverse 16S rRNA sequences from the environment than does the conventional RT-PCR approach. In other words, it is important to recognize that primer-dependent molecular ecological approaches carry a risk of bias that could result in underestimation of microbial diversity. The bias effect may be more significant for microbial communities in rare and/or extreme habitats that have never been explored because we do not know exactly what organisms reside there.

CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVES

For decades, PCR-mediated molecular ecological approaches have been used to investigate the diversity of microbial communities in a variety of natural habitats. The primer sequences for amplifying 16S rRNA (or its gene fragments) are based on known sequences contained in databases, targeting conserved regions that cover specific taxonomic groups. In this context, a critical issue in microbial ecology is the possibility of bias caused by mismatches between the published primers and the target sequences, especially for unidentified constituents of microbial communities in natural habitats. Bias of this sort has caused significant differences in estimates of microbial diversity and community structure, and also increases the difficulty of detecting previously unidentified organisms in the environment.

The poly(A) tailing of environmental 16S rRNA is totally independent of published PCR primers. In this study, we clearly showed that the poly(A) tailing approach holds potential for
FIGURE 3 | Phylogenetic classification of Deltaproteobacteria sequences obtained in this study. The tree was constructed by neighbor-joining analysis with an Olsen correction. Operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were defined as the clusters at 97% sequence identity and only OTUs containing more than five sequences were shown in the tree. The numbers in parenthesis indicate the number of clones obtained by NEB poly(A) polymerase, Takara poly(A) polymerase, and conventional RT-PCR, respectively (from left to right). Coverage of the primer set (26F-1492R) determined by TestPrime (http://www.arb-silva.de/search/testprime/) is shown for each genus. Bootstrap values are shown at branch nodes by closed circles (>80%) and open circles (<80%) as percentages of 1,000 replicates. Scale bar indicates 5% sequence divergence.

FIGURE 4 | Phylogenetic classification of archaeal 16S rRNA sequences obtained in this study. The tree was constructed by neighbor-joining analysis with an Olsen correction. Bootstrap values were shown at branch point as percentages of 1,000 replicates. Bootstrap values are shown at branch nodes by closed circles (>80%) and open circles (<80%) as percentages of 1,000 replicates. Scale bar indicates 10% sequence divergence.
understanding of naturally occurring active microbial communities. This approach also has great potential for facilitating the discovery of as yet unknown microbes for which their 16S rRNA gene sequence do not match published primer sequences, although the potential bias of poly(A) tailing to rRNA genes needs to be studied further. By combining this approach with "deep sequencing" NGS technologies that allow for sequencing full-length 16S rRNAs, it may be possible in the future to obtain a detailed view of the true structure of microbial communities in natural habitats.
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