Photocatalyst Z-scheme system composed of a linear conjugated polymer and BiVO$_4$ for overall water splitting under visible light
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ABSTRACT: Linear conjugated polymers have potential as photocatalysts for hydrogen production from water but so far, most studies have involved non-scalable sacrificial reagents. Z-schemes comprising more than one semiconductor are a potential solution, but it is challenging to design these systems because multiple components must work together synergistically. Here, we show that a conjugated polymer photocatalyst for proton reduction can be coupled in a Z-scheme with an inorganic water oxidation photocatalyst to promote overall water splitting without any sacrificial reagents. First, a promising combination of an organic catalyst, an inorganic catalyst, and a redox mediator was identified by using high-throughput screening of a library of components. A Z-scheme system composed of P10 (homopolymer of dibenzo[b,d]thiophene sulfone)-Fe$^{2+}$/Fe$^{3+}$-BiVO$_4$ was then constructed for overall water splitting under visible light irradiation. Transient absorption spectroscopy was used to assign timescales to the various steps in the photocatalytic process. While the overall solar-to-hydrogen efficiency of this first example is low, it provides proof of concept for other hybrid organic-inorganic Z-scheme architectures in the future.
INTRODUCTION

The photocatalytic production of hydrogen from water using solar energy has been studied extensively because it promises the sustainable production of renewable fuels from abundant resources.\textsuperscript{1–3} Photoelectrocatalysis and direct photocatalysis using catalyst suspensions have both been studied in detail.\textsuperscript{4} Conceptually, direct hydrogen production using photocatalyst suspensions is the simplest water-splitting approach in technological terms and it is potentially amenable to large-scale deployment. Recently, several examples of photocatalysis has been reported as a one-step overall water splitting.\textsuperscript{5–7} However, recombination of electron–hole pairs tends to decrease the photocatalytic reaction efficiency. Moreover, relatively few materials are known that both efficiently absorb visible light and have suitable valence and conduction band energetics. As such, a number of research teams have investigated systems that mimic nature using a two-step excitation process for overall water splitting, typically by coupling together two different photocatalysts with a redox mediator to form a ‘Z-scheme’.\textsuperscript{8–11}

Most photocatalysts so far have been inorganic materials, but organic photocatalysts have attracted growing attention\textsuperscript{12} because they can be prepared from earth-abundant elements and their properties—and in particular their light absorption spectrum—can be tuned easily and continuously by co-polymerization.\textsuperscript{13–21} However, most polymer studies have been confined to the sacrificial half-reaction that produces hydrogen only, and few organic photocatalysts have been developed for overall water splitting. Carbon nitrides have been coupled with WO\textsubscript{3}, which acts as an O\textsubscript{2} evolution photocatalyst in a Z-scheme system for overall water splitting,\textsuperscript{13,14,22} but the efficiencies were limited by the commonly observed back reaction. Other composites that are reported to facilitate overall water splitting are carbon nanodot–carbon nitride nanocomposites,\textsuperscript{23} Pt/PtO\textsubscript{x}/CoO\textsubscript{x}-loaded carbon nitrides\textsuperscript{24}, and Pt/CoP-loaded carbon nitrides.\textsuperscript{25} All of these materials are based on carbon nitride, which limits the potential for structural diversity and control over fundamental physical properties, such as optical gap. Also, the use of high synthesis temperatures for carbon nitrides yields relatively poorly defined bulk materials whose precise structure and composition can be hard to elucidate: this in turn makes it hard to establish structure-property relationships.

Here, we couple organic polymer photocatalysts with an inorganic semiconductor using a redox mediator. The polymer produces H\textsubscript{2} and the inorganic catalyst produces O\textsubscript{2} in a Z-scheme for overall water splitting. This is the first example of such a Z-scheme that uses an organic polymer that is prepared by low-temperature chemical synthesis, opening up a wide variety of possible two-component systems, leveraging the synthetic diversity that is intrinsic to polymer chemistry.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1. High-throughput photocatalysis screening of a) hydrogen evolution half-reaction of polymers; b) oxygen evolution half-reaction of metal oxide and redox shuttles, irradiated by a solar simulator (AM1.5G, Class AAA, IEC/JIS/ASTM, 1440 W xenon, 12 × 12 in., MODEL: 94123A, illumination time: 5 h).

First, we explored a range of polymer photocatalysts for the hydrogen evolution half-reaction and various metal oxide materials as photocatalysts for oxygen production. To do this, we performed high-throughput screening whereby the photocatalysts (5 mg) were added to water (5 mL) containing a redox mediator and dispersed by ultrasonication. The samples were then illuminated with a solar simulator for 5 hours before measuring the amount of hydrogen or oxygen produced using an automated gas chromatograph equipped with a pulsed discharge detector.

We explored various polymers for the hydrogen evolution half-reaction, that is; P10 (homopolymer of dibenzo[b,d]thiophene sulfone),15 P34 (poly[9,9-dimethyl-9H-fluorene-2,7-diyl]),26 P64 (dibenzo[b,d]thiophene sulfone dibenzo[b,d]thiophene co-polymer),18,21 P74 (2,1,3-benzothiadiazole dibenzo[b,d]thiophene sulfone co-polymer), and S-CMP3 (conjugated microporous polymer based on dibenzo[b,d]thiophene sulfone and 2,2',7,7'-linked 9,9'-spirobifluorene)26 (Fig. 1a and Fig. S-1).

For the oxygen producing half-reaction, we considered various metal oxides that were reported previously, such as BiVO₄ and WO₃ (Fig. 1b). The polymers were prepared using Pd(0)-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions and characterized using UV-vis spectroscopy, powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD), Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR), photoluminescence spectroscopy (PL), scanning electron microscope (SEM), static light scattering, and time-resolved single photon counting (TRSPC) (Fig. S-2 to S-16). All polymers contained residual metallic palladium particles, as evident from electron paramagnetic resonance results (Fig. S-17), which remained in the materials after work-up; this residual metal acts as a co-catalyst for hydrogen production, instead of the more commonly used platinum.17,26,27

Both the polymers and the inorganic materials were tested against a range of electron donors and acceptors (i.e., Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺,28 I⁻/IO³⁻,29 Ce³⁺/Ce⁴⁺,30 NO₂⁻/NO₃⁻,31 [Co(phen)]³⁺/²⁺, and [Co(bpy)]³⁺/²⁺)⁹ to identify candidate redox pair combinations that could be taken forward in a Z-scheme for overall water-splitting.

Under these screening conditions, we found that the highest hydrogen evolution activity was obtained for polymer P10 with FeCl₂ at pH 2.7 acting as the electron donor (hydrogen evolution rate (HER) of 1.4 μmol h⁻¹ for 5 mg photocatalyst under solar simulator illumination AM1.5G, irradiation area = 4 cm²; pressure = 1 bar, N₂). This rate was significantly higher than for the other polymers (P74, P64, P34 and S-CMP3), as tested under the same conditions (Fig. 1a). The catalytic rate for P10 with FeCl₂ was more than 10 times lower than for triethylamine under the equivalent conditions (17.2 μmol h⁻¹). The latter
involves the irreversible oxidation of an organic donor, but the Fe²⁺-catalyzed rate was sufficiently high to offer promise as a potential partner in a Z-scheme. An oxygen production screen showed that BiVO₄ coupled with FeCl₃ as the electron donor, again at pH 2.7, gave the highest oxygen evolution rates (Fig. 1b; OER, 0.32 µmol h⁻¹, 5 mg photocatalyst), suggesting P10 / BiVO₄ / Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺ as a potential Z-scheme.

The ionization potential of P10, as previously predicted by DFT,¹⁵ indicates that it is possible to oxidize Fe²⁺ to Fe³⁺; likewise, the experimental band positions for BiVO₄³⁻ allow for the reduction of Fe³⁺ to Fe²⁺ (Fig. 2). The resulting holes in BiVO₄ have a large driving force for water oxidization and the electrons in P10 have a large driving force for proton reduction. Hence, the combination of high-throughput photocatalysis screening and the predicted and measured potentials of the charge carriers in P10 and BiVO₄, respectively, prompted us to explore Z-schemes comprising P10 for hydrogen production and BiVO₄ for oxygen production with a Fe³⁺/Fe²⁺ redox couple.

**Table 1.** Hydrogen and oxygen evolution rates measured under visible light illumination (> 420 nm) for a P10−(H₂-evolving photocatalyst) and BiVO₄−(O₂-evolving photocatalyst) Z-scheme using Fe³⁺/Fe²⁺ as the redox mediator.

| Entry | Photocatalyst P10* | H₂ Evolution rate (µmol h⁻¹)b | O₂ Evolution rate (µmol h⁻¹)b | Kinetic data in ESI |
|-------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|
|       | Amount (mg) | Residual Pd (ppm) |      |                          |                   |
| 1     | 50        | 160                      | 0.05 | 1                      | S-18              |
| 2     | 50        | 160 + 2500 ppm Ru        | 0.16 | 0.76                   | S-19              |
| 3     | 50        | 3300                     | 4    | 0.93                   | S-20              |
| 4     | 10        | 3300                     | 0.95 | 0.52                   | S-21              |
| 5     | 7         | 3300                     | 3    | 1.29                   | S-22              |
| 6     | 4         | 3300                     | 3.55 | 1.76                   | S-23              |
| 7     | 4²⁺       | 3300                     | 5    | 2.7                    | S-24              |

* Reaction conditions: Starting reactant solution, 50 mg BiVO₄ and P10 with variation amounts, residual Pd or Ru loaded by photodeposition in 120 mL of an aqueous redox mediator solution (FeCl₃, 2 mmol L⁻¹, initial pH: 2.7); light source: 300 W Xenon light source with a cut-off filter (λ > 420 nm); cell, top-irradiation, 70 torr, Ar; b Rates of the equilibrated system. c FeCl₂, 2 mmol L⁻¹, initial pH: 2.7 was used.
Figure 2. a) Structures of the two photocatalysts, BiVO$_4$ and P10; b) Alignment of the potentials of P10 (HOMO, ionization potential; LUMO, electron affinity) and bands (VB, valence band; CB, conduction band) of BiVO$_4$ with the solution potential of the Fe$^{2+}$/Fe$^{3+}$ redox couple. P10 and BiVO$_4$ data taken from refs. 32 and 41, respectively.

We tested a Z-scheme for overall water splitting using different ratios of P10 and BiVO$_4$ and different amounts of residual Pd, as shown in Table 1. For P10 containing 160 ppm of Pd, a low H$_2$ evolution rate was observed (0.05 µmol h$^{-1}$, entry 1), along with a much higher, non-stoichiometric O$_2$ evolution rate (1 µmol h$^{-1}$, Entry 1). In line with previous observations on threshold values for metal incorporation for optimum H$_2$ production in sacrificial systems,$^{17,34}$ we found significantly increased H$_2$ evolution rates (4 µmol h$^{-1}$, Entry 3) when P10 containing 3300 ppm residual Pd. The accompanying O$_2$ evolution rate was 0.93 µmol h$^{-1}$. Ruthenium, which is often used as a co-catalyst for hydrogen evolution catalysts in Z-schemes$^{9,35}$, acted here as a poor cocatalyst; for a Z-scheme with 2500 ppm Ru-loaded P10, we observed low, non-stoichiometric gas production rates (H$_2$: 0.16 µmol h$^{-1}$; O$_2$: 0.76 µmol h$^{-1}$, Entry 2).

Decreasing the amount of the organic photocatalyst P10 (3300 ppm Pd) relative to BiVO$_4$ from 50:50 w/w to 7:50 w/w caused the water splitting reaction to proceed approximately stoichiometrically (H$_2$: 3 µmol h$^{-1}$; O$_2$: 1.29 µmol h$^{-1}$, Entry 5). When the amount of polymer was reduced even further (4:50 w/w P10:BiVO$_4$), the water splitting reaction still proceeded in a stoichiometric ratio (H$_2$: 3.55 µmol h$^{-1}$; O$_2$: 1.76 µmol h$^{-1}$, Entry 6). The need for more BiVO$_4$ than P10 for stoichiometric water splitting aligns with our observation that P10 evolves significantly more hydrogen under sacrificial conditions than BiVO$_4$ does oxygen; as such, reducing the amount of the more active polymer photocatalyst lowers its competitive light absorption, hence increasing the overall activity of the Z-scheme.

In experiments where the redox mediator was initially Fe$^{3+}$, we observed only oxygen production at the start of the reaction, as shown in Fig. 3a. This is consistent with the reduction of Fe$^{3+}$ to Fe$^{2+}$ by BiVO$_4$. After 6 h, we observed steady and simultaneous H$_2$ and O$_2$ production close to the expected stoichiometric ratio of 2:1 (H$_2$: 3 µmol h$^{-1}$ and O$_2$: 1.29 µmol h$^{-1}$) for 7 mg P10 in Z-scheme system under a visible light illumination ($\lambda > 420$ nm, 300 W Xe light source; Fig. S-22). Under solar simulator irradiation, we observed rates of 0.66 µmol h$^{-1}$ for H$_2$, and 0.29 µmol h$^{-1}$ for O$_2$ (Fig. 3d). When Fe$^{3+}$ was used as the initial species in the redox mediator solution, we observed both H$_2$ and O$_2$ production under a visible light illumination ($\lambda > 420$ nm, 300 W Xe light source; Fig. 3b) but the ratio was non-stoichiometric (5.4:1 H$_2$:O$_2$) at the start of the reaction. After a total of 10 h, we saw stoichiometric production of H$_2$ and O$_2$ (H$_2$: 5.0 µmol h$^{-1}$; O$_2$: 2.7 µmol h$^{-1}$). Thus, overall water splitting proceeded in both cases, starting with either FeCl$_3$ or FeCl$_2$. In the absence of a redox mediator, no overall water splitting proceeds, showing that electron and hole transfer indeed occurs via the redox mediator (Fig. S-28).
Figure 3. Time course of overall water splitting on P10 (4 mg) and BiVO₄ (50 mg) under visible light illumination (300 W Xe light source, \( \lambda > 420 \text{ nm} \)) with evacuation every 5 h (dashed line) using a) An aqueous FeCl₃ solution (2 mmol L⁻¹, 120 mL, pH 2.7); b) An aqueous FeCl₂ solution (2 mmol L⁻¹, 120 mL, pH 2.4). c) Time course of overall water splitting on P10 (4 mg) and BiVO₄ (50 mg) in an aqueous FeCl₃ solution (2 mmol L⁻¹, 120 mL, pH 2.7) under full arc light illumination (300 W Xe light source, full arc); d) Time course of overall water splitting on P10 (4 mg) and BiVO₄ (50 mg) in an aqueous FeCl₃ solution (2 mmol L⁻¹, 120 mL, pH 2.7) under simulated sunlight (solar simulator AM1.5G filter, 100 mW cm⁻²); e) Wavelength dependence of the photocatalytic activity of P10 (4 mg) and BiVO₄ (50 mg) in an aqueous FeCl₃ solution (2 mmol L⁻¹, 120 mL, pH 2.7) using a Xe light source (300 W) with suitable cut-off filters; f) Time course of water splitting reaction of P10 (4 mg) and BiVO₄ (50 mg) in an aqueous FeCl₂ solution (2 mmol L⁻¹, 120 mL, pH 2.4), under Ar flow using a Xe light source (300 W, \( \lambda > 420 \text{ nm} \)).

As might be expected, higher rates were observed under broadband illumination (full arc, 300 W Xe light source) and rates of 10.8 \( \mu \text{mol h}^{-1} \) and 4.5 \( \mu \text{mol h}^{-1} \) were determined for \( \text{H}_2 \) and \( \text{O}_2 \) production for a Z-scheme consisting of P10/BiVO₄ (4:50) with FeCl₃ (Fig. 3c). Further evidence that overall water splitting was taking place was that (i) a colorimetric experiment confirmed the conversion of Fe³⁺ to Fe²⁺; (ii) the amount of \( \text{O}_2 \) evolved was larger than the amount of Fe³⁺ in the FeCl₃ solution (60 \( \mu \text{mol} \)); (iii) the total amount of \( \text{H}_2 \) generated this experiment (125.2 \( \mu \text{mol} \), Fig. S-23) was larger than the amount of hydrogen in the P10 sample (56 \( \mu \text{mol} \)), thus ruling out the possibility that the \( \text{H}_2 \) was produced from a self-corrosion process. Longer term stability was evaluated for 70 h under visible light irradiation (Fig. S-23) followed by 5 h full arc irradiation showing stable water splitting over extended time. Post illumination analysis also showed no significant changes in the UV-vis, photoluminescence, FT-IR spectra, and PXRD patterns for the catalysts (Fig. S-30 to S-33). We did observe that a small amount of \( \text{H}_2 \) was produced by P10 in water only (i.e., in the absence of any intentionally added redox mediator, Fig. S-34), possibly due to self-oxidation of the photocatalyst. However, when D₂O was used as the proton source for P10 in the presence of FeCl₂ \( \text{D}_2 \) production was mostly observed (Fig. S-35), which rules out that this self-oxidation is the source of the \( \text{H}_2 \) in the Z-scheme experiments. Possibly the self-oxidation is also suppressed in the presence of electron mediator. We also note that the pH value remains virtually unchanged during the experiment, which shows that no Fe(OH)₃ was formed. Decomposition of BiVO₄ was not expected to occur at pH 2.4, but this been observed under more acidic conditions.³⁶
Figure 4. TA spectra of P10 suspension in (a) water at pH 2.7 (0.24 g L$^{-1}$) and in the presence of (b) Fe$^{2+}$ (4 mM), (c) Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$ (both 4 mM) and (d) Fe$^{3+}$ (4 mM). Spectra are recorded following 400 nm (150 nJ, 5 kHz) excitation. The presence of Fe$^{3+}$ leads to the formation of a new long-lived TA band at 640 nm assigned to an electron polaron (P10$^\ast$).

The back reaction to form water from evolved H$_2$ and O$_2$ can be an issue for overall water splitting because noble metal co-catalysts can accelerate this.$^{10,13}$ For the Z-scheme presented here, we did not observe a reduction in the amounts of H$_2$ and O$_2$ gas in the dark when the light source was switched off (Fig. S-22), suggesting that no significant thermal back reaction was taking place.

We next examined the behaviour of the photocatalytic system by transient absorption (TA) spectroscopy to confirm the proposed mechanism of water splitting (Fig. 2). Initially, we studied P10 containing 0.33 wt. % Pd in the absence of the redox mediator in water at pH 2.7 (Fig. 4a). Following excitation, a broad negative signal was observed at wavelengths below 740 nm, which has been assigned previously to stimulated emission by comparison to the photoluminescence spectrum of P10.$^{15}$ From 740 nm to greater than 860 nm, a photoinduced absorption (PIA) was observed. Very similar behaviour was observed in a TA study of P10 in pure water, with the PIA assigned to singlet exciton formation. The decay kinetics of the PIA and the stimulated emission are complex, requiring greater than 4 exponential components to achieve a satisfactory fit likely due to the distribution of polymer structures present (Fig. S-36). However, the time taken for the initially measured TA change to decay by 50% ($t_{50\%}$) for both the PIA and emission were similar ($t_{50\%}$ ~1.7 ps and 2.2 ps at 843 nm and 540 nm), respectively.

Marked differences were observed in the TA spectra in the presence of 4 mM of Fe$^{2+}$ (Fig. 4b). The features due to stimulated emission (<740 nm) and the initial singlet excitons (>740 nm) were still present, but a new band also grows in within 10 ps, centered at 640 nm. Similar features were assigned previously to the formation of the electron polaron with P10 in the presence of an amine electron donor.$^{15}$ Here, we also assign this band to P10$^\ast$, confirming the role of the Fe$^{2+}$ species. In the presence of Fe$^{2+}$, the rate of decay of the PIA at 843 nm ($t_{50\%}$ ~ 1.3 ps) and the bleach at 550 nm ($t_{50\%}$ ~ 2.1 ps) is similar to that measured in the absence of the electron donor (Fig. S-37).
Figure 5. Time scales of the individual processes taking place in the Z-scheme.

It is notable that the 640 nm band is very long-lived (>3.3 ns; the longest timescale we can study here). By contrast, in water alone, minimal TA signals remain after this timescale and, if present at all, the 640 nm band is much weaker in intensity (Fig. 4a). When both Fe$^{2+}$ (4 mM) and Fe$^{3+}$ (4 mM) are in the P10 suspension, we see similar behaviour to when Fe$^{2+}$ alone is present, with the efficient formation of the electron polaron still occurring (Fig. 4c), persisting to timescales beyond the maximum that can be studied here (Fig. S-38) with no notable loss in lifetime. It is therefore apparent that despite the presence of Fe$^{3+}$, which might be expected to act as an electron scavenger, long-lived P10$^-$ species can still be formed, which is known to be a requirement since H$_2$ evolution is thought to occur on the micro- to millisecond timescale.$^{15}$

A significantly decreased intensity of the 640 nm PIA is observed in the absence of Fe$^{2+}$ (Figure 4d). The small absorption at 640 nm could be due to the presence of a not fully charge separated state, with spectral features similar to that of the electron polaron. Alternatively, it may indicate that a small population of the P10 electron polaron can be formed, may be due to the build-up of Fe$^{3+}$ following the excitation of the sample for prolonged periods.

Attempts to study BiVO$_4$ by TA here were unsuccessful due to the colloidal instability of the suspensions. However, electron scavenging by Fe$^{3+/2+}$ following the photoexcitation of BiVO$_4$ has been studied previously by TA spectroscopy. There,$^{37}$ electron scavenging with Fe$^{3+}$ occurred within a few microseconds of BiVO$_4$ excitation, with the photogenerated holes on BiVO$_4$ being then retained for > 100 μs, indicating that both back electron transfer to Fe$^{2+}$ and the transfer of the hole into water occur on a slower timescale. Therefore, in light of our new experiments and the literature results$^{37,15}$ discussed above, we are able to propose timescales for the individual steps in the Z-scheme system (Fig. 5).

CONCLUSION

In summary, conjugated polymer photocatalysts can be coupled with inorganic photocatalysts to produce a Z-scheme that performs overall water splitting under visible light irradiation. In this first example, the organic polymer photocatalyst is less dense than its inorganic counterpart and therefore much smaller amounts of the organic catalyst are needed (4:50 w/w P10:BiVO$_4$). While the overall solar-to-hydrogen efficiency of this first system is very low (0.0014%), this proof-of-concept study opens the door for other linear polymer–inorganic Z-schemes in the future. It is possible, for example, that solid-state organic-inorganic Z-schemes using solution processable polymers$^{38-40}$ might give better performance without the need for a soluble redox shuttle.
METHODS

Synthesis of the hydrogen evolution photocatalyst (HEP) and the oxygen evolution photocatalyst (OEP).

P10-3300 ppm Pd: A flask was charged with the 3,7-dibromodibenzo[b,d]thiophene sulfone (748 mg, 2 mmol), 3,7-bis(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)dibenzo[b,d]thiophene sulfone (936 mg, 2 mmol), N,N-dimethylformamide (120 mL), an aqueous solution of K$_2$CO$_3$ (21.6 mL, 2.0 M), and [Pd(PPh$_3$)$_4$] (40 mg, 1.8 mol%). The mixture was degassed by bubbling with N$_2$ for 30 minutes and heated to 150 °C for 2 days. The mixture was cooled to room temperature and poured into water. The precipitate was collected by filtration and washed with H$_2$O and methanol. Further purification of the polymers was carried out by Soxhlet extraction with chloroform to remove any low-molecular weight by-products. The product was dried under reduced pressure and obtained as a yellow powder (950 mg, quant.). Pd content: 0.33 wt. %. See Supplementary Information for synthesis of P10-160 ppm Pd.

BiVO$_4$ was prepared by a liquid-solid state reaction as previously reported. Bi(NO$_3$)$_3$-5H$_2$O (3 g, 10 mmol) and of V$_2$O$_5$ (0.909 g, 5 mmol) were stirred in an aqueous HNO$_3$ (0.5 mol L$^{-1}$, 50 mL) for 72 h. The reaction mixture was filtered, the solids were washed with distilled water and dried to give the product as a yellow powder.

Photocatalytic water splitting experiments: Water splitting experiments were carried out using BiVO$_4$ (0.05 g) and P10 (0.05-0.004 g) in aqueous solutions containing the mediator (120 mL) after dispersion using ultrasonication. A top-irradiation cell with a Pyrex window was used after degassing by applying vacuum and purging with argon. The set-up was brought back to reduced pressure (70 torr) and irradiated with a 300 W Xe arc light source (PerkinElmer; CERMAX PE300BF) or a solar simulator (Yamashita Denso; YSS-80QA, 100 mW cm$^{-2}$). Amounts of evolved hydrogen and oxygen were determined using an online gas chromatograph (Shimazu; GC-8A, MS-5Å column, TCD, Ar carrier).

Transient absorption spectroscopy experiments: TA Spectra were recorded of suspensions of P10 (0.24 mg mL$^{-1}$) prepared by FeCl$_2$, FeCl$_3$, or FeCl$_2$/FeCl$_3$ suspensions or water (pH 2.7, adjusted with H$_2$SO$_4$) were purged with argon and transferred to quartz cuvettes (2 mm). Samples were not stirred during measurements as they were found to be suitably stable for the experiment duration (ca. 30 minutes). Samples were excited with a 400 nm probe light at 5 kHz with a pulse duration of ca. 170 fs with a power of 750 µW and a beam diameter of ca. 600 µm. The probe light was generated using a Pharos-SP-10W (Light Conversion) operating at 10 kHz coupled to an Orpheus optical parametric amplifier (Light Conversion) in tandem with a Lyra harmonic generator (Light Conversion). A portion of the output of the Pharos laser (1030 nm) was focussed onto a sapphire crystal and used for generation of a white light probe beam (10 kHz, focussed to 400 um) within the Harpia-TA spectrometer (Light Conversion). TA spectra were collected using the Harpia-TA spectrometer and processed using Carpet view.
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