Long non-coding RNA RFPL3S is a novel prognostic biomarker in lung cancer
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Abstract. Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) are functional components of the human genome. Recent studies have demonstrated that lncRNAs play essential roles in tumorigenesis, and are involved in cell proliferation, apoptosis, migration and invasion in several types of tumor, including lung cancer. However, the clinical relevance of lncRNA expression in lung cancer remains unknown. The aim of the present study was to investigate the expression pattern of RFPL3 antisense (RFPL3S) and its associations with clinicopathological characteristics in patients with lung cancer. Whether RFPL3S can act as a potential prognostic biomarker for lung cancer was also investigated. RFPL3S expression in tumor samples and cells was assessed using the Oncomine database and the Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia, respectively. Based on Kaplan-Meier Plotter analyses, the prognostic values of RFPL3S were further evaluated. It was revealed that RFPL3S was highly expressed in lung cancer tissues when compared with normal tissues and was significantly associated with pN factor, pTNM stage and Ki-67 labeling index. In the survival analyses, increased RFPL3S expression was associated with poor survival and was inversely associated with first progression in all patients. These results indicate that RFPL3S may be of clinical significance and may act as a prognostic biomarker in lung cancer.

Introduction

Lung cancer is the most common cause of cancer-associated mortalities worldwide, accounting for one in four mortalities and the incidence rate was 96.8 per 100,000 in 2008 in the United States (1). It is estimated that ~2,093,876 new cases are diagnosed annually around the world and the 5-year survival rate for patients with lung cancer is 18%, which is the lowest among the major types of cancer, including breast, colon and liver cancer (1). Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and small cell lung cancer (SCLC) account for 85 and 15% of all lung cancer cases (2), respectively. The former subgroup is typically comprised of two common subtypes, adenocarcinoma (~70%) and squamous cell lung cancer (~30%) (2). The mechanisms underlying lung carcinogenesis are complex and poorly understood. Numerous cellular phenomena, including hypoxia, inflammation, the tumor microenvironment and oxidative stress, coupled with various molecular events, promote lung cancer initiation and progression (3-6). The majority of patients with lung cancer have a poor prognosis due to recurrence and distant metastasis (7,8). Despite the advancements in chemotherapy, radiotherapy and surgical techniques for lung cancer in recent years, the long-time survival rate has failed to improve (9). The lack of appropriate molecular biomarkers is one of the main reasons for this failure as it results in patients being diagnosed at an advanced or distant metastatic stage, at which point curative treatments are no longer available (10,11). For patients with lung cancer, diagnosis and treatment at an early stage of disease can prolong survival (12). At present, the clinical diagnosis of lung cancer depends on chest X-ray and low dose computed tomography scans (13); however, the high false positive rates (14), side-effects of radiation and the high costs may influence diagnostic accuracy and limit utility in lung cancer screening. In addition, approaches such as bronchoscopy and biopsy can be used, but they are painful, invasive and laborious (15). As a result, it is imperative to identify novel, sensitive and reliable biomarkers, as well as more efficient therapeutic targets to improve the diagnosis and treatment of patients with lung cancer.
The whole human genome consists of 20,000-25,000 genes; however, only 2% of genome sequences encode proteins (16) and at least 90% of human genes are transcribed into non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs) (17). Currently, several ncRNAs have been discovered, including ribosomal RNAs, transfer RNAs, piwi-interacting RNAs, microRNAs and long non-coding RNAs (IncRNAs), which were previously regarded as useless, but they are now known to have crucial biological functions (18). According to transcript size, the remaining ncRNAs are classified into small ncRNAs (<200 nt) and IncRNAs (>200 nt) (13). IncRNAs can be classified into the following 6 categories: Sense, antisense, divergent, bidirectional, intronic and intergenic (19). IncRNAs have attracted the most attention and an increasing number of studies have demonstrated that many IncRNAs play crucial regulatory roles in a wide variety of physiological processes, including development, differentiation and metabolism at the transcriptional, post-transcriptional and epigenetic levels (20,21). At the transcriptional level, IncRNAs regulate gene expression depending upon the sequence and relative position; for example, by combining with the promoters of target genes and forming stable DNA-RNA triplex complexes to inhibit transcription initiation (22). At the post-transcriptional level, a number of IncRNAs may competitively bind to the target mRNA and prevent the binding of transcription factors, resulting in aberrant mRNA translation, splicing and degradation (23). At the epigenetic level, IncRNAs promote chromatin reprogramming genome-wide and regulate DNA methylation (24) and histone modification (25,26). Genome-scale approaches revealed that several IncRNAs have a significant secondary structure (24), which is critical to specific binding and function (27), and shares certain common sequence features, including paucity of introns, low GC content, weak start codon and open reading frame contexts (28). Aberrantly expressed IncRNAs in various types of cancer are thought to contribute to tumorigenesis by influencing tumor cell proliferation, resisting cell death, enabling metastasis and replicative immortality, and inducing angiogenesis (20,29-32). However, the roles of IncRNAs in lung cancer and their association with clinico-pathological parameters remain largely unknown and therefore require further study.

The RFPL3 antisense (RFPL3S) gene is located on chr22:32,359,906-32,382,052 (GRCh38/hg38) and has been suggested to regulate the sense RFPL genes at the post-transcriptional level (33). RFPL3S consists of eight transcripts with a length range between 334 and 1,295 bp (33). The present study further investigated the expression of RFPL3S in lung cancer based on tissue samples and databases, with the purpose of investigating the prognostic implications and expression pattern of RFPL3S, as well as the associations with clinico-pathological characteristics in patients with lung cancer.

Materials and methods

Tissue specimens. Human lung cancer tissue samples and adjacent non-cancerous tissues (within 3 cm of cancer tissue) were obtained from 205 patients who underwent surgical resection of lung cancer between January 2009 and October 2011 at The First People's Hospital of Wujiang District (Suzhou, China). Written informed consent was obtained from all patients and the present study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the First People's Hospital of Wujiang District. The collected tissue samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen until subsequent analysis. None of the patients had received any therapy, including chemotherapy and radiotherapy, prior to surgery. The histological grades were classified according to the World Health Organization guidelines (34) and the tumors were staged using the 7th edition of the tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) staging system (35).

Reverse transcription-quantitative (RT-q) PCR analysis. Total RNA was extracted from tissues using TRIzol® reagent (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), according to the manufacturer's protocol. cDNA was synthesized with 1 μg total RNA using the Primer Script RT mix (Takara Biotechnology Co., Ltd.). qPCR analysis was subsequently performed with the SYBR Green Real-time PCR Master Mix (Takara Biotechnology Co., Ltd.) in a 20 μl reaction volume. The thermocycling conditions were as follows: 95˚C for 20 sec (pre-denaturation), followed by 40 cycles of 95˚C for 10 sec (denaturation) and 60˚C for 45 sec (extension). The primers were designed using Primer Premier software (version 5.0; Premier Biosoft International) and the sequences were as follows: RFPL3S forward 5'-GTC GTCAGAAATGAGGAGGAAGT-3' and reverse, 5'-TGTGAG CAGAGAGCATGGG-3' and GAPDH forward, 5'-GACA GGTGCATCATGACACCTTG-3' and reverse, 5'-GTGACC CACCCCTGTTGCTGTAG-3'. The relative gene expression levels were calculated using the 2^(-ΔΔCq) method (36) and normalized to the internal reference gene GAPDH. Each sample was run in triplicate and independently repeated three times.

Immunohistochemistry. Tissue blocks were cut into 5-μm thick sections and prepared for immunohistochemical staining. The sections were deparaffinized and rehydrated using a graded series of alcohol solutions, according to standard protocols. Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked by incubating the sections with 3% hydrogen peroxide in methanol for 30 min at room temperature. For antigen retrieval, the sections were placed in 10 mM citrate buffer (pH 6.0) for 20 min at 95˚C. Sections were blocked with 5% BSA (Dako; Agilent Technologies, Inc.) for 30 min at room temperature, in order to inhibit non-specific binding. Subsequently, the sections were incubated in a humidified chamber for 1 h at room temperature with primary antibody directed against Ki-67 (1:50; cat. no. MA5-14520; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). After washing three times with ice-cold PBS, the sections were incubated with a biotinylated goat anti-rabbit antibody secondary antibody (1:10,000; cat. no. R0002; Dako; Agilent Technologies, Inc.) for 30 min at room temperature. The DAB Elite kit (Dako; Agilent Technologies, Inc.) was employed to visualize peroxidase activity and the sections were counter-stained with Mayer hematoxylin for 1 min at room temperature, followed by dehydration and mounting. The expression of Ki-67 was evaluated using the labeling index, which was determined by counting 500-1,000 tumor cells under a light microscope (magnification, x400). Tumor cells with ≥31% Ki-67 staining were defined as high Ki-67, based on the median value of positive staining. All sections were independently and blindly evaluated by three pathologists, one from the Department of Oncology, Suzhou Ninth People's Hospital and two from the Department of Oncology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University (both Suzhou, China). The average of the
scores from the three independent pathologists was the final immunohistochemical score.

**Oncomine analysis.** RFPL3S mRNA levels in different types of cancer were determined using the Oncomine database (www.oncomine.org), which is a publicly accessible online cancer microarray database that facilitates genome-wide expression analyses (37). In the present study, the unpaired student’s t-test was used to generate a P-value for comparison between the tumor and adjacent non-cancerous tissues. The fold change was defined as 2 and the P-value was set at 0.01.

**Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia (CCLE) analysis.** RFPL3S mRNA levels in lung cancer were determined using the CCLE database (portals.broadinstitute.org/ccle/home), which is an online encyclopedia that facilitates the identification of genetic, lineage and predictors of drug sensitivity (37).

**Kaplan-Meier plotter survival analysis.** The prognostic values of lung cancer samples with high RFPL3S expression were further assessed using the Kaplan-Meier plotter (kmplot.com/analysis).

**Statistical analysis.** Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software (version 18.0; IBM Corp.) and GraphPad Prism (version 6; GraphPad Software, Inc.). The prognostic impacts of RFPL3S were estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method and survival curves were compared using the log-rank test. The associations between clinicopathological characteristics and RFPL3S expression were analyzed by the χ² test. The data on RFPL3S expression in paired tumor tissue samples and adjacent non-cancerous tissues were analyzed via the paired Student’s t-test. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference and the data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation.

**Results**

**Association between RFPL3S expression levels and clinicopathological characteristics.** The present study investigated the association between RFPL3S expression levels and clinicopathological characteristics, including sex, age, smoking history, differentiation, histological type, pT factor, pN factor, pTNM stage and Ki-67 labeling index in patients with lung cancer (Table I). A total of 42 female and 163 male patients with a median age of 64 years (range, 30-86 years) were subdivided into the following two groups based on their RFPL3S expression levels, high expression (n=149 patients) and low expression (n=56 patients). For the lung cancer samples, increased RFPL3S expression was more frequently observed in well- and moderately-differentiated tumors than in poorly-differentiated tumors (P=0.0229). In addition, it was noted that RFPL3S expression was significantly associated with pN factor (P=0.0267), pTNM stage (P=0.0117) and Ki-67 labeling index (P<0.0001); however, no significant associations were demonstrated between RFPL3S expression and sex (P=0.5672), age (P=0.2603), smoking history (P=0.3432), histological type (P=0.3995) or pT factor (P=0.0513) (Table I). These results demonstrate that increased RFPL3S expression levels tend to be associated with poorer differentiation, lymph node metastasis, TNM stage and Ki-67 labeling index.

**RFPL3S is upregulated in lung cancer.** Based on the Oncomine analysis, no significant differences were demonstrated in the 56 total unique analysis when cancer tissues were compared with normal tissues (Fig. 1A). The outlier analysis, used to determine significant RFPL3S expression in a subset of the patient samples, suggested that there were eight cases with a significant increase and three with a significant decrease in RFPL3S expression. Patients with a significant increase included two patients with breast cancer and one patient with brain and CNS cancer (Fig. 1A). In the present study, RFPL3S was found in several types of human cancers including sarcoma, leukemia, breast, head and neck, lung and prostate cancer based on the Oncomine analysis, and significantly increased RFPL3S levels were detected

| Characteristics                  | Patient, n | RFPL3S expression |
|----------------------------------|------------|-------------------|
| **Characteristics**              |            | **High** | **Low** | **P-value** |
| Sex                              |            |          |         |         |
| Male                             | 163        | 117      | 46      | 0.5672   |
| Female                           | 42         | 32       | 10      |          |
| Age, years                       |            |          |         |         |
| <60                              | 101        | 77       | 24      | 0.2603   |
| ≥60                              | 104        | 72       | 32      |          |
| Smoking history                  |            |          |         |         |
| Smoker                           | 142        | 106      | 36      | 0.3432   |
| Non-smoker                       | 63         | 43       | 20      |          |
| Differentiation                  |            |          |         |         |
| Well + Moderate                  | 140        | 95       | 45      | 0.0229   |
| Poor                             | 65         | 54       | 11      |          |
| Histological type                |            |          |         |         |
| Squamous                         | 111        | 78       | 33      | 0.3995   |
| Adenocarcinoma                   | 94         | 71       | 23      |          |
| pT factor                        |            |          |         |         |
| T1-2                             | 148        | 102      | 46      | 0.0513   |
| T3-4                             | 57         | 47       | 10      |          |
| pN factor                        |            |          |         |         |
| N0                               | 95         | 62       | 33      | 0.0267   |
| N1-2                             | 110        | 87       | 23      |          |
| pTNM stage                       |            |          |         |         |
| I-II                             | 137        | 92       | 45      | 0.0117   |
| III-IV                           | 68         | 57       | 11      |          |
| Ki-67 labeling index             |            |          |         | <0.0001  |
| <31                              | 98         | 54       | 44      |          |
| ≥31                              | 107        | 95       | 12      |          |

RFPL3S, RFPL3 antisense; TNM, tumor-node-metastasis.
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in leukemia and head and neck cancer. Furthermore, the present study determined the levels of RFPL3S expression in 20 pairs of lung cancer tissues and adjacent non-cancerous tissues and revealed that RFPL3S transcripts were 2.683-fold higher in lung cancer samples compared with normal tissues (P=0.0013; Fig. 1B).

In addition, the mRNA expression of RFPL3S in different cancer cell lines was obtained via CCLE analysis (Fig. 2). In line with the results of the Oncomine analysis, the expression levels of RFPL3S were demonstrated to be upregulated in lung cancer cell lines compared with normal cell lines, including SCLC and NSCLC cell lines, and ranked the fourth highest in SCLC cell lines among the different types of cancer. Notably, RFPL3S mRNA expression levels ranked the third highest in leukemia cell lines, behind that of lymphoma and multiple myeloma, and are also observed...
Figure 3. Kaplan-Meier survival curves of patients with lung cancer stratified by expression levels of RFPL3S. Black and red lines indicate patients with low or high RFPL3S expression, respectively. Overall survival analysis of RFPL3S expression in lung cancer in (A) all patients, (B) adenocarcinoma, (C) squamous cell carcinoma, (D) smokers, (E) non-smokers, (F) tumor-negative surgical margins, (G) females, (H) males, (I) stage I and (J) stage II. Statistical significance was calculated using the log-rank test. RFPL3S, RFPL3 antisense.
in breast and prostate cancer, which was consistent with the results of the Oncomine analysis. These results indicate that RFPL3S may play unique roles in the development of several types of cancer.

Association between RFPL3S expression and survival in patients with lung cancer. Since RFPL3S was revealed to be highly expressed in lung cancer, the present study further investigated the potential prognostic roles of RFPL3S in lung cancer.
Figure 5. Kaplan-Meier survival curves of patients with lung cancer stratified by expression levels of RFPL3S. Black and red lines indicate patients with low or high RFPL3S expression, respectively. First-progression survival analysis of RFPL3S in lung cancer in (A) all patients, (B) adenocarcinoma, (C) squamous cell carcinoma, (D) smokers, (E) non-smokers, (F) tumor-negative surgical margins, (G) females, (H) males, (I) N0 and (J) N1.
cancer. The association between patient survival and RFPL3S expression status was analyzed using KM-plotter, according to the expression of RFPL3S. A total of 1,926 patients with lung cancer were used for survival analysis. According to the expression of RFPL3S, the samples were divided into two groups (the low and high expression groups). Kaplan-Meier analysis demonstrated that high RFPL3S expression was associated with poorer overall survival (OS) compared with low RFPL3S expression in all patients with lung cancer [hazard ratio (HR)=1.38; 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.18-1.60; P<0.001; Fig. 3C]. In particular, sub-analysis revealed that higher RFPL3S expression was significantly associated with survival in adenocarcinoma (HR=1.37; 95% CI: 1.27-1.48; P<0.001; Fig. 3B), but not in squamous cell carcinoma (HR=1.14; 95% CI: 1.03-1.27; P=0.28; Fig. 3C), and associated with stage 1 (HR=1.77; 95% CI: 1.29-2.42; P=0.001; Fig. 3B), but not in stage 2 (HR=1.12; 95% CI: 0.54-2.30; P=0.59; Fig. 3D). High RFPL3S mRNA expression was associated with poorer OS in patients with lung cancer who smoked (HR=1.6; 95% CI: 1.09-2.36; P=0.01; Fig. 3D), non-smokers (HR=2.32; 95% CI: 1.005-5.34; P=0.05; Fig. 3E), male patients (HR=1.22; 95% CI: 0.013-12; P=0.87; Fig. 3F), female patients (HR=1.71; 95% CI: 0.67-4.92; P=0.3; Fig. 3G) and patients with tumor-negative surgical margins (HR=2.04; 95% CI: 1.21-3.46; P=0.01; Fig. 3F). Subsequently, post-progression survival (PPS) in patients with lung cancer was analyzed (Fig. 4). Notably, patients with increased RFPL3S expression exhibited a shorter PPS than patients with lower RFPL3S expression (HR=1.36; 95% CI: 1.18-1.57; P=0.001; Fig. 4A), which was consistent with OS. Furthermore, increased RFPL3S levels were significantly associated with PPS in patients with tumor-negative surgical margins (HR=1.61; 95% CI: 0.99-2.58; P=0.0015; Fig. 4C), female patients (HR=1.76; 95% CI: 1.003-3.03; P=0.05; Fig. 4D) and patients not receiving radiotherapy (HR=1.72; 95% CI: 1.21-2.43; P=0.0018; Fig. 4F). However, no significant differences were demonstrated among patients with adenocarcinoma (HR=1.42; 95% CI: 0.94-2.17; P=0.14; Fig. 4B), male patients (HR=1.2; 95% CI: 0.73-2.00; P=0.3; Fig. 4E) and patients receiving radiotherapy (HR=0.71; 95% CI: 0.25-2.30; Fig. 4G). The association between RFPL3S expression levels and first progression (FP) was analyzed (Fig. 5). The results revealed that FP of all patients with NSCLC, with increased RFPL3S expression was worse than patients with lower RFPL3S expression (HR=1.85; 95% CI: 1.21-2.83; P=0.035; Fig. 5A), which was in line with the results of OS and PPS. In addition, the Kaplan-Meier analysis revealed that FP of patients with high RFPL3S expression levels was significantly associated with squamous cell carcinoma (HR=1.62; 95% CI: 1.03-2.53; P=0.035; Fig. 5C), smoking (HR=2.22; 95% CI: 1.91-2.02; P=0.0001; Fig. 5D), not smoking (HR=1.5; 95% CI: 0.97; P=0.097; Fig. 5E), male gender (HR=1.73; 95% CI: 3.3x10^-4; P=0.001; Fig. 5H), female gender (HR=1.99; 95% CI: 2.9x10^-4; P=0.001; Fig. 5G), tumor-negative surgical margins (HR=2.11; 95% CI: 5.7x10^-4; P=0.001; Fig. 5F), N0 (HR=1.76; 95% CI: 5.7x10^-4; P=0.001; Fig. 5I), N1 (HR=2.19; 95% CI: 8.4x10^-4; P=0.001; Fig. 5J) and T2 stage (HR=1.72; 95% CI: 3.8x10^-4; P=0.001; Fig. 5K). Notably, the results demonstrated that higher RFPL3S mRNA expression was significantly associated with shorter survival, including OS, PPS and FP in patients who had tumor-negative surgical margins.

Discussion

Lung cancer is a heterogeneous disease that consists of a variety of subtypes with distinct biological and clinical features, and presents the highest prevalence and mortality among all malignancies, primarily due to the development of resistance to targeted therapy and distant metastasis (38-41). It is challenging but rewarding to illustrate the pathogenesis of lung cancer, as well as to develop novel biomarkers and discover effective therapeutic approaches for individual patients. In conclusion, the results of this study demonstrated that RFPL3S is a novel prognostic biomarker in lung cancer that may be a potential therapeutic target in personalized cancer treatment.
differences were not observed for the factors associated with the size of the primary tumor, a tendency towards a poorer prognosis was observed in patients with increased RFPL3S expression levels. Oncomine analysis demonstrated increased RFPL3S expression levels in lung cancer compared with a variety of other types of cancer; the results were consistent with data obtained when comparing cancerous and normal tissues. Statistically significant differences were observed in the survival analyses, which demonstrated that increased RFPL3S expression was associated with shorter OS in all patients with lung cancer. Patients with increased RFPL3S expression levels had shorter survival times, which is in line with the results regarding PPS and FP. Notably, patients with a smoking history, which has been thought to be the predominant cause of multiple types of cancer and increases the incidence of lung cancer in the general population, demonstrated the potential of RFPL3S in the poor prognosis of lung cancer (57-61).

RFPL3S is the antisense transcript of the gene RFPL3 that is comprised of four exons and is formed by transcription in the opposite direction to the sense RFPL3 transcript, depending on the structure and position of the splicing sites for RFPL3S (34). A previous study identified 1.2 kB non-coding antisense mRNAs of RFPL3S genes, which cover substantial portions of their sense counterparts, suggesting that RFPL3S is involved in the post-transcriptional regulation of the sense RFPL genes at different spatial and temporal windows, and no apparent Open Reading Frame or repetitive elements could be detected (33). RFPL3, belonging to the RFPL protein family (including RFPL1, RFPL2 and RFPL3) (33), can increase telomerase activity and length (62), and promote the proliferation of tumor cells by regulating the expression of the human telomerase reverse transcriptase (hTERT) gene in NSCLC cells and driving hTERT promoter transcription (63). Epidermal growth factor significantly increased the levels of RFPL3 and hTERT proteins in NSCLC cells via activation of the MEK signaling pathway, resulting in promoting proliferation (63). In addition, CREB binding protein has been identified to coordinate with RFPL3 to regulate hTERT promoter activity through acetylation to promote lung cancer cell growth (64). However, further research is required to identify the molecular mechanisms that participate in RFPL3S upregulation in lung cancer.

Overall, the present study demonstrated that RFPL3S expression was upregulated and significantly associated with poor prognosis in lung cancer. Therefore, it may act as a potential prognostic biomarker in lung cancer. However, further studies are required to determine the underlying molecular mechanisms that are associated with RFPL3S expression in lung cancer.
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