Soluble Immune-Related Proteins as New Candidate Serum Biomarkers for the Diagnosis and Progression of Lymphangioleiomyomatosis

Xuefei Liu1,2†, Yanping Xu1,2†, Xueying Wu3,4,5†, Yanpu Liu6, Qiang Wu6, Jialiang Wu6, Henghui Zhang3,4,5*, Min Zhou1,2* and Jieming Qu1,2*

1 Department of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Ruijin Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China, 2 Institute of Respiratory Diseases, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China, 3 Beijing Shijitan Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China, 4 Ninth School of Clinical Medicine, Peking University, Beijing, China, 5 School of Oncology, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China, 6 Department of Respiration, Xiangshan Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospital, Shanghai, China

Background: The goal of this study was to analyze serum from lymphangioleiomyomatosis (LAM) patients and healthy controls to identify novel biomarkers that could shed light on disease diagnosis and pathogenesis.

Methods: From April 2017 to October 2019, qualified serum samples were obtained to explore differences in 59 immune proteins between 67 LAM patients and 49 healthy controls by the Luminex method.

Results: We characterized 22 serum immune proteins that were differentially expressed in LAM patients compared with healthy people. Fifty-nine proteins were then classified into eight categories according to their biological function, and the results showed that LAM patients displayed significantly higher levels of growth factors (p = 0.006) and lower levels of costimulatory molecules (p = 0.008). LAG-3 was not only likely to have better predictive value than VEGF-D but also showed a significant difference between patients without elevated VEGF-D and healthy people. IL-18 was positively correlated with lung function and six-minute walk test (6MWT) distance and negatively correlated with St. George’s Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ) core and pulmonary artery systolic pressure (PASP), which suggested that IL-18 was related to disease severity. PD-1 was significantly different between patients with pneumothorax and/or chylothorax and those without complications.

Conclusion: We performed a large-scale serum immune factor analysis of LAM. Our study provides evidence that LAG-3 may be a novel candidate serum biomarker for the diagnosis of LAM. Future independent validation in prospective studies is warranted.
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INTRODUCTION

Lymphangioleiomyomatosis (LAM) is a rare (affecting approximately five per million) and progressive cystic lung disease that occurs almost exclusively in women of reproductive age (1). LAM is characterized by excessive proliferation of atypical smooth muscle-like cells (LAM cells) and cystic destruction of the lung parenchyma. Therefore, LAM is often considered a benign tumor (2–4). LAM occurs both in sporadic cases and in patients with tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC-LAM) (5, 6). Patients can present with manifestations such as dyspnea, recurrent pneumothorax and chylothorax, renal angiomyolipomas and abdominal lymphangiomyolipomas (7). Although chest high-resolution computed tomography (HRCT) remains the preferred diagnostic method for LAM, its limitations are that LAM can be easily confused with other diseases with multiple thin-walled cysts in the lungs that similar, such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and cystic fibrosis. In recent years, many studies have found that excessive proliferation of LAM cells leads to lymphatic vascular malformation, which is related to the involvement of vascular endothelial growth factor D (VEGF-D) in the occurrence of the disease (8–11). Serum VEGF-D can be used as a noninvasive biomarker for the diagnosis and disease activity of LAM. However, the limitation of VEGF-D is that it is only elevated in patients with substantial lymphatic involvement, and there are still many LAM patients with non-elevated VEGF-D (12). Therefore, it is necessary to search for new serum biomarkers.

Sirolimus, a mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) inhibitor, is currently the only drug approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of LAM (13–16). However, sirolimus was associated with an increased frequency of adverse events compared with placebo in the same disease activity of LAM. Hence, there is an urgent need to find novel curative targets for LAM. Recently, immunotherapy has emerged as a promising treatment for various diseases, especially tumors. As a benign tumor, preclinical data on immune checkpoint inhibition may be effective in parallel with rapamycin therapy (21). Large-scale exploration of LAM immunological factors can not only screen diagnostic biomarkers of LAM but may also lead to the discovery of new immunotherapeutic targets for LAM.

In our study, we collected serum samples from 67 LAM patients and 49 healthy controls at Ruijin Hospital affiliated with Shanghai Jiao Tong University. The goal of our study was to identify novel biomarkers that could shed light on disease diagnosis, pathogenesis and therapy.

METHODS

Study Population and Data Collection

From April 2017 to October 2019, 67 LAM patients and 49 healthy controls at Ruijin Hospital affiliated with Shanghai Jiao Tong University were recruited for this study. All patients were diagnosed with LAM according to HRCT images showing multiple bilateral cystic shadows compatible with LAM and at least one of the following additional criteria: (i) histopathological confirmation with a biopsy; (ii) a serum VEGF-D level of ≥800 pg/mL; (iii) clinical history of chylous pleural effusion; or (iv) renal angiomyolipoma (22). All the patients were given long-term treatment of sirolimus and no one stopped taking sirolimus at the time of sampling. All the patients were in stable condition to ensure completion of the tests in this study. Written informed consent according to the Declaration of Helsinki was obtained from each patient or their legal relatives. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Ruijin Hospital.

Sample Collection and Imputation

Serum samples were collected from 67 confirmed LAM patients. At the same time, we collected serum from 49 healthy people matched in age and sex as controls. Peripheral blood samples were obtained by venipuncture (10 mL, BD vacutainer blood collection tube; BD Biosciences) and centrifuged (1000 ×g, 15min) to isolate the serum. Supernatants were then stored at -80°C for later analysis. At the time of sampling, medical records on demographic characteristics and treatment were collected.

Serum Multiplex Immunoassay

Serum samples were analyzed with a 45-plex ProcartaPlex Human Cytokine/Chemokine/Growth Factor Panel (Affymetrix, Inc.) and 14-plex ProcartaPlex Human Immuno-Oncology Checkpoint Panel (Affymetrix, Inc.). All tests were performed using a Luminex MAGPIX® instrument (Luminex Co., Austin, TX, USA) according to its instructions as previously reported (23–25). ProcartaPlex Analyst 1.0 software was used for data analysis. The concentrations of cytokines (pg/mL) were determined by fitting a standard curve for mean fluorescence intensity versus concentration.

Statistical Analysis

Quantitative variables are presented as the median ± interquartile range (IQR), and categorical variables are presented as proportions. The Mann–Whitney U test was used for nonnormally distributed variables. The correlations between serum proteins and different clinical indexes were analyzed by the Spearman rank correlation test. P values of receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) analysis were calculated by the bootstrap method. As a summary measure of the ROC curve, the Youden index was used to determine the optimal cutoff value for the signature score. Heatmaps, the radar map, ROC curves, the correlation heatmap and boxplots were generated using R version 3.6.3 software (Institute for Statistics and Mathematics, Vienna, Austria; www.r-project.org). P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant, and tests were 2-tailed.

RESULTS

Patient Characteristics

According to the diagnostic criteria of the European Respiratory Society (22), a total of 67 Asian female patients at a stable stage...
with qualified specimens were enrolled in our study. The median age was 42.00 y (IQR, 36.00 y to 49.00 y), and the median time from LAM diagnosis was 4.00 y (IQR, 2.00 y to 7.00 y). Of the 67 patients, 11.00 (16.42%) underwent surgical or bronchoscopic biopsies, 28.00 (41.80%) had pneumothorax, 28.00 (41.80%) had chylothorax, and 40.00 (59.70%) had extrapulmonary involvement, which referred to the presence of chylous ascites, angiomyolipomas and/or lymphangiomyomas of systems other than the lungs in patients with LAM. All the patients were given long-term treatment of sirolimus and in a stable stage at the time of sampling. 19.00 (28.36%) of them were treated with traditional Chinese medicine. 13.00 (19.40%) required supplemental oxygen therapy due to their poor lung function. None of the patients received antiepileptic drugs, hormonal therapy or other unspecified treatment. Previous studies reported that LAM patients often had pulmonary hypertension and decreased cardiopulmonary function (26–28), so we collected the patient’s pulmonary artery systolic pressure (PASP), six-minute walk test (6MWT), St. George’s respiratory questionnaire (SGRQ) score and lung function data at the time of sampling. The demographics and clinical characteristics of the patients are summarized in Table 1.

**Comparison of Serum Immune Factors Between LAM Patients and Healthy Controls**

In the present study, we quantified 59 immunological factors in patient and control sera to discover LAM-related systemic changes and potential therapeutic targets. A heatmap of 59 serum factors from 67 LAM patients is shown in Figure S1. We classified 59 immune factors into eight categories according to their biological functions and compared their differences between LAM patients and healthy people (Figure S2). The levels of 22 factors in serum were significantly different between the two groups, including increased VEGF-D, IDO, CD80, BDNF, EGF, IL-1β, RANTES, GRO-α, and PDGF-BB and decreased LAG-3, IL-18, PD-1, IL-31, TNF-β, IL-22, IL-21, IL-1α, CD27, CD28, CD137, MIP-1α, and MCP-1 in LAM (all \( p < 0.05 \); Figure 1A and Figure S2); the heatmap in Figure 1B presents the 22 differentially expressed serum immune factors in LAM compared with healthy subjects.

To depict the overall differences, we then acquired the classification scores of the eight categories by computing the weighted mean expression level of the immune factors in each classification. The radar map revealed that LAM patients displayed significantly higher levels of growth factors (\( p = 0.006 \)), as expected. Most interestingly, however, the serum level of costimulatory molecules was markedly decreased in LAM (\( p = 0.008 \); Figure 1C).

**Identification of Potential Predictive Biomarkers in LAM**

VEGF-D is a widely confirmed serum biomarker for the diagnosis of LAM (8–11). However, some women with biopsy-proven LAM lack elevated VEGF-D. Therefore, it is necessary to search for biomarkers with better predictive value than VEGF-D in LAM. As shown in Figure 2A, the ROC curves of 59 serum immune factors were drawn to identify patients vs. healthy persons, and the area under the curve (AUC) values of LAG-3 (AUC = 0.812), IL-22 (AUC = 0.730), EGF (AUC = 0.714), PDGF–BB (AUC = 0.714), MCP-1 (AUC = 0.695), PD-1 (AUC = 0.681), IL-18 (AUC = 0.677) and CD137 (AUC = 0.677) were greater than or equal to that of VEGF-D (AUC = 0.677). In addition, comparing the ROC curve performance though the bootstrap method, it was found that the predictive effect of LAG-3 was significantly better than that of VEGF-D (\( p = 0.026 \)). The optimal cutoff value for diagnosis corresponding to the Youden index was 82.715 pg/ml.

Subsequently, we found that 17 women in this study had VEGF-D levels in the nondiagnostic range (<800 pg/ml). To explore other biomarkers that were elevated or decreased in this subgroup, we compared the levels of 22 differentially expressed serum immune factors between them and healthy subjects. Interestingly, the serum levels of LAG-3 (\( p < 0.001 \)), MCP-1 (\( p = 0.002 \)), MIP-1α (\( p = 0.002 \)), CD28 (\( p = 0.004 \)), IL-22 (\( p = 0.005 \)), CD137 (\( p = 0.007 \)), PD-1 (\( p = 0.015 \)) and PDGF-BB (\( p = 0.032 \)) in this subgroup were significantly different from those in healthy subjects (Figure 2B), with AUC values of 0.806, 0.755, 0.752, 0.736, 0.729, 0.721, 0.700, and 0.676, respectively. Moreover, comparing the ROC curve performance through the bootstrap method, it was found that the predictive effects of LAG-3 (\( p = 0.008 \)) and MCP-1 (\( p = 0.042 \)) were significantly better than that of VEGF-D (Figure 2C). Overall, these results

---

**TABLE 1** Demographics and clinical characteristics of 67 LAM patients.

| Characteristics          | Frequency (%) or median (IQR) |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Gender                   |                               |
| Female, n (%)            | 67.00 (100.00%)               |
| Ethnic                   |                               |
| Asian, n (%)             | 67.00 (100.00%)               |
| Age, year (IQR)          | 42.00 (36.00, 49.00)          |
| Presence of TSC (%)      | 20.00 (99.85%)                |
| Time from LAM diagnosis, year (IQR) | 4.00 (2.00-7.00) |
| Biopsy, n (%)            | 11.00 (16.42%)                |
| Pneumothorax, n (%)      | 28.00 (41.80%)                |
| Chylothorax, n (%)       | 28.00 (41.80%)                |
| Extrapulmonary involvement, n (%) | 40.00 (59.70%) |
| Renal angiomylipoma, n (%) | 18.00 (26.87%)                |
| Extrarenal angiomylipoma, n (%) | 9.00 (13.43%)               |
| Lymphangioleiomyoma, n (%) | 20.00 (29.85%)                |
| Ascites, n (%)           | 8.00 (11.94%)                 |
| Hysteromyoma, n (%)      | 14.00 (20.90%)                |
| Treatment                |                               |
| Sirolimus, n (%)         | 67.00 (100.00%)               |
| Traditional Chinese medicine, n (%) | 19.00 (28.36%)       |
| Supplemental oxygen, n (%) | 13.00 (19.40%)               |
| PASP, mmHg (IQR)         | 35.00 (31.25, 41.00)          |
| 6MWT, meter (IQR)        | 450.00 (360.00, 500.00)       |
| SGRQ score (IQR)         | 45.63 (27.79, 62.67)          |
| Lung function            |                               |
| FEV1% predicted (IQR)   | 59.15 (43.03, 77.68)          |
| FVC% predicted (IQR)    | 82.30 (69.62, 96.82)          |
| FEV1/FVC (IQR)           | 0.77 (0.57, 0.92)             |
| DLCO-SB% predicted (IQR) | 39.10 (29.90, 56.05)          |

IQR, interquartile range; TSC, tuberous sclerosis; PASP, pulmonary artery systolic pressure; 6MWT, 6 minutes walk test; SGRQ, St George’s respiratory questionnaire; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in the first second; FVC, forced vital capacity; DLCO-SB, carbon monoxide diffusing capacity-single breath.
suggested a reasonable proxy of LAG-3 in the diagnosis of LAM, especially in patients lacking elevated VEGF-D.

**Association Between Serum Immune Factors and Clinical Severity**

To obtain insight into the correlation between serum immunological factors and disease severity to find potential targets that may predict disease severity and the quality of life of LAM patients, we collected the 6MWT distance, SGRQ score, PASP and lung function of 59 patients. A higher SGRQ score indicated a worsening of disease and a decline in quality of life. Improved 6MWT distance and lower PASP reflected better cardiopulmonary functioning. The results of correlation analyses of 21 differentially expressed serum immune factors (TNF−beta expression was not detected in LAM patients) and clinical index items are shown in Figure 3A. The lung diffusion capacity was positively correlated with increased serum IL-18 and LAG-3 (Spearman \( r = 0.441, p = 0.01 \); Spearman \( r = 0.465, p = 0.006 \)), and FEV1/FVC was positively correlated with increased serum IL-18 (Spearman \( r = 0.456, p = 0.007 \)). The single most striking observation from the data comparison was that only serum IL-18 was negatively correlated with the SGRQ score and PASP (Spearman \( r = -0.354, p = 0.006 \); Spearman \( r = -0.255, p = 0.045 \)) and had a highly positive correlation with the 6MWT distance (Spearman \( r = 0.336, p = 0.014 \); Figure 3A). Taken together, our results strongly imply that the disease severity of LAM patients was related to the level of serum IL-18. The Youden index of the ROC curve for IL-18 showed that the optimal cutoff value was 45.005 pg/ml (Figure 3B). Significantly reduced FEV1/FVC and DLCO-SB were observed.
in the low IL-18 expression group compared with the high IL-18 expression group (Figure 3C). The cutoff point between high and low levels was determined using the Youden index of IL-18 (greater or less than 45.005 pg/ml).

**Subgroup Analysis of LAM Patients**

We performed a subgroup analysis based on whether LAM patients had present or prior chylothorax and pneumothorax. We compared 20 differentially expressed proteins in the

---

*Figure 2* | Identification of potential predictive biomarkers of LAM. (A) ROC analyses for LAG-3, IL-22, EGF, PDGF-BB, MCP-1, PD-1, IL-18 and CD137 with AUCs greater than or equal to that of VEGF-D (n=67). LAG-3 had the best effect, and the difference between LAG-3 and VEGF-D was statistically significant. (B) Comparison of 22 differentially expressed serum proteins between LAM patients whose serum VEGF-D was <800 pg/ml (n=17) and healthy subjects (n=49). Significant differences in eight serum proteins were found between the two groups. (C) ROC curves comparing LAG-3, MCP-1, MIP-1α, CD28, IL-22, CD137, PD-1, and PDGF-BB with VEGF-D in patients whose VEGF-D was <800 pg/ml (n=17) and healthy controls (n=49). The p values of the ROC curves and boxplots were calculated by the bootstrap method and Mann–Whitney U test, respectively. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001. Values with no significant correlation are marked with "n.s." LAM, lymphangioleiomyomatosis; ROC, receiver operating characteristic; AUC, area under curve.
serum of LAM patients without complications (n=19) and LAM patients with pneumothorax and/or chylothorax (n=48). The results showed that the level of PD-1 in the subgroup without complications was lower than that in the subgroup with complications (\( p=0.015 \)) (Figure 4A). However, the levels in both subgroups were lower than those in healthy subjects (Figure 4B). To assess the ability of PD-1 to distinguish whether patients have complications, an ROC curve was drawn and compared with that of VEGF-D (Figure 4C). Although the value of PD-1 as a biomarker to identify the comorbidity of LAM was modest, the differences in PD-1 between these two subgroups and healthy individuals may indicate the underlying pathogenesis of different disease phenotypes.

**Potential Diagnostic Flowchart of LAM Combining Serum Immunological Factors**

Based on the guidelines of the American Thoracic Society/Japanese Respiratory Society (29), we established a flowchart of LAM diagnosis according to our results (Figure 5). The optimal cutoff value of LAG-3 for LAM diagnosis is less than or equal to 82.715 pg/ml. Patients with serum IL-18 levels less than or equal to 45.005 pg/ml may have a higher risk of disease progression, while serum PD-1 levels less than or equal to 21.350 pg/ml may have a higher risk of pneumothorax and/or chylothorax. Although dynamic data are still warranted to validate the predictive reliability of these immune factors, they provide a direction for further independent prospective studies to identify better biomarkers than VEGF-D.
DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, we are the first to depict the change diagram of serum proteins, especially immune-related factors, in a relatively large cohort for this rare disease. Compared with healthy controls, we identified various immune factors in addition to the generally acknowledged VEGF-D that were differentially expressed, suggesting a potential immune function disorder in LAM patients. We found that serum LAG-3 was a better diagnostic biomarker than VEGF-D, and lower serum IL-18 was associated with more severe disease. The level of serum PD-1 was correlated with the presence of chylothorax and pneumothorax in LAM patients. These results highlighted the diagnostic value of these soluble immune-related factors and unveiled potential biological mechanisms in LAM development.

In clinic, many studies have confirmed the role of VEGF-D in the diagnosis, differential diagnosis, evaluation of disease progression and treatment of LAM (9, 17, 25). Nonetheless, there are still many LAM patients with non-elevated serum VEGF-D. On the basis of our results, serum LAG-3 was not only likely to have better predictive value than VEGF-D but also showed a significant difference between patients without elevated VEGF-D and healthy people. LAG-3 is a type I transmembrane protein similar in structure to CD4. Accumulating evidence indicates that LAG-3 is an inhibitory coreceptor that plays pivotal roles in autoimmunity, tumor immunity, and anti-infection immunity (30). As an inhibitory immune checkpoint, LAG-3, similar to PD-1, negatively regulates T cell proliferation, activation and homeostasis. Soluble LAG-3 (sLAG-3) in serum is produced by alternative splicing or cleaved by metalloproteinases from membrane-bound LAG-3 (30, 31). Although the function of sLAG-3 remains unclear, current studies suggest that, unlike membrane-bound LAG-3, sLAG-3 can induce dendritic cell activation and maturation, stimulate T cell proliferation and promote the Th1 cell response (32–35). Our results showed significantly low expression of LAG-3 in the serum of LAM patients, which we suspected may indicate inhibition of helper T cell activity. This finding is consistent with that of He et al., who found that the levels of sLAG-3 in stage III-IV non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients were significantly lower than those in stage I-II patients, and lower sLAG-3 may be related to worse tumor immune response in advanced disease patients (36). In addition, another study showed that sLAG-3 could serve as a prognostic factor in human breast cancer expressing estrogen or progesterone receptors and that a high level of sLAG-3 predicted better overall survival (OS) (37). Thus, sLAG-3 is a better biomarker than VEGF-D in terms of...
diagnosis, and increasing sLAG-3 levels might be a promising treatment strategy in LAM patients. However, the utility of sLAG-3 as an early prognostic biomarker requires further confirmation in independent prospective studies.

In this study, it is somewhat surprising that the level of serum IL-18 was positively associated with better pulmonary function and 6MWT and inversely correlated with the SGRQ score and PASP in LAM. Patients with higher levels of IL-18 had better lung function. This intriguing result suggested that high serum IL-18 levels may be a protective factor in delaying the progression of LAM. Notably, recent studies highlighted the role of IL-18 in accelerating antitumor immune responses and the potential of the IL-18 pathway for immunotherapeutic intervention (38, 39). These results further support the idea that using IL-18 or activating the IL-18 pathway may serve as a potential treatment option for LAM.

In our study, it is worth noting that although PD-1 did not perform as well as LAG-3 in predicting LAM, it showed significant downregulation in LAM patients and played an important role in identifying the subgroup without increased serum VEGF-D and the subgroup with comorbidities. Recent studies found that T cells within LAM nodules and renal
angiomyolipoma exhibited features of T cell exhaustion, with coinhibitory receptor PD-1 expression on tumor-infiltrating T cells. Treatment of animal models of LAM with anti-PD-1 antibodies resulted in suppression of TSC2-null tumor growth and induction of tumor rejection (18–20). These studies showed the promise of anti-PD-1 immunotherapy in LAM treatment. Soluble PD-1 (sPD-1) is bioactive and blocks the three interactions of PD-L1:B7-1, PD-L1:PD-1 and PD-L2:PD-1 to restore T cell function and proliferation and enhance immunemediated tumor control (40–46). Similar to low expression of sLAG-3, downregulation of sPD-1 in LAM may also reflect the inhibition of T cell activity in LAM patients. A study of NSCLC patients showed that an increase in sPD-1 during erlotinib treatment was associated with prolonged progression-free survival (PFS) and OS. Improved tumor immunity mediated by increased concentrations of sPD-1 seems to play a significant role in prolonged PFS and OS in specific patients with advanced EGFR-mutated NSCLC (47). However, the immune function of sPD-1 may vary by disease, which warrants further research.

In addition to VEGF-D, we also found that LAM patients highly expressed other growth factors, such as PDGF-BB and EGF. Consistent with our results, Elena Lesma et al. found that the proliferation of LAM/TSC cells was EGF-dependent and that blockade of the EGF receptor led to cell death (48). The role of the EGFR pathway in the LAM mouse model has also been reported in previous studies by Elena Lesma et al. LAM/TSC cells in mice formed nodules expressing EGFR. Anti-EGFR antibody reduced the number and dimension of lung nodules, likely due to the inhibition of ERK and S6 signaling, reversed pulmonary alterations and reduced lymphatic and blood vessels (49). Moreover, Elena A Goncharova reported that cells dissociated from LAM nodules from the lungs of five different patients with LAM had constitutively activated S6K1, hyperphosphorylated ribosomal protein S6, activated ERK, and increased DNA synthesis compared with normal cells from the same patients. These effects were augmented by PDGF stimulation (50). Another study showed that PDGF promoted the expression of IL-13 in pulmonary smooth muscle cells through an oxidative signaling mechanism (51). These studies have preliminarily revealed the role of PDGF and EGF in LAM, and further clarification of these overexpressed growth factors and the probable underlying mechanisms is warranted. This hypothesis needs to be confirmed by further studies in the future.

Pacheco-Rodriguez G et al. found that significantly higher concentrations of CCL2 (MCP-1), CXCL1 (GRO1), and CXCL5 (ENA-78) were seen in LAM patients compared to healthy volunteers in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) (52), which was different from the results in our paper. However, serum was used in this study instead of the BALF, different specimen types and populations may lead to different results of studies. It is worth mentioning that the levels of immune proteins in the lungs are not consistent with those in the periphery. Cui et al. showed that sirolimus treatment of 27 LAM patients reduced both VEGF-D levels and MCP-1 serum levels (53). But they did not compare the levels of MCP-1 between LAM patients and healthy people. As a rare disease, the relatively small cohort of LAM may contribute to the discrepancies between our results and other studies.

This study has several limitations. First, designing a validation cohort to confirm the findings in this study in new patients before treatment was difficult due to the rarity of the disease and the limited number of patient samples available for study. Second, it is necessary to continue dynamic follow-up of these patients to observe the changes in their serum immune factors as the disease progresses. Third, the differential diagnostic value of serum factors was not explored in cohorts of other cystic pulmonary diseases that are difficult to differentiate from LAM. These limitations will be our follow-up research direction.

In conclusion, our study described the differences in 59 immune-related factors between LAM and healthy subjects in a relatively large cohort and found that LAG-3 and IL-18 were likely biomarkers that can be used to diagnose and predict disease progression, respectively. In addition, PD-1 was found to be related to pneumothorax and chylothorax in LAM patients. The study may shed light on the diagnosis, pathogenesis and treatment of LAM. Future studies may apply these markers to test their predictive value for treatment outcome and to attempt immunotherapy targeting these factors in LAM.
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