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Abstract: There is a significant interest in valorizing swine manure that is produced in enormous quantities. Therefore, considering the high moisture content in swine manure, the objective of this research was to convert manure slurry into hydrochars via hydrothermal carbonization and analyze the yields, pH, energy contents, and thermal and oxidation kinetic parameters. Experiments were performed in triplicate in 250 mL kettle reactors lined with polypropylene at 180 °C, 200 °C, 240 °C, 220 °C, and 260 °C for 24 h. Analyses of the results indicated that the process temperature affected the hydrochar yields, with yield generally decreasing with increasing temperature, but it had little effect on the composition of the hydrochar. The hydrochars were found to have higher volatile contents and H/C and O/C ratios and about 85% of the energy compared to coal. However, the presence of high fraction (35–38%) of ash in hydrochars is a serious concern and needs to be addressed before the complete utilization of hydrochars as fuels. The surface characterization of hydrochars coupled with wet chemistry experiments indicated that hydrochars were equipped with nitrogen functional groups with points of zero charges between 6.76 and 7.85, making them suitable as adsorbents and soil remediation agents and energy storage devices.
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1. Introduction

Swine farming forms a major part of the agricultural portfolio in many countries. For example, in the United States alone, swine farmers produced about 66 million pigs (with a value of approximately $22.5 billion), which in turn produced about 73 million tons of manure each year [1,2]. If not handled properly, the components of manure, namely, organic carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, heavy metals (e.g., copper, zinc, cadmium), hormones, and steroids, can negatively impact the environment [3]. Currently available technologies for manure management and treatment include anaerobic lagoons, anaerobic digestion for methane production, and composting [4,5].

Anaerobic lagoons involve treatment of manure in earthen ponds where the components of the manure, i.e., urea, proteins, and organic solids, are transformed into ammonia, hydrogen sulfide, methane, carbon dioxide, and nitrogen by a symbiotic interaction of aerobic and anaerobic microbes [6–9]. As a result, nitrogenous, carbonaceous, and sulfurous compounds are lost to the atmosphere (although phosphorus is retained as a component of the digestate (end product), commonly known as sludge), resulting in environmental, health, and social problems and legal problems in the areas where these concentrated animal farming operations are located, such as North Carolina. Hence, it was proposed to digest manure anaerobically to produce methane [10]. However, being a biochemical process, anaerobic digester systems have slower methane production rates and require large footprints [11]. Similarly, composting could produce valuable fertilizer although they suffer from problems similar to anaerobic digestion. Therefore, alternative technologies have to be tested that can process the massive...
quantities of manure that are produced annually in the US and other parts of the world where animal agriculture is concentrated. One promising technology to process swine manure is hydrothermal carbonization (HTC) [12]. The HTC process involves the thermal treatment of carbon-containing organic components along with water at moderate temperature conditions ranging from 100 to 260 °C and at autogenous pressures greater than 0.1 MPa [13,14]. During HTC, in addition to the elimination of pathogens, hormones, steroids, antibiotics, and other endocrine disruptors, the organic components undergo hydrolysis, dehydration, decarboxylation, and polymerization, during which oxygen and hydrogen contents are decreased, and carbon and energy content of the organic material is increased [15,16]. The end product of the HTC process is called hydrochar, which possesses rather unique properties depending on the mode of processing [17]. For example, hydrochars could be utilized as an energy source via combustion [18]. Hydrochars derived from various biomass have been found to be comparable to bituminous and lignite coals based on chemical composition [19,20]. Considering the United States and other countries’ interest in clean coal technologies and the already available infrastructure, hydrochars could be utilized effectively to decrease fossil fuel demand in the US, as long as they can be produced and converted to energy at least as inexpensively as coal [21]. Similarly, hydrochars can also be used as adsorbents for mitigation of environmental pollutants from water, especially when the surfaces are functionalized with certain (e.g., oxygen and nitrogen) functional groups [22]. Recently, carbon materials functionalized with nitrogen, sulfur, and phosphorous are being actively explored as carbon electrodes for supercapacitors [23]. Therefore, swine manure-derived hydrochars, due to the presence of N, S, and P in manure, are excellent candidates for supercapacitor applications. Finally, as suggested by [24,25], hydrochars find applications as soil remediation as well.

From a process standpoint, swine manure is an excellent candidate for HTC due to the presence of high moisture [26]. As a result, researchers have been focusing on the HTC of swine manure not only to produce hydrochars but also to recover valuable nutrients from manure. The authors of Cao et al. [27] used dried and dewatered swine manure solids to produce hydrochars at 250 °C and observed an increased density of aromatic groups when compared to raw manure perhaps due to polymerization of intermediate compounds that were formed during carbohydrate decomposition. In their research, Helimann et al. [28] tested HTC processes to convert swine and other manures into hydrochars, thereby immobilizing phosphorous within the hydrochar matrices. Further, the hydrochars that were synthesized during the HTC process were found to be a carbon-neutral energy source similar to sub-bituminous coals [28]. Similarly, the authors of [29] evaluated the HTC of swine manure for nutrient extraction by varying pH to determine the impact of the presence of acids and bases on the recovery of nitrogen and phosphorus from manure. In research by Gasco et al. [30] synthesized and compared hydrochars (via HTC) and biochars (via pyrolysis) from swine manure and reported that hydrochars were equipped with more developed porosities than biochar and therefore very suitable as a soil media. Additionally, Liu et al. [31] suggested that swine manure-derived hydrochars serve as a better soil amendment matrix than rice straw-derived hydrochars. The authors, Song et al. [11] investigated the HTC of swine manure and reported that the physicochemical properties of hydrochars produced in the process conformed to NY525 2012 standards. The same group also reported that HTC was a quick route to synthesize hydrochars equipped with fertilizer qualities [4]. However, the authors observed that the HTC process tends to concentrate phosphorus and heavy metals such as copper and zinc in the hydrochars, especially when the process temperatures and times were increased. Similarly, the accumulation of phosphorus and potassium in swine manure-derived hydrochars was also reported by [30]. Recently, a mixture of swine manure and corn stalk was converted into hydrochar via HTC by Lang et al. [5]. Their analyses suggest that the ignition temperatures of hydrochars prepared from swine manure were greater than those of hydrochars prepared from corn stalks. In a different study [3], the same authors reported that the addition of CaO enhanced the yield and the alkalinity of the hydrochars, opening up enormous possibilities of treating acidic soils via application of hydrochars.
Despite the recent efforts to evaluate the HTC of swine manure, detailed information regarding the chemical properties of the hydrochars and their subsequent utilization for energy is still not completely available. Hence, the objective of this study was to investigate the HTC of swine manure to determine the fractional yields, elemental and chemical compositions (specifically, carbon, oxygen, and nitrogen), energy contents, and thermal and kinetic characteristics of hydrochars across a range of operating temperatures.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

Swine manure was collected from the settling basin at NC State University’s Lake Wheeler Road Field Labs in Raleigh, NC. The manure was collected in two buckets and then homogenized using a cordless drill and paint mixer before storing manure in one-liter bottles. Manure from three of the bottles was used, and samples from each were dried at 105 °C for 24 h. Dried manure samples were submitted to NC State University’s Environmental and Agricultural Testing Service (EATS) for analysis using a Perkin Elmer 2400 CHNS Analyzer to determine elemental C, N, H, and S by total combustion, with oxygen being determined by difference. Proximate analysis of dried manure was completed using a TA Instruments Q500 Thermogravimetric Analyzer (TGA).

2.2. Hydrothermal Carbonization Experiments

HTC experiments were conducted using three 250 mL polypropylene (PPL)-lined kettle reactors loaded with 100 mL of homogenized manure. The polypropylene liners were weighed before and after being loaded with manure to measure starting manure mass. The reactors were heated between 180 °C and 260 °C for 24 h in a Fisher Scientific Isotem oven, and the resulting hydrochars were subsequently labeled HC-180, HC-200, HC-220, HC-240, and HC-260, respectively. Most of the reports in the literature on the HTC of manures focused on short (1–3 h) and medium (up to 10 h) processing times. Considering that actual swine manure is usually collected as a slurry and is heterogeneous, longer reaction times may be needed for complete carbonization. Therefore, in this research, we tested a longer process time of 24 h to investigate the quality of hydrochars and compare with those obtained from shorter [31] and medium processing times obtained by [4,11].

The selected range of temperatures was based on other HTC experiments [27–29]. The use of several levels across the temperature range was intended to determine if decreases in char yield due to carbon densification decrease linearly with temperature, or if simultaneous reactions cause the temperature to have a more complex impact on char yields. All carbonization experiments were performed in triplicate for 24 h without stirring. After allowing the reactors to cool, the PPL liners and manure products were weighed. The liquid product was poured off and measured, and then each PPL liner with solid products was weighed. The liners were then placed in a Thermo Electron Corp Linberg/Blue M oven set at 105 °C for 24 h and allowed to cool in a desiccator, and then weighed before and after dried solids were removed to determine the mass of dry hydrochar produced. A total of 18 separate experiments were performed to synthesize hydrochars which were analyzed for physical and chemical properties.

2.3. Analysis of Hydrochars

Proximate and ultimate analyses were performed on the dried solids and hydrochar to determine the influence of temperature on char chemical composition and to allow for comparison of the chars to fossil fuel coals. Samples were pulverized and sieved through a No. 60 mesh (0.250 mm). For elemental analysis, hydrochar samples were submitted to NC State University’s Environmental and Agricultural Testing Service (EATS) for analysis using a Perkin Elmer 2400 CHNS Analyzer to determine elemental C, N, H, and S by total combustion.
Proximate analysis was completed at the NC State Chemical Analysis and Spectroscopy Laboratory (CASL) using TA Instruments Q 500 Thermogravimetric Analyzer (TGA). Samples were held at 110 °C and then 900 °C in the presence of nitrogen to determine moisture and volatile contents, then switched to air at 900 °C to determine fixed carbon and ash contents. Despite proximate analysis standards prescribing that samples be heated up to 950 °C, 900 °C was the maximum temperature used due to equipment limitations but is not expected to have significantly impacted results, as Elder (1983) shows practically no further weight loss occurs beyond 900 °C and is common practice with proximate analysis performed via TGA [32–34]. The raw TGA data were analyzed via TA Universal Analysis software.

Dynamic bomb calorimetry was performed using a C5000 Calorimetric System (IKA, Wilmington, NC, USA). The surface of the samples was also analyzed via X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) to understand the speciation of nitrogen on the surface of the hydrochars. The data were deconvoluted using CasaXPS (Version 2.3.18). The peak assignments and parameters for carbon, oxygen, and nitrogen were chosen based on comparable char analyses as described by [35,36].

The data were analyzed via SAS 9.4. Comparisons of char yields and characteristics were made using Tukey’s studentized range test with $\alpha = 0.05$. The effects of temperature on product yields and characteristics were tested using Type III analysis of variance (ANOVA) ($\alpha = 0.05$); the null hypothesis of these tests was that there is no difference between the chars, or that temperature has no significant impact on char formation.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Hydrochar Yield

The chemical composition of the raw manure is presented in Table 1. Because this study was focused on utilizing fresh manure slurry directly as a feedstock as opposed to dried manure solids and water, as other studies have used, the mass of solids in the heterogeneous feedstock for each experiment was not easily controllable [27,29]. Hence, hydrochar yields based on mass did not allow for a meaningful comparison, so hydrochar yields were reported as a percentage of manure solids in the feedstock. Hydrochar yields for each temperature can be seen in Figure 1.

Maximum yield occurred at the lowest temperature tested, 180 °C, and decreased linearly to 220 °C with very little change beyond 220 °C. The minimum yield was at 240 °C, though this was not significantly different from the slightly higher average yields at 220 °C and 260 °C according to Tukey’s studentized range test ($p = 1.0000$ and 0.0531, respectively). The temperature had a significant influence on hydrochar yield ($p < 0.0001$). Reactor effect was also tested to determine if the particular reactor used added to the variability of mass yield and was determined not to have a significant effect ($p = 0.9712$). Given that the char production at 220 °C, 240 °C, and 260 °C was not statistically different, the relationship is more likely to be a linear decrease in the range of 180–220, where it levels off until some temperature at which gasification would become more prevalent. This is consistent with the literature, which indicates that decarboxylation and dehydration occur from 180 to 200 °C, resulting in the steep decrease in product mass over this range [15]. Further fluctuations in char yields can be attributed to polymerization and condensation reactions which are more prevalent beyond 200 °C when the reactive compounds freed by decarboxylation and dehydration interact with char surface. The effect of temperature on hydrochar yield was similar to [31], who investigated the HTC of pig manure (180–300 °C) for 1.5 h. However, the overall yield of hydrochar obtained in our research was somewhat higher than those (56.7–43.7%) presented by [17] in a similar temperature range. Our results also agree with those of [30], where yields between 48 (240 °C) and 58% (200 °C) were observed, and with those of [11], despite lower reaction times of 2 h and 1 h, respectively, although our yields at lower temperature ranges were higher than the yields reported by [11,30]. In a separate report, the authors of [4] systematically tested three residence times of 1, 5, and 8 h for temperatures 160–240 °C and observed that while the yields generally decreased with an increase in temperatures for each time
regime tested, the yields beyond 5 h were similar, suggesting that reaction times beyond 5 h may not be necessary for optimum conversion of manure into hydrochar.

### 3.2. Characterization of Hydrochar

While it is evident that the yield of solids varied with temperature, the composition of the chars based on proximate and ultimate analyses (Table 2) showed that char composition did not vary significantly with temperature.

#### Table 1. Chemical composition (wt %) of the feedstock (swine manure) on a dry, ash-free basis.

| Manure Bottle | A    | B    | C    |
|---------------|------|------|------|
| Solids        | 8.4  | 7.1  | 8    |
| Moisture      | 8.6  | 6.9  | 7.1  |
| Volatile Matter| 58.9 | 60.2 | 59.1 |
| Fixed Carbon  | 7.2  | 7.7  | 7.4  |
| Ash           | 25.3 | 25.2 | 26.4 |
| Carbon        | 54.3 | 58.4 | 58.2 |
| Hydrogen      | 7.5  | 7.9  | 7.8  |
| Nitrogen      | 5.1  | 5.1  | 4.3  |
| Sulfur        | 1.7  | 1.9  | 1.9  |
| Oxygen        | 31.5 | 26.8 | 27.9 |

#### Table 2. Proximate and ultimate analysis of hydrochar.

| Char         | HC-180   | HC-200   | HC-220   | HC-240   | HC-260   |
|--------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Moisture     | 2.8 ± 0.9  | 2.6 ± 0.3  | 1.7 ± 0.2  | 2.4 ± 0.1  | 4.6 ± 1.3  |
| Volatile Matter | 48.1 ± 3.7  | 48.0 ± 0.9  | 44.6 ± 1.2  | 48.0 ± 0.7  | 45.1 ± 1.8  |
| Fixed Carbon | 11.0 ± 0.6  | 11.9 ± 0.3  | 15.4 ± 0.3  | 14.5 ± 0.6  | 14.7 ± 0.2  |
| Ash          | 38.0 ± 3.3  | 37.5 ± 0.6  | 38.2 ± 1.0  | 35.1 ± 0.9  | 35.6 ± 3.1  |
| Carbon       | 63.2 ± 6.9  | 73.1 ± 6.2  | 70.0 ± 9.8  | 71.9 ± 1.4  | 70.2 ± 5.8  |
| Hydrogen     | 7.6 ± 0.8   | 8.6 ± 1.0   | 7.7 ± 1.4   | 8.0 ± 0.1   | 7.6 ± 0.6   |
| Nitrogen     | 4.9 ± 0.2   | 4.8 ± 0.2   | 4.3 ± 0.3   | 4.4 ± 0.1   | 5.2 ± 0.2   |
| Sulfur       | 0.9 ± 0.2   | 1.0 ± 0.1   | 0.9 ± 0.0   | 1.0 ± 0.0   | 0.8 ± 0.1   |
| Oxygen       | 23.4 ± 7.9  | 12.5 ± 7.3  | 17.1 ± 11.4 | 14.7 ± 1.6  | 16.3 ± 6.6  |
Notably, some uncertainty remains regarding the oxygen content of the chars since it was determined by difference ($100\% - \%C - \%H - \%N - \%S - \%ash$). Therefore, reported values for oxygen content may have been impacted by an accumulated error which likely led to the large standard deviations for oxygen content in several of the chars. Overall, the average chemical formula for the chars produced was determined to be $\text{CH}_1.35\text{O}_{0.18}\text{N}_{0.06}$.

The temperature did not have a significant influence on the elemental composition for hydrochar ($p < 0.0001$), however. For each char, the relative quantity of each element was $C > O > H > N > S$. Despite these results, the carbon and oxygen contents of HC-180 were noticeably different from the rest; carbon content was lower, and oxygen content was higher. HC-180 was the only sample with carbon content less than the average and was one of two samples with oxygen content higher than the average, though it was 6.6% higher compared to HC-220, which was only 0.3% higher. This was expected since HTC is known to cause carbon densification with increased temperature, but Tukey’s studentized range test results indicated that the difference was not significant ($p = 0.7178$). However, the fixed carbon content was significantly impacted by temperature and increased with temperature ($p < 0.00001$), despite the maximum being at the middle temperature, 220 °C. Increasing fixed carbon content is to be expected with increasing temperature, as decarboxylation and dehydration cause carbon to densify. Moisture, volatile matter, and ash contents were not significantly impacted by temperature. From a nutrient accumulation perspective, the hydrochars produced in our research were similar to those of Song et al. [11] who reported that the sulfur, nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, and ash contents were 0.6–1%, 4.2–4.7%, 1.5–2.6%, 1–2%, and 17–21%, respectively. Similar results were reported by Lang et al. [3] who synthesized hydrochars that contained 2–3% nitrogen, 0.38–0.48% sulfur, along with 21–36% ash. Further, it was observed that the recovery of nitrogen from the manure into hydrochar was about 40% [37].

Beyond how these hydrochars compare to each other, it is important to determine how they compare to the feedstock and fossil fuel coals. This comparison can be made using the van Krevelen diagram (Figure 2), a common means of comparison for coals, which charts atomic ratios of hydrogen and oxygen to carbon as described by [30]. The highest rank coals are plotted in the bottom left corner of the diagram and have the lowest H/C and O/C ratios. Carbonization enhances biomass for consumption as a solid fuel by removing hydrogen and oxygen, causing densification of carbon. Before HTC, dried manure had oxygen and hydrogen content higher than low-rank brown coal. After HTC, hydrochar oxygen and hydrogen contents were reduced. Swine manure hydrochar had an O/C ratio between low bituminous coal and brown coal, but a higher H/C ratio than coal. A similar trend was also reported by [31] for the HTC of swine manure, where increased temperatures from 180 to 300 °C decreased the H/C ratios from 1.18 to 0.91. Similarly, based on the data presented by [11], the H/C ratios were found to decrease from 1.75 to 1.42 when the temperature was increased from 140 to 220 °C. Recently, the authors of [3] observed that while H/C ratios generally decreased (1.75 to 1.29) with an increase in temperature, the addition of CaO appeared to increase the H/C ratio due to carbon decomposition, although increased CaO concentration decreased the H/C ratio. These results suggest that HTC results in the densification of carbon while simultaneously decreasing the oxygen and hydrogen from the hydrochar.
Intere stingly, when the authors performed systematic speciation of metals, it was found that HTC generally reduced the biologically available and toxic proportion of these heavy metals. Recently, Jin et al. [12] also transformed digestates of swine and dairy manure into hydrochars (at 220 °C for 4 h) and reported that the heavy metals accumulated in hydrochars were a relatively stable form.

3.3. XPS Analysis

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was employed to elucidate differences in surface chemical species between dried manure and two hydrochars, HC-180 and HC-240. The survey spectra (Figure 3) revealed the presence of carbon, oxygen, and nitrogen for each sample. Additionally, the low-temperature char (HC-180) and dried manure surveys revealed traces of calcium, and the dried manure had a minor surface phosphorus peak, which suggested the presence of orthophosphate.
with increasing carbonization, suggesting that oxygen removal occurs uniformly without higher volatility for any particular oxygen-containing species. Overall nitrogen content increased with the presence of heterocyclic pyridinic and pyrrolic nitrogen groups within the carbon matrix has significant implications, especially when the hydrochars are used as soil amendments and adsorbents. The pyridinic nitrogen equipped with a lone pair of electrons can serve as a Lewis base and can confirm that carbonization occurred as oxygen-containing functional groups volatilized. While deconvoluted carbon spectra for manure and HC-180 were quite similar, HC-240 showed higher cyclopentane peaks (33% of carbon compared to ~10%) and lower peaks for large rings (9% of carbon compared to ~22%). Alternatively, with nitrogen, the smaller pyrrolic rings decreased from 76% to 68% to 54%, while the larger pyridinic rings increased from 12% to 28% to 31%. Interestingly, the presence of heterocyclic pyridinic and pyrrolic nitrogen groups within the carbon matrix has significant implications, especially when the hydrochars are used as soil amendments and adsorbents. The pyridinic nitrogen equipped with a lone pair of electrons can serve as a Lewis base and can.

Table 3. The atomic composition of char and manure surfaces.

|         | C 1s  | C *  | N 1s | O 1s | Ca 2p | P 2p | O/C  | O/C * |
|---------|-------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|
| Manure | 76.1% | 69.9%| 2.9% | 18.6%| 1.2%  | 1.3% | 0.24 | 0.35  |
| HC-180 | 77.7% | 75.1%| 4.1% | 17.1%| 1.1%  | 0%   | 0.22 | 0.27  |
| HC-240 | 85.5% | 83.4%| 1.7% | 12.8%| 0%    | 0%   | 0.15 | 0.14  |

* From the ultimate analysis, adjusted to exclude hydrogen.

Deconvoluted spectra for carbon, oxygen, and nitrogen can be seen in Figure 4 (and Supplementary Figure S1) and revealed a surface predominantly covered by the ring structures, accounting for 60–75% of the atoms on the surface. Many of the remaining surface compounds were aliphatic hydrocarbons bonded to the ether, hydroxyl, and carbonyl groups. The carbon peak was dominated by cyclopentane and larger rings, which became more pronounced with increasing temperature. Overall, aromatic carbon accounted for greater than 70% of the carbon for each sample.

As expected, the oxygen content in the manure decreased from 18.6% to 17.1% when treated at 180 °C, and 12.8% when treated at 240 °C. Very little of the oxygen (<4% of oxygen) was found to be contained in aromatic groups. The prominence of the particular oxygen peaks varied slightly with increasing carbonization, suggesting that oxygen removal occurs uniformly without higher volatilization for any particular oxygen-containing species. Overall nitrogen content increased with carbonization at 180 °C but decreased with higher temperature. While pyrrilic nitrogen (400.3 eV) decreased with temperature as pyridinic nitrogen (398.7) increased, quaternary nitrogen (401.4 eV) followed the same trend as overall nitrogen content.

With increasing carbonization temperature (manure < 180 °C < 240 °C), the portion of carbon detected that can be attributed to aromatic carbon content increased as overall carbon content increased, confirming that carbonization occurred as oxygen-containing functional groups volatilized. While deconvoluted carbon spectra for manure and HC-180 were quite similar, HC-240 showed higher cyclopentane peaks (33% of carbon compared to ~10%) and lower peaks for large rings (9% of carbon compared to ~22%). Alternatively, with nitrogen, the smaller pyrrolic rings decreased from 76% to 68% to 54%, while the larger pyridinic rings increased from 12% to 28% to 31%. Interestingly, the presence of heterocyclic pyridinic and pyrrolic nitrogen groups within the carbon matrix has significant implications, especially when the hydrochars are used as soil amendments and adsorbents. The pyridinic nitrogen equipped with a lone pair of electrons can serve as a Lewis base and can.

![Figure 3. Representative X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) plots of hydrochars and dried manure (×10³ CPS vs. Binding Energy).](image)
potentially serve as an active site for removal of adsorption of compounds with electrophilic centers. Similarly, the presence of pyrrolic nitrogen with a carbon structure has been shown to selectively adsorb chromium [42]. In addition, there is a significant interest in nitrogen-rich carbons as precursors to supercapacitors [43]. Hydrochars equipped with pyridinic, pyrrolic, and quaternary nitrogen groups are naturally well suited for supercapacitor applications.

The trends toward carbon densification, as evidenced by decreasing oxygen and oxygen-bound carbon, suggested that hydrothermal carbonization of swine manure occurs via the same mechanisms suggested for carbonization of other feedstocks. Since dehydration and decarboxylation are known to be the prominent mechanisms across this temperature range, it can be inferred that these are causing the initial structures to decompose as they release water and carboxyl groups [28]. The decrease in cycloheptanes and large cycloalkanes and overall oxygen content present in HC-240 compared to manure and HC-180 suggest that large rings were decomposed as oxygen compounds volatilized.

Figure 4. Deconvoluted C, O, and N peaks from XPS analysis of manure and hydrochars (C–C low: cyclopentanes, C–C primary: cyclohexanes, C–C high: cycloheptanes, 5: pyridinic, 6: pyrrolic, Q: quaternary nitrogen, and X: pyridine-N-oxide).
The increase in cyclopentane may be attributed to aromatization of surface structures remaining after water and carboxyl groups were liberated from the char surface.

### 3.4. pH Analyses of Hydrochars

As shown in Table 4, the pH of the hydrochars appeared to generally increase with the reaction temperature. Our results were similar to those of [11], where a gradual increase was also observed in pH from 5.8 to 6.5 when temperatures were increased from 140 °C to 220 °C. However, in our study, the measured pH values were slightly higher, perhaps due to longer reaction times that resulted in the synthesis of nitrogen groups on the surface, which may have increased the pH value of the hydrochar. In addition, the points of zero charge (PZC) were also found to increase from 6.76 to 7.85 and decrease when the temperature was increased beyond 220 °C. The PZC values are important especially when hydrochars are applied as soil amendments or as adsorbents. When the pH of the system is higher than PZC, the hydrochars will be negatively charged and can interact with positively charged substrates. Similarly, when the pH of the system is lower than PZC, hydrochars acquire a positive charge and can interact with negatively charged species [44].

| Temperature (°C) | 180 | 200 | 220 | 240 | 260 |
|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| pH               | 6.25| 6.38| 6.85| 6.65| 6.88|
| PZC              | 6.76| 6.87| 7.85| 7.45| 7.42|

### 3.5. Energy Density

The energy density of the chars, as determined by bomb calorimetry, ranged from 17.7 to 20.8 MJ/kg, with an average of 19.6 MJ/kg. Our results were similar to those of [45], where microwave-assisted HTC of coconut shell was investigated. However, in our research, no clear trend existed based on temperature, which was determined not to have a statistically significant influence on energy density ($p = 0.2670$). On average, the energy densities of these chars were 14% lower than the content of the coal consumed in the US, 22.7 MJ/kg [46]. The lower energy density was likely due to the higher H/C and O/C ratio and ash content (37%) of the chars compared to more carbon-dense coal. When compared to dried manure (16 MJ/kg), HTC resulted in a 23% increase in energy density. Further, when the energy densities were transformed into energy yields as described by [41], the results indicated that the energy yields were between 95.3% and 76.8% (180–260 °C).

### 3.6. Thermogravimetric Analysis

Thermogravimetric (TG) analysis and differential thermogravimetric (DTG) data (Figure 5a,b) were analyzed to determine the thermal and kinetic properties of the chars.
3.6.1. Thermal Analysis

The TG curves show similar decomposition for each char, except for HC-180. The TG curve associated with 260 °C (HC-260) ran nearly parallel to the other profiles, although it was lower during the beginning stages of weight loss due to more mass loss at the dehydration stage (~110 °C) due to the presence of the highest moisture content. Conversely, HC-200 mass was consistently higher than the rest, which was likely due to it having the highest residual ash, meaning less of that char decomposed due to it having a higher proportion of ash, but was otherwise similar to the rest. HC-180, however, showed a different trend and maintained a higher percentage of its original mass than the others initially, before a rapid drop off leading to HC-180 having the least mass retained in the sample. The difference in HC-180 from the others is highlighted further in the DTG, Figure 5b, where it had the largest peak by far, indicating that much of the mass was lost at a higher rate over a smaller temperature range than the others.

Differences in thermal decomposition between the chars are much more pronounced with the DTG curves. The DTG curves revealed that HTC-180 had the most prominent peak and showed a much higher maximum weight loss than the rest of the samples. Notably, HC-200 had the next largest peak, and the other char samples have similar profiles without large peaks around 400 °C, such as HC-180 and HC-200. This can likely be explained by the ignition temperatures as shown in Table 5, which features char profile characteristic parameters typically reported for chars determined by graphical methods.

![Figure 5. Thermogravimetric (TG) (a) and differential thermogravimetric (DTG) (b) profiles of hydrochars derived from swine manure.](image-url)
that were commonly employed [47,48]. The more rapid weight loss of the low-temperature hydrochars was likely a result of later ignition. The ignition index was calculated for each sample; a higher index suggests better ignition properties [48]. HC-180 had the highest index by far, nearly double that of the next highest, despite having the highest ignition temperature. The rest were similar to each other, with no discernable trend as char preparation temperature increased.

### Table 5. Fuel properties of hydrochars prepared at various temperatures.

| Sample | \(T_i\) (°C) | \(t_i\) (min) | \(R_m\) (%/min) | \(T_m\) (°C) | \(t_m\) (min) | \(T_b\) (°C) | \(D_i\) \((x10^{-2})\) |
|--------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| HC-180 | 304          | 9.3           | 25.66           | 416          | 10.5         | 710          | 3.65           |
| HC-200 | 277          | 10.2          | 16.46           | 431          | 11.6         | 657          | 1.79           |
| HC-220 | 253          | 10            | 12.37           | 504          | 12.3         | 661          | 1.02           |
| HC-240 | 232          | 9.7           | 13.02           | 433          | 11.6         | 658          | 1.86           |
| HC-260 | 235          | 9.8           | 12              | 513          | 12.4         | 659          | 1.51           |

**Legend:** \(T_i—\)ignition temperature, \(t_i—\)ignition temperature, \(R_m—\)max weight loss rate, \(T_m—\)temperature at \(R_m\), \(t_m—\)time at \(R_m\), \(T_b—\)burnout temperature, \(D_i—\)ignition index = \(R_m/(t_m \times t_i)\).

#### 3.6.2. Oxidation kinetics

Kinetic analyses were performed to estimate the activation energies and frequency factors [47,48]. Given that these profiles represent char pyrolysis, a first-order chemical reaction model was used to fit the data \((R^2 = 0.946 \text{ and } 0.995)\). Kinetic parameters can be found in Table 6 that indicated that each char sample had two combustion stages with activation energies (E) in the range of 10.62 to 24.88 kJ/mol and 26.16 to 32.58 kJ/mol, respectively. Our results are consistent with other hydrochars derived from palm empty fruit bunches and sewage sludge, respectively. The activation energies of palm empty fruit bunch chars were in the ranges of 15.85 to 30.24 kJ/mol for the first stage and 12.91–20.70 kJ/mol for the second stage [48]. For sewage sludge hydrochars, activation energies were in the ranges of 25.62 to 41.50 kJ/mol for the first stage and 24.48 to 37.19 kJ/mol for the second stage [47]. Similarly, the authors of [49] reported activation energies of 93–130 kJ/mol and 52–63 kJ/mol for the first and second stages, respectively, for hydrochars prepared from bamboo. Further, the authors of [32] tested the HTC of swine manure and reported activation energies of 120–124 kJ/mol. Overall, the activation energies of hydrochar were generally lower than that of bituminous fossil fuel coals, which are in the range of 85–160 kJ/mol [50].

### Table 6. Kinetic parameters of manure-derived hydrochars.

| Sample | HC-180 | HC-200 | HC-220 | HC-240 | HC-260 |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Temperature range (°C) | 169–316 | 316–615 | 156–326 | 326–647 | 158–341 |
| Activation Energy (kJ/mol) | 24.04 | 32.58 | 18.78 | 26.58 | 24.88 |
| Pre-exponential factor (1/min) | 116.9 | 893.9 | 41.5 | 233.2 | 189.7 |
| \(R^2\) | 0.965 | 0.995 | 0.973 | 0.98 | 0.992 |

Despite favorable thermal properties, the ash contents were found to be between 35% and 38%. Several authors also reported higher ash contents that increased when the process temperatures were increased. Lang et al. [3] observed an increase of ash from 21% (180 °C) to 23% (23%). However, when increased amounts of CaO were added to the HTC process, the ash contents increased substantially, from 21% to 36%. Similarly, the authors of [11] also observed that ash content increased from 17% to 21% when HTC temperatures were increased from 140 to 220 °C. These results suggest that hydrochars derived from swine manure may not be an ideal source for energy generation. In addition, the presence of heavy metals makes hydrochars less appealing as an energy source. Further, it may be noted that the HTC process usually concentrates phosphorus, potassium, and other elements in the resulting hydrochars. As suggested by [28,51], the presence of metal cations will result in an insoluble form.
of phosphorus that is immobilized within the hydrochar matrix. Song et al. [4] reported an increase in phosphorus from 5% to 6.5% when the processing temperatures were increased from 160 °C to 240 °C. The increase in process time from 1 h to 8 h also resulted in similar increases in phosphorus concentrations in hydrochars. Similarly, Gasco et al. [30] observed that the HTC process at 240 °C increased the potassium concentration from 2.4 g/Kg (manure) to 3.5 g/Kg (hydrochar). Therefore, soil conditions are to be assessed recommending using hydrochars as soil amendments. Nonetheless, due to the presence of surface nitrogen groups, especially pyridinic (N6) and pyrrolic (N5) functionalities, swine manure-derived hydrochars can serve as excellent materials for mitigating organic pollutants and acidic species from water or air.

4. Conclusions

Swine manure slurry was converted into hydrochars via hydrothermal carbonization. Results indicated that swine manure-derived hydrochars had energy content comparable to coal. While increasing temperature led to an overall decrease in char yields, it did not appear to enhance the decomposition properties of hydrochar, suggesting that future processes would produce more favorable results by choosing a lower temperature range when utilizing this biomass. The thermogravimetric data suggested that the activation energies were comparable to the hydrochars synthesized from plant-based biomasses. However, due to the presence of significant proportions of ash, hydrochars derived from swine manure are not readily suitable as a combustible energy source. Nonetheless, the hydrochars were found to be equipped with nitrogen functional groups, namely, pyridinic and pyrrolic groups on the surface with an increase in temperatures led to an increase in pyridinic rings and decrease in pyrrolic rings, opening the possibilities of using hydrochars as precursors to selective adsorbents and energy storage devices. Further, the pH values and the points are zero charges suggested that the hydrochars are near neutral when processed at higher temperatures and may be applied as soil remediation agents.
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