Hetero-Epitalxial Growth of AlN Deposited by DC Magnetron Sputtering on Si(111) Using a AlN Buffer Layer
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Abstract: This paper reports the effect of Silicon substrate orientation and Aluminum nitride buffer layer deposited by molecular beam epitaxy on the growth of aluminum nitride thin films deposited by a DC magnetron sputtering technique at low temperatures. The structural analysis has revealed a strong (0001) fiber texture for both Si(100) and (111) substrates, and a hetero-epitaxial growth on a AlN buffer layer, which is only a few nanometers in size, grown by MBE onto the Si(111) substrate. SEM images and XRD characterization have shown an enhancement in AlN crystallinity. Raman spectroscopy indicated that the AlN film was relaxed when it deposited on Si(111), in compression on Si(100) and under tension on a AlN buffer layer grown by MBE/Si(111) substrates, respectively. The interface between Si(111) and AlN grown by MBE is abrupt and well defined, contrary to the interface between AlN deposited using PVD and AlN grown by MBE. Nevertheless, AlN hetero-epitaxial growth was obtained at a low temperature (<250 °C).
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1. Introduction

Aluminum nitride (AlN) thin films can be a promising candidate in optical, mechanical, and electronic applications. It can serve as a semiconductor when doped [1] and also as a passivation layer for semiconductors [2]. Besides, AlN thin films are integrated in surface acoustic wave (SAW) devices, where they ensure high frequency ranges, a large electromechanical coupling factor ($K^2$), and temperature stability of the respective device. Nevertheless, the quality of these integrated films has a strong impact on the performance of the SAW devices [3,4]. The film properties depend not only on the crystal structure of AlN, but also on its preferential orientation [5], the c-axis of the wurtzite AlN structure in our case. The films can be composed of multiple crystal orientations scored (002), (100) etc. AlN thin films are grown using physical vapor deposition (PVD) on several substrates such as silicon (Si) [6], sapphire [7], and indium phosphide (InP) [8].

To realize an epitaxial growth of AlN thin films, the Si substrates have been extensively regarded because of their large scale and easiness to carry out industrial mass production [9]. Nevertheless, it is very difficult to achieve the epitaxial growth of AlN thin films deposited on Si substrates by PVD methods, in particular at low temperatures, owing to the lattice mismatch and different coefficient of thermal expansion between AlN film and Si substrates, which will lead to a high density of defects to AlN thin films layers [10,11]. Furthermore, depositing AlN layers on Si simplify the process and the device structure for a low cost [12].
Currently, a lot of research works have been proposed in the domain of AlN epitaxial films synthesized on both (100) and (111) Si substrates to reach the high quality of AlN films. Liegen Huang et al. [13] reported the epitaxial growth of AlN films grown on Si substrates by metal organic chemical vapor deposition (MOCVD) by enhancing the Si substrate surface by changing the concentration of HF solutions. Holger Fiedler et al. [14] investigated the effect of long-term stability on the interface between AlN films and silicon for microwave frequency electronic devices [14]. The effect of substrate temperature, ammonia (NH$_3$), flow rate, and layer thickness on the characteristics of AlN layers was reported [15,16]. In the literature, most investigators concentrate on the optimization of the growth parameters. On the other hand, the optimization of the Si substrate is rarely investigated.

In fact, the Si substrate surface has an impact on the epitaxial growth of AlN, as the chemical contaminants and particulate impurities on the Si surface influence the characteristic of AlN films, and also the device performance and the yield [13,17,18]. For that, the Si surface condition is very important. Generally, the wet etching method is used to clean the Si substrate surface [19–21]. The impact of the Si substrate surface on the epitaxial growth of AlN was studied [13], but, unfortunately, the mechanism is still not clear. K. Ait Aissa et al. [22] showed that the interface between the AlN film and the Si(100) substrate is abrupt, which indicates a local epitaxial growth of AlN on Si(100) deposited by high-power impulse magnetron sputtering (HiPIMS), However, an amorphous intermediate layer was seen in the case of the AlN film grown on Si(100) by direct current magnetron sputtering (DCMS).

In this study, the quality of AlN thin films deposited by DCMS was investigated. We report on the growth of AlN films deposited at low temperatures on Si(100), Si(111), and on a 1-nm composite substrate of AlN buffer layer deposited by molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) on Si(111). Special attention was given to the effect of the AlN buffer layer on the epitaxial growth and quality of AlN films deposited by DCMS.

### 2. Materials and Methods

AlN films, with ~600 nm thickness, were deposited on different substrates using a DCMS technique with the AV01 reactor. Before the deposition, the silicon (100) and (111) substrates were ultrasonically cleaned in both acetone and ethanol solvents, and then dried under nitrogen gas flow. An 8” target was used, consisting of 99.99 pure aluminum (Al) water-cooled magnetron cathode. The sputtering system used to deposit AlN films was a Pinnacle Plus + 5kW® DC power supply, with a power of 1800 W DC power supply. The system was pumped to a base pressure of 3 × 10$^{-5}$ Pa using a turbo molecular pump prior to introducing argon (Ar) and nitrogen (N$_2$) gases. The distance between the target and substrate holder was 37 mm. The temperature during the deposition was <250 °C. The target was cleaned before deposition using Ar gas discharge followed by a pre-sputtering step using the same conditions as the subsequent film deposition with a shutter shielding the sample in order to remove surface oxidation of the target. The sputtering pressure was fixed at 0.3 Pa. The reactive N$_2$ gas was fixed at 55%. The silicon substrate temperature was about 150 °C during the growth, as measured by the thermal couple, which was fixed to the substrate holder.

In order, to realize the epitaxial growth of AlN, we proposed to cover the substrate by a 1-nm-thick AlN deposited by molecular beam epitaxy MBE on HF-cleaned 2-in.-diameter Si(111) substrates. After hydrogen desorption at 700 °C under high vacuum (~10$^{-8}$ mbar), the nucleation process was initiated at 600–650 °C before grading the substrate temperature for growing the rest of the film at 920 °C. The buffer layer’s thickness was measured by the reflection high-energy electron diffraction (RHEED) method [23–25].

The crystal structures of AlN films were investigated by X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a PANanalytical Empyrean® X-ray diffractometer (Malvern Panalytical, Malvern, UK), with Cu Kα radiation ($\lambda$ = 0.154 nm), voltage and current (40 kV-40 mA), respectively, as described early by B. Riah et al. [26]. In addition, The reflection spectra of the respective
molecular structure for the prepared AlN films were identified, studied and presented using Raman spectrometer (Horiba Jobin-Yvon lab-RamT64000®, Horiba, Kyoto, Japan), in backscattering \(z(x, \text{unpolarised})z\), configuration, with \(z\) orthogonal to the substrate surface and utilizing the 514 nm lines of a (Cd-He) green laser as the excitation source at room temperature. Furthermore, Raman spectroscopy was used in order to obtain the quality of the deposited films and calculate the residual stress which was developed after deposition. Moreover, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Jeol, Tokyo, Japan) and the high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) (Hitachi, Marunouchi, Tokyo, Japan) were used in order to thoroughly study the films of the micro-structure, the morphology, and the interfaces between the layers. The HRTEM is used only in the case of the AlN deposited on AlN MBE/Si(111).

A DEKTAK VEECO 8 profilometer was used to estimate the thickness and residual stresses of the films by measuring the substrate curvature before and after deposition [27].

\[
\sigma = \frac{E}{6(1-\nu)} \left( \frac{t_f}{r_c} - \frac{1}{r_u} \right)
\]

where:
- \(\sigma\) is the stress on GPa.
- \(E\) is the Young’s module of the substrate in GPa.
- \(\nu\) is the Poisson’s ratio for the silicon substrate.
- \(t_s\) is the silicon thickness in m.
- \(t_f\) is the film thickness in m.
- \(r_c\) is the radius of curvature of the substrates before AlN deposition in m.
- \(r_u\) are the radius of curvature of the substrates after AlN deposition in m.

However, the measurement of substrates radius curvature was very significant in order to estimate the internal stress of the film. The thickness was derived from the difference in height between a first area coated with the deposited film and a second which was previously hidden during deposition. Moreover, the residual stress data were coupled with Raman shifts. The variation of the stress as function of the \(E_2^{11}\) band shift was linear, as represented in Figure 1 [28]. For a given position of \(E_2\) band, the residual stress was easily deduced.

Figure 1. Position of the Raman band relative to the AlN (0002) orientation as a function of the residual stress calculated by the method of radius of curvature on different substrates.
3. Results and Discussion

The XRD patterns of the θ-2θ (Bragg-Brentano Geometry) and the θ-θ scans of AlN/Si(100), AlN/Si(111), and AlN/AlN MBE (1 nm)/Si(111) are shown in Figure 2a,b, respectively. The highest intensity of the (002) reflection indicates an oriented growth along the c-axis perpendicular to substrate for all samples, which is ascribed to wurtzite-type hexagonal structure. The measure of peak width and the full width at half maximum of the X-ray rocking curve (RC-FWHM) for determining the quality of the film (Gaussian curve) shows that the FWHM values decreases from 3.2° for AlN/Si(100) to 2.3° in the case of AlN/Si(111). This result indicates that the quality of AlN films improves depending on the Si orientation. The enhancement is due to the lattice mismatch which is very important in the case of Si(100) compared to that in Si(111). On the other hand, the diffraction peak intensity of the (0002) AlN increases and the RC-FWHM of the AlN film decreases from 2.3° to 1.2° using the AlN (MBE) interlayer; this can indicate that the use of a AlN buffer layer enhances the crystalline quality of AlN film and facilitates its deposition. In the case of AlN deposited on a AlN buffer layer on Si(111), the peak position of the (0002) plane is θ = 36.15°. An evident shift of this peak toward lower angles with the film deposited directly on both Si(100) and (111) is observed. This may be due to the stress effect within the film. The shift of (0002) peak in the case of AlN deposited on Si(111), where θ = 36.09°, is less important compared to the film deposited on Si(100), where θ = 36.04°.

![Figure 2](image.png)

Figure 2. Diffractogram in the (a) θ-2θ mode and in the (b) θ-θ mode showing the AlN peak of (0002) orientation for the three samples.

To understand this change, XRD pole figures (0001), [10T1], [10T2] and [10T3] of hexagonal AlN were obtained [26], but only the pole figures [10T1] and [10T2] are used in this study. The [10T1] pole figures of AlN/Si(100), AlN/Si(111), and AlN/AlN MBE/Si(111) films are shown in Figure 3a–c, respectively. For both AlN/Si(100) and AlN/Si(111) samples, the [10T1] pole figures intensity maxima are distributed along a ring located at χ = 62.5°, demonstrating the presence of a polycrystalline film with a (0001) strong fiber-texture, where the grains of the film are composed of one family of parallel planes at the substrate’s surface with an axis of rotation around the normal of these planes. Moreover, the ring at χ = 62.5° caused by the [10T1] facets implies that no preferred in-plane orientation is formed for both cases. However, in the case of AlN deposited on AlN (MBE)/Si(111), the [10T1] pole figure shows a maximum intensity with a six-fold symmetry at χ = 62.5°. This confirms that the AlN thin film deposited on AlN/Si(111) does not have a fiber texture any more, but has grown epitaxially on the AlN/Si(111) substrate.
Figure 3. [10T1] pole figures (2θ = 37.89°) of (a) hexagonal AlN/Si(100) (b) hexagonal AlN/Si(111) and (c) of h-AlN/AlN MBE (1 nm)/Si(111).
The morphological and cross sectional characterization of AlN films synthesized at different substrates, i.e., Si(100), Si(111), and AlN buffer layer/Si(111), were realized using SEM analysis. Figure 4 shows the cross-section SEM images for the three samples synthesized. The AlN layer shows several columnar grains which are perpendicular to the substrate surface for all samples, and for fairly similar thicknesses. The AlN film deposited by DCMS does not show any meaningful change in the film morphology for both (100) and (111) Si substrates. However, it can be noted that the AlN film deposited on AlN MBE/Si(111) has the best columnar crystalline compared to those deposited on both Si(100) and Si(111) substrates.

Figure 4. Cross section SEM image for (a) AlN/Si(100), (b) AlN/Si(111) and (c) AlN/AlN MBE/Si(111).

Figure 5 represents the Raman spectra collected at room temperature from all samples. The peaks that are situated at approximately 604 cm$^{-1}$ (and 655.57 cm$^{-1}$) for AlN DCMS/Si(100), 654.86 cm$^{-1}$ for AlN DCMS/Si(111), and 648 cm$^{-1}$ for AlN DCMS/AlN MBE/Si(111)) are attributed to the A$_1$(TO) and E$_2$ (high) Raman mode of AlN, respectively [29] (TO corresponds to transversal optical phonons). The E$_2$ (high) peak became broader in the case of the film AlN deposited by DCMS on a AlN buffer layer on Si(111). The expansion of the E$_2$ (high) peak may be related to the crystalline deterioration or to the intrinsic stress [30]. However, as the XRD results (Figure 2a,b) validate the high crystalline quality of the AlN deposited films on the AlN buffer layer on Si(111), the theory of crystalline deterioration can be excluded. It can be seen from Figure 5 that the AlN film deposited on the Si(111) substrate is almost relaxed (stress = 0.03 GPa). Nevertheless, for AlN deposited on Si(100), the stress is equal to $-0.37$ GPa, meaning that the film is in compression. The residual stresses in the films deposited by PVD methods comes from the intrinsic stresses due to defects, lattice mismatch stresses, and thermal residual stress due to coefficient thermal expansion mismatches between substrates and the deposited films. The defects in the deposited AlN films are complex, such as vacancies, dislocations, grain boundary density of the film, impurities, and surface atomic arrangements of the substrates. As both the atomic arrangements of Si(111) surface and AlN (0002) oriented films are hexagonal, vertical columnar growth of the AlN film is observed with minimal residual stress [31,32]. On the other hand, AlN films deposited on the AlN buffer layer/Si(111) have shown a stress of 3.23 GPa. This result indicates that the film is under tension, which is in good agreement with XRD results.
Figure 5. Raman spectra of AlN thin films deposited by DCMS on different substrates.

The interfacial between the AlN film and the silicon substrate is very important to achieve the epitaxial growth of AlN sputtered films [13]. In order to further study the interfacial between AlN films deposited by DMCS grown on the AlN buffer layer on Si(111) substrates, TEM characterizations are investigated. The TEM cross section image of AlN deposited on 1 nm of AlN MBE/Si(111) by DCMS is shown in Figure 6a. The presence of a 3-nm continuous layer between the AlN deposited by DCMS and AlN buffer layer can be seen; this 3-nm layer corresponds to the 1-nm AlN buffer layer, as the thickness measurement of the buffer layer was made by RHEED technique, as well as the 2-nm interfacial AlN deposited by DCMS method. The interface between AlN MBE and Si(111) is abrupt, which means that there is a discontinuity of the composition between the AlN buffer layer and Si(111) on weak thicknesses from 0.2 to 0.5 nm. On the other hand, there is a good continuity at the interface between the AlN film deposited by DCMS and AlN buffer layer, which can show the presence of defects. Furthermore, a dense structure is highlighted for AlN film deposited by DCMS [33].

Figure 6b represents a HRTEM image of the AlN deposited on AlNMBE on Si(111). The AlN film deposited by DCMS method exhibits very beautiful planes, some of which are perfectly matched. However, in some parts, there is a lake in continuity. This can be attributed to the ionic bombardment, which can be enough to eliminate a part of the AlN buffer layer deposited by molecular beam epitaxy MBE [22]. It is noteworthy to mention that the DCMS method is a subsurface method [34]. This proves that the heteroepitaxial growth of AlN deposited on Si(111) was achieved using a 1-nm AlN buffer layer deposited by molecular beam epitaxy.
Figure 6. (a) TEM Cross-section image of AlN deposited by DCMS on AlN MBE/Si(111); (b) HRTEM image of AlNDCMS/AlNMBE/Si(111) interfaces.

4. Conclusions

In this study, a hetero epitaxial growth of AlN films deposited by DCMS was obtained using a 1-nm AlN buffer layer grown on Si(111) by molecular beam epitaxy. The effect of the buffer layer on the quality of AlN hetero epitaxial film was carefully studied by various methods. The crystallinity of AlN layers was improved using a 1-nm AlN buffer layer. The FWHM’s of the (0002) X-ray rocking curves were decreased using an AlN buffer layer. The XRD pole figures revealed a strong (0001) fiber texture for both (100) and (111) Si substrates and a hetero-epitaxial growth in the case of AlN deposited by DCMS on AlN buffer layer on Si(111). The SEM cross section images indicate that the AlN film deposited on AlN MBE/Si(111) has the best columnar crystalline compared to those deposited on both Si(100) and (111) substrates. The Raman spectroscopy indicated that the film AlN is relaxed in compressive stress and under tension when it was deposited on Si(111), Si(100), and AlN buffer layer, respectively. HRTEM confirmed that the heteroepitaxial growth of AlN deposited by DCMS was reached using a 1-nm AlN buffer layer deposited by molecular beam epitaxy.
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