The Prp18 protein stabilizes the interaction of both exons with the U5 snRNA during the second step of pre-mRNA splicing
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Interaction of the ends of the exons with loop 1 of the U5 snRNA aligns the exons for ligation in the second step of pre-mRNA splicing. To study the effect of Prp18 on the exons' interactions, we analyzed the splicing of pre-mRNAs with random sequences in the exon bases at the splice junctions. The exon mutations had large effects on splicing in yeast with a Prp18 protein lacking its most conserved region, but not in wild-type yeast. Analysis of splicing kinetics demonstrated that only the second step was affected in vivo and in vitro, showing that Prp18—and specifically its conserved region—plays a key role in stabilizing the interaction of the exons with the spliceosome at the time of exon joining. Superior exon sequences defined by the \textit{prp18} results accelerated the second step of splicing by wild-type spliceosomes with inefficient AT-AC pre-mRNAs, implying that normal exon interactions follow the rules we discerned for \textit{prp18} splicing. Our results show that As are preferred at the ends of both exons and support a revised model of the interactions of the exons with U5 in which the exons are arranged in a continuous double helix that facilitates the second reaction.
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Pre-mRNA is spliced in two sequential transesterification reactions within the spliceosome (for review, see Will and Lührmann 2006). The active spliceosome is composed of the U2, U5, and U6 snRNPs together with a dynamic cast of proteins. The U2 and U6 snRNAs form the catalytic core of the spliceosome [for review, see Valadkhan 2005], while the U5 snRNP holds the substrate RNA [Newman and Norman 1991, 1992]. The interactions of U5 with the splicing intermediates that position the exons for joining during the second step are the focus of this study.

The second step of splicing can be divided into stages based on the protein and ATP requirements at each stage. After the first transesterification reaction, the DExH-box RNA helicase Prp16 catalyzes an ATP-dependent rearrangement of the spliceosome [Schwer and Guthrie 1992]. Prp17 is involved at this stage as well [Jones et al. 1995]. Slu7, Prp18, and Prp22 bind to the rearranged spliceosome and facilitate the ATP-independent transesterification reaction that produces the mRNA [Horowitz and Abelson 1993a; Jones et al. 1995; Schwer and Gross 1998; James et al. 2002]. Following exon ligation, Prp22, another DExH family member, catalyzes an ATP-dependent conformational change that releases the mRNA [Company et al. 1991]. If the second transesterification is slow, then the Prp22-catalyzed rearrangement may precede the ligation of the exons, allowing proofreading of poor substrates [Mayas et al. 2006]. The Prp8 protein, which is required for the first step, also functions in the second step (for review, see Grainger and Beggs 2005).

Prp18 is involved only in the second step of splicing and is associated with the U5 snRNP [Horowitz and Abelson 1993a,b]. The functional domain of Prp18 is composed of five tightly packed \( \alpha \)-helices, the last two of which are connected by a 36-amino-acid flexible loop [Jiang et al. 2000]. This loop includes a nearly invariant stretch of 25 amino acids that is the most conserved region in Prp18. The loop can be deleted without affecting the folding of the rest of Prp18, and this mutant Prp18 protein, called Prp18\( \Delta \)CR [deletion of the conserved region], retains considerable function [Bačíková and Horowitz 2002]. Prp18 interacts with Slu7, which binds to the face of Prp18 opposite the conserved loop [Zhang and Schwer 1997; Bačíková and Horowitz 2002].
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This interaction is necessary for both proteins to bind stably to the spliceosome [James et al. 2002]. Prp18ΔCR, a focus of this study, appears to bind normally to the spliceosome and to lack a function needed during the second step.

The U5 snRNA plays a central role in the second step of splicing in which it aligns the exons for joining [Newman and Norman 1992, O’Keefe et al. 1996]. All U5 snRNAs studied have the invariant 9-nucleotide (nt) sequence 5’-G_{C2}C_{C3}U_{U4}U_{U5}A_{A7}C_{C9}-3’ within an 11-nt loop called loop 1 [Frank et al. 1994]. Loop 1 interacts with bases at the ends of the exons, tethering them to the spliceosome. The bases at the 3’ end of exon1 interact with bases U_{U4}U_{U5} in loop 1, and the bases at the 5’ end of exon2 interact with C_{C9} and U_{U4} [Newman and Norman 1991, 1992]. The exon bases that interact with loop 1 are not conserved [Long et al. 1997; Lopez and Séraphin 1999, Spingola et al. 1999]. We used the ACT1-CUP1 system in which copper resistance reports the efficiency of splicing of the ACT1-CUP1 pre-mRNA [Lesser and Guthrie 1993]. We constructed ACT1-CUP1 libraries in which either the four bases at the 3’ end of exon1 or the three bases at the 5’ end of exon2, or both, were randomized [Fig. 1A]. The sequence of the wild-type ACT1 exons is TCTG[AGC], where we write the sequences of the exon ends as exon1 exon2, showing the seven bases that we randomized. The libraries were screened by replica plating in indicator yeast that had the mutant allele prp18ΔCR that encodes a Prp18 protein lacking its conserved region or in wild-type indicator yeast [see Supplementary Table 1]. Examples of act1-CUP1 genes that conferred survival at the highest copper concentrations (1.5 mM for prp18ΔCR yeast and 2 mM for wild-type yeast) from the libraries were sequenced.

Selected sequences and sequence statistics for winners are shown in Figure 1. From the 5’ splice site libraries [Fig. 1B], A was the predominant base at every position except –2 in the prp18ΔCR winners, as expected if the bases pair with U_{U4}-U_{U5} in loop 1 of U5. In the prp18ΔCR winners, position –1 was 100% A, whereas the wild-type winners had 25% C at –1. From the 3’ splice site libraries [Fig. 1C], there were two primary prp18ΔCR winners, [ACA] and [AAA], and all sequences had an A at position +1; the wild-type winners did not have a clear consensus. The combined library winners [Fig. 1D] were more complicated and showed many of the same general trends as the individual libraries.

Wild-type winners were tested in prp18ΔCR yeast. Many conferred high copper resistance, but a significant fraction did not [Fig. 2]. All of the wild-type 5’ splice site winners ending with C had the sequence NAAC and conferred low copper resistance on prp18ΔCR yeast [Fig. 2, #5]. C at position –1 does not ensure a poor 5’ exon, since exon1 ends with C in two prp18ΔCR winners, AAT[AAT] [Fig. 2, #29] and AGTC[AAC]. The wild-type 3’ splice site winners conferred variable copper resistance on prp18ΔCR yeast; exon sequences starting with a pyrimidine were worse (TCTG[TTG] and TCTG[CAA] in Fig. 2, #8 and #12) than those starting with a purine, and A was reliably better than G (#15). We also analyzed 32 act1-CUP1 plasmids from the combined library that allowed wild-type yeast to grow at 1 mM CuSO4 (essentially unscreened in the screen, with a 95% survival rate). Of these, one third, including CTTG[CAA] and CCCG[ACC] [Fig. 2, #23 and #24], conferred low cop-
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per resistance on *prp18ΔCR* yeast. Winners from all the screens were evaluated in wild-type yeast. All *act1-CUP1* plasmids tested conferred very similar copper resistance on wild-type yeast (Fig. 2) despite the apparent selection of preferred sequences in the screens (Fig. 1). Small differences accounted for the selection from the libraries [see Supplementary Fig. 1].

The screen and the initial analysis showed that altering the sequences of the end of either of the *ACT1-CUP1* exons could significantly change the copper resistance conferred to *prp18ΔCR* yeast but not wild-type yeast.

---

**Figure 1.** Screen of exon mutants. (A) Schematic of the construction of the libraries, showing the positions of the randomized bases within *ACT1-CUP1*. (B) Winner sequences from a library with randomized 5′ splice sites with a wild-type 3′ splice site in *prp18ΔCR* and wild-type yeast. Twelve sequences were analyzed from each strain. Numbers to the right of sequences indicate multiple identifications of the same sequence. Statistics are shown with the number of occurrences of each base at each position and overall percentages of each base. (C) Winner sequences from a library of randomized 3′ splice sites with a wild-type 5′ splice site in *prp18ΔCR* and wild-type yeast. Twelve *prp18ΔCR* and 24 wild-type sequences were analyzed. (D) Statistical results for winner sequences from a library with both 5′ and 3′ splice sites randomized from *prp18ΔCR* and wild-type yeast. The percentage of each base at each position is shown. Thirty-six *prp18ΔCR* and 24 wild-type sequences were analyzed.

---

**Figure 2.** Copper resistances of reporter yeast with mutant *act1-CUP1* plasmids. Growth of *prp18ΔCR* and wild-type reporter yeast harboring the *act1-CUP1* plasmids whose exon end sequences are shown at the left was tested at the CuSO₄ concentrations indicated at the top of each panel. Sequences are shown as 3′ end of exon1 | 5′ end of exon2. The panel in the bottom left shows selected exon mutants compared with wild-type *ACT1* in *prp18ΔCR* yeast at high copper concentrations. *prp18ΔCR* yeast were grown for 3–6 d, depending on the CuSO₄ concentration. For *PRP18* yeast, low copper concentrations are omitted for conciseness; CCCGjAGG (#23) conferred slightly lower copper resistance to wild-type yeast than did the other plasmids. Each of the three panels is a composite from a single experiment.
Hence, the results strongly supported our initial hypothesis.

**Defining better and poorer splice sites**

We sought to understand the characteristics of the exon sequences that produced better and poorer prp18ΔCR splicing substrates. We started with the exons from our screens, recombining them to isolate the effects of 5′ and 3′ exon sequences, and we made new exon mutants to examine specific bases. We analyzed 170 exon mutants, and we summarize the salient features of our results. Representative mutants are shown in Figure 2 and are referred to by number in the text. All the results, together with a comprehensive description, are shown in Supplementary Table 3.

**5′ splice sites** The screen showed that A at the 3′ end of exon1 was important for good splicing in prp18ΔCR yeast (Fig. 1B,D). We compared bases at the −1 position in the context AAAN[AGG ([AGG is wild-type ACT1]) and found that A > G > C with A conferring 10 times the copper resistance of C (#3, #5, #27, #30). In weak overall contexts, A at position −1 was substantially better than G; thus, TCTA[TTG (#9) and CCCA[ACC conferred higher copper resistance than TCTG[TTG (#8) and CCGG[ACC. Splicing yields a stop codon in AAAT[AGG; using different 3′ exons, we found that AAAA was somewhat better than AAAT, which was better than AAAC (#19, #22, #26). The analyses showed that an A at position −1 was a key determinant of efficient splicing and was sufficient to ensure good splicing of all substrates tested except those where the first base of exon2 was a C. The superiority of A and G supported the idea that base −1 pairs to U5 in loop 1 of U5.

The 5′ exon screen suggested that T was the best base at position −2 (Fig. 1B), an idea that was bolstered by the finding that AATC(#7, #29) conferred much higher copper resistance than AAAC (#5). We compared bases at the −2 position in the AANC context; A at −2 was especially poor, and the overall order was T > C > G > A (#7, #5; data not shown). The superiority of T at −2 was also shown for 5′ exons ending with G, such as TCTG[AGG (wild-type ACT1), which was fourfold better than TCCG[AGG. For position −2, pairing with U5 does not explain the preference for T, and the ordering of base preferences seems to be opposite the base-pairing model of base-pairing between loop 1 and exon1 provided in Supplementary Table 3.

**3′ splice site** The sequences [ACA and [AA accounted for 10 of the 12 winners in prp18ΔCR yeast (Fig. 1C), and subsequent results confirmed that these sequences worked well (#10, #11, #31). An A at position +1 was the most important determinant in exon2. Either pyrimidine at +1 was usually poor, as shown by TCTG[TTG (#8) and TCTG[CAA (#12). G at +1 was generally better than either C or T. Pairing of base +1 to U4 could account for the observed base preferences. [AGG, the wild-type ACT1 sequence, can pair perfectly to loop 1, but it was inferior to [ACA and [AAA (e.g., comparing #28 and #31, or #5 and #22), and [TGG was fourfold worse than [TCA. A at position +3 was selected in the prp18ΔCR screen (Fig. 1C). With a wild-type exon1 sequence, [CTA was better than [CTG (#13, #14), suggesting some role for base +3.

**Combinatorial effects** The effects of mutations at both the 5′ and 3′ splice sites were generally additive, and a good site in one exon compensated for a poor site in the other. Combining the weak AAAC site with the strong [ACA site improved copper resistance (#5 vs. #22); likewise, [TTG was improved by combination with AAAA (#9 vs. #16). Combination of two weak sites, as in AAAC[CAA or CTTC[CAA (#24), resulted in copper resistances as low as 25 mM, and combination of two strong sites, as in AAAA[ACA (#32), gave resistances >1 mM.

Some combinatorial effects were more complicated. AAAA markedly improved [TNN (#8 vs. #16) or [GNN exon2 sites but had little effect on weak [CNN sites (#13, #18). Curiously, one of our poorest pre-mRNAs, AAAC[CAA, was improved fourfold by the exon2 sequence [CGA (#21), although [CGA was otherwise a weak sequence.

Mutations in the exon sequences at the splice junctions had large effects on copper resistance in prp18ΔCR yeast. Many of the effects can be explained as strengthening or weakening base-pairing interactions between the exons and loop 1 of U5, but the current, prevailing model of base-pairing between loop 1 and exon1 provided only part of the explanation for our observed sequence preferences (see Discussion).

**Effect of exon mutations on splicing**

Our act1-CUP1 pre-mRNAs have exonic mutations that could affect processes after splicing. To show that the changes in copper resistance were caused specifically by changes in splicing, we analyzed the splicing of the act1-CUP1 pre-mRNAs in vivo and in vitro.

**In vivo splicing** We assayed splicing in vivo by primer extension. In prp18ΔCR yeast, the levels of mRNAs correlated well with the observed resistance to copper (Fig. 3A). For example, with good substrates like AAAA[ACA (Fig. 3A, lane 12) and AATC[AGG (Fig. 3A, lane 5) mRNA levels were high, whereas poor substrates like CTTC[CAA (Fig. 3A, lane 14) and CCGG[AGG (Fig. 3A, lane 7) had lower mRNA levels. Amounts of mRNA ranged from 1.3-fold more than wild-type ACT1 mRNA to fivefold less, although we are cautious in comparing RNA amounts among different yeast cultures because the copy number of the 2µ ACT1-CUP1 plasmid can fluctuate. Substrates that gave lower levels of mRNA
indicated at the lariat intermediate, and mRNA, and of the U14 standard, are steady state, giving that step of the pathway. In vivo, the RNAs are presumably at by one rate constant, splicing results (Fouser and Friesen 1986). Each step is described that second step (Figs. 2, 3; Table 1). The results cannot prove this assumption. Variation in the stabilities of intermediates, consistent with the idea that the effects are specific to the second step.

We interpret our splicing results using the two-step kinetic scheme shown in Figure 3C and described in its legend. In this model, \( k_2 \), the rate constant for the second step, is directly proportional to the ratio of mRNA to intermediates, and this ratio is shown for each act1-CUP1 in Figure 3. The calculated second-step rates varied by 50-fold. The worst substrate, CTTC|CAA, gave a ratio of 1.7 and the best, AAAA|ACA, 90. The \( k_2 \) values correlated very well with the observed copper resistance and argue that the exon mutations specifically affect the second step [Figs. 2, 3; Table 1]. The results cannot prove that \( k_2 \) is changing because the three RNA levels that can be measured in vivo are insufficient to determine the five rate constants in our simple model. Changes in \( k_4 \) and \( k_5 \) could give the results we observe; however, the consistent increase in intermediate levels when product levels decrease is most simply explained by changes in \( k_2 \).

In wild-type yeast, all the act1-CUP1 substrates spliced well and produced similar (within twofold) 

### Table 1. In vitro and in vivo rate constants for the second step of splicing

| Prp18      | Wild type | In vitro | In vivo | Cu++
|------------|-----------|----------|---------|--------
| AAAA|CAA 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.09 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 1.2
| AAAA|AGG 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.025 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 1.1
| AATC|AAT 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.05 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 1.3
| AAAT|ACA 1.2 | 0.45 | 0.035 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 1.0
| TCTG|AGG 1 | 0.35 | 0.015 | 1 | 0.25 | 1.0
| AAAA|ACA 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.01 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0.5
| AAAT|AGG 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.006 | — | — | —
| TCTG|TTG 0.9 | 0.15 | 0.015 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.2
| AAAC|AGG 0.7 | 0.15 | 0.004 | 1.0 | 0.07 | 0.15
| AAAC|CAA 0.5 | 0.07 | 0.005 | 0.9 | 0.05 | 0.1
| CTTG|CAA 0.5 | 0.05 | 0.0007 | 0.5 | 0.02 | 0.02

In vitro rate constants [\( k_2 \) in Fig. 3C] were determined from experiments like those shown in Figure 4. For wild-type ACT1 (TCTG|AGG, shown in bold) with wild-type Prp18, \( k_2 = 1.3 \) min\(^{-1} \), other in vitro values for \( k_2 \) were normalized to this number to facilitate comparisons. Relative values for \( k_2 \) in vivo were determined from the mRNA to intermediate ratios (Fig. 3) normalized to the ratio of 90 for wild-type ACT1 in wild-type yeast. The copper resistance conferred by the each act1-CUP1 plasmid on prp18ΔCR yeast is shown [Fig. 2]. AAAA|AGG has a stop codon in its mRNA and cannot be used in vivo. In vitro experiments were reliably reproduced to within 15% for Prp18ΔCR (30% for ΔPrp18). In vivo \( k_2 \) values >1 and in vitro \( k_2 \) values <1 were difficult to measure precisely because of the small amounts of intermediates (Fig. 3) or products (Fig. 4B).

Figure 3. Primer extension analysis of splicing of exon mutant pre-mRNAs. Primer extension products from RNA isolated from prp18ΔCR [A] and wild-type yeast [B] are displayed. The sequences of the exon ends in the ACT1-CUP1 plasmid are shown at the top of each panel. The positions of pre-mRNA, lariat intermediate, and mRNA, and of the U14 standard, are indicated at the left. The mRNA:intermediate ratio is shown below each lane. Different-length exposures of parallel experiments are shown in A and B. Copper resistances for these plasmids are shown in Figure 2. (C) Kinetic scheme for analyzing splicing results (Fouser and Friesen 1986). Each step is described by one rate constant, \( k \), that represents the rate-limiting stage in that step of the pathway. In vivo, the RNAs are presumably at steady state, giving \( k_3 = k_5 \cdot [\text{mRNA}] / [\text{intermediates}] \), that is, the second-step rate is directly proportional to the ratio of mRNA to intermediates (Fouser and Friesen 1986; Frank and Guthrie 1992). If \( k_3 \) is the same for different mRNAs, then relative \( k_3 \) values can be measured, but the in vivo data cannot prove this assumption. Variation in the stabilities of intermediates [\( k_3 \)] does not affect calculation of \( k_2 \).

had higher levels of splicing intermediates, consistent with a lower second-step rate; for example, AAAA|ACA had a very low level of lariat intermediate [Fig. 3A, lane 12], whereas CTTC|CAA had sevenfold more intermediate, a level similar to that of its mRNA [Fig. 3A, lane 14]. The levels of lariat intermediates varied depending on the efficiency of the second step but did not accumulate to high levels [see Discussion]. No significant differences in the amounts of pre-mRNA were seen among the substrates, consistent with the idea that the effects are specific to the second step.
amounts of mRNA [Fig. 3B]. Lariat intermediates, which were identified by comparison of mobility with prp18ΔCR intermediates, were present at \( \sim 1\% \) of the level of mRNA. In wild-type yeast the ratio of mRNA to intermediates varied about fourfold. The wild-type yeast produced about fourfold more act1-CUP1 mRNA than prp18ΔCR yeast for good substrates; some of this difference is likely related to splicing defects in prp18ΔCR yeast, but levels of intronless mRNAs are also lower in prp18ΔCR yeast (Bačíková and Horowitz 2005).

**In vitro splicing** To determine definitively whether the exon mutations affected the second step of splicing, we tested the splicing of 10 representative exon mutants in vitro using extracts with no Prp18, with Prp18ΔCR, or with wild-type Prp18 protein. Time courses of splicing allow quantitative evaluation of both steps of splicing (Horowitz and Abelson 1993b) and avoid the uncertainties inherent in the in vivo measurements.

Complete assays of two substrates, AAAA|AAA and CTTC|CAA, are shown in Figure 4, and rate constants are tabulated in Table 1. Extract from a prp18-knockout strain was assayed alone or supplemented with purified Prp18ΔCR or Prp18 protein, using sufficient protein to give maximal activity. For AAAA|AAA, a superior substrate [Fig. 2, #17], second-step activities with Prp18ΔCR and wild-type Prp18 were similar; the second step with Prp18ΔCR was \( \sim 1.7\)-fold slower [Fig. 4A,C]. However, for CTTC|CAA, a poor substrate [Fig. 2, #24], the second step with Prp18ΔCR was 10-fold slower than with wild-type Prp18. In splicing reactions with Prp18ΔCR, intermediates accumulated and were slowly spliced to products. We carried out parallel assays of nine more substrates with exon mutations [see Supplementary Figure 2]. The in vitro second-step rates in Prp18ΔCR extracts varied 14-fold (Table 1) and were well correlated with the copper resistance conferred by the corresponding act1-CUP1 plasmid in prp18ΔCR yeast. Mutations at both the 5’ and 3’ splice sites affected the rate of the second step. For closely related exon mutants, such as AAAC|G and AAAT|G, or |ACA and |AGG, the differences in the second-step rates correlated well with the copper resistances. A comparison of AAAT|G to AAAC|G paired with either |ACA or |AGG (wild-type) showed that AAAT|G was better in both contexts.

In the absence of Prp18, the second step of splicing was very slow, and the same trends as in Prp18ΔCR-reconstituted extracts were seen. For AAAA|AAA, spliced mRNA formed from intermediates \( \sim 13\)-fold more slowly than in wild-type extract (Fig. 4). For CTTC|CAA, intermediates accumulated but only a trace of mRNA was made; our estimate of its rate was \( >500\)-fold lower than in wild-type extract (Fig. 4; Table 1). The ordering of the substrates by rate in extracts lacking Prp18 was substantially the same as in Prp18ΔCR extracts, but the rates were lower. We interpret the \( \Delta:\text{Prp18} \) results as showing the loss of two distinct functions (Bačíková and Horowitz 2002): first, the loss of its conserved region, which is exon sequence dependent, and, second, the loss of its Slu7-binding activity, which is not. Exon mutations also affected the copper resistance of prp18-knockout yeast [data not shown], consistent with the in vitro results.

**Figure 4.** Splicing of two exon mutant substrates in vitro. ACT1 substrates with the exon mutations shown at the top of A and B were spliced in vitro in the absence of Prp18, in the presence of Prp18ΔCR protein, and in the presence of wild-type Prp18 protein, as indicated. Aliquots were withdrawn at the times indicated along the top of each gel to generate the displayed time courses. B shows one exposure of a single experiment with the sections rearranged for comparison with A. Relative molar amounts of RNA species in the Prp18ΔCR and wild-type Prp18 time courses are graphed in C and D. [Black square] Prp18ΔCR pre-mRNA; [red square] wild-type Prp18 pre-mRNA; [green circle] Prp18ΔCR lariat intermediate; [orange circle] wild-type Prp18 lariat intermediate; [blue triangle] Prp18 mRNA; [magenta triangle] wild-type Prp18. The graphs show how \( k_{2} \) was calculated using the scheme in Figure 3C. In vitro, \( k_{2} \ll k_{5} \) (i.e., mRNA is produced much faster than it is degraded), leading to \( k_{2} = \Delta[mRNA]/(t[\text{intermediates}_{\text{avg}}]) \), that is, the slope of the [mRNA] versus time graph divided by the [intermediates] [Horowitz and Abelson 1993b]. \( k_{2} \) was calculated for each interval, and the best estimates of \( k_{2} \) are shown in Table 1.
In extracts reconstituted with wild-type Prp18 protein, the rate of the second step varied slightly with exon sequence [Table 1]. The second-step rates decreased about twofold for the substrates that are worst in prp18ΔCR yeast, suggesting that subtle effects on wild-type splicing are exacerbated in Prp18ΔCR splicing.

No significant effects on the first step of splicing were observed. For example, AAAA|AAA and CTTG|CAA had essentially the same first-step rate in all the assays [Fig. 4; see Supplemental Material]. Differences in $k_1$ values were small and did not affect calculation of $k_2$. There were no significant differences in the stabilities of the pre-mRNAs, the intermediates, or the mRNAs.

The results demonstrate that the exon mutations specifically affect the second step of splicing in Prp18ΔCR-reconstituted extracts, as well as in extracts lacking Prp18, and have little effect in wild-type extracts. The comparison in Table 1 shows that the in vitro second-step rate constants and the relative in vivo rates are in excellent agreement and, further, that the rates correlate very well with the copper resistances.

Effects of exon mutations in AT-AC substrates

To determine whether exon mutations affect splicing in wild-type yeast, we used a much less efficiently spliced substrate that has an AT-AC intron [Burge et al. 1998]. In yeast, AT-AC introns are spliced by the U1 substrate that has an AT-AC intron (Burge et al. 1998). In wild-type yeast, we used a much less efficiently spliced substrate that allowed growth of reporter yeast bearing the act1-CUP1 plasmids shown at the left were grown on plates with CuSO₄ at the concentrations indicated at the top. The act1-CUP1 exon sequences are shown just to the left of the composite photograph, and the terminal intron bases are shown along the left border. Introns with the Δ-9,-10 deletion are indicated by a “Δ” between the exon sequences. The image is a composite from parallel experiments.

Figure 5. Copper resistances of reporter yeast with AT-AC intron plasmids. Wild-type reporter yeast bearing the act1-CUP1 plasmids shown at the left were grown on plates with CuSO₄ at the concentrations indicated at the top. The act1-CUP1 exon sequences are shown just to the left of the composite photograph, and the terminal intron bases are shown along the left border. Introns with the Δ-9,-10 deletion are indicated by a “Δ” between the exon sequences. The image is a composite from parallel experiments.

To determine whether exon mutations affect splicing in wild-type yeast, we used a much less efficiently spliced substrate that has an AT-AC intron [Burge et al. 1998]. In yeast, AT-AC introns are spliced by the U1–U2 spliceosome. Both steps of splicing are slow [Parker and Siliciano 1993; Chanfreau et al. 1994].

We constructed AT-AC ACT1-CUP1 reporters [Kivens and Siliciano 1996] with wild-type and mutant exon sequences, and we assayed splicing by copper resistance and primer extension. The wild-type exon act1, TCTG|AT—AC|AGG |exon1|intron|exon2, conferred very low copper resistance [Fig. 5], about twice that of a blank plasmid, as reported [Kivens and Siliciano 1996]. Altering the act1 exons to AAAA|AT—AC|AAA—or better sequences from the prp18ΔCR results—improved the copper resistance about fourfold [Fig. 5]. Most of the improvement resulted from changing the 5′ exon to AAAA with the 3′ exon change to |AAA contributing only marginally. The increase in copper resistance was abolished if either terminal exon base was changed to C [Fig. 5; data not shown], paralleling the behavior of GT-AG introns in prp18ΔCR splicing.

The changes in copper resistance were accompanied by commensurate changes in the level of correctly spliced mRNA, determined by primer extension [Fig. 6A] and sequencing of RT-PCR products. The levels of mRNA correlated well with the measured copper resistances; TCTG|AT—AC|AGG yielded ~3% as much mRNA as wild-type ACT1 [Fig. 6A, lane 3], whereas AAAA|AT—AC|AAA yielded ~10% as much [Fig. 6A, lane 4]. Intermediate accumulated with all the AT-AC substrates, and the levels of intermediates and mRNA were inversely correlated for all the mutants. The ratio of products to intermediates provides an estimate of the rate of the second step [Fig. 3C]. The second step with AAAA|AT—AC|AAA was about sixfold faster than with TCTG|AT—AC|AGG, and second-step rates correlated well with copper resistance. Primer extension results for two other substrates [Fig. 6A, lanes 5,6] paralleled their copper resistances. Levels of pre-mRNAs did not change appreciably among the mutant substrates, suggesting that first step rates were not changing significantly among the mutants, although the possibility that large $k_3$ values obscured changes in $k_1$ could not be excluded.

Exon mutations improved the second step of splicing of AT-AC substrates in vitro: AAAA|AT—AC|AAA was spliced better than the “wild-type” TCTG|AT—AC|AGG [Fig. 6B]. The AT-AC substrates were spliced very inefficiently [Fig. 6B, lanes 7–12]. Both AT-AC substrates shown in Figure 6B were spliced slowly through the first step, but only the AAAA|AT—AC|AAA intermediates were spliced significantly through the second step to make product mRNA. Comparing amounts of intermediates and products strongly suggested that $k_2$ for the AAAA|AT—AC|AAA was higher than for TCTG|AT—AC|AGG, consistent with the in vivo results, but the slow splicing and difficulty of quantitating the RNAs precisely precluded application of our kinetic model.

Kivens and Siliciano [1996] found that mutation of bases upstream of the 3′ splice site improved the second step of splicing of ACT1 AT-AC introns. We used the two-base deletion Δ-9,-10 as an example of this type of mutant. The TCTG|AT—Δ-9,-10—AC|AGG and AAAA|AT—AC|AAA ACT1-CUP1 plasmids conferred similar copper resistance [Fig. 5] and gave similar levels of spliced mRNA [Fig. 6A, lanes 4,7]. We combined the two mutations to make AAAA|AT—Δ-9,-10—AC|AAA. The effects of the two mutations were additive, yielding an AT-AC ACT1-CUP1 substrate that allowed growth up to 500 µM copper [Fig. 5] and produced ~25% as much mRNA as wild-type GT-AG ACT1-CUP1 [Fig. 6A, lane
For GT-AC intron substrates, whose first step proceeds at normal rates (Rymond and Rosbash 1985), practically no intermediates accumulated and mRNA was not detectable (Fig. 6A, lanes 10,11). AT-AC intermediates are likely stabilized by interaction of the terminal bases of the intron (Scadden and Smith 1995; Tarn 1996). Without this interaction the GT-AC intermediates may be degraded early in the second step before the exon mutations affect splicing, or the GT-AC introns may have unfavorable interactions that cannot be overcome by the exon mutations.

Discussion

We have investigated the role of exon bases at the splice junctions in the second step of splicing. Standard models show the bases at the ends of both exons pairing with bases C3U4U5U6 in loop 1 of U5 (Fig. 7A), based primarily on genetic experiments (Newman and Norman 1991, 1992). The hypothesis that Prp18 stabilized the interaction of the exons with U5 during the second step was tested by constructing ACT1-CUP1 reporter libraries in which the exon ends were randomized and screening them in prp18ΔCR and wild-type yeast. Altering the exon sequences had a large effect on splicing in prp18ΔCR yeast but had little effect in wild-type yeast, implying that Prp18 does stabilize the exon–U5 interactions. The characteristics of the Prp18ΔCR protein (Bačíková and Horowitz 2002) imply that the exon sequence dependence in prp18ΔCR splicing results from the absence of a stabilizing force in the spliceosome and is not an effect induced by the mutation. We conclude that the sequence preferences result from normal interactions in the spliceosome that must be stronger in prp18ΔCR spliceosomes to allow the second step to proceed. This idea is strongly supported by the observation that superior exon sequences accelerated the second step of splicing in wild-type spliceosomes with AT-AC pre-mRNAs that undergo the second step slowly. Analysis of 170 exon mutants in prp18ΔCR yeast supports a revised model of the interactions of the exons with U5 during the second reaction (Fig. 7B).

Critical to interpreting the effects we see is our demonstration that the second step of splicing was specifically affected by mutation of the exon sequences. Assays of in vivo splicing provided evidence consistent with specific effects on the second step; relative second-step rates correlated very well with copper resistance. The assay of splicing in vitro was definitive, showing the accumulation of splicing intermediates in the presence of Prp18ΔCR protein or in the absence of Prp18 as well as the slow splicing of the intermediates to mRNA. We used a straightforward kinetic model of splicing (Fig. 3C) to distinguish first- and second-step effects and effects on RNA stability. Estimation of the second-step rate constants in vivo relied on assumptions about decay rates, whereas the in vitro assays showed the second-step defects clearly and allowed calculation of the second-step rate constants. The calculated in vitro and in vivo rate constants for the second step were in excellent agree-
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Figure 7. Interactions between loop 1 of U5 and the splicing intermediates. (A) Standard model of interactions. Loop 1 is shown in black [numbered as in Newman and Norman [1991]] together with part of stem 1. The paths of the splicing intermediates are in gray with bases E₂,₅ through E₂,₄ at the 3’ end of exon1 in blue and bases E₂,₁ through E₂,₃ at the 5’ end of exon2 in magenta. Interactions between loop 1 and exon bases are shown by solid lines. Introns bases are shown in green. (B) Revised model of interactions. At each exon position the preferred base from our prp18ΔCR results is shown with its pairing to U5 indicated. For base +2, A and C were equally good, and its previously inferred pairing to C₃ during the second step is indicated by a dashed line [Newman and Norman 1992].

The mechanism of action of Prp18

The second step of splicing is sensitive to the sequences of the exon ends with Prp18ΔCR or in the absence of Prp18. The exons both interact with loop 1 of U5, and we conclude that the conserved region of Prp18 stabilizes these interactions during the catalytic reaction. The conserved region of Prp18 is composed of 25 nearly invariant amino acids that form part of a flexible loop between two adjoining anti-parallel α-helices [Jiang et al. 2000]. In this study, we determined that the Prp18ΔCR and Prp18 proteins have half-maximal activities at the same concentration, implying that their affinities for the spliceosome are similar. The result confirms the earlier inference that Prp18ΔCR enters the spliceosome normally but lacks a function needed for optimal splicing [Bačíková and Horowitz 2002]. Thus, with Prp18ΔCR or in the absence of Prp18, the strength of other interactions becomes critical for good splicing, and we are able to assay interactions of the exons that are normally masked by the actions of proteins.

Combined with earlier results [Zhang and Schwer 1997; Bačíková and Horowitz 2002, 2005; James et al. 2002], our work leads to a model of Prp18 action in which binding of Prp18 to Slu7 and possibly other components of the spliceosome positions the conserved region of Prp18 to facilitate the second reaction. We previously found that alleles of the U5 gene with the mutation U₄A₄ or A₈C in loop 1 [Fig. 7] suppress the splicing defect of prp18 alleles that encode Prp18 proteins with mutated conserved regions, including prp18ΔCR [Bačíková and Horowitz 2005]. The present work shows directly that Prp18 stabilizes the interaction of the exons with U5. In Prp18ΔCR splicing, bases +1 and −1 are important, as is loop 1 base U₄ [Fig. 7]. The conserved region of Prp18 could interact directly with this central region of the spliceosome, or Prp18 could act less directly, altering the conformation of the spliceosome to stabilize second-step interactions. Prp18 is likely to act together with Prp8 [Teigelkamp et al. 1995; Grainger and Beggs 2005] to hold the exons in position for ligation.

Lariat intermediates did not accumulate to high levels in prp18ΔCR yeast, although intermediate levels varied depending on the rate of the second step. We think that with Prp18ΔCR in the spliceosome, Prp22 binds normally [James et al. 2002]. If the second step is slow, Prp22 can cause disassembly of the spliceosome as part of the proofreading of splicing [Mayas et al. 2006]. To be effective, the speed of the proofreading reaction [k₄] must be a significant fraction of that of the normal second step [k₅]. Hence, if k₄ falls, intermediates will not accumulate to high levels. Consistent with this idea, intermediates accumulate to higher levels in yeast without Prp18 and in yeast with mutants of Prp18 that do not enter the spliceosome than in prp18ΔCR yeast [Bačíková and Horowitz 2005].

Interaction between U5 and the exons during the second step

Absent the stabilizing effect of the conserved loop of Prp18, the strength of the interactions of the exon bases and loop1 of U5 or other spliceosomal components significantly affects the rate of the second step. Analogous effects are seen in wild-type spliceosomes using mutant transcripts. We interpret the differences in second-step rates as indicators of the stability of the interaction of the exons with the spliceosome. Our results are only partly consistent with the standard model of interaction of the exons with U5, and we propose a revised model of these interactions.

Figure 7 shows the standard model of exon interactions [Fig. 7A] and our proposed model with the best bases at each position from our results [Fig. 7B]. Newman and Norman [1991, 1992] proposed the base-pairing model based on compensatory mutations in U5. U5 mutations that restored splicing activity of substrates with a G-to-A mutation at either the 5’ or 3’ end of the intron were identified. Pairing of loop 1 bases 5 and 6 with bases −2 and −3 of exon1 and of bases 3 and 4 with bases +1 and +2 in exon2 accounted well for the results. An additional, inferred pair of exon1 base −1 to U₄ could juxta-
pose the exon ends for ligation. Cross-linking studies support this arrangement of loop 1 and the exons [Wyatt et al. 1992; Sontheimer and Steitz 1993; Newman et al. 1995; O’Keeffe and Newman 1998; McGrail et al. 2006]. The interaction between loop 1 and exon1 is established before the first step of splicing. Exon2 interacts with loop 1 only after the first step, likely following the action of Prp16 (Schwer and Guthrie 1992).

We found that exon bases −1 and +1 at the exon/intron boundaries were the most important and that A was optimal at both positions, consistent with the base-pairing model [Fig. 7A]. The effects of As at +1 and −1 were the clearest in our results, and an A at position −1 was the single most important determinant of good splicing. Our work is the first to show the dominant effect of this base. At position −1, G could base-pair with the U1 snRNA, but this effect is small in yeast [Lesser and Guthrie 1993], and we did not select G in our screens. At position −2, U, which cannot pair with U5, was the consensus winner from the screen and was markedly better than A in many contexts. A was the consensus winner at both positions −3 and −4. Overall, the potential of a 5′ exon end to pair with U5 was correlated with the rate of its splicing, but this explanation is incomplete. Differences between our results and those of the Newman laboratory (Newman and Norman 1991, 1992) might be caused by different contexts of the exon bases studied. More important, the base-pairing of exon1 to U5 assayed in Newman and Norman (1991) occurs before the first catalytic step, whereas the in vitro splicing experiments show that our effects are specific to the second step.

At the 3′ splice site, our results are in reasonable agreement with the standard model. Both our experiments and those of Newman and Norman (1992) measure second-step effects. At position +1, the strong preference for A, as well as the superiority of A and G to U and C, supports the idea of pairing to U4. At position +2, C and A were preferred to the G that could base-pair with C3, although the effect of base +2 was smaller than that of +1. The U2 snRNA may interact with exon2 and could affect the base preferences [Newman et al. 1995; McGrail et al. 2006].

The exons’ geometry before the second reaction apparently creates a conflict: The terminal bases of both exons are proposed to pair with base U4 [Fig. 7A; Newman and Norman 1992]. We find that the identities of bases at the ends of both exons are critical at the time of the second reaction [when Prp18 acts]. Both bases are optimally A, which individually could be interpreted as base-pairing with U4, however, bases +1 and −1 cannot simultaneously pair with U4. Such an interaction would severely distort loop 1 and would not appear to position the exons for the splicing reaction. Our results suggest the revised model of exon arrangement shown in Figure 7B. Following the first step, exon2 moves to interact with loop 1 concomitantly, base −1 displaces base −2 and pairs with base U5, while bases −3 and −4 remain paired, leaving base −2 bulged out of the helix. This model resolves the conflicting interactions with base U4 and explains [1] the preference for U at position −2, because pairing of base −2 to U5 would disfavor this conformation; [2] the weakness of AAAC, because the A3-U3 base pair would be stronger than the C4-U4 pair that would replace it; [3] the selection of As at positions −3 and −4; and [4] the strong preference for A at both exon ends. A key feature of this model is that the exon ends are aligned to form a continuous double helix that positions the 3′ hydroxyl at the end of exon1 to attack the phosphodiester at the junction of the intron and exon2, as in Group I self-splicing [Adams et al. 2004]. Cross-linking experiments suggest that exon1 does not move significantly during splicing [Sontheimer and Steitz 1993; Newman et al. 1995; O’Keeffe and Newman 1998], and the model is consistent with these results. Other models would also resolve the conflicting interaction at base U4: (1) Exon1 could slide one base along the U-stretch of loop 1 without a bulged base, or [2] the terminal base of exon1 could be pushed away from U5 and could interact with other components of the spliceosome so that its 3′ hydroxyl is positioned to attack the phosphodiester linkage at the 3′ splice site. Neither of these models explains our results as completely as the bulged-base model. The interactions we show are likely insufficient by themselves to hold the splicing intermediates, and other spliceosomal components will also be important.

**Splicing of AT-AC introns**

We showed that exon mutations affected wild-type splicing of an inefficiently spliced ACT1-CUP1 mutant in which the 5′ end of the intron was changed to AT and the 3′ end to AC. In yeast, AT-AC pre-mRNAs are spliced by the U1–U2 spliceosome [Burge et al. 1998], and both steps of splicing are slow [Parker and Siliciano 1993; Chanfreau et al. 1994]. We found that the second step of splicing of AT-AC pre-mRNAs was specifically accelerated by altering its exon ends to superior sequences chosen from our results with *ppr18ΔCR* yeast. Inferior exon sequences did not improve the splicing of the AT-AC substrates. The AT-AC results show that the same interactions that we found were important in *ppr18ΔCR* spliceosomes are present in wild-type spliceosomes and can be important in wild-type splicing. Reducing the stability of the spliceosome either by deleting the conserved region of Prp18 or by altering the terminal Gs of the intron can be compensated by better exon sequences that restore stability by increasing the strength of the exons’ interactions with U5.

Kivens and Siliciano (1996) found that a variety of mutations in the intron just upstream of the 3′ splice site improved the second step of splicing of AT-AC pre-mRNAs. Individually, our exon mutations or this type of intron mutation increased the rate of the second step by fivefold to 10-fold, and in combination the enhancements were additive, increasing the rate by 50-fold. The result suggests, but does not prove, that the two types of mutations affect the second step by different mechanisms. Splicing of the best AT-AC pre-mRNA yields ~25% as much mRNA as wild-type ACT1-CUP1, suggesting that *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* pre-mRNAs
could have AT-AC introns, although none is known (Spingola et al. 1999). The combined mutations can defeat the proofreading mechanisms that would normally exclude substrates without GT-AG boundaries. In this view, the strength of the interactions between the pre-mRNA and the spliceosome is modulated to allow efficient splicing without compromising proofreading processes.

ATP hydrolysis by Prp22 causes release of the mRNA after splicing (Schwer and Gross 1998) and could dissemble slow spliceosomes to abrogate splicing of premRNAs with GT-AC introns [Mayas et al. 2006]. The duplex formed by loop 1 of U5 with the intermediates or the mRNA is one suggested target of the Prp22 helicase (Schneider et al. 2004). By strengthening the interactions of loop 1 with the intermediates, our exon mutations could slow the action of Prp22, giving a common mechanistic basis for the effects of our exon mutations on AT-AC intron splicing and the Prp22 effects on GT-AC introns.

In our AT-AC splicing experiments, the splicing of a very poor substrate was improved by alteration of the exon sequences. The splicing machinery of higher eukaryotes is much more flexible than S. cerevisiae’s, and the influence of the exon sequences could be significant. Better exon sequences could compensate for poorer 3’ splice sites or for more distant branch sites. In in vitro models of Drosophila sx1 splicing, the choice between alternative splice sites is made during the second step (Lallena et al. 2002), and exon sequences could be influential in this kind of selection.

Materials and methods

Yeast

YLC10 [prp18::KAN\textsuperscript{N}] was made from the copper reporter strain L5 [MAT\textsuperscript{a} cup14::ura3 leu2 trp1ura3-52 lys2 his3 ade\textsuperscript{2}] (from J. Pan and C. Guthrie, University of California at San Francisco, San Francisco, CA) [Lesser and Guthrie 1993; Umen and Guthrie 1995] by disrupting PRP18 with K\textsuperscript{N} (Bačíková and Horowitz 2005). Wild-type splicing extracts (Umen and Guthrie 1995) were made from BJ2168 [MAT\textsuperscript{a} leu2 trp1ura3-52 prb1-1122 pep4-3 prc1-407 gal2] [from B. Schwer, Weill Medical College of Cornell University, New York, NY] or CB018a [MAT\textsuperscript{a} pep4\textsuperscript{Δ}::HIS3 prb1::hisG prc1\textsuperscript{Δ}::hisG can1-100 ade2-1\textsuperscript{m} his3-11,15 leu2-3,112 trp1-1 ura3-1] [from L. Parkinson and B. Fuller, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI] yeast. Extracts lacking Prp18 were made from CB018aΔ18, in which PRP18 was replaced with prp18::KAN\textsuperscript{N}.

Plasmids

The libraries of exon mutants were made in the ACT1-CUP1 plasmid pGAC14 [Lesser and Guthrie 1993]. pGAC14-Mlu was made by changing the last four bases in the ACT1 exon1 to ACAGC to create an MluI site. The last four bases of ACT1 exon1 in pGAC14-Mlu were randomized by QuikChange Mutagenesis (Stratagene). The mutagenized pool was cut with MluI (to reduce background) before transformation into Escherichia coli. Approximately 8000 colonies were combined to make the random library. The first three bases of exon2 were randomized using a similar procedure with SstI. The two libraries were recombined to make the large library using the Xhol and BstXI sites in pGAC14. For unknown reasons, the library has an A bias and is ∼40% A overall. Additional exon mutant plasmids were made by standard techniques.

Assay of in vivo splicing

YLC10 transformed with plasmids bearing a wild-type or mutant allele of PRP18 was used for most copper assays. L5 was used for AT-AC intron assays. Yeast were grown at 34°C, a semipermissive temperature for prp18\textsuperscript{Δ}CR yeast (Bačíková and Horowitz 2002). Copper resistance was determined by streaking or spotting yeast on selective media with different concentrations of CuSO\textsubscript{4} ([Lesser and Guthrie 1993]). For spotting, yeast were disaggregated with EGTA, which did not affect the copper assay. Screens of libraries were done by replica plating at different copper concentrations (Supplementary Table 1). For primer extensions, RNA was prepared from yeast grown at 32°C by hot-phenol extraction (Collart and Oliviero 2000). The ACT1-CUP1 primer in exon2 from Siatecka et al. (1999) was used, and the U14 primer U14-14B from Kivens and Siliciano (1996), for normalization of RNA amounts.

In vitro splicing and purification of Prp18\textsuperscript{Δ}CR

ACT1-CUP1 pre-mRNA did not splice well in vitro. We made a plasmid that allowed transfer of act1 sequences into a vector for splicing. pDKSa2 was made by introducing a KpnI site into the 3’ splice site of the SP6-actin plasmid [Lin et al. 1985] seven bases downstream from the 3’ splice site [as in pGAC14], and converting the Accl site 97 base pairs (bp) downstream from the 3’ splice site to an Ndel site [because an inverted repeat in the transcript inhibited splicing]. Transcripts of pDKSa2/Ndel were well and yielded very stable products. For the plasmids for in vitro assay, act1 sequences were amplified by PCR, cut with BamHI and KpnI, and cloned into pDKSa2.

Splicing was carried out at 28°C [for AT-AC pre-mRNAs] or 32°C [for GT-AG pre-mRNAs] under standard conditions (Lin et al. 1985). One nanogram of Prp18 or Prp18\textsuperscript{Δ}CR per microliter of CBO18aΔ18 extract was added where indicated. Both Prp18 and Prp18\textsuperscript{Δ}CR gave maximum activity at ∼0.05 ng/µL of extract and half-maximal activity at 0.05 ng/µL, similar to previous work (Jiang et al. 2000). Twofold more protein did not increase activity.

Prp18\textsuperscript{Δ}CR was expressed in E. coli at 21°C, which was fractionated by ammonium sulfate precipitation, and was purified to near homogeneity by chromatography on HS20 (sulfonic acid) at pH 6.3 followed by HQ20 [quaternary imine] at pH 8.0. Both Prp18 and Prp18\textsuperscript{Δ}CR gave a single sharp peak at ∼55,000 on gel filtration columns [Horowitz and Abelson 1993b].
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