Tunable Cavity Optomechanics with Ultracold Atoms
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We present an atom-chip-based realization of quantum cavity optomechanics with cold atoms localized within a Fabry-Perot cavity. Effective sub-wavelength positioning of the atomic ensemble allows for tuning the linear and quadratic optomechanical coupling parameters, varying the sensitivity to the displacement and strain of a compressible gaseous cantilever. We observe effects of such tuning on cavity optical nonlinearity and optomechanical frequency shifts, providing their first characterization in the quadratic-coupling regime.

Experimental realizations of cavity optomechanics, wherein the motion of a mechanically compliant cantilever is measured by its interaction with an electromagnetic resonator, serve as paradigms for understanding open quantum systems and the limits of quantum measurement. In most realizations, cavity optomechanical phenomena, such as optical cooling and confinement of the cantilever or optical nonlinearity, arise from the dominant linear coupling between cavity photons and the cantilever position. Recent experiments on thin SiN membranes positioned within a Fabry-Perot cavity have highlighted the new capabilities afforded by quadratic optomechanical coupling, such as measurement of the cantilever energy and of phonon shot noise.

Here, we demonstrate a tunable cavity optomechanical system constructed by integrating a microfabricated atom chip with a Fabry-Perot optical resonator. We tune the optomechanical sensitivity to the displacement and the strain of an atomic ensemble, arising from linear and quadratic optomechanical coupling, respectively, by positioning cold atoms with nm-scale precision within the resonator mode. The ensemble thereby serves as the quantum analogue of the SiN membranes used in recent experiments. We study effects of tunable coupling on optomechanical bistability and the optomechanical frequency shift, providing the first characterization of optomechanical effects in the quadratic-coupling regime. The agreement between our measurements and theory establishes the equivalence of cavity optomechanical systems using either solid- or gas-phase cantilevers.

We begin by adapting recent theoretical descriptions of cavity optomechanics using cold atoms to highlight the new capabilities presented in this work. Consider the motion of \( N \) identical atoms along the axis of a Fabry-Perot optical resonator and confined within a harmonic potential with mechanical frequency \( \omega_z \) and centered at position \( z_0 \). The interaction of atom \( i \) at \( z_i = z_0 + \delta z_i \) with the cavity field is characterized by the angular frequency \( g(z_i) = g_0 \sin(\phi_0 + k_p \delta z_i) \), where \( \phi_0 = k_p z_0 \), \( k_p \) is the resonant cavity wavevector and \( g_0 \) is determined by the cavity mode volume, the optical frequency, and atomic dipole matrix elements. Assuming the detuning \( \Delta_{ca} = \omega_c - \omega_a \) between the cavity (\( \omega_c \)) and the atomic-electronic (\( \omega_a \)) resonance frequencies is large, we retain the dispersive atoms-cavity interaction, and expand to second order in the Lamb-Dicke parameters \( k_p \delta z_i << 1 \), obtaining the following interaction Hamiltonian:

\[
\mathcal{H} \simeq \hbar \Delta_N^{(0)} - F Z_{cm} \sin 2\phi_0 - F k_p (Z_{cm}^2 + \sigma^2) \cos 2\phi_0 \mathrm{i}.
\]

Here, the zero-order term defines the static dispersive shift of the cavity resonance, \( \Delta^{(0)}_N = N (g_0^2/\Delta_{ca}) \sin^2 \phi_0 \), with all atoms held at the trap center. The next term describes linear optomechanical coupling to the ensemble center of mass \( Z_{cm} \), acted upon by the per-photon force defined as \( F = N \hbar k_p g_0^2 / \Delta_{ca} \). The second-order term describes quadratic optomechanical coupling. We identify both a quadratic sensitivity to the cantilever mode and also a coupling to the position variance \( \sigma^2 \) of the atomic medium. The relative strength of the linear and quadratic couplings is controlled by the position of the ensemble along the cavity axis.

In previous experiments, the broad spatial extent of the atomic ensemble suppressed the quadratic optomechanical coupling, while maintaining a linear coupling to phonon-like perturbations of the trapped gas. Here, we overcome this limitation by localizing the gas within a sub-micron region positioned variably along the cavity axis. Such localization is achieved using a combination of magnetic and optical traps produced using an atom chip (Fig. 1). This chip is fabricated by embedding 75-μm-thick electroplated copper electromagnet wires into a 500-μm thick silicon substrate. These electromagnets are used to trap ^87^Rb atoms and to transport them 2.2 cm along the chip surface into the mode-volume of a Fabry-Perot optical resonator, formed by curved mirrors placed above and below the chip surface. At the location of the cavity, the substrate is thinned to 100 μm, allowing the mirrors to be spaced by 250 μm, and a 200 × 400 μm rectangular hole is etched through the chip to allow the cavity field to propagate unabstructed.

The cavity, with Gaussian mode waist of 25 μm, is stabilized with one of its TEM₀₀ resonances near the ^87^Rb D2 transition, at wavenumber \( k_p = 2\pi/(780 \text{ nm}) \). For this mode, the cavity finesse of \( 1.7 \times 10^7 \) results
from transmissions of 1.5 and 12 ppm through the input and output mirrors, respectively, and additional losses of 26 ppm. An atom at the antinode of the cavity field, driven at the atomic resonance, experiences a coupling of $g_0 = 2\pi \times 13.1$ MHz, giving a single-atom cooperativity of $g_0^2 / 2\kappa \Gamma = 16$ where $\kappa = 2\pi \times 1.8$ MHz and $\Gamma = 2\pi \times 3$ MHz are the cavity and the atomic half line-widths, respectively.

Once within the cavity, the magnetically trapped gas, with rms width less than 400 nm along the cavity axis, is transferred into the wells of a one-dimensional optical lattice trap formed by light with wavenumber $k_t = 2\pi / (845 \text{ nm})$ driving a second TEM$_{00}$ cavity mode [15, 17]. This transfer is accomplished by ramping the optical lattice trap depth to 10 $\mu$K before decompressing and switching off the magnetic trap. The lattice depth is lowered to 1.5 $\mu$K to cool the atomic gas further, and then raised to provide a tunable axial oscillation frequency. The wells of this lattice trap serve as the near-harmonic mechanical potential for the several-thousand-atom ensembles used in our realization of cavity optomechanics. Time-of-flight temperature measurements on atoms released from the lattice imply an axial ground state occupation of $> 90\%$. Thus, our system realizes tunable cavity optomechanics in the quantum regime.

The position of the ensemble within the resonator is visible in the atom-induced shift of the cavity resonance, measured via the transmission of a low-power probe beam swept across the cavity resonance (Fig. 2). As expected, this frequency shift oscillates sinusoidally with the ensemble position with a spatial period $\pi / (k_p - k_t) = 5 \mu$m.

The contrast of this oscillation provides information on the distribution of atoms within the intracavity optical lattice. Assuming the axial density distribution of atoms within a lattice site to be Gaussian with rms width $\sigma = \sqrt{\hbar / 2m\omega_z}$, atoms in a single lattice site shift the cavity resonance by [18]

$$\Delta_N = N \frac{g_0^2}{2\Delta_{ca}} \left( 1 - e^{-2k_p^2\sigma^2} \cos 2\phi_0 \right). \tag{1}$$

The measured contrast is lower than this single-site limit, indicating that atoms are distributed among neighboring wells of the optical lattice. Assuming a Gaussian distribution of atoms among wells reduces the contrast by $e^{-2(k_x - k)^2\Sigma^2}$, we estimate the atoms to be divided among wells spanning $\Sigma \lesssim 400 \text{ nm}$ along the cavity axis; that is, the ensemble is not confined to a single lattice site, though the majority of atoms occupy no more than two sites. We nevertheless achieve fine control of the optomechanical coupling owing to the near-equivalence of neighboring lattice sites, between which $\phi_0$ varies only by 0.26 rad. Thus, by using two similar wavelengths for the optical trapping and the probe light we parlay the moderate confinement of our chip-based magnetic trap to achieve sub-wavelength control of the gas-phase cantilever.

We use this tunable system to study the influence of variable linear and quadratic coupling parameters on two optomechanical effects. We consider first the cavity-optical nonlinearity that arises from steady-state modification of the atomic cantilever in response to the optical potential from an average of $\bar{n}$ probe photons within the cavity. This potential both displaces the center of mass and also changes the rms width of the compressible ensemble. These variations, ascribed to the linear and the quadratic optomechanical coupling, respectively, affect the atom-induced shift $\Delta_N(\bar{n})$ of the optical cavity resonance, now a function of $\bar{n}$, according to Eq. [4]. The value of $\bar{n}$ is determined self-consistently from the Lorentzian cavity response function, $\bar{n} = \bar{n}_{\text{max}}(1 + \Delta^2 / \kappa^2)^{-1}$ where $\Delta = \Delta_{pc} - \Delta_N(\bar{n})$ is the detuning of the probe from the atoms-shifted cavity resonance, and $\bar{n}_{\text{max}}$ characterizes...
the incident probe power.

The cavity nonlinearity is characterized using two approaches. In the first, after preparing the atomic cantilever, we measure the cavity transmission of a constant-power probe as its frequency was swept slowly back and forth across the cavity resonance. The cavity transmission lineshapes show characteristic signs of cavity nonlinearity: displacement from the low-power cavity resonance, asymmetry, and, for sufficiently intense probe light, hysteresis signifying the mechanical bistability of the cavities in resonance. The cavity transmission lineshapes show characteristic signs of cavity nonlinearity: displacement from the low-power cavity resonance, asymmetry, and, for sufficiently intense probe light, hysteresis signifying the mechanical bistability of the cavities in resonance.

As expected in the Lamb-Dicke regime \( k_p \sigma \ll 1 \), as the probe power is raised, the onset of cavity nonlinearity occurs first for atoms at the location of maximum linear optomechanical coupling (\( \phi_0 = \pi/4 \)). For atoms centered at the node and antinode of the probe field, residual linear coupling [19] provides a weaker nonlinear optical response at low probe powers. At higher probe powers, quadratic optomechanical coupling dominates and leads to a novel form of optomechanical cavity nonlinearity stemming from variation in the strain of the compressible cantilever. The strength of this strain-based nonlinearity depends on the ratio \( \eta \) of the maximum curvatures of the probe-induced and mechanical potentials acting on the atoms, given as \( \eta = 4 \sqrt{g_0^2 \omega_r / (\Delta_{\text{ca}} \omega_r^2)} \) where \( \omega_r = \hbar k_p^2 / 2m \) is the recoil frequency. For \( \Delta_{\text{ca}} < 0 \), the optical forces due to the probe field at \( \phi_0 = 0 \) subtract from the mechanical confinement of the trapping light, causing large shifts of the cavity resonance as the ensemble widens away from the field node; at \( \eta = 1 \), the confinement to the field node is eliminated altogether. In contrast, for atoms positioned at the probe-field antinode (\( \phi_0 = \pi/2 \)), additional compression imparts only slight additional shifts to the resonance, reducing the nonlinear response.

A more quantitative measure of the cavity nonlinearity was obtained by a second technique, in which \( \bar{n} \) was stabilized by feedback to the frequency of the cavity probe, fixing this frequency at a known amount from \( \Delta_N (\bar{n}) \). The cavity resonance shifts measured in this manner (Fig. 4) are in good agreement with calculations that account for the measured distribution of atoms among lattice sites.

We now turn to measurements of a dynamical optomechanical effect, the optomechanical frequency shift. We distinguish between two types of frequency shift. Considering again atoms trapped within a single lattice site, a “dynamic” frequency shift arises dominantly from linear optomechanical coupling. In a cavity probed away from the cavity resonance, the mean intracavity photon number varies linearly for small displacements of \( Z_{\text{cm}} \), yielding an additional “optical spring” \( 0 [20] \) with spring constant \( K_d = [2F^2 \bar{n} \Delta / (\Delta^2 + \kappa^2)] \) \( \sin (2\phi_0) \). An additional “static” frequency shift arises from the quadratic optomechanical coupling, representing the added trap curvature from a constant-intensity intracavity probe field and quantified by the spring constant \( K_s = 2k_p F \bar{n} \cos (2\phi_0) \).

We note that the static frequency shift applies to the...
motion of each individual atom in the ensemble, and therefore to all axial mechanical modes, including the linearly coupled mode. In contrast, the latter mode is uniquely influenced by the dynamic optomechanical frequency shift. This contrast allows us to distinguish experimentally between the two types of shift.

To observe the static frequency shift, we measured the resonant atomic oscillation frequency via parametric heating of the ensemble (Fig. 5). With probe light stabilized at fixed \( \bar{n} \) and \( \Delta \), we modulated the trap laser intensity at fixed frequency and then measured the fraction of atoms heated out of the optical trap by absorption imaging. Such modulation drives all mechanical modes, the influence of the linearly coupled mode being negligible with large \( N \). Therefore, the dominant atom loss is observed at the frequency \( 2\sqrt{\omega_s^2 + K_s/(Nm)} \).

Alternately, we selectively detected the linearly coupled mode by monitoring temporal variations of the light transmitted through the cavity. Here, this mechanical mode is driven by technical and quantum noise effects remains poorly understood.

Our tunable cavity optomechanical system lies deeply in the quantum regime, as the cantilever is prepared near its ground state and its motion is dominated by quantum radiation pressure fluctuations. This capability should enable future studies of quantum optomechanical effects and of the role of linear and quadratic coupling in such phenomena. This platform also offers the possibility to enter the single-photon strong optomechanical coupling regime [15, 21], in which the nature of optomechanical effects remains poorly understood.
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