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ABSTRACT Frequency diverse array (FDA) radar have attracted great interests due to the range-angle-dependent transmit beampattern which is different from phased array radar providing only angle-dependent transmit beampattern. In this paper, we firstly proposed a receiver processing strategy based on signal separation method which eliminates the need for employing a bank of bandpass filters at the receiver of FDA radar. In the proposed separation scheme, the received signal at each receiving element was separated into \( M \) channels, where \( M \) represents the transmitting element number. After time-invariant processing of the separated signal, the angle and range were estimated by two-stage multiple signal classification (MUSIC) algorithm. For velocity estimation, we proposed a novel unambiguous velocity estimation algorithm. This novel algorithm was implemented to calculate the phase of each element and then the differential phase within the adjacent elements is calculated. The velocity of the target was estimated by the differential phase. This mechanism for extending the Nyquist velocity range is that the differential phase of the two adjacent channels has a much smaller variance than the individual channel phase estimated. All estimated parameter performance is verified by analyzing the Cramèr-Rao lower bound (CRLB) and the root mean square errors (RMSE).

INDEX TERMS Frequency diverse array (FDA) radar, signal separation, parameters estimation, target localization, fraction Fourier transform (FRFT).

I. INTRODUCTION

Phased array radar lies at the heart of many aspects of multi-target detection and interference suppression because it provides directional gain by steering its beam electronically. However, the drawback of the phased array is the range-independent transmit beampattern. The range-dependent beampattern plays a crucial role in mitigating the range interference and distinguishing the different range target in the desired direction. Fortunately, frequency diversity array (FDA) radar has received much attention in recent years due to its range-angle-dependent transmit beampattern properties since it was firstly proposed by Antonik et al. [1]. Differing from the traditional phased-array radar providing only angle-dependent transmit beampattern, the FDA radar applies a small frequency increment between the transmit array elements which enable the array beam to scan without the need for expensive phase shifters. The FDA radar beampattern properties have many potential applications in radar anti-jamming, joint multi-parameter estimation, low probability of identification, cognitive radar, and electronic counter-countermeasures [2]–[4]. In 2012, Jones and Rigling [5] proposed three FDA receiver architectures, namely, band-limited coherent receiver, full-band pseudo-coherent receiver, and full-band coherent receiver, respectively. The full-band coherent architecture is widely used because it could process the information and energy of all the signal efficiently. However, this receiver architecture contains a filter bank at each receive element and each filter bank contains \( M \) narrowband filters which only see the signal with the same frequency. A novel receiver architecture [6] and a range-angle matched receiver [7] were proposed based on the full-band coherent receiver. However, these architectures are assumed that the frequency non-overlapping...
waveforms of each transmit element. Cui et al. [8] utilized the frequency analysis method by taking discrete frequency transform (DFT), but this method is not suitable for wideband signals with overlapping spectrum such as linear frequency modulated (LFM) signal which is widely used in radar and communications.

More recently, there has been a growing number of researched focusing on FDA radar, especially in range-angle beampattern decoupling and beamforming and joint range-angle estimation of the target. For decoupling range-angle transmit pattern, some nonuniform frequency increment methods were investigated. Reference [9] proposed a rang-angle beam decoupled method with logarithmically increasing frequency offset. In [10], a random logarithmically increasing frequency offset was proposed to achieve a nonperiodic beampattern. In [11], a combining index modulation architecture with FDA was explored to decouple the range-angle beampattern with only one single peak. Reference [12] proposed an adaptively nonlinear optimal frequency increment selection method which can form a single peak beam at the target location. For beamforming of FDA radar, previous investigations have focused on the dot-shaped beamforming [13], [14]. Reference [15] build a closed-form and range-angle decoupled mathematical and designed a mathematically optimal method for FDA focusing beamforming. However, this dot-shaped beampattern is not suitable for range deceptive jamming suppression. To overcome this drawback, Liao et al. [16] proposed a weight designing technology to control the null distribution among range cells in the main beam.

When a lot of research on FDA rang-angle beampattern, there is also some research on joint range-angle estimation of FDA radar. Wang and Shao [17] proposed a simple and practical joint angle and range estimation method of transmitting double-pulse. The first pulse with zero frequency increment is used to estimate the direction of arrival and the second pulse with uniform frequency increment to estimate the range. However, this method didn’t consider the full-band coherent receiver with full utilized spatial information. Subsequently, some research of joint range-angle estimation method with estimating signal parameters via rotational invariance techniques (ESPRIT) or multiple signal classification (MUSIC) algorithm have been explored [18], [19]. Tang et al. [20] proposed a girdles compressed sensing algorithm to joint estimate range-angle. Reference [21] estimated the range by applying standard spectral analysis and posed the angle estimation as a variant of the block sparse signal recovery problem. Xu et al. [22] transformed the joint range and angle estimation issues into a spectrum estimation problems and the minimum variance distortionless response (MVDR) algorithm used to jointly estimate the target range and angle. Wang et al. [23] designed a unfolded coprime array framework for FDA-MIMO radar, and constructed the joint angle and range estimation problem as a 2D-MUSIC spatial spectrum problem. Mu and Song [24] proposed a novel time-reversal approach for range-angle estimation. However, these parameter estimation methods assume that the FDA receiver is a full-band coherent architecture for the monochromatic signal model and the receiving beampattern is time-invariant. Xu et al. [25], Xu and Xu [26] proposed an effective approach to resolve the time-varying issue of pulsed-FDA radar at the receiver and synthesize range-angle-dependent beampattern. References [27] and [28] were studied a time-modulated optimal frequency increment offset to obtain a time-independent transmit beampattern. Although a lot of research on angle and range estimation of FDA radar, there is little published information on the unambiguous velocity estimation of FDA radar. Recently, there has been some interest in robust radial estimation for FDA synthetic aperture radar (SAR). In 2019, Guo et al. [29] concentrated on a robust method on the condition of image coregistration and channel phase errors for FDA-SAR radar and He et al. [30] demonstrated a robust radial velocity estimation algorithm based on joint pixel normalized sample covariance matrix and shift vector. Unfortunately, these methods illustrated above are applied only to SAR radar and have higher computational complexity. Venkatesh et al. [31] presented a frequency diversity pulse pairs (FDPP) algorithm which is transmitted two short pulses with different carrier frequencies in sequence and exchanged the order of the pulse pairs in the next pulse repetition interval. Although the FDPP algorithm improves performance for maximum unambiguous velocity (MUV), it also results in the problem of non-uniform sampling and unable in FDA radar.

For the problems illustrated above, in this paper, we firstly proposed a signal separation-based method to obtain coherent receive signals. Unlike full-band coherent receiver architecture, the received signal after mixing of each receiving element was separated into M channel signals where M represents transmit element number. In order to obtain the time-invariant transmit-receive beampattern, the time-varying term in each separated signal can be eliminated with a designated mixer. Therefore, we no longer need to use sets of bandpass filters. The separated signals corresponding to the reference transmitting frequency were used to estimate the angle information by the MUSIC algorithm. The estimated angle was taken into the beampattern for range calculation. In addition, we derived a novel unambiguous velocity estimation formula which is not limited to the radar wavelength.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section ii introduces the signal model of FDA radar and the fraction Fourier transform. Approaches to separate and reconstruct of received signal and estimation of angle, range, and velocity are explored in Section iii. Section iv gave the numerical simulation and experimental results. Finally, conclusions are drawn in Section v.

II. FDA RADAR SIGNAL MODEL AND FRFT
A. FDA SIGNAL MODEL
Different from the traditional phased-array radar, the frequency diversity array radar adds a frequency increment $\Delta f$
For simplicity, suppose the radian frequency of the first element is the reference carrier frequency. The geometry of a frequency diversity array system with M transmitting elements and N receiving elements is shown in Fig. 1. Assuming that the uniform transmitting and receiving element spacing is $d$. The transmitted signal of the m-th element can be expressed as:

$$s_m(t) = a_m \exp(j2\pi f_m t) e(t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

where $a_m$ is a complex weighting factor that represents the unit-energy waveform of the n-th transmitting and propagation effects that may be neglected (i.e., $a_m = 1$) for our purposes and $e(t) = \exp(j\pi \mu t^2)$ is the linear frequency modulated (LFM) signal and $\mu$ is the chirp rate. Considering a far-field point located at $(\theta, r)$, where $\theta$ and $r$ represent the direction of arrival and the slant range of the target, respectively. The received echo by the n-th receiving element through target backscattering can be expressed as Eq. (3):

$$x_n(t, r, \theta) = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} q \exp(j2\pi f_m(t - \tau_{nm})) e(t - \tau_{nm}) + n_n(t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

where $n_n(t)$ represents the additive white Gaussian noise of the n-th receiving element and $q$ denotes the complex amplitudes of the target signal. Where $\tau_{nm}$ represents the time delay from the m-th transmitting element to the target and returning to the n-th receiving element, it can be written as:

$$\tau_{nm} = \frac{2r - md \sin \theta - nd \sin \theta}{c}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

For simplicity, suppose $e(t - \tau_{nm}) \approx e(t - \tau)$, where $\tau$ denotes the signal propagation time-delay of the reference element. After down-conversion with the frequency $f_0$, the received signal of the n-th receiving element can be expressed as:

$$\tilde{x}_n(t, r, \theta) = [a_m(\theta) a_t(\theta, r)] c(t) + n_n(t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)$$

where

$$c(t) = q \exp\left(j2\pi f_0 \frac{2\tau}{c} \right) \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \{e(t - \tau) \exp(j2\pi m \Delta f t)\}$$

$$a_t(\theta, r) = \left[1, \cdots, \exp\left(j2\pi \left(\frac{f_0 nd \sin \theta - 2rm \Delta f}{c}\right)\right)\right]^T$$

$$a_{mr}(\theta) = \exp\left(j2\pi f_0 \frac{nd \sin \theta}{c}\right) \text{ones}(1, M)$$

Note that $f_0 \gg \Delta f$, $a_{mr}(\theta)$ is an approximate expression. The received signals of all receiving elements expressed as:

$$X_{\text{observe}}(t, r, \theta) = [\tilde{x}_1, \cdots, \tilde{x}_n, \cdots, \tilde{x}_N]^T$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)$$

where $X_{\text{observe}} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times L}$, $N$ denotes the receiving element number and $L$ denotes the snapshot number.

### B. Signal Property

For FDA radar, the received signal of each receiving element are the sum of all echo signals which was transmitted from each transmitting element. After down-conversion of the receiving signal with the local frequency $f_0$, the signal can be regarded as the superposition of multiple LFM signals. For frequency non-overlapping LFM the frequency increment $\Delta f$ is larger than the bandwidth $B$ of the LFM signal, these signals can be separated by match filter of full-band coherent receiver [5] or discrete frequency transform (DFT) analysis [8]. However, for frequency overlapping LFM signal whose frequency increment $\Delta f$ is less than or equal to the bandwidth $B$ of the LFM signal, the match filter of the full-band coherent receiver and DFT technology is incapable. The fraction Fourier transform (FRFT) can be interpreted as a rotation of signals in the time-frequency plane and serves as an orthonormal representation for chirp signals [31]. In this paper, the received echo signal of each receiving element is processed by down-conversion with the local frequency $f_0$, analog-to-digital conversion, and signal separation with FRFT. The general processing sketch is shown in Fig. 2.

### C. FRFT Signal Separation

The fraction Fourier transform (FRFT) of a signal $h(t)$ is defined as:

$$H_p(u) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(t) \kappa_p(u, t) dt$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)$$

where $\kappa_p(u, t)$ is the transform kernel, and if $\alpha \neq k \pi$, $\kappa_p(u, t) = A_u \exp[j\pi[(u^2 + t^2) \cot \alpha - 2ut \csc \alpha]]$; if $\alpha = 2k \pi$, $\kappa_p(u, t) = \delta(t - u)$; if $\alpha = (2k + 1) \pi$, $\kappa_p(u, t) = \delta(t - u)$. Where $A_u = \sqrt{(1 - j \cot \alpha) / \pi}$, $\alpha = p \pi / 2$ indicates the rotation angle of the transformed signal for FRFT, $p$ is the transform order of the FRFT and a real number, and the FRFT operator is designated by $H_p$. For an LFM signal $h(t) = A \exp[j\pi(2fo_0 + kt^2)]$, when $\alpha \neq k \pi$, the FRFT of LFM signal can be expressed as:

$$H_p(u) = AA_u \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp[j\pi(2fo_0 - u \csc \alpha) t + (k + \cot \alpha) t^2 + u^2 \cot \alpha] dt$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)$$
When \( \alpha = \arccot(-k) \), and \( h(t) \) is an infinite signal, the formula degenerates to

\[
H_p(u) = A A_\alpha \exp \left( j \pi u^2 \cot \alpha \right) \delta(f_0 - u \csc \alpha)
\]  

When \( f_0 = \mu \csc \alpha \), the formula above is a Dirac function \( \delta \). For the received signal of each receiving element after mixing in this paper, each LFM signal component has the same chirp rate and difference initial frequency. The FRFT of these signals will have the same optimal rotation angle and will accrue multiple peaks which represent different initial frequency. Fig.3 shows three LFM signals with the same chirp rate and different initial frequency in time-domain and frequency-domain and fraction-domain. This Figure reveals that the multicomponent LFM signal with different peak in optimal fraction plane making signal separation possible.

Assuming that the separated signal in optimal fraction plane is \( H_{np} \), the reconstructed signal can be derived by inverse FRFT of \( H_{np} \) as:

\[
h_n(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} H_{np}(u) \kappa_p(t, u) du
\]  

III. RANGE, ANGLE, AND VELOCITY ESTIMATION

The FDA radar results in a time-variant range-angle-dependent transmit/receive beampattern because of frequency increment. However, the time-varying characteristics of beampattern increase the difficulty of FDA radar in beam steering and parameter estimation in snapshot. In this paper, a phase compensation approach is proposed to solve the time-varying problem of receiving signals. With the FRFT separation algorithm, the signal received by each receiving element is independently separated. The separated n-th signal of the m-th receiver channel can be expressed as:

\[
\hat{x}_{nm}(t) = qe(t - \tau) \exp(j2\pi m\Delta f t) a_r(\theta, r) a_r(\theta, n)
\]  

where \( a_r(\theta, r) = \exp(j2\pi(\hat{\omega}_0 \sin \theta - 2m \Delta f)) \) and \( a_r(\theta) = \exp(j2\pi f_0 \sin \theta/c) \). \( \hat{x}_{nm}(t) \) is mixed with the m-th mixer whose local frequency is \( m\Delta f \) in accordance. Therefore, \( \hat{x}_{nm}(t) \) is converted into \( \hat{x}_{nm}(t) \) which can be expressed as:

\[
\hat{x}_{nm} = \hat{x}_{nm}(t) \times \exp(-j2\pi m\Delta f t)
\]  

\[
= qe(t - \tau_{nm}) a_r(\theta, r) a_r(\theta, n)
\]  

From this, we can see that the time-varying term in the steering vector is removed in this procedure. After separation and time-invariant processing, the rearranged echo signals can be rewritten as:

\[
X = [\hat{x}_{11}, \cdots, \hat{x}_{1M}, \hat{x}_{21}, \cdots, \hat{x}_{2M}, \cdots, \hat{x}_{NM}]
\]  

where \( M \) represents the transmitting element number and \( N \) represents the receiving element number, the matrix \( X \in \mathbb{C}^{NM \times L} \), and \( L \) represents the snapshot number. The received signal can be denoted as the matrix:

\[
X = A(\theta, r) e(t - \tau) + N
\]  

where \( A \in \mathbb{C}^{NM \times 1} \) is the steering vector matrix, and \( N \) is the noise matrix.

\[
A(\theta, r) = [a_r(\theta) \otimes a_r(\theta, r)]^T
\]  

where \( a_r(\theta) = \left[1, \cdots, \exp(j2\pi f_0 (N-1) \sin \theta)\right] \) denotes the steering matrix, \( \otimes \) denotes the Kronecker product operator.

A. ANGLE ESTIMATION

The target direction of arrival can be estimated by utilizing the received echo signals which are radiated from the same transmitting element. In this section, we selected the rearranged signals, which are radiated from the reference element, \( \hat{X} = [\hat{x}_{11}, \hat{x}_{21}, \cdots, \hat{x}_{N1}] \) can be rewritten as:

\[
\hat{X} = a^T r(\theta) qe(t - \tau) + \hat{N}
\]
For independent target signal and noise, the covariance matrix of the separated signal matrix can be written as:

\[ R_{\tilde{X}} = E \left[ \tilde{X} \tilde{X}^H \right] = ARSA^H + \sigma^2 I_N \]  

(17)

where \( R_{\tilde{X}} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N} \) and \( R_s \) is the signal covariance matrix. For independent target signal and noise, the covariance matrix \( R_{\tilde{X}} \) can be decomposed as:

\[ R_{\tilde{X}} = U_S \Lambda_S U_S^H + U_n \Lambda_n U_n^H \]  

(18)

where the \( U_S \) and \( U_n \) denote the eigenvectors that span the signal subspace and the noise subspace column vectors of \( R_{\tilde{X}} \), and the corresponding eigenvalues are \( \Lambda_S \) and \( \Lambda_n \), respectively. When the signal covariance matrix \( R_{\tilde{X}} \) is nonsingular and the column vectors of \( A \) are linearly independent, the steering vectors will span the same subspace as the signal subspace. In this case, the target angle can be estimated from the following expression:

\[ \hat{\theta} = \arg \left\{ \min_{\theta} \left[ a_r^H(\theta) U_n U_n^H a(\theta) \right] \right\} \]  

(19)

**B. RANGE ESTIMATION**

When the angle of the target is estimated, the covariance matrix of the separated signal matrix \( X \) can be written as:

\[ R_X = E \left[ XX^H \right] = ARSA^H + \sigma^2 I_{NM} \]  

(20)

where \( R_X \in \mathbb{C}^{NM \times NM} \) and \( R_S \) is the signal covariance matrix. For independent target signal and noise, the covariance matrix \( R_X \) can be decomposed as:

\[ R_X = U_S \Lambda_S U_S^H + U_n \Lambda_n U_n^H \]  

(21)

We put the angle information \( \theta \) estimated from Eq. (19) into the \( A(\theta, r) \), the range can be estimated as follow expression:

\[ \hat{r} = \arg \left\{ \min_{r} \left[ a_r^H \left( \hat{\theta}, r \right) U_n U_n^H a \left( \hat{\theta}, r \right) \right] \right\} \]  

(22)

where \( a(\hat{\theta}, r) = a_r(\hat{\theta}) \otimes a_t(\hat{\theta}, r) \). However, the estimated range by MUSIC algorithm will accrue range ambiguous because of the range-angle coupled beampattern. In this time, we can joint the pulse compression method to eliminate the folding range.

**C. UNAMBIGUOUS VELOCITY ESTIMATION**

Assuming that a far-field target of the range \( r \), the direction of arrival \( \theta \), and the velocity \( v \), the demodulated baseband separated signal, which is transmitted from the \( m \)-th element, of the reference receiving element can be written as:

\[ x_m(t) = \exp \{-j 2\pi \left[ f_{dt} + f_m \left( \frac{2r - 2md \sin \theta}{c} \right) \right] \} \]  

(23)

The demodulated signal consists of the Doppler frequency and element increment frequency and the target location delay. The Doppler frequency can be expressed as:

\[ f_d = \frac{2f_m v}{c} = \frac{2v}{\lambda_m} \]  

(24)

If the pulse width lasts long enough, \( f_d < 1/T_p \), where \( T_p \) represents the pulse duration, the Doppler frequency can be extracted within a pulse width time. On the contrary, multiple pulse echoes within a coherent processing interval need to be processed to extract the Doppler frequency. Therefore, the general radar Doppler frequency information is extracted in the slow time domain. The demodulated target Doppler signals of the \( m \)-th transmitting element in the slow-time domain can be expressed as:

\[ y_m(l) = \mu_m \exp \left( j 2\pi \frac{2vf_m l PRT}{c} \right) + n(l) \]  

(25)

where \( \mu_m \) is the target signal amplitude, \( PRT \) is the pulse repetition time (PRF) which is the reciprocal of the pulse repetition frequency (PRF) and \( l \) is the pulse count of PRT, and \( n(l) \) denotes the additive white noise. The correlation function of \( y_m(l) \) and \( y_m(l+1) \) can be expressed as:

\[ R_m(l) = y_m(l) \times y_m^*(l + 1) \]  

(26)

And then, the phase of the target modulated signal can be expressed as:

\[ \varphi_{fm} = \arg \{ R_m \} = \frac{4\pi vPRTf_m}{c} \]  

(27)

Similarly, the target echo signal phase of the \((m+1)\)-th transmitting element also can be derived. Assuming that the differential phase of adjacent receive element is

\[ \Delta \varphi = \varphi_{fm+1} - \varphi_{fm} = \frac{4\pi vPRT \Delta f}{c} \]  

(28)

However, this method ignores the possibility that when the radial velocity value lies between these two MUV of the adjacent elements. Assume that \( v_{max1} \) and \( v_{max2} \) represent the maximum unambiguous velocity of radiated frequency \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \), repetitively. If \( v_{max2} < v < v_{max1} \), the differential phase \( \Delta \varphi \) of the adjacent transmitting element channel will be out of the range of \([-\pi, \pi]\). In this case, the differential phase \( \Delta \varphi \) needs to be modified as Eq.(29).

\[ \Delta \varphi_m = \begin{cases} \Delta \varphi - 2\pi & ; \Delta \varphi > \pi \\ \Delta \varphi & ; \Delta \varphi + 2\pi \\ \Delta \varphi + \pi & ; \Delta \varphi < -\pi \end{cases} \]  

(29)

Subsequently, the velocity of the target can be estimated as:

\[ v = \frac{c \Delta \varphi}{4\pi PRT \Delta f} \]  

(30)

When the \( \Delta \varphi = \pm \pi \), the maximum unambiguous velocity is:

\[ v_{max} = \pm \frac{c}{4\Delta f PRT} \]  

(31)

The maximum is inversely proportional to the product of increment frequency \( \Delta f \) and pulse repetition time \( PRT \). For this parameter estimation algorithm, the product of the unambiguous range and velocity is not limited by the radar wavelength. The implement of this algorithm is based on the pulse-pairs algorithm for its superior performance in real-time computation. The summarized implement as Fig.4.
D. CARMÉR-RAO BOUND

To derive the Carmér-Rao Bound simply, the data models expressed in Eq.(14) and Eq.(16) can be rewritten as

\[ y_{\theta,r} = \sqrt{SNR} \cdot b(\theta, r) + n \]  

where the \((N + MN) \times 1\) vector \(b(\theta, r) = [a_r(\theta), A(\theta, r)]^T\) is the equivalent transmitting-receiving vector, and \(n\) is the Gaussian noise vector with zero mean and unit variance \(I\).

The corresponding Fisher information matrix (FIM) with parameters vector to be estimated \([\theta, r]\) is expressed as:

\[ F_{\theta,r} = 2Re \left[ \left( \frac{\sqrt{SNR}b(\theta, r)}{\partial(\theta, r)} \right)^H \Gamma^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{SNR}b(\theta, r)}{\partial(\theta, r)} \right) \right] \]

The \(CRLB_{\theta,r}\) is the inverse of FIM:

\[ CRLB_{\theta,r} = F_{\theta,r}^{-1} \]  

IV. SIMULATION AND ANALYSIS

In this section, simulation experiments are operated to evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed strategy and algorithm in this paper. We simulate a uniform linear array with 8 transmitting elements and 8 receiving elements which elements spacings are the half wavelength at frequency \(f_0 = 10GHz\). The band-width and time-width of the LFM signal are designed as \(B = 2MHz\) and \(T = 200us\), respectively. We assume that the frequency increment \(\Delta f = 100KHz\), and sampling frequency \(f_s = 4B\). For the direction of arrival and range estimation, we set the snapshots \(L = 150\). For velocity estimation, we set the pulse number of coherent integration 64 and the pulse repetition frequency \(PRF = 1000Hz\).
FRFT separation and time-varying term eliminating. Since the coupling of beampattern, although the FDA radar provides a range-angle-dependent beampattern, the range and angle information cannot be estimated directly. According to Wang and Shao [17], the angle information can be estimated with zero frequency increment of FDA radar which operates as a phased array radar and the range information can be estimated with a non-zero frequency increment of FDA radar. In our study, the separated signals which are launched from the same transmitting element are combined for angle estimation and all the separated signals are interpreted to estimate range information. The experiment was considered in two scenes. **Scene one**: one target is located at $(25^\circ, 8.0\text{Km})$. The estimated angle pseudo spectrum is represented in Fig.6(a) and the estimated range is represented in Fig.6(b). The target can be easily located in the angle-range dimension as $(25^\circ, 8.0\text{Km})$. Note that the range ambiguous can be solved joint the conventional pulse compression algorithm and this range estimation approach. **Scene two**: two targets with the same angle but different range are located at $(25^\circ, 7.8\text{Km})$ and $(25^\circ, 8.0\text{Km})$, respectively. Fig.6(c) shows the target response of the estimated range profile. The two targets can be easily located at $(25^\circ, 7.8\text{Km})$ and $(25^\circ, 8.0\text{Km})$ which is can’t be separated with a phased array radar.

### C. VELOCITY ESTIMATION

Similar to the angle estimation mentioned above, the separated signals with the same transmitting element emission are combined for angle estimation. The difference of the angle estimation is that the velocity estimation is processed in the slow-time domain. The pulse repetition frequency (PRF) is 1000Hz which the corresponding maximum unambiguous velocity by traditional algorithm is $\pm 7.5m/s$. In order to verify the effectiveness of unambiguous velocity estimation with the method proposed in this paper, we assume two targets with the same location as scene two in range estimation and velocity of $-550m/s$ and $1000m/s$, respectively. Fig.7 shows the velocity estimation results as the red circle and the range of velocity estimated without ambiguous as the blue curve.

The velocity of two targets can be easily visible as $-550m/s$ and $1000m/s$.

### D. ROOT MEAN SQUARE ERRORS

To assess the performance of the angle, range, and velocity estimations, the root mean square errors (RMSE) was employed. The definition of $RMSE_\theta$, $RMSE_r$, and $RMSE_v$ is expressed as follows:

$$RMSE_\theta = \frac{1}{D} \sum_{i=1}^{D} \sqrt{\frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=1}^{L} (\hat{\theta}_i - \theta_i)}$$

$$RMSE_r = \frac{1}{D} \sum_{i=1}^{D} \sqrt{\frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=1}^{L} (\hat{r}_i - r_i)}$$
Therefore, future work should include the blind source separation technology and adaptive beamforming application and evaluation in FDA radar.

REFERENCES

[1] P. Antonik, M. C. Wicks, H. D. Griffiths, and C. J. Baker, “Frequency diverse array radars,” in Proc. IEEE Radar Conf., Verona, NY, USA, Apr. 2006, pp. 215–217.

[2] A. Basit, W. Khan, S. Khan, and I. M. Qureshi, “Development of frequency diverse array radar technology: A review,” IET Radar, Sonar Navig., vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 165–175, Feb. 2018.

[3] A. Abdalla, H. Abdalla, M. Ramadan, S. Mohamed, and T. Bin, “Overview of frequency diverse array in radar ECCM applications,” in Proc. Int. Conf. Commun., Control, Comput. Electron. Eng., Khartoum, Sudan, Jan. 2017, pp. 1–7.

[4] W.-Q. Wang, “Overview of frequency diverse array in radar and navigation applications,” IET Radar, Sonar Navig., vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 1001–1012, Jul. 2016.

[5] A. M. Jones and B. D. Rigling, “Frequency diverse array radar receiver architectures,” in Proc. Int. Waveform Diversity Design Conf. (WDD), Kauai, HI, USA, Jan. 2012, pp. 211–217.

[6] J. Xu, L. Lan, G. Liao, and Y. Zhang, “Range-angle matched receiver for coherent FDA radars,” in Proc. IEEE Radar Conf. (RadarConf), Seattle, WA, USA, May 2017, pp. 0324–0328.

[7] W. Khan, I. M. Qureshi, and S. Saeed, “Frequency diverse array with logarithmically increasing frequency offset,” IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett., vol. 14, pp. 499–502, 2015.

[8] Y. Song, G. Zheng, and G. Hu, “A combined ESPRIT-MUSIC method for FDA-MIMO radar with extended range ambiguity using staggered frequency increment,” IEEE J. Sel. Topics Signal Process., vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 106–114, Feb. 2014.

[9] Y. Yan, J. Cai, and W.-Q. Wang, “Two-stage ESPRIT for unambiguous angle and range estimation,” IEEE J. Nanonetworks, vol. 2019, pp. 1–7, Jul. 2019.

[10] Y. Yan, J. Cai, and W.-Q. Wang, “Two-stage ESPRIT for unambiguous angle and range estimation in FDA-MIM0 radar,” Digit. Signal Process., vol. 92, pp. 151–165, Sep. 2019.

[11] Y. Song, G. Zheng, and G. Hu, “A combined ESPRIT-MUSIC method for FDA-MIMO radar with extended range ambiguity using staggered frequency increment,” Int. J. Antennas Propag., vol. 2019, pp. 1–7, Jul. 2019.

[12] W.-Q. Wang and H. Shao, “Range-angle localization of targets by a two-stage estimator,” in Proc. IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag., vol. 67, no. 5, pp. 3089–3100, May 2019.

[13] W.-Q. Wang and H. Shao, “Range-angle localization of targets by a two-stage estimator,” in Proc. IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag., vol. 67, no. 5, pp. 3089–3100, May 2019.

FIGURE 10. The velocity estimation performance versus SNR.
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\]

where \( \theta, r, v \) is the estimated angle, range, and velocity, respectively. The target number \( D = 2 \) and the number of Monte-Carlo independent trials \( L = 200 \). Fig.8 and Fig.9 and Fig.10 correspond to the RMSEs of angle and range and velocity estimation with different SNR for different estimation algorithms. The CRLB and RMSEs of the angle and range estimation comparison with the approach proposed in this paper and the method by Reference [17] are displayed in Fig.8 and 9, respectively. The comparison of RMSEs between the proposed algorithm and CRLB with difference SNR shown in Fig.10.

V. CONCLUSION
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