Early Diagenesis in Sediments of the Venice Lagoon (Italy) and Its Relationship to Hypoxia
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This work focuses on sediments of a shallow water lagoon, located in a densely populated area undergoing multiple stressors, with the goal of increasing the understanding of the links between diagenetic processes occurring in sediments, the dynamics of dissolved oxygen (DO) in the water column, and potential consequences of hypoxia. Sediment data were collected over three consecutive years, from 2015 to 2017, during spring–summer, at five stations. Measured variables included: sediment porosity, grain size and organic carbon content, porewater microprofiles of O2, pH and H2S, porewater profiles of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), total alkalinity (TA), NH4+, NO3−, dissolved Fe, and SO42−. In addition, long-term time series of oxygen saturations in the water column (years 2005–2017) were utilized in order to identify the occurrence and duration of hypoxic periods. The results show that the median DO saturation value in summer months was below 50% (around 110 µmol L−1), and that saturation values below 25% (below the hypoxic threshold) can persist for more than 1 week. Sediment stations can be divided in two groups based on their diagenetic intensity: intense and moderate. At these two groups of stations, the average DIC net production rates, estimated through a steady-state model (Profile), were, respectively, of 2.8 and 1.0 mmol m−2 d−1, SO42− consumption rates were respectively 1.6 and 0.4 mmol m−2 d−1, while diffusive oxygen uptake fluxes, calculated from the sediment microprofile data, were of 28.5 and 17.5 mmol m−2 d−1. At the stations characterized by intense diagenesis, total dissolved sulfide accumulated in porewaters close to the sediment-water interface, reaching values of 0.7 mM at 10 cm. Considering the typical physico-chemical summer conditions, the theoretical time required to consume oxygen down to the hypoxic level by sediment oxygen demand ranges between 5 and 18 days, in absence of mixing and re-oxygenation. This estimation highlights that sediment diagenesis may play a crucial role in triggering and maintaining hypoxia of lagoon waters during the summer season in specific high intensity diagenesis zones. This role of the sediment could be enhanced by changes in regional climate conditions, such as the increase in frequency of summer heat waves.
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INTRODUCTION

In shallow coastal and marine environments, sediment is expected to have a primary role in controlling the water column biogeochemistry, by influencing the dynamics of oxygen, carbon and macronutrients (N and P) (Schlesinger and Bernhardt, 2013). Hypoxic conditions, in which dissolved oxygen (DO) concentration falls below 2 mg L\(^{-1}\) (62 \(\mu\)mol L\(^{-1}\)), represent a major threat for coastal ecosystems worldwide (Zhang et al., 2010; Breitburg et al., 2018), because low levels of oxygen affects the metabolism and survival of the less mobile macrofauna (Rabalais et al., 2002; Vaquer-Sunyer and Duarte, 2008), and because the release of toxic compounds from sediments (e.g., H\(_2\)S) can harm vertebrate species (Vaquer-Sunyer and Duarte, 2010). The interaction between sediment biogeochemistry and hypoxia has been thoroughly investigated in ecosystems where large areas are hypoxic or become periodically hypoxic (Diaz and Rosenberg, 2008; Middelburg and Levin, 2009), and is also receiving increasing attention in landlocked stratified environments (e.g., Friedrich et al., 2014; Zhu et al., 2017). Less attention has been given, however, to non-stratified shallow water systems where wind is expected to have a major role in water mixing and reoxygenation (Chapelle et al., 2000, 2001). Nonetheless, the coupling between sediment and water column biogeochemical processes is expected to be significant in these highly productive environments, characterized by intense patterns of deposition/resuspension and accumulation of organic debris in specific areas. As a result of organic matter mineralization, the oxygen demand of surface sediment and the potential release of H\(_2\)S, accumulated as a by-product of anoxic diagenesis, could be very high (Burdige, 2006). Moreover, an increase in the frequency of summer heat waves due to climate change, which is currently being observed in the Mediterranean region (MerMex Group, 2011; Galli et al., 2017), could lead to increased stratification and therefore duration and severity of hypoxic events, as already reported for European freshwater lakes during the 2003 heat wave (Jankowski et al., 2006).

Lagoons are good examples of vulnerable shallow ecosystems characterized by multiple pressures (Kennish and Paerl, 2010), as they are often located in close proximity of urban areas and megacities (e.g., Gu et al., 2013). In many instances, these systems are protected by international treaties (e.g., Ramsar Convention, 1971) and directives aimed at preserving water quality (e.g., WFD, European Community, 2000). Indeed, they represent valued natural environments and provide goods and services to coastal communities (Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, 2005; Rova et al., 2019). The Venice lagoon (Italy) represents an ecosystem located in a densely populated area with multiple stressors such as massive tourism, aquaculture, industry, maritime circulation (Solidoro et al., 2010), in which the links between sediment and water column dynamics may play an important role in defining ecosystem functioning.

Previous studies on Venice lagoon sediments have emphasized the mapping and evolution of organic carbon (OC) and contaminants in the solid phase of the sediments (Lucchini et al., 2002; Sfriso et al., 2005) in the context of past eutrophication (Pastres et al., 2004) and its relation to oxygen dynamics (Lovato et al., 2013). Other studies (Gieskes et al., 2015) investigated early diagenesis and porewater composition of lagoon sediments, including dissolved sulfide in relation with dredging and disposal of contaminated sediments. The mineralization of organic matter from sewage discharge in the canals network within the historical city, and its contribution to sulfide release was also investigated (Zaggia et al., 2007; Azzoni et al., 2015). Yet, a comprehensive knowledge of organic matter diagenesis in areas of the lagoon which are not directly influenced by the city discharges is missing.

The goal of the current study is to understand the link between diagenetic processes occurring in sediments and the dynamics of DO in lagoon waters. We set three objectives: (i) measure the oxygen demand of surface sediment in different lagoon depositional systems and understand its relationship with hypoxia in the bottom waters; (ii) categorize lagoon areas with respect to the intensity of early diagenesis and associated oxygen demand, and (iii) assess the potential for H\(_2\)S release from sediments during hypoxic events. In order to achieve these goals, sediment data were collected, in spring–summer 2015, 2016, and 2017, at five stations, located in open shallow areas of the lagoon (depth, 1 ± 0.5 m) belonging to different Water Framework Directive (WFD) water bodies, and characterized by different depositional environments in terms of exposure to the winds and riverine influence. The results of the field surveys were interpreted using a set of modeling tools and based on the analysis of long-term time series of oxygen saturation data in the water column (2005–2017), collected by the Ministero delle Infrastrutture e dei Trasporti, formerly Venice Water Authority (Magistrato alle Acque).

STUDY SITE DESCRIPTION: THE VENICE LAGOON

The Venice lagoon is a shallow water body, covering an area of approximately 432 km\(^2\) (Sfriso et al., 2019). Its average depth is approximately 1 m, with only 5% deeper than 5 m (some navigable channels are deeper than 15 m). The lagoon is connected to the Northern Adriatic Sea through three inlets: the tidal exchange can reach 20,000 m\(^3\) s\(^{-1}\) at peak flow during spring tides (Umgieser, 2020). On average, water residence time ranges from a few days in the areas close to the inlets to about one month in the inner part, close to the river tributaries (Cucco and Umgieser, 2006). Notwithstanding the high anthropogenic impact, the Venice lagoon ecosystem still shows a good resilience, providing a broad range of ecosystem services (Rova et al., 2015, 2019). In compliance with the implementation of the Water Framework Directive 2000/60/EC (European Community, 2000), the lagoon was divided into 11 water bodies, based on a combination of hydrological descriptors, existing pressures and chemical, and ecological status (Hydrographic district of Oriental Alps, HDOA; Autorità di bacino dell’Adige e dell’Alto Adriatico, 2010).

Surface sediment was sampled at the five stations shown in Figure 1. With respect to the WFD water bodies, stations ALG1,
ALG2, and TRES are located in PNC1, VIG in ENC2, and GIU in ENC4. PNC1 stands for Polyhaline Non-Confined 1 water body, with salinity ranging between 20 and 30, while ENC2 and ENC4 are Eurhaline Non-Confined 2 and 4, with salinity between 30 and 40; the three water bodies are NC-non-confined, to differentiate them from those located in the inner parts of the lagoon, characterized by higher water residence time. All stations were located in shallow areas, about 1 m deep. Station ALG2 was located near a Manila clam (*Ruditapes philippinarum*) farming area (Pessa et al., 2018). Stations ALG2, GIU, and TRES were more exposed to wind, with respect to ALG1 and VIG, which are protected, respectively, by an island and a saltmarsh, with the prevalent winds in this area blowing from North-East (Bora) and South-East (Scirocco). The water residence time was higher at stations TRES and ALG1: the latter is also directly affected by freshwater discharges. Four water quality monitoring stations were selected, belonging to the Ministero delle Infrastrutture e dei Trasporti network (Figure 1). One of these stations, VE02, was located nearby the ALG2 station.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Field Campaigns and Data Analysis**

The five stations in the central part of the lagoon were sampled in 2015, 2016, and 2017 (Figure 1 and Table 1). At each station, water column was sampled at a depth of 0.5 m with a 5-L Niskin® bottle. At least three 20–40 cm long sediment cores were collected using an Uwitech single tube corer attached to a coring stick. Cores were capped and stored in the shade before returning to the laboratory.

**Water Sampling and Analyses**

Water temperature was measured with a precision of 0.1°C and salinity with a thermo-salinometer with a precision of 0.1. pH measurements were carried out in 2017 in triplicate within 1 h after sampling by spectrophotometry with unpurified m-cresol purple as indicator dye (Clayton and Byrne, 1993). They are reported on the total proton scale (pHₜ) with an uncertainty of ±0.01 pH units. Samples for dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) and total alkalinity (TA) analysis were stored in 50 ml “grease sealed” glass stoppered bottles, and preserved by adding 100 µl of saturated HgCl₂. One bottle was collected at each station, each expedition. TA was measured on 20 ml samples by open cell titration with 0.01 N HCl (Dickson et al., 2007). DIC concentration was analyzed with a DIC analyzer (Apollo/SciTech®) on repeated 1 ml samples (Rassmann et al., 2016). Both measurements showed an uncertainty below 0.5%. Both measurements were calibrated every day using Seawater Reference Material (standard batch #136) provided by the Scripps Institution of Oceanography (A.G. Dickson Laboratory). In 2016, pH was recalculated from DIC, TA, T, and S using the CO2Sys software (Lewis and Wallace, 1998). DO concentrations were determined by Winkler titration (Grasshof et al., 1983) with a precision of ±0.5 µM.

**Porosity, Organic Carbon Content, and Grain Size**

Sediment porosity profiles were determined by slicing one of the cores with a 2 mm resolution until 10 mm depth, a 5 mm resolution until 60 mm (Cathalot et al., 2010), and a 10 mm resolution down to the bottom of the core. Porosity was calculated as the weight difference between wet
TABLE 1 | Positions of the five sampling stations and field surveys.

| Lat (N) | Long (E) | Depth (m) | Sampling year(s) | Sampled variables | Water body (WFD); closer monitoring station; station characteristics |
|---------|----------|-----------|------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| ALG1 45°27’46” W 12°17’17” | 1.0 | 2015; 2016; 2017 | (WGT)S; pH; TA; DIC; DO | (PW)TA; DIC; SO4; NH4; NO3; pH; TS | PNC1; VE02; higher exposure to NE wind; nearby river outlet |
| ALG2 45°20’02” W 12°19’56” | 1.5 | 2015; 2016; 2017 | (WGT)S; pH; TA; DIC; DO | (PW)TA; DIC; SO4; NH4; NO3; pH | PNC1; VE02; exposure to NE and SE winds; close to a shellfish farming lease |
| GLU 45°25’71” W 12°18’57” | 1.0 | 2016; 2017 | (WGT)S; pH; TA; DIC; DO | (PW)TA; DIC; SO4; NH4; NO3; pH | ENC4; VE06; higher exposure to SE winds |
| TRES 45°26’38” W 12°16’26” | 1.5 | 2017 | (WGT)S; pH; TA; DIC; DO | (PW)TA; DIC; SO4; NH4; NO3; pH | ENC1; VE05; higher exposure to SE winds |
| VIG 45°26’57” W 12°22’47” | 0.8 | 2016 | (WGT)S; pH; TA; DIC; DO | (PW)TA; DIC; SO4; NH4; NO3; pH | ENC2; VE04; protected |

and dried sediment after 1 week at 60°C, using average sediment density (2.65 g cm⁻³) and a salt correction based on lagoon water salinity at the site. Organic carbon was measured after removing inorganic carbon using HCl 1.2 N as a wet treatment. Drying was made at 60°C after rinsing and centrifugation. Around 1 g of sediment was decarbonated and the sample size for measurement, in triplicate, was 15–20 mg. Standards for the CHN analyzer calibration were made of pure acetanilide. Measurements were performed on a Fisons Instrument NA 1500 Element Analyzer at LSCE (Cathalot et al., 2013) with a precision of 0.1% dry weight. For grain-size determination, bulk sediment was treated with 0.1 N aqueous solutions of hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) and acetic acid (25%) to remove organic materials and carbonate fractions, respectively. The mixture was then rinsed several times (about five rinse cycles) to remove acetic acid residues and gently shaken to achieve deflocculation of sediment. Grain-size distribution of carbonate- and organic-free terrigenous particles in the range of 1.0–2000 µm in the suspension was determined using a Malvern Mastersizer 2000 Particle Size Analyzer at the Laboratoire Géosciences Paris-Sud (GEOPS, Université Paris-Sud/Paris-Saclay). In order to reduce quantity errors, each sample was measured five times and the average of these five measurements was employed to represent the grain-size distribution of each sample.

Porewater Microprofiles of O₂, pH, and H₂S

One of the three cores was used for determining oxygen, pH, and H₂S microprofiles at the three sites, using micro-electrodes (Unisense®) (Rabouille et al., 2003; Cathalot et al., 2012; Rassmann et al., 2016). Cores were maintained at in situ temperature using a cooling device and gently bubbled with air, in order to ensure overlying water oxygenation and stirring. Three to four oxygen profiles, two pH profiles, and one H₂S profile were measured within the portion of the core in which no shell debris and macrobenthos were visible at the surface. Vertical profiles were measured with a 200 µm resolution near the sediment-water interface (SWI) and 500–3000 µm resolution below 2 cm to (for pH and H₂S). O₂ microelectrodes were calibrated with a two-point calibration technique using O₂ concentration in the water determined by Winkler titration and the anoxic pore waters. The pH microelectrodes were calibrated using three NBS buffers (pH 4.00, 7.00, and 9.00 at 20°C) for the electrode slope which was recalculated at in situ T. The pH variation was then added to the bottom water pH measured at the station for the absolute value (pH_T) scale. Signal drift of O₂ and pH microelectrodes during profiling was checked to be less than 5%. Diffusive Oxygen Uptake rates (DOU) were calculated for each micro-profile using the observed O₂ concentration gradient calculated over 400 µm in the sediment, the measured porosity value and the diffusion coefficient at in situ temperature (Broecker and Peng, 1974) corrected for tortuosity. Clark-type microsensors (Cathalot et al., 2012), with a platinum guard cathode to ensure a low and stable readings in the absence of sulfide were used for determining sulfides. They were calibrated using H₂S standards prepared daily from a main solution and preserved with TiCl₃. The main sulfide solution was re-titrated every day using the classical sulfide titration (Grasshoff et al., 1983). The position of the SWI for sulfide electrode was determined visually and the measurement step (initially 200 µm) was adapted to adequately capture the sulfide gradient and measure deeper concentrations (with a 1–3 mm step). Total sulfide (TS) was recalculated using pH and measured H₂S (Jerchlewski et al., 1996).

Porewater Profiles: DIC, Alk, NH⁴⁺, NO₃⁻, Fe²⁺, SO₄²⁻

The extraction of sediment pore waters was conducted immediately after returning to the air-conditioned laboratory (1–2 h after core collection) using Rhizon filters with a mean pore size of 0.1 µm (Seeberg-Elverfeldt et al., 2005) in a glove bag flushed with N₂ to create an anoxic atmosphere. The sampling interval was 2 cm in the top 10 and 4 cm underneath. Immediately after collection, pore waters were analyzed for dissolved Fe²⁺ using the ferrozine technique (Stookey, 1970)
and total dissolved iron concentrations the next morning after reduction of total dissolved iron with hydroxylamine. Porewater and water samples were poisoned with HgCl₂ for TA and DIC laboratory analysis, acidified for sulfate, and stored in the dark at 4°C until analysis in the laboratory. Subsamples for NH₄⁺ were frozen. Another core was sectioned and centrifuged at room temperature for the determination of nitrate (NO₃⁻) at higher depth resolution near the SWI (0.5 cm) down to 2 cm, and 1 cm resolution down to 5 cm. After centrifugation, porewaters were filtered using 0.45 µm pore size Minisart and kept frozen until analysis. TA was measured on 3 ml samples by open cell titration with 0.01 N HCl (Dickson et al., 2007). DIC concentrations were analyzed with a DIC analyzer (Apollo/SciTech®) on 1 ml samples as previously described (Rassmann et al., 2016). Certified reference materials were used to calibrate the TA and DIC methods (oceanic CO₂ measurements, Scripps Institution of Oceanography-batch n°136). The relative uncertainty for DIC and TA was ±0.5% of the final value. Sulfate (SO₄²⁻) concentration was quantified after dilution by a factor of 100 by ion chromatography on an ICS 1000 chromatograph (Dionex) with a relative uncertainty of ±1.6% (Rassmann et al., 2020). To measure ammonium (NH₄⁺) concentrations, samples were diluted and analyzed using the indophenol blue method (Grasshoff et al., 1983). The uncertainty of the method with small porewater volume was about 5%. NO₃⁻ was determined using the Vanadium chloride reductant as described in Schnetger and Lehners (2014) followed by the NEDD/sulphanilamide addition and colorimetric measurement. Precision on total NOₓ was around 2%.

Time Series of Water Quality Monitoring Data

Bi-hourly time series of DO saturation, water temperature, and salinity, were provided by Ministero delle Infrastrutture e dei Trasporti (provveditorato generale alle OO PP del Veneto, Trentino Alto Adige, Friuli Venezia Giulia, già Magistrato alle Acque di Venezia). Data were collected from March 2005 to September) were reanalyzed for estimating: (i) the weekly average DO saturation (values exceeding ±2.70 were discarded as outliers), and (ii) the number of consecutive days with minimum O₂ values <25% saturation, which was taken as an indicator of the persistence of an hypoxic event. Indeed, at the average summer temperatures (>20°C) and salinities (>25), this saturation threshold (<55 µmol L⁻¹) is below the hypoxic level (62 µmol L⁻¹).

Porewater Profiles Averaging and Reaction Rate Calculations

The rates of DIC production and SO₄²⁻ consumption were estimated using the Profile software (Berg et al., 1998), using as input the average profiles of DIC and SO₄²⁻ at ALG1 and ALG2 with a resolution of 1 cm. These were obtained by linearly interpolating each profile, and averaging them. The set of input data included also files containing different levels of bio-irrigation. Fits to the measured concentration profiles were optimized and the integrated reaction rates converted in mmol m⁻² d⁻¹. The uncertainty for the rate calculations is estimated as ±50%.

RESULTS

Water Column, Porewater, and Sediment Profiles

Water physico-chemical parameters measured during the three sampling campaigns, Jun 2015, May 2016, and Sep 2017 (here-in 2015; 2016; 2017) are reported in Table 2. Temperature ranged from 17.2°C (ALG2-2016) to 26.2°C (ALG1-2015), while salinity varied from 26 psu (ALG2-2015) to 33.1 psu (GIU-2016). Salinity showed limited within-station variability, with the exception of ALG-1 in 2015. DO concentrations were close to saturation except at ALG1 in 2015 (356.3 µM). TA and DIC were markedly larger at stations ALG1 (3067–3417 TA; 2784–2915 DIC) and ALG2 (3036–3281 TA; 2868–2882 DIC), compared with the other stations (min TA 2917, min DIC 2583 at TRES in
accompanied by a lower pH at ALG1 (min 7.72 at ALG1, max 7.95 at TRES). Water column physico-chemical parameters recorded at the monitoring station VE 02 during the fortnight preceding each sampling campaign are shown in Figure 2. Water temperatures ranged from 14.3°C in late April 2016, to 28.9°C in June 2015 and showed marked differences of environmental conditions during these 3 years. Summer conditions, with water temperatures going above 29°C were present in late June 2015, while transition water temperatures were recorded in May 2016, raising from 12.5 to 22°C, and indicating a spring rapid warming of the water column, approaching a pre-summer condition. The opposite situation was recorded in late September 2017, with water temperatures decreasing from 24 to 15°C, and stabilizing around 18°C during the sampling campaign. Salinity shows large daily variability among the 3 years, being mainly influenced by tidal exchanges and river inputs. Regarding DO, 2015 presents remarkable differences with respect to the two consecutive years, with daily values reaching 250% saturation, and indicating intense primary production.

Figures 3, 4 show the pore water profiles, respectively for the years 2015–2016 and 2017. The core length ranged from 15 to 45 cm, due to the compactness of the sediment and the associated difficulty to sample long cores. DO, DIC, TA, NH₄⁺, and SO₄²⁻ were measured on all cores from the initial measurements in 2015 with the addition of pH in 2016 and NO₃⁻, Fe²⁺, and TS profiles in 2017. DIC and TA concentration profiles (Figures 2, 3) showed increasing values downcore at all stations with higher maxima at stations ALG1 and TRES (> 10 mM) and lower ones at stations ALG2, GIU, and VIG, where concentrations ranged from 5 to 7 mM. A similar pattern was observed for NH₄⁺, with asymptotic concentrations above 500 µM at ALG1 and TRES and lower ones at the other stations (ALG2, GIU, and VIG) except GIU in 2016. In most cases, sulfate depletion was also larger at station ALG1 and TRES (except for ALG1 in 2015), with downcore concentrations lower than 5 mM at station ALG1 in 2016 and 2017 and around 12 mM at TRES. All other stations showed porewater SO₄²⁻ concentrations at depth near or above 20 mM. pH profiles at all stations showed a marked decrease (~0.5 to ~0.7 pH unit) just below the SWI, followed by an increase below the oxygen penetration depth and stabilization at depth. NO₃⁻ concentrations decreased rapidly with depth, and fell below the detection limit below the first centimeter. Dissolved iron (II) profiles were characterized by subsurface maxima, located at a depth ranging from 1 to 3 cm: the highest peak was observed at station ALG1. Total dissolved sulfide, calculated from measured H₂S and pH, showed measurable concentrations in the top layers at two stations (ALG1 and TRES) and exceeded 0.5 mM at the bottom of the core. Vertical distributions of porosity (one profile per station per year), OC content and granulometry (one profile per station in 2017 except VIG in 2016) are shown in Figure 5. These parameters were measured in order to provide a background characterization of the stations. Porosity (Figure 5) decreased with depth, with the exception of ALG2. The steeper gradient was observed within the upper 20 mm at all sites. OC content ranged from 0.5 to 2%, with largest values in ALG1 and TRES, where algal macro-debris were observed during slicing. These two stations were characterized by the presence of fine silts. Stations GIU and VIG showed very low OC content (0.5%), which correlated negatively with coarser grain size at these stations. ALG2 profile showed a decrease in grain size with depth from coarse to fine silts, which was accompanied by an increase of OC content, from 0.5 to 1%.

Overall, 38 O₂ microprofiles were collected at the five stations. As one can see in Figure 6, O₂ concentrations show quasi-monotonic downward decreases, with oxygen penetration...
depth ranging from 1 to 1.7 mm. Deviations from this general pattern were observed at ALG1 in 2015 with visible burrows and, to a lower extent, at ALG2 in 2017, most likely due to bioirrigation activity. Larger penetration depth and variability were observed at ALG1 in 2015, related to very high concentration of oxygen in the water column (O₂ saturation of 160%), indicating high primary production and intense biological activity during the end of the spring 2015. Diffusive oxygen fluxes (DOU) (Table 3) ranged between 8.8 ± 2.7 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ at GIU and 28.5 ± 12 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ at ALG1. Stations

FIGURE 3] Profiles of DIC, TA, NH₄⁺, SO₄²⁻, and pH in pore waters measured in 2015 and 2016.
ALG1 and TRES showed the largest average DOU, although the difference with ALG2 was not significant, due to large seasonal variations.

**Long-Term DO Monitoring**

The box-plot presented in Figure 7 summarizes the average weekly distribution of DO in summertime at station VE02, based on 12 years of monitoring data. The DO median and minimum values were lower in August, but the last 2 weeks of June also presented critical conditions, with minima below 20% saturation. Figure 8 presents the index of hypoxia duration for the period comprised between June 1 and September 31, at the four monitoring stations. As can be seen, stations VE02 and VE05, located in the water body PNC1, presented the highest values of the index, up to 23 days at VE02 in 2013.

**DISCUSSION**

**Diagenetic Pathways and Intensity**

The oxygen porewater profiles showed limited differences among the five stations, which displayed oxygen penetration depth of
1–1.7 mm. On the contrary, larger DOU values were calculated at stations ALG1, TRES, and ALG2, likely linked to aerobic mineralization and re-oxidation of reduced compounds (Dedieu et al., 2007b; Glud, 2008).

NO$_3^-$ profiles indicated that denitrification was occurring at all sites. However, denitrification did probably not represent a significant diagenetic pathway, given the low concentration of NO$_3^-$ in the water column (<10 µM; Dedieu et al., 2007a). The peaks in Fe$^{2+}$ concentration observed in porewater profiles at all stations indicated that the iron reduction pathway was active at all sites (van Cappellen and Wang, 1996) and, in particular at station ALG1. This is consistent with the increase in pH below the oxic zone at all station (Figures 2, 3), which can be due to iron and probably manganese oxide reduction. The low Fe$^{2+}$ concentration at depth below 5 cm can be explained by the precipitation of FeS or FeS$_2$ (Rassmann et al., 2020). Sulfate reduction was the most important diagenetic pathway at stations ALG1 and TRES, where the largest SO$_4^{2-}$ gradients and integrated consumptions, as well as the largest increases of dissolved sulfide were observed (Figure 4). The difference between the TS increase, about 0.5 mM, and the decrease in porewater sulfate concentration, ranging from 2 to 20 mM, can be explained by the precipitation of iron sulfides (Metzger et al., 2007; Rassmann et al., 2020).

ALG1 and ALG2 were more thoroughly investigated and were visited over 3 consecutive years, in different months over
the spring–summer season. Consequently, they were selected as master stations (MS) of this study, on which we attempted to define differences regarding the intensity in sediment early diagenesis. DIC and SO$_{4}^{2-}$ were considered as key variables, given the importance of sulfate reduction pathway in organic matter diagenesis in marine sediment. Results from this exercise are presented in Figure 9, which shows the average DIC and SO$_{4}^{2-}$ concentration profiles at the two MS, and marks the two regions in which these parameters were ranging in the different years. It can be seen from the gray envelopes of the DIC and SO$_{4}^{2-}$ concentration profiles that ALG1 and ALG2 showed clear differences which reflected a variable intensity of diagenesis. By using $\Delta$DIC and $\Delta$SO$_{4}^{2-}$ defined as the absolute value of the difference between their concentration at the sediment surface and that at the deepest layer of each core, we compared ALG1 and ALG2. The test revealed statistically larger values of $\Delta$DIC and $\Delta$SO$_{4}^{2-}$ for ALG1 with respect to ALG2 (Mann–Whitney one-tailed; $n_1 = n_2 = 3$, $W = 9$, $p = 0.05$).

The DIC integrated productions were significantly larger at ALG1 (2.8 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) compared to ALG2 (1.0 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$), as well as the SO$_{4}^{2-}$ integrated consumption (1.6 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ at ALG1 vs 0.4 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ at ALG1). The ratio among integrated rates of DIC production and SO$_{4}^{2-}$ consumption was 1.8 at ALG1 and 2.3 at ALG2, which brackets the theoretical ratio of 2 (Rassmann et al., 2016). Along with the DIC and SO$_{4}^{2-}$ integrated rates, DOU calculated from the microelectrode profiles were used to differentiate the MS (28.5 ± 12 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ at ALG1 and 17.5 ± 7.4 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ at ALG2). Based on the differences observed in these three quantities, the two MS presented an “intense diagenesis” behavior (ALG1), and a “moderate diagenesis” behavior (ALG2). The two categories proposed here are consistent with the findings by Gieskes et al. (2015). The authors found TA values around 50 mM and complete consumption of SO$_{4}^{2-}$ within the upper 40 cm at two of the investigated stations, while the other sites displayed TA values below 10 mM and presented a limited SO$_{4}^{2-}$ depletion. According to the authors, these differences were primarily related to sediment dredging and subsequent disposal. This constitutes an aspect of primary relevance in the comparison of the two works, since in our case no recent reworking was observed in

---

**TABLE 3 | Diffusive oxygen uptake for the Venice lagoon stations.**

| Station | Year | DOU (mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) |
|---------|------|-------------------------------|
| ALG1    | 2015 | 38.2 ± 12.7                  |
|         | 2016 | 18.8 ± 2.5                   |
|         | 2017 | 22.1 ± 2.3                   |
| ALG1-avg|      | 28.5 ± 12.0                  |
| ALG2    | 2015 | 23.3 ± 1.1                   |
|         | 2016 | 8.6 ± 1.3                    |
|         | 2017 | 19.7 ± 7                     |
| ALG2-avg|      | 17.5 ± 7.4                   |
| GIU     | 2017 | 11.4 ± 1.7                   |
|         | 2016 | 6.5 ± 0.8                    |
| GIU-avg |      | 8.8 ± 2.7                    |
| VIG     | 2016 | 13.1 ± 1.9                   |
| TRES    | 2017 | 22.8 ± 3.5                   |

All measurements averages are shown per year and a global average is done per station except for VIG and TRES that were visited only in one occasion.

---

**FIGURE 7 | DO saturation at VE02 monitoring station: – box edges indicate the 1st and 3rd quartiles, the central line marks the median, while whiskers indicate extreme (max–min) data points not considered outliers (plotted individually using the “+” symbol).**

**FIGURE 8 | Number of consecutive days with minimum O$_2$ concentration below 25%.**
sampled sediments, and all the sampled cores presented intact visual biogenic structures at the core surface. Furthermore, they were collected in shallow water areas which, to the knowledge of the authors, did not experience recent reworking and were placed at a minimum distance of 50 m from the edge of the canals.

Once the difference of the two MS was established, an attempt was made to group the other stations investigated during the three consecutive field campaigns, dividing them between intense diagenesis, at stations resembling ALG1, and moderate diagenesis, at stations closer to ALG2 characteristics. Grouping stations could be relevant for, at least, three reasons: (1) providing information for planning monitoring of crucial sites; (2) guiding data collection for developing a spatial model of lagoon sediments; (3) informing the differential management of sites. Station VIG, sampled in 2016, and station GIU, sampled in 2016 and 2017, show characteristics that are closer to those of MS ALG2 than ALG1, especially in 2017. Indeed, their asymptotic DIC concentration are much lower than the range 12–30 mM, observed at ALG1 (Figures 2, 3). This observation would place the VIG and GIU stations in the “moderate intensity” diagenesis group, which is confirmed by the maximum of NH$_4^+$ concentration achieved in these two stations. Furthermore, the difference between ALG1 and VIG/GIU is clearly visible in the sulfate profiles: SO$_4^{2–}$ concentration was roughly constant at station VIG and showed a few mM decrease with depth at station GIU. On the contrary, station TRES displays a larger SO$_4^{2–}$ decrease of 10 mM and larger maximal DIC and NH$_4^+$ concentrations, which reach 16 mM and 1200 µM, respectively. In that respect, even if the highest concentrations of ALG1 are not met at station TRES, this last station is closer to the characteristics of MS ALG1 (intense diagenesis) than ALG2. The difference between the two groups is corroborated by the organic content of surface sediments which is larger at stations ALG1 and TRES than the three other stations.

Indeed, differences in diagenetic intensity among these stations can be related to patterns of organic matter source and accumulation, driven by the spatial heterogeneity in primary producer assemblages. The spatial distribution of macroalgal coverage and standing crop (Sfriso and Facca, 2007), depends on different environmental conditions. One example is the abundance and productivity of Gracilariaceae and Ulva rigida, respectively in the areas of TRES and ALG1 stations (Sfriso and Sfriso, 2017). These two stations are located close to each other (in absolute terms the two closest stations sampled in this study), but placed on the two sides of the bridge connecting the historical town to the mainland, and characterized by different wind exposures and hence turbidities. Beside differences in biomass density, phenology and degradability, macroalgae coverages can have contrasting impacts on the intensity of diagenesis in terms of reinforcing sediment cohesion and preventing resuspension, in combination with the microphytobenthos community (Yallop et al., 2000; Facca et al., 2002). In terms of physical processes, wind induced resuspension is likely to be more active at station ALG2, as the other stations are partly protected by the bridge (ALG1, and to a lower extent TRES), an island (VIG) or the city of Venice (GIU). This observation is based on a general consideration about the fetch exposure to the two main winds, Bora and Scirocco, and the related bottom shear stress. However, although Scirocco provides the most frequent meteorological forcing, Bora wind blows at speed of 30–40 m/s and is considered to be the geomorphically dominant meteorological condition (Carniello et al., 2009). One relevant mechanism could therefore be related to the resuspension and deposition of organic matter of allochthonous origin during Bora events, in an exchange pattern that could occur between ALG2 and ALG1, which according to the simulations performed by Carniello et al. (2009) belong respectively to areas of erosion and deposition. Anthropogenic drivers also exert an influence in the erosion-sedimentation patterns – e.g., ALG2 is located in proximity of a Manila clam farming area, were dredging could periodically perturb the deposition pattern, while in ALG1, ALG2, and TRES boat traffic and ship wakes can contribute to sediment resuspension and deposition (e.g., Scarpa et al., 2019; Braga et al., 2020).

Overall, it seems reasonable to relate the higher intensity in diagenesis observed in ALG1 and TRES to differences in macroalgal coverage (primary production; sediment...
Hypoxia in Venice Lagoon and Potential for Sulfide Release

The analysis of time series indicates that the lagoon waters experience low oxygen concentrations in summer months, with median values of weekly average DO saturation below 50% (Figure 7). In conditions of limited mixing, the sediment oxygen demand may contribute to deplete DO in the water column and achieve hypoxia. As an example, considering an oxygen stock at saturation of 220 mmol m$^{-2}$ in 1 m water column ($T = 25^\circ$C and $S = 30$ ppt), the theoretical time required for achieving hypoxia would range between 5 and 18 days (DOU respectively of 28.5 mmol m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$, ALG1-avg, and 8.8 mmol m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$, GIU-avg). This basic estimation does not consider oxygen renewal associated to primary production, exchange with the atmosphere and advection, but is a conservative estimate of sediment oxygen consumption by accounting for DO values only, which represent a fraction only of the total oxygen uptake (Glud, 2008). Interestingly, Figure 8, shows that hypoxic events in Venice lagoons last 5–15 days, which is consistent with the time scale of oxygen consumption by sediment diagenesis calculated above. Similar timescales for oxygen depletion have been observed in the Gulf of Mexico (GOM), one of the most documented hypoxic environment in the coastal ocean. Although located in a different coastal setting (open shelf, deeper waters), long term monitoring of DO in bottom water showed that, after mixing events and bottom water oxygen reset, in situ oxygen consumption can lead to hypoxia within a few days (Rabalais and Turner, 2019).

The time series analysis showed that in seven events, the number of consecutive hypoxic days exceeded 1 week, twice at VE02 and VE06, and three times at VE05. The fact that stations located in different water bodies experienced prolonged hypoxia supports the hypothesis that the onset of hypoxic conditions is governed by a combined effect of the degradation of planktonic, macroalgae, and microphytobenthos debris (Facca et al., 2002; Sfriso and Facca, 2007), which may occur in surface sediment, and the intensity of water mixing, due to the short term variability of wind conditions (Carniello et al., 2011).

A second aspect of relevance concerns the consequences of hypoxia on water bodies through the release of sulfide. In shallow marine water environments, it represents a major threat for living biota (Vague-Sunyer and Duarte, 2010). Release of sulfide is linked to (i) the intensity of diagenesis which increases sulfate-reduction and sulfide production (Cathalot et al., 2012), (ii) the buffer potential of iron as it combines with sulfide to precipitate as FeS and FeS$_2$ in coastal sediments (Becker et al., 2016; Rassmann et al., 2020), (iii) the oxygen concentration in the water column (Roden and Tuttle, 1992) as oxygen fuels the re-oxidation loop of H$_2$S directly or through the iron buffer. In organic rich sediments, such as the Thau lagoon in oyster farms, sulfide production exceeds the buffer iron potential and sulfides are diffuses very close to the SWI (Metzger et al., 2007). H$_2$S benthic flux also dramatically increases oxygen depletion in the water column during hypoxic phases as H$_2$S is a strong oxygen sink with 2 moles of O$_2$ consumed by 1 mole of H$_2$S, therefore contributing to the spread of oxygen depletion in the stratified water column (Roden and Tuttle, 1992).

$$H_2S + 2O_2 \rightarrow SO_4^{2-} + 2H^+$$

Our findings show that sulfate reduction is intense at stations ALG1 and TRES, with TS concentrations higher than 0.5 mM at 10–13 cm. Sulfide profiles shows that the concentration rapidly increases with depth (e.g., station TRES in Figure 4). Therefore, sulfide could be released to the water column, in case of reduced oxygenation at the SWI. Larger dissolved sulfate concentrations are expected at depth around 20 cm where measurements with micro-electrode could not be carried out. Indeed, as sulfate is nearly completely depleted at station ALG1, sulfide production is likely to be larger below 20 cm depth at this station as already documented at the organic-rich station C5 in the Thau lagoon (Metzger et al., 2007). Stations ALG2, GIU, and VIG, characterized by “moderate diagenesis,” showed limited sulfate depletion and little sign of sulfide in porewaters. This is probably the consequence of lower inputs of organic matter and the non-linear interaction with iron which buffers sulfide concentration through Fe-S interactions. In order to improve the management of anoxic crisis in the Venice lagoon and its consequences on the lagoon ecosystem, it would be important to locate and study sulfide occurrence in porewaters and efflux potential. This would help forecasting the consequences of hypoxic crisis on fish and macrobenthos, and potentially remediate in extreme cases.

CONCLUSION

The analysis performed on a >10 years long time-series of monitoring data highlighted the repeated occurrence of low oxygen events during summer, with several consecutive days showing water column saturation values below 25% (in 7 times >1 week). In shallow aquatic systems, the composition of the water column is largely controled by the exchanges with the sediment. As an illustration, the oxygen uptake values calculated from microelectrode profiles in this study (8.8 and 28.5 mmol m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$), indicate that sediments can have an important role in the onset/maintenance of hypoxic conditions of the water column with a theoretical “consumption time” of water column oxygen ranging from 5 to 18 days. Stations investigated during this study presented different characteristics in terms of intensity of early diagenesis processes, which lead to an attempt of grouping them: (i) intense diagenesis stations, ALG1, TRES, and (ii) moderate diagenesis stations, ALG2, VIG, and GIU. This was performed on the DIC and SO$_4^{2-}$ profiles, considering that the main contrast in diagenetic intensities for the sediment of the Venice lagoon, is reflected in sulfate reduction. The potential for sulfide release in these conditions is higher at stations with...
higher diagenetic intensities, having large concentrations of total dissolved sulfide near the SWL. This represents a potential threat to the lagoon ecosystems related to the occurrence of hypoxic events, and should be monitored as such. We also remark that the role of the sediment diagenesis in generating/maintaining hypoxia and contributing to sulfide occurrence in the water column could be enhanced by changes in regional climate conditions, such as the increase in frequency of summer heat waves. Results could constitute a basis for improving existing biogeochemical models of the lagoon (e.g., Lovato et al., 2013), by including a more accurate representation of organic matter degradation processes in sediment (Arndt et al., 2013; Paraska et al., 2014). The future development of existing biogeochemical models in this direction could enhance the capacity of simulating the evolution of the hypoxic conditions, and, therefore, of assessing the risk of hypoxic events.
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