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Emergency percutaneous thoracic endovascular aortic repair for patients with traumatic thoracic aortic blunt injury: A single center experience
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A B S T R A C T

Purpose: To analyze the efficacy and outcome of percutaneous thoracic endovascular aortic repair (TEVAR) in patients with traumatic blunt aortic injury in our single-center.

Methods: From January 2014 to December 2018, a total of 89 patients with traumatic blunt aortic injuries were treated with emergency TEVAR in our center. Their clinical data such as demographics, operative details and postprocedure outcomes were analyzed retrospectively in this study using SPSS 20 software. Continuous variables were expressed as mean and standard deviation or median and interquartile range. Categorical variables are expressed as the numbers and percentages of patients.

Results: The median age of the patients was 37 years, and 76 (85.4%) were males. All the patients were involved in violent accidents and combined with associated injuries. Two patients died while awaiting the operations and 87 patients underwent emergency percutaneous TEVAR, with a 100% technique success. The mean time interval from admission to operating room was (90.1 ± 18.7) min, and the mean procedure time was (54.6 ± 11.9) min. Eighty (92.0%) patients were operated under local anesthesia, while other 7 (8.0%) patients were under general anesthesia. Two cases underwent open repair of the femoral arteries because of the pseudoaneurysm formation of the access vessels. A total of 98 aortic covered stent grafts were deployed, of which 11 patients used two stent grafts (all in dissection cases). The length of the stent was (177.5 ± 24.6) mm. The horizontal diameter of aorta arch at the proximal left subclavian artery ostium was (24.9 ± 2.4) mm, the proximal diameter of the covered stent was (30.5 ± 2.6) mm, and the oversize rate of proximal site was (22.7 ± 4.0)%. The proximal landing zone length was (14.1 ± 5.5) mm. The left subclavian artery ostium was completely covered in 5 patients and partially covered in 32 patients. No blood flow reconstruction was performed. The overall aortic-related mortality was 2.25% (2/89). Among 87 patients, the median follow-up time was 24 months. Postoperative computed tomography angiography scans demonstrated no residual pseudoaneurysm, hematoma or endoleak. One patient complained of mild left upper limb weakness during follow-up due to left subclavian artery occlusion. Neither late death, nor neurological or other complications occurred.

Conclusion: Emergency percutaneous endovascular repair is a less invasive and effective approach for the treatment of traumatic blunt aortic injuries. Long-term results remain to be further followed.

C 2020 Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Chinese Medical Association. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

Traumatic aortic disease is a relatively rare but fatal injury, with an extremely high mortality rate. Thoracic aortic injury is the second most common cause of death in trauma patients, exceeded only by intracranial hemorrhage.1 According to the data of US National Trauma Data Bank (NTDB) from the year 2000–2005, among 3114 patients with traumatic blunt aortic injury, 24% were either dead on arrival or die during triage, of whom surviving triage but unable to undergo aortic repair, up to 68% died.2 Traumatic blunt aortic injury is most common in traffic accidents, followed by sudden deceleration and external crush injuries. In blunt aortic injuries, the arterial wall is damaged from inside to outside, from the intima towards the adventitia. Patients are often died from massive blood loss, shock and refractory hypoxemia.

With the advent of thoracic endovascular aortic repair (TEVAR), the management of the traumatic blunt aortic injury has changed dramatically during the last decade. The aim of present study was to analyze the efficacy and outcome of TEVAR in patients with traumatic blunt aortic injury in our single-center.

* Corresponding author.
E-mail address: biubupx@126.com (L.-J. Huang).
Peer review under responsibility of Chinese Medical Association.
Methods

Patients

A retrospective analysis was performed using a database of thoracic aortic endovascular repair procedures at our institution from January 2014 to December 2018. The Institutional Review Board approved this study. A total of 89 patients with traumatic blunt thoracic aortic injuries were admitted to our center and the clinical data such as demographics, operative details, post-procedure outcomes were analyzed. All patients underwent emergency total body computed tomography angiography (CTA) to identify concomitant vascular, cerebral, or thoracoabdominal visceral injuries. After diagnosis was established, the emergency TEVAR procedure was prepared.

Percutaneous TEVAR

All patients underwent percutaneous TEVAR under either local anesthesia or general anesthesia.

Preclose technique

The common femoral artery was accessed percutaneously using Seldinger method. It is important to make sure to puncture the common femoral artery along its anterior aspect at least 1 cm proximal to the bifurcation (which is crucial for accurate hemostasis). Then a 0.035-inch guide wire was inserted into the aorta and the puncture site was dilated with a 6F dilator. Then the first Perclose Proglide Vascular Suture System (Abbott Vascular, USA) was inserted over the guide wire, rotated medially approximately 30° and deployed, but the strands were left out extracorporeally and tagged with a small clamp. Guidewire access was maintained, and a second Proglide device was inserted, rotated laterally 30° (the angle between the two systems >60°), and deployed. After this device was removed, hemostasis was maintained by reinserting a 9F sheath.

TEVAR

Considering that all the patients suffered concomitant injuries, only 2000 U intravenous heparinization was used instead of standard heparinization during the procedure. All devices were flushed with heparin solution (10 U/mL) to prevent clot formation. A diagnostic angiography of the entire aorta was performed, including abdominal, thoracic as well as ascending aorta. The aortic injuries, the landing zone status and the relation to the side branches were analyzed. Thoracic stent grafts were loaded on the Lunderquist extra-stiff guide wire (Cook Medical, USA), and were delivered to the aortic arch under fluoroscopy. Then the stent grafts were deployed at the exact location as planned pre-operatively. The left subclavian artery ostium was partially or totally covered if the angle between the two systems >60°, and deployed. After this device was removed, hemostasis was maintained by reintroducing a 9F sheath.

Follow-up

The clinical and CTA follow-up were performed at 1, 6, and 12 months after the intervention, and annually thereafter.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was computed using SPSS 20 software version (IBM, Armonk, NY). Continuous variables were expressed as mean and standard deviation when parametric, and as median and interquartile range when nonparametric. Categorical variables are expressed as the numbers and percentages of patients.

Results

Patient characteristics

The median age of enrolled patients was 37 years, range (24–58) years, and 76 (85.4%) were males. All patients were involved in violent accidents, including vehicle collision (n = 73, 82.0%), fall from heights (n = 16, 18%). The aortic injuries were located at the aortic isthmus in 98.9% cases. No ascending or transverse arch injuries were observed. The injuries characteristics were summarized in Table 1.

Procedure

The median time interval from suffering the accidents to arriving at our center was 24 h, range 5–135 h 80 patients (89.89%) were transferred from other institutions. All patients were prepared for the emergency TEVAR as soon as diagnosed. Unfortunately, 2 patients were dead because of aortic rupture before the operation. Among them, one patient (a 25 year old male patient) died soon after the CTA scan was done; the other (a 35 year old male patient) died on his way to the operating room. Both 2 patients were diagnosed Grade IV aortic injuries by CTA. The remaining 87 patients underwent emergency percutaneous TEVAR procedure successfully (Figs. 1 and 2). The mean time interval from admission to operating room was (90.1 ± 18.7) min, and the mean procedure time was (54.6 ± 11.9) min.

Vessel access

All patients underwent TEVAR percutaneously. A total of 174 Proglide devices were used to 87 access sites. The right femoral artery was punctured in 75 patients (86.2%) and the left femoral artery in 12 patients (13.8%) for stent delivery. And because of associated injuries of the left arm, the left radial artery could not successfully punctured and inserted sheath in 2 patients, so the contralateral side of femoral artery was punctured instead. 2 cases underwent open repair because of the pseudoaneurysm formation 2 and 3 days after the initial procedures, respectively. There were no hematomas, pseudoaneurysms, or other access-related complications that required additional surgical or endovascular interventions.

Table 1

| Injury characteristics                      | n (%)          |
|--------------------------------------------|----------------|
| Lesion location                            |                |
| Aortic isthmus                             | 88 (98.9)      |
| Descending aorta                           | 1 (1.1)        |
| Lesion severity                            |                |
| Grade I intimal tear                       | 0 (0)          |
| Grade II intramural hematoma and hemothorax| 14 (15.7)      |
| Grade III pseudoaneurysm/dissection        | 64 (71.9)      |
| Grade IV transection/rupture               | 11 (12.3)      |
| Associated injuries                        |                |
| Head                                       | 12 (13.5)      |
| Lung                                       | 46 (51.7)      |
| Bones                                      | 81 (91.0)      |
| Visceral organs                            | 10 (11.2)      |
| Multiple lesions                           | 58 (66.2)      |
Endovascular repair

Eighty (92.0%) patients were operated under local anesthesia, while the other 7 (8.0%) patients were operated under general anesthesia because of their unstable hemodynamic or lost conscious. A total of 98 covered aortic stent grafts were deployed, in which 2 stent grafts were deployed in 11 patients (all in dissection cases). The length of the stent was (177.5 ± 24.6) mm. The horizontal diameter of the aortic arch at the level of proximal left subclavian artery ostium was (24.9 ± 2.4) mm, the proximal diameter of the covered stent was (30.5 ± 2.6) mm, and the oversize rate of proximal site was (22.7 ± 4.0) %. The proximal landing zone length was (14.1 ± 5.5) mm. The left subclavian artery ostium was completely covered in 5 patients, partially covered in 32 patients. No blood flow reconstruction was performed.

Follow-up results

The overall aortic-related mortality was 2.25% (2/89). Among 87 patients who underwent operation successfully, the median follow-up time was 24 months. During the follow-up, no late death occurred and postoperative CTA scans demonstrated that no residual pseudoaneurysm, hematoma, or endoleak was revealed. Only one patient complained of mild left upper limb weakness due to TEVAR with intentional closure of the left subclavian artery, but no neurological symptoms such as cerebral infarction or dizziness were found. Thus no left subclavian artery revascularization was performed. Complete aortic remodeling was observed in 23 cases (all intramural hematomas and transections) (Fig. 3); totally thrombalization was observed in 42 cases (all pseudoaneurysms). Complete aortic remodeling was observed in 16 cases of dissections. Totally thrombalizations at stent graft segments were observed in the other 6 dissection cases, while persistent dissections in abdominal aorta were observed because of the multiple distal re-entries.

Discussion

Traumatic blunt aortic injury is associated with an extremely high mortality and represents the second most common cause of death in trauma patients.1 Traumatic blunt aortic injury is frequently related to a sudden deceleration, most commonly in automobile crashes. Other causes include crashes of motorcycles, auto-pedestrian collisions, falls, and crush injuries.3
mechanism of the injury is most likely to the result of simulta-
neously multiple forces acting on the aorta suddenly, including
movement of the sternum posteriorly with compression of the
aorta onto the spine, a sudden increase in hydrostatic forces
within the aorta, and the deceleration stress on the aorta with shearing
torsion of the descending aorta, which remains focally fixed by the
ligamentum arteriosum. Of the 89 patients in this study, in-
juries caused by traffic accidents accounted for 82.02% (73 cases),
and falling injuries accounted for 17.98% (16 cases). Most of the
injuries are located at the aortic isthmus. In our study, 98.9% were
involved in the aortic isthmus, only 1 case involved in the
descending aorta.

Based on the severity, traumatic blunt aortic injury is clas-
sified into four grades: grade I, intimal tear, with no involve-
ment of the media and no contour abnormalities to the outside
surface of the aorta; grade II, injury extends to the media, such
as an intramural hematoma or dissection, with the presence of
an external contour abnormality; grade III, pseudoaneurysm; and
grade IV, rupture. According to 2011 Guidelines by SVS, Grade I
injury may be managed nonoperatively, Grade II, III, and IV in-
juries should be repaired. Despite the recommendations, the
management of intramural hematoma (grade II injuries) remains
controversial. Recently several retrospective literatures reported
that medication therapy may be safe in selected cases of grade II
injuries. Rabin et al. also suggested that secondary signs of
injury, such as pseudocoarctation, mediastinal hematoma with
mass effect, and severe left hemothorax, are important in-
dicators of a high risk of rupture. In our data, 14 patients were
diagnosed with intramural hematoma (grade II injuries), and all
of them were combined with middle to severe left hemothorax,
which was an indicator of high risk. Thus, they underwent
emergency TEVAR in our center.

Aortic CTA is a fast, non-invasive, effective method and it has
been widely used in clinic. Demetriades et al. reported that current
CT scanners have been shown to achieve 100% sensitivity
and specificity for traumatic blunt aortic injury. Total aorta CTA can fully
assess the severity of the aortic injury, as well as identification of
associated injuries that are commonly present. What is more,
precise information needed for planning the operation can be
achieved by the CTA reconstruction data. In our institution, the
emergency aortic CTA is available in 24 h, which ensures that each
trauma patient can finish CTA scan in a short time. The emergency
aortic CTA scanning protocol is a standard non-ECG-gated aortic
CTA. We recommend that an emergency aortic CTA should be
present when a patient injured in a high-energy mechanism and a
traumatic blunt aortic injury is suspected.

In 1997, Semba et al. reported the first success of covered
stent graft to repair a traumatic blunt aortic injury using
endovascular technique. Then, several studies have confirmed
the efficacy of TEVAR in the treatment of traumatic aortic in-
juries. Endovascular repair has now replaced open repair as
the primary treatment choice for most anatomically suitable
patient with traumatic blunt aortic injuries. First, in tradi-
tional dissection, proximal landing zone is not absolute normal
aortic wall sometimes (hematoma or atherosclerotic changes,
etc.), and the distal landing zone is at least partial intimai tear.
But for blunt injury, the lesion is frequently localized, with
relatively normal proximal and distal segment of the aorta
wall, thus providing excellent landing zones for the stent graft.
Second, the aortic injury most commonly occurs at the
isthmus, which is approximately 10–20 mm distal from the
LSA ostium, sometimes causing insufficient proximal landing
zone. But in our experience, less than 15 mm is not an exact
contraindication for TEVAR. Recent case reports and pre-
sentations also mentioned the similar opinion, that blunt
injury can be treated with shorter landing zones. In this
study, the proximal landing zone length was (14.1 ± 5.5) mm.
The left subclavian artery was completely covered in 5 patients
and partially covered in 32 patients. No blood flow recon-
struction was performed. Only 1 patient complained of left
arm weakened. Third, it is important to note that the injury
involved length in angiography during the procedure is
frequently shorter than it showed in CTA. The difference is due
to the periaortic hematoma or hemorrhage that is hardly
detected in angiography. Thus, the length of the stent graft
should be longer to make sure that it covered all involved
segment. In this study, the length of involved segment was
(44.5 ± 7.4) mm, and the length of the covered stent graft was
(164.3 ± 15.2) mm. In addition, in order to achieve a
completely exclusion of the lesion, our experience is that a
20%–25% oversize of the diameter should be considered.

Percutaneous access during endovascular aortic repairs is
difficult because of the large size of delivery systems. Avoid-
ance of surgical femoral exposure may result in shorter pro-
cedure time, fewer wound complications, and less patient
discomfort. The Perclose Proglide vascular suture system using
“Preclose Technique” offers the advantages of a permanent
suture, the least amount of intravascular and extravascular

---

Fig. 3. Aortic CTA in 12 months postoperative follow-up. (A): multiplanar reconstruction; (B): volume reconstruction showing completely aortic remodeling.
foreign material, and similarity with conventional arterial repair. Several articles have described the noninferiority of the Preclose Technique over the classic femoral cutdown for endovascular aortic repair. Lee et al. retrospectively examined 279 femoral accesses of percutaneous closure of femoral arteries using Preclose Technique, the overall successful rate was over 94%, and the incidence of access-related complications were comparable to a surgical exposure group. In our study, the successful rate was 97.7%. We believe that there are several advantages of Preclose Technique applied in the emergency TEVAR for traumatic blunt aortic injury. First, it can significantly shorten the procedure time. In our study, the mean procedure time of percutaneous TEVAR was only 54.6 min. It is very important for our patients because their aortic injuries should be repaired in less than 1 h. Second, in majority cases, the procedure can be performed under local anesthesia. It offers neurologic monitoring for intraoperative cerebrovascular accidents or spinal cord ischemia. It can further shorten the hospital-stay compared with those operated under general anesthesia. Third, despite accurate and fast hemostasis, the access artery can be used for puncture again, which is helpful for further treatment of other associated injuries. There are some limitations for Preclose Technique. First, it takes a certain learning curve associated with the use of the device. In our series, pseudoaneurysm formation at access sites occurred in 2 cases, which both occurred at the beginning of the series. Second, there are some contraindications for this procedure, such as obesity patient, severely scarred groin, and heavy calcific vessel, etc.

In conclusion, emergency percutaneous endovascular repair is a less invasive and effective approach for the treatment of traumatic blunt aortic injuries. This percutaneous TEVAR procedure can significantly shorten the procedure time, which can be performed soon after the establishment of diagnosis prior to management of other concomitant injuries. Since trauma patients are relatively young, the long-term result remains unclear, so further follow-up is necessary. The morphologic changes of the aorta that come with age may still occur and lead to stent graft-related complications.
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