Voluntary exercise can strengthen the circadian system in aged mice
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Abstract Consistent daily rhythms are important to healthy aging according to studies linking disrupted circadian rhythms with negative health impacts. We studied the effects of age and exercise on baseline circadian rhythms and on the circadian system's ability to respond to the perturbation induced by an 8 h advance of the light:dark (LD) cycle as a test of the system's robustness. Mice (male, mPer2luc/C57BL/6) were studied at one of two ages: 3.5 months (n=39) and >18 months (n=72). We examined activity records of these mice under entrained and shifted conditions as well as mPER2::LUC measures ex vivo to assess circadian function in the suprachiasmatic nuclei (SCN) and important target organs. Age was associated with reduced running wheel use, fragmentation of activity, and slowed resetting in both behavioral and molecular measures. Furthermore, we observed that for aged mice, the presence of a running wheel altered the amplitude of the spontaneous firing rate rhythm in the SCN in vitro. Following a shift of the LD cycle, both young and aged mice showed a change in rhythmicity properties of the mPER2::LUC oscillation of the SCN in vitro, and aged mice exhibited longer lasting internal desynchrony. Access to a running wheel alleviated some age-related changes in the circadian system. In an additional experiment, we replicated the effect of the running wheel, comparing behavioral and in vitro results from aged mice housed with or without a running wheel (>21 months, n=8 per group, all examined 4 days after the shift). The impact of voluntary exercise on circadian rhythm properties in an aged animal is a novel finding and has implications for the health of older people living with environmentally induced circadian disruption.
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Introduction

Aging can impact circadian (daily) rhythms leading to impaired sleep and activity cycles. Older adults show
reduced amplitude of rhythms (Münch et al. 2005), manifested most obviously as disrupted sleep. Healthy older adults can exhibit dysfunctions of sleep and wake, including difficulty initiating sleep, frequent nighttime awakenings, and early morning wake times (Buysse et al. 2005). These observed alterations in the daily rhythm of sleep and wake are attributable to changes in the interplay between homeostatic sleep mechanisms and circadian clock parameters (Dijk et al. 2000). In addition, aging of the clock affects mood and memory and contributes to age-associated cognitive decline (Nebes et al. 2009; Grandner et al. 2012). Decreased locomotor activity rhythm amplitude is associated with increased risk of mortality (Tranah et al. 2010), and sleep loss in the elderly has been linked to increased incidence of falls, accidents, poor health status, and mortality (Ancoli-Israel and Alessi 2005; Foley et al. 1995; Foley et al. 1999). These findings suggest a possible link between deficits in circadian rhythmicity and less successful aging, although the data are corelational so a causal relationship cannot be inferred in many of these human studies.

Rodents also show significant changes in circadian function with age, including reduced amplitude of output rhythms, providing an excellent model through which to study the basic mechanisms of age-related changes in the circadian clock. Earlier work found changes in a number of circadian parameters in the activity of C57BL/6 mice with senescence in vivo (Valentinuzzi et al. 1997). The central circadian pacemaker in mammals is located within the suprachiasmatic nuclei (SCN) in the hypothalamus (Rusak and Zucker 1979). The network of neurons in the SCN generates an approximately 24-h oscillation via transcriptional–translational feedback loops of clock gene expression and also exhibits daily patterns in neuronal firing rate. The amplitude and phase synchrony of electrical activity of SCN neurons are affected by age in rats and mice (Farajnia et al. 2012; Nakamura et al. 2011; Satinoff et al. 1993). While aspects of input pathways or SCN cell response are impaired in aged animals (Benloucif et al. 1997; Biello 2009; Zhang et al. 1996; 1998), some specific deficits seen in circadian behavior of aged mice might be attributable to deficits not within the clock mechanism but in communication from the molecular clock to output targets. This is supported by studies showing unaltered rhythms in core clock genes per1 and per2 in the SCN of aged animals (Kolker et al. 2003), yet with dramatic changes seen in neuronal firing rate output rhythms (Nakamura et al. 2011; Satinoff et al. 1993) as well as in behavioral rhythms (Valentinuzzi et al. 1997).

Communication from the clock to output targets is necessary to maintain the internal phase relationships of the peripheral and central circadian clocks that comprise the circadian system. Several prior studies using rats with a bioluminescent reporter for the clock gene per1 (Davidson et al. 2008; Yamazaki et al. 2002) indicate that, in vitro, some peripheral tissues show altered phase with the light:dark (LD) cycle in aged rats and some peripheral tissues seem to have markedly damped rhythmicity. If advancing age negatively affects communication from central to peripheral clocks, we would expect a deficit in the response to a shift in the entraining light cycle. The current understanding of “jet lag” following a shift of the entraining cycle is that it arises from a failure of the SCN clock to rapidly transmit the shifted clock signal to peripheral tissues (Yamazaki et al. 2000), with coincident disruption among cell populations within the SCN (Davidson et al. 2009; Nagano et al. 2003; Nakamura et al. 2005). Circadian clocks in extra-SCN brain regions as well as those outside the central nervous system shift at varied rates (Kiessling et al. 2010; Yamazaki et al. 2000), leading to a state of internal temporal desynchrony that may impair cognition and health (Castañon-Cervantes et al. 2010; Lee et al. 2010; Martino et al. 2008; Karatsoreos et al. 2011; Cho 2001). Central and peripheral oscillators respond to a shift of the light cycle differently in young and aged mice, providing further evidence that aging alters circadian function (Sellix at al. 2012). To test if age impacts circadian clock output, we can track resynchronization to a shifted LD cycle using both behavioral measures in vivo and bioluminescent mPER2::LUC ex vivo (Yoo et al. 2004) to assess phase and rhythmicity of SCN and peripheral oscillators. These measures provide a window into the dynamics of resynchronization of the entire circadian system and assessment of any age-related deficits.

We hypothesized that aged mice will demonstrate deficits in resynchronization following an 8 h advance in the LD cycle, either in total time required for complete circadian system resynchronization and/or in degree of internal desynchrony during the time period of phase shift dynamics. To test this hypothesis, we monitored motion and wheel-running activity of mPer2Luc mice and recorded PER2::LUC bioluminescence rhythms in the
SCN and three important target organs. We further hypothesized that there would be no deficits in cycling of SCN mPER2::LUC in aged control unshifted mice, given prior research that has not indicated appreciable deficits in period gene cycling in aged animals (Asai et al. 2001; Kolker et al. 2003; Nakamura et al. 2011; Yamazaki et al. 2002). A prior study suggested that age affected the rate of resynchronization of peripheral targets but did not alter SCN resetting dynamics in Per1-luc rats (Davidson et al. 2008), supporting a hypothesis that age-related deficits will be restricted to target tissues but will not be observed in the SCN.

To explore ways to counteract age-associated changes, we tested if access to a running wheel could have a beneficial effect on the temporal organization of the circadian system in mice under control conditions as well as in response to the LD shift. Exercise can lessen the negative impact of age on learning and memory, neurogenesis, and motor behavior in mice (Marlatt et al. 2012). Running wheels can alter key properties of rodent circadian rhythms such as period and rate of resynchronization (Castillo et al. 2011; Harrington et al. 2007) and exercise can also benefit consolidation of sleep and activity rhythms in people (King et al. 1997; Passos et al. 2011; Reid et al. 2010). Here, we determined if naturally increased activity by voluntary use of a running wheel altered effects of age on circadian system dynamics using electrophysiological, molecular, and behavioral measures.

Methods

Electrophysiological experiments

Fourteen male C57BL/6 mice (University of Glasgow) were housed under an LD schedule of 12:12 h. While they had all experienced a wheel in their cage at various times between 4 and 6 months of age, for the 9–11 months prior to the beginning of this experiment, these mice had no wheel exposure. At the start of this experiment, seven mice were placed in cages containing running wheels, while the other seven remained in their home cage with no wheel.

Mice (18–20 months) were administered an overdose of halothane anesthesia and decapitated during the phases when this manipulation does not induce phase shifts (Gillette 1986), in most cases, between Zeitgeber Time (ZT) 2 and 5. Hypothalamic slices (500 μm) containing the SCN were placed in a gas–fluid interface slice chamber (Medical Systems BSC with Haas top), continuously bathed (1 ml/min) in artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) containing 125.2 mM NaCl, 3.8 mM KCl, 1.2 mM KH2PO4, 1.8 mM CaCl2, 1 mM MgSO4, 24.8 mM NaHCO3, and 10 mM glucose. ACSF (pH 7.4) was maintained at 34.5 °C. Warm, humidified 95 % oxygen:5 % carbon dioxide was continuously provided.

Extracellular single unit activity of SCN cells was detected with glass micropipette electrodes filled with ACSF, advanced through the slice using a hydraulic microdrive. The electrode was placed into regions of the SCN at random, alternating between the left and right SCN. The signal was fed into an amplifier for further amplification and filtering and was continuously monitored by an oscilloscope and audio monitor. Firing rate and interspike interval data were analyzed using Spike (Cambridge Electronic Design Ltd., Cambridge, UK) data acquisition software and a customized program for calculation of descriptive statistics. The average spontaneous firing rate and the ZT for each single unit encountered was recorded for 4–5 min by an experimenter blind to the condition.

Recordings were typically performed for 8–12 h during ZT 0–12 of the second 24 h in vitro. One slice from each old mouse (n=7) with a wheel and each old mouse (n=7) without a wheel were monitored continuously for 32 h from ZT 0 of the first day in vitro.

Behavioral and bioluminescence experiments

Mice (male, mPer2<sup>luc/+</sup> and mPer2<sup>luc/luc</sup> on a C57BL/6 background; total n=120) were bred in-house (Smith College) from founders from Dr. J. Takahashi (University of Texas Southwestern). In the first experiment, mice were studied at one of two ages: 105±3 days (n=39) or 579±5 days (n=72). Mice were individually housed and behavioral activity rhythms were measured by monitoring of a running wheel installed in each cage as well as by a motion sensor positioned on the cage lid, connected to a computer running ClockLab software (Actimetrics Inc., Wilmette, IL, USA). Following entrainment to a LD cycle 12:12 (light intensity: 350–400 lx), the LD cycle was phase advanced by 8 h via a short night. At various times throughout the experiment, mice were euthanized for recording of tissue rhythms in vitro. The mouse was taken from its cage within an hour
of ZT 2 of the original entraining cycle and ZT 10 of the phase advanced cycle (ZT 12 is defined as the time of lights off), based on prior research showing that dissection in this time window does not reset phase (Davidson et al. 2009). Mice were euthanized either prior to the LD shift or on Days 2, 4, and 9, following the LD shift. Control unshifted mice were euthanized between ZT 2 and 10 with the exception of two subgroups of aged mice housed with running wheels that were euthanized either ZT2–3 or between ZT 10 and 11 to check for time of dissection effects in the aged mice. Mice were overdosed with isoflurane anesthesia and the brain was quickly removed and sections (300 μm) containing the SCN were collected using a vibratome. Tissue samples from the spleen, thymus, and esophagus were collected as well. All tissue samples were placed on culture inserts (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) and placed in a 35-mm petri dish containing 1.2 ml of culture media, as described in Guenthner et al. (2009). Rhythms in bioluminescence were monitored using a Lumicycle (Actimetrics Inc., Wilmette, IL, USA). We compared aged mice housed without running wheels to aged and young mice housed with running wheels. Of the 111 animals used in the first experiment, mice were distributed to conditions as follows: aged mice housed without running wheels: controls: n = 9, Day 4: n = 8, Day 9: n = 5; aged mice housed with running wheels: controls: n = 17, Day 2: n = 12, Day 4: n = 11, Day 9: n = 6; young mice housed with running wheels: controls: n = 10, Day 2: n = 13, Day 4: n = 10, Day 9: n = 6.

In a second experiment, aged mice (male, mPer2luc/+ and mPer2luc/luc, 626 to 652 days old on the day of euthanasia) were housed individually with a motion sensor positioned on the cage lid. Nine mice were housed with a running wheel and nine mice had no running wheel. Mice were under a LD cycle 12:12 (light intensity: 350–400 lx) for 2 months before receiving a phase advance shift of 8 h. On Day 4, after the LD shift, eight mice from each group were euthanized and tissues prepared as described above for bioluminescent recording.

Data analysis methods

For electrophysiological data, the average firing rate of each cell recorded from each slice was plotted against the ZT of the recording. Slices without significant differences across firing rate data grouped into 1 (ZT)-h bins (p<0.05; Analysis of variance (ANOVA)) were not used for further analysis (n=1). If there were significant differences, data were smoothed by 1-h running means with a 15-min lag. The time corresponding to the maximum of the smoothed data was used as the time of the peak firing. A significant difference between the two groups (p<0.05) was determined by a t test (n=7 per group).

Activity and bioluminescence time series were analyzed using custom scripts as described in Leise and Harrington (2011), run in MATLAB 7.14.0 (The MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA) and calling routines from the wmtsa package (Charles R. Cornish, http://www.atmos.washington.edu/~wmtsa/). The discrete wavelet transform (DWT) decomposes a time series into components corresponding to different frequency bands in a time-localized manner, thereby isolating the circadian component to effectively remove trend and noise. This also partitions the variance (energy) of a time series according to the frequency band, so that the circadian component can be quantitatively compared to that of the ultradian components. We applied a translation-invariant DWT with the Daubechies least-asymmetric filter with length of 12 to 4-day-long activity records with 30-min bins using periodic boundary conditions and starting and ending in the middle of rest intervals to minimize edge effects. We also applied this DWT to PER2::LUC bioluminescence time series (10-min time steps) to extract the circadian component, which we used to determine times of peak luminescence in tissue explants.

Circular statistics were computed using the CircStat toolbox (Berens 2009). Time in hours was converted to angles in radians by multiplying by 2π/24. The circular mean of n angles αk is defined as θ = arg(1/n ∑k=1n ekαk), while the circular standard deviation is defined as r = √−2lnr, where r is the synchronization index r = |1/n ∑k=1n eikαk|.

To calculate the rhythmicity index (RI), we computed the autocorrelation of 5-day-long bioluminescence time series and set RI to be the value at the second peak (where the lag equals the period). A few samples with outlier RI values (below 0.1) were discarded (two SCN, three spleen, 11 esophagus, and one thymus out of 127 total samples for each tissue).

Motion records were normalized for each animal by dividing by the mean value over a 4-day window.
Actograms were prepared in ClockLab software using scale of 5 for motion sensor data and 25 for wheel data. Multiple comparison ANOVA analyses use Tukey's honestly significant difference criterion.

Results

Comparison of activity measures under entrained conditions

The SCN output from aged mice with or without wheels was compared using electrophysiological measures. We assessed the value of the firing rate from each slice at the time of the peak of the smoothed curve fit to the data from that slice. Aged mice housed with wheels (n=7) exhibited significantly higher peak firing rate than aged mice without wheels (n=7): 5.02±0.16 versus 4.06±0.07 Hz (mean±SEM, t test p<0.001). The time of peak firing rate did not differ between the two groups: ZT 30.3±0.1 versus ZT 30.4±0.1 (mean±SEM, t test p=0.19). See Fig. 1 for representative examples.

The median patterns of normalized locomotor activity were comparable in aged mice and younger mice in the baseline condition of entrainment to LD12:12 (see Fig. 2a). However, aged mice wheel-ran significantly less than young mice: 26±15 versus 65±19 mean wheel revolutions per half-hour bin (mean±SD, t test p<0.001). See Fig. 2c. Furthermore, the strength of circadian rhythmicity differed across the groups, as measured by energy separated into frequency bands by a DWT (Leise and Harrington 2011) for both motion and wheel-running activity (see Fig. 2b, d). In particular, aged mice exhibit greater fragmentation of activity than young mice, as indicated by the values in Fig. 2b for the energy in the scale 1, corresponding to the time scale 0–3 h (p<0.001, F=41, multiple comparison test). The energy in scales 2–4 (3–16 h, corresponding to ultradian motion patterns) is similar in young and aged mice, with or without a wheel, but is quite different in the circadian scale 5 (p<0.001, F=37, multiple comparison test), indicating a weakened circadian rhythm in the activity of aged mice. Both the reduced overall activity and increased fragmentation of activity for aged mice are consistent with previous findings (Valentinuzzi et al. 1997), as is the delayed onset of activity at lights off for aged mice, shown in Fig. 2c.

We also examined an alternative measure, the RI (value of the autocorrelation sequence at lag=24 h) of activity rhythms. The RI of motion under entrained LD 12:12 conditions had a mean differing significantly among the three groups (p<0.001, F=25, multiple comparison test) and was positively correlated (r=0.78, p<0.001) with the proportion of circadian energy for the DWT. RI<0.083 indicates that the motion record did not exhibit significant circadian rhythmicity. Motion records for 100 % of young mice were significantly rhythmic (RI 0.42±0.02, mean±SEM), 93 % of aged mice with wheel (RI 0.30±0.02), 77 % of aged mice without wheel (RI 0.19±0.03), compared to 100 % of wheel records of young and aged mice (RI 0.63±0.01 and 0.48±0.02, respectively).

Differences in response to an 8 h advance of the LD cycle

Following the 8 h advance of the LD cycle, the aged mice required more days than young mice to adjust their locomotor activity rhythms to a typical phase relative to dark onset, as is shown for wheel-running activity.
rhythms in Figs. 3 and 4. On Day 3, only one out of 16 aged mice with wheels displayed activity onset within 2 h of the expected value (based on the preshift time of onset), compared to ten of 16 young mice. On Day 5, zero of six aged mice had accomplished this, compared to five of six young mice. By Day 9, six out of six mice in each group had shifted to within 1 h of the expected value. Aged mice housed with a running wheel typically displayed greater circadian rhythmicity in motion sensor actograms during re-entrainment (see a representative example in Fig. 5a) than did aged mice housed without a running wheel (Fig. 5b). As shown in Fig. 6, the pattern of wheel use was altered on Days 1–3 following the shift, especially in the aged mice. By Day 5 postshift, young mice display activity patterns consistent with baseline wheel use, whereas aged mice continued to show altered patterns of wheel use and lower levels of wheel use early in the dark phase compared to baseline patterns.

**Fig. 2** Analysis of activity under control conditions: a median normalized motion under LD12:12. Gray band indicates the standard error of the median. b Proportion of energy in discrete wavelet transform (DWT) scales of motion, which can be interpreted as proportion of variance accounted for by each period range. All three groups are significantly different in proportion of energy in level $k=1$ and in circadian level $k=5$ ($p<0.001$) but not significantly different for levels $k=2$, 3, and 4 (multiple comparison test). c Median wheel revolutions per 30-min bin. d Energy in DWT scales for wheel-running activity. Young mice had greater magnitude of overall activity and much greater energy in the circadian scale $k=5$. In b and d, error bars show mean±SEM. NW signifies animals housed without access to a running wheel.

**Fig. 3** Young and aged mice housed with running wheels showed different rates of re-entrainment of wheel-running activity rhythms to the shifted LD cycle. Circular means with 95% confidence intervals for the circular mean.
We examined mPER2::LUC bioluminescence rhythms of four tissues (SCN, esophagus, spleen, and thymus) of young and aged mice taken on Days 2, 4, and 9 following the 8 h advance of the LD cycle. For aged mice without a wheel, samples were taken on Days 4 and 9 (not Day 2). As detailed below, our analysis shows that in response to the 8 h advance, rhythmicity was reduced and the number of days needed to achieve the shift was increased in aged mice, with a greater effect for aged mice without a wheel.

The amplitude of PER2::LUC oscillations in SCN explants did not differ significantly between young and aged mice, with or without wheels, prior to the shift, and it decreased in response to the LD shift, with postshift, and actograms c and d were from mice euthanized on Day 9. Vertical line indicates time of lights off in the 12:12 LD cycle. Horizontal lines indicate missing data.
longer-lasting effects in aged mice. In young mice, SCN amplitude was significantly lower only on Day 2, while in aged mice, it was lower on both Days 2 and 4 compared to preshift control and Day 9 conditions \((p<0.001,\text{ multiple comparison test})\). For aged mice without wheels, SCN amplitude on Day 4 was also significantly lower than the preshift control \((p=0.001)\). See Fig. 7. To assess the regularity of oscillations, we used the RI; see Fig. 8 for an explanation of RI. Mean RI of SCN explants for young and aged mice did not differ significantly before or on Day 2 of the 8 h advance \((p=0.1,\text{ multiple comparison test})\). On Day 4, SCN RI of young mice were not significantly different from controls, but that of aged mice was significantly lower, indicating disrupted or weakened rhythms in the SCN \((p<0.001,\text{ }F=14,\text{ multiple comparison test})\). On Day 9, the SCN RI of all three groups were significantly different from each other \((p<0.001,\text{ }F=22,\text{ multiple comparison test})\), with SCN RI of aged mice still significantly below that of young mice, and the SCN RI for aged mice without wheels was significantly less than that for aged mice with wheels (see Fig. 9a).

The SCN of young mice re-entrained in fewer days than those of aged mice (see Fig. 10). On Day 2, both young and old mice exhibited disrupted SCN phases.

On Day 4, the SCN of the majority of young mice had nearly completed the 8 h advance (eight of ten were within 2 h of the expected value, based on control peak times), but the aged mice continued to exhibit disrupted phases. By Day 9, the SCN of mice in all three groups had completed the 8 h advance (mean did
The regularity of rhythms exhibited by SCN explants, measured by rhythmicity index (RI), does not differ significantly in mean between young, aged, and aged NW mice for LD12:12 control condition ($p=0.14$) or on Day 2 between young and aged mice ($p=0.87$). NW animals housed without access to a running wheel. On Day 4, young mice had a mean RI that did not differ significantly from the control value, while for aged and aged NW mice, the mean RI was significantly different from that for young Day 4 and all of the controls ($p<0.001$, $F=12$, multiple comparison test). All three groups differed significantly on Day 9 ($p<0.001$, $F=22$, multiple comparison test). A desynchrony of phases of the SCN, spleen, esophagus, and thymus is measured by taking the circular standard deviation of the tissue phases relative to the average phase relations under control conditions. Variability among individuals leads to some deviation from average for the control group. The desynchrony is significantly greater for both young and aged mice with wheels on Day 2 than for the control group, while on Day 4, desynchrony is not significantly different from control values for young mice but remains significantly different for aged mice ($p<0.001$, $F=6.9$, multiple comparison test comparing control, Day 2, and Day 4 conditions). On Day 9, desynchrony of aged mice without wheels remains significantly higher in mean than that of young mice ($p=0.01$, one-sided $t$ test), but desynchrony of aged mice with wheels is not significantly different from that of young mice. *$p<0.01$. Error bars show mean±SEM.

---

**Fig. 9** Rhythmicity of SCN explants and desynchrony in tissue explant phases in response to 8 h advance of LD cycle. a The regularity of rhythms exhibited by SCN explants, measured by rhythmicity index (RI), does not differ significantly in mean between young, aged, and aged NW mice for LD12:12 control condition ($p=0.14$) or on Day 2 between young and aged mice ($p=0.87$). NW animals housed without access to a running wheel. On Day 4, young mice had a mean RI that did not differ significantly from the control value, while for aged and aged NW mice, the mean RI was significantly different from that for young Day 4 and all of the controls ($p<0.001$, $F=12$, multiple comparison test). All three groups differed significantly on Day 9 ($p<0.001$, $F=22$, multiple comparison test). b Desynchrony of phases of the SCN, spleen, esophagus, and thymus is measured by taking the circular standard deviation of the tissue phases relative to the average phase relations under control conditions. Variability among individuals leads to some deviation from average for the control group. The desynchrony is significantly greater for both young and aged mice with wheels on Day 2 than for the control group, while on Day 4, desynchrony is not significantly different from control values for young mice but remains significantly different for aged mice ($p<0.001$, $F=6.9$, multiple comparison test comparing control, Day 2, and Day 4 conditions). On Day 9, desynchrony of aged mice without wheels remains significantly higher in mean than that of young mice ($p=0.01$, one-sided $t$ test), but desynchrony of aged mice with wheels is not significantly different from that of young mice. *$p<0.01$. Error bars show mean±SEM.

---

**Fig. 10** Peak times of PER2::LUC recordings of SCN explants over 3 cycles in vitro. Time in hours is given relative to ZT of unshifted LD cycle (so PER2 in control mice peaks near time of lights off). Time 0 is the beginning of the second day in vitro. Gray bars on Day 9 indicate expected phase based on mean±SD of controls. NW animals housed without access to a running wheel.

---

---
postshift, whereas aged mice continued to demonstrate disrupted internal synchrony on Day 4.

Peripheral tissues in aged mice tended to require more days to complete the shift in response to the 8 h advance, with only a modest shift by Day 4, while in young mice, most of the shift was accomplished by Day 4 (see Table 1). Young mice shifted significantly more than aged mice on Day 4 for all three peripheral tissues in response to the 8 h advance.

**Fig. 11** The time of the peak of the PER2::LUC rhythm of the SCN in vitro was predictably related to the time of last wheel-running activity onset in control unshifted animals (black triangles) as well as animals 9 days after the LD shift (gray circles) in both young (a) and aged (c) mice. Mice euthanized 2 days after the LD shift (black diamonds) showed disruption of this internal phase synchrony. In young mice, internal synchrony between locomotor activity and SCN Per2::LUC rhythms was largely restored by Day 4 postshift (gray inverted triangles, b), whereas aged mice continued to demonstrate disrupted internal synchrony on Day 4 (gray inverted triangles, d). In all graphs, lines have slope 1 and pass through the centroid of the control data points (black triangles) for the respective age group.

**Table 1** Shifting of peripheral tissues in response to 8 h advance of LD cycle. Peak time of PER2::LUC for peripheral tissues is given as hours relative to ZT of the original LD cycle. Positive shift indicates advancing of phase; negative shift indicates delaying of phase. Peak time and shifts are stated as circular mean±circular standard deviation in hours. **NW** groups without access to a running wheel.

| Tissue   | Group | Control peak time | Shift on Day 2 | Shift on Day 4 | Shift on Day 9 |
|----------|-------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Thymus   | Young | 19.5±1.1 h        | 2.5±1.3 h      | 6.3±2.2 h      | 7.9±0.9 h      |
|          | Aged  | 18.6±1.3 h        | 0.6±1.4 h      | 2.1±1.8 h      | 8.6±2.7 h      |
|          | NW    | 18.2±1.0 h        | 1.0±1.6 h      | 7.0±2.6 h      |                |
| Spleen   | Young | 18.6±1.1 h        | 0.6±1.0 h      | 7.3±1.7 h      | 9.0±0.9 h      |
|          | Aged  | 18.5±1.4 h        | −0.1±1.2 h     | 1.5±2.5 h      | 8.4±1.1 h      |
|          | NW    | 19.5±0.7 h        | 2.0±2.6 h      | 10.3±1.7 h     |                |
| Esophagus| Young | 18.7±0.5 h        | 2.4±0.9 h      | 6.6±1.4 h      | 9.3±0.5 h      |
|          | Aged  | 17.7±1.4 h        | 0.6±2.6 h      | 2.9±2.3 h      | 8.0±2.5 h      |
|          | NW    | 17.5±1.2 h        | 0.8±1.4 h      | 7.7±1.1 h      |
tissues. The shift of the esophagus in aged mice with a wheel was significantly more than that of aged mice without a wheel by Day 4 ($p=0.02$, $t$ test), while the shift of the thymus was only marginally greater ($p=0.07$, $t$ test). The shift of the spleen showed no significant difference on Day 4 between aged mice with and without a wheel ($p=0.65$, $t$ test).

Internal desynchrony of the phases of the four tissues also lasted longer for aged mice without a wheel, as shown in Fig. 9b. On Day 2, both young and aged mice exhibited significantly increased internal desynchrony as measured by circular standard deviation of the tissue phases from the average relative phases under control conditions, while on Day 4, desynchrony among the tissues of aged mice with and without wheels remained high but that of young mice was not significantly different from controls ($p<0.001$, $F=6.9$, multiple comparison test comparing control, Day 2, and Day 4 conditions). On Day 9, aged mice without a wheel exhibited internal desynchrony among tissues greater than that of young mice ($p=0.02$, $t$ test), while that of aged mice with wheels did not differ significantly from the other two groups. We observed no significant difference in amplitude of the PER2::LUC oscillations in peripheral tissues across the conditions.

We compared results from aged mice when euthanized early in the light period versus late in the light period. All mice in this sample were housed with wheels. Unlike similar experiments with younger mice (Davidson et al. 2009), we found several differences due to time of dissection. For the SCN, morning dissection time resulted in peak times, on average, 1.3 h earlier (means significantly different, $p=0.007$), but no significant difference in RI ($p=0.70$). For esophagus samples, morning dissection time resulted in later peak times ($p=0.045$) but no significant difference in RI ($p=0.84$). For spleen, there was no difference in the mean peak times ($p=0.47$), but peak times appeared more variable with evening dissection time, and the two samples differed in mean RI ($p<0.001$). For thymus, there was no effect of dissection time ($p=0.75$), but the mean of RI was significantly different ($p=0.036$). For spleen and thymus, rhythms were better (as quantified by the RI) if dissected in the afternoon.

In our second bioluminescence experiment, we aimed to replicate findings from the first experiment indicating differences in aged mice housed with or without a wheel. The mice in this second experiment were significantly older than the mice in the first experiment ($p<0.001$). We replicated the results shown in Fig. 2, finding that the strength of circadian rhythmicity differed across the groups, as measured by energy separated into frequency bands by a DWT. The proportion of the energy in the circadian component (scale 5) from our replication was nearly identical to that found in the first experiment (see Fig. 2b) with the aged mice with wheels showing $0.23±0.02$ and the aged mice without a wheel averaging $0.15±0.03$, with means significantly different ($p=0.03$). As in the first experiment, aged mice with or without wheels exhibited reduced RI of the SCN on Day 4 following the LD shift that was not significantly different between the two groups ($p=0.46$). The phase shift of the SCN and peripheral tissues was also not significantly different between these two groups, and none of the peripheral tissues shifted in phase by an appreciable amount, although we noted that the aged mice without wheel access showed greater variability in phase when compared to the mice with wheel access (e.g., circular standard deviations for the phase shifts of the four tissues for the no wheel mice were 3.0–3.4 h, while those for tissues of the with-wheel mice range from 1.1 to 1.9 h). This result differs from the data from the first experiment shown in Table 1. The aged mice in the second experiment used their running wheels less than those in the first experiment (19±11 versus 26±15 revolutions, mean±SD, $t$ test $p=0.002$). They also differed in the average duration of wheel use during the subjective night, with the aged mice in the second experiment showing shorter duration activity period than the aged mice in the first experiment.

**Discussion**

Our experiments have demonstrated that male mice show several changes in their circadian system as they age. We replicated previous reports that aging is associated with reduced use of the running wheel, fragmentation of activity under a stable LD cycle, and slowed phase resetting following a shift in the LD cycle. We demonstrate here that, following a shift of the LD cycle, both young and aged mice exhibit reduced strength of mPER2::LUC rhythms of the SCN in vitro. This impact of the LD shift on the ability of the SCN to sustain a robust rhythm persists for more days following the shift in aged mice. Both
behavioral and molecular measures from SCN and target tissues were slower to resynchronize their phase to a shifted LD cycle in aged animals. Access to a running wheel was able to alleviate most of these age-related changes in the circadian system dynamics. Aged mice housed with a running wheel showed stronger circadian rhythms in locomotor activity, faster recovery of internal synchrony following a LD shift, and increased amplitude of the firing rate rhythm when compared to aged mice housed without a running wheel. The impact of voluntary exercise on circadian rhythm output in an aged animal is a novel finding and has implications for the health of older people living with environmentally induced circadian disruption.

Age-associated reduction in the strength of circadian rhythms was observed in our data through several measures. The DWT (Leise and Harrington 2011) decomposes the energy in locomotor activity recordings into distinct frequency bands, revealing an effect of age that was partially alleviated by the presence of the wheel (see Fig. 2). In particular, aged mice exhibited reduced circadian rhythmicity and increased fragmentation of activity that was worsened by lack of a wheel. Application of RI to motion records also indicated weaker circadian rhythms of activity in aged mice, especially those without a wheel. We further used the RI to assess regularity of circadian oscillations in bioluminescent recordings, demonstrating an impact of a shifted LD cycle on the quality of the circadian rhythm expressed by the SCN in vitro. Normal rhythmicity of the SCN mPER2::LUC oscillation was restored more rapidly in young mice than in aged mice. Young mice also synchronized behavioral activity and peripheral tissue mPER2::LUC rhythms more rapidly, with the difference between young and aged on Day 9 following the LD shift widened by lack of a wheel. Our data fits well with previous experiments, indicating that induced activity continues to influence the function of the circadian system in both rodents and humans well into old age (Mrosovsky and Biello 1994; Baehr et al. 2003).

Loss of a strong rhythmic signal from the SCN would be expected to disrupt circadian system synchrony and the ability to reset to a shifted LD cycle. Resetting is accompanied by a period of reduced synchrony among neurons in the SCN in young animals (Davidson et al. 2009; Nagano et al. 2003; Nakamura et al. 2011) and from our results, we hypothesize that this time period is longer in aged animals. Whereas mPER2::LUC rhythms were not different in unshifted mice of different ages in our sample, a result similar to previously published studies (Nakamura et al. 2011), the shift of the LD cycle revealed a weakness in the dynamics of the circadian system in aged animals. We demonstrate that this weakness leads to an extended period of internal desynchrony in the aged mice, in which the SCN and target tissues took longer to adapt to the new phase of the LD cycle. Sellix et al. (2012) found that some peripheral tissues respond more slowly to an LD shift in older mice, which they attribute to an age-related decrease in pacemaker output amplitude, such as would occur with reduced amplitude electrophysiological rhythms.

The decreased rhythmic output signal is evidenced by the lower amplitude of the circadian rhythm in firing rate from aged mice observed in our study. This lower amplitude has been reported by other recording in vivo (Nakamura et al. 2011), in vitro (Biello 2009; Farajnia et al. 2012; Satinoff et al. 1993; Watanabe et al. 1995), and in cultured SCN (Aujard et al. 2001). The observed decrease could be caused by changes in the properties of single cells or by desynchrony in populations of cells. Studies show changes in the neuronal neurotransmitter chemistry of the aging SCN, with decreases in neurotransmitters such as vasoactive intestinal peptide, gastrin-releasing peptide, neurotensin, and vasopressin (Duncan et al. 2010; Swaab et al. 1985). Patch recordings show changes in the membrane properties of SCN neurons that could lead to changes in neuronal communication (Farajnia et al. 2012).

Perhaps the most significant changes occur with the major inhibitory neurotransmitters of the SCN, Gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA), which is thought to be important for the network of cellular communication within the SCN in both young and aged mice (Albus et al. 2005; Biello 2007). In addition, endogenous GABA is thought to play a role specifically in maintaining the amplitude of the SCN (Aton et al. 2006). There are changes to the GABAergic system with age including an age-related decrease of GABAergic terminal area in the mouse SCN. Alterations are seen both in the presynaptic network of GABA terminals (Palomba et al. 2008) and GABAergic current amplitude (Farajnia et al. 2012) in aged animals. These changes in the GABAergic system within the SCN have consequences observable as changes to functional response to the...
GABA signal with age (Biello 2009). These alterations to the system could contribute to variations in neuronal communication within the SCN with age. Alterations to the neurotransmitters underlying these effects may become particularly important in potential applications of this work. Not all older individuals may be in a position to take advantage of exercise as a route to improve synchrony, and a more complete understanding of the system physiology may aid in the development of pharmacological tools.

These changes in SCN communication suggest that, in addition to decreased output signals from the SCN, deterioration of other aspects of the circadian system may occur with aging. The SCN of the older mice (22–28 months) used in Sellix et al. (2012) shifted more rapidly than those of young mice or the aged mice (18–20 months) used in our study and also experienced greater phase desynchrony among SCN neurons than young mice following the LD shift. These differences could potentially be due to deficits in communication among SCN neurons worsening with age, so that in very old mice, the SCN has a greatly weakened network in which the phases of neurons are easily shifted. For instance, the modeling and experimental work of Abraham et al. (2010) suggests that SCN networks with reduced coupling respond more readily to entrainment signals. Degradation of input signals to the SCN is also likely to occur with aging. A weakened photic input signal in aged animals is one potential cause of the slowed response to shifts of the LD cycle in aged animals that we observed. Older animals like those studied in Sellix et al. (2012) may additionally experience significantly reduced coupling among SCN neurons, which could then respond with large phase changes even to a reduced input signal. Deficits in the output signals from the SCN associated with aging would result in a slowed response of peripheral tissues and activity to a shift of the LD cycle.

We found that a running wheel can boost the firing rate in the SCN; however, the mechanism by which the wheel or exercise might improve circadian organization is not clear. We see several possibilities from the current literature. Intracranial implants of fetal SCN tissue have been shown to improve circadian rhythms in older rats with poor circadian rhythms (Li and Satinoff 1998), suggesting that downstream targets are capable of responding to a stronger circadian clock signal in aged animals. Transitions between vigilance states have been shown to be mirrored by alterations in SCN firing rate (Deboer et al. 2003). Wheel running in mice has been shown to increase firing of neurons within the SCN, which would have an impact on amplitude (Schaap and Meijer 2001; Yamazaki et al. 1998). Additionally, wheel running has also been shown to improve the consolidation of the circadian activity patterns in mice with impaired SCN function (Power et al. 2010). Therefore, it is possible that the running wheel was able to improve circadian activity rhythms via increasing the amplitude of firing of SCN cells.

Whereas a prior study reported that older mice showed increased locomotor activity in the late portion of the dark phase, a result compared to the clinical instance of “sundowning” in Alzheimer’s patients, where agitation and dementia symptoms are worse in the late afternoon (Bedrosian et al. 2011), we failed to replicate this effect, as did a study using CD1/129 mice between 4 and 15 months of age (Duncan et al. 2012). These and our studies differ in the strain and age of the mice, with the study showing “sundowning” comparing C57BL/6 mice of 7 and 29 months and housing them under an LD of 14:10 (Bedrosian et al. 2011).

There are several limitations to our approach for measuring circadian parameters from tissues ex vivo. One concern is that the dissection might reset the phase of the rhythms. This has been observed in some studies (Gillette 1986; Yoshikawa et al. 2005) but has not been observed in all studies (Davidson et al. 2009; vanderLeest et al. 2009; Yannielli and Harrington 2000). In our lab, we have demonstrated for male and female young adult mPer2Lac mice housed in 12:12 LD cycles that dissection between ZT 2 and 10 does not reset phase for SCN, spleen, esophagus, thymus, and lung (Davidson et al. 2009). Here, we demonstrate no sizable difference in phase between aged male mPer2Lac mice housed in 12:12 LD cycles for SCN, thymus, and spleen when dissected either between ZT 2 and 3 or ZT 10 and 11, but for some tissues, we did find statistically significant differences in phase depending on time of dissection in the aged mice. When choosing the dissection phase for mice in the groups experiencing a shift of the LD cycle, we timed this to occur within an hour of ZT 2–10 in both the original and the shifted cycle. We do not know if the mice in a state of circadian desynchrony following a shift of the LD cycle respond to dissection in the
same way as do the mice in the control unshifted conditions. To continue this work, one possibility would be to use in vivo imaging of rhythms from SCN and peripheral tissues, as in Tahara et al. (2012).

A reduction in circadian rhythm consolidation and internal desynchrony has been linked with disrupted sleep and negative health consequences, with multiple impacts on age-related pathologies (Kondratova and Kondratova 2012). Diverse lines of research link circadian disruption and cancer incidence and progression (Greene 2012) and cardiovascular disease (Martino and Sole 2009). Metabolism is intricately regulated by the circadian clock and internal temporal disorder contributes to obesity and metabolic disorders (Albrecht 2012). Aged mice subjected to 8 weeks of weekly 6 h advances of the LD cycle showed significantly increased mortality; the control group showed 83 % survival, while the disrupted group had only 47 % survival and when advances occurred every 4 days, the mortality increased (Davidson et al. 2006). Further research that allows us to better address circadian disruption will have wide implications for health and lifespan of aging populations. Our research opens new research vistas in that our studies suggest that increased voluntary exercise can have beneficial effects on circadian disruption in aged mice. Further research is necessary to better establish and to detail mechanisms for these effects of exercise on circadian health in aging.
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