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**Abstract**

**Background:** Upper tract urothelial carcinoma (UTUC) is a malignant disease of the urothelial cell lining the upper urinary tract from renal calyces, pelvises, and ureter down to the ureteral orifice. Urothelial carcinoma is a multifocal malignant tumor which tends to reoccur after treatment. Radical cystectomy shows that upper tract recurrence occurs in 0.75% to 6.4% of patients. The occurrence of contralateral UTUC after nephroureterectomy is rarer with a prevalence of 0.5%.

**Case presentation:** The case of a 43-year-old male with metachronous bilateral UTUC was reported. The patient had undergone gemcitabine-cycloplatin neoadjuvant chemotherapy followed by radical cystectomy and orthotopic neobladder for urothelial carcinoma of the bladder cT2N0M0. Left hydronephrosis was discovered three months after the procedure. The patient was diagnosed with left UTUC cT4N0M0 of renal pyelum after a series of examinations. A left open radical nephroureterectomy was conducted to remove the mass followed by adjuvant chemotherapy. This was followed up with routine ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) every three months with a “tumor-free” period of 26 months. Meanwhile, the patient was re-admitted with fever and an increase in creatinine value of 4.3. After further workups, the patient was diagnosed with UTUC cT2N0M0 of the right renal pyelum. A kidney sparring approach with laser evaporation of the tumor was conducted followed by eight cycles of Gemcitabine intracavity antegrade per nephrostomy. After the regimen was finished, an MRI evaluation was conducted to assess treatment results, and the mass had decreased.

**Conclusions:** This report showed a rare case of urothelial cell carcinoma recurrences. From bladder urothelial carcinoma to left UTUC and then to contralateral UTUC. It is important to evaluate the upper tract to reduce the risk of recurrence.
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Introduction
Upper tract urothelial carcinoma (UTUC) is a malignant disease of the urothelial cell lining the upper urinary tract from the renal calyces or collecting system to the ureteral orifice. UTUC is considered a rare malignancy, representing 5% of urothelial cancer and less than 10% of all renal tumors and it occurs 2-3 times more in males than females. Urothelial carcinoma is a multifocal disease and tends to reoccur after initial treatment. The incidence of UTUC and collateral recurrence after the first tumor episode are also reported, and it is infrequent. The overall prevalence after cystectomy ranges from 0.75% to 6.4%. Furthermore, the recurrence can appear at a range of 2.4 to 164 months. The incidence of metachronous contralateral UTUC is also rare with a prevalence of 0.6%. This is manifested 9-71 months after the diagnosis of primary unilateral UTUC. The occurrence of contralateral UTUC after nephroureterectomy is even rarer with the prevalence of 0.5% developing metachronous UTUC. There are some risk factors like smoking and exposure to carcinogen contaminants in foods. The metachronous contralateral recurrence also depends on some risk factors such as young age onset, small tumor size, and the history of bladder cancer.

This report aims to discuss a rare case of a patient at Ciptomangunkusumo National Hospital with a long history of urothelial carcinoma from the bladder. The patient had a recurrence in the left pyelum and after treatment, the right was affected.

Case presentation
The patient is an Indonesian male born in 1978 who works as a cook. He was admitted to Ciptomangunkusumo National Hospital (RSCM) in November 2017 with gross hematuria as the chief complaint. The patient’s timeline is shown in Figure 1.

The patient was an active smoker for 20 years and ate roasted meat regularly. From ultrasound and contrast computed tomography (CT) scan evaluation, a mass was discovered in the bladder (Figure 2a). A cystoscopy and an incomplete transurethral resection of bladder tumour (TURBT) was then conducted on the papillary mass in the bladder. Furthermore, a biopsy was conducted, and pathology examination concluded that the tumor was an infiltrative papillary urothelial carcinoma pT1 high grade (Figure 2b). In March 2018, the patient had bilateral hydronephrosis, and bilateral...
nephrostomy was confirmed. The bladder UCC was clinically diagnosed as cT2N0M1, and the patient was given 6-cycle neoadjuvant gemcitabine-cisplatin chemotherapy. During the chemotherapy phase, the patient was in good condition with a Karnofsky score of 90. In July 2018, a radical cystectomy followed by an orthotopic neobladder was conducted. Meanwhile, the frozen section of the right and left ureter, and urethral punctum showed no tumor. Pathology examination showed chronic inflammation of hyperplastic urothelium, with fibrinoid necrosis (Figure 2c).

The patient was scheduled for adjuvant chemotherapy but was delayed due to the complaint of left abdominal fullness two months after the procedure. Ultrasound examination showed bilateral hydronephrosis with the left kidney being more severe. An abdominal MRI with contrast was performed (Figure 3a). Furthermore, left nephrostomy and biopsy were conducted and pathology workup showed papillary arranged tumor mass. From diagnostic workup, the patient was diagnosed with left UTUC cT4N0M1 in renal pyelum (Figure 3b).

In November 2018, a left open radical nephroureterectomy was conducted to remove the mass, followed by six cycles of adjuvant chemotherapy of gemcitabine-cisplatin. Furthermore, a routine ultrasound and MRI were followed up every three months, and the indwelling catheter was replaced every two weeks. During the follow-up, the patient worked as a chef in a satay shop and had a “tumor-free” period of 26 months with a Karnofsky score of 90. This continued until the patient was re-admitted with fever and an increase in creatinine value of 4.3 in August 2020. Then MRI was performed, and hyperintense solid mass was seen in the right renal pyelum. This mass measured 2.2 × 2.1 cm with left hydronephrosis grade II-III and surgery was planned for August 2020. In addition, a puncture was made in the right flank to the superior calyx guided by fluoroscopy into the pelvicalyceal system during the surgery. A nephroscope was then inserted, which exposed a tumor on the right pyelum sized about 3 cm (Figure 4a). The tumor was then extracted using forceps for biopsy, and the residue was evaporated using a laser. Furthermore, nephroscope post-laser ablation examination shows some tumor residue (Figure 4b). The biopsy result was a urothelial carcinoma infiltrative high grade.

The patient was given intracavitary chemotherapy using gemcitabine through nephrostomy. Furthermore, cystoscopy intracavitary instillation of chemotherapy agent using gemcitabine was administered through nephrostomy. The examination conducted in September 2020 showed a normal right kidney with no hydronephrosis, but there was a
sign of intraluminal neobladder clotting. The patient then went to a clot evacuation cystoscope, and the last cycle of intracavitary gemcitabine was given in November 2020. Furthermore, an MRI and ureterorenoscopy were conducted and the mass was shown to be decreased. Abdominal MRI in May 2021 showed benign calyx dilatation suspicious due to stricture and heterogeneous renal parenchyma (Figure 5).

Discussion

Upper tract urothelial carcinoma (UTUC) is a malignant disease of the urothelial cell lining the upper urinary tract from the renal calyces or collecting system to the ureteral orifice. UTUC is considered a rare malignancy, representing 5% of urothelial cancer and less than 10% of all renal tumors.1 It occurs 2-3 times more in males than females.2 Generally, cancer has some risk factors that are categorized into endogenous and exogenous. Some endogenous factors are non-modifiable such as biological aging and genetic susceptibility while others are partially modifiable such as inflammation and hormones.6 The example of genetic susceptibility is demonstrated in a meta-analysis by Chen, et al. (2016), where the correlation between HER2 expression and prognosis of UTUC was analyzed. This study showed that HER2 expression is significantly associated with a higher stage of tumor and worse recurrence-free in UTUC patients.7 The exogenous factors are the most modifiable and are often modified to prevent cancer in the long term. These factors include radiation coming from radiologic examinations, chemical carcinogens from burnt food, and lifestyles including smoking, obesity, and lack of exercise.6 Furthermore, urothelial carcinoma is a multifocal disease that may recur after initial treatment. This tendency can make a second tumor arise in another site with the urothelial cell as its lining, including the bladder.

In November 2017, the patient was admitted to Ciptomangunkusumo National Hospital with a chief complaint of gross hematuria, which is a common symptom of bladder tumors. Ultrasound, CT, and pathology confirmed that the patient had a urothelial cell carcinoma of the bladder and in July 2018, a radical cystectomy with an orthotopic neobladder

Figure 4. (a) Nephroscopy examination in pelviocalyceal system inserted through the right flank reveals a tumor sized about 3 cm. (b) Ureter post evaporation using laser shows some tumor residue even after laser procedure. (c) Tissue fragments containing papillary, infiltrative epithelial malignant tumors with fibrovascular stalk Hematoxylin and Eosin stain (H&E) stain 40×.

Figure 5. Abdominal magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) May 2021 shows benign calyx dilatation suspicious due to stricture, heterogeneous renal parenchyma.
was conducted. The patient had a left abdominal fullness and intrapelvic mass three months after radical cystectomy. With further workup, the patient was diagnosed with UTUC cT4N0M1 of the left pyelum. Furthermore, the odd of this recurrence is rare, especially after a radical cystectomy. A meta-analysis of 27 studies showed that the incidence of UTUC is rare and ranged from 0.75% to 6.4%. This was reported to occur as early as 2.4 to 164 months after cystectomy.5

The patient is an active smoker and works as a cook in a satay shop. This exposed the patient to smoke and admitted eating roasted meat frequently. Smoking in particular is associated with advanced-stage disease, recurrence, and cancer-specific mortality in a patient treated with radical nephroureterectomy (RNU) for UTUC.8 Furthermore, this also includes inhalation of smoke fume from cooking.7 Cooking fumes are known to contain several mutagens such as 2-naphthylamine and 4-aminobiphenyl that can cause UTUC,9 and consumption of processed meat also increases the occurrence of UTUC.10 In addition, several meat preparations methods such as stewing and roasting can increase the risk of UTUC. For high-risk UTUC, open RNU with bladder cuff excision is the standard treatment. This can be performed either open or with a laparoscopic approach. Lymph node dissection is also recommended to reduce the risk of local occurrence.12

The patient was then declared cancer-free for 26 months before returning with fever and an increase in creatinine value of 4.3 in August 2020. Furthermore, abdominal MRI and anterograde evaluation using flexible URS (Ureterorenoscopy) and pathology report confirmed the diagnosis of right UTUC cT2N0M0 of renal pyelum. The biopsy resulted in a high-grade infiltrative urothelial carcinoma, and because of the history of previous radical nephroureterectomy of the left kidney, the sparing strategies were implemented on the patient. The incidence of metachronous contralateral UTUC was rare and according to a cohort study that follows up 23,075 patients with unilateral UTUC, only 144 (0.6%) developed metachronous UTUC. This was manifested after 9-71 months after the diagnosis of primary unilateral UTUC. The occurrence of contralateral UTUC after nephroureterectomy was even rarer, and from the 12,382 patients with unilateral UTUC treated with nephroureterectomy, only 63 (0.5%) developed metachronous UTUC.11 This development was not associated with survival outcomes of a patient with UTUC regardless of tumor stage.4

There are some risk factors in determining the occurrence of metachronous contralateral UTUC. The same study that states the rarity of metachronous contralateral UTUC showed that younger age and smaller tumor size increase the risk of contralateral recurrence. Furthermore, the history of bladder cancer is also an important risk factor. The onset is in the younger age range, and the tumor size, which is only 3 cm, and also has a history of bladder cancer and radical cystectomy. The patient remained a cook and was continuously exposed to smoke during the 26 months cancer-free period as well as frequently eating roasted meat, which contributed to the recurrence of UTUC metachronously.

According to the EAU (European Association of Urology) guideline for risk stratification, the patient experienced hydrourephrosis, had a history of recurrence, and a biopsy showing high-grade infiltrative properties, so the second recurrence was categorized as a high-grade UTUC. To the best of our knowledge, no case in the literature discussing the hydronephrosis, had a history of recurrency, and a biopsy showing high-grade infiltrative properties, so the second recurrence was categorized as a high-grade UTUC.12 To the best of our knowledge, no case in the literature discussing the hydronephrosis, had a history of recurrency, and a biopsy showing high-grade infiltrative properties, so the second recurrence was categorized as a high-grade UTUC.
sparring treatment using topical intracavity chemotherapy after laser evaporation is still adequate to clear the tumor even at high-risk UTUK. The surgery is associated with shorter 5-years and 10-years overall survival in grade 3 UTUC.17 Kidney sparring is associated with shorter 5-years and 10-years local recurrence-free survival even though there are no significant differences in 5-year metastasis with RNU. Furthermore, it is associated with an increased risk of upstaging compared to RNU which is particularly visible for grade 2 and 3 UTUC.17

Conclusion
This study reported a rare case of urothelial cell carcinoma recurrences. This was manifested in the bladder and left kidney pyelum treated with radical cystectomy and nephroureterectomy respectively. Also, another recurrence was reported contralateral in the right kidney pyelum. Therefore, genetic and risk factor exploration should be considered in young urothelial bladder cancer. This is important to evaluate upper tract in bladder urothelial carcinoma and reduce the risk of recurrency.

Data availability
All data underlying the results are available as part of the article and no additional source data are required.

Consent
Written informed consent for publication of their clinical details and clinical images was obtained from the patient and the family of the patient.
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This is a case report of a patient who experienced sequential bladder, right, and left urothelial carcinoma. I think it is worth sharing this case, although it is not a super rare case and we sometimes encounter such cases. Before indexing, extensive revision is necessary.

Specific points:
1. I was confused about the staging.
   "The bladder UCC was clinically diagnosed as cT2N0M1" Is this a metastatic case?

2. Figure legends and text doesn't match.
   "tumor was an infiltrative papillar urothelial carcinoma pT1 high grade (Figure 2b). " Figure 2b is a CT image.

3. Is the biopsy picture shown in Figure 2D at the time of surgery or follow-up? I was confused.

4. What was the pathological diagnosis of bladder cancer after neoadjuvant treatment? Please describe whether the tumor remained or completely regressed.

5. I was surprised at the quick recurrence of the left kidney lesion. Is there any sign (CT image) of left kidney mass before cystectomy?

6. I was also confused about the staging.
   "the patient was diagnosed with left UTUC cT4N0M1 in renal pyelum" Does this patient have a metastatic lesion?

7. Pathological staging of the left pelvic tumor should be described.

8. "the indwelling catheter was replaced every two weeks." What does this sentence mean? Did the patient have a nephrostomy until the recurrence?
9. Please provide the result if you took a urine cytology test during the disease course.

10. Throughout the manuscript, reference numbers are not correct. Please check it again. “According to the EAU (European Association of Urology) guideline for risk stratification, the patient experienced hydronephrosis, had a history of recurrence, and a biopsy showing high-grade infiltrative properties, so the second recurrence was categorized as a high-grade UTUC.12” I didn't check all but this #12 should be #6.

11. In conclusion, the authors stated that "Therefore, genetic and risk factor exploration should be considered in young urothelial bladder cancer." Is there any genetic background on this patient (e.g. Lynch syndrome)? No discussion was made on this point.

12. "This is important to evaluate upper tract in bladder urothelial carcinoma and reduce the risk of recurrence." This sentence is unclear to me. What is “this”? We routinely check upper tract recurrence after cystectomy. Why is it particularly important in the case presented? Did this patient skip the follow-up test of the upper tract?

Is the background of the case's history and progression described in sufficient detail?
Yes

Are enough details provided of any physical examination and diagnostic tests, treatment given and outcomes?
Partly

Is sufficient discussion included of the importance of the findings and their relevance to future understanding of disease processes, diagnosis or treatment?
Partly

Is the case presented with sufficient detail to be useful for other practitioners?
Partly
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Thank you for the invitation to review this article. My notes are as follows:

"Radical cystectomy shows that upper tract recurrence occurs in 0.75% to 6.4% of patients." Please elaborate on this sentence.

"Upper tract urothelial carcinoma (UTUC) is a malignant disease of the urothelial cell lining the upper urinary tract from renal calyces, pelvies, and ureter down to ureteral orifice." This is a complicated sentence, instead perhaps you can write: "from the renal calyces or collecting system to the ureteral orifice."

If the case is a UTUC after bladder cancer, why did you deal with contralateral UTUC after nephroureterectomy to such a degree?

If you mention a case with multiple events, it is best practice to start with the most recent, then to mention the past history. Additionally, there is too much focus on the details of the patient's habits.

"An abdominal MRI with contrast was performed (Figure 3a). Furthermore, left nephrostomy and biopsy were conducted and pathology workup showed papillary arranged tumor mass." Why left nephrostomy for a biopsy? Why you did not get it with URS?

Figure 2. "(a) Contrast computed tomography (CT) scan in December 2019 showing isodens mass that enhanced after contrast administration in the bladder. (b) Ultrasound in March 2020. An isoechoic lesion with irregular edges, on the left inferolateral bladder wall, and appears to be obstructing the left ureter with its distal part dilated." Please correct, figure (a) is the ultrasound.

Figure 3. "(a) Abdominal magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) with Gadobutrol 5 ml contrast, shown hyper-intense lesions were seen on T1-T2WI and FS on the left intrapelviocalyceal and extracapsular perirenal, which were enhanced after the contrast was administered. (b) High-grade infiltrative urothelial carcinoma." Please correct, figure (b) is the MRI.

Furthermore, a routine ultrasound and MRI were followed up every three months, and the indwelling catheter was replaced every two weeks. What do you mean by indwelling catheter was replaced every two weeks?

What do you mean by Karnofsky score of 90?

"...right renal pyelum" This should be written as renal pelvis.

"In addition, a puncture was made in the right flank to the superior calyx guided by fluoroscopy..."
into the pelvicalyceal system during the surgery. A nephroscope was then inserted, which exposed a tumor on the right pyelum sized about 3 cm. Taking a biopsy by puncturing the kidney is not a standard. Why was this done? You can give a rational saying being a tumor in solitary kidney or so.

"Furthermore, nephroscope post-laser ablation examination shows some tumor residue (Figure 4b). The biopsy result was a urothelial carcinoma infiltrative high grade." Can you mention the pathology clearly, was it non-muscle or muscle invasive?

Overall, the discussion is very long for a case report, please shorten as much as possible.

You should generally have a message from the case report, and the only massage is treating UTUC in a single kidney with resection and BCG. This is the rationale for this case to be accepted. Focus on this point and bring similar studies and make the discussion mainly on this point.

Is the background of the case's history and progression described in sufficient detail?
Yes

Are enough details provided of any physical examination and diagnostic tests, treatment given and outcomes?
Yes

Is sufficient discussion included of the importance of the findings and their relevance to future understanding of disease processes, diagnosis or treatment?
Yes

Is the case presented with sufficient detail to be useful for other practitioners?
Partly
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Thank you for the comments, the following are our responses to the review:

- "Radical cystectomy shows that upper tract recurrence occurs in 0.75% to 6.4% of patients." Please elaborate on this sentence.

Upper urinary tract disease may develop in the residual transitional tissue in patients who have radical cystectomy for urothelial carcinoma. The odd of this recurrence is rare, especially after a radical cystectomy. A meta-analysis of 27 studies showed that the incidence of UTUC ranged from 0.75% to 6.4%. Numerous risk factors for upper urinary tract recurrence have been found in previous studies, however, it is still debatable how well each one predicts future occurrences.
"Upper tract urothelial carcinoma (UTUC) is a malignant disease of the urothelial cell lining the upper urinary tract from renal calyces, pelvices, and ureter down to ureteral orifice." This is a complicated sentence, instead perhaps you can write: “from the renal calyces or collecting system to the ureteral orifice.”

Thank you for your constructive feedback. Yes, we will paraphrase to: “Upper tract urothelial carcinoma (UTUC) is a malignant disease of the urothelial cell lining the upper urinary tract from the renal calyces or collecting system to the ureteral orifice.”

If the case is a UTUC after bladder cancer, why did you deal with contralateral UTUC after nephroureterectomy to such a degree?

This is the reason why we present this rare case of multiple event recurrence of UTUC which possible to make a second tumor arise in another site with the urothelial cell as its lining. If you mention a case with multiple events, it is best practice to start with the most recent, then to mention the past history. Additionally, there is too much focus on the details of the patient's habits.

Well noted, thank you for the feedback.

"An abdominal MRI with contrast was performed (Figure 3a). Furthermore, left nephrostomy and biopsy were conducted and pathology workup showed papillary arranged tumor mass." Why left nephrostomy for a biopsy? Why did you not get it with URS?

Thank you for your question, we would like to clarify that the nephrostomy mentioned in the line above was related to the management of the hydronephrosis of the left kidney that is more severe. The biopsy itself was done via URS.

Figure 2. "(a) Contrast computed tomography (CT) scan in December 2019 showing isodens mass that enhanced after contrast administration in the bladder. (b) Ultrasound in March 2020. An isoechoic lesion with irregular edges, on the left inferolateral bladder wall, and appears to be obstructing the left ureter with its distal part dilated." Please correct, figure (a) is the ultrasound.

Well noted, thank you for the correction, we will swap the picture in Figure 2.

Figure 3. "(a) Abdominal magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) with Gadobutrol 5 ml contrast, shown hyper-intense lesions were seen on T1-T2WI and FS on the left intrapelviocalyceal and extracapsular perirenal, which were enhanced after the contrast was administered. (b) High-grade infiltrative urothelial carcinoma." Please correct, figure (b) is the MRI.

Both Figure 3 (a) and (b) are the Abdominal MRI with different planes (axial and coronal view)

Furthermore, a routine ultrasound and MRI were followed up every three months, and the indwelling catheter was replaced every two weeks." Imaging is every 6 months, so why it was done every 3 months? What do you mean by indwelling catheter was replaced every two weeks?

After a cystectomy, some patients who are at a higher risk of recurrence might benefit from stricter upper tract surveillance. Early diagnosis of recurrent disease allows for prompt administration of the proper therapy and may even enhance patient outcomes. Regular computerized tomography with urography enables the investigation of the upper urinary system from an oncological and functional perspective as well as the detection of any recurrence. (1) The indwelling catheter is regularly changed to reduce the risk of Ca-UTI. The timing of catheter changes should be individualized.

(1) Picozzi S, Ricci C, Gaeta M, Ratti D, Macchi A, Casellato S, Bozzini G, Carmignani L. Upper
urinary tract recurrence following radical cystectomy for bladder cancer: a meta-analysis on 13,185 patients. The Journal of urology. 2012 Dec 1;188(6):2046-54.

○ What do you mean by Karnofsky score of 90?

According to Crooks et al., The Karnofsky Performance Scale Index allows patients to be classified as to their functional impairment. This can be used to compare the effectiveness of different therapies and to assess the prognosis in individual patients. In this setting, Karnofsky Score 90 means the patient is still able to carry on the normal activity with minor signs or symptoms of the disease. (Crooks, V, Waller S, et al. The use of the Karnofsky Performance Scale in determining outcomes and risk in geriatric outpatients. J Gerontol. 1991; 46: M139-M144)

○ "...right renal pyelum" This should be written as renal pelvis.

Noted, thank you for the correction

○ "In addition, a puncture was made in the right flank to the superior calyx guided by fluoroscopy into the pelvicalyceal system during the surgery. A nephroscope was then inserted, which exposed a tumor on the right pyelum sized about 3 cm." Taking a biopsy by puncturing the kidney is not a standard. Why was this done? You can give a rational saying being a tumor in solitary kidney or so.

Thank you for your comment, many recommend the ureteroscopic approach in the case of tumor biopsy. In the case a tumor in solitary kidney or a large tumors that would require long operatory time or even multiple procedures for a complete treatment, the antegrade approach is preferred. In this case, the tumor was then extracted using forceps for biopsy, and the residue was evaporated using a laser.

○ "Furthermore, nephroscope post-laser ablation examination shows some tumor residue (Figure 4b). The biopsy result was a urothelial carcinoma infiltrative high grade." Can you mention the pathology clearly, was it non-muscle or muscle invasive?

Muscle invasive

○ Overall, the discussion is very long for a case report, please shorten as much as possible.

Well noted, thank you for your kind feedback

○ You should generally have a message from the case report, and the only massage is treating UTUC in a single kidney with resection and BCG. This is the rationale for this case to be accepted. Focus on this point and bring similar studies and make the discussion mainly on this point.

Thank you for your constructive feedback related to our article/manuscript
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In this article, the authors report the clinicopathologic and outcome features of a patient with metachronous bilateral upper tract urothelial carcinoma. Overall, the case is well presented and the authors provide an appropriate background for their findings. A few recommendations are provided below.

- In the text, please provide the patient’s age instead of his year of birth.

- In Figure 1, please provide a consistent nomenclature for the histopathologic diagnoses. In all cases, the diagnosis should be “invasive urothelial carcinoma”. The use of descriptive terms such as “urothelial high grade” or “papillary, infiltrative epithelial malignant tumor with a fibrovascular stalk” could be confusing. The term “TCC” is also inappropriate. From the microphotographs presented by the authors, the tumor seems to correspond to the usual variant of invasive urothelial carcinoma, so no further specification is required.

- In Figure 1 and in the text, UCC should be UC. I would argue against using UC at all, recommending spelling “urothelial carcinoma” out at all instances to render the text clearer.

- In the text, the description of Figure 2c does not correspond to the actual microphotograph. Figure 2d is not cited in the text. Please revise.

- Figures 3c and 4c are not cited in the text. Please revise.

- A few typos that need correction (reocurr, UTUK).

Is the background of the case’s history and progression described in sufficient detail?
Yes

Are enough details provided of any physical examination and diagnostic tests, treatment given and outcomes?
Yes

Is sufficient discussion included of the importance of the findings and their relevance to future understanding of disease processes, diagnosis or treatment?
Yes

Is the case presented with sufficient detail to be useful for other practitioners?
Yes
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