In the United States, over 750,000 people now actively inject drugs, with rates of heroin use nearly quintupling over the last decade. Despite numerous health issues for people who inject drugs (PWID), engagement with primary health care is disproportionately low and primary care providers feel unprepared and have lower regard for patients with substance use disorders. Numerous physician barriers to addiction management in a primary care setting have been found, such as limited addiction training, limited use of Screening, Brief Intervention and Referral to Treatment (SBIRT), need for greater behavioral health integration, lower professional satisfaction, implicit bias, and a lack of confidence in treatment efficacy.

The Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration endorses SBIRT and strengthening addiction-related health education for physicians. Harm reduction strategies seek to reduce stigma and the negative consequences of substance use. However, only 46% of family medicine clerkship directors are familiar with SBIRT, and only 28.6% of family medicine residency program directors reported a required addiction medicine curriculum. Additionally, among internal medicine, family medicine, and psychiatry residency programs in the United States, only 36% of program directors reported providing training in office-based opioid treatment, and 23% provide buprenorphine waiver training. With limited training, 7% of graduates reported providing buprenorphine treatment in the 2016 National Family Medicine Graduate Survey.

Prior research has demonstrated that implementation of SBIRT
in residency training is feasible and acceptable, but there remain few evaluations of educational needs, particularly with a focus on self-efficacy, at the graduate level. Self-efficacy, defined as the belief in one’s capacity to accomplish a task, is particularly relevant as we go beyond knowledge and study effective behavior change in residency. Further, past studies were not specific to PWID, a group that faces more stigma and health disparities than those with other substance use disorders. In addition, prior surveys assessing role responsibility, self-efficacy, and attitudes of emergency medicine residents and practicing primary care providers found low rates of implementation of SBIRT for alcohol and substance abuse.

This study aimed to assess role responsibility, self-efficacy, and attitudes of family medicine residents in caring for PWID, that can be used to develop educational interventions to meet regional and national health needs for workforce development.

**Methods**

This study used a cross-sectional design. Prior to attending didactic teaching sessions on SBIRT and working with PWID at three residency programs in 2018, all participants completed questionnaires with quantitative questions using 5-point, Likert scale questions (responses: strongly disagree, disagree, neutral, agree, strongly agree) and open-ended responses. For analysis, responses of agree or strongly agree were grouped and compared to all other responses as a group. In addition, respondents self-reported gender (male/female) and year of training. The survey questions were adapted from previously published studies related to resident and primary care professionals’ role responsibility, self-efficacy, and attitudes regarding SBIRT for substance abuse. Chosen questions focused on comparing resident responsibility and their confidence in three domains: screening, brief intervention, and referral to treatment. We collected and managed data using RedCap (Research Electronic Data Capture) at Penn State Hershey. We grouped open-ended responses and coded and analyzed emergent themes using NVivo (Version 12, QSR; performed by author J.S.). We obtained institutional review board approval prior to collection of surveys, and all participants provided verbal consent to participate.

**Results**

In total, 4176 (55%) of residents (17 from Penn State Hershey, 10 from University of Maryland, and 14 from Georgetown University) completed surveys. The overall sample was 66% female and included residents who were in year 1 (32%), year 2 (34%), and year 3 (34%). The proportion of participants who agreed with each SBIRT-related survey question are presented in Table 1. When comparing role responsibility and confidence, more than 85% of residents agreed that it is their responsibility to provide comprehensive care for PWID, however their confidence in completing these activities in practice varied from 71% to 21.5%. Resident confidence did not vary based on age, training year, or gender. Residents felt most confident in screening for intravenous drug use and assessing and advising change behaviors, but expressed much less confidence in brief interventions and referral to treatment. The largest gap (64%) between role responsibility and ability was in referral to treatment. Resident confidence was lowest (<35%) for harm reduction strategies, such as discussing clean needle practices, prescribing naloxone, and referral to medication-assisted treatment programs or needle exchange programs.

**Discussion**

This survey of medical residents highlights a gap between what residents perceive to be their role responsibility as physicians and their self-efficacy to care for PWID. While respondents expressed confidence in broaching the topic of injection drug use, they were less confident in formal assessment using established screening tools. Like the participants in the Seale et al study that assessed alcohol screening, our study demonstrates a low baseline rate of screening in residency clinics, highlighting the need for additional training and systems-based interventions. While Seale et al did not observe an increase in the use of medications nor referral to treatment, our study highlights the largest gap in resident confidence in referral to treatment, which likely reflects a combination of limited medical training, a fractured health care system, need for patients to self-refer, stigma regarding addiction, limited access to evidence-based community addiction services, and the disconnect between medical training and community drug and alcohol services.

Respondents were more confident in advising patients to change their risky substance use, indicating greater confidence in motivational interviewing skills (a focus of the National SBIRT Residency Training Project), and a lack of knowledge regarding harm reduction strategies, which can reduce morbidity and mortality and the sequelae of injection drug use. Residency education should continue to address the difficult nature of the provider-patient relationship for PWID that was identified in open-ended answers. Regardless of training year, respondents consistently identified that trust may be difficult to build in these patient-provider relationships. These findings emphasize the importance of additional exposure to the lived experience of PWID.
and exploration of stigma and bias in curricular design.

This study is limited by a small sample size, potential homogeneity of the residency programs, and potential response bias. The programs surveyed were near major East Coast cities that have experienced high morbidity and mortality from the current opioid epidemic, and results may differ in more rural areas. Additionally, the confidence documented by respondents may not fully reflect actual practice patterns. However, we believe that the results from this study can provide important insights into development of future residency training.

Overall, this study highlights the urgent need for innovative educational interventions to help graduate medical trainees to address the gap between perceived provider responsibility and their current skill sets. Given the magnitude of the current opiate epidemic, family physicians will increasingly encounter PWID...
and need to appropriately screen, intervene, and refer to treatment. As such, focused experiential residency training in harm reduction, knowledge, and integration with local resources, referral to treatment, and addressing bias will be critical in addressing the needs of PWID.
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