Accretion disks around binary black holes of unequal mass: GRMHD simulations of postdecoupling and merger
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We report results from simulations in general relativity of magnetized disks accreting onto merging black hole binaries, starting from relaxed disk initial data. The simulations feature an effective, rapid radiative cooling scheme as a limiting case of future treatments with radiative transfer. Here we evolve the systems after binary-disk decoupling through inspiral and merger, and analyze the dependence on the binary mass ratio with $q \equiv m_2/m_1 = 1.1/2$, and $1/4$. We find that the luminosity associated with local cooling is larger than the luminosity associated with matter kinetic outflows, while the electromagnetic (Poynting) luminosity associated with bulk transport of magnetic field energy is the smallest. The cooling luminosity around merger is only marginally smaller than that of a single, non-spinning black hole. Incipient jets are launched independently of the mass ratio, while the same initial disk accreting on a single non-spinning black hole do not lead to a jet, as expected. For all mass ratios we see a transient behavior in the collimated, magnetized outflows lasting $2-5 (M/10^8 M_\odot)$ days after merger: the outflows become increasingly magnetically dominated and accelerated to higher velocities, boosting the Poynting luminosity. These sudden changes can alter the electromagnetic emission across the jet and potentially help distinguish mergers of black holes in AGNs from single accreting black holes based on jet morphology alone.

PACS numbers: 04.25.D-, 04.25.dg, 47.75.+f

I. INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION

Mergers of supermassive black holes (SMBHs) and near-Eddington accretion of gas \cite{1} are both central ingredients in theoretical models of the assembly of the SMBH population (see e.g. \cite{2}). These models show a steadily growing consistency with data from quasar surveys \cite{2} \cite{3}, indicating that SMBH mergers are not just a likely outcome of galaxy mergers, but necessary to explain the BH mass distribution in the Universe. When these mergers occur following the collision of galaxies, they are expected to be immersed in a magnetized plasma and surrounded by stars \cite{4} \cite{5}. Due to both their gravitational and electromagnetic (EM) radiation in their late evolutionary stages, such systems are unique probes of spacetime and relativistic plasmas, and are therefore interesting systems in General Relativity (GR), relativistic astrophysics and multi-messenger astronomy. Here we give only a brief introduction to this topic and refer to the more detailed discussion and additional references in \cite{6}.

The first gravitational wave (GW) detectors \cite{7} sensitive enough to observe GWs directly, will come online soon, but they will not be sensitive to SMBH binaries. However, pulsar timing arrays (PTAs) \cite{8} could detect GWs both from individual SMBH binaries \cite{9} and the stochastic background from unresolved SMBH binaries \cite{10} \cite{11} within this decade. Thanks to encouraging improvements in data analysis \cite{12} \cite{13} and new discoveries in pulsar timing, PTAs may soon detect (sub-pc) SMBH binaries in the universe. Identifying EM signals from binary SMBHs will improve our understanding of the cosmic evolution of SMBHs, especially if a simultaneous GW signal from the same source is detected \cite{14} \cite{15} \cite{16}. Data from EM surveys like the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) \cite{17} \cite{18} \cite{19} will probe larger redshifts with time. In addition, current and future EM detectors such as PanStarrs \cite{19}, the LSST \cite{20} and WFIRST \cite{21} will provide us with unprecedented data of transient phenomena.

In general, but especially until GWs from SMBH binaries are detected, it is crucial to have a thorough theoretical understanding of the full nonlinear dynamics and radiation properties of these systems to make the most out of EM observations. A key theoretical task is to predict observational features that distinguish accretion flows onto single versus binary SMBHs. From the point of view of transient signals, the most interesting regime is when the black hole binary merges. Modeling these systems through the late inspiral and merger phases requires a fully relativistic calculation, i.e. taking into account the dynamical black hole spacetime, as well as treating mag-
netized plasmas and radiative transfer relativistically.

Theoretical modeling of circumbinary disks is still in its infancy and has shown that the evolution of a circumbinary disk is roughly composed of three phases: i) the early inspiral predecoupling phase, during which the disk viscous timescale ($t_{vis}$) is shorter than the gravitational wave timescale ($t_{GW}$), and the disk relaxes to a quasi-equilibrium state as the BHBH slowly inspirals; ii) the postdecoupling phase, during which $t_{vis} > t_{GW}$ and the binary decouples from the disk before the disk can relax; iii) the post-merger or re-brightening/afterglow phase during which the disk begins to refill the hollow left behind by the BHBH and accretion ramps up onto the remnant BH. Simple, analytic considerations reveal that for geometrically thick disks, binary-disk decoupling occurs during the late stages of the binary inspiral. Analytic and semi-analytic models focus on the geometrically-thin, optically thick disk case (see e.g. [22,27] and references therein). These 1D (semi)analytic studies make simplifying assumptions such as the adoption of an azimuthally averaged formula for the binary tidal torques, which may overestimate the tidal-torque barrier [28], and also misses non-axisymmetric features such as the formation of accretion streams. Additional features, such as the presence of an inner cavity (hollow) of lowered density near the binary, were revealed in hydrodynamic studies in Newtonian gravity in 3D [29,32] and 2D [33,35]. This picture has been refined by the first (Newtonian) ideal magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) treatments in 3D [36] and in Post-Newtonian gravity [37,38]. Infalling clouds onto and the subsequent disk formation around BHBHs has recently been studied via Newtonian smoothed particle hydrodynamic simulations in [39]. The dynamics of EM fields in force-free electrodynamics in GR, but without modeling the disk itself, has been studied in [40-44]. GR evolutions of geometrically thick disks have been achieved in [45,48] and only quite recently magnetohydrodynamic simulations of these systems have been performed [6,49] (see also [50] for treatments of BHBHs inspiraling in magnetized gaseous clouds). The overall conclusions are that both the clearing of an inner cavity and the binary disk decoupling is at best only partial: Some gas remains near both the clearing of an inner cavity (hollow) of lowered density near the binary, were revealed in hydrodynamic studies in Newtonian gravity in 3D [29,32] and 2D [33,35]. This picture has been refined by the first (Newtonian) ideal magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) treatments in 3D [36] and in Post-Newtonian gravity [37,38]. Infalling clouds onto and the subsequent disk formation around BHBHs has recently been studied via Newtonian smoothed particle hydrodynamic simulations in [39]. The dynamics of EM fields in force-free electrodynamics in GR, but without modeling the disk itself, has been studied in [40-44]. GR evolutions of geometrically thick disks have been achieved in [45,48] and only quite recently magnetohydrodynamic simulations of these systems have been performed [6,49] (see also [50] for treatments of BHBHs inspiraling in magnetized gaseous clouds). The overall conclusions are that both the clearing of an inner cavity and the binary disk decoupling is at best only partial: Some gas remains near both the clearing of an inner cavity (hollow) of lowered density near the binary, were revealed in hydrodynamic studies in Newtonian gravity in 3D [29,32] and 2D [33,35]. This picture has been refined by the first (Newtonian) ideal magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) treatments in 3D [36] and in Post-Newtonian gravity [37,38]. Infalling clouds onto and the subsequent disk formation around BHBHs has recently been studied via Newtonian smoothed particle hydrodynamic simulations in [39]. The dynamics of EM fields in force-free electrodynamics in GR, but without modeling the disk itself, has been studied in [40-44]. GR evolutions of geometrically thick disks have been achieved in [45,48] and only quite recently magnetohydrodynamic simulations of these systems have been performed [6,49] (see also [50] for treatments of BHBHs inspiraling in magnetized gaseous clouds). The overall conclusions are that both the clearing of an inner cavity and the binary disk decoupling is at best only partial: Some gas remains near both the clearing of an inner cavity (hollow) of lowered density near the binary, were revealed in hydrodynamic studies in Newtonian gravity in 3D [29,32] and 2D [33,35]. This picture has been refined by the first (Newtonian) ideal magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) treatments in 3D [36] and in Post-Newtonian gravity [37,38]. Infalling clouds onto and the subsequent disk formation around BHBHs has recently been studied via Newtonian smoothed particle hydrodynamic simulations in [39]. The dynamics of EM fields in force-free electrodynamics in GR, but without modeling the disk itself, has been studied in [40-44]. GR evolutions of geometrically thick disks have been achieved in [45,48] and only quite recently magnetohydrodynamic simulations of these systems have been performed [6,49] (see also [50] for treatments of BHBHs inspiraling in magnetized gaseous clouds). The overall conclusions are that both the clearing of an inner cavity and the binary disk decoupling is at best only partial: Some gas remains near both

The purpose of this paper is to develop and test computational machinery that will be required for a GRMHD treatment of the BHBH-disk problem with full radiative transport.

The paper is structured as follows: In Sec. II we summarize the adopted techniques before reporting our results in Sec. III. Section III A focuses on the dependence on mass ratio, while Sec. III B focuses on universal features independent of the binary mass ratio. Finally we discuss astrophysical implications of our results in Sec. IV and conclude in Sec. V. Geometrized units, where $G = 1 = c$, are adopted throughout unless stated otherwise.

II. METHODS

Our BHBH-disk models adopt the following set of assumptions and simplifications: a) The non-spinning black hole binaries are initially in quasi-circular orbits, b) the disk self-gravity is neglected because we assume it is small compared to the gravity of the BHBH binary, c) ideal MHD describes well the plasma in the disk, d) the same effective emissivity employed in [6] [Eq. (A2)] (see also [59]), with the same cooling time scale, is adopted to model rapid cooling as a limiting case of realistic cooling. See [6] for a more detailed discussion and motivation for these simplifications.

A. Initial data

1. Metric initial data

For the initial black hole binary spacetime geometry we adopt conformal-thin-sandwich (CTS) solutions which correspond to quasi-equilibrium black hole binaries in quasicircular orbits [60,63]. These solutions possess a helical Killing vector. The CTS initial data have been generated using the spectral techniques described in [64] as implemented in the Spectral Einstein Code (SpEC) [65,66] (see also [67]). We list the initial data parameters describing our spacetimes in Tab. II.

We stress that the spectrally accurate, CTS initial data for the spacetime metric have been mapped di-
TABLE I. CTS initial data parameters for the BHBH vacuum spacetime. Columns show mass ratio ($q$), ADM mass ($M_{\text{ADM}}$), ADM angular momentum ($J_{\text{ADM}}$), BH irreducible masses ($M_{i}^{\text{irr}}$; $i = 1, 2$), and apparent horizon radii ($r_{\text{hor}}^{i}$) for the two black holes. Diagnostics generating these quantities, but computed from independent vacuum test simulations, agree with these values to within one part in $10^4$.

| $q$ | $M_{\text{ADM}}$ | $J_{\text{ADM}}$ | $M_{1}^{\text{irr}}$ | $M_{2}^{\text{irr}}$ | $r_{\text{hor}}^{1}$ | $r_{\text{hor}}^{2}$ |
|-----|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|
| 1:1 | 0.98989         | 0.96865         | 0.50000           | 0.50000           | 0.42958        | 0.42958        |
| 1:2 | 0.99097         | 0.85933         | 0.66667           | 0.33333           | 0.60192        | 0.27312        |
| 1:3 | 0.99342         | 0.61603         | 0.80000           | 0.20000           | 0.75140        | 0.15832        |

rectly onto our computational grids without requiring the lower-order interpolation from the spherical auxiliary grids used in [6].

2. Matter and B-field initial data

For the magnetized fluid we use as initial data the relaxed end state obtained in [6], which started from equilibrium disk models around single BHs as in [48, 49, 68, 69] with an adiabatic index $\Gamma = 4/3$, appropriate for thermal radiation pressure-dominated disks. These disks correspond to accretion flows driven by MHD turbulence, which is self-consistently triggered by the magnetorotational instability [70]. These solutions are interpolated onto grids appropriate for a spacetime evolution, that have the same spatial extent but contain additional levels of refinement.

B. Evolution equations and methods

We use the GRMHD AMR code developed by the Illinois Numerical Relativity Group [71, 73], which adopts the Cactus/Carpet infrastructure [74, 76], and includes an effective radiative cooling scheme. This code has been extensively tested and used in the past to study numerous systems involving compact objects and/or magnetic fields (see e.g. [59, 77, 82]), including black hole binaries in gaseous media [48, 49, 83] (see [6] for additional references and details).

For the metric evolution we solve the BSSN equations [84, 85] coupled to the moving-puncture gauge conditions, see Eqs. (9)-(16) in [86]. For the 1:4 case we use the spatially varying damping coefficient $\eta$ appearing in the shift condition, as was done in the case of the LEAN-code NRAR runs [87]. See [88, 89] for a motivation of similar strategies.

We adopt a number of diagnostics to analyze accretion disks onto binary black holes. For brevity here we describe only those diagnostics that characterize the outgoing flux of large scale EM energy. b) The cooling luminosity $L_{\text{cool}} = \Lambda u_{\mu} \sqrt{\gamma} d^3x$, where $\Lambda$ is the cooling emissivity, $u^\mu$ is the fluid four-velocity, and $\gamma$ is the determinant of the 3-metric. $L_{\text{cool}}$ measures the total thermal emission. c) The kinetic luminosity $L_{\text{kin}} = \int_{S} T_{\mu\nu} u^\nu_{\mu} dS$ computed for unbound ($E = -u_{0} - 1 > 0$) material, where $T_{\mu\nu}$ is the perfect fluid stress-energy tensor. $L_{\text{kin}}$ measures the outgoing flux of kinetic energy carried by unbound matter. We also compute the gas Lorentz factors (measured by a normal observer) of the plasma $W = \alpha u^0$ in the funnel region before and after the outflow settles following merger. Here, $\alpha$ is the lapse function. For definitions of all other diagnostics we adopt in this work see [6, 49].

The grids are similar to those in [6], with additional finer AMR levels centered on each BH and increased resolution in between the BHs. The higher resolution is needed for a reliable metric evolution through inspiral and merger. The regridding procedure makes use of the Cactus/Carpet interpolation routines and is identical to the procedure used in [6, 49].

In Tab. I we list the distinguishing characteristics and grid-hierarchy of the different cases we consider in this work. The labels are chosen to designate the mass ratio, e.g. the label 1:1 means mass ratio $q = 1$. We also evolve the same initial disk model with a single, non-spinning BH (case 0) to normalise some of our results and for comparisons to the binary cases.

We stress that the study of these systems over the duration of all epochs (from predecoupling to re-brightening) requires some of the longest GRMHD evolutions in full GR performed to date: The inner disk structure relaxes during the predecoupling epoch approximately on a viscous time scale at the inner disk edge, given by

$$t_{\text{vis}} = \frac{R_{\text{in}}^2}{3 \nu M} \sim 6500 \left( \frac{R_{\text{in}}}{18 M} \right)^{3/2} \left( \frac{\alpha_{\text{ss}}}{0.13} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{H}{R} \right)^{-2} \left( \frac{\nu}{0.3} \right)^{-2}. \quad (1)$$

Here $R$ is the disk (cylindrical) radius, $H$ is the disk scale height, and $\nu$ is the effective kinematic viscosity driven by MHD turbulence, which can be expressed as $\nu(R) \equiv (2/3)\alpha_{\text{ss}}(P/\rho_0)\Omega_R^{-1} \approx (2/3)\alpha_{\text{ss}}(R/M)^{1/2}(H/R)^2 M$. We have assumed hydrostatic equilibrium in the vertical direction to derive an approximate relationship between $P/\rho_0$ and $H/R$ (see [90]). The effective viscosity in our disks can be fit (approximately) to an “$\alpha$-disk” law for purposes of analytic estimates, and we use typical $\alpha_{\text{ss}}$ values found in our evolutions. In [6] we empirically found that the relaxation at the inner edge of the same, geometrically thick disks takes around 5000 $M$, which is consistent with the order-of-magnitude estimate of Eq. (1).

Due to the steep, inverse dependence of the viscous time scale on scale height, this time scale becomes prohibitively long for thinner disks
TABLE II. List of grid parameters for all models. Equatorial symmetry is imposed in all cases. The computational mesh consists of three sets of nested AMR grids, one centered on each BH and one in between (with 7 levels of refinement for all cases), with the outer boundary at 240M in all cases. From left to right the columns indicate the case name, mass ratio $q$, the coarsest grid spacing $\Delta x_{\text{max}}$, number of AMR levels around the primary (BH) and the secondary (bh), and the half length of each AMR box centered on each BH. The grid spacing of all other levels is $\Delta x = \Delta x_{\text{max}}/2^n$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, where $n$ is the level number such that $n = 1$ corresponds to the coarsest level. A dash “–” indicates “not applicable”.

| Case name | $q$ | $\Delta x_{\text{max}}$ | levels(BH) | levels(bh) | Grid hierarchy |
|-----------|-----|-----------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|
| 1:1       | 1:1 | 6.0M            | 9           | 9           | 240M/2^n, $n = 2, 3, 5$, 240M/2^n, $n = 6, \ldots, 9$ |
| 1:2       | 1:2 | 6.0M            | 9           | 10          | 240M/2^n, $n = 2, 3, 5$, 240M/2^n, $n = 6, \ldots, 10$ |
| 1:4       | 1:4 | 6.786M          | 9           | 11          | 240M/2^n, $n = 2, 3, 5$, 240M/2^n, $n = 6, \ldots, 11$ |
| 0         | 0   | 6.0M            | 6           | -           | 240M/2^n, $n = 2, 3, 240M/2^n$, $n = 6$ |

The subsequent inspiral occurs on a GW timescale $t_{GW}/M \sim 3000 \left(\frac{a}{10M_\odot}\right)^4 \tilde{\eta}^{-1}$, \text{(2)}

where $a$ is the initial binary separation, and $\tilde{\eta} \equiv 4\eta = 4q/(1+q)^2$ is the symmetric mass ratio. The normalization of $a$ is close to our initial binary coordinate separation and is chosen to be close to the decoupling radius as shown in [6]. Note that an equal-mass binary ($q = 1$) has $\tilde{\eta} = 1$, while $q = 1/4$ yields $\tilde{\eta} \sim 0.64$.

The inspiral epoch is the shortest epoch, but requires the highest resolution in order to track the inspiral reliably. The duration until the remnant disk viscously refills the inner cavity and accretes onto the merger remnant is largely determined by the radial matter distribution at decoupling and is expected to occur on a viscous time \text{[Eq. (1)].}

The disparity between the duration of the predecoupling (inspiral and merger) epoch and the dynamical (light-crossing) time scale across the horizon, where the latter determines the smallest time step in our explicit time integrations, makes these evolutions expensive and very time-consuming.

In summary, the minimum total simulation time for the computationally least expensive case (1:1) is $> 15,000M_\odot$. We have simulated the predecoupling (see [6]), inspiral and merger epoch for a total of $\sim 13,000M_\odot$. The postdecoupling phase of our most expensive case (1:4) took approximately 2 months (of wallclock time) to finish at a cost of $\sim 200,000$ CPU hours.

III. RESULTS

A. Trend with mass ratio

In this section we discuss the dependence of our multiple diagnostics on the binary mass ratio $q$. Results independent of $q$ are presented in Secs. [III] and [IV]. For additional definitions of diagnostic quantities see [6].

TABLE III. Columns show case name, the total accretion rate $\dot{M}$ at merger $t_m$ normalized to the mean accretion rate for a single BH with the same cooling prescription $\langle \dot{M}_q=0 \rangle$, $\epsilon_{\text{EM}} \equiv L_{\text{EM}}/\dot{M}_{q=0}$, $\epsilon_{\text{cool}} \equiv L_{\text{cool}}/\dot{M}_{q=0}$, $\epsilon_{\text{kin}} \equiv L_{\text{kin}}/\dot{M}_{q=0}$, and the 99th percentile of the gas Lorentz factors $W = \alpha u_0$ in the funnel region after the outflow settles following merger. $L_{\text{EM}}$ and $L_{\text{kin}}$ are computed through surface integrals over a spherical surface of coordinate radius $90M$. See also the description at the end of Sec. II. Values (except for $W$) are reported at merger. Based on the resolution study presented in [6] we estimate the error of the quantities listed in the table to be $\sim 50\%$.

| Case | $\dot{M}_{q=0}$ \text{M}_\odot yr$^{-1}$ | $\epsilon_{\text{EM}}$ | $\epsilon_{\text{cool}}$ | $\epsilon_{\text{kin}}$ | $W$ |
|------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----|
| 1:1  | 0.025                             | 0.00081         | 0.059           | 0.010           | 2.4 |
| 1:2  | 0.027                             | 0.00063         | 0.046           | 0.020           | 2.0 |
| 1:4  | 0.064                             | 0.00278         | 0.042           | 0.029           | 1.6 |
| 0    | 1.0                               | 0.002           | 0.155           | 0.004           | 1.0 |

$^a \langle \dot{M}_{q=0} \rangle = 3.05 \left(\frac{\rho_0}{10^8 \text{g/cm}^3}\right) \left(\frac{M}{10^9 \text{M}_\odot}\right)^{2} \text{M}_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$

$^b$ Near the funnel walls we find a wind-like outflow with substantially smaller velocities $W \sim 1.1$ than the funnel regions in the binary cases.

1. Evolution of the density

During the predecoupling phase, which furnishes the initial data for our postdecoupling evolutions, our disk models contain some matter in the inner cavity mainly in the form of dense accretion streams. The surface density $\Sigma(r)$ depends on the mass ratio [6]. The distribution of material at decoupling determines the subsequent evolution through inspiral, merger and re-brightening. The evolution of $\Sigma(r)$ is shown in Fig. [1] and the rest-mass density $\rho_0$ on the equatorial plane is plotted in Fig. [2]. We observe accretion streams of dense gas attached to the BHs, as reported in [6], during the inspiral and through merger. During inspiral there are always two diametrically opposite accretion streams as in the earlier predecoupling phase [6]. We therefore call this an $m = 2$ mode by analogy to the terminology used for spiral density waves in other accretion disk studies, e.g. [33]. As already observed in [6] there is an asymmetry between the two accretion streams (one stream is larger than the
Spiral arms are observed throughout merger. However, the pre-merger $m = 2$ mode ceases to dominate (see Fig. 2) for any case. Instead higher modes are excited which mostly decay over a few $100M$ after merger. Following merger matter begins drifting inward for all binary cases. A “lump” feature reported in earlier work [6, 36, 37] is also seen for the 1:1 case (see the densest regions in the upper panel in Fig. 2) but is hardly noticeable in the other cases.

Several studies [14, 35, 92, 94] investigated “mini disks” around each BH. While there is no universal definition of a mini disk, we consider a persistent mini disk to be a coherent density structure within the Hill sphere of each BH with an accretion time scale longer than a binary orbital period. For the systems under consideration here, we do not find persistent mini disks. Instead, occasionally matter piles up around the individual BHs before it is accreted. A necessary condition for persistent “mini disks” to form is that the Hill sphere (or Roche lobe) $r_{\text{Hill}}$ be significantly larger than the innermost stable circular orbit $r_{\text{ISCO}}$ around the individual BH $r_{\text{Hill}} > r_{\text{ISCO}}$. A simple Newtonian estimate for the secondary BH yields $r_{\text{Hill}} \approx (a/2)(q/3)^{1/3} \sim 3.5M(a/10M)q^{1/3}$ and $r_{\text{ISCO}} \sim 3.0Mq \sim r_{\text{Hill}}$. These simple estimates demonstrate why we only see transient mini-disks. Given the mass ratio $q$ we expect “mini disks” around the (non-spinning) secondary BH to form at binary separations $a_{\text{mini-disk}} \gg 8.7Mq^{2/3}$. The initial binary separations in our evolutions are $d \sim 10M$ and thus too close for persistent mini-disks to form. Note that for the geometrically thick disks we consider here, the decoupling separation ($a_d$) is given by [6] 

$$a_d \sim 12M\left(\frac{\alpha_{\text{esc}}}{0.13}\right)^{-2/5}\left(\frac{H/R}{0.3}\right)^{-4/5}\eta^{2/5}. \tag{3}$$

Thus, initial separations larger than the decoupling radius may be necessary for persistent mini disks to form in geometrically thick accretion flows.

2. Accretion rates

We show accretion rates as a function of time, together with our luminosity estimates and the gravitational wave signal in Fig. 3. In the 1:1 case the total accretion rate drops as the inspiral proceeds, as expected. At merger the accretion rate is more than an order of magnitude below the value at decoupling. The 1:1 and 1:2 cases accrete at a similar rate near merger, see Tab. III which is not true over the entire evolution, see Fig. 3. Despite the stronger tidal torques in the 1:1 case, it can be seen from the snapshots in Fig. 2 that the density in the accretion streams, which give the dominant contribution to $\dot{M}$, reach higher values in the equal mass case. In [6] we found that the 1:1 case accreted at a slightly larger rate than the 1:2 case during the prededucing evolutions (see Tab. III in [6]), but the difference in $\dot{M}$ between the two cases decays close to merger.

Within the first $1000M \sim 6 (M/10^8M_\odot)$ days after merger, spikes in the accretion rate from matter residing near the remnant appear in the 1:1 case. This is accompanied by a gradual rise over a longer time scale which will eventually lead to re-brightening for all mass ratio cases. In the 1:4 case the accretion rate at merger is $\dot{M}/(M_{q=0}) \sim 0.064$. This value is the largest among the cases we study (see Tab. III), but still substantially smaller than 1.

3. Luminosities

We report the contribution to $L_{\text{cool}}$ from within spheres of different radii and the total contribution from the disk in Fig. 4. While in the 1:1 case $L_{\text{cool}}$ drops after about 500M $\sim 3 (M/10^8M_\odot)$ days prior to merger, there is no such feature for the 1:2 case. As the tidal torques in the 1:1 case are stronger than the other mass ratios, this behavior is likely due to the decline in tidal heating in the 1:1 case as the inspiral proceeds. In the 1:2 case the inner cavity contribution to $L_{\text{cool}}$ (see Fig. 4 middle panel) shows instead, a gradual rise, but without any prominent feature during merger. Also in the 1:4 case we observe no prominent feature in $L_{\text{cool}}$ during merger in contrast to the thin disk case [27].

In contrast to $L_{\text{cool}}$, the binary-disk decoupling and the merger are reflected in $L_{\text{EM}}$ (see also the merger aftermath feature in $L_{\text{EM}}$ in [49]). From the beginning of the inspiral $L_{\text{EM}}$ slowly drops before rising after merger. In Fig. 5 we also plot the GW signal. One can compare the GW signal to different luminosity “light curves” and the accretion rates. For 1:1 we find a delay of $\sim 800M \sim 4.6 (M/10^8M_\odot)$ days between the peak in GWs and the rise in $L_{\text{EM}}$. For 1:2 this delay is significantly shorter $\sim 300M \sim 1.7 (M/10^8M_\odot)$ days and even shorter for 1:4, $\sim 200M \sim 1.2 (M/10^8M_\odot)$ days. The shortening of this delay may be explained by the fact that due to the decreasing tidal-torque barrier as $q$ decreases, there is more material near the BHs in the 1:2 and 1:4 cases, which is immediately available to be launched through the funnel. Despite the increase after merger, $L_{\text{EM}}$ always remains lower than $L_{\text{cool}}$. We further report a “kinetic” luminosity $L_{\text{kin}}$ associated with matter outflows, which includes only unbound material ($E = -u_0 - 1 > 0$), identical to $L_{\text{gas}}$ used in [6]. We find in general $L_{\text{cool}} > L_{\text{kin}} > L_{\text{EM}}$. We give values at merger in Tab. III. We normalize luminosities by the accretion rate of the single BH case, because it is not clear what a fair comparison to the time-dependent, instantaneous binary accretion rate would be. Note that actual efficiencies, i.e. luminosities normalized to the binary accretion rate would be much higher. The ratios $L_{\text{EM}}/L_{\text{kin}}$ range from 0.03 to 0.09 and are similar to the values found in Tab. 2 of [53].
the single BH case, differences with [95] are expected due to different disk models, our $u_B$-based outflow diagnostic, their absence of radiative cooling, and possibly different locations where the ratio $E^{\text{(EM)}}/E^{\text{(MA)}}$ is evaluated (on the horizon in [95] vs far away from the black hole in our case).

4. Outflows and jets

In [6] we have identified collimated, magnetized outflows in the predecoupling epoch. As expected, no collimated outflows are observed for the non-spinning single BH case with the same initial disk and numerical parameters. In all binary cases we find that the incipient jets persist through merger and the immediate post-merger evolution; see Figs. 3 and 6 for all cases. The difference between single and binary cases as well as visualizations of B-field lines (Fig. 5) throughout the evolution lead us to attribute the outflows to magnetic winding and buildup of magnetic pressure above the poles of the orbiting black holes. Through accretion, B-field is accreted onto the black holes which can then tap the orbital kinetic energy as in a single spinning BH magnetic fields can tap the rotational kinetic energy of the BH, eventually giving rise to collimated, relativistic outflows, see Fig. 5.

After merger all cases reveal an increase in the Lorentz factor $W$ (measured by normal observers) of the flow in the funnel accompanied by an increase in $b^2/2\rho_0$ (where $b^2/2$ is the magnetic pressure and $\rho_0$ the rest-mass density). Note that $b^2/2\rho_0$ not only shows how dominant the B-field is over the inertia of the matter, but also equals the terminal Lorentz factor achieved by a steady-state, axisymmetric jet model [96]. Until merger we find mildly relativistic $W \gtrsim 1.2$ outflows with maximum $b^2/2\rho_0 \sim 10$ at larger distance from the BHs. After merger $b^2/2\rho_0$ and $W$ inside the funnel above the polar region increase to $W \sim 2.4$ ($q = 1$), $W \sim 2$ ($q = 2$), $W \sim 1.6$ ($q = 4$) and maximum $b^2/2\rho_0 \gtrsim 100$.

B. Distinguishing pre-, postdecoupling and merger

In [6] we have presented an analysis of the predecoupling phase and the relaxed disk properties. Here we report our results during the postdecoupling epoch, adopting the relaxed disk as initial data for a realistic calculation of the postdecoupling evolution.

I. Postdecoupling

For most cases $\Sigma(r)$ remains rather similar at merger and decoupling, at least in the bulk of the disk (see Fig. 1). This demonstrates that the response of the bulk of the disk material is slow compared to the merger time. Near the inner edge all cases show a small drift of matter inward. This behavior is expected, as the binary tidal torques decrease during inspiral while the outward angular momentum transport due to MHD turbulence persists. In all cases considered here, there is a significant reduction in density relative to the single BH case near the BHs.

For thin disks the postdecoupling evolution leads to a dimming of the source, as the binary inspirals in the nearly empty cavity while running away from the inner disk edge [27, 33], but see [35]. For thick disks the cavity contains a considerable amount of gas, which leads to a smearing of the classic decoupling picture for thin disks – in particular for mass ratios different from unity. The snapshots of the rest-mass density covering inspiral and merger, see Fig. 2, clearly demonstrate the persistence of two dense accretion streams threading the horizons and a gaseous environment, in which the BHs remain embedded in all the way through merger.

In the 1:1 case the onset of the postdecoupling evolution is signaled by a gradual decrease in the accretion rate (upper panel of Fig. 3) compare to $M/M_{q=0} \sim 0.43$ in the predecoupling phase [6], $L_{\text{cool}}$ and $L_{\text{kin}}$. In both the 1:2 and 1:4 cases there is no luminosity decrease during the inspiral of the binary. In all cases, the amplitude
FIG. 2. Contours of rest-mass density $\rho_0$ normalized to the initial maximum $\rho_{\text{max}}$ (log scale) in the equatorial plane corresponding to the time at decoupling (left), an intermediate time prior to merger (middle), and the moment following merger (right). Upper panels: Mass ratio 1:1; $\rho_{\text{max}} \simeq 2.1 \times 10^{-11} \left( \frac{L_b}{L_{\text{Edd}}} \right) \left( \frac{M_{10^8 M_\odot}}{M} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{\epsilon}{0.13} \right)^{-1} \text{g cm}^{-3}$. Middle panels: Mass ratio 1:2; $\rho_{\text{max}} \simeq 4.2 \times 10^{-11} \left( \frac{L_b}{L_{\text{Edd}}} \right) \left( \frac{M_{10^8 M_\odot}}{M} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{\epsilon}{0.08} \right)^{-1} \text{g cm}^{-3}$. Lower panels: Mass ratio 1:4; $\rho_{\text{max}} \simeq 3.75 \times 10^{-11} \left( \frac{L_b}{L_{\text{Edd}}} \right) \left( \frac{M_{10^8 M_\odot}}{M} \right)^{-1} \text{g cm}^{-3}$. $L_b = L_{\text{EM}} + L_{\text{cool}}$ is the bolometric radiative luminosity at decoupling.
and frequency of the GW signal increase substantially, see Fig. 3.

2. Early merger aftermath

A few hundred to 1000$M$ after merger (depending on $q$), the Poynting luminosity $L_{\text{EM}}$ (see 6 for the definition) undergoes a sudden increase. For 1:1 $L_{\text{EM}}$ increases by a factor of 5 within 1000$M$ following merger. By contrast, the cooling luminosity $L_{\text{cool}}$ decreases by 30\% over a shorter time interval of 300$M$ before reaching a plateau at half the decoupling value until the end of our simulation. Even so, the cooling luminosity still dominates: $L_{\text{cool}} \sim 8L_{\text{EM}}$ at the end of our simulation. We do not find a sudden, large increase in $L_{\text{cool}}$ at merger as in 49 (or as for thin disks 27). This could be due to the different cooling emissivity or differences in the disk (adiabatic index) we employ here.

We confirm our findings in 49 regarding a rapid change in the properties of the collimated, magnetized outflows in the polar regions during and shortly after merger in all cases. This can be seen, e.g., by comparing $b^2/2\rho_0$ in the meridional plane at merger and shortly after merger, see Fig. 5. In all cases and for all epochs the magnetic pressure is sub-dominant relative to the rest-mass density in the bulk of the disk. The polar regions are dominated by magnetic pressure. Prior to merger we find relatively (compared to other single BH GRMHD accretion studies) small values $b^2/2\rho_0 \gtrsim 10$ at large distances from the BHs, but shortly after merger $b^2/2\rho_0 \gtrsim 100$ in a collimated cone, which quickly expands into the polar directions. This trend is shown in Fig. 5. The same collimation effect just after merger is obvious in 3D visualizations including the magnetic field lines, see Fig. 6. The simultaneous onset of the rapid change in the outflows with the increase in $L_{\text{EM}}$ strongly suggests, that the increased magnetization and acceleration of the outflow is the main cause for the brightening. The collimation just after merger is observed in a similar way in all binary cases.

All currently existing ideal MHD schemes (either relativistic or Newtonian) can accurately evolve regions only up to a certain critical value of the plasma $\beta$ parameter $\beta \equiv 2P/\rho b^2$. Once the critical value is reached or exceeded (typically in the low-density atmosphere) certain inequalities must be imposed to continue the simulations, with their impact designed to be minimal. Based on previous results and tests with our code, we are convinced that the postmerger increase in the magnetization and acceleration of the outflow is the main cause for the brightening. The collimation just after merger is observed in a similar way in all binary cases.

The Blandford-Payne mechanism 97 is probably not the cause for this transient behavior because of the special conditions under which the mechanism operates.

However, it is natural to attribute part of the increase in $L_{\text{EM}}$ to the Blandford-Znajek 98 effect (see also 111 113), because all of our BH remnants are spinning with the funnel area above the remnant BH poles being nearly force-free. The BZ solution is known to describe the force-free regions in the funnel of magnetized, geometrically thick disks accreting onto single spinning BHs 99.

As in 49, we can see the disk beginning to drift inwards towards the remnant BH by comparing $\Sigma(r)$ at different times, see Fig. 1. By the time the bulk of the material will reach the remnant BH the system will likely undergo a re-brightening 99.

Due to asymmetries in the gravitational radiation and momentum conservation the remnant BHs in the 1:2 and 1:4 cases, experience a recoil or “kick” (see 100 101 and references therein). However, for initially non-spinning BHs the recoil velocity has a maximum value of $\sim 175$km/s 102, and hence it is small compared to other characteristic velocities in the system (see also 103 104) unlike 105. Therefore, the remnant BH recoil in our simulations does not have any significant impact on the accretion flow.
IV. ASTROPHYSICAL IMPLICATIONS

Observational evidence for a SMBH binary near the postdecoupling regime remains elusive. There are two possible explanations: (I) There are too few sources. (II) Due to various reasons, identifying SMBH binaries is difficult. Point (II) includes the possible misinterpretation of a binary AGN as a single BH AGN. This confusion can arise because the second BH may not be an “active” AGN or may not be massive enough to alter the outgoing radiation at an observable level.

In our models several diagnostics reveal differences between the single and binary models. In the single BH more matter resides closer to the BH, which is visible in $\Sigma(r)$ comparisons, see Fig. 4. In the binary case $M$ is reduced compared to the single BH case for the same disk. The decrease over time in $M$ and $L_{EM}$ in the binary system after decoupling ($\sim 1 (M/10^8 M_\odot)$ week prior to merger), which we report here, is another signature which is absent in the single BH case. This reduction is expected to last until re-brightening ($\sim 2 (M/10^8 M_\odot)$ months after merger).

The magnetically-driven transient during merger and the resulting acceleration of matter along the polar regions is characteristic of the binary merger in all cases and is not observed (nor expected) in the single BH case. Instead, in the single non-spinning BH we observe little to no polar outflows. There are outflows from spinning BHs, but these are not likely to exhibit a one-time, dramatic transient behavior such as the one exhibited in the binary case. Based on our findings, the strongest evidence for the presence of a BH binary is the transient in the magnetized outflows/jets during and shortly after merger. The increased magnetic field strength and outflow velocity will likely lead to enhancements of the radio emission from the jet and perhaps the X-rays and additional brightening due to relativistic beaming.

While there are known effects that can cause a single BH accretion flow to flare (recurringly) – such as hotspots – the flare in the Poynting luminosity near a binary merger is a one-time event.

Our results motivate a search for binary SMBH candidates based on jet morphology. Even a merger event long in the past could be identified by a change in the collimation from the foot of the jet towards its head. In fact, observations in time of such jets could reveal that the stronger emission is propagating outwards. This is similar to the interpretation that X-shaped radio sources originate from a sudden spin-flip following a past BHBH merger. The difference here is that there is a transient feature in the jet even in the absence of a spin flip.

The effective temperature, magnetic energy density, and characteristic cyclotron frequencies during the inspiral phase remain similar to the values we reported in [6] for the predecoupling phase:

$$T_{\text{eff}} \sim 10^5 \left( \frac{L_b}{L_{\text{Edd}}} \right)^{1/4} \left( \frac{M}{10^8 M_\odot} \right)^{-1/4} \text{K},$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

$$\nu_{\text{cy}} \sim 10^6 \left( \frac{L_b}{L_{\text{Edd}}} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{M}{10^8 M_\odot} \right)^{-1/2} \text{Hz}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

However, a few hundred $M$ after merger we find an increase in the magnetic energy density in the funnel region by a factor of $\sim 10$. This effect shifts the cutoff frequency of synchrotron emission, which may arise in these systems from the presence of relativistic electrons, towards higher frequencies; see e.g. Problem 4.2 in [106]. Therefore, a one-time frequency shift in the synchrotron emission could be detected in radio surveys [107, 108] and may reveal the presence of a BHBH merger.

Also blazar systems, similar to the SMBH binary candidate OJ-287 [109, 110], constitute a promising class of systems where binaries might be identified through EM observations [111] based on variability studies [112].

The dimming of total luminosity observed in 1:1 signals the onset of the postdecoupling epoch and serves as a precursor for the upcoming merger with a lead time of $500M \sim 3 (M/10^8 M_\odot)$ days. Such a one-time dimming is unique to the equal-mass SMBH binary and does not seem to occur either in a single BH accretion flow or for mass ratios significantly different from unity. Thus, a near-equal-mass binary AGN can potentially be distinguished from both a single BH AGN prior to merger and
binary AGNs with mass ratios deviating from unity, even in the absence of a “sudden” EM feature during merger.

Force-free simulations in full GR have suggested that dual jets from BHBH systems may be detectable \[13\]. If such dual jets were detected they would be strong evidence for the presence of an accretion disk onto a BHBH. However, the force-free simulations of \[113\] argue that the power in these dual jets is only a small fraction of an otherwise more isotropic emission, suggesting that dual jets are likely not detectable. Our GRMHD simulations show that the individual jets launched by each BH merge into one common jet structure (at least during the late inspiral). Therefore, we conclude that dual jets are unlikely to be detected from magnetized accretion disk-BHBH systems in which the BHs are slowly spinning and the disk orbital angular momentum is aligned with binary orbital angular momentum, see also \[108\].

The merger of the two BHs poses a major change to the whole system. The results we report here are attributed to the effects immediately (\(t < 1000M \sim 6(M/10^8M_\odot)\) days) following the merger event. By “immediate” we mean over time scales which involve the dynamics of material within a few M near the BH left over from the merger. This is in contrast to the independent effects of material from the bulk of the disk beginning to fall in on a (much longer) viscous time scale.

FIG. 5. Contours of \(b^2/2\rho_0\), (log color scale) in a meridional slice, at merger (left panels), 100\(M\) after merger (middle panels), 400\(M\) after merger (right panels). Upper panels: 1:1. Middle panels: 1:2. Lower panels: 1:4.
FIG. 6. Volume rendering of rest-mass density, normalized to its initial maximum value $\rho_{0,\text{max}}$ (see color coding), and magnetic field lines for the 1:1 case. Left panels: Halfway through the inspiral $t - t_m = 500M$. Right panels: Time $t - t_m \sim 100M$ after merger. Top panels: Global view out to $r/M \sim 150M$. Bottom panels: Closeup view within $r/M \sim 20M$. White field lines emanate from the BH apparent horizons. Green field lines emanate from the disk. The blue background indicates densities less than $10^{-5}\rho_{0,\text{max}}$. Incipient jets are launched above each BH, merge at larger radii, and further collimate shortly after merger.
(t_{\text{vis}} > 10,000M = 60(M/10^8M_{\odot})\text{days}) after the merger has occurred.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented results from our follow-up study of [6] by evolving relaxed GRMHD accretion flows through the binary inspiral and merger phases in full GR.

The key differences between signatures arising from the BHBH-disk at decoupling as compared to those near merger are:

1. The mildly relativistic dual jets observed near decoupling and prior to merger, coalesce and form one common jet. The common jet further collimates near merger (see Figs. 5 and 6), while the outflow Lorentz factors are boosted following merger by \( \sim 60\% \).

2. The Poynting luminosity increases shortly after merger by a factor of \( \sim 1.5 - 2 \), and its value is sustained until the end of our simulations (see Fig. 3).

3. The kinetic luminosity exhibits a large peak near merger (see Fig. 3), whose height is \( \sim 1.5 - 2 \) times larger than the values prior to merger.

4. The cooling luminosity is largely insensitive to the dynamics during postdecoupling and merger (see Figs. 3 and 4). We find a decrease during postdecoupling only in the 1:1 case.

For decreasing mass ratio (1:1, vs. 1:2, vs. 1:4), the key trends of the BHBH-disk systems are:

1. The increase in the Poynting and kinetic luminosities after merger begins earlier as \( q \) decreases. For 1:4 the kinetic luminosity peaks almost simultaneously with the GW burst at merger.

2. The boost in the Poynting luminosity after merger decreases with decreasing \( q \). This is most likely due to the fact that the spin of the remnant BH decreases with \( q \).

3. There is significant variability in the accretion rate and the cooling luminosity in the 1:4 case, which is not observed for the other cases.

4. The non-axisymmetric “lump” feature becomes weaker as \( q \) decreases.

We find little decrease in nearly all luminosity diagnostics after decoupling, indicating that such sources may be bright. Aftermath EM signatures are more prominent than precursor EM signals. Generally, the dependence of EM signatures (the increase in the Poynting luminosity and its time lag after merger) on mass ratio is stronger after merger than before merger or in the predecoupling epoch. A robust acceleration and boost in magnetic energy density of the outflowing material is observed, which is an excellent candidate for a clear and pronounced, one-time EM signature for merging SMBH binaries. This transient and the reported features in the light curves are unlikely to occur in single BH disk systems.

In the future we intend to include realistic radiation processes and radiative transport, and refine our results by calculating an EM spectrum, in order to identify distinguishing features between single BH and binary BH AGN.
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