Growth rate fitting using the von Bertalanffy model: analysis of natural populations of *Drepanotrema* spp. snails (Gastropoda: Planorbidae)

Alejandra Rumi, Diego E. Gutiérrez Gregoric & M. Andrea Roche
División Zoología Invertebrados, Museo de La Plata, Facultad de Ciencias Naturales y Museo, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, Paseo del Bosque s/nº, 1900 La Plata, Buenos Aires, Argentina. Fax: 54 0221 4257527; alerumi@fcnym.unlp.edu.ar; dieguty@fcnym.unlp.edu.ar; rocheandrea@hotmail.com

Received 30-VII-2004. Corrected 31-V-2006. Accepted 12-I-2007.

Abstract: The genus *Drepanotrema* includes six species in Argentina. The life cycle in natural systems of *D. depressissimum*, and *D. lucidum* has been little studied, except for some casual observations. The aim of this study is to analyze main population trends (age structures, recruitment periods, life span and curves of individual growth) in Paiva pond, Argentina. We explored growth model fitting and comparison methodologies between species and environments in Paiva pond and Isla Martín García (IMG), to determine interspecific patterns. Theoretical curves of von Bertalanffy’s model for each population were contrasted with samplings using the $\chi^2$ test. Expected sizes were transformed into a percentage of maximum size and cohorts started from zero time, which allowed them to be independent of the real or estimated starting date and a comparison was possible. A similar time scale was used, because the k values proved to be sensitive to time scale. Maximum size reached by *D. lucidum* was 6.9 mm and by *D. depressissimum* 9.38 mm. Growth rates (k) fluctuated from 1.302 to 1.368 in the first and 1.339 to 1.509 in the second species. No statistically significant differences were found in growth curves among species inhabiting the Paiva pond and in the different IMG water bodies independent of the beginning of each cohort and maximum size. In general, no winter cohorts were observed, except in one population of *D. kermatoides* (IMG). Comparing circannual and biannual growth rhythms most of the species reached 60 % of their development during their first year, and 85 % or more during their second year. Rev. Biol. Trop. 55 (2): 559-567. Epub 2007 June, 29.
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Von Bertalanffy’s growth model is widely applied in vertebrate populations, eg. in fish (Sendra and Freyre 1978, 1981, Colautti 1997), amphibians (Basso and Kehr 1991), as well as in bivalves (Ituarte 1985, Darrigran and Maroñas 1989, Boltovskoy and Cataldo 1999, Cataldo and Boltoskoy 1999). However, in freshwater gastropods the model has been applied mostly in experimental, field and/or laboratory studies and less under natural conditions (Baluku and Loreau 1989, Ferrer et al. 1989, Perera de Puga et al. 1991, Pretorius et al. 1992, Costil and Daguzan 1995, Eleutheriadis and Lazaridou-Dimitriadou 1995).

Among Planorbidae, the genus *Biomphalaria* Preston, 1910; has been most studied because it is an intermediate host of *Schistosoma mansoni* (Sambon, 1907). Population dynamics, structure and function of assemblages in the rest of the species of this family from Tropical and Subtropical regions has not received much attention.

The species of the genus *Drepanotrema* Fisher and Crosse, 1880; most of them endemic of the Neotropical Region and of a wide distribution, reach the northern part of the Nearctic Region (south of Texas, USA) (Baker 1945). In South America they form part of the most frequent freshwater snail associations and at present includes eight species. In spite of their abundance, the group has received scarce attention in relation with other genera of the
Planorbidae family such as *Biomphalaria* due to its medical sanitary interest. Ecological aspects of *Drepanotrema* species are poorly known (Lévêque and Pointier 1976) and their morfo-anatomy and classification have been scarcely revised (Baker 1945, Paraense and Deslandes 1958a, b and Paraense 1980, among others).

They are as frequent as *Biomphalaria* species in the macrosystem of the Great Plata Basin. In this basin, six genera of Planorbidae are present: *Biomphalaria*, *Drepanotrema*, *Plesiophyta* Fischer, 1883; *Acrorbis* Odhner, 1937; *Antillorbis* Harry and Hubendick, 1964 and *Helisoma* Swaison, 1840. The first two include the greatest number of species and are widely distributed in Argentina.

*Drepanotrema* includes six species in Argentina. The life cycle of *D. depressissimum* (Moricand, 1839) and *D. lucidum* (Pfeiffer, 1839) (Fig. 1) in natural sytems has been little studied, except for observations by Bonetto *et al.* (1982, 1990), Hamman *et al.* (1993), Rumi (1991) and Rumi *et al.* (2002).

The aims of our study were: 1- To analyze the age structure of *D. depressissimum* and *D. lucidum* in Paiva pond, Corrientes, Argentina. 2- To identify recruitment periods and individual growth trends. 3- To estimate cohort life spans. 4- To explore the methodologies of growth model fitting in different species and environments: Paiva pond and Isla Martín García Reserve (IMG) (*D. kermatoides* (d’Orbigny, 1835) and *D. cimex* (Moricand, 1839) (Fig. 1), in order to determine interspecific patterns.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sites:** Paiva pond, Pampín Place (27° 30’ S, 58° 45’ W), belongs to the basin of
Riachuelo River, one of the main affluent of the Middle Paraná River, Corrientes, Argentina. It is in wetlands located in a low and discontinuous area (Bonetto et al. 1978a, b). Aquatic vegetation is distributed in patches and at different depths. In the littoral zone, macrophytes were associated with Drepanotrema populations. Three types of macrophytes could be distinguished: rooted plants, eg. Eleocharis minima, Scirpus cubensis, Ludwigia peploides and Hydrocotyle ranunculoides; free-floating plants eg. Salvinia herzogii, S. minima, Ricciocarpus natans, Azolla carolineana, Pistia stratiotes, Eichhormia azurea and Lemnaceae (Spirodella sp., Lemna sp., Wolffia sp. and Wolffiiella sp.); and submerged plants eg. Cabomba australis, Potamogeton cf. illinoensis, Hydrocleys nymphoides, Nymphaea sp. and Utricularia oligosperma.

**Sampling strategy:** thirteen samples were taken between April 1986 and August 1987 in the littoral zone of Paiva pond. Snails were collected with a perforated dipper, 12.5 cm in diameter, and their relative abundance was estimated as number of individuals collected per hour. Size was measured by maximum shell diameter. Methodologies used for gastropod collection and for measurement of pH, conductivity, concentration and percentage of oxygen saturation, and water temperature are given by Rumi and Hamann (1992) and Hamann et al. (1993).

**Age structure and growth rates:** for graphic representation of age structure relative to size, individual diameter data were grouped in 1 mm class interval and 7 age classes in D. lucidum (Museo de La Plata, La Plata, Argentina -MLP-, n°7388) and 10 age classes in D. depressissimum (MLP n°7389). Each cohort was assumed to be representative of one generation resulting from a specific reproductive period and its mean; standard deviation and number of individuals were calculated.

Application of von Bertalanffy’s model was based on the methodology of Basso and Kehr (1991). Time corresponding to each sampling was calculated from the equation: 
\[ t= [(m – 1) 30 + d] \times 360^{-1}, \]
where \( m= \) month and \( d= \) sampling date. Here, \( t=1 \), approximately one year (1.002). The von Bertalanffy model was applied in both species: 
\[ L_t= L_{\text{max}} \left(1 - e^{-k(t-to)}\right), \]
where: \( L_t= \) size at time \( t; \ L_{\text{max}}= \) asymptotic maximum size; \( to= \) hypothetic at time when size is equal to “zero”; \( t= \) time; \( k= \) constant growth rate. By linear transformation of the logarithmic equation we obtained: 
\[ \ln \left(1- \frac{L_t}{L_{\text{max}}} \right) = -kt + kto (1). \]
A regression equation between \( \ln \left(1- \frac{L_t}{L_{\text{max}}} \right) \) (dependent variable) and \( t \) (independent variable), was calculated. In (1), \( kto= \) origin of ordinate (a), \( to= (a)k^{-1} \), and the slope (b)= \(-k\). Significant differences among regressions were estimated on the basis of their confidence limits. \( L_{\text{max}} \) is the largest size found in individuals of each species. Theoretical curves obtained were compared, using a \( \chi^2 \) test, to size found in nature.

**Interspecific comparisons:** to compare individual growth curves among species in different conditions, the results of Rumi et al. (2004) for D. kermatoides (MLP n°6798) and D. cimex (MLP n°6757), inhabiting environments of the IMG, Río de la Plata (34º11’ S; 58º15’ W), were included. In the model, fitness criteria were used: expected sizes according to the growth model were expressed into a percentage of their maximum size. In these cases, beginning of all cohorts were taken as “to”= 0 which allowed them to become independent of the real or estimated starting date for each cohort.

**RESULTS**

**Analysis of Drepanotrema spp. age structures in the Paiva pond:** D. lucidum: a large percentage of individuals in the first two age classes (recruitment) and juveniles (age classes 3-4) were observed towards the end of autumn. High percentages of adults were also observed between autumn and spring (Fig. 2). 
D. depressissimum: a high percentage of individuals of the first sizes and adults were
observed in autumn and spring. In winter, all age classes were present, dominated by individuals of age classes 3 and 4 (Fig. 2).

Conductivity varied through the year (40 to 130 μS cm\(^{-1}\)). The percentage of oxygen saturation fluctuated with no defined seasonal pattern. pH was slightly below neutral. Water temperatures were never below 10 °C (Fig. 3A, B, C, D).

**Growth analysis:** maximum size observed for *D. lucidum* was 6.9 and 9.38 mm for *D. depressissimum*. The growth model fitted well (p>0.05) for the cohorts of both species (*D. lucidum*: autumn: \(\chi^2: 0.0672, \text{df: 5} \); spring: \(\chi^2: 0.3580, \text{df: 6} \); *D. depressissimum*: summer: \(\chi^2: 0.2250, \text{df: 5} \); autumn: \(\chi^2: 0.0725, \text{df: 4} \); spring: \(\chi^2: 0.0111, \text{df: 2} \)). Determination coefficients (R\(^2\)) for each cohort were higher than 0.86 (Table 1). The curves did not show statistically significant differences, according to the confidence limits (p>0.05) obtained of each regression equation (where k= -b). However, growth rates of spring cohorts, for both species, were higher (Fig. 4, 5 and 6).

**Rates and interspecific growth patterns:** winter cohorts were not observed (Table 2), except for a population of *D. kermatoides* (IMG) found towards the end of winter. There were no statistically significant differences in growth rhythm among species in Paiva pond and the IMG habitats, independently of the start of the cohorts and their maximum size. IMG conductivity data (Fig. 7) were divided into two groups, given the differences between the mean values of the different environments: Group 1 (>650 μS cm\(^{-1}\), Tank and Big Quarries) and Group 2 (<500 μS cm\(^{-1}\), Rubbish and Buoy Quarries, Intangible Zone and Stream). Values from the second group are similar to those observed in IMG coastal waters as Río de la Plata (85 to 143.2 μS cm\(^{-1}\)) and in the Paiva pond (31 to 270 μS cm\(^{-1}\)). Highest growth rates for *Drepanotrema* were observed in *D. cimex* and *D. kermatoides*, environments with the highest conductivity at IMG (Group 1), where oxygen concentration (0.2-2 mg l\(^{-1}\)) and oxygen availability were low.

Comparing circannual and biannual growth rhythms (showed as percentages) (Fig.
8) we found that most species reached 60 % of their development at an age of one year (D. lucidum: between 72.8-74.5 %; D. depressissimum: 73.8-77.8 %; D. kermatoides: 62.5-81.7 % and D. cimex: 64.8-83.9 %), and 85 % of their development or more at an age of two years (D. lucidum: 92.6-93.5 %; D. depressissimum: 93.1-95.1 %; D. kermatoides: 85.9-96.6 % and D. cimex: 87.6-97.3 %).

**DISCUSSION**

In Paiva pond the constantly high environmental temperature (above 20 °C) and partial seasonal desiccation (Rumi and Hamann

---

**Fig. 3. A. pH; B. conductivity (μS cm⁻¹); C. percentage of dissolved oxygen; and D. temperature values (°C), recorded in the Paiva pond.**

**TABLE 1**

| Species                | “to” | R²  | N  | k  |
|------------------------|------|-----|----|----|
| *Drepanotrema lucidum* | A.   | 0.30| 0.96| 384| 1.30|
|                        | S.   | 0.82| 0.86| 816| 1.37|

**CUADRO 1**

Valores de los parámetros del modelo de von Bertalanffy obtenidos en condiciones naturales para *Drepanotrema lucidum* y *Drepanotrema depressissimum*.

**Drepanotrema lucidum**

| “to” | R²  | N  | k  |
|------|-----|----|----|
| A.   | 0.30| 0.96| 384| 1.30|
| S.   | 0.82| 0.86| 816| 1.37|

**Drepanotrema depressissimum**

| “to” | R²  | N  | k  |
|------|-----|----|----|
| Su.  | 0.17| 0.88| 606| 1.45|
| A.   | 0.36| 0.99| 1244| 1.34|
| S.   | 0.78| 0.99| 58 | 1.51|

1. “to”= hypothetical time at which individuals’ length = 0; R²= determination coefficient; N= number of individuals at each station; k= growth constant; Su.: Summer; A.: Autumn; S.: Spring.

2. “to”= tiempo hipotético en el que los individuos poseen una longitud cero; R²= coeficiente de determinación; N= número de individuos en cada estación; k= constante de crecimiento; Su.: Verano; A.: Otoño; S.: Primavera.

**TABLE 2**

Calculated beginning of cohorts for *Drepanotrema* species in the Paiva pond and at Isla Martín García during the different seasons of a year

| Cohorts        | Su. | A. | W. | S. |
|----------------|-----|----|----|----|
| *Drepanotrema* |     |    |    |    |
| *Drepanotrema lucidum* | +   | +  | +  | +  |
| *Drepanotrema depressissimum* | +  | +  | *  |
| *Drepanotrema cimex* | +   | +  | +  | +  |
| *Drepanotrema kermatoides* | +   | +  | *  | +  |

1. Su.: Summer; A.: Autumn; W.: Winter; S.: Spring; *: End of winter.

2. Su.: Verano; A.: Otoño; W.: Invierno; S.: Primavera; *: Fines de invierno.

**CUADRO 2**

Inicio de la cohortes halladas para las especies de *Drepanotrema* en la laguna Paiva y la Isla Martín García durante las diferentes estaciones climáticas del año.
1992) inhibited reproduction. In January, no snails were found, and in February, they were scarce. Predominant size in both species corresponded to adult specimens. Moreover, estimated starting times of cohorts of both species showed a tendency towards asynchronous displacement, allowing to alternate recruitment periods. This strategy may decrease competition for plant substrate that functions as food and as oviposition sites. *D. depressissimum* showed a longer period of recruitment than *D. lucidum*, which may be considered more independent from the seasons.

*D. kermatoides* showed high tolerance to environmental stress and an affinity for free-floating macrophytes at the Big Quarry (IMG), in agreement with observations in urban environments of Chaco (Rumi et al. 2002). Specific data on ammonium and phosphorus (220-375 μg l⁻¹ and 1 020-2 630 μg l⁻¹, respectively) and low nitrates (4-14 μg l⁻¹), indicate a prevalence of decomposition of the dense macrophyte cover.

Highest growth rates were observed in *D. kermatoides* and *D. cimex* cohorts from IMG towards the end of spring and beginning of summer (k= 1.7-1.8). Species of the Paiva (*D. lucidum* and *D. depressissimum*) showed growth rhythms near medium values (k: 1.3-1.5). Comparing environments close to the coast of IMG and to the Paiva pond (Fig. 8) we found that in Paiva, growth rates were higher. However, here mean conductivities were lower.
through the year than those of IMG. At similar conductivity values, a higher temperature and more available oxygen contribute to a higher growth rate, as observed in Paiva. However, extreme summer temperatures produced an important desiccation and a strong regulating effect of *Drepanotrema* populations (see in Fig. 2, absence of snails in January). In summary, apart from *D. kermatoides*, the species could not endure environmental stress. Accelerated growth-rates in the first year, could be a strategy to decrease the negative effects of degrading environmental processes. The Paiva could be considered as more favorable for growth and population development since summer desiccation is restricted.

In Guadalupe (West Indies), Lévêque and Pointier (1976) observed that two sampling stations had a water temperature of 25-27 °C. One was inhabited by *D. depressissimum* and the other by *D. lucidum*. Both *Drepanotrema* spp. showed high growth rates and become almost fully grown in one month. Both *Drepanotrema* spp. have natural populations from Caribbean areas to the Argentinian Mesopotamia Region. *D. depressissimum* reaches the southern distribution at Buenos Aires province, Argentina (Baker 1945, Rumi *et al.* 1997). The annual average temperature in Paiva pond is about 24 °C (Range= 11 to 31 °C), although it
reaches high water temperatures (about 30 °C). It shows large annual fluctuations, and if the temperature gradient is correlated with growth trends, the growth of *D. depressissimum* and *D. lucidum* populations is expected to be slower in southern areas than in tropical areas.

On the other hand, a similar time scale was used to compare k values, because they are sensitive to that scale. Most of the scales used are in weeks (52 per year), months (12 per year) or year fractions (1 year = 1). For example, using the same population data, we obtained: for a weekly scale, \( k = 0.0216 \); for a monthly scale, \( k = 0.09 \) and for year fractions scale, \( k = 1.1366 \). These k values are not comparable. However, if growth is expressed as percentages of the maximum size, comparisons can be done. In our example, independently of the scale used, the population reached 67% of its maximum size during the first year. In this way, this last evaluation allows better comparisons among species, with different sizes and from different environments.
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