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Abstract: Cloud computing is a model that provides computing environment where a shared pool of resources are provisioned to customers as a service via the internet. Task scheduling forms the essential part of cloud environment. The scheduling of task in cloud mainly focuses on reducing average waiting time, Makespan and maximizes resource utilization which in turn reduces the response time of the system. This paper study various scheduling algorithms in cloud computing environments.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Cloud computing is a model of computing services that can be provisioned to users via the internet. The services provisioned to the users can be accessed through end user devices running different applications. The users are charged by the cloud service providers on pay per use basis. Examples of cloud service providers include Google, Amazon, and Microsoft.

Cloud services are provided to users in form of infrastructures as a Service (IaaS), Software as a Service (SaaS) and Platform as a Service (PaaS).

In infrastructure as a service the physical components of the cloud are provided to the user as virtual machines and virtual storage instances for program execution and data storage. The platform as a service model provide the environment for the development and deployment of user applications in the cloud and the software as a service model provide the user required applications accessible via web browsers as a service.

A. Cloud Architecture

Cloud architecture is designed to have many components that are loosely coupled. Cloud components can be divided into Front End and Back End. The Front End components comprises of clients, mobile device that provides the applications and interfaces to access the cloud platform. The Back End components include the Servers, Storage, Virtual Machines, applications, Datacenters that are provisioned to the users as a service [2].

B. Scheduling in Cloud Computing

Resource scheduling is the allocation of a resource to a user task at a particular period of time. The main goal of resource scheduling is to minimize waiting time, execution time and increase resource utilization which in turns reduces the response time of the overall system.

C. Types of Resource Scheduling

Resource scheduling is classified into static and dynamic scheduling. In static scheduling the scheduler is aware of the resources required by a task before its execution. In dynamic scheduling the scheduler is unaware of the resources required by the task.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY

Cloud computing consist of different scheduling algorithms. Major parameters are task length, execution time, type, deadline considered in the proposed approach. Some other parameters tempt the resources utilizations and scheduling of tasks.

A. Y. Gital. et al. [1,20] proposed a grouped task scheduling Algorithm to classify task into two groups (Urgent and Normal) based on task size, type of task, deadline. Tasks in each group are scheduled based on deadline and the two groups are scheduled based on resource need. Virtual Machines with capacity greater or equal to the average required capacity of each group are allocated to the task in that group.
Y. Zhang et al. [3,15] proposed an infrastructure resource management approach in Infrastructure as a Service Cloud Model. These approach use resource consumption optimization loop to improve the utilization of resource through some management functions provided by the Middle ware layer of the Platform as a Service Model. A resource allocation loop provisioned an appropriate resource to the application system guaranteeing the performance of the resource. The resource allocation and resource consumption loop are merged to consecutively and repeatedly run to provide resource on demand by improving resource utilization and then allocate more resources when the need arise.

P. Zhang et al. [4] proposed a method that uses historical task information to determine the various types of virtual machines and the number of each type. The submitted Job Requests are classified and matched to the Virtual Machines [18] that suit the Job Request.

Ajay Thomas et al. [5] proposed a scheduling method that takes into account the deadline of each task that arrives into the system. The tasks are sorted based on the deadline [15] so that each task has a deadline less than the subsequent task. The required resource for each task is allocated to the task for execution before the deadline of the task expires. This method focuses on satisfying the client demands but fails to satisfy the cloud service provider demand.

Li et. al [6], proposed a Greedy Based Job Scheduling Algorithm that focus on improving the quality of service. The method aimed at reducing the completion time of user’s task and to provide a quicker solution to the scheduling problems. The method classifies task based on the QoS and the assign an appropriate function to the task.

Patel [7], authors proposed an Improved Priority based Job Scheduling Algorithm using Iterative Method; the method gives priority to some jobs which are scheduled first for execution. The result of the proposed method was compared with the round robin algorithm and produces a better result.

Pankaj et al. [8] proposed a scheduling mechanism that takes into account the deadline of task. The proposed method allocates credit to respective tasks based on their lengths and deadlines. The mechanism finally schedule the task according to demand.

| Algorithms | Objective | Scheduling Category | Scheduling Parameters | Future Work | Tool |
|------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------|------|
| Grouped Task Scheduling and Resource allocation[1] | To reduce Makespan, Waiting time and Increase Resource Utilization | Deadline Based Task Scheduling | Task Deadline | To consider more dynamic task scheduling | CloudSim |
| Delay Time Scheduling Algorithm[5] | To increase resource utilization and number of task executed | Deadline Based Task Scheduling | Task Deadline | To implement the method on real cloud environment | CloudSim |
| Scheduling based on two Stage Strategy[4] | To reduce Makespan, Average Waiting time and Increase Resource Utilization | Resource Demand | Task Marking to VM and Task Matching With VM | To deploy the proposed method on actual cloud environment | CloudSim |
| Greedy Based Job Scheduling Algorithm [6] | To improve QoS and minimize makespan | Greedy Algorithm Based Job Scheduling | Time, Bandwidth, Expectation Time, JEF Function and function result | To reduce completion time and to gain more fairness. | CloudSim |
| Improved Priority Based Job Scheduling Algorithm using Iterative Method [7] | To reduce makespan | Priority Based Job Scheduling | Priority of Jobs | The proposed algorithm can be optimized further to reduce makespan | CloudSim |
| Algorithm Type | Objectives | Features of Interest | Methodology | Tools |
|----------------|------------|---------------------|-------------|-------|
| Grouped Task Scheduling Algorithm [9] | To minimize Makespan, Waiting Time and Increase Resource Utilization | Execution Time Scheduling, user, task type, task size and task latency | To increment the number of attributes that apply QoS in the algorithm | CloudSim |
| Dynamic Virtual Machine Placement [10] | To reduce Resource Under utilization and Reduce SLA Violation | Dynamic VM Placement &Runtime Reallocation, CPU and Memory | considering other types of resources such as network bandwidth or storage and conducting more experiments using real workload traces | CloudSim |
| Resource Allocation Algorithm for Multiple VM’s [11] | Maximize Cloud provider Profits | Resource Allocation Algorithm for Multiple VM’s | To consider deadline and priority, consider improving utilization in an elastic environment | CloudSim |
| Autonomic and Energy-aware Resource Allocation [12] | To reduce power consumption | Energy aware resource allocation, Resource requirement and dynamic resource allocation | To consider resource fragmentation issue | iCloud |
| Task Scheduling Based On VM’s Grouping [13] | Reduce Makespan and average waiting time, increase resource utilization | VM’s Grouping, VM capacity | No Future Work | CloudSim |
| Multi-objective Genetic Algorithm [14] | To increase resource utilization and minimize energy consumption | resource prediction and allocation | to test the proposed GA with the Google data center traces to verify its prediction accuracy | MATLAB |
| Dynamic Cloud Task Scheduling Based on a Two-Stage Strategy [15] | To increase Resource utilization, minimize makespan and average waiting time | historical data scheduling, Task Deadline | to deploy the method on the actual cloud environment | CloudSim |
| Integrating Resource Consumption and Allocation for Infrastructure Resources on Demand [115] | To improve resource utilization and performance | Resource Consumption and resource Optimization Loop | Improving the Method to adapt more middleware and VM types and extend the optimization loop from middleware layers to OSes, and extend the allocation loop to include VM migration | SmartRod |
III. TASK SCHEDULING METHODS

First Come First Serve (FCFS): each incoming task is attributed the any idle virtual machine.

Shortest Job First: the incoming task are sorted in the order of their lengths, and for the new sorted list, proceed as first come first serve.

Round Robin: all incoming task are attributed to available VM’s. The VM’s assigns quantum to each task to be executed. The execution of task on a virtual machine is interrupted when the quantum expires before the task is completely executed and the next task starts.

Min-Min: it computes the execution time matrix of each incoming task on each VM, execute the task on a VM with least execution time, and remove the task from the queue.

Finally the execution time matrix is updated.

Max-Min: it computes the execution time matrix of each incoming task on each VM, execute the task with maximum execution time first, and remove the task from the queue.

Finally the execution time matrix is updated.

IV. RESULTS

Table 1: Comparison of Mean Execution Time

| Number of Task | FCFS | SJF | Min-Min | Max-Min |
|---------------|------|-----|---------|---------|
| 20            | 0.9  | 0.97| 0.84    | 0.91    |
| 40            | 0.95 | 0.95| 0.87    | 0.9     |
| 60            | 1.02 | 0.98| 0.93    | 0.95    |
| 80            | 1.1  | 1   | 0.95    | 0.97    |
| 100           | 1.11 | 1.11| 1.06    | 1.07    |

Figure 1: Comparison of Average Execution Time

V. CONCLUSION

FCFS, SJF, Min-Min and Max-Min have been used to schedule task in different small distributed systems. In this paper an experiment was conducted using CloudSim and the methods were executed using the same dataset. The average execution times of the methods were calculated and the results were displayed under the result section of this paper.
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