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Tract-specific white matter hyperintensities disrupt neural network function in Alzheimer’s disease
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Abstract

Introduction: White matter hyperintensities (WMHs) increase the risk of Alzheimer’s disease (AD). Whether WMHs are associated with the decline of functional neural networks in AD is debated.

Method: Resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging and WMH were assessed in 78 subjects with increased amyloid levels on AV-45 positron emission tomography (PET) in different clinical stages of AD. We tested the association between WMH volume in major atlas-based fiber tract regions of interest (ROIs) and changes in functional connectivity (FC) between the tracts’ projection areas within the default mode network (DMN).

Results: WMH volume within the inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus (IFOF) was the highest among all tract ROIs and associated with reduced FC in IFOF-connected DMN areas, independently of global AV-45 PET. Higher AV-45 PET contributed to reduced FC in IFOF-connected, temporal, and parietal DMN areas.

Conclusions: High fiber tract WMH burden is associated with reduced FC in connected areas, thus adding to the effects of amyloid pathology on neuronal network function.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the Alzheimer’s Association. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
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1. Introduction

Higher volume of white matter hyperintensities (WMHs) is associated with increased risk of dementia, not only vascular dementia but also Alzheimer’s disease (AD)–related dementia [1]. In AD, increased WMH volume...
contributes to cognitive decline independently from abnormally high levels of Aβ in the brain [2]. These studies suggest that WMHs are an important factor that exacerbates the cognitive deficits in AD.

The contribution of WMH to the impairment of functional networks underlying cognitive changes, however, is not well understood. Most studies assessing the effects of WMH on functional brain changes in AD have focused on fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (FDG-PET) [3–6]. Results from these studies showed that higher global WMH volume, measured within the whole white matter, is associated primarily with prefrontal FDG-PET hypometabolism [6–9]. Higher WMH volume has further been shown to be associated with lower FDG-PET in temporoparietal brain areas in subjects with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) or AD dementia [4]. Such effects of WMH remained when controlling for biomarker levels of Aβ [4,8]. A recent resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging (rsfMRI) study showed that higher WMH burden is associated with impaired brain function [10]. However, these studies used global measures of WMH volume averaged across the entire white matter [4,6–10] or large brain regions regardless of anatomical boundaries of fiber tracts [11].

Recent studies on ischemic WMH have highlighted the relevance of lesion location and of anatomical connections between WMH and cortical gray matter [12–14]. By studying patients with genetically defined small vessel disease, we recently demonstrated that white matter lesions are associated with local gray matter atrophy specifically in projection areas of the connecting fiber tracts [15]. Applied to AD, we hypothesized that higher WMH volume in anatomically defined white matter tracts is associated with functional brain changes specifically in connected cortical regions.

To test this hypothesis, we measured the effect of WMH and levels of AV-45 positron emission tomography (PET) binding of Aβ on functional connectivity (FC) within the default mode network (DMN), which can be readily identified during rsfMRI in older subjects [16]. We focused on FC changes within the DMN because this is the major functional neural network that shows reduced FC in AD and for which the fiber tract connections between different DMN regions have been demonstrated [17,18]. Specifically, we tested whether WMH in major fiber tract atlas ROIs of the DMN are associated with reduced FC in cortical regions connected by these fiber tracts.

2. Methods

2.1. Subjects

All subjects were recruited within the multicenter network Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI, recruitment phases GO and II) [19]. ADNI is a longitudinal study started in 2003 as a public–private partnership to investigate neuroimaging features, neuropsychological parameters, and other biomarker for tracking and predicting AD-related cerebral and cognitive changes [19] (Supplementary Methods 1). Ethical approval was obtained by the ADNI investigators (http://www.adni-info.org/pdfs/adni_protocol_9_19_08.pdf). This study was approved by the institutional review boards of all the participating institutions within ADNI.

AD dementia was diagnosed according to the National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke-Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Disorders Association (NINCDS-ADRDA) criteria [20], and amnestic MCI (aMCI) was diagnosed according to the Mayo Clinic criteria [21,22] (for details, see Supplementary Methods 2). Subjects were selected by the following criteria: availability of an AV-45 PET scan (to assess levels of Aβ), three-dimensional (3D) T1-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan (for spatial normalization), fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) scan (to assess WMH), and rsfMRI (to assess FC). In addition, MCI and AD dementia patients had to show abnormally high global AV-45 PET binding (Aβ+) as defined by a previously established criterion of gAV-45 PET > 1.11 [23]. The different imaging modalities were acquired no longer than 13 months apart from each other (average delay between AV-45 PET and MRI scans was 20 days, range = −357 to 378 days).

Of the initial sample of N = 138 subjects meeting our criteria, 36 subjects were excluded due to poor signal in rsfMRI as a consequence of atrophy in parietal and frontal regions (N = 18), FLAIR segmentation failures (N = 2), excessive movement artifacts visible in rsfMRI (translations > 3 mm or rotations > 2°) or FLAIR scans (N = 8), or failed spatial normalization (N = 8). Failed spatial normalization resulted from large ventricles that caused an erroneous high-dimensional spatial transformation (for details of spatial normalization, see Section 2.4). The final sample included 102 subjects, that is, 38 cognitively healthy control subjects (HC; Aβ+ n = 14, Aβ− n = 24), 42 subjects with aMCI Aβ+, and 22 patients with AD dementia.

2.2. MRI acquisition

All rsfMRI scans were obtained on Philips 3T MRI scanners, with an eight-channel head coil. Briefly, the rsfMRI scans were acquired using a single-shot T2*-weighted echo-planar imaging pulse sequence with a 3.3-mm slice thickness (repetition time = 3000 ms). The 3D T1-weighted magnetic resonance images were acquired using an magnetization-prepared rapid gradient-echo (MPRAGE) sequence with a spatial resolution of 1 × 1 × 1.2 mm³ (170 slices). FLAIR scans were obtained with a spatial resolution of 0.86 × 0.86 × 5 mm³ voxel resolution. Full details of all MRI scanner protocols for T2*-weighted, MPRAGE T1-
As a result, for all subjects, WMH and CSF were mixed in three-tissue probability maps using the FAST toolbox [28]. FLAIR images were bias-corrected and segmented into a semiautomatic classification procedure. In the first step, segmented from the whole brain FLAIR images, using a tool from the FMRIB software library [29] (FSL, version v5.0). To extract the DMN component, analysis (ICA) was conducted, using the GIFT fMRI Data Analysis Toolkit (REST) [25]. Based on these results, the BOLD signal was low-pass filtered (<0.1 Hz) and detrended. These preprocessing steps were done centrally by the ADNI core (http://adni.loni.usc.edu/data-samples/pet).

### 2.3. AV-45 PET acquisition

AV-45 PET scans were acquired on a variety of different PET scanners (Siemens, GE and Philips). AV-45 PET scans consisted of 4 × 300-second frames measured 50 minutes after injection of 10 ± 1.0 mCi of 18F-Florbetapir AV-45. Global AV-45 PET values (gAV-45 PET) were obtained as an average of the image values within several large ROIs as previously described [23]. Preprocessing of the AV-45 PET scans and computation of the gAV-45 PET values were done centrally by the ADNI core (http://adni.loni.usc.edu/methods/documents/mri-protocols/).

### 2.4. RsfMRI analysis

Standard preprocessing of rsfMRI images was performed including realignment of images, correction of movement artifacts, and coregistration to native space 3D T1-weighted images. Spatial normalization of the preprocessed data was done through diffeomorphic high-dimensional registration as implemented in the DARTEL toolbox (SPM 8; Wellcome Trust Centre for Neuroimaging, University College London, see Supplementary Methods 3 for details) [24]. Next, the motion parameters and the BOLD signal time courses within the white matter and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) were regressed out. The BOLD signal was low-pass filtered (<0.1 Hz) and detrended. These preprocessing steps were conducted with the software program Resting-State fMRI Data Analysis Toolkit (REST) [25]. Based on these preprocessed scans in Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space (1.5 mm), a groupwise independent component analysis (ICA) was conducted, using the GIFT fMRI Toolbox (version 2.0.b) [26] to extract the DMN component. The global brain signal was removed, and a total of 20 independent components were estimated across subjects, using the Infomax algorithm. Spatial correlation analysis of the group ICA–derived maps versus the independent component map of the DMN reported by Smith et al. [27] showed a high spatial correlation of r = 0.71 for a single-group ICA component. The next best fitting component showed a spatial correlation of r = 0.71 for a single-group ICA component. The next best fitting component showed a marked drop to r = 0.29, thus allowing for an unambiguous identification of the DMN.

### 2.5. WMH segmentation and projection onto fiber tract ROIs

The preprocessing steps involved in the WMH measurement are displayed in Supplementary Fig. 1. WMH was segmented from the whole brain FLAIR images, using a semiautomatic classification procedure. In the first step, FLAIR images were bias-corrected and segmented into three-tissue probability maps using the FAST toolbox [28] from the FMRIB software library [29] (FSL, version v5.0). As a result, for all subjects, WMH and CSF were mixed in the same tissue probability map that was thresholded at a probability >0.3. Given that these two tissue types have nonoverlapping FLAIR intensities distributions (WMH being very bright and CSF very dark), WMH could be unambiguously segregated. This was done using a histogram segmentation based on the Otsu method [30]. Two independent raters manually edited the resulting WMH segmentations to remove clusters that were misclassified as WMH (Supplementary Fig. 2). Manual editing was done using a custom written software tool, developed in MATLAB (R2013b; MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA). The inter-rater reliability of the WMH assessment yielded a Dice coefficient of 0.98.

The resulting WMH maps in native space were then normalized to MNI space (2 mm) by a two-step procedure. First, the FLAIR images were registered (affine) to the MPRAGE T1-weighted images using FSL FLIRT [31]. Second, normalization to MNI space (2 mm) was estimated based on the T1-weighted MRI sequence using linear (FSL FLIRT) and nonlinear (FSL FNIRT) registration with standard parameters. The WMH maps were spatially normalized, by applying the transformation parameters estimated in this two-step procedure. Tract-specific WMH volumes were then calculated by superimposing the spatially normalized WMH maps onto the Johns Hopkins University International Consortium for Brain Mapping probabilistic fiber tract atlas (JHU-ICBM-tracts) [32] in the MN152 standard space. The atlas was comprised of probability maps for 20 main fiber tracts. In order to calculate the WMH volume for a particular fiber tract, the WMH map was overlaid on the corresponding probability map and used as a mask. The voxel-wise probabilities were then summed up across all voxels within this mask. Finally, the tract-specific WMH volumes were normalized to the tracts’ total volumes, calculated as the sum of voxel-wise fiber tract probabilities (from the JHU-ICBM-tracts) throughout the whole brain. This procedure has been described previously [33].

### 2.6. Mapping DMN regions connected by the atlas-based fiber tract ROIs

The initial set of fiber tracts was based on previous reports of fiber tract connections between DMN brain regions [34–41] and included the cingulum (CING), cingulum–hippocampus tract (CING-Hippo), superior longitudinal fasciculus (SLF) and its temporal cortex branch (SLF-Temp), inferior longitudinal fasciculus, and the inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus (IFOF). For the present study, we did not include forceps minor and forceps major [37,41] because these interhemispheric fibers connect midline structures that are not clearly separable between hemispheres within resting-state ICA component images (left and right posterior cingulate cortices connected by the forceps major and left and right medial frontal cortices connected by the forceps minor). Next, we determined those tracts that could be confirmed to connect DMN brain...
regions based on an atlas-based ROI approach. The selected fiber tracts were identified within the probabilistic JHU fiber tract ROI atlas, and the connected brain areas in the DMN were determined as illustrated in Fig. 1. In the first step, a previously published DMN map [27] was binarized at a threshold of $z > 3$, except for the hippocampus region that was thresholded at $z > 2$ to account for typically lower reliability of FC between the hippocampus and other DMN brain regions [42]. The DMN mask was subsequently multiplied by a binary gray matter mask. The gray matter mask was produced by averaging the gray matter probability maps from the SPM-based segmentation (in MNI space) across subjects and thresholding at a gray matter probability score $>0.3$. The thus produced DMN mask was superimposed onto the JHU fiber tract atlas in MNI space (Fig. 1B). In the second step, the selected tracts in the probabilistic JHU fiber tract atlas [32] were binarized at 10% probability and dilated by 6 mm. The intersection between the dilated fiber tracts and the DMN mask defined then the tract’s cortical projection area (Fig. 1C). To provide larger coverage of the projection area within the DMN, the intersecting region was dilated in another iteration by 6 mm within the boundaries of the DMN, thus yielding a larger projection area of the fiber tract within the DMN (white arrows pointing to extended green area outside the red meshed sphere, D). This was done to ensure sufficient coverage of the DMN for a representative sampling of DMN FC values within the projection area of the tract. Finally, the spatially normalized WMH map (yellow) was superimposed onto the fiber tract, and the WMH volume within the fiber tract was calculated (E).

Fig. 1. Schema of successive steps involved in generating the default mode network (DMN) regions of interest (ROI) connected by a tract (here, the cingulum) and the WMH located in that tract. A three-dimensional rendering of the location of the cingulum (red) and the DMN (blue) is shown (A, left panel), where after different processing steps, the final cingulum-connected ROI of the DMN (green) and the WMH (yellow) located in that fiber tract ROI are yielded (A, right panel). (B–E) Illustrate the different processing steps to produce those final ROIs. In the first step, the DMN mask (blue) obtained from a previously published DMN template [27] and the fiber tract mask (red) obtained from the probabilistic JHU fiber tract atlas [32] are fused in MNI space (B). Next, the fiber tract was dilated by 6 mm (meshed red) to determine the area of spatial overlap between the tract and the DMN mask (green, [C]). The green area was dilated in another iteration by 6 mm within the boundaries of the DMN, thus yielding a larger projection area of the fiber tract within the DMN (white arrows pointing to extended green area outside the red meshed sphere, D). This was done to ensure sufficient coverage of the DMN for a representative sampling of DMN FC values within the projection area of the tract. Finally, the spatially normalized WMH map (yellow) was superimposed onto the fiber tract, and the WMH volume within the fiber tract was calculated (E).

2.7. Signal-to-noise ratio of rsfMRI

To control for the variability in fMRI BOLD signal due to field inhomogeneities in T2*-weighted scans [43], we calculated the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) within each ROI. SNR was defined as the average of the signal intensity across the entire time series divided by the standard deviation of the signal within the time series [43].

2.8. Statistical analysis

For each fiber tract, the ratio between the WMH volume and total white matter volume was calculated (henceforth called WMHr). Normal distribution of gAV-45 PET, WMHr, and FC values was tested in Aβ+ subjects, using
Fig. 2. Major fiber tracts (red) and connected cortical regions of interest (green) of the DMN. The DMN mask was based on the *a priori* DMN map published by Smith et al. [27] as shown in axial view in the upper row. Abbreviations: AG, angular gyrus; L, left; MFC, medial frontal cortex; MTG, middle temporal gyrus; PCC, posterior cingulate cortex; R, right.
groups based on state examination. Variables included WMHr, gAV-45 PET, diagnosis (HC, gray matter ROI as the dependent variable. The independent analyses (Huber-M) were computed, with FC within the tract’s connected ROI). We controlled for SNR because rsfMRI scans showed in most cases pencil-shaped patterns of SNR. Gender was included as an additional variable due to numerical (although not significant) difference between diagnostic groups. Improvements in model fit by adding numerical (although not significant) difference between each diagnostic group. Supplementary Table 2 summarizes the demographic characteristics for any of the fiber tracts demonstrated a main effect of WMHr. None of the two-way interactions (WMHr × diagnosis or WMHr × gAV-45 PET) were significant, with outliers excluded (Supplementary Table 3). In addition, we tested whether GM volume within each fiber tracts’ connected brain area is a confounding factor. However, no associations between GM volume and WMHr for any of the fiber

3.1. Association between WMHr and FC

Greater WMHr within the IFOF was associated with reduced FC of the IFOF-connected gray matter ROIs, independently of gAV-45 PET levels (β = −5.6, t(72) = −2.051, P = .02, Fig. 3). Bootstrapping of the regression analysis confirmed the association between IFOF WMHr and lower FC (bootstrapped β = −6.6, 95% CI = −14.2 to −1.2). None of the other fiber tracts demonstrated a main effect of WMHr. None of the two-way interactions (WMHr × diagnosis or WMHr × gAV-45 PET) were significant, with outliers excluded (Supplementary Table 3). In addition, we tested whether GM volume within each fiber tracts’ connected brain area is a confounding factor. However, no associations between GM volume and WMHr for any of the fiber

![Fig. 3. Regression plots showing functional connectivity in the inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus (IFOF)-connected regions of interest of the DMN as a function of WMHr in the IFOF. The regression lines for each group (colored) and the whole sample (solid black line) and the standard error of the estimate (shaded area) are shown. Higher WMHr in the IFOF was associated with lower FC in the gray matter projection area of the IFOF. Statistical outliers (>3 standard deviation from group mean) have been removed for purposes of the current graph (robust regression model accounted for outliers in the regression analysis). Abbreviation: WMHr, ratio between the WMH volume and total white matter.](image-url)

Table 1 summarizes the demographic characteristics for each diagnostic group. Supplementary Table 2 summarizes the mean values of WMHr, ROI-FC, and gAV-45 PET.

![Table 1](image-url)

**Table 1**

Group characteristics

|            | HC Aβ− | HC Aβ+ | P  | MCI Aβ+ | P  | AD    | P  |
|------------|--------|--------|----|---------|----|-------|----|
| Sample size| 24     | 14     |    | 42      |    | 22    |    |
| Age (y)*   | 74.7 (6.6) | 74.8 (6.5) | .94 | 72.4 (6.7) | .19 | 73.2 (6.9) | .48 |
| Education* | 16.0 (2.1) | 17.0 (1.9) | .13 | 16.1 (2.6) | .83 | 15.7 (2.3) | .72 |
| Gender (F/M) | 18/6 | 6/8 |    | 16/26 | ≤.5 | 12/10 | .25 |
| MMSE*      | 28.8 (1.3) | 28.7 (0.9) | .79 | 27.3 (1.7) | <.001 | 22.9 (2.6) | <.001 |

*Values indicate the mean (and standard deviation) that is given for each group. P values are indicated for differences between HC-Aβ− and each of the other groups based on t-tests or chi-square test (gender).

Abbreviations: Aβ, amyloid-beta; AD, Alzheimer’s disease; F, female, HC, healthy controls; M, male; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; MMSE, mini-mental state examination.

...
tract ROIs were found \( (P > .05) \). Stepwise forward regression showed that both IFOF-ROI GM volume and IFOF WMHr independently predicted FC. The association between higher WMHr in the IFOF and lower FC in connected brain areas of the DMN was confirmed \( (\beta = -9.0, t(72) = -2.3802, P = .01, \text{Supplementary Fig. 4}) \), when spatially defining the DMN regions by the group-specific DMN map rather than the \textit{a priori} selected DMN mask \[27\]. None of the two-way interactions (WMHr \( \times \) diagnosis or WMHr \( \times \) gAV-45 PET) were significant. To test whether global WMHr (excluding WMHr of the IFOF) added to the prediction of FC changes, we added both IFOF-WMHr and global WMHr (excluding WMHr of the IFOF) in a stepwise forward regression model, controlled for age, gender, education, and diagnosis. Results showed that only WMHr of the IFOF was predictive of FC \( (\beta = -6.26, t = -2.312, P = .012) \).

3.2. Distribution of WMH within the DMN tracts

Next, we investigated whether WMHr differed between fiber tracts of the DMN or whether WMHs were rather homogenously distributed within the DMN. Fig. 4 shows the mean WMHr for each fiber tract. WMHr within the IFOF was significantly higher compared with each of the other tracts \( (F(4,73) = 111.8, P < .001; \text{see also Supplementary Table 2}) \). WMH probability mapping showed that WMHs were predominantly distributed around posterior and anterior periventricular regions (Fig. 5), which include pathways of the IFOF and SLF tracts within the DMN.

3.3. Association between global AV-45 PET binding and FC

Greater gAV-45 PET binding was associated with lower ROI-FC values in the projection brain areas of the IFOF \( (t(72) = -1.81, P = .037) \), CING-Hippo \( (t(72) = -1.93, P = .029) \), and at trend level for the SLFtemp \( (\beta = -0.15, t = -1.4, P = .08) \). Bootstrapping of the regression coefficient of AV-45 PET confirmed a significant effect for the IFOF \( (\beta = -0.28, 95\% \text{ CI} = -0.55 \text{ to } -0.004) \) and a trend for the CING-Hippo \( (\beta = -0.75, 95\% \text{ CI} = 0.007 \text{ to } -1.5) \). Regression plots are presented in Fig. 6. None of the WMHr measures were associated with gAV-45 PET binding.

3.4. Association between WMHr and other resting-state networks

To test whether the current results on the association between WMHr and AV-45 PET with FC were specific for the DMN, we tested the association between those predictors and FC changes in two control rsfMRI networks, including the auditory network and the dorsal attentional network maps \[27\]. Because none of the atlas-based fiber tract ROIs of the DMN-connected regions of those two resting-state networks, we did not expect any correlation between WMHr and FC for those networks. Applying the same spatial ROIs and using the same regression models, we did not find any association between WMHr and FC for any of the fiber tract ROIs.

4. Discussion

The major findings of the present study are that: (1) the IFOF showed the highest WMHr among all atlas-based fiber tract ROIs of the DMN, (2) WMHr in the fiber tract ROI of the IFOF was associated with reduced FC in the connected brain regions, (3) the association between higher WMHr and lower FC was independent of gAV-45 PET, and (4) higher gAV-45 PET was independently associated with reduced FC within DMN areas. These results suggest that WMHr disrupt FC in a fiber tract–specific way and
contribute independently from Aß pathology to reduced FC within the DMN.

The IFOF showed a particularly high WMHr when compared with other fiber tracts of the DMN. The IFOF is a long association tract that passes through the periventricular white matter [45], that is, the sparsely perfused vascular end zone of perforating arteries [46]. Sparse perfusion of the IFOF may thus explain the high WMH volume of that tract. The current results of selective effects for the IFOF suggest that only at a sufficiently high severity level, WMH volume disrupts FC. Although the interpretation in favor of a threshold effect is tempting, we caution that any smaller effects of WMH in tracts other than the IFOF may have not been detected due to lower statistical power. Thus, the threshold hypothesis of the effect of WMH on FC awaits further confirmation.

We found effects of WMH onto FC to be additive to those observed for gAV-45 PET, and no interaction with gAV-45 PET was observed. These results are in keeping with previous studies reporting additive rather than synergistic effects of WMH and global Aß levels on FDG-PET and cognitive function in AD [47]. Moreover, the levels of gAV-45 PET were not associated with WMHr in any of the fiber tracts of the DMN, consistent with previous studies that found no association between global levels of WMH and global levels of Aß [2,48]. Together, these results suggest that WMH and Aß pathology contribute independently to functional changes within the DMN. Still, the absence of an interaction effect reported in many neuroimaging studies should be cautiously interpreted with regard to conclusions about the association between vascular pathology and Aß deposition. WMHs are a radiological surrogate measure of white matter changes of presumed vascular origin, where the sensitivity to detect underlying vascular pathology is unclear [49]. Given that many risk factors of AD such as high blood pressure, hyperlipidemia, or diabetes are associated with vascular pathology [50–52], it remains to be clarified whether vascular pathology and primary AD pathology such as Aß are truly independent disease processes.

There are several caveats that need to be taken into account when interpreting our results.

First, we did not assess fiber tract connections via diffusion tensor imaging directly but applied an atlas-based fiber tract ROI approach. Although this approach has the advantage of avoiding problems of fiber tracking such as failure due to white matter lesions, the current approach cannot assure that fiber tracts always connected the DMN brain regions. Based on the group ICA map of the DMN, we observed for the SLF fiber tract ROIs a connection to the angular gyrus but not the middle temporal gyrus (Supplementary Fig. 3), which may explain why we did not find an association between WMHr in the SLF and DMN brain regions.

Second, we included only subjects with abnormally increased levels of Aß. Hence, the observed association between WMH and reduced FC may not necessarily be generalizable to subjects with low levels of Aß. However, because Aß levels are associated with abnormal FC in the DMN particularly at pathologically increased but not low levels of Aß [53], we specifically chose the present study design for a rigorous test of our hypothesis that WMHs, in addition to Aß pathology, contribute to changes in the DMN.

Fig. 6. Regression plots showing functional connectivity (FC) in gray matter ROIs as a function of levels of gAV-45 PET. The regression lines for each group (colored) and the whole sample (solid black line) and the standard error of the estimate (shaded area) are shown. Higher global AV-45 PET was associated with lower FC in the gray matter regions of interest connected by the IFOF (A) and hippocampus–cingulum tract (B). Statistical outliers (>3 standard deviation from group mean) have been removed for purposes of the current graph (robust regression model accounted for outliers in the statistical analysis). Abbreviation: IFOF, inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus.
Third, we used only global levels of AV-45 PET binding rather than levels of A\(\beta\) in the DMN as a predictor of FC changes. We chose the global measure of A\(\beta\) level because in subjects with abnormally increased levels of A\(\beta\), the PET levels of A\(\beta\) binding are highly correlated between different cortical brain areas. Thus, the average cortical AV-45 PET binding can be considered a valid estimate of the level of A\(\beta\) deposition within the DMN.

Fourth, the AV-45 PET scans were acquired sometimes months apart from the rsfMRI scan. Previous longitudinal studies have shown a 1% to 2% annual change in global AV-45 PET binding [54] with rather subtle spatial expansion [55]. This variability may have limited the power to detect an association between AV-45 PET and FC changes in the present study.

Lastly, we focused on the DMN rather than other resting-state networks. We specifically chose the DMN because this resting-state network is predominantly affected in AD. Our results provide evidence that even in a resting-state network where A\(\beta\) accumulation is high and A\(\beta\) is associated with reduced FC, increased WMHs still add to reduced FC in that network in AD. However, other resting-state networks such as the fronto-parietal attention network [56] may be affected by WMH in AD as well [57].

In conclusion, the current results support the notion of localized effects of WMH on the functional integrity of the DMN. These effects of WMH were independent from the effects of global levels of A\(\beta\), suggesting a linear additive contribution of both cerebrovascular-related fiber tract impairment and A\(\beta\) pathology to functional network alterations. For vascular brain changes, treatment options and preventative interventions are already available. The current approach offers a promising way to pinpoint the effects of cerebrovascular pathology–related impairment of functional networks and thus to potentially serve as an outcome parameter for clinical trials.
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RESEARCH IN CONTEXT

1. Systematic review: Global levels of white matter hyperintensities (WMHs) were associated with reduced brain function (FDG-PET or fMRI; [4]; [10]) and added to the effects of increased A\(\beta\) in AD ([8]). Which functional networks show reduced integrity in association with WMH is debated. WMHs are heterogeneously distributed in the WM and may affect the gray matter in a fiber tract–specific way (Duering et al., 2014, Reference 33).

2. Interpretation: Higher tract-based WMH and lower FC were associated selectively in brain areas connected by the IFOF, that is, the fiber tract showing the highest WMH among all tracts of the DMN. These results suggest that WMH are associated with reduced FC in a tract-specific way rather than global way, independently of A\(\beta\).

3. Future direction: Are WMHs in particular fiber tracts associated with altered memory task–related activation and thus may contribute to cognitive impairment in Alzheimer’s disease?
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