Transfer of the lesser tuberosity for reverse Hill-Sachs lesions after neglected posterior dislocations of the shoulder: A retrospective clinical study of 13 cases
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Objective: This study aimed to present middle-term functional and radiological outcomes of the transfer of the lesser tuberosity in the management of reverse Hill-Sachs lesions following posterior dislocations of the shoulder.

Patients and methods: With a diagnosis of neglected posterior shoulder dislocation (8 locked, 5 recurrent), 13 male patients (age range: 28–72; mean age: 39.3 years) who underwent the transfer of the lesser tuberosity due to reverse Hill-Sachs lesions, were retrospectively reviewed based on functional and radiological data. The etiologies were: epilepsy in 9 patients, a traffic accident in 2 patients, and fall in 2 patients. To assess the patients’ functional level, American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons (ASES) and Constant Scores were used, and the patients’ range of motion at the last follow-up was measured. To evaluate the development of arthrosis, the final follow-up control plain radiographs were examined. The average size of the defects calculated from the axial computed tomography sets was 27% (range: 20%–40%).

Results: The average length of follow-up was 30 months (range: 12–67 months). At the last follow-up visit, the main ASES and Constant Scores were 78 and 85, respectively, and the average degrees of flexion, abduction, and external rotation were 163°, 151°, and 70° respectively. The concentric reduction was observed postoperatively.

Conclusion: McLaughlin procedure appears to be a safe and effective method in the treatment of neglected posterior shoulder dislocations with reverse Hill-Sachs lesion.

Level of Evidence: Level IV, Therapeutic study.
size between 25% and 50%, it is recommended that the modified McLaughlin procedure be used; this involves the transfer of the lesser tuberosity with the attached subscapularis tendon into the defect.5

This present study aimed to conduct an investigation regarding middle-term functional and radiological outcomes of the transfer of the lesser tuberosity in the management of posterior dislocation of the shoulder with reverse Hill-Sachs lesion, with defects ranging in size from 20% to 40%.

**Patients and methods**

We retrospectively reviewed the medical records of 23 patients who were diagnosed and treated for posterior dislocation of the shoulder between 2009 and 2012 at our institution. Of the 23 patients, 4 underwent shoulder hemiarthroplasty due to reverse Hill-Sachs lesions with a defect size greater than 40%, and 6 were treated by arthroscopic posterior Bankart repair due to reverse Hill-Sachs lesions with a defect size less than 10%. After excluding those patients, a total of 13 patients who were treated using the transfer of the lesser tuberosity (the modified McLaughlin procedure) were included in the present study.

All the patients included in the study were recalled for subjective, objective, and functional evaluation. The study protocol involved functional condition, range of motion (ROM), American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons (ASES) and Constant Scores in addition to plain radiograph (Fig. 1) and computed tomography (CT) scans of the shoulder (Fig. 2). According to the Kellgren and Lawrence radiological classification system, any development of arthrosis was assessed at the last follow-up.

The average length of follow-up was 30 months (range: 12–67 months). All the patients were male, and the mean age was 39.3 years, with an age range from 28 to 72. Locked posterior dislocation following the first dislocation was determined in 8 patients. The remaining 5 patients presented with the recurrent posterior shoulder dislocation. The etiologies were: epilepsy in 9 patients, a traffic accident in 2 patients, and falling down in 2 patients.

The size of the reverse Hill-Sachs lesions was quantified using axial CT sets, as described by Moroder et al. (Fig. 3) and the average size of the defects was 27%, with a defect size range varying from 20% to 40%. Furthermore, the prime indication for using the modified McLaughlin procedure was reverse Hill-Sachs lesion, with a defect size ranging from 20% to 40%, whether the posterior dislocation was locked or recurrent.

**Surgical technique**

Under general anesthesia, and with the patient in the beach-chair position, the standard deltopectoral approach was used to expose the patient’s shoulder joint. Then, the subscapularis tendon was identified, and the posterior dislocated humeral head was reached using the rotator interval. The reduction was accomplished by placing a Cobb elevator between the glenoid and the humeral head, paying attention to avoid creating any fracture. The next step was to confirm the defect of the humeral head, which was quantified using a CT scan before the operation. The long head of the biceps tendon was released from its attachment close to the glenoid, and it was reattached to its groove on the humerus at the end of the operation. Osteotomy of the lesser tuberculum was accomplished by using a bone saw without detaching the subscapularis tendon from its attachment. The osteotomized lesser tuberculum was slid into the defect area, which was fixed with two, 4 mm cannulated screws (DePuy Synthes Companies®, Zuchwil, Switzerland) (Fig. 4). Fluoroscopy was used to control the directions of the screws, and no joint penetration was detected. The construction and stability were evaluated via direct observation and fluoroscopic imaging intraoperatively. After certifying the stability, wound closure was performed using a suction drain at the end of the operation. A double dose of a second-generation cephalosporin was ordered for the perioperative antibiotics prophylaxis.

**Postoperative rehabilitation protocol**

Postoperatively, the shoulder joint was immobilized at 30° abduction and neutral rotation with a shoulder sling brace for 6 weeks. Internal rotation was not allowed; only wrist and hand exercises were permitted during this period. After 6 weeks, active-assisted physical therapy was initiated. After 12 weeks, all active motions were allowed.

**Results**

The results obtained from the analysis of 13 patients that underwent the modified McLaughlin procedure are presented in Table 1. With satisfactory functional conditions, all the patients returned to their daily life without any limitations. No subluxation or dislocation was observed postoperatively. At the last follow-up, the main ASES and Constant Scores were 78 and 85, respectively, and the average degrees of flexion, abduction, and external rotation were 163°, 151°, and 70°, respectively. Moreover, ranges of internal rotation were the seventh thoracic vertebra (T7) in 2 patients, the twelfth thoracic vertebra (T12) in 4 patients, the third lumbar vertebra (L3) in 4 patients, the buttock in 2 patients, and the lateral thigh in 1 patient.

In the final follow-up control radiographic evaluation, the concentric reduction was maintained for all patients, and the union of the transferred lesser tuberosity was recognized in all patients (Fig. 5). There was no evidence of arthrosis on the plain radiography according to the Kellgren and Lawrence radiological classification system, all of which were grade 0.

**Discussion**

Initially, over half of all posterior shoulder dislocations are unrecognized due to obscure clinical and radiological signs.1–5,7,8 A high index of clinical suspicion and a suitable imaging modality are essential for correct diagnosis and appropriate treatment.2

Posterior shoulder dislocation is frequently accompanied by an anteromedial impression fracture of the humeral head, which is also known as a reverse Hill-Sachs lesion. This defect has long been
cited as a cause of recurrent instability and early-onset osteoarthritis of the shoulder. Thus, specific treatment should be provided to address this potential problem.2,5,7

Most previous studies have used the size of the defect to determine the choice of treatment because the size plays a pivotal role as a practical guideline for selecting the treatment option.5,9–12

Regarding the management of posterior shoulder dislocations, treatment approaches vary, based on the size of the defect. In small impression defects that are less than 15%, closed reduction alone can be easily performed for acute cases that are not complicated. However, open reduction is often necessary for chronic cases with a similar defect size. In large impression defects greater than 40%, total shoulder arthroplasty or hemiarthroplasty is generally the recommended and accepted procedure, depending on the functional status of the patient.5

The literature is conflicting as to the management of defects that range in size between 20% and 40%. It is a well-known that enlargement of the size of the defect following reposition significantly increases the risk of instability. Therefore, the refill of the defect plays a pivotal role in resolving this problem. A variety of techniques, such as transfer of the lesser tuberosity, rotational osteotomy of the humerus, and allograft or autograft reconstruction, have been used to refill the defect.5

With respect to the use of autologous or allogenic bone graft, several techniques have been described in the literature. However, some complications, such as graft collapse, screw loosening, avascular necrosis of the femoral head, and osteoarthritis, limit use of these techniques.13

Subscapularis tenodesis is another option that can be used to refill the defect. First described by McLaughlin,3 this technique is used to perform an open transfer of the subscapularis tendon by detaching it from its attachment to the bone defect.3 Most recently, this procedure was performed arthroscopically through the only plication into the bone defect without detaching from its attachment.7 Furthermore, Hawkins and Neer (1987) presented a new design of McLaughlin’s procedure. This modification consisted of a simultaneous transfer of the subscapularis tendon and lesser tuberosity. They noted that this modified procedure provides some advantages, such as more secure bone fixation and better filling of the defect with the osteotomized bone fragment.1 In addition, while the authors of some studies prefer to use of one or two screws for fixation, others suggest using only a suture anchor.9–16 With the goal of increasing rotational stability, two, 4 mm cannulated screws were preferred in our study.
Although the literature contains many case reports dealing with the neglected posterior shoulder dislocation,9,11,14 only a limited number of case series are available that report on the transfer of the lesser tuberosity.1,12,17 In the study by Hawkins et al, satisfactory outcomes were reported in 4 patients with a mean follow-up of 5.5 years.1 Similarly, Kokkalis et al reported that 5 patients were managed using the same technique, and no recurrent instability was seen during a 20-month follow-up period.9

An argument can be made that the present study, which addresses the issue of neglected posterior shoulder dislocations, makes an important contribution to the existing literature because it reports on a higher number of patients with successful middle-term functional and radiological outcomes using the modified McLaughlin procedure.

However, this present study has a number of important limitations that must be considered. First, only the size of the defect was considered when determining the appropriate treatment. However, Moroder et al reported that, in addition to the size of the defect, settlement also played a crucial role in the treatment selection. Second, the follow-up period in this study was limited to the middle-term functional and radiological outcomes. Third, this study did not include a control group. Finally, all the patients included in this study were male.

Conclusion

The size and settlement of the defect are critical factors for selecting the appropriate course of treatment for neglected posterior shoulder dislocations. However, the settlement of the defect was not considered in this study. Therefore, further work is required to examine that factor. All in all, this study showed that the modified McLaughlin procedure is an appropriate technique for neglected posterior shoulder dislocations with size defects ranging between 20% and 40%, even though the study had no control group. Therefore, future studies on the current topic that include control groups are required.
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Table 1
Clinical and demographic profile analysis of patients.

| Patient | Sex | Age (years) | Etiology | Follow-up time (month) | Flexion (°) | External rotation (°) | Abduction (°) | Internal rotation | Size of humeral impaction (%) |
|---------|-----|-------------|----------|------------------------|-------------|----------------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1       | M   | 72          | Fall     | 25                     | 170         | 90                   | 160          | L3                | 29                            |
| 2       | M   | 28          | Car accident | 60                     | 180         | 85                   | 170          | T12               | 26                            |
| 3       | M   | 36          | Epilepsy | 20                     | 130         | 90                   | 150          | L3                | 20                            |
| 4       | M   | 38          | Epilepsy | 24                     | 170         | 70                   | 140          | Buttock           | 22                            |
| 5       | M   | 37          | Epilepsy | 16                     | 160         | 35                   | 150          | T12               | 25                            |
| 6       | M   | 38          | Epilepsy | 14                     | 150         | 45                   | 130          | Lateral thigh     | 28                            |
| 7       | M   | 63          | Epilepsy | 67                     | 160         | 50                   | 155          | L3                | 33                            |
| 8       | M   | 31          | Epilepsy | 12                     | 180         | 90                   | 170          | T7                | 25                            |
| 9       | M   | 35          | Epilepsy | 36                     | 175         | 80                   | 160          | T12               | 35                            |
| 10      | M   | 30          | Car accident | 48                     | 170         | 75                   | 145          | T7                | 25                            |
| 11      | M   | 40          | Fall     | 36                     | 160         | 70                   | 155          | L3                | 24                            |
| 12      | M   | 28          | Epilepsy | 24                     | 170         | 80                   | 160          | T12               | 29                            |
| 13      | M   | 35          | Epilepsy | 12                     | 150         | 50                   | 120          | Buttock           | 28                            |

Fig. 5. (A) Postoperative anteroposterior radiograph of patient number seven displaying concentric reduction. (B) Postoperative axial view of CT scan illustrating replacement of the lesser tuberosity into the defect area.
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