Aggressive Environment Performance of Low Energy Cements Containing Fly Ash
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Highlights
• Boron active belite cement (BABC) is a good alternative for sustainability of cement industry.
• Performance against aggressive environments is similar to Portland-composite cement (PCC).
• Early strength development of BABC is better compared to that of PCC in presence of fly ash.

Abstract
In this study, compressive strength performance of boron active belite cement containing fly ash at the ratios of 0, 10, 20, and 30% (by weight of cement) is researched against aggressive environments; sea water, 5% sodium sulphate solution, and 5% ammonium nitrate solution in addition to tapping water. Alternative low energy cement i.e., Portland-composite cement was used and its results were compared with those of boron active belite cement. Early strength losses (reaching up to 40%) of the boron active belite cement with incorporation of fly ash up to 30% were found to be less pronounced than those (reaching up to 51%) of Portland-composite cement in tapping water. Although, the losses were highly compensated with the prolonged curing period (90 days), boron active belite cement and Portland-composite cement kept their losses up to 14% and 36%, respectively. Residual mechanical properties (reaching up to 67%) of boron active belite cement against aggressive environments were found almost similar to those of Portland-composite cement in presence of 30% fly ash. In conclusion, the study shows that belite cements with low fly ash contents can be a reasonable alternative for specific applications such as mass concrete, hot weather concreting especially in aggressive environments.

1. INTRODUCTION

The responsibility of cement industry in terms of carbon footprint is reached to 7% of global anthropogenic emissions due to around 850 kg of CO₂ emission per ton production of cement [1-4]. This emission following by depleting natural resources and fossil fuel deposits is among of the most serious environmental problems facing human being [5]. After 1990s, CO₂ emissions were limited with Kyoto Protocol or other similar agreements. Hereby, energy saving resulting in the environmental benefits increasingly became an important issue in cement manufacture processes in last decade.

According to Popescu et al. [6], a low lime saturation factor of farine in production of clinker could result in reducing energy consumption and simultaneously less releasing CO₂. The alteration of kiln feed will lead to the increasing belite phase and the decreasing alite phase. Belite-based cements are known as eco-friendly materials thanks to the 10% less CO₂ emissions [7-9]. In production of these cements, clinkering temperature can be reduced 120-160 °C when compared to ordinary Portland cement [6].

Superior long-term strength and durability characteristics could be achieved if alite-based clinker is replaced by belite-based clinker because of a reduction in total Ca(OH)₂ formed during cement hydration [10]. Hydration products of belite phase (see Equation (1)) are relatively more durable than those of alite phase (see Equation (2)) in aggressive environments because of its relatively lower Ca(OH)₂ product
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amount that is prone to degradation more than C-S-H product. The reactions taken place between the Ca(OH)$_2$ products and solutions of ammonium nitrate and sodium sulfate sources result in gypsum formation and other soluble products as seen from Equations (3) and (4). Thus, a trend has been resulted in reduction of Ca(OH)$_2$ content in cementitious products as much as possible in the field [11]

\[
\begin{align*}
2 \text{C}_2\text{S} \;\text{(belite)} + 9 \text{H}_2\text{O} &\rightarrow \text{C-S-H} + \text{Ca(OH)}_2 & (1) \\
2 \text{C}_3\text{S} \;\text{(alite)} + 11 \text{H}_2\text{O} &\rightarrow \text{C-S-H} + 3\text{Ca(OH)}_2 & (2) \\
\text{Ca(OH)}_2 + 2\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3 &\rightarrow \text{Ca(NO}_3)_2 + 2\text{NH}_3 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} & (3) \\
\text{Ca(OH)}_2 + \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} &\rightarrow \text{CaSO}_4.2\text{H}_2\text{O} \;\text{(gypsum)} + 2\text{NaOH}. & (4)
\end{align*}
\]

It is known that the belite-based cements reduce the hydration heat and the heat evolution. Sağlık et al. [12] indicated in their study that boron active belite cement has the less rate of hydration and heat of hydration compared to ordinary plain cement and fly ash incorporating cement. In addition, according to Stark et al. [13] as cited by Lawrence [14], almost 150 kJ/kg-less theoretical heat of formation could be achieved in belite-based cement compared to a conventional alite cement.

Some by products containing H$_3$BO$_3$ and borates are used for producing boron active belite cement clinker that is an exclusive cement clinker bearing 3-4% B$_2$O$_3$ [15-17]. Thus, superior neutron shielding ability can also be achieved by the use of such cement product according to boron free counterparts [18].

In general, studies in the field have been focused on cementitious systems having high volume fly ash to present some advantageous such as superior strength and durability characteristics, lower permeability, lower heat of hydration and thermal cracking in addition to the environmental benefits [19-23]. However, the reaction degree of fly ash in early strength gain for high volume fly ash cementitious binders is still questioned by many researchers [24-27]. Moreover, Lam et al. [24] stated that there are remarkable differences between low-volume (below 25%) and high-volume (close to 50% and over) fly ash-bearing binders, and the hydration mechanism of high volume fly ash could be more complex. Although some chemical approaches are used to accelerate early reactivity the systems having high volume fly ash, some durability problems can be arisen [28].

For the aforementioned reasons, environmentally friendly cements are required to be research further to response the sustainability goals of construction sector. Hence, the present study focused on the compressive strength performance of boron active belite cement and an alternative low energy cement blending with fly ash after exposure to the aggressive solutions reaching up to 90 days.

2. MATERIAL METHOD

2.1. Materials

A boron active belite cement (BABC) which was manufactured by Gölttaş Cement (Isparta/Turkey) was supplied from Turkish General Directorate of State Hydraulic Works (Ankara/Turkey) in airproof special packs. A Portland composite cement (PCC) which is classified as CEM II/B-M (P-L) 32.5 R according to EN 197-1 [29] was obtained from Baştas Cement to be use as an alternative low energy cement. A cementitious fly ash (class F) gathered from Yatağan Thermal Power Plant (Turkey) was used as a supplementary cementitious material. Table 1 presents chemical and physical properties of these materials.
Table 1. Chemical and physical properties of cements and fly ash (FA)

| Composition (%) | BABC [12] | PCC | FA   |
|-----------------|-----------|-----|------|
| SiO₂            | 19.1      | 32.31 | 45.38 |
| Al₂O₃           | 4.68      | 7.05 | 19.74 |
| Fe₂O₃           | 3.42      | 3.30 | 7.47  |
| CaO             | 57.1      | 47.33 | 15.22 |
| B₂O₃            | 3.0       | -   | -    |
| MgO             | 1.32      | 1.21 | 2.79  |
| SO₃             | 2.68      | 2.72 | 5.63  |
| Na₂O            | 0.34      | 1.30 | 0.57  |
| K₂O             | 0.78      | 1.07 | 2.24  |
| LOI             | 3.82      | 2.47 | 0.87  |
| Blaine fineness (cm²/g) | 3562 | 4198 | 3392 |
| Density (g/cm³) | 3.09      | 2.98 | 1.940 |

2.2. Methods

Mortar mixtures were prepared and tested in accordance with EN 196-1 [30] by using CEN reference sand. In mixture series, fly ash (FA) was replaced at 0%, 10%, 20% and 30% by weight of the cements, respectively. The labels of mixtures were coded with cement type and the amount of FA. As an example, the mix containing 10% FA bearing-BABC was named as BABC-10. Table 2 presents all mixing details for these mixture series.

Table 2. Mix proportions

| Ingredients   | BABC-0 | BABC-10 | BABC-20 | BABC-30 | PCC-0 | PCC-10 | PCC-20 | PCC-30 |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|-------|--------|--------|--------|
| Cement, g     | 450    | 405     | 360     | 450     | 405   | 360    | 315    | 315    |
| Fly ash, g    | -      | 45      | 90      | 135     | -     | 45     | 90     | 135    |
| Aggregate, g  | 1350   | 1350    | 1350    | 1350    | 1350  | 1350   | 1350   | 1350   |
| Water, ml     | 225    | 225     | 225     | 225     | 225   | 225    | 225    | 225    |
| W/b ratio     | 0.5    | 0.5     | 0.5     | 0.5     | 0.5   | 0.5    | 0.5    | 0.5    |

A constant consistency of cement mortars was achieved by modifying with various amount of superplasticizer. W/b ratio of cement mortars was kept constant by the required water adjustments derived from water content of superplasticizer. 40×40×160-mm prismatic molds were used for preparation of mortar specimens. The mortars were placed in two layers by using vibrating table. After 24-hour resting in laboratory condition at 20±2 °C and ≥90% RH, the samples were demoulded and cured in tapping water (Ref), sea water (Sea) supplied from Black Sea (located between eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Western Asia), 5% of sodium sulphate solution (Sod) and 5% ammonium nitrate solution (Amm) until 7, 28 and 90 days at 20±2 °C.

3. THE RESEARCH FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. The Research Findings

Fly ash replacement reduces the compressive strength development of the BABC and PCC mortars cured in tapping water, especially in early ages as seen in Figure 1. The reduction in compressive strength of the BABC having FA was highly rehabilitated in elongated curing time (90 days). However, PCC mortars still present the remarkable reductions in compressive strength with increasing FA incorporation at 90 days.
Figure 1. Relative effect of fly ash on compressive strength of BABC and PCC mortars

In the study, the compressive strength values of BABC and PCC mortar mixtures were determined after exposure to aggressive environment conditions. Figure 2 shows compressive strength of the cements without FA in aggressive solutions at 7, 28 and 90 days. At early age (7-day), there is no significant effect of aggressive environment on the compressive strength of BABC and PCC mortar mixtures. Aggressive environment increasingly deteriorated the long-term compressive strength results of BABC. However, the deterioration was observed at 90-day for PCC. Sea water condition impaired the results remarkably more than that of sodium sulphate-bearing and ammonium nitrate-bearing environments.

Figure 2. Compressive strength of mortars prepared with a) BABC and b) PCC

The relative compressive strength results of the both cement mortars without FA were constituted in Figure 3 by considering specimens cured in tapping water as reference (relatively 100% at any curing time). The deterioration degree of the both cements is almost similar at 90 days in sea water and 5% ammonium nitrate solution. In general, the results show that performance of BABC against aggressive environment is poorer than that of PCC. Sea water remarkably impaired the compressive strength of the cements compared to sodium sulphate and ammonium nitrate solutions.
Figure 3. Relative compressive strength of cement mortars without FA

The compressive strength results of the cement mortar having 10% FA are presented in Figure 4. It is seen that there is no significant effect of aggressive solutions on the early age (7 days) compressive strength of the cements having 10% FA. However, the aggressive environment remarkably disturbs their strength performance at later ages (28 and 90 days). The deterioration of sea water and ammonium nitrate solution was found almost similar at 90-day specimens with 10% FA.

Figure 4. Compressive strength of mortars prepared with a) BABC and b) PCC having 10% FA

The relative compressive strength results of the both cement mortars with 10% FA were constituted in Figure 5 by considering specimens cured in tapping water as reference (relatively 100% at any curing time). Residual compressive strengths show that resistance of the both cements against aggressive environment is almost similar at 90 days in case of 10% FA incorporation. Results show that 90-day strength losses of BABC and PCC with 10% FA are more pronounced in sea water and ammonium nitrate solution rather than in sodium sulphate solutions.
Figure 5. Relative compressive strength of cement mortars with 10% FA

The compressive strength results of cement mortars having 20% FA are presented in Figure 6. Aggressive environments do not impair early age compressive strength of the cements having 20% FA. However, further exposure to the aggressive environment disturbs the compressive strength results of the cements.

Figure 6. Compressive strength of mortars prepared with a) BABC and b) PCC having 20% FA

The relative compressive strength results of the both cement mortars with 20% FA were constituted in Figure 7 by considering specimens cured in tapping water as reference (relatively 100% at any curing time). Results show that 90-day strength losses of BABC and PCC with 20% FA are more pronounced in sea water and ammonium nitrate solution rather than in sodium sulphate solutions.
The compressive strength results of the cement mortars having 30% FA are presented in Figure 8. It is seen that there is no remarkable effect of aggressive environments on the early age compressive strength of the cements having 30% FA. Compressive strength of the PCC specimens cured in sodium sulphate solutions was found similar to specimens cured in tapping water. have no effect on the results of PCC with 30% FA at any age. Aggressive environment severely disturbed the compressive strength of BABC at ultimate age (90 days).

The relative compressive strength results of the both cement mortars with 30% FA were constituted in Figure 9 by considering specimens cured in tapping water as reference (relatively 100% at any curing time). Results show that aggressive environment significantly impaired the 90-day compressive strength of BABC with 30% FA. The losses were relatively found less for PCC counterparts in sea water and sodium sulphate solution than that of BABC. Aggressive environment resistance of PCC against sodium sulphate solution was found satisfactory at 30% FA incorporation.
3.2. Analyses and Discussions

The plain and reinforced concrete members are mostly confronting to physical and chemical deteriorations for along service life due to the placing in contact with or close to marine environments [31]. In our study, sea water was found the most aggressive environment at later ages for both cement types without FA, although chemical degradation is only realized rather physical effects such as wetting-drying and freezing-thawing. Due to presence of chlorine and sulphate ions, sea water makes hydration products of cement in mortars and concretes susceptible to its attack [32]. Especially, the degradation of C-S-H products results in substantial loss of strength.

Li [28] stated that sulphate activation may decrease the durability due to high ettringite contents. Sodium sulphate increases the pH of pore solution in early age, and thus, it is help to pozzolanic reaction of FA in high volume fly ash cementitious systems [25]. As stated by Al-Amoudi [33], C₃S/C₃S ratio controls the sulphate resistance of cement. C₃S content of cement produces a significantly lower amount of CH than that of C₃S [34]. The reaction of sulphate ion with the produced CH results in gypsum formation. The deterioration process leads to reduction of stiffness and strength due to the expansion and crack formation in matrix. Since pozzolans consumes the CH produced, the amount of gypsum products will be lower in the blended cements according to the plain cements [35]. Moreover, fly ashes have the physically filling potential on spaces, and contribute to the ettringite formations [36]. The cases express the positive effect of sodium sulphate environment at early age compressive strength, and losses at long-term compressive strength in our study. Thus, resistance of both cements with and without FA against sodium sulphate shows difference.

Alkalinity in pore solution of hydrated cement paste significantly affected with the presence of FA by depending its alkali, calcium and silica contents [37]. As seen from our study, FA incorporation increases damage of ammonium nitrate solution at later age compressive strength of cements. Reaction products (calcium nitrate and ammonia) of ammonium nitrate with CH can be easily dissolved in water [38]. The high pH (≥12.5) of pore solution normally presents a very alkaline environment in cement paste matrix [39]. Ammonium nitrate-bearing waters with a lower pH characterizes acidic environments for cement products. Therefore, the dissolving of CH structures in the ammonium nitrate-bearing environment is more severe compared to that in water with ion-free [38].

4. RESULTS

The following conclusions could be drawn from the results of boron active belite cement and Portland-composite cement mortars with and without FA in aggressive environments:
Sea water and 5% ammonium nitrate solution caused increasingly reduction in compressive strength results of these mortars produced by boron active belite cement and Portland-composite cement mortars with and without FA up to 90 days.

5% sodium sulphate solution acts as an activator in early strength of the cement mortars. However, it causes reduction in later age compressive strength of the mortars.

In general, it can be said that aggressive environment robustness of the boron active belite cement is too closed the Portland-composite cement, or some extent poorer.

Sodium sulphate robustness of the cements is generally better than that of sea water and ammonium nitrate especially at later ages.

It is seen that compressive strength development of the boron active belite cement with low content fly ash is promising instead of high volume fly systems.

Boron active belite cement with fly ash is recommended as a cement which is low heat of hydration, especially in mass concrete and hot weather concreting applications.
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