Investigation of enrofloxacin and ciprofloxacin residues by LC-MS/MS in chicken liver marketed in Afyonkarahisar
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Abstract: Antibiotic residues can remain in animal source foods, mainly in the liver. Enrofloxacin (ENR) is a synthetic fluoroquinolone antimicrobial agent which is extensively used to treat bacterial infections in poultry. This study aimed to evaluate ENR and its main metabolite ciprofloxacin (CIP) occurrence in 100 chicken livers marketed Afyonkarahisar, Turkey. Chicken liver samples were analyzed by LC-MS/MS method with limits of detection for 1.17 μg/kg (ENR) and 1.24 μg/kg (CIP). ENR and CIP were detected in 39% and in 31% of samples, respectively. However, the total sum of both antibiotic residue levels was found to be far below the established maximum residue level (200 μg/kg) in all of chicken liver samples. In conclusion, according to the results of this study, chicken livers marketed in Afyonkarahisar city are safe for public health regarding ENR and CIP residues. Nonetheless, periodical control of chicken liver is advised due to the high incidence of these antibiotics.

Keywords: Antibiotic residue, chicken liver, ciprofloxacin, enrofloxacin, LC-MS/MS.

Afyonkarahisar’da satışa sunulan tavuk karaciğerlerinde enroflokasin ve siprofloksasin kalıntılarının LC-MS/MS ile araştırılması

Özet: Antibiyoik kalıntılar hayvansal orjinli gıdalarda özellikle karaciğerde bulunabilir. Enroflokasin (ENR) sentetik bir fluoroquinololon antimikrobiyal ajan olup, kültürel enfeksiyonların tedavisinde oldukça yaygın olarak kullanılmaktadır. Bu çalışmada Afyonkarahisar, Türkiye’de satışa sunulan 100 tavuk karaciğeri bulunmaktadır ENR ve onun ana metaboliti olan siprofloksasin (CIP) varlığının araştırılması amaçlanmıştır. Tavuk karaciğer örnekleri LC-MS/MS metodü ile analiz edilerek, saptama limitleri ENR için 1,17 μg/kg ve CIP için 1,24 μg/kg olarak belirlenmiştir. ENR ve CIP sarsışyla örneklerin %39 ve %31’inde saptanmıştır. Ancak, tavuk karaciğer numunelerinde her iki antibiyotik kalıntısının toplamı belirlenen maksimum kalıntı düzeyinin (200 μg/kg) altında olmuştur. Sonuç olarak, Afyonkarahisar’da satışa sunulan tavuk karaciğeri ENR ve CIP kalıntısı bakımından değerlendirildiğinde halk sağlığına risk oluşturmamaktadır. Bununla birlikte, bu antibiyotiklerin yüksek insidensi nedeniyle tavuk karaciğerinin periyodik olarak kontrol edilmesi tavsiye edilmektedir.

Anahtar sözçükler: Antibiyotik kalıntıları, enroflokasin, LC-MS/MS, siprofloksasin, tavuk karaciği.

Introduction

Fluoroquinolones, essential drugs for human and animal health, are widely used for the treatment of several diseases in veterinary medicine (21, 24). Enrofloxacin (ENR), a common fluoroquinolone, has a broad spectrum of action and exhibits high efficacy against infectious diseases that are caused by mycoplasma and gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria (14, 21). Furthermore, this antibiotic is used in the treatment of common poultry infections, such as mycoplasmal infections, colibacillosis and pasteurellosis, due to its rapid oral absorption and long elimination half-life (10, 22). ENR shows its effect by interfering in the activities of bacterial DNA-gyrase and topoisomerase IV enzymes and, consequently, it inhibits bacterial DNA replication and transcription (5). Ciprofloxacin (CIP) is the main metabolite of ENR and occurs at different levels in foods of animal origin after the administration of ENR (12). Currently, the presence of contaminants in animal origin products is a major concern regarding food safety (16). Health problems can occur as a result of the excessive use of veterinary drugs in food-producing animals because most of these substances may produce important toxic effects such as genotoxicity, carcinogenicity and immunotoxicity, as well as endocrine effects, on humans (1, 28). In addition, the improper use of ENR in food-producing animals and not respecting the...
necessary withdrawal time of this antibiotic may lead to residues in edible animal tissues. The presence of ENR residues in foodstuffs may cause allergic reactions in hypersensitive individuals and could lead to an increased pathogen resistance to clinical drugs in humans; therefore, they may represent important consequences for public health (8,18). To protect food safety and consumer health, Maximum Residue Limits (MRL) have been established by the European Union for pharmacologically active compounds, including ENR. In chicken liver, the MRL is the total sum of ENR and CIP (200 μg/kg) (7). Therefore, if the residue levels in the target tissues are lower than the established MRL, the related food is considered safe regarding the presence of these antimicrobials (6).

The liver takes its place in the human diet owing to its fundamental nutritive value in providing dietary iron. However, it should be considered that the liver is a very complex organ due to its role in many drug metabolisms. Therefore, edible animal liver tissue must be monitored regularly regarding the presence of residues (11,16). Chicken liver is one of the favorite foods of animal origin due to its high nutritional value and cheap price.

This study aimed to determine the levels of ENR and its metabolite CIP in chicken liver based on LC-MS/MS, with respect to the European Commission MRL.

**Material and Methods**

**Chemicals and reagents:** Methanol, formic acid, ENR and CIP were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). All other reagents and chemicals were analytical grade and obtained from commercial sources.

**Sample collection:** A total of 100 chicken liver samples were obtained from Afyonkarahisar city, Turkey from September 2017-January 2018. Liver samples were purchased from supermarkets and local markets. The transportation of samples to the laboratory was performed under cold conditions (+4°C) immediately after sampling and the collected samples were stored at -20°C in a deep freezer until the analysis.

**Standard solutions:** ENR and CIP stock solutions were prepared at a concentration of 1 mg/ml in methanol. The working solutions were prepared from stock solutions to generate a calibration curve and to perform recovery studies of the chicken liver.

**Sample preparation:** Each liver sample was homogenized by a food blender and 5 g of sample was transferred into a polypropylene centrifuge tube (50 ml) followed by addition of 10 ml methanol and then the mixture was vigorously shaken for 5 min. After the addition of 1% formic acid (4ml) and 0.01M EDTA (400 μl), the centrifuge tube was vortexed for 3 and 2 min, respectively. Subsequently, centrifugation of the mixture was performed at 2000g for 10 min at 4°C. After centrifugation, the supernatant of each sample was filtered through a membrane filter (0.45 μm) and the obtained filtrate was transferred to a High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) vial for injection.

**Instrumental conditions:** The LC method described here was developed using an LC system of Agilent Technologies 1200 series (Waldbronn, Germany), combined to a binary high-pressure gradient pump. LC separation was performed by using Agilent Zorbax Eclipse XDB C18 column (4.6 x 30 mm x 3.5 μm). Mobile phase A consisted of water containing 0.1% formic acid while mobile phase B consisted of methanol containing 0.1% formic acid. The flow rate and injection volume of the mobile phases, and column temperature were 0.4 ml/min, 10μl, and 40°C, respectively. LC separation gradient was set to A/B (80/20) at 0.0 min; it was changed to A/B (10/90) and kept unchanged until 4.10 min, A/B (80/20). MS analysis was conducted on Agilent 6460 LC/MS Triple Quadrupole instrument equipped with an ESI (Waldbronn, Germany) in positive ion mode. MS parameters of drying gas temperature, nebulizer gas, sheath gas flow, capillary voltage and sheath gas temperature were set to 350°C, 40 p.s.i., 10 l/min, 4000 V, and 400 °C, respectively. Precursor ion and productions of ENR were 360, 342, and 316, respectively while precursor ion and productions of CIP were 332, 231 and 314, respectively.

**Quality parameters of method:** The validation of this method was performed by spiking chicken liver samples and limit of detection (LOD), the limit of quantification (LOQ), linearity range, intra- and inter-day precision and recovery were used as quality parameters. The concentrations of the samples were calculated according to the calibration curve method for which a series of external standard solutions are prepared and measured. For the calibration equation, a line is fitted to these data and the obtained equation is employed to calculate the concentration unknown samples. In addition, the strength of the linear regression is expressed with a correlation coefficient (r²). These parameters were calculated by the software (Agilent MassHunter Workstation Software Version 7) of the analytical instrument. The lowest concentration of ENR or CIP that the analytical process can reliably differentiate from background levels (signal-to-noise ratio≥3) and lowest concentration of ENR or CIP that can be quantified (signal-to-noise ratio≥10) were defined as LOD and LOQ, respectively.

**Results**

ENR and CIP in chicken liver samples were quantified by LC-MS/MS. The typical chromatogram and standard calibration curves of ENR and CIP are shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2. LOD, LOQ, calibration curve parameters and retention times are shown in Table 1. Relative standard deviations used for precision and intra-
and inter-day recoveries used for the accuracy of the method are given in Tables 2 and 3. The results of the present study show that 39% of all chicken liver samples (n=100) were contaminated with ENR while 31% of chicken liver samples contained CIP (Table 4). Additionally, the highest concentrations of ENR and CIP were determined to be 30.23 and 6.92 µg/kg, respectively.

Figure 1. Chromatograms of enrofloxacin (A) and ciprofloxacin (B).

Figure 2. Standard calibration curves of enrofloxacin (A) and ciprofloxacin (B).

| Antibiotic  | LOD (µg/kg) | LOQ (µg/kg) | Correlation coefficient (r²) | Calibration equation | Data point | Linear range (µg/kg) | RT (min) |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------------------------|----------------------|------------|----------------------|----------|
| Enrofloxacin| 1.17        | 3.90        | 0.995                        | y=0.039058x+0.014185 | 5          | 1-20                 | 2.57     |
| Ciprofloxacin| 1.24       | 4.14        | 0.998                        | y=0.018435x+7.606705 | 5          | 1-20                 | 2.45     |
Table 2. Intra- and inter-day precisions for enrofloxacin in chicken liver samples.

| Enrofloxacin Spiked (μg/kg) | Intra-day assays (n=10) | Inter-day assays (n=14) |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
|                            | Percentage Recovery±CV  | RSD (%)                 | Percentage Recovery±CV  | RSD (%)                 |
|                            |                         |                         |                         |                         |
| 40                         | 97.86±1.87              | 1.91                    | 99.30±5.23              | 5.27                    |
| 80                         | 90.70±2.86              | 3.15                    | 90.21±4.51              | 4.51                    |
| 160                        | 92.87±2.55              | 2.75                    | 90.71±2.38              | 2.62                    |

Table 3. Intra- and inter-day precisions for ciprofloxacin in chicken liver samples.

| Ciprofloxacin Spiked (μg/kg) | Intra-day assays (n=10) | Inter-day assays (n=14) |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
|                            | Percentage Recovery±CV  | RSD (%)                 | Percentage Recovery±CV  | RSD (%)                 |
|                            |                         |                         |                         |                         |
| 40                         | 93.78±3.07              | 3.28                    | 94.48±4.66              | 4.94                    |
| 80                         | 89.19±4.49              | 5.03                    | 88.13±4.87              | 4.87                    |
| 160                        | 94.00±2.44              | 2.6                     | 91.47±1.63              | 1.78                    |

Table 4. The enrofloxacin and ciprofloxacin levels of chicken liver samples (n=100).

| Enrofloxacin Range (μg/kg) | Number of samples | Positive (%) | Range (μg/kg) |
|----------------------------|-------------------|--------------|---------------|
| <1.17                     | 61                | 17 (85%)     | 5.30-90.7     |
| 1.17-9.99             | 30                | 26 (52%)     | 16.7-296.7    |
| 10-19.99               | 6                 | 59 (78.7%)   | 9.8-93.3      |
| 20-35                   | 3                 | 37 (92%)     | 2.08-245      |
| Ciprofloxacin Range (μg/kg) | Number of samples | Positive (%) | Range (μg/kg) |
| <1.24                     | 69                | 40 (88.8%)   | 1.409a        |
| 1.24-1.99               | 13                | 4 (44%)      | 1.25-6.92     |
| 2-3.99                  | 11                |              |               |

Table 5. Previous studies investigating the presence of quinolone-residues in chicken livers.

| References               | Country     | Analyze | Samples size | Positive (%) | Range (μg/kg) | Method        |
|--------------------------|-------------|---------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|
| Attari et al. (5)        | Iran        | ENR     | 20           | 17 (85%)     | 5.30-90.7     | ELISA         |
| Salehzadeh et al. (23)   | Iran        | ENR     | 90           | 90 (100%)    | 4.3-66.2      | HPLC          |
| Rezaee et al. (22)       | Iran        | ENR     | 50           | 26 (35%)     | 15 (30%)      | HPLC          |
| Aslam et al. (4)         | Pakistan    | ENR     | 75           | 5 (78.7%)    | 2.08-245      | HPLC          |
| Naeem et al. (19)        | Pakistan    | ENR     | 40           | 37 (92%)     | 32-364        | HPLC          |
| Younus et al. (30)       | Pakistan    | ENR     | 45           | 40 (88.8%)   | 3.02-364      | HPLC          |
| Sattar et al. (26)       | Bangladesh  | ENR     | 50           | 40 (44%)     | -             | TLC           |
| Sarker et al. (25)       | Bangladesh  | ENR     | 160          | 40 (36%)     | -             | TLC           |
| Fatem et al. (9)         | Egypt       | CIP     | 15           | 13 (86.7%)   | 96.33-300.27  | HPLC          |
| Sultan (27)              | Iraq        | ENR     | 30           | 10 (33.31%)  | 0.01-10.69    | ELISA         |
| Nizamlioğlu and Aydin (20)| Turkey      | CIP     | 50           | 17 (34%)     | 18.5-147.88   | ELISA         |
| Metli et al. (17)        | Turkey      | CIP     | 34           | -            | -             | LC-MS/MS      |
| This Study               | Turkey      | ENR     | 100          | 30 (39%)     | 1.42-30.23    | LC-MS/MS      |

aMean concentration, Ciprofloxacin (CIP), Danofloxacin (DAN), Difloxacin (DIF), Enrofloxacin (ENR), Flumequine (FLU), Marbofloxacin (MAR), Nalidixic Acid (NA), Norfloxacin (NOR), Oxolinic Acid (OA), Sarafloxacin (SAR)
Discussion and Conclusion

Poultry meat and giblets, including liver, are widely consumed throughout the world. However, the liver is considered a highly risky poultry product due to its role in the detoxification of toxic substances, including antibiotics (2, 13, 15). Quinolones are powerful options among the antimicrobial agents employed in the treatment of various bacterial-caused poultry diseases (29). In addition, the presence of quinolones in poultry products causes public health concerns (21). Local and international regulatory and public health agencies give attention to screening of antibiotic residues in food-producing animals. In Turkey, National Residue Monitoring Plan is established to determine the presence of these substances including quinolone residues in foods of animal origin (3). Several monitoring studies conducted in different countries to investigate the presence of quinolone residues in chicken liver based on various methods (Table 5). Some of them reported very high incidence for quinolone residues in Pakistan (4, 19, 30), Iran (5, 23) and Egypt (9). Attari et al. (5) reported that in 85% of 20 chicken liver samples collected from the Northwestern part of Iran, the ENR residue level ranged from 5.30 to 90.70 µg/kg, with a mean concentration of 39.54 µg/kg. In another study conducted in Iran using HPLC, a high contamination level (100%) was reported for 90 chicken liver samples in a range from 4.3 to 66.2 µg/kg (23). Similarly, Faten et al. (9) found, using HPLC, that 86.7% of 15 chicken liver samples marketed in Egypt tested positive for CIP at concentrations between 96.33 and 300.27 µg/kg. Our results are lower than these studies. However, the findings of our study are in consistent with other studies for those incidence of quinolone-residues ranged from 33.31% to 44% (20, 26, 27). Sattar et al. (26) performed a monitoring study in Bangladesh based on thin-layer chromatography (TLC) and found contamination levels in chicken liver samples of 40% and 44% for ENR and CIP, respectively. In another study conducted in Iraq, ENR incidence reported as 33.31 % while ENR level ranged from 0.01 µg/kg to 10.69 µg/kg. Nizamlioglu and Aydin (20) evaluated a total of 50 chicken liver samples regarding the presence of quinolone-residues based on ELISA method and reported that 34 % samples contained quinolones. Additionally, in a study conducted in Antakya province of Turkey, chicken liver samples were collected from 34 different markets and analyzed regarding 38 antibiotic residues and none of the samples have quinolone residues (17). Our results are not compatible with this study. In the present study, the ENR contamination level was found to be between 1.42 and 30.23µg/kg (39%), and the CIP contamination level was found to be between 1.25-6.92 µg/kg (31%). According to the results of the present study, the total sum of ENR and CIP did not exceed the established MRL in any of the chicken samples. Taken together, different incidences of quinolone-residues may be due to misuse or overuse of these antibiotics or disrespect of withdrawal time during poultry breeding.

In conclusion, poultry meat and meat products are extensively consumed throughout the world owing to their nutritive value and suitable price. However, antibiotic residues in foods of animal origin are an important public health issue. Therefore, monitoring programs for veterinary drug residues in these types of foods have been performed by national authorities. This study contributes to those residue monitoring programs by providing a highly sensitive and accurate LC-MS/MS method for chicken liver samples.
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