Superconductivity and magnetic order in the noncentrosymmetric half-Heusler compound ErPdBi
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Abstract - We report superconductivity at $T_c = 1.22 \text{K}$ and magnetic order at $T_N = 1.06 \text{K}$ in the semimetallic noncentrosymmetric half-Heusler compound ErPdBi. The upper critical field, $B_{c2}$, has an unusual quasi-linear temperature variation and reaches a value of $1.6 \text{T}$ for $T \to 0$. Magnetic order is found below $T_c$ and is suppressed at $B_M \sim 2.5 \text{T}$ for $T \to 0$. Since $T_c \approx T_N$, the interaction of superconductivity and magnetism is expected to give rise to a complex ground state. Moreover, electronic-structure calculations show that ErPdBi has a topologically nontrivial band inversion and thus may serve as a new platform to study the interplay of topological states, superconductivity and magnetic order.

Introduction. – The ternary compound ErPdBi belongs to the rare-earth palladiumbismuthide (REPdBi) series, which is part of the large family of half-Heusler compounds that crystallize in a cubic structure with 1:1:1 composition. Half-Heusler compounds attract ample attention as multifunctional materials in the fields of spintronics and thermoelectricity, but also as tunable laboratory tools to study a wide range of intriguing physical phenomena, such as half-metallic magnetism, giant magnetoresistance and Kondo and heavy-fermion physics [1].

Moreover, since the crystal structure lacks inversion symmetry, unconventional Cooper pair states, notably mixed even and odd-parity states, are predicted to make up the superconducting condensate [11]. This provides a strong motivation to search for similar phenomena in the palladiumbismuthides.

The REPdBi compounds crystallize, just like the REPtBi series, in the cubic structure with the noncentrosymmetric $F\bar{4}3m$ space group [12]. The magnetic and transport properties of the REPdBi series ($RE = \text{Er, Ho, Dy, Gd and Nd}$) were first reported in refs. [13–15]. Susceptibility data, taken on arc-melted polycrystalline samples, showed antiferromagnetic order for the Ho, Dy, Gd and Nd compounds with Néel temperatures, $T_N$, of 2, 3.5, 13 and 4.2 K, respectively. ErPdBi did not show magnetic order down to the lowest temperature measured, $T = 1.7 \text{K}$. The susceptibility, $\chi(T)$, of ErPdBi follows the Curie-Weiss law with an effective moment $\mu_{\text{eff}} = 9.2 \mu_B$, close to the Er$^{3+}$ free-ion value of $9.58 \mu_B$, and a paramagnetic Curie temperature $\Theta_P = -4.6 \text{K}$ [13,14]. Transport measurements revealed a semimetallic-like behaviour with a carrier density $n(4 \text{K}) = 6.7 \times 10^{19} \text{cm}^{-3}$. ErPdBi received furthermore interest because of its thermoelectric effects [16,17].
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Here we report electrical transport, ac-susceptibility and dc-magnetization measurements on ErPdBi single crystals that provide solid evidence for superconductivity at 1.22 K and magnetic order at 1.06 K. The combination of superconductivity and magnetic order is unusual. Moreover, electronic-structure calculations show that ErPdBi has an inverted band order and thus should harbor topological quantum states.

Experimental. – A single-crystalline batch of ErPdBi was prepared out of Bi flux. As starting materials served the elements Er, Pd and Bi with a purity of 3N5, 4N and 5N, respectively. An ingot of ErPdBi was prepared by arc-melting and placed in an alumina crucible with excess Bi flux. The crucible and contents were heated in a quartz tube under a pressure of 0.3 bar high-purity argon gas to 1150°C and kept at this temperature for 36 h. Then the tube was slowly cooled to 500°C at a rate of 3°C per hour to form the crystals. Scanning Electron Microscopy and Electron Probe Micro Analysis confirmed that the main phase is ErPdBi with composition 1:1:1. Bi precipitates are found in the form of thin lines on the surface of the crystals. Powder X-ray diffraction confirmed the $F\bar{T}3m$ space group and the extracted lattice parameter, $a = 6.595$ Å, is in perfect accord with the literature [12]. The Bi precipitates give rise to additional tiny peaks in the diffraction patterns. From their intensity we estimate a Bi volume fraction of about 4%. Single crystals, with typical dimensions $3 \times 2 \times 0.3$ mm$^3$, were carefully cut from the ingot by spark erosion thereby avoiding the Bi precipitates. Their single-crystalline nature was checked by Laue backscattering. After cutting, the surface of the samples was cleaned by polishing. Magnetic characterization in the temperature interval 1.8–300 K was made in a Physical Property Measurements System (Quantum Design). The Curie-Weiss behaviour was confirmed and the values $\mu_{eff} = 3.54 \mu_B$ and $\Theta_F = -3.5$ K are close to the ones reported in refs. [13,14]. The Hall effect and resistivity were measured using a MaglabExa system (Oxford Instruments) for $T = 4$–300 K. Resistance and ac-susceptibility measurements were made in a $^3$He refrigerator (Heliox, Oxford Instruments) for $T = 0.24$–10 K using a low-frequency ($f \leq 313$ Hz) lock-in technique and low excitation currents ($I \leq 100 \mu$A). Additional low-temperature dc-magnetization and ac-susceptibility measurements were made using a SQUID magnetometer, equipped with a miniature dilution refrigerator, developed at the Néel Institute.

Results. – In fig. 1 we show the resistivity $\rho(T)$ of a flux-grown single crystal of ErPdBi (sample #1). The overall behaviour is in good agreement with the data in the literature with a broad maximum centered at 50 K, rather than at 140 K [15]. The hole carrier concentration, calculated from the low-field linear Hall resistance, equals $n_h = 7.4 \times 10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$ at $T = 4$ K (see inset), which is a factor 10 lower than reported in ref. [15]. These transport parameters confirm semimetallic-like behaviour. At low temperatures the drop to resistance $R = 0$ signals the transition to the superconducting state.

In fig. 2 we show ac-susceptibility data taken on the same ErPdBi sample which reveal that superconductivity occurs below $T_c = 1.22(2)$ K. The superconducting transition appears as a large diamagnetic contribution, which corresponds to a screening fraction of $\sim 92\%$ of the ideal value $\chi_M = -1/(1 - N)$ (here $N \approx 0.1$ is the demagnetization factor). $\chi_{ac}$ data taken on a second sample (#2) with $N \approx 0.15$ in a different experimental set-up are reported in the lower inset of fig. 2. For this sample the screening fraction attains a value of $\sim 90\%$. It should be noted that in both experiments a decrease of the $\chi_{ac}$ signal becomes visible already at a higher temperature, 1.72(2) K (as indicated by the grey arrows in fig. 2). This signal we attribute to an impurity phase with a screening fraction of $\sim 8$–10%. A large diamagnetic signal is normally a good indicator of bulk superconductivity. Solid proof may be obtained by the observation of flux expulsion. In the upper inset of fig. 2 we show the dc magnetization measured in a field of 20 Oe after cooling in zero field. Upon warming, the signal is dominated by screening effects due to ErPdBi (up to 1.22 K) and the impurity phase (up to 1.72 K). Upon cooling in field, flux expulsion is predominantly found at $T_c = 1.22$ K. The change in magnetization corresponds to a Meissner fraction of $\sim 15\%$. This confirms the bulk origin of superconductivity in ErPdBi.

The nature of the impurity phase remains to be solved. Small amounts of Bi inclusions in the form of precipitates might be present in the crystals, but crystalline Bi does not superconduct. Amorphous Bi, e.g. prepared as thin film, superconducts at $T_c \sim 6$ K [18], a temperature much higher than observed here. Among the binary Bi-Pd alloys, the only likely candidate is $\alpha$-Bi$_2$Pd, which is reported to superconduct at 1.7 K [18]. However, if present in our samples, the impurity amount is below the detection limit of the X-ray powder diffraction pattern ($\sim 2\%$). We remark that in refs. [15,16] a pronounced drop in the resistivity of arc-melted ErPdBi samples was reported at

![Fig. 1: (Colour on-line) Resistivity and carrier concentration (inset) vs. temperature of ErPdBi sample #1.](image-url)
7 K, together with a field depression that mimics superconductivity. However, no corresponding diamagnetic signal was observed and bulk superconductivity at 7 K was discarded.

\[ \chi_{\text{ac}} \] data taken upon cooling in applied magnetic fields show that the diamagnetic screening signal is rapidly lost (see fig. 2). Surprisingly, in the field range 0.6 T \( \leq B < 2.5 \) T a pronounced structure appears in \( \chi_{\text{ac}}(T) \) at temperatures labeled \( T_{M, \text{ac}} \). Such a (relative) maximum, albeit weak, normally indicates the presence of a magnetic transition. This is corroborated by the field variation of \( T_{M, \text{ac}} \), which we will discuss after presenting low-temperature resistivity data, \( \rho(T) \).

The superconducting transition in \( \rho(T) \) in zero and applied magnetic fields is reported in fig. 3. In zero field the superconducting transition is due to the impurity phase, where we remark that the transition temperature, 1.74(2) K, determined by the midpoint, nicely coincides with the onset temperature, 1.72(2) K, in the \( \chi_{\text{ac}} \) data. In a magnetic field superconductivity of the impurity phase is depressed at the fast rate \( dT_c/dB = -4.4 \) K/T (see fig. 4). Consequently, for \( B \geq 0.1 \) T and \( T \lesssim 1.2 \) K the superconducting transition in \( \rho(T) \) is due to ErPdBi. At the same time, \( \rho(T) \) obtains an unusual round shape around \( T_c \). We have determined the upper critical field \( B_{c2}(T) \) by locating the maximum in \( d\rho/dT \) measured at fixed magnetic field, as shown, for example, for \( B = 1.0 \) T in the inset of fig. 3. The results are traced in the phase diagram fig. 4. \( B_{c2}(T) \) of ErPdBi displays an unusual quasi-linear temperature variation, which extrapolates to \( T_c = 1.24(2) \) K in zero field, close to the onset temperature \( T_c = 1.22(2) \) K extracted from \( \chi_{\text{ac}} \).

The magnetic transition is also detected in the resistance by the local maximum in \( d\rho/dT \), as shown in the inset of fig. 3 (temperature labeled \( T_{M,R} \)). We have traced \( T_{M,R}(B) \) and \( T_{M,\text{ac}}(B) \) in the phase diagram fig. 4. Both temperatures track the same phase boundary. The location of weak maxima (see fig. 4) observed in the dc magnetization (data not shown) for sample #2 confirms this.

The magnetic transition is almost certainly to an antiferromagnetic (AFM) state with Néel temperature \( T_N \). For \( T_N = T_{M,R} \) the phase boundary obeys the phenomenological order parameter function \( B_M(T) = B_M(0)(1 - (T/T_N)\alpha)\beta \) with \( T_N = 1.06 \) K, \( B_M(0) = 2.5 \) T, \( \alpha = 2 \) and \( \beta = 0.4 \). The latter value is close to the value \( \beta = 0.38 \) expected for the 3D Heisenberg antiferromagnet [19]. The phase boundaries located by the transport and magnetic data are closely linked, since they all extrapolate to \( T_N = 1.06 \) K for \( B \to 0 \). Local-moment AFM order is widely present in the REpPdBi series [13,14]. Strong support for an antiferromagnetic ground state in ErPdBi is furthermore found in the de Gennes scaling for the heavy rare-earth palladium bismuthides (see inset...
Fig. 4: (Colour on-line) Superconducting (SC) and magnetic (AFM) phase diagram of ErPdBi. Closed blue squares: superconducting transition temperature, $T_c$, determined by extrema in $d\rho/dT$; solid blue line: $B_{c2}(T)$ WHH model curve (see text) with $B^{orb}_{c2}(0) = 1.13$ T. Grey triangles and dashed grey line: $T_N(B)$ of the impurity phase. Closed circles: $T_N = T_{M,R}$ determined by extrema in $d\rho/dT$; open circles and stars: $(T,B)$ location of weak maximum in $\chi_{ac}(T)$ ($T_{M,R}$) and dc magnetization (sample #2); solid red line: magnetic order parameter fit with $T_N = 1.06$ K at $B = 0$ T (see text). Inset: de Gennes scaling plot for Er, Ho and DyPdBi (see text).

Discussion. – The combination of local-moment antiferromagnetism and superconductivity is unusual. In general local-moment AFM order and superconductivity tend to compete for the ground state. However, in ErPdBi $T_N \approx T_c$, which tells us that both phenomena have similar energy scales. Given the lack of inversion symmetry and the expected unconventional Cooper pair state [21], this could give rise to an interesting interplay of superconductivity and magnetism, and a complex ground state. Experimental signatures for this are the unusual rounded shape of the superconducting transition in $\rho(T)$ and the rapid loss of the diamagnetic screening signal in field. Possibly AFM order and superconductivity occupy different sample regions. In order to answer these important questions muon spin relaxation experiments would be very helpful, since these permit one to probe the different volume fractions.

Several other erbium-based antiferromagnetic superconductors have been reported in the literature. In the Chevrel phases ErMo$_4$S$_8$ and ErMo$_4$S$_6$Se$_2$ [22] AFM order and superconductivity coexist, while in the borocarbide ErNi$_2$B$_2$C [23] and the Heusler phase ErPd$_4$Sn [24] AFM order and superconductivity coexist. Coexistence of superconductivity and AFM order is also found in a number of noncentrosymmetric materials [21]. A prominent example is CePt$_3$Si with $T_c = 0.75$ K and $T_N = 2.2$ K [25]. Interestingly, CePdBi, which has the same crystal structure as ErPdBi, also undergoes a magnetic ($T_M = 2$ K) and superconducting transition ($T_c = 1.4$ K) [26]. However, the experiments were carried out on arc-melted polycrystals and the weak diamagnetic screening (8% of the sample volume) was hitherto associated with a disordered phase.

The upper critical field of ErPdBi, reported in fig. 4, shows an unusual linear temperature variation just like for YPtBi [9], where it was taken as evidence for an odd-parity component in the superconducting order parameter. For ErPdBi, the rounded transitions in $\rho(T)$ and the presence of AFM order, make the determination of $B_{c2}(T)$ difficult. In the limit $T \to 0$ $B_{c2}$ extrapolates to 1.6 T. Using this value and with the help of the relation $B_{c2} = \Phi_0/2\pi\xi^2$, where $\Phi_0$ is the flux quantum, we calculate a superconducting coherence length $\xi = 14$ nm. Preliminary magnetization measurements show that the lower critical field $B_{c1}$ is very small, and a conservative upper bound is 0.0002 T, which allows an estimation of the Ginzburg-Landau parameter $\kappa = \lambda/\xi$ via the relation $B_{c2}/B_{c1} = 2\kappa^2/\ln\kappa$, where $\lambda$ is the penetration depth. For $T \to 0$, we obtain $\kappa \geq 140$ and $\lambda \geq 448$ nm. In fig. 4 we also compare the measured $B_{c2}(T)$-values with the model curve for a weak-coupling spin-singlet superconductor in the clean limit with orbital limiting field (Werthamer-Helfand-Hohenberg (WHH) model [27]). The zero-temperature orbital critical field is given by $B^{orb}_{c2} = 0.72 \times \kappa \xi^2 |dB_{c2}/dT|_{T_c}$ and amounts to 1.13 T. Clearly, the $B_{c2}(T)$-values determined from the resistance data exceed the model curve values when $T/T_c \lesssim 0.5$. This is in line with an unconventional Cooper pair state [9].

Electronic structure. – In order to understand the electronic properties of ErPdBi, we performed ab initio band structure calculations based on the density-functional theory within the generalized gradient approximation [28]. We adopted the half-Heusler structure as determined by experiments. The magnetic susceptibility that follows a Curie-Weiss law [13,14] reveals that the Er-4f electrons are well localized and hardly hybridize with Pd and Bi states [29]. As a consequence, the Er-4f states are not relevant to the low-energy states near the Fermi energy ($E_F$). Therefore, we placed the Er-4f electrons inside the core and represented all the core electrons by the projector augmented-wave potential [30,31]. Spin-orbit coupling was included in all calculations.

The calculated bulk band structure of ErPdBi is shown in fig. 5. The lowest conduction and highest valence bands with $\Gamma$ symmetry ($j = 3/2$) are degenerate at $E_F$ at the $\Gamma$-point due to the cubic symmetry, resulting in a zero-gap semimetal. This semimetallic feature is consistent with the magnetotransport measurements (see fig. 1). The spin-orbit coupling split-off $\Gamma_7$ state ($j = 1/2$) is below the $\Gamma_6$ state. One can clearly see a band inversion between the $\Gamma_8$ and $\Gamma_6$ bands, where the $\Gamma_8$ bands are mainly...
contributed by Pd-4d and Bi-6p orbitals, while $\Gamma_8$ by Pd-5s and Bi-6s orbitals. Regardless of magnetic moments from the Er-4f states, this band inversion means that (undoped) ErPdBi is a topological insulator, similar to HgTe and other half-Heusler topological insulators [2–4]. Robust topological states are expected to exist on the surface. More interestingly, the magnetism from Er-4f states can interplay with these topological surface states and generate exotic magneto-electric effects [32]. Since the ErPdBi crystals are slightly $p$-doped as concluded from the Hall data (fig. 1), the real $E_F$ is expected to lie marginally below the $\Gamma_8$ degenerate point with a small hole pocket, as illustrated in fig. 5. The bulk superconductivity can be attributed to these heavy-hole $\Gamma_8$ states.

Summary. – Electrical transport, ac-susceptibility and dc-magnetization measurements provide solid evidence for superconductivity at 1.22 K and antiferromagnetic order at 1.06 K in the noncentrosymmetric half-Heusler compound ErPdBi. The combination of superconductivity and AFM order is unusual. Possibly, the ordering phenomena occur in different electron subsystems: superconductivity in the low-carrier hole band and local-moment magnetism due to Er 4f-moments. However, since $T_N \approx T_c$, and ErPdBi lacks inversion symmetry, the interplay of superconductivity and magnetism might give rise to a complex ground state. Electronic-structure calculations show that ErPdBi has an inverted band order and thus may harbor topological quantum states. We conclude that the half-Heusler REPdBi series provides a unique opportunity to investigate the interplay of antiferromagnetic order, superconductivity and topological quantum states.
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