FINITE-DIMENSIONAL POINTED HOPF ALGEBRAS OVER FINITE SIMPLE GROUPS OF LIE TYPE II.
UNIPOTENT CLASSES IN SYMPLECTIC GROUPS
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Abstract. We show that Nichols algebras of most simple Yetter-Drinfeld modules over the projective symplectic linear group over a finite field, corresponding to unipotent orbits, have infinite dimension. We give a criterium to deal with unipotent classes of general finite simple groups of Lie type and apply it to regular classes.
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1. INTRODUCTION

This is the second paper of a series intended to determine the finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebras with group of group-likes isomorphic to a finite simple group of Lie type. An Introduction to the whole series was given in Part I [ACG]. The base field is \( \mathbb{C} \). Let \( p \) be a prime number, \( m \in \mathbb{N} \), \( q = p^m \) and \( \mathbb{F}_q \) the field with \( q \) elements. In this paper we consider Nichols algebras associated to unipotent conjugacy classes in symplectic groups \( G = \text{PSp}_{2n}(q), n \geq 2 \), see e. g. [W, MaT]. We consider also here the non-simple group \( \text{PSp}_4(2) \simeq S_6 \) for convenience.
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Let \( \mathcal{O} \) a conjugacy class of \( G \). We seek to determine all \( \mathcal{O} \) that \textit{collapse} \cite[2.2]{AFGV1}, that is, the dimension of the Nichols algebra \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{O}, q) \) is infinite for every finite faithful 2-cocycle \( q \). Our main result says

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \( \mathcal{O} \) be a unipotent conjugacy class in \( G \). If \( \mathcal{O} \) is not listed in Table I, then it collapses.

### Table I

| \( n \) | type | \( q \) | Remark |
|-------|------|-------|--------|
| \( \geq 2 \) | \( W(1)^a \oplus V(2) \) | even | cthulhu, Lemma \[4.22\] |
| (1,1, 2) | odd, 9 or not a square | cthulhu, Lemma \[4.22\] |
| 3 | \( W(1) \oplus W(2) \) | 2 | cthulhu, Lemma \[4.25\] |
| 2 | \( W(2) \) | even | cthulhu, Lemma \[4.26\] |
| (2,2) | 3 | one class cthulhu |
| \( V(2)^2 \) | 2 | cthulhu, Lemma \[4.24\] |

In the next paper of the series we will deal with the non-semisimple classes in \( G \); for this we will also need to consider the unipotent classes in the finite unitary groups.

**Notation.** We denote the cardinal of a set \( X \) by \( |X| \). If \( k < \ell \) are positive integers, then we set \( \mathbb{I}_{k,\ell} = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : k \leq i \leq \ell \} \) and simply \( \mathbb{I}_\ell = \mathbb{I}_{1,\ell} \).

Let \( G \) be a group; \( N < G \), respectively \( N \trianglelefteq G \), means that \( N \) is a subgroup, respectively a normal subgroup, of \( G \). The centralizer, respectively the normalizer, of \( x \in G \) is denoted by \( C_G(x) \), respectively \( N_G(x) \); the inner automorphism defined by conjugation by \( x \) is denoted by \( \text{Ad} x \). If \( \mathcal{F} \in \text{Aut} G \), then \( G^\mathcal{F} \) denotes the subgroup consisting of points fixed by \( \mathcal{F} \).

## 2. Preliminaries on racks

Recall that a rack is a non-empty set \( X \) with a self-distributive binary operation \( \triangleright \) such that \( x \triangleright \_ \) is bijective for all \( x \in X \). The archetype of a rack is a conjugacy class in a group with the conjugation operation. This notion allows considerable flexibility in the treatment of the conjugacy classes.

### 2.1. Collapsing criteria

We use criteria from \cite{ACG, AFGV1} to prove Theorem \[1.1\]; see \cite{ACG} for more details. Let \( X \) be a rack. One says that

- \( X \) is \textit{of type D} provided that there is a decomposable subrack \( Y = R \bigsqcup S \) with elements \( r \in R \), \( s \in S \) such that
  \[ r \triangleright (s \triangleright (r \triangleright s)) \neq s. \]

- \( X \) is \textit{of type F} if it has a family of mutually disjoint subracks \( (R_a)_{a \in \mathbb{I}_4} \) and a family \( (r_a)_{a \in \mathbb{I}_4} \) such that for all \( a, b \in \mathbb{I}_4 \)
Proof. The class $x$ and $[AFGV1, Theorem 3.6]$. Theorem 2.1.

\[ X \circ X \]

Lemma 2.3. Let $G$ be a finite group, $N < G$, $\pi : P \to L$ a quotient map and $x \in P$. If $O_{\pi(x)}^L$ is of type D, respectively F, then $O_x^G$ is again so.

**Proof.** The class $O_x^G$ contains the subrack $O_x^P$ and $\pi$ induces a rack epimorphism $O_x^P \to O_{\pi(x)}^L$. The statement follows from [ACG, Remark 2.9].

Recall the convention in [ACG]: all racks considered in this series are crossed sets.

Lemma 2.4. Let $X$ and $Y$ be racks. Assume that there are $y_1 \neq y_2 \in Y$, $x_1 \neq x_2 \in X$ such that $x_1 \circ (x_2 \circ (x_1 \circ x_2)) \neq x_2$, $y_1 \circ y_2 = y_2$. Then $X \times Y$ is of type D.

2.2. Conjugacy classes and subgroups. For recursive reasoning, we need to consider how a conjugacy class splits when intersected with a subgroup. Let $G$ be a finite group, $N < G$ and $x \in N$. Let $C(N,x)$ be the set of $N$-conjugacy classes contained in $O_x^G$. We start with the case $N$ normal.

Remark 2.5. If $M \triangleleft G$, then $O_x^G$ is a union of $N$-conjugacy classes isomorphic to each other as racks.

Next relevant case is $N = G^F$, where $F \in \text{Aut } G$. Recall that $G$ acts on itself by $x \mapsto y = xy_F(x^{-1})$, $x, y \in G$. Let $H^1(F,G)$ be the set of $F$-twisted conjugacy classes in $G$, i. e. the orbits with respect to the action $\rightarrow$.

**Remark 2.6.** Let $M \triangleleft G$ be $F$-stable, $g, h \in G$, $x \in G^F$. Set $z := g^{-1}F(g)$, $w := h^{-1}F(h)$. Then

(a) $gxg^{-1} \in G^F \iff z \in C_G(x)$.

(b) If $Mg^{-1}$ is $F$-stable $\iff z \in N_G(M)$.

(c) Assume that $z \in N_G(M)$.

(d) Assume that $z \in C_G(M)$. Then $(gMg^{-1})^F = g(M^Fz_0^F)g^{-1}$.

(e) Assume that $z \in C_G(M)$ and $x \in M^F$, respectively $x \in [M^F,M^F]$. Then $gxg^{-1} \in (gMg^{-1})^F$, respectively $\in [(gMg^{-1})^F,(gMg^{-1})^F]$, and there are rack isomorphisms

$O_x^{M^F} \simeq O_{gxg^{-1}}^{(gMg^{-1})^F}$, respectively $O_x^{[M^F,M^F]} \simeq O_{gxg^{-1}}^{[(gMg^{-1})^F,(gMg^{-1})^F]}$. 

• $R_a \triangleright R_b = R_b$;

• $r_a \in R_a$ and $r_a \triangleright r_b \neq r_b$ when $a \neq b$.

○ $X$ is *cthulhu* if it is neither of type D nor of type F.

○ $X$ is *sober* if every subrack is either abelian or indecomposable.

**Theorem 2.1.** [AFGV1, Theorem 3.6]; [ACG, Theorem 2.2]. A rack $X$ of type $D$ (respectively, $F$) collapses. $\square$
(f) Assume that \( z \in C_G(M), x \in M^F \) and \( O_x^{M^F} \) is of type D, respectively F, then \( O_{gxg^{-1}}^{M^F} \) is of the same type.

(g) Assume that \( z \in C_G(M), x \in [M^F, M^F] \) and \( O_x^{[M^F,M^F]} \) is of type D, respectively F, then \( O_{gxg^{-1}}^{[M^F,M^F]} \) is of the same type.

(h) If \( z, w \in C_G(x), \) then \( O_{gxg^{-1}}^{M^F} = O_{hxh^{-1}}^{M^F} \) if and only if \( z \) and \( w \) belong to the same \( F \)-twisted conjugacy classes in \( C_G(x) \).

**Proof.** (a), (b), (c) and (d) are straightforward; (e) follows from (a) and (d), while (f) and (g) follow from (a). (h): Assume that \( O_{gxg^{-1}}^{M^F} = O_{hxh^{-1}}^{M^F} \); take \( k \in G^F \) such that \( kgxg^{-1}k^{-1} = hxh^{-1} \) and \( u = h^{-1}k \). Then \( u \in C_G(x) \) and \( u \to z = w \). Conversely, if \( u \in C_G(x) \) satisfies \( u \to z = w \), then \( k = hug^{-1} \in G^F \) and \( kgxg^{-1}k^{-1} = hxh^{-1} \).

By Remark 2.5 (h), the map \( \varphi : \mathcal{C}(G^F, x) \to H^1(F, C_G(x)) \) sending \( O_{gxg^{-1}}^{M^F} \) to the class of \( z \), is well-defined and injective.

**Lemma 2.6.** Let \( M < G \) be \( F \)-stable, such that \( x \in M \). Assume that every element in the image of \( \varphi \) has a representative in \( C_G(M) \subset C_G(x) \).

1. If \( x \in M^F \) and \( O_x^{M^F} \) is of type D, respectively F, then \( \mathcal{O} \) is so for every \( \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{C}(G^F, x) \).

2. If \( x \in [M^F, M^F] \) and \( O_x^{[M^F,M^F]} \) is of type D, respectively F, then \( \mathcal{O} \) is so for every \( \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{C}([G^F,G^F], x) \).

**Proof.** (1). By Remark 2.5 (a) and the assumption, there exists \( g \in G \) such that \( gbg^{-1} \in G^F, \mathcal{O} = O_{gxg^{-1}}^{M^F} \) and \( z = g^{-1}F(g) \in C_G(M) \). Then Remark 2.5 (h) applies. The proof of (2) is similar, using Remark 2.5 (g).

3. Preliminaries on finite simple groups of Lie type

3.1. Algebraic groups. We mainly follow [MaT] as a source on algebraic groups and finite groups of Lie type, with exceptions signaled along the text.

Let \( k = \mathbb{F}_q \) be the algebraic closure of \( \mathbb{F}_q \). All algebraic groups are affine and defined over \( k \). If \( \mathbb{H} \) is an algebraic group, then \( \mathbb{H}^\circ \) indicates the connected component of \( \mathbb{H} \) containing the identity. Also, \( X(\mathbb{H}) = \text{Mor}(\mathbb{H}, k^\times) \) is the group of characters of \( \mathbb{H} \), and \( X_s(\mathbb{H}) = \text{Mor}(k^\times, \mathbb{H}) \) is the set of multiplicative one-parameter subgroups in \( \mathbb{H} \).

Let \( \mathbb{G} \) be a simple algebraic group, \( \mathbb{G}_{ad} \) its adjoint quotient, \( \mathbb{G}_{sc} \) its simply connected cover, with projection \( \pi : \mathbb{G}_{sc} \to \mathbb{G} \). We fix a maximal torus \( T \) of \( \mathbb{G} \) and a Borel subgroup \( \mathcal{B} \) containing it. The unipotent radical of \( \mathcal{B} \) is denoted by \( \mathcal{U} \). We add a subscript ad or sc for the maximal torus and Borel of \( \mathbb{G}_{ad} \) or \( \mathbb{G}_{sc} \); our choices are compatible with projections, e.g. \( \pi(T_{ad}) = T \).

The root system of \( \mathbb{G} \) is denoted by \( \Phi \), identified as a subset of \( X(T) \); the set of positive roots relative to \( T \) and \( \mathcal{B} \) is denoted by \( \Phi^+ \) and the simple
roots by \(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\), numbered as in [Bou]. The Weyl group \(N_G(T)/T\) is denoted by \(W\); \((-,-)\) is the \(W\)-invariant bilinear form on the \(\mathbb{R}\)-span of \(\Phi\).

Let \(\langle , \rangle : X(T) \times X_s(T) \to \mathbb{Z}\) be given by \(\langle \chi, \lambda \rangle = m\) if \((\chi \circ \lambda)(x) = x^m\).

The coroot system of \(G\) is denoted by \(\Phi^\vee = \{\beta^\vee : \beta \in \Phi\} \subset X_s(T)\), where

\[
\alpha(\beta^\vee(\zeta)) = \zeta^{2(\alpha, \beta)} / (\beta, \beta), \quad \alpha, \beta \in \Phi, \zeta \in k^\times.
\]

For \(\alpha \in \Phi\), there is a monomorphism of abelian groups \(x_\alpha : k \to U\); we set \(U_\alpha\) for the image of \(x_\alpha\), called a root subgroup. We adopt the normalization of \(x_\alpha\) and the notation for the elements in \(T\) from [Sp1 8.1.4]. We recall the commutation rule: \(tx_\alpha(a)t^{-1} = x_\alpha(a(t)a)\), for \(t \in T\) and \(\alpha \in \Phi\). The group \(U\) is generated by the root subgroups \(U_\alpha\), for \(\alpha \in \Phi^+\). More precisely, let us fix an arbitrary ordering on \(\Phi^+\); then every \(u \in U\) has a unique expression as a product (with respect to the fixed ordering)

\[
(3.1) \quad u = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} x_\alpha(c_\alpha), \quad c_\alpha \in k, \alpha \in \Phi^+.
\]

Let \(\text{supp}(u) = \{\alpha \in \Phi^+ \mid c_\alpha \neq 0\}\), that of course depends on the ordering. In the sequel we will use frequently the Chevalley’s commutator formula \((3.2)\) below, see [Sp1 Lemma 15, p. 22 and Corollary, p. 24]. Let \(\alpha, \beta \in \Phi^+\) such that \(\alpha + \beta \in \Phi^+\). Fix a total order in the set \(\Gamma\) of pairs \((i, j)\) of positive integers such that \(i\alpha + j\beta \in \Phi\). Then there exist integers \(c_{ij}^{\alpha \beta}\)

\[
(3.2) \quad x_\alpha(\xi)x_\beta(\eta)x_\alpha(\xi)^{-1}x_\beta(\eta)^{-1} = \prod_{(i, j) \in \Gamma} x_{i\alpha + j\beta(c_{ij}^{\alpha \beta})}(\xi^i\eta^j), \quad \forall \xi, \eta \in k.
\]

(Clearly, \((3.2)\) also holds when \(\alpha + \beta\) is not a root, as \(U_\alpha\) and \(U_\beta\) commute in this case). Let \(m\), respectively \(M\), be the maximum integer for which \(\beta - ma \in \Phi\), respectively \(\beta + Ma \in \Phi\). Then the \(\alpha\)-string through \(\beta\) is the set of roots of the form \(\beta - ma, \ldots, \beta + Ma\), and \(m - M = 2(\beta, \alpha) / (\alpha, \alpha)\). It is known that, up to a nonzero scalar, \(c_{11}^{\alpha \beta} = m + 1\). If the Dynkin diagram of \(G\) is simply-laced, then \(m + 1 = 1\); otherwise, \(|m + 1| \in \{1, 2, 3\}\). Then \(c_{11}^{\alpha \beta} \neq 0\) except in the cases listed in Table II.

| \(p\) | type of \(\Phi\) | \(\alpha\) | \(\beta\) |
|---|---|---|---|
| 3 | \(G_2\) | \(\alpha_1\) | \(2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\) |
|   |         | \(2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\) | \(\alpha_1\) |
|   |         | \(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\) | \(2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\) |
|   |         | \(2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\) | \(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\) |
| 2 | \(B_n, C_n, F_4\) | orthogonal to each other | \(\alpha_1\) |
|   | \(G_2\) | \(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\) | \(\alpha_1\) |
Let $\Sigma_\alpha = \{ \beta \in \Phi^+ : \alpha + \beta \in \Phi \text{ but } (\alpha, \beta) \text{ does not appear in Table II} \}$, for $\alpha \in \Phi^+$. If $\beta \in \Sigma_\alpha$, then $x_\alpha(\xi)$ and $x_\beta(\eta)$ do not commute for $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{k}^\times$.

3.2. Conjugacy classes in finite simple groups of Lie type.

3.2.1. Finite simple groups of Lie type. Let $\mathbb{H}$ be a semisimple algebraic group defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$. A Steinberg endomorphism $F : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}$ is an abstract group automorphism having a power equal to a Frobenius map [MaT, Definition 21.3]. We may assume that $F$ is the product of a Frobenius endomorphism with an automorphism of $\mathbb{H}$ induced by a non-trivial Dynkin diagram automorphism. The subgroup $\mathbb{H}^F$ is called a finite group of Lie type [MaT, Definition 21.6].

Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a simple algebraic group and let $F$ be a Steinberg endomorphism of $\mathbb{G}_{sc}$. Assume that it descends to a Steinberg endomorphism of $\mathbb{G}$ (again called $F$), that happens when $\ker \pi$ is $F$-stable, see [MaT, Example 22.8] for precise conditions. In particular, $F$ descends to $\mathbb{G}_{ad} \simeq \mathbb{G}/Z(\mathbb{G})$ always, and to $\mathbb{G}$ when it is $\mathbb{F}_q$-split. It is well-known that $\mathbb{G}_{ad}$ is a simple abstract group [MaT, Proposition 12.5] but $\mathbb{G}^F_{sc}$ is not simple in general. However $\mathbb{G} := \mathbb{G}_{sc}^F/Z(\mathbb{G}_{sc}^F)$ is a finite simple group except for the following 8 examples [MaT, Theorem 24.17]:

- $\text{PSL}_2(2) \simeq S_3$; $\text{PSL}_2(3) \simeq A_4$; $\text{PSp}_4(2) \simeq S_6$;
- $\text{PSU}_3(2)$, $\text{^2B}_2(2^2)$ (both solvable);
- $G_2(2) \simeq \text{Aut PSU}_3(3)$, $^2G_2(3) \simeq \text{Aut PSU}_2(8)$ (almost simple);
- $^2F_4(2)$, that contains a normal subgroup isomorphic to the Tits group, with index 2.

Henceforth we assume that $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{G}_{sc}^F/Z(\mathbb{G}_{sc}^F)$ is not one of these 8 groups and call it a finite simple group of Lie type. Notice that $\pi(\mathbb{G}_{sc}^F) = [\mathbb{G}^F, \mathbb{G}^F]$ and there is the alternative useful description $\mathbb{G} \simeq [\mathbb{G}^F, \mathbb{G}^F]/\pi(Z(\mathbb{G}_{sc}^F))$. In particular, $\mathbb{G} \simeq [\mathbb{G}^F_{ad}, \mathbb{G}^F_{ad}]$ [MaT, Proposition 24.21].

3.2.2. Conjugacy classes. There is a huge literature on the description of the conjugacy classes in $\mathbb{G}$, see for instance the bibliography in [Hu, LS, MaT]. We shall give precise references as they are needed. To start with, we recall the following arguments:

- Every $F$-stable $\mathbb{G}$-conjugacy class $\mathcal{O}$ meets $\mathbb{G}^F$ [MaT, Theorem 21.11 (a)], a consequence of the Lang-Steinberg Theorem [MaT, Theorem 21.7].

- Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an $F$-stable $\mathbb{G}$-conjugacy class, $x \in \mathcal{O} \cap \mathbb{G}^F$ and

$$A(x) := C_{\mathbb{G}}(x)/C_{\mathbb{G}}(x)^o.$$ 

Then $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{G}^F, x)$ is in bijection with $H^1(F, A(x))$ [Hu, 8.5], [MaT, Theorem 21.11 (b)].

From the preceding two facts, we see that to determine the conjugacy classes in $\mathbb{G}$, one possible way is to consider the following questions:

(a) Describe the $F$-stable $\mathbb{G}$-conjugacy classes.
Now we state some other useful facts. The known answers for different kinds of conjugacy classes along the way. Even more, every element in $G$ has a Chevalley-Jordan decomposition $x = x_s x_u = x_u x_s$, with $x_s$ semisimple and $x_u$ unipotent. This decomposition boils down to the group $G$ and to the finite groups $F^G$, $[G^F, G^F]$ and $G$, where it agrees with the decomposition in the $p$-part, namely $x_u$, and the $p$-regular part, namely $x_s$.

3.3. Unipotent classes in finite simple groups of Lie type. We need to describe the unipotent conjugacy classes in finite simple groups of Lie type. We keep the notations and assumptions from 3.2.1 for $G$, $F$ and $G$; let $\pi : G^F_{sc} \to G = G^F_{sc}/Z(G^F)$ be the natural projection. Every $x \in G_{sc}$ has a Chevalley-Jordan decomposition $x = x_s x_u = x_u x_s$, with $x_s$ semisimple and $x_u$ unipotent. This decomposition boils down to the group $G$ and to the finite groups $G^F$, $[G^F, G^F]$ and $G$, where it agrees with the decomposition in the $p$-part, namely $x_u$, and the $p$-regular part, namely $x_s$.

3.3.1. Unitary groups. In some inductive arguments we use the unitary groups $\text{PSU}_n(q)$. When dealing with them we will use the following matrix description. Let $J_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} = J_n^{-1} \in \text{GL}_n(k)$. Let $\text{Fr}_q$, respectively $F$, be the Frobenius endomorphism of $\text{GL}_n(k)$ raising all entries of the matrix to the $q$-th power, respectively given by $F(X) = J_n^{-1} \text{Fr}_q(X)^{-1} J_n$, $X \in \text{GL}_n(k)$. Following [MaT] Examples 21.14(2), 23.10(2)], the unitary and special unitary groups are $\text{GU}_n(q) = \text{GL}_n(k)^F$, $\text{SU}_n(q) = \text{SL}_n(k)^F$. Also, $\text{SU}_n(q)$ can be realized as a subgroup of $\text{SL}_n(q^2)$ [W]. If $h \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$F^{2h}(X) = \text{Fr}_q^{2h}(X), \quad F^{2h+1}(X) = J_n^{-1} \text{Fr}_q^{2h+1}(X)^{-1} J_n.$$ 

Hence $\text{GU}_n(q)$, respectively $\text{SU}_n(q)$, $\text{PSU}_n(q)$, can be identified with a subgroup of $\text{GU}_n(q^{2h+1})$, respectively $\text{SU}_n(q^{2h+1})$, $\text{PSU}_n(q^{2h+1})$.

The unipotent conjugacy classes in $\text{SU}_n(q)$ are described as the unipotent conjugacy classes in $\text{SL}_n(q)$. Indeed,

- Every unipotent class in $\text{SU}_n(q)$ has a type: $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)$ if the elementary factors of its characteristic polynomial equal $(X - 1)^{\lambda_1}, (X - 1)^{\lambda_2}, \ldots, (X - 1)^{\lambda_k}$, where $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_k$. Conversely, since all unipotent classes in $G = \text{SL}_n(k)$ are $F$-stable (by a direct computation), for any type there is a unipotent class in $\text{SU}_n(q)$, by the Lang-Steinberg theorem.

- By [Hu] 8.5] every unipotent class in $\text{GL}_n(k)$ meets $\text{GU}_n(q)$ in exactly one class, since $C_{\text{GL}_n(k)}(x)$ is connected for every $x$ [SS] I.3.5].
Since \( \text{SU}_n(q) \) is normal in \( \text{GU}_n(q) \), Remark 2.4 says that all unipotent classes in \( \text{SU}_n(q) \) with the same type are isomorphic as racks.

3.3.2. The isogeny argument. Section 4 is devoted to unipotent classes in Chevalley groups. By the isogeny argument, Lemma 3.1 below, it is enough to treat the unipotent classes in \( \mathcal{G}_F^F \) or \( [\mathcal{G}_F^F, \mathcal{G}_F^F] \). This takes care of Question (c) in 3.2.2 and gives flexibility to choose \( \mathcal{G} \) in a suitable form, e.g., in matrix form. Let \( \mathcal{G} \) be a semisimple algebraic, resp. finite, group and \( \mathcal{G}_u \) the set of unipotent, resp. \( p \)-elements, in \( \mathcal{G} \).

**Lemma 3.1.** [ACG, Lemma 1.2] Let \( Z \) be a central subgroup of \( \mathcal{G} \) whose elements are all semisimple, respectively \( p \)-regular. Then the quotient map \( \pi: \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}/Z \) induces a rack isomorphism \( \pi: \mathcal{G}_u \to (\mathcal{G}/Z)_u \) and a bijection between the sets of \( \mathcal{G} \)-conjugacy classes in \( \mathcal{G}_u \) and in \( (\mathcal{G}/Z)_u \). \( \Box \)

3.3.3. A reduction argument. The determination of the unipotent conjugacy classes in \( \mathcal{G} \) and those that are \( \mathcal{G}_F \)-stable, Question (a) in 3.2.2, is well-known, see [Hu, Chapter 7], [LS, Chapters 7, 17, 22]. But the description of the \( \mathcal{G}_F \)-conjugacy classes in \( \mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{G}_F \) for an \( \mathcal{G}_F \)-stable \( \mathcal{G} \)-conjugacy class \( \mathcal{O} \), Question (b) in 3.2.2, is more delicate; for example, there is a class in \( \text{Sp}_4(k) \) which splits into 2 classes in \( \text{Sp}_4(q) \) of different size [LS, Table 8.1]. Similar examples occur for other groups. This was not the case when \( \mathcal{G} = \text{SL}_n(k) \) and \( F \) is \( F_q \)-split by Remark 2.4. To start with, observe that \( U^F \), which is a \( p \)-Sylow subgroup of \( \mathcal{G}_F^F \) and \( [\mathcal{G}_F^F, \mathcal{G}_F^F] \) [MaT, Corollary 24.11], is isomorphic to its image in \( \mathcal{G} \) by Lemma 3.1. Hence, every unipotent element in \( \mathcal{G}_F^F \), or in \( \mathcal{G}_F^F \), is conjugated to an element in \( U^F \). Also, the \( [\mathcal{G}_F^F, \mathcal{G}_F^F] \)-classes into which a \( \mathcal{G}_F^F \)-class in \( \mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{G}_F^F \) splits are all isomorphic as racks, see Remark 2.4.

The following result combines Remark 2.5, Lemma 2.6 and [Hu, 8.5].

**Lemma 3.2.** Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be an \( \mathcal{F} \)-stable subgroup of \( \mathcal{G} \) and \( x \in \mathcal{M}^F \).

Let \( g \in \mathcal{G} \) such that \( z = g^{-1}F(g) \in C_G(x) \). Assume that the class of \( z \) in \( H^1(F, A(x)) \) has a representative in \( C_G(\mathcal{M}) \).

(a) If \( \mathcal{O}_x^F \) is of type D, respectively \( F \), then \( \mathcal{O}_{g^{-1}g}^F \) is so.

(b) If \( x \in [\mathcal{M}^F, \mathcal{M}^F] \) and \( \mathcal{O}_x^{[\mathcal{M}^F, \mathcal{M}^F]} \) is of type D, respectively \( F \), then \( \mathcal{O}_{g^{-1}g}^{[\mathcal{G}_F^F, \mathcal{G}_F^F]} \) is so.

Assume that every element in \( H^1(F, A(x)) \) has a representative in \( C_G(\mathcal{M}) \). This happens for instance if \( C_G(x) = C_G(\mathcal{M})\mathcal{H} \) with \( \mathcal{H} \) connected.

(c) If \( \mathcal{O}_x^F \) is of type D, resp. \( F \), then \( \mathcal{O} \) is so for every \( \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G}_F^F, x) \).

(d) If \( x \in [\mathcal{M}^F, \mathcal{M}^F] \) and \( \mathcal{O}_x^{[\mathcal{M}^F, \mathcal{M}^F]} \) is of type D, respectively \( F \), then \( \mathcal{O} \) is so for every \( \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{C}([\mathcal{G}_F^F, \mathcal{G}_F^F], x) \). \( \Box \)
3.4. **Criteria to collapse for unipotent classes.** Let $G$ be a finite simple group of Lie type and $O$ a unipotent conjugacy class in $G$. We realize $O$ as a unipotent conjugacy class in $[G^F, G^F]$, where as above, $G$ is a simple algebraic group and $F$ is a Steinberg endomorphism of $G$.

**Definition 3.3.** Let $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi^+$ such that $\alpha + \beta \in \Phi$ but the pair $\alpha, \beta$ does not appear in Table II. We fix an ordering of $\Phi^+$. We say that $O$ has the $\alpha\beta$-property if there exists $u \in O \cap U^F$ such that $\alpha, \beta \in \text{supp } u$ and

$$\alpha + \beta = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \gamma_i, \text{ with } r > 1, \gamma_i \in \text{supp } u$$

(3.4) $$\implies r = 2, \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\} = \{\alpha, \beta\}.$$ 

**Remarks 3.4.** Let $u \in O \cap U^F$.

(i) If there exist simple roots $\alpha$ and $\beta \in \text{supp } u$ adjacent in the Dynkin diagram of $\Phi$ (so that $\alpha + \beta$ is a root), then $O$ has the $\alpha\beta$-property.

(ii) Let $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi^+$ such that $O$ has the $\alpha\beta$-property. By (3.4), neither $\alpha$ nor $\beta$ can be decomposed as a sum of roots in $\text{supp}(u)$. Using the Chevalley commutator formula (3.2), we infer that $\alpha$ and $\beta$ lie in the support of $u$ for every ordering on $\Phi^+$, and the $\alpha\beta$-property is independent of the ordering.

3.4.1. **Unipotent classes of type D in Chevalley and Steinberg groups.** We give a criterium to determine if unipotent classes in Chevalley and Steinberg groups are of type D. In this subsection, we assume that $q$ is odd. Recall that the only groups corresponding to very twisted Steinberg endomorphisms in odd characteristic are the Ree groups $2G_2(3^{2h+1})$. See [3, Section 12.4].

**Proposition 3.5.** Let $G$ be a finite simple group of Lie type. Assume $O$ has the $\alpha\beta$-property, for some $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi^+$ such that $q > 3$ when $(\alpha, \beta) = 0$. Then $O$ is of type D.

**Proof.**

**Step 1.** If there exists $t \in T \cap [G^F, G^F]$ such that $1 \neq \alpha(t) \neq \beta(t)$, then $O$ is of type D.

Fix an ordering of the positive roots ending with $\alpha + \beta < \beta < \alpha$. Since $O$ has the $\alpha\beta$-property, there exists $u \in O$ with

$$u = \prod_{\gamma \in \text{supp}(u)} x_\gamma(a_\gamma) \in \left( \prod_{\gamma \in \text{supp}(u)} \mathbb{U}_\gamma \right) x_{\alpha+\beta}(a_{\alpha+\beta}) x_\beta(a_\beta) x_\alpha(a_\alpha),$$

and $a_{\alpha}a_{\beta} \neq 0$. Let $r = u, s = trt^{-1} \in O$. Then

$$\langle r, s \rangle \subseteq H := \langle \mathbb{U}_\gamma | \gamma \in \text{supp}(u) \rangle.$$
Also \( s \in \left( \prod_{\gamma \in \text{supp}(u), \gamma \neq \alpha} U_\gamma \right) x_\alpha(\alpha(t)a_\alpha); \) we see using \((3.2)\) and \((5.4)\) that
\[
\mathcal{O}_r^H \subseteq \left( \prod_{\delta = \gamma_1 + \cdots + \gamma_i, \delta \neq \alpha, \gamma_i \in \text{supp}(u)} U_\delta \right) x_\alpha(\alpha(t)a_\alpha), \quad \mathcal{O}_s^H \subseteq \left( \prod_{\delta = \gamma_1 + \cdots + \gamma_i, \delta \neq \alpha, \gamma_i \in \text{supp}(u)} U_\delta \right) x_\alpha(\alpha(t)a_\alpha).
\]
Since \( \alpha(t) \neq 1, \mathcal{O}_r^H \neq \mathcal{O}_s^H, \) hence \( \mathcal{O}_r^{(r, s)} \neq \mathcal{O}_s^{(r, s)}. \) Since \( rs, sr \in U^F \) and \( p \neq 2, (rs)^2 \neq (sr)^2 \) if and only if \( rs \neq sr. \) To prove the last inequality, and conclude that \( \mathcal{O} \) is of type D, let \( V := (U_\gamma | \gamma \in \text{supp}(u), \gamma \neq \alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta). \)
Observe that if a right coclass \( Vw \) of some \( w \in U \) contains an element of the form \( x_{\alpha+\beta}(z)x_\beta(y)x_\alpha(x), \) then \( x, y, z \) are unique by \((3.4)\) and Remark \((3.4)\) (ii), using \((3.2)\). Again by \((3.4)\) and Remark \((3.4)\) (ii), using \((3.2)\), we see that the coclass \( Vrs \) contains \( x_{\alpha+\beta}(z)x_\beta((1+\beta(t))a_\beta)x_\alpha((1+\alpha(t))a_\alpha), \) with
\[ z = \beta(t)c_{11}^{\alpha\beta} a_\alpha a_\beta + (1 + (\alpha + \beta)(t))a_{\alpha+\beta}, \]
while \( Vsr \) contains \( x_{\alpha+\beta}(z')x_\beta((1+\beta(t))a_\beta)x_\alpha((1+\alpha(t))a_\alpha), \) with
\[ z' = \alpha(t)c_{11}^{\alpha\beta} a_\alpha a_\beta + (1 + (\alpha + \beta)(t))a_{\alpha+\beta}. \]
Since \( \alpha(t) \neq \beta(t) \) and \( c_{11}^{\alpha\beta} a_\alpha a_\beta \neq 0 \) by assumption, we get that \( rs \neq sr. \)

**Step 2.** If \( G \) is a Chevalley group, then there exists \( t \in T \cap [G^F, G^F] \) such that \( 1 \neq \alpha(t) \neq \beta(t). \)
Without loss of generality, if \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) have different lengths, we choose \( \beta \) to be the longest one. Take \( t = \beta^\vee(\zeta) \in T, \) where \( \zeta \) is a generator of \( \mathbb{F}_q^\times. \)
Then \( t \in [G^F, G^F] \) by \((3.2)\) \( 8.1.4, \) and \( \alpha(t) = \zeta^{2(\alpha, \beta)}(\beta \circ \beta), \beta(t) = \zeta^2. \) If \( \Phi \) is simply-laced then \( r = \frac{2(\alpha, \beta)}{(\beta, \beta)} = -1 \) and \( 1 \neq \alpha(t) \neq \beta(t). \) If \( \Phi \) is of type \( G_2, \) then \( r \in \{-1, 1\} \) and the same assertion follows. If \( \Phi \) is doubly-laced, then \( r \in \{-1, 0\}. \) But if \( r = 0, \) then \( \beta(t) = \zeta^2 \neq 1 \) since by assumption \( q > 3. \) Thus \( 1 \neq \beta(t) \neq \alpha(t) \) and the claim follows by interchanging \( \alpha \) and \( \beta. \)
Hence the Proposition for Chevalley groups follows from Steps \((1)\) and \((2)\)

**Step 3.** If \( G \) is a Steinberg group, then there exists \( t \in T \cap [G^F, G^F] \) such that \( 1 \neq \alpha(t) \neq \beta(t). \)
Here \( \Phi \) is simply-laced so \( \frac{2(\alpha, \beta)}{(\beta, \beta)} = -1. \) Assume first that the Dynkin diagram automorphism \( \theta \) associated with \( F \) is an involution. Then the \( \langle \theta \rangle \)-orbit of \( \beta \) is either \( \{\beta\} \) or \( \{\beta, \theta(\beta)\}. \) In the former case, take \( t = \beta^\vee(\zeta) \in T \) for a generator \( \zeta \) of \( \mathbb{F}_q^\times \) and conclude as in Step \((2)\) in the latter, take \( t = \beta^\vee(\xi)(\theta \beta)^\vee(\xi^q) \in T \) for a generator \( \xi \) of \( \mathbb{F}_q^\times. \) Then \( t \in \pi(G^F_{sc}) = [G^F, G^F]; \)
\[ \alpha(t) \in \{ \xi^{-1}, \xi^{-1\pm q}, \xi^{-1+2q} \} \text{ and } \beta(t) \in \{ \xi^2, \xi^{2-q} \}. \] Hence \( \alpha(t) \neq 1, \beta(t) \) unless \( q = 3 \) and either

\begin{align*}
(3.5) \quad & (\alpha, \beta) = -1, \quad (\alpha, \theta \beta) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (\beta, \theta \beta) = -1, \text{ or} \\
(3.6) \quad & (\alpha, \beta) = -1, \quad (\alpha, \theta \beta) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (\beta, \theta \beta) = 0.
\end{align*}

Let \( q = 3 \). If \( \Phi \) is of type \( D_n \) or \( E_6 \), then case (3.5) never occurs because \( (\beta, \theta \beta) = 0 \) whenever \( \beta \neq \theta \beta \). If \( \Phi \) is of type \( A_n \), then case (3.5) occurs only if \( \beta = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j \), for \( i < j \) and either: \( \alpha = \varepsilon_l - \varepsilon_i \) for \( l < i, 2j = n + 2 \), and \( l \neq j, n + 2 - i \), or \( \alpha = \varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_l \) for \( j < l, 2i = n + 2 \); and \( l \neq i, n + 2 - j \). In both situations we take \( t = \alpha^\gamma(\xi)(\theta \alpha)^\gamma(\xi^3) \) for \( \xi \) a generator of \( F_9^\times \). This gives the claim in case (3.5).

By applying \( \theta \) we observe, using Remark 3.3 (ii) that if \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) satisfy condition (3.4), then \( \theta \alpha \) and \( \theta \beta \) also lie in \( \text{supp}(u) \). Therefore, (3.4) forces \( \theta(\alpha + \beta) \neq \alpha + \beta \).

If \( \Phi \) is of type \( A_n \), then a pair of roots satisfies (3.6) only if \( \beta = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j \) with \( \{i, j\} \cap \{n - i + 2, n - j + 2\} = \emptyset \) and either \( \alpha = \varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_{n-i+2} \) or \( \alpha = \varepsilon_{n-j+2} - \varepsilon_i \) with \( |\{i, j, n - j + 2, n - i + 2\}| = 4 \). Since in this case \( \alpha + \beta \) would be \( \theta \)-invariant, such pairs are discarded.

If \( \Phi \) is of type \( D_n \), case (3.6) occurs only if \( \beta = \varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_n, \alpha = \varepsilon_j \mp \varepsilon_n \) with \( n \neq i \neq j \neq n \). We discard such pairs as we did for type \( A_n \).

Let \( \Phi \) be of type \( E_6 \). If a pair \( (\alpha, \beta) \) satisfies (3.6) and \( (\beta, \alpha) \) does not, we interchange \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \). We verify by inspection that there are no pairs of roots \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) such that \( (\alpha, \beta) \) and \( (\beta, \alpha) \) are both in case (3.6) and such that \( \theta(\alpha + \beta) \neq \alpha + \beta \). This gives the claim when \( \theta^2 = 1 \).

Assume now \( \Phi \) is of type \( D_4 \) and \( \theta \) has order 3. We will show that \( \alpha_2 \in \{ \alpha, \beta \} \). Let us fix an ordering of the roots in increasing height \( \text{ht} \) and let \( u \in \mathcal{O} \cap U^\pm \) be as in Definition 3.3. We consider the support of \( u \) with respect to this ordering. The outer automorphism \( \theta \) of order 3 permutes \( \alpha_1, \alpha_3 \) and \( \alpha_4 \) and fixes \( \alpha_2 \). By inspection, for simple roots we have \( \alpha \in \text{supp}(u) \) if and only if \( \theta(\alpha) \in \text{supp}(u) \). In addition, \( \gamma + \gamma' \not\in \Phi \) if \( \text{ht}(\gamma) = \text{ht}(\gamma') \geq 2 \) or if \( \text{ht}(\gamma) = \text{ht}(\gamma') = 1 \) and \( \gamma, \gamma' \neq \alpha_2 \). So, if \( \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\} \not\subseteq \text{supp}(u) \) we have \( \alpha \in \text{supp}(u) \) if and only if \( \theta(\alpha) \in \text{supp}(u) \) for every \( \alpha \in \Phi^+ \). Thus, if \( \alpha_2 \not\subseteq \text{supp}(u) \), condition (3.4) is not verified for any pair \( \alpha, \beta \in \text{supp}(u) \) such that \( \alpha + \beta \in \Phi \). So, \( \alpha_2 \in \text{supp}(u) \). If \( \alpha_1 \in \text{supp}(u) \) then we take \( \alpha = \alpha_2, \beta = \alpha_1 \). If, instead, \( \alpha_1 \not\subseteq \text{supp}(u) \), then \( u \) has the \( \alpha \beta \)-property if and only if \( \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 \in \text{supp}(u) \) and \( \{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4\} \not\subseteq \text{supp}(u) \). In this case, we have \( \alpha = \alpha_2, \beta = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 \). In both cases,
we take \( t = \alpha_1^\gamma(\zeta)(\theta\alpha_1)^\gamma(\xi^q)(\theta^2\alpha_1)^\gamma(\xi^q) \) for \( \xi \) a generator of \( \mathbb{F}^\times_{q^2} \). Then, \( t \in \pi(\mathbb{G}_{sc}^F) = [\mathbb{G}^F, \mathbb{G}^F] \), and \( \alpha(t) = \xi^{-(1+q+q^2)} \) and \( \beta(t) \in \{\xi^2, \xi^{1+q+q^2}\} \). Then, \( 1 \neq \alpha(t) \neq \beta(t) \) unless \( q = 3 \) and \( \beta = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 \). In this case, we replace \( t \) by \( \alpha_2^\gamma(-1) \).

Hence the Proposition for Steinberg groups follows from Steps 1 and 3.

**Step 4.** If \( G = 2G_2(3^{2h+1}) \), \( h \geq 1 \), then there exists \( t \in \mathbb{T} \cap [\mathbb{G}^F, \mathbb{G}^F] \) such that \( 1 \neq \alpha(t) \neq \beta(t) \).

In this case the possible (unordered) pairs \( \{\alpha, \beta\} \) are
\[
\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}, \quad \{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\}, \quad \{3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\}.
\]
The last two pairs are interchanged by the non-standard graph automorphism \( \theta \) such that \( x_{\alpha_1}(\zeta) \mapsto x_{\alpha_2}(\zeta^3) \) and \( x_{\alpha_2}(\zeta) \mapsto x_{\alpha_1}(\zeta) \) for every \( \zeta \in \mathbb{k} \), \((C, 12.4)\). Applying the Steinberg endomorphism \( Fr_{3h} \) \( \theta \) to a representative in a class \( \mathcal{O} \) we see that \( \mathcal{O} \) has the \( \alpha\beta \)-property for \( \{\alpha_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2\} \) if and only if it has it for \( \{2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\} \). So, it is enough to consider \( \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\} \) and \( \{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\} \). Let \( \zeta \) be a generator of \( \mathbb{F}^\times_{3^{2h+1}} \) and let \( t = \alpha_1^\gamma(\zeta^{3h})\alpha_2^\gamma(\zeta) \).

Then \( t \in \mathbb{T}^F \cap [\mathbb{G}^F, \mathbb{G}^F] \) and
\[
1 \neq \alpha_1(t) = \zeta^2 \cdot 3^h - 1 \neq \alpha_2(t) = \zeta^{-3^h+1+2}, \quad \alpha_1(t) = \zeta^2 \cdot 3^h - 1 \neq (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(t) = \zeta^{3^h-1}.
\]

Hence the Proposition for Ree groups follows from Steps 1 and 4. \( \square \)

3.4.2. **Unipotent classes of type F in Chevalley and Steinberg groups.** In this subsection we address the case when \( q \) is even albeit some results are valid more generally. We give criteria to determine when a unipotent class is of type F in Chevalley or Steinberg groups.

**Proposition 3.6.** Assume that one of the following conditions hold:

- \( G \) is a Chevalley group and \( q \notin \{2, 3, 4, 5, 7\} \);
- \( G = \text{PSU}_3(q) \) and \( q \notin \{2, 5, 8\} \);
- \( G \) is a Steinberg group and \( q > 8 \).

If \( \mathcal{O} \) is a unipotent class in \( G \) and has the \( \alpha\beta \)-property, for some \( \alpha, \beta \in \Phi^+ \), then it is of type F.

**Proof.**

**Step 1.** If there exists a family \( (t_a)_{a \in I} \) in \( \mathbb{T} \cap [\mathbb{G}^F, \mathbb{G}^F] \) such that
\[
(3.7) \quad \alpha(t_a)\beta(t_b) \neq \alpha(t_b)\beta(t_a) \quad \text{for every} \ a \neq b,
\]
then \( \mathcal{O} \) is of type F.
Notice that (3.7) implies
\[(\alpha(t_a), \beta(t_a)) \neq (\alpha(t_b), \beta(t_b)) \quad \text{for every } a \neq b.\]

Let \(r_a := t_a u t_a^{-1}\) and \(R_a := \mathbb{U}^F \triangleright r_a, a \in \mathbb{I}_4.\) We claim that (3.7) ensures \(r_a \triangleright r_b \neq r_b\) for every \(a \neq b,\) and that (3.8) ensures that \(R = \bigsqcup_{a \in \mathbb{I}_4} R_a\) is a subrack with \(R_a \triangleright R_b = R_b.\)

As in Step 1 of Proposition 3.5, we fix an ordering of \(\Phi^+\) ending with \(\alpha + \beta < \beta < \alpha.\) Let \(V = (U_\gamma \mid \gamma \in \text{supp}(r), \gamma \neq \alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta).\) Since \(O\) has the \(\alpha\beta\)-property, there exists \(r \in O\) with \(r \in \mathbb{V}x_{\alpha + \beta}(a_{\alpha + \beta})x_\beta(a_\beta) x_\alpha(a_\alpha)\) and \(a_\alpha a_\beta \neq 0.\) Then \(r_a \in \mathbb{V}x_{\alpha + \beta}((\alpha + \beta)(t_a) a_{\alpha + \beta})x_\beta(\beta(t_a)a_\beta)x_\alpha(\alpha(t_a)a_\alpha).\) By (3.4) and Remark 3.4(ii), using (3.2), we see that the coclass \(\mathbb{V}r_a r_b\) contains \(x_{\alpha + \beta}(x_\beta(y)x_\alpha(z))\) with
\[
\begin{align*}
(3.9)\quad & x = (\alpha + \beta)(t_a) a_{\alpha + \beta} + c_{11}^{\alpha \beta} \alpha(t_a) \beta(t_b) a_\beta a_\alpha , \\
(3.10)\quad & y = (\beta(t_a) + \beta(t_b)) a_\beta , \\
(3.11)\quad & z = (\alpha(t_a) + \alpha(t_b)) a_\alpha .
\end{align*}
\]

Arguing as in the proof of Step 1 of Proposition 3.5 we see that \(r_a \triangleright r_b \neq r_b\) and that \(R_a \subset \mathbb{V}'x_\beta(\beta(t_a)y)x_\alpha(\alpha(t_a)x)\) with \(\mathbb{V}' = (U_\gamma \mid \gamma \neq \alpha, \beta).\) By a direct computation; \(\bigsqcup_{a \in \mathbb{I}_4} R_a\) is subrack of \(O,\) hence \(O\) is of type \(F.\)

**Step 2.** If \(G\) is a Chevalley group and \(q > 7,\) then there exists a family \((t_a)_{a \in \mathbb{I}_4}\) in \(T \cap [G^F, G^F]\) satisfying (3.7).

Let \(\zeta\) be a generator of \(\mathbb{F}_q^x.\) By assumption on \(q,\) for \(e_a := a - 1\) with \(a \in \mathbb{I}_4\) we have \(r_{e_a} \neq r_{e_b} \pmod{(q - 1)}\) for all pairs \(a \neq b\) and \(1 \leq r \leq 3.\) If \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) have different lengths, we assume that \(\alpha\) is the longest one. Set \(t_a = \zeta^\alpha(\zeta^e) \in T;\) by [Sp2, 8.1.4], \(t_a \in [G^F, G^F].\) Then \(\alpha(t_a) \beta(t_b) = \zeta^{2e_a + me_b}\) with \(m \in \{-1, 0, 1\}\) and a direct verification gives the claim.

Hence the Proposition for Chevalley groups follows from Steps 1 and 2.

**Step 3.** If \(G = \text{PSU}_3(q),\) for \(q \notin \{2, 5, 8\},\) then there exists \(t_a \in T \cap [G^F, G^F]\) for \(a \in \mathbb{I}_4\) satisfying (3.7).

The only classes with the \(\alpha\beta\)-property are the regular ones. In this case we have \(\alpha = \alpha_1, \beta = \theta(\alpha_1) = \alpha_2\) and, for \(\zeta\) a generator in \(\mathbb{F}_q^x\) we set
\[
(3.12)\quad t_a = \zeta^\alpha(\zeta^{a - 1}) \beta^\beta(\zeta^{(a - 1)q}), \quad \text{for } a \in \mathbb{I}_4.
\]

Then \((\alpha(t_a), \beta(t_a)) = (\zeta^{(a - 1)(2 - q)}, \zeta^{(a - 1)(2q - 1)})\) and the claim follows from a direct computation.
Hence the Proposition for \( \text{PSU}_3(q) \) follows from Steps 1 and 3. We assume in the remaining Steps 4, 5 and 6 that \( G \) is a Steinberg group, \( G \neq (3)D_4(q) \); by the preceding Step, we also assume that \( G \neq \text{PSU}_3(q) \).

**Step 4.** If \( q > 5 \) and \( \{\alpha, \beta\} \cap \{\theta(\alpha), \theta(\beta)\} = \emptyset \), then there exists \( t_a \in \mathbb{T} \cap [G^F, G^F] \) for \( a \in I_4 \) satisfying (3.7). The same holds if \( q = 4 \), except when \( (\alpha, \theta(\alpha)) = -1 \) and \( (\theta(\alpha), \beta) = 1 \).

Since \( \theta \) preserves positivity of roots, we have \( \theta(\alpha) \neq -\alpha, \theta(\beta) \neq -\beta \). Hence, for \( m := (\alpha, \theta(\alpha)), m' := (\theta(\alpha), \beta) \), we have \( m, m' \in \{-1, 0, 1\} \). Let \( t_a \) be as in (3.12) with \( \beta = \theta(\alpha) \). Then \( \alpha(t_a) = \zeta^{(a-1)(2+mq)} \), \( \beta(t_a) = \zeta^{(a-1)(m'q-1)} \). Therefore, (3.7), follows if \( |\zeta^{(3+q(m-m'))}| \geq 4 \). A direct estimate making use of the equalities

\[
\gcd(q^2 - 1, q \pm 3) = \gcd(8, q \pm 3), \text{ for } q \neq 3
\]

shows that (3.7) holds for \( q > 5 \), or for \( q = 4 \) provided that \( (m, m') \neq (-1, 1) \).

**Step 5.** If \( q > 7 \) and \( \beta \neq \theta(\alpha) \), then there exist \( t_a \in \mathbb{T} \cap [G^F, G^F] \) for \( a \in I_4 \) satisfying (3.7).

For \( \alpha = \theta(\alpha) \), or \( \alpha \neq \theta(\alpha) \) but \( \beta = \theta(\beta) \), and \( q > 7 \), the proof is as in Step 2. If \( \alpha \neq \theta(\alpha) \) and \( \beta \neq \theta(\beta) \), then this is Step 1.

**Step 6.** If \( q \notin \{2, 5, 8\} \) and \( \beta = \theta(\alpha) \), then there exist \( t_a \in \mathbb{T} \cap [G^F, G^F] \) for \( a \in I_4 \) satisfying (3.7).

This Step is proved as Step 3.

Hence the Proposition for a Steinberg group \( G \) different from \( (3)D_4(q) \) and \( \text{PSU}_3(q) \) follows from Steps 1, 2, 5 and 6.

**Step 7.** Assume \( G = (3)D_4(q) \) and \( q \neq 2, 3, 4, 7 \). Then \( \mathcal{O} \) is of type F.

By the proof of Step 3 in Proposition 3.5, we can always assume that \( \alpha = \alpha_2 \) and \( \beta \in \{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4\} \). Let \( \zeta \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \) be a generator. Let \( e_a = a - 1 \), with \( a \in I_4 \). In the first case we take \( t_a = \beta^\vee(\zeta)\theta(\beta)^\vee(\zeta)\theta^2(\beta)^\vee(\zeta)\alpha^\vee(\zeta^{e_a}) \). Since \( \zeta^q = \zeta \), we have \( t_a \in \mathbb{T}^F \). Further, since \( (\alpha, \theta^i(\beta)) = -1 \) and \( (\theta^i(\beta), \theta^i(\beta)) = 2 \) we have \( \alpha(t_a)\beta(t_b) = \zeta^{-1 + 2e_a - e_b} \). As in Step 2, (3.7) are satisfied if \( q \neq 2, 3, 4, 7 \). In the second case we take \( \alpha = \alpha_2, \beta = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 \). Then, the proof follows as in Step 2. Indeed, define \( t_a = \alpha^\vee(\zeta^{e_a}) \in \mathbb{T}^F \cap [G^F, G^F] \). Then \( \alpha(t_a)\beta(t_b) = \zeta^{2e_a - e_b} \) and a direct verification gives the claim. Thus, \( \mathcal{O} \) is of type F by Step 1. \( \square \)
3.5. **Regular unipotent classes.** A regular unipotent conjugacy class in a reductive algebraic group is the unique unipotent class with maximal dimension. Then we say that $\mathcal{O}$ is regular if it is contained in the regular unipotent class in $G$. We shall prove often that a class is of type D or F by considering the intersection with a smaller group, containing a regular class of the latter. Thus, we need to see when regular classes are of type D or F.

**Proposition 3.7.** Assume that $q$ is odd. Let $G$ be a finite simple group of Lie type not of type $A_1$. If $O$ is regular, then it is of type D.

**Proof.** By [St2, 3.2, 3.3], every regular unipotent element $u$ in $U$ can be written as $u = U'x_{a_1}(a_1)\cdots x_{a_n}(a_n)$ where $U'$ is the product of root subgroups of height at least 2 and each $a_i \in k^\times$. If the rank of $G$ is not 1, this ensures that for every $u \in O \cap U^F$, there are $\alpha, \beta$ simple adjacent roots in supp$(u)$; hence $O$ has the $\alpha\beta$-property. Now Proposition 3.5 applies. □

**Proposition 3.8.** Assume $G$ is either

(a) a Chevalley group with $q > 7$ and $G \neq SL_2(k)$, or
(b) $PSU_3(q)$, with $q \not\in \{2, 5, 8\}$, or
(c) $PSU_n(q)$, with $n \geq 5$, or $(2)E_6(q)$, and $q \not\in \{2, 3, 5\}$, or
(d) $(2)D_n(q)$ for $n \geq 4$ or $PSU_4(q)$, and $q > 7$, or
(e) $(3)D_4(q)$ and $q \neq 2, 3, 4, 7$.

Then every regular unipotent class in $G$ is of type F.

**Proof.** Arguing as in Proposition 3.7 there exists $u \in O \cap U^F$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi^+$ such that $O$ has the $\alpha\beta$-property, hence we may invoke Proposition 3.6. If $G = PSU_n(q)$, with $n \geq 5$, or $G = (2)E_6(q)$ we can always find adjacent simple roots $\alpha$ and $\beta$ such that $\{\alpha, \beta\} \cap \{\theta(\alpha), \theta(\beta)\} = \emptyset$, so Step 4 applies. If $G = (2)D_n(q)$ for $n \geq 4$ or $PSU_4(q)$, then we can always find adjacent simple roots $\alpha$ and $\beta$ with $\beta \neq \theta(\alpha)$ and Step 5 applies. □

**Remark 3.9.** If $p$ is good (see [SS, I.4.3] for the list of bad primes) then all regular unipotent classes in $G^F$ are isomorphic as racks [TZ, Lemma 4.1]. But this is not always the case for $p$ bad. Let, for instance, $p = 2$, $G^F = Sp_4(2) \cong S_6$. The regular unipotent classes $O$ and $O'$ correspond to the partitions $(1^2, 4)$ and $(2, 4)$, and have isomorphic centralizers. We compute the inner groups of them, see [AG, Definition 1.3], using [AG, Lemma 1.9]: $\text{Inn}_O = S_6$ and $\text{Inn}_{O'} = A_6$. Thus $O$ and $O'$ are not isomorphic as racks.

We next deal with some specific groups. See [4] for the needed notation of symplectic groups.
Lemma 3.10. Let $q > 2$ be even. The regular unipotent classes in $\text{Sp}_{2n}(q)$ are of type $F$.

Proof. There are exactly 2 regular unipotent classes in $\text{Sp}_{2n}(q)$ [LS Theorem 6.2.1]. Both are treated similarly, so fix one of them, say $C$. There is an upper-triangular matrix $u \in C$. By Jordan theory $u$ is regular in $\text{SL}_{2n}(q)$ [SS IV.2.15.9(ii)], so all its coefficients in the upper subdiagonal are $\neq 0$.

Assume first that $n = 2$. Then we may assume that $u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & p \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Indeed, if $u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & l \\ 0 & 1 & m \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, then since $u \in \text{Sp}_{4}(q)$ and it is a regular element we have that $x = z$, $m = l + xy$ and $xyz \neq 0$. Conjugating by $v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & y & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ we obtain that $(vv^{-1})_{13} = 0$ and $(vv^{-1})_{24} = xy \neq 0$. Let $\zeta$ be a generator of $F^\times$. Conjugation by the diagonal matrices $(\zeta^a, \zeta^b, \zeta^{-b}, \zeta^{-a})$, $a, b \in \{0, 1\}$, provides 4 different representatives $x_{a,b}$ of $O_{\text{Sp}_{4}(q)}$. We check that the subracks $R_{a,b} := U^F \triangleright x_{a,b}$ are disjoint for $(a, b) \neq (c, d)$ as in [ACG 3.1]. A direct computation looking at $(x_{a,b}x_{c,d})_{13}$ and $(x_{a,b}x_{c,d})_{14}$ shows that $x_{a,b} \triangleright x_{c,d} \neq x_{c,d}$ if $(a, b) \neq (c, d)$ for all $q > 2$.

Assume now $n > 2$. Then Lemma 2.2 applies with $P = F^F$ such that $P$ is the standard parabolic subgroup containing $L = T \text{Sp}_{2}(k)$ as a Levi factor and $L = L^F$, the $F$-points of $L$. Indeed since $u$ is regular unipotent, it is a $p$-element so its image $\pi$ is contained in $\text{Sp}_{4}(q) \subset L$ and it is regular therein. □

For further use, we treat here regular classes in other groups. Recall the notation in §3.3.1.

Lemma 3.11. Let $q = 2^{2h+1}$, where $h \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The regular unipotent classes in $\text{GU}_n(q)$ for $1 < n$ odd are of type $D$.

Proof. Assume $q = 2$, $n = 3$. By Subsection 3.3.1 there is a unique unipotent regular conjugacy class $O$ in $\text{GU}_3(2)$. Let $\zeta, \eta \in F_4^\times - 1$. Then $r = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \zeta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in O$. By [Hu 6.22], $C_{\text{SL}_3(k)}(u) = Z(\text{SL}_3(k))C_{\text{SL}_3(k)}(u)^\circ$. It is not hard to verify that, for $F$ the twisted Steinberg endomorphism on $\text{SL}_3(k)$, the $F$-twisted action of $Z(\text{SL}_3(k)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/3$ on itself is trivial, see [MaT Example 21.14]. Thus, there are exactly 3 regular unipotent conjugacy classes in $\text{SU}_3(2)$. Let $x \in k$ be such that $x^3 = \eta^{-1}$. Then for $g = \begin{pmatrix} x^4 & x \\ x & x \end{pmatrix}$ we have $g^{-1}F(g) = \eta \text{id}_3$ so, $gr^{-1} \in O \setminus O^p_{\text{SU}_3(2)}$. Clearly
\(J_3 \in SU_3(2) < GU_3(2)\), so also \(s = J_3 g r g^{-1} J_3 \in O \setminus O_r^{SU_3(2)}\). By a direct computation, \((rs)^2 \neq (sr)^2\). Since \(\langle r, s \rangle \subset SU_3(2)\), \(O\) is of type D.

Assume \(q = 2\), \(n = 2l + 1 > 3\). Let \(P\) be the standard \(F\)-stable parabolic subgroup associated to the simple roots \(\alpha_l, \alpha_{l+1}\) and let \(L\) be the corresponding standard \(F\)-stable Levi subgroup; \(L\) contains a subgroup isomorphic to \(GL_3(k)\). Then, \(L^F\) contains a subgroup isomorphic to \(GU_3(q)\) and Lemma 2.2 applies with \(P = P^F\) and \(L = L^F\), by the case \(n = 3\). The claim for general \(q\) follows since \(GU_n(2) < GU_n(2^{2h+1})\).

3.6. Further remarks. We shall often invoke the following result.

Lemma 3.12. [ACG §3.5] Let \(O\) be a unipotent class in \(SL_n(q)\), with partition \((\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)\). Table III summarizes when \(O\) is of type D or F. □

| \(n\) | \(q\) | \(\text{type } (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)\) | Type |
|------|------|-------------------|------|
| 2    | odd square > 9 | \((2)\) | D |
| > 2  | odd | \(\lambda_1 \geq 3\) | D |
|      |      | \((2, 2, \ldots)\) | D |
|      |      | \((2, 1, \ldots)\) | D |
| > 2  | even | \(\lambda_1 \geq 5\) | F |
|      |      | \(\lambda_1 = 4\) | D |
|      |      | \((3, 3, \ldots)\) | D |
|      |      | \((3, 2, \ldots)\) | F |
|      |      | \((3, 1, \ldots)\) | D |
|      |      | \((2, 2, \ldots)\) | D |
|      |      | \((2, 1, 1, 1, \ldots)\) | F |
| 3    | even ≥ 8 | \((3)\) | F |
| 4    |     | \((3)\) | D |

Table III

We end this subsection with another useful observation.

Remark 3.13. Let \(P\) be an \(F\)-stable parabolic subgroup of \(G\), let \(L\) be an \(F\)-stable Levi subgroup and let \(\pi: P \rightarrow L\) be the projection associated with the Levi decomposition \(P = LQ\). Let \(G = G^F\), \(P = P^F\), \(Q = Q^F\), \(L = L^F\).

(1) Let \(r, s \in P\) with \(s \in Q \not\sim r\). Then \(O_r^{(r,s)} \neq O_s^{(r,s)}\) because \(Q \not\subset P\).

(2) If moreover \(O_r^G = O_s^G\) and \(\pi(rs)^2 \neq \pi(sr)^2\), then \(O_r^G\) is of type D.

4. Unipotent classes in finite symplectic groups

In this section, \(G\) is the symplectic group \(Sp_{2n}(k)\), that is the subgroup of \(GL_{2n}(k)\) leaving invariant the bilinear form \((J_n^0, J_n^0)\), for \(J_n = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & J_n \\ -J_n & 0 \end{array}\right)\).

We assume \(n \geq 2\), since \(Sp_2(k) = SL_2(k)\). Let \(B\) be the Borel subgroup
of $\mathbb{G}$ consisting of upper triangular matrices. Since $\mathbb{G}$ is simply connected, $\mathbb{G}^F = [\mathbb{G}^F, \mathbb{G}^F] = \text{Sp}_{2n}(q) =: G$ and $\mathbb{G} = \text{PSp}_{2n}(q) = \mathbb{G}^F/Z(\mathbb{G}^F)$. By the isogeny argument, Lemma 3.1, it suffices to consider unipotent classes in $\mathbb{G}$.

4.1. Symplectic groups for $q$ odd. To a unipotent class $\mathcal{O}$ in $\text{Sp}_{2n}(k)$ we attach the partition of $2n$ determined by the Jordan form of $\mathcal{O}$ in $\text{GL}_{2n}(k)$. If $q$ is odd, then the partition uniquely determines $\mathcal{O}$. The partitions corresponding to unipotent classes in $\mathbb{G}$ are of the form $(1^{r_1}, 2^{r_2}, \ldots, 2n^{r_{2n}})$ where $r_i$ is even for every odd $i$. See [SS, IV.2.15.9(ii)]. We call them symplectic partitions.

Let $u \in \mathbb{G}$ unipotent. There is a reductive subgroup $\mathbb{J}$ of $\mathbb{G}$ containing $u$ as a regular unipotent element, such that $C_G(u) = C_G(\mathbb{J})V$ where $V$ is a connected normal subgroup of $C_G(u)$ [LS] Lemmata 3.14, 3.17. Namely, $\mathbb{J}$ is given in [LS] (3.4), p. 48: if $\mathcal{O}_u$ corresponds to $(1^{r_1}, 2^{r_2}, \ldots, 2n^{r_{2n}})$, then

\begin{equation}
\mathbb{J} \cong \prod_{i \text{ odd}} \mathcal{O}_i(k) \times \prod_{i \text{ even}} \text{Sp}_i(k),
\end{equation}

where the product is taken over those $i$ such that $r_i \neq 0$. We can always assume that $\mathbb{J}$ is $F$-stable and that $F$ induces an $F_q$-split morphism on each of its simple factors [LS], p. 113. Recall that $C(G, u)$ denotes the set of $G$-conjugacy classes contained in $\mathcal{O}_u^G$, when $u \in \mathbb{G}$.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let $u$ be a nontrivial unipotent element in $G$ associated with the partition $(1^{r_1}, \ldots, n^{r_n})$. Assume that one of these conditions hold:

1. There exists $i > 3$ for which $r_i \neq 0$;
2. $9 < q$ is a square and the partition is $(1^{r_1}, 2^{r_2})$ with $r_2 > 0$.

Then $\mathcal{O}$ is of type $D$ for every $\mathcal{O} \in C(G, u)$.

**Proof.** Since $u$ is unipotent, it lies in the following subgroup of $\mathbb{J}$

\[ \mathbb{M} = \prod_{i \text{ odd}} \text{SO}_i(k) \times \prod_{i \text{ even}} \text{Sp}_i(k) \]

and each component of $u$ in $\mathbb{M}$ is regular in its factor. We show that $\mathcal{O}_u^{\mathbb{M}}$ is of type $D$. Case (1) follows from Proposition 3.7. In Case (2), $r_2 > 0$ and $\mathbb{M}^F$ is a group of type $A_1$; hence [ACG] Lemma 3.6 applies. For the other classes in $C(G, u)$, we apply Lemma 3.2 (c); indeed $C_G(u) = C_G(\mathbb{J})V$ and $V$ is connected, so representatives of $A(u)$ can be found in $C_G(\mathbb{J}) < C_G(\mathbb{M})$. \(\square\)

By Lemma 4.1 (1), it remains to consider the partitions $(1^{r_1}, 2^{r_2}, 3^{r_3})$. We start by $(1^{r_1}, 3^{r_3})$; the argument in Lemma 4.1 (2) also applies for it, but there is an alternative without the restrictions in the parameters.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let $u \in G$ be a unipotent element corresponding to a partition of the form $(1^{r_1}, 3^{r_3})$, with $r_3 > 0$. Then $\mathcal{O}_u^G$ is of type $D$. 
Proof. By [LS] Theorem 3.1 (v) we have $C_G(u) = C_G(u)_{\text{st}}$ so $C(G, u)$ consists of a single class $O$. We set $r_3 = 2e$. Let $v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. A representative of $O$ is $v \otimes \text{id}_e = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (J_3 \cdot v^{-1}J_3) \otimes \text{id}_e \end{pmatrix}$. Now we may assume that $e = 1$; in this case the injective morphism $\varphi : \text{SL}_3(q) \to G$, $X \mapsto \text{diag}(X, \text{id}_{2n-6}, J_3 \cdot X^{-1}J_3)$ induces a rack embedding $C_v^{\text{SL}_3(q)} \hookrightarrow O_u^G$ and by the isogeny argument, Proposition 3.7 applies.

Lemma 4.3. Let $O$ be a unipotent class in $G$ with partition $(1^{r_1}, 2^{r_2})$, with $r_2 > 1$. If $q > 3$ or $n > 2$, then the class is of type D.

Proof. In this case $A(u) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2$ [LS] Theorem 3.1 (v)], so $C(G, u)$ consists of 2 classes. One of them is represented by $u = \begin{pmatrix} \text{id}_{r_2} & 0 & J_{r_2} \\ 0 & \text{id}_{r_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \text{id}_{d_2} \end{pmatrix}$. We find a representative for the other. Recall the notation [11]; it can be shown that $C_G(J) \simeq O_{r_2}(k) \times \text{Sp}_{r_1}(k)$ is the subgroup of matrices $g_{A,M} = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & M \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ with $A' A = \text{id}_{r_2}$ and $M \in \text{Sp}_{r_1}(k)$. The non-trivial element in $A(u)$ is represented by $g_{L,\text{id}_{r_1}}$ for $L = \text{diag}(-1, 1, \ldots, 1)$. Let $\xi \in \mathbb{F}_q^2 \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$ be such that $\xi q^{-1} = -1$, so $\zeta = \xi^2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$ is not a square in $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $g = \text{diag}(\xi, 1, \ldots, 1, \xi^{-1}) \in \text{Sp}_{2n}(k)$. Then $g^{-1} F(g) = g_{L,\text{id}_{r_1}}$, so $C(G, u) = \{O_u^G, C_v^G\}$ where

$$v = gu^{-1}g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

Let $M$ be the $F$-stable subgroup of $\text{Sp}_{2n}(k)$ of matrices $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & b \\ 0 & M & 0 \\ c & 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$ with $ad - bc = 1$ and $M \in \text{Sp}_{2n-2}(k)$. Clearly, $M \simeq \text{SL}_2(k) \times \text{Sp}_{2n-2}(k)$. Then $O_u^{\text{Sp}_{2n-2}} \simeq O_u^{\text{Sp}_{2n}^G} \simeq O_{x_1}^{\text{SL}_2(q)} \times O_{y_1}^{\text{Sp}_{2n-2}(q)}$ with

$$x_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad y_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \text{id}_{r_2-1} & 0 & J_{r_2-1} \\ 0 & \text{id}_{r_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \text{id}_{r_2-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

We show that this subrack is of type D by application of Lemma 2.3 First, $x_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in O_{x_1}^{\text{SL}_2(q)}$ satisfies $(x_1 x_2)^2 \neq (x_2 x_1)^2$. So, we have to find $y_2 \in O_{y_1}^{\text{Sp}_{2n-2}(q)}$ commuting with $y_1$.

Assume $q > 3$, and let $\eta \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times \setminus \{1, -1\}$. Then we take

$$y_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \eta \text{id}_{r_2-1} & 0 & \eta \text{id}_{r_2-1} \\ \text{id}_{r_1} & 0 & 0 \\ \eta^{-1} \text{id}_{r_2-1} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \triangleright y_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \text{id}_{r_2-1} & 0 & \eta^2 J_{r_2} \\ 0 & \text{id}_{r_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \text{id}_{r_2-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$
Assume now that \( n > 2 \). If \( r_2 > 2 \), then
\[
y_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & id_{2n-6} \\ 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \triangleright y_1 \in \mathcal{O}_{2n}^{Sp_{2n-2}(q)}
\]
commutes with \( y_1 \). If \( r_2 = 2 \), then necessarily \( r_1 > 1 \). In this case we take
\[
y_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \\ id_{2n-6} \\ 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \triangleright y_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & id_{2n-6} \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

**Lemma 4.4.** Let \( u \) be a unipotent element in \( G \) with partition \((1^{r_1}, 2^{r_2}, 3^{r_3})\), such that \( r_2 r_3 > 0 \). Then \( \mathcal{O}_u^G \) is of type \( D \).

**Proof.** Here \( r_3 = 2a \) is even and \( C_G(J) \simeq Sp_{r_1}(k) \times O_{r_2}(k) \times Sp_{r_3}(k) \), so \( A(u) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2 \) \cite{LS} Theorem 3.1(v)] and \( \mathcal{C}(G, u) = \{ O_u^G, O_v^G \} \) has 2 elements. Let \( x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \) and \( w \) in \( Sp_{2n-3}(q) \) unipotent with partition \((1^{r_1}, 2^{r_2})\). Then we choose
\[
u = \begin{pmatrix} x \otimes id_a \\ j_{3^k}^{-1} J_3 \otimes id_a \end{pmatrix}.
\]
Let \( M < G \) consisting of matrices of the form \( \text{diag}(id_{3a}, Y, id_{3a}) \), with \( Y \) in \( Sp_{2n-3}(k) \), and let \( J \) be the reductive group containing \( w \) as a regular element, cf. \cite{LS} 3.2.3. Then \( C_M(Jw) \simeq Sp_{r_1}(k) \times O_{r_2}(k) < C_G(J) < C_G(u) \) and a representative \( b \) for the nontrivial class in \( A(u) \) may be chosen in \( M \).

By Lang-Steinberg’s Theorem, there exists \( g \in M \) such that \( g^{-1} F(g) = b \), so we may pick \( v = g u g^{-1} \). In other terms, \( v = \begin{pmatrix} x \otimes id_a \\ j_{3^k}^{-1} J_3 \otimes id_a \end{pmatrix} \) with \( \mathcal{C}(Sp_{2n-3}(k), w) = \{ O_{w}^{Sp_{2n-3}(q)}, O_{x}^{Sp_{2n-3}(q)} \} \). Then \( O_u^G \) and \( O_v^G \) contain a subrack isomorphic to \( O_x^{\text{SL}_3(q)} \), and by the isogeny argument, Proposition \ref{prop:isogeny} applies.

**Lemma 4.5.** There are two unipotent classes in \( G^F = Sp_4(3) \) of type \((2^2)\); one of them is of type \( D \) and the other is euhly.

**Proof.** As in the proof of Lemma \ref{lem:Sp2n}, there are two classes of type \((2^2)\), represented by \( z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \) and \( w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \). It can be verified that
\[
x = x_{e_1}(1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{O}_w^{Sp_4}. \]

The discussion in \cite{ACG} 3.1 shows that \( \mathcal{O}_x^{UF} \neq \mathcal{O}_w^{UF} \), and that \( xw \neq wx \). In addition, \( xw \) and \( w(xw)w^{-1} = wx \) lie in \( UF \) so they have odd order, hence \( (xw)^2 \neq (wx)^2 \). The claim on the other class was verified with GAP.

### 4.2 Symplectic groups for \( q \) even

In this section \( q \) is even, so the symplectic group \( G \) is the subgroup of \( GL_{2n}(k) \) leaving invariant the bilinear form \( J_{2n} \). Here symplectic partitions do not distinguish conjugacy classes.
4.2.1. **Unipotent conjugacy classes.** We parametrize the unipotent conjugacy classes in $\mathbb{G}$ as in [LS, 6.1, cf. Lemma 6.2]. Let $V$ be the natural representation of $\mathbb{G}$ and let $u \in \mathbb{G}$ unipotent. Then $V$ decomposes, as an $u$-module by restriction, into an orthogonal direct sum of indecomposable submodules (where $k, r \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the $m_i$’s are distinct, ditto for the $k_j$’s)

$$V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} W(m_i)^{a_i} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} V(2k_j)^{b_j}, \quad 0 < a_i, 0 < b_j \leq 2.$$ (4.2)

We describe the summands in the right-hand side:

- $\dim W(m_i) = 2m_i$ and $u|_{W(m_i)}$ is regular in a subgroup $\mathbb{H}_{m_i}$, that is the image of $\text{SL}_{m_i}(k)$ by the embedding of $\text{GL}_{m_i}(k)$ in $\text{Sp}(W(m_i))$ given by

$$X \mapsto \text{diag}(X, J_{m_i} tX^{-1} J_{m_i})$$ (4.3)

- $\dim V(2k_j) = 2k_j$ and $u|_{V(2k_j)}$ is regular in a subgroup $\mathbb{J}_{2k_j} \simeq \text{Sp}_{2k_j}(k)$ and thus $u|_{V(2k_j)}$ is of partition $(2k_j)$.

Set $\mathbb{H} = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \mathbb{H}_{m_i}$, $\mathbb{J} = \prod_{j=1}^{r} \mathbb{J}_{2k_j}$. Then $u$ is regular in $\mathbb{M} := \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{J}$. Let $W = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} W(m_i)^{a_i}$ and $V = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} V(2k_j)^{b_j}$. Then

$$\mathbb{M} < \prod_{i=1}^{k} \text{Sp}(W(m_i)^{a_i}) \times \prod_{j=1}^{r} \text{Sp}(V(2k_j)^{b_j}) < \text{Sp}(\mathbb{W}) \times \text{Sp}(\mathbb{V}) < G.$$ (4.4)

By the description in [LS, p. 91], there is $u \in G = G^F$ such that all subgroups $\mathbb{H}_{m_i}$, $\mathbb{J}_{2k_j}$, $\mathbb{H}$, $\mathbb{J}$, $\mathbb{M}$, $\text{Sp}(\mathbb{W})$, $\text{Sp}(\mathbb{V})$ are $F$-stable and $F$ acts on each of them by a split Frobenius automorphism. In particular,

$$\mathbb{H}^F \simeq \prod_{i=1}^{k} \text{SL}_{m_i}(q)^{a_i}, \quad \mathbb{J}^F \simeq \prod_{j=1}^{r} \text{Sp}_{2k_j}(q)^{b_j}.$$ 

We fix this $u$ in the rest of this Subsection.

4.2.2. **Representatives of classes in $C(G, u)$.** We now address the problem of finding suitable subracks for $\mathcal{O} \in C(G, u)$, that we recall again is the set of $G$-conjugacy classes contained in $\mathcal{O}_u^G$. First we need some information on $A(u)$, cf. (3.3).

**Lemma 4.6.** There is a set of representatives $\Xi$ of $A(u)$ in $C^G_u(u)$ such that for every $x \in \Xi$ there is $g \in \mathbb{G}$ with $x = g^{-1}F(g)$ satisfying:

1. \(F(g\mathbb{M}g^{-1}) = g\mathbb{M}g^{-1}\) and \(F(g\mathbb{J}_{2k_j}g^{-1}) = g\mathbb{J}_{2k_j}g^{-1}\) for every $j$.

2. \((g\mathbb{M}g^{-1})^F \simeq \prod_{i=1}^{k} (\text{SL}_{m_i}(q)^{a_i-1} \times G_i) \times \mathbb{J}^F\), where $G_i$ is $\text{SL}_{m_i}(q)$ or $\text{SU}_{m_i}(q)$; $\text{SU}_{m_i}(q)$ may occur only if $m_i > 1$ is odd.
Proof. By the proof of \cite[Theorem 6.21]{LS}, there is a maximal torus $T_0$ of $C_G(u)$ such that

\begin{equation}
C_G(u) = C_G(u)^\circ NH
\end{equation}

where $N = N_G(T_0) \cap C_{Sp(V)}(u)$, $H = C_{Sp(V)}(u)$, $NH \simeq H \times N$. Also,}

\begin{equation}
(NH) \cap C_G(u) = (N \cap C_G(u)^\circ)(H \cap C_G(u)^\circ), \quad H/H \cap C_G(u)^\circ = H/H^\circ.
\end{equation}

Hence, we may construct a set of representatives $\Xi$ for $A(u)$ as a product $\Sigma \Sigma' \in NH$ where $\Sigma$, resp. $\Sigma'$, is a set of representatives of $N/N \cap C_G(u)^\circ$, respectively of $H/H^\circ$. We claim that there are $\Sigma, \Sigma' \subset N_G(M) \cap N_G(\mathbb{J}_{2k_i})$ for every $i$. First $H/H^\circ$ is generated by images of the components $u_j$ of $u$ in some factors $\mathbb{J}_{2k_i}$ \cite[Lemmas 6.13, 6.14]{LS}, so any $\Sigma' \subset \mathbb{J}$ will do. For $\Sigma$ we need additional information from the proof of \cite[Theorem 6.21]{LS}:

- $T_0$ is a product of subtori $T_i$ of dimension $a_i$ acting on a single summand $W(m_i)^{a_i}$ without fixed points;
- $N = \prod_{i=1}^{k} N_i$ where $N_i = N_G(T_i) \cap C_{Sp(W(m_i)^{a_i})}(u)$.

Then $\prod_{i=1}^{k} N_i/(N_i \cap C_G(u)^\circ)$ maps onto $N/(N \cap C_G(u)^\circ)$ and $N_i \subset C_G(Sp(W(m_j)^{a_j}) \cap N_G(\mathbb{J}_{2k_j})$ for every $i, j$. In order to describe the action of an $x \in N_i$ on $Sp(W(m_i)^{a_i})$ we analyze the component of $u$ lying in this subgroup. We may assume it is $(v \otimes \text{id}_{a_i})_{J_{m_i}}(v^{-1}J_{m_i} \otimes \text{id}_{a_i})$ where $v$ is a regular unipotent matrix in $\text{SL}_{m_i}(k)$, and so $T_i$ is the subgroup of diagonal matrices

\[ (\lambda_1 \text{id}_{m_1}, \ldots, \lambda_n \text{id}_{m_n}, \lambda^{-1}_n \text{id}_{m_n}, \ldots, \lambda^{-1}_1 \text{id}_{m_1}). \]

Then $N_{Sp_{2m_i a_i}(k)}(T_i)$ normalizes $[C_{Sp_{2m_i a_i}(k)}(T_i), C_{Sp_{2m_i a_i}(k)}(T_i)] = H_{m_i}^{a_i}$, and the claim follows. The claim implies \cite{LS} by Remark \cite{LS} (b).

Let $x = sh \in \Xi$, $s \in \Sigma$, $h \in \Sigma'$. By construction and Lang-Steinberg’s theorem applied to $s \in \prod_i Sp(W(m_i)^{a_i})$ and $h \in \mathbb{J}$, we may choose $g$ such that $g^{-1} F(g) = x$ as

\begin{equation}
g = yz, \quad y \in \prod_i Sp(W(m_i)^{a_i}), \quad y^{-1} F(y) = s, \quad z \in \mathbb{J}, \quad z^{-1} F(z) = h.
\end{equation}

Since $\Sigma' \subset C_G(H) \cap \mathbb{J}$, $\Sigma \subset C_G(\mathbb{J})$,

\[ (yz z^{-1} y^{-1})^F = (y \mathbb{J} y^{-1})^F = \mathbb{J}^F. \]

Also, $N_i/N_i \cap C_G(u)^\circ$ is either trivial or $\simeq \mathbb{Z}/2$ \cite[Theorem 6.21]{LS}. A representative of the non-trivial element is $x_i = x_i' x_i''$, where
the component

\[ X \in \text{GL}_{m_i}(k) \]

satisfies \( XvX^{-1} = J_{m_i}t^kvJ_{m_i} \), and \( \tilde{X} = J_{m_i}^tX^{-1}J_{m_i} \). Then \( x_i \) normalizes each factor in \( \text{SL}_{m_i}(k)^{a_i} \), centralizes the first \( a_i - 1 \) factors and induces a non-trivial graph automorphism on the last one. Thus, \( \text{Corollary 4.7.} \) Let \( O \in C \) we state two results needed for the application of Lemma 2.3. Indeed, by definition of \( \text{SL}_{m_i}(k) \), \( O \) contains a subrack that is a regular unipotent class in \( \text{SU}_{21} \) and \( \text{Sp}_{2n}(q) \). Then the component \( gug^{-1} \) of \( gug^{-1} \) on \( V \) is regular in \( g\|g^{-1} = J \) and \( O^g \) is a subrack of \( O^g \). \( \text{□} \)

4.2.3. Preliminary results. Before starting the analysis of the various classes, we state two results needed for the application of Lemma 2.3.

\[ \text{Lemma 4.8. Let } O \text{ be a regular unipotent class in either } \text{SL}_n(q), \text{SU}_n(q) \text{ or } \text{Sp}_{2n}(q). \text{ Then there are } x_1, x_2 \in O \text{ such that } (x_1x_2)^2 \neq (x_2x_1)^2. \]

\[ \text{Proof. Let } J = J_n. \]

Case 1. \( \text{SL}_n(q) \) for \( n \geq 2 \). By Remark 2.4 we may assume that \( O \ni x_1 = \left( \begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right) \); then take \( x_2 = Jx_1J^{-1}. \)

Case 2. \( \text{SU}_n(q) \), \( n \) even. By Remark 2.4 we assume that \( O \ni x_1 = (u \ 0 \ v^{-1}) \), with \( u = \left( \begin{array}{cccc} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{array} \right) \) and \( v = \left( \begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{array} \right) \). Let \( x_2 := t'(\text{Fr}_q(x_1)) = t'x_1; \) we claim that \( x_2 \in O \). Indeed, by definition of \( \text{SU}_n(q) \), \( x_2 = Jx_1^{-1}J \in O^{-1} = O \), the last equality by \( \text{TZ} \). Since \( x_1 \) is regular, \( C_{\text{SL}_n(k)}(x_1) \) is contained in the Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices; as \( (x_2x_1x_2)_{21} = 1, x_2x_1x_2 \notin C_{\text{SL}_n(k)}(x_1) \).
Case 3. $\text{SU}_n(q)$, $n$ odd. Let $\xi \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ satisfy $\xi^q + \xi + 1 = 0$. By Remark 2.4, we assume that $O \ni x_1 = \begin{pmatrix} u & \xi v \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, with $u, v$ as in Case 2, $d = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, and $b = (1 \ 0 \ldots \ 0)$. Now take $x_2 := {}^t \text{Fr}_q(x_1) \in O$ and repeat the argument for Case 2.

Case 4. $\text{Sp}_{2n}(q)$, $n \geq 2$. There are 2 regular classes, $O$ and $O'$. Each of them is represented by a triangular matrix whose terms in the upper subdiagonal are $\neq 0$. If $x_1$ is a representative like this, then $x_2 = \sigma \triangleright x_1$, where $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} J_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \text{id}_{2n-4} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & J_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & J_2 \end{pmatrix}$, does the job. □

Lemma 4.9. Let $n > 2$ or $q > 2$ and $O$ a regular unipotent class in $\text{SL}_n(q)$, $\text{SU}_n(q)$, or $\text{Sp}_n(q)$. Then there are $y_1, y_2 \in O$ with $y_1 \neq y_2$, $y_1 y_2 = y_2 y_1$.

Proof. By [TZ, 1.4(ii)] for $\text{SL}_n(q)$ or $\text{SU}_n(q)$, and [Go] for $\text{Sp}_n(q)$, $O = O^{-1}$. If $n > 2$ no regular element is an involution, so $y_1 = y_2^{-1}$ will do. If $n = 2$ and $q > 2$, then take $y_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $y_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \xi \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ for $1 \neq \xi \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$. □

4.2.4. Analysis of the different classes. We now assume that $u \in G$ is unipotent with decomposition (4.2). Let $O$ be an arbitrary class in $\mathcal{C}(G, u)$.

Lemma 4.10. If (4.2) contains $W(4)$, then $O$ is of type D.

Proof. By Lemma 4.6, $O$ contains a subrack isomorphic to the regular class in $\text{SL}_4(q)$. Then Lemma 3.12 applies. □

Lemma 4.11. If (4.2) contains any of these terms, then $O$ is of type F.

(a) $V(2k_j)$ with $k_j > 1$ and $q > 2$.

(b) $W(m_i)$ either with $m_i > 4$, $q > 4$; or else with $m_i = 3$, $q > 8$; or else with $m_i > 4$ even.

Proof. (a): By Corollary 4.7, $O$ contains a subrack isomorphic to a regular class in $\text{Sp}_{2k_j}(q)$; then Lemma 3.10 applies. (b): By Lemma 4.6, $O$ contains a subrack isomorphic to a regular class in $\text{SL}_{m_i}(q)$, or $\text{SU}_{m_i}(q)$ (the last occurs only when $m_i > 1$ is odd); then either Lemma 3.12 or else Proposition 3.8 (b), (c), or (d), apply. □

In the next Lemma, we use that $u_{W(3)}$ is regular in the image of $\text{GL}_3(k)$ via [1.3], hence $O$ may contain a subrack isomorphic to a regular class in a subgroup $\simeq \text{GU}_3(8)$ when appropriate. We do so because the regular unipotent class in $\text{SU}_3(8)$ is not known to be of type D or F.

Lemma 4.12. If (4.2) contains any of these terms, then $O$ is either of type D or else of type F.
contains a subrack isomorphic to the regular unipotent class in $D$. Indeed, there are two classes of this type \cite[Theorem 6.21]{LS}. One class is regular, where $L$ is defined in Lemma 4.14. □

The claim follows by Lemmata 2.3, 4.8 and 4.9.

Proof. Step 1: If (4.2) is of the form $m = 3$, then this class is represented by $L$. We invoke Lemma 3.11. We consider next the second class. Assume first that $q = 2$ and $m_i > 1$ odd. Then Lemma 3.12 or Lemma 3.11 apply. □

Lemma 4.13. If (4.2) contains any of these terms, then $O$ is of type $D$.

(a) $V(2k_i) \oplus V(2k_j)$ with $k_i k_j > 1$ or $q > 2$.
(b) $W(m_i) \oplus V(2k_j)$ with $m_i > 2$; or $m_i = 2$ and either $q > 2$ or $k_j > 1$.
(c) $W(m_i) \oplus W(m_j)$ with either $q > 2$ and $m_i > 1$, $m_j > 1$; or else $q = 2$ and $m_i > 1$ and $m_j > 2$; or else $q = 2$, $m_i > 2$ and $m_j > 1$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.6, $O$ contains a subrack $O_{L_i} \times O_{L_j}$ with each factor regular, where $L_i \times L_j$ in each case is

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\text{O: } \text{Sp}_{2k_j}(q) \times \text{Sp}_{2k_j}(q), \\
\text{O: } \text{SL}_{m_i}(q) \times \text{Sp}_{2k_j}(q), \\
\text{O: } \text{SL}_{m_i}(q) \times \text{SL}_{m_j}(q), \\
\text{O: } \text{SU}_{m_i}(q) \times \text{SU}_{m_j}(q), \\
\end{array} \]

The claim follows by Lemmata 2.3, 4.8 and 4.9.

Lemma 4.14. If $q = 2$ and (4.2) contains a term of the form $W(m_i)$, $m_i > 1$ odd, then $O$ is of type $D$.

Proof. Step 1: If (4.2) is of the form $W(m)$ with $m > 1$ odd, then $O$ is of type $D$. Indeed, there are two classes of this type \cite[Theorem 6.21]{LS}. One class contains a subrack isomorphic to the regular unipotent class in $G_u_m(2)$, and we invoke Lemma 3.11. We consider next the second class. Assume first that $m = 3$. Then this class is represented by $v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = x_{a_1(1)}x_{a_2(1)}$.

Let $s_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $r = s_2 \triangleright v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = x_{a_1 + a_2(1)}x_{-a_2(1)}$,

$s_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \text{id}_2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & \text{id}_2 \end{pmatrix}$, $s = s_3 \triangleright v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = x_{a_1(1)}x_{a_2 + a_3(1)}$.

Then $(rs)^2 \neq (sr)^2$ by (3.2). In addition, $r, s \in P^F$ where $P$ is the standard parabolic subgroup of $G$ associated with the simple root $a_2$ so Remark 6.13.
(1) applies. Assume $m > 3$. Then the class is represented by

$$v = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix} = x_{\alpha_1}(1)x_{\alpha_2}(1) \cdots x_{\alpha_{n-1}}(1).$$

We apply Lemma 2.2 to $P^F$, where $P$ is the standard parabolic associated with the simple roots $\alpha_n, \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_{n-2}$, using the case $m = n = 3$.

\textit{Step 2:} We now prove the Lemma. Let $u_i$ be the component of $u$ in $M_i := \text{Sp}(W(m_i))$. Choosing each representative $x$ of $A(u)$ in $\Xi$ and the corresponding element $g$ as in Lemma 4.6, we have $gM_i g^{-1} = M_i$, and $(gM_i g^{-1})^F = gM_i^{Ad(x)^*F} g^{-1}$. So, $O^G_{gM_i g^{-1}}$ will contain a subrack isomorphic to $O^M_{M_i^{Ad(x)^*F}}$. The component in $M_i$ of each term in $\Xi$ is either trivial or, possibly, $x_i'x''_i$, with notation as in Lemma 4.6. Then, the two possible subracks are isomorphic to those in Case 1, whence the statement. $\square$

\textbf{Remark 4.15.} By the previous Lemmata, it remains to consider the following forms of \textbf{(4.2)}, see Table IV for details:

\begin{align}
q > 2: & \quad V = W(1)^a \oplus W(2), \quad 0 \leq a \\
& \quad V = W(1)^a \oplus V(2), \quad 0 \leq a \\
q = 2: & \quad V = W(1)^a \oplus W(2)^b \oplus V(2)^c, \quad 0 \leq a, b; \quad 0 \leq c \leq 2 \\
& \quad V = W(1)^a \oplus V(2k), \quad 0 \leq a; \quad 1 < k
\end{align}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
$V(2k_j)$ & $W(m_i)$ & $m_i$ & $q$ & Criterium \\
\hline
$k_j > 1$ & - & - & > 2 & F, 4.11 (a) \\
\hline
$> 4$ & - & > 4 & F, 4.11 (b) \\
\hline
& > 4 even & > 4 odd & F or D, 4.12 & 4 \\
\hline
& 3 & > 8 & F, 4.11 (b) & 8, 4 \\
\hline
& > 1 odd & 2 & D, 4.14 & 2 \\
\hline
& $k_i, k_j > 1$ & 2 & D, 4.13 (a) \\
\hline
& $> 2$ & all & D, 4.13 (b) \\
\hline
& 2 & > 2 & D, 4.13 (b) \\
\hline
& > 1 & 2 & D, 4.13 (c) \\
\hline
& $m_i, m_j > 1$ & > 2 & D, 4.13 (c) \\
\hline
& $m_i > 1, m_j > 2$ & 2 & D, 4.13 (c) \\
\hline
& $m_i > 2, m_j > 1$ & 2 & D, 4.13 (c) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Table IV}
\end{table}

Recall the conventions in \textbf{(4.2)} on $a_i, b_j$. 
Lemma 4.16. If \((4.2)\) is of either of the following forms, then \(\mathcal{C}(G,u)\) consists of only one class which is of type \(D\):

(a) \(W(1)^{a_1} \oplus W(2)^{a_2}\) or \(W(2)^{a_2}\), \(a_2 > 1\).

(b) \(W(1)^{a} \oplus W(2)^{a_2} \oplus V(2)^{b_1}\), \(0 \leq a\).

Proof. In all cases \(\mathcal{C}(G,u)\) has only one class \(\mathcal{O}\) by [LS, Theorem 6.21].

(a): Let \(x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\). Let \(v\) be the block diagonal matrix

\[
(x \otimes \text{id}_{a_2}, \text{id}_{2a_1}, J_2^{-1}xJ_2 \otimes \text{id}_{a_2});
\]

then \(v \in \mathcal{O}\) because its decomposition \((4.2)\) is \(W(1)^{a_1} \oplus W(2)^{a_2}\). Now \(v\) lies in the subgroup \(H \cong \text{SL}_n(q)\) of matrices \(\begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & J_n \end{pmatrix}\), with \(y \in \text{SL}_n(q)\). If \(a_2 > 1\), then \(\mathcal{O}\) contains a subrack isomorphic to a unipotent class of type \((2, \ldots, 2)\) \((a_2\) times) in \(\text{SL}_{2a_2}(q)\), and Lemma 3.12 applies.

(b): It is enough to consider \(W(2) \oplus V(2)\). Let \(v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = x_{a_2}(1)x_{2a_1+2a_2+a_3}(1) \in \mathcal{O}\). We set

\[
\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

and

\[
r = (z\sigma) \triangleright v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad s = y \triangleright v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

The discussion in [ACG, 3.1] implies that \(\mathcal{O}_r^{\mathcal{U}} \neq \mathcal{O}_s^{\mathcal{U}}\). A direct computation shows that \((rs)^2 \neq (sr)^2\). \(\square\)

Lemma 4.17. If \((4.2)\) is equal to \(W(1)^{a_1} \oplus W(2)^{a_1} > 1\) then \(\mathcal{C}(G,u)\) consists of only one class which is of type \(F\).

Proof. In all cases \(\mathcal{C}(G,u)\) has only one class \(\mathcal{O}\) by [LS, Theorem 6.21]. It is enough to prove the statement for \(a_1 = 2\). Let \(x\) and \(v\) be as in Lemma 4.16 (a). Then \(v \in \mathcal{O}\) because it has decomposition \((4.2)\) equal to \(W(2) \oplus W(1)^2\). We consider the following elements of \(G\)

\[
\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \omega = \begin{pmatrix} id_2 \ 0 & id_2 \ 0 & id_2 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
and the following elements of \( \mathcal{O} \):

\[
\begin{align*}
    r_1 &= v, \\
    r_2 &= \sigma r_1 = (1, x, id_2, x, 1) \\
    r &= \tau r_2 = (id_2, x \otimes id_2, id_2), \\
    r_3 &= (r_2 \tau) r_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
        1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
        0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
        0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
        1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
        0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0
    \end{pmatrix} \\
    r_4 &= (r_1 r_\omega) r_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
        1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
        0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
        1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
        0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
        0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0
    \end{pmatrix}.
\end{align*}
\]

Then, \( H := \langle r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4 \rangle \subset U^F \) and \( \mathcal{O}^{UF}_{r_i} \neq \mathcal{O}^{UF}_{r_j} \), for \( i \neq j \), hence \( \mathcal{O}^H_i \neq \mathcal{O}^H_j \). A direct computation shows that \( r_i \triangleright r_j \neq r_j \) for \( i \neq j \).

**Lemma 4.18.** Assume \( q > 2 \). If (1.2) is equal to \( W(1) \oplus W(2) \), then \( \mathcal{C}(G, u) \) consists of only one class which is of type \( F \).

**Proof.** There is only one class \( \mathcal{O} \) with (1.2) equal to \( W(2) \oplus W(1) \), which is represented by \( r_1 = id_6 + (e_{2,3} + e_{4,5}) \), [LS] Theorem 6.21.

Let \( \zeta \in F_q^x \setminus 1 \) and let us consider the following elements of \( G \):

\[
    s_1 := \begin{pmatrix}
        0 & 1 & 0 \\
        1 & 0 & 0 \\
        0 & 0 & 1
    \end{pmatrix}, \quad s_2 := \begin{pmatrix}
        1 & 0 & 0 \\
        0 & 1 & 0 \\
        0 & 0 & 1
    \end{pmatrix}, \quad s_3 := \begin{pmatrix}
        id_2 \\
        1 \\
        0
    \end{pmatrix}.
\]

We construct the following elements in \( \mathcal{O} \):

\[
\begin{align*}
    r_2 &= (r_1 s_2 s_1) r_1 = id_6 + (e_{1,2} + e_{5,6}) + (e_{1,3} + e_{4,6}) \\
    r_3 &= ((id_6 + (e_{2,1} + e_{6,5})) s_3 s_1) r_1 = id_6 + (e_{1,4} + e_{3,6}) + (e_{2,4} + e_{3,5}) \\
    r_4 &= (\text{diag}(1, \zeta, 1, 1, \zeta^{-1}, 1)(id_6 + e_{3,4})(id_6 + e_{1,2} + e_{5,6})) r_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
        1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
        1 & 0 & \zeta & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
        1 & 0 & \zeta & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
        1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0
    \end{pmatrix}.
\end{align*}
\]

A direct computation shows that \( r_i \triangleright r_j \neq r_j \) for \( i \neq j \). Moreover, as \( H := \langle r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4 \rangle \subset U^F \subset SL_6(q) \), the usual argument shows that \( \mathcal{O}^H_i \neq \mathcal{O}^H_j \) for \( i \neq j \).

**Lemma 4.19.** If \( q = 2 \) and (1.2) is of the form \( W(1)^a \oplus V(4) \), \( 0 \leq a \), then \( \mathcal{O} \) is of type \( D \).

**Proof.** There are 2 classes like this [LS] Theorems 6.6, 6.12]; both contain a subrack isomorphic to one of the regular classes in \( Sp_4(2) \simeq S_6 \), which corresponds either to the partition \( (4, 2) \) or else to \( (4, 1^2) \). These are of type \( D \) by [APGVI] 4.1.\( \square \)
Lemma 4.20. If $q = 2$ and (4.2) contains the term $V(2k)$, $k \geq 3$, then $O$ is of type D.

Proof. By Corollary 4.7, $O$ contains a subrack isomorphic to one of the two regular unipotent classes in $\text{Sp}_{2n}(2)$. So, we may assume $k = n$ for notational purposes. For both classes, there is a representative $v$ lying in $x_{\alpha_1}(1) \cdots x_{\alpha_n}(1)U', U'$ as in Proposition 3.7. Let $P$ be the standard parabolic subgroup of $G$ corresponding to the simple roots $\alpha_n-1$ and $\alpha_n$, and let $L$ be its standard Levi subgroup, whose derived subgroup is isomorphic to $\text{Sp}_{4}(k)$. Recall the notation in Remark 3.13. Then $v \in U_F<P_F$ and if $v = v_Lv_P$ is its decomposition according to $P_F = L_F \ltimes Q_F$, then $u_L$ is regular unipotent in $L_F$. The result follows from Lemmata 2.2 and 4.19. □

Lemma 4.21. If $q = 2$ and (4.2) is of the form $W(1)^a_1 \oplus V(2)^2$, then $O$ is of type D.

Proof. There is only one class in $\mathcal{C}(G, u)$ by [LS, Theorem 6.21]. We may assume $a_1 = 1$, $n = 3$ as in the proof of Lemma 4.16 (a). Then $O$ is represented by $xy = x_{2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)+\alpha_3}(1)x_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3}(1)$. It contains the subrack $X \times Y$ for $X = O^H_x, Y = O^K_y$ with $H \simeq \text{SL}_2(2)$ being the subgroup corresponding to the root $2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)+\alpha_3$ and $K \simeq \text{Sp}_4(2) \simeq S_6$ the subgroup corresponding to the roots $\alpha_2$ and $\alpha_3$. Since all conjugacy classes of involutions in $S_6$ contain distinct commuting elements, we apply Lemmata 2.3 and 4.8. □

4.3. Proof of Theorem 1.1. We first study classes that do not collapse.

Lemma 4.22. Let $u \in G$ unipotent with partition $(1^{2n-2}, 2)$.

(1) If $q$ is odd and either not a square or 9, then $\mathcal{C}(G, u)$ consists of two cthulhu classes.

(2) If $q$ is even, then $\mathcal{C}(G, u)$ consists of a unique cthulhu class.

Proof. If $q$ is even, the decomposition (4.2) of any element with Jordan form $(1^{2n-2}, 2)$, is necessarily $W(1)^{2n-2} \oplus V(2)$. Thus we have only one conjugacy class in $G$ with this form.

For any $q$, we fix $u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta \end{pmatrix} \times x_{\beta}(1)$, where $\beta \in \Phi^+$ is the highest root. If $q$ is even, $\mathcal{C}(G, u)$ has a unique class by [LS, Theorems 6.6, 6.12]. If $q$ is odd, then, by [LS, Theorem 3.1(v)] and arguing as in Lemma 4.3 $\mathcal{C}(G, u)$ consists of two classes represented by $u$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta \end{pmatrix}$, for $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}^\times_q$ not a square. We show (for any $q$) that every subrack of $O_u^G$ generated by two elements is either abelian or indecomposable, implying
that \( O^G_u \) is cthulhu. The same argument applies to the other class, when \( q \) is odd.

Assume there is \( g \in G \) such that \( v = gug^{-1} \in O^G_u \) and \( uv \neq vu \). We claim that the rack generated by \( u \) and \( v \) is indecomposable. Consider the Bruhat decomposition \( g = ytn_{w_z} \), with \( y, z \in \mathbb{F}^F \), \( t \in T^F \) and \( n_w \in N_G(T) \) with class \( w \in W \). By [3.2], \( u \in Z(\mathbb{F}^F) \), so that \( v = huh^{-1} \) with \( h = ytn_w \). Now the subrack generated by \( u \) and \( v \) is isomorphic to the the subrack generated by \( u = y^{-1}uy \) and \( y^{-1}vy = kuk^{-1} \) with \( k = tn_w \), so we may assume that \( v = kuk^{-1} \). Now a direct computation gives \( v = x_{w\beta}(\eta) \) for some \( \eta \in \mathbb{F}^X_q \) [Matt Theorems 24.10; 8.17(e)]. The assumption \( uv \neq vu \) forces \( w\beta \in -\Phi^+ \) and \( w\beta + \beta \in \Phi \cup \{0\} \). As the root system is of type \( C_n \), this is possible only if \( w\beta = -\beta \). An element in \( N_G(T) \) mapping \( u = x_\beta(1) \) to \( v = x_{-\beta}(\eta) \) is of the form

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & \xi \\
0 & \xi & 0 \\
-\xi^{-1} & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \xi & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \xi^{-1}
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
0 & \text{id}_{2n-2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & -1 & 0
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \text{id}_{2n-2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix} = \beta^Y(\xi)n_\beta Y,
\]

for \( X \in \mathbb{S}_{2n-2}(q) \) and \( \xi \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^\times \). Hence \( \eta = -\xi^{-2} \) and \( Y \) commutes with \( u \).

Let \( H = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in G : ad - bc = 1 \right\} \cong SL_2(q) \). Then \( u, v, \beta^Y(\xi), n_\beta \in H \) and \( v \in O^H_u \). By [ACG Lemma 3.5], \( O^H_u \) is sober, hence the rack generated by \( u \) and \( v \) is indecomposable.

**Remark 4.23.** Let \( q \) be odd, \( u = x_\beta(1) \), \( \mathbb{S}_n = O^G_u \) and let \( u' = x_\beta(\zeta) \), for \( \zeta \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^\times \) not a square. Then \( \mathbb{S}_n \cong O^G_u \) as racks because the outer automorphism of \( G = \mathbb{S}_{2n}(q) \) given by conjugation by the matrix \( \text{diag}(\text{id}_n, \zeta^{-1} \text{id}_n) \) maps \( u \) to \( u' \). Thus for \( q \) even or \( q = 9 \), or \( q \) odd and not a square, we have a family of cthulhu racks \( (\mathbb{S}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \), with \( \mathbb{S}_1 \) the sober rack \( O^G_2 \) with \( x \) nontrivial unipotent. Note that \( \mathbb{S}_n \subset \mathbb{S}_{n+1} \) and

\[
(4.8) \quad |\mathbb{S}_n| = \begin{cases}
\frac{(q^{2n} - 1)}{2}, & \text{if } q \text{ is odd,} \\
(q^{2n} - 1), & \text{if } q \text{ is even.}
\end{cases}
\]

**Lemma 4.24.** If \( q = 2 \) and \((1,2)\) is of the form \( V(2)^2 \), then \( O \) is cthulhu.

**Proof.** There is only one class in \( \mathcal{C}(G, u) \) by [LS Theorem 6.21]. Here \( G^F = \mathbb{S}_{2n}(2) \cong \mathbb{S}_6 \) and \( O \) corresponds to the partition \((1^2, 2^2)\). By [AFGV1 Remark 4.2 (e)], \( O \) is not of type D. We will show that it cannot be of type F either. For \( i \in \mathbb{I}_4 \), let \( r_i \in O \) with \( [r_i, r_j] \neq 1 \) and \( O^{(r_i,r_j)}_{r_i} \neq O^{(r_i,r_j)}_{r_j} \) for \( i \neq j \). Then for every \( i \neq j \), the permutations \( r_i \) and \( r_j \) may not have a 2-cycle in common, and \( (r_i, r_j) \) cannot be contained in a standard
it is an involution, leading to a contradiction. Thus, necessarily have subgroup isomorphic to $S_4$, $S_5$, or $S_3 \times S_3$. If $r_4 = (12)(34)$, then for $i \in \mathbb{I}_3$ we necessarily have $r_i$ either in $A = \{(13)(56), (14)(56), (23)(56), (24)(56)\}$ or in $B = \{(15)(26), (16)(25), (35)(46), (45)(36)\}$. However, if $r_2 \in A$, respectively $B$, then $r_3, r_4$ must lie in $B$, respectively $A$, leading to a contradiction. □

Lemma 4.25. Assume $q$ is even. If \( [12] \) is equal to $W(1)^{a_1} \oplus W(2)$, then $C(G, u)$ consists of only one class $O$ which is not of type $D$. If $a_1 = 1$ and $q = 2$, then $O$ is cthulhu.

Proof. $C(G, u) = \{O\}$ by [LS] Theorem 6.21. We shall prove that for any two elements $r, s \in O$ such that $(rs)^2 \neq (sr)^2$, it holds $O^{(r,s)} = O_s^{(r,s)}$. Let $\gamma = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$ be the highest short root in the root system of $G$. The class $O$ is represented by $r = x_\gamma(1) = \text{id}, e_{1,2n-1} + e_{2,2n}$, which is central in $U^G$ by (3.2) and Table II. Let $s = g \triangleright r \in O$ satisfy $(sr)^2 \neq (rs)^2$ and let $g = u\overline{w}v \in U^G G(T) U^F$ be the Bruhat decomposition of $g$. Then $s = (u\overline{w}) \triangleright r = u\overline{w}x_{w(\gamma)}(\eta)$ for some $\eta \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$. Conjugating by $u^{-1}$ we may assume $s = x_{w(\gamma)}(\eta)$. Now, as $sr \neq rs$, we necessarily have $w(\gamma) \in \{-\gamma, -\varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_k, -\varepsilon_2 \pm \varepsilon_k, k \neq 1, 2\}$. We claim that $w(\gamma) = -\gamma$. Assume indeed that $w(\gamma) \in \{-\varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_k, -\varepsilon_2 \pm \varepsilon_k, k \neq 1, 2\}$. By (3.2), we have $rs\bar{s} \in U_{\gamma + w(\gamma)}$, so it is an involution, leading to a contradiction. Thus, $H := \langle r, s \rangle \simeq \langle \frac{1}{\overline{\delta}}, \frac{1}{\overline{\delta}} \rangle \leq SL_2(q)$.

Since the non-trivial unipotent rack in $SL_2(q)$ is sober, we have the first statement. The second one follows from a computation with GAP. □

Lemma 4.26. Let $G = Sp_4(q)$ for $q$ even and let $O_u^G$ be a class corresponding to $W(2)$. Then $C(G, u)$ contains a unique class which is cthulhu.

Proof. The root system of $G = Sp_4(k)$ is of type $C_2$, so there exists a non-standard graph automorphism $\theta$ interchanging long and short roots [C] 12.1, commuting with $F$. Thus, $\theta$ induces an automorphism on $G^F$ mapping the class of type $W(1)^2 \oplus V(2)$, represented by $x_{a_1}(1)$, onto the class of type $W(2)$, represented by $x_{a_2}(1)$. The claim follows from Lemma 4.22 [2]. □

We next show that the classes not listed in Table I collapse. Let $O$ be a unipotent class in $G$. We summarize in Table V the results in 4.1 proving the claim for $q$ odd.
Assume that $q$ is even. We show how the results in §4.2 imply the claim. By Remark 4.15 we may assume that (4.2) has the form (4.7). For all $q$ even, we have

- $V = W(2)$: cthulhu, Lemma 4.26
- $V = W(1)^a \oplus W(2), 0 \leq a$: cthulhu, Lemma 4.22 (2).

**Case 2 < q even.** The remaining cases are disposed as follows.

- $V = W(1)^a \oplus W(2), 1 \leq a$: type F, Lemmata 4.17 and 4.18.

**Case q = 2.** Here we invoke the following statements.

- $V = W(1)^a \oplus W(2)^b \oplus V(2)^c, 0 < bc$: type D, Lemma 4.16 (3).
- $V = W(1)^a \oplus W(2)^b, 1 < ab$: or $W(2)^b, 1 < b$: type D or F, Lemmata 4.16 (a), 4.17.
- $V = W(1)^a \oplus W(2)^2, 0 < a$: type D, Lemma 4.21
- $V = V(2)^2$: cthulhu, Lemma 4.24
- $V = W(1)^a \oplus V(2k), 0 \leq a, k \geq 2$: type D, Lemmata 4.19, 4.20
- $V = W(2) \oplus W(1)$, cthulhu, Lemma 4.25.
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