Fine Mapping of the Leaf Rust Resistance Gene \textit{Lr65} in Spelt Wheat ‘Altgold’
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Wheat leaf rust (also known as brown rust), caused by the fungal pathogen \textit{Puccinia triticina} Eriks. (Pt), is one by far the most troublesome wheat disease worldwide. The exploitation of resistance genes has long been considered as the most effective and sustainable method to control leaf rust in wheat production. Previously the leaf rust resistance gene \textit{Lr65} has been mapped to the distal end of chromosome arm 2AS linked to molecular marker \textit{Xbarc212}. In this study, \textit{Lr65} was delimited to a 0.8 cM interval between flanking markers \textit{Alt-64} and \textit{AltID-11}, by employing two larger segregating populations obtained from crosses of the resistant parent Altgold Rotkorn (ARK) with the susceptible parents Xuezao and Chinese Spring (CS), respectively. 24 individuals from 622 \textit{F2} plants of crosses between ARK and CS were obtained that showed the recombination between \textit{Lr65} gene and the flanking markers \textit{Alt-64} and \textit{AltID-11}. With the aid of the CS reference genome sequence (IWGSC RefSeq v1.0), one SSR marker was developed between the interval matched to the \textit{Lr65}-flanking marker and a high-resolution genetic linkage map was constructed. The \textit{Lr65} was finally located to a region corresponding to 60.11 Kb of the CS reference genome. The high-resolution genetic linkage map founded a solid foundation for the map-based cloning of \textit{Lr65} and the co-segregating marker will facilitate the marker-assisted selection (MAS) of the target gene.
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INTRODUCTION

Virtually anywhere wheat is cultivated, its production is seriously constrained by fungal pathogens, and most significantly by single or multiple of the three species of rust (Hovmøller et al., 2010), i.e., leaf rust (\textit{Puccinia triticina}); stem rust (\textit{Puccinia graminis f. sp. tritici}); and stripe rust (\textit{Puccinia striiformis f. sp. tritici}). Among these, leaf rust is considered potentially the most disruptive disease due to its more frequent and widespread occurrence in all wheat-growing locations of the world (Roelfs et al., 1992; Bolton et al., 2008; Huerta-Espino et al., 2011). Leaf rust can cause a 15% production reduction and heavy infection can lead to losses of up to 40% (Knott, 1989; McMullen et al., 2008). Over the past decades, outbreaks of rust diseases have occurred in various regions of China, resulting in severe wheat yield reduction (Chen et al., 2018). Although leaf rust can be controlled through foliar fungicide applications, the most effective and eco-friendly way to control
the disease is based on improved varieties containing resistance genes (Keller et al., 2008). However, one of the most frustrating issues in disease resistance breeding is the failure of resistance genes, due to the evolving nature of plant pathogens resulting in new virulent races that can cause disease in formerly resistant wheat varieties. Therefore, it is necessary to search for new diverse effective resistance genes that can be used in wheat breeding programs.

To date, more than 80 leaf rust resistance genes (Lr) have been identified (Singh et al., 2013; Qureshi et al., 2018; Kumar et al., 2021). Roughly half of these genes are from wild relatives of wheat, while the remainder are from cultivated wheat (Marais et al., 2005; Naik et al., 2015; Rani et al., 2020). Wild relatives of wheat provide a huge gene pool of agronomy utility, including genes for rust resistance (Narang et al., 2019). The D genome donor of wheat, *Aegilops tauschii*, has been a rich source of resistance genes (Gill et al., 2019). Leaf rust resistance genes *Lr21*, *Lr32*, and *Lr39* have been transferred from *Ae. tauschii* into bread wheat (Raupp et al., 2001; Huang et al., 2003; Thomas et al., 2010). Tetraploid wheat is another important origin of disease resistance (Singh et al., 2017). *Lr14a*, *Lr23*, and *Lr53* were derived from durum wheat or wild emmer wheat and were used in common wheat breeding (McIntosh et al., 1995; Marais et al., 2005).

Spelt wheat (*Triticum spelta*) is an ancient crop that has been cultivated since 5000 BC (Xie et al., 2015). It is still a minor crop used for bread and fodder in Europe and North America today (Campbell, 1997). Spelt wheat has the same AABBDD genome as common wheat and their hybrids are fertile, facilitating the transfer of desirable genes to common wheat. In addition to genetic variation in protein concentration (Gomez-Becerra et al., 2010), lipid and mineral nutrient contents (Ruibal-Mendieta et al., 2002; Zhao et al., 2009), spelt wheat also shows excellent resistance to wheat rusts. Examples are the wheat yellow rust resistance gene *Yr5* gene, derived from spelt and localized on the long arm of chromosome 2B (Sun et al., 2002; Yan et al., 2003), and the *Lr44* gene in the Spelt variety 7831, located chromosome 1B (Dyck and Sykes, 1994).

Molecular markers have been used extensively in wheat breeding, principally for genetic mapping, marker-assisted selection (MAS), and positional gene cloning (Jost et al., 2020). With the evolution of sequencing technology, marker development has shifted to the sequencing era (Paux et al., 2012). The release of the annotated genome sequence of Chinese Spring (CS) have greatly improved our understanding of the wheat genome and facilitated the efficiency of marker development in wheat (Li et al., 2020).

The spelt wheat Altgold Rotkorn (ARK), a Swiss variety (Pedigree: Oberkulmer/Sandmeier), was first released in 1952. Wang et al. (2010) identified a leaf rust resistance gene *LrAlt* in Altgold and localized it to the distal end of the short arm of chromosome 2A. Mohler et al. (2012) reported the characterization and mapping of the same leaf rust resistance gene *LrARK0*; in ARK. Since *LrAlt* and *LrARK0*; were from the same germplasm and located at the same position, they were designated as *Lr65* (Mohler et al., 2012). In this study, we performed fine mapping of *Lr65* gene by exploring the CS reference genome. Our analysis located *Lr65* gene to a 60.11 Kb region on the IWGSC Ref-Seq v1.0 and identified one most likely candidate gene for *Lr65* in Altgold by comparing genome resequencing data between resistant and susceptible parents. In addition, co-segregating molecular markers were developed for MAS of the target gene.

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant and Pathogen Materials**

Altgold, a spelt wheat cultivar with high resistance to leaf rust, was crossed with two susceptible common wheat lines “Xuezao” and “CS”, and two F$_2$ segregating populations (Xuezao/Altgold and CS/Altgold) were constructed. These two populations were used for the genetic analysis and mapping of leaf rust resistance gene. In all experiments, a susceptible common wheat line of Xuezao was used as a comparison to check for successful inoculation. The *P. triticina* isolate PHT (provided by Institute of Plant Protection, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing, China) was used for the inoculation. PHT was avirulent on Altgold and virulent on Xuezao and CS. The conidia were propagated in the greenhouse on the susceptible plants.

**Plant Growth and Pathogen Infection**

The parental plants of Altgold, Xuezao and CS and F$_2$ populations were tested for leaf rust resistance at seedling stage. The inoculations were initiated when the first leaves were fully unfolded, by spraying 1% Tween-20 aqueous solution as surfactant and then brushing conidia from the susceptible seedlings with sporulating leaf rusts onto the seedlings to be tested. The inoculated seedlings were incubated in dark plastic-covered boxes for 48 h at 15°C and 100% relative humidity and then transferred to greenhouse. 10–14 days after inoculation, infection types (ITs) were scored on a scale of 0–4 (0 = hypersensitive flecks, 1 = small uredinia with necrosis, 2 = moderate size pustules with chlorosis, 3 = moderate-large size uredinia without necrosis or chlorosis, and 4 = large uredinia lacking necrosis or chlorosis) (Stakman et al., 1962). ITs 0–2 represent resistance and ITs 3–4 represent susceptibility.

**DNA Extraction and Quantification**

DNA was extracted from seedlings of the F$_2$ populations and parents Altgold (resistant parent) as well as Xuezao and CS (susceptible parents) using the CTAB method (Maroof et al., 1994). DNA samples were quantified using a NanoDrop One spectrophotometer instrument (Nanodrop Technologies) and diluted to a concentration of 30 ng/µl.

**Resequencing of Resistant Parent Altgold**

To obtain genomic variations between Altgold and CS, we performed whole-genome resequencing of Altgold. Altgold’s whole genome sequencing was performed using the Illumina HiSeq2500 sequencing platform for double-end sequencing. The library construction and sequencing were performed by Beijing Novogene company. The read length of the paired-end sequencing library was 150 bp, the raw sequencing data...
Molecular Marker Development

Lr65 gene had already been mapped distal to marker Xbarc212 on chromosome arm 2AS (Wang et al., 2010). Simple sequence repeats (SSRs) were developed based on the CS reference genome sequence distal to the Xbarc212 locus. Meanwhile, InDels with insert/deletion size > 3 bp were selected from the target interval between Altgold re-sequencing and CS reference genome sequence alignment database for further marker design. InDel polymerase chain reaction (PCR) primers were designed using Primer3Plus, with amplicons sizes ranging from 100 to 500 bp. BatchPrimer3 v1.0 was used to develop SSR markers.

Polymerase Chain Reaction Amplification and Visualization

Polymerase chain reaction amplification was performed in a 10 μL reaction volume containing 6 μL of 2× Tag PCR StarMix with loading dye, 35–120 ng/mL DNA 2 μL, 1 μL of primer (mix of forward and reverse primers, 2 mM) and 1 μL of ddH2O. The thermal profile consists of an initial denaturation step at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 s (denaturation), 50–61°C (depending on the annealing temperature of the specific primer) for 30 s, 72°C for 30 s (primer extension), and a terminal extension at 72°C for 10 min, stored at 4°C. The PCR products were separated by 10% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (acylamide: bisacrylamide = 39:1), and gels were visualized with silver nitrate staining (Bassam et al., 1991).

Linkage Analysis and Map Construction

A chi-square analysis was performed on the leaf rust test data to confirm the goodness of fit of the observed ratios from the F2 populations to the theoretical expected values. The $\chi^2$ analysis was executed in Microsoft Excel (version 2010) using the Bchitest function to calculate $\chi^2$ and $p$-values. The polymorphic markers tested between resistant and susceptible parents were used to genotype 2144 F2 plants. The phenotypic data of disease responses were used for linkage analysis in combination with PCR amplification results. The localization of markers and the target gene is fulfilled based on recombination between markers genotype data and resistance/susceptibility phenotype data. Genetic distances were calculated in centiMorgan (cM).

Physical Mapping and Gene Annotation

The sequences of the two closest flanking markers linked to Lr65 were used as lookups for a searches of the IWGSC RefSeq v1.0 to define the physical interval covering Lr65 locus on CS chromosome 2AS. The gene annotation for the target interval was retrieved from the IWGSC RefSeq v1.0 annotation.

Genomic Comparison Among Multiple Wheat Varieties

The sequence information was obtained from the Triticeae Multiomics Center to obtain sequence information of annotated genes in candidate intervals, and then using the wheat 10 ‐ genome (Walkowiak et al., 2020) for sequence alignment between the genomes of 15 wheat varieties.

RESULTS

Genetic Analysis of the Leaf Rust Resistance Gene Lr65 in Two Segregating Populations

At the seedling stage, the parental lines Xuezao and CS demonstrated a clear susceptible response to the leaf rust isolate PHT with an infection type (IT) score of 3, while Altgold showed a high-level resistant response with an IT score of 0 (Figures 1A,B). The F1 plants and F2 populations of Xuezao/Altgold and CS/Altgold were examined for the responses to the inoculation of the Pt isolate PHT at the seedling stage as well, along with the parents. The F1 plants showed the same approximate immune infection type as the resistant parent Altgold, indicating the complete dominance of the resistance (Figures 1A,B). Of the 1522 F2 plants screened from the Xuezao/Altgold cross, 1130 were resistant and 392 susceptible, fitting the ratio of 3:1 ($\chi^2:3:1 = 0.46, p > 0.05$). In the F2 population derived from cross CS/Altgold, 454 plants were resistant and 168 susceptible ($\chi^2:3:1 = 1.33, p > 0.05$). The segregation of these two populations confirm that the leaf rust resistance in Altgold is controlled by a single dominant gene (Table 1), which is most likely the gene Lr65 (previously known as LrAlt or LrARK0) (Wang et al., 2010; Mohler et al., 2012).

Marker Discovery and Molecular Mapping

Since Lr65 gene has been located on the terminus of the short arm of chromosome 2A and the closest marker to Lr65 is Xbarc212 (Figure 2A) (Wang et al., 2010; Mohler et al., 2012). To further increase the map resolution in the Lr65 region, new markers were developed using various genomic resources. 88 SSR primers were developed based on CS chromosome 2AS reference genome sequence (RefSeq v1.0) and tested on the two parents (Altgold and Xuezao). Four polymorphic markers (Alt-14, Alt-21, Alt-24, and Alt-64) were identified (Table 2). A total of 1522 Xuezao/Altgold F2 plants were genotyped with these four markers, and 47 plants were identified with recombination between the marker loci and the resistance gene. Linkage analysis indicated that the closest marker to Lr65 was Alt-64 with a genetic distance of 0.5 cM. All four markers were on the proximal side to Lr65 and closer than Xbarc212 (Figure 2B).

To obtain markers on the other side of Lr65, we compared the resequencing data of Altgold with the CS reference in the
FIGURE 1 | Phenotype of seedling responses after inoculation with Pt race PHT. (A) Altgold (ARK), Xuezao (Xz), F$_1$ (Xz/Altgold), and typical resistant and susceptible F$_2$ individuals. (B) Altgold (ARK), CS, F$_1$ (CS/Altgold) and resistant and susceptible F$_2$ of individuals.

TABLE 1 | Segregation for leaf rust resistance in the Xuezao/Altgold and CS/Altgold F$_2$ population.

| Cross          | Population | Resistant | Susceptible | Total | $\chi^2$ (3:1) |
|---------------|------------|-----------|-------------|-------|----------------|
| Xuezao/Altgold| F$_2$      | 1130      | 392         | 1522  | $\chi^2 = 0.46, p = 0.49$ |
| CS/Altgold    | F$_2$      | 454       | 168         | 622   | $\chi^2 = 1.33, p = 0.24$ |

FIGURE 2 | Comparison of genetic linkage maps of Lr65 on chromosome 2AS and the corresponding physical location on Chinese Spring RefSeq v1.0. (A) Previous map of Wang et al. (2010). (B) The map of Lr65 in current study based on Xuezao/Altgold F$_2$ population, genetic distances were indicated in cM on the right-hand side. (C) The map of Lr65 in current study based on CS/Altgold F$_2$ population, genetic distances are indicated in cM on the right-hand side. (D) The physical location of markers of Lr65 on the chromosome 2AS of Chinese Spring RefSeq v1.0. The physical distances were shown on the right in Mb.
target region, which corresponds to the most distal 1.16 Mb interval of chromosome 2AS in CS RefSeq v1.0. Based on the Indel variations between the two parents, we designed eighteen Indel markers, two (AltID-10 and AltID-11) of which were tested polymorphic between the parents (Table 2). These two Indel markers and previously developed SSR markers (Alt-21 and Alt-64) were used to genotype 622 F2 plants of the cross CS/Altgold. A genetic linkage map spanning 2.6 cM was constructed using these four markers (Figure 2C). In this map, Lr65 gene is delimited to a genetic interval of 0.8 cM, flanked by markers Alt-64 and AltID-11, with AltID-11 0.2 cM distal to Lr65 and Alt-64 0.6 cM to Lr65 on the proximal side.

When we matched the sequences of Alt-64 and AltID-11 with the genome sequence of CS (IWGSC v1.0), we found that the two markers were spanning an area of about 0.34 Mb (555551–891823) on CS chromosome 2AS (Figure 2D). Based on Altgold’s re-sequencing data matching this 0.34 Mb interval, 11 SSR primers were designed and one more polymorphic marker Alt-92 was found between Altgold and CS (Table 1). After tested among the 24 recombinants previously obtained by screening with the flanking markers Alt-21, Alt-64 and AltID-10, AltID-11, two recombinants were identified between Alt-92 and Lr65. These results showed that the Lr65 locus was located between the markers AltID-11 and Alt-92 (Figure 3).

**Physical Mapping and Gene Annotation of the Lr65 Target Interval**

In order to physically locate Lr65-linking markers, the sequences of all markers which were anchored in the high-resolution gene map were aligned to the CS reference genome sequence. The relative physical positions of these markers were generally consistent with the genetic linkage map (Figure 2). The closest flanking markers AltID-11 and Alt-92 of Lr65 delimitated a 60.11 Kb (555,551–615,668) interval in the CS Reference Genome (RefSeq v1.0). This region encompasses two annotated protein-coding genes, TraesCS2A02G001400 and TraesCS2A02G001500, according to the IWGSC RefSeq v1.0 annotation1 (see text footnote 3) (Figure 3). The two annotated genes were put on NCBI to predict their protein structures, we found that TraesCS2A02G001400 encodes a protein similar to that found in intracellular human pathogens with a conserved regions of internalin_A super family and TraesCS2A02G001500 encodes a typical disease resistance protein (R protein) with a NB-ARC domain at the N-terminal end and three contiguous LRR at the C-terminal end (Supplementary Figure 1 and Table 3). One 3 bp Indel and one SNP were found in the coding sequence of TraesCS2A02G001500 (Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure 3), indicating that these differences may lead to different protein functions, while there is no difference in sequence of TraesCS2A02G001400 between Altgold and CS (Supplementary Figure 2). Therefore, TraesCS2A02G001500 is most likely the candidate gene of Lr65.

**Comparison Among the Genomes of Multiple Wheat Cultivars**

To validate the consistency of collinearity within candidate intervals in multiple wheat varieties, the wheat 10 + genome8 (Walkowiak et al., 2020) was used for comparison between genomes of additional wheat materials. Six wheat varieties (CS, RefSeq1.0, ArinaLrFor, Jagger, Julius, Norin61, Spelt) were identified in which both genes in the candidate interval were matched to chromosome arm 2AS, while three varieties were found matched to the same scaffold (Paragon_scaffold, Weebill_scaffold, and Cadenza_scaffold). The number of genes in these nine wheat varieties was consistent within the candidate interval, and the order of these two genes in these varieties was the same as in CS, with only one reversed (Cadenza_scaffold) (Figure 5). This indicates that the number of genes in the candidate region is uniform in multiple wheat varieties.

**Development of the Diagnostic Marker of Lr65**

Based on the 3-bp Indel in TraesCS2A02G001500 between Altgold and CS, marker 1500-1 was developed and validated on Altgold, Xuezaos, and CS and the key recombinants (A25, A211, A321, and A523) (Table 2 and Figure 6). The test result indicated marker 1500-1 was co-segregating with Lr65 gene (Figure 3).

In order to confirm the usefulness of this Lr65 co-segregating marker in breeding, we tested marker 1500-1 on other 18 different Chinese wheat cultivars, we found that the PCR product size of marker 1500-1 in Altgold containing Lr65 was unique and not detected in the other cultivars (Supplementary Figure 4); therefore, marker 1500-1 is diagnostic for selection of Lr65 gene. Then we screened the marker 1500-1 in two other populations of F1 progenies of crosses “Xuebao/Altgold//Shiyou 20” and “Xuebao/Altgold//Zhongmai 1062” and found that the marker was 100% associated with the leaf rust resistance (Figure 7 and Supplementary Figure 4). Since the resistant plants were the results of combining of Lr65 with the susceptible alleles of Shiyou 20 and Zhongmai 1062, these plants all showed the heterozygous banding of marker 1500-1.

**DISCUSSION**

In addition to Lr65, four wheat leaf rust resistance genes was located on the short arm of chromosome 2A, including Lr17, Lr37, and Lr45 (McIntosh et al., 2008; Prasad et al., 2020). Lr11 was previously located on chromosome 2AS (Soliman et al., 1964), but recent studies have shown that Lr11 is located distal to chromosome 2DS (Darino et al., 2015). The gene Lr17 has two resistance alleles, Lr17a and Lr17b (Dyck and Kerber, 1977; Singh et al., 2001). Lr17a was flanked by marker Xgwm614 (distal) and Xgwm407 (proximal), while marker Xgwm636 was distal to Xgwm614 (Bremenkamp-Barrett et al., 2008). Lr65 (LrAlt) is mapped distal to Xgwm636 (Wang et al., 2010). Gene Lr37 is located within a fragment of Ae. ventricosa (Tausch) Cess. chromosome 2NS translocated to bread wheat chromosome 2A5, and genetic mapping analysis showed that the 2NS translocation

---

1 [http://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/wrpsb.cgi](http://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/wrpsb.cgi)
2 [https://wwwblast.ipk-gatersleben.de/wheat_ten_genomes/](https://wwwblast.ipk-gatersleben.de/wheat_ten_genomes/)
TABLE 2 | The primer sequences used in this study.

| Marker   | Forward primer (5′–3′) | Reverse primer (5′–3′) | Marker type | Product size (bp) | Physical position (bp) |
|----------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| AltID-10 | CATCACCTTTGTCTCATCCA   | CTATAACCCTGGCCCTTTAATA | Indel       | 153              | 517281 – 517434       |
| AltID-11 | AGAGGCTATGGGATTGAGTAG  | CGGCATTAAAGTGCACTATCA  | Indel       | 249              | 555551 – 555800       |
| Alt-92   | GTCCCTCTCAAGTTCCATCC   | GTGAAAACCATGGTTGCAAAG  | SSR         | 206              | 615688 – 615874       |
| Alt-64   | AATACATCACCACCGACTCT   | CGATTCTACCTTTACTGACT   | SSR         | 173              | 891823 – 891996       |
| Alt-21   | GTAAAATAGAGGAGGAGGTTGAA| CAGTTAGAAGGAGGAGGAGGAG| SSR         | 144              | 1166551 – 1166496     |
| Alt-24   | ACCCAATGCGATGTATCTTAT  | CTGTTGAATGGTGAACCAACA | SSR         | 135              | 1227798 – 1227933     |
| Alt-14   | GCAGAAGAGGAAAGAGAAAG   | CCTAGACAGCAACACTGTTGA  | SSR         | 152              | 1398861 – 1310013     |
| 1500-1   | ATTCATGCGTGTCATCTTT    | GCACCTCTTTTGTGTTG      | Indel       | 108              | 583323 – 582343       |

*The marker Xbarc212 was used in a previous study (Wang et al., 2010).*

TABLE 3 | Candidate genes in the most distal 60.11kb region of 2AS.

| No. | Gene ID  | Start position of the gene (bp) | Length of gene (bp) | Gene annotation | Conservative regions |
|-----|----------|----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| 1   | TraesCS2A02G001400 | 562911                          | 2915                | found in the intracellular human pathogen internalin_A super family |   |
| 2   | TraesCS2A02G001500 | 580888                          | 4532                | Disease resistance protein | NB-ARC and LRR |

*Gene ID and positions were fetched from the URGI website (https://urgi.versailles.inra.fr/) and as per IWGSC gene annotation v1.0.*

FIGURE 3 | Fine mapping of Lr65 and two annotated genes in the target interval on the Chinese spring reference genome. The phenotypes and genotypes of six F$_2$ recombinants are displayed. The code and phenotype of each individual were put on the left and right sides, respectively. Black, white and gray blocks represent the genomic regions of Altgold, CS, and heterozygotes, respectively.

replaced about half of the short arm of chromosome 2A (Helguera et al., 2003). The gene Lr45 is from rye chromosome 2R translocated to wheat chromosome 2A (Zhang et al., 2006). According to the above information, we conclude that Lr65 is a unique leaf rust resistance gene.

Previously Lr65 was mapped distal to the closest marker Xbarc212 on wheat chromosome 2AS (Wang et al., 2010; Mohler et al., 2012). In this study, using two large F$_2$ segregating populations of crosses Xuezao/Altgold and CS/Altgold, we fine mapped Lr65 and narrow down it between markers AltID-11 and Alt-92, corresponding to the 60.11 Kb (555,551–615,668) interval according to the CS Reference Genome.

The gene fine mapping involved developing more polymorphic markers covering the genetic interval of the
target gene. With the release of whole genome Reference sequence of CS, development of polymorphic markers associated with a target gene is becoming easier. The process of fine mapping of \textit{Lr65} illustrates the effectiveness of the reference genome information and the resequencing data of the specific parental lines for the guided development of markers to target genes. Our work also demonstrate the advantage of using different crosses in the genetic mapping. Even though additional closer markers to \textit{Lr65} were found using the Xuezao/Altgold F\textsubscript{2} population, all were on one side to the target gene. When we changed to the CS/Altgold population, the target gene was successfully delimitated by flanking markers and narrow down to a shorter interval.

In the 60.11-Kb interval that contains \textit{Lr65} locus on CS 2AS, there are two protein-coding genes annotated, \textit{TraesCS2A02G001400} and \textit{TraesCS2A02G001500}, according to the IWGSC RefSeq v1.0 annotation (see text foot note 3). Sequence analysis showed no difference in \textit{TraesCS2A02G001400} between the resistant and susceptible parents (Altgold, CS and Xuezao). However, we found two sequence variations (one 3-bp Indel and one SNP) between Altgold and CS in the coding region of \textit{TraesCS2A02G001500}. One marker was developed
FIGURE 7 | Marker-assisted selection of Lr65 with diagnostic marker 1500-1. (A) Selection of Lr65 in “Xuexao/Altgold/Shiyou 20” population. 1: a resistant progeny of Xuexao/Altgold, 2: Shiyou20, 3–14: the resistant plants among the progenies. (B) Selection of Lr65 in “Xuexao/Altgold/Zhongmai 1062” population. 1: a resistant progeny of Xuexao/Altgold, 2: Zhongmai1062, 3–14: the resistant plants among the progenies. M is marker.

to tag the 3-bp Indel variation between the parents and found to be co-segregating with Lr65. TraesCS2A02G001500 was predicted to encode a protein with nucleotide binding sites and multiple leucine-rich repeats (NBS-LRR), the typical structures of disease resistance genes (R genes). Many cloned wheat rusts resistance genes are found to encode NBS-LRR proteins, including leaf rust resistance genes (Lr1, Lr10, Lr21, and Lr22) (Feuillet et al., 2003; Huang et al., 2003; Hiebert et al., 2007; Qiu et al., 2007), stripe rust resistance genes (Yr5 and Yr10) (McGrann et al., 2014; Yuan et al., 2018), and stem rust resistance genes (Sr22, Sr33, Sr35, Sr45, and Sr50) (Saintenac et al., 2013; Periyannan et al., 2014; Casey et al., 2016; Saur et al., 2019; Md Hatta et al., 2020). Our results suggest that TraesCS2A02G001500 might be the candidate gene of Lr65. The works to verify the disease resistance function of the Altgold allele of TraesCS2A02G001500 are underway. However, there is still a chance that the sequence corresponding to Lr65 is absent in CS genomic sequence. If so, we need to construct a genomic library of Altgold and to clone Lr65 by physical mapping of contigs. The closest flanking and co-segregating markers developed in our present study will greatly aid the map-based cloning of Lr65.

Spelt is genetically distant from common wheat and with a high degree of genetic variation unexploited (Würschum et al., 2017; Akel et al., 2018). Lr65 was first identified in spelt wheat and not being widely used in common wheat breeding. In addition to the resistance to the Chinese isolate PHT as in this study, Lr65 was resistant to many Australia and Germany P. triticina isolates (Mohler et al., 2012). Utilization of Lr65 will help to diversify the resistance genes in common wheat breeding and help to protect wheat production. However, due to the evolution of new virulent pathogen isolates, major disease resistance genes are prone to lose their effectiveness when deployed alone. Mohler et al. (2012) had reported the existence of virulent pathotypes for Lr65. The Lr65 gene was recommended to be used in combination with other resistance genes for the protection against leaf rust. The co-segregating marker we developed in present study would be helpful to pyramid Lr65 with other resistance genes.
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