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A filtering method is introduced for solving the zero-range three-boson problem. This scheme permits to solve the original Skorniakov Ter-Martirosian integral equation for an arbitrary large ultraviolet cut-off and avoiding the Thomas collapse of the three particles. The method is applied to a more general zero-range model including a finite background two-body scattering length and the effective range. A cross-over in the Efimov spectrum is found in such systems and a specific regime emerges where Efimov states are long-lived.
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INTRODUCTION

In bosonic systems where two-body scattering is resonant, Efimov predicted in the early 70's the existence of shallow trimers with a spectrum characterized by a discrete scaling symmetry and an accumulation point at zero energy [1]. The first clear evidence of such states occurred in ultra-cold atomic systems [2-5], where fine control of the resonant behavior through a Feshbach resonance (FR) mechanism is possible [6]. The few-body problem which was originally deeply linked to nuclear physics is now a hot topic in ultra-cold physics [7-9] and plays a significant role also in the search for highly correlated many-body states [10-12]. While experiments unambiguously confirm general properties of Efimov physics [13], deviations from universal predictions are observed supporting the interest in using detailed approaches like the one in Ref. [14] or including a microscopic description of the FR mechanism with a coupling between atoms (in the 'open channel') and molecules (in the 'closed channel') as in Refs. [15,16]. In the latter references, the finite width of the magnetic FR and the 'background' scattering length (denoted \(\Delta B\) and \(a_{bg}\), respectively) appear as key parameters for the low energy scattering amplitude which plays a central role in three-body properties [16,17]. At the two-body level, two-channel models permit the expression of the scattering length (denoted \(a\)) as a function of the external magnetic field \(B\) in the vicinity of a given resonance located at \(B = B_0\) with:

\[
a = a_{bg} \left( 1 - \frac{\Delta B}{B - B_0} \right).
\]

(1)

The magnetic width can also be characterized by a length \(R^*\) hereafter referred as the 'width radius' and defined by \(R^* = \hbar^2 / (ma_{bg} \delta \mu \Delta B)\), where \(\delta \mu\) is the difference in magnetic moment for an atomic pair in the open- and in the closed-channel [18]. In the limit of an asymptotically narrow resonance, the width radius takes a large value as compared to the range of the interparticles forces (denoted by \(b\)) and defines a low energy scale. In this peculiar regime it is possible to determine Efimov physics and deviations from universality (in the intermediate detuning regime) both in terms of \(a\) and \(R^*\) only [18,19]. Recently, it has been shown that the superposition of an external electric field permits to tune the background scattering length \(a_{bg}\) and thus the width radius \(R^*\) [20] which makes possible study of low energy three-body properties as a function of these quantities.

Following this idea, a zero-range model generalizing the universal theory of broad resonances and the effective range approach of ultra-narrow resonances is solved in what follows. This modeling is especially pertinent in situations where each of the three parameters \((a, a_{bg}, R^*)\) of the FR are large (in absolute value) with respect to the range \(b\) which is of the order of the van der Waals radius given by \((mc_0/\hbar^2)^{1/4}\) (where \(c_0\) is the van der Waals coefficient of the interatomic potential). In this model, the so-called three-body parameter (denoted \(\kappa^*\)) which characterizes the high momentum of the three-body wave function, is introduced by means of a nodal condition. While keeping the simplicity of the zero-range approach, this 'filtering' method of physical solutions permits avoiding the Thomas collapse [21,22]. In the limit of broad resonances, the nodal condition implemented in the original Skorniakov Ter-Martirosian (STM) equation (Eq.(12) in Ref. [23]) through a simple subtraction scheme permits recovery of the results of the 'universal theory'. In the limit of asymptotically narrow resonances \((R^* \to \infty)\), a decoupling occurs between shallow states of the effective range theory [18,19] and deeper states depending on \(\kappa^*\). Between the ultra-narrow and the infinitely broad resonance limits, the Efimov spectrum exhibits a crossover involving these two families of states. In the regime of large positive background scattering length and width radius, the model supports a shallow dimer state. In this situation, Efimov trimers of lowest energy are quasi-bound states and manifest themselves as asymmetric resonance peaks in atom-dimer scattering which are characteristic of Fano resonances [24]. This result confirms the scenario introduced in Nuclear physics for the compound system made of two neutrons interacting with one \(^{18}\text{C}\) nucleus [25].
I. MODEL FOR TWO INTERACTING PARTICLES

The zero-range approach used hereafter is based on the expression of the low energy two-body $s$-wave scattering phase shift (denoted $\delta(k)$) or equivalently of the scattering amplitude (denoted by $f(k)$), obtained from the limit of zero potential range $(\hbar b \rightarrow 0)$ of realistic models \cite{17, 20, 26} or directly from a zero-range diagrammatic approach (see Eq. (2) in Ref. \[16\]). In this limit, the scattering phase shift (related to the scattering amplitude by $f(k) = k \cot \delta(k) - ik$) at collisional momentum $k$ is:

$$\cot \delta(k) = -\frac{1}{ak} - \frac{R^*(1 - \frac{a_{bg}}{a})^2 k}{R^* a_{bg}(1 - \frac{a_{bg}}{a}) k^2 + 1}. \quad (2)$$

Equation (2) can also be obtained in the low momentum limit $(kb \ll 1)$ of the models in Refs. \[17, 20\], and is thus the basic object which describes the interparticle interaction for low energy process \cite{27}. For a vanishing background scattering length, Eq. (2) coincides with the effective range approximation used in Refs. \[18, 19\]. Systems studied hereafter are translation invariant, hence the zero-range approach is expressed directly in the momentum representation in the same manner as in Refs. \[28, 29\]. In the center of mass frame, the wave function of the two interacting particles of mass $m$ at colliding energy $E_{col} = \hbar^2 k_{col}^2 / m$ satisfies

$$\left(\frac{\hbar^2}{m} k^2 - E_{col}\right) \langle k|\Psi \rangle = \frac{4\pi \hbar^2 S_{\Psi}}{m}, \quad (3)$$

where $k$ is the relative momentum. The zero-range interaction appears as a source term in the right hand side of Eq. (3) and the source amplitude (denoted $S_{\Psi}$) is obtained from a contact condition in the configuration space which reduces to an integral equation in the momentum space

$$\text{Reg}_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-k^2 \epsilon^2} \langle k|\Psi \rangle = \frac{k_{col} S_{\Psi}}{\tan \delta(k_{col})}, \quad (4)$$

where the operator $\text{Reg}_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}$ extracts the regular part of the integral in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. In the regime where $R^*$ is vanishingly small, the condition expressed in Eq. (4) is equivalent to the Bethe-Peierls contact condition introduced in pioneering works on the deuteron \cite{30}.

II. THREE INTERACTING BOSONS

A. Filtering the generalized Skorniakov Ter-Martirosian equation

The formalism is now applied to three identical bosons of mass $m$ at negative energy $(E)$ in the center of mass frame. The wave function of the three particles labeled $i (i \in \{1, 2, 3\})$ with respective momentum $k_i$ factorizes as $\left(2\pi\right)^3 \delta(\sum_k k_i) \psi(k_1, k_2, k_3)$ and

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{k_i^2}{2} + q^2\right) \psi(k_1, k_2, k_3) = 4\pi \sum_{i=1}^3 \phi(k_i), \quad (5)$$

where $q$ is a positive wavenumber defined by $E = -\hbar^2 q^2 / m$ and $\phi(k_i)$ is the source amplitude associated with the pair $(jk)$ $(i, j, k$ are distinct labels). The contact condition in Eq. (4) applied for the pair (23) with the colliding energy $E_{col} = E - \frac{\hbar^2 k_{col}^2}{m} < 0$ gives the integral equation satisfied by the source amplitude:

$$\frac{\phi(k)}{f(iq_{col})} = 8\pi \int \frac{d^3u}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\phi(u)}{u^2 + k^2 + k.u + q^2}, \quad (6)$$

where $q_{col} = \sqrt{q^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{m}}$ is the imaginary colliding momentum. Equation (6) is a generalization of the STM equation (corresponding to the case $R^* = a_{bg} = 0$). It was first derived by Massignan and Stoof (Eqs. (1) and (2) in Ref. \[16\]) and follows from the zero-range limit of the generalized STM equation in Ref. \[17\]. From these two references, $\phi(k)$ can be interpreted as a dressed (atom $\otimes$ closed channel molecule) wave function (the molecule in the closed channel is not described by the STM model is self-adjoint if one filters eigenfunctions as $(2$-wave sector. In this limit, the scattering amplitude coincides with the pair $(jk)$ $(i, j, k$ are distinct labels).

Equation (7) supports the discrete scaling symmetry found by Efimov and the binding wavenumbers verify:

$$q_n = k^* e^{-n\pi / s_0}, \quad (8)$$

where $s_0 \approx 1.00624$. Danilov showed that the STM model is self-adjoint if one filters eigenfunctions by fixing the linear combination of the two conjugate solutions as $k \rightarrow \infty$ with an asymptotic phase shift. However the spectrum obtained from this filtering procedure is not bounded from below \cite{22}; this is the Thomas collapse \cite{21} analog to the falling of a particle in a $1/r^2$ attractive potential \cite{1}. In the present work, instead of the Danilov’s phase-shift filtering, a nodal condition is used which permits getting a finite minimal energy in the spectrum. This method is based on the expression of the source amplitude at unitarity $(i.e. R^* = 0$ and $|a| = \infty)$, which is given, up to a normalizing constant by \cite{19}:

$$\phi(k) = \frac{1}{k \sqrt{q^2 + 3k^2 / 4}} \sin \left[ s_0 \arcsinh \left( \frac{k \sqrt{3}}{2q} \right) \right]. \quad (7)$$
where \( n \) is an integer and \( \kappa^* \) is the three-body parameter. At a large momentum, one obtains:

\[
\phi(k) \sim \frac{1}{k} \sin \left[ \frac{s_0}{k^2} \ln \left( \frac{k\sqrt{3}}{\kappa^*} \right) \right],
\]

which gives the relation between the three-body parameter and the Danilov’s phase shift. For \( R^* = 0 \), the spectrum of the zero-range (or universal) theory is invariant in the transformation \( \kappa^* \to \kappa^* \exp(\pi/s_0) \) showing that \( \kappa^* \) is not unique. However, for interatomic forces of finite range \( b \), the binding wavenumber \( q_0 \) in Eq. (8) is bounded from below by \( 1/b \). In what follows, \( \kappa^* \) is chosen to be of the order of \( 1/b \) meaning that the scaling law in Eq. (8) is supposed to be valid for \( n \geq 0 \). Unphysical solutions of Eq. (6) are characterized by a large binding wave number \( \kappa^* \) and are displayed in Fig. (1). This figure illustrates the unphysical eigenfunctions of the STM equation by imposing the nodal condition:

\[
\phi(k_{\text{reg}}) = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad k_{\text{reg}} = \frac{\kappa^*}{3} e^{\pi/s_0}. \tag{10}
\]

That way the spectrum obtained from the STM equation at unitarity has a minimum energy \( E_0 \approx -\hbar^2 \kappa^*/m \) (with a relative error given by \( 2 \exp(-2\pi/s_0) \approx 3.8 \times 10^{-3} \)) and for \( E_n > E_0 \), it coincides asymptotically \( \text{(i.e. for large } n \) \) with the Efimov spectrum of the ‘universal theory’ \[19\, 20\].

In the regime where \( 1/a \neq 0 \) and/or \( (ab_g \neq 0, R^* \neq 0) \), the three-body parameter \( \kappa^* \) characterizes the high-momentum behavior of the eigenstates \( \text{(i.e. the Danilov’s phase-shift)} \) given by Eq. (3) which is a supplementary and necessary contact condition at the three-body level. In this regime of parameters, at least if a trimer exists, the binding wave numbers no longer verify the scaling law from the high momentum behavior of the solution of the generalized STM equation as some similarity to that used in numerical computations there always exists a large but finite high momentum cut-off \( \Lambda^* \) from the exact values of Eq. (8) by a relative error of less than \( 10^{-5} \).

One can verify in numerical solutions of Eq. (11), that results are invariant in a change of the UV cut-off \( \Lambda^* \) of the integral term for \( \Lambda^* \gg \kappa^* \). As a benchmark, the source amplitude \( \phi_s(k) \) has been computed at unitarity \( (|a| = \infty, R^* = 0) \) from Eq. (11) for the eight first trimers (including the ground state). The computation has been performed with the UV cut-off \( \Lambda^* = 5 \times 10^2 \times \kappa^* \). The function \( \sin \{\phi_0 \arcsinh[k\sqrt{3}/(2q)]\} \) appearing in the exact solution Eq. (8) has been superimposed and is not distinguishable from the functions obtained by solving Eq. (11).

![FIG. 1: Functions \( k/q \sqrt{1 + 3k^2/(4q^2)} \phi_0(k/q) \) obtained at unitarity \( (|a| = \infty, R^* = 0) \) from Eq. (11) for the eight first trimers (including the ground state). The computation has been performed with the UV cut-off \( \Lambda^* = 5 \times 10^2 \times \kappa^* \).](image)
traction scheme has been also introduced for the atom-
dimer scattering equation [see Eq. (15)] in the framework
of the effective field theory. In the latter references, the
renormalization is done at zero energy with the atom-
dimer scattering length as the input parameter and this
regularizing method does not refers to a nodal condition.
Interestingly, this subtraction method was used in Ref. [35]
to evaluate linear effective range corrections to the uni-
versal predictions near resonance (|a| ≫ b) in the regime
where the effective range and b are of the same order.
In Ref. [16] another regularizing technique was used:
a supplementary effective range term −R^*_{fit} k
was introduced in the two-body phase shift of Eq. (2)
with a fitting parameter R^*_{fit} of the order of R^*, thus
modifying the two-body low energy properties in the regime
of a large width radius [36].

B. Crossover in the trimer’s spectrum

The present model can be used to exemplify the
crossover in the Efimov spectrum as one varies the two
parameters (a_{bg}, R^*) going from an infinitely broad FR
(R^* = 0) to an asymptotically narrow FR (R^* = ∞).
To focus the discussion on this issue, in what follows the
model is solved at resonance (|a| = ∞). For a negative
background scattering length (a_{bg} < 0), there is no
dimer, and the results are displayed in Fig. (2). This
figure shows the crossover between two types of Ef-

mov spectra, each supporting the discrete symmetry of
scaling factor e^{π/σ_0} but having different ground states.
The first one is the spectrum of the effective range ap-
proach [18] characterized by a ground state binding wave
number q_0 = κ^* e^{-π/σ_0} where κ^* ≃ 2.65/R^*. The
second is the spectrum of a broad resonance where
q_0 = κ^*. For a vanishingly small background scattering

FIG. 2: Trimers’ spectrum of Eq. (11) at resonance
(|a| = ∞) and for a_{bg} < 0. (a): Binding wavenumbers q
(continuous lines) as a function of a_{bg} for a constant and
large value of the width radius R^*; vertical dashed lines
represent the thresholds a_{bg}κ^* ≃ −1.51 e^{nπ/σ_0}, n = 0, 1, 2.
This figure illustrates the crossover from a narrow FR
(R^*κ^* ≫ 1) to a shape resonance (|a_{bg}|κ^* is large). (b): Bind-
ing wavenumbers as a function of the width radius for a
constant and large value of |a_{bg}|κ^*. This figure illustrates
the crossover from a broad FR (R^*κ^* ≪ 1) to a narrow FR
superimposed on a shape resonance.

FIG. 3: Eigenfunctions k^2ϕ_n(k) for the deepest trimers
states obtained after normalization of Eq. (11) for a_{bg}κ^* = −10^2
and R^*κ^* = 10^4. Solid lines: eigenstates with a binding wavenumber
given approximately by the spectrum of a narrow reso-
nance [q_0 ≈ κ^* e^{(π/σ_0)}]. Dashed lines: eigenstates with a
binding wavenumber given approximately by the spectrum
of a broad resonance [q_0 ≈ κ^* e^{(π/σ_0)}]. Dotted vertical
line: position of the node imposed by Eq. (10).

length (|a_{bg}| → 0) and a large width radius, the spectrum
obtained from Eq. (11) coincides with the first one. As
|a_{bg}| grows at a fixed and large value of the width radius
[Fig. (2a)], deeper 'broad-type' trimers (q ≫ κ^*) appear
in the spectrum and 'narrow-type' states evolve gradually
towards the 'broad type' states. Interestingly by notic-
ing that the high momentum behavior of the scattering
amplitude is given by:

$$\frac{1}{f(k)} = -\frac{1}{a_{bg}} - ik + O(k^{-2}),$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(13)}$$

one can deduce from the universal theory the threshold
of apparition of the 'deepest' trimer by using the formal
substitution \(a \leftrightarrow a_{bg}\): κ^* a_{bg} = −1.51 (value of κ^*a^* in
Ref. [13, 18, 29]). Second and third thresholds for the
apparition of the two others 'deep' trimers in Fig. (2a)
are deduced from the first threshold value by the discrete
symmetry of scaling factor e^{π/σ_0}. As shown in Figs. (3)
'broad type' states are located in a momentum region
k ≳ 1/|a_{bg}| (this is a consequence of Eq. (13)) and
'narrow type' states are located in the region k ≲ κ^*.
Therefore, they experience a very small coupling and this
explains the tiny avoided crossings in Fig. (2a).
the same. Eigenfunctions are well separated, eigenfunctions share
the same. Figure 4 shows that even in this regime where 'broad type' and 'narrow
type' eigenstates: the deepest one of the 'broad type'
are located in the momentum region \( k \gtrsim 1/|a_{bg}| \), those of the
'narrow type' are located in the momentum region \( k \lesssim \kappa^* \).

![FIG. 4: Same as Fig. (3), but for \( a_{bg} \kappa^* = -10^2 \) and \( R^* \kappa^* = 10^6 \). In this regime, characterized by the inequality \( R^* \gg |a_{bg}| \), there is a clear separation of scale for the two type of eigenstates: the deepest one of the 'broad type' are located in the momentum region \( k \gtrsim 1/|a_{bg}| \), those of the 'narrow type' are located in the momentum region \( k \lesssim \kappa^* \).

![FIG. 5: Absolute value of the eigenfunctions \( |\phi(k)| \) in Fig. (4) \( (a_{bg} \kappa^* = -10^2, R^* \kappa^* = 10^6) \) in logarithmic scale. The figure, shows that even in this regime where 'broad type' and 'narrow type' eigenfunctions are well separated, eigenfunctions share the same 0 at \( k = k_{reg} \). 'Narrow type' eigenstates experience a \( k^{-4} \) power law in the region \( 1 \ll kR^* \ll \sqrt{R^*/|a_{bg}|} \).

C. Fano-Efimov resonances

In the case of a positive background scattering length \( a_{bg} > 0 \), the model supports a dimer with a binding wave number \( q_{dim} = (1 + \sqrt{1 + 4a_{bg}/R^*})/2a_{bg} \). For \( q > q_{dim} \), one finds eigenstates of the 'broad type'. For \( q < q_{dim} \) there is an atom-dimer continuum, and in what follows Eq. (11) is solved for a s-wave atom-dimer scattering process. For an incoming atom-dimer plane wave of momenta \( k_0 \), the wavenumber \( q \) is given by \( q = \sqrt{q_{dim}^2 - 3k_0^2/4} \) and the s-wave scattering ansatz is:

\[
\phi(k) = 2\pi^2 \frac{\delta(k - k_0)}{k_0^2} + \frac{4\pi q(k)}{k^2 - k_0^2 - i0^+}.
\]  

One recognizes in Eq. (14) the s-wave atom-dimer scattering amplitude defined by \( f_{ad}(k_0) = g(k_0) \). Injecting Eq. (14) in Eq. (9), one obtains:

\[
K_q(k, k_0) = \frac{1}{2k_0^2} \left[ 1 + \frac{4q(k)}{q_{dim}(1 - \frac{|a_{bg}|}{\kappa^*})} \right] + \mathcal{P} \int_0^{\infty} du \frac{K_q(k, u)}{u^2 - k_0^2} g(u) = \frac{3g(k)}{8(q_{dim} + q_{col})} \left[ 1 + \frac{(1 + \frac{q}{q_{dim}})(1 - \frac{1}{q_{dim}})}{R^*a_{bg}(1 - \frac{|a_{bg}|}{\kappa^*})q_{col} - 1} \right].
\]  

In Eq. (15), the integral is performed in the

![FIG. 6: Square modulus of the s-wave atom-dimer scattering amplitude in the resonant regime \((|a| = \infty, a_{bg} > 0)\) as a function of the wave number \( q = \sqrt{q_{dim}^2 - 3k_0^2/4} \), where \( k_0 \) is the collisional momentum and \( q_{dim} \) is the dimer's binding wave number. The plot is in units of \( \kappa^* \). (a): \( R^* \kappa^* = 10^4 \) and \( a_{bg} \kappa^* = 5 \times 10^4 \); dashed vertical lines: 'narrow-state' spectrum from Refs. [18, 19]. (b): Details of the resonant peak at \( q = q_0 \) in Fig. (a); stars: results of the computation; solid line: fit using the Fano profile. (c): \( R^* \kappa^* = 10^4 \) and \( a_{bg} \kappa^* = 5 \times 10^4 \); dashed vertical lines: spectrum of the universal theory [1, 13].

sense of Cauchy principal value and the filtered equation is obtained by making the substitution \( K_q(k, v) \to K_q(k, v) - K_q(k_{reg}, v) \). As shown in Fig. 6, the atom-dimer scattering amplitude obtained after regularization of Eq. (15) supports a series of peaks as a function of the wavenumber \( q \) at momenta \( q_{dim} \) related by the Efimov scaling factor \( e^{\pi/\alpha_0} \). In the regime where the peaks are well separated, their profile are typical of Fano resonances which can be parameterized by the following expression [24]:

\[
|f(k_0)|^2 = |f_0|^2 \frac{(q_F + \epsilon)^2}{1 + \epsilon^2},
\]  

(16)
where $q_F$ is the ‘profile parameter’ which is related to the asymmetry of the peak, $\epsilon = 2(q^2 - q_{\text{trim}}^2) / \gamma$ is the reduced energy, $q_{\text{trim}}$ is the binding wave number of the excited Efimov state, and $\gamma$ is the width of the resonance. Interestingly, for a fixed value of $(a_{bg}, R^*)$ the peaks computed in Fig. (4) are characterized approximately by the same ratio $\gamma / q_{\text{trim}}^2$. As expected, in the limit where $R^* \gg a_{bg} / 1 / \kappa^* \sim$ excited trimers are decoupled from the high momentum scale $\kappa^*$. First, the peaks are located at the positions predicted by the effective range approach and second, their width goes to zero as $R^*$ increases. This can be interpreted from the existence of a large scale separation between quasi-bound trimers extending over the region $k \lesssim 1 / R^*$ and the dimer of momentum $q_{\text{dim}} \gg 1 / R^*$. Hence the production of long-lived Efimov’s trimers seems to be possible in this regime. If one takes into account only spontaneous decay toward one atom and one dimer, the trimers’ lifetime is given by: $\tau_D = m / (|\gamma| \hbar)$. As an example, the peak in Fig. (4b) at $q_{\text{trim}} = q_0$ was computed for $R^* \kappa^* = 10^4 \gg a_{bg} \kappa^* = 5 \times 10^2 \gg 1$, and one finds $\gamma \simeq 2 \times 10^{-3} q_0^2$. In this case the lifetime of the quasi-bound state is limited by inelastic processes with other components in the system. In the opposite limit where $a_{bg} \gg R^*$ [see Fig. (4c)], resonances are large meaning that the three-body quasi-bound state is not long-lived and peaks are located at the positions predicted by the universal theory. For negative background scattering length $a_{bg} < 0$, the decay rate of ‘narrow type’ trimers involves deep dimer state which are not described in the present model. In the regime where $R^* \gg |a_{bg}| \gg 1 / \kappa^*$, the eigenfunction $\phi(k)$ experience a $1 / k^4$ decreasing law in the region $1 \ll k R^* \ll \sqrt{R^* / |a_{bg}|}$ where $1 / f(i k) \sim R^* k^2$ [see Fig. (5)]. This suggests that the coupling with deep dimers (not supported by the model) is small. One thus expects also large lifetimes in this limit. A possible way to observe long-lived trimers is given by the radio-frequency association technique which has been already implemented in fermionic systems [37].

For finite background scattering length, the present zero-range model is justified in the limit where $|a_{bg}| \gg b$. When this last condition is not satisfied, more realistic two-channel model as in Refs. [15, 17] should be used in order to evaluate the lifetime of the trimers. Work in this direction is in progress.

CONCLUSION

In this paper, a zero range model is introduced for solving the three-boson problem in the regime of resonant s-wave binary interactions. At the two body level, the model takes into account the scattering length $a$, the width radius $R^*$ (related to the width of a magnetic Feschbach resonance), and the background (or off-resonant) scattering length $a_{bg}$. Effective range [18] and Bethe Peierls [30] approaches can thus be obtained as specific limits of this formalism. For three interacting bosons, a generalized Skorniakov Ter-Martirosian equation is derived. The Danilov’s filtering condition that fixes the value of the three-body parameter $\kappa^*$ is imposed through a nodal condition.

The trimers’ spectrum is then solved at resonance ($|a| = \infty$). For negative background scattering length $a_{bg} < 0$, as one varies $R^*$ or $a_{bg}$ for a fixed value of the three-body parameter ($\kappa^*$), the spectrum exhibits a crossover between Efimov states of narrow resonances (characterized by an effective three-body parameter $\kappa^*$ defined by $R^*$ only, with $\kappa^* \simeq 2.65 / R^*$) and Efimov states of broad resonances with binding wave numbers deduced from $\kappa^*$ by the scaling factor $e^{\pi / 80}$. For a positive background scattering length $a_{bg} > 0$, a dimer exists. For energies higher than the dimer’s energy, Efimov states are quasi-bound and manifest themselves as Fano resonances. For sufficiently large values of $R^*$ these Fano resonances are narrow and the peaks are located at the positions predicted by the effective range theory. In the latter regime, quasi-bound Efimov trimers thus appear as long lived molecules.

More generally, this result opens the issue of the stability of resonant many-boson systems in the regime of narrow resonances.
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