Prion Protein Is Decreased in Alzheimer’s Brain and Inversely Correlates with BACE1 Activity, Amyloid-β Levels and Braak Stage
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Abstract

The cellular prion protein (PrPc) has been implicated in the development of Alzheimer’s disease (AD). PrPC decreases amyloid-β (Aβ) production, which is involved in AD pathogenesis, by inhibiting β-secretase (BACE1) activity. Contactin 5 (CNTN5) has also been implicated in the development of AD by a genome-wide association study. Here we measured PrPC and CNTN5 in frontal cortex samples from 24 sporadic AD and 24 age-matched control brains and correlated the expression of these proteins with markers of AD. PrPC was decreased in sporadic AD compared to controls (by 49%, p = 0.014) but there was no difference in CNTN5 between sporadic AD and controls (p = 0.217). PrPC significantly inversely correlated with BACE1 activity (r = −0.358, p = 0.006), Aβ load (r = −0.456, p = 0.001), soluble Aβ (r = −0.283, p = 0.026) and insoluble Aβ (r = −0.353, p = 0.007) and PrPC also significantly inversely correlated with the stage of disease, as indicated by Braak tangle stage (r = −0.377, p = 0.007). CNTN5 did not correlate with Aβ load (r = 0.040, p = 0.393), soluble Aβ (r = 0.113, p = 0.223) or insoluble Aβ (r = 0.169, p = 0.125). PrPC was also measured in frontal cortex samples from 9 Down’s syndrome (DS) and 8 age-matched control brains. In contrast to sporadic AD, there was no difference in PrPC in the DS brains compared to controls (p = 0.625). These data are consistent with a role for PrPC in regulating Aβ production and indicate that brain PrPC level may be important in influencing the onset and progression of sporadic AD.

Citation: Whitehouse IJ, Miners JS, Glennon EC, Kehoe PG, Love S, et al. (2013) Prion Protein Is Decreased in Alzheimer’s Brain and Inversely Correlates with BACE1 Activity, Amyloid-β Levels and Braak Stage. PLoS ONE 8(4): e59554. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059554

Editor: Sophie Mouillet-Richard, INSERM, UMR-S747, France

Received December 11, 2012; Accepted February 15, 2013; Published April 5, 2013

Copyright: © 2013 Whitehouse et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support of the Medical Research Council of Great Britain, www.mrc.ac.uk, (G0802189), Alzheimer’s Research UK, www.alzheimersresearchuk.org, (PG2008-2 and PG2009-1) and BRACE (Bristol Research into Ageing and Care of the Elderly, www.alzheimers-brace.org, BRACE012012). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: n.m.hooper@leeds.ac.uk (NMH); k.a.b.kellett@leeds.ac.uk (KABK)

Introduction

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the most common form of dementia and its socioeconomic impact is increasing as the population ages [1]. The number of individuals suffering from AD worldwide is predicted to rise to 34 million by 2025 [2]. AD is characterised pathologically by the formation of intracellular neurofibrillary tangles and extracellular amyloid plaques. Neurofibrillary tangles, composed of hyperphosphorylated and aggregated tau [3], initially appear in the entorhinal cortex and hippocampus, before the spread of tau pathology into other regions [4]. Tau pathology is staged in AD using the Braak system, encompassing 6 stages which are distinguished according to the distribution of neurofibrillary tangles [4]. As tau pathology spreads, it is accompanied by neuronal loss, following which the tau may be found in the extracellular space – either in a monomeric form or in an aggregated form where it is assembled in extracellular ghost tangles [5]. Amyloid plaques are composed of the amyloid-β (Aβ) peptide [Aβ]. Aβ is derived from the sequential cleavage of the amyloid-β precursor protein (APP) first by the β-secretase, β-site APP cleaving enzyme-1 (BACE1), and then by the γ-secretase complex. A number of rare autosomal dominant mutations in the genes encoding either APP or components of the γ-secretase complex have been identified which cause early-onset, or familial, AD. The majority of AD patients, however, do not have such underlying genetic factors and, although some risk factors have been identified (e.g. ageing and the ε4 allele of the apolipoprotein E gene), the cause of these sporadic AD cases remains unknown.

Relatively little is known about the physiological roles of APP, Aβ and BACE1; several studies have endeavoured to investigate the normal biology of these proteins and to identify other interacting proteins which may be involved in their regulation, trafficking and processing. A study of the APP interactome [6] identified several potential APP-interacting proteins, one of which was from the contactin family of proteins, and a later genome-wide association study (GWAS) identified contactin 5 (CNTN5) as one of 13 genes that showed an association with AD [7]. CNTN5 has also been associated with AD neuroimaging measures such as white matter lesion volume and entorhinal cortex thickness [8]; however, the amount of CNTN5 in the AD or ageing brain has not been reported previously. An even greater effort has been made to establish the proteins interacting with BACE1 as it is the BACE1 cleavage of APP that is the rate-limiting step in Aβ production [9], and BACE1 is a potential therapeutic target for AD. BACE1 activity in the brain is increased in sporadic AD and...
correlates with increased Aβ load [10,11,12], indicating a disruption in the normal regulation of BACE1 activity. Several proteins regulating BACE1 activity have been identified [13,14], including the cellular form of the prion protein (PrPC) [15]. PrPC inhibited the action of BACE1 towards wild type human APP in cellular models and the levels of endogenous murine Aβ were significantly increased in the brain of PrPC null mice [15], and we proposed that a normal function of PrPC may be to protect against AD [16], i.e. that BACE1 activity is negatively modulated by PrPC, which thereby influences Aβ load and the onset and severity of AD. Consistent with this hypothesis, we reported that, in a small cohort, PrPC was decreased in the hippocampus in sporadic AD [17], although we did not examine the relationship to BACE1 activity and Aβ load.

In this study we measured PrP C and CNTN5 in frontal neocortex from cases of sporadic AD and age-matched control brain samples. We confirmed our previous finding [17], in a new, larger patient cohort, that PrP C is decreased in sporadic AD and demonstrate that CNTN5 levels are unchanged in sporadic AD. As PrP C is decreased [17], and BACE1 activity is increased, in sporadic AD [10,18], and as PrP C negatively modulates BACE1 activity [15,19], we tested the hypothesis that there is a negative correlation between PrP C and (i) BACE1 activity, (ii) Aβ load, and (iii) Braak tangle stage, in human brain tissue. We found that PrP C did indeed correlate inversely with BACE1 activity, Aβ load, soluble and insoluble Aβ levels, and with the severity of disease, as measured by Braak tangle stage. CNTN5, however, showed no correlation with Aβ load, soluble or insoluble Aβ level.

We previously showed that while PrP C is decreased in sporadic AD and also declines with age, there is no alteration in PrP C in familial AD cases [17]. Down’s syndrome (DS) is caused by an extra copy of chromosome 21, which results in development abnormalities and neuropathology in the brain that are similar to that seen in AD. APP maps to chromosome 21 and trisomy 21 results in increased APP and Aβ production and early senile plaque formation [20]. Here we demonstrate that PrP C levels are unchanged in the cortex in DS, compared to age-matched controls.

**Results**

**PrP C is reduced but CNTN5 is unchanged in sporadic Alzheimer’s disease**

Quantitative immunoblotting was used to assess PrP C and CNTN5 in the temporal cortex from sporadic AD individuals in comparison to that in the brain of age-matched cognitively normal individuals. PrP C was significantly reduced in sporadic AD by a mean of 49% (p = 0.014) compared to the age matched controls (Figure 1A and B, Table 1) but there was no significant difference in CNTN5 between sporadic AD and controls (Figure 1C and D, Table 1). PrP C is variably glycosylated at two asparagine residues (N181 and N197), so the protein appears on immunoblots as multiple bands corresponding to un-, mono- and diglycosylated species [21]. We previously reported that PrP C declines with age in the human brain [17] but there was no significant difference in age between the sporadic AD and control cases (mean age ± SEM; 82.5±1.4 years and 76.5±2.7 years, respectively, p = 0.204) (Table 1 and Table S1), indicating that the reduction of PrP C in individuals with sporadic AD cannot simply be attributed to the effects of age. To ensure age had no effect, the three youngest controls (43, 48 and 53 years) were omitted to give a control mean age of 80.5±1.7 years. PrP C was still significantly reduced in sporadic AD by a mean of 41% (p = 0.032) compared to age matched controls (Figure S1). In addition, there was no significant difference in the level of neuron-specific enolase (NSE) between the sporadic AD and control samples (Table 1), indicating that the lower PrP C in the sporadic AD samples was not caused by neuronal loss. The post-mortem delay was also not significantly different between the sporadic AD and control group (Table 1 and Table S1).

![Figure 1. PrP C, but not CNTN5, is decreased in sporadic AD.](https://www.plosone.org/figure/1)
PrP\textsuperscript{C} levels inversely correlate with BACE1 activity, A\beta load and Braak stage

As PrP\textsuperscript{C} negatively regulates the activity of BACE1 towards APP [15,19], we investigated whether there was a correlation between PrP\textsuperscript{C}, measured by immunoblotting, and BACE1 activity, measured using a fluorogenic peptide substrate (Table 1). Across the cohort there was a statistically significant inverse correlation between PrP\textsuperscript{C} and BACE1 activity (Figure 2A) (r\textsubscript{s} = −0.358, p = 0.006), consistent with PrP\textsuperscript{C} normally acting to inhibit BACE1. We next examined whether the negative modulation of BACE1 activity by PrP\textsuperscript{C} influenced the A\beta plaque load in an individual. To do this we analysed frontal cortex A\beta levels by immunohistochemical staining and then correlated these data with PrP\textsuperscript{C}. The A\beta plaque load, as determined by immunohistochemical staining was significantly higher in AD than controls (Table 1 and, in addition, significantly inversely correlated with PrP\textsuperscript{C} (Figure 2B) (r\textsubscript{s} = −0.436, p = 0.001). Soluble A\beta levels were not statistically different between AD and controls (Table 1), but soluble A\beta did significantly inversely correlate with PrP\textsuperscript{C} (Figure 2C) (r\textsubscript{s} = −0.283, p = 0.026). Insoluble A\beta was significantly higher in AD compared with controls (Table 1) and significantly inversely correlated with PrP\textsuperscript{C} (Figure 2D) (r\textsubscript{s} = −0.353, p = 0.007). Finally, as PrP\textsuperscript{C} correlated inversely with both BACE1 and A\beta load, we went on to examine whether PrP\textsuperscript{C} correlated with disease severity, as measured by Braak stage (Table 1). This analysis revealed a statistically significant inverse correlation between PrP\textsuperscript{C} and Braak stage (r\textsubscript{s} = −0.377, p = 0.007) across the cohort (Figure 2E). Again, to ensure age had no effect on the outcome, all correlation analyses were also carried out omitting the three youngest controls (43, 48 and 53 years). PrP\textsuperscript{C} remained inversely correlated with BACE1 activity, A\beta levels and Braak stage (data not shown).

CNTN5 levels do not correlate with soluble or insoluble A\beta

Although CNTN5 levels are unchanged in sporadic AD this does not rule out a correlation of this protein with markers of disease progression. As CNTN5 has been identified as having an association with AD by GWAS [7], we also examined the relation between CNTN5 and A\beta load. CNTN5 did not correlate with A\beta load (Figure 3A), soluble A\beta (Figure 3B) or insoluble A\beta (Figure 3C).

PrP\textsuperscript{C} is not reduced in Down’s syndrome

PrP\textsuperscript{C} was also measured in frontal cortex samples from DS and control brains. Frontal cortex samples were immunoblotted for PrP\textsuperscript{C} and actin (Figure 4A and B). PrP\textsuperscript{C} was not significantly different in the DS compared to the control brains (Figure 4B and Table 2). BACE1 activity and A\beta levels were also assessed in the DS and control cohort. BACE1 activity, although higher in the DS brains, did not differ significantly from control values (p = 0.061, Table 2). Soluble A\beta level, although higher in the DS brain, was not significantly different from controls (p = 0.179, Table 2). Insoluble A\beta, however, was significantly increased in the DS brain compared to controls (p < 0.001, Table 2). The DS and control cohorts were closely matched in age (p = 0.226) (Table 2 and Table S2). The post-mortem delay was not significantly different between the DS and control groups (p = 0.217) (Table 2 and Table S2).

Discussion

In this study we have demonstrated a significant inverse correlation between PrP\textsuperscript{C} and BACE1 activity in cortex from patients with sporadic AD (in whom PrP\textsuperscript{C} level is lower and BACE1 activity higher than in age-matched controls) but not in Down’s syndrome, despite the accumulation of A\beta and the presence of other AD-type pathological abnormalities in the latter. We previously demonstrated that PrP\textsuperscript{C} negatively modulates the activity of BACE1 [15], in part through an interaction of PrP\textsuperscript{C} with the prodomain of the immature form of BACE1 within the Golgi, thereby decreasing the amount of BACE1 that is trafficked to the cell surface and endosomes where it cleaves wild type APP [19]. To test our previous hypothesis [16] that PrP\textsuperscript{C} may function normally to protect against AD by reducing BACE1 activity, we have explored the relationship between PrP\textsuperscript{C} level and AD pathology in two contexts: first in sporadic AD, and second in Down’s syndrome. The latter group provides an opportunity to explore another A\beta-related condition since people with DS also develop abundant AD pathology, but this is attributable to increased production of APP. Previous work has demonstrated that BACE1 activity in the brain increases with age and in

| Characteristic | Control (n = 24\textsuperscript{*}) | AD (n = 24) | p value |
|---------------|-------------------------------|-------------|---------|
| Age (y)       | 76.46 ± 2.72                  | 82.50 ± 1.44 | 0.204   |
| PM delay (h)  | 38.50 ± 6.27                  | 51.08 ± 4.11 | 0.100   |
| APOE ε4 allele (%) | 13%                        | 75%         | <0.001 |
| NSE (pg/ml)   | 0.51 ± 0.05                   | 0.51 ± 0.05 | 0.992   |
| PrP\textsuperscript{C} protein (arbitrary units) | 1.00 ± 0.19 | 0.51 ± 0.11 | 0.014   |
| CNTN5 protein (arbitrary units) | 1.00 ± 0.17 | 2.78 ± 0.96 | 0.167   |
| BACE1 activity (relative fluorescence units) | 2314.79 ± 133.28 | 2720.45 ± 169.71 | 0.066   |
| Soluble A\beta (nM) | 1.62 ± 0.36 | 2.54 ± 0.71 | 0.391   |
| Insoluble A\beta (nM) | 20.44 ± 6.56 | 138.92 ± 16.41 | <0.001 |
| A\beta load (%) | 0.04 ± 0.04 | 2.42 ± 0.59 | <0.001 |
| Braak stage   | 1.33 ± 0.23                   | 5.08 ± 0.16 | <0.001 |

All data are mean ± SEM unless stated. *n = 20 for control A\beta load analysis.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059554.t001

Table 1. Summary data of the sporadic AD and age-matched control samples.
Prion Protein in Alzheimer’s Brain

Figure 2. PrPC inversely correlates with BACE1 activity, Aβ load, soluble and insoluble Aβ and Braak stage. Relative PrPC protein levels were plotted against BACE1 activity (A), Aβ load (B), soluble Aβ (C), insoluble Aβ (D) and Braak stage (E) for each subject in the cohort (n = 48. Control, filled circles; AD, empty circles). PrPC significantly inversely correlates with BACE1 activity, Aβ load, soluble Aβ, insoluble Aβ and Braak stage as determined by Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient (rₛ).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059554.g002

association with Aβ accumulation [10,12,18,22], although the molecular mechanisms underlying this are unclear.

As PrPC is a negative modulator of BACE1 activity, we hypothesised that it would significantly impact on Aβ levels. Our data revealed a significant inverse relationship between PrPC and both soluble and insoluble Aβ as well as between PrPC and Aβ plaque load, indicating that the relationship between PrPC and BACE1 may have important downstream effects on the develop-
ment of AD. In addition, we found a significant inverse correlation between PrPC and Braak stage, a marker of disease severity or progression. The correlation with Braak stage is likely to be an indirect indicator of the influence of PrPC on AD progression, as there are no data to support a direct role for PrPC in preventing neurofibrillary tangle formation. However, taken together these results indicate that PrPC levels in the brain may be an important factor influencing not only the onset but also the progression of sporadic AD.

Importantly, the correlations extended across the entire cohort (both AD cases and age-matched controls). There was a significant inverse correlation between PrP^C and BACE1 activity, Aβ load, soluble and insoluble Aβ levels and Braak stage, independent of the clinical diagnosis of AD. The symptoms of AD typically progress from mild symptoms of memory loss to severe dementia and it has been suggested that impairment in multiple cognitive domains is observable several years before a clinical diagnosis of AD is made [23]. This observed cognitive dysfunction is not qualitatively different from that seen in normal ageing, and a continuum from normal ageing to preclinical dementia has been proposed [24]. We showed previously that PrPC decreases with age in the brain [17] and our current data suggest that an inverse correlation between PrP^C and BACE1 activity may anticipate the onset of sporadic AD. Taken together, these findings point towards a decrease in PrP^C in the brain as a primary contributor to the development of disease, at least in some cases of sporadic AD. In addition, the data suggest that the level of PrP^C in the brain may be critical in determining the onset and progression of sporadic AD through its modulation of BACE1 activity.

Down’s syndrome (DS) is caused by an extra copy of chromosome 21, which results in developmental abnormalities and also neuropathology in the brain that is similar to that in AD. APP maps to chromosome 21 and trisomy 21 results in increased APP and Aβ production and early plaque formation [20]. Here we demonstrate that the level of PrP^C is unchanged in the cortex in DS, compared to age-matched controls, confirming that the change in PrP^C in sporadic AD is not a secondary consequence of disease. Previous work has implicated the APP intracellular domain (AICD) as a transcription factor regulating PrP^C expression, suggesting that over-expression of APP may increase PrP^C expression [25]. However, in multiple cell lines and two transgenic mouse lines expressing human APP, we could find no evidence for APP-mediated regulation of the expression of PrP^C [26]. Here we have demonstrated that PrP^C is unchanged in brains from DS patients, indicating that the over-expression of APP does not alter PrP^C expression in the human brain.
study reported that PrP\(C\) is unchanged in the AD brain [27]. However, while the cohort was well characterised in terms of diagnosis, the authors did not provide any information as to whether the AD cases were familial or sporadic. Previously we reported that PrP\(C\) level was unchanged in the brain in familial AD cases (with mutations in either APP or presenilin-1) [17], indicating that differentiating between the two forms of AD is crucial in evaluating any changes in PrP\(C\). The decrease in PrP\(C\) protein that we have observed in sporadic AD would be consistent with a recent report of decreased PRNP mRNA in AD patients [28].

We have also demonstrated that CNTN5, a protein thought to interact with APP and identified through GWAS [7] as being associated with AD, is unchanged in sporadic AD and does not correlate with A\(\beta\) load, soluble A\(\beta\) or insoluble A\(\beta\) in our cohort. If CNTN5 has a role in the development of AD it appears not to be related to CNTN5 expression level. CNTN5 may, however, contribute to the development of AD without any alteration in its expression level. The subcellular locations of contactin proteins are tightly regulated by their post-translational processing and expression level. The subcellular locations of contactin proteins are tightly regulated by their post-translational processing and interactions with contactin-associated proteins [29,30,31]. Cellular trafficking and therefore the subcellular location of CNTN5 may be altered in AD.

Recently, we reported that PrP\(C\) mediates the uptake of extracellular zinc into neuronal cells [32]. Zinc promotes the aggregation of A\(\beta\) into toxic oligomeric forms [33] and in an AD mouse model, synaptic zinc was shown to increase insoluble A\(\beta\) and its deposition in plaques [34]. In addition, synaptic zinc favours the attachment of A\(\beta\) oligomers to the N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor, mediating their excitotoxicity [35]. The reduction in PrP\(C\) in the brain in sporadic AD would be expected to result in decreased zinc uptake. This may result in an increase in the amount of zinc in the synaptic cleft which would promote A\(\beta\) aggregation and synaptic targeting, potentially contributing also to the neurodegenerative process in AD.

In conclusion, our data demonstrate that the level of PrP\(C\) is inversely correlated with BACE1 activity and A\(\beta\) in the human brain. These findings implicate changes in PrP\(C\) in the pathogenesis of sporadic AD and suggest that modulating PrP\(C\) level may have an impact on the development and course of sporadic AD.

**Materials and Methods**

**Ethics statement**

Brain tissue was obtained from the South West Dementia Brain Bank, University of Bristol, UK. The study was conducted with approval from the North Somerset and South Bristol Research Ethics Committee and the Leeds Central Research Ethics Committee.

**Tissue Preparation**

For measurements of BACE-1 activity, PrP\(C\) protein and NSE, approximately 200 mg of frontal neocortex (Brodman area 6) was homogenised in 1 ml lysis buffer (0.5% Triton X-100, 20 mM Tris/HCl pH 7.4, 10% (wt/vol) sucrose containing aprotonin (1 \mu g/ml) and phenylmethane sulfonyl fluoride (PMSF; 10 \mu M)) (all reagents from Sigma Aldrich, Poole, UK). Brain tissue was homogenized for 30 seconds in a Precells 24 automated tissue homogenizer (Stretton Scientific, Derbyshire, UK) with 2.3-mm silica beads (Biospec, Thistle Scientific, Glasgow, UK) and total protein was measured using Total Protein kit (Sigma Aldrich). The homogenates were centrifuged at 20 17 000 u C for 20 min at 4\(^{\circ}\)C and the supernatant (guanidine-extractable fraction) was stored at \(-80\^{\circ}\)C until used. For measurements of A\(\beta\), tissue (200 mg) was allowed to thaw to 4\(^{\circ}\)C, homogenised in 5 volumes (wt/vol) of Tris-buffered saline (TBS) extraction buffer (140 mM NaCl, 3 mM KCl, 25 mM Tris/HCl pH 7.4, containing 1% Nonidet P-40 (NP40), 5 mM EDTA, 2 mM 1,10-phenanthroline, 10 \mu M PMSF and 1 \mu g/ml aprotonin (all reagents from Sigma Aldrich), as detailed in [37,38]. The homogenate was then centrifuged at 20 017 g for 15 min at 4\(^{\circ}\)C, and aliquots of the supernatant were stored at \(-80\^{\circ}\)C until used.

For measurements of A\(\beta\), tissue (200 mg) was allowed to thaw to 4\(^{\circ}\)C, homogenised in 5 volumes (wt/vol) of Tris-buffered saline (TBS) extraction buffer (140 mM NaCl, 3 mM KCl, 25 mM Tris/HCl pH 7.4, containing 1% Nonidet P-40 (NP40), 5 mM EDTA, 2 mM 1,10-phenanthroline, 10 \mu M PMSF and 1 \mu g/ml aprotonin (all reagents from Sigma Aldrich), as detailed in [37,38]. The homogenate was then centrifuged at 20 017 g for 15 min at 4\(^{\circ}\)C, and aliquots of the supernatant (soluble fraction) was stored at \(-80\^{\circ}\)C until used. The pellet was homogenized in 6.25 M guanidine HCl in 30 mM Tris/HCl, pH 8.0, incubated for 4 h at 25 C and centrifuged at 20 017 g for 20 min at 4\(^{\circ}\)C. The resultant supernatant (guanidine-extractable fraction) was stored at \(-80\^{\circ}\)C until used.

**Immunoblotting of PrP\(C\) and CNTN5**

Samples were mixed with an equal volume of SDS dissociation buffer (125 mM Tris/HCl, pH 6.8, 2% (w/v) SDS, 20% (v/v) glycerol, 100 mM dithiothreitol, 0.002% (w/v) bromophenol blue), and boiled for 5 min. Proteins were resolved by SDS polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis using 10% (CNTN5) and 4% (PrP\(C\)) polyacrylamide gels.

**Table 2. Summary data of the DS and age-matched control samples.**

| Characteristic               | Control (n = 8) | DS (n = 9)  | p value  |
|-----------------------------|----------------|-------------|----------|
| Age (y)                     | 62.63±3.58     | 59.89±2.18  | 0.236    |
| PM delay (h)                | 26.50±6.98     | 39.22±6.92  | 0.217    |
| PrPC protein (arbitrary units) | 1.00±0.15     | 0.90±0.13   | 0.625    |
| BACE1 activity (relative fluorescence units) | 1173.46±75.61 | 1429.78±98.18 | 0.061    |
| Soluble A\(\beta\) (nM)    | 4.78±0.42      | 7.32±1.69   | 0.179    |
| Insoluble A\(\beta\) (nM)  | 22.38±15.36    | 288.84±36.82| <0.001   |

All data are mean ± SEM. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059554.t002
14.5% (PrPC) polyacrylamide gels. Resolved proteins were transferred to Immobilon P polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Amersham, Little Chalfont, UK). The membrane was blocked by incubation for 1 h with PBS containing 0.1% (v/v) Tween-20 and 5% (w/v) dried milk powder. Antibody incubations were performed in PBS Tween containing 2% (v/v) bovine serum albumin. Antibody 6D11 (Eurogentec Ltd) which recognises amino acids 93–109 of human PrPC was used at 1:5000, antibody AF3030 (R&D Systems, Abingdon, UK) against CNTN5 was used at 1:500 and anti-actin antibody AC15 (Sigma, Poole, UK) was used at 1:5000. Heroseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody was used at 1:4000 in the same buffer. Bound antibody was detected using the enhanced chemiluminescence detection method (Amersham Biosciences, Amersham, UK). Blots were reprobed using the anti-actin antibody was used at 1:4000 in the same buffer. Bound antibody was detected using the enhanced chemiluminescence detection method (Amersham Biosciences, Amersham, UK). Blots were reprobed using the anti-actin antibody as described above.

Measurement of BACE-1 activity

The fluorogenic substrate (Mca-SEVNLDAEFRK/Dnp)RR-NH$_2$) containing the Swedish double point mutation of APP (R&D Systems) was used according to the manufacturer’s guidelines to measure BACE-1 activity (relative fluorescence units) in brain homogenates as previously reported [12,22]. Each homogenate was assayed in duplicate in the presence and absence of the BACE1 inhibitor III (5 $\mu$M) (Millipore, Watford, UK). BACE-1 activity was interpolated from a standard curve generated from serial dilutions of recombinant human BACE-1 after subtraction of the inhibited from the uninhibited value. BACE-1 activity was finally adjusted according to total protein content (measured using the Total Protein Kit; Sigma).

Measurement of total soluble and insoluble (guanidine-extractable) Aβ

The method of ELISA measurement of total soluble and insoluble Aβ was reported previously [37,38]. Soluble and insoluble (guanidine-HCl-extractable) fractions were analysed by sandwich ELISA in which monoclonal anti-Aβ (4G8 clone, raised against amino acids 18–22; Millipore, Watford, UK) was used for the capture step and biotinylated anti-human Aβ monoclonal antibody (10H3 clone) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Northumberland, UK) for the detection step.

Measurement of neuron-specific enolase

NSE in brain homogenates was measured by a direct ELISA as described previously [41]. Serial dilutions of recombinant human NSE (Biomol, Exeter, UK) were used to construct a best-fit curve, and NSE concentrations were calculated by interpolation. Each sample was assayed in duplicate, and the mean was determined.

The NSE concentration was used to provide a proxy measurement of the number of neurons in the tissue samples.

Measurement of Aβ load

Parechymal Aβ load had previously been measured in all cases [39]. The field fraction (percentage area occupied by Aβ) was measured in an unbiased selection of 10 areas of cortex covering 4 mm$^2$ with the help of Histometrix software (Kinetic Imaging, Wirral, UK) driving a Leica DM microscope with a motorised stage. Aβ-laden blood vessels were excluded from analysis.

Statistical Analysis

Densitometric analysis was performed using either the advanced image data analyser (AIDA) programme (Raytest Scientific Ltd) or Image J 1.44p (National Institutes of Health, USA). Quantification of PrPC and CNTN5 was in relation to actin. The distribution of the AD cases compared to their age-matched controls was determined by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Group data were compared using either an Independent T-test for parametric, or a Mann-Whitney U test (with an exact test for ApoE $\epsilon 4$ analysis) for non-parametric data. One-tailed Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient was used to assess the correlation of PrPC and CNTN5 to BACE1 activity, soluble and insoluble Aβ and Braak Stage, $p$$\leq$0.05 was considered significant. The data were analysed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS 17.0) program (Chicago, USA) and GraphPad Prism (version 6) (Graphpad Software Inc, California, USA).
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