Organic electrode materials have shown great potential for metal-ion batteries because of their high theoretical capacity, flexible structure designability, and environmental friendliness. However, their electrochemical performance still needs to be further enhanced, which mainly depends on the molecular structures, electrode fabrication, electrolyte, and separators. In this review, we present the working principles and fundamental properties of different types of organic electrode materials, including conductive polymers, organosulfur compounds, organic radicals, carbonyl compounds, and other emerging materials. We then focus on the strategies toward enhancing the electrochemical performance (output voltage, capacity, cycling stability, and rate performance) of organic electrode materials in various metal-ion batteries. The key challenges of organic electrode materials for metal-ion batteries mainly contain the high solubility in electrolyte, low intrinsic electronic conductivity, large volume change, and low tap density. This review provides insights into the development of organic electrode materials with high performance for next-generation rechargeable metal-ion batteries.

INTRODUCTION
The recent boom in consumer electronics, electric vehicles, and energy storage stations has raised the demand for batteries that will not only possess excellent electrochemical performance but also be safe, inexpensive, and environmentally friendly. Because of their high energy density, metal-ion batteries have attracted much attention in recent years. However, conventional metal-ion batteries are often based on inorganic electrode materials such as transition-metal oxides and graphite, which usually show low practical capacity or depend on scarce natural resources. In contrast, organic electrode materials are endowed with various merits. For instance, they generally deliver high capacity because of the considerable number of active sites per weight. Moreover, they are composed of abundant elements (e.g., C, H, O, N, and S) and can be obtained from biomass resources or through mild synthesis processes. Furthermore, the structure of organic molecules can be designed flexibly, which contributes to easy tailoring of their electrochemical performance. In addition, unlike inorganic materials, organic materials are generally not restricted by the choice of counter-ions. It is feasible to apply the same organic molecule in various metal-ion batteries.

The history of organic electrode materials can be traced back to the 1960s, when the carbonyl compound (dichloroisocyanuric acid [DCCA]) was first used in primary lithium batteries. Unfortunately, the high solubility of small carbonyl compounds in electrolyte restricts their further development. Subsequently, many conductive...
polymers such as polypyrrole (PPy) were explored as the electrode materials for lithium-ion batteries (LIBs), sodium-ion batteries (SIBs), and aluminum-ion batteries (AIBs) because of their limited solubility in electrolyte. Nevertheless, the low doping level limits their practical capacities. Thereafter, to seek new organic electrode materials with high capacity, attention was paid to organosulfur compounds in the late 1980s. However, with the successful commercialization of LIBs (cathode: LiCoO₂; anode: graphite) in 1991, organic electrode materials were gradually ignored and there were only few works concerning this topic in the 1990s and early 2000s. It was not until 2002 that organic radicals were reported as high-voltage cathodes for LIBs.

With the increasing demand for resources and environmental issues, organic electrode materials have been extensively investigated again in the past decade. On the one hand, more and more new types of organic compounds such as C=N, C≡N, N=N, and multiple carbon bonds (C=C and C≡C)-based redox materials were developed. On the other hand, organic electrode materials were widely explored for various metal-ion batteries, including LIBs, SIBs, potassium-ion batteries (KIBs), magnesium-ion batteries (MIBs), zinc-ion batteries (ZIBs), AIBs, and calcium-ion batteries (CIBs). Although there are many reviews of organic electrode materials, it is noteworthy that a comprehensive review focusing on the design strategies to improve their electrochemical performance in various metal-ion batteries is still absent.

In this review, we first present the working principles and fundamental properties of organic electrode materials, including conductive polymers, organosulfur compounds, organic radicals, carbonyl compounds, and other emerging organic materials. We then systematically discuss the strategies employed to enhance the electrochemical performance (output voltage, capacity, cycling stability, and rate performance) of organic electrode materials in various metal-ion batteries (LIBs, SIBs, KIBs, MIBs, ZIBs, AIBs, and CIBs). Finally, the key challenges and future perspectives of organic electrode materials for metal-ion batteries are also discussed.

OVERVIEW OF ORGANIC ELECTRODE MATERIALS

The reported organic electrode materials mainly include conductive polymers, organosulfur compounds, organic radicals, carbonyl compounds, and other molecules based on C=N, C≡N, N=N, and multiple carbon bonds (C=C and C≡C). Their redox mechanisms, and related advantages and disadvantages are summarized in Table 1. Generally, the redox reactions of organic electrode materials are based on the charge-state change of the electroactive groups. According to the charge-state change of active sites, organic electrode materials are often classified into three different types: n-type, p-type, and bipolar type. N-type organic molecules (e.g., quinones) will be reduced to yield anions and then combine with metal ions such as Li⁺ and Na⁺ ions during the discharge process. Different from n-type organic molecules, p-type organic materials (e.g., thioethers) can be oxidized and then interact with anions such as PF₆⁻ and ClO₄⁻. Additionally, organic molecules belonging to bipolar type (e.g., organic radicals) can be reduced or oxidized, which depends on the applied voltage. To understand the fundamental properties of various organic electrode materials clearly, we compare them in the form of radar plots (Figure 1), where their capacity, solubility, preparation complexity, thermal stability, kinetics, voltage, conductivity, and self-discharge are considered. In the following sections, we succinctly discuss these types of organic electrode materials.
Conductive Polymers

Conductive polymers can store charge through delocalization along their backbone. Most reported conductive polymers that serve as the electrodes are polyacetylene, polyaniline (PAn), PPy, polythiophene, and polyparaphenylene (PPP). For instance, PPP shows two pairs of well-defined redox peaks in the voltage range of 0.02–1.5 V and 3.9–4.5 V (versus Li+/Li), corresponding to the Li⁺ doping (n-type) and PF₆⁻/C₀ doping (p-type) reactions, respectively. Although conductive polymers show high electronic conductivity, they still suffer from two main issues. The first problem is that their charge-discharge curves are very sloping because their charged centers are not separated electronically. Another problem is their low available doping levels (at most 0.3 to 0.5), leading to limited capacity (generally less than 100 mAh g⁻¹) in metal-ion batteries such as ZIBs and AIBs. Although their capacity could be enhanced by the optimization of morphology, copolymerization, and doping with anions, the energy density of conductive polymers is still relatively low. Thus, more strategies can be developed to further improve their practical capacity in the future.

Table 1. Summary of Redox Mechanisms and Characteristics of Different Types of Organic Electrode Materials

| Type                  | Materials                                               | Redox Mechanism                                                                 | Advantages                          | Disadvantages                                                                 |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Conductive polymers   | polyacetylene, polyaniline, polypyrrole, polythiophene | p-type: \( \text{R}^{+} \text{R}^{n} \)  \( \text{R}^{+} \text{R}^{n} \) n-type: \( \text{R}^{+} \text{R}^{n} \)  \( \text{R}^{+} \text{R}^{n} \) | high conductivity                  | low capacity, sloping plateau                                                 |
| Organosulfur compounds| disulfides, polysulfides                               | n-type: \( \text{R}^- \text{S}^- \text{R}^- \text{S}^- \text{R}^- \text{S}^- \text{R}^- \) | high capacity                      | high solubility, low kinetics, low conductivity                               |
|                       | thiourea                                                 | p-type: \( \text{R}^- \text{S}^- \text{R}^- \text{S}^- \text{R}^- \text{S}^- \text{R}^- \) |                                     |                                                                                |
| Organic radicals       | TEMPO derived, PROXYL derived, nitronyl nitroxide       | p-type: \( \text{Ar}^+ \text{N}^- \text{Ar}^+ \text{N}^- \text{Ar}^+ \text{N}^- \text{Ar}^+ \text{N}^- \text{Ar}^+ \text{N}^- \) | fast kinetics, flat plateau        | low capacity, low conductivity, low self-discharge, high solubility            |
|                       | phenoxyl, galvinoxyl                                     | n-type: \( \text{Ar}^+ \text{O}^- \) \( \text{Ar}^+ \text{O}^- \) |                                     |                                                                                |
| Carbonyl compounds     | quinones, carboxylates, anhydrides, imides, ketones     | n-type: \( \text{R}^+ \text{C}^- \text{R}^+ \text{C}^- \text{R}^+ \text{C}^- \text{R}^+ \text{C}^- \text{R}^+ \) | high capacity, fast kinetics      | high solubility, low conductivity                                              |
| Others                 | Schiff bases, pteridine derived, phenazine derived      | n-type: \( \text{R}^+ \text{C}^- \text{R}^+ \text{C}^- \text{R}^+ \text{C}^- \text{R}^+ \text{C}^- \text{R}^+ \) | high capacity, fast kinetics      | high solubility, low conductivity                                              |
|                       | cyano derived                                           | n-type: \( \text{R}^- \text{C}^- \text{N}^- \text{R}^- \text{C}^- \text{N}^- \text{R}^- \text{C}^- \text{N}^- \text{R}^- \text{C}^- \text{N}^- \text{R}^- \) |                                     |                                                                                |
|                       | azo compounds                                           | n-type: \( \text{R}^- \text{N}^- \text{R}^- \text{N}^- \text{R}^- \text{N}^- \text{R}^- \text{N}^- \text{R}^- \) |                                     |                                                                                |
|                       | multiple carbon bonds                                   | n-type: \( \text{R}^- \text{C}^- \text{R}^- \text{C}^- \text{R}^- \text{C}^- \text{R}^- \text{C}^- \text{R}^- \text{C}^- \text{R}^- \) |                                     |                                                                                |

TEMPO, 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidinyl-N-oxyl; PROXYL, 2,2,5,5-tetramethylpyrrolidin-N-oxyl.

*The dissolution behavior in aprotic electrolyte. Carboxylates and carbonyl-based polymers often show low solubility in aprotic electrolyte.
Organosulfur Compounds

Compared with conductive polymers, organosulfur compounds generally show higher capacity. The reported organosulfur compounds mainly cover disulfides, polysulfides, and thioethers. The redox mechanism of disulfides and polysulfides is reversible breakage and formation of S–S bonds, delivering relatively high theoretical capacity (mostly more than 200 mAh g⁻¹). However, because of the poor rebonding efficiency during charge processes, their reaction rate is slow and the electrochemical polarization is large in metal-ion batteries such as MIBs. In addition, they are plagued by serious dissolution in aprotic electrolyte, leading to fast capacity decay. Unlike disulfides and polysulfides, the redox processes of thioethers (p-type) are not accompanied by the breakage of bonds. The first reported thioethers are poly(2-phenyl-1,3-dithiolane) and poly[1,4-di(1,3-dithiolan-2-yl)benzene] (PDDTB), both of which exhibit an average discharge voltage of 2.2 V (versus Li⁺/Li). The PDDTB could deliver a capacity of 378 mAh g⁻¹ at the third cycle and be maintained at 300 mAh g⁻¹ over 20 cycles. Their cycling stability still needs to be further enhanced.

Organic Radicals

Similar to thioethers, the redox process of organic radicals is accompanied by small structure change and electron rearrangement. Therefore, organic radicals...
generally exhibit fast kinetics and low voltage polarization. For example, aqueous Zn-radical batteries could operate at a high rate of 60 C with low overpotential (<0.1 V). Moreover, they also show relatively flat charge-discharge plateaus. Since Nakahara et al. first reported the application of poly(2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidinyl-oxo methacrylate) (PTMA) as the cathode for LIBs, organic radicals have attracted extensive attention in recent years. The active redox center of PTMA is 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidinyl-N-oxyl (TEMPO). TEMPO exhibits bipolar properties, which can be either reduced to aminooxy anions or oxidized to oxoammonium cations through a one-electron reaction at low (about 2 V versus Li+/Li) or high (above 3 V versus Li+/Li) voltage range, respectively. Like PTMA, most reported organic radicals are based on the nitroxyl groups, including TEMPO, 2,2,5,5-tetramethylpyrroloidin-N-oxyl, and nitronyl nitroxide units. Although the discharge voltage of p-type organic radicals is high, their practical discharge capacities are only about 100 mAh g\(^{-1}\) or even lower because of the limited electron transfer number per weight. N-type galvinoxyl and phenoxyl radicals were usually applied as the anode materials for aqueous/non-aqueous batteries. Their capacities are lower than those of nitroxyl radicals because of the larger molecular weight of their units. Moreover, they suffer from low intrinsic conductivity and a self-discharge problem, which are the common challenges for organic radicals.

**Carbonyl Compounds**

Among all reported organic electrode materials, carbonyl compounds (quinones, carboxylates, anhydrides, imides, and ketones) are the most widely studied because of their high capacity and fast kinetics. In general, they can store charges (n-type) at moderate voltage range (below 3 V versus Li+/Li) with high capacity. The simplest quinone (1,4-benzoquinone, BQ) can exhibit average discharge voltage at about 2.7 V (versus Li+/Li) and theoretical capacity of 496 mAh g\(^{-1}\), which is more superior than the conventional LiCoO\(_2\) cathode (3.9 V \(\times\) 155 mAh g\(^{-1}\)). However, small carbonyl compounds generally suffer from severe dissolution in aprotic electrolyte. Moreover, although they show low solubility in aqueous electrolyte, their discharge products can dissolve in H\(_2\)O easily. As a result, their capacity often seriously degrades in aqueous and non-aqueous metal-ion batteries (e.g., MIBs and CIBs) during cycles. Moreover, their electronic conductivity is intrinsically inferior, which would restrict the rate performance. If their cycling stability and rate performance could be enhanced effectively, carbonyl compounds would be promising candidates for metal-ion batteries because of their high capacity.

**Other Compounds**

In addition to the conventional organic electrode materials mentioned above, some organic compounds based on C=N, C≡N, N=N, and multiple carbon bonds (C=C, C≡C) that are usually n-type have been proved to be feasible for metal-ion batteries. The reported organic electrode materials based on C=N mainly include Schiff bases, pteridine derivatives, and phenazine derivatives. For example, Schiff bases with common repeated Hückel units (–N=CH–R–HC=N–, R is aromatic group) generally show low discharge voltage (<1 V versus Na\(^+\)/Na), indicating that they would be the candidate of anode materials, while pteridine derivatives such as alloxazine could be applied as the cathode materials for LIBs thanks to their relatively high discharge voltage (>2 V versus Li\(^+\)/Li). Similar to organic materials with C=N groups, n-type compounds based on C≡N (e.g., 9,10-dicyanoanthracene) and N=N (e.g., azobenzene-4,4'-dicarboxylic acid lithium salt [ADALS]) have been reported for metal-ion batteries. It is noteworthy that if multiple carbon bonds (such as C=C and C≡C) of compounds can store Li\(^+\) ions at low voltage range (mostly <1 V versus Li\(^+\)/Li, superlithiation), their reversible capacity will be very
Whether the superlithiation of C=C and C≡C could occur depends on the molecular structure and the test conditions. Like simple quinones, the dissolution issue and electronic conductivity should be considered when applying these emerging organic materials for metal-ion batteries.

DESIGN STRATEGIES IN ORGANIC BATTERIES

Battery systems are usually evaluated by three main parameters: energy density, power density, and cycling life. The energy density of batteries depends on their output voltage and capacity. The output voltage and rate capability determine their power density. Thus, it is important to enhance these parameters (output voltage, capacity, cycling stability, and rate performance) of organic electrode materials through molecular engineering, electrode design, and electrolyte and separator optimization (Figure 2). In this section, we mainly focus on the design strategies employed to enhance the electrochemical performance of organic electrode materials in various metal-ion batteries.

Output Voltage

The relationship between the output voltage and energy density of batteries can be described as

\[ E_d = E \times Q \]  

(Equation 1)

\[ E = E_+ - E_- \]  

(Equation 2)

where \( E_d \) is the energy density, \( E \) is the output voltage, \( Q \) is the specific capacity, \( E_+ \) and \( E_- \) are the operating potentials of the cathode and anode, respectively. According to Equation 1, enhancing output voltage will be helpful in achieving high energy density. For the realization of high output voltage, cathode materials with high operating potential and anode materials with low operating potential are
desired, as suggested by Equation 2. P-type organic materials such as organic radicals and thioethers often exhibit high working potentials. As a result, they are usually used as the cathodes of metal-ion batteries (e.g., aqueous ZIBs). In contrast, n-type organic materials such as carbonyl compounds generally show lower operating potentials (<3 V versus Li+/Li). They can serve not only as the cathode but also as the anode, depending on their practical working potentials. Working potentials of organic electrode materials are directly related to their molecular structure. There are many molecular engineering approaches to tune their working potentials.

The introduction of electron-withdrawing groups (e.g., –CF3, –CN, –F, –Cl, –Br, and –SO3Na) and electron-donating groups (e.g., –NH2, –CH3, –OCH3, –OLi, and –ONa) is the common way to tune the working potentials of organic materials, since these groups can adjust the energy level of lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO). Low LUMO energy level means high electron affinity, resulting in high working potential. For instance, by introducing electron-withdrawing atoms (S, O, and N) to 9,10-anthraquinone (AQ), our group designed three organic molecules, benzo[1,2-b:4,5-b']dithiophene-4,8-dione (BDTD), benzofuro[5,6-b]furan-4,8-dione (BFFD), and pyrido[3,4,5-]isooquinoline-5,10-dione (PID; Figure 3A). As shown in Figures 3B and 3C, the first reduction potentials of BDTD (2.52 V), BFFD (2.61 V), and PID (2.71 V) are higher than that of AQ (2.27 V). The results are well consistent with theoretical calculations (Figure 3D), where the first reduction potentials exhibit
a linear correlation with the corresponding calculated LUMO energies. In contrast, carboxylates with electron-donating groups (such as –OLi) generally exhibit low discharge potentials and can be used as anode materials.\textsuperscript{39} To understand clearly how functional groups affect the working potential, we summarize the potential change of BQ or naphthoquinone (NQ) after introducing the common groups (Table 2).\textsuperscript{40–45} In addition to the intrinsic properties of substituent groups, their number can also affect the working potentials.\textsuperscript{41} Moreover, the working potentials of organic materials can be tuned by the relative position of active groups.\textsuperscript{46,47} For instance, in the dihydroxyterephthaloyl systems, the discharge potential exhibits a positive shift of about 300 mV from the para to ortho position of active groups because of the favorable Coulombic interaction (Figure 3E).\textsuperscript{46}

In addition, theoretical analysis indicates that it is feasible to tune working potential of organic molecules by designing a different conjugated structure. For example, Wu et al. studied the relationship between the electron delocalization (aromaticity) and the discharge potential of carbonyl containing polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons.\textsuperscript{48} The results reveal that high discharge potential would be achieved if the aromaticity increases after lithiation. The aromaticity change after lithiation can be expressed by an index ($\Delta C_{2Li}$), which obeys the equation\textsuperscript{48}

$$\Delta C_{2Li} = \frac{\Delta C}{0.5 \times \Delta Li},$$

(Equation 3)

where $\Delta C_{2Li}$ is the average change of Clar sextet numbers after two Li atoms are absorbed, $\Delta C$ is the change of Clar sextet numbers during lithiation, and $\Delta Li$ is the number of adsorbed Li atoms. According to Equation 3, the $\Delta C_{2Li}$ of some representative organic molecules can be obtained (Figure 3F). In particular, the theoretical discharge voltage of phenanthrene-2,7-dione (Figure 3F) could exceed 3 V (versus Li$^+$/Li) because of the large positive value of $\Delta C_{2Li}$.

In general, the flat discharge plateau is beneficial for achieving stable voltage output. Therefore, it is meaningful to tune the shape of the discharge curve through molecular engineering. Banda et al. designed five perylene diimides (PDI, PDI-1Br, PDI-2Br, PDI-3Br, and PDI-4Br) with different dihedral angles by adjusting the number of Br (Figure 3G).\textsuperscript{49} The dihedral angles exhibit a gradual increase from PDI to PDI-4Br according to their optimized structures. As shown in Figure 3G, PDI, PDI-1Br, and PDI-2Br show two discharge plateaus, whereas both PDI-3Br and PDI-4Br exhibit one stable discharge platform, implying that the angles between two planes in organic molecules can affect the shape of their discharge curves.

**Capacity**

High specific capacity is helpful in achieving high energy density (Equation 1). The theoretical capacity of electrode materials can be calculated as\textsuperscript{37}

$$Q = \frac{nF}{3.6M_w},$$

(Equation 4)

where $Q$ is the specific capacity (mAh g$^{-1}$), $n$ is the number of transferred electrons of one molecule, $F$ is the Faraday constant (C mol$^{-1}$), and $M_w$ is the molecular weight (g mol$^{-1}$). Take AQ as an example (Figure 4A): AQ with a molecular weight of 208.2 g mol$^{-1}$ can undergo a two-electron reaction, resulting in a theoretical capacity of 257 mAh g$^{-1}$. In this section, we discuss how to design organic molecules with high theoretical capacity and then achieve the theoretical values in detail.
| Electron-          | Example  | Example  | Example  | Example  | Example  | Example  |
|-------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Withdrawing       | –CF₃     | –CN      | –F       | –Cl      | –Br      | –SO₂Na   |
| Groups            | ![image](image1.png) | ![image](image2.png) | ![image](image3.png) | ![image](image4.png) | ![image](image5.png) | ![image](image6.png) |
| ΔE° (V)           | +0.3⁴⁰   | +0.6⁴⁰   | +0.5⁴¹   | +0.3⁴¹   | +(0–0.3)⁴¹ | +0.2⁴²   |
| Electron-          | –CH₃     | –OCH₃    | –NH₂     | –Ph      | –OLi     | –ONa     |
| Donating          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Groups            |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| ΔE° (V)           | –0.1⁴⁰  | –0.1⁴⁰  | –0.2⁴³  | –0.3⁴²  | –0.9⁴⁴  | –1.2⁴⁵  |

*ΔE values are based on the average discharge voltage of each molecule and the corresponding unsubstituted molecule (BQ or NQ).*
According to Equation 4, higher theoretical capacity can be achieved by designing organic materials with more redox-active sites and lower molecular weight. As shown in Figure 4A, the molecular weight gradually increases from BQ to NQ to AQ, and they all undergo two-electron reactions. Thus, their theoretical capacity follows the order BQ > NQ > AQ. The theoretical number of electrons transferred depends on the structure of organic molecules. For example, the disodium salt of terephthalate (Na₂TP) can undergo two-electron transfer, corresponding to a theoretical capacity of 255 mAh g⁻¹. When the O atoms are replaced by S atoms, the capacity will increase dramatically because of the enhanced electron delocalization and conductivity. In particular, the full S-substituted compound (sodium tetrathioterephthalate, 4S-Na₂TP; Figure 4B) can store six electrons per molecule, corresponding to a high theoretical capacity of 586 mAh g⁻¹. The high capacity is derived from the extra Na⁺-ion uptake by the aromatic rings, whose electron density improves after S substitution. This work reveals that the number of electrons accepted by organic compounds (such as the superlithiation of C=C) can be adjusted via proper molecular design.

After optimizing theoretical capacity, the following issue is how to achieve practical capacity as close as possible to the theoretical value. The practical capacity of
organic materials can be influenced by many factors such as crystallinity, particle size, conductive additives (e.g., their ratio and electronic conductivity), electrolyte, and measurement conditions (e.g., current density and voltage range). For example, the initial discharge capacity of amorphous polyethylene (92 versus 20 mAh g\(^{-1}\)) in AIBs because the effective insertion of \(\text{AlCl}_4^-\) needs a large intermolecular distance. Generally, the small particle size of organic materials is helpful in improving their practical capacity. However, the dissolution problem may become more serious with the decreased size of active materials. Additionally, more carbon additives with superior conductivity will contribute to realizing higher practical capacity because of the inferior electronic conductivity of most organic materials. Nevertheless, too many carbon additives would inevitably lead to decreased energy density of the whole batteries.

The practical capacity of organic materials can also be affected by their phase transformation during the charge-discharge process. For instance, Bao and coworkers studied the phase transformation of disodium rhodizonate \((\text{Na}_2\text{C}_6\text{O}_6)\) during charge-discharge processes. As shown in Figure 4C, the initial \(\alpha\) phase of \(\text{Na}_2\text{C}_6\text{O}_6\) can transform into the \(\gamma\) phase easily during the sodiation process, whereas the transformation from the \(\gamma\) phase to the \(\alpha\) phase is kinetically suppressed in the following desodiation process. The irreversible phase transformation leads to low practical capacity. By reducing the particle size, optimizing electrolyte (diethylene glycol dimethyl ether), and controlling charge voltage to realize reversible phase transformation between the \(\alpha\) and \(\gamma\) phases (Figure 4C), \(\text{Na}_2\text{C}_6\text{O}_6\) could deliver a reversible capacity of 484 mAh g\(^{-1}\), which is very close to the theoretical value (501 mAh g\(^{-1}\), 4Na). This work provides a valuable strategy to reach the theoretical capacity of organic materials.

### Cycling Stability

Cycling stability is also an important aspect for the application of organic electrode materials in metal-ion batteries. Organic materials, especially small organic molecules, often show poor cycling stability because of their high solubility, their discharge products, or both in electrolyte. Moreover, the dissolution problem will lead to side reactions, self-discharge problems, and low Coulombic efficiency. In addition to the dissolution issue, other factors such as volume change, pulverization of active materials, phase transformation, and the instability of intermediates would also bring about poor cycling stability. Many strategies have been reported to enhance the cycling stability, including polymerization, salification, hybridization with insoluble materials, optimization of electrolyte, and other methods such as separator modification.

#### Polymerization

Combining small molecules to form polymers is an effective way to enhance the cycling stability because of the limited solubility of polymers in aqueous and non-aqueous electrolyte. For instance, the capacity of small molecule (3,4,9,10-pyrenetetracarboxylic dianhydride) fades rapidly in aqueous MIBs and CIBs. In contrast, the anhydride-derived polyimide exhibits high capacity retention of 88% after 1,000 cycles in aqueous CIBs. Similar results can also be found in aqueous AIBs and MIBs. It is noteworthy that the solubility of polymers in electrolyte is closely related to their practical molecular weights and the property of electrolyte. For example, Zhang et al. synthesized organic radical poly(TEMPO methacrylate) (PTOMA) with different degrees of polymerization from 66 to 703 (Figure 5A). As shown in Figure 5B, the cycling stability of PTOMA...
in LIBs is enhanced with the increase of polymerization degrees, implying that larger molecular weight of polymer results in lower solubility in electrolyte. Analogously, compared with poly(1,4-anthraquinone) (P14AQ; Figure 5C), the cycling performance of poly(1,5-anthraquinone) (P15AQ) in LIBs is unsatisfactory because of its low molecular weight (about 2,300). In addition to the pristine polymers, the dissolution issue of the intermediates during the charge-discharge process could also affect the cycling stability. For instance, the dissolution of discharged poly(anthraquinonyl sulfide) (PAQS) is serious in dimethylformamide-based Mg electrolyte, leading to fast capacity decay. In contrast, P14AQ shows good cycling performance in MIBs (Figure 5D) attributable to the limited solubility of the intermediates. Although the cycling stability of small carbonyl compounds could be enhanced by polymerization, the obtained polymers generally exhibit lower...
discharge voltage than corresponding monomers, and their charge-discharge curves become sloping. Moreover, the practical capacity of polymers is usually lower than the theoretical value because of the limited utilization of active sites.

Compared with conventional polymers, two-dimensional (2D) conjugated polymers such as covalent organic frameworks (COFs) generally possess high specific area and porous structure. As a result, they often exhibit high activity in metal-ion batteries. For example, COFs (Figure 5E), which consist of benzene and triazine rings, can serve as the electrode of SIBs and display high capacity retention of 80% over 7,000 cycles. In addition, Zhang’s group prepared well-defined single-layer 2D nitrogen-rich graphene-like COFs (NG-COFs; Figure 5F). The single-layer NG-COFs with 11.65 Å in micropores and a packing distance of 3.34 Å are shown in Figure 5G. As anode materials for LIBs, the NG-COFs exhibit high reversible capacity of 1,320 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at 20 mA g\(^{-1}\) and long cycling life of 600 cycles. However, the redox mechanism during the charge-discharge processes needs to be investigated. Additionally, the electrochemical performance of COFs in different metal-ion batteries such as LIBs, KIBs, and MIBs require further study.

Salification
Introducing high-polarity groups into organic materials to form organic salts can also enhance their cycling stability because of the limited dissolution in aprotic electrolyte. The groups with high polarity mainly include –COOM (M represents metals such as Li, Na, and K), –OM, and –SO\(_3\)Na. Some typical organic molecules with these functional groups have been used in metal-ion batteries, as listed in Figure 6A. However, since they tend to dissolve in aqueous electrolyte, they would be not suitable for application in aqueous metal-ion batteries.

Tarascon’s group first reported the conjugated Li\(_2\)TP as anode materials for LIBs in 2009. Subsequently, Na\(_2\)TP and K\(_2\)TP were applied in SIBs and KIBs, respectively. Thanks to the high polarity of –COOK, K\(_2\)TP could exhibit a high capacity...
retention of 94.6% over 500 cycles at 1 A g\(^{-1}\) with a Coulombic efficiency of 100% (Figure 6B).\(^{62}\) Analogously, to inhibit the dissolution of azobenzene (AB) in electrolyte, Luo et al. introduced two –COOM (M = Li, Na) groups to the structure of AB, forming ADALS or azobenzene-4,4’-dicarboxylic acid sodium salt (ADASS).\(^{33,34}\) As shown in Figures 6C and 6D, the cycling stability of ADASS is much better than that of AB, demonstrating the effective function of –COONa toward mitigating dissolution. A similar result can be obtained by introducing –COOLi to AB for application in LIBs.\(^{33}\)

Organic materials with -OM groups also show limited dissolution in aprotic electrolyte. For instance, disodiated 2,5-dihydroxy-1,4-benzoquinone (Na\(_2\)DBQ; Figure 6A) without extra modification could exhibit long cycle life up to 300 cycles.\(^{45}\) A similar result can be obtained in Mg-Li dual-ion batteries with Na\(_2\)C\(_6\)O\(_6\) as the cathode.\(^{64}\) By combining –OM and –COOM (M = Li, Na), both tetralithium salt of 2,5-dihydroxyterephthalic acid (Li\(_4\)DHTPA) and the corresponding tetrasodium salt (Na\(_4\)DHTPA) can be used as the cathode and anode materials to construct symmetric batteries.\(^{65,66}\) The resultant full SIBs exhibited a capacity retention of 76% after 100 cycles at 0.1 C.\(^{66}\) Other groups such as -SO\(_3\)Na have also been introduced into organic molecules to improve the cycling stability.\(^{32,67}\) For example, adding two -SO\(_3\)Na groups in AQ can form anthraquinone-1,5-disulfonic acid sodium salt (AQDS; Figure 6A), which shows better cycling performance than pristine AQ.\(^{67}\) However, the introduction of inactive groups with large molecular weight (e.g., -SO\(_3\)Na) will inevitably lead to reduced capacity.

**Hybridization with Insoluble Materials**

In addition to the aforementioned molecular engineering approaches, combining organic materials with insoluble substrates (e.g., various carbon materials) is also helpful in reducing their dissolution in electrolyte because of the strong interactions between substrates and organic molecules. The interactions include physisorption, chemical bonding, or both. For example, Kang and coworkers combined lumiflavine (LF) with single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) through sonication, followed by filtering.\(^{68}\) The schematic diagram of \(\pi-\pi\) interactions between SWCNTs and aromatic structured LF is shown in Figure 7A. The physical interactions are confirmed by Raman spectra, where blue shifting occurs in the LF-SWCNTs composites (Figure 7B). The composites with 40–50 wt % LF show a high capacity retention of 99.7% after 100 cycles (Figure 7C). This method can be extended to other aromatic structured organic molecules such as AQ. However, the cycling performance becomes inferior when the content of LF reaches 60 wt % (Figure 7C). In this state, there are some extra LFs that cannot adhere on the surface of SWCNTs to form \(\pi-\pi\) interactions. Therefore, the shortcoming of this method is the limited mass loading of active materials, which restricts the energy density.\(^{30,68}\) This problem can also be found in the composites of graphene and Juglone, where the optimized content of Juglone is only 30.4 wt %.\(^{69}\) Encapsulation of organic active materials in CNTs could improve the active mass loading to some extent.\(^{70}\) In addition to graphene and CNTs, other insoluble materials such as CMK-3 have also been reported to combine with organic materials to suppress the dissolution issue.\(^{71}\) Moreover, recent research reveals that the nanoconfinement effect of CMK-3 could alleviate the phase transformation-induced capacity decay of p-chloranil in aqueous ZIBs.\(^{72}\)

Compared with physical interactions, covalent grafting of organic molecules on insoluble substrates would be more effective in mitigating the dissolution problem because of the stronger interactions.\(^{73,74}\) For instance, Lin et al. fabricated lithium-organic radical batteries by using PTMA-based brush/SiO\(_2\) composites as cathode,
whereby the brushes were covalently grafted on SiO2 nanoparticles (Figure 7D).\textsuperscript{73} Thanks to the restrained dissolution of active materials, the batteries could exhibit a long cycle life of 300 cycles. However, the insulating SiO2 may affect the rate performance of batteries. In contrast, using conductive substrates such as carbon black and current collector to fix organic molecules is beneficial in improving the rate capability at the same time. For example, Park’s group attached 2,3-diamino-1,4-naphthoquinone (DANQ) on –COOH-based porous current collector through chemical reactions (Figure 7E).\textsuperscript{43} The composite cathode exhibited long cycling life (500 cycles) and high rate capability of 84 mAh g\textsuperscript{-1} at 50 C, implying the positive function of covalent grafting between organic molecules and conductive insoluble substrates.

**Optimization of Electrolyte**

Optimizing the electrolyte is another effective way to enhance the cycling stability of organic electrode materials because the property of electrolyte can directly affect the dissolution behavior. Increasing the concentration of electrolyte and developing quasi- or all-solid-state electrolyte are beneficial in mitigating the dissolution issue. To investigate the effect of electrolyte concentration, our group developed CF\textsubscript{3}SO\textsubscript{3}Na/triethylene glycol dimethyl ether (TEGDME) with different concentrations of 1, 2, 3, and 4 mol L\textsuperscript{-1}.\textsuperscript{71} As shown in Figure 8A, the electrolytes with lower concentration (1–3 mol L\textsuperscript{-1}) turned yellow after 1 day, indicating the dissolution of AQ. In contrast, the color was nearly unchanged even after 10 days in the electrolyte with high salt concentration (4 mol L\textsuperscript{-1}). The batteries with the highest concentration of electrolyte (4 mol L\textsuperscript{-1}) exhibited the best cycling performance (Figure 8B). The
Similar to electrolyte with high concentration, developing quasi-solid-state electrolyte is also effective in improving the cycling performance of organic materials. For instance, the LIBs with poly(methacrylate) (PMA)/poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG)-based quasi-solid-state electrolyte (Figure 8C) and calix[4]quinone (C4Q) cathode show good capacity retention of 89.8% after 100 cycles (Figure 8D).75 However, the PMA/PEG-based electrolyte still contains a liquid component (dimethyl sulfoxide), which might evaporate at high temperature, followed by decreased ionic conductivity of electrolyte. Thus, developing all-solid-state electrolyte for organic batteries is necessary. Recently, Yao’s group fabricated all-solid-state SIBs by using Na3PS4 as the electrolyte and Na4C6O6 as the cathode (Figure 8E).76 Scanning electron microscopy images and corresponding mappings in Figure 8F verify the good contact between organic cathode and electrolyte. The ionic conductivity of Na3PS4 electrolyte is 0.39 mS cm$^{-1}$ at 60°C. The batteries could exhibit a capacity retention of 70% after 400 cycles at 0.2 C (Figure 8G). However, the Na3PS4 electrolyte is not suitable for batteries with high-voltage organic cathode materials because its anodic decomposition potential is only 2.7 V (versus Na$^+$/Na). Developing all-solid-state electrolyte may be an ultimate way to realize state-of-the-art metal-organic batteries for practical applications if the ionic conductivity, chemical and electrochemical stability, and interface issue of solid-state electrolyte can be addressed properly.

**Other Approaches**

In addition to the dissolution problem, other issues such as instability of intermediates, volume change, and pulverization could also affect the cycling stability of other organic materials.
organic electrode materials. Many methods have been reported to solve these problems, including designing specific molecular structure, reducing particle size, utilizing multifunctional binders, and modifying separators.

As shown in Figure 9A, DCCA will generate α-C radical intermediate after combining with three Na⁺ ions and electrons. Because of the poor stability of the produced radical intermediates, undesired side reactions tend to occur, forming inactive precipitates irreversibly. Thus, the cycling stability of DCCA is rather poor. To solve this problem, Lu’s group utilized resonance and steric effects to design specific organic molecules with stable α-C radical intermediates. One example is (tris N-salicylideneanthraquinonylamine) (TSAQ), which can stabilize the radical intermediates through π-π conjunction, 9-π-electron aromatic Hückel ring, and the steric effect of the rigid geometry (Figure 9B). Therefore, the TSAQ anode could exhibit ultralong cycling life over 2,500 cycles at 1 A g⁻¹. A similar phenomenon can be found in other organic materials. For example, the radical intermediates of poly(3-vinyl-N-methylphenothiazine) (PVMPT) are stabilized via π-π interactions, resulting in high capacity retention of 93% after 10,000 cycles at 10 C. However, the specific capacity of PVMPT is lower than 80 mAh g⁻¹. These works reveal that the stability of intermediates has significant influence on the cycling stability of organic electrode materials.

Although some oxocarbon salts such as rhodizonate salt (Li₂C₆O₆, Na₂C₆O₆) and croconic acid salt (Na₂C₅O₅) are difficult to dissolve in aprotic electrolyte, they still show unsatisfactory cycling performance, which can be ascribed to the large volume change and pulverization of active materials during charge-discharge processes. Among different Na₂C₆O₆ samples with microbulk, microrod, and nanorod morphologies (Figure 9C), the Na₂C₆O₆ nanorod shows the best cycling stability because the nanorod structure can mitigate pulverization, improve utilization of active materials, and enhance kinetics. To further enhance the cycling stability of Na₂C₆O₆, Wang’s group proposed self-healing chemistry between hydroxyl-rich binder (such as sodium alginate) and

Figure 9. Improvement of Cycling Stability by Other Approaches
(A and B) Sodiation and desodiation mechanisms of DCCA (A) and TSAQ (B). Reprinted from Wu et al. Licensed under CC BY 4.0.
(C) SEM images of Na₂C₆O₆ with different morphologies (microbulk, microrod, and nanorod). Reprinted with permission from Wang et al. Copyright 2016 American Chemical Society.
(D) Schematic diagram of hydrogen bonds between Na₂C₆O₆ and sodium alginate binder. Reprinted from Luo et al.
(E) Schematic illustration of the Li-IDAQ cell configuration with cation-selective sandwich-type separator. Reprinted with permission from Song et al. Copyright 2016 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA.
oxygen-rich active materials (such as Na$_2$C$_6$O$_6$). The Na$_2$C$_6$O$_6$ nanoparticles derived from pulverization during the cycling process can be absorbed by the sodium alginate binder because of the existence of strong hydrogen bonds between them (Figure 9D). Thus, the batteries show long cycling life of 500 cycles with capacity decay of 0.051% per cycle. This work provides an effective way to alleviate the pulverization-induced capacity degradation of oxygen-rich active materials. Additionally, designing specific binders such as conductive poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene):poly(styrenesulfonate) to generate strong interactions with organic active molecules is beneficial in mitigating the dissolution problem.

In addition to the various molecular engineering and electrode design methods mentioned above, using modified separators has also been proved to be effective in enhancing the cycling stability. For example, Song et al. designed a polypropylene/Nafion/polypropylene sandwich-type separator, which only permits cation migration (Figure 9E). Thus, the shuttle effect induced by the dissolution of organic materials can be eliminated effectively. The LIBs with the modified separator and 1,1'-iminodianthraquinone (IDAQ) cathode exhibit a capacity retention of 76% over 400 cycles and high Coulombic efficiency (>99.6%). Analogously, by means of the cation-selective property of Nafion, our group constructed very stable aqueous Zn-C4Q batteries (up to 1,000 cycles) with Nafion as the separator. However, using ion selective separators cannot solve the dissolution issue fundamentally.

Rate Performance

Similar to energy density, power density is also an important parameter in the evaluation of rechargeable batteries, which can be obtained as follows:

\[
P = E \times I,
\]  
(Equation 5)

where \(P\) is the power, \(E\) is the output voltage, and \(I\) is the current. Power density reflects the charge-discharge ability of organic electrode materials at different rates. In the achievement of high power density, the key issue is to enhance rate performance. High rate performance requires fast electron and ion transfer during charge-discharge processes. Clearly, rate performance of organic materials can be affected by many factors, including their intrinsic properties and external conditions (e.g., electrolyte and conductive additives). The favorable intrinsic properties are as follows: fast reaction kinetics of active functional groups, and high conductivity and small particle size of active materials. In this section, we summarize the approaches used to improve rate performance of organic electrode materials from two aspects, namely molecular engineering and electrode design.

Molecular Engineering

The electron transfer in organic molecules can be enhanced by rational molecular design such as introducing conductive units and extending conjugated structure. For instance, on the basis of the backbone-insulated poly[(N,N'-bis(2-octyldodecyl)-1,4,5,8-naphthalenedicarboximide-2,6-diyl)-alt-5,5'-[2,2'-(1,2-ethanediyl)bithiophene]] (P(NDI2OD-TET)), Liang and coworkers designed \(\pi\)-conjugated poly[(N,N'-bis(2-octyldodecyl)-1,4,5,8-naphthalenedicarboximide-2,6-diyl)-alt-5,5'-[2,2'-(1,2-ethanediyl)bithiophene]] (P(NDI2OD-T2)) with conductive main chains (Figure 10A). After more than 20 mol % n-doping, the electronic conductivity of P(NDI2OD-T2) is much higher than that of P(NDI2OD-TET) (10$^{-4}$ versus 10$^{-7}$ S cm$^{-1}$). Thanks to its highly conductive backbone, P(NDI2OD-T2) could exhibit 95% of its theoretical capacity at an ultrahigh rate of 100 C (72 s per cycle). However, the capacity of P(NDI2OD-T2) is lower than 60 mAh g$^{-1}$ because of
the large molecular weight of the monomer. The design method can also be extended to develop other analogous \(\pi\)-conjugated redox polymers (e.g., the covalent grafting of TEMPO units on conductive PAN). After introducing a conductive backbone, the sulfide-based polymer could still maintain 35% material activity at a high rate of 120 C (full charge and discharge in 30 s) in aqueous ZIBs. Much better rate performance (1,600 C, 4.5 s per cycle) could be achieved by electropolymerizing 3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene within the pores of COFs because of the remarkably enhanced electronic conductivity.

Extending the \(\pi\)-conjugated structure of Na\(_2\)TP can form sodium 4,4'-stilbene-dicarboxylate (SSDC, Figure 10B). Compared with Na\(_2\)TP, SSDC exhibits enhanced charge transport, stable charged and discharged states, and improved intermolecular interactions, which are very beneficial for the sodiation and desodiation processes. Thus, SSDC still shows good capacity retention at a high rate of 10 A g\(^{-1}\). Nevertheless, as anode materials, the relatively low reversible capacity (<300 mAh g\(^{-1}\)) of SSDC could hardly satisfy the demand for practical applications. Further research should focus on designing highly conjugated molecules with more active sites per weight to achieve both high power density and high capacity. Additionally, constructing single-ion conducting redox-active materials is also an effective way to enhance the rate capability. For example, after introducing -SO\(_3\)Li pendants to P(4VC\(_{100}\)), Patil et al. obtained the single-ion conducting P(4VC\(_{86}\)-stat-LiSS\(_{34}\)) polymer (Figure 10C). The reduced product can be stabilized and the ion transport from the active sites into the electrolyte can be improved by the -SO\(_3\)Li pendants, resulting in high capacity of 96 mAh g\(^{-1}\) even at an extremely high rate of 600 C (6 s for whole discharge). It is noteworthy that the mass loading of active materials is only 20 wt % in the electrode.

**Electrode Design**

In addition to the structure of organic molecules, their specific area and the addition of conductive substrates also have much influence on the rate performance. High specific area is helpful for sufficient electrolyte infiltration and fast electron and ion diffusion, resulting in high rate capability. For example, the pristine 2,6-diamino-anthraquinone-based COFs (DAAQ-COFs) suffer from sluggish diffusion resulting from the dense stacking of planar sheets (Figure 11A). After ball milling, the dense COFs can be exfoliated into nanosheets with thickness of approximately 5 nm (Figure 11B). Compared with pristine materials, the exfoliated COFs exhibit.
better capacity retention (50%) at 3 A g\(^{-1}\)). Another approach to increase the specific area of organic materials is by decreasing their particle size. Combining organic materials with various highly conductive substrates such as graphene, CNTs, and carbon fibers can also enhance their rate capability in metal-ion batteries such as LIBs, ZIBs, and MIBs.\(^{24,64,89,91,92}\) The successful hybridization can be realized by simple mixing or in situ synthesis. For instance, Song et al. prepared the PAQS/graphene composites through in situ polymerization (Figure 11C).\(^{91}\) Compared with pure PAQS, the composite with 26 wt % graphene exhibit higher electronic conductivity (6.4 \(\times\) 10\(^{-3}\) versus 1 \(\times\) 10\(^{-11}\) S cm\(^{-1}\)) and larger surface area (153 versus 30 cm\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\)). As a result, the composite can still maintain a discharge capacity of 100 mAh g\(^{-1}\) even at 100 C (16 s for whole discharge, Figure 11D). Analogously, the composite of Na\(_2\)C\(_6\)O\(_6\) and graphene delivered a high capacity of 175 mAh g\(^{-1}\) even at 5 A g\(^{-1}\) in Mg-Li dual-ion batteries.\(^{64}\) In general, to ensure good rate performance as well as high energy density, one should take into account the homogeneity of composites and the content and electronic conductivity of substrates.

In this section, the strategies toward enhancing the electrochemical performance (output voltage, capacity, cycling stability, and rate performance) of organic electrode materials in various metal-ion batteries have been overviewed. To help achieve more comprehensive understanding, we summarize the reported strategies in Figure 12. Generally, the strategies mainly include three aspects: molecular engineering, electrode design, and electrolyte and separator optimization. When specific strategies are to be adopted, the voltage, capacity, cycling stability, and rate
performance of organic materials should be considered simultaneously to achieve good comprehensive performance.

SUMMARY OF PERFORMANCE

Through various effective approaches, organic electrode materials can show enhanced electrochemical performance. The reported performance of different types of representative organic electrode materials in various metal-ion batteries are summarized in Table 3. The structural formulas of all organic molecules mentioned in Table 3 can be seen in Figure 13. The working principle and active sites of organic materials in different metal-ion batteries are similar. Thus, it is feasible to apply the same organic molecule in various metal-ion batteries. However, the electrochemical performance of organic electrode materials generally varies with the type of metal-ion batteries, which mainly results from the different ionic radius and valence of metal ions. The common rules can be summarized as follows:

(1) The working voltage of organic molecules would be reduced when the ionic radius of metal ions increases. Additionally, the voltage of the same molecule in multivalent-ion batteries is often higher than that in alkali metal-ion batteries. For example, the average discharge voltage of PAQS in metal-ion batteries follows the order MIBs > LIBs > SIBs > KIBs after eliminating the standard potential gap among the different metal anodes.
Table 3. Summary of the Electrochemical Performance of Representative Organic Electrode Materials in Various Metal-Ion Batteries

| Battery Systems | Materials          | Examples | Voltage (V) | Capacity<sup>a</sup> (mAh g<sup>-1</sup>) | Cycling Life (No. of Cycles) | Rate Capability (mAh g<sup>-1</sup>) | Active Materials Ratio<sup>b</sup> |
|-----------------|--------------------|----------|-------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Non-aqueous LIBs| conductive polymers| PPy<sup>15</sup> | 3.0         | 140                                       | 100                          | 110 (0.4 A g<sup>-1</sup>)            | 80%                             |
|                 | organosulfur       | DMTS<sup>12</sup> | 2.0         | 658                                       | 50                           | 317 (0.849 A g<sup>-1</sup>)           | 57%                             |
|                 | compounds          | PDDBT<sup>12</sup> | 2.2         | 378                                       | 20                           | –                                    | 40%                             |
|                 | organic radicals   | PTMA<sup>14</sup> | 3.5         | 222                                       | 20,000                       | 80 (200 C)                           | 10%                             |
|                 | carbonyl compounds | DTT<sup>12</sup> | 1.5–3.0     | 292                                       | 200                          | 220 (0.285 A g<sup>-1</sup>)           | 60%                             |
|                 | imine compounds    | PBQ<sup>39</sup> | 2.67        | 275                                       | 1,000                        | 198 (5 A g<sup>-1</sup>)              | 60%                             |
|                 | cyano compounds    | Na<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>O<sub>6</sub> | 2.1       | 174                                       | 1,500                        | 115 (5 A g<sup>-1</sup>)              | 70%                             |
|                 | azo compounds      | PBQS<sup>59</sup> | 2.08        | 268                                       | 140                          | 141 (2.41 A g<sup>-1</sup>)           | 60%                             |
|                 | multiple carbon    | Li<sub>2</sub>TP<sup>23</sup> | 0.5       | 220                                       | 400                          | 72 (10 A g<sup>-1</sup>)              | 50%                             |
|                 | bonds              | P<sub>4</sub>N<sub>3</sub>P<sub>4</sub><sup>52</sup> | 0.53       | 261                                       | 500                          | 185 (1 A g<sup>-1</sup>)              | 60%                             |
| Non-aqueous SIBs| conductive polymers| PANS<sup>53</sup> | 2.4–3.6     | 133                                       | 200                          | 76 (0.8 A g<sup>-1</sup>)              | 60%                             |
|                 | organic radicals   | PTMA<sup>15</sup> | 2.1, 3.36   | 222                                       | 100                          | 190 (5 C)                            | 63%                             |
|                 | carbonyl compounds | Na<sub>2</sub>Ca<sub>3</sub>(OH)<sub>6</sub> | 2.1      | 174                                       | 1,500                        | 115 (5 A g<sup>-1</sup>)              | 70%                             |
|                 | imine compounds    | PBQ<sup>39</sup> | 2.08        | 268                                       | 140                          | 141 (2.41 A g<sup>-1</sup>)           | 60%                             |
|                 | cyano compounds    | Na<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>O<sub>6</sub> | 2.1      | 174                                       | 1,500                        | 115 (5 A g<sup>-1</sup>)              | 70%                             |
|                 | azo compounds      | PBQS<sup>59</sup> | 2.08        | 268                                       | 140                          | 141 (2.41 A g<sup>-1</sup>)           | 60%                             |
|                 | multiple carbon    | Li<sub>2</sub>TP<sup>23</sup> | 0.5       | 220                                       | 400                          | 72 (10 A g<sup>-1</sup>)              | 50%                             |
| Non-aqueous KIBs| conductive polymers| PTPAn<sup>97</sup> | 3.5–3.8     | 100                                       | 60                           | –                                    | 80%                             |
|                 | carbonyl compounds | PTCDA<sup>18</sup> | 2.2–3.0     | 131                                       | 200                          | 73 (0.5 A g<sup>-1</sup>)              | 70%                             |
|                 | imine compounds    | PAQS<sup>99</sup> | 1.6–2.2     | 190                                       | 200                          | –                                    | 70%                             |
|                 | azo compounds      | ADASS<sup>14</sup> | 1.25       | 170                                       | 2,000                        | 71 (40 C)                            | 60%                             |
| Non-aqueous MIBs| organosulfur       | CSM-PAn<sup>11</sup> | 1.4        | 117                                       | 22                           | –                                    | 77%                             |
|                 | compounds          | P14AQ<sup>18</sup> | 1.1–1.8    | 133                                       | 1,000                        | 48 (1.3 A g<sup>-1</sup>)             | 40%                             |
| Non-aqueous ZIBs| conductive polymers| PEDOT<sup>17</sup> | 1.2        | 42                                        | 100                          | 27 (8 A g<sup>-1</sup>)              | 85%                             |
|                 | conjugated polymers| PNPP<sup>52</sup> | 1.7        | 150                                       | 1,000                        | 79 (2 A g<sup>-1</sup>)              | 50%                             |
| Aqueous LIBs     | carbonyl compounds | PNTCD<sub>A</sub><sup>15</sup> | –0.7 to –0.4 (versus SCE) | 157 | 50,000 | 25 (100 A g<sup>-1</sup>) | 60% |
| Aqueous SIBs     | carbonyl compounds | PNTCD<sub>A</sub><sup>15</sup> | –0.8 to –0.4 (versus SCE) | 140 | 50,000 | 28 (100 A g<sup>-1</sup>) | 60% |
| Aqueous KIBs     | carbonyl compounds | PAQS<sup>54,15</sup> | –0.6 (versus SHE) | 200 | 1,350 | 162 (4.5 A g<sup>-1</sup>) | 70% |
| Aqueous MIBs     | carbonyl compounds | PPTQ<sup>54,15</sup> | 0.04 (versus SHE) | 144 | 1,000 | 120 (0.28 A g<sup>-1</sup>) | 60% |
| Aqueous ZIBs     | conductive polymers| PANMTM<sup>19</sup> | 1.1        | 146                                       | 150                          | 110 (5 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>)            | 100%                            |
|                 | organosulfur       | PexTTF<sup>16</sup> | 0.9–1.3    | 100                                       | 10,000                       | 47 (15.96 A g<sup>-1</sup>)           | 50%                             |
|                 | radicals           | PTVE<sup>23</sup> | 1.73        | 131                                       | 500                          | 131 (60 C)                           | 100%                            |
|                 | carbonyl compounds | CAQ<sup>83</sup> | 1.0        | 335                                       | 1,000                        | 172 (1 A g<sup>-1</sup>)             | 60%                             |

(Continued on next page)
The capacity (utilization of active sites) is related to the valence of metal ions and steric hindrance. For instance, the carbonyl utilization of P14AQ and C4Q in LIBs could achieve 100%, whereas the utilization decreases in non-aqueous Mg-P14AQ (50%) and aqueous Zn-C4Q (75%) batteries.56,58,75,83

The volume change of organic materials would be more serious after combining with larger metal ions, leading to poor cycling stability. For example, compared with LIBs, the capacity retention of pteridine derivatives in SIBs is only 50% after 20 cycles.30

The rate performance of organic electrode materials can be affected by the migration and desolvation of metal ions. For example, the rate capability of poly(1,4,5,8-naphthalenetetracarboxylic dianhydride) in aqueous CIBs is better than that in aqueous MIBs because of the smaller ionic radius and more facile desolvation of hydrated Ca2+ ions.53

### CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

In summary, this review provides an overview of different types of organic electrode materials and effective strategies to enhance their electrochemical performance (output voltage, capacity, cycling stability, and rate performance) in various metal-ion batteries (LIBs, SIBs, KIBs, MIBs, ZIBs, AIBs, and CIBs). The common challenges of organic electrode materials are the high solubility in electrolyte, low intrinsic electronic conductivity, and large volume change. Polymerization, salification, hybridization with insoluble substrates, and optimization of electrolyte, binder, and separator are helpful in suppressing the dissolution problem. The electronic conductivity of organic materials can be enhanced by molecular engineering (conductive and conjugated structure and single-ion conductor) and combination with conductive substrates. Nanosizing and using a functional binder are proven as effective in mitigating the volume change and pulverization of active materials. Among all the strategies, developing all-solid-state electrolyte for organic batteries with nanosized active materials and highly conductive additives would be a good way to solve these challenges simultaneously.

Although great progress has been achieved, there is still a long way to go before realizing large-scale applications of organic electrode materials in metal-ion batteries.2808

### Table 3. Continued

| Battery Systems | Materials | Examples | Voltage (V) | Capacitym (mAh g−1) | Cycling Life (No. of Cycles) | Rate Capability (mAh g−1) | Active Materials Ratio |
|-----------------|-----------|----------|-------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Aqueous CIBs    | carbonyl compounds | PNTCDA53 | −0.5 (versus Ag/AgCl) | 160 | 4,000 | 115 (3.7 A g−1) | 60% |

PPy, polypyrrole; DMTS, dimethyl trisulfide; PDDTB, poly[1,4-di(1,3-dithiolan-2-y1)benzene]; PTMA, poly[2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-1-piperidinyl]oxy-4-yl methacrylate; DTT, dibenzothiophene-5,7,12,14-tetraone; PBQS, polybenzoquinonyl sulfide; DAAQ-COFs, 2,6-diamino-anthraquinone-based COFs; Li4DHTPA, tetra-lithium salt of 2,5-dihydroxyterephthalic acid; 3Q, fused N-heteroaromatic triquinoxalinyline molecules; DCA, 9,10-dicyanoanthracene; ADALS, azobenzene-4,4’-dicarboxylic acid lithium salt; PPCQ, poly[1,4-dihydro-11H-pyraino[2’,3’,4’,5’,6,7,8,9,10]cyclopenta[1,2-b][quinoxaline-11-one]; PANS, poly(aniline-co-aminobenzensulfonic sodium); Na2C6O6, disodium rhodizionate; BP-COFs, bipolar porous COFs; SSDC, sodium 4,4’-stilbene-dicarboxylate; O2Na, -COONa-based oligomeric Schiff base; ADASS, azobenzene-4,4’-dicarboxylic acid sodium salt; PPtA, polytriphenylamine, PTCDA, 3,4,9,10-perylenetetracarboxylic dianhydride; PAQS, poly[anythraquinonyl sulfide]; KTP, potassium terephthalate; CSM-PAn, conductive sulfur-containing material-polyaniline; P14AQ, 1,4-polyanthraquinone; PEDOT, poly[3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene]; PNPP, poly[nitropyrene-co-pyrene]; PNTCDA, poly[1,4,5,8-naphthalenetetracarboxylic dianhydride]; PPTO, poly[nitropyrene-4,5,9,10-tetraone] derivative; PANNa, poly(aniline-co-N-methylthionine); PexTTF, 9,10-dilithium-2-yldiene); 9,10-diethylnaphthacene-based polymer; PTVE, poly[2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidinyl]oxy-4-yl vinyl ether]; C4Q, calix[4]quione; SCE, saturated calomel electrode; SHE, standard hydrogen electrode.

*The capacity refers to the highest reversible discharge capacity.

bThe active materials ratio means the mass fraction of organic active materials in working electrodes.

cThe corresponding cathodes for PNTCDA, PAQS, and PPTO are Li+ Ni(OH)2, and Mg,CuHCF, respectively, and the capacities are based on the mass of organic anodes in full batteries.
Figure 13. Structural Formulas of All Organic Molecules Mentioned in Table 3
batteries. This can be attributed to the following issues. (1) Because of their low electronic conductivity, large amounts of conductive carbons are added in the organic electrodes, leading to decreased energy density of the whole batteries. Moreover, the costs of some carbon materials with excellent conductivity, such as graphene and CNTs, are relatively high. (2) Organic materials consist of light elements (e.g., C, H, and O) and, as a result, their tap densities are generally low, which results in low volumetric energy density. (3) Since most n-type organic cathode materials do not contain metal ions initially, the anode sides need to provide metal ions, leading to limited available anode materials. Although p-type organic materials are not plagued by this problem, they have to consume large amounts of electrolyte. (4) Many organic materials, especially small molecules, show inferior thermal stability. In addition, the chemical stability of some organic molecules such as LiDHTPA (unstable in air) is not good. (5) Some high-performance organic materials such as carbonyl polymers can only be obtained by a complex fabrication process, which inevitably increases their production cost and limits their practical applications.

Considering the aforementioned problems, we strongly suggest that further research focus on the following aspects. First, elaborate molecular engineering could be developed to improve the intrinsic electronic conductivity and tap density of organic electrode materials and thus enhance the whole gravimetric and volumetric energy density of batteries. Second, high-performance solid-state electrolyte can be prepared for the assembly of metal-organic batteries because of their considerable energy density, high safety, and good cycling stability. Third, highly stable organic materials with metal ions initially are desired. Fourth and finally, for further applications, large-scale production of high-performance and stable organic materials via facile and scalable methods with low cost must be considered.
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