Assessment of Maternal and Perinatal Morbidity and Mortality in Eclampsia by Early Termination of Pregnancy in Bangladesh
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Background: Eclampsia is commonly defined as new onset of grand mal seizure activity and/or unexplained coma during pregnancy or postpartum in a woman with signs or symptoms of pre-eclampsia. Objective: The objective of this study was to assess the maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality in eclampsia by early termination of pregnancy.

Methodology and Materials: This was a descriptive observational study conducted in the Department of Gynecology & Obstetrics, Uttara Adhunik Medical College and Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh during the period from January 2017 to December 2019. In total 188 pregnant women admitted to the mentioned hospital with antepartum eclampsia were finalized as the study population. This study was approved by the ethical committee of the same hospital. Between the age group, maternal and perinatal outcomes were compared. Data were analyzed using statistical methods with SPSS version 22.

Results: In this study 7(9.86%) maternal deaths had occurred among 71 vaginal deliveries. 6(5.36%) maternal deaths had occurred among 112 caesarean deliveries. Besides these, 5 cases were undelivered which all were maternal death. Among 188 cases total of 18(9.57%) maternal deaths had occurred. We did not find any significant correlation between the total number and modes of deliveries of maternal deaths (P=0.581). Among 71 vaginal deliveries, 10(14.08%) stillbirths and 28(39.44%) neonatal deaths occurred respectively; that is why in total 38(53.52%) perinatal deaths occurred against total 71 vaginal deliveries. Among 112 caesarean deliveries, 3(2.68%) stillbirths and 10(8.93%) neonatal deaths occurred respectively; that is why in total 13(11.61%) perinatal deaths occurred against total 112 caesarean deliveries. Besides these, perinatal deaths were counted as stillbirths which were 5 in number. In this study in total 56(29.79%) perinatal deaths occurred of total study people. Conclusion: In pregnant women with eclampsia frequency of caesarean delivery is near about two times than vaginal delivery. But the maternal mortality in caesarian delivery of pregnant women with eclampsia is near about half of that in vaginal delivery. In such cases, perinatal mortality is about one-fifth in caesarian delivery than that of vaginal delivery.
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INTRODUCTION

Eclampsia is considered a complication of severe preeclampsia, usually defined as new onset of grand mal seizure activity and/or unexplained coma during pregnancy or postpartum in a woman with signs or symptoms of pre-eclampsia [1, 2]. It is a life threatening emergency that continues to be a major cause of serious maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality. Basically, preeclampsia and eclampsia are major causes of maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality. In the developing countries, Eclampsia is one of the most common causes of maternal mortality [3]. For improving the maternal and perinatal complications in Eclampsia, Early termination of pregnancy remains the definitive treatment [4]. Around 10% of pregnant women are affected by hypertensive disorders of pregnancy globally comprising of pre-eclampsia and eclampsia, gestational hypertension and chronic hypertension [3]. In Bangladesh, the incidence of pre-eclampsia is very high. It is about 10% to 15% of all deliveries [6]. It is higher compared to developed countries. In a study, the incidence of eclampsia in India was also found to be around 1.56% [7].
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world-wide incidence of preeclampsia is still high in spite of the significant improvement of the maternal and child care over the last decades. All over the world preeclampsia is the 3rd leading cause for maternal mortality and the 7th leading cause for the perinatal mortality [8]. In Bangladesh only 49% of women of total population is under antenatal care and only 30% women are aware of pregnancy complication during pregnancy. 13% women end their pregnancies under medical supervision and the rest of them have delivery assistance from TBA, relatives and friends or no access to obstetric care [9]. Only 29% of women get treatment for complication from medically trained provider [10]. For this reason, most preeclampsia cases remain unrecognized until severe complication such as eclampsia occurs. On the other hand, preeclampsia is the leading cause of premature termination of pregnancy, and so as intrauterine growth retardation, perinatal mortality and morbidity. Eclampsia is a preventable disease if preeclampsia is detected and treated at an early stage [11]. Good antenatal care can prevent the occurrence of eclampsia. Goals of the treatment of eclampsia are to stop and prevent seizure to control high blood pressure and prompt delivery of the baby [12].

OBJECTIVES

General Objective
- To assess the maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality in eclampsia by early termination of pregnancy.

Specific Objective
- To collect information regarding maternal mortality and morbidity in eclampsia by early termination of pregnancy.
- To collect information regarding perinatal mortality and morbidity in eclampsia by early termination of pregnancy.

METHODOLOGY & MATERIALS

This was a descriptive observational study which was conducted in the Department of Gynecology & Obstetrics, Uttara Adhunik Medical College and Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh during the period from January 2017 to December 2019. In total 188 pregnant women admitted to the mentioned hospital with antepartum eclampsia were finalized as the study population. This study was approved by the ethical committee of the same hospital. Between the patient groups, maternal and perinatal outcomes were compared. In this study, convenient sampling was used. All the pregnant women presenting with eclampsia irrespective of the booking status were included in the study. All the pregnant women presenting with eclampsia with a gestational age of less than 28 weeks were excluded from the study. After getting informed consent and ethical approval, the patients were recruited into the study. The patients were closely monitored and treated during their stay in the hospital. Maternal and perinatal outcomes were analyzed. Data were recorded in a pretested proforma and then entered in Microsoft Excel and analysis was carried out with IBM SPSS version 22. p<0.05 was considered statistically significant. Maternal and perinatal mortality was the primary outcome variable. The explanatory variables included mode of delivery and time taken for termination of pregnancy.

RESULTS

In this study, more than 50% (52.13%) respondents were from 10-35 years’ age group. Then 34.57% were from <35 years’ age group and the rest 13.30% were from <20 years’ age group. In analyzing the parity of the participants we found, among all the participants the highest (46.81%) number of participants were with primipara. Then 42% were with 2 to 4 para and the rest 11.17% of participants were with more than 5 parties. In this study, the highest number of participants had caesarean delivery which was in 59.57%. Then 37.77% had a vaginal delivery and the rest 2.66% of participants remained undelivered. In this study in analyzing the maternal mortality, we observed, in 71 cases of vaginal delivery 7 maternal death had been occurred which was 9.86% among 71 cases. On the other hand, in 112 cases of caesarean delivery 6 maternal death had been occurred which was 5.36% among 112 cases. Besides these, 5 cases were undelivered and all undelivered cases turned to maternal death. In this current study among total 188 cases, in total 18 maternal deaths had been occurred which was 9.57% against the total cases of this study. We did not find a significant correlation between the total number of cases and maternal deaths in modes of delivery where the P-value was 0.581. In analyzing the maternal morbidity among 188 participants, we found Cerebrovascular hemorrhage/disorders was comparatively highest, which was 8(4.26%), followed by Peripartum cardiomyopathy, Amniotic fluid embolism and Venous thromboembolism were 3(1.6%), Uterine rupture 2(1.06%) and finally Intrauterine fetal demise was 1(0.53%). Regarding the perinatal mortality, we observed among 71 vaginal deliveries 10 stillbirths occurred which was 14.08%, 28 neonatal deaths occurred which was 39.44%; that is why in total 38 perinatal deaths occurred which was 53.52% against total 71 vaginal deliveries. On the other hand, among 112 caesarean deliveries 3 stillbirths occurred which was 2.68%, 10 neonatal deaths were occurred which was 8.93%; that is why in total 13 perinatal deaths occurred which was 11.61% against total 112 caesarean deliveries. Besides these, perinatal deaths were counted as stillbirths which were 5 in number. So in this study in total 56 perinatal deaths were occurred which was 29.79% among total study people. There had not been any twin birth in this intervention.
Table-1: General characteristics of participants (N=188)

| Characteristics                  | n   | %    |
|----------------------------------|-----|------|
| Age group (years)                |     |      |
| Age <20                          | 25  | 13.30|
| 20–35                            | 98  | 52.13|
| >35                              | 65  | 34.57|
| Parity                           |     |      |
| Primipara                        | 79  | 42.02|
| Para 2-4                        | 88  | 46.81|
| Parity 5 or higher               | 21  | 11.17|
| Mode of delivery                 |     |      |
| Vaginal                          | 71  | 37.77|
| Caesarean delivery               | 112 | 59.57|
| Undelivered                      | 5   | 2.66 |

Fig-1: Patients Age Group Distribution

Table-2: Maternal mortality among participants (N=188)

| Mode of delivery | Total Number (n) | Death (n) | %   | P Value |
|------------------|------------------|-----------|-----|---------|
| Vaginal          | 71               | 7         | 9.86| 0.581   |
| Caesarean        | 112              | 6         | 5.36|         |
| Undelivered      | 5                | 5         | 100.0|        |
| Base             | 188              | 18        | 9.57|         |
Table-3: Maternal Morbidity among participants (N=188)

| Maternal Morbidity                           | n  | %   |
|---------------------------------------------|----|-----|
| Cerebrovascular hemorrhage/disorders        | 8  | 4.26|
| Peripartum cardiomyopathy                   | 3  | 1.6 |
| Amniotic fluid embolism                     | 3  | 1.6 |
| Venous thromboembolism                      | 3  | 1.6 |
| Uterine rupture                             | 2  | 1.06|
| Intrauterine fetal demise                   | 1  | 0.53|

Table-4: Perinatal mortality in intervention (N=188)

| Mode of delivery | Case (n) | Still birth | Neonatal death | Perinatal death |
|------------------|----------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|
|                  | n  | %   | n  | %   | n  | %   |
| Vaginal          | 71 | 10  | 14.08 | 28 | 39.44 | 38 | 53.52 |
| Caesarean        | 112 | 3  | 2.68  | 10 | 8.93  | 13 | 11.61 |
| Undelivered      | 5  | 5   | 100.0 | 0  | 0.00  | 5  | 100.0 |
| Base             | 188 | 18 | 9.57  | 38 | 20.21 | 56 | 29.79 |

DISCUSSION

The aim of this study was to assess the maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality in eclampsia by early termination of pregnancy. In this study among total 188 subjects, caesarean delivery was done in majority of the cases which was in 59.57%. On the other hand, 37.77% underwent vaginal delivery while 2.66% died before delivering the baby. A similar study done in a tertiary care hospital in Andhra Pradesh from February 2015 to 2016, observed that the vaginal delivery was done in 56% of the cases while 44% required caesarean section [13]. They observed the incidence of eclampsia was 0.58% in the hospital in 8595 deliveries [13]. They concluded that eclampsia alone is not an indication for caesarean section and also observed that mode of delivery had no significant effect on the outcome of the eclamptic [13]. In our study, maternal mortality was higher in in those undergoing vaginal delivery (9.86%) in comparison to the caesarean section group (5.36%). There were 14 perinatal deaths in eclampsia patients, giving a perinatal mortality rate of 280/1000 in the study done by study Shaikh et al., [13]. In analyzing the perinatal mortality we observed, among 71 vaginal deliveries 10 still births were occurred which was 14.08%, 28 neonatal deaths were occurred which was 39.44%; that is why in total 38 perinatal deaths were occurred which was 53.52% against total 71 vaginal deliveries. On the other hand, among 112 caesarean deliveries 3 still births were occurred which was 2.68%, 10 neonatal deaths were occurred which was 8.93%; that is why in total 13 perinatal deaths were occurred which was 11.61% against total 112 caesarean deliveries. Besides these, perinatal deaths were counted as still births which was 5 in number. So in this study in total 56 perinatal deaths were occurred which was 29.79% among total study people. In our study, perinatal mortality was higher in vaginal delivery group (53.52%) while caesarean section group suffered less perinatal losses (11.61%). In another study, the perinatal mortality was 132 per 1000 [14]. In a retrospective hospital based study done in India, total percentage of perinatal deaths due to eclampsia was 14.6% and still birth were 10.6%, 32% of babies have NICU admission [15]. Stillbirth rate was 22.5% in women presenting with eclampsia in another. In the present study, most of the patients underwent
caesarean section within 4 hours of admission, whereas majority of patients of vaginal delivery were delivered after 4 hours of admission. There was a statistically significant difference between the groups in the proportion of maternal deliveries between 1 to 4 hours of admission (p=0.017) and 4 to 12 hours of admission (p=0.034). Almost 83.3% presented within 12 hours of the onset of the fits and nearly half (44.9%) had their convulsion before the onset of labor, in the study by Yakasai et al., [14]. A prospective study conducted at the maternity unit of a tertiary teaching hospital observed the incidence of eclampsia to be 2.8% among 7,558 deliveries [16]. The mortality rate was 19.4% in their study while it was 9% in our study [16]. The duration from admission to delivery did not significantly influence mortality in their study [16]. But they observed vaginal delivery was found to be significantly associated with mortality compared with cesarean section (crude odds ratio=2.55; 95% CI=1.11–5.87; p=0.0272) and arrival at our hospital 12 hours or more after the onset of seizures increased the risk of maternal death about 22-fold [16]. Tukur et al., in their study in Nigeria observed that delay in hospital admission was significantly associated with mortality in subjects with eclampsia [17]. Hussain F et al., in their study in Dhaka observed that mortality was higher in subjects with eclampsia admitted >5 hours after the onset of seizures [18]. In a hospital based retrospective study done in a tertiary care center in rural parts of eastern India, majority (73.9%) of deaths due to eclampsia occurred within the first 12 hours of admission [19]. 82% patient delivered within 10 hours of admission in another study [15]. The decision to perform cesarean section should be based on fetal gestational age, fetal condition and presence of associated obstetric indications (mal presentations or the other high-risk factors), cervical bishop score and maternal condition but not merely by the presence of eclampsia [17]. Considering all of these we can claim that, to reduce maternal and perinatal mortality and morbidity caesarian delivery may be better choice than vaginal delivery for pregnant women with eclampsia.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In pregnant women with eclampsia frequency of caesarean delivery is near about two times than vaginal delivery. But the maternal mortality in caesarean delivery of pregnant women with eclampsia is near about half of that in vaginal delivery. In such cases perinatal mortality is about one fifth in caesarean delivery than that of vaginal delivery. So to reduce maternal and perinatal mortality and morbidity caesarian delivery may be better choice than vaginal delivery for pregnant women with eclampsia. This was a single centered study with a limited sample size. The findings of this study may not replicate the exact state of the whole country. So, for getting more specific result we would like to recommend for conducting similar more studies in several places with larger sample size.
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