Impact of ketamine on spontaneous coordinate activity and short memory behavior in rodents chronic unpredictable stress model
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SUMMARY

Introduction/Objective This research aims to evaluate the impact of chronic stress on behavioral effects of ketamine, which are not sufficiently clear, still.

Methods Wistar male rats aged five weeks were used in the experiment. The animals were divided into two equal groups: control and experimental. After being exposed to a chronic unpredictable stress paradigm for 42 days, experimental rats had received a single injection of ketamine (10 mg/kg; day 45) as well as control group. The impact of ketamine was assessed using behavioral tests, spontaneous coordinate activity, and water maze tests for the evaluation of short-term memory.

Results The experimental group rats showed less spontaneous motoric activity than before ketamine application. Statistical significance was shown in gaining weight after time of ketamine application in control group and also in experimental group where they showed weight loss during stress paradigm and then increased their weight after ketamine application. There was no statistical significance in speed measurements in both groups showing no effects on short memory behavior.

Conclusion These findings show that ketamine in single subanesthetic dose has antidepressant and anxiolytic like effects in male rats exposed to chronic unpredictable stress paradigm.
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INTRODUCTION

Anxiety presents a normal reaction to various stressful events and is most often very useful in some situations, by helping us to prepare to potential danger and inducing one’s adequate reaction. Anxiety disorders refer to anticipation of a future concerns and doubts, fear and avoidance. It also presents the most common group of mental disorders generally nowadays [1]. Drugs that affect the serotonergic and GABAergic neurotransmission are often
used in treatment of stress disorders but also show some limitations in their usage aiming further investigations in other direction [2]. A great potential of different negative glutamate transmission modulators has been shown as a result of many studies [3, 4]. Ketamine presents a dissociative anesthetic, non-competitive N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor antagonist with rapid and sustained anxiolytic and antidepressant effects manifested in clinical and preclinical studies lasting for couple of weeks which means that probably even one, single dose of ketamine might have beneficial effects to anxiety conditions [5]. There is a great discrepancy among preclinical studies related to anxiolytic effects of ketamine. There are also different profiles of ketamine that makes an impact in animal tests of anxiety/stress/fear, which much depend on experimental paradigm, schedule of ketamine application, doses and tested animals. Initially proposed as a depression model, chronic unpredictable stress paradigm (CUS) is widely used paradigm in investigating stress disorders in preclinical research in rodents. It comprises continuous and consecutive exposures to variable, unpredictable and aversive stressors lasting for weeks [6] Many already conducted studies showed great similarity of paradigm variables to chronic stressful conditions of human life as well as decreased locomotor and exploratory activity and impaired learning and memory as one of the signs of anhedonia after CUS paradigm was finished [7].

This research aims to evaluate the impact of chronic stress on behavioral effects of ketamine. The aim of this study was to investigate the impact of chronic stress on tested animal behavior after ketamine was applied and whether ketamine could improve anxiety-like behaviors seen in rats exposed to chronic unpredictable stressors and to determine possible variations.
METHODS

Wistar male rats (250–300 grams; Faculty of Medicine, University of Belgrade), aged five weeks (approximates time of adolescence in humans), were used at the beginning of the experiment. They were allowed one week acclimatization period before unpredictable stress exposure. There were 16 rats in total, and they were kept in Makrolon cages, two animals per cage, and fed ad libitum with a full rat mixture formula (Veterinary Institute, Subotica); water used for the animals was from the Belgrade water supply; room temperature was 22 ± 2°C, following the 12 to 12 day and night regime. At the beginning of the experiment, the animals were divided into two equal groups: control and experimental, each containing eight rats. The control group was kept and fed, as previously specified. The experimental group was exposed to continuous stress during 42 days according to the following schedule: on day one, the animals were transferred from one cage into the other, so that the pairs from the beginning of the experiment are separated; on day two, the animals were exposed to 24-hour light; on day three, they were retransferred to separate the previously formed pairs; on day four, animals were subjected to tail clipping by taking the tip of the tail with a clamp, lifting the animal 20 cm above the cage where it was kept for 15 seconds and returned back to the cage; on day five, the animals were retransferred to separate the previously formed pairs, and on day 6, they were deprived of food and water during 24 hours. That cycle was repeated until day 42 from the beginning of the experiment. [8, 9] After 42 days from the beginning of the experiment, the animals were exposed to behavioral tests as follows: observation of spontaneous activity in the new space and testing short-term memory in a water pool without any previous treatment, following intraperitoneal administration 10 mg/kg ketamine hydrochloride and after twenty minutes after ketamine was applied, observation of spontaneous activity and short-term memory testing in a water pool. The control group was allowed usual animal activities and was subjected to the same tests, and ketamine was
administered in the same dose. After testing, all animals were tested for glucose levels. The weighing of animals was performed three times. First time during stress paradigm, second time was performed seven days after, before ketamine application, and third time was also six days after second weighing.

All experiments were carried out according to the NIH Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and were approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Belgrade (permit number 513/1, 27.01.2020.).

**Spontaneous activity**

Spontaneous activity of the rats was measured on 43rd day using an Ugo Basile Activity Cage 7401 device. The rats were placed into the device individually and kept there for two hours measuring during that time the number of spontaneous movements at 5-minute intervals.

**Short-term memory**

Short-term memory and spatial navigation learning were tested on 44th day using a 25°C, circular, 40-cm deep water pool; in one quadrant of the pool, and there was a rectangular 15 × 15 cm “island” with its surface 1 cm below the surface. The animals were prepared the previous day by being placed into water and slowly directed to swim towards the “island.” If a rat did not locate the platform after 90 seconds, it would be guided to the platform and allowed to remain on the platform for 20 seconds to recognize the location. The rats received three such consecutive trials on the day before testing day with an intertrial interval of 30 s. The water was changed each day’s experiment. The escape latency time for the rat to locate and climb onto the platform was observed and recorded. For each trial, rats were allowed to search for the hidden platform for a 90 s period. The day after the
preparation, the procedure was repeated, measured by a chronometer the time elapsed from placing an animal into the water until it found the “island” and climbed onto it. On the 45th day, the same procedure was repeated, but this time 20 minutes after ketamine administration. This test was used to measure spatial navigation learning and short memory in rats. Both groups of rats were trained for 1 day, and the swimming test was performed as described previously [10].

**Statistical analysis**

All observed data have a normal distribution, so that parameter tests were performed: the parameter paired t-test within groups and the parameter matched t-test for comparing pair times. In addition, Pearson’s correlation was used in order to establish the degree of linear connection.

**RESULTS**

The comparison of successive times in spontaneous activity measurement in experimental group before and after ketamine application was performed in this experiment. The same procedure was performed within control group. The differences between time parameters between experimental and control groups weren’t calculated as we aimed to examine the behavior in each group, experimental and control independently, to show the effects of ketamine on animals’ behavior.

Before ketamine application in experimental group the results showed statistical significance in time windows between 5th and 10th minute, 10th and 15th, 15th and 20th and 55th and 60th. In control group the statistical significance was shown in successive time windows between 15th and 20th minute and 50th and 55th minute. (Table 1 and Figure 1)
After ketamine application in experimental group the statistical significance was shown in time windows between 15th and 20th minute and 25th and 30th minute. In control group the statistical significance was shown between 10th and 15th minute and 35th and 40th minute. (Table 2 and Figure 2)

After ketamine was applied the experimental group showed less spontaneous motor activity than before ketamine was applied.

Control group showed weight gain after ketamine was applied and experimental group showed weight loss when stressed, during CUS paradigm, but also weight gain after ketamine was applied. (Figure 4 and Table 3)

There was no statistical significance in speed measurement in both groups.

The glucose level of the control group was elevated as late as 30 minutes after the baseline and then returned to normal. As for the stress group, it can be seen that the glucose level rise was abrupt and differed compared to the baseline and then dropped after 30 minutes. Statistically significant rise/drop was observed almost at all measured times. (Figure 3 and Table 3)

**DISCUSSION**

We investigated the effects of ketamine on spontaneous locomotor activity and short memory in rats within chronic unpredictable stress model. Spontaneous locomotor activity was measured in an activity cage recording values which indicate pulses recorded by the apparatus as the stainless bars tilt in response to animal movements, and activity of each rat was automatically recorded for consecutive 5 minutes. Our results showed increase of spontaneous activity in both experimental and control groups of animals before ketamine was applied, in the time window between 15th and 20th minute and 55th and 60th minute of measurement, but at the beginning only experimental group showed the activity. These
results showed immediate effects of chronic unpredictable stress paradigm (CUS) in the
group of experimental animals as increased locomotor activity and as a result of anticipating
pain and stress, which is in consistency with previously conducted investigations. [11, 12, 13]
The experimental group rats showed less spontaneous motoric activity than before ketamine
application, which shows longer term effects of ketamine administration and its anxiolytic
effects as well, which was also shown in study conducted by Bates and Trujillo, who also
showed that repeated ketamine application might lead to addiction and with no statistical
significance of cognitive deficits, memory and spatial learning, which is in consistency with
our findings related to speed in swimming of animals and short memory where we also had
no statistical significance [14]. The inability of low ketamine dose to affect memory can be
due to the short half-life of ketamine. At lower, subanesthetic doses, ketamine is able to
mimic the effects of an antidepressant [15]. We administered ketamine single dose of
10mg/kg, which was chosen as it is regarded to represent a recreational dose for use in
rodents with LD50 of 600 mg/kg at four hours and was consistent with dosages reported in
literature shown to be subanesthetic and primary anxiolytic and antidepressant in rodent’s
[16]. Noncompetitive NMDA receptor antagonists produce antidepressant effects after a
single administration, which was shown as the forced swimming test and the tail suspension
test. Research that included ketamine’s antidepressant effects after acute single application
showed that acute treatment with a noncompetitive NMDA channel blocker tends to improve
depressive and anxiolytic behaviors induced by chronic stress [17]. Opposite to this, animals
that were repeatedly administered ketamine demonstrated locomotor sensitization and
addiction [18]. Previous research investigating the effectiveness of noncompetitive NMDA
receptor antagonists has revealed inconsistent results. Recent research, however, has been
providing robust evidence for ketamine’s anxiolytic effects. In a study that investigated acute
effects of NMDA receptor blockade with ketamine in an animal model of fear-conditioning
affects frequency and duration of freezing as well as associated neural changes in the subcortical structures, the results indicated that ketamine normalized stress-related depressive behaviors in areas associated with fear and anxiety [19]. Clinical use of ketamine, esketamine, has gained broad attention because of its rapid therapeutic effects, as well as effects that last for a significant amount of time after a single dose in treatment of depression-resistant patients [20]. As previously described, our investigation showed statistical significance related to weight was shown in experimental group after stress paradigm (weight loss), after ketamine application (weight gain) and between first and third weight measurement there were no statistical significance. Our experimental group of animals showed weight loss due to stress CUS paradigm, which confirms that these rats were in a state of anhedonia, one of the major signs of depression. Previous studies showed that chronic stress in rodent’s stress animal model induces specific patterns of behavioral activity that indicate depression or anxiety, like anhedonia and loss of interest when exposed to behavioral tests [21]. The previous study of Cox et al also showed that the experimental group had slower weight gain even if the chronic unpredictable stress model they used did not include food and water deprivation and increased locomotor activity noticed in behavior tests is proved not to be linked to this weight loss phenomenon [22]. The weight measurement was done during CUS, then after ketamine application (seven days after) and finally third six days after second. There was no difference between first and third measurement as experimental animals who were under stress events felt anhedonia and ate less, while after some time ketamine effects showed in weight gain. Even though stress enhances response to insulin, our results showed that glucose level was significantly different and slower in its metabolism in the experimental group compared to the control group where its levels returned to normal after an hour. In the experimental group glucose levels remained higher than normal after the same period of time. Previous studies showed increased blood levels of glucose in rodents
that were exposed to chronic unpredictable stress and with ketamine application where short-term effect of ketamine was shown on regulation of body weight and food intake [23].

CONCLUSION

Our results clearly suggest that ketamine has anxiolytic properties on behavior at doses that do not produce short memory impairment but improve locomotor activity and weight gain. The anxiolytic effect of ketamine may be related to several neuromediator systems that are known to be involved in neuropharmacology of anxiety, such as serotonergic, glutamatergic and GABAergic. Further research should elucidate the neuronal mechanisms that underlie specific differences in response to ketamine and highly specific mechanisms responsible for lasting, non-addictive effects on behavior.
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Table 1. Succeeded time comparison in control and stress groups before treatment

| Paired Samples Statistics | Control Group | Stress Group |
|---------------------------|---------------|--------------|
|                           | Mean          | SD           | p  | Mean          | SD           | p  |
| Pair 1                    |               |              |    |               |              |    |
| Time5                     | 144.67        | 82.641       | 0.535 | 241.38        | 43.041       | 0.006 |
| Time10                    | 126.50        | 42.627       |       | 164.38        | 44.397       |       |
| Pair 2                    |               |              |    |               |              |    |
| Time10                    | 126.50        | 42.627       | 0.235 | 164.38        | 44.397       | 0.014 |
| Time15                    | 97.83         | 16.278       |       | 118.63        | 49.753       |       |
| Pair 3                    |               |              |    |               |              |    |
| Time15                    | 97.83         | 16.278       | 0.000 | 118.63        | 49.753       | 0.015 |
| Time20                    | 73.17         | 17.429       |       | 79.00         | 35.505       |       |
| Pair 4                    |               |              |    |               |              |    |
| Time20                    | 73.17         | 17.429       | 0.336 | 79.00         | 35.505       | 0.698 |
| Time25                    | 63.00         | 21.457       |       | 76.88         | 39.948       |       |
| Pair 5                    |               |              |    |               |              |    |
| Time25                    | 63.00         | 21.457       | 0.809 | 76.88         | 39.948       | 0.179 |
| Time30                    | 57.67         | 61.617       |       | 56.75         | 29.085       |       |
| Pair 6                    |               |              |    |               |              |    |
| Time30                    | 57.67         | 61.617       | 0.850 | 56.75         | 29.085       | 0.130 |
| Time35                    | 62.17         | 33.772       |       | 42.00         | 22.552       |       |
| Pair 7                    |               |              |    |               |              |    |
| Time35                    | 62.17         | 33.772       | 0.563 | 42.00         | 22.552       | 0.570 |
| Time40                    | 54.17         | 39.686       |       | 36.25         | 20.852       |       |
| Pair 8                    |               |              |    |               |              |    |
| Time40                    | 54.17         | 39.686       | 0.116 | 36.25         | 20.852       | 0.623 |
| Time45                    | 33.67         | 24.476       |       | 42.00         | 19.198       |       |
| Pair 9                    |               |              |    |               |              |    |
| Time45                    | 33.67         | 24.476       | 0.372 | 42.00         | 19.198       | 0.358 |
| Time50                    | 48.00         | 20.794       |       | 33.50         | 24.378       |       |
| Pair 10                   |               |              |    |               |              |    |
| Time50                    | 48.00         | 20.794       | 0.008 | 33.50         | 24.378       | 0.292 |
| Time55                    | 24.50         | 18.982       |       | 25.75         | 18.352       |       |
| Pair 11                   |               |              |    |               |              |    |
| Time55                    | 24.50         | 18.982       | 0.826 | 25.75         | 18.352       | 0.025 |
| Time60                    | 22.83         | 16.290       |       | 48.50         | 28.122       |       |
Table 2. Succeeded time comparison in control and stress groups after treatment of ketamine

| Paired Samples Statistics | Control Group | Stress Group |
|---------------------------|---------------|--------------|
|                           | Mean      | SD        | p   | Mean      | SD        | p   |
| **Pair 1**                |           |           |     |           |           |     |
| Time_ketamine5            | 339.00    | 74.825    | 0.068 | 339.25    | 101.064  | 0.099 |
| Time_ketamine10           | 269.17    | 98.493    |     | 271.88    | 118.082  |     |
| **Pair 2**                |           |           |     |           |           |     |
| Time_ketamine10           | 269.17    | 98.493    | 0.005 | 271.88    | 118.082  | 0.186 |
| Time_ketamine15           | 132.50    | 66.443    |     | 226.75    | 136.581  |     |
| **Pair 3**                |           |           |     |           |           |     |
| Time_ketamine15           | 132.50    | 66.443    | 0.074 | 226.75    | 136.581  | 0.056 |
| Time_ketamine20           | 104.00    | 79.815    |     | 169.25    | 88.286   |     |
| **Pair 4**                |           |           |     |           |           |     |
| Time_ketamine20           | 104.00    | 79.815    | 0.270 | 169.25    | 88.286   | 0.271 |
| Time_ketamine25           | 87.50     | 58.760    |     | 136.75    | 49.204   |     |
| **Pair 5**                |           |           |     |           |           |     |
| Time_ketamine25           | 87.50     | 58.760    | 0.271 | 136.75    | 49.204   | 0.014 |
| Time_ketamine30           | 58.67     | 35.943    |     | 96.00     | 63.933   |     |
| **Pair 6**                |           |           |     |           |           |     |
| Time_ketamine30           | 58.67     | 35.943    | 0.407 | 96.00     | 63.933   | 0.102 |
| Time_ketamine35           | 45.00     | 15.427    |     | 69.00     | 51.758   |     |
| **Pair 7**                |           |           |     |           |           |     |
| Time_ketamine35           | 45.00     | 15.427    | 0.012 | 69.00     | 51.758   | 0.980 |
| Time_ketamine40           | 27.50     | 16.814    |     | 68.63     | 51.264   |     |
| **Pair 8**                |           |           |     |           |           |     |
| Time_ketamine40           | 27.50     | 16.814    | 0.189 | 68.63     | 51.264   | 0.477 |
| Time_ketamine45           | 55.50     | 50.007    |     | 59.75     | 29.793   |     |
| **Pair 9**                |           |           |     |           |           |     |
| Time_ketamine45           | 55.50     | 50.007    | 0.800 | 59.75     | 29.793   | 0.561 |
| Time_ketamine50           | 49.67     | 15.565    |     | 53.63     | 42.915   |     |
| **Pair 10**               |           |           |     |           |           |     |
| Time_ketamine50           | 49.67     | 15.565    | 0.286 | 53.63     | 42.915   | 0.625 |
| Time_ketamine55           | 35.17     | 31.884    |     | 44.75     | 21.346   |     |
| **Pair 11**               |           |           |     |           |           |     |
| Time_ketamine55           | 35.17     | 31.884    | 0.937 | 44.75     | 21.346   | 0.693 |
| Time_ketamine60           | 33.50     | 28.829    |     | 39.75     | 18.077   |     |
Table 3. Succeeded time comparison in control and stress groups for weight, speed, and glucose

| Paired Samples Statistics | Control Group | Stress Group | p     |
|---------------------------|---------------|--------------|-------|
|                           | Mean          | SD           |       |
|                           | Mean          | SD           |       |
|                           | p             |              |       |
| Pair 1                    | Weight 1      | 331.667      | 104.195| 0.201 |
|                           | Weight 2      | 337.500      | 105.818|       |
|                           | Stress Group  | 363.750      | 122.962| 0.016 |
|                           | Weight 1      | 331.667      | 104.195|       |
|                           | Stress Group  | 363.750      | 122.962|       |
|                           | 0.090         |              |       |
| Pair 2                    | Weight 1      | 331.667      | 104.195|       |
|                           | Weight 3      | 346.667      | 108.382|       |
|                           | Stress Group  | 373.750      | 125.235|       |
|                           | Weight 1      | 331.667      | 104.195|       |
|                           | Stress Group  | 373.750      | 125.235|       |
|                           | 0.030         |              |       |
| Pair 3                    | Weight 2      | 337.500      | 105.818|       |
|                           | Weight 3      | 346.667      | 108.382|       |
|                           | Stress Group  | 373.750      | 125.235|       |
|                           | Weight 2      | 337.500      | 105.818|       |
|                           | Stress Group  | 373.750      | 125.235|       |
|                           | 0.006         |              |       |
| Pair 4                    | Speed         | 15.500       | 9.203  | 0.177 |
|                           | Speed ket.    | 8.500        | 4.231  | 0.779 |
|                           | Stress Group  | 9.500        | 8.000  |       |
|                           | 8.625         | 4.868        |       |
| Pair 1                    | Glucose0      | 6.183        | 0.454  | 0.056 |
|                           | Glucose15     | 6.617        | 0.591  |       |
|                           | Stress Group  | 5.863        | 0.652  | 0.001 |
|                           | 7.975         | 1.071        |       |
| Pair 2                    | Glucose0      | 6.183        | 0.454  | 0.027 |
|                           | Glucose30     | 7.233        | 0.838  |       |
|                           | Stress Group  | 5.863        | 0.652  | 0.006 |
|                           | 8.475         | 1.627        |       |
| Pair 3                    | Glucose0      | 6.183        | 0.454  | 0.080 |
|                           | Glucose60     | 6.467        | 1.665  |       |
|                           | Stress Group  | 5.863        | 0.652  | 0.001 |
|                           | 7.763         | 1.143        |       |
| Pair 4                    | Glucose0      | 6.183        | 0.454  | 0.095 |
|                           | Glucose120    | 6.200        | 0.704  |       |
|                           | Stress Group  | 5.863        | 0.652  | 0.026 |
|                           | 6.613         | 0.387        |       |
| Pair 5                    | Glucose15     | 6.617        | 0.591  | 0.135 |
|                           | Glucose30     | 7.233        | 0.838  |       |
|                           | Stress Group  | 7.975        | 1.071  | 0.281 |
|                           | 8.475         | 1.627        |       |
| Pair 6                    | Glucose15     | 6.617        | 0.591  | 0.843 |
|                           | Glucose60     | 6.467        | 1.665  |       |
|                           | Stress Group  | 7.975        | 1.071  | 0.720 |
|                           | 7.763         | 1.143        |       |
| Pair 7                    | Glucose15     | 6.617        | 0.591  | 0.298 |
|                           | Glucose120    | 6.200        | 0.704  |       |
|                           | Stress Group  | 7.975        | 1.071  | 0.133 |
|                           | 6.613         | 0.387        |       |
| Pair 8                    | Glucose30     | 7.233        | 0.838  | 0.158 |
|                           | Glucose60     | 6.467        | 1.665  |       |
|                           | Stress Group  | 8.475        | 1.627  | 0.392 |
|                           | 7.763         | 1.143        |       |
| Pair 9                    | Glucose30     | 7.233        | 0.838  | 0.135 |
|                           | Glucose120    | 6.200        | 0.704  |       |
|                           | Stress Group  | 8.475        | 1.627  | 0.200 |
|                           | 6.613         | 0.387        |       |
| Pair 10                   | Glucose60     | 6.467        | 1.665  | 0.783 |
|                           | Glucose120    | 6.200        | 0.704  |       |
|                           | Stress Group  | 7.763        | 1.143  | 0.018 |
|                           | 6.613         | 0.387        |       |
**Figure 1.** Successive times in spontaneous activity measurement in experimental group before ketamine application
Figure 2. Successive times in spontaneous activity measurement in experimental group after ketamine application
**Figure 3.** Glucose concentrations in experimental and control group.
**Figure 4.** Weight changes in experimental and control groups in three-time points measurements