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Abstract

The intra-host evolutionary and population dynamics of the human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1), the cause of the acquired immunodeficiency syndrome, have been the focus of one of the most extensive study efforts in the field of molecular evolution over the past three decades. As HIV-1 is among the fastest mutating organisms known, viral sequence data sampled over time from infected patients can provide, through phylogenetic analysis, significant insights about the tempo and mode of evolutionary processes shaped by complex interaction with the host milieu. Five main aspects are discussed: the patterns of HIV-1 intra-host diversity and divergence over time in relation to different phases of disease progression; the impact of selection on the temporal structure of HIV-1 intra-host genealogies inferred from longitudinally sampled viral sequences; HIV-1 intra-host sub-population structure; the potential relationship between viral evolutionary rate and disease progression and the central evolutionary role played by recombination occurring in super-infected cells.

Introduction

The human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1), a small diploid RNA retrovirus with a genome size of approximately 10,000 nucleotide bases, was isolated for the first time about three decades ago and recognized as the etiologic agent of the acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS). Early study soon demonstrated that HIV-1 displayed remarkable inter- and intra-host genetic heterogeneity, as well as specific evolutionary patterns within the infected host. Three main factors contribute to the fast evolution of the virus. First, the low-fidelity of the reverse transcriptase characterized by an error rate of 3.4x10^-3 mutations per nucleotide per cycleresulting in the introduction of one nucleotide substitution every second to third newly synthesized genome. Second, the rapid viral generation time – defined as the time from release of a virion until it infects another cell and causes the release of a new generation of viral particles – estimated in 1.5-2.6 days with a production of ~10^10-10^13 new virions each day. Third, the elevated recombination rate with a number of crossovers between two RNA templates estimated to range from three to nine per genome per round of replication. These factors make HIV-1 one of the fastest evolving organisms known and the heterogeneous viral swarm that infects most HIV-1 infected individuals fits the definition of a measurably evolving population, i.e. a population from which molecular sequences taken at different points in time will display a statistically significant number of genetic differences. In other words, HIV-1 evolution in vivo is an observable microevolutionary process that can be investigated through the analysis of viral sequences sampled longitudinally (heterochronous sequences) from an infected host.

HIV-1 intra-host evolutionary and population dynamics have been under extensive investigation, especially for their potential role in pathogenic processes, and because they constitute a major challenge for the development of an effective vaccine. Nevertheless, two fundamental questions – what are the intra-host ecological factors shaping viral diversity over time and what is the potential relationship between viral evolution and pathogenesis – are still presently debated. In the next sections, the current knowledge on HIV-1 intra-host evolutionary patterns in the absence of antiretroviral treatment (ART) will briefly be reviewed with special emphasis on results obtained through the development and application of phylogenetic techniques. I will show how phylogenetic analysis has been able to shed light on the complex interplay between viral evolution and immune selection that can ultimately result in disease progression.

Patterns of HIV-1 intra-host diversity and divergence over time

HIV-1 genetic variability at the nucleotide level can reach up to 5% within an infected subject and provides the viral population with the ability to adapt rapidly to changes in its environment. The infection is typically characterized by three phases – acute infection, clinical latency and progression to AIDS – during which viral genetic diversity and diversity display consistent patterns that have been associated with disease progression. HIV-1 intra-host diversity and divergence over time can be defined according to specific phylogenetic criteria. Given a data set of HIV-1 sequences sampled longitudinally from an infected patient, diversity is the average pairwise genetic (nucleotide or amino acid) distance within the sequences sampled at a given time point, while divergence is their average genetic distance from the most recent common ancestor (MRCA), i.e. the root of the viral genealogy (phylogeny).

When an individual becomes infected with HIV-1, a relatively homogenous population of the virus is initially harbored because transmission is usually associated with a significant bottleneck. A new infection can be established by just one single virion, although the number of variants transmitted seem to be dependent on the transmission route and bottlenecks associated with sexual transmission may be greater than with vertical transmission (e.g. mother to child or injecting drug use). It has been shown that in men who have sex with men (MSM) the minimum number of transmitted viruses can range from 2 to 10 with subsequent viral recombination leading to rapid and extensive genetic shuffling among viral lineages. A combined analysis also revealed a significantly higher frequency of multivariant transmission in MSM than in heterosexuals. The severity of transmission bottlenecks could be the result of anatomical barriers (e.g. mucosal barrier in heterosexual transmission) and by immune selection mediated by early cytotoxic T cell responses. However, in an animal model, infection with a highly heterogeneous SIV viral swarm of CD8 depleted Rhesus macaques, where immune selection is expected to be weak or inexistent, low frequency SIV variants...
characterized by specific amino acid motif in gp120 were still transmitted more efficiently than higher frequency ones in six different primates.\textsuperscript{33} Overall, it is important to keep in mind that these studies used, by necessity, indirect methods to quantify the amount of transmitted variants, because it is obviously very difficult to measure HIV-1 genetic diversity at the exact moment of transmission. Indeed, it has rightly been observed that homogeneity of the viral population during primary infection does not necessarily imply homogeneity of the transmitted variants.\textsuperscript{34}

The clinical latency phase of HIV-1 infection is characterized by a progressive increase in both viral divergence and diversity, while during the last phase, when the immune system collapses and progression to AIDS begins, viral divergence stabilizes and viral diversity declines.\textsuperscript{23} This observation has been explained as a consequence of CD4\textsuperscript{+} T cell depletion, which likely results in less effective selection pressure on the virus, as well as significant decrease in target cells capable of sustaining viral replication.\textsuperscript{35}

**Temporal structure of HIV-1 intra-host genealogies**

Phylogenetic studies have clearly shown that, in the absence of ART, the central paradigm governing HIV-1 intra-host evolutionary dynamics following primary infection is the turnover of genetic variants over time through sequential population bottlenecks.\textsuperscript{3,22} HIV-1 intra-host genealogies of heterochronous sequences display strong temporal structure, where sequences from the same sampling time tend to cluster together and are the direct ancestors of sequences from the following time point. A typical example, using a data set of HIV-1 env gp120 V1V3 sequences from a pediatric patient infected via mother to child transmission,\textsuperscript{36} is given by the maximum likelihood (ML) tree in Figure 1A. Increasing branch lengths from root to the latest sampled tips also reveal the increase in both viral diversity and divergence over time. The key point is that topology and branch length patterns of HIV-1 intra-host genealogies are the result of specific phylodynamic processes, defined as the interaction between evolutionary (i.e. mutation, genetic drift, selection) and ecological (population dynamics and environmental stochasticity) factors.\textsuperscript{37} In particular, the striking similarity between HIV-1 intra-host and influenza A inter-host genealogies, both characterized by strong temporal structure, seem to indicate that HIV-1 population bottlenecks may be driven by continual immune selection.\textsuperscript{35} Studies based on pairwise sequence comparisons of synonymous (dN) and nonsynonymous (dS) substitutions have suggested that neutral genetic drift is the dominant force shaping the intra-host evolution of the virus.\textsuperscript{3,35} However, such methods have the tendency to underestimate the strength of selection.\textsuperscript{35} Analyses of dN/dS ratios using an ML framework that takes into account the phylogenetic tree relating the sequences under study, have shown that both purifying and positive selection play a substantial role in the continuous emergence \textit{in vivo} of new variants and the ability of the virus to evade immune response.\textsuperscript{3,41,42} HIV intra-host adaptation rate in the \textit{env} gene has, indeed, been estimated to the astounding value of one adaptive fixation event every \textasciitilde2.5 months.\textsuperscript{43} Moreover, the comparison of HIV-1 replication rate of virus genomes isolated at early times following infection with that of later viruses has demonstrated an increase of fitness during chronic infection,\textsuperscript{44} and escapes from both CD8\textsuperscript{+} T-cell responses and neutralizing antibodies – major driving forces of rapid lineage turnover – are well documented.\textsuperscript{45-47}

The degree of temporal structure exhibited by HIV-1 genealogies of longitudinally sampled sequences can be affected by additional factors that can alter the ideal ladder-like topology. The ML tree in Figure 1B is an example obtained by analyzing gag p24 viral sequences from a subject carrying the HLA class I allele B*5701,\textsuperscript{48} which is associated with slower disease progression.\textsuperscript{49} By applying a nonparametric test for population structure, it has been shown that a complete within host viral population turnover may take up to 22 months.\textsuperscript{50} Therefore, the intermix of viral sequences at 70
and 106 days post infection shown in Figure 1B is expected and typical of genealogies inferred from longitudinal samples with relatively short time intervals. Other deviations, however, can provide critical insights on factors driving HIV-1 intra-host population dynamics. For example, the clustering of sequences sampled at more distant time points, like the one indicated by the arrows in Figure 1B, within a monophyletic clade of earlier sequences may indicate the activation (or re-activation) of archival genomes, possibly from a viral reservoir. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells have been noted to harbor small latent reservoirs of infectious virus, which seem to provide one of the mechanisms for lifelong persistence of HIV-1 under ART. Although phylogeny-based criteria have been proposed to detect reservoirs where viral replication is restricted, a full characterization of HIV-1 reservoirs is still lacking. From a phylogenetic perspective, a limiting factor is that topological differences among genealogies can intuitively be assessed by visual inspection (Figure 1A and B), but are actually difficult to quantify. A new statistic, Temporal Clustering (TC), has recently been developed to provide a quantitative measure of the degree of topological temporal structure in a serially sampled genealogy. TC values represent the expected deviation of an observed genealogy from the null hypothesis of no temporal structure (TC=0) and can be used to compare trees of different size. The tree with perfect temporal structure in Figure 1A has by definition TC=1, while the tree in Figure 1B has TC=0.72. In future studies, comparison of HIV-1 intra-host genealogies from patients sampled under different conditions could provide important insight on the dynamic of viral reservoirs. In addition, the ability to quantify and compare topological deviations from a perfect temporal (ladder-like) structure among different trees may shed light on qualitative and quantitative differences in the evolutionary and ecological processes shaping each phylogeny.

**HIV-1 intra-host effective population size and metapopulation structure**

Strong evidence for the importance of selection on HIV-1 intra-host evolution is also provided by studies on the total viral population size within an infected host, estimated on the order of 10^10 individual viruses. According to the general prediction of evolutionary theory, mutations in small size populations are produced rarely and their fixation will essentially depend on random genetic drift. On the other hand, in populations large enough that can be considered for any practical purpose of infinite size, mutations are produced more frequently and their fixation will eventually be decided by the deterministic action of natural selection. It has been suggested that it would be appropriate to consider the HIV-1 population within an infected host as if its size were infinite and, therefore, evolutionarily driven by selection.

On the other hand, several studies have calculated that HIV-1 intra-host effective population size (Ne), corresponding to the size of a hypothetical neutral idealized population (i.e. described by the standard Wright-Fisher model), is several orders of magnitude smaller (10^4-10^6) than the total population size. Such estimates would be more consistent with an evolutionary process driven by random genetic drift, despite the strong evidence of selection provided by dN/dS analyses (see previous section). At least two alternative explanations have been proposed to reconcile the discrepancy. First, Ne estimates in these studies were derived from methods implicitly assuming neutrality, making it impossible to assess whether the observed deviations reflect true stochastic processes or continual selective sweeps.

Second, HIV-1 populations do not evolve under panmixia (one single population), but rather with some metapopulation structure (i.e. division in sub-populations) that causes a reduction in Ne. Indeed, several studies have shown the existence of diverse HIV-1 subpopulations infecting different body tissues, for example semen, breast milk, genital tract, and brain. The term compartments has been introduced to indicate tissues or cell types were viral replication is ongoing but viral gene-flow (in and out) is restricted because of anatomical barriers. Compartmentalization is shown, phylogenetically, by the existence of supported monophyletic clades including sequences isolated from a specific tissue, as displayed in Figure 2. The ML tree was inferred from HIV-1 sequences isolated from necropsy tissues of an infected subject who died with widespread atherosclerosis. Additional studies have also demonstrated that different brain regions harbor distinct viral populations characterized by specific evolutionary dynamics that may be associated with pathogenic processes like the onset of HIV-1 associated dementia.

**Viral evolutionary rate and disease progression**

Estimates of HIV-1 intra-host evolutionary rate range from 10^-3 to 10^-4 nucleotide substitutions per site per year depending on specific patients and gene region analyzed. Several studies have shown an inverse relationship between rate of viral evolution and disease progression, but contrary evidence has also been presented. A sophisticated molecular clock analysis of gp120 C2V5 sequences from nine subjects followed from seroconversion up to 11 years found that HIV-1 disease progression seems to be predicted by synonymous substitution rates, which are expected to be selectively neutral and, therefore, proportional to the underlying viral replication rate.

Specifically, lower substitution rates were found in patients with slow disease progression. The authors speculated that such lower rates might reflect lower levels of persistent immune activation, which in turn impose significant constraints on viral generation times and viral evolution. T cell activation is the strongest predictor of progression to AIDS and can determine the rate and continuity of viral replication.

In the attempt to unify all these observations, Lee et al. in 2008 developed an HIV-1 intra-host evolution model that simulated the effects of mutation and fitness of sequence variants. The model indicated that the decrease of diversity and stabilization of divergence in the last phase of the infection are the result of a decrease in the proportion of off-spring that are mutants as the distance from the founder strain increases, rather than an increase in viral fitness. In other words, HIV-1 intra-host evolutionary rate is expected to decrease towards the end of the infection in correlation with the rate of CD4+ T cell decline because of the progressive disappearance of target cells that can sustain viral replication. Phylogenetic and molecular clock analysis of empirical data (15 patients followed for 3-12 years) supported the prediction of the model.

Interestingly, a small proportion of HIV-1 infected patients (<1%), known as elite controllers or long-term nonprogressors (LTNPs), exhibit viral load (VL) below the detection limit of conventional assays (<50 copies/mL plasma) and can maintain CD4+ T cell counts of >500 cells/mm^3 for more than 10 years without any therapy. Most LTNPs carry the HLA-B*5701 allele and their ability to control the infection have intensively been studied for its obvious clinical implications. From the standpoint of virus evolution, little work has been done since intra-host genetic variation is often minimal and difficult to evaluate given the undetectable VL. However, some subjects expressing HLA-B*5701 do show low levels of viremia (usually ranging between 1000 and 10,000 copies/mL) and can still remain clinically and/or immunologically stable for years without therapy. Heterochoorous p24 sequence data
were employed to estimate with a Bayesian molecular clock method the posterior distribution of viral evolutionary rates within subjects classified as either high-risk progressor (HRP) or low-risk progressor (LRP) on the basis of their CD4+ T cell count in early (10-13 weeks) infection. Lower evolutionary rates were found in LRP s who also exhibited higher polyfunctional responses than HRPs in terms of higher proportion of CD8+ T cells producing a combination of interferon-\(\gamma\), interleukin-2, MIP-1 and perforin when induced by wild-type HLA-B*5701-restricted epitopes. Further investigation of the interplay between specific CD8+ T cell responses and intra-host viral rate of evolution in these subjects may help defining correlates of protection, one of the greatest challenges in HIV-1 vaccine design.

The phylogenetic challenge of HIV-1 recombination

Due to super-infection and reverse transcriptase switches between alternative genomic templates, that are an integral part of HIV lifecycle, HIV-1 recombination rate per replication is likely exceeding the mutation rate. The impact of recombination on viral genetic diversity is evident at the molecular epidemiological scale. The majority of HIV-1 strains circulating worldwide cluster within a large group called M (for Main) that includes, besides ten phylogenetically distinct subtypes and two sub-subtypes, several inter-subtype recombinants, known as circulating recombinant forms (CRFs). Recombination can affect HIV-1 evolutionary dynamics by providing a mechanism even more efficient than fast mutation rate for the virus to escape from the accumulation of deleterious mutations or to jump between adaptive peaks. It might accelerate progression to AIDS and can foster the emergence of viral variants able to evade immune pressure or with increased resistance to drugs. Analysis of HIV-1 sequences amplified from different tissues, sampled post mortem from patients with a number of AIDS-related malignancies, have shown that recombination is common and more extensive in tissues with abnormal histopathology compared to normal tissues.

As shown in Figure 3, intra-host recombination could be detected, in principle, by moving a sliding window along a multiple sequence alignment and calculating the bootstrap support for the phylogenetic clustering of a query sequence, the potential recombinant, with different reference sequences in different genomic regions. This analysis is an example of bootscanning; a method specifically developed to investigate recombination in HIV.

Recombination violates the basic assumption of phylogeny inference of descent from a common ancestor. Recombinant sequences cannot be clustered correctly within one single phylogenetic tree but can be displayed within network-like graphs able to represent simultaneously different tree topologies (Figure 3).

Using algorithms that do not explicitly model recombination can bias molecular clock as well as population genetic estimates. HIV-1 evolutionary dynamics should therefore be studied with methods that take recombination into account. Unfortunately, this is not the case for most of the work discussed in the pre-
vious sections, where authors have chosen either to ignore recombination or the common approach to identify recombinants and exclude them from the analysis. The latter strategy has two important caveats. First, because recombination is so frequent and plays such a central role, inferences on the evolutionary dynamics of the virus after exclusion of recombinants may be meaningless or, at the very least, incomplete. Second, despite the plethora of methods developed to detect recombination, each algorithm has limitations resulting, in general, in an underestimation of recombination, especially in data sets of closely related sequences. For example, while inter-subtype recombination is relatively straightforward to detect, bootscanning performs very poorly with HIV-1 sequences from individuals infected by the same subtype. Network-based methods have been more successful and have shown that the number of recombinant sequences detected by classic phylogenetic analysis can lead to a significant underestimation of the actual number of recombinants within an intra-host data set. However, it is clear that novel techniques and additional studies are still needed to assess the full impact of recombination on HIV-1 intra-host evolutionary and population dynamics.

Final remarks

This review briefly highlights some of the major findings that have contributed to our current understanding of HIV-1 intra-host phylogenetics. There is general agreement on deterministic selection processes as the main driving force of viral evolution within the infected host. The study of the temporal structure of genealogies inferred from heterochronous sequences is one of the key techniques that can be used to assess the impact of continuing immune selection on viral population turnover and a promising tool to investigate further the activation or re-activation of viral reservoirs. In addition, the recent application of high-resolution deep sequencing to study HIV-1 population complexity and structure (biodiversity) within an infected host can allow the characterization of low frequency variants responsible for significant changes in the viral evolutionary landscape that would be impossible to detect by conventional methods. On the other hand, a thorough understanding of early evolutionary events at the moment of transmission and during primary infection, as well as a full account of the role played by recombination is still lacking and among the future challenges of HIV molecular evolutionary research.
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