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Abstract

Infectious and hereditary diseases are the primary cause of human mortality globally. Applications of conventional techniques require significant improvement in sensitivity and specificity in therapeutics. However, clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPRs) is an innovative genome editing technology which has provided a significant therapeutic tool exhibiting high sensitivity, fast and precise investigation of distinct pathogens in an epidemic. CRISPR technology has also facilitated the understanding of the biology and therapeutic mechanism of cancer and several other hereditary diseases. Researchers have used the CRISPR technology as a theranostic approach for a wide range of diseases causing pathogens including distinct bacteria, viruses, fungi and parasites and genetic mutations as well. In this review article, besides various therapeutic applications of infectious and hereditary diseases we have also explained the structure and mechanism of CRISPR tools and role of CRISPR integrated biosensing technology in provoking diagnostic applications.
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Infectious diseases have remained a universal concern of the researchers due to annual high risk of diseases and increased death rate, with the persistent plausibility of threatening epidemics. There are several types of pathogens that have been classified under bacteria, fungi, viruses and parasites. These pathogens have caused severe illness to mankind. Therefore, it is essential to develop an effective therapeutic platform that must be rapid, sensitive, specific, and economic diagnosis of different
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types of diseases. In addition, the developed platform should be user friendly and instrument independent and show fast detection of pathogen in the initial stage of a disease. This desperate need has been reported in 2014-2016 at the time of pandemics caused by Ebola virus. In the year 2018, World Health Organization (WHO) has emphasized the urgent development of superior diagnostic technology for the pathogen transmission during outbreaks. The detection priorities of viruses for pandemics have been found as Ebola, Zika, Marburg virus, Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) and several others.

In addition to these infectious diseases, hereditary diseases are congenital and show peculiar phenotypic characters. These diseases are consequent of genome alteration by inducing mutations by chemical, physical or biological agents such as viruses, bacteria or fungi. Persons with hereditary diseases are not healthy physically/mentally or both. Conventionally, viral mediated transgene expression and RNA interference approaches have been employed for the treatment of these hereditary diseases. However, these approaches have shown drawbacks as viral mediated transgene expression increases the risk of inducing mutations while RNA interference cannot repress the gene expression completely. Therefore, CRISPR based therapeutics have aroused the interest of researchers as this technology facilitates the analysis of pathogenic DNA/RNA sequences of any microbes including viruses. The key feature of CRISPR technology in disease diagnosis and therapeutics is based on requirement of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). Moreover, this technology has also been used for the treatment of a number of genetic disorders like cystic fibrosis, hemophilia, Duchenne muscular dystrophy, sickle cell anemia (Knott and Doumda, 2018), β-Thalassemia, Huntington diseases, Parkinson’s diseases, phenylketonuria etc. Hence, in this review we have explained the various therapeutic applications of infectious and hereditary diseases along with structure and mechanism of CRISPR system and their impact on therapeutics.

**Genesis of CRISPR based diagnosis**

Japanese researchers have recognized a unique segment of recurring DNA sequences in the bacteria namely *Escherichia coli* genome. Later these repeating DNA sequences were known as clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPRs). In the early stage of CRISPR technology invention only repeat sequences were the prime focus; however in the successive phases, the intervening sequences called “spacer” were significant constituent of CRISPR-Cas systems. These spacer sequences have been reported for defense mechanism of bacterial and phases because they were similar to nucleotide sequences of alien plasmid and bacteriophage. These spacer sequences have gained defensive significance in the 2007 outbreak. This outbreak was reported due to the retaining of bacteriophage-resistant strains that have spacer nucleic acid sequences which resemble the bacteriophage genetic material. Consequently, the integrated spacer segments and the adjoining CRISPR-associated (Cas) genes have been found significant in enhancing the immunity against bacteriophage. Hence, this pivotal study has established a new invention of distinct adaptive defense mechanism known as CRISPR-Cas systems in both bacteria and archaea.

**What is the CRISPR-Cas system?**

The basic principle of technology integrated with CRISPR-Cas system involves the genome editing and their regulation of physiological phenomenon of several different types of organisms and cells. CRISPR-Cas system exists in bacterial system as an adaptive immune strategy by which bacterial cell is capable of either eradicating the foreign genetic material or showing resistance to phage infection. As it is part of an adaptive immune system, it has memories so that if any newly or previously entered pathogen invades, bacterial cell can recognize to adapt or lyse their genetic sequences. During interference event of CRISPR-Cas system, endonuclease proteins such as SpCas9 and Cpf1 are automated by functional cr-RNA that acts as guide RNA and reveals the detection of specific sequence of invading pathogen inside the cell. Thus, genome editing by CRISPR-Cas system has exhibited various benefits like being convenient, fast, facile, and not expensive, and having minimum risk of targeting than other tools like zinc finger nucleases and transcription activator-like effector nucleases. CRISPR technology has played a significant application in cancer therapeutics. Like cancer, a spectrum of genetic diseases including phenylketonuria, Huntington disease, Cockayne syndrome etc. has also been treated by CRISPR technology. This CRISPR system has also been employed for the detection of various pathogens. Herein we have discussed the detailed structure of CRISPR-Cas system.

**Structure of CRISPR**

Main constituents of CRISPR-Cas systems include CRISPR RNAs (crRNAs) and Cas proteins. The crRNAs show complementarity with the corresponding nucleic acid sequence. Therefore, binding of crRNA to specific genome sequence of invading pathogen results in degradation of target DNA/RNA sequence by Cas proteins. Moreover, base pairing of crRNA to complementary sequence helps the scientific community in predicting and designing of sequences that can bind and cleave desired sequences. In the CRISPR-Cas system Cas9 protein acts as a catalyst which is involved in binding of site-specific DNA and cleavage of pathogenic genetic material. Therefore, CRISPR-Cas technology has been found useful in demonstrations ranging from nucleic acid editing to molecular imaging. The CRISPR-Cas technology has also provided a platform for eukaryotes by providing effective knowledge of bacterial engineering.

**Components of CRISPR-Cas system**

CRISPR-Cas system possesses two classes with six types and several subtypes. In Class 1 CRISPR-Cas systems three different types exist viz. I, III and IV. These types are involved in interference machinery in combination with multiple Cas proteins. On the other hand, chief components of class 2 include
types II, V, and VI along with solitary Cas protein that participates in process of interference.\textsuperscript{18} Figure 1 shows the mechanism of structural components of CRISPR-Cas system. In the below section we have discussed the mechanism of CRISPR-Cas system:

\textit{Mechanism of CRISPR-Cas system}

CRISPR technology provides defense to bacteria and archaea against foreign genomes like plasmids or bacteriophages. The adaptive immune response imparted by CRISPR-Cas system involves three main steps including acquisition, expression, and interference.

(i) \textit{Acquisition or adaptation}

Acquisition reveals the formation of spacer from oligo sequence of foreign DNA that is found at the leading stretch of CRISPR locus. The remarkable defense property of CRISPR-Cas system is that it does not impart autoimmunity with bacterial own genome; however, its own CRISPR array degradation has been mediated by using protospacer-adjacent motif (PAM). PAM helps to distinguish bacterial nucleotide sequence from external invaded genomic sequence. Combination of subtype I-E of CRISPR system with Cas1–Cas2 composite helps in recognition of well-matched PAM sequence, cleavage of invaded genomic material, and then incorporation into array of CRISPR system by altering the length of protospacer. Integration of new spacer occurs in proximity with AT-rich leader segment of CRISPR-Cas genes. Subtype I-E system of CRISPR includes a free protein known as integrated host factor (IHF) that twists genomic sequence for binding of Cas1–Cas2 composite. Binding of this entire assembly acting as an integrase complex to the targeted genomic sequence that helps in identification of leader segment from array of CRISPR-Cas genes by correctly locating the spacer sequence. Subtype II-A system of CRISPR-Cas system has been involved in spacer acquisition that requires Csn2, proteins in conjugation with Cas1–Cas2 complex.\textsuperscript{20} In this sub-type, identification of leader sequence is independent of IHF; however, Cas1–Cas2 conjugate distinguishes the leader segment for the proper orientation of spacer.

(ii) \textit{Expression into mature crRNA}

Acquisition step is followed by expression where alternative repeating sequences and intervening sequences (spacers) are expressed into crRNAs. Now these crRNAs undergo further processing by Cas proteins and auxiliary factors. Production of mature crRNA starts at the time of transcription of precrRNA in the leader segment that exists before the array of CRISPR-Cas system. As a result, multiple segments with multiple repeat spacer units are produced. After that, these segments are sliced to form separate mature crRNAs and monitor Cas proteins to their alien nucleic acid sequence.\textsuperscript{18}

Cas6 proteins exist in class 1, type I and III CRISP-Cas systems. These proteins are enzymatic in nature and cleave the repeat sections of pre-crRNA. This cleavage helps in production of mature functional crRNA. On the other hand, Cas5d plays similar function to Cas6 enzymes in subtype I-C system and

Figure 1. Diagrammatic illustration of mechanism of structural components of CRISPR-Cas system.
subtype III-C and III-D CRISPR-Cas systems. It has been found that Cas6 attached with crRNA to form interference complex. Furthermore, in subtypes I-A and I-B systems, detachment of Cas6 led to separation of repeated sequence. Cas6 is found in the dimeric structure in type III systems that also detached in successive maturation stage. In type IV, the process of crRNA maturation is not clear.

In class 2 systems, crRNA maturation has been accomplished by Cas proteins that participate in interference. However, use of non-Cas proteins has also been reported in few cases. Subtypes II-A and B of CRISPR-Cas systems involve attachment of Cas9 protein with crRNA–tracrRNA that gives signal to host protein i.e. RNase III for the cleavage of repeat sequence in pre-crRNA. Maturation of crRNA of type II and subtype V-B CRISPR systems has been accomplished by tracrRNA. Furthermore, Cas12 and Cas13 proteins are the chief components of type V and VI CRISPR-Cas systems. It has been found that Cas12 protein is involved in crRNA processing while Cas 13 protein is involved in the process of interference.

(ii) Mechanism of class 2 interference machinery

In contrast to Class 1, Class 2 interference system contains single nuclease instead of protein complex. Type II system of interference mechanism includes bilobed Cas9 nuclease, tracrRNA and crRNA. Binding of tracrRNA to corresponding nucleic acid sequence of pre-crRNA repeating segment recruits the attachment of Cas9 protein. PAM segment is recognized by Cas9 and then crRNA-tracrRNA conjugate interacts with cDNA to generate double stranded cleaved targeted nucleic acid sequence with blunt ends. Interference machinery of class 2 system also composed of subtypes i.e. subtypes II-A, II-B, and II-C. These subtypes have been categorized on the basis of size and variation in sequence of Cas9 gene. In type V of CRISPR system, Cas12 is the chief protein that further includes subtypes V-A, B and C. These subtypes contain cleavage proteins viz. 12a, 12b, and 12c, respectively. This system also contains tracrRNA that activates 12b protein but not 12a while 12c helps to promote further characterization. crRNA-Cas12 conjugate binds at PAM site followed by cleavage of targeted dsDNA with sticky ends. On the other hand, type VI of CRISPR system has Cas13 protein but does not need tracrRNA. Like type III CRISPR system, this system also targets ssRNA. The protoscaler flanking sequence (PFS) is recognized by crRNA-Cas13 complex followed by cleavage of both target and nonspecific RNA by Cas13.

Recombinant DNA technology integrated with CRISPR-Cas9 system

Researchers have revealed the application of CRISPR-Cas9 system in multiple sectors of biotechnology in elementary sciences like agriculture, energy production, pharmaceutics, and genetic engineering. Intrinsic crRNA-tracrRNA conjugates of type II CRISPR systems have been manipulated in the form of chimeric single-guide RNAs (sgRNAs) that include combination of fusing components. The application of CRISPR-Cas9 system in gene editing and high throughput screening of genotypic and phenotype associations in several cell lines is based on the development of guide RNAs (gRNAs) that requires use of a unit fusion molecule i.e. sgRNA or specific crRNA and tracrRNA constituents.

In the inventory phase CRISPR-Cas9 system was extensively used for genome editing. Gene modification using transcription activator-like effector nucleases (TALENs) and zinc finger nucleases is based on protein engineering. On the other hand, cleavage of targeted dsDNA sequence using CRISPR-Cas9 depends on sgRNAs mediated Cas proteins complex formation. After cleavage, dsDNA can be repaired by host-mediated repair processes including nonhomologous end joining (NHEJ) or homology-directed repair (HDR). The use of NHEJ is limited because it is less reliable and responsible for causing frameshift mutations and consequently damaging of protein function. Hence, genome modification by CRISPR technology uses HDR
schistosomes. 

Impact of libraries constructed with sgRNA in the evaluation of genetic and phenotypic interactions

Researchers have understood the function of any gene by inducing genetic alterations induced by CRISPR technology. Researchers have constructed CRISPR libraries that are composed of large number of plasmids with many sgRNAs targeting genes of interest. Mutant cells can be produced in large numbers using CRISPR libraries which can be screened by +ve and –ve selection for a particular genotype and phenotype. Thus, aforesaid technology has been used for several distinct human and nonhuman cell lines. 

Impact of deactivated Cas9 for the regulation of gene transcription

It has been reported that deactivated Cas9 (dCas9) has also been used for the regulation of transcription. On the basis of gene expression, CRISPR can be classified into CRISPR activation and CRISPR interference. In activation state, expression of a gene is enhanced by binding of dCas9 and VP6 transcription activator complex at the promoter site and in interference gene expression is repressed due to itself binding of dCas9 with Krueppel-associated box domain, acting as a transcriptional repressor in the promoter region. This binding causes steric hindrance in the promoter region. 

Impact of CRISPR technology for infectious diseases

Advancement of CRISPR-Cas systems has opened wide applications for the treatment of several infectious ailments. The technology has provided effective diagnostic tools that help in understanding the interaction between host and pathogen. As a result, CRISPR based technology has been proven in accurate detection, prevention and efficient cure of infectious disorders. In the section below we have discussed the interaction between host and pathogen which facilitates the development of improved diagnostic tools:

CRISPR technology in revealing host–pathogen interactions

For the development of better medical caution and coherent approaches for efficient therapeutics, it is a requisite to know the diseases progression to human beings by distinct pathogenic microbes like bacteria, viruses, fungi and parasite. Genome manipulation of pathogenic microorganisms by CRISPR-Cas9 system has provided the basis to understand the molecular mechanism of pathogenesis by identifying specific relationship between gene and proteins. Winter and co-workers (2016) deciphered the mechanism of α-hemolysin a virulence factor secreted by Staphylococcus aureus for causing cell toxicity. They reported three genes namely SYSI, AFRP1, and TSPAN14 that are involved in regulation of post transcription processing of a disintegrin and metalloprotease ADAM-10. 

Ma et al (2015) by using CRISPR sgRNA library, investigated seven genes viz. EMC2, EMC3, SEL1L, DERL2, UBE2G2, UBE2J1, and HRD1 of host cells that are responsible for neuronal cell death because these genes are target for West Nile Virus. They found that inactivation of these genes led to prevention of neuronal cell death because these genes participate in endoplasmic reticulum-associated protein degradation process. This study helped to understand the mechanism of pathogenicity caused by WNV. Nodvig and co-workers (2015) have reported the genome manipulation of Streptococcus pyogenes using Cas9 system by inserting nuclear localization sequence of 3’ Simian virus. Consequently, they transformed the promoter of sgRNA that was more efficient for fungi. Researchers have induced the RNA-directed mutations in the alleles of Aspergillus species using CRISPR-Cas9 system to investigate the fungal physiology. Furthermore, genomes of two parasites such as Toxoplasma gondii and Trypanosoma cruzi known for causing toxoplasmosis and Chagas have also been modified by CRISPR-Cas9 technology. 

Diagnostic approaches integrated with CRISPR technology for infectious diseases

It is advantageous to develop fast and accurate diagnostic tests that assist in detection of pathogens in primary stage. Consequently, treatment of infectious diseases has offered several benefits including better clinical caution, timely control of infection and prevention of disease spread. An ideal rapid diagnostic test has exhibited several unique characteristics like they are more sensitive, precise, simple, transportable and economic. Owing to these characteristics they will be employed not only in different medical strategies but also in areas where resources are limited. Therefore, CRISPR-Cas technology has been proven significant in quick and specific diagnosis of infective diseases. 

Applications of CRISPR-Cas9 system in diagnostics

Scientific community has shown interest in CRISPR-Cas9 technology in development of diagnostics for several infections. Purdee and co-workers (2016) employed the CRISPR-Cas9 system in combination with nucleic acid sequence-based amplification (NASBA), an isothermal amplification technique for accurate distinction of strains of Zika virus in a macaque model. Bacterial antibiotic resistance genes have been identified by Muller and co-workers (2016) by integrating CRISPR-Cas9 system with optical DNA. This was achieved by binding of guide RNA (gRNA)-Cas9 complex which cuts precise DNA sequences of plasmids composed of resistance genes and a fluorescent dye. It was found that netropsin, an antibiotic, binds at AT-rich site of DNA that causes fluorescence. This demonstration revealed a distinction between plasmids with β-lactamases, cefotaxime 15 (CTX-M-15) and CTX-M-14 and carbapenemases with Klebsiella pneumoniae carbapenemase (KPC) and New Delhi metallo β-lactamase 1 (NDM-1). Therefore incorporation of several crRNAs has facilitated the investigation of various antibiotic resistant genes in a given assay. 

Moreover, Guk et al (2017) have investigated the methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) by combining CRISPR-Cas9 system and DNA fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) technique. In this approach sgRNA-dCas9 conjugate
integrated with SYBR green I fluorescence emitting probe that helps in identification of mecA nucleotide sequence of MRSA.

**Diagnostic applications of CRISPR-Cas12 and CRISPR-Cas13 system.** Moreover, disease diagnostic applications based on CRISPR technology have also been linked with collateral cleavage using Cas12 and Cas13 nucleases. This novel technology has exhibited unique sensitivity, specificity, enzymatic reporter unlocking (SHERLOCK), coupling with isothermal recombinase polymerase amplification (RPA) or reverse transcription (RT)-RPA with Cas13a cleavage. In this technology, a crRNA-Cas13a complex attached with target DNA sequence followed by precise cleavage in the targeted nucleotide sequence. Binding of crRNA-Cas13a complex at the target site leads to cleavage of fluorescent reporter which was attached with non-target RNA. Thus, emission of fluorescence gives the signal of pathogen detection.

Gootenberg et al (2017) have reported the distinction of similar strains of Zika and dengue viruses, *E. coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *K. pneumoniae* cells containing diverse resistance genes including KPC and NDM through SHERLOCK system. Moreover, DNA endonuclease-targeted CRISPR trans reporter (DETECTR) technique has been used for indiscriminate cleavage of ssDNA that can be identified by emitting fluorescence. DETECTR exhibited both isothermal RPA and Cas12a enzymatic activity. Chen et al (2018) have also differentiated the human papillomavirus 16 (HPV16) and HPV18 in crude DNA extracts from cultured human cells and from clinical samples by using DETECTR.

Gootenberg et al (2018) have developed SHERLOCKv2 and this system has benefits as it was composed of a single assay multiplexing with orthogonal CRISPR enzymes, quantitative and sensitive investigation of targeted pathogenic nucleic acid sequence. This system can detect 1–4 targets by coupling several pre-screened Cas13 nucleases and a Cas12 nuclease with nucleic acid-fluorescent reporter complexes. These complexes give fluorescence at distinct wavelengths. Quantitative investigation of pathogen was carried out by concentration of optimized RPA primers while sensitivity was accessed by inserting Csm6 that elevates the cleavage of -target ssRNA combined with a fluorescent reporter. On the other hand, portability of the assay can be improved by using streptavidin coated paper test strip in place of fluorescence readouts. One more advanced technique based on CRISPR was developed by Myhrvold and co-workers. They use SHERLOCK and heating unextracted diagnostic samples to obliterate nucleases (HUDSON). This technique avoids the necessity of DNA/RNA extraction and detects the pathogen directly from bodily fluids. This approach involves the chemicals reduction at elevated temperature which inactivates chief nucleases of body fluids. Consequently, due to lysis of viral entities nucleic acid released into body fluids.

**Emerging therapeutic applications of CRISPR technology**

In United States approximately, two million infections and about 23,000 mortality have been reported due to antibiotic-resistant bacteria. Furthermore, global human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) epidemic can take about 35 million lives in its inceptive period and the number of infected people is increasing day to day. Therefore, the main emphasis of CRISPR technology is on diagnosis and timely treatment of drug-resistant bacteria and persistent viral infections, including HIV and hepatitis B virus (HBV). In the given section we have explored the possible therapeutic applications of CRISPR-system:

**Therapeutic applications of CRISPR technology in bacterial diseases**

(i) **Application of CRISPR-Cas systems in targeting bacterial resistance**

All bacteria are not necessary to exhibit CRISPR-Cas systems. Though there are proofs to reveal CRISPR-Cas systems inhibit the adaptation of genetic sequences which provide antibiotic resistance. So it shows, due to therapeutic defense provided by bacteria itself against antibiotic. For instance, Aydin et al (2017) have demonstrated that *E. coli* has shown susceptibility antibiotic resistance due to existence of type I-F CRISPR system. On the other hand, Price et al (2016) have shown that *Enterococcus faecalis* strains have acquired resistance by conjugation due to deletion of Cas9 gene. It has been reported that in an in vivo model, transfer of plasmid was found to be more efficient in *E. faecalis* strains comprised of mutated CRISPR-Cas system than wild strains. Figure 2 depicts the bacterial therapeutic applications of CRISPR-Cas system.

Recently, researchers have been facing serious issues of resistance due to frequent use of broad-spectrum antibiotic for the treatment of human bacterial infection because it has been documented that increased use of broad-spectrum antibiotics can inhibit the function of CRISPR-Cas system, thereby raising the prevalence of antibiotic resistance in vitro. For example, Lin et al (2016) have demonstrated that *K. pneumonia* have shown resistance against broad-spectrum antibiotic imipenem because of inactivation of CRISPR-Cas system. Therefore, these apprehensions reveal the proper physiology of bacteria on external stimuli and complicated relationship between clinical interferences and subsequent microbial reactions. Hence, improved knowledge of mechanism of CRISPR-Cas systems from inceptive stage in varied environments will help in rational use of aforesaid technology.

(ii) **CRISPR technology in targeting pathogenic and drug-resistant bacteria**

CRISPR technology can be employed for developing selective and titrable antimicrobials for the elimination of pathogenic bacteria. Gomaa and co-workers (2014) have demonstrated the multiplication of *E. coli* and *Salmonella enterica* in pure and mixed culture tests by using subtype I-E of CRISPR-Cas system. Citork and co-workers (2014) have used the application of phagemid mediated delivery of RNA-guided Cas9 for the destruction of eae gene of enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* (EHEC) that synthesizes pathogenic component to facilitate pathogen attachment with the epithelial cells of host organism. Yosef et al (2015) have reported the elimination of resistant element without harming the host bacteria by inserting subtype I- E CRISPR-Cas system using temperate phages. Using this
approach, plasmids containing NDM-1 and CTM-X-15 were eliminated and provided defense against lytic phases. Therefore, drug-resistant bacteria can be eliminated and number of antibiotic-sensitive bacteria increased using lytic phages.

Bikard et al (2014) have also demonstrated the elimination of only virulent *S. aureus* strains carrying the *mecA* methicillin resistance gene by inserting RNA-guided Cas9 using phagemid.- These aforesaid reports reveal that CRISPR-Cas systems could be employed therapeutically to cure acute infections by destroying precise bacteria, segregating patients having resistant microbes, or reforming the human microbiome which is useful for mankind.

(iii) CRISPR technology coupled with conventional techniques for the diagnosis of bacterial diseases

Fabre et al (2014) have employed conventional multiplexed PCR for the investigation and differentiation of *Salmonella enterica* serotype *typhi* and *paratyphi A* using CRISPR technology in a mixed culture isolates. They also used EVA Green based real-time-PCR for the identification and differentiation of above said *Salmonella* serotypes. They also reported that these CRISPR integrated PCRs were specific, stable and sensitive. Koskela and co-workers have investigated CRISPR locus sequences of 335 *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* for the designing of spacer sequences database. By using this database they analyzed the spacer sequences of related strain of *Yersinia* i.e. *Y. pestis*.

Li and co-workers (2018) have revealed the diagnostic application of CRISPR based SHERLOCK for the sensitive and specific detection of a number of bacteria namely *Lachnospiraceae bacterium* ND2006 Cas12a (LbCas12a), *Oribacterium sp*. NK2B42 Cas12a (OsCas12a), *Lachnospiraceae bacterium* NC2008 Cas12a (Lb5Cas12a) and *Francisella tularensis* Cas12a (FnCas12a). Transcriptional suppression of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* has been achieved by CRISPR interference. The repression of bacterial transcription was due to inhibition of vital gene expression which inactivates Cas9 protein with RNAs CRISPR. As a result, researchers have reported this system for treating the bacteria in multidrug resistant conditions.

Therapeutic applications of CRISPR technology for targeting persistent viral infection

The novel applications of CRISPR-Cas system have also been employed for the genetic editing of viral genome including Zika, Ebola and several other viruses also. Viruses cause infection either incorporating their genetic material into human chromosomes DNA or in the form episomes inside host body. However, CRISPR technology has provided a therapeutic platform for the cure of viral chronic infections in vitro as well as in vivo. Strich and Chertow (2019) have reported that HIV can be eliminated in *vitro* by using CRISPR based applications. Hu et al (2014) have reported the prevention of de *novo* HIV-1 infection in TZM-b1 cells containing a gRNA-Cas construct which targets long terminal repeat (LTR) sequences of HIV. Similarly, scientists have targeted the HIV *gag* and *env* genes for destroying HIV proviral DNA from mixture of cell lines using CRISPR technology. Yin et al (2017) have investigated the neural progenitor cells for the removal of HIV proviral DNA by incorporating several sgRNAs and *S. aureus* Cas9 through adeno-associated virus (AAV) vector. Bella and co-workers...
(2018) have applied CRISPR technology using lentivirus vector for the elimination of HIV provirus DNA of diseased human peripheral blood mononuclear cells.\(^7^6\) Similar approach of CRISPR technology has been employed for the prevention of herpes simplex virus 1 (HSV-1) infections. Moreover, van Diemen and co-workers (2016) have investigated the Vero cells for preventing the HSV-1 replication using gRNAs.\(^6^8\)

Hou et al. (2020) have edited the genome of novel coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) using CRISPR-Cas technology.\(^6^9\) They compared this technology with existing metagenomic sequencing and RT-PCR techniques and reported the sensitive, quick detection of COVID-19 with minimum use of instrumentation by CRISPR-Cas system. One more report related to COVID-19 which causes pneumonia has been documented by Ai et al.\(^7^0\) They also reported the detection of DNA sequence of COVID-19 by coupling CRISPR technology with real-time RT-PCR and metagenomic next-generation sequencing. The detection of COVID-19 was found to be selective and rapid.

Myhrvold and co-workers (2018) documented the diagnosis of viruses from body fluids using CRISPR technology.\(^4^9\) Doudna et al. have reported the diagnostic application of enzyme Cas12a to cleave targeted DNA of HPV. They found improved signal generation capacity of Cas12a that helps in quick and accurate point-of-care DNA analysis.\(^5^0\) The applications of CRISPR/Cas 9 system have been employed in hepatitis B virus (HBV) investigation\(^6^2,7^1\) as this system inhibits the process of HBV replication by suppressing the gene expression of the viral multiplication. Furthermore, it has also found that it also disrupts the circularization of viral DNA. It has been documented that approximately 110-180 million people were infected with hepatitis C virus (HCV).\(^7^4\) Among them about 80% were having chronic infection of HCV\(^7^5\). A group of researchers has evaluated the therapeutic significance of CRISPR/Cas9 system for the analysis of chronic infection caused by HCV.\(^7^7\) Ven Diemen and co-workers (2016) reported the efficient prophylactic and diagnostic tool for the suppressing herpes simplex virus (HSV) replication.\(^6^8\)

**Therapeutic applications of CRISPR technology for the diagnosis of parasitic diseases**

Sollelis co-workers (2015) have manipulated the genome of Leishmania by using CRISPR/Cas9 system and reported that Cas9 act as an effective therapeutic tool for the Leishmania parasite.\(^7^6\) Zamanian and Andersen, (2016) have reported genome manipulation of Caenorhabditis elegans by using CRISPR/Cas9.\(^7^7\) They have also revealed that CRISPR/Cas9 system can be used for the engineering of gene drives which target the infection caused by mosquito-borne parasites like Plasmodium falciparum and Anophelines Gambiae and filarial nematodes such as Strongyloides spp., Ascaris suum, Brugia malayi, and Haemonchus contortus. Costa and co-workers (2018) have demonstrated the therapeutic application of CRISPR/Cas9 system by editing genome of Trypanosoma cruzi a causal organism of Chagas disease.\(^7^8\) Previously, there was no conventional therapeutics for the efficient treatment of this disease. Therefore, CRISPR-Cas system provided a platform for the diagnosis of T. cruzi. Using this technology, researchers firstly generated the T. cruzi strain which expressed a fusion protein containing red-shifted luciferase and green fluorescent protein domain. Now, this strain was further combined with a T7 RNA polymerase/CRISPR/Cas9 system to understand the mechanism of pathogenesis by editing genome of T. cruzi.

Apicomplexan parasites have been reported for causing malaria in human and toxoplasmosis in livestock. Sidik et al. (2016) have screened the genetic and chemical manipulation of Toxoplasma gondii by using CRISPR/Cas9 system integrated with other tools.\(^7^9\) This approach was found to be effective for identifying typical conserved apicomplexan mechanisms in T. gondii. They also revealed the identification of some novel proteins required for causing malaria by P. falciparum parasite. Thus integrated CRISPR/Cas system has provided a basis for the efficient investigation of chromosomal interactions of T. gondii. Knuepfer et al. (2017) have reported the efficient genome editing of Plasmodium falciparum, a causal organism of malaria by designing a toolkit based on CRISPR/Cas9 system.\(^8^0\) They achieved the genome editing by using dimerizable Cre-recombinase (DiCre) to remove the loxP-flanked DNA sequences. Medeiros et al. (2017) have also manipulated the genome of Trypanosoma cruzi, Trypanosoma brucei and Leishmania which cause Chagas disease, African sleeping sickness and leishmaniasis, respectively.\(^8^1\) Manipulation of genomes of these above parasites was carried out by CRISPR/ Cas9 system. They reported that therapeutic approach used for these parasites was easy, quick and independent of cloning and markers. Lander et al. (2015) have silenced the expression of GP72, PFR 1 and PFR 2 genes which are required for the motility of Trypanosoma cruzi by using CRISPR/Cas9 system.\(^8^2\) Figure 3 depicts the diagnosis of infectious diseases using CRISPR-Cas system.

**Therapeutic applications of CRISPR technology in cancer treatment**

Though, researchers have gained progress to some extent in the cancer treatment, mortality caused by cancer is still high. Therefore, it is urgent need to develop some efficient therapeutics for cancer. Presently, recent developments are focusing on gene editing by CRISPR/Cas9 system that exhibited vast prospective in production of cancer therapeutics.\(^8^3\) CRISPR/Cas9 system has been employed for cancer therapeutics by regulating gene expression. Hart and co-workers (2015) have constructed a CRISPR/Cas9 gRNA library. This library was found to be significant in evaluation of fitness of human cell lines.\(^8^4\) They also studied the genome complexity by oncogenes mediated genetic alterations. Cancer is the resultant of continuous accumulation of genetic alteration in the somatic cells. Drost and co-workers (2018) reported the application of CRISPR/Cas9 system to demonstrate origin of mutational signatures in human stem cell organoids. Organoid is the technique for in vitro growth of man epithelial tissues starting from a single adult stem cell.\(^8^5\) In this study they deleted the mismatch repair MLH1 genes in colon organoids of man through CRISPR/Cas9 system. Mei et al. (2020) have demonstrated the evolutionary relationships in breast cancer subtypes by developing a multiplexing CRISPR/dCas9 system.\(^8^6\) Various
applications of CRISPR-Cas system in cancer treatment have been shown in Figure 4.

Researchers have documented that gRNAs recruit the binding of catalytically inactive dCas9 at the target nucleotide sequence. Binding of dCas9 at transcriptional activation or inhibition region either enhances or suppresses the expression of targeted genes. Moreover, dCas9 is also significant in targeted epigenome editing by binding itself to histone modifiers and proteins regulating DNA methylation. It has been reported that a number of epigenetic factors have been found significant in distinct types of cancers including acute lymphoblastic leukemia and Ewing sarcoma for targeting epigenetic process that prevents cancer. Furthermore, it has also been documented that dCas9 targets the specific tumor markers in cancerous cells. Therefore, it necessitates the identification of specific genome altering factors that regulate the viability of cancer cells. Furthermore, better outcomes can be made possible by efficient transport of CRISPR tools into all cancer cells. Table 1 depicts the significant cancer therapeutic applications rendered by CRISPR/Cas9 system.

Therapeutic applications of CRISPR technology in cardiac disease treatment

The prevalence of cardiovascular diseases is increasing. Therefore, it requires understanding mechanisms for causing mortality and morbidity due to cardiovascular diseases. Therapeutic approaches for cardiovascular diseases comprise blood vessels and heart. Various cardiovascular disorders include coronary artery disease (CAD), cerebrovascular disease (stroke), peripheral artery disease (PAD), cardiomyopathies, rheumatic heart disease, arrhythmias, hypertensive heart disease, and congenital heart diseases. These cardiac ailments have been diagnosed by using genetic testing and bioinformatics analyses. However, these diagnostics have faced some issues of; extraction and culture of primary human cardiomyocytes for cardiovascular research are very challenging. Recently, CRISPR/Cas9 system provided a wide horizon for the cardiac therapeutics. Researchers have developed the mouse models suffering from cardiomyopathy to understand the mechanism of disease. Furthermore, the investigation of cardiac diseases has been carried out by introducing CRISPR/Cas9 into embryonic cells of rats, rabbits, and primates. The molecular phenomena of cardiac diseases and effect of mutations can be demonstrated by using induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC) technology coupled with CRISPR/Cas9 system. Therefore, CRISPR/Cas9 system offered tremendous applications for cardiovascular diagnostics. Figure 5 shows the mechanism of treatment of cardiac diseases using CRISPR technology.

Researchers have employed therapeutic applications of CRISPR/Cas9 system for cardiac diseases (Table 2).

Therapeutic applications of CRISPR technology in diabetes treatment

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a metabolic disorder in which abnormal level of insulin hormone is secreted by β-cells of
pancreatic cells. Person suffering from diabetes passes out more urine and experiences dehydration, appetite and loss of body weight. \(^{112}\) The prevalence of diabetes is increasing worldwide. In the year 2017, it has been reported that about 425 million people aged 20-79 years are suffering from diabetes. \(^{113}\) Furthermore, according to a report by the International Diabetes Federation (2017), the count of diabetic person will rise to 629 million people in the year 2045. \(^{114}\) Children and young people are also more susceptible to obesity and various chronic complications. \(^{115},^{116}\) Acute hyperglycemia causes ketoacidosis while chronic hyperglycemia has increased the risk of myocardial infarction and stroke and thereby diabetic nephropathy, retinopathy and neuropathy. \(^{117}\) Though existing diabetic therapeutics have been used for the treatment of diabetes, still there are some issues which have not been resolved such as improper stratification of people for their risk to convert from a prediabetic to a clinical diabetic state. \(^{118}\) Therefore, genome engineering by CRISPR/Cas9 system has been employed for diabetes therapeutics. Researchers have developed the animal models for the study of molecular mechanism of diabetes.

For example, Xu et al (2018) have developed rabbit model for diabetes therapeutics by editing genome using CRISPR/Cas9 system. \(^{119}\) They targeted the paired-homeodomain transcription factor 4 (PAX4) gene into zygote and concluded that rabbit model based on CRISPR/Cas9 technology was effective for the study of pathogenic mechanisms associated with diabetes mellitus. Cho et al (2018) have produced piglets which were deficient of insulin by inactivating INS gene by CRISPR/Cas9 system. \(^{118}\)

Figure 4. Therapeutic applications of CRISPR-Cas system in cancer.
Song et al (2019) have developed pathogenicity in rabbit model. They mutated the genome of rabbit by deleting small segment of glucokinase (GCK) gene which led to development of maturity onset diabetes of the young (MODY-2) in humans. Zou and co-workers (2019) have designed type II diabetic miniature pig model based on CRISPR/Cas9 system. Using CRISPR/Cas9 system they targeted gene for islet amyloid polypeptide (IAPP) protein in human. Deposition of IAPP protein causes diabetic complications. Therefore engineered pigs based on CRISPR/Cas9 have provided efficient means to study the diabetic pathogenicity. Figure 6 illustrates the therapeutic applications of diabetes.

Therapeutic applications of CRISPR technology in treatment of neurovascular diseases

Researchers have focused their interest to cure several neurological disorders due to bona fide uses of CRISPR/Cas9 system. Richard and co-workers (2020) have revealed the therapeutic significance of CRISPR/Cas9 technology for phenylketonuria treatment. This disease is caused by the deficiency of phenylalanine hydroxylase due to which level of phenylalanine is raised, thus impairing the function of central nervous system. They used the adeno associated virus vector for the transmission of CRISPR/Cas9 machinery. They targeted the Pah allele by homologous recombination and vanillin, a non-homologous end joining inhibitor along with viral drug was injected into hepatocytes of mouse. They reported that therapeutic approach using CRISPR/Cas9 system has permanently cured the phenylketonuria. Furthermore, Shannon (2020) has demonstrated the therapeutic approach for Huntington disease which is a neurodegenerative disorder due to repetition of unstable trinucleotide. Using CRISPR/Cas9 system they targeted the HTT gene by synthesizing one sgRNA specific for translational start region of HTT gene and another sgRNA targeted the Cas9 gene. Due to this approach, the repletion of trinucleotide was decreased and provided the basis of Huntington disease therapeutics.

Wang et al (2020) have also demonstrated the therapeutic application of CRISPR/Cas9 system for the treatment of Cockayne syndrome. This syndrome has been reported as an autosomal recessive disorder which shows cachectic dwarfism, clinical photosensitivity, continuous neurological disintegration, and early aging. They used the integration-free induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) and neural stem cells which can bear the effect of mutation caused by two deficient genes namely Cockayne syndrome, CSA/ERCC8 (ERCC excision repair 8, CSA ubiquitin ligase complex subunit) and CSB/ERCC6 (ERCC excision repair 6, chromatin remodeling factor). CRISPR/Cas9 system has suppressed the effect of deficient genes and thus can be used for the Cockayne syndrome therapeutics. Paschon et al (2019) have also reported the therapeutic significance of CRISPR/Cas9 system in the cure of spinal cord injury. A number of therapeutic neurological applications have been described in Figure 7.
Miscellaneous therapeutic applications of CRISPR technology

**CRISPR integrated biosensors for diagnostic purpose: An innovative therapeutics**

Rapid diagnostic testing (RDT) for the diagnosis of infectious diseases involves detection of pathogen specific immunoglobulins. However, these testing procedures are less sensitive and take too much time for reproducing the results.\(^{125,126}\) Though sensitivity of PCR and other sequence based techniques is better than RDT, they also exhibited several drawbacks including delayed response, being complicated and expensive, and requirement of highly trained personnel.\(^ {127,128}\) Therefore, biosensing technology integrated electrical, electrochemical, and optical techniques and can overcome the limitations of RDT and nucleic acid amplification based approaches.\(^ {129}\) Scientists have further improved the applications of biosensing technology by combining isothermal solid-phase DNA amplification and detection of DNA/RNA sequences of several infectious diseases on silicon microring resonator (SMR). The SMR biosensor has exhibited various merits like generating fast response, marker independent, simple, sensitive and real-time multiplexed detection of biomolecules in proximate of sensor surface by altering refractive index.\(^ {130,131}\)

![Figure 5. Therapeutic applications of CRISPR-Cas system in cardiovascular diseases.](image-url)

Table 2

| Sr. no. | Name of cardiovascular diseases | Target cell/tissue/organ | Target gene | Application | Name model organism | Vector used | Reference |
|---------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|------------|-----------|
| 1.      | Cardiomyopathy                 | Cardiomyocytes           | Myh6        | Demonstrated the role of Myh6 in heart function | Mice       | Adenovirus-102  |
| 2.      | Atherosclerosis                | Embryonic                | Apolipoprotein E (ApoE) and low density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR) Me212 and Klf15 loci | To study human cardiovascular disease by accessing the level of biochemical constituents | Pigs       | Genome editing-107 |
| 3.      | Cardiac dysfunction            | Embryonic, fibroblasts, and myoblasts | TET2 and Dnmt3a | Controlling transcription in cardiomyocytes of the postnatal heart | Mice       | Genome editing-108 |
| 4.      | Duchenne muscular dystrophy    | C2C12 mouse myoblasts   | Dmd exon 44 | Role of targeted genes in cardiac dysfunction and renal fibrosis | Mice       | Lentivirus-109   |
| 5.      | Atherosclerosis                | Hepatocytes              | Low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR) | Effective therapeutic approach for the treatment of familial hypercholesterolemia | Mice       | Adenovirus-110  |
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Kaoo and co-workers (2018) developed a biosensing device coupled with CRISPR technology for the diagnosis of tick-borne like scrub typhus (ST) and severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome (SFTS). The aforesaid biosensor was fabricated by using an inactivated nuclease Cas9 (dCas9) and single microring resonator. The developed biosensor was based on an isothermal diagnostic approach which was used for synchronized nucleic acid amplification and detection. The developed biosensor has provided a novel diagnostic tool that exhibited a number of unique advantages in terms of simplicity, speed, sensitivity, specificity, reliability, cost-effectiveness and better analytical performance. The response time of this SMR biosensor was 20 min, 100 times more sensitive than RT-PCR and detection limits for ST and SFTS were 0.54 aM and 0.63 aM, respectively.

Moreover, Hajian et al (2019) have digitally detected a target DNA sequence within the intact genome by designing a CRISPR-enhanced graphene-based field-effect transistor (gFET) known as CRISPR-Chip. This CRISPR-Chip was used for the analysis of HEK293T cell lines marked with blue fluorescent protein, and clinical samples of DNA with two distinct mutations at exons usually not found in patients of Duchenne muscular dystrophy. They reported that detection of mutation by CRISPR-Chip was easy, rapid and sensitive. The limit of detection of CRISPR-Chip graphene transistor was 2.3 fM, response time 15 min and sensitivity 1.7 fM. Pardee and co-workers (2016) have documented the fabrication of freeze-dried, paper-based sensors known as toehold switch sensors combined with isothermal RNA amplification and CRISPR/Cas9 system. The aforesaid sensor was employed to investigate Zika virus RNA genome from plasma of vero cells and rhesus macaque. The aforesaid sensor exhibited merits like being economical, rapid, easy to perform, and highly sensitive as it can detect single nucleotide change and resolve the issues of molecular diagnostics. Hu et al (2020) have reported the designing of DNA-AuNPs bioprobes using freezing based method which were salt free and had no thiol modification. These AuNPs bioprobes were used for the detection of RNA by coupling CRISPR/Cas13a system. The above said bioprobes were used for the investigation of Listeria monocytogenes, Salmonella typhimurium, Staphylococcus aureus and Pseudomonas aeruginosa.

Programmable materials are the encapsulated cells and have been used significantly for diagnostic applications as they release soluble components in controlled manner. English and co-workers (2019) have designed the hydrogels encapsulated with DNA using programmability application based on nucleases of CRISPR/Cas system. The encapsulated DNA is acting as either a structural component or an anchor for overhanging groups. They reported the programmability applications by designing smart materials called as of activated hydrogels. These hydrogels have exhibited distinct applications including (i) branched poly (ethylene glycol) hydrogels for the release of
DNA-anchored compounds, (ii) polyacrylamide-DNA hydrogels encapsulated with nanoparticles and living cells can be easily recycled, (iii) conductive carbon-black-DNA hydrogels used as electrical fuses can also be recycled and (iv) a polyacrylamide-DNA hydrogel that worked as fluidic regulator generated an electrical display for remote gesturing. Moreover, these smart tools employing CRISPR/Cas12a system were used for the investigation of antibiotic resistant genes in *Staphylococcus aureus*. These smart hydrogels have been used in tissue engineering, bioelectronics, and diagnostics.

**Environmental applications based on CRISPR technology**

Due to global industrialization, the world is facing many environmental problems such as increased risk of global warming due to increased emissions of greenhouse gases, attack of microbial pathogens including viruses on crops, vulnerability of crops to various abiotic stresses like drought and salinity, deliberate release of distinct types of pollutants in the surroundings, expensive and complicated pollutants detection and recyclable procedures. Though the aforesaid challenges are being resolved by existing conventional and genetic engineering techniques. These techniques could not achieve the goal of environmental sustainability. Therefore, genome editing using CRISPR technology has contributed significantly to resolve the existing challenges of environment. Though research in the field of CRISPR technology based on environmental applications is in very infancy stage, still this technology has offered a number of remarkable applications for sustainable environment. Phelps (2019) has documented the significance of CRISPR technology in monitoring of ecosystem biodiversity using dynamic environmental DNA (eDNA) [139]. Williams et al (2019) have demonstrated the on-site application of CRISPR technology combined with molecular ecology for the identification of eDNA of *Salmo salar* [140]. In the given below sections we have explained the applications of genome editing using CRISPR technology for sustainable environment:

**CRISPR technology in biofuel production**

Extensive use of oils, petroleum and its related products has increased the environmental problems [141]. To minimize the emission of greenhouse gases in the environment, there is increasing demand of utilization of biofuels. However, conventional methods used for production of biofuels are tedious and could not produce the enough quantity to meet the global requirement. Therefore, researchers have shown their interest in the production of biofuels using CRISPR technology. Shin et al (2016) have reported the production of biofuel by editing the genome of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* microalgae using CRISPR-Cas 9 system [142]. In this demonstration they knock out three genes loci i.e. *MAA7*, *CpSRP43* and *ChlM* of *C. reinhardtii*. Consequently, mutagenic microalgae exhibited high efficiency for the production of biofuels. Moreover, Shanmugam et al (2019) have also reported the tremendous application of CRISPR-Cas systems in production of biofuels using microbial sources [143]. They documented that CRISPR technology can be helpful in development of biorefineries for the production of biofuels in large amount.
CRISPR technology in toxicity assessment

Researchers have revealed the significance of genome editing using CRISPR technology in various environmental based applications. For instance, Snyder et al (2010) have demonstrated that bacteria and archaea containing CRISPR loci can help in identification of unknown viruses in the environment. They reported that spacer sequences that exist between the direct repeats of CRISPR systems are obtained from viruses. These spacer sequences are acting as guide sequences to protect bacterial and archaeal cell from infecting virus. They obtained the spacer sequences of CRISPR from metagenomic data from hot spring environments located in Yellowstone National Park (YNP). The storing conditions of these genomic data involve >80 °C temperature and pH < 4. For the detection of viruses from such environmental conditions, they used DNA microarray approach in combination with CRISPR system.

CRISPR technology in remediation of toxic compounds

CRISPR technology in conjugation with existing bioremediation approaches has been used for the degradation/remediation of pollutants. Li et al (2020) have demonstrated that tuning electron flux approach linked with CRISPR technology was employed to enhance the degradation efficiency of *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1 by increasing the extracellular electron transfer of bacteria. This approach was used for degradation of pollutants like methyl orange and chromium. CRISPR-Cas 9 system has also been used to modify the genome of plants growing in the paddy fields to lower the intake of cadmium heavy metallic ions by removing the OsNramp5 gene. Stein et al (2018) have documented that CRISPR-Cas 9 system can be used for altering the genome of fungi for the metabolism of xenobiotic compounds. Wu et al (2020) have also revealed the significance of types II CRISPR system in remediation process of environmental pollutants. In this demonstration they edited the genome sequences of *Aeromas hydrophila* and identified the genes essential for regulating the biochemical pathway for transformation of hazardous compounds such as methyl orange.

CRISPR technology in abiotic stress

Effect of environment on gene expression has been revealed in plants. CRISPR-Cas 9 system increased the drought tolerance in tomato plant by deleting the mitogen-activated protein kinases 3 (slmapk3) gene. Similarly, CRISPR-Cas 9 system has modified the genome of rice plant by inactivating the stress/ABA-activated protein kinase 2 (SAPK2) gene, responsible for regulating abscisic acid signaling pathway. Removal of this SAPK2 gene results in more sensitivity to drought and elevated oxidative stress in modified rice plants than wild rice plants. One more study reveals the application of CRISPR-Cas9 system in protection of plants growing at low temperature. Researchers using CRISPR-Cas9 system knock out the annexin (Osann3) gene to produce mutants of japonica rice plants. This gene is responsible for formation of Ca2+-dependent phospholipid binding protein. These proteins elevate the electron kinetics and decrease the survival of rice plants. However due to genome modification of mutant rice plants, they showed better survival capability at low temperature. Shi et al (2017) have also revealed the application of CRISPR-Cas9 system by overexpressing auxin regulated gene involved in organ size (ARGOS8), in *Zea mays*. Overexpression of ARGOS8 has replaced the actual position of promoter with the GOS2 promoter. Consequently, it increases the productivity of maize in drought conditions. Furthermore, CRISPR-Cas 9 system was used to create point mutations in rice for the production of herbicide resistant rice plants.

Environmental applications based on transposons integrated CRISPR technology

Genomes of most eukaryotic organisms are composed of transposable elements (TEs) or mobile genes. These TEs have shown profound impact on genome phylogenetic. It has been reported that insertion of TEs under the influence of changing environmental conditions has shown beneficial effects to host organisms. Furthermore TEs have been considered as efficient tools for genes allocations and epigenetic process. Under specific environmental conditions transposons alter the gene expression of regulatory pathway of host machinery to survive in changed environment. TEs are efficient tools for the generation of desired mutations in the genomic DNA. However, conventional genome editing practices use large nucleotide sequences that increase the risk of production of pseudo mutants. Thus, CRISPR-Cas9 system has played a significant role in the designing of highly efficient and targeted TEs integrated genome editing systems. Insertion of these systems in response to environmental conditions, like drought, cold, salinity etc., has augmented the host organism adaptability. Furthermore, the functional integrity of transposons in aforesaid TE based system after genome editing has shown similar effect in the regulation of host system.

Miniature inverted-repeat transposable elements (MITEs) are generated by the insertion of Class II TEs into direct repeats of CRISPR system. During infection of bacteriophage, MITEs have shown functional similarity with the CRISPR systems, as they are also involved in the process of RNA interference (RNAi) mediated gene silencing by producing single stranded RNA in response to environmental hormonal signaling or stress conditions. Mai et al (2016) have documented presence of MITEs generated from direct repeat sequences of CRISPR systems reveals the targeted integration of TEs that leads to production of CRISPR arrays. In plants, insertion of TEs has been observed in two signature nucleotides sequences i.e. AT dinucleotide and ATT trinucleotide. TEs provide adaptive defense to host from hereditary and nonhereditary genomic alterations. In specific environmental conditions, transposition mediated by transposase enzyme starts the expression of those genes that are essential for proper physiological processes. Singer et al (2010) have reported that in humans, during neuronal differentiation activation of Class I retrotransposons has triggered the arrangement of chromosomes to attain somatic plasticity. MITEs have been used in modification of TE integrated systems. During cancer modeling, Molyneux et al (2014) have revealed that DNA Sleeping Beauty TE integrated systems were employed for the targeted mutations in somatic cells. They concluded from this study that these TE integrated
systems can be used for the recognition of genes that are responsible for causing tumor. Moreover, Vaschetto (2017) has reported the significance of TE integrated systems in regulation of plant development process. Researchers have also developed the transgenic soybean using mPing MITEs. Moreover, MITEs have been acknowledged for RNA mediated gene regulation by producing either miRNA precursors or small interfering RNA (siRNA). Thus, genome editing using MITEs has altered the expression of genes either by up-regulating or down regulating their expression to enhance the susceptibility of crops in varying environmental conditions.

Engineering of CRISPR/Cas9-targeted loci for targeted insertion of TE has also regulated expression of targeted genes by using natural potential of TE. Furthermore it has been documented that TEs have played a crucial role in regulation of several physiological phenomena of host such as telomere maintenance, reorganization of chromosomes, duplication of genes, and epigenetic process. Thus this CRISPR technology combined with transposons has provided a remarkable platform to understand the mechanisms to identify essential genes and their function for gene regulation in diverse environments and evolution of wide arrays of genes.

Future prospects of CRISPR technology

By seeing the ample reports, CRISPR based technology has been acknowledged in the field of diagnostics and therapeutics due to its effectiveness and potent defense system. Recent progress on CRISPR/Cas9 technology is providing a horizon for the discovery of novel therapeutic genes and their mechanism of action. For instance, using CRISPR/Cas9 system, ample gRNAs have been constructed to target possible coding genes for screening gain and loss of function mutations. This rapid scanning of genetic material will help in identification of genes responsible for drug resistance to neurodisintegration. Consequently, researchers can develop effective therapeutics to combat the genetic as well as infectious diseases. Thus, the inclusive genetic screening helps to recognize suppressive mutations like the genetic as well as infectious diseases. Thus, the inclusive genetic screening helps to recognize suppressive mutations like disease causing tumor. Moreover, Vaschetto (2017) has reported the significance of TE integrated systems in regulation of plant development process. Researchers have also developed the transgenic soybean using mPing MITEs.

In the future, CRISPR/Cas9 system will provide direct therapy to genetic diseases by reversing the symptoms associated with them. Furthermore, efficient CRISPR based therapeutics must have an appropriate vector, specificity and capable repair system. A number of animal models containing CRISPR system have been used for the treatment of eye and hearing disorders. Researchers are also trying to condense the CRISPR/Cas9 system so that a compact system can be delivered as a single unit. Therefore, novel techniques have promise by exhibiting various advantages such as robustness, point-of-care use, unique sensitivity, specificity, rapidness and cost-effectiveness.

Moreover, CRISPR/dCas9-mediated biosensor should be further improved in terms of being simple to use, cheap, sensitive, specific, eco-friendly, quick, portable, and free of instruments for the investigation of clinical samples. A lab-on-chip platform has also been designed for diagnostic applications which facilitate the facile analysis, not expensive, fast and high through put extraction of DNA/RNA from clinical samples. In our opinion, incorporation of sample preparation and CRISPR/dCas9- integrated biosensors into a single cartridge will provide potential diagnostics for emerging infectious diseases. By seeing the immense applications of therapies based on genome editing, scientific communities are motivated to design therapeutics based on CRISPR technology in reality.

Conclusion

Recently, CRISPR-Cas systems have gained immense significance due to the existence of versatile and efficient defense mechanisms. Integration of CRISPR technology with lateral flow system has been significantly used for the diagnosis of emerging pathogens causing infectious diseases. It has offered several remarkable advantages such as economic, quick, sensitive and precise investigation of target host pathogens and can interfere with the therapeutic cures of several infections. CRISPR technology can be easily used for translating the target DNA sequence to restore the normal function of a defected gene. Thus, due to tremendous applications imparted by CRISPR technology, it has become a demanding therapeutic approach in the present system. Furthermore, biosensors integrated with CRISPR/Cas system have also provided quick and accurate molecular diagnostics with potential clinical applications.
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