High Pressure and \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]\) Produce an Inverse Modulation of Synaptic Input Strength and Network Excitability in the Rat Dentate Gyrus
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Hyperbaric environments induce the high pressure neurological syndrome (HPNS) characterized by hyperexcitability of the central nervous system (CNS) and memory impairment. Human divers and other animals experience the HPNS at pressures beyond 1.1 MPa. High pressure depresses synaptic transmission and alters its dynamics in various animal models. Medial perforant path (MPP) synapses connecting the medial entorhinal cortex with the hippocampal formation are suppressed by 50% at 10.1 MPa. Reduction of synaptic inputs is paradoxically associated with enhanced ability of dentate gyrus (DG)\(^{1}\) granule cells (GCs) to generate spikes at high pressure. This mechanism allows MPP inputs to elicit standard GC outputs at 0.1–25 Hz frequencies under hyperbaric conditions. An increased postsynaptic gain of MPP inputs probably allows diving animals to perform in hyperbaric environments, but makes them vulnerable to high intensity/frequency stimuli producing hyperexcitability.

Increasing extracellular \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]\)\(^{\circ}\) partially reverted pressure-mediated depression of MPP inputs and increased MPP’s low-pass filter properties. We postulated that raising \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]\)\(^{\circ}\) in addition to increase synaptic inputs may reduce network excitability in the DG potentially improving its function and reducing sensitivity to high intensity and pathologic stimuli. For this matter, we activated the MPP with single and 50 Hz frequency stimuli that simulated physiologic and deleterious conditions, while assessing the GC’s output under various conditions of pressure and \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]\)\(^{\circ}\). Our results reveal that the pressure and \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]\)\(^{\circ}\) produce an inverse modulation on synaptic input strength and network excitability. These coincident phenomena suggest a potential general mechanism of networks that adjusts gain as an inverse function of synaptic inputs’ strength. Such mechanism may serve for adaptation to variable pressure and other physiological and pathological conditions and may explain the increased sensitivity to strong sensory stimulation suffered by human deep-divers and cetaceans under hyperbaric conditions.
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INTRODUCTION

Pressure is an environmental variable that affects the physiology of living species. Like temperature, pressure produces complex changes in neural network performance (Talpalar and Grossman, 2006; Talpalar, 2007; Marder et al., 2015). Hyperbaric environments, like the depth of the oceans, are inhabited and visited by animals that endure them. The species that inhabit normobaric conditions suffer the high-pressure neurological syndrome (HPNS) when exposed to hyperbaric environments. HPNS is characterized by sensory, motor and cognitive dysfunction, including memory impairment, epilepsy-like episodes and other manifestations of central nervous system (CNS) hyperexcitability, whose severity increases as a function of pressure (Rostain et al., 1983; Bennett and Rostain, 2003; Talpalar, 2007). Professional deep-divers exposed to >1.1 MPa experience HPNS, which impairs their performance and endangers their lives. Toothed-whales spend most of the time at normobaric conditions, but dive for foraging giant squids at depths of 600–2000 m (6.1–20.1 MPa pressure). Diving, turn whales vulnerable to strong stimuli, like navy sonar activity, which apparently leads them to ascend fast, decompression sickness and stranding (Pacini et al., 2011; Tal et al., 2015). We postulated that such vulnerability arises from additive interaction of intense inputs when the CNS operates in “hyperbaric-mode”, a hyperexcitable state that scales up inputs allowing operation at high pressure (Talpalar and Grossman, 2005, 2006). In mammals, spatial orientation (including echolocation) involves the activity of entorhinal inputs onto different fields of the hippocampal formation (Ulanovsky and Moss, 2007; Geva-Sagiv et al., 2015). The medial perforant path (MPP), originating in spiny stellate (ss) neurons of the medial entorhinal cortex (Figure 1A), innervates the proximal dendrites of granule cells (GCs) of the dentate gyrus (DG; Wang and Lambert, 2003). This network serves for normal transfer of context-relevant spatial-orientation cues from the entorhinal cortex to the hippocampus for formation of spatial memory (Leutgeb et al., 2007; Igarashi, 2016). The perforant path is also a gate for cortical epileptic seizures invading the hippocampal formation (Krook-Magnuson et al., 2015) potentially causing amnesia after ictus (Brun et al., 2001). The GCs in the dorsal DG receive information from various areas in the cortex and in particular from the entorhinal cortex (Figure 1A). The MPP conveys a variety of relatively asynchronous and moderately synchronous signals during normal behavior (Sullivan et al., 2011, 2014), and highly synchronous signals when cortical epilepsy propagates to the hippocampal formation (Mody and Heinemann, 1987; Fujita et al., 2014). HPNS displays both, lower performance in cognitive tasks associated with cortico-hippocampal function (Vaernes et al., 1988; Abraini, 1997) and predisposition to epileptic activity (Vaernes et al., 1982; Vaernes and Hammerborg, 1989).

High pressure depresses synaptic transmission by cortical (Talpalar and Grossman, 2003) and hippocampal inputs (Fagni et al., 1987a), which are reduced by about 50% at 10.1 MPa. Synaptic transmission depends on the extracellular calcium concentration ([Ca$^{2+}]_o$) for triggering the release of synaptic vesicles (Katz and Miledi, 1965; Dodge and Rahamimoff, 1967). Decreasing [Ca$^{2+}]_o$, reduces MPP’s field excitatory postsynaptic potentials (fEPSPs) simulating high pressure (Talpalar and Grossman, 2003), while increasing [Ca$^{2+}]_o$ partially reverses pressure-induced synaptic depression of single MPP fEPSPs (Talpalar et al., 2010). Hyperbaric conditions depress synaptic inputs, but increase the ability of GCs to elicit action potentials in response to MPP synaptic stimulation (Talpalar and Grossman, 2004). The DG is known to display low-pass filter properties while transferring cortical activity to the CA3 hippocampal field (Buzsáki et al., 1996). High pressure partially alters such properties increasing the high-pass band of the filter, which can underlie the expression of HPNS in this system (Talpalar and Grossman, 2004). We postulated that increasing [Ca$^{2+}]_o$ at high pressure, in addition to increase synaptic inputs, may also restore the filter properties of the network and restrain pressure-induced hypersensitivity to strong stimuli. To assess this hypothesis, we studied cortico-hippocampal information transfer of single and frequency responses and evaluated its input-output function under various pressure and [Ca$^{2+}]_o$ conditions. Pressure and [Ca$^{2+}]_o$ modulated synaptic release in their expected manner. Strengthening of synaptic inputs was associated to decrease in network gain and increased DG’s low-pass filter properties, while weakening inputs produced the opposite. Those phenomena suggest that global inputs’ strengths may determine the filter properties of a network. Control of network properties by modulation of global inputs’ strengths may be a mechanism of adaptation to physical factors, like temperature and pressure, and a target for neuromodulators that widely affect synaptic release.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Brain Preparation

Animal experiments were carried out in accordance with the guidelines of the ethics committee for the care and use of animals for experimental work of Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva, Israel. Sprague-Dawley rats of both sexes (150–250 g) were euthanized (pentobarbital, 60 mg/kg). Brains were extracted (<1 min) and submerged in cold Ringer’s solution (4–6°C). Cortico-hippocampal slices (400 µm) were prepared as previously described (Talpalar and Grossman, 2003). Brains were sliced with a horizontal vibratome (Campden Instruments) and conserved in an incubation chamber at 25°C for later use. The control Ringer’s solution contained (in mM) 124 NaCl, 3 KCl, 2 CaCl$_2$, 2 MgSO$_4$, 1.25 NaH$_2$PO$_4$, 26 NaHCO$_3$, and 10 D-glucose. The solution was constantly bubbled with 95% O$_2$–5% CO$_2$ for a pH of 7.4. Variable [Ca$^{2+}]_o$ was obtained by changing the concentration of CaCl$_2$ in the solution.

Pressure and Compression

High pressure experiments were performed in a pressure chamber (Canty Inc., Lockport, NY, USA). The chamber was provided with an internal experimental bath containing two stimulation electrodes and a temperature gauge. Cortico-hippocampal slices were superfused with pre-warmed Ringer’s...
solution. The recording pipette was positioned in the DG fields using an electrically remote-controlled manipulator (Talpalar and Grossman, 2003, 2004; Talpalar et al., 2010). Hyperbaric pressure was obtained by compressed helium, a gas that is chemically inert at these pressures (0.1–10.1 MPa). Recordings in some control experiments in the pressure chamber were taken at 0.2–0.4 MPa because these small pressures were more stable than at 0.1 MPa for further pressurization. The rates of compression-decompression ranged between 0.1 and 0.2 MPa/min. Samples were collected at control (0.1–0.4 MPa), 5.1 and 10.1 MPa if not otherwise specified. Control experiments using simultaneous dual recording electrodes in the proximal dendrites and somatic layers of the DG were performed in a conventional setup consisting in a submersion chamber mounted on an air table and similar electronic amplifiers. Ringer’s solution (saturated at normal pressure with 95% O₂–5% CO₂) was forced into the experimental bath using a high-pressure pump (LDC analytical minipump). Samples were taken under strict conditions of temperature (30°C) and at least after 15–20 min of stable recording. This time excludes the time needed for stabilization of temperature transients of ±2°C during the processes of compression-decompression (<32 and 28°C, respectively).

Electrophysiological Recordings

The conditions of the hyperbaric chamber only allow performing single electrode recordings of extracellular field potentials. Some experiments were performed in a conventional setup provided with double recording electrodes. Field-EPSPs and population spikes (PS) activities were recorded at the somatic and inner dendritic areas of the DG using glass micropipettes (1.5–3 MΩ) filled with Ringer’s solution. The MPP was stimulated using tungsten bipolar electrodes that were placed either at the subiculum or the inner dendritic area of the DG (Talpalar et al., 2010). The stimulation protocol was generated using a Master-8 (AMPI, Jerusalem, Israel) digital pulse-generator and delivered through isolation-units (AMPI, Jerusalem, Israel). The MPP was stimulated with pulses of 40–60 µs duration. The standardized stimulus-intensities (usually 10 steps from 1 to 10 mA intensity) varied between the threshold intensity to elicit a minimal response and supra-maximal stimuli that saturated it.

Data Recordings and Analysis

MPP fEPSPs were recorded at the inner dendritic and GC regions of the DG and both were analyzed in a similar way. We used fEPSP’s slope as a parameter of synaptic strength because it is more reliable and less contaminated with spike activity than the fEPSP’s amplitude (Talpalar and Grossman, 2004). PSs were used to estimate GCs’ spike firing. We used PS’s amplitude as a parameter for GC output unless otherwise specified. We estimated excitability under the various experimental conditions by assessing the
generation of PS in response to MPPs’ fEPSPs stimulation. To
generate output/input curves, PSs’ amplitudes were plotted as
a function of fEPSPs’ slopes produced by increasing stimulus
intensities under control and other experimental conditions.
Single fEPSP events were delivered every 10–20 s, and trains
of five stimuli at 50 Hz (E1–5) were delivered at a rate of
1 train/min. Analysis of these responses involved the
measurement and comparison of each individual response
(Ei) in the train and the comparison of the effect of
frequency on Ei slopes. The data were analyzed using Clampfit
10.2 (Axon Laboratory) and Origin 9.0 (OriginLab) software.
Events were normalized with respect to the first event (E1)
if not otherwise specified. The MPP’s fEPSPs show almost
exclusively frequency-dependent depression (FDD) during 50 Hz
stimulation-frequency.

Statistical Analysis of Electrophysiological
Experiments
The results are expressed as mean ± SEM if not otherwise
specified. Since high pressure experiments were time consuming
only one experiment was done with a single animal. Each
experiment involved several stimulus intensities, which were
carried out in a single slice. Paired Student’s t-test was
used for comparing parameters taken under control and
experimental conditions in the same slice. One-factor and
two-factor ANOVA for repetitive measurements was used for
comparing parameters (slope, integral, etc.) involving frequency
response. Results at each condition were compared with other
conditions using Holm-Sidak correction in the Origin 9.0
software (OriginLab). The degree of significance was indicated by
the values of p (the results were considered statistically different
for p < 0.05).

RESULTS
Increasing [Ca2+]o Enhances Synaptic
Input and Reduces DG Excitability
Counteracting High Pressure Effects in
Single Responses
GCs Readiness to Fire at High Pressure Is
Determined by the Resultant of MPP-Inputs
Suppression and Network-Excitability Enhancement
High pressure slows the kinetics of a single MPP’s fEPSP onto
GC of the DG. The fEPSPs’ slope was reduced by about 50%
at 10.1 MPa (Talpalar and Grossman, 2003). Despite of fEPSP
suppression, the postsynaptic neurons generated PSs that were
indistinguishable from controls (Talpalar and Grossman, 2004).
Such hyperexcitability suggests a postsynaptic boost that is
associated to reduction of synaptic inputs. Increasing [Ca2+]o
at 10.1 MPa pressure partially reverted pressure-suppression of
fEPSPs and saturated the response at a sub-normal level (Talpalar
et al., 2010). High [Ca2+]o enhances single fEPSP inputs to GCs,
but it may increase or decrease PS-generation depending on
how it influences the activity of other synapses that determine
the DG’s network excitability (Figures 1A,B). To answer this
question, we stimulated the MPP with a wide range of intensities,
producing unsaturated and saturated fEPSP responses, and
evaluated the PS that they generated under various conditions of
pressure and [Ca2+]o.

Evaluation of MPP fEPSPs allows the assessment of the
status of the DG network input under different conditions.
Electrical stimulation of the MPP with progressively stronger
intensities activates a larger number of axons producing steeper
fEPSPs’ slopes (Talpalar and Grossman, 2003, 2004). Their
dynamic range varies from fEPSPs that are barely distinguishable
from background noise to fEPSPs whose slopes saturate (do
not increase anymore) as a function of stimulus intensity
(Figure 1B). Both, unsaturated or saturated fEPSPs can elicit
PS. To unify interpretation of dendritic (Talpalar and Grossman,
2003; Talpalar et al., 2010) and somatic signals (Talpalar
and Grossman, 2004) we performed experiments with simultaneous
recordings in proximal dendrites and somata of roughly same
GCs in the DG (Figure 1A). Dendritic recordings displayed
typical negative fEPSPs and a fast positive PS deflections
(Figure 1A, left insert) while somatic recordings showed an
inverse configuration (Figure 1A, right insert) confirming
that they can be interpreted similarly. Increasing the stimulus
intensity to MPP fibers accelerated fEPSPs’ slopes until reaching
saturation (at about 5 mA; Figure 1B). The earliest PS was
often generated by an unsaturated fEPSP elicited by 2–5 mA
stimulus intensity. PS's latency was often shortened while
increasing stimulus intensity (Figure 1B, gray shadow). The
latency for PS's onset varied from 1.5 to 5 ms after the onset of
a saturated fEPSP (n = 39). Some experiments showed a
mild reduction of PS’s amplitude or increase in PS’s latency
during supramaximal stimulation of the MPP (Figure 1B,
stimuli 7–10 mA), which suggested partial recruitment of feed-
forward inhibition, presumably mediated by pyramidal basket
cells (PB; Figure 1C). High pressure reduced fEPSPs’ slopes
in a pressure-dependent manner (Figures 2A,B). Unsaturated
fEPSP responses (Figures 2A,B; stimuli 1–5 mA; Figure 3A)
were reduced by 32 ± 3% at 5.1 MPa (n = 24, p < 0.001) and
by 48 ± 4% at 10.1 MPa with respect to control pressure (n = 24;
p < 0.001). Increasing pressure from 5.1 to 10.1 MPa additionally
reduced fEPSPs’ slopes by 22 ± 3% (n = 24; p < 0.001). Saturated
fEPSPs’ slopes (Figures 2A,B; stimuli 6–10; Figure 3B) were
reduced by 25 ± 3% at 5.1 MPa (n = 25; p < 0.001), and by
44 ± 2% at 10.1 MPa, respect to control pressure (n = 25,
p < 0.001). Pressurization to 10.1 MPa reduced fEPSPs’ slopes
by 23 ± 13% with respect to 5.1 MPa (n = 25; p < 0.001). The
depression of fEPSPs’ slopes at 0.1 – 5.1 MPa and 5.1 – 10.1
MPa steps was not different. Pressure effects on unsaturated and
saturated fEPSPs’ slopes were not different, suggesting that
pressure-reduction of synaptic activity is independent of the
number of fibers. Despite of the highly significant reduction of
fEPSPs’ slopes at high pressure, the integrals of both,
unsaturated and saturated fEPSPs, were not different under the
various pressure conditions (Figures 3C,D). Previous studies
showed that pressure-depressed fEPSP generated PS whose
amplitude was conserved at 5.1 MPa and tended to reduction at
10.1 MPa (Talpalar and Grossman, 2004). We revisited this
question using a more complete set of MPP stimulus intensities.
These data rely exclusively on slices that elicited PSs under at least one experimental condition. PSs generated by unsaturated fEPSPs had amplitudes of $0.37 \pm 0.13$ mV at control pressure, $0.41 \pm 0.12$ mV at 5.1 MPa ($n = 20$; not significantly different from control), and $0.21 \pm 0.06$ mV at 10.1 MPa ($n = 20$; not different from control). PSs' amplitudes at 5.1 MPa were significantly larger than at 10.1 MPa (Figure 4A) suggesting that PS generation tends to increase at 5.1 MPa and to decrease at 10.1 MPa. PSs elicited by saturated fEPSPs had amplitudes of $0.76 \pm 0.14$ mV at normal pressure ($n = 25$), $0.79 \pm 0.09$ mV at 5.1 MPa ($n = 25$, not statistically different), and were significantly reduced to $0.49 \pm 0.06$ mV at 10.1 MPa with respect to 0.1 MPa ($n = 25$; $p < 0.01$) and to 5.1 MPa ($n = 25$; $p < 0.0001$). Although all fEPSPs were depressed by high pressure, there was variance in the individual susceptibility of different slices. To correlate individual changes in fEPSPs' inputs to PSs' amplitudes, we plotted PSs' amplitudes as a function of fEPSPs' slopes (Figures 4C,D). The curves showed that subthreshold fEPSPs' slopes at control-pressure turned supra-threshold under hyperbaric conditions. The output of unsaturated fEPSP inputs showed more variance than those generated by saturated inputs, which were suppressed at 10.1 MPa confirming a previous trend (Talpalar and Grossman, 2004). Altogether, these results show that the DG network copes with reduction of MPP input at high pressure by increasing the gain, but loses control when inputs are too depressed.

**Raising $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o$, Antagonizes Pressure—Suppression of Single MPP Inputs and Decreases DG Network Excitability**

Increasing $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o$ from 2 to 4 mM at 10.1 MPa accelerated somatic MPP fEPSPs' slopes (Figures 2A,B). Unsaturated fEPSP responses increased from $0.38 \pm 0.05$ to $0.54 \pm 0.06$ mV/ms (by $55 \pm 11$%; $n = 24$; $p < 0.001$; Figure 3A) while saturated fEPSPs' slopes increased from $0.61 \pm 0.05$ to $0.85 \pm 0.06$ mV/ms at 4 mM $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o$ (by $47 \pm 6$%; $n = 25$; $p < 0.001$; Figure 3B). Raising $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o$ increased unsaturated and saturated fEPSPs' slopes but kept them $24 \pm 4$% ($n = 24$; $p < 0.001$) and $19 \pm 3$% slower than at control pressure ($n = 25$; $p < 0.001$). Unsaturated and saturated fEPSPs' slopes at 10.1 MPa—4 mM $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o$ were respectively $13 \pm 3$% ($n = 24$; $p < 0.001$) and $11 \pm 3$% ($n = 25$; $p < 0.001$) steeper than at 5.1 MPa—2 mM $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o$. The effect on unsaturated vs. saturated fEPSPs' slopes was non-significant (Figures 3A,B). Therefore, increasing $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o$ homogeneously relieves high-pressure—depressed fEPSPs' slopes independently of input fibers involvement. Increasing $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o$ at 10.1 MPa rendered fEPSPs' slopes 12% steeper than at 5.1 MPa (Figures 3A,B) and were expected to elicit larger PSs than at 5.1 MPa. However, PSs' amplitudes elicited by unsaturated fEPSPs increased from $0.21 \pm 0.06$ to barely $0.38 \pm 0.12$ mV ($n = 25$; $p < 0.05$) showing no difference with 5.1 MPa (Figure 4A; $n = 18$). Likewise, PSs' amplitudes elicited by saturated fEPSPs increased from $0.49 \pm 0.06$ mV to $0.76 \pm 0.07$ mV at 4 mM $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o$ ($n = 25$; $p < 0.001$), not different from 5.1 MPa (Figure 4B; $n = 25$). The fact that stronger fEPSPs at 10.1 MPa—4 mM $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o$ and smaller fEPSPs at 5.1 MPa (Figure 3) elicited similar PSs (Figures 4A,B) suggested that high $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o$ may induce two opposite effects for PS generation: enhance fEPSP inputs that promotes it, and decrease excitability that prevents it. Output/input curves obtained by plotting PSs' amplitudes as a function of fEPSPs' slopes showed that high pressure increases excitability and that high $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o$ reduces it (Figures 4C,D). In conclusion, high $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o$ produces steeper fEPSP inputs that are likely to increase PS amplitude, but at the same time decreases DG network excitability, which does the opposite. The consequence of this interaction is often the generation of...
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**FIGURE 3** Increasing extracellular calcium alleviates high pressure depression of MPP fEPSPs. High pressure effect and the antagonistic effect of increasing $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ from 2 to 4 mM on MPP fEPSPs. (A) Quantification of pressure and $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ effects on unsaturated fEPSPs’ slopes ($n = 24$ for each condition). (B) Effect of pressure and $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ on saturated fEPSPs’ slopes ($n = 25$ for each condition). (C) Quantification of pressure and $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ effect on unsaturated fEPSPs’ integral ($n = 5$ for each condition; not statistically different). (D) Effect of pressure and $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ on saturated fEPSPs’ integral ($n = 5$ for each condition; not statistically different). Data expressed in mean ± SEM. Significance level, if not otherwise specified, expresses difference with respect to the bar on the left ($^{***}p < 0.001$).

larger PSs (Figure 4C), but their eventual reduction in a smaller set of experiments (Figure 4D). Such dominance could not be correlated to any perceivable condition in the experiments and may result from diverse integrity of connections in the slices.

**Pressure and $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ Exert Antagonistic Effects on the Frequency Performance of MPP Inputs and GCs’ Outputs in the DG Network**

Normal MPP inputs to the GCs in the DG operate at frequencies that include single inputs (≈0.1 Hz), theta-waves (7–12 Hz; Ylinen et al., 1995), gamma-oscillations (40–100 Hz; Bragin et al., 1995) and others. High pressure influences DG network activity by suppressing its single MPP fEPSP input’s strength and modulating its paired-pulse facilitation (PPF) and FDD (Talpalar and Grossman, 2003) while maintaining its output at ≈25 Hz but increasing it at higher frequencies (Talpalar and Grossman, 2004). Such effects imply an alteration of the normal low-pass filter properties of the DG, which may account to its malfunction causing increased sensitivity to sensory stimulation during HPNS (Talpalar and Grossman, 2005, 2006; Talpalar, 2007). High $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ increased single MPP fEPSPs and accelerated FDD at high-pressure (Talpalar et al., 2010), adding low-pass filter properties to the input. However, it is unclear how high $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ may affect the frequency response, excitability, and output of the network. Stimulation with trains of five dendritic fEPSPs (E$_1$–E$_5$) showed that E$_1$ and E$_3$–5 at 50 Hz were scaled down by about 0.5 at 10.1 MPa (Talpalar and Grossman, 2003; Talpalar et al., 2010). We contemplated the possibility that the pattern observed in dendritic recordings can be altered by the recruitment of voltage-dependent components during its transfer to GC soma. However, somatic fEPSPs elicited at 50 Hz showed that unsaturated (Figures 5C,E) and saturated E$_1$–5 (Figures 5D,F) were homogeneously scaled down by a factor of 0.75 at 5.1 MPa and by 0.5 at 10.1 MPa independently of the number of stimulated fibers, and that their FDD was similar to their normobaric controls (Figures 5E,F), displaying a pattern that is similar to dendritic recordings. Increasing $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ from...
2 to 4 mM at 10.1 MPa increased $E_1$ by about 50% (overtaking $E_1$ at 5.1 MPa by about 12%), but significantly depressing $E_2$–$E_5$ (Figures 5C,D). We then assessed how high pressure and $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o$ modulate the DG output at 50 Hz. Evaluation of GCs’ PS generation by fEPSPs inputs at 50 Hz (Figures 5A,B) was carried out in a selected set of experiments to eliminate bias by extremely subthreshold $E_{1–5}$. The criterion for inclusion was that at least one of $E_{1–5}$ elicits a single PS in the train ($PS_{1–5}$). Figure 6A shows typical somatic fEPSPs and PSs recordings elicited by MPP stimulation at 50 Hz under the various experimental conditions. We compared PS by calculating their mean absolute amplitude (Figures 6B,C) and their relative strength by normalizing them to the maximal PS’s amplitude ($PS_{\text{max}}$) at any condition in each experiment (Figures 6D,E). The means of absolute and normalized amplitudes of $PS_{1–5}$ elicited by unsaturated $E_n$ were not different at 5.1 and 10.1 MPa compared to corresponding $PS_{1–5}$ at 0.1 MPa ($n = 20$ for each $PS_n$; Figures 6B,D). However, high pressure exerted differential effects on individual $PS_n$ generated by saturated $E_n$ at 50 Hz. The absolute and normalized amplitude of $PS_1$ was conserved at 5.1 MPa ($n = 25$) but both were significantly depressed at 10.1 MPa with respect to 0.1 MPa ($n = 25$, $p < 0.05$) and 5.1 MPa ($n = 25$, $p < 0.01$; Figure 6C), while the normalized amplitudes of $PS_2$ at 5.1 and 10.1 MPa were depressed relative to 0.1 MPa ($n = 25$, $p < 0.01$ for each; Figure 6E). In contrast, the mean absolute and relative amplitudes of $PS_{3–5}$ were not different from their respective controls (Figures 6C,E). The sum of PS’s absolute and normalized amplitudes elicited by unsaturated $E_n$ was not changed by high pressure. The sums of PS’s amplitudes elicited by saturated $E_n$ at 0.1 and 5.1 MPa were similar, but they were
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FIGURE 5 | Effects of pressure and \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o\) on the MPP fEPSP during stimulation at 50 Hz frequency. (A, B) Somatic field recordings at the GC layer of the DG showing the performance of fEPSPs under 0.1, 10.1 MPa 2 mM \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o\), and 10.1 MPa 4 mM \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o\) conditions. (A) Effects on subthreshold fEPSPs. (B) Effects on saturated suprathreshold fEPSPs. Arrows mark the increase of PS strength at high pressure. (C) Time course of unsaturated fEPSPs’ slopes at 50 Hz under 0.1, 10.1 MPa 2 mM \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o\), and 10.1 MPa 4 mM \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o\) conditions (normalized to normobaric \(E_1\); \(n = 21\)). (D) Course of saturated fEPSPs’ slopes at 50 Hz under 0.1, 10.1 MPa 2 mM \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o\), and 10.1 MPa 4 mM \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o\) conditions (normalized to normobaric \(E_1\); \(n = 25\)). Note that for both fEPSPs’ saturation conditions \(E_5\) at 4 mM \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o\) is significantly weaker than \(E_5\) at 2 mM \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o\). (E) Unsaturated fEPSPs’ slopes at 50 Hz under 0.1, 10.1 MPa 2 mM \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o\), and 10.1 MPa 4 mM \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o\) conditions (normalized to \(E_1\) at each corresponding condition; \(n = 21\)). (F) Saturated fEPSPs’ slopes during stimulation at 50 Hz under 0.1 and 10.1 MPa—2 mM \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o\), and 10.1 MPa—4 mM \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o\) conditions (normalized to \(E_1\) at each corresponding condition; \(n = 25\)). Notice that in unsaturated and saturated fEPSP responses, high pressure roughly preserves the frequency response of the input at control while high \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o\) enhances \(E_1\) but filters \(E_2–E_5\) (**p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001).
FIGURE 6 | The band-pass properties of the DG are displaced to high-pass by high pressure and to low-pass by raising \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o\). (A) Electrophysiological recordings of typical fEPSPs and PSs during MPP stimulation at 50 Hz under various pressures and \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o\) conditions. Note the increase in PS$_{4-5}$ responses at 5.1 and 10.1 MPa (arrows) and their suppression by increasing \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o\) from 2 to 4 mM at 10.1 MPa. (B) Statistical analysis of the absolute amplitudes of PS$_{1-5}$ elicited by unsaturated fEPSPs at 50 Hz under conditions of variable pressure and \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o\). Individual PS$_n$ elicited at the different pressures are not significantly different from their corresponding controls \((n = 20)\). (C) Course of PS amplitude elicited by saturated fEPSPs at 50 Hz under conditions of variable pressure and \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o\). PSs elicited at the different pressures are not significantly different \((n = 25)\). (D,E) PS$_n$ amplitudes normalized to the maximal attainable PS amplitude \((\text{PS}_{\text{max}})\) in trains at 50 Hz in any of the conditions. (D) PS responses to unsaturated E$_n$ at 50 Hz \((n = 20)\). (E) PS elicited by saturated E$_n$ at 50 Hz \((n = 25)\). Events were statistically compared with their respective controls using Students’ t-test \((^*p < 0.05; ^{**}p < 0.01; ^{***}p < 0.001)\).
reduced at 10.1 MPa ($n = 25; p < 0.05$) mostly at the expenses of PS$_{1,2}$. Despite of the conserved or even reduced sum of PS$_0$ at high pressure, the number of discernible PS$_{3,5}$ (elicited by E$_{1-5}$) tended to increase at 5.1 and 10.1 MPa (Figure 6A, arrows) confirming that high pressure biases the DG filter response strengthening late fEPSPs in the CNS (Talpalar and Grossman, 2004). Increasing [Ca$^{2+}$]$_o$ from 2 to 4 mM at 10.1 MPa did not change the amplitude of PS$_1$ elicited by unsaturated E$_1$ (Figures 6B,D) but significantly increased the amplitude PS$_1$ elicited by a saturated E$_1$ ($n = 25, p < 0.001$; Figures 6C,E). Moreover, high [Ca$^{2+}$]$_o$ depressed the amplitudes of PS$_{2,5}$ with respect to 10.1 MPa—2 mM [Ca$^{2+}$]$_o$ disregarding if they were elicited by unsaturated ($n = 20; p < 0.001$ for each PS$_n$; Figure 6B) or saturated E$_{2-5}$ ($n = 25; p < 0.001$ for each PS$_n$; Figures 6C,E). In conclusion, increasing [Ca$^{2+}$]$_o$ from 2 to 4 mM at 10.1 MPa enhanced E$_1$ while proportionally decreasing E$_{2-5}$, and augmented PS$_1$ while weakened PS$_{2-5}$ at 50 Hz disregarding the stimulus intensities. So, these experiments show that high [Ca$^{2+}$]$_o$ counteracts high pressure effects on inputs and outputs at 50 Hz and aims to restore the altered filter-properties of the DG. The fact that high [Ca$^{2+}$]$_o$ induces larger augmentation on pressure-repressed PS$_1$ elicited by saturated E$_1$ (Figures 6C,E), or strongly suppresses pressure-enhanced PS$_{3-5}$ (Figure 6), suggests that the effect of [Ca$^{2+}$]$_o$ on network parameters is inversely proportional to their sensitivity to pressure. Moreover, they emphasize that the enhancement of low-pass filter properties of MPP inputs by high [Ca$^{2+}$]$_o$ is not altered during its convey from the dendrites to the GCs’ somata where PSs are generated.

**Fast GABAergic Inhibition is Essential for High [Ca$^{2+}$]$_o$ Restrain of DG Excitability During Single MPP Inputs and Stimulation at Frequency**

We postulated that the depressing effect of high [Ca$^{2+}$]$_o$ on DG excitability is predominantly mediated by enhancement of feed-forward and feedback GABAergic inhibition. To support this argument we performed a set of experiments that assess the consequences of HPNS seen in humans and other diving animals (Talpalar and Grossman, 2005, 2006; Talpalar, 2007). The performance of cortico-hippocampal networks is probably disturbed by high pressure producing the cognitive consequences of HPNS seen in humans and other diving animals (Talpalar and Grossman, 2005, 2006; Talpalar, 2007). The in vitro cortico-hippocampal network is modulated by high pressure showing depression of MPP inputs to the DG (Talpalar

(Figure 7B; $n = 16$) eventually producing epileptic-like bouts in the DG (not shown). Such result may arise from the simultaneous suppression of feed-forward and feedback inhibition onto the DG GCs (Figure 7B1). GABA$_{A}$ receptor blockade produces proportionally similar effects at various conditions of pressure (0.1 and 10.1 MPa) and [Ca$^{2+}$]$_o$ (2–4 mM) that altogether can be interpreted as letting the excitatory glutamatergic inputs alone to shape the activity of the network.

A previous study showed that during stimulation at frequency, block of GABA$_{A}$ receptors abolished low-pass filter properties of the DG (Talpalar and Grossman, 2004). We have postulated that high [Ca$^{2+}$]$_o$ was able to restore the DG’s low-pass filter properties at 10.1 MPa by potentially boosting inhibition in the network (Figure 6). To test this hypothesis we compared the effect of [Ca$^{2+}$]$_o$ in preparations proficient and devoid of GABA$_{A}$ inhibition. We assessed the effect of BIC (10–20 µM) on PS generation by trains of moderate—medium intensity fEPSPs at 50 Hz under various conditions of pressure and [Ca$^{2+}$]$_o$ (2–4 mM). Blockade of GABA$_{A}$ inhibition eliminated or severely reduced the low-pass filter properties of the DG ($n = 24$). Moreover, blockade of GABA$_{A}$ receptors prevented restoration of these properties by high [Ca$^{2+}$]$_o$ (Figure 7C; $n = 7$). Increasing [Ca$^{2+}$]$_o$ to 4 mM at 10.1 MPa reduced the average number of discernible PS$_{3-5}$ from 3 to 1.6 ($p < 0.01$), addition of BIC increased the number of PS to 4.14 ($p < 0.001$; $n = 7$). Comparison of E$_{1-5}$ slopes and time course before and after BIC showed indistinguishable MPP FDD at both conditions showing that GABA$_{A}$ inhibition does not influence presynaptic MPP activity, and its blockade preserves its original configuration ($n = 7$).

Bath application of inhibitory blockers caused high intensity single fEPSPs to produce long-lasting bursts of epileptiform repetitive PS activity whose duration overlapped with several of E$_{1-5}$ events at 50 Hz, precluding the analysis of such responses ($n = 7$; not shown). The increase in the decay time of single subthreshold fEPSP attests for blockade of feed-forward inhibition that is presumably recruited by MPP fibers during single fEPSPs (Figures 7A,A1). The hyperexcitability observed on higher intensity fEPSPs and the loss of low-path filter properties of the DG are caused by a combined blockade of feed-forward and feedback GABA$_{A}$ inhibition (Figures 7B,B1,C). In conclusion, these experiments show that intact GABA$_{A}$ inhibition is necessary for high [Ca$^{2+}$]$_o$—mediated reduction of excitability at high pressure and support the hypothesis that such effect is mediated by enhancement of fast inhibitory pathways.

**DISCUSSION**

The performance of cortico-hippocampal networks is probably disturbed by high pressure producing the cognitive consequences of HPNS seen in humans and other diving animals (Talpalar and Grossman, 2005, 2006; Talpalar, 2007). The in vitro cortico-hippocampal network is modulated by high pressure showing depression of MPP inputs to the DG (Talpalar
and Grossman, 2003) together with hyperexcitability, and distortion of the DG’s low-pass filter properties (Talpalar and Grossman, 2004). High-pressure depression of single MPP inputs is antagonized by raising [Ca$^{2+}$]o, which enhances it at high pressure (Talpalar et al., 2010). Similar effects were seen in vertebrate synapses (Golan and Grossman, 1992), suggesting that synaptic depression by high pressure and synaptic enhancement by raising [Ca$^{2+}$]o are general effects on synaptic activity, independent of the type of synapse. How is this logic applicable to predict a behavior of a network? A simplistic prediction is that increasing MPP inputs under the hyperexcitable hyperbaric conditions will increase the output. We show here that this prediction tends to be correct for single stimuli. However, the normal DG network performance entails activity at different frequencies (Bragin et al., 1995; Ylinen et al., 1995). How increasing synaptic strength may influence the output of a network that contains excitatory and inhibitory synapses? We hypothesized that relieving synaptic suppression at high-pressure may decrease DG network excitability and normalize its frequency response. Our experiments confirm that raising [Ca$^{2+}$]o at high-pressure not only mitigate synaptic suppression, but also reduces DG network excitability in both, single events (Figures 4C,D) and during stimulation at 50 Hz frequency (Figure 6), and therefore restores the pressure-disrupted low-pass filter properties of the DG.

**Effects of Pressure and High [Ca$^{2+}$]o on fEPSPs and PS’s Generation During Single MPP Stimulation and Low Frequency Activity**

This study shows that doubling [Ca$^{2+}$]o from 2 to 4 mM at high pressure increases MPP synaptic transmission and reduces excitability of the network. The conclusion is that increasing pressure depresses single MPP inputs, while increasing [Ca$^{2+}$]o enhances them disregarding the number of stimulated fibers or degrees of synchronization. The reasons for such effects were discussed previously (Talpalar and Grossman, 2003), and respond to the dependence on Ca$^{2+}$ to trigger synaptic release (Katz and Miledi, 1965; Dodge and Rahamimoff, 1967) and the high-pressure interference with those processes (Talpalar et al., 2010). The generation of PS by single fEPSPs was conserved at 5.1 MPa and reduced at 10.1 MPa, with a more marked reduction for PSs elicited by saturated fEPSPs, while raising [Ca$^{2+}$]o restored them to control values (Figures 4A,B). Conservation of PS amplitudes was related to hyperexcitability of the DG under hyperbaric conditions (Talpalar and Grossman, 2004), while PS reduction was attributed to extreme suppression of MPP input at 10.1 MPa. High [Ca$^{2+}$]o enhanced the input, but decreased DG network excitability. Their balance often resulted in increased PS output (Figure 4C) or eventual depression of it (Figure 4D). The mechanisms that modulate excitability are complex, and probably involve synaptic and non-synaptic influences. The enhancement of fEPSPs’ slopes by high [Ca$^{2+}$]o in somatic recordings (Figures 3A,B) was larger than in dendritic recordings (Talpalar et al., 2010) suggesting that the former collects converging inputs from many dendrites in the way to the GC somata showing additive effects. Previous studies suggest that synaptic inputs at high pressure are more prone to recruit voltage-dependent post-synaptic components like NMDA receptors (Fagni et al., 1987b; Mor and Grossman, 2007) or voltage-activated currents (Stuart and Sakmann, 1995). Such mechanisms may keep single PS generation despite of fEPSP depression at high pressure. Conserved fEPSPs integral (Figures 3C,D) and decay time (Talpalar and Grossman, 2003) at high pressure may be interpreted in that direction. However, if somatic fEPSPs display an integrated response involving synaptic receptor currents together with recruited voltage-activated currents, it is expected that stronger fEPSPs may be less depressed by pressure or more amplified by [Ca$^{2+}$]o, but the results were less conclusive (Figure 3). Raising [Ca$^{2+}$]o exerted an indistinguishable effect on unsaturated and saturated fEPSPs slopes (Figures 3A,B), while its tendency to increase fEPSPs’ integrals did not reach statistical significance (Figures 3D,E). Ca$^{2+}$ ions are known to affect many processes, including surface charges on the membrane and ionic currents, which may modulate excitability in the observed trend (Frankenhaeuser and Hodgkin, 1955). But such effects are expected to require larger [Ca$^{2+}$]o fluctuations than in the present experiments. Hyperbaric pressure may increase excitability by general depression of synaptic transmission in the DG network, proportionally affecting its loops depending on the number of synapses that they involve (Talpalar and Grossman, 2006; Talpalar, 2007). Thus, di- or poly-synaptic pathways are more sensitive than monosynaptic inputs. Local feed-forward inhibition by PB cells onto DG dendrites is a di-or tri-synaptic loop (Figure 1C). High [Ca$^{2+}$]o probably strengthens each of these synapses enhancing inhibition. GABA_A inhibition counteracts the NMDA-receptor component of the MPP (Staley and Mody, 1992) and its blockade prolonged fEPSPs’ duration in the DG (Talpalar and Grossman, 2004). Blockade of GABA_A receptors prolonged subthreshold MPP fEPSPs (Figure 7A) indicating that feed-forward inhibition shapes the time course of MPP fEPSPs. Thus, an MPP enhancement by high [Ca$^{2+}$]o may also enhance PB cell-mediated feed-forward inhibition (Figure 1C) limiting the excitation of MPP inputs to the GCs (Figure 7A1). Moreover, fast feed-forward and feedback GABAergic inhibition (Figures 7A1,B1) seem to normally prevent repetitive PS firing by the GCs upon MPP stimulation since their blockade produced abnormal GC repetitive PS firing (Figure 7B). Under such blockade high [Ca$^{2+}$]o further enhanced MPP fEPSPs strength producing additional PS firing, suggesting that increasing [Ca$^{2+}$]o reduces excitability by enhancing these two types of inhibition.

**Pressure and Ca$^{2+}$ Exert Mutual Antagonistic Effects on DG Network Activity at High Frequency**

Neural activity at high frequency is part of the normal repertoire of activities in many systems including the DG (e.g., gamma
Blockade of GABA<sub>A</sub>—inhibition increases DG excitability and prevents its restraint by high [Ca<sup>2+</sup>]<sub,o></sub _>—mediated restriction of PS<sub>1−5</sub> generation during trains of moderate/intermediate intensity fEPSPs at 50 Hz. Note the significant increase in the amplitude and number of PS elicited by the E<sub>1−5</sub> train at 10.1 MPa–4 mM [Ca<sup>2+</sup>]<sub,o></sub _> after blockade of fast inhibition despite of the preserved FDD of the fEPSPs (Arrows).

FIGURE 7 | Blockade of GABA<sub>A</sub>—inhibition increases DG excitability and prevents its restraint by high [Ca<sup>2+</sup>]<sub,o></sub _>. (A,B) Effect of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors blockade on single fEPSPs. Bicuculline (BIC, 10–20 µM) prolongs the duration of subthreshold fEPSPs produced by weak MPP stimulation (A), and produces larger PS and repetitive PS firing (arrows) by moderate/intermediate intensity fEPSPs (B). (A1,B1) Schematics of a simplified DG network showing basic excitatory and inhibitory elements, suspected targets of GABA<sub>A</sub> blockade (X), and their consequences for the activity of the network. (A1) Blockade of feed-forward inhibition prolongs MPP fEPSPs. During subthreshold MPP fEPSPs stimulation (A) the MPP directly excites the GC and the PB producing a monosynaptic fEPSP which is succeeded by a PB’s di-synaptic IPSP which inhibits the late portion of the EPSP. Blockade of inhibition prolongs the duration of subthreshold fEPSPs. (B1) Blockade of feed-forward and feedback inhibition produce repetitive firing by supra-threshold MPP fEPSPs. Stronger MPP fEPSP produces a PS that activates feedback inhibitory interneurons (II) suppressing repetitive firing. Thus, blockade of feedback GABA<sub>A</sub> produces anomalous PS repetitive firing by single fEPSPs. (C) GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors blockade prevents high [Ca<sup>2+</sup>]<sub,o></sub _>—mediated restriction of PS<sub>1−5</sub> generation during trains of moderate/intermediate intensity fEPSPs at 50 Hz. Note the significant increase in the amplitude and number of PS elicited by the E<sub>1−5</sub> train at 10.1 MPa–4 mM [Ca<sup>2+</sup>]<sub,o></sub _> after blockade of fast inhibition despite of the preserved FDD of the fEPSPs (Arrows).

oscillations). The energy needs of this activity demand enduring metabolism and supplies that are not always attainable at extreme environmental conditions (Talpalar and Grossman, 2006). High pressure scales down MPP inputs and increased the GCs’ PS output at 50 Hz challenging the DG’s low-pass filter properties (Talpalar and Grossman, 2004). Such high-pass switch was attributed to many factors, including primary enhancement of excitatory components (Fagni et al., 1987b; Mor and Grossman, 2007) and reduction of synaptic inhibition (Zinebi et al., 1988; Talpalar and Grossman, 2004, 2006). Raising [Ca<sup>2+</sup>]<sub,o></sub _> increased the low-pass properties of the input (Talpalar et al., 2010) suggesting that it may contribute to restore the DG low-pass filter
properties. Nevertheless, since single inputs potentially recruit voltage-dependent components at high pressure it was uncertain if the pattern seen in dendritic inputs will be conserved after convey to the GCs’ somata. This study shows that MPP inputs keep their low-pass properties at the GCs’ somata (Figures 5E,F) and that high $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ reduces hyperexcitability in the DG, restricting GC firing at high frequency (Figure 6). These effects amount to restoration of the DG’s low-pass filter properties at high pressure. The mechanisms underlying the dynamics of dendritic eEPSP inputs at frequency, their activation and recovery rates, under various conditions were thoroughly discussed previously (Talpalar et al., 2010). The scaling of somatic E1-5 provides more precise preservation of control input dynamics at high pressure, indicating that post-synaptic factors may proportionally amplify and smoothen inputs conveyed to GCs’ somata without distorting its presynaptic pattern (Figures 5A,B). Such proportional boosting and smoothing of inputs may be an adaptive mechanism of the network, allowing neuronal communication with metabolically economic low-input signals at high-pressure (Talpalar and Grossman, 2006). Previous studies suggested maintained low frequency response up to 25 Hz and increased response to 50 Hz or more, which was interpreted as a high-pass expansion of the DG’s low-pass filter band (Talpalar and Grossman, 2004). The present experiments show that low-frequency activity (0.1 Hz) may be more filtered at high pressure than previously expected, and that moderately synchronized MPP inputs produce a stable output at 5.1 MPa, but are potentially depressed at 10.1 MPa (Figures 4A,B). It seems that the DG network switched the low-cut properties of the filter rather than expanded its band-pass to higher frequencies. So, the DG network may filter low-frequency synchronized activity in the hippocampal fields at 10.1 MPa (Figures 4C,D). The increased high-frequency band (50 Hz) of the DG at high pressure (Figures 6A,B,D) may enhance gamma oscillations while keeping constant theta rhythm (the physiological meaning of this bias is unclear). Moreover, these data show that the DG still has low-pass filter properties at high pressure, being able to restrain highly synchronized inputs like cortical seizures invading the hippocampus (Dreier and Heinemann, 1991). The fact that eEPSP-elicited outputs were highly pressure-modulated at 50 Hz, while trains of antidromic action potentials elicited at 25–50 Hz were not (Talpalar and Grossman, 2004), suggests that a network rather than a cellular mechanism underlies such effects. Blockade of GABA_A inhibition suppressed the DG’s low-pass filter properties at 50 Hz (Talpalar and Grossman, 2004) and prevented the restoration of low-pass filter properties by increasing $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ (Figure 7C) suggesting that feed-forward and feedback inhibition (Figures 7A1,B1) are targets for these effects. The time course of GABA_A inhibition is adequate to control high frequency activity, suggesting that high $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ enhances DG’s output cut-off at 50 Hz by augmenting feedback inhibition from hilar interneurons (II) onto the GCs’ tri-synaptic circuit (Figure 1C). Raising $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ from 2 to 4 mM rendered DG’s low-pass filter properties more restrictive than control, suggesting that a smaller $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ adjustment may be enough to improve HPNS in animal behavior experiments. This study shows that pressure and $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ control excitability and filter properties of the DG network. They probably bias the excitation/inhibition balance through inversely tuning the strengths of the DG’s synaptic connections. Inverse regulation of excitability by global synaptic strength may be an exclusive property of the DG network determined by its architecture and synaptic characteristics or a general property of networks. Such adjustment of network activity may allow adaptation to variables like pressure and temperature that globally modulate synaptic transmission (Aihara et al., 2001), or be apt for control by mechanisms such as astrocyte-regulation of $[Ca^{2+}]_o$, like the masticatory network (Morquette et al., 2015).
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