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Abstract To improve the understanding on CNT growth modes, the various processes, including thermal CVD, MP-CVD and ECR-CVD, have been used to deposit CNTs on nanoporous SBA-15 and Si wafer substrates with C₂H₂ and H₂ as reaction gases. The experiments to vary process parameter of ΔT, defined as the vector quantities of temperature at catalyst top minus it at catalyst bottom, were carried out to demonstrate its effect on the CNT growth mode. The TEM and TGA analyses were used to characterize their growth modes and carbon yields of the processes. The results show that ΔT can be used to monitor the temperature gradient direction across the catalyst nanoparticle during the growth stage of CNTs. The results also indicate that the tip-growth CNTs, base-growth CNTs and onion-like carbon are generally fabricated under conditions of ΔT [0, 0] and ∗ 0, respectively. Our proposed growth mechanisms can be successfully adopted to explain why the base- and tip-growth CNTs are common in thermal CVD and plasma-enhanced CVD processes, respectively. Furthermore, our experiments have also successfully demonstrated the possibility to vary ΔT to obtain the desired growth mode of CNTs by thermal or plasma-enhanced CVD systems for different applications.
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Introduction

Starting with Ijima’s [1] discovery of carbon nanotubes (CNTs), there has been continual discovery and investigation into a series of CNTs using various CVD systems [1–31]. There are different ways to classify CNTs, such as by number of walls (Single-/double-/Multi-) [2–5], chirality (zigzag/chiral/armchair) [5–7], tube morphology (bamboo-like/hollow, or helix/straight) [8, 9], cap morphology (close/open) [10] or growth mode (tip-/base-growth) [11–34]. Various applications require different properties in CNTs [35–44]. Therefore, controlling the structures and properties of CNTs has been one of the important issues in CNTs syntheses. Scientists have proposed many CNT growth mechanisms and corresponding fabrication methods to better control performance [23, 31–34, 45–55]. However, studies have overlooked some important factors regarding CNT growth modes and mechanisms.

About CNT growth modes, the adhesion force at catalyst/substrate interface was first proposed by Bower’s group as one of the most important factors [11]. Although tip-growth CNTs are the most common CNTs grown through plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition (CVD) [12–21], many investigators are searching for ways to grow base-growth CNTs by increasing adhesion force between the catalyst and substrate. Some proposed methods include using a metal catalyst to form metal-silicide with Si substrate, implanting catalyst ions into the substrate and increasing the decomposition temperature of the catalyst precursor [11, 51–54]. In addition to adhesion force, researchers have also proposed catalyst particle size [34, 55] and substrate porosity [23] as...
key factors affecting the growth modes. However, different studies have yielded contradictory results [16, 21, 56]. Researchers have been slow to explain why tip-growth [12–21] and base-growth [22–29] CNTs are always grown by plasma-enhanced CVD and thermal CVD, respectively. In other words, one may ignore some of the important parameters in these cases, which should always be different in plasma-enhanced CVD and thermal CVD.

The present research includes specially designed experiments, testing different processes (thermal CVD, MP-CVD and ECR-CVD) and substrates and varying the possible process parameters to examine their effects on CNT growth modes. This paper also proposes possible growth mechanisms.

**Experimental Details**

**Catalysts Deposition and Substrates Materials**

The substrates in this work include mesoporous SiO2 SBA-15 powders and (100) silicon wafer, where SBA-15 preparation was reported earlier by Zhao et al. [57]. To coat Co catalysts on the SBA-15 substrate, the substrate was first added into 0.1 M (Co(NO3)3(aq) solution. The filtered SBA-15 substrates with precipitates from solution were then dried, and its precipitates were decomposed into cobalt oxide (CoOx) in an air furnace under 125°C. The Co oxides were subsequently reduced to Co in a hydrogen furnace at 800°C. The Co coatings of 10 nm thick on Si wafer were deposited by sputtering method.

The CNTs Deposition by Thermal CVD

The CNTs were deposited on Co-coated substrates (i.e., mesoporous SBA-15 powders and Si wafer) by thermal CVD method with C2H2 and H2 as reaction gases. A schematic diagram of thermal CVD is shown in Fig. 1. In order to vary the temperature difference between gas and substrate temperatures, the system consists of a gas pre-heating zone and a substrate heating zone. The specimen holder is made of the porous quartz fiber nets for better gas penetration. In other words, the main feature of this system is that the direction of temperature gradient on each catalyst can be manipulated. Specimen designations and their deposition conditions are shown in Table 1.

**The CNTs Deposition by Plasma-Enhanced CVDs**

The plasma-enhanced CVDs for CNTs deposition include MP-CVD and ECR-CVD with 2.45 GHz microwave and tunable DC bias. As described in thermal CVD, the Co catalyst for CNTs growth is first deposited on Si wafer by PVD process. The Co-coated substrates are then pre-treated in H-plasma atmosphere to dissociate Co film to become catalyst nanoparticles. The pre-treated substrates are followed by CNTs deposition with C2H2 and H2 as reaction gases (C2H2/H2 = 10/50 (sccm/sccm)). Specimen designations and their deposition conditions are listed in Table 2.

**Characterization Methods**

The CNTs on specimens were ultrasonically agitated in acetone to delaminate CNTs from the substrate, disperse and then dry on a copper grid prior to the TEM examination (JEOL JEM-2100F) operated at 200 keV. The TGA (Thermogravimetric Analysis) is used to determine carbon yield as a function of the reaction time under various deposition conditions, where carbon yield is defined by (wt. of carbon, Wc)/(wt. of carbon + catalyst + SBA-15)) for CNTs deposited on SBA-15 substrate by thermal CVD process.

**Results and Discussion**

**Inherent Condition Differences of Thermal and Plasma-Enhanced CVD**

The experiment results revealed that most of the CNTs deposited by plasma-enhanced CVD and by thermal CVD generally grow in the tip-growth [12–21] and base-growth [22–29] modes, respectively. The results indicated the adhesion force between catalyst and substrate to be the main factor in CNT growth modes [11, 30]. However, the adhesion force mechanism does not fully explain the differences in CNT growth modes in different growth systems. One possible factor that researchers sometimes overlook is the direction of the temperature gradient across the catalyst. In the thermal CVD process, substrate temperatures (TS) are
often maintained at a certain level, and reaction gases are generally delivered into the reaction chamber without pre-heating. As a result, the temperature on the top of the catalyst ($T_{Ct}$), which is in contact with the flowing gases, is generally lower than the temperature at the bottom side of the catalyst ($T_{Cb}$), which is in contact with the substrate (Fig. 2.). In contrast, with plasma-enhanced CVD, micro-waves generally ionize the reaction gases to attain the plasma state, which often reaches higher temperatures than the substrate temperature. In other words, the temperature at

### Table 1 Specimen designations and their deposition conditions by thermal CVD

| Spec. desig. | t (min) | Catalyst/substrate | Sub. surface condition | Temp. | $T_G/T_S$ ($°C/°C$) | $\Delta T$ | CNTs growth mode |
|--------------|--------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------|---------------------|---------|-----------------|
| A1–5         | 5      | Co/SBA-15          | R                     | 25/800| –                   | Base-growth |
| A1–10        | 10     |                    |                       |       |                     |          |                 |
| A1–15        | 15     |                    |                       |       |                     |          |                 |
| A1–20        | 20     |                    |                       |       |                     |          |                 |
| A1–30        | 30     |                    |                       |       |                     |          |                 |
| A1–40        | 40     |                    |                       |       |                     |          |                 |
| A1–65        | 65     |                    |                       |       |                     |          |                 |
| A1–90        | 90     |                    |                       |       |                     |          |                 |
| A2–20        | 20     | Co/Si (001)        | S                     | 25/800| –                   | Base-growth |
| A3–20        | 20     | Co/SBA-15          | R                     | 800/600| +                   | Tip-growth |
| A4–20        | 20     | Co/Si (001)        | S                     | 800/600| +                   | Tip-growth |
| A5–20        | 20     | Co/SBA-15          | R                     | 650/650| ~0                  | No CNTs   |
| A6–5         | 5      | Co/SBA-15          | R                     | 800/800| ~0                  | No CNTs   |
| A6–10        | 10     |                    |                       |       |                     |          |                 |
| A6–15        | 15     |                    |                       |       |                     |          |                 |
| A7–5         | 5      | No cata./SBA-15    | R                     | 25/800| –                   | No CNTs   |
| A7–10        | 10     |                    |                       |       |                     |          |                 |
| A7–15        | 15     |                    |                       |       |                     |          |                 |
| A8–5         | 5      | No cata./SBA-15    | R                     | 800/800| ~0                  | No CNTs   |
| A8–15        | 15     |                    |                       |       |                     |          |                 |
| A8–25        | 25     |                    |                       |       |                     |          |                 |

*a Other deposition conditions: $H_2/C_2H_2 = 50/50$ (sccm/sccm); 3 kPa pressure

*b t: CNTs deposition time

*c Conditions of the substrate surface, R Rough (porous) and S Smooth surface

*d $T_G$: the pre-heated temperature of the reaction gas; $T_S$: the substrate temperature at heating zone

*e $\Delta T$: temperature of catalyst at the top minus at the bottom. Its sign represents the temperature gradient direction

### Table 2 Specimen designations and their deposition conditions by plasma-enhanced CVD

| Spec. desig. | Method* | $W_{pre}/W_{dep}$ (W/W) | Bias$^b$ $V_{pre}/V_{dep}$ (V/V) | $T_S^c$, $T_{Si}$/$T_{Sf}$ ($°C/°C$) | Dep. Time (min) | $\Delta T$ | Growth Mode |
|--------------|---------|------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|----------|-------------|
| B1           | MP      | 800/800                | 100/120                       | 467/500                      | 5             | +        | Tip-growth  |
| B2           | MP      | 1,000/600              | 100/60                        | 520/507                      | 2             | –        | Base-growth |
| C1           | ECR     | 250/270                | 100/120                       | 500/530                      | 5             | +        | Tip-growth  |
| C2           | ECR     | 300/240                | 120/100                       | 750/631                      | 4             | –        | Base-growth |

*a MP Microwave plasma CVD, ECR Electron cyclotron resonance CVD, The working pressures in MP and ECR are 1.3 kPa and 0.9 Pa, respectively

*b $W_{pre}$ and $V_{pre}$ = microwave power, and bias voltage during the H-plasma pre-treatment step ($H_2 = 50$ sccm), respectively

$W_{dep}$, $V_{dep}$, $T_{Si}$, and $T_{Sf}$ = microwave power, bias voltage, initial and final substrate temperature of the deposition step ($H_2/C_2H_2$ flow ratio = 50/10 (sccm/sccm); pressure = 10 Torr), respectively

*c CNTs deposition time in minute

*d $\Delta T$: temperature of catalyst at the top minus at the bottom. Its sign represents the temperature gradient direction
the top of catalyst particles that are in contact with or are close to the plasma zone is higher than the temperature at the bottom that is in contact with the substrate. Therefore, the direction of the temperature gradient across the catalyst particles is essentially downward and upward in thermal and plasma-enhanced CVD, respectively. These temperature differences are the main reason for differences in CNT growth modes.

By defining \( \Delta T \) as the vector quantities of catalyst temperature at the top minus the catalyst temperature at the bottom side [Fig. 2 and Eq. (1)] \( \Delta T \) is generally \(<0\) and \(>0\) for CNTs that come from thermal and plasma-enhanced CVD, respectively. \( \Delta T \) is an index for determining the direction of the temperature gradient across the catalyst particles. To examine the effect of \( \Delta T \) on CNT growth modes, this study performs experiments designed to vary the \( \Delta T \) values from negative to positive.

\[
\Delta T = T_{Ct/V} - T_{Cb/S} 
\]

CNT Growth Under Different Surface Morphologies of Substrate by Thermal CVD

Figure 3a, b shows that the typical TEM bright field and corresponding dark field images, respectively, of as-deposited CNTs on nanoporous SBA-15 substrate by thermal CVD without pre-heating reaction gases (Specimen A1-20). Figures 3 and 4 show the corresponding TEM images of CNTs on smooth Si wafers (Specimen A2-20).

These images suggest that CNTs deposited on either nanoporous or smooth substrate surfaces undergo base-growth modes, as the literature reports for CNTs grown by thermal CVD [22–29]. One of the reported factors determining CNT growth modes is the adhesion force between catalyst and substrate [11]. However, it is unlikely to apply in these cases. Since the SBA-15 substrates have a much rougher surface than Si wafer substrates, the adhesion between the catalyst and Si wafer substrates is generally greater than between the catalyst and SBA-15 substrates, due to the decrease in real area in contact [58]. Clearly, the difference in adhesion force between catalysts and substrates does not change the CNT growth mode in these cases. In other words, \( \Delta T < 0 \) may be the main factor in these cases and is also the typical condition in thermal CVD processes.
Effect of $\Delta T$ on CNT Growth

To further confirm the effect of $\Delta T$ on CNT growth, this study performed two experiments (Specimens A3-20 and A4-20 in Table 1) with the same growth condition of $\Delta T > 0$, designed to deposit CNTs by thermal CVD on the mesoporous SBA-15 and Si wafer substrates, respectively. In these two cases, the reaction gases were pre-heated to higher temperatures than the substrate before entering the reaction chamber to raise $\Delta T$ over zero. Figures 5a, b and 6a, b show the corresponding TEM images of the tip-growth CNTs deposited on the mesoporous SBA-15 and Si wafer substrates, respectively, under conditions of $\Delta T > 0$ (Fig. 6b is at higher magnification). Except for the catalyst being located at the tip of the CNTs (as the arrows point), the blurred microstructure frequently found in these cases (Figs. 5b, 6a) is the most obvious difference compared to CNTs grown under conditions of $\Delta T < 0$. One can observe the microstructure of graphite walls and bamboo-like tube structure using a high-resolution TEM (Fig. 6b). Figures 3, 4, 5 and 6 suggest that the sign of $\Delta T$ is the predominant parameter apart from substrate morphology. The effect of adhesion force between the catalyst and substrate does not explain the growth mode of CNTs in these cases.

This study also conducted three experiments (Specimens A6–5, A6–10 and A6–15 in Table 1) to deposit CNTs by thermal CVD with the condition of $\Delta T \sim 0$. Figure 7a, b shows the typical TEM images of deposits on SBA-15 substrate for Specimen A6–5 (Fig. 7b is at higher magnification). The SBA-15 images are clear, and the catalysts particles can become poisoned after less than 5 min of deposition time by acquiring graphite-like layers to form an
onion-like structure, signifying no obvious growth rate or growth mode.

TGA Curves of CNTs Under Various $\Delta T$

TGA analyses of CNTs deposited on SBA-15 substrates by thermal CVD were conducted to determine the wt% of the constituents in the specimen, using a heating rate of 5°C/minute and air flow rate of 15 sccm. The typical TGA curve from room temperature to 800°C for CNTs deposited by thermal CVD without gas pre-heating is shown in Fig. 8 (Specimen A1–20). From the TGA curves, carbon yield, as defined in Eq. 2, can be derived, which represents an index of the total carbon content produced after process in the specimen, including CNTs.

$$\text{yield (wt\%) } = \frac{W_c}{100 - W_w} \times 100 \quad (2)$$

where $W_w$ is the first stage weight loss around 100°C, owing to H$_2$O absorbed in the hydrophilic SBA-15 substrate. $W_c$ is the second stage weight loss, attributable to the oxidation reaction of carbon with oxygen, and the residue weight is mainly attributable to Co/SBA-15 or SBA-15 for specimens without a catalyst.

Figure 9 shows the curves of carbon yield as a function of deposition time for four different thermal CVD processes. For conditions of $\Delta T < 0$, Fig. 9a, c shows the TGA curves for carbons deposited on SBA-15 substrates under the same substrate and gas temperatures ($T_S = 800^\circ$C; $T_G = 25^\circ$C) with and without Co catalyst, respectively (Specimens A1- and A7-series). The slope of
the curves is basically the growth rates of various carbon species. In Fig. 9a, the curve is roughly dividable into two straight lines, representing the growth of two different species. It is interesting to note that the first and the second straight lines in Fig. 9a represent the growth of CNT and non-CNT carbon species, respectively. The following evidences confirm this. First, the growth of CNTs can take up to 20 min, as observable in Fig. 3, showing the TEM image of CNTs at the intersection of the first and the second lines in Fig. 9a. Second, the slope of the second line (0.33 wt%/min) is almost parallel to that in Fig. 9c, which represents the growth of non-CNTs species without catalyst application. In other words, the catalyst that assists the growth of CNTs by thermal CVD are preferred under $\Delta T < 0$ and $>0$, respectively.

Growth Mode of CNTs by Plasma-Enhanced CVD

To test the effect of $\Delta T$ on the growth mode of CNTs deposited by plasma-enhanced CVD, the MP-CVD and ECR-CVD were used to grow CNTs under $\Delta T > 0$ and $\Delta T < 0$. In the case of plasma-enhanced CVD, the manipulation of $\Delta T$ is obtainable by using the scheme in Fig. 10, which shows the temperature variation during the process. During the pre-treatment stage, the temperature is rapidly increased from room temperature to the initial substrate temperature, $T_{Si}$, which is defined as the beginning temperature of the substrate during the growth stage of CNTs. Immediately after the substrate’s pre-treatment stage comes a heating or cooling growth stage, which is manipulated by adjusting the microwave power and the substrate bias. The continuous increase or decrease in the substrate temperature signifies heating or cooling from the plasma to the substrate through catalyst nanoparticles, i.e., $\Delta T > 0$ or $<0$ across the nanoparticle, respectively. The substrate temperatures during the growth stage were

![Fig. 8 TGA curve of the as-deposited CNTs on SBA-15 substrate by thermal CVD without gas pre-heating (Specimen A1–20).](image)

![Fig. 9 Carbon yield (wt%) versus deposition time curves of the as-deposited CNTs deposited by thermal CVD for specimens with different deposition conditions: a A1, b A6, c A7 and d A8-series, respectively](image)

![Fig. 10 Schematic drawn to show substrate temperature variations of two different process sequences in growth stage, a temperature-rising and b temperature-declining](image)
monitored to insure the heating or cooling effects, and its final temperature, $T_{sf}$, appears in Table 2.

Figure 11a, b shows TEM images of CNTs deposited by MP-CVD under conditions of $\Delta T > 0$ and $<0$, respectively. This indicates that a difference in $\Delta T$ does make a difference in the growth mode of CNTs, as the tip-growth and base-growth modes are quite obvious in Fig. 11a, b, respectively. Moreover, same conclusions apply for CNTs deposited by ECR-CVD, as Fig. 12a, b show in TEM images of CNTs grown under conditions of $\Delta T > 0$ and $<0$, respectively.

Similar investigation indicates that plasma treatment may also affect the growth mode by decreasing the bond between catalyst and substrate [33]. However, this does little to control or define the bond strength between catalysts and substrates, due to the fact that catalyst nanoparticles are in a liquid state during the high temperature CNT growth process [5, 66]. In other words, our experimental results suggest an alternative synthesis route to achieve CNTs with a customized growth mode, which can probably overcome the uncertainty of adhesion force. Controlling the temperature gradient direction can achieve both modes of CNTs by thermal CVD, MP-CVD and ECR-CVD.

Proposed $\Delta T$ Model for CNT Growth Modes

Figure 13a, b illustrates the conditions of $\Delta T < 0$ and $>0$, respectively, elucidating the effect of $\Delta T$ on CNT growth modes. The process during growth stage is roughly dividable into two steps. Figure 13a illustrates the concept of the...
base-growth mode ($\Delta T < 0$), which is the mode of traditional thermal CVD systems and specially designed plasma-enhanced CVD systems. In Step 1, the precursors are decomposed and/or reacted with the substrate to produce carbon species; they are then dissolved by catalyst nanoparticles. The solution of carbon species in the catalyst nanoparticle can proceed until reaching the solubility limit at the substrate temperature. In Step 2, the cooling effect of precursor flow causes the temperature at the top surface of the catalyst particle to be lower than the temperature at the bottom surface. Therefore, carbon supersaturation in the catalyst is higher close to its top surface than to its bottom surface. Carbon precipitation is thus more likely to occur on the top surface of the catalyst to form base-growth CNTs. Furthermore, due to its endothermic nature, the precipitation of carbon on the top surface of catalysts can result in a local cooling effect and can further enhance the $\Delta T$ effect [62, 67]. In contrast, Fig. 13b illustrates the condition of $\Delta T > 0$, where the gas or plasma is at a higher temperature than the substrate. In this case, carbon in the catalyst is likely to precipitate on the cooler bottom side, pushing the catalyst upward to form tip-growth CNTs.

Conclusions
This study’s experiments successfully demonstrate the effect of $\Delta T$ on CNT catalyst nanoparticles deposited on SBA-15 and Si wafer substrates by thermal and plasma-enhanced CVD. This study defines $\Delta T$ as the temperature at the top surface side minus the temperature at the bottom side of a catalyst particle during a CNT’s growth stage. It is essentially an index of the temperature gradient direction across a catalyst particle. This study’s results demonstrate that tip-growth and base-growth CNTs have a greater tendency to form under the conditions of $\Delta T > 0$ and $< 0$, respectively. When $\Delta T = 0$, the non-CNTs or onion-like carbon may be more likely to form. This study proposes mechanisms to explain effect of $\Delta T$ on CNT growth modes.
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