Photo-induced tandem cyclization of 3-iodoflavones with electron rich five-membered heteroarenes†

Qian Yang,a,b Rui Wang,a Jie Han,a Chenchen Li,a Tao Wang,a Yong Liangc and Zunting Zhangb,a

Vinyl radicals were generated from 3-iodoflavones under a mercury lamp and tandem cyclization reactions occurred with five-membered heteroarenes entailing two consecutive C–C bond formations to synthesize benzofl/chromeno[2,3-g]indol-13(1H)-one derivatives. The tandem cyclization reactions worked in acetonitrile without any additives such as transition metals, ligands and oxidants, giving rise to a broad variety of novel polycyclic xanthone frameworks in good yield under mild and environmentally friendly reaction conditions.

Introduction

Flavones are a class of natural products and can be found in numerous plants. They display various biological activities, including antioxidant, anti-tumor, anti-proliferative and anti-inflammatory activities, and have been used in the treatment of cancer, cardiovascular diseases, neurodegenerative disorders, etc. Flavones are also an important scaffold for medicinal chemistry and versatile building blocks for the construction of various heteroaromatics.1–4

Tandem cyclizations, as a powerful method for the synthesis of polycyclic compounds, have been successfully applied to the Diels–Alder reactions,4–7 Michael addition,4 rearrangements,8–11 and radical cyclizations.12–14 During the last decade, extensive investigations have been made on the photo-induced tandem vinyl radical cyclization, which could build multiple C–C bonds in a single step under mild conditions.15–17 In 2014, O. Reiser reported a radical tandem addition–cyclization of α-bromochalcones or α-bromocinnamates with heteroarenes using an iridium complex as the catalyst and irradiating with visible light (Scheme 1, top).15 Similarly, O. Reiser reported a visible light-mediated coupling of α-bromochalcones with alkenes under the visible-light photo-redox conditions in the presence of fac-Ir(ppy)3 at room temperature (Scheme 1, middle).16 In 2017, another transition-metal catalyzed tandem cyclization of vinyl radicals used for the synthesis of substituted indolines was developed via a photoredox-mediated reductive quenching cycle (Scheme 1, bottom).17

Following our interest in the photo-reactions of chromone analogues18–21 as well as nucleoside substrates,22 we herein report a new photo-induced tandem cyclization of 3-iodoflavones with π-excessive five-membered heteroarematoms at ambient temperature without the requirement of any transition-metal-catalyst and/or oxidant additives.

Results and discussion

Initially, a stirred solution of 3-iodoflavone 1a (0.2 mmol) and N-methylpyrrole 2a (6 mmol) in DMF (40 mL) was irradiated using a high-pressure mercury lamp (500 W) at room temperature under an argon atmosphere for 5 h. The cyclization product 3a was isolated (13%) and characterized by NMR and HRMS (Table 1, entry 1). Inspired by this promising result, various solvents, such as THF, t-BuOH, Me2CO and MeCN, were screened. However, only a trace amount of the cyclization product 3a was observed in THF, while the yields of 3a were slightly increased in other solvents (entries 2–5). In order to avoid the coupling of 1a with benzene and toluene, such aromatic solvents were not used. As expected, the cyclization yields increased upon increasing the loading of 2a (entries 6–8). In addition, it was noteworthy that the yield of 3a dropped to 35% when 0.35 M of 2a was employed (entry 9), which clearly indicated that solvent free conditions will not work very well. Finally, the irradiation time was optimized and the yield of 3a was increased to 47% when the reaction time was extended to 7 h (entries 10–14).
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### Table 1 Optimization of the tandem reaction of 3-iodoavone 1a with \( N \)-methylpyrrole 2a

| Entry | Solvent | Concentration of 2a [mol L\(^{-1}\)] | Time [h] | Yield[a] [%] |
|-------|---------|----------------------------------|--------|--------------|
| 1     | DMF     | 0.15                             | 5      | 13           |
| 2     | THF     | 0.15                             | 5      | Trace        |
| 3     | t-BuOH  | 0.15                             | 5      | 16           |
| 4     | Me\(_2\)CO | 0.15                             | 5      | 20           |
| 5     | MeCN    | 0.15                             | 5      | 24           |
| 6     | MeCN    | 0.05                             | 5      | 15           |
| 7     | MeCN    | 0.2                              | 5      | 31           |
| 8     | MeCN    | 0.25                             | 5      | 40           |
| 9     | MeCN    | 0.35                             | 5      | 35           |
| 10    | MeCN    | 0.25                             | 1      | 18           |
| 11    | MeCN    | 0.25                             | 3      | 30           |
| 12    | MeCN    | 0.25                             | 6      | 43           |
| 13    | Me\(_2\)CN | 0.25                             | 7      | 47           |
| 14    | Me\(_2\)CN | 0.25                             | 10     | 43           |

[a] A mixture of 1a (0.2 mmol, 0.005 M) and 2a (2–14 mmol, 0.05–0.35 M) in anhydrous MeCN (40 mL) was irradiated using a high-pressure mercury lamp (500 W) at ambient temperature under an Ar atmosphere. [b] Isolated yield.
Electrophilic aromatic substitution (EAS)

On the basis of the experimental results and literature, a two-step mechanism for the cyclization of 3-iodoflavones 1 with π-excessive five-membered heteroarenes 2 has been proposed. Initially, the photo-induced hemolytic cleavage of the C-1 bond of 1 under UV light irradiation gave the radical intermediate A. Coupling of A with heteroarenes 2 afforded the reactive intermediate B, followed by the abstraction of hydrogen with an iodine radical to give the coupling product C with the release of HI (Scheme 2).

The cyclization step (second step, Scheme 3) started with the UV irradiation of C via the formation of the ring closed intermediate D, followed by a thermal suprafacial [1,5]-H shift to form intermediate E. Furthermore, keto–enol tautomerization of E led to the formation of the enol intermediate F, which generated a more stable syn-isomer G via another keto–enol tautomerization. Finally, the cyclization product 3 was formed via the syn-elimination of a hydrogen molecule from intermediate G along with the restoration of the aromaticity of the conjugated system.

In order to study the importance of keto–enol tautomerization and figure out the rate limiting step, coupling product 1aa was isolated and subjected to the cyclization conditions separately (Scheme 4). Thus, irradiation of 1a and 2a under a high-pressure mercury lamp at ambient temperature for 1 h yielded the coupling product 1aa with 25% along with a trace amount of the cyclization product 3a. A solution of 1aa (5 mM) in MeCN was irradiated under a high-pressure mercury lamp at ambient temperature in parallel with a solution of 1a (5 mM) and 2a (0.25 M) in MeCN. After 7 h, substrate 1aa gave the cyclization product 3a in 95% yield, while only 47% of 3a was obtained for 3-iodoflavone 1a. Thus, we could easily conclude that coupling of 3-iodoflavone with heteroarenes was the rate-limiting step.

To obtain further insight into reaction mechanism pathway and validate the rationality of the proposed mechanism, a substrate without the presence of C4=O was synthesized and subjected to the cyclization conditions. Initially, 1aa was reduced to 1ab using LiAlH4–AlCl3 according to the procedure reported in literature (Scheme 5). Then, the 4,4-dihydro substrate 1ab was subjected to the optimal conditions. As expected, no cyclization product 1ac was detected, which could be explained by the lack of keto–enol tautomerization.

In order to expand the photo-induced tandem cyclization of 3-iodoflavones with π-excessive five-membered heteroarenes methodology to other systems bearing a similar functional moiety, we subjected the (2)-x-bromo-β-phenylvinylketone analogues 4 to our cyclization protocol (Scheme 6). Thus, irradiation of x-bromochalcones 4a and the pyrrole analogues 2 under the optimal conditions for 10 h yielded 5a and 5b in 57% and 42% yield, respectively. Similarly, irradiation of 4b with pyrrole analogues 2 gave 5c and 5d in 47% and 38% yield, respectively. Due to the stabilization of the additional phenyl ring through the larger conjugation system, x-bromochalcone 4a gave the cyclization product in an improved yield compared to the methyl substrate 4b. Even though a similar cyclization has been reported, it is important to mention that the current protocol avoids the

**Table 2** Cyclization of different 3-iodoflavones 1 and heteroarenes 2

| Entry | R1 | R2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Yields [%] |
|-------|----|----|---|---|---|-----------|
| 1     | OCH3 | H | 1a | 2a | 3a | 47 |
| 2     | OCH3 | 6-CH3 | 1b | 2a | 3b | 40 |
| 3     | OCH3 | 6-F | 1c | 2a | 3c | 49 |
| 4     | OCH3 | 7-OCH3 | 1d | 2a | 3d | 38 |
| 5     | CH3 | H | 1e | 2a | 3e | 50 |
| 6     | CH3 | 6-CH3 | 1f | 2a | 3f | 46 |
| 7     | CH3 | OCH3 | 1g | 2a | 3g | 42 |
| 8     | CH3 | 6-F | 1h | 2a | 3h | 56 |
| 9     | H | H | 1i | 2a | 3i | 61 |
| 10    | H | 6-CH3 | 1j | 2a | 3j | 51 |
| 11    | H | 7-OCH3 | 1k | 2a | 3k | 45 |
| 12    | H | 6-F | 1l | 2a | 3l | 66 |
| 13    | F | H | 1m | 2a | 3m | 68 |
| 14    | F | 6-CH3 | 1n | 2a | 3n | 54 |
| 15    | F | 7-OCH3 | 1o | 2a | 3o | 49 |
| 16    | COOC2H5 | H | 1p | 2a | 3p | 64 |
| 17    | H | H | 1i | 2b | 3q | 46 |
| 18    | OCH3 | H | 1a | 2e | 3r | 25 |
| 19    | OCH3 | H | 1a | 2d | 3s | 18 |

A mixture of 1 (0.2 mmol, 0.005 M) and 2 (10 mmol, 0.25 M) in anhydrous MeCN (40 mL) was irradiated using a high-pressure mercury lamp (500 W) at ambient temperature for 7 h under an Ar atmosphere. Isolated yield. Irradiation for 10 h.

thiophene, which can easily explain why no cyclization product was observed for the six-membered aromatics.
use of transition-metal complexes and high boiling solvent, which could be problematic during the purification stage.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, we have demonstrated a new tandem cyclization protocol for the synthesis of fused heterocyclic aromatic systems via the coupling of both 3-iodoflavone and α-bromochalcone derivatives with various π-excessive five-membered heteroaarenes. The described methodology provides rapid access to polycyclic xanthones with excellent regioselectivity under mild and environment friendly conditions without the requirement of any catalyst or additives.

**Experimental section**

Unless otherwise noted, all reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers and used without purification. MeCN was distilled from CaH₂ just prior to use. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed using silica gel 60 GF254 plates. The silica gel (size 200–300 mesh) used for the column chromatography was purchased from Qingdao Haiyang Chemistry Plant (China). ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on 400 (¹H) and 100 (¹³C) MHz spectrometers. The spectra were referenced internally to CDCl₃ or DMSO-d₆. High-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) was recorded using the electron-spray ionization (ESI) technique. The melting points were measured using X-5 micro-melting point apparatus and were uncorrected. IR spectra were recorded on a Nicolet 170SX FT-IR
spectrophotometer using KBr pellets. All the irradiation experiments were performed on a BL-GHX-V photochemical reactor equipped with a 500 W high-pressure Hg lamp.

**General procedure for the preparation of 3-iodoflavones 1a**

A solution of 2-[4-methoxyphenyl]-4H-chromen-4-one (1.0 mmol), I2 (1.2 mmol) and CAN (1.1 mmol) in anhydrous MeCN (100 mL) was stirred at 65 °C (oil/water bath) under an Ar atmosphere until the disappearance of the substrate. After being cooled to ambient temperature, the reaction mixture was poured into a cold solution of Na2S2O3 (50 mL, same method as described for another 12 h, the reaction mixture was extracted with CH2Cl2. The organic layers were combined, washed (H2O), dried (MgSO4), and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (hexane : EtOAc = 9 : 1) to afford the desired product 1a (70%) as a white solid.

Analogously, compounds 1b–1p were prepared using the same method as described for 1a.

**General procedure for the synthesis of α-bromochalcones 4a**

Bromine (Br2, 4.0 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of chalcone (2.0 mmol) in CH2Cl2 (40.0 mL) over 10 min at ambient temperature. Triethylamine (10.0 mmol) was slowly added to the resulting mixture when chalcone was completely converted to dibromide (judged by TLC). After stirring for another 12 h, the reaction mixture was extracted with CH2Cl2 (20 mL, x3). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous Na2SO4 and the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified using column chromatography (hexane : EtOAc = 20 : 1) to give the corresponding product 3a (47%) as a white solid.

Analogously, 3b–3s, 5a–5d were prepared using the same method described for 3a.
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