Plastic additives and legacy persistent organic pollutants in the preen gland oil of seabirds sampled across the globe
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ABSTRACT

Plastic pollution, and its associated impacts on marine fauna due to chemical contamination, is an area of growing global concern. We analyzed 145 preen gland oil samples from 32 seabird species belonging to 8 families with different foraging habits and life history strategies from around the world for plastic additives and legacy persistent organic pollutants. The additives included two brominated flame retardants (deca-bromodiphenyl ether, BDE209; decabromo diphenyl ethane, DBDPE) and six benzotriazole UV stabilizers (BUVS; UVP, UV326, UV329, UV328, UV327, and UV234). Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and organochlorine pesticides (Dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane and its metabolites: DDTs and hexachlorocyclohexane: HCHs) were detected ubiquitously. High concentrations of PCBs (up to 20,000 ng/g-lipid) were observed in the seabirds from higher-trophic level taxa. These patterns can be attributed to PCB exposure via their diet and associated biomagnification. DDT concentrations showed strong
positive correlations with PCB concentrations, suggesting that DDTs in seabirds are also a result of diet and biomagnification. Plastic additives were detected sporadically as BDE209 and DBDPE were detected in 16 seabirds from 10 species (range: 3–379 ng/g-lipid) and BUVSs were detected in 46% (67) of the examined individuals (range: 2–7,055 ng/g-lipid). UV stabilizers were more frequently detected than flame retardants because UV stabilizers are more widely applied to plastic products. None of the plastic additives were correlated to the presence of PCBs, nor were they explained by the foraging area or trophic level. High concentrations of additives were detected in the species with high levels of plastic in their digestive tracts. In some of these species, such as Hawaiian petrels (Pterodroma sandwichensis) from Hawaii and flesh-footed shearwaters (Ardena carneipes) from Western Australia, plastics were directly observed in the stomach. For other species, including great shearwaters (Ardena gravis) from Gough Island, blue petrels (Halobaena caerulea) from Marion Island, and black-footed and Laysan albatrosses (Phoebastria nigripes and P. immutabilis) from Hawaii, plastic ingestion has been documented in literature. These patterns can be explained if the additives are mainly from ingested plastics rather than diet. The detection of BFRs and BUVSs demonstrated that a significant proportion of the examined seabirds accumulated chemicals from ingested plastics.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Globally, approximately 400 million metric tons of plastics are produced each year (Geyer et al., 2017), and a portion of these escape into the environment, eventually finding their way into the oceans. The volume of plastics entering the marine environment is estimated to be several million tons per year (Jambeck et al., 2015). When floating on the sea surface or stranded on beaches, plastics are exposed to UV radiation and then break down into smaller fragments. Plastic resin pellets are a feedstock of plastic products and arrive in marine environments through spillage during their handling and transport, followed by surface runoff. As they are not biodegradable and are either positively or neutrally buoyant, plastic fragments and resin pellets are distributed throughout the ocean. Consequently, huge amounts of plastics (i.e., 250,000 tons of plastics; Eriksen et al., 2014) are available to a suite of consumers in the world’s oceans.

Plastics in the oceans are ingested by various marine organisms depending on their size (Jäms et al., 2020). Because of the size (mm to cm) overlap between small ocean-floating plastic fragments and seabird prey (Roman et al., 2019c), seabirds are susceptible to plastic exposure and ingestion. Many papers have reported the detection of plastics in the digestive tracts of seabirds (Ryan, 2016; Roman et al., 2019a, and references therein). As of 2020, 180 species of seabirds, corresponding to half of the total species of seabirds around the globe, have been reported to have ingested plastics (Kühn and van Franeker, 2020). While the plastic ingestion rate (frequency of the occurrence of plastics in digestive tracts) of marine organisms has been increasing for many species (Ryan, 2016; Tanaka et al., 2018; Kühn and van Franeker, 2020), some species do not show significant trends (Baak et al., 2020a,b; van Franeker et al., 2021; Vlietstra and Parga, 2002). It has been predicted that by 2050, 99% of seabird species will have ingested plastics (Wilcox et al., 2015). The physical damage and direct mortality caused by ingested plastics has been widely reported (Pierce et al., 2004; Wright et al., 2013; Roman et al., 2019b; Roman et al., 2020a; Roman et al., 2021a). In addition, the potential toxicological impact caused by hazardous chemicals associated with ingested plastics is concerning (Teuten et al., 2009) because marine plastic debris contains many hazardous chemicals (Hirai et al., 2011). While we have a reasonable understanding of the risk of entanglement and ingestion to major marine taxa (Wilcox et al., 2016), the risks associated with chemicals in marine plastics are insidious and inherently more difficult to document through the observation of manifested disorders. Assessing ecosystem functions requires the detection of potential increases in the burden of multiple chemicals from a range of sources in the body and the associated biochemical or genetic-level changes. The chemicals in marine plastic debris can be categorized into two broad categories: 1) hydrophobic chemicals that have been absorbed from the surrounding seawater and 2) additives that were originally compounded into the plastic products (Teuten et al., 2009). To aid in our assessment of the chemical risks associated with marine plastic ingestion in seabirds, our study focused on additives, which are the inherent components of plastic products.

Chemical additives (including plasticizers, UV stabilizers, antioxidants, and flame retardants) are compounded into plastic products to slow weathering from UV radiation. BFRs, i.e., decabromo diphenyl ether (BDE209) and decabromo diphenyl ethane (DBDPE), BDE209 and DBDPE are persistent in the environment, bioaccumulate in organism tissues, and have been shown to have adverse effects on marine organisms and humans (McDonald, 2002; Nakari and Huhtala, 2010). Owing to its associated health risks, the production and use of BDE209 has been regulated by the Stockholm Convention since 2017. Another class of target additives in our study is benzotriazole-type UV stabilizers (BUVSs), including UVP, UV326, UV329, UV328, UV327, and UV324. These BUVSs are compounded into a wide range of plastic products to slow weathering from UV radiation. BUVSs, i.e., UV320, UV326, UV327, and UV328, are widely added to multiple-use plastic items (often called “user items”), including food packaging and fishing gear (Rani et al., 2017). However, the endocrine-disrupting potential of these chemicals has previously been demonstrated (Nagayoshi et al., 2015; Feng et al., 2020; Sakuragi et al., 2021). Because of their adverse effects
and persistence, some BUVSs are now regulated by governmental and international regulations (e.g., ECHA, 2020). Recently, UV328 has been examined as a candidate of persistent organic pollutants (POPs), which are regulated by the Stockholm Convention (Persistent Organic Pollutants Review Committee, 2021).

Target BFRs and BUVSs are moderately to highly hydrophobic and have a high affinity with hydrophobic polymer matrices (Teuten et al., 2009; Yamashita et al., 2019). Many of them are not easily leached during usage and even after disposal because of their hydrophobic nature. Thus, hydrophobic additives are retained in plastic fragments in marine environments; BDE209 has been detected in microplastics collected from coastal waters and in the open ocean (Yeo et al., 2020). Additives are retained in plastic fragments in marine disposal because of their hydrophobic nature. Thus, hydrophobic additives are retained in plastic fragments in marine environments; BDE209 has been detected in microplastics collected from coastal waters and in the open ocean (Yeo et al., 2020). Furthermore, BFRs and BUVSs have been found in plastic fragments collected from the stomachs of northern fulmars (Fulmarus glacialis) and in regurgitated boluses from albatrosses (Tanaka et al., 2019). It was believed that additives are not easily available for leaching or accumulation in biological tissues as they are kneaded into the polymer matrix (Sun et al., 2019). However, it has been demonstrated that oily components in digestive fluids can act as organic solvents to facilitate the leaching of hydrophobic additives (Tanaka et al., 2015; Kühn et al., 2020).

The transfer and accumulation of additives from ingested plastics to the tissues in seabirds has been demonstrated in semi-field feeding experiments with plastics compounded with additives (Tanaka et al., 2020b). There remain questions, however, about the magnitude or spread of the plastic-mediated accumulation of chemicals in marine fauna.

To address these knowledge gaps, we used preen gland oil to assess the accumulation of these chemicals in seabirds. Preen gland oil is a wax excreted from an organ situated above the tail of most bird species, including seabirds (Yamashita et al., 2007). Preen gland oil can be collected from live birds and is, therefore, a noninvasive approach that enables sample collection from a wide range of species with minimal interference (Yamashita et al., 2007, Yamashita et al., 2018). Because a previous study suggested that the chemical concentrations in preen gland oil reflect pollution in the internal fat stores of seabirds, preen gland oil can indicate the contaminant burden in the body (Yamashita et al., 2007). Hardesty et al. (2015) demonstrated the utility of this preen oil sampling approach to examine the bioaccumulation of plastic additives (i.e., phthalates) in seabirds. However, Provencher et al. (2020) did not find a relationship between the significant concentrations of phthalate in preen gland oil from northern fulmars and ingested plastic load, raising uncertainty about the utility of this approach. Tanaka et al. (2020b) used the approach to assess BDE209 and three BUVSs in six species of seabirds from Hawaii to demonstrate the accumulation of plastic additives. The present study used this approach to assess BFRs and 6 BUVSs in samples from 145 seabirds, including 32 species from 8 families (5 orders), collected from 16 areas across all major ocean basins. To examine the transfer of chemicals from ingested plastics by measuring the additives in the seabird tissues, it is prudent to also consider the potential contaminant contribution from their natural prey as these additives have been detected in marine organisms (e.g., Burreau et al., 2006; Sun et al., 2015; Nakata et al., 2009; Nakata et al., 2010; Nakata et al., 2012; Peng et al., 2017; Lu et al., 2019). To evaluate the accumulation of chemicals through natural prey, we also measured legacy POPs, including polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane and its metabolites (DDTs), and hexachlorohexanes (HCHs), which occur in the seabirds’ natural prey and can be used as a proxy to assess chemical exposure through diet.

The objectives of the present study were as follows: 1) to understand the magnitude or spread of accumulation of BFRs and BUVSs in the preen gland oil of seabirds and 2) to discuss the relationship between the accumulation of these chemicals, the load of ingested plastic, and the potential contribution from natural prey.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

SAMPLING

We collected preen gland oil from 145 seabirds, which included 32 species from 8 families and 5 orders, between 2008 and 2016 (Fig. 1 and Table S1). Most samples were collected from live birds in their breeding colonies, but 54 were from bird carcasses that were stranded on beaches, caught accidentally in fishing gear, or died because of collisions with infrastructure (Table S1). Live birds were held while their preen glands were wiped to collect oil samples using pre-baked glass-fiber filters or stainless-steel micropatulas, which reduced the chance of contamination. Birds were released immediately after sample collection. For deceased birds, the carcasses were disected and the preen gland oil samples were collected using a glass-fiber filter. The weight of each collected oil sample was approximately 0.1–50 mg (average: ~10 mg). The glass-fiber filters were wrapped in aluminum foil and the micropatulas were stored in pre-baked glass tubes; they were transported to the laboratory and stored at −30°C until further analysis.

For some carcass samples, ingested plastics were quantified by dissecting the upper gastrointestinal tract (proventriculus and gizzard). The tract was carefully opened with dissecting scissors, and all solid material was rinsed in fresh water over a sieve or into a petri dish. Plastic was separated from natural items, manually counted, patted clean using paper towel, and air dried before being stored in aluminum foil at room temperature.

CHEMICALS

Six BUVSs (UV-P, UV-326, UV-329, UV-328, UV-327, and UV-234) were purchased from AccuStandard, Inc. (New Haven, CT, USA). BDE209 and DBDPE were purchased from Wellington Laboratories Inc. (Guelph, ON, Canada). As a running standard of PCBs, a 1:1:1 mixture of Kanecochlor 300, 400, 500, and 600 was purchased from GL Science (Tokyo, Japan). The concentrations of individual PCB congeners in the running standard were determined using a gas chromatograph equipped with an ion-trap mass spectrometer. p,p′-DDT, o,p′-DDT, p,p′-DDD, o,p′-DDD, and p,p′-DDE as well as four HCH isomers (α, β, γ, and δ) were purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd. (Osaka, Japan). As a surrogate standard for PBDEs, 4′-fluoro-2,2′,3,3′,4,4′,5,5′,6,6′-nonabromo-di-
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Phenylether (F-BDE208) was purchased from Wellington Laboratories. As surrogate standards for the UV stabilizers, UV-326-d3 and UV-327-d20 were purchased from Toronto Research Chemicals (Toronto, ON, Canada) and UV-328-13C6 was synthesized under contract with Hayashi Pure Chemical Ind., Ltd. (Osaka, Japan). As internal injection standards, acenaphthene-d8 and chrysene-d12 were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Hexane, acetone, 2,2,4-trimethylpentane (iso-octane), methanol, pyridine, acetic anhydride, hydrochloric acid, anhydrous sodium sulfate, and silica-gel (Wakogel Q-22, through 75 μm) were purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd. (Osaka, Japan). Dichloromethane (DCM) was purchased from Kanto Chemical Co., Inc. (Tokyo, Japan). Hexane and acetone were distilled in glass. All glass and stainless-steel equipment was rinsed with methanol, acetone, and distilled hexane thrice each or pre-baked at 550°C for 4 h.

**ANALYTICAL PROCEDURE**

Preen gland oil was extracted using 15 mL distilled acetone and n-hexane (1:3, v/v) for 10 min by sonicating the filter or spatula via an ultrasonic vibrator (SHARP UT-105S, 100W 35kHz). This extraction was repeated three times. Combined extracts were spiked with surrogates (f-BDE208, UV-326-d3, UV-328-13C6, and UV-327-d20) and rotary-evaporated to dryness before 4 mL DCM was added to the extract. One aliquot (~2 mL) was used to determine lipid weight. The aliquot was evaporated at 100 mmHg on a hot plate at 100°C until the weight did not change, and the weight was measured gravimetrically using a chemical balance with a 0.1-mg accuracy. When the weight of the lipid was < 1 mg, the lipid weight was measured using Iatroscan (MLK-6, LSI Medience Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) where aliquots of the dry lipid were combusted and the amounts of the generated ions were quantified using a flame ionization detector. To calibrate for quantification, gravimetrically-measured preen gland oil from short-tailed shearwater (*Ardenna tenuirostris*) was used. Another aliquot (~2 mL) was used to measure POPs and additives. The aliquots were subjected to a gel permeation chromatograph column (2 cm i.d. × 30 cm; CLNpak PAE-2000; Shodex, Japan) to separate target compounds from the biolipids using DCM as an eluent at a flow rate of 4 mL/min. A fraction of the retention time, from 11.5 to 25 min, was taken, rotary-evaporated to ~0.5 mL, and transferred to a 1.5-mL glass vial. The solvent was evaporated to dryness under a nitrogen stream and 50 μL each of pyridine and acetic anhydride were added. The vial was tightly capped and kept in a sand bath at 45°C for ≥ 12 h to complete acetylation. The reaction was ceased by the addition of 0.2 mL hydrochloric acid and target compounds were extracted with hexane five times. The hexane extracts were evaporated to dryness and subjected to 10% H2O-deactivated silica-gel column chromatography (1 cm i.d. × 9 cm) with an elution of 30 mL hexane/DCM (3:1, v/v), followed by 30 mL DCM with 1% (v/v) methanol (MeOH). The DCM with MeOH fraction containing acetylated BUVSs was rotary-evaporated to ~0.5 mL and transferred to a 1.5-mL glass vial. The solvent was evaporated to dryness under nitrogen stream, and the residue was dissolved in 50–200 μL iso-octane with acenaphthene-d8 and chrysene-d12 as internal injection standards for the measurement of BUVSs on a gas chromatograph equipped with a mass spectrometer (GC–MS; Agilent 7,890A/5,977). The details of the GC–MS conditions are described in Table S2. All BUVSs were measured using the selected ion monitoring mode (Table S3) and quantified based on peak area. The concentrations of UV326, UV327, and UV328 were corrected for the recoveries of the corresponding isotopically-labeled standards, whereas the other BUVSs were not recovery-corrected.

Fig. 1 Seabird preen gland oil sampling locations
vated silica-gel column (0.45 cm i.d. × 18 cm) with 6 mL hexane (first fraction), 60 mL hexane (second fraction), and 20 mL hexane/DCM (3:1, v/v) (third fraction). The second fraction contained PCBs, p,p′-DDE, BDE209, and DBDPE and the third fraction contained p,p′-DDD, o,p′-DDD, p,p′-DDT, o,p′-DDT, and four HCH isomers (α, β, γ, and δ). The second and third fractions were re-mixed with ~0.5 mL, transferred to 1.5-mL vials, further reduced to dryness under gentle nitrogen stream, and redissolved in 50–1,000 μL iso-octane for measurements using a gas chromatograph with an electron capture detector (GC-ECD, Agilent 7,890A). PCBs, p,p′-DDE, p,p′-DDD, o,p′-DDD, p,p′-DDT, o,p′-DDT, and HCH isomers were measured using a 30-m capillary column, whereas BDE209 and DBDPE were measured using a 15-m capillary column. The details of the operating conditions for the instrumental analysis are available in Table S4. Twenty PCB congeners (IUPAC numbers 52, 44, 66/95, 90/101, 110/77, 118, 132/153, 105, 187, 128, 180, 170/190, and 206) were identified and quantified. The sum of their concentrations is expressed as ‘∑20PCBs.’ The sum of p,p′-DDE, p,p′-DDD, o,p′-DDD, and o,p′-DDT is expressed as ‘∑DDTs’ and the sum of the four HCH isomers (α, β, γ, and δ) is expressed as ‘∑HCHs.’ BDE-209 concentration was corrected by the recovery of f-BDE208, whereas no recovery correction was made for DBDPE, PCBs, DDTs, and HCHs.

QUALITY CONTROL AND QUALITY ASSURANCE

Reproducibility and recovery were confirmed in advance using four replicate analyses of Laysan albatross (Phoebastria immutabilis) adipose tissue extracts with and without spiking of native PCBs, DDTs, HCHs, BFRs, and BUVs standards. The relative standard deviations for the concentrations of the individual congeners were < 10% and the recoveries were > 83%. A procedural blank using only solvent was run with every analyzed set (5–7 samples). Analytical values less than three times that of the corresponding blanks were considered below the limit of quantification (LOQ). The amount of target compounds in the procedural blank was normally 0.2 ng for UVP, 0.08 ng for UV329, 0.3 ng for UV326, 0.2 ng for UV328, 0.06 ng for UV327, 0.7 ng for UV234, 0.08 ng for ∑20PCBs, 0.07 ng for ∑DDTs, 0.009 ng for ∑HCHs, 0.02 ng for BDE209, and 0.04 ng for DBDPE. When 10 ng lipid was used for the analysis, LOQ was 70 ng/g-lipid for UVP, 30 ng/g-lipid for UV329, 60 ng/g-lipid for UV326, 50 ng/g-lipid for UV328, 20 ng/g-lipid for UV327, 50 ng/g-lipid for UV234, 30 ng/g-lipid for ∑20PCBs, 30 ng/g-lipid for ∑DDTs, 3 ng/g-lipid for ∑HCHs, 3 ng/g-lipid for BDE209, and 8 ng/g-lipid for DBDPE.

DISTRIBUTION OF POPS AS A PROXY EXPOSURE PATHWAY TO ANTHROPOGENIC CHEMICALS

Conventional POPs occurred ubiquitously in the seabird samples; they were detected in 85%–94% of the 145 individuals (Table 1, Table S6). PCBs were the most frequently and abundantly detected POPs, followed by DDTs and HCHs.
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dantly detected in these contaminants, with concentrations ranging from 1 to 21,000 ng/g-lipid (median concentration: 102 ng/g-lipid). These results are in accordance with the observations of Yamashita et al. (2018) who reported a range of 2–60,700 ng/g-lipid of PCBs in 154 seabird samples collected across the globe. PCB concentrations reflected the seabird prey and trophic position; they were generally lower for seabirds from the polar regions and the Galápagos Islands (Fig. 2a) and were higher in seabirds that foraged on higher-trophic prey (fish and squids) than those that foraged on crustaceans (Fig. 3). This relationship between PCB concentrations in the preen oil and the trophic position of the seabird’s main prey likely results from the biomagnification of PCBs in the food web. This mechanism of biomagnification was evidenced by calculating the ratio of the congeners with different metabolizabilites (CB44, CB110, and CB180). CB44 and CB110 are readily metabolized, whereas CB180 is more persistent. Thus, the ratio of (CB44 + CB110) to CB180 should be lower in higher-trophic level seabirds (Yamashita et al., 2007; Yamashita et al., 2018). Seabirds with higher PCB concentrations had lower [(CB44 + CB110) to CB180] ratios (Fig. 4). Among the 12 individuals with PCB concentrations > 1,000 ng/g-lipid, 9 individuals had a [(CB44 + CB110) to CB180] ratio < 0.5. These taxa included the red-legged kittiwake (*Rissa brevirostris*), streaked shearwater (*Calonectris leucomegas*), Cory’s shearwater (*Calonectris diomedea*), black-footed albatross, and Laysan albatross, all of which primarily forage on higher-trophic level prey, such as fish and squid. Moreover, the two albatross species have broad diets, including fishery discards and bird, and regularly scavenge dead organisms, including other seabirds and marine mammals (Gould et al., 1997; Cherel and Klages, 1998).

The spatial distribution patterns of DDTs (Fig. 2b) was similar to that of PCBs. DDT concentrations were lower in the polar regions, with higher concentrations detected in species that forage on higher-trophic prey (Fig. 3). Historically, DDT has been used as a pesticide in agricultural fields while PCBs have been used for industrial purposes. Although both PCBs and DDTs are derived from anthropogenic activities, they differ in their geographical patterns of input into the marine system. Nevertheless, a strong correlation ($r^2 = 0.760$) was observed for the concentrations of both compounds in the preen gland oil samples from the species in this study (Fig. 5), suggesting that dietary behavior is a stronger determinant for both DDT and PCB occurrence and concentration in seabirds. In the preen oil samples with higher concentrations of DDTs, DDE was the predominant component. As DDE is a stable metabolite of DDT, a higher proportion of DDE implies that DDT is metabolized by the organisms in the food web. We suggest that the co-occurrence of PCB and DDT concentrations occurs because both compounds are associated with anthropogenic inputs to the ocean and they are similarly biomagnified through the food web.

The spatial pattern of HCHs Fig. 2(c) was similar to that of PCBs and DDTs. Though the correlation between HCH concentration and PCBs was significant, it was substantially weaker (Fig. 5; $r^2 = 0.388$) than the correlation between PCBs and DDTs ($r^2 = 0.760$). This is likely because HCHs are better metabolized by animals (Kennish, 1997) and less biomagnified through the food web than DDTs and PCBs (Schwarzenbach
et al., 2003). It was also observed that a higher volatility and a resultant susceptibility to aerial transport could contribute to different spatial distributions of HCHs compared with DDTs and PCBs. We found that HCHs were relatively enriched in seabirds from higher latitudes (Fig. 2c), which can be ascribed to global distillation (Wania and MacKay, 1996) owing to the relatively higher volatility of HCHs and the resultant aerial transport.

**PLASTIC ADDITIVES IN PREEN GLAND OIL**

The occurrence pattern of plastic additives differed from that of conventional POPs, with additives occurring more sporadically among the seabird preen oil samples. BDE209 was detected in 7 of the 145 sampled seabirds (Table 1, Fig. 6). The concentration of BDE209 was 83 ng/g-lipid for one Hawaiian petrel (*Pterodroma sandwichensis*) and 203–379 ng/g-lipid for two great frigatebirds (*Fregata minor*). All other individuals sampled showed a few ng/g-lipid or lower concentration. There are two potential routes from where these additives could infiltrate seabird preen gland oil: exposure from ingested plastic and exposure through prey organisms. BDE209 is minimally bioavailable (Burreau et al., 2006; Mizukawa et al.,

---

Fig. 3 Concentrations of the legacy POPs and additives in the preen gland oil versus the estimated main diets of the seabirds.
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2013) because of its high molecular weight and bulky molecular structure. It has been detected in some marine organisms in low concentrations (a few ng/g-lipid or lower, Mizukawa et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2015; Guo et al., 2017); thus, natural prey may account for the low concentrations of BDE209 in some preen gland oil samples (e.g., a few ng/g-lipid for slender-billed prion (Pachyptila belcheri) from New Zealand and Cory’s shearwater from the Azores). Because BDE209 is not biomagnified (Burreau et al., 2006; Mizukawa et al., 2013), we believe that the higher concentrations (hundreds ng/g) of BDE209 found in Hawaiian petrels and great frigatebirds are probably derived from ingested plastics. The Hawaiian petrel specimen (sample ID 2013-006) had the highest concentration of BDE209 (83 ng/g-lipid) and the largest number of ingested plastics (12 pieces) (Table S1). The sporadic detection of plastic additives in the preen gland oil (i.e., 7 of the 145 individuals) is consistent with the sporadic occurrence of BDE209 in the plastic debris. In a previous study that analyzed 194 plastic fragments from seabird stomachs, BDE209 was found in only 1 piece of plastic (0.5%; Tanaka et al. 2019). This suggests that

Fig. 4 PCB concentrations in the preen gland oil of the sampled seabirds versus the ratio of (CB44 + CB110) to CB180

Fig. 5 Correlation of the contaminant concentrations with PCB concentrations in the preen gland oil of the sampled seabirds.
Fig. 6 Brominated flame retardant (BDE209 and DBDPE) concentrations in the preen gland oil of the sampled seabirds

Fig. 7 Benzotriazole-type UV stabilizer (BUVS) concentrations in the preen gland oil of the sampled seabirds
BUVSs are not compounded into all plastic products but only in specific products (e.g., electronics and automobile parts). Plastics ingested by seabirds contained BDE209 at concentrations up to $1.1 \times 10^7$ ng/g (Tanaka et al., 2019), and the transfer of BDE209 from ingested plastics to preen gland oil has been demonstrated in experimental feeding trials (Tanaka et al., 2020b).

DBDPE also was detected sporadically in only 9 of the 145 individuals sampled (Table 1, Fig. 6). The concentrations of DBDPE were in a similar range (5.6–101 ng/g) as those of BDE209, with the highest concentration (101 ng/g) occurring in a thick-billed murre (Uria lomvia), followed by 14–65 ng/g in all three red-legged kittiwakes examined (both species sampled at the Pribilof Islands). The bioaccumulation of DBDPE in the food web is also limited because of its higher molecular weight and bulky structure. DBDPE concentrations in aquatic organisms in rural areas were a few ng/g-lipid (Sun et al., 2015). Relatively lower concentrations of DBDPE were present in the preen gland oil of some of the seabirds, such as the streaked shearwater (8.3–18 ng/g-lipid), and we suggest that these contaminants could possibly be derived from natural prey. DBDPE does not reportedly biomagnify in food webs (Zheng et al., 2018), and therefore we suggest that higher DBDPE concentrations may enter seabirds through ingested plastics. Extreme DBDPE concentrations (35–68 ng/g-lipid) have been reported in fishes associated with highly industrial aquatic environments (He et al., 2012). However, the seabird samples containing high DBDPE concentrations in this study were collected in an area far from dense industry, and the foraging areas of these species are largely confined to the Bering Sea. Further support for this conclusion is the lack of high levels of PCBs in individuals with abundant DBDPE (Fig. 5).

BUVSs were also detected sporadically, though more frequently than BFRs. UVP, UV326, UV329, UV328, UV327, and UV234 were detected in 30, 19, 34, 31, 8, and 27 individuals, respectively (Table 1, Fig. 7). UV stabilizers as a group were detected in 67 seabirds, which is 46% of those examined. The sporadic detection of BUVSs is consistent with the occasional occurrence of BUVSs in the plastic fragments on beaches (Tanaka et al., 2020a) and in seabird stomachs (Tanaka et al., 2019), and we suggest that ingested plastics is a key source of exposure to these additives detected in the preen gland oil. The detection frequency of BUVSs (46%) was significantly higher than that of the two BFRs (11%). This is likely because UV stabilizers are more widely applied to plastic products than BFRs. The detected concentrations of the individual BUVSs ranged from a few ng/g to thousands ng/g (Table 1). Considering the results of previous feeding experiments where UV328 and UV326 were demonstrated to transfer from ingested plastics to the preen gland oil in seabirds (Tanaka et al., 2020a), BUVS in the preen gland oil from the wild seabirds is very likely to be a result of leaching from ingested plastics. However, BUVS contributions from their natural prey should also be carefully examined. Target BUVSs are hydrophobic with a log Kow ranging from 5.55 to 7.67, except for UVP with a log Kow of 3. The bioaccumulation of BUVSs has been reported in a wide range of marine organisms (Nakata et al., 2009; Nakata et al., 2010; Nakata et al., 2012; Peng et al., 2017; Lu et al., 2019). The concentrations of individual BUVSs in biological tissues across a range of aquatic organisms, including the prey organisms of seabirds, spanned sub ng/g-lipid to hundreds ng/g-lipid for organisms in an urban estuary in China (Peng et al., 2017), Asian and US west coasts (Nakata et al., 2009; Nakata et al., 2010; Nakata et al., 2012), and Arctic ecosystems (Lu et al., 2019). Among the 53 species examined (including bivalves, crustaceans, cephalopods, fishes, seabirds, and seals), the maximum concentrations of the individual BUVSs were as follows: 20 ng/g-lipid for UVP (Peng et al., 2017), 315 ng/g-lipid for UV326 (Lu et al., 2019), 136 ng/g-lipid for UV329 (Lu et al., 2019), 467 ng/g-lipid for UV328 (Nakata et al., 2009), 150 ng/g-lipid for UV327 (Nakata et al., 2009), and 7 ng/g-lipid for UV234 (Peng et al., 2017). These maximum values can be considered as threshold concentrations for BUVSs in seabird preen gland oil, beyond which BUVSs cannot be explained solely by natural prey. Among the 145 individual seabirds we examined, 53 had concentrations of BUVSs exceeding these threshold concentrations, suggesting that natural prey alone is unlikely to explain these high BUV concentrations in preen gland oil. Some BUVSs can be biomagnified, but the effect is not strong (Peng et al., 2017). However, the organisms examined included seabirds and other higher-trophic animals (Lu et al., 2019) and, therefore, the threshold concentrations took biomagnification into account. The other exposure source, i.e., ingested plastics, must contribute to the high concentrations of BUVSs in these seabird samples.

Unlike PCBs, there was no relationship between the BUVS concentrations and prey organisms (Fig. 3). Furthermore, if the contaminant exposure pathways through prey are the dominant sources for BUVSs in the seabirds, a positive correlation between individual additives and PCBs would be expected as observed for DDTs and HCHs. This is because both PCBs and BUVSs were derived from anthropogenic activities and similarly hydrophobic and bioaccumulative. However, no positive correlation was found for either additives with PCBs (Fig. 5). Sporadic high BUVS concentrations were detected in seabirds from remote areas where POP concentrations are generally low, resulting in the concentrations of UV329 and UV328 being one to two orders of magnitude higher than those for PCBs. Least auklets (Aethia pusilla) from St Lawrence Island had UV329 concentrations of 47–405 ng/g, whereas their PCB concentration was 8–60 ng/g. Flesh-footed shearwaters (Ardenna carneipes) from Western Australia had UV329 concentrations of 79–4,031 ng/g, whereas their PCB concentration was 27–66 ng/g. Furthermore, great shearwaters (Ardenna gravis) from Gough Island (central South Atlantic Ocean) and blue petrels (Halobaena caerulea) from sub-Antarctic Marion Island (southwest Indian Ocean) had high concentrations of UV-328 (4,987–7,055 ng/g and 1,047–3,003 ng/g, respectively), whereas they showed lower PCB concentrations (83–589 ng/g and 8–28 ng/g, respectively; Table S6). This evidence indicates that dietary exposure alone cannot explain the high concentrations of BUVSs detected in the preen gland oil samples from these species.

CASE-EXAMINATION OF PLASTIC INGESTION BY SEABIRDS

The rate of plastic debris ingestion in seabirds varies among species (Ryan, 2016), within a seabird species range
and with the regions plastic pollution status (Roman et al., 2019a; Roman et al., 2020b). The load of plastic present in any given individual seabird represents the balance between the ingestion rate and the loss through egestion (both regurgitation and excretion), which is generally poorly understood for most seabird groups (Ryan, 2016). Species-specific considerations, particularly as they relate to foraging strategy and geography, are relevant to consider when determining the likelihood and load of plastic ingested and retained by individual seabirds.

Great shearwaters and blue petrels are the two species with the highest incidence of plastic ingestion in the African sector of the Southern Ocean, with at least 90% of individuals already containing ingested plastic by the 1980s (Ryan, 1987). Plastic loads have a strong right skew, with most individuals containing only a few plastic items (Ryan, 2016), but some individuals can contain very large amounts of plastic (e.g., a great shearwater contained 194 pieces of plastic, completely filling its ventriculus and most of its proventriculus, Fig. S1). In previous decades there has been a marked shift in the type of plastics that are ingested by these species, from mainly industrial pellets in the 1980s to user plastics fragments post 2000 (Ryan, 2008; Fig. S2). This shift has exposed the birds to increasing levels of plastic additives, and the transfer of BUVSs from the ingested plastics to the seabird tissues is almost certain to occur in this scenario. Great shearwaters are trans-equatorial migrants that feed in the North Atlantic during the boreal summer; the birds sampled in this study were adults on their return to the Southern Hemisphere breeding grounds. Thus, they may have had high levels of ingested plastic (e.g., Ryan, 1987). Blue petrels are a particularly interesting case study as they seldom range outside the Southern Ocean and typically remain in cold water (<10°C) south of the Antarctic Polar Front. The Southern Ocean contains very low densities of plastics (Suaria et al., 2020), yet blue petrels still ingest and accumulate large plastic loads (Ryan, 1987). Their local (remote) feeding is confirmed by lower concentrations of PCBS (20–41 ng/g-lipid) than in most of the other seabirds examined in this study. Thus, the dietary exposure of blue petrels to these chemicals in human-impacted areas is unlikely and plastic-mediated exposure in the remote Southern Ocean is the more likely source of the chemicals detected.

Plastic ingestion by flesh-footed shearwaters has been well studied (e.g., Lavers et al., 2014; Lavers et al., 2019; Wilcox et al., 2021), and up to 90% of fledglings surveyed on Lord Howe Island, off the east coast of Australia, were found to contain ingested plastics. However, plastic ingestion rates are lower in adult birds than in juveniles, and the present study used adult birds only and most samples were from birds from Western Australia. The examined samples were sourced from individuals that had been by-caught in fisheries and were subsequently dissected. Plastic fragments were detected in the stomachs of three birds (WA16-06, WA16-07, and WA16-10), whereas no plastics were found in the other three birds (WA16-02, WA16-03, and WA16-04; Table S1). In WA16-07 and WA16-10, extremely high concentrations of UV-329 (3,342 and 4,631 ng/g) were detected in the preen gland oil, suggesting that the BUVSs are derived from ingested plastics. Measuring the concentration of additives in the ingested plastics and evaluating the potential ecotoxicological effects caused by BUVSs for these seabirds is recommended in future studies. However, one flesh-footed shearwater (WA16-02) contained a high concentration of UV329 (2,845 ng/g) in the preen gland oil despite no plastic being found in its stomach. This may be because the plastics were excreted after BUVSs were leached into the bird’s system as has previously been reported (Tanaka et al., 2015).

Similar to the great shearwaters, the short-tailed shearwaters from southeastern Australia were sampled shortly after returning south from their northern migration during the northern summer/autumn winter. As migration southbound is swift and direct (Carey et al., 2014), ingested plastic/associated contaminants likely reflect exposure in the North Pacific. Most (88%) short-tailed shearwaters retain ingested plastic in their gut when traveling south (Roman et al., 2021b). Therefore, the significant detection of multiple additives in the preen gland samples from this species is not unexpected.

Black-footed and Laysan albatrosses feed in the central North Pacific and the Gulf of Alaska and Bering Sea during their breeding season and forage across the North Pacific during their nonbreeding period (Hyrenbach et al., 2002; Kappes et al., 2010; Gutowsky et al., 2014). Plastic ingestion is well documented in both these albatross species in the Hawaiian Islands (Gray et al., 2012; Hyrenbach et al., 2017; Rapp et al., 2017). This may be consistent with the frequent detection of multiple additives, i.e., UVP and UV326, in all six albatrosses examined.

The Hawaiian petrel distribution is more restricted to tropical and subtropical waters around Hawaii compared with the two Hawaiian albatrosses (black-footed and Laysan; Brooke, 2004). Accordingly, PCB concentrations in the preen gland oil were one order of magnitude lower in the petrel (hundreds ng/g) than in the albatrosses (thousands ng/g or higher). Yet, despite the lower anticipated impact from anthropogenic pollutants, high concentrations of additives were detected in the preen gland oil from some of the petrel specimens. The occurrence pattern was consistent with the detection of plastics in the digestive tract, as shown in Table S1. All three birds (2013-006; 2013-015; and 2013-017) that contained significant concentrations of an additive had also ingested a larger number of plastics pieces (9–14). By contrast, the other four birds contained no or less plastic pieces (0–5). This pattern can be indicative of the additives derived from the ingested plastics. A lack of the detection of the additives in the preen gland oil of the birds with a smaller but significant number of ingested plastics can be explained by the sporadic occurrence of specific additives in the plastic products (Rani et al., 2017) and fragments (Tanaka et al., 2019), such that no target additives were contained in the specific plastics in their stomachs. This is the first paper to report the plastic ingestion by Hawaiian petrels (Wilcox et al. 2015). Yet, because of the endangered status of this species, the impacts of ingested plastics and associate chemicals require further study.

The Galápagos Islands and surrounding areas are influenced by localized upwelling and characterized by low levels of POPs (Ohgaki et al. 2021). Most Galápagos seabirds have low concentrations of PCBs (<100 ng/g-lipid) in their preen gland oil. Samples from red-billed tropicbirds (Phaethon aethereus)
and great frigatebirds showed significantly high concentrations of UV328 (1.302 ng/g), UV327 (1.852 ng/g), and BDE209 (203 and 379 ng/g) in the preen gland oil. Both species forage locally in the Galápagos and the PCB concentrations detected were low (<300 ng/g); therefore, we suggest that the exposure to chemicals from contaminated prey in an urban coastal zone is unlikely. By contrast, floating plastics were visibly confirmed at the sampling location (Punta/Isole Pitt) of the birds, and both species foraged locally. One hundred and ninety-seven macro plastic items were found in one 50-m transect at Punta Pitt (0.70844°S, 89.25378°W) in a recent survey in January 2021. Other seabird colonies contain large amounts of plastics; for example, at El Pescador (0.91719°S, 89.40494°W), 4,862 macro plastic items were recorded in a 50-m transect. These large abundances of plastic in the environment raise concerns regarding their potential impacts on Galápagos marine vertebrates (Muñoz-Pérez, unpublished data) as plastic ingestion has previously been reported in tropicbirds (Madden and Eggermont, 2020). Interestingly, great frigatebirds showed the highest concentrations of BDE209 (203 and 379 ng/g-lipid) among the 145 seabirds examined. These “marauders” forage locally, stealing fish and prey from other birds, and are known to ingest plastic (Rapp et al., 2017); the BUVSs and BFR detected may be derived from secondarily ingested plastics.

As discussed above, higher concentrations of BUVSs are most likely a result of plastic-mediated transfer, whereas lower concentrations of BUVSs might be derived from dietary exposure via their natural prey. Among the 145 individuals examined, 58 seabirds had higher concentrations of BFRs and/or BUVSs than the threshold concentrations, and we posit that ~40% of the seabirds examined likely accumulated the detected additives from ingested plastics. This estimation largely depends on the threshold concentrations of BUVSs and the two BFRs, i.e., maximum reported concentrations of the additives in the organisms in natural aquatic environments. The detection of higher concentrations (>1,000 ng/g) of BUVSs in the preen gland oil of great shearwaters from Gough Island, blue petrels from sub-Antarctic Marion Island, black-footed and Laysan albatrosses and Hawaiian petrels from Hawaii, and flesh-footed shearwaters from Western Australia. These occurrence patterns can be explained as the additives are derived mainly from ingested plastics rather than their diet. The detection of BFRs and BUVSs demonstrated that significant portions of the world’s seabirds are likely to accumulate chemicals directly from ingesting plastics, but the health consequences of this are not yet fully understood.

CONCLUSIONS

Plastic additives were detected sporadically among a range of seabirds from diverse areas around the world. BFR were detected in 16 of the 145 seabirds (11%), whereas BUVSs were detected in 67 individuals (46%). High concentrations of additives were detected in seabirds that contained large ingested plastic loads, and this included the great shearwaters from Gough Island, blue petrels from sub-Antarctic Marion Island, black-footed and Laysan albatrosses and Hawaiian petrels from Hawaii, and flesh-footed shearwaters from Western Australia. These occurrence patterns can be explained as the additives are derived mainly from ingested plastics rather than their diet. The detection of BFRs and BUVSs demonstrated that significant portions of the world’s seabirds are likely to accumulate chemicals directly from ingesting plastics, but the health consequences of this are not yet fully understood.
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