MICROJANSKY RADIO SOURCES IN DC 0107–46 (ABELL 2877)
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ABSTRACT

The cluster DC 0107–46 (Abell 2877) lies within the Phoenix Deep Survey, made at 1.4 GHz with the Australia Telescope Compact Array. Of 89 known optical cluster members, 70 lie within the radio survey area. Of these 70 galaxies, 15 (21%) are detected, with luminosities as faint as 1.0 \times 10^{20} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}. Spectroscopic observations are available for 14/15 of the radio-detected cluster galaxies. Six galaxies show only absorption features and are typical low-luminosity active galactic nucleus (AGN) radio sources. One galaxy hosts a Seyfert 2 nucleus, two are star-forming galaxies, and the remaining five may be star-forming galaxies, AGNs, or both.

Subject headings: galaxies: clusters: individual (Abell 2877) — galaxies: evolution — galaxies: starburst — radio continuum: galaxies

1. INTRODUCTION

While “classical” radio galaxies powered by an active galactic nucleus (AGN) dominate 1.4 GHz source counts above \approx 1 \text{ mJy}, deeper radio surveys reveal a “new” population of radio galaxies at submillijansky levels. Spectroscopy and multicolor photometry (Windhorst et al. 1985; Thuan & Condon 1987; Benn et al. 1993; Windhorst et al. 1994; Hammer et al. 1995; Georgakakis et al. 1999) show that the faint radio population comprises an increasing proportion of star-forming galaxies and a decreasing proportion of AGN-powered galaxies. The evolutionary status of the star-forming galaxies, and the role of interactions and mergers in the population are only partially understood. This paper presents one of the first studies of the submillijansky population in an Abell cluster (see also Moffet & Birkinshaw 1989).

Radio surveys of clusters of galaxies typically detect only a few sources that are true cluster members. For example, Robertson & Roach (1990) found that the detection rate of bright radio sources ($S_{1.4 \text{ GHz}} > 700 \text{ mJy}$) in Abell clusters is about 5% when corrected for chance coincidences. Any such detections are intrinsically luminous, AGN-powered radio galaxies. At fainter flux density limits, ($S_{1.4 \text{ GHz}} > 10 \text{ mJy}$), Ledlow & Owen (1995) detected at least one radio source in just under 40% of a distance-limited cluster sample ($z \leq 0.09$), with a mean number of 1.4 radio sources per cluster. Similar studies have been reported by Stocke et al. (1999) and Zhao, Burns, & Owen (1989). The sources detected in these deep surveys are again almost all AGN-powered, with radio luminosities greater than $10^{23} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}$. Late-type galaxies in clusters are sometimes detected (e.g., Andersen & Owen 1995), but less frequently owing to their low radio luminosity and low space density.

The importance of excising cluster radio source contributions in measurements of the Sunyaev-Zeldovich effect has prompted a few deep surveys of selected clusters (e.g., Moffet & Birkinshaw 1989; Herbig et al. 1995; Myers et al. 1997). However, these studies have not intended to explore the astrophysical properties of the detected sources, and have not done so.

This paper discusses the cluster radio sources found in a deep 1.4 GHz survey (the Phoenix Deep Survey, PDS), which covers part of DC 0107–46 (Abell 2877; see Dressler 1980b; Abell, Corwin, & Olowin 1989; Appendix A summarizes the main properties of this cluster). The survey region was chosen to avoid, if possible, sources brighter than $S_{5 \text{ GHz}} \approx 30 \text{ mJy}$, and it contains none of the apparently bright, intrinsically luminous, AGN-powered sources described above. We show, however, that the submillijansky radio source population in the cluster is dominated by low-power ($L_{1.4 \text{ GHz}} < 10^{22} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}$) sources that are still generally powered by AGNs. We adopt $H_0 = 75 \text{ km s}^{-1}\text{Mpc}^{-1}$ and $q_0 = 0.5$ in the following analysis and discussion.

2. OBSERVATIONS

The Phoenix Deep Survey (PDS; Hopkins et al. 1999 and references therein) covers a high-latitude region of low optical obscuration and devoid of bright radio sources. Australia Telescope Compact Array (ATCA) observations of the PDS field at 1.4 GHz reach a $5 \sigma$ limiting flux density
of 300 µJy over a 2° diameter field and encompass a 50' diameter field having a 5 σ level ranging from 100 µJy at the perimeter to 50 µJy at its most sensitive (Hopkins et al. 1999, 1998). A radio source list has been compiled using the SFIND package of MIRIAD.

Optical observations of the PDS field have been undertaken using the Anglo-Australian Telescope (AAT). CCD imaging includes R-band photometry for almost the complete field and V band for slightly more than half (Georgakakis et al. 1999). Optical catalogs constructed from the CCD images using FOCAS (Jarvis & Tyson 1981; see Georgakakis et al. 1999 for details of the catalog construction) are complete to R ≈ 22.5. Optical identifications of the radio sources have been attempted by cross-matching the radio and optical catalogs. Approximately 50% of the radio sources have candidate optical identifications. Optical spectra of over 200 of the optical candidates have been taken using the 2° field facility (2dF) of the AAT. These have been analyzed to yield redshifts and, in many cases, spectroscopic classifications of the host galaxy (Georgakakis et al. 1999).

The 2° PDS field overlaps part of A2877. While almost all of the PDS galaxies lie beyond the cluster, the overlap nevertheless provides an opportunity to explore the submillijansky population in the cluster itself. This exploration is assisted by a study of galaxy cluster dynamics by Malumuth et al. (1992) reporting redshifts for 125 galaxies that were candidates for membership of A2877. Of these 125 objects, spectroscopy by Malumuth et al. (1992) showed that two are foreground galaxies and a further 34 have radial velocities outside the 3 σ clipped sample or lying in a sheet behind the cluster. This leaves 89 spectroscopically confirmed cluster galaxies.

Radio counterparts of these A2877 members have been sought by cross-matching the optical sources of Malumuth et al. (1992) with the PDS radio catalog. The region of the PDS overlaps about 75% of A2877 and contains 70 of the 89 cluster galaxies within the radio survey area. Of these 70 galaxies, 15 (21%) are detected at 1.4 GHz. There are six cluster galaxies within the radio survey area. Of these 70 G045, this galaxy has an E/S0 morphology and unresolved emission. The spectrum shows H\alpha, \[\text{[NII]}(\lambda 658 nm)\] and \[\text{[SII]}(\lambda 672, 673 nm)\] emission, but no H\beta, \[\text{[OIII]}(\lambda 501 nm)\], or \[\text{[OII]}(\lambda 630 nm)\]. The \[\text{[NII]}/\text{H}α\] and \[\text{[SII]}/\text{H}α\] equivalent width (EW) ratios are 0.5 and 0.4, respectively. In spectral diagnostic diagrams, (e.g., Veilleux & Osterbrock 1987), such ratios place the galaxy on the locus separating star-forming galaxies from Seyfert 2 galaxies and LINERs. Both AGN and star formation processes may be present.

Table 1 presents radio flux densities, optical magnitudes, and morphologies for the 15 radio-detected galaxies. The redshifts and luminosities are also shown, and Hz luminosities are given for the galaxies with Hz emission.

### Table 1 Details of the Radio-detected Galaxies in A2877.

| Name               | \(S_{1.4}\) (mJy) | \(R\)  | Hubble Type | \(z\) | \(\log (P_{1.4})\) (W Hz\(^{-1}\)) | \(M_g\) (W) |
|--------------------|-------------------|-------|-------------|------|-------------------------------|-------------|
| PDF J010387.1–454819 | 0.61              | 15.85 | S0          | 0.027 | 20                            | -19.33      |
| PDF J010904.5–456426 | 5.00              | 12.45 | E/S0        | 0.026 | 21.82                         | -22.65      |
| PDF J010937.8–455350 | 0.11              | 13.91 | S0          | 0.022 | 20.01                         | -20.83      |
| PDF J010946.5–456567 | 1.12              | 14.47 | S0          | 0.020 | 20.94                         | -20.05      |
| PDF J010947.9–455125 | 0.35              | 14.96 | S0          | 0.020 | 20.43                         | -19.56      |
| PDF J010955.5–455552 | 1.59              | 11.09 | D           | 0.024 | 21.25                         | -23.83      |
| PDF J011018.0–455556 | 0.20              | 15.18 | S0          | 0.028 | 20.49                         | -20.08      |
| PDF J011019.4–455113 | 14.3              | 14.23 | S0          | 0.024 | 22.20                         | -20.69      |
| PDF J011027.6–460428 | 0.18              | 13.52 | S0          | 0.023 | 20.07                         | -21.31      |
| PDF J011029.4–461027 | 4.69              | 14.30 | Sb (p)      | 0.024 | 21.72                         | -20.63      |
| PDF J011047.2–454701 | 0.10              | 14.62 | S0          | 0.025 | 20.09                         | -20.40      |
| PDF J011055.8–453920 | 0.28              | 15.74 | S0          | 0.024 | 20.50                         | -19.18      |
| PDF J011119.1–455554 | 6.65              | 12.80 | Sb          | 0.022 | 21.80                         | -21.93      |
| PDF J011153.5–455847 | 0.14              | 16.69 | S0          | 0.025 | 20.24                         | -18.33      |
| PDF J011219.3–455322 | 0.87              | 15.28 | Scd         | 0.027 | 21.09                         | -19.90      |

* All the morphological types are from Dressler 1980b apart from these two, which have been taken from Caldwell & Rose 1997.

* These Hz luminosities were derived indirectly from EWs (Georgakakis et al. 1999).

* These are Cousins R\(_{25}\) magnitudes measured by Lauberts & Valentijn 1989.
Fig. 1.—Radio contours overlaid on the AAT CCD image for each of the 15 radio-detected cluster galaxies. An arrow indicates the radio source in each frame. The object on the right in the second row is the cD galaxy. The leftmost image on the bottom row (PDF J011119.1 − 455554) is a galaxy that lies outside the region of the CCD survey and the optical image has been taken from the DSS.
Fig. 1.—Continued
PDF J010937.8—455350.—The radio contours in this S0 galaxy are displaced from the optical nucleus, perhaps indicating a radio lobe. No emission lines are seen in this galaxy’s spectrum, consistent with the radio source being excited by an AGN.

PDF J010946.5—454657.—This galaxy has an S0 morphology, with radio contours centered on the optical nucleus. The spectrum shows emission lines that unambiguously identify it as a Seyfert 2 or LINER in spectral diagnostic diagrams. The absence of strong [O] emission and the presence of strong [OIII] emission suggest a Seyfert 2 interpretation (Heckman 1980). The galaxy was also detected by Caldwell & Rose (1997) with an emission-line spectrum (their Figure 10a, spectrum 43b).
If a supernova origin for the radio emission is correct, it is likely due to the extreme Type II radio supernova RSN 1986J luminosity about an order of magnitude greater than that against a large astrometric error. The radio emission is of a southwest orientation. The spectrum shows no emission features, and has absorption lines characteristic of an evolved stellar population.

This S0 galaxy has extended radio contours centered on the optical nucleus. The outer parts of the optical object have discernible structure, perhaps due to dust. A nearby, brighter radio source is associated with a faint (R > 22.5) optical object. This is most likely a background source, but could possibly be a dwarf companion showing interaction-induced star formation. The spectrum shows no identifiable emission features, and evolved stellar population absorption features are clearly detected.

This S0 galaxy has radio contours displaced significantly from the optical nucleus. The radio source could be (1) a coincidentally located background source; (2) nuclear emission displaced as a result of astrometric errors; (3) strong, localized disk star formation; (4) a radio lobe; or (5) a supernova associated with the galaxy. Case (1) is unlikely, as there is only a 0.2% chance of an accidental coincidence between optical and radio sources of this magnitude. The close agreement between the positions of the weak radio source to the southwest and its faint optical counterpart argues against a large astrometric error. The radio emission is of a luminosity about an order of magnitude greater than that due to the extreme Type II radio supernova RSN 1986J (Weiler, Panagia, & Sramek 1990; Smith et al. 1998). Hence, if a supernova origin for the radio emission is correct, it is unlikely to be due to a single object. Perhaps several radio supernovae are present in a localized burst of star formation. While planned optical spectroscopy will detect any star formation activity, a single displaced radio lobe remains another possible interpretation.

Also cataloged as ESO 243-G 049, this S0 galaxy has radio contours centered on the optical nucleus. The spectrum shows evolved stellar population absorption features. An AGN origin for the radio emission is likely.

Dressler’s classification is Sb (p). This face-on flocculent spiral shows knots of optical emission toward the nucleus. The radio contours are extended but centered on the optical nucleus. The spectrum has emission lines that indicate the galaxy has strong H\textsc{ii} regions. The \textit{IRAS} 60 \textmu m flux density is 0.455 Jy, giving S_{60 \textmu m}/S_{1.4} GHz = 96.0, consistent with the well-established radio/FIR correlation for spiral galaxies. Star formation is the likely cause of the radio emission in this galaxy. The calibration of radio luminosity to SFR given in Cram et al. (1998) implies this galaxy has SFR_{1.4} = 1.3 M_\odot yr^{-1}. This is significantly higher than the SFR derived from the H\textsc{z} luminosity, (again using the relations given by Cram et al. (1998), SFR_{H\textsc{z}} = 0.08 M_\odot yr^{-1}.

This S0 galaxy has six apparent dwarf companions in the optical image. The radio contours are displaced from the optical nucleus, and two apparent companions show radio emission. The spectrum shows an evolved stellar population and no hint of emission. This suggests that the offset radio source could be the radio lobe of an AGN. This group of radio and optical sources is quite unusual and invites further study.

This galaxy is present but unclassified in Dressler’s catalog. The optical isophotes hint at a three-armed spiral or the presence of a second nucleus. The radio contours, centered on the peak of the optical emission, show a slight extension in the direction of the optical asymmetry. The spectrum shows emission features that clearly define the galaxy as an H\textsc{ii}-region type in spectral diagnostic diagrams. The radio emission is probably due to star formation. If so, this is the only galaxy in the cluster whose unusual optical morphology gives possible evidence of merger-induced star formation. The implied SFRs from 1.4 GHz and H\textsc{z} luminosities are 0.08 and 0.28
M_☉ yr⁻¹ respectively. Note that the Hα luminosity in this case has been derived from the equivalent width of the emission line.

PDF J011119.1–455554.—This galaxy is ESO 243–G 051. Dressler’s classification is Sb. The radio contours are centered close to the optical nucleus and show extension tracing the optical emission. The spectrum shows absorption features with weak Hα and [NII] emission, with [NII] stronger and indicative of an AGN. However, the 60 μm flux density is 0.598 Jy, giving S_{60 μm}/S_{1.4 GHz} = 90.0, consistent with the well-established radio/FIR correlation for star-forming galaxies. Both AGN and star formation processes may be present. The radio and Hα luminosities can be used in this case to provide an upper limit to the SFR, giving SFR_{Hα} < 3.5 M_☉ yr⁻¹ and SFR_{Hα} < 0.009 M_☉ yr⁻¹. Again, the SFR from Hα is much lower than the radio estimate.

PDF J011153.5–455847.—This galaxy has early-type optical morphology. The radio source is displaced from the optical nucleus. The spectrum shows emission features and the galaxy’s location in spectral diagnostic diagrams is ambiguous. The radio emission may come from both star formation and nuclear activity. The large nearby edge-on spiral galaxy is unrelated, being at a redshift of 0.089, but there is a faint apparent optical companion lying close to the direction of displacement of the radio emission.

PDF J011219.3–455322.—Cataloged as ESO 244–G 001, this galaxy has an Scd morphology, and shows knotty or dusty features. The radio emission is asymmetric with respect to the optical nucleus, and extended southward where no optical emission is present. The apparent optical companions show no radio emission, and a low-level radio companion is also present with no optical counterpart. The spectrum shows emission features, and has an ambiguous classification between diagnostic diagrams. This galaxy may be hosting both star formation and AGN activity. The implied upper limits to the SFRs derived from radio and Hα luminosities are 0.3 and 0.06 M_☉ yr⁻¹, respectively, again with SFR_{Hα} much lower than SFR_{Hα}.

4. DISCUSSION

Of the 70 spectroscopically confirmed members of A2877 overlapping the PDS, 64% have early-type (E/S0) morphology. This is consistent with the 63% quoted by Caldwell & Rose (1997), who give the proportions for the total of both Dressler clusters DC 0107–46 and DC 0103–47. Of the 15 galaxies with radio detections, three have Sb or Scd morphology. A fourth, unclassified galaxy is likely to be a spiral or peculiar spiral. The remainder are S0 galaxies apart from the cD, one E/S0 and one unclassified but early-type galaxy. There is no obvious tendency for radio detections to favor a particular Hubble type in this cluster.

Our survey is unusually deep for a radio survey of an Abell cluster, and it detects a relatively large fraction (∼20%) of the optical cluster members. However, our results are consistent with the known properties of early-type galaxies in the field. Sadler, Jenkins, & Kotayani (1989) studied 5 GHz emission from nearby optically selected early type (E/S0) galaxies. They found that 20%–30% of their sample (with optical luminosities similar to those probed here) show radio emission with luminosities similar to those of the sources in the present sample.

Sadler et al. (1989) (hereafter SJK) find that the probability distribution of radio luminosity is roughly uniform across the range of luminosities found in our study (S_{1.4} ≈ 10^{20} – 10^{22} W Hz⁻¹), with some tendency for a smaller fraction at higher luminosities. This is also consistent with our study, as is demonstrated in Figure 3 comparing the fractional bivariate luminosity function (FBLF) of SJK with that of the present sample. The FBLF estimates the distribution of radio luminosities (L_{1.4}) for galaxies in a given optical magnitude (M_B) range. The construction of the FBLF for the cluster sample used 31 cluster galaxies that fall in the luminosity ranges of Figure 3 (with radio detections or upper limits from the PDS and B_C magnitudes from the COSMOS database) (Drinkwater, Barnes, & Ellison 1995; Yentis et al. 1992). To improve the statistics of this calculation, the galaxies with 1.4 GHz upper limits were distributed uniformly throughout the bins they could possibly occupy, rather than using a more sophisticated maximum-likelihood method (e.g., Avni et al. 1980). This simple approach still gives consistent results, however, with the method described by Avni et al. (1980), where there are sufficient cluster detections for its use.

There is good agreement between the samples in the two brighter magnitude bins where the range of radio luminosities overlaps, and the apparent excess for the cluster sample in the fainter bin is still consistent with the data of SJK. Although no morphological distinction was made when compiling the cluster sample for this analysis, differences resulting from the inclusion of late-type cluster galaxies are small given that the cluster is dominated by early-type galaxies. Within the statistical uncertainty of our small sample, our cluster results are consistent with the results of SJK.

The different distribution of Hubble-type between cluster and field environments has long been known (e.g., Dressler 1980a) and this does influence the statistics of our cluster sample compared with the field. According to Condon (1989), for example, the space density of star-forming field galaxies with L_{1.4} ≈ 10^{20} – 10^{21} W Hz⁻¹ is at least an order of magnitude larger than that of early-type (AGN) galaxies. However, the galaxy population in A2877, like other nearby clusters, is dominated by early types. The absence of a statistically significant difference between our cluster sample and our field results may be due to the small size of the cluster sample.

Fig. 3.—FBLF from Sadler et al. (1989), reproduced from their Fig. 5 after converting to H_0 = 75 km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹ and 1.4 GHz (solid symbols). The open symbols show the FBLF calculated for A2877. Error bars have been omitted for clarity, but are of the order of 0.5 dex for the A2877 points.
and the SJK early-type galaxy sample suggests that at least in A2877 the power of the radio sources are not influenced by the cluster.

The few late-type galaxies positively classified in A2877 and detected in the radio appear to have properties (such as FIR emission) analogous to comparable field galaxies. For these objects it has been possible to estimate star formation rates from the 1.4 GHz and Hz luminosities. The estimates of Hz luminosity derived from EW measurements predict SFRs higher than the radio, and those from flux calibrated spectra systematically lower. This may be the result of two effects. First, the EW measurements yield an Hz luminosity based on the R-band luminosity for the whole galaxy, which may well overestimate the true value in low SFR galaxies (see also Cram et al. 1998, their Figure 1). Second, the flux calibrated spectra are sampling only a small portion of these galaxies, and not necessarily the region containing current star formation. The presence of any extinction would serve to lower these luminosities even further. Hence it is unsurprising that the SFRs implied from these luminosities are significantly lower than that deduced from the radio (and the FIR). These results are not inconsistent with the large scatter revealed by Cram et al. (1998), although the systematic underestimates are uncharacteristic of the trend they observe at low star formation rates.

5. CONCLUSION

We have detected 1.4 GHz emission from 15 galaxies confirmed as members of the cluster A2877. For two of these, the radio emission is likely to be due to star formation processes, with star formation rates of 1.3 and 0.08 $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. One source (PDF J010946.5−454657) is probably a Seyfert 2, and in a further five galaxies both AGN and star formation processes may be contributing to the detected radio emission. Of the remaining seven galaxies, six show only absorption features in their spectra, typical of evolved stellar populations, and one has no spectrum available.

Our deep survey has allowed us to probe radio luminosities at the distance of the cluster to the same depth as that reached by Sadler et al. (1989) in the field, albeit with far fewer numbers in our sample. The fraction and luminosity distribution of radio sources in the early-type galaxies in A2877 is indistinguishable from the properties of field galaxies of the same type. We do, however, find two examples in which the presence of companions might play a role in the radio emission. Whether this is a special characteristic of the cluster environment, however, we cannot say.

None of the radio-detected galaxies in the cluster show evidence for strong morphological distortion. Interactions between galaxies and detectable dwarf companions do not appear to be necessary to excite radio emission, although in specific cases such interactions may still occur, with PDF J011047.2−454701 being one potential example.

This research has made use of the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED), which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. The Digitized Sky Survey was produced at the Space Telescope Science Institute under US Government grant NAG W-2166. A. M. H. and L. E. C. acknowledge financial support from the Australian Research Council and the Science Foundation for Physics within the University of Sydney. J. M. A. gratefully acknowledges support in the form of a scholarship from Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia through Programa Praxis XXI. The Australia Telescope is funded by the Commonwealth of Australia for operation as a National Facility managed by CSIRO.

APPENDIX A

ABELL 2877

A2877 was cataloged by Dressler (1980b) as DC 0107−46. It is the richer half of a pair of clusters, DC 0107−46 and DC 0103−47 (see also Caldwell & Rose 1997). Other names for the cluster include AM 0107−461, SCL 018 NED 05, APM 010740.1−461028 (Daltone et al. 1994), and EXSS 0107.6−4610. A2877 is Abell−type R (regular). It has a prominent central cD galaxy and thus is Bautz-Morgan type I (Bautz & Morgan 1970). The cluster lies at a redshift of $z = 0.0241$ (distance class 2), and is “poor”, having a richness class $R = 0$ (Abell et al. 1989). A2877 shows evidence of substructure (Malumuth et al. 1992; Girardi et al. 1997), as do about a third of galaxy clusters (Girardi et al. 1997). The cluster is an X-ray source with a temperature of (David et al. 1993); it shows no evidence for a cooling flow (e.g., White, Jones, & Forman 1997). Girardi et al. (1998) estimate a virial radius of $R_{\text{vir}} \approx 1.8 h^{-1}$ Mpc, a velocity dispersion of $\sigma \approx 900$ km s$^{-1}$, and a corrected virial mass of $M_{\text{CV}} \approx 5 \times 10^{14}$ $h^{-1} M_\odot$.
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