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An investigation into solid waste problem in the Egyptian construction industry: A mini-review

Ahmed Osama Daoud¹², Ayman Ahmed Ezzat Othman¹, Herbert Robinson² and Ali Bayyati²

Abstract
Solid waste management (SWM) is one of the most critical global challenges nowadays. It has a severe negative effect on the triple bottom line of sustainability. Construction and demolition waste (CDW) contributes about 50% of the total global annual generated SW. In the particular case of the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region including Egypt, the SW problem has become a major challenge, and the need to find sustainable solutions is overwhelming. However, the region faces several challenges that hinder the development of an effective and efficient SWM system. This has resulted in the predominance of unsustainable SWM practices such as indiscriminate disposals. The aim of this paper is to investigate the escalating problem of SW in the MENA region, while focusing on CDW in Egypt as a part of the total generated SW, by reviewing the most recent research papers, and technical and governmental reports on the SW problem. The main challenges towards effective and efficient SWM systems and recommendations for improvement are gathered in this study based on the explored literature. Findings from this study are expected to be beneficial to local and central governments, academics, construction industry practitioners, and policymakers contending with the problems of SW in the MENA region and especially CDW in Egypt.
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Introduction
The construction industry is one of the most significant industries which contribute to the social and economic development of countries. It provides the community with high living standards through socio-economic projects and infrastructure facilities such as roads, hospitals, and schools. Unfortunately, construction and demolition waste (CDW) is a growing challenge which the whole globe faces (Hussin et al., 2013). CDW has been defined by the construction literature in various ways and there is no absolute definition for it. For instance, Tchobanoglous et al. (1977, cited in Elgizawy et al., 2016: 2) defined CDW as ‘wastes from razed buildings and other structures are classified as demolition wastes. Wastes from the construction, remodelling, and repairing of individual residences, commercial buildings, and other structures are classified as construction wastes’. Also, Koskela (1992) defined CDW as any inefficiency that leads to the use of materials in larger quantities than those needed for the production of a building.

Alternatively, the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) (1995) defined CDW as ‘waste that is generated from the construction, renovation, repair, and demolition of structures such as residential and commercial buildings, roads, and bridges’. On the other hand, Roche and Hegarty (2006) defined CDW as ‘surplus and damaged products and materials arising in the course of construction work or used temporarily during the process of onsite activities’. Lu and Yuan (2011) claimed that the term CDW has been mentioned in the literature as an integral term representing materials waste generated due to construction activities without being restricted to a specific stage of construction or demolition. It is quite obvious that each study has its own perspective towards the definition of CDW based on the addressed research question and objectives (Lu and Yuan, 2011).

According to the latest report published by the World Bank in 2012, it is expected that the amount of the solid waste (SW) generated worldwide will increase from 1.3 billion tonnes to 2.2 billion tonnes by 2025 (Hoornweg and Bhada-Tata, 2012). CDW constitutes about half of the annual generated SW worldwide (Redling, 2018; Yılmaz and Bakış, 2015). A report published by Transparency Market Research in 2017 claims that there will be...
a tremendous increase in the volume of the CDW generated over the coming years (Redling, 2018). Unfortunately, dumping of CDW is a common global trend which negatively affects society and environment (Slowey, 2018).

In 2015, a pile of CDW led to a landslide in Shenzhen, China that killed more than 70 individuals and led to displacement of 900 individuals. This slide also led to destruction of many buildings including houses and factories. Some analysts blamed the Chinese Government for their reluctance to enforce laws and regulations regarding CDW disposal. In Minnesota, USA, CDW is negatively affecting the ground water. Due to the fact that disposed CDW is not regulated by SW regulations in Minnesota, the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) is working hard to introduce tougher standards to landfills that lack barriers between deposited materials and ground water (Slowey, 2018).

The main barriers that exist towards the proper management of CDW are absence of standardisation and efficient practices, lack of effective policies and education, deficiencies in awareness, low profit margins, and lack of technical and financial resources (Redling, 2018; Slowey, 2018). In the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region, including Egypt, CDW dumping is the dominant practice which has led to the escalation of the SW problem resulting in serious negative impacts on society, environment, and economy, which are the triple bottom line (TBL) of sustainability (Abdelhamid, 2014; Aden, 2017; El-Sherbiny et al., 2011; Nassour et al., 2016; United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), 2009; Zafar, 2016). Accordingly, proper actions and strict measures need to be taken to alleviate CDW problem in the MENA region.

Research methodology

This paper investigates the recent challenges of SW problem in the MENA region and specifically in the Egyptian construction industry. This is achieved by surveying different literature about the SW problem on the regional (i.e., MENA region) and local (i.e., Egyptian context) levels as shown in Figure 1. The surveyed literature includes research papers, and technical and governmental reports. The paper starts by discussing the current status of the SW problem in the MENA region and the main causes behind it. Then, it investigates the current status of the SW problem in the Egyptian context and the reasons behind it. After that, it focuses on the current status of CDW in the Egyptian construction industry and the main causes behind it. Moreover, it sheds the light on the emerging issues and the current recommendations to solve the SW problem in the MENA region and minimise CDW in the Egyptian construction industry. Finally, conclusion and recommendations for future research are stated.

The solid waste problem in the MENA region

The current situation in the MENA region

The MENA region contains about 6% of the total world’s population. The total population of the region has boomed from around 100 million in 1950 to around 380 million in 2000. The total area of the MENA region is about 11.1 million km². Most of the countries in the MENA region can be classified as developing countries except Saudi Arabia, the United Arab Emirates, Qatar, and Kuwait which are classified as developed countries (Nassour et al., 2016). There is a huge gap between developing countries and developed countries in terms of disposal and management of SW materials (Zyoud et al., 2015). The MENA region is noted for significant SW generation worldwide with a per capita municipal waste (MW) production more than 2 kg per day on average in most of its countries (Zafar, 2016).

By 2020, SW is expected to exceed 200 million tonnes annually due to population and economic growth, accelerated rate of urbanisation, fast pace of industrialisation, changing consumption patterns, and lack of public awareness (El-Sherbiny et al., 2011; Zyoud et al., 2015). MW is not the only or even the main reason for the SW problem in the MENA region, but CDW is the most influential and main component of SW in the MENA region. For instance, due to the high boom of construction activities among the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC), 55% of total SW was estimated to be generated from CD operations (Aden, 2017).

MENA cities spend between 20 to 50% of their budgets on solid waste management (SWM). Unfortunately, there is no proper management of SW. Despite the fact that 80% of the generated SW is decomposable; however, less than 5% is recycled and less than 20% is properly treated. The cost of SW problem in the MENA region in terms of damage was equivalent to about 0.3% to the total GDP in 2006 (Arif and Abaza, 2012; El-Sherbiny et al., 2011). The MENA’s growing SW problem has started to urge officials and environmentalists to propose different solutions such as pay-as-you-throw policy (Aden, 2017). Most of the governments in the MENA region have recognised the SW problem and they want to apply adequate solutions (Nassour et al., 2016).

The increasing environmental awareness nowadays in the region means that the environmental protection is on the political agenda. However, the sector of SWM in the MENA region is unorganised and inefficient, and the different WM strategies are still in their initial phases (El-Sherbiny et al., 2011). Despite the expected increase in SW generation in the MENA region by 2020, research carried out on managing SW problem in the MENA region remains insufficient. A study carried out by Zyoud et al. (2015) indicated that a total of 382 research documents were published by authors in the MENA region in the SWM sector from 1982 up to 2012 and it was noted that the number of publications increased rapidly in the last 10 years of this period. The highest number of publications focused on Egypt, followed by Tunisia and Jordan, and the most productive institution in terms of publications over the MENA region was the American University of Beirut (AUB) in Lebanon. It was recognised that Egypt is leading the Arab countries in the SWM research.

Main causes of the solid waste problem in the MENA region

Different researchers have explored the main reasons for the SW problem in the MENA region. These reasons are divided into
eight main clusters as a result of summarising and categorising the surveyed literature. The main reasons are tabulated and explained, where it needs further explanation, in Table 1.

The solid waste problem in Egypt

The current situation in Egypt

As many countries in the MENA region, Egypt is facing a major challenge regarding the SW problem. The SW became a serious threat to Egypt which has to be handled properly and with effective solutions (El-Gamal, 2012). According to the latest report published by the Egyptian Ministry of Environment (EMoE), Egypt generates annually about 90 million tonnes of total SW as shown in Table 2, in which 55 thousand tonnes of waste are generated on a daily basis as MW (EMoE, 2017). About 21 million tonnes are generated as MW and about 5.8 million tonnes are generated as CDW (EMoE, 2017). CDW is ranked the fourth among eight main reasons of SW generation in Egypt.

In Egypt, the SWM system is weak and inefficient where 81% of generated SW are dumped on streets of residential areas and at illegal dumping sites without any treatment as indicated in Figure 2 (EMoE, 2017). In 2016, it was estimated that there were 18 million m$^3$ of dumped SW inside the different Egyptian governorates, in which most of it was CDW mixed with MW (EMoE, 2017). Cairo governorate possessed the highest amount of these dumped SW with an estimated quantity equal to 5 million m$^3$ out of the total 18 million m$^3$ of SW as indicated in Table 3 (EMoE, 2017).

The waste recycling industry in Egypt has financial and technical deficiencies and it is not included in a legal framework. Moreover, most landfills, in which the SW is dumped in, are open
and exposed as shown in Figure 3. Unfortunately, the common practice of dealing with the dumped SW is open burning instead of dealing adequately with the SW by recycling or sealing them within the landfills. Additionally, Egypt lacks the necessary equipment for covering SW (Azmy and El Gohary, 2017; El-Gamal, 2012; Japanese Ministry of Environment (JMoE), 2004; Zaki and Khial, 2014).

Main causes of solid waste problem in Egypt

At the local context of Egypt, the factors contributing to the inadequacy of SWM are similar to the aforementioned ones in the MENA region. Besides, additional factors were identified by El-Gamal (2012) and National Solid Waste Management Programme (NSWMP) (2014) as follows: (a) conflicts in institutional structure, undefined roles, and deficiency in capacities; (b) lack of monitoring and evaluation mechanisms; and (c) inadequate social inclusion in centralised planning. It is also increasingly recognised that CDW contributes significantly to the general problems of SWM.

One of the most critical problems existing in Egypt is that there are no specific laws and legislation for SWM. Legislation can be found as provisions within other laws. The legal framework of SWM in Egypt is dispersed into different pieces of legislation. These few pieces of legislation try to manage the process

| Main reason | Further explanation | References |
|-------------|---------------------|------------|
| Lack of strict measures and actions in the solid waste management (SWM) sector. | SWM is faced by shortage in waste management legislation and poor planning. There is a lack in legislative frameworks, policies, strategies, and enforced laws and regulations which may help in mitigating and dealing sustainably with the SW problem in the MENA countries. | Arif and Abaza, 2012; El-Sherbiny et al., 2011; Nassour et al., 2016; UNEP, 2009; Zafar, 2016 |
| Limited public awareness about the environmental issues, SW practices and SW reduction, and sustainable living. | The public awareness is critically needed to help in facing the challenge of the growing SW problem by changing habits and taking personal responsibility of the environmental protection. | Aden, 2017; El-Sherbiny et al., 2011; UNEP, 2009; Zafar, 2016 |
| Dumping of SW in open and uncontrolled spaces, deserts, and water. | Dumping is the common action of dealing with SW throughout the MENA region leading to hazardous environmental pollution. SW are often burnt in the open-air wherever the dumpsites exists leading to air pollution. | El-Sherbiny et al., 2011; Nassour et al., 2016; UNEP, 2009; Zafar, 2016 |
| Lack of proper means of SW collection, transport systems, and balanced and adequate coverage of SWM. | The MENA region lacks SWM plans which focus on the main 4Rs of waste minimisation strategies (i.e., reduce, reuse, recycle, and recover). There is no focus on the prevention/reduction approach. Also, the reuse, recycle, and recover approaches are still at their infancy stages in most of the MENA countries. | Aden, 2017; Arif and Abaza, 2012; El-Sherbiny et al., 2011; Nassour et al., 2016; UNEP, 2009; Zafar, 2016 |
| Lack of integrated sustainable SWM plans. | These data are needed to help in developing proper policies and efficient SWM plans nationally and regionally. Research is vital to obtain reliable data and several reasons are cited for the low number of research publications as follows: (a) lack of funding and freedom; (b) lack of industry-academia and government-academia partnerships; (c) general weakness in scientific writing; and (d) lack of research promotion in the field of SWM. | El-Sherbiny et al., 2011; Nassour et al., 2016; UNEP, 2009 |
| Scarcity in reliable data of hazardous solid waste (HSW) and SW producing activities in the region. | | |
| Instability of political conditions in the MENA region. | The unstable political conditions hinder the development and improvement of SWM structures. | Arif and Abaza, 2012; El-Sherbiny et al., 2011; Nassour et al., 2016; UNEP, 2009 |
| Others: insufficient allocated funds, lack of coordination among stakeholders, shortage of trained and qualified personnel, and shortage in technical and operational decision making. | | |

Table 1. Different reasons behind solid waste (SW) problem in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region.
of SW transfer, charge, and dumping. Unfortunately, these legislation are not strictly enforced and led to a dominant practice of dumping SW in public areas and on streets (Azmy and El Gohary, 2017; El-Gamal, 2012; Elsaid and Aghezzaf, 2015; EMoE, 2017; Ibrahim and Mohamed, 2016; Zaki and Khial, 2014).

A SWM system can be explained as the management of all practices, legislation, procedures, processes, responsibilities, and resources for building a system that deals with SW efficiently and follow environmental regulations (Elsaid and Aghezzaf, 2015; Ibrahim and Mohamed, 2016; Zaki and Khial, 2014).

A SWM system is considered sustainable if it is economically affordable, environmentally effective, and socially acceptable (Elsaid and Aghezzaf, 2015). Unfortunately, Egypt lacks a sustainable SWM system to tackle the increasing SW problem.

### Construction and demolition waste problem in Egypt

**The current situation in Egypt**

The construction industry is noted for waste generation and polluting effect on the environment (Azis et al., 2012). In Egypt, waste in construction materials represents a severe problem to the construction industry (Garas et al., 2001). CDW is dumped on roads and in facilities which lack effective management as shown in Figure 4. Most of the dumping sites are unsafe and marked by non-existence of effective precautions to prevent the self-ignition of waste, which leads to more environmental pollution (Abdelhamid, 2014; Azmy and El Gohary, 2017).

The biodegradation of CDW in landfills results in serious health and environmental problems (Azmy and El Gohary, 2017). In addition, CDW negatively impacts the efficiency, effectiveness, value, and profitability of construction companies. CDW has a negative impact on countries’ economies (Memon et al., 2015).

---

**Table 2.** Classification of solid waste (SW) in Egypt.

| Type of solid waste                                      | Annual generated quantities (million tonnes) |
|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| Municipal waste                                          | 21                                           |
| Agricultural waste                                       | 31                                           |
| Construction and demolition waste                        | 5.8                                          |
| Industrial waste                                         | 4.9                                          |
| Hazardous waste                                          | 0.54                                         |
| Health-care waste                                       | 0.52                                         |
| Sludge and slurry waste                                  | 2                                            |
| Waste resulting from canals’ and drainages’ purification | 25                                           |
| **Total**                                                | **90.76**                                    |

Source: EMoE, 2017.

**Table 3.** Dispersion of dumped solid waste (SW) among Egyptian governorates.

| Governorate   | Amount of dumped SW (million m³) |
|---------------|----------------------------------|
| Cairo         | 5.0                              |
| Alexandria    | 0.15                             |
| Giza          | 3.0                              |
| El-Galyubia   | 0.50                             |
| Dakahlia      | 1.80                             |
| Gharbia       | 0.30                             |
| Menofia       | 1.20                             |
| El-Beheira    | 0.60                             |
| Kafr El-Sheikh| 0.20                             |
| El-Sharkia    | 0.30                             |
| Damietta      | 0.40                             |
| Ismailia      | 0.35                             |
| Port Said     | 0.20                             |
| Suez          | 0.50                             |
| El-Fayoum     | 0.30                             |
| Beni Suef     | 0.15                             |
| El-Minya      | 0.90                             |
| Assiut        | 0.25                             |
| Sohag         | 0.28                             |
| Qena          | 0.20                             |
| Aswan         | 0.20                             |
| Luxor         | 0.10                             |
| Red Sea       | 0.80                             |
| Matrouh       | 0.15                             |
| North Sinai   | 0.10                             |
| South Sinai   | 0.10                             |
| **Total**     | **18**                           |

Source: EMoE, 2017.
Caldas et al. (2014) claimed that construction materials and equipment constitute between 50 and 60% of total project cost and affect 80% of its schedule. Although the Egyptian Environmental Law regulates CDW disposal, these regulations lack clauses which foster CDW reduction (Azmy and El Gohary, 2017).

According to a recent study carried out by Hany and Dulaimi (2014) regarding the composition of CDW in Greater Cairo, it was found that timber is the highest wasted construction material as listed in Table 4 and represented in Figure 5. This is because it is used in framework and shuttering for concrete. Moreover, labours do not have the high skills needed for using new tools to minimise timber waste and there is a lack of using prefabricated elements which can reduce timber waste greatly (Hany and Dulaimi, 2014).

It is worth mentioning that the statistics of SW generation and disposal in Egypt can be inaccurate due to lack of weighing facilities at disposal sites and the absence of SW sampling and analysis techniques (Ibrahim and Mohamed, 2016; Zaki and Khial, 2014).

### Main causes of construction and demolition waste problem in Egypt

In addition to the aforementioned causes of SW problem in the MENA region and Egypt, the behaviour of the Egyptian construction industry towards CDW has not improved for a long time, resulting in a rapid and continuous increase of CDW generation (Azmy and El Gohary, 2017; Hany and Dulaimi, 2014). Based on the SW statistics in 2016, it is worth mentioning that CDW represented about 6.4% of the total generated SW in Egypt (EMoE, 2017). SW statistics in 2012 showed that CDW represented about 4.5% of the total generated SW in Egypt (Zaki and Khial, 2014). This proves that the boom of construction is associated with more waste generation. Nowadays, the Egyptian government is executing a lot of megaprojects following the political agenda of Egypt vision 2030 such as National Project for the Development of Sinai, National Projects for Roads, National Project for the Development of Upper Egypt, Establishment of New Cities, and The Golden Triangle Project (Invest-gate, 2016). It has been claimed that the increasing demand for executing megaprojects will necessarily require the use of more materials and resources which consequently will lead to generation of more CDW (Ahmad et al., 2014; Azis et al., 2012; Foo et al., 2013; Nagapan et al., 2012).

Moreover, there are few legislations which manage CDW in Egypt (Zaki and Khial, 2014). Article 39 of the Environment Law 4/1994 and Article 41 of the executive regulations (Prime Minister Decree Number 338/1995) regulate CDWM. They require that all personnel engaged in exploration, excavation, and CD activities should take necessary precautions to store, transport, and dispose the waste generated by these activities in a safe manner. These articles include the specifications and allow local authorities to include these specifications in the permits issued for the exploration, excavation, and CD activities. Moreover, Laws 106/1976 and 101/1996 authorise local governments to involve CDWM in the permits needed for construction activities. Also, these laws authorise local governments to gather fees from contractors and owners to provide or pay for CDW collection and/or disposal. However, contractors usually find it cheaper to transfer CDW to a nearby illegal site and neglect paid-for disposal services at an approved legal site.

In addition to the scarcity in legislation of CDWM, these few legislation are ineffective due to several reasons as follows: (a) the existence of construction operations without a permit; (b) lack of regulations’ enforcement in Egypt; (c) CDW collection and disposal is carried out by limited number of local governments; and (d) the 1% building permit fee is dedicated to other services rather than CDWM (Zaki and Khial, 2014). The lack of...
enforced environmental legislation and laws negatively affects the SWM system as people are not liable to comply with weak regulations. Moreover, the responsibility of SWM in Egypt is scattered among a number of authorities within the central government which leads to conflicts in roles and responsibilities (Azmy and El Gohary, 2017; El-Gamal, 2012; Elsaid and Aghezzaf, 2015; EMoE, 2017; Zaki and Khial, 2014).

Emerging issues in MENA region and the Egyptian construction industry

Towards effective solid waste management systems in the MENA region

After critically reviewing the literature, there are some emerging issues at the global and regional (i.e., MENA) levels regarding the SW problem which is becoming more severe and challenging. According to the study carried out by Transparency Market Research in 2017, global attention has to focus on the 3R policies of reduce, reuse, and recycle in order to reduce the amount of compiled CDW (Slowy, 2018). Different policies have been formulated to promote the application of the 3R policies of dealing with CDW. There are also various certifications, such as LEED and BREEAM, in place in different countries to encourage the proper CDWM.

According to lessons learnt from developed countries, there are two main approaches in tackling the problem of SW as follows: (a) by investing allocated funds to use advanced technologies in order to maximise SW diversion from landfills while gradually applying the 3R policies; or (b) by starting with the 3R policies to gradually reroute the SW away from landfills and avoid the dumping of SW. The second approach is the most convenient approach for the developing countries, such as MENA countries, due to limited available capital (El-Sherbiny et al., 2011). The most convenient way of dealing with SW, for the environmental and economic benefits, is to minimise generating it at its source. This is the reason that waste reduction is at the top of the well-known waste management hierarchy and the main goal of waste reduction is to disconnect the economic growth from the negative environmental impacts caused by generated waste, often referred to as ‘decoupling’ (Aden, 2017).

Several researchers suggested various approaches in structuring SWM sectors in order to solve the SW problem in the MENA region. These approaches are divided into eight main clusters as a result of summarising and categorising the surveyed literature. The main approaches are tabulated and explained, where it needs further explanation, in Table 5.

Efficient measures for reducing construction and demolition waste in the Egyptian construction industry

In order to reduce the negative impacts of CDW on the TBL of sustainability, the usage of materials should be rationalised through guidelines and standards indicating how to procure and use materials in a sustainable manner (Abdelhamid, 2014; Hany and Dulaimi, 2014). A number of visions, strategies, technological methods, and action plans have been developed over the years to reduce CDW problem during design and construction phases of a project. They included for instance: Industrialisation, Computer Integrated Construction, Constructability, Partnership, Robotized and Automated Construction, Lean Construction (LC), Building Information Modelling (BIM), Value Engineering (VE), Green Building (GB) practices, and Sustainable Supply Chain Management (SSCM) (Hussin et al., 2013; Marhani et al., 2013; Othman et al., 2014). These different approaches may help in reducing the generation of CDW up to 70% (Hussin et al., 2013). In spite of the valuable contribution of these solutions, the performance of the Egyptian construction industry is considered inefficient and poor in adopting these solutions in CDWM (Abdelhamid, 2014).

Despite the fact that CDW occurs during the construction activities on site, it is believed that it occurs due to various actions and activities at design, materials procurement, and construction stages of a project. In spite of the literature is very rich on the design and construction strategies for minimising CDW as aforementioned, few efforts have been exerted to investigate materials procurement measures to reduce CDW generated on site (Ajayi et al., 2017a). Zeb et al. (2015) defined procurement as ‘purchasing of materials, equipment, labour and services needed for execution of a project. Procurement is organising the purchasing and scheduling delivery of materials to the suppliers’. Despite the fact that different studies (Ajayi et al., 2017b; Formoso et al., 2002) clearly stated that ineffective application of materials procurement process is a main cause of CDW generation, materials procurement measures for CDW reduction have neither been explored nor subjected to focused findings. As effective materials procurement process is efficient in reducing CDW as well as total cost of construction projects, it is important that research attention is also be focused on waste-efficient materials procurement process.

Daoud et al. (2018b) investigated the relationship between waste-efficient materials procurement and CDW minimisation. It was concluded that efficient materials procurement measures could help in minimising CDW and financial loss of projects. According to a study carried out in the UK by Fadiya et al. (2014), it is claimed that inefficient materials procurement, among other eight factors, contributes about 11.2% towards total generated CDW as shown in Figure 6. Also, Ajayi et al. (2017a) claimed that materials procurement is responsible for purchasing the wasted materials, and it was also claimed that materials procurement contributes up to 50% of the total project cost. Accordingly, Ajayi et al. (2017a) defined four clusters of materials procurement measures which could help in CDW minimisation as follows: (a) suppliers’ low waste commitment; (b) low waste purchase management; (c) effective materials delivery management; and (d) waste-efficient bill of quantity. Each cluster consists of a series of defined measures which should be applied to minimise CDW as summarised in Table 6.
| Main approach                                                                 | Further explanation                                                                                                                                                                                                 | References                                                                 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Adoption of waste management (WM) hierarchy.                                 | MENA governments should encourage the different business sectors to adopt the WM hierarchy with a special focus on waste reduction approach by offering incentives. MENA governments should increase the public awareness of their citizens by implementing green behaviours in their societies and let them know the consequences of inadequate WM. This can be achieved through different governmental strategies as follows: (a) promote public awareness campaigns; (b) implement a ‘Clean Week’ in which the public, service providers, and government officials participate in SWM activities; (c) foster a ‘Clean City’ competition with financial incentives to encourage municipalities to act; (d) establish educational content about SWM in schools’ curriculum; and (e) increase the awareness about SWM at the work place of large waste generators. | Aden, 2017; Arif and Abaza, 2012; El-Sherbiny et al., 2011; UNEP, 2009  |
| Boosting public awareness of citizens.                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Aden, 2017; El-Sherbiny et al., 2011; UNEP, 2009; Zafar, 2016            |
| Developing policies, enforceable laws, strategic plans, and legislative and institutional frameworks. | MENA governments should take this action to support the adoption of integrated sustainable SWM plans. This can be achieved by different governmental strategies such as follows: (a) consider the SWM sector in the country’s national development plan; (b) develop national guidelines for SWM; (c) construct a national SW task force to follow the progress of the SWM plans and guidelines; (d) identify the deficiencies in the current laws and regulations for SWM; and (e) develop specific laws for regulating construction and demolition waste management (CDWM). | Arif and Abaza, 2012; El-Sherbiny et al., 2011; Nassour et al., 2016; UNEP, 2009; Zafar, 2016 |
| Promoting the industrial sector to adopt sustainable production practices.   |                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | El-Sherbiny et al., 2011; Nassour et al., 2016; UNEP, 2009              |
| Establishing a reliable database for SW in MENA countries.                   | This is needed to document the current status of the SW problem in the MENA region in order to introduce appropriate solutions and strategies for solving it. This can be achieved through different government strategies as follows: (a) develop mechanisms for gathering information on SW quantities and compositions on the national level; (b) set up an operational and environmental monitoring programme in each SW facility; (c) unify the practices for gathering SW data among the SW facilities within the country and among the MENA region; and (e) establish a government-run SW website and upload SW data on it regularly. | El-Sherbiny et al., 2011; Nassour et al., 2016; UNEP, 2009              |
| Promoting the mutual cooperation in research and development (R&D) between MENA countries. | MENA governments need this step to help in exchanging knowledge and experience in the sector of SWM. This is needed to allocate adequate funds for efficient management of SW, and to consider user-pays, polluter-pays, and landfill taxing principles. A sustainable financial plan should be developed for SWM which has an allocated budget separate from the total budget to identify the total cost of SWM. | Arif and Abaza, 2012; El-Sherbiny et al., 2011; Nassour et al., 2016; UNEP, 2009 |
| Developing financial frameworks for SWM.                                     |                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | El-Sherbiny et al., 2011; Nassour et al., 2016; UNEP, 2009; Zafar, 2016 |
| Developing institutional capacity of municipalities on SWM by investing in people, institutions, and practices. | This can be achieved through different strategies to be adopted by MENA governments as follows: (a) implement training and educational programmes about SWM and governance including officials from central and regional governments; (b) arrange information exchange trips for SW officials in the MENA region to share their experiences and knowledge, improve policies, and learn about new green techniques and practices; (c) implement SWM educational and research programmes at universities; and (d) assign funds for capacity building in SWM. | Aden, 2017; Arif and Abaza, 2012; El-Sherbiny et al., 2011; Nassour et al., 2016; UNEP, 2009; Zafar, 2016 |
Another approach for rationalising and optimising materials procurement is applying GB practices through defined guidelines named green building rating systems (GBRSs) (Daoud et al., 2018a). One of the main goals of the GBRSs is to rationalise and optimise the usage of materials to reduce both CDW and total project cost by setting several measures for sustainable procurement of construction materials. It is worth mentioning that Egypt has its own GBRS, named Green Pyramid Rating System (GPRS), which indicates the guidelines and standards for GB practices including green and sustainable procurement of construction materials (Daoud et al., 2018a). A category named Materials and Resources (M&R) in the GPRS is responsible for indicating how to procure construction materials sustainably and in a green manner through several defined criteria as shown in Table 7 (Housing and Building National Research Center (HBRC), 2011; HBRC, 2017). However, Daoud et al. (2018a) argued that the GPRS still needs improvement and development especially its M&R category. Also, it is not widely applied in Egypt due to several barriers such as economic issues, attitude and market, and technology and training. Moreover, Ismaeel et al. (2018) stated that Egyptian GPRS certification is not included in Egypt’s national building law which makes the green approach of materials procurement unfamiliar to most of the practitioners in the Egyptian construction industry.

To foster the application of GPRS in the Egyptian construction industry, Daoud et al. (2018a) presented several recommendations as follows: (a) implementing GB principles extensively in construction education at universities across Egypt to boost their application and increase the awareness towards the negative impact of CDW on the TBL of sustainability; (b) setting a minimum score of GPRS certification as an obligation for issuing building’s permits like what has been done by several countries such as UK, Japan, and United Arab Emirates; (c) introducing incentives for construction companies which apply GB principles; and (d) implementing green construction technologies and green procurement methodologies by construction companies within its projects and encourage their clients and employees to follow and implement them.

### Conclusion and recommendations

The construction industry plays a significant role in the development of societies. It leads to great developments in the economic and social sectors of nations. However, the negative environmental impacts of CD activities globally are non-negligible. The worldwide countries are suffering from the environmental hazards caused by the CDW, which consequently affects the lives of citizens. Focusing on the SW problem in the MENA region, the current situation is very critical and it may get worse year after year given the fact that most of the MENA countries are developing countries. It is claimed that the MENA region is expected to

---

**Table 6. Different waste-efficient materials procurement measures for reducing construction and demolition waste (CDW).**

| Main cluster                        | Measures of waste-efficient materials procurement                                                                 |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Suppliers’ low waste commitment     | • Suppliers’ flexibility in supplying small quantities or modification to products in conformity                      |
|                                     | • Commitment to take back scheme (packaging, unused, reusable and recyclable materials)                              |
|                                     | • Supply of quality and durable products                                                                          |
|                                     | • Usage of minimal packaging (without affecting materials safety)                                                   |
| Low waste purchase management       | • Procurement of waste-efficient materials/technology (pre-assembled/cast/cut)                                     |
|                                     | • Purchase of secondary materials (recycled and reclaimed)                                                          |
|                                     | • Purchase of quality and suitable materials                                                                       |
|                                     | • Avoidance of variation orders                                                                                    |
|                                     | • Correct materials purchase                                                                                      |
| Effective materials delivery         | • Effective protection of materials (during transportation, loading, and unloading)                               |
| management                          | • Effective onsite access (for ease of delivery)                                                                   |
|                                     | • Efficient delivery schedule                                                                                     |
|                                     | • Usage of Just In Time (JIT) delivery system                                                                     |
| Waste-efficient bill of             | • Accurate materials take-off                                                                                      |
| quantity                            | • Prevention of over/under ordering                                                                                |
|                                     | • Reduced waste allowance                                                                                        |

Source: Ajayi et al., 2017a.
generate 200 million tonnes of SW annually by 2020 in which CDW constitutes the majority of its components.

The main reasons behind the SW problem in the MENA region were investigated based on the explored literature. Examples of these reasons are: (a) lack of strict legislation, policies, strategies, and enforced laws; (b) shortage in public awareness towards environmental issues, SWM practices and waste reduction, and sustainable living; (c) dominance of unsustainable practices by dumping SW; (d) lack of sustainable SWM policies (i.e., reduce, reuse, and recycle); and (e) lack of sufficient allocated funds, lack of coordination among stakeholders, shortage of trained and qualified personnel, and shortage in technical and operational decision making.

Based on the explored literature, different proposed solutions were investigated to solve the SW problem in the MENA region. Examples of these solutions are: (a) developing effective legislation, policies, strategies, and enforced laws; (b) increasing the public awareness of citizens about SWM and sustainability; (c) encouraging the industrial sector to apply sustainable production practices; (d) encouraging different business sectors to apply WM hierarchy by offering incentives; (e) allocating sufficient funds for SWM; and (f) developing institutional capacity on SWM at the municipal level by investing in people, institutions, and practices.

Considering the CDW problem in the Egyptian construction industry, the situation is critical given the continuous unprofessional way of dealing with CDW by dumping on streets, residential areas, and at illegal dumping sites. This illegal dumping of CDW has severe negative effects on the TBL of sustainability. Moreover, the Egyptian laws which manage CDW are considered poor, weak, and ineffective towards the reduction of CDW. According to a study carried out on CDW in Greater Cairo, it was found that timber is the most wasted construction material. The main reasons behind this are: (a) extensive use of timber in framework and shuttering for concrete; (b) lack of high skills needed for using new tools to reduce wastage in timber; and (c) lack of using prefabricated elements which can minimise wastage in timber.

The literature review revealed different methodologies and strategies which could help greatly in minimising CDW in the Egyptian construction industry. Examples of these methods are: Industrialisation, Computer Integrated Construction, Constructability, Partnership, Robotized and Automated Construction, BIM, and GB practices. These strategies mainly focus on minimising CDW during design and construction stages. But few researches focused on minimising waste during materials procurement stage, which is a critical interface between design and construction stages. It has been proven that proper materials procurement process could reduce both CDW and total project cost. The literature review revealed four different clusters of waste-efficient materials procurement measures which could help in minimising CDW as follows: (a) suppliers’ low waste commitment; (b) low waste purchase management; (c) effective materials delivery management; and (d) waste-efficient bill of quantity.

Additionally, GB practices is one of the main approaches to optimise and rationalise materials procurement in Egypt via applying the Egyptian GPRS. The criteria listed in M&R category of the GPRS is responsible for guiding the sustainable and green procurement of construction materials leading to a reduction in CDW on the Egyptian construction sites. These criteria focus on: (a) using renewable materials and materials manufactured using renewable energy; (b) using regionally procured materials and products; (c) reducing overall materials use; (d)
using alternative building prefabricated elements; and (e) using environment-friendly, sound and thermal insulation materials. However, the GPRS is faced by some limitations and barriers which hinder its proper application in the Egyptian construction industry. The application of the GPRS in the Egyptian construction industry can be fostered by: (a) including the GPRS certification with a minimum score in Egypt’s national building law; (b) providing financial incentives for applying GB practices; and (c) implementing the education of GB practices at universities.

This review, as a part of a current PhD research project, contributes to the existing body of knowledge by investigating the current status of SW and CDW problems in the MENA region and the Egyptian context respectively. Future research in this PhD project will contribute to addressing the key gaps identified in the literature review and make an original contribution to the existing body of knowledge to benefit construction industry practitioners, government policymakers, and academics. At the industry level, the research will provide a conceptual framework which can guide the practitioners and professional organisations in the Egyptian construction industry on adopting key measures for waste-efficient materials procurement practices to minimise CDW. Furthermore, it will assist the Government of Egypt by providing recommendations and policy guidance for the improvement of current practices, legislation, behaviour, culture, and awareness necessary to address CDW problem. At the academic level, the research will provide a better understanding of how waste-efficient materials procurement practices can contribute to reducing CDW. Moreover, it will encourage more research on waste-efficient materials procurement practices as a research area for CDWM rather than the predominant focus only on design and construction strategies.
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