OGLE-2013-BLG-0132Lb and OGLE-2013-BLG-1721Lb: Two Saturn-mass Planets Discovered around M-dwarfs
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Abstract

We present the discovery of two planetary systems consisting of a Saturn-mass planet orbiting an M-dwarf, which were detected in faint microlensing events OGLE-2013-BLG-0132 and OGLE-2013-BLG-1721. The planetary anomalies were covered with high cadence by Optical Gravitational Lensing Experiment (OGLE) and Microlensing Observations in Astrophysics (MOA) photometric surveys. The light curve modeling indicates that the planet-to-host mass ratios are ($5.15 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-4}$ and $(13.18 \pm 0.72) \times 10^{-4}$, respectively. Both events were too short and too faint to measure a reliable parallax signal and hence the lens mass. We therefore used a Bayesian analysis to estimate the masses of both planets: $0.29^{+0.16}_{-0.15} \, M_{\text{Jup}}$ (OGLE-2013-BLG-0132Lb) and $0.64^{+0.35}_{-0.31} \, M_{\text{Jup}}$ (OGLE-2013-BLG-1721Lb). Thanks to a high relative proper motion, OGLE-2013-BLG-0132 is a promising candidate for the high-resolution imaging follow-up. Both planets belong to an increasing sample of sub-Jupiter-mass planets orbiting M-dwarfs beyond the snow line.

Key words: gravitational lensing: micro – planets and satellites: detection

Supporting material: data behind figures

1. Introduction

Gravitational microlensing provides a unique tool for studying planet formation around late-type stars. The microlensing signal does not depend on the host brightness and the sensitivity of the method happens to peak near or beyond the snow line of the majority of planetary systems. This is a location in the proto-planetary disk where the water ice may condense and where gas giant planets are believed to be formed (Mizuno 1980; Pollack et al. 1996). According to predictions of the core-accretion theory (Laughlin et al. 2004; Ida & Lin 2005; Kennedy & Kenyon 2008), the frequency of gas giant planets should depend on the host mass, with more massive stars more likely to host at least one gas giant. It is also believed that Jovian-mass planets should be relatively rare around M-dwarf stars, while Neptune- and Earth-like planets should be more frequent.

It was recently suggested that sub-Jupiter-mass planets ($0.2 < m_p/M_{\text{Jup}} < 1$) around M-dwarfs are more common than Jupiter-mass planets (Fukui et al. 2015; Hirao et al. 2016), which is consistent with predictions of the core-accretion theory. The mass of five such planets is constrained by either high-resolution imaging or parallax: OGLE-2006-BLG-109Lbc ($0.73 \pm 0.06 \, M_{\text{Jup}}$ and $0.27 \pm 0.03 \, M_{\text{Jup}}$; Gaudi et al. 2008; Bennett et al. 2010), OGLE-2011-BLG-0251Lb ($0.53 \pm 0.21 \, M_{\text{Jup}}$; Kains et al. 2013), OGLE-2011-BLG-0265Lb ($0.9 \pm 0.3 \, M_{\text{Jup}}$; Skowron et al. 2015), and OGLE-2012-BLG-0563Lb ($0.39^{+0.14}_{-0.23} \, M_{\text{Jup}}$; Fukui
et al. 2015). For two additional planets, the mass was estimated from the Bayesian analysis: OGLE-2012-BLG-0724Lb (0.47±0.26 M_Jup; Hirao et al. 2016) and MOA-2010-BLG-353Lb (0.27±0.16 M_Jup; Rattenbury et al. 2015). However, the number of known planets in this mass regime is still too small to provide strong constraints on theory.

Here, we present the analysis of two faint microlensing events OGLE-2013-BLG-0132 and OGLE-2013-BLG-1721, which were caused by M-dwarfs hosting a Saturn-mass planet. Observations of these events are described in Section 2. In which were caused by M-dwarfs hosting a Saturn-mass planet. Observations of these events are described in Section 2. In Section 3, we discuss the light curve modeling. In Section 4, we characterize the source stars that were magnified during these events, and in Section 5, we infer physical parameters of lenses using the Bayesian analysis. Finally, in Section 6, we discuss prospects for future follow-up observations.

2. Data

Due to their faintness, both events were observed only by the microlensing surveys. The Optical Gravitational Lensing Experiment (OGLE) is monitoring the Galactic bulge using the 1.3 m Warsaw Telescope located at Las Campanas Observatory, Chile. The telescope is equipped with a mosaic 1.4 deg^2 CCD camera (Udalski et al. 2015). The Microlensing Observations in Astrophysics (MOA; Bond et al. 2001; Sumi et al. 2013) group uses the 1.8 m MOA-II telescope at the Mount John University Observatory, New Zealand. The MOA-cam3 CCD camera has a 2.2 deg^2 field of view (Sako et al. 2008). OGLE observations were taken mostly in the I-band with additional ≈10% V-band images to secure the color information. The MOA group uses a custom red filter, which is effectively the sum of the standard R and I filters.

OGLE-2013-BLG-0132 was discovered by the OGLE Early Warning System (Udalski 2003) on 2013 March 3 at equatorial coordinates of R.A. = 17h59m03.51, Decl. = −28°25′15″ (J2000.0) or Galactic coordinates l = 1°944.4, b = −2°275. The event was independently found by the MOA group as MOA-2013-BLG-148 on 2013 March 13.

OGLE-2013-BLG-1721 was discovered through the “new” object channel18 by the OGLE Early Warning System on 2013 August 30. The event is located at equatorial coordinates of R.A. = 17h52m30.37, Decl. = −30°17′33″ (J2000.0), i.e., l = −0°393, b = −1°981. MOA announced the same event as MOA-2013-BLG-618 on 2013 September 2. The event was also observed by the Wise group (Shvartzvald et al. 2016) and it was included in their statistical analysis of the frequency of snow line-region planets.

For the final analysis, the OGLE and MOA data sets were reduced. Both events occurred on faint stars and during the peak magnification, they did not exceed I ≈ 17. The OGLE light curve of OGLE-2013-BLG-0132 shows a long-term trend in the baseline, due to a high proper motion of a neighboring star. The trend was removed prior to further modeling. The MOA data show more systematics due to faintness of events, worse weather conditions, and pixel scale. We carefully detrended the data, but we decided to use 20-day subsets of light curves, which cover peaks and planetary anomalies of both events. This choice has a small effect on the best-fitting model parameters. OGLE photometric uncertainties were rescaled following the algorithm of Skowron et al. (2016). For

---

18 Events discovered through the “new” object channel are too faint in the baseline to be detected on the deep reference image of a given field.

---

Figure 1. Δχ^2 map in the log_ε−log_s parameter space obtained from the preliminary grid search for OGLE-2013-BLG-0132. The strongest local minimum is located around (log_ε, log_s) = (−3.1, 0.0)

3. Light Curves Modeling

Light curves of both events can be described by seven parameters. Four of them characterize the source trajectory relative to the lens: t_0 and u_0 are the time and projected distance (in Einstein radius units) during the closest approach to the lens center of mass, t_e is the Einstein radius crossing time, and α is the angle between the source-lens relative trajectory and the binary axis. The binary lens is described by two parameters: the mass ratio q = M_2/M_1 and projected separation s (in units of the angular Einstein radius θ_E). An additional parameter, ρ, the normalized source angular radius, is needed to take into account the finite-source effect.

Two additional parameters for each observatory describe the source flux F_s and blended, unmagnified flux F_b of unresolved neighbors and/or the lens itself. The modeled light curve is given by F(t) = A(t)F_s + F_b, where A(t) is the flux at time t and A(t) = A(t; t_0, t_e, u_0, ρ, q, s, α) is the magnification. For a given set of parameters (t_0, t_e, u_0, ρ, q, s, α), the source and blend fluxes were calculated analytically using the linear least-squares approach.

Lensing magnifications during planetary anomalies were computed using the ray-shooting method (Kayser et al. 1986; Schneider & Weiss 1986; Wambsganss 1997; Skowron et al. 2015). We used the point-source approximation far from the caustics and the hexadecapole approximation (Gould 2008; Pejcha & Heyrovský 2009) at intermediate distances.

Light curve modeling was performed in a few steps. First, we found local minima of the χ^2 surface, performing a grid search with q, s, and α fixed at 41 × 21 × 80 different grid points. The ranges of grid parameters were −4 ≤ log q ≤ 0, −0.4 ≤ log s ≤ 0.4, and −π ≤ α ≤ π. We also fixed the source angular radius log ρ = −3.0. The remaining parameters were searched for using the Sequential Least Squares Programming optimization algorithm (Kraft 1988). Results of the preliminary grid search are shown in Figures 1 and 2. Subsequently, we explored all local minima using the Markov
Figure 2. $\Delta \chi^2$ map in the $\log q - \log s$ parameter space obtained from the preliminary grid search for OGLE-2013-BLG-1721. There are two strong local minima around $(\log q, \log s) = (-2.8, 0.0)$ and $(-2.3, -0.08)$. Subsequent MCMC investigations in these areas reveal deeper minimum in the first region, with $\Delta \chi^2 = 248$ when compared to the best minimum in the second region.

Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method. For both microlensing events, we found only one unique solution. We checked whether there are any other degenerate models using the MultiNest algorithm (Feroz & Hobson 2008; Feroz et al. 2009; Poleski et al. 2017), but we found no solutions with comparable $\chi^2$.

We found that both light curves can be satisfactorily described by a seven-parameter model. The inclusion of higher-order effects (like the parallax or orbital motion) does not improve $\chi^2$ significantly ($\Delta \chi^2 = 2.7$ for OGLE-2013-BLG-0132 and $\Delta \chi^2 = 3.0$ for OGLE-2013-BLG-1721). This is not surprising, as both microlenses were short and faint, and the higher-order effects are more likely to manifest in brighter and longer events.

The best-fit parameters and their 1σ uncertainties (calculated from a Markov chain) are shown in Table 1. The light curves and best-fitting models are shown in Figures 3 and 4. Caustic geometries are presented in Figure 5.

Table 1

| Parameters                  | OGLE-2013-BLG-0132 | OGLE-2013-BLG-1721 |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| $\chi^2$/dof               | 1104/1019          | 1682/1544          |
| $t_0$ (HJD$^0$)            | 6370.156 ± 0.064   | 6535.280 ± 0.022   |
| $a_0$                       | 0.184 ± 0.005      | 0.060 ± 0.003      |
| $t_p$ (days)               | 36.99 ± 0.77       | 27.98 ± 0.65       |
| $q$ ($10^{-4}$)            | 5.15 ± 0.28        | 13.18 ± 0.72       |
| $s$                        | 1.150 ± 0.004      | 0.964 ± 0.002      |
| $\alpha$ (rad)             | 0.821 ± 0.008      | −0.781 ± 0.017     |
| $\rho$ ($10^{-3}$)         | 1.0 ± 0.1          | 1.2 ± 0.1          |

Note. HJD$^0 = $ HJD - 2450000. $f_s = F_s/(F_s + F_b)$ is the blending parameter.

Using the color–color relation from Bessell & Brett (1988), we find $(V - K)_{S,0} = 1.71 ± 0.11$. Then, from the color–surface brightness relation for dwarf stars from Kervella et al. (2004), we estimate the angular radius of the source star $\theta_s = 0.81 ± 0.10$ mas. This gives the angular Einstein radius $\theta_E = \theta_s / \rho = 0.81 ± 0.12$ mas and the relative lens-source proper motion $\mu_{rel} = \theta_E / \rho = 8.0 ± 1.3$ mas yr$^{-1}$.

4.2. OGLE-2013-BLG-1721

We estimate the calibrated source brightness of $I_s = 21.27 ± 0.04$ from the microlensing model. Despite the fact that the field was observed in the V-band several times, the event was not detected in OGLE images, meaning it was fainter than $V_{peak} \gtrsim 21$. Therefore, we are unable to assess source properties in a standard way (Yoo et al. 2004). Red clump stars are still visible in the $2' \times 2'$ field centered on the microlensing event (which corresponds to ~5 × 5 pc at the Galactic center distance; Figure 6). Assuming that the source is located in the Galactic bulge, we can still characterize it. We measure the red clump centroid on the calibrated color–magnitude diagram: $I_{RC} = 16.41$ and $(V - I)_{RC} = 2.78$. Nataf et al. (2013) provide the mean de-reddened red clump brightness $I_{RC,0} = 14.38$ in this direction, so the reddening $E(V - I) = (V - I)_{RC} - (V - I)_{RC,0} = 2.78 - 1.06 = 1.72$ and $A_V = I_{RC} - I_{RC,0} = 16.41 - 14.38 = 2.03$ and $A_I = A_V + E(V - I) = 3.75$. The source in I-band is 4.86 mag fainter than the red clump, below the main-sequence turn-off (Zoccali et al. 2003). Hence, we conclude that the source most likely lies on the main-sequence and has absolute brightness $M_I = -0.12 + 4.86 = 4.74$, which corresponds to a 0.9 M$_\odot$ G9 dwarf (Pecaut & Mamajek 2013). Its V-band absolute brightness is $M_V = 5.55$, so $V_s = (V - I)_{S,0} + E(V - I) = 23.80$, and the source could not have been detected in OGLE V-band observations.

Using color–color relations from Bessell & Brett (1988), we find $(V - K)_{S,0} = 1.81 ± 0.10$, so the source angular radius is $\theta_s = 0.51 ± 0.10$ mas (Kervella et al. 2004). This gives the angular Einstein radius $\theta_E = \theta_s / \rho = 0.42 ± 0.09$ mas and the relative lens-source proper motion $\mu_{rel} = \theta_E / \rho = 5.5 ± 1.2$ mas yr$^{-1}$.

5. Bayesian Analysis

For both events we were unable to measure the microlens parallax $\pi_E$, which is crucial for calculating the lens mass. As it
was mentioned in Section 3, both events were short and faint, while the parallax is more likely to manifest in longer events. Additionally, because the signal is subtle, it requires good photometric precision. 3σ upper limits of the microlens parallax are \( \pi_E \lesssim 1.4 \) for OGLE-2013-BLG-0132 and \( \pi_E \lesssim 2.6 \) for OGLE-2013-BLG-1721, which provide very weak constraints on the lens mass and distance. We employ the Bayesian analysis to estimate the lens’ parameters. We use the Galactic model of Han & Gould (2003; see also Batista et al. 2011). In short, they use the “G2” bulge model of Dwek et al. (1995) and the disk model of Zheng et al. (2001). The lens-source relative proper motion is calculated based on velocity distributions of Han & Gould (1995).

We incorporate the Kroupa (2001) initial mass function, which is approximated by a broken power law with \( \alpha = 0.3 \) in a brown dwarf regime \((0.01 < M/M_\odot < 0.08)\), \( \alpha = 1.3 \) for \( 0.08 < M/M_\odot < 0.5 \), and \( \alpha = 2.3 \) for \( 0.5 < M/M_\odot < 150 \). We assume that all stars with initial masses \( 1 < M/M_\odot < 8 \)
We use the measured values of $f_E$ and $\theta_E$ to constrain the lens distance and mass. Posterior distributions for these quantities are shown in Figures 7 and 8. Host stars are likely early M-type dwarfs (0.54$^{+0.30}_{-0.23} M_\odot$ for OGLE-2013-BLG-0132 and 0.46$^{+0.26}_{-0.23} M_\odot$ for OGLE-2013-BLG-1721), located at a distance of 3.9$^{+1.1}_{-1.5}$ kpc and 6.3$^{+1.4}_{-1.4}$ kpc, respectively (Table 2). At such distances, hosts would have $I$-band magnitudes of approx. 22.0 and 24.3, which are consistent with constraints from the blend flux ($I_{\text{lens}} > 20.11$ for OGLE-2013-BLG-0132 and $I_{\text{lens}} > 21.50$ for OGLE-2013-BLG-1721). The mass of the planet is estimated to 0.29$^{+0.10}_{-0.13} M_{\text{Jup}}$ for OGLE-2013-BLG-0132 and 0.64$^{+0.35}_{-0.31} M_{\text{Jup}}$ for OGLE-2013-BLG-1721. The projected separations are 3.6$^{+1.4}_{-1.5}$ au and 2.6$^{+0.7}_{-0.5}$ au, respectively. For the event OGLE-2013-BLG-1721, $\theta_E$ was measured indirectly, because we lacked $V$-band observations. However, the Bayesian analysis based solely on $f_E$ yields virtually identical results: $M_{\text{host}} = 0.44^{+0.28}_{-0.25} M_\odot$ and $D_L = 6.1^{+1.5}_{-2.2}$ kpc.

6. Discussion and Conclusions

We presented the discovery and characterization of two sub-Jupiter-mass planets orbiting M-dwarfs in two microlensing events OGLE-2013-BLG-0132 and OGLE-2013-BLG-1721. Both events showed clear deviations from the simple point-source point-lens model, caused by the presence of a second body with well-measured planet-to-host mass ratios of $(5.15 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-4}$ and $(13.18 \pm 0.72) \times 10^{-4}$, respectively. Events were short and faint, which prevented us from measuring a reliable parallax signal. We therefore used the Bayesian analysis to estimate the lens properties. From posterior distributions of the lens mass and distance, we estimate masses of planetary companions of 0.29$^{+0.16}_{-0.13} M_{\text{Jup}}$ (OGLE-2013-BLG-0132Lb) and 0.64$^{+0.35}_{-0.31} M_{\text{Jup}}$ (OGLE-2013-BLG-1721Lb) and their projected separations of 3.6$^{+1.4}_{-1.5}$ au and 2.6$^{+0.7}_{-0.5}$ au, respectively. Both planets likely belong to a group of sub-Jupiter-mass planets (0.2 < $M / M_{\text{Jup}} < 1$) orbiting M-dwarfs beyond the snow line distance. It was recently suggested that such planets are very common (Fukui et al. 2015; Hirao et al. 2016), in agreement with predictions of the core-accretion theory of planet formation. A number of exoplanets discovered using the microlensing technique is growing very fast, and therefore, those presented here and future discoveries will allow the planet population around M-dwarfs to be constrained.

Direct observations of the lens can pinpoint the mass and distance to the system (e.g., Bennett et al. 2006, 2015; Batista et al. 2015). OGLE-2013-BLG-0132 is a promising candidate for the future high-resolution imaging follow-up. The relative lens-source proper motion is high $(8.0 \pm 1.3 \text{ mas yr}^{-1})$, and the source and blend have similar $I$-band brightness. Follow-up observations of OGLE-2013-BLG-1721 will be more challenging. The relative proper motion is smaller $(5.5 \pm 1.2 \text{ mas yr}^{-1})$ and the lens is $\sim$3 mag fainter than the source (in the $I$-band). In the $H$-band, this difference is smaller $(\sim 2 \text{ mag})$, but still significant. The pixel size of the Near-Infrared Camera on JWST is 32 mas, so the lens and source will separate by one pixel by 2017 (OGLE-2013-BLG-0132) and 2019 (OGLE-2013-BLG-1721).

We note that Shvartsvald et al. (2016) found the approximate mass ratio of $q \approx 11 \times 10^{-4}$ for OGLE-2013-BLG-1721, based on a coarse grid search. They included the event in their statistical analysis of the frequency of snow line-region planets.

---

Figure 5. The caustic curves corresponding to best-fitting models of OGLE-2013-BLG-0132 (upper panel) and OGLE-2013-BLG-1721 (lower panel). The source-lens relative trajectory is shown by a straight line (the direction of the source star is indicated with an arrow). Source size is smaller than a width of the line. Host stars are located near $(0, 0)$ and planets are at $x = 1.150$ and $x = 0.964$, respectively.
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**Table 2**

| Parameters          | OGLE-2013-BLG-0132   | OGLE-2013-BLG-1721   |
|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| $\theta_E$ (mas)    | $0.81 \pm 0.12$      | $0.42 \pm 0.09$      |
| $\mu_{\text{rel}}$ (mas yr$^{-1}$) | $8.0 \pm 1.3$ | $5.5 \pm 1.2$ |
| $M_{\text{host}}$ ($M_\odot$) | $0.54^{+0.30}_{-0.25}$ | $0.46^{+0.26}_{-0.23}$ |
| $D_L$ (kpc)         | $3.9^{+1.5}_{-1.3}$  | $6.3^{+1.1}_{-0.8}$  |
| $M_{\text{planet}}$ ($M_{\oplus}$) | $0.29^{+0.16}_{-0.13}$ | $0.64^{+0.35}_{-0.31}$ |
| $a_r$ (au)          | $3.6^{+1.2}_{-1.1}$  | $2.6^{+0.7}_{-0.5}$  |
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