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Abstract

William Coley, between 1895 and 1936, treated hundreds of cancer patients using infusions of fever inducing bacerial extracts. Similar experiments were done by Klyuyeva and co-workers in the 1940ies in Russia using trypanosoma extracts. Many remissions and cures were reported. We have conjectured that pathogen associated molecular pattern substances (PAMP) are the molecular explanation for the beneficial treatments in both groups. We could show that a combination of PAMP can eradicate solid tumours in cancer mice if applied several times. Accordingly, we suggested to combine PAMP containing approved drugs to treat cancer patients using a protocol similar to the old fever induction regimen. In this retrospective phase-1 study we report on the fever induction capacity and safety of applications of bacterial extracts, combinations of bacterial extracts with approved drugs, and combinations of approved drugs in 131 mainly cancer patients. Adverse reactions were those which can be expected during a feverish infection and mild. Over 523 fever inductions, no severe adverse reaction was observed.

Introduction

PAMP Presumably are the Molecular Explanation for a Range of Diverse Observations

Several observations combined led us to suggest [1,2] that pathogen associated molecular pattern (PAMP, pathogenic danger signals) are at the core of an immune reaction against cancer cells induced by bacterial extracts, by combinations of PAMP and by combinations of PAMP containing approved drugs:

• Coley’s fever therapy using extracts from Streptococcus in hundreds of patients more than 100 years ago [3–5] and Klyuyevas fever therapy using extracts from Trypanosoma in the 1940ies in Russia [6] undoubtedly led to numerous amazing cures. Both organisms, a bacterium and a nucleated single cell pathogen, have nothing much in common, except both provide several PAMP upon infection.
• A large fraction (25%-80%) of spontaneous cancer regressions can be correlated with a hefty feverish infection [7,8]. Most if not all infectious agents deliver PAMP.
• A personal history of feverish infections reduces the likelihood to develop cancer later, this protective effect diminishes with length of infection-free periods [9,10].
• Strong protection from lung cancer in dairy but not orchard farms; dairy barn dust can be contaminated with bacterial toxins [11]. Both exotoxins and endotoxins may act as PAMP.
• Mistletoe lectin is a PAMP of bacterial origin [12]. Complete remissions after multimodal high-dosage mistletoe therapy have been observed in otherwise therapy naive patients [13,14].
• Fever / external heat generates a higher rate of tumor/normal cell debris [15,16], i.e. likely delivers more tumor antigens. Some PAMP, e.g. LPS, are potent fever inducers; fever stimulates dendritic cells [17].
• In many if not all cancer patients, tumor-specific T-cells can be found in or around tumor tissue [18]. These T-cells usually are anergic or not activated, presumably because co-stimulatory
signals are missing [19]. PAMP are required for DC maturation and expression of co-simulatory signals, mature DC are needed to induce proper T-cell activation and clonal expansion [20].

- Compared to single PAMP, PAMP combined act synergistically [12,21–23].
- Repeated treatment using a combination of PAMP can lower myeloid derived suppressor cell (MDSC) numbers in cancer mice [12], potentially suppressing tumor escape.
- A combination of PAMP applied metronomically (10x over 3 weeks) lead to complete remissions in cancer mice, while metronomically applied single PAMP could slow tumor growth [12].

These observations led us to suggest to re-evaluate Coley’s therapy. Since bacterial extracts can hardly be approved any more, and since pre-clinical experiments using bacterial extracts would require considerable time and expense, we suggested to combine approved PAMP containing drugs [24] and apply them using a regimen similar to Coley’s. Ideally this means infusing fever inducing PAMP drugs 2–3 times per week over several weeks. Due to practical restrictions and patients requests, a less frequent application regimen has been applied in the majority of cases presented here.

### Material and Methods

#### Drugs Used for Fever Induction

We used 7 PAMP containing drugs (Table 1) in 19 different combinations (Table 2) to induce therapeutic fever in patients. Most of the patients were cancer patients. Others were treated for borreliosis (n=9), inflammation (n=9) and infection liability (n=4).

Two drugs were bacterial extracts manufactured in close accordance with Coley’s descriptions ("Se", sterile solution of *Serratia marcescens*, *Streptococcus pyogenes*; "Ps", sterile solution of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Streptococcus pyogenes*). Three drugs are approved for cancer therapy ("Co", Colibiogen; "Is", Iscador; "Pi", Picibanil). One drug is approved for i.v. application (Co), one other drug has been tested for i.v. application extensively (Is) [25]. So part of the drugs were used off-label with respect to disease approval and/or way of transmission (i.v.). All patients were informed accordingly and signed informed consent. For all drugs used, fever is described as frequent side reaction in the respective instruction leaflets. All drugs contain, as judged by ingredients, PAMP. All drugs are approved in at least one EU state and can therefore be applied EU wide.

#### Dosage of Drugs

The starting dose of bacterial extracts (Se, Ps) was determined according to the recommendations of the AG Fevertherapy of the German Society for hyperthermia (DGHT) and the manufacturers instruction leaflet. Typically it was 1/4 to 1/10 of final dose and guided by patient vitality, the latter estimated by computer regulation thermography (Alpha Thermodiagnostics, Canada) and heart rate variability (HRV Coprevent, Grimm, Germany). In case of good tolerance and repeated application, subsequent doses were increased by about 25%-50% until robust fever of >39°C could be achieved. Once this patient specific dose was determined, it was held constant.

### Table 1. Selection of PAMP Drugs

| Name             | Manufacturer          | Content                                                                                      | Abbreviation | OTC Price Per Application (€) |
|------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|
| Colibiogen       | Strathmann, Germany   | Metabolic products of *Escherichia coli* leaves                                                | Co           | 8                             |
| Iscador (apple tree 10mg) | Weleda, Switzerland | Misteltoe extract                                                                            | Is           | 9                             |
| Picibanil        | Chungai, Japan        | Lyophilised *Streptococcus pyogenes*                                                         | Pi           | 34                            |
| Polyvaccinum forte | IBS Biomed, Poland   | Inactivated extract from *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, *Streptococcus salivarius*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Haemophilus influenzae*, *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis*, *Morus alba catarbalis* | Po,Se not any more available |
| Pseudomonas/ *Streptococcus Organomed*, Dr.Neumeyer, Germany | Sterile solution of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Streptococcus pyogenes*         | Ps           | not any more available |
| Serratia/ *Streptococcus Organomed*, Dr.Neumeyer, Germany | Sterile solution of *Serratia marcescens*, *Streptococcus pyogenes* | Se           | not any more available |
| Strovac          | Strauthmann, Germany  | Inactivated *Escherichia coli*, *Morganella morganii*, *Proteus mirabilis*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Enterococcus faecalis* | St           | 36                            |

### Table 2. Combinations of PAMP Drugs Tested for Safety and Fever Induction Capacity in Group B

| Combination Number of Applications (n=100) | Average Peak Body Temperature Induced |
|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Co,Is,Se                                | 39.1                                  |
| Co,Is,Se,Pi                             | 38.8                                  |
| Co,Is,Po                               | 40.0                                  |
| Co,Is,Po,Se                             | 40.2                                  |
| Co,Is,Po,Se,St                         | 40.5                                  |
| Co,Is,St                               | 39.5                                  |
| Co,Is,St,12                            | 40.0                                  |
| Co,Pi,Po                                | 39.9                                  |
| Co,Po,Ps                               | 40.7                                  |
| Co,Po,Ps,Se,St                          | 40.5                                  |
| Co,Po,Se                               | 39.5                                  |
| Co,Po,Se,9                             | 39.3                                  |
| Co,Po,St                               | 39.7                                  |
| Co,Se                                  | 38.2                                  |
| Co,Se,16                                | 39.3                                  |
| Co,Se,1                                | 38.4                                  |
| Co,Ps                                  | 40.0                                  |
| Co,Ps,St                               | 39.5                                  |
| Co,St                                  | 41.0                                  |

### Table 3. Typical Dose Per Infusion

| Drug         | Typical Starting Dose | Typical Repetition Dose | Route of Application |
|--------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| Colibiogen   | 1ml                   | 2ml                     | i.v.                 |
| Iscador      | 5mg                   | 20mg                    | i.v.                 |
| Picibanil    | 1ml                   | 3ml                     | i.v.                 |
| Polyvaccinum | 100mg                 | 300mg                   | i.v.                 |
| Pseudomonas  | 0.5ml                 | 3-10ml                  | i.v.                 |
| Serratia     | 0.5ml                 | 3-10ml                  | i.v.                 |
| Strovac      | 0.5ml                 | 0.5-1ml                 | i.v.                 |
for subsequent applications. In case of larger peak body temperature deviations from the target temperature of 39°C-40°C, doses were adjusted for subsequent applications accordingly. The dosage of the other drugs (Co, Is, Pi, Po, St; see Table 1) was determined along similar upward titration rules in the first patients. Later we had enough experience to start early with an appropriate dose. Typical starting and repetition doses are given in Table 3. In many cases fever therapy was preceded by 30 min whole-body hyperthermia (IRA 1000, Fa. Von Ardenne, Dresden, Germany), which in our experience severely reduces burdening side effects such as chills and vomiting. Infusions typically started between 8 and 9 in the morning and lasted about 30-60 minutes. Number of applications per patient was integrative result from physicians recommendations and patients demand.

Results

**Group A - Safety and Fever Induction Using Bacterial Extracts**

We treated 106 patients with 350 fever inductions using bacterial extracts alone (Se or Ps). In the course of repetitive applications we typically changed from Se to Ps and vice versa each 3-4 applications.

Patients were divided into two groups. Group A1 (starting 2006, n=44, 135 applications) had no preceding hyperthermia, while patients in group A2 were given 30 min whole body hyperthermia before application of extract (starting 2011, n=62, 215 applications). A majority of patients had been pre-treated by chemotherapy and/or radiation and were in a palliative situation. Results on peak fever height and adverse reactions can be found in Table 4. Both drugs Se and Ps routinely induced robust temperature rises of $\pm 2^\circ C$.

While the capability to rise body temperature is similar for both bacterial preparations Se and Ps, without hyperthermia Se has a higher rate of adverse reactions compared to Ps. Both number of side reactions and the difference between Se and Ps is drastically reduced when whole body hyperthermia precedes fever induction. No severe adverse reactions, for instance seizures or circulatory problems requiring intervention, have been observed over 350 fever inductions using bacterial extracts. Kinetics of fever rise and fall are similar for both preparations Se and Ps (Figure 1).

![Figure 1. Average fever kinetics of 215 fever inductions using bacterial extracts (Se, Ps).](image-url)
has recommended to avoid injections of bacterial extracts when fever is not completely settled, so at least one day should be given for recovery between applications.

**Group B - Safety and Fever Induction Using a Combination of Approved PAMP Drugs**

Here we present safety data for 25 patients treated by fever induction using a combination of approved PAMP drugs. Number of treatments per patient using combinations varied between 2 and 26 times, number of drugs combined between 2 an 5 (Table 5). Some patients received intermitted single drug applications of bacterial extracts (n=73) rather than combinations (n=100). These contributed to the total number of PAMP drug applications over both groups A and B (n=523) without severe adverse reactions.

Usually we recommend cancer patients to continue fever therapy for a couple of weeks in a high-frequency “metronomic” setting, however, in many cases patients requested larger intervalls and/or stopped treatment for a variety of personal reasons. 8/25 patients were stopped treatment for a variety of personal reasons. 8/25 patients were

| Patient | Diagnosis                                                                 | Treatment (from Month to Month) | Drug Combinations Applied | Number of Applications | Average Number of Days Between Applications |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| 1       | mamma-CA 12.2003; lung metastisis 2007; mamma-CA; lung, kidney and eye metastases 9.2015 | 11.2015                        | Co Se St                  | 2                     | 5                                           |
| 2       | mamma-CA 11.2013; kidney and eye metastases 2015                         | 10.2015-11.2015                 | Se, Co Se St              | 2                     | 21                                          |
| 3       | rectum adeno-CA; liver metastasis 01.2012; enlarged central lymph nodes | 06.2014-10.2015                 | Se, Co Se St              | 9                     | 58                                          |
| 4       | prostate-CA 11.2014                                                      | 02.2015-07.2015                 | Se, Co Se St              | 4                     | 50                                          |
| 5       | mamma-CA 09.2014; skin metastasis 10.2015                                | 02.2016-05.2016                 | Co Se St, Co Po Se St     | 5                     | 32                                          |
| 6       | leymosarcoma 01.2015; lung liver metastases 09.2015                     | 02.2016                        | Co Po St                  | 2                     | 4                                           |
| 7       | mamma-CA 01.2012                                                         | 02.2016-09.2016                 | Co Se St                  | 12                    | 70                                          |
| 8       | mamma-CA 03.2003                                                         | 08.2005-03.2016                 | Co Po St, Ps, Co Po Ps Se St | 25             | 185                                         |
| 9       | mamma-CA 08.2012                                                         | 03.2016-02.2017                 | Co Po Se St, Co Po Se, Co Is Po Se | 13             | 28                                          |
| 10      | hepatocellular-CA 01.2015                                                | 12.2015-03.2016                 | Co Se St, Co Po Se St     | 2                     | 91                                          |
| 11      | papill. thyroid-CA with locoregional and distant metastases 2008         | 12.2016                        | Co Pi Po                  | 6                     | 2                                           |
| 12      | mamma-CA 09.2013                                                         | 07.2015-05.2016                 | Co Po Se, Ps, Co Po Ps    | 14                    | 23                                          |
| 13      | bronchial-CA; metastases in lung and brain 03.2015                       | 10.2015-05.2016                 | Co Se, Co Se St, Po Se, Co Po Se St | 6             | 40                                          |
| 14      | adenocarcinoma 10.2016                                                   | 11.2016                        | Co Is Pi Po, Co Is Pi     | 5                     | 2                                           |
| 15      | bladder-CA 06.2015                                                       | 04.2016-05.2016                 | Co Po St, Co Po Se St     | 2                     | 41                                          |
| 16      | ovari-al-CA 2012                                                         | 05.2016-06.2016                 | Co Po St, Co Po Se St     | 3                     | 17                                          |
| 17      | melanoma 01.2007; liver and lymph node and brain metastases 04.2016     | 05.2016-06.2016                 | Co Po St, Co Po Se St     | 7                     | 1                                           |
| 18      | colon-CA 03.2014                                                         | 08.2014-01.2017                 | Se, Co Se St, Co Is Po St | 13                    | 74                                          |
| 19      | mamma-CA 2012                                                            | 07.2016-10.2016                 | Co Po Se, Co Po St        | 2                     | 100                                         |
| 20      | prostate-CA metastases 07.2012                                           | 01.2017-02.2017                 | Co Po St, Co Is Po        | 3                     | 67                                          |
| 21      | high-grade lymphoma suspicion 12.2012                                    | 07.2015-11.2016                 | Ps, Se, Co Se St, Se St   | 26                    | 48                                          |
| 22      | mamma-CA 05.2015                                                         | 10.2015-01.2016                 | Se                      | 2                     | 89                                          |
| 23      | invasive mamma-CA 09.2016                                                | 02.2017-03.2017                 | Co Is Se                  | 3                     | 5                                           |
| 24      | borreliosis, rheumatic pain since 09.2013                                | 04.2017-06.2017                 | Co Is St                  | 3                     | 32                                          |
| 25      | rhabdomyosarcoma 07.2014; liver metastasis 10.2015; relapse 05.2016      | 03.2017-05.2017                 | Co Is St                  | 9                     | 7                                           |

Table 5: Fever Induction Using a Combination of Approved PAMP Drugs (see Table 1 for Drug Abbreviations) in Group B

Mild adverse reactions occured upon about a quarter of applications (Table 4).

Looking at all applications without involvement of bacterial extracts manufactured according to Coley, i.e. those combinations without Se or Ps (n=46), the average peak body temperature achieved was 39.7°C. Therefore, combinations of approved PAMP drugs have the same fever induction potency as bacterial extracts.

Compared to the application of single bacterial extracts, adverse reactions were more frequent using combinations of approved drugs, despite preparatory hyperthermia (Table 4). Possibly, PAMP substances combined by drug combinations might be more diverse and / or of higher concentration compared to heat sterilized bacterial extracts, leading to a higher frequency of mild adverse reactions and a slightly higher average peak body temperature, and potentially a stronger immune response.

A summary of fever induction results stratified by sub-goups can be found in Table 6.

Table 6: Potency of Fever Induction Stratified by Sub-Groups Within Group B (Intermittend Single Drug Applications of Bacterial Extracts Included)

| Number of applications | Average peak body temperature (°C) |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Patients pretreated by chemotherapy and/or radiation | 66 | 39.6 |
| Patients not pre-treated by immune-compromising therapies | 107 | 39.5 |
| Subsequent applications gapped by one, two or three days (high frequency treatments) | 21 | 39.7 |
| Subsequent applications gapped by more than three days (low frequency treatment) | 152 | 39.5 |
| Applications without involvement of Se,Ps | 46 | 39.7 |
Outcome

In this work we present observations with a focus on the safety of fever therapy in cancer patients using combinations of approved drugs. To monitor outcome is, other than during a clinical study, difficult in private clinics, since in general patients are lost on follow-up. On top of the numerous impressive healings achieved by Coley, contemporaries, Klyuyeva and others long ago, we have reported 17 successful recent treatments using fever therapy [2] and add two cases here.

Patient A, age 71 years at diagnosis, was diagnosed with prostate carcinoma and multiple bone metastases in November 2009. He underwent prostatectomy and hormone therapy. In May 2011 PSA was rising and bone metastases were confirmed by scintigraphy. In June 2012 PSA reached 387nmol/l. Patient refused chemotherapy. Between mid 2012 and September 2014 he received 15 fever inductions. PSA fell down to 1 nmol/l in October 2014. He refused confirmatory NMR.

Patient B, age 56 years at time of diagnosis in June 2006, was diagnosed with a primary mamma carcinoma of 8x6x4.5cm, confirmed by biopsy. One lymph node of walnut size was determined in the left axilla. Tumor marker CA-15-3 was measured at 46.2 U/ml. The patient refused surgery and standard treatment. NMR in February 2009 showed an increase in size of the primary tumour. She received 16 active fever therapies and infusions of anti-oxidants until June 2012. NMR in February 2014 showed a significant decrease in tumour size and palpation showed a softening of neoplastic tissue. The axillary lymph node was not palpable any more. Tumor marker CA-15-3 was down to 12.1 U/ml.

Discussion

An Immunological Explanation

Heat, both in the form of passive hyperthermia and active fever can induce or support several immune reactions. Heat enhances the Fas ligand CD95 gene expression in T lymphocytes [26]. Fas ligand is a type II transmembrane protein able to trigger apoptosis. Activated Fas receptor may induce functional maturation of dendritic cells (DC) and preferential T cell polarization towards Th1 [27]. Heat can induce several heat shock proteins (HSP), which can mediate immune reactions such as IL-6, IL-8, IL-12, nitric oxide (NO) and TNFα production via monocytes and macrophages, DC maturation, B-cell proliferation and IL-10 production, upregulation of toll-like receptors (TLR). Some HSP work hand in hand with PAMP (see [28] for overview). For instance, HSP70 potentiates lipopolysaccharide-(LPS)-stimulated THFα production. Immunogenic HSP-peptide complexes are displayed to a larger extent on cancer cells after heat treatment [29,30]. DC treated with fever-like heat (41°C 6h) were significantly more effective compared to non-heat treated DC in stimulating T-cells both in the presence and absence of antigen [17]. Interleukin-2 (IL2) treated cancer patients had an almost doubled survival rate compared to IL2 plus paracetamol treated cancer patients [31]. Zoledronic acid-induced fever was the most important prognostic factor in a cohort of lung cancer patients with bone metastases [32]. Cancer cells are more vulnerable to heat than normal cells and die from necrosis to a larger extent [15,16]. A tumour with a higher death rate is presumed to be more immunogenic [33].

Most of the positive effects of passive heat most likely will fade without PAMP synergy. For instance, hyperthermia induced increased transcription of several tumour associated antigens is only transient [34]. Hyperthermia alone has, to the best of our knowledge, not been shown to increase cancer survival rates significantly. However, passive and active fever therapy joined together can, in our opinion, be beneficial.

In many, if not most, cases of cancer, a more or less pronounced immune reaction appears to be present, demonstrated for instance by tumour infiltrating lymphocytes (TIL) within tumour and stroma, or by tumour specific antibodies. Since presence and amount of TIL is one of the strongest predictors for longer survival [35–38], at least a fraction of TIL must represent an active anti-tumour response. Yet, in the majority of cases, obviously, this immune response is too weak to induce complete eradication of tumour cells. Fever, by providing necrotic cell death and by inference an increase in tumour antigens, could extend a pre-existing T-cell response. PAMP, not provided by cancer cells, might be a missing link towards complete eradication, since PAMP are the most potent activators of DC. DC activation is strictly required for proper activation of B-and T-cells. Combinations of PAMP show more pronounced effects compared to single PAMP [12,21–23], therefore different PAMP should be combined to maximise immune stimulation. It is fortunate that PAMP, at the same time, might help against tumour escape. PAMP activated toll-like receptor (TLR) signalling can directly affect regulatory T-cells (Treg) and activate the PI3K/Akt pathway, consistent with Treg resistance [39]. The recruitment of myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSC) by the tumour bed is a typical escape mechanism; PAMP can downregulate MDSC numbers [12].

General Experiences and Recommendations for Intravenous Application

Fever induction in cancer patients using GMP approved drugs which, judged by content, contain PAMP substances, is a safe and steerable treatment. Over several applications, sometimes small dose adjustments are needed to maintain a target peak body temperature of 39°C–40°C. Along 523 intravenous applications in 131 patients, not a single severe adverse reaction such as seizures, heavy circulatory problems or tumour lysis syndrome has been observed. Mild adverse reactions were similar to those which can be observed during a proliferative infection and included nausea, vomiting, chills, headache, back pain, weakness, brief periods of increases or decreases in blood pressure. Thus patients should be advised to stand up and move slowly. Reactions in some patients relaxed over the course of several applications. On the other side, patients may report a period of pronounced physical and mental strength one or two days after fever therapy.

Despite excellent safety, we recommend to monitor blood pressure and circulation at least until fever declines below 38°C. We recommend first treatments under stationary setting, while subsequent treatments can be done under ambulatory setting, making fever therapy using PAMP drugs more convenient and less expensive. Some experienced patients, at own risk, even requested to leave the clinic shortly after infusion and undergo fever at home under supervision of a relative.

Since a preceding whole body hyperthermia reduces both patient stress and adverse reactions, and since hyperthermia can help patients which have difficulties to ignite internal heat and realize fever upon PAMP stimulation (low responders), we recommend hyperthermia preceding infusion in general. Hyperthermia should not be applied after infusion during active fever.

A high frequency therapy regimen with two to three applications per week might be optimal in otherwise therapy naïve patients. This conclusion can be drawn from Coley’s recommendations, from
retrospective analyses of his cases [3,4], from the observation that
many spontaneous cancer regressions occurred after a febrile fever
infection [7] providing PAMP over several days continuously, and
since the innate immune response triggered by PAMP has no memory
and needs permanent stimulation [20]. Even if a high-frequency
regimen likely is the most effective fever therapy regimen, less
frequent applications, for instance once per week or less, may as well
exert beneficial outcomes [2]. The majority of the patients presented
here requested less frequent applications for personal reasons, for
instance, to be able to continue their jobs.

In patients, who had immune compromising treatments such as
chemotherapy or radiation less than two years before fever therapy,
we cannot exclude the possibility that fever kinetics and outcome may be
less predictable and more erratic. For instance, we have seen pre-treated
cases where body temperature transiently fell after hyperthermia or the
onset of fever was delayed. Thus, although we have seen positive courses
after low-frequency fever therapy also in pretreated patients, at present,
we cannot recommend fever therapy in general to those patients. Yet,
often, pretreated patients strongly demand fever therapy. In these cases,
careful patient information, narrow disease monitoring and treatment
under a less frequent therapy regimen may be considered.

Fever therapy using a combination of approved drugs is inexpensive.
A recommended combination is Colibiogen+Iscador+Strovac, which
costs about 50 Euro per application in Germany, with Strovac
warranting a share of 36 Euro. A systematic scan of the Red List
database of drugs revealed Becapler (Securis, Germany) or Mutaflor
(Deardenpharm, Germany) as cheaper potential substitutes for Strovac.

Efficacy and Safety of Intra-Tumoural Application
The optimal route for application still has to be determined. While
the intravenous route allows slow conveyance of drug and is well
suited to avoid potential sudden reactions, intratumoural or
peritumoural bolus injections might be more effective, according to
Helen Coley-Nauts extensive 1953-review about applications of
bacterial toxins during William Coley’s time. In this publication, 30
inoperable cancer cases were reviewed, which were treated by Coley
and contemporaries in the early 20th century. About half of them
were treated by intratumoural injection of bacterial extracts, another
half using intramuscular injections. All treatments led to complete
regressions of sometimes huge tumor masses. Yet, she took a broader
view to write as a corollary: “A study of over 1200 cases of various
types of neoplasms treated with the toxins indicates that the site of
injection apparently is of importance in determining the success or
failure of the treatment. Undoubtedly, the toxins do exert a favorable
effect on tumors through intramuscular or intravenous injections
remote from the growth, but these alone take longer to accomplish
the complete destruction of the neoplasm [compared to intratumoural
and pre-tumoural injections]” [4]. For instance, case 19 in
Coley-Nauts review was a 16-year old boy with a giant cell tumour
near the tenth dorsal vertebra. Initial intramuscular injections of
bacterial extract did not stop tumour growth, while subsequent
intratumoural applications led into complete and durable remission.
The application of PAMP at the site, where the highest concentration
of tumour antigens can be expected, is immunologically plausible. In
Helen Coley-Nauts review no general safety problems of intramuscu-
lar injections were reported, except when the injection accidently
hit a vane rather than dense tissue. In these cases sudden circulatory
reactions could occur. As we have reported before [24], over several
thousands of applications, Coley mentioned six treatment related
fatalities in his own department and another three from colleagues; all
these patients had inoperable tumours. He concluded that these nine
cases were "probably or possibly" caused by the treatment with
bacterial extracts. Two i.v.-injected patients died from embolism.
Three patients got a too high initial dosage, in one case a second
injection was applied during high fever. Since he always cautioned not
to start with high-dosage i.t. injections but rather increase dose
gradually, and again cautioned not to apply bacterial extract when
fever is still high, these six fatalities could probably be called medical
malpractice. Three patients died from kidney failure, most likely
cased by tumour lysis syndrome, which probably could be avoided
in a modern clinical setting.

Peri-tumoural and intra-tumoural applications warrant further
investigation both with respect to safety and outcome.

Monitoring
Following PAMP fever therapy, a transient increase in size of primary
lesions, with skin becoming red and tense in case of lesions close to the
surface, may be observed. An activated immune reaction can lead to a
massive influx of immune cells into the tumour (tumour infiltrating
lymphocytes, TIL), comprising up to 40% of tumour volume. More
TIL correspond with better prognosis [18,35–37,40–44]. Also,
malignant lesions not recognised by palpation or imaging before fever therapy
may show up briefly after fever therapy, presumably as a result of an
inflammatory immune response and not de novo dissemination and
growth, which is hardly possible within a few days. Classically, to judge
cancer therapy outcomes, RECIST-criteria are applied. Meanwhile it
became apparent that RECIST criteria developed for chemotherapy and
radiation are not directly applicable to cancer immune therapy.

The application of PAMP at the site, where the highest concentration
of tumour antigens can be expected, is immunologically plausible. In
Helen Coley-Nauts review no general safety problems of intramuscu-
lar injections were reported, except when the injection accidently
hit a vane rather than dense tissue. In these cases sudden circulatory
reactions could occur. As we have reported before [24], over several
thousands of applications, Coley mentioned six treatment related

Melanoma treatment with the antibody drug Ipilimumab can lead to
four types of response, which all correlate with increased survival:
shrinkage of the primary tumour without development of new lesions,
growth stop ("stable disease"), shrinkage of a tumour after a transient
increase in size, shrinkage of tumour with development of new lesions
[45]. It turned out that "new lesions" might be lesions which were too
small to become apparent by X-ray before therapy but show up due to the
immune response. Accordingly, a revised list of criteria named irRC
(immunorelated Response Criteria) has been developed. According to
irRC a CT or X-ray check every 4 weeks should substantiate whether
growth of a lesion is final, and the decision to stop treatment should be
taken not before 12 weeks after treatment begin [46]. At any rate,
treatment payoffs should be closely monitored by tumour markers,
imaging, innate cytokine markers (e.g. TNF-α, IL-1, IL-1β, IL-6, IL-12,
IF-γ), immunosuppression markers (e.g. IL-10, TGF-β) the neutrophil-
lymphocyte ratio [47,48], and subjective well-being of the patient.

Conclusion
Active fevertherapy using a combination of PAMP containing drugs,
idealy combined with preceding hyperthermia, is a cancer treatment
that is safe, cheap, has induced spectacular remissions in the past
which “would be difficult to achieve now” [49] with present standard
therapy, and induced remissions in several patients in the recent past
both in our and in other private clinics in Germany and other
countries [2]. In contrast to the bacterial extracts used by us and other
private clinics in Germany, which were manufactured according to
Coley’s recipes and which experienced increasing scrutiny by the
authorities, leading to their withdrawal from the market, similar
impediments are not to be expected for GMP approved drugs such as
those introduced for fever therapy here. The time is ripe to engage in
more case studies and in a formal clinical study on active fever therapy. With respect to case studies, several obstacles need to be hurdled. First, other than in private clinics, the implementation of fever therapy in governmental hospitals is hampered by a lack of health insurance cost categories and thus undefined financing. Second, one has to admit that cancer treatment guidelines (“Leitlinien”) often impede fever therapy. Guidelines, for most forms of cancer, recommend immune compromising treatments such as chemotherapy and radiation shortly after diagnosis, however, for fever therapy a window of 4-5 weeks high-frequency treatment (3x per week) or longer is needed, requiring an uncompromised immune system during this time. Since cancer usually is a disease that develops over years and even decades [50], the insertion of such a time window in front of more drastic measures seems justifiable, yet difficult to implement against common habitue. Patient individual dose finding and off-label usage of approved drugs also stand against a distinctly formalized and time optimized schedule in large clinics. Although guidelines formally are recommendations rather than strict rules, physicians in governmental institutions usually adhere to guidelines obediently, because deviations may require verbal or written justification. The deviation from guidelines is widely accepted only for those types of cancer where the chances of lasting cure are small, including liver metastases, brain tumours, pancreatic cancer and Merkel cell carcinoma. In these cases, and for the growing number of patients who deny chemotherapy and radiation, we recommend fever therapy as an option to be offered to and discussed with patients. Fever therapy is also an option in the palliative situation for many patients.

Considering expense, fever therapy is much cheaper (Table 1) compared to standard treatments and orders of magnitudes cheaper than recent antibody therapies, including checkpoint inhibitors, which might threaten health budgets in the near future even in developed countries [51] and are unaffordable in developing countries. More than hundred years after Busch [52], Fehleisen [53], Coley [54,55] and others [56] and more than 50 years after Klyuyeva et al. [6] have published surprisingly beneficial regimes upon fever therapy, we hope that more physicians learn to validate fever therapy as a safe and inexpensive yet potentially powerful option to treat cancer.
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