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ABSTRACT The number of animals worldwide is increasing day by day to meet the increasing animal protein needs. Depending on the increase in animal production, yield amount which can be obtained from per unit area can be increased by increasing the number of animals. In dairy cattle farms, it is necessary to group the animals according to their body condition score (BCS) and to care and feed the animals at certain times. Under normal conditions, these processes should be conducted by animal caregivers or experts coming to the enterprise. BCS ratings conducted by experts on farms based on visual examination may give unreliable results and may include misinterpretations. Therefore, technology-supported systems are required. In this study, the prediction of BCS, which is the most important indicator of proper feeding of dairy cattle, is aimed. In addition, by adapting the designed system to simple, fast and user-friendly mobile software, it will be possible to provide tests in enterprise environments in a shorter time. In the design of the system, deep learning models, which have been used frequently in recent years in computer science, have been used. The CNN model, which was trained with a 94.69% success rate through these data, has been converted into a mobile-friendly format for real-time tests. It is aimed to make real-time tests and provide easy access for dairy producers with the help of the designed mobile software. In order to increase the success of the CNN architecture, pre-trained networks have been utilized. In the study, VGG19 pre-trained network, whose success rate has been proved in the previous studies conducted in the literature, was used in model design. The 78.0% performance results obtained from the study indicate that pre-trained CNN architectures based on deep learning are successful for the real-time BCS classification problem.

INDEX TERMS Animals, computers and information processing, computer aided engineering, neural networks, mobile applications.

I. INTRODUCTION
In dairy cattle, care and nutritional requirements may change through early, mid and late lactation periods and also during dry period. Therefore, animals should be grouped according to their levels in dairy cattle farms. Many enterprises have dairy cattle that are too fat or too thin from birth to dry period (stop of milk production). Failure to identify these animals at the right time increases the expenses of the dairy cattle enterprises due to disease treatments, losses in milk production and decreased fertility rates. In order to eliminate these problems, the animals are inspected regularly by experts and a scoring is made. This scoring system, involves visual inspection of each animal and is called body condition score (BCS).

BCS is a method based on evaluation of fatness or thinness of cattle according to a five-point scale ranging from 1 to 5. Here, Score 1 indicates very thin cattle while score 5 indicates the very fat [1]. At birth, 1 is classified as very thin, 2 as thin and 5 as very fat, while 3 is the expected average score. Figure 1 shows the explanation of the BCS scores [2].

BCS offers many advantages for dairy cattle enterprises. Since the cows are scored between 1 and 5 in different periods, the ones with the desired BCS values exhibit lower prevalence rate for metabolic diseases. In addition, reproductive disorders that may arise among animals that are too fat or too thin can be prevented. Unnecessary feeding consumption can be avoided as well as increase in yield can be achieved with BCS, which is a unique indicator that shows the needs of animals are fully met [3].

BCS also indicates the energy balance estimation in dairy cattle. It is sometimes required to ensure that animals with undesirable BCS levels are reached to the desired levels by caring the animals. This process is carried out according to the method of grouping the animals by observation in line...
with the individual skills of the experts or staff experienced in this field. In this sense, the execution of these processes on farms may be ignored from time to time based on the cost of retaining expert staff permanently in the enterprises and the lack of experience of the staff [4]. Kellogg separated the body condition score in dairy cattle according to the periods of the animal. According to Table 1, BCS, which is between 3.5-4 in the dry period, is indicated as 2.5-3 during one month postpartum [5].

**TABLE 1. Ideal BCS Range [5].**

| Stage of Lactation | Score Value |
|-------------------|-------------|
| Dry-off           | 3.5-4.0     |
| Calving (older cows) | 3.5-4.0   |
| One-month postpartum | 2.5-3.0   |
| Mid-lactation     | 3.0         |
| Late lactation    | 3.25-3.75   |
| Calving (first lactation) | 3.5        |

It has been stated by Kellogg that BCS is used as a useful tool in providing the herd’s nutritional management and daily productivity. In their study, it has been stated that with the improvement in nutritional level, animal health, reproductive performance and milk productivity can be improved. However, thin cows in the negative energy balance in the herd are unable to perform at maximum capacity. Cows that are too fat are also prone to metabolic problems. Kellogg stated that using the BSC scoring of the herd could allow dairy producers to achieve adjustments in nutritional status more accurately [5].

In a different study published by Heinrichs and Ishler, it has been stated that BSC value should be 3+ in the calving period, and the scores below 3+ indicate that the cows receive an inadequate energy supply in the late lactation and/or dry period. The researchers indicated that the failure to replenish energy reserves during this period would limit milk production during lactation. They also stated that the scores above 4- indicate that the energy intake in the late lactation and/or dry period is very high. They proposed to separate such cows in the dry period from the milking herd and to feed them with an adequate but not excessive protein, mineral and vitamin additive and a low energy ration [6].

### II. RELATED WORKS

In recent years, various initiatives have been proposed to estimate BCS automatically with technological advances. In the early 2000s, BCS was tried to be determined by classical image processing methods. However, deep learning-based approaches have been started to be used since 2015. In addition, the images used in the solution of the BCS problem can be categorized as 2D and 3D. Almost all of these images are professionally taken from the back of the animal. In addition, real-time solutions have been presented in the literature, albeit in a limited number.

The systems designed in studies conducted until 2016 were based on the introduction of classical image processing processes and generally based on determining anatomical and shape-based surfaces and turning them into feature sets. In addition, in some studies feature reduction from these features was conducted by using various methods. The real images taken from the back of the animals were used as a dataset in these studies. As the deep learning methods have been started to be preferred by the researchers, the design methods of the automatic BCS detection systems have also changed. The literature review is shown in Table 2.

When the literature on computer-aided automatic or semi-automatic BCS estimation systems is examined, it is seen that the images are generally obtained from the back region of the animal due to large number of anatomical regions and shape features, and BCS estimations are made based on these images. In addition, many of the images taken in the studies were taken using 3D imaging devices. In the studies conducted using 2D imaging, the devices used were for professional shooting. Therefore, it is understood that the practicality of most of the applications is quite costly, difficult and inflexible. In this study, the developed mobile program is intended for animal husbandry applications, and it is paid attention that the imaging method and imaging devices are accessible so that the study can be applied in daily life. For this reason, images obtained from a mobile device that everyone can access, showing the back of the animal, were used as a data set for BCS determination. In addition, a system using trained CNN pre-trained networks, supported by software based on mobile application, was implemented for testing the study. The study is express to the use of dairy producers both in theory while measuring the effect of CNN architectures on the BCS problem and in practice.

The study consists of four parts and the rest of the study is as follows: In second section, the data set and the pre-trained CNN architectures used in the study are presented. In third section, the designed software and the usage of the software...
In the study, the performance of pre-trained CNN architectures, one of the sub-branches of deep learning, was tested in determining BCS automatically in dairy cattle. In addition, in order to facilitate the implementation of the system, a mobile application that users can easily access was developed and the tests were carried out in this environment. The stages of the designed system are shown in Figure 2.

![Flow chart of the system designed for the mobile application.](image)

Machine learning is used today in many areas such as identifying objects in images, conversion speech into text, matching news items, posts or products with users’ interests, and selecting relevant search results. Increasingly, a classification model called as deep learning is utilized in these applications. Since conventional machine learning is limited in processing the raw form of data, before implementing machine learning, various data preprocessing, segmentation, feature extraction, feature selection and reduction procedures are required. In contrary, in deep learning methods, the learning of the data are provided by calculation models composing of multiple processing layers with multiple levels of abstraction. To calculate the value of a machine in each layer, it uses the back propagation algorithm to determine how much the internal parameters used should change compared to the representation in the previous layer. Thus, with deep learning, the complex structure in large data sets can be discovered. Deep convolutional networks have led to breakthroughs in processing images, video, speech and audio while recurrent networks have helped to solve sequential data such as text and speech [17].

Although the concept of deep learning architectures is based on the first general learning algorithm for supervised deep-fed multilayer perceptron’s published by Ivakhnenko and Lapa in 1965, the first successful deep learning architecture in literature is the “LeNet” architecture developed by Yann LeCunn in 1989 [18], [19]. LeCunn tried to classify handwritten digits (MNIST) using LeNet architecture in his studies until 1998. In the LeNet network, the sub-layers consist of subsequently followed conv and max pool layers. The next top layers correspond to fully connected traditional MLP [19]. Figure 3 shows a standard LeNet architecture. Although the recurrent neural networks and LSTM models proposed by Hochreiter and Schmidhuber were proposed as well as LeNet studies, Deep Neural Networks were not preferred due to the high cost of computing between 1990 and 2000. Instead, the researchers preferred presenting the input data to models such as SVM, standard ANN etc. and processing the data at a certain level, which provided to solve the problems faster [20].

### III. MATERIAL AND METHOD

In the study, the performance of pre-trained CNN architectures, one of the sub-branches of deep learning, was tested in determining BCS automatically in dairy cattle. In addition, in order to facilitate the implementation of the system, a mobile application that users can easily access was developed and the tests were carried out in this environment. The stages of the designed system are shown in Figure 2.
With the increase of CPU performance and the emergence of GPUs after 2000, the applicability of deep neural networks has started to be discussed. In 2006, Geoffrey Hinton announced how to train a deep multi-layer feed-forward network, which triggered the idea of deep learning [22]. After the study, interest in deep architecture has increased and studies have focused on this direction. In the following years, many deep architectural designs were realized, and the next step was Hinton and his team’s high classification success in the ImageNet competition in 2012. With the networks currently used with the name of AlexNet, the classification success of ImageNet, which was 26.1%, was reduced to 15.3% by Hinton and his team [23]. With the architectures developed in the following years, success rates have been reduced to much lower levels. Figure 4 shows the architectural distribution of ImageNet classification success by years. After 2015, pre-trained deep architectures have surpassed human-level performance on the ImageNet dataset. Depending on this performance rate, researchers and companies have increased the use of deep architectures in their studies, and today deep architectures are used widely in almost all fields.

The developed architectures have had different success rates in finding solutions for various problems in years. Therefore, it has become possible for the developers to adopt different architectures to their own problems. The process of taking a pre-trained architecture in this manner and training it with developer-specific data is called as transfer learning in the literature. Since transfer learning is built upon the success of the existing architecture, it is more likely to yield more successful results than an empty CNN architecture. Transfer learning process steps are shown in Figure 5 [25].

Current major CNN models in the literature can be listed as follows: LeNet (1998) [19], AlexNet (2012) [23], GoogleNet (2014) [26], VGGNet (2014) [27], ResNet (2015) [28], Inception (2016) [29], NasNet (2017) [30] vb. When choosing a network to be applied to the problem, it is necessary to consider the different features of the pre-trained networks. The most important parameter in this preference is network accuracy, speed and size. Choosing a network often requires balancing these features.

### A. CONVOLUTIONAL NEURAL NETWORK

A Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) is designed to process data that come in the form of multiple arrays such as a color image composed of 2D arrays containing pixel intensities in three color channels [22]. Figure 6 shows the structure of a typical CNN architecture. Layers included in standard CNN architectures are convolutional, non-linearity, pooling, flattening and fully-connected layers.

**Convolutional Layer:** This layer generates feature maps by shifting matrices with different core sizes (feature detectors) in the input image. This core structure is smaller in size compared to the input image and is similar to the input image that requires parameters such as weight and bias sharing between adjacent pixels of the image [31].
**Non-Linearity Layer:** This layer is applied to prevent the system from linearity and various nonlinear activation functions such as logistic, tanh, Relu are used. The weighted sum of the linear net input value is passed through an activation function for nonlinear transformation [32].

**Pooling Layer:** This layer is used to combine data from the convolution layer. There are various types such as max, min and average pooling for data reduction. Depending on the type of the problem and the amount of data, the number and type of pooling can be changed [33].

**Flattening Layer:** It ensures that meaningful data obtained from the system is edited before entering a classifier. When data in 2D form is used as input data of the classifiers such as ANN, SVM, etc., they should be converted to a single output plane. This is the reason it is called as flattening [34].

**Fully-Connected Layer:** It is a standard machine learning layer. It can include various classification methods according to the structure and type of the network. It has the same output number as the problem classification number. It can include models such as artificial neural networks (ANN), support vector machines (SVM) [35].

### B. VGG NET

VGGNet is a homogeneous architecture that takes its name from the developer group (visual geometry group-VGG) at Oxford University. According to the number of convolution layers, there are two types: VGG16 (41 layers, 16 of which are convolution layers) and VGG19 (47 layers, 19 of which are convolution layers). It accepts a 224 × 224 × 3 input image size and contains 3 × 3 core matrix. There are two fully connected layers with 4096 outputs. In the final layer, there is a fully connected layer with 1000 channels for 1000 classes in accordance with the ImageNet classification problem and SoftMax elements showing the suitability values of this layer. When performing transfer leaning, it is enough to make changes on this final layer [27]. An average of 73% success is achieved in the ImageNet classification using this architecture. The architecture of the model is shown in Figure 7.

![Figure 7. Transfer learning with VGG19 network architecture [36].](image)

### IV. EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES ON DEVELOPED APPLICATION

In the study, a mobile application was developed to determine and automate BCS values, which is the most important indicator of whether the animal’s requirements are met in different periods (early, mid and late lactation periods and during dry period), in hybrid black pied dairy cattle. BCS estimation using real-time images on mobile devices was carried out with the help of CNN architectures, which is one of the deep learning models frequently used nowadays. In addition, pre-trained CNN architectures were used to increase the performance of the system and VGG19 network was preferred among the pre-trained networks [4], [16]. In the design of the system, a user-friendly application that could operate on mobile devices with Android operating system was designed to use the Python programming language and TensorFlow deep learning libraries and to test and implement the system.

In the first stage of the study, the dataset was used for BCS estimation problem was prepared. The data of the images were evaluated by an expert zootechnician. The images of each of the dairy cattle (with black pied and hybrid cattle) were taken at different periods in 10 different dairy cattle farms in Nigde and Adana region from approximately 1.5-2 m distance. Therefore 505 different images in total were obtained which were obtained with a mobile device with resolutions ranging from 1024 × 768 to 3042 × 4032 pixels. The following image pre-processing techniques were automatically performed by Tensorflow: normalization, quantization, crop image, resize (224 × 224), rotate and basic filters. In this way, resolution limitation in the system was avoided and the system could operate at any resolution value. BCS scores of the animal were determined by the expert using these images. This data set was used in the training of CNN architectures. However, before entering the training, the images were pre-processed and the regions of interest (ROI) to be used in BCS estimation were cut. The data set obtained was divided into classes with a sensitivity of 1.0. Of the 505 images in total, 40 were Score 1, 161 were Score 2, 221 were Score 3, 63 were Score 4, and 20 were Score 5. The obtained images and their pre-processed versions are shown in Figure 8 for each score value.

![Figure 8. Data set and pre-processing.](image)

In the second stage of the study, training of the data set is performed. In the pre-training process of the data set, it is seen that VGG19 network achieved higher success rate. Therefore, in the training of the data set, VGG19 network was used [4], [16]. The Python programming language and TensorFlow and Keras libraries were used to train the network since they are widely used in literature, have a successful infrastructure, and are easy to apply for mobile systems. Table 3 shows the VGG19 based CNN architecture used in the study.
TABLE 3. CNN Architecture used in the study.

| Layer (type)       | Output Shape                  | Parameter |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|
| input_1 (InputLayer) | (None, 224, 224, 3)           | 0         |
| block1_conv1 (Conv2D) | (None, 224, 224, 64)          | 1792      |
| block1_conv2 (Conv2D) | (None, 224, 224, 64)          | 36928     |
| block1_pool (MaxPooling2D) | (None, 112, 112, 64)          | 0         |
| block2_conv1 (Conv2D) | (None, 112, 112, 128)         | 73856     |
| block2_conv2 (Conv2D) | (None, 112, 112, 128)         | 147584    |
| block2_pool (MaxPooling2D) | (None, 56, 56, 128)          | 0         |
| block3_conv1 (Conv2D) | (None, 56, 56, 256)          | 295168    |
| block3_conv2 (Conv2D) | (None, 56, 56, 256)          | 590080    |
| block3_conv3 (Conv2D) | (None, 56, 56, 256)          | 590080    |
| block3_conv4 (Conv2D) | (None, 56, 56, 256)          | 590080    |
| block3_pool (MaxPooling2D) | (None, 28, 28, 256)          | 0         |
| block4_conv1 (Conv2D) | (None, 28, 28, 512)          | 1180160   |
| block4_conv2 (Conv2D) | (None, 28, 28, 512)          | 2359808   |
| block4_conv3 (Conv2D) | (None, 28, 28, 512)          | 2359808   |
| block4_conv4 (Conv2D) | (None, 28, 28, 512)          | 2359808   |
| block4_pool (MaxPooling2D) | (None, 14, 14, 512)         | 0         |
| block5_conv1 (Conv2D) | (None, 14, 14, 512)          | 2359808   |
| block5_conv2 (Conv2D) | (None, 14, 14, 512)          | 2359808   |
| block5_conv3 (Conv2D) | (None, 14, 14, 512)          | 2359808   |
| block5_conv4 (Conv2D) | (None, 14, 14, 512)          | 2359808   |
| block5_pool (MaxPooling2D) | (None, 7, 7, 512)           | 0         |
| global_average_pooling2d | (Gi (None, 512)          | 0         |
| dense (Dense) | (None, 4096)                       | 2101248   |
| dense_1 (Dense) | (None, 5)                        | 20485     |

Total parameters: 22,146,117
Trainable parameters: 2,121,733
Non-trainable parameters: 20,024,384

The computer on which the data was trained has GTS450 GDDR5 1GB 128Bit Nvidia GeForce DX11 GPU card with Intel i7-2600 3.40 GHz processor, 18 GB RAM, running under 64 Bit Windows 10 operating system. The designed CNN was trained by running 200 steps with pre-processed data (505 original images were used in a data augmentation process to increase the image set to 2020 using such augmentation techniques as rotation, horizontal flip and vertical flip) and this process lasted 2 hours and 45 minutes in total (using these training parameters: optimizer = ‘adam’, loss = ‘categorical_crossentropy’, max_epochs = 200, metrics = ‘accuracy’, BATCH_SIZE = 32). The accuracy and loss values that occurred during the training phase are shown in Figure 9.

FIGURE 9. Change in loss and accuracy values that occurred during the training process.

Confusion matrix (CM) is the method widely used in the literature to evaluate the results of classification problems. CM includes the display of the number of similarities between the estimated values and the actual values in a table. The values in the table are True Positives (TP), True Negatives (TN), False Positives (FP) and False Negatives (FN). A standard CM is shown in Table 4 [37].

CM resulting from the training of the system with the help of VGG19 pre-trained network is shown in Table 5.

The software designed for the tests was used in an enterprise by the expert zootechnician. The expert used the mobile application to score the animals in the enterprise and then recorded the score information based on his own expertise.
These regions were labeled according to the BCS value. Images used by the expert to determine BCS were cropped. Class they belonged to in the range of 1-5. The regions of these expert. 505 images in total were distinguished according to the class, enterprise were classified into classes by expert zootechnician. In addition, thanks to mobile support, it is clear to see the single image of the animal was taken from the back of the animal. Unlike other studies in the literature, the architecture proposed in the study was designed using a pre-trained VGG19 network. Unlike other studies in the literature, the training data that directly affects the classification performance of the system is important. In future studies, the success of the system can be increased by changing the pre-trained CNN architectures used in the system. However, considering that CNN architecture will operate on a mobile device, the success of networks with more layers may be inversely proportional to the real-time operating performance of the system. In addition, the size of the training data that directly affects the classification performance of the system is important. In future studies, tests can be performed using different CNN architectures and different mobile platforms and data with more training clusters.
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The results of combining deep architectures with mobile-based designs in the realization of BCS determination problem using real-time images are quite promising. In addition, the success of the system can be increased by changing the pre-trained CNN architectures used in the system. However, considering that CNN architecture will operate on a mobile device, the success of networks with more layers may be inversely proportional to the real-time operating performance of the system. In addition, the size of the training data that directly affects the classification performance of the system is important. In future studies, tests can be performed using different CNN architectures and different mobile platforms and data with more training clusters.
A. Krizhevsky, I. Sutskever, and G. E. Hinton, “ImageNet classification,” Trends Cognit. Sci., vol. 17, pp. 1248–1255, Dec. 2019.

W. Kelllogg, Body Condition Scoring With Dairy Cattle (Department of Agriculture, and County Governments Cooperating), Fayetteville, Arkansas: Univ. Arkansas, 2010, pp. 1–6.

A. J. Heinrichs and V. A. Ishler. (2020). Body Condition Scoring as a Tool for Dairy Herd Management. Diary Cattle. Accessed: May 7, 2020. [Online]. Available: https://dairy-cattle.extension.org/body-condition-scoring-as-a-tool-for-dairy-herd-management/

K. K. Çevik, M. Boğa, “Body condition score (BCS) segmentation and classification in dairy cows using R-CNN deep learning architecture,” Eur. J. Sci. Technol., vol. 17, pp. 1248–1255, Dec. 2019.

C. Tan, F. Sun, T. Kong, W. Zhang, C. Yang, and C. Liu, “A survey on deep transfer learning,” in Artificial Neural Networks and Machine Learning—ICANN. Cham, Switzerland: Springer, 2018, pp. 270–279.

C. Szegedy, W. Liu, Y. Jia, P. Sermanet, S. Reed, D. Anguelov, D. Erhan, V. Vanhoucke, and A. Rabinovich, “Going deeper with convolutions,” in Proc. IEEE Conf. Comput. Vis. Pattern Recognit. (CVPR), Jun. 2015, pp. 1–9.

K. Simonyan and A. Zisserman, “Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition,” 2014, arXiv:1409.1556. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/1409.1556

K. He, X. Zhang, S. Ren, and J. Sun, “Deep residual learning for image recognition,” in Proc. IEEE Conf. Comput. Vis. Pattern Recognit. (CVPR), Jun. 2016, pp. 770–777.

Ö. Çelik and A. F. Aslan, “Gender prediction from social media comments for machine learning,” in Proc. Adv. Neural Inf. Process. Syst. (ICANN). Cham, Switzerland: Springer, 2018, pp. 270–279.

C. Szegedy, V. Vanhoucke, S. Ioffe, J. Shlens, and Z. Wojna, “Rethinking the inception architecture for computer vision,” in Proc. IEEE Conf. Comput. Vis. Pattern Recognit. (CVPR), Jun. 2016, pp. 2818–2826.

B. Zoph, V. Vasudevan, J. Shlens, and Q. V. Le, “Learning transferable architectures for scalable image recognition,” in Proc. IEEE/CVF Conf. Comput. Vis. Pattern Recognit., Jun. 2018, pp. 8697–8710.

P. Murugan, “Feed forward and backward run in deep convolution neural network,” 2017, arXiv:1711.03278. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/1711.03278

F. Agostinelli, M. Hoffmann, P. Sadowski, and P. Baldi, “Learning activation functions to improve deep neural networks,” 2014, arXiv:1412.6830. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/1412.6830

K. K. Çevik and M. Boğa, “Body condition score (BCS) segmentation and classification in dairy cows using R-CNN deep learning architecture,” Eur. J. Sci. Technol., vol. 17, pp. 1248–1255, Dec. 2019.