An integrated framework of coastal flood modelling under the failures of sea dikes: a case study in Shanghai
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Abstract
Climate change leads to sea level rise worldwide, as well as increases in the intensity and frequency of tropical cyclones (TC’s). Storm surge induced by TC’s, together with spring tides, threatens to cause failure of flood defenses, resulting in massive flooding in low-lying coastal areas. However, limited research has been done on the combined effects of the increasing intensity of TC’s and sea level rise on the characteristics of coastal flooding due to the failure of sea dikes. This paper investigates the spatial variation of coastal flooding due to the failure of sea dikes subject to past and future TC climatology and sea level rise, via a case study of a low-lying deltaic city- Shanghai, China. Using a hydrodynamic model and a spectral wave model, storm tide and wave parameters were calculated as input for an empirical model of overtopping discharge rate. The results show that the change of storm climatology together with relative sea level rise (RSLR) largely exacerbates the coastal hazard for Shanghai in the future, in which RSLR is likely to have a larger effect than the TC climatology change on future coastal flooding in Shanghai. In addition, the coastal flood hazard will increase to a large extent in terms of the flood water volume for each corresponding given return period. The approach developed in this paper can also be utilized to investigate future flood risk for other low-lying coastal regions.
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1 Introduction
Climate change leads to sea level rise (SLR) and also intensification of tropical cyclones (TC’s) worldwide (Bhatia et al. 2019; Holland and Bruyère 2014; Knutson et al. 2015). Studies show that the frequency of TC’s will increase in most locations over the twenty-first
century (Bhatia et al. 2018), especially in the western North Pacific (Emanuel 2013; Warner and Tissot 2012). Storm surge (SS) is a complex function of TC characteristics (e.g. storm size and forward speed) (Irish et al. 2008; Rego and Li 2009) and coastal geometry and bathymetry (Lin et al. 2012; Resio and Westerink 2008). SS is driven by surface wind and sea level pressure fields (Lin et al. 2012). Among these, wind stress plays a dominant role (~90%) in generating surge over broad, shallow continental shelves; thus the increasing intensification of TC’s will lead to higher SS (Ali 1999). Therefore, flooding due to SS in combination with spring tide is projected to become more frequent and severe in coastal areas (Mousavi et al. 2011; Woodruff et al. 2013). TC’s in the northwest Pacific basin are called typhoons (Needham et al. 2015). Mei and Xie (2016) found that typhoons that strike East Asia have intensified by 12–15% in the period 1977–2014 and typhoons striking eastern mainland China will further intensify with the projected ocean surface warming pattern under increasing greenhouse gas forcing. In recent years, typhoon-induced flooding has caused massive economic damage and posed great threats to residents in coastal areas (Acosta et al. 2016; Pham et al. 2018; Yin et al. 2013a). Typhoon Haiyan (2013) resulted in 6300 deaths, 1061 missing and 28,689 injured in the Philippines (Lagmay et al. 2015). Typhoon Hagibis (2019) caused at least 80 deaths, and affected 135,000 people (Tay et al. 2020) and substantially damaged coastal infrastructure in Japan (Shimozono et al. 2020). Therefore, it is important to carry out quantitative assessment of the potential risk of TC-induced SS and flooding for densely populated cities and river deltas (Walsh et al. 2016).

Under the context of climate change, coastal flooding associated with land-falling TC’s depends both on SLR and TC intensity (Woodruff et al. 2013). Global SLR is projected to be 0.59–1.1 m under the RCP8.5 scenario by the end of this century. Beyond 2050, the rise rate of global sea level is projected with large uncertainty due to continuing deep ocean heat uptake and mass loss of the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets. Meanwhile, studies show with high confidence that sea level will remain elevated for thousands of years (IPCC 2019). Furthermore, land subsidence is the most important cause of future changes in relative sea level (RSL) in many delta regions (IPCC 2019). A recent study showed that a total of 68% of the global coastal flooded area will be caused by tide and storm events exacerbated by projected regional SLR (Kirezci et al. 2020). Apart from SLR, the impacts of climate change on TC climatology have been given special attention due to the uncertainty embedded in the TC system. Woodruff et al. (2013) highlighted that joint consideration of TC climatology, RSLR and shoreline change is crucial for accurate assessment of future flood risks. Lin et al. (2012) studied the combined effect of storm climatology change and SLR, and presented that the return period of SS flooding will be largely reduced (in other words, events will be more frequent) in New York City by the end of the century. In addition, a recent study stressed that the effect of TC climatology change is likely to be larger than the effect of SLR for over 40% of coastal counties in the Gulf of Mexico (Marsooli et al. 2019). Besides the dynamic characteristics of these external forcing factors, however, few studies of coastal flooding have taken into account the effects of failure of coastal flood defences which were designed to protect the inland area from the sea. Yin et al. (2020) combined reliability analysis with hydrodynamic modelling to quantify the effect of projected RSLR on dike failures and flood hazard for Shanghai while neglecting the change of storm climatology. In order to study coastal flood hazard under climate change, this paper intends to develop a hydrodynamic modelling framework to investigate coastal flooding considering the failure of dikes, and further analyses the individual and combined effects of climatology change and RSLR. This is done for the case study of the Shanghai coastal area, one of the most flood-exposed megacities in the world (Hanson et al. 2011). This is the first study and application of storm climatology change in the Yangtze Estuary area.
This paper is structured as follows. Section 2 describes the study area, including typhoons under past and future climate scenarios, SLR and land subsidence. Section 3 introduces the boundary conditions computed by a hydrodynamic model and a model for overtopping and failure of coastal dikes. Section 4 discusses the resulting coastal overland flooding under past and future climates. Section 5 presents the conclusions.

2 Study area

2.1 Sea dike

Shanghai is located in the estuary of the Yangtze River, on the western boundary of the East China Sea. It is a metropolis with a population of 24 million in 2018 (SSB 2019). It is ranked as one of the top 10 cities in the world in terms of expected population and assets exposed to coastal flooding by the 2070s (Hanson et al. 2011) and the most vulnerable city to coastal flooding worldwide by 2100 (Balica et al. 2012). Due to its low-lying flat topography, about 85% of the territory is prone to both astronomical high tides and a frequent occurrence of storm surges (Du et al. 2020). On average, Shanghai is affected by typhoons 2–3 time a year (Zong and Chen 2002). The induced SS is typically ~ 1 m (highest record: 1.86 m), combined with a high astronomical tide of 2–3 m above m.s.l, flooding would occur regularly if no dikes were built along the coast. With the protection of sea dikes, Shanghai is designed to withstand storms of occurrence probability of 1/100—1/200 per year (p.y.) in the coastal area. In the past, Typhoon Gloria (1949) impacted Shanghai coincident with a spring tide. 40 km of sea dikes were damaged along the Pudong coast and completely breached over more than 10 km (Shen, 1997). However, the construction standard of the sea dikes was quite different from now. The current crest height of the sea dike was measured through a field investigation by the authors in September 2019. It was found that the height of dikes at Jinshan was generally higher than those at Pudong district (see Fig. 1), despite Pudong being a newly developed area with critical infrastructures (such as PVG airport) to protect. However, high dike crest heights at Jinshan are also needed to protect economically important areas like the SINOPEC industry. These dikes were constructed in the 1990s and are regularly inspected and maintained by local authorities. So far (since 2000), there has been no report of failure of a coastal dike in the Shanghai area.

Nonetheless, owing to SLR and land subsidence, typhoon-induced SS and wave set-up pose a wave overtopping threat to the sea dikes. The length of dike which can be overtopped will increase 46% by 2100 in Shanghai (Wang et al. 2011). Overtopping may not only result in flooding, but also put sea dikes at risk of breaching. Overtopping-induced dike breaching is one of the most frequent causes of coastal dike failure, and this can potentially cause tremendous damage to the local community (Liang et al. 2020).

2.2 Typhoons under climate change

SS from typhoons under historical and future climates is evaluated using pressure and wind fields generated by a meteorological model. The predictability of TCs is affected by small scale processes, in particular for their intensities (Zhang et al. 2014), although there is evidence of improvements by increasing meteorological model resolution to the scale of few kilometres, which allows explicit resolution of some deep convection processes. Similar considerations are valid for TC projections under climate change; very high resolution
might be needed to represent changes in physical processes under the perturbed climate (Gutmann et al. 2018).

The climate projections for TCs used in this study are based on a downscaling experiment using the Regional Atmosphere and Land (RAL) configuration for kilometre scale modelling (Bush et al. 2020), with the settings of the mid-latitude climate configuration of UK Climate Prediction 2018 (Fosser et al. 2020), referred to as HadREM3-RA1M. The model has been run at a resolution of 4 km and without including a parameterized convection scheme, which are then exclusively represented by the model dynamics. This experimental set-up also includes an intermediate nest at 12 km resolution, expected to fill the gap between the typical resolution of General Circulation Model (> 100 km) and the 4 km resolution of the convection permitting model (Leduc and Laprise 2009;
Matte et al. 2017). The resulting double nesting set-up has shown improved skill in sensitivity tests with respect to the single nesting option (Fosser et al. 2019).

The design of the double nesting experiment over Southern China is aimed at optimizing the representation of TCs in this area. For this purpose, the 12 km external domain includes a large fraction of the Western Pacific in order to capture the main cyclogenesis regions to ensure that all the TCs imported at the boundary of the 4 km domain have fully developed at the 12 km resolution, while the 4 km domain has been set by placing the region of interest toward the centre of the domain to avoid numerical effects at the boundaries and the development of fully resolved storms at 4 km resolution. The climate projections used to generate boundary conditions for this double nesting experiments have been taken from the Hadley Centre model HadGEM2-ES (Collins et al. 2011) simulations included in the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (Taylor et al. 2012) model. In particular, two 20-year time slices have been simulated, with the baseline taken from the historical simulation for the period 1981–2000, and the future projection from the RCP8.5 forcing scenario (Moss et al. 2010) for the period 2080–2099. The RCP8.5 pathway was developed to explore a high-risk future; given the user requirements of this project, it was decided to select the RCPs that we considered the most conservative for decision makers. The configurations of these two HadGEM2-ES simulations have been described in Jones et al. (2011). In this context, it is worth mentioning the good comparison with observations of large-scale circulation relevant for TC formation and evolution, and sea surface temperature (SST) for the historical run.

Results from the 4 km simulations driven by the historical HadGEM2-ES projections have shown good frequency of events with respect to observed tracks and an improvement in the representation of TC intensities with respect to the coarser resolution models, while the results for the future simulation under the HadGEM2-ES show a strong increase in intensity, expected from the strong SST warming (~ 3.5 K) in the Western Pacific projected from the HadGEM2-ES simulations (which are prescribed in the double nesting experiment). The 4 km simulation model created 111 and 103 typhoon events in the past period and future periods, respectively. Figure 2 shows the trajectories of all the modelled typhoons in the past period and under the RCP 8.5 scenario in the future period. The surface wind and air-pressure fields were then converted into

![Fig. 2 Trajectories of the modelled typhoons in the past period 1980–2000 and under the RCP8.5 climate scenario in the future period of 2080–2099 (red star represents the location of Shanghai city)](image)
spiderweb format (Deltares 2021), which is used as metrological forcing for the hydrodynamic and wave models.

2.3 Sea level rise and land subsidence

IPCC (2019) predicts a median global mean sea level rise of 84 cm (RCP 8.5) by 2100 relative to the period 1986–2005. During the last 30 years, absolute sea level has risen at a mean rate of 3.8 mm/year in Shanghai, which is higher than the global and national average rates (Yin et al. 2013b). The China Sea Level Bulletin (Ministry of Natural Resource of China 2019) projects 75–155 mm SLR by the end of 2050, relative to 2018, in Shanghai. Following previous research on the prediction of SLR in Shanghai (Wang et al. 2018), we set the absolute sea level rise to 75–90 cm with a median estimate of 82.5 cm in the period 2081–2099 with respect to the baseline year of 2010.

Moreover, local anthropogenic subsidence is currently the most important contributor to future changes in RSL at the coast (IPCC 2019). Shanghai has experienced large-scale land subsidence since the beginning of twentieth century (He et al. 2019). This causes the coastal protection works (e.g. earth and rock filled sea dikes) to deform and malfunction. In the central metropolitan area, the land subsidence rate reached approximately 25–60 mm/year during 1995–2001 (Wang et al. 2018). The land subsidence rate was controlled by recharging groundwater to aquifers after this period. In the future, the average subsidence rate is estimated at 6 mm/year ± 1 mm/year (Yin et al. 2013b). In this research, the accounted land subsidence for sea dike deformation is estimated as 30–42 cm with a median estimate of 36.5 cm in the period 2081–2099. In our analysis, the RSLR (absolute SLR plus land subsidence) was taken into account in the parameters of calculation of overtopping discharge rate (i.e. crest height, berm elevation, crown wall elevation and toe elevation of dike).

3 Model set-up and validation

A hydrodynamic model and a wave model in the East China Sea were established to calculate the hydraulic boundary conditions needed to estimate overtopping and breaching flow discharge during the typhoons. Given the calculated time series of (overtopping and breach) flow discharge at specific locations along Shanghai’s sea dike, flood extent and depth were computed based on an overland flooding model. The analysis is conducted via the following steps:

Step 1 coastal hydrodynamic model set-up and validation against astronomical tides and historical typhoon storm tide.
Step 2 wave model set-up and validation against measurements from a historical typhoon.
Step 3 overtopping discharge rate calculation for hypothetical typhoons under past and future climates.
Step 4 breach discharge calculation for hypothetical typhoons under past and future climates.
Step 5 flood inundation model set-up and simulation with hypothetical typhoons under past and future climate scenarios.
Overtopping is taken as the main failure mechanism of the sea dikes and it is also assumed to be the main reason for any potential dike breach. The overtopping discharge is calculated empirically, then used to drive the overland flood model. More details for each model will be explained in the following sub-sections.

3.1 Storm surge model

D-Flow Flexible Mesh (DFM) (Deltares 2020) is based on the numerical solution of Reynolds averaged shallow water equations for incompressible free surface flow. The spatial discretization of the equations in DFM, which adopts a mix of structured and unstructured grids, is performed using a cell-centred finite volume method. Time integration for the advection terms of the shallow water equations is performed using an explicit scheme, and time integration for the barotropic terms uses an implicit scheme to improve efficiency (Kernkamp et al. 2011). Due to the stability restriction of using an explicit scheme, a dynamic time step is adopted whereby the model specifies the time step for each computational step to help maintain model stability and performance. This model has the capability to run on a highly flexible unstructured grid allowing fluid motion over a complex coastal geometry. It has a proven capability to simulate tidal circulation and SS propagation in complex coastal environments with a high degree of accuracy (Kernkamp et al. 2011; Symonds et al. 2017; De Goede 2020).

The hydrodynamic model is validated against measured astronomical tides and measured storm tides (astronomical tide plus SS). The domain of the model covers the East China Sea, Hangzhou Bay (HZB), the Yangtze Estuary (YE), the downstream reach of the Yangtze River, and river networks in Shanghai, roughly ranging from 24 to 34°N and 118°E to 128°E, and consists of 69,000 mesh cells (see Fig. 3). Bathymetry data comes from local refined digitized bathymetry (Wang et al. 2018) and GEBCO14 (http://www.gebco.net). Depths of the river and canal networks were provided by the Shanghai Water Authority (surveyed in the 2010’s). A Manning’s n of 0.026 m−1/3 is applied in the East China Sea and 0.012–0.022 m−1/3 is applied in the Yangtze Estuary and near shore. Discharge at the Yangtze River boundary (see Datong station in Fig. 3) was assumed to be 31,000 m3/s, based on the average daily measured flow during the period 1998–2015 (provided by Yangtze Estuary Hydrology and Water Resources Survey Bureau). Astronomical tidal elevations on the open boundaries applied harmonics from the OTIS regional solution (Egbert and Erofeeva 2002). For the storm tide case, the proposed wind drag coefficients (Chu et al. 2019) were used based on Zijlema et al (2012) adapted from Smith and Banke (1975).

The astronomical tide was validated by comparing model output to observations at tide stations (see Fig. 1) near Shanghai during non-typhoon periods. Measured hourly tide data on 22–23 July and 29–30 July in 2007 were obtained from the State Key Laboratory of Estuarine and Coastal Research at East China Normal University. Results of this validation are shown in Fig. 13 (see Appendix 1). The height datum is respect to 1985 Chinese National datum. The station-averaged root mean square error (RMSE) is 0.16 m, with a 0.98 correlation coefficient (CC), indicating a good performance of tide prediction.

Storm tide (with meteorological forcing provided by the Shanghai Climate Center, Shanghai Meteorological Service) is validated against hourly water level measurements during Typhoon Winnie in 1997. Figure 14 shows good agreement between the measured and modeled water levels at Wusongkou (WSK), Beichaozhong (BCZ), Changxing (CX), Luchaogang (LCG), Tanhudao (THD) and Xiaoyangshan (XYS) (see storm tide location in
The average RMSE of these stations is 0.4 m, with a 0.96 correlation coefficient (CC). The highest storm tide, which dominates overtopping, is simulated well. Low-tide troughs in water level at some times were underestimated, likely due to bathymetric data that did not exactly match the actual state of the seabed in 1997, as the nearshore water depth is strongly affected by dredging the navigation channel and modification of sediment loading of the Yangtze River. However, these low tides do not have a strong impact on overtopping of the coastal dike. The astronomical and storm tide validation results show that the DFM SS model has capability to predict reasonable water levels along the coast of Shanghai during typhoons.

**3.2 Wave model**

Waves were simulated by a numerical model—SWAN, which is a spectral wave model that solves the wave action balance equation. In SWAN, the wave direction space was equally discretized into 36 bins and wave frequency space was logarithmically distributed into 24 bins with a range of 0.05—1.0 Hz. Bottom friction was based on the empirical JONSWAP formulation with a friction coefficient 0.038 m²s⁻³ (Zijlema 2010). Quadruplet and triad wave interactions were both activated with default values. The domain of the SWAN model is similar to that of the hydrodynamic model. Two nested, structured grids were used (see Fig. 4). The larger domain is the same as the coarse resolution SS model; the nested part is more detailed and closer to the Shanghai coast with a resolution of 30 m. Other input data are identical to that of the hydrodynamic model.
The wave model was validated against 6-hourly observations from a buoy (123.59° E, 29.29° N) digitized from Ge et al. (2013) during Typhoon Shikula in 2002. Winds and pressure input to the model are from the Japan Meteorological Association (JMA) storm track, spatialized by the Holland model (Holland et al. 2010). A validation of wind speed was conducted by Yin et al. (2020). Figure 15 shows the resulting significant wave height and peak period, which agree well with measurements, with RMSE of 0.7 m and 1.9 s, respectively. Breaking-wave-induced water level set-up is approximated as 5% of the significant wave height at the shoreline (Feng et al. 2011; Yamanaka et al. 2020).

### 3.3 Overtopping

The coastal area of Shanghai is protected by various sea dikes that generally consist of a revetment on the outside slope, a crown wall, and an inner slope consisting of grass and clay (see Fig. 5).

An empirical model (see Eq. 1)) from the Chinese Guideline of Dike Design (GB/T 51015-2014) is used to estimate wave overtopping discharge, with physical quantities defined as shown in Fig. 5. We assume all the dikes have good quality of grass cover on the inner slope, with clay-filled geo-bags underneath.

$$q = 0.07 \frac{h_c}{u} \exp \left( 0.5 - \frac{b_1}{2H_s} \right) BK_{A} \frac{H_s}{T_p} \left[ \frac{0.3}{\sqrt{1/\tan\alpha}} + \tanh \left( \frac{d}{H_s} - 2.8 \right)^2 \right] \log \sqrt{\frac{gT_p^2}{2\pi H_s\tan\alpha}}$$

(1)
where $K_A$—influence factor for roughness on the slope $[\cdot]$; this value is suggested as 1.0, 0.49, 0.4, 0.5 for revetment material of concrete slabs, riprap, dolos, and hollow squares, respectively., $H_s$—Significant wave height at toe of the structure [m], $d$—Water depth at toe of the structure [m], $g$—Gravity acceleration [m/s$^2$], $T_p$—peak period [s], $\tan\alpha$—outer slope $[\cdot]$, $R_c$—freeboard between still water level and crown wall crest [m], $b_1$—distance from crown wall to seaward edge of dike crest [m], $B$—empirical factor $[-]$; this value is suggested as 0.6, 0.25, 0.38 when the value of $\tan\alpha$ is 1/1.5, 1/2, 1/3, respectively.

$H_s$ is assumed to be 85% of the model result (of significant wave height) nearshore to account for wave breaking in shallow water before the toe of the dike (Van der Meer and Janssen 2002). The empirical parameter $B$ is a function of slope $m$, where $B = 0.38$ for $m = 3$. The empirical parameter $K_A$ is a function of revetment type, where $K_A = 1.0$ for a concrete slab. $H_{m0}$ is incident significant wave height, and $T_p$ is peak period.

To validate the overtopping calculation, Typhoon Winnie (1997) was simulated as described in Sect. 3.1, with the addition of the wave model and overtopping calculation. Figure 6 shows a maximum overtopping discharge value of 0.13 m$^3$/s/m in Fengxian district. Overtopping at Pudong and Jinshan was calculated as 0.1 m$^3$/m/s and 0.04 m$^3$/m/s,
respectively. This result is consistent with descriptions of overtopping of sea dikes during this typhoon (Xu 2006). It was reported that dikes were overtopped over a length of about 22.6 km at Fengxian and more than 10 locations along the sea dike were breached in Shanghai in 1997.

In China, the maximum overtopping discharge the dike can withstand without damage is typically assumed to be 0.01–0.05 m$^3$/m/s depending on the construction material of the front and rear slopes of the revetment and state of maintenance. Zhang et al. (2017) tested dike breaches by physical experiments in China. It was found that Chinese sea dikes will be completely unstable and then breach at an overtopping discharge of 0.117–0.424 m$^3$/m/s. During our field investigation of the sea dike in Shanghai, it was found that the revetment on the outer slope is mostly concrete, and the grass cover on the inner slope is generally in good condition. Additionally, considering Shanghai’s important economic position in China, the sea dike is assumed to be well maintained at the present time. Therefore, 0.2 m$^3$/m/s was taken as a threshold for further study (of breaching). This value is a metric for the overtopping resistance of the inner slope. It should be noted that overtopping is assumed to be the main cause of dike breaching in this paper. Locations that experience large overtopping discharge that exceeds a predefined threshold are expected to be the breaching locations.

### 3.4 Dike breach

According to Visser (1998b), there are three types of breaches distinguished by different geometrical and material conditions. When vertical erosion at the breach inflow is prevented or slowed down by a solid dike foundation (for instance a solid clay layer), by a solid berm structure on the dike outer slope, by solid toe protection on the dike outer slope, or by a solid and relatively high foreland (i.e. a pavement or solid clay ground), a Type A breach (shown in Fig. 7) takes place. Since the Shanghai dikes have solid berms with forelands, a type A breach is assumed in this paper.

The breach flow discharge is calculated with the broad-crested weir formulae (Visser 1998b).

\[
Q_{br} = m\sqrt{gB(H_w - H_p)}^{1/2} (H_p - Z_{br})
\]

or

\[
Q_{br} = m\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{3/2} \sqrt{gB(H_w - Z_{br})}^{3/2}
\]

![Fig. 7 Increase in d B, in time dt of breach width B near the inflow (Visser 1998a). Dashed lines indicate initial breach cross section, solid lines indicate breach cross section after time dt](image-url)
in which $Q_{br}$ is the flow discharge through the breach, [$m^3/s$]; $m$ is the discharge coefficient [-]; $g$ is the gravitational acceleration, [$m/s^2$]; $B$ is the averaged breach width over water depth, [m]; $H_w$ is the outer water level, i.e. water level of the river or sea, [m]; $Z_{br}$ is the height of the breach bottom, [m]; and $H_p$ is the water level in the polder behind the dike, [m].

The discharge coefficient $m$ is 1 in the broad-crested weir formula. In type A breaching, the berm structure is actually the breach bottom, so the height of the breach bottom ($Z_{br}$) is the height of the berm. The outer water level ($H_w$) is the sum of water level simulated by hydrodynamic model and the estimated wave set-up. The initial water level in the polder behind the dike ($H_p$) is assumed to be the bed level behind the dike. That is because the dike is assumed to breach in a short time and there is nearly no water behind the dike when the dike starts to breach. When the sea water level $H_w$ is higher than the water level behind the dike $H_p$, and the water level behind the dike $H_p$ is higher than the height of the dike berm $Z_{br}$, Eq. 2 is used to calculate the breach discharge; otherwise, Eq. 3 is used. In the absence of field observations, a sensitivity analysis is conducted for breach widths of 50 m, 100 m, 300 m, 500 m and 1,000 m, to see how breach width affects overland flooding.

### 3.5 Overland flood model

The overland flood model was driven by overtopping and breach discharges at the grid cells corresponding to the sea dike. Time series of discharge boundary conditions were imposed at each dike section. In the case of a dike breach, the breach discharge replaces the overtopping discharge. The model covers the mainland of Shanghai city with triangular cells of typical dimension 300 m (see Fig. 20 in the Appendix); and curvilinear grid cells cover the Huangpu River and other major canals. Model topography (see Fig. 21 in the Appendix) utilizes topographical data from the Shanghai Municipal Bureau of Surveying and Mapping (2010 version) (Wang et al. 2012). The overland roughness (i.e. Manning’s roughness coefficient) of the urban area was assigned a uniform value of 0.06 $sm^{-1/3}$ (Bricker et al. 2015).

### 4 Results and discussion

#### 4.1 Results

##### 4.1.1 Storm surge, storm tide and waves

The full cascade of models (hydrodynamics, waves, overtopping, breaching, and overland flooding) was used to evaluate the impact of the hypothetical typhoons generated under past and future climate scenarios. First, the top 5 typhoon events in each climate scenario (past and future) were selected based on the ranking of surge heights and named f1, f2, f3, f4, f5 for the future climate scenario and p1, p2, p3, p4, p5 for the past climate scenario. SS ranges from 0.2 m to 2.7 m in general (see Fig. 16). The maximum surge height in the YE (range 1.5–2.7 m) is generally higher than the maximum surge height in HZB (range 0.5–1.5 m), especially during the future events f1, f2, f4 and f5 under the RCP8.5 climate scenario. In addition, it was found that the SS height at WSK is increasingly higher in the future period than in the past period while LCG experiences the opposite trend. Recent research has showed that due to climate change, typhoons may travel further north (Altman
et al. 2018; Baldini et al. 2016; Kossin et al. 2014; Mbengue and Schneider 2017). This may lead to increasingly extreme high SS in the YE in the future.

SS can occur at different phases of the astronomic tide. SS coincided with a high astronomic tide at WSK during typhoon Winnie in 1997 (China Marine Disaster Bulletin, 1997, http://www.soast.gov.cn). In our simulations, we force the peak surge height and peak astronomic tide levels to occur coincidentally for all the locations along the coast. Since the tide phase differs at each location, we conservatively assume the highest astronomic tide occurs at the same time of peak surge. This conservative assumption was adopted to estimate the coastal flood boundary conditions as a function of SS return period alone (Environmental Agency 2019); calculation of risk due to the independent phase correlation of the SS with the astronomic tide is beyond the scope of this paper. The downside of this assumption is over-estimation of the extreme sea level; however, in order to pursue the potential maximum risk, we rather adopt this simple linear model to predict the still water level. The astronomic tide during typhoon Winnie (from 00:00:00 15-08-1997 to 00:00:00 21-08-1997) at WSK was used as the astronomic tide for all locations along Shanghai’s coastal dike during ten typhoon events. The resulting storm tide (see Fig. 17) was used for calculation of overtopping and breaching discharge in the next step. An example time series of astronomic tide, SS, wave set-up and storm tide at WSK is shown in Fig. 8. The peak height of the astronomic tide is 2.66 m (at 01:00:00 on a fictitious date 20-08-1997). SS reaches 2.56 m (at time step 76 hours) during typhoon f1. Wave set-up is very small, with a maximum value of 0.12 m in this case. Significant wave height can reach as high as nearly 5 m in the northern part of HZB in hypothetical typhoon f1. Due to the shallow water in the YE, wave height in HZB is generally higher (see Fig.18). Peak period (see Fig. 19) is 12–15 s in most of the past and future cases, especially along the north coast of HZB.

4.1.2 Return period

WSK was selected as a representative location to examine the probability of exceedance of typhoon-induced storm tide water levels. We have 111 and 103 typhoon events in the past
and future periods, respectively (see Sect. 2.2). Surge height as a function of return period was calculated (via a probability distribution using the generalized extreme value (GEV) distribution) based on annual maximum surge height in the 20 years simulated for each climate scenario (past period: 1981–2000; future period: 2080–2099). Figure 9 shows the GEV fitting results and the range of uncertainty with 95% confidence interval. Blue and red dots represent the annual maximum surge height from the generated surge database in the past and future periods, respectively; solid and dashed lines represent the median estimation of the GEV fitting and lower/upper bounds of estimation, respectively. The top 5 surge heights at WSK in the future (typhoons f1, f2, f3, f4, f5) are 2.56 m, 2.04 m, 2 m, 1.92 m and 1.58 m; and in the past (typhoons p1, p2, p3, p4, p5) are 1.84 m, 1.68 m, 1.51 m, 1.32 m and 1.31 m. The corresponding return periods of the future storms are 54, 14, 13, 11 and 3 years, respectively; and of the past storms are 44, 23, 12, 5, and 4 years, respectively. These results are further applied to estimate storm tide and flood return periods. Figure 9 shows that the surge heights of the 5 future events (typhoons f1, f2, f3, f4, f5) become approximately 550, 90, 80, 60, and 15 years (respectively) if the future events are instead assigned return periods based on the GEV fit to data from the past climate scenario. This shows that at WSK, climate change leads to nonstationary SS statistics, with what used to be rare events (large return periods) becoming much more common in the future (small return periods).

### 4.1.3 Overtopping and breaching

Maximum overtopping discharge rates (m$^3$/s/m) along the coast during typhoons under past and future climates are shown in Fig. 10. In order to study the individual effects of RSLR and TC climatology change on the overtopping discharge, four scenarios were formulated: (a) past climate scenario with no RSLR as a baseline scenario; (b) past climate scenario with RSLR; (c) future climate scenario with no RSLR; (d) future climate scenario with RSLR. It should be noted that the RSLR is the median estimated value of 1.19 m under the RCP 8.5 scenario.

Typhoon p2 produced low SS and thus low overtopping discharge along the YE under the baseline scenario, while it generated a high overtopping discharge rate (as high as
nearly 2 m$^3$/s/m) in northern HZB (mainly in Fengxian and Jianshan districts). Typhoon p1 produced high discharge in the Pudong area. The other typhoons (p3, p4, p5) under the past climate generate a similar spatial distribution of overtopping as typhoon p1 (no more than 0.2 m$^3$/s/m). In scenario (b), RSLR was imposed for the past typhoons in the model and the results of overtopping discharge approximately doubled compared to the baseline.

Under the future climate in scenario (c), dikes at Baoshan and Pudong North exhibited a weakness to overtopping (with a high overtopping rate of nearly 1.6 m$^3$/m/s), especially during typhoon f1. On the other hand, a relatively low overtopping discharge rate was generally observed in Pudong south district (see Fig. 10). Moreover, typhoon f4 generated surprisingly high overtopping discharge (up to 0.6 m$^3$/s/m) in South Pudong and Fengxian districts. It can be seen that the overtopping discharge rate could reach up to 6 m$^3$/s/m along YE in scenario (d) during typhoon f1. In addition, if we do a cross comparison among all the scenarios, we find that the maximum overtopping discharge will increase under
climatology change alone, but not as significantly as due to the RSLR alone, while the combined effect of climatology change and RSLR leads to ~10 times more discharge than baseline scenario.

Furthermore, Fig. 10 indicates plausible breach and overtopping locations. Breaching occurred during two cases (out of five) in past climate scenario (a), in which one of the two (typhoon p1) causes limited breach in Baoshan and Pudong. In the future climate scenario (d), all five typhoons cause large breach sections (see the red lines in Fig.10). Typhoons f1, f2, f3, f4 breach over 60% of the dikes, while the remainder of the dikes were overtopped without breaching.

In order to quantitatively study the relative contribution of RSLR and TC climatology change to inundation, we calculate the difference in breach length when the effects of RSLR, TC climatology change, and their combination were considered in the scenarios. Differences in breach lengths between scenarios were normalized as $\Delta_{TC}, \Delta_{rslr}, \Delta_{combined}$, in Eq. (4). In Eq. (5) and Eq. (6), $\mu$ is a measure of the relative contribution of each individual factor, which is calculated by dividing $\Delta_{combined}$ by each individual difference.

$$\Delta_{TC} = \frac{L_{TC} - L_{baseline}}{L_{baseline}}; \Delta_{rslr} = \frac{L_{rslr} - L_{baseline}}{L_{baseline}}; \Delta_{combined} = \frac{L_{combined} - L_{baseline}}{L_{baseline}}$$ (4)

$$\mu_{TC} = \frac{\Delta_{TC}}{\Delta_{combined}} \times 100\%$$ (5)

$$\mu_{rslr} = \frac{\Delta_{rslr}}{\Delta_{combined}} \times 100\%$$ (6)

Here: $L_{baseline}$—breach length in scenario (a); $L_{rslr}, L_{TC}, L_{combined}$—breach length in scenarios (b), (c) and (d), respectively; $\Delta$—difference in each individual scenario’s breach length compares to baseline; $\mu$—relative contribution of TC climatology change vs. RSLR.

Figure 11 shows the percentage of breach and overtopping lengths for typhoon events under the four scenarios. RSLR ranging between 1.05 m and 1.32 m was taken into account in scenarios (b) and (d). The longer the red bars in Fig. 11 are, the more breaching exists. Figure 11 shows that RSLR is the dominating factor, which accounts for 50%—70% of the increase in breach length compared to the baseline scenario, while TC climatology change exhibits a smaller relative contribution of around 30%—40%.

4.1.4 Flood maps

Flooding due to overtopping alone is very limited in terms of inundation extent (which is represented by the flooded area divided by the whole area of mainland Shanghai) under typhoons p3, p4, p5 in scenario (a). As seen from Fig. 10, dike sections with overtopping discharge rate greater than 0.05 m$^3$/s/m are mainly in Pudong district in scenario (a). Accounting for time variation of the astronomical tide, SS, and wave conditions (see Fig. 8), overtopping discharge varied based on the variation of water level in front of dike. For each case, overtopping lasted only a few hours, while SS coincided with the high astronomical tide.

A sensitivity analysis of the effect of breach width on the flooding characteristics was conducted, with results as shown in Fig. 22. Breach widths of 50 m, 100 m, 300 m, 500 m and 1000 m were selected based on (1) an empirical model to predict the final breach width
in the Netherlands (Verheij et al. 2009) and (2) the observed record of breaches in the Zhaoyuan dike (max. breach width 530 m) and Paizhou dike (max. breach width 760 m) along the Yangtze River during a massive flood in 1998 (Verheij et al. 2009). Obviously, a breach width of 1000 m explicitly increases flood characteristics, producing extremely high average water depth (more than 7 m) and inundating all of Shanghai in scenario (d), for instance typhoon f1 (see Fig. 10). Susceptible areas deeply flooded in typhoons p2 in scenario (b) and f1,f3,f4 in scenario (d) are low-lying areas (see MC-main city; QP-Qingpu district; SJ—Songjiang district in Fig. 10); these areas are overwhelmed by the flood water from dike breaches along the Shanghai coast.

4.2 Discussion

4.2.1 Model coupling

This study computed inundation characteristics using off-line coupled models starting from a hydrodynamic model and wave model to compute hydraulic loads on discretized dike sections, and then the overtopping/breach discharge calculated by empirical models was provided as input boundary conditions to drive the flow routing in the overland flood...
model. Dike breaching is triggered in a deterministic way by an estimated tolerable limit of overtopping discharge (0.2 m³/s/m) in the system and an instantaneous collapse associated with a maximum breach width was assumed. Since the breach growth process was ignored, the breach outflow discharge was overestimated and led to an unrealistically large inundation depth and inundation extent in general. Therefore, time-dependent breach modelling is recommended. Also, a deterministic threshold was used to determine the point of breaching. However, probabilistic analysis of dike failure as parameterized by fragility curves (failure probability as a function of hydraulic load) would better quantify the uncertainty in failure and breaching.

4.2.2 Coastal flood hazard

We define coastal flood hazard as a function of flood water volume and the corresponding return period of the flood event. The return period of a typhoon is assumed to be the return period of the maximum water level generated by the typhoon in the past and future periods at WSK. Figure 12 shows flood water volume (the average value for five different breach width scenarios) decreases as the probability of exceedance of a flood event (the inverse of the return period of flood event) increases. As seen from Fig. 10, breaching mainly occurred on the dikes along the YE during most typhoon events, and the TC climatology change and RSLR in scenario (d) led to breaches along HZB during typhoons f1, f3, f4, which contributes to a large degree to the flood water volume. Even though the water level is not very high at WSK during typhoon p2 (with a small return period of ~ 30 years based on water level at WSK) this typhoon brings extremely high overtopping and potential breaches along HZB (in Fengxian and Jinshan Districts) especially in scenario (b). In addition, it can be seen that the flood hazard will largely increase in the future, in which all the top five typhoons under the future climate scenarios (c) and (d) have plausible dike breaches and result in large flood water volume while only two out of the top five typhoons

![Fig. 12](image-url)

**Fig. 12** Flood inundation volume corresponding to the return periods of each flood event for different scenarios. X-axis shows the probability exceedance of the flood event; y-axis shows the average flood water volume under all breach width scenarios; median estimation with lower and upper bounds of SLR for scenarios (b) and (d); PT—power fitting
in the past climate scenario led to dike breaches. In order to quantify the flood hazards under different scenarios, power fit curves were used and the area under each curve was calculated by integration (see Fig. 12). This area is regarded as the inundation volume hazard (m$^3$/year) under each scenario. The table in Fig. 12 shows that flood hazard in scenario (d) is greater than the hazard in scenario (b), which is greater than the hazard in scenario (c), which is greater than the hazard in scenario (a), even accounting for the uncertainty of RSLR. This result is in line with the study on the individual effects of RSLR and TC climatology change on future coastal flooding using the indicator of ‘breach length’ of sea dikes in Sect. 4.1.3, in which the lower and upper bound estimates of RSLR were taken into account for scenarios (b) and (d).

4.2.3 Limitations of approach

Although the presented results appear to be plausible and are accompanied by uncertainty estimations, it is clear that such comprehensive approaches have their limitations. The developed methodology considers the parameter uncertainty only, i.e. the relative variation of RSLR. The lower and upper boundaries of RSLR were taken into account in the calculation process of still water level, overtopping, breaching, and consequently flood hazard. However, uncertainties of other parameters in the overtopping and breach models are not taken into account. These uncertainties may stem from measurement errors or errors reported in the literature. For example, the crest height, toe of the dike, the berm height and crown wall, etc., were measured during a field investigation, but where sea dike access was impossible or unsafe, elevations were instead based on rough estimation. In addition, other stochastic parameters used mean or default values only. However, their uncertainties can be modelled by using statistical distributions. Another source of uncertainty is from the model itself. The overtopping and breaching models are empirical and theoretical relationships describing the physical processes. A historical typhoon event (Typhoon Winnie) was used to validate the overtopping results by a comparison of the reported locations of dike breach with the calculated overtopping discharge rate. The assumption of dike breach when overtopping discharge exceeds a threshold discharge rate has particularly large impacts on the resulting flood, but data on this threshold discharge are scarce and highly uncertain. Additional uncertainty is caused by the lack of calibration and validation of the breaching model due to insufficient data. However, sensitivity runs with different breach widths indicate that the uncertainty in the maximum water depth, flood extent and water volume is dominated by ultimate breach width (see Fig. 22). These uncertainties have to be taken into account when using the derived flood maps for future flood management planning. It is thus recommended to use the maps mainly for the identification of the most vulnerable areas, and to use the inundation depths with caution.
5 Conclusions

An integrated framework of coastal flood modelling for areas with coastal dikes considering the combined effects of SLR, land subsidence and increasing TC intensity was developed and implemented for the Shanghai coast. This integrated model is developed for prediction of flood inundation due to failure of dikes (overtopping and breaching) in the coastal city. Firstly, it proved that the developed SS and wave model can predict realistic results during typhoons in this region. The developed model can be used to predict SS and wave heights under any typhoon case (synthetic typhoons or best tracks of future typhoons). It can provide information for flood early warning centres or emergency management centres. Secondly, the methodology can also be applied to other cities in the world to examine coastal flooding due to failure of dikes (overtopping/breaching) instead assuming the whole dike would fail or be breached as in the conventional method. Therefore, this framework can contribute to an enhanced support for coastal managers to avoid or mitigate the effects of major disasters.

Unsurprisingly, we find that future TC climatology change causes a reduction in the return period of SS at WSK, due to the more common occurrence of intense typhoons (Fig. 9). Together with future RSLR, this increases the flood hazard to which Shanghai will be exposed in the future (Fig. 12). The continued application of this framework explored the effects of RSLR and the change of climatology on coastal flood hazards in Shanghai. It was found that RSLR is likely to have larger effect (50%–70%) than TC climatology change (30–40%) on dike breach length along the Shanghai coast (Fig. 11). This provides insights for decision makers on the strategic planning of coastal flood prevention in the future, specifically, to plan the maintenance of coastal sea dikes and other measures to address RSLR. In addition, damage assessors and flood risk managers (the downstream partners of this project) can further identify risky areas based on the flood hazard maps (Fig. 10).

Finally, we acknowledge that precipitation during the typhoons was not taken into account in this study. Knutson et al. (2010) pointed out precipitation intensity is projected to increase on the order of 20% within 100 km of the storm center due to climate change. In addition, it was found that the translation speed of TCs has decreased 10% globally since 1949, which may lead to an increase in rain intensity (Hall and Kossin 2019; Kossin 2018). Therefore, further work must look at the compound effects of SS and precipitation induced by typhoons on the change of flood patterns due to climate change in deltaic areas.

Appendix 1

See Figs. 13–22.
Fig. 13  Model results of tide compared to measured tide level (red lines indicate the model results, black points indicate measurements). Vertical datum is mean sea level.
Fig. 14 Model results of storm tide compared to measured water level (red lines indicate the model results, black points indicate measurements). Vertical datum is mean sea level.

Fig. 15 Measured vs. modeled significant wave height (SWH) and peak wave period at buoy station (123.59° E, 29.29° N) during Typhoon Shikula in 2002.
Fig. 16 Maximum storm surge for ten typhoon cases along the coast of Shanghai (relative to m.s.l) a past climate (1981–2000) and b future climate (2080–2099)
Fig. 17 Maximum storm tide for ten typhoon cases along the coast of Shanghai (relative to m.s.l) a past climate (1981–2000) and b future climate (2080–2099)
Fig. 18 Maximum significant wave height for ten typhoon cases along the coast of Shanghai: (a) past climate (1981–2000) and (b) future climate (2080–2099)
Fig. 19  Maximum wave peak period for ten typhoon cases along the coast of Shanghai  
(a) past climate (1981–2000)  
(b) future climate (2080–2099)
Fig. 20  Domain of overland flood model with triangular and curvilinear grid cells

Fig. 21  Topography (relative to Wusong datum) of the overland flow model
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Fig. 22 Comparison of flood characteristics due to dike breach in terms of maximum average water depth (m), flood extent and water volume (m³) under different breach widths (50 m, 100 m, 300 m, 500 m, 1000 m). The first row of results for scenarios (a) and (c), the second row of results is for scenarios (b) and (d).
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