A Study on Dual-band Microstrip Rectangular Patch Antenna for Wi-Fi
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Abstract

This paper presents the design of a dual-band microstrip patch antenna for Wi-Fi that operates at 2.5 GHz and 5.8 GHz. The antenna contains a rectangular patch with two rectangular slots. The first slot is incorporated in the patch while the second slot is incorporated in the ground plane. The antenna is based on a microstrip fed rectangular patch printed on the FR-4 epoxy substrate with a dielectric constant of 4.4 and a thickness of 1.6 mm with patch size 24 mm × 21 mm. The simulated result shows that the realized antenna successfully works on dual-band and subsequently achieves a bandwidth of 100 MHz and 200 MHz as well as the return loss about -29.9 dB and -15.16 dB for 2.5 GHz and 5.8 GHz respectively. A stable omnidirectional radiation pattern is observed in the operating frequency bands. The antenna meets the required specifications for 802.11 WLAN standards.
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1. Introduction

There are some emerging challenges, along with the rapid development of applications in wireless communication. To meet the requirement of a suitable antenna has become a big challenge in wireless communication. The microstrip patch antenna is very suitable for wireless applications because of its simple design, various shapes, ease of installation, and flexibility with Integrated Microwave and Millimeter-wave Circuits (MMIC) [1-3]. The essential feature of the microstrip patch antenna consists of four parts: a very flat metallic region usually referred to as the patch, a dielectric substrate, a ground plane normally much larger than the patch, and a feed supplying the power element [4].

Typically, a microstrip patch antenna radiates in a single frequency band. However, antennas are requiring in modern wireless communications that can operate in multi-bands such as 2.4/5.2/5.8 GHz for WLAN [5-6]. Multi-band operations can be accomplished by the simultaneous use of multiple antennas, which increases the cost and complexity of the system. Besides, the use of multiple antennas can cause problems of coupling, which can reduce the efficiency and performance of the antennas [7]. Dual-band microstrip patch antennas have become an active research topic, which aims to address the key limitations including low gain, narrow bandwidth, low efficiency, and low power handling capabilities. Similarly, several dual-band antennas were presented to cover 2.4/5.2/5.8 GHz for WLAN applications [8-9].

The research of microstrip antenna mainly focuses on the small size, wideband, multi-polarization, multi-band, and high gain. Many antennas have been improved to incorporate several communication standards into one system that fulfills the
growing demand for modern portable wireless communication devices. For this reason, several types of antenna designs have been proposed [10-18]. In [10], the authors have presented the design of low-profile coplanar waveguide (CPW) fed compact meandered patch antenna for dual-band operation. The presented antenna operates at 2 GHz and 5.32 GHz, respectively. In [11], the design of the dual-band microstrip patch antenna is presented for 2.4 GHz and 3.6 GHz. In [12], the authors have introduced a MIMO dual-band antenna for WLAN communication. In [13], a single feed dual-band WLAN microstrip patch antenna is presented for 2.4 GHz and 5 GHz. The dimension of the designed antenna is 56×46.1×4.3 mm$^3$.

In [14], a miniaturized dual-band patch antenna is presented by using the alumina (Al$_2$O$_3$ ceramic) substrate at a height of 4mm from the ground plane. The antenna is designed to operate at 2.45 GHz and 4.96 GHz. In [15], a compact planar dual-band antenna for WLAN applications is presented. The reported antenna is designed to operate at 2.4 GHz and 5.5 GHz. In [16], a single band T shaped microstrip patch antenna is presented for wireless local area network (WLAN) applications. In [17], the authors have presented a low profile microstrip patch antenna for dual-band operation operating at 1.8 GHz and 2.4 GHz. The dimension of the designed antenna is 56×56×1.6 mm$^3$. In [18], a microstrip patch antenna is proposed by introducing the ground defect technique to achieve the dual-band operation for 2.4 GHz and 5.8 GHz. The dimension of the designed antenna is 50×50×1.5 mm$^3$. A dual-band microstrip patch antenna with a right-angle triangular aperture slot introduced in [19] was operated at 1.8 GHz and 2.4 GHz. The compact single feed dual-band microstrip patch antenna was suggested for modern wireless communication system applications at 2.4 GHz and 2.6 GHz [20].

However, there are some limitations in the existing work such as these antennas could not deliver a uniform omnidirectional radiation pattern. They cover a multiband frequency band, but there is a limit to achieve broadband characteristics at 5.8 GHz for WLAN with wide antenna size. These antennas are not very compact and hardly achieve the bandwidth requirements of dual-band antennas. Hence, multi-band/dual-band antennas with small size characteristics need to be considered due to high demand. Therefore, the dual-band antenna is intended to be designed in this study.

In this study, we have designed a dual-band microstrip patch antenna of the configuration, 44×41 mm on a 1.6 mm thick FR-4 substrate for WLAN applications. The antenna comprises a rectangular patch with two rectangular slots placed on the patch and substrate. The slot placement specifies the antenna operating frequencies, a downlink frequency of 2.5 GHz with the return losses of -29.9 dB, and an uplink frequency of 5.8 GHz with the return losses of -15.16 dB. Additionally, the values of VSWR of 1.06 and 1.3 are achieved. The methodology and design of the dual-band antenna, parametric analysis and simulation results for the proposed antenna are discussed.

2. Design of Dual-Band Antenna

![Fig. 1 The geometry of the proposed dual-band microstrip patch antenna](image-url)
The proposed antenna is based on a microstrip fed rectangular patch, which is placed on the FR-4 substrate with a relative dielectric constant $\varepsilon_r$ of 4.4 and a loss tangent of $\tan \delta = 0.025$, respectively. The substrate has a thickness of $h_s = 1.6$ mm. The patch dimensions and positioning of the rectangular slots are analyzed through the standard antenna formulae and simulation-based optimization techniques in CST Microwave Studio Suite. Fig. 1 shows the rectangular microstrip patch antenna. The antenna normally consists of a rectangular metallic radiating patch of $L_p \times W_p$ size incorporated to one side of a dielectric substrate of $L_s \times W_s$ size with the relative permittivity $\varepsilon_{eff}$ and thickness $h_s$, which has a metallic ground plane on the other side. The radiating patch, which can take any possible shape as well as the feeding network implemented with different techniques, are usually photo-etched on the dielectric substrate.

A rectangular slot of $L_s \times W_s$ size is incorporated in the patch while a slot of $L_s \times W_s$ size is incorporated in the ground plane. The configuration of the design is demonstrated in Fig. 1. The second resonance is created by the slot technique within the radiating patch as well as a slot in the ground plane. The slot dimensions are carefully varied to allocate both resonances at the desired frequencies. By using the below equations, the starting values for the dimension of the antenna are calculated [21-22], and the final optimized design parameters are obtained by carrying out the parametric analysis to select the proper slot types, sizes, and positions. The patch antenna width $W_p$ is calculated by:

$$W_p = \frac{u_0}{2f_r} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\varepsilon_r + 1}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $u_0$ represents the free space velocity of light, $f_r$ represents the resonant frequency, and $\varepsilon_r$ represents the relative permittivity.

It is important to consider the effective dielectric constant of the substrate material since the field is not limited to the inside of the substrate material. However, it often propagates in the form of fringing in the air. The effective dielectric constant of substrate material is often smaller than the relative dielectric constant. The patch antenna actual physical length $L_p$ is determined by:

$$L_p = L_{eff} - 2\Delta L$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $L_{eff}$ is the effective length of patch antenna resulting from fringing fields and can be obtained by:

$$L_{eff} = \frac{u_0}{2f_0\sqrt{\varepsilon_{eff}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Because the fringing field dimensions of the patch extend along its length on each end by a distance $\Delta L$, the incremental length $\Delta L$ depends on the effective dielectric constant ($\varepsilon_{eff}$), and the ratio of width to height $W_p/h_s$. $\Delta L$ can be obtained by:

$$\Delta L = 0.412h_s \left( \frac{W_p}{h_s} + 0.264 \right)$$

$$\phantom{\Delta L} = (\varepsilon_{eff} - 0.258) \left( \frac{W_p}{h_s} + 0.8 \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $\varepsilon_{eff}$ is the effective dielectric constant on a substrate of the microstrip patch antenna, $h_s$ represents the height of the substrate, and $\rho$ represents the radiation coefficient.

$$\varepsilon_{eff} = \frac{\varepsilon_r + 1}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon_r - 1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{12h_s}{W_p} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)
\[ \text{VSWR} = \frac{1 + \rho}{1 - \rho} \quad (6) \]

\[ \text{Return loss} = -10\log\left(\frac{1}{\rho^2}\right) \quad (7) \]

The resonant input resistance \( R_{\text{in}} \) at a distance \( y = y_0 \) from the edge for the inset feed line is expressed as:

\[ R_{\text{in}}(y = y_0) = R_{\text{in}}(y = 0) \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{L_p} y_0\right) \quad (8) \]

For the patch antenna, the required value of \( R_{\text{in}}(y = y_0) \) should be 50 ohms, as the feed line is also of 50 ohms. The value of \( y_0 \) is the distance at which the patch antenna has \( R_{\text{in}} = 50 \) ohms. \( R_{\text{in}}(y = 0) \) is the resonant input resistance at the edge, which can be obtained by:

\[ R_{\text{in}}(y = 0) = \frac{1}{2(G_4 + G_{12})} \quad (9) \]

where \( G_4 \) is the self-conducting slots when two radiating slots characterize the microstrip patch antenna, and \( G_{12} \) is the mutual conductance between the slots as given by:

\[ G_4 = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{90} \left(\frac{W_p}{\lambda_0}\right)^2 & w \ll \lambda_0 \\ \frac{1}{120} \left(\frac{W_p}{\lambda_0}\right)^2 & w \gg \lambda_0 \end{cases} \quad (10) \]

\[ G_{12} = \frac{1}{120\pi^2} \int_0^\pi \left[ \frac{j_0(k_{W}W \sin \theta) \sin^3 \theta d\theta}{\cos \theta} \right]^2 \quad (11) \]

where \( \lambda_0 \) is the wavelength free-space, \( j_0 \) is the first kind of Bessel zero-order function, and \( k_0 \) is the wavenumber. Compared with self-inductance \( G_4 \), the mutual conductance \( G_{12} \) is usually very small. By arranging terms, the width of the microstrip feed line \( W_0 \) for the characteristic resistance of \( Z_c = 50 \) ohms is calculated as:

\[ Z_c = \begin{cases} \frac{60}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{\text{eff}}}} \ln\left(8h + \frac{W_0}{4h}\right) & \text{if } \frac{W_0}{h} \leq 1 \\ \frac{120\pi}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{\text{eff}}}} \left[\frac{W_0}{h} + 1.393 + 0.667 \ln\left(\frac{W_0}{h} + 1.44\right)\right] & \text{if } \frac{W_0}{h} \geq 1 \end{cases} \quad (12) \]

The junction capacitance is created by the inset feed and patch physical notch which disturbs the frequency of resonance.

\[ g = \frac{u_0}{\sqrt{2\varepsilon_{\text{eff}}}} \frac{4.65 \times 10^{-12}}{f_r} \quad (13) \]

3. Parametric Analysis

The substrate should be chosen carefully because its height as well as its dielectric constant plays great roles on the antenna performance and its total size. The most suitable substrates for good antenna performance are the thick substrates
whose dielectric constant is low, which provides better radiation efficiency, higher directivity, and wider bandwidth, at the larger size cost of the element. Table 1 lists the dielectric properties of various substrate materials.

| Material          | Relative Permittivity | Loss Tangent |
|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| FR-4              | 4.3                   | 0.025        |
| Rogers RT 6002    | 2.94                  | 0.0012       |
| Rogers RT 5880    | 2.2                   | 0.0009       |

In Fig. 2, the dashed-dot, solid, and short dashed-dot lines correspond to the results of FR-4 with $\sigma = 4.3\times10^7$ S/m, Rogers RT 6002 with $\sigma = 2.94\times10^7$ S/m, and Rogers RT 5880 with $\sigma = 2.2\times10^7$ S/m, respectively. We observe the impact of the various materials on the return loss. When the low permittivity material Rogers RT 5880 is used as a substrate, one resonance is not needed for dual-band operation. By using the Rogers RT 6002, the first resonant is achieved at 3 GHz and the second resonant is achieved at 6.9 GHz, which is unwanted. It can be noticed that by using FR-4, the first resonant is achieved at 2.5 GHz and the second resonant is achieved at 5.8 GHz. By analyzing the return loss and required desired frequency bands, FR-4 is selected to design the proposed antenna.

The comparative results for the different values of the patch width on the return loss are shown in Fig. 3. The dashed-dot, solid and short dashed-dot lines correspond to the results of the return loss having the patch width $W_p = 23$, $24$, and $25$ mm respectively. The other parameters remain unchanged, and the dual-band is observed with the above values of $W_p$. For the lower band, the return loss is low by using $W_p = 23$ and $25$ mm. For the upper band, the resonant is achieved at 6.02 GHz for $W_p = 23$ mm and 5.57 GHz for $W_p = 25$ mm. Thus, the optimized values can be deemed as $W_p = 24$ mm to achieve both bands at 2.5 GHz and 5.8 GHz with the return loss -29.9 dB and -15.16 dB respectively.

The comparative results for the different values of the patch length on the return loss are illustrated in Fig. 4. The dashed-dot, solid, and short dashed-dot lines correspond to the results of the return loss having the patch length $L_p = 20$, $21$, and $22$ mm respectively. The other parameters remain unchanged, and the dual-band is observed with the above values of $L_p$. It can be seen that as $L_p$ increases from 20 mm to 22 mm, the return loss decreases for both bands. For the lower band, the resonant is achieved at 2.8 GHz with -44.65 dB return loss for $L_p = 20$ mm and 2.27 GHz with -14.4 dB return loss for $L_p = 22$ mm. So, the optimized values can be considered as $L_p = 21$ mm to achieve both bands.

Fig. 5 depicts the simulated return loss for the different microstrip feed line ($L_o$) length values. $L_o$ is an important parameter that plays a part in deciding the desired frequency bands. The dashed-dot, solid, and short dashed-dot lines correspond to the results of return loss having $L_o = 9$, 10 and 11 mm respectively. The other parameters remain unchanged.
dual-band is observed by using the above values of $L_o$. For the lower band, the resonant is accomplished at 2.64 GHz with -17.28 dB return loss for $L_o = 9$ mm and 2.34 GHz with -18.50 dB return loss for $L_o = 11$ mm. Hence, the optimized values can be regarded as $L_o = 10$ mm to achieve both bands.

![Fig. 4 The influence of length of the patch ($L_p$) on the simulated return loss ($S_{11}$)](image)

![Fig. 5 The influence of length of microstrip feed line ($L_o$) on the simulated return loss ($S_{11}$)](image)

Fig. 6 indicates the simulated return loss for the different width values of the microstrip feed line ($W_o$). The dashed-dot, solid, and short dashed-dot lines correspond to the results of the return loss having $W_o = 2.5$, 3, and 3.5 mm respectively. The other parameters remain unchanged. The dual-band is observed by using the above values of $W_o$. The $W_o$ is an important parameter that controls the impedance matching. The optimized value is $W_o = 3$ mm for the appropriate characteristics of both bands.

![Fig. 6 The influence of width of microstrip feed line ($W_o$) on the simulated return loss ($S_{11}$)](image)

![Fig. 7 The influence of length of Slot-1 ($L_{S_1}$) on the simulated return loss ($S_{11}$)](image)

Fig. 7 depicts the simulated return loss for the different values of the length of slot-1 ($L_{S_1}$). The dashed-dot, solid, and short dashed-dot lines correspond to the results of the return loss having $L_{S_1} = 19$, 20, and 21 mm respectively. The other parameters are unchanged. The dual-band is observed with the above values of $L_{S_1}$. For the lower band, the return loss is high by using $L_{S_1} = 19$ and 21 mm. For the upper band, the resonant is attained at 5.7 GHz with -15.07 dB return loss for $L_{S_1} = 19$ mm and 5.8 GHz with -15.96 dB return loss for $L_{S_1} = 21$ mm. Therefore, the optimized values can be considered as $L_{S_1} = 24$ mm to achieve both bands at 2.5 GHz and 5.8 GHz with return loss -29.9 dB and -15.16 dB respectively.

![Fig. 8 indicates the simulated return loss for the different values of the length of slot-1 ($W_{S_1}$). The dashed-dot, solid, and short dashed-dot lines correspond to the results of return loss having $W_{S_1} = 4$, 4.5 and 5 mm respectively. The other parameters are unchanged. The dual-band is observed with the above values of $W_{S_1}$. The optimized values of $W_{S_1} = 4.5$ mm are obvious as it is better than the other two values of 4 and 5 mm.](image)
Fig. 8 The influence of width of Slot-1 (WS$_1$) on the simulated return loss (S$_{11}$)

Fig. 9 indicates the simulated return loss for the different values of the length of slot-2 (LS$_2$). The dashed-dot, solid, and short dashed-dot lines correspond to the results of return loss having LS$_2$ = 21, 22 and 23 mm respectively. The other parameters remain unchanged. By using the above values of LS$_2$, the dual-band was observed. The resonant is attained at 2.58 GHz with -17.28 dB return loss for LS$_2$ = 21 mm and 2.53 GHz with -15.31 dB for LS$_2$ = 23 mm for the lower band. So, the optimized value can be regarded as LS$_2$ = 22 mm to achieve both bands.

Fig. 9 The influence of length of Slot-2 (LS$_2$) on the simulated return loss (S$_{11}$)

Table 2 The optimized parameters of the proposed antenna

| Parameters                        | Values (mm) |
|----------------------------------|-------------|
| Length of the ground plane (L$_g$) | 41          |
| Width of the ground plane (W$_g$) | 44          |
| Height of substrate (h$_s$)      | 1.6         |
| Patch length (L$_p$)             | 21          |
| Patch width (W$_p$)              | 24          |
| Length of microstrip feed line (L$_o$) | 10      |
| Width of microstrip feed line (W$_o$) | 3        |
| Inset feed distance (IFD)        | 5.2         |
| Inset feed gap (IFG)             | 2           |
| Length of Slot1 (LS$_1$)         | 20          |
| Width of Slot1 (WS$_1$)          | 4.5         |
| Length of Slot2 (LS$_2$)         | 22          |
| Width of Slot2 (WS$_2$)          | 5.5         |
| Distance b/w Slot-1 & edge of the patch (S$_1$L$_p$) | 13          |
| Distance b/w Slot-2 & edge of the ground plane (S$_1$L$_g$) | 26          |
| Distance b/w patch & edge of the ground plane (PG) | 2.3         |
| Distance b/w Slot-1 & edge of the patch (S$_1$W$_p$) | 12          |
| Distance b/w Slot-2 & edge of the ground plane (S$_2$W$_g$) | 10          |
Fig. 10 demonstrates the simulated return loss for the different values of the length of slot-2 ($WS_2$). The dashed-dot, solid, and short dashed-dot lines correspond to the results of return loss having $WS_2 = 5$, 5.5 and 6 mm respectively. The other parameters are unchanged. The dual-band was observed by using the above values of $WS_2$. By using $WS_2 = 5$ mm, on the lower band, the return loss is high. However, on the upper band, the return loss is low. By using $WS_2 = 6$ mm, on the lower band, the return loss is low. But, on the upper band, the return loss is high. $WS_2 = 5.5$ mm is the optimized value. The optimized parameters are summarized in Table 2.

![Fig. 10 The influence of length of Slot-1 ($WS_2$) on the simulated return loss ($S_{11}$)](image)

### 4. Simulation Results and Discussions

The simulated return loss for the proposed antenna is indicated in Fig. 11. The bandwidths of the antennas are determined from the results of $|S_{11}| > 10$ dB and VSWR < 2. The first band appears between the two resonance frequencies $f_1 = 2.5$ GHz and $f_2 = 2.6$ GHz with about -29.9 dB return loss. The second band appears between the two resonance frequencies $f_3 = 5.7$ GHz and $f_4 = 5.9$ GHz with about -15.16 dB return loss. When the return loss is less than -10dB, the bandwidths are 100 MHz and 200 MHz which can meet the demand of WLAN in both frequency bands.

![Fig. 11 The return loss ($S_{11}$) of the proposed dual-band microstrip patch antenna](image)

The proposed antenna voltage standing wave ratio (VSWR) simulated curve is illustrated in Fig. 12. The standard values of VSWR are less than 2 for the microstrip patch antenna operating bands. The achieved values of VSWR are 1.06 and 1.3 in the respective operating bands. Hence, the antenna has good transmission characteristics. The simulated gain of the proposed antenna is indicated in Fig. 13. The achieved gain for the lower band is 1.37 dB and for the upper band is 3.9 dB.
Fig. 12 VSWR of the proposed dual-band microstrip patch antenna

Fig. 13 The simulated gain of the proposed dual-band microstrip patch antenna

Fig. 14 demonstrates the current distribution of the proposed antenna for (a) 2.5 GHz and (b) 5.8 GHz. The current vectors are along the patch length, and the feeding line is flowing a significant amount of current. On both operating frequencies bands, the current vectors are omnidirectional. As a result, the excitation for both the lower and upper bands is strong over all parts of the antenna.

Fig. 14 Surface Current Density Distribution of the proposed dual-band microstrip patch antenna

Fig. 15 depicts the directional pattern of the Far-field antenna. Two-dimensional (2D) radiation patterns represent the co-polarization and cross-polarization at 2.5 GHz and 5.8 GHz. The phi (φ) and theta (θ) fields indicate the cross-polar and co-polar components and 5.8 GHz, respectively.

In the case of a standard radiation pattern for a microstrip antenna, it is assumed that the effect of cross-polarization would be less than that of co-polarization. Moreover, in the second resonant frequency of 5.8 GHz, the cross-polarization effect is high. As the frequency increases cause the effects to enhance, it is clearly described from the radiation pattern. The level of high-frequency cross-polarization is relatively high compared to the lower frequency induced by the patch and ground plane diffractions.

Furthermore, it is observed that by enhancing the slots on the ground plane, the level of this cross-polarization can be reduced. Therefore, the sizes of the patch and ground plane were carefully selected. When some parameters are modified, the resonant frequency shifts.

This also shifts the radiation pattern from symmetric and Omni-directional to bidirectional or another type. By analyzing the radiation pattern, the graph shows one shaped plane “∞”, and another plane is circular, which shows that the antenna has extraordinary Omni-directional characteristics.
Fig. 15 The simulated 2D radiation pattern of the proposed dual-band microstrip patch antenna

(a) $\phi = 0$ degree at 2.5 GHz
(b) $\phi = 90$ degree at 2.5 GHz
(c) $\phi = 0$ degree at 5.8 GHz
(d) $\phi = 90$ degree at 5.8 GHz

Fig. 16 The simulated 3D radiation pattern of the proposed dual-band microstrip patch antenna

(a) Directivity at 2.5 GHz
(b) Directivity at 5.8 GHz

The performance of the proposed antenna is compared with the other reported antennas in Table 4. It can be observed that the size of the proposed antenna is compact and suitable for compact wireless devices. The antenna is low cost and simple in fabrication.

Table 3 The summarized simulated results

| Parameters       | First resonance | Second resonance |
|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Frequency (GHz)  | 2.5             | 5.8              |
| $S_{11}$ (dB)    | -29.9           | -15.16           |
| Bandwidth (GHz)  | 2.5-2.6=100 MHz | 5.7-5.9=200 MHz  |
| VSWR             | 1.06            | 1.3              |
| Gain (dB)        | 1.37            | 3.9              |
Table 4 The performance comparison with prior work

| Ref.   | Patch size (mm$^2$) | Frequency (GHz) | Fabrication               |
|--------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|
| [13]   | 39.4 x 32.9         | 2.4, 5          | Higher cost and complex   |
| [15]   | 29 x 29             | 2.4, 5.5        | Low cost and simple       |
| [17]   | 40 x 29             | 1.8, 2.4        | Low cost and simple       |
| [11]   | 38.03 x 27.13       | 2.4, 3.6        | Low cost and simple       |
| [18]   | 25 x 25             | 2.4, 5.8        | Low cost and simple       |
| This work | 24 x 21          | 2.5, 5.8        | Low cost and simple       |

5. Conclusions

In this paper, the rectangular microstrip patch antenna is designed for dual-band operation, which operates at 2.5 GHz and 5.8 GHz. The proposed antenna is fully planar, low cost, and small in size. The first band appears at 2.5 GHz with about -29.9 dB return loss and the second band appears at 5.8 GHz with about -15.16 dB return loss. The achieved values of VSWR are 1.06 and 1.3 in the respective operating bands. The simulated results demonstrate the favorable transmission characteristics in both frequency bands. The antennas meet the requirements specifications for 802.11 WLAN and Bluetooth standards. In the future, the designed antenna may be fabricated and tested in a real-time environment.
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