Lower Extremity Arterial Calcification as a Predictor of Coronary Atherosclerosis in Patients with Peripheral Arterial Disease
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Abstract

Background: Until now, there has been no study on the relationship between the calcification of the lower extremity arteries and significant coronary arterial disease (CAD).

Objectives: To evaluate whether lower extremity calcium scores (LECS) are associated with CAD and whether this can predict multivessel-CAD in patients with peripheral arterial disease (PAD).

Patients and Methods: We retrospectively enrolled 103 PAD patients without cardiac symptoms or known CAD. All patients underwent cardiac computed tomography (CT) and lower extremity CT within 1 month and were categorized as nonsignificant CAD, single-CAD, or multivessel-CAD. The coronary calcium scores (CCS) were quantitatively measured according to the Agatston method and LECS were semi-quantitatively measured according to the presence of lower extremity calcification in the segment. The extent of CAD was evaluated according to the presence of ≥50% luminal diameter stenosis in the segment of CAD.

Results: LECS in multivessel-CAD were significantly higher than those in nonsignificant CAD (10.0 ± 5.8 versus 4.0 ± 3.1, P < 0.001). LECS significantly correlated with CCS (r = 0.831, P < 0.001) and the extent of CAD (r = 0.631, P < 0.001). Multivariate regression analysis demonstrated LECS and log-transformed CCS were independent predictors for multivessel-CAD. In receiver operating characteristic curve analysis, the diagnostic performance of LECS was 0.807 (95% confidence interval = 0.724-0.891, P < 0.001) for predicting multivessel-CAD.

Conclusion: Peripheral arterial calcification is significantly correlated with CAD extent in patients with PAD. Peripheral arterial calcification can be a useful marker for predicting multivessel-CAD.
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1. Background

Peripheral arterial disease (PAD) and coronary arterial disease (CAD) both fall within the disease spectrum of atherosclerosis and PAD shares cardiovascular risk factors with CAD (1-3). Many studies have demonstrated a high prevalence of CAD in patients with PAD (4-8). Additionally, stable atherosclerotic patients without previous ischemic events have been reported to experience more cardiovascular events in cases of multivessel disease (9). Despite these results, coronary CT angiography (CCTA) screening remains controversial for patients with PAD without known CAD or angina symptoms (10). Detection of multivessel-CAD in these patients may be important, however, as it can lead to different management strategies, specifically medical therapy or coronary intervention.

Arterial calcification represents the atherosclerotic burden of the arterial bed. Arterial calcification is an independent predictor of vascular morbidity and mortality in the general population (11) and subclinical atherosclerotic disease (12). CT has been used as an effective tool to measure the calcification. In particular, coronary arterial calcification and aortic calcification measured by CT have been reported to be useful markers for predicting significant CAD and cardiovascular outcomes (13-20). Until now, there has been no study on the relationship between the calcification of the lower extremity arteries and significant CAD.

2. Objectives

Our study evaluated whether the extent of peripheral arterial calcification is associated with coronary calcium score (CCS) in patients with symptomatic PAD. We also evaluated whether the extent of peripheral arterial calcification...
tion could be useful in predicting multivessel-CAD that requires coronary intervention.

3. Patients and Methods

3.1. Study Population

From January 2007 to July 2013, 372 patients with symptomatic PAD were referred at our cardiovascular surgery department. Among them, 147 patients underwent CCTA for preoperative risk assessment for vascular surgery. We excluded the patients with chest pain (n = 3), known CAD (n = 13), and suspected acute coronary syndrome (n = 8). The 16 patients were excluded because the time interval between CCTA and lower extremity CT angiography (LECTA) was more than 14 days. As it was necessary to evaluate the whole extremity artery in our study, patients with amputated lower extremities (n = 4) were also excluded. Hemodialysis was performed immediately after intravenous contrast injection in 7 patients with chronic renal disease to reduce contrast-induced nephrotoxicity. In the end, 103 PAD patients were included in our study. According to the Fontaine classification (21), the number of PAD patients categorized as class II (claudication), III (ischemic rest pain), and IV (ulceration, tissue loss, gangrene) were 46, 28 and 29, respectively. The hospital institutional review board approved our retrospective study and informed consent was waived. We collected the patients’ data from their medical records; the baseline data included the traditional cardiovascular risk factors and laboratory findings.

3.2. Lower Extremity CT Angiography

LECTA was performed using a 64-detector CT (Brilliance-64, Philips Medical Systems, Best, The Netherlands). The scans covered the region from the distal abdominal aorta through the ankles. All patients received 150 mL of iopromide (370 mL I/mL, Ultravist 370, Bayer Shering, Berlin, Germany), administered with an injection rate of 3.5 mL/sec, which was followed by a 50 mL saline flush at the same rate within the region of the infrarenal abdominal aorta. LECTA parameters were: detector collimation of 64 × 0.625 mm, tube voltage of 120 kVp, tube current of 250 mAs, field of view (FOV) of 350 mm, and pitch of 0.89.

Images were transferred to an image analysis workstation (Aquarius, TeraRecon, San Mateo, California) for post-processing. Two experienced radiologists independently evaluated all images for arterial stenosis and other abnormalities. For the initial image interpretation, the radiologist primarily used the rotating-volume maximal intensity projection. If any stenosis or calcification was found in the artery, the radiologist used the curved multiplanar reformation and the cross-sectional images for further stenosis evaluation. For analytic purposes, the peripheral arterial vascular system was divided into 3 regions and 31 segments per person (22). We divided the vascular region into three groups: aortoiliac, femoropopliteal, and below knee. The aortoiliac region included the distal aorta, common iliac arteries, and external iliac arteries. The femoropopliteal region included the deep femoral arteries, superficial femoral arteries (proximal and distal segments), and popliteal arteries (proximal and distal segments). The below-knee region included the anterior tibial arteries (proximal and distal segments), tibiofibular trunks, posterior tibial arteries (proximal and distal segments), and peroneal arteries (proximal and distal segments).

Each LECTA segment was evaluated for the presence and degree of arterial stenosis. The degree of arterial stenosis in each segment was defined as insignificant (< 50% luminal diameter narrowing) or significant stenosis (≥ 50% luminal diameter narrowing). If coexisting arterial stenoses were present in a single segment, the most severe stenotic lesion was evaluated. Lower extremity arterial index (LEAI) was defined as the sum of the segments with significant stenosis in the lower extremity arteries. The radiologist also recorded the presence of vessel wall calcifications. It is difficult to differentiate between tiny calcified plaques and the contrast-enhanced lumen in LECTA, so the presence of calcification in a segment was scored as 0 (absence of calcification or calcified plaque < 3 mm in length) or 1 (calcified plaque ≥ 3mm in diameter) (22). The lower extremity calcium score was measured by the sum of the individual scores from segments with calcified plaque and reported as LECS. Finally, we measured the LEAI and LECS in all segments and vascular regions. Figure 1A shows a representative case that has a significant stenotic lesion in LECTA.

3.3. Coronary CT Angiography

CCTA was performed within 14 days after LECTA using the same 64-MDCT scanner. Patients with an heart rate > 70 bpm received a long-acting beta-blocker (40 mg propranolol hydrochloride, Pranol @: Daewoong, Seoul, Korea) orally 1 hour before CCTA. Patients had prospectively undergone ECG-gated unenhanced CT for coronary calcium scoring by the method of Agatston et al. (23). Calcium scoring scans were performed at a tube voltage of 120 kVp, effective tube current of 55 mAs, slice collimation of 40 × 0.625 mm, gantry rotation time of 0.4 second, and FOV of 220 mm. The images were reconstructed with a section thickness of 3 mm, increments of 3 mm, and a medium smooth
reconstruction filter (Philips “B” filter). The Agatston coronary calcium score (CCS) was measured using semiautomatic software (HeartBeat CS, Philips Medical Systems).

Just before the injection of contrast material, 0.6 mg of nitroglycerin was administered sublingually for vessel dilatation. All patients received 100 mL of iomeprol (400 mL I/mL, Iomeron 400; Bracco, Milan, Italy), administered at an injection rate of 5 mL/sec, which as followed by a 30 mL saline flush at the same rate. Acquisition was started immediately at a threshold of 150 HU within the region of interest in the descending aorta.

Coronary CT angiography was performed at a tube voltage of 120 kVp, with an effective tube current of 800 to 1,000 mAs (depending on the BMI), slice collimation of 64 m of nitroglycerin was administered sublingually for vessel dilatation. All patients received 100 mL of iomeprol (400 mL I/mL, Iomeron 400; Bracco, Milan, Italy), administered at an injection rate of 5 mL/sec, which as followed by a 30 mL saline flush at the same rate. Acquisition was started immediately at a threshold of 150 HU within the region of interest in the descending aorta.

Coronary CT angiography was performed at a tube voltage of 120 kVp, with an effective tube current of 800 to 1,000 mAs (depending on the BMI), slice collimation of 64 × 0.625 mm acquisition, gantry rotation time of 0.4 second, FOV of 220 mm and pitch of 0.2. The mean effective radiation dose was calculated to be 11.2 ± 2.4. The images were reconstructed with a reconstructed section thickness of 0.8 mm, reconstruction increment of 0.4 mm, and a cardiac standard reconstruction filter (Philips “CB” filter).

The multiphasic reconstructions of CCTA were performed at 35%, 40%, 45%, 55%, 65%, 75%, 85%, and 95% of the R-R interval. The reconstruction intervals with the fewest motion artifacts were selected for further diagnosis. The CCTA image was interpreted by one experienced reader, who was blinded to all patient characteristics and LECTA results. The CCTA images were evaluated on an image analysis workstation (Aquarius, TeraRecon, San Mateo, California) for postprocessing. For image interpretation, the radiologist primarily used the curved multiplanar reformation and maximum intensity projection. If any lesions with calcified plaque were found in the coronary artery, the radiologist further analyzed the cross-sectional images of the lesion. The data were evaluated for the presence of significant coronary artery stenosis within all 17 coronary segments (24). Significant CAD was determined by ≥ 50% lumenal diameter stenosis. Multivessel-CAD included two-vessel CAD, three-vessel CAD, and CAD involving the left main coronary artery. The coronary artery index (CAI) was defined as the sum of all segments with significant stenosis in the coronary artery (Figure 1B).

If any lesion with ≥ 50% stenosis was observed in the coronary artery or it was impossible to measure the degree of coronary artery stenosis because of blooming and motion artifacts, invasive coronary angiography (ALLURA Xper FD 20, Philips, Netherland) was performed for further diagnosis and management. One experienced cardiologist measured the severity of coronary stenoses with visual estimation in two orthogonal views and matched the lesion with MDCT results (Figures 1C and 1D). If there was any disagreement between invasive coronary angiography and MDCT regarding the degree of stenosis, it was primarily determined by invasive coronary angiography. As the CCTA has high negative predictive value for the diagnosis of significant CAD, no additional study was performed in CAD with < 50% stenosis.

3.4. Statistical Analysis

The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to analyze data normality. Normally distributed continuous variables were expressed as the mean ± SD, and non-normally distributed continuous variables were expressed as the median value (interquartile range). Differences between the two groups of continuous variables were analyzed using unpaired t-tests. Differences between the two groups of discontinuous variables were analyzed using the 2 test. As CCS was not normally distributed and showed highly positive skewness, the log transformation value was performed for further analyses. The Pearson correlation coefficient was employed to determine the correlation between the log transformation of CCS (log CCS), LECS, and CAI. Univariate binary logistic regression analysis was performed and the variables with P < 0.20 were then entered into a forward stepwise multivariate logistic regression model to assess the independent predictors for multivessel-CAD. Multivariate binary logistic regression analysis was performed to assess the independent predictors of multivessel-CAD. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis was employed to determine sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values of LECS in detecting multivessel CAD. Optimal cutoffs were determined by the maximum Youden index. From the ROC analysis, the sensitivity and specificity of both log CCS and LECS were extracted for the prediction of multivessel-CAD. Statistical significance was considered as P < 0.05 (two-tailed). All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 21.0 (IBM-SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

4. Results

4.1. Patient Characteristics

Of the 103 symptomatic patients with PAD, 93 (90.3%) were male and the mean age was 67.5 ± 8.5 years (range, 45 - 88 years). Patients were classified as nonsignificant CAD (n = 45), single-CAD (n = 26), and multivessel-CAD (n = 32). There was no significant difference in the cardiovascular risk factors among the three groups (Table 1).

4.2. Association of Lower Extremity Artery Disease, Lower Extremity Artery Calcification, and Coronary Artery Calcification with Coronary Artery Disease

We evaluated 3,193 arterial segments in the lower extremity arteries using LECTA. Lower extremity arterial le-
A 74 year-old male patient with claudication underwent lower extremity CT angiography. Lower extremity calcium was detected in the distal aorta, both common iliac arteries, both external iliac arteries, both common femoral arteries, both proximal superficial femoral arteries, right distal superficial femoral arteries, and right popliteal artery. A, The lower extremity calcium score was II. B, Coronary CT angiography CCTA was performed and the coronary calcium score was 315.52. C, Invasive coronary angiography showed chronic total occlusion of the left anterior descending artery (arrowhead) and severe stenosis of the proximal left circumflex artery (arrow). D, Severe stenosis in trifurcation site of distal RCA (arrow). The stenotic lesions observed by invasive angiography were matched with CCTA results.

Coronary arterial lesions with significant stenosis were detected in 1,751 arterial segments in coronary arteries using CCTA. Coronary arterial lesions with significant stenosis were detected in 149 (8.5%) segments. LEAI was significantly higher in multivessel-CAD than in non-significant CAD (Table 2). LEAI in all segments was weakly correlated with the extent of CAD (r = 0.432, P < 0.001).

The median CCS and LECS in patients with PAD were
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Table 1. Demographic and Clinical Characteristics Among Nonsignificant CAD (Coronary Artery Disease), Single-CAD, and Multivessel-CAD Patients With Peripheral Artery Disease

| Variable                  | Nonsignificant CAD (n = 45) | Single-CAD (n = 26) | Multivessel-CAD (n = 32) | P Value |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Age, y                    | 66.4 ± 8.9                  | 68.8 ± 7.7          | 68.1 ± 7.3               | 0.437   |
| Gender, Male              | 42 (93.3)                   | 22 (84.6)           | 29 (90.6)                | 0.488   |
| Height, cm                | 163.4 ± 6.2                 | 165.5 ± 8.3         | 164.9 ± 7.7              | 0.472   |
| Weight, kg                | 56.9 ± 8.1                  | 57.5 ± 10.3         | 56.9 ± 9.9               | 0.959   |
| Body mass index, kg/m²    | 21.2 ± 2.4                  | 21.0 ± 3.2          | 20.8 ± 3.0               | 0.820   |
| Smoking, pack-years       | 20.0 ± 17.3                 | 26.3 ± 23.0         | 34.4 ± 24.3              | 0.033   |
| Diabetes Mellitus         | 13 (28.9)                   | 14 (53.8)           | 14 (43.8)                | 0.101   |
| Hypertension              | 20 (44.4)                   | 15 (57.7)           | 20 (62.5)                | 0.063   |
| Cerebral disease          | 7 (15.6)                    | 10 (34.1)           | 5 (15.6)                 | 0.980   |
| Chronic renal disease     | 2 (4.4)                     | 1 (3.8)             | 4 (12.5)                 | 0.129   |
| Total cholesterol, mmol/L | 145.6 ± 45.2                | 156.6 ± 45.6        | 164.1 ± 44.5             | 0.223   |
| HDL-cholesterol, mmol/L   | 46.1 ± 14.8                 | 41.3 ± 10.5         | 40.3 ± 16.4              | 0.611   |
| LDL-cholesterol, mmol/L   | 84.1 ± 28.8                 | 87.7 ± 21.2         | 95.5 ± 39.8              | 0.476   |
| Triglyceride, mmol/L      | 122.8 ± 109.0               | 205.7 ± 135.5       | 281.1 ± 120.4            | 0.424   |
| Glucose, mmol/L           | 154.8 ± 50.1                | 138.7 ± 59.7        | 139.7 ± 60.8             | 0.648   |
| Creatinine, μmol/L        | 0.95 ± 0.57                 | 1.38 ± 1.26         | 1.91 ± 1.72              | 0.191   |
| C-reactive protein        | 41.6 ± 45.1                 | 33.0 ± 48.0         | 47.8 ± 55.1              | 0.514   |
| Fontain II/III/IV, n      | 24 / 14 / 7                 | 10 / 6 / 10         | 10 / 12 / 10             | 0.136   |

*a values are expressed as No. (%) or mean ± SD.

Table 2. Comparison of CT Characteristics Among Nonsignificant CAD, Single-CAD, and Multivessel-CAD

| Variable                        | Nonsignificant CAD (n = 45) | Single-CAD (n = 26) | Multivessel-CAD (n = 32) | P Value |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Lower extremity arterial index  | 5.2 ± 3.5                   | 8.0 ± 4.2           | 9.0 ± 5.0*               | < 0.001 |
| Aortoiliac                      | 1.2 ± 1.5                   | 1.2 ± 1.5           | 1.7 ± 1.8                | 0.370   |
| Femoropopliteal                | 2.03 ± 2.1                  | 2.3 ± 2.9           | 3.2 ± 2.3                | 0.127   |
| Below knee                      | 2.0 ± 2.5                   | 4.7 ± 3.3*          | 4.5 ± 3.5*               | < 0.001 |
| Lower extremity calcium score   | 3.9 ± 2.3                   | 5.7 ± 2.9           | 9.3 ± 4.2*               | < 0.001 |
| Aortoiliac                      | 1.4 ± 1.5                   | 1.7 ± 1.7           | 1.6 ± 1.8                | 0.789   |
| Femoropopliteal                | 1.2 ± 1.5                   | 1.6 ± 2.4           | 2.5 ± 2.1*               | 0.028   |
| Below knee                      | 1.2 ± 1.7                   | 2.4 ± 2.3           | 5.2 ± 4.1*               | < 0.001 |

*a values are expressed as mean ± SD.
*b P < 0.05 compared to nonsignificant CAD.
*c P < 0.05 compared to single-CAD.

318.0 ± 954.3 (from 0 to 3750.1) and 5.0 ± 3.9 (from 0 to 18), respectively. Calcification of the coronary artery and the lower extremity arteries was detected in 87.4% (n = 90) and 95.1% (n = 98) of those patients, respectively. CCS was significantly different in the three groups (234.3 ± 391.3 in nonsignificant CAD, 588.8 ± 811.0 in single-CAD, and 1,741.1 ± 1,475.5 in multivessel-CAD, P < 0.001). In per segment analysis, lower extremity arterial lesions with calcification were detected in 681 segments (21.3%). Some 5 patients without lower extremity arterial calcification notably had evidence of neither calcification of the coronary artery nor significant CAD. LECS in all segments was significantly higher in
multivessel-CAD than in nonsignificant CAD (Table 2). LECS in all segments was significantly correlated with CCS (r = 0.831, P < 0.001) and CAI (r = 0.631, P < 0.001).

Furthermore, we classified LEAI and LECS according to vascular region of lower extremity arteries and compared those variables among three CAD groups (Table 2). LECS and LEAI in the below-knee region were significantly higher in multivessel-CAD than in nonsignificant CAD. On the other hand, LECS and LEAI in the aortoiliac region showed no significant difference among the three groups.

4.3. Ability of Lower Extremity Artery Calcification, Extent of Lower Extremity Arterial Disease, and Coronary Artery Calcification to Predict Multivessel Coronary Artery Disease

In patients with PAD, simple binary logistic regression analysis revealed that age (1.009, 95% CI: 1.003 - 1.015), BMI (0.968, 95% CI: 0.949 - 0.987), HDL-cholesterol (0.953, 95% CI: 0.911 - 0.993), LDL-cholesterol (1.021, 95% CI: 0.998 - 1.042), Fontain IV (1.761; 95% CI: 0.726 - 4.979), LEAI in all segments (1.086, 95% CI: 0.971 - 1.175), LECS in all segments (1.633, 95% CI: 1.349 - 1.977), and log CCS (14.316, 95% CI: 4.657 - 44.009) showed a trend (P < 0.20) toward an association with multivessel-CAD. All these variables were entered into a forward stepwise multivariate binary logistic regression model. Multivariate regression analysis demonstrated that LECS in all segments (1.439, 95% CI: 1.072 - 1.930) and log CCS (8.288, 95% CI: 2.317 - 29.645) were independent predictors of multivessel-CAD (Table 3).

The diagnostic performance of LECS in all segments, LEAI in all segments, and log CCS for differentiating the patients with multivessel-CAD was assessed by ROC curve analysis (Figure 2). The best cutoff value for LECS in all segments was ≥ 6 (sensitivity = 71.9%, specificity = 67.6%) and the area under the curve was 0.820 (95% CI = 0.734 to 0.907; P < 0.001). The best cutoff value of LEAI in all segments was ≥ 8 (sensitivity = 62.5%, specificity = 57.7%) and the area under the curve was 0.661 (95% CI = 0.551 to 0.771; P = 0.009). The best cutoff value of log CCS was ≥ 2.66 (sensitivity = 81.3%, specificity = 78.9%) and the area under the curve of log CCS was 0.865 (95% CI = 0.792 to 0.938; P < 0.001).

We also assessed the diagnostic performance of LECS and LEAI in the below-knee region to differentiate the patients with multivessel-CAD. The best cutoff value of LECS in the below-knee region was ≥ 4 (sensitivity = 68.8%, specificity = 84.5%) and the area under the curve was 0.722 (95% CI = 0.597 to 0.846; P < 0.001). The best cutoff value of log CCS was ≥ 2.66 (sensitivity = 81.3%, specificity = 78.9%) and the area under the curve of log CCS was 0.865 (95% CI = 0.792 to 0.938; P < 0.001).

5. Discussion

In the present study, we investigated the possible role and clinical implication of LECS association with CAD in patients with symptomatic PAD. We demonstrated that PAD patients with multivessel CAD had significantly higher LECS than those with nonsignificant CAD. Furthermore, LECS in LECTA was a significant predictor for the diagnosis of multivessel-CAD.

PAD is a systemic atherosclerotic disease and a high global risk factor for CAD (1-3). We therefore investigated the relationships among the extent of PAD, the extent of CAD, LECS, and CCS in patients with PAD. CAI had a strong correlation with LEAI in our study. LECS and CCS were predictors for multivessel-CAD in our study. There has been no report demonstrating a direct relationship between LECS and the extent of CAD in patients with PAD. Previous studies, however, did demonstrate that the presence of peripheral arterial calcification was strongly associated with cardiovascular mortality in Monckeberg’s arteriosclerosis (25), diabetes (26), and end-stage renal disease (27). Calcification does not play a major role in inducing vascular stenosis in the early atherosclerotic stages, but increased arterial stiffness may contribute vas-
Table 3. Logistic Binary Regression Analysis for Predicting Multivessel-Coronary Arterial Disease in Patients With Peripheral Arterial Disease

| Variable                        | Simple Regression | Stepwise Multiple Regression |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|
|                                 | OR (95% CI)       | P Value                       | OR (95% CI)       | P Value                       |
| Age, y                          | 1.009 (1.003 - 1.015) | 0.002                         |                   |                                |
| BMI                             | 0.968 (0.949 - 0.987) | 0.001                         |                   |                                |
| HDL-cholesterol, mmol/L         | 0.953 (0.911 - 0.993) | 0.021                         |                   |                                |
| LDL-cholesterol, mmol/L         | 1.021 (0.998 - 1.042) | 0.070                         |                   |                                |
| Fontain classification          |                   |                                |                   |                                |
| Fontain III                     | 1.571 (0.579 - 4.267) | 0.375                         |                   |                                |
| Fontain IV                      | 1.765 (0.726 - 4.979) | 0.193                         |                   |                                |
| LEAI                            | 1.086 (0.971 - 1.175) | 0.346                         |                   |                                |
| LECS in all segments            | 1.633 (1.349 - 1.977) | < 0.001                       | 1.439 (1.072 - 1.930) | 0.015                          |
| Log CCS                         | 14.316 (4.657 - 44.009) | < 0.001                       | 8.288 (2.317 - 29.645) | 0.001                          |

Abbreviation: CI, confidence interval; BMI, body mass index; CCS, coronary calcium score; LEAI, lower extremity arterial index; LECS, lower extremity calcium score; OR, odds ratio.

cular calcification and subsequently stenosis in the later atherosclerotic stages (28). That is why we enrolled symptomatic patients with PAD, which indicates advanced PAD. Patients with peripheral arterial calcification were therefore included in our study. Previous studies demonstrated that increased arterial stiffness causes aortic calcification and adverse cardiovascular events in patients with PAD (29-31).

We further investigated the difference of LEAI, LECS, and CAD in relation to vascular regions. In our study, LEAI and LECS in the below-knee region were significantly higher in multivessel-CAD rather than in nonsignificant CAD. Our study, however, showed that the diagnostic performance of LEAI and LECS in the below-knee region were relatively lower than in all segments. In other words, LEAI and LECS in the below-knee region were weakly associated with CAD; that is, the diagnostic impact of those variables was weak. PAD is a heterogeneous disease group and the disease location and distribution of PAD is important when predicting mortality. A previous study showed CAD was associated with LEAI in the femoropopliteal and below-knee regions, even though LEAI of the below-knee region was less associated with CAD (32). This study also showed that older age, being male, having diabetes, and having critical limb ischemia were strongly associated with LEAI in the below-knee region (32), so those variables could be confounding factors of our study.

We further investigated whether calcification and severity of the lower extremity arteries could predict multivessel-CAD. Until now, there have been no specific clinical trials to investigate the survival benefit in those patients. Coronary revascularization, however, has been known to be superior to medical therapy alone for reducing cardiovascular events in multivessel-CAD (33, 34). The presence of multivessel-CAD may therefore be useful when determining a further treatment plan in patients with PAD. Our study showed that if calcifications were observed in more than six segments of the lower extremity arteries, there was a high probability of multivessel-CAD. Traditionally, the coronary calcium score has been a widely accepted indicator of significant CAD and cardiovascular outcomes (14, 16-18, 20). The previous study notably reported that increased CCS was associated with an increased frequency of multi-vessel CAD (35). In our study, the diagnostic performance of LECS in all segments for the prediction of multivessel-CAD was relatively higher than that of LEAI and slightly lower than that of CCS. These findings suggest that we could predict multivessel-CAD using LECT alone, without additional radiation exposure, but LECS could not replace CCS in the prediction of multivessel-CAD.

We acknowledge several limitations in our study. First, our study had a retrospective design and included a relatively small number of patients. Additionally, well-known cardiovascular risk factors, such as diabetes, chronic renal disease, and hypertension, were not controlled in this study, so the results may be biased. Second, calcifications in the lower extremity arteries were not measured quantitatively, as with calcium volume or Agatston scores, because there was no pre-enhanced image for LECT in our study. Furthermore, calcified plaques with lower density of calcium could be missed. Automatic quantitative analysis in a pre-enhanced CT scan is a more accurate and reproducible method for measuring the amount of calcification. Third, hemodynamically significant CAD, rather than angiographic stenosis, is the target of revascularization.
than multivessel-CAD, would be more important for determining a treatment plan and predicting the cardiovascular outcome in patients with CAD. The stress perfusion image might be useful to identify the predictors of cardiovascular outcomes in patients with PAD.

In conclusion, LECS is associated with CCS and CAD. LECS measured by LECTA might be a useful marker for predicting multivessel-CAD in patients with PAD.
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