Long-term Disease Course of Crohn’s Disease: Changes in Disease Location, Phenotype, Activities, and Predictive Factors
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INTRODUCTION

Crohn’s disease (CD) is a chronic destructive inflammatory bowel disease that affects young people and is associated with significant morbidity. The clinical spectrum and disease course of CD are heterogeneous and often difficult to predict based on the initial presentation. In this article, changes in the disease location, behavior, clinical course during long-term follow-up, and predictive factors are reviewed. Generally, four different patterns of clinical course are discussed: remission, stable disease, chronic relapsing disease, and chronic refractory disease. Understanding the long-term disease course of CD is mandatory to reveal the underlying pathophysiology of the disease and to move toward a more optimistic disease course, such as remission or stability, and less adverse outcomes or devastating sequelae. (Gut Liver 2022;16:157-170)
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LONG-TERM DISEASE COURSE OF CD AND ITS PREDICTIVE FACTORS

1. Changes in disease location over time
Many reports have revealed that disease location remains relatively stable during the long-term disease course. Data on changes in disease location during the 10-year follow-up period are shown in Table 1. According to some Western studies, isolated colonic disease (L2) seems to have increased. Overall, the ileocolic area (L3) has been found to be the most frequently affected location in both Asian and Western studies. In a recent Asian-Pacific study conducted in eight countries across Asia and Australia, the distribution of disease location was almost the same. On the other hand, a Japanese study on pediatric CD patients demonstrated more frequent ileocolic (L3) and upper gastrointestinal (L4) involvement, and less colonic (L2) involvement compared with a European pediatric study based on a multicenter registry. Thia et al. re-
ported that only 20 patients (6.5%) had a change in disease extent between baseline and observation of their maximal extent. In a Korean retrospective study, disease location did not change from the initial diagnosis to the last follow-up evaluation in any patient. In contrast, a Danish cohort study revealed that 24% of patients experienced location changes. The localization of the disease in different intestinal sites may be directly influenced by genetic and familial factors. Bayless et al. reported high concordance for bowel location and clinical type within families. Specific genes have been reported; for example, CD patients with NOD2 or CARD15 gene mutations are susceptible to ileal disease, whereas the HLA-DRB1 allele is associated with pure colonic disease.

### 2. Changes in phenotypes over time

When we examined the results of phenotypic changes in several reports, we found an obvious tendency of disease behavioral changes from simple inflammatory diseases to increased portions of complexity, either stricturing/penetrating or both diseases (Table 2). Many studies reported a complicated disease phenotype (B2/B3) of over 50% in a 10-year follow-up, compared to those in the baseline, which was approximately one-third. Cosnes et al. reported that only 20 patients (6.5%) had a change in disease extent between baseline and observation of their maximal extent. In a Korean retrospective study, disease location did not change from the initial diagnosis to the last follow-up evaluation in any patient. In contrast, a Danish cohort study revealed that 24% of patients experienced location changes. The localization of the disease in different intestinal sites may be directly influenced by genetic and familial factors. Bayless et al. reported high concordance for bowel location and clinical type within families. Specific genes have been reported; for example, CD patients with NOD2 or CARD15 gene mutations are susceptible to ileal disease, whereas the HLA-DRB1 allele is associated with pure colonic disease.

### Table 1. Changes in Disease Locations Over Time Based on the Montreal Classification: Long-term Follow-up Result (About 10-Year Period)

| Author (year) | Country       | Location | Baseline, % | 10 Years, % |
|--------------|---------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| Asian countries |               |          |             |             |
| Chow et al. (2007)¹² | Hong Kong     | L1       | 11          | 0           |
|              |               | L2       | 35          | 33          |
|              |               | L3       | 54          | 67          |
|              |               | L4       | 55.9        | 57.1        |
| Ye et al. (2010)¹¹ | South Korea   | L1       | 24.4        | 24.4        |
|              |               | L2       | 8.3         | 8.3         |
|              |               | L3       | 67.3        | 67.3        |
| Makharia et al. (2012)¹⁵ | India      | L1       | 28.9        |             |
|              |               | L2       | 31.4        |             |
|              |               | L3       | 39.6        |             |
|              |               | L4       | 5.8         |             |
| Ng et al. (2013)² | Asian-Pacific | L1       | 31/31*      |             |
|              |               | L2       | 24/24*      |             |
|              |               | L3       | 45/45*      |             |
|              |               | L4       | 5/5*        |             |
| Kalaria et al. (2016)⁹ | India       | L1       | 28.9        | 36.8        |
|              |               | L2       | 31.5        | 21          |
|              |               | L3       | 39.4        | 42.2        |
|              |               | L4       | 5.2         | 5.2         |
| Western countries |               |          |             |             |
| Louis et al. (2001)¹³ | Belgium      | L1       | 44.8        | 43.3        |
|              |               | L2       | 26.7        | 23.3        |
|              |               | L3       | 24.2        | 30          |
| Tarrant et al. (2008)⁷ | New Zealand  | L1       | 32          | 35          |
|              |               | L2       | 49          | 41          |
|              |               | L3       | 19          | 22          |
|              |               | L4       | 0.6         | 2           |
| Solberg et al. (2007)⁴ | Norway      | L1       | 27          | 25.9        |
|              |               | L2       | 48.5        | 47.7        |
|              |               | L3       | 22.7        | 24.3        |
|              |               | L4       | 1.7         | 2           |
| Lakatos et al. (2009)⁴ | Hungary     | L1       | 22          | 18.2        |
|              |               | L2       | 29.1        | 36.8        |
|              |               | L3       | 47.3        | 44.4        |
|              |               | L4       | 6.4         | 0.5         |
| Thia et al. (2010)¹⁴ | USA          | L1       | 45.1        | 42.5        |
|              |               | L2       | 32          | 28.8        |
|              |               | L3       | 18.6        | 23.2        |
|              |               | L4       | 4.2         | 5.5         |

L₁, ileal disease; L₂, colonic disease; L₃, ileocolic disease; L₄, upper gastrointestinal involvement.

*Asian/Pacific.
ported the highest proportion of complex disease phenotypes (88%) at the end of a 20-year follow-up, although the proportion of B2/B3 was already over 50% at baseline. The initial need for steroids, age at diagnosis below 40 years, perianal disease, weight loss >5 kg at diagnosis, small bowel location of disease, smoking, early azathioprine (AZA), or AZA/biological therapy are common predictive factors. In a recent study in Denmark, the proportion of B2/B3 phenotypes at a 7-year follow-up was 31%, which had increased from baseline (17%); nevertheless, it was improved compared to the reports of studies carried out in early 2000. This might be related to the increasing diagnosis of inflammatory disease phenotype and earlier use of biologics in recent years, although the exact cause needs to be verified.

3. Clinical course according to changes in disease activity

The clinical course of the disease can be classified into four different patterns in terms of the severity of bowel symptoms from diagnosis to the entire follow-up period. According to previous studies based on population-based prospective cohorts from Western countries (IBSEN study), the different patterns in the clinical course of CD were remission, aggravation, continuous refractory, and chronic relapsing. The percentages of each category were 43% (remission), 3% (aggravation), 19% (chronic refractory), and 32% (chronic relapsing). In this early 1990s cohort, the majority of the patients received oral 5-aminosalicylic acid and systemic steroids (73% to 88%) with 21% to 26% receiving AZA and only 4% receiving TNF blockers. Nowadays, the pattern of the clinical course should be modified according to the more prevalent and earlier use of TNF blockers as either monotherapy or combination therapy with immunosuppressants (IMS). Hence, salvaged cases from aggravation, refractory, or relapsing towards properly controlled disease course such as remission, improved, or stable disease will increase. In a recent prospective population-based cohort study, a significant portion of the patients was treated with biologics (23%) and IMS (69%), and the results showed a considerably more stable clinical course compared to previous reports. In this review, the clinical course of CD was defined partly based on the four disease activity patterns by Solberg et al. However, with modifications in consideration of recent treatment strategies, CD has now been categorized into the follow-

| Author (year) | Country | Phenotype | Baseline, % | ≥10 Years, % |
|---------------|---------|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| Asian countries | | | | |
| Chow et al. (2007)¹² | Hong Kong | B1 | 67 | 43 |
| | | B2/B3 | 33 | 57 |
| Das et al. (2009)²⁶ | India | B1 | 51 | |
| Ye et al. (2010)¹¹ | South Korea | B1 | 68.7 | 49.3 |
| | | B2/B3 | 31.3 | 50.7 |
| Makharia et al. (2012)²⁰ | India | B1 | 66.8 | |
| | | B2/B3 | 33.2 | |
| Ng et al. (2013)² | Asian-Pacific | B1 | 66/88* | |
| | | B2/B3 | 36/12* | |
| Kalaria et al. (2016)⁸ | India | B1 | 74.7 | 50 |
| | | B2/B3 | 25.2 | 49.9 |
| Western countries | | | | |
| Cosnes et al. (2002)²⁰ | France | B1 | 40 | 12¹ |
| | | B2/B3 | 60 | 88¹ |
| Louis et al. (2001)¹³ | Belgium | B1 | 73.7 | 30.6 |
| | | B2/B3 | 26.3 | 69.4 |
| Tarrant et al. (2008)¹ | New Zealand | B1 | 73 | 44 |
| | | B2/B3 | 27 | 56 |
| Solberg et al. (2007)⁶ | Norway | B1 | 62 | 47 |
| | | B2/B3 | 38 | 53 |
| Lakatos et al. (2009)¹⁰ | Hungary | B1 | 58.3 | 28.3 |
| | | B2/B3 | 41.7 | 71.7 |
| Thia et al. (2010)¹⁴ | USA | B1 | 81.4 | 57.3 |
| | | B2/B3 | 18.6 | 42.7 |

B2, stricturing; B3, penetrating.
*Asian/Pacific; ¹20 Years.
ing four groups: remission, improved and stable, chronic relapsing, and refractory (Fig. 1).

1) Remission

Remission is defined as a Crohn's Disease Activity Index (CDAI) ≤150 (Fig. 2). A Danish cohort study conducted during 1960 to 1978 showed that 45% of patients with CD were in an inactive disease state at the end of the follow-up period. Solberg et al. reported a similar rate of remission (43%) in a 1990 to 1994 cohort after a 10-year follow-up. On the other hand, about 67% of the patients had a combination of years of relapse and years of remission within the first 8 years of initial diagnosis. Nevertheless, if an individual patient is in remission for 1 year, there is an 80% chance that this individual will remain in remission in the subsequent year. Based on a Markov model on a cohort prior to the introduction of anti-TNF therapy, a representative patient with CD would be expected to spend 24% of the time in medical remission and 41% of the time in postsurgical remission. An increased proportion of patients with CD have been receiving TNF agents in the biologics era, but there is scant data regarding the remission rate after a long-term follow-up period. Recent randomized trials have reported remission rates within 2 years of anti-TNF therapy. According to Colombel et al., the remission rate at 6 months was 44.4% in the infliximab (IFX)-only group, compared to 56.8% for the combination therapy of IFX with IMS group and a similar remission rate in 1 year in the SONIC trial. The 1-year steroid-free remission rate after IFX therapy was 40% to 55.6% in the other trials. Overall, remission rates in recent studies are similar to those in past studies (43% to 45%) conducted in the 1990s, although the remission rates in recent trials are from short-term results showing the remission rate of induction treatment. However, the remission rate of combination therapy of IFX with IMS (55.6% to 57.5%) was slightly superior to that of IFX-only therapy in recent trials, and these results need to be further verified.

(1) Deep remission

Deep remission is defined as combined endoscopic and clinical remission. The therapeutic paradigm in CD has shifted from a mere symptom-oriented approach, aiming to heal the underlying inflammation and prevent long-term structural complications. Selecting Therapeutic Targets in Inflammatory Bowel Disease (STRIDE) initiative has proposed this "treat-to-target" concept, that is, to achieve clinical/patient-reported outcome remission plus endoscopic/radiologic remission. The STRIDE guidelines recommend achieving both clinical and endoscopic

![Fig. 1. Graphs representing four patterns of the long-term disease courses. (A) Remission: decrease in the severity of bowel symptoms during the follow-up period. (B) Improved and stable: decrease in the severity of bowel symptoms, but mild residual activity or sequelae, not disturbing everyday life. (C) Relapsing: flaring of bowel symptoms after achieving clinical remission during the follow-up period. (D) Refractory: chronic continuous bowel symptoms during the follow-up period.](image-url)
remission (mucosal healing) to prevent adverse long-term outcomes and disability. Mucosal healing is best associated with favorable clinical symptomatic remission and disease-modifying outcomes such as hospitalization, surgical intervention, and quality of life.\(^{36,37}\) Recently, STRIDE-II has updated the 2015 STRIDE recommendations, and restoration of quality of life and absence of disability have been added to endoscopic remission as long-term targets.\(^{38}\) Moreover, transmural healing assessed using cross-sectional imaging has been recommended as an adjunctive goal, although it is not a formal treatment target. After the application of the treat-to-target concept, the remission rate was lowered; deep remission was achieved in about 16% of patients with moderate-to-severe CD in the EXTEND trial\(^{39}\) and in 39% of patients with early CD who were immunomodulatory and anti-TNF naïve in the SONIC trial.\(^{40}\)

(2) Surgical remission

Surgical remission is another way to achieve remission. Surgery resulted in a longer duration of remission, suggesting that earlier surgery might be more beneficial from an economic perspective.\(^{29}\) Patients with CD who underwent surgery at diagnosis for acute abdomen showed a lower risk of reoperation and less use of steroids and IMS during follow-up than those who did not undergo surgery at diagnosis.\(^{41}\) On the other hand, surgery around the diagnosis (until 6 months) is clearly the result of complications already present at diagnosis and is more representative of the initial patient characteristics than a real outcome measure.\(^{42}\) Recent data suggest that surgery rates decreased prior to the advent of biologics,\(^{43-45}\) but the results were not confirmed in all studies, and the causative role is unproven. Surgery as an outcome parameter will be discussed later.

(3) Predictors for maintaining remission

Clinical parameters reflecting mild inflammation, such as a lower CDAI and non-stricturing and non-penetrating behaviors, were associated with mid- to long-term responsiveness to steroids.\(^{46}\) A prospective observational study from Italy reported that postinduction fecal calprotectin combined with weighted pediatric CDAI are predictors of 1-year clinical and endoscopic remission to IFX in pediat-

---

Fig. 2. A 30-year-old man in remission after long-term follow-up. (A) Initial small bowel enterography showed active inflammation in the distal and terminal ileum and inflammatory stenosis in the distal ileum (white arrows). The patient was treated with steroid induction followed by azathioprine maintenance. (B) Initial endoscopy revealed shallow ulcers in the terminal ileum, and a biopsy revealed chronic granulomatous inflammation (black arrows). (C) A small bowel series at the 2-year follow-up showed chronic scarring in the distal ileum (white arrows), without active lesion. (D, E) At the 7-year follow-up, the patient was in clinical remission with no active lesions identified on both computed tomography and endoscopy.
ric CD.\textsuperscript{47} Although previous studies have reported comparable effectiveness of elemental diet to steroid use\textsuperscript{48} and the role of diet control in prolonging remission,\textsuperscript{49} nutritional therapy is regarded as only appropriate for adjunctive treatment to support nutrition, unlike the management of pediatric/adolescent CD.\textsuperscript{50}

2) Improved and stable

Stable disease refers to a case with decreased severity of bowel symptoms during the follow-up period, but with mild residual activity or sequelae, as shown in the radiological or endoscopic assessment, not disturbing everyday life (Fig. 3). This is our potential explanation of cases showing discrepancies according to comprehensive assessments of biochemical, radiologic, and endoscopic parameters. Therefore, improved and stable disease includes cases in clinical remission but not in endoscopic and/or radiologic remission. According to a recently published report by Wintjens et al.,\textsuperscript{51} a “mild chronic intermittent pattern” would be similar to this category among their six disease activity patterns. They defined six disease patterns according to the frequency of active diseases during the 10-year follow-up period, and “mild chronic intermittent pattern” was defined as five or fewer quarters of disease activity. On the other hand, clinical symptoms correlate poorly with the actual mucosal disease activity.\textsuperscript{52} Approximately one in two patients with CD in clinical remission had endoscopic and/or radiologic remission. According to a recent study by Wintjens et al.,\textsuperscript{31} “mild chronic intermittent pattern” would be similar to this category among their six disease activity patterns. They defined six disease patterns according to the frequency of active diseases during the 10-year follow-up period, and “mild chronic intermittent pattern” was defined as five or fewer quarters of disease activity. On the other hand, clinical symptoms correlate poorly with the actual mucosal disease activity.\textsuperscript{52} Approximately one in two patients with CD in clinical remission had endoscopic and/or radiologic signs of residual CD activity, while one in five patients with endoscopic and biomarker remission reported persistent clinical symptoms.\textsuperscript{31} Colonic disease usually has many symptoms with frequent extraintestinal manifestations, whereas ileal disease can remain latent for several years.\textsuperscript{53} However, there is no relationship between the symptoms and the progression of anatomic damage. Strictures and fistulas can develop over the years without any symptoms. Small bowel disease might be complicated by an abscess, fistula, or stricture, whereas colonic disease can remain uncomplicated or inflammatory for many years.\textsuperscript{54} Therefore, endoscopic and/or radiological evaluation of disease activity and structural complications is important, especially for patients with small bowel lesions. Endoscopic assessment can confirm mucosal healing in the ileocolon; however, more proximal small bowel lesions remain inaccessible to conventional ileocolonoscopic techniques. Thus, non-invasive monitoring techniques such as computed tomography/magnetic resonance enterography (CTE/MRE), bowel ultrasound, or capsule endoscopy are crucial for accurate disease assessment.\textsuperscript{55} Small bowel capsule endoscopy has a high negative predictive value and is superior to other modalities (small bowel follow through, CTE or MRE) for diagnosing small bowel CD.\textsuperscript{56} Gastrointestinal obstruction and strictures are contraindications for small bowel capsule endoscopy due to the risk of capsule retention. However, the capsule retention risk in patients with suspected CD without obstructive symptoms and without known stenosis is low, with a retention rate of only 1.6% compared to 13% in patients with established CD.\textsuperscript{57} Patients with CD with no demonstrable bowel symptoms but remaining chronic sequelae such as stricture or fistula can be classified into this category, although there are few data regarding their proportion, management plan, or prognosis.

(1) Predictors for benign course

Data regarding benign courses are scarce compared to those regarding unfavorable courses. Factors associated

---

Fig. 3. A 31-year-old man showing an improved and stable course. (A) Initial computed tomography (CT) showed active inflammation involving both the jejunum and ileum (arrows). The patient was treated with steroid induction followed by azathioprine maintenance. (B) At the 2-year follow-up, CT revealed aggravated active inflammation involving the entire small bowel and development of a perienteric abscess in the pelvic ileum (arrows). Diffuse peritoneal infiltration and ascites are also observed, suggesting peritonitis. Due to aggravated symptoms and radiologic findings, infliximab therapy was started in combination with azathioprine. (C) At the 4-year follow-up, CT showed improved active inflammation of the small bowel as well as resolved perienteric abscess and peritonitis (arrows). Residual activity in the ileum was seen. (D) At the 9-year follow-up, mild activity remained in the small bowel (arrows), although the patient was symptom-free.
with non-severe 15-year clinical course, defined as clinically inactive disease for greater than 12 years, less than one intestinal resection without permanent stoma and no death, were non-smoking status, rectal sparing, high educational level, older age, and longer disease duration.

3) Chronic relapsing

Maintenance of remission in CD should last at least 12 months according to the recommendations of the European Crohn’s and Colitis Organisation. Relapse is defined as a flare of symptoms in an established CD patient in clinical remission. Studies usually define relapse as CDAI >150 or reappearance of symptoms requiring treatment modification, hospitalization, or surgery (Fig. 4). In clinical practice, relapse is confirmed by laboratory parameters, imaging, or endoscopy. Early relapse is defined as relapse within an arbitrary period of <3 months after achieving remission in a particular therapy. Based on the pattern of relapse, they can be categorized as infrequent (<1/year) or frequent (>2/year).

Relapse rates in several previous studies ranged from 11% to 58%, while studies in the 2000s exhibited higher relapse rates (31% to 58%). Recent studies in the 2010s exhibited lower relapse rates (11% to 27%). These changes in relapse rates over time may have been caused by changes in medical treatments. A larger proportion of IMS and biologics were prescribed for CD patients in recent studies, whereas mainly aminosalicylic acids were prescribed for patients in the earlier 2000s. Although Laharie et al. reported a relapse rate of 46%, a slightly higher rate than other recent studies using biologics treatment, their study population included steroid-refractory

Fig. 4. A 14-year-old man showed chronic relapsing disease. [A] Initial computed tomography (CT) showed long segmental active inflammation in the entire small bowel (white arrows), ileocolic region, and right colon (black arrow). Due to severe activity, a combination of infliximab and azathioprine therapy was initiated. [B] Initial endoscopy also revealed severe activity involving longitudinal ulcerations, mucosal inflammation, and inflammatory polyps. [C] At the 2-year follow-up, endoscopy shows improved disease with no ulcers, inflammation and only remaining hyperplastic polyps. [D] The 10-year follow-up CT revealed a pericecal abscess with aggravated active inflammation in the ileocolic region despite a regimen change to ustekinumab (black arrows). The patient underwent right hemicolecotomy to remove the ileocecal abscess.
CD patients. At the time of the initial diagnosis of CD, induction therapy is necessary for patients exhibiting signs and symptoms of active disease. Once remission is achieved, patients are placed on maintenance therapy to ensure that remission is maintained for the longest possible period. Failure of maintenance therapy results in disease relapse. The majority of patients who were treated with corticosteroids to induce remission usually relapsed within 1 year without specific effective maintenance therapy. Although sulfasalazine and mesalamine are not effective agents in the maintenance of remission, IMS such as thiopurines, methotrexate, and TNF blockers are effective in the maintenance of CD remission. Despite the increasing use of IMS and TNF blockers, the remission rate has remained unchanged during the last decades (approximately 43% to 45%) and the improvement of the natural course of CD is still questionable. However, treatment options have been stratified according to comprehensive risk assessments, including initial disease activity, extent, and poor prognostic factors. The initial treatment of relapse should be based on previously successful therapies. However, several factors should be reassessed while deciding the treatment strategy for relapsing disease. These include time to relapse, initial therapy resulting in optimal response, adverse effects of current therapy, adherence to the prescribed therapy, and concurrent therapy. In case of early relapse, opinions remain divided on whether to use the same treatment to induce remission and taper more slowly or to use more potent induction therapy, and usually it necessitates the initiation of IMS to prevent future relapse. Moderate-to-severe relapsing disease warrants initiation of TNF blockers, and concurrent therapy with IFX and AZA is also noted to be more effective than either therapy alone.

Relapse during TNF blocker treatment can be caused by a LOR. Patients who initially respond to anti-TNF induction regimen subsequently lose response and experience flare of symptoms necessitating dose escalation, switching of anti-TNF agents, or surgical intervention. LOR usually occurs within the first 12 months, and the rate of LOR after 12 months of anti-TNF therapy in CD patients ranges from 23% to 46% for both IFX and adalimumab. The annual risk of LOR is between 13% and 24% as judged by the need for dose intensification and 7% per year experience LOR despite dose intensification. The most investigated mechanism for LOR is the formation of antibodies against anti-TNF agents. Antibodies-to-IFX is associated with lower serum levels of the drug due to increased drug clearance. Management options for LOR include dose optimization such as dose increase or interval shortening, switching to another TNF blocker, and addition of another IMS to restore effective TNF blockade. A recent randomized controlled trial reported that the addition of AZA to the switch of anti-TNF yielded higher survival rates without clinical failure and the occurrence of unfavorable pharmacokinetics in patients with immune-mediated LOR to the first anti-TNF. Furthermore, treatment with a third anti-TNF agent or even retreatment with a previously failed anti-TNF can confer sustained clinical response in one-third of patients. However, switching from anti-TNF to another biologic with a different mode of action may prove more beneficial. In this respect, agents targeting leukocyte trafficking, such as anti-integrin vedolizumab or agents targeting IL-12/23 (anti-p40 antibody) such as ustekinumab, can be used as the next step in therapy for moderate-to-severe disease relapse in patients who have an inadequate response to TNF blockers and/or IMS.

(1) Predictors of relapse
Several clinical and environmental predictors of relapse have been reported, including younger age at diagnosis (<25 years), perianal disease, terminal ileal location, disease location in the proximal small bowel/upper gastrointestinal tract, short period of remission before relapse (<6 months), oral contraceptive use, and stress. According to the European Crohn's and Colitis Organisation, common factors associated with higher relapse risk following withdrawal of IMS or anti-TNF are smoking, elevated C-reactive protein level, elevated fecal calprotectin, fistulizing perianal disease, and short duration of remission. Patients with deep remission (clinical, biological, and endoscopic) have a lower risk of relapse after anti-TNF withdrawal, and maintenance of IMS treatment seems to reduce the risk of relapse. In pediatric CD patients, baseline anti-Saccharomyces cerevisiae antibody (glycan antibody) reactivity has been associated with earlier complications, relapsing disease, and the need for additional surgery.

4) Chronic refractory
CD is generally distinguished by a sequence of flare-up episodes and remissions of varying durations, whereas 10% to 15% of patients undergo a chronic refractory disease course (Fig. 5). Refractory disease refers to individuals showing persistent clinical symptoms without a period of remission. This might have been caused by the failure of induction treatment. A short course of steroids is effectively used for the induction treatment of active disease, and anti-TNF induction is recommended to treat steroid-resistant CD or moderate-to-severe disease with poor prognostic factors. However, 20% of patients receiving corticosteroid therapy remained refractory to steroids at 1 year. Moreover, 36% of patients develop steroid dependence...
within the first year of therapy, and in these patients, steroids could not be tapered or discontinued without precipitation of a symptomatic relapse.\textsuperscript{81} Polymorphism in multidrug resistant 1, TNF, and migration inhibitory factor genes has been associated with steroid refractoriness.\textsuperscript{82,83} In steroid-refractory cases, other treatment options, including IMS, anti-TNF agents, ustekinumab, or vedolizumab, are available depending on the extent of the disease, prior disease response, and patient preference.\textsuperscript{27} A combination of steroids with an anti-TNF agent and an IMS is also possible and may improve outcomes.\textsuperscript{50} Anti-TNF refractory diseases can be caused by primary nonresponse. Primary nonresponse occurs in 20\% to 40\% of patients in clinical trials with both IFX and adalimumab, whereas lower rates of 10\% to 20\% primary nonresponse are generally reported in clinical real-life series.\textsuperscript{84} Several factors such as genetics, environmental insults, and the phenotype of the disease have been associated with an increased risk of primary nonresponse.\textsuperscript{85} Longer disease duration (>2 years), small bowel extent of disease, smoking, and normal C-reactive protein have been reported to confer an increased risk of primary nonresponse.\textsuperscript{85} Certain genetic mutations and/or polymorphisms in the apoptosis-related genes of FAS-L, caspase-9 and IBD5 loci can also be risk factors.\textsuperscript{84} Cases of familial CD, that is, having a first-degree relative with the disease, are usually diagnosed at a younger age and have an increased risk of extraintestinal manifestations and refractory disease to medical therapy.\textsuperscript{86} However, the effect of family history is controversial because some studies have reported no significant influence of family history on the disease course.\textsuperscript{86,87} Primary nonresponse to anti-TNF treatment is probably not a class-effect phenomenon. Switching to another IMS or another anti-TNF agent can still be effective, referring to previous articles showing a 50\% to 65\% response rate after primary nonresponse to a first and/or second anti-TNF agent.\textsuperscript{72} Other options include treatment with ustekinumab or vedolizumab, or surgical intervention. Both ustekinumab and vedolizumab are effective as induction and maintenance treatments in patients with CD, either naïve or exposed to anti-TNF.\textsuperscript{88} A recent French study compared the effectiveness and safety of ustekinumab and vedolizumab in CD patients refractory to anti-TNF, and they suggested that ustekinumab is associated with a higher rate of clinical remission and treatment persistence.\textsuperscript{89}

(1) Predictors for refractory disease

In a previous prospective observational study from the United Kingdom, low drug concentration at week 14 after starting anti-TNF treatment (IFX and adalimumab) was the only factor associated with primary nonresponse.\textsuperscript{90} The authors explained that refractoriness to anti-TNF is mediated in part by the generation of anti-drug antibodies. Predictors of nonresponse to ustekinumab in treatment-refractory CD are male sex, the presence of extraintestinal manifestations, the use of steroids at baseline, perianal disease, Harvey-Bradshaw index, and current opioid use.\textsuperscript{91,92}

4. Surgery

Surgery and colectomy are among the most objective and extensively studied outcomes of CD. Major surgery and colectomy are needed in approximately 40\% to 50\% of CD cases within 10 years of diagnosis.\textsuperscript{93} The most common indications for surgical resection include medically refractory disease, bowel perforation, and persistent or recurrent obstruction.\textsuperscript{27} Recent data suggest that surgery rates
decreased prior to the advent of biologics, parallel with the increased use of IMS and biological therapy. However, controversial results showing an association between the duration of AZA and anti-TNF and the risk for surgery, similar risk of hospitalization, surgery, and phenotype progression have also been reported. Therefore, improvement in natural history and disease outcome with the advent of biologics needs to be further investigated. Clinical predictors for surgery include age at onset, disease location, disease behavior, disease behavioral change, early use of AZA/biologics, perianal disease, smoking, and specialist care. While young age at diagnosis (<40 years), terminal ileal or ileocolonic location, complicated disease behavior (stricturing and penetrating), and smoking were identified as risk factors, age over 40 years, isolated colonic localization, and gastroenterology specialist care were protective factors for surgery. The presence of NOD2 polymorphism has been associated with an earlier need for first surgery and a reduced postoperative disease-free interval.

Data on changes in the natural history of CD indicate that surgery rates have declined in the last decade, partly associated with a greater proportion of patients with uncomplicated disease behavior, changes in patient monitoring, and different therapeutic strategies. However, further investigations are needed to assess whether objective patient monitoring or early administration of biologics leads to superior outcomes.

### SUMMARY

Disease location remains stable with initial manifestation over time and is mostly determined by genetic/familial factors. Disease behavior evolves from simple inflammatory to complicated phenotypes, such as stricturing and/or penetrating disease, in over 50% of CD patients after a long-term follow-up period. A comprehensive assessment of disease activity is mandatory during long-term treatment and monitoring. The long-term disease course can be categorized into four groups: remission, stable, chronic relapsing, and refractory diseases. With the introduction of biologics, the natural history of disease course and outcome seem to be enhanced with increased remission rates, decreased relapse rates, and decreased surgery rates. However, there are controversial results in several studies regarding this topic; therefore, more concrete research is required. Understanding changes in disease patterns, disease course, outcome, and predictive factors is necessary for the development of treatment strategies and better patient care in patients with CD.
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