The Measles Virus V Protein Binds to p65 (RelA) To Suppress NF-κB Activity
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Nuclear factor κB (NF-κB) transcription factors are involved in controlling numerous cellular processes, including inflammation, innate and adaptive immunity, and cell survival. Here we show that the immunosuppressive measles virus (MV; Morbillivirus genus, Paramyxoviridae) has evolved multiple functions to interfere with canonical NF-κB signaling in epithelial cells. The MV P, V, and C proteins, also involved in preventing host cell interferon responses, were found to individually suppress NF-κB-dependent reporter gene expression in response to activation of the tumor necrosis factor (TNF) receptor, RIG-I-like receptors, or Toll-like receptors. NF-κB activity was most efficiently suppressed in the presence of V, while expression of P or C resulted in moderate inhibition. As indicated by reporter gene assays involving overexpression of the IκB kinase (IKK) complex, which phosphorylates the inhibitor of κB to liberate NF-κB, V protein targets a downstream step in the signaling cascade. Coimmunoprecipitation experiments revealed that V specifically binds to the Rel homology domain of the NF-κB subunit p65 but not of p50. Notably, the short C-terminal domain of the V protein, which is also involved in binding STAT2, IRF7, and MDA5, was sufficient for the interaction and for preventing reporter gene activity. As observed by confocal microscopy, the presence of V abolished nuclear translocation of p65 upon TNF-α stimulation. Thus, MV V appears to prevent NF-κB-dependent gene expression by retaining p65 in the cytoplasm. These findings reveal NF-κB as a key target of MV and stress the importance of the V protein as the major viral immune-modulatory factor.

The innate immune response to viruses involves activation of pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) and transcriptional induction of type I interferons (IFNs) and proinflammatory cytokines. IFN genes are controlled mainly by the activities of interferon-regulatory factors 3 and 7 (IRF3 and -7, respectively), but activator protein 1 (AP1) and nuclear factor of the interferon-regulatory factors joining the enhanceosome for efficient and regulated transcription of the IFN-β gene (31, 47). NF-κB, in addition, plays an important role in the innate immune system since it controls the transcription of a large variety of proinflammatory cytokatoes. IFN-β gene activation upon stimulation of diverse receptors, including not only PRRs like the toll-like receptors (TLRs) and retinoic acid-inducible gene I-like receptors (RLRs) but also members of the tumor necrosis factor receptor (TNFR) family (19). Moreover, NF-κB regulates numerous physiological processes, like immune cell development, proliferation, and homeostasis of the adaptive immune system (24).

The mammalian NF-κB family comprises five members: p65 (RelA), p50 (NF-κB1), p52 (NF-κB2), cRel, and RelB. All family members share a structurally conserved N-terminal region of about 300 amino acids (aa), the Rel homology domain (RHD), which is critical for homo- or heterodimerization, binding to cognate DNA sequences, termed κB motifs, and interaction with specific inhibitory proteins. Rel proteins (p65/RelA, cRel, RelB) contain a C-terminal transactivation domain, which is lacking in p50 and p52. Thus, p50 and p52 form heterodimers with a Rel protein for gene activation or homodimers to function as repressors of promoters bearing κB motifs (35). The predominant form of NF-κB is a heterodimer of p65 and p50 subunits.

Most NF-κB dimers are located in the cytoplasm in an inactive form because of their association with inhibitor of κB (IκB) proteins, the most common of which is IκBα (2, 3). These regulatory proteins mask the NF-κB nuclear localization signal (NLS) within the NF-κB dimers and thus sequester them in the cytoplasm. A critical event in the so-called canonical activation of NF-κB is the phosphorylation of IκB proteins by IκB kinases (IKKs). The IKK complex involved contains two catalytic subunits, IκKα and IκKβ, as well as a regulatory subunit, IκKγ (NF-κB essential modulator [NEMO]). Upon activation, the IKK complex phosphorylates IκBα, which is the signal for ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of the inhibitor. This leads to liberation of the NF-κB dimers, their nuclear translocation, and NF-κB-dependent gene transcription. Numerous upstream signaling cascades converge on the IKK complex (27), which is therefore the central mediator of canonical NF-κB activation.

RNA viruses like the Paramyxoviridae have developed multiple and powerful strategies to counteract IRF3/7-dependent IFN induction and signal transducers and activators of transcription (STAT)-dependent IFN signaling (21). While recent studies on paramyxovirus innate immunity antagonistic activities have brought forth much knowledge on how control of IRF3/7 and STAT is achieved, their potential to interfere with NF-κB has been less well studied (25, 26).

Here, we assessed the ability of the immunosuppressive measles virus (MV) to interfere with NF-κB signaling. MV is a
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nonsegmented negative-strand RNA virus of the Paramyxoviridae family which typically triggers PRRs through interaction of viral RNA with TLR3 or TLR7 in the endosomes or with the RLRs, like RIG-I, in the cytoplasm (44). Induction of these pathways leads to the activation of both IRF3 and NF-κB and therefore to the transcription of IFN-β and inflammatory cytokines. Measles virus proteins have been shown to inhibit the IRF3- and IRF7-activating pathways as well as IFN signaling through different mechanisms (17). Specifically, the three phosphoprotein (P) gene products P, V, and C have been shown to act as the key players of MV-mediated immune evasion. A process called RNA editing, where an additional G is inserted into the mRNA of the P gene transcript, gives rise to the V protein (10). Thus, MV V has a unique, cysteine-rich C-terminal domain (VCTD) and an N-terminal domain which is identical to that of MV P (PV NTD) (Fig. 1A). Notably, the structure of the cysteine-rich and zinc-coordinated VCTD domain is conserved among paramyxovirus family members. Expression of the C protein is achieved through alternative translation initiation (5). In this study, we examined the effect of the MV P, V, and C proteins on canonical NF-κB activation. We found that any of the MV P gene products can interfere with NF-κB-dependent gene expression, illustrating that NF-κB is an important target of MV. The V protein displayed the strongest inhibitory effects and was found to specifically bind to the NF-κB subunit p65 and to preclude its nuclear accumulation. Intriguingly, the small VCTD, which is engaged in targeting multiple factors of IFN induction and IFN signaling pathways, was identified as responsible for p65 interaction.

This work was conducted by K. M. Schuhmann in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a Ph.D. from Ludwig Maximilians University Munich.)

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture. Human embryonic kidney HEK-293T cells and HEp2 cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 1X t-glutamine, and penicillin-streptomycin ( Gibco, Invitrogen).

Plasmids and reagents. The generation of expression vectors encoding individual P gene products of the MV Schwartz vaccine strain and their immuno-globulin (Ig) and flag-tagged versions and fragments were described recently (38). The plasmid encoding rabies virus P (RV P) was described previously (6). The N-terminal fragment of p65 (aa 1 to 309) representing the NF-κB subunit p65 and to preclude its nuclear accumulation. NF-κB activation by measles virus P, V, and C proteins. (A) The P gene of MV encodes the P protein and the nonstructural proteins V and C. The V mRNA is generated by insertion of an additional guanosine between nucleotides 751 and 752 of the mRNA by RNA editing. Therefore, the MV P and V proteins share an amino-terminal domain (PV NTD) stretching from aa 1 to 231 but have distinct carboxy-terminal domains (PVCTD, VCTD). The C protein is produced by translation of an alternative open reading frame (ORF) initiated 19 nucleotides downstream of the P/V start codon. (→ssRNA, negative-sense single-stranded RNA. (B) Increasing amounts (200 ng, 400 ng, 600 ng) of expression plasmids encoding measles virus (MV) proteins (P, V, C), rabies virus (RV) P protein, or an empty vector (EV) were cotransfected into HEK-293T cells with the NF-κB-dependent reporter plasmid p55A2-luc and pRL-CMV for normalization. After 18 h, cells were stimulated with 10 ng/ml recombinant human TNF-α and incubated for an additional 6 h, followed by cell lysis. NF-κB-driven luciferase activity was determined by a dual-luciferase assay. Values given are averages and standard deviations of results from two independent experiments. Depicted are the results of a representative experiment of four repeats. (Lower panel) Cell lysates were subjected to SDS-PAGE, and separated proteins were probed with anti-MV P/V, anti-MV C, or anti-RV P antibodies by Western blotting to determine the expression levels.

FIG. 1. Suppression of TNF-α-mediated NF-κB activation by measles virus P, V, and C proteins. (A) The P gene of MV encodes the P protein and the nonstructural proteins V and C. The V mRNA is generated by insertion of an additional guanosine between nucleotides 751 and 752 of the mRNA by RNA editing. Therefore, the MV P and V proteins share an amino-terminal domain (PV NTD) stretching from aa 1 to 231 but have distinct carboxy-terminal domains (PVCTD, VCTD). The C protein is produced by translation of an alternative open reading frame (ORF) initiated 19 nucleotides downstream of the P/V start codon. (→ssRNA, negative-sense single-stranded RNA. (B) Increasing amounts (200 ng, 400 ng, 600 ng) of expression plasmids encoding measles virus (MV) proteins (P, V, C), rabies virus (RV) P protein, or an empty vector (EV) were cotransfected into HEK-293T cells with the NF-κB-dependent reporter plasmid p55A2-luc and pRL-CMV for normalization. After 18 h, cells were stimulated with 10 ng/ml recombinant human TNF-α and incubated for an additional 6 h, followed by cell lysis. NF-κB-driven luciferase activity was determined by a dual-luciferase assay. Values given are averages and standard deviations of results from two independent experiments. Depicted are the results of a representative experiment of four repeats. (Lower panel) Cell lysates were subjected to SDS-PAGE, and separated proteins were probed with anti-MV P/V, anti-MV C, or anti-RV P antibodies by Western blotting to determine the expression levels.
RESULTS

Suppression of TNF-α-mediated NF-κB activation by measles virus P, V, and C proteins. The canonical NF-κB activation pathway can be initiated by various stimuli, including tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α), a key cytokine regulating immune functions as well as inflammatory responses (18). In order to identify MV proteins influencing NF-κB activity, we performed dual-luciferase reporter gene assays with cells treated with TNF-α. Increasing amounts of expression vectors for the MV Schwarz proteins, including P, V, and C, were cotransfected into HEK-293T cells with a plasmid encoding the firefly luciferase reporter gene under the control of a trimeric repeat of the NF-κB-binding motif (PRDDI) of the IFN-β promoter (50) and a Renilla luciferase expression plasmid. The start codon of the C protein was changed by site-directed silent mutagenesis to prevent expression of MV C in the cases of all P- or V-expressing plasmids, as described previously (38). The P protein of rabies virus (RV P), which suppresses activation of IRF3 and STAT1/STAT2 nuclear import but which has no influence on NF-κB signaling (6, 7, 46), was used as a negative control. Cells were stimulated with TNF-α 6 h prior to cell lysis, and NF-κB-dependent luciferase activity was determined. Intriguingly, all three P gene products, MV P, V, and C, exerted a substantial and dose-dependent inhibitory effect on TNF-α-mediated NF-κB activation. The V protein showed the strongest suppression (Fig. 1B). The inhibitory effects of the P and C proteins were less prominent. In contrast to V, P, and C, NF-κB-dependent luciferase activity was not affected in the presence of MV N, F, H, or L (not shown) or of RV P protein. These results indicate that the MV P, V, and C proteins can interfere with canonical NF-κB activation but to different extents.

NF-κB activation by pattern recognition receptors is suppressed by MV P gene products. Triggering of PRRs, such as RLRs or TLRs, can also lead to the activation of NF-κB. To test the capacity of MV P gene products to downregulate NF-κB activation mediated by RIG-I signaling, a C-terminal deletion mutant, the ΔRIG-I mutant (comprising aa 1 to 284), which was previously shown to constitutively activate NF-κB (49), was cotransfected with increasing amounts of the MV proteins in HEK-293T cells, followed by dual-luciferase assays. As a control, increasing amounts of a plasmid encoding RV P were transfected. Expression of the ΔRIG-I mutant led to a >40-fold induction of NF-κB activity. In the presence of MV V at the highest dose, activity was suppressed to nearly basal level (Fig. 2A). MV P protein, which was expressed at much higher levels, as indicated by Western blotting using an antibody recognizing both P and V (Fig. 2A, bottom panel), had a less pronounced inhibitory potency. The C protein had intermediate capacity, while RV P protein had no significant influence on NF-κB activation.

Signal transduction by the receptors RIG-I and MDA5 is transmitted via IPS-1, while that of TLRs involves the adaptor MyD88 (for TLR1–4, -7, -8, -9) or TRIF (for TLR3) (29). To examine whether MV P, V, and C are able to generally counteract NF-κB activation by RLRs and TLRs, dual-luciferase reporter gene assays involving overexpression of IPS-1 (Fig. 2B), MyD88 (Fig. 2C), and TRIF (Fig. 2D) for stimulation of NF-κB activity were performed. Analogously with the previous experiments, all MV P gene products were able to suppress the adaptor-induced NF-κB activation in a dose-dependent way, whereas expression of RV P had no effect. The levels of reduction of NF-κB activity achieved by the V and P proteins appeared to be similar in these experiments; however, Western blotting revealed a substantially lower expression of the V protein (Fig. 2B to D, bottom panels), supporting the previous finding that the V protein is the most potent inhibitor of NF-κB activation among the MV P gene products.

MV V inhibits NF-κB signaling downstream of the IKK complex. Stimulation of TLR, RLR, and TNFR triggers path-
ways leading to canonical NF-κB activation. These pathways converge on the IKK complex, which is composed of the kinases IKKα, IKKβ, and IKKγ (NEMO). To test whether the inhibition by MV proteins occurs at or downstream of these kinases, NF-κB-dependent luciferase expression was activated by overexpressing IKKα, IKKβ, and IKKγ in HEK-293T cells (Fig. 3A). As a positive control, an Ig-tagged NEMO-binding domain (NBD) was used (Ig-NBD). The NBD peptide binds to IKKγ and thereby inhibits the formation of the IKK complex, which is essential for canonical NF-κB activation (34). Expression of Ig-NBD resulted in a dose-dependent inhibition of IKK complex-induced NF-κB activity, while the Ig tag expressed individually did not decrease NF-κB activation. Expression of MV V led to a dose-dependent and effective suppression of NF-κB activity, comparable to that achieved by expression of Ig-NBD (Fig. 3A), indicating a target at the level of, or downstream of, the IKK complex. The presence of MV P and C proteins had less pronounced effects on NF-κB activation by the IKK complex.

To further spot the step where MV V inhibits canonical NF-κB activation, we induced NF-κB-dependent luciferase activity by coexpression of the NF-κB subunits p65 and p50, which build the main heterodimer of NF-κB. In the presence of MV V, the NF-κB activity induced by p65/p50 was reduced dose dependently and significantly, whereas MV P and C showed only minor inhibitory capacities (Fig. 3B). As expected, coexpression of Ig-NBD had no effect on p65/p50-mediated NF-κB activity, as this inhibitor acts upstream of the transcription factor p65/p50. We conclude from these experiments that the V protein of MV can inhibit canonical NF-κB signaling downstream of the IKK complex, whereas P and C may act upstream in the signal transduction cascade.

MV V binds the NF-κB subunit p65. In order to clarify the molecular mechanism of MV proteins to suppress NF-κB activation, we analyzed the NF-κB subunits p65 and p50 for potential interactions with viral proteins in coimmunoprecipitation (CoIP) experiments. Extracts from HEK-293T cells coexpressing Ig-tagged MV P, V, or C protein and p65 from transfected plasmids were purified with protein A-conjugated Sepharose beads, and precipitates were analyzed by Western
blotting using antibodies against p65 or human IgG. Indeed, the NF-κB subunit p65 was specifically coprecipitated with Ig-MV V, whereas no interaction of p65 with the P or C construct was detectable (Fig. 4A).

In further experiments, binding of p50 (NF-κB1) to flag-tagged MV proteins was assessed. Flag-p65 was included as a positive control. Cell extracts were analyzed by immunoblotting using antibodies specific for p50 and flag. While flag-p65 efficiently coprecipitated p50, no interactions of the p50 NF-κB subunit with any of the flag-MV proteins could be demonstrated (Fig. 4B). Taken together, these experiments revealed that the V protein of MV specifically interacts with the NF-κB subunit p65 but not with p50.

To determine if MV V binds to the N-terminal RHD of p65, which is responsible for dimerization, DNA binding, and nuclear import, we constructed the fragment of p65 spanning aa 1 to 309 (RHD p65) and performed CoIP experiments with flag-MV P, V, and C. As revealed by Western blotting with an antibody specific for the N terminus of p65, the p65 RHD was efficiently pulled down by flag-MV V (Fig. 4C). Notably, RHD p65 also showed some affinity to MV P, though it was considerably weaker than that to MV V. A minor affinity of the MV P protein to bind p65 was observed occasionally in pulldown experiments with flag-tagged p65 and authentic, untagged MV proteins (data not shown). Considering these things together, we observed that MV V binds with a strong affinity to the RHD of p65, while a weak interaction of MV P with RHD p65 was suggested.

To further verify the specificity of MV V to the RHD of p65, we tested binding of flag-tagged p65, RHD p65, p50, and RHD...
p50 to V within one experiment. Therefore, we constructed the RHD of p50 spanning aa 1 to 366 and the other constructs with a flag tag. CoIP experiments of the flag-tagged proteins with authentic, untagged MV V revealed specific binding of V to flag-p65 and flag-RHD p65, while no interaction of V and flag-p50 or flag-RHD p50 could be detected (Fig. 4D). These findings confirm the specificity of MV V to the RHD of p65.

V prevents nuclear translocation of p65. Since MV V is a cytoplasmic protein, binding of V to p65 might interfere with the trafficking of this NF-κB subunit. In order to address this hypothesis, HEp2 cells were transfected with a flag-MV V-encoding plasmid or an empty vector (EV) (3 μg each). Cells were lysed 24 h posttransfection, and flag-tagged measles proteins were immunoprecipitated using anti-flag M2 affinity gel. The interaction of p50 and flag-tagged proteins was analyzed by Western blotting (WB). The results of a representative experiment (of three) is shown. (C) HEK-293T cells were cotransfected with vectors encoding the indicated flag-tagged constructs or an empty vector (EV) and the RHD of p65 (aa 1 to 309). A CoIP assay was performed as described, and RHD-p65 was stained using anti-p65 (Cell Signaling; catalog no. 3035). The results of a representative experiment (of three) are shown. (D) MV V was coexpressed with the indicated flag-tagged proteins or an empty vector (EV) (3 μg each) in HEK-293T cells. CoIP experiments were performed as described above, and MV V was stained using anti-MV V CTD. Depicted are the results of a representative experiment (of three repeats).

FIG. 4. MV V binds the NF-κB subunit p65. (A) HEK-293T cells were used to express p65 in combination with the indicated Ig-tagged proteins or the Ig tag (Ig) itself (3 μg each). After 24 h, cells were lysed under native conditions and Ig-tagged proteins were pulled down using protein A-conjugated Sepharose beads. Binding of p65 to measles proteins was visualized by Western blotting. Depicted are the results of a representative experiment (of four repeats). PO, horseradish peroxidase. (B) p50 was coexpressed in HEK-293T cells with the indicated flag proteins or an empty vector (EV) (3 μg each). Cells were lysed 24 h posttransfection, and flag-tagged measles proteins were immunoprecipitated using anti-flag M2 affinity gel. The interaction of p50 and flag-tagged proteins was analyzed by Western blotting (WB). The results of a representative experiment (of three) is shown. (C) HEK-293T cells were cotransfected with vectors encoding the indicated flag-tagged constructs or an empty vector (EV) and the RHD of p65 (aa 1 to 309). A CoIP assay was performed as described, and RHD-p65 was stained using anti-p65 (Cell Signaling; catalog no. 3035). The results of a representative experiment (of three) are shown. (D) MV V was coexpressed with the indicated flag-tagged proteins or an empty vector (EV) (3 μg each) in HEK-293T cells. CoIP experiments were performed as described above, and MV V was stained using anti-MV V CTD. Depicted are the results of a representative experiment (of three repeats).
was sufficient for precipitation of p65, with a binding affinity apparently similar to that of full-length Ig-MV V, while the other constructs did not reveal interaction with the NF-κB subunit (Fig. 6A). To clarify whether binding of the small V CTD is also sufficient for inhibition of NF-κB transcriptional activity, p65 and p50 were overexpressed in HEK-293T cells along with Ig-MV V CTD or Ig-MV V. A similar and dose-dependent reduction of NF-κB-dependent luciferase expression confirmed that binding of V CTD is sufficient for full inhibition (Fig. 6B). In contrast, expression of the C-terminal portion of the P protein had no considerable effect on p65/ p50-mediated luciferase activity. In summary, the C-terminal domain of the V protein is sufficient for mediating p65 binding and inhibition of p65/p50-mediated NF-κB activity.

**DISCUSSION**

NF-κB is a key mediator of antiviral host responses and inflammation, as well as of immune cell development, survival, and function (24), and therefore a prime candidate for viral interference (26). In particular, hematotropic viruses like the immune-suppressive and immune-modulatory measles virus (22) should have means to interfere with NF-κB signaling. In fact, recent work showed upregulation of the ubiquitin-modifying enzyme A20 in monocytes, but not in epithelial cells, infected with MV or expressing the MV P protein (48), indicating that MV has at least an indirect means of affecting NF-κB signaling. Our present data revealed that all of the MV Schwarz P gene products, including the essential P protein, and the “accessory” proteins V and C, which are established MV virulence factors, are able to interfere with NF-κB activation. The V protein, in particular, revealed a potent inhibitory capacity. This is correlated with the specific binding of V to the central NF-κB subunit, p65, and therefore a lack of p65 nuclear accumulation. P and V of an MV wild-type isolate (genotype D5) bear some point mutations in their common PV NTD domain, while the unique P CTD has two conservative amino acid exchanges compared to the Schwarz strain. V CTD, however, which was shown to be responsible for p65 binding, is completely conserved in the wild-type isolate and the Schwarz strain. Consistently with this fact, binding of wild-type V to p65 and similar levels of inhibition of reporter gene activity were also observed (data not shown). The C protein of the D5 strain shows only some amino acid exchanges, which seem to have no effect on the suppression of NF-κB activity, since all wild-type P gene products showed the same suppression pattern as MV Schwarz proteins in the NF-κB-dependent luciferase assays (data not shown). Thus, the inhibitory capacity of MV P gene products seems not to be affected in the vaccine strain compared to that of the wild type.

Expression of the individual MV proteins P, V, and C was sufficient to suppress NF-κB-mediated reporter gene transcription triggered through different signaling cascades, including the TNFR, RLR, and TLR. Importantly, upregulation of the NF-κB inhibitor A20 was not observed in HEK-293T cells with any of the MV proteins (not shown), excluding the possibility of a contribution of this recently described mechanism (48). In any of the pathways investigated, the specific inhibitory capacity of V was greater than that of P or C, while it appeared to be particularly pronounced in cells stimulated by overexpression of the ΔRIG-I mutant. Since RIG-I is thought to be the main sensor of paramyxovirus infection (28, 39), interference with RLR signaling cascades is a promising mechanism for evading host immune responses. MV V is known to strongly bind to the helicase domain of MDA5 but not to RIG-I (1, 12, 13). In addition, genetic knockout of the MDA5 gene was previously shown to reduce RIG-I-mediated IFN induction in transgenic mice (20), indicating that MDA5 is synergistic to RIG-I signaling. Although the ΔRIG-I mutant consists only of the RIG-I CARD domains, a potential ΔRIG-I–MDA5 interplay, which is disturbed by V binding, cannot be formally excluded and might contribute to the potent inhibition of ΔRIG-I mutant-mediated NF-κB activity by V. However, we suggest that binding of MV V to the downstream transcription factor p65 is the major mechanism for suppression of RLR-mediated NF-κB activation.

Signaling cascades initiated by TNFR, TLR, and RLR or their respective adaptor proteins, MyD88, TRIF, and IPS-1, converge on the IKK complex, which controls the phosphorylation-dependent proteasomal degradation of the inhibitor IκB and therefore is the central regulator of the canonical NF-κB pathway (23, 27). The finding that MV V is able to suppress not only IKK-mediated NF-κB activity but also the activity of overexpressed NF-κB (p65/p50) revealed a universal, downstream inhibitory mechanism of the V protein (Fig. 3).

Inspired by the fact that MV V and P are cytoplasmic proteins and interfere with the import of STAT1 and STAT2 to the nucleus (9, 16, 36), we performed coimmunoprecipitation assays, which revealed the specific binding of V to p65 (Fig. 4), and immunofluorescence assays, which indicated V-mediated retention of p65 in the cytoplasm (Fig. 5). We found that binding of MV V to p65 is mediated through the Rel homology domain (RHD) of this NF-κB subunit, while no interaction.
with the RHD of p50 was detected (Fig. 4D). The N-terminal RHD is characteristic of all NF-κB subunits (19) and is responsible for the homo- and heterodimerization of the NF-κB proteins and the binding to IκB, as well as sequence-specific DNA binding. The RHD also contains the nuclear localization signal (NLS), which is masked by the IκBαs in nonstimulated cells. Upon stimulation of the NF-κB signaling cascade, IκBαs are degraded and the NLS is liberated, which results in the nuclear translocation of NF-κB. We propose that binding of V to the RHD domain of p65 shields the NLS of the NF-κB subunit such that translocation of NF-κB into the nucleus is impaired, therefore suggesting an IκB-like function for the MV V protein.

We recently described the binding of MV V to IKKα (38), which is involved in the activation of IRF7 through TLR7/8/9 but is also a subunit of the canonical IKK complex. We could show in in vitro kinase assays that the IKKα-dependent phosphorylation of IRF7 was diminished in the presence of MV V but that the phosphorylation of the NF-κB inhibitor IκBα by IKKα was not altered (38). Furthermore, activation of NF-κB by overexpression of either IKKα or IKKβ was inhibited equally by MV V (not shown). Therefore, we suggest that the binding of MV V to IKKα suppresses IRF7 activation but does not affect the activation of NF-κB. Only the binding of V to p65 interferes with NF-κB signaling. This is also emphasized by the fact that IKKα plays only a minor role in the canonical NF-κB pathway, since IKKα may support canonical NF-κB activation but is dispensable, whereas IKKβ is the essential kinase (27).

Intriguingly, the short, 68-aa C-terminal domain of the V protein (VCTD) was found to be responsible and sufficient for the specific binding of V to the RHD domain of p65 and the inhibition of canonical NF-κB activation (Fig. 6). The structure of the cysteine-rich and zinc-coordinated VCTD is the conserved part of Paramyxovirinae V proteins and responsible for most of the host-antagonistic functions described for V so far (21). Therefore, it is not surprising that VCTDs of different Paramyxovirinae family members have common functions and binding partners, such as STAT2 (42, 43, 45) and MDA5 (1, 12, 37). However, it appears that the VCTDs of Paramyxovirinae can further adapt to different targets, according to their requirements and niches. While the VCTD of the respiratory pathogen parainfluenza virus type 5 (PIV5) and of related rubulaviruses

FIG. 6. The CTD of MV V is required and sufficient for p65 binding and suppression of p65/p50-mediated NF-κB activity. (A) HEK-293T cells were cotransfected with vectors encoding the indicated Ig-tagged constructs or the Ig tag itself (Ig) and p65. A CoIP assay was performed as described in the text. The results of a representative experiment of four are shown. (B) Increasing amounts (300 ng, 600 ng) of the indicated Ig-tagged constructs were coexpressed in HEK-293T cells together with p65, p50 (150 ng each), and the NF-κB reporter system (100 ng p55A2, 10 ng pRL-CMV). Twelve hours posttransfection, cells were lysed and NF-κB activity was determined by a dual-luciferase assay. Values given are averages and standard deviations of results from two independent experiments. Depicted are the results of a representative experiment (of three repeats). (Lower panel) Expression levels were determined by Western blotting.
were found to bind IKKα and thereby to prevent IRF3 activation and RLR-dependent IFN-β induction (33), we recently showed that the MV V CTD instead binds IKKα and IRF7 and thereby prevents TLR7/8/9-mediated IFN-α induction, which is instrumental in hematopoietic cells like pDC (38). Similarly, a general inhibition of canonical NF-κB activation due to binding to p65, as observed here for MV, seems not to be a common feature of *Paramyxovirinae* V proteins. Though the V protein of PIIV5 was reported to suppress NF-κB activation upon being triggered with synthetic double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) or due to viral infection, inhibition of lipopolysaccharide (LPS)- or TNF-α-dependent NF-κB activity was not observed (32, 40). This is in accordance with our own NF-κB reporter gene experiments where expression of PIIV5 V was ineffective in preventing TNF-α-mediated NF-κB activity (data not shown). Further experiments should reveal whether NF-κB p65 targeting by V is specific for the human MV or also applied by the related animal morbilliviruses.

As indicated by reporter gene experiments, in addition to MV V, both MV P and C interfered with canonical NF-κB signaling, though their interference was for the most part less prominent. However, neither the C nor the P protein of MV revealed a pronounced interaction with p65. We therefore presume that the P and C proteins contribute to MV-mediated NF-κB escape by targeting other steps of the canonical activation pathways which remain to be elucidated. In the case of P, the PVTND appears to be required for counteracting NF-κB signaling in epithelial cells, since the PCTD displayed no inhibition of TNF-α-mediated NF-κB reporter gene activation (data not shown). Notably, the PVTND of P and V is also involved in an association with STAT1 and thereby contributes to the inhibition of IFN signaling (9, 42). In MV-infected monocytes, P may in addition lead to upregulation of the NF-κB inhibitor A20 (48). As far as C is concerned, an explanation is not close at hand. C proteins of other *Paramyxovirinae* family members also show an NF-κB-inhibitory capacity, as illustrated by the Sendai virus C proteins which suppress dsRNA- and Newcastle disease virus-mediated NF-κB activation; however, the mechanism is also elusive (30).

In summary, we demonstrated that measles virus applies multiple mechanisms in manipulating NF-κB signaling pathways. The major activity could be attributed to the V protein and more specifically to the VCTD, which interferes with canonical NF-κB activation by binding to the RHD of the NF-κB subunit p65 and therefore prevents nuclear accumulation of the transcriptionally active NF-κB subunit. The V protein is a well-established virulence factor, and the VCTD turns out to be a hub for the specific binding of numerous cellular proteins. This includes not only targets of innate immunity but also proteins related to proliferation and cell death, like the p53 family member p73, which downregulates expression of the proapoptotic target gene PUMA and might therefore function as a viral antiapoptotic factor (14). Revealing the exact binding sites for specific proteins, as was recently done for MDA5 and STAT2 (41, 42), and generating recombinant MV strains deficient in only single functions of V should help in elucidating the contributions of individual V functions to measles virus cell biology and immune modulation.
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