Genetic Regulation of α-Synuclein mRNA Expression in Various Human Brain Tissues
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Abstract

Genetic variability across the SNCA locus has been repeatedly associated with susceptibility to sporadic Parkinson’s disease (PD). Accumulated evidence emphasizes the importance of SNCA dosage and expression levels in PD pathogenesis. However whether genetic variability in the SNCA gene modulates the risk to develop sporadic PD via regulation of SNCA expression remained elusive. We studied the effect of PD risk-associated variants at SNCA 5’ and 3’ regions on SNCA-mRNA levels in vivo in 228 human brain samples from three structures differentially vulnerable to PD pathology (substantia-nigra, temporal- and frontal-cortex) obtained from 144 neurologically normal cadavers. The extensively characterized PD-associated promoter polymorphism, Rep1, had an effect on SNCA-mRNA levels. Homozygous genotype of the ‘protective’, Rep1-259 bp allele, was associated with lower levels of SNCA-mRNA relative to individuals that carried at least one copy of the PD-risk associated alleles, amounting to an average decrease of ~40% and ~50% in temporal-cortex and substantia-nigra, respectively. Furthermore, SNPs tagging the SNCA 3’-untranslated-region also showed effects on SNCA-mRNA levels in both the temporal-cortex and the substantia-nigra, although, in contrast to Rep1, the ‘decreased-risk’ alleles were correlated with increased SNCA-mRNA levels. Similar to Rep1 findings, no difference in SNCA-mRNA level was seen with different SNCA 3’SNP alleles in the frontal-cortex, indicating there is brain-region specificity of the genetic regulation of SNCA expression. We provide evidence for functional consequences of PD-associated SNCA gene variants in disease relevant brain tissues, suggesting that genetic regulation of SNCA expression plays an important role in the development of the disease.

Introduction

Alpha-synuclein (SNCA) (Ensembl: ENSG00000145335; OMIM, Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man: MIM 163890) was the first gene found to be involved in Parkinson’s disease (PD)[MIM 168600]. SNCA aggregates have been identified within Lewy bodies, the pathological hallmark of PD [1]. Also, mutations [2–4] and copy number variations [5–9] in the SNCA gene have been identified in a few families with an early onset, autosomal dominant form of PD. Furthermore, accumulated evidence suggests that elevated levels of wild type SNCA lead to neuronal dysfunction and are sufficient to cause early onset familial PD. Genomic triplication of the region containing SNCA was shown to result in four fully functional copies of SNCA and 2-fold over-expression of SNCA mRNA and protein and a highly penetrant early-onset PD phenotype with cognitive impairment and autonomic dysfunction [10,11]. Similarly, duplications of the wild-type SNCA gene result in a 1.5-fold elevation of SNCA expression and a slightly later onset of inheritable PD that is characterized by a lower penetrance rate and a ‘milder’ phenotype than for the triplication [6–9], demonstrating the dose-dependent effect of SNCA on disease presentation. Furthermore, elevated levels of SNCA-mRNA have been reported in midbrain tissues [12] and in individual substantia nigra dopaminergic neurons from sporadic PD post mortem brains compared to controls[13]. These observations emphasize the importance of SNCA dosage and expression levels in PD pathogenesis.

Several association studies have demonstrated that genetic variability across the SNCA locus is associated with susceptibility to sporadic PD [14–18]. Based on HapMap data SNCA has two major linkage disequilibrium (LD) blocks, a 5’ block that extends to the promoter-enhancer region and a 3’ block that comprises the 3’ untranslated-region (UTR) and the 3’ region of the gene [15,18]. These studies confirmed the association of variants within both SNCA 5’ and 3’ LD-blocks with PD-risk, suggesting that the genetic regulation of SNCA expression might be mediated through different molecular mechanisms (transcriptional and post transcriptional) and could have an important role in the development of the disease. Previously, we extensively characterized the best confirmed associated genetic variation, Rep1, a polymorphic nucleotide repeat site located ~10 kb upstream of the SNCA transcription start site [19,20]. Using a reporter assay in a transiently transfected neuronal cell line [21,22] and a transgenic mouse model [23], we demonstrated that SNCA-Rep1 had a
reproducible effect on regulating transcriptional activity. In both model systems, the extended risk allele showed increased expression of the reporter construct and the human transgene, respectively; while the shorter PD-‘protective’ allele was associated with lower expression levels [21,22].

Here we aim to reveal the functional consequence of genetic variations in the SNCA genomic region. We studied the effect of the Rep1 variant as well as other PD risk-associated variants on SNCA-mRNA steady state levels in vivo in three human brain structures differentially vulnerable to PD; i.e. frontal cortex, temporal cortex and mid-brain including the substantia nigra (SN). Our comprehensive analysis was performed using post mortem matched brain tissues from unaffected individuals to directly assess the genetic contribution to the regulation of SNCA expression, avoiding other confounding factors arising from the neurodegeneration associated with PD.

Results

Effect of secondary (non-genetic) variables on SNCA-mRNA level

SNCA-mRNA fold levels (SNCA/SYP) were measured in 228 brain tissue samples obtained from 144 subjects (83% white, 56.5% males; Table 1). First, we assessed the correlation of SNCA-mRNA expression with confounding factors that might affect RNA levels. All midbrain including substantia nigra (SN) samples were obtained from white individuals. SNCA mRNA folds levels in midbrain including SN (n = 34) were not correlated with sex (P = 0.187), age (P = 0.735), or PMI (p = 0.177). Similarly, no correlations of SNCA-mRNA levels were observed in temporal (n = 77) and frontal cortex (n = 117) with sex (P = 0.49, 0.46), race (P = 0.59, 0.14), age (P = 0.35, 0.742), or PMI (P = 0.85, 0.7).

Next, the effect of specificity of the brain tissue region on SNCA expression was assessed. To carry out this analysis we used matched samples, i.e. samples of different brain structures obtained from the same cadaver. Frontal cortex showed significantly lower levels of SNCA-mRNA compared with midbrain including SN (n = 9; P = 0.001) and temporal cortex (n = 75; P = 1.6×10⁻⁹). The average SNCA-mRNA fold levels observed in the frontal cortex samples was approximately 50% less from the average SNCA-mRNA fold levels detected in the temporal cortex and in the midbrain including SN of the same individuals. Comparison of SNCA mRNA fold levels between matched samples of temporal cortex and midbrain including SN revealed no differences (n = 7, P = 0.37). Furthermore, we were able to carry out a direct comparison of all three brain regions in 7 cadavers from whom all brain regions were available, showing that the average fold expression levels of SNCA-mRNA are similar in midbrain including SN and temporal cortex and nearly twice the average fold levels observed in the frontal cortex (Figure 1). Thus,
temporal cortex may serve as a mirror for SNCA-mRNA expression levels in the substantia nigra.

Effect of variants in the 5′ region of SNCA on SNCA-mRNA levels in different brain tissues

We studied the effect of variants in the promoter enhancer region of SNCA on SNCA mRNA levels. Three polymorphisms were tested: two SNCA 5′ region tagging SNPs (rs2619363 and rs2583988) and Rep1 (Figure 2).

All samples were genotyped for both the rs2619363 and rs2583988 SNPs; Table 2 summarizes the allele frequencies in our samples. The tagging SNPs rs2619363 and rs2583988 in the promoter of the SNCA gene did not show any correlation to SNCA mRNA in the midbrain including SN (P = 0.58, 0.92) the temporal cortex, (P = 0.68, 0.95) or the frontal cortex (P = 0.68, 0.84).

We then tested for correlation with Rep1 genotypes. A summary of Rep1 allele frequencies of the studied samples is presented in Table 3. Analysis of the temporal cortex (n = 77) indicated that individuals homozygous for the PD-‘protective’ genotype 259/259 (n = 8) had lower SNCA-mRNA levels than individuals carrying the 259/261, 261/261, 259/263, 261/263 and 263/263 genotypes (Figure 3A; P = 0.02). In the temporal cortex Rep1 259/259 demonstrated an average 0.59 fold change in SNCA-mRNA expression level compared with an average 1.00, 0.94, 0.95, 0.83 and 0.90 fold expression level of SNCA-mRNA in the five other genotypes 259/261, 261/261, 259/263, 261/263 and 263/263 genotypes carriers, respectively (Figure 3A). From these results we calculated that individuals who carried two copies of the PD ‘protective’ Rep1-259 bp, had reduced levels of human SNCA-mRNA, amounting to a nearly 40% decrease relative to individuals that carried at least one copy of the PD-risk associated alleles. Similarly, in the midbrain including SN samples (n = 34) a 50–65% decrease in the average expression level of SNCA-mRNA was observed with the 259/259 genotype (n = 3) when compared to each of the other Rep1 genotypes (Figure 3B). This reduction effect of the 259/259 genotype did not show, however, a trend towards significance which might be explained by the small size of the group and the large variability in SNCA-mRNA levels within each genotype group (resulting from the neuronal cell heterogeneity of the mid brain/substantia nigra tissue[12]). In contrast, no significant correlation of the Rep1 site with SNCA-mRNA levels was identified in the frontal cortex (n = 117; P = 0.91), suggesting that Rep1 might affect SNCA expression in a brain-region specific manner (Figure 3C). A summary of the results is listed in Table 4.

Effect of SNPs in the 3′ region of SNCA on SNCA-mRNA levels in different brain tissues

The effect of the SNCA 3′ region on SNCA mRNA levels was tested with three SNPs: the rare rs17016074 and the two 3′ region common tagging SNPs rs356219 and rs365165 (Figure 1). All samples contained the rs356219, rs365165, and rs17016074 SNP genotypes and the allele frequencies in our study group are summarized in Table 2. Genotypes of SNP rs356219 (G/A) showed an effect on SNCA mRNA levels in different brain tissues in a manner (Figure 3C). In the temporal cortex the homozygous rs356219 ‘protective’ AA genotype (n = 29) showed higher expression levels

Table 2. Rep1 allele frequencies of the study group.

| Rep1 | All No. (%) | Caucasians No. (%) | Maraganore et al. (JAMA, 2007) No. (%) |
|------|-------------|---------------------|----------------------------------------|
| 259  | 88(0.31)    | 71(0.304)           | 1413(0.27)                             |
| 261  | 172(0.606)  | 151(0.645)          | 3579(0.68)                             |
| 263  | 23(0.08)    | 12(0.051)           | 3120(0.06)                             |
| 265  | 1(0.004)    | 0                   | 0                                      |

No- total number of alleles (2 per individual); % allele frequency.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007480.002

Table 3. SNPs analyzed in the study with allele frequencies.

| SNP     | Total No./MAF | Caucasians No./MAF | CEPH† No./MAF |
|---------|---------------|--------------------|---------------|
| rs2583988 | 69/0.241      | 63/0.272           | 102/0.255     |
| rs2619363 | 72/0.25       | 64/0.274           | 112/0.24      |
| rs17016074 | 9/0.031      | 4/0.017            | 112/0         |
| rs356165  | 128/0.448     | 98/0.419           | 0.37*         |
| rs356219  | 120/0.417     | 88/0.376           | 112/0.411     |

No- total number of alleles (2 per individual); MAF- the minor allele frequency. †HapMap data base. *MAF reported in PD-SNCA association studies.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007480.0003
of SNCA mRNA than the GA and the GG genotypes \( (n = 38, 10) \), amounting to a nearly 40% increase (Figure 4A). In the substantia nigra, the homozygous AA and the heterozygous GA genotypes \( (n = 12, 16) \) correlate with higher SNCA mRNA levels than the risk genotype GG \( (n = 6) \) (Figure 4B). In the frontal cortex, on the contrary, no correlation was identified between SNCA mRNA levels and Rep1 genotypes.

Table 4. Summary of the genetic correlations between genetic variants at SNCA locus and SNCA-mRNA levels.

|   | FC     | TC     | SN     |
|---|--------|--------|--------|
| 5' region | Rep1 | = | 259/259<259/261, 261/261, 259/263, 261/263, 263/263 | *259/259<259/261, 261/261, 259/263, 261/263, 263/263 |
| rs2583988 | = | = | = |
| rs2619363 | = | = | = |
| 3' region | rs17016074 | = | *AA<GA,GG | *AG<GG |
| rs365165 | = | AA>GA, GG | AA,GA>GG |
| rs356219 | = | AA>GA, GG | AA,GA>GG |

\( *=no\ correlation, (*no\ trend\ towards\ significance, FC-\ frontal\ cortex, TC-temporal\ cortex, SN-substantia\ nigra. \)

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007480.g003
levels and rs356219 genotypes (Figure 4C). **SNCA** mRNA levels were also correlated with SNP rs365165 (G/A) in temporal cortex (Figure 5A, P<0.05) and midbrain including SN (Figure 5B, P<0.05), following the same correlations of genotypes to **SNCA** mRNA fold expression (Table 4); while no correlation was observed in the frontal cortex (Figure 5C), similar to the results obtained for the downstream **SNCA** 3’ SNP. Thus, as expected based on the high LD between these SNPs, the results observed for SNP rs365165 supported the findings of SNP rs356219 (Table 4). Of note the magnitude of the SNPs effect on **SNCA**-mRNA fold expression was larger for SNP rs356219. Analysis of the rare (5%) rs17016074 suggested a possible effect of the minor allele on **SNCA** mRNA reduction, since the homozygous AA (n = 1 of 77) had a lower level of **SNCA** mRNA in the temporal cortex and the heterozygous AG (n = 1 of 34) revealed a lower level in the midbrain including SN, which will need to be explored with a larger group (data not shown). The frontal cortex region did not show a significant correlation with **SNCA** mRNA folds levels with any of the genotypes at the 3’ region SNPs. A summary of the results is listed in Table 4.

**Discussion**

Finding interesting correlations between genetic variants and gene expression levels does not necessarily require a comparison between tissues from both affected cases and controls. Significant differences in gene expression levels were also shown to be associated with different genotypes in human tissues of unaffected individuals [24,25]. Identification of such genetic expression effects in a disease relevant tissue could provide important information for determining which variants to pursue in functional studies and which will further our understanding of the underlying biology of associations with the disease of interest. We previously reported differences in **SNCA**-mRNA expression levels between PD cases and controls[12]. However, in this current report, we analyzed unaffected brains, which allow us to overcome methodological and
interpretative challenges that arise from the massive cell loss, particularly neuronal loss, along with other pathologic processes accompanying neurodegeneration that may influence expression. Following this approach, we looked for variations in \textit{SNCA} expression in the brains of unaffected people (age matched to late-onset PD). Specifically, in the present study we focus on genetic regulation of RNA. Therefore, we looked for variations in \textit{SNCA} mRNA levels and tested for association with PD-associated variants positioned within putative regulatory regions for RNA expression: 1) the 5' region of the gene which presumably influences transcription and 2) the 3' UTR and 3' of the gene that most likely affects post-transcriptional regulation. All variants chosen for the present study had been repeatedly reported to confer increased risk for developing PD [14–18]. The five analyzed SNPs are located within evolutionary highly conserved regions, and the two 5' SNPs were also in or very close to potential binding sites for transcription factors (data not shown).

In the 5' region we found that, among the polymorphic loci tested, only variation at the Rep1 locus was responsible for differences seen in \textit{SNCA}-mRNA levels. Previously, we extensively characterized the functional significance of the PD-associated Rep1 polymorphic site [26–29] and its contribution to the transcriptional regulation of \textit{SNCA} in an \textit{in vitro} cell-based system and \textit{in vivo} using a transgenic mouse model [21,22,30]. Recently, Fuchs and colleagues reported Rep1's effect on \textit{SNCA} protein levels in human blood, but failed to detect an effect in brain samples (N control subject = 24) [31]. Here using a much large sample size (N control subject = 144) we demonstrate for the first time the regulatory effect of Rep1 alleles \textit{in vivo} in human brain structures relevant to the disease providing further direct, functional evidence for the reported genetics associations; i.e. in PD-affected brain regions the 'protective' genotype correlated with lower \textit{SNCA}-mRNA levels compared with all the other genotypes carrying one or two PD-risk alleles (261 and 263) [18,26–29]. The direction of the Rep1 alleles' effect on \textit{SNCA}-mRNA levels is consistent with our previous observations using both a cell-based reporter system[22] and a mouse model [23]. Furthermore, our finding lends support to the general hypothesis that an increase in the

---

**Figure 5. Effect of SNP rs365165, 3' region genotypes, on human \textit{SNCA}-mRNA expression levels in human brains.** Individuals were genotyped for SNP rs365165. Three brain regions were analyzed: temporal cortex (A), midbrain including SN (B) and frontal cortex (C). In each brain region fold levels of human \textit{SNCA}-mRNA were assayed by real-time RT-PCR using TaqMan technology and calculated relative to human \textit{SYP}-mRNA reference control using the \textit{ΔΔCT} method. (A) Analysis of the temporal cortex showed that the protective genotype AA correlates with higher \textit{SNCA}-mRNA levels than the GA and GG genotypes (P<0.05). (B) In the midbrain including SN, the AA and AG genotypes correlate with higher \textit{SNCA}-mRNA levels compared with the GG risk genotype (P<0.05). (C) No correlations of SNP rs365165 genotypes with \textit{SNCA}-mRNA levels were detected in the frontal cortex. For each genotype, the box plot represents the analysis performed using all brain samples available from the specific brain region, each of which was analyzed twice independently, each time in duplicate. The average values are presented in 'X'. The box plot shows the median (horizontal line inside the box) and the 25th and 75th percentiles (horizontal borders of the box). The range between the 25th and 75th percentiles is the interquartile-range (IQR). The whiskers show the minimal and maximal values inside the main data body.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007480.g005
expression of SNCA may also contribute to the common, ‘idiopathic’ PD phenotype, while decreased SNCA levels protect from this devastating disease.

In the 3' region, we found evidence for a regulatory role for all tested SNPs. In contrast to the ReplI effect, however, the ‘protective’ genotype at the 3' region defined by each of the common tagging SNPs is associated with higher SNCA-mRNA levels in disease affected brain tissues (midbrain including SN and temporal cortex). With both of the common 3' region tagging SNPs, rs356219 and rs365165, the ‘protective’ AA genotype correlated with higher SNCA-mRNA in the temporal cortex, while both the homozygous ‘protective’ and the heterozygous genotypes (AA and GA) correlated with higher mRNA levels in the midbrain including SN. In general, our findings are in agreement with a recent smaller scale study reporting that the protective rs356219 genotype (AA) is accompanied by higher mRNA levels in the cerebellum, whereas the heterozygous genotype (GA) correlated with the higher mRNA levels in the midbrain including SN. The subtle differences from this previous study might be from the result of the smaller sample size studied [31]. Although these findings run contrary to the conventional hypothesis that lower SNCA expression confers PD protection, it might be that rs356219, rs365165, or any other SNP in the 3' LD block (extended to include intron 4), exerts a regulatory effect not simply by changing total SNCA-mRNA levels but by a different molecular mechanism, such as splicing, to change the relative levels of the different splice forms (e.g., NACP140/112). For example, it was suggested that exon 5 deletion (NACP112) result in enhanced aggregation due to a significant shortening of the unstructured C-terminus [32,33]. Thus, one can speculate that although the protective genotype led to an increase in the overall SNCA-mRNA levels, the proportion of the aggregated isoform may decrease providing PD protection. Additional assays directly measuring the association of the full and spliced forms with SNPs in the 3’ region will be required to settle this question. Alternatively, acknowledging the contradictory findings in the field with respect to ups and down regulation of SNCA levels in PD brains [12,13,34–36] one cannot exclude the interpretation that the association between the 3’ SNPs and sporadic PD is the result of lower SNCA expression levels. Nevertheless, alteration in SNCA-mRNA levels might contribute to disease pathogenesis in many but, perhaps, not all cases of sporadic PD.

Our study examined several SNPs in various brain tissues for association with expression, and as such, is subject to false positive associations. Therefore, P values should be interpreted with caution. After Bonferroni adjustment for 18 hypotheses (6 variants X 3 tissues), the associations with all variants became non-significant. However, given that these were not independent hypotheses (brain tissues from the same individuals and with clear correlation of expression, SNPs in linkage disequilibrium), this may be an overly conservative interpretation. The results of this study suggest an association between specific variants in SNCA and expression that warrants further investigation in a larger cohort such as a multi site meta analysis platform.

Our analysis included functionally and anatomically distinct brain regions from unaffected age-matched brains. Frontal cortex, temporal cortex, and substantia nigra are known to have differential susceptibility to PD pathology, ranging from severely afflicted substantia nigra, followed by temporal cortex that is involved in a later stage, to the frontal cortex, which is a late-developing structure that might be spared PD features [37]. Interestingly the genetic control identified in this study was not global (across all brain regions) but brain-region specific, indicating regionally differential regulation of SNCA-mRNA expression. Our results show that, in relation to SNCA-mRNA overall expression levels and genetic regulation, the temporal cortex mirrors the substantia nigra. Thus, it is possible that these two brain regions share regulatory mechanisms controlling SNCA expression. In contrast, the frontal cortex showed lower SNCA-mRNA levels and did not reflect the genetic regulation observed for the temporal cortex and the midbrain including SN. Thus, concerning the limited availability of substantia nigra region, temporal cortex may serve as a surrogate brain region carry out further studies on expression of SNCA and other genes in PD.

A critical question concerning the molecular pathogenesis of PD is what role SNCA plays in sporadic PD. Several recent association studies have demonstrated that genetic variability across the SNCA locus is associated with susceptibility to sporadic PD in many populations [14–17]. In this study, we demonstrated the functional consequence of genetic variations in the SNCA genomic region and showed that the genetic association of some variants correlates with biological function, in particular regulation of SNCA expression levels. This suggests that regulation of SNCA gene expression levels might be important in the development of sporadic PD in patients who do not express a mutated protein or who do not have an increase in gene copy number. Given that multiplications of SNCA have been implicated in familial PD, we suggest that a suble increase in SNCA expression over decades confers an elevated risk for late-onset, sporadic PD.

The results of our study advanced our understanding of the contribution of genetic variants within the SNCA locus to sporadic PD. Better understanding the molecular mechanisms modulating SNCA gene expression, may lead to novel therapeutic approaches based on reductions in SNCA levels [38–40].

Materials and Methods

Brain Samples

Brain tissue samples, including midbrain/pons/substantia nigra (n = 34), temporal cortex (n = 77), and frontal cortex (n = 117), from neurologically healthy controls (n = 144) (Table 1) were obtained through the Kathleen Price Bryan Brain Bank (KPBBB) at Duke University, the Brain and Tissue Bank for Developmental Disorders at the University of Maryland, the Layton Aging & Alzheimer’s Disease Center at Oregon Health and Science University, and the National NeuroAIDS Tissue Consortium (NNRTC). All post mortem interval (PMI) were <24 hours. Demographics for these samples are included in Table 1. All brain samples were collected from clinically and neuropathologically healthy cadavers who had no evidence of PD, AD or other neurodegenerative disorder at post mortem examination.

DNA Extraction and Genotyping

Genomic DNA was extracted from brain tissues by the standard Qiagen protocol. Genotype determination of each Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP) was performed by allelic discrimination using TaqMan SNP Genotyping Assays (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Each genomic DNA sample (20 ng) was amplified using TaqMan Universal PCR master mix reagent (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) combined with the specific TaqMan SNP genotyping assay mix corresponding to the genotyped SNP (Table S1). The assays were carried out using the ABI 7900HT and the following conditions: 2 min at 50°C, 10 min at 95°C, 40 cycles: 15 sec at 95°C, and 1 min at 60°C. Genotype determination was performed automatically using the SDS version 2.2 Enterprise Edition Software Suite (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). SNCA-ReplI dinucleotide complex repeat polymorphism genotyping was carried out by size using
previously published method [22,26,41]. Briefly: the SNCA-Rep1 region of each genomic DNA sample (20 ng) was PCR-amplified using fluorescently labeled forward FAM 5'-CCGGCTAGTTT-GATTGCAA-3' and reverse 5'-GACTGGCCAAGATTACCA-3' primers [19]. Genotypes were determined on an ABI 3730 using GeneMapper version 4.0 software (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) for allelic size assessment. The Rep1 allele was determined according to the length of the PCR product (259 bp, 261 bp, 263 bp and 265 bp). All genotypes were tested for Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium and allele frequencies compared to the public database and previously published results (Tables 2 and 3).

RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis
Total RNA was extracted from brain samples (100 mg) using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) followed by purification with an RNeasy kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) following the manufacturer’s protocol. RNA concentration was determined spectrophotometrically at 260 nm, while the quality of the purification was determined by 260 nm/280 nm ratio that showed values between 1.9 and 2.1, indicating high RNA quality. Additionally, quality of sample and lack of significant degradation products was confirmed on an Agilent Bioanalyzer. The RNA Integrity Number (RIN) measurements were greater than 7 validating the RNA quality control. Next, cDNA was synthesized using MultiScribe RT enzyme (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) and the following conditions: 2 min at 37°C, 10 min at 95°C, 40 cycles: 15 sec at 95°C, and 1 min at 60°C. The target SNCA cDNA was amplified using ABI MGB probe and primer set assay ID Hs00240906_m1, normalized to a housekeeping gene (GAPDH) to confirm key results.

Real time PCR
Real-time PCR was used to quantify human SNCA mRNA levels as previously described [12]. Briefly, duplicates of each sample were assayed by relative quantitative real-time PCR using the ABI 7900 for analysis of the level of SNCA message as compared in brain tissues to mRNA encoding human synaptophysin (SYP), a presynaptic protein that has a similar expression pattern to SNCA [12,30]. Each cDNA (10 ng) was amplified in duplicate in at least two independent runs (overall 4 repeats), using TaqMan Universal PCR master mix reagent (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) and the following conditions: 2 min at 50°C, 10 min at 95°C, 40 cycles: 15 sec at 95°C, and 1 min at 60°C. The target SNCA cDNA was amplified using ABI MGB probe and primer set assay ID Hs00240906_m1, normalized to a STP RNA control (ABI MGB probe and primer set assay ID Hs00300531_m1) (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). As a negative control for the specificity of the amplification and to control for DNA contamination, we used RNA control samples that were not converted to cDNA (no-RT) and no-cDNA/RNA samples (no-template) in each plate. No observable amplification was detected. Data were analyzed with a threshold set in the linear range of amplification. The cycle number at which any particular sample crossed that threshold (Ct) was then used to determine fold difference. Fold difference was calculated as 2^(-ΔΔCt); ΔΔCt = [Ct(SNP)-Ct (SYP)]. ΔΔCt = [ΔCt(sample)] - [ΔCt(calibrator)]. The calibrator was a particular brain RNA sample used repeatedly in each plate for normalization within and across runs. The variation of the ΔCt values among the calibrator replicates was smaller than 10%.

Of note is that three internal controls were compared: the neuronal specific genes Enolase 2 (ENO2 Hs00157360_m1) and synaptophysin (SYP Hs00300531_m1) and the house keeping gene glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH Hs00999905_m1). For assay validation we generated standard curves for SNCA and each reference assay, ENO2, SYP and GAPDH using different amounts of human brain total RNA (0.1–100 ng). The slope of the relative efficiency plot for SNCA and each internal control (ENO2, SYP or GAPDH) was determined to validate the assays. The slope in the relative efficiency plots for SNCA and the reference genes were >0.1, showing a standard value required for the validation of the relative quantitative method (Figure S1). In addition, for a subset of brain samples (for each type of brain tissue) we used the geometric mean of SYP and ENO2 and the GAPDH as normalization controls and confirmed the selection of SYP as a representative normalization control for the entire brain set. Thus, for the extended study we chose SYP as the internal control.

Statistical analysis
SNCA-mRNA fold expression value of each sample was analyzed repetitively and the results of all replicates were averaged. All average values were expressed as mean±S.E.M. Correlations were assessed by linear regression analyses. A log transformation (log2) was performed on all mRNA levels to assure normal distribution [42]. The general linear model (GLM) method was used to evaluate the effect of the primary explained variable (genotype) as well as other secondary variables (sex, age, PMI, ethnicity, tissue source) on the RNA levels. The GLM is a procedure unifying the ordinary linear regression and ANOVA as well as other procedures based on the least square computation such as ANCOVA. Since gender, age, PMI, ethnicity, and tissue source may also show an effect on the RNA levels, they were included in the model as factors. Where the P value of the maximal model remains significant, an effect of each single term was estimated calculating the type III sum of squares and the corresponding F value and its probability P. Correction for multiple testing employed the Bonferroni method. Tissue comparisons were done by paired t-tests. All analyses were carried out using STATA/IC10.0 statistical software (StataCorp, College Station, TX).

The Bryan ADRC Autopsy and Brain Donation Program Database/Repository has been granted approval from the Duke University Health System Institutional Review Board for Clinical Investigations, eIRB# Pro 00016278. Subject’s (or their Legally Authorized Representatives) participating in the Bryan ADRC Autopsy and Brain Donation Program Database/Repository have provided written consent for use of their data and brain specimens to be used for use in future research. The genetic and expression analysis of all brain tissues obtained for this study is covered by eIRB exemption #10141.

Supporting Information
Table S1 TAQMAN Genotyping Assays *Primers and probe sequences available upon request. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007480.s001 (0.03 MB DOC)

Table S2 Discovery and Confirmatory Samples Sets. FC- frontal cortex, TC-temporal cortex, SN-substantia nigra. PMI- post-mortem interval. Total no.- indicates the entire samples set used in the initial discovery step (N = 228; reference gene, SYP); Replication- refers to the subset of temporal cortex samples used in the validation step (n = 24; reference genes, SYP, ENO2 and GAPDH) to confirm key results. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007480.s002 (0.03 MB DOC)

Figure S1 Relative efficiency plots of SNCA and the reference control genes. Validation curve of the real time assay for relative quantization of human SNCA-mRNA in brain relative to: (A) SYP-mRNA, (B) ENO2-mRNA, and (C) GAPDH-mRNA.
Relative efficiency plots of SNCA and each of the normalization control genes were formed by plotting the log input amount (ng of total RNA) versus the ΔCt = [Ct(SNCA)-Ct(SYP/ENO2/GAPDH)]. The slopes are all <0.1, which indicated the validation of the ΔCt calculation in the range between 0.1–100 ng RNA with all three controls.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007480.s003 (1.94 MB DOC)
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