The relationship between neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and albuminuria in type 2 diabetic patients: a pilot study
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Abstract

Introduction: Diabetes mellitus (DM) has become a global economic burden due to treatment costs and attendant complications. Albuminuria is the precursor of end stage renal failure and is an inflammatory process. In the recent past, it has been reported that the neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio (NLR), which is a cost-effective and accessible marker, may be a favorable indicator of the inflammatory status. The aim of this study was to investigate the relationship between the neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio and the presence and level of diabetic nephropathy (DN).

Material and methods: A total of 112 patients with type-2 DM who were followed by our internal medicine and nephrology clinics between February 2013 and June 2014 were included in this pilot study and were retrospectively evaluated. All participants had a 24-hour urinary albumin excretion (UAE) record. Demographic parameters, biochemical parameters and albuminuria levels were recorded. Patients were divided into three groups according to their level of albuminuria.

Results: Significant differences were detected between the groups in terms of NLR (p < 0.001). There was a linear increase in NLR in parallel to the increase in 24-hour UAE mean values (p < 0.001). A positive correlation was detected between NLR and C-reactive protein, urea, creatinine, and red cell distribution width. However, 24-hour UAE was negatively correlated with lymphocyte count (p < 0.001).

Conclusions: A high degree of correlation was determined among albuminuria, glomerular filtration rate and NLR levels. These results may suggest the notion that diabetic nephropathy involves an inflammatory process.
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Introduction

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM) can have serious socio-economic effects due to its many potential complications, which include microvascular (diabetic nephropathy (DN), neuropathy and retinopathy) and macrovascular complications (atherosclerosis, ischemic heart disease, stroke and periph-
eral vascular disease, which frequently results in amputation) [1]. Diabetic nephropathy (DN) leads to significant problems in 25–40% of diabetic patients and is the major cause of end stage renal failure [2]. The urine microalbumin excretion rate (UMAER) can be used to detect and monitor the progression of DN [3].

Inflammation is triggered in case of increased cardiovascular disease risk such as insulin resistance, visceral obesity, metabolic syndrome and type 2 DM [4]. Thus, elevated systemic inflammatory markers have been associated with a high prevalence of cardiovascular diseases [5, 6]. Some cardiovascular risk factors including hypertension, DM, hyperlipidemia, obesity and smoking are associated with chronic low-grade inflammation [7]. Inflammation plays a major role in development and progression of DN, and many inflammatory cytokines (interleukin-1 (IL-1), interleukin-6 (IL-6), interleukin-8 (IL-18), tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α), etc) are closely related to the pathogenesis of DN [8]. The CD4/CD8 ratio decreases in the case of DM. Circulating leukocyte rates are changed during the inflammatory response. Neutrophilia is accompanied by relative lymphopenia [9, 10]. An index has recently been generated to reflect both neutrophil elevation, which demonstrates the acute state of inflammation, and lymphopenia, which occurs following physiological stress. This index, i.e. the neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio, has been suggested as a favorable indicator of the inflammatory status [11, 12].

**Material and methods**

A total of 112 patients with type-2 DM who were followed by our internal medicine and nephrology clinics between February 2013 and June 2014 were included in this pilot study and were retrospectively evaluated. Patients were divided into three subgroups as follows: normoalbuminuria (38 females, 16 males; 48.2%), microalbuminuria (18 females, 16 males; 30.4%) and macroalbuminuria (17 females, 7 males; 21.4%). Patient characteristics are given in Table I. All participants had a 24-hour urinary albumin excretion (UAE) record. Age, gender and DM duration were recorded as well as several biochemical and hematological parameters (hemoglobin, white blood cell (WBC), platelet count, mean platelet volume (MPV), neutrophil.

**Table I. Demographic and laboratory parameters of the patients**

| Variables | Normoalbuminuria (N = 54) | Microalbuminuria (N = 34) | Macroalbuminuria (N = 24) | P-value |
|-----------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Age [years] | 59.9 ±7.9 (42–83) | 64.1 ±8.9 (33–84) | 56.8 ±12.5 (30–74) | 0.013 |
| Gender (% female) | 70.3 | 52.9 | 70.8 | 0.200 |
| Duration of diabetes [years] | 5.0 ±3.2 (1–19) | 5.6 ±4.0 (1–15) | 5.4 ±3.3 (1–14) | 0.742 |
| HbA1c (%) | 9.9 ±2.4 (5.5–14.1) | 8.6 ±2.5 (5.4–15.2) | 8.4 ±2.5 (5.2–13.6) | 0.044 |
| Total cholesterol [mg/dl] | 202.4 ±55.5 (74–358) | 198.0 ±50.0 (92–342) | 182.7 ±43.4 (126–277) | 0.300 |
| Triglyceride [mg/dl] | 193.7 ±46.5 (49–988) | 210.6 ±129.0 (65–593) | 171.6 ±96.8 (43–476) | 0.552 |
| HDL-cholesterol [mg/dl] | 47.5 ±11.0 (24–70) | 40.6 ±12.4 (5–71) | 51.0 ±15.9 (26–108) | 0.008 |
| LDL cholesterol [mg/dl] | 119.5 ±42.7 (26–270) | 120.0 ±40.7 (45–258) | 103.2 ±42.5 (57–225) | 0.243 |
| Serum urea [mg/dl] | 34.9 ±12.4 (18–34) | 43.3 ±25.0 (18–139) | 44.6 ±19.2 (21–93) | 0.053 |
| Creatinine [mg/dl] | 0.8 ±0.2 (0.43–1.46) | 1.0 ±0.3 (0.45–1.88) | 1.2 ±0.6 (0.53–2.51) | < 0.001 |
| GFR [ml/min] | 121.1 ±84.6 (25–456) | 118.0 ±90.9 (8–441) | 85.1 ±61.1 (22–221) | 0.200 |
| Albumin [g/dl] | 4.1 ±0.7 (2–4.9) | 4.1 ±0.6 (2.3–5.5) | 3.9 ±0.5 (2.7–4.6) | 0.570 |
| CRP [mg/l] | 1.5 ±3.6 (0.1–18.2) | 1.4 ±1.8 (0.1–6.4) | 1.7 ±1.7 (0.1–4.9) | 0.970 |
| WBC [×10 3 /mm 3 ] | 7.5 ±1.9 (4.5–14.9) | 7.5 ±2.2 (3.9–12.9) | 7.8 ±2.1 (4.6–14.9) | 0.846 |
| Hemoglobin level [g/dl] | 13.5 ±1.7 (9.4–17.6) | 13.4 ±1.4 (10.5–18.5) | 12.2 ±1.7 (9.3–15.8) | 0.006 |
| Platelet count [×10 3 /mm 3 ] | 259.0 ±77.5 (101–495) | 222.9 ±68.5 (54–376) | 257.0 ±100.0 (122–588) | 0.104 |
| MPV [fl] | 88.0 ±10.0 (6.6–12.9) | 88.0 ±12.2 (6.9–11.6) | 83.0 ±9.0 (6.9–10.4) | 0.174 |
| Neutrophil count [×10 3 /l] | 4.3 ±1.5 (2.1–9.8) | 4.8 ±1.7 (2.2–8.3) | 5.4 ±1.4 (3.1–8.4) | 0.022 |
| Lymphocyte count [×10 3 /l] | 2.5 ±0.8 (0.9–4.1) | 1.9 ±0.7 (0.7–3.7) | 1.6 ±0.6 (0.5–4.0) | < 0.001 |
| NLR | 1.9 ±0.9 (0.73–6.23) | 2.6 ±1.0 (1.09–5.36) | 3.6 ±1.3 (2.1–9.2) | < 0.001 |
| RDW | 14.3 ±1.7 (12.1–18.8) | 14.0 ±1.7 (12.4–20.9) | 15.3 ±1.7 (11.8–19.1) | 0.014 |

HbA1c – hemoglobin A1c, HDL – high-density lipoprotein, LDL – low-density lipoprotein, GFR – glomerular filtration rate, CRP – C-reactive protein, WBC – white blood cell, MPV – mean corpuscular volume, NLR – neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio, RDW – red cell distribution width, 24-h UAE – 24-hour urinary albumin excretion.
count, lymphocyte count, neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio (NLR), red cell distribution width (RDW), urea, creatinine, total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol, triglyceride (TG), hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), albumin, C-reactive protein (CRP), 24-hour protein and albumin levels. Glomerular filtration rate (GFR) was calculated according to the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) formula. Patients were divided into 3 groups, according to their level of albuminuria, designated as normoalbuminuria (0–30 mg/day), microalbuminuria (30–300 mg/day) and macroalbuminuria (> 300 mg/day). The study excluded patients with active urinary infection, leukocytosis, malignancy, nephrotic syndrome and those who were on steroid use for any reason.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was done by SPSS version 19.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL). Data were given as mean ± standard deviation. Group comparisons were performed via one-way ANOVA and LSD post-hoc tests and relationships between measurements were calculated via Spearman’s correlation test. Linear regression was performed to determine the independent factors affecting UAE. P-values less than 0.05 were considered as statistically significant.

Results

No significant difference was observed between the groups with regard to gender, DM duration, total cholesterol, triglyceride, LDL, GFR, albumin, CRP total WBC, platelet count and MPV. However, there were significant differences among the 3 groups with regard to age (p = 0.013), HbA1c (p = 0.044), HDL (p = 0.008), creatinine (p < 0.001), hemoglobin level (p = 0.006), neutrophil count (p = 0.022), lymphocyte count (p < 0.001), NLR (p < 0.001), RDW (p = 0.014) and 24-hour UAE (p = 0.001) (Table I).

Neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio did not differ between genders. Neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio correlated with GFR (r = −0.298, p = 0.002), hemoglobin (r = −0.244, p = 0.010), hematocrit (r = −0.230, p = 0.015), neutrophil count (r = 0.500, p < 0.001), lymphocyte count (r = −0.634, p < 0.001), CRP (r = 0.309, p = 0.033), urea (r = 0.271, p = 0.005), creatinine (r = 0.304, p = 0.001), spot urine microalbumin (r = 0.396, p < 0.001), RDW (r = 0.269, p = 0.004) and total cholesterol (r = −0.214, p = 0.025). Furthermore, 24-hour UAE correlated with neutrophil count (r = 0.274, p = 0.003), lymphocyte count (r = −0.340, p < 0.001), NLR (r = 0.432, p < 0.001), hemoglobin (r = −0.265, p = 0.005), hematocrit (r = −0.247, p = 0.009), and creatinine (r = 0.262, p = 0.005). Glomerular filtration rate correlated with lymphocyte count (r = 0.307, p = 0.001) and CRP (r = −0.305, p = 0.040).

Neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio significantly increased in parallel to albuminuria levels: 1.9 ±0.9, 2.6 ±1.0 and 3.6 ±1.3 for normo-, micro- and macroalbuminuria groups respectively (p = 0.003 for normo- vs. microalbuminuria groups, p = 0.001 for micro- vs. macroalbuminuria groups and p < 0.001 for normo- vs. macroalbuminuria). Neutrophil counts were significantly different only between the normoalbuminuria and macroalbuminuria groups (p = 0.006), whereas lymphocyte counts differed significantly between the normoalbuminuria and microalbuminuria (p = 0.002) and between the normoalbuminuria and macroalbuminuria groups (p < 0.001). In linear regression analysis to reveal the independent factors for UAE, we found that NLR (p = 0.043, r = 0.309), WBC (p = 0.046, r = 0.302) and hemoglobin (p = 0.020, r = −0.366) were independent parameters.

Discussion

While glomerular damage is considered as an early sign of DN, microalbuminuria is a strong indicator of DN progression [13, 14]. Certain authors have suggested that increased protein filtration and reabsorption are related to tubulointerstitial disease and progressive loss of renal function [15]. Diabetic nephropathy-related increase in proteinuria is a part of the cascade of clinical events involving increased blood pressure and progressive decrease in GFR. Glomerular damage gives rise to proteinuria and progressive renal damage in DM. Consequently, fibrosis and inflammation of the collective tubules result in progressive loss of functional nephrons [16, 17]. Furthermore, DM is not only a metabolic disorder. It is now recognized that several molecules associated with inflammation play a major role in development of DM and DM-related complications [18, 19]. Experimental and clinical studies have demonstrated the significant role of inflammatory molecules (including adipokines, chemokines, adhesion molecules and cytokines) and endothelial dysfunction in the setting of DN [20]. Moreover, it has also been reported that renal inflammation has a crucial place in development and progression of DN [8]. In a study conducted by Spranger et al., it was suggested that circulating inflammatory cytokines modify the development of type 2 DM; elevation of IL-6 and IL-1 together increased the risk of type 2 DM [21]. White blood cell count and its subtypes, neutrophil count and NLR represent the balance between neutrophil and lymphocyte levels in the body and can be indicators of systemic inflammation. Neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio can be easily calculated as the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in peripher-
al blood [22, 23]. There are a limited number of studies investigating the relationship between WBC count and DN. Agarwal and Light found a significant association between WBC count and the prevalence of DN [24]. Furthermore, these authors also emphasized that a positive correlation was found between WBC, neutrophil count and albuminuria level, whereas there was a negative correlation between WBC and lymphocyte count, which in turn may suggest that albuminuria level is related to the inflammatory response [24]. Afşar et al. reported that UAE and hemoglobin levels did not correlate at all [26]. Watts et al. reported that UAE and hematocrit and total cholesterol levels and a positive correlation with CRP. These results support the fact that DN involves an inflammatory process and that NLR may represent a marker of this inflammation. Therefore, NLR may serve as a cost-effective and readily accessible marker of DN. The clinical utility of NLR in the case of DN remains to be established in future studies.
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