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Cultivation of a chemoautotroph from the SUP05 clade of marine bacteria that produces nitrite and consumes ammonium
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Introduction

Nitrogen is a limiting nutrient in much of the world’s ocean. Thirty to fifty percent of the fixed nitrogen available for marine organisms is removed from the ocean in these regions. Metagenomic studies have identified an abundant group of sulfur-oxidizing bacteria (SUP05) with the genetic potential for nitrogen cycling and loss in OMZs. However, SUP05 have defied cultivation and their physiology remains untested. We cultured, sequenced and tested the physiology of an isolate from the SUP05 clade. We describe a facultatively anaerobic sulfur-oxidizing chemolithoautotroph that produces nitrite and consumes ammonium under anaerobic conditions. Genetic evidence that closely related strains are abundant at nitrite maxima in OMZs suggests that sulfur-oxidizing chemoautotrophs from the SUP05 clade are a potential source of nitrite, fueling competing nitrogen removal processes in the ocean.
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Marine oxygen minimum zones (OMZs) are expanding regions of intense nitrogen cycling. Up to half of the nitrogen available for marine organisms is removed from the ocean in these regions. This loss is attributed to two microbially mediated processes, heterotrophic denitrification (Jayakumar et al., 2004; Castro-Gonzalez et al., 2005; Thamdrup et al., 2006; Hamersley et al., 2007; Lam et al., 2009). Genomic data have identified an abundant group of sulfur-oxidizing marine chemoautotrophs (SUP05) that are assumed to contribute to either denitrification or anammox (Walsh et al., 2009; Canfield et al., 2010; Zaikova et al., 2010; Ulloa et al., 2012; Wright et al., 2012; Mattes et al., 2013; Hawley et al., 2014; Murillo et al., 2014). Members of the SUP05 clade are hypothesized to contribute directly by sequential reduction of nitrate (NO₃⁻) to ammonium (NH₄⁺), or indirectly by dissimilative NO₃⁻ reduction to nitrite (NO₂⁻), which can in turn fuel anammox (Walsh et al., 2009; Canfield et al., 2010; Hawley et al., 2014; Murillo et al., 2014).

SUP05 also have the genetic potential to produce nitrite (NO₂⁻), which is a critical intermediate in denitrification and a necessary reductant for anammox. The sources of NO₂⁻, and in particular the secondary NO₂⁻ maximum, in OMZs are poorly understood (Lam et al., 2009). Accumulation of NO₂⁻ in OMZs has been attributed to heterotrophic denitrification leading to N-loss (Lam et al., 2009). The current paradigm is that NO₂⁻ in OMZs is produced at the oxycline by aerobic ammonia oxidizing archaea and bacteria (AOA, AOB) (Hawley et al., 2014) and within the OMZ by heterotrophs (Codispoti et al., 2001; Francis et al., 2007; Lam et al., 2009). Chemoautotrophic SUP05 also have the genetic potential to respire NO₃⁻ and produce NO₂⁻ (Walsh et al., 2009; Hawley et al., 2014; Murillo et al., 2014). Here we test the hypothesis that a chemoautotrophic bacterium from the SUP05 clade respires NO₃⁻ and produces NO₂⁻.

We isolated a representative from the SUP05 clade to elucidate the effects of these sulfur-oxidizing bacteria on the marine nitrogen cycle. We describe the isolation, genetic potential and growth requirements of a sulfur-oxidizing chemolithoautotroph that produces NO₂⁻ and is limited by ammonium (NH₄⁺). We provide insights into the hypothesized roles of SUP05 in the marine nitrogen cycle that could not be inferred from environmental sequence data alone. Our primary finding suggests that SUP05 produce NO₂⁻ in OMZs, a critical
intermediate required for nitrogen removal processes (anammox and denitrification).

We propose the following name for the first isolate from the SUP05 clade:

Thioglobus gen. (Marshall and Morris, 2013).

“Candidatus Thioglobus autotrophicus” sp. nov

Etymology: au.toтро’phi.cus. Gr. n. autos self; Gr. adj. trophikos nursing, tending or feeding; N.L. masc. adj. autotrophicus autotroph.

Materials and methods

Cultivation
Water was collected from Effingham Inlet (49°04.2685’N, 125°09.4270’W) during a research cruise aboard the R/V Thomas G. Thompson in February 2013 using a rosette with 10 liter Niskin bottles (General Oceanics, Miami, FL, USA) and equipped with a Seabird conductivity, temperature and density (CTD) device and dissolved oxygen (DO) sensors calibrated by Winkler titrations. Live cells were collected from the top of the suboxic zone (defined as the minimum value obtained by the Seabird DO sensor) (Supplementary Figure 1). Cell numbers were estimated based on prior results and diluted to extinction (5 cells/well) in two 96 well numbers were estimated based on prior results and Seabird DO sensor) (Supplementary Figure 1). Cell (defined as the minimum value obtained by the sensors calibrated by Winkler titrations. Live cells and density (CTD) device and dissolved oxygen (DO) equipment with a Seabird conductivity, temperature and density (CTD) device and dissolved oxygen (DO) sensors calibrated by Winkler titrations. Live cells were collected from the top of the suboxic zone (defined as the minimum value obtained by the Seabird DO sensor) (Supplementary Figure 1). Cell numbers were estimated based on prior results and diluted to extinction (5 cells/well) in two 96 well Teflon plates (SonomaTesting, Santa Rosa, CA, USA) using a high throughput culture method with on-site filter sterilized seawater (30 kD) used as media. One 96 well plate was enriched with 1 mM thiosulfate (S₂O₃²⁻) while the other was used as a control and contained only filter sterilized seawater. Growth in each well was measured every 7 days in incubations at in situ temperatures (10 °C) by first transferring 150 μl aliquots of culture into 96 well polycarbonate plates (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) and then staining each well with SYBR Green I (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) diluted in TRIS buffer pH 7.4 at 10 min, and headspace sparged for an additional 5 min. Cell concentrations in 96 well polycarbonate plates were measured using an Easyflow Guava flow cytometer equipped with a 96 well plate reader (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA).

Isolate identification
Cultures were identified as previously described (Marshall and Morris, 2013), with the following modifications. Cells were transferred to 250 ml acid washed polycarbonate flasks (10% HCl) and grown to early stationary phase (~2.0 × 10⁶ cells/ml) and then collected on sterile Supor-200 0.2 μm polyethersulfone filters ( Pall, Port Washington, NY, USA). Clone library preparation for genome sequencing was performed at the University of Washington’s Genome Sciences Department using Pacific Bioscience’s Single Molecule Real Time (SMRT) sequencing technology. De novo assembly of the “Ca. T. autotrophicus” strain EF1 genome was conducted using the Hierarchical Genome Assembly Process (HGAP) as previously described (Koren et al., 2012). This method has been found to produce highly accurate de novo assemblies of small prokaryotic genomes (Roberts et al., 2013). HGAP assembly of the “Ca. T. autotrophicus” strain EF1 genome resulted in a single contig that was closed using one PCR reaction (Supplementary Methods). With an average coverage of 106X, the final assembly supported a single circular chromosome 1,512,449 bp in length (Figure 1b, Supplementary Table 1). Annotations obtained by NCBI’s automatic Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline were checked and corrected by comparisons with RAST annotations, IMG annotations, and in some cases by phylogenetic inference. The complete genome sequence of strain EF1 was announced (Shah and Morris 2015), and is available under the GenBank nucleotide accession no. CP010552.

Genome sequencing and annotation
DNA was extracted as previously described (Marshall and Morris, 2013), with the following modifications. Genomic DNA was extracted from a total of 62 pure cultures grown in 100 ml aliquots. Cells were grown to early stationary phase (~2.0 × 10⁶ cells/ml) and then collected on sterile Supor-200 0.2 μm polyethersulfone filters (Pall, Port Washington, NY, USA). Clone library preparation for genome sequencing was performed at the University of Washington’s Genome Sciences Department using Pacific Bioscience’s Single Molecule Real Time (SMRT) sequencing technology. De novo assembly of the “Ca. T. autotrophicus” strain EF1 genome was conducted using the Hierarchical Genome Assembly Process (HGAP) as previously described (Koren et al., 2012). This method has been found to produce highly accurate de novo assemblies of small prokaryotic genomes (Roberts et al., 2013). HGAP assembly of the “Ca. T. autotrophicus” strain EF1 genome resulted in a single contig that was closed using one PCR reaction (Supplementary Methods). With an average coverage of 106X, the final assembly supported a single circular chromosome 1,512,449 bp in length (Figure 1b, Supplementary Table 1). Annotations obtained by NCBI’s automatic Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline were checked and corrected by comparisons with RAST annotations, IMG annotations, and in some cases by phylogenetic inference. The complete genome sequence of strain EF1 was announced (Shah and Morris 2015), and is available under the GenBank nucleotide accession no. CP010552.

Growth conditions
T. autotrophicus EF1 cells were grown at in situ temperature (10 °C) in acid-washed (10% HCl) and autoclaved bottles containing copiotrophic seawater media from Puget Sound or oligotrophic seawater media from the Sargasso Sea (Supplementary Table 2). Aerobic cultures were maintained in acid washed polycarbonate bottles. Anaerobic cultures were maintained in acid washed and autoclaved 125 ml serum bottles, sealed with 20 mm butyl rubber stoppers (Wheaton, Millville, NJ, USA), then bubbled with an N₂/CO₂ gas mix (1000 ppM CO₂, Praxair, Danbury, CT, USA, Specialty Gas Mix) for 10 min, and headspace sparged for an additional 5 min. Complete removal of oxygen inside serum bottles was confirmed using BD (Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA).
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Figure 1  Identity and genome of “Ca. T. autotrophicus” strain EF1. (a) Maximum likelihood tree of marine gamma sulfur-oxidizing bacteria 16S rRNA sequences. The tree was constructed using RAxML. Bootstrap values \(>40\) are labeled at nodes (100 iterations). Red are symbionts, green are clones and black are isolates. (b) Circular representation of the strain EF1 genome. Innermost circle - GC skew (purple is negative skew and green is positive skew). Bars within solid lines indicate predicted coding regions colored by metabolic categories. Orange (S-oxidation and reduction genes): sox (sulfur oxidation), apr (adenosine-5-phosphate reductase), fcc (flavocytochrome c sulfide dehydrogenase), and dsr (dissimilatory sulfite reductase). Navy (NO\(_3^-\) and NO reduction genes): nap (periplasmic nitrate reductase), nar (nitratereductase), nor (nitric oxide reductase). Teal (N assimilation): GOGAT (glutamate synthase) and ammonia, amino acid, and peptide transporters. Red (CO\(_2\) fixation): rbcS (RuBisCO small subunit), rbcL (RuBisCO large subunit).
GasPak Anaerobic strips added to an un-inoculated control serum bottle. Experiments (aerobic and anaerobic) were started by transferring 1000 cells in early exponential growth phase to new media. Culture purity was checked before and after each physiology study by sequence analysis and TRFLP.

Results and Discussion

Isolation of a representative from the SUP05 clade

Six out of 192 culture wells inoculated with water from a redox gradient in Effingham Inlet were positive for growth after 21 days. Four of the cultures had identical 16S rRNA gene sequences and were identified as members of the SUP05 clade. One culture was identified as a closely related gamma-proteobacterium just outside the clade and one culture was identified as an epsilon-proteobacterium associated with marine sponges. All are suspected sulfur-oxidizing bacteria. “Ca. T. autotrophicus” strain EF1 was selected for further study. The remaining cultures were cryopreserved.

Phylogenetic analysis and genome sequencing further confirmed the identity and genetic potential of “Ca. T. autotrophicus” strain EF1 (Figure 1). Strain EF1 is most closely related to sequences from the original SUP05 clade described by Walsh et al. (2009). These include environmental clones recovered from a broad range of OMZs and symbionts of deep-sea mollusk Bathymodiolus sp. Related sequences derived from the whole genomes of symbionts, and from environmental clones from the northeast Pacific ridge (Huber et al., 2006), the Namibian upwelling system (Lavik et al., 2009), Suiyo Seamount (Sunamara et al., 2004), Saanich Inlet (Walsh et al., 2009), the Eastern North Pacific and Eastern South Pacific (Stevens and Ulloa, 2008), the South Atlantic and North Pacific Gyres (Swan et al., 2011) and Puget Sound (Marshall and Morris, 2013). The complete 16S rRNA gene sequences obtained from the “Ca. T. singularis” strain PS1 and “Ca. T. autotrophicus” strain EF1 genomes were also analyzed using the SILVA high quality ribosomal RNA database (Quast et al., 2013). “Ca. T. singularis” strain PS1 was most closely related to sequences in the Arctic96BD-19 subclade (ZD0405 in SILVA). “Ca. T. autotrophicus” strain EF1 was most closely related to sequences in the SUP05 subclade.

The purity of “Ca. T. autotrophicus” strain EF1 was confirmed by several methods. TRFLP analyses identified a single 266 bp fragment using the restriction enzyme MboI and a 193 bp fragment using the restriction enzyme HaeIII (Supplementary Figure 2). These exactly match the fragments predicted from the 16S rRNA gene sequence. No other fragments were observed. Purity was further confirmed by quantitative fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) analyses with a SUP05 specific probe (GSO-1032) that exactly matches the 16S rRNA (Glaubitz et al., 2013) (Supplementary Figure 2). All of the DAPI stained objects observed in three images (117/115/118) also hybridized to the SUP05 probe (total = 350/350). Cultures were subsequently cryopreserved and revived from glycerol stocks several times and under both aerobic and anaerobic growth conditions. Sequence and restriction analyses were used to check purity every time a culture was revived from glycerol stocks and before and after every physiology experiment. In every case, these analyses produced the same 16S rRNA gene sequences and the same restriction patterns. Transmission electron microscopy images revealed a single morphology, indicating that strain EF1 is a small (~0.3–0.4 μm) cocci shaped bacterium that produces extracellular globules resembling those produced by “Ca. T. singularis” PS1 (Supplementary Figure 3).

Genetic potential of “Ca. T. autotrophicus” strain EF1

The complete genome of “Ca. T. autotrophicus” strain EF1 has a GC content of 39.1%. It codes for 1,506 proteins, 92 pseudogenes, 3 rRNAs (5S, 16S and 23S) and 35 tRNAs. It has the genetic potential to grow as a facultatively anaerobic chemolithoautotroph that oxidizes sulfur and can reduce O₂, NO₃ and NO (Figure 1b). The genome codes for key enzymes for carbon fixation via the Calvin-Benson-Bassham (CBB) cycle, including cbbYCOQR, carboxylic acid and cytochrome cbb₃, one copy of the small subunit of RuBisCO (form I) and two copies of the large subunit of RuBisCO (form I and form II) (Badger and Bek, 2008). RuBisCO form I is composed of large and small subunits and is present in most chemoautotrophic bacteria, cyanobacteria, red and brown algae and all plants. Form II is a dimer of large subunits and is present in purple non-sulfur bacteria, some chemoautotrophic bacteria and in dinoflagellates. Some non-sulfur phototrophic bacteria contain both forms of RuBisCO. Strain EF1 is facultatively anaerobic and has likely adapted to use form I RuBisCO or form II RuBisCO, depending on the ratio of CO₂ to O₂. Strain EF1 codes for complete glycolytic and phosphogluconate pathways (nonoxidative), and has most genes encoding the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle. “Ca. T. autotrophicus” strain EF1 does not code for α-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase, a key TCA enzyme that is also missing from closely related symbiont genomes and a planktonic SUP05 population genome from Saanich Inlet (Walsh et al., 2009). The absence of α-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase suggests that “Ca. T. autotrophicus” strain EF1 is an obligate autotroph (Wood et al., 2004). Cytochrome c oxidase was also identified, along with a suite of genes for oxidative phosphorylation, further indicating the potential for strain EF1 to grow under aerobic conditions.
Genes for chemoautotrophic energy conservation were also identified. These include genes for inorganic sulfur oxidation (fcaAB, dsrABCH, aprABM, soxABXYZ and rhodanese sulfurtransferase) and for aerobic and anaerobic respiration on O₂, NO₃ and NO (narQGHJI, napABCD and norBCD) (Figure 1b). Sulfur oxidation genes confer the ability to oxidize a broad range of reduced sulfur compounds, including hydrogen sulfide (H₂S), elemental sulfur (S⁰) and thiosulfate (S₂O₃²⁻). Similar to Saanich Inlet SUP05, strain EF1 is also missing soxCD sulfur dehydrogenase genes, suggesting that they store S⁰. The absence of soxCD has coincided with the ability of a closely related symbiont, Ruthia magnifica, to store sulfur in the form of extracellular globules (Newton et al., 2007).

Genes for anaerobic respiration confer the ability to carry out two of the four steps associated with sequential denitrification (NO₃ → NO₂ → NO → N₂O → N₂). Strain EF1 has the genetic potential to reduce nitrate to nitrite (NO₃ → NO₂) and to reduce nitric oxide to nitrous oxide (NO → N₂O) (Figure 1b), but lacks genes to reduce nitrite to nitric oxide (NO₂ → NO) or to reduce nitrous oxide to nitrogen gas (N₂O → N₂). Although the ability to use NO₂ and N₂O as terminal electron acceptors has been observed in environmental datasets (Walsh et al., 2009; Hawley et al., 2014; Murillo et al., 2014). We also found that strain EF1 is missing key genes required to use hydrogen gas (H₂) as an electron donor, as previously reported for environmental SUP05 in the Guaymas Basin (Anantharaman et al., 2013).

“Ca. T. autotrophicus” strain EF1 has key genes required to use either NH₄ or organic nitrogen for biosynthesis (Figure 1b). These include genes that confer the ability to regulate intracellular nitrogen and to assimilate NH₄ and amino acids. Strain EF1 codes for two ammonia transporters, NADPH-dependent glutamate synthase (GS) and glutamine oxoglutarate aminotransferase (GOGAT), as well as components of amino acid (AA) and peptide ABC-transporters.

Growth requirements
Physiology experiments confirmed that strain EF1 is a facultatively anaerobic chemolithoautotroph that requires inorganic sulfur as a source of electrons (Figure 2). Batch cultures grew to an average final cell density of 3.6 × 10⁶ cells/ml under aerobic conditions in seawater media containing 1 mM S₂O₃²⁻ and to an average final cell density of 2.8 × 10⁶ cells/ml under anaerobic conditions in seawater media amended with 1 mM S₂O₃²⁻, 100 μM of NO₃ and sparged with a mixture of N₂: CO₂ (Figures 2a and b, respectively). Cells were unable to grow in aerobic seawater media that lacked S₂O₃²⁻ or in anaerobic media that lacked either S₂O₃²⁻ or CO₂. This indicates that “Ca. T. autotrophicus” strain EF1 requires a reduced form of inorganic sulfur for electrons and CO₂ for biosynthesis. We have found that strain EF1 cells survive two transfers with no additional sulfur (Supplementary Figure 3). This is likely due to their potential to store sulfur in extracellular globules (Walsh et al., 2009; Marshall and Morris, 2013; Hawley et al., 2014). No growth was observed when “Ca. T. autotrophicus” strain EF1 was grown with H₂ as an electron donor (Supplementary Figure 4).

We grew strain EF1 on copiotrophic media (Supplementary Table 2) enriched with NO₃ to further evaluate its potential for dissimilatory NO₃ reduction under anaerobic conditions (Figure 3). Cultures grew to similar cell densities at in situ concentrations of NO₃ (32 μM) and when 100 μM of additional NO₃ was added to the media (Figure 3a). Strain EF1 was not limited by NO₃ on copiotrophic seawater media. In both cases there was strong evidence for dissimilatory NO₃ reduction, as indicated by a 1:1 conversion of NO₃ to NO₂ (Figure 3b), as well as uptake of NH₄ (Figure 3c) and an increase

![Figure 2 Chemoautotrophic growth of “Ca. T. autotrophicus” strain EF1 under aerobic and anaerobic growth conditions.](image-url)

(a) Aerobic growth on copiotrophic seawater media from Puget Sound amended with 1mM S₂O₃²⁻. (b) Anaerobic growth on seawater media bubbled with either N₂ only or N₂:CO₂ gas mix and amended with 1mM S₂O₃²⁻ and 100 μM NO₃. The controls were not amended with S₂O₃²⁻, NO₃ or CO₂. Experimental treatments were conducted in triplicate.
in the production of N\textsubscript{2}O (Figure 3d). Although NO was not added to the media, some NO may have been present in the seawater used to make the media or introduced into as a contaminant via the N\textsubscript{2}:CO\textsubscript{2} gas mix used to sparge the media. Regardless, growth experiments support genomic predictions and previously published results from the field indicating that SUP05 produce N\textsubscript{2}O (Walsh et al., 2009; Hawley et al., 2014). EF1 cells did not produce N\textsubscript{2} gas (Supplementary Figure 4).

The potential for “Ca. T. autotrophicus” strain EF1 to respire NO\textsubscript{3} and assimilate NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} was evaluated further under nitrogen limitation using oligotrophic seawater media (Figure 4, Supplementary Table 2). Cultures grew to the highest final cell densities (average \(1.2 \times 10^6\) cells/ml) in media that was amended with 1 mM S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2−}, 100 \(\mu\)M NO\textsubscript{3}− and 5 \(\mu\)M NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} (Figure 4a). Cells grew to lower cell densities (average \(6.2 \times 10^5\) cells/ml) and had slower growth rates when only S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2−} and NO\textsubscript{3}− were added to the media. There was a 1:1 conversion of NO\textsubscript{3} to NO\textsubscript{2} in treatments amended with S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2−} and NO\textsubscript{3}−, or with S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2−}, NO\textsubscript{3}− and NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} (Figure 4b). The amount of NO\textsubscript{3}− converted to NO\textsubscript{2} increased four-fold (average increase from 5 \(\mu\)M to 22 \(\mu\)M) when NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} was added to the media and there was a decrease in NH\textsubscript{4} concentration over time (Figure 4b). Some growth was observed in S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2−} only controls. This is likely due to the low concentrations of NO\textsubscript{3}− (0.15 \(\mu\)M) and NH\textsubscript{4} (0.04 \(\mu\)M) present in oligotrophic seawater media (Supplementary Table 2). This experiment further confirmed that “Ca. T. autotrophicus” strain EF1 was unable to use NO\textsubscript{2}− as a terminal electron acceptor (Figures 4c and d). When cells were amended with NO\textsubscript{2}− instead of NO\textsubscript{3}−, no difference in growth was observed between amendments and controls. NO\textsubscript{2} and NH\textsubscript{4} concentration remained constant throughout the experiment. The potential to assimilate amino acids (Promega, Madison, WI, USA, Amino Acid Mixture) in oligotrophic seawater was also tested. Cultures were grown in media amended with 1 mM S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2−}, 100 \(\mu\)M NO\textsubscript{3}− and an amino acid mixture (5 \(\mu\)M of N) (Supplementary Figure 4). There was no discernable difference
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**Figure 3** Nitrogen utilization by “Ca. T. autotrophicus” strain EF1 on copiotrophic media. (a) Anaerobic growth on seawater media from Puget Sound with in situ concentrations of NO\textsubscript{3} (32 \(\mu\)M) and amended with 100 \(\mu\)M of additional NO\textsubscript{3} (total = 132 \(\mu\)M). (b) Concentrations of NO\textsubscript{3}−, NO\textsubscript{2}−, and NH\textsubscript{4}−. (c) Concentrations of only NH\textsubscript{4}−. (d) Concentrations of N\textsubscript{2}O measured by gas chromatography (Supplementary Materials and Methods). Experimental treatments were conducted in triplicate and nitrogen was measured at initial (T\textsubscript{0} hour) and final (T\textsubscript{192} hour) time points.
between cultures amended with amino acids and unamended controls, indicating that strain EF1 prefers NH$_4^+$ for biogenic nitrogen.

**Roles in the marine nitrogen cycle**

Evidence that cultured SUP05 produce NO$_2^-$ suggests that related strains are a potential source of NO$_2^-$ in OMZs. There is strong molecular evidence indicating that environmental SUP05 are capable of mediating sequential steps in denitrification. Because these environmental sequence data provide a fragmented view of a population of cells, it is also possible that different SUP05 cells carry out different steps in denitrification, depending on the diversity of SUP05 in a population, on the concentrations of substrates, and on the range of interactions within a community. Genetic and physiology data from this study suggest that a single strain of SUP05 carries out two non-sequential steps in denitrification. Both cultivation-dependent and cultivation-independent data indicate that the first step in denitrification (NO$_3^-$ reduction to NO$_2^-$) is highly conserved (Canfield et al., 2010; Walsh et al., 2009; Hawley et al., 2014; Murillo et al., 2014), while the potential to carry out subsequent steps in denitrification are not always identified (Murillo et al., 2014). In addition, SUP05 are often most abundant in areas where NO$_2^-$ accumulates (Canfield et al., 2010; Hawley et al., 2014; Murillo et al., 2014). Glaubitz et al. (2013) reported a positive correlation between SUP05 and nitrite concentrations in the Black Sea. Although heterotrophic NO$_3^-$ respiration is currently considered the primary process leading to NO$_2^-$ accumulation within OMZs, there is ample genetic and physiological data suggesting that sulfur-oxidizing chemoautotrophs from the SUP05 clade are a potential source of NO$_2^-$, fueling competing nitrogen removal processes in the ocean.

"Ca. T. autotrophicus" strain EF1 growth was also limited by NH$_4^+$. In the mid 1950s, Baalsrud and van Niel found that the S-oxidizing and NO$_3^-$-reducing bacterium *Thiobacillus denitrificans* required NH$_3$ or amino acids for biosynthesis (Baalsrud and Baalsrud, 1954; van Niel, 1955). Genes for NH$_3$ transport and amino acid assimilation were identified in the strain EF1 genome and in a SUP05 metagenome assembled from Saanich Inlet (Walsh et al., 2009).
et al., 2009). They were also expressed by SUP05 in Saanich Inlet and the Southern Ocean (Wilkins et al., 2013; Hawley et al., 2014). The biogenic nitrogen requirement of strain EF1 is low. For example, if “Ca. T. autotrophicus” strain EF1 cells have 10 fg of carbon/cell and are at Redfield ratios for carbon and nitrogen (106:16), we estimate that they require ~0.22 μM of nitrogen for biosynthesis in cultures grown on natural seawater media. By comparison, they reduced ~30 μM NO₂ to ~30 μM NO₂ during respiration. There was no evidence that they respired NO₃ or that they used amino acids instead of NH₄ for biosynthesis (Supplementary Figure 4B). These data support the conclusion that strain EF1 requires relatively high concentrations of NO₃ for respiration and relatively low concentrations of NH₄ for biosynthesis.

“Ca. T. autotrophicus” strain EF1 also produced N₂O. Members of the SUP05 bacteria expressed genes to produce N₂O in regions of significant N₂O cycling and emission (Codispoti et al., 2001). A recent study by Babbin and colleagues (Babbin et al., 2015) suggests that rapid N₂O cycling in the suboxic ocean could lead to future increases in N₂O emissions. Environmental sequence data indicate that SUP05 are broadly distributed and abundant in these regions. Growth experiments support field expression data, suggesting that N₂O producing chemoaotrophic SUP05 have important roles in biologically driven nitrogen loss from the ocean (Walsh et al., 2009; Hawley et al., 2014; Murillo et al., 2014).

Conclusions

Data resulting from the cultivation of “Ca. T. autotrophicus” strain EF1 have expanded the roles of SUP05 in the marine nitrogen cycle. They suggest that SUP05 are a potential source of NO₂ and sink for NH₄ in anoxic marine waters. In the conceptual metabolic coupling model of an OMZ proposed by Hawley et al. (2014), ammonia-oxidizing archaea are the source of NO₂ in the upper and lower oxycline and SUP05 are the hypothesized source of NH₄ at and below the lower oxycline. If under the right conditions SUP05 produce NO₂, then elevated concentrations of NH₄ are not required to account for the elevated NO₂ concentrations at and below the lower oxycline. There is strong evidence indicating that NO₃ reduction is an independent process that can account for a significant fraction of NO₂ accumulation in OMZs (Lam et al., 2009). We hypothesize that SUP05 contribute to the secondary NO₂ maxima when NO₃ fluxes are relatively high, ammonia concentrations are relatively low and oxygen concentrations fall below 4 μM. Our physiological data suggest that SUP05 cells require between 0.03 to 0.06 μM of NH₄ for every μM of NO₂ produced (Figures 3 and 4). This is in stark contrast to ammonia-oxidation, which is 1:1. It suggests that chemoaotrophic members of the SUP05 clade are an important source of NO₂ in OMZs where NH₄ is limiting.
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