Conducting the SONG: The Robotic Nature and Efficiency of a Fully Automated Telescope
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Abstract

We present a description of “the Conductor,” an automated software package that handles all observations at the first Stellar Observations Network Group (SONG) node telescope at the Teide Observatory on the island of Tenerife. The idea was to provide a complete description on the automated procedures for target selection and execution of observations and to demonstrate how the SONG robotic telescope is being operated. The Conductor is a software package developed in Python and running on a server in Aarhus that makes use of a large set of database tables through which it communicates with the SONG nodes. Based on a number of selection criteria the Conductor is able to identify the optimum target to be observed at any given moment, taking into account local weather conditions and technical constraints. The Conductor has made it possible for the Hertzsprung SONG telescope to become a highly sophisticated and efficient robotic telescopic facility without human interaction. It can handle everything from principal investigators submitting their proposed targets with specific settings, to the data being available for download after the observations has been carried out. At present, and thanks to the availability of the Conductor, the first node of the SONG project can be considered a world leading robotic telescope with respect to needed human interactions, efficiency, and flexibility in observing strategy.
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1. Introduction

Within the last decade, the technological development has made it possible to build and run automated astronomical telescopes (e.g., Weber et al. 2012; Brown et al. 2013; Copperwheat et al. 2015). The accessibility of network and computer controlled hardware components has increased enormously, and most of the hardware needed for an automated telescope are off-the-shelf products. The Stellar Observations Network Group (SONG) has been conceived as a global network of small 1m telescopes (Grundahl et al. 2008; Andersen et al. 2014). The network currently consists of the prototype (fully operational over the last four years) located at the Teide Observatory on the island of Tenerife. It was initiated through a collaboration between Aarhus University (AU), Copenhagen University (KU), and Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias (IAC). A second node is currently being build at the Delingha observatory in China by the National Astronomical Observatories of China (NAOC), Close collaboration with the University of Southern Queensland (USQ), the University of Sydney (US), the University of New South Wales (UNSW), the Monash University (MU), and the Australian National University (ANU) has initiated the development of a third node at the Mount Kent Observatory in Australia and the building of the new fiber-fed spectrograph that will be attached to minimum two 70 cm telescopes has recently started.

The Hertzsprung SONG telescope is equipped with a high-resolution echelle spectrograph that uses an iodine cell for calibration when precise radial velocities are requested, and has the possibility to use Thorium-Argon as well (Grundahl et al. 2017).

2. The Essentials: The Teide Observatory

When building a robotic observatory, a few essentials are needed on-site. Key requirements for site selection are a well-functioning infrastructure with a reliable power grid and a stable and fast Internet connection. Another critical component is on-site expertise to assist in case of sudden urgent problems and for preventive maintenance work. These key ingredients, together with one of the best locations for astronomical observations, has proved the Teide Observatory to be an optimum location to set up an autonomous and robotic observatory. The prototype were from a very early stage designed to become a robotic facility, which meant all hardware components was selected with remote and automatic control in mind. The SONG site at the Teide Observatory is seen in Figure 1.
3. The Foundation: SODA

All SONG telescopes are managed and operated from Aarhus University, Denmark (Central), where a number of servers are set up to mainly run the SONG Data Archive (SODA), which acts as the foundation on which the automatic nature of the SONG observations is built. SODA has been developed to be a multipurpose database system that serves as the access point for the users to

1. submit SONG observing proposals;
2. enter targets from observing proposals awarded observing time by the SONG Time Allocation Committee (TAC); and
3. download data collected by any SONG telescope.

The SONG network has application calls twice a year where collaborators and project members are encouraged to submit proposals (Phase 1). The PI of a successful application will get access to Phase 2 in the application process. In this phase, the user will need to enter the targets to be observed with all relevant settings and constraints. The entering is done through a dedicated web form on the SODA web page. After submission in Phase 2, everything can run fully automatically until the data are accessible in the data archive.

SODA is built on the same layout as the KASOC and TASOC databases (Kjeldsen et al. 2010; Handberg et al. 2017), which have proved to be stable and well-functioning systems. These databases house all data from the Kepler and the TESS missions and thereby gives the opportunity to do cross-talk between the databases to check for available data observed with the different facilities. The most critical database table in SODA for the automation of the SONG observations are shown in Appendix A, Table 1.

4. The Brain: The Conductor

The night scheduling for the SONG node in Tenerife can be done in two ways. One is entering the targets by hand through a web interface. This web interface will only include targets from projects that have been allocated time in the given period. Targets entered here will have highest priority during the night. This interface is currently mainly used for technical and test observations. The other way for target selection is carried out by the “Conductor.” This Python-based software package will run through all targets in SODA that have been marked as active in the given period, and based on the settings defined by the PIs it will select the best target to observe at any given time during the night.

Selecting the right target to be observed at any given time is not a trivial task (Volgenau & Boroson 2016; Granzer et al. 2010). Each target inserted into SODA through Phase 2 will be defined by a number of parameters. The targets will at first be divided into different scheduling types:

1. Time Critical. This group includes transits, eclipses, or other targets to be observed at a specific date and time.
2. Radial Velocity Standard. Those stars to be observed regularly to characterize and check the stability of the spectrograph.
3. Large Program. This type will be selected for targets where high cadence observations are needed during multiple nights.
4. Periodical. The periodical targets are those that require one or a few exposures regularly with a roughly fixed time interval in between visits which could be nightly or weekly. An example of this kind could be exoplanet follow up with radial velocity measurements.
5. Filler. Single or few exposures of single targets that can be acquired at any time.
6. Backup. Targets that only are observed if no target was selected from the previous types. The backup targets are long-term monitoring programs like binary stars.

Depending on the scheduling type selected for a specific target different parameters needs to be set. In the case of Time Critical targets the PI must specify the start and stop time of the observations and all the relevant instrumental settings. The Large Program and Periodical targets will be defined by a “time between observations” ($\Delta t_{base}$) and the rest have no specific parameters other than the standard instrumental settings, number of exposures per visit, and minimum altitude of the observation (airmass).

The Conductor will go through each scheduling type in a prioritized order (provided in the list above). Time Critical observations will be inserted as Observing Requests (OR) by the Conductor in the morning the day that they should be executed, and SONG staff members monitoring the facility daily will be notified by e-mail about the insertion. The other scheduling types are handled in real time. See Figure 2 for a clearer overview of the scheduling types.
where the Sun is getting sufficiently low (6° below the horizon), the Conductor will insert the OR of the top prioritized target that it has identified to be observed from the beginning of the night. The Conductor will run through the different scheduling types starting with the Radial Velocity Standard stars. Each of these that are observable at the given time will be observed roughly once per night. Next, it will check for Large Programs. In this category, an ongoing asteroseismic campaign on a specific target would be defined, and only one project at a time should be set to active. If no Large Program is selected, it will go to the Periodical scheduling type. This type usually contains a large number of active targets, and a priority will be calculated for each target according to a number of parameters.

First, targets are checked for visibility in the window defined by the exposure time (defined by the user) and only targets which can finish an exposure during the detected program gap will be selected. Other parameters like Moon distance and wind speed in the direction of the object will also be checked for each target. All targets that fulfill these requirements will be given a priority which will be calculated in the following way:

\[ p_{\text{periodical}} = \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta t_{\text{base}}} \cdot 100, \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where \( \Delta t_{\text{base}} \) is the user-defined requested time between observations, and \( \Delta t \) is the actual time since the last observation of this target. If the target has not been observed before, \( \Delta t \) will be calculated from the start date of the ongoing observing period. The priority will be a value between 0 and 100 until \( \Delta t \) increases beyond the defined \( \Delta t_{\text{base}} \). The priority will continue to increase (beyond 100) until it is observed again. When all targets in this schedule type have been given a priority, the Conductor will check if any has a priority above 90, and if so, it will select the one with the highest value to be executed. If no target has been selected, it will continue to the filler targets. Here, the priority is calculated in a different way. First, it loops through all observable targets and derives a preliminary priority which is given by

\[ p_{\text{pre}} = 90 + 10 \cdot \frac{1}{r_{\text{project}}}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

All fillers which are observable at the given time will get a priority of more than 90, which means they can be selected and executed by the Conductor. The \( r_{\text{project}} \) is the rank of the projects defined by the SONG TAC based on their science evaluation (1 for the highest rank, 2 for the second highest and so forth). Highly ranked projects will be given a higher priority. This is just a short listing that might end up in multiple targets given the same high priority and another priority will then be added based on the objects position in the sky. To optimize the data quality and success rate in target acquisition, the priority is determined in the following way:

\[ p_{\text{filler}} = p_{\text{pre}} + \frac{f}{|h - 50| + 1}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where \( h \) is the altitude of the object at the specific time, and \( f \) is either a factor of 10 or 20, depending if the target is rising or setting, respectively. This calculation will favor objects in an area of the sky where the target acquisition will most likely succeed every time (Alt/Az mounts have problems near zenith). It will also favor targets that are setting. The principle behind this approach is that there might be time afterward to execute more targets where rising targets will still be observable. As an example, two stars from the same project could from Equation (2) have the same priority but if one star is rising and the other one is setting and both are at 50° in altitude, the one setting would be selected based on Equation (3). If both are setting and one is at 70° in altitude and the other one is at 60°, the one lowest will be selected. On the other hand, if both are setting and one is at 20° in altitude and the other is at 40°, the one at 40° will be selected.

If no target has been selected so far, a Backup list of long-term targets will be checked in the same way as for the targets in the Periodical type (Equation (1)), and the target with the highest priority will be selected. The original idea behind the Backup program was to ensure observations even when weather conditions were not perfect. In case of strong wind observations, downwind could still be allowed. If no targets from the time allocated programs are available, downwind some backup targets could be observed.

The Conductor is intended to be adaptable at any telescope that has the fundamental database setup mentioned in Figure 2. Flow diagram of the target selection procedure in the Conductor.
Section 3. With the underlying structure in place, the only changes to adopt to a different telescope and instrument should be made in the dedicated configuration file. The most relevant parameters specified in this file are listed in Appendix B, where a schematic diagram of the most relevant components of the software package is also shown (Appendix B, Figure 7). The full software package of the Conductor is planned to be submitted to GitHub in the near future and will be available to the whole community.

5. The Workers: The Scheduler and The Monitor

When a target is selected by the Conductor, it will be inserted as an OR into a specific table in a database at Central (AU). This database table (shown in Appendix C) will then be copied to a server at the node by the database replication software SLONY (see Andersen et al. 2014 for more details). On the local server on-site, a software package called the “Scheduler” then checks the list of scheduled targets to be observed the given night. If only one target is listed for a given time, it will check visibility and general observing conditions and if all parameters are fine, it will execute the corresponding observing script. This observing script will read all specified instrumental settings along with the parameters of the object and start the sequence of slewing the telescope to the object, make acquisition of the target to put it on the slit, move motors for the instrumental setup, and then at the end to proceed to acquire the defined number of spectra. After completion, it will change the status of the observation from being “executing” to being “done,” and the script will finish. A new target should then already be inserted in the queue and the Scheduler will execute the next observation.

Alongside the Scheduler another on-site software program is running called the “Monitor.” This package will check all relevant site conditions: weather; server status; stability temperature sensors; the Sun’s altitude; telescope and dome status; and position etc. If changing conditions are detected, it will act accordingly: i.e., if weather turns bad, it will close the dome and power off the telescope: in the morning when the Sun is about to rise, it will also close down; in the evening, it will power on the telescope and open up the dome to be ready for observing if conditions allow and so on. If issues occur that the Monitor cannot solve on its own, it will ask for help by sending an e-mail to the SONG staff members monitoring the facility daily. In case the issue is of critical character, the Monitor will also send a text message to inform and even wake up staff if necessary.

Figure 3. Observational status plot of the night 2018-05-27. Each asterisk marks an acquired spectrum for a given star which was located at the specific altitude at the specific time. Each number identifies individual stars. This night the main target (#7) of scheduling type “Large Program” was using most of the telescope time. The majority of the other targets were “Periodical” with single exposures or “Radial Velocity Standard” stars. The vertical green dashed lines are marking the beginning and end time of the possible observing hours where the Sun is below 6° under the horizon. The dashed cyan line is marking the path of the Moon during the given night. The dashed red horizontal line marks the altitude limit of the SONG telescope at Tenerife and the bottom black line labeled “Used time” is the actual exposure time of the CCD detector at the SONG spectrograph.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

6. Nightly Flexibility and Efficiency of the Conductor

In late spring 2017, the beta version of the Conductor was implemented, and after a short period of testing and upgrading it was in a stage where it was handling most of the observations during summer 2017. Further development made it handle all observations from Autumn 2017 and onward. An example of a full night where the Conductor selected all targets to observe is shown in Figure 3.

Each observed spectrum is marked on the figure with an asterisk at the specific time of acquisition where the object was at the plotted altitude in the sky. The numbering identifies different targets. In this particular night, the object labeled number 7 was using most of the telescope time. This was a “Large Program” target observed for asteroseismology. The characteristics of this program allowed a few holes/gaps in the time series where other targets could be observed. Each block is defined by the number of exposures per visit and the requested time between visits.

The total possible observing time this night was 09h:15 m:00 s, the CCD detector was exposed for 08h:26 m:15 s and the total readout time for the whole night was 7 minutes and 41 seconds (00h:07 m:41s). The telescope was slewing 25 times with an
overhead per target of 1 minute and 47 seconds (readout time excluded). During more than 90% of the total possible observing time, the CCD detector was collecting science data. The overhead includes slewing the telescope, adjusting the instrumental setup and doing the target acquisition for the spectrograph.

Another example of the flexibility and efficiency of the Conductor and the SONG telescope is shown in Figure 4. This night was devoted to a number of asteroseismic targets (1, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 11) which could be observed at a cadence (Periodical) where switching between them were making full use of the observing time. Even though the telescope was slewing more than 80 times this night, the time efficiency of exposing the CCD detector was still at an impressive 78% of the total possible observing window.

7. General Efficiency and Duty Cycle

Minimizing the overhead and optimizing the observational procedures is one of the main goals of a fully robotic telescope. This leads to a highly efficient telescope on a nightly timescale. A downside to having an autonomous site is, however, that the telescope is often placed on a remote mountaintop far away from the operation center. This may result in long periods of downtime when a technical failure of some sort occurs and on-site work is needed.

In Figure 5 the operational statistics since the beginning of the scientific operation in 2014 are shown. For each day, five values are plotted: the length of the possible observing window in hours (black curve); the time used for exposing the CCD detector (light green); the observational overhead (dark green); downtime caused by bad weather (gray); and downtime due to technical work (blue).

Technical time during bad weather was counted as “bad weather,” as we often try to schedule preventive maintenance work and technical upgrades during bad weather. This also means that the value of about 29% of bad weather is an absolute value showing weather statistics of the site. During the time since 2014, some events are worth mentioning. In autumn 2014, we decided to change the possible observing window of each night from starting at the end of nautical twilight to start at the end of civil twilight and also extending in the same way in the morning. This is seen as the increase in the total possible observing hours from 2014 November. In 2014 August, the dome rollers needed to be replaced causing 10 days of downtime. In 2015 February, a major reconfiguration of the setup at the telescope nasmyth foci were performed. A major upgrade of the telescope control software and general maintenance of the dome and the telescope was performed by the telescope manufacturer (ASTELCO Systems GmbH) in late 2017 February. An exceptionally extreme winter in 2018 (January and February) caused a number of problems including a damaged weather station.

It is worth mentioning that 1.1% of the yearly technical downtime is around Christmas and New Year’s where no night or daytime operators are present at the Teide Observatory and we decide to keep SONG closed independently of weather conditions or technical problems. If something fails, we would not be able to ask someone on-site for needed assistance to secure the hardware. Also included in the technical time is the time spent on testing new instruments, creating pointing models, public outreach, etc.

In the top of Figure 5 the total number of possible observing hours are shown. Out of these hours, 28.8% were not used caused by bad weather and 7.9% were devoted to technical work. 63.3% were used for observing including all overhead (slewing, target acquisition, readout, instrumental setup, etc.), and the total time where the CCD detector was illuminated with star light was 56.6%. This means that on average we have 6.7% (∼1 hour) observational overhead each night, which is to some extent visible in the figure during the long periods of good weather in spring and summer each year.

8. The Future: Multi-site Conducting

Operating the prototype SONG telescope at the Teide Observatory and having the Conductor running at Central has already proved to be a highly efficient and robust way of managing and executing the observations. Additional SONG nodes are being built, and preparations to conduct the new sites in the same way as the prototype are well established. New nodes might have other constraints on the various observing
and instrumental setup. Therefore, a Conductor for each SONG node will be implemented and all will run at Central. The general setup of multi-site conducting is visualized in Figure 6. At Central, they will read and update the same database tables and they will constantly have information from the sites on the status of every target being observed from the time allocated observing programs.

9. Further Learning: Optimization

The Conductor is running locally on a server at Aarhus University from where it reads the status from the Tenerife site through a network replicated database. The selected targets to be observed and inserted in the program queue are replicated to the site at Tenerife. Therefore, the Conductor fully relies on a stable and fast network connection. In principle, we do not need a fallback option, as a local network failure should force a telescope shutdown. In case of a mechanical problem during the network failure, no remote inspection or actions can be carried out. There could, however, be situations where the network failure happens at the central location in Aarhus, only causing problems for the Conductor and nothing else. In this case, a fallback is relevant and a few possibilities are proposed.

1. During a network failure, the Scheduler could perform the same selection procedures as the Conductor, but on local database tables instead. These tables would then be two-way replications of the relevant tables from the central database which will be up to date until the network failure. This would lead to a more optimized site that would still produce spectroscopic data during a network failure. A problem with this option is the lack of reply back to Central with the status of selected observations during the network outage. In this case, more telescopes (future nodes) might observe the same targets.

2. Another possibility is to have a fallback to a fixed set of backup targets. A simple and fixed selection procedure could then be implemented in an easy way.

3. To carry on observing using an attached piggy back telescope for public outreach.

Another planned future software addition is to implement a fast response mechanism, which will allow reaction time at a level of less than a minute from the trigger event to have the target on the spectrograph slit, and exposing the CCD detector. This addition will be named the “Interceptor” and will run locally as a software daemon listening for triggers.

10. Conclusions

We have described and demonstrated the efficiency and flexibility of the Hertzsprung SONG telescope, which has become a fully robotic facility with the development of the
software package “the Conductor.” This software has turned the SONG site into a fully automated facility with minimum human interactions needed. Using simple priority calculation routines described here, we show which parameters and how they are used when the Conductor is selecting objects to observe at any given time. The Conductor is not the only running software, and the interplay with the Scheduler and the Monitor is essential for the site to operate as robust and efficient as we have now demonstrated.

The Conductor has been, from late 2017, handling all observations and has proven to be highly efficient, flexible, and stable. Based on the results and experience during this period, the Conductor will be adopted at all future SONG nodes.

Further development has been proposed to optimize the up-time even more, and the incorporation of additional nodes into the network and software procedures are set for the near future.

The results were based on data obtained at the Hertzsprung SONG telescope operated on the Spanish Observatorio del Teide on the island of Tenerife by the Aarhus and Copenhagen Universities and by the Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias. Support for the construction and maintenance of the Hertzsprung SONG telescope from the Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, the Villum Foundation, the Carlsberg Foundation, the Independent Research Fund Denmark, and the operator and maintenance teams of the Observatorio del Teide is gratefully acknowledged. Funding for the Stellar Astrophysics Centre is provided by The Danish National Research Foundation (grant agreement No. DNRF106). We also gratefully acknowledge the support by the Spanish Ministry of Economy Competitiveness (MINECO) grant AYA-2016-76378-P. S.S.-D. acknowledges funding by the Spanish MCIU (projects AYA2015-68012-C2-01 and SEV-2015-0548) and the Gobierno de Canarias (project ProID2017010115).

Appendix A
SODA Database Table

The database table required for the “Conductor” to select objects on the Central server in Aarhus (SODA) is shown below, which is a combination of a number of individual tables in SODA that are combined in a table “view.” To keep the database structure as general and flexible as possible, in the event of new and potentially very different instruments, all instrument-specific details are stored in the JSON structure “constraints.” For simplicity, these are then “unpacked” in the table view, but it still allows us to add or change instruments without having to change the database structure.
Appendix B
Conductor Configuration

The Conductor needs to know a few site specific details to run as optimum as possible. These specifics are collected in a configuration file and a subsample of the parameters for the Tenerife site is shown in Table 2. Details on the name of the log file, database table names, to whom notifying e-mails should be sent, etc. was not found relevant to present here, and was therefore omitted.

Readout times, slewing time, and overheads are mean values which needs to be set in a clever way for the Conductor to predict in advance when the ongoing OR will end. The time at which a new target should be inserted is roughly defined by the possible delay in the Internet traffic and a uncertainty of the calculated end time of the ongoing OR.

Table 1
SODA Database Table

| Table Entry Name       | Input Type    | Comment                                              |
|------------------------|---------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| id                     | Integer       | Unique identifier of entry                           |
| projectid              | Integer       | Unique project identifier                           |
| starid                 | Integer       | Unique star identifier                               |
| instrument             | Character     | Unique instrument name                               |
| schedule_type          | Character     | Schedule type name                                   |
| obs_mode               | Character     | Observing mode identifier                            |
| exp_time               | Real          | Exposure time of CCD detector                        |
| nr_exp                 | Integer       | Number of exposures in OR                            |
| constraints            | JSON          | Instrument and OR specifics settings                 |
| readout_mode           | Smallint      | Unique identifier specifying readout mode of CCD     |
| slit                   | Smallint      | Unique identifier of the different slits in the spectrograph |
| nr_target_exp          | Smallint      | Number of target spectra inside ThAr sandwich        |
| nr_thar_exp            | Smallint      | Number of ThAr spectra before and after the target spectra |
| timecritical_tstart    | Timestamp     | Start time of time critical observation              |
| timecritical_tend      | Timestamp     | Stop time of time critical observation               |
| periodical_deltat      | Double precision | Time between observations for relevant schedule type |
| filler_nr_shots        | Smallint      | Number of visits for a filler observation            |
| min_altitude           | Real          | Minimum altitude of the observation - airmass        |
| priority               | Smallint      | Priority of target within the project - PI defined   |
| projectname            | Character     | Unique project name                                  |
| title                  | Character     | Project title                                        |
| period                 | Smallint      | Unique period identifier                             |
| active                 | Boolean       | Project state                                        |
| secret                 | Boolean       | Flag for visible state on web page                   |
| requires_input         | Boolean       | Phase 1 flag                                        |
| pi                     | Smallint      | Unique identifier of project PI                      |
| project_priority       | Smallint      | Project priority given by the SONG TAC              |
| pi_first_name          | Character     | First name of project PI                             |
| pi_last_name           | Character     | Last name of project PI                              |
| object_name            | Character     | SIMBAD resolvable object name                        |
| ra                     | Double precision | Right ascension of object                           |
| decl                   | Double precision | Declination of object                          |
| pm_ra                  | Real          | Proper motion in right ascension of object           |
| pm_decl               | Real          | Proper motion in declination of object               |
| vmag                   | Real          | Object magnitude in V-band                          |
| last_observed          | Timestamp     | Last successful observation of object in project     |
| last_req_no            | Integer       | Unique identifier of last OR                        |
| last_obs_attempt       | Timestamp     | Last attempt to observe the target in project       |
| total_times_observed   | Integer       | Total number of target observations in project       |
| target_active          | Boolean       | Object specific active state                        |
Figure 7. Schematic diagram of the Conductor software tree where only modules/functions relevant for night time observations are shown. The file “conductor_config.py” will include most parameters to change for adopting to another telescope. The “insertor.py” needs to match the used instrument(s) and therefore might need modifications as well.
Appendix C
OR Database Tables

When the Conductor has selected a target, it will insert an Observing Request (OR) into a database which is replicated to

Table 2
Subsample on the Conductor Configuration File Content (Python)

| Parameter                  | Value            | Comment                                              |
|----------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| check_time                 | 10.0             | Check for new target every 10 seconds               |
| project_critical_type      | [rv-standard, large_program, periodical, filler, backup]   | Ordered list                                         |
| insert_time_before         | 120              | Time before detected gap starts and a new OR should be inserted. |
| wind_alt_prime_limit       | 25               | Altitude limit in medium wind for large program targets |
| wind_alt_other_limit       | 30               | Altitude limit for other targets - dome constraint  |
| obs_lat                    | 28.2983          | Latitude of the observatory                          |
| obs_lon                    | -16.5094         | Longitude of the observatory                         |
| obs_elev                   | 2400             | Elevation of the observatory                         |
| telescope_min_altitude     | 16.0             | Minimum altitude of the telescope - telescope constraint |
| tel_dist_to_moon           | 5.0              | Minimum distance to the Moon                         |
| max_alt_auto               | 82.0             | Maximum altitude for start position - pointing model constraint |
| seeing_limit_s5            | 1.7              | Seeing limit for faint objects (slit 5)             |
| seeing_limit_s6            | 1.5              | Seeing limit for faint objects (slit 6)             |
| seeing_limit_s8            | 1.2              | Seeing limit for faint objects (slit 8)             |
| vmagseeing_limit           | 7.5              | When to use the above seeing limits                 |
| obs_sun_alt                | -6               | Maximum altitude of Sun when observations are allowed |
| readoutsec                 | 4                | The readout time fraction in seconds of CCD         |
| readouts                  | 210              | The readout time fraction in milliseconds           |
| wind_delay                 | 20               | If wind is moderate and object toward wind delay 20 minutes |
| telescope_slewtime         | 60               | Estimate of the mean slewing time in seconds        |
| thar_overhead              | 120              | Overhead in seconds for slewing and a ThAr spectrum |

Table 3
OR Database Table

| Table entry name | Input type | Comment |
|------------------|------------|---------|
| req_no           | Integer    | Unique identifier of OR |
| req_prio         | Integer    | Local priority of OR |
| soda_id          | Integer    | Unique identifier of SODA selection |
| nr_multi         | Integer    | Factor to allow more than 1000 spectra per OR |
| right_ascension  | Angle360    | Right ascension of object |
| declination      | Angle_90to90| Declination of object |
| ra.pm            | Real        | Proper motion in right ascension of object |
| dec_pm           | Real        | Proper motion in declination of object |
| epoch            | Real        | Epoch of Catalog |
| magnitude        | Real        | Object magnitude in V-band |
| object_name      | Character   | SIMBAD resolvable object name |
| imagetype        | Character   | Type of spectra; STAR, BIAS, FLAT etc. |
| observer         | Character   | Name of the PI |
| project_name     | Character   | Unique project name |
| project_id       | Integer     | Unique project identifier |
| exp_time         | Double precision | Exposure time of CCD detector |
| x_bin            | Integer     | Binning of CCD in X-direction |
| y_bin            | Integer     | Binning of CCD in Y-direction |

Table 3 (Continued)

| Table entry name | Input type | Comment |
|------------------|------------|---------|
| x_begin          | Integer    | First pixel of CCD area to readout in X-direction |
| y_begin          | Integer    | First pixel of CCD area to readout in Y-direction |
| x_end            | Integer    | Last pixel of CCD area to readout in X-direction |
| y_end            | Integer    | Last pixel of CCD area to readout in Y-direction |
| no_exp           | Integer    | Number of spectra in OR |
| no_target_exp    | Integer    | Number of target spectra inside ThAr sandwich |
| no_thar_exp      | Integer    | Number of ThAr spectra before and after the target spectra |
| amp_gain         | Integer    | Amplifier gain of the CCD |
| readoutmode      | Integer    | Readout mode of the CCD |
| iodine_cell      | Integer    | Position of the iodine cells |
| obs_mode         | Character   | Observing mode: ThAr, Iodine or Template |
| slit             | Integer    | Unique identifier of the slit to use |
| start_window     | Timestamp   | Allowed start time of observing window |
| stop_window      | Timestamp   | Stop time of the observing window |
| acq_spectre      | Integer    | Number of acquired spectra in OR |
| on_hold          | Real        | On hold time in minutes |
| comment          | Character   | Comment from system on OR |
| o_star           | Character   | O-star to observe if template observation |
| site             | Integer     | Unique site identifier |
| ins_at           | Timestamp   | Time of OR insertion |
Together with each inserted OR, a corresponding status entry is created in a database on-site, which will be replicated to Central. The status table is shown in Table 4.

| Table 4 OR Status Database Table |
|----------------------------------|
| **Entry Name** | **Input Type** | **Comment** |
| req_no | Integer | Unique identifier of OR |
| status | Character | Status of the OR: “wait”, “done”, “abort”, “exec”, “unknown” |
| ins_at | Timestamp | Time at last status change |

the sites. Table 3 shows an example of the database table used for observations with the SONG spectrograph.
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