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Objective: Pacinian corpuscle hyperplasia typically presents as a tender nodule on the volar aspect of the palm or digit, often after trauma. Histologically, it presents as one to multiple normal-sized to enlarged Pacinian corpuscles in the deep dermis or subcutaneous adipose tissue. Given its rarity, its pathogenesis is debated and nomenclature is poorly defined. Herein, we present a case of Pacinian corpuscle hyperplasia and review the current literature.

Methods: A literature review was conducted using PubMed with the following search terms: Pacinian corpuscle hyperplasia, Pacinian corpuscle neuroma, Pacinioma, Pacinian corpuscle hypertrophy, and heterotopic Pacinian corpuscles. All case reports and case series were reviewed for histopathologic evidence of true Pacinian corpuscle hyperplasia. Cadaveric studies, cases without true Pacinian corpuscles, and noncutaneous cases were excluded from our analysis.

Results: Sixty patients with Pacinian corpuscle hyperplasia of the hands and feet (65 cases, some with >1 location) were reviewed. The mean age of presentation was 49.5 years, and women accounted for 60% of cases. Pain was the most commonly reported symptom (55 of 65 cases; 84.6%). Forty-five cases (69.2%) were localized to a digit, most commonly the second digit (17 of 65 cases; 26.2%), and 18 of 65 cases (27.6%) affected the palm, primarily the distal palm. Surgical excision was curative in 50 of 65 cases (76.9%).

Conclusion: Although relatively uncommon, Pacinian corpuscle hyperplasia should be considered in the differential diagnosis of a tender nodule on the digit or distal palm, particularly after trauma.

© 2020 Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of Women's Dermatologic Society. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
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**Introduction**

Pacinian corpuscles, also called Vater-Pacini or lamellar corpuscles, are rapidly adapting mechanoreceptors that respond to changes in pressure and vibration (Gartner, 2017; Vijayaraghavan et al., 2008). Discovered by Vater in 1741 and first described histologically by Pacini in 1835 (Cauna and Mannan, 1958), Pacinian corpuscles are most often localized to the deep dermis (Quindlen et al., 2015). They are also found in the subcutaneous tissue, the heart, breasts, joints, and mesentery and loose connective tissue (Rhode and Jennings, 1975). Pathology involving Pacinian corpuscles is rare.

Terminology within the literature is inconsistent. Pacinian corpuscle hyperplasia describes a pathologic increase in the size (also called Pacinian hypertrophy) and/or density of mature Pacinian corpuscles. The term Pacinian corpuscle hyperplasia is used interchangeably with Pacinian neuroma and Pacinioma (Fassola et al., 2019; Imai et al., 2003). However, it is distinguished from Pacinian neurofibroma, which is composed of structures resembling Pacinian corpuscles at various stages of development with variable degrees of Schwann cell proliferation (Friedrich and Hagel, 2019). Given their rarity, Pacinian neuroma and Pacinian neurofibroma have been mistakenly conflated; therefore, Pacinian neurofibroma will not be reviewed herein. In this report, we describe a case of Pacinian corpuscle hyperplasia and review the literature to raise awareness for this condition.

**Case**

A 69-year-old female patient with a medical history of acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and granulocytic sarcoma in remission presented with a 1-week history of a painful nodule on the right second finger. Her history of AML was complicated by multiple relapses, but she did not have neuropathy. Treatment included bone marrow transplant and chemotherapy. She had a granulocytic sarcoma on the right upper thigh that had regressed 1 year earlier after treatment with radiation and decitabine.

Physical examination was significant for a firm, mobile, 1-cm, flesh-colored subcutaneous nodule on the volar aspect of the right second finger (Fig. 1). There were no epidermal changes observed on dermoscopy. A 5-mm punch biopsy was performed to rule out metastasized granulocytic sarcoma. During the biopsy, small grape-like clusters were noted in the subcutaneous tissue and were excised.

Histopathology with hematoxylin and eosin staining showed a single enlarged Pacinian corpuscle within the adipose tissue, surrounded by normal nerves and blood vessels in the interstitial stroma (Figs. 2 and 3). A diagnosis of Pacinian corpuscle hyperplasia was rendered.

At follow-up 2 weeks later, the pain had subsided and there was no evidence of a residual nodule. In a telephone follow-up 2 months later, the patient reported no recurrence or associated pain. Six months later, she had an AML relapse, followed by subsequent cerebrovascular attacks, and died.

**Literature review/discussion**

A normal Pacinian corpuscle measures 1 to 2 mm by 0.1 to 0.7 mm in the skin but up to 5 mm in other organs (Gartner, 2017; Reznik et al., 1998). It is composed of a central core containing an unmyelinated nerve terminal, surrounded by multiple concentric fibrous lamellae (normally 13–15 lamellae; Fraitag et al., 1994) and an outer capsule, continuous with the perineurium (Cauna and Mannan, 1958; Imai et al., 2003). Macroscopically, it has been described as a cluster of rice-like, gray-white, ovoid bod-
Table 1
History, physical examination, and outcomes of Pacinian corpuscle hyperplasia cases.

| Paper                        | Diagnosis                                | Age, y | Sex | Site                        | Reznik class | Jennings class | Trauma | Pain | Mass | Sensory change | Resolved with excision | Other association                                      |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------------|--------|-----|-----------------------------|--------------|----------------|--------|------|-----|----------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| Patterson, 1956              | PC neuroma                               | 33     | F   | First digit bilaterally     | 2, 3         | B              | No     | N    | Y   | N               | UNK                    | Computer operator                                                  |
| Zweig and Burns, 1968        | Nerve compression by subepineural PCs    | 42     | F   | Web space                   | 1            | A              | Yes, local| Y    | N   | N               | Y                      |                                                                      |
| Zweig and Burns, 1968        | Nerve compression by subepineural PCs    | 54     | M   | Distal palm                 | 1            | A              | Yes, local| Y    | Y   | Y               | Y                      | Heat and cold sensitivity; pain radiating to shoulder                 |
| Hart et al., 1971            | Hyperplastic PCs                         | 66     | F   | Second digit                | 3            | B, D           | Yes, distant| Y    | N   | N               | Y                      |                                                                      |
| Sandzen and Baksic, 1974     | Pacinian hyperplasia                     | 59     | F   | Distal palm                 | 2            | B              | Yes, local| Y    | Y   | N               | Y                      |                                                                      |
| Sandzen and Baksic, 1974     | Pacinian hyperplasia                     | 21     | M   | Third digit                 | 2            | B              | None     | Y    | N   | Y               | Y                      |                                                                      |
| Rhode and Jennings, 1975    | PC neuroma                               | 44     | M   | Distal palm in two locations| 1, 2, 3      | A, B, C        | Yes, local| Y    | Y   | Y               | Y                      |                                                                      |
| Cameron, 1976                | Hyperplasia of a PC                      | 17     | F   | First digit                 | 1            | A              | None     | Y    | N   | Y               | UNK                    |                                                                      |
| Kojima et al., 1977          | PC hyperplasia                           | 50     | F   | Palm                        | 2            | B              | Yes, local| Y    | N   | UNK             | UNK                    | Glomus tumor; required multiple surgeries                           |
| Schuler and Adamson, 1978    | Pacinian neuroma                         | 47     | F   | Second digit                | 2            | B              | None     | Y    | Y   | N               | Y                      |                                                                      |
| Schuler and Adamson, 1978    | Pacinian neuroma                         | 55     | M   | Fifth digit                 | 2            | B              | Yes, local| Y    | N   | N               | Y                      |                                                                      |
| Touchida et al., 1979        | PC hyperplasia                           | 36     | F   | Palm                        | 2            | B              | None     | Y    | N   | UNK             | UNK                    |                                                                      |
| Gama and Mattosinho, 1980    | Nerve compression by PCs                 | 35     | F   | First digit                 | 2            | B              | None     | Y    | N   | Y               | Y                      | Bone insufflation on roentgenogram                                  |
| Gama and Mattosinho, 1980    | Nerve compression by PCs                 | 23     | F   | Distal palm                 | 3            | B, but enlarged PCs | Yes, local| Y    | Y   | Y               | Y                      |                                                                      |
| Chavoin et al., 1980         | Proliferation of PCs                     | 51     | M   | Third digit                 | 3            | D              | Yes, local| Y    | Y   | N               | Y                      | Required multiple surgeries                                         |
| Greider and Flatt, 1982      | Pacinian hyperplasia                     | 69     | M   | Second digit                | 3            | B, but enlarged PCs | Yes, local| Y    | Y   | Y               | Y                      | Glomus tumor; heat and cold sensitivity                              |
| Yarasana et al., 1933        | PC hyperplasia                           | 72     | M   | First digit                 | 2            | B              | None     | Y    | UNK | UNK             | UNK                    | Glomus tumor                                                       |
| Friedman et al., 1984        | Subepineural PC                          | 18     | F   | Second digit                | 1            | A              | Yes, local| Y    | N   | N               | N                      | Pain radiating to elbow                                              |
| Lang-Steenvoor, 1984         | PC hyperplasia and hypertrophy           | 60     | M   | Third and fourth digits     | 3            | UNK            | None     | N    | N   | N               | N/A                    | Incidental finding                                                   |
| Brynildsen, 1985             | Subepineural PC                          | 33     | F   | Fourth digit                | 1            | A              | Yes, local| N    | N   | N               | Y                      |                                                                      |
| Unemoto et al., 1988         | Pacinian hypertrophy                     | 28     | F   | First digit                 | 3            | C              | UNK      | Y    | Y   | N               | UNK                    |                                                                      |
| Unemoto et al., 1988         | Pacinian hypertrophy                     | 22     | M   | Second digit                | 3            | C              | UNK      | Y    | Y   | N               | UNK                    |                                                                      |
| Fletcher and Theaker, 1989   | Pacinian neuroma                         | 33     | F   | First digit                 | 2            | B              | None     | Y    | Y   | Y               | Y                      | Progressive enlargement since birth                                 |
| Fletcher and Theaker, 1989   | Pacinian neuroma                         | 44     | F   | Second digit                | 2            | B              | Yes, local| Y    | Y   | N               | Y                      | Pain radiated to elbow                                               |
| Fletcher and Theaker, 1989   | Pacinian neuroma                         | 54     | M   | Web space                   | 2            | B              | Yes, local| Y    | N   | UNK             | UNK                    |                                                                      |
| Jones and Eadie, 1991        | PC hyperplasia                           | 55     | F   | Distal palm                 | 2            | B              | Yes     | Y    | Y   | Y               | Y                      |                                                                      |
| Frais et al., 1994           | PC hyperplasia                           | 70     | M   | Third digit                 | 3            | B, but enlarged PCs | None     | Y    | N   | Y               | UNK                    | Locksmith                                                            |
| Kojima, 1992                 | PC hyperplasia                           | 41     | F   | Palm                        | 2            | A, B, D        | None     | Y    | UNK | UNK             | Y                      |                                                                      |
| McPherson and Meals, 1992    | PC neuroma                               | 59     | M   | Second digit                | 2            | B              | None     | Y    | Y   | N               | Y                      | Erosive changes to bone on x-ray                                    |
| Bas et al., 1993             | Hyperplastic PC                          | 47     | F   | Fifth digit                 | 1            | A              | None     | Y    | N   | N               | Y                      | Pain radiating to arm                                                |
| Calder et al., 1995          | Nerve compression by hyperplastic PCs    | 68     | F   | Distal palm                 | 2            | B              | Yes, local| Y    | Y   | N               | Y                      | Trigger finger                                                       |
| Reznik et al., 1998          | Hyperplasia and hypertrophy of PCs       | 63     | F   | Second digit                | 3            | B, but enlarged PCs | None     | Y    | Y   | N               | Y                      |                                                                      |
| Reznik et al., 1998          | Hyperplasia and hypertrophy of PCs       | 44     | M   | Third digit                 | 3            | B, but enlarged PCs | Yes, local| Y    | N   | N               | Y                      |                                                                      |
| Akyurek et al., 2000         | PC hyperplasia                           | 87     | F   | Distal palm                 | 2            | B              | None     | N    | N   | N               | N/A                    | Dupuytren's contracture                                              |
| Rinaldi et al., 2000         | Pacinian hyperplasia                     | 62     | M   | First digit                 | 2            | B              | Yes, local| Y    | N   | N               | Y                      |                                                                      |
| Satge et al., 2001           | Pacinian hyperplasia                     | 66     | F   | Toe                         | 3            | C              | None     | Y    | N   | N               | Y                      |                                                                      |
| Kumar et al., 2003           | PC hyperplasia                           | 65     | F   | Toe                         | 3            | UNK            | None     | Y    | N   | N               | Y                      | Morton's tumor                                                       |
| Kumar et al., 2003           | PC hyperplasia                           | 32     | M   | Fifth digit                 | 2            | B              | None     | Y    | N   | N               | Y                      |                                                                      |

(continued on next page)
Table 1 (continued)

| Paper | Diagnosis | Age, y | Sex | Site       | Reznik class | Jennings class | Trauma | Pain | Mass | Sensory change | Resolved with excision | Other association                  |
|-------|-----------|--------|-----|------------|---------------|----------------|--------|------|------|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Vaes and De Smet, 2003 | Subepineural PC | 33 | F | Second digit | 1 | A | None | Y | Y | N | Y |                      |
| Kuruvila et al., 2003 | Pacinian neuroma | 17 | F | Web space | 2, 3 | ND | Yes, local | Y | Y | N | Y |                      |
| Imai et al., 2003 | PC hyperplasia | 67 | M | Fourth digit | 2 | B | Yes, local | Y | Y | Y | Y |                      |
| Marini et al., 2004 | Pacinian neuroma | UNK | F | Second digit | UNK | UNK | UNK | UNK | UNK | UNK | Y |                      |
| Narayananurthy et al., 2005 | PC neurona | 88 | F | First digit | 3 | B but enlarged PCs | None | Y | Y | N | Y |                      |
| Kenmochi et al., 2006 | Pacinian neuroma | 57 | F | Third digit | 1, 2 | A, B | Yes, local | Y | N | N | UNK |                      |
| Yan et al., 2006 | PC hyperplasia | 24 | F | Fourth digit | 3 | UNK | None | Y | Y | Y | Y | NF1 |
| Vijayaraghavan et al., 2008 | PC hyperplasia | 45 | F | Second digit | 3 | B but enlarged PCs | Yes, local | Y | Y | N | Y |                      |
| Yenidunya et al., 2009 | PC hyperplasty | 55 | F | Second digit | 1 | A | Yes, local | Y | N | N | Y | 2A burn contracture |
| Yenidunya et al., 2009 | PC hyperplasia and hyperplasty | 72 | M | Palm | 3 | UNK | None | N | Y | N | N/A | Dupuytren's contracture |
| Irie et al., 2011 | Heterotopic PC | 24 | M | First digit | 1 | A | None | N | N | N | Y | 1 × 1.5 mm |
| Irie et al., 2011 | Heterotopic PC | 31 | M | Distal palm | 2 | B | None | Y | N | Y | Y |                      |
| Cho et al., 2012 | Pacinian neuroma | 45 | F | First digit | 3 | UNK | Y | Y | Y | N | Y |                      |
| Von Campe et al., 2012 | Pacinian neuroma | 74 | M | Distal palm | 3 | UNK | None | Y | N | N | Y | Dupuytren's contracture |
| Zech et al., 2013 | Mystery case | 34 | M | First digit | 2 | B | Y, local | Y | N | N | UNK | Painter |
| Garcia et al., 2015 | PC hyperplasia and hyperplasty | 50 | F | Second digit | 3 | B- but enlarged PCs | Yes, local | Y | Y | N | UNK | Required multiple surgeries |
| Komforti and Cummings, 2015 | Pacinian hyperplasia | 65 | F | First digit | 3 | C | Yes, local | Y | N | Y | Y | Glomus tumor |
| Mahipathy et al., 2015 | Pacinian neuroma | 34 | F | Fifth digit | 2 | B | None | Y | Y | N | Congenital (pain resolved, not neuropraxia) |
| Garrido-Colmenero et al., 2016 | Pacinian neuroma | 75 | M | Second digit | 3 | B- but enlarged PCs | None | Y | N | N | Y | Osteolysis on radiograph |
| Jimenez et al., 2017 | PC hyperplasia/neuroma | 71 | M | Second digit | UNK | B, D | None | Y | N | N | Y | CREST syndrome; severe Raynaud's; pianist |
| Pickrell et al., 2019 | PC hyperplasia | 61 | M | Second and third digits | 2, 3 | D | None | Y | N | N | Y |                      |
| Friedrich and Hagel, 2019 | Vater-Pacini neuroma | 54 | F | Fifth digit | 2 | B | None | Y | N | N | N | NF1 |
| Present case | PC Hyperplasia | 69 | F | Second digit | 2 | B | UNK | Y | Y | N | Y | History of acute myeloid leukemia |

F, female; M, male; N/A, not applicable; N, no; NF1, neurofibromatosis type 1; PC, Pacinian corpuscle; UNK, unknown; Y, yes.
ies. In a cadaveric study of 10 subjects, there were a mean of 300 (192–424) Pacinian corpuscles in the hand, distributed near the digital nerves in the fingers (44%–60%), near the metacarpophalangeal joints (25%–48%), and in the thenar and hypothenar regions (8%–18%; Stark et al., 1998). Maximum normal density is 3 to 5 corpuscles per square centimeter (Lang-Stevenson, 1984; Yan et al., 2006).

Pacinian corpuscle hyperplasia was first described by Patterson in 1956 in a 33-year-old woman who presented with a bilateral enlargement of the distal pulp of her thumbs. On surgical exploration, a gross excess of Pacinian corpuscles was discovered and a diagnosis of Pacinian neuroma was made (Patterson, 1956). In 1975, Rhode and Jennings proposed an anatomical classification of these benign tumors into four subtypes: Type A (a single, enlarged, subepineural corpuscle); Type B (a grape-like cluster of normal-sized Pacinian corpuscles attached to the digital nerve by a fine filament), Type C (a series of slightly enlarged corpuscles arranged in tandem beneath the epineurium, appearing as a branch to the nerve), and Type D (hyperplastic Pacinian corpuscles arranged along the entire length of a digital nerve, each single or paired corpuscle attached to the nerve by a fine nerve fiber; Rhode and Jennings, 1975).

The Rhode and Jennings Pacinian corpuscle hyperplasia classification was both described as sensible and criticized as difficult to apply without a detailed account of the surgery (Fletcher and Theaker, 1989). In 1998, Reznik et al. suggested that Types C and D could be grouped together, simplifying the classification system to three subtypes: Type 1 (a single, enlarged Pacinian corpuscle), Type 2 (a cluster of normal-sized Pacinian corpuscles), and Type 3 (a cluster of enlarged Pacinian corpuscles; Reznik et al., 1998). Both classification systems are currently used to describe Pacinian corpuscle hyperplasia.

The differential diagnosis for a painful cutaneous tumor is broad and includes calcinosis cutis, leiomyoma cutis, eccrine spiradenoma, neura, Morton’s neuroma, dermatofibroma, tufted angioma, angiolipoma, neurilemmoma, granular cell tumor, glomus tumor, angioendotheliomatosis, metastases, hидradenoma, osteoma cutis, fibromyxoma, blue rubber bleb nevus, leiomyosarcoma, eccrine angiomatous hamartoma, Dercum’s disease, piezogenic papule, thrombus, scar, and keloid (Bhat et al., 2019; Cohen et al., 2019). All these pathologies have been reported in the hands and feet, but only glomus tumor, fibromyxoma, eccrine angiomatous hamartoma, piezogenic papules, and Morton’s neuroma are commonly localized to the hands or feet. Pacinian corpuscle hyperplasia can be distinguished clinically from some of these entities, with its presentation as an isolated flesh-colored nodule on the volar surface of the distal palm or digit; biopsy with histopathology is necessary for confirmation. Given our patient’s history of AML and granulocytic sarcoma, metastasis was also considered.

In addition to our case, 60 patients with Pacinian corpuscle hyperplasia of the hands and feet have been reported (Table 1). Cadaveric studies and cases without true Pacinian corpuscles were excluded from our analysis. The mean age of patients at the time of presentation was 49.5 years (range, 17–88 years) with a female predominance (37 of 61 patients; 60.7%; Table 2). Four patients (Lang-Stevenson, 1984; Patterson, 1956; Pickrell et al., 2019; Rhode and Jennings, 1975) presented with Pacinian corpuscle hyperplasia in >1 location (n = 65 cases). Similar to our case, the majority presented with a tender digital nodule. Forty-five cases (69.2%) were localized to a digit, most commonly the second digit (17 of 65 cases; 26.2%), and 18 of 65 cases (27.6%) affected the palm, primarily the distal palm (Fig. 4).

Pain was the most common symptom, reported for 55 of 65 nodules (84.6%). Tenderness was described in 17 cases; other descriptors included throbbing, severe, acute, and burning. Thirty-four patients (52.3%) had a mass or swelling, and 13 (20.0%) presented with sensory changes. The most common Reznik subtypes were Type 2 (36.9%) and Type 3 (33.8%). The most frequent Jennings subtype was B (52.3%), consistent with an earlier review (Fassola et al., 2019). Nine of the 33 cases designated as Jennings subtype B were enlarged rather than normal sized, as traditionally stated. Two cases could not be discerned from the description provided as a specific Reznik class, and 9 were not discernable for Jennings classification. Additionally, one case (Irie et al., 2011) presented as a normal-sized subepineural Pacinian corpuscle that caused pain; it was included as Type 1/A despite technically belonging to neither class.

The pathogenesis of Pacinian corpuscle hyperplasia remains to be elucidated, but prior trauma has been implicated as a potential cause. Injury has been hypothesized to disrupt the blood flow of the arteriovenous anastomoses located in close proximity to Pacinian corpuscles, resulting in the formation of new corpuscles (Cauna and Mannan, 1958; Imai et al., 2003; Jimenez et al., 2017). Our findings of a predilection for the right first three digits in close proximity to the digital nerves and metacarpophalangeal joints (Fig. 4) supports the theory of repetitive trauma leading to hyperplasia of preexisting Pacinian corpuscles. In our review, 43.1% of cases were associated with prior trauma. Work-related exposure to repeated microtraumas has been proposed as a potential risk factor in the case of a locksmith (Fraijt et al., 1994); this reasoning is applicable to cases reviewed of a painter (Zech et al., 2013), computer operator (Patterson, 1956), a woman who experienced repetitive needling trauma from her work with fabrics (Cho et al., 2012), and a pianist (Pickrell et al., 2019). Other associated findings were glomus tumor (4 cases; Greider and Flatt, 1982; Kojima, 1992; Komforti and Cummings, 2015). Dypuytren’s con-

Table 2
Summary of demographics of Pacinian corpuscle hyperplasia cases.

| Demographic characteristics | n (%) |
|----------------------------|-------|
| **Patients, N = 61**       |       |
| Mean age, y                | 49.5  |
| Male                       | 24 (39.3) |
| Female                     | 37 (60.7) |
| **Anatomic location, N = 65** |     |
| Palm                       | 4 (6.2) |
| Distal palm/web space      | 14 (21.5) |
| First digit                | 13 (20.0) |
| Second digit               | 17 (26.2) |
| Third digit                | 7 (10.8) |
| Fourth digit               | 3 (4.6) |
| Fifth digit                | 5 (7.7) |
| Toes                       | 2 (3.1) |
| Two locations*             | 4 (6.2) |
| **Patient history and examination characteristics, N = 65** |     |
| Pain                       | 55 (84.6) |
| Prior trauma               | 28 (43.1) |
| Mass                       | 34 (52.3) |
| Sensory change             | 13 (20.0) |
| **Reznik subtype, N = 65** |       |
| A                          | 9 (13.8) |
| B                          | 34 (52.3) |
| C                          | 4 (6.2) |
| D                          | 3 (4.6) |
| Multiple†                  | 6 (9.2) |
| **Jennings subtype, N = 65** |       |
| A                          | 9 (13.8) |
| B                          | 34 (52.3) |
| C                          | 4 (6.2) |
| D                          | 3 (4.6) |
| Multiple†                  | 6 (9.2) |
| **Surgical intervention, N = 65** |       |
| Resolved with excision     | 50 (76.9) |
| Required >1 surgeries      | 3 (4.6) |

* Cases with 2 locations included index and middle finger, ring and middle finger, bilateral thumbs, and 2 areas in the distal palm.
† Cases with multiple Reznik subtypes included pairings of 1; two 1, 2, 3; and five 2, 3.
‡ Cases with multiple Jennings subtypes included pairings of a, b, a, b, c, a, b, d, and two b, d.
tracture (3 cases; Akyurek et al., 2000; Von Campe et al., 2012; Yenidunya et al., 2009), and neurofibromatosis (2 cases; Friedrich and Hagel, 2019; Yan et al., 2006). Pacinian corpuscles in the fascia of patients with Dupuytren's disease are larger and stain more intensely for nerve growth factor compared with Pacinian corpuscles in the hands of control patients (Ehrmantant et al., 2004). Burn contracture (Yenidunya et al., 2009) and Raynaud's (Pickrell et al., 2015) were described in one case each. To date, no cases have been associated with hematologic malignancy or immunosuppression as seen in our case.

Surgery is the mainstay of treatment for Pacinian corpuscle hyperplasia. Surgical excision resulted in resolution of symptoms in 50 cases (76.9%). However, three cases (Chavoin et al., 1980; Garcia et al., 2015; Kojima, 1992) required multiple surgeries to control symptoms due to hyperplastic Pacinian corpuscles found in >1 location along the nerve. In only one case (Mahipathy et al., 2015), the patient reported ongoing symptoms after excision in which pain resolved but neuropraxia persisted.

Conclusion

The case presented is a classic example of the clinical and histological presentation of Pacinian corpuscle hyperplasia. Although rare, it should be considered in the differential diagnosis of a tender nodule on the digit or distal palm, particularly after trauma. This case, along with previous reports, helps clarify diagnostic terminology and subtype classifications.
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