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Abstract

Background

Vitamin D is postulated to decrease the risk of breast cancer by inhibiting cell proliferation via the vitamin D receptor (VDR). Two common single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the VDR gene, rs1544410 (BsmI) and rs2228570 (FokI), are inconsistently associated with breast cancer risk in Caucasian populations, while data for Asians are scarce. Here, we investigated the possible contribution of these SNPs to breast cancer risk in Pakistani breast cancer patients and in controls participating in a hospital-based breast cancer case-control study (PAK-BCCC).

Methods

Genotyping of the BsmI and FokI SNPs was performed by PCR-based restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis of 463 genetically enriched female breast cancer cases with known BRCA1/2 status and in 1,012 controls from Pakistan. The association between SNP genotypes and breast cancer risk was investigated by logistic regression adjusted for potential breast cancer risk factors and stratified by BRCA1/2 status and family history. Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were reported.

Results

The b allele of the BsmI was associated with an increased breast cancer risk (per b allele OR 1.28, 95% CI 1.09–1.49, P = 0.003). Subgroup analysis revealed that this effect was restricted to BRCA1/2 non-carriers (per b allele OR 1.33, 95% CI 1.11–1.59, P = 0.002) and...
was stronger in those who reported a positive family history of breast and/or ovarian cancer (per b allele OR 1.64, 95% CI 1.20–2.22, \( P = 0.002 \)). No association with breast cancer risk was detected for the FokI SNP.

**Conclusions**

The *BsmI* polymorphism in the *VDR* gene may be associated with an increased breast cancer risk in Pakistani women negative for *BRCA1/2* germline mutations.

**Introduction**

Breast cancer is the major cause of morbidity and mortality in women worldwide. In Pakistan, this disease constitutes a main public health issue as it accounts for 40% of all female cancers (Globocan 2012; [http://globocan.iarc.fr/](http://globocan.iarc.fr/)). Variants in the high and moderate penetrance breast cancer susceptibility genes *BRCA1*, *BRCA2*, *TP53*, *CHEK2* and *RAD51C* account for approximately 20% of hereditary breast cancer in Pakistan [1–4]. Data on the contribution of low-penetrance variants to the disease are lacking at present.

In recent years, a role for vitamin D in the development of breast cancer has been increasingly recognized. Evidence from several epidemiological studies confirms that low serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D3 levels—representing an integrative measure for vitamin D from diet, dietary supplements, and skin production—are associated with an increased breast cancer risk [5–7]. The effects of the active form of vitamin D, 1\( \alpha \), 25-dihydroxyvitamin D3, are mediated through the vitamin D receptor (VDR). This receptor is a ligand-dependent transcription factor that belongs to the family of nuclear receptors [8].

Activation of VDR by 1\( \alpha \), 25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 results in heterodimerization with the retinoid X receptor and binding to cognate vitamin D response elements in target genes involved in cellular differentiation, cell growth, apoptosis, inflammation, and immune modulation [9–11]. Vitamin D-liganded VDR displays anti-proliferative activities in many tumor types, as do activated members of the p53 family, through the induction of cell cycle arrest, senescence, differentiation, and apoptosis [12]. Increasing evidence supports crosstalk between the vitamin D and p53 signaling pathways. All three members of the p53 family—p53, p63, and p73—have been shown to transactivate the *VDR* gene [13,14], while VDR, in turn, regulates several genes that are also targeted by the proteins of the p53 family, including *p21*, *Bax*, *Bcl-2*, and *MDM2* [12,15,16].

Breast tumors show decreased levels of VDR expression [17], which may be due to genetic variants in the *VDR* gene. Alterations in VDR expression and activity may alter the ability of the active form of vitamin D to induce the transcription of VDR target genes. This may happen even in women who are not vitamin D deficient, and may lead to a deregulation of the uptake, metabolism, and serum level of vitamin D.

Several *VDR* variants have been identified that may influence breast cancer risk. The most frequently studied SNPs are the restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLPs) rs1544410 [18] and rs2228570 [19], which are defined by the restriction endonucleases *BsmI* and *FokI*, respectively. The *BsmI* SNP is located in intron 8 near the 3’ end of the *VDR* gene. Whether it influences the expression or activity of the VDR protein is unclear, but it is in strong linkage disequilibrium with a polyadenosine (poly(A)) microsatellite repeat in the 3’ untranslated region in Caucasians, Chinese, and Japanese-Americans [20], which may affect VDR translational activity or mRNA stability. The *FokI* site is located in the 5’ promoter region and
changes the first of the two possible translation initiation sites, resulting in VDR proteins of different lengths. The f allele is three amino acid residues longer than the F allele and is transcriptionally less active [21].

Many studies performed on Caucasian populations regarding the association of the BsmI and FokI SNPs with breast cancer risk have yielded inconsistent results [22–25]. Few studies have been conducted on Asian populations. The FokI SNP showed no associations in a large Chinese study [26] or in a small study conducted in Iran [27], while an association was found in Japanese-American women from the Hawaii-Los Angeles Multiethnic Cohort (MEC) study [28]. The BsmI SNP showed an association in the Iranian study [27] and in Japanese-American women from the MEC study [28], but not in two Chinese studies [26,29].

Given the scant and inconsistent data from Asian populations, we investigated whether the BsmI and FokI polymorphisms are associated with breast cancer risk in an Asian population from Pakistan. We genotyped 463 genetically enriched breast cancer cases and 1,012 female controls participating in the hospital-based Pakistani breast cancer case-control study (PAK-BCCC).

Materials and Methods

Ethics statement
The study was approved by the institutional review board of the Shaukat Khanum Memorial Cancer Hospital and Research Centre (SKMCH & RC) (Approval # 00-16-05-06). All participants signed informed written consent prior to providing a blood sample.

Study participants
The PAK-BCCC study is an ongoing study being conducted at the SKMCH & RC in Lahore, Pakistan. The SKMCH & RC is the leading referral and cancer treatment hospital in Lahore. It provides financially-supported treatment for more than 75% of its patients, who come from all over the country. Since the hospital is situated in the provincial capital of Punjab, a province that accounts for approximately 60% of the total Pakistani population, 74.6% of its patients are of Punjabi ethnicity (SKMCH & RC Collective Cancer Registry Report from December 1994 to December 2013; http://www.shaukatkhanum.org.pk/research/cancer-registry-and-clinical-data-management.html). From June 2001 to October 2011, 463 women with "genetically enriched" breast cancer and 1,012 controls were recruited.

"Genetically enriched" breast cancer cases were defined as those: (1) aged younger or equal 30 years at diagnosis, (2) with one first-degree or second-degree (through a male) female relative affected with breast cancer (at least one diagnosed ≤ 50 years of age), (3) with at least two female relatives affected with breast cancer (at least one diagnosed ≤ 50 years of age), or (4) with at least one ovarian cancer at any age [1]. The numbers of index cases by family history are shown in S1 Table. All cases were comprehensively screened for germline mutations in the BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes, as previously described [1]. Of these study subjects, deleterious BRCA1/2 mutations were identified in 110 cases (M.U. Rashid, unpublished data). Of these 463 breast cancer cases, 138—including 24 with BRCA1/2 mutations—have been described in the initial publication [1]. Information on histopathological tumor characteristics, including histology (ductal, lobular, mixed ductal and lobular), tumor size, lymph node status, histological grade, estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2/neu) status, was collected from medical records and pathology reports.

Female controls were enrolled simultaneously with cases when they fulfilled the following criteria: (1) age 18 years or older, (2) cancer-free, i.e. no personal history of any malignancy, and (3) no family history of breast and ovarian cancer. Controls were either attendants of patients under treatment at the hospital or visiting for non-cancer medical reasons or
comprehensive medical checkup. The health status of controls was documented by collecting information about non-cancer diseases. The majority of the controls were spouses, friends, colleagues, neighbors, or relatives of hospital-registered patients. They were contacted by a well-trained study coordinator at the waiting areas of outpatient clinics, chemotherapy bays, or inpatient departments, who informed them about the study and invited them to participate. None of the 1,012 contacted controls refused participation.

All study participants filled out a specifically designed risk factors questionnaire that included age at diagnosis (cases)/interview (controls), age at menarche, number of full-term pregnancies (FTPs), age at first FTP, history of breast feeding, duration of breast feeding, menopausal status, use of oral contraceptives (OC) or menopausal hormonal therapy (HT), body mass index (BMI), smoking, ethnicity, and family history of at least one first degree relative with breast and/or ovarian cancer.

**Extraction of genomic DNA and genotyping**

Genomic DNA was extracted from nine ml of whole blood using the Puregene™ (Gentra Systems, Minneapolis, USA) kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The extracted DNA was rehydrated in DNA hydration solution (10 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA, pH 7–8; Gentra Systems, Minneapolis, USA) and stored at -70°C until further use.

Genotyping of rs1544410 (BsmI G/b>A/B) and rs2228570 (FokI T/f>C/F) in VDR by PCR-based RFLP analysis was performed mainly according to the protocols described previously [30,31]. BsmI and FokI alleles were defined by capital letters (B = A and F = C, respectively) in the absence of the restriction site and by small letters (b = G and f = T, respectively) where the restriction site was present. PCR reactions were carried out in a 20 μl volume containing 25 ng of genomic DNA, 1x PCR buffer (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), 3.0 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 μM of each primer, 250 μM of each dNTP (Invitrogen, Carlsbad CA, USA) and 1 unit HotStarTaq DNA polymerase (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). After an initial 15 minutes at 95°C, DNA was amplified by 35 cycles of 1 minute at 94°C, 1 minute at 66°C (for BsmI) or 60°C (for FokI), 1 minute at 72°C, and a final extension step of 10 minutes at 72°C. Amplified fragments were digested with 2.5 units BsmI (Fermentas, Vilnius, Lithuania) and 1 unit FokI (Fermentas, Vilnius, Lithuania) in a total volume of 15 μl for 3 hours at 37°C and 55°C, respectively. Digested DNA fragments were separated by size on a 2% agarose gel (Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany) containing ethidium-bromide (Invitrogen, Carlsbad CA, USA) and were visualized under short-wave UV light using a Molecular Imager™ Gel Doc™ XR System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules CA, USA). DNA from individuals with the BB genotype of the BsmI appeared on the gel as single 823 bp fragment, from bb homozygotes as two fragments of 646 and 177 bp and from Bb heterozygotes as three fragments of 823, 646, and 177 bp. For the FokI, the corresponding fragments were 273 bp for FF homozygotes, 197 and 76 bp for ff homozygotes and 273, 197, and 76 bp for Ff heterozygotes (S1A and S2A Figs). Each experiment included multiple controls, including a water blank.

Genotypes were scored by two independent persons, who were blinded to the case-control status. Overall call rates for the BsmI and FokI SNPs were 97.0% and 96.8%, respectively. Concordance rates of 453/1,475 (31%) duplicate samples for BsmI and 157/1,475 (11%) samples for FokI were 100% each. Genotyping accuracy was assessed by sequencing of several samples with detected polymorphic variants using an automated 3500 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, California, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions (S1B–S1D and S2B–S2D Figs).

**Statistical analysis**

The two genotyped VDR SNPs were tested for Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) using Pearson’s Chi-square test. Associations between each SNP and overall breast cancer risk (all
cases vs. all controls) were analyzed by logistic regression adjusted for the following twelve breast cancer risk factors: age (age at diagnosis for cases, age at recruitment for controls), age at menarche, number of FTPs, age at first FTP, history of breast feeding, duration of breast feeding, menopausal status, use of OC, use of menopausal HT, BMI, smoking, and ethnicity. Additionally, subgroup analyses were carried out by stratifying cases according to \(BRCA1/2\) mutation status, family history, and menopausal status. The subgroup of cases that did not carry a \(BRCA1/2\) mutation and the subgroup of cases that did carry a \(BRCA1/2\) mutation were separately compared to the control group. The subgroups of affected \(BRCA1/2\) non-carriers with and without a family history of breast and/or ovarian cancer in first-degree relatives were also separately compared to the control group. Further, pre- and post-menopausal women, irrespective of their \(BRCA1/2\) mutation status, were compared to any control. Associations between each SNP and seven histopathological tumor characteristics—morphology, tumor size, nodal status, histological grade, ER, PR, and HER2/neu status—were investigated in cases only, using either binary, multinomial, or ordinal logistic regression and including the twelve breast cancer risk factors mentioned above as covariates. To investigate sensitivity of obtained association results all breast cancer risk analyses were additionally carried out for a) a reduced set of covariates including only those variables with an observed difference between cases and controls (\(P<0.05\)), and b) the subgroup of controls having no documented disease (cases vs. healthy controls).

In all association analyses, we considered an additive penetrance model for \(BsmI\) and \(FokI\) and defined the homozygous genotype of the more prevalent allele (BB and FF, respectively) as reference genotype. These genotypes were also used as reference group in various other studies [27,31–34]. Per allele odds ratios (ORs) and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were reported. Multiple testing was taken into account by adjusting the significance levels \(a\) posteriori using Bonferroni correction. In case of statistically significant findings for the overall breast cancer risk analysis, the penetrance models 3-genotype, recessive and dominant, were also investigated. All penetrance models were then compared based on Akaike’s information criterion (AIC). Statistical analyses were performed using SAS software, version 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Results

Characteristics of the study participants

The present study included 463 genetically enriched breast cancer cases and 1,012 controls from Pakistan, recruited at the SKMCH & RC. Breast cancer cases were diagnosed at a median age of 30 years (range 19–73 years). The median age of controls at study entry was 34 years (range 18–78 years). Of the controls, 74.3% were healthy, 5.9% suffered from hypertension, 4.4% from allergy, 2.2% from diabetes mellitus, 2.2% from asthma, and 1.9% from both hypertension and diabetes mellitus. The remaining 7.9% of controls suffered from uncommon diseases, which occurred in 1% or less of the controls. The health status was unknown for 1.2%.

Comparison of the distribution of selected clinical and epidemiological parameters between cases and controls revealed significant differences in age, number of FTPs, and duration of breast feeding (two-sided Chi-square test, \(P<0.0001\)). A difference was also found in age at menarche (\(P = 0.04\)), age at first FTP (\(P = 0.03\)), menopausal status (\(P = 0.02\)), and ethnicity (\(P = 0.04\)). The characteristics of the PAK-BCCC study participants are shown in Table 1.

Association of the VDR SNPs with breast cancer risk

We genotyped the \(BsmI\) and \(FokI\) SNPs in the PAK-BCCC study population. Among the controls the frequency of the minor f allele of the \(FokI\) and the b allele of the \(BsmI\) was 0.21 and
Table 1. Characteristics of the PAK-BCCC study participants.

| Characteristic                          | Cases (N = 463) n (%) | Controls (N = 1,012) n (%) | P-value* |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|----------|
| Age (years)2                           |                       |                            | <0.0001  |
| <20                                    | 4 (0.9)               | 18 (1.8)                   |          |
| 20–29                                  | 211 (45.6)            | 342 (33.8)                 |          |
| 30–39                                  | 133 (28.7)            | 282 (27.9)                 |          |
| 40–49                                  | 72 (15.6)             | 222 (21.9)                 |          |
| 50–59                                  | 32 (6.9)              | 111 (11.0)                 |          |
| ≥60                                    | 11 (2.4)              | 30 (3.0)                   |          |
| Unknown                                | 0 (0)                 | 7 (0.7)                    |          |
| Age at menarche (years)                |                       |                            | 0.04     |
| <12                                    | 18 (3.9)              | 57 (5.6)                   |          |
| 12                                     | 92 (19.9)             | 181 (17.9)                 |          |
| 13                                     | 162 (35.0)            | 300 (29.6)                 |          |
| ≥14                                    | 176 (38.0)            | 451 (44.6)                 |          |
| Unknown                                | 15 (3.2)              | 23 (2.3)                   |          |
| No. of FTPs                            |                       |                            | <0.0001  |
| 0                                      | 129 (27.9)            | 323 (31.9)                 |          |
| 1                                      | 56 (12.1)             | 56 (5.5)                   |          |
| 2                                      | 76 (16.4)             | 105 (10.4)                 |          |
| 3                                      | 93 (20.1)             | 159 (15.7)                 |          |
| ≥4                                     | 105 (22.7)            | 361 (35.7)                 |          |
| Unknown                                | 4 (0.9)               | 8 (0.8)                    |          |
| Age at first FTP (years)               |                       |                            | 0.03     |
| Nulliparous                            | 129 (27.9)            | 323 (31.9)                 |          |
| <20                                    | 63 (13.6)             | 187 (18.5)                 |          |
| 20–24                                  | 144 (31.1)            | 251 (24.8)                 |          |
| 25–29                                  | 101 (21.8)            | 190 (18.8)                 |          |
| ≥30                                    | 20 (4.3)              | 47 (4.6)                   |          |
| Unknown                                | 6 (1.3)               | 14 (1.4)                   |          |
| History of breast feeding              |                       |                            | 0.52     |
| Ever                                   | 311 (67.2)            | 649 (64.1)                 |          |
| Never                                  | 147 (31.8)            | 352 (34.8)                 |          |
| Unknown                                | 5 (1.1)               | 11 (1.1)                   |          |
| Duration of breast feeding (months)    |                       |                            | <0.0001  |
| Never                                  | 147 (31.8)            | 352 (34.8)                 |          |
| <12                                    | 46 (9.9)              | 58 (5.7)                   |          |
| 12–23                                  | 49 (10.6)             | 67 (6.6)                   |          |
| ≥24                                    | 208 (44.9)            | 524 (51.8)                 |          |
| Unknown                                | 13 (2.8)              | 11 (1.1)                   |          |
| Menopausal status                      |                       |                            | 0.02     |
| Pre-menopausal                         | 349 (75.4)            | 825 (81.5)                 |          |
| Post-menopausal                        | 107 (23.1)            | 178 (17.6)                 |          |
| Unknown                                | 7 (1.6)               | 9 (0.9)                    |          |
| OC use                                 |                       |                            | 0.22     |
| Ever                                   | 40 (8.6)              | 118 (11.7)                 |          |
| Never                                  | 419 (90.5)            | 885 (87.5)                 |          |
| Unknown                                | 4 (0.9)               | 9 (0.9)                    |          |

(Continued)
The frequency of the f allele of the FokI was similar to that reported in the Punjabi population of the 1000 Genomes Project, while the frequency of the b allele of the BsmI was lower (0.20 and 0.56, respectively) [35]. The distribution of genotypes in the control group was consistent with HWE for the FokI SNP ($P = 0.466$), but deviated from HWE for the BsmI SNP in the whole control group, in the subgroup of only healthy controls, and in the subgroup of controls of only Punjabi ethnicity ($P < 0.0001$) (Table 2). Our study had 80% power to identify ORs of 1.45 and 1.37, assuming a minor allele frequency (MAF) of 0.21 for FokI and 0.48 for BsmI, respectively (two-sided Chi-square test, $\alpha = 0.05$).

The b allele of the BsmI was associated with an increased risk of breast cancer (per b allele OR 1.28, 95% CI 1.09–1.49, $P = 0.003$) (Table 3). The additive penetrance model was the best representation for this association (additive model AIC = 1713, 3-genotype model AIC = 1715, recessive model AIC = 1716, dominant model AIC = 1716). Stratified analyses by BRCA1/2 status revealed a marginally stronger association in cases that did not carry a BRCA1/2 mutation (per b allele OR 1.33, 95% CI 1.11–1.59, $P = 0.002$), but no association in BRCA1/2 mutation carriers (Table 3). Further analyses stratified by family history and menopausal status showed that the association of the BsmI SNP with breast cancer risk in BRCA1/2 non-carriers was maintained in patients with no family history of breast and/or ovarian cancer (per b allele OR 1.27, 95% CI 1.02–1.56, $P = 0.03$) and in those who were premenopausal (per b allele OR 1.25, 95% CI 1.03–1.54, $P = 0.03$) and was further increased in patients with a positive family history.

### Table 1. (Continued)

| Characteristic | Cases (N = 463) n (%) | Controls (N = 1,012) n (%) | $P$-value$^1$ |
|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| HT use         |                       |                             | 0.84          |
| Ever           | 3 (0.7)               | 9 (0.9)                     |               |
| Never          | 455 (98.3)            | 994 (98.2)                  |               |
| Unknown        | 5 (1.1)               | 9 (0.9)                     |               |
| BMI (kg/m²)    |                       |                             | 0.17          |
| Underweight (<18.5) | 21 (4.5)  | 69 (6.8)                    |               |
| Normal (18.5–24.9) | 213 (46.0) | 446 (44.1)                  |               |
| Overweight (25–29.9) | 126 (29.2) | 299 (29.5)                  |               |
| Obese (≥30)    | 82 (17.7)             | 169 (16.7)                  |               |
| Unknown        | 21 (4.5)              | 29 (2.9)                    |               |
| Smoking        |                       |                             | 0.39          |
| Ever           | 8 (1.7)               | 12 (1.2)                    |               |
| Never          | 448 (96.8)            | 991 (97.9)                  |               |
| Unknown        | 7 (1.5)               | 9 (0.9)                     |               |
| Ethnicity      |                       |                             | 0.04          |
| Punjabi        | 337 (72.8)            | 781 (77.2)                  |               |
| Pathan         | 60 (13.0)             | 93 (9.2)                    |               |
| Urdu speaking  | 21 (4.5)              | 46 (4.5)                    |               |
| Kashmiri       | 17 (3.7)              | 18 (1.8)                    |               |
| Other          | 23 (5.0)              | 67 (6.5)                    |               |
| Unknown        | 5 (1.1)               | 7 (0.7)                     |               |

FTP: full term pregnancy, OC: oral contraceptives, HT: hormone therapy, BMI: body mass index.

$^1$Probability value based on a two-sided Chi-square test. $P$-values below 0.05 are marked in bold.

$^2$Age at diagnosis for cases or age at recruitment for controls.

---

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0141562.t001
of breast and/or ovarian cancer (per b allele OR 1.64, 95% CI 1.20–2.22, \( P = 0.002 \)) and in those who were postmenopausal (per b allele OR 1.59, 95% CI 0.98–2.56, \( P = 0.06 \)) (Table 4).

No association of the FokI SNP was noted in overall breast cancer risk analysis or in the stratified analyses (Tables 3 and 4). Sensitivity analyses including a reduced covariate model (Tables 3 and 4) and only healthy individuals as controls (S2 and S3 Tables) revealed similar effects of the FokI and BsmI SNPs, which confirmed breast cancer association results.

Bonferroni correction for multiple testing (global significance level divided by the number of performed tests in association analyses: 0.05/14) resulted in an adjusted significance level of 0.004. Hence, the association of the BsmI SNP with: i) overall breast cancer risk, ii) breast cancer risk in BRCA1/2 non-carriers, and iii) breast cancer risk in BRCA1/2 non-carriers with a positive family history of breast and/or ovarian cancer, reached statistical significance.

VDR SNPs and histopathological characteristics of breast tumors

Table 5 shows the distribution of cases according to the available tumor characteristics. The majority of cases presented with a grade 3 breast tumor (64.4%) of ductal histology (85.1%), negative for ER (53.8%), PR (53.3%), and HER2/neu (60.7%) expression and with a positive lymph node status (51%).

In order to analyze whether the two VDR SNPs affect tumor progression, BsmI and FokI genotypes were correlated with histopathological tumor characteristics (S4 Table). No association was observed for any of the investigated tumor parameters.

Discussion

This is the first large study that assessed associations of low-penetrance variants with breast cancer risk in Pakistan. Associations of the BsmI and FokI SNPs in the VDR gene with breast cancer risk and histopathological tumor parameters were examined in a data set from women participating in a large hospital-based PAK-BCCC study that included 463 genetically enriched breast cancer cases and 1,012 controls. While no association with breast cancer risk was observed for the FokI SNP, the b allele of the BsmI was associated with a 33% increase in breast cancer risk in BRCA1/2 non-carriers and a 64% increase in BRCA1/2 non-carriers with a family history of breast and/or ovarian cancer. Inclusion of only healthy controls in the association...
analysis yielded a similar association signal implying that the inclusion of controls affected with VDR-related diseases did not confound our results and data interpretation.

To date, few studies with at least 100 cases and 100 controls have assessed associations of the FokI and/or BsmI SNP(s) with breast cancer risk in Asians. For the FokI SNP, no associations were reported in a large study that included 2,919 cases and 2,323 controls from China [26] and another small study on 140 cases and 156 controls from Iran [27], which is in line with the results of the present study. Our results are also consistent with those obtained for other ethnicities, such as African-Americans [28,36] and Hispanics [28,33,37]. Contrasting results were obtained in another study among Japanese-American women from the MEC study, including 401 cases and 405 controls, which showed an association of the Ff genotype with an increased breast cancer risk [28]. In Caucasians, the Ff genotype was also associated with an increased risk of breast cancer and cancer at any site, in several single studies and comprehensive meta-analyses [22,24,25].

For the BsmI SNP, no associations were found in the Chinese study conducted by Dorjgochoo and colleagues [26] and in another small Chinese study on 146 cases and 320 controls [29]. In contrast, in Japanese-American women from the MEC study, the B allele was associated with a decreased breast cancer risk [28], while in the Iranian study, the b allele was associated with an increased breast cancer risk [27]. Our study, performed on a large number of cases and controls from the Pakistani population, confirmed the positive association between the b allele of the BsmI and the increased risk of developing breast cancer. This association remained statistically significant after correction for multiple testing. This effect was restricted to the subgroup of BRCA1/2 non-carriers, indicating that the biological mechanisms underlying the association of the BsmI SNP with breast cancer risk may be subverted in BRCA1/2.

Table 3. Estimated ORs for the association of FokI and BsmI SNPs with breast cancer by BRCA1/2 status (complete and reduced covariate model).

| Genotype/Allele | Controls (N = 1,012) | Cases | Any BRCA1/2 status (N = 463) | BRCA1/2 non-carriers (N = 353) | BRCA1/2 carriers (N = 110) |
|-----------------|---------------------|-------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
|                 | n (%)               | OR (95% CI) | P-value | n (%)               | OR (95% CI) | P-value | n (%)               | OR (95% CI) | P-value |
| FokI (F>f)      |                     |         |         |                   |         |         |                   |         |        |
| FF              | 630 (62.3)          | 0.99 (0.80–1.23) | 0.94   | 218 (61.8)         | 0.97 (0.77–1.23) | 0.82   | 66 (60.0)         | 1.02 (0.71–1.48) | 0.90   |
| Ff              | 332 (32.8)          | 0.98 (0.80–1.21) | 0.88   | 119 (33.7)         | 0.97 (0.77–1.22) | 0.80   | 40 (36.4)         | 1.03 (0.72–1.48) | 0.87   |
| ff              | 50 (4.9)            |          |         |                   |         |         |                   |         |        |
| BsmI (B>b)      |                     |         |         |                   |         |         |                   |         |        |
| BB              | 320 (31.6)          | 1.28 (1.09–1.49) | 0.003  | 89 (25.2)          | 1.33 (1.11–1.59) | 0.002  | 29 (26.4)         | 1.18 (0.89–1.54) | 0.26   |
| Bb              | 408 (40.3)          | 1.25 (1.08–1.47) | 0.004  | 141 (39.9)         | 1.29 (1.09–1.54) | 0.003  | 48 (43.6)         | 1.16 (0.88–1.54) | 0.27   |
| bb              | 284 (28.1)          |          |         |                   |         |         |                   |         |        |

1 Complete model: Odds ratios (ORs) with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) adjusted for age, age at menarche, number of FTPs, age at first FTP, history of breast feeding, duration of breast feeding, menopausal status, OC use, HT use, BMI, smoking and ethnicity.

2 Reduced model: ORs with corresponding 95% CIs adjusted for age, age at menarche, number of FTPs, age at first FTP, duration of breast feeding, menopausal status and ethnicity.

3 Probability value based on logistic regression and an additive penetrance model. P-values below 0.05 are marked in bold.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0141562.t003
mutation carriers. Individual variants are expected to show stronger effects in familial, genetically enriched cases [38]. Here, we found that the association of the b allele of the \( BsmI \) with breast cancer risk was further increased in \( BRCA1/2 \) non-carriers who reported a positive family history of breast and/or ovarian cancer.

Varying results for the associations of the \( BsmI \) SNP and breast cancer risk were reported in other ethnic populations. One Hispanic study showed an association of the B allele with an increased breast cancer risk [37], whereas other recent studies reported no associations [28,33]. In Caucasians, associations of the BB genotype with a decreased breast cancer were reported [39,40], while no associations were found in the largest single study performed so far or in recent meta-analyses [23,25,28]. An association of the Bb and BB genotype with a decreased cancer risk for any site was observed in a recent comprehensive meta-analysis by Raimondi and colleagues [23]. No similar association was observed in African-Americans [28,36]. Results of these studies were inconsistent, which possibly may reflect the limited sample size of several of these studies and heterogeneity in the study designs, including the different ethnicities of the study populations.

In the control group in the present study, we observed a deviation from the expected HWE for the \( BsmI \) SNP, but not the \( FokI \) SNP. Deviation from HWE could be attributed to a number

| Genotype | Controls (N = 1,012) | Without family history (N = 247) | With a family history1 (N = 106) | Cases | Premenopausal (N = 267) | Postmenopausal (N = 80) |
|----------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------|------------------------|------------------------|
|          | n (%)               | n (%) OR (95% CI)3 | P-value4                  | n (%) | n (%) OR (95% CI)3 | P-value4                  |
|          |                      |                   |                                |       |                       |                                |
| FokI (F>F) |                    |                   |                                |       |                       |                                |
| FF       | 630 (62.3)          | 154 (62.4) 0.94 (0.71–1.24)2 | 0.65 | 64 (60.4) 1.01 (0.69–1.48)3 | 0.97 | 167 (62.6) 0.94 (0.72–1.24)2 | 0.67 | 46 (57.5) 0.96 (0.52–1.78)2 |
| Ff       | 332 (32.8)          | 80 (32.4) 0.94 (0.71–1.22)3 | 0.63 | 39 (36.8) 1.01 (0.69–1.48)3 | 0.97 | 91 (34.1) 0.92 (0.71–1.20)3 | 0.55 | 28 (35.0) 1.04 (0.61–1.78)3 |
| ff       | 50 (4.9)            | 13 (5.3) 3 (2.8) | 0.63 | 9 (3.4) | 6 (7.5) |

| Bsml (B>b) |           |                   |                                |       |                       |                                |
| BB         | 320 (31.6) | 66 (26.7) 1.27 (1.02–1.56)2 | 0.03 | 23 (21.7) 1.64 (1.20–2.22)3 | 0.002 | 67 (25.1) 1.25 (1.03–1.54)2 | 0.03 | 19 (23.8) 1.59 (0.98–2.56)2 |
| Bb         | 408 (40.3) | 100 (40.5) 1.23 (1.01–1.52)3 | 0.05 | 41 (38.7) 1.59 (1.18–2.13)3 | 0.003 | 105 (39.3) 1.22 (1.01–1.47)3 | 0.04 | 34 (42.5) 1.61 (1.03–2.56)3 |
| bb         | 284 (28.1) | 81 (32.8) 42 (39.6) | 0.04 | 95 (35.6) | 27 (33.7) |

1Family history of breast and/or ovarian cancer in first-degree relatives.
2Complete model: Odds ratios (ORs) with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) adjusted for age, age at menarche, number of FTPs, age at first FTP, history of breast feeding, duration of breast feeding, menopausal status, OC use, HT use, BMI, smoking and ethnicity.
3Reduced model: ORs with corresponding 95% CIs adjusted for age, age at menarche, no. of FTPs, age at first FTP, duration of breast feeding, menopausal status and ethnicity.
4Probability value based on logistic regression and an additive penetrance model. P-values below 0.05 are marked in bold.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0141562.t004
of factors, including genotype misclassification, chance, undetected ethnic diversity, inbreeding caused by consanguinity, and non-random-mating, selection, or migration [41]. \textit{BsmI} genotype misclassification is unlikely to cause departure from HWE in the present study, as genotyping was done while blinded to the case-control status, the concordance rate of 31% of the duplicate samples was 100%, and the three genotypes were confirmed in some samples using bidirectional DNA sequencing as a second genotyping method. Departure from HWE was also observed when only controls of Punjabi ethnicity, constituting the largest ethnic group, were considered. This may be due to unrecognized population stratification since the Punjabis

Table 5. Histopathological parameters of the breast tumors of the cases participating in the PAK-BCCC study.

| Characteristics       | Cases (N = 463) n (%) |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| **Histological type** |                       |
| Ductal                | 394 (85.1)            |
| Lobular               | 13 (2.8)              |
| Ductolobular          | 9 (1.9)               |
| Other                 | 22 (4.8)              |
| Unknown               | 25 (5.4)              |
| **Tumor size**        |                       |
| T0                    | 5 (1.1)               |
| T1                    | 70 (15.1)             |
| T2                    | 199 (43.0)            |
| T3                    | 70 (15.1)             |
| T4                    | 7 (1.5)               |
| Unknown               | 112 (24.2)            |
| **Nodal status**      |                       |
| N0                    | 176 (38.0)            |
| ≥N1                   | 236 (51.0)            |
| Unknown               | 51 (11.0)             |
| **Histological grade**|                       |
| G1                    | 3 (0.6)               |
| G2                    | 102 (22.0)            |
| G3                    | 298 (64.4)            |
| Unknown               | 60 (13.0)             |
| **ER status**         |                       |
| Negative              | 249 (53.8)            |
| Positive              | 181 (39.1)            |
| Unknown               | 33 (7.1)              |
| **PR status**         |                       |
| Negative              | 247 (53.3)            |
| Positive              | 182 (39.3)            |
| Unknown               | 34 (7.3)              |
| **HER2/neu status**   |                       |
| Negative              | 281 (60.7)            |
| Positive              | 128 (27.6)            |
| Unknown               | 54 (11.7)             |

ER: estrogen receptor, PR: progesterone receptor, HER2/neu: human epidermal growth factor receptor 2.
represent an ethnically diverse population comprising the local Punjabis, immigrants from Indian Punjab who settled in Pakistan after the partition of the Indian subcontinent in 1947 and invaders, who also passed through Punjab in the past 2,000 years and mixed with previous settlers [42]. No evidence came to light that departure from HWE was due to inclusion of affected controls, since it remained in the subgroup of healthy controls. Deviation from HWE is unlikely to be due to inclusion of related study participants, since relatedness of all study participants was examined by pedigree analysis and surname comparison. For individuals with identical surnames, the names of the parents, the names and numbers of siblings and aunts/uncles, and the home addresses were additionally checked in order to identify related individuals. A reason for the observed departure from HWE in the Pakistani study may be inbreeding by consanguinity. Pakistan has one of the highest rates of consanguinity in the world [http://www.consang.net/index.php/Global_prevalence]. The overall frequency of consanguineous marriages, mainly first cousin marriages, ranges from 56% to 66.4% [43–45]. Notably, deviation from HWE for the BsmI SNP in controls has also been previously observed in other association studies conducted in Caucasian and African-American populations [28,31,46].

The present study has a number of strengths. We used genetically enriched cases, which are more likely to carry at-risk alleles than are unselected breast cancer patients. We also used at least two controls per case, which improves the power of the study [38]. Moreover, knowledge of the BRCA1/2 mutation status allowed risk estimates in homogenous patient groups of BRCA1/2 carriers and non-carriers.

The study has certain limitations. It is a hospital-based case-control study, which may have introduced some selection bias. The potential for some survival bias also existed, since only 73% of cases were recruited within the first year of diagnosis. Furthermore, we did not investigate the potential modifying effects of environmental factors, such as dietary factors, on the observed association of the BsmI SNP and breast cancer risk. We also did not measure vitamin D serum levels to examine interactions between vitamin D levels and VDR SNPs in relation to breast cancer risk. A high prevalence of vitamin D deficiency has been reported in Pakistani women [47], newly diagnosed Pakistani breast cancer patients [48], and Pakistani immigrants living in Norway [49] and the UK [50]. Vitamin D deficiency is associated with several diseases, including hypertension, allergy, and diabetes mellitus [51–53]. In the present study, 16% of the controls suffered from vitamin D deficiency-related diseases. However, this is unlikely to have influenced our results, as genotype frequencies in the whole control group did not differ from those of the subgroup of healthy controls for both VDR SNPs. Another limitation of the study is that we did not investigate candidate gene variants in other genes of the vitamin D pathway, such as those encoding vitamin D binding protein (DBP) or enzymes involved in vitamin D activation and degradation (CYP2R1, CYP27B1, CYP27A1, and CYP24A1) [54,55], which could also be associated with breast cancer risk.

In conclusion, our study provides evidence that the b allele of the BsmI in the VDR gene may be associated with an increased breast cancer risk in Pakistani women who are negative for BRCA1/2 germline mutations. Future large studies in Asia are warranted to validate our findings.
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