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Introduction

Peritoneal dialysis (PD) is becoming a more popular choice of renal replacement therapy (RRT) not only owing to its renal protective effects\(^{[1,2]}\) but also conferring patient empowerment and autonomy\(^{[3,4]}\). Despite improvements in insertion techniques and catheter designs, catheter-related infection continues to be a great source of morbidity and remains a barrier to a successful PD program. This includes peritonitis, exit site infection (ESI) as well as tunnel tract infections (TTI).

While a lot of attention is being spent on the natural history and causative organisms in peritonitis, ESI and TTI impose a similar threat to a PD program. Understanding the microbial spectrum of organisms that occur within these catheter-related infections is important as geographical variations are common worldwide. Therefore, developing a guideline based on local epidemiological and microbial data will empower health-care workers to successfully treat these infections.

Existing evidence suggested no difference in infectious complications between different types of catheters.\(^{[5-8]}\) However, most of these data are limited by its retrospective nature and small sample size. Furthermore, there is a lack of data comparing the microbial spectrum between the two types of catheter. In this study, we evaluated the different catheter-related infections in PD patients as well as its microbiological characteristics in our center as a means of continuous quality improvement to strengthen our center’s success in PD programs.
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Abstract

Background: Catheter-related infections remain a threat in peritoneal dialysis (PD) patients. Attempts to improve catheter insertion techniques and catheter type with best infectious outcomes yield heterogenous results. We seek to determine catheter-related infections in two different types of catheters and its microbiological spectrum. Methods: Retrospective cross-sectional study conducted in Hospital Serdang, Malaysia. We included end-stage renal disease (ESRD) patients who opted for PD and examined catheter-related infections (peritonitis, exit site infection, and tunnel tract infection) and organisms causing these infections. Results: We included 126 patients in this study; 75 patients received the coiled PD catheter (59.5%) and 51 patients received the straight PD catheter (40.5%). The majority of patients were young, under the age of 65 years old (77.3% and 72.5%) in the coiled and straight PD catheter group, respectively, and the main cause of ESRD was diabetes mellitus in both groups (78.7% vs. 92.2%). The demographic and anthropometric data were similar between both groups. Peritonitis rate (0.29 episodes/patient-years vs. 0.31 episodes/patient-years, \(P = 0.909\)), exit site infection rate (0.31 episodes/patient-year vs. 0.37 episodes/patient-year, \(P = 0.730\)), and tunnel tract infection rate (0.02 episodes/patient-year, \(P = 0.430\)) were similar in the coiled versus straight PD catheter groups. The predominant organism causing peritonitis was the gram-negative organism; Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae. In exit site and tunnel tract infections, there is a predominance of gram-negative organisms; Pseudomonas aeruginosa and K. pneumoniae. Conclusions: There was no difference in infectious outcomes between the two different types of catheters. Type of organism in both groups was gram-negative.
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Method

This is a retrospective study conducted in Hospital Serdang, Malaysia, which is a tertiary center that specializes in Nephrology. We included 126 end-stage renal disease (ESRD) patients who required catheter insertion for PD between August 2008 and December 2010. They were assigned to receive a coiled PD catheter versus a straight PD catheter based on the discretion of treating nephrologist during initial assessment according to patients’ suitability. The incidence of catheter-related infections which includes peritonitis, ESI, and TTI for these patients were collected in the period of 124 months, from August 1, 2008 to December 30, 2018.

All PD catheters were inserted by a nephrologist using the peritoneoscopic method under conscious sedation, where intravenous (IV) sedation and analgesia such as midazolam and fentanyl are given during the procedure. The catheters utilized were doubled cuffed, coiled, and straight PD catheters at 57.5 cm and 47 cm, respectively. Standard catheter care with mupirocin cream and povidone iodine were employed and IV cefazolin was given as prophylactic antibiotics. Ambulatory PD was delayed for at least 2 weeks after insertion.

A standardized data collection sheet to record patient details, comorbidities as well as the occurrence of infection (peritonitis, ESI, and TTI) was used, and data were retrieved from our computerized system by trained medical personnel. The primary outcome of this study was catheter-related infections in PD patients, which include peritonitis, ESI, and TTI infection rates. We also studied the causative organism causing these infections to examine whether the type of catheter causes different microbiological characteristics in our patients.

Peritonitis was defined as presence of at least two out of three criteria: (i) signs and symptoms of peritonitis, (ii) cloudy dialysate with white cell count of 100/µL, or (iii) demonstration of organism by PD fluid culture. A peritonitis that occurred within 4 weeks of the previous episode of peritonitis was considered a relapse, thus not classified as a new infection. ESI was the presence of purulent discharge, with or without erythema of the skin at the catheter-epidermal interface. TTI was the presence of clinical inflammation or ultrasonographic evidence of collection along the catheter tunnel. If the diagnosis of peritonitis was fulfilled, PD fluid sample was taken and sent to the laboratory for culture and sensitivity. For ESI and TTI, a swab and blood sample were taken and sent to the laboratory for examination of microbiological spectrum and organism sensitivity.

Inclusion criteria were all patients above the age of 18 with a diagnosis of ESRD who opted for PD (continuous ambulatory PD and automated PD). Patients who had a PD catheter for intermittent PD while awaiting vascular access or those who were referred from another center were excluded. This study was reviewed and approved by the Medical Research and Ethics Committee of Health Ministry of Malaysia (NMRR-18-865-41205) on July 12, 2018.

Data entry and analyses were done using SPSS version 20, on an intention-to-treat basis. Numerical variables were checked for normality distribution, and appropriate measures of central dispersion were used to describe the data. It was presented in mean (SD), and independent t-test was used to compare means of two groups. Categorical variables were presented in frequencies and percentages. Chi-square test was used to examine associations. A p value of <0.05 level of significance was considered.

Results

Patient characteristics

We included 126 patients in this study; 75 patients received the coiled PD catheter (59.5%) and 51 patients received the straight PD catheter (40.5%). The majority of patients were young under the age of 65 years old (77.3% and 72.5%) in the coiled and straight PD catheter group, respectively. The mean age between the two groups was similar, 49.9 ± 16.79 years in the coiled PD catheter group and 53.4 ± 14.67 years in the straight PD catheter group (P-value = 0.173). In both groups, the majority of patients were male (53.3% vs. 56.9%, P value = 0.696). The anthropometry data were similar between both coiled and straight PD catheter group. The main cause of ESRD was diabetes mellitus in both groups (78.7% vs. 92.2%, P value = 0.049). Table 1 represents the patients’ demographical characteristics.

Peritonitis and causative organisms

In the coiled PD catheter group, the peritonitis rate was 0.29 episodes/patient-years and in the straight PD catheter group the peritonitis rate was 0.31 episodes/patient-years (P-value = 0.909). There were 48 episodes of peritonitis in the coiled PD catheter group and 41 episodes of peritonitis in the straight PD catheter group [Table 2]. In the coiled PD catheter group, gram-negative organisms were grown in 22 isolates (45.8%), most commonly E. coli, accounting for 16.7%. Eleven episodes (22.9%) grew gram-positive organisms, the most common isolate was Methicillin Sensitive Staphylococcus aureus accounting for 6.3%. There were two episodes of fungal peritonitis (4.2%), growing mostly Candida albicans (C. albicans). Thirteen episodes (27.1%) were culture negative peritonitis [Figure 1a]. In the straight PD catheter group, the proportion of gram-positive and gram-negative organisms causing peritonitis was similar, 16 episodes each (39%). However, the most common gram-negative organism was K. pneumoniae, accounting for seven (17.1%) while the most common gram-positive organism was methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus epidermidis, accounting
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Table 1: Patient demographical characteristics

|               | Coiled (n=75) | Straight (n=51) | P  |
|---------------|---------------|----------------|----|
| Age (years)   | 49.4(16.79)   | 53.4(14.67)    | 0.173 |
| Male          | 40            | 29             | 0.696 |
| Race          |               |                |     |
| Malay         | 42            | 31             | 0.034 |
| Chinese       | 21            | 19             |     |
| Indian        | 12            | 1              |     |
| Height (cm)   | 157.2(10.88)  | 158.6(9.5)     | 0.438 |
| Weight (kg)   | 58.0(14.10)   | 60.4(12.49)    | 0.349 |
| Body mass index (kg/m²) | 23.18(5.32) | 23.1(4.02)    | 0.946 |
| Cause of ESRD |               |                |     |
| Diabetes mellitus | 59          | 47             | 0.049 |
| Hypertension  | 3             | 2              | 1.000 |
| Glomerulonephritis | 10       | 0              | 0.006 |
| Obstructive uropathy | 1        | 1              | 1.000 |
| Unknown       | 1             | 1              | 1.000 |

Table 2: The causative organisms causing peritonitis in both groups

| Organism                          | Coiled n (%) | Straight n (%) |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| No growth (NG)                    | 13 (27)      | 7 (17.1)       |
| MSSA (GP)                         | 3            | 2              |
| MRSA (GP)                         | 1            | 2              |
| MRSE (GP)                         | 2            | 4              |
| Staphylococcus coagulase negative (GP) | 0            | 1              |
| Streptococcus pneumoniae (GP)     | 0            | 1              |
| Streptococcus pyogenes (GP)       | 0            | 1              |
| Streptococcus viridans (GP)       | 1            | 2              |
| Streptococcus bovis (GP)          | 1            | 0              |
| Streptococcus agalactiae (GP)     | 1            | 0              |
| Streptococcus salivarius (GP)     | 0            | 1              |
| Streptococcus parasanguinis (GP)  | 0            | 1              |
| Group B Streptococcus (GP)        | 1            | 1              |
| Bacillus cereus (GP)              | 1            | 0              |
| Klebsiella pneumoniae (GN)        | 1            | 7              |
| Klebsiella ozaenae (GN)           | 1            | 0              |
| ESBL Klebsiella pneumoniae (GN)   | 1            | 1              |
| Escherichia coli (GN)             | 8            | 4              |
| Enterobacter cloacae (GN)         | 1            | 0              |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa (GN)       | 1            | 3              |
| Burkholderia pseudomallei (GN)    | 1            | 0              |
| Serratia marcescens (GN)          | 2            | 1              |
| Proteus mirabilis (GN)            | 1            | 0              |
| Citrobacter freundii (GN)         | 2            | 0              |
| Citrobacter kaferii (GN)          | 1            | 0              |
| Acinetobacter baumannii (GN)      | 1            | 0              |
| Shewanella putrefaciens (GN)      | 1            | 0              |
| Candida albicans (fungal)         | 2            | 2              |
| Total NG                          | 13 (27.1)    | 7 (17.1)       |
| Total GP                          | 11 (22.9)    | 16 (39)        |
| Total GN                          | 22 (45.8)    | 16 (39)        |
| Total fungal                      | 2 (4.2)      | 2 (4.9)        |
| Total episodes                    | 48 (100)     | 41 (100)       |

NG-no growth, GN-gram-negative, GP-gram-positive, MSSA-methicillin-sensitive Staphylococcus aureus, MRSA-methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus, MRSE-methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus epidermidis

Exit site infections and Tunnel tract infections

The ESI rate was similar between both groups, 0.31 episodes/patient-year in the coiled PD catheter group and 0.37 episodes/patient-year in the straight PD catheter group (P-value = 0.730). However, the number of surgical interventions (deroofing of ESI) required in the coiled PD catheter group was higher than in the straight PD catheter group, 16 (31.4%) versus 8 (10.7%), respectively (P-value = 0.005). In both groups, we observed higher episodes of gram-negative organisms, 21 (51.2%) versus 20 (52.6%) in the coiled and straight PD catheter groups, respectively [Figure 2a and 2b]. The most common gram-negative organism was P. aeruginosa followed by K. pneumoniae. There was no fungal organism isolated in the straight PD catheter group, while the coiled PD catheter group has one episode (2.4%) of fungal organism, which grew C. albicans. There were 10 episodes (24.4%) versus 8 episodes (21.1%) of culture-negative ESI in the coiled and straight PD catheter group, respectively [Table 3].

The rate of TTI was similar between both groups, 0.02 episodes/patient-year (P-value = 0.430). The majority of TTIs were caused by gram-negative organisms, 2 (50%) in each group. Table 4 shows the causative organisms causing TTI in both groups.

Discussion

The most common catheter-related complication is attributed to infections, where peritonitis accounts for 61%, while ESI and TTI make up 23%. This is not surprising...
Table 3: The causative organisms causing ESI in both groups

| Organism                      | Coiled n (%) | Straight n (%) |
|-------------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| No growth (NG)                | 10 (24.4)    | 8 (21.1)       |
| MSSA (GP)                     | 5            | 4              |
| MRSA (GP)                     | 1            | 0              |
| MRSE (GP)                     | 3            | 3              |
| *Enterococcus faecalis* (GP)  | 0            | 1              |
| *Micrococcus luteus* (GP)     | 0            | 1              |
| GPC (GP)                      | 0            | 1              |
| *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (GN)  | 5            | 2              |
| *Escherichia coli* (GN)       | 0            | 3              |
| ESBL *Escherichia coli* (GN)  | 1            | 0              |
| *Enterobacter cloacae* (GN)   | 1            | 1              |
| *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (GN) | 7            | 7              |
| *Pseudomonas putida* (GN)     | 0            | 1              |
| *Burkholderia cepacia* (GN)   | 0            | 1              |
| *Serratia marcescens* (GN)    | 2            | 1              |
| *Proteus mirabilis* (GN)      | 1            | 0              |
| *Citrobacter freundii* (GN)   | 0            | 1              |
| *Acinetobacter baumannii* (GN)| 1            | 1              |
| *Providencia rettgeri* (GN)   | 0            | 1              |
| *Sphingomonas paucimobilis* (GN)| 1            | 0              |
| *Morganella morganii* (GN)    | 1            | 0              |
| GNR (GN)                      | 1            | 1              |
| *Candida albicans* (fungal)   | 1            | 0              |
| Total NG                      | 10 (24.4)    | 8 (21.1)       |
| Total GP                      | 9 (22)       | 10 (26.3)      |
| Total GN                      | 21 (51.2)    | 20 (52.6)      |
| Total fungal                  | 1 (2.4)      | 0 (0)          |
| Total episodes                | 41 (100)     | 38 (100)       |

NG-no growth, GN-gram-negative, GP-gram-positive, MSSA-methicillin-sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus*, MRSA-methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, MRSE-methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, GNR-gram-negative rod, GPC-gram-positive cocci

Table 4: The causative organisms causing TTI in both groups

| Organism                      | Coiled n | Straight n |
|-------------------------------|----------|------------|
| No growth (NG)                | 1        | 1          |
| MSSA (GP)                     | 1        | 1          |
| *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (GN)  | 1        | 1          |
| *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (GN) | 0        | 1          |
| ESBL *Escherichia coli* (GN)  | 1        | 0          |
| Total NG                      | 1        | NG: 1      |
| Total GP                      | 1        | GP: 1      |
| Total GN                      | 2        | GN: 2      |
| Total Fungal                  | 0        | Fungal: 0  |
| Total episodes                | 4        | 4          |

NG-no growth, GN-gram-negative, GP-gram-positive

In our study, the peritonitis rate in coiled and straight PD catheter is similar (0.29 episodes/patient-year vs. 0.31 episodes/patient-year). The most commonly isolated organism seen in both groups was from the gram-negative strain, *Escherichia coli* and *K. pneumoniae*. Overall, gram-negative infections were more common in this series. It is contrary to worldwide reports, except a few. As such, Prasad et al.[21] described a predominance of gram-negative organisms as the cause of peritonitis in India, reporting a worse outcome. Another retrospective study in Sarawak, Malaysia, reported similar occurrence of gram-negative and gram-positive organisms causing peritonitis, with trend of increasing gram-negative infection with time, causing higher rate of catheter loss.[22]

Both of these organisms are commensals of the normal bowel flora that contaminated the sterile peritoneal cavity. We found that most of our patients had a breach in sterile procedure and were not practicing good hand hygiene; thus, these organisms are most possibly of the fecal origin. Hygiene is still a major problem in developing countries where most of our patients were from the working class and lower middle class. This also explained our findings of gram-negative organisms originating from the soil, including *Serratia marcescens*, *Proteus mirabilis*, and *Citrobacter freundii*.

In our study, the coiled catheter group had more gram-negative peritonitis as compared to straight group. Another possible mechanism of infection of these gram-negative bowel floras is from the transmural migration. Several studies have noted a significant trend of tip migration and catheter dysfunction in the coiled PD catheter that could have aggravated inflammatory...
process, thus promoting transmural bacteria migration. The straight catheter group on the other hand had more gram-positive peritonitis, mostly from the Staphylococci species that is likely due to touch contamination of organisms from the cutaneous origin.

ESI rate was similar in both groups (0.31 episodes/patient-year vs. 0.37 episodes/patient-year, P value = 0.730). Interestingly, the most common organism causing ESI in both groups was P. aeruginosa, a commonly found pathogen in hospital-acquired infections. This could be explained by the fact that ESIs in our hospital were treated with deroofing, which involved surgical procedure to expose and shave the external cuff.93 As this procedure required use of the operation theater, most of our patients required admission to the ward, thus increasing the risk to hospital-acquired infections.

TTI rate was similar in both groups (0.02 episodes/patient-year in both groups, P value = 0.430). Gram-negative organisms causing peritonitis and ESI were also found to cause more TTI, namely, K. pneumoniae and P. aeruginosa.

**Conclusion**

There was no difference in infectious outcomes between the two different types of catheters. The spectrum of organism is also similar in both groups of catheters, which are of the gram-negative group.
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