Abstract—Each step in the data analytics pipeline is important, including database ingest and query. The D4M-Accumulo database connector has allowed analysts to quickly and easily ingest to and query from Apache Accumulo using MATLAB®/GNU Octave syntax. D4M.jl, a Julia implementation of D4M, provides much of the functionality of the original D4M implementation to the Julia community. In this work, we extend D4M.jl to include many of the same database capabilities that the MATLAB®/GNU Octave implementation provides. Here we will describe the D4M.jl database connector, demonstrate how it can be used, and show that it has comparable or better performance to the original implementation in MATLAB®/GNU Octave.

I. INTRODUCTION

A database is an integral part of the data analytics pipeline. Datasets that are too large to fit into memory and difficult to organize and search require the use of a database for storage and indexing. For efficient workflows, it is important to not only provide fast ways to get data in and out of the database, but to do so in the language that the analyst is using to analyze their data. This requires both a high-performance database and good connectors that the analyst can use without the burden of learning a new language just to access their data. The D4M (Dynamic Distributed Dimensional Model) library, in addition to being a powerful analytic framework, provides database connectors to a number of databases, including Apache Accumulo, a high-performance NoSQL database.

Apache Accumulo is a key-value data store modeled after Google Bigtable [1]. Some of its features include the ability to add server-side iterators to do custom in-database operations and cell-level visibility labels to restrict access on the individual entry level. Because of its features and performance, Accumulo has been used in a variety of applications, including cloud monitoring [2], spatial data [3], and graph processing [4]. Accumulo has been thoroughly benchmarked, and has shown high performance for both query and ingest [5] [6].

The D4M tool is an analytical library for both MATLAB®/GNU Octave and Julia that allows flexible data representation and manipulation [7] [8]. D4M uses a mathematical structure called an Associative Array to represent data. Associative arrays can represent many different types of data, including graphical, numeric, and string data. They also support a variety of arithmetic and set operations that are facilitated through D4M and have a wide variety of uses [9] [10]. These properties make D4M a good fit for large datasets, particularly those that may need to be stored in a database, such as Accumulo.

In order to access these datasets, D4M includes database connectors that allow users to ingest data to and query data from a database. In addition to SQL and SciDB connectors, D4M has a custom database connector for Accumulo that has been available in the MATLAB®/GNU Octave D4M package, providing a simple means to bind to tables for ingesting and querying data. D4M also has a schema that works particularly well for Accumulo [11]. Accumulo was built with fast ingest and query in mind, and past work has shown record-breaking ingest performance using the D4M’s ingest and schema [6].

The D4M package for Julia developed recently brought many of the capabilities of D4M to the Julia community. Julia is a rapidly growing new language developed for both performance and productivity, providing the ease of use of a high level language, without compromising performance [12]. There are a number of Julia packages that interact with a variety of databases [13], however any work to show performance of these connectors is difficult to find. The initial D4M.jl provides the Associative Array representation and operations, and has been shown to have equivalent or better performance than the original MATLAB®/GNU Octave implementation [8]. In this work, we extend D4M.jl to include database connectors for Accumulo and show how performance compares to the MATLAB®/GNU Octave version for query and ingest.

In the following sections, we will describe these additions. Section II will introduce D4M more in depth. In Section III we describe the D4M.jl connector. Section IV will describe the tests we ran to compare ingest and query in the Julia and MATLAB®/GNU Octave D4M implementations, and Section V will present and discuss the results of these experiments.

II. D4M

D4M is open-source software that provides a convenient mathematical representation of the kinds of data that are routinely stored in spreadsheets and large key-value databases. Associations between multidimensional entities (tuples) using string keys and string values can be stored in data structures...
called associative arrays. For example, in two dimensions, a
D4M associative array entry might be:

\[ A('alice', 'bob') = 'cited' \]
\[ A('alice', 'bob') = 47.0 \]

The above tuples have a 1-to-1 correspondence with their
key-value store representations:

\[ ('alice', 'bob', 'cited') \]
\[ ('alice', 'bob', 47.0) \]

Associative arrays can represent complex relationships in
either a sparse matrix or a graph structure (see Figure 1). Thus,
associative arrays provide a natural data structure for
performing both matrix and graph operations. Such algorithms
are the foundation of many complex database operations across
a wide range of fields [14]. Constructing complex composable
query operations can be expressed by using simple array
indexing of the associative array keys and values, which
themselves return associative arrays:

\[ A('alice :') \]
\[ A('alice bob :') \]
\[ A('al* :') \]
\[ A('alice : bob :') \]
\[ A(1:2, :) \]
\[ A == 47.0 \]

The composability of associative arrays stems from their
ability to define fundamental mathematical operations whose
results are also associative arrays. Given two associative arrays
A and B, the results of all the following operations will also
be associative arrays:

\[ A + B \]
\[ A - B \]
\[ A \& B \]
\[ A | B \]
\[ A * B \]

Measurements using D4M indicate these algorithms can be
implemented with a tenfold decrease in coding effort when
compared to standard approaches [7].

III. D4M.jl AND ACCUMULO

When D4M.jl was implemented in [8], the database connec-
tivity feature was left for future work. Here we first give a short
description of the initial D4M.jl implementation, followed by
the details of the new database features.
B. D4M.jl Accumulo Connector

In addition to the library that provides the Associative Array data structure and its associated operations, D4M gives the user a common syntax to access to several database connectors, including JDBC for SQL and the Shim connector for SciDB. The connector for Accumulo is custom-built in Java and is part of the D4M distribution.

The Java Accumulo connector can be invoked from any language that can call Java functions. In the original D4M implementation, we used Matlab's inherent ability to create Java objects and call Java functions. While Julia natively does not have an ability to call Java functions, the JavaCall.jl package provides this capability [19]. JavaCall uses the Java Native Interface to create an in-process Java Virtual Machine (JVM), which is accessed through the jcall function.

Using JavaCall.jl generally involves importing a class, creating an object, then calling the function you are interested in on that object. At times it can be difficult getting the Java function calls just right, with inputs and outputs of the correct data type, where sometimes Julia data types are sufficient and occasionally Java objects are required. In D4M.jl, we provide an easy-to-use interface to call the Java functions involved in database operations so the user does not have to worry about these details. A number of functions and structs hold java objects and make Java calls.

```julia
# Initialize JVM
dbinit()

# Connect to Database
DB = dbsetup("mydb02","db.conf")

# Create Tables
Tedge = DB["my_Tedge","my_TedgeT"]
TedgeDeg = DB["my_TedgeDeg"]

# Insert Associative Array into Database
put(Tedge,A)

# Query Database
Arow = Tedge["e1,",:]
Acol = Tedge["v1,",:]

# Delete Tables
delete(Tedge)
delete(TedgeDeg)
```

Listing 1. Using D4M.jl for Accumulo database operations.

The D4M.jl workflow for interacting with Accumulo is as follows. First, a call to dbinit() will initialize the JVM with the required libraries on the class path. The dbsetup() function creates a DBServer struct, which holds the connection information for the Accumulo database, and tables can be created or connected to by indexing into the DBServer struct. Tables can either be single tables or table pairs, which bind to both a table and its transpose, which occur frequently in Accumulo schemas. Data can be ingested using the put() function, which ingests Associative Arrays, or putTriple(), which will ingest arrays of strings. Tables can be queried by using the same indexing syntax as Associative Arrays, and column queries on table pairs will automatically query the transpose table for speed. Finally, tables can be easily deleted using the delete() function. See Listing 1 for an example of this workflow.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

While D4M.jl and Matlab-D4M use the same Java Accumulo connector, there is always some small overhead calling these connectors from another language with easy-to-use wrappers. Therefore, we ran some tests to demonstrate the efficiency of D4M.jl compared to Matlab-D4M. We focused on the two most frequently used database operations: ingest and query.

A. Database Ingest

While data ingest is not the most frequently used database operation, it is the most time consuming. Therefore, it is important to minimize overhead when ingesting data. To compare ingest rates between D4M.jl and Matlab-D4M, we ingested graphs of various sizes with a varying number of ingestors.

Since the best Accumulo ingest rates require having a number of ingest processes inserting data at once, we ran ingest on 1, 2, 4, 8, and 16 processes. For Matlab-D4M, parallel ingest was achieved using the pMatlab library [20], and for D4M.jl the SPMD submodule from the DistributedArrays package was used [21]. The SPMD programming model provides the control needed to ensure each process is inserting data at the same time to achieve peak overall ingest rate. Graphs were generated on each of the \( k \) ingest processes using the Graph500 unpermuted power law graph generator [22] with scale (s) 12-18 and an average degree (d) of 16, producing graphs with \( 2^s \) vertices and \( d \times 2^s \) edges on each ingest process, or \( k \times d \times 2^s \) edges in the final ingested graph. We ingest the adjacency matrices of these graphs and their transposes. Performance is measured in edges ingested/second.

B. Database Query

The most frequently used database operation is database query. Querying the database is often done interactively, and a slow query response can interrupt an analyst's workflow. To compare query rates between D4M.jl and Matlab-D4M, we queried a large graph for vertices with varying return sizes.

First we ingested a large graph, generated in the manner described in [V-A] using 8 processes each ingesting a scale 17 graph (approximately \( 8 \times 16 \times 2^{17} \approx 16,777,216 \) edges) and its degrees in a separate Degree Table. Using the Degree table, we find which vertices have in and out degrees of approximately size 1, 10, 100, 1000, and 10000. Each query is run on random chosen vertices from these categories, with vertices kept consistent between the Matlab-D4M and D4M.jl queries. Four types of queries were run: single and multiple vertex row and column queries. The multiple vertex queries selected five vertices, approximately placing the return size halfway between the degree scales specified above. Performance is measured in edges returned/second.
All database operations were initiated through D4M in either MATLAB® or Julia 0.6 on the MIT SuperCloud [23], which consists of several 16-core Xeon-E5 machines with 64 GB of RAM. These operations were performed on a single node Accumulo instance running on the MIT SuperCloud dynamic database system [24].

V. RESULTS

Figures 3 and 4 show performance results for ingest and query, respectively, comparing Matlab-D4M and D4M.jl. Figure 3 shows two views of ingest performance: the ingest rate against the number of ingest processes (left) and against the graph size (right).

The first plot in Figure 3 shows ingest rate scales with the number of ingest processes for four of the seven graph sizes. Performance for the remaining three were similar and were left out for readability. The Julia implementation ingested data at a faster rate than the Matlab-D4M in most cases, with the exception of the size 14 graph. The ingest rates increase fairly consistently with the increasing number of ingest processes, possibly dropping off somewhat at 16 processes on the larger graphs. The Matlab-D4M ingest processes see similar drop off, suggesting this may be Accumulo related.

In the second plot in Figure 3 we see how the ingest rate scales with graph size. One thing to note is the lines corresponding to the D4M.jl ingest tend to be more flat and gently sloped than those of the Matlab-D4M ingest, with the exception of the 16 process ingest. The Matlab-D4M ingest starts at a lower rate than D4M.jl, peaks and surpasses the D4M.jl ingest rate for graphs of size 13-15, and tapers off to a slower rate than D4M.jl at larger graph sizes. D4M.jl shows a similar increase and then decrease in ingest rate as graph size increases, but it is much less pronounced. The best ingest rates occurred for graphs of size 13 and 14. Both Julia and Matlab D4M ingest in batches with approximately 500,000 characters in each batch by default, which has previously been selected to give the best performance. At size 13 and 14, the entire graph fits into one batch, whereas size 15 and above must be inserted in two or more batches.

The improvement in ingest performance for D4M.jl may be attributed to better string array handling in Julia. On the D4M side, ingest mainly consists of extracting the triples from the Associative Array and splitting them into appropriate sized chunks before calling the Java function that ingests them. That the D4M.jl ingest rates are better than Matlab-D4M’s at the larger graph sizes suggests that this may be the case.

Figure 4 shows how the edges returned/second increases for increasing number of expected returned edges. The corresponding D4M.jl and Matlab-D4M lines in this plot follow each other consistently, with D4M.jl faster in in some cases and Matlab-D4M in others. Both column queries returned more edges/second than the row queries, although in each case the multiple vertex queries returned more edges per second than their corresponding single vertex queries, as expected.
VI. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

D4M is used for both its flexible data representation and manipulation and its ability to connect to a number of databases. In this work, we introduced D4M.jl database capabilities using D4M’s custom Accumulo connector. We used the JavaCall Julia package to make calls to the Accumulo connector, which is written in Java. We provided simple to use wrapper functions that abstract away the Java function calls, allowing both flexibility and ease of use.

Overall results show that D4M.jl performs comparable to or better than Matlab-D4M. For the most part, D4M.jl seems to scale better with increasing the number of ingest processes, and scales to larger graph sizes much more gracefully than Matlab-D4M. In all cases, query rates for D4M.jl and Matlab-D4M were very close. Both the MATLAB®/GNU Octave and Julia implementations of D4M can be accessed through the D4M website download page [25].

The next obvious step for this work is to provide the functionality to make Graphulo calls from Julia. Graphulo is a package that consists of server-side iterators for Accumulo that implement GraphBLAS kernels, which can be used to run graph algorithms on data stored in Accumulo. Like Matlab-D4M, we may be interested in adding interfaces to connectors for other types of databases, such as SQL and SciDB.
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