The zinc-binding region (ZBR) fragment of Emi2 can inhibit APC/C by targeting its association with the coactivator Cdc20 and UBE2C-mediated ubiquitylation
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1. Introduction

The post-translational modification of proteins by the covalent attachment of ubiquitin (ubiquitylation) regulates various cellular processes in eukaryotes [1,2]. The ubiquitylation reaction is catalyzed by a set of three enzymes: ubiquitin-activating enzyme, E1; ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme, E2; and ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme, E2; and ubiquitin ligase, E3. The E3 ligase functions as an adaptor to facilitate the approach of the ubiquitin-charged E2 to the target protein, and thus enables ubiquitin ligation [3]. E2 ligase and the ubiquitin-charged E2 then perform the elongation step; i.e., the generation of the polyubiquitin chain.

Anaphase-promoting complex or cyclosome (APC/C) is a multisubunit ubiquitin ligase E3 that targets cell-cycle regulators. Cdc20 is required for full activation of APC/C in M phase, and mediates substrate recognition. In vertebrates, Emi2/Erp1/FBXO43 inhibits APC/C-Cdc20, and functions as a cytostatic factor that causes long-term M phase arrest of mature oocytes. In this study, we found that a fragment corresponding to the zinc-binding region (ZBR) domain of Emi2 inhibits cell-cycle progression, and impairs the association of Cdc20 with the APC/C core complex in HEK293T cells. Furthermore, we revealed that the ZBR fragment of Emi2 inhibits in vitro ubiquitin chain elongation catalyzed by the APC/C cullin-RING ligase module, the ANAPC2–ANAPC11 subcomplex, in combination with the ubiquitin chain-initiating E2, E2C/UBE2C/UbcH10. Structural analyses revealed that the Emi2 ZBR domain uses different faces for the two mechanisms. Thus, the double-faced ZBR domain of Emi2 antagonizes the APC/C function by inhibiting both the binding with the coactivator Cdc20 and ubiquitylation mediated by the cullin-RING ligase module and E2C. In addition, the tail region between the ZBR domain and the C-terminal RL residues [the post-ZBR (PZ) region] interacts with the cullin subunit, ANAPC2. In the case of the ZBR fragment of the somatic paralogue of Emi2, Emi1/FBXO5, these inhibitory activities against cell division and ubiquitylation were not observed. Finally, we identified two sets of key residues in the Emi2 ZBR domain that selectively exert each of the dual Emi2-specific modes of APC/C inhibition, by their mutation in the Emi2 ZBR domain and their transplantation into the Emi1 ZBR domain.
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bind to the core APC/C [9–14], and the WD40 repeat/WD40 domain interacts with the destruction box (D-box/DB) and the KEN box in the target proteins [15–19]. On the other hand, the APC/C subcomplex, formed between subunits 2 (ANAPC2) and 11 (ANAPC11), is the cullin-RING ligase (CRL)-type E3 module. This subcomplex (ANAPC2–11) is sufficient for the elongation step, as it promotes in vitro polyubiquitin chain formation in the presence of E1 and E2, in the absence of the target protein [20,21].

Emi1/Fbx5 (early mitotic inhibitor 1; gene symbol, FBX05) and Emi2/Erp1/Fbx43 (endogenous mitotic inhibitor 2 or Emi1-related protein 1; gene symbol, FBX043) constitute the Emi1/Erp protein family of APC/C inhibitors against the APC/C function, which controls cell division progress [22,23]. Emi1 is expressed in many somatic tissues, and regulates the G1- to S-phase transition in proliferating cells. In contrast, the expression of Emi2 is restricted to early embryos, spermatoocytes, and maturing oocytes [24–32]. Mature oocytes (unfertilized eggs) are arrested at the second metaphase of meiosis (miI) by a cytoplasmic activity, termed cytoskeletal factor (CSF) [33,34]. CSF prevents the APC/C-Cdc20-mediated degradation of cyclin B [35,36]. Emi2 is the pivotal component of CSF, and is required to maintain miI arrest [26,37–40]. Moreover, Emi2 regulates the timely destruction of APC/C substrates in Xenopus early embryonic divisions [31]. However, the molecular mechanism of APC/C inhibition by Emi2 is still not fully understood.

Emi1 and Emi2 share the F-box and DB motifs, the zinc-binding region (ZBR) with the in-between-RING-fingers (IBR) domain topology and the CGHC-type zinc-binding motif [22,41], and the C-terminal region with a conserved 14-residue sequence ending in the RL residues, termed the RL tail [42]. The phosphorylation status of the C-terminal region controls the interaction between Emi2 and APC/C [43]. The F-box motif (named after cyclin F) interacts with Skp1, and the F-box proteins have been characterized as components of the Skp1-cullin-F-box (SCF) ubiquitin–ligase complexes [44]. Emi1 competes, in a DB-dependent manner, with the target substrate for binding to APC/C-Cdh1 by the “pseudosubstrate inhibitory mechanism” [45,46]. In addition, the DB of Emi2 contributes to its APC/C-Cdc20 binding and morphological abnormalities [40,42].

Emi1 and Emi2 also exhibit DB-independent inhibitory activities against the polyubiquitylation of the APC/C target proteins [41,47,48]. The inhibitory activity of Emi1 requires its C-terminal region, which corresponds to the C-terminal tail of Emi2. The ZBR–RL fragment of Emi1 has inhibitory activity against polyubiquitin chain formation on the target protein by APC/C-Cdh1, in combination with E2C/UBE2C/UBCH11 (ubiquitin chain-initiating E2) and/or E2S/UBE2S (ubiquitin chain-extending E2) [41,48]. The C-terminal tails of Emi1 and Emi2 bind to APC/C, and the RL residues compete with the tail of E2S for APC/C binding [41,42,48,49].

On the other hand, the Emi1 fragments lacking the C-terminal tail do not inhibit the E2C-mediated ubiquitin chain elongation. Nevertheless, point mutations within the ZBR domain in Emi1 decrease the inhibitory activity against E2C-mediated ubiquitin chain elongation [41,48]. Moreover, mutations of the putative zinc-coordinating amino acid residues within the ZBR domain of Emi2 disrupt its CSF activity [40,47,50,51]. These results suggested that the ZBR domains of Emi1/Emi2 contribute to their inhibitory activities, although the functional mechanisms of the ZBR domain have not been clarified [52].

Emi1 and Emi2 co-immunoprecipitated with a recombinant coactivator (Cdc20 or Cdh1) in vitro [26,53]. The DB–ZBR–RL fragment of Emi1 co-immunoprecipitated with the N-terminal fragment of Cdc20 (Cdc20-NT), while the deletion of the ZBR domain impaired the Cdc20-NT binding ability [45,53]. The Cdc20-NT contains the C-box motif, which is shared with Cdh1 and involved in binding to the core APC/C [9,13]. Nevertheless, Emi1 does not impair the substrate binding of APC/C [48] and the location of the ZBR domain of Emi1 is distant from that of Cdh1 on APC/C [41]. Therefore, the functional purpose of the binding of the ZBR domain of Emi1/Emi2 to Cdc20/Cdh1 remained elusive.

In the present study, we provide structural and functional information about the Emi2 ZBR domain, toward revealing the potentially novel mechanism for the inhibition of APC/C-Cdc20 activity.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. GenBank accession numbers for multiple amino acid sequence alignment

The following sequences were obtained: MmEmi2/FBXO43, NP_001074722.1; HsEmi1/FBXO43, NP_001025031; GgFBXO43, XP_418357; XfErp1/FBXO43, NP_001093338; DrFBXO43, NP_956725; MmEmi1/FBXO5, NP_0080271; HsEmi1/FBXO5, NP_0036309; GgFBXO5, XP_419681.3; XfEmi1/Fbxo5-a, NP_00 1082122; DrFBXO5, NP_001003636; HsEmi1/ARAS4, NP_001182894; HsRNB2 16/TRIAD3, NP_996894; HsR19A/Dorf1n, NP_056250; HsRFBN 21/ZBRA/HOIP, NP_060469; MmPRKN2/Parkin, NP_057903; HsPRKN2/Parkin, NP_004553; HsARHI/HHR1, NP_00 5735; HsR1 448/p53RFP, NP_777434; HsCUL9/PARC, NP_055904. Abbreviations for species names are Mm, Mus musculus (mouse); Hs, Homo sapiens (human); Gg, Gallus gallus (chicken); Xl, Xenopus laevis (African clawed frog); Dr, Danio rerio (zebrafish). Multiple sequence alignments were optimized using the ClustalW program.

2.2. Full-length cDNA clones

The coding sequences (CDSs) corresponding to Emi2, Emi1, and Cdc20 (GenBank: NP_075712) were obtained from mouse miI oocytes, as described previously [26]. The CDS of E2C was derived from the RIKEN full-length enriched mouse cDNA library (Clone ID: 1110015A16), and the CDSs for APC/C subunits ANAPC2 (GenBank: NP_785059.2), ANAPC11 (GenBank: NP_001033319), and ANAPC10 (GenBank: NP_081180) were amplified by PCR from the Mouse 17-day Embryo Marathon-Ready cDNA library (Clontech, Takara). The target DNA fragments were subcloned into the pCR2.1 TOPO vector (Invitrogen, Life Technologies) or appropriate expression vectors.

2.3. Cell culture and phenotypic analysis

HEK293T cells (RIKEN Cell Bank code: RCC2202) were cultured at 37 °C with a 5% CO2 atmosphere in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium, containing 10% (v/v) heat-inactivated bovine serum and supplemented with penicillin (10 U/ml) and streptomycin (10 µg/ml).

The target Emi2 or Emi1 cDNA fragments were inserted into the pAcGFP1-Hyg-C1 vector (Clontech, Takara) to generate the AcGFP-fusion proteins, and the plasmids were transfected into HEK293T cells using Lipofectamine LT (Invitrogen, Life Technologies), according to the manufacturer's instructions. At 24 h post-transfection, the medium was replaced with fresh normal culture medium, and the cells were incubated for an additional 30–48 h (2–3 days post-transfection).

To label the nuclear and chromosomal DNA for aneuploidy, live cells were stained with Hoechst 33342 (Dojindo). Mitotic morphology was observed by fluorescent microscopy (Olympus) with an Aquã Cosmos system (Hamamatsu Photonics).

For immunofluorescence (IF), HEK293T cells (2.5 × 105 cells per well) were plated onto collagen-coated square coverslips in 6-well dishes, and incubated for 18–20 h before transfection. At 2 days
post-transfection, the cells were washed with PBS and fixed for 30 min at room temperature (RT) in 4% (w/v) PFA–PBS. After washing twice with PBS, the cells were permeabilized for 2 min with 50 μg/ml digitonin–PBS, washed twice again, and then quenched in 50 mM ammonium chloride–PBS for 5 min. After blocking with 0.1% gelatin–PBS for 5 min, the cells were incubated for 1–1.5 h at RT with primary antibodies diluted in 0.1% gelatin–PBS. The cells were washed three times with PBS, and subsequently incubated for 30 min at RT with Alexa Fluor-conjugated secondary antibodies (Molecular Probes, Invitrogen/Life Technologies) diluted in 0.1% gelatin–PBS. For the analysis of the subcellular localization of AcGFP-fusion constructs in mitotic metaphase cells, we performed IF using Alexa Fluor 647-labeled anti-α-tubulin antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) against the mitotic spindle and Hoechst 33422-labeled chromosomes, and visualized the cells with an LSM 510 confocal laser scanning microscope (Carl Zeiss). For the colocalization analysis of AcGFP-Emi2 ZBR–RL and the core APC/C, we used Alexa Fluor 647-labeled anti-ANAPC2 antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), and subsequently collected sequential z-stack images by using the LSM 510 system in the multi-track mode. The 3D reconstruction was created by sequentially capturing images within a series of z-planes.

To characterize the cell cycle phase by FACS (fluorescence activated cell sorting), the transfectants were fixed with 1% paraformaldehyde (PFA)–PBS for 30 min at 4 °C, washed twice with PBS, and centrifuged. The cell pellets were subsequently resuspended in cold 70% ethanol and incubated for 2 h at 4 °C. These fixed cells were stained with propidium iodide (PI), and analyzed by FACSCalibur and the CELLQuest software (BD Biosciences).

2.4. Western blotting (WB) of cell extracts

APC/C inhibition in cells expressing the ZBR–RL fragment of Emi2 was examined by comparing the CCRP levels between the AcGFP-Blank vector and the AcGFP-Emi2 fragment fusion transfectants. At 2 days post-transfection, the cells were collected, washed once with PBS, resuspended in lysis buffer [20 mM Tris–HCl buffer (pH 8.0), containing 1% Triton X-100, 150 mM NaCl, and protease inhibitor mixture (Roche Applied Science)], and incubated on ice for 30 min. The resulting cell lysates were centrifuged at 15,000 rpm for 15 min at 4 °C, fractionated by SDS–PAGE, and electroblotted onto a PVDF membrane. The membranes were subsequently blocked with skim milk prepared with TBS-Tween, and immunodetection was performed using the Immobilon Chemiluminescent Substrate Kit (Merck Millipore). To assess APC/C inhibition in cells expressing the AcGFP-Emi2 ZBR–RL, the following primary antibodies were employed for WB: anti-CycB1 antibody (V152; MBL) and anti-securin antibody (DCS-280; MBL) to measure endogenous CycB1 and securin levels, respectively; anti-GFP antibody (JL-8; Clontech, Takara) to monitor AcGFP fusion protein expression; and anti-α-tubulin antibody (DM1A; Sigma–Aldrich) as a loading control.

2.5. Immunoprecipitation (IP) assays

The in vitro binding of the Emi2 fragment to the substrate recognition catalytic module subunits of APC/C was analyzed by co-IP (Supplemental Fig. S1A). Mouse cDNAs were subcloned into the T7 expression vector, pcDNA3.1/myc-His (Invitrogen, Life Technologies), to generate Myc-tagged prey proteins: ANAPC2, ANAPC2-CT (C-terminus; residues 337–837 of mouse ANAPC2, corresponding to the cullin-homology region), ANAPC11, ANAPC10, and Cdc20. To generate the double HA-tagged bait protein, the cDNA corresponding to the F-box–DB–ZBR–RL fragment of mouse Emi2 (residues 251–641) was subcloned into the tag sequence-modified pcDNA3.1/myc-His vector. Recombinant proteins were produced by T7-driven in vitro synthesis, using the TNT Quick Coupled Transcription/Translation System (Promega), and were partially purified using the Mag2 Protein Purification System (Promega), according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Subsequently, 100 μl portions of the Mag2-purified bait proteins, in 1 ml of binding buffer [20 mM Tris–HCl buffer (pH 8.0), containing 150 mM NaCl, and 0.01% NP-40], were incubated with anti-HA affinity resin (Roche Applied Science) for 2 h. The unbound species were removed by washing, and the bait proteins were subsequently mixed and incubated with the prey proteins for 18–20 h at 4 °C. Immunocomplexes were collected by centrifugation (16,000g at 4 °C for 5 min), washed, and separated by 10% SDS–PAGE, and the labeled proteins were detected by WB with anti-HA (16B12; Covance) or anti-Myc (9B11; Cell Signaling Technology) antibodies.

To test the binding of the AcGFP-Emi2 fragment fusion proteins to APC/C and/or Cdc20, a binding assay was performed using cell extracts from HEK293T transfectants (Fig. 2C and D). HEK293T cells were transfected in 6-well plates. At 2 days post-transfection, the cells were lysed in 500 μl of IP buffer [50 mM Tris–HCl buffer (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% NP-40, and Complete EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche Applied Science)] per plate. To pre-clear the lysates, Protein G Mag Sepharose (GE Healthcare) magnetic beads were added, and the lysates were incubated at 4 °C for 45 min on an orbital shaker. Subsequently, the pre-cleared lysates were subjected to co-IP. Following an incubation with 0.5 μl of anti-Cdc20 (mouse monoclonal antibody AR12; MBL) or anti-GFP (Living Colors Full-Length A.v. Polyclonal Antibody; Clontech, Takara) for 45 min at 4 °C with rotation, Protein G magnetic beads were added and incubated for 45 min at 4 °C with rotation. For the detection of co-immunoprecipitates, the magnetic beads were isolated, washed four times with IP buffer, and subjected to WB using antibodies against Cdc20 (rabbit polyclonal antibody; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), GFP (mouse monoclonal antibody JIL-8; Clontech, Takara), and ANAPC3/Cdc27 (mouse monoclonal antibody C-4; Santa Cruz Biotechnology).

2.6. Ubiquitylation assay

In vitro ubiquitylation assays were performed in a volume of 25 μl. For the experiments shown in Fig. 5, reaction mixtures containing 10 ng/μl GST-E1 (Calbiochem, Merk Millipore), 20 ng/μl E2C (UBE2C), 20 ng/μl HT–ANAPC2-11 (prepared using a baculovi rus expression system [21], and 100 ng/μl FLAG-tagged ubiquitin (Sigma–Aldrich) were incubated with 40 ng/μl of Emi2 C-terminal fragments at 30 °C for 0, 5, 15, or 45 min, in 20 mM HEPES–NaOH (pH 7.4), 5 mM MgCl2, and 5 mM ATP. For subsequent experiments using the cell-free-synthesized ANAPC2,CW–11 complex as the minimum CRL E3 module of APC/C (mCRAL) shown in Fig. 6, reaction mixtures containing 2 ng/μl GST-E1, 5 ng/μl E2C, 40 ng/μl mCRAL, and 50 ng/μl FLAG-tagged ubiquitin (Sigma–Aldrich) were incubated with 30 ng/μl of Emi2 fragments at 30 °C for 0, 5, or 15 min, in 20 mM HEPES–NaOH (pH 8.0), containing 1 mM MgCl2 and 2 mM ATP. The reactions were stopped by adding 25 μl of 3 × SDS–PAGE sample loading buffer [5% (w/v) SDS, 250 mM DTT, 15% (v/v) glycerol, 140 mM Tris–HCl (pH 6.8), and 0.01% (w/v) bromophenol blue] and incubated at 95 °C for 3 min. The ubiquitylation reactions were resolved by SDS–PAGE on a 10–20% gradient gel, followed by WB using anti-FLAG antibodies (M2; Sigma–Aldrich) and detection with an Immobilon Chemiluminescence Detection Kit (Merck Millipore). Luminescent signals corresponding to polyubiquitin chains were acquired with the Luminescent Image Analyzer LAS-3000 (Fujifilm, GE Healthcare), and were quantified using the Multi Gauge image analysis program (Fujifilm, GE Healthcare).
To examine the effects of the Emi2 C-terminal fragments on E1-catalyzed ubiquitin activation, reactions were performed in the absence of E2 and E3 and analyzed by WB. For the detection of non-covalent binding, E1/C24Ub (Supplementary Fig. S4E), reaction aliquots were quenched in SDS–PAGE loading buffer under reducing (+DTT) or non-reducing (-DTT) conditions, fractionated by SDS–PAGE and visualized by Coomassie Brilliant Blue (CBB) staining or WB.

To analyze the ubiquitylation sensitivity of AcGFP-Emi2 ZBR mutants in cell, HEK293T transfectants were lysed in IP buffer at 3 days post-transfection. Following IP using mouse monoclonal antibodies, FK2 (BIOMOL, Enzo Life Sciences) or anti-GFP (M) mixed with Protein G magnetic beads, the IP samples were subjected to WB using a rabbit polyclonal anti-GFP antibody (R).

For the preparation of ubiquitin adducts and ubiquitin chain linkages for mass spectrometry analyses, the reaction products were resolved by SDS–PAGE and visualized using a SilverQuest Silver Staining Kit (Invitrogen, Life Technologies), according to the manufacturer’s protocol, or by standard CBB staining. Gel regions containing proteins were excised and digested with trypsin for 20 h at 37°C. The resulting peptides were analyzed by liquid chromatography–electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry (LC–ESI-MS/MS).

2.7. Protein synthesis and purification

The proteins composing the full-length ANAPC2–11 complex, His6-tagged ANAPC2 and untagged ANAPC11, were coexpressed...
in Sf9 cells using the Bac-to-Bac Baculovirus Expression System (Invitrogen, Life Technologies) and copurified according to the method of Huang et al. [21], with the exception that TALON resin (Clontech, Takara) was used for the first capture step, instead of Ni-Agarose. The Emi2 fragments, E2C and mCRLA, were synthesized using a cell-free protein expression system [54] and subsequently purified using an AKTA 10S system (GE Healthcare), as follows. The protein fragments were purified by first adsorbing each reaction mixture to a HisTrap HP column (GE Healthcare), which was washed with concentration gradient buffer [50 mM Tris–HCl buffer (pH 8.0) containing 1 M NaCl and 10 mM imidazole]. The protein was eluted with a concentration gradient of imidazole (from 10 to 500 mM) in elution buffer [50 mM Tris–HCl buffer (pH 8.0) containing 0.5 M NaCl], and the imidazole was removed by overnight dialysis at 4 °C in wash buffer. The His-tag was removed by an incubation at 4 °C for 20 h with TEV protease. The resulting untagged proteins were purified by ion exchange chromatography using a HiTrap column (GE Healthcare) and gel filtration using a HiLoad Superdex 75 pg 16/60 column (GE Healthcare), with the final buffer [20 mM Tris–HCl buffer (pH 8.0), containing 150 mM NaCl, and 2 mM DTT]. In the cases, where the synthesized protein region that contained a zinc-finger domain (mCRLA and ZBRs), ZnCl2 was added to the purification buffers at a final concentration of 20 μM.

The concentrations of the purified proteins were determined by measuring the absorbance at 280 nm with a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific).

Fig. 2. Cellular assays using a series of AcGFP-Emi2 fragment fusion constructs. (A) Diagram of the Emi2 C-terminal constructs. Numbers correspond to amino acid positions of mouse (Mm) Emi2. (B) Morphological phenotypes of HEK293T cells transfected with AcGFP-Emi2 fragment fusion constructs (see also panel A) at 2- and 3-days post-transfection. Scale bar, 100 μm. The boxes in the bottom left corners show close-up views of the cells at 2 days post-transfection. Scale bar, 50 μm. Yellow arrows indicate multinucleated cells and giant cells. (C) and (D) Interactions between endogenous APC/C and/or Cdc20 with a series of AcGFP-Emi2 fragment fusion constructs in the cell. Cell extracts from the indicated HEK293T transfectants were used for co-IPs with an anti-GFP antibody (C) or an anti-Cdc20 antibody (D). WBs of the immunoprecipitates (IP) and cell lysates were probed with the indicated antibodies. M, mouse monoclonal antibodies; R, rabbit polyclonal antibodies. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
2.8. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy and structure calculations

For the determination of the Emi2 ZBR domain structure by NMR, the protein samples were prepared as $^{13}$C, $^{15}$N-labeled proteins using a cell-free protein synthesis system, and were subsequently purified and concentrated in NMR analysis buffer [20 mM Tris–HCl (pH 6.5), 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM iminodiacetic acid (IDA), 50 μM ZnCl$_2$, 0.02% (v/v) NaN$_3$, and 10% (v/v) $^2$H$_2$O]. We solved the solution structure of the Emi2 ZBR domain by standard NMR methods [55,56].

NMR experiments were performed at 298 K, using Bruker AVANCE spectrometers equipped with triple-axis gradients operating at 600 and 800 MHz, with the $^{13}$C- and $^{15}$N-doubly labeled
Fig. 4. Mutations of Emi2 ZBR domain surface residues. (A) and (B) Effects of single amino acid substitutions of the ZBR domain within AcGFP-Emi2 ZBR–RL and AcGFP-Emi2 ZBR on the abnormal mitotic phenotype in HEK293T cells at 3 days post-transfection. Morphological properties of the cells expressing the indicated mutants, the ZBR–RL fragment (A), or the ZBR fragment (B). WT, wild type sequence. Scale bar, 50 μm. (C) and (D) Interactions between endogenous Cdc20 and the ZBR mutants of AcGFP-Emi2 fragments in the cell. Cell extracts from the indicated HEK293T transfectants were used for co-IP with an anti-Cdc20 antibody. White lines indicate the gaps between lanes. (E) Characterization of amino acid residues on the surface of the Emi2 ZBR domain. (F–H) Hypothetical mechanism for the Emi2 ZBR-induced abnormal cell division phenotype, by destabilizing the association of Cdc20 with the APC/C core complex. The ZBR domain of Emi2 binds to the APC/C coactivator Cdc20, partially dissociates it from the core APC/C (red-brown) (F), and furthermore prevents the re-association of APC/C Cdc20 (cyan) (G). Complete association of Cdc20 with APC/C (active mode) for the cell cycle regulatory protein (CCRP) ubiquitylation, illustrated (H) for comparison. The ZBR domain has a Cdc20-independent APC/C inhibitory activity (cobalt blue). The C-terminal region of contributes to anchoring the ZBR domain to the cullin-RING module of APC/C (ocher), shown in Fig. 3C. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
samples in Shigemi susceptibility-matched tubes. The $^1$H, $^{15}$N, and $^{13}$C chemical shifts were referenced to the frequency of the $^2$H lock resonance of water. A series of 2D and 3D standard triple resonance NMR experiments were recorded [56], and 2D $[^1$H, $^{15}$N]-HSQC and 3D HBHA(CO)NH, HNCA, HN(CO)CA, HNCACB, and CBCA(CO)NH spectra were used for sequence-specific backbone assignments. Side-chain assignments were obtained using 2D $[^1$H, $^{15}$N]-HSQC and 3D HBHA(CO)NH, H(CCCO)NH, (H)CC(CO)NH, HCCH-COSY, HCH-TOCSY, and (H)CCH-TOCSY spectra. For consistency, 3D $^{15}$N- and $^{13}$C-edited NOESY-HSQC spectra were also obtained, to check the chemical shift assignments. All NMR data were processed with the NMRPipe program [57]. Linear prediction and zero filling were used in the indirect dimensions, to obtain higher resolution. The KUJIRA [58] and NMRView [59] programs were used for NMR spectral analysis. NOE data from $^{15}$N- and $^{13}$C-edited 3D NOESY spectra were used for the structure calculations. Automated NOE cross-peak assignments [60] and structure calculations with torsion angle dynamics were performed using the CYANA1.0.7 software package. Dihedral angle restraints were derived using the TALOS program [61], and structures were validated using PROCHECK-NMR [62]. Graphical analysis was performed with the MOLMOL or PyMol programs.

More than 20 NOE distance restraints per residue—including 364 long-range distance restraints and the restraints for coordinating the zinc ion—were used for the final structure calculations with the CYANA 2.1 program [63]. The final structures were energy-refined with the AMBER12 program [64], using the generalized Born model and the Amber ff99SB force field, as described previously [65]. The final 20 energy-minimized conformers that represent the solution structure of the Emi2 ZBR domain are well defined and show excellent agreement with the experimental data (Supplementary Table S1; Supplementary Fig. S2B). The precision of the structure is indicated by the RMSD values of the mean coordinates of 0.31 Å for the backbone and 1.11 Å for all heavy atoms of residues A569–R615. The quality of the structure is also reflected by the fact that 80.8% of the ($\phi$, $\psi$) backbone torsion angle pairs were found in the most favored region, and 19.3% were within the additionally allowed regions of the Ramachandran plot, as determined by the PROCHECK-NMR program [62].

2.9. NMR chemical shift perturbation analysis

For the NMR chemical shift perturbation experiment (Fig. 3C; Supplementary Fig. S2C), the ANAPC2 fragment corresponding to the cullin domain and winged-helix (ANAPC2CW) was prepared as a un-labeled protein using a cell-free system, and was subsequently purified and concentrated in NMR analysis buffer [20 mM Tris–HCl buffer (pH 7.0), containing 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM IDA, 50 mM ZnCl$_2$, 0.02% (w/v) NaN$_3$, and 10% (v/v)
2D [1H, 15N]-HSQC spectra of the Emi2 ZBR–RL fragment were recorded while increasing the peptide concentration relative to that of the [15N, 13C]-labeled Emi2 fragments (35 μM), to final molar ratios of 1:0.1 to 1:1.0. The 2D-HSQC spectra collected at each titration point were normalized, and the chemical shift changes were calculated.

Fig. 6. Inhibition of ubiquitin chain elongation by the Emi2 ZBR-domain fragment. (A) Diagram representing the minimized CRL-type E3 module of APC/C, mCRLA. (B) Purified protein components of the in vitro ubiquitylation system using mCRLA. The SDS–PAGE gel was stained with Oriole fluorescent gel stain. (C) In vitro ubiquitylation inhibition assay of the Emi2 ZBR–RL fragment, using the mCRLA system. Bands marked with asterisks contain ubiquitin dimers and partially ubiquitylated Emi2 fragments. (D) WB showing the concentration-dependent inhibitory effect of the ZBR fragment of Emi2 against the mCRLA-mediated ubiquitin chain elongation. (E) In vitro assay for the inhibitory activities of the ZBR fragments from mouse Emi2 (residues 566–617) and Emi1 (residues 345–396) against ubiquitin elongation by the mCRLA system. (F) and (G) Comparison of the inhibitory activities of the Emi2 ZBR–RL and ZBR fragments against ubiquitin chain elongation. (F) WB bands, visualized using a luminescent image analyzer system. (G) Graphs representing the production of poly-Ub, determined by measuring the chemiluminescent-signal intensities (arbitrary units, AU) of WBs, using the Image Gauge software. Baseline levels were adjusted by subtracting the time-zero value from all other time-point values. Each graph represents the mean ± SEM (n = 6; the other 5 WBs are shown in Supplementary Fig. S5A). (H) WB showing the effects of the K576M and K587M mutations on the inhibition of ubiquitin chain elongation by the Emi2 ZBR fragment. (I) Production levels of poly-Ub, representing the chemiluminescent intensities (AU) of the bands shown in panel H. Results represent the mean ± SEM of 3 independent experiments (the other two WBs are shown in Supplementary Fig. S5C).
3. Results and discussion

3.1. Overexpression of the Emi2 ZBR–RL fragment results in morphological abnormalities and increased G2/M content

In the case of the mitotic cell-cycle regulator Emi1, the minimal region required for in vitro APC/C inhibition is reportedly its ZBR–RL region, encompassing the ZBR domain and the C-terminal RL residues [48]. For a comparison between Emi1 and Emi2, we examined the effects of the exogenous expression of the corresponding ZBR–RL fragment of Emi2 on mitotic events. Under normal proliferation conditions, the human embryonic kidney cell-line 293T (HEK293T) undergoes a dramatic cell shape change during the mitotic phase/M phase, which is a relatively short period in the cell cycle period (less than 1 h). Therefore, we transfected HEK293T cells with the plasmid vector expressing the Emi2 ZBR–RL fragment as a fusion protein with the Aequorea coerulea gens green fluorescent protein (AcGFP), under the control of the cytomegalovirus-immediate early (CMV-IE) promoter, a strong and constitutively active promoter.

The cells expressing AcGFP-Emi2 ZBR–RL exhibited sphere- or ball-like shapes at two days post-transfection (Fig. 1A). A fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis revealed that the cells expressing AcGFP-Emi2 ZBR–RL exhibited a significantly increased population in the G2/M phase and an increased DNA content (Fig. 1B). Subcellular analyses with simultaneous visualization of the mitotic spindle and chromosomes confirmed that the sphere-shaped cells were in M-phase (Fig. 1C). In addition, the characteristic accumulation of AcGFP-Emi2 ZBR–RL at the pericentrosomal region of the mitotic spindle was observed. Western blotting (WB) indicated that the levels of cyclin B1 (CyclB1) and securin (M-phase substrates of APC/C) in the cell extracts from the AcGFP-Emi2 ZBR–RL transfectants were higher than those of the blank control (Fig. 1D). APC/C is localized to the mitotic spindle in M phase and at the nucleus in interphase [66,67] and AcGFP-Emi2 ZBR–RL exhibited similar subcellular distributions. ANAPC2 exists in the cell as a stable complex with ANAPC11, for the cullin-RING ligase (CRL)-type E3 catalytic module of APC/C. Notably, 3D-reconstruction images of the sphere-shaped M-phase cells revealed that the AcGFP-Emi2 ZBR–RL immunofluorescence (IF) signals overlap with those of ANAPC2 around the condensed chromosome in the mitotic spindle (Fig. 1E). After culturing for 24 h further, multinucleated giant cells were frequently seen in the AcGFP-Emi2 ZBR–RL transfectants (Fig. 1F). Collectively, these results showed that the overexpression of the Emi2 ZBR–RL fragment in HEK293T cells leads to mitotic abnormalities (a schematic representation is shown in Fig. 1G).

3.2. The ZBR fragment of Emi2 induces abnormal cell division and inhibits Cdc20 binding to the core APC/C

We compared the ZBR–RL fragment with the F-box–DB–ZBR–RL, DB–ZBR–RL, and ZBR fragments (Fig. 2A), with respect to the effects of their expression on mitotic events. First, we confirmed, by a co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) assay using in vitro-translated proteins [Supplementary Fig. S1], that the F-box–DB–ZBR–RL fragment of Emi2 binds to the full-length ANAPC2, as reported for Emi1 [48], and its C-terminal cullin-like sequence fragment. Most of the transfectants expressing the AcGFP-fused F-box–DB–ZBR–RL or DB–ZBR–RL fragment exhibited sphere- or ball-like shapes at 2 days post-transfection, and stopped cell proliferation (Fig. 2B). The cells expressing the ZBR fragment at 3 days post-transfection formed giant cells, due to the failure of nuclear division, as also detected with the ZBR–RL fragment at 2–3 days post-transfection (Fig. 2B, right). Therefore, the cell-division inhibiting activities are in the order ZBR < ZBR–RL < DB–ZBR–RL < F-box–DB–ZBR–RL. It should be emphasized here that the ZBR fragment also exerted the cell-division inhibiting activity, although it was weaker than those of the longer fragments.

The F-box–DB–ZBR–RL and DB–ZBR–RL fragments exhibited stronger phenotypes than that of the ZBR–RL fragment (Fig. 2B). Correspondingly, we found that the AcGFP-fused Emi2 F-box–DB–ZBR–RL fragment binds to APC/C more tightly than the ZBR–RL fragment in co-IP analyses of cell extracts of the transfectants with an anti-GFP antibody (Fig. 2C). In contrast, the binding of the ZBR fragment to APC/C was not detectable by this analysis (Fig. 2C). These results are consistent with the previous report [42] that the C-terminal tail containing the RL residues of Emi2 is sufficient for its APC/C binding.

The Cdc20-bound APC/C (APC/C-Cdc20) controls the G2/M transition in cell division. The F-box–DB–ZBR–RL fragment of Emi2 is sufficient for mII arrest [50], and actually binds to the APC/C coactivator Cdc20 in vitro (Supplementary Fig. S1). Therefore, we examined whether the Emi2 ZBR fragment interacts with Cdc20 in the cell, by a co-IP analysis with an anti-Cdc20 antibody that precipitates both Cdc20 and Cdc20-bound APC/C. In fact, all of the F-box–DB–ZBR–RL and ZBR fragments co-precipitated with Cdc20 (Fig. 2D). On the other hand, the binding of the ZBR fragment to APC/C was not detectable by the co-IP analysis of cell extracts of the AcGFP-Emi2 ZBR fragment transfectants with an anti-GFP antibody (Fig. 2C). These results indicated that the ZBR domain is responsible for Cdc20 binding. Intriguingly, the Cdc20 bound with the ZBR fragment hardly co-precipitated with APC/C (Fig. 2D). We speculate that the mechanism underlying the phenotype at 3 days post-transfection of the Emi2 ZBR fragment may be that the ZBR fragment binds to Cdc20, and dissociates it from APC/C.

On the other hand, the ZBR–RL and F-box–DB–ZBR–RL fragments exhibited weaker Cdc20 separation activities, probably because the C-terminal tail connects the ZBR-Cdc20 complex to APC/C, and the F-box–DB region reinforces the interaction (Fig. 2C). In general, the F-box and DB motifs mediate the recognition of targets, such as CCRP, by the E3 ubiquitin ligases, including APC/C, for degradation by the ubiquitin–proteasome system (UPS) in cells. The F-box and DB motifs may trigger the initial binding of Emi2 to the Cdc20-bound APC/C to enhance the inhibitory mechanism further, rather than exerting inhibition by the classical pseudosubstrate mechanism.

3.3. Structural and functional analyses of the Emi2 ZBR–RL region

The [1H,15N] HSQC spectra of the Emi2 ZBR–RL fragment showed well-dispersed resonances, with sharp resonances clustered around 8.3 ppm (1H chemical-shift value). After the main-chain resonance assignment, the region spanning residues 566–617 of mouse Emi2 (the ZBR fragment) produced well-dispersed resonances with uniform signal intensities (Supplementary Fig. S2A, left). In contrast, the sharp resonances clustered around 8.3 ppm originated from the C-terminal residues 618–641, suggesting that this region is flexible and disordered (Supplementary Fig. S2A, right).

The solution structure of the Emi2 ZBR fragment was determined by multidimensional NMR spectroscopy (Fig. 3A; Supplementary Fig. S2B; Supplementary Table S1). The N-terminus of the Emi2 ZBR domain comprises two successive β-hairpins: the first is composed of β1 (K571–P572) and β2 (P579–A580), and...
the second is composed of β3 (K581–Q583) and p4 (R588–L590). The first zinc ion is coordinated with four ligand residues (C573 and C576 at the tip of the first β-hairpin, and C591 and C596 at the tip of the second β-hairpin). The two β-hairpins are tightly bound to each other, and form a scissor-like structure. The βj strand (D599–C601) associates with the βj strand in an anti-parallel manner, to form the C-terminal GAG knuckle-like zinc-binding site, including the C601, C604, H609, and C614 residues (Fig. 3A and C). The Emi2 ZBR domain adopts the same topology as the Emi1 ZBR domain (Fig. 3B).

The co-IP and IF analyses both indicated that the Emi2 ZBR–RL fragment interacts with ANAPC2 (Fig. 1E; Supplementary Fig. S1A). NMR titration experiments, using 13C- and 15N-labeled Emi2 ZBR–RL, revealed that the R615, G617, S624, V627, L628, A632, Q633, and K639 residues in the Emi2 ZBR–RL region interact with the cullin–winged-helix subdomain of ANAPC2 (Fig. 3C; Supplementary Fig. S2C). On the other hand, no chemical shift changes were observed for the NMR peaks corresponding to the RL residues at the C-terminus, which is required for ANAPC10 binding [42,49]. Therefore, the residues 615–627, corresponding to the region between the ZBR domain and the C-terminal RL residues [the “post-ZBR” (PZ) region], shown with a dashed line in Fig. 3C, are involved in the binding of Emi2 to ANAPC2. According to the cryo-EM analyses of APC/C, which indicated that ANAPC10 connects to ANAPC2 (ANAPC2–11 subcomplex) within intact APC/C [18,68,69], we consider that the RL residues at the C-terminus of Emi2 bind to the ANAPC10, whereas the PZ region interacts with the cullin-winged-helix subdomain of ANAPC2.

This architecture of the ZBR domain and the C-terminal tail consisting of the PZ region and the RL residues is evolutionally conserved in the Emi/Erp family (Fig. 3C; Supplementary Fig. S2D). We examined the effects of the over-expression of the ZBR–RL and ZBR fragments of Emi1 on cell division. The cells expressing the AcGFP-fused Emi1 ZBR–RL fragment exhibited sphere- or lin–winged-helix subdomain of ANAPC2 (Fig. 3C; Supplementary Fig. S2C). On the other hand, no chemical shift changes were observed for the NMR peaks corresponding to the RL residues at the C-terminus, which is required for ANAPC10 binding [42,49]. Therefore, the residues 615–627, corresponding to the region between the ZBR domain and the C-terminal RL residues [the “post-ZBR” (PZ) region], shown with a dashed line in Fig. 3C, are involved in the binding of Emi2 to ANAPC2. According to the cryo-EM analyses of APC/C, which indicated that ANAPC10 connects to ANAPC2 (ANAPC2–11 subcomplex) within intact APC/C [18,68,69], we consider that the RL residues at the C-terminus of Emi2 bind to the ANAPC10, whereas the PZ region interacts with the cullin-winged-helix subdomain of ANAPC2.

This architecture of the ZBR domain and the C-terminal tail consisting of the PZ region and the RL residues is evolutionally conserved in the Emi/Erp family (Fig. 3C; Supplementary Fig. S2D). We examined the effects of the over-expression of the ZBR–RL and ZBR fragments of Emi1 on cell division. The cells expressing the AcGFP-fused Emi1 ZBR–RL fragment exhibited sphere- or lin–winged-helix subdomain of ANAPC2 (Fig. 3C; Supplementary Fig. S2C). On the other hand, no chemical shift changes were observed for the NMR peaks corresponding to the RL residues at the C-terminus, which is required for ANAPC10 binding [42,49]. Therefore, the residues 615–627, corresponding to the region between the ZBR domain and the C-terminal RL residues [the “post-ZBR” (PZ) region], shown with a dashed line in Fig. 3C, are involved in the binding of Emi2 to ANAPC2. According to the cryo-EM analyses of APC/C, which indicated that ANAPC10 connects to ANAPC2 (ANAPC2–11 subcomplex) within intact APC/C [18,68,69], we consider that the RL residues at the C-terminus of Emi2 bind to the ANAPC10, whereas the PZ region interacts with the cullin-winged-helix subdomain of ANAPC2.

This architecture of the ZBR domain and the C-terminal tail consisting of the PZ region and the RL residues is evolutionally conserved in the Emi/Erp family (Fig. 3C; Supplementary Fig. S2D). We examined the effects of the over-expression of the ZBR–RL and ZBR fragments of Emi1 on cell division. The cells expressing the AcGFP-fused Emi1 ZBR–RL fragment exhibited sphere- or lin–winged-helix subdomain of ANAPC2 (Fig. 3C; Supplementary Fig. S2C). On the other hand, no chemical shift changes were observed for the NMR peaks corresponding to the RL residues at the C-terminus, which is required for ANAPC10 binding [42,49]. Therefore, the residues 615–627, corresponding to the region between the ZBR domain and the C-terminal RL residues [the “post-ZBR” (PZ) region], shown with a dashed line in Fig. 3C, are involved in the binding of Emi2 to ANAPC2. According to the cryo-EM analyses of APC/C, which indicated that ANAPC10 connects to ANAPC2 (ANAPC2–11 subcomplex) within intact APC/C [18,68,69], we consider that the RL residues at the C-terminus of Emi2 bind to the ANAPC10, whereas the PZ region interacts with the cullin-winged-helix subdomain of ANAPC2.

This architecture of the ZBR domain and the C-terminal tail consisting of the PZ region and the RL residues is evolutionally conserved in the Emi/Erp family (Fig. 3C; Supplementary Fig. S2D). We examined the effects of the over-expression of the ZBR–RL and ZBR fragments of Emi1 on cell division. The cells expressing the AcGFP-fused Emi1 ZBR–RL fragment exhibited sphere- or ball-like shapes at three days post-transfection (Fig. 3D). However, in contrast to the phenotypes of cells expressing the ZBR fragment of Emi2, almost all of the cells expressing the ZBR fragment of Emi1 lacked the abnormal mitotic phenotypes, including giant cell formation (Fig. 3E). These results suggested that the roles of the ZBR domains are different between Emi2 and Emi1.

3.4. Amino acid residues important for the cell-division inhibiting activity of the Emi2 ZBR domain

To identify the residues in the ZBR domain of Emi2 that are important for the cell-division inhibiting activity, we introduced single amino acid substitutions within the ZBR domain in the ZBR–RL and ZBR fragments. The well-conserved and exposed amino acid residues among the Emi/Erp ZBR domains were selected on a structural basis, and the zinc-coordination sites were excluded (Supplementary Fig. S3A). The effects of these mutations on the abnormal mitotic phenotype of HEK293T cells transfected with AcGFP-Emi2 ZBR–RL, the appearance of multinucleated cells in vivo was compared with that of K586 (Fig. 4E, the second panel). Therefore, the Emi2 ZBR-induced mitotic abnormality is the consequence of two different mechanisms. In this context, it was recently reported that the ZBR–RL region of Emi1 inhibits the E2C-mediated ubiquitin chain elongation with the intact APC/C polyubiquitylation system [41,48].
uitylation of E2C (Fig. 5B; Supplementary S4A). A mass spectrometry analysis of the poly-ubiquitin linkage type in our system indicated that K11 and K48 are the major linkages, with the former more abundant than the latter. Both ubiquitin linkage types are produced in CycB1 polyubiquitylation by the combined activities of the intact APC/C and E2C in vitro [74].

This in vitro polyubiquitylation assay unambiguously revealed that the Emi2 fragment corresponding to the ZBR–RL region inhibits the ubiquitin chain elongation in the absence of the natural target protein, the coactivator Cdc20, ANAPC10, and ubiquitin chain-extending E2, E2S/UBE2S (Fig. 5C). In addition, the in vitro assay confirmed that the ZBR–RL region of Emi1 also inhibits ubiquitin chain elongation (Fig. 5D), as reported with the intact APC/C polyubiquitylation system, in combination with E2C [41,48].

3.6. The ZBR domain of Emi2 inhibits the ubiquitylation by the APC/C cullin-RING module combined with E2C

The ZBR–RL fragment of Emi2 interacts with the cullin–winged-helix subdomain of ANAPC2, ANAPC2cw, as described above (Fig. 3C; Supplementary Fig. S2C). The ANAPC2cw region is reportedly sufficient for E2C binding and E3 activity [20]. We therefore established an in vitro polyubiquitylation system using the minimum cullin-RING ligase (CRL) module of APC/C (mCRLA), which was prepared by co-expression of ANAPC2cw and ANAPC11 in an E. coli cell-free protein synthesis system (Fig. 6A and B). The mCRLA exhibited the CRL3 activity of APC/C to elongate ubiquitin chains, and Emi2 ZBR–RL significantly decreased the rate of mCRLA-mediated ubiquitin chain elongation (Fig. 6C; Supplementary Fig. S5A). Our mCRLA protein lacks eukaryotic posttranslational modifications, whereas most APC/C subunits, including ANAPC2, are phosphorylated in the cell [75]. Consequently, no eukaryotic posttranslational modification is required, for either ubiquitin chain elongation or its inhibition by Emi2 ZBR–RL. Thus, the mCRLA system was shown to include the target of Emi2 ZBR–RL, and was therefore employed hereafter for the in vitro ubiquitin polymerization inhibition assay.

Remarkably, the ZBR fragment without the C-terminal tail still exhibited the inhibitory activity against ubiquitin chain elongation (Fig. 6D; Supplementary Fig. S5A). In contrast, an Emi1 fragment corresponding to the ZBR domain without the C-terminal tail, consisting of the PZ region and the RL residues, did not inhibit ubiquitin chain elongation by the mCRLA (Fig. 6E). Correspondingly, overexpression of the ZBR fragment of Emi1 did not impair mitosis under the same conditions (Fig. 3D and E).

The inhibitory activities of the Emi2 ZBR–RL and ZBR fragments against ubiquitin chain elongation were compared (Fig. 6F and G; Supplementary Fig. S5A). In comparison with the control, the levels of poly-ubiquitin chain production were reduced to 28% (78% reduction) and 58% (42% reduction) in the presence of the ZBR–RL and ZBR fragments, respectively. The inhibitory activity of the Emi2 ZBR fragment is increased only by about twofold, by fusion with the ZBR–RL domain, consistent with the observation that the ZBR fragments are not fully folded (Supplementary Fig. S6B, C). The side chain of K586 is oriented oppositely, as compared with that of K587 (Fig. 6E; Supplementary Fig. S5C). The side chain of K586 is oriented oppositely, as compared with that of K587 (Fig. 6F and I; Supplementary Fig. S5C, S6B, C). Thus, the Emi2 ZBR domain against ubiquitin chain elongation (Fig. 6H and I; Supplementary Fig. S6D). Furthermore, the K586M mutation impairs the Cdc20/APC/C interaction for both the ZBR and ZBR–RL fragments (Fig. 4C and D), and thus K586 contributes substantially to prevent Cdc20 re-association with the core APC/C (Fig. 4F).

The ubiquitin chain-extending E2, E2S/UBE2S, is an auxiliary factor for APC/C required for recovery from abnormally stopped cell proliferation, but not for normal cell-cycle progression [76]. The E2S drives the elongation of K11-linked ubiquitin chains and enhances protein degradation by branched ubiquitin chains [77, 78]. Recent studies reported that both E2C and E2S are required for rapid cyclin B degradation and exit from cytostatic arrest upon fertilization in Xenopus eggs [49]. The C-terminal RL residues of Emi2 competitively inhibits the binding of ubiquitin chain-extending E2, E2S/UBE2S to ANAPC10, and the RL residues of Emi2/12 compete with the tail of E2S for APC/C binding [48,49]. On the other hand, our results revealed that the ZBR–RL fragment of Emi2 interacts with ANAPC2, and clearly showed that the ZBR–RL fragments of Emi1 and Emi2 are available to inhibit the ubiquitin chain elongation by ANAPC2–11 and E2C (Fig. 5). In addition, E2C interacts with ANAPC2 [20,73], while E2S interacts with ANAPC10 [49]. Thus, it is possible that the Emi2/APC2–11 interaction inhibits APC2–11 E2C-mediated ubiquitylation, whereas the Emi2/APC10 interaction inhibits E2S-mediated ubiquitylation. On the other hand, Emi2 reportedly did not prevent the association of E2C with APC/C in the ubiquitylation reaction [47]. Moreover, our results showed that the ZBR–RL and ZBR fragments of Emi2 preferentially inhibit ubiquitin elongation (Figs. 5 and 6). Therefore, the Emi2 ZBR domain functions by interfering with the ubiquitin chain assembly reaction, rather than interrupting E2C binding to ANAPC2.

3.7. The key residues exerting the characteristics of the Emi2 ZBR domain

The functional residues of the ZBR domains were compared between Emi1 and Emi2 (Fig. 7A, B, and D). The ZBR residues K571, Q577, K586, K587, and C606 are highly conserved among the Emi2 orthologs from vertebrates (Fig. 3C; Supplementary Fig. S3A). In contrast, with the exception of K571, none of these residues are conserved in Emi1 or important for its function [41]. Thus, it seems that the roles of the ZBR domains are completely different between Emi2 and Emi1. Notably, the ZBR residues Q577, K586, and K587 (Fig. 7A) are highly conserved among the Emi2 orthologs from vertebrates. These residues are likely to be responsible for the Emi2-specific ZBR-domain functions. We therefore transplanted the Emi2-specific residues into the Emi1 ZBR fragment (Fig. 6C–E). These Emi1 ZBR mutants, except for N356Q (corresponding to Q577 of Emi2), gained the ubiquitylation inhibitory activity against the mCRLA system (Fig. 6E). These results confirmed that Q577 of Emi2 is not involved in the inhibition of ubiquitin chain elongation. Moreover, we examined the effects of these mutations on the mitotic phenotype of HEK293T cells, by a transfection assay with the expression vector encoding the AcGFP fused to the Emi1 ZBR-domain fragment. Mutations in the Emi1 ZBR fragment actually conferred the abnormal Emi1 ZBR-induced mito-
Fig. 7. The key residues exerting the characteristic inhibitory activity of the Emi2 ZBR-domain against APC/C. (A and B) Comparison of the Emi2 and Emi1 ZBRs by surface mapping of the functional residues involved in the inhibition of the APC/C E3 activity. The images on the top show surface representations of Emi2 ZBR (A) and Emi1 ZBR (B), in the same orientation. The merged images of the ribbon diagram and the surface structure are displayed at the bottom, respectively. The Emi2 residues colored red-brown and cyan correspond to the putative Cdc20 separation mechanism illustrated in Fig. 4F. K587 (cobalt blue) is responsible for the inhibition of ubiquitin chain elongation (Fig. 5H and I; Supplementary Fig. S6). The Emi1 residues colored yellow-orange are related to the inhibition of the ubiquitin chain elongation by the APC/C-Cdh1 with EZC [41]. (C) Pairwise sequence alignment of the ZBR–RL regions from the mouse (Mm) and human (Hs) Emi/Erp family proteins. The amino acid sequence similarity between mouse and human: Emi1, 79.2% identical, Emi2, 86.3% identical (GENETYX). Arrows indicate the target residues within the Emi1 ZBR domain for the transplantation of Emi2-specific residues: N356Q of Mm Emi1 for Q577 of Mm Emi2; L365K of Mm Emi1 for K586 of Mm Emi2; E366K of Mm Emi1 for K587 of Mm Emi2. The star indicates the functional Lys (K) residue conserved in the Emi1 and Emi2 ZBRs. (D) WB showing the effects of the transplantation of Emi2-specific residues into the Emi1 ZBR fragment on the mCRLA-mediated ubiquitin chain elongation. (E) Cellular phenotypes associated with the AcGFP-Emi1 ZBR mutants constructed by the transplantation of the Emi2-specific residues. Scale bar, 100 μm. Images of these transfectants stained with Hoechst 33342 for nuclear DNA are shown in Supplementary Fig. S7. (F) The functional residues of the Emi2 ZBR-domain surface, which are related to the inhibition of the APC/C activity. (G) Schematic representation of the inhibition mechanisms against APC/C-Cdc20 by the Emi2 ZBR domain and the post-ZBR (PZ) region. The C-terminal tail of Emi2 consists of the PZ region and the RL residues. The PZ region binds to ANAPC2 within the cullin-RING ligase module of APC/C (ANAPC2–11 subcomplex) while the RL residues bind to ANAPC10. The Emi2 ZBR domain inhibits the ubiquitin chain elongation by the ANAPC2–11 subcomplex combined with E2C. On the other hand, the Emi2 ZBR domain binds to the coactivator Cdc20 and impairs its association with the APC/C core complex, thereby turning off the E3 activity. The PZ region enhances the ZBR-mediated activities by anchoring it to the APC/C catalytic core complex. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
tic phenotype, in the order N356Q/L365K/E366K ≈ N356Q/L365K > N356Q > L365K/E366K >>> E366K > L365K (Fig. 7E; Supplementary Fig. S7). We observed the Emi2-like abnormal mitotic phenotype in the Emi1 ZBR mutants with N356Q. In contrast, the L365K and E366K mutations hardly influenced cell division. These results indicate that the contribution of the APC/C activator separation to the cell-division inhibiting activity is superior to that of the ubiquitin elongation inhibition. Collectively, as shown in Fig. 7F, the putative Cdc20-dissociating residues of the Emi2 ZBR domain, K571, Q577, and C606, are clustered on one face (designated here as the “front face”) of the domain. On the other hand, the K587 residue, required for the inhibition of ubiquitin chain elongation, is located on the “side face”. The K586 residue, on the “back face”, is involved in the inhibition of ubiquitylation and responsible for preventing the re-association of APC/C Cdc20. Consequently, the ZBR domain of Emi2 exerts the cell-division inhibiting activity through a combination of two mechanisms (Fig. 7G). In one, the ZBR domain binds to the coactivator Cdc20 and impairs its association with the APC/C core complex. In the other, the ZBR inhibits the ubiquitin chain elongation by the APC/C cullin-RING ligase (CRL) module, ANAPC2–11 complex, in combination with the ubiquitin chain initiating E2, E2C. In addition, we determined the solution structure of the Emi2 ZBR domain, and the post-ZBR (PZ) region interacts with the CRL module of APC/C.

4. Conclusions

The present finding of the two novel inhibitory mechanisms (separation of Cdc20 and inhibition of E2C) for the Emi2 ZBR domain will provide a solid foundation for future studies on the functions of Emi2 in in vivo systems, i.e., mammalian oocytes and early embryos. In particular, we identified the single mutations within the Emi2 ZBR domain that selectively impair each of the two new inhibitory mechanisms, which will be useful for distinguishing the multiple inhibitory mechanisms potentially mediated by Emi2. We hope that our findings will be shared and utilized among the scientific community.
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