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Abstract

In supersymmetric (SUSY) models the misalignment between fermion and sfermion families introduces unsuppressed flavor-changing processes. Even if the mass parameters are chosen to give no flavor violation, family dependent radiative corrections make this adjustment not stable. We analyze the rate of $\ell \to \ell' \gamma$ in SUSY-GUT models with three quasi-degenerate neutrinos and universal scalar masses at the Planck scale. We pay special attention to a recently proposed scenario where the low-energy neutrino mixings are generated from identical quark and lepton mixings at large scales. We show that:

(i) To take universal slepton masses at the GUT scale is a very poor approximation, even in no-scale models. (ii) For large neutrino Yukawa couplings the decay $\mu \to e\gamma$ would be observed in the planned experiment at PSI. (iii) For large values of $\tan \beta$ the tau coupling gives important corrections, pushing $\mu \to e\gamma$ and $\tau \to \mu\gamma$ to accessible rates. In particular, the non-observation of these processes in the near future would exclude the scenario with unification of quark and lepton mixing angles. (iv) The absence of lepton flavor violating decays in upcoming experiments would imply a low value of $\tan \beta$, small neutrino couplings, and large ($\gtrsim 250$ GeV) SUSY-breaking masses.

PACS numbers: 12.60.Jv; 13.35.-r; 14.60.Pq; 14.60.St
1 Introduction

Supersymmetric (SUSY) extensions of the standard model introduce new sources of flavor non-conservation. Essentially, the three fermion families get SUSY masses from Yukawa interactions, whereas their scalar partners must get additional SUSY-breaking masses with a different origin. In general this will produce a misalignment between fermions and sfermions and then tree-level flavor-changing couplings to gauginos and higgsinos [1].

An acceptable SUSY-breaking mass matrix $m^2$ should be (nearly) diagonal after the rotation diagonalizing the corresponding fermion matrix. The most economical solution is that $m^2 \propto 1$, and the three scalar masses coincide at the tree level. In particular, in the most popular scenario SUSY is broken in a hidden sector only connected via gravitational interactions with the standard model. Being universal, gravity could generate identical masses for the three sfermion families near the Planck scale [2]. Obviously, this universality would be broken by radiative corrections [3,4], as the observed pattern of fermion masses tells us that the interactions of the three families with the Higgs fields are very different.

The renormalization-group (RG) corrections to universal SUSY-breaking squark masses and their implications on $K$ and $B$ physics have been extensively studied [5]. In the quark sector the Yukawa couplings define a pattern with a heavy third family and small mixing angles with the lighter families. At low energies, in the basis of quark mass eigenstates the squark mass matrix is not diagonal, with off-diagonal terms determined by this pattern. In particular, the top-quark corrections on the light quark sector are reduced by the small size of the mixings.1 Here we would like to study the leptonic sector. Although charged-lepton and down-quark masses do not look too different, the results on neutrino oscillations (see [7] for a recent review) suggest a completely different pattern of Yukawa couplings. First of all, they have to accommodate large lepton mixings ($3\sigma$ limits from [8]):

\[ 0.47 < \sin \theta_{12} < 0.67, \]
\[ 0.56 < \sin \theta_{23} < 0.83, \]
\[ \sin \theta_{13} < 0.23. \] (1)

Second, the observed mass differences [8]

\[ 5.4 < \Delta m^2_{21}/10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2 < 10 \quad \text{or} \quad 14 < \Delta m^2_{21}/10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2 < 19, \]
\[ 1.5 < \Delta m^2_{32}/10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2 < 3.9 \] (2)

can be realized in different ways: with hierarchical Yukawa couplings (mass differences of the order of the larger mass), or with almost degenerate couplings (mass differences much smaller than masses). In addition, the couplings can be large or small, since the values of the neutrino masses depend on the large masses $M$ of the right-handed neutrinos that appear in the seesaw mechanism [9]. In this way, a mass of order 1 eV can be generated by a coupling $Y_\nu \approx 1$ with

1See [6] for a recent analysis of the correlation between quark and lepton flavor-changing processes in SUSY-GUTs. There it is shown that the strongest bounds on these models will be set by upcoming experiments on lepton decays.
$M \approx 10^{14}$ GeV or by $Y_\nu \approx 10^{-2}$ with $M \approx 10^{10}$ GeV. Another difference with the quark sector has to do with the presence of more complex phases. In the neutrino sector all the low energy observables (three neutrino masses and three complex mixings) together with the masses of the three right-handed neutrinos do not fix completely the matrix $Y_\nu$ of Yukawa couplings. There appears a complex orthogonal matrix $R$ \cite{10} that is important in the physics above the large scale $M$ (for example, a complex $R$ would be necessary to generate leptogenesis \cite{11}).

In this paper we study the lepton flavor violating decays $\ell \to \ell' \gamma$ in SUSY models with universal scalar masses at the Planck scale. A first objective is to evaluate the relevance of the corrections due to the running of the masses between $M_P = \frac{M_{\text{Planck}}}{\sqrt{8\pi}} \approx 2 \times 10^{18}$ GeV and the GUT scale $M_X \approx 2 \times 10^{16}$. In particular, we will compare the three branching ratios $\ell \to \ell' \gamma$ taking universal masses at $M_X$ and at $M_P$. We will include the case where the scalar masses vanish at $M_P$ and are generated by (flavor blind) gaugino radiative corrections (the no-scale model). We will discuss three types of RG corrections introducing lepton flavor violation: corrections from the top quark Yukawa coupling, which affect the masses of the charged slepton singlets in SU(5) models; corrections from a large tau Yukawa coupling, which is the case in models with large $\tan \beta$; and corrections from the neutrino Yukawa couplings, which dominate in the usual models with universal masses at $M_X$. We show that the second type of corrections (usually neglected in the literature) can change the off-diagonal slepton masses in a $\approx 10\%$ for universal masses at $M_X$ or by a factor of order 2 for universal masses at $M_P$.

A second objective in this paper is to analyze what is the rate of lepton flavor violation in a particular model of neutrino masses and mixings recently proposed by Mohapatra et al. \cite{12}. They observe that the angles required in these experiments can be obtained from leptonic mixing angles identical to the ones in the quark sector at large scales (see Fig. 1). Although this possibility requires $\tan \beta \approx 50$ and some amount of fine tuning (the degeneracy of the neutrino masses must increase with the running, their values get focused in the infrared by tau corrections), we think it provides a well motivated scenario. It suggests that the dominant source of fermion mixing is in the interactions of down quarks and charged leptons, something natural in the simplest unification models (their couplings may unify, for example, in SU(5)). The large values of the tau Yukawa coupling required imply a violation of flavor symmetry that could be in conflict with the data.

In our analysis we will consider quasi-degenerate neutrinos, with a mass $m_\nu \approx 0.2$ eV that is compatible with $m_\nu < 2.2$ eV ($^3\text{H }\beta-$decay), $m_\nu < 0.7/3$ eV (MAW) and $m_\nu < (0.35-1.05)$ eV ($\beta\beta_0$) \cite{13}. We will assume that CP is conserved, with all the light neutrinos having the same CP parity and with a real matrix of Yukawa couplings. This implies a real matrix $R$ and real neutrino mixings (it has been shown that a complex $R$ may enhance the rate of lepton flavor violation in several orders of magnitude \cite{14}).

For the mixing angles we will reproduce the values in Eq. (1), with $\theta_{13}$ below the bounds provided by the CHOOZ \cite{15} and Palo Verde \cite{16} experiments. One should note, however, that very small values of this angle are not stable under radiative corrections \cite{17}. Taking a zero value at $M_X$ we obtain at low energies values that go from $\theta_{13} \approx 0.1$ for large $\tan \beta$ to $\theta_{13} \approx 5 \times 10^{-5}$ for small $\tan \beta$ and low $M$ (i.e. small values of all the lepton Yukawa couplings).
Figure 1: Evolution of lepton mixing angles from CKM-like mixings at the GUT scale. The resulting low-energy angles are compatible with neutrino oscillation data ($\tan \beta = 50$).

2 Radiative corrections to slepton masses

Universal SUSY-breaking masses generated by gravitational interactions receive radiative corrections from Yukawa interactions. In the minimal supersymmetric standard model (MSSM) with right-handed neutrinos the relevant trilinear couplings in the superpotential are

$$W = Y_{e}^{ij} E^{c}_{i} H_{1} L_{j} + Y_{\nu}^{ij} N^{c}_{i} H_{2} L_{j},$$

where $L_{i}$, $E^{c}_{i}$ and $N^{c}_{i}$ stand for the three families of lepton doublets, charged singlets, and neutrino singlets, respectively. $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are the MSSM Higgs-doublet superfields. We will assume that the neutrino singlets get a common mass at an intermediate scale $M$. Notice that the strength of the couplings necessary to reproduce the light neutrino mass spectrum depends on $M$, becoming top-quark-like when $M \approx 10^{14}$ GeV.\(^3\)

(i) Universal scalar masses at $M_X$

Most previous analyses of the RG corrections [10, 18–21] take universal slepton masses $m_0$ at the GUT scale $M_X$, neglecting their running between $M_P$ and $M_X$. We will compare the results in that case with the ones in a minimal scenario with SU(5) gauge symmetry between both scales, and will show that the approximation (even in the no-scale case) is very poor.

We take at the GUT scale diagonal charged lepton couplings $Y_e$ and include all the lepton mixing in $Y_{\nu}$. Taking universal SUSY breaking masses\(^4\) at $M_X$, the RG corrections introduce

\(^2\)The contraction of SU(2) indices of two doublets is $AB \equiv \epsilon_{ab} A^a B^b$, where $\epsilon_{ab}$ is the antisymmetric tensor.

\(^3\)The seesaw implies $Y_{\nu} \sim \sqrt{m_{\nu} M / (v \sin \beta)}$.

\(^4\)We neglect the scalar trilinears.
two relevant effects (see e.g. [10, 22] for the RG equations of the MSSM with right-handed neutrinos). First, the running down to $M$ generates off-diagonal terms in the slepton-doublet mass matrix:

$$m_{\tilde{L} \bar{ij}}^2 \approx -\frac{3}{8\pi^2} m_0^2 (Y^{\dagger}_\nu Y_\nu)^{ij} \log \frac{M_X}{M}.$$  (4)

Second, the running also generates off-diagonal terms in $Y_e$. The low-energy charged-lepton mass matrix must be rediagonalized with rotations $\theta_{e12}, \theta_{e13}$ and $\theta_{e23}$ of order

$$\theta_{eij}^e \approx -\frac{1}{16\pi^2} (Y^{\dagger}_\nu Y_\nu)^{ij} \log \frac{M_X}{M}.$$  (5)

in the space of the three lepton doublets. For large values of $\tan \beta$ the tau coupling separates the third slepton family from the other two (it decreases $m_{\tilde{L}33}$ by a 20%; see below). When the rotation (5) is performed also in the space of slepton doublets, it induces new off-diagonal terms. More precisely, it gives a correction (usually neglected in the literature) of order $1/(16\pi^2) Y^2_\tau \log(M_X/M_Z)$ to the terms $m_{\tilde{L}i3}^2$ in Eq. (4).

To quantify the lepton-slepton misalignment we show $m_{L}^2$ at the electroweak scale $M_Z$ for $m_0 = 300$ GeV at $M_X$. We set the common gaugino mass $m_{1/2} = 300$ GeV and distinguish large and small values of $\tan \beta$ (50 and 3, respectively). We take in both cases large values of $Y_\nu$ (around 0.9 at $M_X$, corresponding to $M = 10^{14}$ GeV) that reproduce the observed pattern of neutrino mixings and mass differences with $m_i \approx 0.2$ eV, obtaining

$$m_L^2 = (353 \text{ GeV})^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -10^{-4} & -2 \times 10^{-5} \\ -10^{-4} & 0.999 & -5 \times 10^{-4} \\ -2 \times 10^{-5} & -5 \times 10^{-4} & 0.793 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\tan \beta = 50) ,$$  (6)

$$m_L^2 = (352 \text{ GeV})^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -5 \times 10^{-5} & 5 \times 10^{-5} \\ -5 \times 10^{-5} & 0.997 & -3 \times 10^{-3} \\ 5 \times 10^{-5} & -3 \times 10^{-3} & 0.996 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\tan \beta = 3).$$  (7)

The differences in the off-diagonal terms are due to the different mixings assumed at the GUT scale (CKM-like for $\tan \beta = 50$ and bimaximal for $\tan \beta = 3$), with a 10% contribution to $m_{\tilde{L}33}^2$ from the rediagonalization of the charged lepton mass matrix in the case of large $\tan \beta$. The differences in the diagonal terms are only due to tau corrections (negligible for $\tan \beta = 3$).

\textit{(ii) Universal scalar masses at $M_P$}

Now let us give an estimate of the running that includes RG corrections between $M_P$ and $M_X$. We will consider an SU(5) grand unification framework [4, 23, 24], as it is the simplest possibility. The LFV effects that we will find are minimal in the sense that the unified theory could contain other sizable family-dependent couplings in addition to the ones required to embed the MSSM. We do not include threshold effects at the GUT scale [4], which could be as well an important source of lepton flavor asymmetry [25].

In SUSY-SU(5) each generation of quark doublets, up-quark singlets and charged-lepton singlets can be accommodated in the same $\bf{10}$ irrep ($\Psi_i$) of the group, whereas lepton doublets and down-quark singlets would be in the $\overline{5}$ ($\Phi_i$). We also need gauge singlets ($N_i^c$) to generate
neutrino masses, and a vectorlike $5 + \bar{5}$ ($H_2$ and $H_1$) to include the two standard Higgs doublets. Other vectorlike fermions or the Higgs representations needed to break the GUT symmetry are not essential in our calculation. Including just the three fermion families the trilinear terms in the superpotential read\(^5\) [26]

$$W_{\text{SU}(5)} = \frac{1}{4} Y_{ij}^u \Psi_i \Psi_j H_2 + \sqrt{2} Y_{ij}^{d/e} \Psi_i \Phi_j H_1 + Y_{ij}^{\nu} N_i^c \Phi_j H_2. \quad (8)$$

At $M_X$ we do the matching of Yukawa couplings in the following way. (i) First we diagonalize $Y_d$ and $Y_e$, and include all the quark mixing in $Y_u$ and all the lepton mixing in $Y_\nu$. (ii) The matrix $Y_u$ (symmetrized through a rotation of the up quark singlets) is then matched to the analogous matrix above $M_X$. (iii) We do not assume tau-bottom unification. To define $Y_{d/e}$ we determine the tau and the bottom masses at $M_X$ ($m_\tau^0$ and $m_b^0$); if the tau mass is larger, as it is usually the case for both the small and the large values of tan $\beta$ that we consider, we match $Y_{d/e}$ to $Y_e$. The smaller bottom mass would then be explained through mixing ($\theta$) of the bottom component in $\Phi_3$ with the bottom component in a $\Phi + \bar{\Phi}$, which would reduce its coupling by a factor of $\cos \theta = m_b^0 / m_\tau^0$. For intermediate values of tan $\beta$ the bottom is heavier than the tau at $M_X$, so we would proceed in the opposite way. The lighter charged-lepton and down-quark masses could be separated by higher dimensional operators, but the radiative corrections that they introduce are irrelevant.

The matching of SUSY-breaking scalar masses is straightforward for squark doublets, up squark singlets, charged slepton singlets (all of them equal to $m_{\Psi}^2$ between $M_X$ and $M_P$) and sneutrino singlets ($m_{\tilde{N}}^2$). For down squark singlets and slepton doublets we take into account the mixing with vectorlike fields, which reduces the RG corrections of the Yukawa couplings on the bottom squark mass squared by a factor of $\cos^2 \theta$.

We give in the Appendix the RG equations between $M_X$ and $M_P$ of Yukawa couplings and SUSY-breaking masses. The running introduces three main effects on the flavor structure of the model, two of them add to the corrections described for universal masses at $M_X$, whereas the third one is new. At $M_X$ there appear new off-diagonal terms in the slepton-doublet mass matrix:

$$m_{\Psi}^2 \approx - \frac{3}{8\pi^2} m_0^2 (Y_\nu^\dagger Y_\nu)_{ij} \log \frac{M_P}{M_X}. \quad (9)$$

The second effect is a large mass separation of the third slepton family produced by tau corrections:

$$m_{\Phi}^2_{33} - m_{\Phi}^2_{ii} \approx - \frac{3}{2\pi^2} m_0^2 Y_{\tau}^2 \log \frac{M_P}{M_X}. \quad (10)$$

This mass splitting will introduce off-diagonal mass terms once the charged-lepton Yukawa matrix is rediagonalized at low energies. The final (new) effect has to do with the slepton-singlet mass matrix. In the minimal SU(5) model this matrix coincides with the one for up squarks, so it will be affected by large top quark radiative corrections. At $M_X$ there will be

\(^5\Psi \Psi H_2 \equiv \epsilon_{abcde} \Psi^{abc} \Psi^{def} H_2^e \), $\Psi \Phi H_1 \equiv \Psi^{abc} \Phi_a H_1^b$ and $N^c \Phi H_2 \equiv N^c \Phi_a H_2^a$, where $a, ..., e = 1, ..., 5$ are SU(5) indices and $\epsilon_{abcde}$ is the totally antisymmetric tensor.
off-diagonal terms of order

\[
m^2_{\tilde{\Psi} \, ij} \approx -\frac{9}{8\pi^2} m_0^2 (Y_u^\dagger Y_u)_{ij} \log \frac{M_P}{M_X}.
\]  

(11)

where \(Y_u\) contains the whole CKM rotation (we take \(Y_{d/e}\) diagonal at \(M_X\)). This effect was first considered in [23].

To illustrate the relevance of the corrections between \(M_P\) and \(M_X\) we give \(m^2_L\) at \(M_Z\) and compare it with the matrices obtained for universal scalar masses at \(M_X\). We take \(m_{1/2} = 275\) GeV and \(m_0 = 300\) GeV at \(M_P\), which give at \(M_X\) similar values to the ones used in Eqs. (6,7):

\[
m^2_{\tilde{\Phi}} = (353\) GeV\(^2\) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -4 \times 10^{-4} & -7 \times 10^{-5} \\ -4 \times 10^{-4} & 0.997 & -2 \times 10^{-3} \\ -7 \times 10^{-5} & -2 \times 10^{-3} & 0.567 \end{pmatrix} (\tan \beta = 50),
\]  

(12)

\[
m^2_{\tilde{\Phi}} = (349\) GeV\(^2\) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 \times 10^{-4} & 2 \times 10^{-4} \\ -2 \times 10^{-4} & 0.990 & -10^{-2} \\ 2 \times 10^{-4} & -10^{-2} & 0.989 \end{pmatrix} (\tan \beta = 3).
\]  

(13)

A final comment concerns the no-scale models. In these models all scalar SUSY-breaking masses are zero at the Planck scale and non-zero values are generated mainly by \textit{flavor-blind} gaugino corrections (see the RG equations in the Appendix). Once the scalar masses are generated, however, family-dependent Yukawas will separate them. Therefore, it is not clear whether or not it is justified to take universal masses at \(M_X\) in this scenario. Contrary to the usual claim, we find that the mass splittings and the off-diagonal terms in the scalar sector at \(M_X\) are only reduced by a factor of \(\approx 0.8\) if the initial masses are taken zero at \(M_P\). We show in Fig.2a \(\sqrt{m^2_{\tilde{\Phi}}\, ii}\) at \(M_X\) for \(m_0 = 300\) GeV and \(m_{1/2} = 275\) GeV and and for \(m_0 = 0\) GeV and \(m_{1/2} = 580\) GeV at \(M_P\), taking \(M = 10^{14}\) GeV and a large value of \(\tan \beta\). In the first case we obtain \(\sqrt{m^2_{\tilde{\Phi}\, 11}} \approx \sqrt{m^2_{\tilde{\Phi}\, 22}} = 300\) GeV, and \(\sqrt{m^2_{\tilde{\Phi}\, 33}} = 240\) GeV, whereas in the no-scale case we get \(\sqrt{m^2_{\tilde{\Phi}\, 11}} \approx \sqrt{m^2_{\tilde{\Phi}\, 22}} = 300\) GeV, and \(\sqrt{m^2_{\tilde{\Phi}\, 33}} = 260\) GeV. In Fig.2b we plot \(\sqrt{|m^2_{\tilde{\Psi}\, ij}|}\) in the same two cases, with qualitatively the same behaviour for this parameter. We conclude that the no-scale possibility does \textit{not} justify taking universal SUSY-breaking scalar masses at the GUT scale \(M_X\).

3 Lepton flavor violation: \(\mu \to e\gamma, \tau \to e\gamma, \tau \to \mu\gamma\)

Let us now determine how the misalignment between lepton and slepton families translates into flavor-violating decays. The present experimental limits are

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{BR}(\mu \to e\gamma) &< 1.2 \times 10^{-11} \quad [27], \\
\text{BR}(\tau \to e\gamma) &< 2.7 \times 10^{-6} \quad [28], \\
\text{BR}(\tau \to \mu\gamma) &< 6 \times 10^{-7} \quad [29],
\end{align*}
\]  

(14) 

(15) 

(16)
whereas future searches will be sensitive to branching ratios of up to

$$\text{BR}(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma) < 10^{-14} \quad [30],$$
$$\text{BR}(\tau \rightarrow \mu\gamma) < 10^{-9} \quad [31].$$

A detailed description of all the diagrammatics involved in these processes can be found in [32]. Here we will just apply that calculation to the particular set of parameters described in the previous section.

We will distinguish four cases: large (a) and small (b) values of $\tan \beta$ (50 and 3, respectively), and large (1) and small (2) values of the neutrino Yukawa couplings (corresponding to values of $M$ of $10^{14}$ and $5 \times 10^{11}$ GeV, respectively). As explained in the introduction, the large values of $\tan \beta$ define a consistent scenario with unification of the quark and lepton mixing angles at $M_X$. In the cases with $\tan \beta = 3$ we take a bimaximal mixing with $\theta_{13} = 0$ at $M_X$, which corresponds to low-energy values $\theta_{13} \approx 10^{-4}$. Values of $\theta_{13}$ up to $10^{-2}$ give negligible effects on the branching ratios, whereas larger values (up to the experimental limit in Eq. (1)) give important corrections to the rates of $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ and $\tau \rightarrow e\gamma$.

(i) Universal scalar masses at $M_X$

In our numerical analysis we take a universal gaugino mass $m_{1/2} = 100, 300, 500$ GeV at the GUT scale. In each case we vary the common slepton mass parameter $m_0$ at $M_X$ between 1 TeV and the minimum value that gives acceptable masses for all the slepton fields at low

Figure 2: Mass splittings and off-diagonal terms [GeV] generated in the running of $m^2_\Phi$ from $M_P$ to $M_X$. The diagonal terms for the first and second families coincide. Dashed lines correspond to no-scale models ($m_0 = 0$ at $M_P$).
energies (we take the bounds from [33]). Notice that this minimum value strongly depends on
the gaugino mass parameter, as it gives important RG corrections that increase the low-energy
value of the slepton masses.

The results for the four cases (a1, a2, b1, b2) are summarized in Fig. 3. For each case we
plot the branching ratios of $\mu \to e\gamma$ (solid), $\tau \to e\gamma$ (dashes) and $\tau \to \mu\gamma$ (dots). In all the
cases the three branching ratios are dominated by diagrams with exchange of charginos and
sneutrinos [32]. The rates of $\mu \to e\gamma$ and $\tau \to e\gamma$ in the cases with low $\tan \beta$ would be scaled
by a factor $\approx (\theta_{13}/10^{-2})^2$ for values of this angle close to the experimental bound $\theta_{13} \approx 0.2$.

(ii) Universal scalar masses at $M_P$

Now we take $m_{1/2} = 100, 300, 500$ GeV at the Planck scale and universal scalar masses
$m_0^{\tilde{D}} = m_0^{\tilde{Q}} = m_0^{\tilde{U}}$ also at the same scale. Again, we vary the parameter $m_0$ between 1 TeV
and the minimum value not excluded experimentally. The value $m_0 = 0$ at $M_P$, corresponding
to no-scale models, is acceptable for large gaugino masses.

The results for the four cases described above are given in Fig. 4. The process $\mu \to e\gamma$
is dominated by chargino-sneutrino diagrams for large neutrino Yukawa couplings (cases a1 and
b1) and by neutralino-slepton singlet diagrams otherwise. These second diagrams also dominate
in $\tau \to \mu\gamma$ and $\tau \to e\gamma$ for all the values of $\tan \beta$ and $M$ analyzed. The rate of $\mu \to e\gamma$ in the
case b1 would scale by a factor of $\approx (\theta_{13}/10^{-2})^2$ for larger values of this mixing angle, whereas
the rest of processes in case b1 and the three processes in case b2 would not change notably.

4 Discussion

The first question that we would like to address is how relevant are the RG corrections between
the Planck and the GUT scales. Comparing Figs. 3 and 4 we find, for example, that these
corrections can increase $\text{BR}(\tau \to \mu\gamma)$ in four orders of magnitude (case a2) or $\text{BR}(\mu \to e\gamma)$ in
two orders of magnitude (case b2). The approximation of equal slepton masses at $M_X$ is then
clearly not justified. As discussed in Section 2, even in no-scale models it gives a poor estimate
of the slepton mass matrix at low energies.

We have shown that in the running between $M_P$ and $M_X$ both top quark and tau lepton
corrections may be relevant. Top corrections affect the charged slepton singlet masses $m_{\tilde{E}\gamma}^2$,
making the contributions mediated by slepton singlet and neutralino of the same order as the
ones mediated by slepton doublet and chargino (which are proportional to $m_{\tilde{L}\gamma}^2$). These
corrections have been analyzed in the past [23, 34] in some detail. On the other hand, large
tau corrections (in the large $\tan \beta$ regime) decrease the mass of the third slepton family, which
introduces off-diagonal terms when the slepton matrix is rotated to the basis of charged-lepton
mass eigenstates (see Eqs. (5) and (10); the charged-lepton Yukawas get off-diagonal terms in
the running down to low energies). The analysis of tau corrections that we present here is
absent in all previous studies of $\ell \to \ell'\gamma$, and it is relevant since it may amplify by a factor of
2 the rate of lepton flavor violation (notice that these corrections add to the linear scaling of
Figure 3: Branching ratios of $\ell \to \ell' \gamma$ for $m_{1/2} = 100, 300, 500$ GeV and different values of the scalar mass parameter $m_0$ at $M_X$. Cases (a) and (b) correspond to $\tan \beta = 50, 3$, whereas cases (1) and (2) correspond to $M = 10^{14}, 5 \times 10^{11}$ GeV, respectively. Lower values of $m_0$ for $m_{1/2} = 100$ GeV give slepton masses excluded by present bounds.
Figure 4: Branching ratios of $\ell \to \ell'\gamma$ for $m_{1/2} = 100, 300, 500$ GeV and different values of the scalar mass parameter $m_0$ at $M_P$. Cases (a) and (b) correspond to $\tan\beta = 50, 3$, whereas cases (1) and (2) correspond to $M = 10^{14}, 5 \times 10^{11}$ GeV, respectively. $m_0 = 0$ defines a no-scale scenario.
the amplitudes with $\tan^2 \beta$ [35]).

A second point in our work is to establish if the large $\tan \beta$ model proposed by Mohapatra et al. in [12] respects the present bounds on $\ell \to \ell'\gamma$, and what would be the prospects at future experiments. From cases (a1) and (a2) in Fig. 4 we see that large values of the neutrino couplings ($M = 10^{14}$ GeV) would imply a $\text{BR}(\mu \to e\gamma)$ already excluded. On the other hand, low values of the neutrino couplings ($M = 5 \times 10^{11}$ GeV) would make the model consistent with all data, although the non-observation of $\mu \to e\gamma$ at PSI [30] or of $\tau \to \mu\gamma$ at KEK [31] would exclude this model. These conclusions would be completely different if one neglects the running between $M_P$ and $M_X$ (see Fig. 3), as the model could be consistent with the non observation of lepton flavor violation at present and near future experiments.

From Fig. 4 we can extract as well how severe is the generic flavor problem of SUSY models in the lepton sector. For low values of $\tan \beta$ the tau coupling is small, and we obtain (for $\theta_{13} < 0.01$) $\text{BR}(\mu \to e\gamma) \lesssim 10^{-11}$ (see the cases b1 and b2 in Fig. 4), a branching ratio that is within the present experimental limits. Larger values of $\tan \beta$ will always put constraints on the SUSY-breaking mass parameters. For example, the large values of $\tan \beta$ required in [12] respect the bounds on $\mu \to e\gamma$ only if the gaugino mass parameter $m_{1/2}$ is larger than 500 GeV (case a1 in Fig. 4) or if the neutrino Yukawa couplings are small enough, with $M \lesssim 10^{11}$ GeV (case a2 in Fig. 4).

A final point is whether these SUGRA models imply that lepton flavor-violating processes are necessarily going to be observed in upcoming experiments. Again, both tau and neutrino Yukawa couplings play a relevant role in the answer to that question. If all the lepton couplings are small (i.e. for low values of $\tan \beta$ and $M$), taking $m_{1/2}, m_0 \gtrsim 500$ GeV we find $\text{BR}(\mu \to e\gamma) \lesssim 10^{-15}$, a value that could avoid the $10^{-14}$ limit projected at PSI [30]. For lighter SUSY-breaking masses or larger values of $\tan \beta$ or $M$ the gravity-mediated scenario of SUSY-breaking that we have considered predicts accessible rates of $\mu \to e\gamma$. Another process with good experimental prospects is $\tau \to \mu\gamma$. This process is sensitive to the tau coupling and less dependent on neutrino couplings (see the different cases in Fig. 4). For $m_{1/2} = 300$ GeV its branching ratio goes from $10^{-8}$ for large $\tan \beta$ to $10^{-11}$ for low values of $\tan \beta$ and $M$. In summary, the non-observation of $\mu \to e\gamma$ and $\tau \to \mu\gamma$ would imply very low values of the Yukawas of the neutrinos and of $\tan \beta$. Comparing with the plots in Fig. 3, we see that this generic conclusion can not be obtained if one assumes universality of the SUSY-breaking masses at $M_{\text{GUT}}$ instead of $M_P$.
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\section{A \ RG corrections between $M_P$ and $M_X$}

The relevant RG equations between the Planck and the GUT scales are given below [24]. We neglect the scalar trilinears and define $t \equiv \log(Q/Q_0)$.
• Gauge coupling \([\alpha_G = g_5^2/(4\pi)]\):

\[
\frac{d\alpha_G}{dt} = -\frac{3}{2\pi} \alpha_G^2 .
\]  

(19)

• Gaugino mass:

\[
\frac{dM_5}{dt} = -\frac{3}{2\pi} \alpha_G M_5 .
\]  

(20)

• Yukawa couplings:

\[
16\pi^2 \frac{d}{dt} Y_u = Y_u \left[ 3 \text{Tr}(Y_u^\dagger Y_u) + \text{Tr}(Y_d/e Y_d/e) + 2Y_d/e Y_d/e + 3Y_u Y_u \right]
\]

\[
+ \left( 2Y_d/e Y_d/e + 3Y_u Y_u \right)^\dagger Y_u - \frac{96}{5} g_5^2 Y_u ,
\]

(21)

\[
16\pi^2 \frac{d}{dt} Y_d/e = Y_{d/e} \left[ 4 \text{Tr}(Y_d/e Y_d/e) + 2Y_d/e Y_d/e + 3Y_u Y_u \right]
\]

\[
+ \left[ 2Y_d/e Y_d/e + 3 \left( Y_{d/e} Y_{d/e} \right)^\dagger \right] Y_{d/e} - \frac{84}{5} g_5^2 Y_{d/e} ,
\]

(22)

\[
16\pi^2 \frac{d}{dt} Y_\nu = Y_\nu \left[ 3 \text{Tr}(Y_u^\dagger Y_u) + \text{Tr}(Y_d/e Y_d/e) \right]
\]

\[
+ 5 Y_\nu Y_\nu + 5 Y_\nu Y_\nu - \frac{48}{5} g_5^2 Y_\nu .
\]

(23)

• SUSY-breaking masses:

\[
8\pi^2 \frac{d}{dt} m_\Psi^2 = m_\Psi^2 \left( Y_{d/e}^\dagger Y_{d/e} + \frac{3}{2} Y_u Y_u \right) + \left( Y_{d/e}^\dagger Y_{d/e} + \frac{3}{2} Y_u Y_u \right) m_\Psi^2
\]

\[
+ 2Y_d/e (m_\Psi^2 + m_{H_1}) Y_{d/e} + 3Y_u (m_\Psi^2 + m_{H_2}) Y_u - \frac{72}{5} g_5^2 M_5^2 ,
\]

(24)

\[
8\pi^2 \frac{d}{dt} m_N^2 = m_N^2 \left[ 2(Y_{d/e} Y_{d/e})^\dagger + \frac{1}{2} Y_{\nu} Y_{\nu} \right] + \left[ 2(Y_{d/e} Y_{d/e})^\dagger + \frac{1}{2} Y_{\nu} Y_{\nu} \right] m_N^2
\]

\[
+ 4 Y_{d/e}^\dagger (m_\Psi^2 + m_{H_1}) Y_{d/e} + Y_\nu^\dagger (m_N^2 + m_{H_2}) Y_\nu - \frac{48}{5} g_5^2 M_5^2 ,
\]

(25)

\[
8\pi^2 \frac{d}{dt} m_{H_1}^2 = 4m_{H_1}^2 \text{Tr}(Y_{d/e}^\dagger Y_{d/e}) + 4 \text{Tr}(Y_{d/e} m_\Psi^2 Y_{d/e})
\]

\[
+ 4 \text{Tr}(Y_{d/e} m_\Psi^2 Y_{d/e}) - \frac{48}{5} M_5^2 ,
\]

(26)

\[
8\pi^2 \frac{d}{dt} m_{H_2}^2 = m_{H_2}^2 \left[ 3 \text{Tr}(Y_u^\dagger Y_u) + \text{Tr}(Y_{\nu} Y_{\nu}) \right] + 6 \text{Tr}(Y_u^\dagger m_\Psi^2 Y_u)
\]

\[
+ \text{Tr}(Y_\nu^\dagger m_N^2 Y_\nu) + \text{Tr}(Y_\nu m_\Psi^2 Y_\nu) - \frac{48}{5} M_5^2 .
\]

(27)
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