ABSTRACT: Birds such as the barn owl and zebra finch are known for their remarkable hearing abilities that are critical for survival, communication, and vocal learning functions. A key to achieving these hearing abilities is the speed and precision required for the temporal coding of sound—a process heavily dependent on the structural, synaptic, and intrinsic specializations in the avian auditory brainstem. Here, we review recent work from us and others focusing on the specialization of neurons in the chicken cochlear nucleus magnocellularis (NM)—a first-order auditory brainstem structure analogous to bushy cells in the mammalian anteroventral cochlear nucleus. Similar to their mammalian counterpart, NM neurons are mostly adendritic and receive auditory nerve input through large axosomatic endbulb of Held synapses. Axonal projections from NM neurons to their downstream auditory targets are sophisticatedly programmed regarding their length, caliber, myelination, and conduction velocity. Specialized voltage-dependent potassium and sodium channel properties also play important and unique roles in shaping the functional phenotype of NM neurons. Working synergistically with potassium channels, an atypical current known as resurgent sodium current promotes rapid and precise action potential firing for NM neurons. Interestingly, these structural and functional specializations vary dramatically along the tonotopic axis and suggest a plethora of encoding strategies for sounds of different acoustic frequencies, mechanisms likely shared across species.
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Introduction to the Avian Auditory Brainstem

Sensory systems of all vertebrates accurately encode important information from the surrounding environment—a process critical for survival and biological functions. This includes the auditory system, which relies on multiple nuclei in the brainstem to accurately encode temporal, frequency, and intensity information of sound. As a result, the auditory brainstem plays a pivotal role in sound localization and signal extraction in complex listening environments. For example, barn owls are able to localize the source of a sound with error as small as 1.5° in azimuth. This remarkable ability requires neurons in the auditory brainstem to recognize timing disparities between the two ears as small as 10 μs. More surprisingly, these auditory brainstem neurons are also able to follow and phase lock to acoustic inputs up to several thousand hertz. In addition to reliable sound localization, newborn ducklings are capable of distinguishing different maternal calls (ie, different temporal patterns and frequencies) and thus imprint on those from the same species only. For example, the maternal call of mallard ducks contains frequency information up to 3 kHz. This requires their auditory system to reliably encode high-frequency patterns of sounds. Interestingly, ducklings could not distinguish different maternal calls when their high-frequency hearing was impaired. These remarkable hearing abilities require ultrafast and temporally precise encoding of acoustic elements, which are rooted in the highly specialized properties of auditory brainstem neurons that form microcircuits. For more than a half of century, the avian auditory brainstem has been used as an excellent model system for studying development, auditory processing, and underlying mechanisms. Nevertheless, most of the studies focused on mid- to high-frequency regions with few exceptions in the homing pigeon and the domesticated chicken. These birds can hear sound as low as 2 Hz (ie, infrasound) and as noted by both studies; the bird’s perception of low-frequency sound is distinct compared with higher frequency sound. In this review, we discussed several important temporal coding mechanisms in the chicken auditory brainstem, along with the recent insights regarding neural properties for low-frequency sound processing.

Structural Specialization in Nucleus Magnocellularis

Neurons in nucleus magnocellularis (NM)—the avian analogue of bushy cells of the mammalian anteroventral cochlear nucleus (AVCN)—relay peripheral inputs through auditory nerve fibers (Figure 1A). Synapses at NM neurons are highly specialized for information transmission from the peripheral to central auditory system. However, structural specializations differ across the tonotopic axis, indicating diverse sound
frequency processing mechanisms. Nucleus magnocellularis neurons send bilateral projections to neurons in nucleus laminaris (NL, Figures 1A to C). Nucleus laminaris, the avian analogue to mammalian medial superior olive (MSO), receives inputs from both ears and functions as a coincidence detector for sound localization. To encode differences in the arrival of sound between the two ears with microsecond precision, microcircuits between NM and NL must also exhibit highly specialized structural organization (Figure 1B and C).

**Tonotopic heterogeneity of NM and its auditory nerve inputs**

Nucleus magnocellularis neurons are known for their rapid and reliable phase-locking ability to peripheral hearing inputs and thus are able to preserve critical temporal elements of sound information. This phase-locking ability is in part attributable to structural specializations of NM. Nucleus magnocellularis neurons are mostly adendritic, and as a result, auditory nerve fibers make direct contact onto the soma of NM neurons via large endbulb of Held synapses (Figure 2A and B, top). The number of release sites per endbulb is not known for birds, but can range from several hundred to more than a thousand sites for mammals. Regardless, NM neurons are able to generate large and extremely fast excitatory postsynaptic currents (EPSCs); the amplitude and speed of which can be as high as 10 nA within 0.5 ms. This large and fast synaptic current overcomes the low input resistance of NM neurons (discussed further below), rapidly charges the neuronal membrane, reduces temporal jitter during action potential (AP) generation, and helps maintain the fine structure of sound with minimal time delay.

NM neurons synapse with a small number of non-branching auditory nerve fibers (ie, 1-3 synapses). This anatomical phenotype is functionally significant in terms of high-frequency sound processing. As suggested by a recent modeling study, NM neurons receive a minimal number of synaptic contacts to prevent input convergence and to phase-lock reliably to high-frequency sound. As shown by their model NM neuron, phase-locking to high-frequency sound declined with increasing inputs. They further showed that when synaptic convergence occurred, NM neurons integrated inputs across multiple stimulus periods and resulted in reduced temporal fidelity. Such an observation is further owing to the nature of high-frequency sound, the waveforms of which have extremely brief stimulus periods. Thus, a small number of endbulbs of Held synapses onto individual NM neurons are structural specialization for promoting high-frequency phase-locking.

Despite the traditional view of the endbulb of Held synapse, recent findings demonstrated that this feature is not ubiquitous across the tonotopic axis in birds. The tonotopic axis of NM extends in a caudolateral to rostromedial orientation in the brainstem, with the lowest sound frequencies encoded at the most-caudolateral pole (Figure 2C). In contrast to their higher frequency counterparts, low-frequency NM neurons (chicken, <500 Hz; barn owl, <1000 Hz) in the caudolateral region (termed NMc) show extensive dendritic processes (Figure 2A and C). Auditory nerve terminals form multiple small bouton synapses onto the dendrites of NMc neurons, instead of the characteristic endbulb of Held synapse (Figure 2B, bottom). In addition, neural response to sound recorded from NMc neurons...
region is somewhat different from the rest of NM (ie, mid- to high-frequency NM). Single-unit recordings revealed that ~60% of low-frequency units display frequency tuning curves that resemble a low-pass filter, with the lowest threshold found in response to sound frequency at 10 Hz (Figure 2D). This is notably different from the tuning curves of higher frequency NM, which present a typical “V” shape and resemble a band-pass filter (Figure 2D). It is worth noting that NMc neurons show excellent phase-locking to low-frequency sound that is comparable to their higher frequency NM counterparts, despite NMc distinct anatomical properties. Computational modeling revealed that multiple-input convergence improves phase-locking to very low-frequency sound. Several studies support the idea that input convergence is favorable for NMc neurons. First, NMc neurons receive multiple bouton synapses from the auditory nerve. Second, NMc neurons generate significantly smaller EPSCs (<4 nA) from these small bouton synapses. Small EPSCs further elicit subthreshold excitatory postsynaptic potential (EPSP), resulting in the summation of multiple EPSPs essential for AP generation. Third, low-frequency sounds have relatively long stimulus periods. Unlike the aforementioned higher frequency NM neurons, the nature of low-frequency sound allows NMc neurons to integrate information within the same stimulus period that reduces jitter and improves AP phase-locking.

Another important feature of NMc is the heterogeneity of anatomical structures found within this region, in contrast to homogeneous adendritic anatomy of mid- to high-frequency NM. In chickens, NMc region is further divided into NMc1 and NMc2 sub-regions based on their dendritic architecture. NMc2 neurons are categorized by their dramatically longer dendrites and significantly more primary dendritic trees, whereas NMc1 neurons show a medium amount of dendritic processes. NMc1 neurons are located adjacent to the adendritic NM neurons. NMc2 neurons surround the caudal and lateral edges of NMc1 and occupy the most caudal pole of the nucleus. This heterogeneity is also observed in the caudolateral NM of the barn owl, labeled as “sp” and “st” neuronal types.

In summary, NM neurons, including NMc, show specialized anatomical properties that ensure reliable signal transmission from the periphery and precise temporal coding for various sound frequencies, which is fundamental to downstream sound processing (eg, coincidence detection). For simplicity, “NM” in the following text and all figures represents the traditionally defined, adendritic NM neurons that are mainly located in mid- to high-frequency region.

NM projection to NL

Nucleus magnocellularis neurons send well-tuned bilateral projections to NL neurons that resemble what is known as the modified Jeffress model (ie, a neural “place” mechanism to extract timing differences between the two ears) in a discrete tonotopic and topographic fashion. As a result, the tonotopic axis of NL shows similar orientation to that of NM. Nucleus laminaris neurons with similar characteristic frequencies are located on the plane orthogonal to the tonotopic axis. In chickens, these NL neurons are aligned as a single-cell sheet, referred here as “isofrequency band.” Nucleus laminaris neurons are bipolar and present with segregated dendritic architecture. Axons from the ipsilateral NM synapse onto the dorsal dendrites of NL within the isofrequency band, whereas those from the contralateral side contact the ventral dendrites (Figure 1A and B). In vitro recordings demonstrated that NL neurons display maximal response when ipsilateral and contralateral inputs arrive simultaneously. Therefore, NL neurons play a major role in detecting “coincidental” arrival of inputs—a critical process for sound localization.

Besides the structural specializations of NL neurons, sophisticated microcircuits between NM and NL enable remarkable
sound localization ability in birds. In chickens, a single axon emerging from each NM neuron first courses ventromedially toward the midline before it bifurcates into ipsilateral and contralateral pathways (Figure 1C). The ipsilateral axon projects dorsolaterally until it reaches the lateral-most edge of NM, where it turns back around toward the ipsilateral NL. This ipsilateral pathway further branches into numerous axons that terminate at the dorsal dendrites of NL.43 These axon collaterals are approximately equal in length (Figure 1A and C). Therefore, it takes nearly the same amount of time for each NL neuron to receive ipsilateral signals. The contralateral pathway forms the dorsal cochlear tract that crosses the midline and reaches the medial edge of the contralateral NL, where it begins to ramify. In contrast to the ipsilateral pathway, the length of axon collaterals becomes increasingly longer from medial to lateral NL neurons, forming an array of “delay lines” that offset various interaural time differences (ITDs, Figure 1B and C).14,31 Therefore, within NL, there is an “auditory place map” that represents the horizontal hearing environment as originally proposed by Lloyd Jeffress more than a half century ago.33

Recent findings indicate that not only the anatomical delay lines but also the difference in conduction velocity between ipsilateral and contralateral pathways plays an important role in fine-tuning microsecond precision of ITDs.44,45 This is especially true for the most medial NL neurons, which encode sound coming from straight ahead (i.e., ITD = 0 ms). If assuming equal conduction velocity between the two pathways, the ipsilateral input would always arrive earlier than contralateral one due to its shorter distance to travel. To overcome this anatomical limitation requires a remarkable feat of biological engineering. For example, it was recently shown by Seidl et al44 that the conduction velocity of contralateral axons is more than two-fold larger than ipsilateral axons (~8 vs 3 m/s, respectively). This differential conduction velocity counterbalances the difference in axon length and thus ensures the simultaneous arrival of inputs to the most medial NL neurons. Slower conduction velocity in the ipsilateral axon is a result of significantly shorter internode myelin distance and smaller axon caliber, as compared with the contralateral axon (Figure 1C).36 This further suggests a mechanism that allows local interaction between axons and surrounding neural structures that undergo myelination. Interestingly, differential internode distance and axon caliber was also observed in axon collaterals from AVCN to MSO in gerbils.37 Despite morphological similarities, whether there is a conserved sound localization principle across birds and mammals has long been debated.38 Evidence from guinea pigs includes the role of inhibition and suggests a “two-channel” coding strategy that argues against a Jeffress-like, delayed-line ITD coding system,39,40 which, by contrary, has been well established in the avian binaural auditory circuit.41 Regardless, microcircuits from NM to NL are fine tuned in their axon length, caliber, and myelination, to perform accurate ITD computations.

### Functional Specialization in NM

Specialized biophysical properties of both NM and NL neurons also serve as key players in promoting precise and rapid encoding of acoustic inputs. On one hand, NM and NL neurons generate extremely fast EPSCs in response to presynaptic release of the neurotransmitter glutamate, with rise and decay times usually less than 1 ms.27 This rapid EPSC is mediated by α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA)-type glutamate receptors. On the other hand, intrinsic ion channels regulate fast and reliable generation of APs, the firing pattern of which carries important sound information and propagates successively through the axon. The following focuses on voltage-dependent potassium (K\textsubscript{V}) and sodium (Na\textsubscript{V}) channels in chicken NM neurons. The properties, function, and development of these ion channels are described in detail.

### Function and development of voltage-dependent potassium (K\textsubscript{V}) channel

Nucleus magnocellularis neurons show a large amount of outward K\textsubscript{V} current with an amplitude up to 5 to 8 nA at positive membrane voltages (Figure 3A and B).42,44,45 This K\textsubscript{V} current is mainly constituted of low- and high-voltage activated components, and both of them show little apparent inactivation with sustained depolarization (Figure 3A and C). Low-voltage activated potassium (K\textsubscript{V,LA}) current presents with a half activation voltage (V_{1/2}) of ~58 mV and is able to activate with extremely fast kinetics, as shown by an activation time constant of <1 ms at most potentials.43 K\textsubscript{V,LA} current is sensitive to dendrotoxin (DTx) and thus is mainly mediated by Kv1.1 subunits. In situ hybridization demonstrated high intensity of Kv1.1 and Kv1.2 messenger RNA (mRNA) but very weak Kv1.6 in NM neurons.28 In the same study, strong staining of Kv1.1.1 protein was also observed. In contrast to K\textsubscript{V,LA}, high-voltage activated potassium (K\textsubscript{V,VA}) current shows a less negative V_{1/2} at ~19 mV and activates with a slightly slower time constant between 1 and 5 ms.43 This current is sensitive to tetraethylammonium (TEA) and is mainly mediated by Kv3.3 subunits. More specifically, intense immunoreactivity of Kv3.3 mRNA and proteins were observed in NM neurons.46 Besides Kv1.1 and Kv3.3 subunits, evidence showed that other subtypes of potassium channels, such as Kv2 and calcium-activated BK channels, are minimal in NM neurons (Figure 3C).44,47,48 Dual application of Kv1.1 and Kv3.3 blockers abolished most of outward current in NM neurons.49 NM neurons also show robust transient A-type potassium current that inactivated rapidly with sustained depolarization (Figure 3A, arrow).45 The molecular substrates and function of this current are not clear and thus require further investigation. (Note that differences in Kv\textsubscript{V} current, active, and passive properties between mid- to high-frequency NM and low-frequency NMC neurons are shown in Figure 3A to G for comparison purposes, but are discussed in greater detail in the final section of this review.)
KLVA+ and KHVA+ channels play important roles in shaping functional phenotypes of NM neurons. A biophysical hallmark of these NM neurons is the generation of a single-onset AP in response to sustained current injection (Figures 3D and 4A, left). In addition, NM neurons generate extremely fast APs (ie, half width <1 ms) with minimal amounts of jitter (Figure 3E), response properties in stark contrast to the slower, multiple AP generation of NMc neurons (Figure 3E and F).19,43 When stimulated with square pulse trains of varying frequencies, NM neurons are able to follow the inputs with good fidelity up to 200 Hz at room temperature (22°C–24°C, Figure 4C, left and D) and to 500 Hz at near-physiological temperature (38°C–40°C).48,50 The excitability of the neuron, along with the speed and precision required for AP generation, is partially attributable to KLVA+ and KHVA+ channels. KLVA channels activate at resting membrane potential (RMP), regulate the time constant and input resistance of the membrane, and control neuronal excitability. Blockade of KLVA+ by DTx dramatically increases neuronal excitability with little effect on AP kinetics.

Nucleus magnocellularis neurons with DTx fire multiple APs in response to sustained current injection and show a large reduction in their threshold current (Figure 4A, right). In addition, input resistance is elevated after blockade of KLVA+ and time constant prolonged, which is likely to induce more jitter when generating APs.26 In contrast, KHVA+ channels activate during APs and contribute to their ultrafast repolarizing kinetics. Blockade of KHVA+ by TEA significantly widens APs and reduces their fall rate (Figure 4B). The widening of APs further undermines neuron’s ability to follow high-frequency inputs, such as 200 Hz (Figure 4C, right and D). Taken together, both KLVA+ and KHVA+ channels in NM neurons display similar function to those reported in mammalian neurons.51

Interestingly, KLVA+ and KHVA+ channels in NM neurons do not develop in parallel with age.42,52 At embryonic (E) day 12 or younger when chickens are not able to respond to sound (ie, before hearing onset),53 the total amount of KV current is significantly less than mature NM neurons, with the amplitude only up to ~3 nA at positive membrane voltages (Figure 5A). KV current at these early ages is dominated by high-voltage activated channels.

Figure 3. Voltage-dependent potassium (KV) current properties. (A) Representative KV current traces recorded from mid- to high-frequency NM and NMc neurons, in response to membrane voltages from −100 mV to +20 mV in a step of 5 mV. Arrow points to transient A-type current. (B) Population data showing the amplitude of steady-state KV current (I_K) as a function of membrane voltage (V_MEMBRANE) for the two neuronal groups. (C) Average percent contribution of KV+3-, KV+1-, and KV+2-mediated currents to total KV current at the membrane voltage of +20 mV. (D and F) Representative membrane responses recorded from mid- to high-frequency NM (D) and NMc (F) neurons to sustained current injection. The amplitude of current injection is 500 and 200 pA, respectively. (E and G) Population data showing the differences in active (E) and passive (G) membrane properties between the two neuronal groups. Reliability is a measure of jitter and was defined as the range of peak occurrences of 30 action potentials (APs). Data were adapted from Hong et al42,43 and Wang et al.19 Error bar = standard error. NM indicates nucleus magnocellularis; RMP, resting membrane potential; Tau, time constant.
components because ~85% of current was eliminated with bath application of fluoxetine (Flx), another potent blocker for Kv3-containing channels (Figure 5A). Consistent with this result, moderate levels of Kv3.1 protein expression were observed at E12.54 At the age of E14 to E16 when chickens show crude response to sound (ie, during hearing onset), the total amount of Kv current increases while the percent of \( \text{KHVA}^{+} \) drops to ~64% (Figure 5B). This is because \( \text{KLVA}^{+} \) channels start to develop at these ages.42,49 The development of \( \text{KLVA}^{+} \) occurs rapidly and results in a comparable amount of \( \text{KLVA}^{+} \) and \( \text{KHVA}^{+} \) currents at the age of E19 to E21 (ie, after hearing onset, Figures 5C and 3C). Chickens hatch at E21, and by the time they hatch, near-mature hearing ability is established.55,56 Nevertheless, a recent study demonstrated that the amount of \( \text{KLVA}^{+} \) current further enhanced during chicken’s posthatch development, while \( \text{KHVA}^{+} \) stayed relatively constant.52 In summary, \( \text{KHVA}^{+} \) channels in NM neurons appear at early embryonic development, whereas \( \text{KLVA}^{+} \) channels show some delay in their development until the onset of hearing.
Nucleus magnocellularis neurons show inward transient NaV current ($I_{\text{NaT}}$) with maximal amplitude between 3 and 5 nA (Figure 6A and B). The molecular substrates regarding the $\alpha$-/β-subunits underlying $I_{\text{NaT}}$ in NM remain largely unexplored. However, recent studies revealed intensive expression of NaV1.6 subtypes on the axon of NM neurons, likely clustering at the axon initial segments (Figure 6E, top and F). Activation of $I_{\text{NaT}}$ in NM neurons shows $V_{1/2} \sim -31$ mV with equilibrium potential at $+35.8$ mV. The voltage dependence of $I_{\text{NaT}}$ inactivation shows $V_{1/2} \sim -55$ mV and slope factor ($k$) ~4.5 mV, which indicates that ~20% of NaV channels are inactivated at RMP (Figure 6C, top and D). (Note that differences in NaV current between mid- to high-frequency NM and low-frequency NMc neurons are shown in Figures 6A to F, 10A to D, and 11A to H for comparison purposes but are discussed in greater detail in the final section of this review.)

In addition to the important roles of KV channels, unique properties of $I_{\text{NaT}}$ also subserve the rapid AP firing in NM neurons. $I_{\text{NaT}}$ shows fast kinetics with a half width ~1 ms. More remarkably, when double-pulse protocol was applied to NM neurons, the amplitude of the second $I_{\text{NaT}}$ was able to recover >80% within 3 ms (Figure 7A and B). This recovery rate is visibly faster than those reported in cerebellar Purkinje and nuclear neurons. Therefore, the fast recovery kinetics of $I_{\text{NaT}}$ contributes to high-frequency firing capability of NM neurons and is important for performing rapid auditory functions.

The properties of $I_{\text{NaT}}$ in NM neurons undergo profound changes with maturation. The amplitude of $I_{\text{NaT}}$ increases significantly from E10 to E21 (Figure 8A and B). This increase in amplitude can be divided into two phases separated by the onset of hearing. Between the ages of E10 and E16, the $I_{\text{NaT}}$ current density (ie, nA/pF) increases significantly with minimal change in total channel conductance (Figure 8C and D). The current density indicates the number of NaV channels per unit area and suggests that the increase in $I_{\text{NaT}}$ amplitude is attributable to a higher channel expression. In contrast, total channel conductance increases significantly after E16, while current density stays relatively constant (Figure 8C and D). A change in channel conductance suggests the expression of different NaV channel subtype(s) after hearing onset. Interestingly, our immunohistochemical results support this idea. The NaV1.6-positive axon segments are absent at E15 and E11 as compared with their robust expression at E21 (Figure 9). Similar results were found in NL neurons, and NaV channel subtypes switched from NaV1.2 to NaV1.6 around E18. It remains to be determined whether NM neurons also express NaV1.2 subtypes at early embryonic ages.

Accompanying the increase in $I_{\text{NaT}}$ amplitude with age are changes in $I_{\text{NaT}}$ kinetics and voltage dependence of inactivation. $I_{\text{NaT}}$ kinetics improves significantly from E10 to E21 (Figure 8E to G). The voltage dependence of inactivation shows minimal change between E10 and E16 but shifts toward hyperpolarization with significantly more negative $V_{1/2}$ at E19 to E21 (Figure 8H). In summary, the amplitude, kinetics, and voltage dependence of $I_{\text{NaT}}$ display significant developmental changes that are likely the combined results of the number and subtypes of NaV channels.
The developmental dominance of NaV1.6 channels in NM neurons, compared with other NaV channel subtypes (possibly NaV1.2), is biologically relevant. The properties of NaV1.6 channels make it ideal for responding rapidly and repeatedly to high-frequency inputs for several reasons. First, the voltage dependence of activation of NaV1.6 channels favors hyperpolarization compared with NaV1.2 channels, indicating that NaV1.6 channels activate earlier during depolarization. Second, NaV1.6 currents have a lesser degree of use-dependent inactivation compared with NaV1.2 when responding to high-frequency stimulation. This is likely due to NaV1.6 channels’ resistance to slow inactivation that progresses with repetitive firing. Third, NaV1.6 channels better promote persistent (I_{NaP}) and resurgent sodium current (I_{NaR}) than other NaV channel subtypes. NaV1.6 channels mediate significantly larger I_{NaP} than NaV1.2 in both neurons and heterologous expression systems, a result also observed for I_{NaR}. Furthermore, NaV1.6-knockout mice exhibited reduced I_{NaP} and significantly impaired I_{NaR} in multiple types of neurons. It has also been proposed that I_{NaP} functions as an amplifier for subthreshold depolarization and contributes moderately to repetitive AP firing. Interestingly, we also observed an increase in I_{NaP} during development of NM neurons. Finally, I_{NaR} has been widely demonstrated to play an important role in high-frequency AP firing, a ubiquitous property shared across a variety of neuronal types and species. In the following section, we...
discuss in detail the properties and function of $I_{\text{NaR}}$ in NM neurons.

**Properties and function of resurgent sodium current**

$I_{\text{NaR}}$ has been reported by numerous studies in mammalian neurons that require rapid firing capability, such as cerebellar Purkinje neurons. In terms of the auditory system, this current was observed in spiral ganglion neurons, calyx of Held and neurons in medial nucleus of the trapezoid body. Our recent work was the first to report that $I_{\text{NaR}}$ is also conserved in chicken NM neurons, with properties and function that resemble those revealed in mammalian neurons. $I_{\text{NaR}}$ is the result of specific open-channel blockers auxiliary to $\alpha$-subunit of $Na_v$ channels. During depolarization (eg, an AP), this open-channel blocker competes with the classic inactivation gate of the channel (ie, the cytoplasmic linker between the III and IV domains of the $\alpha$-subunit). This blocker is voltage dependent and thus it loses affinity for the $\alpha$-subunit at repolarized membrane potentials, which results in resurgent flow of sodium ions, known as $I_{\text{NaR}}$. $I_{\text{NaR}}$ helps promote AP firing in two ways. First, the competing mechanism between open-channel blocker and the classic inactivation gate reduces the amount of inactivated $Na_v$ channels and thus facilitates the recovery of $I_{\text{NaT}}$. Second, $I_{\text{NaR}}$ provides a small depolarizing drive immediately after an AP and thus promotes repetitive firing. The $\beta_4$-subunit has been proposed as an important candidate for the open-channel blocker in cerebellar Purkinje neurons. It is debatable, however, whether the $\beta_4$-subunit is sufficient and necessary for the generation of $I_{\text{NaR}}$. Studies have shown that the coexpression of the $\beta_4$-subunit with the $Na_v$ channel $\alpha$-subunit was not able to induce $I_{\text{NaR}}$ in heterologous expression system, suggesting additional particles are required. This idea is further supported by the important regulatory roles of fibroblast growth factor homologous factors (FHFs) in the generation of $I_{\text{NaR}}$. Moreover, $\beta_4$-knockout mice showed impaired but not absent $I_{\text{NaR}}$ in their Purkinje neurons, suggesting other mechanism(s) contribute to $I_{\text{NaR}}$ generation. It is also noteworthy that heterologous expression system transfected with the $\alpha$-subunit (ie, $Na_v$1.6) alone was able to elicit $I_{\text{NaR}}$ with application of specific toxins that caused a “voltage sensor trapping” phenomenon. Regarding the avian auditory system, the molecular substrates for $I_{\text{NaR}}$ are not clear; except for the fact that chicken $\beta_4$-subunit shows conserved amino acid sequence when compared with mammals.

In NM neurons, $I_{\text{NaR}}$ can be evoked by applying the same voltage-clamp protocol as in mammalian neurons (Figure 10A, left). The depolarizing step that elicits an $I_{\text{NaT}}$ prior to repolarization at varying membrane voltages is called as “conditioning step.” The current-voltage relationship of $I_{\text{NaR}}$ shows a typical “V” shape that peaks at ~40mV (Figure 10B). The maximal amplitude of $I_{\text{NaR}}$ depends on the amplitude and length of the conditioning step. Shorter and more positive conditioning steps will result in most of $Na_v$ channels being open channel blocked and thus larger $I_{\text{NaR}}$. Otherwise, $Na_v$ channels are inactivated and require hyperpolarization of the membrane to be released (ie, minimal $I_{\text{NaR}}$). This property is of biological significance, ensuring maximal generation of $I_{\text{NaR}}$ after an AP. The kinetics of $I_{\text{NaR}}$ can be characterized by two factors: time to peak and decay time constant (tau, Figure 10C). On average, time to peak is ~4 ms and decay time constant ~20 ms when measured at maximal $I_{\text{NaR}}$ (Figure 10D). Compared with $I_{\text{NaT}}$, $I_{\text{NaR}}$ activates and decays more slowly in NM neurons.

The function of $I_{\text{NaR}}$ can be examined both experimentally and computationally. Two voltage-clamp protocols were applied to NM neurons based on the aforementioned conditioning-step-dependent (ie, amplitude and length) generation of $I_{\text{NaR}}$. Nucleus magnocellularis neurons were allowed to recover at rest for varying amounts of time after being exposed to one of two conditions (Figure 11A). In the first condition, most of $Na_v$ channels were open-channel blocked (ie, larger $I_{\text{NaR}}$) by using the conditioning step of +30 mV for 5 ms, while in the second condition, $Na_v$ channels were occupied by the classic inactivation gate (conditioning step of −30 mV for 40 ms). Analysis of two recovery trajectories revealed significantly higher $Na_v$ channel availability and
The amplitude of $I_{\text{NaR}}$ in NM neurons increases significantly with age. This development, however, does not appear to parallel with the changes in NaV channel subtypes. The amplitude of $I_{\text{NaR}}$ is very small at E11-E12 (before hearing onset, Figure 13A and B) but soon increases to the comparable amount to mature neurons at E14-E16 (during hearing onset, Figure 13C and D). As mentioned above, NaV1.6, a subtype widely expressed in mature NM neurons, only showed weak cytoplasmic staining at these two age groups (see Figure 9). This result suggests that multiple $\alpha$-subunits are capable of mediating $I_{\text{NaR}}$ during development of NM neurons. Indeed, previous studies in mammalian neurons have proposed NaV1.2, 1.5, 1.6, and 1.7 as potential $I_{\text{NaR}}$ carriers. In addition, changes in $\beta$-subunit can also affect the development of $I_{\text{NaR}}$ and thus, all of these possible mechanisms in NM require further investigation.

**Tonotopic Heterogeneity of KV and NaV Channels in NM**

Recent findings demonstrated that NMc neurons located in the low-frequency region present with distinct intrinsic properties and appear to function differently from mid- to high-frequency NM neurons. The firing activity and excitability of NMc neurons are notably different from the rest of NM neurons. In response to sustained current injection, NMc neurons are able to fire repetitively, while mid- to high-frequency NM neurons fire a single-onset AP (Figure 3D and F). NMc neurons require significantly reduced amount of threshold current to fire APs. When comparing properties of individual APs, NMc neurons display reduced kinetics and reliability, as demonstrated by smaller fall rate, wider half width and larger jitter (Figure 3E). In terms of passive membrane properties, NMc neurons show more depolarized RMP, longer time constant, and higher input resistance (Figure 3G). They also have larger membrane capacitance, probably as a result of their extensive dendritic processes compared with adendritic NM neurons (see Figure 2A). These differences in NMc neurons are due to their distinct KV and NaV current properties (including $I_{\text{NaR}}$), described in detail as follows.

NMc neurons show a significantly reduced amount of total KV current with maximum amplitude up to ~3 nA at a membrane voltage of +20 mV (Figure 3A and B). In particular, $K_{\text{INVA}}$ current takes up ~70% of total current at this membrane voltage, while $K_{\text{IVA}}$ current is ~25%. This is in stark contrast to mid- to high-frequency NM neurons, which show comparable amounts of $K_{\text{INVA}}$ and $K_{\text{IVA}}$ currents (Figure 3C). Surprisingly, $K_{\text{V}}$2 subunits, a $K_{\text{INVA}}$ component that was not observed in mid- to high-frequency NM, mediates ~30% of total KV current in NMc neurons (Figure 3C). Consistent with these results, immunochemical experiments showed weaker staining of $K_{\text{V}}$3.1 and $K_{\text{V}}$4.1 protein in NMc region. Conversely, expression pattern of $K_{\text{V}}$1.2 mRNA does not show a tonotopic gradient. Moreover, our recent immunochemical data confirmed the
expression of Kv2.2 proteins in NMc neurons. Therefore, on one hand, increased Kv2 but reduced Kv3 subunits result in lower level of KHVA channels in general, which leads to slower AP kinetics of NMc neurons. On the other hand, reduced KLVA channels (mainly Kv1 subunits) are responsible for enhanced excitability and higher input resistance.

Both INaT and INaR show substantial differences between mid- to high-frequency NM and NMc neurons. NM neurons have significantly larger INaT with faster falling phase than mid- to high-frequency NM neurons (Figure 6A and B). The voltage dependence of the INaT inactivation curve for NMc neurons is steeper and shifts toward depolarization, as demonstrated by their less negative $V_{1/2}$ ~48 mV and smaller slope factor ($k$) ~3.7 mV (Figure 6C, bottom, and D). In contrast to the increase in INaT, significantly smaller INaR was observed in NMc neurons (Figure 10A, right and B). The activation of INaR also shifts in its voltage dependence, as shown by its peak voltage at ~30 mV (instead of ~40 mV for mid- to high-frequency NM neurons, Figure 10B). The kinetics of INaR differs significantly between two neuronal groups, but in opposite ways. NMc neurons show longer time to peak but shorter decay time constant (Figure 10C and D). Interestingly, these differences in INaT and INaR do not appear to result from different expression of NaV channel subtypes across tonotopic axis, because robust NaV1.6-positive axon segments were observed throughout the nucleus (Figure 6E). Nevertheless, NaV1.6-positive axon segments in NMc are significantly longer and wider compared with other regions of NM, which in part explains larger INaT amplitude (Figure 6F). A similar trend was also observed in NL. The tonotopic heterogeneity of β-subunits may be another possibility to explain these differences; however, there is insufficient evidence to draw any conclusion.

INaR in NMc neurons functions similarly to mid- to high-frequency NM neurons, but somewhat to a different extent.

**Figure 11.** Resurgent sodium current promotes the recovery of NaV channels. (A and E) Representative current traces recorded from mid- to high-frequency (A) NM and (E) NMc neurons, in response to two voltage-clamp protocols (for details, please refer Hong et al.43,50). In short, a conditioning step was applied to neurons followed by recovery period at rest for the varying amounts of time. After recovery, a pulse to 0 mV was applied to evoke a transient NaV current. The conditioning step is +30 mV at 5 ms in Open-Block Condition and −30 mV at 40 ms in Inactivation Condition. Recovery time varied from 2 to 50 ms for mid- to high-frequency NM neurons and from 2 to 30 ms for NMc neurons. (B and F) Population data showing the NaV channel availability (%) as a function of recovery time. To calculate NaV channel availability, a reference pulse to 0 mV was applied to neurons (not shown in the figure), and the amplitude of transient NaV current after the recovery was normalized to this “reference amplitude.” The recovery trajectory was fit by a single exponential, to obtain recovery tau (time constant). (C and G) Population data showing the recovery tau under two different condition states. Error bar = standard error. (D and H) Representative NaV current traces taken from respective (A) and (E) were normalized and overlaid for recovery time periods of 2 and 20 ms. Data were adapted from Hong et al.43,50 NM indicates nucleus magnocellularis.
For example, the aforementioned two voltage-clamp protocols were also applied to NMc neurons, and recovery trajectories were plotted under the two conditions (Figure 11E and F). The difference in NaV channel availability became significant beyond the recovery time of 4 ms. Open-channel blockers also shortened the recovery time constant (Figure 11G). Therefore, I
\textsubscript{NaR} also plays an important role in promoting NaV channel recovery for NMc neurons. When comparing between NMc and adendritic NM neurons, however, there is a noticeable difference. No increase in NaV channel availability under Open Block Condition was observed for NMc neurons with short recovery time (eg, 2 ms), but the increase becomes evident when recovery time gradually extends (eg, 20 ms, Figure 11H). On the contrary, the effect of I
\textsubscript{NaR} is most prominent at short recovery time for mid- to high-frequency NM neurons (Figure 11D). This observation, along with reduced amount of KV current, suggests a limitation of NMc neurons for performing rapid auditory function.

This idea is partially supported by different frequency-firing patterns to sinusoidal current injections between mid- to high-frequency NM and NMc neurons.\cite{42,43} In response to stimulation frequency varying from 5 to 200 Hz, the two neuronal groups act as band-pass and low-pass filters, respectively. As illustrated in Figure 14A, APs per cycle were calculated as total number of APs divided by the number of sinusoidal cycles and were plotted as a function of input frequency. Adendritic NM neurons are most responsive to frequencies between 50 and 100 Hz and fire optimally at 75 Hz, while NMc neurons show poor firing fidelity to frequencies >50 Hz (Figure 14A and B). Therefore, NMc neurons indeed show limited capability to follow rapid inputs as compared with their higher frequency counterparts.

Another distinct feature of NMc neurons is the generation of burst firing to 5 and 10 Hz sinusoidal current injections, while mid- to high-frequency NM neurons do not generate APs to these stimulations (Figure 14A and B). Underlying this difference is the filtering function of K\textsubscript{LVA} channels that shapes neuron’s response to input with slow rising depolarization (eg, 5 Hz sinusoidal current). Mid- to high-frequency NM neurons contain a large amount of K\textsubscript{LVA} channels, which can activate rapidly in response to slight depolarization and shunt the membrane before reaching the threshold for evoking an AP. In NMc neurons, however, this effect is diminished due to weak expression of K\textsubscript{LVA} channels. This mechanism is further confirmed by our modeling study.\cite{83} A model NM neuron started to generate APs to 10 Hz sinusoidal inputs when its K\textsubscript{LVA} conductance was downregulated systematically. Furthermore, NMc neurons were able to burst fire at instantaneous rates between 45 and 75 Hz. This firing pattern is a combined result of the neuron’s K\textsubscript{HVA} conductances and I
\textsubscript{NaR}.

Blockade of K\textsubscript{HVA} conductances in NMc neurons caused a large depolarization of the membrane, reduced the number of APs, and prolonged the interval between APs within each burst (Figure 15A and B). This firing activity was further examined in the model NMc neuron. The removal of I
\textsubscript{NaR} also resulted in...
Figure 14. Frequency-firing patterns to sinusoidal current injections. (A) Population data showing APs per cycle as a function of sinusoidal frequency for mid- to high-frequency NM and NMc neurons. APs per cycle were calculated as the number of APs divided by the number of sinusoidal cycles. Error bar = standard error. (B) Representative membrane responses recorded from mid- to high-frequency NM and NMc neurons to sinusoidal current injection with varying frequencies. Data were adapted from Hong et al.41-43 NM indicates nucleus magnocellularis.

Figure 15. $K_{HVA}$ and resurgent sodium current promote burst firing in NMc neurons. (A and B) Representative membrane responses recorded from an NMc neuron to 5Hz sinusoidal current injections in control and during dual-drug application of Guangxitoxin (GxTx, 100 nM) and TEA to block $K_{HVA}$. 

*Note*: The diagrams and figures are not rendered in this text format but are described in the text.
channels (I_NaV blockade). Gray area of the second sinusoidal cycle in (A) was expanded and shown in (B). (C) Simulated membrane responses from model NMc neuron to 5 Hz sinusoidal current injections under three conditions: control (left), with removal of resurgent sodium current (no resurgent I_Na, middle) and with removal of both resurgent sodium current and K_{HVA} conductances (no resurgent I_Na and I_NaV, right). (D) The expansion of simulated membrane responses to the first cycle of sinusoidal current injections under three conditions. The inter-spike interval (ISI) represents the time difference between the first and second APs. (E) The expansion of simulated Na, currents underlying the burst firing shown in (D). Inset showing the enlargement of first AP. Arrow and green arrowhead point to the generation of resurgent sodium current between APs. Red arrowhead points to zero resurgent sodium current. Blue arrowheads point to the generation of persistent sodium current. AP indicates action potential.

Conclusion
The avian auditory brainstem contains structural and functionally specialized neurons that impart the ultrafast and temporally precise encoding of sound; a biological process ultimately important for hearing abilities such as sound localization and signal extraction in complex listening environments. In particular, unique K_V, N_AV channel, and I_Na properties play critical roles in shaping the functional phenotype of NM neurons—the avian analogue of bushy cells in the mammalian AVCN. These intrinsic properties undergo profound and specific developmental trajectories. However, both structural and functional specializations in NM show substantial tonotopic heterogeneity that might underlie the diversity of sound-processing mechanisms for different acoustic frequencies.
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