The existence of nonnegative solutions for a nonlinear fractional $q$-differential problem via a different numerical approach
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Abstract
This paper deals with the existence of nonnegative solutions for a class of boundary value problems of fractional $q$-differential equation $^cD^q_{k} [k](t) = w(t, k(t), ^cD^q_{k} [k](t))$ with three-point conditions for $t \in (0, 1)$ on a time scale $\mathbb{T}_q = \{ t : t = t_0 q^n \} \cup \{ 0 \}$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, and $0 < q < 1$, based on the Leray–Schauder nonlinear alternative and Guo–Krasnoselskii theorem. Moreover, we discuss the existence of nonnegative solutions. Examples involving algorithms and illustrated graphs are presented to demonstrate the validity of our theoretical findings.
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1 Introduction
It is recognized that fractional calculus provides a meaningful generalization for the classical integration and differentiation to any order. They can describe many phenomena in various fields of science and engineering such as control, porous media, electro chemistry, HIV-immune system with memory, epidemic model for COVID-19, chaotic synchronization, dynamical networks, continuum mechanics, financial economics, impulsive phenomena, complex dynamic networks, and so on (for more details, see [1–7]). It should be noted that most of the papers and books on fractional calculus are devoted to the solvability of linear initial value fractional differential equation in terms of special functions. The study of $q$-difference equations has gained intensive interest in the last years. It has been shown that these equations have numerous applications in diverse fields and thus have evolved into multidisciplinary subjects. On the other hand, quantum calculus is equivalent to traditional infinitesimal calculus without the notion of limits. Fractional $q$-calculus, initially proposed by Jackson [8], is regarded as the fractional analogue of $q$-calculus. Soon afterward, it is further promoted by Al-Salam and Agarwal [9, 10], where many outstanding theoretical results are given. Its emergence and development extended © The Author(s) 2021. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.
the application of interdisciplinary to be further and aroused widespread attention of the scholars; see [11–23] and references therein.

In 2012, Zhoujin et al. considered the fractional differential equation

\[ ^cD^\alpha [k](s) + w(s, k(s), ^cD^\xi [k](s)) \]

for \( 0 < s < 1 \) and \( \sigma \in (3, 4) \) under the boundary conditions \( k(0) = k'(0) = k'(1) = 0 \) and \( k(1) = k(\zeta) \) for \( 0 < \zeta < 1 \), where \(^cD^\alpha\) denotes the Caputo fractional derivative, \( \zeta > 0 \), and \( \sigma > \zeta \geq 1 \). The existence results are derived by means of Schauder’s fixed-point theorem. Then Liang and Zhang [24] studied the existence and uniqueness of positive solutions by properties of the Green function, the lower and upper solution method, and the fixed point theorem for the fractional equation \( ^cD^\sigma [k](s) + w(s, k(s)) = 0 \) for \( 0 < s < 1 \) under the boundary conditions \( k(0) = k'(0) = 0 \) and \( k'(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \ell_i k'(\zeta_i) \), where \( 2 < \sigma \leq 3 \), and \(^cD^\sigma\) is the Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative. In 2015, Zhang et al. [25] through the spectral analysis and fixed point index theorem obtained the existence of positive solutions of the singular nonlinear fractional differential equation

\[ D^\alpha k(s) = w(S, k(s), D^\xi k(s)) \]

for almost all \( s \in (0, 1) \) with integral boundary value conditions \( D^\beta k(0) = 0 \) and \( D^\delta k(1) = \int_0^1 D^\xi k(r) \mu(r) \) where \( \delta \in (1, 2), \zeta \in (0, 1), w(s, k, l) \) may be singular at both \( t = 0, 1 \) and \( k = l = 0, \int_0^1 k(r) \mu(r) \) denotes the Riemann–Stieltjes integral with signed measure, in which \( \mu : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R} \) is a function of bounded variation. In 2016, Ahmad et al. [16] investigated the existence of solutions for a \( q \)-antiperiodic boundary value problem of fractional \( q \)-difference inclusions

\[ ^cD^\sigma_q [k](t) \in F(t, k(t), D_q[k](t), D^2_q[k](t)) \]

for \( t \in [0, 1], q \in (0, 1), 2 < \alpha \leq 3, 0 < \beta \leq 3, \) and \( k(0) + k(1) = 0, D_q k(0) + D_q k(1) = 0, \) \( D^2_q k(0) + D^2_q k(1) = 0 \), where \(^cD^\sigma_q\) is the Caputo fractional \( q \)-derivative of order \( \alpha \), and \( F : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R} \) is a multivalued map with \( \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) \) the class of all subsets of \( \mathbb{R} \).

In 2018, Guezane-Lakoud and Belakroum [26] considered the existence and uniqueness of nonnegative solutions of the boundary value problem for nonlinear fractional differential equation \( ^cD^\alpha_0 [z](t) = \phi(t, z(t), ^cD^\beta_0 [z](t)) \) for \( t \in (0, 1) \) under the conditions \( z(0) = z'(0) = 0 \) and \( z'(\tau) = \alpha z''(1) \), where \( \phi : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R} \) is a given function, \( \alpha, \beta \) in (2, 3) and (0, 1), respectively, \( 0 < \eta < 1 \), and \(^cD^\beta_0\) denotes the Caputo fractional derivative. In 2019, Ren and Zhai [27] discussed the existence of a unique solution and multiple positive solutions for the fractional \( q \)-differential equation \( D^\alpha_q [x](t) + w(t, x(t)) = 0 \) for each \( t \in [0, 1] \) with nonlocal boundary conditions \( x(0) = D^{-\beta-1} q \mu[x](0) = 0 \) and

\[ D^{\alpha-1}_q [x](1) = \mu(x) + \int_0^1 \phi(r) D^\alpha_q [x](t) \, d_q r, \]

where \( D^\alpha_q \) is the standard Riemann–Liouville fractional \( q \)-derivative of order \( \alpha \) such that \( 2 < \alpha \leq 3 \) and \( \alpha - 1 - \beta > 0 \), \( q \in (0, 1), \phi \in L^1[0, 1] \) is nonnegative, \( \mu[x] \) is a linear functional given by \( \mu[x] = \int_0^1 x(t) \, dN(t) \) involving the Stieltjes integral with respect to a
nondecreasing function $N : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $N(t)$ is right-continuous on $[0, 1)$, left-continuous at $t = 1$, $N(0) = 0$, and $dN$ is a positive Stieltjes measure. Rehman et al. [28] developed Haar wavelets operational matrices to approximate the solution of generalized Caputo–Katugampola fractional differential equations. They introduced the Green–Haar approach for a family of generalized fractional boundary value problems and compared the method with the classical Haar wavelet technique. The existence of solutions for the multiterm nonlinear fractional $q$-integrable differential equation in two modes and inclusions of order $\alpha \in (n - 1, n]$, where the natural number $n \geq 5$, with nonseparated boundary and initial boundary conditions was considered in [29]. In [30] the investigation is centered around the quantum estimates by utilizing the quantum Hahn integral operator via the quantum shift operator. In [20] the $q$-fractional integral inequalities of Henry–Gronwall type are presented.

Inspired by all the works mentioned, in this research, we investigate the existence and uniqueness of nonnegative solutions of the nonlinear fractional $q$-differential equation

$$
\mathcal{D}^\alpha_q [k](t) + w(t, k(t), \mathcal{D}^c_q [k](t)) = 0
$$

(1)

under the boundary conditions $k(0) = k'(0) = 0$ and $k'(r) = \lambda k''(1)$ for $t \in J := (0, 1)$ and $0 < q < 1$, where $w : \mathcal{J} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is a given function with $\mathcal{J} := [0, 1], 2 < \sigma < 3, \xi \in J, r \in J, \lambda > 0$, and $\mathcal{D}^c_q$ denotes the Caputo fractional $q$-derivative.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we cite some definitions and lemmas needed in our proofs. Section 3 treats the existence and uniqueness of solutions by using the Banach contraction principle and Leray–Schauder nonlinear alternative. Also, Sect. 3 is devoted to prove the existence of nonnegative solutions with the help of the Guo–Krasnoselskii theorem. Finally, Sect. 4 contains some illustrative examples showing the validity and applicability of our results. The paper concludes with some interesting observations.

## 2 Preliminaries and lemmas

In this section, we recall some basic notions and definitions, which are necessary for the next goals. This section is devoted to state some notations and essential preliminaries acting as necessary prerequisites for the results of the subsequent sections. Throughout this paper, we will apply the time-scale calculus notation [31].

In fact, we consider the fractional $q$-calculus on the specific time scale $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, where $\mathbb{T}_0 = \{0\} \cup \{t : t = t_0 q^n\}$ for nonnegative integer $n$, $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, and $q \in (0, 1)$. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Define $[a]_q = (1 - q^n)/(1 - q)$ [8]. The power function $(x - y)^n_q$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is defined by $(x - y)^n_q = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1}(x - yq^k)$ for $n \geq 1$ and $(x - y)_q = 1$, where $x$ and $y$ are real numbers, and $\mathbb{N}_0 := \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ [11]. Also, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a \neq 0$, we have

$$(x - y)^\alpha_q = x^\alpha_q \prod_{k=0}^{\infty}(x - yq^k)/(x - yq^\alpha k).$$

If $y = 0$, then it is clear that $x^\alpha_q = x^\alpha$ [12] (Algorithm 1). The $q$-gamma function is given by $\Gamma_q(z) = (1 - q)^{[z]}/(1 - q)^{[z]}$, where $z \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \ldots\}$ [8]. Note that $\Gamma_q(z + 1) = [z]_q \Gamma_q(z)$.

Algorithm 2 shows a pseudocode description of the technique for estimating the $q$-gamma
Algorithm 1 The proposed method for calculating \((x - y)^{(\alpha)}_q\)

```plaintext
function p = qfunction1(x, y, q, sigma, n)
    s = 1;
    if n == 0
        p = 1
    else
        for k = 1:n-1
            s = s * (x - y * q^k) / (x - y * q^(sigma + k));
        end;
        p = x^sigma * s;
    end;
end
```

Algorithm 2 The proposed method for calculating \(\Gamma_q(x)\)

```plaintext
function g = qGamma(q, x, n)
    %q–Gamma Function
    p = 1;
    for k = 0:n
        p = p * (1 - q^(k + 1)) / (1 - q^(x + k));
    end;
    g = p / (1 - q)^(x - 1);
end
```

Algorithm 3 The proposed method for calculating \(D_qf(x)\)

```plaintext
function g = Dq(q, x, n, fun)
    if x == 0
        g = limit ((fun(x) - fun(q * x)) / ((1 - q) * x), x, 0);
    else
        g = (fun(x) - fun(q * x)) / ((1 - q) * x);
    end;
end
```

function of order \(n\). The \(q\)-derivative of a function \(f\) is defined by

\[
D_q[f](x) = \frac{f(x) - f(qx)}{(1-q)x}
\]

and \(D_q[f](0) = \lim_{x \to 0} D_q[f](x)\), which is shown in Algorithm 3 [11]. Furthermore, the higher-order \(q\)-derivative of a function \(f\) is defined by \(D^n_q[f](x) = D_q[D_{q-1}^{n-1}[f]](x)\) for \(n \geq 1\), where \(D^n_q[f](x) = f(x)\) [11]. The \(q\)-integral of a function \(f\) is defined on \([0, b]\) by

\[
I_qf(x) = \int_0^x f(s) \, dq_s = x(1-q) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^k f(xq^k)
\]

for \(0 \leq x \leq b\), provided that the series absolutely converges [11]. If \(x \in [0, T]\), then

\[
\int_0^T f(r) \, dq_r = I_q[f](T) - I_q[f](x),
\]

which is equal to

\[
(1-q) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^k [I^k_f(Tq^k) - xf(xq^k)]
\]

whenever the series exists. The operator \(I^0_q\) is given by \(I^0_q[h](x) = h(x)\) and \(I^n_q[h](x) = I_q[I^{n-1}_q[h]](x)\) for \(n \geq 1\) and \(h \in C([0, T])\) [11]. It has been proved that \(D_q[I_q[h]](x) = h(x)\)
Algorithm 4 The proposed method for calculating $\int_a^b f(r)\, dr$

```
1 function g = Iq(q, x, n, fun)
2     p=1;
3     for k=0:n
4         p=p+ q^k * fun(x*q^k);
5     end;
6     g=x*(1-q) * p;
7 end
```

Algorithm 5 The proposed method for calculating $I^\alpha_q[x]$

```
1 function g = Iq_alpha(q, alpha, x, n, fun)
2     p=0;
3     for k=0:n
4         s1=1;
5         for i=0:k-1
6             s1=s1*(1-q^(alpha+i));
7         end;
8         s2=1;
9         for i=0:k-1
10            s2=s2*(1-q^(i+1));
11        end;
12        p=p+ q^k * s1 * eval(subs(fun, t*q^k))/s2;
13    end;
14    g=round((t^alpha) * ((1-q)^alpha) * p, 6);
15 end
```

and $I_q[D_q[h]](x) = h(x) - h(0)$ whenever $h$ is continuous at $x = 0$ [11]. The fractional Riemann–Liouville-type $q$-integral of a function $h$ on $J = (0,1)$ for $\alpha \geq 0$ is defined by $I^\alpha_q[h](t) = h(t)$ and

\[
I^\alpha_q[h](t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t - qs)^{\alpha-1} h(s) \, dq_s
\]

\[
= t^\alpha (1-q)^{\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^k \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1-q^{\alpha+i}) h(tq^k)
\]

(2)

for $t \in J$ [15, 17]. We can use Algorithm 5 for calculating $I^\alpha_q[h](t)$ according to Eq. (2).

Also, the Caputo fractional $q$-derivative of a function $h$ is defined by

\[
cD^\alpha_q[h](t) = I^{\alpha-\alpha}_q[D^\alpha_q[h]](t)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t - qs)^{\alpha-\alpha-1} D^{\alpha}_q[h](s) \, dq_s
\]

(3)

for $t \in J$ and $\alpha > 0$ [17]. It has been proved that $I^\beta_q[I^\alpha_q[h]](x) = I^{\alpha+\beta}_q[h](x)$ and $D^\alpha_q[I^\alpha_q[h]](x) = h(x)$ for $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ [17]. Algorithm 5 gives a pseudocode for $I^\alpha_q[h](x)$.

Lemma 2.1 ([17]) Let $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ and $k \in L_1[a,b]$. Then

\[
I^\alpha_q[T^\beta_q[k]](t) = I^{\alpha+\beta}_q[k](t) = I^\alpha_q[T^\beta_q[k]](t)
\]

and $cD^\alpha_q[T^\beta_q[k]](t) = [k](t)$ for all $t \in [a,b]$. 
Lemma 2.2 Let $\gamma > \lambda > 0$. Then \( cD_q^\alpha \mathcal{I}_q^{\gamma \lambda}[k](t) = \mathcal{I}_q^{\gamma \lambda}[k](t) \) almost everywhere on \( t \in [a, b] \) for \( k \in L_1[a, b] \), and it is valid at any point \( x \in [a, b] \) if \( k \in C[a, b] \).

Lemma 2.3 ([22]) Let $\sigma > 0$ and $w \in L^1([a, b], \mathbb{R}^+)$, Then we have

\[
\mathcal{D}^q_{\sigma+1}[k](t) \leq \left\| \mathcal{D}^q_{\sigma}[k] \right\|_{L^1}
\]

for \( t \in [a, b] \).

To prove the theorems, we further apply the Leray–Schauder nonlinear alternative.

Lemma 2.4 ([32]) Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach space, let $\mathcal{O}$ be a bounded open subset of $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{A}$, and let $\mathcal{H} : \overline{\mathcal{O}} \to \mathcal{A}$ be a completely continuous operator. Then either there exist $k \in \partial \mathcal{O}$ and $\lambda > 1$ such that $\mathcal{H}(k) = \lambda k$, or there exists a fixed point $k^* \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}$.

Theorem 2.5 Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a Banach space, and let $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{B}$ be a cone. Let $\mathcal{O}_1$ and $\mathcal{O}_2$ be open subsets of $\mathcal{B}$ with $0 \in \mathcal{O}_1$, $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_1 \subset \mathcal{O}_2$, and let $\Theta : \mathcal{C} \cap (\overline{\mathcal{O}}_2 \setminus \mathcal{O}_1) \to \mathcal{C}$ be a completely continuous operator such that

(i) $\|\Theta(k)\| \leq \|k\|$ for $k \in \mathcal{C} \cap \partial \mathcal{O}_1$ and $\|\Theta(k)\| \geq \|k\|$ for $k \in \mathcal{C} \cap \partial \mathcal{O}_2$,

(ii) $\|\Theta(k)\| \geq \|k\|$ for $k \in \mathcal{C} \cap \partial \mathcal{O}_1$ and $\|\Theta(k)\| \leq \|k\|$ for $k \in \mathcal{C} \cap \partial \mathcal{O}_2$.

Then $\Theta$ has a fixed point in $\mathcal{C} \cap (\overline{\mathcal{O}}_2 \setminus \mathcal{O}_1)$.

3 Main results

To facilitate exposition, we will provide our analysis in two separate folds. Now we give a solution of an auxiliary problem. Denote by $\mathcal{L} = L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ the Banach space of Lebesgue-integrable functions with the norm $\|k\| = \int_0^1 |k(\xi)| \, d\xi$.

Lemma 3.1 Let $2 < \sigma < 3$ and $z \in C[a, b]$. The unique solution of the $q$-fractional problem

\[
\begin{cases}
\mathcal{D}^q_{\sigma}[k](t) = z(t), \\
k(0) = k''(0) = 0, \quad k'(r) = \lambda k''(1),
\end{cases}
\]

for $t \in J$ is given by

\[
k(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_{q}(\sigma - 2)} \left[ \int_0^1 G_q^\sigma(t, \xi)z(\xi) \, d\xi - \frac{t}{\sigma - 2} \int_0^t (r - q\xi)^{(\sigma - 2)}z(\xi) \, d\xi \right],
\]

where

\[
1G_q^\sigma(t, \xi) = \begin{cases}
\frac{(t - q\xi)^{(\sigma - 1)}}{(\sigma - 2)(\sigma - 1) + \lambda t(1 - q\xi)^{(\sigma - 3)}}, & \xi \leq t, \\
\lambda t(1 - q\xi)^{(\sigma - 3)}, & \xi > t.
\end{cases}
\]

Proof First, by Lemma 2.1 and equation (4) we get

\[
k(t) = \mathcal{I}^\sigma_q[z](t) + d_1 + d_2 t + d_3 t^2.
\]
Differentiating both sides of (7) and using Lemma 2.2, we get

\[ k'(t) = T_q^{\alpha-1}[z](t) + d_2 + d_3 t, \]

\[ k''(t) = T_q^{\alpha-2}[z](t) + d_3. \] (8)

The first condition in equation (4) implies \( d_1 = d_3 = 0 \), and the second one gives

\[ d_2 = \lambda T_q^{\sigma-2}[z](1) - T_q^{\sigma-1}[z](r). \]

Substituting \( d_2 \) into equation (7), we obtain

\[ k(t) = T_q^{\alpha}[z](t) + t\left[ \lambda T_q^{\sigma-2}[z](1) - T_q^{\sigma-1}[z](r) \right], \] (9)

which can be written as

\[
k(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\sigma)} \int_0^t (t - q\xi)^{(\sigma-1)} z(\xi) \, dq\xi
+ \frac{\lambda t}{\Gamma_q(\sigma-2)} \int_0^1 (1 - q\xi)^{\sigma-3} z(\xi) \, dq\xi
- \frac{t}{\Gamma_q(\sigma-1)} \int_0^r (q - q\xi)^{\sigma-2} z(\xi) \, dq\xi. \]

(10)

Indeed,

\[
k(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\sigma-2)} \int_0^1 G(t,\xi) z(\xi) \, dq\xi - \frac{t}{\sigma-2} \int_0^r (r - q\xi)^{\sigma-2} z(\xi) \, dq\xi, \] (11)

where \( 1_G(t,\xi) \) is defined by (6). The proof is complete. \( \square \)

### 3.1 Existence and uniqueness results

In this section, we prove the existence and uniqueness of nonnegative solutions in the Banach space \( \mathcal{B} \) of all functions \( k \in C(\mathcal{J}) \) into \( \mathbb{R} \) with the norm

\[ \|k\| = \max_{t \in \mathcal{J}} |k(t)| + \max_{t \in \mathcal{J}} |^{cD_q^\xi[k](t)|}. \]

Note that \( ^cD_q^\xi[k] \in C(\mathcal{J}) \) if \( \xi \in \mathcal{J} \). Denote

\[ \mathcal{B} = \{ k \in \mathcal{B} | k(t) \geq 0, t \in \mathcal{J} \}. \]

Throughout this section, we suppose that \( w \in C(\mathcal{J} \times \mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}) \). We define the integral operator \( \Theta : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B} \) by

\[
\Theta[k](t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\sigma-2)} \int_0^1 G_q^\xi(t,\xi) w(\xi, k(\xi), ^{cD_q^\xi[k](\xi)}) \, dq\xi
- \frac{t}{\sigma-2} \int_0^r (r - q\xi)^{\sigma-2} w(\xi, k(\xi), ^{cD_q^\xi[k](\xi)}) \, dq\xi. \] (12)

Then we have the following lemma.
Lemma 3.2  The function \( k \in \mathcal{B} \) is a solution of problem (1) if and only if \( \Theta[k](t) = k(t) \) for \( t \in J \).

Theorem 3.3  The nonlinear fractional \( q \)-differential equation (1) has a unique solution \( k \in \mathcal{B} \) whenever there exist nonnegative functions \( g_1, g_2 \in C(J, \mathbb{R}^+) \) such that

1. for all \( k_i, l_i \in \mathbb{R} \) with \( i = 1, 2 \) and \( t \in J \), we have

\[
|w(t, k_1, k_2) - w(t, l_1, l_2)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{2} g_i(t)|k_i - l_i|,
\]

(13)

2. \( \Sigma_A = A_1 + A_2 < 1 \) and \( \Sigma_B = B_1 + B_2 < (1 - \zeta)\Gamma_q(1 - \zeta) \), where

\[
A_i = \|\mathcal{I}^{\alpha-1}_q[g_i]\|_{L^1} + |\lambda|\mathcal{I}^{\alpha-2}_q[g_i](1) + \mathcal{I}^{\alpha-1}_q[g_i](r),
\]

\[
B_i = \mathcal{I}^{\alpha-1}_q([g_i](1) + [g_i](r)) + |\lambda|\mathcal{I}^{\alpha-2}_q[g_i](1)
\]

(14)

for \( r \in J \) and \( \lambda > 1 \).

Proof  We transform the fractional \( q \)-differential equation to a fixed point problem. By Lemma 3.2 the fractional \( q \)-differential problem (1) has a solution if and only if the operator \( \Theta \) has a fixed point in \( \mathcal{B} \). First, we will prove that \( \Theta \) is a contraction. Let \( k, l \in \mathcal{B} \). Then

\[
\Theta[k](t) - \Theta[l](t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha - 2)} \int_0^1 G_q^\alpha(t, \xi) \left[ w(\xi, k(\xi), {^\nu}D_q^\alpha[k](\xi)) - w(\xi, l(\xi), {^\nu}D_q^\alpha[l](\xi)) \right] d\xi
\]

\[
- w(\xi, l(\xi), {^\nu}D_q^\alpha[l](\xi)) \left[ {^\nu}D_q^\alpha[l](1) - {^\nu}D_q^\alpha[l](t) \right]
\]

\[
\left[ w(1, k(1), {^\nu}D_q^\alpha[k](1)) - w(1, l(1), {^\nu}D_q^\alpha[l](1)) \right]
\]

\[
+ t\lambda \mathcal{I}^{\alpha-2}_q\left[ w(1, k(1), {^\nu}D_q^\alpha[k](1)) - w(1, l(1), {^\nu}D_q^\alpha[l](1)) \right]
\]

\[
- t\mathcal{I}^{\alpha-2}_q\left[ w(r, k(r), {^\nu}D_q^\alpha[k](r)) - w(r, l(r), {^\nu}D_q^\alpha[l](r)) \right].
\]

(15)

By inequality (13) we obtain

\[
\Theta[k](t) - \Theta[l](t) \leq \max_{t \in J} |k(t) - l(t)|
\]

\[
\times \left[ \mathcal{I}^{\alpha}_q[g_1](t) + |\lambda|\mathcal{I}^{\alpha-2}_q[g_1](1) + \mathcal{I}^{\alpha-1}_q[g_1](r) \right]
\]

\[
+ \max_{t \in J} \left| {^\nu}D_q^\alpha[k](t) - {^\nu}D_q^\alpha[l](t) \right|
\]

\[
\times \left[ \mathcal{I}^{\alpha}_q[g_2](t) + |\lambda|\mathcal{I}^{\alpha-2}_q[g_2](1) + \mathcal{I}^{\alpha-1}_q[g_2](r) \right].
\]

(16)
On the other hand, Lemma 2.3 implies

\[
|\Theta[k](t) - \Theta[l](t)| \leq \|k(t) - l(t)\| \left[ \|I_q^{q-1}[g_1]\| + |\lambda|I_q^{q-2}[g_1](1) + I_q^{q-1}[g_1](r) + \|I_q^{q-1}[g_2]\| + |\lambda|I_q^{q-2}[g_2](1) + I_q^{q-1}[g_2](r) \right]
\]

In view of (13), it yields

\[
|\Theta[k](t) - \Theta[l](t)| \leq \|k(t) - l(t)\|
\] (18)

for \( t \in \mathcal{I} \). Also, we have

\[
^c D_q^r[k](t) - ^c D_q^r[l](t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(1 - \xi)} \int_0^t (t - q\xi)^{c-1} \times \left[ \left( (\Theta[k])'(\xi) - (\Theta[l])'(\xi) \right) d_q\xi \right],
\]

where

\[
(\Theta[k])'(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\sigma - 2)} \left[ \int_0^1 H_q^\xi(t, \xi) w(\xi, k(\xi), ^c D_q^r[k](\xi)) d_q\xi - \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\sigma - 2)} \int_0^r (r - q\xi)^{\sigma - 2} w(\xi, k(\xi), ^c D_q^r[k](\xi)) d_q\xi \right],
\]

and

\[
1 H_q^\xi(t, \xi) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G_q^\xi(t, \xi) = \begin{cases} \frac{(t - \xi)^{\alpha - 2}}{\alpha - 2} + \lambda(1 - q\xi)^{\alpha - 3}, & \xi \leq t, \\ \lambda(1 - q\xi)^{\alpha - 3}, & \xi > t. \end{cases}
\] (20)

Therefore

\[
^c D_q^r[\Theta[k]](t) - ^c D_q^r[\Theta[l]](t)
= \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\sigma - 2)\Gamma_q(1 - \xi)} \left[ \int_0^t (t - q\xi)^{c-1} \left( \int_0^1 1 H_q^\xi(\xi, qs) \right) \times \left[ w(s, k(s), ^c D_q^r[k](s)) - w(s, l(s), ^c D_q^r[l](s)) \right] d_q s \right. \left. - \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\sigma - 2)} \int_0^r (r - qs)^{\sigma - 2} \times \left[ w(s, k(s), ^c D_q^r[k](s)) - w(s, l(s), ^c D_q^r[l](s)) \right] d_q s \right].
\] (21)

Applying inequality (13), we get

\[
|\Theta[k](t) - \Theta[l](t)| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\sigma - 2)\Gamma_q(1 - \xi)}
\]
Taking into account (18)–(25), we obtain here the contraction principle ensures the uniqueness of solution for the fractional differential problem (1), which finishes the proof.

Now let us estimate the term
\[
\int_0^1 H_0^q(\xi, s)g_1(s)\,d_q s - \int_0^r \frac{(r - qs)^{(\sigma - 2)}}{\sigma - 2} g_1(s)\,d_q s.
\]

We have
\[
\left| \int_0^1 G_0^\xi(\xi, s)g_1(s)\,d_q s - \frac{\xi}{\sigma - 2} \int_0^r \frac{(r - qs)^{(\sigma - 2)}}{\sigma - 2} g_1(s)\,d_q s \right|
= \left| \Gamma_\xi(\sigma - 2) \left[ I_0^\xi g_1(\xi) + \xi \lambda I_0^{(\sigma - 2)} g_1(1) + I_0^{(\sigma - 2)} g_1(r) \right] \right|,
\]
\[
\left| \int_0^1 H_0^q(\xi, s)g_1(s)\,d_q s - \int_0^r \frac{(r - qs)^{(\sigma - 2)}}{\sigma - 2} g_1(s)\,d_q s \right|
= \left| \Gamma_\xi(\sigma - 2) \left[ I_0^\xi g_1(\xi) + \xi \lambda I_0^{(\sigma - 2)} g_1(1) + I_0^{(\sigma - 2)} g_1(r) \right] \right|
\leq \Gamma_\xi(\sigma - 2) \left[ I_0^\xi g_1(\xi) + \xi \lambda I_0^{(\sigma - 2)} g_1(1) + I_0^{(\sigma - 2)} g_1(r) \right]
\leq \Gamma_\xi(\sigma - 2) B_1,
\]
and, consequently, (22) becomes
\[
\left| D_0^\xi \left[ \Theta[k] \right](t) - D_0^\xi \left[ \Theta[l] \right](t) \right| = \frac{\| k(t) - l(t) \|}{(1 - \xi) \Gamma_\xi(1 - \xi)} \Sigma_B.
\]

By (15) this yields
\[
\left| D_0^\xi \left[ \Theta[k] \right](t) - D_0^\xi \left[ \Theta[l] \right](t) \right| \leq \| k(t) - l(t) \|.
\]

Taking into account (18)–(25), we obtain \( \| \Theta[k] - \Theta[l] \| \leq \| k(t) - l(t) \| \) for \( t \in \mathcal{I} \). From here the contraction principle ensures the uniqueness of solution for the fractional \( q \)-differential problem (1), which finishes the proof.

We now give an existence result for the fractional \( q \)-differential problem (1).

**Theorem 3.4** Assume that \( w(0, 0, 0) \neq 0 \) and there exist nonnegative functions \( g_1, g_2, g_3 \in C(\mathcal{I}, \mathbb{R}^+) \), nondecreasing functions \( \phi_1, \phi_2 \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, [0, \infty]) \), and \( \eta > 0 \) such that
\[
|w(t, k, \mathcal{K})| \leq g_1(t)\phi_1(|k(t)|) + g_2(t)\phi_2(|\mathcal{K}(t)|) + g_3(t)
\]
(26)
for almost all \((t,k,T) \in J \times \mathbb{R}^2\), and

\[
\left[\phi_1(\eta) + \phi_2(\eta) + 1\right] \left( M_1 + \frac{M_2}{(1 - \zeta) \Gamma_q(1 - \zeta)} \right) < \eta,
\]

where \(M_1 = \max_{\alpha \in \tau} \{A_1, A_2, A_3\}\) and \(M_2 = \max_{\nu \in \tau} \{B_1, B_2, B_3\}\) with \(A_i\) and \(B_i\) defined as in Theorem 3.3 by (14). Then the fractional \(q\)-differential problem (1) has at least one non-trivial solution \(k^* \in \mathcal{B}\).

**Proof** First, let us prove that \(\Theta\) is completely continuous. It is clear that \(\Theta\) is continuous since \(w\) and \(1G_q^\varphi\) are continuous. Let \(\mathcal{B}_\eta = \{k \in \mathcal{B} : \|k\| \leq \eta\}\) be a bounded subset in \(\mathcal{B}\). We will prove that \(\Theta(\mathcal{B}_\eta)\) is relatively compact.

(i) For \(k \in \mathcal{B}_\eta\), using inequality (26), we get

\[
|\Theta[k](t)| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\sigma - 2)} \left[ \int_0^1 |1G_q^\varphi(t, \xi)| \left[ g_1(\xi)\phi_1(||k||) + g_2(\xi)\phi_2(||k||) + g_3(\xi) \right] d_\eta \xi 
+ \frac{t}{\Gamma_q(\sigma - 2)} \int_0^r (r - q\xi)^{\sigma - 2} \left[ g_1(\xi)\phi_1(||k||) + g_2(\xi)\phi_2(||k||) \right] d_\eta \xi \right].
\]

Since \(\phi_1\) and \(\phi_2\) are nondecreasing, inequality (28) implies

\[
|\Theta[k](t)| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\sigma - 2)} \left[ \int_0^1 |1G_q^\varphi(t, \xi)| \left[ g_1(\xi)\phi_1(||k||) + g_2(\xi)\phi_2(||k||) + g_3(\xi) \right] d_\eta \xi 
+ \frac{t}{\Gamma_q(\sigma - 2)} \int_0^r (r - q\xi)^{\sigma - 2} \left[ g_1(\xi)\phi_1(||k||) + g_2(\xi)\phi_2(||k||) \right] d_\eta \xi \right].
\]

Using similar techniques to get (18), this yields

\[
|\Theta[k](t)| < \phi_1(\eta) \left[ \|\mathcal{I}_q^{\sigma - 1}[g_1]\|_{L^1} + |\lambda| \|\mathcal{I}_q^{\sigma - 2}[g_1](1) + \mathcal{I}_q^{\sigma - 1}[g_1](r)\| \right] 
+ \phi_2(\eta) \left[ \|\mathcal{I}_q^{\sigma - 1}[g_2]\|_{L^1} + |\lambda| \|\mathcal{I}_q^{\sigma - 2}[g_2](1) + \mathcal{I}_q^{\sigma - 1}[g_2](r)\| \right] 
+ \|\mathcal{I}_q^{\sigma - 1}[g_3]\|_{L^1} + |\lambda| \|\mathcal{I}_q^{\sigma - 2}[g_3](1) + \mathcal{I}_q^{\sigma - 1}[g_3](r)\| \right]
\leq A_1\phi_1(\eta) + A_2\phi_2(\eta) + A_3.
\]

Hence

\[
|\Theta[k](t)| \leq M_1[\phi_1(\eta) + \phi_2(\eta) + 1],
\]
Moreover, we have

\[
\| (\Theta[k])' (t) \| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\sigma - 2)} \left[ \int_0^1 H_q^\zeta(t, \xi) w(\xi, k(\xi), \mathcal{C}D_q^\zeta[k](\xi)) \, d_q\xi \right. \\
\left. - \frac{1}{q^{(\sigma - 2)}} \int_0^r (r - q\xi)^{-(\sigma - 2)} w(\xi, k(\xi), \mathcal{C}D_q^\zeta[k](\xi)) \, d_q\xi \right]
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\sigma - 2)} \left[ \int_0^1 H_q^\zeta(t, \xi) \left[ g_1(\xi)\phi_1(\eta) + g_2(\xi)\phi_2(\eta) + g_3(\xi) \right] \, d_q\xi \right. \\
\left. - \frac{1}{q^{(\sigma - 2)}} \int_0^r (r - q\xi)^{-(\sigma - 2)} \left[ g_1(\xi)\phi_1(\eta) + g_2(\xi)\phi_2(\eta) \right] \, d_q r \right]
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\sigma - 2)} \left[ \phi_1(\eta) \int_0^1 H_q^\zeta(t, \xi) g_1(\xi) \, d_q\xi \right. \\
\left. - \frac{1}{q^{(\sigma - 2)}} \int_0^r (r - q\xi)^{-(\sigma - 2)} g_1(\xi) \, d_q\xi \right]
\]

\[
+ \left[ \phi_2(\eta) \int_0^1 H_q^\zeta(t, \xi) g_2(\xi) \, d_q\xi \right. \\
\left. - \frac{1}{q^{(\sigma - 2)}} \int_0^r (r - q\xi)^{-(\sigma - 2)} g_2(\xi) \, d_q\xi \right]
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{(\sigma - 2)} \int_0^r (r - q\xi)^{-(\sigma - 2)} g_3(\xi) \, d_q\xi \] 

(32)

and

\[
\| (\Theta[k])' (t) \| \leq B_1\phi_1(\eta) + B_2\phi_2(\eta) + B_3, \tag{33}
\]

\[
\| (\Theta[k])' (t) \| \leq M_2[\phi_1(\eta) + \phi_2(\eta) + 1], \tag{34}
\]

On the other hand, by (23) and (24) we obtain

\[
\| \mathcal{C}D_q^\zeta[\Theta[k]](t) \| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(1 - \zeta)} \left[ \int_0^t (t - q\xi)^{(-\zeta)} \left[ B_1\phi_1(\eta) + B_2\phi_2(\eta) + B_3 \right] \, d_q\xi \right. \\
\left. \times \int_0^t (t - q\xi)^{(-\zeta)} \left[ B_1\phi_1(\eta) + B_2\phi_2(\eta) + B_3 \right] \, d_q\xi \right]
\]

\[
\leq \frac{M_2}{\Gamma_q(1 - \zeta)} \left[ \int_0^t (t - q\xi)^{(-\zeta)} \left[ \phi_1(\eta) + \phi_2(\eta) + 1 \right] \, d_q\xi \right. \\
\left. \leq \frac{M_2}{(1 - \zeta)\Gamma_q(1 - \zeta)} \left[ \phi_1(\eta) + \phi_2(\eta) + 1 \right], \tag{35}
\]

and from (31) and (32) we get

\[
\| \Theta[k](t) \| = \left[ \phi_1(\eta) + \phi_2(\eta) + 1 \right] \left[ M_1 + \frac{M_2}{(1 - \zeta)\Gamma_q(1 - \zeta)} \right]. \tag{35}
\]

Then \( \Theta(\mathcal{B}_q) \) is uniformly bounded.
(ii) $\Theta(B_\eta)$ is equicontinuous. Indeed, for all $k \in B_\eta$ and $t_1, t_2 \in J$ with $t_1 < t_2$, denoting

$$M = \max_{t \in J} \{ |w(t, k(t), \mathcal{D}_q^c[k](t))| : \|k\| < \eta \},$$

we have

\[
\begin{align*}
|\Theta[k](t_1) - \Theta[k](t_2)| &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left| \left( \frac{d}{d\xi} \right) \left( \Theta[k] \right) (\xi) \right| d\xi \\
&\leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[ B_1 \phi_1(\eta) + B_2 \phi_2(\eta) + B_3 \right] d\xi \\
&\leq (t_1 - t_2) \left[ B_1 \phi_1(\eta) + B_2 \phi_2(\eta) + B_3 \right].
\end{align*}
\]

(36)

Also, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
|\mathcal{D}_q^c[\Theta[k]](t_1) - \mathcal{D}_q^c[\Theta[k]](t_2)| &= \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(1 - \zeta)} \int_{0}^{t_1} (t_1 - q\xi)^{(-c)} \left( \left( \frac{d}{d\xi} \right) \left( \Theta[k] \right) (\xi) \right) \, d\xi \\
&- \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(1 - \zeta)} \int_{0}^{t_2} (t_2 - q\xi)^{(-c)} \left( \left( \frac{d}{d\xi} \right) \left( \Theta[k] \right) (\xi) \right) \, d\xi \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(1 - \zeta)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} |(t_1 - q\xi)^{(-c)} - (t_2 - q\xi)^{(-c)}| \, d\xi \\
&+ \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(1 - \zeta)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} |\left( \frac{d}{d\xi} \right) \left( \Theta[k] \right) (\xi) | \, d\xi.
\end{align*}
\]

(37)

Using (23), (24), and (32), this yields

\[
\left| \left( \frac{d}{d\xi} \right) \left( \Theta[k] \right) (\xi) \right| \leq M_2 \left[ \phi_1(\eta) + \phi_2(\eta) + 1 \right]
\]

(38)

and

\[
\begin{align*}
|\mathcal{D}_q^c[\Theta[k]](t_1) - \mathcal{D}_q^c[\Theta[k]](t_2)| &\leq \frac{M_2 \left[ \phi_1(\eta) + \phi_2(\eta) + 1 \right]}{(1 - \zeta) \Gamma_q(1 - \zeta)} \\
&\times \left[ 2(t_2 - t_1)^{(1-c)} + (t_2)^{(1-c)} + (t_1)^{(1-c)} \right].
\end{align*}
\]

(39)

As $t_1 \to t_2$ in (36) and (39), $|\Theta[k](t_1) - \Theta[k](t_2)|$ and

\[
\begin{align*}
|\mathcal{D}_q^c[\Theta[k]](t_1) - \mathcal{D}_q^c[\Theta[k]](t_2)|
\end{align*}
\]

tend to 0. Consequently, $\Theta(B_\eta)$ is equicontinuous.

By the Arzelà–Ascoli theorem we deduce that $\Theta$ is a completely continuous operator. Now we apply the Leray–Schauder nonlinear alternative to prove that $\Theta$ has at least one non-trivial solution in $B$. Letting $\mathcal{O} = \{ k \in B : \|k\| < \eta \}$, for any $k \in \partial \mathcal{O}$ such that $k = r \Theta[k](t)$,
0 < τ < 1, by (31) we get
\[ |k(t)| = τ |\Theta[k](t)| \leq M_1 (\phi_1(\eta) + \phi_2(\eta) + 1). \] (40)

Taking into account (34), we obtain
\[ |^{c}D_q^\tau \Theta[k](t)| \leq \frac{M_2}{(1-\zeta) \Gamma_q(1-\zeta)} [\phi_1(\eta) + \phi_2(\eta) + 1]. \] (41)

From (40) and (41) we deduce that
\[ \|k\| \leq \left[ \phi_1(\eta) + \phi_2(\eta) + 1 \right] \left[ M_1 + \frac{M_2}{(1-\zeta) \Gamma_q(1-\zeta)} \right] < \eta, \] (42)

which contradicts the fact that \( k \in \partial O \). In this stage, Lemma 2.4 allows us to conclude that the operator \( \Theta \) has a fixed point \( k^* \in O \), and thus the fractional \( q \)-differential problem (1) has a nontrivial solution \( k^* \in O \). The proof is completed. \( \square \)

3.2 Existence of nonnegative solutions

In this section, we investigate the positivity of nonnegative solutions for the fractional \( q \)-differential problem (1). To do this, we introduce the following assumptions.

(A1) \( w(t, k, l) = \mu(t) \gamma(k, l) \), where \( \mu \in C(J, (0, \infty)) \) and \( \gamma \in C(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^+) \).

(A2) \( 0 < \int_0^1 (1 - q \xi)^{(\sigma-3)} \mu(\xi) \varphi_q(\xi) \, dq^{\xi} < \infty \), where
\[
\varphi_q(\xi) = \begin{cases} 
\lambda, & \xi > r, \\
\frac{\lambda - \frac{(r-q \xi)^{\sigma-2}(1-q \xi)^{\sigma-3}}{\sigma-2}}{\sigma-2}, & \xi \leq r.
\end{cases}
\]

Let us rewrite the function \( k \) as
\[ k(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\sigma-2)} \int_0^1 (1 - q \xi)^{(\sigma-3)} 2G_q^\sigma(t, \xi) \mu(\xi) \gamma(k(\xi), ^{c}D_q^\tau[k](\xi)) \, dq^{\xi} , \]
where
\[
2G_q^\sigma(t, \xi) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{(t-q \xi)^{\sigma-1}(1-q \xi)^{\sigma-3}}{(\sigma-2)\Gamma_q(\sigma-1)} + \lambda t - \frac{t(r-q \xi)^{(\sigma-3)} \gamma(k(\xi), ^{c}D_q^\tau[k](\xi))}{\sigma-2}, & \xi \leq t, \xi \leq r, \\
\frac{(t-q \xi)^{\sigma-1}(1-q \xi)^{\sigma-3}}{(\sigma-2)\Gamma_q(\sigma-1)} + \lambda t, & \xi \leq t, \xi > r, \\
\frac{t(r-q \xi)^{(\sigma-3)} \gamma(k(\xi), ^{c}D_q^\tau[k](\xi))}{\sigma-2}, & \xi > t, \xi \leq r, \\
\lambda t, & \xi > t, \xi > r.
\end{cases}
\] (43)

Hence
\[ ^{c}D_q^\tau[k](t) = \int_0^1 (1 - q \xi)^{(\sigma-3)} H_q^\sigma(t, \xi) \mu(\xi) \gamma(k(\xi), ^{c}D_q^\tau[k](\xi)) \, dq^{\xi} , \]
It is obvious that $2^{H_{q}^{\xi}}(t, q\xi)$
\[
2^{H_{q}^{\xi}}(t, q\xi) = \begin{cases} 
(t-q\xi)^{(\sigma-2)(1-\xi)}(1-q\xi)^{(\sigma-3)} + \frac{\lambda^{1-\xi}}{\Gamma_2(t \cdot \xi)} & \xi \leq t, \xi \leq r, \\
\Gamma_2(t \cdot \xi)^{(\sigma-2)}(1-q\xi)^{(\sigma-3)} + \frac{\lambda^{1-\xi}}{\Gamma_2(t \cdot \xi)} & \xi \leq t, \xi > r, \\
\Gamma_2(t \cdot \xi)^{(\sigma-2)} & \xi > t, \xi \leq r, \\
\Gamma_2(t \cdot \xi) + \frac{\lambda^{1-\xi}}{\Gamma_2(t \cdot \xi)} & \xi > t, \xi > r.
\end{cases}
\]

(44)

Now we give the properties of the Green function $2^{H_{q}^{\xi}}(t, \xi)$.

**Lemma 3.5** If $\lambda(\sigma - 2) \geq 1$, then $2^{G_q^\xi}(t, \xi)$ and $2^{H_q^\xi}(t, \xi)$ belong to $C(\overline{\mathbb{J}}^2)$ with $2^{G_q^\xi}(t, \xi) > 0$ and $2^{H_q^\xi}(t, \xi) > 0$ for all $t, \xi \in \overline{\mathbb{J}}$. Furthermore, if $t \in [1, \tau]$, $\tau > 0$, then for each $\xi \in \overline{\mathbb{J}}$, we have

$$0 < \tau q_\theta(\xi) \leq 2^{G_q^\xi}(t, \xi) \leq 2 \tau q_\theta(\xi)$$

and

$$0 < \frac{\tau}{\Gamma_2(\xi) \Gamma_2(\theta - 2)} q_\theta(\xi) \leq 2^{H_q^\xi}(t, \xi) \leq \left[\frac{1 + (\sigma - 2) \Gamma_2(\xi)}{\Gamma_2(\xi) \Gamma_2(\theta - 2)}\right] q_\theta(\xi).$$

(45)

**Proof** It is obvious that $2^{G_q^\xi}(t, \xi) \in C(\overline{\mathbb{J}}^2)$. Moreover, we have

$$\lambda t - \frac{t(r - q\xi)^{(\sigma-2)(1-\xi)} \xi^{(\sigma-3)}}{\sigma - 2} = \frac{t}{\sigma - 2} \left[\lambda(\sigma - 2) - (1 - q\xi)^{(\sigma-3)}(r - q\xi)^{(\sigma-2)}\right],$$

which is positive if $\lambda(\sigma - 2) \geq 1$. Hence $2^{G_q^\xi}(t, \xi)$ is nonnegative for all $t, \xi \in \overline{\mathbb{J}}$. Let $t \in [1, \tau]$.

It is easy to see that $q_\theta(\xi) \neq 0$. Then we have

$$2^{G_q^\xi}(t, \xi) = \frac{1}{\lambda} q_\theta(\xi) \left[ t\lambda + \frac{(t - q\xi)^{(\sigma-1)}(1 - q\xi)^{(\sigma-3)}}{\sigma - 2 (\sigma - 1)} \right]$$

\[
\leq \frac{(1 - q\xi)^2}{\sigma - 1} + t
\]

\[
\leq 2
\]

whenever $\epsilon < \xi \leq t$,

$$2^{G_q^\xi}(t, \xi) = q_\theta(\xi) \left[ \frac{\lambda - (r - q\xi)^{(\sigma-2)}(1 - q\xi)^{(\sigma-3)}}{(\sigma - 2)} \right]^{-1}$$

\[
\times \left[ \frac{(t - q\xi)^{(\sigma-1)}(1 - q\xi)^{(\sigma-3)}}{(\sigma - 2)(\sigma - 1)} + \lambda t - \frac{t(r - q\xi)^{(\sigma-2)}(1 - q\xi)^{(\sigma-3)}}{\sigma - 2} \right]
\]

\[
= t + \frac{(t - q\xi)^{(\sigma-1)}(1 - q\xi)^{(\sigma-3)}}{(\sigma - 1)(\lambda(\sigma - 2) - (r - q\xi)^{(\sigma-2)}(1 - q\xi)^{(\sigma-3)})} \leq 2,
\]
whenever $\xi \leq t, \xi \leq r,$
\[
2G^q_\xi(t, \xi) = \varrho_q(\xi) \left[ \lambda t(1-q\xi)^{(\sigma-3)} - \frac{t(e-q\xi)^{(\sigma-2)}}{\sigma-2} \right] \times \left[ \lambda (1-q\xi)^{(\sigma-3)} - \frac{r-q\xi)^{(\sigma-2)}}{\sigma-2} \right]^{-1}
\]
\[
= t \leq 2
\]
whenever $t < \xi \leq r,$ and $2G^q_\xi(t, \xi) = t\varrho_q(\xi) \leq 2$ whenever $t < \xi, e < \xi.$ Thus
\[
2G^q_\xi(t, \xi) \geq t\varrho_q(\xi)
\]
in all the cases. Since $\varrho_q(\xi)$ is nonnegative, we obtain
\[
0 < \tau\varrho_q(\xi) \leq 2G^q_\xi(t, \xi) \leq 2\varrho_q(\xi).
\]
Similarly, we can prove that $2H^q_\xi(t, \xi)$ has the stated properties. The proof is completed. \(\Box\)

We recall the definition of a positive solution. A function $k$ is called a positive solution of the fractional $q$-differential problem (1) if $k(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{J}$.

**Lemma 3.6** If $k \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\lambda(\sigma - 2) \geq 1$, then the solution of the fractional $q$-differential problem (1) is nonnegative and satisfies
\[
\min_{t \in [\tau, l]} \left( k(t) + ^cD^q_\xi[k](t) \right) \geq \frac{\tau(1 + \Gamma_q(\xi))}{1 + \sigma \Gamma_q(\xi)} \|k\|. \quad (46)
\]

**Proof** First, let us remark that under the assumptions on $k$ and $w$, the function $^cD^q_\xi[k]$ is nonnegative. Applying the right-hand side of inequality (45), we get
\[
k(t) \leq \frac{2}{\Gamma_q(\sigma - 2)} \int_0^1 (1-q\xi)^{(\sigma-3)} \varrho(\xi)_{(\xi)} \gamma(k(\xi), ^cD^q_\xi[k](\xi)) \, dq\xi. \quad (47)
\]
Also, inequality (45) implies that
\[
^cD^q_\xi[k](t) = \int_0^1 (1-q\xi)^{(\sigma-3)} 2G^q_\xi(t, \xi) \mu(\xi)_{(\xi)} \gamma(k(\xi), ^cD^q_\xi[k](\xi)) \, dq\xi 
\]
\[
\leq \Lambda \int_0^1 (1-q\xi)^{(\sigma-3)} \mu(\xi)_{(\xi)} \varrho(\xi)_{(\xi)} \gamma(k(\xi), ^cD^q_\xi[k](\xi)) \, dq\xi, \quad (48)
\]
where $\Lambda = \frac{1 + \Gamma_q(\xi)}{\Gamma_q(\sigma - 2) \Gamma_q(\xi)}$. Combining (47) and (48) yields
\[
\|k\| \leq \left[ \Lambda + \frac{2}{\Gamma_q(\sigma - 2)} \right] \int_0^1 (1-q\xi)^{(\sigma-3)} \mu(\xi)_{(\xi)} \gamma(k(\xi), ^cD^q_\xi[k](\xi)) \, dq\xi.
\]
which is equivalent to
\[ \|k\| \leq \frac{1 + \sigma \Gamma_q(\zeta)}{\Gamma_q(\zeta)\Gamma_q(\sigma - 2)} \int_0^1 (1 - q\xi)^{(\sigma-3)}\mu(\xi)\varphi(\xi)\gamma(k(\xi), cD_q^\sigma[k](\xi)) \, d_q\xi. \]

Indeed,
\[ \int_0^1 (1 - q\xi)^{(\sigma-3)}\mu(\xi)\varphi(\xi)\gamma(k(\xi), cD_q^\sigma[k](\xi)) \, d_q\xi \geq \frac{\Gamma_q(\zeta)\Gamma_q(\sigma - 2)}{1 + \sigma \Gamma_q(\zeta)} \|k\|. \]  

(49)

In view of the left-hand side of (45), we obtain that for all \( t \in [\tau, l] \),
\[ k(t) \geq \frac{\tau}{\Gamma_q(\sigma - 2)} \int_0^1 (1 - q\xi)^{(\sigma-3)}\mu(\xi)\varphi(\xi)\gamma(k(\xi), cD_q^\sigma[k](\xi)) \, d_q\xi. \]  

(50)

On the other hand, we have
\[ cD_q^\sigma[k](t) \geq \frac{\tau}{\Gamma_q(\sigma - 2)} \int_0^1 (1 - q\xi)^{(\sigma-3)}\mu(\xi)\varphi(\xi)\gamma(k(\xi), cD_q^\sigma[k](\xi)) \, d_q\xi. \]  

(51)

From (50) and (51) we get
\[ \min_{t \in [\tau, l]} \left( k(t) + cD_q^\sigma[k](t) \right) \geq \frac{\tau(1 + \Gamma_q(\zeta))}{\Gamma_q(\zeta)\Gamma_q(\sigma - 2)} \int_0^1 (1 - q\xi)^{(\sigma-3)}\mu(\xi)\varphi(\xi)\gamma(k(\xi), cD_q^\sigma[k](\xi)) \, d_q\xi, \]
and by (49) we deduce that
\[ \min_{t \in [\tau, l]} \left( k(t) + cD_q^\sigma[k](t) \right) \geq \frac{\tau(1 + \Gamma_q(\zeta))}{\Gamma_q(\zeta)\Gamma_q(\sigma - 2)} \|k\|. \]

This completes the proof. \( \square \)

Define the quantities \( L_0 \) and \( L_\infty \) by
\[ L_0 = \lim_{(|k| + |l|) \to 0} \frac{\gamma(k, l)}{|k| + |l|}, \]
\[ L_\infty = \lim_{(|k| + |l|) \to \infty} \frac{\gamma(k, l)}{|k| + |l|}. \]

The case of \( L_0 = 0 \) and \( L_\infty = \infty \) is called the superlinear case, and the case of \( L_0 = \infty \) and \( L_\infty = 0 \) is called the sublinear case. To prove the main result of this section, we apply the well-known Guo–Krasnoselkii fixed point Theorem 2.5 on a cone.

**Theorem 3.7** Under the assumptions of Lemma 3.6, the fractional q-differential problem (1) has at least one nonnegative solution in the both superlinear and sublinear cases.
Proof. First, we define the cone

\[ C = \left\{ k \in \mathcal{B} \mid \min_{t \in [r, l]} (k(t) + \mathcal{D}_t^e \mathcal{C}[k](t)) \geq \frac{\tau(1 + \Gamma_q(\zeta))}{\Gamma_q(\zeta) \Gamma_q((\sigma - 2))} \|k\| \right\}. \] (52)

We can easily check that \( C \) is a nonempty closed convex subset of \( \mathcal{B} \), and hence it is a cone. Using (3.6), we see that \( \Theta[C] \subset C \). Also, from the proof of Theorem (3.4) we know that \( \Theta \) is completely continuous in \( \mathcal{B} \). Let us prove the superlinear case.

1. Since \( L_0 = 0 \), for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there exists \( \delta_1 > 0 \) such that \( y(k, l) \leq \varepsilon(|k| + |l|) \) for \( 0 < |k| + |l| < \delta_1 \). Letting \( \mathcal{O}_1 = \{k \in \mathcal{B} : \|k\| \leq \delta_1\} \), for any \( k \in C \cap \partial \mathcal{O}_1 \), this yields

\[ \Theta[k](t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\sigma - 2)} \int_{0}^{1} (1 - q^\xi)^{(\sigma - 3)} \times 2 G_q^e(t, \xi) \mu(\xi) y(k(\xi), \mathcal{D}_q^e[k](\xi)) d_\xi \]

\[ \leq 2 \varepsilon \|k\| \int_{0}^{1} (1 - q^\xi)^{(\sigma - 3)} \mu(\xi) \varphi(\xi) d_\xi. \] (53)

Moreover, we have

\[ \mathcal{D}_q^e[k](t) \leq \Lambda \int_{0}^{1} (1 - q^\xi)^{(\sigma - 3)} \mu(\xi) \varphi(\xi) y(k(\xi), \mathcal{D}_q^e[k](\xi)) d_\xi \]

\[ \leq \Lambda \varepsilon \|k\| \int_{0}^{1} (1 - q^\xi)^{(\sigma - 3)} \mu(\xi) \varphi(\xi) d_\xi. \] (54)

From (53) and (54) we conclude

\[ \|\Theta[k]\| \leq \left[ \frac{2}{\Gamma_q(\sigma - 2)} + \Lambda \right] \varepsilon \|k\| \int_{0}^{1} (1 - q^\xi)^{(\sigma - 3)} \mu(\xi) \varphi(\xi) d_\xi, \] (55)

\[ \|\Theta[k]\| \leq \left[ \frac{\sigma \Gamma_q(\zeta) + 1}{\Gamma_q(\zeta) \Gamma_q(\sigma - 2)} \right] \varepsilon \|k\| \int_{0}^{1} (1 - q^\xi)^{(\sigma - 3)} \mu(\xi) \varphi(\xi) d_\xi. \] (56)

In view of assumption (A2), we can choose \( \varepsilon \) such that

\[ \int_{0}^{1} (1 - q^\xi)^{(\sigma - 3)} \mu(\xi) \varphi(\xi) d_\xi \leq \frac{\Gamma_q(\zeta) \Gamma_q((\sigma - 2))}{1 + \sigma \Gamma_q(\zeta)}. \] (56)

Inequalities (55) and (56) imply that \( \|\Theta[k](t)\| \leq \|k(t)\| \) for each \( k \in C \cap \partial \mathcal{O}_1 \).

2. Second, in view of \( L_\infty = 0 \), for any \( M > 0 \), there exists \( \delta_2 > 0 \) such that \( w_1(k, l) \geq M(|k| + |l|) \) for \( (|k| + |l|) \geq \delta_2 \). Take

\[ \delta = \max \left\{ 2 \delta_1, \frac{1 + \sigma \Gamma_q(\zeta)}{\tau(1 + \Gamma_q(\zeta))} \delta_2 \right\} \]

and denote by \( \mathcal{O}_2 \) the open set \( \{k \in \mathcal{B} : \|k\| \leq \delta\} \). If \( k \in C \cap \partial \mathcal{O}_2 \), then

\[ \min_{t \in [r, l]} (k(t) + \mathcal{D}_t^e \mathcal{C}[k](t)) \geq \frac{\tau(1 + \Gamma_q(\zeta))}{1 + \sigma \Gamma_q(\zeta)} \|k\| \]

\[ = \frac{\tau(1 + \Gamma_q(\zeta))}{1 + \sigma \Gamma_q(\zeta)} \delta \geq \delta_2. \]
Using the left-hand side of (45) and Lemma (3.6), we obtain
\begin{align*}
\Theta[k](t) & \geq \frac{\tau}{\Gamma_q(\sigma - 2)} \int_0^1 (1 - q\xi)^{(\sigma - 3)} \\
& \quad \times \mu(\xi)\phi(\xi)\gamma(k(\xi), \mathcal{D}_q^c[k](\xi)) \, d_q\xi \\
& \geq \frac{\tau M}{\Gamma_q(\sigma - 2)} \|k\| \int_0^1 (1 - q\xi)^{(\sigma - 3)} \mu(\xi)\phi(\xi) \, d_q\xi. 
\end{align*}
(57)

Moreover, by inequality (51) we get
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{D}_q^c[\Theta[k]](t) & \geq \frac{\tau}{\Gamma_q(\sigma - 2)} \int_0^1 (1 - q\xi)^{(\sigma - 3)} \mu(\xi)\phi(\xi)\gamma(k(\xi), \mathcal{D}_q^c[k](\xi)) \, d_q\xi \\
& \geq \frac{\tau M}{\Gamma_q(\sigma - 2)} \|k\| \int_0^1 (1 - q\xi)^{(\sigma - 3)} \mu(\xi)\phi(\xi) \, d_q\xi. 
\end{align*}
(58)

In view of inequalities (57) and (58), we can write
\begin{align*}
\Theta[k](t) + \mathcal{D}_q^c[\Theta[k]](t) & \geq \tau \left(1 + \Gamma_q(\xi)\right) \int_0^1 (1 - q\xi)^{(\sigma - 3)} \mu(\xi)\phi(\xi) \, d_q\xi.
\end{align*}

Let us choose \( M \) such that
\[ \Gamma_q(\xi)\Gamma_q(\sigma - 2) \leq M \left[ \tau \left(1 + \Gamma_q(\xi)\right) \int_0^1 (1 - q\xi)^{(\sigma - 3)} \mu(\xi)\phi(\xi) \, d_q\xi \right]. \]

Then we get \( \Theta[k](t) + \mathcal{D}_q^c[\Theta[k]](t) \geq \|k\| \). So, \( \|\Theta[k](t)\| \geq \|k(t)\| \) for each \( k \in \mathcal{C} \cap \partial\mathcal{O}_2 \).

The first part of Theorem (2.5) implies that \( \Theta \) has a fixed point in \( \mathcal{C} \cap (\overline{\mathcal{O}_2} \setminus \mathcal{O}_1) \) such that \( \delta_2 \leq \|k\| \leq \delta \). To prove the sublinear case, we apply similar techniques. The proof is complete.

\section{Some illustrative examples}

Herein, we give some examples to show the validity of the main results. In this way, we give a computational technique for checking problem (1). We need to present a simplified analysis that is able to execute the values of the \( q \)-gamma function. For this purpose, we provided a pseudocode description of the method for calculation of the \( q \)-gamma function of order \( n \) in Algorithms 2, 3, 4, and 5; for more detail, follow these address https://www.dm.uniba.it/members/garrappa/software.

For problems for which the analytical solution is not known, we will use, as reference solution, the numerical approximation obtained with a tiny step \( h \) by the implicit trapezoidal PI rule, which, as we will see, usually shows an excellent accuracy [33]. All the experiments are carried out in MATLAB Ver. 8.5.0.197613 (R2015a) on a computer equipped with a CPU AMD Athlon(tm) II X2 245 at 2.90 GHz running under the operating system Windows 7.
Example 4.1 We consider the nonlinear fractional $q$-differential equation

$$cD_{q}^{\frac{11}{4}}[k](t) - \exp(t) - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}k(t)\sin^{2}t - \frac{1}{5} (1-t)^{3}D_{q}^{\frac{3}{7}}[k](t) = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (59)$$

under the boundary conditions $k(0) = k''(0) = 0$ and $k'(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{2}{11}k''(1)$ for $t \in (0,1)$. It is clear that $\sigma = \frac{11}{4} \in (2,3)$, $\zeta = \frac{3}{7} \in (0,1)$, $r = \frac{1}{5} \in (0,1)$, and $\lambda = \frac{2}{11} > 0$. We define the function $w: J \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$w(t, k(t), l(t)) = \exp(t) + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}k(t)\sin^{2}t + \frac{1}{5} (1-t)^{3}l(t).$$

Let $k_{1}, k_{2}, l_{1}, l_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we have

$$\left| w(t, k_{1}, l_{1}) - w(t, k_{2}, l_{2}) \right| = \left| \exp(t) + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}k_{1}\sin^{2}t + \frac{1}{5} (1-t)^{3}l_{1} - \left( \exp(t) + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}k_{2}\sin^{2}t + (1-t)^{3}l_{2} \right) \right| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} |k_{1} - k_{2}| \sin^{2}t + \frac{1}{5} (1-t)^{3} |l_{1} - l_{2}|.$$ 

Therefore $g_{1}(t) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \sin^{2}t$ and $g_{2}(t) = \frac{1}{5} (1-t)^{3}$, and by using equality (2) we obtain

$$I_{q}^{\sigma - 1}[g_{1}](1) \approx 0.1402, 0.0770, 0.0378,$$

$$I_{q}^{\sigma - 1}[g_{2}](1) \approx 0.0237, 0.0351, 1.2390$$

for $q = \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{7}{8}$, respectively,

$$\| I_{q}^{\sigma - 1}[g_{1}] \|_{L^{1}} \approx 0.2531, 0.2172, 0.1872,$$

$$\| I_{q}^{\sigma - 1}[g_{2}] \|_{L^{1}} \approx 0.1754, 0.1505, 0.1297$$

for $q = \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{7}{8}$, respectively, and

$$\Sigma_{A} \approx 0.4941, 0.4259, 0.3687,$$

which are less than one, for $q = \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{7}{8}$, respectively,

$$\Sigma_{B} \approx 0.2295 < 0.7280 = \left( \frac{4}{7} \right) \Gamma_{q} \left( \frac{4}{7} \right),$$

$$\Sigma_{B} \approx 0.1704 < 0.8077 = \left( \frac{4}{7} \right) \Gamma_{q} \left( \frac{4}{7} \right),$$

$$\Sigma_{B} \approx 0.1332 < 0.8728 = \left( \frac{4}{7} \right) \Gamma_{q} \left( \frac{4}{7} \right)$$

for $q = \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{7}{8}$, respectively. Table 1 shows these results. Figures 2a and 2b show the curves of $\Sigma_{A}$ and $\Sigma_{B}$. Also, Figs. 1a and 1b show the curves of $\| I_{q}^{\sigma - 1}[g_{1}] \|_{L^{1}}$ and $\| I_{q}^{\sigma - 1}[g_{2}] \|_{L^{1}}$, respectively. Thus Theorem 3.3 implies that the nonlinear fractional $q$-differential equation (59) has a unique solution in $\mathcal{B}$. 
Table 1 Numerical results of problem (59) for $q = \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{7}{8}$ and (1) $\|T_q^\sigma^{-1}[g_1]\|_{L^1}$ and (2) $\|T_q^\sigma^{-1}[g_2]\|_{L^1}$ in Example 4.1

| $n$ | $g_1(t)$ | $g_2(t)$ | $\Sigma_A$ | $\Sigma_B$ | $\Gamma_{q(1-\xi)}$ $\Gamma_q(1-\xi)$(59) |
|-----|----------|----------|------------|------------|--------------------------------|
|     | (1) $A_1$ $B_1$ | (2) $A_2$ $B_2$ | $\Sigma_A$ | $\Sigma_B$ |                                  |
| $q = \frac{1}{5}$ |                  |                  |            |            |                                |
| 1   | 0.2413 0.2941 0.1930 | 0.1672 0.2516 0.0267 | 0.4716 | 0.2196 | 0.7280 |
| 2   | 0.2507 0.3036 0.1930 | 0.1737 0.2630 0.0344 | 0.4896 | 0.2274 | 0.7280 |
| 3   | 0.2526 0.3055 0.1930 | 0.1750 0.2653 0.0361 | 0.4932 | 0.2291 | 0.7280 |
| 4   | 0.2530 0.3058 0.1930 | 0.1753 0.2658 0.0364 | 0.4939 | 0.2294 | 0.7280 |
| 5   | 0.2531 0.3059 0.1930 | 0.1753 0.2659 0.0365 | 0.4941 | 0.2295 | 0.7280 |
| 6   | 0.2531 0.3059 0.1930 | 0.1754 0.2659 0.0365 | 0.4941 | 0.2295 | 0.7280 |
| 7   | 0.2531 0.3059 0.1930 | 0.1754 0.2659 0.0365 | 0.4941 | 0.2295 | 0.7280 |
| 8   | 0.2531 0.3059 0.1930 | 0.1754 0.2659 0.0365 | 0.4941 | 0.2295 | 0.7280 |
| 9   | 0.2531 0.3059 0.1930 | 0.1754 0.2659 0.0365 | 0.4941 | 0.2295 | 0.7280 |

$g = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

| 1   | 0.1461 0.1876 0.1161 | 0.1012 0.1067 0.0107 | 0.2943 | 0.1268 | 0.8077 |
| 2   | 0.1804 0.2225 0.1188 | 0.1250 0.1345 0.0248 | 0.3569 | 0.1436 | 0.8077 |
| 3   | 0.1985 0.2406 0.1192 | 0.1375 0.1499 0.0361 | 0.3906 | 0.1552 | 0.8077 |

$g = \frac{7}{8}$

| 1   | 0.0187 0.0387 0.0320 | 0.0129 0.0134 0.0004 | 0.0521 | 0.0324 | 0.4938 |
| 2   | 0.0313 0.0560 0.0426 | 0.0217 0.0226 0.0010 | 0.0785 | 0.0436 | 0.5608 |
| 3   | 0.0446 0.0722 0.0507 | 0.0309 0.0323 0.0018 | 0.1045 | 0.0526 | 0.6119 |

Example 4.2 In this example, we apply Theorem 3.4 to prove that the fractional $q$-differential equation

$$cD_q^\frac{8}{3}[k](t) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{t+1}\right)^2 \left[\frac{(k(t))^2}{6 + (k(t))^4} + \ln(1 + (cD_q^\frac{8}{3}[k](t))^2) + 1\right].$$
Figure 1 Graphical representation of $\| \chi_{g_{i}} \|_{L}$ for $q = \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{7}{8}$ in Example 4.1

under the boundary conditions $k(0) = k''(0) = 0$ and $k'(\frac{1}{4}) = \frac{5}{3} k''(1)$ for $t \in (0, 1)$, has at least one nontrivial solution. It is obvious that $\sigma = \frac{5}{3} \in (2, 3)$, $\zeta = \frac{6}{11} \in (0, 1)$, $r = \frac{1}{4} \in (0, 1)$, and $\lambda = \frac{5}{3} > 0$. We define function the $w: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$w(t, k(t), l(t)) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{t + 1}\right)^{2} \left[\frac{(k(t))^{2}}{6 + (k(t))^{2}} + \ln(1 + (l(t))^{2}) + 1\right].$$
Figures 3a and 3b show the curves of $M_1$ and $M_2$. Let $k, \bar{k} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we have

\[
|w(t, k, \bar{k})| = \left(1 - \frac{1}{t + 1}\right)^2 \left|\frac{(k(t))^2}{6 + (k(t))^2} + \ln(1 + (\bar{k}(t))^2) + 1\right|
\leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{t + 1}\right)^2 \frac{(k(t))^2}{6 + (k(t))^2} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{t + 1}\right)^2 \ln(1 + (\bar{k}(t))^2) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{t + 1}\right)^2.
\]

Now from inequality (26) we can consider $g_i(t) = (1 - \frac{1}{t + 1})^2$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and

\[
\phi_1(|k(t)|) = \frac{(k(t))^2}{6 + (k(t))^2},
\quad \phi_2(|\bar{k}(t)|) = \ln(1 + (\bar{k}(t))^2).
\]
Let us find \( \eta \) such that inequality (27) holds. In this case, by (14) we calculate \( A_i \) and \( B_i \) for \( i = 1, 2, 3 \). We obtain

\[
A_1 \approx 0.5913, 0.5109, 0.4445, \quad B_1 \approx 0.5453, 0.4240, 0.3353, \\
A_2 \approx 0.5913, 0.5109, 0.4445, \quad B_2 \approx 0.5453, 0.4240, 0.3353, \\
A_3 \approx 0.5913, 0.5109, 0.4445, \quad B_3 \approx 0.5453, 0.4240, 0.3353,
\]

for \( q = \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{7}{8} \), respectively, and so

\[
p\left( q = \frac{1}{5} \right) = M_1 + \frac{M_2}{\left( 1 - \zeta \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} (1 - \zeta) \right)} \approx 1.5075,
\]
Table 2 Numerical results of problem (59) for $q = \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{7}{8}$ and (1) $\|I_{\sigma}^{-1}[g_1]\|_{L^1}$, (2) $\|I_{\sigma}^{-1}[g_2]\|_{L^1}$, and (3) $\|I_{\sigma}^{-1}[g_3]\|_{L^1}$ in Example 4.2.

| $n$ | $(1)$ | $A_1$ | $B_1$ | $g_1(t)$ | $(2)$ | $A_2$ | $B_2$ | $g_2(t)$ | $(3)$ | $A_3$ | $B_3$ | $g_3(t)$ |
|-----|-------|-------|-------|----------|-------|-------|-------|----------|-------|-------|-------|----------|
| 1   | 0.2125 | 0.5809 | 0.5452 | 0.2125   | 0.5809 | 0.5452 | 0.2125 | 0.5809   | 0.5452 |
| 2   | 0.2207 | 0.5892 | 0.5453 | 0.2207   | 0.5892 | 0.5453 | 0.2207 | 0.5892   | 0.5453 |
| 3   | 0.2224 | 0.5909 | 0.5453 | 0.2224   | 0.5909 | 0.5453 | 0.2224 | 0.5909   | 0.5453 |
| 4   | 0.2227 | 0.5912 | 0.5453 | 0.2227   | 0.5912 | 0.5453 | 0.2227 | 0.5912   | 0.5453 |
| 5   | 0.2228 | 0.5913 | 0.5453 | 0.2228   | 0.5913 | 0.5453 | 0.2228 | 0.5913   | 0.5453 |
| 6   | 0.2228 | 0.5913 | 0.5453 | 0.2228   | 0.5913 | 0.5453 | 0.2228 | 0.5913   | 0.5453 |
| 7   | 0.2228 | 0.5913 | 0.5453 | 0.2228   | 0.5913 | 0.5453 | 0.2228 | 0.5913   | 0.5453 |

$p\left(q = \frac{1}{2}\right) = M_1 + \frac{M_2}{(1 - \zeta)\Gamma_{\frac{1}{2}}(1 - \zeta)} \approx 1.1474,$

$p\left(q = \frac{7}{8}\right) = M_1 + \frac{M_2}{(1 - \zeta)\Gamma_{\frac{7}{8}}(1 - \zeta)} \approx 0.9073.$

Tables 2 and 3 show these results. Also, Fig. 4 shows the curve of the $p$ base on Table 2 for $q = \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{7}{8}$. Now we see that inequality (27) is equivalent to

$$\left[\phi_1(\eta) + \phi_2(\eta) + 1\right]p - \eta = \left[\frac{\eta^2}{6 + \eta^4} + \ln(1 + \eta^2) + 1\right](1.5075) - \eta < 0,$$
Table 3  Numerical results of $M_1$, $M_2$, and $p = M_1 + \frac{M_2}{1-\xi(1-\xi)}$ for $q = \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{7}{8}$ in Example 4.2

| $n$ | $q = \frac{1}{5}$ | $q = \frac{1}{2}$ | $q = \frac{7}{8}$ |
|-----|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|     | $M_1$ | $M_2$ | $p$ | $M_1$ | $M_2$ | $p$ | $M_1$ | $M_2$ | $p$ |
| 1   | 0.5809 | 0.5452 | 1.5070 | 0.4399 | 0.4099 | 1.1219 | 0.1773 | 0.1759 | 0.6224 |
| 2   | 0.5892 | 0.5453 | 1.5075 | 0.4773 | 0.4219 | 1.1421 | 0.2244 | 0.2199 | 0.7104 |
| 3   | 0.5909 | 0.5453 | 1.5075 | 0.4943 | 0.4237 | 1.1455 | 0.2607 | 0.2518 | 0.7677 |
| 4   | 0.5912 | 0.5453 | 1.5075 | 0.5026 | 0.4240 | 1.1465 | 0.2894 | 0.2750 | 0.8067 |
|     | ...   | ...    | ...   | ...   | ...    | ...   | ...   | ...    | ...   |
| 9   | 0.5913 | 0.5453 | 1.5075 | 0.5107 | 0.4240 | 1.1473 | 0.3722 | 0.3243 | 0.8834 |
| 10  | 0.5913 | 0.5453 | 1.5075 | 0.5108 | 0.4240 | 1.1473 | 0.3817 | 0.3276 | 0.8884 |
| 11  | 0.5913 | 0.5453 | 1.5075 | 0.5109 | 0.4240 | 1.1474 | 0.3899 | 0.3299 | 0.8921 |
| 12  | 0.5913 | 0.5453 | 1.5075 | 0.5109 | 0.4240 | 1.1474 | 0.3969 | 0.3316 | 0.8949 |
| 13  | 0.5913 | 0.5453 | 1.5075 | 0.5109 | 0.4240 | 1.1474 | 0.4029 | 0.3327 | 0.8970 |
|     | ...   | ...    | ...   | ...   | ...    | ...   | ...   | ...    | ...   |
| 40  | 0.5913 | 0.5453 | 1.5075 | 0.5109 | 0.4240 | 1.1474 | 0.4435 | 0.3353 | 0.9071 |
| 41  | 0.5913 | 0.5453 | 1.5075 | 0.5109 | 0.4240 | 1.1474 | 0.4437 | 0.3353 | 0.9072 |
| 42  | 0.5913 | 0.5453 | 1.5075 | 0.5109 | 0.4240 | 1.1474 | 0.4438 | 0.3353 | 0.9072 |
| 43  | 0.5913 | 0.5453 | 1.5075 | 0.5109 | 0.4240 | 1.1474 | 0.4439 | 0.3353 | 0.9072 |
| 44  | 0.5913 | 0.5453 | 1.5075 | 0.5109 | 0.4240 | 1.1474 | 0.4440 | 0.3353 | 0.9072 |
| 45  | 0.5913 | 0.5453 | 1.5075 | 0.5109 | 0.4240 | 1.1474 | 0.4441 | 0.3353 | 0.9073 |
| 46  | 0.5913 | 0.5453 | 1.5075 | 0.5109 | 0.4240 | 1.1474 | 0.4442 | 0.3353 | 0.9073 |
| 47  | 0.5913 | 0.5453 | 1.5075 | 0.5109 | 0.4240 | 1.1474 | 0.4442 | 0.3353 | 0.9073 |

Figure 4  2D graphs of $p = M_1 + \frac{M_2}{1-\xi(1-\xi)}$ for $q = \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{7}{8}$ in Example 4.2

\[
[\phi_1(\eta) + \phi_2(\eta) + 1]p - \eta = \left[\frac{\eta^2}{6 + \eta^4} + \ln(1 + \eta^2) + 1\right](1.1474) - \eta < 0, \quad (61)
\]

\[
[\phi_1(\eta) + \phi_2(\eta) + 1]p - \eta = \left[\frac{\eta^2}{6 + \eta^4} + \ln(1 + \eta^2) + 1\right](0.9073) - \eta < 0,
\]

for $q = \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{7}{8}$, respectively. Now by using Algorithm 6 we try to find a suitable value for $\eta$ in inequalities (61). The algorithm is created for the same problems. On the other hand, the results show that it works exactly. According to Table 4, the suitable values of $\eta$ in (61)
Algorithm 6 MATLAB lines for finding suitable values of \( \eta \) in Eq. (27) for \( q \) variable in Example \textbf{4.2}:

```matlab
psi = [sym("t^2/(6+t^4)") sym("log(1+t^2)")];
q = [1/5 1/2 7/8];
xq yq = size(q);
sp = 1;
for j = 1:yq
    maxj(j) = max(M(:, j*5));
    row = 1;
    for t = 5:0.1:9
        eta(row, sp) = row;
        eta(row, sp+1) = t;
        temp = (eval(subs(psi(1), t)) + eval(subs(psi(2), t)) + 1)*maxj(j) - t;
        eta(row, sp+2) = temp;
        row = row + 1;
    end;
    sp = sp + 3;
end;
```

Table 4 Numerical results for finding suitable values of \( \eta \) in equation (27) for \( q = \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{7}{8} \) in Example \textbf{4.2}, where \( \Omega(\eta) = (\phi_1(\eta) + \phi_2(\eta) + 1)(M_1 + \frac{M_2}{\Gamma_q(1-\zeta)}) - \eta \):

| \( n \) | \( \eta \) | \( q = \frac{1}{5} \) | \( q = \frac{1}{2} \) | \( q = \frac{7}{8} \) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 3.0000 | 2.1347 | 0.9079 | 0.0906 |
| 2 | 3.1000 | 2.1154 | 0.8693 | 0.0392 |
| 3 | 3.2000 | 2.0943 | 0.8294 | \(-0.0133\) |
| 4 | 3.3000 | 2.0715 | 0.7882 | \(-0.0668\) |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 9 | 3.8000 | 1.9351 | 0.5649 | \(-0.3480\) |
| 10 | 3.9000 | 1.9036 | 0.5170 | \(-0.4068\) |
| 11 | 4.0000 | 1.8708 | 0.4681 | \(-0.4663\) |
| 12 | 4.1000 | 1.8367 | 0.4183 | \(-0.5256\) |
| 13 | 4.2000 | 1.8014 | 0.3676 | \(-0.5877\) |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 18 | 4.7000 | 1.6077 | 0.1007 | \(-0.9033\) |
| 19 | 4.8000 | 1.5658 | 0.0449 | \(-0.9684\) |
| 20 | 4.9000 | 1.5229 | \(-0.0117\) | \(-1.0340\) |
| 21 | 5.0000 | 1.4790 | \(-0.0690\) | \(-1.1000\) |
| 22 | 5.1000 | 1.4342 | \(-0.1270\) | \(-1.1760\) |
| 23 | 5.2000 | 1.3884 | \(-0.1857\) | \(-1.2344\) |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| 47 | 7.6000 | 0.0745 | \(-1.7591\) | \(-2.9806\) |
| 48 | 7.7000 | 0.0126 | \(-1.8301\) | \(-3.0577\) |
| 49 | 7.8000 | \(-0.0498\) | \(-1.9015\) | \(-3.1351\) |
| 50 | 7.9000 | \(-0.1126\) | \(-1.9732\) | \(-3.2127\) |
| 51 | 8.0000 | \(-0.1759\) | \(-2.0452\) | \(-3.2906\) |
| 52 | 8.1000 | \(-0.2395\) | \(-2.1176\) | \(-3.3687\) |
| 53 | 8.2000 | \(-0.3037\) | \(-2.1903\) | \(-3.4471\) |

are \( \eta = 4, 5, 8 \) for \( q = \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{7}{8} \), respectively. Note that \( \Omega(\eta) \) defined by

\[
\Omega(\eta) = \left[ \phi_1(\eta) + \phi_2(\eta) + 1 \right] \left( M_1 + \frac{M_2}{\Gamma_q(1-\zeta)} \right) - \eta
\]
is negative for values of $\eta$. Thus Theorem 3.4 implies that the nonlinear fractional $q$-differential equation (60) has at least one nontrivial solution in $\mathcal{B}$.

**Example 4.3** In this example, we consider the fractional $q$-differential equation

$$cD_q^{\frac{15}{8}} [k(t)] = \frac{1-t^2}{1+t^2} \left[ \frac{3\pi}{k(t) + cD_q^{\frac{5}{8}} [k(t)] + 6\pi} + \exp(-\pi (k(t) + cD_q^{\frac{5}{8}} [k(t)])) \right]$$

under boundary conditions $k(0) = k'(0) = 0$ and $k'(\frac{5}{6}) = \frac{15}{4}k''(1)$ for $t \in (0, 1)$ such that the assumptions of Lemma 3.6 hold. Clearly, $\sigma = \frac{15}{8} \in (2, 3)$, $\varsigma = \frac{5}{6} \in (0, 1)$, $r = \frac{5}{8} \in (0, 1)$, and $\lambda = \frac{15}{4} > 0$. Also, $\lambda(\sigma - 2) = \frac{15}{4} > 1$. Table 5 shows that $\Lambda \simeq 1.1887, 1.0505, 0.9579$ for $q = \frac{5}{8}, \frac{7}{8}, \frac{9}{8}$, respectively, which we calculated by Algorithm 7. In the algorithm, we define the matrix for saving the results for $q = \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{7}{8}$. We define the function $w: \tilde{J} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$w(t, k(t), l(t)) = \frac{1-t^2}{1+t^2} \left[ \frac{3\pi}{k(t) + l(t) + 6\pi} + \exp(-\pi (k(t) + l(t))) \right].$$

Figure 5 shows the curve of the $\Lambda$ base on Table 5 for $q = \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{7}{8}$. If we define the functions $\gamma: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\mu: \tilde{J} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ by

$$\gamma(k(t), l(t)) = \frac{3\pi}{k(t) + l(t) + 6\pi} + \exp(-\pi (k(t) + l(t))),$$

**Table 5** Numerical results of $\Gamma_q(\sigma - 2), \Gamma_q(\varsigma),$ and $\Lambda = \frac{1+(\sigma-2)(\varsigma)\Gamma_q(\varsigma)}{\Gamma_q(\sigma-2)(\varsigma)}$ in equation (62) for $q = \frac{5}{8}, \frac{7}{8}, \frac{9}{8}$ in Example 4.3

| $n$ | $q = \frac{5}{8}$ | $\Gamma_q(\sigma-2)$ | $\Gamma_q(\varsigma)$ | $\Lambda$ | $q = \frac{7}{8}$ | $\Gamma_q(\sigma-2)$ | $\Gamma_q(\varsigma)$ | $\Lambda$ | $q = \frac{9}{8}$ | $\Gamma_q(\sigma-2)$ | $\Gamma_q(\varsigma)$ | $\Lambda$ |
|-----|------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------|------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------|------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------|
| 1   | 1.2612           | 1.0573               | 1.2030               | 1.2839  | 1.0584           | 1.1809               | 0.8642               | 0.9059  | 1.9386           | 1                  |
| 2   | 1.2714           | 1.0600               | 1.1915               | 1.3507  | 1.0773           | 1.1103               | 0.9815               | 0.9493  | 1.6555           | 1                  |
| 3   | 1.2734           | 1.0605               | 1.1892               | 1.3826  | 1.0861           | 1.0792               | 1.0708               | 0.9805  | 1.4860           | 1                  |
| 4   | 1.2738           | 1.0606               | 1.1888               | 1.3982  | 1.0905           | 1.0646               | 1.1146               | 1.0043  | 1.3727           | 1                  |
| 5   | 1.2739           | 1.0606               | 1.1870               | 1.4059  | 1.0926           | 1.0575               | 1.1191               | 1.0231  | 1.2917           | 1                  |
| 6   | 1.2739           | 1.0606               | 1.1870               | 1.4097  | 1.0936           | 1.0540               | 1.2466               | 1.0382  | 1.2311           | 1                  |
| 7   | 1.2739           | 1.0606               | 1.1870               | 1.4116  | 1.0942           | 1.0522               | 1.2863               | 1.0506  | 1.1843           | 1                  |
| 8   | 1.2739           | 1.0606               | 1.1870               | 1.4126  | 1.0944           | 1.0514               | 1.3197               | 1.0608  | 1.1473           | 1                  |
| 9   | 1.2739           | 1.0606               | 1.1870               | 1.4131  | 1.0945           | 1.0509               | 1.3480               | 1.0694  | 1.1176           | 1                  |
| 10  | 1.2739           | 1.0606               | 1.1870               | 1.4133  | 1.0946           | 1.0507               | 1.3722               | 1.0767  | 1.0933           | 1                  |
| 11  | 1.2739           | 1.0606               | 1.1870               | 1.4134  | 1.0946           | 1.0506               | 1.3929               | 1.0838  | 1.0733           | 1                  |
| 12  | 1.2739           | 1.0606               | 1.1870               | 1.4135  | 1.0947           | 1.0505               | 1.4106               | 1.0881  | 1.0566           | 1                  |
| 13  | 1.2739           | 1.0606               | 1.1870               | 1.4135  | 1.0947           | 1.0505               | 1.4260               | 1.0925  | 1.0426           | 1                  |
| 14  | 1.2739           | 1.0606               | 1.1870               | 1.4135  | 1.0947           | 1.0505               | 1.4392               | 1.0964  | 1.0308           | 1                  |
| 15  | 1.2739           | 1.0606               | 1.1870               | 1.4136  | 1.0947           | 1.0505               | 1.4506               | 1.0997  | 1.0208           | 1                  |
| 16  | 1.2739           | 1.0606               | 1.1870               | 1.4136  | 1.0947           | 1.0505               | 1.4605               | 1.1026  | 1.0122           | 1                  |
Algorithm 7 MATLAB lines for calculating values of $\Lambda = \frac{1+\sigma^2 \Gamma_q(\xi)}{\Gamma_q(\sigma^2)\Gamma_q(\xi)}$ in Theorem 3.7 for $q$ variable in Example 4.3

```matlab
format long;
q=[1/5 1/2 7/8];
[xq yq]=size(q);
sigma=18/7; zeta=5/6; e=3/8; lambda=15/4;
n=60;
column=1;
for j=1:yq
    for i=1:n
        Assumption(i , column)=i;
        Assumption(i , column+1) = qGamma(q(j) , sigma–2 , i);
        Assumption(i , column+2) = qGamma(q(j) , zeta , i);
        Assumption(i , column+3) = (1+ (sigma–2) * Assumption(i , column+2)) /
                             (Assumption(i , column+1) * Assumption(i , column+2));
    end;
    column=column+4;
end;
```

Figure 5 2D graphs of $\Lambda = \frac{1+\sigma^2 \Gamma_q(\xi)}{\Gamma_q(\sigma^2)\Gamma_q(\xi)}$ for $q = \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{7}{8}$ in Example 4.3

and $\mu(t) = \frac{1-t^2}{1+t^2}$, then assumption (A1) holds. Now we verify assumption (A2). Let

$$\varphi_q(\xi) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{15}{4}, & \xi > \frac{3}{5}, \\
\frac{15}{4} - \frac{(3-q\xi)(4\xi^3-3)(1-q\xi)}{16-2}, & \frac{3}{5} \leq \xi \leq \frac{3}{8}, \\
\frac{15}{4} - \frac{7}{3} (\frac{5}{8} - q\xi)(\frac{7}{2})(1-q\xi)^{-\frac{3}{2}}, & \xi \leq \frac{3}{8}.
\end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$\Gamma_q \left( \frac{4}{7} \right) \Gamma_q \left( \frac{2}{7} \right) \left[ \mu(\xi) \varphi_q(\xi) \right](1)$$

$$= \int_0^1 (1-q\xi)^{\frac{12}{7} - \frac{3}{2}} \mu(\xi) \varphi_q(\xi) d_q\xi$$
Table 6 Numerical results of $\int_0^1 (1-q\xi)(\sigma^{-3})\mu(\xi)\varrho(\xi)\,d\xi$ for $q = \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{4}$ in Assumption (A2) and for $q = \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{3}$ in Example 4.3

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
\hline
n & 0 < \int_0^1 (1-q\xi)(\sigma^{-3})\mu(\xi)\varrho(\xi)\,d\xi < 1 & q = \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{4} \\
q = \frac{1}{6} & 0.28515 & 0.31485 & 0.00482 \\
q = \frac{1}{5} & 0.34871 & 0.47839 & 0.01824 \\
q = \frac{1}{4} & 0.36161 & 0.57866 & 0.04195 \\
q = \frac{1}{3} & 0.36420 & 0.63251 & 0.07572 \\
q = \frac{1}{2} & 0.36472 & 0.66022 & 0.11804 \\
q = \frac{2}{3} & 0.36482 & 0.67425 & 0.16674 \\
q = \frac{3}{4} & 0.36484 & 0.68130 & 0.21946 \\
q = \frac{4}{5} & 0.36485 & 0.68484 & 0.25289 \\
q = \frac{5}{6} & 0.36485 & 0.68661 & 0.28682 \\
q = \frac{1}{5} & 0.36485 & 0.68750 & 0.31984 \\
q = \frac{2}{5} & 0.36485 & 0.68794 & 0.35125 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
q = \frac{1}{2} & 0.36485 & 0.68836 & 0.45528 \\
q = \frac{3}{5} & 0.36485 & 0.68837 & 0.47565 \\
q = \frac{4}{5} & 0.36485 & 0.68838 & 0.49394 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
q = \frac{1}{3} & 0.36485 & 0.68838 & 0.51030 \\
q = \frac{2}{3} & 0.36485 & 0.68838 & 0.52486 \\
q = \frac{4}{5} & 0.36485 & 0.68838 & 0.63313 \\
q = \frac{3}{4} & 0.36485 & 0.68838 & 0.63334 \\
q = \frac{5}{6} & 0.36485 & 0.68838 & 0.63314 \\
q = \frac{1}{4} & 0.36485 & 0.68838 & 0.63315 \\
q = \frac{2}{5} & 0.36485 & 0.68838 & 0.63315 \\
q = \frac{3}{5} & 0.36485 & 0.68838 & 0.63316 \\
q = \frac{4}{5} & 0.36485 & 0.68838 & 0.63316 \\
q = \frac{5}{6} & 0.36485 & 0.68838 & 0.63316 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

So assumption (A2) holds. Table 6 showstheseresults. For this, we use Algorithm 8. Figure 6 shows the results of equation (63). On the other hand,

\[
\begin{align*}
L_0 &= \lim_{(k,l)\to (0,0)} \frac{\gamma(k,l)}{|k| + |l|}, \\
&= \lim_{(k,l)\to (0,0)} \frac{1}{|k| + |l|} \left[ \frac{3\pi}{k(t) + l(t) + 6\pi} + \exp(-\pi (k(t) + l(t))) \right] \\
&= \infty, \\
L_\infty &= \lim_{(k,l)\to (|k|,|l|)} \frac{\gamma(k,l)}{|k| + |l|}, \\
&= \lim_{(k,l)\to (|k|,|l|)} \frac{1}{|k| + |l|} \left[ \frac{3\pi}{k(t) + l(t) + 6\pi} + \exp(-\pi (k(t) + l(t))) \right] \\
&= 0.
\end{align*}
\]
Algorithm 8 MATLAB lines for calculating $\int_0^1 (1 - q\xi)^{(\sigma-3)}\mu(\xi)\varrho_q(\xi)\,d\xi$ in Assumption (A2) for $q$ variable in Example 4.3

```matlab
function vout = Iq_sigmaforproblem(q, sigma, n, e, lambda, t)
    p=0;
    for k=0:n
        s1 = 1;
        for i=0:k-1
            s1 = s1 * (1-q^(sigma+i));
        end
        s2 = 1;
        for i=0:k-1
            s2 = s2 * (1-q^(i+1));
        end
        fun1 = (1-((t*q^k)^2)/(1+(t*q^k)^2));
        fun2 = varrho_q(q, sigma, k, e, lambda, t*q^k);
        p=p + q^k * s1 * fun1 * fun2 / s2;
    end;
    vout = round((t^sigma) * ((1-q)^sigma) * p, 6);
end
```

Figure 6 2D graphs of $\int_0^1 (1 - q\xi)^{(\sigma-3)}\mu(\xi)\varrho_q(\xi)\,d\xi$ for $q = \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{7}{8}$ in Example 4.3

Thus by Theorem 3.7 we get that problem (62) has at least one nonnegative solution.
5 Conclusion
The $q$-differential boundary equations and their applications represent a matter of high interest in the area of fractional $q$-calculus and its applications in various areas of science and technology. $q$-differential boundary value problems occur in the mathematical modeling of a variety of physical operations. In the end of this paper, we investigated a complicated case by utilizing an appropriate basic theory. An interesting feature of the proposed method is replacing the classical derivative with $q$-derivative to prove the existence of nonnegative solutions for a familiar problem for $q$-differential equations on a time scale, and under suitable assumptions, we have presented the global convergence of the proposed method with the line searches. The results of numerical experiments demonstrated the effectiveness of the proposed algorithm.
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