ABSTRACT: The aim of this study was to verify the prevalence of signs and symptoms of temporomandibular disorders (TMD) in adolescents and its relationship to gender. The sample comprised 217 subjects, aged 12 to 18. The subjective symptoms and clinical signs of TMD were evaluated, using, respectively, a self-report questionnaire and the Craniomandibular Index, which has 2 subscales; the Dysfunction Index and the Palpation Index. The results of muscle tenderness showed great variability (0.9-32.25%). In relation to the temporomandibular joint, tenderness of the superior, dorsal and lateral condyle regions occurred in 10.6%, 10.6% and 7.83%, respectively, of the sample. Joint sound during opening was present in 19.8% of the sample and during closing in 14.7%. The most prevalent symptoms were joint sounds (26.72%) and headache (21.65%). There was no statistical difference between genders (p > 0.05), except for the tenderness of the lateral pterygoid muscles, which presented more prevalence in girls. In conclusion, clinical signs and symptoms of TMD can occur in adolescents; however, gender influence was not perceived.

DESCRIPTORS: Temporomandibular joint disorders; Teen health.

INTRODUCTION

Temporomandibular disorder (TMD) is a generic term for a number of clinical signs and symptoms involving the masticatory muscles, the temporomandibular joint (TMJ) and associated structures.

Signs and symptoms of TMD in children and adolescents have been studied since the beginning of the 1970s. The most prevalent clinical signs of TMD are TMJ sounds (upon palpation), limitation of mandibular movements, TMJ and muscle tenderness. With regard to subjective symptoms, headache, TMJ sounds, bruxism, difficulty in opening the mouth, jaw pain, and facial pain are found.

The etiology of TMD has been considered to be one of the most controversial issues in clinical dentistry. Currently, TMD is considered not a single entity, but a group of several diseases of varying...
etiology and pathology, and controversy still exists because of the limited knowledge regarding its etiology and natural history.

The role of gender in TMD is also extensively discussed in literature, suggesting that TMD is considered to be 1.5-2 times more prevalent in women than in men, and that 80% of the patients treated for this disorder are women. However, the most prominent gender differences have been found in women aged 20-40 years, and the lowest among children, adolescents and the elderly. Furthermore, the predominance of women is even higher in surveys of people seeking treatment for TMD pain, with a ratio of 4:1 or 5:1.

The purpose of this study was to estimate the prevalence of clinical signs and subjective symptoms of TMD in adolescents and its relationship to gender.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Adolescents aged between 12 and 18 years were selected from public schools in Piracicaba, Brazil. Firstly, the parents/guardians and the adolescents were informed about the purpose of this research. Adolescents who had received any type of orthodontic treatment before or during the study or were suffering from systemic health disease could not participate in the research. Secondly, a total of 600 written informed consents were distributed. After that, parental and adolescent consent was obtained from 217 subjects (120 girls, mean age of 13.18 ± 1.28 years; 97 boys, mean age of 13.28 ± 1.6 years), and they were examined. Prior to the examination for clinical signs and symptoms of TMD, an anamnestic questionnaire was filled out, including questions about their general state of health, illness, diseases and oral hygiene. The Research Ethics Committee of the School of Dentistry of Piracicaba approved this research.

**Subjective symptom interview**

A self-report questionnaire was used to assess the symptoms regarding pain in the jaws when functioning (e.g. chewing), unusually frequent headaches (more than once a week and of unknown etiology), stiffness/tiredness in the jaws, difficulty in opening the mouth wide, grinding teeth, and sounds at the TMJ. Each question could be answered with yes or no.

**Clinical sign examination**

The signs of TMD were assessed according to the CranioMandibular Index (CMI), as described by Fricton, Schiffman (1986), by two calibrated examiners (Kappa = 0.936). The CMI produces 3 scores: an overall CMI score, a dysfunction index (DI) score, and a palpation or muscle index (PI) score. The CMI score is an average of the DI and PI scores. All 3 indexes are scaled from 0 to 1. The CMI measures tenderness and dysfunction in the stomatognathic system and includes all currently recognized signs of TMJ disorders. The DI is designed to measure limitation of mandibular movement, pain and deviation of movement, TMJ noise and tenderness. The PI measures the prevalence of muscle tenderness in the stomatognathic system. Thus, this index separates joint problems from muscle problems.

**Statistical analysis**

The data were computerized and the SAS package (SAS Institute Cary, North Carolina, USA) was used for their analysis. The prevalence of clinical signs and subjective symptoms was calculated by percentage. The mean values obtained in CMI, PI, DI were compared for subjects with and without each symptom using Mann-Whitney test for the total sample. Data association between each symptom/clinical sign and gender was done using Fisher’s Exact Test. For all comparisons, p < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

Tables 1 and 2 show the prevalence of the different clinical signs of TMD (components of CMI) according to gender. Pterygoid lateral muscle tenderness was the most frequent sign of palpation index found in 32.25% of the total sample. The most frequent sign of DI was TMJ sounds (mouth opening), occurring in 19.8% of the total sample.

The prevalence of subjective symptoms of TMD according to gender is presented in Table 3. The most prevalent symptom was TMJ sound (26.72%), followed by headache (21.65%). There was no statistical association between genders for clinical signs and symptoms, except for pterygoid lateral muscle tenderness, which presented a higher prevalence among girls (p < 0.05).

Mean values for CMI, DI and PI among adolescents with and without each subjective symptom are presented in Table 4. Note that for subjects who reported facial/jaw pain, difficulty in open-
ing the mouth wide, joint sounds and headache, CMI and PI had significantly higher scores than in adolescents that did not report any symptom (p < 0.05), whereas adolescents who reported TMJ sounds presented a significantly higher mean value for DI (p < 0.05). In addition, individuals who reported teeth grinding presented significantly higher PI scores than those who did not report this symptom (p < 0.05).

**DISCUSSION**

This study evaluated the prevalence of signs and symptoms of TMD in adolescents through a questionnaire and physical examination. The decision to use a dysfunction index in this study, specifically the CMI, was based on the possibility of objectively measuring the severity of problems in mandibular movements, joint noises, and muscle and joint tenderness, using clearly defined criteria, simple clinical methods and easy scoring. In addition, this index had a good intra- and inter-examiner correlation. The symptom questionnaire proved to be a simple and suitable tool easily understood by the volunteers, thus allowing smaller examiner influence on the individuals and their answers. The application of an anamnestic questionnaire for detecting TMD symptoms has the advantage of being easily used by general practitioners or epidemiologists. The CMI scores obtained

### TABLE 1 - Percentage distribution of clinical signs (muscle tenderness) according to gender.

| Muscle tenderness          | Female (%) n = 120 | Male (%) n = 97 | Total (%) n = 217 |
|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Anterior temporalis        | 6.70               | 3.10            | 5.07              |
| Middle temporalis          | 1.70               | 0.00            | 0.90              |
| Posterior temporalis       | 1.70               | 1.03            | 1.38              |
| Temporalis insertion       | 24.17              | 16.50           | 20.70             |
| Deep masseter              | 9.17               | 3.10            | 6.50              |
| Masseter origin            | 6.70               | 4.10            | 5.50              |
| Masseter body              | 5.80               | 9.30            | 7.40              |
| Masseter insertion         | 9.17               | 5.16            | 7.40              |
| Posterior digastric        | 25.80              | 22.70           | 24.50             |
| Medial pterygoid (extra-oral) | 12.50            | 8.25            | 10.60             |
| Medial pterygoid (intra-oral) | 25.83          | 20.60           | 23.50             |
| Lateral pterygoid          | 38.33*             | 24.75           | 32.25             |
| Superior sternocleidomastoideus | 5.00            | 3.10            | 4.15              |
| Middle sternocleidomastoideus | 2.50             | 3.10            | 2.77              |
| Inferior sternocleidomastoideus | 5.83        | 1.03            | 3.70              |
| Trapezius insertion        | 5.83               | 1.03            | 3.70              |
| Trapezius superior         | 5.83               | 7.22            | 6.45              |
| Splenius capitis           | 5.83               | 8.25            | 6.91              |

*Statistical difference (p < 0.05).

### TABLE 2 - Percentage distribution of clinical signs (TMJ sounds and tenderness) according to gender.

| Clinical signs                       | Female (%) n = 120 | Male (%) n = 97 | Total (%) n = 217 |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Opening click                         | 20.80              | 18.50           | 19.80             |
| Closing click                         | 16.60              | 12.40           | 14.70             |
| Tenderness in condyle superior region | 14.17              | 6.19            | 10.60             |
| Tenderness in condyle lateral region  | 9.17               | 6.19            | 7.83              |
| Tenderness in condyle dorsal region   | 13.33              | 7.22            | 10.60             |

p > 0.05.
in this study were lower than those presented in other studies\(^8,9\), probably because this sample was comprised by adolescents. Studies have reported that severe disorder at a young age is rare, supporting the results presented\(^25\). Moreover, the study was carried out in a randomized population and not among people looking for treatment.

The results of muscle tenderness showed that a higher prevalence was observed in the lateral pterygoid muscle (32.25%), but this result must be carefully considered, due to the low specificity of palpation. Nevertheless, this muscle has been part of many current examination schemes\(^4,25,26\). It must be considered that the discomfort or pain observed in response to palpation of the “lateral pterygoid area” may be caused by anatomical structures other than the lateral pterygoid muscle\(^24\). There was also a high prevalence of tenderness in the posterior digastric muscle (24.5%), medial pterygoid muscle (intraoral) (23.5%) and temporalis insertion (20.7%). The scores for intra-oral muscle palpation indicated that the frequency of tenderness of these muscles was higher than at other sites, except for the posterior digastic muscle. Intra-oral palpation may cause pain in normal subjects and thus, false-positives that may lead to a wrong diagnosis, such as myofascial pain\(^8\).

As reported in the related literature, the anterior temporalis region and masseter muscles have been extensively evaluated. In this research, tenderness of the anterior temporalis region was observed in 5.07% of the adolescents, while for the masseter muscle the correspondent value was 7.4%. These results are similar to those of other studies in adolescents\(^17\) and young adults\(^18\). Masseter and anterior temporalis area palpation can be considered to be reliable and valuable. This fact supports the belief that pressure pain sensation in these muscles is not derived predominantly from the cutaneous tissues, but from the muscle itself\(^10\).

Neck muscle tenderness was also evaluated as part of clinical signs exam in CMI (Table 1). Despite the low prevalence of tenderness in these muscles, their evaluation in TMD patients is important. Moreover, the findings obtained support the theory that a complementary examination of this area should be performed, even when TMD patients do not report any neck problems. Fink et al.\(^7\) (2002), corroborating the findings of this study, mentioned that patients with TMD frequently show symptoms related to the cervical spine region.

Tenderness of the superior and dorsal condyle region occurred in 10.6% of the sample, whereas for the lateral region, it occurred in 7.83%. A number of studies have found a prevalence of TMJ tenderness in adolescents varying from 7.1% to 22.5%\(^2,17,22\). Moreover, differences in palpation

### TABLE 3 - Percentage of subjective symptoms according to gender.

| Symptoms                  | Female |          | Male |          | Total |          |
|---------------------------|--------|----------|------|----------|-------|----------|
|                           | n      | %        | n    | %        | n     | %        |
| Facial/jaw pain           | 17     | 14.16    | 11   | 11.34    | 28    | 12.90    |
| Difficulty in mouth opening| 5      | 4.16     | 2    | 2.06     | 7     | 3.22     |
| Joint sounds              | 27     | 22.50    | 31   | 31.96    | 58    | 26.72    |
| Teeth grinding            | 19     | 15.83    | 20   | 20.61    | 39    | 17.98    |
| Headache                  | 28     | 23.33    | 19   | 19.58    | 47    | 21.65    |

### TABLE 4 - Mean values for CMI, DI and PI among adolescents with and without each subjective symptom.

| Symptoms                  | CMI Without symptom | CMI With symptom | DI Without symptom | DI With symptom | PI Without symptom | PI With symptom |
|---------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Facial/jaw pain           | 0.075\(^a\)         | 0.155\(^b\)      | 0.087              | 0.120           | 0.055\(^a\)       | 0.190\(^b\)     |
| Difficulty in mouth opening| 0.082\(^a\)         | 0.186\(^b\)      | 0.089              | 0.168           | 0.068\(^a\)       | 0.204\(^b\)     |
| Joint sounds              | 0.069\(^a\)         | 0.130\(^b\)      | 0.086\(^a\)        | 0.108\(^b\)     | 0.050\(^a\)       | 0.133\(^b\)     |
| Teeth grinding            | 0.082              | 0.098            | 0.091              | 0.096           | 0.067\(^a\)       | 0.100\(^b\)     |
| Headache                  | 0.078\(^a\)         | 0.111\(^b\)      | 0.090              | 0.096           | 0.059\(^a\)       | 0.123\(^b\)     |

\(^a\) ≠ \(^b\); \(p < 0.05\). CMI: Craniomandibular Index. DI: Dysfunction index. PI: Palpation index.
techniques and pressure make comparisons very unreliable. In addition, in the present research, TMJ palpation was conducted in three different sites, which could be the cause of disagreement among these results.

The percentage of joint sound upon palpation during mouth opening and closing was 19.8% and 14.7%, respectively, lower than that observed in the study of Nassif et al.18 (2003) (24.7% and 19.5%), performed in young adults. Farsi6 (2003) found a prevalence of 11.8% for joint sounds in children aged 3 to 15. The small differences among these studies could be due to the fact that the incidence of signs and symptoms generally increase and also fluctuate with age6.

The most prevalent subjective symptoms present were TMJ sounds (26.72%), headache (21.65%), tooth grinding (17.98%), and pain in the face or jaw regions (12.9%). Conti et al.2 (2003) also found TMJ sounds followed by headache as the most commonly reported symptoms, although with lower values. Melis, Abou-Atme16 (2003) and Conti et al.2 (2003) observed a prevalence rate of 27.2% and 20.5%, respectively, for tooth grinding. Nevertheless, the prevalence of tooth grinding is difficult to estimate, since quite often the subjects are unaware of having the disorder, which can under- or overestimate the amount of people affected1. The diversity of TMD prevalence among different studies has been attributed to the differences in the age groups, the sample sizes and their composition, the number of examiners, as well as the diagnostic criteria used6.

Our findings showed no statistical difference in prevalence of signs and symptoms of TMD between boys and girls. The lateral pterygoid muscle tenderness showed greater prevalence among females, but as mentioned above, the findings for this muscle can be overestimated. Moreover, one problem much found in the literature on TMD is the sparse number of men in comparison with women who seek treatment, resulting in studies that have small numbers of men or studies that limit their investigations to women15. In this research, the lack of statistical differences between genders could be explained by the fact that the sample was comprised of adolescents, some of whom probably have not yet been affected by the effects of puberty. About 90% of the sample was aged 12 to 14 (± 1.43 years). Signs and symptoms of TMD onset tend to occur more frequently in women after puberty and peaks in the reproductive years, and they are smaller in number among children and adolescents, and among the elderly15.

When CMI, PI and DI values in subjects with or without each subjective symptom were compared, there were significantly higher scores for DI in subjects who reported joint sounds. PI and CMI presented significantly higher scores in individuals with facial/jaw pain, difficulty in opening the mouth wide, joint sounds and headache. Additionally, for PI, the same findings were observed when the analysed symptom was teeth grinding. These results are in accordance with those of Kleinknecht et al.13 (1986) and De Kanter et al.3 (1993), who found a correspondence between subjective reports of TMD symptoms and clinical findings. Katz, Heft12 (2002) found that 53% of subjects with positive masticatory muscle tenderness also presented positive TMJ sounds and 25% of the subjects with TMJ sounds also presented positive masticatory muscle tenderness. Conti et al.2 (2003) found an association between joint sounds and joint tenderness upon palpation. This study supports the proposal that clinical signs and symptoms should be evaluated in combination. However, it is important to note that most of the population-based studies on TMD report a discrepancy between the frequency of symptoms and the frequency of signs of TMJ disturbances. In addition, studies have reported varying relationships between subjectively perceived symptoms and signs found upon clinical examination.

Since signs and symptoms of TMD obviously make an early appearance, routine dental examination should include evaluation of these signs and symptoms to identify patients who should be observed more closely. Nevertheless, it must be considered that the signs and symptoms in growing individuals may be due in part to growth changes19. In this phase there are both local and central factors associated from time to time with TMD development and the prediction of single TMD signs for the development of severe disorder later in life is unclear20.

CONCLUSION

Based on the results above presented, it was concluded that clinical signs and symptoms of TMD were present in adolescents. Muscle tenderness and joint sounds were the most prevalent clinical signs and symptoms, respectively. In relation to gender differences, only lateral pterygoid muscle tenderness showed greater prevalence among girls, but this finding must be interpreted carefully. The presence of clinical signs associated with subjective symptoms was also confirmed. The
index scores were low, indicating mild disorder, but these findings do not detract from the importance of early diagnosis, in order to detect factors that can interfere with proper stomatognathic system growth and development.
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