Chitin in the Silk Gland Ducts of the Spider *Nephila edulis* and the Silkworm *Bombyx mori*
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**Abstract**

Here we report the detection and localisation of chitin in the cuticle of the spinning ducts of both the spider *Nephila edulis* and the silkworm *Bombyx mori*. Our observations demonstrate that the duct walls of both animals contain chitin notwithstanding totally independent evolutionary pathways of the systems. We conclude that chitin may well be an essential component for the construction of spinning ducts; we further conclude that in both species chitin may indicate the evolutionary origin of the spinning ducts.
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Introduction

Silks are a class of proteins spun by arachnids and insects for a variety of biological functions. Of all natural silks the dragline silks of spiders and cocoon silks of silkworms tend to generate most interest; this is because these silks have excellent tensile properties [1], are biocompatible and biodegradable [2]. Importantly, the animals’ method of spinning the silk fibre is highly energy efficient in both spiders and silkworms making them valuable models for polymer production [3]. Accordingly, there is considerable interest in how natural silks are formed. A detailed understanding of silk production could assist in the design of artificial methods for spinning high performance protein fibres.

Although considerable effort has been expended in attempts to spin artificial silk, the resulting fibres with few exceptions still fail to match the mechanical properties of their natural inspiration. We argue that this failure is due to an incomplete understanding of the complex processes involved in natural silk production. Therefore, there is a great need for detailed studies of the natural silk ‘production line’ from both a biological and engineering perspective [4].

Silk filaments from silkworms and spiders are produced by largely similar processes [5,6]. The principal silk proteins have a high molecular weight of around 400 kDa and are secreted by cells lining the long tail of the gland and are stored in the lumen of the silk gland as a concentrated aqueous silk dope solution [7,8]. When required for spinning, the proteins flow from the gland (through a tapering tunnel in the spider [9]) to the spinning duct - a progressively narrowing hyperbolic tube, folded twice in spiders [9] and many times in silkworms [10]. This folding arrangement permits a long duct to fit within the available space [11].

In the spinning duct, the application of strain and shear together with changes in ionic composition along the duct’s length are thought to convert the silk dope solution into a solid filament [12–14]. At a certain point along the duct, instead of flowing in contact with the duct’s inner lining, the silk dope becomes sufficiently gelled to resist tension and pulls away from the wall of the duct in what has been termed an ‘internal drawdown taper’ in both spiders [9] and silkworms [6]. Within this drawdown the protein molecules orient, align and are drawn closer together, expelling water that is subsequently removed by specialised epithelial cells covering the outer surface of the duct’s cuticle lining. The removal of water during drawdown helps to initiate the formation of cross-linked beta sheet crystallites interspersed with disordered regions at the molecular level, important for the mechanical properties of silks [3].

Hypotheses regarding the evolutionary origins of the spigot and gland of the spider differ significantly. Previous authors’ suggestions for the origin of the spigot and gland fall into one of three categories [15,16]: first, that the glands evolved de novo [15]; second, from dermal layer structures such as from epidermal invaginations [17], limb buds or coxal organs [18], or fluid-secreting setae [19]; and third, from non-dermal structures such as egg sacs [17] as some insect spinning glands appear to be modified salivary glands or malpighian tubules [15]. Importantly, arthropod cuticle, including setae, is largely composed of chitin [20], and here we report on the histochemical detection and localisation of chitin in the cuticle lining of the internal (or internalised) silk gland duct in a spider and silkworm at light microscope level supported by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) detection of chitin. The study aimed to provide information about the construction of the duct lining relevant to both a consideration of its function and to its evolutionary origin.

**Materials and Methods**

**Specimen preparation**

*Nephila edulis* specimens were reared at 20°C±5°C. The spiders were fed *Drosophila melanogaster* and *Calliphora vomitaria* flies ad libitum.
and watered twice daily. Experimental specimens weighing from 0.16 g to 1.48 g were anaesthetized by a flow of CO₂ for five minutes, weighed using an analytical balance (Sartorius BP121S) and imaged at a final magnification of 20× using a digital camera (Canon A640) mounted on a stereo microscope. The width of the cephalothorax at its widest point (the standard metric for spider development [21]) was measured from the digital image with ImageJ software (NIH). Both major ampullate glands and their associated ducts were dissected in spider Ringer solution [22] and gently unfolded to their full length. Spinning Bombyx mori silkworms (Padova, Italy and ICPE, Kenya) were killed with chloroform vapour and the spigot, duct and gland were dissected out in deionised water.

Histochemical localisation of chitin along the duct

We followed the established protocol for histochemical detection of chitin at the light microscopical level [23], modified to preserve fragile samples [24]. The method involves treatment with KOH to partially deacetylate the chitin and remove non-chitinous matrix components, followed by washing in EtOH, and acidification and staining with iodine. Photomicrographs of the ducts (N=5 silkworms, 8 spiders) were taken before and after the histochemical reaction, with a digital camera mounted on a stereo microscope. From these photomicrographs, the duct length was measured from tip of spigot to proximal end of funnel with ImageJ software (NIH). From these measurements, the proportion of the length of the duct that remained after the histochemical procedure was calculated.

To search for chitin-containing fragments released during the destruction of the proximal part of the spider’s duct the entire histochemical procedure including digestion by KOH, washing in EtOH, acidification and staining was carried out in a silicone grease compressorium (4 ducts from 2 spiders) viewed with bright field illumination (both 10× and 100× oil immersion objective).

The shape of the length of the duct that remained after the histochemical treatment and stained with iodine was estimated by plotting the duct radius profile for the spider size obtained from previous work [25]. This was used to estimate how far along this profile the portion of the duct extended.

Purified powdered chitin from shrimp exoskeleton (Sigma Aldrich) used as positive control stained a deep purple which demonstrated the effect of the detergent solution upon the duct,

and is confined to the linearly narrowing distal section of the duct. The section of the duct that tested positively for chitin is shown superimposed on a traced image of the spigot showing that the proximal part of the duct had been destroyed leaving only a short length of the distal part of the duct still attached to the spigot, both of which stained a purple/rust red colour (Figure 1 (A)). This colour gradually faded as chitosan is known to be soluble in acetic acid, but the structure itself did not dissolve probably because deacetylation in KOH was only partial [27].

After performing the test extremely gently within the compressorium, we were unable to find any remaining components of the proximal part of the duct within the surrounding fluid even at high magnification.

The length of the spider duct before the histochemical treatment was a linear function of cephalothorax width (p>0.01; R² = 0.9141, n = 8). After the chitin test, the length of the remaining duct was also a linear function of cephalothorax width (p>0.01; R² = 0.8759, n = 8) (Figure 2).

The proportion of the length of the duct that survived the treatment and stained with iodine remains fairly constant as the spider grows.

Silkworm. The entire length of the cuticle lining of the silkworm duct from the distal end of the anterior division of the silk gland to the outer tip of the spigot including the silk press survived KOH treatment and stained purple/rust red colour with iodine in all specimens as illustrated in Figure 1 (B).

Location of chitin in spider duct wall relative to duct limbs. For each of the spider duct studied, the portion remaining after KOH treatment and staining was found to extend from the tip of the spigot to a point approximately half way along the final limb of the three folded limbs of the duct. This location is shown in Figure 3 (A) in which the portion of duct wall testing positively for chitin is shown superimposed on a traced image of the entire duct.

The graph Figure 3 (B) shows the shape of the ducts in both an early and late spider instar. The section of the duct that tested positively for chitin lies within the part of the duct that decreases linearly in diameter for both early and late instar spiders.

The results from spider ducts indicate that the length of the duct wall staining for chitin increases during organism development and is confined to the linearly narrowing distal section of the duct. Maintenance of the geometry of this part of the duct is thought to be crucial for silk spinning in the spider [25].
FTIR spectroscopy of ducts

Comparisons between the spider proximal and distal duct sections. The averaged FTIR spectra of the proximal and distal sections of the spider duct shown were similar to each other in shape and scale, with high intensity peaks at 3292 cm\(^{-1}\) indicative of the OH bond stretch [28], 3080 and 2926 cm\(^{-1}\) indicative of CH symmetric stretching [29], followed by distinctive peaks at 1648 and 1536 cm\(^{-1}\) of amide I and amide II [30] (denoted on Figure 4 (A)). A comparison of these peaks to the spectra of chitin is given below. There was little difference between the spectra of the two duct sections, with only a slight decrease in intensity for the distal duct for peaks at wavenumbers below 3080 cm\(^{-1}\). The quantitative similarity algorithm in the software calculated a very strong similarity of 94.2% between the two sections of the duct.

Comparisons of duct with known chitin-containing spider body parts. Figure 4 (B) shows the averaged spectra acquired from the ducts, cuticle, midgut lining and spigots of the spiders. The only difference (apart from slight differences in intensity of the signal given by the thick and relatively hard cuticle sections compared with the thin lining of soft internal organs) was a slight shift in the amide I peak from 1648 cm\(^{-1}\) in the duct to 1619 cm\(^{-1}\) in the spider cuticle and to 1626 cm\(^{-1}\) in the spigot. The quantitative similarity algorithm calculated an 87.6% similarity between the duct and the spigot, and 58.2% similarity between the duct and the cuticle.

FTIR results for the lining of the midgut in the spider gave a different spectrum to the ducts, cuticle and spigot, with a broad peak at 3239 cm\(^{-1}\) due to hydration [28] despite extensive drying of the sample before spectral acquisition. It also showed an additional peak at 1744 cm\(^{-1}\) probably due to C = O stretch indicating lipid [31], and a very low intensity amide II band at 1536 cm\(^{-1}\). Spectra from the duct and the midgut showed 66.3% similarity. The difference in both hydration and in the carboxyl residue between the spectra of the thoroughly washed and dried midgut and the ducts suggests that the latter structures in the spider are not derived from an internal organ unlike the dermal silk glands present in some insects that are related to the reproductive tract in females [16].

Comparison of silkworm duct, spigot and head plate. FTIR of the silkworm duct (Figure 4 (C)) showed a large band at 3279 cm\(^{-1}\) indicative of the OH bond, a shoulder at 3080 cm\(^{-1}\), a fairly strong band at 2925 cm\(^{-1}\) and single amide I and II bands at 1639 and 1537 cm\(^{-1}\) respectively, a marked similarity to spectra obtained from silkworm pupal skin [32]. The spectra from the silkworm head plate and spigot were also very similar to both each other and the duct. The spectra from untreated ducts showed the peak at 2926 cm\(^{-1}\) to be almost absent, reduced intensity at 1536 cm\(^{-1}\) and other smaller peaks, and the peak at 3292 cm\(^{-1}\) was more intense. The similarity in mean spectra with treated silkworm ducts was calculated to be only 52.41%, which demonstrates that treatment with detergent had a large effect upon the ducts. The addition spectra from 0.1%
Tween 40 and the untreated duct (not shown) showed a similarity of 48.44% with the treated ducts. A comparison of this addition spectra with that of the Tween-extracted duct and the untreated duct suggests that effects of Tween treatment are more likely to result from the removal of cellular materials and the luminal contents of the duct rather than the simple addition of the surfactant.

Comparison of silkworm and spider ducts with the chitin reference sample. Figure 4 (D) shows the spectra of the spider and silkworm ducts overlaid with the spectra acquired from powdered commercially available chitin. Although the ducts' single large peak at 3292 cm$^{-1}$ were replaced in the commercial chitin by double peaks at 3428 and 3258 cm$^{-1}$, the shoulder peaks at 3101 and 2877 cm$^{-1}$ were common to both samples. The sharp peak at 1648 cm$^{-1}$ in the ducts was split into a doublet with peaks at 1655 and 1621 cm$^{-1}$ in the commercial chitin and the peak at 1536 cm$^{-1}$ in the latter had shifted to 1553 cm$^{-1}$. In addition, there were a number of peaks including at 1378 and 1004 cm$^{-1}$ in the commercial chitin that were not visible in the duct spectrum.

Averaged spectra from spider duct and silkworm ducts showed 87.8% similarity while commercial chitin powder gave a
calculated similarity of 26.3% with spider proximal ducts, 25.3% with spider distal ducts, and 26.8% with silkworm ducts.

The amide I band is used to distinguish between α- and β-chitin, with a doublet of peaks at 1660 and 1627 cm\(^{-1}\) in α-chitin, β-chitin a single peak identified at 1636 cm\(^{-1}\) [33] or 1650 cm\(^{-1}\) [34]. This difference in amide I peaks at 1648 cm\(^{-1}\) is attributed to hydrogen bonding between the main chain and side groups in α-chitin, but includes longer bonds that reach between β-sheets in β-chitin [35]. A similar pattern emerges for the part of the spectrum between 3000 and 3500 cm\(^{-1}\) in which α-chitin has a shoulder at 3479 cm\(^{-1}\) that is not present in β-chitin, and instead has bands at a lower frequency [36]. Comparing the peaks from the ducts to those of resilin, the structural protein associated with chitin in some regions of insect cuticle [37] showed differences in wavenumbers and shape of both the amide I and amide II bands between 1800 and 1200 cm\(^{-1}\) [38], [39] but no data outside this region.

The spider and silkworm ducts exhibited many of the hallmarks of β-chitin including high intensity single amide I and II bands at 1648 and 1536 cm\(^{-1}\). In highly crystalline forms of β-chitin, the OH band around 3445 cm\(^{-1}\) is often weaker or absent but the OH band around 3445 cm\(^{-1}\) remains [40], comparable to the single peak at 3292 cm\(^{-1}\) in our samples. The spectrum below 1500 cm\(^{-1}\) showed very little detail in the spider and silkworm ducts in contrast to the commercial α-chitin, confirming that the use of the amide III bands of this region as an indicator is problematic [41].

We found that the commercial chitin powder had a doublet amide I band with peaks at 1655 and 1621 cm\(^{-1}\), a shoulder at 3428 cm\(^{-1}\) in addition to a peak at 3258 cm\(^{-1}\). Allowing for the variability in the location of the peaks reported in the literature and the frequency shift in spectra obtained from the diamond ATR [42] we identify the commercial sample as containing α-chitin and show that the spider and silkworm ducts contain β-chitin.

Correlation between chitin histochemistry and FTIR spectroscopy

Both spectroscopic and histochemical tests gave similar results for the spinning ducts of Nephila edulis and Bombyx mori and the purified reference sample of α-chitin from shrimp shells also gave a positive histochemical reaction for chitin.

As the spectra of the detergent treated spigots and outer cuticle of both animals (composed largely of chitin [20]) were so similar to the spectra of the ducts, and corroborated the histochemical tests for chitin, we conclude that the duct walls of both spider and silkworm contain β-chitin. That the calculated similarity between the spectroscopic results of natural ducts and commercial chitin powder was less than the 85% customarily taken to indicate similarity may be explained by the vigorous extraction methods used in the powdered samples’ purification, disrupting their natural molecular structure. The main difference between spectra from commercial chitin and the natural samples was the spectrum below 1500 cm\(^{-1}\) already mentioned as problematic, and the increased width of the –OH peak at 3400 cm\(^{-1}\), which may depend on the degree of acetylation in chitin from different sources [43] rather than a fundamental difference in composition between the ducts and chitin.

Chitin presence in silk duct walls

Although the histochemical test appeared to detect chitin only in the distal end of the spider duct, spectroscopy indicated that both the distal end of the duct and the proximal end which dissolved in the KOH both contain chitin and had 94.2% similarity. We suggest that in the proximal part of the spider’s duct, the chitin-containing structures are held within a matrix which is broken down by the powerful reagents used in the histochemical test thus releasing the chitinous component(s). We suggest that the distal end of the duct remains intact after digestion either because the matrix is more heavily stabilised or because the chitin nano-components are contiguous rather than encased by a matrix from which they can be released. The difference in matrix between the proximal and distal regions of the duct may relate to subtle differences we observed in their FTIR spectra.

Relevance of chitin in silk spinning

Pure chitin behaves as a viscoelastic polymer [44], making it very strong, pliable and flexible, whilst being very insoluble in water due to its high crystallinity [45]. However chitin intimately mixed with matrix proteins that are strongly stabilised by hydrophobic interactions and oxidative phenolic tanning can provide very stiff and tough cuticular structures [46]. The drawdown taper in both spiders and silkworms occurs in the distal part of the duct in spiders [9,47] and silkworms [6], so therefore within the region of chitin that cannot be extracted with KOH in the spider. It is likely that these regions in both spiders and silkworms must be kept stiff to prevent variations in the internal draw down rate (and ratio) brought about by unwanted extensional and/or bending forces applied to the duct lining. These occur for example when the spider spins in a strong wind or when a silkworm bends its head from side to side during spinning [48]. In addition, the start of the draw down taper is just proximal to the clamp in spiders [9,47] and to the silk press in silkworms [6]. These structures may generate extensional forces in this crucial part of the duct when they clamp down on the silk thread [49]. Thus the arrangement of chitin and heavily stabilised matrix protein in this part of the duct may help to prevent unwanted deformations of this region of the duct whose geometry is thought to be vital for spinning [25].

Relevance of chitin to origins of silk ducts

Detection of chitin in the cuticle lining of spider and silkworm spinning ducts and the close similarity of the FTIR spectra of these structures with that of exoskeletal structures in the respective species adds credence to the suggestion that silk glands in both glands are dermal in origin. The silk apparatus consisting of a secretory sac connected to a hollow chitin tube, surrounded by ion transporting epithelium and in turn connected to a spigot in essence resembles the ion transporting [30] and fluid secreting trichogen tormogen complex [31] of hollow arthropod spines. The ability of this complex to secrete a non-Newtonian, thigmotactic fluid would have been advantageous to locomotion while selection for an increase in the mechanosensitivity of such a fluid could eventually have led to the ability to form solid silk threads. Thus the spider’s silk secreting apparatus may have evolved from simple hollow chitinous spines [15].

Silk worm ducts are thought to be derived from the labial (salivary) glands, with the silk press a combination of the hypopharynx and prementum [52] subsequently modified for silk production [53]. As in the spider this structure may have evolved from the trichogen and tormogen of hollow arthropod spines. The essence resembles the ion transporting [50] and fluid secreting transporting epithelium and in turn connected to a spigot in essence resembles the ion transporting [30] and fluid secreting trichogen tormogen complex [31] of hollow arthropod spines. The ability of this complex to secrete a non-Newtonian, thigmotactic fluid would have been advantageous to locomotion while selection for an increase in the mechanosensitivity of such a fluid could eventually have led to the ability to form solid silk threads. Thus the spider’s silk secreting apparatus may have evolved from simple hollow chitinous spines [15].
The observation that many of the cuticular proteins associated with chitin in arthropod cuticle are, like silk fibroins, β-sheet proteins [46] supports the trichogen tormogen theory for the origin of arthropod silk glands. Thus silk proteins may have arisen from the ability of certain tormogen cells to secrete silk-like proteins derived from arthropod cuticle into the lumen of the hollow cuticular spines. Further investigations are required to differentiate between the hypotheses presented here and the hypothesis that spiders’ silk ducts are lined with chitin due to the developmental constraint of their being attached to the chitinous outer cuticle.

Conclusion

Histochemical and spectroscopic investigations into the silk ducts of both the spider *Nephila edulis* and the silkworm *Bombyx mori* strongly indicate that they both contain β-chitin, despite an evolutionarily distant common ancestor. Our results suggest that the arrangement of the chitin in the distal end of the duct may be different from that in the proximal end in the spider but not in the silkworm. In both species the chitin-protein composite lining of the hollow cuticular spines of the silkworm arthrodial silk ducts strongly indicates that they both contain β-chitin, despite an evolutionarily distant common ancestor. Our results suggest that the arrangement of the chitin in the distal end of the duct may be different from that in the proximal end in the spider but not in the silkworm.
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