Different reverse remodelling between left ventricle and right ventricle in fulminant heart failure due to giant cell myocarditis: a case report
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Background Giant cell myocarditis (GCM) is a rare cause of fulminant heart failure (HF). The most common presentation is progressive hemodynamic deterioration, and a few cases present with idiopathic complete atrioventricular block (cAVB). The prognosis of GCM is poor, and GCM patients usually die of HF and ventricular arrhythmia unless cardiac transplantation is performed. Few reports have described the effects of treatments such as immunosuppression and detailed reverse remodelling in GCM patients.

Case summary A 69-year-old female presented with cAVB. Transvenous pacemaker was implanted via the left subclavian vein. One and a half months later, she exhibited left ventricular dyssynchrony and lower left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), resulting in hospitalization for HF. She received cardiac resynchronization therapy; however, this had no apparently positive effects on her cardiac function. To investigate the cause of the lower LVEF, an endomyocardial biopsy was taken from the right ventricular septum. She was diagnosed with GCM and immediately received immunosuppression therapy with prednisolone and ciclosporin. This resulted in the functional recovery of the right ventricle; on the other hand, the left ventricle had still not recovered based on transthoracic echocardiography. Fortunately, she successfully recovered from severe HF without recurrence.

Discussion This is a case of fulminant HF due to GCM which initially presented as cAVB. Moreover, this case demonstrates the quite difference of the functional recovery between the left ventricle and the right ventricle with immunosuppression therapy.
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Learning points

• Giant cell myocarditis is a rare cause of fulminant heart failure (HF). However, it should still be considered even in patients with atypical clinical presentations.
• Endomyocardial biopsy should be performed at the early stage of HF with unknown cause.
• Although the left ventricular function did not recover after immunosuppression therapy, the recovery of the right ventricular function can improve the HF symptoms.
**Introduction**

Giant cell myocarditis (GCM) is a rare cause of fulminant heart failure (HF), and it is attributed to a T lymphocyte-mediated inflammation of the myocardium. Approximately 20% of cases associates with the systemic autoimmune diseases. The most common presentation of GCM is progressive hemodynamic deterioration. In some cases, idiopathic complete atrioventricular block (cAVB) was present. Clinical suspicion of GCM is a Class I indication for endomyocardial biopsy (EMB) to confirm the diagnosis. The prognosis of GCM is poor, and patients usually die of HF and ventricular arrhythmia unless cardiac transplantation is performed. The rate of death or cardiac transplantation was 89%, with a median survival of 5.5 months from the onset of symptoms to the time of death or transplantation. Immunosuppression therapy is essential in the treatment of GCM, and more recent reports show that the 5-year survival of GCM treated with immunosuppression therapy was 52–72%. Few reports have described in detail the reverse remodelling which occurs after immunosuppression therapy. We described a case showing the different myocardial remodelling between left ventricle and right ventricle in a GCM patient.

**Timeline**

| Date   | Event                                                                                             |
|--------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Day 1  | Transvenous pacemaker (PM) was implanted for complete atrioventricular block.                     |
| Day 8  | She was discharged without any complications.                                                     |
| Day 43 | She presented with exacerbation of shortness of breath and orthopnoea.                           |
|        | She was re-hospitalized for worsening heart failure (HF).                                         |
|        | Transthoracic echocardiography demonstrated lower left ventricular ejection fraction and remarkable cardiac dyssynchrony. |
| Day 48 | Intra-aortic balloon pump (IABP) was inserted because her HF was uncontrollable.                 |
| Day 50 | Her treatment shifted from conventional PM to cardiac resynchronization therapy.                  |
| Day 65 | IABP was extracted.                                                                                 |
|        | Endomyocardial biopsy (EMB) was taken from her right ventricular septum. (1)                      |
| Day 71 | She was prescribed with prednisolone 60 mg daily.                                                  |
| Day 85 | She was prescribed with ciclosporin 100 mg daily.                                                   |
| Day 86 | EMB was taken from right ventricular septum.                                                       |
| Day 124| EMB was taken from right ventricular septum.                                                       |
| Day 141| She was transferred to a rehabilitation hospital.                                                   |

**Case presentation**

A 69-year-old female, who presented with cAVB (Figure 1, A-1), was referred to our hospital. Her past medical history was hypertension and dyslipidaemia, and she had been prescribed calcium channel blocker and statin. This time she had a history of syncpe and exertional dyspnoea. Transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) revealed normal cardiac function (left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF): 67.2%, Video 1) and no significant valvular heart disease. Dual-chamber pacemaker (PM) was implanted via the left subclavian vein (Figure 1, B-1). She was discharged on Day 8 without any complications. One and a half months later (on Day 43), she presented with exacerbation of shortness of breath and orthopnoea. TTE demonstrated akinesis in the anterior wall, cardiac dyssynchrony, and LVEF at 47.7% (Videos 2 and 3 and Supplementary material online, Video S1). Chest radiography showed mild congestion (Figure 1, B-2). Laboratory tests showed increased brain natriuretic protein (BNP) at 3352.3 pg/mL (reference value 0–18.4 pg/mL) and myocardial deviation enzymes [creatine kinase (CK): 639 U/L (reference value 42–135 U/L), CK-MB: 39 U/L (reference value 0–25 U/L), troponin I: 20.58 ng/mL (reference value 0–0.045 ng/mL), and normal kidney function (estimated glomerular filtration rate: mL/min/1.73 m²)]. Acute coronary syndrome was suspected, and emergent coronary angiography was performed. However, the coronaries had no significant stenosis, and she was diagnosed with worsening HF and was hospitalized. Her HF status did not improve after receiving drugs for HF, such as diuretics and dobutamine. Intra-aortic balloon pump was inserted on Day 48, and TTE demonstrated worsening LVEF. We considered the possibility of the negative effect of right ventricular (RV) pacing on cardiac function, hence, on Day 50, her PM was upgraded to cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT). TTE showed partial resynchronization, however, her respiratory status worsened mainly because of the fatigue and weakness of respiratory muscles. On Day 52, she was intubated with mechanical ventilation support (Figure 1, B-3). Tracheostomy was performed on Day 70. On Day 65, EMB was taken from her RV septum. The specimens demonstrated several giant cells, no granulomas, and diffuse myocardial interstitial fibrosis (Figure 2). Laboratory test results revealed normal angiotensin-converting enzyme and lysozyme levels. Her laboratory markers ruled out some autoimmune disorders (systemic lupus erythematosus, polymyositis, dermatomyositis, Sjögren’s syndrome, rheumatoid arthritis, vasculitis, autoimmune thyroid disorder, and myasthenia gravis). Whole-body computed tomography showed no sign of sarcoidosis, such as hilar lymphadenopathy. Finally, she was diagnosed with GCM. She was prescribed prednisolone (PSL) 60 mg daily on Day 71 and ciclosporin 100 mg daily on Day 85. Subsequently, her BNP decreased (Figure 3). EMB was taken from her RV septum twice more (on Days 86 and 124, three specimens/ procedure), and the specimens demonstrated no giant cells and less apparent myocardial fibrosis (Figure 4). TTE showed no LVEF improvement (modified Simpson method) from 37.2% at the beginning of PSL to 28.8% at discharge (Figure 3). However, RV function significantly improved based on fractional area change (FAC) from 17.5% at the beginning of PSL (Supplementary material online, Videos S2 to 46.7% at discharge (Table 1 and Supplementary material online, Videos S2 and S4)). Intake of PSL was decreased to 30 mg daily upon discharge (tapered speed of 5 mg/week). She has not experienced any exacerbation of HF. Chest radiography showed no signs of lung congestion (Figure 1, B-4). She was transferred to a rehabilitation hospital on Day 141. The maximum
Figure 1 Serial electrocardiography and chest radiography. (A) Serial electrocardiography. (A-1) At the first visit to our hospital, (A-2) at the time of re-hospitalization for heart failure, and (A-3) at the time of discharge. (B) Serial chest radiography. (B-1) At the first visit to our hospital, (B-2) at the time of re-hospitalization for heart failure, (B-3) at the time of intubation, and (B-4) at discharge.

Figure 2 Endomyocardial biopsy from the right ventricular septum at diagnosis (on Day 65). (A) Haematoxylin–eosin stain, (B) Masson-Trichrome stain, (C) CD3 (T-cell), (D) CD20 (B-cell), and (E) CD68 (Macrophage). Blue arrows indicate giant cells. Figures showing myocyte injury caused by an inflammatory infiltrate variably composed of lymphocytes, macrophage, and multinuclear giant cells. Every bar located at the right below the area in every figure indicates 100 μm (A-1, B-1), 50 μm (A-2, B-2, C–E), and 20 μm (A-3, B-3).
values of CK, CK-MB, troponin I, and BNP during the HF hospitalization were 7444 IU/L (on Day 51), 228 IU/L (on Day 51), 101.33 ng/mL (on Day 56), and 4281.2 pg/mL (on Day 67), respectively. The HF drugs at discharge from our hospital were carvedilol 7.5 mg daily, perindopril 2 mg daily, furosemide 30 mg daily, spironolactone 25 mg daily, and tolvaptan 7.5 mg daily. She still continued rehabilitation at the rehabilitation hospital 3 months after the discharge from our hospital.

Discussion

The following features were observed in this case. First, an elderly female patient who initially presented with cAVB actually developed GCM. Second, after undergoing PM implantation, she had lower LVEF and cardiac dyssynchrony, and her PM was upgraded to CRT. However, the effect of CRT on HF status was relatively poor, therefore, EMB was taken, and she was diagnosed with GCM. Third, serial
| Date   | LVEF (%) | LVDs (mm) | LVd (mm) | LVESV (mL) | LVEDV (mL) | LVMI (g/m²) | RWT   | RVFAC (%) | TAPSE (mm) | S' (cm/s) |
|--------|----------|-----------|----------|------------|------------|-------------|-------|-----------|------------|----------|
| Day 1  | 67.2 (Teich) | 27.6      | 43.8     | 175.0       | 0.65       |             | 20.2  |           |            |          |
| Day 5  | 60.7 (Teich) | 30.4      | 44.9     | 162.0       | 0.41       |             | 15.1  |           |            |          |
| Day 43 | 47.7 (mod-Simpson) | 34.9    | 46.6     | 47.5         | 90.9       | 178.8       | 0.57  |           |            |          |
| Day 49 | 36.8 (mod-Simpson) | 38.2    | 48.3     | 51.8         | 81.9       | 173.4       | 0.52  | 10.8      |            |          |
| Day 64 | 34.2 (mod-Simpson) | 44.7    | 51.6     | 63.8         | 97.0       | 148.9       | 0.42  | 11.7      |            |          |
| Day 71 | 37.2 (mod-Simpson) | 45.8    | 52.8     | 62.2         | 99.1       | 115.1       | 0.33  | 17.5      | 16.1       | 10.9     |
| Day 85 | 26.0 (mod-Simpson) | 49.0    | 53.7     | 69.1         | 93.4       | 94.2        | 0.30  | 24.0      | 12.7       | 10.0     |
| Day 93 | 31.6 (mod-Simpson) | 47.8    | 54.1     | 80.7         | 118.0      | 104.3       | 0.29  | 31.5      | 16.8       | 12.3     |
| Day 100| 36.8 (mod-Simpson) | 47.7    | 52.0     | 72.0         | 114.0      | 104.3       | 0.33  | 47.7      | 17.1       |          |
| Day 128| 28.8 (mod-Simpson) | 43.6    | 50.0     | 66.9         | 93.9       | 108.7       | 0.38  | 46.7      | 15.2       |          |

D, diastolic; LVDd, left ventricular diastolic diameter; LVDs, left ventricular systolic diameter; LVEDV, left ventricular end-diastolic volume; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LVESV, left ventricular end-systolic volume; LVMI, left ventricular mass index; Mod-Simpson, modified Simpson method; RVFAC, right ventricular fractional area change; RWT, relative wall thickness; S, systolic; TAPSE, tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion.
echocardiographic data demonstrated the difference in the reverse remodelling between the left ventricle and the right ventricle after immunosuppression therapy.

Seventy-five percent of GCM patients presented with HF. Meanwhile, cAVB was observed in 5% of patients. cAVB is a common disease among elderly people, and it is rarely associated with myocarditis. However, unexplained cAVB is one of the diagnostic symptoms of myocarditis. Early EMB is indicated in patients who present with rapidly progressing HF and/or persistent cardiac troponin release. EMB is the gold standard for diagnosing definitive myocarditis. An EMB-guided approach is recommended in myocarditis presenting as acute HF with shock or high-grade heart block.

The right ventricle plays a vital role in the morbidity and mortality of patients with several cardiac diseases. The RV systolic function is a significant predictor of mortality in cardiovascular diseases, including HF. In this case, although the LV function did not recover, the recovery of the RV function led to the improvement of HF status. Because of the unique anatomy of the right ventricle, its precise function evaluation is challenging. A meta-analysis showed that FAC had a higher correlation with cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging-derived RV ejection fraction than with tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion. Therefore, we evaluated the RV function using FAC. Serial echocardiographic data showed improvement of FAC, but not of LVEF. The follow-up biopsy specimens obtained from RV septum after immunosuppression therapy showed less interstitial fibrosis, indicating the recovery of the RV function in this patient (Figure 4).

CMR is useful for confirming widespread but non-ischaemic necrosis in the acute phases of GCM. In this case, we considered performing CMR, however, we did not push through because the patient was unstable and intubated, furthermore, she had undergone PM implantation and recently received CRT. We hypothesized that the difference in remodelling between the ventricles was due to the greater inflammation in the left ventricle than the right ventricle. CMR may have been able to elucidate more precise information on the myocardium. However, as seen in this case, patients with GCM are often unstable to undergo CMR imaging.

Regarding the treatment, a small prospective study suggested that cyclosporine-based combined therapy reduced myocardial inflammation and improved clinical outcome. Moreover, a case series involving 26 patients diagnosed with EMB described that 65% of the patients received azathioprine in addition to PSL and ciclosporin. Choosing the optimal evidence-based immunosuppression regimen for the patients is challenging because there have only been some small case series and observational studies and no randomized clinical trials. In this case, we prescribed only PSL and ciclosporin, not azathioprine. The LV function did not recover. However, PSL and ciclosporin treatment resulted in the recovery of the RV function and controlled HF symptoms. We were cautious about the side effects of multi-immunosuppression therapy.
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Consent: The authors confirm that written consent for submission and publication of this case report including images and associated text has been obtained from the patient’s family in line with COPE guidance.

Conflict of interest: None declared.

Funding: None declared.

References

1. Cooper LT Jr, Berry GJ, Shabetai R. Idiopathic giant-cell myocarditis—natural history and treatment. Multicenter Giant Cell Myocarditis Study Group Investigators. N Engl J Med 1997;336:1860–1866.
2. Kandolin R, Lehtonen J, Salmenkivi K, Räisänen-Sokolowski A, Lommi J, Kupari M. Diagnosis, treatment, and outcome of giant-cell myocarditis in the era of combined immunosuppression. Circ Heart Fail 2013;6:15–22.
3. Kociol RD, Cooper LT, Fang JC, Moslehi JJ, Pang PS, Sabe MA et al.; American Heart Association Heart Failure and Transplantation Committee of the Council on Clinical Cardiology. Recognition and initial management of fulminant myocarditis: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation 2020;141:e69–e92.
4. Anderson L, Pennell D. The role of endomyocardial biopsy in the management of cardiovascular disease: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association, the American College of Cardiology, and the European Society of Cardiology. Eur Heart J 2008;29:1696.
5. Małeszewski JJ, Orellana VM, Hodge DO, Kuhl U, Schulteis HP, Cooper LT. Long-term risk of recurrence, morbidity and mortality in giant cell myocarditis. Am J Cardiol 2015;115:1733–1738.
6. Caiorso AL, Pankuwat S, Arbustini E, Basso C, Gimeno-Blanes J, Felix SB et al. Current state of knowledge on aetiology, diagnosis, management, and therapy of myocarditis: a position statement of the European Society of Cardiology Working Group on Myocardial and Pericardial Diseases. Eur Heart J 2013;34:2636–2648.
7. Tschöpe C, Cooper LT, Torre-Amione G, Van Linthout S. Management of myocarditis-related cardiomyopathy in adults. Circ Res 2019;124:1568–1583.
8. Rudski LG, Lai WW, Afzal J, Hua L, Handschumacher MD, Chandrasekaran K et al. Guidelines for the echocardiographic assessment of the right heart in adults: a report from the American Society of Echocardiography endorsed by the European Association of Echocardiography, a registered branch of the European Society of Cardiology, and the Canadian Society of Echocardiography. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2010;23:685–713.
9. Di Salvo TG, Mathier M, Semigran MJ, Dec GW. Preserved right ventricular ejection fraction predicts exercise capacity and survival in advanced heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol 1995;25:1143–1153.
10. Focardi M, Canesi M, Carbone SF, Massoni A, De Vita R, Lis M et al. Traditional and innovative echocardiographic parameters for the analysis of right ventricular performance in comparison with cardiac magnetic resonance. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 2015;16:47–52.
11. Lee JZ, Low SW, Pasha AK, Howe CL, Lee KS, Suryanarayana PG. Comparison of tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion with fractional area change for the evaluation of right ventricular systolic function: a meta-analysis. Open Heart 2018;5:e000667.
12. Ferreira VM, Schulz-Menger J, Holmvang G, Kramer CM, Carbone I, Sechtem U et al. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance in nons ischemic myocardial inflammation: expert recommendations. J Am Coll Cardiol 2018;72:3158–3176.
13. Cooper LT Jr, Hare JM, Tazelaar HD, Edwards WD, Starling RC, Deng MC et al.; Giant Cell Myocarditis Treatment Trial Investigators. Usefulness of immunosuppression for giant cell myocarditis. Am J Cardiol 2008;102:1535–1539.
14. Menghini VV, Savcenko V, Olson LJ, Tazelaar HD, Dec GW, Kao A et al. Combined immunosuppression for the treatment of idiopathic giant cell myocarditis. Mayo Clin Proc 1999;74:1221–1226.
15. Fallon JM, Parker AM, Dunn SP, Kennedy JLW. A giant mystery in giant cell myocarditis: navigating diagnosis, immunosuppression, and mechanical circulatory support. ESC Heart Fail 2020;7:315–319.