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Abstract

One of the less known facets of Ludwig Boltzmann was that of an advocate of Aviation, one of the most challenging technological problems of his times. Boltzmann followed closely the studies of pioneers like Otto Lilienthal in Berlin, and during a lecture on a prestigious conference he vehemently defended further investments in the area. In this article I discuss his involvement with Aviation, his role in its development and his correspondence with two flight pioneers, Otto Lilienthal e Wilhelm Kress.
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1 Introduction

A hundred years ago the life of one of the most prolific and influential of XIX century’s physicists came to a tragic end. It has long been discussed by historians and biographers the many reasons why Ludwig Eduard Boltzmann decided to put an end to his life while on vacation in Duino [12, 15]. After all, notwithstanding the many controversies which raged about his work and the many attacks he had to harness, his career had been a brilliant one: at the age of 25 already a full professor in Graz, by the end of his life he had been appointed to chairs of many distinguished universities, and once even refused changing his family name to the noble ‘von Boltzmann’ with the argument that “if our rather middle-class name has been enough for my ancestors, it should remain so for my children and grandchildren” [13]. While gathering the admiration of many of his contemporaries, some did not fully understand his contributions to Statistical Mechanics, whose foundations he helped establish along with R. Clausius, J.C. Maxwell and particularly J.W. Gibbs, whom he greatly admired [9]. Highly regarded in his native Austria, he travelled extensively overseas. His very spirited account of a trip to the United States also shows a man of keen observational powers and a great sense of humor [10].

Here I would like to discuss another facet of Boltzmann, namely that of an advocate of Technology in the mirror of Aviation. As it happens with many other giants of science, the contributions they are mostly remembered for usually overshadow other fundamental ones.
So for instance few remember Heinrich Hertz’s Elasticity Theory, a seminal theoretical contribution still extensively employed by practitioners in the field [17]. Isaac Newton’s Hydrodynamics pale in comparison to his Mechanics, Gravitation and Optics [31], for reasons I will discuss shortly since they are directly related to the theme of this essay. By the same token, Boltzmann’s experimental contributions to Electromagnetism have only received the attention they deserved in the last few years [12, 13, 33]. These works, albeit important, are seen as secondary to his contributions to Statistical Mechanics. They do however show us a different picture of Boltzmann: that of a first-rate experimental physicist tuned to the technological challenges of his times.

My analysis is based on the lecture “Über Luftschiffahrt”1 he delivered during the 66th Annual Meeting of the German Society of Natural Scientists and Physicians held in Vienna in 1894 [11]. Boltzmann was well aware of his stature in the scientific community and seized the opportunity presented by such a privileged venue to passionately defend the brooding field of Aeronautics. This is also confirmed by his correspondence with great aviation pioneers of his time, particularly Otto Lilienthal. I argue that more than representing a passing fancy of a man imbued by the prevailing Zeitgeist, the lecture shows Boltzmann’s deep concern with the funding of applied research and his attitude towards Technology as an inseparable companion to basic research.

In the next section I discuss the general context of Boltzmann’s early involvement with experimental problems and the situation of Aeronautics at that time, both experimental and theoretical. Then I present translations of his correspondence with two aviation pioneers – Otto Lilienthal and Wilhelm Kress – before drawing some conclusions.

2 A passion for gadgets

Boltzmann’s involvement with technological questions was not uncommon for his times, an age marked by great technological advances and a positivist atmosphere. In his case though it was also closely related to the circumstances of his first professorship: while officially appointed to a chair of Mathematical Physics, Boltzmann was actually responsible for the setting up of a General Physics course supposed to meet the needs of an expanding university [18]. With the opening of a Medical School in Graz in 1863, the university authorities were faced with the challenge of offering not only more chairs on Natural Sciences but also better ones. Physics was under the responsibility of Karl Hummel, an elder faculty member whose abilities were not up to the new challenges. A decision was made to create a new chair to be occupied by an Extraordinarius2. For political reasons this chair was masked under the name of Mathematical Physics, while it actually foresaw that its holder would be responsible for equipping and teaching lab courses. This position was shortly occupied until E. Mach, then at Graz, managed to have it changed to an Ordinarius status so that he could move from the Mathematics to the Physics chair. Shortly after doing this Mach left

1Literally On airship travel. In spite of the word Luftschiff having its exact correspondence in the English Airship, airplanes are the main theme of his talk.
2A professor subordinated to a Full Professor or Ordinarius. Nowadays it denotes an associate professor.
for Prague in 1867 and Boltzmann replaced him, two years later, in a position he was to remain for the next 4 years until his return to Vienna. Moreover, he had a professional involvement with experimental research as a consequence of his visit to H. von Helmholtz’s Institute in Berlin. Helmholtz, under whose guidance Hertz was introduced to Electromagnetism, was one of the proponents of Maxwell’s Theory in Germany. So it was natural that during his Berlin sojourn Helmholtz presented him with problems directly related to the confirmation of Maxwell’s Theory. In Boltzmann the doyen of Physics in Germany found fertile ground, for the austrian newcomer had worked on a thesis about the movement of charges on curved surfaces during his PhD and was well acquainted with Maxwell’s works, some of which he translated into German (his supervisor, J. Stefan, was also an advocate of Maxwellian Electromagnetism) [2].

Boltzmann’s most important contributions to Electromagnetism took place during the 70’s and 80’s. His works fall mainly into two lines: on the one hand he conducted experiments which confirmed Maxwell’s theory, thus anticipating Hertz by 14 years [3]. From a theoretical point of view, he worked on the Hall and Thermoelectric Effects [7], Diamagnetism [5] and wrote a book on Maxwell’s Electromagnetism [8], not to mention the famous Stefan-Boltzmann Law which relates the intensity of the electromagnetic radiation emitted by a black-body to its temperature [6]. From a total of 31 articles he published on Electromagnetism – roughly one fifth of his whole scientific production, second only to his output in Statistical Mechanics – 7 are purely experimental and deal with the relationship between the index of refraction \( n \) of a medium and the dielectric and permeability constants \( \epsilon \) and \( \mu \) respectively [14]. Maxwell’s theory predicted that these quantities were related through:

\[
n = \sqrt{\epsilon \mu}.
\]

The relevance of this equation should not be underestimated, for it relates the optical to the electrical and magnetic properties of a substance, one of the tenets of Maxwellian Electromagnetism. Starting with sulfur crystals [3] Boltzmann moved to a more challenging task: to measure the index of refraction and dielectric constant of some gases. Since their indexes of refraction are equal to 1 up to the fourth decimal place, one can only confirm Maxwell’s prediction by doing high-precision measurements. Boltzmann was up to the task and devised not only new and ingenious ways for measuring these quantities but also the necessary equipment. His results, which in some cases are equal to the values we use nowadays, can be seen in the following table [4].

---

3He would return to Graz in 1876 and remain there for 14 years. By his own accounts these were the happiest years of his life [15].
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| Substance      | $\sqrt{\epsilon}$ | $n$     |
|----------------|--------------------|---------|
| Air            | 1,000295           | 1,000294|
| CO$_2$         | 1,000473           | 1,000449|
| H$_2$          | 1,000132           | 1,000138|
| CO             | 1,000345           | 1,000340|
| NO$_2$         | 1,000497           | 1,000503|
| Oil            | 1,000656           | 1,000678|
| Swamp gas      | 1,000472           | 1,000443|

For all these substances the permeability $\mu$ is very close to 1 so that Eq. (1) reduces to $n \sim \sqrt{\epsilon}$. There is no clear indication in his article as to which kind of oil he actually used. As for swamp gas (Sumpfgas) he meant Methane (CH$_4$), whose index of refraction is known to be 1,000443 (one should keep in mind that $n$ depends on the wavelength and Boltzmann’s results are valid for the visible spectrum).

These examples are a clear indication that Boltzmann had actually an insider’s knowledge of experimental techniques and consequently should have been in position to critically assess the experimental data on the growing but still insipient aeronautical literature, as I discuss in the next section.

3 The art of flying

Boltzmann had a keen interest in Aeronautics and followed closely many pioneers in their attempts to build a flying machine, particularly Otto Lilienthal in Berlin and Wilhelm Kress in Vienna. The origins of this fascination of his are hard to trace. It is probably a natural consequence of his involvement with still unresolved theoretical questions of Aerodynamics, particularly the problem of viscosity friction, for one should not forget that this was one of the main problems statistical mechanists were trying to tackle. His interest might have also been stirred by the sheer challenges the practical problem of flying presented, as he puts at the beginning of his lecture [11]:

“The number of unsuccessful projects in this field [Aeronautics] is legion. However, throughout the ages, from the legendary Daedalus to Leonardo da Vinci, the greatest minds have delved into this problem. There is no other challenge more attractive to Mankind than this one...what about Man, whose trains can overrun the fastest racing horse and whose ships, notwithstanding their gigantic dimensions, can manoeuvre with such ease and swiftness so as to mock the fish in their art? Won’t He ever be able to follow the birds in the skies?”

His role in the development of aeronautics was twofold: on the one hand he publicly championed the cause for more funding and governmental support in this new area of practical research, first in a lecture and later in a newspaper article [25]. On the other hand he had a more indirect role: he is not known to have written any theoretical paper on the topic but, as we may conclude, he stimulated one of his assistants to do so, thus taking part in the controversy around Newton’s sine-square law (see below for details).
In 1894 the Gesellschaft Deutscher Naturforscher und Ärzte, then one of the most prestigious scientific societies, convened in Vienna for its 66th annual meeting. Boltzmann was invited to deliver a lecture. Fully aware of his own stature in the academic milieu as well as the impact of such a gathering might have for those responsible for scientific policy, he chose to talk about the most recent experiments of Lilienthal, Kress and also Hiram S. Maxim, an American engineer living in England. His talk is a review of the most recent field tests with heavier-than-air flying machines – aerostats had been around for quite a while, but Boltzmann dismisses them as unpractical (in terms of mass transportation), for these were still marred by a series of disadvantages [11]:

“If one wants a balloon to lift a man to the skies it would need to have a volume about a thousand times greater indeed...however the deployment of such huge bodies runs counter to their principal characteristic, that is light manoeuvrability. If one wants to use a balloon one has to give up speed.”

From this he concluded that airplanes were the only reasonable solution to air transportation:

“This was just the first step towards the invention of a manoeuvrable airship. However, that one may also use the high speeds needed to overcome winds as a means of carrying loads can be observed in the flight of birds of prey which, after reaching high velocities, move through the air almost without beating their wings. So we are led to flying machines which do not depend on the lift of some gas lighter than air, but which use the kinetic energy of a mechanism to carry loads through the skies.”

At this point he makes his heartfelt praise of Lilienthal’s pioneering studies with gliders, the man who set the standards for all future studies in the area. However, true to his scientific spirit, he calls his audience’s attention to what he thought would be the right propulsion mechanism an airplane would need: propellers. Lilienthal believed that flying machines would achieve their goal by imitating birds in their art, i.e. by the beating of wings. He conducted extensive studies on the profile of birds’ wings (particularly storks) and designed his gliders’ wings accordingly. This way he came close to the profiles we use nowadays and was the first to realize the importance of a curved wing. The title of his book “The flight of birds as foundation for the art of flying” leaves little room as to what his beliefs really were [30]. At this point Boltzmann disagrees however, as he says [11]:

“Lift is caused by air resistance acting on a curved surface, a principle Wellner[^1] and Lilienthal most precisely measured...however the necessary horizontal speed of an airplane can be attained by some sort of beating of wings, in which case it closely resembles a bird, or by means of an airscrew... .”

Notwithstanding the high esteem he had for Lilienthal, he could not fail to point out that

[^1]: Georg Wellner (1846-1909), Czech engineer of Austrian origin, professor at the University of Brno (Brünn).
“According to Lilienthal the airplane must be divided into two halves, which move as the wings of a bird when flying. This way one may avoid the so-called slip of the propeller and consequently the power loss due to creation of eddies, as Lilienthal believes. This point alone I already doubt, since through the beating of the wings much of the work done when pushing them down gets lost when pulling them up again, while with an airscrew one optimally employs the advantageous principle of the inclined plane. Maxim’s propellers actually work with very little slip. Moreover, by dividing the airplane into movable parts one impairs its simplicity and strength. The beating of wings cannot be attained without serious complications and a considerable friction of its parts; it does not run smoothly and is not finely tunable as a propeller. The [theoretical] calculations are also much more complicated.”

At this point he calls attention upon the little known Wilhelm Kress, his countryman. Kress studies suffered from insufficient funding but in spite of all difficulties he was among the first to test surface controls on wings (flaps and ailerons) and the use of propellers. Boltzmann used all his rhetorics and a great deal of patriotism to impress his audience on what their countrymen could achieve [11]:

“. . .how much have Germans achieved with sparse means but [only through] the acuteness of their minds! Who dares question this, here in Vienna, the place where the Magic Flute, the Missa Solemnis and the Ninth Symphony were composed? Let the whole wide world try imitating us, if they can!”

Rather then showing an ingrained chauvinism of Boltzmann, this statement seems more of a rhetorical technique to captivate his audience. As he said, they should do something for it they did not the British would beat them in this race:

“...I contemplate proposing to the finance committee of our newly founded Natural Society, which is actually still sort of dangling in the air, to do something for air travel with their first available funds and, if money is not enough, to convince the government to do so.”

To make his cause more impressive Boltzmann brought along a small prototype of an airplane built by Kress, whose design, he emphasized, was already 14-years old. He made the prototype fly across the room, to the great amusement of his audience. According to a witness, the plane flew across the large auditorium, “landing in the arms of a lady” [26].

Boltzmann had, like few men of his time, travelled extensively through the European continent and visited the United States twice. He also followed his son Arthur on a cruise through the Mediterranean, while this was working on his PhD thesis. This certainly made him aware of the advantages air travel would bring [11]:

“It is undeniable how immense the jump in transportation would be if one had manoeuvrable airships, compared to which railroads and ships would not even come close.”
From a theoretical point of view Boltzmann also had a few comments to make. It was believed that a heavier-than-air machine could not fly, an argument most theoreticians traced all the way back to Newton. In Book II of his *Principia* Newton dealt with the resistance bodies experienced when moving through a fluid [31]. For Newton it was important to find an argument against the cartesian view that the Universe was filled with matter through which forces were transmitted. If true, this would imply that planets should experience a resistance to their movement around the sun. Newton’s motives were thus of a more fundamental character, not a practical one. In proposition 33 he asserts that bodies moving through a fluid “undergo a resistance in a ratio compounded by the ratio of the square of their velocities, the ratio of the square of their diameters and a simple ratio of their densities.” In modern parlance this means that the aerodynamic force a body moving through air would experience is

\[ F_A \propto \rho A v^2. \]  

(2)

were \( \rho \) is the density, \( A \) is the cross-sectional area and \( v \) the velocity. By the end of the 19th Century this formula was theoretically and experimentally well justified. Based on a model of a fluid as a collection of particles, Newton was able to determine \( F_A \) (proposition 34) in the form:

\[ F_A = \rho A v^2 \sin^2 \theta. \]  

(3)

This is the famous sine-square law where \( \theta \) is Newton’s ‘angle of incidence’ (nowadays called the angle of attack). Adapting it to a wing in the form of a flat plate with an angle of attack \( \theta \) one can find the lift and drag components of \( F_A \) through the relations:

\[ D = F_A \sin \theta = \rho A v^2 \sin^3 \theta \]

\[ L = F_A \cos \theta = \rho A v^2 \sin^2 \theta \cos \theta, \]  

(4)

These equations are the reason why so many physicists were very pessimistic about the feasibility of an airplane flying: if one were to increase lift, it would be necessary to increase the angle of attack. But this would in turn lead to a much faster increase in drag (or, which is the same, a rapid decrease in the ratio \( L/D = \cotan \theta \)). In other words the drag would become so large as to hinder flight. The predictions based on Newton’s arguments are wrong because of his treatment of a fluid as individual particles. In 1897 Gustav Jäger, who had previously worked with Stefan, became Boltzmann’s assistant. Boltzmann took him under his wing and saw to it that Jäger get a position of *Extraordinarius* in Vienna.

During his first year there Jäger published a paper entitled ‘On the resistance that bodies experience when moving through liquids and gases’ [28]. According to Höflechner, this work

---

4In Aeronautics one speaks of three forces acting on a plane: its weight, a traction provided by the engines and an aerodynamic force. The aerodynamic force is usually decomposed into two other forces: lift \( L \) and drag \( D \), which act perpendicular and parallel to the direction of flight respectively. The modern relations for drag and lift closely resemble Newton’s formula: \( D = \frac{1}{2} c_D \rho A v^2 \) and \( L = \frac{1}{2} c_L \rho A v^2 \). The important drag and lift coefficients \( c_D \) and \( c_L \) can be determined experimentally and the factor \( 1/2 \) is introduced for convenience. The main task in Aeronautics is finding both coefficients, which may depend on a series of geometric factors.

5Zur Frage des Widerstandes, welchen bewegten Körper in Flüssigkeiten und Gasen erfahren. [28]
received a lot of attention also because of the fact that Boltzmann highly praised it. The reason for Boltzmann’s enthusiasm was that this paper refuted Newton and the findings of a commission headed by H. Helmholtz according to which it would be impossible for any machine heavier than air to fly [28]. However as late as 1906, the year of Boltzmann’s death, his colleague Franz Exner still expressed his doubts in an official report about the feasibility of an airplane [26].

An interesting ‘effect’ of Boltzmann’s dealings with Aeronautics was that his son Arthur became a balloonist and later wrote an article on the resistance of curved surfaces moving through the air [1]. This work deals mainly with experimental results on the pressure distribution on curved surfaces in the presence of an air flow.

4 Boltzmann’s correspondence

Boltzmann correspondence with Otto Lilienthal and Wilhelm Kress can be attested by the few letters which survived. What seems to have motivated his correspondence with Lilienthal was his need for more detailed information on Lilienthal’s studies while preparing his lecture. Boltzmann certainly knew of his work from his many visits to Berlin and had probably read Lilienthal’s influential book of 1889. Lilienthal was trained as an engineer and after successfully getting the patent rights for a few of his inventions, he was able to more completely dedicate himself to his aeronautical studies. What characterizes his pioneering work is the combination of detailed theoretical studies with field tests. He patiently improved his gliders step-by-step, correcting minor design flaws and testing them exhaustively. The first known letter of Lilienthal to Boltzmann in the autumn of 1894 was an answer to a letter Boltzmann had sent to him [20]:

Dear Prof. Ludwig Boltzmann, Vienna

It took me a while to reply to your kind letter since I was waiting until I could send you copies of my most recent articles on the technique of flight. I just happened to read in the newspaper that you already gave a talk, whose report I followed with great interest. In the meantime allow me to send you two more articles where I discuss propellers, which you mention at the end of your letter. The October issue of Prometheus will carry an article of mine with illustrations of my experimental flight station in the vicinities of Berlin.

The privy counsellor von Stock, in the Hotel Kahlenberg, has one of my gliders.

Yours sincerely ... Otto Lilienthal.

In the meantime Boltzmann send a letter to Lord Rayleigh, dated October 4 1894, where he thanks him for sending information on Hiram Maxim [21]:

7This was a weekly magazine which reported on advances in sciences and industry, as well as commerce. It was edited in Berlin and circulated between 1890 and 1920.

8Lilienthal is referring to an artificial knoll he had built in Lichterfelde, a neighborhood southwest of Berlin where today one can find a monument to his memory. The hill was named “Fliegeberg” or flight mountain.
My dear Sir,

I thank you very much for the sending of your excellent book on the theory of sound as well as the synopsis of the article on Mr. Maxim, both of which I got while in Munich.

With the utmost respect, truly yours … Ludwig Boltzmann.

On November 6 1894 Lilienthal replied to another letter he got from Boltzmann. From his answer we may conclude that Boltzmann was interested in buying one of his gliders [22]:

Dear Prof. Boltzmann, Vienna

It would much please me to send you a light machine. However I need some more detailed information on your purposes. The light engines I build are all based on the stroking principle since, in my opinion, circular movements lead to a great loss of power. However I believe you want an engine with a rotatory motion.

In case you want to test it for a short time, so that a few minutes suffice, I would advise you to use an engine running on liquid carbon dioxide. It exerts a pressure of 60 atm. and small bottles provide great power. That way you can get a great concentration of power in short times.

I thank you for the news. Yours truly … Otto Lilienthal.

What Lilienthal meant by ‘stroking’ movement was a new principle he wanted to apply to a prototype he had just built. In the winter of of 1893/1894 he devised a flying machine with movable parts. True to the principles expounded in his book, Lilienthal believed that flight would be achieved by imitating birds, i.e. by the beating of wings as a means of propulsion [32]. Boltzmann however was certain that the best solution would be to use a propeller (the circular or rotatory movement Lilienthal mentions), a principle defended by Kress. Notwithstanding these differences in opinion, it seems that Boltzmann really meant buying one of Lilienthal’s prototypes. This is attested by a letter of November 21 where he asks Lilienthal to make a price proposal [23]. On December 10 Lilienthal finally answered [24]:

Dear Prof.,

in reply to your kind letter of [November] 21 I did some quick calculations as to how a rotatory machine, that is a machine with a rotating engine, could be assembled. I would build a three-cylinder engine, of which only one would be directly fed with carbon dioxide. This way one would get a triple expansion without dead point. This engine, which in a 10-cycle-per-second regime produces one HP effective, would not weigh more than 2 kg. To have it running for 2 minutes you would need 1 kg of gas. A bottle with such a volume weighs 3 kg plus a heater of 1 kg to keep the gas from freezing. This sums up to 7 kg for one

---

9The book *The Theory of Sound* had just been released in London. The second edition was out 2 years later.
HP during 2 minutes. For most experiments 2 minutes should be enough. For 10 minutes it would be necessary to carry 5 kg of gas and the whole equipment would weigh about 25 kg. I estimate the price of this machine at about 1,000 Marks.

Respectfully yours ... Otto Lilienthal.

The engine Lilienthal refers to was a normal stroke (piston) engine fueled by pressurized CO\textsubscript{2} \cite{10}. As it was a three-stroke engine Lilienthal proposed combining three of them to get a triple expansion without dead point. Boltzmann most certainly did not buy the prototype, as Lilienthal’s company records do not list him among its clients \cite{32}. Moreover such an unusual purchase would not go unrecorded (the famous physicist George FitzGerald not only had of one of Lilienthal’s gliders but also tested it in Dublin. Another famous client was Nikolai Zhukovsky, the father of russian aviation who visited Lilienthal on different occasions).

We now come to Boltzmann’s next public manifest. This was a letter sent to the newspaper Neues Wiener Tagblatt and published on July 10 1896, where he makes reference to his acclaimed lecture of 1894. From its content one concludes that critiques on the feasibility of Kress’s prototype surfaced \cite{25}:

\begin{quote}
I have already expressed my strong conviction during the Congress of Natural Scientists in Vienna that, among all manoeuvrable flying apparatuses, gliders are those which have the highest chance of becoming successful. Maxim did build a flying machine that took off with people on board, but he did not succeed in solving the stability problem. His machine dropped suddenly, risking the lives of all those in it.

However a solution to this problem had already been found long ago by Mr. Kress from Vienna. I just became aware of it immediately after my lecture. It is true that he managed to get [stability] on a small-scale model, which he actually most successfully demonstrated during my talk. Now doubts have arisen as to whether this stability can be attained for larger models. Against these opinions I would like to say that this must be even simpler, once the necessary resources for their construction are made available. My opinion is confirmed by recent experiments of Langley: he built a glider which, in spite of not being able to carry a man, was much larger than Kress’s. This was only possible because instead of using rubber bands \cite{11} he used a marvelous and light 1 1/2 HP-steam engine. According to his own reports and that of Alexander Graham Bell, the inventor of the telephone, we must believe that Langley really got enough stability. His model does not seem much different from that of Kress. I believe that Mr. Kress will get a stable model by means of careful reasoning. Langley already plans to build a larger one. After these
\end{quote}

\footnote{According to Nitsch these bottles were common at the time and could be bought in specialized stores \cite{32}.}

\footnote{The models Kress built where propelled by rubber bands properly twisted.}
results and my talk there can be no doubt that we will manage to build light and powerful engines as well as stable prototypes, and I believe that within five years Man will make His first long-haul flight to a predetermined destination – and this will certainly take place in America in case my plea for the support of austrian inventors be so successful as it was during the Conference.

To avoid misunderstandings, I would like to note that whatever one accomplishes, it won’t be a manoeuvrable airplane for practical use yet. However, it will be the most fundamental and important step toward this goal. Only when we manage flying for a longer time will improvements follow...Professor Ludwig Boltzmann.

The last document is a letter of Kress dated August 12 1896. Kress thanks Boltzmann for this article but at the same time criticizes him for being, according to Kress, over-cautious. One cannot fail to notice, at the end of the letter, a certain pleading attitude of Kress [27]:

Most esteemed Privy Counsellor,

I would like to thank you immensely for the clear and most favorable article of yours regarding my endeavors [published] on the 10th of this month in the Neues Wiener Tagblatt. However the last sentence ‘to avoid misunderstandings’ shows once again your caution and unnecessarily damps a possible growing enthusiasm for gliders. This sentence certainly does not come from your very heart, for one notices a coldness opposite to the passionate tone previously employed. The opponents of gliders, who think they could do better, could hold themselves to these very last words. At the same time prospective financial supporters could become awry, for who in these days gives his money away for purely idealistic goals? I have just read in L’Aeronaute [12] that M. Kane from Chicago is building 3 different types of gasoline engines following Denington’s design:

1 HP weighing 13 kg.  
2 HP with 18 kg or 1 HP with 9 kg.  
4 HP with 22 kg or 1 HP with 5 kg.

The interesting point about these models is that they do not require water cooling and need only half a liter of gasoline per hour per HP. I will ask Chanute in Chicago about it. By the way, I would like to say once more that if I had the necessary [financial] means I would quickly acquire a light engine to make a glider fly.

Most respectfully yours... Wilhelm Kress.

[12] A journal published in Paris between 1868 and 1906.
An answer to this letter is unknown. Kress does not seem to have been that fair with Boltzmann since, as Kress attests in his own book [29], from 1895 onwards he could count on military as well as Boltzmann’s own financial support.

Had Boltzmann lived to see airplanes flying, he would probably be sad to see that the words he said at the beginning of his talk in Vienna would unfortunately become reality [11]:

“Our modern armies would stand as much a chance against an iron airplane, flying by unassailable, dropping dynamite from the heights, as a roman army would have against a battery of cannons.”

Right at the end of his talk Boltzmann concludes:

“Only a first-rate genius can solve the problem [of airplane manoeuvrability]. And this inventor, besides being a genius, must also be a hero; not without great effort will the elements to be harnessed give up their secrets. Only those who have the courage to trust their lives to these new elements, cunningly circumventing its caveats, will stand a chance of victory over the dragon which hides the treasures of this discovery from mankind.”

Two years after these words Otto Lilienthal, whom Boltzmann highly praised, died as a consequence of an accident while on one of his routine flights. Unfortunately there is no records of Boltzmann on this untimely death, as his own untimely death prevented him from seeing his dream become reality.

5 Conclusions

As the historian of science A. Vucinich once wrote, we must be careful not to exaggerate the role of socio-cultural conditions in stimulating scientific discoveries [34]. However, technological advances are to a great measure dictated by the needs of society and science may grow as a response to technological challenges. According to Vucinich “… No competent scientist would deny the essentially utilitarian quality of scientific knowledge; but none would go on to argue that the scientific community should concern itself exclusively with solving the acute problems of the day” [34]. These words could well have been said by Boltzmann himself. He had a profound interest in the technological developments of his times, particularly aviation, an interest which in my opinion went beyound that of an educated scientist. As I argued in this essay, when the opportunity presented itself for him to intervene publicly and promote aviation he did not waver: he lectured a highly prestigious audience in 1894 and sent a letter two years later to Vienna’s important Neues Wiener Tageblatt urging authorities to provide more funding lest America beat Austria in the race. From the point of view of basic research he supported his assistant Gustav Jäger who published an article countering the accepted view that heavier-than-air machines could not fly and probably was responsible for his son Arthur’s later involvement with Aerodynamics. His
ideas in Physics were revolutionary, but so was his belief in Aviation: when time came for him to openly position himself against the majority who did not believe that flying would one day become reality, he did so without hesitation.

6 Acknowledgments

I would like to thank the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for the financial support and the TP3 Group at Würzburg for hospitality and discussions. Dr. B. Lukasch from the Lilienthal Museum in Anklam, Germany, kindly sent me material on O. Lilienthal and a copy of A. Boltzmann’s article. All translations from the original texts are my own. This article is an extended and revised version of an essay I wrote in Portuguese during the celebration of Boltzmann’s Year (2006) which was published in [14].

References

[1] Boltzmann A., Über den Luftwiderstand gekrümmter Flächen, Sitzungsber. der k. Akad. d. Wissenschaften (1910), Bd. CXIX, 1-33.

[2] Boltzmann L.E., Über die Bewegung der Elektrizität in krummen Flächen, Wiener Berichte (1865), 52, 214-221. Reprinted in [16], vol. I, 1-8. As recounted by Boltzmann himself, aware of his poor command of English, Stefan presented him with copies of Maxwell’s works along with an English Grammar. It was through his work with Maxwell’s Theory that Boltzmann also learned of his works on the kinetic theory of gases [14].

[3] Boltzmann L.E., Experimentelle Bestimmung der Dielektrizitätskonstante von Isolatoren, Wiener Berichte (1874), 67, 17-80. In [16], 411-471.

[4] Boltzmann L.E., Experimentelle Bestimmung der Dielektrizitätskonstante einiger Gase, Wiener Berichte (1879), 69, 795-813. In [16], pp. 537-555.

[5] Boltzmann L.E., Über die auf Diamagnete wirksamen Kräfte, Wiener Berichte (1879), 80, 687-714; In [16] vol. II, 330-354; Entwicklung einer zur Bestimmung der Diamagnetisierungszahl nützlichen Formel, Wiener Berichte (1881), 83, 576-587. In [16] vol. II, 576-587.

[6] Boltzmann L.E., Ableitung des Stefanschen Gesetzes, betreffend die Abhängigkeit der Wärmestrahlung von der Temperatur aus der elektromagnetischen Lichttheorie, Annalen der Physik (1884), 22, 291-294. In [16], vol. III, pp. 118-121. In his obituary to Boltzmann H. A. Lorentz said this work was a “true pearl of theoretical physics.”

[7] Boltzmann L.E., Zur Theorie des von Hall entdeckten elektromagnetischen Phänomens, Wiener Berichte (1886), 94, 644-699. In [16] vol. III, pp. 187-211.
[8] Boltzmann L.E., Vorlesungen über Maxwells Theorie der Elektricität und des Lichtes, J. A. Barth Verlag, Leipzig, 1891.

[9] Boltzmann L.E., Über Statistische Mechanik, in Populäre Schriften, Ambrosius Barth Verlag, Leipzig, 1905.

[10] Boltzmann L.E., Die Reise eines deutschen Professors ins Eldorado, in Populäre Schriften, Ambrosius Barth Verlag, Leipzig, 1905.

[11] Boltzmann L.E., Über Luftschißfahrt, Verhandlungen der Gesellschaft Deutscher Naturwissenschaftler und Ärzte (1894), Allgemeiner Theil, 3-10.

[12] Broda E., Ludwig Boltzmann: Mensch, Physiker, Philosoph, Franz Deuticke Verlag, Viena, 1983, Ludwig Boltzmann: Man, Physicist, Philosopher, Ox Bow Press, New York, 1983.

[13] Cercignani C., Ludwig Boltzmann: the man who loved Atoms, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1998.

[14] Dahmen S.R., Boltzmann’s works in Physics, Revista Brasileira de Ensino de Física (2006), 28, 281-296; Boltzmann and the art of flying, Revista Brasileira de Ensino de Física (2006), 28, 319-326.

[15] Flamm D., Life and Personality of Ludwig Boltzmann in The Boltzmann Equation: Theory and Application, E.G.D. Cohen and G. Uhlenbeck (Eds.), Springer Verlag, Vienena, 1973, 3-16; Aus dem Leben Ludwig Boltzmanns, in Ludwig Boltzmann Gesamtausgabe v. 8, R. Sexl and J. Blackmore (Eds.), Friedrich Vieweg und Sohn, Braunschweig/Graz, 1982, 21-56.

[16] Hasenöhrl F. (Ed.), Wissenschaftliche Abhandlungen von L. Boltzmann, J. Barth Verlag, Leipzig, 1909 (Collected scientific works, reedited in 1968 by Chelsea Publishing Company, New York).

[17] Hertz H., Über die Berührung fester elastischer Körper, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (1882), 32, 156-171.

[18] Höflechner W. (Ed.), Ludwig Boltzmann: Leben und Brieße, Akademische Druck- u. Verlagsanstalt, Graz, 1994.

[19] Höflechner W., op. cit., p. I-9091.

[20] Höflechner W., op. cit., doc. 377, p. II-220.

[21] Höflechner W., op. cit., doc. 384, p. II-224.

[22] Höflechner W., op. cit., doc. 385, p. II-224.

[23] Höflechner W., op. cit., doc. 387, p. II-225.
[24] Höflechner W., *op. cit.*, doc. 390, p. II-226.

[25] Höflechner W., *op. cit.*, doc. 429, p. II-257.

[26] Höflechner W., *op. cit.*, p. II-257.

[27] Höflechner W., *op. cit.*, doc. 436, *op. cit.*, p. II-263.

[28] Höflechner W., *op. cit.*, pp. II-312, 313.

[29] Kress W., *Aviatik. Wie der Vogel fliegt und wie der Mensch fliegen wird*, Vienna, 1905.

[30] Lilienthal O., *Der Vogelflug als Grundlage der Fliegekunst*, R. Gaertners Verlagshandlung, Berlin, 1889.

[31] Newton I., *Philosophiae Naturalis Principia Mathematica*, London, 1713. This is the second edition of Newton’s *opus magnus*. The second book, considerably extended in comparison to that of the first edition, deals exclusively with the properties of fluids and gases.

[32] Nitsch S., *Vom Sprung zum Flug*, Brandenburgisches Verlagshaus, Berlin, 1991.

[33] Rumpf K., *Ludwig Boltzmann als Experimentalphysiker: Frühe Bestätigung*, *Physik in unserer Zeit* (2006), 37(5), 228 - 234.

[34] Vucinich A., *Science in Russian Culture, 1860-1917*, Stanford University Press, Stanford, 1970.