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Abstract—Permanent magnet synchronous motors (PMSMs) have superior features such as less volume and weight, long-life, high performance compared to induction motors. In this study, a comparative design of PMSMs is provided by considering a 2.2 kW induction motor nameplate parameters which is commonly used in industrial applications. A parametric analysis is used in order to design stator slot and magnet geometries of Surface-Mounted Permanent Magnet Synchronous (SPM) and Interior Permanent Magnet (IPM) Motors to get the high efficiency, low torque ripple. Ansys@Maxwell-2D software using time stepping finite element method is utilized to verify the advantages of designed motors compare to induction motors. In addition, material consumptions of both PMSMs and induction motor are compared to show the effectiveness of proposed motors in mechanically. It is shown that designed SPM and IPM motors have higher efficiency, lower torque ripple and volume than that of induction motors.

Index Terms—Interior permanent magnet synchronous (IPM) motor, motor design, parametric analysis, permanent magnet synchronous motors (PMSMs), surface-mounted synchronous (SPM) motor

I. INTRODUCTION

The idea of obtaining the required flux from magnets to produce the necessary torque in electrical machines is based on the 20th century [1-3]. The historical development of these motors is directly related to the advances in the technology of high-density permanent-magnet materials with sufficient remanent flux density and coercivity [4]. The high performance PMSMs which have a higher torque/power ratio can be designed using highly energy intensive magnets such as Neodymium-Iron-Boron (NdFeB) and Samarium-Cobalt (SmCo) magnets [5].

The permanent magnet synchronous motors (PMSMs) have superior features compared to induction motors such as high efficiency, less mechanical noise, direct drive, high performance even at low speeds, long-life time and less volume [6-10].

The rotor has permanent magnets (PMs) to produce the required torque as well as flux instead of excitation windings in PMSMs; thus the efficiency do increase due to elimination of copper losses and the operation and maintain costs reduces in PMSMs. That’s why they are highly preferred in industrial applications [11-12].

The permanent magnet synchronous motors (PMSMs) are classified as two groups such as surface-mounted synchronous (SPM) motors and interior magnet synchronous (IPM) motors based on magnet positions on the rotor [13-14]. It is known that locating the magnets on the rotor surface provide simplicity in surface-mounted motors while IPM motors are mechanically more robust since its magnets are embedded into rotor. SPM motors are very popular in industrial applications due to their stator inductances independent of rotor position, simplicity of control and construction [15]. IPM motors offer a wide constant power speed range and can be overloaded at low and high speed than SPM motors [16].

Besides its superior advantages, a common drawback of the PMSMs is torque ripple [17-18]. There are several reasons such as harmonics in the back EMF; magnetic saturation, and controller effects which cause ripple on the torque in both PMSMs. [19]. In a SPM motor, cogging torque which is caused by the interaction between the magnets on the rotor surface and the steel teethes on the stator also contributes torque ripple. [6,20]. In IPM motor, torque ripple is generated as undesirable by product of the interaction between rotor and stator MMF waveform and the variation of magnetic reluctance between the flux barriers and slot teethes [21-23]. In the design process; slot / pole combination, stator slotting, magnet geometry and rotor structure should be designed carefully to decrease torque ripple.

The objective of this paper is to present PMSMs advantages compared to induction motor for low-power industrial applications. A sensitive design process is carried out to high efficiency and low torque ripple ratio PMSMs.

For this purpose, both IPM and SPM motors are comparatively designed using ANSYS@Maxwell-2D software. The 2.2 kW induction motor is selected as reference motor [24]. The parameters of the reference induction motor and proposed PMSMs are given in Table A. In order to design the optimal PMSMs, the parametric analysis is carried out through the RMxpert@Maxwell software. The designed
PMSMs are analyzed in terms of torque ripple, efficiency, flux distribution, phase current and material consumption compared using Maxwell-2D transient solver. The transient finite element analysis (FEA) results show that two PMSMs designs have less volume, higher efficiency and lower torque ripple compared to reference induction motor.

II. DESIGN PROCESS OF PERMANENT MAGNET SYNCHRONOUS MOTORS

The output torque in PMSMs strongly depends on the remanent flux density of magnet. The d-q equivalent circuit model is widely used since it simplifies the calculation of complex equations [25]. The electromagnetic torque \( T_{em} \) produced by PMSMs according to the d-q equivalent circuit model calculated as:

\[
T_{em} = \frac{3}{2} P_p \left[ \psi_{pm} i_q + (L_d - L_q) i_d i_q \right]
\]

where \( P_p \) is the number of pole pairs, \( \psi_{pm} \) is the permanent magnet flux linkage. The \( i_d \) and \( i_q \) represent stator d-q axis currents and inductances, respectively. The terms \( \psi_{pm} i_q \) and \( (L_d - L_q) i_d i_q \) in Eq. (1) are related to magnet torque and reluctance torque, respectively. The \( L_d \) and \( L_q \) inductances are independent from the rotor position and they are approximately equal to each other in SPM motors.

Based on Eq. (1), the produced electromagnetic torque in SPM motors \( T_{em,SPM} \) can be modified as in Eq. (2) since \( L_d \) and \( L_q \) are equal each other, thus eliminates the second term in Eq. (1) as follows:

\[
T_{em,SPM} = \frac{3}{2} P_p \left[ \psi_{pm} i_q \right]
\]

As seen from the Eq. (2), \( L_d \) and \( L_q \) inductances do not directly affect the torque generation in SPM motors. On the contrary to SPM motors, the IPM motors can operate at high speeds due to embedding rotor magnets and have reluctance torque since \( L_d \) and \( L_q \) inductances are different from each other due to positions of magnet as shown in Fig. 1. The existence of reluctance torque in IPM motors provides high torque/power ratio at variable speed applications and it is possible to use low-cost permanent magnets which has lower magnetic energy density due to reluctance torque component [15]. It is clear that the electromagnetic torques includes the reluctance torque component as in Eq. (1) compared to that of SPM motors.

As a result, it should be considered that some electrical and mechanical parameters such as slot/pole combination, winding distribution, magnetic saturation, stator slot structure, magnet shape and dimensions to design high performance PMSMs.

A. Design Parameters

Electrical and mechanical parameters such as power, torque, speed, armature current density, rotor and stator material type, stator and rotor dimensions, etc. are taken into account in order to design both SPM and IPM motors. It is well known that the output power is proportional to stator and rotor outer diameter in any motor. The outer diameter of stator and rotor and stack length in PMSMs can be lower than that of IMs since they have their own excitation due to permanent magnets. That’s why, stator and rotor outer diameters in PMSMs are selected as 122 mm and 63 mm, respectively to get the required output power. Since the reluctance torque component contributes positively to the output torque, the stack length value of the IPM motor can be chosen smaller compared to SPM motor. Thus, the stack lengths are selected as 65 mm and 58 mm for SPM and IPM motors, respectively. In both designs, NdFe35 magnets which have high energy density have been used. The Air gap value is selected as smaller as possible mechanically such as 0.5 mm to minimize the leakage flux distribution in the air-gap.

Each magnet forms a pole in SPM motor while each flux barrier forms a pole in IPM motor. Since the area on the inner surface of the IPM rotor is limited, the number of poles cannot be selected as high as in the SPM motor. In addition, number of slot in PM motors should be determined according to number of pole depending on the motor type because the slot/pole ratio can affect the dynamic motor performance as well. Based on the possible pole/slot combinations [26], the number of slot and poles are selected as 39 slot / 28 pole for SPM motor and 33 slot / 10 pole for IPM motor. The coil pitch is 1 and 3 for SPM and IPM motor, respectively since it is dependent on slot/pole ratio of the designed motors. The designed motor parameters by considering electrical parameters of reference induction motor (see Appendix - Table A) are summarized at Table I.

![Fig.1. Main geometry of designed motors; (a) SPM, (b) IPM](image-url)
B. Parametric Analysis

Parametric analysis is an approach of the influence of different geometric and physical parameters on the system performance. The effect of each input parameter on the output values is examined by changing parameters in a certain number of step. The input parameters are modified to provide the most optimal output values such as efficiency, torque and flux density in motors. In order to carry out parametric analysis, the RMsetup @ ANSYS software is used for both PMSMs design process. The selected input parameters for parametric analysis are slot length, slot width, slot opening, magnet thickness and magnet width since they significantly affect the motor performance in PMSMs [27-28]. The output parameters are motor efficiency and stator flux density and cogging torque to design the motor more efficiently. It should be noted that there is no cogging torque in IPM motor.

Table II shows that the limits of input parameters and incremental step size for each parameters in parametric analysis. The incremental step size of each analysis is well enough to get the optimal results. The dimensions of the stator slots are modified as to limit the current density $J=5.7 \text{ A/mm}^2$ and maximum flux density $B_{\text{max}}=1.8 \text{ Tesla}$ in order to avoid magnetic saturation by considering B-H curve of used materials. Fig. 4. shows the parametric analysis results for PMSMs.

As can see from the Fig. 4 (Stator length (Hs2) parameter), when the slot length (Hs2) increases the efficiency raises at a certain point. In IPM motor, efficiency parameter is getting decreased after $Hs2=22.5 \text{ mm}$ so $Hs2$ value should be carefully selected considered as slot topology. Slot length (Hs2) also affects the stator flux density. As seen, when the slot length increases, stator flux density is getting lower for both SPM and IPM motors. It is clearly seen that slot length (Hs2) has no more effect on the cogging torque in SPM motor. Slot width (Bs1) can affect the motor dynamic performance as well. As can be seen from the Fig.4 (Slot width-1 (Bs1) parameter), the efficiency gets lower values if the slot with increases whereas flux density raises depending on increasing Bs1 values. Cogging torque gets minimized while slot width-1 increases.

Based on the parametric analysis results, slot width-2 (Bs2) strongly affect the stator flux density, -but not efficiency as seen Fig. 4 (Slot width-2 (Bs2) parameter). It is also seen that cogging torque in SPM motors decreases with the increasing slot width-2 (Bs2) values.

The parameter of slot opening (Bs0) has no significantly effect on efficiency and stator flux density in both PM motors as seen from the Fig.4 (Slot opening (Bs0) parameter). The cogging torque values change depending on different slot opening (Bs0) parameters.

| TABLE II |
| --- |
| THE LIMITS OF INPUT PARAMETERS AND STEP SIZE |
| | Unit | Ranges | Increment |
| Slot length (Hs2) | mm | $15 < Hs2 < 25$ | $\Delta Hs2 = 0.25$ |
| Slot width-1 (Bs1) | mm | $2.75 < Bs1 < 5$ | $\Delta Bs1 = 0.1$ |
| Slot width-2 (Bs2) | mm | $3 < Bs2 < 8$ | $\Delta Bs2 = 0.1$ |
| Slot opening (Bs0) | mm | $1.25 < Bs0 < 2.75$ | $\Delta Bs0 = 0.1$ |
| Magnet Geometry of SPM | Unit | Ranges | Increment |
| Magnet thickness (Mt) | mm | $1.5 < Mt < 5$ | $\Delta Mt = 0.25$ |
| Magnet width (emb) | - | $0.4 < emb < 0.95$ | $\Delta emb = 0.02$ |
| Magnet Geometry of IPM | Unit | Ranges | Increment |
| Magnet thickness (Mt) | mm | $1 < Mt < 3$ | $\Delta Mt = 0.1$ |
| Magnet width (Mw) | mm | $13 < Mw < 22$ | $\Delta Mw = 0.1$ |

Fig. 2 shows the magnet geometries for both PMSMs. The single flux barrier layered U-shape rotor type is selected as to get the high torque/power ratio for IPM motor. As seen from the figure, magnet thickness, embrace ratio, and shape of magnets are taken into account to design the rotor. It is clearly seen from the Fig.4 (Magnet thickness (Mt) parameter) that if the magnet thickness (Mt) increase, the efficiency and flux density raise up since flux density is proportional to magnet volume as known. The cogging torque is almost the same with the increasing magnet thickness values in SPM motor. Magnet width (emb for SPM and Mw for IPM) has also affect the motor performance. As seen from the Fig. 4. (Magnet width (emb&Mw) parameter), if the magnet width (emb&Mw) increase then the efficiency and stator flux density...
raises depending on magnet width values. However, cogging torque is dramatically increases with the increase magnet width values.

In order to select the optimal values of these parameters, it should be considered that maximum flux density is lower than specified value 1.8 Tesla. The parameters Mt and ebm are selected as 3 mm and 0.88 for SPM motor. In IPM motor Mt and Mw are selected as 2 mm, 20 mm.

Based on the parametric analysis results, it has been concluded that some of rotor parameters such as magnet thickness (Mt) and magnet width (ebm&Mw) has more impact on motor efficiency and flux density while some stator slot parameters such as slot width-2 (Bs2) and slot width-1 (Bs1) affect flux density in SPM and IPM motors.

### III. ANALYSIS RESULTS

In order to see the performance of designed motors compared to reference induction motor, both PM motors and induction motor have been analyzed through the ANSYS@ Maxwell software in transient time step. The time step is 0.7 msec to get the accurate results in simulations. Both PM motors and reference IM are driven in the same pure 3-phase sinusoidal voltage (V<sub>applied</sub>=380 V) and loaded at the same
torque and output power to compare the dynamic performance of all motors. The torque and torque ripple, stator phase currents, efficiency and material consumption are examined for all motors to see the advantages of PM motors compared to reference induction motor.

Fig. 6 shows that 3D view of designed SPM and IPM motor. It can be seen that flux vectors in PM motors are well oriented along with the rotor poles. It is clear that designed SPM and IPM motors have lower volume and size compared than induction motor since they have their own excitation in the rotor. The stator outer diameter and stack length of PM motors are lower than that of induction motor. As seen from the Table III, that efficiency has increased by almost 5% compared to that of IM. Table IV shows the material consumption of designed PM motors and reference induction motor. As seen from the Table IV, that total mass of SPM and IPM motors are reduced by approximately 60% compared than the reference induction motor. However, it should be considered that the high price of magnet used (NdFe35) will increase the total cost of PM motors. Although the total cost increases, the additional production costs will be compensated in the medium or long term as the PM motors efficiency are higher than IMs.

It is concluded that the designed SPM and IPM motors have higher efficiency, lower torque ripple, volume and mass compared than induction motor.

| Parameters | Unit | Induction Motor | SPM Motor | IPM Motor |
|------------|------|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| Efficiency | %    | 88.97          | 95.71     | 94.51     |
| Torque ripple | % | 13.26          | 1.30      | 1.47      |
| Stator outer diameter | mm | 145            | 122       | 122       |
| Stack length | mm | 110            | 65        | 58        |

Table IV METAL CONSUMPTION OF MOTORS

| Mass | Unit | Induction Motor | SPM Motor | IPM Motor |
|------|------|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| Stator core | kg | 6.1476          | 2.2359    | 2.081     |
| Rotor core | kg | 3.0647          | 1.0133    | 0.929     |
| Stator winding | kg | 2.3262          | 1.4367    | 1.444     |
| Rotor bar | kg | 0.6759          | -         | -         |
| Rotor magnet | kg | -               | 0.2319    | 0.1716    |
| Total | kg | 12.211          | 4.9179    | 4.627     |
IV. CONCLUSIONS

The design stages of SPM and IPM motors are described in detail and stator&rotor geometries are determined through the parametric analysis. Torque and torque ripple, efficiency, phase currents and material consumption of designed PM motors are compared to reference induction motor that is utilized for low power applications. Along with the parametric optimization process, the efficiency of PM motors has been increased while torque ripple, motor volume as well as motor weights have been decreased compared than that of induction motor. The designed PM motors enable very practical solutions due to its energy efficiency and performance in low power industrial applications.

V. APPENDIX

TABLE A
PARAMETERS OF INDUCTION MOTOR

| Motor name: Induction motor | Item               |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| Rated power                 | 2.2 kW             |
| Rated speed                 | 1420 rpm           |
| Rated torque                | 14.8 Nm            |
| Number of slot/pole         | 36/4               |
| Stator outer diameter       | 145 mm             |
| Rotor outer diameter        | 88 mm              |
| Rotor inner diameter        | 35 mm              |
| Stack length                | 110 mm             |
| Air gap                     | 0.25 mm            |
| Armature current density    | 5.7 A/mm²          |
| Winding type                | Distributed        |
| Coil span                   | 8                  |
| Stator and rotor core material | 1008              |
| Rotor skew angle            | 0 °                |
| Efficiency                  | 88.97 %            |
| Torque ripple               | 13.26 %            |
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