Tree crown injury from wildland fires: causes, measurement and ecological and physiological consequences

Summary
The dead foliage of scorched crowns is one of the most conspicuous signatures of wildland fires. Globally, crown scorch from fires in savannas, woodlands and forests causes tree stress and death across diverse taxa. The term crown scorch, however, is inconsistently and ambiguously defined in the literature, causing confusion and conflicting interpretation of results. Furthermore, the underlying mechanisms causing foliage death from fire are poorly understood. The consequences of crown scorch – alterations in physiological, biogeochemical and ecological processes and ecosystem recovery pathways – remain largely unexamined. Most research on the topic assumes the mechanism of leaf and bud death is exposure to lethal air temperatures, with few direct measurements of lethal heating thresholds. Notable information gaps include how energy transfer injures and kills leaves and buds, how nutrients, carbohydrates, and hormones respond, and what physiological consequences lead to mortality. We clarify definitions to encourage use of unified terminology for foliage and bud necrosis resulting from fire. We review the current understanding of the physical mechanisms driving foliar injury, discuss the physiological responses, and explore novel ecological consequences of crown injury from fire. From these elements, we propose research needs for the increasingly interdisciplinary study of fire effects.

Introduction
Heat-damaged or killed foliage on woody plants is a common and obvious outcome of wildland fires (i.e. wildfires or prescribed fires) in savannas, shrublands, woodlands, and forests globally (Alexander et al., 2019). Visible foliar discolouration or consumption of foliage are notable across taxa (Hood et al., 2018; Fig. 1). Fire-caused injuries to tree crowns and forest canopies impact ecological processes and applications from the organism to whole ecosystems, such as ecosystem resilience, carbon and other nutrient dynamics, and hydrological cycles (Hood et al., 2018; O’Brien et al., 2018).

Crown scorch – the discoloured areas of foliage and buds after fire – is the most commonly referenced indicator of heat damage because it is an easy assessment of external injury and indicator of underlying physiological damage (Hood et al., 2018). Importantly, crown scorch can be estimated from both ground and aerial methods, and can approximate fire intensity, making it valuable to researchers and fire practitioners (Alexander et al., 2019). For example, crown scorch is an important tree-to-landscape-level indicator of the ecological consequences of fire, often termed fire severity (Eidenshink et al., 2007; Catry et al., 2013). Crown scorch is the primary predictor of post-fire tree mortality, especially for gymnosperms (Fernandes et al., 2008; Cansler et al., 2020). Beyond mortality, crown scorch is linked to both immediate and longer-term impairment of physiological activity (Aubrey et al., 2012; Lodge et al., 2018), which can impact tree growth (Valor et al., 2018). Crown scorch is also used more broadly to assess burn severity through remote sensing techniques and to estimate changes in carbon pools with Earth system models (de Groot et al., 2003).

While often the most visible indicator of fire effects, crown scorch is a loosely defined term based on ocular evidence, which may not include impacts to tree foliage, buds and branches. The lack of precise terminology causes assumptions about mechanisms and misunderstandings among disciplines, researchers and practitioners. Our aim here is to: (1) clarify the discrepancies in how crown injury terms are used in the literature; (2) review the physiological effects of fire on tree crowns; and (3) draw attention to potential ecological consequences. We also highlight priority research questions to further our understanding of the causes and effects of fire induced crown injury (Table 1).

Crown injury defined
The literature is replete with different interpretations of crown scorch and injury. It is common for terms to be undefined or ambiguous, making results difficult to interpret or to compare among studies. We posit that part of the confusion around crown scorch emanates from the conflation of a spectrum of potential injuries (Figs 1, 2) that range from foliar damage (but not immediate mortality), foliar necrosis (acute injury to consumption), bud injury, and bud necrosis (acute injury to consumption). All of these conditions have been used to describe and quantify crown scorch. Most reports fail to distinguish injury across this spectrum, lumping them into a generic value referred to as ‘scorch.’ The ambiguity of the term is likely to be because numerous disciplines reference some metric of crown scorch, but little cross-disciplinary communication and the absence of formal standardised terminology creates wide discrepancies in meaning.

We propose that clearly defined terminology of visual symptoms of injury is needed to facilitate integrative research that facilitates comparisons across taxa and regions and links symptoms to
physiological mechanisms. The definitions and terms are not new, but provide clarity:

- **Total crown injury**: portion of the crown (foliage, buds, branches) that is killed or injured by fire. Sum of scorch, kill, and consumption (has also been called total crown damage).
- **Crown scorch**: portion of the tree’s foliage that is killed but not consumed during a fire. Foliage appears brown or red within days after fire.
- **Crown kill**: portion of a tree’s buds (i.e. meristematic tissue that develops into branches, flowers, or foliage) and branches killed during fire.
- **Crown consumption**: portion of a tree’s foliage, buds and fine branches that are either consumed during flaming combustion or is charred during a fire.

Importantly, these categories are not equivalent in their impact on overall tree injury. Crown scorch, crown kill, and crown consumption result from exposure to increasingly extreme thermal environments (Figs 1, 2). After fire, scorched leaves (i.e. killed foliage, surviving meristems) senesce within weeks for some species and last months or longer for others (Wade & Johansen, 1986). Areas of crown kill are notable as they generate a partial marcescent crown (with leaves partially consumed, but remaining attached), lingering months to years following fire (Figs 2, 3). Crown kill via bud mortality is difficult to estimate until the growing season following fire, although this effect is often the most physiologically consequential to the tree. Crown consumption is the most immediately apparent condition with branches and buds that are either completely consumed or visibly charred.

### Physical processes of heat transfer in tree crowns

During low- and moderate-intensity surface fire, energy is transferred to the unburned local crown via buoyancy-driven convective gas movement and to a lesser extent by radiation (O’Brien et al., 2018). The buoyant hot gases irregularly heat boundary layers within and surrounding the crown, including...
stems, branches, leaves, and buds (Dickinson & Johnson, 2001). Once the temperature of the boundary layer rises, molecular contact at the gas–solid interface results in a rapid energy transfer to the exposed plant tissue. When buoyant hot gases are absent, near-ambient-temperature air is entrained and transfers energy away from the plant tissue (O'Brien et al., 2018). A net accumulation of energy is needed (more warming than cooling) for plant tissue temperatures to rise. Assuming an active crown-fire is not present, the subcanopy heat power (rate at which energy is transferred (W)) affects the exposure time necessary for a lethal dose of heat flux (W m$^{-2}$) to the individual parts of the crown. The amount or dose of energy delivered to the crown has been linked to reduced physiological function and possible mortality of the tree (Smith et al., 2016). Foliage death may occur immediately, or it may be delayed, suggesting an important continuum of injury. At the extreme end of the dose spectrum, if the heat flux to the crown is sufficiently high, ignition and consumption of the foliage occurs, resulting in tree ignition (termed ‘torching’) and potentially tree-to-tree canopy spread.

Multiple heat-transfer scenarios can lead to crown injury, however substantial questions arise over how the physiological integrity of leaves are impacted by fire and the subsequent potential consequences to ecosystem processes. While scorch estimation via temperature is common, thresholds have rarely been related to energy dose (Smith et al., 2016; O'Brien et al., 2018). Therefore, while these thresholds (such as 60°C; sensu Wade & Johansen, 1986; Lodge et al., 2018) are widely used in physical and empirical modelling, unanswered questions remain regarding exactly how heat from fire causes leaf death, what the physiological implications and ecological consequences are, and how trees recover (or die) from these periodic injuries (Table 1).

**The physiology of crown injury by fire**

The physiological mechanisms of foliage, bud, and branch death by fire fall into two proposed pathways: tissue necrosis and xylem dysfunction. Death from the physical process of combustion may also occur. These injury pathways are not mutually exclusive...
scenarios, but potential mechanisms that will interact to manifest crown injury (Fig. 1). Indeed, West et al. (2016) suggest that variation in heat-induced damage to plant hydraulic systems may be better characterised by a suite of pyrohydraulic traits based on xylem and foliage morphology and heat sensitivity rather than any single trait.

Tissue necrosis

Tissue necrosis occurs as a result of lethal heat energy doses from fires (Smith et al., 2016; Hood et al., 2018). The standard estimate of threshold tissue temperatures that cause tissue death often does not incorporate time and is simply assumed to be c. 60°C (Wade & Johansen, 1986; Michalez & Johnson, 2006a) or fluctuates widely from instantaneous (Wade & Johansen, 1986) to 1 min (Dickinson & Johnson, 2001). Conventional wisdom is that heating causes tissue necrosis via the temperature sensitivity of internal proteins (reviewed in Wade & Johansen, 1986). Leaves, meristems and distal, small diameter branches are sensitive to high temperatures via several internal pathways: damage to membranes; transformations of Rubisco and proteins; and desiccation (Kozlowski et al., 1991). Hare (1961) stressed that a lethal tissue temperature is irrelevant without also quantifying exposure time, yet tissue impairment and death have rarely been related to energy dose or heat flux (Smith et al., 2016; O’Brien et al., 2018). Total heat energy is the integrated measure of a fire’s heat flux to plant tissue and can allow more accurate estimation of lethal heating than simple thresholds. For example, cell viability decreased linearly after 1 min of experimental heating from 40 to 60°C in Abies amabilis, suggesting the 60°C threshold is not universal and is also not dependent on exposure time (Seymour et al., 1983), likely to be due to a larger heat energy dose through exposure to lower heat fluxes over a longer time. In addition to the issues with temperature (e.g. air vs leaf tissue or surface vs interior membranes), studies based on thresholds often ignore the energy received before and after a threshold temperature is crossed. In other words, heat-transfer measures are ignored entirely in favour of a single temperature threshold. Recent work strongly suggests that tree growth is reduced even when 60°C is not reached (Smith et al., 2017). Accounting for the total heat energy dose, information lost by focusing on temperature thresholds, is vital to understanding plant response (O’Brien et al., 2018; Hood, 2021).

Tissue necrosis may also occur via loss of cuticle integrity. Plant water loss is limited by both stomata and cuticular conductance. Epicuticular waxes limit the diffusion of water from the foliage to the atmosphere and help leaves maintain turgor pressure. These waxes have very low volatilisation temperatures with midpoint...
melting temperatures as low as 73°C for some species (Bueno et al., 2019). Melting leaf surface waxes can expose plants to rapid post-fire desiccation through increased cuticular conductance, even if the foliage itself did not reach internal temperatures necessary to cause necrosis. Water potentials would rapidly decrease (become more negative), and continued water loss would be influenced by species differences in hydraulic segmentation whether low water potential would cause localised xylem cavitation in leaf petioles and small branch ends or more widespread cavitation further upstream in larger branches or the main stem (West et al., 2016). Research on *Abies* spp. and *Picea sitchensis* reveal substantial cuticle temperature sensitivity, with temperatures and durations approximating the 60°C threshold causing melting and wax filling of stomata (Winner & Casadevall, 1983). Field and laboratory observations indicate that there are further threshold heat fluxes above 60°C that can dramatically change the physiological function of the leaf (Jolly et al., 2012). For example, exposure to direct flames causes radiative and convective heat fluxes with gas temperatures well above common ignition temperatures (>320°C), causing the cuticle to mechanically fail via rupturing or combusting quickly to pyrolyse and form char (Yedinak et al., 2019). In addition, high temperatures may lethally damage the guard cells that control stomatal aperture, contributing to plant water loss (Rogers et al., 1981). Ultimately, damage from heat to either the cuticle or the stomatal guard cells could promote desiccation and create conditions commonly observed as post-fire crown scorch.

**Xylem dysfunction**

Fire is thought to impair hydraulic function through two potential mechanisms: heat-induced embolism and deformation of xylem (Bär et al., 2019). High temperatures and low relative humidity of buoyant fire plumes can lead to very high vapour pressure deficits at the leaf boundary layer, which increases transpiration and xylem tensions causing embolisms in xylem conduits and leading to cavitation in foliage and branches, which if extensive enough would result in reductions in water transport and conductivity (Kavanagh et al., 2016). Reduced water transport and hydraulic conductivity (Kavanagh et al., 2016) would result in reductions in water transport and conductivity (Kavanagh et al., 2016)

**Consequences of hydraulic failure**

Reduced hydraulic conductivity and results suggest that increased hydraulic vulnerability, if found, was due to xylem deformation of pre-existing xylem (Bär et al., 2018) or in xylem formed post-fire (Partelli-Feltrin et al., 2020b). Further research is required to determine how well results from water bath experiments extend to conditions observed during wildland fire (Table 1). In addition, these studies have been focused on hydraulic integrity and tree mortality, and work is needed to directly test if xylem dysfunction causes crown injury. Research is also needed to integrate the effects of fire on carbon stores and hydraulic function. Carbon acquisition and hydraulic function are linked in ways still not fully understood, as evidenced by studies of experimental manual defoliation that caused an increased vulnerability to embolism (Hillabrand et al., 2019) and depletion of nonstructural carbohydrates (NSC; Miranda et al., 2020). NSC depletion impairs osmoregulation and turgor maintenance (Sapes et al., 2021). Fire may act in a similar way as defoliation to kill foliage and impair or kill branch phloem, thereby causing changes to post-fire xylem anatomy and hydraulic integrity.

**Quantifying fire-caused crown injury from trees to landscapes**

Crown injury is often quantified based on subjective ocular estimates of the percentage of the crown affected. Both crown volume (as a %) and length or height (as a value or %) are typical metrics (Alexander et al., 2019). Crown volume estimates acknowledge that tree crown shapes are often irregular, making proportional volume estimation from measurements crude (Peterson, 1985; Hood et al., 2010). Ocular estimates are relatively easy to make and can readily differentiate the range of crown conditions from scorched, to killed, to consumed. However, they are limited by requiring on-the-ground, individual tree assessments by trained staff after the fire occurs but before the killed foliage is shed as litter. Ocular estimates may also suffer from higher error in the intermediate injury values. For example, it is relatively easy to estimate the percentage of crown volume scorched on trees with little to no scorch and on trees with total (i.e. 100%) scorch; however, estimates of irregular crowns with intermediate scorch are more prone to error. Additionally, differences in crown condition can take days to months to become fully apparent to observers, requiring a time lag in quantifying the effects of fire. Furthermore, for species capable of quickly refoliating through multiple bud flushes and rapid leaf elongation (e.g. many southern US *Pinus*, subsection Australes), the window to observe crown injury is brief. Due to their small size, location on branches and typical height above the observer, bud injury is even more challenging to evaluate. Because of the coarse estimation of crown injury and confusion over the impairment mechanisms on physiological function, it may be that our metrics of scorch are simply correlative indicators of
above-ground heating, compromising our ability to mechanistically link cause and effect.

Remote sensing techniques offer promise for better estimation of crown injury (Eidenshink et al., 2007). Remote sensing is commonly used to assess burn severity as a function of the forest canopy change from fire through both passive and active systems, including leaf detection and ranging (LiDAR). The appropriate scale of remote sensing platforms is dependent on the expected extent of crown injury. Laboratory-based experiments on individual trees tested gradients of thermal dosing on individual trees using an array of spectral indices (Sparks et al., 2016). Spectral indices, such as the differenced normalised burn ratio (dNBR) or the differenced normalised vegetation index (dNDVI), are now common in predicting either average crown injury and post-fire tree survival at coarse scales (Lentile et al., 2009; Furniss et al., 2020). At the coarsest scales of satellite remote sensing (375 m to 1000 m), LiDAR fuel consumption estimates were related to fire radiative energy from level 2 MODIS active fire products (McCarley et al., 2020). Coarse scale satellite imagery requires a large spatial extent that is more broad than individual scorched trees or patches. Active fire detection systems such as Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite (VIIRS) may hold promise for relating fire radiative power to scorch, as the spatial resolution of this system is potentially proximal in scale with scorch events. It is not yet clear how well these methods can differentiate between the various components of crown injury. For example, dNBR was a better predictor of crown consumption than crown scorch immediately and 1-yr post-fire (Lentile et al., 2009).

LiDAR at a similar gradient of scales (tree to stand level) may explain more variability in crown injury than traditional passive remote sensing methods. LiDAR can differentiate between living and dead foliage, whether aerial or ground-based terrestrial scanning (Fig. 4). The diversity of remotely sensed methods offers promise to differentiate between leaf injury, bud kill and crown consumption. Combining two or more methods that detect change of crowns have been demonstrated via LiDAR for measuring equivalent water thickness (Junttila et al., 2015), which can be used to assess changes in foliar moisture that result from drought, disease, live and dead proportion, and potentially scorch (Fig. 4), airborne LiDAR systems integrated with multispectral imagery to assess canopy foliar chemical traits (Asner et al., 2015) and canopy height distributions with hyperspectral data to assess burn severity and post-fire recovery rate (Meng et al., 2018). Characterisation of moisture loss or degradation of physical structure offer promise for detecting relevant foliar changes on individual trees at large fire scales (Table 1).

Modelling applications: linking fire behaviour to crown injury

Intrinsic and extrinsic factors influencing crown injury

Most fire effects models define scorch height as the maximum vertical height at which lethal heating (i.e. reaches 60°C) occurs during fire (Alexander et al., 2019). The basic equation predicts scorch height based on calculated fireline intensity, with additional options for accounting for ambient air temperature and wind speed. Scorch height is then used to estimate total crown injury assuming that all crown tissue (foliage, buds, branches) within the scorch height zone is dead (Van Wagner, 1973). However, determination of vertical profiles of actual tissue temperature as it relates to fire injury is challenging (O’Brien et al., 2018). To reduce error and simplify estimation, the 60°C threshold has been widely used in physical and empirical modelling rather than energy dose, limiting the ability to accurately model crown injury and plant death. Thermal tolerance is species specific and dependent on numerous traits (West et al., 2016). Tree architecture and forest structure also alter convective heat transfer, such that heat flux around crowns of individual trees or shrubs can contrast sharply with behaviour in open patches away from neighbouring trees (Parsons et al., 2011). Crown, branch, and foliage architecture further influence heat transfer, and therefore overall resistance to injury during fire (Michaletz & Johnson, 2006b; Fernandes et al., 2008).

Important species-specific differences in foliage traits, such as bud surface area-to-volume ratios or specific leaf area, could improve tree injury models. As a first step to improving empirical fire effects prediction systems, it would be possible to group species by crown morphology and architectural traits to apply different crown injury equations. Michaletz & Johnson (2007) developed a crown injury model that allows for differential tissue necrosis due to species morphological differences. The Van Wagner (1973) scorch height model similarly could be used in the simplest scenario for species with similar foliage and bud necrosis zones. For species known to have large potential differences between foliage and meristem necrosis, the Michaletz & Johnson (2007) equation could be overlaid with the Van Wagner model to predict total crown damage, as well as the difference zones of crown scorch and kill. Next steps should include incorporating crown and canopy heterogeneity at scales beyond individual trees. Measuring fire and its relevant interactions with the architecture of leaves and crown branches as well as at the leaf surface in situ is a challenge, but clearly needed for understanding mechanistic fire effects (O’Brien et al., 2018).

Fire’s noted role in the evolution of land plants is tied to its lethality and the differential mortality across life forms (He et al., 2012). As with other species traits (e.g. serotinous cones, heat and smoke-triggered reproduction, thick bark, flammable litter, lower branch self-pruning; Stevens et al., 2020), it is reasonable to postulate that differential tolerance to heat or partitioning of crown injury is a fire-adapted trait. Leaf arrangement, as in the ‘shielding’ of large angiosperm leaves or dense bundles of leaves, such as those found in many conifers (Michaletz & Johnson, 2006a,b; Bár et al., 2019), may be emergent patterns in fire-prone plant communities. Evidence of differential scorch and kill sensitivity in tree mortality datasets (e.g. Fowler et al., 2010) suggests that adaptations such as large buds, thick terminal branches, and high specific leaf area, increase tree survival in frequent, low-severity fire regimes. For tree species incapable of resprouting, juvenile tolerance to scorching may provide additional security in fire-prone environments. More studies focusing on the potential fire-adapted traits that enable species to withstand or avoid crown injury are needed (Table 1).
Ecological consequences of crown scorch

Beyond the fire-caused death of leaves there remain several important unknowns regarding the consequences of scorched foliage. First, since scorch is a broad category of injury, tissue death results from many mechanisms (or combinations detailed above) dependent on the nature of the heat dose. The reductions in leaf area and the vertical pattern of crown scorch are somewhat analogous to clipping, or perhaps pruning, as both processes are concentrated on the lowest branches. Because leaves, even in evergreen gymnosperms and angiosperms, have phenological variation (with flushing, hardening, photosynthetic, and senescence stages), there are seasonal differences in responses to heating. For example, Harrington (1993) reported that tree mortality was 2.5 times higher in spring and summer fires compared with autumn fires for trees with similar levels of crown scorch in ponderosa pine. In their study on defoliation via pruning, Weise et al. (2016) found that mortality in loblolly and slash pine only occurred in trees defoliated in October, whereas all trees defoliated in January, April and July survived, although no mechanisms were tested. The disparate research on diverse species without mechanistic tests lacks synthesis and nuance, pointing to the need for experimentation that incorporates taxonomic diversity and field-scale fire experimentation of mechanisms.

Surviving crown injury, however, is not without consequences. Weise et al. (2016) found that surviving trees in their defoliation experiment had significant reductions in radial growth in the years following treatment. Other studies have documented similar reductions in radial growth in pines that survived fire-caused crown injuries (Johansen & Wade, 1987; Valor et al., 2018). The links to reduced tree growth and carbon acquisition in surviving trees hints at multiple lines of research on the resilience of trees and forests to fire.

Important questions remain over whether heat-damaged leaves are capable of retranslocation or resorption of labile nutrients, stored carbohydrates, and hormones (Table 1). If scorched leaves are incapable of retranslocation, scorching represents a substantial loss to the tree and potentially substantial nutrient pulses to the ecosystem (Fig. 3). The degree to which scorched foliage can mobilise products from scorched foliage to living branches has important implications for trees’ nutrient budgets and post-fire recovery (Hare, 1961). Observations of a continuum of scorching suggests that resorption occurs, but also that leaves may survive partial injury and either compartmentalise the dead portions or have reduced function over time until eventual senescence. In many fire-prone ecosystems, tree crowns can be fire-injured as often as several times per decade. With this high frequency of leaf loss, and if retranslocation is not possible, there might be substantial losses of internal leaf compounds that could cascade to stand or ecosystem level biogeochemical fluxes. This novel path for investigation is clearly warranted.

After leaf senescence and before reflothing, there are several other processes that are poorly documented. The most obvious is the immediate reduction of photosynthetic capacity and potential depletion of stored carbohydrates and nutrients as buds break and construct new leaves. Species such as P. palustris and Liquidambar styraciflua have sufficient carbohydrate reserves to allow for reflothing foliage (Ruswick et al., 2021), but relatively little information is known regarding nutrient reserves and reflothing capacity (Sayer et al., 2018). The costs of reconstruction of new post-fire leaves in terms of N, other macronutrients and micronutrients, and the loss of stored nonstructural carbohydrates for reflothing deserves attention. Beyond leaf damage, the role of fungal endophytes (Huang et al., 2016) and mycorrhizas (Sapes et al., 2021) to potentially modulate the impact of crown injury and post-fire survival is intriguing.

Following leaf flushing, the physiological functioning of post-fire leaves and the tree’s defences have received greater attention. Intrinsic water use efficiency can increase in uninjured foliage after fire (Wallin et al., 2003; Battipaglia et al., 2014), but not always (Thompson et al., 2017). Induced resin production initially declines before then rising to above pre-fire levels in numerous Pinus species (Cannac et al., 2009), but this is likely to be a nonlinear response as resin flow decreased in trees with crown volume scorch > 50% (Wallin et al., 2003; crown kill not quantified). Additionally, there is evidence that the age and level of drought stress play a part in the overall response of the trees (Partelli-Feltrin et al., 2020b). Whether the stress caused by the initial scorching similarly affects stored carbohydrates, hormonal dynamics, or other processes is less well understood (Aubrey et al., 2012). It is possible that scorch of lower crown foliage – which replaces old foliage with young foliage that has increased photosynthetic rates and higher water use efficiency – could benefit trees by reducing short-term post-fire water demand (Bår et al., 2019). These potential outcomes represent critical nuance in our understanding of the ecological consequences of scorch.

As with scorch, crown consumption may have similar effects on trees as pruning, as it kills buds (and perhaps branch vascular tissues) on the lowest branches. A vital difference in crown consumption compared with pruning is that the proximal nonconsumed foliage is typically scorched. Temperatures associated with foliage consumption (presumably c. 250°C or more to ignite; Xanthopoulous & Wakimoto, 1993) suggests that nearby branches and perhaps the cambial tissues on the primary stem were subjected to similar heating and likely injury. Indeed, many species that can tolerate entire crown scorching are sensitive to minimal levels of crown consumption (Varner et al., 2007; Fowler et al., 2010). Injury or death of buds is often conflated with crown consumption and scorch as noted above, evidence that bud death is tightly linked to elevated tree mortality across taxa (Hood et al., 2018).

Virtually unknown are the long-term physiological and genetic effects of sublethal fire on tree tolerance to subsequent fires. This topic is particularly relevant in forests and savanna ecosystems that burn frequently, with the same trees scorched repeatedly over time. Heat stress from high ambient air temperatures causes numerous cellular and metabolic responses that affect plant survival, including synthesis of heat shock proteins and stress and defence-related hormones such as abscisic acid, salicylic acid, and ethylene (Wahid et al., 2007; Bita & Gerats, 2013), but it is unknown how these responses extrapolate to heating that occurs during wildland fires.
Conclusions

Major questions remain to improve our understanding of fire-caused crown injury and its relationship to ecological effects. To understand how fire affects leaves and crowns as well as what the physiological, biogeochemical and ecological outcomes are for fire-prone ecosystems, we propose segregating the crown scorch phenomenon into observations and measurements before, during, and after fire. Linking the characteristics of fires to their physiological and ecological outcomes is at the frontier of fire ecology: this Viewpoint identifies where some of those linkages are missing. There are several apparent oversights and assumptions of past research on crown injury that complicate interpretation. We hope that this Viewpoint stimulates physiologists, ecologists and fire scientists working to mechanistically understand how fire influences trees in savannas, woodlands, and forests and encourages relevant discoveries to overcome how little we know about such a common outcome of wildland fires.
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