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Abstract
The melting behavior of an Ag microwire mesh induced by Joule heating was numerically investigated and compared with that of the corresponding Ag nanowire mesh with the same structure but different geometrical and physical properties of the wire itself. According to the relationship of melting current and melting voltage during the melting process, a similar repetitive zigzag pattern in melting behavior was discovered in both meshes. On this basis, a dimensionless parameter defined as figure of merit was proposed to characterize the current-carrying ability of the mesh. The consistent feature of figure of merit in both meshes indicates that the melting behavior of the Ag nanowire mesh can be predicted from the present results of the corresponding Ag microwire mesh with the same structure but made from a different wire (e.g., different size, different material) through simple conversion. The present findings can provide fundamental insight into the reliability analysis on the metallic nanowire mesh-based transparent conductive electrode.
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Background
To meet the requirement of next-generation flexible optoelectronics for both information (e.g., display, electronic reader, touch screen) and energy (e.g., solar cell, window glass), there is growing interest to develop transparent conductive electrodes (TCEs) possessing high optical transmission, good electrical conductivity, and excellent flexibility [1,2]. However, the present common commercial TCE material, i.e., indium tin oxide (ITO), suffers from several major limitations [3-5], such as high cost due to the shortage of indium and poor mechanical stability due to the brittleness. Therefore, it is highly desirable to find a promising alternative which can be used in the forthcoming TCEs [6]. Recently, the network of various nanostructured materials (e.g., carbon nanotube [7,8], graphene [9-11], metallic nanowire [12-20] /nanotrough [21] /honeycomb [22], and the combinations of the above [3,23-25]) has shown great potential for the application in optoelectronic devices such as solar cells [9,16-18] and touch screens [14,20].

Here, our focus is on metallic nanowire mesh (i.e., regular nanowire network) because of its ideal characteristics of low sheet resistance, high optical transparency, and flexible controllability. For example, Kang et al. [16] have fabricated a Cu nanowire mesh electrode on a polyethylene terephthalate (PET) substrate, which shows compatible optical transmittance in the visible wavelength range with commercial ITO-coated PET and offers lower sheet resistance than ITO. Moreover, the short-circuit current and power conversion efficiency of a solar cell with this type of Cu nanowire mesh electrode are comparable to those of the same device using an ITO electrode.

However, realizing the potential benefits of such metallic nanowire mesh in practical optoelectronic devices remains a great challenge because of the lack of reliability analysis. It is known that the pathway of current in a metallic nanowire mesh remains in the nanowire itself, instead of uniform distribution throughout the whole ITO film. Great reduction in current flow area will cause
enormous increase in current density and significant rise in temperature due to Joule heating. Therefore, it is believed that the melting induced by Joule heating is a potential threat to the degradation of the metallic nanowire mesh-based TCE, which may cause deterioration of the corresponding optoelectronic devices. In a pioneering experimental report, Khaligh and Goldthorpe [26] have indicated that at a constant current density, a random Ag nanowire network fails after a certain period. Moreover, the network with higher sheet resistance carrying greater current density will fail more easily because of Joule heating. Hereafter, a numerical method has been developed [27] by the present authors to clarify the melting behavior of metallic nanowire mesh due to Joule heating. Using this technique, a repetitive zigzag pattern in the relationship of melting current and melting voltage triggering the melting of the mesh was discovered. It indicates that in real working conditions, a metallic nanowire mesh supplied with current source may experience repetitive unstable (where several wires are melted simultaneously at a constant current/voltage) and stable (where an increment of current/voltage is necessary for melting progression) melting behavior until the mesh is open. However, some of these predicted intrinsic features in the melting of the metallic nanowire mesh would not be detectable because of the difficulty in sample preparation and experimental measurement.

To overcome the above weakness, the relatively easy-to-prepare microwire mesh comes into the sight. One might expect the melting behavior of microwire and nanowire meshes to be similar by assuming that the currents would just scale up. However, metallic nanowire in general displays different properties from microwire because of significant size effect. For example, with decreasing dimension, melting point and thermal conductivity decrease while electrical resistivity increases. Such differences make it difficult to insist on the similarity of the melting behavior for microwire and nanowire meshes, even if both of which have the same structure under the same working conditions.

Herein, to find the intrinsic relationship of the melting behavior between metallic microwire and nanowire meshes, the melting behavior of an Ag microwire mesh was numerically investigated and compared to that of the corresponding Ag nanowire mesh, which has the same mesh structure but different geometrical and physical properties of the wire itself (e.g., cross-sectional area, thermal conductivity, electrical resistivity, and melting point).

Methods

A previous numerical method [27] was employed to investigate the melting behavior of an Ag microwire mesh and compared with that of the corresponding nanowire mesh which has the same mesh structure (e.g., pitch size, segment number, and boundary conditions) but different geometrical and physical properties of the wire itself (e.g., cross-sectional area, thermal conductivity, electrical resistivity, and melting point).

The mesh structure is illustrated in Figure 1. It is a regular network with 10 columns and 10 rows, which indicates that the mesh size M@N is 10@10. The pitch size \( l \) is 200 \( \mu \)m, making the mesh area \( S \) of 3.24 \( \times 10^6 \) \( \mu \)m\(^2\). A mesh node \((i,j)\) denoted by integral coordinates \((0 \leq i \leq M-1, 0 \leq j \leq N-1)\) is the intersection of the \((i+1)\)th column and the \((j+1)\)th row in the mesh. A mesh segment is the wire between two adjacent mesh nodes. For simplicity, the segments on the left, right, downside, and upside of the mesh node \((i,j)\) are denoted by \( S^L_{(i,j)}, S^R_{(i,j)}, S^O_{(i,j)}, \) and \( S^U_{(i,j)}, \) respectively. Obviously, there are \( M \times N = 100 \) mesh nodes and \( M(N-1) + N(M-1) = 180 \) mesh segments.

The electrical boundary conditions are also shown in Figure 1. The load current \( I \) is input from node \((0,0)\) and is output from node \((9,9)\) with zero electrical potential at node \((9,9)\). Moreover, there is no external input/output current for all the other nodes. For the thermal boundary conditions, the temperature of the peripheral nodes (i.e., \((i,0),(0,j),(i,9),(9,j)\)) is set at room temperature (RT, \( T_0 = 300 \) K), while there is no external input/output heat energy for all the other nodes.

The geometrical and physical properties of the wires are listed in Table 1. Here, \( A \) is the cross-sectional area
calculated from the side length $w$ of the wire with the square cross section, $T_m$ is the melting point, $\lambda$ is the thermal conductivity, and $\rho$ is the electrical resistivity with the subscripts ‘0’ and ‘m’ representing the value at $T_0$ and $T_m$. Note that $\rho_m = \rho_0[1 + \alpha(T_m - T_0)]$ is calculated by using the temperature coefficient of resistivity $\alpha$.

To clarify the melting behavior of the mesh, the fundamental theoretical analyses [27] on the corresponding electrothermal problem is summarized in the following.

First, as shown in Figure 2a, a horizontal mesh segment (i.e., a wire) $S_{i,j}^L$ between node $(i-1, j)$ and $(i, j)$ with an electrically and thermally insulated surface was considered, where the current flows from node $(i-1, j)$ to $(i, j)$. Based on Ohm’s law, the current density $j$ in the mesh segment can be calculated as

$$ j = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{d\phi}{dx}. $$

Here, $\phi$ is the electrical potential, and $x$ is the axial coordinate in the mesh segment with the direction rightward for horizontal segment and upward for vertical segment. Using Fourier’s law, the heat flux $q$ in $S_{i,j}^L$ can be calculated as

$$ q = -\lambda \frac{dT}{dx}, $$

where $T$ is temperature.

By ignoring heat transfer of the mesh to the underlying substrate for simplicity, the heat conduction equation can be given as

$$ \lambda \frac{d^2T}{dx^2} + \rho \frac{d^2j}{dx^2} = 0. $$

Assuming that the temperatures of nodes $(i-1, j)$ and $(i, j)$ are $T_{i-1,j}$ ($x=0$) and $T_{i,j}$ ($x=l$), temperature distribution in the mesh segment $S_{i,j}^L$ can be obtained by solving Equation 3 as

$$ T = -\frac{\rho}{2\lambda} x^2 + \left( -\frac{T_{i,j}}{l} + \frac{\rho l^2}{2\lambda} \right) x + T_{i-1,j}. $$

Here, $\phi$ is the electrical potential, and $x$ is the axial coordinate in the mesh segment with the direction rightward for horizontal segment and upward for vertical segment. Using Fourier’s law, the heat flux $q$ in $S_{i,j}^L$ can be calculated as

$$ q = -\lambda \frac{dT}{dx}, $$

where $T$ is temperature.
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| Table 1 Geometrical and physical properties of the wires |
|----------------------------------------------------------|
| **Ag microwire** | **Ag nanowire** | **Al nanowire** |
| Side length, $w$ (μm) | 1.000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 |
| Cross-sectional area, $A$ (×10$^{-2}$ μm$^2$) | 100.0 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Melting point, $T_m$ (×10$^3$ K) | 1.234 | 0.873 [30] (exp.) | 0.736 [31] (num.) |
| Thermal conductivity at RT, $\lambda$ (×10$^{-4}$ W/μm-K) | 4.200 | 3.346 [28] (num.) | 1.150 [32] (num.) |
| Electrical resistivity at RT, $\rho_0$ (×10$^{-2}$ Ω·μm) | 1.590 | 11.90 [29] (exp.) | 6.20 [32] (exp.) |
| Electrical resistivity at $T_m$, $\rho_m$ (×10$^{-2}$ Ω·μm) | 7.200 | 37.80 | 17.72 |

Figure 2 Theoretical analysis on the electrothermal problem of the wire mesh. (a) Mesh segment, (b) current passing through mesh node $(i,j)$, and (c) heat energy passing through mesh node $(i,j)$. 
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Note that in the present simulation, \( \rho_m \) was used for \( \rho \) to approximate real condition neglecting the effect of the temperature dependence of electrical resistivity.

Second, as shown in Figure 2b,c, the current and heat energy passing through a mesh node \((i, j)\) with four adjacent nodes were considered. In Figure 2b, the current is assumed to flow rightward in the horizontal direction and upward in the vertical direction. According to Kirchhoff’s current law, we have

\[
I_{\text{external}} + I_{\text{internal}} = 0. \tag{5}
\]

Here, \( I_{\text{external}} \) is the external input/output current at node \((i, j)\), and \( I_{\text{internal}} \) is the sum of internal currents flowing through the node \((i, j)\) from its four adjacent nodes. By assuming that the current flowing into the node is positive and the current flowing out of the node is negative, we can obtain

\[
I_{\text{internal}} = \left\{ I_{n(i,j)}^\text{in} - I_{n(i,j)}^\text{out} \right\} A, \tag{6}
\]

where the subscript of \( j \) denotes the corresponding mesh segment. Taking into account a system of linear equations for the node \((i, j)\) composed of Equations 1, 5, and 6, the current density in any mesh segment can be obtained. Similarly, according to the law of the conservation of heat energy, we have

\[
Q_{\text{external}} + Q_{\text{internal}} = 0. \tag{7}
\]

Here, \( Q_{\text{external}} \) is the external input/output heat energy at node \((i, j)\), and \( Q_{\text{internal}} \) is the sum of the internal heat energy transferred to node \((i, j)\) from its four adjacent nodes. In Figure 2c, by assuming that the incoming heat energy is positive and the outgoing heat energy is negative, we have

\[
Q_{\text{internal}} = \left\{ q_{n(i,j)}^\text{in} - q_{n(i,j)}^\text{out} \right\} A. \tag{8}
\]

Taking into account a system of linear equations for the node \((i, j)\) composed of Equations 2, 7, and 8, the temperature at any mesh node can be obtained. Finally, by substituting the above obtained current density in any mesh segment and temperature at any mesh node into Equation 4, the temperature distribution in any mesh segment can be monitored.

A synopsis of the corresponding computational algorithm [27] is provided as below. Initially, a small value is assigned to the input current \( I \). The corresponding maximum temperature in the mesh \( T_{\text{max}} \) can be identified, which rises with the increasing \( I \). By gradually increasing \( I \) with increment \( \Delta I \) to make \( T_{\text{max}} \) reach \( T_{\text{m}} \), the first mesh segment melts and breaks from an arbitrary small force occurring in actual operation (e.g., vibration). At that time, the input current and the voltage between node \((0, 0)\) and node \((9, 0)\) are recorded as melting current \( I_m \) and melting voltage \( V_m \). The corresponding resistance \( R_m \) of the mesh can be calculated by dividing \( V_m \) by \( I_m \). It should be noted that \( \Delta I \) must be small enough so that melting segment can melt one by one as far as possible. Subsequently, an ultra-small value is assigned to the cross-sectional area of the first melted mesh segment in order to approximate zero. The pathway of the current and heat in the mesh is therefore renewed. By repeating the aforementioned process, the current triggering the melting of mesh segment one by one can be obtained until the mesh becomes open. Therefore, the relationship between \( I_m \) and \( V_m \) as well as the variation of \( R_m \) with the number \( n_b \) of the broken mesh segments can be obtained over the entire melting process of the mesh.

Results and discussion

Melting behavior of the Ag microwire mesh

As shown in Figure 3a,b, the obtained relationship of melting current \( I_m \) and melting voltage \( V_m \) as well as the variation of mesh resistance \( R_m \) with the number \( n_b \) of
broken mesh segments during the entire melting process of the Ag microwire mesh is compared with those of the corresponding Ag nanowire mesh, respectively.

Obviously, a repetitive zigzag pattern is observed in the relationship of $I_m$ and $V_m$ in the Ag microwire mesh, which demonstrates the repetition of three different trends: increase of both $I_m$ and $V_m$, decrease of both $I_m$ and $V_m$, and decrease of $I_m$ but increase of $V_m$. Such pattern in the melting behavior of Ag microwire mesh is similar with that of the corresponding Ag nanowire mesh [27]. Note that the microwire mesh has higher $I_m$ but lower $V_m$ than the nanowire mesh, because the microwire has larger cross-sectional area and lower resistivity (see Table 1) and therefore lower electrical resistance (see Figure 3b) than the nanowire.

During the melting process, the symmetrical mesh structures at three special moments for both meshes are compared in Figure 4. The difference in the melting
pathway of both meshes can be attributed to the differ-
ent $\Delta I$ for monitoring the melting of mesh segment,
which are 0.1 mA for the Ag microwire mesh and
0.1 $\mu$A for the Ag nanowire mesh. Note that such dif-
ference can be removed by employing much smaller $\Delta I$
for the Ag microwire mesh at the expense of increasing
computational cost.

Moreover, from the present simulation results, it is be-
lieved that under constant current density (i.e., current-
controlled current source), electric breakdown of the
mesh will never happen as long as the load current $I$
do not reach the maximum value of $I_m$ (i.e., $I_{mc}$) even
if several mesh segments melt. This point is quite differ-
ent from the reported electrical failure of a random Ag
nanowire network [26] under constant current density
after a certain current stressing period. Such differ-
ence between experiments and present simulations also implies
that the electrical failure in real Ag nanowire mesh should
be the synergy of Joule heating and some other possible
causes, such as corrosion by sulfur, atomic diffusion in the
nanowire itself, and Rayleigh instability [26].

Proposal of figure of merit $Z$
To explore the intrinsic characteristics of the melting
behavior of metallic microwire and nanowire meshes, it
would be helpful to find a common parameter which is
independent of geometrical and physical properties of
the mesh. In order to deduce such a parameter, let us
consider a simple model of a wire subjected to a con-
stant current as shown in Figure 2a. By neglecting the
difference between $T_{(i,j)}$ and $T_{(i-1,j)}$ for simple approxi-
mation, the following equation can be easily obtained
from Equation 4:

$$T_C - T_{(i,j)} = \frac{j^2 \rho}{8\lambda} l^2,$$

where $T_C$ is the maximum temperature occurring in the
center of the wire with $x = l/2$. It indicates that $j^2P(\rho/\lambda) /
(T_C - T_{(i,j)})$ is independent of geometrical and physical
properties of the wire.

Based on the above consideration, the following dimen-
sionless parameter $Z$ was proposed as figure of merit of the
mesh:

$$Z = \left(\frac{I_m}{A}\right)^2 \frac{\rho S}{\lambda T_m - T_0},$$

which indicates the current-carrying ability of the mesh.
The variation of calculated $Z$ during the melting process
is shown in Figure 5, which was developed from the nu-
merical results in Figure 3. Note that the maximum value
of $Z$ (i.e., $Z_{max}$) corresponding to the maximum value of $I_m$
(i.e., $I_{mc}$) characterizes the current-carrying capacity of the
mesh, at which the mesh equipped with current-controlled
current source will melt until open. Reasonably consistent
behavior of $Z$ in both meshes can be clearly observed in
Figure 5. The minor difference can be attributed to the dif-
ferent melting pathways (see Figure 4), which can be re-
moved by employing much smaller $\Delta I$ for the microwire
mesh with sacrifice of computational cost.

Generally, for the same material, $T_m$, $\rho$, $\lambda$, and $A$ are
dependent on wire size, while $S$ is dependent on mesh
structure. For a given mesh structure with a known $S$,
the smaller $A$ results in smaller $T_m$ and $\lambda$ but larger $\rho$,
and therefore smaller $I_m$ according to Equation 10. This
point is the same with the above numerical results where
the $I_m$ of the microwire mesh is significantly higher than
that of the nanowire mesh (see Figure 3a).

Figure 5 Variation of $Z$ with $n_b$ in the melting process of
both meshes.

Figure 6 Predicted melting behavior of Ag and Al nanowire
meshes by conversion.
Therefore, it is expected that the obtained melting behavior of the microwire mesh can be used to predict that of the wire mesh with same structure at the same working condition even if made from a different wire (i.e., different size, different material) through simple conversion with the known Z. Taking the Ag nanowire mesh as an example, the conversion process is summarized here. First, the melting current \( I_m \) for the nanowire mesh can be calculated from Equation 10 with the known \( Z \). Second, the variation of the \( R_m \) for nanowire mesh can be calculated from that for the microwire mesh in Figure 3b as

\[
R_m|_{NW} = R_m|_{MW} \times \left( \frac{\rho_m}{A} \right)|_{NW} \times \left( \frac{A}{\rho_m} \right)|_{MW},
\]

because of the same melting process. Note that \( |_{NW} \) and \( |_{MW} \) indicate the case for the Ag nanowire mesh and Ag microwire mesh, respectively. Third, the variation of \( V_m \) for the Ag nanowire mesh can be calculated by multiplying the obtained \( R_m \) and \( I_m \) from the above two steps. The predicted melting behavior of the Ag nanowire mesh derived from the above indirect conversion is shown in Figure 6, which indicates good agreement with that obtained from direct numerical simulation, and therefore validates the feasibility of the present conversion method. Figure 6 also gives the predicted melting behavior of the Al nanowire mesh with the same structure through indirect conversion. Obviously, the melting behavior of the mesh is largely dependent on the physical properties of the wire itself.

It should be noted that the present boundary conditions and mesh structure are only one example. Certainly, boundary conditions and mesh structure will have great effect on the melting behavior of the wire mesh as well as physical properties of the wire itself. However, the consistent feature in the melting behavior among the wire meshes with the same structure under the same boundary conditions will not change. Therefore, the present findings can provide meaningful insight for the experimental investigation on the reliability of the metallic nanowire mesh-based TCE.

Conclusions
In this work, the melting behavior of an Ag microwire mesh induced by Joule heating was numerically investigated and compared with that of the corresponding Ag nanowire mesh with the same mesh structure but different geometrical and physical properties of the wire itself. The repetitive zigzag pattern in the relationship of melting current and melting voltage during the melting process in the Ag microwire mesh was found to be similar with that of the Ag nanowire mesh. A dimensionless parameter \( Z \) was proposed as figure of merit to characterize the current-carrying ability of the mesh. The consistent behavior of figure of merit in both meshes indicates that the known \( Z \) and the melting behavior of the Ag microwire mesh can be used to predict the melting behavior of the nanowire mesh even with different materials (e.g., Ag nanowire mesh, Al nanowire mesh), which is hindered by the cost of sample preparation and the difficult control of ultralow current stressing in experiments. The present findings indicate great insight for reliability analysis on the metallic nanowire mesh-based TCE, which will be beneficial to improve the performance of the corresponding optoelectronic devices.
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