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Abstract
Algebraic hypergeometric functions can be compactly expressed as radical functions on pull-back curves where the monodromy group is simpler, say, a finite cyclic group. These so-called Darboux evaluations have already been considered for algebraic $2F_1$-functions. This article presents Darboux evaluations for the classical case of $3F_2$-functions with the projective monodromy group $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{F}_7)$. The pullback curves are of genus 0 (in the simplest case) or of genus 1. As an application of the genus 0 evaluations, appealing modular evaluations of the same $3F_2$-functions are derived.
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1 Introduction

One way to obtain workable expressions for algebraic hypergeometric functions is to pull-back them to algebraic curves where the (finite) monodromy group would be simpler, say, a finite cyclic group [34]. For example, we have

\begin{align}
2F_1\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{7}{12} \left| \frac{x}{4(2x-1)^3}\right) &= \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{x}} (1 - 2x)^{3/4}, \quad (1.1) \\
2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{6} \left| \frac{x}{2x+1}\right) &= \frac{1}{(1-x)^2} (1 + 2x)^{3/2}. \quad (1.2)
\end{align}
around \( x = 0 \). Here the 2F1-functions have the tetrahedral group \( \cong A_4 \) as the projective monodromy group (of the hypergeometric differential equation). The rational arguments of degree 4 reduce the monodromy to small cyclic groups, as evidenced by the radical (i.e., algebraic power) functions on the right-hand sides of these identities.

If a Fuchsian differential equation \( E \) on the Riemann sphere \( \mathbb{C} \mathbb{P}^1 \) has a finite monodromy group, then \( E \) can be transformed by a pull-back transformation with respect to an algebraic covering \( \varphi : B \to \mathbb{C} \mathbb{P}^1 \) to a Fuchsian equation on the curve \( B \) with a cyclic monodromy. This is a special case of a Darboux covering as defined in [34]. The transformed equation on the Darboux curve \( B \) has a basis of radical solutions (and hence, a completely reducible monodromy representation). Explicit expressions for solutions of \( E \) in terms of radical functions on \( B \) are called Darboux evaluations. In [34], all tetrahedral, octahedral and icosahedral Schwarz types [24] of algebraic 2F1-functions are exemplified by Darboux evaluations. The simplest Darboux curves for most icosahedral Schwarz types have genus 1 rather than 0.

Algebraic generalized hypergeometric functions \( p \) \( F \) \( p \) \( -1 \) are classified by Beukers and Heckman [2]. One particularly interesting case [15, 30] is algebraic 3F2-functions such that the projective monodromy group (of their third order Fuchsian equations) is the simple group

\[
\Lambda = \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{F}_7) \cong \text{GL}(3, \mathbb{F}_2)
\]

with 168 elements. This is the group of holomorphic symmetries of the Klein quartic curve

\[
X^3 Y + Y^3 Z + Z^3 X = 0.
\]

Let us denote this curve in \( \mathbb{C} \mathbb{P}^2 \) by \( K \). It has the genus \( g = 3 \). It is the simplest Hurwitz curve [20] as it achieves the Hurwitz [12] upper bound \( 84(g−1) \) for the number of holomorphic symmetries for complex projective curves of genus \( g > 1 \).

Third order Fuchsian equations with the projective monodromy group \( \Lambda \) were first constructed by Halphen [9] and Hurwitz [11]. The Hurwitz equation is satisfied by

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - z}} 3F2\left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{9}{14}, \frac{11}{14}, \frac{15}{14} \\ \frac{4}{3}, \frac{5}{3} \end{array} \bigg| z \right).
\]

In [2, Table 8.3], classes of 3F2-functions with the projective monodromy \( \Lambda \) are labelled by the numbers 2, 3, 4. The differential Galois group of their Fuchsian equations is the complex reflection group \( \text{ST}24 \) in the Shephard–Todd classification [26], isomorphic to the central extension \( \Lambda \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \). That is also the customary monodromy group inside \( \text{GL}(3, \mathbb{C}) \). Theorem 2.1 here gives a classification up to contiguous relations of (Fuchsian equations for) 3F2-functions with the projective monodromy \( \Lambda \).

Pull-back coverings for transformations to Fuchsian equations with smaller projective monodromy groups correspond to subfields of \( \mathbb{C} (f_2/f_1, f_3/f_1) \), where \( f_1, f_2, f_3 \) is a linear basis of solutions of the original Fuchsian equation. The largest cyclic subgroup of \( \Lambda \) is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z} \); see the character table [7, (1.1)]. By the Galois

\[1\) Correspondingly, \( \#\Lambda/7 = 24 \) is the smallest degree of Darboux coverings that reduce the projective monodromy \( \Lambda \) to a cyclic group. But smaller degree Darboux coverings exist that give pull-back transformations to reducible monodromy representations. In [35], Darboux coverings of degree 21 of the considered Fuchsian equations are given. They transform the projective monodromy \( \Lambda \) to a dihedral group of order 8.

\[\square\] Springer
correspondence, there are pull-back coverings of degree $(\#\Lambda)/7 = 24$ that reduce the projective monodromy to $\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z}$. The smallest degree for pull-back coverings to Darboux evaluations of the considered $3F_2$-functions is thus 24. These pull-back coverings can be found in these ways:

(i) Start with a special case where a basis $f_1, f_2, f_3$ of solutions projectively generates the function field of Klein’s curve $\mathcal{K}$. The equations of Halphen [9] and Hurwitz [11] are suitable. The subfield of index 7 has genus 0 and is easily computable [7, p. 67], as we recall in Sect. 2.3.

(ii) Alternatively, the modular curve $X(7)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{K}$ and gives a suitable special Fuchsian equation over the $j$-line, while the modular curve $X'_1(7)$ gives the subfield of index 7. This is shown in [7, §4], or Sect. 4 here. The $j$-covering $X'_1(7) \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ is a Belyi map with the branching pattern $[7^3/3^8/2^{12}]$; see [10].

(iii) Alternatively, $[7^3/3^8/2^{12}]$ is the only feasible degree 24 branching pattern for the monodromy reduction to $\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z}$; see Proposition 2.7. The only Belyi map with this branching was computed as such in [32].

(iv) Use cubic and quadratic transformations of $3F_2$-functions [14] to derive the degree 24 pull-back coverings for the other cases of hypergeometric functions with $\Lambda$ as the projective monodromy group. They turn out to be genus 1 Belyi maps with the branching patterns $[7^3/3^8/2^{12}]$ or $[7^3/7^3/3^8/2^{12}]$.

The main results of this article are the degree 24 Darboux coverings $\Phi_3, \Phi_7, \Phi_4$ exhibited Sects. 3, 5, 6 (respectively), and representative samples of Darboux evaluations. The starting map $\Phi_3$ is already known by (i)–(iii), and $\Phi_7, \Phi_4$ will be computed from it by technique (iv). The Darboux evaluations based on $\Phi_7, \Phi_4$ are not parametrizations of $3F_2$-functions by a point $x \in \mathbb{CP}^1$, as in (1.1)–(1.2), but rather by a point on a genus 1 curve.

As an application of computed Darboux evaluations, we prove in Sect. 4 the following modular evaluations of considered $3F_2$-functions:

\begin{align*}
K_1(\tau) &:= j(\tau)^{1/42} \, 3F_2\left(\begin{array}{r}
-1/42, & 13/42, & 9/14 \\
4/7, & 6/7
\end{array} \bigg| \frac{1728}{j(\tau)} \right) \\
&= q^{-1/42} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - q^{7n+1})(1 - q^{7n+2})(1 - q^{7n+5})(1 - q^{7n+6})},
\end{align*}

(1.6)

\begin{align*}
K_2(\tau) &:= j(\tau)^{-5/42} \, 3F_2\left(\begin{array}{r}
5/42, & 19/42, & 11/14 \\
5/7, & 8/7
\end{array} \bigg| \frac{1728}{j(\tau)} \right) \\
&= q^{5/42} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - q^{7n+1})(1 - q^{7n+3})(1 - q^{7n+4})(1 - q^{7n+6})},
\end{align*}

(1.7)

\begin{align*}
K_3(\tau) &:= j(\tau)^{-17/42} \, 3F_2\left(\begin{array}{r}
17/42, & 31/42, & 15/14 \\
9/7, & 10/7
\end{array} \bigg| \frac{1728}{j(\tau)} \right) \\
&= q^{-17/42} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - q^{7n+1})(1 - q^{7n+3})(1 - q^{7n+4})(1 - q^{7n+6})},
\end{align*}

(1.8)

\begin{align*}
K_4(\tau) &:= j(\tau)^{-19/42} \, 3F_2\left(\begin{array}{r}
19/42, & 33/42, & 17/14 \\
6/7, & 9/7
\end{array} \bigg| \frac{1728}{j(\tau)} \right) \\
&= q^{-19/42} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - q^{7n+1})(1 - q^{7n+3})(1 - q^{7n+4})(1 - q^{7n+6})},
\end{align*}

(1.9)

The branching pattern (or the passport [17]) of a Belyi map gives the branching orders of all points in the 3 fibers $\varphi = 0, \varphi = 1, \varphi = \infty$. Particularly, $7^3/3^8$ prescribes 3 seven-fold points and 3 non-branching points in one fiber, while $3^8$ prescribes all points to be threefold in other fiber, etc.
\[ q^{17/42} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - q^{7n+2})(1 - q^{7n+3})(1 - q^{7n+4})(1 - q^{7n+5})}. \]  
(1.11)

Here \( q = \exp(2\pi i \tau) \), and

\[ j(\tau) = \frac{1}{q} + 744 + 196884q + 21493760q^2 + \ldots \]  
(1.12)

is the famous modular \( j \)-invariant. Considering \( j(\tau) \) as a function of \( q \), the three identities hold as \( q \)-series identities. They are analogues of known hypergeometric expressions [3, p. 476], [28] for the Rogers–Ramanujan \( q \)-series [1]:

\[ j(\tau)^{1/60} {}_2F_1\left(-\frac{1}{60}, \frac{19}{60} \mid \frac{1728}{j(\tau)}\right) = q^{-1/60} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - q^{5n+1})(1 - q^{5n+4})} \]  
(1.13)

\[ = q^{-1/60} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^n}{(1 - q) \cdots (1 - q^n)}. \]  
(1.14)

\[ j(\tau)^{-11/60} {}_2F_1\left(-\frac{11}{60}, \frac{31}{60} \mid \frac{1728}{j(\tau)}\right) = q^{11/60} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - q^{5n+2})(1 - q^{5n+3})} \]  
(1.15)

\[ = q^{11/60} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2+n}}{(1 - q) \cdots (1 - q^n)}. \]  
(1.16)

The right-hand sides of (1.13)–(1.14) and (1.15)–(1.16) amount to the famous Rogers–Ramanujan identities [23]. These \( q \)-series define modular functions related to the modular curve \( \mathcal{X}(5) \). Similarly, the \( q \)-series in (1.7), (1.9), (1.11) define modular functions related to the modular curve \( \mathcal{X}(7) \). They can be recognized in [6, p. 157]. The \( 3F_2 \)-expressions in (1.6), (1.8), (1.10) are considered in [8, Example 29]. We have the following projective parametrization of Klein’s curve \( \mathcal{K} \):

\[ (X : Y : Z) = (-K_3(\tau) : K_2(\tau) : K_1(\tau)); \]  
(1.17)

see [8, Proposition 30], [15, §5.1.1].

### 2 Preliminaries

Section 2.1 recalls basic knowledge about differential equations for \( 3F_2 \)-functions, their pull-back transformations, and contiguous relations. Section 2.2 classifies \( 3F_2 \)-functions with the projective monodromy \( \Lambda = \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{F}_7) \) up to the contiguity equivalence. Section 2.3 describes invariants and basic morphisms of Klein’s curve \( \mathcal{K} \). Section 2.4 introduces Darboux coverings following [34]. Section 2.5 presents the branching patterns of the considered Darboux coverings, algebraic relations between them, and the Darboux curves of genus 1.
2.1 Hypergeometric functions

The hypergeometric function \( \genfrac{[}{]}{0pt}{}{3}{2} \left( \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \mid \beta_1, \beta_2 \right) \) satisfies the differential equation

\[
\left( z \frac{d}{dz} + \alpha_1 \right) \left( z \frac{d}{dz} + \alpha_2 \right) \left( z \frac{d}{dz} + \alpha_3 \right) Y(z) = \frac{d}{dz} \left( z \frac{d}{dz} + \beta_1 - 1 \right) \left( z \frac{d}{dz} + \beta_2 - 1 \right) Y(z),
\]

mindin the commutativity rule \( \frac{d}{dz} z = z \frac{d}{dz} + 1 \). This is a third order Fuchsian equation with three singular points \( z = 0, \ z = 1, \ z = \infty \). The singularities and local exponents at them are encoded by the generalized Riemann’s \( P \)-symbol:

\[
P\begin{pmatrix}
  z = 0 & z = 1 & z = \infty \\
  0 & 0 & \alpha_1 \\
  1 - \beta_1 & 1 & \alpha_2 \\
  1 - \beta_2 & \gamma & \alpha_3
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(2.1)

with \( \gamma = \beta_1 + \beta_2 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_3 \). Generically, a basis of local solutions at \( z = 0 \) and \( z = \infty \) can be written in terms of \( \genfrac{[}{]}{0pt}{}{3}{2} \)-series. Let \( M = (m_{i,j}) \) denote the matrix

\[
M = \begin{pmatrix}
\alpha_1 & \alpha_1 - \beta_2 + 1 & \alpha_1 - \beta_1 + 1 \\
\alpha_2 & \alpha_2 - \beta_2 + 1 & \alpha_2 - \beta_1 + 1 \\
\alpha_3 & \alpha_3 - \beta_2 + 1 & \alpha_3 - \beta_1 + 1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

(2.2)

A generic basis of local solutions at \( z = 0 \) is

\[
\genfrac{[}{]}{0pt}{}{3}{2} \left( \frac{m_{1,1}, m_{2,1}, m_{3,1}}{\beta_1, \beta_2} \right) z, \quad z^{1-\beta_2} \genfrac{[}{]}{0pt}{}{3}{2} \left( \frac{m_{1,2}, m_{2,2}, m_{3,2}}{2 - \beta_2, \beta_1 - \beta_2 + 1} \right),
\]

\[
z^{1-\beta_1} \genfrac{[}{]}{0pt}{}{3}{2} \left( \frac{m_{1,3}, m_{2,3}, m_{3,3}}{2 - \beta_1, \beta_2 - \beta_1 + 1} \right),
\]

(2.4)

while a generic basis of local solutions at \( z = \infty \) is

\[
z^{-\alpha_j} \genfrac{[}{]}{0pt}{}{3}{2} \left( \frac{m_{j,1}, m_{j,2}, m_{j,3}}{\alpha_j - \alpha_k + 1, \alpha_j - \alpha_\ell + 1} \right)
\]

(2.5)

with \( j \in \{1, 2, 3\} \) and \( \{k, \ell\} = \{1, 2, 3\} \setminus \{j\} \). We refer to the set of 6 functions formed by 3 local hypergeometric solutions (disregarding a power factor) at \( z = 0 \) and 3 such local solutions at \( z = \infty \) of the same third order Fuchsian equation as companion hypergeometric functions to each other.

Let \( B \) denote an algebraic curve. Let \( \varphi(\ldots) \) denote a rational function on \( B \); it defines an algebraic covering \( \varphi : B \to \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \). A pull-back transformation with respect
to $\varphi$ of a differential equation for $y(z)$ in $d/dz$ has the form

$$ z \longmapsto \varphi(\ldots), \quad y(z) \longmapsto Y(\ldots) = \theta(\ldots) y(\varphi(\ldots)), \quad (2.6) $$

where $\theta(\ldots)$ is a radical function on $B$. The equations that differ by a pull-back transformation with respect to the trivial covering $\varphi(\ldots) = z$ are called \textit{projectively equivalent}.

There are several algebraic transformations for $3F_2$-functions [14]. Here are quadratic and cubic transformations:

$$ 3F_2\left( \begin{array}{c} a, a + \frac{1}{4}, a + \frac{1}{2} \\ b + \frac{1}{4}, 3a - b + 1 \end{array} \left| \frac{-4z}{(z-1)^2} \right. \right) $$

$$ = (1 - z)^{2a} 3F_2\left( \begin{array}{c} 2a, 2a - b + \frac{3}{4}, b - a \\ b + \frac{1}{4}, 3a - b + 1 \end{array} \left| z \right. \right), \quad (2.7) $$

$$ 3F_2\left( \begin{array}{c} a, a + \frac{1}{2}, a + \frac{3}{4} \\ b + \frac{1}{2}, 3a - b + 1 \end{array} \left| \frac{27z}{(4z - 1)^3} \right. \right) $$

$$ = (1 - 4z)^{3a} 3F_2\left( \begin{array}{c} 3a, 2b - 3a, 3a - 2b + 1 \\ b + \frac{1}{2}, 3a - b + 1 \end{array} \left| z \right. \right), \quad (2.8) $$

$$ 3F_2\left( \begin{array}{c} a, a + \frac{1}{3}, a + \frac{1}{2} \\ b + \frac{1}{3}, 3a - b + 1 \end{array} \left| \frac{27z^2}{(4z - 1)^3} \right. \right) $$

$$ = (1 - \frac{z}{4})^{3a} 3F_2\left( \begin{array}{c} 3a, b, 3a - b + \frac{1}{2} \\ 2b, 6a - 2b + 1 \end{array} \left| z \right. \right). \quad (2.9) $$

They can be understood as pull-back transformations between Fuchsian equations (particularly, (2.1)) for hypergeometric functions [14,32]. The involved equations have the local exponents $0, \gamma = 1/2, 1$ at $z = 1$, and the quadratic or cubic arguments $\varphi(z)$ on the left-hand sides appropriately have branching points of order 2 in the fiber $\varphi = 1$. This helps the number of singularities of the pulled-back equation to equal merely 3.

Two $3F_2$-functions whose parameters $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_1, \beta_2$ differ respectively by integers are called \textit{contiguous} to each other. This defines a \textit{contiguity equivalence} relation on the $3F_2$-functions. For example, differentiating a $3F_2$-function gives a contiguous function, generically:

$$ \frac{d}{dz} 3F_2\left( \begin{array}{c} \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \\ \beta_1, \beta_2 \end{array} \left| z \right. \right) = \frac{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}{\beta_1\beta_2} 3F_2\left( \begin{array}{c} \alpha_1 + 1, \alpha_2 + 1, \alpha_3 + 1 \\ \beta_1 + 1, \beta_2 + 1 \end{array} \left| z \right. \right), \quad (2.10) $$

Fuchsian equations of contiguous functions have the same monodromy, generically (or certainly, if the 5 parameters, their differences, and $\gamma$ are not integers). For a generic set of four contiguous $3F_2$-functions there is a linear \textit{contiguous relation} between them [22]. For example, differential equation (2.1) can be rewritten as a contiguous relation between $3F_2\left( \begin{array}{c} \alpha_1 + n, \alpha_2 + n, \alpha_3 + n \\ \beta_1 + n, \beta_2 + n \end{array} \left| z \right. \right)$ with $n \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. Consequently, a contiguous function to a generic $3F_2$-function $F$ can be expressed linearly in terms of
By linear combination, we can derive expressions like

\[
\begin{align*}
3F2 \left( \frac{\alpha_1 + 1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3}{\beta_1, \beta_2} \mid z \right) &= \left(1 + \frac{z}{\alpha_1} \frac{d}{dz}\right) 3F2 \left( \frac{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3}{\beta_1, \beta_2} \mid z \right), \\
3F2 \left( \frac{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3}{\beta_1 - 1, \beta_2} \mid z \right) &= \left(1 + \frac{z}{\beta_1 - 1} \frac{d}{dz}\right) 3F2 \left( \frac{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3}{\beta_1, \beta_2} \mid z \right).
\end{align*}
\]

(2.11) (2.12)

By linear combination, we can derive expressions like

\[
(\beta_1 - 1)(\alpha_1 - \beta_2)3F2 \left( \frac{\alpha_1 - 1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3}{\beta_1 - 1, \beta_2} \mid z \right) = \left(\alpha_2\alpha_3z + (\beta_1 - 1)(\alpha_1 - \beta_2) \right)
\]

\[
+ \left((\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 1)z + \alpha_1 - \beta_1 - \beta_2\right)z \frac{d}{dz}
\]

\[
+ z^2(z - 1)\frac{d^2}{dz^2} 3F2 \left( \frac{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3}{\beta_1, \beta_2} \mid z \right).
\]

(2.13)

2.2 Fuchsian equations with the Kleinian monodromy

Let \( E \) denote a third order Fuchsian equation on the Riemann sphere \( \mathbb{C}P^1 \). Suppose that \( f_1, f_2, f_3 \) is a basis of its solutions. If either the (customary) monodromy group or the differential Galois group \([30] \) of \( E \) is finite, those two groups coincide with the classical Galois group of the finite field extension \( \mathbb{C}(z, f_1, f_2, f_3) \supset \mathbb{C} \). In that case, the projective monodromy group refers to the Galois group of the finite extension \( \mathbb{C}(z, f_2/f_1, f_3/f_1) \supset \mathbb{C}(z) \). Both extensions of \( \mathbb{C}(z) \) are Galois extensions, because the monodromy representation gives linear transformations of \( f_1, f_2, f_3 \) (in \( \text{GL}(3, \mathbb{C}) \)) or fractional-linear transformations of \( f_2/f_1, f_3/f_1 \) (in \( \text{PGL}(3, \mathbb{C}) \)).

The following proposition gives a classification of \( 3F2 \)-functions with the projective monodromy \( \Lambda \), up to the contiguity and projective equivalences, and up to the symmetries of companion \( 3F2 \)-functions.

**Proposition 2.1** Up to the contiguity and projective equivalences, there are six classes of third order hypergeometric equations with the projective monodromy group \( \Lambda \). Here is our notation for these classes, together with representative \( 3F2 \)-solutions:

\[
\begin{align*}
(3A) & : 3F2 \left( \frac{3}{14}, \frac{1}{14}, \frac{9}{14} \mid z \right) ; & (3B) & : 3F2 \left( \frac{1}{14}, \frac{3}{14}, \frac{5}{14} \mid z \right) ; \\
(4A) & : 3F2 \left( \frac{3}{14}, \frac{1}{14}, \frac{9}{14} \mid z \right) ; & (4B) & : 3F2 \left( \frac{1}{14}, \frac{3}{14}, \frac{5}{14} \mid z \right) ; \\
(7A) & : 3F2 \left( \frac{1}{7}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{5}{14} \mid z \right) ; & (7B) & : 3F2 \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{9}{14} \mid z \right) .
\end{align*}
\]
Proof The classification in [2, §7] is up to the following transformations that preserve a finite primitive projective monodromy group:

(i) up to the contiguity equivalence, as integer shifts of \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_1, \beta_2 \) are discarded by considering the parameters

\[
\begin{align*}
 a_1 &= c \exp(2\pi i \alpha_1), \\
 b_1 &= c \exp(2\pi i \beta_1), \\
 a_2 &= c \exp(2\pi i \alpha_2), \\
 b_2 &= c \exp(2\pi i \beta_2), \\
 a_3 &= c \exp(2\pi i \alpha_3), \\
 b_3 &= c,
\end{align*}
\]

of a hypergeometric group [2, Definition 3.1];

(ii) up to scalar shifts [2, Definition 5.5] affecting \( c \); they amount to projective equivalence;

(iii) up to interchange of the sets \( \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}, \{b_1, b_2, b_3\} \) reflecting a symmetry of companion \( \text{3F}_2 \)-functions; see [2, Theorem 7.1];

(iv) assuming that the parameters in (2.14) generate a cyclotomic field \( \mathbb{Q}(\exp(2\pi i/n)) \): up to raising those parameters to the \( k \)th power, with \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \) coprime to \( n \).

Only (ii) may change the customary monodromy (by a cyclic direct factor). We omit consideration of the equivalence (iv). It amounts to multiplying the parameters \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_1, \beta_2 \) by an integer coprime to their denominators (and to denominators of the powers in a projective equivalence factor \( \theta(\ldots) \), strictly speaking).

In [2, Table 8.3], there are three cases of \( \text{3F}_2 \)-functions with the projective monodromy \( \Lambda \), numbered 2, 3, 4. Their indicated parameters \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_1, \beta_2 \) represent our cases (3B), (4B), (7B), as the \( \text{3F}_2 \)-representatives given here differ by the integer shifts 13/14 modulo integers. The types (3A), (4A), (7A) are obtained by multiplying the parameters by \(-1\) and making integer shifts such as \(-5/14 \mapsto 9/14, -1/3 \mapsto 2/3, \ldots \), etc. To see that equivalences (i)–(iii) do not give the same transformation, one can check the \( M \)-matrices (2.3) of the starting representatives:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
-1/14 & 11/42 & 25/42 \\
3/14 & 23/42 & 37/42 \\
5/14 & 29/42 & 43/42
\end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix}
-1/14 & 5/28 & 19/28 \\
3/14 & 13/28 & 27/28 \\
5/14 & 17/28 & 31/28
\end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix}
-1/14 & 1/14 & 9/14 \\
1/14 & 3/14 & 11/14 \\
9/14 & 11/14 & 19/14
\end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.15)
\]

To check that there are no other types, one observes that multiplication of the parameters \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_1, \beta_2 \) by other odd integers modulo 14 (and coprime to 3 in the case (3B)) leads to the displayed cases modulo integer shifts. This check is easy in the cases (3B) and (4B), as the set \( \{\beta_1 \mod \mathbb{Z}, \beta_2 \mod \mathbb{Z}\} \) stays the same, and each set of companion \( \text{3F}_2 \)-functions contains only one equation where the denominators of \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \) all equal to 14. In the case (7B), the multiplications by \(-3\) or \(-5\) permute (up to the contiguity equivalence) the \( \text{3F}_2 \)-functions in this basis of local solutions at \( z = 0 \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{3F}_2\left(\begin{array}{c}
-1/12, 1/6, 9/7
\end{array}\right|z), \quad z^{1/7}\text{3F}_2\left(\begin{array}{c}
1/7, 3/7, 11/7
\end{array}\right|z), \quad z^{5/7}\text{3F}_2\left(\begin{array}{c}
9/11, 11/12, 19/7
\end{array}\right|z). \quad (2.16)
\end{align*}
\]
The multiplications by 3 and 5 then automatically give hypergeometric functions of type (7A).

It is instructive to observe the interlacing condition [2, Theorem 4.8] on the 6 representative 3F2-functions.

### 2.3 Invariants for Klein’s curve

The 3F2-functions of type (3A) are closely related to Klein’s curve \( \mathcal{K} \) and to modular functions on \( \mathcal{X}(7) \cong \mathcal{K} \). In particular, the hypergeometric identity [8, Proposition 30]

\[
\begin{align*}
3F2\left( \begin{array}{c} 5/42, 19/42, 11/14 \\ 5/7, 7/7, x \end{array} \right) & \equiv 3F2\left( \begin{array}{c} -1/42, 13/42, 9/14 \\ -1/7, -1/7, x \end{array} \right) \equiv 3F2\left( \begin{array}{c} 17/42, 31/42, 15/14 \\ 7/7, 7/7, x \end{array} \right) \\
+ & \frac{x}{1728} 3F2\left( \begin{array}{c} 17/42, 31/42, 15/14 \\ 7/7, 7/7, x \end{array} \right) 3F2\left( \begin{array}{c} 5/42, 19/42, 11/14 \\ 7/7, 7/7, x \end{array} \right) (2.17)
\end{align*}
\]

realizes a parametrization of \( \mathcal{K} \) by solutions of a third order Fuchsian equation that was anticipated by Klein [16, a footnote in §9], and constructed by Halphen [9], Hurwitz [11]. We indicated this parametrization in (1.17).

The symmetry group \( \Lambda = \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{F}_7) \) of \( \mathcal{K} \) is realized by a 3-dimensional representation of \( \Lambda \) acting linearly on the coordinates \( X, Y, Z \) in (1.4). This subsection reminds the reader of invariants of the 3-dimensional representation, presents the degree 168 Galois covering \( \mathcal{K} \to \mathbb{C}P^1 \), and presents the quotient map of this covering by the largest cyclic subgroup \( \mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z} \) of \( \Lambda \). This gives the degree 24 = 168/7 Belyi map for Darboux evaluations of 3F2-functions of type (3A), and prepares us for the modular application of Darboux evaluations in Sect. 4.2.

The 3-dimensional representation (over \( \mathbb{C} \)) of \( \Lambda = \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{F}_7) \) has these invariants in a convenient basis [16, §6], [7, §1.2]:

\[
\begin{align*}
R_4 &= X^3Y + Y^3Z + Z^3X, \\
R_6 &= XY^5 + YZ^5 + ZX^5 - 5X^2Y^2Z^2, \\
R_{14} &= X^{14} + Y^{14} + Z^{14} + 375 (X^8Y^4Z^2 + X^4Y^2Z^8 + X^2Y^8Z^4) \\
&\quad + 18 (X^7Y^7 + X^7Z^7 + Y^7Z^7) - 126 (X^8Y^3Z^5 + X^5Y^6Z^3 + X^3Y^5Z^6) \\
&\quad - 34(X^{11}Y^2Z + XY^{11}Z^2 + X^2YZ^{11}) - 250(X^9Y^9Z + X^9Y^9Z + XY^9Z^9). (2.18)
\end{align*}
\]

The polynomial ring \( \mathbb{C}[R_4, R_6, R_{14}] \) is the ring of invariants for the extended representation of complex reflection group \( \Lambda \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \) (which is ST24 in [26]). The
representation of \( \Lambda \) has another invariant

\[
R_{21} = \frac{1}{14} \det \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{\partial R_4}{\partial X} & \frac{\partial R_4}{\partial Y} & \frac{\partial R_4}{\partial Z} \\
\frac{\partial R_6}{\partial X} & \frac{\partial R_6}{\partial Y} & \frac{\partial R_6}{\partial Z} \\
\frac{\partial R_{14}}{\partial X} & \frac{\partial R_{14}}{\partial Y} & \frac{\partial R_{14}}{\partial Z}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(2.21)

and there is a polynomial relation \([7, (1.17)]\)

\[
R_{21}^2 \equiv R_{14}^3 - 1728 R_6^7 \mod R_4.
\]

(2.22)

As Klein’s quartic curve \( \mathcal{K} \) is defined by \( R_4 = 0 \), this functional congruence means \([7, (2.13)]\) that the map

\[
\Phi_0 = \frac{1728 R_6^7}{R_{14}^3} = 1 - \frac{R_{21}^2}{R_{14}^3}
\]

(2.23)

defines a Galois covering \( \mathcal{K} \to \mathbb{CP}^1 \) with the Galois group \( \Lambda \). The covering is a Belyi map with the branching pattern \([7^{24}/3^{36}/2^{84}]\), of genus 3 indeed.

The quotient covering of \( \mathcal{K} \to \mathbb{CP}^1 \) by \( \mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z} \) is given by the map \([7, (2.1)]\)

\[
(X : Y : Z) \mapsto (a : b : c) = (X^3 Y : Y^3 Z : Z^3 X)
\]

(2.24)

onto the line \( a + b + c = 0 \) in \( \mathbb{CP}^2 \). The quotient curve is of genus 0, therefore. Klein’s curve \( \mathcal{K} \) is birational to the cyclic covering \( (Y/Z)^7 = ab^2/c^3 \). If we take\(^3\)

\[
x = -\frac{a}{c} = -\frac{X^2 Y}{Z^3}, \quad y = -\frac{Y}{Z},
\]

(2.25)

then the degree 7 cyclic covering is

\[
y^7 = x (x - 1)^2.
\]

(2.26)

### 2.4 Darboux coverings

The notions of Darboux curves, Darboux coverings and Darboux evaluations are introduced in \([34]\). The terminology is motivated by integration theory of vector fields \([19]\), where Darboux polynomials determine invariant hypersurfaces. In differential Galois theory \([36]\), Darboux polynomials are specified by algebraic solutions of an associated Riccati equation. Here is a formulation of \([34, \text{Definition 3.1}]\).

**Definition 2.2** Consider a linear homogeneous differential equation

\[
\frac{d^n}{dz^n} Y + a_{n-1}(z) \frac{d^{n-1}}{dz^{n-1}} Y + \ldots + a_1(z) \frac{d}{dz} Y + a_0(z) Y = 0
\]

(2.27)

\(^3\) We choose a different parametrization than in \([7, \text{p. 67}]\) in order to have \( x_7(\tau) = O(q) \) in (4.23) with consistency.

\( \odot \) Springer
on $\mathbb{CP}^1$, thus with $a_i(z) \in \mathbb{C}(z)$. We say that an algebraic covering $\varphi : B \to \mathbb{CP}^1$ is a **Darboux covering** for (2.27) if a pull-back transformation (2.6) of it with respect to $\varphi$ has a solution $Y$ such that:

(a) the logarithmic derivative $u = Y'/Y$ is a rational function on the algebraic curve $B$;
(b) the algebraic degree of $u$ over $\mathbb{C}(z)$ equals the degree of $\varphi$.

The algebraic curve $B$ is then called a **Darboux curve**.

Condition (a) means that the monodromy representation of the pulled-back equation has a one-dimensional invariant subspace (generated by $Y$). In contrast, cyclic monodromy implies a completely reducible monodromy representation, thus a basis of $n$ radical solutions (whose logarithmic derivatives solve the Riccati equation). This article emphasizes reduction to a cyclic projective monodromy. As shown in [35], reduction of $\Lambda$ to a dihedral monodromy group leads to a reducible 3-dimensional monodromy representation, hence a Darboux evaluation.

Determination of Darboux coverings is made easier by their basic properties. The following lemma underlines that Darboux coverings are “invariant” under transformations of hypergeometric equations that preserve the monodromy.

**Lemma 2.3** Let $E_1$ denote a hypergeometric equation (2.1) with a finite primitive ([2, Definition 5.1]) monodromy group. Suppose that other hypergeometric equation $E_2$ is related to $E_1$ by transformations described in (i), (iv) within the proof of Proposition 2.1. If $\varphi : B \to \mathbb{CP}^1$ is a Darboux covering for $E_1$, then $\varphi$ is a Darboux covering for $E_2$ as well.

**Proof** The transformations (i), (iv) do not affect the primitive monodromy group, thus equations $E_1, E_2$ have isomorphic monodromies. Let $E_1^*, E_2^*$ denote the Fuchsian equations obtained from $E_1, E_2$, respectively, by applying the same pull-back transformation with respect to $\varphi$. The monodromies of $E_1^*, E_2^*$ are isomorphic. Therefore, if one has a radical solution so does the other.

(Compare with [34, Lemmas 3.2 and 3.7]. Projective equivalence (ii) allows the same Darboux covering $\varphi$ trivially. Transformation (iii) offers $1/\varphi$ for the respective Darboux covering.)

**Corollary 2.4** The same degree 24 Darboux coverings $\varphi$ or $1/\varphi$ for reduction of the projective monodromy $\Lambda = \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{F}_7)$ of hypergeometric equation (2.1) to $\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z}$ apply to all hypergeometric functions of the types (3A) and (3B); or to all $3\text{F}_2$-functions of the types (4A) and (4B); or to all $3\text{F}_2$-functions of the types (7A) and (7B).

**Proof** Each of the six types describes an equivalence class under the contiguity equivalence. The pairs of types (3A), (3B); or (4A), (4B); or (7A), (7B) are related by transformation (iv) within the proof of Proposition 2.1.

To match hypergeometric functions with radical solutions of a pulled-back Fuchsian equation for Darboux evaluations, the next lemma is useful. It applies to equations obtained by the considered degree 24 pull-back transformations.
Lemma 2.5 Suppose that differential equation (2.27) has a finite cyclic monodromy group. If the local exponents $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ at a point $P \in \mathbb{CP}^1$ are all different modulo $\mathbb{Z}$, then for each local exponent $\lambda_i (i \in \{1, \ldots, n\})$ there is exactly one (up to scalar multiplication) radical solution with the vanishing order $\lambda_i$ at $P$.

Proof (Compare with [34, Lemma 3.6].) A monodromy representation of the finite cyclic group is completely reducible. Therefore, the solution space is generated by $n$ radical solutions (that give the direct decomposition into $n$ one-dimensional spaces). If two radical solutions had the same local exponent $\lambda^*$ at $P$, their linear combination would have the vanishing order $\lambda^* + k$ with integer $k > 0$. Hence there is a radical solution for each of the $n$ local exponents. □

A divisor (with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$) of a radical function $f$ on an algebraic curve $B$ is a well defined object, because an integer power of $f$ is a rational function on $B$. Conversely, a divisor with $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients on $B$ determines a radical function up to scalar multiplication. To compute Darboux evaluations, we usually first determine possible divisors of radical solutions (of a pulled-back Fuchsian equation) on a Darboux curve.

Remark 2.6 The simplest Darboux evaluations are reductions of a dihedral monodromy to a cyclic monodromy by a quadratic transformation [33]. The Darboux covering is $z = x^2$ in this well-known formula:

$$2F1\left(a, a + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{x^2}{x^2 - 1}\right) = \frac{(1 - \sqrt{x})^{-2a} + (1 + \sqrt{x})^{-2a}}{2}.$$ (2.28)

The monodromy group of the hypergeometric equation is finite when $a \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$. Less known are these variations of dihedral formulas:

$$2F1\left(a, -a, \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{x^2}{x^2 - 1}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \left( \frac{1+x}{1-x}\right)^a + \left( \frac{1-x}{1+x}\right)^a \right),$$ (2.29)

$$2F1\left(\frac{1}{2} + a, a, \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{x^2}{x^2 - 1}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{2} \left( \left( \frac{1+x}{1-x}\right)^a + \left( \frac{1-x}{1+x}\right)^a \right),$$ (2.30)

$$2F1\left(\frac{1}{2} + a, a, \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{x^2}{x^2 - 1}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{4ax} \left( \left( \frac{1+x}{1-x}\right)^a + \left( \frac{1-x}{1+x}\right)^a \right).$$ (2.31)

2.5 Three Darboux coverings

By Corollary 2.4, there are separate sets of Darboux coverings for the type pairs (3A), (3B), or (4A), (4B), or (7A), (7B). Here we show that these sets consist of single Belyi coverings (up to holomorphic symmetries of the Darboux curves). The three Belyi maps are related to each other by algebraic correspondences induced by quadratic and cubic transformations (2.7), (2.9) between $3F2$-functions of the different types. The Darboux curves for the types (4A), (4B) and (7A), (7B) have genus 1. Their Weierstraß forms are presented in formulas (2.32), (2.35).
Proposition 2.7  Darboux coverings that reduce the projective monodromy group $\Lambda = PSL(2, \mathbb{F}_7)$ of a third order hypergeometric equation (2.1) to $\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z}$ are Belyi maps with the following branching patterns (and genus $g$):

for the types (3A) and (3B): $\left[7^3 13/3^8/2^{12}\right]$  \quad (g = 0);

for the types (4A) and (4B): $\left[7^3 13/4^6/2^{12}\right]$  \quad (g = 1);

for the types (7A) and (7B): $\left[7^3 13/7^3 13/2^{12}\right]$  \quad (g = 1).

Proof  (Compare with [34, Lemma 3.4].) Let $E$ denote the third order hypergeometric equation. Suppose that $f_1, f_2, f_3$ is a basis of its solutions. The field extension $\mathbb{C}(z, f_2/f_1, f_3/f_1) \supset \mathbb{C}(z)$ defines a Galois covering $\Phi_1$ of $\mathbb{CP}^1$ of degree $\#\Lambda = 168$. (It is also a Darboux covering that reduces the projective monodromy to a trivial group.) Its possible branching patterns are $[7^{24}/3^{56}/2^{84}], [7^{24}/4^{42}/2^{84}]$ or $[7^{24}/7^{24}/2^{84}]$ (of genus $g = 3, 10$ or $19$, respectively); see [30, §8.2]. By the Galois correspondence, a Darboux covering that reduces the monodromy to $\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z}$ is a subcovering of $\Phi_1$ of degree $168/7 = 24$. The fibers where local exponent differences of $E$ have denominators with the least common multiple $k \leq 4$ should have only points branching with order $k$. The only immediate uncertainty are those fibers with the branching $7^{313}$. Only branching orders $7, 1$ can be present there for subcoverings of $\Phi_1$. If there are fewer (than 3) points with the branching order 7, the Riemann–Hurwitz formula [12, p. 404] gives a negative genus for the covering. \hfill $\square$

The only Belyi map (up to Möbius transformations on either side of $\mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$) with the genus $g = 0$ branching pattern was computed as such following [31, §3], and presented in [32, p. 178]. We refer to this Belyi covering as $\Phi_3$. Its expression is given in (3.1).

It is less practical to compute (from scratch) Belyi functions with the two branching patterns of genus $g = 1$. Instead, we follow their algebraic correspondence relations to $\Phi_3$ that are consequences of quadratic and cubic transformations of $3F_2$-functions [14]. In particular, representative $3F_2$-functions of types (3A) and (7A) are related by cubic transformation (2.9). For example, consider (2.9) with $a = -1/42, b = 1/14$. Hence, there exists only one covering $\Phi_7 : \mathcal{E}_7 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ (up to holomorphic symmetries of both curves) with the branching pattern $[7^{313}/7^{313}/2^{12}]$. It can be constructed by considering the fiber product of $\Phi_3$ and the cubic covering $27z^2/(4 - z)^3$, as described in [34, Lemma 3.5]. This gives the Darboux curve $\mathcal{E}_7$ isomorphic to

$$v^2 = u \left(1 - 11u + 32u^2\right), \quad (2.32)$$

as computed here in Sect. 5.1. On the fiber product curve $\mathcal{E}_7$, we have

$$\Phi_3 = \frac{27 \Phi_7^2}{(4 - \Phi_7)^3}. \quad (2.33)$$

Further, representative $3F_2$-functions of types (4B) and (7B) are related by quadratic transformation (2.7). For example, consider (2.7) with $a = -1/28, b = 1/28$. Hence,
there is one covering \( \Phi_4 : \mathcal{E}_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \) (up to holomorphic symmetries of both curves) with the branching pattern \([7^3 1^3/4^6/2^{12}]\). The fiber product curve is the same \( \mathcal{E}_7 \), where we have

\[
\Phi_4 = -\frac{4 \Phi_7}{(\Phi_7 - 1)^2}.
\]

(2.34)

The degree 24 covering \( \Phi_4 \) is obtained by dividing out its symmetry \( \Phi_7 \mapsto 1/\Phi_7 \). Consequently, the Darboux curve \( \mathcal{E}_4 \) is a genus 1 curve that is 2-isogenous \( \mathcal{E}_7 \); see Sect. 6. It is isomorphic to

\[
w^2 = p (1 + 22p - 7p^2).
\]

(2.35)

3 The cases (3A) and (3B)

The \( _3F_2 \)-functions in (2.17) are companion solutions (up to a power factor) of the same Fuchsian equation with the projective monodromy \( \Lambda \). They are of type (3A), and directly parametrize Klein’s curve \( \mathcal{K} \). The degree 24 pull-back covering that reduces the projective monodromy to \( \mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z} \) can be computed from the degree 168 Galois covering \( \Phi_0 \) in (2.23) and the degree 7 projection (2.25). The degree 24 rational function is

\[
\Phi_3 = \frac{1728x(x - 1)F_1^7}{G_0^3 G_1^3},
\]

(3.1)

where

\[
F_1 = 1 + 5x - 8x^2 + x^3,
\]

\[
G_0 = 1 - x + x^2,
\]

\[
G_1 = 1 - 235x + 1430x^2 - 1695x^3 + 270x^4 + 229x^5 + x^6.
\]

(3.2)

This is a Belyi function with the branching pattern \([7^3 1^3/3^8/2^{12}]\). As recounted in Sect. 2.5, this is a unique Belyi map (up to Möbius transformations) with this branching pattern. The same Belyi function appears in the hypergeometric formula [32, (76)]

\[
_2F_1\left(\begin{array}{c} 2, 3 \\ 7 \end{array} \right)_x = G_0^{-1/28} G_1^{-1/28} \_2F_1\left(\begin{array}{c} 1, 29 \\ 84, 84 \end{array} \right)_x \Phi_3.
\]

(3.3)

This is not surprising, as branching requirements for pull-back transformations between hypergeometric equations for \( _2F_1 \) or \( _3F_2 \) functions are similar [32, §3]. The Belyi function \( \Phi_3 \) is presented in [10] as well.

3.1 Evaluations of type (3A)

The following Darboux evaluations are used in Sect. 4.2 to prove modular identities (1.6)–(1.11).
Theorem 3.1 We have

$$3F_2\left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{4}, \frac{13}{14}, \frac{9}{14} \\ \frac{4}{7}, \frac{6}{7} \end{array}\mid \Phi_3\right) = (1 - x)^{1/7} G_0^{-1/14} G_1^{-1/14},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.4)$$

$$3F_2\left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{5}{12}, \frac{19}{2}, \frac{11}{14} \\ \frac{7}{2}, \frac{8}{7} \end{array}\mid \Phi_3\right) = (1 - x)^{2/7} F_1^{-1} G_0^{5/14} G_1^{5/14},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.5)$$

$$3F_2\left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{17}{3}, \frac{31}{7}, \frac{15}{14} \\ \frac{7}{2}, \frac{10}{7} \end{array}\mid \Phi_3\right) = (1 - x)^{-3/7} F_1^{-3} G_0^{17/14} G_1^{17/14},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.6)$$

in a neighborhood of \( x = 0 \).

Proof A hypergeometric differential equation has the following basis of local solutions around \( z = 0 \):

$$3F_2\left(\begin{array}{c} -\frac{1}{4}, \frac{13}{14}, \frac{9}{14} \\ \frac{4}{7}, \frac{6}{7} \end{array}\mid z\right), \ z^{1/7} 3F_2\left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{5}{12}, \frac{19}{2}, \frac{11}{14} \\ \frac{7}{2}, \frac{8}{7} \end{array}\mid z\right), \ z^{3/7} 3F_2\left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{17}{3}, \frac{31}{7}, \frac{15}{14} \\ \frac{7}{2}, \frac{10}{7} \end{array}\mid z\right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.7)$$

After the pullback \( z = \Phi_3(x) \), the local exponents at \( x = 0 \) will be 0, 1/7, 3/7 as well. The generalized Riemann’s \( P \)-symbol

$$P = \left\{ \begin{array}{cccccc} x = 0 & x = 1 & x = \infty & F_1(x) = 0 & G_0(x) = 0 & G_1(x) = 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1/14 & -1/14 & \\ 1/7 & 1/7 & 1/7 & 1 & 13/14 & 13/14 \\ 3/7 & 3/7 & 3/7 & 3 & 27/14 & 27/14 \end{array} \right\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.8)$$

describes all singularities of the pulled-back equation, and the local exponents at them. The pulled-back equation has a cyclic monodromy, as we established that (projectively) the function field \( \mathbb{C}(\Phi_3) \) corresponds to \( \mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z} \) by the Galois correspondence in the projective parametrization (2.17) of Klein’s curve \( \mathcal{K} \) by hypergeometric solutions. Hence there is a basis of radical solutions. Candidates for radical solutions are constructed by picking up a local exponent at each singular point, so that their sum \( s \) is either zero or a negative integer. If \( s < 0 \), then a presumed solution vanishes (with order 1 or 2) at some regular points, making the total sum of local exponents at all points equal to zero. Negative exponents come only from the roots of \( G_0 G_1 \). We have to pick up the exponent \(-1/14\) at those 8 roots, because a positive exponent in that locus would lead to \( s > 0 \). Up to scalar multiplication, the candidate radical solutions for (3.4) with the local exponent 0 at \( x = 0 \):

$$\psi_1 = (1 - x)^{1/7} G_0^{-1/14} G_1^{-1/14}, \quad \psi_1^o = (1 - x)^{3/7} G_0^{-1/14} G_1^{-1/14}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.9)$$

The local exponents at \( x = \infty \) equal to 3/7, 1/7, respectively. By checking a few initial terms of their power series at \( x = 0 \) we see that \( \psi_1 \) is the actual radical solution. The candidate solutions with the local exponent 1/7 at \( x = 0 \) are:

$$\psi_2 = x^{1/7}(1 - x)^{3/7} G_0^{-1/14} G_1^{-1/14}, \quad \psi_2^o = x^{1/7} G_0^{-1/14} G_1^{-1/14}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.10)$$
The actual radical solution is $\psi_2$, with the local exponent at $x = \infty$ differing from $3/7$ by Lemma 2.5. This gives formula (3.5). Similarly, the candidate solutions with the local exponent $3/7$ at $x = 0$ are:

$$\psi_3 = x^{3/7} G_0^{-1/14} G_1^{-1/14}, \quad \psi_5 = x^{3/7} (1 - x)^{1/7} G_0^{-1/14} G_1^{-1/14}. \quad (3.11)$$

The correct radical solution is $\psi_3$, leading to formula (3.6).

Contiguous relations of $3F_2$ functions can be used to derive Darboux evaluations for other hypergeometric functions in the (3A) class. For example, applying (2.13) to (3.6) gives

$$3F_2\left(\frac{1}{14}, \frac{17}{42}, \frac{31}{42} \middle| \frac{1}{x}\right) = \frac{(1 - x)^{4/7} G_0^{3/14} G_1^{3/14}}{F_2^2} (1 - \frac{52}{9} x + \frac{43}{3} x^2 - \frac{16}{3} x^3 + \frac{1}{9} x^4).$$

This hypergeometric solution vanishes at four regular points of its Fuchsian equation, namely at the roots of the degree 4 polynomial.

The other three companion solutions to (3.7) are

$$z^{1/42} 3F_2\left(\frac{-1}{14}, \frac{5}{42}, \frac{17}{14} \middle| \frac{1}{z}\right), \quad z^{-13/42} 3F_2\left(\frac{13}{42}, \frac{19}{42}, \frac{31}{14} \middle| \frac{1}{z}\right),$$

$$z^{-9/14} 3F_2\left(\frac{9}{14}, \frac{11}{42}, \frac{15}{14} \middle| \frac{1}{z}\right). \quad (3.12)$$

The rational argument in their Darboux evaluations can be $1/\Phi_1$, but the evaluation then holds in a neighborhood of a root of $G_0 G_1 = 0$. The roots of $G_0$ can be moved to $x = 0, x = \infty$ by the inverse of the Möbius transformation

$$x \mapsto \mu(x) = \frac{x + \omega + 1}{\omega (1 - x)}, \quad (3.13)$$

where $\omega = \exp(2\pi i / 3)$. The point $x = 0$ is then a regular point (up to projective equivalence) after a pull-back transformation. Accordingly, the Darboux evaluations in the next theorem express $3F_2$-functions as linear combinations of radical solutions. They are reminiscent of dihedral evaluations (2.29)–(2.31).

**Theorem 3.2** The rational function $\Phi_3^*(x) = 1/\Phi_3(\mu(x))$ has the expression

$$\Phi_3^* = \frac{(24 \omega + 8) x^3 G_2^3}{(1 - x^3) F_2^2}. \quad (3.14)$$
with

\[ F_2 = 1 + \frac{39\omega - 16}{49} x^3, \]
\[ G_2 = 1 - \frac{435\omega + 745}{392} x^3 + \frac{18357\omega + 14632}{16807} x^6. \] (3.15)

The following formulas hold around \( x = 0 \):

\[ F_2^{1/6} G_2^{1/2} F_2^{13/6} G_2^{-9/2} F_2^{1/6} G_2^{1/2} \left( \frac{13}{42}, \frac{19}{5}, \frac{31}{6} \right),\] (3.16)

\[ \frac{x G_2}{1 - 2\omega} F_2^{-13/6} G_2^{1/2} F_2^{1/6} G_2^{1/2} \left( \frac{13}{42}, \frac{19}{5}, \frac{31}{6} \right), \] (3.17)

\[ \frac{3x^2 G_2^2}{21 + 7\omega} F_2^{-9/2} G_2^{1/2} F_2^{1/6} G_2^{1/2} \left( \frac{13}{42}, \frac{19}{5}, \frac{31}{6} \right), \] (3.18)

**Proof** Up to constant multiples, substitution (3.13) transforms the solutions \( \psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3 \) of the previous proof to

\[ \psi_1^* = (1 - x)^{3/7} (1 - \omega^2 x)^{1/7} x^{-1/14} G_2^{-1/14}, \]
\[ \psi_2^* = (1 - \omega x)^{1/7} (1 - \omega^2 x)^{3/7} x^{-1/14} G_2^{-1/14}, \]
\[ \psi_3^* = (1 - x)^{1/7} (1 - \omega x)^{3/7} x^{-1/14} G_2^{-1/14}. \] (3.19)

By considering the first few terms of Poisson power series in \( x \), we express each local solution in (3.12) with \( z = 1/\Phi_+^* \) as linear combinations of \( \psi_1^*, \psi_2^*, \psi_3^* \). The claimed hypergeometric identities are then obtained after simplifying the powers of \( x, G_2 \) and
Theorem 3.3 We have at some regular points of the hypergeometric equation.

Evidently, $\Phi^*_3(x)$ is a composition of a degree 8 covering with the cyclic $x \mapsto x^3$ covering. The Möbius-equivalent function $\Phi_3(x)$ is a composition of degree 8 and 3 coverings as well. This is noted in [32, §9], [10, §4].

### 3.2 Evaluations of type (3B)

The simplest representative evaluations of this type involve polynomial parts vanishing at some regular points of the hypergeometric equation.

**Theorem 3.3** We have

$$\begin{align*}
3F2\left(\begin{array}{c} -\frac{13}{4}, \frac{11}{2}, \frac{25}{42} \end{array} \right| \Phi_3 \right) &= (1 - 3x) (1 - x)^{3/7} G_0^{-3/14} G_1^{-3/14}, \\
3F2\left(\begin{array}{c} -\frac{3}{14}, \frac{23}{6}, \frac{37}{42} \end{array} \right| \Phi_3 \right) &= \left( 1 - \frac{2x}{3} \right) (1 - x)^{-2/7} F_1^{-2} G_0^{9/14} G_1^{9/14}, \\
3F2\left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{5}{8}, \frac{29}{7}, \frac{43}{42} \end{array} \right| \Phi_3 \right) &= \left( 1 + \frac{x}{2} \right) (1 - x)^{-1/7} F_1^{-3} G_0^{15/14} G_1^{15/14}
\end{align*}$$

in a neighborhood of $x = 0$.

**Proof** A hypergeometric differential equation has the following basis of local solutions around $x = 0$:

$$3F2\left(\begin{array}{c} -\frac{13}{4}, \frac{11}{2}, \frac{25}{42} \end{array} \right| z \right), z^{2/7}3F2\left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{3}{14}, \frac{23}{6}, \frac{37}{42} \end{array} \right| z \right), z^{3/7}3F2\left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{5}{8}, \frac{29}{7}, \frac{43}{42} \end{array} \right| z \right).$$

The pullback with respect to $z = \Phi_3(x)$ gives a Fuchsian equation with the generalized Riemann’s $P$-symbol

$$P \left\{ \begin{array}{cccccc}
x = 0 & x = 1 & x = \infty & F_1(x) = 0 & G_0(x) = 0 & G_1(x) = 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -3/14 & -3/14 \\
2/7 & 2/7 & 2/7 & 2 & 11/14 & 11/14 \\
3/7 & 3/7 & 3/7 & 3 & 25/14 & 25/14
\end{array} \right\}. $$

Candidate radical solutions with the local exponent 0 at $x = 0$ are:

$$\psi_4 = (1 - c_1 x) (1 - x)^{2/7} G_0^{-3/14} G_1^{-3/14},$$

$$\psi^*_4 = (1 - c_2 x) (1 - x)^{3/7} G_0^{-3/14} G_1^{-3/14},$$

where the coefficients $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ are undetermined yet. A priori, $c_1$ or $c_2$ could be a root of $G_0 G_1 = 0$, so that the local exponent at that root equals 11/14. The correct solution is $\psi^*_4$ with $c_2 = 3$, leading to (3.20). The other two identities are proved similarly.  \[ \square \]
Application of Möbius transformation (3.13) gives identities for
\[
\sqrt{F_{23} F_2\left(-\frac{1}{14}, \frac{3}{3}, \frac{5}{14} \middle| \Phi_3^*\right)} = \frac{6x G_2}{7} F_{2}^{-11/6} F_{2}^{-11/6} 3F_2\left(\frac{11}{42}, \frac{29}{42}, \frac{39}{42} \middle| \frac{12}{3}, \frac{2}{3} \middle| \Phi_3^*\right),
\]
\[
\frac{3x^2 G_2^2}{14\omega - 7} F_{2}^{-25/6} F_{2}^{-25/6} 3F_2\left(\frac{25}{42}, \frac{37}{42}, \frac{43}{42} \middle| \frac{3}{3}, \frac{4}{3} \middle| \Phi_3^*\right),
\]
similar to those in Theorem 3.2.

4 Modular relations

The formulas of Theorem 3.1 can be used to prove the modular product identities for \(K_1(\tau), K_2(\tau), K_3(\tau)\) in (1.6)–(1.11). This is demonstrated in Sect. 4.2. Recalling Dedekind’s eta-function [37, p. 29]

\[
\eta(\tau) = q^{1/24} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{\frac{1}{24}(6n+1)^2},
\]

the functions \(\eta(\tau)^4 K_1(\tau), \eta(\tau)^4 K_2(\tau), \eta(\tau)^4 K_3(\tau)\) are known to be modular forms of weight 2 on the modular curve \(\mathcal{X}(7)\); see [8, Example 29]. Therefore we refer to the formulas in (1.6)–(1.11) as level 7 evaluations.

As a warm-up, we prove in §4.1 hypergeometric expressions (1.13), (1.15) for the (slightly modified) Rogers–Ramanujan series. Because of ubiquitous relation [6] to the modular curve \(\mathcal{X}(5)\), we refer to those formulas as level 5 evaluations. Multiplied by \(\eta(\tau)^2/5\), the functions in (1.13)–(1.16) are considered in [13] as modular forms of weight 1/5 on \(\mathcal{X}(5)\). In §4.3 we show a few more similar modular evaluations of \(2F_1\)-functions.

For an introduction to modular curves and functions, we refer to [5]. For a positive integer \(N\), the modular curves \(\mathcal{X}(N), \mathcal{X}_1(N), \mathcal{X}_0(N)\) are defined by the congruence subgroups \(\Gamma(N), \Gamma_1(N), \Gamma_0(N)\), respectively, of \(SL(2, \mathbb{Z})\). If a moduli curve has genus 0, then a generator of its field of moduli functions is called a Hauptmodul. For example, the \(j\)-invariant (1.12) is a Hauptmodul of \(\mathcal{X}(1)\), and

\[
h_2(\tau) := \frac{\eta(\tau)^{24}}{\eta(2\tau)^{24}} = \frac{1}{q} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + q^n)^{-24},
\]

\[
h_3(\tau) := \frac{\eta(\tau)^{12}}{\eta(3\tau)^{12}} = \frac{1}{q} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^{3n+1})^{12} (1 - q^{3n+2})^{12},
\]

\[
h_4(\tau) := \frac{\eta(\tau)^{8}}{\eta(4\tau)^{8}} = \frac{1}{q} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + q^{2n-1})^{-8} (1 + q^{2n})^{-16},
\]

\[
h_5(\tau) := \frac{\eta(\tau)^{6}}{\eta(5\tau)^{6}} = \frac{1}{q} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{n})^{6} (1 - q^{5n})^{6},
\]
\[ h_7(\tau) := \frac{\eta(\tau)^4}{\eta(7\tau)^4} = \frac{1}{q} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q^n)^4}{(1 - q^{7n})^4} \] (4.6)

are Hauptmoduln of \( \mathcal{X}_0(N) \) for \( N = 2, 3, 4, 5, 7 \), respectively [21, Table 1]. The genus of modular curves and branching patterns of maps between them can be easily derived from the tables of Cummins and Pauli [4].

Existence of Fuchsian equations for modular forms with differentiation with respect to a modular function is well-known [37, §5.4]. They are commonly known as Picard-Fuchs equations.

4.1 A proof of the level 5 evaluations

This proof of formulas (1.13), (1.15) follows the argument in [28], though we seek to prepare a contextual template for the proof in §4.2. We start with presenting Darboux evaluations [34, §2.3] for the involved hypergeometric functions.

The hypergeometric functions in (1.13), (1.15) are standard 2F1-functions with the icosahedral projective monodromy [34]. The projective monodromy can be reduced to \( \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z} \) by a pull-back transformation with respect to this degree 12 covering:

\[ \phi_5(\tau) = \frac{1728 \tau (1 - 11 \tau - \tau^2)^5}{(1 + 228 \tau + 494 \tau^2 - 228 \tau^3 + \tau^4)^3}. \] (4.7)

This differs from [34, (2.9)] by the transformations \( \tau \mapsto -\tau \) or \( \tau \mapsto 1/\tau \). We rewrite the Darboux evaluations in [34, (2.9)–(2.10)] as follows:

\[ \left( \frac{\varphi_5(\tau)}{1728} \right)^{-1/60} {}_2F_1\left( \begin{array}{c} -\frac{1}{60}, \frac{19}{60} \\ \frac{5}{6} \end{array} \right) | \varphi_5(\tau) \right) = \tau^{-1/60} (1 - 11 \tau - \tau^2)^{-1/12}, \]  
(4.8)

\[ \left( \frac{\Phi_5(\tau)}{1728} \right)^{11/60} {}_2F_1\left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{11}{60}, \frac{31}{60} \\ \frac{5}{6} \end{array} \right) | \varphi_5(\tau) \right) = \tau^{11/60} (1 - 11 \tau - \tau^2)^{-1/12}. \] (4.9)

The modular curves \( \mathcal{X}(5), \mathcal{X}_1(5), \mathcal{X}_0(5), \mathcal{X}(1) \) have genus 0, as is well-known. The maps between them have the degrees indicated in this diagram:

\[ \mathcal{X}(5) \xrightarrow{5} \mathcal{X}_1(5) \xrightarrow{2} \mathcal{X}_0(5) \xrightarrow{6} \mathcal{X}(1). \] (4.10)

A Hauptmodul of \( \mathcal{X}_1(5) \) is

\[ x_5(\tau) = q \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q^{5n+1})^5 (1 - q^{5n+4})^5}{(1 - q^{5n+2})^5 (1 - q^{5n+3})^5}. \] (4.11)
A Hauptmodul of $\mathcal{X}(5)$ is $y_5(\tau) = x_5(\tau)^{1/5}$. It has this nice expression as a continuous fraction due to Ramanujan [6]:

$$y_5(\tau) = \frac{q^{1/5}}{1 + \frac{q}{1 + \frac{q^2}{1 + \frac{q^3}{1 + \ldots}}}}.$$ 

A Hauptmodul of $\mathcal{X}_0(5)$ is given in (4.5). As a function on $\mathcal{X}_1(5)$, it is identified as

$$h_5(\tau) = \frac{1}{x_5(\tau)} - 11 - x_5(\tau). \quad (4.12)$$

The covering $\mathcal{X}_1(5) \to \mathcal{X}_1(1)$ is

$$j(\tau) = \frac{1728}{\varphi_5(x_5(\tau))}. \quad (4.13)$$

and the covering $\mathcal{X}(5) \to \mathcal{X}_1(1)$ is Klein’s icosahedral Galois covering

$$j(\tau) = \frac{1728}{\varphi_5(y_5(\tau)^5)}. \quad (4.14)$$

The identification $x = x_5(\tau)$ in (4.7) establishes

$$\varphi_5(x) = \frac{1728}{j(\tau)}, \quad 1 - 11x - x^2 = x_5(\tau)h_5(\tau). \quad (4.15)$$

This evaluates the right-hand sides of (4.8) and (4.9) to, respectively,

$$x_5(\tau)^{-1/10} h_5(\tau)^{-1/12} \quad \text{and} \quad x_5(\tau)^{1/10} h_5(\tau)^{-1/12}. \quad (4.16)$$

Formulas (1.13), (1.15) follow from (4.5) and (4.11) now.

**Remark 4.1** The Darboux evaluations (4.8)–(4.9) with $x = y_5(\tau)^5$ imply that the two functions are defined on the Galois covering

$$z^{12} = y (1 - 11y^5 - y^{10}) \quad (4.17)$$

of $\mathcal{X}(5)$. This is the minimal curve where the modular functions in (1.13), (1.15) are defined. The genus of the covering equals $55 = 1 + \frac{1}{2}(12(0 - 2) + 12(12 - 1))$ by the Riemann–Hurwitz formula. The composition with $\mathcal{X}(5) \to \mathcal{X}(1)$ gives a Belyi covering with the branching pattern $[60^{12}/3240/2^{360}]$. The covering $\mathcal{X}(60) \to \mathcal{X}(1)$ has a similar branching pattern but of degree 69120; see [5, p. 101]. The modular curve $\mathcal{X}(60)$ ought to be an unramified covering of (4.17) of degree $96 = 69120/720$.
4.2 A proof of the level 7 evaluations

This proof of formulas (1.6)–(1.11) follows the same pattern as in Sect. 4.1. We observe that Hauptmoduln or generating functions of relevant modular curves have nice $q$-factorizations. The Darboux evaluations of Theorem 3.1 can be rewritten in a way where each factor can be recognized in terms of those nice modular functions. In particular, we rewrite (3.4)–(3.6) as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\left( \frac{\Phi_3}{1728} \right)^{-1/42} {}_3F_2 \left( \begin{array}{c} -\frac{1}{42}, \frac{13}{42}, \frac{9}{42} \\ \frac{7}{7}, \frac{6}{7} \end{array} \right| \Phi_3 \right) &= (-x)^{-1/42} (1 - x)^{5/42} F_1^{-1/6}, \\
\left( \frac{\Phi_3}{1728} \right)^{5/42} {}_3F_2 \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{5}{42}, \frac{19}{42}, \frac{11}{42} \\ \frac{7}{7}, \frac{8}{7} \end{array} \right| \Phi_3 \right) &= (-x)^{5/42} (1 - x)^{17/42} F_1^{-1/6}, \\
\left( \frac{\Phi_3}{1728} \right)^{17/42} {}_3F_2 \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{17}{42}, \frac{31}{42}, \frac{15}{42} \\ \frac{7}{7}, \frac{10}{7} \end{array} \right| \Phi_3 \right) &= (-x)^{17/42} (1 - x)^{-1/42} F_1^{-1/6}.
\end{align*}
\]

Recall that $F_1 = x^3 - 8x^2 + 5x + 1$.

The following coverings hold between modular curves, of indicated degrees:

\[
X(7) \rightarrow X_1(7) \rightarrow X_0(7) \rightarrow X(1).
\]  

The modular curve $X(7)$ has the genus $g = 3$, and is isomorphic to Klein’s quartic curve $K$ defined by (1.4). Elkies [7, (4.4)–(4.6)] gives this parametrization of $K$ by modular forms\(^4\) on $X(7)$:

\[
\begin{align*}
X &= -q^{4/7} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^3 (1 - q^{7n})(1 - q^{7n-6})(1 - q^{7n-1}), \\
Y &= q^{2/7} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^3 (1 - q^{7n})(1 - q^{7n-5})(1 - q^{7n-2}), \\
Z &= q^{1/7} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^3 (1 - q^{7n})(1 - q^{7n-4})(1 - q^{7n-3}).
\end{align*}
\]

The curves $X_1(7)$ and $X_0(7)$ have genus 0. A Hauptmodul for $X_1(7)$ is

\[
x_7(\tau) = -\frac{X^2Y}{Z^3} = -q + 2q^2 - 5q^4 + 4q^5 + O(q^6),
\]

\(^4\) These are essentially the modular forms mentioned right after formula (4.1); see [8, Example 29]. Specifically, $X = -\eta(\tau)^4 K_3(\tau)$, $Y = \eta(\tau)^4 K_2(\tau)$, $Z = \eta(\tau)^4 K_1(\tau)$. 

\( \Box \) Springer
consistent with (2.25). A Hauptmodul for \( \mathcal{X}_0(7) \) is given in (4.6). As a function on \( \mathcal{X}_1(7) \), it is identified in [7, (4.24)]:

\[
h_7(\tau) = \frac{x_7^3 - 8x_7^2 + 5x_7 + 1}{x_7^2 - x_7}. \tag{4.24}
\]

The covering \( \mathcal{X}_0(7) \to \mathcal{X}(1) \) is given in [7, (4.20)]:

\[
j(\tau) = \frac{(h_7^2 + 13h_7 + 49)(h_7^2 + 245h_7 + 74)^3}{h_7^2}. \tag{4.25}
\]

Consequently, the covering \( \mathcal{X}_1(7) \to \mathcal{X}(1) \) is given by

\[
j(\tau) = \frac{1728}{\Phi_3(x_7)}. \tag{4.26}
\]

The identification \( x = x_7(\tau) \) establishes

\[
\Phi_3(x) = \frac{1728}{j(\tau)}, \quad F_1 = (-x)(1 - x)h_7(\tau), \tag{4.27}
\]

and

\[
-x = \frac{(-X)^2 Y}{Z^3}, \quad 1 - x = \frac{Y^3}{(-X)Z^2}. \tag{4.28}
\]

This evaluates the right-hand sides of (4.18), (4.19) and (4.20) to, respectively,

\[
(-X)^{-1/3} Y^{-1/3} Z^{2/3} h_7(\tau)^{-1/6},
\]

\[
(-X)^{-1/3} Y^{2/3} Z^{-1/3} h_7(\tau)^{-1/6},
\]

\[
(-X)^{2/3} Y^{-1/3} Z^{-1/3} h_7(\tau)^{-1/6}. \tag{4.29}
\]

Formulas (1.7), (1.9), (1.11) for, respectively, \( K_1(\tau), K_2(\tau), K_3(\tau) \) follow from the parametrization (4.22) now.

**Remark 4.2** Corrected\(^5\) formula [7, (4.19)] states

\[
h_7(\tau) = \frac{R_6(X, Y, Z)}{X^2Y^2Z^2}, \tag{4.30}
\]

where \( R_6(X, Y, Z) \) is the invariant in (2.19). Hence the functions in (4.29) are equal to \( ZR_6^{-1/6}, YR_6^{-1/6}, XR_6^{-1/6} \), respectively. It follows that the functions \( K_1(\tau), K_2(\tau), K_3(\tau) \) are defined on the Galois covering

\[
W^6 = XY^5 + YZ^5 + ZX^5 = 5X^2Y^2Z^2. \tag{4.31}
\]

\(^5\) There are these typos in [7]: Formula (4.19) is actually for \( j_7^{-1} \), not for \( j_7 \). In formula (4.23), a minus sign is missing before \( x^2z/x^3 \). Formula (1.18) should be adjusted by \( 40\Phi_4^2\Phi_6(3\Phi_4^2 + 1008\Phi_4\Phi_6^2 + 56\Phi_4\Phi_6\Phi_{14} - 832\Phi_4^2\Phi_6^2 - 256\Phi_4^4) \). In formula (4.35), the factor \( 5\phi^2 - 15\phi - 7 \) should be \( 5\phi^2 - 14\phi - 7 \).
of $\mathcal{X}(7)$. There are 24 branching points on $W = 0$, thus the genus of the covering equals $73 = 1 + \frac{1}{2}(6 \cdot 3 - 2) + 24(6 - 1)$ by the Riemann–Hurwitz formula. The composition with $\mathcal{X}(7) \to \mathcal{X}(1)$ gives a Belyi covering with the branching pattern $[42^{24}/3^{336}/2^{504}]$. The covering $\mathcal{X}(42) \to \mathcal{X}(1)$ has a similar branching pattern but of degree 24192; see [5, p. 101]. The modular curve $\mathcal{X}(42)$ ought to be an unramified covering of (4.31) of degree 24 = 24192/1008.

Remark 4.3 One may naturally ask about expressing $K_1(\tau), K_2(\tau), K_3(\tau)$ as sums similar to the Rogers–Ramanujan summations in (1.14), (1.16). Duke [6] refers to the work [25] of Selberg and indicates these expressions:

$$K_1(\tau) = \frac{q^{-1/42}}{(1 - q)(1 - q^2)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{\frac{7n^2 + n}{2}} (1 - q^{6n+3}),$$

$$K_3(\tau) = \frac{q^{17/42}}{(1 - q)(1 - q^2)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{\frac{7n^2 + 7n}{2}} (1 - q^{6n+1})(1 - q^{6n+6}),$$

$$K_2(\tau) = q^{1/7} F_1 + \frac{q^{5/42} q^2}{(1 - q)(1 - q^2)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{\frac{7n^2 + 13n}{2}} C_n (1 - q^{6n+9}),$$

where $C_n = (1 - q^{n+1})(1 - q^{n+2})$. If Klein’s parametrization [16, (44)] of $K$ is adjusted by $q \mapsto \sqrt{q}$ (and some summation shifts), it gives these beautiful identities:

$$\frac{K_3(\tau)}{K_2(\tau)} = q^{2/7} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{\frac{21n^2 + 7n}{2}},$$

$$\frac{K_2(\tau)}{K_1(\tau)} = q^{1/7} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{\frac{21n^2 + 7n}{2}},$$

$$\frac{K_1(\tau)}{K_3(\tau)} = q^{3/7} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{\frac{21n^2 + 13n+2}{2}}.$$
Compared with Watson’s formula \([29, (1.3)]\), we have \(x = \sqrt{s}, a = -y\sqrt{s}\), and a partial change of the summation index.

### 4.3 Other similar evaluations

Here we relate hypergeometric functions with a dihedral, tetrahedral, or octahedral projective monodromies with modular curves of level \(N = 2, 3\) or \(4\). Correspondingly, the following full coverings \(X(N) \to X(1)\) have exactly those monodromies:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{a dihedral } S_3 : & \quad X(2) \xrightarrow{2} X_1(2) \cong X_0(2) \xrightarrow{3} X(1); \\
\text{the tetrahedral } A_4 : & \quad X(3) \xrightarrow{3} X_1(3) \cong X_0(3) \xrightarrow{4} X(1); \\
\text{the octahedral } S_4 : & \quad X(4) \xrightarrow{4} X_1(4) \cong X_0(4) \xrightarrow{6} X(1). \quad (4.37)
\end{align*}
\]

To obtain Darboux evaluations \([34]\) of standard Gauss hypergeometric functions with the tetrahedral or octahedral projective monodromies, the following pull-back transformations reduce the projective monodromy to \(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}\) or \(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}\), respectively:

\[
\varphi_3(x) = \frac{x (x + 4)^3}{4(2x - 1)^3}, \quad \varphi_4(x) = \frac{108x (x - 1)^4}{(x^2 + 14x + 1)^3}. \quad (4.38)
\]

As we will see, these coverings are algebraically equivalent to the modular coverings \(X_0(3) \to X(1), X_0(4) \to X(1)\), respectively. We will use the Darboux evaluations \([34, (2.1)–(2.2),(2.5)–(2.6)]\) in this form:

\[
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{\varphi_3(x)}{1728}\right)^{-1/12} & \quad \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_3(x) \end{pmatrix}_{28} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{x}{108} \end{pmatrix}^{-1/12} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \frac{x}{4} \end{pmatrix}^{-1/4}, \quad (4.39) \\
\left(\frac{\varphi_3(x)}{1728}\right)^{1/4} & \quad \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_3(x) \end{pmatrix}_{28} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{108} \end{pmatrix}^{1/4} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \frac{x}{4} \end{pmatrix}^{-1/4}, \quad (4.40) \\
\left(\frac{\varphi_4(x)}{1728}\right)^{-1/24} & \quad \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_4(x) \end{pmatrix}_{28} = \begin{pmatrix} x/16 \end{pmatrix}^{-1/24} (1 - x)^{-1/6}, \quad (4.41) \\
\left(\frac{\varphi_4(x)}{1728}\right)^{5/24} & \quad \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_4(x) \end{pmatrix}_{28} = \begin{pmatrix} x/16 \end{pmatrix}^{5/24} (1 - x)^{-1/6}. \quad (4.42)
\end{align*}
\]

Formula (4.40) is equivalent to (1.1), in particular.

We can reduce the dihedral projective monodromy to \(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\) using identities like \((2.29)–(2.31)\), but that is not consistent with the cubic modular covering \(X_0(2) \to X(1)\). Instead, we apply standard cubic transformation \([32, (21)]\) of \(_2\text{F}_1\)-functions (with \(a \in \{1/2, -1\}\)) and get

\[
\left(\frac{\varphi_2(x)}{1728}\right)^{-1/6} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_2(x) \end{pmatrix}_{28} = \begin{pmatrix} x/64 \end{pmatrix}^{-1/6} (1 - x)^{-1/3}, \quad (4.43)
\]

\[\square\] Springer
\[
\left( \frac{\varphi_2(x)}{1728} \right)^{1/3} \left( \frac{x}{64} \right)^{1/3} = \frac{1}{(1-x)^{-1/3}},
\] (4.44)

with
\[
\varphi_2(x) = \frac{27x(1-x)^2}{(1+3x)^3}.
\] (4.45)

In terms of Hauptmoduln, the map \( \mathcal{X}_0(2) \to \mathcal{X}(1) \) is given by
\[
j(\tau) = \frac{(h_2(\tau) + 256)^3}{h_2(\tau)^2}.
\] (4.46)

In [21, Appendix] for a reference, \( x_2 = \frac{2^{12}}{h_2(\tau)} \) and \( j(\tau) = \frac{(x_2 + 16)^3}{x_2} \). To match \( 1728/j(\tau) \) with \( \varphi_2(x) \), we identify
\[
x = \frac{64}{h_2(\tau) + 64}.
\] (4.47)

Then \( 1 - x = h_2(\tau)/(h_2(\tau) + 64) \), and formulas (4.43) and (4.44) become
\[
j(\tau)^{1/6} 2F_1 \left( \begin{array}{cc} -\frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right| \frac{1728}{j(\tau)} \right) = h_2(\tau)^{-1/3} \sqrt{h_2(\tau) + 64},
\] (4.48)
\[
j(\tau)^{-1/3} 2F_1 \left( \begin{array}{cc} \frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right| \frac{1728}{j(\tau)} \right) = h_2(\tau)^{-1/3}.
\] (4.49)

The function \( h_2(\tau)^{-1/3} \) is invariant under the congruence subgroup 6D\(^0\) in the tables of Cummins and Pauli [4], as it gives the branching pattern \([6^3/3^3/2^3\ 1^3]\) over the \( j \)-line. The quotient \( \sqrt{h_2(\tau) + 64} \) of the two functions is a Hauptmodul of \( \mathcal{X}(2) \). Apparently it has no nice \( q \)-factorization. The standard Hauptmodul of \( \mathcal{X}(2) \) is Legendre’s modular function [37, p. 63]
\[
\lambda(\tau) = \frac{16 \eta(\tau/2)^8 \eta(2\tau)^{16}}{\eta(\tau)^{24}} = 16\sqrt{q} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( 1 + q^n \right)^8.
\] (4.50)

By solving algebraic relations and checking the series, we indeed have
\[
h_2(\tau) = 256 \frac{1 - \lambda(\tau)}{\lambda(\tau)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sqrt{h_2(\tau) + 64} = 8 \left( \frac{2}{\lambda(\tau)} - 1 \right).
\] (4.51)

The function in (4.48) is invariant under the subgroup 6C\(^1\) in [4], as it contains both \( \Gamma(2) \) and 6D\(^0\). It has the branching pattern \([6^3/3^6/2^9]\). The covering over \( \mathcal{X}(2) \) can be obtained after substituting \( x = 64y^2 \) into (4.43), giving \( z^3 = y(1 - 64y^2) \). Here \( x, y \) represent Hauptmoduln of \( \mathcal{X}_1(2), \mathcal{X}(2) \) (respectively), like in Remark 4.1.
Now we consider the tetrahedral case similarly. With a Hauptmodul of $\Gamma_0(3)$ given in (4.3), the map $\mathcal{X}_0(3) \to \mathcal{X}(1)$ is

$$j(\tau) = \frac{(h_3(\tau) + 27)(h_3(\tau) + 243)^3}{h_3(\tau)^3}. \tag{4.52}$$

To match $1728/j(\tau)$ with $\varphi_3(x)$, we identify

$$x = -\frac{108}{h_3(\tau) + 27}. \tag{4.53}$$

Then $1 + \frac{1}{4}x = h_3(\tau)/(h_3(\tau) + 27)$, and formulas (4.39) and (4.40) become

$$j(\tau)^{1/12} \binom{-\frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{4}}{\frac{2}{3}} \left| \begin{array}{c} 1728 \\ j(\tau) \end{array} \right| = h_3(\tau)^{-1/4}(h_3(\tau) + 27)^{1/3}, \tag{4.54}$$

$$j(\tau)^{-4/12} \binom{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{7}{12}}{\frac{4}{3}} \left| \begin{array}{c} 1728 \\ j(\tau) \end{array} \right| = h_3(\tau)^{-1/4}. \tag{4.55}$$

The function $h_3(\tau)^{-1/4}$ is invariant under the congruence subgroup $12B^0$ in [4], with the branching pattern $[12^4/3^4/4^4/2^8]$ over the $j$-line. The quotient $(h_3(\tau) + 27)^{1/3}$ is a Hauptmodul of $\mathcal{X}(3)$, without a nice $q$-factorization apparently. The function in (4.54) is invariant under the subgroup $12A^3$ in [4], because it contains both $\Gamma(3)$ and $12B^0$. Its branching pattern is $[12^4/3^{16}/2^{24}]$. The covering over $\mathcal{X}'(2)$ is obtained after substituting $x = -108y^3$ into (4.39), giving $z^4 = y(1 - 27y^3)$. An intermediate congruence group between $12A^3$ and $\Gamma(3)$ is $6D^1$, with the branching $[6^4/3^8/2^{12}]$.

In the octahedral case, the map $\mathcal{X}_0(4) \to \mathcal{X}(1)$ is

$$j(\tau) = \frac{(h_4(\tau)^2 + 256h_4(\tau) + 4096)^3}{h_4(\tau)^4(h_4(\tau) + 16)}. \tag{4.56}$$

To match $1728/j(\tau)$ with $\varphi_4(x)$, we identify

$$x = \frac{16}{h_4(\tau) + 16}. \tag{4.57}$$

A well-known classical identity [37, (72)] implies

$$h_4(\tau) + 16 = \frac{\eta(2\tau)^{24}}{\eta(4\tau)^{16}\eta(\tau)^8} = \frac{1}{q} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + q^n)^{-8(-1)^n}. \tag{4.58}$$

(In terms of [6, §9], this function equals $16/u(\tau)^8$.) Formulas (4.41) and (4.42) become
These functions are defined on the covering \( z^6 = y (1 - 16y^4) \) of \( X(4) \), obtained by substituting \( x = 16y^4 \) in (4.41). The branching over \( X(1) \) is \([24^6/3^{48}/2^{72}]\), of genus 10. There are five congruence subgroups with this branching in [4]. The correct one is 24A10, because it has intermediate supergroups with the branching patterns \([8^6/3^{16}/2^{24}]\) and \([12^6/3^{24}/2^{36}]\), namely, 8A2 and 12A4.

It is fitting to mention here this classical identity [37, (74)]:

\[
2F1 \left( \frac{1}{12}, \frac{5}{12} \middle| \frac{1728}{j(\tau)} \right) = \frac{\eta(\tau)^3}{\eta(4\tau)^2 \eta(2\tau) \eta(\tau)},
\]

where \( \eta(\tau) = 1 + 240q + 2160q^2 + \ldots \) is an Eisenstein series.

### 5 The cases (7A) and (7B)

As explained in Sect. 2.5 the Darboux covering \( \Phi_7 : E_7 \to \mathbb{C}P^1 \) with the branching pattern \([7^31^3/7^31^3/2^{12}]\) can be computed from \( \Phi_3 \) using cubic transformation (2.9).

### 5.1 Degree 24 map

The Darboux curve \( E_7 \) is determined as the fiber product

\[
\Phi_3(x) = \frac{27z^2}{(4-z)^3}.
\]

To parametrize this curve by a simple equation, we substitute \( z = 8\hat{z}/(2\hat{z} + 3) \) so that the right-hand side becomes \( \hat{z}^2(2\hat{z} + 3) \). After the next substitution \( \hat{z} = \tilde{z}F_1^3/(G_0G_1) \) we get the equation

\[
1728x(x - 1)F_1 = \tilde{z}^2(2\tilde{z}F_1^3 + 3G_0G_1)
\]

of degree 12 in \( x, \tilde{z} \). The curve defined by this equation can be straightforwardly analyzed with Maple’s standard package algcurves. The curve has genus 1 and is
Table 1  Divisors on the curve $v^2 = u(1 - 11u + 32u^2)$

| Id | Function | Divisor |
|----|----------|---------|
| $-$ | $u$ | $2(0, 0) - 2\mathcal{O}$ |
| $-$ | $1 - 4u$ | $(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}) + (\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}) - 2\mathcal{O}$ |
| $-$ | $1 - 8u$ | $(\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}) + (\frac{1}{8}, -\frac{1}{8}) - 2\mathcal{O}$ |
| $-$ | $v - u$ | $(0, 0) + (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}) + (\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}) - 3\mathcal{O}$ |
| $-$ | $v + u$ | $(0, 0) + (\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}) + (\frac{1}{8}, -\frac{1}{8}) - 3\mathcal{O}$ |
| $F_3$ | $1 - 4v - 4u$ | $3(\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}) - 3\mathcal{O}$ |
| $\widehat{F}_3$ | $1 + 4v - 4u$ | $3(\frac{1}{8}, -\frac{1}{8}) - 3\mathcal{O}$ |
| $F_4$ | $1 - 2v - 6u$ | $2(\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}) + (\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}) - 3\mathcal{O}$ |
| $\widehat{F}_4$ | $1 + 2v - 6u$ | $2(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}) + (\frac{1}{8}, -\frac{1}{8}) - 3\mathcal{O}$ |
| $G_3$ | $1 + 2v - 10u + 16u^2$ | $U_1 + U_2 + U_3 + (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}) - 4\mathcal{O}$ |
| $G_4$ | $1 - 4v - 20u + 64u^2$ | $V_1 + V_2 + V_3 + (\frac{1}{8}, -\frac{1}{8}) - 4\mathcal{O}$ |
| $\widehat{G}_3$ | $v + 3u - 4uv - 20u^2$ | $U_1 + U_2 + U_3 + (0, 0) + (\frac{1}{8}, -\frac{1}{8}) - 5\mathcal{O}$ |
| $\widehat{G}_4$ | $v - 5u - 8uv + 24u^2$ | $V_1 + V_2 + V_3 + (0, 0) + (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}) - 5\mathcal{O}$ |

isomorphic to (2.32). Eventually, we can find this parametrization of (5.1) by the elliptic curve (2.32):

$$x = \frac{4(u + v)^2}{(4u - 1)^2(8u - 1)}, \quad z = \Phi_7,$$

where

$$\Phi_7 = \frac{128(1 - 4u)(-v - 3u + 4uv + 20u^2)^7}{u^3(1 - 8u)(1 - 4v - 20u + 64u^2)^7}. \quad (5.4)$$

This is a Belyi map with the anticipated branching pattern $[7^31^3/7^31^3/2^{12}]$. Note that $\Phi_7$ vanishes at $(u, v) = (0, 0) \in \mathcal{E}_7$ despite the factor $u^3$ in the denominator. The divisor of $\Phi_7$ is

$$\text{div}(\Phi_7) = (0, 0) + (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}) + (\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}) + 7U_1 + 7U_2 + 7U_3 - \mathcal{O} - (\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}) - (\frac{1}{8}, -\frac{1}{8}) - 7V_1 - 7V_2 - 7V_3. \quad (5.5)$$

Here the $u$-coordinates of $U_1, U_2, U_3$ satisfy $4u(4u - 1)(4u - 5) = 1$, and the $u$-coordinates of $V_1, V_2, V_3$ satisfy $16u(4u - 1)(8u - 3) = 1$. Table 1 presents several straightforward rational functions on $\mathcal{E}_7$ and their divisors. We use them to present rational and power functions on $\mathcal{E}_7$. For example,

$$\Phi_7 = -\frac{128(1 - 4u)\widehat{G}_3^7}{u^3(1 - 8u)G_4^7} = -\frac{128u^4(1 - 4u)G_3^7}{(1 - 8u)\widehat{G}_4^7}. \quad (5.6)$$
5.2 Evaluations of type (7A)

Computation of Darboux evaluations on a genus 1 curve (such as $\mathcal{E}_7$) is less straightforward than on $\mathbb{P}^1$, because divisors of rational or radical functions are restricted by the Picard group [27, p. 28], or the group law on an elliptic curve such as (2.32). Consequently, radical factors have to be routinely chosen with extraneous zeroes or poles, and compensatory factors are often needed. A practical demonstration of involved combinatorial calculations is given in [34, §4]. The obtained Darboux evaluations can be checked by expanding the power series in $\sqrt{u}$ on both sides, as $\Phi_7 = 0$ at the point $(0,0) \in \mathcal{E}_7$, and $\sqrt{u}$ is a local parameter there.

**Theorem 5.1** With reference to Table 1, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
3F_2\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{14}, \frac{1}{7}, \frac{5}{14} \\
\frac{1}{14}, \frac{1}{7}, \frac{5}{14}
\end{array} \right | \Phi_7 & = \frac{F_3^{1/14} F_4^{1/7} \tilde{F}_4^{3/7}}{\sqrt{G_4}}, \\
3F_2\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{3}{14}, \frac{5}{14}, \frac{9}{7} \\
\frac{3}{14}, \frac{5}{14}, \frac{9}{7}
\end{array} \right | \Phi_7 & = \frac{(1 - 4u)^{4/7} F_3^{1/14} \tilde{F}_4^{4/7} G_4^{3/2}}{\tilde{F}_3^{4/7} G_3^2}, \\
3F_2\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{11}{14}, \frac{13}{14}, \frac{17}{7} \\
\frac{11}{14}, \frac{13}{14}, \frac{17}{7}
\end{array} \right | \Phi_7 & = \frac{(1 - 8u) \tilde{F}_3^{5/14} G_4^{11/2}}{u^{16/7} (v - u)^{1/14} (v + u)^{6/7} G_3^{6}}.
\end{align*}
\]

**Proof** Preliminary expressions of the $3\!F_2$-functions in terms of (complicated) radical functions on $\mathcal{E}_7$ can be obtained by applying cubic transformation (2.9) to the functions in Theorem 3.1. Then we compute fractional divisors of the $3\!F_2$-functions in (5.7), (5.8), and (5.9), respectively:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{6}{7} \left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\right) & + \frac{2}{7} \left(\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}\right) + \frac{5}{14} \left(\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}\right) + \frac{1}{14} \mathcal{O} - \frac{1}{14} \left(\frac{1}{8}, -\frac{1}{8}\right) - \frac{1}{2} V_1 - \frac{1}{2} V_2 - \frac{1}{2} V_3, \\
\frac{4}{7} \left(\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}\right) & - \frac{2}{7} \left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\right) - 2 U_1 - 2 U_2 - 2 U_3 \\
& + \frac{9}{14} \mathcal{O} + \frac{5}{14} \left(\frac{1}{8}, -\frac{1}{8}\right) + \frac{3}{14} \left(\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}\right) + \frac{3}{2} V_1 + \frac{3}{2} V_2 + \frac{3}{2} V_3, \\
& - \frac{4}{7} \left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\right) - \frac{6}{7} \left(\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}\right) - 6 U_1 - 6 U_2 - 6 U_3 \\
& + \frac{17}{14} \left(\frac{1}{8}, -\frac{1}{8}\right) + \frac{13}{14} \left(\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}\right) + \frac{11}{14} \mathcal{O} + \frac{11}{2} V_1 + \frac{11}{2} V_2 + \frac{11}{2} V_3.
\end{align*}
\]

The radical expressions on the right-hand sides of (5.7)–(5.9) have the same divisors, and evaluate to 1 at $(u,v) = (0,0)$.

For a constructive proof, we seek to combine (multiplicatively) the functions in Table 1 to obtain the required divisors (5.7)–(5.9). The routine is demonstrated in [34, §4.2–4.3]. One strategy could be: to produce the required vanishing orders of the $U_i$’s and $V_i$’s by using $G_3, G_4$; then use $1 - 4u, 1 - 8u, \tilde{F}_4, \tilde{F}_3$ consequently to account for the vanishing orders of $(\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4})$, $(\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8})$, $(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4})$, $(\frac{1}{8}, -\frac{1}{8})$, respectively. For example,
this produces
\[
\frac{G_4^{11/2} \left(1 - 8u\right)^{13/14} \tilde{F}_4^{22/7}}{G_3^6 \left(1 - 4u\right)^{6/7} \tilde{F}_3^{39/14}}
\]
(5.13)
for divisor (5.12). The powers of \( \tilde{F}_3, \tilde{F}_4 \) are rather awkward. We can modify this expression by the identities

\[
\begin{align*}
(v - u)G_4 &= (1 - 8u)\tilde{G}_4, \quad (1 - 4u)(v - u)\tilde{F}_3 = (1 - 8u)(v + u)\tilde{F}_4, \\
(v + u)G_3 &= (1 - 4u)\tilde{G}_3, \quad (1 - 4u)(v + u)F_3 = (1 - 8u)(v - u)F_4,
\end{align*}
\]

\[
F_4\tilde{F}_4 = (1 - 4u)^2(1 - 8u), \quad (v - u)(v + u) = u(1 - 4u)(1 - 8u)
\]
(5.14)
to get a more polished formula like (5.9).

\[
\begin{align*}
\Phi_7^{1/14} \ 3F_2\left( -\frac{1}{14}, \frac{3}{7}, \frac{11}{14} \left| \frac{1}{\Phi_7} \right) \right), & \quad \Phi_7^{-1/14} \ 3F_2\left( \frac{1}{14}, \frac{5}{14}, \frac{13}{14} \ | \frac{1}{\Phi_7} \right), \\
\Phi_7^{-5/14} \ 3F_2\left( \frac{5}{14}, \frac{9}{14}, \frac{17}{14}, \frac{1}{\Phi_7} \right).
\end{align*}
\]
(5.15)

Apart from the power factors and the argument 1/\( \Phi_7 \), they are contiguous to the \( \tilde{3F_2} \)-functions in (5.7)–(5.9). The functions \( \Phi_7 \) and 1/\( \Phi_7 \) can be interchanged by this automorphism of \( \mathcal{E}_7 \):

\[
(u, v) \mapsto \left( \frac{1}{32u} - \frac{v}{32u^2} \right).
\]
(5.16)

**Theorem 5.2** With reference to Table 1, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
3F_2\left( -\frac{1}{14}, \frac{3}{7}, \frac{11}{14} \left| \Phi_7 \right) \right) &= \frac{(1 - 4u)^{1/7} \tilde{F}_3^{3/14} \tilde{F}_4^{1/7}}{(1 - 8u)^{1/14} \sqrt{G_4}}, \\
3F_2\left( \frac{1}{14}, \frac{5}{14}, \frac{13}{14} \left| \Phi_7 \right) \right) &= \frac{(1 - 4u)^{2/7}(1 - 8u)^{1/7} F_3^{1/14} \tilde{F}_4^{2/7} \sqrt{G_4}}{G_3}, \\
3F_2\left( \frac{5}{14}, \frac{9}{14}, \frac{17}{14} \left| \Phi_7 \right) \right) &= \frac{(1 - 8u)(u - u)^{3/14} \tilde{G}_4^{5/2}}{u^{8/7}(v + u)^{3/7} \tilde{F}_3^{1/14} \tilde{G}_3^{3}}.
\end{align*}
\]
(5.17)

**Proof** We compute preliminary algebraic expressions on \( \mathcal{E}_7 \) for the \( 3F_2 \)-functions by either of these two ways:

- by using the mentioned contiguous relations;
- or by applying cubic transformation (2.8) with \( z = 1/\Phi_7 \) to the functions in Theorem 3.1, and subsequently interchanging 1/\( \Phi_7 \) \( \mapsto \) \( \Phi_7 \) by (5.16).

Then we compute their fractional divisors, respectively:

\[
\begin{align*}
&3\left( 1, 1 \right) + \frac{1}{7}\left( 1, -1 \right) + \frac{11}{14} O + \frac{3}{14}\left( 1, -\frac{1}{8} \right) - \frac{1}{14}\left( 1, \frac{1}{8} \right) - \frac{1}{2}V_1 - \frac{1}{2}V_2 - \frac{1}{2}V_3,
\end{align*}
\]
(5.20)
and evaluate to 1 at \((u,v)\) = \((0,0)\).

5.3 Evaluations of type (7B)

Similarly, we can apply cubic transformation (2.9) to the formulas of Theorem 3.3 and eventually obtain formulas like

\[
\begin{align*}
\phantom{\frac{3}{7} \left( \frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4} \right) - \frac{1}{7} \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4} \right) - U_1 - U_2 - U_3 = } & \phantom{=} + \frac{13}{14} \left( \frac{1}{8}, -\frac{1}{8} \right) + \frac{5}{14} \left( \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8} \right) + \frac{1}{14} O + \frac{1}{2} V_1 + \frac{1}{2} V_2 + \frac{1}{2} V_3, \\
\phantom{\frac{2}{7} \left( \frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4} \right) - \frac{1}{7} \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4} \right) - U_1 - U_2 - U_3 = } & \phantom{=} - \frac{2}{7} \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4} \right) - \frac{3}{7} \left( \frac{1}{8}, -\frac{1}{8} \right) - 3U_1 - 3U_2 - 3U_3 \\
& \phantom{=} + \frac{17}{14} \left( \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8} \right) + \frac{9}{14} O + \frac{5}{14} \left( \frac{1}{8}, -\frac{1}{8} \right) + \frac{5}{2} V_1 + \frac{5}{2} V_2 + \frac{5}{2} V_3. \\
\end{align*}
\]

The radical expressions on the right-hand sides of (5.17)–(5.19) have the same divisors, and evaluate to 1 at \((u,v) = (0,0)\).

\[3F_2\left( -\frac{3}{14}, \frac{3}{14}, \frac{3}{14} \mid \Phi_7 \right) = \frac{(1 - 4u)^{4/7} F_4^{1/7} (1 + 2v - 2u + 32uv)}{(1 - 8u)^{1/14} \bar{F}_3^{3/14} G_4^{3/2}}, \quad (5.23)\]

with a few zeroes at regular points of the pulled-back Fuchsian equation. In this example, the \(u\)-coordinates of those regular points satisfy \(8u(64u^2 + 2u - 1) = 1\). But there are \(3F_2\)-functions of type (7B) that do not vanish at regular points.

**Theorem 5.3** With reference to Table 1, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\phantom{3F_2\left( -\frac{3}{14}, \frac{1}{14}, \frac{9}{14} \mid \Phi_7 \right) =} & \phantom{=} \frac{(1 - 4u)^{1/7} (1 - 8u)^{4/7} F_4^{2/7}}{F_3^{1/14} \sqrt{G_4}}, \\
\phantom{3F_2\left( \frac{1}{14}, \frac{3}{14}, \frac{11}{14} \mid \Phi_7 \right) =} & \phantom{=} \frac{(1 - 8u)^{1/14} \bar{F}_4^{6/7} \sqrt{G_4}}{(1 - 4u)^{1/7} F_3^{3/14} G_3}, \\
\phantom{3F_2\left( \frac{9}{14}, \frac{11}{14}, \frac{19}{14} \mid \Phi_7 \right) =} & \phantom{=} \frac{(1 - 8u) \bar{F}_3^{1/14} G_4^{9/2}}{u^{13/7} (v - u)^{3/14} (v + u)^{4/7} G_3^2}. \\
\end{align*}
\]

**Proof** Preliminary expressions on \(E_7\) for a set of contiguous \(3F_2\)-functions (such as (5.23)) are obtained by applying cubic transformation (2.9) to the formulas of Theorem 3.3. By using contiguous relations we then compute preliminary expressions for the target functions. Their fractional divisors are

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{5}{7} \left( \frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4} \right) + \frac{1}{7} \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4} \right) + \frac{9}{14} \left( \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8} \right) + \frac{1}{14} \left( \frac{1}{8}, -\frac{1}{8} \right) - \frac{1}{14} O - \frac{1}{2} V_1 - \frac{1}{2} V_2 - \frac{1}{2} V_3, \\
\frac{4}{7} \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4} \right) - \frac{1}{7} \left( \frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4} \right) - U_1 - U_2 - U_3 \\
& \phantom{=} + \frac{11}{14} \left( \frac{1}{8}, -\frac{1}{8} \right) + \frac{3}{14} O + \frac{1}{14} \left( \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8} \right) + \frac{1}{2} V_1 + \frac{1}{2} V_2 + \frac{1}{2} V_3,
\end{align*}
\]

\(\odot\) Springer
\[
- \frac{4}{7} \left( \frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4} \right) - \frac{5}{7} \left( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4} \right) - 5U_1 - 5U_2 - 5U_3 \\
+ \frac{19}{14} \mathcal{O} + \frac{11}{14} \left( \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8} \right) + \frac{9}{14} \left( \frac{1}{8}, -\frac{1}{8} \right) + \frac{9}{2} V_1 + \frac{9}{2} V_2 + \frac{9}{2} V_3. 
\]

(5.29)

The radical expressions on the right-hand sides of (5.24)–(5.26) have the same divisors, and evaluate to 1 at \((u, v) = (0, 0)\). □

The companion hypergeometric solutions at \(\Phi_7 = \infty\) are

\[
\Phi_7^{1/14} \, _3F_2 \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{14}, \frac{1}{14}, \frac{9}{14} \\ \frac{2}{7}, \frac{6}{7} \end{array} \mid \frac{1}{\Phi_7} \right), \quad \Phi_7^{-1/14} \, _3F_2 \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{14}, \frac{3}{7}, \frac{11}{14} \\ \frac{6}{7}, \frac{7}{7} \end{array} \mid \frac{1}{\Phi_7} \right),
\]

\[
\Phi_7^{-9/14} \, _3F_2 \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{9}{14}, \frac{11}{14}, \frac{19}{14} \\ \frac{11}{7}, \frac{12}{7} \end{array} \mid \frac{1}{\Phi_7} \right). 
\]

(5.30)

The parameters of the \(3F_2\)-solutions are the same as in Theorem 5.3, and the argument can be transformed to \(\Phi_7\) by (5.16). There is thus no need for separate formulas. The symmetry of parameters is reflected by the symmetric matrix in (2.15). The symmetry is a characteristic of \(3F_2\)-functions on the right hand-side of the quadratic transformation (2.34).

6 The cases (4A) and (4B)

As explained in Sect. 2.5, the Darboux covering \(\Phi_4 : \mathcal{E}_4 \to \mathbb{CP}^1\) with the branching pattern \([7^3 3^1 6^6 2^1 2^1]\) can be computed from \(\Phi_7\) using quadratic transformation (2.34). The covering \(\Phi_4\) is given by formula (2.34), but the curve \(\mathcal{E}_4\) is defined by the involution of (5.16) of \(\mathcal{E}_7\). The involution–invariant functions

\[
\tilde{p} = u + \frac{1}{32u}, \quad \tilde{w} = v - \frac{v}{32u^2} 
\]

(6.1)
satisfy \(8\tilde{w}^2 = (32\tilde{p} - 11)(8\tilde{p}^2 - 1)\). Taking

\[
p = \frac{1}{32\tilde{p} - 11} = \frac{u}{1 - 11u + 32u^2}, \\
w = \frac{32\tilde{w}}{(32\tilde{p} - 11)^2} = \frac{v(1 - 32u^2)}{(1 - 11u + 32u^2)^2}
\]

(6.2) (6.3)

we get the elliptic curve (2.32). The functions \((p, w)\) define a 2-isogeny [27, §III.4] between \(\mathcal{E}_4\) and \(\mathcal{E}_7\) as elliptic curves.

The divisor of \(\Phi_4\) on \(\mathcal{E}_4\) is computed to be

\[
(0, 0) + (1, 4) + (1, -4) + 7S_1 + 7S_2 + 7S_3 \\
- 4T_1 - 4T_2 - 4T_3 - 4T_4 - 4T_5 - 4T_6.
\]

(6.4)
Here the \( p \)-coordinates of \( S_1, S_2, S_3 \) satisfy \( 7p(7p^2 - 21p + 5) = 1 \), while the \( p \)-coordinates of \( T_1, \ldots, T_6 \) satisfy
\[
(49p^2 - 29)(49p^3 + 98p^2 - 188p - 10) + 435p = \frac{1}{7p}.
\]

(6.5)

Table 2 presents several rational functions on \( \mathcal{E}_4 \) and their divisors. We use them to present rational and power functions on \( \mathcal{E}_4 \). In particular,
\[
\Phi_4 = \frac{512 (w - 4p) F_5^7}{(1 + w + 3p) G_5^4}.
\]

(6.6)

It is simpler to compute representative Darboux evaluations of type (4B) rather than (4A), because of a direct relation to type (7B) evaluations by quadratic transformation (2.34).

**Theorem 6.1** With reference to Table 2, we have
\[
\begin{align*}
3F_2\left(\begin{array}{c}
-\frac{1}{28}, \frac{3}{14}, \frac{13}{28} \\
\frac{2}{7}, \frac{6}{7}
\end{array} \middle| \Phi_4 \right) &= \frac{(1 - p)^{2/7} F_6^{1/14}}{G_5^{1/7}}, \\
3F_2\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{3}{28}, \frac{5}{14}, \frac{17}{28} \\
\frac{3}{7}, \frac{8}{7}
\end{array} \middle| \Phi_4 \right) &= \frac{\tilde{F}_6^{3/14} G_5^{3/7} F_5^{3/14}}{(1 - p)^{5/7} F_5^{3/14}}, \\
3F_2\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{19}{28}, \frac{13}{14}, \frac{33}{28} \\
\frac{11}{7}, \frac{12}{7}
\end{array} \middle| \Phi_4 \right) &= \frac{\tilde{F}_6^{5/14} G_5^{19/7} F_5^{5/14}}{(1 - p)^{4/7} F_5^{5/14}}.
\end{align*}
\]

(6.7) (6.8) (6.9)

**Proof** Preliminary expressions of the \( 3F_2 \)-functions in terms of radical functions on \( \mathcal{E}_4 \) are obtained by applying quadratic transformation (2.34) to the functions in Theorem 5.3. Their fractional divisors, respectively:
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{5}{7}(1, 4) + \frac{1}{7}(1, -4) - \frac{1}{7}(T_1 + \ldots + T_6), \\
\frac{4}{7}(1, -4) - \frac{1}{7}(1, 4) - S_1 - S_2 - S_3 + \frac{3}{7}(T_1 + \ldots + T_6), \\
- \frac{4}{7}(1, 4) - \frac{5}{7}(1, -4) - 5S_1 - 5S_2 - 5S_3 + \frac{19}{7}(T_1 + \ldots + T_6).
\end{align*}
\]

(6.10) (6.11) (6.12)

The radical expressions on the right-hand sides of (6.7)–(6.9) have the same divisors, and evaluate to 1 at \((p, w) = (0, 0)\). \(\square\)

The companion hypergeometric solutions at \( \Phi_4 = \infty \) are
\[
\begin{align*}
\Phi_4^{1/28} 3F_2\left(\begin{array}{c}
-\frac{1}{28}, \frac{3}{28}, \frac{19}{28} \\
\frac{1}{7}, \frac{3}{4}
\end{array} \middle| \frac{1}{\Phi_4} \right), & \quad \Phi_4^{-3/14} 3F_2\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{3}{14}, \frac{5}{14}, \frac{13}{14} \\
\frac{3}{4}, \frac{5}{4}
\end{array} \middle| \frac{1}{\Phi_4} \right), \\
\Phi_4^{-13/28} 3F_2\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{13}{28}, \frac{17}{28}, \frac{33}{28} \\
\frac{5}{4}, \frac{3}{2}
\end{array} \middle| \frac{1}{\Phi_4} \right).
\end{align*}
\]

(6.13)
Their Darboux evaluations would hold around one of the points $T_1, \ldots, T_6$, defined by (6.5) and $G_5 = 0$. All those points are defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\sin \frac{\pi}{7})$. Handy Darboux evaluations of degree 21 for type (4B) are given in [35, §4.4].

Lastly, here are representative Darboux evaluations of type (4A).

**Theorem 6.2** With reference to Table 2, we have

$$3F_2\left(\begin{array}{c}
-\frac{3}{28}, \frac{11}{28}, \frac{9}{14} \\
\frac{4}{7}, \frac{6}{7}, \frac{9}{7}
\end{array}\right) \Phi_4 = \frac{(1 - 7w - 21p) (1 - p)^{6/7} F_6^{3/14}}{(1 - w + 3p) G_5^{3/7}}, \quad (6.14)$$

$$3F_2\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{28}, \frac{15}{28}, \frac{11}{14} \\
\frac{5}{7}, \frac{8}{7}, \frac{10}{7}
\end{array}\right) \Phi_4 = \frac{(1 - 7w - 21p) (1 - p) G_5^{3/7}}{F_6^{1/14}}, \quad (6.15)$$

$$3F_2\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{9}{28}, \frac{23}{28}, \frac{15}{14} \\
\frac{9}{7}, \frac{10}{7}, \frac{9}{7}
\end{array}\right) \Phi_4 = \frac{(1 + 7w - 21p) \sqrt{p} (1 - p)^{4/7} G_5^{3/7}}{(g - 4p) F_5^3}, \quad (6.16)$$

**Proof** We apply quadratic transformation (2.34) to these hypergeometric functions and obtain $3F_2$-functions that are contiguous to the formulas of Theorem 5.1. This allows us to derive preliminary expressions of (6.14)–(6.16) as radical functions on $\mathcal{E}_4$, and their fractional divisors (with known points $R_1, R_2$, etc):

$$\frac{3}{7} (1 - 4) + \frac{1}{7} (1, 4) + R_1 + R_2 - \frac{3}{7} (T_1 + \ldots + T_6), \quad (6.17)$$

$$\frac{2}{7} (1, 4) - \frac{1}{7} (1, -4) + \tilde{R}_1 + \tilde{R}_2 - S_1 - S_2 - S_3 + \frac{1}{7} (T_1 + \ldots + T_6), \quad (6.18)$$

$$- \frac{2}{7} (1, -4) - \frac{3}{7} (1, 4) + \tilde{R}_1 + \tilde{R}_2 - 3S_1 - 3S_2 - 3S_3 + \frac{9}{7} (T_1 + \ldots + T_6). \quad (6.19)$$

The radical expressions on the right-hand sides of (6.14)–(6.16) have the same divisors, and evaluate to 1 at $(p, w) = (0, 0)$. □
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