The Circular RNName of Developmental Retina in Mice
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Circular RNAs (circRNAs) represent a class of noncoding RNAs with a wide expression pattern, and they constitute an important layer of the genome regulatory network. To date, the expression pattern and regulatory potency of circRNAs in the retina, a key part of the central nervous system, are not yet well understood. In this study, RNAs from five stages (E18.5, P1, P7, P14, and P30) of mouse retinal development were sequenced. A total of 9,029 circRNAs were identified. Most circRNAs were expressed in different stages with a specific signature, and their expression patterns were different from those of their host linear transcripts. Some circRNAs could act as sponges for several retinal microRNAs (miRNAs). Furthermore, circTulp4 could function as a competitive endogenous RNA (ceRNA) to regulate target genes. Remarkably, silencing circTulp4 in vivo led to mice having a thin outer nuclear layer (ONL) and defective retinal function. In addition, we found that circRNAs were dysregulated at a much earlier time point than that of disease onset in a retinal degeneration model (rd8 mice). In summary, we provide the first circRNA expression atlas during retinal development and highlight a key biological role for circRNAs in retinal development and degeneration.

INTRODUCTION

circRNAs (circular RNAs) are a novel class of noncoding RNAs with tissue- and stage-specific expression patterns, and they are much more stable than linear transcripts.1,2 To date, a large number of circRNAs have been identified and characterized in various cell lines and across different species.3-5 In addition, the expression patterns and biological functions of circRNAs in the vertebrate brain have been widely studied.6-10 circRNAs are highly abundant in the brain, with specific and dynamic expression patterns during neuronal differentiation.1 Notably, circRNAs may regulate synaptic activity and brain function during development; for example, Cdras, which is one component of a regulatory RNA network in the brain, is essential for normal brain function.11,12 These findings show that circRNAs are highly expressed in the central nervous system (CNS) in a spatiotemporal-dependent manner.

Recently, circRNAs were reported to participate in a wide range of biological processes and to be differentially expressed in various diseases, including cancer,13-15 neurological disorders,6,17 and diabetic retinopathy.16-18 The abundant expression, conservation, specificity, and stability of circRNAs allow them to play potential roles as biomarkers for diagnosis, prognosis, and as predictors of the therapeutic response to treatments.19,20 However, the molecular mechanisms involved in the biological processes of circRNAs and the functions of those circRNAs in vivo remain largely unknown.

As a key but separate part of the CNS, the retina is an essential component of the eye and is responsible for vision production by transducing light signals into neuronal signals. Currently, if and how circRNAs are involved in retinal development and degeneration remains largely unknown. Profiling retinal circRNAs from different stages of development and degeneration would not only help us understand their physiological function, but also provide a circRNA-based therapeutic strategy for retinal diseases. In this study, we attempted to investigate the circRNA expression profile during retinal development and found that circRNAs were abundantly expressed during this process. Several circRNAs were found to serve as microRNA (miRNA) sponges. Strikingly, circTulp4 was validated to be essential for retinal function in vivo. Furthermore, the expression of circRNAs seemed more variable than that of linear transcripts in a retinal degeneration model, implying that circRNAs could act as biomarkers for retinal diseases. Our findings indicate that circRNAs...
possess distinct signatures and play essential roles in retinal developmental stages and function.

RESULTS
Identification and Characterization of circRNAs in the Mouse Retina
To comprehensively reveal the stage-specific expression patterns of circRNAs in the mouse retina on a genomic scale, we performed transcriptome profiling of circRNAs by deep RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) of ribosomal RNA-depleted total RNA samples. The samples came from five developmental stages, including the embryonic stage (E18.5), early postnatal stage (P1), outer segment of photoreceptor development stage (P7),22 eye opening stage (P14), and maturation (P30). A total of 9,029 unique circRNAs present during retinal development were detected using the find_circ and CIRI computational pipelines (Figure 1A). We found that most circRNAs (5,616, 62.20%) were novel and that only 3,413 circRNAs (37.80%) had been annotated in circBase to date.3 Furthermore, the number of

Figure 1. Identification and Characterization of circRNAs in the Mouse Retina
(A) Summary of circRNAs detected in the mouse retina during five developmental stages. (B) The number of circRNAs across the five developmental stages. (C) Genomic origins of mouse circRNAs. (D) Numbers of circRNAs produced from one gene. (E) Exon number distributions for the mouse circRNAs. (F) Conservation analysis for circRNAs in the retina compared between humans and mice (x axis represents circRNAs in the five stages mouse retinas, all circRNAs [left] and circRNAs ≥ 5 reads [right]).
circRNAs increased rapidly during retinal development (Figures 1A and 1B). A total of 4,195 circRNAs were detected at P30, accounting for 46.46% of the total circRNAs in the retina.

We also examined the distribution of the genomic origins of total circRNAs and found that most circRNAs (8,188, 90.69%) originated from coding sequence (CDS) exons, whereas only a small fraction was derived from UTR, intergenic, non-coding RNA (ncRNA), and antisense sequences (Figure 1C). Typically, circRNAs from CDS exons were encoded by three to six exons (Figure 1D). Most of the 3,030 host genes (1,590, 52.48%) produced only one circRNA, while a few genes (108, 3.56%) produced more than 10 circRNAs (Figure 1E). Next, we analyzed circRNA conservation between humans23 and mice using the method previously reported by Rajewsky et al.1,24 More than 13.48% of the circRNAs identified at each developmental stage were expressed in the human retina (Figure 1F). Furthermore, highly expressed mouse circRNAs (>5 reads) were more likely to be conserved in humans at each time point. These results collectively revealed the abundant expression and the spatiotemporal pattern of circRNAs in the retina.

**Expression Patterns of circRNAs during Retinal Development**

To obtain an overview of the circRNA expression pattern during retinal development, we further profiled the circRNAs at five time points (Data S3). We found that circRNAs were enriched and upregulated during retinal development (Figure 2A). Most circRNAs were specifically expressed at different stages and had a specific signature (Table S1); however, 438 circRNAs were expressed at all tested stages (Figure 2B). Many circRNAs were upregulated during retinal development, especially at P14 and P30 (Figure 2C; Figure S1; Data S4).

Next, circRNAs with dynamic or high expression patterns during retinal development or those derived from host genes with important roles in the retina were selected for further experimental
validation. Seven of the eight selected circRNAs were derived from a protein coding region (CDS), including circBbs9, circHipk2, circArhgap10, circArhgap5, circAnkib1, circTulp4, and circMettl9. The predicted head-to-tail junction sequences of these circRNAs were confirmed by Sanger sequencing (Figure S2). Additionally, the expression patterns of the circRNAs were validated by quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) (Table S2). Among the eight selected circRNAs, five (Cdr1as, circBbs9, circHipk2, circArhgap10, and circArhgap5) were upregulated during retinal development, one (circMettl9) was downregulated, and two (circAnkib1 and circTulp4) remained unchanged (Figure 2D). Note that the expression patterns of these circRNAs as determined by the qRT-PCR results were not fully consistent with the RNA-seq results (Figure S3), indicating a flaw in the circRNA detection algorithm, which has been described in a previous study.25 In brief, we demonstrated for the first time the expression patterns of retinal circRNAs during development.

**circRNA and Linear Transcript Changes Differ during Retinal Development**

Previous studies have reported that most circRNAs and linear transcripts from the same host gene have distinct expression patterns during development and between cell types.5,26 We combined the circular-to-linear ratio (CLR) with host gene mRNA expression (fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads [FPKM]), and a negative correlation was found between host gene expression and the CLR at P1 and P30 (Figures 3A and 3B), which is inconsistent with the view that circRNAs are a byproduct of occasional aberrant splicing.27,28 In addition, the expression of most circRNAs was lower than that of the corresponding host gene (log2 CLR < 0; most genes were below the black solid line), and highly expressed host genes produced fewer circRNAs (higher FPKM and lower CLR). The changes in circRNAs and their host genes during retinal development were correlated (Figures 3C and 3D; Figures S4A–S4D), and more circRNAs tended to be upregulated (ordinate...
values greater than 0), indicating the important role of circRNAs in retinal development. Notably, circRNAs levels were more variable than host genes levels. For instance, the expression level of 2,985 circRNAs was changed, while the corresponding host genes were unaltered (P30 versus P1); the expression of 51 host genes was changed, while the corresponding circRNA remained unchanged (Figure 3D). A similar pattern was found at P7 versus P1 and P14 versus P1 (Figure S4).

Next, we validated the expression patterns of selected circRNAs and their host linear transcripts by using qRT-PCR. circArhgap5, circBbs9, and circAnkib1 increased, whereas their linear transcripts decreased during retinal development (Figures 3E–3G). In contrast, circTulp4 had a high expression pattern that remained unchanged during development (Figure 3D). A similar pattern was found at P7 versus P1 and P14 versus P1 (Figure S4).

The nuclear versus cytoplasmic enrichment assay showed that the tested circRNAs were predominantly expressed in the cytoplasm (Figure 4A). Because miRNAs exist in the cytoplasm and Ago2 is the core component of the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) that binds miRNAs to target mRNAs,29 we attempted to determine whether circRNAs could interact with miRNAs by performing RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) using an antibody against Ago2. The results showed that all of the studied circRNAs were enriched in the Ago2 protein fraction (Figure 4B), implying that these circRNAs could interact with miRNAs.

**Figure 4. circRNAs Function as ceRNAs to Regulate Target Genes**
(A) qRT-PCR detection of circRNAs in the nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions isolated from mouse retinas. U6 is a nuclear transcript used as a control, and ActB and 18S are cytoplasmic transcripts used as controls (n = 3). (B) qRT-PCR detection of immunoprecipitated circRNAs in the mouse retina using Ago2 and IgG antibodies (n = 3). (C–E) Luciferase assay detection of binding between miRNAs and Cdr1as (C), circHipk2 (D), and circTulp4 (E) in 293D cells (n = 3 per group). (F and G) qRT-PCR detection of the miR-204-5p (F) and miR-26a-5p (G) target genes in N2a cells after transfection with si-circTulp4 (n = 3). (H) A model summarizing the circTulp4-miR204/miR-26a-mRNA network in N2a cells. The normalized values represent the mean ± SEM. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.005, ***p < 0.001, Student’s t test.
To further confirm the binding of circRNAs and miRNAs, bioinformatics analysis based on miRanda, RNAhybrid, and TargetScan was performed. We revealed that retina-associated miRNAs could bind the circRNAs studied here (Data S2). Subsequently, Luci-circRNAs were constructed to verify binding between circRNAs and miRNAs. Cdr1as was reported to act as a miR-7 and miR-677-5p sponge, so we performed a luciferase assay for other miRNAs. We found that Cdr1as acting as sponge of miR-204-3p, miR-677-5p, and miR-378-3p (Figure 4C), circHipk2 acting as sponge of miR-124-3p (Figure 4D), and circTulp4 acting as sponge of miR-26a-5p, miR-671-3p, and miR-204-5p (Figure 4E). Other results from the luciferase assay that were performed to detect the sponge activity of circRNAs and miRNAs were summarized in Figure S5. The target sites of miRNAs on corresponding circRNAs were shown in Figure S6. These results demonstrated the potential roles of these circRNAs as competitive endogenous RNAs (ceRNAs) to regulate retinal development.

Next, we studied whether circTulp4 acted as a ceRNA by sponging miR-204-5p and miR-26a-5p. Small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) targeting the backsplice sequence of circTulp4 were designed (Table S3). Transfection of si-circTulp4 into Neuro2a (N2a) cells demonstrated that si-circTulp4 significantly downregulated circTulp4 expression but did not affect expression of the linear Tulp4 transcripts (Figure S7).

Considering that circTulp4 could act as a miR-204-5p and miR-26a-5p sponge, miR-204-5p and miR-26a-5p activity could increase after si-circTulp4 transfection, leading to downregulation of the target genes of miR-204-5p (Mes2, Bcl2, Cdh2, Ezr, Perp, and Casp9) and miR-26a-5p (Pde6b, Ulk2, and Rprg). As expected, these genes were decreased significantly after si-circTulp4 transfection in vitro (Figure 4F). Collectively, these results suggested that retinal circRNAs could act as miRNA sponges, and circTulp4 functioned as a ceRNA by acting as sponge for miR-204-5p and miR-26a-5p to regulate their corresponding target genes (Figures 4G and 4H).

circTulp4 Is Essential for Retinal Function in Mice

To further determine the function of circTulp4 in the mouse retina, we introduced a GFP-carrying adeno-associated virus (AAV)-circTulp4 short hairpin RNA (shRNA) vector or a control into the subretinal space of mice at P30 (Figures 5A and 5B). Fundus images showed GFP-labeled AAV expressed at P60 (Figure 5C), indicating a successful injection of AAV. As a result, circTulp4 expression decreased to 62.99% in the AAV-shRNA-circTulp4-treated retinas, a successful injection of AAV. As a result, circTulp4 expression decreased to 62.99% in the AAV-shRNA-circTulp4-treated retinas, indicating that serious photoreceptor degeneration occurred due to the circTulp4 deficiency (Figure 5F). Then, electroretinography (ERG) was performed to evaluate the photoreceptor function. Mouse eyes treated with AAV-circTulp4-shRNA displayed attenuated scotopic and photopic ERG responses. For the scotopic response, the a-wave amplitudes decreased to 9.17%, and the b-wave amplitudes decreased to 9.87% in the shRNA-treated mice (Figure 5G; Figure S8). In addition, several genes promoting apoptosis, such as Perp, were upregulated in the circTulp4 interrupted retina (Figure 5H), which was further confirmed by a terminal deoxynucleotidyltransferase-mediated dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) assay. The apoptotic cells that appeared in ONL were consistent with the thinned ONL phenotype (Figure S1I). However, silencing of circTulp4 did not lead to an obvious change in miR-204-5p and miR-26a-5p expression, nor did it result in the downregulation of their target genes, such as Smad2, Mitf, and Cdh2, except for Mitf2 (Figures 5A and 5B). As a transcription factor, the target genes of Mitf2 were not changed, including Pax6, Thic5, and Bmp4 (Figure S9C). These results suggested that circTulp4 has functions addition to acting as a miR-204 and miR-26a sponge. The complex microenvironment and compensatory in vivo mechanisms warranted further exploration. Overall, these observations confirmed that circTulp4 is indispensable for proper retinal function.

circRNAs Dysregulated in Retinal Degeneration Mice Model

To determine whether circRNAs are dysregulated in a retinal degeneration model, rd8 mice with a crb1 mutation were analyzed. Retinal degeneration in rd8 mice started at P90. We investigated whether circRNA changes could be observed before the onset of disease. qRT-PCR was conducted to detect circRNA expression in the retinas of rd8 mice at P30. Remarkably, most of the selected circRNAs were significantly upregulated (Figure 6A); however, their linear transcripts had only minor changes (Figure 6B). These results implied that circRNAs were more responsive than their corresponding linear transcripts to intrinsic retinal damage. Combined with the results shown in Figure 5, we concluded that proper circRNA expression is essential for retinal development and function and that circRNA dysregulation was detrimental to retinal development.

Our results described in preceding sections showed that circHipk2 and circTulp4 could act as sponges of miR-124-3p (Figure 4C) and miR-204-5p/miR-26a-5p, respectively (Figure 4E). Next, we examined the target genes of these miRNAs in the retinas of rd8 mice and found a significant increase in the expression levels of many target genes (Figure 6C), including Meis2, Smad2, Ulk2, Scl6a6, Stat3, and Mitf. These results further support the hypothesis that circRNAs can function as ceRNAs, and that upregulation of circRNAs can lead to an increase in some miRNA target genes due to the ability of circRNAs to act as sponges for miRNAs.

DISCUSSION

Previous studies have reported that circRNAs possess important regulatory functions, especially in the CNS, which is substantially enriched with circRNAs. However, circRNA expression and function in the retina, which is a key part of the CNS, have not been well profiled, especially at different developmental stages and disease conditions. To address this gap in knowledge, we sequenced...
and analyzed circRNAs in the mouse retina (Data S4) and found that most circRNAs originated from exons; furthermore, circRNAs showed high expression and distinct signatures at different developmental stages (Figures 2A and 2B), which was consistent with data on circRNAs from the developmental rat retina, indicating the important roles of circRNAs at certain retina stages. Additionally, circRNAs showed dynamic expression independent of their linear transcripts (Figure 3). circRNAs changed more dramatically than did their linear transcripts at each critical developmental time point (Figure 3D; Figure S4). Our findings indicated that circRNAs were involved in complex physiologic processes due to their specificity and variability.

By implementing bioinformatics tools and experimental verification, circRNAs acting as ceRNAs during retinal development were identified. Eight circRNAs (Cdr1as, circBbs9, circHipk2, circArhgap10, circArhgap5, circAnkib1, circTulp4, and circMettl9) with a dynamic and high expression pattern during retinal development were
selected for further experimental validation. However, only four circRNAs (Cdr1as, circHipk2, circAnkib1, and circTulp4) were confirmed to target miRNAs in the retina. For example, Cdr1as was miR-204/677/378 sponge, circHipk2 was miR-124 sponge, circTulp4 was miR-26a/671/204 sponge, and circAnkib1 was miR-195a sponge. However, while circHipk2 acting as miR-124 sponge has been reported,32 Cdr1as is not expressed in N2a cells. For these reasons, circTulp4 was selected for further functional analysis. A reduction in circTulp4 levels leads to downregulation of miR-204-5p and miR-26a-5p targets, including Meis2, Cdh2, Mitf, and Pde4b in vitro (Figure 4F). Cdh2 may be important for transcriptional control and regeneration of injured retinal ganglion cell axons.33,34 Mitf controls development and function of the retinal pigment epithelium.35 Pde4b is expressed in the inner segment, outer segment, and outer plexiform layer of rod photoreceptors, and it is an important regulator of signal transduction processes.36 These genes were reported to be involved in retinal development and function. The significant phenotype of AAV-circTulp4-shRNA-treated retinas indicated an essential role for circTulp4 in retinal development and function.

A key finding of our study was that circRNAs were found to be dysregulated at a much earlier time point than that of disease onset in the rd8 mouse model.30 circRNA levels changed significantly compared to those of linear transcripts, whereas the structure and function of the retina in rd8 mice changed only slightly at P30 (Figures 6A and 6B). circRNAs seemed to be more sensitive and dynamic compared to those of linear transcripts, whereas the structure and function of the retina in rd8 mice changed only slightly at P30 (Figures 6A and 6B). circRNAs seemed to be more sensitive and dynamic compared to those of linear transcripts, whereas the structure and function of the retina in rd8 mice changed only slightly at P30 (Figures 6A and 6B). circRNAs seemed to be more sensitive and dynamic compared to those of linear transcripts, whereas the structure and function of the retina in rd8 mice changed only slightly at P30 (Figures 6A and 6B). circRNAs seemed to be more sensitive and dynamic compared to those of linear transcripts, whereas the structure and function of the retina in rd8 mice changed only slightly at P30 (Figures 6A and 6B). circRNAs seemed to be more sensitive and dynamic compared to those of linear transcripts, whereas the structure and function of the retina in rd8 mice changed only slightly at P30 (Figures 6A and 6B). circRNAs seemed to be more sensitive and dynamic compared to those of linear transcripts, whereas the structure and function of the retina in rd8 mice changed only slightly at P30 (Figures 6A and 6B). circRNAs seemed to be more sensitive and dynamic compared to those of linear transcripts, whereas the structure and function of the retina in rd8 mice changed only slightly at P30 (Figures 6A and 6B). circRNAs seemed to be more sensitive and dynamic compared to those of linear transcripts, whereas the structure and function of the retina in rd8 mice changed only slightly at P30 (Figures 6A and 6B). circRNAs seemed to be more sensitive and dynamic compared to those of linear transcripts, whereas the structure and function of the retina in rd8 mice changed only slightly at P30 (Figures 6A and 6B). circRNAs seemed to be more sensitive and dynamic compared to those of linear transcripts, whereas the structure and function of the retina in rd8 mice changed only slightly at P30 (Figures 6A and 6B). circRNAs seemed to be more sensitive and dynamic compared to those of linear transcripts, whereas the structure and function of the retina in rd8 mice changed only slightly at P30 (Figures 6A and 6B). circRNAs seemed to be more sensitive and dynamic compared to those of linear transcripts, whereas the structure and function of the retina in rd8 mice changed only slightly at P30 (Figures 6A and 6B). circRNAs seemed to be more sensitive and dynamic compared to those of linear transcripts, whereas the structure and function of the retina in rd8 mice changed only slightly at P30 (Figures 6A and 6B). circRNAs seemed to be more sensitive and dynamic compared to those of linear transcripts, whereas the structure and function of the retina in rd8 mice changed only slightly at P30 (Figures 6A and 6B). circRNAs seemed to be more sensitive and dynamic compared to those of linear transcripts, whereas the structure and function of the retina in rd8 mice changed only slightly at P30 (Figures 6A and 6B). circRNAs seemed to be more sensitive and dynamic compared to those of linear transcripts, whereas the structure and function of the retina in rd8 mice changed only slightly at P30 (Figures 6A and 6B). circRNAs seemed to be more sensitive and dynamic compared to those of linear transcripts, whereas the structure and function of the retina in rd8 mice changed only slightly at P30 (Figures 6A and 6B). circRNAs seemed to be more sensitive and dynamic compared to those of linear transcripts, whereas the structure and function of the retina in rd8 mice changed only slightly at P30 (Figures 6A and 6B). circRNAs seemed to be more sensitive and dynamic compared to those of linear transcripts, whereas the structure and function of the retina in rd8 mice changed only slightly at P30 (Figures 6A and 6B). circRNAs seemed to be more sensitive and dynamic compared to those of linear transcripts, whereas the structure and function of the retina in rd8 mice changed only slightly at P30 (Figures 6A and 6B). circRNAs seemed to be more sensitive and dynamic compared to those of linear transcripts, whereas the structure and function of the retina in rd8 mice changed only slightly at P30 (Figures 6A and 6B). circRNAs seemed to be more sensitive and dynamic compared to those of linear transcripts, whereas the structure and function of the retina in rd8 mice changed only slightly at P30 (Figures 6A and 6B).
stage, and each sample contained pooled retinas from multiple mice. RNA purity was detected using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and the RNA concentration was measured with a Qubit RNA HS assay kit in a Qubit 3.0 fluorometer (Life Technologies). RNA integrity was assessed using an Agilent RNA 6000 Pico kit for the Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 system (Agilent Technologies) (Table S4). After finishing the RNA quality test, 2 μg of total RNA was ribo-depleted using a KAPA RiboErase kit (Kapa Biosystems, USA). Sequencing libraries were generated by using the KAPA stranded RNA-seq kit (Kapa Biosystems, USA) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations, and index codes were added to identify sequences. Libraries were pooled and sequenced on the Illumina X-Ten PE150 platform. The number of reads per sample is listed in Table S5. Raw data were submitted to the NCBI GEO database (GEO: GSE137128). Computational analysis was performed as described in a previous report.1 FASTQ data were mapped to the mouse reference genome mm9. circRNA detection and quantification were performed using the find_circ and CIRI software,26,39 and the circRNA abundance was measured through the total number of reads (head-to-tail junction). To examine circRNA relative expression (CLR), the following equation was used: CLR = no. of reads_circular/maximum (no. of reads_linear_5-prime, no. of reads_linear_3-prime).

**circRNA Expression During Retinal Development**

To summarize and compare circRNA expression, circRNAs were assigned to four classes: (1) “high,” top 5% most highly expressed circRNAs; (2) “medium,” between the 80th and 95th percentiles of circRNA expression; (3) “low,” all other circRNAs; and (4) “not detected,” circRNAs that were not detected in a particular sample.

**Conservation Analysis between Humans and Mice**

The conservation of circRNAs between humans and mice was analyzed. circRNA data in the human retina were derived from our published data.23 We used a homologous splice site as a rationale, and the UCSC liftOver tool was used to convert the 5’ and 3’ flank coordinates of mouse circRNA to human genome coordinates.24 Subsequently, circRNAs were classified into the following categories: (1) “not aligned” indicated that sites could not be mapped to the human genome; (2) “no homologous circRNA” indicated that no splice sites were detected within 2 nt of the converted genome coordinates; (3) “with homologous 5’ splice site” indicated that only the 5’ splice site was shared by the circRNAs in humans; (4) “with homologous 3’ splice site” indicated that only the 3’ splice site was shared by the circRNAs in humans; (5) “with both splice sites” indicated that both the 3’ and 5’ splice sites were shared by the circRNAs in humans; and (6) “homologous circRNA” indicated that a circRNA spliced from sites within 2 nt of the splice sites predicted by liftOver was found in humans.

**Bioinformatics Analysis**

The binding patterns between miRNAs and circRNAs were predicted using Miranda (http://miranda.org.uk/),30 RNAhybrid (https://www.meticools.com/rnahybrid-tool),41 and TargetScan (http://www.targetscan.org/vert_72/).42

**Luciferase Assay**

The dual-luciferase reporter psi-CHECK2 plasmid was used in our study. The entire mouse circAnkib1, circHippk2, and circTulp4 segments were amplified by PCR using mouse retinal cDNA as a template; the primers are listed in Table S6. The PCR products were inserted into the psi-CHECK2 plasmid to create Luci-circAnkib1, Luci-circHippk2, and Luci-circTulp4, which were cotransfected into 293D cells with a mimic-miRNA. A mimic-negative control (NC) and the psi-CHECK2 empty vector were used as negative controls. After transfection for 48 h, firefly and Renilla substrates were added in sequentially, and the corresponding luminescence was measured on a microplate spectrophotometer.

**RNA Immunoprecipitation Assay**

The retinas were isolated and collected in lysis buffer (Thermo Scientific) containing PMSF and propidium iodide (PI); then, proteins were extracted and quantified using a bicinchoninic acid (BCA) kit (Thermo Scientific). Three hundred micrograms of protein was incubated with 4 μg of Ago2 (Abcam) or immunoglobulin (IgG) antibodies (Thermo Scientific) and incubated at 4°C overnight with shaking. Fifty microliters of protein A/G magnetic beads (Thermo Scientific) was added and incubated at 4°C for 2–4 h with shaking. The beads were separated using a magnetic strip and then washed four times with 0.2% Nonidet P-40 in PBS. The beads were suspended in TRIzol reagent, and RNA was extracted and subjected to qRT-PCR analysis.

**In Situ Hybridization**

For ISH, a BaseScope reagent kit-RED and a circTulp4 probe targeting the junction site were designed and supplied by Advanced Cell Diagnostics (ACD). Cryosections of mouse retinas were dried completely at room temperature (RT) and treated with hydrogen peroxide and protease plus; then, probe hybridization was performed strictly according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Images were acquired using a microscope (Nikon Eclipse).

**TUNEL Assay**

Apoptosis of retinal cells was assessed using a TUNEL assay (Beyotime, China) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

**AAV8-Mediated circTulp4 Knockdown**

To generate the AAV8-mmu-circTulp4 shRNA, the target sequence 5’-ACAAACAGTGAGAGTTGTAA-3’ was cloned into a GV478 vector containing U6-MCS-CAG-EGFP. The control sequence 5’-CG CTGAGTACTTCGAAATGTC-3’ was cloned into a GV478 vector to serve as the control. The AAV8-mmu-circTulp4-shRNA and AAV-EGFP control titers were 1.27 × 10^{13} and 1.50 × 10^{13} transduction units (TU)/mL, respectively. The subretinal injection of AAV was performed as previously described.31 C57Bl/6j mice at P30 were anesthetized with a mixture of ketamine and xylazine. A small incision was created in the lens near the sclera with a sharp 30-gauge
needle. Then, 1 μL (1.27 × 10^{13} TU/mL) of AAV8-mmu-circTulp4-shRNA and an equal dose of control vector AAV-EGFP were injected slowly into the subretinal space of the right eye and left eye, respectively, using a blunt 5-μL Hamilton syringe held in a micromanipulator. All injections were performed bilaterally.

**Focal ERG**

The focal ERG was carried out as described in the instrument manuals (Phoenix Research Laboratories) and as previously described. Briefly, mice were dark-adapted overnight and anesthetized as described previously. Before light stimulation, their pupils were dilated, and their corneas were anesthetized with 0.5% phenylephrine and 0.5% tropicamide. A drop of 1% methyl cellulose was applied on the cornea to improve conjunction with a corneal gold wire electrode. Ground electrodes and a referential needle were punctured into the tail and cheek, respectively. The “Aiming light” was turned to a high value to ensure the biggest light spot around the optic nerve head; the size of the stimulus region was approximately two-thirds of the entire retina. Scotopic ERG was performed five times with an inter-stimulus interval of 20 s at a 3.0 log cd/s/m² stimulus intensity. After 10 min of light adaptation with a background illumination of 5.3 cd/m², the mice were subjected to photopic measurements obtained five times at 3.3 log cd/s/m² with a 20-s inter-stimulus. The a-wave and b-wave amplitudes of the scotopic and photopic responses from mice treated with AAV-circTulp4 shRNA and AAV-EGFP were recorded and averaged.

**Fundus Photography**

Mice injected with AAV-circTulp4 shRNA and AAV-EGFP were anesthetized as described previously. Before the examination, 2.5% hydroxypropyl methylcellulose was dropped into the eyes to improve the connection with the machine (Micron IV, Phoenix Research Labs), and then fundus photography was performed.

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

Supplemental Information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtn.2019.11.016.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

X.-J.C., X.-Y.W., H.-Q.Z., C.-J. Z., K.-C.W., L.-H.S., L.-X., and L.-F.S. performed the research; H.-Q.Z and M.Z. analyzed the data; Z.-B.J. and X.-J.C designed the research; Z.-B.J. and X.-J.C wrote the paper.

**CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

The authors declare no competing interests.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81870690 and 81970838); National Key R&D Program of China (2017YFA0105300 and 2017YFB0403700); Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (L18H120001); Zhejiang Provincial Key Research and Development Program (2015C03029); and the 111 Project (D16011).

**REFERENCES**

1. Rybak-Wolf, A., Stottmeister, C., Glázár, P., Jen, M., Pino, N., Giusti, S., Hanan, M., Behm, M., Bartok, O., Ashwal-Fluss, R., et al. (2015). Circular RNAs in the mammalian brain are highly abundant, conserved, and dynamically expressed. Mol. Cell 58, 870–885.

2. Han, J., Gao, L., Dong, J., Bai, J., Zhang, M., and Zheng, J. (2017). The expression profile of developmental stage-dependent circular RNA in the immature rat retina. Mol. Vis. 23, 457–469.

3. Glázár, P., Papavasiou, P., and Rajewsky, N. (2014). circBase: a database for circular RNA. RNA 20, 1666–1670.

4. Guo, J.U., Agarwal, V., Guo, H., and Bartel, D.P. (2014). Expanded identification and characterization of mammalian circular RNAs. Genome Biol. 15, 409.

5. Salzman, J., Chen, R.E., Olsen, M.N., Wang, P.L., and Brown, P.O. (2013). Cell-type specific features of circular RNA expression. PLoS Genet. 9, e1003777.

6. Lu, C., Sun, X., Li, N., Wang, W., Kuang, D., Tong, P., Han, Y., and Dai, J. (2018). circRNAs in the tree shrew (Tupaia belangeri) brain during postnatal development and aging. Aging (Albany N.Y.) 10, 833–852.

7. Chen, B.J., Yang, B., and Janitz, M. (2018). Region-specific expression of circular RNAs in the mouse brain. Neurosci. Lett. 666, 44–47.

8. Westholm, J.O., Miura, P., Olsen, S., Shenker, S., Joseph, B., Sanfilippo, P., Celniker, S.E., Graevel, B.R., and Lai, E.C. (2014). Genome-wide analysis of drosophila circular RNAs reveals their structural and sequence properties and age-dependent neural accumulation. Cell Rep. 9, 1966–1980.

9. Hansen, T.B., Jensen, T.I., Clausen, B.H., Bransen, J.B., Finsen, B., Dambgaard, C.K., and Kjems, J. (2013). Natural RNA circles function as efficient microRNA sponges. Nature 495, 384–388.

10. You, X., Vlatkovic, I., Babic, A., Will, T., Epstein, I., Tuohy, G., Akbalik, G., Wang, M., Gloc, C., QuedenaU, C., et al. (2015). Neural circular RNAs are derived from synaptic genes and regulated by development and plasticity. Nat. Neurosci. 18, 603–610.

11. Kleveland, B., Shi, C.Y., Stefano, J., and Bartel, D.P. (2018). A network of noncoding regulatory RNAs acts in the mammalian brain. Cell 174, 350–362.e17.

12. Piwecka, M., Glastad, K., Matthias, M., Memczak, S., Wolf, S.A., Rybak-Wolf, A., Filipchyk, A., Klimonemos, F., Cerda Jara, C.A., Fenske, P., et al. (2017). Loss of a mammalian circular RNA locus causes miRNA derepression and affects brain function. Science 357, eaam8526.

13. Zhao, Z.I., and Shen, J. (2017). Circular RNA participates in the carcinogenesis and the malignant behavior of cancer. RNA Biol. 14, 514–521.

14. Li, Y., Zheng, Q., Bao, C., Li, S., Guo, W., Zhao, J., Chen, D., Gu, J., He, X., and Huang, S. (2015). Circular RNA is enriched and stable in exosomes: a promising biomarker for cancer diagnosis. Cell Res. 25, 981–984.

15. Peng, L., Yuan, X.Q., and Li, G.C. (2015). The emerging landscape of circular RNA circs-7 in cancer (Review). Oncol. Rep. 33, 2669–2674.

16. Lukw, W.J. (2013). Circular RNA (circRNA) in Alzheimer’s disease (AD). Front. Genet. 4, 307.

17. Ghose, S., Das, S., Sen, R., Basak, P., and Chakrabarti, J. (2013). Circ2Traits: a comprehensive database for circular RNA potentially associated with disease and traits. Front. Genet. 4, 283.

18. Shan, K., Liu, C., Liu, B.H., Chen, X., Dong, R., Liu, X., Zhang, Y.Y., Liu, B., Zhang, S.J., Wang, J.J., et al. (2017). Circular RNA circHIPK3 mediates retinal vascular dysfunction in diabetes mellitus. Circulation 136, 1629–1642.

19. Zhang, S.J., Chen, X., Li, C.P., Li, M.X., Liu, C., Liu, B.H., Shan, K., Jiang, Q., Zhao, C., and Yan, B. (2017). Identification and characterization of circular RNAs as a new class of putative biomarkers in diabetes retinopathy. Invest. Ophthamol. Vis. Sci. 58, 6500–6509.

20. Chen, Y., Li, C., Tan, C., and Liu, X. (2016). Circular RNAs: a new frontier in the study of human diseases. J. Med. Genet. 53, 359–365.

21. Zhang, Z., Yang, T., and Xiao, J. (2018). Circular RNAs: promising biomarkers for human diseases. ElsioMedicine 34, 267–274.

22. Daum, J.M., Keles, O., Holverda, S.J., Kohler, H., Rijli, F.M., Stadler, M., and Roska, B. (2017). The formation of the light-sensing compartment of cone photoreceptors coincides with a transcriptional switch. eLife 6, e31437.
23. Sun, L.F., Zhang, B., Chen, X.J., Wang, X.Y., Zhang, B.W., Li, Y.Y., Wu, K.C., Wu, J., and Jin, Z.B. (2019). Circular RNAs in human and vertebrate neural retinas. RNA Biol. 16, 821–829.

24. Gao, Y., Zhang, J., and Zhao, F. (2018). Circular RNA identification based on multiple seed matching. Brief. Bioinform. 19, 803–810.

25. Hinrichs, A.S., Karolchik, D., Baertsch, R., Barber, G.P., Bejerano, G., Clawson, H., Diekhans, M., Furey, T.S., Harte, R.A., Hsu, F., et al. (2006). The UCSC Genome Browser database: update 2006. Nucleic Acids Res. 34, D590–D598.

26. Li, X., Yang, L., and Chen, L.L. (2018). The biogenesis, functions, and challenges of circular RNAs. Mol. Cell 71, 428–442.

27. Cocquerelle, C., Daubersies, P., Majerus, M.A., Kerckaert, J.P., and Bailleul, B. (1992). Splicing with inverted order of exons occurs proximal to large introns. EMBO J. 11, 1095–1098.

28. Nigro, J.M., Cho, K.R., Fearon, E.R., Kern, S.E., Ruppert, J.M., Oliner, J.D., Kinzler, K.W., and Vogelstein, B. (1991). Scrambled exons. Cell 64, 607–613.

29. Winter, J., Jung, S., Keller, S., Gregory, R.I., and Diederichs, S. (2009). Many roads to maturity: microRNA biogenesis pathways and their regulation. Nat. Cell Biol. 11, 228–234.

30. Chang, B., Hawes, N.L., Nishina, P.M., Smith, R.S., Davisson, M.T., and Heckenlively, J.R. (1999). Two new mouse models of retinal degeneration (rd8 and rd9). Invest. Ophthalmo. Vis. Sci. 40 (Suppl), S976.

31. Memczak, S., Jens, M., Elefsinioti, A., Torti, F., Krueger, J., Rybak, A., Maier, L., Mackowiak, S.D., Gregersen, L.H., Munschauer, M., et al. (2013). Circular RNAs are a large class of animal RNAs with regulatory potency. Nature 495, 333–338.

32. Huang, R., Zhang, Y., Han, B., Bai, Y., Zhou, R., Gan, G., Cao, J., Hu, G., and Yao, H. (2017). Circular RNA HIFK2 regulates astrocyte activation via cooperation of autophagy and ER stress by targeting MIR124-2HG. Autophagy 13, 1722–1741.

33. Liu, Q., Londraville, R.L., Azodi, E., Babb, S.G., Chiappini-Williamson, C., Marrs, J.A., and Raymond, P.A. (2002). Up-regulation of cadherin-2 and cadherin-4 in regenerating visual structures of adult zebrafish. Exp. Neurol. 177, 396–406.

34. Li, B., Paradies, N.E., and Brackenbury, R.W. (1997). Isolation and characterization of the promoter region of the chicken N-cadherin gene. Gene 191, 541–543.

35. Whitaker, C.M., and Cooper, N.G.F. (2009). The novel distribution of phosphodiesterase-4 subtypes within the rat retina. Neuroscience 163, 1277–1291.

36. Bahn, J.H., Zhang, Q., Li, F., Chan, T.M., Lin, X., Kim, Y., Wong, D.T., and Xiao, X. (2015). The landscape of microRNA, Piwi-interacting RNA, and circular RNA in human saliva. Clin. Chem. 61, 221–230.

37. Vo, J.N., Cieslik, M., Zhang, Y., Shukla, S., Xiao, L., Zhang, Y., Wu, Y.M., Dhanasekaran, S.M., Engelke, C.G., Cao, X., et al. (2019). The landscape of circular RNA in cancer. Cell 176, 869–881.e13.

38. Gao, Y., Wang, J., and Zhao, F. (2015). CIRI: an efficient and unbiased algorithm for de novo circular RNA identification. Genome Biol. 16, 4.

39. Turner, D. (1986). An overview of Miranda. SIGPLAN Not. 21, 158–166.

40. Lewis, B.P., Burge, C.B., and Bartel, D.P. (2005). Conserved seed pairing, often flanked by adenosines, indicates that thousands of human genes are microRNA targets. Cell 120, 15–20.

41. Xiang, L., Chen, X.J., Wu, K.C., Zhang, C.J., Zhou, G.H., Lv, J.N., Sun, L.F., Cheng, F.F., Cai, X.B., and Jin, Z.B. (2017). miR-183/96 plays a pivotal regulatory role in mouse photoreceptor maturation and maintenance. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 114, 6376–6381.