Engineering the isobutanol biosynthetic pathway in *Escherichia coli* by comparison of three aldehyde reductase/alcohol dehydrogenase genes
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**Abstract** Biofuels synthesized from renewable resources are of increasing interest because of global energy and environmental problems. We have previously demonstrated production of higher alcohols from *Escherichia coli* using a 2-keto acid-based pathway. Here, we have compared the effect of various alcohol dehydrogenases (ADH) for the last step of the isobutanol production. *E. coli* has the *yqhD* gene which encodes a broad-range ADH. Isobutanol production significantly decreased with the deletion of *yqhD*, suggesting that the *yqhD* gene on the genome contributed to isobutanol production. The *adh* genes of two bacteria and one yeast were also compared in *E. coli* harboring the isobutanol synthesis pathway. Over-expression of *yqhD* or *adhA* in *E. coli* showed better production than ADH2, a result confirmed by activity measurements with isobutyraldehyde.
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**Introduction**

To meet the increasing global demand for energy and reduce the negative environmental impact, biofuels derived from renewable sources have become increasingly important. In particular, increasing attention has been paid to higher alcohols as potential substitutes for gasoline. Those alcohols possess a host of qualities making them more suitable as a liquid fuel than ethanol, including lower vapor pressure, lower hygroscopicity, and higher energy density. However, efficient production of these alcohols is challenging (Atsumi et al. 2008a; Cann and Liao 2008; Connor and Liao 2008; Inui et al. 2008; Shen and Liao 2008).

We previously devised a biosynthetic strategy to produce higher alcohols in *Escherichia coli* which takes advantage of the amino acid biosynthesis capability to produce various 2-keto acids and the broad substrate range of 2-keto acid decarboxylases (KDCs) and alcohol dehydrogenases (ADHs; Atsumi et al. 2008b). In this work, we investigate the effect of various ADHs which convert isobutyraldehyde to isobutanol in the isobutanol biosynthesis pathway. ADHs are oxidoreductases that catalyze the reversible oxidation of alcohols to aldehydes or ketones, with the corresponding reduction of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD) or NAD phosphate (NADP; Jornvall et al. 1987). There is a versatile set of ADHs with distinct structural differences, different substrate specificities, and different use of cofactors and metals (Jornvall et al. 1987).

In a previous experiment (Atsumi et al. 2008b), isobutyraldehyde was detected only in trace amounts with the overexpression of ADH2 from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, indicating sufficient activity of ADH for reducing isobutyraldehyde to isobutanol in the strain (JCL260/pSA55/pSA69; Atsumi et al. 2008b). However, *E. coli* has six genes (*adhE, adhP, eutG, yiaY, yqhD*, and *yjgB*) coding for
various ADHs. It was unclear how much these ADHs contributed to the isobutanol production. In particular, YqhD has a preference for alcohols longer than C3, and it does not show detectable enzymatic activity when tested with short-chain alcohols as substrates (Sulzenbacher et al. 2004). However, its activity for isobutyraldehyde has not been reported. In this work, we first investigated whether ADHs of \( E. \ coli \) play a role in the isobutanol production (Perez et al. 2008). To improve the isobutanol production further, we also compared the activities of Adh2 from \( S. \ cerevisiae \) and AdhA from \( Lactococcus \ lactis \) for isobutanol production in \( E. \ coli \). These ADHs along with YqhD from \( E. \ coli \) were purified and characterized in vitro and in vivo.

Materials and methods

Reagents

Restriction enzymes and Antarctic phosphatase were from New England Biolabs (Ipswich, MA, USA). Rapid DNA ligation kit was from Roche (Mannheim, Germany). KOD DNA polymerase was from EMD Chemicals (San Diego, CA, USA). Oligonucleotides were from Operon (Huntsville, AL, USA).

Strains and plasmids

A list of the strains and plasmids used is given in Table 1. All oligonucleotides are listed in Table 2. The \( yqhD \) gene was deleted by P1 transduction from a strain JW2978 (Baba et al. 2006). The \( yqhD \) deletion was confirmed with polymerase chain reaction (PCR). To clone \( kivd \) which encodes KDC, we used genomic DNA of \( L. \ lactis \) (ATCC) as PCR template with a pair of primers A96 and A97. PCR products were digested with Acc65I and SphI and cloned into pZE12-luc (Lutz and Bujard 1997) cut with the same enzyme, creating pSA129. To clone \( yqhD \), genomic DNA of \( E. \ coli \) MG1655 was used as PCR template with a pair of primers K453 and A257. PCR products were digested with SphI and XbaI and cloned into pSA129 cut with the same enzyme, creating pSA138. To clone \( adhA \), genomic DNA of \( L. \ lactis \) was used as PCR template with a pair of primers A121 and A122. PCR products were digested with SphI and XbaI and cloned into pSA55 cut with the same enzyme, creating pSA65.

Medium and culture conditions for isobutanol production

M9 medium containing 36 g/L glucose, 5 g/L yeast extract, 100 μg/ml ampicillin, 30 μg/ml kanamycin, and 1,000th dilution of Trace Metal Mix A5 (2.86 g H3BO3, 1.81 g MnCl 2⋅4H2O, 0.222 g ZnSO 4⋅7H2O, 0.39 g Na2MoO4⋅2H2O, 0.079 g CuSO 4⋅5H2O, 49.4 mg Co(NO3)2⋅6H2O per liter water) was used for cell growth. Preculture in test tubes containing 3 ml of medium was performed at 37°C overnight on a rotary shaker (250 rpm). Overnight culture was diluted 1:100 into 20 ml of fresh medium in a 250-ml screw cap conical flask. Cells were grown at 37°C for 3 h, followed by adding 0.1 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG). Production was

Table 1 Strains and plasmids used in this study

| Strain   | Relative Characteristics | Reference                  |
|----------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| BW25113  | rrrB14 ΔlacZW16 hisD514 ΔaraBADΔasdΔrhaBADΔldhAΔpta, ΔgfpΔfbrBCΔfbr-ldhA, Δpta, ΔgfpB | Datsenko and Wanner 2000  |
| JCL16    | BW25113/F′ [traD36, proAB+, lacIq ZAM15] | Atsumi et al. 2008a       |
| JCL260   | Same as JCL16 but with ΔadhE, ΔfrdBC, Δfbr-ldhA, Δpfl, Δpta, ΔgfpB | Atsumi et al. 2008a       |
| SA542    | Same as JCL260 but ΔyqhD | This work                  |
| Plasmid  |                          |                            |
| pSA55    | ColE1 ori; AmpR; P1lacOΔ; kivd-ADH2 | Atsumi et al. 2008b        |
| pSA65    | ColE1 ori; AmpR; P1lacOΔ; kivd-adhA (L. lactis) | This work                  |
| pSA69    | p15A ori; KanR; P1lacOΔ; alsS-ilvCD | Atsumi et al. 2008b        |
| pSA129   | ColE1 ori; AmpR; P1lacOΔ; kivd | This work                  |
| pSA138   | ColE1 ori; AmpR; P1lacOΔ; kivd-yqhD | This work                  |
| pTW2     | Derivative of pETDuet-1 with yqhD | This work                  |
| pTW3     | Derivative of pETDuet-1 with ADH2 | This work                  |
| pTW4     | Derivative of pETDuet-1 with adhA | This work                  |
performed at 30°C on a rotary shaker (250 rpm) for 24 h. Gas chromatography-flame ionization detector analysis is carried out as previously described (Atsumi et al. 2008b).

**Protein purification**

YqhD was overexpressed from pTW2 in *E. coli* BL21 Star™ (DE3) (Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA, USA)). Adh2 and AdhA were overexpressed from pTW3 and pTW4, respectively, in BL21-CodonPlus(DE3)-RIL-X (Stratagene, Cedar Creek, TX, USA). Overexpressed proteins were purified with Ni-NTA Spin Columns (Qiagen (Valencia, CA, USA)). Protein concentrations were determined by the Bradford assay (Bio-Rad (Hercules, CA, USA)).

**Protein solubility assay**

The strains with pTW2, pTW3, and pTW4 were grown to OD<sub>600</sub> value of 0.4–0.6 in 5 mL Luria–Bertani medium at 37°C, followed by adding 1 mM IPTG. Protein overexpression was performed at 30°C for 4 h. The cells were centrifuged, resuspended in 250 μl BugBuster Protein Extraction Reagent (Novagen, San Diego, CA, USA), and incubated at room temperature for 20 min for cell lysis. To separate soluble and insoluble proteins, the samples were centrifuged for 50 min (13,000 rpm, 4°C). Supernatant was taken as a soluble sample. The cell pellets were resuspended by 250 μl 8 M urea and centrifuged. Supernatant was taken as an insoluble sample. Soluble and insoluble protein samples were visually assessed by 12% sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE).

**Enzyme activity assay**

The reaction mixture contained 50 mM 3-(N-morpholino)propanesulfonic acid buffer, pH 7.0, 0.25 mM NAD(P)H, a defined aldehyde (acetaldehyde or isobutyraldehyde) as substrate, and purified ADH (100 nM). The mixture was incubated at 37°C, and NAD(P)H oxidation was determined at 340 nm using a spectrophotometer (Beckman Coulter DU800). One unit of enzyme activity was defined as the amount of protein that oxidizes 1 pmol of NAD(P)H/min at 37°C. The K<sub>m</sub> values for different aldehydes and the V<sub>max</sub> were extrapolated after nonlinear regression of the experimental points with Gauss–Newton method using Matlab.

**Results**

Effects of *E. coli* ADHs on isobutanol production

In a previous study to produce isobutanol, the carbon source was converted to 2-ketoisovalerate, through overexpressed AlsS ( *Bacillus subtilis*), IlvC (*E. coli*), and IlvD (*E. coli*; Atsumi et al. 2008b; Fig. 1). The resulting 2-ketoisovalerate is then converted to isobutanol using KDC (de la Plaza et al. 2004) and ADH (Fig. 1). In addition, six genes that are involved in byproduct formation were deleted (Atsumi et al. 2008b). The isobutanol strain (JCL260/pSA55/pSA69) produced more than 20 g/L in 112 h (Atsumi et al. 2008b). The production host, JCL260, has ∆adhE to reduce ethanol production. Thus, the strain still has five genes (*adhP*, *eutG*, *yiaY*, *yqhD*, and *yjgB*) on the genome coding for ADHs.

To evaluate the effects of these ADHs for isobutanol production, isobutanol production was tested without overexpression of ADH from plasmids (Fig. 2a). This strain (JCL260/pSA69/pSA129) produced 7.4 g/L isobutanol after 24 h which is similar to those of the strain expressing ADH2 of *S. cerevisiae* from pSA55 (Fig. 2a and Table 1). Since there is no adh gene on plasmids, the *E. coli* ADHs are responsible for the conversion of isobutyaldehyde to isobutanol.

**Table 2** Primer sequences

| Primer name | Sequence 5′ → 3′ |
|-------------|------------------|
| A96         | CGACGCCTGATCTACAGTAGGAGATTACCTAT |
| A97         | GCCTGCATCATGTATAGTAGGATTTTATGTTGACTG |
| A121        | GCCTGCATGCAAGGAGATATCATCGAAGACACACCA |
| A122        | GCCTAGATTAGTAAATCATCAATGACCAT |
| A257        | GCCTAGATAGGGGCGGCTTCGTATATACGG |
| A287        | CGGGATCCGAAACACCTTTAATCTGCACACCCCA |
| A288        | ACGCAGTCGACCTTAGGGCGCTTCGTATATACGG |
| A289        | CGGGATCCGCTATTTCCAGAAACTCAAAAAGCCA |
| A290        | ACGCAGTCGACCTTTAGTAAAGTGTCACAACCTAT |
| A292        | ACGCAGTCGACCTTTTTAATCTAATGACCAT |
| A299        | CGGGATCCGAAACACCTTTAATCTGCACACCCCA |
| K453        | TGAGCATGCAAGGAGAAAGTCACATGAACACCTTTAATCTGCACACCCCA |

In a previous study to produce isobutanol, the carbon source was converted to 2-ketoisovalerate, through overexpressed AlsS (*Bacillus subtilis*), IlvC (*E. coli*), and IlvD (*E. coli*; Atsumi et al. 2008b; Fig. 1). The resulting 2-ketoisovalerate is then converted to isobutanol using KDC (de la Plaza et al. 2004) and ADH (Fig. 1). In addition, six genes that are involved in byproduct formation were deleted (Atsumi et al. 2008b). The isobutanol strain (JCL260/pSA55/pSA69) produced more than 20 g/L in 112 h (Atsumi et al. 2008b). The production host, JCL260, has ∆adhE to reduce ethanol production. Thus, the strain still has five genes (*adhP*, *eutG*, *yiaY*, *yqhD*, and *yjgB*) on the genome coding for ADHs.
Elimination of \( yqhD \) decreases isobutanol production

Among these ADHs, YqhD is known to have a preference for longer-chain substrates. YqhD is an NADPH/Zn\(^{2+}\)-dependent enzyme (Sulzenbacher et al. 2004). To identify the effect of YqhD for isobutyraldehyde reductase activity, the \( yqhD \) gene was deleted from the genome. Isobutanol production with \( \Delta yqhD \) decreased by 80% (Fig. 2a). Isobutyraldehyde was accumulated (Fig. 2b). These results indicate that YqhD contributed significantly to the isobutyraldehyde reductase activity in \( E. coli \).

Overexpression of \( ADH2 \), \( adhA \), and \( yqhD \)

To study the effects of overexpression of various ADHs, we cloned three \( adh \) genes on plasmids. First, overexpression of \( ADH2 \) from \( S. cerevisiae \) did not increase isobutanol production compared to the strain without overexpression (Fig. 2a). A deletion of the \( yqhD \) gene from this strain resulted in a decrease of isobutanol production to 3.8 g/L from 6.8 g/L and the accumulation of isobutyraldehyde (2.7 g/L; Fig. 2b). However, this was higher than the production (1.4 g/L) from the strain (\( \Delta yqhD \)) without overexpression of \( ADH2 \) (Fig. 2a). This result suggests that Adh2 has some activities toward isobutyraldehyde but is not as efficient as YqhD in \( E. coli \).

The \( adhA \) gene of \( L. lactis \) was identified by genomic sequencing (Bolotin et al. 2001). AdhA of \( L. lactis \) has not been characterized biochemically. However, for isobutanol production, we used KDC encoded by the \( kivd \) gene from \( L. lactis \) which shows a broad-range substrate specificity (Atsumi et al. 2008b; de la Plaza et al. 2004); therefore, we expected that AdhA could have a broad-range substrate specificity. Overexpression of \( adhA \) from pSA65 (Table 1) increased isobutanol production slightly in the \( yqhD^{+} \) strain (Fig. 3a). Interestingly, a deletion of the chromosomal \( yqhD \) gene in the presence of the overexpressed AdhA did not reduce isobutanol production (Fig. 3a). In addition, isobutyraldehyde was detected only in trace amounts (data not
shown), indicating that the activity of AdhA toward isobutyraldehyde is relatively high.

Finally, we tested whether overexpression of yqhD could improve the isobutanol production. In our system, the yqhD gene was cloned into a high copy plasmid (70 copies; pSA138, Table 1). Isobutanol production was measured with or without overexpression of yqhD. Unexpectedly, both strains showed the similar productivity (Fig. 3b). To eliminate the possibility for no expression of yqhD from the plasmids, we tested isobutanol production using the strain with ΔyqhD on the genome. The deletion did not change isobutanol production (Fig. 3b), suggesting that YqhD was expressed well from plasmids. The results indicate that chromosomal yqhD expression has saturated the isobutanol production capability of the strain.

Characterization of ADHs

We further assessed the efficiency of expression and the solubility of three ADHs in E. coli. As shown in Fig. 4, Adh2 is highly prone to misfolding and aggregation in the heterologous host E. coli. While significant amounts of soluble protein of YqhD and AdhA were detected, nearly all of the overexpressed Adh2 was found in the insoluble fractions of the cell lysate (Fig. 4).

To purify these proteins, Adh2, AdhA, and YqhD were expressed from a His tag plasmid (pTW2, pTW3, and pTW4) and purified as described in “Materials and methods.” The kinetic parameters were measured for these proteins by monitoring NAD(P)H oxidation. The $K_m$ values for acetaldehyde and isobutyraldehyde and the $k_{cat}$ are reported in Table 3. YqhD showed the highest $K_m$ value compared to AdhA and Adh2 (Table 3). YqhD showed lower $K_m$ value for isobutyraldehyde than that for acetaldehyde and the similar $k_{cat}$ for both substrates (Table 3). This is consistent with the data of previous

| Table 3 | Aldehyde reductase activity in vitro |
|---------|------------------------------------|
| Cofactor | Substrate            | $K_m$ (mM) | $k_{cat}$ (s$^{-1}$) | $k_{cat}/K_m$ |
| YqhD    | NADPH Acetaldehyde | 27.6±0.4   | 1.1±0.1              | 0.05         |
| Adh2    | NADH Acetaldehyde  | 0.14±0.02  | 6.6±0.5              | 46.9         |
| AdhA    | NADH Acetaldehyde  | 0.5±0.2    | 10.0±0.3             | 20.9         |
| AdhA    | NADPH Acetaldehyde | ND         | 0.2                  | ND           |
| YqhD    | NADPH Isobutyraldehyde | 1.8±0.3    | 1±0.1                | 0.7          |
| Adh2    | NADH Isobutyraldehyde | 385.1±30.7 | 0.9±0.1              | 2.2×10$^{-3}$ |
| AdhA    | NADH Isobutyraldehyde | 9.1±2.9   | 6.6±0.2              | 0.8          |
report (Sulzenbacher et al. 2004). The $K_m$ values of Adh2 are 0.14 and 385 mM, respectively, indicating that Adh2 prefers a short-chain substrate (Table 3). This result is consistent with isobutanol production described above. As predicted by sequence analysis, AdhA from L. lactis did not show any detectable enzymatic activity when tested with NADPH as a cofactor (Table 3). AdhA showed relatively small substrate preference towards acetaldehyde, but it exhibited the highest activity for isobutyaldehyde among the three enzymes tested. The glycolysis pathway produces two NADH per glucose but does not produce NADPH. Therefore, NADH-dependent enzymes should be preferred for isobutanol production. These results suggest that AdhA is most suitable for isobutanol production in an engineered pathway, consistent with the data shown in Fig. 3.

Discussion

The last step of isobutanol production in E. coli was examined by employing various ADHs. We found that YqhD contributed significantly to the isobutyaldehyde reductase activity in E. coli. We further compared three enzymes for the last step and found that AdhA from L. lactis showed the highest isobutyaldehyde reductase activity. The in vitro enzyme assay showed that AdhA was NADH-dependent, consistent with the prediction based on sequence. AdhA contains a highly conserved sequence Gly–X–Gly–X–Gly (where X is any amino acid) in many NADH-binding domains (Scrutton et al. 1990). Adh2 from S. cerevisiae was highly prone to misfolding and aggregation in the heterologous host E. coli.

There is strong incentive to devise a synthetic pathway for the production of valuable metabolites in a user-friendly organism. In this regard, E. coli is a well-characterized microorganism with a set of readily available tools for genetic manipulation, and its physiological regulation is well-studied. However, the expression of heterologous proteins may result in inefficient translation and misfolding (Baneyx and Mujacic 2004). To achieve high productivity of the target products, it is desirable to seek enzymes that are compatible to the host. Nearly all of the overexpressed Adh2 was found in the insoluble fraction of the cell lysate, indicating that Adh2 is highly prone to misfolding and aggregation when expressed in E. coli. Although a number of strategies have been developed to improve the folding of a protein (Fisher et al. 2006; Fowler et al. 2005), the comparison of several enzymes from a broad range of possibilities allowed us to identify an ideal enzyme for our purpose.

We found YqhD and AdhA were active toward isobutyraldehyde, but Adh2 shows a high $K_m$ value.

For YqhD, it is consistent with the previous report that YqhD has a preference for longer-chain substrates (Perez et al. 2008; Sulzenbacher et al. 2004). The crystal structure indicates that YqhD has an elongated shape of the active site (Sulzenbacher et al. 2004). The large size of the active site is in agreement with the enzyme’s preference for long substrates. For AdhA, in the absence of actual crystallographic data, we cannot discuss the specific mechanisms responsible for the broad-range substrate binding, but it might have a large active site cavity. Possibilities still exist that alternative ADHs from other organisms might show better activity toward isobutyaldehyde in E. coli. The crystal structures of more than 100 ADHs have been elucidated (e.g., Schwarzenbacher et al. 2004; Valencia et al. 2004). It is possible to use the structural information to identify ADHs that have large active sites. The use of the structural data could facilitate such exploration to identify better enzymes.
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