Unilateral mesial temporal lobe epilepsy (MTLE) is a common type of refractory focal epilepsy in which hippocampal sclerosis (HS) is the most frequent pathologic finding. Gray matter atrophy associated with MTLE and HS (MTLE+HS) involves the hippocampus and brain regions outside the mesial temporal lobe.\(^1,2\) The atrophied GM constitutes a network of regions that are structurally and functionally connected to the epileptogenic mesial temporal region.\(^3\) Evidence has indicated that an epileptic network consists of both atrophied GM regions\(^7,8\) and epileptic abnormalities in the mesial temporal regions. To gain insight into the pathophysiology of the epileptic network in mesial temporal lobe epilepsy with hippocampal sclerosis, we aimed to clarify the relationships between hippocampal atrophy and the altered connection between the hippocampus and the posterior cingulate cortex in patients with mesial temporal lobe epilepsy with hippocampal sclerosis.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fifteen patients with left mesial temporal lobe epilepsy with hippocampal sclerosis and 15 healthy controls were included in the study. Multicontrast MR imaging, including high-resolution T1WI, diffusion spectrum imaging, and resting-state fMRI, was performed to measure the hippocampal volume, structural connectivity of the inferior cingulum bundle, and intrinsic functional connectivity between the hippocampus and the posterior cingulate cortex, respectively.

### RESULTS

Compared with controls, patients had decreased left hippocampal volume (volume ratio of the hippocampus and controls, 0.366% ± 0.029%; patients, 0.277% ± 0.063%, corrected \(P = .002\)), structural connectivity of the bilateral inferior cingulum bundle (generalized fractional anisotropy, left: controls, 0.234 ± 0.020; patients, 0.193 ± 0.022, corrected \(P = .0001\), right: controls, 0.226 ± 0.022; patients, 0.208 ± 0.017, corrected \(P = .047\)), and intrinsic functional connectivity between the left hippocampus and the left posterior cingulate cortex (averaged z-value: controls, 0.314 ± 0.152; patients, 0.166 ± 0.062). The left hippocampal volume correlated with structural connectivity positively (standardized \(\beta = 0.864, P = .001\)), but it had little correlation with intrinsic functional connectivity (standardized \(\beta = -0.329, P = .113\)). On the contralateral side, the hippocampal volume did not show any significant correlation with structural connectivity or intrinsic functional connectivity (\(F_{2,11} = 0.284, P = .757, R^2 = 0.045\)).

### CONCLUSIONS

In left mesial temporal lobe epilepsy with hippocampal sclerosis, the left inferior cingulum bundle undergoes degeneration in tandem with the left hippocampal volume, whereas intrinsic functional connectivity seems to react by compensating the loss of connectivity. Such insight might be helpful in understanding the development of the epileptic network in left mesial temporal lobe epilepsy with hippocampal sclerosis.

### Abbreviations:

- DSI = diffusion spectrum imaging
- GFA = generalized fractional anisotropy
- HS = hippocampal sclerosis
- HV = hippocampal volume
- iCB = inferior cingulum bundle
- iFC = intrinsic functional connectivity
- MTLE = mesial temporal lobe epilepsy
- PCC = posterior cingulate cortex
- SC = structural connectivity
seizure-spreading pathways. Elucidation of altered structural and functional pathways with respect to atrophied GM can provide insight into the pathophysiology of epileptic network development, which might help in identifying biomarkers for the clinical diagnosis and treatment of unilateral MTLE+HS.5

The connection between the hippocampus or parahippocampus and the posterior cingulate cortex (PCC) is an afferent pathway of the hippocampus in the Papez circuit, which is altered in MTLE.6 Kubota et al7 used corticocortical-evoked potentials to investigate the connectivity between the hippocampus and the PCC in unilateral MTLE. They reported that prominent corticocortical-evoked potential responses were elicited in the hippocampus under PCC stimulation and suggested that electrical stimulation was directly transmitted from the PCC to the hippocampus through a white matter tract. The inferior cingulum bundle (iCB) is a WM tract projecting from the PCC to the hippocampus or parahippocampus and the entorhinal cortex.8 A DTI study reported decreased structural connectivity (SC) of the iCB in patients with unilateral MTLE+HS.6 These and the findings of Kubota et al indicated that the iCB might be a structural pathway transmitting epileptiform discharges into the epileptic network.

Intrinsic functional connectivity (iFC) between brain regions represents the synchronization of blood oxygenation level–dependent signal fluctuations in MR imaging during the resting state.9 The resting-state fMRI studies have shown decreased iFC between the epileptogenic hippocampus and the PCC in patients with unilateral MTLE+HS, suggesting that this functional metric represents an altered functional pathway of the epileptic network.10,11 Taken together, hippocampus-PCC connectivity (both structural and functional) is a potential pathway of the epileptic network in unilateral MTLE+HS.

Bernasconi et al12 reported that volume loss in the hippocampus was related to epilepsy duration in patients with unilateral MTLE+HS.12 Moreover, on the basis of previous human and animal studies,13,14 they speculated that the progression of hippocampal atrophy leads to the development of an epileptogenic network and aggravates repeated seizures. Therefore, the elucidation of altered structural and functional pathways with respect to hippocampal atrophy can provide insight into the pathophysiology of the epileptic network in MTLE+HS. However, to date, few studies have investigated this relationship.

Therefore, in the present study, we investigated the relationship between hippocampal atrophy and hippocampus-PCC connectivity in unilateral MTLE+HS. We hypothesized that SC and iFC are altered in patients with unilateral MTLE+HS and that the altered connectivity is associated with hippocampal atrophy, which reflects the pathophysiology of the epileptic network. We used multicontrast MR imaging examinations, including high-resolution T1WI, diffusion spectrum imaging (DSI), and resting-state fMRI to measure the hippocampal volume (HV), SC of the iCB, and iFC between the hippocampus and the PCC, respectively.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Participants**

Fifteen patients (mean age, 36.87 ± 8.79 years) with left MTLE+HS and 15 healthy controls (mean age, 36.33 ± 8.73 years) were recruited for the study. The study was approved by the National Taiwan University Hospital research ethics committee, and all participants provided informed consent before entering the study. The demographics of the participants and detailed criteria for participant recruitment are listed in the Table and the On-line Appendix, respectively.

**Data Acquisition**

All participants underwent MR imaging examinations on a 3T MR imaging system (Tim Trio; Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) with a 32-channel phased array head coil. The MR imaging examinations included T1WI, DSI, and resting-state fMRI. T1WI was performed by using a 3D magnetization-prepared rapid acquisition of gradient echo sequence with the following parameters: TR/TE = 2000/3 ms, flip angle = 9°, FOV = 256 × 192 × 208 mm³, and acquisition matrix = 256 × 192 × 208. DSI was performed by using a pulsed gradient spin-echo diffusion EPI sequence with a twice-refocused balanced echo and the following parameters: TR/TE = 9600/130 ms, flip angle = 90°, FOV = 200 × 200 mm², acquisition matrix = 80 × 80 × 56, and section thickness = 2.5 mm. A total of 102 diffusion-encoding gradients were applied by using the maximum diffusion sensitivity of 4000 s/mm². These encoding gradients corresponded to grid points filled within the half sphere of the q-space with a radius of 3 units.15 We performed resting-state fMRI by using 2D gradient-echo EPI with the following parameters: TR/TE = 2000/24 ms, flip angle = 90°, FOV = 256 × 256 mm², acquisition matrix = 64 × 64 × 34, section thickness = 3 mm, and 180 volumes per run.

**Data Analysis**

The MR imaging procedures and statistical analyses are presented in Fig 1. The volume ratio of the hippocampus (HV/whole-brain volume) was calculated to evaluate the degree of hippocampal atrophy. The whole-brain volume and HV calculations were performed by using FreeSurfer 5.0 software (http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu). The details of the analysis procedures have been described elsewhere.16 Neuroanatomic labels were automatically assigned to each voxel on the basis of probabilistic information estimated from a manually labeled training set. The HV was obtained in each hemisphere.

We used DSI to evaluate accurate tractography of the iCB because of its ability to resolve crossing fibers,17 and we used tract-specific analysis to measure the microstructural integrity along the iCB to index SC. Within each voxel, the 102 samples within the
half sphere of the $q$-space were projected to fill the other half sphere, and 8 corners outside the sphere were filled with zeros. Fourier transform was performed on the $q$-space signal to obtain the diffusion probability density function.\cite{17} The orientation distribution function was obtained by computing the second moment of the probability density function along each of the 362 radial directions in 6-fold tessellated icosahedrons. To quantify the microstructural integrity, we computed generalized fractional anisotropy (GFA), an index equivalent to fractional anisotropy in DTI,\cite{18,19} for each voxel by using the following formula: (SD of the Orientation Distribution Function)/(Root Mean Square of the Orientation Distribution Function).\cite{20} The decomposition of the orientation distribution function was performed to determine local tract directions in each voxel,\cite{21} which were then used for the diffusion tractography of the iCB.

The mean GFA of the left or right iCB was calculated to indicate SC between the hippocampus and the PCC. We performed a template-based tract-specific analysis based on the local tract direction and GFA maps derived from DSI data by using DSI Studio (http://dsi-studio.labsolver.org/). The detailed procedure of tracking the iCB is described in the On-line Figure.

The Data Processing Assistant for Resting-State fMRI (DPARSF; http://www.rfmri.org/DPARSF) was used to analyze resting-state fMRI data.\cite{22} The detailed procedures of data preprocessing have been described elsewhere.\cite{22} The preprocessed data were then filtered by using a bandpass filter (0.01–0.08 Hz). Finally, nuisance regression was performed to correct the polynomial trend, 6 rigid-body parameters, head-motion scrubbing (power of framewise displacement), and WM and CSF mean signals. We performed seed-based analysis to obtain whole-brain iFC maps by placing a

---

**FIG 1.** The pipeline of MR imaging and statistical analyses. Multicontrast MR imaging examinations including T1WI, DSI, and resting-state fMRI were performed to measure HV and SC of the iCB and iFC between the hippocampus and the PCC, respectively. A linear regression model was used to evaluate the correlation of HV with SC and iFC indices, where $Y$ is the volume ratio of the hippocampus and $X_1$ and $X_2$ are the SC and iFC of the HP-PCC connection, respectively. HP indicates hippocampus; rsfMR, resting-state fMRI.
spheric seed (r = 6 mm) covering the bilateral PCC.\textsuperscript{23} We computed iFC maps by using voxelwise Pearson correlation coefficients between the time course of each voxel and the averaged time course of the predefined PCC seed. Furthermore, iFC maps were transformed into Z-maps by using Fisher Z-transform. We used 2-sample t tests to conduct voxelwise group comparison with Z-maps between patients with left MTLE+HS and healthy controls. Moreover, we used the spheric ROIs with the radius of 8 mm centered at peak coordinates to extract z-values. The averaged z-values of the spheric ROIs in the hippocampus indicated the iFC between the hippocampus and the PCC.

Statistical analyses were performed by using SPSS, Version 20 (IBM, Armonk, New York). A Mann-Whitney U test was used for comparing age, volume ratio of the hippocampus, and SC between the hippocampus and the PCC (mean GFA of the iCB) between groups. In addition, we performed a Benjamini-Hochberg correction to address the issue of multiple testing. A linear regression model was used to investigate the relationship between the HV and SC and the iFC indices between the hippocampus and the PCC in patients.

RESULTS

Comparison between Groups

Healthy controls and patients were well-matched in age (t(28) = 0.167, P = .869), sex, and handedness (Table). Compared with healthy controls, patients had a significant decrease in the volume ratio of the left hippocampus (controls, 0.366% ± 0.029%; patients, 0.277% ± 0.063%; Benjamini-Hochberg–corrected P = .002) and no significant difference in the volume ratio of the right hippocampus (controls, 0.387% ± 0.041%; patients, 0.389% ± 0.059%; P = .775). In both the left and right iCBs, the mean GFA was significantly lower in patients than in healthy controls (left iCB: controls, 0.234 ± 0.020; patients, 0.193 ± 0.022; Benjamini-Hochberg–corrected P = .0001; right iCB: controls, 0.226 ± 0.022; patients, 0.208 ± 0.017; Benjamini-Hochberg–corrected P = .047).

The group comparison of iFC maps (Z-maps) revealed 2 peak coordinates in the left hippocampus, indicating decreased iFC between the left hippocampus and the PCC (On-line Table); this was defined by a higher contrast in healthy controls than in patients (peak 1: T = 2.84, small-volume–corrected\textsuperscript{24} P = .004; peak 2: T = 2.39, small-volume–corrected P = .012). Moreover, 2 peak coordinates were observed in the right hippocampus, indicating increased iFC between the right hippocampus and the PCC; this was defined by a higher contrast in patients than in healthy controls (peak 3: T = 2.01, small-volume–corrected P = .027; peak 4: T = 1.91, small-volume–corrected P = .028). The averaged z-values of the spheric ROIs in the left hippocampus were 0.314 ± 0.152 and 0.166 ± 0.062 in healthy controls and patients, respectively, whereas those in the right were 0.200 ± 0.130 and 0.291 ± 0.091 in healthy controls and patients, respectively.

Relationship between the HV and Hippocampus-PCC SC and iFC Indices in Patients

In patients’ left hemispheres, the overall regression model was significant ($F_{2,24} = 12.656, P = .001, R^2 = 0.678$). The regression model revealed that 62.6% (adjusted $R^2 = 0.626$) of the volume ratio of the left hippocampus was explained by the mean GFA of the left iCB and the averaged z-value of the left hippocampus. As presented in Fig 2A, the mean GFA of the left iCB positively correlated with the volume ratio of the left hippocampus ($\beta = 0.025$, standardized $\beta = 0.840$, P = .0004). The averaged z-value of the left hippocampus negatively correlated with the volume ratio of the left hippocampus ($\beta = -0.005$, standardized $\beta = -0.509$, P = .013, Fig 2B). In patients’ right hemispheres, the regression model was not significant ($F_{2,12} = 0.284, P = .757, R^2 = 0.045$). Both the mean GFA of the right iCB and averaged z-value of the right hippocampus did not significantly correlate with the volume ratio of the right hippocampus (Fig 2C,−D). We found a potential outlier in 1 patient whose averaged z-value of the left hippocampus was larger than 2.5 times the SD. After we removed the outlier, the overall regression model was still significant in the left hemisphere ($F_{2,12} = 10.212, P = .003, R^2 = 0.650, adjusted R^2 = 0.586$). The correlation was significant between the mean GFA of the left iCB and the volume ratio of the left hippocampus ($\beta = 0.024$, standardized $\beta = 0.864$, P = .001); however, the correlation was no longer significant between the averaged z-value and the volume ratio of the left hippocampus ($\beta = -0.004$, standardized $\beta = -0.329$, P = .113).

DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study elucidating the relationship between hippocampal atrophy and structural and functional connectivity in patients with left MTLE+HS. We observed that the regression model used was highly significant, even though a potential outlier of data was removed from the model estimation. Specifically, left HV positively correlated with the mean GFA of the left iCB, but it did not correlate with the left iFC. Compared with controls, the HV, SC, and iFC indices were significantly decreased on the lesion side of patients. As discussed below, the association between the HV and its connection to the PCC allowed us to explore the pathophysiology of the epileptic network in unilateral MTLE+HS.

We observed a significant positive correlation between the left HV and the mean GFA of the left iCB in patients with left MTLE+HS (Fig 2A). Two mechanisms could explain this relationship: First, the iCB may be disrupted because of the excitotoxic effect caused by the spreading of epileptogenic activity through the Papez circuit.\textsuperscript{25,26} The sclerotic hippocampus may generate epileptic activity and spread it through the Papez circuit to multiple epileptogenic regions, such as the mammillary body, thalamus, entorhinal cortex, and cingulate gyrus. The iCB is assumed to receive antegrade epileptic activity from secondary epileptogenic regions and to propagate it back to the hippocampus. Using DTI, Scanlon et al\textsuperscript{27} demonstrated a positive correlation between the HV and fractional anisotropy in the middle cingulum bundle in patients with unilateral MTLE+HS. Our study further confirmed the correlation in the iCB on the lesion side. Another possible mechanism is that hippocampal cellular death caused by HS may affect the extrahippocampal WM, resulting in the axonal degeneration of the iCB.\textsuperscript{28} This interpretation is based on an assumption that the iCB directly connects to the hippocampus. Kubota et al\textsuperscript{29} used corticocortical-evoked poten-
tials for electrical stimulation studies and reported that hippocampal stimulation induced a prominent response in the PCC and, conversely, that the hippocampus was elicited by PCC stimulation in patients with unilateral MTLE. Moreover, on the basis of corticocortical-evoked potentials, they speculated strong functional connectivity between the hippocampus and the PCC through the iCB. Therefore, hippocampal cellular death caused by HS following epileptogenesis might directly degrade the SC of the iCB.

Recent DTI studies have reported inconsistent results on the relationship between GM atrophy and impaired hippocampal WM structure in patients with unilateral MTLE. Ellmore et al reported that the number of hippocampal fibers derived from probabilistic tractography positively correlated with the HV. Bonilha et al investigated the relationship between the mean fractional anisotropy or mean diffusivity of perihippocampal fibers and the GM density of several brain regions in unilateral MTLE; they did not find correlations between the HV and diffusion properties (fractional anisotropy and mean diffusivity) of perihippocampal fibers. With the advantage of DSI in resolving crossing fibers, we used tract-specific analysis to calculate the SC of the iCB and observed strong coupling with hippocampal atrophy in left MTLE+HS.

We observed decreased mean GFA of the left iCB in patients with left MTLE+HS, which is consistent with our results. Their findings and ours support the idea that the SC of the bilateral iCB is altered in unilateral MTLE+HS; this alteration suggests that unilateral MTLE+HS is an extensive network disease. Moreover, we did not observe a significant relationship between the right HV and mean GFA of the right iCB. According to our results, the right HV of patients was comparable with that of controls. Therefore, the mean GFA of the right iCB might be decreased in patients because of the excitotoxic injury caused by seizure spread.

We found decreased iFC between the left hippocampus and the left PCC in patients with left MTLE+HS (On-line Table). Our results are consistent with those of previous studies that reported decreased iFC between the PCC and the epileptogenic hippocampus or mesial temporal lobe in patients with left MTLE+HS. In addition, we observed increased iFC on the right side in the same group of patients (On-line Table). Bettus et al investigated iFC between the hippocampus and other limbic structures on the healthy side in a group of patients with unilateral MTLE; they reported increased iFC between the right hippocampus and the right temporal pole and right amygdala in patients with left MTLE. Their and our results suggest that increased iFC of the contralesional hippocampus is a compensatory response to decreased hippocampal connectivity on the lesion side.

Unlike strong coupling between the left HV and the mean GFA of the left iCB found in patients, the left HV showed little correlation with left iFC, especially after removal of an outlier (Fig 2B).
A study hypothesized that increased hippocampal activation or connectivity in patients with hippocampal injury might be a compensatory response relying on brain plasticity or an abnormal brain function reflecting pathologic changes. In the same vein, our negative findings may imply dynamic changes of iFC in response to hippocampal atrophy or iCB degeneration. The left iFC in patients being generally decreased implies a decompenated, rather than compensated, status of iFC.

This study has some limitations. First, although we investigated the relationship between hippocampal atrophy and impaired hippocampus–PCC connectivity, the cross-sectional study design precludes us from determining a causal relationship. Second, the sample size in this study was relatively small. We included a small cohort of patients with unilateral MTLE who had only a left atrophic hippocampus. To reduce the influence of the heterogeneity within the populations of patients with MTLE+HS, the strict inclusion criteria of subject selection limited our sample size. Nonetheless, our findings still remained significant after adjusting for multiple tests. Third, considering differences in the epileptogenic and neurophysiologic processes between left and right MTLE+HS, our results might not be applicable to right, bilateral, or other subtypes of MTLE+HS.

CONCLUSIONS
We performed multicontrast MR imaging examinations and demonstrated that patients with left MTLE+HS had decreased HV, SC, and iFC indices on the lesion side. The left HV was positively correlated with SC, but not iFC. Such findings reflect the development of a degenerative fiber pathway with functional reactivity in an epileptic network. Such insight might be helpful in understanding the pathophysiology of the epileptic network.
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