BLAZARS AS ULTRA-HIGH-ENERGY COSMIC-RAY SOURCES: IMPLICATIONS FOR TeV GAMMA-RAY OBSERVATIONS
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ABSTRACT

The spectra of BL Lac objects and Fanaroff–Riley I radio galaxies are commonly explained by the one-zone leptonic synchrotron self-Compton (SSC) model. Spectral modeling of correlated multiwavelength data gives the comoving magnetic field strength, the bulk outflow Lorentz factor, and the emission region size. Assuming the validity of the SSC model, the Hillas condition shows that only in rare cases such sources accelerate protons to much above \(10^{19}\) eV, so \(\gtrsim 10^{20}\) eV ultra-high-energy cosmic rays (UHECRs) are likely to be heavy ions if powered by this type of radio-loud active galactic nuclei (AGNs). Survival of nuclei is shown to be possible in TeV BL Lacs and misaligned counterparts with weak photohadronic emissions. Another signature of hadronic production is intergalactic UHECR-induced cascade emission, which is an alternative explanation of the TeV spectra of some extreme non-variable blazars such as 1ES 0229+200 or 1ES 1101−232. We study this kind of cascade signal, taking into account effects of the structured extragalactic magnetic fields in which the sources should be embedded. We demonstrate the importance of cosmic-ray deflections on the \(\gamma\)-ray flux, and show that required absolute cosmic-ray luminosities are larger than the average UHECR luminosity inferred from UHECR observations and can even be comparable to the Eddington luminosity of supermassive black holes. Future TeV \(\gamma\)-ray observations using the Cerenkov Telescope Array and the High Altitude Water Cerenkov detector array can test for UHECR acceleration by observing \(>25\) TeV photons from relatively low redshift sources such as 1ES 0229+200, and \(\gtrsim\) TeV photons from more distant radio-loud AGNs.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Active galactic nuclei (AGNs) with extended radio jets powered by supermassive black holes are among the most luminous objects in the low-redshift universe. Since 2004, when the present generation of imaging atmospheric Cerenkov telescopes began to operate, the number of extragalactic sources detected at \(>0.1\) TeV (very high energy, VHE) energies has grown rapidly, and is nearly 50.\(^5\) The Fermi Gamma-ray Space Telescope, now in its fourth mission year, is providing a wealth of new discoveries on \(\gamma\)-ray galaxies. In the high-confidence clean sample of AGN associations in the First Fermi Large Area Telescope AGN catalog (1LAC; Abdo et al. 2010a), more than 600 \(\gamma\)-ray blazars, divided about equally into BL Lac objects and flat spectrum radio quasars (FSRQs), were reported. New classes of GeV \(\gamma\)-ray galaxies, e.g., radio-loud narrow-line Seyfert galaxies (Abdo et al. 2009a) and star-forming galaxies powered by supernovae rather than black holes (Abdo et al. 2010b), following closely the VHE detections of the starburst galaxies NGC 253 (Acero et al. 2009) and M82 (Acciari et al. 2009c), are now firmly established. Moreover, the spectral energy distributions (SEDs) of radio galaxies detected at GeV and VHE, being misaligned by large (\(\gtrsim 10^\circ\)) angles to the jet axis and thought to be the parent population of blazars in geometrical unification scenarios (Urry & Padovani 1995), are helping to reveal the blazar jet geometry. About 10 such sources are now detected with Fermi (Abdo et al. 2010c).

Radio-loud AGNs detected at TeV energies consist mainly of high-synchrotron-peaked BL Lac objects, including the ultra-variable TeV blazars Mrk 421 (\(z = 0.031\); Fossati et al. 2008), Mrk 501 (\(z = 0.033\); Albert et al. 2007b), and PKS 2155−304 (\(z = 0.116\); Aharonian et al. 2007b), and the apparently non-variable TeV blazars 1ES 0229+200 (Aharonian et al. 2007a) and 1ES 1101−232 (Aharonian et al. 2007c). Extragalactic VHE \(\gamma\)-ray galaxies include several Fanaroff–Riley (FR) class I radio galaxies (Cen A, M87, NGC 1275; Aharonian et al. 2006, 2009a; Aleksic et al. 2012, respectively). Cen A (Abdo et al. 2010d), M87 (Abdo et al. 2009c), and NGC 1275 (Abdo et al. 2009b; Kataoka et al. 2010; Brown & Adams 2011) have also been detected at GeV energies. This list also includes the head–tail radio galaxy IC 310 (Aleksic et al. 2010; Neronov et al. 2010), intermediate-synchrotron-peaked objects like 3C 66A (Acciari et al. 2009a; Aliu et al. 2009; Abdo et al. 2011a) and BL Lac (Albert et al. 2007a; Abdo et al. 2011b), and the GeV luminous, high-redshift FSRQs 3C 279 (\(z = 0.538\); Aleksic et al. 2011a), PKS 1510−089 (\(z = 0.361\); Wagner et al. 2010), and 4C +21.35 (PKS 1222+216, \(z = 0.432\); Aleksic et al. 2011b).

The \(\gamma\)-ray data from weak-lined BL Lac objects and FR-I radio galaxies are generally well fit with the standard non-thermal electronic synchrotron self-Compton (SSC) relativistic jet model (e.g., Mastichiadis & Kirk 1997; Tavecchio et al. 1998; Kato et al. 2006), but the use of archival data for highly variable blazars gave large parameter uncertainties in the past. With the recent simultaneous multiwavelength data sets for many sources, an accurate parameter estimation can be made, either from simple scaling results in the Thomson regime, or from detailed spectral calculations taking into account the Klein–Nishina (K–N) effect that is relevant for high, UV/X-ray synchrotron-peaked blazars.
This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we assemble the derived parameter values obtained in various analyses of typical BL Lac objects and FR-I radio galaxies. From these numbers, we obtain maximum energies of cosmic rays, and show that protons can be accelerated to $\gtrsim 10$ EeV energies only in a few radio galaxies and flares of BL Lac objects. Then we explore the associated hadronic signatures expected from TeV blazars in the case where jets of BL Lac objects and FR-I radio galaxies are accelerators of ultra-high-energy cosmic rays (UHECRs; with energies above the ankle of $\approx 10^{18.5}$ eV), assuming the validity of the leptonic SSC parameters inferred from rapidly variable AGNs. We discuss observable signals produced in the source and calculate those generated outside the source from both $\gamma$-rays and UHECRs escaping from the jet accelerator and passing through the $\approx$Mpc-scale regions of cosmic structure, magnetized clusters and filaments, and the larger $\approx 100$ Mpc-scale voids of intergalactic space. For some apparently non-variable TeV blazars, where the one-zone synchrotron/SSC model typically requires extreme parameters for fits, the cascade radiation can be a crucial component of the high-energy radiation spectrum, depending on the strength of the intergalactic magnetic field (IGMF) in voids, as has recently been proposed to explain the $\gamma$-ray spectra of some extreme blazars such as 1ES 0229+200 (Essey & Kusenko 2010; Essey et al. 2010, 2011). We focus on such cascade emissions in the VHE range in Section 3. Using numerical calculations, we also demonstrate the importance of structured extragalactic magnetic fields (EGMFs) in clusters and filaments for future $\gamma$-ray detectability by the Cerenkov Telescope Array (CTA) and the High Altitude Water Cerenkov (HAWC) detector array. Implications of this study and a summary are given in Section 4.

Throughout this work, the cosmological parameters are taken as $H_0 = 71 \,\text{km s}^{-1} \,\text{Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_m = 0.3$, and $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$.

2. VHE BLAZARS AND UHECRs

Of the wide variety of source classes that could potentially accelerate UHECRs, including, for example, GRBs (Waxman 1995; Vietri 1995; Murase et al. 2008a), fast rotating magnetars (Arons 2003), structure formation shocks in galaxy clusters (Norman et al. 1995; Kang et al. 1996; Inoue et al. 2007), and quasar remnants (Bolton & Ghosh 1999; Levinson 2000), radio-loud AGNs with jets seem privileged in that the most pronounced excess in arrival directions of UHECRs is positionally centered in the vicinity of the FR-I radio galaxy Centaurus A (Abraham et al. 2008, 2009). FR-I radio galaxies, including their aligned counterparts (BL Lac objects), radiate a volume- and time-averaged emissivity of $\approx 10^{35}$-10$^{46}$ erg Mpc$^{-3}$ yr$^{-1}$ in nonthermal $\gamma$-rays (Dermer & Razzaque 2010), and FR-I radio galaxies are found within the $\approx 100$ Mpc Greisen–Zatsepin–Kuzmin radius. If comparable power goes into the acceleration of UHECRs, then BL Lac objects and FR-I radio galaxies have more than sufficient emissivity to power the $\gtrsim 10$ EeV UHECRs, which require $\approx 10^{42}$ erg Mpc$^{-3}$ yr$^{-1}$ (Waxman & Bahcall 1999; Berezinsky et al. 2006; Murase & Takami 2009).

2.1. Two-component Spectra of Blazars and Radio Galaxies

In the framework of one-zone leptonic synchrotron/SSC models, the double-humped SED of blazars and radio galaxies (their misaligned counterparts), plotted as $\log (\nu F_\nu)$ versus $\log (\nu)$, can be well described by a low-energy synchrotron component and a high-energy inverse Compton (IC) curve. Each component is characterized by the peak synchrotron flux $\nu F_\nu^s$ at peak synchrotron photon energy $\epsilon_s$, and the peak IC flux $\nu F_\nu^C$ at peak IC energy $\epsilon_C$, respectively. The spectrum of radio-loud AGNs is often highly variable, and rises and decays with variability time $\tau_{\text{var}}$. Here, we define the variability time as the shortest timescale in which the flux shows a significant factor-of-two change, and assume co-spatiality of the highly variable emissions in the different energy bands, as indicated by correlated variability (Ackermann et al. 2011).

Here we consider such a one-zone model. The emissions are produced by electrons in a spherical blob moving relativistically in a jetted geometry: the high-energy emission is produced via Compton scattering off the local synchrotron photons that are generated by the electrons in the blob. The synchrotron (IC) luminosities at peak energy are $L_{\nu}^s \approx 4\pi \rho^2 (\epsilon_s F_\nu^s)$ and the Compton dominance parameter is defined as $A_C \equiv L_{\nu}^C/L_{\nu}^s \approx (\epsilon_C F_\nu^C)/(\epsilon_s F_\nu^s)$. The electron distribution is typically assumed to consist of power-law segments. As long as both the $\nu F_\nu^s$ and $\nu F_\nu^C$ energy fluxes originate from electrons with the same mean comoving-frame energy $\gamma \epsilon_0 m_e c^2$, the magnetic energy density can be rewritten in terms of $A_C$ as $B^2 / (8 \pi) \sim L_{\nu}^C / (4\pi R^2 \delta^2 A_C)$. Then the Doppler factor is given in this relativistic spherical blob formalism by the expression (Ghisellini et al. 1996)

$$\delta \sim \frac{3^{1/2} \left( L_{\gamma}^C \right)^{1/4} \left( \epsilon_C / m_e c^2 \right)^{1/2}}{2^{3/4} \epsilon_{\text{var}}^{3/4} \left( A_C B_Q^{1/2} (\epsilon_s / m_e c^2) \right)}$$

and the comoving magnetic field is

$$B \sim (1 + z)^{2/3} \frac{11/4 \epsilon_{\text{var}}^{1/4} A_C B_Q^{1/2} (\epsilon_s / m_e c^2)^{3/2}}{\delta (\epsilon_C / \epsilon_s)} \left( \epsilon_s / m_e c^2 \right)^{3/2} \left( B^2 / (8 \pi) \right)^{1/2}$$

provided the Compton scattering takes place in the Thomson regime, which applies when $\delta \gtrsim 7\delta = 2\sqrt{3} \sqrt{\epsilon_s / \epsilon_C} m_e^2 c^3 (1+z)^7$. Here the critical magnetic field is defined as $B_0 \equiv m_e^2 e^2 / \epsilon h \approx 4.4 \times 10^{13}$ G (e.g., Brainerd & Petrosian 1987). The above equations are derived by using the common relations $\epsilon_s / m_e c^2 \approx \delta (B^2 / (8 \pi)) \gamma_0^2 / (1+z)$ and $\epsilon_C / m_e c^2 \approx (4/3) \gamma_0^2 \epsilon_s$ (i.e., the typical fluid-frame Lorentz factor of electrons radiating near the peak synchrotron and SSC frequencies is $\gamma_0 \approx (\sqrt{3}/2) \sqrt{\epsilon_C / \epsilon_s}$ in the Thomson limit; e.g., Sikora et al. 2009).

For high-peaked BL Lac objects, the Compton scattering often occurs in the K-N regime, where more detailed modeling is required. Nevertheless, Equations (1) and (2) demonstrate that source parameters such as $\delta$ and $B'$ can be determined from the double-humped SED.

Table 1 gives measured and inferred properties for blazars and radio galaxies with good multiwavelength coverage that

\footnote{See Takami & Sato (2009) for discussions on issues of UHECR anisotropy for protons, and Lemoine & Waxman (2009) and Abreu et al. (2011) for heavy nuclei. Note also the potential contribution from the background Centaurus supercluster pointed out by Ghisellini et al. (2008).}

\footnote{The inequality is derived from $4 \gamma_0^2 \epsilon_s / (1+z) / \delta \lesssim m_e c^2$ and $\epsilon_C \approx (4/3) \gamma_0^2 \epsilon_s$.}

\footnote{Other parameters such as the acceleration efficiency $\eta = \eta' \epsilon / (\epsilon B')$ depend on details of the electron distribution. For example, for the simple power-law injection of accelerated particles, large values of $\eta$ are often suggested (Inoue & Takahara 1996), which may not be the case for injection with multiple power-law segments.}
## Table 1
Measured and Inferred Properties of VHE Blazars and Radio Galaxies

| ID      | Source                  | \(z\)   | Epoch        | \(t_{\nu}\) (s) | \(\delta^a\) | \(\Gamma^b/\theta_{\text{obs}}\) /deg | \(\gamma^c_{\nu}\) | \(\epsilon^d_\nu\) (m.e.c\(^{-2}\)) | \(v_{\nu} F_{\nu}^c (10^{-10})\) (erg cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)) | \(R^{e}/R^{f}\) (cm) | \(R^{g}\) (G) | \(\epsilon^{h}_{\nu}\) (m.e.c\(^{-2}\)) | \(v_{\nu} F_{\nu}^{c'} (10^{-10})\) (erg cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)) | Ref. |
|---------|-------------------------|---------|--------------|------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----|
| 1       | CenA(core)              | 0.00183 | 2009         | \(<1.0 \times 10^8\) | 1.0–3.9   | 2.0–7.0/15–30 (0.8–400) \times 10^8 | (0.8–4000) \times 10^{-1} | 0.09–4.5 | 3.0–11.0 | 0.02–6.2 | 0.17 (8.3 \times 10^8) | 0.025–8.5 | 1   |
| 2       | M87                     | 0.00436 | 2009         | 1.7 \times 10^8  | 3.0        | 2.3/10 | 4 \times 10^3 | 1.6 \times 10^{-7} | 0.06 | 14.0 | 0.055 | 18.6 | 0.068 | 2   |
| 3       | NGC1275                 | 0.0179  | 2010 Oct     | 8.6 \times 10^5  | 2.3        | 1.8/25 | 960 | 2.4 \times 10^{-3} | 0.9 | 2 \times 10^2 | 0.05 | 2.9 \times 10^2 | 0.3 | 3   |
| 4       | NGC6251                 | 0.024   | ...          | ...              | 2.4 | 2.4/25 | 2 \times 10^4 | 6.5 \times 10^{-7} | 0.012 | 120 | 0.037 | 7.3 | 0.047 | 4   |
| 5       | Mrk421                  | 0.03    | 2001 Mar 19  | 1.0 \times 10^5  | 80 | 80 | 9.3 \times 10^4 | 0.005 | 7.4 | 3.0 | 0.048 | 8.1 \times 10^4 | 7.0 | 5   |
| 6       | Mrk501 (h.,1997)        | 0.0337  | 1997 Apr 16  | 7 \times 10^5 | 14–20 | 14–20 (7–300) \times 10^4 | 0.3–0.5 | 8.0–8.5 | 1.0–5.0 | 0.15–0.8 | (1.4–2.6) \times 10^6 | 2.9–3.4 | 6,7,8 |
| 7       | Mrk501 (l.,1997)        | 0.0337  | 1997 Apr 7   | ...              | 15 | 15 | 6 \times 10^3 | 0.002 | 0.63 | 5.0 | 0.8 | 4.4 \times 10^4 | 0.4 | 6   |
| 8       | Mrk501 (l.,2007)        | 0.0337  | 2007         | ...              | 25 | 25 | 1 \times 10^5 | 0.002 | 0.63 | 1.0 | 0.31 | 4.4 \times 10^4 | 0.4 | 9   |
| 9       | Mrk501 (l.,2009)        | 0.0337  | 2009         | 3.5 \times 10^5  | 12–25 | 12–25 (6–90) \times 10^4 | 0.002 | 0.55–0.63 | 1.0–130 | 0.015–0.34 | (1.3–4.4) \times 10^6 | 0.3–0.4 | 7,10,11 |
| 10      | IES1959+650 (h.,2005)   | 0.047   | 2001 Sep–2002 May | (2.2–7.2) \times 10^5 | 18–20 | 14–20 | 4–5 \times 10^4 | (0.07–8) \times 10^{-3} | 1.0–3 | 5.8–9 | 0.04–0.9 | 8 \times 10^{10} | 0.2–2 | 12,13 |
| 11      | IES1959+650 (l.,2005)   | 0.047   | 2006 May 23–25 | 8.64 \times 10^4 | 18 | 18 | 5.7 \times 10^4 | 0.003 | 2.6 | 7.3 | 0.25–0.4 | 1.2 \times 10^8 | 0.22 | 14,15 |
| 12      | PKS2200+420/BL Lac      | 0.069   | ...          | ...              | 15 | 15 | 900.0 | 5.3 \times 10^{-7} | 0.76 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 14 |
| 13      | PKS2005–489             | 0.071   | ...          | ...              | 22 | 22 | 1.3 \times 10^4 | 4.7 \times 10^{-8} | 1.5 | 8.0 | 0.7 | 3.6 \times 10^3 | 0.07 | 14 |
| 14      | WComae                  | 0.102   | 2008 Jun 7–8 | 5400 | 20–25 | 20–25 (1.5–20) \times 10^4 | 8.0 \times 10^{-3} | 0.4 | 3.0 | 0.24–0.3 | 8.1 \times 10^4 | 0.15 | 14,16 |
| 15      | PKS2155−304             | 0.116   | 2006 Jul 28–30 | 300 | 110 | 110 | 4.3 \times 10^4 | 4 \times 10^{-4} | 2.13 | 0.86 | 0.1 | 9.7 \times 10^3 | 20.0 | 5   |

Notes.

\(^a\) Parameter value from the SED modeling in literature (see references).

\(^b\) For blazar sources \(\delta \approx \Gamma \) and \(\delta^b \approx 1 / \Gamma^b\).

\(^c\) High (h.) and low (l.) state.

References. (1) Abd
ewdolo et al. (2010d; see Figure 5 and Table 2 in their paper for the different models); (2) Abdo et al. 2009c; (3) Abdo et al. (2009b; see also Brown & Adams 2011); (4) Migliori et al. 2011; (5) Finke et al. 2008; (6) Pian et al. 1998; (7) Acciari et al. 2011; (8) Katarzynski et al. 2001; (9) Albert et al. 2007b; (10) Anderhub et al. 2009; (11) Abdo et al. 2011c; (12) Tagliaferri et al. 2003; (13) Krawczynski et al. 2004; (14) Tavecchio et al. 2010; (15) Tagliaferri et al. 2008; (16) Acciari et al. 2009b.
are well described by a synchrotron/SSC model, where derived values of magnetic fields and Doppler and Lorentz factors based on detailed synchrotron and SSC modeling are taken from the literature (rather than using Equations (1) and (2)). In Table 2, we also show parameters derived with Equations (1) and (2) (only when $\delta > \delta_p$ is satisfied). For blazars, we assume that $\Gamma \approx \delta$, whereas values of the angle of the jetted emission with respect to the observer inferred from observations are considered for radio galaxies. Also, $R' \approx c t'_{\text{var}} = c \delta t_{\text{var}}/(1 + z)$ is used.

The SED modeling is much improved thanks to the constantly increasing multiwavelength coverage, which also allows simultaneous multi-band observations. Nevertheless, there is still some degree of scatter among parameter sets obtained by different groups, partially related to unavoidable parameter degeneracy with respect to the observables. In some cases, there is even significant scatter between derived parameters for the same state of a specific source (Cen A) or between different states of the same source (Mrk 501). In particular, the whole SED of Cen A up to the TeV-band cannot be fitted with a unique parameter set. Abdo et al. (2010d) show different models and parameter values for the same data, which reflect the limitations of fitting single epoch, single component SEDs for derivations of source parameters. However, our conclusions are not affected by uncertainties of the poorly constrained parameters, as we discuss in more detail below.

### 2.2. Implications for UHECR Acceleration

The Hillas condition (Hillas 1984) limits the maximum accelerated energy of ions with charge $Z$ to

$$ E_A^{\text{max}} \approx Ze B' \Gamma R', $$

in order that the particle Larmor radius is smaller than the characteristic size scale $R' \lesssim c t_{\text{var}} = c \delta t_{\text{var}}/(1 + z)$. The inequality is replaced by an equality in our estimates. Equation (3) can be rewritten using the Thomson-limit relations given above when $\delta \approx \Gamma$, in which case one gets

$$ E_A^{\text{max}} \approx Ze \frac{4(L_0^{1/4})^{1/2} B_0^{12/4} \gamma_s}{3e^{4/4} A C^{1/4} \epsilon C^{1/2}}. $$

(4)

Note that $E_A^{\text{max}}$ is defined in the cosmic rest frame of UHECR production.

In Table 2, the maximum proton energy is estimated using Equation (3) and parameters given in Table 1, which in turn are based on the results of synchrotron/SSC model fits for these sources found in dedicated modeling papers (rather than using Equations (1) and (2)). Here, note that other losses and details of the acceleration process could limit the maximum particle energy further. For the cases considered in Table 1, it is barely possible to accelerate protons up to $\sim 10^{20}$ eV, whereas Fe nuclei could easily reach $\gtrsim 10^{20}$ eV provided that they can survive photodisintegration. Alternately, $\gtrsim 10^{20}$ eV proton acceleration could occur transiently during rare bursts or flares (Dermer et al. 2009; Murase & Takami 2009), though according to Table 2 it might still be difficult even for bright flares from Mrk 501 and PKS 2155–304. As noticed above, there is large scatter in parameters due to uncertainties. However, even with the allowed spread in the parameter values (see Table 2), this conclusion seems robust.

A similar conclusion is also reached when considering luminosity requirements for BL Lac objects and FR-I radio galaxies (Dermer & Razzaque 2010; Ghisellini et al. 2010).

In Ghisellini et al. (2010), physical parameters were obtained via spectral modeling using their one-zone leptonic model of all blazars with known redshift detected by the Fermi satellite during its first three-month survey. The inferred magnetic luminosity of BL Lac objects is typically $L_B \sim 10^{46} \delta^2 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ and almost all of them satisfy $L_B \lesssim 2 \times 10^{47} \delta^2 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, where $\delta_1 = \delta/10$. On the other hand, the required magnetic luminosity for UHECR acceleration to $10^{20} E_{A,20}^{\text{max}}$ eV is $L_B \approx 2 \times 10^{47} \Gamma_2^2 (E_{A,20}^{\text{max}})^2 Z^{-2} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, where $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma/10$. Hence, it also suggests difficulties in acceleration of $\gtrsim 10^{20.5}$ eV protons in typical BL Lac objects, though the simple SSC model cannot be so simply applied to lower-peaked BL Lac objects where values of $B'$ and $\delta$ are not well defined possibly due to external Compton scattering components.

If BL Lac objects and FR-I radio galaxies, as has often been considered (e.g., Tynakov & Tkachev 2001; Berezhzinsky et al. 2002; Dermier et al. 2009), accelerate the UHECRs, then an ultra-high-energy (UHE) proton origin of the highest-energy cosmic rays is disfavored from spectral modeling if the standard synchrotron/SSC model is correct. Heavier nuclei can, however, be accelerated up to UHEs. The composition of UHECRs is an open question, with both proton and heavy-ion-dominated compositions having been claimed to be compatible with HiRes (Abbasi et al. 2010) and the Pierre Auger Observatory (PAO; Abraham et al. 2010) data, respectively. As seen here, the standard model for $\gamma$-ray emission from BL Lac objects and FR-I radio galaxies suggests a transition from proton to heavy-ion-dominated composition at $\sim (10^{18} - 10^{19})$ eV.

We have assumed that $\gamma$-rays from TeV blazars and radio galaxies are from leptonic Compton scattering in order that synchrotron theory can be used to derive the various parameters. Thus the hadronic $\gamma$-ray flux must be considerably smaller for a consistent interpretation. Sufficiently high-energy protons and nuclei interact with synchrotron photons in the jet via the photomeson process, with photopion production efficiency $f_{p\gamma}$ for cosmic-ray protons estimated to be (e.g., Murase & Beacom 2010a)

$$ f_{p\gamma} \approx 2.3 \times 10^{-4} \left( \frac{2.5}{1 + \alpha} \right) L_{\gamma,\text{4eV}}^{-1} \gamma_s^{-4} \Delta_{\ell}^{-1} \left( \frac{1 \text{ keV}}{\epsilon_s} \right) \left( \frac{E_p}{E}\right)^{\alpha-1}, $$

(5)

$$ E_p \simeq 1.6 \times 10^{16} \text{ eV} (\epsilon_s/1 \text{ keV})^{-1} \delta_1/(1 + \epsilon_s)^{-2} $$

is the typical energy of a proton that interacts with a photon with $\epsilon_s$ (where the proton energy is here defined in the observer frame). Also, $\alpha$ is the photon index at energies below or above $\epsilon_s$. For $\alpha \approx 1.5$, which is typical of BL Lac objects at $E_p > E_p^b$; the photomeson production efficiency at $\sim 10^{19}$ eV becomes of the order of $f_{p\gamma} \sim 6 \times 10^{-3}$, which suggests that the photomeson process is inefficient for this kind of blazar (though it could be more efficient for low-peaked BL Lac objects and FSRQs). The efficiency can also be higher if $\Gamma$ is lower, provided that $\Gamma$ is consistent with synchrotron/SSC model fits and minimum Lorentz factor estimates inferred from, e.g., $\gamma\gamma$ opacity arguments.

Roughly half of the pions produced by photomeson production are charged, and each neutrino carries $\sim 1/4$ of the pion energy, so the total (isotropically-equivalent) neutrino luminosity at given $E_\nu \approx 0.05 E_p$ is estimated to be $E_{\nu,7} \sim (3/8) f_{p\gamma}(E_p) E L_{\nu,7}^{CR} \simeq 3.8 \times 10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1} f_{p\gamma,-2} (E L_{\nu,7}^{CR}/10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1})$ for a source satisfying $f_{p\gamma} \lesssim 1$ like BL Lac objects, where $f_{p\gamma} = 10^{-2} f_{p\gamma,-2}$ is used.
Table 2
Inferred Properties of VHE Blazars and Radio Galaxies

| ID | Source             | $d_L$ (Mpc) | $L_s^{\gamma}$ (10^{45}) (erg s^{-1}) | $L_s^{\gamma}$ (10^{45}) (erg s^{-1}) | $A_C$ | $\gamma'_b$ | $\delta_T$ | $E_{\text{max}}$ (eV) | $B_e$ (G) | $R'_e$ (10^{15}) | $E_{\text{max}}$ (10^{19})^f (eV) |
|----|--------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| 1  | CenA (core)        | 3.7         | (0.15–7.3) × 10^{-4}                 | (0.04–14) × 10^{-4}                 | 0.3–1.9| 890–2.1 × 10^4| 9.9–(6 × 10^{-4})| 0.12–3.7             | 3.0–12    | 0.02–9.1        | 0.01–4                      |
| 2  | M87                | 16.7        | 2.0 × 10^{-4}                        | 2.3 × 10^{-4}                       | 1.1    | 9.3 × 10^3  | 0.006       | 2.7                 | 20        | 0.021           | 0.05            |
| 3  | NGC1275            | 75.3        | 0.06                                 | 0.02                                | 0.35   | 960         | 0.005       | ...                 | ...       | ...             | 5               |
| 4  | NGC6251            | 104         | 2 × 10^{-3}                          | 6.6 × 10^{-3}                      | 3.3    | 2.9 × 10^3  | 0.007       | ...                 | ...       | ...             | 0.3            |
| 5  | Mrk421             | 130.0       | 1.5                                  | 1.4                                 | 0.95   | 3.4 × 10^3  | 74          | ...                 | ...       | ...             | 2               |
| 6  | Mrk501 (h.,1997)^f | 146.0       | 2.0–2.2                              | 0.7–0.9                             | 0.36–0.41 | (1.4–2.5) × 10^3 | 3.0–3.5 × 10^3 | ...                 | ...       | 0.1–2           | ...             |
| 7  | Mrk501 (1,1997)^f  | 146.0       | 0.2–0.4                              | 0.1–0.2                             | 0.44–0.63 | (0.08–1.3) × 10^4 | 100–1700    | ...                 | ...       | ...             | 0.2            |
| 8  | Mrk501 (1,2007)^f  | 146.0       | 0.2                                  | 0.1                                 | 0.63   | 1.3 × 10^3  | 100         | ...                 | ...       | ...             | 0.2–0.7        |
| 9  | Mrk501 (1,2009)^f  | 146.0       | 0.1–0.2                              | 0.08–0.1                           | 0.55–0.63 | (0.7–1.3) × 10^4 | 58–100      | ...                 | ...       | ...             | 0.1–3          |
| 10 | 1ES1959+650(h.)^g  | 206         | 0.5–1.5                              | 0.1–1.1                             | 0.2–0.8 | (2.7–9.5) × 10^4 | 27–910      | ...                 | ...       | ...             | 1               |
| 11 | 1ES1959+650(l,)^g  | 206         | 1.3                                  | 0.1                                 | 0.08   | 6600        | 66          | ...                 | ...       | ...             | 1–2            |
| 12 | PKS2200+420/BL Lac | 307.0       | 0.8                                  | 0.45                                | 0.53   | 2.8 × 10^3  | 0.006       | ...                 | ...       | ...             | 1              |
| 13 | PKS2005–489        | 316.0       | 1.8                                  | 0.07                                | 0.04   | 7.6 × 10^3  | 1.5         | ...                 | ...       | ...             | 4              |
| 14 | WComae             | 464.0       | 1.0                                  | 0.38                                | 0.38   | 8.7 × 10^3  | 3.1         | 7.2                 | 3.0–3.7   | 2.1–2.6         | 0.4–0.7         |
| 15 | PKS2155–304        | 533.0       | 7.2                                  | 68                                  | 9.4    | 1.3 × 10^4  | 24          | ...                 | ...       | ...             | 0.3            |

Notes.

a $\gamma'_b \approx \sqrt{3}/2 \sqrt{\epsilon_C/\epsilon_s}$.

b $\delta_T = 2 \sqrt{\gamma'_b/\epsilon_C/\epsilon_s/m_e c^3} (1 + z)$.

c Obtained from Equation (1).

d Calculated assuming $R'_e \approx c t_{\text{var}} = c b t_{\text{var}}/(1 + z)$.

e Obtained from Equation (2).

f Obtained from Equation (3) using $B_e$, $\Gamma$, and $R'_e$ reported in Table 1.

^g High (h.) and low (l.) state.
Then, one finds that the neutrino flux from an individual source is typically too low to be detected with IceCube. One can also see that the cumulative background flux from high-peaked BL Lac objects is low. The UHECR energy input in the local universe is estimated to be $(\sim 5 \times 10^{43} \text{erg Mpc}^{-3} \text{yr}^{-1})$ at $10^{19} \text{eV}$ (Murase & Takami 2009), so that assuming that such BL Lac objects and FR-I galaxies are the main UHECR sources, the expected cumulative muon neutrino background flux is estimated to be (Murase & Beacom 2010a)

$$E_\nu^2 \Phi_\nu \sim 10^{-10} \text{GeV cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1} \times \left(\frac{f_{\nu\nu}(20 \text{GeV})}{10^{-2}}\right) E_{\nu,17.5}^{-2} f_\nu,$$

where $p$ is the cosmic-ray spectral index and $f_\nu$ is a pre-factor coming from the redshift evolution of the sources. Low photon production efficiencies also follow if the UHECRs are heavy nuclei, whose losses are dominated by photodisintegration (see below). More luminous blazars, including low-peaked BL Lac objects, may, however, lead to higher photon production efficiencies, so that the cumulative neutrino background could be dominated by this class of AGNs (Mücke et al. 2003).

Our evaluation is based on the standard synchrotron/SSC model for BL Lac objects and FR-I radio galaxies. One could abandon the standard synchrotron/SSC model and consider a highly magnetized, $\sim 10$–100 G jet model, which is needed in hadronic blazar models to accelerate protons to $\gtrsim 10^{20} \text{eV}$ (Aharonian 2000). Correspondingly, the minimum magnetic luminosity is estimated to be $L_B \approx 2 \times 10^{46} \text{erg s}^{-1} f_\gamma(E_{\gamma,\max}^2)$, which is larger than the typical synchrotron luminosity of BL Lac objects, $L_\gamma \sim 10^{46} \text{erg s}^{-1}$. In the hadronic models, $\gamma$-ray emission is attributed to proton synchrotron radiation and/or proton-induced cascade emission, which leads to the requirement that the UHECR luminosity is $L_{\text{UHECR}} \gtrsim L_C^\gamma = A C L_\gamma$. In the proton synchrotron blazar model (Aharonian 2000; Mücke & Protheroe 2001; Mücke et al. 2003), which is typically viable for high-peaked BL Lac objects, proton synchrotron radiation is emitted up to energies of $E_\gamma \approx 4 \delta_1/(1 + z) \text{TeV}$ (in the limit that the maximum energy is determined by the synchrotron cooling) in efficient Fermi acceleration scenarios. The photon production efficiency for protons is strongly dependent on $\delta$, but the condition $L_B \gg L_\gamma^p$ suggests that the synchrotron energy loss is dominant at UHEs where the proton synchrotron radiation is typically prominent at $\sim$TeV energies (though the photohadronic cascade component may become relevant at lower energies). The strong magnetic field also suppresses electronic SSC emission because fewer electrons are needed to generate the same synchrotron flux.

2.3. Survival of Nuclei in the Source

If the standard synchrotron/SSC scenario holds for TeV blazars and their misaligned counterparts, then the protons can hardly reach $10^{20} \text{eV}$, as shown in Table 2 (see $E_A^{\text{max}}$ obtained by detailed modeling in the literature). For BL Lac objects and FR-I galaxies to be the steady sources of UHECRs, therefore, UHECRs would primarily be heavier nuclei. In such a scenario, one has to examine whether ions can survive photodisintegration losses (cf. Murase et al. 2008a; Wang et al. 2008; Pe’er et al. 2009). The photodisintegration opacity is estimated similarly to the photon production efficiency. Approximating the photodisintegration cross section by the giant dipole resonance (GDR) cross section as $\sigma_{\gamma \gamma} \sim \sigma_{\text{GDR}}(\epsilon - \epsilon_{\text{GDR}}) \Delta \epsilon_{\text{GDR}}$, for a sufficiently soft photon spectrum (with $\alpha \gtrsim 1$), we get (Murase & Beacom 2010a; see also Murase et al. 2008a for the non-GDR effect)

$$\tau_{A\gamma} \approx \frac{\Gamma_{\gamma \gamma}}{E_A^\gamma} \approx \frac{2 \sigma_{\text{GDR}}}{\sqrt{\epsilon_{\text{GDR}}}} \frac{L_\gamma^\gamma}{4 \pi \delta_1 \epsilon_{\text{var}}^2 \epsilon_\gamma^2} \left(\frac{E_A^\gamma}{E_A^\nu}\right)^{\alpha - 1},$$

where $\Gamma_{\gamma \gamma}$ is the photodisintegration interaction time, $\sigma_{\text{GDR}} \approx 1.45 \times 10^{-27} \text{cm}^2$, $\epsilon_{\text{GDR}} \approx 42.65 A^{-0.21} \text{MeV}$ (for $A > 4$), $\Delta \epsilon_{\text{GDR}} \approx 8 \text{MeV}$, and $E_A^\gamma \approx 0.53 \epsilon_A^\gamma A^{1.5} \epsilon_{\text{GDR}} / \epsilon_\gamma^2 (1 + z)^{-2}$ (in the observer frame). Then we numerically find

$$\tau_{A\gamma}(E_A) \approx 0.16 \left(\frac{2.5}{1 + \alpha}\right)^2 \left(\frac{E_A^\gamma}{1 \text{keV}}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{E_A^\nu}{E_A^\gamma}\right)^{\alpha - 1} \left(\frac{\epsilon_\gamma}{1 \text{keV}}\right)^{-1} L_\gamma^\gamma \gamma_{\gamma\gamma}^4 \delta_1^{-4},$$

where $E_A^\gamma \approx 4.8 \times 10^{16} \text{eV}(A/56)^{0.79} (\epsilon_\gamma/1 \text{keV})^{-1} \delta_1^{-1}(1 + z)^{-2}$ is the energy of a nucleus that typically interacts with a photon with $\epsilon_\gamma$. Hence, heavy nuclei with $E_A \approx (Z/26)10^{20} \text{eV}$ (given in the observer frame) undergo some photodisintegration reactions unless $\delta$ is high enough. The nucleus survival condition $\tau_{A\gamma}(10^{20} \text{eV}) \lesssim 1$ gives $\delta \gtrsim 17 (Z/26)^{0.1}$ ($A/56)^{-0.079} (L_\gamma^\gamma / 10^{54} \text{erg} / \text{s})^{1.5} (\epsilon_\gamma / 1 \text{keV})^{-0.1} (1 + z)^{-1.5} / (\alpha \sim 1.5)$, but significant photodisintegration loss is easily avoided for reasonably large bulk outflow Doppler factors.

Recalling from Equation (5) that the photon production efficiency has the same dependence on $\delta$, we can conclude that when heavy nuclei survive photodisintegration, the photon production efficiency is so low that the corresponding neutrino and $\gamma$-ray fluxes are not easily detected (Murase & Beacom 2010a, but see also Murase & Beacom 2010b).

3. EXTREME TeV BLAZARS AND INTERGALACTIC CASCADES

The $\gamma\gamma$ opacity argument allows us to place constraints on $\delta$ in BL Lac objects observed at TeV energies, and requires $\delta \gtrsim 60$ for PKS 2155–304 (Begelman et al. 2008) for the major 2006 July/August TeV flares (Aharonian et al. 2007b), and $\delta \gtrsim 100$ to be furthermore consistent with synchrotron/SSC model fitting for different models of the extragalactic background light (EBL; Finke et al. 2008). Another important fact is that VHE photons can interact with the cosmic photon backgrounds. VHE $\gamma$-rays produce electron–positron pairs via $\gamma\gamma$ pair creation, and the resulting high-energy pairs make high-energy photons via Compton scattering. Hence, the cascaded $\gamma$-rays, which are often called pair echoes (e.g., Plaga 1995; Murase et al. 2008b) and/or pair haloes (e.g., Aharonian et al. 1994; Neronov & Semikoz 2007), are expected at GeV–TeV energies. In particular, $\gamma$-rays with energies below $\sim 100 \text{TeV}$ are likely to leave structured regions of the universe, inducing a cascade in the void region (Murase et al. 2008b).

Many $\gamma$-ray blazars show variability, and often display spectacular flares. Some of them are ultra-variable, as seen in multi-TeV flaring episodes from PKS 2155–304 (Aharonian et al. 2007b, 2009b), Mrk 501 (Albert et al. 2007b), and Mrk 421 (Galante et al. 2011). Such rapidly varying $\gamma$-ray emission should be produced in the blazar region. This is because the IGMF will introduce a significant time spread in the cascade radiation, which seems incompatible with rapidly varying emissions. For example, consider primary $10 \text{TeV}$ $\gamma$-rays, so the Compton-upscattered cosmic microwave background (CMB)
photons have $E_{\gamma} \sim (4/3)\gamma^2 k_{\text{CMB}} \approx 88 \text{GeV} \gamma^{-2}$. Based on the lower limits of $B_{\text{IG}V} \lambda_{\text{coh}}^{1/2} \gtrsim 10^{-18} - 10^{-17} \text{G Mpc}^{1/2}$ (Dolag et al. 2011; Dermer et al. 2011; Takahashi et al. 2012) obtained for 1ES 0229+200 (where the term $\lambda_{\text{coh}}$ is the coherence length of the magnetic field, and this relation is understood to apply when $\lambda_{\text{coh}}$ is smaller than the cooling length for GeV production), the timescale of the ~0.1 TeV pair echo is estimated to be (Murase et al. 2008b; Dermer et al. 2011)

$$\Delta t_{\text{IGV}} \simeq 1.4 \gamma^2 \gamma \gamma^{-2}, B_{\text{IG}V}^{-1} \gamma^{-1} (\gamma \gamma/100 \text{Mpc})(1+z)^{-1},$$

where $\gamma \gamma$ is the mean free path for the $\gamma \gamma$ pair creation and the void IGMF $B_{\text{IG}V}$ is defined in the frame of the Hubble flow. Therefore, the highly variable VHE radiation from these BL Lac objects is likely to be either leptonic synchrotron or SSC, or proton synchrotron radiation produced in the jet (even though another slowly variable component may be produced by the secondary emission).

This conclusion does not, however, hold for a fraction of blazars and radio galaxies from which prominent variability has not been seen. An interesting source is the extreme TeV blazar 1ES 0229+200, which has a hard VHE component extending to $>10$ TeV, but has not been reported to be variable in observations taken over a period of 3–4 yr (Aharonian et al. 2007a; Perkins et al. 2010). If the apparent variability of the absence comes from observational limitations and if fast variability is present, the emission should be produced in/near the blazar region. If it is the case that there is no rapid variability, the observed component may come from an extended jet (Böttcher et al. 2008; see also Section 4 for further discussion on the $\gamma$-ray emission region). In addition, as we see in this paper, a slowly variable component can be $\gamma$-ray-induced intergalactic cascade emission. Furthermore, if it is non-variable, proton-induced intergalactic cascade emission (i.e., intergalactic cascades caused by UHE $\gamma$-rays and pairs generated via the photons meson production with the CMB and EBL) can be responsible for the observed emission. These cascade emissions may confuse the interpretation of the minimum bulk Lorentz factor from $\gamma \gamma$ opacity arguments and the level of the EBL (Essey & Kusenko 2010; Essey et al. 2010, 2011). The intergalactic cascade components could be present not only in extreme blazars, but also on longer timescales in other blazars and radio galaxies. For example, a slowly variable (~month) emission at GeV energies was observed from Mrk 501 (Abdo et al. 2011c), which could arise from the intergalactic cascade induced by variable TeV source photons (Neronov et al. 2011).

Here we focus on the intergalactic cascade scenarios in order to explain hard VHE spectra of extreme TeV blazars whose variability is apparently absent. We calculate the cascade emission by solving the Boltzmann equations, where $\gamma \gamma$ pair creation, IC scattering, synchrotron radiation, and adiabatic energy loss are taken into account (Lee 1998). As for proton propagation, we directly solve the equation of motion of protons one by one, with photosion production simulated by SOPHIA (Mücke et al. 2000) and the Bethe–Heitler process included to treat interactions with the ambient photon field (Chodorowski et al. 1992). Then the electromagnetic cascade is calculated separately. For the EBL model, we employ the low-IR and best-fit models (Kneiske et al. 2004; Kneiske & Dole 2010; see Finke et al. 2010 for detailed discussions on the EBL). We focus on the possibility that the cascade interpretation is a viable explanation of VHE $\gamma$-ray spectra of extreme TeV blazars. Here, the IGMF in voids has to be weak enough ($B_{\text{IG}V} \lambda_{\text{coh}}^{1/2} \lesssim 10^{-15} \text{G Mpc}^{1/2}$) that the cascade radiation at TeV energies is not suppressed by the IGMF if it is to make the measured flux in the VHE range. On the other hand, the void IGMF cannot be below $10^{-18}$ G Mpc$^{-1/2}$ due to constraints from Fermi (e.g., Dolag et al. 2011; Dermer et al. 2011; Tavecchio et al. 2011; Taylor et al. 2011; Ahlers & Salvado 2011).

An important point is that cosmic magnetic fields are almost certainly inhomogeneous. Whereas one may expect very weak IGMFs in voids, $B_{\text{IG}V} \lambda_{\text{coh}}^{1/2} \ll 10^{-9} \text{G Mpc}^{1/2}$, the structured region of the universe is likely to be significantly magnetized. Clusters of galaxies are known to have $B_{\text{EG}} \sim 0.1 - 1 \mu G$ (e.g., Vallée 2004), and recent simulations have suggested that filaments have $B_{\text{IG}V} \sim 1 - 10 \mu G$ (Ryu et al. 2008; Das et al. 2008; see also, e.g., Donnert et al. 2009), which are larger than levels expected for the IGMF in voids, and galaxies including AGNs are likely located in these structured regions of the universe. The mean free path of $\lesssim 100$ TeV and $\gtrsim 3$ EeV $\gamma$-rays is larger than $\sim \text{Mpc}$ (e.g., Dermer 2007), so that one may expect that the cascade emission induced by VHE/UE primary $\gamma$-rays is primarily developed in the voids. On the other hand, ions must propagate in the clusters and/or filaments, so that they are deflected (and delayed) by their magnetic fields. Indeed, as demonstrated by a number of authors, the structured EGMFs play a crucial role in the propagation of UHECRs (e.g., Takami et al. 2006; Das et al. 2008), and this is even more so the case for lower-energy cosmic rays.

### 3.1. Cascades by Primary VHE/UE Gamma Rays

SEDs of high-peaked BL Lac objects are generally well reproduced by the standard one-zone electronic synchrotron/SSC model. Among them, extreme TeV blazars have the hardest VHE $\gamma$-ray spectra at $\sim 1$–10 TeV energies, as indicated by deabsorption of the measured $\gamma$-ray spectrum based on conventional EBL models (discussed below) and supported by non-detections of GeV $\gamma$-rays by Fermi. Also, in some cases (RGB J0152+017, 1ES 0229+200, and 1ES 0548–322), the optical/UV data show a rather steep spectrum which is thought to be the emission from the host galaxies (Tavecchio et al. 2011). Although the synchrotron component of extreme TeV blazars seems unremarkable at the optical/UV band, in these cases, comparison between optical/UV and X-ray data requires a strong roll-off of the nonthermal spectrum below the X-ray band, suggesting that $F_\nu \propto \nu^{1/3}$ for 1ES 0229+200 (Tavecchio et al. 2011).

It is possible to explain such hard $\gamma$-ray spectra by the SSC model, but extreme parameters seem necessary compared to cases of typical, variable high-peaked BL Lac objects. It often suggests a very narrow-range energy distribution of electrons, and unusually large values of $\delta \sim 10^{-10} - 10^{-15}$ may be necessary to avoid the K-N suppression. For 1ES 0229+200, PKS 0548–322, and 1ES 0347–121, extreme values of the electron minimum Lorentz factor of $V_{e, \text{min}} \sim 10^5 - 10^9$ are required from spectral modeling, where the hard SSC number spectrum, $F_\nu \equiv (\nu F_\nu)/E^2 \propto E^{-2}$ (in the Thomson regime) can be expected in the VHE range (Tavecchio et al. 2011).

There are several alternate blazar models that predict very hard $\sim 30$–100 TeV $\gamma$-ray emission. In the hadronic model, the proton synchrotron process leads to multi-TeV emission if the outflow is ultrarelativistic, $\Gamma \sim 10^2 - 10^3$. Then, further hardening may be caused by internal absorption due to some soft photon field outside the blob (Zacharopoulou et al. 2011). Another possibility to make hard TeV emission is electromagnetic radiation produced by nonthermal electrons in the vacuum gap of the black hole magnetosphere (e.g., Levinson 2000).
Böttcher et al. (2008) suggested that hard VHE emission originates from CMB photons Compton-upscttered by relativistic electrons that are accelerated in the extended jet. In this model, if the electron spectrum is hard, $p \sim 1.5$, the resulting number spectrum of the IC emission has $F_E \propto E^{-(1+p)/2} \sim E^{-5/4}$, which is compatible with the observed VHE $\gamma$-ray spectrum. The same process could be important for recollimation shocks (e.g., Bromberg & Levinson 2009; Nalewajko & Sikora 2009), or acceleration at knots and hot spots, noting that variations on much longer timescales can be expected in these models.

When VHE $\gamma$-rays are emitted from a source, they induce an electromagnetic cascade in intergalactic space. This cascade unavoidably accompanies spectral production of extreme TeV blazars as long as the IGMF in voids is weak enough. To demonstrate this, we show in Figures 1 and 2 the VHE $\gamma$-ray-induced cascade emission for sources at various redshifts. In Figure 1, primary source photons with $F_E \propto E^{-\beta}$ with $\beta = 2/3$ and $E_{\text{max}} = 100$ TeV (in the source rest frame) are assumed. One sees that the observed cutoff due to the EBL becomes lower for more distant sources since the $\gamma\gamma$ pair-creation opacity increases. In Figure 2, a different photon index ($\beta = 5/4$) and/or a different maximum energy ($E_{\text{max}} = 10^{1.5}$ TeV) are assumed for comparison, which causes slight differences in spectra. As indicated by Equation (9), the intergalactic cascade emission induced by primary $\gamma$-rays will be slowly variable or almost steady.

Another way to have $\gamma$-ray-induced emission involves UHE $\gamma$-rays produced in blazar jets or radio galaxies. Such a case is shown in Figure 3 assuming much higher injected photon energies than before. Here we assume an injection spectrum with $-2$ number index centered at 10 EeV spanning one decade. The photomeson production by UHE protons, which can be expected in hadronic models, leads to UHE photons with energy $E_\gamma \approx 0.1 E_p \simeq 10^{19} eV E_p$, 20. In the synchrotron source in which UHE protons are accelerated, one may expect that the synchrotron self-absorption cutoff curtails the number of low-energy photons impeding UHE photon escape from the emission region (Murase 2009). A caveat of this model in our case is that generation of UHE $\gamma$-rays in the source requires acceleration of UHE protons and moderately efficient photomeson production. As noted before, the photomeson production in the source may not be too efficient in high-peaked BL Lac objects, which implies that the required UHECR luminosity has to be very large. As can be seen, there is some notable differences at low redshifts $z \lesssim 0.1$ due to the longer effective energy-loss length of UHE $\gamma$-rays, but the received spectra are not strongly sensitive to the energy at which the photons are injected for higher redshift sources.

Note that the intergalactic cascade scenario makes a non-variable or slowly variable component, even when the $\gamma$-ray emission made in the jet contributes to a separate highly variable component. Although there is no strong evidence of time variability for several extreme TeV blazars, future sensitive observations by CTA (Actis et al. 2011), HAWC (Sandoval et al. 2009), LHAASO (Cao 2010), or SCORE (Hampf et al. 2011) will be crucial for identifying a slowly variable $\gamma$-ray emission component.

### 3.2. Cascades by Primary UHECRs

In the previous subsection, we considered cascade emission induced by primary $\gamma$-rays. VHE $\gamma$-rays at $\lesssim 100$ TeV, or UHE $\gamma$-rays with energies $\gtrsim 3$ EeV, where the opacity of the background radiation is not so large, can leave structured regions...
of the universe, whereas cosmic rays should feel structured EGMFs in clusters and filaments. The deflection of cosmic rays by the structured region with size \( l \sim \text{Mpc} \), magnetic field of \( B_{\text{EG}} \sim 10^6 \text{nG} \), and coherence length of \( \lambda_{\text{coh}} \sim 0.1 \text{Mpc} \) (which may be typical of filaments; Ryu et al. 2008) is estimated to be (Takami & Murase 2011)

\[
\theta_{\text{CR}} \approx \frac{\sqrt{2\lambda_{\text{coh}}}}{3r_L} \approx 8^{1/2} Z E_{A,19}^{-1/8} B_{\text{EG}_{-8}}^{1/2} \left( \frac{l}{\text{Mpc}} \right)^{1/2}. \tag{10}
\]

Therefore, the deflection by the structured EGMFs is not negligible for cosmic rays with energies \( \lesssim 10^{19} \text{eV} \), since the deflection angle is larger than the typical jet opening angle of \( \theta_j \sim 0.1 \sim 6^\circ \). The corresponding time spread due to a structured EGMF around the source (that is comparable to the time delay) is expected to be

\[
\frac{\Delta t_{\text{CR}}}{1+z} \approx \frac{1}{4} \frac{1^2}{c} \frac{l}{\text{Mpc}} \sim 2 \times 10^6 \text{yr} Z^2 E_{A,19}^{-2} B_{\text{EG}_{-8}}^2 \left( \frac{\lambda_{\text{coh}}}{0.1 \text{Mpc}} \right) \left( \frac{l}{\text{Mpc}} \right)^2, \tag{11}
\]

which is unavoidable as long as cosmic rays pass through the structured region around the source, and it implies that the resulting cascade emission is essentially regarded as steady emission. Note that the total time spread \( \Delta t_{\text{CR}} \) could generally be longer than \( \Delta t_{\text{CR}} \) due to additional time spread by intervening structured EGMFs and the void IGMF.

In order to model the structured EGMFs, we have assumed a simplified two-zone model with structured EGMF and IGMF in voids (see Takami & Murase 2011 for details). We model a cluster of galaxies by a sphere with the radius of 3 Mpc, and \( B_{\text{EG}}(r) = B_0(1 + r/r_c)^{-0.7} \), with \( B_0 = 1 \mu \text{G} \) and \( r_c = 378 \text{kpc} \). The magnetic field direction is assumed to be turbulent with the Kolmogorov spectrum and the maximum length of \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \sim 100 \text{kpc} \). In addition to the EBL, the infrared background of 100 giant elliptical galaxies calculated by GRASIL (Silva et al. 1998), using a fitting formula for the gas distribution (Rordorf et al. 2004). Filaments are modeled by a cylinder with a radius of 2 Mpc (Ryu et al. 2008) and a height of 25 Mpc. The magnetic field is assumed to be turbulent, which is described by the Kolmogorov spectrum with \( B_{\text{EG}} = 10^6 \text{nG} \) and \( \lambda_{\text{max}} = 100 \text{kpc} \), although these values are very uncertain. Some numerical simulations imply a large-scale coherent component of the magnetic field in filaments (e.g., Brüggen et al. 2005), which may deflect cosmic-ray trajectories even more effectively. UHECRs are injected from the center of the filament toward a direction perpendicular to the cylindrical axis in order to examine a relatively conservative case. Throughout this work, the IGMF in voids is assumed to be weak enough to be less important for cosmic-ray deflections.

In Figure 4, we show our numerical results for the case \( E_p^{\text{max}} = 10^{19} \text{eV} \) expected in the standard synchrotron/SSC model of typical, variable BL Lac objects and FR-I galaxies. One sees that the structured EGMFs play an important role by suppressing the resulting \( \gamma \)-ray flux by more than one order of magnitude compared to the case without them. In Figure 5, we show the case of \( E_p^{\text{max}} = 10^{20} \text{eV} \), which can be achieved in the hadronic model. While the Bethe–Heitler pair-creation process provides the dominant electromagnetic component in Figure 4, contribution of photomeson production is more important in Figure 5. In the filament case, the deflection angle of UHECRs around \( 10^{20} \text{eV} \) is still less than the jet opening angle, so that the \( \gamma \)-ray flux is diluted by only a small factor. On the other hand, in the cluster case, because UHECRs cannot be beamed, the \( \gamma \)-ray flux becomes almost isotropic and the corresponding flux is reduced according to the jet beaming factor \((1 - \cos \theta_j) \approx 1/200 \) for \( \theta_j = 0.1 \). The effects of the structured EGMFs are illustrated in Figure 6, where the relative contributions are calculated from two-dimensional Gaussian fits. Note that if we express the isotropic-equivalent cosmic-ray luminosity where cosmic rays leave the structured region as \( E_{L_{\text{CR}}} \), then the relative contributions are \((1 - \cos \theta_j)(E_{L_{\text{CR}}})/(E_{L_{\text{CR}}}^{\text{max}}) \). In the filament case, isotropization becomes significant at \( \sim 10^{19} \text{eV} \) rather than at \( \sim 10^{21} \text{eV} \) for the cluster case.

In Figure 7, we show resulting \( \gamma \)-ray spectra for various redshifts. Owing to the Bethe–Heitler process with energy-loss length \( \sim \text{Gpc} \), UHE protons continue to supply electron–positron pairs for a longer distance than the photomeson energy-loss length of \( \sim 100 \text{Mpc} \). As a result, the dependence of the proton-induced \( \gamma \)-ray fluxes on distance is much gentler than


gamma-ray-induced fluxes. Indeed, one sees that the relative importance of the proton-induced gamma-ray flux to the gamma-ray-induced flux increases with distance (compare Figure 7 with Figures 1 and 3). Importantly for distant sources, the proton-induced cascade spectrum is much harder than the gamma-ray-induced spectrum, especially above TeV energies. Future VHE observations by telescopes spectrally resolved to TeV energies could also be explained by intergalactic cascade emissions. Non-simultaneous TeV excesses are also seen above the extrapolation of the GeV flux in NGC 1275 (Abdo et al. 2009b) and the core of Cen A (Abdo et al. 2010d), but because of their proximity, these excesses are unlikely to be UHECR-induced emissions not made in intergalactic space.

Figure 8 demonstrates that 1ES 0229+200 can be fit by both the gamma-ray-induced cascade and proton-induced cascade emissions. Because of the uncertainty in EBL models, it is not easy to distinguish between the two possibilities at \( E > 1 \) TeV energies. At higher energies, however, our calculations show that UHECR-induced cascade emission becomes harder than gamma-ray-induced cascade emission resulting from attenuation of hard gamma-ray source photons for a given EBL model. More importantly, the emission spectrum measured as a result of the injection of VHE/UHE photons at the source is strongly suppressed above \( \sim 10 \) TeV for a wide range of EBL models, whereas a cosmic-ray-induced cascade displays a significantly harder spectrum above this energy, and detection of \( > 25 \) TeV gamma-rays from 1ES 0229+200 is only compatible if the gamma-rays are hadronic in origin. This is because UHE protons (and UHE nuclei) can produce high-energy gamma-rays over the Bethe–Heitler energy-loss length, whereas a cosmic-ray-induced cascade displays a significantly harder spectrum above this energy, and detection of \( > 25 \) TeV gamma-rays from 1ES 0229+200 is only compatible if the gamma-rays are hadronic in origin. This is because UHE protons (and UHE nuclei) can produce high-energy gamma-rays over the Bethe–Heitler energy-loss length.

3.3. Implications for TeV–PeV Observations

In a wide range of EBL models, deabsorption of measured TeV blazar spectra leads to hard excesses at \( > 1 \) TeV energies in, e.g., 1ES 1101–232, 1ES 0229+200, and 1ES 0347–121 (see, e.g., Figure 8 in Finke et al. 2010). These unusual TeV spectral emission components are conventionally explained by (either leptonic or hadronic) emissions at the source, but they could also be explained by intergalactic cascade emissions. Non-simultaneous TeV excesses are also seen above the extrapolation of the GeV flux in NGC 1275 (Abdo et al. 2009b) and the core of Cen A (Abdo et al. 2010d), but because of their proximity, these excesses are unlikely to be UHECR-induced emissions not made in intergalactic space.

Figure 8 demonstrates that 1ES 0229+200 can be fit by both the gamma-ray-induced cascade and proton-induced cascade emissions. Because of the uncertainty in EBL models, it is not easy to distinguish between the two possibilities at \( \sim 0.1–1 \) TeV energies. At higher energies, however, our calculations show that UHECR-induced cascade emission becomes harder than gamma-ray-induced cascade emission resulting from attenuation of hard gamma-ray source photons for a given EBL model. More importantly, the emission spectrum measured as a result of the injection of VHE/UHE photons at the source is strongly suppressed above \( \sim 10 \) TeV for a wide range of EBL models, whereas a cosmic-ray-induced cascade displays a significantly harder spectrum above this energy, and detection of \( > 25 \) TeV gamma-rays from 1ES 0229+200 is only compatible if the gamma-rays are hadronic in origin. This is because UHE protons (and UHE nuclei) can produce high-energy gamma-rays over the Bethe–Heitler energy-loss length, whereas a cosmic-ray-induced cascade displays a significantly harder spectrum above this energy, and detection of \( > 25 \) TeV gamma-rays from 1ES 0229+200 is only compatible if the gamma-rays are hadronic in origin. This is because UHE protons (and UHE nuclei) can produce high-energy gamma-rays over the Bethe–Heitler energy-loss length.
photons. For steady, non-variable γ-ray sources, this intergalactic cascade signal induced by UHECRs provides a crucial probe of UHECR sources. Its identification would demonstrate that a distant blazar is an UHECR source through electromagnetic photons. For steady, non-variable γ-ray sources, this intergalactic cascade signal induced by UHECRs provides a crucial probe of UHECR sources. Its identification would demonstrate that a distant blazar is an UHECR source through electromagnetic

for high-peaked BL Lac objects and FR-I galaxies because the point source flux sensitivity at >10 PeV is of the order of \( \sim 10^{-11} \text{TeV cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1} \) (Spiring 2011; Abbasi et al. 2011), which is typically larger than the expected neutrino fluxes, as shown in Figure 9. On the other hand, the cumulative (diffuse and stacked) background neutrino flux may be detectable especially for \( E_{\nu}^{\text{max}} \gtrsim 10^{20} \) eV (cf. Anchordoqui et al. 2007; Takami et al. 2009 and references therein), which is possible in hadronic models with large magnetic fields in jets. For \( E_{\nu}^{\text{max}} = 10^{19} \) eV, however, protons mostly interact with the EBL, and the expected flux is lower than the proton case even if nuclei can be accelerated up to \( E_A \sim Z 10^{19} \) eV, the cumulative neutrino background would be difficult for IceCube to detect.

Next, let us discuss the UHECR luminosity required to explain such extreme TeV blazars in the intergalactic UHECR-induced cascade scenario. In Figure 8, with \( E_{\nu}^{\text{max}} = 10^{19} \) eV and \( p = 2 \), the inferred isotropic-equivalent UHECR luminosities (at the source) are \( L_{\text{UHECR}} \sim 10^{45} \text{erg s}^{-1} \) (for the filament) and \( L_{\text{UHECR}} \sim 5 \times 10^{45} \text{erg s}^{-1} \) (for the cluster), respectively, while \( L_{\nu} \sim 10^{45} \text{erg s}^{-1} \) when primary γ-rays are injected.

If no structured EGMFs are there, the required isotropic-equivalent UHECR luminosity (at the source) is \( L_{\text{UHECR}} \sim 10^{45} - 10^{46} \text{erg s}^{-1} \) (i.e., the corresponding absolute, beaming-corrected cosmic-ray luminosity \( L_{\text{UHECR,j}} \sim 10^{43} \text{erg s}^{-1} \)), which is consistent with Essey et al. (2011) and Razzaque et al. (2012), and the calculation for 1ES 0229+200 shown here. It is also consistent with the proton power needed in hadronic models for typical, variable BL Lac objects. Where structured EGMFs play a role, the required UHECR luminosity becomes much larger. In fact, we obtained \( L_{\text{UHECR}} \sim 10^{46} - 10^{47} \text{erg s}^{-1} \) (for filaments) and \( L_{\text{UHECR}} \sim 10^{47} - 10^{48} \text{erg s}^{-1} \) (for clusters), depending on the EBL model and spectral indices, when we assume \( E_{\nu}^{\text{max}} = 10^{19} \) eV. Such UHECR luminosities, obtained with the structured EGMFs, seem rather extreme, since the total cosmic-ray luminosity including low-energy cosmic rays is at least \( \sim 20 \) times larger for \( p \gtrsim 2 \) and \( E_{\nu}^{\text{min}} = 10 \) GeV. In the cluster EGMF case, this means an absolute luminosity of \( L_{\text{CR,j}} \equiv \int_{E_{\nu}^{\text{min}}}^{E_{\nu}^{\text{max}}} dE L_{\text{E}} E_{\nu}^{\text{CR,j}} \gtrsim 10^{46} - 10^{47} \text{erg s}^{-1} \), which is comparable to the Eddington luminosity of a \( \sim 10^8 - 10^9 M_\odot \).
black hole,

\[
L_{Edd,\text{abs}} = \frac{4\pi G M_{\text{BH}} \mu c}{\sigma_T} \sim 1.3 \times 10^{46} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \left( \frac{M_{\text{BH}}}{10^8 M_\odot} \right),
\]

(13)

where \( M_{\text{BH}} \) is the black hole mass. Therefore, the intergalactic UHECR-induced cascade interpretation becomes problematic if runaway UHECRs are significantly isotropized and/or the spectral index of cosmic rays is steep enough. Such isotropization may be realized by some plasma instability, or by the structured EGMFs and/or magnetic fields in radio bubbles or lobes accompanied by radio-loud AGNs (see below).

Note that our conclusion from Table 2 does not hold in the intergalactic hadronic cascade interpretation of extreme blazars since the SSC model is here abandoned. But one may adopt \( E_{\max}^{p} \sim 10^{19} \text{ eV} \), motivated by results of the SSC modeling for typical, variable blazars (see Table 2). On the other hand, higher \( E_{\max}^{p} \) is also possible and a proton spectrum with higher \( E_{\max}^{p} \) is favored in view of smaller deflections and relaxed luminosity requirement to fit TeV data (see Figure 6). However, similar to the proton synchrotron blazar model for variable BL Lac objects, the proton synchrotron component is expected as well as the intergalactic hadronic cascade component.

It is useful to compare those luminosities with the required UHECR energy budget indicated from UHECR observations. From recent PAO observations, the local UHECR energy budget above \( 10^{18.5} \text{ eV} \) is a few \( \times 10^{44} \text{ erg Mpc}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1} \). For the local blazar density, \( n_s \sim 10^{-6.5} \text{ Mpc}^{-3} \) (Padovani & Urry 1990), the inferred isotropic-equivalent UHECR luminosity is \( L_{\text{UHECR}} \sim 10^{33.5} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \) (regarding blazars as radio-loud AGNs pointing toward us). This is much smaller than the cosmic-ray luminosity required for explaining extreme TeV blazars, and implies that those distant radio-loud AGNs with hard VHE spectra should be rarer and more powerful in cosmic rays than nearby AGNs responsible for the observed UHECRs. For IES 0229+200, the single-source flux is \( \sim 10\% \) of the observed UHECR flux so that the anisotropy can be used as a useful probe.

We demonstrated the importance of structured EGMFs that help isotropize the trajectories of UHECRs, though the EGMF strengths are still uncertain. In addition, there are other causes that can diminish the beaming of UHECRs and resulting cascade fluxes. One arises from plasma instabilities induced by cosmic rays (K. Murase et al. 2011, in preparation). Second, radio lobes of powerful radio-loud AGNs, like in the case of Cen A with \( B \sim 1 \mu G \), would also isotropize UHECRs (Dermer et al. 2009), as might radio bubbles from the jets of typical FR-I radio galaxies and aligned counterparts. These magnetic fields seem relevant in order that cosmic rays from relativistic jets of radio-loud AGNs to contribute to the observed flux of UHECRs. Indeed, for nearby radio-loud AGNs, the UHECRs must be significantly isotropized, since there is no blazar (i.e., aligned radio-loud AGNs) within \( \sim 100 \text{ Mpc} \) and no evidence of cross-correlation with nearby blazars such as Mrk 501 and Mrk 421 (Dermer et al. 2009). The isotropic-equivalent UHECR luminosity (at the source) \( L_{\text{UHECR}} \gtrsim 10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \) at \( \sim 100 \text{ Mpc} \)

In other words, the “apparent” UHECR source density indicated from analyses of auto-correlation satisfies \( n_s \gtrsim 10^{-5} \text{ Mpc}^{-3} \) (Kashiy & Waxman 2008; Takami & Sato 2009), which is larger than the local blazar number density, \( n_s \sim 10^{-6.5} \text{ Mpc}^{-3} \). If UHECRs are isotropized rather than beamed, one may compare it to the local FR-I galaxy density, \( n_s \sim 10^{-4} \text{ Mpc}^{-3} \) (e.g., Padovani & Urry 1990), which is consistent with the lower limit on the apparent UHECR source density. Then, the inferred UHECR luminosity per source is typically \( L_{\text{UHECR}, j} \sim 10^{41} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \).

**4. DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY**

In this work we studied BL Lac objects and FR-I radio galaxies as potential UHECR sources in light of recent Fermi and imaging atmospheric Cerenkov telescope observations, and considered how future CTA, HAWC, and other high-energy \( \gamma \)-ray experiments might test the origin of the \( \gamma \)-rays from this class of blazars.

If one accepts the standard synchrotron/SSC model for typical, FR-I galaxies and highly variable BL Lac objects that comprise the majority of VHE radio-loud AGNs, the proton maximum energy is typically \( \sim 1\text{–}10 \text{ EeV} \) unless UHE protons are produced as rare transient events, and only heavier nuclei normally reach \( \gtrsim 10^{20} \text{ eV} \) energies. In terms of the maximum energy, a heavy-ion-dominated composition can be compatible with the standard SSC model because Fe nuclei can be accelerated to \( \gtrsim 10^{20.5} \text{ eV} \) while surviving against photodisintegration (if \( \delta \gtrsim 20 \); see Equation (8)). An open issue of the heavy-ion-dominated composition scenario of radio-loud AGNs is how the significant amount of heavy nuclei is loaded in AGN jets, which is suggested from the PAO composition results (Wilk & Wlodarczyk 2011) and the observed isotropy in arrival distribution at \( \sim 10^{19} \text{ eV} Z_{\gamma}^{-\frac{2}{3}} E_{\gamma,20.5} \) (Abreu et al. 2011).

On the other hand, if hadronic models are adopted for typical, FR-I galaxies and highly variable BL Lac objects, then the observed VHE emission from those objects could be proton synchrotron radiation if protons are accelerated up to \( \sim 10^{20.5} \text{ eV} \), which requires strong magnetic fields, \( B \sim 10\text{–}100 \text{ G} \), that could be found in the inner jets of the radio-loud AGN. Such hadronic models can be compatible with a proton-dominated composition. Especially for luminous blazars with spectacular flares and low-peaked BL Lac objects with scattered radiation fields, one may expect high-energy neutrinos produced in inner jets as one of the hadronic signatures (e.g., Atorney & Dermer 2001).

In either of the synchrotron/SSC or hadronic model, we mainly considered the blazar zone in the inner jet as the emission region of \( \gamma \)-rays. However, some recent studies based on simultaneous radio and \( \gamma \)-ray observations are questioning the standard idea that the blazar region is located near the AGN core (e.g., Marscher et al. 2010). For example, in the case of 3C 345, Schinzel et al. (2012) proposed that the emitting region is located at \( \sim 23 \text{ pc} \) along the jet.

Extreme TeV blazars, in sources like IES 0229+200, IES 0347–121, H 2346–309, and IES 1101–232 (Neronov & Vovk 2010), are extreme both in their deabsorbed TeV spectra and their quiescent, non-blazar-like behavior. Their hard source spectra can be explained by \( \gamma \)-rays that are produced either via electronic SSC or hadronic processes in inner jets, but hard EBL-deabsorbed VHE spectra typically require extreme source parameters or a special setup (Tavecchio et al. 2011; Zacharopoulou et al. 2011). An alternative interpretation for the extreme blazars, whose variability is slow or absent, comes from intergalactic cascade emissions. \( \gamma \)-ray-induced or UHECR-induced cascaded emissions can make slowly variable or almost non-variable components provided that the IGMF is weak enough, and the former may be seen as a slowly variable pair-echo component, following a more rapidly variable component.

We examined these possibilities with numerical calculations, taking into account effects of structured EGMFs in filaments and clusters, and demonstrated that the structured EGMFs would will lead to overproduction of the observed UHECR spectral flux.
play an important role on the proton-induced cascade emission, and that cosmic rays are significantly isotropized especially for the maximum proton energy of \( \lesssim 10^{19} \) eV. In this case, rather large cosmic-ray luminosities are required in order to explain the emission from extreme TeV blazars such as 1ES 0229+200 by the cascade radiation induced by UHECRs. Note that adopting \( E_{\text{max}}^{p} \sim 10^{19} \) eV is motivated by values obtained from the synchrotron/SSC modeling for typical, variable radio-loud AGNs rather than extreme, non-variable blazars. One should keep in mind that the intergalactic cascade scenario itself does not tell much about \( E_{\text{max}}^{p} \). Hence, one may consider protons with \( E_{\text{max}}^{p} \sim 10^{20} \) eV, where strong deflections in the structured regions can be avoided. As a result, the required UHECR luminosity to power VHE emission from extreme TeV blazars becomes more reasonable, which is also comparable to values needed by the proton synchrotron model for typical, variable TeV blazars.

There are some issues in the UHECR-induced cascade scenario that need more discussion. Even if cosmic rays are completely beamed upon entering the voids of intergalactic space, the distant and luminous extreme blazars should be more powerful cosmic-ray sources than the nearby weaker FR-I galaxies found within the Geisen-Zatsepin-Kuz’m (GZK) radius that are assumed to be responsible for the highest-energy UHECRs. To avoid requiring excessively large beaming-corrected cosmic-ray luminosities to make steady TeV radiation, it is therefore better if the UHECRs from extreme TeV blazars are beamed. On the other hand, if BL Lac objects and FR-I galaxies are the main sources of UHECRs, then the escaping UHECRs must be significantly isotropized, because most radio galaxies within the GZK radius have misdirected jets. This isotropization could be caused by radio bubbles or the lobes of radio galaxies. The contrary behavior may be allowed if the distant extreme blazars from which steady emission is detected are in some ways special, e.g., their host galaxy exists in a region with a very weak EGMF.

Furthermore, note that in the case of rare transient activities with short duration \( t_{\text{dur}} \) and longer quiescent periods \( t_{\text{qui}} = \max(\Delta t_{\text{CR}}, \Delta t_{\text{IGV}}) \) between events, the intergalactic cascade scenario for extreme blazars may not work. The timescale during which cosmic-ray-induced cascade emission lasts for a long time is increased by the factor \( \max(\Delta t_{\text{CR}}, \Delta t_{\text{IGV}})/t_{\text{dur}} \). Then, the cosmic-ray-induced \( \gamma \)-ray flux is consequently reduced by the cosmic-ray- and pair-echo-induced extension of this emission, and the required cosmic-ray luminosity has to be correspondingly increased, which could make excessive demands on UHECR power. Such a rare transient episode could, however, produce long-lasting though faint cosmic-ray-induced \( \gamma \)-ray emission that lacks associated source emission, in analogous to TeV \( \gamma \)-ray-induced cascade radiation (e.g., Neronov et al. 2010). On the other hand, for repeating flaring activities with the time interval \( t_{\text{qui}} < \max(\Delta t_{\text{CR}}, \Delta t_{\text{IGV}}) \), the cosmic-ray-induced cascade emission can be regarded as almost persistent due to contributions from multiple flares.

Observational tests of the properties of the extreme TeV blazars can reveal the radiation mechanism of BL Lac objects and FR-I galaxies and provide a clue to UHECR acceleration, despite the above potential issues. First, continuing variability searches with VERITAS, HESS, and MAGIC, and future studies with CTA are obviously important to determine if the emission must be made in the jet. In addition, discrimination of the UHECR-induced intergalactic cascade from the \( \gamma \)-ray-induced cascade and attenuated source emission is possible from measurements at \( \gtrsim 1-10 \) TeV energies. Detection of high-energy photons above 25 TeV from 1ES 0229+200 or above \( \sim \) TeV from more distant blazars, which may be realized by future \( \gamma \)-ray detectors such as CTA and HAWC, would be compelling evidence that this kind of object is a source of UHECRs. In addition to \( \gamma \)-ray observations, anisotropy searches with UHECR arrival directions provide another interesting test.

In this work we focused on UHECR acceleration in the inner jets of BL Lac objects and FR-I galaxies. Note that FSRQs and FR-II galaxies are rarer but more powerful, and they can also be sources of UHECRs and neutrinos. Other scenarios such as shock acceleration at hot spots (e.g., Takahara 1990; Rachen & Biermann 1993; Takami & Horiuchi 2011) and cocoon shocks (e.g., Norman et al. 1995; Ohira et al. 2010) are viable for these types of radio-loud AGNs. But their local number density, \( n_{\gamma} \sim 10^{-7.5} \) Mpc\(^{-3} \), appears to be too small to avoid strong anisotropy in the local universe (Takami & Sato 2009). In the heavy-ion-dominated composition case, not only blazars and radio galaxies, but also radio-quiet AGNs (Pe’er et al. 2009) could be sources of UHE nuclei.

In summary, we have considered observational implications of BL Lac objects and FR-I galaxies as steady sources of UHECRs. Within the standard synchrotron SSC model for typical, variable BL Lac objects and misaligned counterparts, acceleration of UHE protons to energies \( \gtrsim 10^{20} \) eV is unlikely, so the composition of higher-energy cosmic rays should be dominated by heavy ions within the framework of this model. The intergalactic cascade emission has to be sub-dominant for highly variable blazars and radio galaxies, while it can play a role on the spectrum of slowly variable or non-variable objects, especially extreme TeV blazars. If the TeV spectrum of those blazars is produced by UHECR-induced cascade emission, then structured EGMFs, which can significantly isotropize protons, increase the luminosity demands on these sources. The intergalactic cascade emissions induced by VHE/UHE photons and UHECRs from a distant source can be distinguished by future multi-TeV observations from CTA and HAWC. In particular, detection of \( \gtrsim 25 \) TeV photons from relatively low redshift sources such as 1ES 0229+200 or \( \gtrsim \) TeV photons from more distant sources would favor such objects as being sources of UHECRs.
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