Grapevine cell early activation of specific responses to DIMEB, a resveratrol elicitor
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Abstract

Background: In response to pathogen attack, grapevine synthesizes phytoalexins belonging to the family of stilbenes. Grapevine cell cultures represent a good model system for studying the basic mechanisms of plant response to biotic and abiotic elicitors. Among these, modified β-cyclodextrins seem to act as true elicitors inducing strong production of the stilbene resveratrol.

Results: The transcriptome changes of Vitis riparia × Vitis berlandieri grapevine cells in response to the modified β-cyclodextrin, DIMEB, were analyzed 2 and 6 h after treatment using a suppression subtractive hybridization experiment and a microarray analysis respectively. At both time points, we identified a specific set of induced genes belonging to the general phenylpropanoid metabolism, including stilbenes and hydroxycinnamates, and to defence proteins such as PR proteins and chitinases. At 6 h we also observed a down-regulation of the genes involved in cell division and cell-wall loosening.

Conclusions: We report the first large-scale study of the molecular effects of DIMEB, a resveratrol inducer, on grapevine cell cultures. This molecule seems to mimic a defence elicitor which enhances the physical barriers of the cell, stops cell division and induces phytoalexin synthesis.

Background

Plants respond to pathogens through constitutive and inducible mechanisms [1]. Structural barriers represent preformed constitutive defences, while the accumulation of pathogenesis-related proteins (PR), phytoalexins and reactive oxygen species is part of an active mechanism stimulated by the pathogen [2]. Grapevine also responds to fungal infection via PR-protein synthesis and phytoalexin accumulation [3]. Plant phytoalexins are low-molecular-weight secondary metabolites with antimicrobial properties and they show wide chemical diversity among different plant species [4]. In grapevine they mainly belong to the stilbene family and consist of trans-resveratrol (3,5,4’-trihydroxystilbene) its oligomers, called viniferins [5-7] and pterostilbene, a dimethylated derivative of resveratrol [8]. Stilbene synthesis in berries...
[9] and leaves can be elicited by fungal infection [5,10], but also by treatment with UV-irradiation [11], ozone [12] and heavy metals [13].

Plant cell cultures are a useful tool for studying plant cell defence response to biotic and abiotic elicitors [14]. Stilbene accumulation has been reported in grapevine cells treated with different elicitors: fungal cell wall fragments [15], Na-orthovanadate, jasmonic acid and methyljasmonate [16,17] and laminarin, a β-glucan polysaccharide from brown algae [18]. In addition, special attention has been given to the β-cyclodextrin molecular class. These are cyclic oligosaccharides consisting of seven α-D-glucopyranose residues linked by α 1 → 4 glucosidic bonds forming a structure with a hydrophobic central cavity and a hydrophilic external surface [19]. Among β-cyclodextrins, heptakis(2,6-di-O-methyl)-β-cyclodextrin (DIMEB), was reported to be the most effective resveratrol elicitor in different Vitis vinifera cultivars [19,20]. The ability of the modified β-cyclodextrins to act as elicitors probably resides in their chemical similarity to the alkyl-derivatized pectic oligosaccharides released from the cell walls during fungal infection [20]. Along with stilbene accumulation these experiments highlighted a more general response involving peroxidase activity as well as inhibition of Botrytis cinerea growth [19,20].

Zamboni et al. [21] further investigated DIMEB activity on additional Vitis genotypes and observed that its effect was more pronounced when tested on Vitis riparia × Vitis berlandieri cell cultures. The kinetics of resveratrol synthesis showed that trans-resveratrol, the induced form, started to accumulate from 6 h after treatment and reached its maximum at 24 h. Moreover, this metabolite was much more localized in the medium than within the cell.

With these results [21] as our starting point, we report here the first large-scale transcriptional characterization of the early response of Vitis riparia × Vitis berlandieri cells to DIMEB treatment.

After 2 h, 127 positively modulated genes were identified by suppression subtractive hybridization (SSH), whereas after 6 h, 371 genes turned out to be differentially expressed when control and treated cells on the Vitis vinifera GeneChip® Genome Array (Affymetrix) were compared. These results showed that DIMEB specifically modulates the expression of a small number of genes involved in resveratrol and lignin biosynthesis, PR synthesis, cell division and cell wall modification.

**Results and discussion**

The ability of DIMEB to elicit defence responses in grapevine cell culture was suggested by previous results showing stilbene accumulation, changes in peroxidase activity, as well as inhibition of Botrytis cinerea growth [19,20]. Considerable stilbene accumulation in response to DIMEB treatment was also observed by our group using non-vinifera (Vitis riparia × Vitis berlandieri) liquid cell cultures [21]. In this study we analyzed the changes in gene expression of these cells elicited with DIMEB after 2 h and 6 h using SSH and microarray experiments, respectively.

The rationale behind the two approaches was that after 2 h of treatment, a small number of genes are expected to be modulated, and only to a limited extent, whereas after 6 h an increase in the number of genes and in their expression level is envisaged. The SSH technique appeared then the right choice for identifying the low abundance differential transcripts at 2 h, while the Affymetrix GeneChip® microarray was used to measure the expression of a larger number of genes (~14,500 unigenes) after 6 h of treatment [22].

Starting with 384 clones from the constructed cDNA subtractive library and then performing a hybridization screening to eliminate clones which were not really differentially expressed (false positives), we obtained 168 high-quality sequences which clustered in 127 tentative consensus (Additional File 1). The microarray experiments instead identified 371 (223 upregulated and 148 downregulated) significantly modulated probe sets in the treated cells compared with the control ones (Additional File 2). Sequence annotation and classification according to Gene Ontology categories [23], revealed that at both time points primary (mainly signal transduction related genes) and secondary metabolisms, together with response to the stimulus, were the most affected categories (Additional Files 3 and 4). At 6 h, the analysis also highlighted downregulation of the cellular component organization and the biogenesis category (Additional file 4).

In general, the two experiments showed modulation of specific mechanisms had already occurred at 2 h and continued more extensively at 6 h after DIMEB treatment. The data summarized in Table 1 suggest that the grapevine cell responds to the elicitor by the activation of a signal transduction cascade which leads to the induction of specific classes of transcription factors. The downstream effect of this process is, on the one hand, the induction of some branches of the secondary metabolism and defence response, and, on the other hand, the blockage of cell duplication (Figure 1).

At 2 h the treatment caused positive transcriptional regulation of a grapevine gene (CLU090) encoding a protein with homology to an Arabidopsis kinase-associated protein phosphatase (KAPP) (Table 1). KAPP protein may function as a signalling component in the pathway involving the serine-threonine receptor-like kinase, RLK5.
Table 1: List of transcripts modulated by DIMEB and reported in the Discussion

| IDa | Description | Uniprot IDb | TC-IDc | 2 h | 6 h |
|-----|-------------|-------------|--------|-----|-----|
|     |             |             |        | +   | +   |

**Signal trasduction**

CLU090  Kinase associated protein phosphatase P46014 EC987592 x
1608981_at  Putative phospholipidase Q8RXN7 TC696626 x
1620080_at  Putative receptor-like protein kinase ARK1 Q5ZAK8 CB922377 x
1611172_at  SOS2-like protein kinase Q8LK24 TC52484 x

**Transcription factors**

1619311_at  Pathogenesis-related genes transcriptional activator PTI5 O04681 TC55556 x
1611285_s_at  Probable WRKY transcription factor 11 Q5SV15 TC65678 x
CLU059  TGA10 transcription factor Q52M22 TC99087 x
1610775_s_at  WRKY transcription factor-b Q5DJU0 TC55553 x

**Effector genes**

Phe biosynthesis

CLU083  3-Deoxy-D-arabino-heptulosonate 7-phosphate synthase precursor O24051 TC74975 x
1611211_at  3-Deoxy-D-arabino-heptulosonate 7-phosphate synthase precursor O24046 TC57386 x
1614440_at  3-Deoxy-D-arabino-heptulosonate 7-phosphate synthase Q6YH16 TC54321 x
1619357_at  3-Deoxy-D-arabino-heptulosonate 7-phosphate synthase O24046 TC57642 x
1621405_at  Plastic 3-deoxy-D-arabino-heptulosonate 7-phosphate synthase 2 O22407 TC51974 x
1609464_at  3-Dehydroquinate synthase-like protein Q9FKX0 TC56854 x
1609392_at  Prephenate dehydratase Q6J29 TC53641 x
1621307_at  Prephenate dehydratase Q6J29 TC53641 x
1611895_at  Putative chorismate mutase Q5JN19 TC62307 x

General phenylpropanoid metabolism

1613113_at  Phenylalanine ammonia lyase Q6UD65 TC60180 x
CLU024  Trans-cinnamate 4-monooxygenase Q43240 TC71512 x
1610821_at  Cinnamic acid 4-hydroxylase Q94BS8 TC70715 x
1616191_s_at  Cinnamic acid 4-hydroxylase Q94BS8 TC70715 x
1615801_at  4-Coumarate:CoA ligase Q5SO17 TC60943 x
1619320_at  4-Coumarate--CoA ligase 2 P31687 TC66743 x

Stilbene biosynthesis

CLU009  Stilbene synthase Q5SWF2 TC89701 x
CLU022  Stilbene synthase Q6BAU9 TC86432 x
CLU023  Stilbene synthase P28143 TC84974 x
CLU049  Stilbene synthase Q8LP4 TC78210 x
CLU097  Stilbene synthase Q95982 TC84974 x
CLU103  Stilbene synthase P28143 TC88894 x
1606750_at  Stilbene synthase Q6BAL2 TC67020 x
1608009_s_at  Stilbene synthase PS1070 x
1609696_x_at  Stilbene synthase P28143 TC67020 x
1609697_at  Stilbene synthase Q944W7 TC60946 x
1610824_s_at  Stilbene synthase Q93YX5 TC52746 x
1610850_at  Stilbene synthase P28143 x
1611190_s_at  Resveratrol synthase Q94GS8 TC67020 x
1612804_at  Stilbene synthase Q5PWV2 TC52746 x
1614621_at  Stilbene synthase P28143 TC67020 x
1616575_at  Stilbene synthase Q944W7 TC52746 x
1620964_s_at  Stilbene synthase P28143 x
1622638_x_at  Stilbene synthase Q5SWF2 TC52746 x

Secondary metabolite transport

CLU106  PDR-like ABC transporter Q8GU88 TC76318 x
CLU119  Pleiotropic drug resistance protein 12 Q52958 TC81892 x
1613763_at  ABC transporter-like protein Q9LYS2 TC60768 x
1618493_s_at  ABC transporter-like protein Q9LYS2 TC64210 x
1610363_at  CjMDR1 Q94H6 TC69843 x
1609330_at  Glutathione S-transferase Q6YEY5 NP864991 x
1611890_at  Glutathione S-transferase GST 14 Q9FQ64 TC61062 x
1619682_x_at  Caffeic acid O-methyltransferase Q9M660 TC52364 x
1620342_at  Caffeic acid 3-O-methyltransferase 1 Q00763 TC64352 x
Table 1: List of transcripts modulated by DIMEB and reported in the Discussion (Continued)

| Lignin biosynthesis | | |
|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 1611897_s_at        | Caffeoyl-CoA O-methyltransferase | Q8H9B6 TC63685 x |
| 1614643_at          | Caffeoyl-CoA O-methyltransferase | Q43237 TC51729 x |
| 1613900_at          | Cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase | Q9ATW1 TC52904 x |
| 1614045_at          | Ferulate 5-hydroxylase | Q6IV45 TC64493 x |
| 1614502_at          | Ferulate 5-hydroxylase | Q6IV45 TC63764 x |
| 1619065_at          | Putative cinnamoyl-CoA reductase | Q8V3XH0 TC53437 x |
| 1622651_at          | Polyphenol oxidase | Q6HI4 TC58764 x |
| 1618086_at          | Putative diphenol oxidase | Q6QL2 TC697812 x |
| CLU122_s_at         | Chalcone-flavonone isomerase | P51117 TC78712 x |
| CLU048_at           | Flavonol 3-O-glucosyltransferase 6 | Q40288 TC85607 x |
| 1621051_s_at        | Flavonol 3-O-glucosyltransferase 2 | Q40285 CN006197 x |

| Defence response | | |
|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| CLU088_at         | Chitinase (Class II) | Q43322 TC95665 x |
| 1613871_at        | Class IV chitinase | Q9M2U5 TC57889 x |
| 161792_at         | Class IV chitinase | Q7X3B9 TC63731 x |
| 161740_s_at       | Basic endochitinase precursor | PSL163 TC51704 x |
| CLU001_s_at       | Pathogenesis-related protein 10 | Q9FS42 TC72098 x |
| 16100_s_at        | Pathogenesis-related protein 10 | Q9FS42 x |
| CLU021_s_at       | Pathogenesis-related protein PR-4A precursor | P29062 TC91296 x |
| CLU036_at         | Merlot proline-rich protein 2 | Q6GQY1 TC85591 x |
| 1609875_at        | Protease inhibitor | Q6Y6E6 x |
| 1611666_s_at      | Protease inhibitor | Q6Y6E6 TC70006 x |
| 1612552_at        | Putative S-adenosyl-L-methionine:salicylic acid carboxyl methyltransferase | Q9CWV8 TC57170 x |
| 1620309_at        | Putative S-adenosyl-L-methionine:salicylic acid carboxyl methyltransferase | Q9CWV8 TC63451 x |
| 1622147_at        | I-Aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate oxidase 3 | Q08507 TC60326 x |
| 1613585_at        | MLO-like protein 11 | Q9F000 BQ798612 x |

| Cell wall metabolism | | |
|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 1608074_s_at        | Expansin | Q84LT0 TC62965 x |
| 1620840_at          | Alpha-expansin | Q8LKJ8 TC53122 x |
| 1615995_at          | Xyloglucan endotransglycosylase XET2 | Q9LCC2 CF12592 x |
| 1620003_at          | Xyloglucan endotransglycosylase | Q9ZRV1 TC63269 x |
| 1608799_at          | Pectin methylesterase | Q96497 TC58800 x |
| 1619468_at          | Pectin methylesterase PME1 | Q94816 TC53043 x |
| 1619522_at          | Putative beta-galactosidase BG1 | Q94817 TC56838 x |
| 1608756_at          | Polygalacturonase-like protein | Q84LI7 TC59719 x |
| 1606763_at          | Putative beta-1,3-glucanase | Q8LB68 TC67051 x |
| 1609506_at          | Putative cellulase CEL2 | Q94B13 NP596365 x |
| 1610263_at          | Putative beta-1,3-glucanase | Q8LB68 TC67051 x |

| Cell duplication | | |
|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 1612320_at       | Tubulin alpha chain | P33629 TC57547 x |
| 1616815_at       | Tubulin beta-8 chain | Q41785 TC55048 x |
| 1618413_at       | Tubulin alpha chain | P33629 TC63601 x |
| 1619167_at       | Tubulin beta-8 chain | Q41785 TC62643 x |
| 1621015_at       | Alpha-tubulin 1 | Q8H6E1 TC65238 x |
| 1622466_at       | Tubulin beta-8 chain | Q41785 TC62809 x |
| 1608927_at       | Putative histone H2A | Q6L500 TC53574 x |
| 1612573_at       | Histone H3 | A2Y533 TC56731 x |
| 1613041_at       | Histone H4 | Q76H85 TC61904 x |
| 1613076_at       | Histone H4 | Q76H85 TC62637 x |
| 1620332_at       | Histone H3 | A2Y533 TC59489 x |
| 1622440_at       | Histone H3 | A2Y533 TC64779 x |
| 1622737_at       | Histone H2B | Q22382 TC64405 x |
| 1610854_at       | Proliferating cell nuclear antigen | P22177 TC54817 x |
| 1610422_at       | Patellin-6 | Q95CUI TC61122 x |
| 1610607_at       | Gpi-1-like protein | Q95VR4 TC66111 x |
| 1613373_at       | Formin-like protein 1 | Q850F0 TC55249 x |
| 1607792_at       | Putative DNA polymerase alpha catalytic subunit | O48653 TC59012 x |

\(^a\)Cluster or Affy ID of transcripts modulated at 2 or 6 h. (+) and (-) refer to up- and down-regulation in the treated sample with respect to the control.

\(^b\)UniprotID [73]of the first hit obtained by “Blast” analysis.

\(^c\)TC: corresponding grapevine Tentative Consensus sequence obtained by a search (BlastN) against the Grape Gene Index database [75].
of Arabidopsis [24]. In rice the RLK XA21 confers resistance to bacterial blight disease [25]. Other genes possibly involved in signal transduction showed overexpression at 6 h: a gene (1620080_at) with homology to a putative receptor-like protein kinase ARK1 of *Oryza sativa* and a gene (1611172_at) homologous to a *Glycine max* Salt Overly Sensitive gene encoding a SOS2-like protein kinase (Table 1). In *Arabidopsis thaliana* ARK genes seem to be involved in plant defence response to wounding and to bacterial infections [26], while SOS2 is a signalling kinase involved in salt tolerance response [27]. Phospholipid-derived molecules are emerging as novel second messengers in plant defence signalling and phospholipases are key enzymes for their synthesis [14,28]. In the array experiment we observed the overexpression of a putative phospholipase gene (1608981_at), which may generate lipid messengers for the signalling response (Table 1).

The activation of a signal cascade generally induces the expression of genes encoding for specific transcription factors, which in turn regulate downstream effector genes.

Two genes, upregulated at 6 h, showed homology to a hot pepper WRKY-b (1610775_s_at) and Arabidopsis WRKY11 (1611285_s_at) respectively (Table 1). WRKY proteins are plant-specific transcription factors whose expression is modulated in response to wounding, pathogen infection and abiotic stress [29]. Other classes of transcription factors appeared to take part in regulation of the response of grapevine cells to DIMEB treatment. The grape homologue (1619311_at) of a tomato pathogenesis-related gene transcriptional activator PTI5 was upregulated at 6 h (Table 1). This transcription factor binds to the GCC-box cis element present in the promoter region of many plant PR genes [30] and its upregulation could explain the observed induction of many PR proteins in this experiment. Another sequence (CLU059), induced at 2 h, which might modulate the expression of PR genes is the homologue of the tobacco bZIP TGA10 factor (Table 1). It has been reported that this protein can bind to the regulatory activation sequence-1 (as-1) [31] identified in the promoter of Arabidopsis PR-1 gene [32].

Our results indicated that one of the final grapevine cell responses to the DIMEB-elicited signal consists in the modulation of phenolic metabolism, especially stilbene and monolignol biosynthesis (Figure 2).

Genes encoding enzymes involved in phenylalanine biosynthesis such as 3-deoxy-d-arabino-heptulosonate 7-phosphate synthase (CLU083; 1611211_at; 1614440_at; 1619357_at; 1621405_at), 3-dehydroquinate synthase (1609646_at), prephenate dehydratase (1609932_at; 1621307_at) and chorismate mutase (1611895_at) were positively modulated both at 2 and 6 h after DIMEB treatment (Table 1). These enzymes participate in the synthesis of aromatic amino acids, particularly of phenylalanine, which is the link between primary and secondary metabolism, being a precursor of general phenylpropanoid metabolism. A recent report showed that cyclodextrins stimulates the expression of the structural genes of the general phenylpropanoids metabolism which sustains the synthesis of p-cumaroyl CoA, one of the two precursors of stilbenes [17]. Although we focused on the earlier cell response time, at both time points we also observed upregulation of this pathway’s genes, namely phenylalanine ammonia lyase (1613113_at), cinnamic acid 4-hydroxylase (CLU024; 1610821_at; 1616191_s_at) and 4-coumarate-CoA ligase (1615801_at; 1619320_at) (Table 1). Similarly, several stilbene synthase genes were induced at 2 h and 6 h (CLU009, CLU022, CLU023, CLU049, CLU097, CLU103,
Modulation of secondary metabolism at 2 and 6 h after DIMEB treatment. Modulation (+ or -) of genes encoding enzymes of phenylalanine biosynthesis, general phenylpropanoid metabolism, monolignol, stilbene and anthocyanin pathways are reported within a simplified secondary metabolism scheme. Abbreviations: DHAP synthase, 3-deoxy-d-arabino-heptulosonate 7-phosphate synthase; DHQ synthase, 3-dehydroquinate synthase; CM, chorismate mutase; PDT, prephenate dehydratase; PAL, phenylalanine ammonia-lyase; C4H, cinnamate 4-hydroxylase; 4CL, 4-coumarate-CoA ligase; CAD, cinnamyl alchool dehydrogenase; CCoAOMT, caffeoyl-CoA 3-O-methyltransferase; COMT, caffeic acid O-methyltransferase; CCR, cinnamoyl-CoA reductase; F5H, ferulate-5-hydroxylase; STS, stilbene synthase; CHI, chalcone isomerase; UFGT, flavonoid-3-O-glucosyltransferase.

The accumulation of stilbenes in the growth medium requires, besides stilbene biosynthesis, the presence of export machinery. In fact, induction of genes encoding putative secondary metabolite transporters, such as those belonging to the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter family, was found. Genes encoding for pleiotropic drug resistance (PDR)-like ABC transporters (CLU106; CLU119), ABC transporter-like proteins (1613763_at; 1618493_s_at) and a CjMDR transporter (1610363_at) were indeed induced (Table 1). The ABC transporters play an important role in some host-pathogen interactions [34]. In some pathogenic fungi they are involved in resist-
ance to plant phytoalexins and antifungal compounds, while in plants they seem to take part in plant defence response [34]. The induction of genes encoding glutathione S-transferase (1609330_at; 1611890_at) at 6 h correlates well with the ABC-mediated transport (Table 1). A glutathione moiety seems to function as a “recognition tag” for the transport of phenols [35]. Resveratrol translocation outside the cells has two main objectives: to mediate the defence response against pathogens and to avoid intracellular accumulation of this compound at cytotoxic levels.

Phenylpropanoid metabolism also produces the precursors (p-coumarate and p-coumaroyl-CoA) for the synthesis of monolignols, which are used to reinforce the cell wall during defence response [36]. DIMEB treatment caused a general induction of genes involved in their synthesis at 6 h: the genes for caffeic acid O-methyltransferase (1607475_s_at, 1619682_x_at, 1620342_at), caffeoyl-CoA O-methyltransferase (1611897_s_at; 1614643_at), cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase (1613900_at), ferulate 5-hydroxylase (1614045_at; 1614502_at) and cinnamoyl-CoA reductase (1619065_at) were overexpressed (Table 1, Figure 2). Genes coding for enzymes such as polyphenol oxidase and diphenol oxidase, probably responsible for the lignin polymerization process [36], were induced as well (1622651_at; 1610806_at) (Table 1).

The other branches of phenolic metabolism seemed not to be affected by DIMEB. Only two genes of the anthocyanin pathway (a chalcone-flavonone isomerase (CLU122) and a flavonol-3-O-glucosyltransferase (CLU048)) were induced at 2 h but not at 6 h (Table 1, Figure 2). Interestingly, selective induction of the early steps of phenylpropanoid metabolism and of the late steps leading to monolignol biosynthesis was also described in Arabidopsis in the early response to oligogalacturonide treatment [37].

The results strongly suggest that DIMEB acts as an elicitor modifying cell metabolism to promote the accumulation of phytoalexins and cell wall lignification. These two defence responses have been described as typical biochemical responses occurring in vegetal cells after elicitor exposure [14].

The transcriptional profiling results, however, show that the response to DIMEB seems to include other defence mechanisms. Overexpression of sequences for pathogenesis-related proteins such as chitinase (CLU088; 1613871_at; 1617192_at; 1617430_s_at), PR-10 (CLU001; 1610011_s_at; 1618568_s_at) and PR-4 (CLU021), but also for a prolin-rich protein (CLU036) and a protease inhibitor (1609875_at; 1611666_s_at) was observed in both experiments, while upregulation of two genes encoding the S-adenosyl-L-methionine:salisylic acid carboxyl methyltransferase (1612552_at; 1620309_at) was recorded at 6 h (Table 1). Interestingly, this enzyme mediates the synthesis of gaseous methyl salicylate which was recently demonstrated to be a key mediator in plant systemic acquired resistance [38] in tobacco, as well as an inducer of the expression of PR-1 gene and TMV resistance [39]. This result strengthens the hypothesis that DIMEB acts as a true elicitor. The increase in the expression of a gene encoding for a 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate oxidase (1622147_at), would suggest the involvement of ethylene as well (Table 1). This hormone is a major regulator of the plant’s reaction to pathogen attack [40] and via the action of a group of ethylene responsive factors it modulates the expression of plant defence-related genes such as, for example, phenylalanine ammonia-lyase, hydroxyproline-rich glycoprotein and acid class II chitinase [41,42]. It appears from the finding that a gene (1616358_at) homologous to an MLO-like 11 of Arabidopsis was downregulated at 6 h (Table 1), that the similarities between the cell’s responses upon DIMEB treatment and upon pathogen attack are even greater. In barley, downregulation of the Mlo gene is involved in response to powdery mildew caused by the fungus Blumeria graminis f.sp.hordei [43], and in the dicot Arabidopsis thaliana, resistance to powdery mildews also depends on loss-of-function mlo alleles [44].

Our data support another effect of DIMEB on grapevine cells: blockage of the cell-division process. Upon treatment, we measured a lower expression of the genes involved in modification of the cell wall structure, cell division and microtubule organization. At 6 h, downregulation of genes related to cell wall modification [45], such as those encoding expansins (1608074_s_at; 1620840_at), xyloglucan endotransglycosylase (1615995_at; 1620003_at), pectin methylesterases (1608799_at; 1619468_at), a β-galactosidase (1619522_at), a polygalacturonase (1608756_at) and endoglucanases (1606763_at; 1609506_at; 1610263_at), was observed (Table 1). The sequence 1609506_at corresponds to the VvCEL2 transcript which encodes a grapevine cellulase. Since in Arabidopsis the expression of the cell gene was related to growing tissues [46], downregulation of VvCEL2 could be related to repression of the cell growth. Microtubules play an essential role in cell division and cell elongation too. They set the cellular division planes and axes of elongation and influence the deposition and orientation of cellulose microfibrils [47]. The downregulation of genes coding for α- and β-tubulin (1612320_a_at; 1616815_at; 1618413_at; 1619167_at; 1621015_at; 1622466_at) is indication of a stop in cell expansion and cell division (Table 1). mRNA degradation of a β-tubulin isoforum was observed in soybean cells elic-
Further indication of cell division reduction were the lower transcription of genes coding for histones H2A, H3, H4 and H2B (1608927_at; 1612573_at; 1613041_at; 1613076_at; 1620332_at; 1622440_at; 1622737_at), a cyclin (1610854_at), a patellin protein (1610422_at), a GA-induced-like protein (GIP-like) (1610607_at), a putative formin homology (FH) protein (1613373_at) and a DNA polymerase alpha catalytic subunit gene (1607792_at) (Table 1). All these proteins are either related to DNA organization and synthesis or to the cytokinesis process. The down-regulated grapevine GIP gene is homologous to GIP-5 of Petunia hybrida, which is expressed during the cell division phase in stems and corollas [49]. In Arabidopsis patellin1 plays a role in membrane-trafficking when the cell-plate is formed during cytokinesis [50], and formins are plant cytoskeleton-organizing proteins which take part in cytokinesis and in the establishment and maintenance of cell polarity [51]. Very similar effects on cell growth have been reported upon elicitation of parsley cell cultures with an oligopeptide elicitor. Pep 25 provoked the repression of genes regulating the cell cycle, such as cdc2, cyclin and histones [52].

A likely explanation for the repression of cell division would be the need of the cell to use, almost exclusively, the transcription system as well as the available resources to establish a defence-related metabolism.

Conclusion
The transcriptional profiles measured at 2 h and 6 h after DIMEB treatment highlight the fact that this compound is able to induce an early and specific defence response in grapevine liquid cell cultures, supporting the hypothesis of its role as a true elicitor.

The classes of genes modulated by the treatment reveal that DIMEB triggers a signal transduction cascade which activates different families of transcription factors, in turn modulating the effector genes of specific metabolisms. These results thus suggest that in grapevine cells DIMEB induces a stop in cell division, reinforcement of the cell wall and the production of resveratrol and defence proteins (Figure 3). This response largely resembles that occurring upon pathogen attack.

Methods
Plant material
Liquid cell cultures of a cross between Vitis riparia and Vitis berlandieri were used to carry out the treatment experiments with DIMEB (50 mM) [21]. Cell cultures were collected 2 h and 6 h after DIMEB treatment from control and treated samples. Cells and medium were separated by centrifugation at 12,000 xg for 10 min at room temperature.

Total RNA extraction
Total RNA was extracted from control and treated samples using a modified hot-borate method, as described by Moser et al. [53]. DNA traces were removed by DNase I treatment (Sigma-Aldrich, St.Louis, MO, USA) according to the manufacturer’s procedure. RNA was isolated from one replicate for the SSH experiment (2 h) and from 3 biological replicates for the microarray experiment (6 h).

cDNA synthesis and SSH library construction
Double-stranded cDNA was synthesized from 0.6 μg of total RNA of the control and treated samples (2 h) using the SMART™ PCR cDNA synthesis kit (Clontech Laboratories, Mountain View, CA) as recommended by the manufacturer.

Suppression subtractive hybridization (SSH) was carried out using the PCR-Select cDNA subtraction Kit (Clontech Laboratories) according to the manufacturer’s procedure. The cDNA from the treated sample was used as the "tester" while the cDNA from the control sample was used as the "driver". Following hybridization, the subtracted cDNA molecules were inserted into a pCR® 2.1-TOPO® Vector (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and then used to transform One Shot® TOP10 Chemically Competent Escherichia coli cells (Invitrogen). Positive transformants, based on blue/white screening, were picked and arrayed in a 384-well plate containing LB medium (Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with ampicillin (50 μg mL⁻¹) and glycerol (10% v/v). The SSH cDNA library was stored at -80°C.

Amplification of cDNA inserts and spotting on filters
The SSH library clones were cultured overnight at 37°C in a 384-well plate with LB medium and ampicillin (50 μg mL⁻¹). A small aliquot (1 μl) of each liquid culture was then transferred into four 96-well plates containing PCR mix and used as template to amplify the corresponding cDNA inserts. PCR reactions (95°C for 15 min, 94°C for 45 sec, 68°C for 45 sec, 72°C for 2 min for 35 cycles, 72°C for 7 min) contained 300 nM Nested Primer PCR 1 and 300 nM Nested Primer PCR 2R (Clontech Laboratories), 0.5 μl HotStarTaq DNA polymerase (Qiagen, Shanghai, China), 200 μM dNTPs, 1.5 μM betain (Sigma-Aldrich) and 80 μM Cresol Red (Sigma-Aldrich). The 40 μl PCR reactions were then concentrated by overnight incubation at 37°C. The human nebulin cDNA (NM_004543) was PCR amplified in the same way to serve as a positive control. One microliter of each concentrated cDNA insert together with one microliter of a 2 ng/μl solution of
amplified nebulin were transferred onto 8 × 12 cm Hybond+ nylon membranes (Amersham, GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences AB, Little Chalfont, UK) using a manual 96-pin tool. The samples were arrayed in duplicate according to a 4 × 4 grid pattern. Before and after spotting, membranes were denatured on Whatmann 3 MM paper saturated with denaturation buffer (0.5 M NaOH, 1.5 M NaCl) for 15 min. Membranes were then neutralised on Whatmann 3 MM paper saturated with neutralization buffer (1.5 M NaCl, 0.5 M Tris-HCl, pH 7.2) for 15 min, rinsed in 2× SSC, air dried and crosslinked at 80°C for 2 h.

**Target labelling**

To assess whether the isolated clones were truly positive, they were hybridized with the same total RNAs used for SSH library construction. The RNAs were DIG-labelled by reverse transcription according to Vernon et al. [54] with the following modifications: 7.5 μl of PCR DNA Labelling MIX 10× (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) and 1.5 μl of 50 μM of Oligo(dT)20 were added to 5 μg of total RNA of each sample (tester and driver). After incubation of the two samples at 65°C for 10 min and then on ice for 2 min, a mix of 6 μl of RT Buffer 5× (Invitrogen), 3 μl of 0.1 M DTT (Invitrogen), 1.5 μl of RNase OUT (40 U/μl) (Invitrogen) and 1.5 μl of Superscript II (200 U/μl) (Invitrogen) was added to each sample. Reverse transcription was performed at 42°C for 1 h and then continued for a further hour after addition of another 1.5 μl of Superscript II (200 U/μl) (Invitrogen). The reaction was stopped by incubation at 70°C for 15 min and was followed by treatment with 1.5 μl of RNase H (2 U/μl) (Invitrogen) at 37°C for 20 min. The digoxigenin-labelled probe of the control target was synthesized by PCR amplification of a portion of human nebulin cDNA cloned in pBluescript II SK/KS(-) (Stratagene) in the presence of PCR DNA Labelling MIX 10×. PCR reaction was carried out in 50 μl using 7 ng/μl.
of pBluescript II SK (-) containing human nebulin cDNA as template and the primers nebulin-for 5'-CAGGAGAC-TATTACAGTTT-3' and nebulin-rev 5'-ACCCATAGGCAGCTTGAGAA-3', according to the manufacturer's procedure. PCR conditions were 95°C for 15 min, 35 cycles of 94°C for 45 sec, 52°C for 45 sec, 72°C for 1 min, followed by 72°C for 7 min.

Hybridization, washing and detection
Two filters were incubated with 20 ml of pre-hybridization solution (5× SSC, 0.1% (w/v) N-lauroylsarcosine, 0.02% (w/v) SDS, 1% (v/v) blocking solution in 1× acid maleic buffer) at 72°C for 30 min. Two different probes were prepared: the first was obtained by mixing the DIG-labelled "tester" DNA (30 μl) with the DIG-labelled human nebulin (2 μl), the second by mixing the DIG-labelled "driver" DNA (30 μl) with the DIG-labelled human nebulin (2 μl). After a short denaturation step (95°C for 3 min) the two probes were incubated separately with one filter each overnight at 68°C in hybridization solution (20 ml, 5× SSC, 0.1% (w/v) N-lauroylsarcosine, 0.02% (w/v) SDS, 1% (v/v) blocking solution in 1× acid maleic buffer). After hybridization, four high-stringency washings at 68°C for 20 min (2× SSC, 0.5% (w/v) SDS) followed by two low-stringency washings (0.2× SSC, 0.5% (w/v) SDS) at 68°C for 20 min, were carried out. Chemiluminescence was detected by 30-min exposure to Kodak® BioMax Light Film (Kodak, Rochester, NY) after incubation with anti-DIG antibodies and CDP-Star, according to the manufacturer's procedure (Roche).

Sequencing of transcripts identified by SSH
Following the screening procedure, the 289 positive clones were amplified, as described above for filter production, but without betain and Cresol Red in the PCR reaction mix. Five microliters of each PCR reaction were purified as described above (Total RNA extraction). Ten micrograms of total RNA for each replicate were subjected to further purification using "RNeasy" columns (Qiagen) and sent to an external service (IFOM-IEO Campus for ONCOGENOMICS, Milan, Italy) for labelling and hybridization. RNA samples passed the quality check as determined by electrophoresis run on a Agilent BioAnalyzer (Agilent, Palo Alto, CA, USA). Biotin-labelling, hybridization, washing, staining and scanning procedures were performed according to the Affymetrix technical manual. Analysis of raw data was performed using the open source software of the Bioconductor project [61,62] with the statistical R programming language [63,64]. The quality of the hybridization reactions was checked using the affyPLM package. Intensity distribution of PM for each chip and the quality of the 3 biological replicates of both control and treated conditions were analyzed with the functions and plots (histogram and MA plots) of the affy package [65-67]. Background adjustment, normalization and summarization were performed using germa and the affy package. Data, before and after application of the gcrma algorithm [68], were compared through the graphical representation of box-plots and MA plots. Probe sets which were not expressed or were non-differentially expressed between the two conditions considered were eliminated in a filtering step based on the inter-quantile range method (IQR = 0.25) using the genefilter package. A two-class paired SAM analysis (Δ = 0.9; FDR = 13.3%) [69] was performed using the probe sets resulting from the filtering procedure in order to identify differentially expressed probe sets between the control and treated conditions. A fold-change of two was then applied.

Functional annotation of the SSH transcripts and Affymetrix probesets
Protein sequences encoded by the SSH transcripts or by the representative sequence of each probeset as provided by the NetAffx Analysis Center [70] were predicted using a consensus generated by three different CDS predictors [71]. Blastp analyses [72] of the polypeptides obtained from the predicted CDSs were performed by searching against the UniProt database [73]. GO terms (molecular function, biological process and cellular component) [23] were linked at every consensus sequence on the basis of the results of the Blastp analysis (Additional files 1 and 2). The sequences were organized in main functional categories according to the GO term biological process (Additional files 3 and 4). In cases of non significant Blastp results (Evaluate <1e-8; sequence alignment length <75% of the query polypeptide length), these were classified as "No hits found".

The SSH transcripts were deposited at the NCBI database [74] under the sequence IDs reported in the Additional
file 1. Both SSH transcripts and probesets were also referred to corresponding Tentative Consensus sequences obtained by a search (Blastn) against the Grape Gene Index database [75] and to the corresponding genomic locus on Pinot Noir clone ENTAV 115 [76] (Additional files 1 and 2).

Real-time reverse transcription (RT)-PCR
To validate the SSH and microarray data, 12 genes and 5 genes identified by SSH and GeneChip array respectively, were also analyzed by quantitative RT-PCR experiments (Additional file 5). Specific primers were designed to generate 100–200 bp PCR products (Additional file 5). The actin gene (TC45156) was used to normalize the data (actin forward: 5'-TCCITTGCTTGGGTACATTAT-3'; actin reverse: 5'-CACCAATCAGCTTGGACTACAA-3') since in preliminary trials it appeared to be constantly expressed in the RNA samples subjected to gene expression analyses. For RT-PCR, total RNA from control and treated samples of the SSH experiment and from 3 biological replicates of control and treated samples of the GeneChip experiments were used. DNA traces were removed with DNase I treatment (Sigma-Aldrich) according to the manufacturer's procedure. Reverse transcription reactions and real-time RT-PCR reactions were performed using the SuperScript™ III Platinum® Two-Step qRT-PCR Kit with SYBR® Green (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's protocols with minor modification (300 nM of each primer in a final volume of 12.5 μl). PCR reactions contained 20 ng of cDNA and were replicated 3 times (technical replicates). Amplification reactions were performed with an ABI PRISM® 7000 Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems). The following thermal profile was used: 50 °C for 2 min; 95 °C for 10 min; 40 cycle of 95°C for 15 sec and 55 °C for 1 min. Data were analysed with the ABI PRISM® 7000 SDS Software (Applied Biosystems). PCR reaction efficiencies were calculated with the LinRegPCR program [77]. For all the consensus sequences, the differential expression between treated and control samples was expressed as a ratio calculated with the Pfaff equation [78]. The overall standard error of the mean normalized expression was obtained by applying the error calculation based on Taylor's series as developed for REST® software [79].

Data Availability
All microarray expression data are available at EBI ArrayExpress under the series entry E-MEXP-2114.
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Functional annotation of the transcripts identified by SSH. Cluster ID, Cluster length, GenBank Accession number at NCBI [74], NCBI Sequence ID of the corresponding genomic locus on Pinot Noir clone ENTAV 115 [76], reference Tentative Consensus sequence in Grape Gene Index [75], GO terms, Ontology type [23], UniProtID [73], description and E-value are reported for each sequence.

Click here for file [http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2164-10-363-S1.xls]

Additional file 2
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