Nano-biphasic calcium phosphate/polyvinyl alcohol composites with enhanced bioactivity for bone repair via low-temperature three-dimensional printing and loading with platelet-rich fibrin
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Background and aim: As a newly emerging three-dimensional (3D) printing technology, low-temperature robocasting can be used to fabricate geometrically complex ceramic scaffolds at low temperatures. Here, we aimed to fabricate 3D printed ceramic scaffolds composed of nano-biphasic calcium phosphate (BCP), polyvinyl alcohol (PVA), and platelet-rich fibrin (PRF) at a low temperature without the addition of toxic chemicals.

Methods: Corresponding nonprinted scaffolds were prepared using a freeze-drying method. Compared with the nonprinted scaffolds, the printed scaffolds had specific shapes and well-connected internal structures.

Results: The incorporation of PRF enabled both the sustained release of bioactive factors from the scaffolds and improved biocompatibility and biological activity toward bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (BMSCs) in vitro. Additionally, the printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds promoted significantly better BMSC adhesion, proliferation, and osteogenic differentiation in vitro than the printed BCP/PVA scaffolds. In vivo, the printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds induced a greater extent of appropriate bone formation than the printed BCP/PVA scaffolds and nonprinted scaffolds in a critical-size segmental bone defect model in rabbits.

Conclusion: These experiments indicate that low-temperature robocasting could potentially be used to fabricate 3D printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds with desired shapes and internal structures and incorporated bioactive factors to enhance the repair of segmental bone defects.
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Introduction
Large bone defects are frequently encountered by orthopedic surgeons in clinical practice and are great surgical challenges. Bone traumas exceeding a critical size become scarred and lose the ability to regenerate. Autologous bone grafting is considered the gold standard repair method; however, the transplantation process may lead to hemorrhage, nerve injury, and dysfunction.1 The failure of autograft is mostly due to the necrosis of grafts, which is usually caused by poor blood supply and secondary infection. To avoid these postoperative complications, the currently favored solution is to repair bone defects with inert bone graft materials (scaffolds) that simply function as a supporting structure but provide limited skeletal regeneration ability.2

The biomaterials used to fabricate scaffolds for bone repair play a key role in tissue engineering as their biophysical and biochemical characteristics affect cellular
behavior and function. Numerous biomaterials are currently under development with the aim of mimicking the regulatory characteristics of the natural extracellular matrix (ECM) and ECM-bound growth factors. In addition, it is equally important that the technology used to prepare biomaterial scaffolds retains the maximal degree of biological activity and the internal pore structure facilitates the penetration of newly formed tissue. Indeed, the design of scaffolds with a desired shape, internal channel network, and biological activity has become an intensive area of research.

Three-dimensional (3D) printing is a continually expanding, revolutionary, powerful manufacturing technique with a wide range of applications. The typical medical applications of 3D printing include preoperative planning and simulation, sharing detailed surgical information before surgery with patients, and the development of individualized implants and prostheses. Unquestionably, 3D printing represents a major opportunity for regenerative medicine and has been applied in attempts to address the demand for tissue engineered scaffolds, artificial tissues, and even organs suitable for transplantation.

3D printing is a broad concept that can be classified as additive manufacturing. Common additive technologies applied in medicine include selective laser sintering, fused deposition modeling, multi-jet modeling, stereolithography, powder-based printing, and robocasting. All of these techniques can be used to rapidly fabricate products with specific shapes, well-defined internal structures, and highly interconnected porosities.

Robocasting is an additive manufacturing technique in which a thin filament of biomaterial is extruded from a nozzle, forming an object layer by layer. This technique can be used to fabricate geometrically complex ceramic scaffolds using water-based inks with minimal chemical solvent incorporation and without requiring solidification for the product to retain its shape after extrusion. Robocasting enables scaffolds to be printed at low temperatures, which is a major advantage over other additive manufacturing techniques. These characteristics of robocasting make it possible to incorporate bioactive molecules into scaffolds while retaining maximal levels of biological activity.

Bone is a dynamically changing, highly vascularized tissue composed of 70% hydroxyapatite (HAp) crystals and 30% organic material by dry weight. In bone tissue engineering applications, bioceramics mainly function as a mechanical support and calcium store. Biphasic calcium phosphate (BCP) is commonly used as a material in orthopedic surgery and has two components, HAp (Ca$_5$(PO$_4$)$_3$OH) and β-tricalcium phosphate (β-TCP; β-Ca$_3$(PO$_4$)$_2$). BCP has the advantage of having a higher solubility than HAp, which accelerates scaffold degradation. However, HAp is more hydrophilic than β-TCP. BCP combines the advantages of HAp and β-TCP and possesses the mixed dissolution properties of these materials.

With respect to the adhesives required for 3D printing, polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) is a synthetic, water-soluble, well-characterized biocompatible polymer with viscoelastic properties comparable to those of articular cartilage. PVA has previously been used to carry and sustain the release of biologically active molecules.

Platelet-rich fibrin (PRF) is a second-generation platelet concentrate with a simplified preparation method that does not require the addition of thrombin or calcium chloride. PRF has been reported to have the potential to facilitate hemostasis, wound healing, and the differentiation of preosteoblasts due to the presence of secreted growth factors. During the process of preparing PRF, high numbers of activated platelets and leukocyte growth factors are embedded into the fibrin matrix, which are released slowly as the fibrin degrades. PRF has been reported to have the potential to facilitate hemostasis, wound healing, and the differentiation of preosteoblasts due to the presence of secreted growth factors. During the process of preparing PRF, high numbers of activated platelets and leukocyte growth factors are embedded into the fibrin matrix, which are released slowly as the fibrin degrades.

In this study, we fabricated an innovative 3D printed porous nano-BCP/PVA/PRF scaffold. There is little research on the combination of these three materials for bone repair applications. Let alone the 3D printing of this mixture. We hypothesized that the low-temperature 3D printing of BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds would preserve the biological activity of PRF and provide an innovative biomaterial for restoring segmental bone defects. The biological effects of the printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds on rabbit bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (BMSCs) were assessed in vitro, and the ability of the scaffolds to promote osteogenesis was investigated in vivo using a critical-size segmental bone defect model with no self-repair capability in the rabbit radius. We suggest that low temperature 3D printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds may represent a promising new approach to overcome the significant hurdles that currently prevent successful segmental bone defect and bone nonunion repair in clinical practice.

**Methods**

**Fabrication of 3D printed BCP/PVA and BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds**

The PRF preparation procedure followed a previously published protocol. Ear venous blood (5 mL) was collected without anticoagulation from the 36 New Zealand White rabbits (male, 12 weeks old, average weight ~2.5 kg) used for the in vivo critical-size bone defect study. All animal procedures...
were approved by the Institutional Animal Care Committee of The Fourth Military Medical University and conducted in strict accordance with the Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals issued by the Chinese Council on Animal Research and Animal Care. The venous blood was immediately transferred to 10 mL sterile glass centrifuge tubes and centrifuged at 400×g for 10 min; a fibrin clot formed in the middle of the tube between the red corpuscles at the bottom and acellular plasma at the top. A resistant autologous fibrin membrane was obtained by squeezing the serum out from the clot using sterile gauze, and then, the membrane was cut into fragments. Then, the PRF fragments were stored at −80°C. The above PRF preparation procedure was performed the day before the BCP/PVA slurry preparation.

Nano-BCP ceramic powder consisting of 60% HAp and 40% β-TCP with an average grain size of 100 nm was purchased from Emperor Nano Materials Company (Nanjing, China). PVA was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. (St Louis, MO, USA) (molecular weight 85,000–124,000 Da and degree of hydrolysis >99%). Briefly, 8 g of PVA was dissolved in 42 mL of deionized water at 95°C to prepare a 16 wt% PVA solution. After cooling to 4°C, 8 g of BCP powder was added to 8 mL of the 16 wt% PVA solution (powder/liquid mass-to-volume ratio 1:1). Then, PRF granules prepared from 5 mL of venous blood were added and the mixture was stirred at 4°C until the BCP/PVA/PRF paste was homogeneous.

The 3D printing was performed using a filament-free printing (FFP) printer (PC Printer; Particle Cloud Biotechnology, Xi’an, China). A flow chart of the 3D printing process is presented in Figure 1. The BCP/PVA/PRF paste was transferred into a plotting cartridge, and the bubbles produced by stirring were removed under vacuum. One end of the cartridge was mounted on the 3D printer port, and the other end was connected to the air compressor. The STL file, which was designed in advance, was loaded onto the Repetier Host Software and converted into G-code. The diameter of nozzle was 600 μm, and the migration velocity was 10 mm/s. The paste was extruded through the nozzle by the propeller, with the working table system making a synthetic motion along the x–y-axes and the nozzle moving along the z-axis. The slice thickness was set to 200 μm. An XXYY laminated design (each slice was repeated twice) was adopted to increase the porosity.
and connectivity rate. The ambient temperature was set to −4°C to retain maximal cytokine activity. After printing, the scaffolds were frozen at −80°C overnight. To prepare nonprinted scaffolds, the paste was cast into molds that were the same size as the printed scaffolds and solidified at −80°C.

The following four groups of scaffolds were prepared: nonprinted BCP/PVA scaffolds, printed BCP/PVA scaffolds, nonprinted BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds, and printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds. All scaffolds were lyophilized using a vacuum freeze-drying machine (Alpha 1-2 LD plus; Christ, Osterode, Germany).

Characterization of the scaffolds

Microstructural analysis

The scaffolds were immersed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 24 h, successively dehydrated in 70, 90, 95, and 100% ethanol for 15 min, and then dried under vacuum. After coating the samples with gold, the surface and cross-sectional morphologies were observed via scanning electron microscopy (SEM) using a Hitachi S-4800 system (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan).

Hydrophilic properties

Hydrophilicity was measured using a contact angle instrument (Kruss, Hamburg, Germany), which employs an optical system to capture a photo of a drop of ultrapure water on the scaffold via the static sessile drop method (n=6). The contact angle is the angle between the liquid–solid interface and the liquid–vapor interface.36

Porosity

The porosity of the scaffolds was measured using a high-resolution micro-computed tomography (micro-CT) analysis system (Yxlon) with VGStudio MAX software (Volume Graphics, Heidelberg, Germany). Porosity was defined as the ratio between the pore volume and bulk volume. A volume of 10×10×3 mm was chosen as the region of interest (n=6), and a threshold contrast method was used to distinguish the pore volume from the scaffold volume.

Surface roughness

Surface roughness was determined using a surface roughness tester (TR240; Beijing TIME Group, Inc., Beijing, China) and the supporting software (n=3). The scanning area was 200×200 μm, and the scanning speed was 2 μm/s.

Compressive modulus

Cuboidal scaffolds (n=3; 10×10×3 mm) were soaked in PBS for 24 h at 37°C to simulate in vivo conditions and were then analyzed using a Model 858 Material Testing System (MTS Systems, Eden Prairie, MN, USA) at a deformation rate of 0.005 mm/s. The compressive modulus was then obtained from the tangent slope of the stress–strain curve in the linear strain range where the material follows Hooke’s law.37

Biological assessment of the scaffolds

Quantification of growth factor release

The concentrations of growth factors released from the nonprinted and printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds (10×10×3 mm) over 21 days were measured using commercial enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits (R&D Systems, Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The growth factors assessed were vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), platelet-derived growth factor-AB (PDGF-AB), transforming growth factor-β1 (TGF-β1), and insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1).38 The nonprinted and printed scaffolds were sterilized and placed in six-well plates; then, 2 mL of PBS (HyClone, Logan, UT, USA) was added to each well for incubation at 37°C in a 5% humidified CO2 incubator. The PBS was collected and replaced with the same amount of PBS every 24 h for 21 days. The PBS samples were stored at −80°C and assessed in parallel.

Isolation and culture of BMSCs

Five 4-week-old male New Zealand White rabbits were anesthetized, and bone marrow was aspirated from the femoral condyle using sterilized 16 G syringe needles that had undergone anticoagulation treatment with 1,000 U heparin. The cells were cultured in 25 cm² cell culture flasks in 90% α-minimum essential medium (α-MEM; HyClone) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco, a product line of Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), 100 IU/mL penicillin, and 100 μg/mL streptomycin at 37°C in a 5% humidified CO2 incubator. Adherent cells were passaged after 48 h. The media were changed every 2–3 days, and the BMSCs were passaged upon reaching 80% confluence. Third-passage cells were used for biological assessments.

Seeding BMSCs on the scaffolds

The seeding procedure was performed as previously described.18 Scaffolds (10×10×3 mm) were sterilized, soaked in α-MEM for 24 h before use, and placed in six-well plates; then, 3×10⁶ cells/cm² were seeded onto the scaffolds in 2 mL of culture medium, and the plates were incubated at 37°C. The media were changed every 3 days.
Cellular compatibility of the scaffolds
Scaffolds were soaked in 2 mL of cell culture media in six-well plates for 48 h. BMSCs were seeded in 96-well plates at a density of 1×10^4 cells/well and allowed to adhere for 8 h. Then, the cell culture media were replaced with the media in which the scaffolds had been soaked, and the BMSCs were cultured for 24 h. Untreated cell culture media were added to the wells in the control group.

Cell numbers were assessed using Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8; Dojindo, Kumamoto, Japan). Briefly, 10 μL of CCK-8 solution was added to each well and the plates were incubated for 4 h. The absorbance values were read at 450 nm (n=3) using a microplate reader (Wellsmap MK3; Labsystems Dragon, Bucharest, Romania).

For the apoptosis assays, BMSCs were cultured on the scaffolds for 24 h and then trypsinized. The resulting cell suspension was centrifuged. BMSCs seeded on untreated culture dishes were prepared as a control. The cells were stained using an Annexin V-FITC/PI Apoptosis Detection Kit (Liankebio Company, Hangzhou, China) following the manufacturer’s instructions and analyzed using a FACScan Flow Cytometry system (n=3).

Cell adhesion and proliferation
At 8 h after being seeded on the scaffolds, BMSCs were digested from the scaffolds and counted using an Automated Cell Counter (TC-10; Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., Hercules, CA, USA). Seeding efficiency was calculated as the number of cells attached to the scaffold divided by the total number of cells seeded.

Immunofluorescent staining for F-actin, vinculin, and nuclei was employed to assess BMSC adhesion. At 24 h after seeding, the cell/scaffold constructs were washed with PBS and fixed with 4.0% (w/v) paraformaldehyde for 20 min; then, the cells were permeabilized in 0.1% Triton X-100 for 5 min and incubated in 1% (w/v) bovine serum albumin for 30 min to block nonspecific binding. Subsequently, the samples were incubated with anti-vinculin antibody (1:15 with PBS; AbD Serotec, Kidlington, UK) overnight at 4°C, rinsed three times in PBS for 5 min, incubated with FITC-labeled goat anti-mouse IgG antibody (1:10 with PBS; CWBiotech, Beijing, China) for 4 h at room temperature in the dark, washed three times with PBS, incubated with rhodamine-phalloidin for 30 min in the dark (3:500 with PBS; Thermo Fisher Scientific), and washed three times with PBS. Finally, the samples were incubated with the nuclear stain 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (Sigma-Aldrich Co.) for 5 min and washed three times with PBS. Then, the fluorescence intensity of vinculin (which reflects the adherent state of BMSCs) was measured and analyzed using the Image-Pro-Plus 6.0 software (Media Cybernetics, Rockville, MD, USA). BMSCs seeded on cell culture plates were processed as the control group.

Furthermore, the CCK-8 assay was used to quantitatively evaluate cell proliferation kinetics on the internal surfaces of the scaffolds on days 1, 4, 7, and 11 after seeding (n=3) and the morphology of the cell/scaffold constructs was observed by SEM at 1, 4, and 7 days after seeding.

Effect of scaffolds on the osteogenic differentiation of BMSCs
Alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity is considered as an early marker of osteogenesis and was measured using an ALP assay kit (Beyotime, Jiangsu, China) according to the manufacturer’s protocol (n=3). After seeding BMSCs on the scaffolds, the cell culture media were replaced with osteogenic induction media (Cyagen Biosciences, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA) and ALP activity was quantified on days 7 and 14. Briefly, the cells on the scaffolds were collected and permeabilized by incubation in 0.1% Triton X-100 solution followed by sonication. The suspension was centrifuged, and the cell supernatant was reacted with p-nitrophenyl phenol (pNPP) solution for 30 min. The rate of p-nitrophenol (pNP) production is directly proportional to ALP activity; ALP activity was normalized to the total protein content as determined using a BCA protein kit (Beyotime).

The mRNA expression levels of Alp, collagen type I alpha 1 (Col1A1), osteopontin (Opn), runt-related transcription factor-2 (Runx-2), and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (Gapdh) were measured at 7 and 14 days after seeding. Briefly, total mRNA was isolated from the cell/scaffold constructs using the TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen Life Technologies, a product line of Thermo Fisher Scientific) and first strand cDNA was synthesized using the PrimeScript RT Master Mix (Takara Biotechnology Co., Dalian, China). Primers (Table 1) were designed using published gene sequences (National Center for Biotechnology Information and PubMed). Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction was performed using the SYBR Premix Ex Taq II (Takara Biotechnology Co.) on a CFX96 PCR System (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc.). Gapdh was used as a housekeeping gene.

In vivo evaluation of bone healing in a critical-size bone defect model in the rabbit radius
Scaffold implantation
The osteoconductivity and osteoinductivity of the scaffolds were assessed in vivo using a critical-size bone defect model in the rabbit radius. BMSCs were seeded onto sterilized
scaffolds with identical shapes and sizes (L=15 mm, W=3 mm, H=3 mm) and cultured in vitro for 2 weeks (n=9). The time from the PRF preparation and cutting to its implantation within the scaffold into the rabbit was 17 days. Twelve-week-old New Zealand White rabbits (average weight ~3 kg, n=9) were anesthetized using xylazine hydrochloride (4 mg/kg) and 2% (w/v) pentobarbital (30 mg/kg) (both from Rongbai Biological Technology Co., Ltd, Shanghai, China) for the duration of surgery. The surgical procedure was performed as described in previously published protocols41,42 and was approved by the Animal Care Committee of The Fourth Military Medical University.

After disinfection with povidone iodine and 70% alcohol, the surgical site was draped with sterile towels, and the medial surface of the radius was surgically exposed. A segmental defect (15 mm) was created using a miniature orthopedic drill under saline irrigation. The bone defect was filled with the cell/scaffold construct, and the soft tissue was sutured using 3.0 sutures. No scaffolds were implanted into the control group (n=9). The rabbits received perioperative antibiotics (50 kU/kg penicillin) by intramuscular injection and postoperative medical care.

After 4, 8, and 12 weeks, rabbits were euthanized with an overdose of ketamine hydrochloride (Hengrui Medicine Co., Ltd, Jiangsu, China). For fluorescence double staining, three rabbits from each group received an intramuscular injection of tetracycline (80 mg/kg; Sigma-Aldrich Co.) 14 days and calcein (8 mg/kg; Sigma-Aldrich Co.) 3 days before euthanasia at 8 weeks after surgery.

Micro-CT imaging and 3D reconstruction

The radius bones were dissected, fixed in 80% ethanol, and analyzed using a micro-CT scanning system (); images were reconstructed using VGStudio MAX software (Volume Graphics, Heidelberg, Germany). The X-ray tube voltage was 80 kV, and the current was 200 mA; 450 micro-CT slices were acquired for each sample.

The bone volume/total volume (BV/TV) fraction was calculated as the volume of newly formed bone divided by the total volume of the region of interest. The region of interest selection was based on the initial 15 mm surgical defect. The RSV/SV was used to assess the rate of scaffold degradation; RSV is the residual scaffold volume, and SV is the total volume of the scaffold before implantation.

Bone histological staining

New bone formation was assessed using Van Gieson’s staining, as previously described.43 The samples were dehydrated in an ascending ethanol series, cleared in xylene, embedded in methyl methacrylate (MMA), and cut into 200 μm thick sections using a modified interlocked diamond saw (Microm; Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany). The slices were pasted onto plastic histology slides and polished to eliminate scratches. The sections prepared from rabbits injected with tetracycline and calcein were observed using a fluorescence microscope to calculate the mineral apposition rate (MAR), which is defined as the ratio of the mean distance between the tetracycline fluorescent strips (yellow) and calcein fluorescent strips (green) with the dosing interval (10 days). Finally, the sections were stained with 1.2% trinitrophenol and 1% acid fuchsin and visualized using an optical microscope (DM6000B; Leica Microsystems).

Statistical analysis

The results are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation (x ± SD) and were analyzed using SPSS 14.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) or the GraphPad Prism 5 software (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). Differences between experimental groups were assessed using one-way analysis of variance followed by the post hoc Bonferroni correction. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Fabrication and physicochemical characterization of 3D printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds

A novel 3D printing approach was employed to fabricate BCP/PVA and BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds under low-temperature conditions in order to preserve the activity of the cytokines incorporated in PRF; nonprinted BCP/PVA and

| Gene | Forward primer sequence (5′-3′) | Reverse primer sequence (5′-3′) |
|------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Alp  | GGCACAAGCACTCCACCTTT           | TGGTCAATCCCTGCACCTCTTC         |
| Col-1A1 | AAGAACGGAGATGAGCCAGGAAG         | GCACCCATCCAAAACCACTGAA         |
| Ocn  | CACCCGAGAATGTATGTCC            | GTTGTACGTGCCTCATCTC            |
| Runx-2 | GCCAGAGAAATGTAGAGCAAAG         | GTAGTGAAGACAGACGGAGA           |
| Gapdh | CCACCTTGTAAGCTCATTTCT          | TCACCTCATCTTGTTGCTCT           |

Table 1 Primer sequences
BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds were generated as comparisons. The ability of the scaffolds to promote osteogenesis was assessed both in vitro and in vivo (Figure 1).

Gross observations revealed that the printed scaffolds had a regular pore structure and high pore connectivity (Figure 2A and B), which could promote the ingrowth of BMSCs and endothelial cells and the transport of nutrients and metabolic waste. Integrative SEM analyses of the microstructure and surface morphology of the scaffolds are shown in Figure 2C–F, and the internal microstructures of the scaffolds are shown in Figure 2G–J.

**Figure 2** Macroscopic and microscopic structures, hydrophobicity, and porosity of the scaffolds.

Notes: Gross appearance of the following four types of scaffold: NP B/P scaffolds, P B/P scaffolds, NP B/P/P scaffolds, and P B/P/P scaffolds (A). The P B/P/P scaffolds had a higher porosity and higher connectivity rate (B); scale bar: 5 mm. Scanning electron microscopy observations of the surface morphology of NP B/P scaffolds (C), P B/P scaffolds (D), NP B/P/P scaffolds (E), and P B/P/P scaffolds (F). Scanning electron microscopy observations of the internal microstructure of NP B/P scaffolds (G), P B/P scaffolds (H), NP B/P/P scaffolds (I), and P B/P/P scaffolds (J); scale bars: 50 μm. (K) Water contact angle determination. (L) Porosity measurements. Results are mean ± standard deviation (χ ± SD), n=6; P<0.05 vs NP B/P scaffolds; P<0.05 vs P B/P scaffolds; P<0.05 vs NP B/P/P scaffolds.

**Abbreviations:** NP B/P, nonprinted biphasic calcium phosphate/polyvinyl alcohol; NP B/P/P, nonprinted biphasic calcium phosphate/polyvinyl alcohol/platelet-rich fibrin; P B/P, printed biphasic calcium phosphate/polyvinyl alcohol; P B/P/P, printed biphasic calcium phosphate/polyvinyl alcohol/platelet-rich fibrin.
scaffolds are displayed in Figure 2G–J. PRF incorporation appeared to increase the surface roughness of the scaffolds, which may promote cellular adhesion.44 The nonprinted and printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds had lower contact angles than the nonprinted and printed BCP/PVA scaffolds, respectively (P<0.05; Figure 2K), demonstrating that the incorporation of PRF improved the hydrophilicity of the scaffolds. The 3D printed BCP/PVA and BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds (49.6 and 54.7%) had significantly higher total porosities than the nonprinted BCP/PVA and BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds (1.5 and 6.1%, P<0.05), respectively (Figure 2L), confirming that the 3D printing process increased the porosity of the scaffolds, which may enhance cellular penetration and distribution to facilitate vascularization.

Consistent with the SEM observations (Figure 2C–F), quantitative analysis revealed that the surface roughness of the nonprinted BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds was threefold higher than that of the nonprinted BCP/PVA scaffolds (P<0.05; Figure 3A) and that the surface roughness of the 3D printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds was 2.5-fold higher than that of the 3D printed BCP/PVA scaffolds (P<0.05), confirming that the incorporation of PRF significantly improved the surface roughness.

The printed BCP/PVA and BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds had significantly lower compressive moduli values than the nonprinted BCP/PVA and BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds, respectively (both P<0.05; Figure 3B), indicating that the 3D printing process decreased the strength of the scaffolds.
Quantification of growth factor release from BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds

We quantified the levels of growth factors released by the nonprinted and printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds into PBS over 21 days. As shown in Figure 3C–F, VEGF, PDGF, TGF, and IGF were released from both the printed and nonprinted BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds, although the levels decreased over time, which is in agreement with the findings of Zhao et al.\(^27\) and Jayasree et al.\(^45\) In general, the printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds released significantly higher levels of growth factors in the early stages and significantly lower levels at 14 and 21 days than the nonprinted BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds.

Biocompatibility of the scaffolds

BMSCs were successfully isolated from the aspirated bone marrow samples; third-passage BMSCs were used for all experiments. The scaffolds were soaked in cell culture media for 24 h. The cytotoxicity of the scaffold-conditioned media toward BMSCs was not significantly different from that of untreated media; none of the scaffold-conditioned media samples induced acute cytotoxicity \((P>0.05); Figure\ 3G\).

Furthermore, the apoptosis assay revealed that BMSCs seeded on the scaffolds did not undergo apoptosis or cell death \((P>0.05); Figure\ 3H\). Moreover, the live/dead cell ratios were not significantly different between the control BMSCs seeded on cell culture dishes and the cells seeded on any type of scaffold \((P>0.05); Figure\ 3I\).

Cell adhesion and proliferation activity on the scaffolds

Cell seeding efficiency was calculated as the number of BMSCs digested from the scaffolds divided by the initial number of cells seeded. As shown in Figure 3J, the seeding efficiency of the printed BCP/PVA scaffolds was significantly lower than that of the nonprinted BCP/PVA scaffolds \((P<0.05)\). Moreover, the seeding efficiency of the printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds was lower than that of the nonprinted BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds \((P<0.05)\) but significantly higher than that of the printed BCP/PVA scaffolds \((P<0.05)\).

SEM analysis was used to visualize the adhesion of the BMSCs to the printed BCP/PVA and BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds at 24 h after seeding (Figure 4A and B). The seeded BMSCs extended long and abundant pseudopodia and adhered firmly to the scaffolds. However, the BMSCs seeded on the printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds seemed to spread over larger areas. Immunofluorescent staining for F-actin, vinculin, and nuclei in the BMSCs cultured in the scaffolds and cell culture plates was performed at 24 h after seeding. Vinculin is a cytoskeletal protein that localizes to focal adhesion plaques at the junctions between cells and the ECM.\(^46\) The BMSCs seeded to all scaffolds exhibited good attachment and spreading (Figure 4D).

To quantitatively evaluate the state of adhesion, the integral optical density (IOD) values for vinculin were calculated for the cells on each type of scaffold (Figure 4C). BMSCs on the printed BCP/PVA scaffolds had a lower mean IOD value than cells on the printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds \((11.25\pm2.07\ vs\ 24.32\pm3.43; \ P=0.0048)\), and BMSCs on the nonprinted BCP/PVA scaffolds had a lower mean IOD than cells on the nonprinted BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds \((11.09\pm1.76\ vs\ 24.20\pm1.98; \ P=0.001)\). The mean IOD values of cells seeded on the nonprinted and printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds were higher than those of cells seeded on the cell culture plates, while BMSCs seeded on the cell culture plates had higher mean IOD values than cells seeded on the nonprinted and printed BCP/PVA scaffolds; however, these differences were not statistically significant.

The cell proliferation rate was higher on the printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds than the printed BCP/PVA scaffolds on days 4, 7, and 11 \((P<0.05); Figure\ 5A\), indicating that the incorporation of PRF enhanced BMSC proliferation. The cell proliferation rate on the nonprinted BCP/PVA and BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds slowed at day 11, which may be due to contact inhibition as a result of the cells covering the limited surface area. This suggestion is consistent with the SEM analyses (Figure 5C), in which the nonprinted scaffolds were observed to be overgrown with BMSCs, while the printed scaffolds still possessed sufficient surface area for cell proliferation. These results suggest that the incorporation of PRF enhanced early BMSC proliferation, while the printed scaffolds provide a spatially large interconnected structure for cell adhesion and proliferation.

Osteogenic differentiation of BMSCs

The ability of BMSCs to differentiate toward the osteogenic lineage was evaluated by assessing the ALP activity of the cells seeded on the scaffolds. ALP is considered as an early marker of osteogenic differentiation;\(^47\) ALP activity represents the activity of a single intracellular enzyme. On both days 7 and 14 after seeding, BMSCs on the nonprinted BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds had higher ALP activity than cells on the nonprinted BCP/PVA scaffolds \((P<0.05)\) and BMSCs on the printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds had higher ALP activity than cells on the printed BCP/PVA scaffolds \((P<0.05)\);
Figure 5B), although ALP activity was lower on day 14 than on day 7. These results are similar to those of Man et al.\(^4\) and confirm that the incorporation of PRF enhanced the ability of the scaffolds to promote osteogenic differentiation.

Assessment of relative mRNA expression levels by qRT-PCR (Figure 6) revealed that BMSCs cultured on the printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds expressed significantly higher levels of \(\text{Alp}, \text{Col1A1}, \text{Opn},\) and \(\text{Runx}-2\) on days 7 and 14 compared with BMSCs on the other scaffolds (all \(P < 0.05\)). These results indicate that the incorporation of PRF and the 3D structure of the printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds simulated the ability of the bone microenvironment to promote BMSC differentiation.
Micro-CT imaging and osteogenic analysis

Micro-CT images were acquired to assess the osteogenic potential of the various scaffolds (Figure 7A). The bone defects in the control group, in which no scaffolds were implanted, still lacked newly formed bone at 12 weeks (Figure 7A). The defects implanted with the printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds formed new bone and completed bone repair earlier than the defects implanted with the other scaffolds.
In agreement with the micro-CT analysis, all scaffold groups had higher BV/TV values than the control group \((P<0.05)\). However, the nonprinted and printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds had higher BV/TV values than the nonprinted and printed BCP/PVA scaffolds, respectively, at 8 and 12 weeks \((P<0.05)\), indicating that the incorporation of PRF increased new bone formation. The printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds exhibited the highest bone volume formation compared with the other scaffolds \((P<0.05)\) (Figure 7B).

RSV/SV analysis showed that the printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds had a significantly higher degradation rate than the nonprinted BCP/PVA scaffolds at 4 weeks \((P<0.05)\). At 8 weeks, the printed BCP/PVA scaffolds had a higher degradation rate than the nonprinted BCP/PVA scaffolds \((78.73\pm2.45 \text{ vs } 86.27\pm2.53; \ P=0.0110)\) and the printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds had a higher degradation rate than the nonprinted BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds \((74.16\pm2.27 \text{ vs } 83.07\pm2.56; \ P=0.0108)\). Similar trends were observed at 12 weeks, when the printed BCP/PVA scaffolds had a lower RSV/SV value than the nonprinted BCP/PVA scaffolds \((70.49\pm2.82 \text{ vs } 79.36\pm2.31; \ P=0.0136)\) and the printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds had a lower RSV/SV value than the nonprinted BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds \((65.07\pm2.66 \text{ vs } 77.75\pm2.74; \ P=0.0045)\) (Figure 7C).

**Histological staining**

Images of fluorescent double staining for tetracycline (yellow) and calcein (green) are shown in Figure 8A–E. The distance between the yellow and green fluorescent bands was higher on the nonprinted BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds than the nonprinted BCP/PVA scaffolds \((28.65\pm2.13 \text{ vs } 20.47\pm1.97 \mu m; \ P<0.05)\). Moreover, the distance between the fluorescent bands was almost 1.4-fold higher on the printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds than the printed BCP/PVA scaffolds \((29.87\pm3.25 \text{ vs } 20.64\pm2.82 \mu m; \ P<0.05)\).
The MARs calculated from the tetracycline and calcein fluorescent double staining were higher for all four groups of scaffolds than for the control group without a scaffold ($P<0.05$; Figure 8F). The MARs of the nonprinted and printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds were higher than those of the nonprinted and printed BCP/PVA scaffolds, respectively (both $P<0.05$).

To evaluate the tissue response to the implanted scaffolds and assess the defect healing progress, we performed histological analysis using Van Gieson’s staining at 4, 8, and 12 weeks (Figure 9). By 4 weeks after implantation, bone callus (red) was prominent in the specimens implanted with the nonprinted and printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds. Moreover, large areas of newly formed callus were observed to extend into the regular pores of the printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds. In comparison, numerous stromal cells and fibrous tissue (blue) surrounded the nonprinted and printed BCP/PVA scaffolds.
At 8 weeks after implantation, the formation of new bone had progressed markedly in the nonprinted and printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffold groups. Sponge-like bone tissues were observed in both the peripheral and central zones of the printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds. Furthermore, due to the ingrowth of new bone, the edges of the printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds began to degrade and fracture. In contrast, only cartilage tissues enveloped the nonprinted and printed BCP/PVA scaffolds.
At 12 weeks after implantation, woven bone combined with lamellar bone was observed around the residual scaffolds in the nonprinted and printed BCP/PVA/PRF groups and significant degradation of the printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds had occurred. In contrast, although a small quantity of lamellar bone was present in the areas close to the host bone around the nonprinted and printed BCP/PVA scaffolds, this bone was thin and irregular.

Discussion

The 3D printing of bone scaffolds is a promising approach as it combines the precision of 3D printing techniques with tissue engineering to fabricate bionic bone implants with a desired shape and internal structure.49

The ideal bone tissue engineering scaffold should possess a number of characteristics. First, the raw materials used to fabricate the scaffolds should be easy to acquire and simple to prepare.49 In this article, we demonstrate the feasibility and simplicity of fabricating 3D printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds under mild and cytocompatible conditions without the use of unnecessary harmful substances. The printing process was performed at a low temperature and minimally affected the biological activity of PRF. This is the first BCP/PVA/PRF scaffold to be printed at a low temperature and retain the biological activity of the incorporated factors. In contrast, the printed scaffolds described by He et al50 needed to be incubated for 30 min at 150°C in a muffle furnace to facilitate cross-linking, which would destroy the biological activity of the incorporated growth factors.

Second, the mechanical properties of the ideal scaffold need to be the optimal combination of flexibility and rigidity.51 As described in our previous article,19 we can fabricate large scaffolds (for the tibial plateau model) up to 3 cm in length using a FFP printer. The production of grafts with desired shapes and internal structures to repair critical-size defects in the clinic remains a challenge.55 Robocasting, as employed in this study, may be a possible method for generating large implants for clinical use as the resulting scaffolds can fully support their own weight during assembly.51

Third, porosity and pore size need to be sufficiently large to allow the formation and ingrowth of new bone tissue.49 Integrative SEM revealed that the pore size of the printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffold is ~500–600 and >300 μm is favorable for vascularization.53 The porosity of the printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds reached up to 50%. While the compressive modulus of the printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds was lower than that of the corresponding nonprinted BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds, it was higher than our previously reported values for printed HA/collagen scaffolds.19 In the field of biomaterials, there is always a compromise between porosity and mechanical properties.54 High porosity is necessary for oxygen and nutrient transportation, new tissue formation, vascularization, and neurotization. However, bionic bone needs to be stiff enough to provide support for cell attachment and bear the forces conducted from the adjacent bone tissues. Although the mean compressive modulus of the printed BCP/PVA/PRF was lower than that of cancellous bone (~2–20 mPa),55 printed BCP/PVA/PRF could be applied in combination with orthopedic surgical internal fixation to promote biological osteosynthesis.49

Finally, in terms of ideal properties, a scaffold should have favorable biocompatibility and biological activity and its microenvironment should facilitate mineralization and vascularization.49 With respect to biocompatibility, printed scaffolds must facilitate the survival, migration, proliferation, and differentiation of seeded or recruited cells.56 The regeneration of bone tissue occurs via complicated temporal and spatial coordination of a massive range of processes involving different cells that are induced by a vast number of signals from the ECM.4 However, synthetic biomaterials lack the necessary signals and surface modifications to promote efficient cell attachment and migration, let alone recapitulate the bone regeneration processes.49 PRF has the 3D organization of a fibrin network, which promotes cytokine enmeshment and facilitates cellular migration. PRF granules were distributed homogeneously throughout the low temperature printed scaffolds generated in this study and were also found to be distributed on the scaffold surface, which increased surface roughness and provided anchorage sites for cell adhesion. Moreover, the introduction of PRF significantly improved the hydrophilicity and surface roughness of the scaffolds, which improved the seeding efficiency; this is consistent with other research, showing that the introduction of peptides onto polymer surfaces promoted cell adhesion.57

In addition to enhance cell adhesion, the incorporation of PRF also promoted BMSC proliferation on the scaffolds, as indicated by toxicity and cell proliferation assays and SEM analysis at various time points, confirming that PRF provides suitable sites for cell attachment. Immunofluorescent staining and quantitative assessment suggested that BMSCs seeded on the scaffolds containing PRF expressed high levels of vinculin, confirming that the printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds provide a favorable microenvironment for the adhesion, extension, and migration of BMSCs.

Cell proliferation assays and SEM analysis confirmed that BMSCs proliferated well on each of the four types of scaffolds tested. By day 7, the surfaces of all scaffolds were covered with cells, especially the printed BCP/PVA/PRF
scaffolds. The interiors of the printed scaffolds were also covered by cells. Higher numbers of cells were detected on the printed BCP/PVA and BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds than on the corresponding nonprinted scaffolds, as the higher specific surface area of the printed scaffolds supported the growth of more cells. The ELISA results confirmed the slow, sustained release of growth factors from the printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds, which is consistent with the findings of Zhao et al. These researchers used pure PRF or PRF loaded into gelatine-nanoHAp fibrous scaffolds. VEGF and PDGF mainly promote angiogenesis through recruiting endothelial cells and forming tubules. PDGF also promotes BMSC recruitment, and IGF plays a part in neoangiogenesis. TGF mainly recruits osteoblast precursors. While some other researchers have tried to release single growth factors from scaffolds, the process of bone regeneration requires multiple growth factors working together to promote the activity of osteogenic-related cells. The prolonged release of growth factors from PRF may provide proliferation and differentiation stimuli for BMSCs to promote bone formation.

One major factor that limits the application of critical-size tissue engineered bone replacements in the clinic is an insufficient supply of nutrients and oxygen throughout the entire scaffold. Observations of cell growth, proliferation, and adherent morphology indicated that the BMSCs on the internal surfaces of the printed scaffolds could obtain oxygen and nutrients and efficiently transport metabolic waste. The cells seeded onto or recruited to tissue engineered scaffolds must differentiate to enable complete repair. ALP is an early marker of BMSC differentiation toward osteoblasts. BMSCs on the printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds exhibited higher ALP activity than cells on the printed BCP/PVA scaffolds, indicating that the incorporation of PRF promoted more rapid differentiation toward the osteoblast lineage. These results are similar to those of Man et al., who showed that ALP activity was significantly elevated at day 7 in PRP-laden scaffolds compared with non-PRP-laden scaffolds. The qRT-PCR analysis confirmed that the printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds promoted osteogenic differentiation. In fact, these effects could be attributed to the combination of a high surface area, a 3D stereoscopic culture area, and the presence of PRF in the printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds.

To further explore the osteoinductivity and osteoconductivity of the printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds in vivo, the cell/scaffold constructs were implanted into critical-size bone defects in the rabbit radius. Micro-CT imaging and the BV/TV ratio revealed that the printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds enhanced regeneration of the bone defects and accelerated defect healing compared with the other scaffolds tested. Micro-CT revealed that some newly formed bone had penetrated into the pores of the printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds; Van Gieson’s staining confirmed this observation and indicated that the newly formed bone surrounding the printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds was firmly attached. Furthermore, inflammatory cell infiltration was not detected at the bone/scaffold interface, indicating the absence of a foreign body reaction and confirming the good biocompatibility of all four scaffolds tested.

Ideally, a scaffold should degrade over time and eventually be replaced by newly formed bone. The incorporation of PRF accelerated the formation of new bone and scaffold degradation. The RSV/SV values demonstrated that the printed scaffolds degraded faster than the nonprinted scaffolds, which might be due to their higher contact area with tissue and the fact mononuclear macrophages could enter the pores of the printed scaffolds. The degradation rate is similar to that of BCP scaffolds fabricated by He et al. Overall, the in vivo studies demonstrated that the implantation of all low temperature printed scaffolds, especially the printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds, improved the repair of critical-size bone defects.

The main deficiency of the printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffold is its low mechanical strength and slow degradation rate; however, from the perspective of an orthopedic surgeon, these scaffolds could be applied in combination with orthopedic surgical internal fixation to obtain biological osteosynthesis. The mechanical strength and degradation rate of the printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffold needs to be optimized. In future research, the degradation rate of the scaffolds could be optimized by adding other biomaterials that degrade more rapidly.

**Conclusion**

We demonstrated the feasibility of preparing 3D printed BCP/PVA/PRF scaffolds using a simple, low-temperature method. We found that the resulting scaffolds exhibited good biological activity and biocompatibility in vitro and in vivo and promoted appropriate new bone formation in vivo. The biological activity of PRF was retained during the 3D printing process, and the presence of PRF in the bio-compatible microenvironment of the scaffold provided cell binding sites and promoted the adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation of BMSCs. In addition, the prolonged release of growth factors from PRF may provide proliferation and
differentiation stimuli. When the cell/scaffold constructs were transplanted into critical-size bone defects in the rabbit radius, the presence of PRF appeared to provide osteoinductive and osteoinductive stimuli that promoted appropriate bone regeneration and repair.
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