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In this paper, we study multiplicity of positive solutions for a class of semilinear elliptic equations with the nonlinearity containing singularity and Hardy-Sobolev exponents. Using variational methods, we establish the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for the problem.

1. Introduction and Main Results

Consider the following semilinear elliptic equations with Dirichlet boundary value conditions:

\[-Δu + \frac{u^{2^*(s) - 2}}{|x|^s} u = \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^s} u + \gamma u, \quad \text{in } Ω,\]

\[u > 0, \quad \text{in } Ω,\]

\[u = 0, \quad \text{in } ∂Ω,\]

where Ω is a smooth bounded domain in \(\mathbb{R}^n (n ≥ 3)\), \(0 < s < 2, 2^*(s) = 2(N - s)/N - 2\) is the Hardy-Sobolev critical exponent, \(2^* = 2^*(0) = 2N/(N - 2)\) is the Sobolev critical exponent, \(μ < μ = \frac{2}{N - 2} \frac{N}{2} / 4\), and \(γ ∈ (0, 1)\).

The energy functional associated with problem (1) is defined by

\[I_λ(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_Ω (|\nabla u|^2 - μ \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^s} u + \frac{1}{2^*(s)} \int_Ω |u|^{2^*(s)} \, dx - \frac{1}{1 - γ} \int_Ω |u|^{1 - γ} \, dx,\]

for any \(u ∈ H^1_0(Ω)\). In general, a function \(u\) is called a weak solution of problem (1) if \(u ∈ H^1_0(Ω)\) and \(u(x) > 0\) for all \(x ∈ Ω\); it holds

\[\int_Ω (\nabla u \cdot \nabla v - μ \frac{uv}{|x|^s} - \frac{|u|^{2^*(s) - 1}}{|x|^s} v - λ|u|^{-γ} v) \, dx = 0, \quad \forall v ∈ H^1_0(Ω).\]

The paper by Crandall et al. [1] is the starting point on semilinear problem with singular nonlinearity. There is a large literature on singular nonlinearities (see [2–14] and references therein). In particular, the following Dirichlet problem

\[-Δu = u^β + μu^{-γ}, \quad \text{in } Ω,\]

\[u > 0, \quad \text{in } Ω,\]

\[u = 0, \quad \text{in } ∂Ω,\]

has been shown in [2], in which the authors proved that problem (4) possesses at least one solution for \(μ > 0\) small enough, and there exists no solution when \(μ\) is large. Chabrowski in [15] considered the Neumann boundary problems with singular superlinear nonlinearities by approximation and variational methods. When the superlinear term is subcritical, he obtained two solutions, a mountain-pass solution and a local minimizer solution. And, in the critical case, he obtained a local minimizer solution and proved that there is no mountain-pass solution.

In recent years, people have paid much attention to the existence of solutions for problems with the Sobolev critical
exponent (the case that $s = 0$) (see [16–21] and the references therein); some authors also considered the singular problems with the Hardy–Sobolev critical exponent (the case that $s \neq 0$) (see [22–27] and the references therein).

Up to our knowledge, the literature does not contain any result on the existence of positive solutions to the problem (1) with the nonlinearity containing singularity and Hardy–Sobolev exponents. Motivated by reasons above, the aim of this paper is to show the existence of positive solutions of problem (1). We study problem (1) and obtain at least two solutions via the Nehari method. It is well-known that the problem (1) has at least two positive solutions for any $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$.

The main result can be described as follows.

**Theorem 1.** Let $0 < s < 2, \mu < \bar{\mu} = \frac{4}{N-2}$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$. Then, there exists $\lambda^* > 0$ small enough, such that problem (1) has at least two positive solutions for any $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we give some preliminaries; in Section 3, we prove Theorem 1. This idea is essentially introduced in [20]. Throughout this paper, we make use of the following notations:

(i) The norm in $H^1_0(\Omega)$ is denoted by

$$
\| u \|^2 = \int_\Omega \left( |\nabla u|^2 - \mu \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} \right) dx \tag{5}
$$

By Hardy inequality [28], we easily derive that the norm is equivalent to the usual norm:

$$
\| u \|^2_0 = \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx \tag{6}
$$

(ii) $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)(N \geq 3)$ denotes the space of the functions $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $|\nabla u| \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, endowed with scalar product and norm, respectively, given by

$$
\langle u, v \rangle_{D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \nabla u \cdot \nabla v - \frac{uv}{|x|^2} \right) dx, \tag{7}
$$

$$
\| u \|^2_{D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( |\nabla u|^2 - \mu \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} \right) dx \tag{8}
$$

that coincides with the completion of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to the $L^2$-norm of the gradient. By Hardy inequality [28], we easily derive that the norm is equivalent to the usual norm:

$$
\| u \|^2_{D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \tag{9}
$$

in $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

(iii) The norm in $L^p(\Omega)$ is denoted by $| \cdot |_p$

(iv) $C_0, C_1, C_2, \cdots$ denote positive constants

### 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we will study the unperturbed problem

$$
\begin{cases}
-\Delta u - \mu \frac{u^{2s}}{|x|^2} = |u|^{2^*(s)-2} u, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\
 u \in D^{1,2}_r(\mathbb{R}^N), & u > 0,
\end{cases} \tag{10}
$$

It is well-known that the nontrivial solutions of problem (9) are equivalent to the nonzero critical points of the energy functional

$$
I_0(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( |\nabla u|^2 - \mu \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} \right) dx - \frac{1}{2^*(s)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^{2^*(s)} dx, \quad u \in D^{1,2}_r(\mathbb{R}^N). \tag{11}
$$

Obviously, the energy functional $I_0(u)$ is well-defined and is of $C^3$ with derivatives given by

$$
\langle I_0'(u), v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \nabla u \cdot \nabla v - \frac{uv}{|x|^2} \right) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} v dx, \quad u, v \in D^{1,2}_r(\mathbb{R}^N), \tag{12}
$$

$$
\langle I_0''(u), v, w \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \nabla^2 u \cdot \nabla^2 v - \frac{v}{|x|^2} \frac{uv}{|x|^2} \right) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{2^*(s) - 1}{|x|^2} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} v w dx, \quad u, v, w \in D^{1,2}_r(\mathbb{R}^N). \tag{13}
$$

For all $\varepsilon > 0$, it is well-known that the function

$$
z_{\varepsilon}(x) = \frac{2\epsilon^{(2-s)\sqrt{\bar{\mu} - \varepsilon}}(N-s)(\bar{\mu} - \mu)}{\sqrt{\bar{\mu}}} \left( \varepsilon^{2-s/\sqrt{\bar{\mu}}} + |x|^{2-s/\sqrt{\bar{\mu}}} \right)
$$

solves equation (9) and satisfies

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( |\nabla z_{\varepsilon}|^2 - \mu \frac{z_{\varepsilon}^2}{|x|^2} \right) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|z_{\varepsilon}|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^2} dx. \tag{14}
$$
Moreover \( z_\varepsilon(x) \) is the extremal function of the minimization problem

\[
S = \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( |\nabla u|^2 - \mu (u^2/|x|^2) \right) \, dx : u \in D^{2,2} \left( \mathbb{R}^N \right), \quad u \neq 0 \right\}, \\
S^{N-2s-4} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla z_\varepsilon|^2 - \mu (z_\varepsilon^2/|x|^2)) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |z_\varepsilon|^{2s+4}/|x|^2 \, dx.
\]

(15)

In view of [27, 29], we have the following exact local behavior of the solutions of (1).

**Lemma 2.** Let \( 0 < s < 2, \mu < \mu = \frac{\delta}{4} (N - 2s)^2/4 \). If \( u \in H^s_0(\Omega) \) is a positive solution of (1), then there exists \( r > 0 \) small enough and some positive constants \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) such that

\[
C_1|x|^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mu/\mu - \mu)} \leq |u(x)| \leq C_2|x|^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mu/\mu - \mu)}, \quad x \in B(0, r) \setminus \{0\}.
\]

(16)

Define \( \zeta \in C^2_0(\Omega) \) such that \( |\zeta(x)| \leq 1, |\nabla \zeta(x)| \leq c \) for any \( x \in \Omega, \zeta(x) \geq 0 \) for all \( \varepsilon > 0 \), and

\[
\zeta(x) = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } |x| \leq \delta, \\
0, & \text{if } |x| \geq 2\delta.
\end{cases}
\]

(17)

Denote \( v_\varepsilon(x) = \zeta(x) z_\varepsilon(x) \). Then, using an argument similar to [30], we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.** Let \( u \in H^s_0(\Omega) \) be a weak solution of problem (1). Then, for \( \varepsilon > 0 \) small enough

\[
\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{2s+4}/|x|^2}{|x|^2} \, dx = O(\varepsilon^N), \\
\int_{\Omega} \frac{|v_\varepsilon|^{2s+4}/|x|^2}{|x|^2} \, dx = O(\varepsilon^N), \\
\int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2 - \frac{\mu}{|x|^2} v_\varepsilon^2 \right) \, dx = S^{N-2s-4} + O(\varepsilon^N), \\
\int_{\Omega} \frac{|v_\varepsilon|^{2s+4}}{|x|^2} \, dx \geq S^{N-2s-4} - O(\varepsilon^N), \\
\int_{\Omega} |v_\varepsilon|^p \, dx = O\left( \varepsilon^{Np-N+2p-2} \right).
\]

(18)

Next, we define some Nehari-type sets, which are relevant in getting multiple positive solutions. Denote

\[
\mathcal{N}_\lambda = \left\{ u \in H^s_0(\Omega) : \|u\|^2 = \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{|u|^{2s+4}/|x|^4}{|x|^4} + \lambda |u|^{2s}/|x|^2 \right) \, dx, \quad u \neq 0 \right\}, \\
\mathcal{N}_\lambda^0 = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda : (1 + \gamma) \|u\|^2 > (2^*(s) - 1 + \gamma) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2s}/|x|^2 \, dx \right\}, \\
\mathcal{N}_\lambda^2 = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda : (1 + \gamma) \|u\|^2 = (2^*(s) - 1 + \gamma) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2s}/|x|^2 \, dx \right\}, \\
\mathcal{N}_\lambda^3 = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda : (1 + \gamma) \|u\|^2 < (2^*(s) - 1 + \gamma) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2s}/|x|^2 \, dx \right\}.
\]

(19)

Define the minimization problems

\[
d_\gamma(\lambda) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda} I_\lambda(u).
\]

(20)

Since

\[
I_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u|^2 - \mu u^2 \right) \, dx - \frac{1}{2^*(s)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{2s+4}/|x|^4}{|x|^4} \, dx \\
- \int_{\Omega} \frac{\lambda}{1 - \gamma} |u|^{2s}/|x|^2 \, dx,
\]

(21)

it is easy to see that \( d_\gamma(\lambda) < 0 \) for \( \lambda > 0 \) and \( d_\gamma(\lambda) \to 0 \) as \( \lambda \to 0 \). Take \( \lambda_\gamma > 0 \) such that \( d_\gamma(\lambda) + N^{-1} S^{N-2s-4} > 0 \) for any \( \lambda \in (0, \lambda_\gamma) \). Denote

\[
\lambda_\gamma = \frac{2^*(s) - 2}{2^*(s) + \gamma - 1} \left( \frac{1}{\gamma + (N-2s)/2} \right)^{(1+\gamma)}(N-2s)/2^*(s),
\]

(22)

and set \( \lambda^* = \min \{ \lambda_3, \lambda_\gamma \} \).

**Lemma 4.** If \( \lambda \in (0, \lambda^*) \), then \( \mathcal{N}_\lambda^0 = \emptyset \). Moreover, for any \( u \neq 0 \), there exists a unique \( t^* = t^*(u) > 0 \) such that \( t^*(u)u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^3 \) and

\[
t^* > T_m := \left( \frac{\|u\|^2}{(2^*(s) - 1)} \right)^{1/(2^*(s) - 2)}, \\
I_\lambda(t^* u) = \max_{0 \leq t \leq T_m} I_\lambda(t u),
\]

(23)

and there exists a unique \( t^- = t^-(u) > 0 \) such that \( t^-(u)u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^3 \) and

\[
t^- < T_m \quad \text{and} \quad I_\lambda(t^- u) = \inf_{0 \leq t \leq T_m} I_\lambda(t u).
\]

(24)

**Proof.** The proof is similar to [30]. We omit the details.
3. Proof of Theorem 1

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1. The proof of Theorem 1 is based on solving the minimization problem (18) and the minimization problem

$$d_-(\lambda) = \inf_{w \in L^1} I_1(u).$$

(25)

We divide the proof into two steps. In the first step, we prove that if there is \( w \in A^+ \) such that \( d_-(\lambda) = I_1(w) \) and there is \( v \in A^- \) such that \( d_+(\lambda) = I_1(v) \), then \( w \) and \( v \) are two positive weak solutions of (1). In the second step, we prove that the minima \( d_+(\lambda) \) in (18) and \( d_-(\lambda) \) in (23) are achieved, respectively.

Step 1. Let \( w \in A^+ \) be such that \( d_+(\lambda) = I_1(w) \) and \( v \in A^- \) such that \( d_-(\lambda) = I_1(v) \).

Lemma 5. For each \( \varphi \in H^1_0(\Omega) \) and \( \varphi \geq 0 \), we have the following:

There is \( \eta_0 \) such that \( I_1(\lambda + \varphi) \geq I_1(\lambda) \) for each \( 0 \leq \eta \leq \eta_0 \).

(ii) \( \tau_0 \to 1 \) as \( \eta \to 0^+ \), where for each \( \eta \geq 0 \), \( \tau_0 \) is the unique positive number satisfying \( \tau_0(\varphi + \eta \varphi) \in A^- \).

Proof. The proof follows exactly the scheme in the proof of Lemma 3 in [31].

Lemma 6. For each \( \varphi \in H^1_0(\Omega) \) and \( \varphi \geq 0 \), we have \( w^{-\tau \varphi} \varphi \) and \( v^{\tau \varphi} \varphi \in L^1(\Omega) \). Moreover,

$$\int_\Omega \left( \nabla w \nabla \varphi - \mu \frac{w \varphi}{|x|^2} - \frac{|w|^{2\tau(s)-1}}{|x|^2} \varphi - \lambda |w|^{-\gamma \varphi} \right) dx \geq 0,$$

$$\int_\Omega \left( \nabla v \nabla \varphi - \mu \frac{v \varphi}{|x|^2} - \frac{|v|^{2\tau(s)-1}}{|x|^2} \varphi - \lambda |v|^{-\gamma \varphi} \right) dx \geq 0.$$

(26)

In particular, \( w, v > 0 \) for all \( x \in \Omega \setminus \{0\} \).

Proof. We only prove (24) since the proof of (25) is similar. Let \( \varphi \geq 0 \) and \( \varepsilon > 0 \). By (i) of Lemma 5 and simple computations, we have that

$$\frac{\lambda}{1-\gamma} \int_\Omega \frac{(w + \varepsilon \varphi)^{1-\gamma} - w^{1-\gamma}}{\varepsilon} dx \leq \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \left( \|w + \varepsilon \varphi\| - \|w\|^2 \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2^{\tau(s)}\varepsilon} \int_\Omega \left( \frac{|w + \varepsilon \varphi|^{2\tau(s)}}{|x|^2} - \frac{|w|^{2\tau(s)}}{|x|^2} \right) dx.$$

(27)

Since the right hand side of the inequality has a finite limit value as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \), by direct calculations, we conclude \( \varepsilon^{1-\gamma}((w + \varepsilon \varphi)^{1-\gamma} - w^{1-\gamma}) \) increases monotonically as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \) and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{(w + \varepsilon \varphi)^{1-\gamma} - w^{1-\gamma}}{\varepsilon} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \varphi(x) = 0, \\ (1-\gamma)w^{-\gamma \varphi}, & \text{if } \varphi(x) > 0 \text{ and } w(x) > 0, \\ \infty, & \text{if } \varphi(x) > 0 \text{ and } w(x) = 0. \end{cases}$$

(28)

The Fatou lemma yields \( w^{-\gamma \varphi} \varphi \in L^1(\Omega) \) and we get (24). Since \( w, v \geq 0 \) and \( w, v = 0 \), by the strong maximum principle, it follows that

$$w, v > 0, \quad \forall x \in \Omega \setminus \{0\}.$$ 

(29)

Lemma 7. We have that \( w \) and \( v \) are positive weak solutions of (1).

Proof. For any \( \psi \in H^1_0(\Omega) \) and \( \eta > 0 \), we define \( \psi = (w + \eta \varphi) \) and \( \psi^* = \max(\psi, 0) \). Then, \( \psi^* \in H^1_0(\Omega) \). Since \( w \in A^+ \), we obtain from (24) that

$$0 \leq \int_\Omega \left( \nabla w \nabla \psi^* - \mu \frac{w \psi^*}{|x|^2} - \frac{|w|^{2\tau(s)-1}}{|x|^2} \psi^* - \lambda |w|^{-\gamma} \psi^* \right) dx$$

$$= \int_{\{|x|<\omega \\&\ \varphi \geq 0\}} \left( \nabla w \nabla \psi^* - \mu \frac{w \psi^*}{|x|^2} - \frac{|w|^{2\tau(s)-1}}{|x|^2} \psi^* - \lambda |w|^{-\gamma} \psi^* \right) dx$$

$$- \int_{\{|x|<\omega \\&\ \varphi \geq 0\}} \left( \nabla w \nabla \psi - \mu \frac{w \psi}{|x|^2} - \frac{|w|^{2\tau(s)-1}}{|x|^2} \psi - \lambda |w|^{-\gamma} \psi \right) dx$$

$$\leq \eta \int_\Omega \left( \nabla w \nabla \varphi - \mu \frac{w \varphi}{|x|^2} - \frac{|w|^{2\tau(s)-1}}{|x|^2} \varphi - \lambda |w|^{-\gamma} \varphi \right) dx$$

$$- \eta \int_{\{|x|<\omega \\&\ \varphi \geq 0\}} \left( \nabla w \nabla \varphi - \mu \frac{w \varphi}{|x|^2} - \frac{|w|^{2\tau(s)-1}}{|x|^2} \varphi - \lambda |w|^{-\gamma} \varphi \right) dx.$$

(30)

Dividing by \( \eta \) and letting \( \eta \to 0 \), we have the measure of \( \{x \in \Omega, w + \eta \varphi \leq 0\} \) which tends to 0 as \( \eta \to 0 \), and we get that

$$\int_{\{|x|<\omega \\&\ \varphi \geq 0\}} \nabla \psi \varphi dx \to 0.$$ 

(31)

Therefore,

$$\int_\Omega \left( \nabla w \nabla \varphi - \mu \frac{w \varphi}{|x|^2} - \frac{|w|^{2\tau(s)-1}}{|x|^2} \varphi - \lambda |w|^{-\gamma} \varphi \right) dx \geq 0.$$ 

(32)

Since \( \varphi \) is arbitrary, we get that \( w \) is a solution of (1). Similarly, we can prove that \( v \) is also a solution of (1).

Step 2. The minima \( d_+(\lambda) \) and \( d_-(\lambda) \) are achieved. The proof is exactly the same as [32]. We omit the details here.
We point out that $v_\varepsilon$ and the exact local behavior of $w$ (see Lemma 2.1) play essential roles. From Lemma 2.1, we have

$$C_j|\varepsilon|^{-\left(\sqrt{r} - \sqrt{\frac{m}{r} + n}\right)} \leq |w(x)| \leq C_j|\varepsilon|^{-\left(\sqrt{r} - \sqrt{\frac{m}{r} + n}\right)}, \quad x \in B(0, r) \setminus \{0\}. \tag{33}$$

So there is $m > 0$ such that $w(x) \geq m$ for $x \in \text{supp } w \setminus \{0\}$.

**Lemma 8.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, \(d_-(\lambda) < I_\lambda(w) + \frac{2 - s}{2(N - s)} S^{N - 2} \). \(\tag{34}\)

**Proof.** First, using an argument similar to the proofs in [31], we have $\eta_\varepsilon > 0$ such that $w + \eta_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon \in N^-_\lambda$. It remains to be proven that

$$\sup \{I_\lambda(w + \eta_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon); \eta > 0\} < I_\lambda(w) + \frac{2 - s}{2(N - s)} S^{N - 2} \varepsilon. \tag{35}\)

Since $w$ is a solution, we obtain by direct computation that

$$I_\lambda(w + \eta_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon) - I_\lambda(w) = \frac{\eta^2}{2} \|\eta v_\varepsilon\|^2 + \eta \int \left( \nabla w \nabla v_\varepsilon - \frac{\eta v_\varepsilon}{|x|^2} \right) dx$$

$$- \int \left( \frac{|w + \eta_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon|^2 - w_\varepsilon^2}{2|x|^2} \right) dx$$

$$- \lambda \int \left( \frac{(w + \eta_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon)^{1 - \gamma} - w^{1 - \gamma}}{1 - \gamma} \right) dx$$

$$= \frac{\eta^2}{2} \|\eta v_\varepsilon\|^2 - \int \left( \frac{|w + \eta_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon|^2 - w_\varepsilon^2}{2|x|^2} \right) dx$$

$$- \left( \frac{w^{1 - \gamma}}{1 - \gamma} - \frac{w^1}{1 - \gamma} \right) \eta v_\varepsilon \right\} dx.$$

$$\tag{36}\)

Note that the following inequality (see [31]) holds: there is $\alpha > 0$ and $0 < \delta < N - s/2 + 2$ such that $\lambda((r + t)^{1 - \gamma} - 1 - \gamma - r^{1 - \gamma} + 1 - \gamma - r^{-\gamma} t) \geq -\alpha \delta$ for each $r \geq m$ and $t \geq 0$.

By direct calculations, we can get that

$$I_\lambda(w + \eta_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon) - I_\lambda(w) \leq \frac{\eta^2}{2} \|\eta v_\varepsilon\|^2 - \frac{\eta^2}{2} \frac{\rho^2}{\rho^2 - \frac{s}{2}} \int \frac{|v_\varepsilon|^2}{|x|^2} dx$$

$$- \left( \frac{\eta^{2 - (1 - \gamma)}}{2} - \frac{\eta^{2 - (1 - \gamma)}}{2} \right) \int \frac{|v_\varepsilon|^2}{|x|^2} dx$$

$$\alpha \int \frac{|v_\varepsilon|^2}{|x|^2} dx. \tag{37}\)

So $I_\lambda(w + \eta_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon) - I_\lambda(w) \to 0$ as $\eta \to 0$ and $I_\lambda(w + \eta_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon) - I_\lambda(w) \to -\infty$ as $\eta \to +\infty$. Hence, we only consider the right-hand side of the above inequality in the case of $\eta \in [\eta_0, \eta_1]$ for some $0 < \eta_0 < \eta_1 < +\infty$. Hence, we obtain from Lemma 3 that

$$\sup_{\eta \neq 0} I_\lambda(w + \eta v_\varepsilon) - I_\lambda(w) \leq \frac{2 - s}{2(N - s)} \|v_\varepsilon\|^2 S^{N - 2}$$

$$- \left( \int \frac{|v_\varepsilon|^2}{|x|^2} dx \right)^{-2}$$

$$- O(\varepsilon^{N - 2/4 - 2}) + O(\varepsilon^{N - 2/4 - 2})$$

$$= \frac{2 - s}{2(N - s)} S^{N - 2}$$

$$- O(\varepsilon^{N - 2/4 - 2}) + O(\varepsilon^{N - 2/4 - 2})$$

$$< \frac{2 - s}{2(N - s)} S^{N - 2},$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small. \(\tag{38}\)

The proof is complete.

**Lemma 9.** The minimum $d_-(\lambda)$ in (23) is achieved by $v \in N^-_\lambda$ with $I_\lambda(v) = d_-(\lambda)$.

**Proof.** Let $\{v_n\}$ be any sequence in $N^-_\lambda$ such that $I_\lambda(v_n) \to d_-(\lambda)$. It is easy to see that $\{v_n\}$ is bounded in $H^1_0(\Omega)$. Then, there exists a $v \in H^1_0(\Omega)$ and a subsequence of $\{v_n\}$, still denoted by $\{v_n\}$ such that

$$v_n \to v, \quad \text{in } H^1_0(\Omega). \tag{39}\)

Set $u_n = v_n - v$ and assume that

$$\|u_n\|^2 \to a^2,$$

$$\int \frac{|u_n|^2}{|x|^2} dx \to b^2(s). \tag{40}\)

Since $v_n \in N^-_\lambda$, according to Bre’zis-Lieb’s Lemma (see Lemma 1.32 in MW [33]) and the Sobolev embedding theorem, one gets

$$a^2 + \|v\|^2 = \lambda \int |v|^{1 - \gamma} dx + b^2(s) + \int \frac{|v|^{2 - s}}{|x|^2} dx. \tag{41}\)

We claim that $v \neq 0$. Indeed, if $v = 0$, then $a^2 = b^2(s) \geq S^{N - 2} - 0$ (since for any $u \in N^-_\lambda$, $\|u\|$ is bounded away from zero) and this means that

$$d_-(\lambda) = \lim_{\eta \to 0} I_\lambda(v_\varepsilon) = I_\lambda(0) + \frac{1}{2} a^2 - \frac{1}{2} b^2(s)$$

$$\geq \frac{2 - s}{2(N - s)} S^{N - 2},$$

which contradicts the previous lemma.
From the assumption \( \lambda \in (0, \lambda^*) \), by Lemma 4, we have \( 0 < t^+ < T_m < t^- \) such that \( t^+ \nu \in \mathscr{N}_\lambda^{+} \) and \( t^- \nu \in \mathscr{N}_\lambda^{-} \). For \( t > 0 \), we define
\[
\delta(t) = \frac{1}{2} a^2 t^2 - \frac{1}{2^*(s)} b^{2^*(s)} t^{2^*(s)},
\]
(43)
\[
g(t) = I_1(t) + \delta(t).
\]
Now, we consider the cases

(i) \( t^- < 1 \)

(ii) \( t^- \geq 1 \) and \( b > 0 \)

(iii) \( t^- \geq 1 \) and \( b = 0 \)

Case (i). From \( t^- < 1 \),

\[
g'(t^-) = (I(t^-) + \delta'(t^-) = a^2 t^- - b^{2^*(s)} (t^-)^{2^*(s)-1}.
\]
(44)

Defining \( h(t) = a^2 t - b^{2^*(s)} (t)^{2^*(s)-1} \), then \( h(t) \) is increasing as \( t < T_m \); \( h(t) \) is decreasing as \( t > T_m \), so \( h(t) \) has maximum value \( h(T_m) \). As \( t^- > T_m \), we have \( g'(t^-) \geq h(T_m) > 0 \). \( g'(1) = 0 \) and \( g'(t^-) > 0 \), so we can see that \( g \) is increasing on \([t^-, 1]\). Then, we have

\[
d_-(\lambda) = g(1) > g(t^-) \geq I_1(t^-) = I_1(0) + \frac{(t^-)^2}{2} (a^2 - b^{2^*(s)})
\]
\[
> I_1(t^-) \geq d_-(\lambda),
\]
(45)

which is a contradiction.

Case (ii). We set \( t_0 = (a^2/b^{2^*(s)})^{N-2)/(2-s)} \). We know that \( \delta \) attains the unique maximum at \( t_0 \) and \( \delta(t_0) \geq (2-s/(2(N-s)))^N^{N-s/2-s} \). Moreover, \( \delta'(t) > 0 \) for \( 0 < t < t_0 \) and \( \delta'(t) < 0 \) for \( t > t_0 \).

From the assumption on \( \lambda \in (0, \lambda^*) \), we know \( g(1) \geq g(t_0) \). If \( t_0 \leq 1 \), we have

\[
d_-(\lambda) = g(1) \geq g(t_0) = I_1(t_0) + \delta(t_0)
\]
\[
\geq I_1(t_0) + \frac{2-s}{2(N-s)} S^{N-s/2-s},
\]
(46)

which contradicts the previous lemma. Thus, we have \( t_0 > 1 \). By virtue of \( g'(t) \leq 0 \) for \( t \geq 1 \), we obtain \( (\partial/\partial t) I_1(t) = 0 \), \( (\partial/\partial t) I_1(t) \leq -\delta'(t) \leq 0 \) for \( 1 \leq t \leq t_0 \) and

\[
d_-(\lambda) = g(1) = I_1(v) + \frac{1}{2} a^2 + \frac{1}{2^*(s)} b^{2^*(s)}
\]
\[
\geq I_1(v) + \frac{2-s}{2(N-s)} S^{N-s/2-s},
\]
(47)

which also contradicts the previous lemma.

Case (iii). If \( a \neq 0 \), then we obtain from the fact that \( v_1 \in \mathscr{N}_\lambda^{+} \) by some computations that \( (\partial/\partial t) I_1(t) \leq 0 \) and

\[
(\partial^2/\partial^2 t) I_1(t) \leq 0,
\]
from the definition of \( \mathscr{N}_\lambda^{+} \), which contradicts \( t^- \geq 1 \). So

\[
a = 0,
\]
\[
v_n \longrightarrow v \quad \text{in} \quad H_0^1(\Omega).
\]
(48)

Hence, we have \( v \in \mathscr{N}_\lambda^{-} \) with \( I_1(v) = d_-(\lambda) \).
The proof is complete.
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