Evaluation of the Antidiabetic Properties of S-1708 Mulberry Variety
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ABSTRACT

Background: Diabetes is a metabolic disease prevalent worldwide in all age groups of people. The source of diabetes is due to an oxidation process that can produce free radicals. An increase in oxidative free radicals in the body is reported to be one of the several causes of diabetes. The best remedy to combat oxidative stress is the use of antioxidants, which inhibit and scavenge free radicals. Aim: This study has been undertaken to evaluate the antioxidant activity and antidiabetic effect of mulberry leaf extract in diabetic mice. Materials and Methods: Antioxidant activity of mulberry leaves was determined by 2,2-diphenyl-1-picryl-hydrazyl (DPPH) and ferric reducing/antioxidant power (FRAP) assay. Antidiabetic assay of mulberry leaf extract was analyzed by oral administration of leaf extract up to 3 weeks in diabetic mice induced by streptozotocin. Results: In vitro antioxidant activity in both DPPH and FRAP assays showed significantly (P < 0.05) higher inhibition of free radicals than that with ascorbic acid. Diabetic mice fed with mulberry leaf extract showed increase (+25.88%) in body weight and a significant reduction in blood glucose concentration (~71.58%). Further, glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase enzyme activity was significantly (P < 0.05) increased, whereas activities of other enzymes particularly catalase, serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase, serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase were decreased in diabetic mice after oral administration of mulberry leaf extracts. Histology of liver revealed regeneration of hepatocytes, central vein, and nucleus. Conclusion: This study demonstrated that S-1708 mulberry variety has a potential therapeutic value in diabetes and related complications. Key words: 2,2-diphenyl-1-picryl-hydrazyl, antioxidant, ferric reducing/antioxidant power, mulberry, streptozotocin.

INTRODUCTION

Natural antioxidant compounds from plant origin are medicinally helpful for human health. Antioxidant compounds including ascorbic acid, carotenoids, flavonoids, and tannins are well known to play an important role in the prevention of several chronic diseases. The capacity between the production and neutralization of reactive oxygen species (ROS) by antioxidants is very reasonable, and if this balance tends toward the overproduction of ROS, the cells start to suffer the penalties of oxidative stress. Oxidative stress is linked with numerous pathologic conditions such as cardiovascular and neurodegenerative diseases. Dietary antioxidants including Vitamins E, C, and carotenoids are well known to be effective in the prevention of oxidative stress-related diseases. Mulberry is rich in alkaloids, polyphenols, flavonoids, and anthocyanin which have been suggested to be accountable for health benefits. Mulberry leaves contain a variety of essential micronutrients, for example, ascorbic acid, carotene, Vitamin B, Vitamin D and flavonol glycosides. Traditionally, mulberry leaves have been used as medicinal agents to nourish the blood, benefit the and treat weakness, fatigue, anemia, and premature graying of hair. It is also used to treat urinary incontinence, tinnitus, dizziness, and constipation in the aged patient. Mulberry leaves have other pharmacological properties such as analgesic, antiasthmatic, anti-rheumatic, antitussive, and astringent. The phytochemicals of mulberry vegetative parts are getting more attention nowadays due to their numerous applications in served industries such as food, pharmaceutical, nutraceuticals, and cosmetics. Further, mulberry leaves have been used to treat hypertension, inflammation, cough, hyperglycemia, cancer, and fever. Mulberry acts as a growing resource of combating stress-related diseases. Hence, this study was aimed to determine the antioxidant and antidiabetic properties of mulberry (variety-S-1708) in streptozotocin (STZ)-induced diabetic mice.

SUMMARY

• Diabetes mellitus is a grave metabolic deviation and responsible for many complications affecting various organs in the human body. In spite of the known antidiabetic medicine available in the market, diabetes and the associated impediments sustained to be a major medical crisis. Medicinal plants have been proven to be useful in diabetes due to their rich therapeutic value. In the current study, S-1708 mulberry variety not only authenticated the earlier results obtained from other medicinal plants but also turn out to be known as a potential source for treating diabetes by demonstrating tremendous anti-diabetic properties.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals
2,2-diphenyl-1-picryl-hydrazyl (DPPH), 2,4,6-Tripridyld-s-triazine (TPTZ), were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, USA. supplied by Mumbai, India. Ascorbic acid, sodium acetate buffer (pH-3.6), glacial acidic acid, sodium acetate, were procured from Loba Chemie Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai, India. Glucose-6-phosphate were dehydrogenase (G6PDH), β-nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (β-NADP) were purchased from Sisco Research Laboratory Pvt., Mumbai, India. Serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase (SGOT) and serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase (SGPT) were obtained from ARKRAY Healthcare Pvt. Ltd., India. STZ was bought from HiMedia, Mumbai, India. All other chemicals used were of analytical grade.

Collection of sample
A sample of mulberry leaves (variety-S-1708) was collected from the Central Sericultural Germplasm Resources Centre (CSGRC), Hosur, Tamil Nadu, India. The plant species was identified by Dr. P. Sharawati, an eminent scientist in the mulberry division of the CSGRC. The identification of the variety of mulberry was based on the different morphological characters present in different varieties of mulberry. A catalog, having all aspect of different characters of mulberry is also provided by CSGRC to scientists to make the identification easy and precise. The variety was further confirmed by another eminent scientist Dr. M. M. Borpuzari of CSGRC Hosur, Tamil Nadu, India, which is also serving as repository center for the identification of mulberry Germplasm.

Preparation of plant extracts
Leaves were washed with distilled water, left to dry naturally at room temperature and powdered with a grinder. The powdered leaves (50 mg) was extracted in both methanol and ethanol solvents, separately for 48 h. The extracts were filtered using Buchner funnel and Whatman No. 1 filter paper and freshly prepared extracts were used for the analysis of antioxidant activity through DPPH and ferric reducing/antioxidant power (FRAP) assay. The powder leaf material (100 mg) was dissolved in 10 ml of 20% ethanolic solvent for 2 h, an extract was filtered and used for in vivo study of diabetic mice. Hence, composition of extracts was not analyzed due to the limitation of the facility.

Determination of free radical scavenging activity using 2,2-diphenyl-1-picryl-hydrazyl method
The free radical scavenging activity of mulberry leaf extracts was measured by the spectrophotometric method for the assay of hydrogen donating free radical.[10] Different concentrations (50, 100, 200, 300, 400 µl/ml) of mulberry leaf extracts and ascorbic acid as standard were prepared in both methanol and ethanolic solvents separately. Thereafter, 3 ml of 0.004% DPPH reagent was added. The reaction mixture was mixed thoroughly and left for incubation at room temperature in dark. The absorbance was measured at 517 nm using a spectrophotometer and antioxidant activity was expressed as percentage inhibition. Percentage inhibition I % = (Ablank − Asample/Ablank) × 100. Where Ablank is the absorbance of the control (without test material) and Asample is the absorbance of the test material. The assay was carried out in triplicate.

Determination of ferric reducing/antioxidant power assay
FRAP assay was carried out with minor modifications following Szeto et al. 2002.[11] The FRAP reagent was prepared from 300 mM acetate buffer (pH 3.6), 20 mM ferric chloride and 10 mM TPTZ in 40 mM HCl. All three solutions were mixed together in the ratio of 10:1:1 (v/v/v). The absorbance was measured at 595 nm using a spectrophotometer. The results were expressed in µmole/Fe [II] mg. The assay was carried out in triplicate.

Ethics clearance
All the experiments were conducted in the Department of Zoology, Banaras Hindu University, in accordance with the Institutional practice and within the framework of experiment of experimental animals (scientific procedure) Act of 2007, of the Committee for the Purpose of Supervision and Control of Experiments on Animals, Government of India.

Test animals and induction of diabetes
Male Park strain mice 4–6 week old (25 ± 5 g) were used for the antidiabetic test. The mice were housed in an individual cage in an air-habituated room with 12 h light/dark cycle at the temperature of 25°C ± 20°C with free access to food and water. All mice were acclimatized to the laboratory conditions for 7 days before the experiment. Inductions of diabetes in mice were carried out with minor modification of Hua et al.[12] Diabetes in 18 mice (overnight fasted) was induced by intraperitoneal injection of 1% STZ prepared in 0.1 M citrate buffer (pH 4.5) at a single dose of 125 mg/kg body weight. After 72 h, fasting blood glucose (FBG) levels of the mice was examined. Mice with FBG values >226 mg/dl were considered hyperglycemic. For further experiments, mice were divided into five groups according to their FBG and weight (six animals in each group) as following:
- Group I: Control
- Group II: Diabetic (FBG > 226 mg/dl)
- Group III: Diabetic treated with Insulin (4 U/dl)
- Group IV: Control treated with S-1708 mulberry leaf extract
- Group V: Diabetic treated with S-1708 mulberry leaf extract.

Feeding schedule
Standard feed of laboratory diet was purchased from Paramount Techno Chem, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India. Groups (IV and V) of experimental mice were orally fed by mulberry leaf extract (4 U/dl) two times (7 am and 7 pm) in 24 h.[13] Group III mice were given insulin at the same dose and time.[14]

Measurement of body weight and blood glucose level
The effect of mulberry leaf extract in different groups of diabetic mice was measured by changes in body weight of mice and FBG level at 7, 14, and 21 days.

Hepatic enzyme assay
After 21 days of the experiment, mice of all groups were anesthetized under diethyl ether; liver was excised, washed with phosphate buffer saline (PBS) at pH-7.4 and homogenized with cold PBS containing protease inhibitors. Homogenate was then centrifuged at 10,000 × g for 15 min, and supernatant was collected and stored at −80°C. The supernatant was used for the assay of catalase, glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase, SGOT, and SGPT.

Catalase (EC 1.11.1.6)
The activity of catalase was assayed by the method of Beers and Sizer.[15] The assay system contained 1.9 ml sodium phosphate buffer
(0.05 M, pH 7.0), 0.1 ml liver supernatant and 1.0 ml H₂O₂ (0.059 M in buffer). The change in absorbance was read at 240 nm for 3 min at 30 s intervals against blank containing 0.1 ml distilled water instead of enzyme source. The specific activity was calculated by a molar absorbance index for H₂O₂ as 43.6 and expressed as moles of H₂O₂ decomposed/min/mg protein.

**Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (EC1.1.1.49)**

The enzyme activity was measured as per Worthington enzyme manual.[24] The assay system contained 2.7 ml of 0.055 M Tris–HCl buffer (pH 7.8 with 0.0033 M MgCl₂), 0.1 ml liver supernatant, 100 µl ml of 0.006 mM NADP+ and 0.1 ml glucose-6-phosphate (0.1 M). The change in absorbance was recorded at 340 nm for 5 min against blank containing 0.1 ml of distilled water instead of enzyme source. The specific activity was expressed as micromoles of NADP+ reduced/min/mg protein using extinction coefficient for NADPH as 6.22 cm²/µmol.

**Serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase and serum glutamic pyruvate transaminase**

The activities of SGOT and SGPT in liver tissue of each group of mice were assayed by commercial span kit obtained from ARKRAY Healthcare Pvt., Ltd., India.[17-24]

**Histopathological study of liver tissue**

To evaluate the histopathological alterations, after 21 days treatment of mulberry leaf extract, mice of each group were anesthetized with diethyl ether. Liver was excised and fixed in aqueous Bouin's fluid, following Bancroft and Gamble.[25] The fixed tissues were then dehydrated in an ethanol series of ascending concentration, cleared in cedar wood oil and embedded in paraffin wax (melting point 58°C–60°C) (E-Merck, Mumbai, India). Serial sections were cut at a thickness of 6 µm using a Leica Rotary Microtome (Model RM 2125RT; Leica Microsystems, Bensheim, Germany). The sections were mounted on ethanol cleaned glass slides and were kept in an oven at 37°C overnight to dry. Sections were deparaffinized and were stained with Ehrlich's hematoxylin and eosin (Ehrlich 1886).[26] The stained sections were dehydrated in an ethanol series, cleared in xylene and mounted in distrenebutylphthalate xylene.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were analyzed by applying one-way analysis of variance followed by Dunnett's post hoc test and results were analyzed as mean ± standard deviation. Levels of significance were tested at the level of P < 0.05 by using IBM SPSS (version 20, Armonk, New York, USA) package.

**RESULTS**

**In vitro antioxidant activity assay**

*Antioxidant scavenging activity of 2,2-diphenyl-1-picryl-hydrazyl*

In this study, mulberry leaf (variety S-1708) exhibited higher scavenging activity of DPPH as free radicals compared to the ascorbic acid used as a standard. The concentration dependent percent inhibition of mulberry leaf extract and ascorbic acid are summarized in Table 1. Maximum activity is observed in both methanol (71.58% ± 1.71%) and ethanol (82.06% ± 0.4%) solvents of the mulberry leaf at 400 µg/ml concentration. In contrast to mulberry leaf, standard compounds of ascorbic acid revealed less activity in both methanol (62.37% ± 0.6%) and ethanol (72.89% ± 0.9%) solvents at the same concentration. Further, IC₅₀ value of methanolic and ethanol extracts of mulberry leaf and ascorbic acid are calculated and summarized in Table 2. Mulberry leaf revealed lower IC₅₀ value of methanolic and ethanolic solvents were 196.12 mg/ml and 143.56 mg/ml as compared to ascorbic acid 271.73 mg/ml and 218.319 mg/ml, respectively.

**Antioxidant reducing activity of ferric reducing/antioxidant power**

FRAP assay was used to determine the antioxidant activity of mulberry leaf (Variety S-1708) was in the methanolic and ethanolic solvents. The reducing activity of mulberry leaf and ascorbic acid are summarized in Table 3 and ethanolic extracts was found to be 4107.22 ± 97.6 µM/Fe(II) mg and 3223.7 ± 85.1 µM/Fe(II) mg, respectively, at the concentration of 400 µg/ml, whereas ascorbic acid recorded in methanolic solvent 3540.60 µM/Fe(II) mg and ethanolic 1698.7 µM/Fe(II) mg at the same concentration.

**In vivo streptozotocin drug exposures**

*Change in body weight of different group of mice*

In the in vivo toxicological study, during in vivo exposure of mice to STZ, the body weight decreased significantly 23.99% from control Group I (25.7 ± 1.53 g) to experimental Group II (19.7 ± 3.06 g), after 21 days. Administration of mulberry leaf extracts to diabetic mice, however, results in significant gain in body weight 25.88% form Group II 19.7 ± 3.06 g to Group IV 24.8 ± 2.21 g. Experimental changes in other groups of mice are expressed in Table 4.

**Change in glucose concentration of different group of mice**

Glucose concentration levels in the STZ induced diabetic mice showed a significant (P < 0.05) (+322.39%) increment after induction of STZ at 21 days (Group I 134 mg/dl to Group II, 566 mg/dl). Diabetic mice treated with mulberry leaf extracts, however, showed a significant (P < 0.05) decline in the concentration of glucose level up to a value of 566–160.83 mg/dl (71.58%), (which was almost equivalent to those of normal control group) as compared to STZ diabetic mice. Consequently, diabetic treated with mulberry leaf had been significant decline more a percentage (~71.58%) as compared to insulin diabetic mice (~70.37%). Glucose concentration changes in different groups of mice are summarized in Table 5.

| Table 1: 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl radical scavenging activity of mulberry leaf extract and ascorbic acid |
| --- |
| Concentration (µl) | Ascorbic acid percentage inhibition | Mulberry variety S-1708 percentage inhibition |
| | Methanol | Ethanol | Methanol | Ethanol |
| 50 | 13.70±0.2 | 12.46±1.2 | 16.29±0.57 | 24.03±0.5* |
| 100 | 27.46±1.2 | 26.55±2.6 | 32.46±1.24* | 36.05±1.2* |
| 200 | 39.99±0.3 | 43.14±1.8 | 48.80±0.30* | 54.09±0.6* |
| 300 | 50.84±0.4 | 59.29±2.2 | 61.29±1.85* | 72.07±1.3* |
| 400 | 62.37±0.6 | 72.89±0.9 | 71.58±1.71* | 82.06±0.4 |

Value are expressed are mean±SD (n=3); mean bearing similar superscript.

* (50-400 µl) in same column do not differ significantly from each other based on one-way ANOVA analysis followed by S-N-K post hoc multiple range test. Level of significance was tested at the level of P<0.05. Ascorbic acid treated as control and compared with the S-1708 mulberry variety. ANOVA: Analysis of variance; SD: Standard deviation

| Table 2: IC₅₀ 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl radical scavenging activity of mulberry leaf extract and ascorbic acid |
| --- |
| Solvent | Ascorbic acid (mg/ml) | Mulberry variety S-1708 (mg/ml) |
| Methanol | 271.737 | 196.12 |
| Ethanol | 218.319 | 143.55 |
Change in enzyme activity of different groups of mice

In STZ-induced diabetic mice the activity of catalase was significantly increased (P < 0.05) 38.72% (Group I; 108.96 ± 1.5 to Group IV; 151.15 ± 2.37 mmol/mg), SGOT activity was also increased 134% from (Group I; 29.17 ± 1.62 to Group IV; 68.28 ± 0.58 U/ml), respectively. Furthermore, SGPT activity was significant increases 118% (Group I 25.17 ± 0.5 to Group IV; 55.59 ± 0.61 U/ml), whereas the G6PDH activity decreased significantly 57.20% (Group I; 418 ± 1.54 to Group IV, 178.89 ± 9.31 mmol/mg). After 21 days treatment of mulberry leaf extracts in different groups of mice, significant positive changes were observed in the activity of catalase, SGOT, SGPT, were reduced to 31.39%, 52.66%, and 65.31%, respectively, whereas G6PDH activity was increased to 94.99%) with compared with diabetic mice (Group II). The alterations in the enzyme activity of different group mice are expressed in Table 6.

Histopathological change in different group of mice

The liver of the control group [Group I], showed the normal architecture of the definitive hepatic cells such as the sheet of hepatocytes, nucleus, and central vein. The hepatocytes radiate from the central vein. In diabetic mice, the sheet of hepatocytes and central vein [Group II], show deformations and degenerative changes, with the normal control group. ANOV A: Analysis of variance; SEM: Standard error of mean.

Table 3: Ferric reducing/antioxidant power activity of mulberry leaf extract and ascorbic acid

| Concentration (µl) | Ascorbic acid percentage inhibition | Mulberry variety S-1708 percentage inhibition |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|                   | Methanol solvent (µM/Fe(II) mg extract) | Ethanol solvent (µM/Fe(II) mg extract) | Methanol solvent (µM/Fe(II) mg extract) | Ethanol solvent (µM/Fe(II) mg extract) |
| 50                | 300.98±1.5 | 433.17±102.3 | 1221.2±33.3* | 1066.1±244.7* |
| 100               | 981.11±24.1 | 462.51±1.72* | 1270.5±38.1* | 1457.5±154.2* |
| 200               | 2031.08±176.6 | 949.84±16.5 | 2094.5±30 | 2086.7±155.1* |
| 300               | 2826.37±195.1 | 1231.89±53.2 | 2136.01±66 | 2817.0±55.1* |
| 400               | 3540.60±212.9 | 1698.79±41.49 | 4107.22±97.6 | 3223.7±85.1* |

Value are expressed are mean±SD (n=3); mean bearing similar superscript. * (50-400 µl) in same column do not differ significantly from each other based on one-way ANOVA analysis followed by S-N-K post hoc multiple range test. Level of significant was tested at the level of P<0.05. All values were expressed as mean±SEM (n=6). Values in parentheses are in percentage calculated by assuming value of parameter of control mice 100% for diabetic control whereas assuming diabetic control 100% for diabetic treated insulin, control mulberry and diabetic treated mulberry leaves S-1708. +: Increase; −: Decrease; SEM: Standard error of mean.

Table 4: Effect of mulberry leaf extract administration on weight (g/kg) in chronic diabetic mice

| Treated group                  | 1st week (g/kg weight) | 2nd week (g/kg weight) | 3rd week (g/kg weight) |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Control group                  | 25.8±0.96              | 21.4±1.14              | 25.7±1.53              |
| Diabetic group (%)             | 26.6±1.78 (+3.10)      | 21.4±1.14 (no change)  | 19.7±3.06 (-23.99)     |
| Diabetic + treated insulin (%) | 25.58±3.09 (-3.83)     | 26.0±1.76 (+21.49)     | 26.2±1.75 (+32.99)     |
| Control + treated mulberry (%) | 29.2±1.47 (+9.77)      | 29.7±2.12 (+38.78)     | 29.7±2.12 (+50.76)     |
| Diabetic + treated S-1708 (%)  | 24.0±2.24 (-9.77)      | 24.5±2.32 (+14.48)     | 24.8±2.12 (+25.88)     |

All values were expressed as mean±SEM (n=6). Values in parentheses are in percentage calculated by assuming value of parameter of control mice 100% for diabetic control whereas assuming diabetic control 100% for diabetic treated insulin, control mulberry and diabetic treated mulberry leaves S-1708. +: Increase; −: Decrease; SEM: Standard error of mean.

Table 5: Effect of mulberry leaf extract administration on fasting blood glucose in chronic diabetic mice

| Treated group                  | Glucose (mg/dl) |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|
|                                | 1st week | 2nd week | 3rd week |
| Control group                  | 134±2.94 | 139±2.73 | 145±4.5  |
| Diabetic group (%)             | 525.4±50.10* (+292) | 599.3±1.15* (+331.12) | 566.5±71.7* (+290.34) |
| Diabetic + treated insulin (%) | 374.67±151.11* (-28.68) | 172.17±9.89* (-71.27) | 167.67±4.97* (-70.37) |
| Control + treated mulberry (%) | 168.7±12.34* (-67.89) | 152.50±9.35 (-74.55) | 153.17±5.98 (-72.93) |
| Diabetic + treated S-1708 (%)  | 212.5±107.65* (-59.55) | 180.67±43.00* (-68.85) | 160.83±12.91* (-71.58) |

*Statically compression based on one-way ANOVA analysis followed by S-N-K post hoc multiple range test. All values were expressed as mean±SEM (n=6). Values in parentheses are in percentage calculated by assuming value of parameter of control mice 100% for diabetic control whereas assuming diabetic control 100% for diabetic treated insulin, control mulberry and diabetic treated mulberry leaves S-1708. Level of significant was tested at the level of P<0.05, compared with the normal control group. ANOVA: Analysis of variance; SEM: Standard error of mean; +: Increase; −: Decrease.

Table 6: Effect of mulberry leaf extract administration on enzymes assay in chronic diabetic mice

| Treated group                  | Catalase activity (mmol/mg) | G6PDH (mmol/mg) | SGPT (U/ml) | SGOT (U/ml) |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|
| Control normal                 | 108.96±1.5                 | 418±1.54        | 25.17±0.5   | 29.17±1.62  |
| Control diabetic (%)           | 151.15±2.37* (+38.72)      | 178.89±9.37* (-57.20) | 54.89±1.0* (+118) | 68.28±0.58* (+134) |
| Diabetic + insulin 4 U/kg (%)  | 116.87±3.03* (-22.67)      | 342.02±10.59* (+91.19) | 26.68±1.1 (-51.39) | 41.58±5.56* (-39.10) |
| Control normal + mulberry (%)  | 115.16±1.14 (-23.81)       | 416.60±5.82 (+132.48) | 24.88±1.15 (-54.67) | 30.84±8.41 (-54.83) |
| Diabetic + treated S-1708 (%)  | 103.70±3.13 (-31.39)       | 348.82±11.98 (+94.99) | 19.04±2.83 (-65.31) | 32.32±5.1 (-52.66) |

*Statically compression based on one-way ANOVA analysis followed by S-N-K post hoc multiple range test. All values were expressed as mean±SEM (n=6). Values in parentheses are in percentage calculated by assuming value of parameter of control mice 100% for diabetic control whereas assuming diabetic control 100% for diabetic treated insulin, control mulberry and diabetic treated mulberry leaves S-1708. Level of significant was tested at the level of P<0.05, compared with the normal control group. G6PDH: Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase; SGPT: Serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase; SGOT: Serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase; ANOVA: Analysis of variance; SEM: Standard error of mean; +: Increase; −: Decrease.
DISCUSSION
2,2-diphenyl-1-picryl-hydrazyl radical scavenging activity

Natural antioxidants that are present in leaf and other parts of plant are responsible for inhibiting or preventing the harmful costs of oxidative stress. In the present study, mulberry leaf (S-1708) in both methanolic and ethanolic solvents exhibited significantly higher antioxidant activity than ascorbic acid. Scavenging free radical activity of mulberry leaf is increased significantly with the concentration of mulberry extracts increases [Figures 1 and 2]. This is because of the presences of phytochemicals constituents are more in the leaf of S-1708 mulberry variety, supported by my previous phytochemicals analysis and Khalaf et al., 2007[27] in some common plants such as Camellia sinensis Linn, Eugenia caryophyllus by DPPH assay. Similar works was also analyzed by Khan et al. 2013[28] to check antioxidant activity of three different agroclimatic origins of drumstick tree (Moringa oleifera Lam.) leaves in different solvents such as methanol and ethanol extracts of Indian origins showed the highest antioxidant activities 65.1% and 66.8%, respectively, which is showing direct agreement with the present study. Further, results however, significant changes are observed in Group III and Group V with compared to Group II. The hepatocytes, nucleus, and central vein show regeneration of cellular structure and appear similar to the Group 1.

Figure 1: 2,2-diphenyl-1-picryl-hydrazyl radical scavenging activity at different concentration of S-1708 mulberry leaf extract and ascorbic acid in methanol solvent. Values are mean ± standard deviation. *On bars indicates significant difference from control. Mulberry leaf extracts compared with the ascorbic acid (control). Level of significant was tested at the level of $P < 0.05$ by one-way analysis of variance analysis

Figure 2: Ferric reducing/antioxidant power activity at different concentration S-1708 mulberry leaf extract and ascorbic acid in methanol solvent. Values are mean ± standard deviation. *On bars indicates significant difference from control. Mulberry leaf extracts compared with the ascorbic acid (control). Level of significant was tested at the level of $P < 0.05$ by one-way analysis of variance analysis

Figure 3: 2,2-diphenyl-1-picryl-hydrazyl radical scavenging activity at different concentration of S-1708 mulberry leaf extract and ascorbic acid in ethanol solvent. Values are mean ± standard deviation. *On bars indicates significant difference from control. Mulberry leaf extracts compared with the ascorbic acid (control). Level of significant was tested at the level of $P < 0.05$ by one-way analysis of variance analysis

Figure 4: Ferric reducing/antioxidant power activity at different concentration S-1708 mulberry leaf extract and ascorbic acid in ethanol solvent. Values are mean ± standard deviation. *On bars indicates significant difference from control. Mulberry leaf extracts compared with the ascorbic acid (control). Level of significant was tested at the level of $P < 0.05$ by one-way analysis of variance analysis
are compared with other researcher works of different mulberry varieties namely *Morus alba* and *Morus rubra*, *Morus nigra* and founds that S-1708 mulberry are showed higher potential activity among them.[29-31]

**Ferric reducing/antioxidant power assay**

The FRAP assay measures the antioxidant effect of any substance in the reaction medium as reducing ability. FRAP assay was used by several authors for the assessment of antioxidant activity of various samples. Halvorsen *et al.* 2006[32] suggested most of the secondary metabolites are redox-active compounds that will be selected by the FRAP assay. Therefore, the antioxidant potential of S-1708 mulberry leaf extract estimated and calculated in both methanolic and ethanolic solvents of the S-1708 mulberry leaf extract were showed significant (*P* < 0.05) higher activity as than ascorbic acid [Figures 3 and 4]. The result of reducing powers demonstrated the electron donor properties of S-1708 mulberry leaf extract thereby neutralizing free radicals by forming stable products. Comparable works in other plant and outcome of results is significantly supported[33] the assessment of antioxidant capacity of sedum (*Sedum sarmentosum*) as a valuable natural antioxidant source in different solvent and in methanolic solvent 2301.71 ± 248.92 µM/mL reduction was recorded, which is that...
mulberry leaves are also showed higher activity than *S. sarmentosum* plants.

**Anti-hyperglycemic effect of mulberry leaves**

**Body weight**

In the current study, observed reduction of body weights due to drugs effects besides that increment of body weight of diabetic mice after administration mulberry leaf clearly indicates mulberry leaf potentially involved in enhancing the weight of diabetic mice. This is because of mulberry leaves are effectively acting on the cellular mechanism until unless a mechanism is unknown. It might be due to potentially of bioactive compounds in the mulberry leaf of S-1708 variety which act as the potent antioxidant and provided protection against to stress, additionally helpful for gaining weight in diabetic mice [Figure 5]. The present study are supported Eun et al., 2011 by the study of the assessment of antioxidant capacity of sedum (*S. sarmentosum*) as a valuable natural antioxidant source and reported that diabetic mellitus is a chronic disease caused by overproduction of excessive hepatic glycogenolysis and gluconeogenesis, resultant of that decreased body weight and loosed utilization of glucose by tissues and gained body weight after administration of *S. sarmentosum*.[39]

**Fasting blood glucose**

In the present study, clearly, indicates that STZ drug created a lot of stress inside the body and obstructs the gluconeogenesis pathway of liver, therefore, raised the glucose levels in blood serum. Diabetic treated with mulberry leaf extract (−71.58%) instantly decreased the FBG, controlled the glucose levels and significantly reduced the glucose level to become normalized after the 3rd week. Whereas diabetic group insulin drug treated with were also reduced significantly (*P < 0.05*) by (−70.37%) glucose level when compared to diabetic control, interesting results was observed that mulberry leaves S-1708 are more efficiently control than insulin drug. This study revealed that the supremacy of mulberry leaf extract to act on pancreas and liver cells to the removal of free radicals of tissue; therefore, it shows satisfactory effective to controlling of hyperglycemic [Figure 6]. Current studies are reinforced by Andallu and Vardacharyula, 2012[40] on mulberry leaves of *Morus indica* L. reported that after administration of mulberry leaf lipid peroxidation decreased and help to the reduction of hyperglycemia.

**Enzyme in liver**

**Catalase and glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase**

The glutathione (GSH) redox system are connected by G6PDH enzyme, which controlled GSH level and well known to play a key role in free radical and peroxide metabolism. This is relative plays a vital role in cellular protection including oxidative damage. Mulberry leaf of S-1708 variety effectively acting on enzyme level of diabetic mice and significantly (*P < 0.05*) increase the activity of G6PDH. This increment of G6PDH activity force is due to NADPH supply as a concern of decrease sorbitol synthesis/or from hexose monophosphate pathway (HMP), as showed by increased activity of G6PDH in might be leading to improving GSH level.[39] In the observation, catalase activity is increased in hepatic tissue of diabetic mice, and generated unnecessary production of peroxide free radicals in diabetic stress and storage of the enzyme. Oral administrative of S-1708 mulberry leaf extract to the diabetic group and notices the catalase enzyme level was tremendous (−31.39%) decreased and to become normalized after 3 weeks. This giving inference mulberry leaf powder is effective acting on controlling of catalase breakdown pathway; therefore, mulberry extract of S-1708 variety inhibited unnecessary production of free radicals inside the diabetic mice [Figure 7]. A similar test was performed and similar results were obtained by[39] to the analysis of *M. indica* L. leaves antioxidants activity and antioxidant enzymes in STZ-diabetic rats.

**Serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase and serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase**

The activity of SGOT and SGPT is cytosolic marker enzyme refueling hepatocellular necrosis as they are released into the blood after cell membrane damage. Therefore, the tests are performed to check the activity of SGOT and SGPT as the indicator of hepatic damage. It is evident from Table 6 and Figure 8, the activity of SGOT and SGPT were significant (*P < 0.05*) increased in diabetic mice, respectively, as compared to control group. This increment in enzyme due to the action of STZ on liver cells which captured the liver cell membrane, sheet hepatocytes cells, and central vein. Therefore, SGOT and SGPT are released in the high amount from the liver into blood serum which caused the stress and damage of cell membrane. Compared the both results insulin treated and mulberry leaf treated diabetic mice, conclude that mulberry leaf is more efficient than insulin which efficiently reduced the SGOT and SGPT activity, respectively. This is due action S-1708 on pancreas and liver cells, therefore, they control the SGOT and SGPT level. This is might be possible due combine action of natural antioxidant compounds from the mulberry leaf. Based on the results suggested that mulberry leaves of S-1708 extract efficient extract which prevent hepatic injury associated with diabetes.

**Histopathological study**

In the liver tissue of mice, injuries in the form of vacuolation and necrosis were mainly demonstrated in the peripheral zones of hepatic lobules. The pathological changes extended to involve the central zones and this might
be explained by the type of blood circulation inside the hepatic lobule. Normally, the direction of blood flow proceeds from the periphery of the lobule toward the central vein, which is the flow of blood, is centripetal. Blood percolates within the sinusoids to the central vein and is exposed to the activities of the hepatocytes around the sinusoids. Plasma flows freely through the sinusoidal wall into the sinusoidal spaces where it is exposed to the various activities of the hepatocytes and then flows back into the bloodstream.1,2 Most of the injected STZ drug reached the liver through the portal venous and end finally in the terminal portal venules in the portal tracts. Thus, the peripheral hepatocytes became exposed to a higher concentration of the STZ drug.

In the current study, Figure 9a shows normal cell morphology structure of the liver tissue-like sheet of hepatocytes, sinusoids, nuclei, and central vein are regularly arranged. Therefore, proper cellular synthesis mechanisms are takes places and control the glucose levels inside the body. Whereas, diabetic control group [Figure 9b] showed highly disruption of cell organization due to the effect of STZ drugs and its loss own identity of cell morphology like the sheet of hepatocytes, sinusoids, central vein, and forming large intercellular spaces appeared around central vein. This disruption of the cell triggers the process of inflammation and affects the normal functioning of the liver. Therefore, glycogenesis may be disturbed which leads to the rise of blood glucose (BG) level.

In Figure 9c, the diabetic group treated with insulin appearing normal cells organization because the action of insulin in liver cells which might be controlling of glucose pathway synthesis. The observations of Figure 9d which are representing the normal group of mice treated with S-1708 mulberry leaves shown similar structure like to normal control mouse. Therefore, proper secretion of insulin was released from the pancreas, and controlled manner glucoseogenesis occurs in liver cells which lead to controlling of glucose level inside the body system. This study showed [Figure 9e] the diabetic group treated with S-1708 mulberry leaves extract to enhance the cellular structure alike in the sheet of hepatocytes, central vein, and the sinusoids. Therefore it is helpful to the reduction of glucose and maintains of proteins levels inside the body system. Findings of histopathological studies indicate that mulberry leaves of S-1708 are effectively acting and improving the health status of tissue and maintain the enzymatic level inside the body system along with repairing of the cellular structure due to the presence of antioxidant properties in the leaf to thwarting oxidative free radicals. Histological and Histochemistry analysis of glycogen was completed by Brijendra et al., 2013[17] in liver rat under stress of diabetic mice and suggested similar observation.

CONCLUSION

In summary, this study concludes that both in vitro and in vivo studies, S-1708 mulberry variety emerged as highly potential since it has the maximum capacity to inhibit free radicals and most effective extracts for reducing the BG level. Hence, S-1708 variety may exploit for further studies in the field of natural product research.
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