Candidate protein markers for radiation biodosimetry in the hematopoietically humanized mouse model
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After a radiological incident, there is an urgent need for fast and reliable bioassays to identify radiation-exposed individuals within the first week post exposure. This study aimed to identify candidate radiation-responsive protein biomarkers in human lymphocytes in vivo using humanized NOD scid gamma (Hu-NSG) mouse model. Three days after X-irradiation (0–2 Gy, 88 cGy/min), human CD45+ lymphocytes were collected from the Hu-NSG mouse spleen and quantitative changes in the proteome of the human lymphocytes were analysed by mass spectrometry. Forty-six proteins were differentially expressed in response to radiation exposure. FDXR, BAX, DDB2 and ACTN1 proteins were shown to have dose-dependent response with a fold change greater than 2. When these proteins were used to estimate radiation dose by linear regression, the combination of FDXR, ACTN1 and DDB2 showed the lowest mean absolute errors (≤0.13 Gy) and highest coefficients of determination (R² = 0.96).

Biomarker validation studies were performed in human lymphocytes 3 days after irradiation in vivo and in vitro. In conclusion, this is the first study to identify radiation-induced human protein signatures in vivo using the humanized mouse model and develop a protein panel which could be used for the rapid assessment of absorbed dose 3 days after radiation exposure.

In the event of a radiological attack or accidental exposure, it will be necessary to quickly identify exposed victims from non-exposed groups and predict their received dose for effective medical treatment. In a mass-casualty scenario, a large number of people can be exposed to a wide range of radiation doses. It will be crucial to collect and analyze human biofluids (such as blood, urine, saliva) as soon as possible within the first week for accurate dose prediction and early triage decision. The applicability of potentially available biodosimetry methods for triage in large-scale radiation events was recently assessed. Based on an updated comparative framework of six biodosimetry methods, increased population size, along with severely compromised infrastructure will increase the time needed to perform triage, which in turn will decrease the usefulness of many time intensive dosimetry methods. Therefore, this highlights the challenging need for the identification and development of potential diagnostic biomarkers for use as radiation dosimeters of human exposure in vivo to ionizing radiation in terms of time- and dose-dependent response and high throughput capability, days after initial radiation exposure. The rapid immunodetection of radiation responsive protein markers have potential as a useful diagnostic tool for the mass-screening of potentially exposed individuals. Earlier studies have suggested various radiation responsive proteins as candidate biomarkers for radiation biodosimetry. However, the fact that DNA damage related proteins such as ATM and H2AX show increased amounts or changes in phosphorylation states within 24 h after exposure, limits their use as radiation dosimetry methods for extended time points after initial radiation exposure. Recently, Hall and colleagues provided a roadmap for developing biomarkers of radiation exposure from discovery to implementation to summarize the current status of proposed ionizing radiation biomarkers for...
epidemiological studies. This extensive review highlighted that most potential biomarkers remain at the discovery stage and require robust validation studies.

To date, the development and validation of radiation biomarkers in vivo has relied heavily on mouse models and more recently non-human primate (NHP) models due to the limitation in obtaining appropriate human samples. Few studies have systematically validated radio-responsive human protein markers in vivo for dose- and time-response after radiation exposure. Radiation responsive plasma proteins such as Flt3 ligand (Flt3L), serum amyloid A (SAA) and Interleukin-6 (IL-6) have been studied in mouse models as markers of acute radiation syndrome and radiation exposure up to a week. Using the NHP model, C-reactive protein (CRP), SAA, IL-6, Flt3L protein biomarkers expressed in NHP plasma have been proposed as early indicators of dose assessment and radiation-induced injury up to ~7 days post irradiation. Proteomics-based technology has been used to discover novel biomarkers for radiation biodosimetry in NHP models.

The humanized mouse model provides an alternative model to study in vivo human biological response. This model is being increasingly used as a preclinical model in multiple biological fields including infectious diseases, immunology, cancer, regenerative medicine, hematology, and autoimmunity. NOD-scid IL2rγnull mice (NOG/NSG) are known to support higher engraftment with human CD34+ hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) compared to BALB/c and CB17 scid strains. The transplantation of HSCs derived from bone marrow, umbilical cord blood (UBC), or G-CSF-mobilized peripheral blood leads to the development of human hematopoietic progenitor and differentiated cells in the mouse bone marrow, spleen and thymus, culminating in a functional human immune system. Recently, Wang et al. investigated the effects of ionizing radiation on human HSC cells. Their results showed that radiation exposure induces DNA damage observed as γ-H2AX foci formation in the HSCs and promotes their aging-like phenotypic changes in the bone marrow microenvironment.

In the present study, we used the Hu-NSG mouse model to identify novel radiation-responsive candidate protein biomarkers in human lymphocytes in vivo for biodosimetry developmental studies. We applied shotgun proteomics to evaluate proteome-wide changes in human CD45+ B and T cells, 3 days after X-ray exposure. Presented here are our top 4 protein candidate biomarkers and a panel of radiation responsive proteins to predict absorbed dose.
Results
Reconstitution of human hematopoietic cells in humanized mice. Ninety-seven percentage of recipient NSG mice showed successful engraftment 4–5 months after injection of HSCs. Figure 1A shows representative flow cytometry plots for human hematopoietic cell reconstitution from a humanized mouse tail blood prior to irradiation. The humanized mice (n = 33) generated in this study had 61.3 ± 17.9% human CD45+ cells including human B and T cells. Blood cell characteristics for each individual humanized mouse are detailed in Supplementary Table S1. Supplementary Fig. S1 shows the total number of human CD45+ cells across the three dose groups before and after irradiation. The results show similar proportion of human B and T cells with no statistically significant difference (p > 0.05) across each group before irradiation (Supplementary Fig. S1A). Since X rays dramatically decreased human lymphocyte cell number (Supplementary Fig. S1B), flow-sorted human CD45+ cells from mice injected with the same human HSCs were pooled in order to obtain sufficient amounts of protein for proteomic analysis.

Protein signatures in X-irradiated human lymphocytes 3 days after exposure. In total, 3,376 human proteins were identified based on 5% false discovery rate (FDR) at the protein level. In comparison of three different radiation dose groups, 34 proteins were found to be statistically significant at 0.001% FDR (Supplementary Table S2). Hierarchical clustering analysis and Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of these 34 proteins showed that the protein expression profiling can be separated into non-irradiated and irradiated clusters (Fig. 2A, B). Comparisons between 0 Gy vs 1 Gy (0.010% FDR; Fig. 2C) and 0 Gy vs 2 Gy (0.023% FDR, Fig. 2D) revealed a good separation according to radiation exposure and identified further 12 radiation responsive proteins.

Selection of candidate protein markers for radiation biodosimetry. The 46 differential radiation responsive proteins identified above are presented in Table 1 according to fold changes after 1 Gy and 2 Gy irradiation. The results show that a total of 28 proteins were up-regulated and 18 proteins were down-regulated. Of the 28 up-regulated proteins, 6 showed a fold change >2 (TSPYL2, FDXR, GMPR2, ACTN1, BAX and DDB2) for both doses. Of the 18 down-regulated proteins, 3 proteins (HBA1, HBB and HMGN4) showed a high response to radiation with a fold change >2. To test the dose dependent response, the correlation between protein expression...
| Accession no. | Protein name (Gene symbol) | Fold change* | 1 Gy | 2 Gy | adjusted p value# |
|--------------|---------------------------|--------------|------|------|------------------|
| Q9H2G4       | Testis-specific Y-encoded-like protein 2 (TSPY2) | 14.97 ± 5.50 | 15.26 ± 5.69 | 3.81E-12* |
| P22570       | NADPH-adenosine oxidoreductase, mitochondrial (FDXR) | 2.85 ± 0.23 | 3.47 ± 0.33 | 6.70E-11* |
| H0YNJ6       | GMMP reductase (GMPR2) | 2.23 ± 0.16 | 2.59 ± 0.17 | 1.94E-09* |
| P12814       | Alpha-actinin-1 (ACTN1) | 2.03 ± 0.13 | 2.56 ± 0.14 | 1.01E-09* |
| Q07812       | Apoptosis regulator BAX (BAX) | 2.32 ± 0.28 | 2.37 ± 0.17 | 8.96E-09* |
| Q92466       | DNA damage-binding protein 2 (DDB2) | 2.04 ± 0.20 | 2.21 ± 0.18 | 1.12E-08* |
| Q8WWP7       | GTase IMAP family member 1 (GIMAP1) | 1.89 ± 0.23 | 2.02 ± 0.33 | 1.39E-08* |
| Q6UXH1       | Cysteine-rich with EGF-like domain protein 2 (CRELD2) | 1.62 ± 0.10 | 2.01 ± 0.26 | 1.73E-08* |
| Q9NRX4       | 14 kDa phospholipidase A2 (PLA2G14) | 1.77 ± 0.19 | 1.93 ± 0.22 | 2.73E-09* |
| P06127       | T-cell surface glycoprotein CD5 (CD5) | 1.75 ± 0.12 | 1.82 ± 0.23 | 1.43E-08* |
| P04083       | Annexin A3 (ANXA3) | 1.20 ± 0.06 | 1.73 ± 0.15 | 2.44E-08* |
| Q01831       | DNA repair protein complementing XP-C cells (XPC) | 1.29 ± 0.10 | 1.58 ± 0.12 | 2.19E-07* |
| P21291       | Cysteine and glycine-rich protein 1 (CSR1P1) | 1.49 ± 0.10 | 1.52 ± 0.14 | 4.73E-07* |
| P17612       | cAMP-dependent protein kinase catalytic subunit alpha (PRKACA) | 1.28 ± 0.09 | 1.52 ± 0.02 | 2.28E-09* |
| A0A087WZM2   | Ribonuclease T2 (RNA2T2) | 1.26 ± 0.05 | 1.48 ± 0.10 | 2.98E-09* |
| P08311       | Cathepsin G (CTSG) | 1.64 ± 0.20 | 1.44 ± 0.13 | 2.71E-08* |
| Q9UHD8       | Septin-9 (SEPT9) | 1.22 ± 0.06 | 1.36 ± 0.07 | 4.09E-08* |
| Q96HC4       | PDZ and LIM domain protein 5 (PDZLIM5) | 1.21 ± 0.09 | 1.34 ± 0.08 | 1.73E-08* |
| P19367       | Hexokinase-1 (HK1) | 1.09 ± 0.05 | 1.34 ± 0.09 | 2.02E-08* |
| P08133       | Annexin A6 (ANXA6) | 1.15 ± 0.06 | 1.30 ± 0.05 | 4.20E-08* |
| P21283       | V-type proton ATPase subunit C 1 (ATP6V1C1) | 1.26 ± 0.09 | 1.29 ± 0.07 | 1.74E-07* |
| P48426       | Phosphatidylinositol 5-phosphate 4-kinase type-2 alpha (PIP4K2A) | 1.30 ± 0.06 | 1.25 ± 0.05 | 1.04E-07* |
| P20073       | Annexin A7 (ANXA7) | 1.15 ± 0.06 | 1.24 ± 0.07 | 1.12E-07* |
| P46777       | 60S ribosomal protein L5 (RPL5) | 1.09 ± 0.13 | 1.23 ± 0.18 | 1.46E-06* |
| Q75083       | WD repeat-containing protein 1 (WDR1) | 1.14 ± 0.05 | 1.21 ± 0.07 | 9.88E-08* |
| Q9Y490       | Talin-1 (TLL1) | 1.20 ± 0.05 | 1.20 ± 0.06 | 5.18E-08* |
| A0A024RAM0   | 40S ribosomal protein S9 (RPS9) | 1.30 ± 0.11 | 1.19 ± 0.11 | 3.00E-08* |
| A0A0A0MT2    | Protein tyrosine phosphatase, receptor type, C, isoform CRA_d (PTPRC) | 1.22 ± 0.05 | 1.10 ± 0.07 | 1.24E-07* |

Table 1. List of differentially expressed proteins in vivo. *Fold change compared to non-irradiated group was calculated and data represent mean ± SEM. **Data were analysed using one-way ANOVA test. The details are included in Supplementary Table S2. 'p value obtained from comparison of three dose groups (0, 1 and 2 Gy) was described. #p value obtained from comparison between 0 Gy vs. 1 Gy was described. *p value obtained from comparison between 0 Gy vs. 2 Gy was described.
and irradiated dose was evaluated for all 46 radiation responsive proteins. Proteins with high correlation (|correlation coefficient| > 0.70; p value < 0.05) are shown in Supplementary Table S3. The results show that the top 6 upregulated proteins (TSPYL2, FDXR, GMPR2, ACTN1, BAX and DDB2) have high dose-dependent response to radiation. Humanized mice used in this study were generated by injecting five different human donors’ stem cells. Figure 3 shows the dose response curves for FDXR, BAX, DDB2 and ACTN1 from 5 different human donors, 3 days after irradiation. These 4 proteins showed a dose-dependent response in human cells derived from each human donor. GMPR2 and TSPYL2 were not explored further as candidate biomarkers due to an incomplete data set caused by missing values and high variability between the donors. Although the top 3 down-regulated proteins (HBA1, HBB, HMGN4) showed fold changes > 2, HBA1 and HBB had high variation between donors and HMGN4 did not show a dose-dependent response.

Dose Prediction of candidate protein markers. The top 4 upregulated proteins (FDXR, BAX, DDB2 and ACTN1) were selected as the best candidates for dose predictions. Various combinations of these proteins were used to predict radiation dose by linear regression. In other words, levels of one or more of these proteins were used as predictor variables, whereas radiation dose was the outcome variable. Four models had substantial information theoretic support from the data, based on Akaike information criterion scores with sample size correction (AICc). They are shown in Table 2 and Supplementary Table S4. Those three models that included combinations of FDXR, ACTN1 and DDB2 had the strongest information theoretic support, lowest mean absolute errors (≤ 0.13 Gy) and highest coefficients of determination (R² = 0.96) (Supplementary Table S4). The ability of each of these multi-protein models to predict dose is shown in Table 2, and the performance of various models containing only one protein is shown in Supplementary Table S5. These results suggest that FDXR and ACTN1 had strong associations with radiation dose (for each of them the sum of Akaike weights across all tested models was 1.0) and represent potentially valuable radiation biomarkers. DDB2 had a somewhat weaker association with dose (sum of Akaike weights was 0.14) but may still be a useful predictor.

Verification of candidate protein markers in human lymphocytes in vivo/in vitro. Radiation-induced FDXR protein expression levels were evaluated in human CD45+ cells in vivo from the peripheral blood of the humanized mice (Fig. 4A). Representative images of FDXR protein observed in human CD45+ lymphocytes are shown after 2 Gy X-irradiation (Left panel in Fig. 4A). The results show a statistically significant increase in total FDXR fluorescence intensity in the 1 Gy and 2 Gy irradiated groups compared to the non-irradiated group (p value < 0.05; Right panel in Fig. 4A). Biomarker validation studies were also performed using human peripheral blood samples collected from up to 3–4 healthy human volunteers. The results show a significant increase in FDXR, ACTN1 and DDB2 protein levels in isolated human lymphocytes in vitro, 3 days after irradiation (Fig. 4B).
Increased expression of the candidate proteins from the irradiated humanized mice groups derived from different irradiated doses showed a strong correlation between protein expression and irradiated dose (correlation coefficient $>0.7$). FDXR, BAX, DDB2 and ACTN1 were considered as the top 4 candidates based on large fold changes.

The top four candidates identified here are known to be associated with radiation-induced apoptosis, DNA repair and cellular senescence. FDXR is a mitochondrial flavin protein transferring electron from NADPH to cytochrome p450. It can be induced by DNA damage and is involved in p53 and oxidative stress-mediated apoptosis. In transcriptomics studies, FDXR gene is known as one of the most radiation responsive markers and has been validated as a sensitive gene for dose assessment in two large scale studies on laboratory- and clinical- samples.

Table 2. Dose prediction of candidate protein markers. a Data obtained from proteomic analysis were used for investigating dose prediction. Ranks and specific values of all models were provided in Supplementary Table S4. b Coefficient, $R^2$ and $p$ value was obtained by linear regression analysis for predicted vs. actual doses. c MAE values were used as indicators to compare the difference between actual irradiated and predicted dose.

### Discussion

The identification of radiation-responsive proteins in blood samples that can rapidly and accurately quantify radiation exposure up to a week post irradiation will be critical for the mass-screening of individuals after a large-scale radiological event. In this scenario it is unlikely that blood samples will be collected from all potentially exposed individuals within 24–48 h. There is no perfect model for radiation biodosimetry and biomarker development: radiotherapy cancer patients are limited in terms of fixed fractionation doses and confounders of chemotherapy and underlying disease whereas the large cost of NHPs largely precludes developmental and systematic studies for many research groups. As an alternative model, we have used the hematopoietically Hu-NSG mouse model to identify radiation-responsive protein biomarkers in human lymphocytes in vivo, 3 days after radiation exposure.

Mass spectrometry-based proteomics analysis has been used routinely for biomarker discovery in clinical research. Applications of proteome profiling in radiation research are increasing, particularly as the research aims to study not only protein expression, but also function, structure, modifications and interactions. Recently, proteomic changes in plasma and urine after irradiation of NHPs have also been studied. In this study, we used global proteomics analysis to identify novel radiation biomarkers in X-irradiated human lymphocytes isolated from the Hu-NSG mouse spleen. We found a distinct protein signature 3 days post radiation exposure compared to the non-irradiated control group (Fig. 2). In total, 3,376 human proteins were identified of which 46 proteins were differentially expressed after radiation exposure. Twenty eight proteins were found up-regulated and 18 proteins were found down-regulated (Table 1), which showed distinct separation between non-irradiated group and irradiated groups by supervised PCA (Fig. 2). Of the 46 radiation-responsive proteins, four up-regulated proteins FDXR, BAX, DDB2 and ACTN1 were considered as the top 4 candidates based on large fold changes $>2$ and a strong correlation between protein expression and irradiated dose (correlation coefficient $>0.7$; $p$ value $<0.05$). Increased expression of the candidate proteins from the irradiated humanized mice groups derived from different human stem cell donors showed a reliable dose dependent response to radiation exposure (Fig. 3), which supports the finding that the radiation-induced response of our top candidates might have low inter-individual variability.

The top four candidates identified here are known to be associated with radiation-induced apoptosis, DNA damage and cellular senescence. FDXR is a mitochondrial flavin protein transferring electron from NADPH to cytochrome p450. It can be induced by DNA damage and is involved in p53 and oxidative stress-mediated apoptosis. In transcriptomics studies, FDXR gene is known as one of the most radiation responsive markers and has been validated as a sensitive gene for dose assessment in two large scale studies on laboratory-inter-comparison of gene expression. The FDXR gene has also shown a significant dose response over a large range of radiation doses in ex vivo X-irradiated human blood and radiotherapy treated patients and discriminated pre-irradiated and 24 h post-irradiated samples from prostate cancer patients. BAX is a well-known regulator in radiation-induced apoptosis. Radiation exposure activates BAX protein through ATM and CHK2-mediated p53 activation, leading to cytochrome c release and apoptotic cell death. DDB2 is a protein associated with nucleotide excision repair and has a key role in DNA damage recognition. It facilitates ATM/ATR recruitment to damage sites and leads to apoptosis by regulating p21 protein. ACTN1 is an actin binding protein regulating actin cytoskeleton, and is one of the cellular senescence related proteins. Chronic radiation exposure has been shown to cause premature senescence with an increase of ACTN1 in human umbilical vein endothelial cells.

Our results (Table 2 and Supplementary Table S4) showed that combinations of FDXR, DDB2 and ACTN1 proteins generate an accurate prediction of the radiation dose in human lymphocytes in vivo: their mean absolute errors were $\leq 0.13$ Gy over the tested dose range of 0 to 2 Gy. Previous studies have similarly used a combinatorial approach of plasma protein markers to provide an improved dose assessment compared to a single biomarker alone in mouse and NHP models. Budworth et al. showed that a 8-gene transcript panel of DNA repair markers (BBC3, FDXR, CDKN1A, GADD45a, PCNA, XPC, DDB2 and POLH) was able to discriminate radiation exposure in the human ex vivo blood model and in vivo irradiated human blood samples obtained from patients who received total body irradiation, 24 h after irradiation, whereas Manning and colleagues, combined FDXR and DDB2 gene expression response to produce the best dose estimate in human blood cells 24 h after X-irradiation (1 and 2 Gy). The notable finding in this study is that we used our 3-protein panel to predict dose 3 days after radiation. This has the potential to extend the window of time relevant for medical decision making for radiological triage.

Biomarker validation studies were performed to evaluate the radiation-induced response using indirect immunofluorescence assay protocols. Using available Hu-NSG peripheral blood samples, our initial validation studies focused on the FDXR protein biomarker. Consistent with the results of proteomic analysis in human
CD45+ lymphocytes isolated from the mouse spleen, we observed a similar dose-dependent increase of total FDXR protein fluorescence in human CD45+ lymphocytes obtained from the peripheral mouse blood after X-ray exposure (Fig. 4A). Future studies using an independent validation data set for each biodosimetry marker will confirm the capability of our protein panel to accurately predict dose. Several studies to date have validated FDXR transcriptional gene expression in blood leukocytes ex vivo as a biomarker of radiation exposure, however few studies have validated this biomarker in vivo. Recently, O’Brien et al. carried out an extensive study and provided for the first time physical and biological dose estimates using FDXR gene expression in human leukocytes from radiotherapy patients in vivo.

Previous studies by Amundson and others have successfully used the human ex vivo model to closely replicate the in vivo gene expression response to radiation. To assess radiation-induced response of protein markers in human lymphocytes irradiated ex vivo, we measured expression levels of our three best candidates, FDXR, DDB2 and ACTN1, 3 days after initial exposure (Fig. 4B). Since NSG mice are relatively sensitive with a LD50/30 of ~3–4 Gy, we irradiated human peripheral blood with a dose of 4 Gy γ rays ex vivo to represent the sensitivity of the Hu-NSG mouse response at 2 Gy. The results show an increase in expression of FDXR, DDB2 and ACTN1, which allowed us to discriminate between non-irradiated and irradiated samples. Although there was no apparent large variation in biomarker response between human donors recruited here, it will be important to plan a population study in the future, to evaluate our protein panel and other top up and down regulated biomarkers for the effects of individual factors (age, gender, smoking history etc.) after radiation exposure.

It is well-known that irradiated human lymphocytes undergo apoptosis in a time- and dose-dependent manner. Contrary to the in vivo model, where dying cells appear to be cleared from the circulation by the liver, the human blood ex vivo model represents a different microenvironment whereby biological processes and related measurements could be affected by artifacts such as increased DNA fragmentation and apoptosis, that could be a confounding factor in the day 3 cultures. To this end, future studies should aim to evaluate the dose-response relationship with extended doses and time kinetics for the top radio-responsive proteins and statistically compare the day 3 fold-changes with earlier and later time points up to a week post-exposure. Previous studies to date, have reported increased gene/protein expression of our candidate biomarkers 24–48 h after radiation exposure, whereas less is known about the longer time points. In the present work, we have combined the humanized mouse model and the human ex vivo model to investigate candidate protein biomarkers in human blood leukocytes after acute radiation exposure. Future studies designed to verify and validate the candidate proteins identified here, provide the opportunity to develop a biodosimetry assay for use in rapid, early triage following a large scale radiological incident or accident.

Figure 4. Verification of candidate protein expression in human lymphocytes. (A) Protein expression levels in human lymphocytes in vivo. Left panel shows representative images of FDXR stained human CD45+ lymphocytes after exposure to X rays (0 and 2 Gy). Right panel shows quantified FDXR expression level in human CD45+ cells from humanized mouse blood. Fluorescence intensity of FDXR was quantified and was analysed by Kruskall-Wallis test and Dunn’s post hoc multiple comparison test. Data indicate mean ± SEM. Asterisk (*) means statistically significant difference from control group (p value < 0.05). (B) Protein expression levels in human lymphocytes in vitro. FDXR, DDB2 and ACTN1 protein expression levels were measured in human lymphocytes cultured for 3 days after irradiation (0 and 4 Gy). Fold change of each protein level was calculated based on control. Data indicate mean ± SEM and analysed by Student’s t-test. Asterisk (*) means statistically significant difference from non-IR group (p value < 0.05).
Summary
To our knowledge, this is the first study that has used the hematopoietically Hu-NSG model for biological radiation dosimetry studies. Results show that the Hu-NSG mice were successfully engrafted with HSCs to produce human hematopoietic progenitor and differentiated cells for proteomic study. Our goal was to identify protein markers for radiation biodosimetry 3 days after radiation exposure in vivo. Three proteins (FDXR, DDB2 and ACTN1) were determined as the best candidate markers and combined expression of these three proteins predicted more accurately the absorbed dose than either one alone. The fact that we have identified known radiation-responsive proteins in vivo as candidate biomarkers in human lymphocytes to accurately predict radiation dose, 3 days after acute radiation exposure supports the use of the humanized mouse model for the development of biodosimeters for use in radiation triage. Further studies are needed to optimize and validate our biomarker panel to estimate retrospective dose up to a week after exposure and to advance high-throughput screening methods for the rapid immunodetection of radiation-responsive biomarkers in blood samples for radiological triage.

Methods
All methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations.

Humanized mice model. All animal experiments were approved by the Columbia University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC; approved protocol AAP9613) and were conducted under all relevant federal and state guidelines. Female immunodeficient NOD.Cg-Prkdcscid Il2rgtm1Wjl/Sjcl (NSG) mice (The Jackson Laboratory; Bar Harbor, ME, USA), aged 6 to 8 weeks, were engrafted with commercially available human cord blood CD34+ cells (Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center; Cincinnati, OH, USA). For the engraftment, the NSG mice were irradiated with 2.0 Gy of gamma rays followed by injection of 200,000 human cord blood CD34+ cells (n = 5 human donors) in the mouse tail vein within 24 hours after the irradiation. Human cell engraftment was determined four months later by flow cytometry using 20 μl of mouse tail vein blood, stained with antibodies specific to human CD45, (WBC marker, clone HI30, Biolegend, San Diego, CA), human CD3 (T cell marker, clone UCHT1; Biolegend), human CD20 (B cell marker, clone 2H7; Biolegend) and mouse CD45, (WBC marker, clone 30-F11, eBioscience, San Diego, CA). The study was designed using two cohorts of humanized mice engrafted with 5 different donors of human CD34+ cells. Cohort 1 (16 mice) were injected with donors #1–3 and Cohort 2 (17 mice) with donors #4–5.

Irradiation. The humanized mice used here are relatively radiosensitive with a LD50 of about 3–4 Gy.23 As a result, this proteomics study was designed for 1 Gy and 2 Gy doses in vivo model. X-irradiation was performed using X-RAD 320 biological irradiator (Precision X-ray, North Branford, CT) operating at 320Kv, 12.5 mA. Total 33 humanized mice were randomly grouped and irradiated with 0, 1 and 2 Gy (0 Gy, n = 7; 1 Gy, n = 11; 2 Gy, n = 15) at a dose rate of 88 cGy/min. Spleen and blood samples were collected 3 days after irradiation for proteomic analysis and immunofluorescence assay.

Sample preparation for proteomic analysis. Spleens were homogenized and filtered through 40 μm nylon strainer to obtain a single cell suspension. Cells were resuspended in autoMACS Rinsing Solution (Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) with MACS BSA stock solution (Miltenyi Biotec). Small portion of cells were first stained with human CD45 clone HI30, human CD3 clone UCHT1, human CD20 clone 2H7, and mouse CD45 clone 30-F11. Cell numbers in each spleen sample were measured with LSR Fortessa Cell Analyzer (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) and data were analysed with FlowJo software (Tree Star, Inc., San Carlos, CA). Based on the cell number, whole spleen cell suspension was incubated with human CD45 antibody (Clone HI30). Human CD45+ cells were collected in PBS by FACS Aria (BD Biosciences). To obtain enough cell number for proteomic analysis, samples were pooled based on the number of collected cells by flow cytometry. After pooling samples, total 21 samples (0 Gy, n = 7; 1 Gy, n = 7; 2 Gy, n = 7) were prepared for proteomic analysis. Cell pellets were frozen and stored at −80 °C until use.

Protein Extraction and Digestion. Each cell pellet was homogenized in lysis buffer (4 M Urea in 50 mM Ammonium Bicarbonate, 0.1% RapiGest; Waters, MA) and Roche protease inhibitor cocktail) and protein concentration was measured by the Qubit Protein Quantification Assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rockford, IL). An equal amount of protein lystate from each sample was pooled as a common reference to generate ratios in the TMT10plex labeling experiment. 15 μg of protein lysates were reduced with 10 mM TCEP and alkylated with iodoacetamide (18.75 mM) in the dark for 30 minute. Protein was precipitated by the methanol-chloroform protocol and re-suspended in 100 mM TEAB. 375 μg of trypsin was added to the protein extract in a 1:40 enzyme to protein ratio and incubated for 16 hours at 37 °C. Next day, samples were centrifuged for 30 min at 14,000 rpm to remove insoluble materials and 0.6 μg of the peptide mixture was used for peptide quantification assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Tandem Mass Tagging Labeling. Isobaric labeling of digested protein lysates was performed using the 10-plex tandem mass tag (TMT) reagents (Thermo Fisher Scientific). TMT reagents (0.8 mg) were dissolved in 41 μl of dry acetonitrile (ACN), and 7.5 μl was added to 15 μg of digested lystate in 100 mM TEAB. After 1 hour incubation at room temperature, the reaction was quenched by adding 3 μl of 5% hydroxyamine. Labeled peptides were combined and dried for the subsequent high pH reverse phase peptide fractionation (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Eight fractions were generated for each combined set.

LC-MS/MS Analysis. Each TMT labeled fraction was reconstituted in a solution of 2%ACN, 2% formic acid (FA) for MS analysis. Peptides were eluted from the easy-spray column (50 cm) using a Dionex Ultimate 3000
Nano LC system with a 30 min gradient from 5% buffer B to 20% buffer B (100% ACN, 0.1% FA) and 10 min gradient from 20%B to 30%B. The gradient was switched from 30% to 85% buffer B over 1 min and held constant for 3 min. Finally, the gradient was changed from 85% buffer B to 98% buffer A (100% water, 0.1% FA) over 1 min, and then held constant at 98% buffer A for 20 minutes. The application of a 2.0 kV distal voltage electrosprayed the eluting peptides directly into the Thermo Fusion Tridiab mass spectrometer equipped with an EASY-Spray source (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Mass spectrometer-scanning functions and HPLC gradients were controlled by the Xcalibur data system (Thermo Finnigan, San Jose, CA).

For all experiments, the instrument was operated in the data-dependent mode. We collected FTMS1 spectra at a resolution of 120 K with an automated gain control (AGC) 400,000, a max injection time of 50 ms. The 10 most intense ions were selected for MS/MS. Precursors were filtered according to charge state (z = 2–7), and monoisotopic peak assignment. Previously interrogated precursors were excluded using a dynamic exclusion duration of 60 s. For the FTMS3 method, ITMS2 spectra were collected at AGC of 100,000, max injection time of 105 ms, and CID collision energy of 35%. FTMS3 spectra utilized the same Orbitrap parameters as FTMS2 method, except HCD collision energy was increased to 55% to ensure maximal TMT report ion yield. Synchronous-precursor-selection (SPS) was enabled to include up to 3, 6, or 10 MS2 fragment ions in the FTMS3 scan.

**Database Search and Assignment of MS/MS Spectra.** Tandem mass spectra from raw files were searched against a human protein database using the Proteome Discoverer 2.1 (Thermo Finnigan). The Proteome Discoverer application extracts relevant MS/MS spectra from the raw file and determines the precursor charge state and the quality of the fragmentation spectrum. The Proteome Discoverer probability-based scoring system rates the relevance of the best matches found by the SEQUEST algorithm. The human protein database was downloaded as FASTA-formatted sequences from UniProt protein database (released in October, 2016). The peptide mass search tolerance was set to 10 ppm. A minimum sequence length of 7 amino acids residues was required. Only fully tryptic peptides were considered. To calculate confidence levels and FDR, Proteome Discoverer generates a decoy database containing reverse sequences of the non-decoy protein database and performs the search against this concatenated database (non-decoy + decoy). 5% FDR was used to generate the quantitative list for statistical analysis.

**Determination of TMT Reporter Ion Intensities and Quantitative Data Analysis.** For quantification, a 0.003 m/z (10plex TMT) window centered on the theoretical m/z value of each reporter ion was queried for the nearest signal intensity. Reporter ion intensities were adjusted for the isotopic impurities of each TMT reagent according to the manufacture specifications. The signal-to-noise (S/N) values for all peptides were summed within each TMT channel, and each channel was scaled according to the inter channel difference of these sums to account for differences in sample handling. For each peptide, a total minimum S/N of 10 and an isolation purity greater than 75% was required. Total peptide amount was used for normalization to correct for experimental bias. Using the Qlucore Omics Explorer 2.2 (Qlucore AB, Lund, Sweden), ANOVA was applied to generate a protein signature from each comparison. Multiple test correction was performed by adjusting the calculated p values according to Benjamini-Hochberg method.

**Immunofluorescence assay in humanized mouse model.** Peripheral mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were isolated from blood samples of humanized mice (generated from 2 different human stem cell donors) by the Ficoll density gradient method. Whole blood samples were diluted to RPMI medium (Gibco, Waltham, MA), layered on Histopaque1083 (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), and centrifuged at 1220 rpm for 45 min. Theuffy coat of PBMCs was taken and washed with PBS. To label human CD45+ cells, the isolated PBMC cells were incubated with rat anti-human CD45 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 30 min and fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA) for 20 min. Cells were washed with PBS and cytospin (Cytospin 4; Thermo Scientific, Waltham, Boston, MA) onto coated microscope slides (CYTOSLIDE™; Thermo Scientific) and then placed into PBS. For candidate biomarker staining, cells on the slides were treated with 0.5% Triton-X 100, blocked with 3% bovine serum albumin (Sigma-Aldrich) and incubated with the following antibodies: rabbit anti-FDXR (Sigma-Aldrich), Alexa fluor 555 goat anti-rabbit IgG (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and Alexa fluor 488 goat anti-rat IgG (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Cells were counterstained and mounted with VECTASHIELD Antifade Mounting Medium with DAPI (Vector laboratories, Burlingame, CA). Quantification of FDXR protein levels was performed using image analysis software described previously with the following modification: human lymphocytes were identified and selected from binarized images for human CD45 using Otsu’s algorithm. Fluorescence values from a second image, corresponding to the immunostaining for FDXR, rabbit anti-CD45 (Thermo Fisher Scientific), rabbit anti-CD8 (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA), and Alexa fluor 488 goat anti-rabbit IgG. Fluorescence levels of candidate proteins and percentages of positive cells were calculated according to the manufacture specifications. The signal-to-noise (S/N) values for all peptides were summed within each TMT channel, and each channel was scaled according to the inter channel difference of these sums to account for differences in sample handling. For each peptide, a total minimum S/N of 10 and an isolation purity greater than 75% was required. Total peptide amount was used for normalization to correct for experimental bias. Using the Qlucore Omics Explorer 2.2 (Qlucore AB, Lund, Sweden), ANOVA was applied to generate a protein signature from each comparison. Multiple test correction was performed by adjusting the calculated p values according to Benjamini-Hochberg method.

**Immunofluorescence assay in human lymphocytes in vitro.** Research was approved by the Columbia University Medical Center Institutional Review Board (IRB-AAAF2671) and informed written consent was obtained from all participants. Peripheral whole blood samples from healthy human volunteers were collected in heparin tube and irradiated with γ rays (0 and 4 Gy) using a Gammacell 40 Cs irradiator (Atomic Energy of Canada, Ltd.). Peripheral blood was incubated in RPMI 1640 (Gibco) with 15% FBS and 2% Penicillin and Streptomycin at 37°C. PBMCs were isolated from cultured blood samples (n = 3 - 4) 3 days after radiation exposure and were prepared for immunofluorescent labeling using the same protocol described above in the humanized mice model. Cells were incubated with the following antibodies: rabbit anti-FDXR, rabbit anti-CD8 (Thermo Fisher Scientific), rabbit anti-CD45 (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and Alexa fluor 488 goat anti-rabbit IgG. Fluorescence levels of candidate proteins and percentages of positive cells were calculated according to the manufacture specifications. The signal-to-noise (S/N) values for all peptides were summed within each TMT channel, and each channel was scaled according to the inter channel difference of these sums to account for differences in sample handling. For each peptide, a total minimum S/N of 10 and an isolation purity greater than 75% was required. Total peptide amount was used for normalization to correct for experimental bias. Using the Qlucore Omics Explorer 2.2 (Qlucore AB, Lund, Sweden), ANOVA was applied to generate a protein signature from each comparison. Multiple test correction was performed by adjusting the calculated p values according to Benjamini-Hochberg method.
based on maximum pixel intensity were measured and fold changes compared to baseline level were calculated. Quantification of radiation-induced protein expression levels was determined in non-apoptotic cells only by the image analysis software program84, such that advanced apoptotic cells observed as a gross change in area and morphology of the DAPI-labeled nuclei, were not included for analysis.

**Statistical analysis.** Statistical analysis was performed using R software (version 3.4.0; https://www.r-project.org/) and GraphPad Prism (version 6.01; GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA). Protein expression levels of radiation responsive proteins identified in proteomic analysis were further analysed to find best candidate markers and panels. Correlation between irradiated dose and protein abundance were analysed by Pearson correlation coefficients. Multiple linear regression analysis was used to develop dose-response relationship for protein combination for dose assessment. The best fitted model for each parameter was applied to pooled dataset to estimate irradiation dose. We used Akaike Information Criterion (AIC)-based method to compare all single/combination models. AIC score, a corrected value of AIC for small sample size, and Akaike weight for each model was computed to determine the best model for dose prediction. The capability of candidates to predict dose was compared using mean absolute error (MAE) of predicted dose vs. actual dose. Possible influence by different experimental batches or cohorts were controlled by statistical analysis using batch variables or normalizing data with Succinate dehydrogenase [ubiquinone] flavoprotein subunit (SDHA), one of endogenous controls. There was no significant difference in SDHA level between different dose groups, and SDHA normalization did not affect the results obtained from original dataset. FDXR fluorescence intensity was compared by Kruskall-Wallis test and Dunn's post hoc test. Fold changes of each candidate protein were compared by Student's t-test. Two-tailed p values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**Data Availability**
All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article and its Supplementary Dataset & Information files.
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