In vivo and in vitro efficacy of a single dose of albendazole against hookworm infection in northwest Ethiopia: open-label trial
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Abstract

Background: Control of hookworm and other soil-transmitted helminth infections primarily relies on preventive chemotherapy using a single dose of albendazole/mebendazole drugs on high-risk groups. Herein, the efficacy of a single dose (400 mg) of albendazole (ALB) was investigated both in vivo and in vitro model in northwest Ethiopia.

Methods: An open-label, single-arm clinical trial was conducted to assess anti-hookworm effect of albendazole. Stool samples were collected and examined using McMaster and Harada-Mori filter paper culture. Eligible hookworm-infected patients were treated with a single dose of ALB. After 14–21 days post-treatment, stool samples were also taken again and re-examined using the abovementioned technique. Egg reduction rate (ERR) and larval motility were used as a therapeutic outcome measure. An independent t test was used to compare the mean difference in egg counts, and probit analysis was performed for calculating the lethal concentration dose of albendazole. P value < 0.05 at 95% CI was considered statistically significant.

Results: A total of 70 participants had completed the drug efficacy study. The efficacy of ALB against hookworm in terms of CR and ERR was 87% and 93%, respectively. Participants who had not eaten one or more hours prior to treatment had higher CR than those who had eaten within 1 h before treatment (97.4% vs 74.2%), while individuals with heavy infection intensity had a lower post-treatment ova clearing rate than those who were with light infection intensity (43% vs 94.6%). The in vitro larvicidal effect of ALB was 63–93% after applying 50–250 μg/ml concentration of ALB solution. The LC50 and LC99 were 152 μg/ml and 573 μg/ml, respectively.

Conclusion: A single dose of albendazole was found to be effective for treating hookworm infections according to WHO anthelminthic evaluation standard in the study area. Preventive chemotherapy might therefore be extended to risk groups, with proper continuous monitoring of its efficacy to strengthen and keep the ongoing control and prevention measures one step ahead.

Trial registration: This trial is retrospectively registered with www.pactr.org, number PACTR202010511829332 on October 26, 2020.
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Background

Soil-transmitted helminths (STH) infections (Ascaris lumbricoides, hookworm, and Trichuris trichiura) are the most common infections worldwide and disproportionately affect the poorest and most deprived communities with some of the highest burdens occurring in sub-Saharan Africa [1, 2]. Hookworms (Ancylostoma duodenale and Necator americanus) are the most important among the three big STHs and are responsible for 845, 000 disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) among the estimated 500 million infected people worldwide [3, 4]. Besides, it accounts for $7.5 billion to $138.9 billion productivity lost annually [3]. The burden of the disease is mainly attributed to morbidity, particularly anemia and malnutrition, rather than the mortality it causes [5, 6]. Hookworm infection is a recognized major cause of gastrointestinal blood loss and leads to iron, energy, protein, and zinc deficiency, particularly pronounced in children and pregnant women [7, 8]. As a result, it causes more subtle chronic health problems such as growth retardation, as well as intellectual and cognitive impairment in children, and adverse maternal-fetal outcomes in pregnant women [9, 10].

Although hookworm causes such adverse health outcomes, the magnitude of the problem has long been neglected because these outcomes rarely occur at a young age, due to its insidious and chronic nature [11]. Nowadays, unprecedentedly, many governmental agencies, donors, and international organizations have initiated to control the impact of hookworm and other neglected tropical diseases through improved water and environmental sanitation to reduce transmission and administer preventive chemotherapy to the three high-risk groups: preschool-aged children (PSAC), school-aged children (SAC), and women of reproductive age (WRA) to minimize adverse effects following high infection intensity [2, 11–14]. Despite the control approaches initiated by incorporating improved water and environmental sanitation strategies, the current focus has been exclusively on the approach of preventive chemotherapy with benzimidazole agents (albendazole/ mebendazole) targeting SAC mainly in developing countries through the education sector [12].

Hence, it would not reach for those who do not regularly attend the school, nor will it address the potentially significant adult reservoirs of infection within the targeted communities. In addition, the widespread distribution of benzimidazole agents may lead to resistance in human STH, the impact of which on global control efforts has not been carefully assessed. Since this drug has been used for more than three decades and a comparison of efficacy measures over time indicates a decreasing trend, although resistance has not yet been documented in human use. Experience from the veterinary sector demonstrated that anthelmintic drug resistance developed after years of large-scale monotherapy. If we are going to wait until resistance is clinically detected in humans, it will be too late to respond. In view of insufficient efficacy, coupled with the potential for resistance emergence from long-term use, there is an urgent need not only to develop new therapies against STH infections but also to optimize current treatment regimens [15–17].

Moreover, despite studies that revealed an inconsistent efficacy level of albendazole (400 mg) in terms of fecal egg reduction rate (FECRT/ERR) against hookworm, most of these studies assured its reduced efficacy status [17–20]. Although ERR is currently the only applicable and recommended unit to determine anthelmintic outcomes in humans, it lacks sensitivity when less than 25% of the helminth population carries a resistance gene, as is the case in the veterinary setting [21]. Therefore, the use of multiple diagnostic approaches to assess the outcome of anthelmintic is essential.

In addition, it is essential for the success of available control programs to track the efficacy of these drugs and to monitor parasite populations for possible rise of anthelmintic resistance (AR). Therefore, this study was designed to determine the current status of the efficacy of albendazole (400mg) against hookworm using both in vivo and in vitro methods.

Methods

Study design

An open-label, single-arm clinical trial was conducted from February 1, 2020, to March 30, 2020, at Adet Primary Hospital in Yilmana Denssa district, Northern Ethiopia. All outpatients who visited Adet Primary Hospital during the study period were invited to be enrolled in this study. This trial is retrospectively registered with www.pactr.org, number PACTR202010511829332 on October 26, 2020.

Intervention and origin of the drug

This study was primarily designed in a single treatment arm to assess the efficacy of a single dose of albendazole (400 mg) in vivo, while six different tube culture methods: one tube culture for positive control and the remaining five-tube culture with a different concentration of albendazole solutions were used to assess the in vitro larvicidal effect of the drug. The solid tablet of albendazole brand (Bendex, India, Cipla Limited, batch no: Cqt5djo11) with a label claim of 400 mg/tablet was obtained from Adet Primary Hospital in Yilmana Denssa district northwest Ethiopia, while the syrup albendazole drug (Bendex, India, Cipla Limited, Batch no: A390342) with a label claim of 10ml suspension was purchased...
from a private community pharmacy in Injibara town, Ethiopia.

**Eligibility criteria and sample size**

All hookworm-infected outpatients who agreed to comply with the study procedures, including provision of two adequate stool samples at baseline and at post-treatment follow-up assessments (approximately 2 weeks later). Patients whose age was above 2 years and who had a signed informed consent form from the participant him/herself or legal representative were included, as well as patients who had no underlying health problems. On the other hand, individuals who were under antihelminthic treatment within the last 6 weeks prior to data collection, pregnant women, participants taking medication with a known interaction (e.g., for albendazole: cimetidine, praziquantel, and dexamethasone), diarrhea, or vomiting at the time of first sampling and during treatment were also applied as exclusion criteria.

The intended sample size was calculated according to the WHO drug efficacy guideline [22]. A minimum of 50 participants positive for each of the targeted parasites was sufficient to evaluate the efficacy of the investigated drug. To obtain the minimum number of hookworm-positive cases, we used the following assumptions: a conservatively estimated compliance rate of 80% and an estimated prevalence of hookworms in the study area of 22% [23]. Additionally, considering the potential loss to follow-up, a non-response rate of 20% was added. Finally, 340 patients were considered for screening to get the minimum required sample size. A convenience sampling technique was used until the required sample size was reached.

**Data collection and laboratory procedures**

Before the commencement of the study, training was given to laboratory professionals on how to collect data from study participants and how to assure the quality of data while they were collecting the data. Afterward, a questionnaire that was developed according to the WHO efficacy assessment guideline to collect participants’ socio-demographic characteristics and expected factors that might affect the efficacy of the drug were administered to the study participant. A sterile and leak-proof stool container labeled with the participants’ unique identification number was given to each study participant and asked to provide approximately 10 mg of a fresh stool sample. Participants were reminded to avoid any possible contamination while they were collecting the required stool sample. Each stool sample was immediately examined in the hospital laboratory to avoid any possible sample delay.

Direct wet mount and McMaster diagnostic techniques were used to detect the presence of hookworm eggs or larvae in the stool. The McMaster diagnostic technique was used to quantify fecal egg intensity, which is a standard reference method for evaluating drug efficacy in veterinary parasitology and has recently been used in human parasitology [22, 24]. In addition, microscopically confirmed stool samples for hookworm were placed on Harada-Mori filter paper in Adet Hospital Laboratory. Then, the stool culture was transported to Bahir Dar Amhara Public Health Institute (APHI) to assess the growth of hookworm larvae. Moreover, the in vitro larvicidal effect of albendazole was assessed by applying different Albendazole concentrations.

**In vitro development of hookworm larva**

Fresh stool samples were collected and cultured for 7–10 days using the Harada-Mori test tube filter paper culture technique. One gram of feces was smeared in narrow 13 × 120 mm filter paper strip and placed in a 15-ml conical centrifuge tube containing about 5-ml distilled water. The distilled water was placed under the fecal spot (smeread feces). The feces were not soaked or washed into the bottom of the test tube. The test tubes were labeled using the marker tape and stood vertically in the test tube rack. The culture tube was covered with a tube lid and kept in an incubator at 25–28 °C for a maximum of 7–10 days and examined under low power magnification (10×) for emerging of hookworm larvae [25, 26].

**Drug administration and follow-up**

After performing all required parasitological and individual participant data collection, participants who had microscopically confirmed hookworm infections underwent further clinical examination to ensure their eligibility for this study by senior nurses. Participants who were infected with other parasitic infections were linked to a physician to have them treated with appropriate medications according to the treatment guideline. On the other hand, eligible participants who had microscopically confirmed hookworm infections were treated with a single dose of albendazole (400mg). Participants were informed of the side effects to be expected after albendazole administration such as headache, fever, nausea, vomiting, stomach pain, dizziness, and transient hair loss.

Each participant was also reminded to report post-treatment medical complaints to the principal investigator by calling or visiting the nearby health facility. Each treated participant was asked to return at after 14–21 days post-treatment to provide a second stool sample. During the follow-up of the stool samples’ collection period, participants were asked about any medical discomfort following administering the drug. A participant who vomited within 4 h of drug administration or a participant who had diarrhea at the time of first sampling was not included in the final analysis. The McMaster
In vitro assessment of the larvicidal effect of albendazole
Five different concentrations of albendazole solution were used in this study (50 μg/ml, 100 μg/ml, 150 μg/ml, 200 μg/ml, and 250 μg/ml). Six tube cultures were prepared for each microscopically confirmed hookworm stool sample. Tube 1 served as positive control and the other five were used to assess the effect of the drug on hookworm larvae with different concentrations of albendazole. Once the larva was confirmed as hookworm parasite, four milliliters of various concentrations of albendazole or distilled water (as a control) was added to the bottom end of each tube at 25 °C. We used 20 mg/ml of 20 ml albendazole syrup to prepare these albendazole solutions [27]. Then, 1 ml of 20mg/ml syrup was diluted by 49-ml distilled water and it gave 50 ml of 400 μg/ml ABZ. The test concentrations (250 μg/ml, 200 μg/ml, 150 μg/ml, 100 μg/ml, and 50 μg/ml) were prepared as follows: first of all, 3.5ml, 3ml, 2.5ml, 2ml, and 1.5ml from 5ml of the supernatant of the culture containing hookworm larvae were discarded. The remaining volume of the culture (the bottom of the tube) was 1.5 ml, 2ml, 2.5ml, 3ml, and 3.5ml, respectively. Then, 2.5 ml, 2ml, 1.5ml, 1ml, and 0.5 ml were taken from 50ml of 400 μg/ml and had been added on the respected volumes of the cultures, respectively. This finally gave us 4ml of 250 μg/ml, 200 μg/ml, 150 μg/ml, 100 μg/ml, 50 μg/ml, respectively. Thereafter, all of the cultures were incubated for 48 h at 25–28 °C and the effect of these albendazole solutions on the motility of hookworm larvae were observed microscopically.

Outcome measures
Fecal egg reduction rate (ERR), which is the primary outcome measure, was used to assess the therapeutic outcome of albendazole against hookworm infection. Besides, cure rate (CR) of albendazole was used qualitatively as a secondary outcome measure against hookworm infection.

The CR and ERR were calculated using the following mathematical formula:

\[
\text{CR} = \frac{\text{Number of participants infected with Hookworm who were cured}}{\text{number of infected subjects who were treated}} \times 100\%
\]

\[
\text{ERR} = \left[ 1 - \frac{\text{mean at follow-up}}{\text{Mean at baseline}} \right] \times 100\%
\]

The drug efficacy status in terms of CR and ERR was evaluated according to the WHO guideline [22]. Moreover, the motility of the larva was used to assess the larvicidal effect of the drug using different concentrations.

Quality control
The reliability of the study finding was ensured by applying quality control measures on the whole process of the laboratory work (pre-analytical, analytical, and post-analytical quality control steps were followed). Known negative and positive control samples were used to check the functionality of the microscope and selected culture media used during the study period. Moreover, data collectors and laboratory technicians were supervised by the principal investigators while they were performing their assigned tasks.

Data management and analysis
All registered data in the data collection sheet during the study period were transferred to Epi data software to check its completeness and clearance and transferred to SPSS software for further statistical analysis. Only participants who had completed data set in both baseline and follow-up survey (per-protocol analysis) were included in the final efficacy assessment. Descriptive statistics were used to analyze the socio-demographic characteristics of the study participants. Pearson’s chi-square was also used to show the association of study variables.

Moreover, an independent t test was performed to compare the mean difference in fecal egg count and probit analysis was used for calculating the LC dose of albendazole. P value < 0.05 at 95% CI was considered statistically significant.

Results
Socio-demographic characteristic and prevalence of hookworm
A total of 340 individuals were involved during baseline screening. Of these, 194 (57%) were males. The overall prevalence of hookworm infection among the outpatients in the study area during the study period was 25% (85/340). Fortunately, all 85 hookworm-infected participants fulfilled all the required eligibility criteria of the study and were treated with a single dose of albendazole (400 mg). Among participants who received the treatment, only 70 participants had completed the follow-up period and included in the final efficacy analysis. The remaining 15 treated participants were absent during the follow-up data collection time frame.

The mean age of participants who enrolled in the final analysis was 38.74 ± 16.2 SD years with a minimum of 5 years and maximum of 73 years. Socio-demographic characteristics of participants are summarized in Table 1.
Following a single oral dose of albendazole, 11.5% (8/70) and 8.32; \( P=0.008 \) of participants who had taken the drug 1 h after meal had a lower CR of 74.2% (\( X^2 = 8.32; \( P=0.008 \)).

In vitro larvicidal effect of albendazole on hookworm

After the application of different concentrations of albendazole on the larvae stage, the lowest and highest mortality rates were observed at 50 and 250 \( \mu \)g/ml of the drug, respectively. The 50 \( \mu \)g/ml of albendazole resulted in 93% (65 of 70) of the larval death. The 250 \( \mu \)g/ml (Table 5). This study showed that the larval mortality rate increased with an increasing albendazole concentration (Fig. 1).

Discussion

Although hookworm is being treatable and preventable, its effect continues to have a devastating impact on people’s health in Ethiopia. The national control program is successfully mapped to achieve the elimination of those parasitic diseases in 2020 and aim to attain transmission break by 2025 using either a single dose of albendazole (400mg) or mebendazole (500mg) [28, 29]. As a result, a single dose of albendazole (400mg) is one of the extensively and frequently used anthelminthic drug in the mass drug administration (MDA) campaign for treating hookworm and other STH infections throughout the country. Such frequent and extensive use of the drug may lead to the development of drug tolerance and resistance. Thus, it is a call for continuous monitoring of the therapeutic efficacy of a single dose of albendazole.

Associated factors that affect albendazole efficacy against hookworm

In our finding, participants’ age, gender, area of residence, types of food, water source, and co-infection were not associated with the efficacy of the drug. However, baseline fecal worm intensities and feeding time were significantly associated with the efficacy status of the drug. Of the study participants who were with heavy infection intensities at the baseline investigation, 43% were cured after treatment, while 94.6% of study participants who were under light infection were cured (\( X^2 =14.12; \ P<0.001 \)). Moreover, the CR was higher (97.4%) for those of the study participants who had taken the drug 1 h before meal. In contrast, study participants who had taken the drug 1 h after meal had a lower CR of 74.2% (\( X^2 = 8.32; \ P=0.008 \)).

### Table 1 Socio-demographic characteristics of study participants’ attending Adet Primary Hospital from February 1 to March 30, 2020 EC

| Variables         | Frequency | Percent (%) |
|-------------------|-----------|-------------|
| Sex               |           |             |
| Male              | 38        | 54.3        |
| Female            | 32        | 45.7        |
| Age               |           |             |
| ≤ 15              | 5         | 7           |
| 16–30             | 20        | 28.6        |
| 31–45             | 22        | 31.4        |
| >45               | 23        | 33          |
| Residence         |           |             |
| Urban             | 24        | 34.4        |
| Rural             | 46        | 65.6        |
| Educational status|           |             |
| Diploma and above | 7         | 10          |
| Secondary level   | 12        | 17.1        |
| Primary level     | 17        | 24.3        |
| No formal education | 34      | 48.6        |
| Marital status    |           |             |
| Single            | 14        | 20          |
| Married           | 51        | 73          |
| Other             | 5         | 7           |
| Occupation        |           |             |
| Farmer            | 42        | 60          |
| Merchant           | 4         | 5.7         |
| House wife        | 10        | 14.3        |
| Student           | 14        | 20          |

In vivo efficacy of a single dose of albendazole against hookworm infection

After 14 days of post-treatment, CR was found to be 87% (95% CI 78.6–94.3%), while the ERR was 93% (95% CI 90–97%). In terms of infection intensity, out of 70 study participants were involved in the final analysis, 37 (53%), 26 (37%), and 7 (10%) of them had light, moderate, and heavy infection intensity, respectively.

Despite 87% (61/70) of participants were cured following a single dose of albendazole, 11.5% (8/70) and 1.4% (1/70) of participants remained under light and moderate intensity of infection, respectively. The arithmetic mean of fecal egg count (FEC) of hookworm infection at baseline was 2027 epg (95% CI 1764–2285) with a minimum of 500 EPG and a maximum of 9700 EPG.

The intensity of hookworm infections in terms of arithmetic means was reduced to 142 epg (95% CI 48–265) with a minimum of 0 EPG and a maximum of 2000 EPG following 2 weeks post-treatment. A statistical significant difference in mean egg reduction (1885 EPG) was observed following treatment (95% CI 1580–2190, (\( P \leq 0.001 \)). Infection intensity at baseline was found to be the most significant factor with a considerable effect on the CR of hookworm. Most of the study participants who had heavy infection intensities were not cured following a single oral dose of albendazole.

Associated factors that affect albendazole efficacy against hookworm

In our finding, participants’ age, gender, area of residence, types of food, water source, and co-infection were not associated with the efficacy of the drug. However, baseline fecal worm intensities and feeding time were significantly associated with the efficacy status of the drug. Of the study participants who were with heavy infection intensities at the baseline investigation, 43% were cured after treatment, while 94.6% of study participants who were under light infection were cured (\( X^2 = 14.12; \ P<0.001 \)). Moreover, the CR was higher (97.4%) for those of the study participants who had taken the drug 1 h before meal. In contrast, study participants who had taken the drug 1 h after meal had a lower CR of 74.2% (\( X^2 = 8.32; \ P=0.008 \)).
and inconsistent findings regarding the therapeutic outcomes of albendazole against hookworm infections might be related to the distinct brands of albendazole tested to assess its therapeutic outcomes. Available evidence assured that different brand formulation alters the bioequivalence of a certain drug due to the impact of used excipients and inactive substances that could modify the ability of the active drug component to go into solution [32]. Moreover, the efficacy of albendazole (400mg) in terms of ERR (93%) in this study was lower than the finding reported in Wondogenet, southern Ethiopia, ERR (99.8%) [33]. This discrepancy might be due to a difference in the laboratory method (procedure) used and variation in study participants. McMaster diagnostic technique was used in the current study, unlike in Wondogenet that was Kato-Katz method. Moreover, this study enrolled study participants whose age was greater than 2 years in addition to the school-aged children in contrast to the after mentioned study. Our finding also revealed lower efficacy status of albendazole against hookworm infections compared with a previous study conducted in Jimma, southwest Ethiopia [34]. The variation in the brand of albendazole might be the possible factor for the inconsistency of its therapeutic outcome. Hence, different brands of albendazole may contain distinct additive substances that facilitate treatment efficacy. In this study, each participant has received a single brand of albendazole, unlike the study done in Jimma. Similarly, the present finding showed a lower efficacy than a study conducted in three STH-endemic countries (Ethiopia, Lao PDR, and Tanzania) by using five laboratory diagnostic methods. The overall single dose of albendazole efficacy in Ethiopia and Lao PDR was within the range of 93.6 to 99.3% [35]. This inconsistency might be due to different diagnostic technique used in the two studies. Alternatively, our result (CR =87% (95% CI 78.6–94.3%) and ERR =93% (95% CI 90–97%)) was consistent with other study conducted from seven endemic countries including Ethiopia (87.8% and 94.8%) [36]. Moreover, this finding was consistent with studies conducted in Gabon (92%) and Tanzania (79.6 to 90.3%) [35, 37]. However, our study result was higher than studies conducted in Ghana, Leo PDR, and China to evaluate the efficacy of a single dose of albendazole on hookworm infections. The overall CR ranged from 35 to 69% and ERR 61 to 90.7% [17, 18, 38, 39].

Statistically significant difference in the reduction of mean egg count was observed between pre-and post-treatment periods [40]. Moreover, although there is a significant reduction of the mean FEC (1885 EPG) after treatment (95% CI 1580–2190, \( P < 0.000 \)), the baseline infection intensity has significant effect on the overall efficacy status of the drug (Table 2) [36]. In this study, participants who were with heavy infection intensity at baseline had lower CR (43%) \( (X^2 = 14.12; P < 0.001) \) than those who had light infection intensity with CR of

![Fig. 1 In vitro dose response curve of different albendazole concentration against hookworm larvae death](image)

| Infection intensity during baseline | Curative status of respondents | Total |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|
|                                  | Cure | Percent | Non-cure | Count | Percent |
| Low                               | 35   | 94.6%   | 2        | 5.4%  | 37/100% |
| Moderate                          | 23   | 88.5%   | 3        | 11.5% | 26/100% |
| Heavy                             | 3    | 43%     | 4        | 57%   | 7/100%  |
| Total                             | 61   | 87%     | 9        | 13%   | 70/100% |
(94.6%) after treatment. This could indicate that a single dose of albendazole may not be sufficient to clear hookworm during heavy infection intensity (Table 3).

Although there is no recommended guideline regarding anthelminthic drug administration in relation to feeding time, the current study highlighted that it was found to be significant factor for the efficacy of the drug. This finding revealed that participants who took the drug 1 h after meal had low curative status (CR=72.4%) than participants who took the drug 1 h before meal (97.4%). Patients who had fasted an hour before treatment were significantly more likely to be cured of hookworm ($X^2=8.32; P=0.008$) (Table 4). This finding is supported by a study conducted among school children [17] and revealed children who have not eaten at least 6h before treatment had a cure rate of (CR= 90%), while, participants who did more recently had a cure rate of (CR =59%). This might be due to the simultaneous taking of food with the drug may limit the absorption and bioavailability of the active ingredients of the drug. In this regard, existing evidence in the veterinary area assured that not only feeding time but also food type and composition could significantly influence the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of albendazole drugs in association with its poor solubility nature of the drug. The reduced amount of food intake and longtime starvation significantly increase the bioavailability and pharmacokinetics of albendazole, respectively, and this might have led to significant increase in anthelminthic efficacy [41]. Moreover, the presence of food in the stomach affects the bioavailability of a certain drug by preventing the absorption process, and it is assured through the decrease $C_{\text{max}}$ and increase $T_{\text{max}}$ status [42].

Moreover, the present study has presented important findings about the in vitro larvicidal effect of albendazole using Harada Mori tube filter paper culture by applying different concentrations of albendazole solution on the larval stage of hookworm (Table 5). Following the application of the different concentrations of albendazole solution namely 50 $\mu$g/ml, 100 $\mu$g/ml, 150 $\mu$g/ml, 200 $\mu$g/ml, and 250 $\mu$g/ml, about 44 (63%; 95% CI 51.4–74.3%), 49 (70%; 95% CI 58.6–80%), 57 (81.4%; 95% CI 73–90%), 61 (87%; 95% CI 78.6–94.3%), and 65 (93%; 95% CI 87.1–98.6%) of the stool culture had observed with dead or non-motile larvae, respectively. In contrast, none of the larvae from the control groups neither died nor lost their viability. The 50% and 99% LC of albendazole against hookworm were 152 $\mu$g/ml and 573 $\mu$g/ml, respectively. This indicated a dose-response relationship as the concentration of albendazole increase; the larvicidal effect was also increased. In our finding, assessment of the in vitro larvicidal effect of albendazole suggest that the possibility of providing supportive evidence on the susceptibility of hookworm parasite following albendazole treatment. Moreover, the in vitro larvicidal assessment highlighted its importance for larva cultivation for

| Variables          | Outcome of treatment | Chi-square | P value |
|--------------------|----------------------|------------|---------|
| Sex                |                      |            |         |
| Male               | Cured, n(%) 37(52.8) Not cured, n(%) 2(2.90) 4.69 0.68 |
| Female             | Cured, n(%) 24(34.3) Not cured, n(%) 7(10.0) |
| Age in years       |                      |            |         |
| <15                | Cured, n(%) 3(4.3) Not cured, n(%) 2(2.9) 3.7 0.29 |
| 16–30              | Cured, n(%) 18(25.7) Not cured, n(%) 2(2.9) |
| 31–45              | Cured, n(%) 20(28.6) Not cured, n(%) 2(2.9) |
| >45                | Cured, n(%) 20(28.6) Not cured, n(%) 3(4.30) |
| Feeding status     |                      |            |         |
| Fasting            | Cured, n(%) 55(78.6) Not cured, n(%) 9(12.9) 0.968 0.62 |
| Non-fasting        | Cured, n(%) 6(8.6) Not cured, n(%) 0(0) |
| Co-infection       |                      |            |         |
| Yes                | Cured, n(%) 22(31.4) Not cured, n(%) 4(5.70) 0.24 0.62 |
| No                 | Cured, n(%) 39(55.7) Not cured, n(%) 5(7.10) |
| Infection intensity|                      |            |         |
| Light              | Cured, n(%) 35(50) Not cured, n(%) 2(2.9) 14.13 0.001 |
| Moderate           | Cured, n(%) 23(32.9) Not cured, n(%) 3(4.3) |
| Heavy              | Cured, n(%) 3(4.3) Not cured, n(%) 4(5.70) |
| RX taking time     |                      |            |         |
| 1 h before meal    | Cured, n(%) 38(54.3) Not cured, n(%) 1(1.40) 8.33 0.008 |
| 1 h after meal     | Cured, n(%) 23(32.9) Not cured, n(%) 8(11.4) |

Table 3 Hookworm infection intensity and egg reduction rates in pre- and post-treatment periods among study participants

| Treatment status | Infection intensity, n(%) | Mean EPG | Egg reduction rate |
|------------------|---------------------------|----------|--------------------|
|                  | Light         Moderate     Heavy |
| Pre-treatment    | 37(53)        26(37)      7(10)  2027  93% |
| Post-treatment   | 8(88.9)       1(11.1)     0(0)  142   |

Table 4 Associated factors with cure rate of hookworm among patients (n=70)
several studies. Besides, it indicates its diagnostic role for hookworm infection.

Generally, despite a single dose of albendazole showed better efficacy outcome for treating light hookworm infection intensity, individuals with a heavy intensity of infection remained under question. The other interesting finding of this study is that the in vitro parasite cultivation may give us a green light to use it as an alternative diagnostic approach for estimating the outcomes of anthelminthic drugs. Although the in vitro model for assessing the efficacy status of albendazole could be considered as the strength of this study, optimization of the albendazole dose concentration was not standardized since there was neither a previous study nor a standard guideline. Hence, we recommend further investigation on the in vitro larvicidal effect of albendazole analysis using different types of albendazole solutions.

### Conclusion

In conclusion, the present study showed that single dose of albendazole is effective for the treatment of hookworm infections. As a result, our finding encourages the use of single dose of albendazole (400mg) as preventive chemotherapy with proper monitoring of its therapeutic outcome. In addition, the in vitro parasite cultivation may give us a green light to use it as an alternative diagnostic approach for estimating the outcomes of anthelminthic drugs. The study also added evidence regarding the significance of feeding time and baseline infection intensity on the therapeutic outcome of albendazole, and could be better if it take in to consideration during treatment program in the study area and other endemic setting.

### Table 5  In vitro hookworm larva killing effect of albendazole

| Conc. (µg/ml) | # of exposed larvae | # dead larvae | LC | Mean conc. (µg/ml) | 95% CI Lower | 95% CI Upper |
|---------------|---------------------|---------------|----|-------------------|-------------|-------------|
| 50            | 70                  | 44            | 5  | 59.9              | 0.16        | 111.9       |
| 100           | 70                  | 49            | 15 | 84.4              | 1.07        | 137         |
| 150           | 70                  | 57            | 20 | 94.3              | 1.94        | 146.5       |
| 200           | 70                  | 61            | 50 | 152.3             | 24.37       | 201.5       |
| 250           | 70                  | 57            | 60 | 175.9             | 50.52       | 229.2       |
| 100           | 70                  | 61            | 70 | 205.4             | 102.00      | 283.4       |
| 150           | 70                  | 65            | 75 | 223.7             | 139.20      | 346.1       |
| 200           | 70                  | 61            | 80 | 246.1             | 176.70      | 480.1       |
| 250           | 70                  | 65            | 90 | 316.2             | 241.51      | 1557        |
| 300           | 70                  | 65            | 95 | 388.9             | 282.00      | 4557        |
| Control       | 70                  | 0             | 99 | 573.477           | 360         | 55823       |

### Abbreviations

ALB: Albendazole; AP: Agar plate; AR: Anthelminthic resistance; BZ: Benzimidazole; CR: Cure rate; DALYs: Disability-adjusted life years; EPG: Eggs per gram; ERR: Egg reduction rate; FEC: Fecal egg count; IPI: Intestinal parasitic infection; KK: Kato-Katz; LC: Lethal concentration; LMA: Larval motility assay; MDA: Mass drug administration; STH: Soil-transmitted helminths; WHO: World Health Organization

### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the University of Gondar for financial support for this research (grant reference number R/T/T/C/Eng 58/03/2018). We are grateful to Amhara Public Health Institute (APHI), Adet Hospital Laboratory staff, data collectors, and study participants.

### Authors’ contributions

WB conceptualized the study and contributed to the format of the data collection tools, field data collection, and analysis. MA contributed to the study protocol, development of the data collection tools, supervision, and analysis. AJZ was involved in conceptualizing the study, development of the data collection tools, supervision, and data analysis. YT contributed to the format of the data collection tools, field data collection, and supervision. TE was involved in protocol development, statistical analysis, and first manuscript draft. AD, AA, and MB were involved in the development study protocol, field data collection, and statistical analysis. All authors critically reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

### Funding

The research was funded by the University of Gondar, Ethiopia.

### Availability of data and materials

The data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this manuscript. Other data will be available from the corresponding author upon request.

### Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethical clearance was obtained from the Ethical and Review Committee of School of Biomedical and Laboratory Sciences, College of Medicine and Health Sciences University of Gondar, Ethiopia. Permission and support letters were also obtained from the district health office and hospital administration. Written informed consent was obtained from each study participant and from legal guardians for participants whose ages were under 18 after verbal assent was sought from each individual. Moreover, a thumbprint was also taken from each participant who was unable to read and write after having been read the full informed consent form by a data collector.
References
1. World Health Organization. Soil-transmitted helminth infections. 2020. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/soil-transmitted-helminth-infections. Accessed 10 Feb 2021.
2. World Health Organization. Guideline: preventive chemotherapy to control soil-transmitted helminth infections in at-risk population groups Guideline. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2017. https://www.who.int/nutrition/publications/guidelines/deworming/en/. Accessed 24 January 2021.
3. Bartsch SM, Hotez PJ, Asti L, Zapf KM, Bottazzi ME, Diemert DJ, et al. The global economic and health burden of human hookworm infection. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2016;10(9):e0004922.
4. Kyu HH, Abate D, Abate KH, Abay SM, Abbafati C, Abbasi N, et al. Global, regional, and national disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs) for 359 diseases and injuries and healthy life expectancy (HALE) for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. Lancet. 2018;392(10159):1859–922.
5. Weller PF, Leder K. Hookworm infection. UpToDate. 2019; Available from: https://www.uptodate.com/contents/hookworm-infection. Accessed 7 November 2020.
6. Hotez P. Hookworm and Poverty. Am N Y Acad Sci. 2008;1136(1):38–44.
7. Samuel F. Status of soil-transmitted helminths infection in Ethiopia. Am J Health Res. 2015;3(1):70.
8. Tang YT, Gao X, Rosa BA, Abubucker S, Hallsworth-Pepin K, Martin J, et al. Genome of the human hookworm Necator americanus. Nat Genet. 2014;46(3):261–9.
9. Hotez PJ, Bethony J, Bottazzi ME, Brooker S, Buss P. Hookworm: “the great infection of mankind.” PLoS Med. 2006;3(2):e67.
10. Hossain M, Mdul B. Hookworm infection: a neglected tropical disease of mankind. J Infect Mol Biol. 2016;4(2):24–43.
11. Tchuenté Tchuem LA. Control of soil-transmitted helminths in sub-Saharan Africa: Diagnosis, drug efficacy concerns and challenges. Acta Trop. 2011;120(54–11).
12. Becker SL, Liwanag HJ, Snyder JS, Akogun O, Belizario V, Freeman MC, et al. Toward the 2020 goal of soil-transmitted helminths control and elimination. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2018;12(8):e0006606.
13. Montessori A, Gabrielli AF, Chitsulo L, Khimori K, Mariotti S, Engels D, et al. Preventive chemotherapy and the fight against neglected tropical diseases. Exp Rev Anti Infect Ther. 2012;10(2):237–42.
14. Qin G, Zhuang X. The expansion of soil-transmitted helminth control strategies. Lancet. 2017;389(10085):2190–1.
15. Freeman MC, Akogun O, Belizario V, Brooker SJ, Gyorkos TW, Imtiaz R, et al. Challenges and opportunities for control and elimination of soil-transmitted helminth infection beyond 2020. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2019;13(4):e0007201.
16. Patel C, Hürlimann E, Keller L, Hattendorf J, Sayasone S, Ali SM, et al. Efficacy and safety of ivermectin and albendazole co-administration in school-aged children and adults infected with Trichuris trichiura: study protocol for a multi-country randomized controlled double-blind trial. BMC Infect Dis. 2019;19(1):262.
17. Humphries D, Nguyen S, Kumar S, Quagraine JE, Otchere J, Harrison LM, et al. Effectiveness of albendazole for hookworm varies widely by community and correlates with nutritional factors: a cross-sectional study of school-age children in Ghana. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2017;96(2):347–54.
18. Soukhathammavong PA, Sayasone S, Phongluxa K, Kaysaeng V, Utzinger J, Vounatsou P, et al. Low efficacy of single-dose albendazole and mebendazole against hookworm and effect on concomitant helminth infection in Laos. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2012;6(1):e1417.
19. Steinmann P, Utzinger J, Du Z-W, Jiang J-Y, Chen J-X, Hattendorf J, et al. Efficacy of Single-Dose and Triple-Dose Albendazole and mebendazole against soil-transmitted helminths and Taenia spp.: a randomized controlled trial. PLoS One. 2011;6(9):e25003.
20. Krücken J, Fraudendorf K, Mugisha JC, Ramünke S, Siffth KC, Geus D, et al. Reduced efficacy of albendazole against Ascaris lumbricoides in Rwandan schoolchildren. Int J Parasitol Drugs Drug Resist. 2017;7(3):262–71.
21. Idika IK, Ezeudu TA, Eze UI, Aneke CJ, Nwosu CO, Onah DN, et al. In vivo and in vitro efficacy of albendazole against canine ancylostomosis: a possible presence of anthelmintic resistance in Nigerian local breed of dogs. Res J Parasitol. 2016;11(1):20–6.
22. World Health Organization. Assessing the efficacy of anthelmintic drugs against schistosomiasis and soil-transmitted helminthiasis. Geneva: World Health Organ. 2013; https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/79019. Accessed 20 January 2020.
23. Feleke BE. Epidemiology of Hookworm Infection in the School-age Children: A Comparative Cross-sectional Study. Iran J Parasitol. 2018;13(4):560.
24. Levecke B, Behnke JM, Ajampur SSR, Albonico M, Amo SM, Charlier J, et al. A comparison of the sensitivity and fecal egg counts of the McMaster egg counting and Kato-Katz thick smear methods for soil-transmitted helminths. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2011;5(6):e1201.
25. Dunn JC, Betti AA, Wyine NY, Lwin AMM, Tun A, Maung NS, et al. Soil-transmitted helminth reinfection four and six months after mass drug administration: results from the delta region of Myanmar. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2019;13(2):e0006591.
26. Okolie NC. Application of Haradi Moris culture method for differentiating hookworm species in two major cities of Southern Nigeria. Res J Med Sci. 2007;1(16–20.
27. Kotze AC, Clifford S, O’Grady J, Behnke JM, McCarthy JS. An in vitro larval motility assay to determine anthelmintic sensitivity for human hookworm and Strongyloides species. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2004;71(5):608–16.
28. Negussu N, Mengistu B, Berdebe B, Dersie K, Egju E, Tadesse G, et al. Ethiopia schistosomiasis and soil-transmitted helminthes control programme: Progress and Prospects. Ethiop Med J. 2017;55(suppl 1):75–80.
29. Leta GT, Mekete K, Wuletaw Y, Gebretsadik A, Sime H, Mekasha S, et al. National mapping of soil-transmitted helminth and schistosome infections in Ethiopia. Parasit Vectors. 2020;13(1):347.
30. Keiser J, Utzinger J. Efficacy of current drugs against soil-transmitted helminths infections: systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA. 2008;299(16):1937–48.
31. Bennett A, Guyatt H. Reducing intestinal nematode infection: efficacy of albendazole and mebendazole. Parasitol Today. 2000;16(2):71–7.
32. Sandritter TL, McLaughlin M, Amran M, Lowry J. The interplay between pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics. Pediatr Rev. 2017;38(5):195–206.
33. Samuel F, Degarege A, Erko B. Efficacy and side effects of albendazole currently in use against Ascaris, Trichuris and hookworm among school children in Wondo Genet, southern Ethiopia. Parasitol Int. 2014;63(2):450–5.
34. Belew S, Getachew M, Suleman S, Mohammed T, Deti H, D’Hondt M, et al. Assessment of efficacy and quality of two albendazole brands commonly used against soil-transmitted helminths infection in school children in Jimma Town, Ethiopia. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2015;9:e0004557.
35. Varmind J, Cools P, Albonico M, Ame S, Ayana M, Cringoli G, et al. Therapeutic efficacy of albendazole against soil-transmitted helminths in children measured by five diagnostic methods. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2019;13(8):e0007471.
36. Verwijst JS, Behnke JM, Albonico M, Amo SM, Angebault C, Bethony JM, et al. Assessment of the anthelmintic efficacy of albendazole in school children in seven countries where soil-transmitted helminths are endemic. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2011;5(3):e000488.
37. Adegnika AA, Zinsou JF, Issifou S, Ateba-Ngoa U, Kassa RF, Feugap EN, et al. Effectiveness of albendazole for hookworm and soil-transmitted helminths control in schoolchildren. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2017;11(3):e0005565.
38. Jaske EL. An assessment of hookworm infection and albendazole treatment failure among children ages 7-12 in Kintampo North Municipality. Ghana: Public Health Theses; 2014.
39. Taylor-Robinson DC, Maayan N, Donegan S, Chaplin M, Garner P. Public health deworming programs for soil-transmitted helminths in children living in endemic areas. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2019;9.
40. Tefera E, Belay T, Mekonnen SK, Zeynudin A, Belachew T. Therapeutic efficacy of different brands of albendazole against soil transmitted helminths among students of Mendera Elementary School, Jimma, Southwest Ethiopia. Pan Afr Med J. 2015;22:252.

41. Klížová-Forstová V, Lamka J, Cvilink V, Hanušová V, Skálová L. Factors affecting pharmacokinetics of benzimidazole anthelmintics in food-producing animals: The consequences and potential risks. Res Vet Sci. 2011; 91(3):333–41.

42. Davit BM, Conner DP. Food effects on drug bioavailability: implications for new and generic drug development. Biopharmaceutics Applications in Drug Development. Boston: Springer US; 2008. p. 317–35.

Publisher's Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.