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Abstract

Background: The itAlian pRospective Study on CANGrELoR (ARCANGELO) was aimed to assess the safety of using cangrelor during percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) in patients with acute coronary syndromes (ACS) in the daily practice.

Hypothesis: The safety of cangrelor after the transition to oral P2Y12 inhibitors was evaluated as the incidence of bleeding outcomes in the 30 days following PCI according to postauthorization safety study guidelines.

Methods: Adults with ACS who were treated with cangrelor in one of the 28 centers involved in the study. Patients who consented to participate were followed in the 30 days following their PCI. Bleedings (Bleeding Academic Research Consortium [BARC] classification), major adverse cardiac events (MACEs), and adverse events were recorded. The interim results at two-thirds of the enrollment period are presented.

Results: A total of 17 bleedings were observed in the 320 patients who completed the study at this stage. All bleedings were classified as BARC Type 1–2, except for one case of Type 3a (vessel puncture site hematoma). Four patients experienced MACEs (2 acute myocardial infarctions, 1 sudden cardiac death, 1 noncardiovascular death due to respiratory distress, and multiorgan failure). None of the bleedings was rated as related to cangrelor.

Conclusions: The interim results of the ARCANGELO study provide a preliminary confirmation that the use of cangrelor on patients with ACS undergoing PCI is not associated with severe bleedings.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Acute coronary syndromes (ACS) are widely and successfully treated with percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) with stent implantation.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^5\) Despite their undoubted effectiveness, oral P2Y12 receptor inhibitors\(^4\)\(^-\)\(^7\) have several limitations when they are used for the urgent or periprocedural treatment of patients with cardiovascular disease who may undergo PCI, including a delayed onset of action.\(^8\) These limitations are critical in patients in the acute phase of cardiovascular illness, who may be sedated, intubated, in shock, or have nausea, impaired absorption, or impaired perfusion that cannot allow drug administration, limiting oral P2Y12 inhibitors bioavailability.\(^9\)\(^-\)\(^13\) Nausea and vomiting have been reported in almost two-thirds of patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI).\(^14\) These limitations can be particularly problematic in the acute care setting surrounding PCI, making thrombotic complications during PCI a major concern.\(^7\)\(^15\)

Cangrelor is the only intravenous P2Y12 inhibitor available that can avoid these deficiencies by achieving fast and strong platelet inhibition in all clinical scenarios.\(^16\)\(^,\)\(^17\) Extensive platelet inhibition is maintained throughout the infusion period with the near-full recovery of platelet function within 60–90 minutes of terminating the infusion.\(^18\)

Trials that led to the cangrelor approval were mainly performed on patients with non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI) and unstable angina (UA), but cangrelor has increasingly been used in STEMI in real-life practice.\(^22\)\(^-\)\(^25\)

European Medicines Agency (EMA) suggested to the marketing authorization holder of cangrelor (Chiesi) to perform a postauthorization nonimposed safety study (Category 3)\(^26\) focused on ACS,
collecting information from the daily clinical practice, to evaluate the safety of the transition from cangrelor to any oral P2Y12 inhibitor on the marketed product. The design, rationale, and preliminary results from the interim analysis of the itAlian pRospective Study on CANGrELOr (ARCANGELO) will be presented in this article.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | The ARCANGELO study

The ARCANGELO study aimed to assess the safety of cangrelor in a real-world setting when it is administered in patients with ACS undergoing PCI who had not received an oral P2Y12 inhibitor before the PCI procedure and in whom oral therapy with P2Y12 inhibitors was not feasible or desirable. The safety of cangrelor was evaluated as the incidence of bleeding outcomes in the 30 days post-PCI. Secondary endpoints of the study included the assessment of the efficacy of cangrelor in terms of incidence of major adverse cardiac events (MACEs), at 48 hours and 30 days post-PCI, including death, myocardial infarction (MI), ischemia-driven revascularization and stent thrombosis (ST). Furthermore, the safety related to the management of transitions from cangrelor to each oral platelet P2Y12 inhibitor (prasugrel/ticagrelor/ clopidogrel) was investigated.

This observational, prospective cohort study included patients who received cangrelor intravenous transitioning to oral clopidogrel, prasugrel, or ticagrelor in a real-world setting between October 23, 2020 and December 1, 2021. Because of the exploratory nature of the current study, no formal hypotheses were prespecified. The sample size was defined according to feasibility considerations with respect to the duration of the enrollment period and the annual volume of patients managed by the selected sites that were involved in the study. It was estimated that 1000 patients could be enrolled in approximately 12 months, considering the PCI volume of the participating centers. Less than 10% of the enrolled patients were expected not to be evaluable for the primary analysis (i.e., due to violations of eligibility criteria or missing information on primary outcomes).

Therefore, 900 patients were expected to be available for the evaluation of the study endpoint. Simulations were performed to estimate the achievable precision of the 95% confidence interval (95% CI) of the expected proportions, assuming 900 evaluable patients. Expected proportions were defined according to the available literature showing a relative error ranging from 14.2% (any noncoronary artery bypass grafting-related Global Use of Strategies to Open Occluded Arteries [GUSTO] bleeding, at an expected frequency of 17.5%) to 49.7%.

Adults (>18 years) undergoing PCI for ACS and treated with cangrelor in one of the 28 centers involved in the study (Figure 1) were eligible to be included if providing both their informed and privacy consent within the observational period.

The ARCANGELO study was registered before the beginning of patient enrollment (registration number NCT04471870).

The study evaluated the incidence of any hemorrhages, calculated as the ratio between the number of patients experiencing at least one event during the 30-day observation period over the total number of evaluable patients, according to Bleeding Academic Research Consortium (BARC) criteria. The different types of bleedings at various timeframes (from 48 hours to 30 days) according to the GUSTO criteria and MACEs at various timeframes (from 48 hours to 30 days) were investigated, too.

The proportion of patients receiving any of the oral platelet P2Y12 receptor inhibitor agents was evaluated as type and timing of administration. Adverse events and reactions, including adverse drug reactions (ADRs), were collected and their relationship with the therapies was rated by each investigator.

A continuous, detailed, predetermined monitoring of the study was performed at the start of the study, regularly throughout the study, and after study completion. During monitoring visits, all study records including electronic case report form (eCRF), investigator study file, and source data, were checked ensuring patients’ confidentiality. Furthermore, the compliance with the study protocol was verified and any emergent problem was discussed before the validation of the data collected in the eCRFs both for accuracy and completeness against the source documents.

The results of the statistical analyses were summarized by descriptive statistics including frequency, count, and percentage for categorical variables, the number of observations, mean ± standard deviation (SD), median, 25th percentile, 75th percentile, minimum, and maximum for continuous variables.

3 | RESULTS

At two-thirds of the enrollment period (July 1, 2021), 529 patients were enrolled in the study; 320 of them had completed the 30-day observation period after the PCI. One of them was excluded from this analysis because complete data were missing at the time of the database extraction. Five patients were prematurely withdrawn,
due to loss to follow-up \( n = 2 \), death \( n = 2 \), and withdrawal of consent \( n = 1 \). Evaluable patients included in this analysis were, thus, 324 (Figure 2).

The mean ± SD duration of observation from PCI to the final study visit was 31.1 ± 4.0 days (median: 31 days; 25th–75th percentiles: 30–32 days). The patient’s mean and median age was 65.0 years; 240 (74.1%) were males; 250 (77.2%) had at least one comorbidity, hypertension being the most frequent of them (195 [60.2%] of the patients). A total of 204 (63.0%) patients had STEMI, as cardiovascular disease, 86 (26.5%) NSTEMI, and 34 (10.5%) UA. A total of 164 (50.6%) patients had a single vessel coronary artery disease (Table 1). Three hundred and one (92.9%) of the reference PCIs were performed using radial access, with the implant of a drug-eluting stent in 98.5% of the cases (Table 2).

The mean ± SD total duration of cangrelor infusion accounted for 149.9 ± 47.2 minutes; total infusion duration was a maximum of 4 hours for 303 patients (93.5%), while 14 patients (4.3%) received cangrelor for >4 hours (but not more than 6 hours as for site normal clinical practice); in 7 cases (2.2%), duration of cangrelor was not available.

In one patient the duration of cangrelor administration lasted less than 2 hours without the occurrence of any ADRs.

A total of 230 patients (71.0%) received ticagrelor, 50 (15.4%) received prasugrel, and 44 (13.6%) received clopidogrel as oral P2Y12 inhibitor treatment as a transition strategy. The 98 patients who transitioned to ticagrelor took the oral P2Y12 inhibitor after a median of 0 minutes (25th–75th percentiles: 0–10; min: 0; max: 270) after stopping the infusion of cangrelor; the 95 patients who transitioned to ticagrelor before the end of the intravenous P2Y12 inhibitor infusion took the oral drug; clopidogrel took the drug after a median of 0 minutes (25th–75th percentiles: 0–15; min: 0; max: 60) after stopping the cangrelor infusion; the four patients who transitioned to clopidogrel before the end of the intravenous P2Y12 inhibitor infusion took the oral drug

| Table 1: Demographic and clinical characteristics | 
|---------------------------------|----------------|
| Age at enrollment (years)       | Evaluable patients, \( N = 324 \) |
| \( N \)                         | 324          |
| Mean ± SD                       | 65.0 ± 11.0  |
| Median (25th–75th percentiles)  | 65 (57–73)   |
| Minimum; maximum                | 29; 91       |
| Age at enrollment (classes)     |               |
| <75 years                       | 256 (79.0%)  |
| ≥75 years                       | 68 (21.0%)   |
| Gender, \( n \) (%)             |               |
| Male                            | 240 (74.1%)  |
| Female                          | 84 (25.9%)   |
| Type of ACS, \( n \) (%)        |               |
| STEMI                           | 204 (63.0%)  |
| NSTEMI                          | 120 (37.0%)  |
| UA                              | 86 (26.5%)   |
| Detail of CAD, \( n \) (%)      |               |
| Proximal LAD coronary artery    | 199 (61.4%)  |
| Left circumflex artery          | 112 (34.6%)  |
| Right coronary artery           | 160 (49.4%)  |
| Left main disease               | 20 (6.2%)    |
| Other(s)                        | 61 (18.8%)   |
| Comorbidities, \( n \) (%)      |               |
| Any                             | 250 (77.2%)  |
| Hypertension                    | 195 (60.2%)  |
| Hyperlipidemia                  | 137 (42.3%)  |
| Diabetes                        | 61 (18.8%)   |
| Obesity                         | 18 (5.6%)    |
| Hypothyroidism                  | 15 (4.6%)    |
| Peripheral-artery disease       | 15 (4.6%)    |
| COPD                            | 11 (3.4%)    |
TABLE 1 (Continued)

| CKD | 7 (2.2%) |
| Other | 65 (26.0%) |

Abbreviations: ACS, acute coronary syndrome; CAD, coronary artery disease; CKD, chronic kidney disease; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; LAD, left anterior descending; NSTE-ACS, non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes; NSTEMI, non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction; STEMI, ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction; UA, unstable angina.

The same patient could have more than one option for: “Detail of coronaropathy” or “comorbidities.”

15 minutes (25th–75th percentiles: 13–23; min: 11; max: 30) before the end of the cangrelor administration. The transition exact timings were not available in the eCRF, during data extraction, for the other patients.

Sixteen patients of this cohort experienced at least one bleeding event during the observation period. Only 1 patient experienced 2 bleeding events; therefore, a total of 17 bleedings were observed, 5 of which occurred within the 48 hours following the intervention. All bleedings were classified as BARC Type 1–2, except for one case of Type 3a (vessel puncture site hematoma). The most frequent types were ecchymosis (n = 4), bleedings in the urinary tract (i.e., hematuria and urethral hemorrhage; n = 4), and hematomas at vascular access site/vessel puncture site (n = 4). The study investigators did not rate any of the bleeding events as probably, possibly, or certainly related to cangrelor.

A total of 11 bleedings were rated as related to PCI, 9 as related to other drugs (6 probably/possibly related to oral P2Y12 receptor inhibitors) (Table 3).

No ADRs related to cangrelor were reported.

Cangrelor was used off-label in nine patients: Five patients received an oral platelet P2Y12 receptor antagonist (ticagrelor) 24 hours before cangrelor administration, while two patients received ticagrelor or prasugrel more than 30 minutes before cangrelor discontinuation and one patient received clopidogrel before cangrelor discontinuation. Four patients experienced MACEs during the observational period: two patients experienced acute MI, one sudden cardiac death, and one noncardiovascular death due to respiratory distress and multiorgan failure.

A total of 298 monitoring visits were performed during the study.

4 | DISCUSSION

Most real-world evidence on the use of cangrelor is derived from retrospective analyses.23,32–34 These assessments were performed by extracting the data from available clinical databases that may lack the systematic collection of safety data, and thus their outcomes could be based on a limited and not-systematically collected and rigorously controlled set of data. Furthermore, registration trials of cangrelor were performed only comparing this intravenous P2Y12 inhibitor with the oral P2Y12 inhibitor clopidogrel, which was also the only oral drug used in the transition phase.35 In real-world practice, the more commonly used oral P2Y12 inhibitor transition therapy is ticagrelor,34 underscoring the need for real-world prospective evaluations providing insights on the safety and efficacy of cangrelor in daily clinical use.

The outcomes of this interim analysis, performed on approximately one-third of the target sample of the ARCANGELO study, confirm the safety of using cangrelor during PCIs. Only one moderate BARC 3a bleeding was observed, no severe bleedings occurred, and no adverse reactions to cangrelor have been reported.

The most recent analysis of the use of antiplatelet therapy in Italian coronary care units was performed in March 2014 when cangrelor was not yet available. In this study aspirin, bivalirudin, and glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors (GPIs) were more frequently administered treatments before or during PCI. Crossover of heparin therapy occurred in 36.0% of cases, whereas switching from one P2Y12 inhibitor to another occurred in 3.7% of the patients. Furthermore, the multivariable analysis yielded several independent predictors of GPIs and bivalirudin use in the catheterization laboratory, mainly related to clinical presentation, PCI complexity, and the presence of complications during the procedure.24,36,37 The preliminary results of the ARCANGELO study show a deep change in the PCI procedures in the Italian hemodynamic centers and that the use of cangrelor seems to contribute to a more standardized and clinically effective approach. Concerning exposure, all 324 patients received cangrelor according to dose and regimen (bolus plus infusion) in the European Union-Summary of Product Characteristics (EU-SmPC), with a maximum infusion length of 4 hours for 303 patients (93.5%) and
no patients receiving cangrelor for more than 6 hours. In terms of transition strategy from cangrelor to oral P2Y12 receptor inhibitors, ticagrelor was the most used drug (71.0%), followed by prasugrel and clopidogrel (15.4% and 13.6%, respectively); most of the patients were transitioned according to the SmPC, except the few patients who received ticagrelor or prasugrel more than 30 minutes before cangrelor discontinuation or before the end of cangrelor administration. In a recent study on PCI, BARC-defined bleeding Type 3 or 5 occurred in 0.8%–1.5% of the patients who received ticagrelor or clopidogrel plus aspirin.38 The preliminary results from the ARCANGELO study show that BARC Grade 3a bleeding occurred in 1 (0.3%) patient while more severe bleedings were not reported. Even if, differently in other studies on cangrelor,24,39,40 204 (63%) of the patients included in the ARCANGELO study had STEMI, there were no differences in the frequency of bleeding when comparing the different subpopulations.

In this preliminary analysis of the ARCANGELO study, the observed rate of MACE was 1.2% in the 30 days following the PCI. The relevance of these results will be evaluated on the whole population of the ARCANGELO study.

These are preliminary analyses from an observational trial, and thus any clinical outcome must be considered preliminary, needing to be confirmed in a rigorously controlled trial.

The ARCANGELO study was designed according to regulatory authorities’ stringent requirements and conducted ensuring levels of quality that are set as of today gold standard, to ensure the reliability of the collected data and the quality of the outcomes.

### 5 | CONCLUSION

The design and the interim results of the ARCANGELO study provide a preliminary confirmation that the use of cangrelor in patients with ACS undergoing PCI, following the product’s specifications of use, is not associated with severe bleedings, and the benefit–risk balance of cangrelor remains favorable.

The final analysis of the full patient sample will allow a more complete and precise evaluation of the study endpoints.
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