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Harnessing the surface chemistry of methyl ester functionalized polydicyclopentadiene and exploring surface bioactivity†‡

Tong Li, Hannah Shumka, Tyler J. Cuthbert, Chang Liu and Jeremy E. Wulff

In an effort to broaden the utility of the industrially used ROMP polymer polydicyclopentadiene, we introduced an ester-functionalized dicyclopentadiene monomer that can be polymerized and crosslinked under similar conditions to those of the unfunctionalized parent, and for which the resulting functionalized polymer (fPDCPD) maintains the thermal and mechanical properties that make polydicyclopentadiene useful. In the current work, we expand on the utility of fPDCPD by harnessing the embedded functional group to manipulate the surface energy of the material, and to attach a range of biologically relevant functional groups. These include a fluorescent dye used to characterize surface coverage, an RGD peptide used to promote cellular adhesion, and an antibacterial agent (chloramphenicol) used to moderate E. coli growth. Significantly, the chloramphenicol is conjugated to the surface in such a way that it remains inactive until it is cleaved by endogenous bacterial enzymes. This strategy minimizes leaching of the drug into the environment, by effectively relying on colonizing bacteria to dose themselves with surface-available antibiotic.

Introduction

Polydicyclopentadiene (PDCPD), a polymer produced through ring-opening metathesis polymerization (ROMP) of dicyclopentadiene (DCPD), is used industrially to fabricate vehicle body panels and construction equipment.1-5 The extensive cross-linking network within PDCPD contributes to high heat and impact resistance,6-8 making it an attractive material for further applications including composite materials,9-11 aerogels,12,13 and self-healing polymers.14-16 However, PDCPD has a low surface energy when freshly prepared, making it difficult to process or modify, and its lack of chemical functionality – beyond just residual double bonds – limits its broader applications.17

To address the low surface energy of PDCPD, and to bestowed additional function to the polymer, we installed a C-linked ester group on the dicyclopentadiene monomer.18-20 The ester-functionalized monomer (fDCPD) engages in Ru-catalyzed ROMP similarly to the parent dicyclopentadiene, to afford a linear telechelic polymer that can then be thermally cured to provide a densely crosslinked, functionalized form of polydicyclopentadiene that we call fPDCPD (Fig. 1).21 fPDCPD offers a tunable, elevated surface energy, and a higher Tg compared with the parent material.18 Importantly, both the thermal stability of fPDCPD (as assessed by thermogravimetric analysis)18,19 and the storage modulus (measured by dynamic mechanical analysis)20 were shown to be similar to those of the parent polymer. The introduction of new functionality while maintaining durability allows for further modifications, potentially increasing the applications of fPDCPD for industrial and biomedical use.

Through modification of the surface properties of commodity polymers, the response of organisms interacting with the surface can be finely tuned, presenting opportunities to control biological activity.22-24 From promoting cell adhesion and proliferation,25 to inhibition of bacterial growth,26-29 or design of cell patterning surfaces,30,31 bioactive polymers can find applications ranging from antifouling surfaces32 to microfluidics33-35 and tissue engineering.36-38

Functionalized surfaces can also be reactive; similar to drug releasing nanoparticles, surfaces may release small molecules or polymers upon reaction or stimulation.39 Releasing antibiotics directly from a surface would result in a high local concentration required for inhibition of bacterial growth or bactericidal effect without the requirement of high systemic dosing typical of broad-spectrum antibiotics. Excess and misuse of antibiotics has in the past led to the emergence of resistant pathogens from the introduction of antibiotics into the environment.40,41 Functionalized surfaces have the potential to mitigate this issue by providing a platform whereby antibiotics can be
covalently immobilized to a surface, rendering them biologically inactive, until cleavage occurs when in the presence of the target.\textsuperscript{42} fPDCPD is an attractive polymer substrate for applications of this type because it can be crosslinked into an insoluble polymer appropriate for surface coatings, yet possesses accessible carboxylic acid functionality that can be exploited to attach antibiotics through readily cleaved ester bonds. Ideally, the surface-bound antibiotic will be inactive until it is released from the polymer, resulting in an off-to-on switch similar to a pro-drug strategy.\textsuperscript{42}

As a showcase to illustrate the potential of fPDCPD as a direct-dosing polymer support – and more generally to demonstrate the utility of this novel functionalized polymer – we sought to develop methodology that would permit the attachment of chloramphenicol to the fPDCPD surface (Fig. 2). Chloramphenicol is a broad spectrum antibiotic that inhibits bacterial protein synthesis by binding to the 50S subunit of bacterial ribosomes.\textsuperscript{43,44} It is a widely prescribed antibiotic with a relatively low dosing cost, and continues to be considered an essential medicine by the World Health Organization.\textsuperscript{45} Critically, the 1,3-propanediol motif in chloramphenicol is key for its biological activity; if either hydroxyl group is removed or altered, the antibacterial effects of the drug are lost.\textsuperscript{46} The primary alcohol within this motif is therefore a prime candidate as a point of attachment to the ester functional group of fPDCPD, since immobilization through this group would render chloramphenicol bioinert, while release by promiscuous \textit{E. coli} enzymes\textsuperscript{47} would restore antibiotic function.\textsuperscript{48} In principle, this approach would result in an autonomous stimuli-responsive surface that is capable of dosing the drug only in the presence of bacteria – thereby limiting the release of excess antibiotics to the environment, and minimizing the potential for creating antibiotic-resistant pathogens.

Results and discussion
Understanding the chemical properties of a novel material is paramount to discovering its possible future applications. Although some of the unique properties of fPDCPD have been reported previously by our group,\textsuperscript{18-20} the degree to which the surface can be further functionalized is not yet completely understood. For example, while previous work has shown that partial hydrolysis of the ester functional groups on the surface of fPDCPD can raise the surface energy,\textsuperscript{18} we have not yet examined the installation of other functionality at this position. With the goal, therefore, of working toward the conjugation of chloramphenicol to fPDCPD, we first needed to gain additional insight into the polymer’s ability to accommodate a diverse array of functionality.

To establish that a uniformly functionalized surface could be obtained by adding reagents to functionalized polydicyclopentadiene, we began by pursuing the attachment of a fluorophore to the surface of a fPDCPD-coated glass slide. To create the appropriate test-system for this experiment, slides were treated with 3-(trimethoxysilyl)propyl methacrylate and then spin-coated with linear (i.e. uncrosslinked) fPDCPD (2, Scheme 1) prior to thermal curing. One of the advantages of fPDCPD
compared with the traditional form of polydicyclopentadiene is that the primary polymerization event (i.e. formation of the linear polymer 2 from monomer 1) is decoupled from the crosslinking step. This difference allows for a facile preparation of thin polymer samples, since standard spin-coating techniques can be used with the uncrosslinked polymer, which is fully soluble in halogenated solvents.

In our previous mechanistic studies, we confirmed that the principal crosslinking mechanism for 2 does not take place via secondary metathesis events of the type that are generally considered for unfunctionalized polydicyclopentadiene but rather through a self-initiated, head–tail radical polymerization event that occurs through the methacrylate motif embedded within 2 (Scheme 1a). In order to achieve robust attachment of PDCPD to glass slides, we further exploited this mechanism by carrying out the thermal curing event on the 3-(trimethoxysilyl)propyl methacrylate-coated slide, with the goal of engaging the methacrylate groups in the surface-activating reagent directly in the thermal curing event. This allowed for a facile preparation of thin polymer samples, since standard spin-coating techniques can be used with the uncrosslinked polymer, which is fully soluble in halogenated solvents.

In our previous mechanistic studies, we confirmed that the principal crosslinking mechanism for 2 does not take place via secondary metathesis events of the type that are generally considered for unfunctionalized polydicyclopentadiene but rather through a self-initiated, head–tail radical polymerization event that occurs through the methacrylate motif embedded within 2 (Scheme 1a). In order to achieve robust attachment of PDCPD to glass slides, we further exploited this mechanism by carrying out the thermal curing event on the 3-(trimethoxysilyl)propyl methacrylate-coated slide, with the goal of engaging the methacrylate groups in the surface-activating reagent directly in the thermal curing event. This allowed for a facile preparation of thin polymer samples, since standard spin-coating techniques can be used with the uncrosslinked polymer, which is fully soluble in halogenated solvents.

To qualitatively evaluate surface coverage, the TAMRA-coupled slides were imaged using a fluorescent microscope. As shown in the inset to Scheme 2, the entire surface exhibited red fluorescence within the limits of optical resolution, indicating a level of surface coverage that could be harnessed to attach other functionality. Control surfaces (e.g. 4 or 5) showed no significant background fluorescence (Fig. S16, ESI†). The only significant defect appeared to be a series of radial waves in the surface, which result from the spin-coating protocol. Defects on this length scale were not expected to interfere with subsequent experiments. In an attempt to better quantify the density of carboxylate groups available for functionalization, we also treated glass slides covered with 5 with toluidine blue oxide (TBO), a reagent that is known to form a stable complex with surface carboxyl groups. This experiment revealed a surface coverage of up to 0.249 ± 0.125 μmol cm⁻² (see ESI† for details), although we found that the result was highly dependent upon crosslinking time. In retrospect, this is not surprising; we previously showed that crosslinking density in PDCPD can be controlled with heating time. More densely crosslinked samples are presumably less able to swell when exposed to TBO in solvent, which results in a lower uptake of the reagent.
To better evaluate our ability to tune the surface of fPDCPD through rational functional group manipulations, we next prepared an octyl ester derivative and a tetraethylene glycol derivative, and measured the water contact angle on each surface (Fig. 3). As expected, the octyl ester derivative (8) was more hydrophobic than the parent methyl ester surface (4), while the tetraethylene glycol-functionalized surface (9) was more hydrophilic. While the change in contact angle in this experiment was less dramatic than we had previously seen following partial saponification of methyl ester 4,18 we nevertheless observed a statistically significant difference between the 8 and 9 polymer surfaces. Together, these data confirm that chemical changes to the fPDCPD surface can be used to tune the surface energy in more subtle ways than the hydrolysis protocol used in our earlier work.

Moving toward more biologically oriented experiments, and mindful of the utility of controlling polymer–cell interactions for microfluidic or cell-patterning applications, we prepared several fPDCPD surfaces containing different functional groups and used these to evaluate the adhesion of tumor cells. Briefly, each polymer surface was prepared on a glass slide that was sized to fit precisely into the bottom of a 24-well tissue culture plate. After inserting the prepared slides into the multiwell plate (using a small amount of epoxy on the underside of each slide to ensure that it adhered to the bottom of the plate), HeLa cells were added in cell culture media. After 48 hours, the surfaces were imaged and then washed with phosphate-buffered saline and then imaged a second time. The populations of adhered cells before and after washing were determined through quantification of the images, and a ratio was taken to determine the amount of cell loss from each surface. As shown in Fig. 4, this experiment revealed an impressive ability to control cellular adhesion through surface functionalization. For example, a perfluorooctyl ester derivative was found to be inhibitory toward cellular attachment (90% loss of cells after washing) relative to the parent methyl ester (43% loss of cells), while saponification to provide carboxylate groups was found to enhance the degree of cellular adhesion (31% loss of cells).

Most significantly, attachment of a cyclic arginine-glycine-aspartate (RGD) tripeptide (which is known to bind to integrin receptors on cells)25 afforded a surface that was very hospitable for cellular attachment, such that only 12% loss of HeLa cells was observed following the washing protocol. This was a more effective attachment than the commercial plasma-treated tissue.
culture plate used as a positive control, which resulted in 23% loss of HeLa cells after washing. As a negative control for this experiment, we also attached an arginine-alanine-aspartate (RAD) peptide. Despite the fact that the RGD and RAD peptides only differ by a single methyl group (and therefore have similar polarities), the RAD motif has a significantly lower binding affinity for integrin receptors. In the event, use of the RAD-functionalized surface afforded a dramatic reduction in HeLa cell adhesion: 53% of cells were washed away from the RAD-fPDCPD surface, compared with only 12% from the RGD-fPDCPD surface.

Turning at last to the synthesis of the target chloramphenicol conjugate, NMR experiments with the acyl chloride derivative of 1 (as a small-molecule mimic of surface-bound acyl chloride 6) confirmed that the primary alcohol of chloramphenicol underwent condensation with the acyl chloride in either DMSO-\(d_6\) or CD\(_3\)CN, to afford a new ester linkage. We therefore formed the chloramphenicol-functionalized fPDCPD shown in Fig. 1 using a similar protocol to that employed for the generation of fPDCPD–TAMRA in Scheme 2. Freshly prepared glass slides coated with fPDCPD–acyl chloride 6 were submerged in a mixture of triethylamine, chloramphenicol, and DMSO for 48 h. After rinsing (with both acetonitrile and dichloromethane) and drying, FTIR analysis confirmed the formation of the desired ester bond (Fig. S15, ESI†).

The slides were epoxied to the base of a 24-well tissue culture plate (as described in the HeLa cell experiments above) and 1 mL of \(E. coli\) K12 suspension in LB media (at an initial OD\(_{600}\) of 0.3) was added to each well. At regular intervals, aliquots were withdrawn to assay the amount of bacteria present. As shown in Fig. 5, the fPDCPD–chloramphenicol conjugate reduced the growth of the bacteria, relative to controls lacking the chloramphenicol group.

Chloramphenicol is bacteriostatic to \(E. coli\) at concentrations lower than 500 \(\mu\)g mL\(^{-1}\), meaning that it slows or inhibits growth but does not induce cell death.\(^{55}\) At concentrations

---

**Fig. 4** Measurement of HeLa cell loss from a prepared fPDCPD surface demonstrates tunable adhesion of tumor cells. Each value is the average of at least 6 independent measurements; error bars represent standard error.

**Fig. 5** Conjugation of chloramphenicol to fPDCPD attached to glass slides creates a bacteriostatic surface that limits the growth of \(E. coli\). Each data point is the average of at least 5 independent measurements (9 independent measurements for the fPDCPD–chloramphenicol surface); error bars represent standard error. Lines are meant only to guide the eye, and are not intended to convey a precise mathematical relationship.
greater than 500 µg mL⁻¹, on the other hand, bacteriocidal effects begin to dominate, resulting in cell lysis. In a positive control experiment (Fig. 5, green squares), 1 mg mL⁻¹ chloramphenicol was shown to fully inhibit the growth of E. coli K12 under identical conditions to those described above (i.e. 1 mL E. coli at 0.3 initial OD₆₀₀ in the same 24-well plate). The use of the methyl-ester-functionalized surface (4; open circles) or theaponified surface (5; orange triangles) resulted in no detectable reduction in bacterial growth relative to the use of either the methacrylated glass slide (red crosses) or the unmodified 24-well plate with no glass slide present (pink circles).

The sigmoidal growth curve obtained for E. coli incubated over the fPDCPD–chloramphenicol surface (data indicated with blue diamonds in Fig. 5) is consistent with the proposed mechanism outlined in Fig. 2. At early time points (<1 h), readily available chloramphenicol (perhaps held on the surface through physical interactions rather than through chemical bonds, or perhaps especially susceptible to secreted bacterial esterases) slows, but does not completely eliminate, the growth of the bacteria. At some stage, however, this modest growth rate produces enough bacteria that the organism is able to overwhelm the small amount of chloramphenicol that is present and grow at similar rates to those observed in the negative controls (1–1.5 h). This increase in bacteria, however, means that more bacterial esterase enzymes are present. These begin to liberate surface-bound chloramphenicol through enzymatic hydrolysis. The liberated chloramphenicol eventually reaches sufficiently high concentrations (>1.5 h) that it can almost fully retard any further growth of the bacteria. Importantly, this reduction in growth (at about an OD₆₀₀ of 0.6) occurred well below the concentration of bacteria required to limit growth in negative control samples (OD₆₀₀ ≈ 1.2) due to crowding or nutrient depletion.

While rigorous proof for the mechanism outlined above is beyond the scope of the current work, the fact that the fPDCPD–chloramphenicol conjugate clearly acts to slow the growth of bacteria presents a compelling illustration of the potential utility of rationally installed polydicyclopentadiene surface functionalization.

Conclusions

The addition of functionality to commodity polymers can facilitate the development of new applications. We harnessed the ester group present on a novel functionalized form of dicyclopentadiene to attach a wide range of functional groups. The installation of polar or lipophilic chains to the ester resulted in perfluorooctyl ester group almost completely abolished cell attachment. Together, these data indicate an ability to rationally and predictably tune the fPDCPD surface to either enhance or reduce interactions with biological organisms. To further demonstrate the utility of the fPDCPD polymer, we engineered a self-dosing chloramphenicol conjugate that was capable of releasing antibiotic in the presence of bacteria. As designed, this surface limited the growth of E. coli, relative to appropriate controls.

Experimental

Materials

Ester-functionalized monomer 1 was prepared as described previously, 2nd Generation Grubbs catalyst was purchased from Chem-Impex. RGD and RAD cyclic peptides were purchased from Peptides International. 5-TAMRA-PEO₃-amine was purchased (as the TFA salt) from ChemoMetec. RPMI1640 media, fetal bovine serum and trypsin–EDTA were purchased from Thermo Fisher. E. coli K12 was purchased from ATCC. Chloramphenicol and all other reagents were sourced from MilliporeSigma. THF was freshly distilled over sodium and benzophenone prior to use; all other reagents were used without further purification. Matsunami round glass cover slides and 24-well plasma-treated cell culture plates were both purchased from VWR.

Instrumentation

All NMR spectra were recorded at ambient temperature (298–300 K). ¹H NMR spectra were recorded at 300.27 MHz on a Bruker AVANCE 300 spectrometer equipped with a 5 mm PABBO BB-1H/D Z-GRD probe. ¹H chemical shifts (δ) are reported in parts-per-million (ppm) relative to tetramethylsilane. NMR data is presented as follows: chemical shift, multiplicity (s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, br = broad, m = multiplet), coupling constants (J, reported in Hz), integration. Infrared spectra were obtained using a PerkinElmer ATR spectrometer. IR wavenumbers (ν) are reported in units of cm⁻¹. Fluorescent imaging and cell counting was accomplished using a Cytation 5 multichannel imaging plate reader. Automated agitation of multwell plates was done using the plate shaker function on a SpectraMax M5 multi-channel plate reader. Mammalian cell counts were carried out using the standard Cytation 5 Imaging Reader software (v. 3.0.3), using a digital phase contrast of 30 µm, deconvolution of 1 SD, kernal radius of 20 px, cell radius parameters 11 µm minimum to 50 µm maximum, and a threshold setting of 6000. Optical density readings for bacteria were conducted on a SpectraMax M5 plate reader. Contact angle measurements were obtained with a Holmarc contact angle meter (HO-IAD-CAM-01) and images were processed using the instrument’s standard software package.

Generation of polymers and polymer surfaces ring opening metathesis polymerization

Polymer 2 and the linear polymer precursors to 8 and 9 were prepared by adding 1 mol% Grubbs 2nd generation catalyst to 50 mg of the appropriate monomer in 1.0 g dichloromethane. Polymerization was allowed to proceed for 1 h, after which...
NMR analysis indicated complete consumption of monomer. The reaction was quenched by the addition of 0.5 mL ethyl vinyl ether, and the polymer product was precipitated by the addition of hexanes. Removal of solvent via centrifugation (3750 rpm at 4 °C) followed by drying in vacuo afforded the target linear polymers. Linear polymer 2 (precursor to crosslinked polymer 4): 

1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) δ 6.68–6.52 (m, 1H), 5.55–5.27 (m, 2H), 3.75 (br s, 3H), 3.46–3.33 (m, 1H), 3.01–2.84 (m, 2H), 2.76–2.46 (m, 3H), 1.79–1.61 (br, 1H), 1.39–1.20 (br, 1H), linear precursor to crosslinked polymer 8: 

1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) δ 6.66–6.50 (m, 1H), 5.61–5.24 (m, 2H), 4.20–4.05 (m, 2H), 3.46–3.29 (m, 1H), 3.09–2.83 (m, 2H), 2.76–2.44 (m, 3H), 1.78–1.59 (m, 3H), 1.44–1.16 (m, 11H), 0.88 (br t, 3H); linear precursor to crosslinked polymer 9: 

1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) δ 6.70–6.53 (m, 1H), 5.56–5.24 (m, 2H), 4.40–4.19 (m, 2H) 3.81–3.52 (m, 1H), 3.47–3.30 (m, 1H), 3.11–2.77 (m, 3H), 2.77–2.41 (m, 3H), 1.79–1.59 (m, 1H), 1.38–1.17 (m, 1H). For a discussion of PDCPD molecular weight and polydispersity, see ref. 18. Complete NMR assignments of 1H and 13C shifts for polymer 2 can be found in ref. 20; spectra for the linear precursors to 8 and 9 can be found in the ESI.‡

Preparation & methacylation of glass cover slides

Matsunami 15 mm micro glass cover slides (chosen to fit precisely into the wells of a standard 24-well plate) were sonicated in a solution of Sparkleen detergent and deionized H2O for one hour with occasional stirring. The slides were then thoroughly rinsed with deionized H2O, followed by 95% ethanol and then diethyl ether. Following evaporation of residual solvent at room temperature, the slides were then dried in an oven set to 110 °C. The glass slides were then evenly spread in a glass Petri dish and incubated in a mixture of ethanol, acetic acid and 3-(trimethoxysilyl)propyl methacrylate for three minutes. The incubation medium was prepared by first diluting 1 part glacial acetic acid and 9 parts ethanol to 60% to 70% ethanol solution with 96.5 mL of 95% ethanol. To the resulting AcOH/EtOH solution was added 0.5 mL of the methacrylate reagent. After incubation, the slides were then thoroughly rinsed with 95% ethanol and dried in vacuo.

fPDCPD spin coating and thermal crosslinking to afford 4, 8 or 9

A 1:1 solution of toluene:CH2Cl2 containing 4 wt% of linear polymer 2 (or for the experiments in Fig. 3, the linear precursors to 8 or 9; refer to the ESI‡ for details relating to the monomer synthesis, and the section above for polymerization details) was prepared at room temperature in a sintered vial, using sonication to fully dissolve the polymer. 50 µL of the solution was then spin coated onto the methacrylated 15 mm round cover slides using a maximum speed of 3000 rpm, acceleration of 1000 rpm s−1 and a total application time of 30 seconds. The freshly spin-coated slides were then placed on glass Petri dishes and crosslinked at 135 °C for 48 h prior to use. For optimization details of the spin-coating protocol, see Table S1 in the ESI.‡

Saponification of fPDCPD surfaces to afford 5

Freshly crosslinked methyl ester-functionalized fPDCPD glass cover slides (4) were evenly placed on a glass Petri dish and submerged in a 7 wt% solution of NaOH in 1:1 H2O:MeOH for 30 min. The surfaces were then washed with water, followed by methanol, and finally dried in vacuo. FTIR analysis confirmed partial hydrolysis of the surface-accessible ester groups (Fig. S12, ESI‡).

Formation of acyl chloride/fPDCPD surfaces (6)

Freshly saponified surfaces (5) were separated into sintered vials sealed with inverted septa and parafilm. Under an atmosphere of argon, 1 mL of a solution containing 80% CH2Cl2, 10% DMF, and 10% SOCl2 was injected into each vial. The reaction mixture was left at room temperature for 2 h, after which the surfaces were washed with CH2Cl2 and dried in vacuo.

Synthesis of TAMRA-functionalized fPDCPD (7)

Freshly prepared acyl chloride surfaces (6) were separated into sintered vials sealed with inverted septa and parafilm. Under an atmosphere of argon, surfaces were submerged in 1 mL of a solution containing 0.15 mg mL−1 of 5-TAMRA-PEO3-amine (from 110 µL of a 1.43 mg mL−1 DMSO stock solution), 490 µL DMSO and 400 µL triethylamine. After 48 h at room temperature, the slides were removed from the vials, washed three times with DMSO and placed into a 24-well plate for fluorescent imaging. FTIR analysis confirmed the formation of the target amide (Fig. S14, ESI‡).

Synthesis of chloramphenicol-functionalized fPDCPD

Freshly prepared acyl chloride surfaces (6) were separated into sintered vials sealed with inverted septa and parafilm. Under an atmosphere of argon, surfaces were submerged in 1 mL of a solution containing 400 µL triethylamine. After 48 h at room temperature, the slides were removed from the vials, washed with acetone/nitrite followed by CH2Cl2 and dried in vacuo. FTIR analysis confirmed surface functionalization (Fig. S15, ESI‡).

Formation of surfaces for HeLa cell adhesion assays

Methacrylated glass cover slides, fPDCPD-CO2Me-coated cover slides (4) and saponified fPDCPD-coated cover slides (5) were prepared as described above. fPDCPD-perfluoroctyl ester-coated cover slides, as well as fPDCPD-RGD- and fPDCPD-RAD-coated cover slides, were prepared through an analogous procedure to that used for 7 (i.e. acyl chloride surfaces were exposed to either perfluoroctanol or else RGD or RAD amines, in the presence of triethylamine). All surfaces were disinfected with 70% ethanol prior to being brought into a biological safety cabinet, and then were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and air-dried for 20 min. Clear LePage Speed Set Epoxy was then applied to the bottom of each well of sterile 24-well tissue culture plates. The prepared glass cover slides were laid over top of the epoxy and light pressure was applied to ensure strong adhesion. The epoxy was left to cure for 30 minutes prior to addition of cells.

Measurement of surface properties

Fluorescent imaging. Fluorescent imaging of the TAMRA-fPDCPD surface (7) was conducted on a Cytation 5 multichannel...
imaging platereader equipped with a 1.25× objective. A BioTek texas red filter cube with band widths of 576–600 nm for excitation and 610–685 nm for emission was used to measure fluorescence. The LED intensity on the instrument was set to 10, integration time was 1000 ms, and the gain setting was 24. The montage setting in the Cytation 5 software package was used to collect wide-field images.

**Contact angle measurements.** Polymer surfaces affixed to glass cover slides (prepared as described above) were first rinsed with diethyl ether and methanol, then dried in vacuo. 3 µL of deionized water was deposited on the surface of the film, and the drop was imaged using a Holmarc contact angle meter. Left and right contact angles were obtained using the software that was packaged with the instrument. Each analysis was repeated on at least three independently prepared polymer samples, and each sample was interrogated at multiple locations on its surface. A total of at least 10 right and 10 left contact angle measurements were averaged to generate the results shown in Fig. 3.

**HeLa cell adhesion measurements.** HeLa cells were cultured with RPMI-1640 media supplemented with 1-glutamine and 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) at 37 °C. Adherent cells were removed from the surface of growth chambers (T-150 flasks) through trypsinization using 0.05% trypsin–EDTA, counted using a hemocytometer, and diluted to afford a 5 × 10^4 cell per mL suspension. 1 mL of the prepared HeLa cell stock suspension was added to each well of a series of 24-well tissue culture plates, where each well either contained a premade cover slide affixed with one of the polymers described above, or contained a premade cover slide coated only with the 3-(trimethoxysilyl)propyl methacrylate reagent, or was empty (i.e. contained no cover slide). The plates were incubated for 48 h at 37 °C and 5% CO₂ to allow cells to adhere to the treated cover slides, after which each well was imaged using a Cytation 5 Imaging Reader. Adherent cell populations were quantified using the software packaged with the platereader. Media was then removed from all sample wells using suction filtration, and replaced with 1 mL per well of PBS buffer. The plate was shaken for 3 s using the plate shaker function on a SpectraMax M5 multichannel platereader. This two-step washing procedure was repeated twice more, after which 1 mL of fresh RPMI-1640 media containing 10% fetal bovine serum was added to each well. The cell imaging protocol was repeated to determine the number of cells that remained attached to each cover slide throughout the three FBS washes. A ratio of the number of cells before and after the washing protocol was repeated to determine the number of cells that remained attached to each cover slide throughout the three FBS washes. A ratio of the number of cells before and after the washing protocol was used to determine the adhesive properties of each surface.

**E. coli growth measurements.** Methacrylated glass cover slides, fPDCPD-chloramphenicol-coated cover slides, fPDCPD-CO₂Me-coated cover slides (4) and saponified/fPDCPD-coated cover slides (5) were prepared as described above. These were inserted into the wells of 24-well tissue culture plates using an identical procedure to that described above for the HeLa cell experiments, once again using epoxy to ensure adhesion to the bottom of the plate. E. coli K12 was grown in baffled Erlenmeyer flasks containing lysogeny broth (LB) at 37 °C, under an atmosphere of 5% CO₂ and constant 200 rpm agitation. 1 mL of E. coli suspension in LB media at an initial OD₆₀₀ of 0.3 was added to each well of a series of 24-well tissue culture plates, where each well either contained a premade cover slide affixed with one of the polymers described above, or contained a premade cover slide coated only with the 3-(trimethoxysilyl)propyl methacrylate reagent, or was empty (i.e. contained no cover slide). As a positive control for growth inhibition, 1 mg mL⁻¹ free chloramphenicol was added to an additional set of wells containing E. coli but no cover slides. The plates were maintained at 37 °C for the duration of the experiment. At regular time points (every 30 minutes), 200 µL of E. coli from each well of the 24-well plates was transferred to a fresh 96-well plate, and an optical density measurement was taken at 600 nm. A two-second shake was used prior to each absorbance measurement to ensure an even suspension of the E. coli cells.
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