Pharmacogenetics of antipsychotic-induced movement disorders as a resource for better understanding Parkinson’s disease modifier genes
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Antipsychotic-induced movement disorders are major side effects of antipsychotic drugs among schizophrenia patients, and include antipsychotic-induced parkinsonism (AIP) and tardive dyskinesia (TD). Substantial pharmacogenetic work has been done in this field, and several susceptibility variants have been suggested. In this paper, the genetics of antipsychotic-induced movement disorders is considered in a broader context. We hypothesize that genetic variants that are risk factors for AIP and TD may provide insights into the pathophysiology of motor symptoms in Parkinson’s disease (PD). Since loss of dopaminergic stimulation (albeit pharmacological in AIP and degenerative in PD) is shared by the two clinical entities, genes associated with susceptibility to AIP may be modifier genes that influence clinical expression of PD motor sub-phenotypes, such as age at onset, disease severity, or rate of progression. This is due to their possible functional influence on compensatory mechanisms for striatal dopamine loss. Better compensatory potential might be beneficial at the early and later stages of the PD course. AIP vulnerability variants could also be related to latent impairment in the nigrostriatal pathway, affecting its functionality, and leading to subclinical dopaminergic deficits in the striatum. Susceptibility of PD patients to early development of l-DOPA induced dyskinesia (LID) is an additional relevant sub-phenotype. LID might share a common genetic background with TD, with which it shares clinical features. Genetic risk variants may predispose to both phenotypes, exerting a pleiotropic effect. According to this hypothesis, elucidating the genetics of antipsychotic-induced movement disorders may advance our understanding of multiple aspects of PD and it clinical course, rendering this a potentially rewarding field of study.
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INTRODUCTION
Since their introduction during the 1950s, antipsychotic drugs have been the cornerstone of pharmacological treatment for schizophrenia and other psychotic disorders (1, 2). Although all effective antipsychotics are dopamine D2 receptor antagonists (3), they are divided into two large categories, with different receptor binding and side-effect profiles: first and second generation antipsychotics (FGA, SGA) (4, 5).

Antipsychotic drugs are linked to a wide spectrum of adverse effects. FGAs cause antipsychotic-induced movement disorders, which are the focus of this paper. Antipsychotic-induced movement disorders include acute manifestations (dystonia, parkinsonism, and akathisia) as well as long-lasting effects [tardive dyskinesia (TD) and tardive dystonia] (2, 4). SGAs are linked to cardiometabolic effects (6), although movement disorder rates among SGA treated patients are not negligible and represent a prevalent clinical challenge. In the vast psychiatric literature, the term “antipsychotic-induced extrapyramidal symptoms (EPS)” is used when referring to antipsychotic-induced movement disorders.

Although the meaning is the same, in this paper, we will refer to “movement disorders” instead of EPS (which is considered obsolete).

Extensive pharmacogenetic research has been performed in the field of antipsychotics (7), implementing a candidate gene approach and genome-wide association studies (GWASs) (8, 9). Regarding antipsychotic-induced movement disorders, most pharmacogenetic work has focused on TD, with modest success. Reviewing the literature of TD genetics is beyond the scope of this paper; the reader is referred to a comprehensive review by Lee and Kang (10). Briefly, candidate gene studies pointed to potential involvement of genetic variants related to dopaminergic or serotonergic transmission, drug metabolism (CYP enzyme family), and oxidative stress mechanisms (10). Several TD–GWASs have been performed, reporting some promising signals (11–14). Of particular interest is the association of the HSPG2 SNP rs2445142 with TD, in Japanese and Caucasian populations (12, 15).

Genetic research on other types of antipsychotic-induced movement disorders is limited. Moreover, many studies regard
different forms of acute drug-induced movement disorders as a single clinical entity (16). Studies that focus specifically on pharmacogenetics of antipsychotic-induced parkinsonism (AIP) are few, and have mostly concentrated on functional variants within selected candidate genes such as the dopamine receptors DRD2 and DRRD3 and serotonin receptors HTR2A and HTR2C (16, 17). The Regulator of G protein signaling 2 (RGS2) gene was associated with AIP (18, 19) and supported functionally by behavioral studies of AIP-like features in mice carrying a mutation leading to reduction of gene expression (20). However, other groups have failed to validate this association. (21–23). An AIP-GWAS (24) revealed association of the rs12678719 SNP in the ZFPM2 gene with this phenotype, that was validated in an independent sample (25).

While pharmacogenetics may have major clinical value to personalized medicine in psychiatry (26, 27), the aim of this hypothesis article is to put the genetics of antipsychotic-induced movement disorders in a broader context. We suggest that genetic variants, which are risk factors for AIP and TD, may provide insights into a different disease related to the dopaminergic system, Parkinson’s disease (PD). This original hypothesis suggests that the same genes, which confer susceptibility to or protection against AIP and TD (among schizophrenia patients treated with antipsychotics), may be modifier genes that influence the clinical expression of PD in those affected by this disease. AIP variants might be related to compensatory mechanisms for dopamine loss in the striatum, or influence nigrostriatal (NS) pathway integrity and functionality and thereby possibly modulate PD motor sub-phenotypes such as age at disease onset and rate of disease progression. Another sub-phenotype of importance is susceptibility of PD patients to early development of a serious side effect of dopaminergic treatment, l-DOPA induced dyskinesia (LID). LID may share a common genetic background with TD, with which it shares clinical features.

In the following sections, we present epidemiological, imaging, and molecular data that support this line of thought. Naturally, we do not claim that AIP and motor symptoms of PD or TD and LID are clinically identical entities. On the other hand, there is substantial and intriguing similarity between two phenotype pairs, which is the background to our hypothesis. Although the empirical supporting evidence for our assumptions is limited at the current stage of the field (as presented below) and some of the underlying assumptions might be eventually wrong, the aim of this paper is to introduce a novel hypothesis and perspective, challenging future study in this direction (employing more advanced genetic, genomic, and epigenetic tools), rather than to reach definitive conclusions.

**AIP IN RELATION TO PD GENETICS**

**ANTIPSYCHOTIC-INDUCED PARKINSONISM**

Antipsychotic-induced parkinsonism, the most common manifestation of antipsychotic-induced movement disorders, is an acute, reversible side effect (28, 29). AIP prevalence varies widely from 15% to more than 40% of patients treated with antipsychotics (30, 31). This substantial heterogeneity may stem from inter-study differences in medication regimens and patient demographic background. Well documented risk factors for AIP are antipsychotic type (FGA versus SGA), high doses of antipsychotics, old age, and female gender (32, 33). According to data from the 1960s, most affected patients develop AIP within the first 2 months of antipsychotic exposure, or even earlier (34, 35). Improvement occurs within a few months.

The pathophysiology of AIP is not entirely clear. As a dose dependent phenomenon, it involves occupancy of the NS pathway dopamine D2 receptor (DRD2s) (36). All known, antipsychotics are DRD2s blockers and are thought to reduce psychotic symptoms by blocking these receptors in the mesolimbic dopamine pathway (4). An undesired effect is blockade of DRD2s in the NS pathway. Occupancy of more than 80% of DRD2s, as produced by typical antipsychotics, significantly increases AIP risk, while occupancy <70% induces no AIP (31). The reduced tendency of SGAs to cause AIP may be related to their faster dissociation from DRD2s, compared to FGAs (36).

Parkinson’s disease is a common neurodegenerative disorder, characterized pathologically by selective loss of dopaminergic neurons projecting from the substantia nigra to the striatum with concomitant reduction in the striatal concentration of dopamine and accumulation of Lewy bodies (37). Disease manifestation includes motor and non-motor symptoms, variable clinical expression, and a slowly deteriorating course (38, 39). The central motor features of PD are tremor at rest, rigidity, bradykinesia, postural instability, flexed posture, and freezing of gait (40). Genetic variants considered risk factors for development of PD include common SNPs [e.g., within MAPT and SNCA (41)] and rare variants (e.g., within LRRK2 and GBA genes) (42, 43), but multiple environmental risk factors were also reported (44).

The motor symptoms of AIP are similar to those of PD (45, 46). Indeed, there are clinical settings in which it is difficult to distinguish between the two (45, 47). Although AIP is considered to be more symmetric and less characterized by tremor compared than PD (48, 49), asymmetry, and tremor do occur frequently AIP patients (45, 50, 51). Other study suggested that AIP affects the upper limbs more than the lower ones (50). Naturally, reversibility of signs following antipsychotics withdrawal is characteristic of AIP and not PD. When reversibility does not happen, one should suspect underlying PD and consider DAT-SPECT examination (see below) (47). Also, while AIP is essentially a motor side effects among schizophrenia or other psychotic patients, the clinical picture of PD is much broader, and includes multiple non-motor symptoms such as neuropsychiatric disturbances (for example depression, anxiety, and hallucinations), cognitive decline, and autonomic dysfunction (52).

We suggest that genes associated with susceptibility to AIP may be modifier genes that influence the clinical expression of PD motor symptoms. The hypothesis is tethered in the fact that loss of dopaminergic stimulation (albeit reversible in AIP and irreversible in PD) is a core feature of both clinical entities. Thus, genes that affect occurrence and severity of AIP in schizophrenia patients exposed to antipsychotic-induced loss of dopaminergic stimulation, could have a similar role in modulating severity of several clinical aspects among PD patients (with neurodegenerative loss of dopaminergic NS input). Identification of gene variants that are protective against AIP (caused by administration of dopamine antagonist) could advance our understanding of the intrinsic ability of an individual to compensate for dopamine loss (best manifested in the context of PD). In addition, AIP vulnerability variants...
may be associated with subclinical dopaminergic deficits in the striatum that are aggravated by exposure to antipsychotics. Therefore, these variants could provide clues to the genetic contribution to NS pathway integrity in schizophrenia and PD.

AIP GENETIC VARIANTS AND COMPENSATORY MECHANISMS IN PD

Parkinsonian motor signs manifest only following degeneration of 70–80% of the NS dopaminergic neuron population (53, 54). Post-mortem analysis of Parkinsonian brains indicates that extensive loss of dopamine in the putamen and caudate nucleus can be accompanied by minor clinical manifestations only (55, 56). Inter-individual difference in severity of motor symptoms during early and mid-duration PD may be attributed to existence or lack of compensatory mechanisms that counterbalance dopamine loss (57), and reduce severity of motor symptoms and progression rate (58). Several categories of potential compensatory mechanisms (in the striatum, basal ganglia, and cortical/cerebellar) have been recognized in PD [reviewed in Ref. (58, 59) and summarized in Table 1].

If extrapolation is made from these putative compensatory mechanisms in PD to schizophrenia patients exposed to antipsychotics, lower severity of AIP symptoms seems related to the ability of the individual to counterbalance lack of dopamine induced by exogenous block of dopaminergic transmission. Individuals with higher compensatory potential would be less likely to develop AIP when treated with antipsychotics, due to mechanisms found at both striatal and extra-striatal levels, as mentioned above. Inter-personal differences in compensatory ability could be explained, at least partially, by genetic variants that are linked to one or more of the compensatory mechanisms, described in PD. However, PD pathophysiology involves loss of all dopaminergic transmission (both D1 and D2 receptor pathways), while AIP is primarily related to blockade of the DRD2 pathway. Therefore, the relevant compensatory mechanisms that effect AIP severity are probably more specifically related to DRD2 signaling at the striatal level, but may be more general and essentially similar to those mechanisms involved in PD at the extra-striatal level (e.g., alternation of indirect pathway activity by involvement of additional neurotransmission systems, or recruitment of brain region and networks in motor regions in the cortex or in the cerebellum that modulate parkinsonian symptoms and produce compensatory effect – as presented in Table 1).

If taken one step further, AIP genetic variants could be relevant for etiology of some sub-phenotypes of PD motor symptoms, resulting in early or delayed disease onset, or accelerated or retarded rate of progression. PD is a late-onset disease, and the mean age at onset (AAO) is approximately 60 years (75). However, AAO of the disease is variable, ranging from early onset cases to cases in the 8th–9th decades of life (76, 77), and several genes have been associated with PD AAO (75, 78, 79). Identification of AIP protective variants can advance understanding of the intrinsic ability of an individual to compensate for dopamine loss and delay appearance of clinical PD motor symptoms. More specifically, we suggest that AIP susceptibility variants may be associated with earlier AAO among PD patients (lower ability to compensate for dopamine loss) and AIP protective variants with delayed AAO of motor symptoms (better compensatory capacity).

In a small pilot study (Greenbaum et al., unpublished), we checked this hypothesis by genotyping several top AIP variants, and analyzing their association with PD AAO [SNPs were chosen from our AIP–GWAS and previous RGS2 papers (18, 19, 24)]. We used two independent PD samples, recruited in Italy (N = 703, age range 34–76) and Israel (N = 233, age range 37–81). Two nominally significant associations in the expected direction were observed in the Italian sample, but did not withstand correction for multiple testing and were not validated in the Israeli sample. The negative findings do not necessarily mean that our basic assumption is incorrect. The fact that we were unable to support our hypothesis in this pilot study may stem from the relatively small sample sizes and limited statistical power. Alternatively, some of the AIP variants selected for this investigation may be false-positive due to spurious results in previous studies. If robust AIP risk variants are still undiscovered, lack of association with this PD sub-phenotype is understandable.

| Table 1 | Examples for compensatory mechanisms categories in PD |
| --- | --- |
| (A) Striatum localized mechanisms that maximize the effect of the remaining dopamine in this region, pre- and post-synaptic |
| 1. Upregulation of enzymes involved in dopamine metabolism [such as tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) or aromatic acid decarboxylase (AADC)] in order to increase dopamine synthesis in the residual neurons (60–62) |
| 2. Upregulation of DRD2 expression on striatal neurons (augmenting responsiveness to the remaining dopamine) (63–65) |
| 3. Downregulation of the dopamine transporter (DAT), which leads to decreased dopamine reuptake and higher concentration in the synaptic cleft (62, 66, 67) |
| 4. Increase in the number of intrinsic striatal tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) interneurons (68–70) |
| (B) Compensatory mechanisms located outside the striatum, and linked to modulation of basal ganglia direct and indirect pathways |
| Reduction of globus pallidus externa inhibition by the indirect pathway, reducing PD symptom severity. This might be mediated by several neurotransmitter systems, which reduce activity of the indirect pathway (for example, enkephalins) (58, 71) |
| (C) Compensatory mechanisms positioned in brain regions and networks outside the basal ganglia but involved in motor system control |
| Increased activity of relevant cortical (e.g., supplementary motor area) and cerebellar regions (counterbalancing impaired basal ganglia function) (72–74) |

SUBCLINICAL DOPAMINERGIC DEFICITS IN THE NS AND AIP RISK VARIANTS

Turning back to schizophrenia patients, an alternative functional explanation for the role of AIP genetic risk variants may be their influence on NS pathway integrity (and therefore its ability to deliver sufficient amount of dopamine to the striatum).
Dopaminergic deficits in the NS pathway may thus be a predisposing factor for AIP since schizophrenia patients with latent subclinical deficits in NS function would be more susceptible to external blockade of dopaminergic receptor, as happens in AIP (double hit model: underlying dopamine NS defect exaggerates DRD2 blockade). Thus, among AIP patients carrying the risk allele, administration of antipsychotic drugs that block DRD2s might aggravate preexisting, subclinical, pre-synaptic dopaminergic changes.

Integrity of NS tract can be evaluated by neuroimaging methods, including \[^{123}\text{I}]\text{FP-CIT SPECT.}\) The cocaine derivative \[^{123}\text{I}]\text{FP-CIT}\) is a sensitive marker for degeneration of the NS pathway, due to its binding to the dopamine transporter (DAT), a protein localized to pre-synaptic dopaminergic terminals (80, 81). By implementing this neuroimaging technique to quantify dopaminergic integrity, it is possible to study the effects of AIP risk variants on NS integrity among healthy individuals and PD or schizophrenia patients and thereby link genetic variants to pre-synaptic dopaminergic changes. There is evidence that 33–44% of variability in pre-synaptic dopamine uptake in the striatum is influenced by genetic factors (82).

We previously examined the hypothesis that AIP risk alleles would be associated with lower FP-CIT uptake in the putamen and caudate. In a small sample of early stage, Israeli, PD patients (\(N = 49\)), we genotyped and analyzed the association of the ZFP32 rs12678719 AIP risk variant (discovered in our AIP–GWAS) and validated in an additional population with degree of NS pathway terminal degeneration, as evaluated by DAT–SPECT (25). We found that the AIP risk allele “G” was significantly associated with lower ligand uptake in the contralateral putamen (contralateral to the worst body side of parkinsonism), when controlling for disease duration (25). This implies that at least among PD patients, this variant may be linked to mechanisms that increase dopaminergic deficits in the NS pathway, a potential predisposing factor for AIP among schizophrenia patients. Interestingly, several neuroimaging studies in drug-induced parkinsonism patients showed that some of them manifest significantly diminished striatal binding in DAT scans (47, 83–85). For example, abnormal SPECT findings were found in 41 of 97 AIP affected schizophrenia patients (42%) in a recent study, raising the possibility of coexistence of NS defects combined with DRD2 blockade by antipsychotics (49). According to our hypothesis, this finding might be explained by genetic factors predisposing to the subclinical NS deficits.

Taken together, it is possible that AIP associated variants will provide insights into genetic factors influencing dopamine secretion capacity, related to NS integrity and function. This information may be valuable for understanding the preclinical stages of PD in which substantial NS degeneration exist, despite few clinical motor manifestations. Combination of existing subclinical deficits at baseline state, with ongoing neurodegenerative disease, could result in earlier AAO and faster disease progression rate.

**POSSIBLE COMMON GENETIC RISK FACTORS FOR TD AND LID**

**TD AND LID**

Tardive dyskinesia is a chronic, severe, and potentially irreversible adverse effect of prolonged exposure to antipsychotics (36, 86). TD is characterized by abnormal involuntary movements of the face and extremities, and may fluctuate in severity (86, 87). In patients treated with FGAs, the incidence of TD is estimated as 5% per year (88), with a prevalence range of 20–25% among schizophrenia patients treated with antipsychotics chronically (86). SGAs are considered less likely to cause TD than FGAs, approximately 1% annually (89), but this rate is higher, according to other reports (90). Risk factors for TD include older age, female gender, and duration and intensity of antipsychotic treatment (91, 92). Genetic predisposition probably plays a role in determining individual TD susceptibility, as described in the introduction.

The etiology of TD is unknown, and several explanations have been suggested including supersensitivity of post-synaptic dopamine D2 receptors in the NS pathway following long-lasting blockade of dopamine (93, 94). Other possible mechanisms are damage to striatal GABA-containing neurons and dysregulation of this system (95), degeneration of striatal cholinergic interneurons (87), or neuronal damage (neurotoxicity) due to overproduction of free radicals (96, 97). Interestingly, there is evidence that spontaneous dyskinesia, similar to TD, may be present among schizophrenic patients who are antipsychotic naive, therefore related to the disease itself (98, 99), and the prevalence increases with advancing age (100). If true, it could be that antipsychotics exposure exacerbates the manifestation of an intrinsic “motor component” of schizophrenia, which is not merely a side effect of drugs (101). TD susceptibility genes may therefore represent risk variants for a “motor prone subtype” of schizophrenia (and manifests only among minority of patients), which is related to schizophrenia pathophysiology itself, rather than pure results of drug exposure.

Moving again to PD, dopamine replacement therapy [in particular, levodopa (\(l\)-DOPA)], is regarded as the pharmacological therapy of choice for the motor symptoms of this disease (37, 40). The majority of PD patients respond well to \(l\)-DOPA during the early years of treatment (102), but most will ultimately experience disabling adverse motor manifestations such as motor fluctuations and LID. LID is involuntary movements, mostly choreiform or dystonic in nature, affecting discrete body parts (103). Once established, LID is irreversible and appears on every administration of the dopaminergic agent (104). Several classifications for LID have been proposed; the most common types are peak-dose and diphasic (105). Although the overwhelming majority of PD patients will eventually develop LID (approximately 90% after 15 years of drug exposure) (106–108), some individuals develop it early and others relatively late. An accepted estimate is that approximately 40% of PD patients will develop LID following 4–6 years of \(l\)-DOPA treatment (109). Inter-individual differences in LID susceptibility and onset-time may be explained by a combination of demographic, clinical, and genetic risk factors. Higher LID vulnerability is linked to younger age at PD onset, longer PD duration, longer duration of \(l\)-DOPA treatment, higher drug doses, and female gender (103, 110). Genetic factors may contribute to LID variability in combination with clinical risk factors.

The underlying etiology of LID is unclear. LID development first requires denervation of the basal ganglia (as happens in PD), which changes response to future dopaminergic medications (105). Then, chronic consumption of \(l\)-DOPA (or other dopaminergic agents) causes pulsatile and non-physiological stimulation of dopamine receptors in the striatum. The result is
Analogy between two phenotypes may also be found at the pharma-co logical level; for example, overactivity of the glutamatergic system (104). D1 receptors and their downstream signaling cascade are considered to contribute substantially to LID pathophysiology (112), although other receptors are probably also relevant [e.g., DRD3, GABA-A, adenosine A2A, and more (104, 112)].

- DOPA induced dyskinesia and TD are both phenotypes of drug-induced hyperkinetic movement disorders, which share clinical similarities and may have an intersecting genetic background, as discussed below. Nevertheless, there are also some prominent clinical features, which differentiate the two types of dyskinesia. TD is more characterized by oral, buccal, and lingual movements (which are repetitive and complex), and limbs and trunk involvement is less severe (113). Compared to TD, LID is phenomenologically more heterogeneous, and includes chorea, athetosis, dystonia, and myoclonus (103, 114). Also, LID is pronounced in the extremities and neck (115, 116), and tend to start on the body side more severely affected by PD (113). Epidemiologically, two important interrelated risk factors for LID susceptibility are younger age at PD onset and longer disease duration (117–119), while the most consistently established risk factor for TD is advancing age (120, 121). These differences should be kept in mind.

TD AND LID: INTERSECTION OF THEIR GENETIC BACKGROUND

Based on the clinical and the neurobiological similarities of the two chronic drug-induced dyskinesia phenomena, we suggest a possible common genetic background shared by TD and LID, such that particular genetic variants are associated with susceptibility to TD as well as LID (same risk allele). Although the underlying disease context is different, there is a high level of clinical similarity between TD to LID, both being hyperkinetic phenotypes with uncontrolled movements. The two disorders are associated with an imbalance in the dopamine system, due to years of drug treatment leading to chronic, non-physiological, pulsatile stimulation (LID), or blockade (TD) of dopaminergic receptors. Analogy between two phenotypes may also be found at the pharmacological level; for example, overactivity of the glutamatergic system (104, 122). Amantadine, a glutamatergic antagonist, suppresses LID (123, 124) and also improves TD among schizophrenia patients (99, 125). Using MRI, volumetric abnormalities in the inferior frontal gyrus were demonstrated in patients with both phenotypes (126, 127).

Thus, we hypothesize that factors important in the pathophysiology of TD might be insightful for understanding that of LID (104). Actually, the potential existence of similar pathophysiological mechanisms between LID and TD is not new in the literature, and was postulated previously by several authors (104, 128, 129). The emphasis on the genetic aspect of this hypothesis is the novelty of this manuscript. Besides contributing to the molecular understanding of both TD and LID per se, this insight (if correct) may advance the field of PD pharmacogenetic as well as that of schizophrenia, due to reciprocal influences. Common genetic variants may predispose to both phenotypes. According to this risk allele sharing notion, risk variants for TD (among schizophrenia patients) may be relevant to early LID susceptibility in PD affected individuals, representing a pleiotropic effect. The extent of this genetic overlap is probably limited and partial, while additional genetic risk variants confer susceptibility to LID or TD, separately.

In a proof of concept study, we analyzed the association of 21 TD-associated SNPs with LID susceptibility in two independent samples of PD patients (130). SNPs were chosen based on previous TD–GWASs in several populations (12–14) and top results from the candidate gene literature. Controlling for relevant clinical variables, only a single SNP (DRD2 rs1800497) was associated with LID in the Italian sample (187 early LID developers versus 203 non-early developers), in the expected direction of effect. This finding was not validated in a Jewish Israeli sample (128 LID positive and 75 negative, after 3 years of drug exposure), and did not withstand correction for multiple testing (130). However, the negative findings do not exclude the possible validity of our initial hypotheses of shared genetic predisposition (alternative explanations to lack of confirmation are elaborated above, in Section “AIP Genetic Variants and Compensatory Mechanisms in PD”). The goal of our preliminary data presentation was to demonstrate the applicability of this approach, and its possible implementation.

Compared to the relatively extensive volume of pharmacogenetic studies in TD, research on the genetics of LID is limited. Several candidate gene studies were performed in small samples [for example, Ref. (131–133)], but results are mostly inconsistent and lack replications. GWASs have not been published for LID thus far. Findings from the more mature field of TD genetics may provide reasonable candidate genetic variants for LID research (and if validated also molecular targets for further research and drug development). Analysis of novel and robust TD variants detected in the future for association with LID is indicated and may support our hypothesis. Moreover, when LID GWASs are hopefully performed and published in the future, it will be of interest to compare the top association signals from GWASs of both phenotypes, looking for overlapping risk variants and genes.

CONCLUSION

Further application of pharmacogenetic tools to antipsychotic drug therapy is greatly needed (134, 135). Identification of genetic biomarkers that may assist in a priori prediction of antipsychotic-induced movement disorders manifestations such as AIP and TD among schizophrenia patients will be of great value. The aim of this article has been to broaden the context of antipsychotic-induced movement disorders genetics research. We put forward the hypothesis that extending our understanding of the genetic background of AIP and TD and applying this knowledge to PD could render pivotal insights into genetic and molecular aspects of PD, provide new targets for drug development, and assist in finding biomarkers for personalized medicine. We have suggested that:

- Genes, which confer susceptibility to or protection against antipsychotic-induced movement disorders (in particular, AIP and TD), might also be modifier genes that influence PD motor clinical features.
- AIP linked variants may shed light on PD sub-phenotypes such as AAO or rate of disease progression, due to their possible functional influence on compensatory mechanisms for
dopamine loss in the striatum, and/or to latent deficits of the NS pathway.

- TD and LID may share genetic risk variants, associated with an uncontrolled hyperkinetic phenotype that appears following long-term fluctuating and non-physiological stimulation of striatal dopaminergic receptors.

As an unproven hypothesis, not well supported by empirical data, caution in evaluation is required. We render only modest empirical support for our hypothesis, based on traditional pharmacogenetics association studies (candidate genes and GWASs), in relatively small and probably underpowered samples. However, the aim of this paper is to raise new postulations, and stimulate direction for future research. Due to recent advances in last decade in genetics, genomics, and epigenetics research, we are now in a better position that may enable systematic investigation of these hypotheses in detail, beyond the limited tools used to date.

Larger sample GWASs will assist in this task, especially when combined with a pathway analysis approach. Since phenotype susceptibility depend on cumulative effect of variants within multiple genes in relatively small number of functional pathways (136, 137), this method may assist in shedding light on shared molecular pathways between phenotypes of interest, beyond the contribution of specific gene. Also, beneficial is the employment of functional genomics methods, which detect alteration in expression profile at the transcriptome and proteomic level, where large number of genes/proteins are analyzed simultaneously (135, 136, 138). Peripheral white blood cells and post-mortem brain tissue are potential tissue sources to discover genomic biomarkers correlated to AIP, TD, and LID. The same sources shall also be used in investigation of shared epigenetics mechanisms to phenotypes of interest, such as DNA methylation or micro RNA (e.g., epigenome-wide association studies) (43, 139). Last, multiple rare genetic variants with large effect size (discovery by whole exome sequencing) may also be relevant to genetics of antipsychotic-induced movement disorders, and its intersection with PD. Currently, understanding the contribution of rare variants to pharmacogenetic traits is only at the beginning. Integration of data derived from these approaches, in addition to animal models studies (available for most phenotypes discussed here), aiming to gain mechanistic insights – will assist in better understanding of the genetic architecture of the phenotypes discussed above, and the potential intersection between them.

As novel findings and mechanisms will emerge and accumulate, it will be possible to validate the hypothesis presented, or refute it. However, if these assumptions are correct, even partially, then the incentive for deciphering antipsychotic-induced movement disorders genetic is high, with broader applications for PD and schizophrenia research.
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