FISH Mapping of Telomeric and Non-Telomeric (AG₃T₃)₃ Reveal the Chromosome Numbers and Chromosome Rearrangements of 41 Woody Plants
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Abstract: Data for the chromosomal FISH mapping localization of (AG₃T₃)₃ are compiled for 37 species belonging 27 families; for 24 species and 14 families, this is the first such report. The chromosome number and length ranged from 14–136 and 0.56–14.48 µm, respectively. A total of 23 woody plants presented chromosome length less than 3 µm, thus belonging to the small chromosome group. Telomeric signals were observed at each chromosome terminus in 38 plants (90.5%) and were absent at several chromosome termini in only four woody plants (9.5%). Non-telomeric signals were observed in the chromosomes of 23 plants (54.8%); in particular, abundant non-telomeric (AG₃T₃)₃ was obviously observed in Chimonanthus campylopalatus. Telomeric signals outside of the chromosome were observed in 11 woody plants (26.2%). Overall, ten (AG₃T₃)₃ signal pattern types were determined, indicating the complex genome architecture of the 37 considered species. The variation in signal pattern was likely due to chromosome deletion, duplication, inversion, and translocation. In addition, large primary constriction was observed in some species, probably due to or leading to chromosome breakage and the formation of new chromosomes. The presented results will guide further research focused on determining the chromosome number and disclosing chromosome rearrangements of woody plants.
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1. Introduction

Most eukaryotes possess chromosomal termini made up of 5–8 bp simple tandem DNA repeats—commonly called telomeric repeats [1–3]—which, in all eukaryotes, share similarities. Telomeric DNA repeats typically follow the formula (T₅A₅G₅)ₙ. Furthermore, they are well-conserved, and previous findings have revealed their diversity. There exist at least 17 variable telomeric sequences: TAG₃ [4], TA₂G₂ [5], TA₂G₃ [5], T₂AG₂ [6], T₂AG₃ [7], T₃AG₃ [8–10], AG₃T₃ [11], T₄AG₃ [12], A₂TG₆ [13], T₂AT₂AG₃ [7], T₅AG₃ [14,15], TCAG₂ [16], T₂AG₂C [17], T₂CAG₂ [18], T₃CAG₂ [18], C₃TA₃ [19], T₂N₁AG₃ [20], and T₂ATG₂CTCG₂ [21]. Undoubtedly, the most widespread telomeric repeat is T₂AG₃ in animals [22], while that in most plants is T₃AG₃ [23].

Telomeric repeats are not often localized at chromosomal termini and have also been found in multiple intercalary sites of chromosomes in many species [3,23–25]. Intersitial telomeric sequences may represent a significant part of the telomeric DNA [3]. Cytogenetic analysis has found two major types of intersitial telomeric sequences: one is heterochromatic and large, found in centromeric or pericentromeric regions, while the other type is short and distributed at various positions in chromosomes [3]. Unlike telomeric repeats located at termini, intersitial telomeric sequences confer karyotype plasticity. Their unstable and high-length polymorphisms may increase chromosomal fragility and contribute to chromosomal reorganization [3,23,24]. Intersitial telomeric sequences have been shown to cause a change in the chromosome number in Cardamine cordifolia A. Gray [26], as well
as in Asteraceae and Brassicaceae [27]. Interstitial telomeric sequences may be derived from centric fusions of Robertsonian translocation [28–30], generated through pericentric inversions [31,32], or be the product of equilocal dispersion of telomeric DNA to interstitial regions of the chromosome through transposition or heterologous recombination [33,34].

Many studies have reported on the T3AG3 distribution in woody plants. T3AG3 were observed at each chromosome end in Populus trichocarpa Torr. and A. Gray ex Hook. [35], Picea abies (L.) H. Karst. and Larix decidua Mill. [36], Abies alba Mill. [37,38], Cynara scolymus L., [39], Strobos spp. [40], Aralia elata (Miq.) Seem., Dendropanax morbiferus H. Lév., Eucalyptus sessiliflorus (Rupr. Et Maxim.) Seem. and Kalopanax septemlobus (Thunb. ex A.Murr.) Koidz [41]. Furthermore, T3AG3 has been observed at the interstitial regions and at all chromosome ends in Pinus spp. [42–45] and Podocarpus spp. [46]. No T3AG3 signal was found in species of Sessia, Vesta, and Cestrum, but the T3AG3 sequence was dispersed in the Cestrum genome [14,15].

AG3T3 is similar to but different from T3AG3 and has only been found to be used in 22 woody plants, 14 species, and 11 genera. AG3T3 have been observed at each chromosome end in Hibiscus mutabilis L. [47], Juglans regia L. ‘Chuanzao1’, J. regia L. ‘Yanyuanzao’, Juglans sigillata Dode ‘Maerkang’, and J. sigillata Dode ‘Muzhilinhe’ [48], Fraxinus pennsylvanica Marsh., Syringa oblat a Ait., Ligustrum lucidum Lindl. and Ligustrum × vicaryi Rehder [49]; and Berberis diaphana Maxim. and Berberis soulieana Schneid [50]. Telomeric AG3T3 ensures integrated and easily countable chromosomes. Furthermore, T3AG3 has been observed in the interstitial regions and at all chromosome ends in Hippophae rhamnoides ‘Wucifeng’, H. rhamnoides ‘Shenqiuqiang’, H. rhamnoides ‘Zhuangyuanhuang’, cultural H. rhamnoides ssp. sinensis, wild H. rhamnoides ssp. sinensis [11], Robinia pseudoacacia L., R. pseudoacacia ‘idaho’, R. pseudoacacia f. decaisneana (Carr.) Voss, Styrpholobium japonicum (L.) Schott, Amorpha fruticosa L. [51], and C. campanulatus R.H. Chang and C.S. Ding [52]. Non-telomeric AG3T3 may indicate chromosomal variation. There is still a great need to explore the telomeric and non-telomeric AG3T3 chromosome distribution in other woody plants. To determine the chromosome number and disclose the chromosome rearrangements in woody plants, in this study, we carried out fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) mapping to reveal highly abundant (AG3T3)3, established an ideogram to describe the complex genome architecture and, finally, discussed the proposed origin of (AG3T3)3 diversity in woody plants.

2. Materials and Methods

The species chosen for these experiments were firstly considered due to the occurrence of karyotype rearrangements [11,47–53], and secondly for investigation of species in which (AG3T3)3 has not yet been explored. Zea mays L. was chosen as it possesses the telomeric repetitive unit AGGGTTT conserved in plant chromosome telomeres. It is contained in the sequence named M8-2D, a B chromosome-specific sequence in Z. mays, which has low homology to clones from Z. mays chromosome 4 centromere. M8-2D is localized in B chromosome centromeric and telomeric regions [53]. Hence, we used Z. mays to test the used probe and as a control.

Details of the seeds or seedlings of Z. mays and the 41 woody plants (belonging to 37 species, 27 genera, 18 families) used in the present work are provided in Table 1. All 42 plants were collected from 12 Counties or Districts of Sichuan Province, China.

Table 1. Details of Z. mays and the 41 woody plants used in this study.

| Family       | No. | Species            | Collection Location |
|--------------|-----|--------------------|---------------------|
| Poaceae      | 1   | Z. mays L.         | Wenjiang, Sichuan   |
|              | 2   | Ceris chinensis Bunge | Wenjiang, Sichuan   |
| Fabaceae     | 3   | R. pseudoacacia L. | Wenjiang, Sichuan   |
|              | 4   | Erythrina crista-galli L. | Wenjiang, Sichuan   |
Table 1. Cont.

| Family           | No. | Species                             | Collection Location |
|------------------|-----|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Euphorbiaceae    | 5   | Croton tiglium L.                   | Yaan, Sichuan       |
| 6                |     | Platycladus orientalis (L.) Franco  | Wenjiang, Sichuan   |
| 7                |     | Cupressus funebris Endl.            | Wenjiang, Sichuan   |
| 8                |     | Cryptomeria japonica (L. f.) D. Don | Wenjiang, Sichuan   |
| 9                |     | Cryptomeria fortune Hooibrenk ex   | Wenjiang, Sichuan   |
|                 | Otto et Dietr.                      |                     |
| Cupressaceae     | 6   | Platycladus orientalis (L.) Franco  | Wenjiang, Sichuan   |
| 7                |     | Cupressus funebris Endl.            | Wenjiang, Sichuan   |
| 8                |     | Cryptomeria japonica (L. f.) D. Don | Wenjiang, Sichuan   |
| 9                |     | Cryptomeria fortune Hooibrenk ex   | Wenjiang, Sichuan   |
|                 | Otto et Dietr.                      |                     |
| 10               | Cycas revolute Thunb.               | Wenjiang, Sichuan   |
| 11               | C. campanulatus R.H.Chang, C.S.Ding | Jinniu, Sichuan     |
| 12               | Taxus chinensis (Pilger) Rehd.      | Wenchuan, Sichuan   |
| 13               | Taxus yunnanensis W.C.Cheng and L.K.Fu | Yanan, Sichuan   |
| 14               | Taxus media                         | Yanan, Sichuan      |
| 15               | Taxus cuspidate Sieb. et Zucc.      | Dujiangyan, Sichuan |
| 16               | Taxus wallichiana Zucc.             | Yanan, Sichuan      |
| Cycadaceae       | 10  | Cycas revolute Thunb.               | Wenjiang, Sichuan   |
| 11               | C. campanulatus R.H.Chang, C.S.Ding | Jinniu, Sichuan     |
| 12               | Taxus media                         | Yanan, Sichuan      |
| 13               | Taxus media                         | Yanan, Sichuan      |
| 14               | Taxus media                         | Yanan, Sichuan      |
| 15               | Taxus media                         | Yanan, Sichuan      |
| 16               | Taxus media                         | Yanan, Sichuan      |
| Taxaceae         | 17  | Quercus semecarpifolia Smith        | Wenchuan, Sichuan   |
| 18               | wild H. rhamnoides ssp. sinensis Rousi | Wenchuan, Sichuan   |
| 19               | H. rhamnoides L. ‘Wucifeng’         | Wenchuan, Sichuan   |
| 20               | H. rhamnoides L. ‘Sheniuhong’       | Wenchuan, Sichuan   |
| Elaeagnaceae     | 18  | H. rhamnoides L. ‘Sheniuhong’       | Wenchuan, Sichuan   |
| 19               | H. rhamnoides L. ‘Wucifeng’         | Wenchuan, Sichuan   |
| 20               | H. rhamnoides L. ‘Sheniuhong’       | Wenchuan, Sichuan   |
| 21               | H. rhamnoides L. ‘Zhuangyuanhuang’  | Wenchuan, Sichuan   |
| 22               | cultural H. rhamnoides ssp. sinensis Rousi | Wenchuan, Sichuan   |
| 23               | Elaeagnus lanceolates Warb. apud Diels | Wenchuan, Sichuan   |
| Fagaceae         | 24  | Persea Americana Mill               | Xichang, Sichuan    |
| 25               | Litsea baviensis Lec.               | Jinniu, Sichuan     |
| 26               | Litsea elongate Lec.                | Jinniu, Sichuan     |
| Berberidaceae    | 27  | B. diaphana Maxim.                 | Wenchuan, Sichuan   |
| 28               | Koelreuteria paniculata Laxm.       | Wenchuan, Sichuan   |
| Sapindaceae      | 29  | J. regia L. ‘Chuanzao1’             | Qingbaijiang, Sichuan |
| 30               | J. regia L. ‘Yanyuanzao’            | Yanyuan, Sichuan    |
| 31               | J. sigillata Dode ‘Maerkang’        | Maerkang, Sichuan   |
| 32               | J. sigillata Dode ‘Muzhlinhe’       | Gulin, Sichuan      |
| Juglandaceae     | 33  | Podocarpus macrophyllus (Thunb.) Sweet | Wenchuan, Sichuan   |
| 34               | Iodes polycarpa Maxim.              | Wenchuan, Sichuan   |
| Podocarpaceae    | 35  | S. oblata Lindl.                   | Wenchuan, Sichuan   |
| 36               | L. lucidum Ait.                     | Wenchuan, Sichuan   |
| 37               | L. × vicaryi Rehder                 | Wenchuan, Sichuan   |
| 38               | F. pennsylvanica Marsh.             | Wenchuan, Sichuan   |
| Oleaceae         | 39  | Firmiana simplex (Linnaeus) W. Wight | Wenchuan, Sichuan   |
| 40               | H. mutabilis L.                     | Jinniu, Sichuan     |
| Malvaceae        | 41  | Zanthoxylum armatum DC.             | Jinyang, Sichuan    |
| 42               | Zanthoxylum bungeanum Maxim.        | Hanyuan, Sichuan    |

2.1. Probe and Chromosome Preparation

The 21 bp oligo-probe of (AG₃TG₃)₃, 5’-AGGTTTACTAGTTAGGTGGTTT-3’, was first reported in Z. mays [53] and has been further applied in Berberidaceae [50], Calycanthaceae [52], Elaeagnaceae [11], Fabaceae [51], Juglandaceae [48], Malvaceae [47], and Oleaceae [49]. This oligo-probe was synthesized by Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China), and tested simultaneously in a single round of FISH. The oligo-probe was 5’-labeled with 6-carboxy-fluorescein (6-FAM; absorption/emission wavelengths 494 nm/518 nm; green).

Collected seeds were germinated in culture dishes with wet filter paper and kept at 25 °C in the daytime and at 18 °C in the night until the roots were ~2 cm in length, which were then cut. The collected seedlings were cultured in soil at room temperature (15–25 °C) until many new roots grew out, which were then cut again. The cut roots were treated with
nitrous oxide (N_2O) gas for 2–6 h, with treatment time depending on chromosome length and cell wall lignification. Next, the samples were fixed in glacial acetic acid for 5–10 min, then kept in 75% ethyl alcohol. Chromosome preparation was carried out according to the procedure described by Luo et al. [51]. As these techniques have been described elsewhere, they will be detailed briefly here. Approximately 1 mm of the meristematic zone of the root tip was enzymolyzed at 37 °C for 45 min by using cellulase and pectinase (1 mL buffer + 0.04 g cellulase + 0.02 g pectinase, the buffer 50 mL was included 0.5707 g trisodium citrate + 0.4324 g citric acid), which were produced by Yakult Pharmaceutical Ind. Co., Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan) and Kyowa Chemical Products Co., Ltd. (Osaka, Japan), and then mixed into suspension for dropping onto slides. These slides were air dried then examined using an Olympus CX23 microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

2.2. FISH Hybridization

Slides with well-spread samples were used for hybridization. Chromosomes were first subjected to a series of fixation (10 min, 4% paraformaldehyde, room temperature), dehydrated (5 min, 75%, 95%, 100% ethanol, room temperature), denatured (2 min, deionized formamide, 80 °C), and a second dehydration (5 min, 75%, 95%, 100% ethanol, −20 °C), and then hybridized (10 µL hybridization mixture of 0.375 µL of (AG_3T_3)_3, 4.675 µL of 2× SSC, and 4.95 µL of ddH_2O) for 1–2 h at 37 °C. Subsequently, hybridized chromosomes were washed with 2× SSC and ddH_2O twice for 5 min at room temperature, and air-dried. Finally, they were counterstained with 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI, Vector Laboratories, Inc., Burlingame, CA, USA) for 5 min, referring to the procedure described by Luo et al. [51]. Slides were checked using an Olympus BX-63 microscope (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan), and FISH images were captured by a DP-70 CCD camera connected to the microscope.

2.3. Karyotype Analysis

Raw images were processed using the DP Manager (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) and Photoshop CC 2015 (Adobe Systems Incorporated, San Jose, CA, USA) software. At least ten slides of each plant were observed, and at least fifteen cells with good chromosome spread were used for chromosome counting and length measurement. All chromosomes were aligned from longest to shortest. The chromosome ratio was determined by comparing the length of the longest chromosome to that of the shortest chromosome. Further karyotype analysis could not be carried out due to the small chromosome size and unclear centromere location of many of the species.

3. Results

3.1. Karyotype Analysis Revealed Differences among 37 Species

For 24 species and 14 families, this is the first time that (AG_3T_3)_3 testing has been reported. To visualize the chromosomal distribution of (AG_3T_3)_3 in Z. mays and the 41 woody plants, we performed FISH analysis, as shown in Figure 1(A1–A11), Figure 2(B1–B16), and Figure 3(C1–C15). To clearly display each chromosome distribution of the (AG_3T_3)_3, we cut those in Figures 1–3 and aligned them, as shown in Figure 4(A1–A11), Figure 5(B1–B16), and Figure 6(C1–C15).
Figure 1. Oligo-FISH depicting the (AG₃T₃)₃ present in *Z. mays* and ten woody plants. Chromosomes in A1–A8 and A11 are from metaphase, while the chromosomes in A9–A10 are from prometaphase. Oligo-probe (AG₃T₃)₃ is exhibited by green signals: (A1) *Z. mays*, 2n = 20; (A2) *C. chinensis*, 2n = 14; (A3) *R. pseudoacacia*, 2n = 22; (A4) *E. crista-galli*, 2n = 42; (A5) *C. tiglium*, 2n = 20; (A6) *P. orientalis*, 2n = 22; (A7) *C. funebris*, 2n = 22; (A8) *C. japonica*, 2n = 22; (A9) *C. fortunei*, 2n = 22; (A10) *C. revoluta*, 2n = 22; and (A11) *C. campanulatus*, 2n = 22. Bar: 3 μm.
Figure 2. Oligo-FISH depicting the $(AG_3T_3)_3$ present in 16 woody plants. All chromosomes in B1–B16 are from metaphase. Oligo-probe $(AG_3T_3)_3$ is exhibited by green signals: (B1) *T. chinensis*, $2n = 24$; (B2) *T. yunnanensis*, $2n = 24$; (B3) *T. media*, $2n = 24$; (B4) *T. cuspidata*, $2n = 24$; (B5) *T. wallichiana*, $2n = 24$; (B6) *Q. semecarpifolia*, $2n = 24$; (B7) wild *H. rhamnoides* ssp. *sinensis*, $2n = 24$; (B8) *H. rhamnoides* ‘Wucifeng’, $2n = 24$; (B9) *H. rhamnoides* ‘Shenqiuhong’, $2n = 24$; (B10) *H. rhamnoides* ‘Zhuangyuanhuang’, $2n = 24$; (B11) cultural *H. rhamnoides* ssp. *sinensis*, $2n = 24$; (B12) *E. lanceolata*, $2n = 28$; (B13) *P. americana*, $2n = 24$; (B14) *L. baviensis*, $2n = 24$; (B15) *L. elongate*, $2n = 24$; and (B16) *B. diaphana*, $2n = 28$. Bar: 3 μm.
Figure 3. Oligo-FISH depicting the (AG₃T₃)₃ present in 15 woody plants. All chromosomes in C1–C15 are from metaphase. Oligo-probe (AG₃T₃)₃ is exhibited by green signals: (C1) K. paniculata, 2n = 32; (C2) J. regia ‘Chuanzao1’, 2n = 34; (C3) J. regia ‘Yanyuanzao’, 2n = 34; (C4) J. sigillata ‘Maerkang’, 2n = 34; (C5) J. sigillata ‘Muzhilinhe’, 2n = 34; (C6) P. macrophyllus, 2n = 36; (C7) I. polycarpa, 2n = 38; (C8) S. oblata, 2n = 46; (C9) L. lucidum, 2n = 46; (C10) L. × vicaryi, 2n = 46; (C11) P. pennsylvanica, 2n = 46; (C12) F. simplex, 2n = 36; (C13) H. mutabilis, 2n = 90; (C14) Z. armatum, 2n = 98; and (C15) Z. bungeanum, 2n = 136. Bar: 3 μm.
Figure 4. FISH karyograms of *Z. mays* and the ten woody plants from Figure 1. Oligo-probe (AG₃T₃)₃ is exhibited by green signals. The upper/lower numbers (1–11) represent the chromosome pairs. The first/last chromosome of each plant were used to calculate the chromosome length: (A1) *Z. mays*, 2.79–5.58 μm; (A2) *C. chinensis*, 1.67–2.55 μm; (A3) *R. pseudoacacia*, 1.12–1.74 μm; (A4) *E. crista-galli*, 1.57–2.51 μm; (A5) *C. tiglium*, 2.09–3.70 μm; (A6) *P. orientalis*, 4.71–7.67 μm; (A7) *C. funebris*, 1.67–7.15 μm; (A8) *C. japonica*, 4.01–6.70 μm; (A9) *C. fortunei*, 7.85–8.79 μm; (A10) *C. revoluta*, 8.06–14.48 μm; and (A11) *C. campanulatus*, 1.60–2.52 μm.
Figure 5. FISH karyograms of the 16 woody plants cutting from Figure 2. Oligo-probe (AG3T3)3 is exhibited by green signals. The upper/lower numbers (1–14) represent the chromosome pairs. The first/last chromosome of each plant were used to calculate the chromosome length: (B1) T. chinensis, 2.16–5.76 μm; (B2) T. yunnanensis, 2.48–6.56 μm; (B3) T. media, 3.14–7.33 μm; (B4) T. cuspidata, 3.07–7.09 μm; (B5) T. wallichiana, 3.31–8.37 μm; (B6) Q. semecarpifolia, 1.57–3.21 μm; (B7) wild H. rhamnoides ssp. sinensis, 1.15–2.41 μm; (B8) H. rhamnoides ‘Wucifeng’, 1.40–2.48 μm; (B9) H. rhamnoides ‘Shenqihong’, 1.36–2.44 μm; (B10) H. rhamnoides ‘Zhuangyuanhuang’, 1.47–2.72 μm; (B11) cultural H. rhamnoides ssp. sinensis, 1.60–2.69 μm; (B12) E. lanceolata, 1.05–3.84 μm; (B13) P. americana, 1.26–4.36 μm; (B14) L. baviensis, 2.09–4.99 μm; (B15) L. elongate, 1.74–3.28 μm; and (B16) B. diaphana, 2.09–2.93 μm.
Figure 6. FISH karyogram of 15 woody plants cutting from Figure 3. Oligo-probe (AG₃T₃)₃ is exhibited by green signals. The upper/lower numbers (1–19) represent the chromosome pairs. The first/last chromosome of each plant were used to calculate the chromosome length: (C1) *K. paniculata*, 0.56–1.12 μm; (C2) *J. regia* ‘Chuanzao1’, 0.63–2.60 μm; (C3) *J. regia* ‘Yanyuanzao’, 1.01–2.55 μm; (C4) *J. sigillata* ‘Maerkang’, 0.66–1.88 μm; (C5) *J. sigillata* ‘Muzhilinhe’, 0.87–2.79 μm; (C6) *P. macrophyllus*, 1.92–7.01 μm; (C7) *I. polycarpa*, 0.94–2.23 μm; (C8) *S. oblata*, 1.64–2.20 μm; (C9) *L. lucidum*, 0.98–1.36 μm; (C10) *L. × vicaryi*, 1.37–3.07 μm; (C11) *F. pennsylvanica*, 0.98–2.06 μm; (C12) *F. simplex*, 1.08–2.37 μm; (C13) *H. mutabilis*, 1.22–2.90 μm; (C14) *Z. armatum*, 0.98–1.99 μm; and (C15) *Z. bungeanum*, 0.94–2.62 μm.
The chromosome number and length for the considered species were sorted in Table 2. The chromosome number in the 42 plants ranged from 14 (C. chinensis, A3) to 136 (Z. bungeanum, C15). A total of 14 woody plants possessed 24 chromosomes (one third), whereas seven woody plants possessed 22 chromosomes (one sixth). The longest chromosome length of each plant ranged from 1.12 µm (K. paniculata, C1) to 14.48 µm (C. revoluta, A10), while the shortest chromosome length of each plant ranged from 0.56 µm (K. paniculata, C1) to 8.06 µm (C. revoluta, A10). A total of 23 woody plants (nearly one third) had chromosome length less than 3 µm, thus falling into the small chromosome category. Due to the indistinct location of centromeres and small size of chromosomes in many of the considered woody plants, further karyotype analysis—such as long/short arm length and karyotype formula—was not carried out. Karyotype asymmetry was assessed using the ratio of longest to shortest chromosome length. The largest ratio was 4.28 in C. funebris (A7), while the smallest ratio was 1.12 in C. fortunei (A9). The ratio for 17 plants ranged from 1 to 2 (40.5%), while that of 19 plants ranged from 2 to 3 (45.2%). The ratio was greater than 3 for six plants: C. funebris (A7), E. lanceolata (B12), P. americana (B13), J. regia ‘Chuanzao1’ (C2), J. sigillata ‘Muzhilinhe’ (C5), and P. macrophyllus (C6). These results indicated that abundant differences exist among 37 of the considered species.

Table 2. Chromosome number and length of the 42 plants used in this study.

| Accession | Species                        | Chromosome Number | Chromosome Length | Karyotype Asymmetry |
|-----------|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| A1        | Z. mays                        | 2n = 20           | 2.79–5.58 µm      | 2.00                |
| A2        | C. chinensis                   | 2n = 14           | 1.67–2.55 µm      | 1.53                |
| A3        | R. pseudoacacia                | 2n = 22           | 1.12–1.74 µm      | 1.56                |
| A4        | E. crista-galli                | 2n = 42           | 1.57–2.51 µm      | 1.60                |
| A5        | C. tiglium                     | 2n = 20           | 2.09–3.70 µm      | 1.77                |
| A6        | P. orientalis                  | 2n = 22           | 4.71–7.67 µm      | 1.63                |
| A7        | C. funebris                    | 2n = 22           | 1.67–7.15 µm      | 4.28                |
| A8        | C. japonica                    | 2n = 22           | 4.01–6.70 µm      | 1.67                |
| A9        | C. fortune                     | 2n = 22           | 7.85–8.79 µm      | 1.12                |
| A10       | C. revoluta                    | 2n = 22           | 8.06–14.48 µm     | 1.80                |
| A11       | C. campanulatus                | 2n = 22           | 1.60–2.52 µm      | 1.58                |
| B1        | T. chinensis                   | 2n = 24           | 2.16–5.76 µm      | 2.68                |
| B2        | T. yunnanensis                 | 2n = 24           | 2.48–6.56 µm      | 2.65                |
| B3        | T. media                       | 2n = 24           | 3.14–7.33 µm      | 2.33                |
| B4        | T. cuspidate                   | 2n = 24           | 3.07–7.09 µm      | 2.31                |
| B5        | T. wallichiana                 | 2n = 24           | 3.31–8.37 µm      | 2.53                |
| B6        | Q. semecarpifolia              | 2n = 24           | 1.57–3.21 µm      | 2.04                |
| B7        | wild *H. rhamnoides* ssp. sinensis | 2n = 24         | 1.15–2.41 µm      | 2.10                |
| B8        | *H. rhamnoides* L. ‘Wucifeng’  | 2n = 24           | 1.40–2.48 µm      | 1.77                |
| B9        | *H. rhamnoides* L. ‘ShenqiuHong’ | 2n = 24          | 1.36–2.44 µm      | 1.79                |
| B10       | ‘Zhuangyuanhuang’              | cultural *H. rhamnoides* ssp. sinensis | 2n = 24            | 1.47–2.72 µm      | 1.84                |
| B11       | ‘ShenqiuHong’ cultural *H. rhamnoides* ssp. sinensis | 2n = 24            | 1.60–2.69 µm      | 1.68                |
| B12       | E. lanceolata                  | 2n = 28           | 1.05–3.84 µm      | 3.66                |
| B13       | P. americana                   | 2n = 24           | 1.26–4.36 µm      | 3.46                |
| B14       | L. baicalensis                 | 2n = 24           | 2.09–4.99 µm      | 2.39                |
| B15       | L. elongate                    | 2n = 24           | 1.74–3.28 µm      | 1.89                |
| B16       | B. diaphana                    | 2n = 28           | 2.09–2.93 µm      | 1.40                |
| C1        | K. paniculata                  | 2n = 24           | 0.56–1.12 µm      | 2.00                |
| C2        | J. regia L. ‘Chuanzao1’        | 2n = 34           | 0.63–2.60 µm      | 4.13                |
| C3        | J. regia L. ‘Yanyuanzao’       | 2n = 34           | 1.01–2.55 µm      | 2.52                |
| C4        | J. sigillata Dode ‘Maerkang’   | 2n = 34           | 0.66–1.88 µm      | 2.85                |
| C5        | J. sigillata Dode ‘Muzhilinhe’ | 2n = 34           | 0.87–2.79 µm      | 3.21                |
3.2. The Diverse Signal Patterns of \((AG_3T_3)_3\) Reveal the Complex Genome Architecture

To better investigate diversity of \((AG_3T_3)_3\), different types of ideograms for the 42 plants were drawn based on the FISH karyograms shown in Figures 4–6, which are illustrated in Figures 7–9. Telomeric signals were observed at each chromosome terminus in 38 plants (90.5%, the first class): Z. mays (A1), C. chinensis (A2), R. pseudoacacia (A3), E. crista-galli (A4), C. tiglium (A5), P. orientalis (A6), C. japonica (A7), C. fortune (A9), C. campanulatus (A11), T. media (B3), T. cuspidata (B4), T. wallichiana (B5), Z. armatum (B6), wild H. rhamnoides ssp. sinensis (B7), H. rhamnoides ‘Wucifeng’ (B8), H. rhamnoides ‘Shenqiuhung’ (B9), H. rhamnoides ‘Zhuangyuanhuang’ (B10), cultural H. rhamnoides ssp. sinensis (B11), E. lanceolata (B12), P. americana (B13), L. baviensis (B14), L. elongate (B15), B. diaphana (B16), J. regia ‘Chuanzaol’ (C2), J. regia ‘Yanyuanzao’ (C3), J. sigillata ‘Maerkang’ (C4), J. sigillata ‘Muzhilinghe’ (C5), P. macrophyllus (C6), I. polycarpa (C7), S. oblatia (C8), L. lucidum (C9), L. × vicaryi (C10), F. pennsylvanica (C11), F. simplex (C12), H. mutabilis (C13), Z. armatum (C14), and Z. bungeanum (C15). Meanwhile, telomeric signals were absent at several chromosome termini in only four woody plants (9.5%, the fourth class): C. funebris (A7), C. revoluta (A10), T. yunnanensis (B2), and K. paniculata (C1). Non-telomeric signals were observed at chromosome termini in 23 plants (54.8%, the second class): Z. mays (A1), R. pseudoacacia (A3), C. funebris (A7), C. revoluta (A10), and C. campanulatus (A11), T. chinensis (B1), T. yunnanensis (B2), T. media (B3), T. cuspidata (B4), T. wallichiana (B5), wild H. rhamnoides ssp. sinensis (B7), H. rhamnoides ‘Wucifeng’ (B8), H. rhamnoides ‘Shenqiuhung’ (B9), H. rhamnoides ‘Zhuangyuanhuang’ (B10), cultural H. rhamnoides ssp. sinensis (B11), E. lanceolata (B12), P. americana (B13), L. baviensis (B14), L. elongate (B15), B. diaphana (B16), J. regia ‘Chuanzaol’ (C2), J. regia ‘Yanyuanzao’ (C3), J. sigillata ‘Maerkang’ (C4), J. sigillata ‘Muzhilinghe’ (C5), P. macrophyllus (C6), I. polycarpa (C7), S. oblatia (C8), L. lucidum (C9), L. × vicaryi (C10), F. pennsylvanica (C11), F. simplex (C12), H. mutabilis (C13), Z. armatum (C14), and Z. bungeanum (C15). Telomeric signals outside of the chromosome were observed in 11 woody plants (26.2%, the third class): E. crista-galli (A4), C. tiglium (A5), C. campanulatus (A11), P. americana (B13), L. baviensis (B14), K. paniculata (C1), J. sigillata ‘Muzhilinghe’ (C5), S. oblatia (C8), L. × vicaryi (C10), F. pennsylvanica (C11), and F. simplex (C12).

Furthermore, we summarized the results shown in Figures 7–9 in order to produce the \((AG_3T_3)_3\) signal pattern presented in Figure 10. The results for Z. mays and 41 woody plants, belonging to 18 families, are shown, including six plants in Elaeagnaceae (yellow), five in Taxaceae (red), four in Cupressaceae (dark blue), four in Juglandaceae (light green), four in Oleaceae (orange), three in Fabaceae (pink), three in Lauraceae (light blue), two in Malvaceae (dark green), two in Rutaceae (grey), and one in each of Berberidaceae, Calycanthaceae, Cycadaceae, Euphorbiaceae, Fagaceae, Poaceae, Podocarpaceae, Salicaceae, and Sapindaceae, respectively. Except for Lauraceae and Rutaceae, which each presented a single signal pattern type, the other families (Elaeagnaceae, Cupressaceae, Juglandaceae, Oleaceae, Lauraceae, and Malvaceae) all presented at least two signal pattern types.
Figure 7. Ideograms of *Z. mays* and ten woody plants based on the signal patterns in the FISH karyograms shown in Figure 4: (A1) *Z. mays*; (A2) *C. chinensis*; (A3) *R. pseudoacacia*; (A4) *E. crista-galli*; (A5) *C. tiglium*; (A6) *P. orientalis*; (A7) *C. funebris*; (A8) *C. japonica*; (A9) *C. fortunei*; (A10) *C. revoluta*; and (A11) *C. campanulatus*. The numbers (1–4, 6–8, 10, 20) above the karyograms are the numbers of the chromosome pairs. Oligo-probe (AG\textsubscript{3}T\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3} is exhibited by green signals. Telomeric signals were observed at each chromosome terminus in (A1–A6,A8,A9,A11), while telomeric signals were absent at several chromosome termini in (A7,A10). Non-telomeric signals were observed at several chromosome termini in (A1,A3,A7,A10,A11). Telomeric signals deviated from the chromosome in (A4,A5,A11).
Figure 8. Ideograms of 16 woody plants based on the signal patterns in the FISH karyograms shown in Figure 5: (B1) T. chinensis; (B2) T. yunnanensis; (B3) T. media; (B4) T. cuspidata; (B5) T. wallichiana; (B6) Q. semecarpifolia; (B7) wild H. rhamnoides ssp. sinensis; (B8) H. rhamnoides ‘Wucifeng’; (B9) H. rhamnoides ‘Shenqiuhong’; (B10) H. rhamnoides ‘Zhuangyuanhuang’; (B11) cultural H. rhamnoides ssp. sinensis; (B12) E. lanceolata; (B13) P. americana; (B14) L. baviensis; (B15) L. elongata; and (B16) B. diaphana. The numbers (1, 2, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12) above the karyograms are the numbers of the chromosome pairs. Oligo-probe (AG$_3$T$_3$)$_3$ is exhibited by green signals. Telomeric signals were observed at each chromosome terminus in (B1, B3–B16), while telomeric signals were absent at several chromosome termini in (B2). Non-telomeric signals were observed at several chromosome termini in (B1–B5, B7–B11). Telomeric signals deviated from the chromosome in (B13, B14).
Chromosome terminus in (B1, B3–B16), while telomeric signals were absent at several chromosome termini in (B2). Non-telomeric signals were observed at several chromosome termini in (B1–B5, B7–B11). Telomeric signals deviated from the chromosome in (B13, B14).

Figure 9. Ideograms of 15 woody plants based on the signal patterns in the FISH karyograms shown in Figure 6: (C1) K. paniculata; (C2) J. regia ‘Chuanzao1’; (C3) J. regia ‘Yanyuanzao’; (C4) J. sigillata ‘Maerkang’; (C5) J. sigillata ‘Muzhilinhe’; (C6) P. macrophyllus; (C7) I. polycarpa; (C8) S. oblata; (C9) L. lucidum; (C10) L. × vicaryi; (C11) F. pennsylvanica; (C12) F. simplex; (C13) H. mutabilis; (C14) Z. armatum; and (C15) Z. bungeanum. The numbers (1–5, 8, 10, 11, 13, 18, 21) above the karyograms are the numbers of the chromosome pairs. Oligo-probe (AG3T3)3 is exhibited by green signals. Telomeric signals were observed at each chromosome terminus in (C2–C15), while telomeric signals were absent at several chromosome termini in C1. Non-telomeric signals were observed at several chromosome termini in (C5, C6, C9, C11–C15). Telomeric signals deviated from the chromosome in (C1, C5, C8, C10–C12).
Moreover, we summarized the results shown in Figures 7–9 in order to produce the (AG$_3$T$_3$)$_3$ signal pattern presented in Figure 10. The results for Z. mays and 41 woody plants, belonging to 18 families, are shown, including six plants in Elaeagnaceae (yellow), five in Taxaceae (red), four in Cupressaceae (dark blue), four in Juglandaceae (light green), four in Oleaceae (orange), three in Fabaceae (pink), three in Lauraceae (light blue), two in Malvaceae (dark green), two in Rutaceae (grey), and one in each of Berberidaceae, Calycanthaceae, Cycadaceae, Euphorbiaceae, Fagaceae, Poaceae, Podocarpaceae, Salicaceae, and Sapindaceae, respectively. Except for Lauraceae and Rutaceae, which each presented a single signal pattern type, the other families (Elaeagnaceae, Cupressaceae, Juglandaceae, Oleaceae, Lauraceae, and Malvaceae) all presented at least two signal pattern types.

As shown in Figure 10, there were ten (AG$_3$T$_3$)$_3$ signal pattern types in total: Type I, chromosome only includes signal at both ends; Type II, chromosome not only includes signal at both ends but also includes a non-telomeric signal location; Type III, chromosome includes single end signal, and the other telomeric signals outside of the chromosome; Type IV, chromosome not only includes signal at both ends, but also includes telomeric signal at single end; Type V, chromosome not only includes signal at both ends, but also includes two non-telomeric signal locations; Type VI, chromosome only includes signal at one end; Type VII, chromosome only includes signal at one end, but also includes a non-telomeric signal location; Type VIII, chromosome only includes signal at one end, and the other telomeric signals outside of the chromosome; Type IX, chromosome not only includes signal at both ends, but also includes non-telomeric signal location outside of the chromosome; Type X, chromosome only includes signal at one end, and the other telomeric signals outside of the chromosome. The number between the signal pattern and the species represents the type/type combination, including the species number. The number after the species represents the ratio of longest to shortest chromosome length.
signal deviating from chromosome; Type V, chromosome not only includes signal at both ends, but also includes a large primary constriction; Type VI, chromosome not only includes signal at both ends signal, but also includes a large primary constriction, as well as a non-telomeric signal location; Type VII, chromosome only includes telomeric signal outside of the chromosome; Type VIII, chromosome only includes single end signal; Type IX, chromosome only includes non-telomeric signal location; and Type X, chromosome includes no signals. These types of signal pattern indicate that there is an abundant diversity in (AG3T3)3 signal arrangement.

All 42 plants possessed the 12 signal pattern types or type combinations shown in Figure 10. Ten woody plants only possessed signal pattern type I; Z. mays and 11 woody plants possessed the combination of type I + type II; eight woody plants possessed the combination of type I + type III; P. macrophyllus possessed the combination of type I + type II + type III; C. campanulatus possessed the combination of type I + type II + type IV; J. sigillata ‘Muzhilinhe’ possessed the combination of type I + type II + type III + type IV; B. diaphana possessed the combination of type I + type V; T. media and T. yunnanensis possessed the combination of type I + type II + type V; T. chinensis, T. cuspidata, and T. wollychiana possessed the combination of type I + type II + type VI; K. paniculata possessed the combination of type I + type III + type VII + type VIII; C. revolute possessed the combination of type VIII + type IX; and C. funebris possessed the combination of type VIII + type IX + type X.

There were diverse signal patterns of (AG3T3)3 among 37 species, indicating a complex genome architecture. For example, considering (i) Elaeagnaceae, five plants of H. rhamnoides possessed type I + type II, but E. lanceolata possessed type I; (ii) in Taxaceae, T. media and T. yunnanensis possessed the combination type I + type II + type V, but T. chinensis, T. cuspidata, and T. wollychiana possessed the combination type I + type II + type VI; (iii) in Cupressaceae, C. fortune, C. japonica, and P. orientalis possessed type I, but C. funebris possessed the combination type VIII + type IX + type X; (iv) in Juglandaceae, J. regia ‘Chuanzao1’, J. regia ‘Yanyuanzao’, and J. sigillata ‘Maerkang’ possessed type I, but J. sigillata ‘Muzhilinhe’ possessed the combination type I + type II + type III + type IV; (v) in Oleaceae, L. lucidum possessed the combination type I + type II, L. × vicaryi and S. oblata possessed the combination type I + type III, and F. pennsylvanica possessed the combination type I + type II + type III; (vi) in Fabaceae, C. chinensis possessed type I, R. pseudoacacia possessed the combination type I + type II, and E. crista-galli possessed the combination type I + type III; and (vii) in Malvaceae, H. mutabilis possessed the combination type I + type II, but F. simplex possessed the combination type I + type III.

The number shown after the species name in Figure 10 represents the ratio of longest to shortest chromosome length, indicating karyotype asymmetry. Type I included ten plants with ratio ranging from 1.12–4.13, with variance of 0.94. Type I + Type II included 12 plants with ratio ranging from 1.39–3.65, with variance of 0.38. Type I + Type II + Type III included eight plants with ratio ranging from 1.34–3.46, with variance of 0.48. These results indicate that chromosomes with conserved telomeric signal (Type I), in fact, have a wider range of karyotype asymmetry (VAR 0.94), while chromosomes with non-telomeric signals (Type II, III) have relatively concentrated karyotype asymmetry (VAR 0.38 and 0.48, respectively). In addition, no correlation between non-telomeric signals and karyotype asymmetry was observed.

3.3. Proposed Origin of (AG3T3)3 Signal Diversity

Based on Figures 7–10, the proposed origin of (AG3T3)3 signal diversity is illustrated in Figure 11. There are three major groups. (i) Signal number: Increase signal number is likely caused by chromosome duplication, inversion, translocation, and/or sequence changes; a constant signal number indicates chromosome conservation and a decreased signal number is likely caused by chromosome deletion and/or sequence changes. (ii) Signal location: End signals on chromosomes indicate chromosome conservation; non-end signals are likely caused by chromosome deletion, duplication, inversion, translocation, and/or sequence changes; end signals deviating from the chromosome are probably caused by chromosome
satellites, while end signal loss is probably caused by chromosome end deletion and/or sequence changes. (iii) Primary constriction: Normal primary constriction indicates chromosome conservation, while primary constriction likely becomes large due to chromosome breakage and the formation of new chromosomes (e.g., in Figure 8, T. media chromosome 9 and B. diaphana chromosome 9, T. cuspidata chromosome 9 and T. wallichiana chromosome 9 possibly indicate the formation of new chromosomes, while T. cuspidata chromosome 12 and T. wallichiana chromosome 12 were possibly formed in a reverse manner).

In brief, the variations in signal number and signal location were likely caused by chromosome deletion, duplication, inversion, translocation, as well as chromosome satellites, while primary constriction became large due to chromosome breakage and the formation of new chromosomes. This can be seen in Figure 11, which illustrates the proposed origin of (AG$_3$T$_3$)$_3$ signal diversity.
4. Discussion

4.1. Karyotype Analysis of Z. mays and 41 Woody Plants

Chromosome number, size, centromere location, long/short arm ratio, and satellites are basic characteristics of karyotype. The presence of telomeric (AG\(_3\)T\(_3\)) in both chromosome ends may guarantee the accuracy of chromosome counting. The chromosome number in the 42 plants ranged from 14 (C. chinesis) to 136 (Z. bungeanum). There were six woody plants that presented chromosome numbers different to that reported in previous studies: J. regia 'Chuanzao1', J. regia 'Yanyuanzao', J. sigillata 'Maerkang', and J. sigillata 'Muzhilinhe' presented 2n = 34, a result supported by the work of Luo and Chen [48] but contradicted by Mu and Xi [54] and Mu et al. [55] (who reported 2n = 32). The differences were probably caused by hybridization, aneuploidization/among-population variation, or inaccurate chromosome number counts. P. macrophyllus presented 2n = 36, a result supported by the work of Hizume et al. [56] but contradicted by Zhu et al. [57] for eight small chromosomes, which were treated as satellite chromosomes in the latter. Z. armatum 'Jinyang Qinghuaqiao' presented 2n = 98 in this study, which is contradicted by the work of Luo et al. [58], who reported 2n = ~128 for another variety, Z. armatum 'Hanyuan Putao Qingjiao'. After excluding the experimental count error, we infer this big difference to have been caused by the confusion and complexity between Z. armatum varieties. We tested 16 Z. armatum varieties by FISH and SSR in another study in order to address this issue. Another reason why the chromosome numbers differed from previous studies is because of the limited available research on the chromosome numbers of woody plants. Compared to herbaceous plants, it is more difficult to obtain their chromosome preparation due to root lignification.

The chromosome lengths of the 42 plants in this study ranged from 0.56 µm (K. paniculate) to 14.48 µm (C. revoluta), while the ratio of longest chromosome to shortest chromosome ranged from 1.12 (K. paniculate) to 4.28 (C. revoluta), both of which indicate large differences among the considered species. Previous studies have reported the chromosome sizes of 12 species: R. pseudoacacia presented a size of 1.12–1.74 µm, which was close to that of He et al. [51] (0.94–1.67 µm); H. mutabilis presented 1.22–2.90 µm, close to that of Luo and He [47] (1.18–3.0 µm); B. diaphana presented 2.09–2.93 µm, close to that of Liu and Luo [50] (1.82–2.85 µm); F. pennsylvanica presented 0.98–2.06 µm, close to that of Luo and Liu [49] (1.12–2.06 µm); H. rhamnoide presented 1.15–2.72 µm, close to that of Xing et al. [59] (0.97–2.77 µm), but varying widely from that of Liu and Sheng [60] (1.67–4.44 µm); C. campanulatus presented 1.60–2.52 µm, differing from that of Luo and Chen [52] (1.07–2.41 µm); Z. armatum presented 0.98–1.99 µm, differing from that of Luo et al. [58] (1.23–2.34 µm); S. oblata presented 1.64–2.20 µm, differing from that of Luo and Liu [49] (1.25–2.32 µm); L. lucidum presented 0.98–1.36 µm, differing from that of Luo and Liu [49] (1.05–1.85 µm); L. × vicaryi presented 1.37–3.07 µm, differing from that of Luo and Liu [49] (1.25–2.83 µm); J. regia presented 0.63–2.60 µm, differing from that of Luo and Chen [48] (0.97–2.16 µm); finally, J. sigillata presented 0.66–2.79 µm, differing from that of Luo and Chen [48] (0.98–2.65 µm). Hence, chromosome length was more suitable for using in qualitative analysis than quantitative analysis.

A total of 23 woody plants (nearly one third) had chromosome lengths less than 3 µm, thus belonging to the small chromosome group. Due to the indistinct location of the centromere and the small size of chromosomes in many of the woody plants, as well as the chromosome length fluctuating according to the chromosome division phase and measuring tool, further karyotype analysis (e.g., long/short arm length and karyotype formula) was not carried out. Chromosome length is also not discussed further.

4.2. Occurrence of (AG\(_3\)T\(_3\)) in Woody Plants

AG\(_3\)T\(_3\) is a telomeric repetitive tandem that is conserved in higher plant chromosome telomeres. This oligos is included in a B chromosome-specific sequence, named M8-2D, in Z. mays [53]. M8-2D has shown low homology to sequences from the chromosome 4 centromere in Z. mays but has been detected in B chromosome centromeric and telomeric
regions in Z. mays. Nonetheless, (AG<sub>3</sub>T<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> had not been previously tested in Z. mays before this study.

Overall, (AG<sub>3</sub>T<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> was found to occur in Z. mays and 41 woody plants, belonging to 37 species, 27 genera, and 18 families. Previous research has reported the occurrence of (AG<sub>3</sub>T<sub>3</sub>) in 14 woody species, including A. fruticosa [51], B. soulieana [50], B. diaphana [50], C. campanulatus [52], F. pennsylvaniaica [49], H. mutabilis [47]), H. rhamnoides [11], J. regia [48], J. sigillata [48], L. lucidum [49], L. × vicaryi [49], R. pseudoacacia [51], S. oblata [49], and S. japonicum [51].

The Arabidopsis-type telomeric repeat sequences T<sub>3</sub>AG<sub>3</sub> are most like AG<sub>3</sub>T<sub>3</sub>, which are still the most frequent even in woody plants—such as species of Abies [37], A. fruticosa, Cycas [39], Dendropanax [41], Eleutherococcus [41], Kalopanax [41], Malus [23], Picea [23], Pinus [23,40,42–44], Populus [35], Podocarpus [46], and Zamia [23,61]—but has been shown to be absent in species of Cestrum, Sessea, and Vestia [14]. Nevertheless, these sequences cannot be entirely equated, due to the existence of at least ten variable telomere sequences (T<sub>x</sub>A<sub>y</sub>G<sub>z</sub>), including TAG<sub>3</sub> [4], TA<sub>2</sub>G<sub>2</sub> [5], T<sub>2</sub>AG<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>AG<sub>3</sub> [7], TA<sub>2</sub>G<sub>3</sub> [5], T<sub>3</sub>AG<sub>3</sub> [8–10], T<sub>4</sub>AG<sub>3</sub> [12], A<sub>2</sub>TC<sub>6</sub> [13], T<sub>2</sub>AT<sub>2</sub>AG<sub>2</sub> [7], and T<sub>6</sub>AG<sub>3</sub> [14,15]—and at least seven variants, such as TCAG<sub>2</sub> [16], T<sub>2</sub>AG<sub>2</sub> [17], T<sub>2</sub>CAG<sub>2</sub> [18], T<sub>3</sub>CAG<sub>2</sub> [18], C<sub>3</sub>T<sub>3</sub>A<sub>3</sub> [19], T<sub>2</sub>N<sub>4</sub>AG<sub>3</sub> [20], and CTGC<sub>2</sub>T<sub>2</sub>ATC<sub>3</sub> [21].

4.3. The Chromosomally Diverse Distribution of (AG<sub>3</sub>T<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> Reveals the Complex Genome Architecture of Woody Plants

The (AG<sub>3</sub>T<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> distribution is mostly conserved in the chromosome termini of woody plants. (AG<sub>3</sub>T<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> has only been detected at all chromosome termini in B. soulieana, B. diaphana [50], F. pennsylvaniaica [49], H. mutabilis [47], J. regia [48], J. sigillata [48], L. lucidum [49], L. × vicaryi [49], and S. oblata [49]. The location of (AG<sub>3</sub>T<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> at chromosome termini may indicate the integrality of the chromosome, thus ensuring the accuracy of chromosome counting. Occasionally, (AG<sub>3</sub>T<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> has been detected not only at chromosome termini, but also as intercalary bands in A. fruticosa, R. pseudoacacia, S. japonicum [51], C. campanulatus [52], and H. rhamnoides [11]. In A. fruticosa, R. pseudoacacia, and S. japonicum, only two weak non-telomeric signals have been described, while H. rhamnoides presented two very strong, two clear, and another two weak non-telomeric signals in six chromosomes. Furthermore, (AG<sub>3</sub>T<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> has shown exceptionally high levels of diversity in C. campanulatus, with signal intensity from weak to very strong, signals located outside the chromosome (satellites), and signals located at chromosome termini, sub-telomeric regions, and proximal regions. All 22 chromosomes presented telomeric signals, while 16 chromosomes presented non-telomeric signals in C. campanulatus [52]. (AG<sub>3</sub>T<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> in intercalary sites may be used as informative markers to distinguish woody plants. The telomeric and non-telomeric (AG<sub>3</sub>T<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> reveal the complex genome architecture of woody plants.

In this study of 42 plants, the first class (90.5% of plants) presented telomeric signals at each chromosome terminus; this result is supported by previous studies [11,47–52]. Only four woody plants presented telomeric signal absence at several chromosome termini (C. funebris, C. revoluta, K. paniculata, and T. yunnanensis). The probable reasons for the absence of signals were: (i) Telomeric signal occurrence which was lost during experiment; and (ii) changed end sequences, such that the ends presented no (AG<sub>3</sub>T<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> signal. The second class (54.8% of plants) presented non-telomeric signal at several chromosome locations. C. campanulatus, H. rhamnoides, and R. pseudoacacia had non-telomeric signals, as supported by Luo and Chen [52], Luo et al. [11], and He et al. [51]. However, F. pennsylvaniaica, H. mutabilis, J. sigillata ‘Muzhilinhe’, and L. lucidum presented non-telomeric signals in this study, but only showed telomeric signals in previous research [47–49]. The probable reasons for such discrepant signals were: (i) non-telomeric signal occurrence was lost during the previous experiments; and (ii) the variation in different batches of materials in the same species (i.e., intraspecific variation). Previous evidence suggested several species with a chromosome number of n = 21 or higher may present interstitial telomeric sequences [62]. In the present work, five species, F. pennsylvaniaica (2n = 46), L. × vicaryi (2n = 46), H. mutabilis
(2n = 90), Z. armatum (2n = 98), and Z. bungeanum (2n = 136), presented interstitial telomeric signals, all having a chromosome number 2n > 42. It is possible that high chromosome number species have not been used as frequently in previous studies. The third class (26.2% of plants) presented telomeric signal deviating from the chromosome. C. campanulatus, F. pennsylvanica, L. × vicaryi, and S. oblata showed this type of signal, as supported by the results of Luo and Liu [49] and Luo and Chen [52]. J. sigillata 'Muzhilinhe' also presented this type of signal, in contrast to the results of Luo and Chen [48]. The probable reasons for this discrepancy are similar to those for the second class.

Unusual telomere sequences described by non-telomeric signals are, in many cases, connected with high C-values [63]; for example, in species of Cestrum and Allium [64]. In the present study, Z. mays, Z. armatum, and Z. bungeanum presented non-telomeric signals along with giant C-values (Zea, 3.8 pg; Zanthoxylum, 4.57 pg) [65] (https://cvalues.science.kew.org/, accessed on 17 May 2022). Nevertheless, the small C-values of Juglans (0.64 pg), Robinia (0.74 pg), and Chimonanthus (0.86 pg) were also accompanied by non-telomeric signals (C. campanulatus, J. sigillata 'Muzhilinhe', R. pseudoacacia); especially for C. campanulatus, which presented highly diverse non-telomeric signals. Hence, to the best of our knowledge, there is no correlation between the presence of non-telomeric signals and the C-value in woody plants; this result agrees with the conclusion of Gorelick et al. [66].

4.4. Proposed Origin of (AG₃T₃)₃ Diversity in Woody Plants

Telomeric sequences are not found exclusively at chromosome termini, but also in non-terminal sites of chromosomes in many species [23–25]. Intertstitial telomeric sequences may represent a significant part of telomeric DNA. Bolzán [3] has revealed two major types of interstitial telomeric sequences: one is heterochromatic and is largely observed in centromeric or pericentromeric regions (e.g., in this study, C. campanulatus, five species of Taxus, and five plants of H. rhamnoides). The other type is short and distributed at various sites throughout chromosomes, such as in J. sigillata 'Muzhilinhe' and R. pseudoacacia. Intertstitial telomeric sequences could be considered the result of chromosomal rearrangements [23,24,67,68]. Messier et al. [69] explained interstitial telomeric sequences through the creation of a small number of repeats by random mutations followed by repeat expansion towards two flanks. In the present work, the non-terminal (AG₃T₃)₃ signals were likely caused by chromosome deletion, duplication, inversion, translocation, and sequence changes, all of which are chromosomal reorganizations. Previous researchers have hypothesized that interstitial telomeric sequences in the heterochromatic region could be the trace of the chromosome end fusion, causing descendent hypoploidy (a decrease in the chromosome number) [28–30]. In the present work, we observed large primary constriction in chromosome 9 of B. diaphana and five species of Taxus. We may infer that these chromosomes with large primary constriction will possibly lead to ascent hyperploidy. Thus, in the case of large primary constriction with non-end (AG₃T₃)₃ signal, such as T. cuspidate chromosome 9 or T. wallichiana chromosome 9, ascent hyperploidy may occur. Similarly, we may also infer that the telocentric chromosome 12 in five species of Taxus was possibly formed in a reverse manner. However, in the case of large primary constriction with no signal, such as that observed in T. media chromosome 9 and B. diaphana chromosome 9, chromosome breakage is likely unrelated to interstitial (AG₃T₃)₃.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, we examined Z. mays and 41 woody plants, established FISH physical mapping, described the diverse distribution of (AG₃T₃), and disclosed the complex genome architecture of woody plants. We inferred that the observed non-telomeric signals were probably caused by chromosome arrangements. We intend to continue our research by testing more woody plants, such as species of Calycanthaceae, to explore the abundant non-telomeric (AG₃T₃), as well as Rutaceae, to determine the chromosome number.
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