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1 Introduction

Strong correlated systems in condensed matter have found in non-relativistic holography a new technique to understand their behaviour, see for example [1, 2]. The reason is that holography is a strong-weak duality mapping. If, in the screen we have a strong coupled quantum field theory, in the bulk we have a weak description of string theory. If we are in a situation where the curvature of the space-time is small, we can use classical gravity instead of full string theory. In the case of non-relativistic holography in the bulk one can use an Einstein metric with non-relativistic isometries [3–6] or non-relativistic gravities in the bulk [7–10], like Newton-Cartan gravity [11] or Horava gravity [12]. Having in mind this picture, it is interesting to study matter coupled to non-relativistic gravity. For example particles [13], and extended objects [14] and Galilean field theories [15, 16] coupled to a Newton-Cartan background.

In this paper we construct the action of a non-relativistic spinning particle moving in a general torsionless Newton-Cartan background. The particle does not follow the geodesic equations, instead the motion is governed by the non-relativistic analog of the Papapetrou equation [17]. The spinning particle is described in terms of Grassmann variables. In the flat case the action is invariant under the non-relativistic analog of space-time vector supersymmetry, called VSUSY [18]. This model is obtained from the relativistic spinning particle [19] with variables $\xi_\mu, \xi_5$.

In the flat case, the limit is done at the level of the coordinates of the particle, the form of the limit being suggested by the contraction of the algebra of VSUSY to a non-relativistic version, that we will call NR-VSUSY. The model is invariant under this non-relativistic symmetry and also invariant under diffeomorphisms and the non-relativistic VSUSY version of kappa-symmetry [20, 21]. The associated two first class constraints give rise to the non-relativistic mass-shell constraint and to a Levy-Leblond type of constraint [22, 23].

In order to get the non-relativistic spinning particle in a torsionless Newton-Cartan background, our starting point is a relativistic spinning particle coupled to a general Einstein background [24] and
to a U(1) gauge field with vanishing field strength \([25, 26]\). In this case the non-relativistic limit is done on the background fields and not on the coordinates. We find that the first class character of the constraints imposes the condition that the \(U(1)\) connection surviving in the non-relativistic limit must have zero field strength.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section II we perform a contraction of the VSUSY algebra leading to its non-relativistic version. In Section III we introduce the action of the VSUSY particle \([18]\). Then, we define the non-relativistic limit of this model by performing a transformation of the dynamical variables in agreement with the results of Section II. In Section IV we study the equations of motion of the non-relativistic model showing the presence of two first-class constraints that are associated to the diffeomorphism invariance of the model, and to the non-relativistic version of the kappa-symmetry owned by the relativistic model. This world-line symmetry is investigated in Section V. In Section VI we start again from the relativistic model coupled to a general four-dimensional background metric \([24]\) and define the limit to a torsionless Newton-Cartan metric, using the group contraction defined in Section II. In Section VII we derive the equations of motion, showing that, as in the relativistic case, the geodesic equations are corrected by a term proportional to the spin of the particle coupled to a Newton-Cartan curvature. In Section VIII our conclusions and an outlook.

2 Algebra contraction

Many dynamical models can be obtained as non-linear realizations of a space-time symmetry group, \(G\). Examples are the relativistic point particle \([27]\), the relativistic spinning particle \([18]\), the D-branes \([28]\) etc. An interesting question is what happens to these models if we consider a contraction of the Lie algebra of \(G\), Lie-\(G\). Another related question arises if one couples the original model to a gravitational field. Precisely one can ask what happens to the gravitational field after the contraction. This last question will be discussed later on. To be more explicit let us define the contraction of a given algebra. Suppose that our starting algebra (or a superalgebra) satisfies the commutation relations

\[
[X_\alpha, X_\beta] = f^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} X_\gamma \quad \text{(2.1)}
\]

and let us define an invertible linear transformation depending on a parameter \(\omega\)

\[
Y_\alpha = \sum_\beta A^\beta_\alpha (\omega) X_\beta. \quad \text{(2.2)}
\]

The Lie algebra satisfied by the new generators will be

\[
[Y_\alpha, Y_\beta] = \tilde{f}^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}(\omega) Y_\gamma, \quad \text{(2.3)}
\]

with

\[
\tilde{f}^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}(\omega) = A^\sigma_\alpha (\omega) A^\delta_\beta (\omega) (A^{-1})^\delta_\gamma (\omega) f^\delta_{\sigma\tau}. \quad \text{(2.4)}
\]

Then, consider the limit \(\omega \to \infty\) and suppose that the limit of the new structure constants \(\tilde{f}^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}(\omega)\) is finite. When the limit is singular, we say that the algebra of the \(Y_\alpha\)’s is a ”contraction” of the algebra of the \(X_\alpha\)’s. Notice that the contracted algebra is not equivalent to the original one. We will now define a non-relativistic contraction of the relativistic VSUSY algebra to the non-relativistic version, NR-SUSY.

The VSUSY algebra is a graded extension of the Poincaré algebra, with odd generators \(G_\mu\) and \(G_5\), the relevant brackets being (see \([18]\))
\[ [M_{\mu\nu}, M_{\rho\sigma}] = -i\eta_{\nu\rho}M_{\mu\sigma} - i\eta_{\mu\sigma}M_{\nu\rho} + i\eta_{\nu\sigma}M_{\mu\rho} + i\eta_{\mu\rho}M_{\nu\sigma}, \quad (2.5) \]

\[ [M_{\mu\nu}, P_{\rho}] = i\eta_{\nu\rho}P_{\mu} - i\eta_{\mu\rho}P_{\nu}, \quad [M_{\mu\nu}, G_{\rho}] = i\eta_{\mu\rho}G_{\nu} - i\eta_{\nu\rho}G_{\mu}, \quad (2.6) \]

\[ [G_{\mu}, G_{\nu}]_+ = \eta_{\mu\nu}Z, \quad [G_{5}, G_{5}]_+ = Z_5, \quad (2.7) \]

\[ [G_{\mu}, G_{5}]_+ = -P_{\mu}, \quad (2.8) \]

where the bracket \([\cdot, \cdot]_+\) defines, as usual, the anticommutator. This algebra involves also two scalar central charges \(Z\) and \(Z_5\). Here we make use of the flat flat metric

\[ \eta_{\mu\nu} = (-, +, +, +). \quad (2.9) \]

As we will see, it is useful to introduce the following combination of the central charges

\[ Z_\pm = Z \pm Z_5. \quad (2.10) \]

In order to define the contraction we introduce a dimensionless parameter \(\omega\) to be sent to infinity. Then, we relate the relativistic generators to the non-relativistic ones, by the following equations

\[ P_0 = \frac{\alpha}{\omega} H - \omega \tilde{Z}_-, \quad Z_- = -\frac{2(1 + \alpha)}{\omega} H + 2\omega \tilde{Z}_-, \quad Z_+ = 2\omega \tilde{Z}_+, \]

\[ G_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega}} Q_+ + \sqrt{\frac{\omega^3}{2}} Q_- , \quad G_5 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega}} Q_+ - \sqrt{\frac{\omega^3}{2}} Q_-, \quad G_i = \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{2}} Q_i, \]

\[ M_{0i} = \omega B_i, \quad (2.11) \]

whereas all the other variables are left unchanged and \(\alpha\) is a dimensionless parameter. We will need also the inverse relations.

\[ H = \frac{\omega}{2} (-2P_0 - Z_-), \quad \tilde{Z}_- = -\frac{1}{2\omega} (\alpha Z_- + 2(1 + \alpha)P_0), \quad \tilde{Z}_+ = \frac{1}{2\omega} Z_+, \]

\[ Q_+ = \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{2}} (G_0 + G_5), \quad Q_- = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\omega^3}} (G_0 - G_5), \quad Q_i = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\omega}} G_i, \]

\[ B_i = \frac{1}{\omega} M_{0i}. \quad (2.12) \]

The relevant commutators and anticommutators, in the limit \(\omega \to \infty\), are

\[ [B_i, H] = iP_i, \quad [B_i, P_j] = i\delta_{ij} \tilde{Z}_-, \quad (2.13) \]

\[ [Q_+, Q_+] = H, \quad [Q_-, Q_-] = 0, \quad [Q_+, Q_-] = -\tilde{Z}_+, \]

\[ [Q_i, Q_{j+}] = 2\delta_{ij} (\tilde{Z}_+ + \tilde{Z}_-), \quad [Q_+, Q_{i+}] = -P_i, \quad (2.14) \]

\[ [B_i, Q_+] = -\frac{i}{2} Q_i, \quad [B_i, Q_j] = -i\delta_{ij} Q_-, \quad [B_i, Q_-] = 0. \quad (2.15) \]

If we put \(Q_- = 0, \tilde{Z}_+ = 0\), the previous algebra collapses to the algebra found in [29]. To complete the analysis of the NR-VSUSY algebra we will consider the relativistic quadratic Casimir
\[ P^2 - ZZ_5 = \left( \frac{\alpha}{\omega} H - \omega \tilde{Z}_- \right)^2 + \vec{P}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \left( 4\omega^2 \tilde{Z}_+^2 - \left( -\frac{2(1 + \alpha)}{\omega} H + 2\omega \tilde{Z}_- \right)^2 \right) \]
\[ = \frac{1 + 2\alpha}{\omega^2} H^2 + \vec{P}^2 - 2H \tilde{Z}_- - \omega^2 \tilde{Z}_+^2. \]  

In the limit \( \omega \to \infty \) we have a divergent term proportional to \( \tilde{Z}_+^2 \). Since \( \tilde{Z}_+ \) is a central charge, it is clear that the \( \tilde{Z}_+^2 \) is a Casimir of the NR-VSUSY algebra. In this situation also the finite part is a Casimir and coincides with the Casimir of the Bargmann algebra \[32\]

\[ C_E = \vec{P}^2 - 2H \tilde{Z}_-. \]  

In the relativistic case, if the bosonic Casimir vanishes, that is \( P^2 - ZZ_5 = 0 \), an odd Casimir: \( G_\mu P^\mu + ZG_5 \) \[30, 31\] is also present. Using the definitions given in eq. (2.11) and expanding in powers of \( \omega \), we find (we have assumed \( Z_+ = 0 \))

\[ G_\mu P^\mu + ZG_5 = \frac{\omega^{1/2}}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \vec{Q} \cdot \vec{P} + 2\tilde{Z}_-Q_+ + Q_-H \right) - \frac{\omega^{-3/2}}{\sqrt{2}}(1 + 2\alpha)Q_+H. \]  

Let us consider the coefficient of \( \sqrt{\omega/2} \)

\[ C_O = \vec{Q} \cdot \vec{P} + 2\tilde{Z}_-Q_+ + HQ_- . \]

It is easily checked that this is a Casimir of the NR-VSUSY if \( C_E = 0 \) (remember that we have assumed \( \tilde{Z}_+ = 0 \)). Notice also, that

\[ [C_O, C_O]_+ = -2\tilde{Z}_-C_E. \]  

As we will see in the next section, the existence of the odd Casimir will imply that the NR-VSUSY spinning particle shows a kappa-invariance \[18\]. Notice that the parameter \( \alpha \), appearing in the definition of the generators of the contracted algebra in eq. (2.11), does not affect the the NR-VSUSY algebra. As a consequence, although we do not have a formal proof, we expect that all the expressions depending only on the algebra itself do not depend on the choice of \( \alpha \). In the following we will show that the non relativistic limit of the relativistic Lagrangian describing the spinning particle does not depend on \( \alpha \).

3 Non relativistic limit of the VSUSY particle

In the context of non-linear realization of a group symmetry \( G \), the dynamical variables defining the model are nothing but the coset parameters. This allows us to transfer the contraction from the algebra to the dynamical variables, \( x^\alpha \), assuming

\[ \sum_\alpha x^\alpha X_\alpha = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} x^\alpha A^\beta_\alpha(\omega)Y_\beta \equiv \sum_\alpha \tilde{x}^\alpha(\omega)Y_\alpha, \]  

where we have defined the "contracted" variables

\[ \tilde{x}^\alpha = \sum_\beta x^\beta A^\alpha_\beta(\omega). \]
The original dynamical model is defined in terms of a lagrangian depending on the dynamical variables $x^\mu$. As a consequence we define the lagrangian of the "contracted" dynamical model as

$$L_{\text{contracted}}(\vec{x}) = \lim_{\omega \to \infty} L(x(\vec{x}(\omega))).$$  \hfill (3.3)

The simplest example of this procedure is the non-relativistic limit of the relativistic point particle. One starts from the Poincaré symmetry, $IO(1,3)$, in a four-dimensional space-time. The model can be obtained by considering the coset $IO(1,3)/O(1,3)$. The dynamical variables are the coordinates $x^\mu$, the coset parameters. By performing the contraction to the Galilei group, one obtains the non-relativistic point particle, except for a divergent total derivative. The divergent term can be eliminated by introducing the coupling to a $U(1)$ gauge field with vanishing field strength \cite{26}. In the case of the model considered in this paper, this $U(1)$ gauge field is obtained by the gauging of one of the central charges of the VSUSY algebra.

In this paper we will apply the previous idea to the spinning particle \cite{19}. This model is based on the invariance with respect to the VSUSY algebra. The construction of the dynamical model as a non-linear realization of V-SUSY has been considered in \cite{18}. Here we will consider the contraction of the relativistic model to its non-relativistic version, invariant under NR-VSUSY. In order to get the non-linear realization of V-SUSY has been considered in \cite{1}. Here we will consider the contraction of

The action for the VSUSY particle, introduced in \cite{18,19} is given by

$$S[x(\tau),\xi(\tau)] = \int d\tau \left( -\mu \sqrt{(-\dot{x}^\mu - i\xi^\mu \bar{\xi})^2} - \beta \left( \dot{c} + i \frac{\gamma}{2} \xi^\mu \bar{\xi} \right) - \gamma \left( \dot{\xi}_5 + i \frac{\gamma}{2} \xi^\mu \bar{\xi} \right) \right), \hfill (3.4)$$

where $x^\mu$ are the space-time coordinates, $\xi^\mu$ is a Grassmann pseudo-vector, $\bar{\xi}^5$, a Grassmann pseudo-scalar, $c$ and $c_5$ are the bosonic coordinates associated to the two central charges of the VSUSY algebra, $\tau$ parametrizes the trajectory and the parameters $\beta$ and $\gamma$ satisfy

$$\beta \gamma = -\mu^2, \hfill (3.5)$$

in order the system is invariant under a gauge world-line supersymmetry, i.e., kappa symmetry \cite{18}. We will make the choice $\beta = -\gamma = -\mu$. The choice $\beta = \mu$ would give rise to divergent terms that are not total derivatives in the NR limit. The action (3.4) is Poincaré invariant and it has a vector supersymmetry defined by the following variations of the coordinates:

$$\delta x^\mu = i \epsilon^\mu \xi^5, \quad \delta \xi^\mu = \epsilon^\mu, \quad \delta c = i \frac{\gamma}{2} \xi^\mu \epsilon^\mu$$  \hfill (3.6)

and

$$\delta \xi^5 = \epsilon^5, \quad \delta c_5 = i \frac{\gamma}{2} \xi^\mu \epsilon^\mu$$  \hfill (3.7)

where the $\epsilon^\mu$, $\epsilon^5$ are the supersymmetric parameters. As discussed before, we define the non-relativistic variables starting from the contracted algebra and requiring the following relation (for analogous relations in the bosonic case see \cite{25}):

$$x^\mu P_\mu + cZ + c_5 Z_5 + \xi^\mu G_\mu + \bar{\xi}^5 G_5 = -tH + \vec{x} \cdot \vec{P} + \frac{1}{2}(\vec{c} \cdot \vec{Z} + \dot{c} - \vec{Z} \cdot \vec{c} - \bar{\xi}^5 Q_- + \xi^5 Q_++ \xi^\mu \xi^\nu).$$  \hfill (3.8)

Here we have omitted the Lorentz group generators, because the related parameters do not enter into the action. From eq. (3.8) we obtain the following relations

$$x^0 = \omega t - \frac{\alpha}{2\omega} \tilde{c}_-, \quad c_- = -\frac{\alpha}{2\omega} \tilde{c}_- + \omega t, \quad c_+ = \frac{1}{2\omega} \tilde{c}_+, \quad c_\pm = c \pm c_5,$$

$$\xi^0 = \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{2}} \tilde{\xi}^5 + \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\omega^3}} \tilde{\xi}^0, \quad \xi^5 = \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{2}} \bar{\xi}^5 - \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\omega^3}} \bar{\xi}^0, \quad \tilde{c}_\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \tilde{\xi}.$$  \hfill (3.9)
The inverse relations are:

\[
t = \frac{1}{\omega}(\alpha x^0 - (1 + \alpha)c_-), \quad \tilde{c}_- = -2\omega(x^0 - c_-), \quad \tilde{c}_+ = 2\omega c_+, \\
\xi^0 = \sqrt{\frac{\omega^3}{2}}(\xi^0 - \xi^5), \quad \tilde{\xi}^5 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega}}(\xi^0 + \xi^5), \quad \tilde{\xi}^i = \frac{\omega}{2} \xi^i. \tag{3.10}
\]

Then, performing the limit, the result is

\[
S_{NR} = \int d\tau L_{NR} = \int d\tau \left[ \frac{1}{2} M (\dot{x} - i\dot{\xi}^5) \right]^2 + iM \dot{\xi} \cdot \dot{\xi} + \frac{M}{2} \frac{d}{d\tau}(\dot{c}_- + i\dot{\xi}^0 \dot{\xi}^5) \right], \tag{3.11}
\]

where

\[
M = \frac{\mu}{\omega} \tag{3.12}
\]

is assumed to be finite. Notice that there is no divergent term in the non-relativistic expansion. This is due to the presence of the variables \(c_\pm\), associated to the central charges \(Z_\pm\), related to two \(U(1)\) curlfree gauge fields. In fact, we can re-express the total derivative terms \(\dot{c}\) and \(\dot{\xi}^5\) appearing in (3.4) in the form \(\partial_\nu M_\nu \dot{\chi}^5\), \(i = 1, 2\).

In order to get the NR-VSUSY transformations, we notice that the transformations of the NR variables, are obtained, through the eqs. (3.10) from the corresponding transformation laws of the relativistic variables, given in eqs. (3.6) and (3.7). For infinitesimal transformations, the NR parameters are given by the same combinations defining \(\xi^\mu\) and \(\xi^5\) in terms of their NR correspondent (see eq. (3.9)):

\[
\epsilon^0 = \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{2}} \xi^0 + \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\omega}} \xi^5, \quad \epsilon^5 = \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{2}} \xi^0 - \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\omega}} \xi^5, \quad \epsilon = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\omega}} \xi^5. \tag{3.13}
\]

The NR variables transform as follows:

\[
\delta t = i\tilde{\epsilon}^5 \xi^5, \quad \delta \tilde{x} = i\tilde{\xi}^5 \delta \xi^5 = \tilde{\epsilon}^5, \quad \delta \xi^5 = \tilde{\epsilon}, \quad \delta \tilde{c}_- = 0, \quad \delta \tilde{c}_+ = -i(\tilde{c}^0 \tilde{\xi}^5 - \tilde{c}^5 \tilde{\xi}^0), \quad \delta \xi^0 = \tilde{c}^0, \tag{3.14}
\]

and it is easily seen that \(L_{NR}\) is invariant under the transformations (3.14). Eliminating the total derivative term from \(L_{NR}\), this would be quasi-invariant.

Let us now consider the canonical momenta associated to the non relativistic action. We have

\[
\bar{p} = \frac{\partial L_{NR}}{\partial \dot{\epsilon}^5} = M \frac{\dot{\xi}^5 - i\dot{\epsilon}^5}{\dot{\epsilon}^5 / 2}, \tag{3.15}
\]

\[
E = -\frac{\partial L_{NR}}{\partial \epsilon} = \frac{1}{2} M \frac{M (\dot{\xi}^5 - i\epsilon^5)^2}{(\dot{\epsilon}^5 / 2)^2}, \tag{3.16}
\]

\[
\bar{\pi}^5 = i\frac{\partial L_{NR}}{\partial \xi^5} = iM \frac{\dot{\dot{\xi}}^5 - i\dot{\epsilon}^5}{\dot{\epsilon}^5 / 2} \xi^5 - i\frac{1}{4} M \frac{M (\dot{\dot{\xi}}^5 - i\dot{\epsilon}^5)^2}{(\dot{\epsilon}^5 / 2)^2} \xi^5 \tag{3.17}
\]

\[
\bar{\pi} = i\bar{p} \cdot \xi - \frac{i}{2} M \dot{\xi}^5, \tag{3.18}
\]

\[
\bar{\pi} = \frac{\partial L_{NR}}{\partial \xi} = -iM \dot{\xi}. \tag{3.18}
\]
Here the derivatives with respect to the Grassmann variables are defined as left derivatives.

These relations imply two first class constraints

\[ \phi = 2ME - \vec{p}^2 = 0, \quad \chi = \tilde{\pi}^5 + \frac{i}{2}E\tilde{\xi}^5 - i\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\xi} = 0, \]  
(3.19)

and the second class ones

\[ \chi_i = \tilde{\pi}_i + iM\tilde{\xi}_i = 0. \]  
(3.20)

In fact,

\[ \{\chi_i, \chi_j\} = -2iM\delta_{ij}, \]  
(3.21)

where we have made use of the following canonical Poisson brackets for the odd variables:

\[ \{\tilde{\xi}_i, \tilde{\pi}_j\} = -\delta_{ij}, \quad \{\tilde{\xi}_5, \tilde{\pi}_5\} = -1. \]  
(3.22)

The second class constraints can be eliminated using the Dirac brackets,

\[ \{\tilde{\xi}_i, \tilde{\xi}_j\}^* = -i\frac{\delta_{ij}}{2M}, \quad \{\tilde{\xi}_5, \tilde{\pi}_5\}^* = -1. \]  
(3.23)

For the first class constraints we have

\[ \{\chi, \chi\}^* = -iE + \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2M} = -\frac{i}{2M}\phi, \]  
(3.24)

and

\[ \{\chi, \phi\}^* = 0, \]  
(3.25)

showing that \(\phi\) and \(\chi\) are indeed first-class constraints.

The symplectic action of the NR spinning particle is given by

\[ S_c = \int d\tau [-Et + \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\xi} + iM\tilde{\xi} \cdot \tilde{\xi}^5 + \frac{e}{2}(2ME - \vec{p}^2) - \rho(\tilde{\pi}^5 + \frac{i}{2}E\tilde{\xi}^5 - i\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\xi})], \]  
(3.26)

where the Lagrange multipliers \(e, \rho\) multiply the first class constraints.

The Noether generators of VSUSY are easily found

\[ Q_+ = \tilde{\pi}_5 - \frac{i}{2}H\tilde{\xi}_5, \quad Q_- = 0, \quad Q_i = \tilde{\pi}_i + ip_i\tilde{\xi}_5 - iM\tilde{\xi}_i. \]  
(3.27)

Their Dirac brackets are

\[ \{Q_+, Q_+\}^* = iH, \quad \{Q_+, Q_i\}^* = -ip_i, \quad \{Q_i, Q_j\}^* = 2iM\delta_{ij}. \]  
(3.28)

After quantization one can check that these Dirac brackets are consistent with the abstract algebra of eq. (2.14), except for the opposite sign in the right hand side. This is due to the fact that the infinitesimal variations in the classical case are generated by \(eG\) rather than by \(ieG\) as implicitly assumed in the case of the abstract algebra. In fact, the charges in eq. (3.27) become anti-hermitian after quantization, whereas the abstract charges were supposed to be hermitian. Notice that in this model \(Z_- = M\) and \(Z_+ = 0\) and, as a consequence, the NR-VSUSY algebra admits two Casimirs with zero value, corresponding to the two constraints \(\phi\) and \(\chi\).
4 Equations of motion

Since $L_{NR}$ is translationally invariant in time and space, the equations of motion for the bosonic coordinates are simply (we will use indifferently $E$ or $H$):

$$\dot{\vec{p}} = \dot{E} = 0.$$  \hfill (4.1)

For the fermionic variables we get

$$\frac{\partial L_{NR}}{\partial \dot{\xi}} = -iM\dot{\xi}, \quad \frac{\partial L_{NR}}{\partial \dot{\bar{\xi}}} = iM\xi - i\vec{p} \dot{\xi}_5,$$  \hfill (4.2)

from which

$$\dot{\bar{\xi}} = \frac{\vec{p}}{2M} \dot{\xi}_5.$$  \hfill (4.3)

Recalling the expression (3.18) for $\bar{\pi}_5$ we obtain

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} \frac{\partial L_{NR}}{\partial \dot{\bar{\xi}}_5} = -\frac{i}{2}E\dot{\bar{\xi}}_5 + i\vec{p} \cdot \dot{\bar{\xi}}_5, \quad \frac{\partial L_{NR}}{\partial \bar{\xi}_5} = \frac{i}{2}E\dot{\bar{\xi}}_5,$$  \hfill (4.4)

implying

$$\dot{\bar{\xi}}_5 = \frac{\vec{p} \cdot \dot{\bar{\xi}}_5}{E}.$$  \hfill (4.5)

Notice that multiplying (4.3) by $\vec{p}$ we get

$$\vec{p} \cdot \dot{\bar{\xi}} = \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2M} \dot{\xi}_5.$$  \hfill (4.6)

This equation is the same as eq. (4.5), after using the constraint $\phi = 0$. We see that the equations of motion for the odd variables are not independent; in fact, one is a consequence of the others. The fact that the equations of motions are not independent implies that a local (gauge) symmetry is present in the model. In other words, this implies that a Noether identity is present. This will be shown explicitly in the next Section. Also the even constraint generates a relation among the equations of motion. In fact, differentiating the even constraint $\vec{p}^2 - 2EM = 0$ we get the identity

$$\vec{p} \cdot \dot{\vec{p}} - 2\dot{E}M = 0,$$  \hfill (4.7)

implying that the four bosonic equations, $\dot{\vec{p}} = \dot{E} = 0$, are not independent. The local symmetry induced by the even constraint is the invariance under reparametrization in the time parameter.

5 kappa-symmetry

As we know, the $\phi$-constraint is related to the reparametrization invariance of $L_{NR}$. Furthermore, the existence of the constraint $\chi$, and the fact that the equations of motion for the fermionic variables are not independent, suggest the existence of a local (in the time-parameter $\tau$) symmetry. In this Section, we will use the quantum notation, defining the infinitesimal transformations of a dynamical variable $F$, as

$$\delta F = [i\epsilon G, F].$$  \hfill (5.1)
In the case of the even constraint the following local transformation is generated
\[
\delta x_i = -2\epsilon(\tau) p_i, \quad \delta t(\tau) = -2\epsilon M, \tag{5.2}
\]
whereas for the odd case:
\[
\delta A = [i\kappa(\tau), A]. \tag{5.3}
\]
We get
\[
\delta \tilde{x} = i\tilde{\xi}\kappa, \quad \delta t = \frac{i}{2}\tilde{\xi}\tilde{\xi}^5\kappa, \quad \delta \tilde{\xi} = \frac{\tilde{p}}{2M}\kappa, \quad \delta \tilde{\xi}^5 = \kappa. \tag{5.4}
\]
Let us now consider the variations of the various terms in \(L_{NR}\)
\[
\delta (\dot{x} - i\tilde{\xi}\tilde{\xi}^5) = \frac{d}{d\tau} \left( i\tilde{\xi}\kappa \right) - i\frac{\tilde{p}}{2M}\kappa\tilde{\xi}^5 - i\tilde{\xi}\kappa = i\left( \tilde{\xi} + \frac{\tilde{p}}{2M}\tilde{\xi}^5 \right)\kappa. \tag{5.5}
\]
Then,
\[
\delta \left( t - \frac{i}{2}\tilde{\xi}^5\tilde{\xi}^5 \right) = \frac{d}{d\tau} \left( \frac{i}{2}\tilde{\xi}^5\kappa \right) - i\frac{i}{2}\tilde{\xi}^5 - i\frac{i}{2}\tilde{\xi}^5\kappa = i\tilde{\xi}^5\kappa. \tag{5.6}
\]
Finally we have to consider the variation of the \(\tilde{\xi}\) kinetic term:
\[
\delta (iM\tilde{\xi} \cdot \dot{\tilde{\xi}}) = -iM\frac{\tilde{p}^2}{2M} \dot{\tilde{\xi}}\kappa + iM\frac{\tilde{\xi} \cdot \tilde{p}}{2M}\tilde{\xi}. \tag{5.7}
\]
The total variation of \(L_{NR}\) can be written as follows
\[
\delta L_{NR} = \tilde{p} \cdot \delta (\dot{x} - i\tilde{\xi}\tilde{\xi}^5) - E\delta \left( t - \frac{i}{2}\tilde{\xi}^5\tilde{\xi}^5 \right) + \delta (iM\tilde{\xi} \cdot \dot{\tilde{\xi}})
\]
\[
= i(\tilde{p} \cdot \dot{\tilde{\xi}} + \frac{\tilde{p}^2}{2M}\tilde{\xi}^5\kappa - i\frac{\tilde{p}}{2} \dot{\tilde{\xi}}\kappa - i\frac{i}{2}\tilde{\xi}^5\kappa + i\frac{\tilde{\xi}}{2}\frac{\tilde{p}}{2}\kappa. \tag{5.8}
\]
Adding the previous variations we finally get
\[
\delta L_{NR} = \frac{d}{d\tau} \left( \frac{i}{2}\tilde{\xi} \cdot \tilde{p}\kappa \right), \tag{5.9}
\]
where we have used the fact that \(\phi\) is identically zero, since here both \(E\) and \(\tilde{p}\) should be considered as functions of the lagrangian variables.

Using the \(\kappa\)-symmetry, we can fix \(\tilde{\xi}^5\) to zero. In this way the constraint \(\chi\) becomes second class. The lagrangian simplifies to:
\[
L_{NR} = \frac{1}{2} M \tilde{p}^2 + iM\tilde{\xi} \cdot \dot{\tilde{\xi}}, \tag{5.10}
\]
where we have omitted the total derivative appearing in (3.11). In this form, the related action is still invariant under reparametrization of the parameter \(\tau\). We can choose the gauge \(t = \tau\), making also the constraint \(\phi\) second class, obtaining
\[
L_{NR} = \frac{1}{2} M \tilde{x}^2 + iM\tilde{\xi} \cdot \dot{\tilde{\xi}}. \tag{5.11}
\]
6 The spinning particle in a Newton-Cartan metric

In this Section we will study the non-relativistic limit of the spinning particle in a torsionless Newton-Cartan background [11]. We will introduce the gravitational interaction of the relativistic spinning particle by means of a set of vierbein fields, $E^A_\mu$, where the index $A$ refers to the flat target space-time, $A = (0, i)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, whereas the index $\mu = (0, i)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ refers to the curved target space-time. Then, we define the ”contracted” vierbeins in terms of the same linear transformation $A^i_A(\omega)$ defining the contracted dynamical variables [25]. On the other hand, we leave the dynamical variables unchanged. In this second way of proceeding we get a dynamical model interacting with a gravitational field appearing as the contraction of the original one, in such a way to preserve the contraction of the original symmetry.

The Newton-Cartan metric is defined in terms of the temporal and spatial vielbeins ($\tau^\mu$, $\hat{e}^i_\mu$), where $\hat{e}^i = 1, 2, 3$ is an index in the flat target space, and $\mu$ is defined as before [13, 14, 33]. The vielbeins satisfy the following relations

$$\hat{e}^i_\mu \hat{e}^{i'}_{\nu} = \delta^i_{i'}, \quad \hat{e}^i_\mu \hat{e}^i_{\nu} = \delta^i_{\nu} - \tau^i_{\nu}, \quad \tau^\mu \tau^\mu = 1,$$

$$\tau^\mu \hat{e}^{i}_{\nu} = \tau^\nu \hat{e}^{i}_{\mu} = 0. \quad (6.1)$$

In order to reduce the generic background metric to the Newton-Cartan one, we will make use of the contraction of the VSUSY algebra as defined in eq. (2.11). In particular, we notice that the expression for $H$ in eq. (2.12), implies a mixing between the Poincaré generators and the central charge $Z_-$

$$H = -\frac{\omega}{2}(2P_0 + Z_-). \quad (6.2)$$

Therefore, besides introducing a gravitational field, we should also introduce a gauge field associated with the $U(1)$ symmetry generated by $Z_-$. On the other hand, since the Poincaré group has no central extension (contrarily to the Galilei group), this new field should be non-dynamical, and therefore with zero curvature [25, 26].

We perform the contraction of the vierbeins assuming the following correspondence

$$x^0 \to E^0_\mu, \quad c_- \to M_\mu, \quad t \to \tau^\mu, \quad \tilde{c}_- \to \tilde{m}_\mu, \quad (6.3)$$

where $M_\mu$ is the $U(1)$ gauge field associated to $Z_-$. Then, using eqs. (3.9) and (3.10), it follows at once

$$E^0_\mu = \omega \tau^\mu - \frac{1 + \alpha}{2\omega} \tilde{m}_\mu, \quad M_\mu = \omega \tau^\mu - \frac{\alpha}{2\omega} \tilde{m}_\mu, \quad \tau^\mu = -\frac{1}{\omega}(\alpha E^0_\mu - (1 + \alpha)M_\mu), \quad \tilde{m}_\mu = 2\omega(M_\mu - E^0_\mu). \quad (6.4)$$

Notice that we do not introduce gauge fields associated to the fermionic generators $G_\mu$, $G_5$ and $Q_\pm$, $Q_5$.

The change in the contraction procedure, going from the flat to the curved case, does not affect the Grassmann variables. As a consequence we will define the corresponding contracted variables, exactly as in eqs. (3.9) and (3.10), by taking the non-contracted variables ($\xi^A$, $\xi^5$) in the flat target-space.

The coupling of a background gravitational field with the spinning particle has been studied in [24]. In the present notations the Lagrangian is given by

$$L = -\mu \sqrt{-\eta_{AB}(\dot{E}^A_\mu \dot{x}^\mu - i\dot{\xi}^A) (\dot{E}^B_\mu \dot{x}^\mu - i\dot{\xi}^B)}.$$
\[
\frac{i}{2} \left[ \eta_{AB} \xi^A \hat{\xi}^B + \xi^A \omega_{\mu[AB]} \dot{x}^\mu \xi^B \right] - \frac{i}{2} \xi^5 \hat{\xi}^5 + \mu M \dot{x}^\mu, \\
\]
where \( \omega_{\mu[AB]} \) is the relativistic spin connection. Since \( M_\mu \) has zero curvature, the expression \( M_\mu \dot{x}^\mu \) is a total \( \tau \)-derivative. In fact, \( M_\mu \dot{x}^\mu \) can be identified with \( \mu^2 \dot{c} \tau(x(\tau)) \).

Except for the part containing the spin connection, the NR limit obtained by sending \( \omega \) to infinity, proceeds exactly as in the flat case, with the correspondence \( \hat{x}^0 \rightarrow E_\mu^0 \dot{x}^\mu, \hat{x}^i \rightarrow E^i_\mu \dot{x}^\mu, \) and \( \dot{c} \rightarrow M_\mu \dot{x}^\mu \), and taking into account eq. (6.4). Notice also that the quadratic divergence arising from \( \mu E_\mu^0 \dot{x}^\mu \) (remember that \( \mu = M \omega \)), is cancelled by the first term in the expression of \( M_\mu \) given in eq. (6.4). The result we find is

\[
L_{NR} - L_{NR}^{\text{conn}} = \frac{1}{2} M \left( \eta_{AB} \xi^A \hat{\xi}^B + \xi^A \omega_{\mu[AB]} \dot{x}^\mu \xi^B \right) - \frac{i}{2} \xi^5 \hat{\xi}^5 + i M \dot{x}^\mu \xi^5 + i M d \frac{d}{d\tau}(\xi^0 \hat{\xi}^5) + \frac{1}{2} M \ddot{m}_\mu \dot{x}^\mu, \\
\]
where \( L_{NR}^{\text{conn}} \) is the NR limit of the part of the Lagrangian relative to the spin connection.

Let us now consider the part of the lagrangian that contains the spin connection. We define the following one-forms

\[
E^A = E_\mu^A dx^\mu, \quad \omega^A_B = \omega_{\mu B}^A dx^\mu, \\
\]
where the one-form defining the spin connection, \( \omega^A_B \), can be evaluated using the first Cartan structure equation

\[
dE^A - \omega^A_B \theta^B = 0. \\
\]
The expression for the spin connection is given explicitly in [34]. The result is

\[
\omega_{\mu[AB]} = \omega_{\mu[\nu \rho]} E^\nu_A E^\rho_B, \\
\]
where \( E^\nu_B \) is the inverse vierbein, defined by

\[
E_\mu^A E^\mu_B = \eta^B_A \\
\]
and

\[
\omega_{\mu[\nu \rho]} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \Omega_{\mu[\nu \rho]} - \Omega_{\nu[\rho \mu]} + \Omega_{\rho[\mu \nu]} \right] E^\nu_A E^\rho_B, \\
\]
with

\[
\Omega_{\mu[\nu \rho]} = (\partial_\mu E^C_\nu - \partial_\nu E^C_\mu) E_C^\rho. \\
\]
It is convenient to define the quantities

\[
\alpha_{\mu[AB]} = \Omega_{\mu[\nu \rho]} E^\nu_A E^\rho_B, \quad \beta_{\mu[AB]} = \Omega_{\nu[\rho \mu]} E^\nu_A E^\rho_B, \quad \gamma_{\mu[AB]} = \Omega_{\rho[\mu \nu]} E^\nu_A E^\rho_B. \\
\]
It follows

\[
\omega_{\mu[AB]} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \alpha_{\mu[AB]} - \beta_{\mu[AB]} + \gamma_{\mu[AB]} \right]. \\
\]
Let us evaluate the quantities in parenthesis. We begin with the first and the third term

\[
\alpha_{\mu[AB]} = (\partial_\mu E_B^\nu - \partial_\nu E_B^\mu) E^\rho_A, \quad \gamma_{\mu[AB]} = (\partial_\mu E_A^\rho - \partial_\rho E_A^\mu) E^\mu_B = -\alpha_{\mu[BA]}, \\
\]
and
\[ \beta_{\mu[AB]} = (\partial_\nu E^\mu_\rho - \partial_\rho E^\mu_\nu)E_{C\mu}E_{A\nu}^\rho. \]  
(6.17)

In order to evaluate the limit of this expression, we make use of the first two eqs. in (6.4). Recalling that \( M_\mu \) has zero curvature, we find

\[ (\tau_{\mu,\nu} - \tau_{\nu,\mu}) = \frac{\alpha}{2\omega^2} (\tilde{m}_{\mu,\nu} - \tilde{m}_{\nu,\mu}), \]  
(6.18)

therefore \((\tau_{\mu,\nu} - \tau_{\nu,\mu})\) goes as \(1/\omega^2\) when \(\omega \to \infty\). This is equivalent to say that in the NR limit the spinning particle should be coupled to a torsionless NC background. The expressions for the inverse vierbeins, at the order in \(1/\omega\) we are interested in are

\[ E_0^\mu = \frac{1}{\omega} \tau^\mu + \frac{(1 + \alpha)}{2\omega^2} \tau^\rho \tilde{m}_\rho + (O(\omega^{-5})) \]  
(6.19)

\[ E_i^\mu = \epsilon_i^\mu + \frac{(1 + \alpha)}{2\omega^2} \tau^\rho \tilde{m}_\rho + (O(\omega^{-4})). \]  
(6.20)

In the NR limit we have

\[ \alpha_{\mu[0i]} = \frac{1}{\omega} \tau^\nu (\partial_\nu e^i_\rho - \partial_\rho e^i_\nu) \equiv \frac{1}{\omega} \alpha_{\mu[0i]}^{NC}, \]  
(6.21)

\[ \alpha_{\mu[\tilde{0}]} = \frac{1}{2\omega} \epsilon_i^\nu (\partial_\nu \tilde{m}_\rho - \partial_\rho \tilde{m}_\nu) \equiv \frac{1}{\omega} \alpha_{\mu[\tilde{0}]}^{NC}, \]  
(6.22)

\[ \alpha_{\mu[i\tilde{j}]} = (\partial_\nu e^i_\rho - \partial_\rho e^i_\nu) \epsilon_{\nu j}, \]  
(6.23)

\[ \beta_{\mu[0i]} = \frac{1}{\omega} \tau^\nu e^i_\rho (\partial_\nu e^j_\rho - \partial_\rho e^j_\nu) + O(\omega^{-2}) \equiv \frac{1}{\omega} \beta_{\mu[0i]}^{NC}, \]  
(6.24)

\[ \beta_{\mu[\tilde{0}]} = -\beta_{\mu[\tilde{0}]}^{NC}, \]  
(6.25)

\[ \beta_{\mu[i\tilde{j}]} = e^i_\nu e^\rho_\nu \left[ \epsilon_{i\rho} (\partial_\mu e^k_\rho - \partial_\rho e^k_\mu) - \frac{1}{2} \tau_\nu (\partial_\nu \tilde{m}_\rho - \partial_\rho \tilde{m}_\nu) \right]. \]  
(6.26)

The superscript \( NC \), that we have introduced here, refers to the Newton-Cartan quantities.

The result for the spin connection is

\[ \omega_{\mu[0i]}^{NC} = -\omega_{\mu[0i]} = \frac{1}{2\omega} (\alpha_{\mu[0i]}^{NC} - \beta_{\mu[0i]}^{NC}) \equiv \frac{1}{\omega} \omega_{\mu[0i]}^{NC}, \]  
(6.27)

\[ \omega_{\mu[i\tilde{j}]}^{NC} = \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_{\mu[i\tilde{j}]}^{NC} - \beta_{\mu[i\tilde{j}]}^{NC} - \alpha_{\mu[i\tilde{j}]}^{NC}) \equiv \omega_{\mu[i\tilde{j}]}^{NC}, \]  
(6.28)

with

\[ \omega_{\mu[i\tilde{j}]}^{NC} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \tau^\nu (\partial_\nu e^i_\rho - \partial_\rho e^i_\nu) - \tau^\nu e^i_\rho (\partial_\nu e^j_\rho - \partial_\rho e^j_\nu) - \frac{1}{2} \tau_\nu (\partial_\nu \tilde{m}_\rho - \partial_\rho \tilde{m}_\nu) \right], \]  
(6.29)

\[ \omega_{\mu[i\tilde{j}]}^{NC} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (\partial_\nu e^i_\rho - \partial_\rho e^i_\nu) e^j_\nu - e^i_\nu e^j_\nu \left[ \epsilon_{i\rho} (\partial_\mu e^k_\rho - \partial_\rho e^k_\mu) - \frac{1}{2} \tau_\nu (\partial_\nu \tilde{m}_\rho - \partial_\rho \tilde{m}_\nu) \right] - (\partial_\nu e^j_\nu - \partial_\nu e^j_\rho) e^i_\nu \right]. \]  
(6.30)

As anticipated, also for the Newton-Cartan connections we get a result independent of \( \alpha \) and agree with the ones obtained in [33].

Keeping in mind that \( \omega_{\mu[0i]} \) is multiplied by \( \mu \xi^i \xi^0 \), which is given by

\[ \mu \xi^i \xi^0 = \omega M \sqrt{\frac{T}{\omega}} \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{2}} \frac{\xi^5}{\xi^5} = \omega M \xi^i \xi^5, \]  
(6.31)
we see that we get a finite result. The same happens for the rotation part of the connection, since
\[ \mu \xi^i \xi^j = 2M \xi^i \xi^j. \] (6.32)
Therefore the result of the NR limit for the spin connection contribution to the Lagrangian is
\[ L_{\text{conn}}^{\text{NR}} = iM \xi^i \xi^j \omega^{NC}_{\mu[i]} + iM \xi^i \xi^j \dot{x}^\mu \omega^{NC}_{\mu[i]} \] (6.33)
The presence of the spin connection relative to the Galilei boost should not surprise. In fact, the variable \( \tilde{\xi}^5 \) arising from a linear combination of \( \xi^0 \) and \( \xi^5 \) does not transform trivially under a Galilei boost. Let us define
\[ A_\mu = iM \xi^5 \omega^{NC}_{\mu[0]} + iM \xi^i \xi^j \omega^{NC}_{\mu[i,j]} + \frac{1}{2} M \tilde{m}_\mu. \] (6.34)
Then, we have (neglecting the total derivative)
\[ L_{\text{NR}} = \frac{1}{2} M \left( \frac{\dot{\xi}^\nu - i \xi^i \xi^5}{\tau_\nu \dot{x}^\nu - i \xi^5 \tilde{\xi}^5 / 2} \right) + iM \xi \cdot \xi + A_\mu \dot{x}^\mu, \] (6.35)
that is the lagrangian of a non-relativistic spinning particle in Newton-Cartan background.

7 Equations of motion in the Newton-Cartan case
Let us evaluate the momenta:
\[ p_\mu = \frac{\partial L_{\text{NR}}}{\partial \dot{x}^\mu} = M \left( \frac{\dot{\xi}^\nu - i \xi^i \xi^5}{\tau_\nu \dot{x}^\nu - i \xi^5 \tilde{\xi}^5 / 2} \right) e_\mu^i, \]
\[ p_\mu = \frac{1}{2} M \frac{\left( \dot{\xi}^\nu - i \xi^i \xi^5 \right)^2}{\left( \tau_\nu \dot{x}^\nu - i \xi^5 \tilde{\xi}^5 / 2 \right)^2} + iM \xi \cdot \xi + A_\mu \dot{x}^\mu, \] (7.1)
Defining
\[ P_\mu = p_\mu - A_\mu, \] (7.2)
and projecting along the vielbeins, we get
\[ P_\mu \tau^\mu = \frac{1}{2} M \frac{\left( \dot{\xi}^\nu - i \xi^i \xi^5 \right)^2}{\left( \tau_\nu \dot{x}^\nu - i \xi^5 \tilde{\xi}^5 / 2 \right)^2}, \] (7.3)
and
\[ P_\mu e^\mu_i = M \frac{\left( \dot{\xi}^\nu - i \xi^i \xi^5 \right)}{\tau_\nu \dot{x}^\nu - i \xi^5 \tilde{\xi}^5 / 2}. \] (7.4)
From which we get the mass-shell constraint
\[ \phi = 2MP_\mu \tau^\mu + (P_\mu e^\mu_i)^2 = 0 \] (7.5)
and the odd constraint
\[ \chi = \tilde{\pi}^5 - i P_\mu e^\mu_i \xi^i - \frac{i}{2} P_\mu \tau^\mu \tilde{\xi}^5 = 0. \] (7.6)
These two constraints are the analogs of the constraints we found in the flat case.
It is useful to introduce the "curved NR" Grassmann variables
\[ \xi^\mu = \bar{e}^\mu_A \xi^A, \quad \bar{e}^\mu_A = (\tau^\mu, e^\mu_i), \] (7.7)
where
\[ \zeta^A = \left( \frac{1}{2} \xi^5, \tilde{\xi}^i \right), \quad A = (0, \hat{i}). \] (7.8)

Their Dirac bracket are given by
\[ \{ \zeta^A, \zeta^B \}^* = -\frac{i}{2M} \sum_i \delta^A_i \delta^B_i, \]
\[ \{ \lambda^\mu, \lambda^{\nu} \}^* = -\frac{1}{2M} \sum_i e_i^\mu e_i^{\nu} = -\frac{1}{2M} \eta^{\mu\nu} - \tau^\mu \tau^{\nu}. \] (7.9)

The odd constraint becomes
\[ \chi = \tilde{\pi}^5 - i \mathcal{P}_\mu \lambda^\mu. \] (7.10)

In the same notations, we can write
\[ A_\mu = iM \zeta^A \zeta^B \omega^{NC}_{\mu[AB]} + \frac{1}{2} M \tilde{m}_\mu. \] (7.11)

Now we should compute the Dirac bracket of the constraints \( \phi, \chi \) for this it is useful to compute
\[ \{ \mathcal{P}_\mu, \mathcal{P}_\nu \}^* = M \left( (R^{NC}_{\mu\nu})_{AB} S^{AB} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{m}_\mu}{\partial x^\mu} - \frac{\partial \tilde{m}_\nu}{\partial x^\nu} \right) \right), \] (7.12)

where
\[ (R^{NC}_{\mu\nu})_{AB} = \frac{\partial \omega^{NC}_{\nu[AB]}}{\partial x^\mu} - \frac{\partial \omega^{NC}_{\mu[AB]}}{\partial x^\nu} - \omega^{NC}_{\nu[AB]} \omega^{NC}_{\mu[AB]} + \omega^{NC}_{\mu[AB]} \omega^{NC}_{\nu[AB]} \] (7.13)
is the curvature tensor for the NC structure and
\[ S^{AB} = i \zeta^A \zeta^B \] (7.14)
are the spin generators. Furthermore
\[ \{ \mathcal{P}_\mu, \lambda^\nu \}^* = \lambda^\rho \Gamma^\nu_{\rho\mu}, \] (7.15)
where \( \Gamma^\nu_{\rho\mu} \) are the Christofel symbols associated to the NC structure given by
\[ \Gamma^\nu_{\rho\mu} = -\tilde{e}^A_\rho (\partial_\mu e^\nu_A + \omega^{NC}_{\mu[AB]} e^\nu_B), \] (7.16)
which agrees with connection obtained in [33]. In this paper it was proved that these connections are symmetric in the lower indices.

Using the previous results we obtain
\[ \{ \chi, \chi \}^* = \frac{i}{2M} \phi + 2 \mathcal{P}_\mu \Gamma^\mu_{\rho\nu} \lambda^\nu + \frac{1}{4} M (\partial_\mu \tilde{m}_\nu - \partial_\nu \tilde{m}_\mu) \tau^\mu \tau^\nu \tilde{\xi}^5 \tilde{\xi}^5 - \frac{M}{2} [(R^{NC}_{\mu\nu})_{AB} S^{AB}][\tau^\mu e^\nu_k - \tau^\nu e^\mu_k] \tilde{\xi}^k \tilde{\xi}^k + (e^\mu_k e^\nu_k - e^\mu_k e^\nu_k) \tilde{\xi}^k \tilde{\xi}^k]. \] (7.17)

To preserve the continuity with the case of a flat background, it is important to require that the two constraints \( \phi, \chi \) are still first class. To this end, let us notice that the connection \( \Gamma^\mu_{\rho\nu} \) is symmetric in the lower indices. Being saturated with the antisymmetric quantity \( \lambda^\rho \lambda^\nu \) gives zero contribution. The term proportional to the curvature is zero due to the Bianchi identity. However, the third term proportional to the curl of \( \tilde{m}_\mu \) does not vanish. Therefore we must require that the vector field associated to the \( U(1) \) symmetry is curlfree. We will make use of this condition from now on.
Therefore
\[ \{\chi, \chi\}^* = \frac{i}{2M} \phi. \quad (7.18) \]
Since the constraint $\chi_D$ is odd, the following Jacobi identity
\[ \{\chi, \{\chi, \chi\}^*\}^* + \{\chi, \{\chi, \chi\}^*\}^* + \{\chi, \{\chi, \chi\}^*\}^* = 0 \quad (7.19) \]
is not trivial. Using eq. (7.18) it follows
\[ \{\chi, \phi\}^* = 0. \quad (7.20) \]
Therefore the two constraints $(\phi, \chi)$ are first class not only in the flat case, but also in a torsionless NC background, with a $U(1)$ connection with zero field strength. Correspondingly the term in the non-relativistic lagrangian containing the gauge field is a total derivative.

Since the constraint $\chi$ implies the existence of the kappa-symmetry, we see that a requirement of kappa-symmetry gives informations about background. In the case of superbranes in a supergravity background this interplay among the worldvolume symmetry and a supergravity background implies the on-shell equations of motion of supergravity [35].

The Dirac hamiltonian can be written as
\[ H = \alpha(2M P_\mu \pi^\mu + (P_\mu e_i^\mu)^2) + \beta(\bar{\pi}^5 - i P_\mu e_i^\mu \bar{\xi}^i - \frac{i}{2} P_\mu \pi^\mu \bar{\xi}^5). \quad (7.21) \]
In order to get the the equations of motion for the space-time coordinates, Grassmann and spin variables it is useful to consider the gauge $\beta = 0$. In this case we have
\[ \dot{\pi}^\mu = 2\alpha(M\pi^\mu + e_i^\mu e_i^\nu P_\nu), \]
\[ \zeta_5 = 0, \]
\[ \dot{\xi}^i + (\omega_{\mu[i]}^\nu \xi^i - \frac{1}{2} \omega_{\mu[i]} x^5) \dot{\pi}^\mu = 0, \]
\[ \dot{P}_\mu = (e_i^\nu \frac{\partial e_i^\nu}{\partial x^\mu} + \pi^\nu \frac{\partial \pi^\nu}{\partial x^\mu}) P_\mu \bar{x}^\nu = M(R_{\mu\nu})_{AB} S^{AB} \bar{x}^\nu. \quad (7.22) \]
We can also have the equations of motion of the spin variables $S^{AB}$ defined in eq. (7.14). They are given by
\[ \dot{S}_{i0} + \omega_{[i]} S_{j0} \dot{x}^\mu = 0, \quad \dot{S}_{ij} + \omega_{[i]} S_{jA} \dot{x}^\mu - \omega_{[j]} S_{iA} \dot{x}^\mu = 0. \quad (7.23) \]
Keeping in mind that in this gauge $\zeta^0 = \bar{\xi}_5/2$ is a constant of motion, this is equivalent to say that the covariant derivative of the spin generators vanishes. In order to get the second order equations for the $x^\mu$s variables we must express $P^\nu$ in terms of $\dot{x}^\nu$. The final result is
\[ \ddot{x}^\nu + \Gamma^\nu_{\rho\mu} \dot{x}^\rho \dot{x}^\mu - \frac{\dot{N}}{N} \dot{x}^\nu = M(R_{\mu\nu})_{AB} S^{AB} \dot{x}^\nu, \quad (7.24) \]
where $N = \tau_\mu \dot{x}^\mu$.\footnote{An analogous equation for the coordinates was found in [37]. However the evolution of the spin is different.} Choosing the gauge with $\alpha = $ constant it follows, from $\tau_\mu \dot{x}^\mu = 2\alpha M$, that $\dot{N}$ vanishes. Notice also that the connection is given by
\[ \Gamma^\nu_{\mu\rho} = \frac{1}{2} H^\nu_{\sigma\rho} (\frac{\partial h_{\sigma\mu}}{\partial x^\rho} + \frac{\partial h_{\sigma\rho}}{\partial x^\mu} - \frac{\partial h_{\mu\rho}}{\partial x^\sigma}) + \pi^\nu (\frac{\partial \pi^\rho}{\partial x^\mu} + \frac{\partial \pi^\mu}{\partial x^\rho}). \quad (7.25) \]
By putting the Grassmann variables to zero, the equation (7.24) reduces to the geodesic equation for a scalar particle in a torsionless NC background [13]. It is interesting to notice that in a generic
Newton-Cartan background, the left-hand side of eq. (7.24) would contain a term with three velocities $\dot{x}^\mu$ given by:

$$\frac{1}{2} h^{\nu\rho} h^{\lambda\sigma} \left( \partial_\mu \tau_\sigma - \partial_\sigma \tau_\mu \right) \dot{x}^\rho \dot{x}^\lambda \dot{x}^\sigma$$

However, such a term vanishes in a torsionless Newton-Cartan background as it is in our case.

8 Conclusions and Outlook

In this paper we have constructed the action of a non-relativistic spinning particle moving in a general torsionless Newton-Cartan background. The spinning particle is described in terms of Grassmann variables. The model has two gauge symmetries, diffeomorphism and kappa symmetry. The invariance under kappa symmetry implies that the gauge field associated to one of the central extensions of the VSUSY algebra [18] has vanishing field strength.

The equations for the space-time coordinates do not follow the geodesic equations, instead the motion is governed by the non-relativistic analog of the Papapetrou equation [17] with a coupling of the spin to the NC curvature.

One could study the construction of a non-relativistic superparticle in a NC background by null reduction of the analogous relativistic spinning particle in one dimension more.

Note added in proof: After having completed this work we received the paper [36] where the behavior of a scalar particle and of a supersymmetric particle in a 3-dimensional NC background were examined. Despite of some similarity this approach and ours are very different, as well as the model considered here.
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