Gain-scheduling wind-turbine control to mitigate the effects of weather conditions on the drive-train degradation
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Abstract: This paper presents a gain-scheduling wind-turbine control strategy to mitigate the effects of adverse weather conditions on the degradation of the drive-train. By choosing a suitable control gain according to the wind class, it is possible to establish a trade-off between generated energy and drive-train degradation. The dissipated energy in the mechanical transmission is used as an indicator of degradation. The drive-train is modeled as a flexible shaft using nonlinear dynamics of a mass-spring-damper system. Simulations consider a variable speed-fixed pitch turbine of 2 MW (100 m rotor diameter), with a horizontal axis and fixed gearbox. The results show that the proposed gain-scheduling control strategy maintains the desired turbine efficiency by adequately managing the drive-train degradation according to the wind turbulence conditions.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The development of renewable energy technologies has been strongly encouraged with the progression of the environmental crisis. Thus, wind power generation plays a central role, covering 13% of the electricity demand in Europe with 220 GW and 8.44% in the United States with 123 MW. Moreover, it should continue to grow to cover 25% of Europe’s electricity demand in 2030. Therefore, optimizing wind turbines operation is of high interest to the industry. (American Clean Power Association (2021); Komusanac et al. (2021); Lee and Zhao (2021)).

However, different factors affect the profitability of this technology. The random nature of wind speed conditions represents a challenge in optimizing the lifetime of wind turbines. In particular, if we consider that high wind speed variations are one of the principal factors of increasing degradation rate. (Romero et al. (2021); Ma et al. (2018); Bianchi et al. (2007). The uncontrolled weather conditions (e.g., wind turbulence intensity) can deteriorate the mechanical transmission in a wind turbine. This could be due to persistent variations in the radial and angular shaft deflections when the system is submitted to wind speed with high variances. Besides, the shaft deterioration increases the maintenance and energy costs. (Romero et al. (2021); Bianchi et al. (2007); Ma et al. (2018)).

Motivated by the benefits of the improvement of this technology, numerous control approaches to reduce the loads by the wind variation in the wind turbine have been proposed in the literature (Li et al. (2020); Pan et al. (2021)). Nevertheless, most of the scientific efforts are focused on the deterioration of individual components of the turbine (Sanchez et al. (2020); Castro and Brauner (2021)). However, changes in the wind conditions and their interactions with the control system are not yet considered to analyze the drive-train deterioration.

Thus, considering the effect of the random nature of wind speed represents a challenge in developing future control strategies. Besides, it is expected that wind turbines are operated under a not well-adapted control strategy during significant periods, affecting the efficiency of the turbine (Romero et al. (2021)).

This paper aims to present a gain-scheduling wind-turbine control strategy that can mitigate the effects of adverse weather conditions by choosing a suitable feedback control gain depending on the wind turbulence conditions. The approach allows establishing a trade-off between generated and dissipated energy to maximize the efficiency of a wind turbine by taking into account the actual wind conditions. The drive-train is simulated as a flexible shaft by considering a nonlinear mass-spring-damper model. Furthermore, the dissipated energy in the mechanical transmission is used to model the degradation of the shaft. Finally, the performance of the proposed strategy is illustrated through several numerical experiments by considering different wind turbulence scenarios.
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2. MODELLING OF DRIVE-TRAIN DEGRADATION

2.1 Wind Turbine Dynamical Model

The representation of the drive-train in a variable speed-fixed pitch (VS-FP) wind turbine can be made in a simplified model that considers the flexible transmission that connects two rigid bodies as presented in Bianchi et al. (2007). Considering the dynamic of the drive-train system: the shaft is deformed with an angle \( \theta_s \) due to the difference between the generator speed \( \omega_g \) and the rotor angular speed \( \omega_r \). The representation of two rigid bodies allows us to embrace the parts and mechanical devices on each side of the shaft, including terms such as the rotor inertia \( I_r \), generator inertia \( I_g \), the damping of the transmission \( B_s \) and the stiffness of the transmission \( K_s \).

In this paper, the description of the wind turbine will be limited to the dynamics of the drive-train, reducing the dynamical equations as those presented in Bianchi et al. (2007) in a first-order LPV system. That is:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\dot{\theta}_s \\
\dot{\omega}_r \\
\dot{\omega}_g
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & \frac{1}{\omega_s} & -\frac{1}{\omega_g} \\
-\frac{1}{\omega_s} & 0 & -\frac{1}{\omega_g} \\
-\frac{1}{\omega_g} & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\theta_s \\
\omega_r \\
\omega_g
\end{pmatrix} +
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{0}{\omega_s} \\
\frac{0}{\omega_s} \\
\frac{0}{\omega_s}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\tau_r
\end{pmatrix}
\tag{1}
\]

where \( \tau_r \) is the aerodynamic torque, which captures the torque produced from the wind observed in the mechanical shaft. \( \tau_r \) can be calculated by considering the wind speed \( V \), the air density \( \rho \), the rotor radius \( R \), as follows:

\[
\tau_r = \frac{1}{2} \rho \pi R^2 C_f(\lambda, \beta) V^2
\tag{2}
\]

The parameter \( C_f(\lambda, \beta) \) corresponds to the power coefficient, which allows knowing a wind turbine’s ability to capture the useful wind power. In general, \( C_f \) is modeled using numerical approximations, taking into account the pitch angle \( \beta \) and the tip speed ratio \( \lambda \). See for instance (Dai et al. (2016); Saint-Drenan et al. (2020)).

The tip speed ratio \( \lambda \) is defined in term of \( \omega_r, R \) and \( V \). In addition, it is possible to calculate a maximum power coefficient \( C_{p_{max}} \) that concerns with the maximum conversion efficiency, achieved at the optimal point \( \lambda = \lambda_0 \) (the optimal \( \lambda \) value) and optimal pith angle (e.g. \( \beta \approx 0 \)).

The variable-speed wind turbines can work with maximum efficiency over a wide wind speed range of rated power. The benefits of the operation in the VS-FP turbines can be achieved if \( \omega_r \) is modified in proportion to the wind speed to maintain an optimum tip-speed ratio (Bianchi et al. (2007)). For control purposes, the generator torque control \( \tau_c \) can be considered as a function of the rotor speed, (see for instance Johnson et al. (2006)), as :

\[
\tau_c = K_{c_{opt}}(\omega_r)^2
\tag{3}
\]

where \( K_{c_{opt}} \) is the theoretical optimal feedback control gain calculated as a function of \( C_{p_{max}}, \lambda_0 \), and the rotor swept area, denoted \( A \), as follows:

\[
K_{c_{opt}} = \frac{1}{2} AR^3 \frac{C_{p_{max}}}{\lambda_0}
\tag{4}
\]

and, the generated energy during the time \( t \) will be:

\[
E_g = \int_0^t P_g dt = \int_0^t \tau_c \omega_r dt
\tag{5}
\]

2.2 Degradation Model

During the wind turbine operation, the drive-train is one system that suffers the impact of changing loads that can increment its failure rate. It is commonly accepted that torsional vibrations, bearing friction and impacts, or radial and angular torque fluctuations contribute to the degradation of the drive-train and, consequently, they reduce the useful life of the wind turbine (Rahimi (2016), Bianchi et al. (2007), Cetrini et al. (2019)).

Here we consider a degradation model of the drive-train presented in Romero et al. (2021). The model is based on contact mechanics principles, and it has been used to simulate degradation in the transmission by using dissipated energy \( E_d \) as an indicator.

The simplified model of a drive-train shown in Fig.1 was used, considering that the two rigid bodies represent the rotor components (low-speed mass) and the generator components (high-speed mass) connected by a flexible shaft modeled as a spring and damper. Thus, based on contact mechanics, the damping coefficient, denoted \( B_s \), can be modeled as a nonlinear function of the angular deformation and can be written as a function of the torsion angle \( \theta_s \) as follows:

\[
B_s(\theta_s) = \frac{3}{2} \theta_s \alpha K_s
\tag{6}
\]

where \( K_s \) is the constant stiffness of the transmission, and \( \alpha \) is a constant parameter that depends on the material.

For computing the damping torque, it is necessary to consider the rotational speed difference between both rotational devices. It can be obtained as:

\[
\tau_d = B_s(\theta_s)(\omega_g - \omega_r)
\tag{7}
\]

Therefore, the dissipated power due to angular deformations will be:

\[
P_d = \tau_d(\omega_g - \omega_r)
\tag{8}
\]

Taken together, (7) and (8) show that the power dissipated by the drive-train is a function of the angular shaft torsion and the square of the relative velocity. The amount of dissipated energy is then:

\[
E_d = \int_0^t P_d dt = \int_0^t B_s(\theta_s)(\omega_g - \omega_r)^2 dt
\tag{9}
\]

Fig. 1. Architecture of the proposed gain-scheduling control strategy.
3. PROPOSED GAIN-SCHEDULING CONTROL STRATEGY

In a wind turbine, the control gain of the generator is usually adjusted without considering the changes in the wind flow conditions. However, in Romero et al. (2021), it has been shown that when the control system ignores wind conditions, the efficiency and the degradation of the turbine are significantly affected. As stated in Romero et al. (2021), the four following observations can be made:

- The dissipated energy increases when the wind conditions are of high turbulence;
- In addition, the chosen control gain impacts the degradation (which here is to be proportional to the dissipated energy $E_d$), for instance:
  
  $$E_d = \begin{cases} 
  \text{Increases when } K_c > K_c^{opt} \\
  \text{Decreases when } K_c < K_c^{opt} 
  \end{cases}$$

  where $K_c$ stands for the chosen control gain and $K_c^{opt}$ is the theoretical optimal feedback control gain;
- A control gain chosen higher than the optimal one leads to a greater energy production than a control gain smaller than the optimal one, i.e., consider two possible control gain choices $K^a_c$ and $K^b_c$, around the optimal one, such that
  
  $$K^a_c < K_c^{opt} < K^b_c,$$

  then, choosing $K^a_c$ leads to a higher energy production than choosing $K^b_c$;
- However, even if the choice of a higher gain $K^a_c$ leads to a higher energy production, in the case of high turbulent flow, this increase in the energy production is not that significant.

Considering these observations, a trade-off can be found between the dissipated and the generated energy by a proper choice of $K_c$, and the problem is thus to design a control strategy which allows the selection of a suitable control gain according to the wind conditions. Here, we will assume that those wind conditions are identified, online, by a given algorithm that is outside the scope of this paper.

This work proposes a gain-scheduling wind-turbine control strategy to manage the degradation and to optimize the lifetime of the mechanical transmission components while maintaining an acceptable efficiency of a wind turbine under varying weather conditions. In the next section, the proposed control architecture will be presented.

3.1 Gain-Scheduling Control Architecture

The proposed control architecture is shown in Fig.1. That architecture uses the wind speed information for identifying the wind speed conditions $w_c$ (laminar or turbulent flow, for instance), as follows:

$$w_c = \begin{cases} 
  1 \text{ if } v \text{ corresponds to turbulent flow} \\
  0 \text{ if } v \text{ corresponds to laminar flow}
  \end{cases}$$

the index $w_c$ can be considered as a gain-scheduling variable. The feedback gains $K_c$, stored into a previously designed look-up table, will be selected according to the wind conditions $w_c$ as it is illustrated in Fig.3. Thus, the control torque $\tau_c$ is then obtained by using (3).

Remark that the dynamics (1), the varying parameter (6) and the control law (3), can be rewritten as a Linear Parametric Varying (LPV) control system. Then, stability guarantees of the proposed control architecture can be obtained by using today available LPV and polytopic analysis tools, see for instance Apkarian et al. (1995).

The values of the control gains $K_c$ can be obtained off-line by solving an optimization problem as it is explained in the next section.

3.2 Optimization for gain-scheduling control design

The interest of adopting a gain-scheduling control approach is the possibility to select suitable control gains $K^*_c$ for each wind condition, which allows to reach a trade-off between dissipated and generated energy. Hence, those gains can be obtained as the solution of an optimisation problem.

For each case (laminar or turbulent, for instance), the value of the gain is obtained as the one that minimizes the ratio of the dissipated energy $E_d$ over the generated energy $E_g$ under the considered wind conditions:

$$K^*_c = \arg \min_{K_c} \left( \frac{E_d(K_c, w_c)}{E_g(K_c, w_c)} \right)$$

All the gains obtained for each possible situation are stored into a look-up table. The control system will recover these gains, online, to implement the control loop.
For the laminar wind speed case, the real measurement was used to feed the model (Fig. 4a). This gain is optimal for laminar wind conditions.

4.2 Wind Speed Generation

Uncontrolled weather conditions such as the wind speed increase the deterioration of the drive-train in a wind turbine. It is thus necessary to obtain a model to reproduce wind speed under different flow conditions (laminar and turbulent), in order to simulate the wind turbine degradation.

For the laminar wind speed case, the real measurement of the wind speed over a period of approximately 7 hours (27000s) was used to feed the model (Fig. 4a).

In the turbulent case, different types of models exist to predict wind speed (Hanifi et al. (2020); Manwell et al. (2009); Liu et al. (2020)). However, the approach proposed in Ma et al. (2018) allows generating different classes of wind speed by using a stochastic equation (12). In this work, this latter model was used to simulate a data set of turbulent wind speed:

$$dV(t) = -a(V(t) - \bar{V})dt + b dW(t)$$

(12)

where the terms $a(V(t), t)$ and $b(V(t), t)$ are the drift and diffusion terms, and $dW$ is a continuous process whose increments are normally distributed, i.e. a standard Wiener process.

The parameters $a$, $b$, and $\bar{V}$ were chosen from real wind speed records for periods as presented in Ma et al. (2018). Thus, the equation for reproducing turbulent wind speed is:

$$dV(t) = -0.0314(V(t) - 5.5135)dt + 0.2517 dW(t)$$

(13)

For the turbulent wind speed, the simulation was performed during the same period length as in the laminar case (27000s) and is presented in Fig. 4b.

However, this work aims to evaluate how an suitable control gain can mitigate the effects of adverse weather conditions. For this purpose, a third data set was reproduced for 12 hours ($t = 43200s$), with periods in laminar flow conditions and others in turbulence, organized randomly, during a minimum of 1 hour. In Fig. 5 the alternation between laminar and turbulent periods are represented with lines to identify each flow regimen.

4.3 Gain-scheduling Control Strategy Setting

In this work, we considered two scenarios of wind speed conditions (laminar and turbulent) to validate the proposed Gain-scheduling control strategy. Each case was evaluated, separately, in order to obtain two possible suitable control gains, as is show below:

$$K_e^s = \begin{cases} 
K_{e_{turbulent}} & \text{optimal } K_e \text{ for turbulent flow} \\
K_{e_{laminar}} & \text{optimal } K_e \text{ for laminar flow}
\end{cases}$$

The values of $K_{e_{laminar}}$ and $K_{e_{turbulent}}$ are solution of an off-line optimisation problem as it is illustrated in Fig.2. As result the optimal control gains are:

- $K_{e_{laminar}}$ which can take values until 11% above $K_{e_{opt}}$. This gain is optimal for laminar wind conditions.

4. SIMULATION OF THE PROPOSED APPROACH

4.1 Simulation Setting

For VS-FP turbines, a reduced model is regularly used, where the drive-train system is represented by two rigid masses connected by a flexible shaft. In this work, we simulate a VS-FP turbine with a horizontal-axis and fixed gearbox. The rated power of the turbine is 2 MW and 100 m rotor diameter.

For the case of the chosen turbine, the power coefficient curve, $C_p$ versus $\lambda$ : the value of $C_{p_{max}}$ is 0.4615 at $\lambda_0$ equal to 6.4. Therefore, using (4), the theoretical optimal feedback control gain, will be $K_{c_{opt}} = 0.5065c_3$.

4.2 Wind Speed Generation

Fig. 4. Considered wind speed conditions: (a) laminar and (b) turbulent

Uncontrolled weather conditions such as the wind speed increase the deterioration of the drive-train in a wind turbine. It is thus necessary to obtain a model to reproduce wind speed under different flow conditions (laminar and turbulent), in order to simulate the wind turbine degradation.

For the laminar wind speed case, the real measurement of the wind speed over a period of approximately 7 hours (27000s) was used to feed the model (Fig. 4a).

Fig. 5. Simulated wind speed.

Fig. 6. Comparison of the relative torsion shaft angle for different feedback gains $K_e$.
4.4 Results and discussion

This work used the dynamical system presented in (1) to simulate the generated energy and the dissipated energy (i.e. degradation) in the transmission shaft by considering the necessity to adapt the control gain depending on the variations in the wind nature. During all the simulations, the wind speed used as an input to the model is presented every hour (3600 seconds) as is indicated in Fig.5.

For validation of the proposed gain-scheduling control strategy, the same changing wind condition scenario was used for testing and comparing four different choices of the control gain:

- Case with the proposed gain-scheduling $K_c^*$: during all the simulation, $K_c$ is switched between $K_{c_{laminar}}$ and $K_{c_{turbulent}}$ depending on the wind conditions;
- Case with the theoretical optimal control gain $K_{c_{opt}}$: during all the simulation, $K_c$ is set at the value of $K_{c_{opt}} = 9.5065e5$, computed using (4);
- Case with $K_{c_{laminar}}$: during all the simulation, $K_c$ is set at a value obtained as optimal under laminar conditions, determined using (11);
- Case with $K_{c_{turbulent}}$: during all the simulation, $K_c$ is set at a value obtained as optimal under turbulent conditions, determined using (11).

Nevertheless, to find the optimal $K_{c_{laminar}}$ and $K_{c_{turbulent}}$, the evaluation was made using the wind speed data presented in Fig. 4, giving, as a result, a value for each case. In this work, the values of $K_{c_{laminar}}$ and $K_{c_{turbulent}}$ are $1.0552e06$ and $1.4260e5$ respectively.

The dynamical system presented in (1) was used to validate the gain-scheduling control strategy, simulating the variations in $\theta_s$, switching the $K_c$ according to the wind conditions. Fig. 6 shows a comparison of the behavior in the variation of $\theta_s$ using the optimal case as a reference. The results show that the wind conditions affect the variation of the torsion angle, and it is possible to minimize the impact if a suitable control gain is used.

Fig. 7 shows the generated energy for different $K_c$: with $K_{c_{opt}}$ it is possible to generate more energy, followed by the case where $K_{c_{laminar}}$ is used, because a greater $K_c$ leads to a higher generation of energy. Nevertheless, the gain-scheduling control strategy allows improving energy generation when the wind exhibits periods of turbulent conditions. Fig. 8 illustrates the dissipated energy for the period of evaluation. The case with $K_{c_{laminar}}$ dissipated a higher amount of energy. Moreover, in the case of $K_{c_{turbulent}}$, a $K_c$ lower than the optimal leads to minor degradation. Nevertheless, it can be seen that the gain-scheduling control strategy allows follow the behavior of the case with $K_{c_{opt}}$ in terms of energy dissipation.

To further discuss these results, let consider the generated and dissipated energy and compare with the $K_{c_{opt}}$ scenario:

- Generated energy: The optimal case always leads to a significant amount of generated energy, and greater $K_c$ increases the energy generation. However, if the control gain is switched according to the wind conditions, the generated energy decreases significantly, as shown in Fig. 7.

- Dissipated energy: The optimal case with $K_{c_{opt}}$ also results in the lowest dissipated energy, as shown in Fig. 8. In contrast, the case with $K_{c_{laminar}}$ results in the highest dissipated energy, followed by the case with $K_{c_{turbulent}}$.

Fig. 9. Comparison of the relative generated energy with respect to the optimal case.

Fig. 10. Comparison of the relative dissipated energy with respect to the optimal case.

Fig. 7. Generated energy for different feedback gains $K_c$.

Fig. 8. Dissipated energy for different feedback gains $K_c$.
conditions \((K^*_c)\), it is still possible to increase the generation of energy almost at the level reached under laminar ideal conditions, see Fig.9.

- Dissipated energy: regarding the generated energy, a higher generation leads at the same time to a significant level of dissipated energy, and hence degradation. For this reason, the dissipated energy in the case with \(K_{\text{laminar}}\) is above the optimal ones. However, the \(K_{\text{turbulent}}\) is below the other cases with a significant difference, while the case with suitable control gain \(K_c^*\) allows to keep a low level of dissipated energy, dissipating less energy than in the cases with \(K_{\text{laminar}}\) and even with \(K_c^*\), see Fig.10.

We can thus conclude that the proposed control adaptation strategy allows to increase energy generation in turbulent cases and decreases dissipated energy in the drive-train system (when compared to situation where \(K_c\) is higher than optimal). As a consequence, the system can profit of both a higher generation of energy and a lower dissipated energy.

5. CONCLUSIONS

This paper proposes a gain-scheduling control strategy to optimize the efficiency of a wind turbine under varying weather conditions, finding an optimal trade-off between the generated energy and degradation (due to dissipated energy) in the drive-train. The proposed strategy considers the variation of the wind conditions to alternate between different suitable control gains, maximizing the generated energy and decreasing the dissipated energy.

The proposed strategy was tested using different wind speed scenarios to consider a more complete panorama about the possible wind conditions affecting the turbine. Real data measurement was used to simulate the wind conditions for laminar flow, while for turbulent wind conditions, a stochastic model was used to simulate it.

The results show that it is possible to maximize the generated energy and decrease the dissipated energy by switching the control gains depending on wind flow conditions, decreasing the variations in the shaft angle, and getting closest to the theoretical optimal behavior.

Stability conditions of the proposed control scheme can be obtained by expressing the whole dynamical control system as a Linear Parametric Varying system and, by using suitable available tools in this area. This is aspect will treated in a future work.
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