Notes on the identity of *Oiketicoides tedaldii* (Heylaerts, 1882) (Psychidae, Oiketicinae)
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**Abstract.** The identity of a group of species around *Oiketicoides tedaldii* (Heylaerts, 1882) is discussed. The comparison of the taxa in question shows that there is considerable uncertainty about the taxonomic classification of the various described populations of *Oiketicoides* around the Mediterranean. The type material of *O. tedaldii* was found to be lost and therefore it is necessary to define a neotype, in order to maintain the stability of the nomenclature. The distribution of *O. tedaldii* and related taxa is discussed and morphological and molecular differences are presented.

**Zusammenfassung.** Die Identität einer Gruppe von Arten um *Oiketicoides tedaldii* (Heylaerts, 1882) wird diskutiert. Der Vergleich der in Frage kommenden Taxa zeigt, dass eine beträchtliche Unsicherheit über die taxonomische Einordnung der verschiedenen beschriebenen Populationen von *Oiketicoides* im Mittelmeerraum besteht. Da das Typusmaterial von *O. tedaldii* verloren gegangen ist, ist es für die Stabilität der Nomenklatur zwingend notwendig, einen Neotypus festzulegen. Die Verbreitung von *O. tedaldii* und verwandten Arten wird diskutiert und die morphologischen und molekularen Unterschiede werden dargestellt.

**Introduction**

The genus *Oiketicoides* Heylaerts, 1881 comprises more than 40 species described in the Palaearctic (Sobczyk 2011; Sobczyk, Arnscheid and Nuss 2013; Arnscheid and Weidlich 2017). Others are of Afrotropical and Oriental distribution. In addition to the Central Asian steppes and arid areas of the Iberian Peninsula and the Mediterranean, the mountains of North Africa are the main distribution area of the genus. From the end of the 19th to the first half of the 20th century several species of this genus have been described by different authors but most descriptions, however, are based on only one or very few specimens. The taxa were rarely illustrated, and essential characteristics like the male genitalia were not been presented. This has led to considerable confusion about taxonomy of *Oiketicoides* up to the present.

In this context, a group of species around *Oiketicoides tedaldii* (Heylaerts, 1882) deserves special consideration. The taxon was first mentioned by Heylaerts (1881), who named “tedaldii” without description. It was been made nomenclaturally available by Heylaerts himself in 1882.
Since that time, *O. tedaldii* has been mentioned in numerous faunistic works, but also in works on systematics and taxonomy of Psychidae in various fields. Meanwhile it is unclear whether reported specimens represent *O. tedaldii* or another species, because it is very difficult for taxonomists or other workers who are less familiar with psychids to distinguish this species from the next closest related species that shares its distribution area. In the meantime, in addition to the sparse material in collections, various molecular data are now available, so that a more clearly defined picture of the taxonomic and zoogeographic relationships concerning *O. tedaldii* is now available. We attempt to explain these relationships and to provide diagnostic information for further investigations.

### Material and methods

#### Abbreviations

| Abbreviation | Description |
|--------------|-------------|
| CMZ          | Research collection of Michael Zerafa, Naxxar, Malta |
| CTS          | Research collection of Thomas Sobczyk, Hoyerswerda, Germany |
| CWA          | Research collection of Wilfried R. Arnscheid, Bochum, Germany |
| DC           | Discal cell |
| ICZN         | International Code of Zoological Nomenclature |
| MFNB         | Museum für Naturkunde Berlin |
| MWM          | Museum Witt München |
| NMNL         | National Museum of Natural History Naturalis Leiden |
| SMNK         | Staatliches Museum für Naturkunde Karlsruhe |
| UWCP         | University of Wroclaw, Poland |
| ZSM          | Zoologische Staatssammlung München |

#### Indices

| Index | Description |
|-------|-------------|
| FI    | Forewing index (maximum length from base to apex divided by the maximum width of the wings) |
| EI    | Eye index (= smallest eye distance divided by the vertical eye diameter) |

Images of male genitalia (procedure as described in Arnscheid and Weidlich 2017) were taken with an Olympus OMD EM10 Mark II digital camera using an Olympus stereomicroscope with photo adapter and stacked with COMBINE ZP using Soft Stack; sharpened and denoised with Neat Image V8 and post-processed with PHOTOSCAPE V.37. Images of the adults were taken with an Olympus E1 digital camera with a 35–50 mm macro lens and a series of 12 single shots stacked with COMBINE ZP using Soft Stack; sharpened and denoised with NEAT IMAGE V8.

DNA barcode sequences in BOLD are based on a 658 base-pair long segment of the mitochondrial COI gene (cytochrome c oxidase 1). DNA samples (dried legs) were prepared and successfully processed at the Canadian Centre for DNA Barcoding (CCDB, Biodiversity Institute of Ontario, University of Guelph) to obtain DNA barcodes using the standard high-throughput protocol described in deWaard et al. (2008). Degrees of interspecific variation of DNA barcode fragments were calculated under the Kimura 2 parameter model of nucleotide substitution.

The taxonomic and collection data, voucher image, COI sequence and/or GenBank accession numbers are available for all specimens in the BOLD database (http://www.boldsystems.org). The neighbor-joining tree is based on the Kimura 2 parameter (K2P) model of nucleotide substitution.
(Kimura 1980) as recommended in the barcoding protocol (Ratnasingham and Hebert 2007, 2013) was obtained using MEGA 7.

The terminology in the description of the morphology follows Arnscheid and Weidlich (2017).

**Taxonomic problems**

*O. tedaldii* plays a key role in the clarification of which *Oiketicoides* species are distributed around the Mediterranean Sea. It is also the first species of the genus described from North Africa. Nevertheless, it is possible that the specimens on which the description is based belong to a mixed series. Both the *Oiketicoides* species from the Near East and the European and North African species have a rather localise distribution none of which known more than one continent. Looking at the details in Heylaerts’ original description of 1882, it is clear that he only had two male adult specimens at his disposal. He named this new species after Luigi Failla-Tedaldi, (1853–1933 from Palermo, Sicily, Italy). However, no adult specimen from Sicily is specified. Heylaerts only mentioned several larval cases, which he had received from Zeller in 1847 from the vicinity of Anapo in Sicily, as well as further caterpillars taken by Failla-Tedaldi from Sicily, also without males, since both breedings failed. These larval cases are the only evidence for a possible occurrence of *O. tedaldii* on Sicily. The total number of syntypes is unknown, but must be larger than four. Thus, there were only larval cases from Sicily, which cannot be assigned today with absolute certainty to *O. tedaldii* since the whereabouts of the two male adults cannot be ascertained. At the end of 1881 Heylaerts received from M. Chevalier a male with the corresponding characteristic larval case from Algiers. At the same time, he mentions that this specimen corresponds “in every respect” to another specimen from Syria, which he had received from O. Staudinger to compare and describe. It can be assumed that it was from these two specimens that the description of *O. tedaldii* was made. Just as the type specimens have equal rights for primary type designation, the type localities (Sicily, Algiers, Syria) are those of the syntypes. Heylaerts further noted, however, that he compared the specimens in his possession with *O. febretta* (Boyer de Fonscolombe, 1835) and *O. lutea* (Staudinger, 1871). Here a new taxonomic problem begins. With the means and comparative collections for determination available at the time, at least *O. tedaldii* and *O. lutea* could not be separated with absolute certainty. Hence, the type material had to be studied for this paper.

The Heylaerts Collection at NMNL does not contain any of the specimens mentioned above. Six specimens (pins with one or more larval cases, some with female exuvia and label) with the numbers RMNH.INS.1283464–1283469 have been subsequently marked by an unknown person with red labels “Museum Leiden, SYNTYPE, Acanthopsyche tedaldii Heylaerts, 1882”. This is a mistake. Three larval cases bear female exuviae and one of these is labelled “Heylaertsii”. In the description of *Amicta tedaldii* Heylaerts points out that females are unknown. But Heylaerts had females of *Psyche heylaertsii* available to him because Millière reported on Heylaerts’ observation that a female of “Psyche” heylaertsii laid 210 eggs. However, there is no evidence that the case specimens in NMNL actually belong to the syntypic series of *P. heylaertsii*. Thus there are no males and larval cases which can be safely identified as *O. tedaldii*. The syntypes of *O. tedaldii* must be considered lost.

The descriptions of *P. heylaertsii* and *A. tedaldii* remain of primary importance. Heylaerts does not compare Millière’s description of *P. heylaertsii* with his *A. tedaldii* and lists it in contrast as a further species. It is therefore probable that Heylaerts detected differences between these two species. Later, Kirby (1892) listed *P. heylaertsii* as a subjective junior synonym of *Psyche febretta*.
var. lutea (Staudinger, 1871). On the other hand, Millière expressly points out that the specimens on which the description of *P. heylaertsi* is based, were also given to him by Failla-Tedaldi. They were collected in North-Eastern Sicily at an altitude of 1800 m in the Nebrodi Mountains, therefore, they may have been found in the summit area of Mt. Soro (1847 m). So, it appears there were two different consignments from Failla-Tedaldi, the first with *P. heylaertsi* Millière, reaching Heylaerts in 1878 or earlier. The other could have been the result of a targeted search in the Anapo Valley, which reached Heylaerts in 1881. Except for Sicily, records of *P. heylaertsi* from southern Italy come from Puglia, Calabria, Molise and Abruzzo (Porcelli and Parenzan 2006).

Millière described and depicted *Psyche heylaertsi* in 1878. However, this name has fallen into oblivion mainly because Millière’s description lacks a geographic origin of this taxon. However, in a further publication (Millière 1881), he left no doubt that as already mentioned he referred to specimens from the Nebrodi Mountains in Sicily. Kirby (1892) synonymized *P. heylaertsi* and *P. sera* in his catalogue, but obviously he did not know the correct year of the description of *P. heylaertsi* and therefore he refers erroneously to Millière’s work of 1881. In his monograph Heylaerts (1881) listed among the genus *Amicta* the taxon *tedaldii* as a separate species besides *Psyche heylaertsi* Millière, 1878. *Psyche sera* Wiskott, 1880 is listed as a synonym of *Psyche heylaertsi*. Thus, it is not *P. sera*, as Kirby states, but *P. heylaertsi*, that is the older and therefore the valid name for the taxon in question here.

During the studies for this paper, we examined in the Staudinger Collection at MFNB *Oiketicoeides* specimens that might be considered as syntypes of *O. tedaldii*. One of these specimens is labelled “*lutea var. timona* Heyl.”. No taxon bearing this name has ever been described. In any case, the name “*timona*” indicates an origin from Syria, because it is the name of a deacon of the ancient Christian community in the 1st century, who later lived in Aleppo. As already shown, Syria may be a possible locality for *O. tedaldii*. But unfortunately, we found this and another questionable specimen in the Staudinger collection which is labelled “*lutea var.*” both belonging to another species, probably *O. jordana* (Staudinger, 1899).

Despite intensive searches, the syntypes of *O. tedaldii* could not be found and they must be considered as lost. It can be recognised without doubt that Heylaerts knew two different species of *Oiketicoeides* from Sicily, which according to current understanding are *O. tedaldii* and *O. lutea* (respectively; *Psyche sera* and *Psyche heylaertsi* being probably synonyms of the latter). Even today this situation has not changed. Furthermore, the frequency of the cases of *O. tedaldii* at several locations described by Heylaerts allows the conclusion that it was and is a widespread species. Altogether it was impossible to verify true *O. tedaldii*, neither from the Near East nor from North Africa. The exclusion of *O. lutea* and the presence of another *Oiketicoeides* species in Sicily allows at least the identity of the Sicilian species to be established with respect to *O. tedaldii*. This is also the case with the two *Oiketicoeides* species occurring on Malta. One of these species could be identified as *O. tedaldii* by its morphological characters, larval cases and DNA barcode (Arnscheid, Weidlich and Zerafa, unpubl.). Such a reconstruction is not possible for the North African and Near Eastern species due to their much higher diversity (Sobczyk and Arnscheid, in prep.) and low proportion of undescribed species.

**Molecular analysis of the *Oiketicoeides* species mentioned here**

In the BOLD, the database of the Canadian Centre for DNA Barcoding (CCDB) as well as on the GenBank (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/), several publicly accessible samples are
available, which belong to the taxa *O. tedaldii* and *O. lutea*. The samples are generated from the following Barcode Index Numbers (BIN) BOLD:AAM0038 (GWORZ165–10), BOLD:AAP3634 (GWORU342-10), BOLD:ABU7325 (PSYCH086–11). The Sequence No. PSYCH140–12 has no BIN. The Sequence Nos. GBGL32882–19 and GBGL32883–19 were generated from GenBank Access Numbers KX399366 and KX399372. This shows that the infra-specific divergence is a maximum of 0.3% or zero whichever is applicable in *O. lutea* but negligible in *O. tedaldii*. In contrast, the interspecific divergence between *O. lutea* and *O. tedaldii* is 13.3–13.8% (Tab. 1, Fig. 1). This also shows, that in South Europe two distinct *Oiketicoides* species exist, from which one must be the previously enigmatic *O. tedaldii*.

The identity and distribution of *O. tedaldii* (Heylarts, 1882)

Considering the taxa of the genus *Oiketicoides* in the western Mediterranean area, starting from the presumed distribution area of *O. tedaldii*, three taxa are questionable according to previous knowledge. One of them is *O. febretta*. However, a confusion with *O. tedaldii* (sensu lato) can be excluded, as *O. febretta* is an easily recognisable species, which was certainly understood correctly in the past. Thus the taxa *O. lutea* and *Psyche sera*, which some authors considered as separate species, are preserved remain, but according to Heylarts (1881) *Psyche sera* is a junior synonym for *Psyche heylaertsi* and thus, according to Kirby (1892) to *O. lutea*.

---

**Table 1.** Pairwise DNA barcode divergences between two *Oiketicoides* taxa from South Europe.

| Species               | Sequence No. | Locality       | Pairwise distance (%) |
|-----------------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| *Oiketicoides tedaldii* | GBGL32882-19 | Malta          | GBGL32883-19 Malta    | 0.00                   |
| *Oiketicoides lutea*   | GWORU342-10  | Cosenza, Italy | GWORZ165-10 Cosenza, Italy | 13.8                  |
|                       | GWORZ165-10  | Cosenza, Italy | PSYCH086-11 Zagoria, Greece | 13.7                  |
|                       | PSYCH140-12  | Calabria, Italy| PSYCH140-12 Calabria, Italy | 13.8                  |

**Figure 1.** Neighbor-joining tree of the *Oiketicoides* species from South Europe.
Wiskott’s (1880) description of *P. sera* is very short: “♂, Alis cinereis; capillis inferiore capitis parte, antennis, margine anteriore alarum anticaubarum, ciliis nigro-cinereis; capillis superiore capitatis parte, thorace, abdomine, pedibus, cano-flavescendibus. Lutea propinquaa, sed minor, colore obscuriore, antennis, tenuioribus, alis magis rotundatis, Esp. 21 mm. ♀: Ignota. Patria: Sicilia (Litus septentrionale).”

Translation: “♂, Wings grey; the lower part of the head, antennae and the edge of the forewings darker black-grey, the upper part of the head, the thorax and the feet are greyish yellow-brown. Closely related to *O. lutea* Stgr., but smaller, darker colours and more rounded, thin wings, span 21 mm. ♀: unknown. Region: Sicily.”

The description partly correlates with both *Oiketicoides* species occurring on the island of Sicily. Especially the statement that *O. lutea* has rounder wings does not allow to exclude with absolute certainty that Wiskott’s specimen might not have been *O. tedaldii*. The surprising recovery of the only syntype of *P. sera* in the Wiskott Collection in UWCP, however, shows that this taxon is without question the one also known as “*sera*” in today’s sense.

Looking closer to the distribution areas of these three taxa known from literature shows the following result: The type locality of *O. lutea* is Mt. Veluchi in Greece. The species is also mentioned from Albania, Bulgaria, Crete, Sicily, Italy, North-Macedonia, Croatia and Serbia. Furthermore, Armenia, Georgia, Turkey, Iraq, Lebanon, Palestine, Syria and Russia are mentioned, however, the latter records will most likely be confused with other species. Concrete evidence of distribution is only available from the wider Balkan region.

Since it was not possible to find syntypes of *O. tedaldii*, and in regard to the taxonomic problems outlined above, there is an urgent need for the stability of the nomenclature to define a neotype. The determination of a neotype is in accordance with Art. 75 of the ICZN (Kraus 2000). For this purpose, the diagnostic characteristics of the specimens considered for this taxon are compared below.

One indication of Heylaerts (1882) in his description can be considered as particularly important: the larval cases of the species he describes are characteristically covered with fragments of shells of small molluscs. We therefore assume that the specimens with the corresponding larval cases now available to us represented the taxon that Heylaerts described in 1882 as *O. tedaldii*. Oberthür (1909) pictured a male, female, and such a typical larval case as *O. tedaldii* from Algeria (Khenchala). Last but not least, due to the fact that no certain specimen (with the characteristic larval case) of *O. tedaldii* from North Africa nor from the Near East is available, we select a specimen with a larval case from Sicily for neotype:

*Oiketicoides tedaldii* (Heylaerts, 1882)

Figs 2(1), 4

The neotype is labelled as follows:
1) Sicilia, Ficuzza, 16.ix., Krüger Geo. C. 2) det. Dr. Wehrli *A. tedaldii*Heyl. 3) *Oiketicoides tedaldii* Heyl., det. Arnscheid, Präp. 4077 4) Slg. Daniel. 5) red label: NEOTYPUS, *Oiketicoides tedaldii* (Heylaerts, 1882).

The neotype is deposited in the MWM.

**Description.** Head dorsolaterally long, yellowish brown, hair-shaped scaled. Eyes ovoid, AI: 0.54. Antennae bipectinate with 29 pecten. Pecten ciliated and scaled. Antennae length 5 mm. Wings light brown, forewings costa straight, apex roundish, termen oblique. Underside equally coloured. Fringes yellowish brown with a whitish gloss distally. Wingspan 22 mm, wing length 9 mm, wing
Figure 2. 1, 1a. Neotype of *Oiketicoides tedaldii* (Heylaerts, 1882) and labels in MWM. 2, 2a. Holotype and labels of *Psyche lutea* Staudinge (1871) in MFNB. 3. Holotype of *Amicta sera* Wiskott, 1880 in UWCP. 4, 5. Case and labels of *Psyche heylaertsi* Millière, 1878, erroneously labelled as syntype of *Acanthopsyche tedaldii* Heylaerts, 1882 (NMNL).
width 5 mm, FI: 1.8. Scales short, unstalked or short stalked. Mostly with two dentations, partially with 3 dentations (classes 1–2, after Sauter 1956). Fringes yellowish brown with a whitish gloss distally. Fringe scales with 3–5 dentations. Forewing venation with 10 veins from discal cell. Discal cell divided, veins r3+r4 stalked of 1/3 length. Hindwing venation with 7 veins, m1 and m2 short stalked. Genitalia (slide 4077 Arnscheid): Tegumen and vinculum fused. Tegumen ovoid, folded laterally. Valva short and broad, of tegumen length. Distinctly indented above vinculum laterally. Vinculum stretched, triangle shaped. Clasper of sacculus short and slender, covered distally with 6 short spines. Saccus long and stretched, of tegumen length. Phallus very long, thick, weakly curved, vesica without cornuti but with a broad pointed process laterally.

The confirmed distribution of O. tedaldii with both specimens and cases is thus from Italy: Sicily [Ficuzza, Madonie, Caltanissetta], Malta [Imtaħleb, Bingemma, Naxxar Gap, Gharghr: Ġebel San Pietru, Mellieha]. As has already been shown, there are hints that this species also occurs in Algeria and Tunisia (Fig. 8a, b).

Redescription of the male morphology of O. tedaldii (Heylaerts, 1882)

Figs 3 (1–3), 4, 6 (1–4), 7 (1, 4)

Head dorsolaterally long, yellowish brown, hair-shaped scaled. Eyes ovoid, AI: 0.54–0.55. Antennae bipectinate with 29–30 pecten. Pecten long ciliated. Antennae length 5 mm. Wings light brown, forewings costa straight, apex roundish, termen oblique. Underside equally coloured. Wingspan 20–24.5 mm. Forewing with 10 veins from discal cell, r3+r4 and m2+m3 stalked. Junction of vein m1 to DC complete. Hindwing with 7 veins from DC. Veins m2+m3 stalked. Scales short, unstalked or short stalked. Mostly with two dentations, partially with 3 dentations (classes 1–2, after Sauter, 1956). Fringe scales whitish, light brown basally, distinctly glossy, with 3–5 dentations. Less indented than in O. lutea. Genitalia: Tegumen and vinculum fused. Tegumen ovoid, folded laterally. Valva short and broad, of tegumen length. Distinctly indented above vinculum laterally. Vinculum stretched, triangle shaped. Clasper of sacculus short and slender, covered distally with 6 short spines. Saccus long and stretched, of tegumen length. Phallus very long, thick, weakly curved, vesica without cornuti but with a broad pointed process laterally. Larval Case: Male length 20–25 mm, diameter 5.5–6 mm. Cylindrical, slightly curved with circular cross section, covered with fine particles of soil and bits of broken snail shells, twigs, mineral debris and dry plants matter. Front opening is covered with smaller plant material and soil.

Redescription of the male morphology of O. lutea (Staudinger, 1871)

Figs 3 (4–10), 5, 6 (5–6), 7 (2, 3, 5, 6)

Head dorsolaterally long, brown hair-shaped scaled. Eyes ovoid, AI: 0.67–0.68. Antennae bipectinate with 33–34 pecten. Pecten long ciliated. Antennae length 5 mm. Wings light brown, forewings costa mostly slightly concave in the basal half, apex roundish, termen oblique or roundish. Underside equally coloured. Wingspan 21–25.5 mm. Forewing with 10 veins from discal cell, r3+r4 and m2+m3 stalked, rarely from one-point rising or completely divided. Junction of vein m1 to DC complete. Hindwing with 7 veins from discal cell. Veins m2+m3 stalked. Small, intercalated cells at the base of the DC absent, FI: 1.82–1.93 (the southern populations of Greece and Italy mainland and
Figure 3. Males of Oiketicoides tedaldii and O. lutea from Europe. 1. *O. tedaldii*, neotype, Italy, Sicily, Ficuzza. 2. *O. tedaldii*, Italy, Sicily, Madonia. 3. *O. tedaldii*, Malta. 4. *O. lutea* Italy, Sicily, Madonia. 5. *O. lutea*, Italy, mainland, Sila mts. Spaviera. 6. *O. lutea* Italy, Taranto, San Paolo. 7. *O. lutea*, Italy, Sicily, Agrigento. 8. *O. lutea* Italy, Caltanissetta, Barburra. 9. *O. lutea*, North Macedonia, Lake Ohrid. 10. *O. lutea*, Greece, Zachlarou.
Figure 4. Male genitalia of *Oiketicoides tedaldi* (Heylaerts, 1882). 1, 1a. Neotype, Italy, Sicily, Ficuzza, (genital slide 4077, CWA). 2, 2a. Italy, Sicily, Caltanisetta (genital slide 4084, CWA).
Figure 5. Male genitalia of *Oiketicoides lutea* (Staudinger, 1871). 1, 1a. Italy, Sicily, Madonie (genital slide 4079, CWA). 2, 2a. Italy, Sicily.
Figure 6. The larval cases of *Oiketicoides tedaldii* (Heylaerts, 1882) and *O. lutea* (Staudinger, 1871). *O. tedaldii*: 1, 2. Italy, Sicily, 3. Tunisia, 4. Malta. *O. lutea*: 5, 6. Sicily.

Sicily, n = 17) and 2.14 (Populations of northern Balkans, n = 15). Scales elongated, lanceolate, un-stalked or short stalked. Mostly with 1–2 dentations (classes 1–2, after Sauter 1956). Fringe scales whitish, light brown basally, distinctly glossy, with 3–5 dentations, deeper indented than in *O. tedaldii*. Male Genitalia: Tegumen and vinculum fused. Tegumen ovoid, folded laterally. Valva short and broad, of tegumen length. Not or only slightly indented above vinculum laterally, with distinctly visible or intimated triangle-shape projects laterally. Vinculum broad, triangle shaped or slightly rounded laterally. Clasper of sacculus short and mostly broader than in *O. tedaldii*, covered distally
with 5–6 longer spines. Saccus short and widened and rounded distally, fishtail-shaped. Phallus very long, thick, weakly curved, vesica without cornuti but with a broad pointed process laterally. Larval Case: Length 19–25 mm, diameter 5–6 mm. Cylindrical, covered with fine particles of soil, twigs, mineral debris and dry plants matter. Front opening is covered with smaller plant material.

Figure 7. Eye area and forewing scales of the *O. tedaldii*-species group. 1, 4. *O. tedaldii*, neotype, Italy, Sicily. 2, 5. *O. lutea*, Italy, Sicily, Madonie. 3, 6. *O. lutea*, North-Macedonia, Lake Ohrid.
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