Can Collagenase Be Used in the Treatment of Adhesive Capsulitis?
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Highlights of the Study

- Subacromial administration of collagenase was more effective than steroid and saline in improving shoulder abduction angle and in terms of histological/histochemical examination.
- Collagenase administered subacromially did not pass into the glenohumeral space, and therefore did not cause chondral damage.
- There were no significant differences in abduction angles and histological/histochemical examination between steroid and saline groups.
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Abstract

Background: The objective of this study was to evaluate the efficacy of subacromial injections of collagenase and corticosteroid in rats with experimentally induced adhesive capsulitis. Method: Thirty adult Wistar albino male rats were distributed into 3 groups of 10 rats each after stabilization of their shoulders for 3 weeks: the first group received a single dose of 0.002 mg (0.25 mL) subacromial collagenase; the second group received a single dose of 1.60 mg (0.25 mL) subacromial steroid, and the third group received a single dose of 0.25 mL subacromial saline solution. One week later, we investigated shoulder range of motions, collagen content of the shoulder, and joint cartilage structure. Results: There was no statistically significant difference in the cartilage damage between the groups ($p > 0.05$). Fibrosis measurements were significantly lower in the collagenase group than in the steroid and saline groups. There was no significant difference in fibrosis between the steroid and saline groups ($p > 0.05$). Abduction measurements were significantly higher in the collagenase group than in the steroid and saline groups ($p < 0.001$). No significant difference in the abduction measurements was observed between the saline and steroid groups ($p > 0.05$). Conclusion: We observed that subacromial injections of collagenase Clostridium histolyticum effectively treated adhesive capsulitis. The results suggest that this treatment could be considered for use in patients with an intact rotator cuff.
Introduction

Adhesive capsulitis of the shoulder is characterized by a gradual onset of shoulder pain and limited passive and active range of motions (ROM) with respect to external rotation and forward flexion which affects 2–5% of the general population [1]. Its incidence increases in systemic diseases, especially in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus [2].

Adhesive capsulitis is a disease characterized by fibroblast proliferation, thickening of both the coracohumeral ligament (CHL) and capsule at the rotator cuff interval, and complete obliteration of the fat triangle under the coracoid process and axillary recess [3]. The microscopic examination of tissue from sufferers of adhesive capsulitis reveals fibroblasts mixed with type I and type III collagen. The fibroblasts change into smooth muscle phenotype (myofibroblasts), which appears to cause the capsular contraction [4], but the etiology and pathophysiology of adhesive capsulitis have not been fully elucidated [5].

Fibroplastic changes, increased local collagen, and myofibroblasts are observed in Dupuytren’s disease as well as in Peyronie’s disease [6, 7]. Adhesive capsulitis pathoanatomy was observed to be quite similar [8, 9].

Collagenase Clostridium histolyticum (CCH) consists of two synergistic collagenases (CCH-I and CCH-II). It is an effective enzymatic injection used in the treatment of Dupuytren’s disease and Peyronie’s disease [10].

The objective of this study was to investigate the activity of intralesional CCH in rats with experimental adhesive capsulitis because it has a similar pathoanatomy.

Materials and Methods

Thirty adult male 7-month-old Wistar albino rats with an average weight of 220–275 g were included in the study. The rats were obtained from the Experimental Animal Breeding and Research Laboratory of Diele University. The animals were kept at 20–24 °C, 50–55% relative humidity, under a 12-h light/12-h dark cycle in a noiseless environment. They were fed with standard laboratory food without liquid or food restriction.

Frozen Shoulder Modeling

The rats were anesthetized with intramuscular xylazine hydrochloride (5 mg/kg, Rompun®; Bayer, Germany) and ketamine hydrochloride (50 mg/kg, Ketalar®; Pfizer, USA) at time zero. The depth of the anesthesia was assessed by monitoring the corneal reflex and responses to painful stimulation of the foot. The shoulder, including the whole left extremity, was bandaged with compression to the body, the shoulder was in adduction and internal rotation, and the elbow was placed in flexion and pronation so that it would not become loose and also not prevent respiration and circulation. No food and fluid restrictions were applied. The animals were checked daily to monitor any loosening or rupture of the bandage [11] (Fig. 1).

The animals were allowed to move freely for 3 weeks, and then the shoulder bandages were removed after they were anesthetized with intramuscular xylazine hydrochloride (5 mg/kg, Rompun; Bayer) and ketamine hydrochloride (50 mg/kg, Ketalar; Pfizer). The animals were randomized into 3 groups of 10 rats each. A randomization table assigned the digits to the collagenase, the steroid, or the saline groups. The first group (collagenase group) received subacromial 0.002 mg (0.25 mL) CCH (Xiaflex®, USA). The second group (steroid group) received 1.60 mg (0.25 mL) betamethasone dipropionate (Dipraspan®; Schering Plough, USA). The third group (saline group) received 0.25 mL saline solution. Saline (isotonic sodium chloride solution) was also administered subacromially. Surgery was performed using a lateral approach to ensure that the injected material was in the subacromial area. After skin incision, a longitudinal incision was made on the deltoid muscle to expose the rotator cuff tendons at the left shoulder joint. The deltoit muscle and skin were closed by using 5-0 nylon sutures in 3 groups. The dosage was calculated from the amount of CCH per kg human body weight administered to people with Dupuytren’s disease.

The animals were again allowed to move freely for 7 days, and then the abduction angles were measured with the rats under anesthesia. The midline of the humeral diaphysis was removed from the scapular periscapular
muscles after half osteotomy of the clavicle, and the shoulder joint was completely removed [12]. The excised materials were prepared for histological and histochemical examination.

**Measurement of the Abduction Angle**

After removal of the shoulder bandage, the angle between the humeral shaft and spina scapula under 10 g of torque (3.92 × 10 − 3.78 N × m) was measured to evaluate the maximum passive abduction [12]. To assess the abduction angles, the angle formed from the intersection of a line on the scapular spine and a line originating from the center of the humeral head to that of the humeral condyle was measured by using a goniometer. The shoulder ROMs was measured 3 times, and the average value of these measurements was used for further analysis.

**Histological Examination**

The specimens were stored in a pathology container with 10% formaldehyde. The materials were numbered by using a randomization method. The specimens were fixed for 1 week and then underwent a decalcification process (DDK™) for 5 days. Sampling with a thickness of 4 mm was performed to evaluate the joint space and synovial membranes. The samples were placed in an automatic tissue-processing device (MTM1™; SLEE, Germany) for 13 h. Tissues were embedded into the paraffin, and then sections (4-µm thickness) were prepared. Samples were stained with hematoxylin and eosin, ScyTekTRM-2 (Trichrome Stain Kit, Modified Masson’s), and SOH250 safranin-O solution kits. The samples were examined by a pathologist blinded to study. Sections were examined under a light microscope (Nikon Eclipse Ci; Nikon, Japan).

Fibrosis was assessed in the capsule at the rotator interval in the receiving material. Fibrosis was categorized into 3 groups according to the staining level with modified Masson’s stain as slight, moderate, and intensive [13] (Fig. 2).

The changes in the structure of the head of humerus cartilage were evaluated according to the modified Mankin’s scale. In the modified Mankin’s scoring system, the properties of the joint cartilage structure, number of cells, staining pattern with safranin-O, and tidemark zone were evaluated and scored in 4 different categories. The scoring system has a scale between 0 and 14 [14] (Table 1).

**Statistical Analysis**

The SPSS v25.0 software package (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA) was used for the statistical analysis. The normal distribution of the data was evaluated by performing the Shapiro-Wilk test. Variance homogeneity was assessed by the Levene test. The one-way ANOVA (robust test: Brown-Forsythe) test and the Fisher’s least significant difference test were used for parametric methods to compare the collagenase, steroid, and saline groups with each other according to the shoulder ROM quantitative data. The Kruskal-Wallis H test was used to analyze the Monte Carlo simulation technique results for the nonparametric tests of the total Mankin’s score. The Fisher-Freeman-Holton test was performed by using the Monte Carlo simulation technique for comparison of the collagenase, steroid, and saline groups according to the variables of fibrosis, safranin-O staining, structure, cellularity, and tidemark variables, and column ratios were compared with each other and expressed according to Benjamini-Hochberg-corrected
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**Table 1. Mankin’s score**

| Structure                  | Normal (0) | Surface irregularities (1) | Pannus and surface irregularities (2) | Clefts to transitional zone (3) | Cleft to radial zone (4) | Cleft to calcified zone (5) | Complete disorganization (6) |
|----------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Cells                      | Normal (0) | Diffuse hypercellularity (1) | Cloning (2)                           | Hypocellularity (3)            |                          |                             |                               |
| Safranin-O staining        | Normal (0) | Slight reduction (1)         | Moderate reduction (2)                 | Severe reduction (3)           | No dye noted (4)         |                             |                               |
| Tidemark integrity        | Intact (0) |                             |                                       |                               |                          |                             |                               |
| Maximal score              | 14 (normal = 0) |                          |                                       |                               |                          |                             |                               |

*p* values. Quantitative variables are expressed as the mean ± SD (standard deviation) – minimum/maximum and median (minimum/maximum), and categorical variables are shown as n (%) in the tables. Variables were examined at the 95% confidence level, and *p* < 0.05 was accepted as indicative of statistical significance.

**Results**

**Gross Observation**

No infection, severe weight loss, or mortality was observed in the experimental animals after the surgical intervention. The rotator cuff was intact in all groups. No local skin problems or ulcers were observed in any group.

Serial histological evaluations were performed in all groups. The most noticeable changes observed were muscle atrophy, the disappearance of the synovial fold, and sub-synovial fat tissue. In all groups, infiltration of lymphoplasmacytoid cells was observed in the synovium.

**Abduction Arm Angle**

There was a statistically significant difference in shoulder abduction between the groups (*p* < 0.05). The double comparison, which was performed to determine the difference-making group, showed that abduction measurements were significantly higher in the collagenase group than in the steroid and saline groups (*p* < 0.001). No significant difference in abduction arm angle was observed between the saline and steroid groups (*p* > 0.05) (Table 2).

**Mankin’s Score**

There was no significant difference in the total Mankin’s scores between the groups (*p* > 0.05). There were no significant differences in the measurements of tidemark continuity, safranin-O staining, cellularity, and cartilage structure between the groups (*p* > 0.05).

**Histochemical Staining**

There was a statistically significant difference in fibrosis between the groups (*p* = 0.012). A double comparison, which was performed to determine the difference-making group, showed that fibrosis measurements were significantly lower in the collagenase group than in the steroid and saline groups. No significant difference in fibrosis was observed between the saline and steroid groups. All the samples had some degree of fibrosis after shoulder bandage and injections.

**Discussion**

A search of the literature showed that there are no reports on the effect of CCH on adhesive capsulitis in experimental animals. In our study, we observed that subacromial injection of CCH was more effective in the adhesive capsulitis model than in the steroid group and saline (control) group (*p* < 0.001). Our study demonstrated that single doses of subacromial collagenase, steroid, and saline injections did not cause cartilage damage (*p* > 0.05).

There is only one clinical study on the treatment of CCH adhesive capsulitis in the literature. In this study, extra-articular CCH injections were observed to be effective and tolerable in the treatment of adhesive capsulitis. The small study number and the variability of the diagnosis of adhesive capsulitis by clinical examination are limitations of this study [15].

Investigation of the rotator interval capsule and CHL obtained from adhesive capsulitis patients revealed active fibroblastic proliferation accompanied by some transformation to myofibroblasts, with inflammation and synovial involvement, which was very similar to the characteristics in Dupuytren’s disease [7, 16]. In our study, infiltration of lymphoplasmacytoid cells was observed in the synovium in all groups.

CCH is currently used in the treatment of Dupuytren’s disease, Peyronie’s disease, and necrotic wounds.
as an inducer of enzymatic debridement. CCH is the first agent approved in the US and EU for nonsurgical treatment of Dupuytren’s contracture [17]. Clinical studies and postmarketing trials have confirmed that CCH is an effective and safe treatment for Dupuytren’s disease [18, 19].

Intralesional injection of CCH for the treatment of Peyronie’s disease is a recent innovation that seeks to bridge the gap in efficacy between minimally invasive therapies and established invasive surgical therapies. CCH has previously been used to great effect in pathogenically similar disease processes such as Dupuytren’s contracture and appears to be well tolerated [20].

CCH hydrolyzes collagen in its entirety at the level of the triple helix. However, not all types of collagen are degraded by CCH [21]. CCH degrades type 1, type 2, type 3 collagens in vivo, and collagen type 4 only in vitro [22]. CCH causes a decrease in extracellular matrix and cytokines and stimulates growth factors; it destroys collagen fibers and also suppresses their adhesion sites [23].

CCH decreases α-smooth muscle actin, transforming growth factor-β, fibronectin, desmin, and fibroblast activity [24]. All these activities cause a cumulative decrease in the synthesis of abnormal type 1 and type 3 collagens and destruction of pathological collagen plates. Only high doses and repeated administrations have an effect on type 4 collagen. This is important because type 4 collagen is a connective tissue component surrounding the vessels [24].

A total of 890 patients with Dupuytren’s disease were treated with CCH; 13% had skin problems, 9.5% had peripheral edema, 9.7% had contusions, and 0.05% had tendon ruptures [25]. Anaphylactic reaction due to the CCH has been reported only as a case report in the literature [26]. The most common adverse immunological effects were lymphadenopathy and axillary pain [27].

In our study, no complications, such as rotator cuff damage or skin problems, were observed in the groups during the gross pathological examinations.

Most of the studies have indicated that steroids are effective agents in the improvement of pain and function, decreases α-smooth muscle actin, transforming growth factor-β, fibronectin, desmin, and fibroblast activity [24]. All these activities cause a cumulative decrease in the synthesis of abnormal type 1 and type 3 collagens and destruction of pathological collagen plates. Only high doses and repeated administrations have an effect on type 4 collagen. This is important because type 4 collagen is a connective tissue component surrounding the vessels [24].

| Table 2. Mankin’s score, fibrosis, and shoulder range of motion according to the groups |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Fibrosis | Collagenase (A) | Steroid (B) | Saline (C) | Total | |
| (n = 10) | (n = 10) | (n = 10) | (n = 40) | p value |
| Slight | 7 (70.0)% | 2 (20.0)% | 0 (0.0)% | 9 (30.0)% | 0.012 |
| Moderate | 3 (30.0)% | 6 (60.0)% | 8 (80.0)% | 17 (56.7)% |
| Intensive | 0 (0.0)% | 2 (20.0)% | 2 (20.0)% | 4 (13.3)% |
| Safranin-O staining | Normal | 7 (70.0)% | 9 (90.0)% | 7 (70.0)% | 23 (76.7)% | 0.645 |
| Slight reduction | 3 (30.0)% | 1 (10.0)% | 3 (30.0)% | 7 (23.3)% |
| Structure | Normal | 5 (50.0)% | 4 (40.0)% | 5 (50.0)% | 14 (46.7)% | 0.999 |
| Surface irregularities | 5 (50.0)% | 5 (50.0)% | 4 (40.0)% | 14 (46.7)% |
| Pannus and surface | 0 (0.0)% | 1 (10.0)% | 1 (10.0)% | 2 (6.7)% |
| Cellularity | Normal | 8 (80.0)% | 9 (90.0)% | 2 (20.0)% | 19 (63.3)% | 0.002 |
| Diffuse hypercellularity | 2 (20.0)% | 1 (10.0)% | 8 (80.0)% | 11 (36.7)% |
| Tide mark | Intact | 8 (80.0)% | 9 (90.0)% | 10 (100.0)% | 27 (90.0)% | 0.746 |
| Crossed by blood vessels | 2 (20.0)% | 1 (10.0)% | 0 (0.0)% | 3 (10.0)% |
| Total Mankin’s score | 1 (0/3) | 1 (0/3) | 2 (1/3) | 1 (0/3) | 0.183 |
| Shoulder ROM | 97.70±3.62 – 92/103 | 75.10±5.33 – 68/85 | 82.87±11.47 – 68/103 | <0.001 |

Data are presented as n (%), median (min/max), or mean ± standard deviation – min/max. 1 Fisher–Freeman–Halton Test (Monte Carlo); post hoc test: Benjamini–Hochberg adjusted p values for multiple comparison. 2 Kruskal–Wallis H Test (Monte Carlo). 3 One-way ANOVA (robust test: Brown–Forsythe); post hoc test: Fisher’s least significant difference. a Statistically significant vs. collagenase group. b Statistically significant vs. steroid group. c Statistically significant vs. saline group.
especially in the early period, with a low rate of side effects, but current comparative clinical studies have not been able to demonstrate any difference between corticosteroid and saline in long-term functional improvement [28, 29]. Similarly, we did not detect any differences in abduction angles and histological/histochemical examination results between the steroid and saline groups.

Frozen shoulder modeling in mice, rats, and dogs is available in many formats. The rat shoulder contracture model, which is carried out with immobilization, has the advantage of similarity of the rat anatomy to the human anatomy [12]. Several methods have been used to achieve shoulder contracture. Molding plaster or extra-articular fixation using a plastic plate or suture material has been used [11, 30]. In the current study, we chose a rat shoulder contracture model using molding plaster because it has been shown to be a simple and effective secondary adhesive capsulitis model with high reproducibility [12].

In our study, subacromial injection was preferred because of the primary pathology of the CHL and rotator interval in adhesive capsulitis [31], and it has been observed that intra-articular applications of collagenases cause chondral damage even at low doses [32]. Intra-articular collagenase administration is not preferred as it causes chondral damage and does not affect the CCH ligament and periarticular structure [15]. It can be suggested that subacromial injection of collagenase may reach the glenohumeral joint because of the collagen content of the rotator cuff and the rotator interval. However, the absence of any difference in the Mankin’s score revealed that the single dose of subacromial injected CCH had no degenerative intraarticular effect. Similarly, Badalamente and Wang [15] did not observe rotator cuff and cartilage damage in their clinical study.

This study has several limitations. First, CHL, which has an important role in the pathology of adhesive capsulitis, was not evaluated. There is no model for evaluating fibrosis in CHL in the literature. Similarly, CCH has not been analyzed on the muscles. Second, subacromial CCH injection does not affect the inferior capsule, which is important for the treatment of adhesive capsulitis. Third, rat shoulder function might be different from the human shoulder; rats are quadrupeds, which means that the forelimb is load-bearing [30]. Our experimental animal model causes secondary adhesive capsulitis rather than primary adhesive capsulitis. Fourth, only the abduction angle was evaluated in our study. Adhesive capsulitis is a progressive process in which the limitation of active and passive ROMs is limited in all directions, and restriction of ROM usually starts with external rotation in human shoulders that had been frozen, followed by abduction [11]. As only the abduction angle was measured in animal modeling, the external rotation measurements were not evaluated in our study [11, 12].

### Conclusion

This study demonstrates that subacromial injection of CCH was an effective treatment for adhesive capsulitis; we suggest that it could be especially effective in patients with an intact rotator cuff.
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