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In this work we present an analysis of a quench for the repulsive Lieb-Liniger gas confined to a large box with hard wall boundary conditions. We study the time average of local correlation functions and show that both the quench action approach and the GGE formalism are applicable for the long time average of local correlation functions. We find that the time average of the system corresponds to an eigenstate of the Lieb-Liniger Hamiltonian and that this eigenstate is related to an eigenstate of a Lieb-Liniger Hamiltonian with periodic boundary conditions on an interval of twice the length and with twice as many particles (a doubled system). We further show that local operators with support far away from the boundaries of the hard wall have the same expectation values with respect to this eigenstate as corresponding operators for the doubled system. We present an example of a quench where the gas is initially confined in several moving traps and then released into a bigger container, an approximate description of the Newton cradle experiment. We calculate the time average of various correlation functions for long times after the quench.

I. INTRODUCTION

Nonequilibrium many body physics is one of the most challenging areas of research of modern condensed matter physics. There have been spectacular advances in the field, driven by experimental studies of dynamics in optically trapped atomic gas systems, systems with extremely weak coupling to the environment allowing a study of essentially Hamiltonian dynamics of time evolution [1–9]. Encouraged by these experimental advances there has been great theoretical activity in the area [10–20], focused on questions like does a steady state emerge, how do local observables equilibrate, is there any principle which allows us to relate the steady state to the initial conditions?

One of the most important recent experimental [1, 21] and theoretical [22–34] results is that there is a relation between the initial state and the long time steady state for time evolution of integrable models. This was ascribed to the fact that integrable models possess an infinite family of local conserved charges \( \{I_i\} \), in involution, which include the Hamiltonian \( H \), typically identified with \( I_2 \):

\[
[H, I_i] = [I_i, I_i^\prime] = 0, \quad H = I_2, \tag{1}
\]

These conserved quantities imply that there is a complete set of eigenstates for an integrable model which may be parametrized by sets of rapidities \( \{k\} \) which are simultaneous eigenstates of all \( I_i \). For the Lieb-Liniger Hamiltonian, the model which describes the Newton Cradle experiment [1], the the action of the charges on these eigenstates given by:

\[
I_i |k_1, k_2, \ldots k_N\rangle = \left( \sum_{j=1}^N k_j \right) |k_1, k_2, \ldots k_N\rangle \quad \text{(we note that this is additive in the number of particles)}.
\]

It was shown for the Lieb-Liniger gas, by following its actual time evolution numerically and analytically, [22], that at long times the gas reaches equilibration with the density matrix having no time dependence and becoming diagonal in the basis \( \{k\} \).

How to describe this diagonal, time independent, density matrix in general is an open question. It was proposed that the diagonal ensemble in turn [22, 24] takes the form of a generalized Gibbs ensemble (GGE) [24–36],

\[
\rho_{\text{GGE}} = \frac{1}{Z} \exp \left( - \sum \alpha_i I_i \right) \tag{2}
\]

with the \( \alpha_i \), the generalized inverse temperatures, encoding the initial state \( \{\Phi_0\} \) through the requirement \( \langle I_i \rangle_{\text{initial}} \equiv \text{Tr} \{\rho_{\text{GGE}} I_i\} = \langle \Phi_0 | I_i | \Phi_0 \rangle \equiv \langle I_i \rangle_{\text{initial}} \). \( Z \) is a normalization constant ensuring \( \text{Tr} \{\rho_{\text{GGE}}\} = 1 \). This interesting proposal, while valid for the case at hand, the repulsive Lieb-Liniger model, fails for models with bound states (string solutions) [37], a large class of models which encompasses, among others, the attractive Lieb-Liniger, the XXZ Heisenberg chain and the Hubbard model.

When a GGE description is valid it provides an elegant shortcut to the computation of correlation functions at long times, without having to explicitly follow the time evolution or needing to compute overlaps. Instead, when equilibration is reached the correlation functions (of the Lieb-Liniger gas) at long times, or in this case the time average of the correlation functions, may be computed by taking their expectation value with respect to the GGE density matrix, e.g. \( \langle \Theta (t \to \infty) \rangle = \text{Tr} \rho_{\text{GGE}} \Theta \). It was further shown [38] that the GGE ensemble is equivalent to an eigenstate, \( \rho_{\text{GGE}} \equiv \frac{1}{Z} \exp \sum \alpha_i |k_0\rangle \langle k_0| \), for an appropriately chosen \( |k_0\rangle \) so that \( \langle \Theta (t \to \infty) \rangle = \langle \Theta |k_0\rangle \langle k_0| \Theta \rangle \). Another approach, the quench action approach [39], is of more general validity but is more difficult to implement. It allows the computation of the diagonal density matrix in terms of the overlaps of eigenstates with the initial state, but such overlaps are hard to determine and are known only for few initial states. Again, it was shown that the resulting diagonal ensemble is equivalent to an eigenstate.

Most of the work done on the Lieb-Liniger model was concerned with periodic boundary conditions, exceptions are [40, 41]. Real systems [1–9] have finite extent with typically a parabolic potential confining the particles. We will approximate this parabolic confining potential as a
hard wall boundary. We will study the system in the limit where the system size $L \to \infty$, the number of particles $N$ scales with the system size, $N/L = \text{const}$, and for times much greater then the system size, $t > L/v_{\text{typ}}$ ($v_{\text{typ}}$ is a typical velocity). This regime is relevant for many experiments [1–9] as it is typically possible to trap cold atoms for a considerable length of time. We note that this time scale has been theoretically considered in [40, 42].

II. TIME AVERAGE

We shall consider circumstances where the system does not necessarily equilibrate in the long time limit and focusing instead on the long time average of a local operator (observable) $\Theta$ evolving from the initial state $|\Phi_0\rangle$,

$$\langle \Theta \rangle_T \equiv \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt \langle \Phi_0 | e^{iHt} \Theta e^{-iHt} | \Phi_0 \rangle = \frac{1}{T} \sum_j \sum_\kappa e^{iE_\kappa x_j^2} \langle \Phi_0 | \lambda \rangle \langle \lambda | \Theta | \kappa \rangle \langle \kappa | \Phi_0 \rangle \equiv \sum_\lambda \langle \Phi_0 | \lambda \rangle \langle \lambda | \Theta | \lambda \rangle \langle \lambda | \Phi_0 \rangle ,$$

the last equality is in the limit where $T \to \infty$ where we find it is given by a diagonal ensemble in the limit $T \to \infty$. Here $|\lambda\rangle$ and $|\kappa\rangle$ are exact eigenstates of the evolution Hamiltonian. Therefore, as the time averaged expectation values of local observables is given by the diagonal ensemble. We shall show how to express it in terms of a GGE for a system given by the integrable Lieb-Liniger Hamiltonian, to which we turn next.

III. THE SYSTEM

We shall study the Lieb-Liniger Hamiltonian describing the 1-D system of bosons with short range interactions [35, 43, 44]:

$$H_{LL} = \int_0^L dx \left\{ \partial_x b^\dagger (x) \partial_x b (x) + c (b^\dagger (x) b (x))^2 \right\} .$$

Here $b^\dagger (x)$ is the bosonic creation operator at the point $x$ and $c$ is the coupling constant. Hard wall boundary conditions are imposed:

$$\psi (x_1 = 0, x_2, ...x_N) = 0$$
$$\psi (x_1, x_2, ...x_N = L) = 0$$

with $\psi (x_1, ...x_N)$ the wave function of the bosons in the region $x_1 < x_2 < ... < x_N$.

The exact eigenstates of the Hamiltonian with the boundary conditions given in Eq. (5) are given by [43]:

$$\psi (|k_1\rangle , ...|k_N\rangle) = \sum_{\{\varepsilon\}} C (\varepsilon) \psi (\varepsilon_1 |k_1\rangle , ..\varepsilon_N |k_N\rangle) ,$$

where $\{\varepsilon\}$ corresponds to the $2^N$ sequences $\varepsilon_j = \pm 1$ and

$$C (\varepsilon_1, ...\varepsilon_N) = \prod_j \varepsilon_j \prod_{i<j} \left( 1 - \frac{ic}{\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j |k_i - k_j|} \right) ,$$

and

$$\bar{\psi} (k_1, ...k_N) = \sum_P A (P) e^{i\sum_j k_j x_j} , \quad x_1 < x_2 < ... < x_N$$

with

$$A (P) = \prod_{i<j} \left( 1 + \frac{ic}{k_j - k_i} \right)$$

and the sum $\sum_P$ extending over $N!$ permutations. These (the $\bar{\psi} (k_1, ...k_N)$) are the eigenstates with periodic boundary conditions. Furthermore the rapidities $k_i = \varepsilon_i |k_i|$ satisfy the Bethe ansatz equations [43]:

$$k_i L = \pi n_i + \sum_{j\neq i} \left( \arctan \left( \frac{c}{k_i - k_j} \right) + \arctan \left( \frac{c}{k_i + k_j} \right) \right) .$$

These are exactly the same equations as for a doubled system of length $2L$ with twice as many particles having $2N$ with rapidities $\{\varepsilon |k|\}$. There is a one to one correspondence between states of a system with hard wall boundary conditions and states of a doubled system with periodic boundary conditions where all the rapidities come in pairs $\{k, -k\}$ [43]. The Bethe Ansatz equations which determine the allowed rapidities $\{k\}$ for the doubled system can be translated in a standard fashion [35] into a set of integral equations for the rapidities’ densities. We denote, for a given eigenstate $\{\kappa\}$ of the doubled system, by $\rho_p (k)$ the Bethe density of particles so that $2L \rho_p (k) dk$ is the number of particles in the interval $[k, k + dk]$ of the doubled system. Similarly $\rho_h (k)$ denotes the hole density and $\rho_t (k) = \rho_p (k) + \rho_h (k)$ the total density. The number of states $\{\kappa\}$, consistent with a given set of densities, $\{\rho_p (k), \rho_h (k)\}$, is measured by the Yang-Yang entropy [35], $S_{YY} (\{\rho\}) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk \ln \left( \frac{\rho_p (k)}{\rho_h (k)} + \rho_p (k) \ln \left( \frac{\rho_p (k)}{\rho_h (k)} \right) \right)$. The densities $\{\rho_p (k), \rho_h (k)\}$ for the doubled system are determined by the thermodynamic Bethe Ansatz equations which enforce the periodic boundary conditions: $\rho_t (k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int K (k, q) \rho_p (q)$, with $K (k, q) = \frac{2c}{x + (k - q)^2}$.

IV. TIME AVERAGE, QUENCH ACTION AND THE GGE

The time average of a local observable, Eq. (3), can be rewritten as [39, 45]:

$$\langle \Theta \rangle_T \to \infty = \int D (\rho_t) e^{2L S_{\text{Quench}} (\{\rho (k)\})} \langle \{k\} | \Theta | \{k\} \rangle$$

Here $\langle \{k\} | \Theta | \{k\} \rangle$ is computed in the hard wall (non-doubled) system, and the quench action is given by:

$$S_{\text{Quench}} (\{\rho (k)\}) = \int g (k) \rho (k) + \frac{1}{2} S_{YY} (\{\rho (k)\})$$

with $\int g (k) \rho (k) = \frac{d}{d\lambda} \log \left( \langle \Phi_0 | \{k\} \rangle \right)$, where $g (k) = g (-k)$ and $S_{YY} (\{\rho\})$ defined above. The extra factor of
$\frac{1}{2}$ in front of $S_{VY}$ comes from the fact that we are only considering states where the rapidities come in pairs $\{k, -k\}$.

The time average of the Lieb-Liniger gas with hard wall boundary conditions corresponds to a single eigenstate, the one that maximizes the quench action $[45]$. Let us denote the solution quasiparticle density for the doubled system as $\rho^\phi_p(k)$ and the quasiparticle density for the original system as $\rho^\phi_0(k)$ with $\rho^\phi_0(k) = 2\theta(k)\rho^\phi_p(k)$ and $\rho^\phi_0(-k) = \rho^\phi_p(-k)$.

We proceed to convert the quench action into a GGE description of the system with hard wall boundary conditions and use it to compute time average of local observables. We begin by determining its conserved charges. Since an eigenstate, see Eq. (6) is given by a superposition of eigenstates of the periodic Lieb Liniger Hamiltonian with rapidities of the form $\{\varepsilon | k | \}$ all the even conserved quantities satisfy the relation:

$$I_{2n}\psi(|k_1|, \ldots |k_N|) = \sum k^{2n}_i \psi(|k_1|, \ldots |k_N|) \quad (8)$$

Therefore $\{I_{2n}\}$ form a set of local integrals of motion and the quasiparticle density $\rho^\phi_p(k)$ being symmetric in $k$ is, in turn, uniquely determined by its even moments which correspond to its conserved quantities $[46]$ in particular $\{I_{2n}\}$ are complete. Hence the even integrals of motion, $\{I_{2n}\}$, determine final state in terms of the GGE density operator, $\rho_{GGE} = \frac{1}{Z} \exp(-\sum\alpha_{2n} I_{2n})$. The inverse temperatures, $\alpha_{2n}$, found from the initial state $|\Phi_0\rangle$ setting $\langle I_{2n}\rangle = \langle I_{2n}\rangle_{GGE}$. Any local observable can be written in the form:

$$\langle \Theta \rangle_{GGE} = \int D\left(\frac{\rho_t}{\rho_p}\right) e^{2S_{GGE}(\{\rho(k)\})} \langle \{\rho(k)\} | \Theta | \{\rho(k)\} \rangle \quad (9)$$

with $S_{GGE} = \int \rho(k) \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum \alpha_{2n} k^{2n} + \frac{1}{2} \ln Z\right) + \frac{1}{2} S_{VY}$. We can identify $g(k) = \frac{1}{2} \sum \alpha_{2n} k^{2n} + \frac{1}{2} \ln Z$ (since both the quench action and the GGE are equivalent to a single eigenstate of the Lieb Liniger Hamiltonian (which corresponds to the extremum of the path integral in Eq. (9)), we establish that $\langle \Theta \rangle_{T \to \infty} = \langle \Theta \rangle_{GGE}$. We conclude that the time average of Lieb-Liniger gas corresponds to a GGE density matrix where the conserved operators are the even local conserved densities. We further note that when considering an operator $\Theta$ with support far away from the hard wall boundaries we may as well calculate $\langle \Theta \rangle_{GGE}$ with respect to the doubled system. Indeed $\langle \Theta \rangle_{GGE} = Tr\{\Theta \exp(-\sum\alpha_{2n} I_{2n})\}$ for both systems. Since operators $I_{2n}$ are local, all correlation functions $\Theta$ may be calculated by considering paths where the propagator is the quadratic piece of $\sum \alpha_{2n} I_{2n}$ while the interactions are given by the quartic and higher order pieces. We note that paths that cross the boundary of the system are exponentially suppressed when $\Theta$ is far from the boundary.
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Figure 1: (A) The system is initialized in a state where two hard wall Lieb Liniger droplets of length $l$ moving with velocity $\pm V$ inside a large hard wall trap of length $L$. (B-G) The velocity distribution for the BEC bottom and the ground state quench top for a variety of quasiparticle densities and interaction strengths. The time average velocity distribution in red the initial velocity distribution before the quench is shown in blue. (B-D) $V = 5$, $L = 1$, $k_F = 1$. (E-G) $V = 5$, $L = 1$, $n = 1$. The initial velocity distribution is computed in the appendix and is shown in blue while the final velocity distribution is shown in red. The initial velocity distribution of the BEC is shown in the form of delta functions. Note collision narrowing in (B-D) and broadening in (E-G).

V. EXAMPLES OF USES OF GGE FOR HARD WALL BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

A. Newton’s cradle type - eigenstate initial conditions

We will consider the following setup: there is a large trap of length $L$ with hard wall boundary conditions in which there are multiple smaller traps of lengths $L_i$ moving with velocities $V_i$. Each of the smaller traps contains a Lieb-Liniger gas initialized in an eigenstate described by quasiparticle density $\rho^\phi_p(k)$ with $\rho^\phi_p(k) = \rho^\phi_p(-k)$ (we note that thermal states also correspond to specific eigenstates $[35]$). At time $t = 0$ the smaller traps are turned off and the whole of the gas expands into the larger trap. We would like to find the quasiparticle density of the long time averaged final state. To do so we use the fact that all the even local conserved quantities are conserved during the quench, so we need to equate their values before and after the quench. We will show in Section VI that in the thermodynamic limit we do not need to consider the edge effects for computing the local conserved quantities. Therefore we need to find a symmetric quasiparticle density that satisfies the following set of equations:

$$L \int dk \rho^\phi_p(k) k^{2n} = \sum L_i \int \rho^\phi_p(k) \left( k + \frac{1}{2} V_i \right)^{2n}$$
The extra terms $k + \frac{1}{2} V_i$ stem from the fact that under a boost to velocity $V_i$ the wave function is multiplied by $\exp(i \sum m_i V_i x_i)$ with $m_i = \frac{1}{2}$. We note that here $\rho_p^f (k) = \rho_p^b (-k)$ is the quasiparticle density of the doubled system. A solution to this equation is given by:

$$\rho_p^f (k) = \sum \frac{L_i}{2E} \left( \rho_p^f \left( k + \frac{1}{2} V_i \right) + \rho_p^f \left( k - \frac{1}{2} V_i \right) \right),$$

This solution allows for the calculation of various correlation functions for the system. Note that in the case of a periodic boundary condition we would have received the answer $\rho_p^f (k) = \sum \frac{1}{4} \rho_p^f (k - \frac{1}{2} V_i)$.

Consider now the quench dynamics of a system consisting initially of two boxes of length $l$ with $N$ particles each in the ground state moving with of opposite velocities $V$ and $-V$ see Fig. 1(A). The case with multiple boxes may be done by straightforward modification of Eq. (10) and (11) below but requires more tedious notation. In experiment one typically measures the probability distribution for the particle velocity. It is given by the Fourier transform of the field-field correlation function

$$P(v,t) = \int dxe^{-i\frac{x}{c} \langle b^\dagger(x) b(0) \rangle_t}$$

We will be interested in its time average. This example has many similarities to the experiment done by Kinoshita et al [1] where the system is placed in a parabolic confining potential and initialized in a state with some of the particles going left and some of the particles going right. Here we have replaced the parabolic confining potential with a hard wall box and do not therefore expect this probability distribution to match well with the one measured in the experiment. The reason being that when confined by a harmonic potential the bosons move up and down the potential which slows them down and speeds them up periodically. In our setup the particles hit a hard wall and have their velocities reversed after the collision (as such they experience no intermediate velocities). As a result our calculation is expected to underestimate the probability of a particle having low velocity.

We now proceed with the calculation for the setup where we consider two boxes of length $l$ moving towards each other with velocities $\pm V$. An important ingredient in calculating correlation functions is the occupation probability of the doubled box $f_L (k) = \rho_p^f (k)/\rho_p^b (k)$. To calculate it we first calculate the quasiparticle distributions of the smaller boxes. The ground state total density $\rho_t$ of the smaller boxes, in the limit of large $c$ is determined from [35]:

$$2\pi \rho_t (k) = 1 + \frac{2}{c} \int_{k_F}^{k_F} dq \rho_t (q) \text{ leading to } \rho_t (k) = \theta (-k_F, k_F) \left( 1 + \frac{2k_F}{\pi c} \right) + o \left( \frac{k_F}{c} \right) \text{....}$$

Furthermore it is possible to obtain a relation between $k_F$ and $N$ with $k_F = \frac{\pi N}{2} - \frac{c}{2} \left( \frac{\pi N}{2} \right)^2 + \text{....}$ which implies that the total particle density of the doubled box is given by: $\rho_p (k) = \frac{1}{2\pi l} \left( 1 + \frac{2k_F}{\pi c} \right) \sum_{v=\pm} \theta (-k_F + v, k_F + v)$, therefore the final total density is: $\rho_t (k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( 1 + \frac{4k_F}{\pi c} \right) + \text{....}$ and occupation probability:

$$f_L (k) = A_L \sum_{v=\pm} \theta (-k_F + v, k_F + v)$$

We now proceed to compute the field-field correlation function $\langle b^\dagger(x) b(0) \rangle_t$. We will only consider the case when the points $x$ and $0$ are far away from the boundaries of the box so we may use the doubled system for all calculations. In terms of the occupation distribution, $f_L (k)$, the correlation function is given by [47]:

$$\langle b^\dagger(x) b(0) \rangle_t = \int \frac{dk}{\pi} f_L (k) e^{-ikx} \sum_{u,v} \frac{1}{2} \int dq K(k,q) f(q) = \exp \left( -\frac{F_L^2}{\pi c} \right)$$

with $A_L = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{2k_F}{\pi c} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{2k_F}{\pi c} \right)$. We now proceed to compute the field-field correlation function $\langle b^\dagger(x) b(0) \rangle$. The generating function $P_u (k)$ satisfies the equation:

$$2\pi P_u (k) = -\frac{k-v}{u-k+ic} \exp \left( -\int f_L (s) K(u,s) P_s (k) \right) \text{ leading for large c: } P_u (k) \approx -\frac{1}{2\pi} \left( 1 + \exp \left( -\frac{4F_L}{\pi c} \right) \right)$$

The generating function $P_u (k)$ satisfies the equation:

$$2\pi P_u (k) = -\frac{k-v}{u-k+ic} \exp \left( -\int f_L (s) K(u,s) P_s (k) \right) -1 \text{ yielding for large c: } P_u (k) \approx -\frac{1}{2\pi} \left( 1 + \exp \left( -\frac{4F_L}{\pi c} \right) \right) + iF_L \frac{k}{\pi c} \exp \left( -\frac{4F_L}{\pi c} \right)$$

Combining all we obtain the velocity probably distribution:

$$P(v,t) \approx A_L \exp \left( -\frac{F_L^2}{\pi c} \right) \sum_{i,j=\pm} \left( -1 \right)^j \arctan A_{i,j} (v)$$

with $A_{i,j} (v) = C_L \left( 1 - F_L \exp \left( -\frac{4F_L}{\pi c} \right) \right) \left( \pm \frac{v}{2} \pm k_F + \frac{v}{2} \right)$ and $C_L = \frac{4\pi k_F A_L \left( 1 + \exp \left( -\frac{4F_L}{\pi c} \right) \right)}{4\pi k_F A_L \left( 1 + \exp \left( -\frac{4F_L}{\pi c} \right) \right)}$

Note that the velocity distribution Eq.(11), see Fig. 1(B-D), underwent a collision narrowing. The distribution is the leading order term for the set up of a hard wall trap. In a harmonic trap, as argued before, the probability of a particle having low velocity would be larger due to having to move up and down the harmonic confining potential.

B. Newton’s cradle type- BEC initial conditions

A very similar scenario happens when we initialize the state in a collection of BEC’s each of length $L_i$ moving with velocity $V_i$ inside a larger trap of length $L$. At $t = 0$ the smaller traps are released and interactions are turned on so that the system is described by a Lieb-Liniger Hamiltonian with coupling constant $c$. The initial state is BEC and can be described by a quasiparticle density [45]:

$$\rho_P^{BEC} (x) = \frac{n_i d}{\tau_i} a (x, \tau) \left( 1 + a (x, \tau) \right)$$

Here $x = \frac{L}{c}$, $\tau_i = \frac{n_i}{c}$ (where $n_i$ is the particle density) and $a (x, \tau) = \frac{2\pi}{2\sinh (2\pi x)} J_1 (2\pi x) J_1 (2\pi x)$, with $J_n$ a modified Bessel function of the first kind of order $n$. 
By an argument similar to the one given above the final quasiparticle density is given by:
\[ \rho_p (k) = \sum \frac{L_i}{2L} \left( \rho_p^{\text{BEC}} (k + \frac{1}{2} V_i) + \rho_p^{\text{BEC}} (k - \frac{1}{2} V_i) \right) \] (13)

More generally any translationally invariant quenches which may be solved using periodic boundary conditions it is possible to define a box quench which may be solved analogously to Eq. (13) above. In the Appendix we show that for a quench with two boxes (each of length \( l \) with \( N \) particles in each box in a BEC state) with velocities \( V \) and \( -V \) inside of a box of total length \( L \) the velocity probably distribution is given by:

\[ P(v) \sim nB_L \exp \left( -\frac{G_L}{\pi c} \right) \times \frac{H_L}{H_L^2 + \frac{1}{4} (v - VK_L)} + \frac{H_L}{H_L^2 + \frac{1}{4} (v + VK_L)} \]

Here, \( K_L = \left( 1 - G_L \exp(-2G_L/\pi c) \right) \), \( G_L = 2nB_L \), \( B_L = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{L} \), \( H_L = \frac{G_L}{\pi c} (1 + \exp(-2G_L)) + 2n \left( 1 - G_L \exp(-2G_L/\pi c) \right) \) and \( n = \frac{N}{L} \), see Fig. 1(E-G).

The more general case is tedious but analogous.

We note that the average velocity distribution has broadened as compared to its value at the start of the quench, while in the previous case ground state initial conditions the distribution underwent narrowing due to the collisions.

VI. Q-BOSON REGULARIZATION

We wish to show that the in the thermodynamic limit the edge contributions to the conserved quantities vanish so the calculations presented in Section V are rigorous. To do so we need to introduce a q-boson regularization of the conserved charges [48, 49]. The q-boson system corresponds to \( M \) bosonic lattice sites with each site having operators \( B_n, B_n^\dagger \) and \( N_n = N_n^\dagger \) that satisfy the relations \( B_n B_n^\dagger - q^{-2} B_n^\dagger B_n = 1 \), \( [N_n, B_n] = -B_n \) and \( [N_n, B_n^\dagger] = B_n^\dagger \). The q-boson Hamiltonian is given by:

\[ H_q = -\frac{1}{\delta^2} \sum_{n=1}^{M} (B_n^\dagger B_{n+1} + B_{n+1}^\dagger B_n - 2N_n) \] (14)

The system is integrable since the Hamiltonian may be derived from the following transfer matrix

\[ T = \begin{pmatrix} A(\lambda) & B(\lambda) \\ C(\lambda) & D(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} = L_M (\lambda) ... L_1 (\lambda) \] (15)

With

\[ L_n (\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda} & \chi B_n^\dagger \\ \chi B_n & e^{-\lambda} \end{pmatrix} \] (16)

Here \( \chi = \sqrt{1 - q^{-2}} \) and \( q = e^\lambda \). There is an infinite family of conserved charges \( I_n \), the first few densities corresponding to these conserved charges are given by:

\[ J^1 (n) = \chi^2 B_n^\dagger B_{n+1} \]
\[ J^2 (n) = \chi^2 \left( 1 - \frac{\chi^2}{2} \right) (B_n^\dagger B_{n+2} - \frac{\chi^2}{2} - \frac{\chi^2}{2}) B_n^\dagger B_{n+1} B_{n+1} - \chi^2 B_n^\dagger B_{n+1} B_{n+1} B_{n+2} \] (17)

Furthermore the open q-boson chain is also integrable [50]. It is known that the Lieb-Liniger gas is a limiting case of the q-bosons, where the limit is taken as \( \delta \rightarrow 0 \), \( M \delta = L \), \( \gamma = \frac{\mu}{2} \) and \( q = e^\lambda \). We shall show that in the limit \( L_i \rightarrow \infty \) for any finite \( \chi \) the edges give no contribution to the conserved quantities. Indeed we notice that the conserved quantities are linear functions of the expectations of various operators e.g. \( I^i = \sum_n \langle B_n^\dagger B_{n+1} \rangle \) with \( \sim L_i \) terms in the sum. Furthermore by translational invariance of each of the terms gives the same contribution e.g.

\[ I^i = \frac{L_i}{\delta} \langle J^i (n_0) \rangle - \text{Boundary Terms} \] (18)

Here \( n_0 \) is some site in the middle of the q-boson chain. We notice that the expectation values of the boundary terms have absolutely no \( L \) dependence (they are just proportional to the expectation value of the density, density density, field-field and related correlation functions which do not scale with \( L \) ). Therefore in the limit that \( L_i \rightarrow \infty \) we have that \( I^i = \frac{L_i}{\delta} \langle J^i (n_0) \rangle \) and the boundary terms have disappeared. Since the Lieb-Liniger gas corresponds to a limit of the q-bosons we see that it the thermodynamic limit the boundary terms do not effect conserved quantities.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

We have studied a quench of the Lieb-Liniger gas on an interval with hard wall boundary conditions. We introduced a doubled system with periodic boundary conditions that is equivalent to the one on an interval. We have shown that the GGE formalism applies to the computation of time averages of local operators and that the even integrals of motion form a complete set of local conserved quantities. We have used this approach to compute a quench where there are several small traps inside of a larger one and the smaller traps are released. We found that the quasiparticle density is additive. We have also calculated the expectation values of some local operators for this quench and in particular the time averaged velocity distribution. In the future it would be of interest to extend this work to models with bound states.
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Appendix A: Correlation functions (zero temperature case)

We would like to calculate various correlation functions \( \langle b^\dagger (0) b^\dagger (0) b (0) b (0) \rangle \), \( \langle b^\dagger (0) b^\dagger (0) b^\dagger (0) b (0) b (0) \rangle \), and \( \langle \rho (x) \rho (0) \rangle \) \( \langle b^\dagger (x) b (0) \rangle \) and the quasiparticle occupation probability \( f_L (k) = \frac{\rho_p (k)}{\rho (k)} \) has already been partially done in the main text) for quenches discussed in the main text. We will consider the case where both \( x \) and \( 0 \) are far away from the boundaries of the box. In this case the problem becomes translationally invariant and all correlations may be calculated using a doubled box with doubled quasiparticle density (see the discussion below Eq. (9) in the main text). As such we may use the results found in [47, 51–54]. We will consider the initial conditions where the system starts with two small boxes of length \( t \) with \( N \) particles each, with each box cooled to the ground state. We will also assume that the boxes have velocities \( V \) and \(-V\). To make the computations tractable we will work only in the limit of large \( c \) and only to leading order in \( \frac{1}{c} \).

We now repeat the calculation of the field-field correlation function \( \langle b^\dagger (0) b^\dagger (0) b (0) b (0) \rangle \). It is given by [51]:

\[
\langle b^\dagger (0) b^\dagger (0) b (0) b (0) \rangle = 2 \int \frac{dk_1}{2\pi} f_L (k_1) \int \frac{dk_2}{2\pi} f_L (k_2) \left( \frac{k_1 - k_2}{k_1 - k_2} \right)^2 + \ldots \\
\approx \frac{4}{\pi^2} A_L^2 k_F^2 \left( \frac{V}{c} \right)^2 + \ldots \tag{A1}
\]

Where the last equality is in the limit \( k_F \ll V \ll c \) and for convenience we will denote \( A_L = \frac{t}{\ell} \left( 1 + \frac{2k_F}{\pi c} \left( 1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \right) \right) \). Furthermore it is possible to obtain the density density correlation function in the same limit. It is given by [51]:

\[
\langle b^\dagger (0) b^\dagger (0) b (0) b (0) \rangle \approx 6 \int \frac{dk_1}{2\pi} \int \frac{dk_2}{2\pi} \int \frac{dk_3}{2\pi} f_L (k_1) f_L (k_2) f_L (k_3) \left( \frac{k_1 - k_2}{k_1 - k_2} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{k_1 - k_3}{k_1 - k_3} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{k_2 - k_3}{k_2 - k_3} \right)^2 \\
\approx \frac{1}{8\pi^3} A_L^3 \left( \frac{2k_F}{c} \right)^4 \left( \frac{V}{c} \right)^4 \tag{A2}
\]

Where again the last equality is true in the limit \( k_F \ll V \ll c \).

We now repeat the calculation of the field-field correlation function \( \langle b^\dagger (x) b (0) \rangle \) (already partly given in the main text). It is given by [47]:

\[
\langle b^\dagger (x) b (0) \rangle \approx \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} f_L (k) e^{-ikx} \omega (k) \times \\
\times \exp \left( -x \int df_L (t) P_t (k) \right)
\]

with \( \omega (k) = \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2\tau} \int dqK (k, q) f (q) \right) \geq \exp \left( -\frac{F_L}{\pi c} \right) \), where \( F_L = 4k_F A_L \) and \( K (k, q) = \frac{2c}{(k - q)^2 + c^2} \geq \frac{2}{c} \). Furthermore the function \( P_t (k) \) satisfied the equation:

\[
2\pi P_t (k) = \frac{k - t + ic}{t - k + ic} \exp \left( -\int f_L (s) K (t, s) P_s (k) \right) - 1 \\
\tag{A3}
\]

From this we obtain that \( \int f_L (t) P_t (k) \approx \frac{k_F}{\pi c} \left( 1 + \exp \left( -\frac{2F_L}{\pi c} \right) \right) + i \frac{k_F}{\pi c} \exp \left( -\frac{2F_L}{\pi c} \right) \). Combining we obtain that:
\[
\langle b^\dagger (x) b(0) \rangle = \frac{\exp\left( -\frac{F_L}{c} \right)}{2\pi} \exp\left( -\frac{F_L x}{2\pi} \right) \left( 1 + \exp\left( -\frac{2F_L}{\pi c} \right) \right) \int f_L(k) e^{-ikx} \left( 1 - F_L \exp\left( -\frac{2F_L}{\pi c} \right) \right) \\
= \frac{2 \exp\left( -\frac{F_L}{\pi c} \right)}{\pi c} \exp\left( -\frac{F_L x}{2\pi} \right) \left( 1 + \exp\left( -\frac{2F_L}{\pi c} \right) \right) \times \\
\times \sin\left( k_F x \left( 1 - F_L \exp\left( -\frac{2F_L}{\pi c} \right) \right) \right) \cos\left( \frac{V}{2} x \left( 1 - F_L \exp\left( -\frac{2F_L}{\pi c} \right) \right) \right) + o\left( \frac{1}{c} \right) \quad (A4)
\]

We now proceed to the density density calculation. We know that the density density function is given by [54]:

\[
\langle \rho (x) \rho (0) \rangle = \rho^2 - \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int dk_1 f_L(k_1) \omega(k_1) \int dk_2 f_L(k_2) \omega(k_2) \left( \frac{k_1 - k_2 + ic}{k_1 - k_2 - ic} \right) \left( \frac{p(k_1,k_2)}{k_1 - k_2} \right) \exp(xp(k_1,k_2))
\]

Here \(p(k_1,k_2) = -i(k_1 - k_2) + \int dt f_L(t) P_t(k_1,k_2)\), where the function \(P_t(k_1,k_2)\) satisfies:

\[
2\pi P_t(k_1,k_2) = \frac{k_1 - t + ic}{k_1 - t - ic} \frac{k_2 - t - ic}{k_2 - t + ic} \exp\left( -\int f_L(s) K(s,t) P_s(k_1,k_2) \right) - 1 \quad (A5)
\]

From this we obtain that \(P_t(k_1,k_2) = \frac{-i}{\pi c} (k_1 - k_2) + \ldots\). Combing we obtain that:

\[
\langle \rho (x) \rho (0) \rangle \approx \rho^2 - \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \exp\left( -\frac{2F_L}{\pi c} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{F_L^2}{\pi c} \right) \int dk_1 f_L(k_1) \int dk_2 f_L(k_2) \left( 1 - 2\frac{k_1 - k_2}{ic} \right) \exp\left( -ix(k_1 - k_2) \left( 1 + \frac{F_L}{\pi c} \right) \right) \\
= \rho^2 - \frac{4}{\pi^2} \exp\left( -\frac{2F_L}{\pi c} \right) \frac{1}{x^2} \sin^2\left( k_F x \left( 1 + \frac{F_L}{\pi c} \right) \right) \cos^2\left( \frac{V}{2} x \left( 1 + \frac{F_L}{\pi c} \right) \right) \\
- \frac{1}{\pi^2 c} \exp\left( -\frac{2F_L}{\pi c} \right) \frac{1}{x^3} \left( 1 + \frac{2F_L}{\pi c} \right) \sin^2\left( k_F x \left( 1 + \frac{F_L}{\pi c} \right) \right) \cos^2\left( \frac{V}{2} x \left( 1 + \frac{F_L}{\pi c} \right) \right) \\
- \frac{1}{\pi^2 c} \exp\left( -\frac{2F_L}{\pi c} \right) \frac{1}{x^2} \sin\left( k_F x \left( 1 + \frac{F_L}{\pi c} \right) \right) \cos\left( \frac{V}{2} x \left( 1 + \frac{F_L}{\pi c} \right) \right) \times \\
\times \left( \frac{V}{2} \cos \left( \frac{V}{2} - k_F \right) \left( 1 + \frac{F_L}{\pi c} \right) - \cos \left( \frac{V}{2} + k_F \right) \left( 1 + \frac{F_L}{\pi c} \right) \right) + \\
+ 2k_F \left( \frac{V}{2} - k_F \right) \left( 1 + \frac{F_L}{\pi c} \right) + \cos \left( \frac{V}{2} + k_F \right) \left( 1 + \frac{F_L}{\pi c} \right) \right) + o\left( \frac{1}{c} \right)
\]

As such to leading order in \(1/c\) we have calculated all the correlation functions for the two box quench.

**Appendix B: Correlation Functions (BEC)**

We would like to carry out similar calculations to the ones done above in the case when there are two boxes each of which is initialized in a BEC each of length \(L\) with \(N\) particles. The boxes are moving with velocities \(V\) and \(-V\) (the container is assumed to have size \(L\)). We will calculate the expectation values of the operators \(\langle b^\dagger (0) b(0) \rangle, \langle b^\dagger (0) b(0) b(0) b(0) \rangle, \langle b^\dagger (x) b(0) \rangle\) and \(\langle \rho (x) \rho (0) \rangle\). We will work in the limit of large \(c\) and to leading order in \(1/c\). We will also assume that both \(x\) and 0 are far away from the box boundaries so that we may use the doubled box system to do all calculations.

The first step towards this calculation is to calculate the occupation probability of the BEC quench \(f (k) = \frac{\rho_p(k)}{\rho_c(k)}\). It is known that for large \(c\) the total quasiparticle density satisfies:

\[
\rho_c(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{\pi c} \int \rho_p(q) dq = \frac{1}{2\pi} + \frac{2N}{\pi c L} \quad (B1)
\]

From this we obtain that:

\[
f (k) = B_L \times \left( \rho^{BEC} \left( k - \frac{V}{2} \right) + \rho^{BEC} \left( k + \frac{V}{2} \right) \right) \quad (B2)
\]

Here for future use we have defined \(B_L = \frac{L}{2\pi} \times \frac{4\pi^2}{\pi^2 + \frac{4\pi^2}{c}}\). Furthermore we note that for large \(c\): \(\rho^{BEC} (k) \approx \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2c} + \frac{4\pi^2}{c^2}} + O\left( \frac{1}{c^2} \right)\) with \(n = \frac{N}{c}\) [45]. Next we know that [51]:

\[
\rho^{BEC} (k) \approx \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2c} + \frac{4\pi^2}{c^2}} + O\left( \frac{1}{c^2} \right)
\]
\[ \langle b^\dagger (0) b^\dagger (0) b (0) b (0) \rangle = 2 \int \frac{dk_1}{2\pi} f_L(k_1) \int \frac{dk_2}{2\pi} f_L(k_2) \frac{(k_1 - k_2)^2}{(k_1 - k_2)^2 + c^2} + \ldots \]
\[
\approx \frac{1}{\pi^2} B_L^2 N^2 \left( \frac{V}{c} \right)^2 + \ldots \quad (B3)
\]

Here we have assumed that \( n \ll V \ll c \). Furthermore we may calculate the density density density correlator similarly, it is given by [51]:

\[
\langle b^\dagger (0) b^\dagger (0) b (0) b (0) \rangle \equiv 6 \int \frac{dk_1}{2\pi} \int \frac{dk_2}{2\pi} \int \frac{dk_3}{2\pi} f_L(k_1) f_L(k_2) f_L(k_3) \frac{(k_1 - k_2)^2}{(k_1 - k_2)^2 + c^2} \frac{(k_1 - k_3)^2}{(k_1 - k_3)^2 + c^2} \frac{(k_2 - k_3)^2}{(k_2 - k_3)^2 + c^2} \frac{(k_2 - k_3)^2}{(k_2 - k_3)^2 + c^2}
\]
\[
\approx \frac{9}{8\pi^3} B_L^3 N \left( \frac{V}{c} \right)^4 \times \left( \frac{c^2}{4\pi^2} - \frac{c^2}{2\pi} + \left( \frac{c^2}{4\pi^2} - 1 \right) \frac{c^2}{2\pi} + \frac{\pi}{n^2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{c^2}{4\pi^2}} \left( 1 + \frac{c^2}{4\pi^2} + \frac{c}{n} \right) \frac{c^2}{4\pi^2} \left( 1 + \frac{c^2}{4\pi^2} + \frac{c}{n} \right) \right)
\]
\[
\approx \frac{9}{4\pi^3} B_L^3 N \left( \frac{V}{c} \right)^4 \left( \frac{n}{\pi} \right) + \ldots
\]

Here we have assumed that \( n \ll V \ll c \). We can now calculate the density density correlation function. We know that the density density function is given by [54]:

\[
\langle \rho(x) \rho(0) \rangle = \rho^2 - \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int dk_1 f_L(k_1) \omega(k_1) \int dk_2 f_L(k_2) \omega(k_2) \frac{p(k_1, k_2)}{(k_1 - k_2)^2 + c^2} \exp\left( \frac{p(k_1, k_2)}{(k_1 - k_2)^2 + c^2} \right)
\]

Here \( \omega(k) = \exp\left( -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int dq K(k, q) f(q) \right) \cong \exp\left( -\frac{G_L}{\pi c} \right) \) with \( G_L = 2B_LN \). Here \( p(k_1, k_2) = -i(k_1 - k_2) + \int dt f_L(t) P_t(k_1, k_2) \). Here the function \( P_t(k_1, k_2) \) satisfies:

\[
2\pi P_t(k_1, k_2) = \frac{k_1 - t + ic}{k_1 - t - ic} \cdot \frac{k_2 - t - ic}{k_2 - t + ic} + \exp\left( -\int f_L(s) K(s, t) P_s(k_1, k_2) \right) - 1 \quad (B4)
\]

From this we obtain that \( P_t(k_1, k_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( k_1 - k_2 \right) + \ldots \). Furthermore \( \frac{k_1 - k_2 + ic}{k_1 - k_2 - ic} \cong -1 \left( 1 - \frac{2}{\pi} (k_1 - k_2) \right) \cong -\exp\left( -\frac{2}{\pi} (k_1 - k_2) \right) \). We now obtain that \( p(k_1, k_2) \cong -i(k_1 - k_2) \left( 1 + \frac{G_L}{\pi c} \right) \). Combining we obtain that:

\[
\langle \rho(x) \rho(0) \rangle = \rho^2 - 2 + 2 \cos\left( \frac{V}{2} \left( x + \frac{G_L}{\pi c} + \frac{2}{\pi} \right) \right) \exp\left( \frac{G_L}{\pi c} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{G_L}{\pi c} \right)^2 B_L^2 \times
\]
\[
\times \int dt f_L(k) e^{-ikx} \omega(k) x \int f_L(t) P_t(k)
\]
\[
\rho^2 - 2 + 2 \cos\left( \frac{V}{2} \left( x + \frac{G_L}{\pi c} + \frac{2}{\pi} \right) \right) \exp\left( \frac{G_L}{\pi c} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{G_L}{\pi c} \right)^2 B_L^2 \cdot n^2 \exp\left( -2n \left( x + \frac{G_L}{\pi c} + \frac{2}{\pi} \right) \right)
\]
\[
(B5)
\]

We would now like to calculate the field-field correlation function. It is given by [47]:

\[
\langle b^\dagger (x) b (0) \rangle \equiv \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} f_L(k) e^{-ikx} \omega(k) \times \left( -x \int dt f_L(t) P_t(k) \right)
\]
\[
(B6)
\]

Here \( \omega(k) = \exp\left( -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int dq K(k, q) f(q) \right) \cong \exp\left( -\frac{G_L}{\pi c} \right) \) where and \( K(k, q) = \frac{2c}{(k - q) + \frac{c}{\pi}} \cong \frac{2}{\pi} \). Furthermore the function \( P_t(k) \) satisfied the equation:

\[
2\pi P_t(k) = -\frac{k - t + ic}{t - k + ic} \exp\left( -\int f_L(s) K(t, s) P_s(k) \right) - 1
\]
\[
(B7)
\]

Using this expression it is possible to obtain that:

\[
P_t(k) \cong -\frac{1}{2\pi} \left( 1 + \exp\left( -\frac{2G_L}{\pi c} \right) \right) + i \frac{\exp\left( -\frac{2G_L}{\pi c} \right)}{\pi c} (k - t) + o\left( \frac{1}{c} \right)
\]
From this we obtain that \( \int f_L(t) P_t(k) \approx \frac{-G_L}{2 \pi} \left( 1 + \exp \left( -\frac{2G_L}{\pi c} \right) \right) + iG_L \frac{k}{\pi c} \exp \left( -\frac{2G_L}{\pi c} \right) \). Combining this we obtain that:

\[
\langle b\dagger(x) b(0) \rangle = \frac{\exp \left(-\frac{G_L}{\pi} \right)}{2\pi} \exp \left(-\frac{G_L x}{2\pi} \left( 1 + \exp \left( -\frac{2G_L}{\pi c} \right) \right) \right) \int f_L(k) e^{-ikx \left( 1 - G_L \frac{\exp(-2G_L/\pi c)}{\pi c} \right)} \times \\
\exp \left(-\frac{G_L}{\pi} \right) \exp \left(-\frac{G_L x}{2\pi} \left( 1 + \exp \left( -\frac{2G_L}{\pi c} \right) \right) \right) B_L \times \\
\cos \left( \frac{V}{2} \left( 1 - G_L \frac{\exp(-2G_L/\pi c)}{\pi c} \right) \right) n \exp \left(-2n^2 \left( 1 - G_L \frac{\exp(-2G_L/\pi c)}{\pi c} \right) \right) + o\left( \frac{1}{c} \right)
\]

The velocity probably distribution is then:

\[
P(v) \sim \int dx e^{-\frac{i}{\pi} \pi} \langle b\dagger(x) b(0) \rangle \sim n B_L \exp \left(-\frac{G_L}{\pi} \right) \times \left( \frac{H_L}{H_L^2 + \frac{1}{4} (v-vK)} + \frac{H_L}{H_L^2 + \frac{1}{4} (v+vK)} \right)
\]

Here \( H_L = \frac{G_L}{2\pi} \left( 1 + \exp \left( -\frac{2G_L}{\pi c} \right) \right) + 2n \left( 1 - G_L \frac{\exp(-2G_L/\pi c)}{\pi c} \right) \) and \( K_L = \left( 1 - G_L \frac{\exp(-2G_L/\pi c)}{\pi c} \right) \)

**Appendix C: Initial Correlations**

We would like to calculate the velocity probability distribution when the traps are initially released at time equal to zero. This would help us compare with the time averaged case. The experimentally accessible quantities are most easily given in terms of an average velocity probability:

\[
P_{av}(v) = \frac{1}{L} \int dx \int dy e^{-\frac{i}{\pi} \pi} \langle b\dagger(y) b(y) \rangle
\]

In the case of the BEC it is not too hard to see that

\[
P_{av}(v) = \frac{1}{n} \langle \delta(v-V) + \delta(v+V) \rangle
\]

In the case of the two boxes in their ground state, following a derivation given above we see that the velocity probability distribution:

\[
P_{av}(v) \sim \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i,j=\pm} (-1)^j \arctan A_{i,j}(v)
\]

with \( A_{i,\pm}(v) = C_L \left( \left( 1 - J_L \frac{\exp(-2J_L/\pi c)}{\pi c} \right) \left( \frac{v}{2} \right)^{1/2} \right) \), and \( C_L = \frac{2\pi}{J_L \left( 1 + \exp \left(-\frac{2J_L}{\pi} \right) \right)} \). These results are shown in Fig. 1(B-G).

---
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