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Abstract

Background: Mosquitoes transmit serious human diseases, causing millions of deaths every year. Use of synthetic insecticides to control vector mosquitoes has caused physiological resistance and adverse environmental effects in addition to high operational cost. Insecticides of botanical origin have been reported as useful for control of mosquitoes. Azadirachta indica (Meliaceae) and its derived products have shown a variety of insecticidal properties. The present paper discusses the larvicidal activity of neem-based biopesticide for the control of mosquitoes.

Methods: Larvicidal efficacy of an emulsified concentrate of neem oil formulation (neem oil with polyoxyethylene ether, sorbitan dioleate and epichlorohydrin) developed by BMR & Company, Pune, India, was evaluated against late 3rd and early 4th instar larvae of different genera of mosquitoes. The larvae were exposed to different concentrations (0.5–5.0 ppm) of the formulation along with untreated control. Larvicidal activity of the formulation was also evaluated in field against Anopheles, Culex, and Aedes mosquitoes. The formulation was diluted with equal volumes of water and applied @ 140 mg a.i./m2 to different mosquito breeding sites with the help of pre calibrated knapsack sprayer. Larval density was determined at pre and post application of the formulation using a standard dipper.

Results: Median lethal concentration (LC50) of the formulation against Anopheles stephensi, Culex quinquefasciatus and Aedes aegypti were found to be 1.6, 1.8 and 1.7 ppm respectively. LC50 values of the formulation stored at 26°C, 40°C and 45°C for 48 hours against Ae. aegypti were 1.7, 1.7, 1.8 ppm while LC90 values were 3.7, 3.7 and 3.8 ppm respectively. Further no significant difference in LC50 and LC90 values of the formulation was observed against Ae. aegypti during 18 months storage period at room temperature. An application of the formulation at the rate of 140 mg a.i./m2 in different breeding sites under natural field conditions provided 98.1% reduction of Anopheles larvae on day 1; thereafter 100% reduction was recorded up to week 1 and more than 80% reduction up to week 3, while percent reduction against Culex larvae was 95.5% on day 1, and thereafter 80% reduction was achieved up to week 3. The formulation also showed 95.1% and, 99.7% reduction of Aedes larvae on day 1 and day 2 respectively; thereafter 100% larval control was observed up to day 7.

Conclusion: The neem oil formulation was found effective in controlling mosquito larvae in different breeding sites under natural field conditions. As neem trees are widely distributed in India, their formulations may prove to be an effective and eco-friendly larvicide, which could be used as an alternative for malaria control.
Background
Mosquitoes transmit serious human diseases like malaria, filariasis, Japanese encephalitis, dengue haemorrhagic fever and yellow fever causing millions of deaths every year [1]. Extensive use of chemical insecticides for control of vector borne diseases has created problems related to physiological resistance to vectors, adverse environmental effects, high operational cost and community acceptance [2]. Numerous plant products have been reported either as insecticides for killing larvae or adult mosquitoes or as repellents for mosquito biting and are one of the best alternatives for mosquito control [2,3].

Neem trees, (Azadirachta indica) native of India, belonging to family Meliaceae are fast growing evergreen trees ranging in height from 12 – 24 m. They are widespread in tropical and subtropical regions of the world, including semiarid and wet-tropical regions [4]. Neem seeds contain approximately 99 biologically active compounds of which azadirachtin, nimbim, nimbin and nimbolides are major molecules. Many of these derived products have antifeedancy, ovicidal activity, fecundity suppression besides insect growth regulation and repellency against insects [5-10]. Neem products have low toxicity to birds, fish and mammals and are less likely to induce resistance due to their multiple mode of action on insects. In addition to this, insect growth regulatory activity of neem weakens the cuticle defence system of the larvae causing easy penetration of pathogenic organisms into insect system. Azadirachtin, a biologically active compound has been promoted as a new insecticide that is considered more eco-friendly than synthetic insecticides. The pesticidal efficacy, environmental safety and public acceptability of neem and its products for control of crop pests has led to its adoption into various mosquito control programmes [8,11].

The present study was aimed to determine the larvicidal potential of the emulsified neem oil formulation against different mosquito genera under natural field conditions in India.

Methods
Neem oil formulation
The test formulation was an emulsified concentrate containing 0.15% w/v azadirachtin, polyoxyethylene ether (emulsifier), sorbitan dioleate (surfactant) and epichlorohydrin (used as a stabiliser to protect the degradation of the formulation under exposure to sun light.), developed by BMR & Company, Pune, India was evaluated against late 3rd and early 4th instar larvae of different genera of mosquitoes.

Larvicidal bioassay
Larvicidal bioassay of the formulation was performed on late 3rd and early 4th instar larvae of Anopheles stephensi, a primary vector of urban malaria, Culex quinquefasciatus a common vector of filariasis, and Aedes aegypti a common vector of dengue, dengue haemorrhagic fever and yellow fever. The larvae were obtained from laboratory-established colony as described earlier [12]. Twenty-five larvae were released into 500 ml glass beakers containing 250 ml distilled water. The larvae were provided a mixture of dog biscuit and yeast powder in a 3:2 ratio as nutrients and supplemented with different concentrations (0.5 to 5.0 ppm) of the formulation. The experiments were carried out at 26°C ± 2°C. Five replicates of each concentration were run under the same microclimatic conditions along with untreated control. Mortality of larvae was monitored at 24 hours. The percent corrected mortality was calculated using Abbott's formula [13] and Log probit analysis was used to determine the median lethal concentration (LC50)/90% lethal concentration (LC90) of the formulation.

Stability test
Larvicidal bioassay of the neem oil-based formulation stored at 26°C, 40°C and 45°C for 48 hrs was evaluated against Ae. aegypti at different concentration (0.5–5.0 ppm) as per the method reported above. Five replicates of each concentration were run under the same microclimatic conditions along with untreated control. A total of 100 larvae of Ae. aegypti were exposed against each concentration. Further bioassay test of the neem oil formulation of different concentrations (0.5–5.0 ppm) stored at room temperature (26°C ± 2°C) was evaluated against Ae. aegypti larvae at three months interval period for 18 months. Three replicates of each concentration were carried out along with control.

Field evaluation of larvicidal activity
Field evaluation of larvicidal activity of the neem oil formulation was carried out in two districts of Uttar Pradesh viz. Mathura and Kanpur and district Hardwar of the state of Uttarakhand. Initially a survey was carried out to ascertain the suitability of different breeding habitats of target species for the trial. Larvicidal efficacy was carried out against late 3rd and early 4th instar larvae of different genera of mosquitoes under natural field conditions.

Larval density was determined using standard dipper (300 ml capacity with 9 cm diameter) method. Treatment dose (140 mg a.i./m2) of the formulation was determined on the basis of the results of laboratory evaluation carried out against Cx. quinquefasciatus and Ae. aegypti [14]. Five liters of the neem oil formulation was mixed with equal volume of water to make a uniform suspension and applied to 53 m2 surface area of breeding habitats through precalibrated knapsack sprayer.

Larval density/dip was recorded a day before application for both experimental and control habitats, thereafter
observations were recorded at 24, 48 and 72 hr of post application. Further observations were made at weekly intervals for 3 weeks. Percent reduction was calculated for 3rd & 4th instar larvae and pupae using the formula.

\[
\%\text{Reduction} = 100 - \frac{C_1 \times T_2}{C_2 \times T_1} \times 100
\]

C₁, C₂ are pre-treatment, post-treatment larval density in control whereas T₁, T₂ are pre-treatment post-treatment, immature density in experimental habitats respectively.

Results
Laboratory study
Mean LC₅₀ and LC₉₀ values (95% confidence limits) of the neem oil formulation against An. stephensi, Cx. quinquefasciatus and Ae. aegypti are given in Table 1. Mean LC₅₀ values of the formulation were 1.6, 1.8 and 1.7 ppm while LC₉₀ were 3.4, 3.5 and 3.7 ppm against An. stephensi, Cx. quinquefasciatus and Ae. aegypti respectively. Results of stability test of the neem oil based formulation stored at different temperatures against Ae. aegypti are given in Table 2. LC₅₀ values of the biopesticide stored at 26°C, 40°C and 45°C for 48 hours were 1.7, 1.7, 1.8 ppm while LC₉₀ values recorded were 3.7, 3.7 and 3.8 ppm respectively. Mean LC₅₀ and LC₉₀ of the formulation during 18 months storage period at room temperature against Aedes aegypti is shown in Figure 1. No significant difference (P > 0.5) in LC₅₀ and LC₉₀ value of the formulation was observed.

Field study
Before start of the study, a preliminary survey of various breeding sites of mosquitoes was carried out. In all 73 breeding sites (factory scraps) were surveyed inside the Ordnance factory, Kanpur, out of which 67 sites were found positive (91.8%) for Aedes larvae. Larvae collected from these breeding sites were identified as Ae. aegypti and Ae. albopictus. A survey of different breeding sites such as tanks, pits, drains were also carried at Indian Oil Corporation, Mathura and Bharat Heavy Electricals Limited, Hardwar for Culex and Anopheles breeding. Culex and Anopheles larvae collected from these sites were identified as Cx. quinquefasciatus, An. culicifacies and An. subpictus respectively.

Mean percent reduction of larval density against Cx. quinquefasciatus and anophelines in different breeding habitats are given in Table 3. In pits, percent reduction of Culex larvae was 95.9, 90.2, 87.2 on days 1, 2, 3 respectively of post application, while more than 70% reduction was observed up to week 3. In tanks 91.6% – 92.4% reduction of Culex larvae was observed up to day 7 of post application thereafter 80.7% reduction was noted up to week 3, while in drains there was more than 90% larval control up to day 7 and remained above 75% up to week 3. An effective control of late instars anopheline larvae in tanks was observed with 98.2% reduction on day 1, followed by 100% reduction up to day 7 and more than 75% reduction till week 3. In pits, 96.2% control was recorded on day 1, 100% up to day 7 and more than 75% reduction up to week 3. The mean percent reduction of Culex larvae was 89.9–95.5% up to day 7 followed by 79.7–85.7% up to week 3, while for Anopheles larvae mean percent reduction was 90.4–100% up to day 7 followed by 83.8–90.4% up to week 3 (Figure 2).

Larvicidal activity of the formulation against Aedes larvae in different breeding sites is given in Table 4. There was 85.2% to 98.1% reduction of Aedes larvae on day 1 of post application of the neem oil formulation, thereafter 99.7% to 100% reduction was recorded up to day 7.

Discussion
Neem trees are found throughout India with a myriad of uses in medicine, as well as pest control [4]. Neem-based pesticides are now extensively used in agriculture practices all over the world. It contains azadirachtin, which is a predominant insecticidal active ingredient, having antifeedent, ovipositional deterrence repellency, growth

| Table 2: Larvicidal activity of neem oil formulation against Aedes aegypti at different storage temperature |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Storage temperature | LC₅₀ (Mean ± sd) | LC₉₀ (Mean ± sd) |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 26 ± 2°C            | 1.7 ± 0.5       | 3.7 ± 0.8       |
| 40°C                | 1.7 ± 0.6       | 3.7 ± 0.5       |
| 45°C                | 1.8 ± 0.4       | 3.8 ± 0.6       |

Number of each replicate: 3
disruption, sterility and larvicidal action against insects [6]. There are various reports of control of mosquito breeding under field conditions. An emulsion of neem oil in water was found to be effective in controlling breeding of *Cx. quinquefasciatus*, *An. stephensi* and *Ae. aegypti* in pools, tanks and coolers up to 2 to 3 weeks [15], whereas an application of neem cake powder resulted in drastic reduction in the late instar larvae and pupae of culicine mosquitoes in paddy field [16].

Mean LC$_{50}$ values were 1.6, 1.8 and 1.7 ppm against *An. stephensi*, *Cx. quinquefasciatus* and *Ae. aegypti*, while LC$_{90}$

### Table 3: Larvicidal activity of neem oil formulation against mosquitoes larvae in field

| *Mosquito species* | Breeding sites | Pre treatment density | Percent reduction of larval density (mean ± sd) |
|-------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|                   |               |                       | Day-1  | Day-2  | Day-3  | Week-1 | Week-2 | Week-3 |
| **Culex**         | Pits          | 28.9 ± 10.6           | 95.9 ± 3.5 | 90.2 ± 6.9 | 87.2 ± 11.0 | 87.5 ± 8.2 | 85.9 ± 8.0 | 80.5 ± 7.3 |
|                   | Tanks         | 26.8 ± 11.5           | 91.9 ± 5.8 | 93.2 ± 3.2 | 97.7 ± 1.9 | 92.4 ± 8.0 | 86.2 ± 8.2 | 80.7 ± 9.2 |
|                   | Drains        | 115.7 ± 64.6          | 99.4 ± 0.6 | 98.8 ± 1.2 | 98.6 ± 1.4 | 84.9 ± 4.6 | 85.0 ± 11.8 | 77.8 ± 11.0 |
|                   |               |                       | **95.5 ± 4.1** | **94.1 ± 4.3** | **94.5 ± 5.5** | **89.9 ± 2.5** | **85.7 ± 0.8** | **79.7 ± 1.6** |
| **Anopheles**     | Pits          | 13.5 ± 7.5            | 96.2 ± 4.5 | 100     | 100     | 100     | 85.4 ± 14.1 | 76.6 ± 9.6 |
|                   | Tanks         | 10.4 ± 5.7            | 98.2 ± 1.8 | 100     | 100     | 100     | 87.0 ± 9.7  | 77.7 ± 10.0 |
|                   | Drains        | 13.0 ± 6.7            | 100     | 100     | 100     | 100     | 98.7 ± 1.3  | 97.0 ± 3.0  |
|                   |               |                       | **98.1 ± 1.9** | **100** | **100** | **100** | **90.4 ± 7.2** | **83.8 ± 11.5** |
were 3.4, 3.5 and 3.7 ppm respectively. LC50 of the formulation stored at 26°C, 40°C and 45°C for 48 hours were 1.7, 1.7, 1.8 ppm while LC90 values were 3.7, 3.7 and 3.8 ppm respectively which revealed that there was no difference in the biopestical activity of the neem oil formulation at different storage temperatures. No significant difference of larvicidal activity of the formulation was also observed during 18 months storage period at room temperature.

In the present study an application of the formulation at the rate of 140 mg a.i./m² in pits, tanks and drains provided above 90% reduction of Culex larvae up to week 1 and thereafter 80% reduction up to week 3, whereas 100% reduction was observed in Anopheles larvae up to week 1, after that more than 80% reduction was recorded up to week 3.

Dhar et al [17] demonstrated the inhibitory effect of neem oil volatiles on gonotrophic cycle in An. stephensi and An. culicifacies. A neem oil formulation containing 32% neem seed oil (an equivalent of 0.03% azadirachtin), an emulsifier (5%) and 63% iso propanol (solvent) was investigated for its larvicidal activities against An. gambiae [18]. It was toxic to mosquito larvae with LC50 value of 11 ppm and also reported to possess insect growth regulators. Gianotti and co workers [19] used powdered seeds of neem trees and applied twice a week to known breeding sites for An. gambiae at the rate of 10 gm/m² of pool surface area for effective larval control. Azadirachtin acts as anti-ecdysteroid and kills larvae by growth inhibition effect [20]. In the present investigation, neem oil formulation was found effective to control mosquito larvae in different breeding habitats under natural field conditions and more than 80% reduction of Anopheles, Culex and Aedes larvae was observed up to three weeks of post application.

Neem-based biopesticides and neem extracts have a wide range of effects against insect pests including repellence, feeding, toxicity, sterility and growth regulator activity and are relatively safe towards non-target biota with only minimal risk of direct adverse effects on aquatic biota from contamination of water bodies [21,22]. Allelochemicals such as azadirachtin, nimbin, nimbidin, nimbolides, nimolic acid, salannin, meliantriol, azadirachtol present in neem affect the biochemical and physiological processes of insect system and nullify the insect detoxification mechanism thereby not allowing the pest to develop.

### Table 4: Larvicidal activity of neem oil formulation against Aedes mosquitoes in field

| Breeding sites  | Pre treatment larval density | pH     | Day-1 | Day-2 | Day-3 | Day-7 |
|----------------|-----------------------------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Tyres          | 10.3 ± 4.1                  | 8.0–9.0| 94.3 ± 4.5 | 98.6 ± 1.4 | 100  | 100  |
| Machinery scraps| 14.5 ± 8.6                  | 8.0–9.0| 96.0 ± 3.0 | 100   | 100  | 100  |
| Iron container | 19.2 ± 5.7                  | 8.5    | 98.1 ± 1.5 | 100   | 100  | 100  |
| Iron box       | 11.0 ± 6.0                  | 8.0–8.5| 96.9 ± 2.0 | 100   | 100  | 100  |
| Iron tanks     | 9.0 ± 2.6                   | 8.0–8.5| 85.2 ± 6.5 | 100   | 100  | 100  |
| Plastic scrap  | 6.0                         | 8.5    | 100   | 100   | 100  |

Total replicates: 21
resistance. As an emulsifiable concentrate, the neem oil formulation had greatly reduced sized particles and evenly mixed within the water column with a few suspended particles on the water surface. The spread of these fine particles probably increased the efficacy of formulation.

Control of mosquito larvae becomes a very pertinent issue in controlling the rapid replication of mosquitoes in management of vector-borne diseases. In the present study, neem oil formulation showed promising larvicidal activity against important vectors of malaria, filaria, dengue, dengue haemorrhagic fever, yellow fever and chikungunya. Development of resistance in temephos and Bacillus thuringiensis is a matter of concern for operational use as larvicides. Although the present formulation may be more costly than other larvicidal agents, such as temephos and B. thuringiensis, it has the advantage of being eco-friendly, effective and ability to prevent the development of pest resistance.

Conclusion
The neem oil formulation was found effective in controlling mosquito larvae in different breeding sites under natural field conditions. Neem oil formulations are relative less toxic, eco-friendly and insects are unable to develop resistance and may be used as an alternative to other pesticides for control of vector-borne diseases.
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