Don’t just look at the surface: when mucosa tells more than the skin
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A 13-year-old boy was admitted for a 4-day history of fever, malaise, sore throat and cough, treated with amoxicillin for 2 days. Physical examination revealed sparse targetoid cutaneous lesions, stomatitis with vesicles, blisters and mild conjunctival hyperaemia (figure 1). Blood tests showed an increase in erythrocyte sedimentation rate (92 mm/hour; normal value <20 mm/hour) and in C reactive protein level (4.8 mg/dL; normal value <0.5 mg/dL), while white cell count was 12.7 x 10^9/L (7 lymphocytes 7.2 x 10^9/L, neutrophils 3.32 x 10^9/L). In the following days, he developed preputial ulceration with painful micturition.

**QUESTION 1**
What is the most likely diagnosis?
A. Erythema multiforme (EM) major.
B. Herpetic gingivostomatitis.
C. Antibiotic-induced Stevens-Johnson syndrome (SJS).
D. *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*-induced rash and mucositis (MIRM).

**QUESTION 2**
What test could confirm this diagnosis?
A. Nasopharyngeal swab and virus PCR.
B. Skin biopsy.
C. Repeated serological assay and PCR for *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* (MP).
D. None of the mentioned.

**QUESTION 3**
What is the mainstay of management?
A. IV corticosteroids
B. IV Ig
C. Supportive and topical therapy
D. Antibiotic therapy

*Answers can be found on page 266.*

Figure 1 Vesicular oral lesions and conjunctivitis.
**Table 1** Proposed diagnostic criteria for classic cases of *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*-induced rash and mucositis

| Diagnosis Criteria                                                                 | Mucocutaneous Eruption | Involvement of ≤10% body surface area |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Number of mucosal sites involved                                                  | ≥2                     |                                       |
| Few vesiculobullous lesions, or scattered, atypical                              | Yes                    |                                       |
| Targetoid lesions                                                                | ≤2                     |                                       |
| Clinical evidence of atypical pneumonia                                           | Fever, cough and auscultatory findings |
| Laboratory evidence of atypical pneumonia                                         | Increase in *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* (MP) IgM antibodies, MP in oropharyngeal or bullae cultures or PCR, and/or serial cold agglutinins

---

**Epilogue**

**Answers to the Questions on Page 265**

**Answer to question 1:** D

MIRM is characterised by mucositis, possibly but not necessarily associated with sparse cutaneous involvement, which occurs after an MP infection.

Canavan *et al*¹ considered this condition a separate entity from SJS due to its distinctive epidemiology, morphology, pathophysiology and disease outcomes. For this reason, specific diagnostic criteria have been proposed (table 1). From an epidemiological point of view, patients with MIRM are usually young and predominantly male.¹ The incidence of MIRM is closely related to the spread of MP, whereas around 25% of patients with this infection may have mucocutaneous eruption.² Clinically, these subjects usually present prodromal respiratory symptoms, followed after 1 week by mucocutaneous rash. In particular, MIRM affects the oral mucosa in 94% of cases and often the urogenital region.¹ These clinical features can help doctors distinguish MIRM from EM major, usually and predominantly characterised by skin involvement with acral targetoid lesions and minimal or absent mucosal implication.¹

This patient developed a lower degree of skin involvement than SJS, along with vesiculobullous and significant erosive mucosal eruptions that also involved the urogenital region.¹ These clinical features can help doctors distinguish MIRM from EM major, usually and predominantly characterised by skin involvement with acral targetoid lesions and minimal or absent mucosal implication.¹

Herpetic gingivostomatitis was also improbable due to multiorgan involvement. Nevertheless, in the setting of a differential diagnosis, a viral culture and a PCR for herpes simplex virus (HSV) should always be considered to rule out a herpetic infection, which is the main immunological trigger for EM major and can lead to potential complications in immunocompromised patients.

A differential diagnosis is relevant not only due to the different treatment options and patient education but also to predict the course of the disease, which is usually milder compared with EM major³ and SJS,⁴ with an overall good prognosis and rapid recovery.

**Answer to question 2:** D

Laboratory tests usually show mild leucocytosis, with an increase in the values of inflammatory markers. Since most MIRM lesions are non-bullous exanthematous,⁵ a skin biopsy should be performed in the case of large, isolated bullous skin lesions, in differential diagnosis with autoimmune conditions such as linear IgA bullous dermatitis, bullous pemphigoid and pemphigoid vulgaris.⁶

Real-time PCR and serological assays have limited value in diagnosing an MP infection.³ The IgM assay has temporary sensitivity and specificity with poor positive and negative predictive values.⁷ Real-time PCR results depend on the type of samples tested, with a different sensitivity, which is never 100%,⁸ and which remain positive until 4 months after the infection. Since MP antibodies can be detected several months after the infection,² diagnosis without documentation of titre increase in paired sera can be misleading because it may include a recent but not the current infection. In this patient, with a positive serological assay with positivity for both IgM and PCR, the diagnosis and subsequent treatment were based mainly on clinical findings highly suggestive of MIRM.

**Answer to question 3:** C

MIRM treatment is directed to pain management, mucosal and skin care, and localised care in case of ocular and urogenital involvement, depending on the severity and extension of the lesions. For oral lesions, saline-soaked gauze can be applied several times a day to reduce pain and prevent accumulation of thick crust on the lips, while multiple daily applications of ocular lubricants are indicated for mild conjunctivitis, and topical triamcinolone ointment can be used for localised urogenital involvement. Daily assessment of fluid balance should likewise be given in order to establish the current fluid balance in case of intravenous replacement in severely affected patients and to avoid overhydration and resultant hyponaetraemia.⁹ Similarly, great attention should be given to appropriate nutrition during the acute phase, including use of oral or nasogastric/nasojejunal feeding, depending on the severity of mucosal impairment.⁹

Although antibiotic utility to limit the duration and severity of the disease is debated,¹⁰ an empirical antibiotic therapy is often used in patients with clinical, laboratory or radiographic evidence of MP pneumonia.

**Patient outcome**

The patient was treated with topical steroid ointment for ocular and urogenital involvement. He fully recovered in 2 weeks.
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