Abstract—This paper presents single and multi-shell sampling schemes for diffusion MRI that are optimal in terms of the number of measurements whilst enabling accurate and robust reconstruction of the diffusion signal. In diffusion MRI, it is paramount that the number of samples is as small as possible in order that scan times are practical in a clinical setting. The proposed schemes use the optimal number of measurements in that the number of samples is equal to the number of degrees of freedom in the orthonormal bases used for reconstruction. Both the single and multi-shell schemes have novel reconstruction algorithms which use smaller subsystems of linear equations compared to the standard regularized least-squares method of reconstruction. The smaller matrices used in these novel reconstruction algorithms are designed to be well-conditioned, leading to improved reconstruction accuracy. Accurate and robust reconstruction is also achieved through incorporation of regularization into the novel reconstruction algorithms and using a Rician or non-central Chi noise model. We quantitatively validate our single and multi-shell schemes against standard least-squares reconstruction methods to show that they enable more accurate reconstruction when the optimal number of samples are used. Human brain data is also used to qualitatively evaluate reconstruction.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Diffusion MRI is the preferred imaging modality for studying white-matter connectivity in the brain and diagnosing white-matter disorders. Measurements of the diffusion signal are normally collected on single or multiple shells in q-space. It is necessary that the number of samples is as small as possible in order that scan times are suitable for a clinical setting, where acquisition time and cost need to be minimized [1], [2].
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From the q-space samples, the diffusion signal can be reconstructed within every voxel by expansion in an orthonormal basis. After reconstruction, features of the diffusion signal such as generalized fractional anisotropy [3], [4], orientation distribution function (ODF) [3], [5] and fiber ODF [6] can then be estimated. Connectivity of the brain can then be determined using tractography which uses the intravoxel fiber orientation, determined from the peaks of the ODF, in neighbouring voxels to determine the direction of fibers in the white-matter. For feature extraction and tractography to perform well, it is paramount that the sampling scheme allows for the diffusion signal to be accurately reconstructed within each voxel.

Accurate reconstruction requires that the sampling grid is designed such that the number of samples is at least equal to the number of coefficients in the orthonormal basis, which we define as the optimal number of samples, and that their structure enables an accurate reconstruction transform to be defined [7]. For accurate reconstruction of the diffusion signal, the effect of noise on the signal also needs to be modelled and its contribution removed from the measurements. Although the noise associated with the signal in each coil is Gaussian, the distribution of the diffusion signal depends on factors such as how the signals from these coils are combined and the number of coils. In order to remove phase related artefacts, often the magnitude of the diffusion MRI signal is taken. The Rician distribution arises when the magnitude of the complex signal from one coil or the magnitude of the sum of the signals from multiple coils is taken, while the non-central Chi distributions (NCC) results from taking the root sum-of-squares of the complex signal from the different coils [6], [8], [9]. NCC and Rician distributions are well-approximated as Gaussian if the signal-to-noise (SNR) of the data is high; when this is not the case, treating the noise as Gaussian degrades performance [6], [9].

As discussed above, a sampling scheme and associated reconstruction algorithm should meet the following requirements: (1) as few measurements as possible to minimize scan time; (2) sampling grids are designed to enable accurate reconstruction; and (3) an accurate and robust reconstruction algorithm which takes into account the non-Gaussian distribution of the noise.

Most diffusion MRI sampling schemes use uniform sampling within each shell to ensure that the reconstruction accuracy is rotationally invariant [10]–[14]. Such schemes have a structure that only allows for least-squares reconstruction which requires more than the optimal number of samples, as the least-squares matrix is ill-conditioned with the optimal
number of samples, and the accuracy improves with more measurements [7]. The standard reconstruction algorithm is regularized least-squares, which implicitly assumes Gaussian distributed noise. Regularization is used so that the reconstructed signal does not fit too closely to noisy measurements by trading off a smooth solution and fitting to the data [15], [16].

Sampling schemes that use least-squares reconstruction can be used in the majorize-minimize framework proposed in [9]. The majorize-minimize framework iteratively finds the penalized maximum likelihood (PML) estimate for Rician and NCC noise. The algorithm is a two step process where there is a measurement update to remove the noise bias and a coefficient update using regularized least-square to the noise variance. The Robust and Unbiased Model-BAseed spherical Deconvolution (RUMBA-SD) technique [6], that is based on a Richardson-Lucy algorithm altered for Rician and NCC likelihood models, has a similar iterative algorithm for finding the maximum likelihood estimate for NCC noise for recovering the fiber ODF.

The single-shell sampling scheme proposed in [17], [18] uses the optimal number of samples. The sampling grid in this scheme is designed so that it enables an accurate reconstruction algorithm with better conditioned matrices than the least-squares matrix. For this reason, this scheme enables more accurate reconstruction than the state-of-the-art sampling schemes which use least-squares for synthetic noise-free measurements of the diffusion signal [18]. However the scheme proposed in [17] does not regularize the reconstruction and implicitly assumes that the noise has a Gaussian distribution.

This work extends [17] to a multi-shell sampling scheme and aims to enable robust reconstruction of the diffusion signal by including regularization in the reconstruction algorithm and considering the non-Gaussian noise of the data, thereby meeting all three requirements. Preliminary parts of this work were reported in a conference paper [19].

This paper is organized as follows. First the notation and mathematical background is established in Section II. In Section III and Section IV we present our proposed sampling and reconstruction schemes for single and multi-shell acquisitions respectively. We first present the single and multi-shell sampling grids and reconstruction algorithms before presenting the regularized and non-Gaussian noise removal extensions to these reconstruction algorithms. In Section V we quantitatively evaluate our proposed schemes using synthetic data-sets and also perform qualitative evaluation using human brain data. These results are discussed in Section VI.

II. NOTATION AND MATHEMATICAL BACKGROUND

Here we present the notation and mathematical formulation for the diffusion signal on a single shell (on the unit sphere) and multiple shells (in 3-dimensional space) in q-space.

A. Diffusion Signal on the Sphere and Spherical Harmonics

Let the diffusion signal at a fixed q-space radius be denoted by $d(\theta, \phi)$, where the two angles colatitude $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ and longitude $\phi \in [0, 2\pi]$ parametrize a point $q(\theta, \phi) = q/||q||_2 = (\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta)^T \in \mathbb{R}^3$ on the unit sphere $S^2$.

The spherical harmonic (SH) functions form a complete basis for square integrable functions on the unit sphere $L^2(S^2)$ and are defined as [20]

$$Y^m_\ell(\theta, \phi) = \sqrt{\frac{2\ell + 1}{4\pi} \frac{(\ell - m)!}{(\ell + m)!}} P^m_\ell(\cos \theta) e^{im\phi},$$

for integer degree $\ell \geq 0$ and integer order $|m| \leq \ell$. In (1), $P^m_\ell$ denotes the associated Legendre function of degree $\ell$ and order $m$ [20]. The SH coefficients of the diffusion signal on the sphere $c^m_\ell$ are given by the SH transform, defined as

$$c^m_\ell \triangleq \int_{S^2} d(\theta, \phi) Y^m_\ell(\theta, \phi) \sin \theta \, d\theta \, d\phi.$$  

We can then reconstruct the diffusion signal on the sphere from its SH coefficients using the inverse SH transform, given by

$$d(\theta, \phi) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} c^m_\ell Y^m_\ell(\theta, \phi).$$  

The diffusion signal has the property that it is antipodally symmetric; it has the same value at locations diametrically opposite each other, with $d(\theta, \phi) = d(\pi - \theta, \phi + \pi)$. Since $Y^m_\ell(\theta, \phi) = Y^m_\ell(\pi - \theta, \pi + \phi)$ for even $\ell$ and $Y^m_\ell(\theta, \phi) = -Y^m_\ell(\pi - \theta, \pi + \phi)$ for odd $\ell$, the diffusion signal $d(\theta, \phi)$ has only even degree SH coefficients, that is, $c^m_\ell = 0$ for odd degree $\ell$ [2], [21], [22]. In this work, we assume that the diffusion signal is band-limited at degree $L$ such that $c^m_\ell = 0$ for $\ell > L$. With these considerations, we rewrite the expansion in (3) as

$$d(\theta, \phi) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} c^m_\ell Y^m_\ell(\theta, \phi), \quad L \text{ even.}$$

The number of SH coefficients required to represent the diffusion signal, given in (4), is $N_O = (L + 1)(L + 2)/2$ [16], [17], which is the optimal number of samples, that is, the minimum number of samples attainable by any single-shell sampling scheme that allows for the accurate computation of the SHT of any band-limited antipodal signal. General spherical sampling theorems in contrast, require on the order of $2L^2$ samples [23].

1) Measurement and Reconstruction: As only a finite number of measurements of the diffusion signal can be obtained, the SHT (2) can not be solved analytically. The SH coefficients $c^m_\ell$ are commonly estimated numerically using the least-squares solution of the inverse SHT (4) [16], [24]–[26]. Let $d$ denote the vector containing $M$ measurements of the diffusion signal, with $d = [d(0, \phi_0), d(\theta_1, \phi_1), \ldots, d(\theta_{M-1}, \phi_{M-1})]^T$, where $(.)^T$ denotes the transpose operator, containing measurements taken over the sphere. The least-squares estimate is given by

$$\hat{c} = \arg \min_{c} ||Ac - d||^2_2 = (A^H A)^{-1}A^H d,$$

where $(.)^H$ denotes the Hermitian operator, the columns of the matrix $A$ correspond to sampled versions of the even degree
\[ c = [c_0, c_2^{-1}, c_2, c_2^2, \ldots, c_L^2]^T \]

is the column vector of length \((L+1)(L+2)/2\) containing even \(\ell\) SH coefficients of the diffusion signal and \(\hat{c}\) is the estimate of \(c\). The least-squares estimate improves with a larger \(M\), as the matrix inversion tends to be ill-conditioned with a small number of samples [7]. Regularization of the solution is commonly used to improve the condition number of the matrix and add robustness to noise [16, 24].

Laplace-Beltrami regularization is widely used for reconstruction of the diffusion signal on the sphere [9, 24]. The Laplace-Beltrami operator \(\Delta_\theta\) penalizes non-smooth signals [24]. In [24], a measure of the deviation of a signal on the sphere \(d(\theta, \phi)\) from smooth is defined as:

\[
U(d(\theta, \phi)) = \int_{S^2} \left(\Delta_\theta d(\theta, \phi)\right)^2 \sin \theta d\theta d\phi. \quad (6)
\]

This can be written in the spectral domain by using \(\Delta_\theta Y^m_\ell = -\ell(\ell+1)Y^m_\ell\) and by expanding \(d\) in the SH basis using (2) [24],

\[
U(d(\theta, \phi)) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} (c^m_\ell)^2 \ell(\ell+1)^2 \quad (7)
\]

\[
= \sum_{j=1}^{5} \sum_{m=1}^{2} (c^m_j)^2 \ell(j)^2 (\ell(j) + 1)^2. \quad (8)
\]

\(U(d(\theta, \phi))\) can also be written in matrix form as:

\[
U(d(\theta, \phi)) = c^H L c, \quad (9)
\]

where \(L\) is a diagonal matrix of size \((L+1)(L+2)/2 \times (L+1)(L+2)/2\) with entries \(\ell^2(\ell+1)^2\) associated with each SH degree. The SH coefficients of the diffusion signal can then be estimated by solving the following least-squares problem with Laplace-Beltrami regularization:

\[
\hat{c} = \arg \min_c ||Ac - d||_2^2 + \lambda c^H L c = (A^H A + \lambda L)^{-1} A^H d, \quad (10)
\]

where \(\lambda\) is a regularization parameter. Different values of \(\lambda\) are used to trade-off a smooth solution with fitting to the data [16].

**B. Diffusion Signal in 3D and Spherical Polar Fourier Basis**

The diffusion signal in \(\mathbb{R}^3\), \(d(q)\), can be expanded in the spherical polar Fourier (SPF) basis [26], a 3D orthonormal basis which is separable in the angular and radial directions, as

\[
d(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} c_{n\ell m} R_n(q) Y^m_\ell(\theta, \phi), \quad L \text{ even}, \quad (11)
\]

where \(q = |q|\) and the radial functions \(R_n\) are Gaussian-Laguerre polynomials with

\[
R_n(q) = \left[ \frac{2}{\zeta^{1.5}} \frac{n!}{\Gamma(n+1.5)} \right]^{0.5} \exp \left( -\frac{q^2}{2\zeta} \right) L_n^{1/2} \left( \frac{q^2}{\zeta} \right), \quad (12)
\]

where \(\zeta\) denotes the scale factor and \(L_n^{1/2}\) are the \(n\)-th generalized Laguerre polynomials of order half. The expansion coefficients are given by

\[
e_{n\ell m} = \langle d(q), R_n(q) Y^m_\ell(\theta, \phi) \rangle = \int_{q} \int_{S^2} d(q) R_n(q) Y^m_\ell(\theta, \phi) q^2 \sin(\theta) d\theta d\phi dq. \quad (13)
\]

The expansion in (11) is band-limited at radial order \(N\) and angular order \(L\).

1) **Measurement and Reconstruction:** The SPF coefficients are typically computed numerically using the least-squares solution to (11) [26]. Let the length \(M\) measurement vector \(d\) contain measurements of the diffusion signal taken on a multi-shell sampling scheme with \(d = [d(q_0), d(q_1), \ldots, d(q_{M-1})]^T\). The least-squares estimate is given by

\[
\hat{c} = \arg \min_e ||Be - d||_2^2 = (B^H B)^{-1} B^H d, \quad (14)
\]

where the columns of the matrix \(B\) correspond to sampled versions of the SPF functions, \(e = [(e_{0000}), (e_{02}), \ldots, (e_{NL})]^T\) is the vector containing even angular degree \(\ell\) SPF coefficients of the diffusion signal and \(\hat{e}\) is the estimate of \(e\). Laplace-Beltrami regularization in the angular direction combined with a radial regularization term which penalizes higher radial degrees is commonly used in multi-shell reconstruction [26], [27]. Using this regularization, the SPF coefficients of the diffusion signal can be estimated as follows:

\[
\hat{e} = \arg \min_e ||Be - d||_2^2 + \lambda e^H Le + \lambda_n e^H Ne = (B^H B + \lambda L + \lambda_n N)^{-1} B^H d, \quad (15)
\]

where \(N\) is a diagonal matrix of size \((N+1)(L+1)(L+2)/2 \times (N+1)(L+1)(L+2)/2\) with entries \(n^2(n+1)^2\) associated with each SPF radial degree, \(L\) is a matrix of size \((N+1)(L+1)(L+2)/2 \times (N+1)(L+1)(L+2)/2\) with entries \(\ell^2(\ell+1)^2\) associated with each SPF angular degree along the diagonal and \(\lambda\) and \(\lambda_n\) are the angular and radial regularization parameters respectively.

**C. Rician and Non-central Chi Distributed Noise**

In diffusion MRI, the diffusion signal typically has a Rician or non-central Chi distribution (NCC) [9]. The negative log-likelihood for a NCC distribution given by [6], [9],

\[
L_{\text{NCC}}(d|x) = \sum_{p=1}^{M} \left\{ \frac{|K(x)|^2}{2\sigma^2} - \ln \left( I_{C-1} \left( \frac{|K(x)|}{\sigma} |d_p| \right) \right) \right\}. \quad (16)
\]

where \(\sigma^2\) is the variance of the real and imaginary parts of the Gaussian noise of the complex diffusion MRI signal, \(C\) is the number of coils, \(K\) is the operator mapping \(x\) to \(d\) and \(x\) is the vector of coefficients of the diffusion signal in that basis. Eq. (16) reduces to the Rician negative log-likelihood when \(C = 1\).
In [9], a method is proposed for solving the penalized maximum likelihood (PML) estimator for the NCC distribution:

$$\hat{x} = \arg \min_x L_{nec}(d(x) + R(x)), \quad (17)$$

where $R(x)$ is some regularization term applied to $x$. The algorithm in [9], when applied to estimating the coefficients of the diffusion signal in some orthonormal basis when the measurements are corrupted by NCC or Rician noise, consists of the following steps to find estimate of the coefficients $\hat{x}$:

1) Initialize $(\hat{x})_0$.
2) Until convergence:

$$\hat{x}_{i+1} = \arg \min_{x: K(x) \geq 0} \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} |K(x) - (d)|_2^2 + R(x), \quad (18)$$

where

$$[(\hat{d})_i]_p = [d]_p \frac{I_C\left(\frac{[K((\hat{x})_i)]_p[d]_p}{\sigma^2}\right)}{I_C^{-1}\left(\frac{[K((\hat{x})_i)]_p[d]_p}{\sigma^2}\right)}. \quad (19)$$

When $K((\hat{x})_{i+1}) \geq 0$ the solution of (18) is simply regularized least-squares, for example (10) or (15). When non-negativity does not hold, (18) is solved as a quadratic program. We note that this algorithm is similar to the multiplicative Richardson-Lucy algorithm for estimating the fiber orientation distribution function termed RUMBA-SD in [6].

Eq. (19) requires an estimate of $\sigma^2$, which is difficult to obtain accurately from real data. Hence in RUMBA-SD $\sigma^2$ is also estimated iteratively. We incorporate this into the above denoising method, where the following estimate $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is obtained by minimizing the negative log-likelihood function, given in (16), with respect to $\hat{\sigma}^2$:

$$(\hat{\sigma}^2)_i = \frac{1}{CM} \left( \frac{d^H d + K((\hat{x})_i)H K((\hat{x})_i)}{2} - \sum_{p=0}^{M-1} [d]_p [K((\hat{x})_i)]_p \frac{I_C\left(\frac{[K((\hat{x})_i)]_p[d]_p}{\sigma^2}\right)}{I_C^{-1}\left(\frac{[K((\hat{x})_i)]_p[d]_p}{\sigma^2}\right)} \right). \quad (20)$$

### D. Gaussian Mixture Model of the Diffusion Signal

The Gaussian mixture model (GMM) is commonly used to simulate the diffusion signal in white-matter tissue and can be used to represent fiber crossings as well as single fibers [26], [28]. The diffusion weighted signal for a single voxel is given by

$$d(q) = d(\theta, \phi; b) = \sum_{k=1}^{F} f_k e^{-b u(\theta, \phi)^T D_k u(\theta, \phi)}, \quad (21)$$

where $F$ is the number of fiber populations, $f_k$ are the corresponding fractions such that $\sum_{k=1}^{F} f_k = 1$, $D_k$ encodes the diffusivity properties for the $k$th fiber in the voxel and the diffusion weighting is given by the $b$-value, where $b$ is proportional to $q^2$. Each fiber’s tensor is computed from a rotated version of a tensor, $D = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$, with $D_k = R_k^T DR_k$, where $\lambda_1$ is the diffusivity along the main axis of a fiber while $\lambda_2$ and $\lambda_3$ are the diffusivities in the plane perpendicular to it, and $R_k$ is the rotation matrix that rotates the $k$th fiber to the direction of the $k$th fiber population.

In the numerical experiments where the effect of noise is considered, we add Rician noise to the diffusion weighted signal as [8]

$$d(\theta, \phi; b)_{\text{noisy}} = \sqrt{(d(\theta, \phi; b) + \eta_1)^2 + \eta_2^2}, \quad (22)$$

with $\eta_1, \eta_2 \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ and $\sigma = d_0 / \text{SNR}$. The signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) controls the level of noise on the baseline image with $b = 0$, $d_0$ [28].

### E. Spherical Harmonic Band-limit Selection for each Shell

The SH band-limit $L$ required to accurately represent the diffusion signal depends on the $b$-value [21], [25], [29]. In [29], a study is carried out, using the GMM of the diffusion signal presented in Section II-D, to determine what $L$ is required for different $b$-values.

The diffusivities $\lambda_1$, $\lambda_2$ and $\lambda_3$ were varied in this experiment to produce different fractional anisotropies (FA), where $\text{FA} \in [0, 1]$ is a widely-used measure of the anisotropy of diffusion, for various numbers of fiber populations and, various volume fractions and crossing angles. The band-limit is found to increase with the FA and be independent of the other factors due to the GMM being the sum of the signals from the different fibre populations. We repeat this experiment and confirm the results shown in Fig. 1 for FA = 0.4, 0.6 and 0.8.

![Fig. 1: The SH band-limit $L$ required to accurately represent the diffusion signal at different $b$-values. Results are reported for three levels of anisotropy of the signal, i.e. fractional anisotropies (FA) 0.4, 0.6 and 0.8.](image)

### III. PROPOSED SINGLE-SHELL SAMPLING SCHEME

The proposed single-shell sampling grid and SHT were presented in [17] and are summarized here so that the paper is self-contained. The sampling grid structure enables the
development of a novel SHT which, unlike least-squares, has well-conditioned matrices when the optimal number of samples is used. We also extend the sampling scheme so that it allows for more robust reconstruction by using regularization and considering the non-Gaussian nature of the noise.

A. Sampling Grid and Transform

In [17], an iso-latitude sampling scheme is presented with $(L + 2)/2$ iso-latitude rings, located at

$$\theta \triangleq [\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{L/2}]^T, \quad L \text{ even},$$

and sample equally spaced along longitude, with $k$-th sample location, denoted by $\phi_k^j$, in the ring placed at $\theta_j$ given by

$$\phi_k^j \triangleq \frac{2k\pi}{4j + 1}, \quad j \in [0, L/2], \quad k \in [0, 4j].$$

The SH band-limit $L$ is chosen, depending on the $b$-value of the shell, using Fig. 1. Fig. 2 shows the proposed single-shell sampling scheme for band-limit $L = 6$ with measurements taken on both hemispheres; antipodal points are shown lighter in colour. Measurements can either be taken at either a point or its antipodal point, thus measurements can be taken on one hemisphere or spread between both hemispheres. It can be seen in Fig. 2 that the proposed sampling scheme is not uniform by design but neither does it have dense sampling on any part of the sphere.

Remark 1 (Dimensionality of proposed single-shell scheme): The total number of samples in the proposed scheme is

$$N_0 = \sum_{j=0}^{L/2} (4j + 1) = \frac{(L + 1)(L + 2)}{2}.$$  

Hence it attains the optimal number of samples in the SH basis.

1) Novel Spherical Harmonic Transform: The sampling grid, defined by the vectors $\theta$ and $\phi_k^j$, given in (23) and (24) enables the following novel SHT algorithm. That is, the isolatitude rings of samples enables the seperation of the transform in $\theta$ and $\phi$, as well as the SH coefficients to be calculated one order $m$ at a time. Let $\theta^m \triangleq [\theta_{m/2}, \theta_{m/2+1}, \ldots, \theta_{L/2}]^T \subset \theta, \quad |m| \leq L, \quad m \text{ even}$ and $\theta^m \triangleq \theta^{m+1}, \quad m \text{ odd}$. The vector $g_m \equiv G_m(\theta^m)$, with

$$G_m(\theta_j) \triangleq \int_0^{2\pi} d(\theta, \phi)e^{-im\phi}d\phi = 2\pi \sum_{\ell=|m|}^L c_{\ell}^m \tilde{P}_m^\ell(\theta_j),$$

is defined for $|m| \leq L$ and $\theta_j \in \theta$, where $\tilde{P}_m^\ell(\theta_j) \triangleq Y_m^\ell(\theta_j, 0)$. The SH coefficients of order $m$ can be recovered from (26) by setting up a system of linear equations of size $[(L + 1 - |m|)/2]$, given by

$$g_m = P_m c_m, \quad |m| \leq L,$$

where

$$c_m = \begin{cases} [c_m^{|m|}, c_m^{|m|+2}, \ldots, c_m^L]^T, & m \text{ even}, \\ [c_m^{|m|+1}, c_m^{|m|+3}, \ldots, c_m^L]^T, & m \text{ odd}, \end{cases}$$

and $P_m$ is defined as

$$P_m \triangleq 2\pi \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{P}_m^{|m|}(\theta_s) & \tilde{P}_m^{|m|+2}(\theta_s) & \cdots & \tilde{P}_m^L(\theta_s) \\ \tilde{P}_m^{|m|+2}(\theta_s) & \tilde{P}_m^{|m|+4}(\theta_s) & \cdots & \tilde{P}_m^L(\theta_s) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \tilde{P}_m^{|m|+2L-2}(\theta_s) & \tilde{P}_m^{|m|+2L-2}(\theta_s) & \cdots & \tilde{P}_m^L(\theta_s) \end{pmatrix},$$

for even $m$ and

$$P_m \triangleq 2\pi \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{P}_m^{|m|+1}(\theta_s) & \tilde{P}_m^{|m|+3}(\theta_s) & \cdots & \tilde{P}_m^L(\theta_s) \\ \tilde{P}_m^{|m|+3}(\theta_s) & \tilde{P}_m^{|m|+5}(\theta_s) & \cdots & \tilde{P}_m^L(\theta_s) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \tilde{P}_m^{L+1}(\theta_s) & \tilde{P}_m^{L+3}(\theta_s) & \cdots & \tilde{P}_m^L(\theta_s) \end{pmatrix},$$

for odd $m$. Here $s = \lceil |m|/2 \rceil$, where $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ denotes the integer ceiling function.

The integral in (26) can be accurately evaluated as a summation provided there are at least $2m + 1$ samples along $\phi$ [7]. In order to accurately compute the SHT, we choose the sampling points along co-latitude such that the matrix $P_m$ is well-conditioned for each $|m| \leq L$ [7], [22]. The $P_m$ matrices are of size $[(L + 1 - |m|)/2] \times [(L + 1 - |m|)/2]$, with the largest $P_0 = (L/2 + 1)^2$, compared with the least-squares matrix $A$ in (5) and (10) which is size $L(L+1)/2 \times L(L+1)/2$. The novel SHT allows for accurate reconstruction, with the reconstruction error on the order of machine precision for antipodally symmetric noise-free signals band-limited in the SH basis. In reality the diffusion signal is corrupted by noise and it is necessary to regularize the solution.

B. Regularization

Here we develop regularization for the sampling scheme presented above. Equation (7) can be rewritten with the order of summation changed to be an outer summation over order $m$ and an inner summation over degree $\ell$ as

$$U(d(\theta, \phi)) = \sum_{m=-L}^L \sum_{\ell=0}^L (c_{\ell}^m)^2 \ell^2(\ell + 1)^2.$$  

(29)

We define $U(d(\theta, \phi), m)$ as the per order measure of deviation of a signal on the sphere from smooth with

$$U(d(\theta, \phi), m) = \sum_{\ell=0}^L (c_{\ell}^m)^2 \ell^2(\ell + 1)^2 = c_m L_m c_m,$$  

(30)
where \( L_m = \text{diag}(\ell^2(\ell + 1)^2, \ell = m: L, \ell \text{ even}) \). Using the novel SHT presented in Section III-A, the regularized least-squares method of obtaining the SH coefficients (10) can be solved equivalently for each \( m \) as:

\[
\hat{c}_m = \arg \min_{c_m} ||P_m c_m - g_m||^2 + \lambda c_m^H L_m c_m
\]

\[
= (P_m^H P_m + \lambda L_m)^{-1} P_m^H g_m, \tag{31}
\]

resulting in a Laplace-Beltrami regularized novel SHT.

C. Non-Gaussian Noise Removal

We further extend the proposed sampling scheme presented above to include non-Gaussian noise removal by incorporating it into the majorize-minimize framework for denoising diffusion MRI with NCC distributed or Rician noise [9] summarized in Section II-C. The steps for the resulting reconstruction algorithm are as follows:

1. Initialize \((\hat{c})_0 \) using the regularized novel SHT (31).
2. Until convergence, calculate the SH coefficients of the diffusion signal estimate \( \hat{c} \) for each order \( m \):

\[
(\hat{c}_m)_{i+1} = \arg \min_{c_m} \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} ||P_m c_m - (\hat{g}_m)_i||^2
\]

\[
+ \lambda c_m^H L_m c_m,
\]

\[
= (P_m^H P_m + \lambda L_m)^{-1} P_m^H (\hat{g}_m)_i. \tag{32}
\]

where \((\hat{g}_m)_i \) is calculated using (26) with \( \hat{d} \) replaced by \((\hat{d})_i \) and \((\hat{d})_i \) is calculated using (19). In (19) an updated estimate of \( \sigma^2 \), is used calculated using (20).

IV. PROPOSED MULTI-SHELL SAMPLING SCHEME

We here propose a novel multi-shell sampling scheme which is a generalization of the single-shell scheme presented in Section III.

A. Sampling Scheme and Novel SPF Transform

Due to the separability of the SPF basis, the 3D transform for calculating the diffusion signal coefficients (13) can be separated into transforms in the radial and angular dimensions. Rearranging (13),

\[
e_{nm} = \int_q R_n(q) q^2 \int_{S^2} d(q) Y_{lm}^m(\theta, \phi) \sin(\theta) d\theta d\phi dq, \tag{33}
\]

where the inner integral is the SHT (2). We use the novel SHT presented in Section III-A1 to perform the angular transform.

For the radial transformation, Gauss-Laguerre quadrature can be used, where \( N + 1 \) sampling nodes is sufficient for exact quadrature, enabling (33) to be written as,

\[
e_{nm} = \sum_{s=0}^{N} w_s R_n(q_s) \int_{S^2} d(q_s) Y_{lm}^m(\theta, \phi) \sin(\theta) d\theta d\phi, \tag{34}
\]

where the \( q^2 \) in (33) is incorporated into the weights \( w_s \) and \( s \) is the shell index. The \( N + 1 \) shells of the proposed multi-shell sampling scheme are placed at \( q_s = \sqrt{\zeta} x_s \) where \( x_s \) are the roots of the \( (N+1) \)-th generalised Laguerre function of order a half. We determine the corresponding weights to be

\[
w_s = \frac{0.5 \zeta^{0.5} \Gamma(N + 2.5)x_s e^{x_s}}{(N + 1)!(N + 2)^2[L_{N+1}^N(x_s)]^2}. \tag{35}
\]

We set the scaling factor \( \zeta \) so that shells are located at \( b \)-values within an interval of interest. For sampling within each shell, we use the proposed single-shell sampling scheme presented in Section III-A. The SH band-limit, and therefore the number of samples in each shell, is determined using Fig. 1.

Remark 2 (Dimensionality of proposed multi-shell scheme): The total number of samples in the proposed scheme is

\[
\sum_{s=0}^{N} \frac{(L(s) + 1)(L(s) + 2)}{2}, \tag{36}
\]

where \( L(s) \) is a vector of length \( N + 1 \) containing the SH band-limit for each shell, that is the multi-shell sampling scheme obtains the optimal number of samples \( N_N \) in each shell. This is enabled through the novel SPF transform which uses the separability of the SPF basis to perform the angular and radial transforms separately. This means that a different SH band-limit can be used in each shell. To our knowledge no other reconstruction algorithm in diffusion MRI enables this; standard least-squares calculates all the SPF coefficients in one step using (15) and so must use a single spherical harmonic band-limit for all shells.

Fig. 3 shows the proposed sampling scheme with \( N = 3 \) and \( L(s) = [2, 4, 6, 8] \) projected onto a single sphere, samples on the inner most to outer most shell are shown in black, green, red and blue for each shell respectively. Locations where antipodal symmetry is used to infer the value of the signal are lighter in color.

B. Regularization

Here we increase the robustness of the novel SPF transform presented above by regularizing the solution. This is done by extending the single-shell regularization presented in Section III-B to multi-shell reconstruction by adding a radial regularization term which penalizes higher radial degrees as is done in the standard regularized least-squares method (15).

The regularized penalized least-squares method of computing the SPF coefficients (15) can be solved equivalently for

Fig. 3: Proposed multi-shell sampling scheme for \( N = 3 \) and \( L(s) = [2, 4, 6, 8] \) (a) North pole view and (b) side view.
Fig. 4: Normalized root mean-squared error of the SH coefficients, NRMSE\textsubscript{c}, obtained using LS-Regularized, LS-Regularized-Denoised, nSH-Regularized and nSH-Regularized-Denoised for Gaussian mixture model (GMM) simulations with two fiber compartments with crossing angles 30° (first row) and 90° (second row) with SNR 10, 20, and 30.

Each radial degree \( n \) and for each shell by calculating the SH coefficients of order \( m \) as:

\[
\hat{e}_m^{(n)} = \arg\min_{(e_m)_n} \| P_m(e_m)_n - g_m \|^2 + \lambda_e (e_m)_n^H L_m (e_m)_n + \lambda_n (e_m)_n^H N_n (e_m)_n \\
= (P_m^H P_m + \lambda_e L_m + \lambda_n N_m)^{-1} P_m^H g_m, \tag{37}
\]

where \( N_n \) has entries \( n^2(n+1)^2 \) along the diagonal depending on what iteration \( n \) of the radial order. Let \( (g_m^{(n)})_n \) denote the SH coefficient calculated for shell \( s \) and radial order \( n \). The SPF transform in (34) can then be computed with radial and angular regularization as

\[
\hat{e}_{n \ell m} = \sum_{s=0}^{N} w_s R_n(q_s)(\hat{e}_m^{(n)})_s, \tag{38}
\]

Remark 3 (Conditioning of Matrix Inversion): The \( P_m \) matrices are designed to be well-conditioned as discussed in Section III-A1. For instance, for the multi-shell sampling scheme with parameters \( N \) and \( L(s) \) as shown in Fig. 3, the maximum condition number of the \( P_m \) matrices is 17, whereas the least-squares matrix \( B \) in (14) is ill-conditioned when the optimal number of samples is used in each shell, with a condition number of \( 1.5 \times 10^{18} \) without regularization. Though regularization improves this, the condition number is at least on the order of \( 10^{13} \) for all values of the regularization parameters \( \lambda_e \) and \( \lambda_n \).

C. Non-Gaussian Noise Removal

We further improve the robustness of the novel SPF transform with regularization by including non-Gaussian noise removal by extending the proposed single-shell denoising algorithm presented in Section III-C to multi-shell as follows:

1) Initialize \( (\hat{e})_0 \) using the regularized novel SPF transform (38).

2) Until converge of the SPF coefficient estimates \( \hat{e} \), for each shell \( s \) and radial degree \( n \), for each order \( m \):

\[
((\hat{e}_m)_n)_{i+1} = \arg\min_{(e_m)_n} \frac{1}{2 \sigma^2} \| P_m(e_m)_n - (\hat{g}_m)_i \|^2 + \lambda_e (e_m)_n^H L_m (e_m)_n + \lambda_n (e_m)_n^H N_n (e_m)_n \\
= (P_m^H P_m + \lambda_e L_m + \lambda_n N_m)^{-1} P_m^H (\hat{g}_m)_i, \tag{39}
\]

where \( (\hat{g}_m)_i \) is calculated using (26) with \( d \) replaced by \( (\hat{d})_i \), and \( (\hat{d})_i \) is calculated using (19). In (19) an updated estimate of \( \sigma^2 \), is used calculated using (20). The SPF coefficients \( (\hat{e})_{i+1} \) are obtained from \((\hat{e}_m)_n)_{i+1} \) using (38).
V. VALIDATION

We here validate the proposed single and multi-shell sampling schemes presented in Section III and Section IV respectively using synthetic and real diffusion MRI data-sets. This is done by comparing the proposed schemes to the standard regularized least-squares method of reconstruction given by (10) and (15) which we denote LS-Reg and least-squares used in the PML estimation method presented in Section II-C to account for non-Gaussian noise, denoted by LS-Reg-Denoised. The comparison is done using the optimal number of samples, using the single-shell and multi-shell sampling grids presented in Section III-A and Section IV-A respectively. Thus, we are comparing both how using the novel SH transform, for the single-shell scheme, and the novel SPF transform, for the multi-shell scheme, rather than using least-squares transforms and how taking into account the non-Gaussian noise changes the reconstruction accuracy.

A. Synthetic Data

We quantitatively validate the proposed single and multi-shell sampling schemes using synthetic data-sets using the GMM, presented in Section II-D, with Rician noise added. The first synthetic data-set is generated to test the effect of crossing angle on reconstruction accuracy. The GMM with two fibers of equal volume fractions ($f_1 = f_2 = 0.5$) and diffusivities $\lambda_1 = 1.7 \times 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/s$, $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 0.3 \times 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/s$ is used to simulate the diffusion signal in a voxel. The results are averaged over 100 different noise realizations for SNR = 10, 20 and 30, corresponding to a low, moderate and high SNR, and the angle between the fibers is varied from 0° to 90°.

Another synthetic data-set is generated to determine how FA changes reconstruction accuracy. Using a single fiber volume fractions ($f_1 = 1$), the FA is varied from 0.5 to 1 and the results are averaged over 100 different noise realizations for SNR = 10, 20 and 30.

1) Single-shell: Here we evaluate the proposed single-shell scheme with novel regularized SH transform presented in Section III-B, denoted nSHt-Reg, and also the proposed scheme additionally modelling the non-Gaussian noise presented in Section III-C, denoted nSHt-Reg-Denoised. As evaluation metrics, we use the normalized root mean-squared error (NRMSE) of the estimated SH coefficients given by,

$$\text{NRMSE}_c = \frac{||\hat{c} - c||_2}{||c||_2},$$

where the ground truth SH coefficients $c$ are calculated from the GMM without noise added, and the NRMSE reconstruction error at the diffusion signal sample locations given by,

$$\text{NRMSE}_d = \frac{||\hat{d} - d||_2}{||d||_2}.$$  

Here NRMSE$_c$ and NRMSE$_d$ are calculated for a $b$-value of 4000 $\text{s/mm}^2$. Using Fig. 1 it can be determined that the maximum SH degree $\ell$ needed at this $b$-value is $L = 8$.

NRMSE$_c$ as a function of regularization parameter $\lambda$ for the first synthetic data-set where the crossing angle is changed is shown in Fig. 4 and for the second data-set where the FA is changed is shown in Fig. 5. Due to space constraints, only results for crossing-angles of 30° and 90°, and for FAs of 0.6 and 0.8 are shown here. Results for the other crossing angles and FAs are contained in the supplementary material. As NRMSE$_c$ and NRMSE$_d$ have the same trend, only NRMSE$_c$ is included in the paper, the figures for NRMSE$_d$ are also contained in the supplementary material.

2) Multi-shell: Here we evaluate the proposed multi-shell scheme with regularized novel SPF transform presented in Section IV-B, denoted nSPFt-Reg, and also the scheme additionally modelling the non-Gaussian noise presented in Section IV-C, denoted nSPFt-Reg-Denoised.

We sample the GMM of the diffusion signal using the multi-shell sampling grid presented in Section IV-A. Here, we use a maximum $b$-value of 4000 $\text{s/mm}^2$ and $N = 3$ (4 shells), as it is found in [26] that this number of shells is sufficient for convergence to the ground truth when the signal is Gaussian or bi-Gaussian, resulting in shells at $b = 206, 847, 2018$ and 4000 $\text{s/mm}^2$. It should be noted that the scheme can be designed for any maximum $b$-value and any number of shells. The SH band-limit is determined using Fig. 1 to be $L(s) = [2, 4, 6, 8]$ for the inner most (smaller $b$-value) to outer most shell, giving a total of 94 samples, calculated using (36).

As an evaluation metric, we use the NRMSE of the estimated SPF coefficients,

$$\text{NRMSE}_e = \frac{||\hat{e} - e||_2}{||e||_2},$$

where the ground truth SPF coefficients $e$ are calculated from the GMM without noise added, and the NRMSE reconstruction error at the diffusion signal sample locations is given by (41). NRMSE$_e$ for the synthetic data-set where the crossing angle was changed is shown in Fig. 6(a)-(c) and in Fig. 6(d)-(f) for the data-set where the FA was changed. As NRMSE$_e$ and NRMSE$_d$ have the same trend, only NRMSE$_e$ is included in the paper, the figures for NRMSE$_d$ are contained in the supplementary material. The regularization parameters $\lambda_f$ and $\lambda_e$ were chosen individually for each of the 4 methods compared in Fig. 6 to minimize the NRMSE.

B. Real Data Validation

Single-shell data has been acquired from a young healthy adult volunteer using a Siemens Prisma working at 3T with a head coil with 64 channels with adaptive combine method, resulting in the noise having a Rician distribution [9], [30]. 45 measurements were collected using the single-shell sampling grid with $L = 8$ described in Section III-A with a $b$-value of 4000 $\text{s/mm}^2$ and a spatial resolution of $1.8 \times 1.8 \times 1.8$ mm.

The proposed sampling schemes reconstruct the diffusion signal, which can then be used to extract its features such as the ODF, which we do here. The SH coefficients of the diffusion signal are computed in every voxel using the single-shell sampling scheme with regularized SH transform, nSHt-Regularized. The SH coefficients of the ODF are then calculated from the coefficients of the diffusion signal [5]. The reconstructed ODFs are shown in Fig. 7(b) for a coronal region of interest on the left hemisphere of the brain,
shown by the blue box in Fig. 7(a). The ODFs are colour coded to show the diffusion directions, with red, blue and green representing diffusion from left to right (along the x-axis), anterior-posterior (y-axis) and inferior-superior (z-axis), respectively. For comparison, the ODFs for the same region obtained using standard regularized least-squares reconstruction method, LS-Regularized, to compute the SH coefficients of the diffusion signal are shown in Fig. 8. In Fig. 8(a) the optimal regularization parameter is used for least-squares and in Fig. 8(b) the same regularization parameter is used as for the proposed method. The results obtained using denoising are indistinguishable from just regularizing the solution, due to the relatively high SNR, and are hence not shown. The regularization parameter $\lambda$ is set for each voxel based on what minimizes the NRMSE for the GMM for different FAs, where the FA is approximated by the generalized FA of a voxel.

VI. DISCUSSION

From the quantitative results shown in Fig. 4, Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 and the qualitative results shown in Fig. 7 and Fig. 8, it can be seen that the proposed single and multi-shell sampling schemes perform better than the standard regularized least-squares method for reconstructing the diffusion signal when the optimal number of samples is used.

For single-shell reconstruction, it can be seen in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 that for all FAs, crossing-angles and SNRs, the proposed single-shell scheme with just regularization, nSHt-Regularized, achieves the minimum NRMSE$_c$, obtained using LS-Regularized, LS-Regularized-Denoised, nSHt-Regularized and nSHt-Regularized-Denoised for GMM simulations with a single fiber compartment with fractional anisotropies (FA) 0.6 (first row) and 0.8 (second row) with SNR 10, 20, and 30.

Fig. 5: Normalized root mean-squared error of the SH coefficients, NRMSE$_c$, obtained using LS-Regularized, LS-Regularized-Denoised, nSHt-Regularized and nSHt-Regularized-Denoised for GMM simulations with a single fiber compartment with fractional anisotropies (FA) 0.6 (first row) and 0.8 (second row) with SNR 10, 20, and 30.
for the proposed method, the ODFs reconstructed using least-squares in Fig. 8(b) are noisy. This is particularly obvious in the voxels containing grey-matter which should be smooth. For example, in the four voxels enclosed by the yellow rectangle in Fig. 7(b) and Fig. 8, for the ODFs obtained using the proposed method, in Fig. 7(b), fibres can be clearly distinguished from peaks of the ODF. Whereas, for the ODFs obtained using least-squares, in Fig. 8(a), the over-smoothing of the ODFs means that some fibres cannot be distinguished and in Fig. 8(b) there are spurious peaks to the ODFs.

For multi-shell reconstruction, it can be seen in Fig. 6 that for all SNRs, fiber crossing angles and FAs the proposed multi-shell scheme (both with just regularization, nSPFt-Regularized, and regularization and denoising, nSPFt-Regularized-Denoised) has a smaller NRMSE\text{e} (and also NRMSE\text{q}) than the least-squares method of reconstruction (both with just regularization, LS-Regularized, and regularization and denoising, LS-Regularized-Denoised). For the least-squares method, NRMSE\text{e} does not change much with SNR as the error is dominated by the ill-conditioned least-squares matrix inversion.

The proposed multi-shell scheme has a sampling structure which enables an accurate SPF transform with the optimal number of samples, unlike least-squares. The novel SPF transform is also more flexible than least-squares in that it is able to have different SH band-limits for each shell. A benefit of least-squares is that it can be used with any sampling scheme, whereas the proposed scheme requires knowledge of the diffusion signal at specific points in q-space. However, the use of interpolation to approximate the value of the diffusion signal at these locations if measurements are taken on a different sampling grid could be explored as future work.

For both single and multi-shell simulations in Fig. 4, Fig. 5 and Fig. 6, it is apparent that taking into account the non-Gaussian nature of the noise is more beneficial at low SNRs than at higher SNRs, with a bigger difference between the methods which solve the PML compared to just regularizing the solution for the both the proposed and least-squares methods. This is because the noise is approximately Gaussian at higher SNRs. Hence, why the ODFs generated from the real-data were indistinguishable when reconstruction was done using just regularization or when both regularization and denoising were used. The non-Gaussian noise modelling of the proposed scheme is particularly useful for low SNR data where Gaussian assumption is not valid, this occurs for example for high spatial resolution data. For moderate to high SNR data the regularized version of the proposed reconstruction algorithm is sufficient.

The proposed single and multi-shell sampling schemes allow accurate reconstruction of the diffusion signal with a reduced number of measurements and thus a shorter acquisition time. Hence, this new approach can be useful for the clinical diffusion MRI. The reconstructed diffusion signal can then be used with any of the many methods that compute features of...
the diffusion signal, such as computing the ODF.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

We have proposed novel single and multi-shell sampling and reconstruction schemes for diffusion MRI that are optimal in terms of the number of samples while enabling accurate reconstruction of the diffusion signal. Robust and accurate reconstruction was achieved by regularizing the solution and accounting for the non-Gaussian nature of the noise by minimizing the penalized maximum likelihood (PML) for Rician or non-central Chi noise.

We evaluated the proposed single and multi-shell sampling schemes using synthetic and real data-sets by comparing their performance with that of the standard regularized least-squares method of reconstruction and regularized least-squares with PML to remove non-Gaussian noise. Both the single and multi-shell schemes have reconstruction algorithms that use smaller subsystems of linear equations to achieve better conditioning of the matrices used in the reconstruction algorithm compared to the standard least-squares method of reconstruction. In the single-shell case this enables accurate reconstruction with less regularization than the least-squares method of reconstruction. When the single-shell scheme was used on human brain data, this resulted in the orientation distribution functions (ODF) in the region of interest in the brain more clearly showing crossing fibers and having sharper peaks in single fiber areas compared to the least-squares method of reconstruction where the ODFs were over-smoothed.

In the case of the multi-shell sampling scheme the novel reconstruction algorithm, allowed by the separability of the spherical polar Fourier basis, enables different spherical harmonic band-limits per shell, depending on the \( b \)-value of the shell, enabling accurate reconstruction with an optimal number of samples to be achieved. This is not possible with least-squares, where the least-squares matrix becomes very poorly conditioned with this number of samples, resulting in a much higher reconstruction error than the proposed method.

Considering the non-Gaussian nature of the noise also improves reconstruction accuracy at low SNR and is expected to be useful for reconstructing diffusion MRI data where this occurs, such as for high spatial resolution data. The proposed single and multi-shell sampling schemes are expected to be useful in clinical diffusion MRI where acquisition times need to be as short as possible.
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Fig. 8: Visualization of the ODFs estimated using standard regularized least-squares reconstruction LS-Regularized in a region of interest in the left hemisphere of the brain shown in Fig. 7(a). In (a) optimal regularization for least-squares is used and in (b) the same regularization is used as for Fig. 7(b).
Optimal Dimensionality Sampling and Robust 3D Diffusion Signal Reconstruction

Alice P. Bates, Member, IEEE, Zubair Khalid, Member, IEEE, Jason D. McEwen, Member, IEEE, Rodney A. Kennedy, Fellow, IEEE, Alessandro Daducci and Erick J. Canales-Rodríguez

Abstract—This supplement contains additional material to complement the results presented in the main body of the paper. Section I contains additional results from the single-shell simulations. Section II contains the spatial NRMSE error results for both the single-shell and multi-shell simulations.

I. ADDITIONAL SINGLE-SHELL SIMULATIONS

Here results from the single-shell simulations, additional to those included in Section V-A1 of the paper, are presented. The proposed single-shell scheme with novel regularized SH transform presented in Section III-B of the paper is denoted nSHt-Reg and the proposed scheme additionally modelling the non-Gaussian noise presented in Section III-C is denoted nSHt-Reg-Denoised. The proposed schemes are compared to the standard regularized least-squares method of reconstruction, given by Eq. (10) and Eq. (15) in the paper, which we denote LS-Reg and least-squares used in the PML estimation method, presented in Section II-C of the paper, to account for non-Gaussian noise, denoted by LS-Reg-Denoised.

A. Crossing-angles

For the first synthetic data-set where the crossing-angle of two fiber populations was varied (presented in Section V-A of the paper), additional plots of the normalized root mean squared error of the spherical harmonic coefficients (NRMSEc) as a function of the regularization parameter λ are shown in Fig. 1 for crossing angles of 0° and 60°. Simulations were carried out for SNR = 10, 20 and 30.

B. Fractional Anisotropies

For the second synthetic data-set where the FA of a single fiber was varied, additional plots of NRMSEc as a function of the regularization parameter λ are shown in Fig. 2 for FAs of 0.7 and 0.9. Simulations were carried out for SNR = 10, 20 and 30.

II. SPATIAL NRMSE RESULTS

The normalized root mean-squared error (NRMSE) at the diffusion signal sample locations is given by,

\[ \text{NRMSE}_d = \frac{||\hat{d} - d||_2}{||d||_2}. \]  (1)

Due to space constraints and because the NRMSEd is very similar to the NRMSE in the spectral domain (NRMSEc for the single-shell scheme and NRMSEec for the multi-shell case), the results for NRMSEd are presented here instead of in the main paper.
Fig. 1: Normalized root mean-squared error of the NRMSE\textsubscript{c} obtained using LS-Regularized, LS-Regularized-Denoised, nSPFt-Regularized and nSPFt-Regularized-Denoised for Gaussian mixture model (GMM) simulations with two fiber compartments with crossing angles 0° (first row) and 60° (second row) with signal-to-noise ratios (SNR) 10, 20, and 30.
Fig. 2: Normalized root mean-squared error of the SH coefficients, $\text{NRMSE}_c$, obtained using LS-Regularized, LS-Regularized-Denoised, nSHt-Regularized and nSHt-Regularized-Denoised for GMM simulations with a single fiber compartment with fractional anisotropies (FA) 0.7 (first row) and 0.9 (second row) with SNR 10, 20, and 30.
Fig. 3: Normalized root mean-squared error at the diffusion signal sample locations $\text{NRMSE}_d$ obtained using LS-Regularized, LS-Regularized-Denoised, nSPFt-Regularized and nSPFt-Regularized-Denoised for Gaussian mixture model (GMM) simulations with two fiber compartments with crossing angles 30° (first row) and 90° (second row) with signal-to-noise ratios (SNR) 10, 20, and 30.
Fig. 4: Normalized root mean-squared error at the diffusion signal sample locations $\text{NRMSE}_d$ obtained using LS-Regularized, LS-Regularized-Denoised, nSPFt-Regularized and nSPFt-Regularized-Denoised for Gaussian mixture model (GMM) simulations with two fiber compartments with crossing angles $30^\circ$ (first row) and $90^\circ$ (second row) with signal-to-noise ratios (SNR) 10, 20, and 30.
Fig. 5: Normalized root mean-squared error at sample locations, NRMSE$_d$, obtained using LS-Regularized, LS-Regularized-Denoised, nSPFt-Regularized and nSPFt-Regularized-Denoised. In the first column NRMSE$_d$ is shown for Gaussian mixture model (GMM) simulations with two fiber compartments with crossing angles 0° to 90° with signal-to-noise ratios (SNR) 10 (a), 20 (c), and 30 (e). In the second column NRMSE$_d$ is also shown for GMM with a single fiber compartments with fractional anisotropy of 0.5 to 1 with SNR 10 (b), 20 (d), and 30 (f).