Using Semantic Web Technologies to Enable Cancer Genomics Discovery at Petabyte Scale
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ABSTRACT: Increased efforts in cancer genomics research and bioinformatics are producing tremendous amounts of data. These data are diverse in origin, format, and content. As the amount of available sequencing data increase, technologies that make them discoverable and usable are critically needed. In response, we have developed a Semantic Web–based Data Browser, a tool allowing users to visually build and execute ontology-driven queries. This approach simplifies access to available data and improves the process of using them in analyses on the Seven Bridges Cancer Genomics Cloud (CGC; www.cancergenomicscloud.org). The Data Browser makes large data sets easily explorable and simplifies the retrieval of specific data of interest. Although initially implemented on top of The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) data set, the Data Browser’s architecture allows for seamless integration of other data sets. By deploying it on the CGC, we have enabled remote researchers to access data and perform collaborative investigations.
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Introduction

Effective access to large-scale genomic data and associated clinical data is invaluable for accelerating research in cancer prevention, diagnosis, and treatment. As the amount of available sequencing data increases, technologies to make this data findable, discoverable, and usable are critically needed. Here, we present our approach to democratizing access to cancer genomics data through the Seven Bridges Cancer Genomics Cloud (CGC; www.cancergenomicscloud.org), which includes a Semantic Web–based Data Browser that enables researchers to visually query large, complex data sets.

The CGC is a US National Cancer Institute pilot designed to democratize access and analysis of massive cancer genomics data sets including The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA).\(^1\) TCGA comprises samples from 33 tumor types taken from over 11,000 patients. At over 1 petabyte in size, TCGA is one of the largest and most complete genomic data sets in the world. TCGA combines comprehensive clinical information with genomic analyses including whole genome, whole exome, RNA, and microRNA sequencing, as well as methylation and protein analyses.

Previous and current TCGA repositories use form-based browsers,\(^2\)\(^-\)\(^4\) enabling users to identify and select subsets of the TCGA data set by filtering the data based on values of one or more fields specified by their respective metadata schemas. This approach, although easy to implement, lacks the functional properties that describe cancer genomics data. Our solution, a Semantic Web–based Data Browser, fills this gap by enabling researchers to effectively access the information within.

The GDC Data Portal\(^5\) is a more advanced example of this class of tools. While offering rich filtering capabilities and basic statistics, it focuses primarily on cases and files. Researchers are unable to create queries based on more complicated entity relationships. There is a clear need for an improved method of querying TCGA that would enable researchers to effectively access the information within.

The GDC Data Portal\(^5\) is a more advanced example of this class of tools. While offering rich filtering capabilities and basic statistics, it focuses primarily on cases and files. Researchers are unable to create queries based on more complicated entity relationships. There is a clear need for an improved method of querying TCGA that would enable researchers to effectively access the information within.

Moreover, search across multiple diverse data sets, potentially with divergent ontologies, remains particularly challenging.

To address inherent problems in linking information within TCGA in TCGA’s complex structure and provide a solid foundation and infrastructure for integrated querying with other data sets,\(^6\) we developed a Semantic Web–based solution for data querying within the CGC. We have built a rich knowledge base (see Box 1) containing more than 150 clinical, biospecimen, and analytical properties that describe cancer genomics data. Our solution achieves a flexible data model that simplifies expansion, reuse, and iterative revision. Furthermore, to ensure that our solution’s functionality is widely accessible to all researchers, we have and manually parse metadata, then use them to select data of interest. Due to the size and complex overall structure of TCGA, it is challenging for researchers to identify and retrieve relevant files within the data set. There is a clear need for an improved method of querying TCGA that would enable researchers to effectively access the information within.

The GDC Data Portal\(^5\) is a more advanced example of this class of tools. While offering rich filtering capabilities and basic statistics, it focuses primarily on cases and files. Researchers are unable to create queries based on more complicated entity relationships. There is a clear need for an improved method of querying TCGA that would enable researchers to effectively access the information within.

Moreover, search across multiple diverse data sets, potentially with divergent ontologies, remains particularly challenging.

To address inherent problems in linking information within TCGA in TCGA’s complex structure and provide a solid foundation and infrastructure for integrated querying with other data sets,\(^6\) we developed a Semantic Web–based solution for data querying within the CGC. We have built a rich knowledge base (see Box 1) containing more than 150 clinical, biospecimen, and analytical properties that describe cancer genomics data. Our solution achieves a flexible data model that simplifies expansion, reuse, and iterative revision. Furthermore, to ensure that our solution’s functionality is widely accessible to all researchers, we have...
developed a Data Browser that enables interactive data exploration by visually building SPARQL queries.

The CGC hosts both open and controlled access cancer genomic data. While all researchers are able to freely register and use the CGC to analyze open access genomic data, or upload their private data, access to controlled data is governed by dbGaP Data Use Requests. In addition to supporting novel ways to discover data, the CGC provides a rapid path to reproducible and scalable analysis of genomic data via Rabix, our implementation of the Common Workflow Language. Workflows are executed on Amazon Web Services (AWS) and researchers pay only for the computational resources that are used. A standard RNA-Seq analysis costs US $1 to US $2 per sample and use of Spot instances can further reduce costs depending on the global AWS capacity. New users are able to access computational and storage credits as they are learning the system. Since its public launch in February 2016, within two years, more than 3200 users have joined the CGC. Researchers have used CGC to quantify differential expression of mammary tumor-associated lncRNAs\(^8\) to retrieve RNA-Seq data from TCGA\(^9\) and to test cancer neoantigen caller workflows in the cloud.\(^{10}\)

**Findable, Discoverable, and Usable Cancer Data**

**Building a Semantic Web knowledge base**

Through careful analysis of the structure of TCGA data and metadata, we identified a set of central concepts for efficient querying and filtering. These concepts were modeled with an ontology using the Semantic Web specifications RDF, RDFS, and OWL (Figure 1; Box 1). The ontology was engineered using the Protégé framework\(^11\) and the Python library rdflib.\(^12\) In accordance with this ontology, a knowledge base was created and populated with prepared information obtained from raw TCGA data.

Two different base classes of entities naturally emerged: Entity and Utility. The Entity class comprises entities with a central role in analysis and investigation such as subpatient information, clinical data (eg, radiation therapy, follow-up, new tumor event), and biospecimen data (eg, sample, portion, slide, analyte, aliquot). The Utility class includes entities that describe, explain, quantify, or categorize instances of the Entity class. For example, it can be used to define a patient’s sex or disease type or to define a file’s data format or sample type. Each of these entities is a set of well-known, community-recognized, enumerated values.

There are also 2 different types of properties: object and datatype properties. Relationships between entities are modeled with object properties (eg, when a File contains data for a Sample or when a Case has a Sample). Object properties are also used to associate instances from the 2 different classes (eg, each File has an associated Data Format, an instance of the Utility class). Datatype properties provide identifiers, labels, or physical values for entities. Datatype properties can include barcodes, file names, amounts, and concentrations.

To connect the TCGA knowledge base with the visual Data Browser, we created 2 additional ontologies: query service ontology and extract, transform, load (ETL) ontology. They both extend the base TCGA ontology and provide useful information for their respective tasks. The query service ontology provides additional information crucial for visual querying—flags denoting which entities could be used to start a query, groupings of properties into meaningful categories, and information necessary for integration with the rest of the CGC platform (such as physical location of the underlying TCGA files). The ETL ontology facilitates data import to RDF repositories used by the Data Browser. It precisely describes the structure of raw source data files (usually in XML, CSV, or other text format) so the ETL tools know which sections are of interest for the data set at hand. It is used by the ETL tool to inspect and record the properties and relationships between entities.

**Populating the knowledge base**

We imported TCGA data to our own cloud file system from multiple sources including the TCGA Data Portal,\(^4\) CGHub,\(^2\)
and Genomic Data Commons. We built a Python-based tool that relies on the ETL ontology to harmonize the multiple data formats from the different sources, extract relevant metadata from source files, and process the metadata to produce the RDF knowledge base.

Our ETL tool works in 3 stages (Figure 2). First, the ETL tool acquires files (in their original format), from our file system, extracts information of interest, and creates TSV files containing TCGA entity data. Second, the tool performs merging, adding, filtering, and transforming operations to produce TSV files that contain record entities and properties information. This is accomplished by consulting the ETL ontology. Third, RDF data are produced by generating triples for each row of each TSV file. The RDF data are then uploaded...
to a Blazegraph database server, which is RDF and SPARQL compliant, and/or stored in RDF files using the rdflib library.

The Blazegraph server delivers extracted data to the Data Browser from 2 separate RDF stores: the ontology store and the knowledge base. The ontology store is reserved for the query service ontology, whereas the knowledge base contains materialized data for all entities and relationships described within the ontology (16 127 033 triples). The majority represent relationships between TCGA domain entities and related files, file-related properties, and relationships between the domain entities themselves.

**Architecture of the CGC Data Browser**

To make building complex queries accessible to a wide audience, we developed a visual query engine—the CGC Data Browser (Figure 4). The Data Browser is accessed via a Web browser as part of the CGC platform (www.cancergenomicscloud.org). The CGC is open to all cancer researchers worldwide, who can create a free profile online or log in via their eRA Commons or NIH CIT account. The Data Browser makes it easy for researchers to quickly search TCGA across more than 100 different metadata properties to find and access data of interest.

A high level overview of the Data Browser architecture is presented in Figure 3. In brief, the working space is initialized when a researcher loads the Data Browser. There is a dedicated back-end service for the Data Browser—the query service—which encapsulates the logic for converting the visual representation into a SPARQL query, result retrieval, and formatting. The Data Browser’s front end is implemented in JavaScript and relies on a proprietary SVG library to render the graphical query representation.

The Data Browser relies on a starting configuration which is obtained from the query service ontology (which in turn contains all the information from the domain ontology, in this case, TCGA). The configuration is a result of a simple query requesting all the subclasses of the Entity and Utility classes and their corresponding properties. This configuration is used as a base to construct a JSON representation of the query which is sent to the query service back end for execution.

**Visual querying with the CGC Data Browser**

Queries are built by adding entities and filtering them by their properties and associated values (Figure 4A). In addition to selecting entities from scratch, there are a number of other ways for researchers to start building a query. First, the Data Browser provides example queries, which researchers can modify. Second, the Data Browser features a search box, which allows researchers to query data by Universally Unique Identifiers (UUID), TCGA Barcodes (ID), or file names (Figure 4B). Finally, the CGC Case Explorer is a separate tool that allows for visual exploration of genotypic information within cancer subtypes. A dynamic scatterplot displays the distribution of cases based on gene expression, mutation type, and copy number, allowing researchers to group cases based on genotypic parameters (Figure 5). After cases are selected in the Case Explorer, they can be brought to the Data Browser for further filtering. Through these mechanisms, the Data Browser enables researchers with diverse expertise to visually build complex queries and retrieve the resulting files for analysis.

As a query is built, the Data Browser displays counts that indicate how many instances match a query (Figure 4C). Query results are listed in a table (Figure 4D) and visualized in graphs that show the distributions of the instances of each entity (Figure 4E). After a query is completed, the resulting files can be immediately retrieved and analyzed. The files can be copied to a CGC project and analyzed with tools available on the platform (Figure 4F). Alternatively, the results table can be exported in various formats (Figure 4G). Furthermore, queries can be saved so the researchers can easily continue their work (Figure 4B).

To evaluate the Data Browser, we recreated a query from a recent study. In this article, the researchers wanted to identify and access TCGA RNA-Seq gene expression data from a subset of patients, African American female patients who were...
diagnosed with stage I, II, or III breast carcinoma between 1988 and 2013. The researchers had to download archives containing clinical metadata and gene expression data, then manually parse the metadata and use them to select the data of interest. We performed the same search by building a query in our Data Browser. A query can be assembled rapidly, without downloading any data and without downloading any data; assembling the query took less than 30 seconds. The resulting gene expression files could be immediately accessed for further analysis on the CGC platform.

Programmatic interaction and connecting to other semantic databases

In addition to the Data Browser, the knowledge base can be queried programmatically using a simplified API.16 The RESTful API can be used to browse and query TCGA and other data sets available on the CGC using a simplified JSON-based query language. It is offered as a simpler alternative to SPARQL but does not support its full feature set and is primarily used to integrate the CGC with other applications and automate the process of querying metadata. API requests are made over HTTP, and information is sent and received in JSON format.

The patterns from concepts from TCGA ontology can be generalized and applied to other data sets as well. Many data sets contain information which could be easily categorized into one of the 2 classes, Entity and Utility. As the Data Browser only relies on these 2 classes, it could work with any arbitrary ontology which follows this pattern. The ETL process is inherently flexible and can be configured to extract and harmonize metadata from other sources data sets, by modifying the ETL ontology to describe the format of new inputs. Currently, the Cancer Imaging Archive (TCIA),17 Therapeutically Applicable Research to Generate Effective Treatments (TARGET),18
Clinical Proteomic Tumor Analysis Consortium (CPTAC)\textsuperscript{19} and the International Cancer Genome Consortium (ICGC)\textsuperscript{20} data sets are available on the CGC, as well as the Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia (CCLE)\textsuperscript{21} data set, under the “legacy data sets” category.

Uptake and Future Directions

Since the early access release of the CGC in November 2015, we have been collecting feedback from researchers who use the Data Browser. We received positive feedback regarding several Data Browser features: researchers can build rich queries that would be difficult or impossible to create using alternative approaches, researchers can use branch points to find data that match multiple criteria, and researchers can see the number of entities that match a query. However, some aspects of the Data Browser could be improved: match counts need to be refreshed whenever the query changes, building complex queries can be time-consuming or difficult to interpret. We intend to improve subsequent versions of the Data Browser based on this feedback.

The promise of the Semantic Web is its ability to unify and query data from disparate sources. The RDF triple format is amenable to this task, but identifying similar concepts between different data sets and creating meaningful links between them can be a daunting task.\textsuperscript{22} Future work will focus on enabling this integrated querying between data sets from similar domains. Currently, this can be achieved in 2 ways. Query rewriting is the process of translating a query corresponding to the concepts of the first ontology into a semantically similar query corresponding to the concepts of the second.\textsuperscript{23} This is the focus of very active research in the Semantic Web community. The other approach is to extract shared concepts into a single, parent ontology and use it to query both data sets. We are currently investigating both approaches.

Other future work includes bringing more data sets to the CGC platform, providing query recommendations to improve the learning process, and investigating how to deploy the Data Browser on researchers’ custom data.

Conclusions

As the amount of available sequencing data increase at a beyond-exponential rate, technologies that make data discoverable and usable will be necessary. We designed the CGC to democratize access to massive cancer genomics data sets containing petabytes of information, starting with TCGA. To address inherent problems in linking information in TCGA’s complex structure and future scalability issues in relation to other data sets, we developed a Semantic Web–based solution for data querying in the CGC. This knowledge base contains more than 150 clinical, biospecimen, and analytical properties that describe cancer genomics data. To further streamline data access, we developed a Data Browser that enables interactive data exploration.

By employing a Semantic Web–based solution for data querying in the CGC, we provide connectivity and scalability not only within TCGA but within other semantic databases as well. The ETL is inherently flexible to extract and harmonize metadata from other data sets, allowing for integrated analyses. Our flexible data model simplifies expansion, reuse, and iterative revision.

Proliferation of RDF data, especially in the life sciences, led us to deploy the Semantic Web as a solution for the CGC. Not only does this approach enable powerful ways of exploring and using TCGA data, the extensibility of this approach will also be useful in enabling researchers to learn from other large,
heterogeneous data sets including pediatric cancer genomics efforts and precision medicine initiatives such as the Million Veteran Program.
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