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A translational aging rat model for chronic aluminum (Al) neurotoxicity mimics human Al exposure by ingesting Al, throughout middle age and old age, in equivalent amounts to those ingested by Americans from their food, water, and Al additives. Most rats that consumed Al in an amount equivalent to the high end of the human total dietary Al range developed severe cognitive deterioration in old age. High-stage Al accumulation occurred in the entorhinal cortical cells of origin for the perforant pathway and hippocampal CA1 cells, resulting in microtubule depletion and dendritic dieback. Analogous pathological change in humans leads to destruction of the perforant pathway and Alzheimer’s disease dementia. The hippocampus is thereby isolated from neocortical input and output normally mediated by the entorhinal cortex. Additional evidence is presented that Al is involved in the formation of neurofibrillary tangles, amyloid plaques, granulovacuolar degeneration, and other pathological changes of Alzheimer’s disease (AD). The shared characteristics indicate that AD is a human form of chronic Al neurotoxicity. This translational animal model provides fresh strategies for the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of AD.

1. Introduction

More than 36 million people are currently living with dementia worldwide [1] and about 75% of this population, that is, 27 million people, are estimated to be affected by Alzheimer’s disease (AD) [2]. A comparison of the health of older persons, described in the monograph Old Age published in Cambridge in 1889 [3] and papers published in the British Medical Journal between 1886 and 1889, with the health status of older persons today, raises the possibility that AD is a modern disease that has developed from altered living conditions associated with the industrialization of society [4].

The monograph examines the results of a health survey carried out by the British Medical Council where British general practitioners systematically assessed the health of their oldest patients during the mid-1880s. The study group consisted of almost 900 subjects, aged 80 years and older, including 74 centenarians. The monograph author states “[Dementia, the] saddest state of all, was witnessed only in two of our centenarians. …Indeed, the brain in many held out as well or better than other organs” [3]. By way of contrast, a study conducted in 2000 found that 88% or 15 of all the 17 centenarians living in three Dutch towns, with populations of 250,000 or more, had dementia. The other two centenarians could not be examined [5].

Upon describing the first known case with this disease, Alzheimer himself wrote, “The case presented even in the clinic such a different picture, that it could not be categorised under known disease headings, and also anatomically it provided a result which departed from all previously known disease pathology” [6].

We developed an aging rat model for chronic aluminum (Al) neurotoxicity [7, 8] to learn what, if anything, would
happen if rats were fed human-relevant Al levels over a prolonged time. We discovered that the model replicates early stages of AD and more severe cognitive deterioration, thus helping to explain AD origin and progression.

2. An Al-Inducible Animal Model for Chronic Aluminum Neurotoxicity/AD

Outbred wild-type male Wistar rats were used for the model, which requires survival well into old age since chronic Al neurotoxicity and AD have a long prodromal period before becoming overt in older individuals. We chose males over females to improve uniformity of results in case any biochemical measurements were needed that could vary with the estrus cycle. The animals were allowed to live for their entire life span, undergoing normal brain aging to provide an improved model for studying neurodegenerative processes in the aged human brain. Old neurons are structurally and functionally different from young neurons, particularly with respect to sprouting and reinnervation capacities (e.g., [9, 10]).

The rats were trained at a young age to perform a rewarded continuous alternation T-maze task commonly used to assess memory function [11, 12]. They were given ten chances to choose alternate arms of the T-maze to achieve a maximum score of 100%. All trained rats were tested each week throughout their middle age and old age [7, 8]. Maze testing continued until the rats began to show marked evidence of physical decline.

From 6 months of age, the rats were fed 20 g per day of a low protein/low fat maintenance feed, containing 9 ppm Al, to standardize the amount of Al they received from their feed. This amount of feed was sufficient to maintain their body weights (bw) at a healthy level of 500 g ± 50 g. The feedings were provided twice weekly to motivate the rats for their weekly exercise in the T-maze and to ensure they drank some water between feedings. They were routinely given ultrapure HPLC-grade water without detectable Al [7, 8].

Exposure to additional Al was delayed until the rats entered middle age to give their brains ample time for normal development. Rats in the pilot study [7] were randomly assigned at 16 months to two groups; one that consumed only the Al contained in their feed and the other a high dose as described for the main study. Rats in the main study [8] were randomly assigned at age 12 months to three groups that consumed low, intermediate, and high Al levels in amounts equivalent to total dietary Al levels consumed by Americans from their food, water, and Al additives [13]. The additional Al was added to the drinking water of the intermediate and high dose groups to increase the Al concentrations of their water to 2 mg/L and 20 mg/L. The rats’ average water consumption was 30 mL per day.

The low Al group had a total daily dietary Al intake of 0.4 mg/kg bw derived entirely from their feed. The intermediate group had a total Al intake of 0.5 mg/kg bw/day and the high group consumed 1.7 mg Al/kg bw/day. The only treatment difference was the amount of total dietary Al the three rat groups routinely consumed from their feed and water throughout middle age and old age [8].

Rats in the three treatment groups achieved similar mean performance scores of 70–100% for choice accuracy on the T-maze task during middle age (12 months up to 24 months). Rats in the low, intermediate, and high Al groups lived for 31.1, 32.4, and 30.5 months, respectively (P < 0.44). The average lifespan for all rats in this study was 31.3 months [8]. This age is approximately equivalent to 91 human years, given that Wistar rats age about 35 times faster than humans [14]. Routine Al ingestion at these levels showed no significant effects on the animals’ kidney and liver functions [8].

3. Chronic Al Exposure in Humans

Al is a neurotoxicant without any useful biological function that more readily enters the brain than is able to leave. Hence, Al increases in the brain as the age rises [15–18]. Some human populations are more at risk than others for chronic Al neurotoxicity because humans, like rats, show wide variability in the amounts of Al they absorb from a standardized Al dose (e.g., Figure 1) [19].

A major route of Al exposure for humans is from Al salts in the form of dietary additives according to the World Health Organization [20]. Al salts are added to commercially prepared foods for a variety of reasons: for food coloring (Al serves as a mordant, binding food dyes to foods), as anti-caking agents in powdered foods, cheese-melting agents, a rising agent in cakes and other baked goods, pH adjusting agents, thickening agents, pickling agents, carriers, meat binders, emulsifiers, stabilizing agents, buffers, dough strengtheners, sweeteners, texturizers, gravy/sauce thickeners, curing agents, and hardening agents (e.g., for candied fruits). Al is added to urban water supplies and some bottled waters as a clarifying agent to give the water a crystal-clear appearance (reviewed in [13, 21]). Experiments with the 26Al isotope and accelerator mass spectrometry have shown that measurable amounts of Al can enter rat brains after they swallow a minute amount of Al equivalent to that contained in a single glass of alum-treated drinking water [22–24].

In 2007, the Joint Food and Agriculture Organization (of the United Nations)/World Health Organization (FAO/WHO) Expert Committee on Food Additives reduced the provisional tolerable weekly intake (PTWI) recommended for humans from 7 mg Al/kg bw to 1 mg Al/kg bw [25]. Thus, the current PTWI equates to a weekly intake of 70 mg Al for an average human of 70 kg or 10 mg Al/day. Most humans routinely exceed this PTWI level for Al. One half of the American population is estimated to consume up to 25 mg Al/day, 45% between 25 and 95 mg Al/day, and 5% take in more than 95 gm Al/day in the form of Al additives in addition to 1–10 mg Al/day contained in fresh foods [13].

Nondietary sources of Al exposure include the following.

(1) Vaccines where Al serves as the adjuvant. Injected Al bypasses the protective mucosal barrier of the gastrointestinal tract. Experimental research has shown...
that Al adjuvants have the potential to induce significant immunological disorders in humans [26]. Simulated vaccination in young mice produces an Al peak in their brain after 2-3 days [27].

(2) Some topical applications, such as sunscreens and deodorants, contain Al. One publication found transdermal uptake of Al chloride on shaved mouse skin was greater in the hippocampus than oral Al uptake [28], thus contributing to the brain’s Al burden.

(3) Pharmaceuticals and medical applications. Considerable amounts of Al are contained in Al antacids, buffered aspirins, and some other pharmaceuticals [29]. Some medical treatments also utilize Al (e.g., alum bladder irrigations).

Human groups currently believed to be at most risk for chronic Al neurotoxicity are (1) older humans whose neurons have had sufficient time to accumulate Al to stages IV and V (Figure 2); (2) humans that regularly consume a diet of commercially prepared foods rather than fresh foods; (3) those with AD or; (4) Down’s syndrome, who absorb Al considerably more efficiently; (5) those with mildly impaired kidney function who lack efficient Al removal; (6) those in families that have a tendency to develop AD, possibly because they have a genetic constitution that predisposes them to efficient Al absorption. Human groups at risk for chronic Al neurotoxicity are very similar to those with increased risk for AD.

To date, Al/iron chelation by desferrioxamine is the only treatment for AD that has been shown to slow the rate of deterioration in activities of daily life [32, 33].

4. Chronic Aluminum Neurotoxicity Results in Cognitive Deterioration in Aged Susceptible Individuals

Statistical analysis of the rats’ test scores revealed that the rats of the main longitudinal study developed cognitive impairment in an Al dose-dependent manner [8]. None of the rats that consumed Al at the low end of the human dietary Al range obtained significantly lower performance scores in old age than in middle age. In fact, scores of the oldest rat, who survived to age 38 months, showed near-significant improvement in old age ($P > 0.057$). However, 20% (2/10) of the intermediate Al rats and 70% (7/10) of the rats that consumed Al at the high end of the human Al range had significantly lower scores in old age than in middle age [8]. These data validated observations made in the pilot study [7] that showed essentially the same results in a smaller number of animals. Middle-age and old-age performances of one rat that developed cognitive deterioration are shown in the accompanying QuickTime video clips of the Supplementary Material available online at doi:10.1155/2012/914947.
The nine rats with statistically significant declines in their mean T-maze performance scores between middle age and old age also showed evidence of abnormal behaviors and signs, such as incontinence while in the T-maze, perseverative behaviors, head nodding, and seizures. Rats with low performance scores were apparently unable to recognize the spouts on their more upright water bottles during an overnight stay in metabolism cages. In contrast, the water levels decreased in bottles of metabolism cages containing rats that scored normally during the same time period [7]. The rats with abnormal behaviors and significantly lower mean T-maze performance scores in old age than middle age are referred to here as “cognitively deteriorated.”

Upon reviewing the performance scores at the end of the study we observed that most rats that developed cognitive deterioration made more mistakes than usual in their T-maze performances from age 27 months and onwards. By the time they were 28 months old, several had already attained mean scores in their old age that were significantly lower than in middle age. Eventually, rats with cognitive deterioration stopped performing altogether. One rat in the high Al group showed early performance impairment from age 20 months [8]. We surmised that this rat with early onset cognitive deterioration and the two rats that developed cognitive deterioration after consuming the intermediate Al dose level had some type of physiological difference in their ability to absorb Al that rendered them particularly susceptible to chronic Al neurotoxicity. All three rats exhibited unusually high plasma Al levels for their treatment groups.

5. Chronic Aluminum Neurotoxicity in the Aged Rats Parallels Specific Aspects of AD Neuropathology in Old Age

The rats were euthanized by Nembutal overdose when they became moribund with advanced age. Autopsies were performed and neuropathological assessments were carried out on their brains. Al accumulation in both rat and human neurons can be staged according to the criteria described in Figure 2. Most aged rat pyramidal neurons, like their aged human counterparts, at least stain for Al in the nucleolus while otherwise appearing normal (stage I) [30]. Many pyramidal neurons in the rats with cognitive deterioration stained for Al accumulation throughout their nucleus (stage IV).

Several rat brains were completely serially sectioned, with every tenth section stained for Al [34], and surveyed to determine which brain regions were most prone to stage IV Al accumulation [8]. This examination disclosed that the main Al-affected brain regions in animals with chronic Al neurotoxicity include the entorhinal cortex, hippocampus, subiculum, septum, olfactory lobe, piriform cortex, temporal cortex, parietal cortex, frontal cortex, cingulate cortex, amygdala, substantia nigra, basal nucleus of Meynert, dorsal raphe nucleus, and locus coeruleus [8]. These are essentially the same brain regions affected by neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs) in Al-injected rabbit brains [35]. Interestingly, these are also the same brain regions particularly vulnerable to NFT formation and deterioration in AD [36, 37].

Computer-assisted cell counts on blinded rat brain sections enabled estimates to be made of the proportions of cells with high-stage (IV and V) Al accumulation in total pyramidal cell populations [31]. This type of analysis was made in association area 3 of the temporal cortex and in the entorhinal cortex of cognitively deteriorated rats and low Al (cognitively intact) controls. The entorhinal cortex was found to be the brain region most affected by chronic Al exposure in these animals. This is also the brain region most affected by NFTs in AD [38].

Approximately 40% ± 7% of the pyramidal cells counted in association area 3 of the temporal cortex displayed stage IV Al accumulation in the cognitively deteriorated rats compared to 13% ± 3% in the low Al controls ($P < 0.01$). Approximately 60% ± 7% of the entorhinal cortex stellate and pyramidal cells counted in layer II and pyramidal cells in the superficial part of layer III from rats with cognitive deterioration had stage VI Al accumulation compared to 23% ± 7% in the low Al controls ($P < 0.001$) [31]. Examples of entorhinal cortical cells with stage IV Al accumulation are shown in Figure 3. The percentage of entorhinal cortical cells with stage IV Al accumulation correlated with the extent of change in the animals’ T-maze performance scores between middle age and old age ($r = 0.76; P < 0.0005$) [31].

We carried out immunocytochemical studies to further probe how brain tissue of the rats with cognitive deterioration differed from the others. Immunostained hippocampal pyramidal cells of the rats with cognitive deterioration exhibited immunoreactivity for hyperphosphorylated tau, oxidative damage indicated by 4-hydroxynonenal adducts [39], elevated levels of amyloid precursor protein [40], and reduced levels of choline acetyltransferase in the basal nucleus of Meynert and striatum [Walton, unpublished observations].

The most striking finding with immunostains concerned microtubules. Microtubules normally provide structure to the cell body of the neuron, its axon and dendrites, and infrastructure for transport of nutrients, neurotransmitters, and organelles between the cell body and its most distant terminals [41]. Hippocampal sections from the low Al rat controls, immunostained with the anti-α-acetylated tubulin antibody, presented as continuous strips of hippocampal CA1, CA2, and CA3 pyramidal cells containing microtubules of uniform caliber and density. Microtubules were clearly visible in the soma and apical dendrites of pyramidal cells throughout the hippocampal formation, equivalent to those with stage I Al accumulation [31].

Hippocampal sections from the rats with cognitive deterioration contained some groups of immunostained hippocampal and cortical pyramidal cells that exhibited microtubules with irregular caliber and density in dendrites that appeared distorted and shriveled. Location of equivalent cells in these groups, on adjacent slides stained for Al, revealed the equivalent cells were at stage III Al accumulation [31].

Other groups of pyramidal cells in the hippocampus and entorhinal cortex of rats with cognitive deterioration were
Figure 3: Entorhinal cortical cells with stage IV Al accumulation from rats with cognitive deterioration. (a) Low-magnification view of an island containing some cells with high-stage Al staining and others with normal morphology. (b) Island of stellate entorhinal cortical cells at high optical magnification. MB = 50 μm.

equivalent to pyramidal cells with stage IV Al accumulation. The stage IV pyramidal cells appeared shrunken with small dense nuclei. Larger groups of these cells appeared in the form of a lesion amongst pyramidal cells with a more normal appearance (Figure 4). Adjacent sections, immunostained for acetylated-α-tubulin, demonstrated that the cells of the lesions lacked evidence of microtubules in their cell body [31]. Many also failed to immunostain for tubulin in their apical dendrite. One rat brain had a lesion of Al-rich microtubule-depleted cells in the subiculum instead of the hippocampal CA1 field.

Immunostaining was also carried out with an antibody immunostain for nonacetylated β-tubulin but this gave essentially the same result as the antibody immunostain for acetylated α-tubulin [31]. Again, cells adjacent to the lesion at lower stages of Al accumulation clearly demonstrated microtubules.

We also examined consecutive sections of AD brains, either stained for Al or immunostained for microtubules, and observed microtubule depletion in hippocampal cells with NFTs and in others with high-stage Al staining that lacked NFTs [31]. It was previously reported that AD cells with NFTs lack microtubules [42, 43] but the reason for this was unclear. Others had observed that AD pyramidal cells with early stage NFTs have low mRNA levels for cytochrome oxidase, a marker of mitochondrial energy metabolism and neuronal activity [44]. The structural and functional deficits of Al-rich cells without microtubules indicate they are unable to perform specialized neural functions.

Cells without microtubules are also susceptible to dendritic dieback, culminating in loss of synapse density. This involves loss of dendritic spines, abnormal spindle-shaped swellings in dendrites, and progressive withering of the dendritic tree (Figure 5) [45]. Dendritic dieback has been shown to affect neocortical, as well as entorhinal cortical, hippocampal, and dentate granule cells of AD brain tissue [45] and hippocampal, subicular, and cortical cells of brains from Al-exposed laboratory animals [46, 47]. The cell’s dendritic tree accounts for about 95% of its receptive surface area, [48] so massive dendritic loss may account for the cortical atrophy characteristic of severely affected AD brains.

Glia cells in the stratum radiatum of the CA1/subicular zone of brains from rats with cognitive deterioration appeared to be clipping the damaged dendrites into non-functional segments (Figure 6) [31]. The dieback process is thought to occur slowly and have long-lasting effects [47]. The extensive pruning process of abnormal dendrites and their spines leads to reduction in hippocampal synapse density in rats with chronic Al neurotoxicity [31] as in humans with AD [49]. Thus, devastating consequences for neural function flow from Al-induced microtubule depletion in rat and human cells with stages IV or V Al accumulation [31]. Al concentrations around 100–250 μM are typically found in aged brain cells affected with AD [50–54].

Brains of wild-type rats lack fully formed plaques and tangles for species-specific reasons, even in those with chronic Al exposure. Al involvement in plaque and tangle formation have been shown in transgenic mice and humans. One advantage of the present model is that it allows the study of cognitive deterioration without the complications of overlying plaque and tangle neuropathology. Secondly, the model demonstrates that cognitive deterioration in the model develops by a mechanism independent of plaques and tangles. The model also helps to explain why aged human cells in NFT-prone brain regions have a tendency to die. It has been observed, for example, that human cells that form NFTs can become enucleated by NFT overgrowth [30]. Aged pyramidal cells in the rat entorhinal cortex display high-stage Al accumulation and are clearly dysfunctional even though they lack both NFTs and clear evidence of large-scale cell death.

Entorhinal cortical cells of layers II and III are the cells of origin for the perforant pathway that conveys neocortical input to the hippocampus critical for memory processing [55]. Results from this translational animal model indicate that high Al accumulation in a large number of entorhinal cortical cells leads to cognitive deterioration in these rats, paralleling the cognitive deterioration that occurs in AD.
Figure 4: Al accumulation in a lesion of hippocampal CA1 cells in a rat with cognitive deterioration correlates with microtubule depletion. (a) The stage IV pyramidal cells in the center stain magenta for nuclear Al. Pyramidal cells with a normal appearance (arrows) are present along the margins of the lesion. (b) An adjacent section, immunostained for acetylated tubulin, demonstrates that cells within the lesion, corresponding to those with stage IV Al staining, are microtubule depleted. Other pyramidal cells at the margins of the lesion have a more normal appearance and clearly immunostain for microtubules (arrows). MB = 50 μM. Republished from [31].

Figure 5: Camera lucida drawings of Golgi-stained AD hippocampal pyramidal cells that illustrate the process of dendritic dieback (left to right). The deteriorated cell at far right resembles some of the Al-rich microtubule-depleted cells in the hippocampal lesion of Figure 4(b). Redrawn from [45] with permission from Elsevier.

6. Comparative Aspects of the Entorhinal Cortex and Hippocampal Formation in Normal Rats and Humans

The entorhinal cortex of the rat brain corresponds to the entorhinal cortex in humans, Brodmann's area 28. In humans, this brain region occupies the anterior portion of the parahippocampal gyrus [55]. The entorhinal cortex serves as a pivotal two-way station with the dual roles of funneling neocortical input into the hippocampal formation as well as funneling output from the hippocampal formation back to the neocortex [56]. A large number of neocortical regions project to superficial layers of the entorhinal cortex, including the olfactory, auditory, visual, and somatosensory cortices as well as multimodal areas and the amygdala. Projections from the olfactory lobe are particularly prominent in rat brain [57]. Layer IV of the human entorhinal cortex receives a heavy output from the hippocampal formation and reciprocates the perforant pathway by projecting widely back to the neocortex [56]. The entorhinal cortex in humans functions in basically the same ways as in rats although in the human brain the entorhinal cortex contains many more cells than in rat brain and is much more developed. Subareas of the entorhinal cortex and hippocampus differ in their proportions in human and rat brains [58].

The entorhinal cortex has 6 layers in rats and humans [59]. Layer I, the acellular molecular layer of the entorhinal cortex, is continuous with adjoining molecular layers of the neocortex and parasubiculum. Layer I contains terminal axonal branches from numerous neocortical inputs together with dendrites from the superficial neuronal layers of the entorhinal cortex. Layer II of the human and rat entorhinal cortex contains large stellate cells (70–80%), large multipolar neurons (3%), and modified pyramidal neurons (17–27%). The stellate neurons are located in cell clusters or “islands” separated by a dense reticular network [59–61]; they persistently generate rhythmic oscillations [62]. The superficial part of layer III contains pyramidal neurons.

The cells of origin for the perforant pathway are stellate and pyramidal neurons of layers II and III. These cells give rise to axons that collect in an angular bundle and then project massively to the hippocampal formation in the form of distinct fascicles (Figure 7). These cells are more sparse in rats than in humans but are functionally similar [58]. Their axons perforate or pass through gray matter of the subiculum on the way to their terminal sites [58]. Some fascicles remain in the stratum lacunosum moleculare of the hippocampal formation where they terminate on distal
Figure 6: Dendritic dieback in AD brain revealed by immunostaining for microtubules. (a) Glial cells in the stratum radiatum appear to clip dendrites into segments. Portions of glial cell cytoplasm insert between dendritic segments (arrows). (b) Segmented dendrites of the stratum radiatum from an AD case. The arrow points to segmentation that interrupts dendritic microtubules. MB = 2.5 μm. Republished from [31].

The apical dendritic branches of hippocampal CA1 and subicular pyramidal cells [56]. The largest contingent of fascicles crosses the hippocampal fissure to terminate in the outer two-thirds of the dentate gyrus molecular layer in a rigidly stratified manner on distal dendritic branches of dentate gyrus granule cells.

Nearly all neocortical-hippocampal connections are indirect, being relayed via the perforant pathway of the entorhinal cortex. Stimulation of rat and human dentate gyrus granule cells by the perforant pathway activates a sequence of intrinsic connections within the hippocampal formation (Figure 8) that allows the hippocampus to remain informed of ongoing neocortical sensory activity [56, 57]. Stimulation of this circuitry culminates in hippocampal and subicular output back to the deeper part of the entorhinal cortex, reciprocating the perforant pathway [64]. Human hippocampal and subicular neurons project heavily back to layer IV pyramidal neurons and large multipolar neurons of the deep entorhinal cortex that in turn project widely to association cortices and limbic structures. Layers IV, V, and VI of the entorhinal cortex are present in rats but are less well-defined than in humans [58]. In general, major cytoarchitectonic features of the entorhinal cortex are well preserved in brains of aged nondemented human controls [65] and rat controls (Walton, personal observations), even in the oldest old.

The hippocampal formation of healthy humans is also larger and much more complex than in rats. CA1, CA2, and CA3 hippocampal fields of the normal rat appear in optical sections as a distinct, compact, and continuous band of cells, several layers in width, whereas in equivalent sections of the human hippocampus these cells are more widely spaced and their organization is less clear.

7. Pathological Changes in the Entorhinal Cortex and Perforant Pathway of Rats and Humans

Brains of humans with AD show strikingly similar outcomes to brains of rats subjected to transection of their angular
Figure 8: Hippocampal circuitry. Representative morphology of CA1 and CA3 pyramidal cells and a dentate granule cell (GC). The axon of the granule cell gives off collaterals in the hilus and a long branch (mfn) extends into the CA3 field as a mossy fiber that contacts heavy thorns on the CA3 pyramidal cell. The axon of the CA3 pyramidal cell gives off a Shaffer collateral (SC) in the stratum oriens which ascends to the stratum lacunosum moleculare. The axon of the CA1 pyramidal cell bifurcates into long extensions within the white matter. Republished from [57] with permissions from Elsevier and the author.

bundle or extensive transection of the perforant pathway [56, 63, 66–69]. In both species, terminals are drastically reduced in the outer two-thirds of the dentate molecular layer where most axons of the perforant pathway normally terminate. Interruption of the perforant pathway by bilateral transection also results in pronounced memory impairment in rats [11, 12, 67]. The consequences of perforant path transection in rats are also very similar to those that occur in humans who have had their entorhinal cortex and hippocampus bilaterally destroyed by surgery [70], and in victims of herpes simplex encephalopathy [71].

An invariant feature of AD is that the normal cytoarchitectonic pattern of the intermediate and lateral regions of the entorhinal cortex is markedly altered by NFTs, prominent in layers II and IV and to a lesser extent in superficial parts of layer III [56, 63]. By contrast, the smaller cells of layers V and VI are relatively spared. The entorhinal cortex is the most affected region of the AD brain. It has by far more NFTs than any other of Brodmann’s areas. NFTs in this region of AD brains are usually extracellular or “ghost” NFTs that have outlived their host cells [56].

Intraneuronal Al is involved in the formation and growth of human NFTs [72]. Consequently, large NFTs can be regarded as markers of pyramidal cells with high-stage Al accumulation. High-stage nuclear Al accumulation occurs in the same cell types (stellate and pyramidal cells) in equivalent brain regions of the rat model as those where NFTs form in AD cases.

Previously, the selective vulnerability of entorhinal, hippocampal, and cortical cells to damage in AD was a mystery [56]. This animal model demonstrates that Al derived from dietary exposure at human-relevant levels preferentially accumulates in the cells of origin for the perforant pathway and other large AD-vulnerable pyramidal cells. Thus, the translational animal model helps to explain how the perforant path becomes interrupted in AD.

In AD, a conspicuous layer of neuritic plaques forms down the center of the molecular layer of the dentate gyrus [63], precisely in the termination zone of perforant pathways that have NFT-damaged cells of origin. Hyman et al. [63] observed that this finding is consistent with the hypothesis that neuritic plaques represent degenerating terminals [73].

Compensatory sprouting in response to this damage and reinnervation by less damaged entorhinal cortical cells is likely to continue for some time but this type of repair decreases with age [9] and eventually exhausts in AD [48, 65]. This may explain why cognitive deterioration became apparent in most of the susceptible rats to chronic Al neurotoxicity around 27-28 months of age. The perforant path aspect of AD provides a structural basis for early memory changes that occur in AD. Confusion and inability to recall new episodes occur relatively early in the course of AD and directly affect cognition [56]. Widespread changes occur in the brain as AD continues to progress. This is consistent with Al levels increasing over time to neurotoxic thresholds in other AD-vulnerable brain regions as a result of continuing human exposure to Al in foods, water, and other sources.

New imaging techniques are being applied to the brain. The deteriorating perforant pathway in Alzheimer brains can now be observed with diffusion tensor imaging [74]. Ex vivo imaging is currently being used to visualize the perforant pathway in unsectioned human brain. The goal is to develop an in vivo imaging technique for examining change in the perforant pathway of living humans for diagnostic purposes [75].

8. Pathological Changes in the Hippocampal Formation of Humans with AD and Rats with Chronic Aluminum Neurotoxicity

 Destruction of the perforant pathway severely deaferenters the hippocampal formation [76]. Specific pathological changes occur in the deaferentated AD hippocampal formation. Pyramidal cells in the CA1/subicular zone are prone to NFT formation. Some severely affected AD cases show mostly ghost NFTs in the CA1 hippocampal field, indicating the CA1 is virtually destroyed. Pyramidal cells in other hippocampal fields are shrunken with stage V Al staining, appearing abnormal but viable. Most AD cases generally have less severe pathology. Damage to the subicular and CA1 neurons,
that normally give rise to the major cortical and subcortical output of the hippocampal formation, compromises output from the hippocampal formation [76]. This results in disconnection of hippocampal efferents including the strong subicular projection to layer IV of the entorhinal cortex that normally has widespread neocortical connections. Brains of all aged rats exhibited some cells with stage IV Al accumulation. Cognition remains intact as long as cells with stage IV Al accumulation are few and scattered. The entorhinal cortex of rats that developed cognitive deterioration contained a critical proportion of cells of origin for the perforant pathway damaged by stage IV Al accumulation and microtubule depletion, leaving insufficient numbers of healthy cells to effectively convey cortical information to the hippocampal formation. The second component of cognitive deterioration is the presence of at least one substantial lesion consisting of stage IV Al-rich microtubule-depleted pyramidal cells in the hippocampal CA1/subicular zone that fail to reciprocate output from the hippocampal formation back to deeper layers of the entorhinal cortex and from there to the neocortex. The CA1 lesion exemplified in Figure 4 extended throughout the entire rostrocaudal axis of the hippocampus. The rats that retained normal cognition were free of such lesions and their entorhinal cortex had smaller proportions of Al-affected cells.

Subsequent examination of AD hippocampal sections also revealed discrete lesions in the hippocampal CA1 field [31]. In this case, the lesions consisted mainly of NFT-containing cells. Additional cells showed various stages of nuclear Al accumulation.

Other investigators have observed that NFT-containing cells in AD neocortical regions are also in the form of lesions [77–79]. At first these cells appear sporadically. As cells with NFTs continue to increase in number, they take the form of cell clusters. Eventually, as more cells between the clusters are recruited into the lesions, they appear in the form of cell bands [78]. We have also made observations of Al-rich lesions in neocortical regions that normally communicate with the entorhinal cortex in rats with cognitive deterioration [31].

Thus, cognitive deterioration in the Al-inducible rat model for chronic Al neurotoxicity/AD and AD in humans both involve Al-induced damage to the cells of origin for the perforant path projection to the hippocampal formation together with CA1 or subicular lesions in the hippocampal formation. These profound pathological changes effectively disconnect the hippocampal formation from limbic and association cortices [63]. Cortical regions depend upon the hippocampal formation for memory consolidation. The structural changes that occur in these AD brain regions preclude the normal acquisition of episodic or contextual knowledge [63], undoubtedly contributing to the cognitive deterioration in AD.

The translational animal model shows that stage IV Al accumulation in the entorhinal cortical cells of origin for the perforant pathway and in the lesions of CA1 or subicular cells, exhibiting microtubule depletion and dendritic dieback, is sufficient to result in observable cognitive deterioration.

Sources of neocortical and subcortical input to the entorhinal cortex are also targets for AD pathology, eliminating nearly all potential redundancy [56]. Neocortical layers III and V are particularly affected by NFT formation. These changes are uncharacteristic of other dementias such as those associated with Huntington’s disease and multi-infarct dementia [63].

9. Physiological Similarities between Rats with Chronic Al Neurotoxicity and Humans with AD

Rats that consumed Al at the highest level had proportionately higher serum Al levels and more within-group variability than the other two groups. Specifically, those that consumed the highest Al dose level had a significantly higher mean serum Al level than the low Al dose group (P < 0.05). Also, the rats that developed cognitive deterioration in old age generally had higher serum Al levels than rats that remained cognitively intact (P < 0.01) [8].

This is also the case for humans that develop AD in old age. Six out of seven studies have shown that AD patients have higher serum or plasma Al levels than nondemented controls [80–85]. The seventh was a small study with low statistical power [86]. AD patients also absorbed 1.4 times more 26Al than age-matched controls from a standardized 26Al dose [87]. Similarly, patients with Down’s syndrome (DS) absorbed 26Al six times more efficiently than age-matched controls after consuming a standardized 26Al dose at the dietary level and four times more efficiently from a pharmacological (antacid) Al level [88]. Brains of DS cases had Al levels comparable to those in AD brains but at earlier ages [51]. DS patients have been regarded by some as a human model for AD on the basis that they typically develop AD-type neuropathology by age 50 [89] and have a high risk for AD-type dementia [90]. Highly efficient Al absorption may account for the early appearance of AD characteristics.

10. Involvement of Aluminum with Other Dementias

Outcomes of Al exposure depend on the age and physical condition of the subject, the form or species of Al, the size of Al dose(s), and the frequency of exposure (whether chronic, acute or subacute).

Al is a dementia-causing metal. Al causes dialysis encephalopathy [91], a dementia that is generally fatal unless the affected renal patients are treated and controlled with Al chelation [92]. Al has also been implicated in dementias associated with occupational Al exposure, including Balint’s syndrome [93] and in the amyotrophic lateral sclerosis with parkinsonism dementia of Guam (ALS/PD) [94]. Al caused cognitive impairment in Canadian miners who inhaled Macintyre powder (pulverized Al and Al hydroxide) over an extended time to avoid lung silicosis [95]. ALS/PD and AD share common pathological characteristics, both showing hippocampal granulovacuolar degeneration (GVD) and Al-containing NFTs in the brains of affected patients [30, 96,
Al has been regarded as a possible cause of AD since 1973 when it was first shown that specific AD brain regions contain higher Al levels than controls [98].

11. Involvement of Aluminum in Alzheimer Neuropathological Hallmarks

11.1. Neurofibrillary Tangles (NFTs). Al induces hyperphosphorylation of tau and other neural proteins by inhibiting protein phosphatases [39, 99–101]. Protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A) is the main phosphatase that dephosphorylates tau in mammalian brain tissue [102]. PP2A activity is inhibited, and gives rise to hyperphosphorylated tau, in AD brain tissue and in the cortex and hippocampus of rats with Al-inducible cognitive deterioration [39, 103]. AD involves a massive accumulation of hyperphosphorylated tau and a severe loss of normal tau in cortical tissue [104]. The same unusual phenomenon occurs in brains of renal dialysis patients who have had high Al exposure [105].

Al colocalizes with hyperphosphorylated tau in pretangle neurons of AD brains, forming cytoplasmic pools of an Al/hyperphosphorylated tau complex [72]. Al/ hyperphosphorylated tau molecules polymerize within the cytoplasmic pools, giving rise to the filaments that constitute NFTs. Al and hyperphosphorylated tau also coparticipate in NFT growth as Al uptake into human hippocampal and cortical pyramidal cells continues over time [72].

AD cells that contain NFTs exhibit less nuclear Al staining than cells that stain positively for Al without NFTs. These neuropathological data suggest that the NFTs that form initially protect cells by retaining Al in the cytoplasm and slowing Al entry into the nucleus [72]. However, large NFTs generally displace the nucleus to the cell periphery and, if sufficiently large, can eventually enucleate the cell [30]. Hence, NFT overgrowth is probably responsible for much of the cell death that occurs in the AD entorhinal cortex, CA1 field, subiculum, and other brain regions where NFTs form.

Histological and immunocytochemical antibody stains reveal Al in human NFTs [30, 31, 106, 107]. Various instrumental techniques have also been used to demonstrate Al in NFTs [98, 108, 109]. Such studies have shown that NFTs contain up to 300 μg Al/g tissue [110].

NFT formation is species specific. NFTs develop without experimental intervention in the brains of aged cats [111]. Al injection into the lateral ventricles of cats rapidly induces the formation of NFTs accompanied by disturbance in brain electrical activity and alterations in acquisition and retention tasks. Cat pyramidal cells become microtubule depleted as they develop NFTs [112].

NFT formation without experimental intervention has yet to be reported in brains of aged rabbits. Al injection into the lateral ventricles of rabbit brain has been observed to induce NFTs in pyramidal cells of brain regions analogous to the same regions where NFTs form in AD-affected humans and this is often accompanied by encephalopathy [35]. In contrast, Al injection into the lateral ventricles of rat brains impairs performance on behavioral tasks in the absence of NFT formation [113]. These differences between cats, rabbits, and rats illustrate the species specificity of NFTs.

Rabbit NFTs appear similar to human NFTs when examined by optical microscopy but are biochemically and ultrastructurally different. This biochemical difference is likely to be a species effect but could also be a temporal effect since Al-induced NFTs are newly formed whereas human NFTs are generally long standing. The biochemical composition of rabbit NFTs changes within days of formation, acquiring tau and other proteins that increase their similarity to human NFTs [114, 115].

Much discussion has centered around Al-induced NFTs being straight filaments and AD NFTs consisting of paired helical filaments (PHFs). Actually, this appears to be a misnomer because the filaments that comprise human NFTs are single filaments with a twisted ribbon structure [116, 117]. Some are straight while others are partly twisted and partly straight. Hence, the structural differences of NFTs in different species may amount to sequence differences in the human, rabbit, and cat forms of tau.

11.2. Amyloid Plaques and Presenilins. Al is also involved in the formation of amyloid plaques. Nanomolar amounts of Al upregulate gene expression for amyloid precursor protein in human neural cells [118, 119]. APP mRNA and protein are also upregulated in brains of rats with cognitive deterioration that were chronically exposed to human-relevant Al levels [40] and APP-related pathology was evident in brains of rats given intracerebral Al injections [120]. Furthermore, Al produces effects that divert APP metabolism from its nonamyloidogenic pathway to its amyloidogenic pathway, resulting in the formation of β-amyloid oligomers, fibrils, and plaques [121–128].

Al also increases amyloidogenesis in transgenic mice that express a mutant human form of APP. APP-transgenic mice fed a diet supplemented with Al for one year exhibited oxidative damage accompanied by more numerous and larger amyloid plaques in their brains than a transgenic cohort without Al supplementation [129]. In addition, an aberrant variant of presenilin-2 (PS2V) increases production of intracellular β-amyloid[1-42] and β-amyloid[1-40] by impairing the signaling pathway for the unfolded protein response and interfering with APP maturation [130]. This variant occurs almost exclusively in brains of humans with sporadic AD. PS2V was identified in 10/10 brains with sporadic AD and only at a low level in 1/10 brains from controls [131]. PS2V is induced by hypoxia and oxidative stress, suggesting it may be inducible by one or more metal prooxidants. Al, which is a prooxidant in its own right as well as synergistically with iron, was found to be the only metal capable of inducing PS2V formation [132].

11.3. Granulovacuolar Degeneration (GVD). GVD is the third most prominent AD hallmark in the hippocampus. Al is the only known toxic agent that can experimentally induce hippocampal GVD. Rats develop hippocampal GVD after receiving repeated intraperitoneal injections of Al [133, 134]. GVD also occurred in the hippocampus of the translational animal model with cognitive deterioration that chronically
consumed Al at human-relevant dietary Al levels [39]. Thus, substantial evidence attests to amyloid plaques, NFTs, and GVD as all being by-products of Al activity in the brain.

11.4. Other AD Features. Al accumulation in pyramidal neurons disrupts their calcium metabolism in ways remarkably similar to those that occur with aging and AD (reviewed in [128]). Al accumulation in cells disrupts the calcium phosphoinositide signaling pathway and the process of calcium signaling, interferes with calcium participation in long-term potentiation, and inhibits calcium-regulatory enzymes in a dose-dependent manner.

Al also disrupts iron metabolism in ways similar to those that occur in AD. In AD, iron levels are elevated in pyramidal cells of AD-vulnerable brains regions, particularly in the hippocampus, amygdala, and inferior parietal cortex [135]. Iron regulatory protein-2 (IRP-2) is stabilized in AD so cells behave as though they are permanently iron deficient [136]. This signals the cell to continue synthesizing transferrin receptors, leading to abnormally high levels of free iron in the cells and increasing oxidative damage.

The Al³⁺ ion is almost the same size as the Fe³⁺ ion [137] and occupies iron sites in transferrin (the plasma iron transport protein) as Al circulates through the body [138, 139]. This facilitates Al uptake into endothelial cells [140] and from there into brain cells [141] via transferrin receptors. Al also enters cells by a transferrin-independent uptake mechanism [142]. Intraneuronal Al stabilizes the expression of IRP-2 in pyramidal cells by preventing its breakdown [143]. Thus, the IRP-2 continues to promote the synthesis of transferrin receptors and iron uptake into the cells as in AD.

Al thereby increases iron levels in cultured neural cells [144] as well as in the hippocampus, frontal cortex, and temporal cortex of Al-loaded rats [145]. Experimental animal studies have shown that Al accumulation in cholinergic neurons, or in neurons of the locus coeruleus and dorsal raphe nucleus, is accompanied by decreased concentrations of their respective neurotransmitters; namely, acetylcholine, norepinephrine (noradrenaline) and serotonin [146, 147].

Thus, chronic Al neurotoxicity influences all major neuropathological features of AD. Ganrot expressed essentially the same conclusion a quarter of a century ago [148]. Importantly, Al preferentially accumulates in the same regions of human and animal brains as those that are affected in AD. This distribution also occurs in brains of rabbits with Al accumulation in pyramidal neurons, or in neurons of the locus coeruleus and dorsal raphe nucleus, is accompanied by decreased concentrations of their respective neurotransmitters; namely, acetylcholine, norepinephrine (noradrenaline) and serotonin [146, 147].

Thus, chronic Al neurotoxicity influences all major neuropathological features of AD. Ganrot expressed essentially the same conclusion a quarter of a century ago [148]. Importantly, Al preferentially accumulates in the same regions of human and animal brains as those that are affected in AD. This distribution also occurs in brains of rabbits with acute Al neurotoxicity following stereotaxic Al injection into brain ventricles [35], in brains of chronic dialysis patients with subacute Al neurotoxicity, [149, 150] and in brains of rats with chronic Al neurotoxicity from ingesting Al at human-relevant levels [8].

12. Background Reasons Why Rats with Chronic Al Neurotoxicity Are a Useful Translational Model for AD

An epidemiological study to assess the risk of AD from total dietary Al exposure from food, drinking water, and Al additives has yet to be carried out. Studies that only consider a single source of Al exposure are susceptible to significant confounding. It would be impractical and probably unethical to attempt to perform a longitudinal randomized controlled trial that intentionally assigns groups of humans to high levels, as well as lower levels, of a neurotoxicant such as Al, over a long period of time, to learn where the neurotoxic deposits in the brain as the subjects age and to examine the long-term consequences of such treatment.

Instead, a surrogate is clearly needed. Al intake was carefully controlled and monitored in this translational animal model for human total dietary Al exposure. It is also more convenient to carry out such longitudinal studies in animals that age more rapidly and have a naturally short life span. The chronic Al neurotoxicity model permits interventional studies to slow, arrest, and possibly reverse AD and to determine the stages when the relevant action may be best implemented.

13. Conclusions and Recommendations

This translational model demonstrates that chronic ingestion of Al, in amounts ingested by Americans from their food, water, and Al additives, is sufficient to induce AD-type cognitive deterioration in animals by old age. The time required for Al to gradually accumulate in neurons to a neurotoxic threshold can account for the long prodromal phase in this animal model and possibly in AD as well. The slow accumulation of Al in brain cells may also explain why AD generally affects older individuals.

It is apparent from Al levels in blood samples that some rats and humans absorb Al more efficiently than others. The model indicates (1) that a minority of individuals (approximately 20% of rats under the present circumstances) are susceptible to cognitive deterioration after ingesting a relatively low concentration of Al that the others can tolerate without obvious adverse effects and (2) that other individuals have high serum Al levels because they consume too many foods with Al additives. The model indicates that establishment of a standardized diagnostic test for Al absorption would be useful to determine individual susceptibility to chronic Al neurotoxicity so they could exercise prudent avoidance of Al exposure.

The neuropathology of this translational animal model provides parallels with AD features. In particular, Al accumulation in the entorhinal cortical cells of origin for the perforant pathway, and damage to these cells and the cells of the hippocampal CA1 field and subiculum, results in isolation of the hippocampal formation from the neocortex.

The fundamental similarities between clinical and neuropathological evidence from aged humans with AD and this indubitable animal model that develops cognitive deterioration in old age lead to the conclusion that AD is a form of chronic Al neurotoxicity that becomes evident as Al accumulation in the entorhinal cortical cells of origin for the perforant pathway and the hippocampal CA1 field surpasses a neurotoxic threshold, causing communication failure between these brain regions that are pivotal to memory and learning.
This study has given rise to the following recommendations to assist with the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of AD:

(I) The translational model of itself points to an array of experimental uses which will assist in a more rapid understanding of AD diagnosis, pathology, treatment, and prevention.

(i) Determination of parameters for serum/plasma tests for Al at different ages: the translational animal model could be used to probe why some individuals routinely absorb Al more efficiently than others.

(ii) Development of methods using instrumentation such as the diffusion tension imaging system that can visualize deteriorative change in the perforant pathway: the animal model could serve as an intermediate subject for an imaging method lying between the present histopathology of AD autopsy specimens and detailed viewing of the relevant brain areas of living patients, with a view to diagnosing AD well before the occurrence of ventricular enlargement and widespread cortical atrophy.

(iii) Variation of the Al dose level could be made in future experiments by increasing the total Al level up to 10 mg/kg bw/day, or by starting treatment several months earlier, with a view to producing cognitive damage in all animals rather than in 70% as reported in our larger study [8]. With this foundation, interventional studies could be developed for the prevention and delay of otherwise certain cognitive deterioration, and for remission and recovery at early stages of damage.

(iv) By way of example, desferrioxamine and/or newer generation Al chelating agents could be tested to determine how far cognitive deterioration can progress and still be treatable by Al chelation and removal from the brain.

(II) As to immediate action, at the human level, the following can be considered and implemented appropriately.

(i) Provision to consumers of more complete information as to the presence, type, and amounts of Al contained in commercially prepared foods and drinking water to enable consumers to make informed choices.

(ii) Establishment of a routine standardized blood test designed to determine risk for AD/chronic Al neurotoxicity based on the individual’s efficacy for Al absorption. The testing protocol would need to consider many factors and, if feasible, include a challenge method, given that an individual’s response to Al generally peaks within 30–60 minutes depending on the form of Al ingested. The test history could form a basis for clinical advice to those at particular risk and need the benefit of an informed choice as to ingestion of foods and water containing Al additives, vaccinations containing Al adjuvants, and other miscellaneous sources of exposure to Al.
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