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Abstract

Purpose: Intravertebral clefts (IVC) used to be considered as the unique characteristic of Kümmell’s disease (KD). However, accumulating literatures and our clinical findings identified the presence of IVC in acute osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures (OVCF) as well. Since the exact time for incidence of OVCF is usually difficult to recall for old people, it leads to challenging to differentiate IVC from KD and acute OVCF and begs for further investigation. Moreover, the treatment scheme of the two is not exactly the same. In order to identify the differences between IVC of KD and OVCF, we performed this study of radiological features.

Materials and Methods: Seventy-nine patients with IVC were included in our study, with 44 cases in the KD group and 35 cases in the acute OVCF group. Radiological images, including X-ray, CT, and MRI, were collected for each group.

Results: Consistent with previous reports, IVC was present in both groups. Intriguingly, we found several unique features that were only present in the KD group, including sclerosis of the cleft margin (95.5%, 42/44), ossification of the fractured vertebrae (100%, 44/44), ossification of the pedicle (31.8%, 14/44), double-line sign (27.3%, 12/44), tress fracture of the spinous process (13.6%, 6/44) and even formation of paravertebral callus (18.2%, 8/44).

Conclusion: IVC could present in patients with both KD and acute OVCF, which leads to the challenges in the differentiation of clinical diagnosis. Due to the differences in the treatment, it is essential for surgeons to identify the differences among radiological features between the two. We found that marginal cleft sclerosis, vertebral and pedicle ossification, double-line sign, spinous process fracture, and formation of paravertebral callus are unique radiological features of KD and could be used for differentiation of KD from acute OVCF with IVC.

Introduction

Kümmell’s disease (KD) was first described by Dr. Hermann Kümmell in 1891 when it was defined as a clinical condition in which patients develop a painful progressive angular kyphosis as a result of a delayed vertebral body collapse after minor spinal trauma. The main cornerstones of KD are a history of trauma with a negative x-ray investigation, an asymptomatic period, and a recurrence of symptoms that result in painful kyphosis deformity of the affected spine\(^1\). Multiple terms have been used for describing a similar phenomenon, including delayed post-traumatic vertebral osteonecrosis, intravertebral pseudarthrosis, intravertebral vacuum cleft, delayed vertebral collapse, and nonunion of compression fracture\(^2\). However, none of these terms is precise enough for a specific representation of the disease; thus, in order to maintain consistency in this article, we will refer to this pathology as Kümmell disease.

Intravertebral cleft (IVC) of senile osteoporosis used to be considered as the unique characteristic of KD\(^3\). Still, increasing evidence has shown that IVC is also present in some of the acute osteoporotic
vertebral compression fractures (OVCF)\textsuperscript{5}. Most patients with OVCF can be successfully managed with conservative treatments; percutaneous vertebroplasty (PVP) and kyphoplasty (PKP) have become widely accepted as a treatment for OVCF that could not be treated with conservative treatments\textsuperscript{6}. Yet, for the KD patients, conservative treatment is generally not recommended and the treatment is not limited to PVP and PKP as these surgeries have a higher failure rate than in acute OVCF\textsuperscript{7–9}. The treatment options for KD continue to raise controversies; still, it is first necessary to assess the patient’s basic condition, the stability of fracture, and neurological deficits, after which PVP and PKP, posterior internal fixation, anterior reconstruction or posterior osteotomy should be performed\textsuperscript{10–12}. Since the treatments for KD and acute OVCF are different, it is necessary to differentiate diagnosis before decision making.

KD is also known as avascular necrosis after osteoporotic vertebral compression fracture\textsuperscript{13}. The exact time that injury occurs is a critical clue for differentiation of the diagnosis for KD and acute OVCF. Pathologically, KD is a chronic process from fracture to delayed fracture healing. Although in most of the cases, when fracture happens or fracture healing is delayed, the pain that the patients experienced can point to some evidence. Nevertheless, it is sometimes difficult for the elderly population to recall the details related to the injury they experienced. In this scenario, it is difficult to differentiate KD from acute OVCF based on patients’ chief complaint only, which highlights the importance of radiological evidence that would improve diagnosis. Herein, we propose a reliable method for differentiation of diagnosis between KD and acute OVCF based on the radiological features of IVC.

**Materials And Methods**

**Patient Population**

We retrospectively identified the patients with OVCF proven by radiological imaging admitted at our hospital between Jan. 2014 and Dec. 2018. The inclusion criteria were following: (1) aged 60 years or older; (2) fragility fracture of thoracic or lumbar vertebra (without trauma or with minor trauma, as tumble or sprain); (3) underwent surgical intervention; (4) IVC in the vertebral body could be found by CT imaging; (5) complete radiological information including X-ray, CT, and MRI could be achieved. Exclusion criteria were following: (1) burst fracture of a thoracic and lumbar vertebra; (2) pathological fracture due to infection or malignancy; (3) with adjacent vertebral fractures; (4) incomplete radiological information; (5) younger than 60 years old; (6) cannot recall the details about the injury; (7) with no history of injury; (8) underwent conservative treatment. The study was approved by the institutional review board and the ethics committee of our hospital.

Every included patient needed to recall the details on the exact time interval from injury to treatment. According to the interval time, patients with an interval time of more than 3 weeks were assigned to the KD group, and those with less than 3 weeks were assigned to the acute OVCF group. A biopsy for pathological examination was performed in all patients during surgery. Patients with signs of necrotic bone tissue marked fibrous tissue hyperplasia, and newborn bone formation were categorized as KD
patients, whereas acute OVCF categorization was based on a pathological finding of remote hemorrhage, obvious local granulation tissue hyperplasia, some fibroblasts proliferation, and occasionally a small amount of fibrous callus. Patients with inconclusive pathological reports or inconsistent pathological reports were excluded (Fig. 1).

**Qualitative analysis**

The results of the radiological examination of all patients were independently reviewed by two experienced radiologists. In case of disagreement between the two investigators, a third investigator was involved in the decision-making process. A final decision was reached by mutual consensus. Kappa reliability coefficients were used to assess interobserver reliability.

Radiological features of margin sclerosis of IVC, vertebra and pedicle ossification, stress fracture of the spinous process, paravertebral callus, the shape of IVC, cleft in the adjacent disc, and flatness of IVC's margin were analyzed and recorded from their plain radiographs and computed tomography (CT)(Fig. 2−4). Margin sclerosis of IVC was defined as a layer of bone sclerotic change around the IVC. Vertebra and pedicle ossification was defined as an area of ossification (CT value more than 200HU) that could be discovered in vertebra or pedicle. Paravertebral callus was defined as reactive callus formation surrounding the site of the fracture; the osteophyte was excluded. The IVC's margin was divide into flatness or uneven. Stress fracture of the spinous process was defined as a spinous process fracture of an injured vertebra and adjacent vertebra. A cleft in the adjacent disc was defined as any perceptible shape of a cleft in an adjacent disc. Shapes of IVC were categorized into three patterns: linear type (IVC was evenly and continuously distributed in the vertebral body), triangular type (IVC in the anterior half of the vertebral body with a triangular distribution), and irregular type (IVC was uneven or it had several lines but no continuous distribution).

Radiological features of IVC content, double-line sign, and signal of fracture vertebral were analyzed and recorded from their magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). The content of IVC was categorized into gas and liquid; if the content was mixed with gas and liquid, it was categorized as a liquid. Gas was defined as low signal intensity on T1- weighted and short-time inversion recovery (STIR) magnetic resonance images. The liquid was defined as low signal intensity on T1- weighted magnetic resonance images, with high signal intensity on STIR images. A Double-line sign was identified as a peripheral zone of low intensity surrounding the band of the high intensity on STIR images (Fig. 5). Signal of fracture vertebral was divided into low signal intensity and high signal intensity of T1- weighted and STIR images.

**Statistical methods**

All demographic and clinical data were collected and expressed as mean ± SD for descriptive data. Differences between two groups were assessed with the use of Student t-test or nonparametric tests. Radiological features between the two groups were compared using χ² test. Significance was set at a P-
value of 0.05. Interobserver reliability in the radiological features was evaluated using kappa coefficients 
(strength of agreement defined as < 0 poor, 0.01–0.2 slight, 0.21–0.4 fair, 0.41–0.6 moderate, 0.61–0.8 
substantial, and 0.81–1 almost perfect). The SPSS statistical program (SPSS, Chicago, IL) version 17.0 
was used for statistical analysis.

Results

Patients’ Demographic Data

Radiological results from patients admitted to our hospital between Jan. 2014 and Dec. 2018, and 
diagnosed with OVCF were reviewed retrospectively. Among the 1079 patients with OVCF, there were 180 
patients with IVCs, while there were no patients with multilevel IVC involvement. Ninety-one IVCs were 
excluded according to the pre-stated inclusion and exclusion criteria. According to the interval time from 
injury to treatment, 50 patients were categorized as KD and 39 patients as acute OVCF. Combined with 
pathological results, six patients in the KD group and four patients in acute OVCF group were excluded for 
inconclusive pathological reports. Finally, 44 patients with an average age of 75.3 ± 8.2 were diagnosed 
as KD, whereas 35 patients with an average age of 72.3 ± 8.8 were diagnosed as acute OVCF (Fig. 1). The 
bone mineral density (BMD) of the KD group (−3.11 ± 0.67) was significantly lower than that of the acute 
OVCF group (−2.59 ± 0.71, t = 0.001). There were no differences in the gender, body mass index (BMI), 
and fracture distribution between two groups (Table 1).
### Table 1
Summary of Patient Background Data

|                      | **KD group** (n = 44) | **acute OVCF group** (n = 35) | **P**  |
|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|--------|
| Age (yrs)            | 75.3 ± 8.2            | 72.3 ± 8.8                   | 0.13   |
| Gender (M/F)         | 12/32                 | 10/25                        | 0.90   |
| BMI (kg/m^2)         | 25.9 ± 2.9            | 25.2 ± 3.5                   | 0.34   |
| BMD (T-score)        | −3.11 ± 0.67          | −2.59 ± 0.71                 | 0.001  |
| Fracture distribution|                       |                              |        |
| T7                   | 1(2.3%)               | 0                            | 0.55   |
| T8                   | 0                     | 1(2.9%)                      |        |
| T9                   | 1(2.3%)               | 2(5.7%)                      |        |
| T10                  | 4(9.1%)               | 1(2.9%)                      |        |
| T11                  | 9(20.5%)              | 6(17.14%)                    |        |
| T12                  | 10(22.7%)             | 14(40.0%)                    |        |
| L1                   | 14(31.8%)             | 7(20.0%)                     |        |
| L2                   | 3(6.8%)               | 3(8.6%)                      |        |
| L3                   | 2(4.5%)               | 1(2.9%)                      |        |

Intravertebral cleft (IVC); Kümmell’s disease (KD); Osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures (OVCF); Body mass index (BMI); Bone mineral density (BMD)

### Six radiological features were only presented in the KD group

Among the ten interpreted radiological features, we identified six as only present in the KD group. Sclerosis of the cleft margin was found in 95.5% (42/44) of the IVC in the KD group. In this group, we also found sclerosis in the vertebrae, which was correlated with higher bone mineral density (CT value>200HU) of 100% (44/44) patients. For pedicles, we found 31.8% of the KD patients presented features of sclerosis. As a result of instability, stress fracture of the spinous process occurred in 13.6% (6/44) of patients from the KD group.

Interestingly, we found paravertebral callus formations in about 18.2% (14/44) patients from the KD group (Table 2). According to the MRI, the double-line sign was present in about 27.3% (12/44) patients.
However, they were not present in any of the OVCF acute cases.

Table 2  
Unique radiological features only presented in the KD group but not in acute OVCF

| Imaging Findings                  | KD (n = 44) | Acute OVCF (n = 35) | p      |
|-----------------------------------|------------|---------------------|--------|
| Cleft margin sclerosis            | 42(95.5%)  | 0(0.0%)             | <0.01  |
| Vertebral ossification            | 44(100%)   | 0(0.0%)             | <0.01  |
| Pedicle ossification              | 14(31.8%)  | 0(0.0%)             | <0.01  |
| Double-line sign                  | 12(27.3%)  | 0(0.0%)             | <0.01  |
| Stress fracture of spinous process| 6(13.6%)   | 0(0.0%)             | <0.01  |
| Paravertebral callus              | 8(18.2%)   | 0(0.0%)             | <0.01  |

Intravertebral cleft (IVC); Kümmell's disease (KD); osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures (OVCF)

**Standard radiological features of IVC presented both in KD and acute OVCF groups**

In both groups, MRI signals of injured vertebral bodies showed low signal intensity on T1-weighted images and high signal intensity on STIR images. Gas could be inspected in the IVC for both groups, with about 54.5% (24/44) for the KD group and 28.6% (10/35) for the acute OVCF group (p = 0.02). Besides, the IVC in the vertebral body had significantly more cleft in the intervertebral disc in the KD group compared to the acute OVCF group (59.1% vs. 25.7%, p <0.01, Fig. 2). Regarding the shape of IVC, the margin of IVC was flatter in the KD group (75% vs. 48.6%, p = 0.02). Meanwhile, liner type (61.4%, 27/44) of IVC was more commonly observed in the KD group, while irregular type (45.7%, 16/35) was most commonly seen in the IVC of the acute OVCF group (p = 0.01, Table 3).
Table 3
Common radiological characteristics of IVC in both KD and acute OVCF groups

| Imaging Findings                        | KD (n = 44) | Acute OVCF (n = 35) | P   |
|-----------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|-----|
| Content of IVC                          |             |                     | 0.02|
| Liquid                                  | 20          | 25                  |     |
| Gas                                     | 24          | 10                  |     |
| Cleft sign in adjacent intervertebral disc |             |                     | <0.01|
| Yes                                     | 26          | 9                   |     |
| No                                      | 18          | 26                  |     |
| Margin of IVC                           |             |                     | 0.02|
| Flatness                                | 33          | 17                  |     |
| Uneven                                  | 11          | 18                  |     |
| Shape of IVC                            |             |                     | 0.01|
| Linear type                             | 27          | 10                  |     |
| Triangular type                         | 7           | 9                   |     |
| Irregular type                          | 10          | 16                  |     |

Intravertebral cleft (IVC); Kümmell's disease (KD); osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures (OVCF)

The kappa value of interobserver reliability was 0.949 for the presence of the sclerosis of the cleft margin, 0.923 for vertebral and pedicle ossification, 0.78 for a stress fracture of the spinous process, 0.771 for paravertebral callus, 0.782 for the shape of IVC, 0.673 for the flatness of IVC’s margin, 0.746 for a cleft in an adjacent disc, 0.847 for the content of IVC.

Discussion

KD is an OVCF related complication. The disease can occur several months after the initial spinal injury, and it is characterized by delayed development, which makes it different from common OVCFs. Multiple terms have been used for describing KD; however, to maintain consistency throughout this article, we referred to this pathology as KD\textsuperscript{14}.

Compared with acute OVCF with IVC, KD is more complicated for treatment and has higher failure rates during percutaneous vertebroplasty or kyphoplasty. According to Lee et al\textsuperscript{15}, the treatment of KD is more
prone to failure due to injections of Polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) into a cystic cavity that are believed to have far less interdigitation with the surrounding bone compared to an injection into a partially intact trabecular bone. PMMA cement in vertebroplasty thus merely functions as space-occupying material without any mechanical interlock or biocompatibility. Therefore, there is the potential for dislodgment or fragmentation leading to a further kyphotic deformity. Heo et al. investigated the incidence rate, characteristics, and predisposing factors associated with re-collapse of the same vertebrae after PVP and concluded that the most important predisposing factor for re-collapse was pre-operative osteonecrosis.

The differences in the treatment of KD and acute OVCF highlight the importance of diagnosis differentiation between the two. Due to the different pathogenesis of KD and acute OVCF, the most vital clue to the differential diagnosis is the detailed history of the injury. Yet, from a clinical point of view, doctors are not only facing a simple task of distinguishing between fresh OVCF and old OVCF, since osteoporotic vertebra fractures in the elderly are often caused by minor trauma, such as bending, twisting, or even coughing, and quite often these patients cannot recall the injury very well. Furthermore, it is not easy to distinguish whether it's KD or acute OVCF merely through imaging, because the MRI signals of fracture vertebral body all show low signal intensity on T1-weighted images and high signal intensity on STIR images. Therefore, it is essential to diagnose KD and acute OVCF based on other radiological features.

In the present study, we identified six radiological features that were only found in IVC of the KD group. Marginal sclerosis of IVC (95.5%) and ossification around IVC of the vertebral body (100%) are the two most essential features for differential diagnosis. Although CT examination of acute OVCF can also sometimes reveal an increase in CT value around the fracture, careful analysis of the images shows that it is caused by trabecular bone accumulation around the fracture site. However, these two characteristic signs have still not received enough attention in clinical practice so far. The other four features, including ossification in the pedicles (31.8%), double-line sign (27.3%), stress fracture of the spinous process (13.6%), and paravertebral callus formation (18.2%), which were only present in the IVC of KD, could only be used to assist diagnosis due to their low incidence. KD is usually defined as delayed fracture union with necrosis of the vertebral body, and bone hyperplasia and paravertebral callus formation were found around IVC in the group of KD. As a result, these five distinctive radiological features can help to distinguish from the IVC of acute OVCF.

Meanwhile, some features were present in both groups, including the content of IVC, the flatness of the edge of IVC, and the cleft in the adjacent intervertebral discs. These features were not unique for KD patients; however, the incidence of these characteristics was significantly different. For example, the majority of IVC contained gas in KD, and liquid in the group of acute OVCF. We found that the shape of IVC in the KD group was linear or triangular, while IVC in the acute OVCF was irregular. These features could also be helpful for differentiation between the two.

Our results could be useful for differential diagnosis and decision making before surgery. For instance, for those with severe marginal sclerosis of IVC, PVP or PKP should be reconsidered since PMMA have far
less interdigitation with the surrounding bone, which in turn has a higher possibility of bone cement displacement. Additionally, for those IVC combined with stress fractures of the spinous process, which suggests instability of the segmental, the application of internal fixation should be considered.

Our study has some limitations that need to be pointed out. First, the study was retrospectively designed, which is the main limitation. Second, the inclusion criteria and exclusive criteria were strict, and some patients with incomplete radiological information may have been excluded. Future studies are required to further the understanding of the underlying mechanism of the IVC formation in acute OVCF.

**Conclusion**

Increasing evidence has shown that IVC could be present not only in patients with KD but also in some patients with acute OVCF, thus highlighting the importance of diagnosis differentiation between the two. Our study found that IVC in patients with KD had exclusive radiological features including cleft margin sclerosis, vertebral and pedicle ossification, double-line sign, spinous process fracture, and paravertebral callus. These radiological features have never before been reported and could be useful for the differentiating diagnosis between KD and acute OVCF when IVC is found in the vertebral body.
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**Figures**
Figure 1

Flowchart of patients in the study.
Figure 2

Radiological characteristics of IVC in the KD group. (a) Sclerosis of the cleft margin and the vertebral body. (b) Pedicle sclerosis. (c) stress fracture of the spinous process. (d) Paravertebral callus (arrows).

Figure 3

Radiological characteristics of IVC in the acute OVCF group. (a) No signs of sclerotic on the margin of cleft and in the vertebral body of the fracture vertebrae without fracture spinous process. (b) No signs of sclerosis of the pedicles of the fractured vertebrae. (c) No paravertebral callus could be found.
Figure 4

Demonstration of different shapes of IVC. (a) Linear type: IVC is evenly and continuously distributed in the vertebral body. (b) Triangular type: displayed in the anterior column of the vertebrae with the triangular distribution. (c) Irregular type: unevenly and irregular distribution.

Figure 5

(a) CT image of a 78-year-old man showing an IVC in L1. (b) Sagittal STIR image and (c) Sagittal T2-weighted magnetic resonance showing a high linear signal with surrounding low intensity is visible ('double-line sign'; arrows). (d) Sagittal T1-weighted magnetic resonance showing a low signal in L1.