Structure and star formation in disc galaxies – I. Sample selection and near-infrared imaging
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ABSTRACT
We present near-infrared imaging of a sample of 57 relatively large, northern spiral galaxies with low inclination. After describing the selection criteria and some of the basic properties of the sample, we give a detailed description of the data collection and reduction procedures. The $K_s, \lambda = 2.2$-\mum images cover most of the disc for all galaxies, with a field of view of at least 4.2 arcmin. The spatial resolution is better than 1 arcsec for most images. We fit bulge and exponential disc components to radial profiles of the light distribution. We then derive the basic parameters of these components, and the bulge/disc ratio, and explore correlations of these parameters with several galaxy parameters.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Near-infrared (NIR) imaging of galaxies is a better tracer of the underlying stellar structure than optical imaging, for two reasons. First, the effects of extinction by dust in the galaxy are much reduced (an order of magnitude from $V$ to $K$), and secondly, the NIR emission is a better tracer of the older stellar populations, which contain most of the stellar mass. The combined effect of these two factors is that NIR emission is a more accurate tracer of the mass in galaxies. This is also why the fraction of galactic bars, mostly devoid of current star formation (SF), is higher as determined from NIR than from optical surveys (∼70 per cent versus ∼55 per cent, e.g. Sellwood & Wilkinson 1993; Mulchaey & Regan 1997; Eskridge et al. 2000; Knapen, Shlosman & Peletier 2000).

The main technical problems in NIR imaging of spiral discs are the high background at 2.2 \mum, and the relatively small size of NIR array detectors. Detectors with $1024 \times 1024$ pixel, such as those used for the present paper, have only been in use since approximately 1996, and many of the cameras built around such arrays have been constructed to exploit the higher spatial resolution in the NIR, and/or the possibilities of adaptive optics (e.g. KIR on the CFHT, Doyon et al. 1998; UFTI on UKIRT, Roche et al. 2002). So, whereas optical surveys of galaxy discs have been performed for decades, resulting, among many other results, in galaxy catalogues such as the RC3 (de Vaucouleurs et al. 1991), NIR surveys covering the whole disc of nearby spiral galaxies are only now starting to be published (e.g. 2MASS: Skrutskie et al. 1997; Seigar & James 1998a,b; Moriondo et al. 1999; Möllenhoff & Heidt 2001; Eskridge et al. 2002; Jarrett et al. 2003; this paper). The NIR cameras we used for the presently described project have been designed as a compromise between sampling the best seeing conditions and a relatively wide field of view (INGRID on the 4.2-m William Herschel Telescope, Packham et al. 2003, or specifically for wide-field imaging (PISCES on the 2.3-m Bok telescope, McCarthy et al. 2001). The presently described survey offers several advantages over most of the other studies mentioned above, namely by offering a set of high-resolution (the median value for the FWHM seeing across our sample is 0.90 arcsec, well-sampled (pixel size 0.24 arcsec for all but four images), reasonably deep images of a representative sample of nearby, not highly inclined, northern spiral galaxies.

Decomposition of galaxy light in bulge and disc components has received renewed attention in recent years (e.g. Andreidakis, Peletier & Balcells 1995; Byun & Freeman 1995; de Jong 1996a; Graham 2001; Möllenhoff & Heidt 2001). One of the main reasons for this renewed attention stems from the realization that not all spheroids have $R^{1/4}$ de Vaucouleurs (1948) type profiles, but often are better fitted by $R^{1/n}$ Sersic (1968) type profiles. Furthermore, it has been suggested that the shape of the bulge and its relation to the disc may hold clues concerning the formation history of the galaxy components (e.g. Courteau, de Jong & Broeils 1996; Andreidakis 1998; Graham 2001; Trujillo et al. 2002). It is now generally believed that early-type spiral galaxies have bulges with de Vaucouleurs-like profiles (i.e. Sérsic $n$ values of the order of 4) and late-type spiral galaxies bulges with exponential-like profiles with $n \sim 1$, probably with a continuum in between. It has been suggested that the exponential bulges in late-type spiral galaxies are the result of bar
instabilities driving gas towards the centre and scattering stars in the vertical direction by buckling resonances, while the de Vaucouleurs-type bulges are the result of accretion and merging events, probably early in the history of the galaxy (e.g. Courteau et al. 1996; Aguerri, Balcells & Peletier 2001). An alternative view was put forward by Andrekakis et al. (1995), who proposed that the outer parts of $R^{1/4}$ bulges are strongly affected by the disc in late-type spiral galaxies, resulting in bulges with lower $n$ values. Andrekakis (1998) found that by growing a disc adiabatically on an existing $R^{1/4}$ bulge in a collisionless $N$-body simulation, he could indeed lower the $n$ value of the resulting bulge, but not to values lower than $n = 2$.

In this paper, we present NIR imaging in the 2.2-$\mu$m $K_s$ band of a complete sample of 57 nearby, not highly inclined, northern spiral galaxies of all types. We also present fits to the separate bulge and disc components on the basis of radial surface brightness profiles. Optical broad ($B, J$) and narrow ($H\alpha$) band imaging of all galaxies in our sample will be presented in Paper II (Knapen et al., in preparation). In further papers, we will study the morphology of the $H\alpha$ emission in the central few kiloparsecs of the sample galaxies to explore the relative frequencies of, for instance, central peaks or nuclear rings among the sample. Correlations with morphological type or nuclear non-stellar activity will then be explored. We will also use ellipse fits to the NIR images to explore the parameters of the bars in the sample galaxies, and will use disc scalelengths to investigate whether, and in what fashion, these are affected by emission from young stars in areas of current star formation. The currently presented data set offers many more opportunities for study, and as examples we mention the study by Block et al. (2001) who derived gravitational torques due to bars from our NIR images, and that by Jogee et al. (2002), who used one of our NIR images, of NGC 5248, to argue for the dynamical connection of the different components identified in that galaxy.

2 THE SAMPLE

2.1 Sample selection

Our sample of galaxies was selected from the list of Elmegreen & Elmegreen (1987), which contains 708 galaxies from the Second Reference Catalogue of Bright Galaxies (RC2; de Vaucouleurs, de Vaucouleurs & Corwin 1976). The Elmegreen and Elmegreen sample was selected to include all those galaxies listed in the RC2 with declination $\delta > -35^\circ$, inclination $i < 60^\circ$ and inclination-corrected diameter at 25 mag arcsec$^{-2}$, $D_{25}$, greater than 2 arcmin. Our sample of 57 galaxies was extracted from this large sample to give a smaller subset, primarily for analysis of the spiral arm properties, as follows.

(i) All galaxies of 4.2 arcmin and above in diameter ($D_{25}$) were selected to ensure that we could isolate the spiral arms, even at lower spatial resolutions.
(ii) Galaxies with $\delta < -20^\circ$ were excluded, ensuring all galaxies could be observed from the northern hemisphere.
(iii) Galaxies of inclination higher than 50$^\circ$, where we would have difficulty isolating the spiral structure, were excluded.

This gave a sample of 57 galaxies (Table 1) of which six have a diameter larger than 10 arcmin. The limitations of galaxy catalogues are various, due to their adopted criteria of inclusion and their degree of completeness. The RC2 from which the original sample was chosen is, as implied by its title, magnitude limited. No attempt has been made by us to investigate selection effects in the sample, but we note that the range of galaxies included in our sample is by nature limited in apparent diameter, brightness, and thus also in redshift $z$. This is a factor that must be taken into account with any conclusions drawn from the data.

Our sample of spiral galaxies covers the full range of morphological types from SA to SB, the full range of Hubble types, and the complete range of arm class from 1 to 12 (Elmegreen & Elmegreen 1987). The sample contains normal and active galaxies; isolated, companion and cluster member galaxies; disturbed and undisturbed galaxies; barred and unbarred galaxies; galaxies with and without extensive massive star-forming regions; and galaxies with and without nuclear rings or other nuclear structure. Some of the most important of such basic parameters of the sample galaxies are given in Table 1. Stedman & Knapen (2001) present graphically the distribution of the sample galaxies as a function of morphological type, arm class, bar type, disc diameter, ellipticity and systemic velocity, and we thus refer to the figures in that paper.

Of the sample galaxies, 23 per cent are barred (RC3-type SB), 26 per cent are unbarred (SA) and 51 per cent are mixed (SX), giving a total of 74 per cent of galaxies with bar structure. This is consistent with the findings of, for example, Knapen et al. (2000), who estimate a bar fraction of approximately two-thirds for all galaxies. Again based on classifications from the RC3, 25 per cent of the sample galaxies possess an inner ring structure, 30 per cent possess an inner $s$-shaped structure and 45 per cent are mixed. The sample covers the full range of Hubble types from S0/a to Sm, with a marked excess of type bc and c galaxies. In fact, these two classes of open-armed spirals contribute 40 per cent of the total. Every arm class is represented in the sample, with 45 per cent of the galaxies in classes 7, 8, 9 and 12, denoting grand design spiral arm structure. Our sample galaxies are fairly evenly distributed in recession velocity, lying mostly between 750 and 1750 km s$^{-1}$, with a few galaxies at velocities between 2000 and 3000 km s$^{-1}$. We checked the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED) for nuclear activity in our galaxies. As listed in Table 1, 27 galaxies (52 per cent) are listed as showing some type of nuclear activity, of which almost half (13) are Seyferts and most others are LINERs.

We conclude that although the sample is limited by its selection criteria, most importantly a lower limit on diameter, and is therefore not ‘complete’ in a strictly statistical sense, it is internally consistent and a fair, representative sample of all galaxies within the selection parameters, i.e. bright, relatively face-on spiral galaxies.

3 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

3.1 INGRID observations

Images of all but four of our sample galaxies were obtained through the $K_s$ filter with the INGRID NIR camera (Packham et al. 2003) at the Cassegrain focus of the 4.2-m William Herschel Telescope (WHT). The INGRID camera is built around a 1024 $\times$ 1024 pixel
Table 1. Global parameters of the galaxies in the observed sample. Except where otherwise mentioned, all figures are from the RC3. Tabulated are NGC (column 1) and Messier numbers (column 2) of the sample galaxies; morphological type from the RC3 (column 3) and from the Carnegie Atlas of Galaxies (Sandage & Bedke 1994; column 4); nuclear activity from the NED (column 5); apparent major isophotal diameter measured at or reduced to surface brightness level $\mu_B = 25.0$ $B$-mag arcsec$^{-2}$ (column 6); Elmegreen arm class (from Elmegreen & Elmegreen 1987; column 7), where the arm class ranges from 1 (foculently) to 12 (grand design); inclination (i; column 8), from the ratio of the major to the minor isophotal diameter; position angle of the major axis of the disc (column 9); total absolute magnitude $M_B$ (column 10); mean heliocentric radial velocity as derived from neutral hydrogen observations ($v$; column 11); distance from the Nearby Galaxies Catalogue (Tully 1988; column 12) and image scale (column 13), as derived from the distance.

| Galaxy  | NGC | M (RC3) | Type (Carnegie) | Activity (NED) | $D_{25}$ (arcmin) | Arm class | i (deg) | PA (deg) | $M_B$ (mag) | $v$ (km s$^{-1}$) | $D$ (Mpc) | Scale (pc/arcsec) |
|---------|-----|---------|----------------|---------------|------------------|-----------|--------|----------|-------------|----------------|------------|-----------------|
| 0210    | .SXS3 | Sb      |                |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 0311    | .SXS4 | Sc      |                |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 0488    | .SAR1 | Sab     |                |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 0628    | .SAS5 | Sc      |                |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 0864    | .SXT5 | Sbc     |                |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 1042    | .SXT6 | Sc      |                |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 1068    | .RSAT3| Sb      | Sy1 Sy2        |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 1073    | .SBT5 | Sbc     |                |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 1169    | .SXRS1| Sb      |                |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 1300    | .SBT4 | Sbb/SB0  |              |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 2775    | .SAR2 | Sa      |                |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 2805    | .SXT7 | Sc      | H II           |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 2985    | .PSAT2| Sab     | LINER          |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 3184    | .SXT6 | Sc      | H II           |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 3227    | .SXS1P| Sc/Sb    | Sy1.5          |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 3344    | .RSXR1| Sc      |                |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 3351    | .SBR3 | Sbb     | H II Sbrit     |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 3365    | .SXT2 | Sc      | Sy LINER       |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 3486    | .SXR5 | Sbc     | Sy1.5          |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 3631    | .SAS5 | Sbc     |                |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 3726    | .SXR5 | Sbc     | Sy LINER       |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 3810    | .SAT5 | Sc      |                |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 4030    | .AS4  | Sbc     | Sy1.5          |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 4051    | .SXT4 | Sbc     | Sy1.5          |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 4123    | .SBR5 | Sbb     | Sbrt H II      |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 4145    | .SXT7 | Sc      | H II/LINER     |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 4151    | .PSXT2| Sab     | Sy1.5          |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 4242    | .SXS8 | Sc/SbD  |                |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 4254    | 99 .SAS5 | Sb      |                |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 4303    | 61 .SXT4 | Sc      | H II Sy2      |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 4314    | 99 .SXT1 | Sc      | H II Sy2      |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 4321    | 100 .SXT4 | Sc      | LINER H II    |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 4395    | 99 .SAS9 | Sc      | Sy1.5          |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 4450    | .SA2  | Sbc     | LINER          |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 4487    | .SXT6 | Sc      |                |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 4535    | .SXS5 | Sbc     |                |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 4548    | 91 .SBT3| SBB     | LINER Sy      |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 4579    | 58 .SXT3 | Sc      | LINER Sy1.9   |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 4618    | .SBT9 | Sbb     | H II          |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 4689    | .SAT4 | Sc      |                |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 4725    | .SXR2P| Sbb     | Sy2           |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 4736    | 94 .RSAR2| Sab     | Sy2 LINER     |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 5247    | .SAS4 | Sc      |                |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 5248    | .SXT4 | Sbc     | Sy2 H II      |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 5354    | .SBT5 *| Scb     |                |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 5371    | .SXT4 | Sbb/Sbb  | LINER         |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 5457    | 101 .SXT6 | Sc      |                |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 5474    | .SAS6P| Scd/SbD  | H II          |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 5580    | .SBR3 | Sbb     |                |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 5921    | .SBR4 | Sbbc    | LINER         |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 5964    | .SBT7 | Sb      | LINER         |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 6140    | .SB6P | Sc      |                |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 6384    | .SX4 | Sb      | LINER         |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 6946    | .SXT6 | Sc      | H II          |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 7727    | .SXS1P| Sa      |                |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
| 7741    | .SBS6 | Sbc     |                |               |                  |           |        |          |             |                |            |                 |
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Table 2. Properties of the final NIR images: telescope used to obtain the image (column 2), total on-source exposure time in minutes (column 3), seeing as measured from the final image (in arcsec, column 4) and field of view on the final image (in arcmin, where images are assumed to be square; column 5).

| Galaxy         | Telescope | Exp. time (min) | Seeing (arcsec) | Size (arcmin) |
|----------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|
| NGC 0210       | WHT       | 24              | 1.04            | 4.2          |
| NGC 0337A      | WHT       | 28              | 1.04            | 4.2          |
| NGC 0488       | WHT       | 45              | 1.68            | 4.9          |
| NGC 0628       | Bok       | N/A             | 1.55            | 11.0         |
| NGC 0864       | WHT       | 24              | 0.94            | 4.2          |
| NGC 1042       | WHT       | 45              | 2.16            | 4.8          |
| NGC 1068       | Bok       | N/A             | 1.64            | 9.6          |
| NGC 1073       | WHT       | 24              | 1.02            | 4.2          |
| NGC 1169       | WHT       | 24              | 0.78            | 4.2          |
| NGC 1179       | WHT       | 28              | 0.89            | 4.2          |
| NGC 1300       | WHT       | 32              | 1.03            | 5.2          |
| NGC 2775       | WHT       | 12              | 0.78            | 4.2          |
| NGC 2805       | WHT       | 48              | 1.06            | 4.2          |
| NGC 2985       | WHT       | 20              | 1.14            | 4.2          |
| NGC 3184       | WHT       | 24              | 0.89            | 5.1          |
| NGC 3227       | WHT       | 12              | 0.73            | 4.7          |
| NGC 3344       | WHT       | 24              | 0.85            | 4.9          |
| NGC 3351       | WHT       | 12              | 1.14            | 7.4          |
| NGC 3368       | WHT       | 8               | 0.77            | 5.0          |
| NGC 3486       | WHT       | 24              | 0.83            | 4.9          |
| NGC 3631       | WHT       | 38              | 0.87            | 4.2          |
| NGC 3726       | WHT       | 12              | 0.69            | 4.2          |
| NGC 3810       | WHT       | 12              | 0.69            | 4.2          |
| NGC 4030       | WHT       | 30              | 0.69            | 4.2          |
| NGC 4051       | WHT       | 12              | 0.74            | 4.8          |
| NGC 4123       | WHT       | 28              | 0.76            | 4.2          |
| NGC 4145       | WHT       | 36              | 1.04            | 4.2          |
| NGC 4151       | WHT       | 12              | 0.75            | 4.8          |
| NGC 4242       | WHT       | 24              | 1.26            | 4.2          |
| NGC 4254       | WHT       | 12              | 0.82            | 4.2          |
| NGC 4303       | WHT       | 19              | 1.06            | 4.8          |
| NGC 4314       | WHT       | 35              | 2.02            | 4.2          |
| NGC 4321       | WHT       | 12              | 0.76            | 5.0          |
| NGC 4395       | WHT       | 20              | 1.04            | 4.8          |
| NGC 4450       | WHT       | 12              | 0.89            | 4.7          |
| NGC 4487       | WHT       | 13              | 0.83            | 4.2          |
| NGC 4535       | WHT       | 12              | 0.77            | 5.0          |
| NGC 4548       | WHT       | 12              | 0.81            | 4.8          |
| NGC 4579       | WHT       | 12              | 0.98            | 4.8          |
| NGC 4618       | WHT       | 28              | 1.16            | 4.2          |
| NGC 4689       | WHT       | 12              | 0.81            | 4.2          |
| NGC 4725       | WHT       | 24              | 0.97            | 6.0          |
| NGC 4736       | WHT       | 19              | 0.98            | 5.2          |
| NGC 5247       | WHT       | 12              | 0.81            | 4.8          |
| NGC 5248       | WHT       | 12              | 0.79            | 4.8          |
| NGC 5334       | WHT       | 16              | 0.94            | 4.2          |
| NGC 5371       | WHT       | 12              | 0.91            | 4.2          |
| NGC 5457       | WHT       | 6               | 3.03            | 4.2          |
| NGC 5474       | WHT       | 16              | 1.00            | 4.2          |
| NGC 5850       | WHT       | 12              | 0.93            | 4.2          |
| NGC 5921       | WHT       | 12              | 1.02            | 4.2          |
| NGC 5964       | WHT       | 32              | 0.82            | 4.2          |
| NGC 6140       | Bok       | N/A             | 1.74            | 8.0          |
| NGC 6384       | WHT       | 8               | 0.70            | 4.2          |
| NGC 6946       | Bok       | N/A             | 2.31            | 11.6         |
| NGC 7727       | WHT       | 56              | 0.82            | 4.2          |
| NGC 7741       | WHT       | 75              | 0.90            | 4.8          |

3.2 INGRID data reduction

The data reduction was performed using a combination of standard and purpose-built IRAF and IDL tasks. The main reduction script has been adapted from a set of IDL procedures originally written by F. Rigaut and R. Doyon to reduce images taken with the KIR camera on the CFHT. The first step is the creation of a background frame from offset sky exposures taken before and after the galaxy frames. The different background frames are combined using an iterative median combination algorithm in order to remove stellar images and bad pixels that may be present in the individual exposures. In each of the (normally three) iterations the individual frames are compared with the median-combined frame produced from them, and any pixels that lead to peaks or troughs in the combined image of more than three times the noise level are set to undefined in the offending single frame in the next iteration.

The background frame is then subtracted from each individual galaxy frame, and the background-subtracted galaxy frames are median-combined into the reduced image. In this latter step, bad images we obtained after this date were re-gridded to the original pixel size of 0.242 arcsec.

2 The pixel size of INGRID is 0.238 arcsec as of 2001 March 22. The few images we obtained after this date were re-gridded to the original pixel size of 0.242 arcsec.
Figure 1. $K_s$ images of all galaxies in the sample. North is up and east is to the left in all images, and the scale of each individual image is indicated by the white bar in the bottom right-hand corner, which represents a length of 1 arcmin. Indicative contours are shown at surface brightness levels of 17 and 15 mag arcsec$^{-2}$ for all galaxies, except the following, for which contours are shown at 16 and 15 mag arcsec$^{-2}$: NGC 2775, 3368, 4030, 4051, 4254, 4303, 4535, 4548, 4736, 5248, 6384.

Where several images of the same galaxy were available, either taken during the same night or on different nights, these images were averaged after registering them using the positions of a number of foreground stars, and/or the nucleus of the galaxy. The procedures used for the alignment were very similar to those described in
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Section 3.4, below, for the registering of NIR and optical images. What we will refer to as ‘final’ images are those that have been registered with the optical images of our data set (as described in detail in Paper II).

Photometric calibration was performed by comparison of the final, combined, images with the reduced images as obtained on individual photometric nights. For those nights, calibration constants were derived from the observations of photometric standard stars. We measured the total flux in the two images in a circular aperture centred on the nucleus of the galaxy, making sure that exactly the same area was taken for both the photometrically calibrated and the final image. Correcting for background and pixel size, which are in some cases different in the photometric and final images, we thus calibrate the final images.
3.3 PISCES observations and data reduction

Images of four of our sample galaxies were obtained using the PISCES camera on the Bok 2.3-m telescope of the Steward Observatory, on 1999 October 17 (NGC 6946) and 18 (NGC 628, 1068, 6140). The PISCES camera (McCarthy et al. 2001) uses the same kind of array as INGRID, but gives pixels of 0.5 arcsec on the sky. The details of the observations, data reduction and calibration procedures for these images are described in McCarthy et al. (2001) and only the essentials are repeated here. The PISCES camera has an 8.5-arcmin circular field of view and the galaxies were mosaicked with a few central images and many overlapping images in the outer parts, interspaced with sky offset images. Flat-fields were created by differencing a number of early and late twilight images. The flat-fielded object images were sky subtracted by weight-averaged and clipped offset sky frames. The object images were
Figure 2. Surface brightness profiles (solid line) for all our sample galaxies, with maximum uncertainty due to errors in the subtraction of sky background indicated by the dotted lines. Bulge/disc decompositions are only plotted if at least one component had errors of less than 30 per cent. Bulge and disc fits are indicated by short-dashed lines, the sum of the fitted components by a long-dashed line, and the fitting parameters are listed below the NGC number. The bulge parameters: \( \mu_e, B \) (in K-mag arcsec\(^{-2} \)), \( R_e, B \) (in arcsec) and \( n \), respectively, are given on the top line, the disc parameters: \( \mu_0 \) (in K-mag arcsec\(^{-2} \)) and \( h \) (in arcsec) on the bottom line. All components with parameter uncertainties larger than 30 per cent due to sky background subtraction errors have been marked by a star. This figure is available in colour in the online version of the journal on Synergy.
corrected for image distortions and then optimally combined using cross-correlations for positional offsets and using airmass coefficient calibrations for intensity matching. Effective exposure times range typically from approximately 1000 s in the outer regions to 2000 s in the centre. Seeing on the combined images is 2.3 arcsec for the October 17 observation and 1.6 arcsec for those of October 18. Calibration was performed by observing standard star fields from the list of Hunt et al. (1998) at least four times a night at several airmasses, to allow accurate calculation of airmass and colour terms.
3.4 Final data sets

Since we are interested in comparing the NIR images with the optical images we have obtained for our sample galaxies, we have used a special procedure to make the NIR images directly comparable to the others. This procedure is described in detail in Paper II, where we also describe the optical observations and their reduction, but in outline the following was performed. We determined the positions of three foreground stars (or failing that, two stars plus the galaxy nucleus) in each of the images to be combined, and in an astrometrically calibrated image which we obtained from the Digitized Sky Survey (DSS). From these positions, an IRAF script we
developed calculates the translation, rotation and scaling needed for each image. It also determines which image has the highest resolution (smallest pixels) and the largest extent. Finally, the rotation needed to place the image set in an RA, Dec. orientation is determined from the position of the stars in the DSS image. Images are then rotated, translated and scaled in such a way that the resulting images are correctly oriented, have the pixel size of the image with the smallest pixels and the extent of that covering the largest area. Those images covering a smaller area are filled with zero-valued pixels. Even though the resulting images may contain many more pixels, and are thus much larger, this is the only way to ensure that no information is lost. Table 2 gives the size of the fully reduced...
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*K* images, but before the operations described immediately above. The area given in the table thus represents the useful size of the NIR images. Table 2 also lists with which telescope the images were obtained, and the total on-source exposure time and the spatial resolution. The NIR images of all galaxies are shown in Fig. 1.

### 4 BULGE/DISC DECOMPOSITION

In recent years, performing bulge/disc (B/D) decompositions in two dimensions (2D), i.e. on the full image, instead of in one dimension (1D), on the luminosity profiles, has become the accepted norm (e.g.
Byun & Freeman 1995; de Jong 1996a; Möllenhoff & Heidt 2001; Simard et al. 2002; Tran et al. 2003). We have made 2D fits to our K-band images using the GIM2D code (Simard et al. 2002). Unfortunately, due to limitations in the signal-to-noise ratio and image extent, and due to mosaicking imperfections, 2D fitting of our K-band images proved to be highly unstable. We therefore resorted to 1D B/D fitting, pointing out that at least the resulting disc parameters (and most of the bulge parameters) will be equal within the errors of the fit in the 1D and 2D cases (de Jong 1996a; MacArthur, Courteau & Holtzman 2003). In addition, for face-on galaxies 1D and 2D
fitting is nearly identical when no non-axisymmetric features (bars, arms) are fitted and error weighting is performed consistently.

We used the IRAF ellipse fitting tasks to fit radial profiles to the surface brightness distribution in all images. We used sets of fixed position angle ellipticity for each galaxy, as taken from the RC3 and checked with our NIR and optical (Paper II) images. The resulting azimuthally averaged profiles are shown in Fig. 2. The 1D fitting technique we used is very similar to that described in de Jong (1996a), with some modifications reflecting the investigations described by MacArthur et al. (2003). The Levenberg–Marquardt non-linear least-squares fitting technique was used. For the discs we used exponential profiles, for the bulges Sérsic profiles.
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fitted, the model profiles were convolved with Gaussian seeing profiles with FWHMs equal to the FWHMs measured on foreground stars in the images. To reduce the effects of uncertainties in the seeing profiles, we discarded the inner few points, namely those with radii less than two-tenths of the FWHM seeing value. Relative weights of the profile data points were set to reflect photon statistics, uncertainties due to sky measurement errors, seeing errors and errors due to unmodelled features. The latter correction is necessary because the light profiles contain features that are not modelled, such as bars and spiral arms, which cause residuals in the fit (see, e.g., de Jong 1996a, his fig. 3). Not taking the unmatched components into account will result in reduced $\chi^2$ values much larger than 1. We have used an uncertainty of 0.05 mag rms at each data point due to unmatched components in our weighting scheme.

As shown by MacArthur et al. (2003), fitting Sérsic profiles with a free $n$ value is very unstable and often results in unphysical results. We have used a similar grid search technique as them, fitting the profiles with fixed Sérsic $n$ values, with $n$ ranging from 0.5 to 5 in steps of 0.1. Unlike MacArthur et al. (2003), we did not use a combination of an inner and a global $\chi^2$ to select the best fit, but used only the $\chi^2$ of the whole profile fit to select the best fit.

All fits were repeated with the maximum sky error estimate added and subtracted from the luminosity profile. This provides a reliable estimate of the uncertainties in the bulge and disc parameters, as it has been shown before that sky errors dominate B/D decompositions (e.g. de Jong 1996a; MacArthur et al. 2003). The fits of many galaxies had large errors because of the limited field of view of the detector compared with the galaxy size and the limits of mosaicking in the near-IR. We therefore decided to exclude all disc parameters if the uncertainty in their central surface brightness ($\mu_0$) or disc scale-length ($h$) was larger than 30 per cent or if the fitted scalelength was larger than half the image size. Likewise, bulge fits were rejected if the error in the effective radius ($R_e$) or in the surface brightness at this radius ($\mu_{e,B}$) was larger than 30 per cent, if $R_e,B$ was smaller than the FWHM of the seeing, or if $n \leq 0.8$ (as is the case for NGC 3351 and 7741, which have disturbed centres). This strict selection leaves only 13 of the 57 galaxies with both reliable disc and bulge parameters. In Fig. 2 we show the fits for all of those galaxies where either the bulge or the disc fit was reliable.

Fitting results for those galaxies with at least a reliable bulge or a reliable disc fit are presented in Fig. 3 and Table 3. Fits are not plotted for those galaxies where both bulge and disc parameters could not reliably be determined. Bulge or disc parameters that were poorly determined are marked by a star in Fig. 2.

In what follows, photometric parameters were corrected for Galactic foreground extinction following the precepts of Schlegel, Finkbeiner & Davis (1998). Distances to put measurements on an absolute scale were obtained from the Nearby Galaxies Catalogue (Tully 1988). Disc surface brightnesses were corrected to face-on values using the fully transparent correction of $\mu_i^0 = \mu_0 - 2.5 \log (1 - e)$, where the ellipticities $e$ were taken from the RC3 but double-checked with the outer parts of the images.

Many combinations between the various bulge and disc parameters can be investigated, but with so few reliable decompositions we refer to previous work for most of them (e.g. Moriondo et al. 1998; Graham 2001; Möllenhoff & Heidt 2001). We note, however,
that for spiral galaxies correlations between the disc parameters are severely affected by selection effects, most notably in the case of correlations involving $\mu_0$ and $h$. Intrinsically large, high surface brightness galaxies are simply more likely to enter a magnitude- or diameter-limited sample than small, low surface brightness galaxies (Allen & Shu 1979; Disney & Philiips 1983). These selection effects have to be taken into account to calculate proper distributions (de Jong & Lacey 2000; Blanton et al. 2001; Cross & Driver 2002). Furthermore, if bulge parameters are tightly correlated with disc parameters (such as the $h/R_e$ ratio discussed below), then the distribution of bulge parameters will also be biased.

In Fig. 3 we show the reliable bulge and disc parameters as a function of morphological $T$-type (from the RC3) and of best-fitting $n$. As noted before (e.g. de Jong 1996b; Möllenhoff & Heidt 2001), the change from $T$-type 2 to 8 is strongly correlated with the change in bulge luminosity. The decrease in luminosity with increasing $T$-type is mainly the result of bulge surface brightness changes helped a little bit by bulge shape changes, but is not due to bulge scale size changes.

Fig. 3 also shows that the disc luminosities peak at approximately $T$ type 3–4, decreasing on average for earlier and later types, driven mainly by surface brightness but partly by scale size, as observed previously by de Jong (1996b) and Möllenhoff & Heidt (2001). The relative bulge to disc scale size ratio does not change much in this morphological type range as often observed before (e.g. Courteau et al. 1996; de Jong 1996b; Moriondo et al. 1998; Graham 2001; MacArthur et al. 2003). This implies that the change observed in the $B/T$ ratio as a function of morphological type, a change which is in itself not surprising as the $B/T$ ratio is one of the criteria for defining morphological type, is mainly due to differences in the relative effective surface brightnesses of the bulge and the disc.

When now turning to the bulge and disc parameter correlations with $n$, we confirm previously known trends between $n$ and bulge luminosity and surface brightness (e.g. Andradas et al. 1995; Möllenhoff & Heidt 2001; Trujillo et al. 2002). These authors also report other correlations between $n$ and, for instance, $R_0$ and $B/T$, but we have too few data points to confirm such trends. Finally, there is a clear trend between morphological type and $n$, observed by most other authors, though not as strongly by MacArthur et al. (2003). We present correlation coefficients and significance indicators of some of the trends mentioned in Table 4. Almost all trends and parameter ranges are consistent with continuations of trends seen in a large sample of early-type galaxies studied by de Jong et al. (in preparation).
5 CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper, we present the first set of results of our study of the spiral arm and disc properties of a sample of 57 nearby, relatively face-on, spiral galaxies. We describe the sample selection and summarize some of the properties of the sample, and present a full set of NIR $K_s$-band images of the sample galaxies. Those images have been obtained using the 4.2-m WHT and 2.3-m Bok telescopes, and with a minimum image size of 4.2 arcmin$^2$ cover most of the disc of all galaxies. We describe the data collection and reduction procedures, and show all resulting images.

We derive radial profiles to the light distribution of all sample galaxies, and perform a 1D bulge/disc decomposition by fitting exponential disc and Sérsic bulge profiles. The results of this exercise are shown, but due to the limited signal-to-noise ratio in our images we can fit both bulge and disc parameters in a reliable way for only 13 of our 57 galaxies, although either reliable bulge or disc parameters can be determined for more galaxies. Using the reliable bulge and disc parameters thus derived, we explore several correlations between those and galactic parameters such as morphological type. We confirm correlations between bulge and disc luminosities and morphological type, and between the Sérsic parameter $n$ and bulge luminosity and surface brightness, and morphological type.

In further papers in this series, we will publish the accompanying optical broad ($B$,$I$) and narrow-band (Hα) imaging, and analyses of disc scalelengths, and of properties of morphological entities such as bars and rings within the galaxies.
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Erratum: Structure and star formation in disc galaxies – I. Sample selection and near-infrared imaging
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The paper ‘Structure and star formation in disc galaxies – I. Sample selection and near-infrared imaging’ was published in Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 344, 527–543 (2003). A graphics problem compromised the quality of Fig. 1, which is reproduced correctly in this Erratum.
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Figure 1. $K_s$ images of all galaxies in the sample. North is up and east is to the left in all images, and the scale of each individual image is indicated by the white bar in the bottom right-hand corner, which represents a length of 1 arcmin. Indicative contours are shown at surface brightness levels of 17 and 15 mag arcsec$^{-2}$ for all galaxies, except the following, for which contours are shown at 16 and 15 mag arcsec$^{-2}$: NGC 2775, 3368, 4030, 4051, 4254, 4303, 4535, 4548, 4736, 5248, 6384.
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