Direct healthcare costs of Rome IV or Rome III-defined irritable bowel syndrome in the United Kingdom
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Summary
Background: Previous studies have demonstrated a substantial economic impact of irritable bowel syndrome (IBS).
Aims: To provide contemporaneous estimates of direct healthcare costs of IBS in the United Kingdom.
Methods: We collected demographic, gastrointestinal and psychological symptoms, quality of life and healthcare usage data from adults with Rome IV or Rome III IBS in the United Kingdom. We calculated the mean annual direct healthcare costs of IBS per person and used contemporaneous IBS prevalence data, together with census data, to estimate annual direct costs of IBS. We also examined predictors of higher costs.
Results: The mean annual direct cost of IBS per person among 752 individuals with Rome IV IBS was £556.65 (SD £1023.92) and £474.16 (SD £897.86) for 995 individuals with Rome III IBS. We estimate the annual direct healthcare cost of IBS in the United Kingdom is £1.27 billion if the Rome IV criteria are used to define IBS, and £2.07 billion using Rome III. Among individuals with Rome IV IBS, mean annual costs were higher in those with opiate use (£907.90 vs £470.58, p < 0.001), more severe symptoms (p < 0.001 for trend), a shorter duration of IBS (1 year, £1227.14 vs >5 years £501.60, p = 0.002), lower quality of life (p < 0.001 for trend), and higher depression, somatisation and gastrointestinal symptom-specific anxiety scores (P < 0.001 for trend for all).
Conclusion: We estimate annual direct healthcare costs of IBS of between £1.3 and £2 billion in the United Kingdom.
IRritable bowel syndrome (IBS) is a disorder of gut–brain interaction, affecting between 5% and 10% of the world’s population, and characterised by recurrent abdominal pain associated with a change in either stool form or frequency. The pathophysiology is complex and incompletely understood. A diagnosis of IBS is made using symptom-based criteria, proposed by the Rome Foundation. As a result of the likely different underlying pathophysiological abnormalities, but similar phenotypes, patients with IBS form a heterogeneous group of individuals and current treatment approaches focus on addressing the predominant gastrointestinal symptom(s) reported by the individual.

Most treatments for IBS are of limited efficacy when tested in randomised controlled trials. Partly as a result, IBS is chronic, with a relapsing and remitting course for most patients, leading to high consultation rates. Quality of life is affected to the same degree as organic gastrointestinal conditions, such as Crohn’s disease, and the impact of IBS on work productivity is considerable. Because the symptoms of IBS can be confused with certain organic gastrointestinal diseases, it is often perceived as a diagnosis of exclusion among some clinicians, leading to unnecessary investigations and therefore costs, associated with making a diagnosis. In addition, novel drugs are often expensive. For these reasons, IBS represents a substantial burden to both healthcare systems and society.

Previous studies have estimated the costs to the health service of IBS in various countries. However, most have used a top-down approach, relying on coding of a diagnosis of IBS in existing databases, but it has been shown this approach is prone to error due to inaccurate coding. This also means that gold standard criteria for the diagnosis of IBS, such as the Rome criteria, have not been applied in many of these studies. In addition, most studies recruited patients from secondary care settings and are, therefore, not representative of all patients with IBS, many of whom either never consult a doctor or are managed solely in primary care. Although previous studies have attempted to estimate direct healthcare costs of IBS in the United Kingdom, only two have been conducted in the last 20 years. One of these reported total annual healthcare costs, rather than costs related to IBS specifically. The second included patients with symptoms suggestive of, but not diagnostic for, IBS, such as constipation, change in bowel habit or abdominal pain in isolation. Finally, few studies have examined patients factors that predict higher direct costs.

Assessing the burden of IBS on the healthcare system is important, not only to plan healthcare resource allocation but also to provide a rationale for adequate funding for IBS research from grant-giving bodies. We, therefore, conducted a cross-sectional survey to estimate the mean annual direct costs of IBS to the health service per person, extrapolating these across the entire United Kingdom adult population, to provide a contemporaneous approximation of the burden of IBS on the United Kingdom healthcare system, as well as examining predictors of higher costs.

METHODS

Participants and setting

We recruited individuals registered with ContactME-IBS, a national United Kingdom registry of 4280 members with IBS who have expressed an interest in participating in research. We have reported data from this cohort previously. Briefly, individuals find out about the registry via numerous sources, including primary care practices, specialist hospital clinics, posters in pharmacies or social media. Individuals enrol online by completing a short questionnaire about their bowel symptoms and providing contact details. Among all registrants, 2268 (53%) have seen their general practitioner (GP), and 1455 (34%) a gastroenterologist with IBS. The registry is run by County Durham and Darlington NHS Foundation Trust. The only exclusion criterion for this study was an inability to understand written English. We contacted all registered individuals via electronic mailshot, in July 2021, directing them to a website where they could access study information. Those willing to participate completed an online questionnaire, with responses stored in an online database. We sent reminder emails to all non-responders in August 2021 and completed recruitment in September 2021. Participants were given a chance to win one of three gift cards (worth £200, £100 or £50) in return for completing the questionnaire. The University of Leeds research ethics committee approved the study in March 2021 (MREC 20-051).

Data collection and synthesis

2.2.1 Demographic and symptom data

We collected basic demographic data, including age, sex, lifestyle (tobacco and alcohol consumption), ethnicity, marital status, educational level and annual income. We defined the presence of IBS utilising both the Rome III and Rome IV questionnaires, via the scoring algorithms proposed for their use. We categorised the IBS subtype according to the proportion of time stools were abnormal on the Bristol stool form scale, as recommended. We asked all participants to report the number of years since their diagnosis of IBS, and whether they used opiates, as well as their most troublesome symptom from a list of five possibilities, including abdominal pain, constipation, diarrhoea, bloating or urgency.

2.2.2 IBS symptom severity

We assessed symptom severity using the IBS severity scoring system (IBS-SSS), which measures presence, severity and frequency of abdominal pain, presence and severity of abdominal distension, satisfaction with bowel habit and how much IBS symptoms are affecting, or interfering with, the individual’s life. The IBS-SSS gives a maximum score of 500 points, with <75 points indicating remission.
of symptoms, 75–174 mild symptoms, 175–299 moderate symptoms and 300–500 severe symptoms.

2.2.3 | Mood, somatic symptoms and gastrointestinal symptom-specific anxiety

We collected anxiety and depression data using the Hospital Anxiety And Depression Scale (HADS). The total HADS score ranges from 0 to 21 for either anxiety or depression. We categorised severity for each into normal (total HADS depression or anxiety score 0–7), borderline normal (8–10) or abnormal (≥11), as recommended. We collected somatic symptom data using the Patient Health Questionnaire-12 (PHQ-12), which is derived from the validated Patient Health Questionnaire-15. The total PHQ-12 score ranges from 0 to 24 and we categorised severity into high (total PHQ-12 ≥13), medium (8–12), low (4–7) or minimal (≤3). We measured gastrointestinal symptom-specific anxiety with the Visceral Sensitivity Index (VSI). There are 15 items, with replies to each provided on a 6-point scale from "strongly disagree" (score 0) to "strongly agree" (score 5). As there are no validated cutoffs to define low, medium or high levels of gastrointestinal symptom-specific anxiety, we divided these data into equally sized tertiles.

2.2.4 | IBS-specific quality of life

We used the irritable bowel syndrome quality of life (IBS-QOL). This is a validated IBS-specific questionnaire measuring health-related quality of life. Consisting of 34 items, each ranked on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 0 to 4. The total possible score ranges from 0 to 136, with lower scores indicating better quality of life. The 34 items are based on the following eight variables: dysphoria, interference with activity, body image, health worry, food avoidance, social reactions, sexual activity and relationships. Scores were transformed to a 0 to 100-point scale with 0 indicating worst, and 100 best, quality of life. Again, as there are no validated cutoffs to define low, medium or high levels of quality of life, we divided data into equally sized tertiles.

2.2.5 | Annual direct costs

We collected data on healthcare usage related to IBS only over the 12 months prior to recruitment to the study. We carefully instructed participants to report the number of appointments with healthcare professionals (GPs, gastroenterologists, specialist nurses, dietitians or psychologists), number of investigations (blood tests, stool tests, endoscopies, abdominal ultrasounds, computed tomography scans, magnetic resonance imaging scans, hydrogen breath tests or 23-seleno-25-homo-tauro-cholic acid scans), number of unplanned emergency department attendances or inpatient admissions (including length of stay), and over the counter and prescribed medication usage (months) only in relation to their IBS. We applied costs for GP appointments from Unit Costs of Health and Social Care 2020, and all other appointments, investigations, emergency department attendances and unplanned inpatient days in secondary care using NHS 2019/20 National Cost Collection Data. We assumed that all the appointments for IBS were follow-up appointments, which cost less than a new patient appointment. Unit costs for appointments, investigations and hospital attendances are provided in Table 1. We applied the lowest price for a 1-month supply of each IBS-related medication using the online version of the British National Formulary (BNF). These are provided in Table 2.

| TABLE 1 Unit costs (in UK pounds) for IBS-related appointments, investigations and unplanned hospital attendances or admissions |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Cost (£)**                                  |
| Follow-up appointment with a GP                    | 33.00 |
| Follow-up appointment with a gastroenterologist   | 148.12 |
| Follow-up appointment with a specialist nurse     | 127.91 |
| Follow-up appointment with a dietician             | 83.03  |
| Follow-up appointment with a psychologist         | 179.84 |
| Blood test                                       | 1.81  |
| Stool test                                       | 8.09  |
| Gastroscopy                                      | 482.23 |
| Colonoscopy                                      | 559.35 |
| Hydrogen breath test                             | 57.96 |
| Abdominal ultrasound                             | 62.39 |
| Abdominal computed tomography                    | 114.36 |
| Abdominal magnetic resonance imaging             | 144.29 |
| 23-seleno-25-homo-tauro-cholic acid scan         | 367.73 |
| Emergency department attendance                  | 220.53 |
| Inpatient admission under gastroenterology       | 1551.77 |
TABLE 2  Unit costs (in UK pounds) for a 1-month supply of IBS-related medications\textsuperscript{41}

| Medication       | Cost (£) |
|------------------|----------|
| Loperamide       | 1.68     |
| Sodium picosulfate| 4.62    |
| Bisacodyl        | 1.67     |
| Polyethylene glycol| 2.99  |
| Hyosine          | 9.63     |
| Alverine         | 7.64     |
| Mebeverine       | 4.39     |
| Dicycloverine    | 30.00    |
| Ispaghula        | 3.24     |
| Peppermint oil   | 4.95     |
| Amitriptyline    | 1.08     |
| Nortriptyline    | 1.00     |
| Imipramine       | 2.15     |
| Fluoxetine       | 0.50     |
| Paroxetine       | 1.26     |
| Sertraline       | 0.80     |
| Citalopram       | 1.02     |
| Escitalopram     | 1.55     |
| Lubiprostone     | 53.48    |
| Linaclootide     | 37.56    |
| Prucalopride     | 47.62    |
| Eluxadoline      | 88.20    |

The mean annual direct costs per individual according to demographic characteristics, gastrointestinal symptoms, psychological comorbidity and quality of life. We compared to mean annual direct costs using an independent samples t-test or one-way ANOVA, depending on the number of groups being compared. We used a logistic regression model, controlling for all baseline data, to examine predictors of the above mean annual direct costs in those with Rome IV IBS, and reported the results with odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). We used a $p < 0.01$ to define statistical significance and performed all analyses using SPSS for Windows (version 27.0, SPSS).

RESULTS

In total, 1278 (29.9%) of 4280 registrants (mean age 47.2 years (range 18–89 years), 1086 (85.0%) female) who had IBS by self-report responded and completed the questionnaire. Of these, 995 (77.9%) and 752 (58.8%) met Rome III and IV criteria for IBS, respectively, and only their data were considered in all further analyses. Among those meeting Rome IV criteria for IBS, the mean age was 45.3 years (range 18–81 years) and 655 (87.1%) were female. In total, 136 (18.1%) had IBS with constipation (IBS-C), 306 (40.7%) IBS with diarrhoea (IBS-D), and 301 (40.0%) IBS with mixed bowel habits (IBS-M). The mean IBS-SSS score was 293.1 (SD 95.1). Among those meeting Rome III criteria, the mean age was 46.5 years (range 18–85 years) and 852 (85.6%) were female. There were 185 (18.6%) with IBS-C, 414 (41.6%) with IBS-D and 382 (38.4%) with IBS-M. The mean IBS-SSS score was 266.1 (SD 102.8).

2.4  Mean annual direct costs from IBS

The mean annual direct costs of IBS among individuals with Rome IV IBS was £556.65 per person (SD £1023.92) with appointments with healthcare professionals accounting for £224.48 (40.3%) of total costs, investigations £157.69 (28.3%), unplanned hospital attendances £101.85 (18.3%), and IBS-related medications £72.60 (13.1%), (Figure 1). The prevalence of Rome IV-defined IBS in the United Kingdom is 4.6%,\textsuperscript{42} and there are 49,711,000 adults aged 18 years and over, meaning there are likely to be 2,286,706 individuals with Rome IV IBS in the United Kingdom. Applying these cost data resulted in an estimate of total annual direct costs of IBS to the health service of £1,272,894,895. In our sensitivity analysis, assuming 2.8% of the United Kingdom adult population have Rome IV IBS and will consult a physician, as per the United Kingdom population recruited into the three-nation Rome Foundation study (data on file, personal communication: Dr Olafur Palsson, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, USA),\textsuperscript{42} there are likely to be 1,391,908 individuals with Rome IV IBS who have consulted a physician in the United Kingdom. Applying cost data to these figures yielded estimated total annual direct costs of IBS to the health service of £774,805,588.

The annual mean direct costs of IBS among individuals with Rome III IBS were lower at £474.16 per person (SD £897.86), with appointments with healthcare professionals costing £184.61 (38.9% of costs), investigations £138.92 (29.3%), unplanned hospital attendances £87.21 (18.4%) and IBS-related medications £63.42 (13.4%) (Figure 1). Prevalence rates of Rome III-defined IBS in the United Kingdom are 8.8%,\textsuperscript{42} meaning there are 4,374,568 adults with Rome III IBS. Applying our costs data to these figures yielded estimated total annual direct costs to the health service for IBS of £2,074,245,163. Even when we performed a sensitivity analysis assuming 4.7% of the United Kingdom adult population have Rome III IBS and will consult a physician (data on file, personal communication: Dr Olafur Palsson, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, USA),\textsuperscript{42} meaning there are likely to be 2,336,417 individuals with Rome III IBS who have consulted a physician in the United Kingdom, total annual direct costs were estimated at £1,107,835,485.

2.5  Mean annual direct costs for individuals with Rome IV-defined IBS according to demographics, gastrointestinal symptoms and psychological comorbidities

Annual mean direct healthcare costs for individuals with Rome IV IBS were not associated with sex, or level of education but were significantly higher in smokers (£845.13 vs £521.34, $p = 0.007$), those who did not drink alcohol (£747.79 vs £420.36, $p < 0.001$), and those...
who were not married (£702.19 vs. £477.45, \( p = 0.004 \)) (Table 3).

There was no association between costs and IBS subtype, most troublesome symptom or whether IBS had been triggered after an acute enteric infection. However, mean costs were higher in those who used opiates (£907.90 vs £470.58, \( p < 0.001 \)), and those with more severe symptoms (severe, £724.03 vs moderate, £448.76 vs mild, £277.96 vs remission, £19.38, \( p < 0.001 \) for trend). Costs of IBS reduced significantly as the duration of a diagnosis of IBS increased, although even among those who were diagnosed >5 years ago mean annual direct costs were estimated at over £500 per year. We observed higher mean costs in those with abnormal HADS depression scores (abnormal, £953.69 vs borderline, £609.77 vs normal, £355.10, \( p < 0.001 \) for trend), higher somatisation scores (severe, £799.47 vs moderate, £508.80 vs mild, £365.91 vs low, £325.52, \( p < 0.001 \) for trend), and higher VSI scores (high, £765.86 vs medium, £459.86 vs low, £434.89, \( p < 0.001 \) for trend) but not abnormal HADS anxiety scores. Finally, costs increased significantly with reductions in IBS-related quality of life (low, £858.61 vs medium, £858.61 vs high, £251.82, \( p < 0.001 \) for trend). Following logistic regression, older participants (OR per year = 1.02; 95% CI 1.01–1.04) were more likely to have above mean costs and those with higher IBS-related quality of life (OR = 0.29; 95% CI 0.14–0.61) less likely.

3 | DISCUSSION

We recruited individuals with IBS, defined according to validated criteria,\(^{46,47}\) to estimate the mean annual direct costs of IBS to the health service per person and extrapolated these results across the entire United Kingdom adult population using contemporaneous IBS prevalence data, as well as published United Kingdom census data. The mean annual direct cost among individuals meeting Rome IV criteria for IBS was over £500 per person and almost £475 per person for those with Rome III IBS. For Rome IV IBS, 40% of direct costs were made up of appointments with healthcare professionals, 28% investigations, 18% unplanned hospital attendances and 13% medications. Using these data, we estimate the total annual direct healthcare cost of IBS in the United Kingdom to be more than £1.2 billion if the Rome IV criteria are used to define IBS, and more than £2 billion using the Rome III criteria, due to the higher prevalence of IBS when these are applied. Even when we performed a sensitivity analysis, using prevalence data for only those who are likely to consult a doctor with IBS, total annual direct costs were estimated at £0.75 billion with Rome IV and £1.1 billion with Rome III. Mean direct costs were significantly higher in smokers, those who did not drink alcohol, those who were unmarried, those who used opiates and those with a shorter duration of IBS. In terms of psychological comorbidity, higher depression, somatisation and gastrointestinal symptom-specific anxiety scores were associated with higher mean direct costs. Finally, those with more severe IBS symptoms and lower quality of life had significantly higher direct costs.

We recruited almost 1000 individuals who self-identified as having IBS and who also met either Rome IV or III criteria for IBS. We used validated questionnaires and obtained near-complete data for the variables of interest because of the use of mandatory fields in our online questionnaire. Some of the recruited participants had
TABLE 3 Direct healthcare costs of IBS (in UK pounds), as defined by Rome IV criteria, according to demographics, symptom characteristics, psychological comorbidity and quality of life

|                          | Annual mean cost per person, SD (£UK) | p value<sup>a</sup> |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|
| **Sex**                  |                                      |                    |
| Male (n = 97)            | 517.79 (879.01)                      | 0.69               |
| Female (n = 655)         | 562.40 (1044.14)                     |                    |
| **Smoker**               |                                      |                    |
| Yes (n = 82)             | 845.13 (1330.12)                     | 0.007              |
| No (n = 670)             | 521.34 (975.33)                      |                    |
| **Alcohol use**          |                                      |                    |
| Yes (n = 439)            | 420.36 (797.18)                      | <0.001             |
| No (n = 313)             | 747.79 (1252.46)                     |                    |
| **Married**              |                                      |                    |
| Yes (n = 487)            | 477.45 (859.26)                      | 0.004              |
| No (n = 265)             | 702.19 (1261.11)                     |                    |
| **University or postgraduate level of education** | | |
| Yes (n = 314)            | 489.17 (973.18)                      | 0.13               |
| No (n = 438)             | 605.02 (1057.25)                     |                    |
| **Annual income of £30,000 or more** | | |
| Yes (n = 197)            | 404.14 (823.55)                      | 0.014              |
| No (n = 483)             | 609.49 (1046.89)                     |                    |
| **IBS subtype**          |                                      |                    |
| IBS-C (n = 136)          | 558.86 (1159.35)                     | 0.75               |
| IBS-D (n = 306)          | 522.75 (941.01)                      |                    |
| IBS-M (n = 301)          | 586.22 (1043.37)                     |                    |
| **IBS after acute enteric infection** | | |
| Yes (n = 91)             | 665.27 (942.49)                      | 0.33               |
| No (n = 465)             | 547.87 (1065.70)                     |                    |
| **Most troublesome symptom** | | |
| Abdominal pain (n = 169) | 686.02 (1191.23)                     | 0.31               |
| Constipation (n = 53)    | 518.47 (810.23)                      |                    |
| Diarrhoea (n = 117)      | 566.34 (1055.48)                     |                    |
| Bloating/distension (n = 218) | 457.65 (885.32) | | |
| Urgency (n = 195)        | 559.74 (1041.55)                     |                    |
| **Opiate use**           |                                      |                    |
| Yes (n = 148)            | 907.90 (1391.88)                     | <0.001             |
| No (n = 604)             | 470.58 (892.05)                      |                    |
| **Duration of IBS diagnosis, year(s)** | | |
| 1 (n = 25)               | 1227.14 (1954.19)                    | 0.002              |
| 2 (n = 41)               | 919.39 (1508.42)                     |                    |
| 3 (n = 54)               | 449.03 (740.93)                      |                    |
| 4 (n = 33)               | 701.18 (1420.32)                     |                    |
| 5 (n = 38)               | 564.24 (854.99)                      |                    |
| >5 (n = 561)             | 501.60 (910.60)                      |                    |

**TABLE 3** (Continued)

|                          | Annual mean cost per person, SD (£UK) | p value<sup>a</sup> |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|
| **Severity on IBS-SSS**  |                                      |                    |
| Remission (n = 7)        | 19.38 (21.88)                        | <0.001             |
| Mild (n = 86)            | 277.96 (639.10)                      |                    |
| Moderate (n = 300)       | 448.76 (859.30)                      |                    |
| Severe (n = 359)         | 724.03 (1193.10)                     |                    |
| **HADS anxiety categories** |                                  |                    |
| Normal (n = 200)         | 438.63 (1072.39)                     | 0.08               |
| Borderline abnormal (n = 174) | 521.50 (839.28) | | |
| Abnormal (n = 378)       | 635.26 (1069.61)                     |                    |
| **HADS depression categories** |                                |                    |
| Normal (n = 404)         | 355.10 (836.24)                      | <0.001             |
| Borderline abnormal (n = 165) | 609.77 (877.43) | | |
| Abnormal (n = 183)       | 953.69 (1353.78)                     |                    |
| **PHQ-12 severity**     |                                      |                    |
| Low (n = 36)             | 325.52 (671.59)                      | <0.001             |
| Mild (n = 176)           | 365.91 (785.65)                      |                    |
| Moderate (n = 307)       | 508.80 (898.70)                      |                    |
| Severe (n = 233)         | 799.47 (1302.59)                     |                    |
| **VSI scores**           |                                      |                    |
| Low (n = 247)            | 434.89 (983.63)                      | <0.001             |
| Medium (n = 247)         | 459.86 (924.15)                      |                    |
| High (n = 258)           | 765.86 (1119.30)                     |                    |
| **IBS-QOL scores**      |                                      |                    |
| Low (n = 239)            | 858.61 (1210.79)                     | <0.001             |
| Medium (n = 252)         | 585.97 (1152.04)                     |                    |
| High (n = 261)           | 251.82 (476.60)                      |                    |

<sup>a</sup> p value for independent samples t-test or one-way ANOVA.

never seen a doctor for their IBS, some had seen their GP, and some had seen a gastroenterologist. This, together with the fact that the duration of diagnosis of IBS was variable, implies this sample is likely to be representative of individuals with IBS in the United Kingdom. We used a bottom-up approach, where we collected data concerning each individual appointment, investigation or medication used and applied the relevant unit cost to these items to estimate the direct healthcare cost of IBS across the United Kingdom, rather than a top-down approach, in which one identifies participants with IBS via diagnostic coding and applies the average cost assigned to such a diagnosis. This bottom-up approach to estimate costs meant that we did not have to rely on national databases, which are prone to coding errors, and we were able to capture all IBS-related healthcare resource use, as well as over the counter medications, which are used commonly by individuals with IBS.
This approach has been used previously in a study examining the economic impact of functional dyspepsia.49 To capture a representative sample of individuals with IBS, rather than selecting only those from secondary care, we used a national United Kingdom registry. This methodology has some weaknesses. We were unable to check participants’ medical records to rule out other organic diseases that present with similar symptoms, such as coeliac disease or inflammatory bowel disease. Three-quarters of participants met either the Rome IV or Rome III criteria. Although IBS is more prevalent than coeliac disease or inflammatory bowel disease, current United Kingdom national guidance recommends ruling out these diseases in individuals, before a diagnosis of IBS is made.5,6 In addition, almost 90% of ContactME-IBS registrants have seen a GP or gastroenterologist for their IBS and nearly 80% of our participants had a diagnosis of IBS for 5 years or longer. We believe, therefore, that participants in this study genuinely had IBS. Using an online questionnaire meant that we were unable to assess how many individuals visited our webpage but chose not to complete the questionnaire. As all participants were United Kingdom residents, the results are not applicable to individuals with IBS outside the United Kingdom. Minority ethnic groups were underrepresented in our study population, and this could have affected our results because of racial disparity in healthcare utilisation among patients with IBS.50

Individuals joining ContactME-IBS, compared with the wider population of IBS patients, and those who responded to our survey, compared to non-respondents, may be more proactive in managing their IBS and may have higher healthcare use. In addition, although we used validated questionnaires to examine the presence and severity of IBS and psychological comorbidities, and to assess IBS-related quality of life, we relied on self-report to collect IBS-related resource use retrospectively. Although it is impossible to eliminate errors in recall, we sought to minimise them by limiting the recall period to 12 months immediately prior to questionnaire completion, as accuracy generally decreases the longer the recall period.31 Moreover, to limit variations in understanding that might affect recall, we ensured that questions designed to capture healthcare resource use were clear and precise. Importantly, self-reported healthcare utilisation has been shown to be accurate and reliable for hospital and specialist visits, although GP visits may be at risk of underreporting.52 The cost data were positively skewed, meaning that the mean cost per individual will have been affected by a small proportion of participants with higher costs. Despite this, we chose to use the mean cost, as using a median would involve applying the cost data from a single individual from our sample to estimate the cost of IBS across the United Kingdom. We felt a mean cost, although affected by outliers with higher costs, better reflected a real-life situation with a large proportion of patients with low levels of healthcare usage and a small number of individuals with higher costs. As a result of some of this, the total annual direct costs we report may be an overestimate. However, we took steps to reduce this. First, we assumed all appointments were follow-ups, which are cheaper than new patient appointments, used the cheapest drug price available from the BNF, including for over-the-counter medications, and did not consider out of pocket expenses, complementary or alternative medicines or other indirect costs. Second, we used United Kingdom census data from 2011 because the latest 2021 census results are, as yet, unpublished. The population of individuals aged 18 years or over is likely to have increased further in the last decade. Third, we performed a more conservative sensitivity analysis using prevalence data for Rome IV or Rome III IBS only for those who will consult a doctor in the United Kingdom,42 because our costs may have been skewed by the fact that most responders in our study had consulted their GP or a gastroenterologist. Even in this analysis, costs were estimated at between £0.75 and £1.1 billion per year. Finally, 75% of participants were diagnosed more than 5 years prior to study recruitment, reflecting the chronicity of IBS, and costs were significantly lower in this group.

Several studies have attempted to estimate direct healthcare costs of IBS in the United Kingdom.22–25 Importantly, only two of these have been carried out in the last 20 years, and one reported a total annual healthcare cost 3 years before and 3 years after a patient’s first appointment with a gastroenterologist with IBS,25 rather than costs related to IBS per se. The second study reported a mean annual cost of IBS per patient of £383.20, but the authors also included patients with symptoms that were only suggestive of IBS, including constipation, change in bowel habit or abdominal pain in isolation.24 Both studies used a top-down approach, meaning that the Rome criteria for IBS were not applied, as the authors relied on accurate coding in existing databases. In addition, both used data from patients who had seen a gastroenterologist in secondary care for their IBS, which is not representative of all patients with IBS, because many either do not see a doctor at all or are managed solely in primary care.21

Although it is difficult to compare costs across countries using different currencies and healthcare systems over different periods of time, all prior studies conducted elsewhere have shown, consistently, substantial costs associated with the care of individuals with IBS.16–18 Annual direct healthcare costs estimates per patient in the most contemporaneous review, including studies conducted elsewhere, were between $742 and $7547 in the USA, £90 to £316 in the United Kingdom, €567 to €862 in France, $259 in Canada, €791 in Germany and $92 in Iran.17 To the best of our knowledge, no previous study has used the Rome IV criteria to estimate the annual direct cost of IBS. We identified one study using the Rome III criteria, which reported annual direct costs for IBS of $12,761.14 (approximately £1450) in 105 patients with IBS recruited from a university hospital in China.19 The mean annual direct healthcare costs of IBS per patient observed in our study are of a similar magnitude to that estimated for patients with functional dyspepsia in the USA, which was $699 (approximately £525) per patient.49 Finally, to put our findings in context with other chronic diseases, our estimate for the annual direct cost of IBS per patient, irrespective of the criteria used to define its presence, is lower than that for asthma and COPD (£808),53 type 1 diabetes mellitus (£1323), and type 2 diabetes mellitus (£1080) in the United Kingdom.54
Few studies have examined associations between patient demographics, symptom characteristics and costs. These were published some time ago, and therefore used the Manning, Rome I or Rome II criteria to diagnose IBS, but their results are similar. Johansson et al. reported a positive correlation between both severity of IBS and the presence of somatic symptoms and costs, whilst Lepen et al. demonstrated a negative correlation between quality of life, based on the IBS-QOL, and costs, and no association between sex or IBS subtype and costs. Our results demonstrated that those with opiate use, more severe symptoms and lower quality of life had higher annual mean costs. This probably reflects higher levels of consultations, investigations and medication usage in this group of patients. Mean annual cost correlated negatively with the duration of IBS, likely because of more frequent consultations and investigations at the onset of symptoms. The fact that those with higher depression, somatisation and gastrointestinal symptom-specific anxiety scores had higher mean annual direct healthcare costs related to IBS may relate to confounding factors like the severity of IBS symptoms, which is known to be associated with both costs and psychological comorbidities.

Mean annual direct costs among individuals with Rome IV IBS were higher than for those with Rome III IBS, probably because of the stricter Rome IV criteria select patients with more severe symptoms. We have demonstrated that those with Rome IV IBS are more likely to consult their GP or a gastroenterologist for their IBS symptoms and are more likely to cycle through multiple treatments, which may partially explain the higher mean annual costs in those with Rome IV IBS. Despite this, the annual direct cost to the United Kingdom health service we estimated was higher using the Rome III criteria because of the higher prevalence of Rome III IBS. Overall costs of IBS are substantial, likely as a result of the chronicity of symptoms, the non-fatal nature of IBS, the relatively high prevalence of the condition, the inappropriate use of exhaustive investigation to reach a diagnosis, and the lack of a cure. Money spent on medications was lowest compared with other costs. This may reflect the continued use of older medications, due to their familiarity, as well as the lack of availability of newer effective drugs, due to a perceived lack of demand for these in the United Kingdom by pharmaceutical companies, meaning that they are no longer marketed.

Our study has important implications. The costs of IBS we estimated would represent 0.7% or 1.2%, for those with Rome IV IBS or Rome III IBS, respectively, of the total budget for Health and Social Care spending across all four nations in the United Kingdom for 2019 to 2020. Clinicians should, therefore, be encouraged to make a positive diagnosis of IBS in the absence of alarm symptoms or signs, rather than regarding IBS as a diagnosis of exclusion that requires numerous investigations, driving management costs. Careful explanation of symptoms, active listening, being empathetic, educating patients, offering reassurance and managing expectations are key to reducing multiple healthcare episodes. The high levels of spending on IBS highlight the need for the optimised management of the condition, including a multidisciplinary approach and improved access to evidence-based treatments, such as eluxadoline, ramosetron, plecanatide and tenapanor, which are yet to be licensed in the United Kingdom. Compared with seeing a gastroenterologist alone, multidisciplinary care may not only reduce treatment costs for IBS, but also unplanned hospital attendances. Finally, the high cost of IBS should be an impetus for funding bodies to commission more research into both the causes and management of IBS, especially considering that research monies for IBS in both Europe and the USA are considerably lower than those for less prevalent gastrointestinal conditions, such as coeliac disease.

In summary, our study provides a contemporaneous estimate of the mean annual direct costs of managing IBS per person to the United Kingdom health service with data extrapolated across the entire United Kingdom adult population. We estimate direct healthcare costs of IBS of more than £1.2 billion, if the Rome IV criteria were used to define IBS, and more than £2 billion with Rome III. Mean annual direct healthcare costs were higher in those who used opiates, and those with more severe IBS symptoms, lower quality of life, a shorter duration of disease, and higher levels of depression, somatisation and gastrointestinal symptom-specific anxiety. Effective multidisciplinary management of IBS is important to reduce the economic burden that the condition represents on the healthcare system.
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