Fermentative Metabolism and Organic Acid Concentrations in Fruit of Selected Strawberry Cultivars with Different Tolerances to Carbon Dioxide
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ABSTRACT. Effects of 20 kPa CO2 treatments on concentrations of fermentation products, organic acids, and activities of pyruvate decarboxylase (PDC) and alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH), were measured in fruit of selected strawberry cultivars (Fragaria ×ananassa Duch. ‘Annapolis’, ‘Cavendish’, ‘Honeoye’, ‘Kent’, ‘Jewell’, ‘Lateglow’, and ‘NorthEast’). Acetaldehyde, ethanol, and ethyl acetate concentrations accumulated in CO2-treated fruit of ‘Honeoye’ and ‘Kent’, but not in ‘Cavendish’ or ‘Annapolis’. The former two cultivars were classified as intolerant to high CO2 and the latter two as tolerant to high CO2. Activities of PDC and ADH were higher in CO2-treated than in air-treated fruit of the tolerant cultivars but not in the intolerant cultivars. Succinate accumulated in fruit of all cultivars, but concentrations were higher in the tolerant than in the intolerant cultivars. Results are discussed in relation to tolerance of fruit to CO2.

Physiological and biochemical factors associated with tolerance of commodities to CO2 treatments are not well understood. One focus of research has been on fermentation, as under anaerobic conditions, increased activities of pyruvate decarboxylase and alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH), which catalyze the reactions between pyruvate, acetaldehyde, and ethanol, are common (Kennedy et al., 1992). Thus pyruvate oxidation and NADH use can proceed while electron transport and oxidative phosphorylation are inhibited, and ATP can be produced, albeit at markedly reduced levels by substrate phosphorylation. However, activities of PDC and ADH increase only slightly, or even decrease, in strawberries and other fruits under high CO2 atmospheres; the changes in response to CO2 typically being much lower than those observed under low O2 (Ke et al., 1994a, 1994b, 1995; Nanos et al., 1992).

A second focus has been on accumulation of succinate in response to elevated CO2 atmospheres. Succinate accumulates in leaves and stems (Ke et al., 1993; Romo-Parada et al., 1989) and fruit (Wagner, 1974; Yang et al., 1998), and has been ascribed to inhibition of succinate dehydrogenase (SDH) in high CO2 concentrations (Frenkel and Patterson, 1973; Ke et al., 1993; Shipway and Bramlage, 1973). Halme (1956) proposed that succinate is toxic to plant tissues, and its accumulation was responsible for CO2 injury of apple fruit [Malus sylvestris (L.) Mill var. domestica (Borkh.) Mansf.].

Strawberries (Fragaria ×ananassa) are generally tolerant to high CO2 concentrations, and in major strawberry producing regions such as California, CO2 treatments of 15 to 20 kPa are injected into plastic pallet shrouds to maintain flesh firmness and reduce decay incidence (Mitchell, 1992). A major limitation to the use of high CO2 treatments in controlled atmosphere (CA) and modified atmosphere (MA) packaging, however, has been development of off-flavor in the fruit resulting from anaerobic fermentation (Ke et al., 1991, 1994a; Larsen and Watkins, 1995a, 1995b). In general, off-flavor intensity increases with higher CO2 concentrations, and time of exposure to the gas (Ke et al., 1991; Larsen and Watkins, 1995a). However, variation in strawberry response to CO2 treatment has been found. No off-flavor development was detected at CO2 concentrations as high as 20 kPa (Couey and Wells, 1970; Harris and Harvey, 1973), and even 80 kPa for limited time periods (Ke et al., 1991), whereas others found problems at concentrations as low as 10 kPa (Browne et al., 1984). The reasons for differences between studies are not known, but genetic variation in fruit tolerance to high CO2 treatments was indicated by different fermentation product concentrations in seven strawberry cultivars kept in 20 kPa CO2 for 7 d (Watkins et al., 1999). Such variation has significance in selection of cultivars for storage and/or transport under MA/CA conditions.

Differences in fermentation responses of strawberry cultivars to 20 kPa CO2 provide useful material to dissect aspects of fruit tolerance to the gas. In this study, our objective was to study activities of PDC and ADH, and changes in organic acid concentrations, in relation to accumulation of fermentation products in fruit of cultivars treated with CO2-enriched atmospheres.

Material and Methods

Strawberry cultivars used in these experiments were harvested at the New York Agricultural Experiment Station, Geneva (‘Annapolis’, ‘Cavendish’, ‘Honeoye’, and ‘Kent’) and the Cornell Orchard, Ithaca (‘Cavendish’, ‘Honeoye’, ‘Jewel’, ‘Lateglow’, and ‘Northeast’). Fruit of each cultivar were harvested at the white tip/orange stage of maturity. Harvest dates in 1998 ranged from 8 June to 18 June for the Geneva-grown cultivars. Harvest dates for Ithaca-grown cultivars, were 23 June for ‘Jewel’, and 14 June for the others (Table 1). Fruit harvested in Geneva were transported (~1 h) to the postharvest laboratory at Ithaca. Fruit were sorted quickly to remove any underripe, overripe, or damaged fruit and 20-fruit samples were placed into 1-L glass jars at 2 °C for 3 h to cool the fruit, with the exception of fruit in Expt. 3, which were held at 20 °C throughout, before atmosphere
treatments were applied. Jars, with lids fitted with inlet and outlet ports, were then attached to a flow-through system (50 mL·min⁻¹) containing humidified premixed atmospheres of air or 20 kPa CO₂ in air. O₂ and CO₂ levels where checked daily by gas chromatography (model 1200 gas partitioner, Fisher Scientific, Springfield, N.J.). The 20 kPa CO₂ concentration was maintained within 1 kPa of the target concentration throughout the experiment. Fruit were assessed after the initial cooling period of 3 h, or immediately after removal from storage. All experiments were run in triplicate.

Three experiments were conducted: 1) ‘Cavendish’, ‘Northeast’, ‘Honeoye’, and ‘Lateglow’ strawberries were stored at each atmosphere at 2 °C and sampled after 3 d; 2) ‘Annapolis’, ‘Cavendish’, ‘Honeoye’, and ‘Kent’ strawberries were stored at each atmosphere at 2 °C for 9 d and replicates removed at 0, 3, 6 or 9 d; and 3) ‘Jewel’ strawberries were stored at each atmosphere at 2 °C for 9 d and replicates removed at 0, 1, 2, 3, 6, or 9 d.

At each sampling time, 15 to 20 berries were peeled rapidly to remove achenes and frozen immediately in liquid N₂. The frozen fruit were kept at –80 °C until used for measurement of ethanol, acetaldehyde and ethyl acetate, and organic acids. In Expt. 2, three-fruit samples per replication (unfrozen) were removed for assay of PDC and ADH activities.

**Fermentation products.** Frozen samples were powdered in liquid N₂ and 5 g of powder weighed into a 20 mL vial, which was sealed and placed into a water bath maintained at 40 °C for 20 min. Headspace samples of 0.5 mL were removed from the bottles with a gas tight glass syringe and injected immediately into a gas chromatograph (model 5890; Hewlett-Packard, Wilmington, Del.) fitted with a 15 m × 0.53 mm wide bore capillary column with a coating thickness of 1.0 μm (Stabilwax, Restek Corp., Bellefonte, Pa.), and attached to an integrator (Hewlett-Packard 3396A). The oven temperature was 45 °C, with injector and detector temperatures of 230 and 240 °C, respectively. Gas flow rates for hydrogen, air, and helium were 35, 175, and 6 mL·min⁻¹, respectively. Acetaldehyde, ethanol, and ethyl acetate were identified from retention times and standard curves obtained using authentic compounds (Fisher Chem. Co., Pittsburg, Pa.). Detection limits for acetaldehyde, ethyl acetate, and ethanol were 0.004, 0.005, and 0.002 μmol·g⁻¹, respectively.

**Organic acids.** One gram of powdered tissue was weighed into a 50 mL polyethylene centrifuge tube and kept at –80 °C until adding 0.5 mL 66.6 mmol·L⁻¹ tartaric acid in water as an internal standard (Reyes et al., 1982). Extraction and analyses of acids were based on the method of Mattick et al. (1970). For extraction, 20 mL 21 mol·L⁻¹ ethanol (i.e., 95%) were added to lots of eight samples kept in a water bath at 50 °C. Samples were stirred periodically with a glass-stirring rod during a 10 min extraction period. Then tubes were centrifuged at 5000 g, for 5 min at 22 °C and the supernatant was poured into another 50 mL centrifuge tube. This step was repeated and the supernatants were combined.

To precipitate organic acids, 2 mL of a saturated lead acetate solution (422 mmol·L⁻¹) and 0.1 g celite were added. Tubes were sonicated for 45 min and then centrifuged at 5000 g, for 5 min. The supernatant was decanted and discarded, the precipitate was washed with 10 mL anhydrous diethyl ether and then with 20 mL 21 mol·L⁻¹ hot ethanol (i.e., 95%) were added to lots of eight samples kept in a water bath at 50 °C. Gas flow rates for hydrogen, air, and helium were 35, 175, and 6 mL·min⁻¹, respectively. Acetaldehyde, ethanol, and ethyl acetate were identified from retention times and standard curves obtained using authentic compounds (Fisher Chem. Co., Pittsburg, Pa.). Detection limits for acetaldehyde, ethyl acetate, and ethanol were 0.004, 0.005, and 0.002 μmol·g⁻¹, respectively.

**Volatile compounds.** Headspace samples of 0.5 mL were removed from the bottles with a gas tight glass syringe and injected immediately into a gas chromatograph (model 5890; Hewlett-Packard, Wilmington, Del.) fitted with a 15 m × 0.53 mm wide bore capillary column with a coating thickness of 1.0 μm (Stabilwax, Restek Corp., Bellefonte, Pa.), and attached to an integrator (Hewlett-Packard 3396A). The oven temperature was 45 °C, with injector and detector temperatures of 230 and 240 °C, respectively. Gas flow rates for hydrogen, air, and helium were 35, 175, and 6 mL·min⁻¹, respectively. Acetaldehyde, ethanol, and ethyl acetate were identified from retention times and standard curves obtained using authentic compounds (Fisher Chem. Co., Pittsburg, Pa.). Detection limits for acetaldehyde, ethyl acetate, and ethanol were 0.004, 0.005, and 0.002 μmol·g⁻¹, respectively.

**Table 1.** Volatile compounds and organic acids in four strawberry cultivars sampled at harvest and after storage for 3 d in air or 20 kPa CO₂ in air at 2 °C.

| Cultivar and treatment | Volatile compounds (μmol·g⁻¹ fresh wt) | Organic acids (μmol·g⁻¹ fresh wt) | Time (d) |
|------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|
|                        | Acetaldehyde | Ethanol | Ethyl acetate | Succinate | Malate |
| Cavendish              |              |        |              |           |        |
| At harvest             | 0            | 0.05   | ND           | 0.03      | 0.3    |
| Air                    | 3            | 0.03   | ND           | 0.04      | 0.3    |
| Air + 20 kPa CO₂       | 3            | 0.02   | 0.06         | 0.06      | 1.8    |
| Honeoye                |              |        |              |           |        |
| At harvest             | 0            | 0.04   | 0.02         | 0.03      | 0.4    |
| Air                    | 3            | 0.04   | 0.10         | 0.03      | 0.3    |
| Air + 20 kPa CO₂       | 3            | 0.32   | 3.51         | 0.05      | 1.4    |
| Lateglow               |              |        |              |           |        |
| At harvest             | 0            | 0.04   | ND           | 0.03      | 0.3    |
| Air                    | 3            | 0.02   | ND           | 0.04      | 0.3    |
| Air + 20 kPa CO₂       | 3            | 0.11   | 1.65         | 0.42      | 1.6    |
| Northeast              |              |        |              |           |        |
| At harvest             | 0            | 0.04   | ND           | 0.03      | 0.4    |
| Air                    | 3            | 0.00   | ND           | 0.03      | 0.4    |
| Air + 20 kPa CO₂       | 3            | 0.01   | 0.11         | 0.04      | 0.8    |

| df | Significance (MS and P) | 3 |
|----|------------------------|---|
|    |                         |   |
| C  | 3                      | 0.024*** | 0.035*** | 2.83*** | 0.01** | 50.1*** |
| A  | 2                      | 0.029**  | 0.046*** | 6.95*** | 7.79*** | 13.6**  |
| C×A| 6                      | 0.018**  | 0.035*** | 2.57*** | 0.30*** | 2.8**   |
| Error | 24                  | 0.002     | 0.002     | 0.18     | 0.04    | 1.8     |

³ND = not detectable.

³MS values for succinate were calculated after transformation to its natural logarithm.

**ns,**,**,* Nonsignificant at P > 0.05 or significant at P ≤ 0.01 or 0.001, respectively.
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acetate concentrations also increased in 'Cavendish' or 'Northeast' (Table 1). Ethyl alcohol and with spiked sample tissue. retention times using authentic compounds (Sigma Chem. Co.), The column temperature program was 100 °C to 240 °C. The injector and detector temperatures were 250 °C and 290 °C, respectively. Gas flow rates were 35, 150, and 8 mL·min⁻¹ for hydrogen, air, and helium, respectively. Organic acid identification was by comparison of retention times using authentic compounds (Sigma Chem. Co.), alone and with spiked sample tissue.

**Enzyme Assays.** Procedures used were modified from Ke et al. (1994a) and Mitchell and Jelenkovic (1995). Flesh tissue from three-fruit samples was bulked and 3 g of tissue homogenized in a cold mortar with a pestle with 10 mL 100 mmol·L⁻¹ 2-(N-morpholino)ethane-sulfonic acid (MES) buffer (pH 6.5), 2% w/v soluble polyvinylpyrrolidone, 1 mmol·L⁻¹ ZnSO₄, 10 mmol·L⁻¹ b-mercaptoethanol, 1 mmol·L⁻¹ benzamidine hydrochloride, and 5 mmol·L⁻¹ ε-amino-n-caproic acid. The extract was centrifuged at 15,000 g, at 4 °C for 20 min to pellet insoluble debris, and the supernatant was used as the enzyme source. All solutions and enzyme extracts were kept on ice.

**Statistical Analysis.** Data were subjected to ANOVA using general linear model procedures for calculation of least squared means and LSDs. To assume normal distribution, a normal probability plot of each different compound content was examined by using Minitab software v 11.12 (Minitab, Inc., State College, Pa.). When log-normal distributions resulted, data were transformed to natural logarithms. Values at harvest were not considered in analyses of Expts. 2 and 3. For fermentation product analysis, results from data transformed to its respective 0.1 and 0.25 root were compared with original ones to reduce variability effects. When the atmosphere factor or its interactions were significant, an additional ANOVA was performed by substituting the cultivar factor by a tolerance variable according to the presence or absence of fermentation product accumulation. LSDs were calculated at P = 0.05.

**Results**

**Experiment 1.** Fruit of four cultivars were stored under 20 kPa CO₂ for 3 d at 2 °C. During this time, acetaldehyde and ethanol concentrations increased in CO₂-treated fruit of ‘Honeoye’ and ‘Lateglow’, but not in ‘Cavendish’ or ‘Northeast’ (Table 1). Ethyl acetate concentrations also increased in 'Lateglow’. Concentrations of the fermentation products in air-stored fruit generally did not change from those at harvest. Succinate concentrations increased in CO₂-treated fruit, although to a lesser extent in ‘Northeast’. In this cultivar the increased succinate concentration was not statistically significant at 3 d. Malate concentrations were not affected consistently by treatment, being lower in CO₂-treated fruit than air-treated fruit in ‘Lateglow’, and higher after 3 d in air than at harvest in ‘Cavendish’. Citrate/succinate concentrations were affected by cultivar, but not by treatment (data not shown). The presence or absence of fermentation product accumulation as a factor in statistical analyses revealed an overall effect on malate of 9.8 and 13.5 µmol·g⁻¹ (P < 0.001), respectively, and citrate/succinate of 28.6 and 23.5 µmol·g⁻¹ (P < 0.002), respectively.

**Experiment 2.** Two groups of cultivar response to CO₂ were chosen on the basis of the previous experiment (Table 1) and data obtained by Watkins et al. (1999). Fruit were treated with CO₂ or air for up to 9 d. The first group, represented by ‘Annapolis’ and ‘Cavendish’, did not accumulate appreciable levels of acetaldehyde, ethanol, or ethyl acetate (Fig. 1). A slight difference between the cultivars occurred, however, in that in ‘Cavendish’ the ethanol was undetectable but in ‘Annapolis’ increased by 0.006 µmol·g⁻¹·d⁻¹ (Fig. 1). In contrast, ‘Honeoye’ and ‘Kent’ accumulated large amounts of acetaldehyde and ethanol, the levels being higher in ‘Kent’ than in ‘Honeoye’ (Fig. 1). ‘Kent’ also accumulated much greater levels of ethyl acetate than ‘Honeoye’.

Activities of PDC and ADH were affected by cultivar (P < 0.001), with much lower activities in ‘Honeoye’ than in the other cultivars (Table 2). Overall, PDC activity was not affected by atmosphere (0.69 µmol·min⁻¹·g⁻¹ fresh weight for both treatments) whereas ADH activity was 0.80 µmol·min⁻¹·g⁻¹ on a fresh weight basis in CO₂-treated fruit compared with 0.73 µmol·min⁻¹·g⁻¹ fresh weight in air-stored fruit.

---

**Fig. 1.** Acetaldehyde, ethanol, and ethyl acetate concentrations (µmol·g⁻¹ fresh weight) in fruit of four strawberry cultivars stored in air (open symbols) or 20 kPa CO₂ in air (closed symbols) at 2 °C for up to 9 d. Vertical bars represent pooled LSD values at P = 0.05 for the cultivar × atmosphere × time interaction.
weight basis in air-treated fruit \( (P \leq 0.01) \). An effect of storage duration was detectable only for ADH \( (P \leq 0.01) \) being 0.76, 0.82, and 0.71 \( \mu \text{mol·min}^{-1} \cdot \text{g}^{-1} \cdot \text{fresh weight} \) on day 3, 6, and 9 respectively. An interaction between cultivar and atmosphere was detected for both enzymes, but particularly PDC. Activities of PDC and ADH were higher in CO\(_2\)-treated than air-treated ‘Annapolis’ and ‘Cavendish’, but lower or unaffected by CO\(_2\)-treatment in ‘Honeoye’ and ‘Kent’ (Table 2). ADH activities were affected by cultivar and time, remaining the same or increasing slightly over time in ‘Annapolis’ and ‘Cavendish’, but decreasing in ‘Honeoye’ and ‘Kent’. A three-way interaction between cultivar, atmosphere, and time for PDC activity was due to variation in ‘Kent’ samples; whereas changes in activities were consistent in the other cultivars, PDC activity in CO\(_2\)-treated ‘Kent’ fruit were slightly lower than in air on day 3, but highest on days 6 and 9.

The two groups accumulated significantly different amounts of succinate, the most accumulation occurring in ‘Annapolis’, slightly less in ‘Cavendish’, but overall these two cultivars accumulated 0.8 mmol·g\(^{-1}\)·d\(^{-1}\), which represents 213% more succinate than ‘Honeoye’ and ‘Kent’ (Fig. 2). Ratios of succinate in berries stored in CO\(_2\) and air averaged 11.3 in ‘Annapolis’ and ‘Cavendish’ and 3.8 in ‘Honeoye’ and ‘Kent’. Malate concentrations were 22% lower overall in CO\(_2\)-treated fruit than air-treated fruit of all cultivars (Fig. 2). The extent of reduction of malate by CO\(_2\) treatment was not affected by cultivar, although overall the effect of cultivar was significant with the highest concentration in ‘Annapolis’ and the lowest in ‘Honeoye’. Data were also examined statistically using presence and absence of fermentation product accumulation as a factor. The overall (air and CO\(_2\) treatments) malate concentrations were 12.4 and 15.1 \( \mu \text{mol·g}^{-1} \) in those cultivars that did or did not accumulate late fermentation products, respectively.

Other acids, such as citrate/isocitrate, aconitic, oxalate, and quinate were affected by cultivar, but were not affected CO\(_2\) treatment (data not shown). However, aconitate concentrations were higher, while quinate concentrations were lower \( (P < 0.05) \) in cultivars that accumulated fermentation products than those that did not.

**Experiment 3.** ‘Jewel’ fruit were kept in air or high CO\(_2\) at 2 or 20\( ^\circ\)C for up to 9 d. However, due to high decay incidence in fruit at 20\( ^\circ\)C after 6 d storage, 9 d replicates of fruit were not taken. Acetaldehyde, ethanol, and ethyl acetate accumulated in

![Fig. 2. Succinate and malate concentrations (\( \mu \text{mol·g}^{-1} \cdot \text{fresh weight} \)) in fruit of four strawberry cultivars stored in air (open symbols) or 20 kPa CO\(_2\) in air (closed symbols) at 2\( ^\circ\)C for up to 9 d. Vertical bars represent pooled LSD values at \( P = 0.05 \) for main effects or interactions.](image-url)

### Table 2. Pyruvate decarboxylase (PDC) and alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) activity in ‘Annapolis’, ‘Cavendish’, ‘Kent’, and ‘Honeoye’ strawberries stored in air, or 20 kPa CO\(_2\) in air, for up to 9 d at 2\( ^\circ\)C. Data for 3, 6 and 9 d have been combined.

| Cultivar  | PDC (\( \mu \text{mol·min}^{-1} \cdot \text{g}^{-1} \cdot \text{fresh wt} \)) | ADH (\( \mu \text{mol·min}^{-1} \cdot \text{g}^{-1} \cdot \text{fresh wt} \)) |
|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|           | Air | CO\(_2\) | CO\(_2\)/Air | Air | CO\(_2\) | CO\(_2\)/Air |
| Annapolis | 0.71 | 0.76   | 1.07       | 0.85 | 1.04   | 1.22       |
| Cavendish | 1.01 | 1.18   | 1.17       | 0.80 | 0.96   | 1.20       |
| Honeoye | 0.34 | 0.27   | 0.78       | 0.42 | 0.39   | 0.96       |
| Kent | 0.72 | 0.56   | 0.79       | 0.83 | 0.80   | 0.93       |

**Significance (MS and \( P \))**

| Cultivar (C) | 3 | 1.654*** | 0.850*** |
| Atmosphere (A) | 1 | 0.000ns | 0.091* |
| Time (T) | 2 | 0.008ss | 0.064* |
| C × A | 3 | 0.097*** | 0.063* |
| C × T | 6 | 0.005ss | 0.088*** |
| A × T | 2 | 0.025ss | 0.012ss |
| C × A × T | 6 | 0.043*** | 0.011ss |
| Error | 44 | 0.009 | 0.017 |
fruit treated with 20 kPa CO₂ at 2 °C, to maximum levels of 0.5, 4.4, and 0.1 mmol·g⁻¹ on day 9, but not at 20 °C (data not shown). Succinate also accumulated in CO₂-treated fruit but reached much higher levels at 20 °C than at 2 °C (Fig. 3). Malate concentrations decreased in fruit held at 20 °C, and also in CO₂-treated fruit compared with air-treated fruit at both temperatures (Fig. 3).

Discussion

Watkins et al. (1999) found that fermentation products accumulated under 20 kPa CO₂ in a cultivar-dependent manner: ‘Annapolis’ and ‘Cavendish’ did not accumulate acetaldehyde and ethanol, while ‘Honeoye’, ‘Jewel’, ‘Kent’, and especially ‘Governor Simcoe’, accumulated large amounts. ‘Earliglow’ was somewhat intermediate in response. These differences in accumulation of fermentation products may indicate differences in fruit tolerance to high CO₂ and therefore provide useful experimental material to investigate metabolism under these conditions.

Results presented herein confirm differences in cultivar response (Figs. 1 and 3; Table 1), and also suggest that ‘Lateglow’ but not ‘Northeast’ may accumulate fermentation products under high CO₂ conditions. Within cultivars that accumulated acetaldehyde and ethanol, differences in further metabolism to esters such as ethyl acetate are also apparent (Fig. 1), suggesting that fermentative and associated pathways can vary widely among cultivars. This is not surprising considering the quantitative differences of flavor volatiles among ripening cultivars shown by Shamaiala et al. (1992). Relationships between off-flavor and fermentation volatiles among ripening cultivars shown by Shamaila et al. (1992). Relationships between off-flavor and fermentation volatiles among ripening cultivars shown by Shamaila et al. (1992).

Accumulation of acetaldehyde and ethanol occur typically when plant tissues are exposed to anaerobic conditions, and are usually associated with increased activities of ADH and, less consistently with PDC (Chervin et al., 1999; Drew, 1997; Kennedy et al., 1992; Zhang and Greenway, 1994). Acetaldehyde and ethanol concentrations, together with PDC and ADH activities, also increase when strawberries are treated with 20 kPa CO₂, although the relative increases are low compared with those under hypoxia (Ke et al. 1994a, 1994b). The significance of different responses of strawberry cultivars to elevated CO₂ with regard to PDC and ADH activity is not clear. The less tolerant ‘Honeoye’ and ‘Kent’ might be expected to have higher activities of these enzymes in CO₂ than air-treated fruit, since these cultivars accumulate fermentation products. ADH activity, for example, is correlated with ethanol accumulation in other systems (Chan and Burton, 1992). Notwithstanding factors such as differential isozyme activities (Ke et al., 1994b) and possible effects of lowered pH resulting from carbonic acid formation in CO₂-treated fruit on enzyme activity (Bown, 1985), measurement of carbon flux in relation to enzyme activities (Zhang and Greenway, 1994) will be required to understand regulation of fermentation in these cultivars. Interestingly, in vitro PDC and ADH activities (Table 2) are in excess of those required to produce the amounts of acetaldehyde and ethanol measured (Fig. 1), indicating as in other studies (Chervin et al., 1999), that the activities of either enzyme are unlikely to be rate limiting.

Succinate concentrations also showed patterns of change that were affected by tolerance to high CO₂ conditions, with highest levels in tolerant fruit (Fig. 2). Also, accumulation of succinate was greater at 20 than at 2 °C (Fig. 3) and no volatile accumulation was detected in fruit at the higher temperature (data not shown). Accumulation of succinate is a common response to high CO₂ in leaves and stems (Ke et al., 1993; Romo-Parada et al., 1989) and fruit (Hulme, 1956; Wagner, 1974; Yang et al., 1998). Its accumulation is thought to be toxic to cells (Hulme, 1956), although Ke et al. (1993) did not find a relationship between succinate accumulation and cultivar susceptibility to CO₂ injury of lettuce (Lactuca sativa L.). Little is known about the mechanisms involved in succinate accumulation, but it is usually assumed to be associated with CO₂–induced inhibition of succinate dehydrogenase (SDH), which oxidizes succinate to fumarate in the TCA cycle (Frenkel and Patterson, 1973; Ke et al., 1993; Shipway and Bramlage, 1973). Higher succinate accumulation in ‘Annapolis’ and ‘Cavendish’ may reflect lower carbon flux through the fermentation pathway and more via the TCA cycle, compared with ‘Honeoye’ and ‘Kent’. Succinate production also may result from activation of the glyoxylate cycle (Yang et al., 1998), the 4-aminobutyrate shunt (Satya Narayan and Nair, 1986), and/or phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase activity (Bisbis et al., 1997). Anaerobic production of succinate is common in animals with high resistance to anoxia (Hochachka and Somero, 1984), and although it has seldom been reported in plants (Bourne and Ranson, 1965), its accumulation may be related similarly to anoxia resistance in some plant species (Menegus et al., 1988, 1989).

Malate concentrations decreased in all CO₂-treated strawber-
ries, perhaps due to decreased recycling of succinate to malate. Its relative decrease was not related to the degree of succinate accumulation (Figs. 2 and 3), however, probably because malate pools are large and also associated with other metabolic pathways. In addition, pyruvate carboxylase activity would result in oxaloacetate production, and consequently maintenance of TCA cycle intermediates such as malate. Interestingly, however, overall concentrations of malate were higher in the two cultivars that accumulated the most succinate.

The marketplace usually rejects produce with accumulations of fermentation products and associated off-flavor development. Cultivars such as ‘Annapolis’ and ‘Cavendish’, and ‘Honeoye’ and ‘Kent’, would be regarded commercially, as tolerant and intolerant to high CO₂ conditions, respectively, under the CO₂ atmosphere conditions reported. Differences among cultivars, therefore, have implications for selection of appropriate cultivars for MA and CA storage. Metabolically, however, distinctions between tolerances of cultivars to CO₂ based on accumulation of fermentation products raise some interesting questions. Although metabolic distinctions between anaerobiosis associated with high CO₂ and low O₂ exist, a characteristic of hypoxia-tolerant plants, e.g., under flooding conditions, is an association with sustained, predominantly ethanolic fermentation (Drew, 1997). Fermentation provides a mechanism for pyruvate oxidation and NADH use and ATP production to proceed by substrate phosphorylation, while electron transport and oxidative phosphorylation are inhibited (Kennedy et al., 1992). Production of ethanol by roots, however, provides a mechanism by which leakage of this compound to the external soil medium can occur (Drew, 1997). From this perspective, fermentation in fruit under high CO₂ could be interpreted as a mechanism associated with tolerance, rather than intolerance, of fruit. Under ambient conditions, rapid diffusion of volatiles might occur from fruit in a way analogous to release of ethanol from roots; in ‘Jewel’ strawberries, for example, little accumulation of acetaldehyde or ethanol was detected in fruit kept in 20 kPa CO₂ at 20 °C (data not shown). Nevertheless, in contrast to fruit responses to imposed conditions resulting from postharvest treatments, those occurring under natural hypoxia-inducing conditions such as flooding, can be seen as adaptive and having evolved to ensure plant survival.
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