Modeling Kleinian cosmology with electronic metamaterials
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This paper deals with the propagation of Klein-Gordon particles in flat background spacetime exhibiting discontinuous metric changes from a Lorentzian signature (−,+,+,+) to a Kleinian signature (−,−,+,+). A formal analogy with the propagation of electrons at a junction between an anisotropic semiconductor and an electronic metamaterial is presented. From that analogy, we study the dynamics of these particles falling onto planar boundary interfaces between these two families of media and show a mirror-like behavior for the particle flux. Finally, the case of a double junction of finite thickness is examined and the possibility of tunneling through it is discussed. A physical link between the metamaterial and the Kleinian slabs is found by calculating the time of flight of the respective traversing particles.

I. INTRODUCTION

Differential equations are a powerful way of describing most physical phenomena. When the same equation fortunately describes very different systems, we have the rare opportunity of building analogue models [1], sometimes allowing experiments to be made in a more accessible system in order to understand better its less accessible counterpart. This paper analyzes the propagation of plane waves in two very different systems united by the same differential equation. They are, respectively, the propagation of relativistic spinless particles (through an analysis of the Klein-Gordon equation, named after Oskar Klein and Walter Gordon) across a Kleinian spacetime (named after Felix Klein) in an otherwise Lorentzian spacetime, and the propagation of ballistic electrons in a semiconductor across a region where their effective mass is negative.

The Klein-Gordon (KG) scalar field has been used by several authors (see for example Refs. [2,3]) as a theoretical probe for the geometry of spacetime. This is important, for instance, in elucidating the role of singularities in the dynamics of particles. Even though a classical test particle is directly affected by the singularity, there are spacetimes where a quantum particle feels, instead of the singularity, an effective barrier [2]. On the other hand, optical metamaterial modeling of peculiar spacetimes like those of a black hole [5,6], a spinning cosmic string [7], a multiverse [8], or electromagnetic black holes and magnetic monopoles [9] has become quite fashionable lately. In particular, we note the study of metric signature change [10,12], using optical metamaterials. The ease of experimental verification of these models is their greater asset, making cosmological experiments a possibility in the optics laboratory. Electronic metamaterials [13,15] are also an exciting possibility for modeling the gravitational and cosmological problems. Contrasting with their optical counterparts, these metamaterials use ballistic electrons as their fundamental objects. In this article, to the best of our knowledge, we are the first to use an electronic metamaterial to model a cosmological problem: a spacetime with a discontinuous metric signature change. We show that the equation and boundary conditions governing the dynamics of a Klein-Gordon particle in such a spacetime are the same as those of a ballistic electron in an electronic metamaterial, when they move through a junction of different metric signature/electron effective mass. We use this result to study tunneling through a slab of Kleinian spacetime/negative electron effective mass.

In what follows we describe the two systems studied, starting with the cosmological problem of a metric signature change from Lorentzian to Kleinian along a chosen direction. Following this, we describe its electronic metamaterial counterpart, partially inspired by Ref. [13]. Since we are studying junctions of distinct spacetime structures and materials with different effective masses for the electrons, it is necessary to establish appropriate boundary conditions. This is done in the following section, which establishes the desired boundary conditions both for Klein-Gordon particles and the electrons at the junction (in the latter, the mass depends on the position and therefore has to be treated as an operator). Tunneling through a slab of Kleinian signature is then considered next and, finally, we present our conclusions.
II. KLEIN-GORDON PARTICLES PROPAGATING IN A SPACETIME WITH METRIC SIGNATURE CHANGE

A metric signature change from Euclidean (+, +, +, +) to Lorentzian (−, +, +, +) might have happened in the early Universe as a theoretical consequence of the “no boundary proposal” of Hartle and Hawking [10, 17]. Another possibility, suggested by Sakharov [18], is that of a transition to a Kleinian (−, +, +, −) signature. Metric signature transitions also appear in the context of loop quantum gravity [19] and a condensed matter experiment has been proposed to verify “cosmological” particle production due to a signature change transition [20]. The effects of a Lorentzian to Kleinian transition on matter propagation in a spacetime with metric signature change also appear in the context of loop quantum gravity [19] and a condensed matter experiment has been proposed to verify “cosmological” particle production due to a signature change transition [20].

In order to obtain the dispersion relation in the same form as Eq. (6), we made \( k_z \to ip \) (\( p \in \mathbb{R} \)) in the slab, such that

\[
\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} = k_x^2 + k_y^2 + p^2 + \mu^2.
\]  

(8)

Therefore, the solution of the wave equation in the Kleinian region is

\[
\phi = e^{i(k_x x + k_y y - \omega t)} \pm ipz.
\]  

(9)

Then, for the spacetime with signature transitions given by Eq. (4), we have

\[
\phi = e^{i(k_x x + k_y y - \omega t)} \begin{cases} 
  e^{ipz} + re^{-ipz} & \text{for } z < 0 \\
  ae^{pz} + be^{-pz} & \text{for } 0 < z < l \\
  te^{ipz} & \text{for } z > l.
\end{cases}
\]  

(10)

where \( a \) and \( b \) are complex amplitudes and \( r \) and \( t \) are the reflected and transmitted amplitudes from the slab.

As obtained by Alty [17], applying the usual boundary conditions of continuity of both \( \phi \) and \( \partial \phi / \partial z \) at each interface, one gets

\[
r = -i \tanh(pl),
\]

\[
a = \left( \frac{1 + i}{2} \right) \frac{e^{-pl}}{\cosh(pl)},
\]

\[
b = \left( \frac{1 - i}{2} \right) \frac{e^{pl}}{\cosh(pl)},
\]

\[
t = \frac{e^{-ipl}}{\cosh(pl)}.
\]  

(11)

Thus, there is tunneling across the Kleinian slab and it is easily verified that the probability current is conserved by summing the squared modulus of the amplitude of the incident, reflected and transmitted waves (see Fig. 1).

However, looking more carefully at the spacetime structure given by Eq. (1) we can see that the \( g_{zz} \) metric component can be written as

\[
g_{zz} = H(-z) - H(z) + 2H(z-l),
\]  

(12)

where \( H(z) \) is the Heaviside step function. It will be shown later (see Sec. IV) that this discontinuous behavior of \( g_{zz} \) leads to another condition for \( \partial \phi / \partial z \) at the junctions \( z = 0 \) and \( z = l \), giving us a different solution than Eq. (11). For clarity, we will postpone the solution until Sec. IV.

III. A BALLISTIC ELECTRON METAMATERIAL

Let us consider a non-Euclidean geometry endowed with metric tensor \( g_{ij} \). Therefore, the Schrödinger equation for electrons inside such a geometry is:

\[
-\frac{k^2}{2m_e} \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \partial_i \left( \sqrt{g} g^{ij} \partial_j \right) \Psi + V \Psi = E \Psi,
\]  

(13)
The energy levels of spinless electrons in anisotropic semiconductors are governed by the effective mass Schrödinger equation:

\[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m^*} \left[ \frac{1}{m^*} \right]^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j \Psi + V\Psi = E\Psi, \tag{14} \]

where the effective mass tensor \([1/m^*]^{ij}\) is given by

\[ \left[ \frac{1}{m^*} \right]^{ij} = \left( \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial k_i \partial k_j} \right)_{k=0} = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11}^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_{22}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_{33}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{15} \]

The principal masses \(m_{11}, m_{22}\) and \(m_{33}\) can be of positive or negative sign \([21]\). Comparing the kinetic terms between Eqs. \((13)\) and \((14)\) shows that both equations coincide provided that \(\partial_i (g^{ij} \sqrt{g}) = 0\). Then, the effective mass tensor generates an effective geometry, whose metric is

\[ g^{ij} = m_e \left[ \frac{1}{m^*} \right]^{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_{33} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{16} \]

where \(\alpha_{ii} = m_e/m_{ii}\).

For a model of an electronic metamaterial, we follow Ref. \([13]\), where the effective mass \(m^*\) and the difference \((E - V)\) are the electronic counterparts of the electric permittivity \(\epsilon\) and the magnetic permeability \(\mu\), respectively. This analogy is made in the sense that a positive (negative) effective mass corresponds to a positive (negative) permittivity. The same is true for \((E - V)\) and \(\mu\).

As an example, the electronic analogue of a hyperbolic metamaterial \([22]\) with permittivity tensor

\[ \epsilon_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\epsilon_2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{17} \]

with \(\epsilon_{xx} = \epsilon_{yy} = \epsilon_1 > 0, \epsilon_{zz} = \epsilon_2 < 0\), is the following effective mass tensor:

\[ \left[ \frac{1}{m^*} \right]^{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11}^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_{22}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -m_{33}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{18} \]

where \(m_{11} = m_{22} = m_1 > 0, m_{33} = m_2 < 0\).

However, one must be careful with this analogy concerning the dispersion relation. It is well known \([23]\) that the dispersion relation of a metamaterial with permittivity tensor \([17]\) is

\[ \frac{k_z^2}{(\epsilon_1 \omega^2/c^2)} = \frac{k_x^2 + k_y^2}{(\epsilon_2 \omega^2/c^2)} = 1, \tag{19} \]

which is a hyperboloid of two sheets in \(k\) space (Fig. 2). Regarding the dispersion relation for the matter waves, we will consider the uniaxial mass tensor \([18]\), which according to Eq. \((16)\) is equivalent to a contravariant metric tensor \(g^{ij}\) with signature \((+, +, -)\). For a free electron of energy \(E > 0\), Eq. \((14)\) leads to

\[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \left( \frac{1}{m_1} \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{m_2} \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial y^2} - \frac{1}{|m_2|} \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial z^2} \right) = E\Psi, \tag{20} \]

for which, by the arguments discussed in the Appendix, the ansatz

\[ \Psi(x, y, z) = e^{i(k_x x + k_y y + k_z z)}, \tag{21} \]

is a solution provided the following dispersion relation holds:

\[ \frac{k_z^2}{(2|m_2|\omega/\hbar)} = \frac{k_x^2 + k_y^2}{(2m_1\omega/\hbar)} = 1, \tag{22} \]

where we used the fact that \(E = \hbar \omega\). Equation \((22)\) is an ellipsoid in \(k\) space (Fig. 2), in contrast with the hyperboloid given by Eq. \((19)\).
as the one for the Klein-Gordon equation in a Kleinian spacetime. However, because the wave function cannot be unbounded as \( z \to \infty \), we must have for \( z > z_0 \) just the evanescent wave of Eq. (23):

\[
\Psi(x, y, z, t) = e^{i(k_xx + k_yy - \omega t) - k_z z}.
\]  
(25)

For \( z < z_0 \), with a metric signature \( (+, +, +) \), we choose \( m_{33} = |m_2| \) (recall that \( m_2 < 0 \)) for Eq. (18). Then, the Schrödinger equation becomes

\[
-\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \left( \frac{1}{m_1} \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{m_1} \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial y^2} + \frac{1}{|m_2|} \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial z^2} \right) = E\Psi.
\]  
(26)

Therefore,

\[
\Psi(x, y, z, t) = e^{i(k_xx + k_yy + k_z z - \omega t)},
\]  
(27)

where, once again, we obtain the same dispersion relation (22) for the plane wave (27). In the remainder of this paper we will use the following notation:

\[
x = (x, y), \quad k = (k_x, k_y), \quad p = k_z.
\]  
(28, 29, 30)

At last, combining Eqs. (25) and (27), we get

\[
\Psi = e^{i(k_xx - \omega t)} \begin{cases} 
ae^{ipz} + be^{-ipz} & \text{for } z < z_0 \[2pt] 
c e^{-pz} & \text{for } z > z_0 \end{cases}
\]  
(31)

where \( a, b \) and \( c \) are complex amplitudes. In Ref. [17], one can see that Eq. (31) has the same form for a Klein-Gordon particle through a signature change \((-+, +, +)\) to \((-+, +, -)\) at \( z = z_0 \). However, although it is tempting to apply the usual boundary conditions at the interface (continuity of both the wave function and its first derivative) care should be taken because of the mass change across \( z = z_0 \). Junction conditions for solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation are still a fairly hot debate [22–26], but in our case, we will focus on a comparison with Alty’s results and examine them from the standpoint of the electronic metamaterial analogue. Thus, the aim of the following section is to establish the appropriate boundary conditions for the single junction (both for cosmological and electronic cases).

### V. SINGLE JUNCTION AND THE MIRROR EFFECT

As already pointed in Secs. [11] and [14] a discontinuous behavior in a junction leads to another boundary condition for the derivative. Concerning a Klein-Gordon particle, we start with the usual KG equation (3) with \( \square \) replaced by the Laplace-Beltrami operator

\[
\square = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \partial_{\nu} \left( \sqrt{|g|} g^{\nu\sigma} \partial_{\sigma} \right).
\]  
(32)
where $g = \det g_{\sigma \nu}$ and we will take $g^{\sigma \nu}$ as
\[
g^{\sigma \nu} = \begin{pmatrix}
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & f(z)
\end{pmatrix}.
\] (33)

Therefore, Eq. (32) becomes
\[
\Box = -\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \partial_z \left( \sqrt{|g|} f(z) \partial_z \psi \right). \tag{34}
\]

Choosing the ansatz $\phi(x, z, t) = e^{i(k \cdot x - \omega t)} \varphi(z)$ for the KG equation, we will get
\[
\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - k^2 - \mu^2 + \frac{1}{\varphi(z)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \partial_z \left( \sqrt{|g|} f(z) \partial_z \varphi(z) \right) = 0. \tag{35}
\]

In order to keep the dispersion relation (8), we must have
\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \partial_z \left( \sqrt{|g|} f(z) \partial_z \varphi(z) \right) = -p^2 \varphi(z). \tag{36}
\]

Taking $|g| = \pm 1/f (z)$ in Eq. (36) and after some calculations, one gets
\[
\left[ -\frac{f(z)}{2} \frac{d}{dz} + \frac{d}{dz} \left( f(z) \frac{d}{dz} \right) \right] \varphi(z) = -p^2 \varphi(z), \tag{37}
\]
where the prime symbol denotes $d/dz$.

Finally, let us take our case of interest and consider a signature change $(-, +, +, +)$ to $(-, +, +, -)$ at $z = z_0$. Thus
\[
f(z) = H(-z + z_0) - H(z - z_0), \tag{38}
\]
\[
f'(z) = -2\delta(z - z_0), \tag{39}
\]
where $\delta(z)$ is the Dirac delta function. Then, Eq. (37) becomes
\[
\delta(z - z_0) \frac{d\varphi}{dz} + \frac{d}{dz} \left( f(z) \frac{d\varphi}{dz} \right) = -p^2 \varphi(z). \tag{40}
\]

Now, we integrate Eq. (40) in the interval $(z_0 - \epsilon, z_0 + \epsilon)$ around $z = z_0$. We consider $\epsilon$ to be very small. Therefore,
\[
\varphi'(z_0^+) + \varphi'(z_0^-) + \int_{z_0-\epsilon}^{z_0+\epsilon} f(z) \varphi' \, dz = -p^2 \int_{z_0-\epsilon}^{z_0+\epsilon} \varphi \, dz, \tag{41}
\]
where when integrating the first term on the left side of Eq. (40) we have used the fact that
\[
\varphi'(z) \delta(z - z_0) = \frac{\varphi'(z_0^+) + \varphi'(z_0^-)}{2} \delta(z - z_0). \tag{42}
\]

In the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, Eq. (41) becomes
\[
\varphi'(z_0^+) + \varphi'(z_0^-) + f(z_0^+) \varphi'(z_0^-) - f(z_0^-) \varphi'(z_0^+) = 0. \tag{43}
\]

Using $f(z_0^+) = -1$ and $f(z_0^-) = 1$ in Eq. (43), we obtain
\[
\varphi'(z_0^+) = -\varphi'(z_0^-). \tag{44}
\]

Then, the boundary condition for $\partial \phi/\partial z$ at the junction $z = z_0$ will be
\[
\left. \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right|_{z = z_0^+} = - \left. \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right|_{z = z_0^-}. \tag{45}
\]

For the electronic case, first it is important to mention that Eq. (14) is valid only for the half-spaces $z < z_0$ and $z > z_0$ separately. As can be seen [27], one has to treat the effective mass tensor $[1/m^*]^{ij}$ as an operator, and therefore care must be taken to ensure that the Hamiltonian is Hermitian and obeys Galilean invariance. Following Ref. [27] we choose the following form for the kinetic term of the Hamiltonian:
\[
\hat{H}_{\text{kin}} = \frac{1}{2} \hat{p}_i \left[ \frac{1}{m^*} \right]^{ij} \hat{p}_j, \tag{46}
\]
which satisfies both requisites. Thus, the effective mass Schrödinger equation becomes
\[
-\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \frac{d}{dz} \left( \frac{1}{m^*} \right)^{ij} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial z^j} + V \Psi = E \Psi, \tag{47}
\]
which clearly reduces to equation (14) for a position-independent $[1/m^*]^{ij}$.

In our case $[1/m^*]^{ij}$ is diagonal for the entire space. Thus, as the transition (24) only affects $m_{33}$ at $z = z_0$, let us focus on the $z$ direction, with a mass changing from $m_1$ to $m_2$ at $z = z_0$. Therefore:
\[
\frac{1}{m(z)} = \frac{1}{m_1} H(-z + z_0) + \frac{1}{m_2} H(z - z_0), \tag{48}
\]
\[
\frac{d}{dz} \left[ \frac{1}{m(z)} \right] = \left( \frac{1}{m_2} - \frac{1}{m_1} \right) \delta(z - z_0). \tag{49}
\]

For a free particle ($E > 0$), we choose the ansatz $\Psi(x, z) = e^{ik \cdot z} \psi(z)$. Then, Eq. (47) leads to
\[
\frac{d}{dz} \left( \frac{1}{m(z)} \frac{d\psi}{dz} \right) = -P^2 \psi(z), \tag{50}
\]
where $P$ is a separation constant with dimensions of $k_z m^{-1}$. Since Eq. (50) is similar to Eq. (40), we integrate it in a small interval $(z_0 - \epsilon, z_0 + \epsilon)$ around the junction $z = z_0$. Therefore,
\[
\left[ \frac{1}{m(z)} \frac{d\psi}{dz} \right]_{z_0-\epsilon}^{z_0+\epsilon} = -P^2 \int_{z_0-\epsilon}^{z_0+\epsilon} \psi \, dz. \tag{51}
\]

In the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we find
\[
\frac{1}{m_2} \psi'(z_0^+) = \frac{1}{m_1} \psi'(z_0^-). \tag{52}
\]
Thus, taking Eq. 52 with \( m_1 = \pm |m_2| \) and \( m_2 = -|m_2| \) one gets the following boundary condition for \( \partial \Psi / \partial z \):

\[
\left. \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial z} \right|_{z = z^+} = - \left. \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial z} \right|_{z = z^-},
\]

which is the same as the condition (45) obtained for \( \partial \phi / \partial z \). Therefore, since we have the same boundary conditions for both cases, the analogy between \( \phi \) and \( \Psi \) is fully achieved. We remark that the same boundary condition is achieved by doing the self-adjoint extension of the Hamiltonian [28–29].

Applying the continuity of the wave function and the boundary condition (53) for Eq. (31) we find \( b = ia \) and \( c = (1+i)a \). Then we compute the \( j_e = (\hbar/m) \text{Im}(\Psi^* \partial_z \Psi) \) component of the probability current for the incident, reflected and transmitted waves respectively:

\[
\begin{align*}
  j_0 &= \frac{\hbar |A|^2}{|m_2|} p, \\
  j_r &= -\frac{\hbar |A|^2}{|m_2|} p, \\
  j_t &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

As one would expect for a transition from a Lorentzian signature \((-,-,+,+)\) to a Kleinian signature \((-,,+,+,+)\), the reflection coefficient \( R = |j_r/j_0| = 1 \) and the incoming wave is totally reflected with a phase shift of \( 3\pi/2 \) (Fig. 3 [17]). Such an effect is analogous to optical reflection by semireflecting lossless mirrors [30] and hence, the junction acts as a special mirror for electronic probability currents.

VI. A WALL OF KLEINIAN SIGNATURE

Analogously to the frustration of evanescent waves in classical optics, the results of the previous sections (see also the Appendix) encourage us to investigate the tunneling through a Kleinian region. Therefore, consider a metamaterial (negative effective mass) slab with length \( l \) sandwiched between two semiconductors with positive effective mass. The metric tensor \( g^{ij} \) for this system is given by

\[
g^{ij} = \begin{cases} 
  \text{diag}(+,+,+) & \text{for } z < 0 \\
  \text{diag}(+,+,-) & \text{for } 0 < z < l \\
  \text{diag}(+,+,) & \text{for } z > l
\end{cases}
\]

Following the same steps of Sec. IV, we write the solution for \( \Psi(x,z,t) \) as

\[
\Psi = e^{i(k \cdot x - \omega t)} \begin{cases} 
  e^{ipz} + re^{-ipz} & \text{for } z < 0 \\
  a e^{ipz} + be^{-pz} & \text{for } 0 < z < l \\
  te^{ipz} & \text{for } z > l
\end{cases}
\]

Applying the the continuity of \( \Psi \) and Eq. (53) for \( \partial \Psi / \partial z \) at \( z = 0 \) and \( z = l \), we find

\[
\begin{align*}
  r &= i \tanh(pl), \\
  a &= \left( 1 - i \right) \frac{e^{-pl}}{\cosh(pl)}, \\
  b &= \left( 1 + i \right) \frac{e^{pl}}{\cosh(pl)}, \\
  t &= \frac{e^{-ipl}}{\cosh(pl)}.
\end{align*}
\]

As we can see, except for slight changes, Eqs. (59) are very similar to Eqs. (11). The differences arise because of the discontinuity of \( \partial \Psi / \partial z \) in the boundary condition (53), which shifts the reflected wave by a phase of \( \pi \) and produces a “sharp peak” at \( z = 0 \) in the wave function \( \Psi \) (Fig. 3). However, besides those differences, the main physical properties remain the same as in Sec. II. As an example, by calculating \( j_0 \), \( j_r \) and \( j_t \)

\[
\begin{align*}
  j_0 &= \frac{\hbar p}{|m_2|}, \\
  j_r &= \frac{\hbar p}{|m_2|} \tanh^2(pl), \\
  j_t &= \frac{\hbar p}{|m_2|} \text{sech}^2(pl),
\end{align*}
\]

we can see explicitly that \( j_0 + j_r = j_t \) and the probability current is still conserved. The reflection and transmission coefficients are then

\[
\begin{align*}
  R &= \tanh^2(pl), \\
  T &= \text{sech}^2(pl),
\end{align*}
\]

FIG. 3. Behavior of the wave functions \( \Psi \) and \( \phi \) (real part) through an effective mass (signature) change at \( z = 0 \). The incident wave (blue line) is completely reflected (orange line) with a \( 3\pi/2 \) phase shift. \( z \) is given in units of \( k_z^{-1} \).
FIG. 4. Top: Tunneling of the wave functions \(\Psi\) and \(\phi\) (real part) through a metamaterial (Kleinian spacetime) sandwich of length \(l\): comparison with Fig. 1 shows a phase shift of \(\pi\) between the two reflected waves (orange lines). Bottom: Graph of the total wave function with a sharp peak at \(z = 0\). In both graphs, \(z\) is given in units of \(k^{-1}\) respectively. Their dependence on the slab length is shown in Fig. 5.

As remarked in Sec. II, the solution (11) for Klein-Gordon particles through a slab of Kleinian spacetime has the same form as Eq. (58). Further, by the similarity between Eqs. (45) and (53), the coefficients for \(\phi\) must be those given by Eq. (59). Thus, the graph for \(\phi\) is the same as that for \(\Psi\) (see Fig. 4).

Last, we obtain the time of flight \(\Delta t\) for a Klein-Gordon particle through a Kleinian spacetime and the ballistic electrons through the metamaterial slab (length \(l\)). By definition

\[
\Delta t = \int_0^l \frac{dz}{v_g(z)}, \tag{65}
\]

where \(v_g(z) = j_z/\rho\) is the group velocity and \(\rho\) is the probability density. The expression for \(j_z\) is the same for both cases. However, the same is not true for \(\rho\). Thus,

for a Klein-Gordon particle of mass \(m\)

\[
\rho = -\frac{\hbar}{mc^2} \text{Im} (\phi^* \partial_t \phi). \tag{66}
\]

Therefore, Eqs. (59) and (62) give

\[
v_g(z) = \frac{pc^2}{\omega} \text{sech} [2p(z - l)]. \tag{67}
\]

And after simple calculations, one gets

\[
\Delta t_{KG} = \frac{\omega}{2p^2c^2} \sinh(2pl). \tag{68}
\]

Meanwhile, for ballistic electrons \(\rho = |\Psi|^2\). By the same procedure and using Eqs. (59) and (62), we will have for \(v_g(z)\)

\[
v_g(z) = \frac{\hbar p}{|m|^2} \text{sech} [2p(z - l)], \tag{69}
\]

and for the time of flight

\[
\Delta t_{BE} = \frac{|m|^2}{2\hbar p^2} \sinh(2pl). \tag{70}
\]

The dependence of the time of flight on the slab length \(l\) is shown in Fig. 6. It is possible to establish a connection between \(\Delta t_{KG}\) and \(\Delta t_{BE}\) through \(\omega/p^2c^2 \leftrightarrow |m|^2/\hbar p^2\), or even \(\hbar \omega \leftrightarrow |m|^2/c^2\). This gives a numeric link between the two systems, allowing experiments in an electronic metamaterial to be interpreted as analogous experiments with Klein-Gordon particles in Kleinian spacetime.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

What is the behavior of a Klein-Gordon particle crossing the boundary between a Lorentzian and a Kleinian...
spacetime? How long does it take to tunnel through a slab of Kleinian spacetime? How could one perform experimental simulations of such particles? We answered these questions by investigating ballistic electrons crossing the boundary between regions where they have masses of different signs. These quite different physical systems are described by the same solutions. Therefore, the second case can be used to experimentally model the first. Following Dragoman and Dragoman [13], we studied the tunneling of ballistic electrons through a slab of electronic metamaterial. As a further step, we improved the tunneling of ballistic electrons in Kleinian spacetime with ballistic electronic ones. In the present case, in order to model Klein-Gordon particles in Kleinian spacetime with ballistic electrons in an electronic metamaterial, we need to choose a material with negative effective electronic mass and no bias voltage applied (or, if any, such that $E - V > 0$) or one with positive effective mass and bias voltage higher than the kinetic energy of the electrons.

**FIG. 6.** Time of flight of the ballistic electrons (KG particle) as a function of the slab length (Kleinian spacetime) $l$. The blue line shows the function given by Eqs. (68) and (70). The orange one is for the case where the slab (Kleinian spacetime) is absent (where $v_0$ is given by $\hbar p/|m_2|$ for ballistic electrons and $pc^2/\omega$ for KG particles). In this graph, $t$ is given in units of $k_z^{-1}$ and $\Delta t$ in units of $|m_2|\hbar^{-1}k_z^{-2}$.
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**Appendix: The one-dimensional Schrödinger equation and the effective mass**

Here we summarize some simple but important concepts that are necessary for a better understanding of this paper. We start by looking at the Klein-Gordon equation in the Kleinian spacetime by rewriting Eq. (2) with the d’Alembertian operator given by Eq. (4). With a few manipulations and after inserting the ansatz $\phi(x,y,z,t) = e^{-i\omega t}e^{i(k_x x + k_y y + k_z z)}\varphi(z)$, one easily gets

$$\frac{d^2 \varphi}{dz^2} = -\left( k_x^2 + k_y^2 + \mu^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \right) \varphi = \frac{p^2}{c^2} \varphi, \quad (A.1)$$

where the dispersion relation (3) was used.

Now, let us consider the one-dimensional time-independent Schrödinger equation for a particle with effective mass $m^*$ moving along the z axis, subjected to a constant potential $V$:

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m^*} \frac{d^2 \Psi}{dz^2} + V \Psi = E \Psi, \quad (A.2)$$

or

$$\frac{d^2 \Psi}{dz^2} = -k^2 \Psi, \quad (A.3)$$

where the constant $k$ is defined as

$$k = \sqrt{2m^*(E - V)} \frac{\hbar}{\hbar} \quad (A.4)$$

This has a “plane” wave solution given by

$$\Psi(z) = e^{\pm ikz}. \quad (A.5)$$

Since $m^*$ can be positive or negative and considering the respective signs of $m^*$ and $(E - V)$, it is easily verified that, if both quantities have the same sign, the wave number $k$ in Eq. (A.4) is real and the solution (A.5) is a plane wave. However, if the signs are different, $k$ is purely imaginary, Eqs. (A.1) and (A.3) are identical, and Eq. (A.5) is a real exponential. Thus, making $k \to ip$ ($p \in \mathbb{R}$) in Eq. (A.5) we obtain

$$\Psi(z) = e^{\pm ipz}, \quad (A.6)$$

where $p$ is given by

$$p = \sqrt{2m^*(E - V)} \frac{\hbar}{\hbar}. \quad (A.7)$$
[1] C. Barceló, S. Liberati, and M. Visser, Living Rev. Rel 8, 214 (2005).
[2] G. T. Horowitz and D. Marolf, Physical Review D 52, 5670 (1995).
[3] P. Małkiewicz and W. Piechocki, Classical and Quantum Gravity 23, 7045 (2006).
[4] A. Dapor and J. Lewandowski, Phys. Rev. D 87, 063512 (2013).
[5] R. T. Thompson and J. Frauendiener, Phys. Rev. D 82, 124021 (2010).
[6] I. Fernández-Núñez and O. Bulashenko, Physics Letters A 380, 1 (2016).
[7] T. G. Mackay and A. Lakhtakia, Physics Letters A 374, 2305 (2010).
[8] I. I. Smolyaninov, Journal of Optics 13, 024004 (2011).
[9] A. Greenleaf, Y. Kurylev, M. Lassas, and G. Uhlmann, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 183901 (2007).
[10] I. I. Smolyaninov and E. E. Narimanov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 067402 (2010).
[11] E. Reyes-Gómez, S. B. Cavalcanti, L. E. Oliveira, and C. A. de Carvalho, Phys. Rev. E 89, 033202 (2014).
[12] I. I. Smolyaninov and Y.-J. Hung, Physics Letters A 377, 353 (2013).
[13] D. Dragoman and M. Dragoman, Journal of Applied Physics 101, 104316 (2007).
[14] K. Ding, S.-Y. Xiao, and L. Zhou, Frontiers of Physics 8, 386 (2013).
[15] R. Fleury and A. Alù, Phys. Rev. B 90, 035138 (2014).
[16] J. B. Hartle and S. W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. D 28, 2960 (1983).
[17] L. Alty, Classical and Quantum Gravity 11, 2523 (1994).
[18] A. D. Sakharov, Sov. Phys. JETP 60, 214 (1984), [Sov. Phys. Usp. 34, 409 (1991)].
[19] M. Bojowald and J. Mielczarek, Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics 2015, 052 (2015).
[20] S. Weinfurtner, A. White, and M. Visser, Phys. Rev. D 76, 124008 (2007).
[21] C. Kittel, Introduction to solid state physics, 8th ed. (Wiley, 2005).
[22] S. Fumeron, B. Berche, F. Santos, E. Pereira, and F. Moraes, Phys. Rev. A 92, 063806 (2015).
[23] P. Shekhar, J. Atkinson, and Z. Jacob, Nano Convergence 1, 1 (2014).
[24] T. Dray, C. A. Manogue, and R. W. Tucker, Physical Review D 48, 2587 (1993).
[25] S. Hayward, Class. Quantum Grav. 6, 87 (1994).
[26] T. Dray, C. A. Manogue, and R. W. Tucker, Class. Quantum Grav. 22, 2767 (1995).
[27] J.-M. Levy-Leblond, Physical Review A 52, 1845 (1995).
[28] L. J. Levy-Leblond, Physical Review A 52, 1845 (1995).
[29] I. L. Egusquiza, Classical and Quantum Gravity 12, L89 (1995).
[30] V. Degiorgio, American Journal of Physics 48, 81 (1980).