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Association of socio-demographic variables and risk of type 2 diabetes using Indian diabetes risk score: a cross-sectional study in urban Mysuru
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ABSTRACT

Background: About 422 million people worldwide have diabetes. India has 69.2 million people living with diabetes out of a population of 1.3 billion (8.7%) as per the 2015 data. Of these, it remained undiagnosed in more than 36 million people. Early identification of at-risk individuals and appropriate lifestyle intervention would help in preventing or postponing the onset of diabetes mellitus. Present study aimed to study the association of IDRS components with socio demographic and anthropometric variables among adults in urban area of Mysore.

Methods: A community-based cross sectional study was conducted in the urban areas of the field practice area of Mysore Medical College and Research Institute. The study was conducted between March and July 2015. Using the estimation set up technique for proportion, the sample size was calculated to be 872 rounded off to 900, with level of significance of 5% and precision of 10%. Risk level for diabetes was assessed using Indian Diabetic Risk Score (IDRS) and sociodemographic and anthropometric factors were assessed through a semi structured pretested questionnaire.

Results: Of 900 participants, 197 (21.9%) had a high risk score (IDRS ≥60), the majority of participants (383; 42.5%) were in the moderate-risk category (IDRS 30–50). There was statistically significant difference between type of family and different risk categories under age component of IDRS (p<0.0005). Statistical significance was observed for marital status and SES among different age groups. Association was found significant between family history of diabetes component of IDRS and gender. There was also statistically significant difference between SES and different risk categories under family history of diabetes component of IDRS (p<0.0005).

Conclusions: IDRS should be used routinely in community-based screening to find out high risk category of population for diabetes.
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INTRODUCTION

Epidemiological transitions in India in the 21st century have led to non-communicable diseases becoming a major public health problem of growing magnitude. One of the important diseases in this respect is diabetes, which is considered a “disease of urbanization”.¹-³ About 422 million people worldwide have diabetes. India has 69.2 million people living with diabetes out of a population of 1.3 billion (8.7%) as per the 2015 data. Of these, it remained undiagnosed in more than 36 million people. In 2015, over 0.9 million deaths in India were attributed to...
diabetes directly or indirectly. The number of diabetics in the country is expected to increase to a staggering 109 million cases by 2035 out of an estimated population of 1.5 billion.4

While recognizing the increasing prevalence of type 2 diabetes in urban Indian adults, it is important to note that the prevalence of undiagnosed diabetes in the community is also high.

A novel approach utilizing simple non-invasive scores can offer a potential for mass screening programmes. The Indian Diabetes Risk Score (IDRS) was developed by V Mohan and his colleagues in Madras Diabetes Research Foundation (MDRF), Chennai and is considered to be one of the strongest predictor of incident diabetes in India.5 It is a simplified risk score for identifying undiagnosed diabetic subjects using four simple parameters like age, waist circumference, family history of diabetes and physical activity. It is an efficient tool to categorize the risk of diabetes mellitus in community. It also helps in detecting undiagnosed type 2 diabetes. The present study aimed to study the association of IDRS components with socio demographic and anthropometric variables among adults in urban area of Mysore.

METHODS

A community-based cross-sectional study was conducted in urban field practice area of department of Community Medicine, Mysore Medical College and Research Institute, Mysore. Study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee. The maximum inflated sample size was 872 rounded off to 900, which was calculated using estimation technique with prevalence of high risk for diabetes using IDRS as 31.5% with 5% level of significance and precision 10%. The sample size was allocated to each ward using “Proportionate allocation technique”.6 The voter’s lists were obtained from Chief Electoral Office, Karnataka which contains demographic details of voters in different wards of urban field practice area. This list was compiled to form the required sampling frame for the selection of individuals. To achieve randomization in the selection of subjects at each ward, SRSWOR (simple random sampling without replacement) was used. To achieve random sampling RAND Corporation released random number table was used. Selected participants aged 18 years and above, not diagnosed cases of diabetes were included in the study.

Study was conducted from March to July 2015. Information was collected by visiting the houses of the selected participants. To collect the required information from the study subjects the “Direct interview method” of Primary source of information technique was used. The individuals were interviewed for collection of necessary information using the pre-tested; semi structured and structured questionnaire method. The questionnaire was prepared by a thorough review of literature. The questionnaire contained the information regarding socio-demographic profile, IDRS components and anthropometric measurements. The questionnaire was pilot tested.

The four components of IDRS are age, family history of diabetes, physical activity and waist circumference. The study subjects were scored according to the following score.5

Table 1: Indian diabetes risk score [IDRS].

| Particulars          | Score |
|----------------------|-------|
| Age                  |       |
| <35 years            | 0     |
| 35–49 years          | 20    |
| ≥50 years            | 30    |
| Waist circumference  |       |
| Waist <80 cm [female], <90 cm [male] | 0 |
| Waist ≥80 - 89 cm [female], ≥90 – 99 cm [male] | 10 |
| Waist ≥90 cm [female], ≥100 cm [male] | 20 |
| Physical activity    |       |
| Vigorous exercise [regular] or strenuous [manual] work at home / work | 0 |
| Moderate exercise [regular] or moderate physical activity at home / work | 10 |
| Mild exercise [regular] or mild physical activity at home / work | 20 |
| No exercise and sedentary activities at home / work | 30 |
| Family history of diabetes |       |
| No diabetes in parents | 0 |
| One parent is diabetic | 10 |
| Both parents are diabetic | 20 |

Table 2: The physical activity component of IDRS scoring.

| Q.No. | Question                                                                 | Scoring       |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Q1    | How physically demanding is your work (occupation)?                       | 0 – Sedentary |
|       |                                                                           | 1 – Mild       |
|       |                                                                           | 2 – Moderate   |
|       |                                                                           | 3 - Heavy      |
| Q2    | Do you exercise regularly in your leisure time?                           | 0 – Not at all |
|       |                                                                           | 1 – less than 3 times a week |
|       |                                                                           | 2 – ≥3 times a week |
|       |                                                                           | 3 – almost daily|
| Q3    | How would you grade your physical activity at home?                      | 0 – Sedentary |
|       |                                                                           | 1 – Mild       |
|       |                                                                           | 2 – Moderate   |
|       |                                                                           | 3 - Strenuous  |

If the combined score of Q1, Q2 and Q3 is

≥3 - Vigorous / strenuous: Score = 0;
2 – Moderate: Score = 10;
1 - Mild: Score = 20; 0 - Sedentary: Score = 30

The final IDRS score was calculated and were subdivided into high risk if the combined score is ≥60. Moderate risk if the combined score is between 30-50 and low risk if the combined score is <30.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were entered into Microsoft excel sheet and analysed using SPSS Inc. 17.0 software. Frequency and percentages (descriptive statistics) were calculated. Pearson’s Chi-square was used as a test of significance. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

Of 900 participants, 197 (21.9%) had a high risk score (IDRS ≥60), the majority of participants (383; 42.5%) were in the moderate-risk category (IDRS 30–50) and 320 (35.6%) participants were found to be at low risk for diabetes (IDRS<30) (Table 3).

Bivariate analysis was done for socio demographic factors and BMI between different age groups of the participants. It was found that 64.7% of those aged >50 years lived in joint families and 35.3% of our study subjects belonging to >50 years age group lived in nuclear families. These differences were statistically significant (p<0.0005). Statistical significance was observed for marital status and SES among different age groups (Table 4).

**Table 3: Assessment results for IDRS parameters.**

| Parameter                  | 18-35 years (%) | 36-49 years (%) | >50 years (%) |
|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Age category               |                 |                 |                |
| 18-35 years                | 336 (37.3)      |                 |                |
| 36-49 years                | 258 (28.7)      |                 |                |
| >50 years                  | 306 (34)        |                 |                |
| Waist circumference        |                 |                 |                |
| <80 cm (female)/ <90 cm (male) | 350 (38.9) |                 |                |
| 80-89 cm (female)/ 90-99 cm (male) | 191 (21.2) |                 |                |
| >90 cm (female)/ >100 cm (male) | 359 (39.9) |                 |                |
| Physical activity          |                 |                 |                |
| Strenuous                  | 575 (63.9)      |                 |                |
| Moderate                   | 211 (23.4)      |                 |                |
| Mild                       | 69 (7.7)        |                 |                |
| Sedentary                  | 45 (5)          |                 |                |
| Family history             |                 |                 |                |
| No family history          | 166 (18.4)      |                 |                |
| Either parent              | 39 (4.3)        |                 |                |
| Both parents               | 695 (77.2)      |                 |                |
| IDRS risk                  |                 |                 |                |
| High risk                  | 197 (21.9)      |                 |                |
| Moderate risk              | 383 (42.5)      |                 |                |
| Low risk                   | 320 (35.6)      |                 |                |

**Table 4: Comparison of socio-demographic factors and BMI between individuals with age component of IDRS.**

| Sl. No. | Socio-demographic factor | Age component | Chi square | P value |
|---------|--------------------------|---------------|------------|---------|
| 1       | Gender                   |               |            |         |
|         | Male                     | 107 (31.8)    | 4.12       | 0.127   |
|         | Female                   | 229 (68.2)    |            |         |
|         |                         | 156 (60.5)    |            |         |
|         | 18-35 years (%)          | 102 (39.5)    |            |         |
|         | 36-49 years (%)          | 204 (66.7)    |            |         |
|         | >50 years (%)            | 102 (33.3)    |            |         |
| 2       | Religion                 |               | 1.483      | 0.476   |
|         | Hindu                    | 318 (94.6)    |            |         |
|         | Non-Hindu                | 18 (5.4)      |            |         |
|         | 18-35 years (%)          | 239 (92.6)    |            |         |
|         | 36-49 years (%)          | 283 (92.5)    |            |         |
|         | >50 years (%)            | 19 (7.4)      |            |         |
| 3       | Type of Family           |               | 37.663     | <0.0005 |
|         | Nuclear                  | 195 (58)      |            |         |
|         | Joint                    | 141 (42)      |            |         |
|         | 18-35 years (%)          | 142 (55)      |            |         |
|         | 36-49 years (%)          | 116 (44)      |            |         |
|         | >50 years (%)            | 108 (35.3)    |            |         |
|         | 18-35 years (%)          | 198 (64.7)    |            |         |
| 4       | Marital status           |               | 171.61     | <0.0005 |
|         | Unmarried                | 59 (17.6)     |            |         |
|         | Married                  | 271 (80.7)    |            |         |
|         | 18-35 years (%)          | 243 (94.2)    |            |         |
|         | 36-49 years (%)          | 231 (75.5)    |            |         |
|         | >50 years (%)            | 12 (4.7)      |            |         |
| 5       | SES                      |               | 40.594     | <0.0005 |
|         | Class II                 | 144 (42.9)    |            |         |
|         | Class III                | 172 (51.2)    |            |         |
|         | Class IV                 | 20 (6)        |            |         |
|         | 18-35 years (%)          | 158 (61.2)    |            |         |
|         | 36-49 years (%)          | 91 (35.3)     |            |         |
|         | >50 years (%)            | 202 (66)      |            |         |
|         | 18-35 years (%)          | 98 (32)       |            |         |
|         | 36-49 years (%)          | 6 (2)         |            |         |
| 6       | BMI                      |               | 5.184      | 0.520   |
|         | Underweight              | 57 (17)       |            |         |
|         | Normal                   | 145 (43.2)    |            |         |
|         | Overweight               | 83 (24.7)     |            |         |
|         | Obese                    | 51 (15.2)     |            |         |
|         | 18-35 years (%)          | 43 (16.7)     |            |         |
|         | 36-49 years (%)          | 81 (31.4)     |            |         |
|         | >50 years (%)            | 88 (28.8)     |            |         |
|         | 18-35 years (%)          | 51 (16.7)     |            |         |
|         | 36-49 years (%)          | 51 (16.7)     |            |         |
Table 5: Comparison of socio-demographic factors and BMI between individuals with family history of diabetes component of IDRS.

| Sl. No. | Socio-demographic factor | Family history of diabetes Component | Chi square | P value |
|--------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|---------|
|        |                         | Score 0 (%) | Score 10 (%) | Score 20 (%) |          |          |
| 1      | Gender                   | Male        | 76 (45.8)    | 18 (46.2)    | 217 (31.2) | 14.986   | 0.001   |
|        |                          | Female      | 90 (54.2)    | 21 (53.8)    | 478 (68.8) |          |         |
| 2      | Religion                 | Hindu       | 154 (92.8)   | 36 (92.3)    | 650 (93.5) | 0.191    | 0.9087  |
|        |                          | Non-Hindu   | 12 (7.2)     | 3 (7.7)      | 45 (6.5)   |          |         |
| 3      | Type of Family           | Nuclear     | 80 (48.2)    | 24 (61.5)    | 341 (49.1) | 2.426    | 0.2973  |
|        |                          | Joint       | 86 (51.8)    | 15 (38.5)    | 354 (50.9) |          |         |
| 4      | Marital status           | Unmarried   | 17 (10.2)    | 3 (7.7)      | 45 (6.5)   | 12.472   | 0.014   |
|        |                          | Married     | 140 (84.3)   | 36 (92.3)    | 569 (81.9) |          |         |
|        |                          | Widow/      | 9 (5.4)      | 0 (0)        | 81 (11.7)  |          |         |
|        |                          | Separated   |             |             |            |          |         |
| 5      | SES                      | Class II    | 72 (43.4)    | 22 (56.4)    | 410 (59)   | 32.049   | <0.0005 |
|        |                          | Class III   | 78 (47)      | 13 (33.3)    | 270 (38.8) |          |         |
|        |                          | Class IV    | 16 (9.6)     | 4 (10.3)     | 15 (2.2)   |          |         |
| 6      | BMI                      | Underweight | 29 (17.5)    | 5 (12.1)     | 116 (16.7) | 2.065    | 0.914   |
|        |                          | Normal      | 60 (36.1)    | 16 (41)      | 277 (39.9) |          |         |
|        |                          | Overweight  | 52 (31.3)    | 12 (30.8)    | 188 (27.1) |          |         |
|        |                          | Obese       | 25 (15.1)    | 6 (15.4)     | 114 (16.4) |          |         |

Bivariate analysis of the family related factors and BMI was conducted between different family histories of diabetes risk groups. Among those who were high risk under family history of diabetes component (score=20), 68.8% were females and 31.2% were males. This difference was statistically significant (p=0.001). 81.9% of those whose both parents were diabetic were married and 11.7% were widow/separated. These differences were statistically significant (p=0.014). There was also statistically significant difference between SES and different risk categories under family history of diabetes component of IDRS (p<0.0005) (Table 5).

DISCUSSION

This study used the IDRS to identify individuals at risk for diabetes and determine the association of various risk factors with their risk status. The proportion of individuals at high risk for diabetes was 21.9%. Similar findings were published by Gupta et al, who reported that 31.2% of the population in urban Pondicherry had a high risk score. However, a study conducted by Mohan et al., in the metropolitan city of Chennai, found 43% of the population was in the high-risk category. The difference in risk prevalence between the current study and the one in Chennai may be due to variance in lifestyles of the populations. The present study noted 42.5% of participants with moderate risk and 35.6% of participants with low risk, while Gupta et al. found 50.3% of participants at moderate risk and 18.5% at low risk for diabetes. In a study done by Krutharath et al in urban Mangalore, Karnataka, the differences between gender and IDRS components like waist circumference and physical activity was found to be statistically significant. However, in our study we observed that there was statistically significant difference between gender and family history of diabetes component of IDRS (p=0.001) but not with other components like age, waist circumference and physical activity. In a study conducted by Gupta et al in urban Pondicherry, it was found that 6.4% of individuals who were overweight had high IDRS score whereas this proportion was 44.5% among obese individuals. It was also observed, that among overweight individuals, 38.8% had high diabetes risk score and this proportion among those who had normal BMI was 38.8%. Statistical significance was observed among these groups (p<0.05).
CONCLUSION

This study estimates the usefulness of simplified Indian Diabetes Risk Score for identifying the risk pattern for diabetes in the community. This simplified diabetes risk score has categorised the risk factors based on their severity.

Non-modifiable risk factors like increasing age and family history of diabetes, and modifiable risk factors like lack of physical activity and central obesity were the most common factors found in participants who were at high risk for diabetes.

Use of IDRS can make mass screening for diabetes in India more cost effective and feasible. IDRS can be used for routine screening of people aged over 35 years for identification of subjects at high risk for development of diabetes.
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