Abstract: Buildings are designed in different methods for resisting the lateral loads, in which strong column weak beam concept is one of the methods of designing, this method is used to avoiding the global failure of the structure. In this work 3bay 5 story RC frame building is consider for the analysis, the structures are design strong column weak beam with the help of static non-linear pushover analysis of RC frame building with increasing the percentage of column sizes 20%, 40%, 60%, 80% and 100%. By varying with percentage of columns resistances of structure is increased. The parameters base shear, story displacement, and hinge formations in the structure is obtained from this analysis. The base shear and displacement are increased by increasing the column sizes, these parameters are discussed the results in detail. Comparing the all six model results the base shear in increased by 266.64% when the column size is increased by 100%. From this analysis we can reduce the failure in the structure during the earthquake. Formation of plastic hinges in column changes to beam by increasing the column size, so increase the capacity of structure. The building is analyzed by using SAP2000.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Now a day’s the buildings are not only design horizontal and vertical loads, and also consider the lateral loads, wind loads. In that different methods are introduced to design the buildings in which strong column weak beam concept is one of the methods of designing. The design philosophy of strong column weak beam is realized by columns are designed by capacity design concept. The damages occur in the RC frame building due to past earthquake shown that failure may be due to utilization of poor quality of construction materials, soft story, beam-column joint connection is weakest point in the building it is fail easily when structure is subjected to lateral loads [8]. In structures the beam failure causes the only story failure but column failure causes the total failure of the structure. If in case the beam is fail it is indicated before collapse of the element by formation of cracks or deformations it can observe by seeing. But in columns it is not indicated and also not seen by observing. Most of earthquake failure of structures is failed by due to column failure. In figure.1 (a) and (b) shows the failure mechanism of strong column weak beam design building and weak column strong beam designed building. In this present study consider the 5-story 3-bay RC frame building is taken. For improving the lateral load resistance of the structure is by increasing the varying percentages of columns sizes.

II. MODELLING AND ANALYSIS

A. BUILDING DETAILS

This study is about 3-bay 5-story RC frame building shown in figure.2 (a) and figure.2 (b). The building details are selected based designed by IS code 456-2000, the building dimensions are selected based on literature reviews.

The geometric details and material details are shown in table.1. The elevation of building frame shown in figure.2 (b) is designed as per Indian standard code IS 456-2000 concrete frame design.

| Table. 1 Geometric details of building |
|----------------------------------------|
| No of bays in X and Y direction        | 3 and 3 |
| Each bay width in X and Y direction (m)| 6 and 6 |
| Story height (m)                       | 3      |
| Grade of steel                         | Fe415  |
| Grade of concrete                      | M25    |
| Thickness of slab(mm)                  | 175    |
| Cross section of beam(mm²)             | 300 x 450 |
| Cross section of column(mm²)           | 500 x 500 |
| Thickness of wall(mm)                  | 230    |

Figure1: (a) Mechanisms of Strong Column Weak Beam and (b) Weak Column Strong Beam [7]

A. OBJECTIVES

1) To study the non-linear behaviour of the strong column weak beam structures by using pushover analysis.
2) To study the variation incapacities curves of structures have been used as a way to quantify and compare their performances of the building parameters like base shear, story displacement, story drift.
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Loads are selected based on the IS456-2000, live Load and roof live load is considered based on the IS 875-1987 code (PART-2), earthquake load is taken as per IS 1893-2002, table 2 shows the details of loads considered on building.

| Table. II Loads considered for building |
|----------------------------------------|
| Floor finish                           | 1.5 KN/m² |
| Live load                              | 3 KN/m² |
| Roof live load                         | 1.5 KN/m² |
| Earthquake load                        | As per IS 1893-2002 (clause 7.3) |
| Wall load                              | 11.73 KN/m² |

In this work six building models are created to understand the performance level of the building under lateral loads. The building models are created with increasing column sizes 20%, 40%, 60%, 80%, and 100%. The increase percentages of column dimensions are shown in table 3 for all models.

| Table. III By increasing the percentage of size of column |
|---------------------------------------------------------|
| Model No                                               |
| Column size (mm)                                       |
| Model-1 (initial model)                                | 500x500 |
| Model-2 (20% increasing)                               | 600x600 |
| Model-3 (40% increasing)                               | 700x700 |
| Model-4 (60% increasing)                               | 800x800 |
| Model-5 (80% increasing)                               | 900x900 |
| Model-6 (100% increasing)                              | 1000x1000 |

The main disadvantages of this method are to required more quantity of materials and large area for cross section. This is only used for showing the behavior of the structure when structure is subjected to lateral loads.

The static non-linear pushover analysis done to know the behavior of the structure. The structure is designed as per IS456-2000 and verify analysis and design sections match for all concrete frames, then add the pushover loads in X-direction and Y-direction and assign loads, then assign the hinges in beam and column sections. A computer model of a structure is subjected to lateral load patterns, the intensity of the load is increased, i.e., the structure is pushed horizontally, and the sequence of cracks, yielding, plastic hinge formations, and the load at which failure of the various structural components occurs are recorded. This incremental process continues until a predetermined displacement limit is reached. Thus, a force-displacement relationship or capacity curve is obtained, which gives a clear indication of the nonlinear response of the structure.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

#### A. DISPLACEMENT VS BASE SHEAR

Form the analysis of the building a comparative study has been done for the six-models it was observed in figure 3, it shows the graph drawn between displacement verses base shear it is called capacity curves or pushover curves.

| Table IV: Displacement and base shear values |
|---------------------------------------------|
| Model | Displacement (m) | Base shear (kN) |
|-------|------------------|-----------------|
| 1     | 0.2465           | 5141.198        |
| 2     | 0.2365           | 6060.095        |
| 3     | 0.3945           | 9203.338        |
| 4     | 0.4669           | 12650.07        |
| 5     | 0.5075           | 15220.67        |
| 6     | 0.5456           | 18850.05        |

Figure: 3 Displacement versus base shear curve

**Figure 2 (a) Plan and (b) Elevation of 3 bay, 5story building**

Figure 2 (a) & (b) shows Plan and Elevation of the building. Static non-linear pushover analysis is done to evaluate the performance of the all the six model of frame structures by SAP2000, results are obtained after this designed and analysis of the frame structures. The behavior of the structure like displacement, formation of plastic hinges in beams and columns are shown in graphs.

**B. ANALYSIS**
in order to increasing the size of column base shear also increases.
In model-2 Base shear is increased by 17.87% when the column size is increased by 20% compared to model-1.
In model-3 Base shear is increased by 79.01% when the column size is increased by 40% compared to model-1.
In model-4 Base shear is increased by 146.05% when the column size is increased by 60% compared to model-1.
In model-5 Base shear is increased by 196.05% when the column size is increased by 80% compared to model-1.
In model-6 Base shear is increased by 266.66% when the column size is increased by 100% compared to model-1

B. PLASTIC HINGE FORMATIONS

The formation of plastic hinges in the frame models vary from one model to another model, these typical plastic hinge formations for all considered frame models are shown in figure-4. Based on performance level we decide non-linear behavior of the structure.

In figure 4(a) shows the distribution of hinge formations in model-1, frame is considered in XZ plane @ Y=0 hinges are formed in all stories of building in the range of B-LS, the story columns are within the life safety. Frame model shows that first yield occurred at a displacement of 0.1252m with base shear of 4035.93kN and ultimate base shear was 5141.19 kN with a displacement of 0.2465m.

In figure 4(b) shows the distribution of hinge formations in model-2, frame is considered in XZ plane @ Y=0 hinges are formed in all stories of building in the range of B-CP, Frame model shows that first yield occurred at a displacement of 0.042m with base shear of 2611.77kN and ultimate base shear was 6060.09kN with a displacement of 0.2448m and formation of hinges are reduced compare to model-1.

In figure 4(c) shows the distribution of hinge formations in model-3, frame is considered in XZ plane @ Y=0 hinges are formed in all stories of building in the range of B-D. Frame model shows that first yield occurred at a displacement of 0.0417m with base shear of 3158.36kN and ultimate base shear was 9203.33kN with a displacement of 0.3945m, and formation of hinges are reduced compare to model-2.

In figure 4(d) shows the hinge formations in model-4, frame is considered in XZ plane @ Y=0 hinges are formed in all stories of building in the range of B-D. Frame model shows that first yield occurred at a displacement of 0.0430 m with base shear of 3919.36kN and ultimate base shear was 12650.07kN with a displacement of 0.4669m, and formation of hinges are reduced compare to model-3.

In figure 4(e) shows the hinge formations in model-5, frame is considered in XZ plane @ Y=0 hinges are formed in all stories of building in the range of B-D. Frame model shows that first yield occurred at a displacement of 0.0428m with base shear of 4741.95kN and ultimate base shear was 15220.67kN with a displacement of 0.5075m, and formation of hinges are reduced compare to model-4 and formation of column hinges are changed to beam hinges.

In figure 4(f) shows the hinge formations in model-6, frame is considered in XZ plane @ Y=0 hinges are formed in all stories of building in the range of B-D, Frame model shows that first yield occurred at a displacement of 0.0415m with base shear of 5669.50kN and ultimate base shear was 98850.0kN with a displacement of 0.5456m, and formation of hinges are reduced compare to model-5 and formation of column hinges are changed to beam hinges.

Finally, form the analysis of the RC frame building this can be achieved by formation of plastic hinges at the end regions of all the beams in all stories of building but columns are remaining elastic in all stories while the except of the base of the bottom story.
This will provide a strong column weak beam structure by eliminating the possibility of column sway mechanism of building and avoiding shear failure in columns and beams. It has been observed that in case of normal building the first story columns have been collapse and by increase column sizes it increases the capacity of structure. From this it has been understood that the building with area of cross section of column is more shows the better performance compare to the actual building.

C. STORY DISPLACEMENT

It is displacement caused by lateral forces on each story level of structure. Lateral displacement will be more on top story after analysis the building. In this study the effect of story displacement with respect to story number pushover analysis has been done for five story building with increasing the column sizes. The comparison of story displacement with respect to story number of models is shown in figure 5.

Form the analysis of the building a comparative study has been done for the six-models it was observed.

Table: V Percentage of increasing the column sizes and displacement

| Building model | Percentage of size increasing the column section | Percentage of displacement increasing / decreasing |
|----------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Model-1        | Actual size of column section                    | -                                                |
| Model-2        | 20% increasing                                   | 4.05% decreasing                                 |
| Model-3        | 40% increasing                                   | 60.04% increasing                                |
| Model-4        | 60% increasing                                   | 89.41% increasing                                |
| Model-5        | 80% increasing                                   | 105.88% increasing                               |
| Model-6        | 100% increasing                                  | 121.33% increasing                               |

For the analysis of building without increasing the column sizes the flexural moments of column are less compare to the flexural moments of beam, when increasing the column sizes 20%, 40%, 60%, 80% and 100% columns moments are increased.

1. When increasing the percentage of column sizes base shear also increasing. Base shear is increased by 266.64 percentage when column size is increased by 100 percentage.
2. Comparing all the model results displacement is less in model-2. But for other models’ displacement is increased with increasing the percentage of column sizes. Displacement increased by 121.33 percentage when the column size is increased by 100 percentage.
3. By increasing the column sizes formation of plastic hinges in columns changed to beam hinges. Comparing to all models no collapse hinges are formed in model-2 and less collapse hinges are formed in model-6. Comparing model-2 and model-6 more base shear in model-6.
4. By comparison of all models, model-2 shows the best result. It has been concluded that increasing the 20 percentage of column size shows less displacement and more base shear.
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