Ilizarov Fixator in Treatment of Intertrochanteric Fracture Femur in Geriatric High Risk Patients
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Abstract

Objective: To assess the effectiveness of fixation of intertrochanteric fracture in geriatric high risk patients by Ilizarov fixator.

Background: Internal fixation of intertrochanteric fractures in patients with anaesthetic or surgical risks has high complication rate, so external fixation should be considered as a semiconservative method to reduce operative time and complications.

Patients and methods: Forty elderly high risk patients with intertrochanteric fracture were treated by Ilizarov fixator. All patients had uncontrolled concomitant medical disorders as hypertension, cardiac disease, diabetes mellitus and chronic hepatic or renal failure.

Results: All patients were evaluated clinically & radiologically from 5 months to 12 months except 2 patients, one died 3 months post operative and the other lost follow up 3.5 month post operative after fixator removal. Excellent and good (satisfactory) results were found in 32 patients and fair and poor (unsatisfactory) results were found in 8 patients. The time of radiological union and fixator removal ranged from 10 weeks to 16 weeks. Pin tract infection occurred in all patients. Varus malalignment occurred in 20% of patients. Shortening ranged from 0 to 3 cm in 5 patients.

Conclusion: the study proved that external fixation of intertrochanteric fractures in elderly high risk patients is a reliable, effective and safe treatment option. It offers a minimal operative risk, no blood loss, short hospital stay, early mobilization and low morbidity and mortality.
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Introduction

The per trochanteric fractures of the femur have been marked as one of the biggest problems of the geriatric trauma. The patients with this type of fracture occupy at least 30% of beds in the orthopedic institutions. These fractures are more common in older patients, above 65 years of age, when there is far greater loss of the skeletal mass (osteoporosis) [1-3]. Low energy trauma like simple falls, increased longevity together with osteoporosis and senile muscular insufficiency may explain the increasing number of patients with intertrochanteric fractures, and women are three times more liable to these types of fractures [4]. The goal of treatment remains return of the patients to their preoperative status in the earliest possible time with low morbidity and mortality and union in the appropriate position [4].

Intertrochanteric fracture can be treated conservatively by traction or surgical by many methods either by open reduction and internal fixation with nails or plates (as dynamic hip screw), or by calcar replacement or by external fixator [5]. Elderlies mostly have medical problems like diabetes mellitus, hypertension, stroke and cardiopulmonary problems and are unable to tolerate major surgical procedures requiring long operative time and risk of excessive bleeding, also. They are also not suitable for conservative treatment which carries the risk of prolonged recumbences. So, external fixator is a salvage procedure for high risk geriatric patients. It offers significant advantages in the form of minimal surgical trauma, negligible blood loss, preservation of fracture haematoma, short operative time and minimal anaesthetic complications, allowing early ambulation of the patient, easy day care, shorter hospital stay and removal of the implant as a simple outpatient procedure [6-9].

Patients and Methods

Forty risky patients with intertrochanteric fracture were operated from August 2015 to June 2017 by Ilizarov fixator. All cases that fulfilled the inclusion criteria had been included in the study. The study include the patients with fractures of non pathological origin, closed or open, in patients with age equal or more than 60 years and unfit for surgery of long time and excessive bleeding due
to combined chronic hepatic or renal failure, uncontrolled medical disorders as hypertension, diabetes mellitus, cardiac disease and excluded patients with ipsialteral fracture femur. The fractures were classified according to modified Evan’s classification [10,11].

The study include 24 patients with stable fracture pattern (6 was type I, 18 was type II) and 16 had unstable fracture pattern (8 was type IV, 8 was type V).

Surgical Technique

Preoperative intravenous broad spectrum antibiotics were given an hour before surgery. Local infiltration anasthesia was used in 75% of patients. The patient was placed supine on a radiolucent orthopedic traction table under C arm guidance. The construct used was uniplanar Ilizarov fixator. Closed reduction was achieved by traction, abduction and internal rotation. At an angle of approximately 130° through the middle of the femoral neck into the head temporary guide wire was inserted percutaneously. A 6 mm Schanz screw was introduced gradually into the femoral neck from the base of the greater trochanter across the fracture site at an angle of 125°-130° with the femoral shaft above the guide wire in the anteroposterior view and central in the lateral view. The tip of the Schanz was stopped 0.5-1cm from the articular surface of the head. A second proximal Schanz was inserted into the femoral neck below the guide wire in the anteroposterior view and central in the lateral view. Two 6 mm Schanz screws were inserted perpendicular to the proximal femoral diaphysis. All Schanz screws were connected by Ilizarov accessories like plates, rancho cubes, male supports, rods, nuts and conical washers. The final position was checked and the frame was tightened (Figures 1a & b).

Postoperative Care

Antibiotics were continued for 3 days together with analgesics also anticoagulants were prescribed during the period of non-weight bearing. Immediate radiographs were obtained. The mean period of hospitalization after operation was 4.5 days (range 3-6 days). Patients were asked to review every two weeks in the first month and then every month at the out-patient clinic for clinical and radiological assessment. The reduction was considered to be anatomical if the neck-shaft angle was reproduced and the gap at the fracture site was less than 2 mm. Minor valgus angulation (<10°) and a gap of less than 5 mm were considered to be acceptable if an anatamical reduction was not possible [12]. The patients were followed up for a mean of 8.5 months, range from 5 months to 12 months except 2 patients, one died 3 months post operative and the other lost follow up 3.5 month post operative after fixator removal. After discharge from hospital patients were followed up every 2 weeks in first month and every month to the end of follow up after union and fixator removal. Active exercises, sitting in bed was allowed early. Partial weight bearing using a walker (a four leg frame) 6-16 weeks postoperative, and this was depending on the general condition of the patient, type of the fracture , stability of reduction, pre fracture walking ability and pain on walking. On completely union, the fixator was removed. The removal was done as a simple procedure at the outpatient clinic.

The functional outcome was evaluated according to Merle d’Aubigne scoring system [13,14].

Statistical Analysis

Chi-square (χ2) test was used for comparison between satisfactory and unsatisfactory results and P-value to detect statistical significance.

Results

In this study, the mean follow up period was 8.5 months (range 5-12 months). Excellent and good results were considered satisfactory while unsatisfactory include fair and poor results. Results were satisfactory in 32 patients (80%) and unsatisfactory in 8 patients (20%). Of the studied patients 12 were males (30%) and 28 were females (70%). The left side was affected in 20 patients (50%) and the right side was affected in 20 patients (50%). The mean age was 67.5 years (range 60-85 years). There was significant higher incidence of satisfactory results in the age groups (60-70) and (70-80) than unsatisfactory results and a significant higher incidence of unsatisfactory results was observed in the oldest age group (80-85), P-value < 0.01. So, the younger the age the better the result. Twenty four patients had stable fracture pattern as in (Figure 1a), (6 was type I, 18 was type II) and 16 had unstable fracture pattern as in (Figure 2a), (8 was type IV, 8 was type V). All stable fractures gave significant satisfactory results, than types IV and V which gave only 75% and 25% satisfactory results, respectively (Table 1). The mean time lag before operation was 7 days (range 2-11 days) with no significant effect on the results. The mean operative time was 36 minutes (range 30-45 minutes).
Table 1: Comparison between satisfactory and unsatisfactory results regarding type of fracture.

| Evan’s classification | Satisfactory (No=32) | Unsatisfactory (No=8) | Total Number | X2   | p-value |
|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------|------|---------|
|                       | No       | %       | No       | %       |         |           |
| I                     | 6        | 100     | 0        | 0       | 6       | 10.63     | 0.01      |
| II                    | 18       | 100     | 0        | 0       | 18      |           |           |
| IV                    | 6        | 75      | 2        | 25      | 8       |           |           |
| V                     | 2        | 25      | 6        | 75      | 8       |           |           |

Figure 2a: Pre-operative x-ray of unstable 4-part trochanteric fracture.

Figure 2b: Intertrochanteric fracture fixed with Ilizarov fixator.

Figure 2c: Intertrochanteric fracture united after removal of ilizarov fixator.

Discussion

It was found that satisfactory results in this study was 80%, while that published by Kazakos et al. [7] was 84 % and Vassinakis & Baradas [15] was 100% and that published by Dhal et al. [16], Subasi et al. [4], Mitkovic et al. [2] and Refaat et al. [17] reported 93.5%, 88%, 83.24% and 82.2% satisfactory results respectively. Satisfactory results were (100%) in stable fractures while they were (75%) and (25%) in types IV and V (unstable fractures) respectively with a statistically significant effect of fracture stability on the results. This could be explained that stable fractures allow earlier union and weight bearing and hence better rehabilitation and satisfactory results, while unstable fractures are more prone to complications such as shortening and varus deformity and hence worse results. The mean operative time was 36 minutes. This coincides with the results of Aly et al. [6], Kazakos et al. [7] stated a mean operative time of 35, 37 minutes in their group while Dhal et al. [16] stated a mean operative time of 35, 37 minutes in their group.

No patients in this study required intra or post-operative blood transfusion, also the blood loss was negligible and this was the same as that mentioned by other authors. Gradual weight bearing was delayed to 6-16 weeks after operation (with a mean of 12 weeks) due to osteoporosis to guard against fracture displacement, implant failure, collapse, varus malalignment and penetration of the femoral head. This doesn’t coincide with that reported by Refaat et al. [17] in which Partial weight bearing was begun as soon as the patient could in stable fractures and after 6 weeks in unstable ones. Union occurred in a mean period of 12.4 ± 1.9 weeks ranging from 10 to 16 weeks. This coincides with the experiences of many authors as Subasi et al. [4] and Catagni et al. [18] who stated that union achieved at 10-18 and 10-12 weeks respectively. Pin-tract infection, it occurred in all patients, they all were minor infections except five cases who had major infection requiring frame removal.
Refaat et al. [17] reported that superficial infection was detected in (33.9%) of patients while deep infection was detected in (19.4%) of patients.

**Conclusion**

Ilizarov fixator in intertrochanteric fracture in geriatric high risk patients has the advantages of minimal surgical trauma, preservation of fracture haematoma, negligible blood loss short operative time, minimal anaesthetic complications, possibility of application under local anaesthesia, possibility of frame adjustment, short hospital stay and removal of the construct as simple outpatient procedure. Ilizarov fixator has the advantage over other unilateral fixators in its unlimited configurations, secure, tight and rigid stable fixation. The most important advantage is the ability to adjust the angle between the neck shanz pins and the femoral shaft pins to restore the normal neck shaft angle. This study proved that the more the fracture stability the better the results.
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