Natural alleles at the Doa locus underpin evolutionary changes in Drosophila lifespan and fecundity
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1. Background

‘Evolve & resequence’ (E&R) studies, combining experimental evolution experiments with whole-genome sequencing, have emerged as a powerful method for identifying the genetic basis of evolutionary change [1-5]. In the Drosophila melanogaster model, for example, E&R studies have been successfully used to identify candidate loci underlying the evolution of developmental rate [8], body size [9], hypoxia tolerance [10,11], courtship song [12], lifespan and late-life fertility [13-15], dietary metabolism [16], pathogen resistance [17,18], egg size [19], desiccation resistance [20], starvation resistance [21] and factorial selection on multiple life-history traits [22], among others.

Despite the identification of many putatively adaptive loci in such E&R studies, experimental assays that validate the presumed functional effects of such candidates are rare, which remains a major challenge for current tests of adaptation at the genetic level [1-3,23]. Here, we examine the putative life-history effects of a candidate locus, Darkener of apricot (Doa), and associated candidate alleles that we have previously identified in an E&R experiment on longevity and late-life fertility in D. melanogaster [22]. Doa, a member of the LAMMER kinases, is known to phosphorylate a wide range of substrates and to be involved in many biological functions, such as embryonic development, oocyte formation, somatic sex determination, courtship behaviour and oxidative stress resistance (see Supplementary file 1 for additional information) [24-30]. Interestingly, Doa has been identified as a promising life-history candidate locus in several independent E&R experiments and genome-wide association studies (GWAS) on lifespan and late-life fertility, egg volume and ovariole number [15,19,22,31-33].
findings make Doa a prime candidate locus for further study. Importantly, while several gene functions of Doa have been well established in molecular genetics studies (see above), the putative effects upon fitness components of naturally occurring polymorphisms at Doa have not yet been assessed.

In our experiments, we used two complementary approaches to investigate the functional role of Doa in affecting two major fitness components that had evolved in our E&R study: lifespan and fecundity [22,34]. First, we used ubiquitous adult-specific RNAi silencing to examine the overall effects of Doa on lifespan and fecundity. Similar to using null mutants (amorphic mutations), this type of functional test is aimed at understanding function at the level of the whole gene; it can potentially reveal the complete phenotypic effects of a candidate gene, including any pleiotropic (and potentially deleterious) functions that it might have (e.g. [35,36]). However, given that specific alleles or mutations of a pleiotropic gene may differ in the functions they affect (see [35–37]), we next investigated segregating variation at Doa by examining four candidate single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) using a genetic association approach based on ‘Mendelian randomisation’. Beyond confirming a role of the Doa locus in life-history adaptation, our results illustrate key differences in the effects of the four investigated SNPs on fecundity and longevity.

2. Material and methods

(a) Transgenic RNAi

Transgenic in vivo RNAi was performed using the mifepristone (RU486)-inducible GeneSwitch(GS)-GAL4 system in combination with Doa UAS-RNAi constructs [38]. The GS system allows us to drive the expression of Doa UAS-RNAi during the adult stage only, thereby avoiding potential developmental carry-over effects. Importantly, this system makes it possible to compare the effects of RNAi (i.e. the application of the drug, resulting in RNAi-mediated knockdown) with negative control (i.e. no application of the drug) within the same transgenic genotype, thus providing the most robust control possible with regard to genotype. We used the ubiquitously expressing daughterness (da)-GeneSwitch(GS)-GAL4 construct [39] (courtesy of Véronique Monnier, Paris) to drive the expression of two independent Doa UAS-RNAi lines, obtained from the Vienna Drosophila RNAi Center (VDRC) (#19066 [D19]; #102520 [D10]), with construct insertions on chromosomes 3 and 2, respectively, thus controlling for potentially confounding effects of insertion position. These constructs target the catalytic domain of Doa that is shared by all isoforms.

All lines were kept and assays were performed at 25°C, 65% humidity and 12h:12h light : dark cycle, on a cornmeal 2, respectively, thus controlling for potentially confounding effects of these concentrations on the phenotypes of interest (electronic supplementary material, File S3).

To assess the effect of RNAi directed against on Doa on lifespan, cohorts of F1 offspring between crosses of da-GS-GAL4 virgin females and males carrying one of the two UAS-RNAi constructs or the isogenic control strain were collected within a 24 h window. Flies were sexed under mild CO₂ exposure and transferred to 1 L demography cages with food vials (with 0, 100 or 200 µg ml⁻¹ mifepristone) attached to the cages. For each genotype and mifepristone concentration, we set up three replicate cages, each containing 75 flies per sex. Dead flies were scored and fresh food was provided every two days. Differences in lifespan between mifepristone-induced RNAi and uninduced controls were analysed in R (v. 3.3.1) using mixed-effects Cox (proportional hazards) regression with mifepristone concentration, sex and their interaction as fixed effects and with ‘replicate cage’ as a random effect, using the R package coxme (v. 2.2-5).

The effect of Doa RNAi on fecundity was assessed by measuring daily egg production of females. Virgin females were collected from the F1 offspring of da-GS-GAL4 females and males carrying one of the two UAS-RNAi constructs or the isogenic control strain. After 24 h, two virgin females and two males were placed together in vials with either 0 (i.e. control) or 200 µg ml⁻¹ mifepristone. Ten replicate vials were prepared per genotype and mifepristone concentration. Flies were left for 48 h to ensure mating and consumption of mifepristone before the start of the experiment; after this period, they were transferred to fresh vials with food (with 0 or 200 µg ml⁻¹ mifepristone, respectively) to lay eggs for 24 h. The numbers of eggs laid by each pair of females were counted under a dissecting microscope; daily egg production was measured for nine consecutive days. We calculated average fecundity per female over 3 days in order to average out day-to-day variation in egg laying. Fecundity data were analysed in R (v. 3.3.1) using generalized linear mixed models with a Poisson distribution and with mifepristone concentration, day and their interaction as fixed effects and with ‘replicate vial’ as a random effect using the R package lme4 (v. 1.1-13). Exposure to mifepristone of the control line did not cause adverse (and thus confounding) effects on lifespan and fecundity (see electronic supplementary material, File S3).

(b) SNP association study

To examine whether the four experimentally candidate SNPs at Doa affect lifespan and fecundity (see below for details of SNP identification), we performed a genetic (SNP) association study, based on ‘Mendelian randomization’ [41–43]. Mendelian randomization (MR) approaches aim to identify putative causal effects of candidate loci by testing the alternative allelic states in a genetically diverse background to limit the impact of potentially confounding (epistatic) factors in the genetic background. A critical factor for the reliability of MR approaches is the lack of linkage disequilibrium (LD) between the focal locus and other loci in the genetic background. Here, we used strains from the Drosophila Genetic Reference Panel (DGRP [44]; obtained from the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center [BDSC]), which provides ample natural genetic variation for MR. As LD typically decays very rapidly in D. melanogaster, within a few hundred base pairs or so [44], the MR approach is expected to provide information on the functional impact of an individual candidate SNP, with little or no confounding effects of other (Doa) SNPs. To confirm this, we analysed LD (measured by pairwise r²) among all polymorphic Doa SNPs (minor allele frequency ≥ 0.1) in the complete panel of DGRP lines, as done by [43] before (see electronic supplementary material, File S4).
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For each of the four candidate nucleotide positions at Doa, we randomly selected 20 distinct DGRP lines that were fixed for the SNP allele that was previously identified as being the major allele in the short-lived, early reproduction experimental evolution lines (control lines; see [22]). Similarly, we randomly selected 20 lines fixed for the SNP allele that was found to be the major allele in the long-lived, late-reproduction experimental evolution lines (see electronic supplementary material, table S3 for details of the crosses). SNP genotypes were confirmed by sequencing a small genomic region surrounding each SNP using Sanger sequencing. For each allelic state (‘short-lived’ versus ‘long-lived’) and nucleotide position, we made 10 unique F1 crosses, each cross being made from a different pair of distinct DGRP lines sharing the same SNP state (i.e. virgin females of one strain crossed to males from the other strain); because the DGRP lines are inbred, this was done to minimize potentially confounding homozygous effects at non-candidate loci in the genomic background. Thus, for each of the four candidate nucleotide positions and for each alternative allele (‘short-lived’ versus ‘long-lived’) we had a 10-fold replicated panel of independent F1 genotypes fixed for a given SNP allele but maximally heterozygous at other genomic positions (for details see the overview in electronic supplementary material, table S3). In the end, due to the low viability of some DGRP lines and F1 crosses, we phenotyped between 8–10 F1 crosses per candidate SNP and allelic state. To evaluate whether any other positions in the genome, besides the candidate SNP allele, were highly differentiated between the four pairs of panels, we calculated SNP-wise FST based on the method of Weir and Cockerham [45], using the pooled genome sequence information per panel [42] (electronic supplementary material, File S5).

F1 crosses were reared and assays performed at 25°C, 65% humidity and 12 h:12 h light:dark cycle, on a cornmeal–yeast–sucrose-agar medium, as described above. Lifespan was measured using demography cages, as above. Flies that had emerged within a 24 h window were collected, and for each F1 cross 75 males and 75 females were placed in a single demography cage. Differences in lifespan between the two allelic states of each SNP were analysed in R (v. 3.3.1) using mixed-effects Cox (proportional hazards) regression with allele, sex and their interaction as fixed effects and with ‘F1 cross’ as a random effect using the R package coxme (v. 2.2-5).

Fecundity was measured over a period of 30 days after eclosion in order to provide insight into early (peak) and late (post-peak) fecundity. Flies that had eclosed within a 24 h-window were collected for crosses; for each F1 cross, two females and two males were placed in a vial containing regular medium, with three replicate vials per F1 cross. Every third day (i.e. on days 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, 21, 24, 27 and 30), the number of eggs laid by each pair of females during a 24-h period was determined using a dissecting microscope. Fecundity was analysed using generalized linear mixed models with a Poisson distribution in R (v. 3.3.1), with allelic state, day and their interaction as fixed effects and ‘replicate vial’ as a random effect using the R package lme4 (v. 1.1-13).

3. Results and discussion
(a) Evolutionary changes at Doa might underpin life-history evolution
We previously identified Doa as a life-history candidate locus in an E&R experiment in which fruit flies were selected for late-life fecundity and where a longer lifespan evolved as a correlated response [22]. In that study, Doa was identified as one of multiple candidate loci under selection (figure 1a). Genetic tests of candidate genes and SNPs are critical to distinguish the putative causal loci from false positive signals and to determine if they have an effect on either one or
both of the evolved traits. Doa is a very large gene, spanning 34.7 kb, and encodes at least 6 protein isoforms that are expressed in an age- and tissue-specific manner from alternative promoters and which have different, non-redundant functions [25,26]. SNPs located at different positions within the Doa gene might thus have different functions.

In total, we identified 16 biallelic SNPs (either intronic or in the coding region) having highly significant allele frequency differentiation (FDR < 0.0005) between the early-reproduction and late-reproduction (and hence increased lifespan) selection regimes in our E&R study [22] (figure 1b; electronic supplementary material, table S1). We chose four of these SNPs, based on their allele frequencies’ differentiation between the selection regimes and their distribution across the length of the gene, for functional assays (figure 1, red arrows, and table 1; see below). As these SNPs were identified in an E&R study performed in a controlled laboratory setting, we first compared their allele frequencies to those in natural populations, using data from the DEST database [46] (see electronic supplementary material, File S6 for approach). These analyses showed that all four SNPs are polymorphic in European populations and that the allele frequencies observed in the E&R study fall within the range of frequencies in natural populations (Doa-1: 0.16–0.57, Doa-2: 0.34–0.72, Doa-3: 0.65–0.98, Doa-4: 0.51–0.95, see electronic supplementary material, File S6 and table S2). Moreover, there was a significant latitudinal cline in allele frequency at Doa-4 ($\chi^2 = 174.3$, $P_{\text{corrected}} = 0.0069$) and a significant longitudinal cline at Doa-3 ($\chi^2 = 222.9$, $P_{\text{corrected}} = 0.037$) across European populations (electronic supplementary material, table S4). This is notable because numerous life-history traits in D. melanogaster, including fecundity and lifespan, exhibit a clinal distribution, presumably due to spatially varying selection [3,42,43,47–50]. These population genetic observations thus lend further support to the idea that Doa potentially represents a target of selection on life-history traits.

(b) Doa has pleiotropic life-history effects

To functionally validate the role of Doa in longevity and fecundity at the level of the whole gene we knocked down
all transcript variants by targeting the common, catalytic domain of Doa with ubiquitous (i.e. non-tissue-specific) transgenic RNAi. We employed two different RNAi constructs (i.e. two independent chromosomal insertions) to control for confounding effects of insertion position. To exclude potentially detrimental developmental carry-over effects of Doa knockdown on adult fitness, we reduced Doa expression levels in the adult stage only, by driving RNAi with the mifepristone-inducible GeneSwitch-GAL4 system [38]. For both Doa–RNAi constructs, we observed a significant reduction in lifespan in both sexes with increasing levels of mifepristone (figure 1a,b, table 2, electronic supplementary material, S2). Overall, the effect on lifespan was strongest for the D19 construct, which also achieved a stronger knockdown of Doa as determined by qRT-PCR as compared to construct D10. For both constructs, there was a significant interaction between sex and mifepristone concentration, which reflects the overall stronger effects of Doa RNAi on male than female lifespan. These findings agree with the observation of Huang et al. [31] that weak, constitutive knockdown of Doa (also using construct D10, VDRC #102520) affects lifespan in males, but not females; however, in their study, the direction of the effect depended on assay temperature. Sexual dimorphism in longevity is not uncommon among organisms, including fruit flies [51,52].

Figure 2. Knockdown of Doa using transgenic RNAi demonstrates significant effects on lifespan and fecundity. Two independent RNAi constructs (D19 and D10) that both target the catalytic domain of Doa were used in combination with the mifepristone-inducible GeneSwitch-GAL4 > UAS system. Concentrations refer to the concentrations of mifepristone (RU-486) used to induce RNAi. A significant reduction of adult lifespan was observed with both constructs (a) construct D10, (b) construct D19), whereas a reduction in female fecundity was observed for construct D19 only ((c) construct D10, (d) construct D19; see table 2 for statistics).

(c) Doa natural alleles have pleiotropic and non-pleiotropic effects
The four candidate SNPs that were functionally characterized were located both in coding and non-coding regions; Doa-4 is
a synonymous SNP located in the catalytic domain that is shared by all isoforms; *Doa-1* and *Doa-2* are intronic SNPs and may have regulatory functions; and *Doa-3* is a missense SNP located in exon N8 (N-terminal variable region), which encodes part of the 227 kD protein isoform [26]. To study the effects of these SNPs on fitness components we used a SNP association approach based on Mendelian randomization using lines of the *Drosophila* Genetic Reference Panel (DGRP) (see above, §2) (figure 2).

For three of the *Doa* SNPs we found a significant correlation between allelic state and median lifespan (figure 3, table 3). For *Doa-1* and *Doa-2*, the correlation was in the predicted direction (i.e. increased median longevity of lines carrying the allele that was enriched in the long-lived E&R populations). Interestingly, there was also a significant correlation between lifespan and allelic state for *Doa-3*, but the direction was opposite to what we had hypothesized: although the ‘G’ variant was the major allele in the long-lived experimental evolution lines [22], this allele was associated with lower median lifespan in our functional assays (figure 3, table 3).

In terms of effects on fecundity, we observed a significant correlation between allelic state and egg-laying rates for two of the *Doa* SNPs, *Doa-2* and *Doa-4*. Moreover, in both cases, there was also a significant interaction between allelic state and age, indicating that the effect on fecundity was age-specific (figure 4, table 3). The difference in egg-laying rate became visible starting from 18–24 days after eclosion, with a higher fecundity of the crosses carrying the alleles associated with selection for postponed reproduction in the E&R study [22].

To rule out potentially confounding effects of linked causal SNPs in the genetic background, we analysed LD (measured by pairwise $r^2$) among *Doa* SNPs in the DGRP, which indicated very low levels of LD across the gene, as well as among the four candidate SNPs (see electronic supplementary material, File...
S4). In addition, analyses of genetic differentiation (SNP-wise $F_{ST}$) among lines with alternative allelic states demonstrated that only the focal SNP was fixed ($F_{ST} = 1$) for each of the four panels of lines (electronic supplementary material, File S5). None of the other SNPs, both within $Doa$ or elsewhere in the genome, were fixed between two panels of lines, and the number of strongly differentiated SNPs ($F_{ST} > 0.5$) was very low as well. These analyses strongly suggest that our findings are very unlikely to be confounded by LD or due to effects in the genetic background of the candidate SNPs tested.

4. Conclusion

Our study provides strong support for a role of $Doa$ in the evolution of lifespan and fecundity in the fruit fly, as expected based on our previous E&R study [22]. Ubiquitous gene silencing of $Doa$ using transgenic RNAi in adult flies reduced both lifespan and fecundity, indicating positive pleiotropy. In addition, each of the four candidate $Doa$ SNPs tested had a significant effect on either lifespan and/or fecundity. The exact effects depended on the specific SNP, however, indicating that functional characterization of individual polymorphisms is essential for identifying the loci underlying adaptation. Three polymorphisms had effects on lifespan and/or fecundity that agree qualitatively well with predictions [22]. One of these SNPs, $Doa-2$, affected both lifespan and late-life fecundity, illustrating that even single nucleotide changes can have pleiotropic effects on complex traits (for other examples see [37,42,43]). However, one of the four SNPs, $Doa-3$, affected lifespan in the opposite direction than predicted. A possible explanation for this surprising result

**Figure 4.** Association between candidate SNPs at $Doa$ and fecundity. Shown are average egg-laying rates over a period of 30 days after emergence of F1 crosses for each allelic state at the four $Doa$ candidate SNP positions investigated. The allele that is pre-dominantly found in long-lived, late-reproducing EE populations, as identified by Hoedjes et al. [22], is indicated by the darker shade and triangles. Significant differences in lifespan between the two allelic states were observed for $Doa-2$ and $Doa-4$ (see table 3 for statistics).
might be that this SNP, and potentially other SNPs at Doa, are part of functionally and evolutionarily important haplotypes subject to linkage disequilibrium and/or epistasis. Similar analyses of other candidate loci, both within Doa and elsewhere in the genome, could resolve these questions and provide a more comprehensive overview of the polygenic regulation of these traits. Together, our results illustrate that it is important to go beyond traditional gene knockdown or knockout analyses and to perform functional tests of putatively adaptive candidate loci in order to understand the genetic basis of evolutionary change.
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