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ABSTRACT

Objective: Post-COVID-19 syndrome (PCS) is a poorly known entity. An underlying chronic, low-grade inflammation (LGI) has been theorized as a pathophysiological mechanism. Available data on biomarkers in PCS show conflicting results. Our aim was to know whether subjects with PCS present higher levels of inflammatory markers, after a mild COVID-19.

Methods: Analytical cross-sectional study. Cases of mild COVID-19 in a community setting were included. We collected epidemiological data (age, sex, BMI, smoking, comorbidities), variables of the acute COVID-19 (duration, symptoms), and data at 3 months after the acute phase (symptoms and laboratory test). Serum C-reactive protein (CRP), neutrophil and lymphocyte counts, neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio (NLR), lactate dehydrogenase, ferritin, fibrinogen, and D-dimer levels were analysed. LGI was defined as CRP > 0.3 and < 1.0 mg/dL. A subject was classified as PCS if presented signs and symptoms > 12 weeks after an infection consistent with COVID-19. Five composite indices (C1–C5) were developed, combining the upper ranges of biomarkers distributions. Multivariate analyses were performed.

Results: We analysed 121 mild COVID-19 cases (mean age = 45.7 years, 56.2% women). Among the acute symptoms, women presented a higher frequency of fatigue (54.4% vs 30.2%; p = .008). PCS affected 35.8% of women and 20.8% of men (p = .07), and the most reported symptoms were fatigue (42.8%), anosmia (40%), ageusia (22.8%), dyspnea (17.1%) and myalgia (11.4%). Neutrophil count, NLR, CRP and fibrinogen showed the best correlations with PCS and were selected to develop the indices. In women PCS+, C1, C3 and C4 indices were more frequently met, while in men PCS+, C2, C5 and CRP were in the range of LGI. Anosmia, ageusia and fatigue were related to higher neutrophil counts, with sex differences. Fibrinogen levels were higher in persistent myalgia (510 ± 82 mg/dL vs 394 ± 87; p = .013). In multivariable analysis, a woman with a neutrophil count above the median, or with fibrinogen level or NLR in the highest tertile, had a 4–5-fold increased risk of prevalent PCS. A man with CRP in the range of LGI, or fibrinogen level or a neutrophil count in the highest tertile, had a 10–17-fold increased risk of prevalent PCS.

Conclusions: The data obtained in the present cross-sectional study seems to demonstrate a consistent association between PCS and upper ranges of the neutrophil count, NLR, fibrinogen, and CRP in the LGI range. Furthermore, composite indices appear useful in detecting relationships between slight elevations of biomarkers and PCS, and our study identifies relevant sex differences in symptoms and markers regarding the PCS.

Introduction

In December 2019, a novel coronavirus was identified as the cause of a cluster of pneumonia cases in Wuhan, a city in the Hubei province in central China. It rapidly spread, resulting in an epidemic throughout China, followed by a global pandemic. In February 2020, the World Health Organization denominated the disease as COVID-19, and the causative agent, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2)1. To date, over 240 million confirmed cases and 5 million deaths have been reported. Since late 2019, prophylactic and therapeutic alternatives to SARS-CoV-2 have been evolving. Vaccines based on non-replicating viral vectors and RNA are showing very high efficacies2 and trial data currently suggest (November 2021) a mortality benefit with dexamethasone as well as with adjunctive tocilizumab (IL-6 pathway inhibitor) or baricitinib (Janus kinase inhibitor), and a possible clinical benefit with the nucleoside analog remdesivir3,4.
The number of cases, hospitalizations and deaths are not the only negative consequences of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Many patients who had the infection go on to develop lasting symptoms that fluctuate over time and can have disabling consequences. Fatigue, myalgia, dyspnea, anosmia, ageusia, autonomic dysregulation (manifested as orthostatic hypotension, tachycardia, thermoregulatory or gastrointestinal disturbances), anxiety, depression and cognitive problems are frequently reported. These prolonged symptoms significantly affect patients’ quality of life, cause economic and productivity losses and increase the burden of care. The condition has been called Long Covid or Post-COVID syndrome (PCS), and there is currently no consensus on its definition or diagnostic criteria. However, it should be noted that as of 1 October 2021 there is a new ICD-10-CM code for this syndrome (U09.9, “Post-COVID conditions, unspecified”).

The PCS has led healthcare organizations to develop new care units with multidisciplinary teams, in which rehabilitation services play a key role. A systematic review analysing 12 international models of PCS care has shown that most of them include primary care and/or specialized clinics, and they all include rehabilitation services tailored to the patient’s needs. With regard to assessment, the COVID-19 Yorkshire Rehabilitation Scale (C19-YRS), the Newcastle post-COVID screening tool and the Post-COVID-19 Functional Status scale (PCFS), have been proposed to track functional outcomes in patients affected by this complex multi-organ disorder.

It is unclear if the PCS is a final prolongation of the COVID-19 infection or a different entity. Risk factors for having severe acute COVID-19, such as age, male gender, or obesity, have not been fully related to the development of PCS. On the other hand, there is compelling evidence that patients who suffered from mild or moderate forms can present symptoms unassociated with organ dysfunctions from the acute COVID-19. Specific underlying mechanisms in the PCS still need to be unravelled. Virus-driven tissue damage, microbiome alterations or a dysregulated immune/inflammatory reaction in response to the infection, might be involved. It is well-known that the disruption of immune and inflammatory responses caused by an acute viral infection (such as Chikungunya, Ebstein-Barr, SARS-CoV or MERS-CoV viruses) can lead to long-term disorders.

Another relevant issue regarding the PCS is the differences in gender: while men, perhaps due to a previous pro-inflammatory state, are at greater risk in acute COVID-19, women have a higher specific T-cell response to the SARS-CoV-2 and are more frequently affected by PCS.

It has been suggested that after an acute COVID-19 and in predisposed women, a low-grade and continuous inflammatory reaction would be activated. Low-grade inflammation (LGI) is a chronic, ineffective inflammatory state that leads to oxidative stress and causes tissue damage. The demonstration of higher levels of inflammatory markers in subjects with PCS could provide evidence of an underlying LGI and would allow further investigation into the relationship between immunity and post-COVID symptoms. However, available data on biomarkers in PCS are very scarce, poorly systematised and with conflicting results. Elevation of C-reactive protein (CRP) serum levels has been reported, while other studies found no association.

Furthermore, most of the PCS reports have been carried out in post-hospitalization settings, on patients with high comorbidity and after a moderate or severe disease. In this regard, it has been recommended to assess the PCS in outpatient settings after a mild COVID-19, to reasonably dismiss persistent symptoms due to comorbidities or sequelae due to organ damage in the acute phase.

Taking into account the above considerations, we aimed to know whether subjects with PCS after a mild COVID-19, present higher levels of inflammatory markers than subjects without PCS. Also, given the sex differences in the immune response to COVID-19, a secondary objective was to determine whether there are gender differences in the variables related to the development of PCS.

Methods

Design

Analytical cross-sectional study with a control group. Figure 1 summarises the study design.

Participants

The study was carried out on the general population of a semi-urban Basic Health Zone covered by a Primary Health Care center (Camargo-Interior) in Santander, Northern Spain. The sample was obtained from the medical records of family physicians based on COVID-19 cases between April and September 2020. All cases were followed up exclusively at the Primary Care level and none of them had been vaccinated against SARS-CoV-2. We included confirmed COVID-19 cases by a positive result in the real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction test (RT-PCR) or by the presence of anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG, three months after the acute COVID-19. A second inclusion criterion was a mild course of infection, according to the WHO definition, and characterized by fever, malaise, cough, upper respiratory symptoms, and/or less common features of COVID-19, in the absence of dyspnea. No exclusion criteria were considered.

Study variables

Three groups of variables were collected: epidemiological data, variables related to the acute COVID-19, and data obtained 3 months after the acute episode. In the first group, sex, age, body mass index -BMI, measured in kg/m², smoking habit, past medical history and Charlson comorbidity index, were evaluated. Moreover, disorders related to a worse outcome of acute COVID-19, such as cerebrovascular disease, ischemic heart disease, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, asthma, chronic kidney disease or immunosuppression, were registered. Variables related to acute COVID-19 were duration (in days), the number of symptoms and specific symptoms. Finally, 3 months after the onset of the acute
COVID-19 (median = 115 days), clinical symptoms and biomarkers levels were collected. The interviews were carried out by 3 of the co-authors, using a structured questionnaire (Supporting information) and after specific training. The diagnosis of PCS was established if the National Institute for Care and Excellence (NICE) criteria were met: signs and symptoms that develop during or after an infection consistent with COVID-19, continue for more than 12 weeks and are not explained by an alternative diagnosis

Patients who were diagnosed with PCS formed the study group, whereas the control group consisted of patients affected by COVID-19 who did not develop PCS, as recommended (ref.

**Inflammation markers**

CRP serum levels, ferritin, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), fibrinogen, D-dimer levels, and neutrophil and lymphocyte counts have been evaluated. These markers are routinely used in acute COVID-19 and have demonstrated their usefulness in chronic inflammation. CRP has been measured in mg/dL, and the detection limit was 0.4 mg/dL. LGI has been defined by a conventionally accepted CRP serum level >0.3 mg/dL and <1.0 mg/dL. Normal ranges of LDH (U/L), ferritin (ng/mL), fibrinogen (mg/dL), D-dimer (ng/mL), neutrophil count and lymphocyte count were 120–246, 22–322, 180–500, 0–500, 1.4–7.5 (×10^3/μL) and 1.2–5 (×10^3/μL), respectively. The neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio (NL ratio), a demonstrated marker for chronic inflammation, was also determined.

Blood samples were obtained from an antecubital vein by the standard vein puncture procedure in the morning and after 12-hour fasting. LDH and ferritin were analysed by spectrophotometric assay in one Atellica CH Analyzer (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics Inc, Tarrytown, NY, USA). CRP was quantified by immunonephelometric assay in one Atellica CH Analyzer (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics Inc, Tarrytown, NY, USA). Haematological cell counts were analysed in one DXH900 (Beckman Coulter), and fibrinogen and D-dimer in one ACL TOP 750 (Werfen).

**Statistical analysis**

The sample was analysed after a double stratification, by sex, and by PCS. After assessing for normality with the Shapiro-Wilk test, quantitative variables normally distributed were expressed as mean±standard deviation (SD), and as median
[interquartile range (IQR)] if data came from a non-normal distribution. Student’s t and ANOVA tests were used as parametric tests and the median and Kruskal-Wallis tests as non-parametric tests. Categorical variables have been expressed as percentages, and Chi-Square tests have been used for their comparison. Correlation analyses have been performed using Pearson’s r, and Phi coefficient for categorical variables. The strength of an association has been expressed as an odds ratio (OR) with its corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI).

Composite indices represent heightened inflammatory activity and provide strong predictors over individualized or single measures. To this end, we divided the sample into two random halves and selected one of them to construct the indices. Selection of the biomarkers that showed the highest correlations with prevalent PCS. Development of the indices by combining the upper ranges of their distributions. Selection of the indices that best-classified subjects according to PCS. Receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) analyses of the composite indices for validation. Abbreviations. CRP, C-reactive protein; NL Ratio, Neutrophil/Lymphocyte Ratio. Values above the median; **Values in the third tertile.

**Table 1. Composite indices of inflammation.**

| Composite index | Definition |
|-----------------|------------|
| C1              | [Neutrophil count $\geq 3.10^4 \times 10^3$ [µL]] or [NL Ratio $\geq 1.86$]²⁵ |
| C2              | [CRP $>0.3$ and $<1.0$ mg/dL] or [Fibrinogen $\geq 421$ mg/dL] |
| C3              | [Neutrophil count $\geq 3.10^4 \times 10^3$ [µL]] or [Fibrinogen $\geq 421$ mg/dL] |
| C4              | [NL Ratio $\geq 1.86$] or [Fibrinogen $\geq 421$ mg/dL] |
| C5              | [CRP $>0.3$ and $<1.0$ mg/dL] or [Neutrophil count $\geq 3.40$]²⁶ |

Composite indices development process: Division of the sample into two random halves and selection of one of them to construct the indices. Selection of the biomarkers that showed the highest correlations with prevalent PCS. Development of the indices by combining the upper ranges of their distributions. Selection of the indices that best-classified subjects according to PCS. Receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) analyses of the composite indices for validation.

**Table 4.** Fibrinogen levels were higher in subjects with persistent myalgia (510 ± 82 mg/dL vs 394.6 ± 87; p = .013), a difference that remained significant after controlling for age, sex, BMI, hypertension, diabetes and dyslipidemia. After adjusting for confounders, a woman with a neutrophil count above the median, or with fibrinogen levels or NL ratio in the highest tertile, had a 4.5-fold increased risk of prevalent PCS. A man with serum CRP $>0.3$ and <1.0 mg/dL,
Quantitative variables are expressed as mean ± standard deviation or median [interquartile range].

### Table 2. Clinical characteristics of the participants and variables related to acute COVID-19, according to sex.

| Variables | Mild COVID-19 cases | p* |
|-----------|---------------------|----|
|           | Total sample (n = 121) | Women (n = 68) | Men (n = 53) |
| **Personal antecedents** | | | |
| Age (years) | 45.4 ± 16 | 47.7 ± 15 | 43 ± 17 | 0.12 |
| BMI (kg/m²) | 24.9 ± 3.8 | 24.8 ± 4 | 25.9 ± 3.9 | 0.14 |
| Tobacco; n (%) | 43 (36.4) | 22 (33.3) | 21 (40.4) | 0.42 |
| Charlson comorbidity index | 0.4 ± 0.7 | 0.23 ± 0.4 | 0.58 ± 1 | 0.02 |
| Asthma; n (%) | 13 (10.7) | 6 (8.7) | 7 (11.3) | 0.62 |
| Immunosuppression; n (%) | 3 (2.5) | 2 (3) | 1 (1.9) | 0.70 |
| Chronic kidney disease; n (%) | 1 (0.8) | – | 1 (1.9) | 0.44 |
| Cerebrovascular disease; n (%) | 1 (0.8) | – | 1 (1.6) | 0.29 |
| Hypertension; n (%) | 21 (17.8) | 11 (16.7) | 10 (19.2) | 0.71 |
| Diabetes mellitus; n (%) | 6 (5.1) | 1 (1.5) | 5 (9.6) | 0.05 |
| Dyslipidemia; n (%) | 38 (32.2) | 23 (34.8) | 15 (28.8) | 0.48 |
| Ischemic heart disease; n (%) | 6 (5.1) | 3 (4.5) | 3 (5.8) | 0.54 |
| **Acute COVID-19** | | | |
| Number of symptoms | 5 [4] | 5 [4] | 4 [4] | 0.50 |
| Duration (days) | 10 [9] | 11 [15] | 10 [9] | 0.40 |
| Cough; n (%) | 56 (46.3) | 32 (47.1) | 24 (45.3) | 0.84 |
| Odynophagia; n (%) | 39 (32.2) | 23 (33.8) | 16 (30.2) | 0.67 |
| Low-grade fever/fever; n (%) | 73 (60.3) | 41 (60.3) | 32 (60.4) | 0.99 |
| Diarrhea; n (%) | 18 (14.9) | 11 (16.2) | 7 (13.2) | 0.65 |
| Anosmia/ageusia; n (%) | 64 (52.9) | 39 (57.4) | 25 (47.2) | 0.26 |
| Fatigue; n (%) | 53 (43.8) | 37 (54.4) | 16 (30.2) | 0.008 |
| Myalgia; n (%) | 56 (46.3) | 31 (45.6) | 25 (47.2) | 0.86 |
| Headache; n (%) | 51 (42.1) | 28 (41.2) | 23 (43.4) | 0.80 |
| Dyspnea; n (%) | 21 (17.4) | 14 (20.6) | 7 (13.2) | 0.28 |
| Chest pain; n (%) | 8 (6.6) | 5 (7.4) | 3 (5.7) | 0.71 |
| Rhinitis; n (%) | 26 (21.5) | 14 (20.6) | 12 (22.6) | 0.78 |

Quantitative variables are expressed as mean ± standard deviation or median [interquartile range].

p*: Chi-Square for categorical variables. Student's t test (contrast between means) or median test (contrast between medians) for continuous variables.

Abbreviation. BMI, Body Mass Index.

### Table 3. Epidemiological variables, acute COVID-19 variables and inflammatory markers, stratifying by sex and post-COVID syndrome.

| Variables | Mild COVID-19 cases (n = 121) | p* |
|-----------|-----------------------------|----|
|           | Women (n = 68) | Men (n = 53) |
| **Personal antecedents** | | |
| Age (years) | 47.2 ± 13 | 47.7 ± 17 | 0.90 |
| BMI (kg/m²) | 24.3 ± 3 | 25.1 ± 4 | 0.43 |
| Tobacco; n (%) | 7 (29.2) | 15 (36.6) | 0.54 |
| Charlson comorbidity index | 0.17 ± 0.3 | 0.28 ± 0.5 | 0.29 |
| **Acute COVID-19** | | |
| Number of symptoms | 7 [3] | 3 [5] | 0.0001 |
| Duration (days) | 14 [28] | 10 [12] | 0.37 |
| Cough; n (%) | 12 (50) | 20 (46.5) | 0.78 |
| Odynophagia; n (%) | 8 (31.5) | 15 (35.9) | 0.08 |
| Low-grade fever/fever; n (%) | 18 (75) | 23 (53.5) | 0.08 |
| Diarrhea; n (%) | 2 (8.3) | 9 (20.9) | 0.18 |
| Anosmia/ageusia; n (%) | 21 (87.5) | 18 (41.9) | 0.0001 |
| Fatigue; n (%) | 17 (70.8) | 20 (46.5) | 0.05 |
| Myalgia; n (%) | 16 (66.7) | 15 (34.9) | 0.012 |
| Headache; n (%) | 16 (66.7) | 12 (27.9) | 0.002 |
| Dyspnea; n (%) | 11 (45.8) | 3 (7) | 0.0001 |
| Chest pain; n (%) | 4 (16.7) | 1 (2.3) | 0.05 |
| Rhinitis; n (%) | 9 (37.5) | 5 (11.6) | 0.013 |

**Inflammatory markers**

- CRP > 0.3 and < 1.0 mg/dL; n (%) | 4 (18.2) | 4 (11.4) | 0.47 |
- Neutrophil count (x10³/µL) | 2.85 ± 1 | 2.67 ± 0.9 | 0.50 |
- Lymphocyte count (x10³/µL) | 1.88 ± 0.8 | 1.65 ± 0.7 | 0.26 |
- Neutrophil/Lymphocyte Ratio | 1.65 [0.9] | 1.45 [0.6] | 0.16 |
- Ferritin (ng/mL) | 40 [86] | 47 [82] | 0.87 |
- Lactate dehydrogenase (UI/L) | 177 [42] | 179 [36] | 0.66 |
- Fibrinogen (mg/dL) | 417 [111] | 392 [83] | 0.59 |
- D-dimer (ng/mL) | 320 [154] | 310 [268] | 0.70 |
- C1 index (+); n (%) | 17 (70.8) | 15 (35.9) | 0.013 |
- C2 index (+); n (%) | 11 (50) | 12 (24.5) | 0.18 |
- C3 index (+); n (%) | 17 (73.9) | 16 (34.2) | 0.020 |
- C4 index (+); n (%) | 17 (73.9) | 18 (47.4) | 0.042 |
- C5 index (+); n (%) | 8 (36.4) | 11 (31.4) | 0.70 |

Quantitative variables expressed as mean ± standard deviation or median [interquartile range].

p*: Chi-Square for categorical variables. Student's t test (contrast between means) or median test (contrast between medians) for continuous variables.

Abbreviation. BMI, Body Mass Index.
or fibrinogen levels or a neutrophil count in the highest tertile, had a 10–17-fold increased risk of prevalent PCS (Table 4).

Discussion

The present study, aimed at assessing the levels of inflammation markers in PCS, has shown results of interest. Data supporting a possible relationship between a chronic inflammatory state and PCS, and the difference by sex observed regarding symptoms and biomarkers, are mentioned afterwards.

Low-grade inflammation and post-COVID-19 syndrome

While acute inflammation is intense, short-lived, and results in tissue repair, LGI is persistent, ineffective, and leads to collateral damage. LGI is typically triggered by damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs), which are released by damaged cells or tissues as endogenous danger signals, alerting the immune system to non-programmed cell death, invasion by pathogens, and in response to stress. Frequent symptoms of LGI are chronic fatigue, arthralgia, myalgia, anxiety, depression, constipation or diarrhea, and it is associated with slight elevations of acute-phase reactants and cytokines.

In turn, COVID-19 infection can cause a release of DAMPs and therefore could trigger an LGI, symptoms of PCS correlate with those of LGI, and our study has shown slightly but significantly higher values of serum CRP, fibrinogen and neutrophil count in patients with PCS.

Low et al. point out the coincident symptoms between PCS and cytokine release syndrome, with fever, fatigue, headache, skin rash, arthralgia and myalgia, and propose a model in which SARS-CoV-2 infection is hypothesized to trigger a dysregulated peripheral immune system activation with subsequent cytokine release. Chronic low-grade inflammation

---

Table 4. Multivariable analysis, effect size, and validation of models.

| Composite index/ inflammatory marker | Model | Effect size* | Validation |
|-------------------------------------|-------|--------------|------------|
|                                     |       | OR (CI 95%)  | AUC (CI 95%) | p | Standardized residuals Mean ± SD |
|                                     |       | p           |             |    |                                |
| **Women**                           |       |             |             |    |                                |
| C1 index                            | Logistic regression (DV: PCS) | Adjusted OR = 5.14 (1.6–16.4) | 0.006 | 0.76 (0.63–0.89) | 0.001 | – |
| C2 index                            | Logistic regression (DV: PCS) | Adjusted OR = 4.52 (1.3–13.3) | 0.015 | 0.72 (0.57–0.86) | 0.005 | – |
| C3 index                            | Logistic regression (DV: PCS) | Adjusted OR = 4.12 (1.3–13.1) | 0.016 | 0.71 (0.57–0.85) | 0.006 | – |
| Neutrophil count                    | General linear model (Fixed factor: Anosmia) | (Anosmia+) 3.43 ± 0.3 | 0.014 | – | – | 0 ± 0.95 |
| Neutrophil count                    | General linear model (Fixed factor: Ageusia) | (Ageusia+) 3.89 ± 0.3 | 0.002 | – | – | 0 ± 0.95 |
| **Men**                             |       |             |             |    |                                |
| C4 index                            | Logistic regression (DV: PCS) | Adjusted OR = 12.9 (1.3–121) | 0.025 | 0.76 (0.58–0.94) | 0.025 | – |
| Neutrophil count                    | Logistic regression (DV: PCS) | Adjusted OR = 10.1 (1.2–85) | 0.033 | 0.75 (0.55–0.95) | 0.029 | – |
| Neutrophil count                    | Logistic regression (DV: PCS) | Adjusted OR = 17.5 (2–153) | 0.010 | 0.82 (0.65–0.98) | 0.003 | – |
| Neutrophil count                    | General linear model (Fixed factor: Fatigue) | (Fatigue+) 4.68 ± 0.6 | 0.041 | – | – | 0 ± 0.93 |

* After adjusting for age, BMI, Charlson index and tobacco consumption.

Abbreviations. DV, Dependent variable; PCS, Post-COVID syndrome; CRP, C-reactive protein; BMI, Body mass index; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; AUC, Area under the (ROC) curve; SD, Standard deviation.

Validation of the models: AUC values ranged between 0.71 and 0.82, and the standardised residuals showed a normal distribution, with a curve of mean = 0 and SD = 1. R² values were 0.27, 0.54, 0.23, 0.23, 0.58 and 0.54, for composite indices C1, C2, C3, C4 and C5, respectively.
could lead to dysregulated brain microglia with an exaggerated release of central cytokines, producing neuroinflammation, brain fog, intermittent fatigue, post-exertional malaise, arthralgias and paresthesias, among others.42.

Doykov et al.43 analysed 96 proteins associated with the immune response in subjects with a positive test for SARS-CoV-2 and compared their mass spectrometry profiles with those of similar negative controls. They observed that those who had suffered from COVID-19 had a significant elevation of biomarkers involved in inflammation 40 days after infection, such as the mitochondrial protein PRDX3 or the cytosol protein NDRG1. Holmes et al.44 analysed plasma samples from patients with past COVID-19 infection, hospitalized patients with severe COVID-19 respiratory symptoms, and controls. Three months after the acute episode, elevated levels of plasma tauine and the persistence of a reduced glutamine/glutamate ratio were observed, possibly related to a metabolic and inflammatory disturbance.

**Post-COVID syndrome and biomarkers**

The most frequent symptom of PCS has been fatigue (45.8% of women and 36.4% of men). This high frequency is consistent with previous reports45,46. We observed a higher neutrophil count in men with post-COVID fatigue, a difference that remained significant after adjusting for confounders. A pathophysiological link between neutrophils and fatigue could be the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) by activated neutrophils, causing oxidative tissue damage. Increased ROS release by neutrophils has been described in situations associated with chronic inflammation, such as age, hyperlipidemia, or hyperglycemia47. In contrast, Townsend et al.27 evaluated 128 people of both sexes, after an acute COVID-19, and found no relationship between the white blood cell count, neutrophils, lymphocytes, NL ratio, LDH or CRP, and post-COVID fatigue. The authors analysed sex as an adjustment variable in the regression model, but a gender analysis was not assessed in the paper.

The second most frequent symptom of PCS was anosmia (41.7% of women and 36.4% of men). As previously published, COVID-19 anosmia is more common in women48, in young patients7, and mild forms of the disease49. We found that women with anosmia had a significantly higher neutrophil count. One possible explanation is that young women, probably due to exposure to sex hormones50, have an active neutrophil profile characterised by strong type I IFN activity and an increased pro-inflammatory response, which may cause persistent inflammation51. The results seem to point to a higher local immunity in young patients, presenting with upper respiratory tract symptoms, compared to patients with severe forms, probably older, with lower local immunity and predominantly lower tract symptoms52.

Regarding markers, mild but significant elevations of neutrophil count, CRP and fibrinogen levels have been registered in subjects affected by PCS. Neutrophils are the main effectors of the immune system and play a complex role in chronic inflammation. It is known that they are a source of DAMPs, and in the tissue repair process, they can simultaneously release highly immunogenic products that could trigger and/or amplify an inflammatory response53. Furthermore, chronic inflammation may in turn stimulate extramedullary neutrophil production and increase their peripheral blood count.47.

In addition to neutrophil count, CRP serum level in the range of chronic inflammation has shown a significant relationship with PCS. Interestingly, this association has been noted only in men. CRP is an acute-phase protein produced by hepatocytes, and cytokines such as IL-6 play a key role in stimulating its synthesis.55. The biological response of pro-inflammatory cytokines during COVID-19 is higher in men than in women41 and this fact could be reflected in our results. Evans et al.8 evaluated 1170 patients, discharged from the hospital following treatment for COVID-19 and identified 4 clusters of patients suffering from PCS, according to mental and physical impairment. They analysed plasma CRP according to the clusters and found that patients included in clusters 1 and 2, with very severe and severe impairment, showed frequencies of CRP >1.0 mg/dL of 16.5% and 18%, respectively. The authors stated that the result was possibly due to post-COVID-19 systemic inflammation. Unfortunately, data on serum CRP levels in women and men separately were not available in the published work.

Fibrinogen levels were significantly higher in subjects with post-COVID myalgia, and the difference remained significant after adjusting for confounders. Likewise, values in the highest tertile were included in 3 of the 5 final composite indices, all of them presenting good discrimination between subjects with and without PCS. Fibrinogen, as well as CRP, serum amyloid A (SAA) and haptoglobin, are closely linked to IL-6 activation, hence higher levels of fibrinogen may be a reflection of the events driven by the production of IL-6 during the COVID-19 infection. Moreover, fibrinogen seems to exert pleiotropic effects in tissue injury44, pain54, and chronic LG14, since it may act as a DAMP55. This relationship between fibrinogen levels and post-COVID myalgia is in line with a study by Wählén et al.54, focused on fibromyalgia and based on plasma proteome profile. The authors identified the presence of α and β-fibrinogen chains in a cluster of proteins involved in pain intensity, a lower pain threshold and psychological distress.

**Symptoms and sex differences**

We have observed several differences between women and men. In addition to the mentioned the higher frequency of fatigue among women with acute COVID-19, PCS has affected women more frequently, with a trend toward significance and in line with published papers8,18,20,45. The number of PCS symptoms was another difference: men reported 1 or 2 symptoms, while up to 20% of women with PCS reported 3 or more symptoms.

Stratified analysis by sex showed other clinical differences. One example is the previously reported association between an acute polysymptomatic phase and PCS.45. In our study, such an association was observed only in women. Specifically, a woman with ≥6 symptoms in the acute phase had an increased risk of prevalent PCS, with an adjusted OR...
(aOR) of 7.1 (95% CI: 1.8–28), after controlling for age, BMI, Charlson index, and smoking. In men, this association could not be demonstrated.

Additionally, in women, certain acute COVID-19 symptoms that were significantly more frequent in PCS+ than in PCS- acted as independent predictors of PCS: Anosmia/ageusia, aOR = 12.8 (2.9–56); myalgia, aOR = 5.1 (1.7–15); headache, aOR = 6.9 (2.1–23); dyspnea, aOR = 14.8 (2.8–78) and rhinitis, aOR = 14.2 (2.3–85). In contrast, in men, no initial symptoms showed a relationship with PCS. These results point to a relationship between acute COVID-19 symptoms and PCS in women and could probably be explained by immunological bases.

**Limitations**

The study has several limitations that should be considered. Firstly, its cross-sectional design, allows us to establish associations but not to infer causality. It was carried out in patients from a semi-urban and Caucasian population in northern Spain, so the results may not be extrapolated to other populations or geographical areas. We have performed a double stratification (by sex and by PCS), that has provided additional data but may result in a loss of statistical power and a type 2 error. Composite indices have proven to be a useful methodology, improving performance in the detection of associations between PCS and inflammation markers. However, large samples studies are necessary to define the cut-off points for biomarkers levels at which the diagnosis of PCS could be established.

**Conclusion**

After mild COVID-19, consistent associations have been observed between PCS and the upper ranges of neutrophil count and fibrinogen, and between PCS and CRP in the range of chronic inflammation in men. Such relationships may provide evidence of an underlying LGI in patients affected by PCS.

Composite indices have shown to be useful in detecting the association between PCS and mild elevations of some biomarkers, but their clinical relevance should be confirmed in future research. The study has demonstrated substantial gender differences in symptoms and inflammatory biomarkers, probably related to the different immune responses of women and men to COVID-19. A gender-differentiated analysis is mandatory in the approach to this new and heterogeneous entity.
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