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Abstract

Background: This meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials aimed to examine the advantages of long-acting injectable antipsychotics over placebo or oral medications regarding efficacy and safety for patients with bipolar disorder.

Methods: Two categorical meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials were performed to compare study-defined relapse rate (primary), discontinuation rates, and individual adverse events: (1) risperidone-long-acting injectable vs placebo, and (2) long-acting injectable antipsychotics vs oral medications.

Results: We identified 7 randomized controlled trials (n = 1016; long-acting injectable antipsychotics [flupenthixol (1 randomized controlled trial) and risperidone (6 randomized controlled trials)]= 449]; oral medications [mood stabilizers, antidepressants, antipsychotic, or any combination of these agents= 283]; and placebo = 284). Risperidone-long-acting injectable antipsychotic was superior to placebo for study-defined relapse rate (risk ratio = 0.63, P < .0001), relapse of manic symptoms (risk ratio = 0.42, P < .00001), and all-cause discontinuation (risk ratio = 0.75, P = .007). Risperidone-long-acting injectable was associated with higher incidence of prolactin-related adverse events (risk ratio = 4.82, P = .001) and weight gain (risk ratio = 3.80, P < .0001) than placebo. The pooled long-acting injectable antipsychotics did not outperform oral medications regarding primary outcome but with significant heterogeneity (I² = 74%). Sensitivity analysis, including only studies with rapid cycling or high frequency of relapse patients, revealed that long-acting injectable antipsychotics were superior compared to oral medications (I² = 0%, RR = 0.58, P = .0004). However, the comparators in this sensitivity analysis did not include second-generation antipsychotic monotherapy. In sensitivity analysis, including only studies with second-generation antipsychotic monotherapy as the comparator, long-acting injectable antipsychotics did not outperform second-generation antipsychotic monotherapy. Risperidone-long-acting injectable was also associated with higher incidence of prolactin-related adverse events than oral medications (RR = 2.66, P = .03).

Conclusions: Long-acting injectable antipsychotics appear beneficial for relapse prevention in patients with rapid cycling. Furthermore, randomized controlled trials comparing long-acting injectable antipsychotics and oral second-generation antipsychotic using larger samples of rapid cycling patients are warranted.
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Introduction

Bipolar disorder is a potentially lifelong and disabling condition characterized by episodes of mania or hypomania and episodes of depressed mood (Grunze et al., 2013; Kendall et al., 2014). Bipolar disorder is associated with an excess mortality including an increased risk of suicide (Grunze et al., 2013; Kendall et al., 2014). An estimated 25% to 50% of patients with bipolar disorder...
| Study, Country, Funding | Total n | Blind | Duration | Inclusion Criteria | Drug | n | Mean Age (% Male) | History of Illness | Primary Outcome |
|-------------------------|---------|-------|----------|-------------------|------|---|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Ahlfors 1981, MC, industry | 42 | OP | 18 m | Bipolar or unipolar recurrent manic-depressive illness. Pt experienced ≥3 mood episodes of sufficient severity to require treatment during the last 5 y before the enrollment. | Flup-DEC: 10, 15, or 20mg/3 wk Li: serum level = 0.8–1.0 mmol/L (12 h after the last intake) | 25 | 50 (45.5) | DI=5 | Mean episode frequency: Flup-DEC=Li Mean % time ill: Flup-DEC=Li |
| Bobo 2011, USA, industry | 50 | OP | 12 m | Rapid cycling BDI and BDII (DSM-IV-TR, MINI-confirmed). Age=18–64. YMRS≥8 or HAMD17≥8 and a history of ≥4 symptomatic relapses in the y prior to study, with ≥1 symptomatic relapse in the previous 6 m. TAU: no medication, monotherapy (MS, AP, or AD) and combination therapy (MS+AP, MS+MS, MS+AD, AP+AD, AD+AD, MS+AP+AD, or other) | RIS-LAI (27.0±0.4mg/2 wks)+TAU | 25 | 42.8±8.7 (30) | DI=26.4±7.3 | Mean any-cause relapse events: RIS-LAI+TAU=TAU, Mean time spent in an any-cause relapse event: RIS-LAI+TAU =TAU |
| Chengappa 2010, USA, industry | 50 | OP | 15 m | BDI and BDII (DSM-IV-TR). Age≥18. Pt had hypomanic, manic or mixed episode (YMRS>15). TAU: CAR, LAM, Li, VAL or any combination of these agents (unchanged during the study). | RIS-LAI (25, 37.5, or 50mg/2 wk)+TAU | 25 | 40±9.2 (30) | NR | Number of negative clinical events: RIS-LAI+TAU>SGA+TAU |
| Macfadden 2009, USA and India, industry | 124 | DB | 12 m | BDI and BDII (DSM-IV-TR). Age=18–70. Pt had ≥4 mood episodes (i.e. high frequency of relapses; defined as an event requiring psychiatric intervention) in the past 12 m. TAU: LAM, Li, TOP, VAL, SNHI, SSR1, TCA, anxiolytics, or any combination of these agents (unchanged during the study). | RIS-LAI [25 (67.7%), 37.5 (27.7%), or 50 (44%) mg/2 wk]+TAU | 65 | 40.0±11.8 (70.8) | AAD=28.3±9.4 | Time to recurrence: RIS-LAI+TAU >PBO+TAU |
| Quiroz 2010, MC, industry | 303 | DB | 24 m | BDI (DSM-IV-TR). Age=18-65. Acute manic or mixed episode (YMRS≥20) or were stable (CGI-S≤3) on APs or MDs. Pt experienced ≥2 mood episodes during the 2 y preceding enrollment. Stable pt had one episode within 4 m of enrollment. BMI=17–33. Pt who maintained response to open-label RIS-LAI for 26 wk were randomly allocated to PBO-injections or to continue RIS-LAI for up to 24 m. | RIS-LAI: 12.5, 25 (77%), 37.5, or 50mg/2 wk PBO | 154 | 39±11.8 (49) | DI=8±8.3 | Time to recurrence: RIS-LAI>PBO |

| Study, Patients, and Treatment Regimens in Randomized, Controlled Trials Included in the Current Meta-analysis |
| Study, Country, Funding | Total n | Blind | Duration | Inclusion Criteria | Drug | n | Mean Age (% Male) | History of Illness | Primary Outcome |
|-------------------------|---------|-------|----------|-------------------|------|---|------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Vieta 2012, MC, industry | 398 | DB | 18 m | BDI (DSM-IV-TR, MINI-confirmed). Age=18-65. Acute pt (manic or mixed episode: YMRS>20 and CGI-S ≥4), or nonacute pt (between mood episodes: YMRS <12 and CGI-S ≤3), and ≥1 manic episode within 4 m of enrollment). Pt had ≥2 mood episodes in the previous y. Nonacute pt: unchanged BD medication for ≥4 wk before screening. Pt who did not experience a recurrence in open-label RIS-LAI for 12 wk were randomly allocated to PBO-injections or to continue RIS-LAI for up to 18 m. | RIS-LAI: 25, 37.5, or 50 mg/2 wk | 132 | 35.6±11.1 (40) | NR | Time to recurrence: RIS-LAI = PBO, OLA > PBO |
| Yatham 2007, Canada, industry | 49 | OP | 6 m | BDI and BDII (DSM-IV-TR). Age=18-65. Stable pt ( CGI-S ≥3 and YMRS or MADRS ≥13): receiving one oral SGAs (OLA, QUE, RIS) in combination with a maximum of 2 of LAM, Li, or VAL and 1 AD. TAU: LAM, Li, VAL, AD or any combination of these agents (unchanged during the study). | RIS-LAI (26.1±3.6 mg/2 wk) + TAU SGA (OLA=8±6.5 mg/d, QUE=352.3±309.5 mg/d, RIS=1.4±0.5 mg/d) + TAU | 23 | 41.8±13.1 (52) | NR | CGI-S, YMRS and MADRS: RIS-LAI + TAU = SGA + TAU |

Abbreviations: AAD, age at diagnosis; AD, antidepressant; AP, antipsychotic; ARI, aripiprazole; BD, bipolar disorder; BMI (kg/m²), body mass index; CAR, carbamazepine; CGI-S, Clinical Global Impressions-Severity; DB, double blind; DI (years), duration of illness; DSM-(IV)-(TR), Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, (4th Edition) (Text Revision); Flup-DEC, flupenthixol decanoate; HAMD, Hamilton Depression Rating Scale; LAI, long-acting injectable; LAM, lamotrigine; Li, lithium; m, month; MADRS, Montgomery Åsberg Depression Rating Scale; MC, multiple countries; MD, mood stabilizer; MINI, Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview; MS, mood stabilizer; n, number of patients; NR, not reported; OLA, olanzapine; OP, open; PBO, placebo; Pt, patient; QUE, quetiapine; RIS, risperidone; SGA, second generation antipsychotic; SNRI, serotonin norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor; SSRI, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor; TAU, treatment-as-usual; TCA, tricyclic antidepressant; TOP, topiramate; VAL, valproate; wk, week; y, year; YMRS, Young Mania Rating Scale; ZIR, ziprasidone.
are reported to attempt suicide at least once (Jamison, 2000). A recent network meta-analysis showed that adherence to pharmacological treatment is critical for effective control of depressive and manic symptoms (Miura et al., 2014). Thus, adherence to medication is essential for people with bipolar disorder to respond satisfactorily to the treatment (Grunze et al., 2013; Kendall et al., 2014). However, adherence to pharmacotherapy is often poor in chronic psychiatric illnesses, including bipolar disorder (Anderson et al., 2012; Geddes and Mlikotwitz, 2013; Zulig et al., 2013). The frequency of nonadherence in bipolar disorder patients is estimated to range between 10% and 60% (Gigante et al., 2012). Nonadherence increases the risk of relapse and suicide (Samalin et al., 2014) as well as risk of rehospitalization (Gigante et al., 2012).

Long-acting injectable (LAI) antipsychotics (LAI-APs) are considered to possess several benefits compared with oral antipsychotics, including more stable blood levels, consistent bioavailability, predictable medication adherence, and an improved pharmacokinetic profile, all of which allow for use of lower dosages (Spanarello and La Ferla, 2014). Consequently, the LAI-APs are expected to have greater relapse prevention for psychiatric disorders including bipolar disorder and schizophrenia (Grunze et al., 2013; Priebe et al., 2013; Yatham et al., 2013; Kendall et al., 2014; NICE, 2014) and reduce the incidence of adverse events (Spanarello and La Ferla, 2014) in comparison with oral antipsychotics. In light of the recent network meta-analysis of bipolar disorder indicating that continuous antipsychotic treatment is effective for preventing relapse (Miura et al., 2014), we hypothesized that LAI-APs are superior to oral medications, including oral antipsychotic and mood stabilizers, regarding efficacy. In fact, the United States Food and Drug Administration approved the use of risperidone-LAI as both monotherapy and adjunct therapy to lithium or valproate for the maintenance treatment of bipolar I disorder in 2009. To date, 7 randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have been conducted on LAI-APs for the treatment of bipolar disorder (Ahlfors et al., 1981; Yatham et al., 2007; Macfadden et al., 2009; Chengappa et al., 2010; Quiroz et al., 2010; Bobo et al., 2011; Vieta et al., 2012). However, although the results of a systematic review and meta-analyses are considered to present a higher level of evidence than individual trials (Higgins and Green, 2011), there has been no systematic review and meta-analysis of LAI-APs regarding efficacy, tolerability, or safety for patients with bipolar disorder. A meta-analysis can increase the statistical power for group comparisons and overcome the limitation of sample size in underpowered studies (Higgins and Green, 2011). To synthesize the available trial evidence, we conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of RCTs comparing LAI-APs to placebo and oral medications for bipolar disorder. The meta-analysis was designed to assess the benefits and drawbacks of LAI-APs by comparing efficacy, discontinuation rates, and adverse events to placebo and oral medication groups.

**METHODS**

This meta-analysis was performed according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (Moher et al., 2009) (supplementary Table 1: PRISMA Checklist).

**Search Strategy and Inclusion Criteria of Studies**

To identify relevant RCTs, 2 authors (T.K. and K.O.) independently searched MEDLINE, Cochrane Library, and PsycINFO, without language restrictions, from inception to March 26, 2016 using the following search strategy: (bipolar disorder, mania, manic, hypomania, hypo-mania, rapid cycle, rapid cycle, or bipolar depression) AND (randomized, random, or randomly) AND (depot, decanoate, enanthate, long acting injectable, microsphere, once monthly, palmitate, or pamoate). Two authors (T.K. and K.O.) independently assessed inclusion/exclusion criteria and selected studies. The references of included articles and review articles were also searched for citations of additional relevant published and unpublished research, such as conference abstracts. We also searched the clinical trial registries (ClinicalTrials.gov; http://clinicaltrials.gov/) and WHO International Clinical Trials Registry Platform (http://www.who.int/ictrp/search/en/) to include RCTs as comprehensively as possible and to minimize the possibility of publication bias.

**Data Synthesis and Outcome Measures**

The primary outcome was study-defined relapse rate of any mood symptom (supplementary Table 2). Secondary outcome measures were study-defined relapse rate of manic/hypomanic/mixed symptoms or depressive symptoms, number of episodes (any mood symptoms, manic/hypomanic/mixed symptoms, or depressive symptoms) (supplementary Table 2), score on the Young Mania Rating Scale (Young et al., 1978), Montgomery-Åsberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS) (Montgomery and Asberg, 1979), or Clinical Global Impression-Severity (CGI-S) scale (Guy and Bonato, 1970) at the study endpoint, discontinuation rates, and individual adverse events.

**Data Extraction**

Two authors (T.K. and K.O.) independently extracted data from the included studies. We used intention-to-treat or modified intention-to-treat analysis. When data required for the meta-analysis were missing, we contacted the study investigators and requested unpublished data. The following 2 categorical meta-analyses of RCTs were performed for evaluating each outcome: (1) risperidone-LAI vs the placebo, and (2) individual and pooled LAI-APs vs oral medications, including oral antipsychotics, mood stabilizers, antidepressants, or any combination of these agents.

**Meta-Analytic Methods**

These meta-analyses were conducted using Review Manager Software (Version 5.3 for Windows, Cochrane Collaboration, http://tech.cochrane.org/Revman). The random effects model was chosen because of potential heterogeneity across studies. The risk ratio (RR) was estimated along with its 95% CI for each meta-analysis. In this study, when the RR showed significant differences between groups for efficacy or adverse events, the number needed to treat or harm (NNT or NNH) was calculated from the risk difference (RD) using the formula NNT = 1/RD or NNH = 1/RD. For continuous data, weighted mean differences (WMDs) were used. When outcomes with different metrics were combined, standardized mean differences were used (DerSimonian and Laird, 1986). We also planned to investigate study heterogeneity using the chi-square test of homogeneity ($P < .05$) together with the I$^2$ statistic, considering $I^2 \geq 50\%$ indicative of considerable heterogeneity (Higgins et al., 2003). In cases with $P \geq 50\%$ for the primary outcome, we performed sensitivity analyses to determine the reasons for the heterogeneity. We also assessed the methodological qualities of the articles included according to the Cochrane Risk of Bias Criteria (Cochrane Collaboration, http://www.cochrane.org/).
RESULTS

Study Characteristics

Of 198 hits, we removed 151 duplicates, 33 references based on abstract/title review, and 7 articles after full-text review (6 review articles and 1 same study), retaining 7 RCTs (Ahlfors et al., 1981; Yatham et al., 2007; Macfadden et al., 2009; Chengappa et al., 2010; Quiroz et al., 2010; Bobo et al., 2011; Vieta et al., 2012) (supplementary Figure 1). Moreover, we did not retrieve any additional RCTs by searching the review articles and the clinical trial registries. Thus, the meta-analysis included 7 RCTs (n = 1016; LAI-APs [flupenthixol and risperidone, 449]; oral medication [mood stabilizers, antidepressants, antipsychotic, or any combination of these agents, 283]; and placebo, 284). The details of each study are described in Table 1. One trial tested flupenthixol decanoate (25 subjects) (Ahlfors et al., 1981) and 6 tested risperidone-LAI (424 subjects). Four of the 7 RCTs examined risperidone-LAI added to usual treatments (mood stabilizers, antidepressants, antipsychotics, or any combination of these agents). As the comparator group, 2 studies used various oral second-generation antipsychotics (SGAs, 51 subjects) (Yatham et al., 2007; Chengappa et al., 2010) and 1 used olanzapine (131 subjects) (Vieta et al., 2012). Both the mean and median duration of studies was 15 months. Two of the 7 RCTs included only patients with rapid cycling (Bobo et al., 2011) or high frequency of relapse (Macfadden et al., 2009) (Table 1). All 7 RCTs were industry sponsored. Three of the 7 RCTs were double blind. The methodological quality of each RCT based on Cochrane risk-of-bias criteria are shown in supplementary Figures 2 and 3.

LAI-APs vs Placebo

Risperidone-LAI outperformed the placebo regarding the primary outcome, study-defined relapse rate of any mood symptom (RR = 0.63, 95% CI 0.51 to 0.77, P < .0001, I² = 13%, NNT = −5, P < .00001, N = 2, n = 567) (Figure 1). Risperidone-LAI was also superior to the placebo in study-defined relapse rate for manic, hypomanic, or mixed symptoms (RR = 0.42, 95% CI 0.29 to 0.61, P < .00001, I² = 38%, NNT = −4, P < .00001, N = 2, n = 537, Figure 2), and

Figure 1. Study-defined Relapse Rate of Any Mood Symptoms. AC, active-controlled trial; LAI-AP, long-acting injectable antipsychotics; PC, placebo-controlled trial; SGA, second generation antipsychotic; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval.

Figure 2. Study-defined Relapse Rate of Manic/Hypomanic/Mixed Symptoms. AC, active-controlled trial; LAI-AP, long-acting injectable antipsychotics; PC, placebo-controlled trial; SGA, second generation antipsychotic; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval.
improved scores on the Young Mania Rating Scale (WMD = −5.80, 95% CI = −7.57 to −4.04, P < .00001, I² = 0%, N = 2, n = 532), MADRS (WMD = −1.76, 95% CI = −3.23 to −0.28, P = .02, I² = 0%, N = 2, n = 532), and CGI-S scale (WMD = −0.76, 95% CI = −1.03 to −0.50, P < .00001, I² = 0%, N = 2, n = 532). In contrast, the risperidone-LAI arm did not differ from the placebo in study-defined relapse rate of depressive symptoms (Table 2). While the meta-analyses of all-cause discontinuation revealed a statistically significant superiority of risperidone-LAI over the placebo (RR = 0.75, P = .007, NNT = −5), there was no significant difference in discontinuation rate due to adverse events between groups (RR = 1.99, P = .33). Risperidone-LAI was associated with a lower incidence of mania (RR = 0.31, P = .001, NNH = −14) and use of benzodiazepines (RR = 0.54, p = 0.02, NNH = −17) compared with the placebo (Table 2). However, risperidone-LAI was associated with a higher incidence of potential prolactin-related adverse events (RR = 4.82, P = .001, NNH = not significant) and weight gain (≥7% increased) (RR = 3.80, P < .0001, NNH = 10) compared with the placebo (Table 2).

LAI-APs vs Oral Medications

Neither pooled LAI-APs nor any single individual LAI-AP (flupenthixol or risperidone) differed from oral medications regarding the primary outcome and secondary efficacy outcomes (Figures 1 and 2; Table 3). There were also significant differences in discontinuation rate between the treatment groups (Table 3). Risperidone-LAI was associated with a higher incidence of potential prolactin-related adverse events (RR = 2.66, P = .03, NNH = 20) compared with oral medications, but there were no significant differences in other individual adverse events between the groups (Table 3).

Sensitivity Analyses of LAI-APs vs Oral Medications

Since we found significant heterogeneity in the primary outcome between treatment groups (I² = 74%) (Figure 1), we conducted sensitivity analyses in RCT subgroups divided by study duration (≥15 or <15 months), blinding (double blind or open), comparator (SGA monotherapy or other oral medications), type of bipolar disorder (rapid cycling or high frequency of relapse patients vs others), the LAI-AP tested (flupenthixol decanoate or risperidone-LAI), and sample size (total n > 100 or <100) (Table 4). Short duration studies (<15 months), open studies, studies with oral medications other than SGA monotherapy as the comparator, rapid cycling or high frequency of relapse patient studies, and small sample size studies (total n < 100) retained significant heterogeneity, but LAI-AP was superior to the placebo for prevention of study-defined relapse rate of any mood symptom (Table 4).

Table 2. The Results of Meta-Analysis of Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Trials

| Efficacy                        | Number of Studies | Number of Patients | P     | Effect Size | 95% Confidence Interval | P  |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------|-------------|--------------------------|----|
| Study-defined relapse rate of depressive symptoms | 2                  | 537                | 0%    | Risk ratio  = 1.21 | 0.81 to 1.81               | .35 |
| Young Mania Rating Scale total scores | 2                  | 532                | 0%    | Weighted mean differences = −5.80 | −7.57 to −4.04               | <.00001 |
| Montgomery-Asberg Depression Scale total scores | 2                  | 532                | 0%    | Weighted mean differences = −1.76 | −3.23 to −0.28               | .02  |
| Clinical Global Impressions-Severity scores | 2                  | 532                | 0%    | Weighted mean differences = −0.76 | −1.03 to −0.50               | <.00001 |
| Safety                          |                   |                    |       |             |                          |     |
| Discontinuation due to all-cause* | 2                  | 570                | 66%   | Risk ratio  = 0.75 | 0.61 to 0.92               | .007 |
| Discontinuation due to adverse events | 2                | 570                | 0%    | Risk ratio  = 1.99 | 0.49 to 8.07               | .33  |
| Discontinuation due to death     | 2                  | 570                |      | No deaths were reported. |                        |     |
| Discontinuation due to withdrawal consent | 2                | 570                | 0%    | Risk ratio  = 1.07 | 0.65 to 1.76               | .80  |
| At least one adverse event      | 2                  | 570                | 21%   | Risk ratio  = 1.10 | 0.94 to 1.28               | .23  |
| Potential prolactin-related adverse events** * | 2                | 570                | 0%    | Risk ratio  = 4.82 | 1.88 to 12.40              | .001 |
| Somnolence                      | 2                  | 570                | 0%    | Risk ratio  = 1.82 | 0.62 to 5.38               | .28  |
| Insomnia                        | 2                  | 570                | 0%    | Risk ratio  = 1.03 | 0.66 to 1.60               | .91  |
| Mania**                         | 2                  | 570                | 0%    | Risk ratio  = 0.31 | 0.16 to 0.63               | .001 |
| Depression                      | 2                  | 570                | 39%   | Risk ratio  = 1.57 | 0.57 to 4.31               | .38  |
| Use of benzodiazepines****      | 2                  | 570                | 0%    | Risk ratio  = 0.54 | 0.32 to 0.91               | .02  |
| Anxiety                         | 2                  | 570                | 0%    | Risk ratio  = 0.85 | 0.39 to 1.87               | .69  |
| Headache                        | 2                  | 570                | 73%   | Risk ratio  = 0.53 | 0.10 to 2.67               | .44  |
| Diabetes mellitus               | 2                  | 570                | 0%    | Risk ratio  = 3.91 | 0.43 to 35.18              | .22  |
| Weight gain (≥7% increased)***** | 2                | 570                | 0%    | Risk ratio  = 3.80 | 2.00 to 7.21               | <.00001 |

* Adverse events considered to be potentially prolactin-related (such as galactorrhea or libido decreased), as reported by the investigator.

**Number need to harm = −5, P = .006.

****Number need to harm = −14, P = .03.

*****Number need to harm = −17, P = .02.

******Number need to harm = 10, P < .00001.
Discussion

This is the first meta-analysis of RCTs (7 studies, 1016 patients in total) examining the efficacy and safety of LAI-APs for bipolar disorder compared with placebo or oral medications. We found that risperidone-LAI was superior to the placebo in preventing relapse of any mood symptom (primary outcome) as well as for preventing manic symptoms, while relapse rate of depressive symptoms was similar to the placebo. Risperidone-LAI also improved MADRS score compared with the placebo, but the effect size was small (WMD = −1.76). Thus, results of this meta-analysis reveal a significant benefit of risperidone-LAI on symptom relapse, especially manic symptoms, compared with the placebo. Although relapse rate of any mood symptom pooled LAI-APs was similar to that on oral medications, LAI-APs was superior to oral medications in sensitivity analysis considering only studies of rapid cycling or high frequency of relapse patients. This result appears consistent with that of risperidone-LAI vs the placebo. Several studies reported that factors that have been associated with poor adherence include history of rapid cycling, bipolar type I disorder, and greater illness severity (Martinez-Aran et al., 2009; Perlis et al., 2010). Other studies reported that poor adherence to medication has been associated with more manic symptoms (Sylvia et al., 2014) and a higher rate of recurrence and hospitalization (Hassan and Lage, 2009; Gutierrez-Rojas et al., 2010) but not with depressive symptoms (Sylvia et al., 2014). We considered that LAI-APs might improve medication adherence and possibly reduce relapse in patients with these subtypes of bipolar disorder. However, the total number of patients in the sensitivity analysis was small (169 subjects from 2 RCTs) (Macfadden et al., 2009; Bobo et al., 2011) and one (Bobo et al., 2011) of the 2 RCTs was an open study. Moreover,
Table 4. The Results of Sensitivity Analysis for Relapse Prevention

| Factor                          | Number of Studies | Number of Patients | F   | Risk Ratio | 95% Confidence Interval | P   | Number Needed to Treat | 95% Confidence Interval | P   |
|--------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|-----|------------|--------------------------|-----|------------------------|--------------------------|-----|
| Study duration                 |                  |                    |     |            |                          |     |                        |                          |     |
| Long (≥ 15 months)             | 3                | 342                | 69% | 1.20       | 0.65 to 2.19             | .56 |                        |                          |     |
| Short (< 15 months)            | 3                | 218                | 0%  | 0.61       | 0.46 to 0.81             | .0008 | ns                    |                          |     |
| Blinding                       |                  |                    |     |            |                          |     |                        |                          |     |
| Double blind                   | 2                | 385                | 92% | 0.92       | 0.29 to 2.91             | .89 |                        |                          |     |
| Open                           | 4                | 175                | 0%  | 0.75       | 0.58 to 0.97             | .03 |                        |                          | ns  |
| Comparator                     |                  |                    |     |            |                          |     |                        |                          |     |
| Second generation antipsychotic monotherapy | 3            | 349                | 69% | 1.18       | 0.69 to 2.02             | .55 |                        |                          |     |
| Other oral medications *       | 3                | 211                | 0%  | 0.59       | 0.44 to 0.80             | .0005 | ns                   |                          |     |
| Type of bipolar disorder       |                  |                    |     |            |                          |     |                        |                          |     |
| Rapid cycling or high frequency of relapse patients | 2            | 169                | 0%  | 0.58       | 0.43 to 0.79             | .0004 | 0%                   | -4                      | -7 to -3 | <.0001 |
| Others                         | 4                | 391                | 31% | 1.19       | 0.74 to 1.91             | .48 |                        |                          |     |
| Long-acting injectable antipsychotics |            |                    |     |            |                          |     |                        |                          |     |
| Risperidone long-acting injectable | 5            | 518                | 79% | 0.86       | 0.54 to 1.36             | .51 |                        |                          |     |
| Flupenthixol decanoate         | 1                | 42                 | na  | 1.36       | 0.13 to 13.84            | .80 |                        |                          |     |
| Sample size                    |                  |                    |     |            |                          |     |                        |                          |     |
| Large (total number of patients > 100) | 2            | 385                | 92% | 0.92       | 0.29 to 2.91             | .89 |                        |                          |     |
| Small (total number of patients < 100) | 4            | 175                | 0%  | 0.75       | 0.58 to 0.97             | .03 |                        |                          | ns  |

Abbreviations: na, not applicable; ns, not significant.

*Others: Mood stabilizers, antidepressants, antipsychotic or any combination of these agents
the comparator of these 2 RCTs was not SGA monotherapy, and LAI-APs did not outperform SGA monotherapy regarding relapse rate in sensitivity analysis that included only studies that used SGA monotherapy as the comparator. Therefore, a RCT of LAI-AP vs SGA monotherapy for the treatment of rapid cycling or high frequency of relapse bipolar disorder patients is required. In addition, clinicians need to be aware of potential adverse events induced by risperidone-LAI, such as potential prolactin-related adverse events and weight gain.

There are several limitations to the present analysis. First, we detected significant heterogeneity with respect to the primary outcome in the meta-analysis of LAI-APs vs oral medications, and sensitivity analyses did not identify the source. Additionally, although there were substantial differences in sample size among the studies included, the weighting of each was similar. Second, the total numbers of studies and patients included were relatively small. Since significant heterogeneity was detected, the limited sample size indicates that more research is needed to evaluate both the efficacy and tolerability of LAI-APs. Third, because a funnel plot is generally used only if 10 or more studies are included in the meta-analysis, we did not utilize this plot for exploring potential publication bias.

In conclusion, LAI-APs appear effective for relapse prevention in patients with rapid cycling. However, further RCTs of LAI-AP vs oral SGA using larger sample sizes of rapid cycling patients are needed to definitively assess this potential benefit.
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