Background

The prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus has reached epidemic proportions in India with an estimated 62.4 million people with diabetes and 77.2 million people with prediabetes. Diabetes and obesity are strong risk factors for cardiovascular diseases, the leading cause of premature death in the world. The rising burden of obesity and diabetes poses a direct health risk to India’s population by increasing the risk of cardiovascular diseases and escalating health-care expenditures. Aggressive interventions at the population level are needed to identify those individuals at a higher degree of this metabolic risk.

Adiposity is highly heterogeneous with gender and ethnic differences in body-fat distribution. Epidemiological studies have demonstrated that android pattern obesity often referred to as central obesity is a risk factor for diabetes.

Methodology

This was a community-based comparative cross-sectional study where the anthropometric measures of a representative sample of 171 individuals with glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) in the range for impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) or prediabetes among South Indian population were compared with age- and gender-matched controls with HbA1c in the normal range. The predictive accuracy of the various anthropometric measures of obesity to identify individuals with IGT was estimated using the area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve.

Results

Patients with IGT in both genders had significantly higher BMI, waist circumference (WC), neck circumference (NC), and waist-to-height ratio (WHtR). ROC analysis revealed WHtR in females and NC among males to have the largest area under the curve for predicting IGT. In both genders, WC, WHtR, and NC had better predictive accuracy for prediabetes as compared to BMI and waist-to-hip ratio (WHR).

Conclusion

It is suggested that the WHR and WC are better screening tools for prediabetes in comparison to BMI and WHR among the South Indian population.
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the upper body or central obesity was more frequently associated with insulin resistance syndrome than the gyroid pattern obesity or peripheral obesity.[3] Central obesity has been recognized as an independent risk factor for cardiometabolic diseases and a better predictor of cardiometabolic risk than overall obesity. BMI is a nonspecific measure of obesity, which can misclassify individuals with large lean body mass as overweight or obese. The general recommendation is that hip and waist circumferences (WCs) should be considered jointly for inclusion in CVD risk prediction models and in the assessment of obesity-related risk of future death.[4] Frayn proposed that the link between visceral adiposity and insulin resistance correlates with subcutaneous abdominal adipose tissue accumulation. Subcutaneous fat probably is significant in determining systemic plasma nonesterified fatty acid concentrations, which plays the major role in the pathogenesis of insulin resistance.[5]

McKeigue et al. reported the existence of an insulin resistance syndrome, prevalent in South Asian populations which is associated with a pronounced tendency to central obesity compared to the European population.[6] The primary-care setting in India lacks an optimal screening strategy for type 2 diabetes and metabolic syndrome to guide primary-care providers with decision-making regarding the need for performing a diagnostic test. Screening tools that include additional anthropometric biomarkers might yield better predictive value. So, there is a need for validation of anthropometric measures for abdominal and generalized obesity across different ethnic groups in India. An uncertainty regarding the degree of correlation between various anthropometric measurements of adiposity and prediabetes in the South Indian population is reflected by a paucity of studies. The objective of the current study is to determine whether anthropometric measurements of upper body obesity significantly predict impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) or prediabetic state.

Materials and Methods

Study setting and study population

This study was conducted within the framework of the community-based diabetes detection program, a CSR-funded institutional project. This population-based cross-sectional survey was conducted among residents of seven districts of Kerala and Tamil Nadu. A total of 3197 adults aged above 21 years were screened for diabetes mellitus and hypertension. Screening was done during outreach camps by measurement of glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) levels in capillary fingerstick blood sample using a validated HbA1c test which utilizes the boronate affinity method.[7]

One hundred and seventy one participants with age from 21 to 60 years with HbA1c values in the range for IGT, that is, 5.7–6.4%,[8] and 171 age- and gender-matched individuals with HbA1c in the normal range (<5.7%) were selected for the study from the pool of 3197 volunteers. Participants with active infection, on steroid medications, pregnancy, liver disease, and comorbid metabolic or endocrine disorders, were excluded.

All the study participants underwent anthropometric assessment. Anthropometric measurements were obtained in duplicate according to standardized procedures. The mean of two measurements was taken to the nearest centimeter. WC was measured midpoint half-way between the right iliac crest and the lower costal region, and hip circumference (HC) was measured at the level of the greater trochanters. Neck circumference (NC) was measured between the mid-cervical spine and mid-anterior neck just below the laryngeal prominence. All measurements were done using nonstretchable plastic tape with subjects standing upright.

Statistical analysis

The data were described using mean ± SD for continuous variables and proportions were used for categorical variables. All quantitative variables except HC and waist-to-hip ratio (WHR) were approximately normally distributed. For normally distributed variables, Pearson’s correlation and independent sample’s t-test were used, and for non-normally distributed variables, nonparametric Spearman’s rank correlation and Mann–Whitney U tests were used to assess the associations between anthropometric measurements of obesity with HbA1c category. The predictive accuracy of the various anthropometric measures of obesity to identify individuals with IGT was estimated using the area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed with IBM SPSS Statistics V.25.

Results

The demographic characteristics of the study participants are shown in Table 1. A final sample of 342 study participants comprising 171 cases with IGT and 171 controls with normal blood sugars were included in this study. The case group and control group were comparable with respect to the mean age and gender distribution. A greater proportion of participants in the case group and control group were males. The BMI (body mass index) of the female participants in the study group had significantly greater HC and waist-to-height ratio (WHR). Male participants were more likely to have higher WHRs and higher WC. Mean NC was significantly higher among males.

| Demographic characteristic | Cases n (%) | Controls n (%) | P    |
|----------------------------|------------|----------------|------|
| Gender                     |            |                |      |
| Male                       | 105 (61.4) | 112 (65.5)     | 0.432|
| Female                     | 66 (38.6)  | 59 (34.5)      |      |
| Place                      |            |                |      |
| Kerala                     | 81 (47.4)  | 84 (49.1)      | 0.644|
| Tamil Nadu                 | 90 (52.6)  | 87 (50.9)      |      |
| Age                        |            |                |      |
| Mean±SD                    | 38.22±13.47| 39.84±11.93    | 0.242|

Table 1: Demographic characteristics of the study population


For comparing the anthropometric measures of obesity, the cases and controls were divided into subgroups based on gender. In both genders, we found a statistically significant difference between the cases and controls in the mean values of BMI, WC, NC, and WHtR. Table 2 shows gender-wise distribution of the mean anthropometric measurements of the cases and controls. All circumference measurements were larger among the cases (prediabetics), with WC among females showing the largest mean difference (9.6 cm). Although statistically significant, the differences in neck and HCs between the two groups were relatively smaller.

Table 3 describes the gender-specific Pearson’s correlation coefficients between adiposity measures and the HbA1c levels. All anthropometric measurements except BMI in men and HC in women showed significant positive correlations. The degree of correlation of adiposity measures with HbA1c levels in females were relatively higher than those observed in male participants. Among women, WHtR, WC, and BMI showed moderate positive correlation with HbA1c levels ($r = 0.571$, $r = 0.537$, $r = 0.450$), whereas in men moderate positive correlation was found with WC and WHtR ($r = 0.401$, $r = 0.403$). A weak positive correlation was observed for both NC and WHR in both genders. However, HC had only a negligible positive correlation.

We further examined the predictive ability of these anthropometric measures to identify individuals with IGT Table 4. Among the male participants, NC and WHtR recorded higher area under the ROC curves (0.674, 0.656) than other obesity measures with regards to predicting IGT. The AUC for WC (0.647) was lower than that for NC but higher than that for BMI, HC, and WHR in males. Among all the anthropometric parameters evaluated, WHtR had the highest AUC (0.776) for predicting IGT among females closely followed by WC (0.765). In contrast to the findings among males, female participants had a higher AUC for BMI than NC (0.738 vs. 0.734). WHR was observed to be insignificant in predicting IGT in both genders.

### Discussion

Several lines of evidence suggest that the South Indian population is predisposed to developing insulin resistance marked by a relatively high prevalence of type 2 diabetes and a tendency to truncal obesity.[10–12] The current study underscores the importance of body-fat predominance and its association with insulin resistance among the South Indian population. Furthermore, the results of the study emphasizes the hypothesis that localization of fat in the upper body has an independent effect in decreasing insulin sensitivity.[13]

Our data indicated that the measures of central obesity are stronger risk factors for IGT than general obesity measures. We observed moderate positive correlation with HbA1c levels and higher area under ROC curves in predicting IGT for WC and WHtR than BMI in both genders. These observations corroborate the findings by many investigators that central obesity variables proved to be superior to BMI.[14] However, the measures for central obesity differ between studies with some using WC and others using WHR and HC. Earlier studies have shown WHR to be a strong predictor for IGT and type 2 diabetes.[13–16] In the present study, the authors observed that among the various measures of central obesity, WC was the single most useful predictor of IGT in males and females from South India. This finding is in agreement with many studies showing the relative benefits of WC.[17,18] A study by Zhu et al. based on the NHANES data reported that WC is a better indicator of diabetes risk than BMI and WHR among different populations.[19] Our observations are in accordance with that of meta-analysis by Hollander et al., which reported that adjusted mortality was substantially greater for those with an elevated WC within each of the different BMI categories.[20] Interventional studies have also demonstrated that lifestyle-induced reductions in WC are associated with improvements in risk factors for metabolic syndrome.[21] In a case-control study done by Mamtani et al. in Nagpur,[22] the investigators did not observe any additional benefit of WHR over WC. The findings of the present study are directly in line with the most recently published literature. In the year 2019, Neeland et al. reported that WC was more strongly associated with the absolute amount of intra-abdominal or visceral fat as compared with the WHR.[23] The cross-sectional data of 3572 healthy Chinese adults from the Pinggu Metabolic Disease Study, published in the year 2020, conclude that WC is one of the best indicators for hyperglycemic risk. This study utilized computed tomography scan to estimate visceral fat area and subcutaneous fat for comparison.[24] A gender similarity in the predictive potential of WC in the current study adds further strength to recommendations to favor use of WC for diabetic risk assessment.

A novel aspect of the present study is the finding that WHtR and NC as better predictors of IGT than BMI. Results from
cross-sectional studies done in China and Sri Lanka have shown WHtR as the best indicator for undiagnosed type 2 diabetes and impaired fasting glucose.\textsuperscript{[25,26]} A study carried out in 2018 among young British adults states that the indices directly associated with WC and specifically WHtR, are clinically valuable tools to identify individuals at higher cardiometabolic risk compared to dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry-derived parameters.\textsuperscript{[28]} A meta-analysis by Kodama et al. showed that WHtR had a statistically greater importance than BMI and WHR in prediction of diabetes.\textsuperscript{[29]} A systematic review of 78 prospective and cross-sectional studies indicates that WHtR may be a useful global clinical screening tool for diabetes, with a weighted mean value of 0.5.\textsuperscript{[30]} In the same review, the authors support the public health message “keep your WC to less than half your height.”\textsuperscript{[30]}

In the present study, NC was strongly predictive of IGT among male participants. Anatomically, upper body subcutaneous fat is a unique fat depot located in a separate compartment compared with visceral adipose tissue. A study by Yang et al. concludes that NC surpasses other anthropometric measurements as a powerful marker of both visceral and abdominal subcutaneous fat and significant indicator of insulin resistance.\textsuperscript{[31]} Similar conclusions were reached by many investigators with regard to NC.\textsuperscript{[32,33]} The major limitation of our study was its cross-sectional design. Further prospective research is needed to evaluate the validity and diagnostic thresholds of the anthropometric measures.

**Conclusion**

The present observational study indicates that WC and WHtR are statistically better obesity indicators for prediction of IGT and future diabetes risk than is BMI or WHR. The authors conclude that the use of general obesity measures like BMI as a measure of obesity is insufficient for assessing the risk of diabetes among the South Indian population. The results of the study establish a clear link between central adiposity and the glycated hemoglobin levels. Based on our comparisons, we recommend that primary-care physicians routinely include WC as a risk assessment tool in the evaluation of patients suspected to have prediabetes. Given the high prevalence of diabetes in Kerala and Tamil Nadu, WC and WHtR can be used as simple screening tools for identifying high-risk individuals in these areas.
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