Amitriptyline blocks innate immune responses mediated by toll-like receptor 4 and IL-1 receptor: Preclinical and clinical evidence in osteoarthritis and gout
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Background and Purpose: Osteoarthritis, a major cause of disability in developed countries does not have effective treatment. Activation of TLR4 and innate immune response factors contribute to osteoarthritis progressive cartilage degradation. There are no clinically available TLR4 inhibitors. Interestingly, the antidepressant amitriptyline could block this receptor. Thus, we evaluated amitriptyline anti-TLR4 effects on human osteoarthritis chondrocytes in order to repurpose it as an inhibitor of innate immune response in joint inflammatory pathologies.

Experimental Approach: Using in silico docking analysis, RT-PCR, siRNA, ELISA, proteomics and clinical data mining of drug consumption, we explored the clinical relevance of amitriptyline blockade of TLR4-mediated innate immune responses in human osteoarthritis chondrocytes.

Key Results: Amitriptyline bound TLR4 but not IL-1 receptor. Interestingly, amitriptyline binding to TLR4 inhibited TLR4- and IL-1 receptor-mediated innate immune responses in human osteoarthritis chondrocytes, synoviocytes and osteoblasts cells. Amitriptyline reduced basal innate immune responses and promoted anabolic effects in human osteoarthritis chondrocytes. Supporting its anti-innate immune response effects, amitriptyline down-regulated basal and induced expression of NLRP3, an inflammasome member from IL-1 receptor signalling linked to osteoarthritis and gout pathologies. Accordingly, mining of dissociated and aggregated drug consumption...
data from 107,172 elderly patients (>65 years) revealed that amitriptyline consumption was significantly associated with lower colchicine consumption associated with inflammatory gout flare treatment.

**Conclusion and Implications:** Amitriptyline blocks TLR4-, IL-1 receptor and NLRP3-dependent innate immune responses. This together with clinical data amitriptyline could be repurposed for systemic or local innate immune response management in diverse joint inflammatory pathologies.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

The rising prevalence of musculoskeletal pathologies in modern societies is causing detrimental effects on our social welfare. Particularly alarming is the higher incidence of osteoporosis, gout, rheumatoid arthritis and osteoarthritis, the responsible for most disability cases (Gómez et al., 2014). Osteoarthritis pathogenesis is characterised by the progressive degradation of the articular cartilage that alters surrounding tissues including subchondral bone, synovium and tendons. Altogether, these disturbances lead to pain, disability and joint failure (Gómez et al., 2014). The absence of efficient osteoarthritis treatments reaching the clinic is a burden for the health system, which is a critical matter considering that novel drug discovery is a long unguaranteed process. Therefore, the search for new properties in currently approved safe drugs is a cost-effective and time-saving strategy (Parvathaneni et al., 2019).

Osteoarthritis is a heterogeneous musculoskeletal pathology, hence its development has been linked to risk factors such as female sex, specific genetic profiles, ageing, joint mechanical stress, certain metabolic disorders and also inflammation (Gómez et al., 2014). Interestingly, osteoarthritis-associated inflammation has been linked to the promotion of innate immune response via different mechanisms including the activation of toll-like receptors (TLRs). TLRs are members of a highly conserved family of receptors that recognise either pathogen or damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs), which are host-derived molecules released as a response to tissue stress and injury (Alonso-Pérez et al., 2018; Gómez et al., 2014). Among TLRs, the toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) has been largely involved in neuroinflammation (Bruno et al., 2018), lung injury (Togbe et al., 2007) and osteoarthritis pathogenesis (Alonso-Pérez et al., 2018; Gómez et al., 2014).

TLR4 is involved in the production of innate immune factors that boost synovitis, cartilage degradation and osteoarthritis joint inflammation (Gómez et al., 2014). Among others, these factors include NO (Clancy et al., 2004), cytokines like IL-1β and IL-6 (Gómez et al., 2014), adipokines such as visfatin/nicotinamide phosphoribosyl transferase (NAMPT) (Franco-Trepat et al., 2019) and lipocalin 2, and catabolic factors like MMP3 (Tong et al., 2017) and MMP13 (Wang et al., 2013). Interestingly, TLR4 downstream signalling is partially shared with the IL-1 receptor type I (Lolarro et al., 2010), the innate immune response receptor of IL-1β, that is involved in osteoarthritis pathophysiology (Vincent, 2019). This receptor is involved in multiple arthritides, and its blockade is used to treat rheumatoid arthritis (Mertens & Singh, 2009), gout (Pascart & Richette, 2017) and crystal-induced arthritis (Aouba et al., 2015). Inflammatory in vitro models have been successful to unveil potential TLR4 inhibitory agents such as resatorvid (TAK-242; Hussey et al., 2012) or enexasogaol (96-shogaol; Villalvilla et al., 2014). Nevertheless, a clinical viable TLR4 inhibitor for arthritides like osteoarthritis has yet to be found.
Therefore, targeting TLR4 is an interesting approach for drug repurposing (Gómez et al., 2014).

**Amitriptyline** is a synthetic tricyclic compound prescribed to treat depression (Couch & Amitriptyline Versus Placebo Study Group, 2011) that was first approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 1961 under the name Elavil. Later uses addressed the treatment of anxiety (Kelly, 1973), migraine (Couch & Amitriptyline Versus Placebo Study Group, 2011), insomnia (Saddichha, 2010), autism (Hellings et al., 2017) and fibromyalgia (Rico-Villademoros et al., 2015). Interestingly, it was reported that amitriptyline bound and blocked the TLR4 receptor of mouse microglial cells (Hutchinson et al., 2010). Accordingly, amitriptyline repurposing could be useful to control TLR4-mediated innate immune responses in joint inflammatory pathologies like osteoarthritis.

In the present study, we investigated whether amitriptyline blocked TLR4- and osteoarthritis-associated innate immune responses. We demonstrated in different articular cells the ability of amitriptyline to block TLR4 and IL-1 receptor signalling and their downstream innate immune responses. Moreover, amitriptyline anti-innate immune response effects were confirmed by clinical data mining of gout patients treated with amitriptyline, suggesting that amitriptyline could be repurposed to treat innate immune response in diverse joint pathologies.

## METHODS

### 2.1 Cell isolation and culture

Tissue samples were obtained from osteoarthritis patients who had undergone total knee replacement surgery, and synovial liquid was removed via joint aspiration under local anaesthetic from eight osteoarthritis and eight gout patients. All patients signed an informed consent approved by the Santiago Hospital Ethics Committee (CAEIG-arthritis and eight gout patients). All patients were treated with TLR4 activator LPS (E. coli 026:B6; Merk Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) and IL-1 receptor activator IL-1β (Merk Sigma-Aldrich, Germany), or alternatively, synovial liquid (10% v/v) isolated from patients was used to activate inflammatory and catabolic pathways. Then, cell cultures were co-treated with amitriptyline (tebu-bio, France) or TriFECTa NLRP3 siRNA (IDT, USA) (Hannon & Rossi, 2004) for 24 h.

### 2.2 Cell culture treatments

After 18 h of starvation, if needed, a 3-h pretreatment was done with the TLR4 inhibitor CLI-095/TAK-242 (InvivoGen, USA) and culture media were renewed. Cells were stimulated with TLR4 activator LPS (E. coli 026:B6; Merk Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) and IL-1 receptor activator IL-1β (Merk Sigma-Aldrich, Germany), or alternatively, synovial liquid (10% v/v) isolated from patients was used to activate inflammatory and catabolic pathways. Then, cell cultures were co-treated with amitriptyline (tebu-bio, France) or TriFECTa NLRP3 siRNA (IDT, USA) (Hannon & Rossi, 2004) for 24 h.

### 2.3 Biochemical assays

The culture media nitrite accumulation was measured by Griess assay (Merk Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) to indirectly determine NO release, whereas an MTT assay (Merk Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) was performed to determine cell viability as previously described (Villalvilla et al., 2014). Malachite green assay was used to determine total phosphoproteome following the manufacturer’s instructions (Merk Sigma-Aldrich, Germany).

### 2.4 Gene expression (mRNA) assays

To measure gene expression (mRNA), comparative RT-PCR (500 μg) was performed as previously described (Villalvilla et al., 2014) using iTaq Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad, USA) and KiCqStart SYBR Green primers (Merk Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) in a QuantStudio3 thermocycler (Thermo Fisher, USA). TRIzol and EZNA Total RNA Kit I (Omega Bio-Tek, USA) were used to isolate RNA, and High-Capacity RNA-To-cDNA Kit (Thermo Fisher, USA) was used to retrotranscribe 500 μg of RNA.

### 2.5 RNA-mediated gene expression silencing

To transiently silence NLRP3 mRNA, siRNA targeting NLRP3 was administered into 80% confluent murine ATDC5 chondrocytes or human SW982 synoviocytes using specific TriFECTa DsiRNA Kit (IDT, USA). Briefly, cells were plated at a density of 50,000 cells per 24-well plates for 4 h. Cells were washed with Opti-MEM and DMEN F12 (2% FBS) and incubated 60 min with DMEN F12 (2% FBS). Then NLRP3 siRNA (15 nM) composed of an equal mixture of three different oligos (sequence data not shown) was added to the cell culture. After 24 h of siRNA incubation, cells were treated with LPS or IL-1β as required. Both HPRT-S1 Positive Control DsiRNA and Negative Control DsiRNA were used, and depletion of gene-specific mRNA levels was calculated by comparative RT-PCR of NLRP3 and HPRT1 expression levels.
2.6 | Protein expression assays

Proteins were isolated using RIPA 1 × buffer (Merck, USA) with HALT protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Thermo Fisher, USA) and quantified using BSA Pierce Bradford assay (Thermo Fisher, USA). Human IL-6 and IL-1β were measured by ELISA in cell culture supernatants (EliKine™ ELISA Kit; Abbkine, USA). Absorbances were determined using a Multiskan EX (Helsinki, Finland).

Cellular proteome and secretome (supporting information) from human osteoarthritis chondrocytes co-treated with amitriptyline and LPS (100 ng·mL−1) or IL-1β (0.1 ng·mL−1) for 24 h were studied by micro-LC-MS/MS using a hybrid quadrupole TOF/TripleTOF 6600 (Sciex, USA). Both proteomes were identified by the qualitative shotgun data-dependent acquisition (DDA) method (Cousoelo-Seijas et al., 2019; Shilov et al., 2007), and protein levels were measured by the quantitative sequential window acquisition of all theoretical mass spectra (SWATH) method (del Pilar Chantada-Vázquez et al., 2020; Shilov et al., 2007). A 5% false discovery rate (FDR) and P ≤ 0.05 were used to filter the datasets (Shilov et al., 2007). FunRich software (Pathan et al., 2017) was used to determine the proteome enrichment in immune-related categories.

2.7 | Docking analysis

Ligand structures amitriptyline (TP0/3APV), LPS and IL-1β were obtained from PubChem, and crystallographic docking analysis on TLR4 (3FXI.pdb) and IL-1 receptor (1ITB.pdb) structures RCSB PDB bank (Burley et al., 2019) was performed with ‘1-Click-Docking’ (mCule Inc) and ‘AutoDock Vina’ (Trott & Olson, 2010). Potential docking positions or poses were identified within the extracellular active domains of TLR4 (B. S. Park et al., 2009) (grid box: x = 17, y = −27 and z = −35) and IL-1 receptor (Thomas et al., 2012) (grid box: x = 56, y = 27 and z = 34). The highest binding affinity pose was determined by the lowest, most negative, docking score. Docking scores ≥ −2 kcal·mol−1 were described as null docking.

2.8 | Materials

Materials used in cell culture include DMEM F12 (Ref. D8437-6X500ML; Merk Sigma-Aldrich, Germany), FBS (Ref. F7524-500ML; Merk Sigma-Aldrich, Germany), trypsin (Ref. T3924-100ML; Merk Sigma-Aldrich, Germany), l-glutamine (Ref. G7513-100ML; Merk Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) and penicillin-streptomycin (Ref. P4458-100ML; Merk Sigma-Aldrich, Germany). Furthermore, primary human osteoarthritis chondrocytes and osteoblasts (Clinical Hospital of Santiago [CHUS]; Santiago Ethics Committee—CAEIG-2016/258), murine chondrogenic ATDC5 (RCB Cat# RCB0565; RRID:CVCL_3894) and human synovial sarcoma SW982 (ATCC Cat# HTB-93; RRID: CVCL_1734) were used. Additionally, pronase (Ref. 14379324; Merk Sigma-Aldrich, Germany), collagenase P (Ref. 34598926; MERK Sigma-Aldrich, Germany), type I-S testicular bovine hyaluronidase (H3506-500MG; Merk Sigma-Aldrich, Germany), CLI-095 (Ref. tlrl-cl95; InvivoGen, USA), LPS Escherichia coli 026:B6 (Ref. L2654-1MG; Merk Sigma-Aldrich, Germany), IL-1β (Ref. H6291-10UG; Merk Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) and amitriptyline (Ref. BCBQ4634V; Merk Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) were employed.

Materials used in biochemical assays include Griess assay (Ref. MAK367; Merk Sigma-Aldrich, Germany), MTT assay (Ref. CGD1-1KT; Merk Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) and malachite green assay (Ref. M689; Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA).

Materials used in gene expression (mRNA) assays include iTaq Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Ref. 172-5125; Bio-Rad, USA), KicStart SYBR Green primers (Ref. KSPQ12012G; Merk Sigma-Aldrich, Germany), TRIzol Total RNA Kit I (Ref. R6834-02; Omega Bio-Tek, USA) and High-Capacity RNA-To-cDNA Kit (Ref. 773886; Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA).

Materials used in RNA-mediated gene expression silencing include TriFECtA NLR family pyrin domain-containing 3 (NLRP3) siRNA (mmRi Nirp3.13 and hsRi Nirp3.13; IDT, USA) and Opti-MEM I (Ref. 212767; Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA).

Materials used in protein expression assays include RIPA 1 × buffer (Ref. 20-188; Merck, USA), HALT protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Ref. 78442; Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA), BSA Pierce Bradford assay (Ref. 23200; Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA), EliKine™ Human IL-6 ELISA Kit (Ref. 311KET6017-96T; Abbkine, USA) and EliKine™ Human IL-1β ELISA Kit (Ref. 311KET6013-96T; Abbkine, USA).

2.9 | Experimental design and statistical analysis

The data and statistical analysis comply with the recommendations of the British Journal of Pharmacology on experimental design and analysis in pharmacology (Curtis et al., 2018). As such, it was determined as a four-condition scheme: control (nontreated and non-stimulated cells), drug (amitriptyline [0.1–1 μM]), inflammatory stimulus (LPS [100 ng·mL−1] or IL-1β [0.1 ng·mL−1]) and drug plus inflammatory stimulus (LPS [100 ng·mL−1] or IL-1β [0.1 ng·mL−1] + amitriptyline [0.1–1 μM]). Randomisation and blinding were not applicable in this experimental set-up.

In specific experiments, amitriptyline was substituted by CLI-095 (1 μM) or NLRP3 siRNA (15 nM). Concentrations were selected for LPS and IL-1β based on previous reports (Villalvilla et al., 2016), whereas amitriptyline concentrations overlapped the serum concentrations observed in amitriptyline-treated patients (Shimoda et al., 1997).

In terms of sample size, we established a group size (n) of 6 independent biological replicates, each one with 3 dependent technical replicas to all determinations for all cell types. Remarkably, each independent biological replica for human osteoarthritis chondrocyte come from a different patient. Nonetheless, the availability of primary cells is scarce and proteomic-based experiments such as MALDI/TOFF method, for either DDA or SWATH, required about ten times more cells to perform reliable determinations for each condition studied. Accordingly, we used primary cultures from cartilage samples.
that were big enough that allowed us to have the required number of cells from the same patient for all the treatments. Considering the scarce number of samples of this size proteomic experiments were limited to 3 patients. With the protein extracts from these primary cultures it was performed an accumulative signal approach that consists in the accumulation of thousands of technical replica runs into different independent output data.

Data were normalised by ‘control’ treatment, displayed as a scatter plot and expressed as the mean ± SEM. Gene expression (mRNA) was analysed by RT-PCR, normalised by housekeeping gene (HPRT), compared using delta cycle threshold (dCt) method and displayed as 2-delta-delta Ct (2-ddCt). Likewise, heat maps and the volcano plot data were transformed into fold change (log2) in order to be properly displayed.

In terms of statistical significance and tests, we applied the New England Journal of Medicine (NEJM) statistical significance method of representation (P <0.05) in the whole manuscript. Normality test Shapiro–Wilk and Kolmogorov–Smirnov was used to determine data distribution and decided whether to proceed with parametric or non-parametric tests. Data originated from experiments using human samples such as primary human osteoarthritis chondrocytes, osteoblasts and synovial liquids were analysed by non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis for multiple comparison or Mann–Whitney test for individual comparison if needed. Otherwise, data were analysed by parametric one-way ANOVA for multiple comparison or unpaired t-test Welch’s hypothesis testing. Nonetheless, we only proceeded to multiple comparison if F value was statistical significance (P<0.05).

Similarly, multiple comparison in parametric One Way-ANOVA was performed by comparing the mean of each column with the mean of every other column and corrected using the recommended Tukey hypothesis testing. Nonetheless, we only proceeded to multiple comparison if F value was statistical significance (P<0.05).

Furthermore, statistical analysis of gene expression (mRNA) was done using the dCt values in order to consider the variability of all treatments including ‘control’. To analyse dissociated and aggregated clinical data of drug consumption for colchicine (0.5–1 mg) and amitriptyline (10–75 mg; 27.4 mg average dose) mined for 5 years from 107,172 elderly patients of Santiago de Compostela (Spain) healthcare area, Fisher contingency and Spearman correlation tests were used.

### 3 | RESULTS

#### 3.1 | Amitriptyline has a high binding affinity towards TLR4

The TLR4 complex is a dimeric receptor coupled with accessory molecule myeloid differentiation factor 2 (lymphocyte antigen 96/MD-2) that presents extracellular domain pockets for agonist LPS to dock and start the innate immune response signal transduction (B. S. Park et al., 2009). An in silico docking analysis was performed to assess whether amitriptyline could bind to the same extracellular domain pockets for LPS, as previously suggested (Hutchinson et al., 2010). Interestingly, amitriptyline exhibited (Figure 1a) a stronger binding affinity (–6.03 ± 0.06 kcal·mol⁻¹) than LPS (–4.53 ± 0.01 kcal·mol⁻¹) to the same pockets (Figure 1b).

#### 3.2 | Amitriptyline blocked TLR4-mediated innate immune response factors in human osteoarthritis chondrocytes

To validate the in silico analysis, we studied the effects of amitriptyline on TLR4-induced innate immune response factors. We selected amitriptyline concentrations (0.1, 0.5 and 1 μM) to mimic its serum concentrations (0.033–1.130 μM) found in patients treated with amitriptyline (Shimoda et al., 1997). We used the TLR4 agonist LPS (100 ng·ml⁻¹) to activate the TLR4 receptor in mouse chondrocytes as well as in human osteoarthritis chondrocytes. Interestingly, 24-h cotreatment with amitriptyline reduced nitrite accumulation in the cell supernatant of mouse chondrocytes without any cytotoxic effect (Figure S1A,B). This was consistent with the reduced gene expression of the inducible NOS (iNOS) gene, NOS2 (Figure S1C). Similarly, amitriptyline inhibitory effects were observed in human osteoarthritis chondrocytes (Figure 1c,d). Moreover, in these cells, amitriptyline significantly reduced the gene expression of other TLR4-induced factors (Figure 1e–n) such as IL-6, lipocalin 2, monocyte chemoattractant protein 1 (MCP1/CCL2), COX2 and NAMPT, as well as osteoarthritis catabolic factors induced by TLR4 like MMP1, MMP3, MMP9, MMP13 and a disintegrin and metalloproteinase with thrombospondin motifs 4 (ADAMTS4). These effects were also observed on ATDC5 cells (Figure S1D–I).

#### 3.3 | Amitriptyline inhibits TLR4-mediated proteomic changes in human osteoarthritis chondrocytes

Amitriptyline blockade of TLR4-activation was further explored in human osteoarthritis chondrocyte cellular proteome and secretome (Figure 2a). Proteins from the qualitative proteomic analysis were clustered and categorised by molecular function (Figure 2b). Interestingly, amitriptyline depleted TLR4-enriched categories such as ‘cytokine release’ and ‘metallopeptidase’ (Figure 2b). Quantitative cellular proteomic...
**FIGURE 1** Amitriptyline (AT) effects on TLR4-mediated innate immune response factors. All experiments were independent, normalised by control and expressed as the mean ± SEM and statistically analysed by non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test coupled with Dunn’s post-test (*p* < 0.05). (a) Best docking score (−6.0 kcal·mol⁻¹) for amitriptyline (white) into the TLR4 extracellular domain. The potential pocket from TLR4 dimer (green and yellow) uses protein sequence positions (154th to 208th) next to accessory protein myeloid differentiation factor 2/lymphocyte antigen 96 (MD-2; red and blue). (b) Best docking score (−4.5 kcal·mol⁻¹) for LPS (white) into the TLR4 extracellular domain. The potential pocket from TLR4 dimer (green and yellow) uses protein sequence positions (154th to 208th) next to accessory protein MD-2 (red and blue). (c–n) MTT cell viability assay and mRNA expression (RT-PCR) innate immune response factors were determined in TLR4-activated (LPS [100 ng·ml⁻¹]) human osteoarthritis (OA) chondrocytes (hOCS) cotreated with AT (0.1, 0.5 and 1.0 μM) for 24 h (n = 6).
Amitriptyline (AT) reverts TLR4-mediated proteomic changes in human osteoarthritis (OA) chondrocytes (hOCs). All experiments were independent, normalised by control and expressed as the mean ± SEM and statistically analysed by non-parametric Krustal-Wallis test coupled with Dunn’s post-test (* \( P < 0.05 \)). hOCs were stimulated by LPS (100 ng ml\(^{-1}\)) and cotreated with AT (1 \( \mu M \)) for 24 h. (a) Diagram of TLR4 signalling inhibition and proteome number identification in qualitative analysis (DDA) data \((n = 3)\). (b) Qualitative data (DDA) clustering into molecular function categories from TLR4-activated hOC proteome \((n = 3)\). (c) Quantitative data (SWATH) shown as a volcano plot (\( P \) value vs. fold change) of protein expression changes by AT in TLR4-activated hOCs \((n = 3)\). (d) Quantitative data (SWATH) pathway enrichment analysis of AT effects on TLR4-activated hOCs \((n = 3)\). (e) Quantitative secretome data (DDA) pathway enrichment analysis of AT effects on TLR4-activated hOCs \((n = 3)\). (f) Secreted levels of protein IL-6 (pg ml\(^{-1}\)) (ELISA) and relative OD of malachite green total phosphoproteome \((n = 3)\). (g) Diagram of TLR4 and IL-1 receptor\(^{\beta}\) crosstalk in the context of CLI-095 inhibition in hOCs. (h) MTT cell viability assay and innate immune response factor mRNA expression (RT-PCR) were determined in hOCs pretreated with CLI-095 (1 \( \mu M \)) for 3 h before TLR4 or IL-1R (IL-1\(\beta\) [0.1 ng ml\(^{-1}\)]) activation for 24 h \((n = 6)\)
proteomic analysis revealed significant down-regulation of multiple key proteins including vascular cell adhesion protein 1 (VCAM1), MMP3 and iNOS (Figure 2c). Principal component analysis confirmed consistent differences between treatments (Figure S1K). Pathway enrichment analysis showed that amitriptyline significantly reverted TLR4-enriched innate immune response pathways (Figure 2d), including TLR4-related categories like ‘activated TLR4 signalling’, ‘cytokine signalling in immune system’ and ‘innate immune system’ (Figure 2d). Interestingly, amitriptyline also depleted TLR4-enriched pathways like ‘IL-1 signalling’ and ‘The NLRP3 inflammasome’ (Figure 2d), which are key pathways involved in diverse articular inflammatory processes, such as microcrystals-induced IL-1β secretion (Aouba et al., 2015; Mangan et al., 2018; Szekanecz et al., 2019; Zamyatina & Heine, 2020) (Figure 2d).

According to amitriptyline effects on the cellular proteome, pathway enrichment analysis on secretome data showed that amitriptyline also depleted TLR4-enriched catabolic and inflammatory pathways (Figure 2e). In fact, protein expression of secreted IL-6, a TLR4-induced protein from these pathways, was reduced by amitriptyline in human osteoarthritis chondrocytes (Figure 2f). Amitriptyline also reverted the TLR4-enriched ratio between phospholase and phosphatase categories (Figure 2b), which was confirmed by the cellular phosphoproteome of human osteoarthrits chondrocytes and mouse chondrocytes (Figures 2f and S1J).

### 3.4 | TLR4 inhibition blocked TLR4- and IL-1 receptor-mediated innate immune responses in human osteoarthritis chondrocytes

TLR4 antagonism has shown to block IL-1 receptor-driven arthritis in animal models (Abdollahi-Roodsaz et al., 2007). Thus, considering that the amitriptyline antagonism of TLR4 depleted IL-1-related pathways (Figure 2d,e), we determined whether TLR4 inhibition could block IL-1 receptor-mediated innate immune response (Figure 2g). To do so, we pretreated chondrocytes for 3 h with the specific TLR4 inhibitor CLI-095 (1 μM) before the TLR4 activation by LPS (100 ng/ml) and IL-1 receptor activation by IL-1β (0.1 ng/ml) for 24 h (Figure 2g). Interestingly, specific TLR4 inhibition blocked both TLR4- and IL-1 receptor–mediated innate immune responses in human osteoarthrits chondrocytes (Figure 2h) and mouse chondrocytes (Figure S1L–Q) without any toxic effect.

### 3.5 | Amitriptyline does not bind IL-1 receptor but blocks IL-1 receptor-mediated innate immune response factors in human osteoarthritis chondrocytes

To exclude a hypothetical binding of amitriptyline to IL-1 receptor, we analysed their binding affinity (Figure 3a) and found it negligible (−0.1 kcal mol⁻¹) and far from the canonical agonist IL-1β (−172 kcal mol⁻¹) (Figure 3b). To test whether amitriptyline might block IL-1 receptor-mediated innate immune response without binding to IL-1 receptor, human osteoarthritis chondrocytes and ATDC5 cells were stimulated with IL-1β (0.1 ng·ml⁻¹) and amitriptyline. All the studied IL-1β-induced innate immune response factors, iNOS, IL-6, lipocalin 2, MCP1, COX2, NAMPT, MMP1, MMP3, MMP9, MMP13 and ADAMTS4, were significantly decreased by amitriptyline without cytotoxic effects (Figures 3c–n and S1R–Z).

### 3.6 | Amitriptyline reduces IL-1 receptor-induced proteomic changes in human osteoarthritis chondrocytes

Amitriptyline blockade of IL-1 receptor-activation was further explored in human osteoarthritis chondrocyte cellular proteome and secretome (Figure 4a). Proteins from the qualitative proteomic analysis were clustered and categorised by molecular function (Figure 4b). Amitriptyline depleted IL-1 receptor–enriched categories such as ‘cytokine release’, ‘defence/immunity protein’ and ‘metalloprotease’ in the cellular secretome, though effects on the cellular proteome were not that evident (Figure 4b). Nonetheless, quantitative cellular proteomic analysis revealed that amitriptyline significantly down-regulated multiple key inflammatory and catabolic proteins including intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM1), MMP1 and MMP3 (Figure 4c). The principal component analysis confirmed consistent clustering among similar treatments (Figure S2A). Moreover, pathway enrichment analysis from cellular proteome and secretome data showed that amitriptyline significantly reverted IL-1 receptor–enriched innate immune response pathways (Figure 4d,e), including ‘activated TLR4 signalling’, ‘IL-1 signalling’ and ‘the NLRP3 inflammasome’ (Figure 4d,e). To further confirm the innate immune response blockade, we found that secreted IL-6 concentration was halved after amitriptyline co-treatment with IL-1β (Figure 4f). Consistent with IL-1 receptor signalling blockade, amitriptyline reduced basal and IL-1 receptor-activated phosphorylation levels in human osteoarthrits chondrocytes and mouse chondrocytes (Figures 4f and S1AA).

Considering that osteoarthritis is a disease of the whole joint, we elucidated whether amitriptyline also exhibited similar anti-inflammatory and anticatabolic effects in other articular cells. Amitriptyline blocked the gene expression of TLR4- and IL-1 receptor–mediated innate immune response factors in SW982 human synovioocytes (Figure S2B–G) and primary human osteoblasts-like cells (Figure S2H–M).

### 3.7 | Amitriptyline blocks basal innate immune response in human osteoarthritis chondrocytes

To expand amitriptyline clinical uses, we investigated whether amitriptyline blocked basal innate immune response in unstimulated human osteoarthrits chondrocytes (Figure S3A). Both TLR4 and NLRP3 pathways were specifically depleted after amitriptyline treatment of these cells (Figure 4g). In fact, biological process analysis of quantitative...
Amiptyline (AT) effects on IL-1 receptor (R)-mediated innate immune response factors. All experiments were independent, normalised by control and expressed as the mean ± SEM and statistically analysed by non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test coupled with Dunn’s post-test (*P < 0.05). (a) Best docking score (−0.1 kcal-mol⁻¹) for amitriptyline (white) into the IL-1 receptor (R) extracellular domain. Amitriptyline does not bind to a pocket but to an energetically unfeasible radical from a protein sequence position (232nd) in IL-1 receptor subunit IL-1 receptor 1 (green) far from subunit IL-1RAP (blue). (b) Best docking score (−172 kcal-mol⁻¹) for IL-1β (yellow) into the IL-1R extracellular domain. The pocket comprises the whole IL-1 receptor subunit IL-1 receptor 1 (green), which is next to subunit IL-1RAP (blue). (c–n) MTT cell viability assay and innate immune response factor mRNA expression (RT-PCR) were determined in IL-1 receptor-activated (IL-1β [0.1 ng ml⁻¹]) human osteoarthritis (OA) chondrocytes (hOCs) cotreated with AT (0.1, 0.5 and 1.0 μM) for 24 h (n = 6)
Amitriptyline (AT) reverts IL-1 receptor (R)-mediated proteomic changes in human OA chondrocytes (hOCs). All experiments were independent, (n = 6) normalised by control and expressed as the mean ± SEM and statistically analysed by non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test coupled with Dunn’s post-test (* P < 0.05). hOCs were stimulated with IL-1β (0.1 ng/ml) and cotreated with AT (1 μM) for 24 h. (a) Diagram of TLR4 signalling inhibition and qualitative data (DDA) protein number identification from IL-1 receptor (R)-activated hOC proteome (n = 3). (b) Qualitative data (DDA) clustering into molecular function categories from IL-1 receptor-activated hOC proteome (n = 3). (c) Quantitative data (SWATH) of protein expression changes by AT shown as a volcano plot (P-value vs. fold change) from IL-1 receptor-activated hOC proteome (n = 3). (d) Quantitative data (SWATH) pathway enrichment analysis of AT effects on IL-1 receptor-activated hOCs (n = 3). (e) Qualitative secretome data (DDA) pathway enrichment analysis of AT effects on IL-1 receptor-activated hOCs (n = 3). (f) Secreted levels of protein IL-6 (pg/ml) (ELISA) and relative OD of malachite green total phosphoproteome (n = 3). (g) Amitriptyline effects on pathway enrichment of non-activated hOCs using quantitative (SWATH) proteome data (n = 3). (h) Quantitative data (SWATH) clustering into biological processes categories from IL-1 receptor-activated hOC proteome (n = 3). (i) On clinical pathology analysis using quantitative data (SWATH) of AT effects on stimulated and non-stimulated hOCs (n = 3)
cellular proteomic data from amitriptyline-treated human osteoarthritis chondrocytes showed that it promoted anabolism and blocked immune response and catabolism (Figure 4h).

These results widened the potential clinical use of amitriptyline in other articular pathologies. In fact, an algorithm that associates proteome signatures to known diseases (Pathan et al., 2017) suggested that amitriptyline might prevent or block processes linked to osteoarthritis, gout and/or osteoporosis (Figure 4i).

3.8 | Amitriptyline blocks NLRP3 inflammasome signalling

Amitriptyline depleted basal and induced NLRP3 signalling, which is involved in osteoarthritis (McAllister et al., 2018) and gout (So & Martinon, 2017) pathogenesis. Accordingly, amitriptyline reduced basal and induced NLRP3 mRNA expression, as well as the downstream expression of IL-1β in human osteoarthritis chondrocytes (Figure 5a–c) and ATDC5 cells (Figure 5d,e). This effect was associated with TLR4 inhibition because CLI-095-mediated TLR4 blockade mimicked these results. Moreover, silencing of NLRP3 mRNA expression was enough to block TLR4- and IL-1 receptor-mediated inflammatory responses without affecting cell vitality (Figures 5f,g and S3B,C). Consistent with this, similar results were obtained in SW982 human synoviocytes. Either basal or induced NLRP3 and IL-1β mRNA expression were reduced by amitriptyline, NLRP3 siRNA and/or CLI-095 with no cytotoxic effects (Figures 5h–k and S3D). Furthermore, in the same human synoviocyte cell line and without cytotoxic effects, treatment with amitriptyline also blocked basal and induced NLRP3 and IL-1β mRNA expression elicited by synovial liquids from osteoarthritis and gout patients (Figure 5l–n).

3.9 | Clinical data mining identifies evidence about amitriptyline anti-innate immune response in gout patients

Mining clinical drug data to study the association between amitriptyline consumption and osteoarthritis innate immune process susceptibility is not possible. In short, osteoarthritis does not have a specific treatment that could be used to track down osteoarthritis patients to test their consumption of amitriptyline. Fortunately, gout patients can be tracked down using colchicine, a treatment for gout flares. Consequently, we analysed dissociated and aggregated clinical data from amitriptyline and colchicine consumption in our healthcare area for 5 years. Among the patients of our healthcare area, the elderly (>65 years) (n = 107,172) had a higher incidence (5%) in colchicine consumption than the rest of the patients (1%). In these elderly patients, the number of colchicine consumers was 37% lower in those taking amitriptyline (Figures 6a and S3E,F). Specifically, amitriptyline intake was negatively associated and significantly correlated to colchicine consumption in a gender-independent manner (Figures 6b and S3G,H).

4 | DISCUSSION

In this work, we have identified that amitriptyline inhibits TLR4- and IL-1 receptor-mediated innate immune responses as a consequence of blocking TLR4 signalling and inhibiting NLRP3 expression in chondrocytes, synoviocytes and osteoblasts (Figure 6c). Furthermore, amitriptyline treatment was linked to lower colchicine consumption in gout patients, underpinning the repurpose to block articular innate immune responses in different musculoskeletal pathologies like osteoarthritis and gout.

The innate immune receptors TLR4 and IL-1 receptor have a key role in several articular pathologies like osteoarthritis (Gómez et al., 2014). The blockade of IL-1 receptor is used to treat rheumatoid arthritis (Mertens & Singh, 2009), gout (Pascart & Richette, 2017) and crystal-induced arthritis (Aouba et al., 2015). Although TLR4 has been proposed as a therapeutic target for osteoarthritis and other arthritides (H. Park et al., 2020), a viable TLR4 inhibitor has yet to be found. Considering this, we determined whether amitriptyline, a drug that binds to TLR4 in mouse microglial cells (Hutchinson et al., 2010), could be repurposed as a TLR4 blocking agent to inhibit articular innate immune responses.

Considering that agonist TLR4 activation is species specific (Oblak & Jerala, 2015), we computationally explored the docking of amitriptyline on human TLR4 and found an even greater binding affinity than docking canonical agonist (LPS). Clinical trials testing novel pharmacological TLR4 inhibitors were not successful enough (Kuzmich et al., 2017), which suggests that amitriptyline could be useful to treat TLR4-related diseases like rheumatic diseases, inflammatory bowel diseases (IBDs) (Dejban et al., 2020) or COVID-19 (Sohn et al., 2020) among others.

To facilitate the translation into the clinic, we confirmed TLR4 inhibition in vitro using amitriptyline serum concentrations (0.033–1.130 μM) found in patients (Shimoda et al., 1997). Therefore, we selected three concentrations that represent the entire range of serum amitriptyline concentrations, 0.1 μM for lower range, 0.5 μM for medium range and 1 μM for highest range. In human osteoarthritis chondrocytes and mouse chondrocytes, amitriptyline in a dose-response manner blocked the gene expression of TLR4-activated innate immune response factors broadly linked to osteoarthritis progression (Krasselt & Baerwald, 2019). This activity was devoid of cytotoxic effects, which further suggests that serum amitriptyline concentrations found in patients might exert relevant anti-inflammatory effects in joint tissues. High-throughput analysis of human osteoarthritis chondrocyte cellular proteome and secretome validated amitriptyline inhibition of TLR4 signalling and confirmed the down-regulation of multiple proteins associated with innate immune-related pathways (Pathan et al., 2017).

Interestingly, proteomic analysis revealed that IL-1 signalling was also inhibited by amitriptyline in TLR4-activated human osteoarthritis chondrocytes. Supporting this, TLR4 and IL-1 receptor are known to be closely related because they share a part of their signalling pathway (Li & Qin, 2005; Martin & Wesche, 2002). In fact, IL-1 receptor activation generates damage-associated molecular patterns that
Amitriptyline (AT) blocks NLRP3 inflammasome. All experiments were independent, normalised by control and expressed as the mean ± SEM and statistically analysed by non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test coupled with Dunn’s post-test (* P<0.05). (a–c) Gene expression (RT-PCR) of NLRP3 and IL-1β mRNA (n = 6) and IL-1β secreted levels (n = 3) were determined in human osteoarthritis (OA) chondrocytes (hOCs) pretreated with CLI-095 (1 μM) for 3 h before TLR4 activation (LPS [100 ng ml⁻¹]) or IL-1 receptor (R) activation (IL-1β [0.1 ng ml⁻¹]) and cotreated with AT (1 μM) for 24 h. (d–g) Gene expression (RT-PCR) of NLRP3 and IL-1β mRNA were determined in ATDC5 chondrocytes pretreated with CLI-095 (1 μM) for 3 h before TLR4 activation (LPS [100 ng ml⁻¹]) or IL-1 receptor (R) activation (IL-1β [0.1 ng ml⁻¹]) and cotreated with AT (1 μM) or NLRP3 siRNA (15 nM) for 24 h (n = 6). (h–k) Gene expression (RT-PCR) of NLRP3 and IL-1β mRNA were determined in human SW982 synoviocytes pretreated with CLI-095 (1 μM) for 3 h before TLR4 activation (LPS [100 ng ml⁻¹]) or IL-1 receptor activation (IL-1β [0.1 ng ml⁻¹]) and cotreated with AT (1 μM) or NLRP3 siRNA (15 nM) for 24 h (n = 6). (l–n) MTT cell viability assay and gene expression (RT-PCR) of NLRP3 and IL-1β mRNA were determined in human SW982 synoviocytes stimulated with OA (n = 6) or gout (n = 4) synovial liquid (10% v/v) and cotreated with AT (1 μM) for 24 h. Results from 5M were also statistically analysed by non-parametric Mann-Whitney test (* P<0.05)
activate TLR4 (Gómez et al., 2014), and TLR4 antagonism has shown to block IL-1 receptor-driven arthritis in animal models (Abdollahi-Roodsaz et al., 2007). Consistent with this, we found that specific inhibition of TLR4 by CLI-095 or amitriptyline in IL-1 receptor-activated human osteoarthritis chondrocytes blocked IL-1 receptor-induced innate immune response factors related to
osteoarthritis progression (Krasselt & Baerwald, 2019). These data, together with the null binding affinity of amitriptyline towards IL-1 receptor, revealed that TLR4 activation is required for full IL-1 receptor signalling and suggest a mechanism connecting both receptors. Accordingly, amitriptyline inhibitory effects on TLR4- and IL-1 receptor-activated human osteoarthritis chondrocytes were akin.

It is noteworthy that amitriptyline dual effect to inhibit TLR4- and IL-1 receptor-activated innate immune responses was also identified in human synoviocytes and osteoblasts. This finding not only excludes a tissue-specific connection between TLR4 and IL-1 receptor but also stiffens the potential repurposing of amitriptyline to cope with innate immune responses across the whole joint.

To gain further insight into the potential connection between TLR4 and IL-1 receptor, we investigated the effects of amitriptyline on unstimulated human osteoarthritis chondrocytes. Proteomic analysis revealed that amitriptyline promoted anabolic changes and blocked basal TLR4 and NLRP3 signalling. This suggests that amitriptyline repurposing might be used as a preventive and therapeutic tool against articular innate immune response. Interestingly, blockade of TLR4 and NLRP3 signalling was a common denominator of amitriptyline effects.

It is well known that NLRP3 signalling is linked to innate immunity activation (Demidowich et al., 2016), including TLR4 and IL-1 receptor signalling in osteoarthritis (Qing et al., 2013) and gout (Mangan et al., 2018; Szekeanez et al., 2019). Consistent with this, we found that amitriptyline and/or CLI-095 inhibition of TLR4 down-regulated basal and inducible NLRP3 expression in osteoarthritis chondrocytes, osteoblasts and synoviocytes. Interestingly, this down-regulation may be responsible for the anti-inflammatory effects of TLR4 blockade because NLRP3 silencing was enough to reproduce amitriptyline inhibitory effects on TLR4- and IL-1 receptor-activated chondrocytes and synoviocytes. This idea was further underpinned in a more clinical context (osteoarthritis and gout) after amitriptyline reduced in synoviocytes the expression of NLRP3 and IL-1β induced by the synovial liquids from osteoarthritis and gout and patients. In agreement with this, NLRP3 modulation has been considered a promising therapeutic approach for diseases like gout (Mangan et al., 2018; Szekeanez et al., 2019).

Evaluating amitriptyline effects in vitro could be considered a limitation of this study. However, to compensate for this, all the experiments were performed in primary human cells from osteoarthritis patients using amitriptyline concentrations within the serum concentrations observed in amitriptyline-treated patients. In addition, logistic and ethical limitations blocked the clinical evaluation of amitriptyline effects in a big cohort of patients with joint inflammatory diseases. Nonetheless, these limitations were overcome, by the mining of dissociated and aggregated drug consumption data from our healthcare area, which allowed us to track down amitriptyline-treated patients, as well as gout patients through their colchicine consumption. Underpinning the clinical relevance of amitriptyline blockade of TLR4/NLRP3 axis, amitriptyline intake significantly reduced colchicine consumption in a dose-dependent manner. This suggests that amitriptyline action could reduce gout flares. Although these clinical data do not demonstrate causality, namely, the mechanism might be TLR4 independent, they strongly support amitriptyline repurposing to manage inflammation across diverse musculoskeletal pathologies like gout and osteoarthritis.

5 | CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we present evidence supporting amitriptyline anti-inflammatory and anticytoblastic properties. We demonstrate that amitriptyline blockade of TLR4 signalling involves IL-1 receptor and NLRP3 signalling inhibition. Interestingly, amitriptyline down-regulation of NLRP3 expression could be responsible for amitriptyline anti-inflammatory effects. Moreover, we show clinical evidence that amitriptyline consumption is associated with lower colchicine intake, which suggests an amitriptyline blockade of inflammatory gout flares.

Altogether, we present a solid amount of evidence supporting local or systemic amitriptyline repurposing to block articular innate immune responses.
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