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Abstract
Four new monoterpenoid indole alkaloids, bousmekines A-D (1–4), and one new pyranopyridine alkaloid, bousmekine E (5), were isolated from the twigs and leaves of Bousigonia angustifolia and Bousigonia mekongensis. Their structures including absolute configurations were elucidated by a combination of MS, NMR, ECD calculation, and single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis. Compound 2 was an eburnea-type MIAs characterized by a rare chlorine atom while 5 possessed a novel pyranopyridine moiety. Their cytotoxicities against several human cancer cell lines were evaluated and compound 1 exhibited significant cytotoxicity with IC50 values of 0.8–7.4 μM.
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1 Introduction
Monoterpenoid indole alkaloids (MIAs), constituting a large family of secondary metabolites, are mainly distributed in plants of Apocynaceae family [1–3]. MIAs exhibited intriguing biological effects, such as anticancer [4], antibacterial [5], and lysosome generating activities [6]. Due to their structural complexity and biological diversity, MIAs have long been attractive objects by chemists and pharmacologists [1]. The genus Bousigonia (Apocynaceae family) comprises only two species, B. angustifolia and B. mekongensis, both
of them are distributed in southwestern China [7]. Previous chemical studies on this genus have resulted in the identification of more than 100 alkaloids, primarily consisted of aspidosperma, eburnea, and aspidosperma-eburnea type MIAs [8–12]. In order to further investigation on novel and bioactive MIAs and proffer new vision into the constitutions of the two Bousigonia species, their alkaloidal extracts were investigated and four new MIAs (1–4) and one new pyranopyridine alkaloid (5) was isolated. This paper herein describes the isolation, structural elucidation and the cytotoxicities of the isolates (Fig. 1).

2 Results and Discussion

2.1 Structure Elucidation of the Compounds

Bousmekine A (1) was obtained as an optically active colorless solid, \([\alpha]_{D}^{20} = −22 (c 0.1, \text{MeOH})\). Its molecular formula, \(C_{25}H_{30}N_{2}O_{4}\), was established by HRESIMS ion at \(m/z\) 423.2281 [M + H][+ (calcd 423.2278), corresponding to nine degrees of unsaturation. IR absorptions implied the presence of carbonyl (1676 cm\(^{-1}\)) function.

Detailed analysis of its NMR data (Tables 1 and 2) indicated that compound 1 had a high similarity with that of 3α-acethylobumisonine [13], except for the presence of an additional methoxy (\(\delta_H 3.81; \delta_C 55.5\)) and a nonprotonated quaternary carbon (\(\delta_C 160.0\)). The key HMBC correlations of OMe (\(\delta_H 3.81\)), H-9 (\(\delta_H 7.17, d, J = 8.4 \text{ Hz}\)) and H-10 (\(\delta_H 6.44, dd, J = 8.4, 2.4 \text{ Hz}\)) to C-11 (\(\delta_C 160.0\)) indicated that the methoxy group was located at C-11 (Fig. 2), and thus established the planar structure of 1 as 11-methoxy derivative of 3α-acetylumbisonine. 2D NMR spectra (HSQC, HMBC, and \(^1\)H–\(^1\)H COSY) confirmed the other parts of 1 were the same as that of 3α-acetylumbisonine (Fig. 3). The ROESY correlation of H-3/H-21, and of H-21/H-19a indicated that these protons were co-facial and arbitrarily assigned as \(\alpha\)-oriented. Therefore, the relative configurations of 1 was assigned as (3\(S^*\), 7\(R^*\), 20\(R^*\), 21\(S^*\))-1. The ECD calculation results for (3\(S\), 7\(R\), 20\(R\), 21\(S\))-1 matched well with its experimental ECD spectrum finally established the absolute configuration of 1 (Fig. 4).

Bousmekine B (2) was obtained as an optically active colorless crystal, \([\alpha]_{D}^{20} = −98 (c 0.1, \text{MeOH})\). Its molecular formula was determined to be \(C_{19}H_{21}N_{2}OCl\) by HRESIMS ion at \(m/z\) 329.1414 [M + H][+] (calcd 329.1415), suggesting 10 unsaturation degrees. The \(^1\)C and DEPT spectra suggested that 2 possessed 19 carbons including one methyl, five methylenes, eight methines, and five nonprotonated carbons. The NMR data demonstrated that 2 was an eburnean-type alkaloid similar as meloyunine [14], with exception of an additional methine \((\delta_H 3.92; \delta_C 54.9)\). The abundance ration of 329.1414/331.1388 (3:1) in HRESIMS spectrum indicated the presence of a chlorine atom in 2 (Figure S16,

Fig. 1 Structures of compounds 1–5
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Supporting Information). HMBC correlation of H-14 (\(\delta_H 3.92\)) to C-20 (\(\delta_C 44.3\)) established that the chlorine atom was located at C-14. Finally, the planar structure and relative configuration of 2 was established by 2D NMR spectra (Fig. 2), and its absolute configuration was determined by X-ray single crystal diffraction analysis with Flack parameter = 0.104 (12) (Fig. 5).

Bousmekine C (3) had a molecular formula of C_{19}H_{24}N_{2}O_{2}, as established by HRESIMS ion at \(m/z 313.1912 [M + H]^+\) (calcd 313.1911), corresponding to nine degrees of unsaturation. Detailed analysis of its NMR spectra demonstrated that 3 was structurally related to eburnamine [16]. 2D NMR spectra (HSQC, HMBC, \(^1H-^1H\) COSY, and ROESY) further confirmed the structure of 3 (Fig. 2). The ROESY correlations of H-16/H-19a, H-19a/H-21 indicated these protons took the same orientation and thus established the relative configuration (16R*, 20S*, 21S*)-3. Finally, the absolute configuration of (16R, 20S, 21S)-3 was assigned by the negative Cotton effects at 220 nm [15].

Bousmekine D (4) had the same molecular formula with 3, as established by HRESIMS analysis at \(m/z 313.1908 [M + H]^+\) (calcd 313.1911). Compared its NMR data with 3 indicated that both compounds had the same skeleton. The coupling constant of H-16 (br d, \(J = 4.8\) Hz) demonstrated that H-16 took \(\beta\) orientation and thus compound 4 was assigned as the C-16 epimer of 3. Further 2D NMR spectra

---

Table 1 \(^1H\) NMR spectroscopic data for compounds 1–5 (\(\delta\) in ppm, \(J\) in Hz)

| No | 1\(^a\) | 2\(^a\) | 3\(^b\) | 4\(^b\) | 5\(^c\) |
|----|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 2  |        |        |        |        | 8.40 (1H, s) |
| 3a | 3.96 (1H, m) | 2.43 (1H, m) | 2.94 (1H, d, 11.4) | 3.03 (1H, m) |        |
| 3b |        | 2.53 (1H, dd, 13.8, 1.8) | 3.25 (1H, td, 11.4, 3.6) | 3.44 (1H, td, 12.6, 3.0) |        |
| 4  |        |        |        |        | 4.96 (1H, q, 6.6) |
| 5a | 2.98 (1H, dd, 14.4, 6.6) | 3.25 (1H, dd, 14.4, 7.8) | 3.68 (1H, m) | 3.72 (1H, m) |        |
| 5b | 3.08 (1H, dd, 14.4, 6.6) | 3.41 (1H, m) | 3.88 (1H, m) | 3.86 (1H, m) |        |
| 6a | 2.04 (1H, m) | 2.68 (1H, ddd, 14.4, 7.8, 1.8) | 3.08 (1H, m) | 3.03 (1H, d, 12.0) | 4.78 (1H, dd, 8.4, 3.0) |
| 6b | 1.98 (1H, m) | 2.88 (1H, ddd, 14.4, 7.8, 1.8) | 3.08 (1H, m) | 3.13 (1H, m) |        |
| 7a |        |        |        |        | 2.78 (1H, dd, 16.8, 8.4) |
| 7b |        |        |        |        | 2.91 (1H, dd, 16.8, 3.0) |
| 9  | 7.17 (1H, d, 8.4) | 7.48 (1H, d, 7.8) | 7.47 (1H, d, 8.4) | 7.47 (1H, d, 8.4) | 7.10 (1H, d, 4.8) |
| 10 | 6.44 (1H, d, 8.4, 2.4) | 7.14 (1H, t, 7.8) | 7.19 (1H, t, 8.4) | 7.20 (1H, t, 8.4) | 8.33 (1H, d, 4.8) |
| 11 | 7.20 (1H, t, 7.8) | 7.13 (1H, t, 8.4) | 7.11 (1H, t, 8.4) | 1.59 (3H, d, 6.6) |
| 12 | 6.42 (1H, d, 2.4) | 7.38 (1H, d, 7.8) | 7.74 (1H, d, 8.4) | 7.50 (1H, d, 8.4) |        |
| 14a| 5.82 (1H, dd, 10.2, 4.8) | 3.92 (1H, m) | 1.44 (1H, d, 13.8) | 1.52 (1H, m) |        |
| 14b|        | 2.47 (1H, m) | 2.48 (1H, m) |        |        |
| 15a| 5.74 (1H, d, 4.8) | 3.91 (1H, m) | 1.08 (1H, td, 13.8, 3.6) | 2.00 (1H, td, 14.4, 3.6) |        |
| 15b|        |        | 1.52 (1H, d, 13.8) | 1.52 (1H, d, 14.4) |        |
| 16 | 5.98 (1H, d, 5.4) | 5.58 (1H, dd, 9.6, 4.2) | 6.00 (1H, br d, 4.8) |        |        |
| 17a| 2.12 (1H, d, 15.6) | 2.41 (1H, m) | 1.93 (1H, dd, 9.6, 4.2) | 2.08 (1H, m) |        |
| 17b| 2.58 (1H, m) | 2.31 (1H, m) | 2.25 (1H, m) | 2.20 (1H, dd, 13.8, 4.8) |        |
| 18 | 0.65 (3H, t, 7.2) | 1.09 (3H, t, 7.2) | 1.04 (3H, t, 7.2) | 1.02 (3H, t, 7.8) |        |
| 19a| 0.92 (1H, m) | 1.89 (1H, m) | 2.25 (1H, m) | 2.08 (1H, m) |        |
| 19b| 1.03 (1H, m) | 2.34 (1H, m) | 2.35 (1H, m) | 2.55 (1H, m) |        |
| 21a| 3.04 (1H, s) | 4.24 (1H, s) | 4.43 (1H, s) | 4.37 (1H, s) |        |
| 22a| 2.57 (1H, m) |        |        |        |        |
| 22b| 2.78 (1H, dd, 15.6, 8.4) |        |        |        |        |
| 24 | 2.25 (3H, s) |        |        |        |        |
| OCH_{3} | 3.81 (3H, s) |        |        |        | 3.48 (3H, s) |
| COOCH_{3} | 3.77 (3H, s) |        |        |        |        |

\(^a\)600 MHz in CDCl_{3}
\(^b\)600 MHz in CD_{3}OD
\(^c\)600 MHz in (CD_{3})_{2}CO
δHMBC correlations of H-6 (δH 4.78, dd, J = 16.7, 8.4 Hz) to C-3 (δC 135.9) established the linkage of a pyran moiety. Meanwhile, HMBC correlations of H-2 (δH 2.78, dd, J = 16.7, 8.4 Hz) to C-3 (δC 135.9) established the linkage of a pyran moiety. Mean-

Table 2 ¹³C NMR spectroscopic data for compounds 1–5 (δ in ppm)

| No | ¹a | ²a | ³b | ⁴a | ⁵a |
|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 2  | 166.0 | 134.4 | 130.0 | 128.6 | 146.8 |
| 3  | 52.4 | 46.8 | 58.7 | 59.0 | 135.9 |
| 4  | 70.7 | 70.7 |
| 5  | 51.7 | 50.6 | 70.5 | 71.1 |
| 6  | 42.5 | 18.8 | 20.6 | 20.7 | 100.1 |
| 7  | 105.5 | 120.7 | 123.6 | 123.4 | 148.4 |
| 8  | 105.5 | 120.7 | 123.6 | 123.4 | 148.4 |
| 9  | 105.5 | 120.7 | 123.6 | 123.4 | 148.4 |
| 10 | 105.5 | 120.7 | 123.6 | 123.4 | 148.4 |
| 11 | 105.5 | 120.7 | 123.6 | 123.4 | 148.4 |
| 12 | 105.5 | 120.7 | 123.6 | 123.4 | 148.4 |
| 13 | 105.5 | 120.7 | 123.6 | 123.4 | 148.4 |
| 14 | 105.5 | 120.7 | 123.6 | 123.4 | 148.4 |
| 15 | 105.5 | 120.7 | 123.6 | 123.4 | 148.4 |
| 16 | 105.5 | 120.7 | 123.6 | 123.4 | 148.4 |
| 17 | 105.5 | 120.7 | 123.6 | 123.4 | 148.4 |
| 18 | 105.5 | 120.7 | 123.6 | 123.4 | 148.4 |
| 19 | 105.5 | 120.7 | 123.6 | 123.4 | 148.4 |
| 20 | 105.5 | 120.7 | 123.6 | 123.4 | 148.4 |
| 21 | 105.5 | 120.7 | 123.6 | 123.4 | 148.4 |
| 22 | 105.5 | 120.7 | 123.6 | 123.4 | 148.4 |
| 23 | 105.5 | 120.7 | 123.6 | 123.4 | 148.4 |
| 24 | 105.5 | 120.7 | 123.6 | 123.4 | 148.4 |

OCH₃ 55.5 55.9
COOCH₃ 168.9
COOCH₂ 50.9

*150 MHz in CDCl₃
*150 MHz in CD₂OD
*150 MHz in (CD₃)₂CO

(HSQC, HMBC,¹H-¹H COSY, and ROESY) and ECD data analysis confirmed the structure of 4, as shown in Fig. 1.

Bousmekine E (5) was obtained as an optically active colorless solid, [α]D²⁰ − 66 (c 0.1, MeOH). Its molecular formula, C₁₀H₁₃NO₂, was established by HRESIMS analysis at m/z 180.1018 [M + H]+ (calcd 180.1019), corresponding to five degrees of unsaturation. The ¹³C and DEPT spectra showed that 5 comprises 10 carbons, including two methylys, one methylene, five methines and two nonprotonated carbons.

The ¹H-¹H COSY spectrum gave three structural fragments: a (C-4 to C-11), b (C-6 to C-7), and c (C-9 to C-10). HMBC correlations of H-6 (δH 4.78, dd, J = 8.4, 3.0 Hz) to C-4 (δC 70.7), and of H-11 (δH 1.59, d, J = 6.6) and H-7a (δH 2.78, dd, J = 16.7, 8.4 Hz) to C-3 (δC 135.9) established the linkage of a pyran moiety. Meanwhile, HMBC correlations of H-2 (δH 2.78, dd, J = 16.7, 8.4 Hz) to C-3 (δC 135.9) established the linkage of a pyran moiety. Mean-

The ROESY correlation of H-4 and H-6 indicated that both protons took the same orientation and were arbitrarily designated as α-orientation, thus its relative configuration was determined as (4S*,6S*)-5 (Fig. 3). To allocate the absolute configuration of 5, the optical rotation (OR) value of (4S,6S)-5 was calculated using by density functional theory (DFT) method. The calculated [α] value for (4S,6S)-5 was -67, which is close to its experimental value (−66), and thus the absolute configuration of 5 was assigned as (4S,6S).

2.2 Cytotoxic Activity

Five new compounds were evaluated for their cytotoxicities against five human cancer cell lines: HL-60, SW-480, A549, MCF-7, and SMMC-7721 using the MTT method with cis-platin (DDP) and paclitaxel (PXL) as positive controls [17]. Among them, only compound 1 exhibited significant cytotoxicity with IC₅₀ values of 0.8–7.4 μM (Table 3).

3 Experimental

3.1 General Experimental Procedures

NMR spectra were measured via Bruker AV-500 and Avance III 600 MHz, TMS was used as an internal standard. IR spectra were surveyed on a Bio-Rad FTS-135 with KBr pellets. A JASCO P-1020 digital polarimeter was used to get optical rotations, while the ECD spectral data were measured by an Applied Photophysics Chirascan Spectrometer. HRESIMS and ESI were surveyed on Agilent 1290 UPLC/6540 Q-TOF spectrometer. Silica gel (80–100 and 100–200 mesh, Qindao Marine Chemical Inc., China), silica gel H (10–40 μm, Qindao Puke Chemical Inc., China), and Sephadex LH-20 (40–70 μm, Amershams Pharmacia Biotech AB), were used for column chromatography. Semi-preparative HPLC was carried out using a Shimadzu LC-20AT liquid chromatograph equipped with a YMC Triart C18 ExRS (5 μm; 10 × 250 mm) reversed-phase column.

3.2 Plant Material

The twigs and leaves of B. angustifolia and B. mekongensis were collected in Xishuangbanna, Yunnan Province, People’s Republic of China, in July 2018. The samples were identified by Mr. Yu Chen, Kunming Botanical Garden.
3.3 Extraction and Isolation

The dried twigs and leaves of *B. mekongensis* (30.5 kg) were powdered and extracted three times with methanol. The extract was adjusted to 2–3 with hydrochloric acid (5%) and then extracted three times with petroleum ether. The water fraction was basified to pH 9–10 with sodium hydroxide (10%), then extracted with chloroform to get the crude alkaloids. The crude alkaloids (530 g) were separated on a silica gel column (100–200 mesh), and eluted with a gradient of CHCl₃-MeOH (40:1 → 1:1) to yield five fractions (A–E). Fraction D (19.1 g) was purified by a RP-18 column (MeOH/H₂O, 50:50 → 100:0, v/v) to give four subfractions (DI-DIV). Subfraction DII (5.2 g) was purified by a RP-18 column (MeOH/H₂O, 50:50 → 100:0, v/v) and followed by semipreparative HPLC with MeOH/H₂O (75:25, 0.1% Et₂NH) to give 1 (8.0 mg, tᵣ 33.0 min). Subfraction
DIV (4.9 g) was purified by a RP-18 column (MeOH/H₂O, 50:50 → 100:0, v/v) to give four subfractions (DI-DIV). Subfraction DII (8.2 g) was purified by a RP-18 column (MeOH/H₂O, 50:50 → 100:0, v/v) to yield 6 fractions (A-F). Fraction D (30.0 g) was purified by a RP-18 column (MeOH/H₂O, 50:50 → 100:0, v/v) and followed by semipreparative HPLC with MeOH/H₂O (62:38, 0.1% Et₂NH) to afford 2 (12.0 mg, tᵩ 23.5 min).

The dried twigs and leaves of *B. angustifolia* (57.5 kg) were powdered and extracted three times with methanol. The crude alkaloids (730 g) were obtained according to the above method and were separated on a silica gel column (100–200 mesh) by CHCl₃-MeOH (40:1 → 1:1) to yield 6 fractions (A-F). Fraction D (30.0 g) was purified by a RP-18 column (MeOH/H₂O, 50:50 → 100:0, v/v) and followed by semipreparative HPLC with MeOH/H₂O (50:50, 0.1% Et₂NH) to afford 3 (14.4 mg, tᵩ 24.0 min) and 4 (2.5 mg, tᵩ 32.0 min). Subfraction DIV (6.9 g) was purified by a RP-18 column (MeOH/H₂O, 50:50 → 100:0, v/v) and followed by semipreparative HPLC with MeOH/H₂O (62:38, 0.1% Et₂NH) to afford 5 (2.4 mg, tᵩ 28.5 min).

### 3.4 Bousmekine A (1)

Bousmekine A (1): colorless solid; [α]²⁰ D −22 (c 0.1, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ max (log ε): 327 (3.91) nm; ECD (0.0006 M, MeOH) λ max (Δε) 210 (+5.33), 226 (+2.64) 241 (+4.63), 320 (−3.43); IR (KBr) ν max 3441, 2963, 1676, 1617, 1500, 1438, 1263, 1113 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data (CDCl₃, 600 and 150 MHz) see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS m/z 423.2281 [M+H]⁺ (calcd for C₂₅H₂₁N₂O₂Cl, 423.2278).

### 3.5 Bousmekine B (2)

Bousmekine B (2): colorless crystal; [α]²⁰ D −98 (c 0.1, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ max (log ε): 230 (4.27), 272 (3.65) nm; ECD (0.0005 M, MeOH) λ max (Δε) 207 (+2.60), 231 (−17.46); IR (KBr) ν max 3450, 2924, 1658, 1487, 1454, 1334, 1295, 1046 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data (CDCl₃, 600 and 150 MHz) see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS m/z 329.1414 [M+H]⁺ (calcd for C₁₉H₂₁N₂O₂Cl, 329.1415).

### 3.6 Bousmekine C (3)

Bousmekine C (3): colorless solid; [α]²⁰ D −45 (c 0.1, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ max (log ε): 223 (3.90) nm; ECD (0.0008 M, MeOH) λ max (Δε) 200 (+1.15), 221 (−1.47), 234 (+1.24); IR (KBr) ν max 3450, 2923, 1632, 1380, 1326, 1296 1011 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data (CD₂O, 600 and 150 MHz) see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS m/z 313.1912 [M+H]⁺ (calcd for C₁₉H₂₅N₂O₂, 313.1911).

### 3.7 Bousmekine D (4)

Bousmekine D (4): colorless solid; [α]²⁰ D +18 (c 0.1, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ max (log ε): 224 (4.33) nm; ECD (0.0004 M, MeOH) λ max (Δε) 223 (+9.72); IR (KBr) ν max 3418, 2922, 2852, 1724, 1659, 1459, 1384, 1207, 1056 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data (CD₂O, 600 and 150 MHz) see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS m/z 313.1908 [M+H]⁺ (calcd for C₁₉H₂₅N₂O₂, 313.1911).

### 3.8 Bousmekine E (5)

Bousmekine E (5): colorless solid; [α]²⁰ D −66 (c 0.1, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ max (log ε): 259 (3.08) nm; IR (KBr) ν max 3442, 2918, 1736, 1647, 1542, 1467, 1384, 1261, 1048 cm⁻¹; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data (acetone–d₆, 600 and 150 MHz) see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS m/z 180.1018 [M+H]⁺ (calcd for C₁₀H₁₄N₂O₄, 180.1019).

### 3.9 Crystal data for Bousmekine B (2)

Bousmekine B (2): 4(C₁₀H₁₂Cl₂N₂O₂)·C₂H₅O, M = 1361.37, a = 11.9505(3) Å, b = 12.3727(3) Å, c = 22.7041(6) Å, α = 90°, β = 95.5750(10)°, γ = 90°, V = 3341.15(15) Å³, T = 100.(2) K, space group P12₁₁, Z = 2, μ(Cu Kα) = 2.091 mm⁻¹, 73809 reflections measured, 13008 independent reflections (R int = 0.1435). The final R values were 0.0675 (I > 2σ(I)). The final wR(F²) values were 0.1701 (I > 2σ(I)). The final R values were 0.0798 (all data).
The final \( wR(F^2) \) values were 0.1860 (all data). The goodness of fit on \( F^2 \) was 1.030. Flack parameter = 0.104(12). Crystallographic data (excluding structure factor tables) for compound 2 have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center as supplementary publication (deposit number CCDC 2026043). Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge by application to CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB 1EZ, UK [fax: Int.+ 44 (0) (1223) 336 033; e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk].

3.10 Cytotoxicity Assays

Cytotoxicity evaluations were performed according to the previously described protocol [10, 17].

4 Concluding Remarks

In this investigation, four new MIAs (1–4) and one pyranopyridine alkaloid (5) were isolated from the twigs and leaves of two Bousigonia species: B. angustifolia and B. mekongensis. Among them, compound 2 was an eburnea-type MIAs and featured by a rare chlorine atom at C-14, while 5 was characterized by a novel pyranopyridine moiety. Moreover, compound 1 exhibited significant cytotoxicities against several human cancer cell lines with \( IC_{50} \) values of 0.8–7.4 μM. The findings enriched the diversity of secondary metabolites of the Bousigonia genus.
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