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Abstract—In this paper, a grid interface current control strategy is presented for a DC microgrid, which aims to reduce the disturbance from PV generation and the load variation to the main grid without a grid interface converter. The grid interface current is directly controlled by a battery DC-DC converter within the DC microgrid. Based on a comprehensive analysis of the battery DC-DC converter and interface current control, the control system has been mathematically modelled. This enabled two transfer functions to be derived that reflect the dynamic response of the inductor current to the duty cycle variation (inner loop), and the dynamic response of the grid interface current to the inductor current variation (outer loop). Experimental study has been done to assess the effectiveness of the proposed control strategy. The experimental results indicate that the proposed control strategy has a good performance to control the grid interface current without an interface converter, regardless of the variations of both PV and the load conditions.

Index Terms—Battery, DC microgrid, DC-DC converter, photovoltaic (PV) system.

I. INTRODUCTION

DISTRIBUTED generation (DG) plays an important role in modern power systems, which includes renewable energy resources, energy storage systems and innovative types of load, such as electric vehicles. However, without a proper coordinated operation, it will cause a variety of issues to power systems, such as degraded voltage profiles, congestion in the transmission line and a decrease in frequency reserves [1].

To accommodate more DGs in power grids and reduce carbon emission, structured microgrids have been recognized as the key energy infrastructure for future smart energy systems [2]. Microgrid components can be connected through direct current (DC) links or alternating current (AC) links. With the development of power electronics technologies, DC energy systems have gained an increasing research interest in the last few years. DC based energy systems have a natural interface to DC renewable generation, energy storage and DC electronics loads [3]. Compared with conventional AC systems, DC systems have advantages of simpler control, higher reliability and efficiency [4]-[6].

In [7], the effects of a renewable source within the microgrid on LV distribution network operation were investigated. Simulations of daily PV and load profiles were made to analyze fluctuations in utility voltage and line losses. This research given valuable insight into the operation of PV microgrids in weak LV networks. The findings indicated that the utility voltage was impacted by PV and load fluctuations, while line losses in the LV network were also observed. The authors determined that it was essential to utilize an advanced controller for eliminating these fluctuations [7].

In the study conducted in [8], an interleaved interface converter was designed for the purposes of controlling the power flow between a DC microgrid and the DC bus of a main DC grid. The controller was designed to be more effective to prevent PV and load disturbances instead of just tracking the reference provided. In order to ensure that the DC bus voltage remains at a constant level, it is important to ensure that the PV and load variations that are generated within a DC microgrid are managed efficiently. Such variations can lead to a series of voltage variations, which could be transferred to sensitive loads. However, this study requires a DC/DC interleaved converter to act as the interface connecting between the DC microgrid and the main DC grid, which could increase the cost of the system.

The researchers in [9] presented a novel power balancing approach that could be used for low voltage DC microgrids. The study was aimed at extracting the MPP from the PV, while simultaneously mitigating the effects of fluctuations of PV output power on the main AC grid. Additionally, a supervision layer was developed for the purpose of optimizing the usage of the battery energy storage system (BESS), thus compensating for any increase/decrease in voltage caused by the droop controller.

Also, microgrids can significantly benefit via the participation in pricing between supply and demand. As suggested in [10], an aggregator is an organization that collects the power generated by multiple microgrids and then sells that...
in this study, a DC microgrid interface current control strategy is proposed for the DC distribution network to ensure that PV and load disturbances are not transferred to the main DC grid. The proposed interface current control strategy is based on the power converter control for the battery energy storage within the DC microgrid, and no additional interface converter is required between the DC microgrid and the main DC grid. Consequently, the costs of the system can be reduced while still maintaining a similar control performance to that demonstrated in [8].

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: Section II presents the DC microgrid system configuration used in this study. The small signal modelling of the system is described in Section III. Section IV explains the proposed control strategy along with the compensators design. Section V reports the experimental findings and the paper ends with conclusions in Section VI.

II. CONFIGURATION OF THE DC MICROGRID SYSTEM

The block diagram of the DC microgrid system employed in this study is shown in Fig. 1. The DC microgrid is connected to the DC main grid without grid interface converter. All the converters within the DC microgrid work at current mode, so the DC microgrid as a whole is connected to the DC main grid at current mode. By control the interface current $I_{in}$, the power flow can be controlled between the DC main grid and the DC microgrid.

Within the DC microgrid, the PV array is connected to the DC bus via a Boost converter. MPPT algorithm is used for tracking the maximum power for the PV array, therefore, the output power from the PV varies with the weather conditions. The batteries are linked to the DC bus using a bi-directional DC-DC converter. Load is connected to the DC bus directly, and the power consumption is changed based on user requirement.

With the development of DC microgrid technologies, it is expected that multiple DC microgrids will be connected to form a DC main grid. Individual DC microgrid can be connected to the DC main grids via different ways, for example, using a bi-directional DC-DC converter to control the power flow between the DC microgrid and main DC grid as proposed in [8]. In this study, as shown in Fig. 1, a power inductor is used as the interface between DC microgrid and DC main grid. A grid interface current control strategy is proposed so that the grid interface current $I_{in}$ can be controlled without an interface converter. The variations of PV current $I_{PV}$ and load current $I_{Load}$ are considered as the disturbances for the grid interface current control.

III. SMALL SIGNAL MODELLING AND ANALYSIS

In order to develop the grid interface current control scheme, it is necessary to investigate the dynamic behavior of the bi-directional DC-DC converter used for batteries. This requires the analysis and derivation of a small signal model of a bi-directional converter, as well as the grid interface current control between the DC microgrid and the main DC grid. This will allow two transfer functions to be derived, which are representative of the dynamic response of the inductor current of the battery converter to the duty cycle variation, as well as the dynamic response of the grid interface current to the inductor current variation. Subsequently, two transfer functions will be employed to design the proposed control scheme’s double loop PI compensators. As suggested in [11], the bi-directional converter’s charging and discharging modes share the same transfer function provided by the converter. Hence, a unified controller can be tolerated in situations where the two switches are supplemented by a single controller. The Boost operation mode is selected in this study for the controller design. The bi-directional DC-DC converter is shown in Fig. 2.

![Fig. 1. The DC microgrid configuration for this study.](image1)

![Fig. 2. The bi-directional DC-DC converter circuit diagram.](image2)

The general average equation for the inductor voltage of the power converter can be derived as:

$$\frac{dV_c}{dt} = V_{c} + V_d \frac{d}{dt}(1 - D) + \frac{V_{in}}{L_1}$$

(1)

where $V_{c}$, $L_1$ and $D$ denote the steady state variables of DC bus voltage, power converter inductor and duty cycle, respectively, while $\frac{d}{dt}$, $\frac{d}{dt}$ and $\frac{d}{dt}$ represent the small signal perturbation variables of DC bus voltage, duty cycle and battery voltage, respectively.

The general averaging equation of the capacitor current of the converter can be obtained as follows:
\[
\frac{d\tilde{V}_e}{dt} = \frac{(1-D)\tilde{i}_e I_c - \tilde{v}}{RC} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}
\]

where \( I_c, C, R, \) and \( D \) represent the steady state variables of inductor current, capacitance and the load resistance of power converter, and duty cycle whereas, \( \tilde{v}, \tilde{i}_e, \tilde{v}_e \) and \( \partial / \partial t \) denote the small signal perturbation variables of DC bus voltage, inductor current and duty cycle, respectively.

To simplify the analysis, the battery voltage \( V_b \) can be assumed as a constant, so that \( \tilde{v}_e \) is zero and can be ignored in the following analysis.

The Laplace transfer function of Equations (1) and (2) can be represented as follows:

\[
s_l^\circ(s) = \frac{-1-D}{L_1} \tilde{V}_e(s) + \frac{V_{dc}}{L_1} \partial(s)
\]

\[
s_L^\circ(s) = \frac{1-D}{C} \tilde{i}_e(s) \frac{1}{RC} \tilde{v}_e(s) - \frac{I_c}{C} \partial(s)
\]

By rearranging equations (3) and (4), the transfer functions of the inductor current to duty cycle variation \( \tilde{i}_e(s) / \partial(s) \) and the DC bus voltage to duty cycle variation \( \tilde{v}_e(s) / \partial(s) \) can be obtained:

\[
\tilde{i}_e(s) = \frac{V_{dc} Cs + 2I_e (1-D)}{L_iCs^2 + \frac{L_i}{R}s + (1-D)^2} \quad (5)
\]

\[
\tilde{v}_e(s) = \frac{-L_i I_e s + (1-D)V_{dc}}{L_iCs^2 + \frac{L_i}{R}s + (1-D)^2} \quad (6)
\]

Fig.3 shows a simplified diagram of the battery converter and the main DC grid. In this figure, \( V_{dc} \) depicts the DC bus voltage, \( I_e \) represents the inductor current, \( I_m \) denotes the grid interface current between the microgrid and the main DC grid, and \( V_m \) is the main DC grid voltage level. \( I_R \) is the sum of the generation and load currents within the microgrid. When \( I_R > 0 \), it means the generation current is less than the load current, and when \( I_R < 0 \), it means the generation current is higher than the load current. Fig.3 can be utilized in the derivation of the transfer function, which represents the dynamic response of the grid interface current \( I_m \) to inductor current variation \( I_e \). \( I_R \) is the disturbance for the grid interface current control, and in this study, it is assumed \( I_R \) is small enough so that small signal model can be applied. For large variation of \( I_R \), large signal model based control strategy need to be applied, which is not within the scope of this paper.

\[
i_m(s) = \frac{V_m(s) - V_{dc}(s)}{sL_2 + r} \quad (7)
\]

The assumption is made that \( V_{dc}(s) \) remains constant, meaning that its dynamic response can be ignored when the Equations are modelled.

By taking the derivative of equation (7):

\[
i_m(s) = \frac{\tilde{v}_m(s)}{sL_2 + r} \quad (8)
\]

Rearrange equation (8)

\[
\tilde{v}_m(s) = i_m(s) \times (sL_2 + r) \quad (9)
\]

By substituting Equation (9) into Equation (6):

\[
\frac{i_m(s)}{\partial(s)} = \frac{-L_i I_e s + (1-D)V_{dc}}{L_iCs^2 + \frac{L_i}{R}s + (1-D)^2} \times (sL_2 + r)
\]

So, the transfer function of the grid interface current to duty cycle variation \( \frac{i_m(s)}{\partial(s)} \) can be obtained:

\[
\frac{i_m(s)}{\partial(s)} = \frac{-L_i I_e s + (1-D)V_{dc}}{L_iCs^2 + \frac{L_i}{R}s + (1-D)^2} \times (sL_2 + r)
\]

(10)

In this research, the transfer functions \( \frac{i_m(s)}{\partial(s)} \) must be attained for designing a PI compensator of the grid interface current controller to do so the transfer function \( \frac{i_m(s)}{\partial(s)} \) is obtained by the following relationship:

\[
\frac{i_m(s)}{\partial(s)} = \frac{i_m(s)}{\partial(s)} \times \frac{\partial(s)}{\partial(s)} \quad (11)
\]

By substituting Equation (5) and Equation (10) into Equation (11), the transfer function \( \frac{i_m(s)}{\partial(s)} \) can be obtained as follow:

\[
\frac{i_m(s)}{\partial(s)} = \frac{-L_i I_e s + (1-D)V_{dc}}{L_iCs^2 + \frac{L_i}{R}s + (1-D)^2} \times (sL_2 + r)
\]

(12)

This equation describes the unique relationship between the grid interface current and the inductor current, and can be used later to design the outer current controller in the outer loop control section.

IV. THE PROPOSED CONTROL STRATEGY

In the proposed interface current control strategy, the charge/discharge current of the battery is controlled to prevent PV and load variations from being transferred to the main grid, and control the grid interface current between the DC microgrid and the main DC grid.
To control the grid interface current $I_m$, a double loop interface current controller is developed, as shown in Fig.4. $I_m_{ref}$ is the reference current, which could be based on the request from an aggregator or a central controller. The reference current varies based on the request, but for a short period of time, it can be assumed as a constant.

The proposed controller structure is similar to the double loop voltage control for a single Boost converter. The inner control loop is responsible for regulating the battery converter inductor current. Instead of controlling the output voltage, the outer control loop in this controller is responsible for regulating the grid interface current.

The PV continually operates at maximum power point, and is therefore considered as a variable current source, whereas the DC load is regarded as a variable current sink. The variables $G_{id}$, $G_{iis}$, $G_{pi1}$, $G_{pi2}$, $H_1$, and $H_2$ represent the transfer function of the inner control loop, outer control loop, inner PI compensator, outer PI compensator, inner sensor and outer sensor, respectively.

A comparison is made between the grid interface current $I_m$ and the reference current value $I_m_{ref}$; subsequently, the current error is delivered to the PI compensator $G_{pi2}$, which generates the required current $I_m_{ref}$ for the inner control loop. A comparison is then made between the reference value $I_m_{ref}$ and the inductor current $I_L$ and the current error is delivered to the PI compensator $G_{pi1}$. After this, the PI compensator generates the required duty cycle $D$, which is transferred to the PWM generator in order to create switching pulses that correspond to the battery converter. The bandwidth of the inner current controller is fixed at approximately $f_{sw}/10$, where $f_{sw}$ represents the switching device switching frequency, which is 25 kHz for this study.

The inner compensated control loop crossover frequency must be significantly lower than the main switching frequency. This is because the switching frequency can be rejected along with its related harmonics within the system control loop. Additionally, the outer control loop should have a slower response time in comparison to the inner control loop, as the former generates the reference for the latter and it must have greater speed to allow the inner control loop to track the generated reference by the outer control loop. Therefore, the bandwidth of the outer control loop is maintained a level below that of the inner current control loop.

Fig.5 illustrates the block diagram of the inner current controller. The inductor reference current $I_{L_{ref}}$ is acquired from the outer control loop and subsequently moved to the inner current control loop.

The open loop transfer function of the inner current control loop $G_{iis}$ is formulated as:

$$G_{iis} = G_{id}G_{pi1}H_1$$

The transfer function of the closed loop gain $G_{ci1}$ is:

$$G_{ci1} = \frac{G_{id}G_{pi1}}{1+G_{id}G_{pi1}H_1}$$

Fig.6 shows the control block diagram, where the battery converter parameters used in the control design and experimental research are listed in Table I.

![Fig.4. The proposed interface current control scheme.](image)

**Table I**

| Parameters and Operating Points of Battery Converter |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| $V_b$ (V) | $I_c$ (A) | $V_{dc}$ (V) | $D$ (W) | $P$ (W) | $R$ (Ω) | $L_1$ | $L_2$ | $C_{dc}$ | $μH$ | $μH$ | $μF$ |
|--------|--------|-----------|--------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|------|------|------|
| 24     | 8.3    | 48        | 0.5    | 200   | 100    | 240   | 240   | 470    |      |      |      |

The Bode plot for the compensated and uncompensated open loop transfer functions is represented in Fig.7. The design goal was to boost the low frequency loop gain in order to facilitate...
the regulation of the output at a frequency lower than the loop crossover frequency. Hence, the objective was to boost the low frequency loop gain in order to reduce the steady state error in the output while maintaining a sufficient phase margin. The proportional integral (PI) compensator has the capability to manage this based on the parameter specifications, as the compensated loop gain of the inner control loop has a crossover frequency of 2.33 kHz and a phase margin of 63.2°C. The calculated parameters of PI are formulated as: \( K_p = 0.25 \) and \( K_i = 1800 \).

**B. Design of the outer current control loop**

As shown in Fig. 4, the transfer function of \( G_{ii} \) is given as follow:

\[
G_{ii}(s) = \frac{-L_i I_d s + (1-D)V_d}{L_C V_d s^2 + (2I_L L_d (1-D) + V_d C s) s + 2I_L (1-D) r}
\]  

(17)

The transfer function of the outer current control loop compensator \( G_{pi_2} \) is given by:

\[
G_{pi_2} = K_{pi_2} + \frac{C_i}{s}
\]

(18)

The open loop transfer function of outer current loop \( G_{ol_2} \) is given by:

\[
G_{ol_2} = G_{ii} G_{pi_2} G_{ol_1} T_2
\]

(19)

The Bode plot for the compensated and uncompensated open loop transfer function is illustrated in Fig.8. The crossover frequency for the compensated loop gain of the outer control loop is 696 Hz and it has a phase margin of 64.5°C. The parameters formulated as: \( K_{pi_2} = 1.35 \) and \( K_i = 665 \).

**V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

The experimental setup shown in Fig. 9 was used to validate the performance of the proposed grid interface current control strategy. Fig.10 shows the block diagram of the experimental system setup. A battery pack, which has a voltage of 24 V, is connected to the DC bus using a bi-directional battery converter. The proposed grid interface current control was implemented in a TMS320F28335 microcontroller of the battery converter. A DC power supply at current source mode was used in this experiment to represent a PV generation. A DC electronics load was used to represent the DC load within the DC microgrid. Together with a branch resistor load, a DC power supply which has a voltage of 48 V was utilised to represent the main DC grid. All data in the experiment were recorded using an oscilloscope (Wave Runner 104Xi-A). All specifications of the DC microgrid system are presented in Table II.

To experimentally test the proposed grid interface control strategy performance, two cases are studied.

**A. Case1: Step Change in Load Current**

The objective of this case study is to assess the effects of load disturbances on the grid interface current control. The reference grid interface current value was set as 1A. As illustrated in Fig.11, the load current at \( t = t_1 \) was suddenly raised from 0 A to approximately 0.5 A. Consequently, the \( I_m \) (grid interface current) proportionally dropped from 1 A to around 0.5 A. In order to maintain the grid interface current \( I_m \) at 1 A, the proposed controller increased the battery discharging current from 1 A to 1.5 A. At \( t = t_2 \) the load current was returned to its original condition. To maintain the grid interface current at 1 A, the controller reduced the battery discharge current from approximately 1.5 A to 1 A.

Fig.12 shows the zoom in the experimental waveforms that occurred at \( t = t_1 \). This reveals that the grid interface current...
The zoom in experimental waveforms at $t = t_2$ is shown in Fig. 13. It shows that the grid interface current experiences a step change and then was regulated after approximately 75 ms.

As shown in Fig. 14, at the beginning, the PV current was 0 A, and the battery pack was discharged to supply the grid interface current of 1 A. At $t = t_1$, the PV current was raised to 2 A. Consequently, the $I_{m}$ (grid interface current) is increased from 1 A to approximately 3 A. To maintain the grid interface current $I_m$ at 1 A, the battery was changed to operate in charging mode using a charge current of approximately 1 A for the purpose of absorbing the excess PV supply and regulating the $I_m$ according to its reference value. At $t = t_2$, the PV current was returned to 0 A, and the battery worked as discharging mode with a discharge current of approximately 1 A.

Fig. 15 shows the zoom in experimental waveforms at approximately $t = t_1$. It indicates that the grid interface current experiences a step increase change and is then regulated to 1 A after approximately 50 ms.

Fig. 16 illustrates the zoom in experimental waveforms at approximately $t = t_2$. It indicates that the grid interface current experiences a step decrease change and is regulated after approximately 50 ms.

B. Case 2: Step Change in PV Generation

The aim of this case study is to assess the effects of PV disturbances on the grid interface current controller.

In the experiment, a reversed channel scope was used to read the PV current as the assumption was made that the direction of the PV current was opposite to that of the load current. As the PV current injects power to the DC bus, whereas, the load current consumes power from the DC bus.

The performance of the proposed control strategy was compared to that demonstrated in [8] which has an interface converter. It shows that the control in [8] has a different response time vary from 20 ms to 150 ms, which means that the proposed control strategy in this paper has similar performance as [8], and exhibits adequate performance in terms of the rejection of the load current disturbances, while effective regulation of the grid interface current can be achieved without an interface converter.

| Component            | Type                           | Specification |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|
| DC power supply      | GW Instek GPS-2303             | 2 A           |
| Battery pack         | YPC33-12×12                    | 24 V          |
| Main DC grid         | QPX 1200S 1200-watt            | 48 V          |
| DC electronic load   | EA-EL 2400-25                 | 100 Ω         |
| Branch resistors     | converter                      | 10 Ω          |
| Bi-directional converter |                         | 200W         |

Fig. 9. Experimental setup of the DC microgrid system.

Fig. 10. Schematic diagram of the complete DC microgrid system used in the experimental work.

Fig. 11. Experimental results for step change in load current.

Fig. 12. Experimental results for step change in load current under Zoom 1.

Fig. 13. Experimental results for step change in load current under Zoom 2.

Fig. 14. Experimental results for step change in load current.
approximately 50 ms.

The experimental findings indicate that the grid interface current $I_m$ was maintained at a constant level when variations in the PV current occurred. Hence, the proposed control strategy successfully prevented the PV variations from being transferred to the main DC grid.

C. Discussion and future work

From the experimental results, it can be found that battery-based energy storage systems have a relative slow dynamic response to the disturbance from PV generation and the load variation. Also, fast charging/discharging current transition could affect battery lifetime. Therefore, future work will focus on developing a hybrid energy storage solution for this system, which include batteries and supercapacitors.

If the total disturbance current $I_d$ can be measured or estimated, it can be feed-forwarded to the grid interface current controller and improve the dynamic response of the controller. This feed-forward solution will be suitable for a battery current controller with extra current sensors or communication with other power converters.

VI. Conclusions

Unpredictable variation of the renewable energy generations and loads could produce negative impact on the main grid. A DC microgrid grid interface current controller has been developed in this research to mitigate the negative effects from the disturbance of PV generation and load demand without a grid interface converter. After an in-depth analysis of the DC-DC converter and interface current control, a double loop grid interface current control is proposed, while both inner and outer current compensators were designed by employing the system transfer functions. The experimental results confirm the effectiveness of the proposed control strategy.
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