Improvement in Sexual Functioning in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes and Depression Treated With Bupropion
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OBJECTIVE—Major depressive disorder (MDD) and type 2 diabetes have independent adverse effects on sexual functioning (SF). Bupropion (BU) reportedly has few sexual side effects, but its use in diabetes has not been studied.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS—This article reports a planned secondary analysis of SF in 90 patients with type 2 diabetes treated with BU for MDD.

RESULTS—At baseline, 71.1% of patients had insufficient SF. Mean Sexual Energy Scale (SES) scores improved during treatment (P < 0.001), as did the percentage with sufficient SF (30.6 vs. 68.1%, P = 0.001). Patients with persistent hyperglycemia had higher rates of sexual dysfunction; however, SES improvement was evident in some with persistent depression or hyperglycemia (18.2% and 25.9%, respectively).

CONCLUSIONS—Insufficient SF is prevalent and may be suspected in patients with MDD and type 2 diabetes. BU treatment of MDD had few sexual side effects and was associated with significant improvements in SF.
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The adverse effects of diabetes on sexual functioning (SF) are well established. Depression and the medication used to treat it may impose additional risk of sexual dysfunction in patients with diabetes (1,2). Bupropion (BU) has gained favor in major depressive disorder (MDD) treatment, in part because of its lower potential for sexual dysfunction. This article reports a planned secondary analysis of SF data from a clinical trial of BU for MDD in patients with type 2 diabetes (3). The aims of this analysis were to determine the 1) prevalence of sexual dysfunction, 2) occurrence of sexual side effects with BU treatment, and 3) SF changes that occur during BU therapy.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS—This article reports on 18- to 80-year-old patients with symptomatic major depression disorder (MDD) defined by conventional criteria (4) and type 2 diabetes mellitus treated with extended-release BU in an open-label fashion over a 10-week study period (3). The protocol was approved by the institutional review board, and all subjects provided informed consent.

Measures
Demographic, anthropometric, medical, and diabetes history data were collected on participants at enrollment. Glycemic control was measured with A1C. Current depression severity was assessed using the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) (5). MDD remission was defined as a BDI score of ≤9 after BU treatment. The Sexual Energy Scale (SES) was used to provide an ordinal assessment of SF (1–10 scale; 1 = “least sexual energy,” 10 = “highest prior sexual experiences”). SES scores of ≥5 were regarded as satisfactory for SF, and scores <5 denoted the presence of sexual dysfunction (6).

Statistical analyses
Independent sample t tests were performed to assess between-group differences in continuous variables. χ² and Fisher exact tests were performed to determine between-group differences of categorical variables. Sexual dysfunction and hyperglycemia evident at both pre- and posttreatment are referred to as “persistent sexual dysfunction” and “persistent hyperglycemia,” respectively.

RESULTS—Ninety subjects (mean age 51.4 years, 63.3% were female, 46.7% were Caucasian) were initiated on BU therapy. Eighteen subjects (19.3%) failed to complete the study. Baseline rates of sexual dysfunction were similar between protocol completers and noncompleters (P = 0.48). Of the 72 participants who completed treatment, 61 (84.7%) met criteria for MDD remission. Sexual dysfunction was evident at baseline in 64 (71.1%) of the 90 study participants. Of these, 50 completed BU therapy and 28 (56%) experienced improved SF.

At baseline, participants with sexual dysfunction were older (52.8 vs. 48.0 years, P = 0.04) and had longer duration of MDD (25.5 vs. 18.3 years, P = 0.03). There were no significant between-group differences in depression or A1C. A trend toward longer duration of type 2 diabetes existed in those with sexual dysfunction (7.8 vs. 5.6 years, P = 0.14).

Those with persistent sexual dysfunction after therapy were older (55.1 vs. 52.0 years, P = 0.11) and had higher A1C (8.5 vs. 7.1%, P = 0.02). In contrast with baseline measures, significantly higher BDI scores after therapy were observed in those with persistent sexual dysfunction (9.0 vs. 4.9, P = 0.007).

SES improved significantly during treatment (SES mean pre- and posttreatment: 3.4–5.6, P < 0.0001), with 51 (70.8%) free of sexual dysfunction per established SES thresholds. This represented a significant improvement.
in rates of satisfactory SF (50/72, 69.4% vs. 23/72, 31.9%; \( \chi^2 = 10.9, P = 0.001 \)). Although improvement in SF was greatest in those with gains in depression and glycemic measures, it was also observed in two of 11 subjects (18.2%) who did not achieve depression remission and in seven of 27 subjects (25.9%) who had persistently elevated A1C levels (Fig. 1). Nominal improvements in SES after BU therapy (mean SES change of 0.6 ± 1.3) were experienced by those without improvement in SF. By comparison, those who had improved SF had a sevenfold change in SES (4.3 ± 1.7, \( P < 0.001 \)).

**CONCLUSIONS**—We found a high rate (71.1%) of sexual dysfunction in patients with type 2 diabetes and MDD despite a modest rate of neuropathy (22.2%). SF improved significantly during BU therapy of MDD, with 58% of subjects experiencing substantial gains in SF during this interval. This effect was more robust in those with greater improvements in depression and glycemic control, but was still observed in approximately 20% of those with persistent MDD or hyperglycemia.

Diabetes may negatively influence SF through multiple pathophysiologic pathways, that is, vascular, neurologic, and hormonal effects (7–10). Hyperglycemia also impairs SF and may have been a factor in this sample (mean A1C >8%). (11). MDD imposes additional risk of hyperglycemia (12), and indeed, A1C and BDI scores were positively correlated both at baseline and after BU therapy. Although depression, A1C, and SF tended to improve in concert, SF also improved significantly in 25% of those with persistent hyperglycemia.

In persons without diabetes, the risk of treatment-related sexual dysfunction is four to six times higher with selective serotonin- and norepinephrine-reuptake inhibitors compared with BU (13), possibly because of BU’s lack of influence on serotonergic presynaptic reuptake. Our findings add to evidence suggesting low sexual side effects with BU; indeed, new onset of sexual dysfunction occurred in only one subject. BU augmentation of dopamine effects regarded as important to arousal and orgasm may account for observed improvements in SF (14).

In summary, this study is the first to report on the high rate of sexual dysfunction in persons with diabetes and depression. Significant improvements in mood, glycemic control, and SF were observed during BU treatment of MDD. However, improvement in SF was still seen in approximately 20% of those with persistent depression or hyperglycemia. Limitations of the study relate to its small sample size, short-term treatment period, open treatment design, and use of a global measure of SF, the latter precluding comment on the specific nature of experienced sexual difficulties or improvements. Our findings support the need for routine assessment of SF in patients with type 2 diabetes and MDD, and affirm the importance of mood and glycemic control in SF. Selection of antidepressants with lower sexual side effects, such as BU, should yield the greatest potential for sexual and mental well-being in patients with type 2 diabetes and depression.
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