Surgery for rheumatic mitral valve disease in sub-saharan African countries: why valve repair is still the best surgical option
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Abstract

Rheumatic valve disease, a consequence of acute rheumatic fever, remains endemic in developing countries in the sub-Saharan region where it is the leading cause of heart failure and cardiovascular death, involving predominantly a young population. The involvement of the mitral valve is pathognomonic and mitral surgery has become the lone therapeutic option for the majority of these patients. However, controversies exist on the choice between valve repair or prosthetic valve replacement. Although the advantages of mitral valve repair over prosthetic valve replacement in degenerative mitral disease are well established, this has not been the case for rheumatic lesions, where the use of prosthetic valves, specifically mechanical devices, even in poorly compliant populations remains very common. These patients deserve more accurate evaluation in the choice of the surgical strategy which strongly impacts the post-operative outcomes. This report discusses the factors supporting mitral repair surgery in rheumatic disease, according to the patients' characteristics and the effectiveness of the current repair techniques compared to prosthetic valve replacement in developing countries.
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Introduction

Although mitral valve repair (MVR) has become the treatment of choice in degenerative etiology, the fundamentals of this surgery promoted by Carpentier [11] were developed in the rheumatic heart disease (RHD) era. In fact, "The French Correction" paper reported rheumatic mitral pathology in about sixty percent of the patients, and nearly ninety percent of children with rheumatic mitral lesions were eligible for valve repair. These reconstructive techniques were desirable in patients coming from low-income regions, where postsurgical management of prosthetic valves could have been suboptimal. Thirty years after Carpentier’s paper, RHD is still endemic in many poor regions such as sub-Saharan Africa; however, prosthetic mitral replacement (PVR) especially with mechanical devices has become the preferred option because repair of rheumatic valves is often associated with a high rate of failure and reoperation [2-4]. Furthermore, since acute rheumatic fever (ARF) and RHD are becoming rare in western regions, scientific interest and surgeon experience with this etiology are lacking [5], with numerous patients undergoing PVR, despite possible eligibility for valve repair. Given the known advantages of MVR over PVR [6-8], and the evolving techniques of mitral valve repair which have recently reported encouraging results in rheumatic lesions [9-11], the widespread use of prosthetic valves in the complex sociocultural scenario of sub-Saharan regions is becoming more questionable. Therefore, it is appropriate to revisit the recommended surgical treatment, according to the patients’ characteristics and the effectiveness of the current repair techniques as compared to PVR in these developing countries.

Methods

A literature search was conducted in medical databases Pubmed and Google Scholar using the following combination of keywords: "rheumatic heart disease", "mitral valve repair", "mitral valve replacement", "developing countries", "anticoagulation". There were no restrictions to language, date of publication or publication status. All identified citations were critically evaluated to establish the possible relevance of the articles for inclusion in the review. A total of 46 papers were finally analyzed. The bibliographies of the articles in hand were used to find other references. Attention was given to articles comparing the outcomes of mitral valve repair and replacement for rheumatic heart disease.

Current status of knowledge

Predominance of RHD in young and female populations

According to estimates of the World Health Organization, about 16 million people are affected by RHD worldwide, with a high prevalence among young populations in developing countries [12]. The sub-Saharan African regions have one of the highest prevalence of RHD which is estimated about 30/1000 children [13], and with a great number of affected patients being women. Indeed, a high prevalence of rheumatic mitral disease in female sex has been reported in various studies [14-15] and a high incidence of rheumatic valve disease has been found in pregnant women living in low-income countries [16-18], demonstrating the poor awareness of these populations of the risks represented by cardiovascular diseases, including those associated with prosthetic heart valves. Many of these patients are of child-bearing age with a fertility rate estimated between 4 and 7 children per woman in Sub-Saharan Africa which is the highest in the world. This cultural reality, in addition to the high rates of illiteracy and the lack of contraceptive strategies, means that many will probably face pregnancy after PVR despite the contrary recommendations of their physicians, increasing the risk of fetal and maternal complications associated in part to lifetime anticoagulation therapy. Thus, candidates for mitral valve surgery in the Sub-Saharan region are often patients with high life expectancy and fertility rate that requires a careful evaluation in the choice of the surgical strategy.

Patient’s survival after mitral surgery: MVR versus PVR

It is widely ascertained that PVR reduces life expectancy, especially in children and young adults regardless of native valve pathology, due to prosthetic valve-related complications [19-22]. A recent study by Brown et al. [19] reported a 35 year actuarial survival of 71% after mitral PVR in pediatric patients, which is quite low if considering the young age of the study cohort (mean age: 8 years; range: 2 weeks to 18 years). These results were consistent with those of De Santo et al. [20] who reported a 25 year survival of 70% in young women (mean age: 30 years) after mechanical mitral replacement. Other authors have described similar data, reporting a mean survival in the range of 63 to 66% over 10 to 15 years of follow up [21, 22]. Furthermore, the rate of reoperation has been found to be high in young patients after PVR due mainly to prosthetic valve mismatch caused by somatic growth and valve
thrombosis [19-23]. Brown et al. [19] reported a 37% reoperation rate during a 35-year follow-up period, caused mainly by prosthesis mismatch, due to the use of small prosthesis size during the first operation. Similar results were observed by Alsoufi and colleagues [24] reporting a 20-year survival and freedom from reoperation of only 74% and 49% respectively with half of patients were reoperated within 20 years of their first surgery (mean age at first surgery: 11.4 years). Conversely, valve repair is associated with improved survival when compared to PVR [25 -27], and despite the high rate of reoperation associated with rheumatic MVR, reconstructive techniques might increase survival in these young patients. Yau and colleagues [7] found that when compared to PVR, MVR was a predictor of better survival even in case of reoperation, and these advantages were independent of age or other preoperative characteristics. They conclude that rheumatic mitral valves should be repaired when technically feasible, accepting a risk of reoperation, to maximize survival and reduce morbidity. Similar conclusions by Brown et al. advocated the use of conservative approaches in young patients with mitral disease, reserving PVR for medical and repair failure because reoperation, prosthetic valve related complications and late mortality remain high in young patients [19].

Pathophysiologic patterns of rheumatic mitral disease in developing countries are favourable to valve repair

Clinical and surgical patterns of rheumatic mitral valve disease may differ between patients from western and southern regions. In the developing countries, patients are often older, some with previous surgical or percutaneous procedures for mitral disease especially for valve stenosis [28]. These patients generally have severe fibrotic and calcified valves, which are not amenable to valve repair. Their advanced age, the high rate of chronic atrial fibrillation and the good post-surgical management in the developed world makes PVR a reasonable option in this subgroup. Young patients are the most affected by RHD in the developing regions with pure mitral regurgitation and mixed lesions being the most common valve dysfunctions. Nkomo et al. [29] confirmed this hypothesis, reporting a prevalence of pure MR during the first and second decades of life in patients with rheumatic mitral disease. Similar data were previously published by Marcus et al. [30] who described the demographic, pathologic, and hemodynamic profiles of patients with severe rheumatic mitral valve disease in a developing country, and found that pure regurgitation was the most common lesion in the first and second decades whereas the relative prevalence of pure stenosis and severe tissue lesions increased with age. Therefore, the grade of fibrosis is often limited in young patients at the time of diagnosis, allowing reconstructive techniques. Duran et al. [3] found that the repair rate in rheumatic mitral disease was related to the patient's age (76.6 % < 20 years, 59.1% > 20 < 40, 33.8% > 40 years). Similarly, Chauvaud et al. [31] described a more advanced mitral disease (described as type IIIa of Carpentier functional classification) in older patients whereas type II was predominant in the youngest age, with strong correlation with repair results.

Improvement of repair techniques

The high rate of failure and reoperation associated with MVR in rheumatic aetiology has been commonly argued to favour PVR in this disease. This thinking developed during the early era of mitral reconstruction techniques, as various studies had reported suboptimal repair results [32, 33]. Therefore, many surgeons have been trained with the idea that rheumatic MVR was not advisable. On the other hand, as the experience in MVR increased, RHD significantly decreased in western regions where the main aspects of cardiac surgery were developed, with few institutions developing extensive experience in rheumatic valve surgery. Moreover, the characteristics of rheumatic valve disease in the developed world and the good results of new generation prosthetic valves have definitively extended the preference of PVR in such patients. Unfortunately, this approach has been "transferred"in many developing countries, where PVR has become the most common treatment even in cases suitable to repair. Indeed, in a 15-year experience with mechanical valve replacement by a West African group, 96% of the patients who underwent mechanical PVR had pure MR at the time of surgery [34]. With few exceptions, this report reflects the current approach in many sub-Saharan centers, despite the scarcity of published clinical data. However, more has been learned from emergent countries such as India representing "hybrid" social environment, characterized by high rate of RHD as observed in other developing countries but good standards in cardiac surgery. Clinical studies from these areas have further contributed to understanding how evolving concepts and current repair techniques could improve long-term results in rheumatic mitral repair [35, 36]. In fact, techniques of MVR have evolved during the last 3 decades, improving results in the field of rheumatic lesions as reported by several studies [9, 10, 31, 37, 38]. A good understanding of standardized techniques in the early 2000's have gradually led to better results as compared to the early 1980's. For instance, prosthetic ring annuloplasty has become a mainstay of
MVR, despite the initial reluctance and confused data reported in the early era of mitral reconstruction. Nunley and colleagues [39], reporting their experience on MVR between the 60's and 80's, suggested that ring annuloplasty was not effective and was potentially causing harm. Conversely, long-term studies have successively demonstrated the importance of this technique in MVR, and more is now known about the effectiveness of the different types of annuloplasty rings (pericardial strip, flexible, rigid, complete or semi-complete). Moreover, the so-called non-classical techniques (leaflet augmentation with pericardial patch, mitral homograft, neo-chordae implantation, or leaflet reconstruction with tricuspid autograft) have further extended the indications for MVR, especially in rheumatic etiology with many experienced centers reporting good long-term results. Chauvaud et al. [31] reported their 29-year results in rheumatic MVR, with a 20-year actuarial survival and freedom from reoperation of 82±18% and 55±25% respectively. Another study by El Oumeiri et al [10] reports 8-year freedom from cardiac death and reoperation of 98±2% and 94±5% respectively. The authors used an aggressive approach including non-classical techniques, reporting 83±9% freedom from significant mitral regurgitation at 8 years. These results seem to be better than previous reports where freedom from reoperation was less than 75% at 5 years [32, 33]. This new trend consists of the full resection of all fibrotic tissue followed by partial or complete valve reconstruction with autologous or heterologous material. According to many authors, this strategy may increase the repair rate in addition to ensure better durability by the removal of fibrotic tissue, as residual fibrotic tissue strongly correlates with disease progression leading to repair failure over time.

Advances in perioperative patient care

Perioperative management of patients' undergoing valve surgery has also improved, with more accurate pre-operative assessment of valve function, routine use of intraoperative transoesophageal echocardiography, and better myocardial protection strategies. The surgeons can be less concerned with cross-clamping duration and may first attempt MVR and then PVR only in case of suboptimal repair. Furthermore, many experts have shared their methods to assess repair feasibility and to prevent failure. Gupta and colleagues [36] described an interesting method to predict repair feasibility by measuring the length of the anterior mitral leaflet (AML), predicting valve reparability with 97% sensitivity and 100% specificity. According to the authors this data could orient the surgical strategy with the addition of specific techniques such as leaflet patch extension in the case of small anterior mitral leaflet length (<18mm/m2). One more empirical method is the “rebound-like effect” of the AML, assessed by pulling this leaflet in the left ventricle; its rebound demonstrates a severe grade of leaflet fibrosis that contraindicates repair [40]. Despite the heterogeneity of these reports and results, they add useful information to the armamentarium of the modern cardiac surgeon dealing with rheumatic valves, allowing a more confident approach as compared to some decades ago.

Post-surgical follow-up of patients with prosthetic valves in developing countries is challenging

More than fifty years ago, Frater said, "A patient with a mitral prosthesis is a patient for life," [41] emphasizing the permanent risk of cardiac events and mortality due to prosthetic valve-related complications. These have been estimated to be about 2%/patient/year [42], and their incidence may be strongly influenced by the patient socio-cultural environment [43, 44]. Advances in medical care, financial resources, and better education of patients in western countries have yielded optimal compliance with therapy with acceptable long-term results, whereas this is not yet the case in the low-income regions. The necessity of avoiding anticoagulant therapy in poorly compliant populations has been an important factor to recommend conservative approaches since the earliest period of reconstructive valve surgery. This remains an important consideration in many African developing countries where the socio-cultural conditions have not really improved. Local health care systems are lacking as demonstrated by the high incidence of ARF and RHD in these regions which result from both the poor living conditions and the lack of effective preventive measures. It is then challenging to ensure adequate follow-up of patients with PVR in these conditions with limited health care assistance [45] and medical personnel [46] in addition to factors such as the high rate of illiteracy and infectious diseases. Potentially, a great number of patients will not adequately pursue the recommended follow-up or will simply discontinue the anticoagulant therapy because they are "feeling good", exposing themselves to fatal complications. The acute nature of these events will often lead to death due to the delay in diagnosis, and inadequate medical assistance.
Conclusion

Introducing his paper [1] Carpentier said, "It's not enough to save patient lives, we must also take into consideration the quality of life given to the patient and the socio-economic impact of our surgical actions." This clearly emphasizes the importance of adapting the surgical treatment to the patient. Especially in complex socio-cultural realities and environment, this topic deserves more critical analysis and evaluation from medical specialists to avoid indiscriminate and erroneous application of any "standard" surgery.

What is known about this topic

- Advantages of mitral valve repair over prosthetic valve replacement in degenerative etiology are well established;
- Mitral valve repair in rheumatic disease is associated with high rate of failure and reoperation as compared to degenerative disease;
- Management of patients with prosthetic valves is challenging in developing regions for the limited financial and medical facilities especially for anticoagulation control.

What this study adds

- Rheumatic mitral lesions in young patients are often amenable to repair;
- Recent experiences of rheumatic mitral repair have reported improved freedom from failure and reoperation on the long term as compared to earliest studies;
- With few exceptions, the management of patients with prosthetic valves especially mechanical valves in the Sub-Saharan regions remains challenging.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors’ contributions

All authors contributed equally in the literature search, interpretation of the articles and review of the manuscript. All the authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

References

1. Carpentier A. Cardiac valve surgery: the "French correction". J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 1983 Sep; 86(3):323-337. PubMed | Google Scholar

2. Deloche A, Jebara VA, Relland JYM et al. Valve repair with Carpentier techniques: the second decade. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 1990 Jun; 99(6):990-1002. PubMed | Google Scholar

3. Duran CM, Gometza B, Saad E. Valve repair in rheumatic mitral disease: an unsolved problem. J Card Surg. 1994 Mar; 9(2 Suppl):282-285. PubMed | Google Scholar

4. Kuwaki K, Kiyofumi M, Tsukamoto M et al. Early and late results of mitral valve repair for mitral valve regurgitation: significant risk factors of reoperation. J Cardiovasc Surg (Torino). 2000 Apr; 41(2):187-192. PubMed | Google Scholar

5. Carapetis JR. Rheumatic Heart Disease in Developing Countries. N Engl J Med. 2007 Aug; 357(5):439-441. PubMed | Google Scholar

6. Braunberger E, Deloche A, Berrebi A et al. Very long-term results (more than 20 years) of valve repair with Carpentier's techniques in non-rheumatic mitral valve insufficiency. Circulation. 2001 Sep; 104(12 Suppl 1):18-11. PubMed | Google Scholar

7. Yau TE, Farag El-Ghoneimi YA, Armstrong S, Ivanov J, David TE. Mitral valve repair and replacement for rheumatic disease. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2000 Jan; 119(1):53-60. PubMed | Google Scholar
8. Di Bardino DJ, El-Bardissi AW, McClure RS, Razo-Vasquez OA, Kelly NE, Cohn LH. Four decades of experience with mitral valve repair: analysis of differential indications, technical evolution, and long-term outcome. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2010 Jan; 139(1):76-84. PubMed | Google Scholar

9. Acar C, de Ibarra JS, Lansac E. Anterior leaflet augmentation with autologous pericardium for mitral repair in rheumatic valve insufficiency. J Heart Valve Dis. 2004 Sep; 13(5):741-746. PubMed | Google Scholar

10. Bachar El Oumeiri, Munir Boodhwani, David Glineur, Laurent De Kerchove, Alain Poncelet, Parla Astarci, Agnes Pasquet, Jean-Louis Vanoverschelde, Robert Verhelst, Jean Rubay, Philippe Noirhomme, and Gébrine El Khoury. Extending the Scope of Mitral Valve Repair in Rheumatic Disease. Ann Thorac Surg. 2009 Jun; 87(6):1735-1740. PubMed | Google Scholar

11. Shomura Y, Okada Y, Nasu M, Koyama T, Yuzaki M, Murashita T, Fukunaga N, Konishi Y. Late Results of Mitral Valve Repair With Glutaraldehyde-Treated Autologous Pericardium. Ann Thorac Surg. 2013 Jun; 95(6):2000-2005. PubMed | Google Scholar

12. Carapetis JR. The Current Evidence for the Burden of Group A Streptococcal Diseases. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; March 2, 2004. Google Scholar

13. Marijon E, Ou P, Celermajer DS, Ferreira B, Mocumbi AO, Jani D, Paquet C, Jacob S, Sidi D, Jouven X. Prevalence of rheumatic heart disease detected by echocardiographic screening. N Engl J Med. 2007 Aug 2; 357(5):470-476. PubMed | Google Scholar

14. Olson LJ, Subramanian R, Ackermann DM, Orszulak TA, Edwards WD. Surgical pathology of the mitral valve: a study of 712 cases spanning 21 years. Mayo Clin Proc. 1987 Jan; 62(1):22-34. PubMed | Google Scholar

15. Manuel Martínez-Sellés, Miguel A García-Fernández, Mar Moreno, Edith Larios, José A García-Robles and Ángel Pintob. Influence of Gender on the Etiology of Mitral Regurgitation. Rev Esp Cardiol. 2006 Dec; 59(12):1335-1338. PubMed | Google Scholar

16. Håvard Otto, Sverre Georg Saether, Leur Banteyrga, Bjørn Olav Haugen, Terje Skjærpe. High. Prevalence of Subclinical Rheumatic Heart Disease in Pregnant Women in a Developing Country: an Echocardiographic Study. Echocardiography. 2011 Nov; 28(10):1049-1053. PubMed | Google Scholar

17. Anwari JS, Butt AA, Al-Dar MA. Obstetric admissions to the intensive care unit. Saudi Med J. 2004 Oct; 25(10):1394-1399. PubMed | Google Scholar

18. Bhatia N, Lal S, Behera G, Kriplani A, Mittal S, Agarwal N, Taiwro KK. Cardiac disease in pregnancy. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2003 Aug; 82(2):153-159. PubMed | Google Scholar

19. John W Brown, MD, Andrew C Fiore, MD, Mark Ruzmetov, MD, PhD, Osama Eltayeb, MD, Mark D Rodefeld, MD and Mark W Turrentine, MD. Evolution of Mitral Valve Replacement in Children: a 40-Year Experience. Ann Thorac Surg. 2012 Feb; 93(2):626-633. PubMed | Google Scholar

20. Luca Salvatore De Santo, Gianpaolo Romano, Alessandro Della Corte, Francesco Tizzano, Andrea Petralia, Cristiano Amarelli, Marisa De Feo, Giovanni Dialetto, Michelangelo Scardone, Maurizio Cotrufo. Mitral mechanical replacement in young rheumatic women: Analysis of long-term survival, valve-related complications, and pregnancy outcomes over a3707-patient-year follow-up. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2005 Jul; 130(1):13-19. PubMed | Google Scholar

21. Brian K Eble, William P Fiser, Pippa Simpson, Judith Dugan, Jonathan J Drummond, Webband Anji T Yetman. Mitral valve replacement in children: predictors of long-term outcome. Ann Thorac Surg. 2003 Sep; 76(3):853-859. PubMed | Google Scholar

22. Munetaka Masuda, Hideaki Kado, Hideki Tatewaki, Yuichiro Shiokawa and Hisataka Yasui. Late results after mitral valve replacement with bileaflet mechanical prosthesis in children: evaluation of prosthesis-patient mismatch. Ann Thorac Surg. 2004 Mar; 77(3):913-917. PubMed | Google Scholar

23. Bradley SM, Sade RM, Crawford FA Jr, Stroud MR. Anticoagulation in children with mechanical valve prostheses. Ann Thorac Surg. 1997 Jul; 64(1):30-36. PubMed | Google Scholar
24. Alsoufi B, Manlhiot C, Al-Ahmadi M et al. Outcomes and associated risk factors for mitral valve replacement in children. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. 2011 Sep; 40(3):543-551. PubMed | Google Scholar

25. Suri RM, Schaff HV, Dearani JA, Sundt TM 3rd, Daly RC, Mullany CJ, Enriquez-Sarano M, Orszulak TA. Survival advantage and improved durability of mitral repair for leaflet prolapse subsets in the current era. Ann Thorac Surg. 2006 Sep; 82(3):819-826. PubMed | Google Scholar

26. Enriquez-Sarano M, Schaff HV, Orszulak TA, Tajik AJ, Bailey KR, Frye RL. Valve repair improves the outcome of surgery for mitral regurgitation: a multivariate analysis. Circulation. 1995 Feb 15; 91(4):1022-1028. PubMed | Google Scholar

27. Robert R Moss, Karin H Humphries, Min Gao, Christopher R Thompson, James G Abel, Guy Fradet, Brad I Munt. Outcome of Mitral Valve Repair or Replacement: a Comparison by Propensity Score Analysis. Circulation. 2003 Sep 9; 108 Suppl 1:I190-7. PubMed | Google Scholar

28. Iung B, Baron G, Butchart EG, Delahaye F, Gohlke-Barwolff C, Levang OW et al. A prospective survey of patients with valvular heart disease in Europe: the Euro Heart Survey on Valvular Heart Disease. Eur Heart J. 2003 Jul; 24(13):1231-1243. PubMed | Google Scholar

29. Nkomo VT. Epidemiology and prevention of valvular heart diseases and infective endocarditis in Africa. Heart. 2007 Dec; 93(12):1510-1519. PubMed | Google Scholar

30. Richard H Marcus, MB Pinhas Sareli, MD Wendy A Pocock, MB and John B Barlow. The Spectrum of Severe Rheumatic Mitral Valve Disease in a Developing Country: Correlations among Clinical Presentation, Surgical Pathologic Findings, and Hemodynamic Sequelae. Intern Med. 1994 Feb; 120(3):177-183. PubMed | Google Scholar

31. Chauvaud S, Fuzellier JF, Berrebi A, Deloche A, Fabiani JN, Carpentier A. Long-term (29 years) results of reconstructive surgery in rheumatic mitral valve insufficiency. Circulation. 2001 Sep; 104(12 Suppl 1):I12-15. PubMed | Google Scholar

32. Fernandez J, Joyce DH, Hirschfeld K et al. Factors affecting mitral valve reoperation in 317 survivors after mitral valve reconstruction. Ann Thorac Surg. 1992 Sep; 54(3):440-448. PubMed | Google Scholar

33. Skoularigis J, Sinovich V, Joubert G, Sareli P. Evaluation of the long-term results of mitral valve repair in 254 young patients with rheumatic mitral regurgitation. Circulation. 1994 Nov; 90(5 Pt 2):II167-74. PubMed | Google Scholar

34. Frank Edwin, Ernest Aniteye, Mark M Tettey, Martin Tamatey and Kwabena Frimpong-Boateng. Outcome of left heart mechanical valve replacement in West African children - A 15-year retrospective study. Journal of Cardiothoracic Surgery. 2011; 6(1):57. PubMed | Google Scholar

35. Sachin Talwar, Manithara Raman Rajesh, Anandaraja Subramanian, Anita Saxena, Arkalgud Sampath Kumar. Mitral valve repair in children with rheumatic heart disease. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2005 Apr; 129(4):875-879. PubMed | Google Scholar

36. Anubhav Gupta, Parag Gharde and Arkalgud Sampath Kumar. Anterior Mitral Leaflet Length: Predictor for Mitral Valve Repair in a Rheumatic Population. Ann Thorac Surg. 2010 Dec; 90(6):1930-1933. PubMed | Google Scholar

37. Afksendiyos Kalangos, Jan T Christenson, Maurice Beggelli, Mustafa Cikiricioglu, Dimitrios Kamentsidis, and Yacine Aggoun. Mitral Valve Repair for Rheumatic Valve Disease in Children: Midterm Results and Impact of the Use of a Biodegradable Mitral Ring. Thorac Surg. 2008 Jul; 86(1):161-169. PubMed | Google Scholar

38. Mohd Azhari Yakub, Jeswant Dillon, Paneer S. Krishna Moorthy, Kiew Kong Pau and Mohd Nazeri Nordin; Is rheumatic aetiology a predictor of poor outcome in the current era of mitral valve repair? Contemporary long-term results of mitral valve repair in rheumatic heart disease. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. 2013 Oct; 44(4):673-681. PubMed | Google Scholar

39. David L Nunley, Albert Starr. The Evolution of Reparative Techniques for the Mitral Valve. Ann Thorac Surg. 1984 May; 37(5):393-397. PubMed | Google Scholar
40. Rachid Zegdi, Ziad Khabbaz, Sylvain Chauvaud, Christian Latremouille, Jean-Noël Fabiani and Alain Deloche. Posterior Leaflet Extension With an Autologous Pericardial Patch in Rheumatic Mitral Insufficiency. Ann Thorac Surg. 2007 Sep; 84(3):1043-1044. PubMed | Google Scholar

41. Frater Robert W M. Mitral Valve Prolapse Syndrome: a Patient's Perspective. The Lancet. 1962; 5(1):119-44. PubMed | Google Scholar

42. Jeanne M Vesey, Catherine M Otto. Complications of prosthetic heart valves. Curr Cardiol Rep. 2004 Mar; 6(2):106-111. PubMed | Google Scholar

43. Manji I, Pastakia SD, DO AN, Ouma MN, Schellhase E, Karwa R, Miller ML, Saina C, Akwanalo C. Performance outcomes of a pharmacist-managed anticoagulation clinic in the rural, resource-constrained setting of Eldoret, Kenya. J Thromb Haemost. 2011 Nov; 9(11):2215-2220. PubMed | Google Scholar

44. Jackson S, Peterson G, Bereznicki L, Misan G, Jupe D, Vial J. Improving the outcomes of anticoagulation in rural Australia: an evaluation of pharmacist-assisted monitoring of warfarin therapy. J Clin Pharm Ther. 2005 Aug; 30(4):345-353. PubMed | Google Scholar

45. Marko Ivan Turina. European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery: carrying the torch. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. 2002 Dec; 22(6):857-863. PubMed | Google Scholar

46. World Health Organization. Health financing: a strategy for the African Region. Regional Committee for Africa; Fifty-sixth session; Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. 28 August-1 September 2006. Google Scholar