The many roads leading to the syndrome of asthma have proven to be intricately interconnected. The chronic inflammation of asthma is characterized by airway hyperreactivity and variable reversibility. Past classification systems relied on assessment of daily impairment and the distinction between intrinsic (nonallergic) and extrinsic (allergic). With more precise asthma phenotypes, association studies likely will have greater significance. In addition, patients at higher risk for severe disease can be more effectively managed, and treatments can be directed to responders. In this review, we look at eight identified phenotypes: atopic and nonatopic status, pre-asthma wheezing, inflammatory mediator predominance, aspirin-sensitive, exercise-induced, severe, and flare-prone asthma. Although significant overlap exists among the distinctions, any future phenotype classification system will need to incorporate these eight clinical asthmatic populations.

Introduction
The core definition of asthma has been unchanged for some time. The most recent summary of the National Asthma Education and Prevention Program Expert Panel succinctly describes asthma as “a complex disorder characterized by variable and recurring symptoms, airflow obstruction, bronchial hyperresponsiveness, and an underlying inflammation” [1]. Yet asthma has proven to be a complex interplay of genetic predisposition and temporally specific environmental exposures. One recent study suggests a link between the 17q21 locus and asthma that may be most pronounced for children younger than 4 years of age with smoke exposure [2]. Our challenge is to find clear distinctions within this diverse population grouped under the umbrella of asthma.

As our ability to process genetic data threatens to outpace our capacity to analyze them, it becomes increasingly clear that without successfully distinguishing the clinical phenotypes of asthma, we will continue to struggle with therapeutic outcomes that reflect unmet needs. The surprising failure of directed anti-interleukin (IL)-5 therapy to affect clinical outcomes despite successfully lowering eosinophilic counts initially cast doubt on the role of eosinophils in asthma [3]. New classifications of inflammatory markers in forced sputum now suggest subtypes of eosinophilic, neutrophilic, and paucigranulocytic asthma [4]. Two subsequent randomized, double-blind control trials looking at eosinophilic-predominant severe asthma patients showed a significant reduction in flares [5,6]. These studies highlight the importance of assigning phenotype for appropriate management.

In addition to observing asthma cohorts such as the Tucson and ALSPAC (Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children) studies, authors have used various statistical analyses to identify asthma phenotypes. Using cluster analysis, one study examined mildly affected patients managed by primary care and more refractory asthma patients seen in specialty clinics [7]. For the patients with milder asthma, three phenotypes were appreciated: early-onset atopic, obese noneosinophilic, and benign. The refractory group had those initial clusters plus significant early onset; symptom predominant; noneosinophilic; and late-onset, eosinophilic, minimal-symptom clusters. Comparing these clusters to a cohort that was managed by sputum-guided therapy, the authors suggest that by classifying phenotype, symptom- or sputum-based guidance can improve asthma control.

Previous attempts at asthma classification have been hampered by the extensive overlap between the various phenotypes. In this review, we discuss eight main phenotypic determinants that must be considered in any classification system. We address atopic and nonatopic asthma and the clinical attributes of inflammatory mediator–specific asthmatics. We also discuss pre-asthma wheezing as well as exacerbation prone and irreversible airway limitation. Potential triggers for asthma, including aspirin (ASA) sensitivity, infection, and exercise, likewise are examined. Finally, we address severe asthma and the flare-prone phenotype.

Atopy
Although the most traditional descriptions of asthma delineate allergic from nonallergic asthma, even this dichotomy appears controversial. Allergic asthma can be defined by positivity to skin prick test or specific IgE, though the pres-
ence of sensitivity alone cannot prove causation of asthma without challenge. Additionally, nonatopic asthma patients may encompass diverse groups such as infection-triggered and ASA-sensitive asthma. Multiple studies have attempted to estimate the attributable risk of atopy for asthma, with a surprisingly low population-attributable risk of 33% to 56.3% [8]. Attempts to distinguish nonatopic and atopic asthma by immune response and pathology also have been equivocal [9,10].

Atopic asthma patients likely account for slightly more than 50% of asthmatics. These patients tend to be younger yet still make up a large proportion of adult asthma patients [11]. Atopic asthma patients are more likely male with better response to steroids and greater forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁) [12]. Paroxysmal sneezing and seasonal rhinorrhea are more common in atopic asthma, although chronic rhinitis symptoms are seen in both [11]. Data analyzed from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey suggest that four allergens are associated with most atopic asthma patients: Alternaria spp, cat, and white oak, with inverse association with perennial rye [8].

Nonatopic asthma patients likely make up a more disparate group. These individuals do not have evidence of skin prick positivity or elevated IgE. More likely female and older, nonatopic asthma patients tend to have more severe disease and recent steroid requirement [11]. Some of this severity may be a result of the contribution of ASA-sensitive asthma patients, and nasal polyposis is more common in this group. Nonatopic asthma patients are more commonly triggered by infection, irritants, gastroesophageal reflux disease, stress, and exercise [12]. Various studies indicate a neutrophilic and an eosinophilic dominance in nonatopic asthma patients [10,12].

Studies have examined the T-regulatory–cell response as well as pathologic findings in atopic and nonatopic asthma. Sputum neutrophils were found in significantly higher numbers in atopic compared with nonatopic asthma patients [10]. Chemokines and CD4⁺CD25⁺ T-regulatory cells were not statistically different in nonatopic and atopic asthma patients [9]. Airway pathology was similar for nonatopics with multitrigger wheezing and atopics with equal epithelial loss and thickened basement membranes [13]. These immunologic and pathologic findings, coupled with the unexpectedly low attributable risk of atopy in asthma in a few studies indicate that the delineation between atopic and nonatopic asthma may be overstated [14].

Pre-asthma Wheezing
Multiple prospective trials have observed newborns through childhood, adolescence, and even to adulthood in some cases in hopes of identifying risk factors for future asthma [15–17]. Several potential prediagnosis phenotypes have emerged. Complicating the diagnosis of asthma is the frequency of young children who have wheezing early in life, as late as age 3 years, but who are asymptomatic by age 6 years. These children may have virus-induced wheezing not associated with later asthma, and some may have smaller airways predisposed to wheezing that remits with growth [17]. Several recent studies identified potentially unique phenotypes in younger children [15–17].

Never or infrequent wheeze made up 50% to 59.3% of birth cohorts [16–18]. This group includes almost half of the children, which is in contrast to the US lifetime prevalence of asthma of 11.2% as reported by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The infrequent/never-wheeze population had similar IgE levels and atopy compared with those with transient early wheeze [17]. The infrequent wheeze phenotype did have greater FEV₁ values, greater forced expiratory flow (FEF)₂₅₋₇₅%, and lower responsiveness than the never-wheeze group [16].

Transient early wheeze, defined as those who experienced wheezing in the first 1.5 to 3 years but who were asymptomatic by age 4 to 6 years, comprises 16% to 20% of these cohorts. Although the Tucson study identified one group for early transient wheeze asymptomatic by age 6 years, the ALSPAC study delineated two groups, early- (16.3%) and late-transient (9%) wheeze with symptoms at age 18 months that was declining by ages 42 and 69 months, respectively [16,17]. Neither group showed significant association with atopy but did have some association with airway hyperreactivity, decreased FEV₁, and FEF₂₅₋₇₅% at 7 to 8 years, and maternal asthma and smoking. These studies identified a population that may have symptoms from viral infection or congenital small airways but is unlikely to have asthma symptoms that persist into later childhood.

Henderson et al. [16] calculated an intermediate wheeze phenotype—making up only 2.7%—who have minimal symptoms at 18 months but significant prevalence by 42 months. Interestingly, this population had the strongest association with atopy in the ALSPAC study (OR, 8.26; 95% CI, 5.24–13.36), specifically to Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus and cat allergen [16]. Intermediate wheeze also has the most significant association with airway hyperresponsiveness. This group is incorporated into persistent wheeze by the Tucson study and represents a likely pre-asthma state [17].

Late-onset wheeze was classified in both cohorts with minimal symptoms before age 3 to 3.5 years but wheezing after 6 years. These patients had a high prevalence of atopy by skin prick test (55.7%) but did not have significantly elevated IgE levels. There was a positive association for late-onset wheezing with chronic rhinitis, maternal asthma, and male sex. Late-onset wheeze was seen in 15% of patients in the Tucson study but only 6% in the ALSPAC group and suggests a pre-asthma phenotype with symptoms stemming from asthma as opposed to viral etiology or transient small airways [17].

Persistent wheeze comprises 6.9% to 13.7% of these cohorts and is characterized by high IgE at 9 months with risk factors of eczema, chronic rhinitis, maternal...
Inflamed airways have been described in asthma, with increased levels of inflammatory markers found in bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) or induced sputum, indicating activation of innate immunity. Types of asthma include eosinophilic, neutrophilic, and paucigranulocytic, each with distinct clinical features and responses to treatment. Eosinophilic asthma, the predominant subtype, is associated with bronchial hyperreactivity and airway inflammation. Neutrophilic asthma is less common but can be associated with airway inflammation, mucus hypersecretion, and airway hyperreactivity.

Inflammation plays a significant role in asthma, with increased levels of inflammatory markers such as TNF-α and IL-5 found in patients with asthma. These markers are associated with increased airway responsiveness and are important for the development of airway remodeling. The presence of these markers can help identify patients who are more likely to respond to IL-5 inhibitors.

Infection with respiratory viruses such as rhinovirus, human metapneumovirus, and human bocavirus can trigger asthma exacerbations. The presence of these viruses can be detected in BAL or induced sputum samples, and their presence is associated with increased airway inflammation and hyperresponsiveness.

In summary, asthma is a complex disease with multiple factors contributing to its development and exacerbations. Understanding the role of inflammation and infection in asthma is crucial for developing effective treatments and identifying patients who are more likely to respond to specific therapies.
Chlamydia pneumoniae and contribute to the development or unmasking of asthma evidence indicates that chronic bacterial colonization may contribute significantly to asthma flares, more recent severe asthma patients, with lower severity than rhinovirus and RSV. Although it is confined to winter epidemics, influenza has a significant rate of fatality among asthma patients [24]. Human metapneumovirus and bocavirus have been more commonly detected and likely significantly increase in severity when contracted with another virus [24].

Although bacteria traditionally have not been thought to contribute significantly to asthma flares, more recent evidence indicates that chronic bacterial colonization may contribute to the development or unmasking of asthma as well as acute flares [31]. Atypical bacteria, including Chlamydia pneumoniae and Mycoplasma pneumoniae, are more prevalent in asthma patients, especially during acute flares, with evidence of prolonged presence (by polymerase chain reaction) up to 200 days after initial infection [24,32]. The obligate intracellular nature of Chlamydia may contribute to its chronic low-virulence nature. Multiple studies have examined treatment of asthma patients with antibiotics, with varying results on lung function and asthma symptoms [26,33]. In a study of severe, nonatopic adult asthma patients, Chlamydia was found in two thirds of patients by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay and associated with a fourfold greater rate of decline in lung function [34]. Thus, a persistent, mild infection by atypical bacteria may cause a proinflammatory state that leads to airway remodeling and increased sensitivity to atopy. Indeed, in one murine model, mild inoculation of Chlamydia increased sensitization to allergens, whereas high doses of bacteria did not increase sensitization [35].

One final complication is the finding that colonization with bacteria such as Streptococcus pneumoniae and Haemophilus, Moraxella, and Staphylococcus spp increased the likelihood of asthma in early childhood in the Childhood Origins of Asthma Trial [25,35]. In this cohort, asymptomatic neonates observed to 5 years of age were cultured at 1 month. Those colonized with bacteria were more likely to wheeze, be hospitalized, and have a diagnosis of asthma at 5 years of age. The population-attributable risk for colonization was a significant 4.6%. As our detection methods become more accurate, the detected prevalence of bacteria and viruses increases. Although to this point we have not clearly identified the population of asthma patients that benefits from antibiotics, data indicate that such a population exists. The best defined of the triggered asthma phenotypes may be Samter’s triad, which is characterized by nasal polyposis, ASA sensitivity, and asthma. Although it has been described in the literature for decades, the current prevalence of 14% in a recent study is likely confounded by underdiagnosis [36]. This phenotype is more common in severe asthma patients, especially females, and is likely caused by eosinophil-activated remodeling of the airways with chronic nonatopic eosinophilic sinusitis [37]. It is often seen in adults at presentation, as refractory chronic perennial rhinitis precedes ASA/NSAID sensitivity, polyposis, and worsening asthma [37]. Individuals examined from the Epidemiology and Natural History of Asthma: Outcomes and Treatment Regimens (TENOR) study population of severe asthma patients showed ASA-exacerbated respiratory disease patients have lower FEV1, higher asthma severity classification, greater incidence of intubation, greater recent steroid burst, and higher use of high-dose inhaled corticosteroids than non–ASA-sensitive asthma patients [36]. Acutely, patients present with flushing, urticaria, edema, rhinitis, and often asthma flares, frequently up to 3 hours after exposure [37].

ASA-induced symptoms are thought to be non–IgE-mediated. Inhibition of cyclooxygenase-1 leads to lower levels of prostaglandin E2, a noted anti-inflammatory mediator that can block cysteinyl leukotrienes. Studies have confirmed a higher level of cysteinyl leukotrienes in ASA-sensitive patients, specifically leukotriene C4 with promoter -444C, the level of which is higher in Japanese populations [38,39]. The level of urinary leukotriene E4 generally is significantly higher than it is in non–ASA-sensitive patients [37]. These patients have shown significant improvement on leukotriene modifiers and with ASA desensitization, nasal polypectomy, and topical steroids. The inflammatory progression and possible airway remodeling continue regardless of exposure history to ASA. A recent study suggested that ASA desensitization for severe refractory asthma is also cost-effective [40].

The ASA-exacerbated respiratory disease phenotype falls into that of eosinophilic and severe asthma. It offers a unique classification in that several interventions have proven effective in lowering asthma morbidity. Depending on the level of polyposis, surgery initially may be indicated, followed by desensitization and maintenance doses, as well as leukotriene modifiers (eg, a lipooxygenase inhibitor such as zileuton). Desensitization appears to lower morbidity regardless of prior exposure [37].

**Exercise**

The phenotype of exercise-induced asthma (EIA) is also likely an umbrella term, with significant differential diagnoses that in many studies have exceeded asthma in incidence upon testing. EIA was defined by the American Academy of Allergy, Asthma, and Immunology working group as “the condition in which exercise induces symptoms of asthma in patients who have asthma,” whereas exercise-induced bronchospasm does not require a diagnosis of asthma [41]. Various mechanisms have been postulated for EIA, including hyperventilation leading to increased irritant cold dry air exposure and subsequent desiccation of the airways [41,42]. Further inflammation can lead to activation of neutrophils, mast cells, and
proinflammatory cytokines, causing significant inflammation. The prevalence of EIA is about 5% to 20%.

Symptoms of EIA include dyspnea, chest pain or tightness, wheeze, and cough. These symptoms should be accompanied by a reversible drop in FEV₁ of 10% to 20%. In our center, we have found exercise challenge useful in this diagnosis, with several children testing positive for dehydration, vocal cord dysfunction, and cardiac arrhythmias such as prolonged QT. Other common causes of dyspnea with exercise include hyperventilation, deconditioning, gastroesophageal reflux, laryngomalacia, exercise-induced anaphylaxis, and restrictive disease from body habitus. There seems to be a predominance of elite athletes with symptoms of EIA, although some of these cases may well be misdiagnosed vocal cord dysfunction presenting soon after exercise and feeling of obstruction in the throat as well as inspiratory stridor. There appears to be a protective response of exercise that delays symptoms for 2 to 3 hours. Prophylactic β-agonist inhaler or cromolyn administered 10 to 15 minutes before exercise can also decrease symptoms [41•], although some controversy exists as to whether regular use can worsen EIA.

Severe Asthma
The phenotype of severe asthma makes up only 5% to 10% of asthma patients but is responsible for 30% to 40% of asthma’s economic burden [22••]. Patients in this phenotype may be steroid dependent or resistant, which is theorized to correlate with responsive neutrophilic or non-responsive persistent-eosinophilic inflammation. Other subtypes have been inconsistently associated, such as ASA sensitivity; older, obese, menstruating female patients; and smokers. Multiple cohorts are being observed for further elucidation of the severe asthma phenotype, including the Severe Asthma Research Program (SARP), the TENOR group, and the European Network for Understanding Mechanisms of Severe Asthma study. The SARP and European Network for Understanding Mechanisms of Severe Asthma groups specifically incorporate medicine requirements in their diagnosis of severe asthma. Through these cohorts, constant variables have emerged, as has the heterogeneity of this phenotype.

The SARP, initiated by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, observed severe asthma patients as defined by the American Thoracic Society consensus, which required one of two major criteria (majority of year with oral corticosteroids and high-dose inhaled corticosteroids) as well as two of seven minor criteria (additional controller, near-daily short-acting β-agonist use, FEV₁ < 80%, one or more urgent care visits, three or more oral steroid bursts, rapid deterioration with < 25% decrease in steroid dose, and intubation). General characteristics found among severe asthma patients were older age and longer duration of disease, more daily symptoms of dyspnea and cough, higher utilization rates, lower lung function (that was reversible), less atopy, and history of pneumonia [22••]. Within SARP, there was no significance of sex or body mass index, although other studies reported female sex and obesity as a distinct cluster in this phenotype [7,43]. Not surprisingly, severe asthma was most consistently distinguished by three oral steroid bursts and intubation. Also critical was the finding that these patients were predominantly reversible and had significant epithelial and lamina reticularis thickening that was potentially triggered by chronic infection [22••].

Severe asthma has been defined by early and late onset that is generally delineated by onset before or after 12 years of age. Early onset generally is more atopic with longer duration of disease and, in one study, lower urinary leukotriene E₁ and less eosinophilia [4]. Late onset is less common, with higher eosinophilia and lower lung FEV₁ despite shorter duration. Some have theorized that the persistent eosinophilia despite chronic steroid use indicated nonresponsiveness [20]. In a study of severe asthma patients, however, ten Brinke et al. [23] were able to lower eosinophils to undetectable levels with 2 weeks of intramuscular triamcinolone and to improve FEV₁ while decreasing rescue therapy. Thus, the classification of eosinophilic severe asthma is still controversial and may identify a population that can be controlled with appropriate aggressive treatment. Other common characteristics found in severe asthma patient cohorts include ASA sensitivity, gastroesophageal reflux disease, psychological dysfunctioning, and sinopulmonary disease [22••,44]. Treatment possibilities include multiple immunomodulators, with some success seen with anti-IgE and anti–IL-5 recently, although a trial of anti–tumor necrosis factor-α did not show clinical improvement [5,6].

Flare Prone
The greatest cost of asthma to the patient’s future lung function and to the health care system stems from severe exacerbations that often require emergency department visits and hospitalizations. Although severe asthma patients with difficult-to-control disease account for many of these visits, it is clear that asthma patients of all baseline severity can have flares that cause significant and persistent damage [45]. Indeed, in the most recent National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute guidelines, the concept of risk is added to the traditional daily impairment classification of asthma. Although a patient may have complete control between flares, repeated emergency department visits or hospitalizations indicate inadequate control and therefore raise the patient’s severity classification [1]. The importance of preventing flares was emphasized by a recent study that estimated a 30.2-mL greater annual decline in FEV₁ [46]. Because asthma patients of all severity levels can have frequent flares, attempts have been made to describe this population of “flare-prone” patients. Whereas multiple studies have examined risk factors for exacerbations, only a few have attempted to characterize the population of frequent-flaring asthma patients. Risk
factors include female sex, previous flare, recurrent infections, and allergen exposure.

Multiple risk factors have been found in various studies, although somewhat inconsistently. One constant risk factor for frequent flares is previous exacerbation, which can have an OR as high as 6.33 [47,48]. Other risk factors for flares include lower baseline FEV₁, severe nasal sinus disease, and psychological dysfunction [46,47,49]. Most flares are caused by infections, with a pathogen found in as many as 80% of acutely flaring asthma patients [50]. Rhinovirus has been found in about two thirds of infection-triggered patients, and infection severity seems to correlate with flare severity [50]. Given that asthma patients seem to have a higher susceptibility to infection, possibly caused by defects in innate immunity and interferon-γ cytokine production, flare-prone asthma patients may have a more significant defect that leads to frequent infections and thus exacerbations [50]. Finally, one recent study estimated that exposure of an asthma patient to an infection and an allergen trigger can increase the OR of flare to 19.4 (95% CI, 3.1–101.5) [51]. Further elucidating the phenotype that is more likely to have severe flares regardless of baseline symptoms may significantly increase future FEV₁ and the burden of asthma [16].

Conclusions
Although this review is not exhaustive of all asthma syndromes, it includes most of those with chronic, variably reversible obstruction and hyperreactivity. More precise phenotypic classification is essential for directing aggressive intervention for selected asthmatic populations and effective treatment for responders. The process would be aided by advances in molecular pathogenesis and diagnosis.
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