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SUMMARY
Diffuse midline gliomas (DMG) are highly malignant incurable pediatric brain tumors. In this study, we show that Aurora kinase A (AURKA) is overexpressed in DMG and can be used as a therapeutic target. Additionally, AURKA inhibition combined with CRISPR/Cas9 screening in DMG cells, revealed polo-like kinase 1 (PLK1) as a synergistic target with AURKA. Using a panel of patient-derived DMG culture models, we demonstrate that treatment with volasertib, a clinically relevant and selective PLK1 inhibitor, synergizes with different AURKA inhibitors, supporting the CRISPR screen results. Mechanistically, our results show that combined loss of PLK1 and AURKA causes a G2/M cell cycle arrest which blocks vital parts of DNA-damage repair and induces apoptosis, solely in DMG cells. Altogether, our findings highlight the importance of AURKA and PLK1 for DMG propagation and demonstrate the potential of concurrently targeting these proteins as a therapeutic strategy for these devastating pediatric brain tumors.

INTRODUCTION
Diffuse midline gliomas (DMG), including diffuse intrinsic pontine gliomas (DIPG), are highly aggressive incurable pediatric brain tumors and are the major cause of death in children suffering from brain tumors (Johung and Monje, 2017). In DMG, the most frequent genetic aberration is a lysine-to-methionine substitution at position 27 in H3.1 (H3.1K27M) or H3.3 (H3.3K27M), which results in altered gene expression and aggressive tumor growth (Buczkwowicz et al., 2014; Lowe et al., 2019). Despite advances in understanding the molecular basis of these tumors, the clinical prognosis for patients with DMG has not improved in the past decades (Jones et al., 2017). To this day, focal radiation therapy remains one of the cornerstones of current treatment regimens, although this generally provides only temporary symptom relief and a minor delay in tumor progression (Meel et al., 2018c). As such, DMG tumors are still among the most lethal malignancies in children, and improved therapeutic strategies are desperately needed.

Over the past decade, the molecular background of diffuse midline gliomas has been thoroughly investigated and many DMG in vitro and in vivo models have been established. This has led to the preclinical identification of many potentially suitable agents and targets for the treatment of this disease. Despite these advances, only a few effective single-agents for the treatment of DMG have been identified in a preclinical setting, such as the MELK inhibitor OTSSP167, the HDAC inhibitor Panobinostat, and the WEE1 inhibitor AZD1775 (Meel et al., 2018a, 2020; Mueller et al., 2018). However, monotherapy often results in recurrent growth from resistant DMG subclones, which has rendered all drugs tested in clinical trials to this day ineffective.

In this study, we searched for combinational therapeutic targets that are essential for DMG survival by conducting an explorative kinome-wide CRISPR/Cas9 screening in primary DMG cultures. Through an additional in silico analysis of publicly available gene expression datasets, we found that AURKA, a serine/threonine kinase that acts as a cell cycle regulator during G2/M phase and meiosis (Marumoto et al., 2002), is one of the few kinases that is both highly upregulated in DMG tissues and essential for DMG survival in the CRISPR screens.

AURKA upregulation is common in malignancies and dysregulation has been associated with cancer onset, therapy resistance, and metastasis (Marumoto et al., 2002). Because AURKA plays an important role in
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chromosomal alignment and spindle formation during mitosis, and cancer cells tend to be more mitotically active than their healthy counterpart cells, AURKA upregulation in cancer is not surprising. However, inhibition of AURKA has only been shown effective in selective malignancies, indicating that many cancers do not fully rely on AURKA for mitosis (Du et al., 2021). Furthermore, in several cancers, AURKA has been shown to play a vital role in the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition, which has been described as an essential mechanism for tumor survival in DMG (Yang et al., 2016).

Using additional CRISPR/Cas9 screening in the presence of an AURKA inhibitor, we identified the proto-onco cell cycle regulator PLK1 as synergistic drug target with AURKA inhibition in our primary patient-derived DMG models. In this study, we further establish and investigate this AURKA/PLK1 inhibition method as a multi-drug preclinical therapeutic target for the treatment of DMG.

RESULTS
CRISPR/Cas9 screening and in silico analysis identify AURKA as potential drug target in DMG

To identify novel therapeutic targets in DMG, we performed an explorative kinome-wide CRISPR/Cas9 inducible knockout screen in two primary pediatric DMG models (VUMC-DIPG-10 and HSJD-DIPG-07). Through the MAGeCK-VISPR bioinformatics pipeline, we identified negatively and positively selected subclones at 14 and 21 days post knockout. To test which negatively selected kinases correlate with up-regulation of their respective genes in a DMG patient pool, we performed an in silico analysis of kinase mRNA expression profiles from patients with DMG and compared these to nonmalignant brain tissues, including healthy brainstem (Table 1). Several lethal hits from the CRISPR/Cas9 screen correlated with

Table 1. Top 25 upregulated kinases in DMG

| Rank | Gene   | Probeset | Fold increase (log2) | Expression increase (log2) | p value (FDR) |
|------|--------|----------|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| 1    | TOP2A  | 201,292_at | 10.12                | 7.07                      | 9.76 × 10⁻⁵⁷  |
| 2    | MELK   | 204,825_at | 4.70                 | 5.89                      | 5.18 × 10⁻⁶¹  |
| 3    | BUB1   | 209,642_at | 3.49                 | 4.77                      | 1.75-E⁰⁴⁰     |
| 4    | TTK    | 204,822_at | 3.42                 | 4.83                      | 5.87 × 10⁻⁴²  |
| 5    | OSR1   | 228,399_at | 3.20                 | 3.89                      | 1.20-E⁻²⁰    |
| 6    | PBK    | 219,148_at | 3.12                 | 5.17                      | 4.35 × 10⁻⁵⁹  |
| 7    | WEE1   | 212,533_at | 2.45                 | 5.21                      | 2.68 × 10⁻⁴⁶  |
| 8    | GRK7   | 1,552,929_at | 2.08               | 1.51                      | 3.46 × 10⁻⁵   |
| 9    | NEK2   | 204,641_at | 1.98                 | 3.33                      | 2.56 × 10⁻²²  |
| 10   | STK33  | 228,035_at | 1.93                 | 2.97                      | 2.08 × 10⁻¹⁹  |
| 11   | TEX14  | 221,035_s_at | 1.90            | 2.60                      | 1.64 × 10⁻¹³  |
| 12   | AURKB  | 204,464_s_at | 1.75            | 2.27                      | 2.82E⁻²⁰     |
| 13   | BUB1B  | 203,755_at | 1.72                 | 2.85                      | 4.08 × 10⁻³²  |
| 14   | HK2    | 202,934_at | 1.62                 | 3.41                      | 2.81 × 10⁻³²  |
| 15   | AURKA  | 204,092_s_at | 1.55            | 2.33                      | 2.68 × 10⁻³²  |
| 16   | ROR2   | 205,578_at | 1.55                 | 1.31                      | 6.86 × 10⁻⁶   |
| 17   | CHEK2  | 210,416_s_at | 1.55          | 1.92                      | 3.44 × 10⁻¹⁵  |
| 18   | PLAU   | 205,479_s_at | 1.54            | 1.89                      | 2.31 × 10⁻¹⁴  |
| 19   | DYRK3  | 210,151_s_at | 1.48            | 2.04                      | 6.38 × 10⁻¹⁵  |
| 20   | MASTL  | 224,468_at | 1.46                 | 1.92                      | 3.69 × 10⁻⁴⁷  |
| 21   | LCK    | 204,891_s_at | 1.44            | 1.08                      | 8.95 × 10⁻⁶   |
| 22   | STK10  | 203,047_at | 1.44                 | 1.73                      | 1.70 × 10⁻⁹   |
| 23   | STK17A | 202,693_s_at | 1.39            | 2.37                      | 3.68 × 10⁻⁶³  |
| 24   | LAT51  | 227,772_at | 1.38                 | 2.28                      | 1.55 × 10⁻⁵⁴  |
| 25   | MORC4  | 219,038_at | 1.37                 | 1.93                      | 7.71 × 10⁻⁴¹  |

*Sorted on log2 fold increase. DMG tumor samples (n=27, GSE26576) compared to nonmalignant brain tissues (n=174, GSE11882) including 2 nonmalignant pediatric brain stem tissues (GSE26576).
upregulation in DMG tissues, as visualized in Figure 1A. Knockout of the chromosomal alignment regulators AURKA, MASTL, WEE1, and TTK caused the most significant negative selection both at day 14 and 21 in both DMG models and analyzed at 14 and 21 days after knockout. Red squares represent essential genes for cell survival while knockout of genes indicated in gray did not have any effect in the CRISPR screen. Color cutoff is indicated in Figure S1.

(B) STRING protein interaction analysis of the 25 strongest upregulated kinases in DMG. Each colored line indicates interaction between both adjacent proteins, as further explained at http://string-db.org.

(C) mRNA expression levels of AURKA in healthy brain tissues (n = 172, GSE11882) (Berchtold et al., 2008), pediatric low-grade glioma tissues (n = 10, GSE26576), pediatric high-grade glioma tissues (n = 53, GSE19578), and DMG tissues (n = 27, GSE26576) (Paugh et al., 2011).

(D) Immunohistochemical staining of AURKA (brown) in brain tumor biopsy samples. Upper image depicts tumor tissue from a pediatric low-grade glioma (patient ID: 158, www.proteinatlas.org) and the lower image depicts tumor tissue from a pediatric high-grade glioma (patient ID: 1599, www.proteinatlas.org). Scale bar length is 400 μm.

(E) Kaplan-Meier curve depicting survival of 47 patients with pHGG with high (red) or low (blue) AURKA mRNA expression (expression cut-off: 48.7) (GSE: 19578) (Paugh et al., 2010). Survival differences between groups were tested using the log rank (Mantel-Cox) test.

Figure 1. In silico analysis and CRISPR screening identify AURKA as potential drug target in DMG

(A) Top upregulated genes in DMG ordered by their importance for DMG cell survival as identified through the kinome-wide CRISPR/Cas9 screen. Screening was performed in VUMC-DIPG-10, HSJD-DMG07, and VUMC-ATRT-03 cells and analyzed at 14 and 21 days after knockout. Red squares represent essential genes for cell survival while knockout of genes indicated in gray did not have any effect in the CRISPR screen. Color cutoff is indicated in Figure S1.

(B) STRING protein interaction analysis of the 25 strongest upregulated kinases in DMG. Each colored line indicates interaction between both adjacent proteins, as further explained at http://string-db.org.

(C) mRNA expression levels of AURKA in healthy brain tissues (n = 172, GSE11882) (Berchtold et al., 2008), pediatric low-grade glioma tissues (n = 10, GSE26576), pediatric high-grade glioma tissues (n = 53, GSE19578), and DMG tissues (n = 27, GSE26576) (Paugh et al., 2011).

(D) Immunohistochemical staining of AURKA (brown) in brain tumor biopsy samples. Upper image depicts tumor tissue from a pediatric low-grade glioma (patient ID: 158, www.proteinatlas.org) and the lower image depicts tumor tissue from a pediatric high-grade glioma (patient ID: 1599, www.proteinatlas.org). Scale bar length is 400 μm.

(E) Kaplan-Meier curve depicting survival of 47 patients with pHGG with high (red) or low (blue) AURKA mRNA expression (expression cut-off: 48.7) (GSE: 19578) (Paugh et al., 2010). Survival differences between groups were tested using the log rank (Mantel-Cox) test.

upregulation in DMG tissues, as visualized in Figure 1A. Knockout of the chromosomal alignment regulators AURKA, MASTL, WEE1, and TTK caused the most significant negative selection both at day 14 and 21 in both DMG models and are also highly upregulated in DMG tumor samples. Intriguingly, in a control CRISPR/Cas9 screening in non-DMG pediatric brain tumor cells (VUMC-ATRT-03), MASTL, WEE1, and TTK knockout also caused cell death, whereas AURKA knockout did not affect cell viability. Because this effect was observed solely in our DMG models, we hypothesized an essential role for AURKA specifically in DMG cells. From the top 25 upregulated kinases in DMG, 12 have a proven interaction with AURKA, as denoted in the STRING protein interaction algorithm (http://string-db.org/) (Figure 1B). These interacting kinases are all involved in mitotic regulation and include the strongest lethal hits in the DMG CRISPR/Cas9 screen.
Figure 2. Identification of PLK1 as a therapeutic target to strengthen AURKA inhibition in DMG

(A) Schematic representation of the CRISPR/Cas9 synthetic lethality screen, representing kinase knockouts that were negatively selected after 14 (left) and 21 (right) days with phthalazinone pyrazole treatment compared to non-treated conditions across two DMG models and one ATRT model (VUMC-DIPG-10, HSJD-DIPG-07, and VUMC-ATRT-03).

(B) In silico calculated brain penetrating abilities of the selected compounds (left), using the Brain Or Intestinal EstimateD permeation (BOILED-Egg) method (www.swissadme.ch/index.php) (Daina and Zoete, 2016), and a summary of the selected compounds including their targets, respective clinical status, and solubility (right). The white and yellow regions in the BOILED-Egg analysis indicate the molecules with the highest probability of being absorbed by the gastrointestinal tract (HIA) and to permeate to the blood-brain barrier (BBB), respectively. Compounds with good (+) and poor (−) substrate binding to p-glycoprotein (PgP) are depicted in blue and red, respectively.

(C) Bar graphs visualizing cell viability of SU-DIPG-IV cells after 96 h treatment with volasertib (V), birabresib (B), losmapimod (L), PH-797804 (PH), fasudil (F), or Y-27632 (Y) at the indicated concentrations as monotherapy and in combination

(D) 2D expression map of 304 cancer cell lines treated with four inhibitors for 3 days.

(E) 2D expression map of 304 cancer cell lines treated with four inhibitors for 3 days.
Figure 2. Continued

with 1, 2, or 2.5 μM phthalazine pyrazole (PP). Data represented as percentage viability compared to untreated controls, average ± SD (n = 3). ns = not significant; *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001 (one-way ANOVA and post-hoc unpaired, two-tailed student’s t-tests with Bonferroni correction).

(D) PLK1 mRNA (log2) expression levels in healthy brain tissues (gray) compared to pHGG, DMG, and ATRT (green) and adult mixed and high-grade glioma datasets (blue) (GSE in order: 11882 (Berchtold et al., 2008), 19578 (Paugh et al., 2010), 26576 (Paugh et al., 2011), 28026 (Birks et al., 2011) (Gleize et al., 2015), 16011 (Gravendeel et al., 2008), 43738 (Kawaguchi et al., 2013), 50774 (Zhang et al., 2014), 7696 (Murat et al., 2008), and 4290 (Sun et al., 2006). Significance of each dataset compared to healthy brain, with upregulation in black and downregulation in red. ns = not significant, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001 (one-way ANOVA).

(E) PLK1 (log2) mRNA expression levels compared between gliomas of different grades (GSE: 4290) (Sun et al., 2006), a2 = oligodendroglioma grade 2, o3 = oligodendroglioma grade 3, a2 = astrocytoma grade 2, a3 = astrocytoma grade 3, g4 = glioblastoma grade 4. Overall mRNA expression differences between groups were tested using ANOVA. Figures 2D and 2E were generated using the R2 platform (http://r2.amc.nl).

Further analysis in patient-derived expression datasets show that AURKA is specifically overexpressed in pediatric high-grade gliomas (pHGG)—of which DMG is a smaller subgroup—while pediatric lower grade gliomas (pLGG) only show minor elevated AURKA expression (Figure 1C). Subsequently, this also translates into a dramatic differential protein expression between low and high-grade pediatric gliomas (Figure 1D). Furthermore, in a population of 47 patients with pHGG, high AURKA expression in the tumor has a significantly (p = 0.0069) worse prognosis over lower expression (Figure 1E). In conclusion, these results indicate that DMGs, in contrast to healthy brain or lower grade tumor tissues, highly depend on AURKA expression, which may therefore serve as a relevant therapeutic target.

CRISPR/Cas9 screening identifies PLK1 as a therapeutic target to strengthen AURKA inhibition in DMG

High-grade pediatric brain tumors are known for their ability to escape drug treatment through clonal evolution of therapy resistant tumor subclones. Therefore, we aimed to identify synthetic lethal combinations by conducting another CRISPR/Cas9 screen in the presence of the highly selective AURKA inhibitor phthalazine pyrazole (PP) (Prime et al., 2011) in the models that were also used in the explorative CRISPR screen. From this CRISPR/Cas9 synthetic lethality screen, we selected kinase knockouts that significantly reduced growth rate in the presence of PP treatment after 14 and 21 days (Figures 2A and S2). By exploring their function and associated signaling pathways using the STRING protein interaction algorithm, and gene ontology analysis, we identified three main pathways: the mitotic spindle/cell cycle checkpoint pathway, the p38 pathway, and the Rho signaling pathway. We selected a panel of inhibitors against kinases with a prominent function within these pathways and other clinically relevant drug targets, with each compound chosen based on target selectivity, clinical status, and an in silico pharmacokinetic analysis (Figure 2B). We then investigated synergism of these compounds with PP by assessing cell viability in three DMG models and found that PP synergized most significantly with the PLK1 inhibitor volasertib, with less than 10% cell survival after treatment with the combination therapy (Figure 2C). Based on these results, PLK1 was selected for further evaluation. Notably, when analyzing PLK1 expression in publicly available gene expression datasets using the online bioinformatics tool R2 (http://r2.amc.nl), we noticed strong PLK1 upregulation in pediatric brain tumor datasets while these tumors in adults do not show any PLK1 upregulation or even significant downregulation compared to healthy brain tissues (Figure 2D), which may suggest specific efficacy of the proposed treatment strategy in pediatric patients. Furthermore, as with AURKA, PLK1 is more significantly overexpressed in pHGG compared to their lower grade counterparts (Figure 2E). Additionally, in autopsy-derived pediatric DMG tissues, we confirmed AURKA and PLK1 protein upregulation in the tumor cells compared to neighboring nonmalignant brain cells (Figure S3).

Combined inhibition of AURKA and PLK1 synergistically reduces growth and viability in DMG neurosphere models

To validate if AURKA inhibition indeed synergizes with PLK1 inhibition, we combined PP treatment with the clinically used PLK1 inhibitor volasertib in a panel of six patient-derived DMG cultures and one pediatric glioblastoma (GBM) culture. By measuring cell viability after 96 h of treatment at different concentrations, based on single-drug response (Figure 3A), we created a synergy matrix which shows strong synergism between PP and volasertib in five of the models (JHH-DIPG-01, HSJD-DIPG-07, SU-DIPG-IV, SU-DIPG-XXI, and SU-pcGBM-02), while two other models (VUMC-DIPG-10 and VUMC-DIPG-G) show no or limited synergism (Figures 3B and S5). Subsequently, we studied if this synergy would be maintained over a longer
Figure 3. Combined inhibition of AURKA and PLK1 reduces growth and viability in DMG neurosphere models

(A) Dose-response curves representing cell viability in all culture models used in this manuscript after 96 h treatment with phthalazine pyrazole (PP) (left) or volasertib (V) (right). Histone H3-wildtype (H3WT) DMG models are depicted in blue, DMG models with lysine-to-methionine substitution at histone H3.3 (H3.3K27M) in green, DMG models with lysine-to-methionine substitution at histone H3.1 (H3.1K27M) in red, and sonic hedgehog (SHH) subtype ATRT models in black. Data represented as percentage viability compared to untreated controls, average ± SD (n = 3).

(B) 2D visualization of synergy between phthalazine pyrazole (PP) and volasertib (V) at various concentrations in three different primary DMG cultures (96 h treatment). Synergy scores are based on the average cell viability at the indicated concentrations and were calculated using the zero interaction potency (ZIP) model of the SynergyFinder software (http://synergyfinder.fimm.fi) (29, 30). ZIP synergy scores >0 indicate synergism (in red) and <0 indicate anti-synergism (in green). ZIP scores >20 indicate strong synergism.
period by performing long-term cell-viability assays. After 96 h of treatment followed by 10 days of recovery in fresh media, we observed even stronger synergism between PP and volasertib (Figure 3C), except in the two non-responder models which still lacked synergy (Figure S5). To test if AURKA/PLK1 inhibition indeed acts as a tumor-specific treatment strategy in DMG cells, we looked for PP with volasertib treatment synergism in primary human astrocytes. Using combinations of all PP and volasertib concentrations used in this study, we did not observe any elevated toxicity or significant synergy between the two compounds in primary human astrocytes (Figure S6).

To confirm that PP acquires its antitumor synergism with volasertib through inhibition of AURKA, we conducted short- and long-term viability assays in our DMG models using the highly specific AURKA inhibitor alisertib in combination with volasertib. Similar to PP, low nanomolar concentrations of alisertib significantly enhanced the efficacy of volasertib after 96 h in all cultures, except VUMC-DIPG-10 and VUMC-DIPG-G (Figures 3D and S7). Also, long-term, responder and non-responder models fully converged with those observed for PP, confirming that treatment synergism with volasertib can be recapitulated with other AURKA inhibitors (Figures 3E and S7).

Stable AURKA or PLK1 knockdown mimics phthalazinone pyrazole and volasertib inhibitor sensitivity in DMGs

To exclude potential off-target effects of the inhibitors used in our experiments, we established stable AURKA and PLK1 knockdown in patient-derived DMG cultures through lentiviral shRNA transduction. To find optimal knockdown conditions, we used three different shRNAs per gene to establish multiple knockdown clones per culture. We used the cell lines with the strongest knockdown, as confirmed in VUMC-DIPG-10, JHH-DIPG-01, HSJD-DIPG-07, and SU-DIPG-IV, using western blot analysis (Figure 4A). AURKA and PLK1 knockdown cells were treated for 96 h with volasertib and PP, respectively, and cell viability was compared to treatment in empty-vector control cells. AURKA knockdown increased volasertib sensitivity significantly in all DMG knockdown cells (Figure 4B). Reversely, PLK1 knockdown significantly increased PP sensitivity in all DMG knockdown cells (Figure 4B). To test if AURKA inhibition combined with PLK1 inhibition might also be relevant in another type of aggressive pediatric brain tumor, we established PLK1 and AURKA knockdown in two primary ATRT culture models. Strikingly, both ATRT knockdown models did not show any increased sensitivity to PP and volasertib treatment (Figure S8), suggesting that synergy from AURKA with PLK1 inhibition is specific to DMG.

AURKA/PLK1 protein expression as predictor for AURKA/PLK1 inhibitor sensitivity

Previous studies have shown that the degree of AURKA or PLK1 expression is predictive for the cytotoxic effect of inhibition of these proteins (Li et al., 2015a; Spartà et al., 2014). Therefore, we analyzed AURKA and PLK1 protein expression in our DMG and GBM models using western blot analysis. While our two best responder models (SU-DIPG-IV and JHH-DIPG-01) showed elevated levels of both AURKA and PLK1, we did not observe a further correlation between baseline expression and treatment sensitivity (Figure 5A). However, analysis of protein expression after treatment with PP demonstrated that all five responder models (as defined in Figures S5 and S7) show upregulated AURKA protein expression upon treatment, while the two non-responder models (VUMC-DIPG-G and VUMC-DIPG-10) maintain low AURKA expression after PP treatment (Figure 5B). Taken together, these results might suggest that PP/volasertib treatment sensitivity correlates with AURKA expression after treatment, indicating that its expression might serve as predictive biomarker. However, additional research is necessary to confirm these findings.
Combined inhibition of AURKA and PLK1 impairs cell division and induces DNA damage in DMG cells with AURKA/PLK1 overexpression

To elucidate the molecular mechanisms by which increased AURKA/PLK1 expression confers a better therapeutic response to PP and volasertib, we investigated differential mRNA expression between pHGG tissue samples with high and low AURKA/PLK1 expression in a publicly available expression dataset. T-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (t-SNE) analysis indicated that pHGG tumor samples with high or low AURKA expression form distinct subgroups based on their genome-wide mRNA expression profiles (Figure 6A). Furthermore, unsupervised hierarchical clustering analysis of differentially expressed genes between tumor samples with high and low AURKA expression revealed two distinct expression profiles (Figure 6B). Additionally, we observed that AURKA and PLK1 expression levels correlate with each other ($r = 0.850; p = 7.95 \times 10^{-16}$) (Figure 6C). These results suggest that tumors with overexpression of AURKA and PLK1 have a distinct expression profile compared to their lower expressing counterparts.

We analyzed these differential expression profiles, by conducting gene ontology analyses, and found a significant enrichment of gene signatures related to chromosome segregation and mitosis in the group of genes selectively overexpressed in pHGG with the highest AURKA expression (cutoff: FDR-corrected p value $\leq 10^{-10}$) (Figure 6D). These results suggest that pHGG, including DMG, with overexpression of AURKA and PLK1 are specifically dependent on these and other mitotic regulators and that inhibition of these proteins renders them incapable of dividing, leading to cell death (Asteriti et al., 2015; Joukov and De Nicolo, 2018; Lens et al., 2010). To further explore this hypothesis in DMG, we treated SU-DIPG-IV cells, which exhibit relatively high AURKA and PLK1 expression, with PP, volasertib, or the combination thereof and visualized cell division by immunofluorescence. We found that treatment with both compounds increased the percentage of multinuclear cells compared to monotherapy, confirming that concomitant inhibition of AURKA and PLK1 impairs cell division in cells with overexpression of AURKA/PLK1 (Figure 7A). Because these multinuclear cells indicate cell cycle arrest in the G2/M phase, we performed a flow cytometry cell cycle analysis in all models used in this study. This cell cycle analysis confirmed that DMG cells are pushed into a complete G2/M arrest after PP and volasertib combination treatment (Figure 7B). Strikingly,
the non-responder models (VUMC-DIPG-10 and VUMC-DIPG-G) showed no clear shift into a G2/M arrest, indicating that cell cycle arrest plays a major role in response to our proposed therapeutic strategy (Figure S9).

DNA-damage repair mechanisms are often limited to a specific phase of the cell cycle, and when cells are stuck in G2/M phase, they lose their ability to use non-homologous end-joining (NHEJ) for the repair of DNA double-strand breaks (Zhao et al., 2017). Another way to repair such double-strand breaks is through homologous recombination (HR) which is active during G2 phase (Zhao et al., 2017). However, PLK1 is a regulator of the HR modulator RAD51 through phosphorylation, and we therefore hypothesized that volasertib, through PLK1 inhibition, acts as a double-edged sword when DMG cells are stuck in G2/M phase, by precluding these cells from using yet another mechanism for the repair of DNA breaks (Yata et al., 2012).

Therefore, we used western blot to examine the HR-marker RAD51 and found that this protein is depleted from our DMG cultures after PP and volasertib combination therapy, indicating that HR is inhibited (Figure 7C). Subsequently, we show a reverse correlation between RAD51 expression and protein expression...
of the DNA-damage marker γH2AX, as is expected in cells that lose both NHEJ and HR. Notably, γH2AX expression increased upon treatment in responder (VUMC-DIPG-G, JHH-DIPG-01, and SU-DIPG-IV) but not in non-responder (VUMC-DIPG-10) DMG cultures, suggesting that cytotoxic synergism between PP and volasertib is related to an increase in DNA damage (Figure S10). Finally, we show increased cleaved-caspase 3 in all DMG models upon combination treatment, indicating activated apoptosis and suggesting, in part, regulated cell death (Figures 7C and S10). Altogether, these findings suggest that a subgroup of DMG highly depend on AURKA/PLK1 upregulation in order to move through cell cycle and control repair of DNA damage.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we identified AURKA as a potential therapeutic target in DMG, using kinome-wide CRISPR/Cas9 knockout screening. Subsequently, we used CRISPR/Cas9 synthetic lethality screening and identified PLK1 as a synergistic target to strengthen AURKA inhibition in DMG. We demonstrate that inhibition of PLK1 with volasertib, the most clinically advanced and selective small-molecule PLK1 inhibitor
commercially available, synergizes with the AURKA inhibitor PP, a selective AURKA inhibitor that is predicted to passively cross the blood-brain barrier (BBB) (Prime et al., 2011; Vandebosch et al., 2016). Furthermore, we show that treatment synergy with volasertib is not unique to PP but can be recapitulated with alisertib, another selective AURKA inhibitor that is currently in phase 3 clinical trials (O’Connor et al., 2019), and through AURKA knockdown with shRNAs.

From RNA expression data and protein analyses, we observed that AURKA and PLK1 are concurrently overexpressed in DMG patient samples compared to healthy brain tissues and that expression of these proteins is predictive for tumor grade, prognosis, and in vitro PP/volasertib treatment sensitivity, corresponding to previous observations in these and other CNS malignancies (Alimova et al., 2017; Amani et al., 2016; Barton et al., 2010; Lens et al., 2010; Venkataraman et al., 2012). Our results further indicate that pediatric gliomas with overexpression of AURKA/PLK1 are specifically dependent on these mitotic regulators for cell division and prevention of DNA damage, which explains the preferential sensitivity to PP/volasertib combination therapy in these cells. While simultaneous targeting of AURKA and PLK1 has thus far not been studied in pHGG, including DMG, previous research in these tumors demonstrated that AURKA and PLK1 inhibitors, as monotherapy, induce double-strand DNA breaks, G2/M cell cycle arrest, and apoptosis, effects that are jointly indicative of chromosome instability during mitosis and are thereby in line with our findings (Alimova et al., 2017; Amani et al., 2016; Barton et al., 2010; Venkataraman et al., 2012).

Figure 7. Combined inhibition of AURKA and PLK1 impairs cell division and induces DNA damage in DMG cells with AURKA/PLK1 overexpression
(A) Immunofluorescent stainings of α-tubulin (magenta), centromere protein A (CENP-A; green), and DAPI (blue) in SU-DIPG-IV cells after 24 h treatment with phthalazinone pyrazole (PP) (2µM), volasertib (V) (50nM), or the combination of both drugs. Quantification of multinuclear cell fractions is shown below the image, data are represented as average ± SD (based on ± 1000 cells). Scale bar length is 60µm.
(B) Flow cytometry analysis for cell cycle distribution of the indicated DMG cells after 24 h treatment with PP, volasertib, or a combination thereof. The red peaks indicate G1-phase, the blue area S-phase, and the brown peaks G2-phase. All cells (including those in Figure S8) were treated with similar concentrations (5 µM PP and 30nM volasertib).
(C) Western blot analysis showing RAD51, yH2AX, caspase 3, and cleaved-caspase 3 protein expression in two representative DMG cultures after 48 h of treatment with phthalazinone pyrazole (PP), volasertib (Vola), or the combination of both drugs.
Intriguingly, in a previously published kinome-wide functional genomic screen in DMG, using shRNA, AURKA was not among the top-hits identified (Silva-Evangelista et al., 2019). However, this shRNA screening was performed in adherently growing DMG cultures, which respond significantly different from drug treatment and harbor a differential expression profile compared to our non-adherent DMG neurosphere cultures (Meel et al., 2017). Furthermore, another study describes AURKB, but not AURKA, as relevant therapeutic target in DMG (Buczkovicz et al., 2013). In line with this study, we also identify AURKB as one of the top hits in DMG cells, albeit through a CRISPR/Cas9 knockout screen (Figure 1A). However, AURKB knockout also causes significant lethality in non-DMG control cells while AURKA knockout solely kills DMG cells, which suggests that AURKA is a less overall essential kinase and more DMG-specific therapeutic target.

It is well established that AURKA and PLK1 reciprocally activate each other and cooperatively regulate multiple stages of mitosis including mitotic entry, centrosome maturation, chromosome segregation, and cytokinesis, by phosphorylating shared or specific substrates (Asteriti et al., 2015; Joukov and De Nicolo, 2018; Lens et al., 2010). Based on these diverse functions, the molecular basis of synergism between PP and volasertib is likely to be multifaceted. For example, the antitumor synergism could be attributed to reduced phosphorylation of different substrates in certain mitotic stages. Alternatively, it could be due to altered phosphorylation of substrates common to both kinases (albeit on different phosphorylation sites). However, AURKA and PLK1 also perform a myriad of non-mitotic functions, i.e., suppressing apoptosis, regulating the DNA-damage response, promoting epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT), and stimulating the self-renewal capacity of cancer stem cells, suggesting that PP may not only acquire its antitumor synergism with volasertib by evoking mitotic defects (Fu and Wen, 2017; Harris et al., 2012; Ma and Poon, 2020; Mannino et al., 2014; Tang et al., 2017). For example, previous studies demonstrated that both AURKA and PLK1 are negative regulators of p53 and that depletion of these proteins results in G2/M arrest and apoptosis through reactivation of the p53 pathway (Liu, 2015; Ma and Poon, 2020; Tang et al., 2017). In our study, we did not observe a difference in PP/volasertib sensitivity based on TP53-mutational status, suggesting that p53 may not be implicated. Nonetheless, the precise molecular mechanism of synergy is yet to be fully elucidated, for example by more in-depth cell death analyses and investigation of mitotic regulators.

Accumulating evidence points to another role for AURKA and PLK1 as regulators of radio-resistance in pediatric brain tumors (Alimova et al., 2017; Amani et al., 2016; Barton et al., 2010; Gerster et al., 2010; Harris et al., 2012; Inoue et al., 2015; Rodel et al., 2010; Tandle et al., 2013; Tao et al., 2007; Venkataraman et al., 2012). In particular, PLK1 inhibitors have been shown to increase the radiosensitivity of these tumors by arresting cells at mitosis, which is the most radiosensitive cell cycle phase due to the relatively low amounts of DNA-repair proteins and antioxidants (Inoue et al., 2015). While simultaneous inhibition of AURKA and PLK1 has thus far not been evaluated as a radiosensitizing strategy, we demonstrate that PP/volasertib combination therapy increases DNA damage and impairs progression through mitosis, which alludes to the potential of a triple combination therapy with local radiation. PLK1 inhibitors may be particularly attractive in this regard as these have been shown to enhance glioma cell radiosensitivity without affecting non-transformed cells, suggesting that this radiosensitization strategy may be accompanied by minimal side effects (Tandle et al., 2013). As such, our results could serve as the basis for in-depth studies to the potential of AURKA/PLK1 inhibitor-mediated radiosensitization in DMG, which already receive radiotherapy as part of standard clinical care.

Successful treatment of CNS malignancies is often significantly obstructed by the BBB, which prevents most small-molecule inhibitors from reaching the tumor (Haumann et al., 2020). Although the integrity of the BBB is often intact in DMG, in silico analysis of the structure of PP shows that BBB penetration is feasible (Haumann et al., 2020). Furthermore, previous studies showed that alisertib penetrates pHGG xenografts in mouse brains, which will therefore be a relevant alternative to PP in future in vivo and clinical studies (Kogiso et al., 2018). Likewise, volasertib has been shown to effectively treat various CNS malignancies in vivo, suggesting that it does cross the BBB, albeit to a limited extent (Dong et al., 2018; Gjertsen and Schöffski, 2015; Higuchi et al., 2018). Finally, it is worth mentioning that promising drug delivery innovations emerged in recent years, like convection-enhanced delivery (CED) and sono-
In conclusion, we demonstrate that combined AURKA and PLK1 inhibition strongly and synergistically reduces tumor growth of patient-derived DMG neurospheres. Furthermore, we show that AURKA and PLK1 are overexpressed in DMG patient samples compared to healthy brain tissues and that expression of these proteins is predictive for tumor grade, prognosis, and AURKA/PLK1 inhibitor sensitivity, signifying these proteins as potential therapeutic targets. Our findings suggest that DMG cells with overexpression of AURKA/PLK1 suffer from impaired cell division and increased DNA damage upon inhibition of these proteins, an effect that may also increase the radiosensitivity of these tumors. As such, our study advocates for the development of highly specific brain penetrable AURKA and PLK1 inhibitors and encourages the clinical investigation of AURKA/PLK1 inhibition as part of future multimodal treatment regimens for patients with DMG.

Limitations of the study
Although our study identifies the essentiality of AURKA and PLK1 for DMG survival, we did not test AURKA and PLK1 inhibition in vivo, which is an important step for clinical translation. Unfortunately, no clinically used AURKA inhibitors currently cross the BBB and the development of such inhibitors would greatly strengthen the translational potential of this study. Furthermore, CRISPR/Cas9 knockout screenings in healthy CNS control cultures, like primary astrocytes, are not yet possible due to the absence of stable glial cultures that can be effectively transduced. This forced us to use a pediatric ATRT culture as non-DMG control in the initial CRISPR screens, which is biologically suboptimal compared to nonmalignant control cells.
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# Methods

## Key Resources Table

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| **Antibodies**      |        |            |
| mouse anti-phospho-Histone H2A.X (Ser139) | Millipore | #05-636; RRID: AB_309864 |
| rabbit anti-RAD51 (D4B10) | Cell Signaling Technology | #8875s; RRID: AB_2721109 |
| rabbit anti-AURKA (D3E4Q) | Cell Signaling Technology | #14475; RRID: AB_2665504 |
| rabbit anti-PLK1 (208G4) | Cell Signaling Technology | #4513; RRID: AB_2167409 |
| rabbit anti-Caspase 3 | Cell Signaling Technology | #96625; RRID: AB_331439 |
| rabbit anti-Cleaved Caspase 3 (D175) | Cell Signaling Technology | #96615; RRID: AB_2341188 |
| mouse anti-β actin (Clone C4) | Millipore | #MAB1501; RRID: AB_2223041 |
| goat anti-mouse IRDye®680RD | LI-COR | #926-68070; RRID: AB_10956588 |
| goat anti-rabbit IRDye®800CW | LI-COR | #926-32211; RRID: AB_621843 |
| mouse anti-α-Tubulin (DM1A) | Sigma-Aldrich | #T9026; RRID: AB_477593 |
| rabbit anti-CENP-A | Cell Signaling Technology | #2186; RRID: AB_10828491 |
| goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor™ 488 | Thermo Fisher Scientific | #A-11037; RRID: AB_2534095 |
| goat anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor™ 594 | Thermo Fisher Scientific | #A-11037; RRID: AB_2534095 |
| **Bacterial and virus strains** |        |            |
| NEB® 5-alpha Competent E. coli | New England Biolabs | C2987H |
| pHIV-Rev lentiviral packaging plasmid (3rd gen) | (Dull et al., 1998) | Addgene: #12253 |
| pMDLg/pRRE lentiviral packaging plasmid (3rd gen) | (Dull et al., 1998) | Addgene: #12251 |
| pMD2.G lentiviral envelope plasmid (3rd gen) | (Dull et al., 1998) | Addgene: #12259 |
| **Biological samples** |        |            |
| Autopsy-derived pediatric diffuse midline glioma tissues | Pathology dept. Amsterdam UMC / Netherlands Brain bank | Protocol: METC VUmc 2009/237 |
| **Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins** |        |            |
| Phthalazine pyrazole | Cayman Chemical Company | Cat No. 10735 / CAS: 880487-62-7 |
| Volasertib (B1 6727) | Selleck Chemicals | Cat No. S2235 / CAS: 755038-65-4 |
| PH-797804 | Selleck Chemicals | Cat No. S2276 / CAS: 586379-66-0 |
| Fasudil (HA-1077) HCl | Selleck Chemicals | Cat No. S1573 / CAS: 105628-07-7 |
| Y-27632 2HCl | Selleck Chemicals | Cat No. S1049 / CAS: 129830-38-2 |
| Alisertib (MLN8237) | Selleck Chemicals | Cat No. S1133 / CAS: 1028486-01-2 |
| Birabresib (OTX015) | MedChemExpress | Cat No. HY-15743 / CAS: 202590-98-5 |
| **Critical commercial assays** |        |            |
| CellTiter-Glo® 3D Luminescent Cell Viability Assay | Promega | #G9683 |
| GeneJET Gel Extraction Kit | Thermo Fisher Scientific | #K0691 |
| **Deposited data** |        |            |
| All RNAseq datasets used in this manuscript are described in Table S2 | This paper | N/A |
| **Experimental models: Cell lines** |        |            |
| Human: VUMC-DIPG-10 (pediatric DMG cells) | Laboratory of Esther Hulleman | Accession: CVCL_IT43 |
| Human: SU-pcGBM2 (pediatric GBM cells) | Laboratory of Michelle Monje | Accession: CVCL_IT42 |

(Continued on next page)
| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| Human: SU-DIPG-IV (pediatric DMG cells) | Laboratory of Michelle Monje | Accession: CVCL_IT39 |
| Human: SU-DIPG-XXI (pediatric DMG cells) | Laboratory of Michelle Monje | N/A |
| Human: HSJD-DIPG-07 (pediatric DMG cells) | Laboratory of Montero Carcaboso | Accession: CVCL_VU70 |
| Human: VUMC-DIPG-G (pediatric DMG cells) | Laboratory of Esther Hulleman | N/A |
| Human: JHH-DIPG-1 (pediatric DMG cells) | Laboratory of Eric Raabe | Accession: CVCL_IT47 |
| Human: VUMC-ATRT-03 (pediatric ATRT cells) | Laboratory of Esther Hulleman | N/A |
| Human: VUMC-ATRT-01 (pediatric ATRT cells) | Laboratory of Esther Hulleman | N/A |
| Human: HEK 293t (adult embryonal kidney cells) | ATCC | CRL-3216 |

**Oligonucleotides**

| Oligonucleotide Targeting | Source | Identifier |
|---------------------------|--------|------------|
| Short hairpin targeting AURKA #1, TTGTAGGTCTCTTGGTATGTG | Horizon, PerkinElmer | Clone TRCN0000010533 |
| Short hairpin targeting AURKA #2, TATAAGTAGCACAATTCTCGT | Horizon, PerkinElmer | Clone TRCN0000000655 |
| Short hairpin targeting AURKA #3, ATTCGCAATATTAGGATACCT | Horizon, PerkinElmer | Clone TRCN0000000657 |
| Short hairpin targeting PLK1 #1, ACCTGCAAGGATGATGCAGCT | Horizon, PerkinElmer | Clone TRCN0000011006 |
| Short hairpin targeting PLK1 #2, AACTCGTCATTAGCAGCTCGT | Horizon, PerkinElmer | Clone TRCN0000121073 |
| Short hairpin targeting PLK1 #3, AAGCAGCTGTTAATGGTTGGG | Horizon, PerkinElmer | Clone TRCN00000006246 |
| sgRNA amplification primer FW, GCCGGCTCGAGTGTACAAAA | This paper | N/A |
| sgRNA amplification primer RV, AGCGCTAGCTAATGCCAACTT | This paper | N/A |

**Recombinant DNA**

| Recombinant DNA | Source | Identifier |
|-----------------|--------|------------|
| Human CRISPR knockout pooled library (kinome-wide) | (Wang et al., 2014) | Addgene: #51044 |
| pCW-Cas9 (doxycycline inducible, puromycin resistance) | (Wang et al., 2014) | Addgene: #50661 |

**Software and algorithms**

| Software and algorithm | Source | Identifier |
|------------------------|--------|------------|
| MAGeCK-VISPR algorithm (comprehensive quality control, analysis, and visualization workflow for CRISPR/Cas9 screens) | Developed by Wei Li and Han Xu from Xiaole Shirley Liu’s lab | https://bitbucket.org/iuilab/mageck-vispr/src/master/ |
| MAGeCKFlute R package version 1.14.0 (Integrated Analysis Pipeline for Pooled CRISPR Functional Genetic Screens) | Developed by Binbin Wang, Wubing Zhang, Feizhen Wu, Wei Li & X. Shirley Liu | https://www.bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/MAGeCKFlute.html |
| R2: Genomics Analysis and Visualization Platform | Established by Jan Koster | https://hgserver2.amc.nl/ |
| MARS Data Analysis Software | BMG LABTECH | ID: # 81306 |
| LAS X Life Science Microscope Software Platform | Leica | https://www.leica-microsystems.com |
| CytExpert 2.3.0.84 software package | Beckman Coulter | https://www.mybeckman.nl/flow-cytometry |

**Other**

| Other | Source | Identifier |
|-------|--------|------------|
| Tecan D300e picoliter dispenser | Tecan Group | N/A |
| Cell-repellent 96-well F-bottom plates | Greiner Bio-one | #650971 |

(Continued on next page)
RESOURCES AVAILABILITY

Lead contact

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Dr. Esther Hulleman (e.hulleman@prinsesmaximacentrum.nl).

Materials availability

This study did not generate new unique reagents.

Data and code availability

- All the detailed data in this paper are available upon request.
- This paper does not report original code.
- Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Cell cultures

The human primary cell lines VUMC-DIPG-10 (H3-wildtype), VUMC-DIPG-G (H3.3K27M), VUMC-ATRT-01 (sonic hedgehog subtype), and VUMC-ATRT-03 (sonic hedgehog subtype) were established from autopsy or resection material at the Amsterdam UMC (Amsterdam, the Netherlands), as previously described (Meel et al., 2017). HSJD-DIPG-07 (H3.3K27M) was a kind gift from Dr. Montero Carcaboso (Hospital San Joan de Deu, Barcelona, Spain), JHH-DIPG-01 (H3.3K27M) from Dr. Raabe (John Hopkins Hospital, Baltimore, MD, USA), and SU-DIPG-IV (H3.1K27M), SU-DIPG-XXI (H3.1K27M) and SU-pcGBM2 (H3-wildtype GBM) from Dr. Monje (Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA). An overview, including details on the molecular background and treatment history, of all the models used in this study is depicted in Table S1.

All cells were cultured as neurospheres at 37°C and 5% CO2 in modified Tumor Stem Medium (TSM), consisting of 48% Neurobasal-A medium, 48% DMEM/F12, 1% HEPES 1M, 1% MEM non-essential amino acids, 1% Sodium Pyruvate 100 mM, 1% GlutaMAX, and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (i.e. TSM base), supplemented with 2% B27, 1% N2 (all purchased from ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), 20 ng/mL human EGF, 20 ng/mL human bFGF, 10 ng/mL human PDGF-AA, 10 ng/mL human PDGF-BB (Peprotech, London, UK), and 5 IU/mL heparin (Amsterdam UMC pharmacy, Amsterdam, the Netherlands) (i.e. complete TSM). All cell lines were authenticated by short tandem-repeat (STR) profiling to ensure cell identity and only used when confirmed mycoplasma negative.

Human fetal primary astrocytes (#1800, ScienCell Research Laboratories, Carlsbad, CA, USA) were cultured in ScienCell medium supplemented with FBS, P/S and Astrocyte Growth Supplement (all ScienCell Research Laboratories) at 37°C and 5% CO2.

METHOD DETAILS

Human mRNA expression datasets

Expression datasets used in this study to compare mRNA expression between patients were the following: healthy brain (GSE: 11882) (Berchtold et al., 2008), pediatric glioma (GSE: 19578) (Paugh et al., 2010), pediatric DMG (GSE: 26576) (Paugh et al., 2011), ATRT (GSE: 28026) (Birks et al., 2011), adult glioma (GSE: 16011, 43378, 50774, 7696, 4290) (Gleize et al., 2015; Gravendeel et al., 2009; Kawaguchi et al., 2013; Murat et al., 2008; Sun et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2014). These datasets were accessed through the R2 Genomics Platform (http://r2.amc.nl), a database that includes gene expression profiles from large patient cohorts,
including tools to compare gene expression between different cancer types. Normalization of the mRNA expression datasets was conducted using the MAS5.0 algorithm. All datasets used in this manuscript are summarized and specified in Table S2.

Chemicals

Phthalazinone pyrazole was purchased from Cayman Chemical Company (Ann Arbor, MI, USA), volasertib (BI 6727), losmapimod (GW856553X), PH-797804, fasudil (HA-1077) HCI, Y-27632 2HCl, and alisertib (MLN8237) were purchased from Selleck Chemicals (Houston, TX, USA). Birabresib (OTX015) was purchased from MedChemExpress (Monmouth, NJ, USA). All chemicals were dissolved in DMSO and stored as 10mM stock at −20°C.

CRISPR/Cas9

VUMC-DIPG-10, HSJD-DIPG-07, and VUMC-ATRT-03 cells were stably transduced with the doxycycline inducible pcW-Cas9 plasmid as previously described (Meel et al., 2018b). pcW-Cas9 was a gift from Eric Lander & David Sabatini (Addgene plasmid #50661). The transduced cells were selected with 2 µg/mL puromycin (#A1113802, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, USA) for 48h. pcW-Cas9 expressing VUMC-DIPG-10, HSJD-DIPG-07, and VUMC-ATRT-03 cells were stably transduced (Meel et al., 2018b) with the Human CRISPR enriched pooled kinase gRNA sub-pool library at an MOI of 0.3 and at 500-fold coverage of the library. The transduced cells were selected with 15 µg/mL blasticidin (#203350, Sigma-Aldrich) for 7 days. Human CRISPR enriched pooled kinase gRNA sub-pool library was a gift from David Sabatini & Eric Lander (Addgene #51044). CRISPR-Cas9 screens were performed according to the protocol published by Yao and Rana (Yao and Rana, 2018), with scaling down of number of transduced cells and subsequent PCRs to account for the smaller size of the human kinase gRNA sub-pool library. A minimum of 12.5*10^6 cells were taken at day 0, day 14, and day 21. Cell pellets were dissolved in 1.5mL TRIzol™ Reagent (#15596026, Invitrogen, Waltham, USA) and genomic DNA was harvested from the samples according to the TRIzol™ Reagent User Guide (Invitrogen). 5 µg of extracted genomic DNA from each sample was used as a template for 4 × 100 µL PCR reactions with 17 cycles using NEBNext High Fidelity Q5 Polymerase (#M0491L, New England Biolabs, Ipswich, USA) and primers with sequences:

5’- GCCGGCTCGAGTGTACAAAA -3’ (Outer Forward)
5’- AGCGCTAGCTAATGCCAACTT -3’ (Outer Reverse)

were used to amplify the sgRNA region. Samples were gel extracted using a GeneJET Gel Extraction Kit (#K0691, ThermoFisher Scientific). The PCR products for each sample were pooled and 10 µL used as a template for a second PCR with 12 cycles to attach sequencing adaptors. Samples were quantified using a BioAnalyzer 2100 Expert (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, USA) and DNA 7500 Kit (Agilent Technologies). Amplified DNA was sequenced using an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 sequencing system (Illumina, San Diego, USA) at a minimum depth of 68 million reads/sample. Fastq.gz sequencing files were analysed using the MAGeCK-VISPR algorithm (Li et al., 2014, 2015b). MAGeCK-VISPR performs quality control, sgRNA counting and generation of a maximum likelihood estimation (mle) of gene essentiality (Jl-score) for each gene in the kinase library with associate p value and FDR-value. Generated mle files were uploaded to the MAGeCKFlute R package (Wang et al., 2019) in RStudio (Rstudio, PBC) were they were cell-cycle normalized using the Zuber Essential Gene List.

Cell-viability assays

Neurospheres were dissociated by incubation in Accutase (#00-4555-56, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) for 5 min at 37°C, followed by mild mechanical dissociation by pipetting. Accutase was inactivated in TSM base, after which cells were resuspended in complete TSM and filtered through a 100µm cell strainer to generate a single-cell suspension. Cells were plated at a density of 1000–5000 cells/well, depending on growth rate, in complete TSM in cell-repellent 96-well F-bottom (#650971) or U-bottom plates (#650970, Greiner Bio-one, Kremsmünster, Austria). 500 cells/well were used for long-term assays to avoid growth inhibition as the result of confluency. Compounds were dispersed 24h after cell seeding using a Tecan D300e picoliter dispenser (Tecan Group Ltd, Switzerland). For short-term assays, the number of viable cells was measured after 96h using the CellTiter-Glo® 3D Luminescent Cell Viability Assay (#G9683, Promega, Madison, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The concordant luminescence was measured using a FLUOstar® Omega microplate reader (BMG LABTECH, Offenburg, Germany) operated
by MARS data analysis software. For long-term assays, treatment was withdrawn after 96h drug incubation and regrowth of neurospheres was monitored for 10 days, with culture medium refreshed twice per week. After 10 days, phase-contrast images were taken using a Leica DMi8 inverted microscope (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) operated by LAS X Navigator Software, and cell viability was measured, as described above.

**Knockdown models**

AURKA and PLK1 knockdown cells were established using lentiviral transduction with the pLKO.1-shAURKA.1, pLKO.1-shAURKA.2, pLKO.1-shAURKA.3, pLKO.1-shPLK1.1, pLKO.1-shPLK1.2, and pLKO.1-shPLK1.3 plasmids (Horizon™, PerkinElmer) as described previously (Metselaar et al., 2019). shRNA sequences are summarized in Table S3.

**Western blotting**

Cells were harvested under optimal growth conditions or after 48h exposure to the indicated drugs, washed with ice-cold PBS, and snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Frozen cell pellets were lysed for 1h at 4°C in RIPA buffer (1% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 50mM Tris HCl pH 7.6, 150mM NaCl) supplemented with 50mM β-glycerolphosphate, 1mM DTT, 1x Complete™ Mini EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail, and 1 mM Na3VO4 (all purchased from Sigma Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA). Protein concentration was measured using the Bio-Rad Protein Assay Kit (#5000001, BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA) and a SPECTROstar® Nano microplate reader (BMG LABTECH, Offenburg, Germany) operated by MARS data analysis software. Normalized protein samples were reduced using NuPAGE™ LDS Sample Buffer (#NP0007, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). 50μg protein per sample and the PageRuler® Plus Prestained Protein Ladder (#26620, Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA) were separated by gel electrophoresis using 4–20% Mini-PROTEAN® TGX™ Precast Protein Gels (#4561094) and transferred using the Trans-Blot Turbo Mini 0.2μm Nitrocellulose Transfer Pack (#1704158) and the Trans-Blot® Turbo Transfer System (all purchased from BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA). Membranes were blocked for 30min in Blocking Buffer for Fluorescent Western Blotting (#MB-070, Rockland, PA, USA), and incubated overnight at 4°C with the indicated primary antibodies. Primary antibodies included: mouse anti-phospho-Histone H2A.X (Ser139) (1:1000, #05–636, Millipore, Burlington, MA, USA), rabbit anti-RAD51 (D4B10) (1:1000, #8875s, Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA), rabbit anti-AURKA (D3E4Q) (1:1000, #14475, Cell Signaling Technology), rabbit anti-PLK1 (208G4) (1:1000, #4513, Cell Signaling Technology), rabbit anti-Caspase 3 (1:1000, #9662S, Cell Signaling Technology), rabbit anti-Cleaved Caspase 3 (D175) (1:1000, #9661S, Cell Signaling Technology), and mouse anti-β actin (Clone C4) (1:5000, #MAB1501, Millipore). After overnight incubation, membranes were washed and incubated with secondary goat anti-mouse IRDye®600CV antibody (1:5000, LI-COR®, Lincoln, NA, USA) and/or goat anti-rabbit IRDye®800CV antibody (1:5000, LI-COR®). Protein detection was performed using an LI-COR® Odyssey fluorescent imager (Model 9120; Surplus Solutions, LLC).

**Immunofluorescent imaging**

After generating a single cell suspension, SU-DIPG-IV cells were plated at a density of 5000 cells/well in complete TSM in SCREENSTAR® 96-well plates (#655–866, Greiner Bio-one, Kremsmunster, Austria) specialized for fluorescent imaging. Compounds were dispersed 24h after cell seeding using the Tecan D300e picoliter dispenser. After 24h, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15min and permeabilized using 0.25% Triton-X100 for 10min. Non-specific binding was blocked by incubating with Normal Antibody Diluent (Phosphate Buffered) (ScyTek Laboratories, Logan, UT, USA) for 15min. Primary antibody incubation was performed overnight at 4°C using mouse anti-α-Tubulin (DM1A) (1:250, #T9026, Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA) and rabbit anti-CENP-A (1:200, #2186, Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA). After overnight incubation, the cells were incubated with the secondary goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor™ 488 antibody (1:500, #A-11029, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and goat anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor™ 594 antibody (1:500, #A-11037, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) for 1h and then counterstained with 300nM DAPI (#D1306, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) for 5min. Imaging was performed using the Leica DMi8 inverted microscope, including motorized scanning stage. Quantification of the multinuclear cells has been performed manually by an independent researcher. For each condition an overview image was created through automated stage stitching microscopy. From these images and for each condition, ten random squares were selected containing 50–100 cells. In each square, the total amount of single-nuclear and multinuclear cells was manually counted to establish percentages.
Cell cycle analysis

Cells were treated for 48h with the indicated compounds and subsequently made single cell as earlier described. Cells were resuspended in 4mL TSM and pulled through a 100μm cell strainer to secure all cells being singlets. Cells were counted and, if needed, adjusted to less than one million cells per mL. Hoechst33342 (5 μg/mL) was added to the cell suspension and incubated for 1h at 37°C. Cells were pulled through a FACS strainer to remove clumps, centrifuged, and resuspended in 200-300μL PBS. Cell cycle analysis was performed on a CytoFLEX LX Flow Cytometer (Beckman Coulter, Inc.) using a UV450 nm filter. Software analysis was performed using the CytExpert 2.3.0.84 software package (Beckman Coulter, Inc.).

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

All in vitro data are represented as averages (mean ± s.d.) from at least three technical replicates. In vitro cell survival percentages were compared using the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and post-hoc unpaired, two-tailed student’s t-tests with Bonferroni correction. Synergy scores are based on the average percentage viability and were calculated using the Zero interaction potency (ZIP) model of the SynergyFinder software (http://synergyfinder.fimm.fi) (Ianevski et al., 2017; Yadav et al., 2015). mRNA expression between groups from in silico R2 analyses was assessed using ANOVA. In silico survival differences between groups were tested using the log rank (Mantel-Cox) test. Analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism (version 8.0.2, GraphPad Software, Inc) or Microsoft Excel (version 14.7.2). p-values below 0.05 (two-sided) were considered statistically significant.