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Abstract

In this work we are interested in numerical simulations for bedload erosion processes. We present a relaxation solver that we apply to moving dunes test cases in one and two dimensions. In particular we retrieve the so-called anti-dune process that is well described in the experiments. In order to be able to run 2D test cases with reasonable CPU time, we also describe and apply a parallelization procedure by using domain decomposition based on the classical MPI library.

1 Introduction

Soil erosion is a complex phenomenon affected by many factors such as climate, topography, soil characteristics, vegetation and anthropogenic activities such as cultivation practices. Erosion process can be described in three stages: detachment, transport and deposition. The detachment occurs when the flow shear stress or the kinetic energy of raindrop exceeds the cohesive strength of the soil particles. Once detached, the sediments can be transported downstream as non-cohesive sediment before its deposition.
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The movement of sediments occurs in two main modes called bedload and suspended load. The bedload particles are located in a few grain diameters thick layer situated on the soil. The velocities of these particles are less than the flow velocity. At the opposite, the suspended particles are transported in the flow without contact with the bed. Sediments finer than 0.2 mm which are transported in suspension are rarely included/considered in bedload. The distinction between these two modes of sediment transport is blurred because they occur together.

As soil erosion by water continues to be a serious problem throughout the world, the development of improved soil erosion prediction technology is required. With the increase of computing powers in the last years, there has been a rapid increase in the erosion and sediment transport simulations through the use of computer models. The models describing erosion process are available at different level of complexity. In general, erosion process is described by the equations of evolution based on the principle of conservation. These equations are derived at small scale under physical assumptions.

2 Bedload modelling

In this paper, we focus on the modelling of the morphodynamic process where the solid transport is only characterized by bedload and thus the suspended load has been ignored. The governing equations are often given by coupling the shallow water equations, describing the flow routing [34], with the Exner equation [32] expressing the mass conservation of sediment layer. The one-dimensional system may be written in the form

\begin{align}
\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(hu) &= 0, \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(hu) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(hu^2 + gh^2/2) &= -gh\frac{\partial}{\partial x}z_b, \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}z_b + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}q_b &= 0,
\end{align}

(1)

where \(h\) is the water depth, \(u\) the flow velocity, \(z_b\) the thickness of sediment layer, \(q_b\) the volumetric bedload sediment transport rate per unit time and width and \(g\) the acceleration due to gravity.

The expression of bedload flux \(q_b\) is necessary to close the model (1). Many researches have developed different empirical formulæ to predict and to estimate \(q_b\). One of the simplest expression was proposed by Grass [35] where \(q_b\) is a function of the flow velocity and a dimensional \(A_g\) constant, called interaction constant, that encompasses the effects of grain size and kinematic viscosity and is usually determined from experimental data:

\[ q_b = A_g u|u|^{m_g-1}, \quad 1 \leq m_g \leq 4. \]

(2)

The usual value of the exponent \(m_g\) is set to \(m_g = 3\). If \(A_g = 0\) then we have a solid bed (no sediment transport) and we recover the standard shallow water equations. When \(A_g\) is near zero, there is a small interaction between the fluid and the bed, while if \(A_g\) is near one the interaction is larger. Note that one of the main characteristics of this model is that threshold value to initiate the motion of sediment is set to zero, so the sediment transport begins at the same instant that beginning fluid motion.
In practice, \( q_b \) is usually represented under the non-dimensional form \( q_b^* \) as a function of the dimensionless shear stress \( \tau_b^* \) and a threshold value \( \tau_{cr}^* \), i.e.

\[
q_b = q_b^* \sqrt{(s - 1)gd_s^3}, \quad q_b^* = q_b^*(\tau_b^*, \tau_{cr}^*), \quad \tau_b^* = \frac{\tau_b}{(\rho_s - \rho)gd_s},
\]

where \( \tau_b = \rho gh S_f \) is the bottom shear stress, i.e. the force of water acting on the bed during its routing, \( s = \rho_s/\rho \) the density relative of sediment in water and \( d_s \) the average value of sediment diameters. The friction term \( S_f \) can be quantified by different empirical laws such as the Darcy-Weisbach or Manning formulæ, i.e.

- Darcy-Weisbach: \( S_f = f \frac{u}{gh} \),
- Manning: \( S_f = n \frac{u}{h^{2/3}} \),

where \( f \) and \( n \) are the Darcy-Weisbach and the Manning coefficients respectively. The threshold value \( \tau_{cr}^* \) depends on the physical properties of sediment and is usually computed experimentally. One of the first works on this topic was done by Shields [60].

The followings expressions, illustrated by Fig. 1, have been often applied [55, 54, 57, 13]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Meyer-Peter & Muller (1948):} & \quad q_b^* = 8(\tau_b^* - \tau_{cr}^*)^{3/2} \\
\text{Fernández Luque & Van Beek (1976):} & \quad q_b^* = 5.7(\tau_b^* - \tau_{cr}^*)^{3/2} \\
\text{Nielsen (1992):} & \quad q_b^* = 12\sqrt{\tau_b^*}(\tau_b^* - \tau_{cr}^*)^{1.65} \\
\text{Ribberink (1998):} & \quad q_b^* = 11(\tau_b^* - \tau_{cr}^*)^{1.5} \exp \left(-4.5\tau_{cr}^*/\tau_b^*\right) \\
\text{Camenen and Larson (2005):} & \quad q_b^* = 12(\tau_b^*)^{1.5} \exp \left(-4.5\tau_{cr}^*/\tau_b^*\right)
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 1: Plot of several bedload functions found in the literature [33], \( \tau_{cr}^* = 0.05 \).

Upon initiation of flow routing, the topography \( z_b \) becomes unstable resulting the fluid-sediment interaction. Various bedforms can be occurred, in particular the formation of ripples, dunes and anti-dunes (see e.g. [47, 46]). Ripples are distinguished from dunes by their much smaller scale. Indeed, ripples and dunes...
often co-exist, with ripples forming on the larger dunes. At very low flow rates, ripples form on the bed, and as the flow rate increases, these are replaced by the longer wavelength and larger amplitude dunes which migrate slowly downstream. Ripples and dunes are observed in fluvial regime (the Froude number \(Fr < 1\)). When the flow becomes supercritical (\(Fr > 1\)), dunes migrate upstream and called anti-dunes.

Let us show quickly how dunes and anti-dunes can be reproduced by the non-linear coupled system (1) (see [23] for more details). Rewriting the system in quasi-linear form as

\[
\partial_t W + A(W)\partial_x W = 0
\]

where \(W = (h, hu, z_b)\) and \(A(W)\) the matrix of transport coefficients being

\[
A(W) = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
gh - u^2 & 2u & gh \\
\alpha & \beta & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

with \(\alpha = \frac{\partial q_b}{\partial h}\) and \(\beta = \frac{\partial q_b}{\partial hu}\). In [23], we can find two type of relations between \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) for different formulas of \(q_b\) (equations (2)-(7)) which depend on the friction laws:

- with Darcy-Weisbach’s law: \(\alpha = -u\beta\),
- with Manning’s law: \(\alpha = -\frac{7}{6} u\beta\).

Moreover, it is natural to assume that \(\beta > 0\) since sediment rate increases with that of flow.

The characteristic polynomial of \(A(W)\) can be written as

\[
p_A(\lambda) = -\lambda\left((u - \lambda)^2 - gh\right) + gh(\beta\lambda + \alpha) = -(\lambda - \lambda_1)(\lambda - \lambda_2)(\lambda - \lambda_3),
\]

where \(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3\) represent the waves speeds propagation of the current and sediment transport. The product of these eigenvalues, in the case \(u > 0\) (so \(\alpha > 0\)), satisfies

\[
\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3 = p_A(0) = gh\alpha < 0.
\]

This means that there exists at least a negative eigenvalue. In a sub-critical flow (\(Fr < 1\)), one wave speed of the current is negative and the other one is positive. So the wave speed relating to sediment transport is positive and consequently the dune migrates downstream. Contrary, when the flow becomes supercritical (\(Fr > 1\)), two waves speed of the current are positive and the wave corresponding to sediment transport propagates upstream so we have anti-dune.

System (1) with closed laws (2-7) of \(q_b\) has recently been proved to be hyperbolic within the range of flow data typical of practical situations [23, 50]. Moreover, numerical approximations of such system are often based on a splitting method, solving first shallow water equations on a time step and updating afterwards the topography using the Exner equation. It is shown that this strategy can create spurious/unphysical oscillations. By contrast, a numerical method that solves the whole system at once will be called a coupled approach in the following.
This paper is organized as follows: in next section, we describe a relaxation approach of the coupled system (1) and we derive a numerical solver. Next, we describe the parallelization procedure we apply in order to run 2D test cases. Finally we present numerical test cases for moving dunes in one and two dimensions, including so-called anti-dune process.

3 Numerical method

In this work we want to investigate a coupled approach to numerically solve the 1D and 2D SW-Exner system. Such methods were already proposed in the last decade, mostly by considering extension of classical methods to non-conservative systems: Hudson et al. [42] and Castro et al. [18] use a non-conservative Roe scheme based on the theory of paths, see [24]; Benkhaldoun et al. [5] apply the SRNH scheme whereas Canestrelli et al. [15] introduce the PRICE-R scheme, that is the extension to non-conservative systems of the so-called FORCE scheme. In [19, 4, 16], 2D versions of the preceding works are presented. Other authors also present 2D numerical schemes based on approximate Riemann solvers as extension of HLLC scheme [56, 61, 52]. In [9], the authors present an implicit procedure using the solvers presented in [18, 5].

Here we apply a relaxation solver to find approximate solutions of (1). The relaxation framework for the SW-Exner model was introduced and analysed in [3]. Note that a first relaxation model for SW-Exner model was introduced in [27] but it slightly differs from the model that we are using in this work since it is very generic and it does not use the particular form of the SW-Exner system in its definition. A relaxation solver is a particular approximate Riemann solver where the linearization is introduced in the definition of the relaxation model. By comparison to other types of approximate Riemann solvers, the relaxation framework presents some advantages since it is possible to ensure the positivity of the water height and to prove discrete energy estimates. Moreover the relaxation approach does not need a precise computation of the eigenvalues of the original system and it can be applied to conservative and non-conservative systems. The main idea if the relaxation framework is to replace the fully non-linear system (1) by an enlarged relaxation model that involves two types of parameters (called relaxation parameter and wave celerity parameters) and that satisfies the following properties

- The relaxation model formally tends to the original system when the relaxation parameter tends to zero.
- The relaxation model is stable under some bounds on the wave celerity parameters.
- The hyperbolic part of the relaxation system is linearly degenerate.
- The related Riemann problem can be analytically solve in a (quite) easy way.

Starting from the numerical approximation at time $t^n$, the numerical procedure is then very simple: first the auxiliary quantities are computed by using the physical quantities at time $t^n$, then a homogeneous Riemann problem is solved
at each interface on time step $[t^n, t^{n+1}]$ and the new physical quantities at time $t^{n+1}$ are computed by considering the mean value of the solution of these Riemann problems on each cell.

The particular relaxation system that we consider in this work stands

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial H u}{\partial x} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial H u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( H u^2 + \Pi \right) + g H \frac{\partial Z}{\partial x} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial x} + \frac{a^2}{H} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \frac{g H^2}{2} - \Pi \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial Z}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial t} + \left( \frac{b^2}{H^2} - u^2 \right) \frac{\partial Z}{\partial x} + 2 u \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{\lambda} (Q_s - \Omega)$$

where $\Pi$ and $\Omega$ are the auxiliary quantities (associated to fluid pressure and to sediment flux, respectively), $\lambda$ is the relaxation parameter and $a$ and $b$ are wave celerity parameters. The detailed analysis of this relaxation model and the derivation of the related relaxation scheme have been performed in [3]. Let us recall some important points

- The "fluid" part of the relaxation model (8)-(12), i.e. the three first equations, is nothing but the so-called Suliciu relaxation model for the classical shallow water system. The "solid" part of the relaxation model (8)-(12), i.e. the two last equations, is a classical relaxation model for a scalar conservation law and the coefficients are chosen in order to retrieve wave celerities that are centered around the water velocity $u$.

- The stability of the relaxation model is ensured by the fact that the first order perturbation regarded parameter $\lambda$ is a diffusive perturbation. It requires some bounds on the wave celerity parameters

$$a \geq H \sqrt{gH}, \quad b \geq \sqrt{(Hu)^2 + gH^2 \partial_u Q_s}$$

- The problem of the choice of the value of the relaxation parameter $\lambda$ is avoided by the numerical strategy that has been used for the relaxation scheme. We consider a time splitting scheme: first we only consider the right hand side of system (8)-(12) with $\lambda = 0$, which only means that the auxiliary quantities instantaneously reduce to their equilibrium values; second we compute the solution of the homogeneous (since there is no more right hand side in the equations) Riemann problem and then there is no more $\lambda$ parameter at this step.

- The well-defined character of the relaxation scheme and the positivity of the discrete water height may require strengther bounds on the wave celerity parameters $a$ and $b$.

In [3], first numerical results show that this relaxation system is stable in some situations where the splitting approach leads to unphysical oscillations.
Here we want to quantitatively analysed our results on some classical test cases but also to present the ability of the numerical model to simulate the so-called anti-dune formation. We want also to present the 2D extension of this work by using some parallelization procedure.

4 Parallelization

As we noted in [3], the relaxation method is very diffusive. This defect may disappear by increasing the order of the scheme. However, there is another defect thus the rise in order is not trivial. Indeed, the scheme is not well balanced. Since [6], it is well known that the topography source term has to be treated carefully. In case of steady states at rest with varying topography, velocities might be non null. Schemes which preserve these steady states are said to be well balanced [36]. Since [6], numerous schemes have been developed for shallow water equations [6, 7, 36, 51, 43, 44, 45, 2, 1, 17, 53]... So we will have to modify our method in order to get a well-balanced scheme. But this is not trivial and unnecessary if the scheme does not catch the expected physics.

Thus the solution consists in considering finer meshes and using a parallel version of the code. Relaxation has been coded in 2D on a structured mesh. It is based on methods of line, thus parallelization is much easier than getting a high order well-balanced scheme. Parallelization has been performed thanks to domain decomposition based on the classical MPI (Message Passing Interface) library. We have adapted what is done for advection-diffusion problem in [11] to our problem.

These last decades, domain decomposition methods have increasingly attracted interest. These methods are well suited to distributed memory parallel architectures. We decompose a domain into several sub-domains (as many sub-domains as process). In each of these sub-areas is performed calculations at the local level. The data on each side of the interfaces between sub-domains are exchanged via communication messages. The size of the domain interface is much smaller than the size of the overall problem. This domain decomposition approach leads to very natural parallelization and has the advantage to be well suited to the use of local memory.

A parallel version of the algorithm, based on the general principle of the domain decomposition adapted to structured grids, is as follows:

- divide the total computational domain into as many sub-domains as process
- assign each sub-domain as the local domain of a process
- for each sub-domain determine its sub-domain neighbours
- iterate in time
  - each process has to communicate with its adjacent process in order to get the flow data required for solving the equations on its local boundary cells
  - each process executes the serial code for all the computational cells lying in its local domain.
The use of MPI in case of domain decomposition is efficient. There are indeed several predefined functions that allow to create a virtual grid processes, to identify adjacent processes,... which simplify parallel programming.

The programming model chosen is a SPMD model (Single Program Multiple Data). One code runs on each of the sub-domains. There are as many processes as sub-domains. Each sub-domain (or process) needs to know its 8 neighbours. In the time loop, it will exchange data with 8 neighbouring interfaces and calculating within each sub-domain. We split the domain in blocks using the MPI topology feature "MPI_CART_CREATE". This function returns a communicator to which the cartesian topology information is attached. We let the function reorder the processes to match with the topology on the physical machine. Because of the first order scheme, we only need one ghost point to manage communications between blocks, see Figure 2. The main advantage of using the MPI function is robustness because it is well implemented in every available MPI distribution. More details concerning this domain decomposition method might be found in [11].

![Figure 2: The computational domain with its boundary conditions](image)

In next section, the numerical method is validated on numerical tests, which is eased thanks to the code parallelization.

5 Numerical test cases

This numerical method has already been validated on a number of test cases [3] including an analytical solution [8] integrated in the library SWASHES [26]. The idea is here to test it on more physical tests. These tests and the results will be described in what follows.

5.1 1D dune evolution

We first consider a classical test case considered in several works (among others [39, 41, 42, 12, 10, 27, 18, 19, 4, 9]), i.e. the evolution of a dune. It is a sediment transport problem in a channel of length $L = 1000$ m. The initial
bottom topography is a bump, we have the following initial data

\[
\begin{aligned}
z_b(0, x) &= \begin{cases} 
0.1 + \sin^2 \left( \frac{(x - 300)\pi}{200} \right) & \text{if } 300 \leq x \leq 500 \text{ m} \\
0.1 & \text{elsewhere}
\end{cases} \\
h(0, x) &= 10 - z_b(0, x) \\
u(0, x) &= \frac{q_0}{h(0, x)}
\end{aligned}
\]

with \( q(t, 0) = q_0 = 10 \text{ m}^2/\text{s} \) the inflow discharge. Thus the flow is sub-critical. Fluid velocity increases as the bump increases and then decreases when it decreases, so does the same \( q_b \). So before the top of the bump, there is erosion (\( \partial_t q_b \) is positive), after which there is sedimentation (\( \partial_t q_b \) is negative). So the bump decreases before the summit, after increases, the overall result is movement to the right. This is the evolution of the dune. For this test, we consider the Exner’s law with the Grass formula (2): \( A_g = 1 \) and \( m_g = 3 \). Thus we have a fast speed of interaction between water flow and bed-load. For the simulation time, we have taken \( T = 700 \text{ s} \), as in [9] in order to validate our results. Four uniform grids are considered for the discretization of the computational domain composed by \( J = 2000, 6000, 10000 \) and \( 20000 \) cells. We recover kinematics obtained in [9]. With \( J = 2000 \) cells, we notice that the numerical method is diffusive (Fig. 3). Adding cells improves the results. With these results, the 2D code parallelization is fully justified. The numerical method is suitable for sub-critical flow where dune phenomenon occurs. With the following test, we will see that, it is suitable for supercritical flow as well.

5.2 1D antidune evolution

With this test we consider a configuration which allows the occurrence of the anti-dune phenomenon. The channel is \( L = 24 \text{ m} \) long. The initial data are a
topography with a parabolic bump
\[ z_b(0, x) = \begin{cases} 
0.2 - 0.05 (x - 10)^2 & \text{if } 8 \leq x \leq 12 \text{ m} \\
0 & \text{elsewhere} 
\end{cases} \]
a uniform discharge \( q(0, x) = q_0 = 1.7 \text{ m}^2/\text{s} \) and the water height is the stationary supercritical profile (for the Shallow Water equations) obtained thanks to Bernoulli’s law
\[
\begin{align*}
q(t, x) &= q_0 \\
\frac{q_0^2}{2gh^2} + h + z_b = H_0
\end{align*}
\]
where \( H_0 = \frac{q_0^2}{2gh} + h_0 + z_b(0, 0) \) is the total hydraulic head at inflow (Fig. 4 top left). The water height at inflow is \( h(t, 0) = h_0 = 0.5 \text{ m} \). This is the opposite conclusion as for the previous test: the speed decreases before the top of the bump, there is deposition, and after the summit, the speed rises again and thus causes erosion. We get anti-dune going upstream. For this test, we consider the Exner’s law with the Grass formula (2):
\[ A_g = 0.001 \text{ and } m_g = 3. \]
The simulation time is \( T = 50 \text{ s} \) and the computational domain is divided into \( J = 2400 \) space cells. On Fig. 4, we notice the propagation of the anti-dune upstream (from right to left). This phenomenon has already been studied theoretically et experimentally (see e.g. [47, 59, 37, 38, 21, 22]), but up to us, it is the first time that anti-dunes are simulated numerically.

### 5.3 2D dune evolution cases

In these classical purely two-dimensional tests, considered in many publications (see e.g. [39, 40, 27, 10, 19, 4, 25, 16, 9]), we study the evolution of a bump in a channel (Fig. 5). The dimensions of the domain are \((L_x \times L_y) = (1000\text{m} \times 1000\text{m})\). The initial data common to each test are
\[
\begin{align*}
z_b(0, x, y) &= \begin{cases} 
0.1 + \sin \left( \frac{\pi (x - 300)}{200} \right)^2 \sin \left( \frac{\pi (y - 400)}{200} \right)^2 & \text{if } (x, y) \in [300, 500\text{m}] \times [400, 600\text{m}] \\
0.1 & \text{elsewhere} 
\end{cases} \\
h(0, x, y) &= 10 - z_b(0, x, y) \\
u(0, x, y) &= \frac{q_0}{h(0, x, y)} \\
v(0, x, y) &= 0
\end{align*}
\]
with \( u \) (resp. \( v \)) the velocity in \( x \) (resp. \( y \)) direction and \( q_0 = 10\text{m}^2/\text{s} \) the upstream constant discharge in \( x \) direction. In all other boundaries, we assume free flow conditions. For these tests, we consider the Exner’s law with the 2D Grass formula with \( m_g = 3 \) and two different values: \( A_g = 1 \) and then \( A_g = 0.1 \). Thus we get a fast and an intermediate ground/flow interaction.

#### 5.3.1 Fast interaction - \( A_g = 1 \)

For this test, initial data are those described previously with \( A_g = 1 \). The simulation time is \( T = 500 \text{ s} \) and the computational domain is divided into \((J \times K) = (4000 \times 4000)\) cells. At the end of the simulation (Fig. 6), we recover the shape obtained in [19] and [9] for this value of \( A_g \).
Figure 4: Antidune evolution for different times 0s, 6s, 10s, 15s, 30s and 50s.

Figure 5: 2D bump - initial condition.
5.3.2 Intermediate interaction – $A_g = 0.1$

For this test, initial data are those described previously with $A_g = 0.1$. The simulation time is $T = 1000$ s and the computational domain is divided into $(J \times K) = (4000 \times 4000)$ cells. At the location of the bump, we notice that the method is diffusive (Fig. 7): indeed, we have circles on the pictures representing contours. However, as for the previous test, the overall shape is
In order to evaluate the speed-up, we ran the simulation on 4, 8, 16, 32 and 64 cores. The computation time and acceleration are represented on Fig. 8. Usually, speed-up is defined by \( S = T_1/T_p \), with \( p \) the number of processors, \( T_1 \) the execution time of the sequential algorithm and \( T_p \), the execution time of the parallel algorithm with \( p \) processors. Thus speed-up is a very classical criteria to know how much a parallel algorithm is faster than a corresponding sequential algorithm (see among others [31, 30] and [58]). A linear speed-up or ideal speed-up is obtained when \( S_p = p \). In our case, performing the sequential code was too consuming, thus we have used \( T_4 \) as the reference. The "speed-up" is calculated as what follows: \( S = 4T_4/T_p \). We get a speed-up not far from the ideal one. For future improvements and to take advantage of the power of massively parallel machines of the last generation, hybrid parallelization should be considered. Using OpenMP with MPI could increase benefits like: memory saving, better load balancing and better adequacy to the hardware specificities.

Figure 8: CPU time and speedup for \( A_y = 0.1 \).

6 Conclusions and perspectives

The objective of this work was to prove that the relaxation solver that we introduced in [3] is adapted to reproduce

- well known physical phenomena as the anti-dune process that are not so easy to handle at the numerical level, in particular when soft coupling (i.e. independent solvers or fluid and solid parts) are considered as it is mostly the case for industrial applications,

- two dimensional situations when coupled with a parallelization procedure.

For future works, some improvements have to be performed at the numerical level : high order, well balancing... But the most promising way seems to propose some improvements for the SWE model (1) itself. We see at least two directions that can be investigated. The first one is to introduce more physics in the sediment layer by considering a more complete system for which energy equation can be exhibited. This can be done by considering formal reduction procedure starting from three dimensional model (in the spirit of [34] for classical shallow water system) or by directly introducing adapted multilayer models, see for example [62]. The other one is to improve the fluid model. Indeed,
performing linear stability analysis can show that the Saint-Venant equations, which is a averaged models, do not admit instability rising on the bed from a suitable initial perturbation contrary to those observed in real life. This relative failure of the models led to the consideration of a full fluid flow model, in which, rather than supposing that the flow is shear free and that viscous effects were confined to a turbulent boundary layer, rotational effects were considered, and a model of turbulent shear flow incorporating an eddy viscosity, together with the Exner equation for bedload transport, was adopted (see e.g. [21, 49, 29, 28, 20, 48, 14]).
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