Diversity of Blastocystis subtypes in dogs in different geographical settings
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Abstract

Background: Blastocystis is a ubiquitous, globally distributed intestinal protist infecting humans and a wide range of animals. Several studies have shown that Blastocystis is a potentially zoonotic parasite. A 1996 study reported a 70% Blastocystis prevalence in Brisbane pound dogs while another study found that pet dogs/cats of 11 symptomatic Blastocystis infected patients harboured at least one Blastocystis subtype (ST) in common with the patient. These results raised the possibility that dogs might be natural hosts of Blastocystis. In this study, we aimed to investigate this hypothesis by estimating the prevalence of Blastocystis carriage and characterising the diversity of STs in dogs from three different environmental settings and comparing these STs with the range that humans harbour.

Methods: Two hundred and forty faecal samples from dogs from three different geographical regions with varying levels of socio-economic development and sanitation, namely i) 80 pet and pound dogs from Brisbane, Australia, ii) 80 semi-domesticated dogs from Dong Village, Cambodia and iii) 80 stray dogs from the densely populated cities of Sikkim, Delhi and Mumbai in India, were screened for Blastocystis using PCR and subtyped based on the "barcode region" of the small subunit ribosomal RNA (SSU rRNA) gene.

Results: The prevalence of Blastocystis in dogs from Brisbane and Cambodia was 2.5% (2/80) and 1.3% (1/80), respectively, in contrast to 24% (19/80) in stray dogs from India. Stray dogs in India carried a diverse range of Blastocystis STs including ST 1, 4, 5 and 6 while the dogs from Brisbane carried only ST1 and one Cambodian dog carried ST2.

Conclusion: The results suggest there is geographical variation in Blastocystis prevalence and STs between dog populations as reported in human studies. In addition, the greater diversity of STs and higher prevalence of Blastocystis in Indian stray dogs compared to pet/pound and community dogs in Australia and Cambodia could reflect close proximity to humans and other animals and exposure to their faeces. It appears that dogs are not natural hosts for Blastocystis but rather are transiently and opportunistically infected with a diversity of STs.
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potential source of Blastocystis infection to humans [10]. Eleven symptomatic patients, their pets, as well as 59% of family members tested positive for Blastocystis by PCR and all infected family members and domestic animals (dogs and cats) harboured at least one Blastocystis ST in common with the patient. A study by Duda et al. [11] on Blastocystis prevalence in 72 domestic dogs (70 pound dogs and 2 pet dogs) in Brisbane showed a 70.8% prevalence using light microscopy on fecal wet mounts. These results raised the possibility that dogs might be natural hosts of Blastocystis and potential sources of zoonotic transmission to humans. In this study, we aimed to investigate this hypothesis by estimating the prevalence of Blastocystis STs with the known range harboured by humans.

Methods

Sampling
A total of 240 dogs were screened for Blastocystis, including 80 dogs from each of the 3 following settings 1) pound and pet dogs in Brisbane, a major metropolitan area in Queensland, Australia 2) semi-domesticated dogs from 36 households in Dong Village, Cambodia, 3) stray street dwelling dogs from the Indian cities of Delhi, Sikkim and Mumbai. The three settings differed from each other in terms of geographical location, level of hygiene and opportunities for dogs to come into contact with faeces from humans and other animals. In Brisbane, freshly voided faecal samples were collected off the ground from pet and pound dogs. These samples were obtained in 2010 – 2011 from 45 pound dogs and 35 pet dogs. Samples were stored at room temperature until DNA extraction was performed, usually within 12 hours. In Cambodia, faecal samples were collected per-rectum from 80 semi-domesticated dogs from 36 households in the Dong village and preserved in 2.5% potassium dichromate (K$_2$Cr$_2$O$_7$) (Sigma-Aldrich, Australia) until DNA extraction was performed. In India, stray dogs were sampled from three cities, namely Sikkim (n = 25), Delhi (n = 27) and Mumbai (n = 28) in 2008 as part of a street dog sterilization project run by Non-Governmental Organisations and municipalities. Faecal samples were collected from stray dogs per-rectum and preserved in 70% ethanol. This project was approved by the University of Queensland Animal Ethics Committee with approval no. ANRFA/472/11.

Molecular analysis

DNA extraction
DNA was extracted from faeces using the QIAamp DNA Stool Mini Kit (Qiagen, Germany) with minor modifications. Following addition of buffer ASL and homogenisation, samples were subjected to freeze/thaw 3 times repeatedly in liquid nitrogen and 95°C water, followed by a further 5 mins incubation at 95°C to lyse cells.

Control testing of extracted DNA
For internal process control, all samples were tested using published universal primers that amplify a 140 bp fragment of the 18S ribosomal RNA gene from eukaryotic DNA to detect for amplifiable DNA [12]. The primers used were forward: 18SEUDIR 5′-TCTGCCCTATCAA CTTTCGATGG-3′ and reverse: 18SEUINV 5′-TAATT TGCGCGCCTGCTG-3′. PCR cycling conditions were optimised by modifying the published real-time PCR protocol using an annealing temperature of 60°C. This testing was performed to check for inhibition and also ensure that the variation in the sample preservation methods would not affect the accuracy of results.

PCR amplification
Two previously published PCR primer sets and conditions were utilised for the detection and characterisation of Blastocystis STs [13-15]. A single step [14] and nested PCR [13,15] were performed on each sample using a Bio-Rad C1000 Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Hercules, USA) (Table 1) to amplify a 600 bp and 1100 bp region of the SSU rRNA gene, respectively. Details of primer sets and PCR cycling conditions are outlined in Table 1.

Phylogenetic analysis

PCR products were purified using the PureLink Genomic DNA Mini Kit (Life Technologies Corporation, New York, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Unidirectional DNA sequencing was carried out using the respective reverse primers with an Applied Biosystems 3130/3130xl Genetic Analyzer. DNA sequences were analysed using Finch TV v 1.4.0 (Geospiza Inc., Seattle, WA, USA) and compared with previously published sequences from GenBank (National Center for Biotechnology Information) using Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) 2.2.9 [16]. The sequences were aligned with previously published sequences of the SSU rRNA gene of the various Blastocystis STs sourced from GenBank using BioEdit v 7.1.3.0 software (Ibis Biosciences, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Neighbour joining analysis and construction of a tree was carried out using Mega 4.1 software (The Biodesign Institute, Tempe, AZ, USA). Proteomonas lacerate (U37108) was used as an out-group.

Statistical analysis

Prevalence and their 95% confidence intervals for each group of dogs were calculated using EpiTools epidemiological calculators [17].
Results and discussion

Summary of PCR results are shown in Tables 2 and 3. Of the 80 Indian dogs tested, 19 (24%; 95% CI, 14.4–33.1) were positive for Blastocystis with a predominance of ST1 and ST6. The Blastocystis prevalence in the cities of Sikkim, Mumbai and Delhi were 24% (6/25), 14% (4/28) and 33% (9/27) respectively, with dogs in all cities harbouring ST 1, 4, 5 or 6. Of the 80 Brisbane dogs, 2 (2.5%, 95% CI, 0, 5.9) samples were positive, both were from pound dogs and were ST1. Of the 80 Cambodian dogs, 1 (1.3%, 95% CI, 0, 3.7) was positive for ST2. The Brisbane prevalence results of our study are in contrast to those of Duda et al. [11] who found, using light microscopy only, that 70.8% of pound dogs from Brisbane harbored Blastocystis, a much higher prevalence than the 2.5% described here. This discrepancy could be attributed to the level of care and hygiene of the pound dogs in 1998 compared to current standards, while the source of acquisition and duration of stay within the pound or other confounding factors could have influenced Blastocystis prevalence. On the other hand, Blastocystis is a morphologically pleomorphic organism and the extensive variation in the appearance of the recognized forms of Blastocystis may lead to operator misinterpretations [2,18,19] and in this case, potentially, a high rate of false positives.

Blastocystis prevalence can vary between countries and subpopulations, however, the general trend in previous prevalence studies is that developing countries have a higher prevalence than that of developed countries [2]. Prevalence can range from as low as 3.3% in human samples from hospitals in Singapore [20] to 49% in samples from a Nigerian clinic and 70% in children in three counties in Liberia as reported in a ST distribution study by Alfellani et al. [8]. Both studies used PCR for diagnosis. In humans, these differences can be attributed to poorer sanitation, higher risk of food and water contamination and also greater exposure to animals and their excrements in developing countries as compared to the developed countries [2,4,21]. Our study, however, did not clearly discriminate between the prevalence of Blastocystis between dogs living in developing and developed communities. Mumbai, Delhi and Sikkim have been described as densely populated cities in India with inadequate toileting facilities and sewage systems leaving large sectors of these cities with poor sanitation and hygiene especially in the slum areas [22,23]. As a result, it is estimated that greater than 50% of resource-poor communities within urban areas practice open defecation [23,24]. This may explain the discrepancy between the prevalence of Blastocystis in the Indian stray dogs as compared to the Brisbane dogs, however, it does not account for the negligible prevalence of Blastocystis in semi-domesticated community dogs in rural Cambodia.

The Indian stray dogs in this study harbored ST 1, 4, 5 and 6 while the Brisbane and Cambodian dogs harbored only ST1 and ST2, respectively. Recently, Alfellani et al. [8,25] consolidated the results of multiple studies on Blastocystis ST distribution in humans and NHPs in

Table 2 PCR results of dog faecal samples

| Positive | Blastocystis STs | Negative |
|----------|-----------------|----------|
| Indian street dogs (80) (preserved in ethanol) | 19 (24%) ST1 – 9 ST6 – 7 ST4 – 2 ST5 – 1 | 61 (76%) |
| Brisbane dogs (80) (fresh samples) | 2 (2.5%, pound dogs) ST1 – 2 | 78 (97.5%) |
| Cambodian dogs (80) (preserved in 2.5% K2Cr2O7) | 1 (1.3%) ST2 – 1 | 79 (98.7%) |

Table 3 PCR results of dog faecal samples based on individual primer sets

| PCR                | Indian street dogs | Brisbane dogs | Cambodian dogs |
|--------------------|--------------------|---------------|----------------|
| Single step PCR    | ST1 – 8            | ST1 – 2       | ST2 – 1        |
| Nested PCR         | ST2 – 1            | All negative  | ST2 – 1 (same sample as above) |
Conclusions
Our results show that a diverse range of Blastocystis STs (ST1, 2, 4, 5, and 6) can be found in dogs and it is likely that there is geographical variation of STs in dogs as has been shown in humans and NHPs [8, 25]. Secondly, considering the low prevalence of Blastocystis in dogs with no indication of dog-specific/predominant ST, they are unlikely to be natural hosts of Blastocystis and are potentially opportunistically infected via coprophagia of other hosts faeces or contaminated drinking water. Larger scale Blastocystis epidemiological studies on humans and dogs from the same geographical areas with SSU rDNA (18S) allele analysis or MLST would be required to confirm this hypothesis.
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