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Abstract  
Twenty five *Neolamarckia cadamba* clones were evaluated for growth attributes and genetic divergence. The clonal evaluation test conducted for a period of 15 months indicated that the clones differed significantly for various growth and leaf attributes. Among twenty five clones evaluated, two clones *viz.* AC17 and AC15 reigned supreme in terms of all growth attributes and they are under sharp focus for immediate adoption and incorporation in future breeding programme. The clones were characterized for the existence of genetic divergence through Mahalanobis D² analysis. The study resolved twenty five clones into ten major clusters. In which Cluster II was maximum with nine clones. Five clusters *viz.* VI, VII, VIII, IX and X registered only one clone each indicating their clonal genetic divergence. The intra-cluster distance was ranged between 0.00 and 15.03 and the inter cluster distance was ranged between 8.75 and 64.77. The highest inter cluster distance was registered between cluster VIII and X indicating wider genetic variation and extends scope for further improvement. Among the growth attributes, volume contributed maximum genetic divergence which could be incorporated in selection and improvement programme of *Neolamarckia cadamba*. This study examines, the variability pattern and growth attributes and recommends two clones for immediate adoption.
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Introduction  
*Neolamarckia cadamba* is a tropical multipurpose tree species and exhibits a wide range of natural distribution from South Asia to South East Asia including India, Jawa, Sumatra, China, Indonesia, Malaysia, Bangladesh, Sri Lanka, Cambodia, Papua New Guinea, Philippines and Singapore. In India, it occurs in the sub Himalayan tract from Nepal to west Bengal and Assam. It is also found in interior states of India particularly in Bihar, Madhya Pradesh, Andra Pradesh and evergreen forests of Karnataka and Kerala (Anon, 1985). This species has large tropical trees with characteristics of straight cylindrical bole and reaches a height upto 15 m. (Ghosh, 1977)  
This species has profound attraction in India due to its fast growth and multiple industrial utility. The wood of this species would be used in pulpwood, light construction material, flooring, beams and rafters, tea-chests, packing cases, match splints, bobbins and pencil (Soerianegara and lemmans, 1993). The pulp of the species is mixed with long fibre material to produce medium quality paper (Parthiban and Seenivasan, 2017). The leaves of this species are found to have an excellent feed quality (Zayed *et al.*., 2014). Such a multiple utility species received only little research attention and demanded systematic research programme. Traditional tree improvement programme have been conducted sequentially with successive species, provenance and progeny tests. However, in practice there is a strong economic pressure to reduce the testing interval between these stages. The use of combined provenance and progeny test has been advocated to reduce the testing interval between provenance and progeny stage (Zheng *et al.*, 1994; Sebemann *et al.*, 2003; Finkeldey, 2005). Accordingly, tree improvement program in *Neolamarckia cadamba* was reported in combined provenance and progeny tests experimental in Indonesia (Sudrajat *et al.*, 2016). Barring this study, there is no systematic tree improvement programme on this multiple utility species which demanded a systematic approach to improve this species. Accordingly, a systematic progeny test was carried out to deploying 30 progenies selected from different parts of India and evaluated during the period between 2013 and 2015 (Prakash, 2017). Based on the early superiority, twenty five clones were selected based on the progeny test and was evaluated for screening short rotation clone. This study examines the growth and diversity pattern of *Neolamarckia cadamba* clonal genetic resources.

Materials and Methods  
Thirty open pollinated genetic resources of *Neolamarckia cadamba* were collected from 11 natural ranges distributed in India includes Tamil Nadu, Assam, Bihar, Nagaland, Uttarkhand, Telangana, Uttar Pradesh, Maharashtra, Karnataka, Kerala and Tripura. The seedlings were raised and
systematic progeny test was carried out during 2013. Twenty five plus trees were selected based on comparison tree method (Pitcher and Dorn, 1966). These trees were felled, coppice shoots were induced and multiplied through mini clonal technology (Parthiban, 2017) and were designated as clones. The parental pedigree and the identified clonal selections are furnished in table 1. These clones were evaluated through clonal test in a Row Column Design at an espacement of 2 m x 2 m with three replications for the assessment of analysis of variance as suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1967). The clones were assessed periodically for various growth attributes such as basal diameter, diameter at breast height, height, number of branches, volume, leaf length, leaf width, leaf petiole length, total number of leaves and leaf area.

The $D^2$ statistics was adopted for the estimation of genetic divergence (Mahalanobis 1928). Using $D^2$ statistical results, the clustering of clones was done. The $D^2$ statistics was carried out using all the traits. The clones were grouped into different clusters using ‘GENRES’ statistical package on the basis of $D^2$ values as suggested by Rao (1952). On completion of clustering, the intra and inter cluster relationship was studied and the mutual relationship between the clusters and their distances were represented.

Results and Discussion

The clonal evaluation test exhibited significant variation among the clones due to growth attributes and the results are furnished in table 2. The basal diameter differs significantly due to clones. Compared to the average basal diameter two clones viz., AC17 (86.31 mm) has exhibited significantly higher basal diameter followed by AC15 (74.13 mm). Two clones viz., AC20 and AC24 recorded significantly lower basal diameter compared to the average basal diameter of all clones. This indicated superiority of few clones which may be suitable for deployment as short rotation clone. Similarly, the diameter at breast height also exhibited variation due to clones. The same two clones viz., AC17 (56.92 mm) and AC15 (52.69 mm) exhibited significantly higher diameter at breast height compared to average diameter at breast height.

The variation is a prerequisite for genetic improvement of any crop species (Sharma et al., 1994). The desired genetic improvement can be achieved only through exploitation of variation. Hence, the variation recorded in the current investigation extends the scope for deployment of clones with increased values for growth attributes. Such variation in growth attributes were recorded earlier in various provenances of Albizia lebbeck (Thakur et al., 2014) and seed sources of Acacia catechu (Prakash, 2011). The variation due to clone was also recorded in Eucalyptus (Vennila, 2009), Casuarina (Parthiban et al., 2018) which lend support to the current investigation.

Height is one of the important factors which decide the performance of a clone in a specific site quality. The clones differed significantly due to height which varied between 1.93 m (AC 20) and 5.77 m (AC 17) with overall average height of 3.90 m. Compared to the average height, three clones viz., AC 17 (5.77 m) followed by AC 15 (5.37 m) and AC 16 (4.93 m) registered significantly higher plant height. Barring five clones viz., AC 10, AC 12, AC 20, AC 21 and AC 24, other clones exhibited parity with the average height of the clones.

The number of branches also exhibited variation due to the clone and it ranged between 6.67 (AC 20) and 17.33 (AC 17). The average number of branches recorded was 12.04. Compared to average number of branches only one clone viz., AC 17 (17.33) reigned supreme. Volume of the clones also exhibited variation and it ranged between 0.0002 m$^3$ (AC 20) and 0.0074 m$^3$ (AC 15). The average volume recorded was 0.0026 m$^3$. Compared to the average volume, four clones viz., AC 17 (0.0074 m$^3$), AC 15 (0.0057 m$^3$), AC 16 (0.0043 m$^3$) and AC 23 (0.0043 m$^3$) recorded significantly higher volume.

Considering all the growth attributes into account, out of twenty five clones’ evaluated, only two clones viz., AC 17 and AC 15 came into limelight. Such superiority of selected provenances, progenies and clones have earlier been recorded in several species like Melia dubia (Kumar et al., 2013), Casuarina (Parthiban et al., 2018), Teak (Parthiban, 2001), Melia azadirach (Meena et al., 2014), Acacia catechu (Tej Prakash, 2011) and Albizia lebbeck (Thakur et al., 2014) The significant variation among clones for all growth attributes recorded in the current investigation may be attributable to their genetic constitution as reported earlier in poplar clones (Toky et al., 1996; Sidhu and Dhillon, 2007).

Leaf traits

The growth and productivity of tree species depends on the leaf traits and hence, the clones deployed in the clonal test were characterized for leaf traits viz., leaf length, leaf width, leaf petiole length, total number of leaves and leaf area and the results are furnished in Table 3.

The leaf length differed significantly due to clones. The higher leaf length was recorded by the clone AC 15 (42.63 cm) followed by AC 14 (40.13 cm) and AC7 (39.07 cm). The average leaf length recorded was 30.49 cm. Compared to average leaf length, two clones viz., AC 15 (42.63 cm) and AC 14 (40.13 cm) recorded significantly higher leaf length. Similarly, the clones exhibited variations...
for leaf width which varied between 10.80 cm (AC 10) and 21.37 cm (AC 15). The leaf petiolar length also exhibited variation due to clones and it ranged between 3.07 cm (AC 9) and 5.83 cm (AC 5). The total number of leaves also exhibited variations due to clones and it was ranged between 60.67 (AC 5) and 224.11 (AC 17). The average value for this parameter recorded was 124.43. Compared to average number of leaves, only one clone viz., AC 17 (224.11) registered significantly higher value. The leaf area differed due to clones and it varied from AC 10 (0.0233 m²) to AC 15 (0.0744 m²). The average leaf area recorded was 0.0430 m². Compared to the average leaf area, only one clone viz., AC 15 (0.0744 m²) registered significantly higher leaf area.

The studies on leaf trait characterization indicated wide variations among the clones and extends greater scope for selection using leaf traits. Such variation in leaf traits and its correlation with productivity has earlier reported in poplar (Monclus et al., 2005)

Among the leaf traits, the leaf area and the leaf number play a vital role in biomass production. These two traits exhibited larger clonal variability but were negatively correlated with each other as recorded in Poplar (Monclus et al, 2005). Similar results are recorded in the current investigation wherein, the clone AC15 recorded the highest leaf area but lower leaf number and the clone AC 17 recorded higher leaf number but comparatively lower leaf area. These two parameters are directly related to biomass production which attested the results of current study wherein, the clones’ AC 17, AC 15 and AC 16 registered higher values for all growth attributes.

Considering both growth and leaf attributes, these two clones viz., AC 17, AC 15 and AC 16 came into lame light and are focused for immediate adoption and deployment in future breeding program.

Assessment of genetic divergence in the population of Neolamarckia cadamba is of great significance in all breeding programs. The genetic divergence may help in inter-mating of divergent groups and increase the variability which may be useful in developing genetically superior planting material (Chaturvedi and Pandey, 2011). Hence, genetic divergence studies were carried out using the growth and leaf attributes of twenty five clones deployed in the current evaluation.

The genetic divergence studies indicated that the twenty five Neolamarckia cadamba clones were resolved into ten different clusters and the results are furnished in Table 4. Among the clusters, the cluster II consisted of nine clones followed by cluster IV with four clones, Cluster I with three clones, Cluster II and V with two clones. The clusters VI, VII, VIII, IX and X had only single clone each. Similar studies earlier registered in various species like Neem (Kumaran, 1991), Teak (Arun Prasad et al., 2009) and Pinus wallichiana (Aslam et al., 2011). The clustering revealed in the current study indicated that, there exists a large range of variability among the tested clones. Some clusters, for example cluster II included clones from all locations but other clusters included only a clone from particular location. Such results were earlier reported by several authors and indicated that all the genotypes from a given area may not necessarily be from a single cluster (Sagwal, 1982; Khosla et al., 1994; sehgal et al., 1994). This indicated that the pattern of genetic divergence is not depend on the geographical nearness of the genotype and such a variation could be attributable due to the genetic makeup of the genotypes (Bhaumik et al., 1971; Chauhan and sehgal, 2001).

**Intra and inter cluster average distance**

Intra cluster distance ranged from 0.00 to 15.131. The highest intra - cluster D² was registered in cluster IV (15.131) and minimum was recorded in cluster VI, VII, VIII, IX and X (0.00). The D values also registered the same pattern as D² values (Table 5).

Inter – cluster D² values ranged from 8.759 to 64.772 and the corresponding the D values varies from 2.960 to 8.048. Highest inter cluster distance was recorded between cluster VIII and X (64.772), followed by cluster VI and VIII (61.127) suggesting that there is a wide genetic diversity between these groups. The minimum inter-cluster distance was between cluster I and V (8.759). Similar results genetic distances were obtained by Aslam et al. (2011) in Pinus wallichiana wherein the highest intra-cluster value (1.635) was obtained for cluster VIII followed by cluster IX (1.423) and the inter-cluster distances varied from 1.479 to 9.901. The lowest inter-cluster distance of 1.479 was observed between cluster X and III and the highest inter-cluster distance of 9.901 was observed between cluster IX and VII, indicating a high degree of genetic divergence among the plus tree progenies.

In the current study inter and intra cluster distance was higher and it helps in high heterotic effect during hybridization drawn from genetically diverse clusters (Aslam et al., 2011). Such inter and intra cluster distance among Pinus gerardiana (Kant et al., 2006) was also reported which supports the results of the current study.

Cluster mean expressed significant variation among the clusters for growth parameters and leaf attributes. The members in cluster VIII showed the highest performance of for basal diameter (86.31 mm) followed by cluster III (66.06 mm) while, the minimum was observed for the cluster VI (28.98
The maximum cluster mean for diameter at breast height was observed in cluster VIII (56.92 mm) whereas, the least cluster mean was exhibited by the cluster VI (14.77 mm). The maximum cluster mean for height was observed in cluster VIII (5.77 m) whereas the least cluster mean was exhibited by the cluster VI (1.93 m). The members of I, V, VII and X clusters have registered higher mean performance for number of branches (14.00%) while the minimum was registered in the cluster VI (6.67%). The members of cluster III showed higher performance for leaf length (41.38 cm) and minimum performance was registered by cluster VII (24.80 cm). The maximum cluster mean for leaf width was observed in cluster III (20.83 cm) whereas the least cluster mean was exhibited by the cluster IV (12.90 cm). The maximum cluster mean for leaf petiole length was observed in cluster V (5.03 cm) whereas, the least cluster mean was exhibited by the cluster IX (3.47 cm). The maximum cluster mean for the total number of leaves was observed in cluster VIII (224.11) whereas, the least cluster mean was exhibited by the cluster VI (42.00). While computing cluster mean for leaf area, the higher cluster mean was recorded by cluster III (0.070 m²) and least was observed in cluster VI (0.023 m²). In case of volume, the cluster mean was higher in cluster VIII (0.007 m³) and the lowest was observed in cluster VI (0.000 m³). In general, the cluster VIII and cluster VI had the highest and the lowest mean values for most of the traits respectively. Considering all the cluster mean values, the cluster VIII representing clone AC17 has recorded increased cluster mean values for basal diameter (86.31 mm), diameter at breast height (56.92 mm), height (5.77 m), number of branches (17.33), total number of leaves (224.11) and volume (0.007 m³). This indicated that this clone is genetically divergent and also a superior performer which may be exploited for immediate adoption. Such superiority of few genetic resources representing different clusters have been recorded in Prospopis cineraria (Manga and Sen, 2000), Bahunia variegata (Anand et al., 2005), Pongamia pinnata (Panravada et al., 2006) and Azadirachta indica (kaushik et al., 2007). The Existence of similar results in Bixa orellana progenies (Kala and kumaran, 2012) also extends support to the findings of current investigation. The contribution of growth attributes towards genetic divergence shall guide the breeder towards deploying the contributory traits for further breeding and improvement programme. In the current study, among the various growth attributes investigated, Volume contributed maximum divergence (32.67%) followed by leaf area (14.00%), leaf length (12.67%), leaf petiole length (12.33%), height (12.00%), basal diameter (6.33%), diameter at breast height (5.67%), total number of leaves (2.00%), leaf width (1.33%) and number of branches (1.00%). The study clearly indicated that volume contributes maximum towards genetic divergence and hence, the trees with higher volume production in this species could play a vital role towards existence of genetic diversity. Similar results were earlier reported in Neolamarckia cadamba (Prakash, 2017) also extends support to the findings of the current investigation.

The clonal evaluation test was identified, two clones viz., AC 17 and AC 15 as superior performers which would be recommended for immediate adoption and for further improvement. The clones were characterized for their genetic divergence using growth and leaf attributes which resolved twenty five clones into ten clusters. Among the clusters, Cluster II was dominant representing nine clones and the cluster VI, VII, VIII, IX and X represented one clone each indicating their genetic difference. The inter and intra cluster distance was also higher indicating, higher genetic divergence among the clones. The inter cluster distance was maximum between cluster VIII and X and least between I and V. Among the clusters, Cluster VIII registered higher cluster mean value for maximum growth attributes which indicated that the presence of only one clone viz., AC 17 in cluster VIII could be superior performer and this clone is under sharp focus for immediate adoption. Among the various growth attributes, volume contributed maximum to genetic divergence whereas Number of branches contributed least to the genetic divergence. The higher genetic distance among the Cluster VIII and Cluster X shows that AC 17 and AC 21 can be further utilized for future hybridization program for the improvement of Neolamarckia cadamba genetic resources.

References
Anand, R.K., Chauhan, K.C. and Huse, S.K. 2005. Genetic diversity studies in plus trees of Bauhinia variegata Linn. for its growth and biomass traits. In: Multipurpose tree in the tropics: management and improvement strategies (Tiwari, V.P and R.L. Srivastava. Eds.). AFRI, Jodhpur (India). Pp 744-748.
Anon, 1985. Troup’s Silviculture of Indian Trees. Vol VI. Controller of Publications, Delhi.
Arumprasad, K. C. A., Surendran, C., Parthiban, K. T. and Sudhagar, R. J. 2003. Genetic divergence studies in Teak (Tectona grandis Linn.). Indian Journal of Forestry 26: 438-441.
Aslam, M., Reshi Z. A., and Siddiqui, T. O. 2011. Genetic divergence in half-sib progenies of Pinus wallichiana A.B. Jackson plus trees in the Kashmir Himalaya, India. Tropical Ecology 52(2): 201-208.

Bhaumik, P. K. Sinha, M. K., and Banerjee, S. P. 1971. Genetic divergence among rice strains. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 41: 31-35.

Chaturvedi, O. P. and Pandey, N. 2001. Genetic divergence in Bombax ceiba L. germplasms. Silvae Genetica 50: 99-102.

Chauhan, S. K. and Sehgal, R. N. 2001. Genetic divergence among progenies of Himalayan long leaf pine. Indian Journal of Forestry 24: 65-71.

Finkeldey, F. 2005. Conservation and sustainable utilization of plant genetic resources in South East Asia. ASEAN-EU University Network Programme.

Ghosh, R.C. 1977. Handbook of Afforestation Techniques. Controller of Publication, Delhi.

Kala, S., and Kumaran, K. 2012. Genetic divergence studies among the progenies of plus trees in Bixa orellana, l. Indian Forester, 138 (9): 828-834.

Kant, A., Dutt, V. and Sharma, D. R. 2006. Genetic variability in phenotypic characters of Pinus gerardiana. Indian Forester 132: 681-690.

Kaushik, N., Nautiyal, S., Kaushik, J.C. and Beniwal, R.S. 2007. Divergence studies in plus trees of Neem (Azadirachta indica). Ind. J. Agrofor., 9 (2): 106-110.

Khosla, P. K., Sehgal, R. N. and S. K. Chauhan. 1994. Comparison of chirpine seed stands for growth and nursery traits. Indian Journal of Forestry 17: 196-200.

Kumar, P., Parthiban, K.T. and V. Saravanan, 2013. Genetic variations among open-pollinated families of selected better trees in Melia dubia. Res J Rec Sci, 2, pp.189-194.

Kumaran, K. 1991. Genetic Analysis of Seed and Juvenile Seedling Attributes in Neem (Azadirachta indica A. Juss) and Pungam (Pongamia pinnata (Linn.) Pierre.). M. Sc. Thesis. Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, India.

Mahalanobis, P.C. 1928. On the generalized distance in statistics. Proceedings, National Institute of Science, India, pp. 49-55.

Manga, V.K and Sen, D.N. 2000. Genetic diversity among different genotypes of Prosopis cineraria Druce. Ind. J. For., 33(3):291-295.

Meena, H. Kumar, Sharma, R., Chauhan, S.

K., Bhargava, K. M. 2014. Genetic variation for growth and yield parameters in half-sib progenies of Melia azedarach (Linn.). Turk J Agric For. 38: 531-539.

Monclus, R., Dreyer, E., Delmotte, F.M., Villar, M., Delay, D., Boudouresque, E., Petit, J.-M., Marron, N., Bréchet, C. and Brignolas, F., 2005. Productivity, leaf traits and carbon isotope discrimination in 29 Populus deltoides×P.nigra clones. New Phytol. 167, 53-62.

Panravada, S.R., Joseph, B., Sivaraj, N., Varaprasad, K.S. and Kamla, V. 2006. Diversity in germplasm of Pongamia pinnata (L) Pierre and its traditional uses in southern parts of Andhra pradesh. Ind. J. Agrofor., 8(1):57-61.

Panse, V.J. and Sukhatme. P.V 1967. Statistical methods for agricultural workers, New delhi: ICAR.

Parthiban, K.T. 2001. Seed source variations, molecular characterization and clonal propagation in teak (Tectona grandis Linn. F.). PhD, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, India.

Parthiban, K.T. 2017. Mini Clonal Technology for Tree crops. In: Forestry Technologies–A. Complete Value Chain Approach. (Parthiban K.T. and Seenivasan R. Eds), Scientific Publisher, Jodhpur. ISBN: 9789387307438.

Parthiban, K.T. and Seenivasan R. 2017. Forestry Technologies–A. Complete Value Chain Approach. Scientific Publisher, Jodhpur. ISBN: 9789387307438.

Parthiban, K.T., Kanagaraj, N., Palanikumar, B. and Krishnakumar, N. 2018. Development of DUS descriptor for casuarina genetic resources. International Journal of genetics. Volume 10, Issue 1, 2018, pp.-333-338.

Pitcher, J.A. and Dorn, D. E. 1966. A new form for reporting hardwood superior tree candidates. Proc.5th central states forest tree improvement, Wooster, Ohil. pp: 7-12

Prakash G.M. 2017. Genetic Evaluation and wood characterization studies in Neolamarckia cadamba (Roxb.) Bosser. Ph.D Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, India.

Prakash, T. 2011. Variation studies in Acacia catechu Wild. M.Sc Thesis, Dr.Y.S.Parmar university of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan.

Rao, C.R. 1952. Advanced statistical methods in biometrical research. John Wiley and Sons, New York. pp. 357-363.
Sagwal, S. S. 1982. Estimation of genetic parameters in needle length of chir pine (Pinus roxburghii Sarg.). Indian Journal of Forestry 5: 189-191.

Sebbenn, A.M., Pontinha, A.A.S. and Kageyama, P.Y. 2003. Genetic variation in provenance-progeny test of Araucaria angustifolia (Bert.) O.Ktze. in Sao Paulo, Brazil. Silvae Genetica 52:5-6.

Sehgal, R. N., Chauhan, S. K. and Khosla, P. K. 1994. Variation in cone, seed and nursery characters in high resin yielding trees selected in Himachal Pradesh. Indian Journal of Forestry 17: 105-111.

Sharma, N.K.K., Verma, U., Tiwari, J.C., Bohra M.D. and Harsh, L.N. 1994. Variability studies in pod and seed characteristics of Prosopis juliflora. Indian J. For., (2): 161 – 165

Sidhu, D.S., Dhillon, G.P.S. 2007. Field performance of ten clones and two sizes of planting stock of Populus deltoides in Indo-gangetic plains of India. New Forest, 34(2): 115–122.

Soerianegara, I and Lemmans, R.H.M.J. 1993. Plant resources of South-east Asia 5 (1): Timber trees: Major commercial timbers. Pudoc Scientific Publishers, Wageningen, Netherlands

Sudrajat, D.J. 2016. Genetic variation of fruit, seed, and seedling characteristics among 11 populations of white jabon in Indonesia. Forest Science and Technology 12(1):9-15.

Thakur, I.K., Dhuppe, S. and Sharma, J.P. 2014. Phenotypic variation and seed characters evaluation in different provenances of Albizia lebbeck (L.) Benth. Indian journal of forestry, Vol. 37 (1):35-40.

Toky, O.P., Bish, R.P., Kumar, N. and Singh, R.R. 1996. Growth variability of Populus deltoides (Marsh.) clones in arid climate of North-Western India. Indian Journal Forestry, 19(1): 69–73.

Vennila, S. 2009. Pulpwood Traits, genetic and Molecular characterization of Eucalyptus genetic resources. Ph.D Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, India.

Zayed, M. Z., Zaki, M. A., Ahmad, F. B., Ho, W.S., Pan, S.L. 2014. Comparison of Mimosine Content and Nutritive Values of Neolamarckia Cadamba And Leucaena Leucocephala With Medicago Sativa As Forage Quality Index. International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research Volume 3.

Zheng, Y.O., Ennos, R. and Wang, H.R. 1994. Provenance variation and genetic parameters in a trial of Pinus caribaea Morrelet var. bahamensis and Golf. For Genet 1(3):165-74.
Table 1. Location details of *Neolamarckia cadamba* clones.

| Sr. No. | Original Seed Source | State       | Latitude  | Longitude  | Altitude (m) | Screened Clone |
|---------|----------------------|-------------|-----------|------------|--------------|----------------|
| 1       | Patna                | Bihar       | 25°33’N   | 84°40’E   | 063          | AC 01          |
| 2       | Thahekhu             | Nagaland    | 25°53’N   | 93°43’E   | 161          | AC 02          |
| 3       | Jorhat               | Assam       | 26°45’N   | 94°11’E   | 064          | AC 03          |
| 4       | Pantnagar            | Uttarakhand | 29°01’N   | 79°28’E   | 237          | AC 04          |
| 5       | Rangapahar I         | Nagaland    | 25°50’N   | 93°43’E   | 159          | AC 05          |
| 6       | Allahabad            | Uttar Pradesh | 25°24’N   | 81°50’E   | 093          | AC 06          |
| 7       | Chandrapur           | Maharashtra | 19°59’N   | 79°21’E   | 219          | AC 07          |
| 8       | Rangapahar II        | Nagaland    | 25°51’N   | 93°43’E   | 160          | AC 08          |
| 9       | Thekampatty          | Tamil Nadu  | 11°14’N   | 76°52’E   | 355          | AC 09          |
| 10      | Lankajan             | Assam       | 25°55’N   | 92°57’E   | 127          | AC 10          |
| 11      | Nahorani             | Assam       | 26°41’N   | 94°00’E   | 067          | AC 11          |
| 12      | Thrissur             | Kerala      | 10°32’N   | 76°16’E   | 030          | AC 12          |
| 13      | Gkvk                 | Karnataka   | 13°04’N   | 77°34’E   | 919          | AC 13          |
| 14      | Anandvan             | Maharashtra | 20°15’N   | 79°01’E   | 214          | AC 14          |
| 15      | Mettupalayam         | Tamil Nadu  | 11°32’N   | 76°93’E   | 330          | AC 15          |
| 16      | Mettupalayam         | Tamil Nadu  | 11°32’N   | 76°93’E   | 330          | AC 16          |
| 17      | Khowai               | Tripura     | 24°04’N   | 91°36’E   | 46           | AC 17          |
| 18      | Mettupalayam         | Tamil Nadu  | 11°17’N   | 76°56’E   | 330          | AC 18          |
| 19      | Coimbatore           | Tamil Nadu  | 11°17’N   | 76°56’E   | 330          | AC 19          |
| 20      | Coimbatore           | Tamil Nadu  | 11°17’N   | 76°56’E   | 330          | AC 20          |
| 21      | Haryana              | Haryana     | 29°41’N   | 76°59’E   | 251          | AC 21          |
| 22      | Tripura              | Tripura     | 23°49’N   | 91°17’E   | 78           | AC 22          |
| 23      | Coimbatore           | Tamil Nadu  | 11°17’N   | 76°56’E   | 330          | AC 23          |
| 24      | Karnal               | Haryana     | 29°41’N   | 76°59’E   | 251          | AC 24          |
| 25      | Haryana              | Haryana     | 29°41’N   | 76°59’E   | 251          | AC 25          |
Table 2. Growth parameters of *Neolamarckia cadamba* clones at 15 MAP

| Clone | Basal Diameter (mm) | DBH (mm) | Height (m) | No. of Branches | Volume (m$^3$) |
|-------|---------------------|----------|------------|-----------------|----------------|
| AC 1  | 55.42               | 42.77    | 3.83       | 14.00           | 0.0028         |
| AC 2  | 66.10               | 38.69    | 3.72       | 13.67           | 0.0021         |
| AC 3  | 60.19               | 38.37    | 3.43       | 11.00           | 0.0021         |
| AC 4  | 60.11               | 40.19    | 3.68       | 12.67           | 0.0027         |
| AC 5  | 50.57               | 28.83    | 3.33       | 9.33            | 0.0011         |
| AC 6  | 61.46               | 40.93    | 4.07       | 9.67            | 0.0029         |
| AC 7  | 66.32               | 45.23    | 4.57       | 10.67           | 0.0035         |
| AC 8  | 54.79               | 34.59    | 4.12       | 10.67           | 0.0019         |
| AC 9  | 38.67               | 24.52    | 3.33       | 9.67            | 0.0008         |
| AC 10 | 41.70               | 22.99    | 2.87       | 10.67           | 0.0006         |
| AC 11 | 67.13               | 43.44    | 4.78       | 12.67           | 0.0033         |
| AC 12 | 45.62               | 30.13    | 2.90       | 10.33           | 0.0010         |
| AC 13 | 70.65               | 46.00    | 4.50       | 13.33           | 0.0035         |
| AC 14 | 57.99               | 39.31    | 4.53       | 10.33           | 0.0029         |
| AC 15 | 74.13               | 52.69    | 5.37       | 13.00           | 0.0057         |
| AC 16 | 71.41               | 47.94    | 4.93       | 15.33           | 0.0043         |
| AC 17 | 86.31               | 56.92    | 5.77       | 17.33           | 0.0074         |
| AC 18 | 59.37               | 45.56    | 4.10       | 12.33           | 0.0033         |
| AC 19 | 51.12               | 27.65    | 3.25       | 9.00            | 0.0010         |
| AC 20 | 28.98               | 14.77    | 1.93       | 6.67            | 0.0002         |
| AC 21 | 50.65               | 28.44    | 2.35       | 14.00           | 0.0007         |
| AC 22 | 54.85               | 36.18    | 4.25       | 14.00           | 0.0024         |
| AC 23 | 65.53               | 46.30    | 4.60       | 14.00           | 0.0043         |
| AC 24 | 36.41               | 21.71    | 2.65       | 14.00           | 0.0005         |
| AC 25 | 71.06               | 47.68    | 4.63       | 12.67           | 0.0043         |
| Mean  | 57.86               | 37.67    | 3.90       | 12.04           | 0.0026         |
| Minimum| 36.41               | 14.77    | 1.93       | 6.67            | 0.0002         |
| Maximum| 86.31               | 56.92    | 5.77       | 17.33           | 0.0074         |
| CV    | 17.13               | 22.63    | 15.36      | 22.50           | 59.77          |
| SEd   | 8.09                | 6.96     | 0.49       | 2.21            | 0.0013         |
| CD (0.05)| 16.27               | 14.00    | 0.98       | 4.45            | 0.0026         |

* * 5% significance
### Table 3. Leaf traits of *Neolamarckia cadamba* clones at 15 MAP

| Clone | Leaf Length (cm) | Leaf Width (cm) | Leaf Petiole Length (cm) | Total No of Leaves | Leaf Area (m²) |
|-------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| AC 1  | 34.63            | 17.73           | 4.57                     | 140.83             | 0.0478        |
| AC 2  | 30.30            | 17.40           | 4.67                     | 120.88             | 0.0441        |
| AC 3  | 34.83            | 19.91           | 4.65                     | 106.17             | 0.0562        |
| AC 4  | 27.33            | 17.70           | 5.03                     | 121.20             | 0.0422        |
| AC 5  | 28.10            | 16.17           | 5.83                     | 60.67              | 0.0315        |
| AC 6  | 36.60            | 19.97           | 4.37                     | 111.68             | 0.0590        |
| AC 7  | 39.07            | 20.24           | 4.27                     | 95.33              | 0.0674        |
| AC 8  | 27.97            | 13.83           | 4.37                     | 98.11              | 0.0332        |
| AC 9  | 21.50            | 11.27           | 3.07                     | 81.93              | 0.0206        |
| AC 10 | 24.20            | 10.80           | 3.87                     | 109.73             | 0.0233        |
| AC 11 | 33.63            | 19.43           | 4.73                     | 141.37             | 0.0540        |
| AC 12 | 29.17            | 16.57           | 5.13                     | 103.56             | 0.0377        |
| AC 13 | 27.07            | 16.63           | 4.33                     | 172.33             | 0.0358        |
| AC 14 | 40.13            | 20.30           | 4.93                     | 112.13             | 0.0662        |
| AC 15 | 42.63            | 21.37           | 4.67                     | 167.60             | 0.0744        |
| AC 16 | 31.10            | 18.30           | 5.07                     | 182.13             | 0.0472        |
| AC 17 | 32.23            | 18.40           | 3.60                     | 224.11             | 0.0459        |
| AC 18 | 27.83            | 14.83           | 3.47                     | 133.44             | 0.0322        |
| AC 19 | 25.57            | 12.97           | 3.67                     | 75.89              | 0.0260        |
| AC 20 | 27.10            | 13.40           | 4.70                     | 42.00              | 0.0230        |
| AC 21 | 25.03            | 14.20           | 4.53                     | 132.80             | 0.0290        |
| AC 22 | 31.67            | 19.87           | 4.63                     | 154.27             | 0.0523        |
| AC 23 | 28.33            | 16.67           | 5.43                     | 149.67             | 0.0384        |
| AC 24 | 24.80            | 18.83           | 4.50                     | 113.53             | 0.0349        |
| AC 25 | 31.50            | 19.17           | 3.73                     | 159.33             | 0.0520        |
| Mean  | 30.49            | 17.04           | 4.47                     | 124.43             | 0.0430        |
| Minimum| 21.50            | 10.80           | 3.07                     | 42.00              | 0.0206        |
| Maximum| 42.63            | 21.37           | 5.83                     | 224.11             | 0.0744        |
| CV    | 19.20            | 16.98           | 18.55                    | 34.84              | 37.47         |
| SEd   | 4.78             | 2.36            | 0.68                     | 35.40              | 0.0131        |
| CD (0.05)| 9.61            | 4.75            | 1.36                     | 71.17              | 0.0264        |

* 5% significance
Table 4. Composition of clusters for growth traits among *Neolamarckia cadamba* clones

| Cluster No. | No. of Clones | Members                  |
|-------------|---------------|--------------------------|
| I           | 3             | AC1, AC11, AC16          |
| II          | 9             | AC2, AC3, AC4, AC5, AC6, AC7, AC8, AC13, AC25 |
| III         | 2             | AC14, AC15               |
| IV          | 4             | AC9, AC10, AC12, AC19    |
| V           | 2             | AC22, AC23               |
| VI          | 1             | AC20                     |
| VII         | 1             | AC24                     |
| VIII        | 1             | AC17                     |
| IX          | 1             | AC18                     |
| X           | 1             | AC21                     |
Table 5. Estimates of inter and intra cluster distances for growth traits in *Neolamarckia cadamba* clones

|     | I     | II    | III   | IV    | V     | VI    | VII   | VIII  | IX    | X    |
|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|
| I   |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |
| I   | 13.819 (3.717) | 14.029 (3.746) | 11.554 (3.399) | 19.941 (4.466) | 8.759 (2.960) | 49.993 (7.071) | 31.068 (5.574) | 25.689 (5.068) | 13.551 (3.681) | 43.271 (6.578) |
| II  | 13.592 (3.687) | 18.078 (4.252) | 18.092 (3.824) | 14.619 (6.635) | 44.023 (5.758) | 33.152 (5.626) | 31.647 (4.345) | 18.882 (5.551) |       |      |
| III | 3.855 (1.963) | 24.547 (4.955) | 10.606 (3.257) | 45.081 (6.714) | 36.989 (6.082) | 20.472 (4.525) | 18.768 (4.332) |       | 60.094 (7.752) |      |
| IV  |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |
| V   |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |
| VI  |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |
| VII |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |
| VIII|       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |
| IX  |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |
| X   |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |      |
Table 6. Cluster mean value for growth traits among *Neolamarckia cadamba* clones

| Cluster | Basal Diameter (cm) | DBH (cm) | Height (m) | Number of branches | Leaf Length (cm) | Leaf Width (cm) | Leaf Petiole Length (cm) | Total Number of Leaves | Leaf Area (m²) | Volume (m³) |
|---------|---------------------|----------|------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------|-------------|
| I       | 64.66               | 44.72    | 4.52       | 14.00             | 33.12           | 18.49           | 4.79                     | 154.78                 | 0.050          | 0.003       |
| II      | 62.36               | 40.06    | 4.01       | 11.52             | 31.42           | 17.89           | 4.58                     | 116.19                 | 0.047          | 0.003       |
| III     | 66.06               | 46.00    | 4.95       | 11.67             | 41.38           | 20.83           | 4.80                     | 139.87                 | 0.070          | 0.004       |
| IV      | 44.28               | 26.32    | 3.09       | 9.92              | 25.11           | 12.90           | 3.93                     | 92.78                  | 0.027          | 0.001       |
| V       | 60.19               | 41.24    | 4.43       | 14.00             | 30.00           | 18.27           | 5.03                     | 151.97                 | 0.045          | 0.003       |
| VI      | 28.98               | 14.77    | 1.93       | 6.67              | 27.10           | 13.40           | 4.70                     | 42.00                  | 0.023          | 0.000       |
| VII     | 36.41               | 21.71    | 2.65       | 14.00             | 24.80           | 18.83           | 4.50                     | 113.53                 | 0.035          | 0.001       |
| VIII    | 86.31               | 56.92    | 5.77       | 17.33             | 32.23           | 18.40           | 3.60                     | 224.11                 | 0.046          | 0.007       |
| IX      | 59.37               | 45.56    | 4.10       | 12.33             | 27.83           | 14.83           | 3.47                     | 133.44                 | 0.032          | 0.003       |
| X       | 50.65               | 28.44    | 2.35       | 14.00             | 25.03           | 14.20           | 4.53                     | 132.80                 | 0.029          | 0.007       |
Table 7. Contribution of different growth traits to total divergence among *Neolamarckia cadamba* Clones

| Character                  | No. of First Rank | % Contribution |
|----------------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Basal Diameter             | 19                | 6.33           |
| DBH                        | 17                | 5.67           |
| Height                     | 36                | 12.00          |
| Number of branches         | 3                 | 1.00           |
| Leaf Length                | 38                | 12.67          |
| Leaf Width                 | 4                 | 1.33           |
| Leaf Petiole Length        | 37                | 12.33          |
| Total Number of Leaves     | 6                 | 2.00           |
| Leaf Area                  | 42                | 14.00          |
| Volume                     | 98                | 32.67          |
| **Total**                  | **300**           | **100.00**     |