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The Developing Countries Vaccine Manufacturers' Network (DCVMN) held its fifteenth annual meeting from October 27–29, 2014, New Delhi, India. The DCVMN, together with the co-organizing institution Panacea Biotec, welcomed over 240 delegates representing high-profile governmental and nongovernmental global health organizations from 36 countries.

Over the three-day meeting, attendees exchanged information about their efforts to achieve their shared goal of preventing death and disability from known and emerging infectious diseases.

Special praise was extended to all stakeholders involved in the success of polio eradication in South East Asia and highlighted challenges in vaccine supply for measles–rubella immunization over the coming decades. Innovative vaccines and vaccine delivery technologies indicated creative solutions for achieving global immunization goals.

Discussions were focused on three major themes including regulatory challenges for developing countries that may be overcome with better communication; global collaborations and partnerships for leveraging investments and enable uninterrupted supply of affordable and suitable vaccines; and leading innovation in vaccines difficult to develop, such as dengue, Chikungunya, typhoid-conjugated and EV71, and needle-free technologies that may speed up vaccine delivery. Moving further into the Decade of Vaccines, participants renewed their commitment to shared responsibility toward a world free of vaccine-preventable diseases.

1. Introduction

The fifteenth annual Developing Countries Vaccine Manufacturers’ Network (DCVMN) meeting held from October 27–29, 2014 in New Delhi, India, and co-organized by Panacea Biotec, marked another year of progress in global vaccination. The DCVMN is a public health driven, international alliance of manufacturers, working to strengthen vaccine supply through information and professional training programs, technology improvements, innovative vaccine research and development, encouraging technology transfer initiatives, to improve availability of safe, effective and affordable vaccines for all people.

Engagement and participation in this field was exemplified by the high participation of vaccine manufacturers and included over 240 delegates from 36 countries, notably 25 percent of which were female. Delegates represented major global health organizations such as the World Health Organization (WHO), Pan American Health Organization (PAHO), United Nations International Children’s Fund (UNICEF), Gavi—The Vaccine Alliance, governmental agencies such as the National Institutes for Health (NIH), Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA), National Institute for Biological Standards and Control (NIBSC), United States Pharmacopeia (USP), United States Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), and non-governmental organizations including PATH, Clinton Health Access Initiative (CHAI), Médecins sans Frontieres (MSF), Aeras Foundation, Hillman Laboratories, and the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, and more than 50 life sciences corporations, including 33 vaccine manufacturers from developing countries, all working to support the mission of increasing the quality and availability of affordable vaccines for all people.

The meeting was jointly opened by M. Suhardono, President of DCVMN and Dr. R. Jain, Joint Managing Director of Panacea Biotec; M. Suhardono expressed his praise to all vaccination partners for achieving Polio-free status in the South East Asia region through targeted and consistent supply of polio vaccines. Dr. R. Jain congratulated and thanked Panacea employees for over a decade of work to produce and supply more than 10 billion doses of vaccines to developing countries. Dr. G.N. Singh, Drugs Controller General of India, subsequently extended a warm welcome to the global stakeholders in attendance. Dr. G.N. Singh especially commended the contributions of developing country vaccine manufacturers to maintaining healthy populations through the global supply of vaccines. The leaders noted the growing number of corporate members that have successfully achieved WHO pre-qualification of vaccines and thanked all involved stakeholders for their dedication to a healthier future (cf. http://www.who.int/immunization_standards/vaccine_quality/PQ_vaccine_list_en/en/).
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2. Public health priorities for developing countries

The initial session focused on the role of vaccines in addressing priorities in public health within developing countries, specifically facilitating access to high-quality and affordable vaccines. Presentations were led by Dr. Bahl (WHO), S. Guichard (WHO), N. Dellepiane (WHO), L. Slamet (Indonesia), and S. Inglis (NIBSC).

The over twenty year history of polio eradication in India was summarized by Dr. Bahl from WHO South East Asia Regional Office (SEARO), highlighting the importance of vaccines to the health of the public. Dr. Bahl attributed the dramatic decline and ultimate eradication of polio to national vaccination campaigns involving community engagement, diligent work by millions of health workers, an extensive surveillance system to detect incident cases of polio virus, and strong governmental support. Efforts began in 1978 and continued until India was finally removed from the list of polio endemic countries on March 27th, 2014, defining the entire WHO South East Asia Region as polio-free. India continues to maintain national educational campaigns, routine immunization, and strong surveillance and travel regulations to mitigate the risk of importation of polio virus.

Highlighting another success story of vaccination on reducing disease burden in developing countries, S. Guichard from WHO SEARO discussed the Global Measles Elimination Programme initiated in 2000. Countries participating in this programme aim to achieve over 90 percent vaccination coverage at the national level and 80 percent coverage at the district level by 2015. This coverage level is sought to reduce incidence of measles to less than 5 cases per million total population and mortality from measles by 95 percent. In South East Asia region, countries have included with measles elimination, the prevention of Rubella and congenital rubella syndrome using measles and rubella (MR) combined vaccine. Supply of MR vaccine currently does not meet the amount required to implement measles elimination and preventing rubella and congenital syndrome, particularly in the South East Asia Region. Vaccine manufacturers are addressing the challenges posed by this public health priority, by developing new collaborations and build new facilities to increase MR vaccine supply and to achieve WHO pre-qualification of available products, as soon as possible.

N. Dellepiane, representing WHO’s Regulatory Systems Strengthening team, discussed the regulatory requirements to supply vaccines to international markets. Dellepiane elaborated on the five major challenges faced by manufacturers to register vaccines in producing and receiving countries. These challenges consist of: (1) limited expertise to review technical product information in vaccine regulatory dossiers, (2) lack of appropriate expertise and certified personnel to perform good manufacturing practice (GMP) inspections in many countries, (3) additional clinical trials requested despite the availability of sufficient data from trials conducted in other regions, epidemiological settings, and socio-economic conditions, (4) limited compliance with good clinical practice standards for some clinical trials, and (5) lengthy registration/review processes which delays in registration even in emergency situations. WHO supports governments and manufacturers to facilitate effective regulatory process by: (1) developing briefing workshops and guidance documents regarding the pre-qualification process for vaccines, (2) holding pre-submission meetings with manufacturers to discuss product-specific dossiers, (3) supporting countries for rapid review and registration of priority vaccines such as bivalent oral polio vaccine (bOPV) and inactivated polio vaccine (IPV), and (4) supporting global collaborative networks, such as DCVVM and the Developing Countries Vaccine Regulators Network.

S. Inglis, Director of NIBSC, provided his insights into the importance of pre-licensure dialogue between regulators and the vaccine industry regarding regulatory processes. Inglis shared the perspective that, “Good regulators make good manufacturers, and good manufacturers make good regulators”, since early regulatory advice can be built into product design phases, saving time and resources for both manufacturers and regulators.

Highlighting the importance of vaccine safety, L. Slamet discussed post-licensure communication among regulators and industry to ensure that vaccination benefits outweigh foreseeable risks for users. Slamet encouraged manufacturers from developing countries to clearly communicate benefits and risks with governments, NGOs, regulators, health professionals, and patients to increase and maintain confidence in vaccines.

3. Global collaborations and partnerships for vaccine supply

The DCVVM annual meeting continued with discussion regarding collaborations and partnerships for vaccine supply. Presenters for this topic were O. Levine (BMGF), D. Mulenga (UNICEF), M. Pereira (PAHO), M. Malhame (Gavi), J. Schafer (National Biomedical Advanced Research and Development Authority (BARDA)), C. Egerton-Warburton (Global Health Investment Fund (GHIF)), Y. Ikeda (JICA), D. Saha (USP), R. Salerno (Sandia National Laboratories), and K. Sampson (Asia Pacific Alliance for Control of Influenza (APACI)).

The topic was opened by O. Levine, Director of Vaccine Delivery at BMGF. BMGF aims to support prevention of 11 million deaths, 3.8 million disabilities, and 230 million illnesses by 2020, through high, equitable, sustainable vaccine coverage. Levine discussed three main goals proposed by BMGF for collaborations and partnerships across the vaccine market, including: (1) ensuring uninterrupted supply of affordable and suitable vaccines for Gavi, (2) improving the market dynamics information and expertise to solve vaccine access challenges, (3) strengthening global health and manufacturers’ partnerships to enable better alignment of goals, alignment with global strategy, and coordination of internal investments.

D. Mulenga, Deputy Director of the Supply Division at UNICEF, updated attendees about the vaccine procurement required to achieve a sustained and uninterrupted supply of affordable, high-quality vaccines. Echoing the prior presenters, Mulenga pointed to rapidly increasing demand for vaccines such as pentavalent, Bacillus Calmette–Guérin (BCG), pneumococcal, rotavirus, and measles–rubella (MR), and the insufficient supply. Further, Mulenga pointed to the challenge to achieve the Decade of Vaccines’ goal of 90% vaccination coverage nationally, since coverage is stagnating in some countries [1]. This challenge is further enhanced by the fact that less than 30% of the poorest countries meet the WHO standards for an adequate vaccine supply chain [2]. Opportunities exist for new manufacturers to leverage supply of vaccines, already licensed and available in domestic markets, to other developing countries through UNICEF pooled procurement; yet these vaccines must be pre-qualified by WHO, which is a condition for international procurement.

M. Pereira from PAHO discussed the global collaboration through the PAHO Revolving Fund for vaccine procurement in the Americas. Launched in 1979 as a technical cooperation programme and mechanism to procure essential vaccines, syringes, and other related supplies, the Revolving Fund relies on strong principles of regional solidarity and financial self-sustainability. The achievement of regional polio eradication, and measles and rubella elimination reflect its success. Further, between 80 and 90% of countries in the Americas have already introduced new pneumococcal, human papilloma virus (HPV), and rotavirus vaccines. Challenges remain to be resolved, such as disruption of vaccines’ supply, limited competition for vaccines produced by few manufacturers, and
demand increasing faster than supply. PAHO’s efforts to improve reliability of the demand forecast, increased planning capabilities, and awarding longer-term contracts will improve supply security.

Discussing the Gavi, the Vaccine Alliance strategy, M. Malhame provided an overview of Gavi’s supply and procurement initiatives. Malhame’s presentation highlighted the many new vaccine introductions in 2014 and the routine availability of pentavalent vaccine in all 73 Gavi countries. Specific vaccine activities were discussed including new yellow fever campaigns, the achievement of a stockpile of cholera vaccine, the current window of opportunity for malaria vaccines, the assessment of rabies prophylaxis, and the impact of the maternal influenza vaccination. Malhame also focused on the remaining uncertainties concerning pricing of vaccines post-Gavi financing. Temporarily dispelling this concern are the commitments of two manufacturers to a five year price-freeze for pentavalent vaccines for countries graduating from Gavi’s financial support (cf. http://www.gavi.org/pledging2015/private-sector/).

J. Schafer, from BARDA discussed approaches to ensuring vaccine preparedness for global pandemic influenza. Preparedness entails generating the capacity to supply vaccines to a majority of the population within six months of pandemic declaration, establishing and maintaining stockpiles of vaccines to cover about 10 percent of the population, and enhancing sustainable influenza vaccine production capacity in developing countries. Preparedness should be created through technical support of developing country vaccine manufacturers, facilitating license agreements for technology transfer, and innovation such as use of adjuvant formulation to increase vaccine doses delivery. Schafer suggested using a tool developed by the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) to assess risk factors of the virus, attributes of the population, and environmental and epidemiological components of the pandemic [3].

The Global Health Investment Fund (GHIF) was introduced by C. Egerton-Warburton who described the fund as providing a new stream of capital to projects or product development efforts aimed at addressing infectious diseases. The main objectives of GHIF are to enable new products, encourage affordable prices, promote greater product supply, develop new markets, and encourage collection of data. To date, 70 investment opportunities have been reviewed by the Fund’s investment committee, such as tuberculosis (TB) diagnostic products and oral cholera vaccines from EuBiologics. GHIF is actively seeking additional investment opportunities.

Y. Ikeda discussed the collaborations and partnerships in which JICA is involved regarding vaccine manufacturing and immunization. Control of infectious diseases is of high priority for JICA both domestically and internationally. The transfer of an oral polio vaccine (OPV) technology from Biken to Biofarma was instrumental in satisfying worldwide demand and gave ground to global polio eradication efforts. In partnership with BMGF, JICA also supports vaccine procurement through a “loan conversion mechanism” for polio eradication in Pakistan and Nigeria. JICA is currently supporting the transfer of a MR vaccine manufacturing technology from Japan to Polivac in Vietnam, following the precedent set by multiple previous successful projects. JICA supports UNICEF supply of vaccine cold chain equipment to India, Afghanistan, Angola, Liberia, Zambia, and Zimbabwe.

D. Saha introduced the work of the USP which is recognized as an official compendium determining and compiling the quality standards for drugs and biological products enforced by the United States regulatory authorities. In collaboration with global institutions such as NIBSC and WHO, USP develops product monographs, reference materials standards, and training programs in microbiology, biotechnology, and pharmacopeia analysis. D. Saha concluded by inviting vaccine experts to volunteer as candidates to the USP Council of Experts and the Expert Committees for the 2015–2020 Convention cycle.

R. Salerno from Sandia National Laboratories highlighted the recent Ebola outbreak in West African countries as exemplary of the risks to the vaccine manufacturing industry that are posed by emerging and reemerging infectious diseases. It is the ultimate aim of vaccine manufacturers to protect vaccine users from unsafe products, protect employees and the environment from harmful agents, and prevent dangerous materials and proprietary information from malicious uses. Sandia has developed a tool to assist decision makers in defining risk criteria and making informed decisions in planning, mitigation, and communication of biorisks (Fig. 1). Sandia is partnering with DCVMN to offer risk-assessment training to vaccine manufacturers.

This session concluded with a presentation by K. Sampson who introduced APACI. APACI serves as a trusted and independent source of information for decision makers and the public to foster best practices in prevention and treatment of influenza. Initiated in 2002 as a working committee modeled on the Influenza Specialist Group in Australia, APACI educates key opinion leaders and works with governments and institutions to enhance pandemic planning. Through educational awareness and public information the number of influenza vaccine doses deployed in Australia, have increased from 500,000 in 1991 to over 7 million in 2014. Overall, these presentations encouraged successful global collaborations and partnerships to continue and urged new ones to be initiated all under the goal of increasing vaccine supply.

4. Innovation in vaccines’ and delivery technologies

New frontiers in vaccination were discussed within presentations on vaccine innovations and delivery technologies offered by G. Madhavan (Institute of Medicine, National Academy of Sciences), J. Kalil (Butantan), R.K. Suri (Panacea Biotec), R. Mehta (Cadila Biotech), A. Nanni (Aeras), K. Ella (Bharat Biotech International), Dr. T.S. Rao (India Department of Biotechnology), W. Meng (Sinovac), X. Liao (Innovax), R. Steinglass (JSI), D. Zehrung (PATH), S. Jadhav (Serum Institute of India), D. Kristensen (PATH), C. Collins (CHAI), and T. Cernuschi (WHO).

Opening the discussion, G. Madhavan from Institute of Medicine spoke about the importance of strategic planning for prioritizing new vaccine development and policy. Historically, vaccine development projects were prioritized by infant mortality equivalents (life years saved) or cost-effectiveness. Madhavan demonstrated Fig. 1. Biorisk assessment phases for continual improvement. Biorisk assessment should rely on constant monitoring and evaluation for continual improvement. Organizations can use a structured risk assessment process tool that has been subject to peer review, address the pertinent questions, is repeatable and allows decision makers to make informed decisions about project planning, mitigation measures, and communication. Available at www.biosecurity.sandia.gov/BioRAM. Figure is a courtesy of R. Salerno.
the use of a decision-support software tool called Strategic Multi-
Attribute-Ranking-Tool for Vaccines—or “SMART Vaccines” to
assist in vaccine priority setting efforts. Developed over multiple
phases, this software provides transparency in vaccine compari-
sion, thus facilitating discussions among various stakeholders in the
vaccine enterprise [4–7].

J. Kalil from Butantan focused the dialogue on vaccines that
remain difficult to develop, yet necessary to ensure health world-
wide. The discussion initially focused on the difficult process of
developing a vaccine against Streptococcus pyogenes to prevent
rheumatic heart diseases. Another example provided was a T-cell
multi-epitope based HIV vaccine, which is already being tested on
a primate animal model [8]. Finally, Kalil discussed the live attenu-
ated tetravalent dengue vaccine candidate under evaluation and
the trial design for related phase II and III studies.

Another innovative vaccine, the Sabin-IPV project, was pre-
sented by R.K. Suri from Panacea Biotec. Under a charge by the
World Health Assembly to develop “safer processes for production
of IPV and affordable strategies for its use in developing countries”
[9], the WHO, BMGF, and a Netherlands government laboratory
have collaborated to ensure availability of Sabin-IPV through public
sector channels in developing countries. Following promising per-
formance in preclinical and clinical studies [10], Panacea Biotec was
selected as one of the manufacturers to receive technology transfer
of the vaccine manufacturing process.

R. Mehta from Cadila Biotech presented the virus like particles
(VLPs)-based recombinant technology for vaccine development.
The self-assembling feature of recombinant VLPs offers effi-
cient expression of 3-dimensional structures, good stability, high
immunogenicity, and non-infectiousness safety. This innovative
technology platform can be used to speed up the delivery of
pandemic influenza vaccine doses released 10 to 12 weeks after
cloning, while traditional production methods require 20 weeks
after virus inoculation. The VLPs technology has been transferred
to an Indian facility and has been validated for production of influenza
vaccines.

From Aeras Foundation, A. Nanni outlined TB as the top infec-
tious disease killer from the past century. While TB treatments cost
the global economy an estimated 1 billion dollars daily, funding for
new vaccine development is insufficient to produce viable solu-
tions. Additionally, antibiotic resistance confounds global efforts
to control the epidemic allowing some evolving strains to become
virtually untreatable. Engagement of large vaccine manufacturing
institutions within developing countries is vital to support effective
TB vaccine development, future vaccine supply, and ultimately
reduction of the disease burden.

A presentation by K. Ella from Bharat Biotech International pro-
vided an overview of domestic and international partnerships to
develop innovative vaccines. Ella discussed vaccines for neglected
diseases such as Chikungunya, and discussed its launch of the
novel typhoid conjugate vaccine. This institution also supported
the development of the first indigenous rotavirus vaccine called
ROTAVAC®, recently approved for pilot introduction in India.

T.S. Rao, from India Department of Biotechnology, asserted that
vaccines are the fastest growing area within the pharmaceutical
and biological sectors in India. Rao announced a new Vaccine Grand
Challenge Program with the objective of accelerating development of
promising candidate vaccines through pre-clinical and clinical
development and commercialization [11].

The Enterovirus 71 vaccine was discussed by W. Meng from
Sinovac. This vaccine addresses hand, foot, and mouth disease
which was first reported in New Zealand in 1957 and has continued
to present an increasing number of cases globally [12]. Devel-
opment of a vaccine against this disease is necessary to prevent
related morbidity and mortality. A Vero cell-based, inactivated vac-
cine candidate has been tested in a clinical trial with over 11,000
subjects, demonstrating safety, immunogenicity, and high efficacy
[13]. The vaccine is currently being optimized for large scale man-
facturing and is expected to be available soon.

X. Liao from Innovax talked about innovations in the HPV vac-
cine, a vaccine that is increasingly important as incidence of cervical
cancer tends to rise, especially in developing countries [14]. While
two HPV vaccines have been available since 2006, only 30 percent
of countries worldwide have introduced them in national immu-
nization programs. The high cost of these HPV vaccines remains a
barrier for poor countries. Furthermore, immunogenicity requires
three doses of the currently available HPV vaccines, while WHO
recommends a two dose schedule; provided vaccination is initiated
prior to 15 years of age. Innovax aims to launch a new vaccine by
2018 that is currently in phase III clinical trials, with the aim to
accelerate access to affordable HPV vaccines and reduce incidence
of cervical cancer globally.

New approaches to vaccine delivery were shared by R. Stein-
glass, from John Snow Inc. Steinglass discussed that immunization
managers have become more informed customers with prefer-
ences for vaccine formulations, presentations, and packaging that
fit well with their programs. Additionally, they are concerned
about heat stability, storage temperatures, storage volumes, waste
volume, ease of preparation and administration, and volume of
dose administered. Steinglass encouraged vaccine manufacturers
to research their markets to learn the product preferences directly
from prospective clients and discussed the importance of incor-
porating these preferences earlier in the manufacturing process.
Steinglass suggested consulting WHO’s “Assessing the Program-
matic Suitability of Vaccine Candidates for Pre-Qualification” which
lists preferred characteristics of vaccines [15].

D. Zehrung, from PATH provided an overview of new vac-
cine delivery technologies, including delivery devices and novel
primary, packaging, and formulations for traditional and novel
vaccines. New delivery technologies included needle free devices
to improve efficacy, safety, cost-effectiveness and public health
benefts of vaccines. Zehrung discussed how new immunisation
technologies may bring the potential benefits of increased access
and coverage, and lower cold chain capacity requirements. Ideally,
vaccine manufacturers may consider early integration of innova-
tive technologies by aligning the vaccine development process with
the preferred product profile recommendations of the Vaccine Pre-
sentation and Packaging Advisory Group (VPPAG) and with the
requirements of WHO guidelines on programmatic suitability of
vaccine candidates for pre-qualification [15].

S. JadHAV provided an overview of nasal and aerosol vaccines,
currently in development at the Serum Institute of India. JadHAV
discussed lessons learned from OPV campaigns which empha-
size the importance of ease-of-use, acceptability, affordability, and
safety. The characteristics of being painless, easy to administer, and
safer than needle administration make intranasal vaccine delivery
technologies potentially more acceptable, while the fact that these
vaccines mimic natural infection and induce an appropriate
immune response make them potentially more effective. Multiple
intranasal vaccine delivery technologies were discussed, includ-
ing an inhalable dry powder vaccine for measles applied with a
PuffHaler device [16] and a lyophilized nasal spray for live attenu-
ated influenza vaccine.

D. Kristensen from PATH presented three trends in vac-
cine packaging and labeling: (1) improvement of tracking and
tracking capability through added barcoding on secondary and ter-
tiary packaging, (2) improvement of storage capability through

---

4 In April 2014, The Strategic Advisory Group of Experts (SAGE) on Immunization
recommended a two dose regimen of the vaccine provided vaccination is initiated
prior to age 15 based on review of evidence.
minimization of container dimensions for primary to tertiary packaging, and (3) efficiencies for delivering vaccines in a “controlled temperature chain” by labeling the vaccines for limited storage at higher-temperature. The VPPAG has been advancing public and private sector dialogue and work in all three areas while updating the preferred product profile for vaccines to reflect the consensus recommendations reached by the group.

Improving the vaccine supply chain in developing countries was discussed by C. Collins from CHAI who suggested increasing use of freeze-protected cold chain equipment. A CHAI review of existing data found that freeze exposure occurred in 18 to 67 percent of vaccine shipments throughout various stages of storage. Such exposure may reduce vaccine potency, ultimately providing vaccines with potentially less-effective vaccines. Total demand for vaccine refrigerators is expected to reach 110,000 units by 2018 in the 53 Gavi-eligible countries, making it increasingly vital that freeze-protected equipment is used to prevent damage to vaccines.

The closing presentation focused on sustainable vaccine supply in middle income countries (MICs), presented by T. Cernuschi from WHO’s Expanded Program on Immunization. MICs continue to report high mortality from diseases that are preventable by immunization [17]. The vast majority of the world’s unvaccinated children reside in MICs. While a large share of these MICs are well supported by donors, sixty-three countries are not benefiting from a unified international strategy for immunization. In these countries vaccine-preventable disease burden and unvaccinated children is currently relatively low, but substantial and unacceptable nonetheless. Many of these countries have strong systems and the potential to make rapid gains in vaccination coverage if key barriers are removed. WHO established a task force to investigate obstacles to new vaccine adoption and mobilize resources for improving immunization in neglected MICs. A recent analysis revealed that significant reduction of deaths by vaccine-preventable diseases can be achieved both through introductions of new vaccines and through increased coverage of traditional vaccines to 90 percent by 2025 in middle-income countries as illustrated in Fig. 2.

5. Conclusion

Attendees to the 2014 DCVMN annual conference left the meeting reinvigorated to continue their collaborative efforts preventing the spread of infectious diseases worldwide through improving vaccination coverage. Four areas of action were jointly identified to strengthen and foster sustainable vaccine supply from DCVMNs: (1) review manufacturing facilities design layout and infrastructure, (2) provide adequate training on evolving good manufacturing practices, quality management systems, and the WHO prequalification process, (3) encourage dialogue to resolve regulatory challenges, and (4) facilitate access to independent experts able to resolve vaccine industry issues.

United by a shared responsibility for a global community free of infectious diseases, DCVMN members and partners foster the development and supply of safe, effective, and affordable vaccines for future generations. Presentations of this conference are available on the DCVMN website at http://www.dcvmn.org/event/dcvmn-15th-annual-general-meeting.
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