Verification of joint measurability using phase-space quasiprobability distributions
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Measurement incompatibility is a distinguishing property of quantum physics and an essential resource for many quantum information processing tasks. We introduce an approach to verify the joint measurability of measurements based on phase-space quasiprobability distributions. Our results therefore establish a connection between two notions of non-classicality, namely the negativity of quasiprobability distributions and measurement incompatibility. We show how our approach can be applied to the study of incompatibility-breaking channels and derive incompatibility-breaking sufficient conditions for bosonic systems and Gaussian channels. In particular, these conditions provide useful tools for investigating the effects of errors and imperfections on the incompatibility of measurements in practice. To illustrate our method, we consider all classes of single-mode Gaussian channels. We show that pure lossy channels with 50% or more losses break the incompatibility of all measurements that can be represented by non-negative Wigner functions, which includes the set of Gaussian measurements.

A fundamentally distinct feature in quantum mechanics compared to classical physics is the existence of measurements that cannot be performed simultaneously. Examples of such measurements are those corresponding to observables that do not commute, such as position and momentum of a particle [1]. However, commutativity does not entirely capture the notion of measurement incompatibility: for non-projective measurements, described by positive-operator-value measures (POVM), one should employ the notion of joint measurability, defined as follows. A set of $N$ measurements $\{M_a\}_{a=1}^N$, each of them described by measurement operators $M_a$, for outcomes $a$ such that $M_a \succeq 0 \forall a, x$ and $\int_a M_a \, da = I \forall x$ with $I$ being the identity operator, is compatible or jointly measurable if there exists a single measurement $E$ described by measurement operators $\{E_\lambda\}_\lambda$ such that

$$M_{a|x} = \int_\lambda \pi(a|x, \lambda) E_\lambda d\lambda, \forall a, x,$$

where $\pi(a|x, \lambda)$ is a probability measure [2]. Otherwise the set of measurements is called incompatible or non-jointly measurable. Equation (1) implies that all measurements $M_a$ can be implemented by making a single measurement $E$ and classically post-processing the measurement results according to the probability $\pi$. Measurement $E$ is known as the mother measurement.

The incompatibility of quantum measurements seems, at first sight, a limitation. However, with the development of quantum information science it was realised that this phenomenon can be used as a resource for applications such as quantum cryptography [3], quantum state discrimination [4][5] and quantum communication [7]. Moreover, all the correlations that can be obtained by making compatible measurements on shared entangled multipartite states can be classically simulated [8]. This implies that measurement incompatibility is a requirement to achieve violations of Bell inequalities and also steering [9][10]. It is therefore a necessary resource for the construction of protocols in the one-sided and fully device-independent scenarios [11][13].

Given the fundamental and applied importance of measurement incompatibility, it is crucial to derive constructions to identify whether a set of quantum measurements is jointly measurable and, if this is the case, provide a mother POVM. A related question concerns the study of measurement incompatibility under the action of quantum channels. In general, noise-free quantum measurements are incompatible. However, the situation may significantly change in the presence of imperfections. As shown in Fig. 1, suppose that the measurements $\{M_{a|x}\}$ are performed at the output of a fixed quantum channel $\mathcal{E}$. In this case we can consider the combination of the channel and the measurements as a new set of measurements described by measurement operators $\mathcal{E}_a(M_{a|x})$.

FIG. 1. We consider a set of measurements labeled by $x$, with measurement operators $M_{a|x}$ for outcomes $a$, and a quantum channel $\mathcal{E}$. The combination of the channel and measurements can be thought of as a new set of measurements described by $\mathcal{E}_a(M_{a|x})$. Using the phase-space formalism, we investigate the effect of the channel on the incompatibility of measurements.
Here, $\mathcal{E}_\ast$ represents the dual channel, defined through $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{E}(\rho)M_{a|x}) = \text{Tr}(\rho\mathcal{E}_\ast(M_{a|x}))$. Evidently, incorporating the quantum channel preserves the joint measureability of the measurements, which can be seen using Eq. (1), linearity of the channel, and the fact that $\{\mathcal{E}_\ast(E_e)\}$ defines a valid measurement. However, a quantum channel can have a destructive effect on the incompatibility of measurements, and can make the new set of measurements $\{\mathcal{E}_\ast(M_{a|x})\}$ jointly measurable. Such channels are known as incompatibility breaking channels [14] and their characterization is useful to investigate the effects of noise and errors present in any realistic experiment on quantum information protocols based on measurement incompatibility.

So far, most of the existing works studying these questions have focused on finite dimensional quantum systems [15, 21]. Much less is known about the compatibility of measurements on infinite-dimensional continuous variable (CV) systems, with the exception of the results on particular sets of measurements such as Gaussian measurements or subsets of it [22, 23]. The question is relevant for a fundamental but also applied point of view, as these measurements are used to describe many relevant quantum setups, e.g. CV quantum optics experiments.

In this work, we present a general method for studying the joint measurability of a set of measurements based on phase-space quasiprobability distributions (PQDs) in quantum optics. The method establishes a connection between two notions of nonclassicality: the negativity of the PQDs representing the measurement operators and the incompatibility of the measurements. We then show how the method provides a practical tool for investigating the effects of noisy channels on the incompatibility of measurements, and use it to derive sufficient conditions for a Gaussian channel to break the incompatibility of different set of measurements, not necessarily Gaussian. For instance, in the case of single-mode loss channels, we show that for losses above or equal to 50%, all measurements with non-negative Wigner functions become jointly measurable, extending the previous condition derived only for Gaussian measurements in [23]. Our formalism imposes strong limitations on the usefulness of sets of measurements on CV systems for quantum information protocols requiring measurement incompatibility (e.g. one-side and fully device-independent protocols). Moreover, we show that our formalism gives an upper bound on the degree of incompatibility, based on how much noise can destroy the incompatibility of measurements. This bound is tight for Gaussian measurements and Gaussian channels.

Phase-space quasiprobability distributions. — We start by recalling the phase space formalism, which is at the basis of our results. In this work, we focus on the well-known class of $\mathbf{S}$-ordered phase-space quasiprobability distributions [25]–[27] in quantum optics. For the $M$-mode case, they are defined by the family of operators

$$\Delta^{(S)}(z) = \int \frac{d^2M y}{(2\pi)^2M} \ D(y) e^{y S y^T / 4} e^{-iz \Omega y^T},$$

(2)

Here $\mathbf{S}$ is a $2M \times 2M$ symmetric matrix representing the ordering and $D(y) = \exp(-iy \Omega y^T)$ is the displacement (Weyl) operator, where $\mathbf{X} = (x_1, p_1, \ldots, x_M, p_M)$ is the vector of canonical operators $[x_j, p_k] = i\delta_{j,k}$, $y, z \in \mathbb{R}^{2M}$, and $\Omega = \otimes_{j=1}^{M} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Using these operators, as shown in Appendix A, the measurement POVM elements can be written as

$$M_{a|x} = (2\pi)^M \int d^2M z \ W^{(S)}(a|x, z) \Delta^{(-S)}(-z),$$

(3)

where $W^{(S)}(a|x, z) = \text{Tr}[M_{a|x} \Delta^{(S)}(z)]$ is the $(\mathbf{S})$-PQD representing the measurement operator, and $a$ and $x$ are, in general, vectors of parameters representing the choices of $M$-mode measurements and their outcomes, respectively. Notice that since $M_{a|x}$ is Hermitian, $\Delta^{(-S)}(-z)$ can be replaced with $\Delta^{(-S)}(z)$ in Eq. (3). Also, the completeness relation for measurement operators implies $(2\pi)^M \int \text{d}a W^{(S)}(a|x, z) = (2\pi)^M \text{Tr}[\Delta^{(S)}(z)] = 1$.

For a given quantum state $\rho$ one can compute the output probabilities of the measurements using PQDs,

$$\text{Tr}[\rho M_{a|x}] = (2\pi)^M \int \frac{d^2M z}{(2\pi)^2M} \ W^{(-S)}(\rho|z) W^{(S)}(a|x, z),$$

where $W^{(-S)}(\rho|z) = \text{Tr}[\rho \Delta^{(-S)}(z)]$ is the $(-\mathbf{S})$-PQD and can be viewed as the dual of $(\mathbf{S})$-PQD, representing the state $\rho$. $W^{(-S)}(\rho|z)$ is normalized to one, as $\int \frac{d^2M z}{2\pi} \Delta^{(-S)}(z) = 1$. For the special case of $\mathbf{S} = 0$, corresponding to symmetric ordering, the self-dual PQD is the Wigner function. For $\mathbf{S} = I_{2M}$ with $2M \times 2M$ being identity matrix, PQD becomes the Glauber-Sudarshan $P$ function [28, 29]. For $\mathbf{S} = -I_{2M}$ we have the Husimi $Q$-function that is always non-negative for all positive operators [30]. One can verify that if $(\mathbf{S})$-PQD is non-negative, then all other $(\mathbf{S})$-PQD with $\mathbf{S} \leq \mathbf{S}$ are given by the convolution of $(\mathbf{S})$-PQD with a Gaussian function, and hence are non-negative as well.

In general, $\mathbf{S}$ can be any matrix but if the condition $\mathbf{S} + i\Omega \geq 0$ holds then the operators $\Delta^{(-\mathbf{S})}(z)$ are positive and represent the POVM elements of a Gaussian measurement [31]. To show this, using $D(y) \exp(-iz \Omega y^T) = D(z) D(y) D^\dagger(z)$ and Eq. (2), we can write

$$\Delta^{(-\mathbf{S})}(z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2M} D(z) M_G D^\dagger(z),$$

(4)

where $M_G$ is an operator with $\text{Tr}[M_G] = 1$ and $\text{Tr}[M_G D(y)] = \exp(-\mathbf{y} S \mathbf{y}^T / 4)$. This relation implies that $\Delta^{(-\mathbf{S})}(z) \geq 0$ if and only if $M_G \geq 0$, which essentially means that $M_G$ must be a Gaussian state with $\mathbf{S}$ being the covariance matrix of the Wigner function,
satisfying the uncertainty relation \( S + i\Omega \geq 0 \). Notice that if \( \Delta^{(-S)}(z) \) is positive, \( \Delta^{(S)}(z) \) cannot be positive as well because \(-S + i\Omega \geq 0\) does not hold.

**Sufficient condition for joint measurability.** — A sufficient condition for a set of measurements to be jointly measurable follows from the formal analogy between Eqs. (1) and (3). If for a set of \( N \) measurements \( \{M_z\}_{z=1}^N \), there exist positive operators \( \Delta^{(-S)}(z) \) such that \( W^{(S)}(a|x, z) \geq 0 \) \( \forall a, x \), then the set is jointly measurable, as \( (2\pi)^M W^{(S)}(a|x, z) \) can be viewed as the post-processing of the outcomes of the mother measurement defined by the operators \( \Delta^{(-S)}(z) \). We can see that for \( S = I_2M \), \( \Delta^{(-I_2M)}(z) \) is positive and proportional to \( M \)-mode coherent state. Hence, all measurements with non-negative \( P \) functions, known as classical measurements, are jointly measurable. This implies that non-classicality is an essential feature for the incompatibility of measurements.

This approach is particularly useful to study which quantum channels break the incompatibility of a set of measurements. Consider a set of incompatible measurements with non-negative \( W^{(S)}(a|x, z) \) that can be expressed in terms of operators \( \Delta^{(-S)}(z) \), which are not positive. As mentioned above, the effect of a channel \( \mathcal{E} \) on these measurements is described by the dual map \( \mathcal{E}^\ast \), getting

\[
\mathcal{E}^\ast(M_a|x) = (2\pi)^M \int d^2Mz W^{(S)}(a|x, z) \mathcal{E}^\ast\left(\Delta^{(-S)}(z)\right).
\]  

(5)

If the channel is such that \( \mathcal{E}^\ast\left(\Delta^{(-S)}(z)\right) \) become non-negative bounded operators \( \forall z \), then it breaks the incompatibility of the measurements in the set. In this case, the operators \( \mathcal{E}^\ast\left(\Delta^{(-S)}(z)\right) \), summing up to the identity \( \int d^2Mz \mathcal{E}^\ast\left(\Delta^{(-S)}(z)\right) = \mathcal{E}^\ast(I) = I \), form a POVM for the mother measurement. Notice that if the sufficient condition is not satisfied, it is not guaranteed that the measurements remain incompatible. These sufficient conditions for joint measurability represent our first and most general contribution, which in particular do not require a Gaussian form of neither the measurements nor the channel.

**Incompatibility breaking Gaussian channels.** — We illustrate the power of our approach by considering the important case of Gaussian channels, which are readily available in the lab and also used to describe errors in detectors and communication channels. Gaussian channels transform Gaussian states to Gaussian states and are defined by two \( 2M \times 2M \) matrices \( N \) and \( T \) and a displacement vector \( d \in \mathbb{R}^{2M} \). Their action can be fully specified by the application of their dual on the displacement operator

\[
\mathcal{E}^\ast\left(D(y)\right) = D(yT)e^{-yNy^T/4 - idy^Ty^T}.
\]

(6)

The complete positivity condition of the channel requires \( N + i\Omega - iTY^TY \geq 0 \). To study these channels, we make use of the well-known \((S)\)-PQDs. By inserting Eq. (6) into (2), and using the linearity of quantum channels, we find that the action of a Gaussian channel on operators \( \Delta^{(-S)}(z) \) is

\[
\mathcal{E}^\ast\left(\Delta^{(-S)}(z)\right) = \int \frac{d^2MyT}{(2\pi)^MN} D(yT) \times \exp\left(-y(N + S)y^T/4 - i(d + z)\Omega y^T\right).
\]

(7)

For the case of \( S = 0 \), this operator is positive definite, corresponding to a Gaussian measurement, if \( N - iTY^TY \geq 0 \). Thus, by adding \( N \) to this condition, we find that \( \mathcal{E}^\ast\left(\Delta^{(-S)}(z)\right) \) define an \( M \)-mode Gaussian measurement if

\[
N + S + iTY^TY \geq 0.
\]

(8)

This is our second main result, which provides a sufficient condition for incompatibility breaking Gaussian channels.

Consider a set of incompatible measurements that have non-negative PQDs \( W^{(S)}(a|x, z) \) where \( S \leq I_2M \) is the ordering matrix. The incompatibility of these measurements is broken by any Gaussian channel with matrices \( N \) and \( T \) that satisfy condition (8). Notice that by finding the maximum ordering matrix \( S \) such that the PQDs are non-negative, we can obtain the minimum \( N \) satisfying the condition. The result is constructive: the positive operators \( \mathcal{E}^\ast\left(\Delta^{(-S)}(z)\right) \) define the mother measurement, which corresponds to an \( M \)-mode Gaussian measurement, while the distributions \( W^{(S)}(a|x, z) \) specify the post-processing of the measurement outputs, see Eqs. (3) and (5). Conversely, given a Gaussian channel with \( N \) and \( T \) matrices, we can see that all measurements whose \((S)\)-PQDs are non-negative for \( S \geq iTY^TY - N \) become jointly measurable under the action of this channel. This result, in particular, shows what measurements should be excluded for steering over noisy channels. In what follows, we focus the analysis on the important class of single-mode Gaussian channels and use our sufficient condition to investigate their effects on the incompatibility of measurements.

**Example I: Single-mode pure loss channels.** — Consider first the class of lossy channels, which can be characterized as \( N = (1 - \tau)I_2 \), \( T = \sqrt{\tau}I_2 \) and \( d = 0 \) in Eq. (6), where \( \tau \) is the transmissivity of the channel. We restrict our analysis to \((S)\)-PQD with \( S = sI_2 \), which we denote by \((s)\)-PQD. Then, condition (8) becomes

\[
s \geq 2\tau - 1.
\]

(9)

According to this condition, a loss channel with transmissivity \( \tau \) breaks the incompatibility of all single-mode measurements whose \( W^{(2\tau-1)}(a|x, z) \) are non-negative. Here, for lightening the notation, we use \( W^{(s)}(a|x, z) \) instead of \( W^{(s)}(a|x, z) \). In this case, using Eq. (7), we can see that the mother measurement is heterodyne,
TABLE I. Sufficient criteria for incompatibility breaking of single-mode Gaussian channels. Here, $\tau$ is the generalized transmissivity of the channel, $n \geq 0$ is the thermal occupation number. The matrix $Z$ is the Pauli matrix in the direction of $z$. The trivial channels $A_1$ and $A_2$ break incompatibility of all measurements, while the identity channel $B_2(Id)$ does not affect measurement incompatibility. For all the other channels, our condition (8) sets a lower bound on $s$ for which all measurements with positive $(s)$-PQD become compatible.

| Class Name | Consideration | The matrix $T$ | The matrix $N$ | Breaks incompatibility of measurements with positive $W^{(s)}(a|x,z)$ if $s$ satisfies |
|------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| $A_1$      | $\tau = 0$   | $0$           | $I_2$         | $\forall s$                                     |
| $A_2$      | $\tau = 0$   | $\frac{1}{2}(Z + I_2)$ | $(2n + 1)I_2$ | $\forall n$                                     |
| $B_1$      | $\tau = 1$   | $I_2$         | $\frac{1}{2}(I_2 - Z)$ | $s \geq s_{\min} = \sqrt{\frac{\tau - 1}{2}} = 0.618$ |
| $B_2$      | $\tau = 1$   | $I_2$         | $\bar{n}I_2$ | $s \geq s_{\min} = 1 - \bar{n}$                 |
| $B_2(Id)$  | $\tau = 1$   | $I_2$         | $0$           | $\emptyset$                                     |
| C(Loss)    | $\tau \in (0,1)$ | $\sqrt{\tau}I_2$ | $(1 - \tau)(2n + 1)I_2$ | $s \geq s_{\min} = \tau(2n + 2) - (2n + 1)$ |
| C(Amp)     | $\tau \geq 1$ | $\sqrt{\tau}I_2$ | $(\tau - 1)(2n + 1)I_2$ | $s \geq s_{\min} = 2n(1 - \tau) + 1$ |
| $D$        | $\tau \leq 0$ | $\sqrt{1 - \tau}Z$ | $(1 - \tau)(2n + 1)I_2$ | $s \geq s_{\min} = 2\bar{n} - (2n + 1)$ |

$E_s(\Delta^{(1-2\tau)}(z)) = |z\rangle\langle z|/(2\pi)$, where $|z\rangle = D(z)|0\rangle$ is coherent state. The case of loss with excess noise is also discussed in Appendix B.

As an example, let us consider the special class of Gaussian measurements. These measurements have non-negative Wigner function, $W^{(0)}(a|x,z) \geq 0$. Using the condition (9), we can see that if the transmissivity $\tau \leq 1/2$, or in other words losses are larger than 50%, all Gaussian measurements become jointly measurable. The results of [22, 23] imply that this is a necessary and sufficient condition for the incompatibility breaking of these channels.

In this work, we have established a connection between the negativity of phase-space quasi-probability distributions and the joint measurability of quantum measurements, both known as useful resources in quantum information processing. This connection provides a new insight into the problem of joint measurability.
ility and enables us to formalize sufficient conditions for investigating the effect of quantum channels on the incompatibility of measurements. Our results are constructive, in the sense that they specify a mother measurement and post-processing for the compatible measurements. The derived conditions also provide noise thresholds that need to be satisfied for the observation of Bell or steering inequality violations using relevant sets measurements.

As discussed, the Husimi $Q$ function is non-negative for all measurement operators, so if $E_i(z) > 0$ the channel breaks the incompatibility of all measurements. But we know that Gaussian channels satisfying this condition, i.e., $N = I_{2M} - i TOT \geq 0$, are also entanglement-breaking channels [37]. An interesting question is whether there exist quantum channels that are not entanglement breaking but break the incompatibility of all measurements.

Our formalism can be generalized in terms of other quasiprobability distributions, in particular, for finite-dimensional systems [38, 39]. In the general context, quasiprobability distributions are associated with pairs of dual frames, $\{G(\lambda)\}$ and $\{F(\lambda)\}$ that we can assume to be normalized: $\int d\lambda G(\lambda) = 1$ and $\text{Tr}[F(\lambda)] = 1$. Measurement operators can be expressed as

$$M_{a|x} = \int d\lambda V(a|x, \lambda) G(\lambda), \quad (11)$$

where $V(a|x, \lambda) = \text{Tr}[M_{a|x} F(\lambda)] (\int da V(a|x, \lambda) = 1)$ is a quasiprobability representation of the measurement operator. Following the same arguments discussed in the paper, if $G(\lambda)$ are positive, a set of measurements whose $V(a|x, \lambda) \geq 0 \forall a, x$ are jointly measurable. Likewise, these quasiprobability distributions can be used to verify incompatibility breaking channels. The generalization of our formalism and its applications in quantum protocols deserve further investigation and we leave it as a subject for future research.
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Appendix A: The phase space formalism

In the main text, the operators $\Delta^{(S)}(z)$ are used to define the $S$-ordered phase-space quasiprobability distributions $[(S)-\text{PQDs}], W^{(S)}(O, z) = \text{Tr}[O \Delta^{(S)}(z)],$ corresponding to the operator $O$ that can be an observable or a density operator. For a given quantum state $\rho$ and any set of POVM operators $\{M_{a|x}\}$—with $x$ labeling the specific choice of POVM and $a$ the different outcomes for that given choice—we are interested in the outcome probabilities given by the Born rule,

$$P(a|x) = \text{Tr}[\rho M_{a|x}].$$  \hfill (12)

To show how any $M$-mode operator like $\rho$ can be represented using $(S)$-PQDs, we start by expanding the operator in terms of displacement operators \cite{25}

$$\rho = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^M} \int d^2M y \text{Tr}[\rho D(y)] D(-y)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^M} \int d^2M y \text{Tr}[\rho D(y)] D(-y) e^{y S y^T / 4} e^{-y S y^T / 4},$$  \hfill (13)

where $y \in \mathbb{R}^{2M}$ and in the second line we multiplied $\exp(y S y^T / 4)$ and its inverse with $S$ being a $2M \times 2M$ symmetric matrix that can be associated with the ordering of displacement operators. By definition the $(S)$-PQDs for the density operator $\rho$ is given by

$$W^{(-S)}(z|\rho) = \text{Tr}[\rho \Delta^{(-S)}(z)] = \int \frac{d^2M y}{(2\pi)^2M} \text{Tr}[\rho D(y)] e^{-y S y^T / 4} e^{-i z \Omega y^T},$$  \hfill (14)

Here, the operators $\Delta^{(S)}(z)$ are defined as

$$\Delta^{(S)}(z) = \int \frac{d^2M y}{(2\pi)^2M} D(y) e^{y S y^T / 4} e^{-i z \Omega y^T},$$  \hfill (15)

and satisfy the following relations

$$\text{Tr}[\Delta^{(S)}(z)] = \int \frac{d^2M y}{(2\pi)^2M} \text{Tr}[D(y)] e^{y S y^T / 4} e^{-i z \Omega y^T} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^M}$$ \hfill (16)

since $\text{Tr}[D(y)] = (2\pi)^M \delta^{2M}(y),$ and

$$\int d^2M z \Delta^{(S)}(z) = \int \frac{d^2M y}{(2\pi)^2M} D(y) e^{y S y^T / 4} \int d^2M z e^{-i z \Omega y^T} = I,$$ \hfill (17)

where we used

$$\int d^2M z e^{-i z \Omega y^T} = (2\pi)^2M \delta^{2M}(y).$$  \hfill (18)

By taking the inverse Fourier transform of Eq. (14), using Eq. (18), one obtains

$$\int d^2M z W^{(-S)}(z|\rho) e^{i z \Omega y^T} = \text{Tr}[\rho D(y)] e^{-y S y^T / 4}.$$  \hfill (19)
Substituting (19) into (13) gives
\[
\rho = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^M} \int d^{2M}z W(-S)(z|\rho) \int d^{2M}y D(-y) e^{yS \rho / 4 + \gamma y \Omega^T} = (2\pi)^{2M} \int d^{2M}z W(-S)(z|\rho) \Delta(S)(z).
\]

Finally, if we replace this in Eq. (12) we obtain
\[
P(a|x) = \Tr[\rho M_{a|x}] = (2\pi)^M \int d^{2M}z W(-S)(z|\rho) W(S)(a|x, z),
\]
where \( (S) \)-PQDs, \( W(S)(a|x, z) = \Tr [M_{a|x} \Delta(S)(z)] \), represent the measurement operators.

Notice that the relation between two \( S \)-ordered and \( S \)-ordered PQDs, if \( S - S \geq 0 \) can be understood in terms of the convolution,
\[
\Delta(S)(z) = \int d^{2M}k \frac{\exp(-k(S-S)^{-1}k^T)}{\pi^M \sqrt{\det(S-S)}} \Delta(S)(z-k).
\]
This implies that \((S)\)-PQD can written as the convolution of \((\bar{S})\)-PQD with a Gaussian function, and hence if \((\bar{S})\)-PQD is non-negative all other \((S)\)-PQDs with \( S \leq \bar{S} \) are non-negative as well.

**Appendix B: Single mode loss channel with excess noise**

A more realistic channel compared to pure lossy channels may also contain some excess noise form the environment. These channels are characterized by
\[
N = (1 - \tau + 2\epsilon)I_2, \quad T = \sqrt{\tau}I_2,
\]
with \( \epsilon \geq 0 \) quantifying the excess noise. The condition (8) now reads
\[
s \geq 2\tau - 2\epsilon - 1, \text{ or } \tau \leq \frac{s + 2\epsilon + 1}{2}.
\]
Therefore, this channel breaks the incompatibility of all measurements with a non-negative \( W^{2\tau - 2\epsilon - 1}(a|x, z) \). As a special case, if \( \epsilon = \tau \), the incompatibility of all measurements is broken—since the Q-function is always positive. Nonetheless, for \( \epsilon = \tau \) one can check that the condition \( N - I_{2M} = ITYT^T = \tau (I_2 - 4\Omega) \geq 0 \) is satisfied, implying that the channel is also entanglement breaking [37]. To verify this condition, we can also check when entanglement of two-mode squeezed vacuum states breaks under the action of such channel, by using the entanglement criterion for Gaussian quantum systems in [40]. Recall that the covariance matrix of an \( M \) mode system with density matrix \( \rho \) contains all second order moments, that is \( \sigma_{ij} = \Tr[\rho X_i X_j] \geq 2\Tr[\rho X_i] \Tr[\rho X_j] \) where \( X = (x_1, p_1, \ldots, x_M, p_M) \) and \( \{ , \} _+ \) is the anti-commutator. In particular, a two-mode covariance matrix \( \sigma_{AB} \) represents a separable state if and only if \( L\sigma_{AB}L + \Omega \geq 0 \) with \( L = \text{diag}[1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1] \). In our case, the covariance matrix of any two mode Gaussian state after the application of the channel on one of the modes is transferred as follows
\[
\sigma_{AB} \rightarrow \sigma_{AB}(\tau, \epsilon) = (T \oplus I_2) \sigma_{AB} (T^T \oplus I_2) + N \oplus 0_2,
\]
where \( 0_2 \) is the null matrix of dimension two. Let our input into the channel to be the 2-mode squeezed state with the covariance matrix
\[
\sigma_{AB} = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} \nu I_2 & \sqrt{\nu^2 - 1}Z \\ \sqrt{\nu^2 - 1}Z^T & \nu I_2 \end{array} \right],
\]
where \( \nu \geq 1 \) is the squeezing parameter. The output state reads
\[
\sigma_{AB}(\tau, \epsilon) = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} KI_2 & \sqrt{\tau(\nu^2 - 1)}Z \\ \sqrt{\tau(\nu^2 - 1)}Z^T & \nu I_2 \end{array} \right],
\]
with \( K = 1 + 2\epsilon + \tau(\nu - 1) \). One can verify that for \( \eta \leq \epsilon \) the smallest eigenvalue of \( L\sigma_{AB}(\eta, \epsilon)L + \Omega \) is always positive, thus the channel is entanglement breaking. Notice that separable states cannot be used for quantum steering, which implies that all local measurements on the party where the channel is applied become compatible.
Appendix C: Examples of non-Gaussian measurements

Ideal and realistic photo-detection

The ideal photo-detection (ipd) measurement can be described by two POVM elements corresponding to no-click or click:

\[ M_{0\text{ipd}} = |0\rangle\langle 0|, \quad M_{0\text{ipd}} = I - M_{0\text{ipd}}, \quad (28) \]

respectively, where \( I \) is the identity operator. The \((s)\)-PQDs of the POVM element \( M_{0\text{ipd}} \) is given by

\[
W^{(s)}(0|\text{ipd}, z) = \text{Tr}[M_{0\text{ipd}} \Delta^{(s)}(z)] = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2}} \int d^{2}y \text{Tr}[M_{0\text{ipd}} D(y)] e^{i|y|^{2}/4} e^{-iz\Omega y^{T}}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2}} \int dy_{1} dy_{2} e^{-(y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2})/4} e^{i(y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2})/2} e^{i\eta y_{1} z_{2} - i\eta y_{2} z_{1}}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2}} \int dy_{1} e^{-(1-s)y_{1}^{2}/4} e^{iy_{1} z_{2}} \int dy_{2} e^{-(1-s)y_{2}^{2}/4} e^{iy_{2} z_{1}}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\pi(1-s)} e^{(-z_{1}^{2} - z_{2}^{2})/(1-s)} = \frac{e^{-|z|^{2}/(1-s)}}{\pi(1-s)}. \quad (29) \]

The \((s)\)-PQDs of the second POVM element can be obtained as

\[
W^{(s)}(0|\text{ipd}, z) = \text{Tr}[\Delta^{(s)}(z)] - \text{Tr}[M_{0\text{ipd}} \Delta^{(s)}(z)] = \frac{1}{2\pi} - W^{(s)}(0|\text{ipd}, z), \quad (30) \]

where in the second line Eq. \[16\] is used. Notice that \( W^{(s)}(0|\text{ipd}, z) \) is always positive, but \( W^{(s)}(0|\text{ipd}, z) \) has negativity except for the trivial cases \( s \leq -1 \). Therefore, under the pure loss channel our sufficient condition of incompatibility breaking for ideal photo-detection and other measurements is not satisfied.

For the realistic photo-detection scenario including the random counts, the POVM elements are \( M_{0\text{ipd}} = (1 - P_{D})M_{0\text{ipd}} \), and \( M_{0\text{ipd}} = I - M_{0\text{ipd}} \). The corresponding \((s)\)-PQDs are obtained trivially from the ideal photo-detection and are presented in Eqs. \([10]\).

Thermal photo detection

Here we introduce the thermal photo-detection as another example of non-Gaussian measurements that can become compatible with all Gaussian measurements under the pure loss channel. The first POVM element, \( M_{1\text{ipd}} = e^{-H/T}/Z \), is a thermal state with \( H \) being the Hamiltonian and \( Z = \text{Tr}[e^{-H/T}] \), and the other POVM element is \( M_{1\text{ipd}} = I - M_{1\text{ipd}} \). The characteristic function of a thermal state, which is a Gaussian state, can be found using its covariance matrix \( \sigma_{T} = \text{coth}(1/2T)I_{2} \) and reads

\[
\text{Tr}[D(y)M_{1\text{ipd}}] = e^{-|y|^{2}/4}, \quad (31) \]

with \( \nu = \text{coth}(1/2T) \geq 1 \). Notice that at zero temperature this measurement is equivalent to the ideal photo-detection. The \((s)\)-PQD of \( M_{1\text{ipd}} \) is given by

\[
W^{(s)}(T|\text{ipd}, z) = \text{Tr}[M_{1\text{ipd}} \Delta^{(s)}(z)] = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2}} \int d^{2}y \text{Tr}[M_{1\text{ipd}} D(y)] e^{i|y|^{2}/4} e^{-iz\Omega y^{T}}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2}} \int dy_{1} dy_{2} e^{-\nu(y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2})/4} e^{i(y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2})/2} e^{i\eta y_{1} z_{2} - i\eta y_{2} z_{1}}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\pi(\nu - s)} e^{(-z_{1}^{2} - z_{2}^{2})/(\nu - s)} = \frac{e^{-|z|^{2}/(\nu - s)}}{\pi(\nu - s)}, \quad (32) \]

which is always positive. However, the non-negativity of \( W^{(s)}(T|\text{ipd}, \beta) = 1/(2\pi) - W^{(s)}(T|\text{ipd}, z) \) requires

\[
\frac{2}{\nu - s} \leq 1 \rightarrow s \leq \nu - 2. \quad (33) \]
Firstly, notice that, for $T = 0$, we have $\nu = 1$, hence the criterion is satisfied only if $s \leq -1$. This is indeed what we found for ideal photo-detection. For any other temperature, however, there exist $s > -1$ such that the $(s)$-PQD is non-negative. To sum up, under the Gaussian channel (23) this non-Gaussian measurement becomes compatible with Gaussian measurements and all measurements with non-negative Wigner functions if $\nu \geq 2$ and $\tau \leq (1 + 2\epsilon)/2$. More generally, this measurement becomes compatible with all Gaussian measurements for $\tau \leq \min\{\frac{1+2\epsilon}{2}, \frac{\nu-1+2\epsilon}{2}\}$. 