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Abstract
Type 2 diabetes (T2D) is highly phenotypically heterogeneous. Genetics of the heterogeneity of lean and obese T2D is not clear. The aim of the present study was to identify the associations of T2D-related genetic variants with the risks for lean and obese T2D among the Chinese Han population. A case–control study consisting of 5338 T2D patients and 4663 normal glycemic controls of Chinese Han recruited in the Chinese National Diabetes and Metabolic Disorders Study was conducted. T2D cases were identified according to the 1999 World Health Organization criteria. Lean T2D was defined as T2D patient with a body mass index (BMI) <23 kg/m², whereas obese T2D was defined as T2D patient with a BMI ≥28 kg/m². Twenty-five genome-wide association studies previously validated T2D-related single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were genotyped. A genotype risk score (GRS) based on the 25 SNPs was created. After adjusting for multiple covariates, SNPs in or near CDKAL1, CDKN2BAS, KCNQ1, TCF7L2, CDC123/CAMK1D, HHEX, and TCF2 were associated with the risk for lean T2D, and SNPs in or near KCNQ1 and FTO were associated with the risk for obese T2D. The results showed that the GRS for 25 T2D-related SNPs was more strongly associated with the risk for lean T2D ($P_{\text{trend}}=2.66 \times 10^{-5}$) than for obese T2D ($P_{\text{trend}}=2.91 \times 10^{-6}$) in our study population. Notably, the T2D GRS contributed to lower obesity-related measurements and greater β-cell dysfunction, including lower insulin levels in oral glucose tolerance test, decreased insulinogenic index, and Homeostasis Model Assessment for β-cell Function. In conclusion, our findings identified T2D-related genetic loci that contribute to the risk of lean and obese T2D individually and additively in a Chinese Han population. Moreover, the study highlights the contribution of known T2D genomic loci to the heterogeneity of lean and obese T2D in Chinese Hans.

Abbreviations: BMI = body mass index, Chr = chromosome, CI = confidence interval, DBP = diastolic blood pressure, DMS = the Chinese National Diabetes and Metabolic Disorders Study, FPG = fasting plasma glucose, GRS = genotype risk score, GWAS = genome-wide association study, HC = hip circumference, HDL-C = high density lipoprotein-cholesterol, HOMA-B = Homeostasis Model Assessment for β-cell Function, HOMA-IR = Homeostasis Model Assessment for Insulin Resistance, ISIm = Matsuda index, LDL-C = low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol, OGTT = oral glucose tolerance test, OR = odds ratio, Q = quartile, SBP = systolic blood pressure, SE = standard error, SNP = single nucleotide polymorphism, T2D = type 2 diabetes, WC = waist circumference, WHO = World Health Organization, WHR = waist-hip-ratio.
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1. Introduction
The prevalence of diabetes has increased dramatically worldwide in recent decades.[1] The Chinese National Diabetes and Metabolic Disorders Study (DMS) conducted during 2007 to 2008 reported that the prevalence of diabetes in China was 9.7% among adults, and more than 90% of the affected individuals had type 2 diabetes (T2D).[2] A more recent study indicated that the prevalence of diabetes among Chinese adults had increased to 11.6% by 2010.[3] As a common disease, T2D is highly phenotypically heterogeneous.[1,4] The most common feature of classical T2D patients is obesity, but the prevalence of the disease in underweight and normal-weight lean patients has received more attention in recent years.[4] Studies have indicated that lean patients with T2D exhibit more rapid, early loss of β-cell function while still having low levels of insulin resistance in contrast to obese patients with T2D, and thus, many require early insulin treatment.[1,4] Notably, in East Asian populations, T2D onset occurs in patients with a lower mean body mass index (BMI) compared with that of Caucasian patients, and T2D diabetes among East Asian populations is characterized by early β-cell dysfunction in the setting of insulin resistance, which suggests that the prevalence of lean T2D is higher among East Asians, including the Chinese Han...
population. Therefore, the identification and comparison of risk factors for lean and obese T2D is of significant importance for the prediction and management of T2D in Chinese Han patients.

The complicated pathogenesis of T2D in lean and obese patients involves both genetic and environmental factors. Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) employing high-throughput technologies and primarily involving Caucasian patients have revealed that more than 120 genomic loci are associated with T2D, and similar findings have been replicated in different populations. In our previous study, we confirmed the association of single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in or near FTO, CDKAL1, CDKN2A/B, CDC123/CAMK1D, HHEX, TCF7L2, KCNQ1, and MTNR1B with T2D in the Chinese population evaluated in the DMS. However, our understanding of the genetics of clinically heterogeneous T2D has not greatly improved. Previous studies identified potential risk variants that may predispose patients to lean T2D versus obese T2D. Moreover, a recent GWAS in Caucasians identified 2 novel genomic loci (LAMA1, HMG20A) that were associated with the risk for lean T2D (BMI < 25 kg/m^2) and obese T2D (BMI ≥ 30 kg/m^2). The heterogeneity of T2D within patient groups stratified by BMI was less than that among all cases, which possibly increased the statistical power of the genetic study. Previous studies also have suggested that insulin secretory variants confer a greater risk for T2D in lean individuals, whereas insulin sensitivity variants more significantly modulate the T2D risk in obese subjects. For example, the TCF7L2 genetic variant was found to be more strongly associated with T2D in lean individuals, whereas the FTO genetic variant was more strongly associated with T2D in obese individuals.

Considering the large proportion of lean individuals among Chinese T2D patients, a clearer understanding of the genetics of lean versus obese T2D in Chinese Han patients can support better management of risk factors in these patients. A previous study conducted in the Chongqing city of China confirmed the associations of 6 genetic loci with the risk for T2D in lean individuals (BMI 22.96 ± 1.64 kg/m^2). We speculated that the genes responsible for susceptibility to T2D may differ between lean and obese Chinese Han patients and that the known T2D genomic loci, most of which are related to β-cell function, may contribute primarily to the genetic predisposition for T2D among lean Chinese Han individuals.

In the present study, we examined the associations of 25 SNPs in established T2D-related genomic loci individually and additively with the risks for lean and obese T2D among Chinese individuals included in the DMS, and also with obesity-related and glycemic quantitative traits in T2D patients. The findings of the present study highlight the contributions of known T2D genomic loci to the heterogeneity of T2D in lean and obese Chinese Han patients.

### 2. Methods

#### 2.1. Study participants and definitions of lean and obese T2D

All study participants were enrolled in the DMS. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the China–Japan Friendship Hospital in Beijing. The study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki II. Written informed consent was obtained before data collection.

Type 2 diabetes was defined by World Health Organization (WHO) 1999 criteria of a fasting plasma glucose (FPG) ≥ 7.0 mmol/L and/or a 2-hour oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) plasma glucose ≥ 11.1 mmol/L, or a self-reported history of T2D. Therefore, 5338 T2D patients and 4663 controls were included in the analysis.

Lean T2D was defined as T2D in patients with a BMI < 23 kg/m^2, whereas obese T2D was defined as T2D in patients with a BMI ≥ 28 kg/m^2. Of the T2D patients included in the present analysis, 1125 were lean and 1399 were obese.

For the normal glycemic controls, we only included the participants aged over 40, who were with normal glycemic regulation (FPG < 6.1 mmol/L and 2-hour OGTT plasma glucose < 7.8 mmol/L), and no family history and personal history of diabetes, BMI < 28 kg/m^2, blood pressure below 140/90, and normal blood triglyceride (< 1.7 mmol/L) and high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (≥ 1.0 mmol/L) levels.

#### 2.2. Clinical measurements and laboratory methods

Body weight, height, waist circumference (WC), and hip circumference (HC) were measured using standard methods. BMI was calculated as weight/height^2 (kg/m^2). The waist-hip ratio (WHR) was calculated. Each participant completed a standard 75-g OGTT after overnight fasting. Plasma glucose and serum insulin levels at 0, 30, and 120 minutes during the OGTT were tested as previously described. β-cell function was estimated using the Homeostasis Model Assessment for β-cell Function (HOMA-B) and insulinogenic indices, and insulin resistance was assessed by the Homeostasis Model Assessment for Insulin Resistance (HOMA-IR) and Matsuda index (ISIm). The indices were calculated as previously described using the following formulæ:

\[
HOMA-B = \frac{\text{fasting serum insulin (mU/L)} \times 20}{\text{FPG (mmol/L)} - 3.5}
\]

Insulinogenic index = (30-minute OGTT insulin [mU/L] – fasting serum insulin [mU/L]) / 30-minute OGTT glucose [mmol/L] – FPG [mmol/L]

\[
HOMA-IR = \frac{\text{fasting serum insulin (mU/L)} \times \text{FPG (mmol/L)}}{22.5}
\]

\[
\text{ISIm} = 10,000 / (\text{FPG (mg/dL)} \times \text{fasting serum insulin (mU/L)} \times \text{mean OGTT glucose (mg/dL)} \times \text{mean OGTT insulin (mU/L)})^{-0.33}
\]

#### 2.3. Genotyping

Genomic DNA was directly isolated from human peripheral blood samples. Thirty-one T2D-related SNPs validated by previous GWAS were selected and genotyped in the participants using the Illumina GoldenGate Indexing assay (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA). Before further analysis, we excluded SNPs including rs13266634, rs231362, rs5945326, and rs1531343, because their genotyping success rates were lower than 90%. rs7957197 and rs7578597, of which the minor allele frequency (MAF) was less than 0.01, were also excluded. Finally, it achieved a 98.55% overall mean call rate of the remaining 25 SNPs, and also a high concordance rate (100%) based on 229 genotyping duplication. Supplemental Table 1 shows the detailed information of each genotyped SNP.

#### 2.4. Statistical analysis

Chi-square test was used to examine the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium for each SNP in the present population (Supplemental
Table 1
Clinical characteristics of the study population.

| Traits                             | Controls | T2D patients | Lean T2D (BMI <23 kg/m²) | Obese T2D (BMI ≥28 kg/m²) |
|------------------------------------|----------|--------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| n                                  | 4663     | 5338         | 1125                     | 1399                      |
| Male, n (%)                        | 1500 (32.17) | 2314 (43.35) | 432 (38.40)              | 617 (44.10)               |
| Age (y)                            | 49.00 (44.00, 56.00) | 56.00 (47.00, 64.00) | 57.00 (47.00, 66.00)     | 55.00 (46.00, 63.00)      |
| Weight (kg)                        | 59.10 (54.00, 65.00) | 66.10 (59.00, 75.00) | 54.50 (50.00, 60.00)     | 78.00 (72.00, 85.20)      |
| BMI (kg/m²)                        | 23.05 (21.26, 24.77) | 25.63 (23.44, 28.16) | 21.62 (20.50, 22.35)     | 29.97 (28.85, 31.63)      |
| WC (cm)                            | 79.00 (73.00, 85.00) | 88.00 (81.00, 95.00) | 78.00 (73.00, 85.00)     | 97.00 (92.00, 103.00)     |
| Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/L)    | 5.02 (4.68, 5.39) | 7.34 (6.23, 8.97) | 7.24 (5.93, 9.14)        | 7.40 (6.39, 8.84)         |
| 30-min OGTT glucose (mmol/L)       | 8.09 (6.98, 9.20) | 11.19 (9.84, 14.29) | 11.49 (9.30, 14.29)      | 12.20 (10.24, 14.46)      |
| 120-min OGTT glucose (mmol/L)      | 5.76 (4.90, 6.60) | 13.37 (11.22, 16.36) | 13.20 (10.29, 17.82)     | 13.24 (11.26, 16.31)      |
| Fasting serum insulin (mU/L)       | 6.29 (4.87, 8.45) | 8.74 (6.07, 12.60) | 6.78 (5.00, 9.70)        | 11.10 (7.70, 15.53)       |
| 30-min OGTT insulin (mU/L)         | 32.94 (20.97, 52.50) | 20.06 (11.52, 36.28) | 14.29 (8.54, 27.44)      | 26.4 (15.58, 45.76)       |
| HOMA-B ( % )                       | 22.14 (13.73, 34.98) | 32.18 (18.57, 60.39) | 22.85 (12.77, 39.58)     | 42.67 (25.73, 75.00)      |
| Insulinogenic index                | 9.41 (5.03, 17.33) | 2.98 (1.27, 6.28) | 1.98 (1.83, 5.19)        | 3.64 (1.78, 7.30)         |
| HOMA-IR                            | 1.40 (1.05, 1.90) | 2.98 (1.93, 4.55) | 2.35 (1.54, 3.69)        | 3.71 (2.51, 5.53)         |
| ISIm                               | 8.40 (6.20, 11.34) | 4.26 (2.86, 6.27) | 5.64 (3.84, 7.82)        | 3.35 (2.30, 4.79)         |
| SBP (mm Hg)                        | 116.00 (108.00, 124.00) | 130.00 (120.00, 146.00) | 125.00 (110.00, 140.00) | 139.00 (125.00, 150.00) |
| DBP (mm Hg)                        | 75.00 (70.00, 80.00) | 80.00 (75.00, 90.00) | 79.00 (70.00, 84.00)     | 85.00 (80.00, 92.00)      |
| Total cholesterol (mmol/L)         | 4.58 (3.96, 5.18) | 5.06 (4.40, 5.75) | 4.94 (4.23, 5.62)        | 5.14 (4.53, 5.80)         |
| Triglycerides (mmol/L)             | 1.12 (0.76, 1.29) | 1.64 (1.15, 2.44) | 1.33 (0.90, 1.88)        | 1.47 (1.33, 2.73)         |
| HDL-C (mmol/L)                     | 1.38 (1.17, 1.61) | 1.23 (1.04, 1.45) | 1.31 (1.11, 1.56)        | 1.18 (1.02, 1.40)         |
| LDL-C (mmol/L)                     | 2.67 (2.20, 3.20) | 3.04 (2.50, 3.60) | 2.91 (2.38, 3.46)        | 3.08 (2.56, 3.65)         |

Data are shown as median (interquartile range) or %. All non-Gaussian distributed quantitative traits were naturally logarithmically transformed to normalize distributions. P values were calculated to assess the intergroup differences using chi-square test, t test (controls vs T2D) or 1-way ANOVA (controls vs lean T2D vs obese T2D).

BMI, body mass index; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HOMA-B, the Homeostasis Model Assessment for β-cell Function; HOMA-IR, Homeostasis Model Assessment for Insulin Resistance; ISIm, Matsuda index; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; OGTT, oral glucose tolerance test; SBP, systolic blood pressure; T2D, type 2 diabetes; WC, waist circumference.

* P < 0.05 compared with the controls using t test or in multiple comparison using Student–Newman–Keuls test.

† P < 0.05 compared with the lean T2D patients in multiple comparison using Student–Newman–Keuls test.
## Table 2

Associations of type 2 diabetes-related genetic variants with the risks for lean and obese type 2 diabetes among patients of Chinese ancestry.

| Gene       | SNP     | Chr | Position (Build 38) | Major/minor allele* | OR (95% CI) Model 1 | OR (95% CI) Model 2 | OR (95% CI) Model 1 | OR (95% CI) Model 2 |
|------------|---------|-----|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| NOTCH2     | rs10929331 | 1   | 119075336           | G/T                 | 0.90 (0.70, 1.16)   | 0.89 (0.69, 1.16)   | 0.99 (0.79, 1.23)   | 1.28 (0.60, 2.72)   |
| BCL11A     | rs243021  | 2   | 60357684            | T/C                 | 1.00 (0.90, 1.11)   | 1.04 (0.93, 1.15)   | 1.03 (0.94, 1.13)   | 1.05 (0.74, 1.49)   |
| GOKR       | rs780094  | 2   | 27518370            | A/G                 | 1.08 (0.96, 1.19)   | 1.09 (0.99, 1.21)   | 1.04 (0.94, 1.14)   | 1.29 (0.94, 1.77)   |
| PPARG      | rs1801282 | 3   | 12351626            | C/G                 | 1.07 (0.88, 1.31)   | 1.07 (0.87, 1.32)   | 0.91 (0.77, 1.07)   | 0.97 (0.47, 2.01)   |
| ADAMTS9    | rs4607103 | 3   | 64726228            | C/T                 | 1.05 (0.95, 1.16)   | 1.02 (0.92, 1.13)   | 0.99 (0.90, 1.08)   | 1.02 (0.74, 1.42)   |
| WFS1       | rs10010131 | 4   | 6291188             | G/A                 | 1.20 (0.95, 1.53)   | 1.19 (0.92, 1.53)   | 1.13 (0.91, 1.40)   | 0.84 (0.38, 1.85)   |
| ZBED3      | rs4457053 | 5   | 7712912             | A/G                 | 0.87 (0.70, 1.05)   | 0.88 (0.69, 1.11)   | 0.85 (0.66, 1.09)   | 1.03 (0.51, 2.09)   |
| CDKAL1     | rs7756992 | 6   | 20679478            | C/G                 | 1.20 (1.00, 1.43)   | 1.20 (1.00, 1.33)   | 1.05 (0.97, 1.15)   | 1.12 (0.81, 1.55)   |
| JAZF1      | rs864745  | 7   | 28140921            | A/G                 | 0.97 (0.80, 1.17)   | 0.96 (0.80, 1.17)   | 1.03 (0.85, 1.24)   | 1.17 (0.84, 1.63)   |
| KLF14      | rs972283  | 7   | 130782095           | C/A                 | 1.04 (0.94, 1.12)   | 1.08 (0.96, 1.21)   | 0.98 (0.85, 1.13)   | 0.94 (0.66, 1.33)   |
| TPS3NP1    | rs896854  | 8   | 94948283            | C/C                 | 1.05 (0.90, 1.21)   | 1.06 (0.91, 1.20)   | 1.05 (0.95, 1.16)   | 0.91 (0.64, 1.30)   |
| CHD10      | rs10811661| 9    | 22134095           | G/T                 | 1.24 (1.12, 1.36)   | 1.26 (1.14, 1.39)   | 1.01 (0.93, 1.11)   | 0.97 (0.70, 1.35)   |
| TCF7L2     | rs7903146 | 10  | 112998590           | C/T                 | 0.96 (0.81, 1.12)   | 0.95 (0.80, 1.12)   | 1.00 (0.87, 1.16)   | 0.94 (0.85, 1.58)   |
| CCDC123/CAMK10 | rs1277970 | 10  | 12268011           | C/T                 | 1.20 (1.02, 1.40)   | 1.26 (0.99, 1.59)   | 1.17 (0.94, 1.49)   | 1.65 (0.74, 3.65)   |
| HHEX       | rs1111875 | 10  | 92703125            | A/G                 | 1.17 (1.03, 1.33)   | 1.12 (0.99, 1.28)   | 1.06 (0.95, 1.19)   | 1.13 (0.73, 1.76)   |
| MTNR1B     | rs10830963| 11  | 92975544            | C/G                 | 1.06 (0.96, 1.17)   | 1.06 (0.96, 1.18)   | 0.99 (0.91, 1.08)   | 1.12 (0.81, 1.56)   |
| KCNQ1      | rs2237895 | 11  | 2835864             | C/G                 | 1.28 (1.15, 1.42)   | 1.30 (1.17, 1.45)   | 1.15 (1.04, 1.27)   | 0.94 (0.66, 1.34)   |
| CENTD2     | rs1552224 | 11  | 72722033            | T/C                 | 1.11 (0.93, 1.31)   | 1.13 (0.95, 1.36)   | 1.07 (0.92, 1.24)   | 0.97 (0.75, 1.28)   |
| TSPAN8/LOR5 | rs7961581 | 12  | 71268322           | T/C                 | 1.02 (0.80, 1.31)   | 1.03 (0.90, 1.16)   | 1.07 (0.97, 1.19)   | 0.90 (0.61, 1.33)   |
| ZFAND6     | rs11634397| 15  | 80139880            | A/G                 | 1.01 (0.98, 1.19)   | 1.03 (0.88, 1.22)   | 1.09 (0.95, 1.26)   | 1.07 (0.65, 1.76)   |
| PRC1       | rs8042680 | 15  | 90978107            | A/C                 | 1.14 (0.79, 1.64)   | 1.11 (0.76, 1.62)   | 0.97 (0.71, 1.33)   | 0.69 (0.22, 2.14)   |
| FTO        | rs8051036 | 16  | 53782363            | C/A                 | 1.08 (0.94, 1.25)   | 1.09 (0.95, 1.29)   | 1.29 (0.91, 1.74)   | 1.19 (0.75, 1.90)   |
| FTO        | rs9396609 | 16  | 53786615            | T/A                 | 1.11 (0.96, 1.27)   | 1.15 (0.99, 1.34)   | 1.31 (1.16, 1.49)   | 1.22 (0.77, 1.93)   |
| TCF2       | rs7501939 | 17  | 37741165            | C/T                 | 1.12 (1.01, 1.24)   | 1.14 (1.02, 1.27)   | 1.08 (0.98, 1.19)   | 1.01 (0.71, 1.43)   |

Odds ratios and 95% CIs were determined for the T2D risk allele of each SNP using logistic regression under an additive assumption using the following models: model 1, adjusted for age and sex; and model 2, adjusted for age, sex, and BMI.

*Previously reported T2D risk alleles are shown in bold and underlined.

BMI, body mass index; Chr, chromosome; CI, confidence interval; DMS, the Chinese National Diabetes and Metabolic Disorders Study; OR, odds ratio; T2D, type 2 diabetes.
for multiple comparisons ($P < 2.00 \times 10^{-3}$). Rs7903146 in TCF7L2, rs12779790 near CDC123/CAMK1D, rs1111875 near HHEX, and rs7501939 in TCF2 showed nominal associations with the risk for lean T2D (ORs 1.12–1.28, $P$ values $1.52 \times 10^{-3}$ to $3.34 \times 10^{-2}$). Given further adjustment for BMI, the associations of rs7756992, rs10811661, rs2237895, and rs7501939 with the risk for lean T2D were unaffected ($P$ values $2.60 \times 10^{-6}$ to $1.96 \times 10^{-2}$). In addition, rs7903146, rs12779790, and rs1111875 showed marginal associations with the risk for lean T2D after adjustment for BMI ($P$ values $3.92 \times 10^{-3}$ to $7.51 \times 10^{-2}$).

Rs2237895 in KCNQ1 and rs8050136 and rs9939609 in FTO were associated with the risk for obese T2D (ORs $1.15–1.31$, $P$ values $2.58 \times 10^{-3}$ to $4.89 \times 10^{-3}$). The associations between SNPs in FTO and the risk for obese T2D remained significant after Bonferroni correction ($P < 2.00 \times 10^{-3}$), but after adjustment for BMI, the associations were attenuated (Table 2).

Among the SNPs listed above, only rs2237895 in KCNQ1 was associated with the risks for both lean and obese T2D, and the corresponding OR was greater for lean T2D (OR 1.28) than for obese T2D (OR 1.15).

### 3.3. Associations of T2D GRS with the risks for lean and obese T2D in Chinese Han individuals

Joint effect analysis showed that the GRs of 25 T2D-related SNPs were significantly associated with the risk for lean T2D ($P_{\text{trend}} = 2.66 \times 10^{-12}$), and also the risk for obese T2D ($P_{\text{trend}} = 2.91 \times 10^{-3}$; Table 3). Compared with that for the lowest quartile of GRS, the ORs (95% confidence intervals [CIs]) for the risk of lean T2D were 1.14 (0.88–1.47), $P = 3.12 \times 10^{-2}$; 1.33 (1.08–1.63), $P = 6.60 \times 10^{-3}$; and 1.82 (1.50–2.21), $P = 1.41 \times 10^{-2}$ for the other 3 quartiles, and these were not significantly altered upon adjustment for BMI. No significant associations were observed between the T2D GRS quartiles and the risk for obese T2D except for the highest quartile which showed an OR (95% CI) of 1.32 (1.12–1.57), $P = 1.34 \times 10^{-4}$.

However, the associations of the T2D GRs and the highest GRS quartile with the risk for obese T2D were attenuated to nonsignificant after adjustment for BMI. Moreover, for the setting quartiles, the ORs for lean T2D were much higher than those for obese T2D.

When we examined the associations of T2D GRs with the risk for lean and obese T2D in the newly diagnosed T2D patients to eliminate the effect of glucose-lowering treatment, the above findings were further confirmed (Supplemental Table 3, http://links.lww.com/MD/B19).

### 3.4. Associations of T2D GRS with the quantitative traits in Chinese Han patients with T2D

A higher T2D GRS was found to significantly contribute to a lower body weight ($\beta$ SE $-0.0031$ [0.0008], $P = 2.01 \times 10^{-3}$), BMI ($-0.0024$ [0.0008], $P = 1.80 \times 10^{-3}$), WC ($-0.0017$ [0.0006], $P = 4.28 \times 10^{-3}$), and WHR ($-0.0007$ [0.0004], $P = 5.75 \times 10^{-2}$) in Chinese Han T2D patients, but these associations attenuated to nonsignificant after adjustment for BMI (Table 4).

Beyond the obesity-related traits, T2D individuals with a higher GRS showed a higher fasting blood glucose (0.0034 [0.0016], $P = 3.96 \times 10^{-2}$). Moreover, the GRS were related to a decreased measure of at least one of the obesity-related traits (body weight, WC, WHR), whereas the T2D risk alleles of genetic variants in BCL11A, PPARG, CDKN2BAS, CDC123/CAMK1D, MTNR1B, and KCNQ1 were related to greater $\beta$-cell dysfunction (HOMA-B: $-0.0159$ [0.0047], $P = 7.76 \times 10^{-3}$; insulinogenic index: $-0.0294$ [0.0087], $P = 6.98 \times 10^{-3}$) and better insulin sensitivity (0.0017 [0.0036], $P = 2.91 \times 10^{-2}$). Furthermore, these findings were not significantly altered by BMI adjustment (Table 4).
4. Discussion

By comparing patients with either lean or obese BMI to the full set of normal glycemic controls in the DMS, the present study identified that genetic variants in or near CDKAL1, CDKN2BAS, KCNQ1, TCF7L2, CDC123/CAMK1D, HHEX, and TCF2 were associated with the risk for lean T2D in Chinese Han patients. Through the T2D GRS of the 25 T2D genetic loci, we further discovered that lean T2D patients have a stronger genetic predisposition for T2D risk alleles than did obese T2D patients among the Chinese Han populations, respectively, partly due to the strategy by which cases were included, which reduced the heterogeneity of T2D, resulting in an increased statistical power.[12] In addition, 6 genomic loci associated with fasting insulin and glucose were discovered in a Caucasian population via a novel joint meta-analytical approach that accounted for BMI and the potential interaction between BMI and genetic variants.[17] Although a previous study did include the lean Chinese Han population,[15] the genetics of lean and obese T2D in Chinese Han patients were still not clear.

In the current study, we defined lean and obese T2D using BMI cut-off values determined to be optimal for the Chinese Han population.[16,17] Our study identified SNPs in or near CDKAL1, CDKN2BAS, and KCNQ1 as significantly related to the risk for lean T2D with effective sizes ranging from 1.20 to 1.28, values which were obviously higher than the effective size for obese T2D

| Trait                                    | T2D GRS          | Model 1     | Model 2     | Model 3     | Model 4     |
|------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Weight (kg)                              | -0.0030 (0.0008) | -0.0027 (0.0004) | 67.00 (59.50, 76.00) | 66.90 (60.00, 74.95) | 65.50 (58.70, 74.00) | 65.90 (58.00, 75.00) |
| BMI (kg/m²)                              | -0.0024 (0.0008) | 0.0001 (0.0001) | 25.97 (23.59, 28.73) | 25.77 (23.54, 27.90) | 25.39 (23.32, 28.19) | 25.34 (23.23, 27.02) |
| WC (cm)                                  | -0.0017 (0.0006) | -0.0022 (0.0004) | 89.00 (82.00, 96.00) | 88.00 (82.00, 95.00) | 88.00 (81.25, 94.00) | 87.00 (80.00, 94.00) |
| WHR                                      | -0.0007 (0.0004) | -0.0003 (0.0004) | 0.90 (0.85, 0.94) | 0.90 (0.85, 0.94) | 0.89 (0.85, 0.93) | 0.89 (0.85, 0.93) |
| Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/L)          | 0.0034 (0.0016)  | 0.0035 (0.0016) | 7.28 (6.18, 8.85) | 7.27 (6.16, 8.89) | 7.30 (6.23, 8.82) | 7.46 (6.33, 9.25) |
| 30-min OGTT glucose (mmol/L)             | 0.0020 (0.0016)  | 0.0023 (0.0016) | 11.92 (9.89, 14.10) | 11.76 (9.80, 14.11) | 11.90 (9.90, 14.23) | 12.13 (10.00, 14.50) |
| 120-min OGTT glucose (mmol/L)            | 0.0027 (0.0020)  | 0.0028 (0.0020) | 13.10 (11.26, 16.72) | 13.40 (11.26, 16.94) | 13.40 (11.30, 16.80) | 13.59 (11.18, 17.61) |
| Fasting serum insulin (mmol/L)           | 0.0007 (0.0034)  | -0.0064 (0.0033) | 8.74 (6.23, 12.67) | 8.60 (6.07, 12.59) | 8.84 (6.14, 12.50) | 8.36 (5.61, 11.93) |
| 30-min OGTT insulin (mmol/L)             | -0.0214 (0.0040) | -0.0179 (0.0048) | 21.00 (12.33, 40.84) | 19.89 (11.35, 35.80) | 20.07 (12.01, 35.53) | 18.87 (10.58, 33.69) |
| 120-min OGTT insulin (mmol/L)            | -0.0205 (0.0051) | -0.0159 (0.0049) | 36.37 (20.44, 68.36) | 30.88 (18.36, 58.97) | 32.91 (18.32, 59.69) | 29.86 (17.73, 54.64) |
| HOMA-B (%)                               | 0.0050 (0.0024)  | 0.0050 (0.0024) | 6.05 (5.34, 7.05) | 6.11 (5.20, 7.04) | 5.97 (5.09, 6.86) | 6.12 (5.20, 7.04) |
| Insulinogenic index                      | -0.0079 (0.0047) | -0.0730 (0.0047) | 20.87 (28.38, 79.85) | 24.40 (28.95, 78.56) | 24.51 (29.69, 77.94) | 24.01 (28.61, 76.71) |
| HOMA-IR                                  | -0.0006 (0.0039) | -0.0027 (0.0038) | 2.98 (1.90, 4.48) | 2.94 (1.94, 4.52) | 2.99 (1.99, 4.46) | 2.97 (1.86, 4.46) |
| SiM                                      | 0.0107 (0.0034)  | 0.0074 (0.0034) | 4.22 (2.70, 5.95) | 4.31 (2.93, 6.56) | 4.19 (2.93, 6.24) | 4.41 (3.01, 6.47) |

All non-Gaussian distributed quantitative traits were natural logarithmically transformed to normalize distributions. $\beta$ and SE were reported for T2D GRS with each trait using linear regression under an additive assumption using the following models: model 1, age and sex were adjusted as covariates; and model 2, age, sex, and BMI were adjusted. $P$ values $<0.05$ are shown in bold and underlined. Quantitative measurements of the traits in each quartile are shown as median (interquartile range). BMI, body mass index; DMS, the Chinese National Diabetes and Metabolic Disorders Study; GRS, genotype risk score;
(ORs 1.01–1.15). Previously, both in vitro and rodent studies demonstrated that the gene products of CDKAL1, CDKN2BAS, and KCNQ1 are expressed in pancreatic β-cells and have critical roles in β-cell survival and function.\[^{38–42}\] Moreover, SNPs in or near TCF7L2, CDC123/CAMK1D, HHEX, and TCF2 showed robust associations with the risk for lean T2D, but not with the risk for obese T2D. In contrast, SNPs near KCNQ1 and in FTO were associated with obese T2D and mediated via obesity. The effective sizes for FTO on the risk for obese T2D (OR 1.29–1.31) were higher than those for the lean T2D (OR 1.08–1.11). FTO is expressed in the hypothalamus and modulates food intake and obesity, which is closely linked to insulin resistance.\[^{43}\] Therefore, the results of our present study confirm that the genomic loci related to secretion tend to predispose lean Chinese Han individuals to T2D, whereas the insulin sensitivity-related genomic loci showed stronger association with obese T2D.

Our GRS study of the 25 T2D SNPs further confirmed the additive effects of the T2D SNPs. The T2D GRS showed a much greater effective size on the risk for lean T2D than for obese T2D in our Chinese Han population, and this association was not affected by adjustment according to BMI. Moreover, as expected, T2D patients with a higher T2D GRS were leaner and had worse β-cell function. These results suggest that lean T2D patients carry a higher dose of T2D risk alleles, which leads to worse β-cell function at the same time. Our findings also show that β-cell dysfunction has a critical role in the pathogenesis of T2D in Chinese individuals.

Interestingly, a previous study showed that the genetic variants that predispose individuals to obesity also contributed to an increased risk for T2D in Caucasians, and this conclusion was reached by calculating an obesity GRS for 12 GWAS-validated BMI-related risk alleles,\[^{44}\] which provided insight into the genetic heterogeneity of T2D. Our previous study in a Chinese Han population confirmed the associations of genetic variants near KCNQ1,\[^{18}\] HHEX,\[^{42}\] TCF7L2,\[^{42}\] and MTNR1B, all of which were essential in β-cell function.\[^{39}\] However, many genetic variants have been revealed by GWAS in Caucasians that could not be replicated in Chinese Han or other East Asian populations, partly due to the heterogeneity of T2D. Because the proportion of T2D patients who are lean is relatively higher among East Asians compared with Caucasians, the genetic variants that predispose individuals to lean T2D may be easier to be detected in East Asian populations. A previous study suggested that BMI-based stratification of T2D cases can increase the statistic power to replicate strong T2D associations that have been masked by the heterogeneity of T2D at the same sample size.\[^{45}\] Therefore, by stratifying T2D patients according to BMI, the current study successfully identified susceptibility genes for lean and obese T2D in a Chinese Han population. In the future, a GWAS using BMI stratification will be useful for identifying novel genomic loci for T2D in the Chinese population.

The present study has the following strengths. Most importantly, this is the first study to investigate the genetics of lean and obese T2D in Chinese Han patients and to partly explain the genetic heterogeneity of T2D. Second, the study population from the DMS is considered representative of the national population, as it is ethnically homogeneous and includes a relatively large population of Chinese Hans, and thus, the results can be well generalized to China mainland. Third, the optimal cut-off values for BMI for Chinese individuals were used to define lean and obese T2D. Finally, ethnic discrepancies related to T2D were considered along with their genetic basis. Our findings suggest that the β-cell function-related genetic factors are major contributors to the T2D risk of East Asians who are leaner and exhibit poor β-cell function.

However, our study also has several limitations. First, it is a replicative study of known T2D loci, and thus, the results do not consider uncovered T2D risk alleles across the genome. However, the GWAS-validated genomic loci could be the gene region showing the strongest association with T2D, and the strategy of stratifying T2D cases according to BMI can be applied to identify novel susceptibility genes based on the risk for heterogeneous T2D in the future. Second, some suspect that genetic variants have a stronger effective size in Asians compared with Caucasians.\[^{45}\] However, in the present study, we were unable to compare the many risk alleles and their effective sizes between ethnicities. Thus, future genetic studies are warranted to further clarify the ethnic discrepancies. In addition, there could be a potential contamination of type 1 diabetes (e.g., latent autoimmune diabetes in adults) in the participants. However, its proportion was quite low among the diabetes population of Chinese aged over 20 years; thus it was unlikely to affect the results.

In conclusion, we identified significant associations of genetic variants in or near CDKAL1, CDKN2BAS, and KCNQ1 with the risk for lean T2D among Chinese Han individuals, and also the associations of genetic variants near KCNQ1 or in FTO with the risk for obese T2D. T2D-related risk alleles showed a stronger predisposition to lean T2D than to obese T2D in Chinese Hans. Accordingly, T2D patients with a higher T2D GRS were leaner or had worse β-cell function. The present study improves our understanding of the heterogeneity of T2D in the Chinese Han population and highlights the importance of genetic heterogeneity in elucidating the pathogenesis mechanisms of T2D, which could provide an explanation for ethnic discrepancies.
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