Endothelial progenitor cells in cardiovascular diseases
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Endothelial dysfunction has been associated with the development of atherosclerosis and cardiovascular diseases. Adult endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs) are derived from hematopoietic stem cells and are capable of forming new blood vessels through a process of vasculogenesis. There are studies which report correlations between circulating EPCs and cardiovascular risk factors. There are also studies on how pharmacotherapies may influence levels of circulating EPCs. In this review, we discuss the potential role of endothelial progenitor cells as both diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers. In addition, we look at the interaction between cardiovascular pharmacotherapies and endothelial progenitor cells. We also discuss how EPCs can be used directly and indirectly as a therapeutic agent. Finally, we evaluate the challenges facing EPC research and how these may be overcome.
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Core tip: Our review summarizes the important associations between endothelial progenitor cells, cardiovascular risks, drugs and diseases. Current pharmacotherapies may enhance endothelial progenitor cell numbers and function. These and the evolving endothelial progenitor cell-based therapies may be important in the future treatment of cardiovascular diseases.

INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular diseases (CVD) are the leading cause of mortality in both developed and developing countries[3]. Angiogenesis, the formation of new blood vessels, has attracted interest in the field of cardiology[3]. It was believed that angiogenesis could only occur by the new blood vessels sprouting out of pre-existing vessels. Under physiological conditions, vascular endothelium secretes substances that alter vascular tone and “defend” the vessel wall from inflammatory cell infiltration, thrombus formation and vascular smooth muscle cell proliferation[3]. Indeed, endothelial damage has been implicated in atherosclerosis, thrombosis and hypertension. The balance between endothelial injury and recovery is important for reducing cardiovascular events[4]. However, mature endothelial cells possess limited regenerative capacity. There is growing interest in circulating endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs) as they may maintain endothelial integrity, function and postnatal neovascularization[4].

EPC

Differentiation of mesodermal cells to angioblasts and subsequent endothelial differentiation was thought to
EPCs appear to be a heterogeneous group of cells originating from multiple precursors within the bone marrow and present in different stages of endothelial differentiation in peripheral blood. For this reason, the precise characterization of EPCs is difficult because many of the cell surface markers used in phenotyping are shared by hematopoietic stem cells and by adult endothelial cells.[6]

Currently, EPCs are defined as cells positive for both a hematopoietic stem cell marker such as CD34 and an endothelial marker protein such as VEGFR2. CD34 is not exclusively expressed on hematopoietic stem cells but also on mature endothelial cells. Other studies have used the more immature hematopoietic stem cell marker CD133 and demonstrated that purified CD133-positive cells can differentiate to endothelial cells in vitro.[7]. CD133, also known as prominin or AC133, is a highly conserved antigen with unknown biological activity which is expressed on hematopoietic stem cells but is absent on mature endothelial cells and monocytic cells.[7]. Thus, CD133+VEGFR2+ cells more likely reflect immature progenitor cells, whereas CD34+VEGFR2 may represent shed cells of the vessel wall.[8]. Controversy remains with respect to the identification and the origin of endothelial progenitor cells which are isolated from peripheral blood mononuclear cells by cultivation in medium favoring endothelial differentiation.

**TYPES OF EPC**

Although the markers for identification of EPC populations vary between studies, it has been agreed that there are lineage and functional heterogeneities within the EPC population. There are at least two different types of EPCs: the early and late EPCs. Early EPCs are usually referred to as the angiogenic EPC population obtained from short-term cultures of 4-7 d in vitro. These early EPCs form colony forming units (CFU) and possess many endothelial characteristics, such as harboring markers of CD31, TIE2 and VEGFR2.[9]. Hill et al.[9] reported a negative correlation between EPCs, measured by CFU and Framingham risk score in 45 men with various cardiovascular risks. They also reported a positive correlation between CFU and brachial flow-mediated dilation, a measure of endothelial function. Late EPCs, often called out-growth EPCs, have different growth patterns and are usually obtained from long term cultures of at least 2-3 wk in vitro. Outgrowth EPCs possess additional endothelial characteristics, such as VE-cadherin and von Willebrand factor, in addition to CD31, CD133, CD34 and VEGFR2.[8]. These outgrowth EPCs will further differentiate into mature endothelial cells for angiogenesis and vasculogenesis. These two types of cells have distinct morphology: the early EPCs have a spindle shape (Figure 1) while outgrowth EPCs have a cobblestone-like shape (Figure 2).

Although endothelial dysfunction is associated with the development of atherosclerosis[10], the utility of EPCs as a prognostic marker has only recently been demonstrated. In a study with 44 patients with coronary artery disease (CAD) and 33 patients with acute coronary syndrome followed up for a median of 10 mo duration, a reduced number of EPCs was associated with a significantly higher incidence of cardiovascular events.[11]. In another larger study with 519 patients with stable CAD, increased levels of endothelial progenitor cells were related to a reduced risk of death from cardiovascular causes, a first major cardiovascular event, revascularization and hospitalization[12].

However, issues in terms of isolation and identification of EPCs, especially in regards to the characterization or specific cell surface markers of these cells, are still unresolved. In addition, number and/or functionality of EPCs do not adequately describe cardiovascular disease risks. These limitations may be attributable to the inconsistent definitions of EPCs, the number of existing cardiovascular risk factors in different patient populations.
EPCs (number/function) Subjects Findings

Fadini et al.\textsuperscript{[16]} 55 diabetic without PAD CD34\textsuperscript{+}/CD133\textsuperscript{+}/KDR\textsuperscript{+} CD34\textsuperscript{+}/CD133\textsuperscript{+}/KDR\textsuperscript{+} is significantly lower in diabetics with PAD compared to diabetics alone

Fadini et al.\textsuperscript{[16]} 72 diabetic with PAD CD34\textsuperscript{+}/KDR\textsuperscript{+} CD34\textsuperscript{+}/KDR\textsuperscript{+} is significantly lower in patients with PAD than controls

Delva et al.\textsuperscript{[17]} 15 healthy controls 30 PAD CD34\textsuperscript{+} CD34\textsuperscript{+}, CD34\textsuperscript{+}, CD43\textsuperscript{+} and CD34\textsuperscript{+} are significantly decreased in patients with PAD compared to controls

Delva et al.\textsuperscript{[17]} 24 healthy controls 45 PAD CFU CFU is significantly increased in patients with PAD compared to controls

Morishita et al.\textsuperscript{[18]} 22 healthy controls 48 PAD CD34\textsuperscript{+}/CD133\textsuperscript{+}/KDR\textsuperscript{+} CD34\textsuperscript{+}/CD133\textsuperscript{+}/KDR\textsuperscript{+} is significantly higher in PAD compared to controls

Ref. Morishita Delva Fadini

Table 1 Effect of peripheral arterial disease on endothelial progenitor cells

EPC: Endothelial progenitor cells; PAD: Peripheral arterial disease; CFU: Colony forming units.

and the interaction between EPCs and other hematopoietic progenitor, inflammatory cells or platelets. There is also evidence of varied levels of circulating EPCs that are present in a time dependent manner\textsuperscript{[18]}. Therefore, depending on when sampling occurs, EPC numbers and functions may be different.

**Peripheral arterial disease**

Peripheral arterial disease (PAD) is a manifestation of advanced atherosclerosis and affects 20\% of the population aged over 65 years. PAD is associated with endothelial dysfunction but there have been limited and inconsistent data available on the number and functional capacity of EPCs in PAD. Fadini et al.\textsuperscript{[16]} first demonstrated that the number of EPCs marked by CD34\textsuperscript{+}/CD133\textsuperscript{+}/KDR\textsuperscript{+} is significantly decreased in diabetic patients with PAD compared to diabetics alone. This finding was further supported by another paper from Fadini where they reported significantly lower CD34\textsuperscript{+}/KDR\textsuperscript{+} EPCs in PAD patients compared to healthy controls\textsuperscript{[15]}. On the other hand, several studies have documented an increased number and functionality of EPCs in PAD patients compared to controls\textsuperscript{[15]} (Table 1). Both studies reported poor angiogenic response to ischemia and EPC differentiation in PAD patients, together with reduced angiogenesis and low EPC levels\textsuperscript{[14,13]}. In PAD, EPC mobilization can occur through inflammation and matrix metalloproteinase-mediated mechanisms\textsuperscript{[16]}. Membrane type 1 matrix metalloproteinase (MT1-MMP) can contribute to vascular remodeling and regulate mobilization of CD34\textsuperscript{+} progenitor cells, while pentraxin-3 is predominantly produced by vascular endothelium and is considered to reflect inflammatory status of endothelium\textsuperscript{[16]}. There appeared to be an increased number of EPCs and pentraxin-3 and decreased MT1-MMP in PAD patients compared to healthy controls\textsuperscript{[16]}. Furthermore, cardiovascular events were also significantly correlated with decreased EPC levels and increased oxidative stress. In contrast, the number of EPCs was shown to be significantly higher in severe PAD patients compared to healthy subjects\textsuperscript{[17]}. These contrasting results may be present due to the different severity of PAD patients recruited in the study and methodological differences in measuring EPC population which can complicate the interpretation of data. It is also possible that when PAD is only mild, EPC levels correlate to the poor vascular health. However, in severe PAD an elevated number of circulating EPCs may reflect mobilization from the bone marrow to repair endothelial damage. More studies are warranted to investigate these discrepancies in EPC and PAD.

**CAD**

The presence and extent of endothelial dysfunction predicts the outcome in patients with cardiovascular risk factors and in patients with coronary artery disease. Since endothelial progenitor cells possess the ability to home in on sites of vascular injury, there is emerging interest in the therapeutic use of EPCs related to angiogenesis. In patients with CAD, isolated EPCs had an impaired migratory response and a negative correlation of EPCs with the severity of CAD\textsuperscript{[16]}. This was likely a result of endothelial dysfunction in patients with CAD\textsuperscript{[16]}, impaired coronary blood flow regulation and the strong association with risk factors for CAD. These risk factors may interfere with signaling pathways regulating EPC mobilization and differentiation, such as those involving granulocyte-stimulating colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) or vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF). Impaired migratory response affected by downregulation of VEGF may be contributed to by VEGFR2. In addition, several studies documented a decreased number of EPCs in CAD patients\textsuperscript{[19-22]}. Circulating EPCs are also significantly lower in patients with progression of CAD angiographically\textsuperscript{[22]}. Exhaustion of endothelial progenitor cells in the bone marrow, reduced nitric oxide bioavailability and long term statin treatment in CAD can also contribute to the reduced number and impairment of EPCs\textsuperscript{[21]}. However, there are contrasting studies that reported an increased number of EPCs in angiographically significant CAD patients. A significant correlation was observed between the maximum stenosis severity and the number of EPCs from these patients\textsuperscript{[25]}. Werner et al.\textsuperscript{[24]} also observed an inverse association between the level of circulating EPCs and the risk of cardiovascular events among patients with angiographically documented CAD. The
Table 2 Effect of coronary artery disease on endothelial progenitor cells

| Ref.          | Subjects                  | EPCs (number/function)                      | Findings                                                                                                                                 |
|---------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Vasa et al[24] | 9 healthy controls 45 CAD | CD34+/KDR+ (flow cytometry) Migratory activity | Both CD34+/KDR+ and migratory activity were impaired in patients with CAD compared to controls                                           |
| Eizawa et al[25] | 36 healthy controls 34 stable CAD | CD34+ (flow cytometry) Migratory activity | CD34+ is significantly decreased in patients with stable CAD                                                                             |
| Wang et al[26] | 44 controls 35 mild CAD 25 severe CAD | CD34+/KDR+ (flow cytometry) Migratory activity | CD34+/KDR+ is the lowest in severe CAD followed by mild CAD Migratory activity is also impaired in CAD patients                        |
| Liguori et al[27] | 15 healthy controls 40 CHD | CFU                                         | CFU, CD34+ and migratory capacity were significantly impaired in patients with CHD                                                         |
| Briguori et al[28] | 136 CAD | CD34+/KDR+ (flow cytometry) Migratory activity | CHD is the main predictor which impairs CFU capacity                                                                                     |
| Güven et al[29] | 24 controls 24 CAD | CD34+ (flow cytometry) Migratory activity | Low levels of CFU and CD34+/KDR+ predict CAD progression                                                                                  |
| Werner et al[30] | 90 CHD | CFU                                         | EPCs is also positively correlated with maximum stenosis CD34+/KDR+ and CFU positively correlate with endothelium-dependent vasodilation (acetylcholine infusion) |

HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (statins) Atorvastatin Rosuvastatin Pravastatin Biguanide Metformin TZDs Pioglitazone DPP4I Sitagliptin ARBs Losartan Candesartan Telmisartan Valsoartan ACEI Ramipril Enalapril Zofenopril CCBs Nifedipine Barbindpine

Figure 3 Cardiovascular-related pharmacological therapies which may affect numbers and function of endothelial progenitor cells. TZDs: Thiazolidinedione; DPP4I: Dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors; ARBs: Angiotensin II receptor blockers; ACEI: Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors; CCBs: Calcium channel blockers.

These observations, limited data are available regarding the pattern of mobilization of EPCs and CD34+ cells during HF. In a study of EPC in HF, HF was associated with higher circulating EPC levels compared to healthy controls[26]. However, the severity of heart failure correlates with circulating EPCs inversely with significantly higher CD34+ counts in mild HF compared to severe HF[29]. CHF may result in hematopoietic progenitor cells migrating to the sites of damage to undergo progenitor cell differentiation. However, a depletion of progenitor cells in the chronic stage of the disease could contribute to the biphasic bone marrow pattern of response to heart failure[29]. Consistent with numbers, the colony forming unit, one of the functional capacities of EPCs, is an independent predictor for outcomes in CHF and is also negatively correlated with New York Heart Association functional class[30]. Pertinent studies are summarized in Table 3.

EFFECTS OF CARDIOVASCULAR-RELATED PHARMACOTHERAPIES ON EPC

The presence of conventional cardiovascular risk factors, such as hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetes and cardiovascular diseases, are associated with endothelial injury and dysfunction. Experimental and clinical studies evaluate endothelial dysfunction as alterations of vasomotor function, such as endothelium-dependent relaxations[31,32]. Recent research on cell biology has identified circulating EPCs as a useful biomarker of endothelial function and integrity. Cardiovascular pharmacotherapies (Figure 3) have been shown to improve overall numbers and function of EPCs in patients with cardiovascular risks in clinical studies.

Antihypertensive medication

There are many classes of antihypertensives which lower
blood pressure by different mechanisms. Among the most widely used are angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARBs), angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors and calcium channel blockers (CCBs). They all have been shown to modulate EPC number and/or functions.

**ARBs:** Their main mechanism of action is to act on the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system for treatment of hypertension. Several studies have explored the effect of ARBs in influencing the number and/or function of EPCs in both experimental and clinical hypertension. Three experimental studies using spontaneous hypertensive rats successfully demonstrated improved EPC numbers and function with ARB treatment\[^{35-36}\]. In hypertension, endothelial damage can be caused by reactive oxygen species (ROS) secondary to the increased production of tissue angiotensin II. Since vascular NAD(P)H oxidase is a major source of ROS in the cardiovascular system, ARBs can significantly inhibit major components of NAD(P)H oxidase. Inhibition of oxidative stress in hypertension by ARBs correlated with improvement in EPC numbers and function\[^{35-36}\]. These findings were separately validated in the clinical setting where a similar improvement in EPC numbers and function were observed in healthy subjects and those with CAD\[^{36,37}\]. (Table 4). EPCs cultivated from healthy volunteers treated with telmisartan had a significantly higher number and improved function of EPCs compared to cells not treated with telmisartan\[^{36}\]. However, the increase of numbers and function of EPCs was inhibited by specific peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma (PPAR-γ) inhibitor, GW9662. This suggests that telmisartan-induced EPC proliferation is likely via the PPAR-γ-dependent pathway. Furthermore, it has also been shown that telmisartan is a ligand of PPAR-γ\[^{38}\]. In a double-blinded study, CAD patients with no history of hypertension receiving 80 mg of telmisartan for 4 wk had a significantly higher absolute number of EPCs compared to the placebo group. This was further supported by improvement in endothelial function in the treatment group\[^{37}\]. The improvement on EPCs in these patients with CAD was independent from the antihypertensive action of telmisartan as reduction in blood pressure was not statistically different between the groups. Therefore, ARBs may be able to induce improvement in numbers and function of EPCs via pleiotropic effects. The several mechanisms include inhibition of NAD(P)H oxidases and stimulation through the PPAR-γ pathway.

**ACE inhibitors:** Similar to ARBs, ACE inhibitors are used to treat hypertension and congestive heart failure through inhibition of angiotensin converting enzyme which is part of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system. Generally, there was a positive trend towards improvement in EPC numbers\[^{39}\] and function\[^{40,41}\] with ACE inhibitors (ACEI) in patients with stable CAD and in hypertensive patients (Table 4). The administration of ramipril increased the number and improved the functional capacity of EPCs in patients with CAD within 1 wk of treatment. The improvement was further enhanced after 4 wk. Bradykinin B2 receptor pathway which activates endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS) and is involved in neovascularization of EPCs may have contributed to the beneficial effects of ramipril. Indeed, nitric oxide levels were increased via activation of bradykinin. This effect was independent of any impact on blood pressure\[^{40}\]. Further comparison between enalapril and zofenopril demonstrated that EPCs were increased after 1 and 5 years of follow up\[^{40}\]. ACE inhibition is reported to stimulate nitric oxide (NO) activity and decreases oxidative stress in human endothelial cells\[^{42}\]. Zofenopril increases NO production in endothelium, decreases atherosclerotic development and reduces ROS\[^{42}\]. Similar to ARBs, ACE inhibition improves the number and function of EPCs independently of the blood pressure lowering effect and acts via the endothelial NO pathway.

**CCBs:** CCBs decrease blood pressure by inhibiting L-type voltage-gated calcium channels to decrease intracellular calcium. It acts on vascular smooth muscle to induce vasodilation and therefore decreases blood pressure. Preliminary results from two studies reported favorable outcomes on EPC numbers and function with CCBs in patients with essential hypertension\[^{43,44}\]. (Table 4). Men with stage 1 hypertension who were treated with nifedipine had a significantly improved number and angiogenic-related function of EPCs\[^{43}\]. The improvement may have been driven by increased VEGF release from vascular smooth muscle cells by nifedipine. It was also shown that nifedipine-treated EPCs had greater resistance to ROS-
mediated oxidative stress and apoptosis. In addition, improvement of endothelial function by nifedipine may be partially due to increased proliferation and angiogenic activities of EPCs. Another CCB, barnidipine, also demonstrated a similar beneficial effect on EPCs in patients with essential hypertension[34]. Thus, CCBs along with ARBs and ACEI may result in better vascular health in CAD patients with and without hypertension.

**CHOLESTEROL LOWERING MEDICATION**

**HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (statins)**

Statins or HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors reduce cholesterol levels through inhibition of HMG-CoA reductase, an important enzyme in the synthesis of cholesterol in the liver. There is evidence to demonstrate that statins play an important role in the primary prevention of CVD[45]. The data on statins in primary and secondary therapy in CVD is overwhelmingly consistent. Different doses of different statins have been reported to be useful in increasing EPC numbers[46-51] and function[46,52] for a treatment period of 3-16 wk. Studies have reported that statins exert beneficial effects on EPCs by enhancing EPC proliferation and differentiation via the Akt pathway. This can result in activation of the eNOS pathway and VEGF-induced endothelial cell migration[46,54]. However, there was a study which reported a contrasting outcome where 40 mg/d of statin long term resulted in a decrease in EPC numbers and continuous statin therapy is inversely correlated with EPC numbers[45] (Table 5). It was put forth that EPCs may be unable to adequately respond to a continuous stimulus of a chronic dose of statins. This may result in desensitization. However, function of EPCs measured by CFU was not altered by statin treatment. Although statins are effective in improving EPC count, the beneficial effects of statin therapy in improving EPC and endothelial function is consistently documented.

**ANTI-DIABETIC MEDICATION**

**Thiazolidinedione/metformin**

Thiazolidinedione (TZD) and metformin are important oral medications in the management of type 2 diabetes.

---

**Table 4 Effect of antihypertensive medications on endothelial progenitor cells**

| Ref. | Subjects | Drugs | Duration | EPCs (number/function) | Findings |
|------|----------|-------|----------|------------------------|----------|
| Angiotensin II receptor blockers | Yao et al[46] | 42 SHR-SP rats | Telmisartan (10 mg/kg per day) vs Placebo | 2 wk | CFU | Losartan improved EPC number and function from SHR-SP rats compared to WKY rats |
| | Yu et al[47] | 18 SHR-SP rats | Candesartan (1 mg/kg per day) vs Tempol, Trichlormethiazide Valsartan (300 mg/L) vs Hydralazine | 2 wk | CFU | The highest CFU count was observed in candesartan treatment group |
| Yoshiida et al[48] | 12 SHR-SP rats | Valsartan (300 mg/L) vs Hydralazine | 2 wk | CFU | Treatment with valsartan stimulated increase in CFU and migration activity in SHR-SP rats compared to hydralazine-treated rats |
| Honda et al[49] | 15 healthy controls | Telmisartan (1 μmol/L) vs Valsartan | 4 d | CFU | Treatment with valsartan stimulated increase in CFU and migration activity in SHR-SP rats compared to hydralazine-treated rats |
| Pelliccia et al[50] | 40 CAD | Telmisartan (80 mg/d) vs Placebo | 4 wk | CD34'/CD45'/KDR' (flow cytometry) | CD34'/CD45'/KDR' is significantly elevated in patients treated with telmisartan |
| | Min et al[51] | 20 CAD | Ramipril (5 mg/d) vs Placebo | 4 wk | EPC number | There was a 1.5 fold increase in EPC number after 1 wk of treatment |
| | | | | | Migratory activity | Followed by a 2.5 fold increase in EPC count after 4 wk |
| | | | | | Adhesion activity | Migration, proliferation and adhesion activities were also significantly improved with ramipril |
| Cacciatoore et al[52] | 36 HT | Enalapril (20 mg/d) vs Zofenopril (30 mg/d) | 1 yr and 5 yr | CFU | Increased CFU count for both treatment groups at 1 yr and 5 yr |
| | | | | | Proliferation activity | No difference for migratory activity |
| Calcium channel blockers | Sugirita et al[53] | 37 HT | Nifedipine (20 mg/d) vs Untreated | 4 wk | CD34'/CD133' (flow cytometry) | EPC number and function were significantly improved in the nifedipine group |
| | de Ciuceis et al[54] | 29 essential HT | Barnidipine (20 mg/d) vs Hydrochlorothiazide (25 mg/d) | 3 and 6 mo | Migratory activity | EPC number was significantly elevated in patients treated with barnidipine compared to hydrochlorothiazide |
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**Abbreviations**

- CAD: Coronary artery disease
- CFU: Colony forming unit
- EPC: Endothelial progenitor cells
- HT: Hypertension
- SHR-SP: Spontaneous hypertensive rats
- WKY: Wistar-Kyoto
Dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors

Dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP4) inhibitors are new oral hypoglycemic agents and so there is limited data on their effects on EPCs. There is one study that reported increased EPC numbers with sitagliptin after 4 wk of treatment compared to metformin[43] (Table 6). In addition, besides increased EPC levels, plasma stromal-derived factor-1α (SDF-1α) levels were also increased in patients who were on sitagliptin treatment for 4 wk[39]. The positive effect of DPP4 inhibitors on EPCs is likely driven by SDF-1α, a physiological substrate of DPP4 and a chemokine which can stimulate bone marrow mobilization of EPCs. SDF-1α is upregulated and, upon binding to its receptor CXCR4, stimulates the bone marrow to release EPCs. DPP4 inhibition increases circulating SDF-1α levels.

EPC AS A THERAPEUTIC POTENTIAL CANDIDATE IN CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASES

Endothelial progenitor cell capture stent

The EPC capture stent is a device which uses the ability of bone marrow-derived EPCs to repair damaged arterial segments. The surface of EPC antibody consists of human CD34 antibodies will therefore attract circulating EPCs through PPAR-γ agonist, may directly affect EPCs and metformin on EPC numbers and/or function. Over-all, TZD, metformin or a combination of both drugs has been shown to be beneficial in improving EPC numbers and/or function in diabetic patients[44-50] (Table 6). In addition, pioglitazone was reported to decrease C-reactive protein (CRP) levels. Since an increased EPC number may increase EPC numbers by attenuating the detrimental effects of CRP on EPCs[43,56,57]. Similar to ARBs, pioglitazone, a PPAR-γ agonist, may directly affect EPCs through PPAR-γ receptors[59].
Table 6 Effect of anti-diabetic medications on endothelial progenitor cells

| Ref. | Subjects | Drugs | Duration | EPCs (number/function) | Findings |
|------|----------|-------|----------|------------------------|----------|
| Wang et al[59] | 36 type 2 diabetes | Metformin + Pioglitazone (30 mg/d) (n = 24) vs Metformin (n = 12) | 8 wk | CD34+KDR+ (flow cytometry) | Both EPC number and migration activity improved with combination of metformin and pioglitazone |
| Werner et al[55] | 54 CAD | Pioglitazone (45 mg/d) vs Placebo | 4 wk | CFU | Improved EPC number and CFU count with pioglitazone treatment |
| Makino et al[57] | 34 type 2 diabetes | Pioglitazone (15-30 mg/d) vs Placebo | 24 wk | CD34+ (flow cytometry) | Number of CD34+ increased steadily at 12 wk and continued to increase after 24 wk of pioglitazone treatment |
| Esposito et al[53] | 110 type 2 diabetes | Pioglitazone (15-45 mg/d) (n = 55) vs Metformin (1000-2000 mg/d) (n = 55) | 24 wk | CD34+KDR+ (flow cytometry) | Significant improvement in CD34+KDR+ in patients who took pioglitazone compared to metformin |
| Liao et al[60] | 51 healthy controls 46 type 2 diabetes | Metformin (1700-2550 mg/d) (n = 16) vs Metformin (n = 16) | 16 wk | CD45+CD34+KDR+ (flow cytometry) | EPC number is significantly lower in type 2 diabetic patients and significantly improved after metformin |
| Dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors Fadini et al[56] | 32 type 2 diabetes | Sitagliptin (100 mg/d) (n = 16) vs Metformin (n = 16) | 4 wk | CD34+KDR+ (flow cytometry) | EPC number in sitagliptin group significantly improved compared to metformin group by 2 fold |

CAD: Coronary artery disease; CFU: Colony forming unit; EPC: Endothelial progenitor cells.

formation with the use of CD133-stents. The existing low number of circulating CD133-positive cells may have resulted in the lack of efficacy of these stents.  

**Endothelial progenitor cell therapy**

Since the successful isolation of adult EPCs in 1997, we now know that bone marrow-derived EPCs may be mobilized to stimulate angiogenesis and may attenuate tissue ischemia for CAD and PAD. Initial pre-clinical studies have reported favorable improvement in left ventricular function in a rat model of myocardial infarction after intravenous injection of *ex vivo* expanded human CD34+ cells. Furthermore, another study examined the effect of catheter-based, intramyocardial transplantation in a swine model of myocardial infarction, providing encouraging outcomes in favoring the application of EPCs as a potential therapeutic therapy in clinical trials.

Recently, there have been several studies using intramyocardial transplantation of autologous CD34+ cells in patients with cardiovascular diseases to improve cardiovascular outcomes.

In patients with refractory angina despite medical therapy with antianginal medications and undergoing several revascularization options, including coronary artery bypass graft and percutaneous coronary intervention, intramyocardial transplantation of autologous CD34+ cells may be a feasible option. A phase I/II clinical trial of 24 patients followed by phase II of 167 patients reported a significant improvement in angina frequency and exercise tolerance. An ongoing RENEW study, a phase III trial of 444 patients, will adequately examine the effect of intramyocardial transplantation of autologous CD34+ cells in patients with refractory angina. Besides CAD, there was also a pilot study on the effect of autologous intramuscular injection of CD34+ in critical limb ischemia. The study found that CD34+ treatment reduced amputation rates.

**CONCLUSION**

Endothelial dysfunction secondary to various cardiovascular risk factors can lead to the development of atherosclerosis. As mature endothelial cells possess limited regenerative capacity, there is growing interest in circulating EPCs due to their acclaimed role in maintenance of endothelial integrity, function and postnatal neovascularization. There have been increasing numbers of studies investigating the effects of pharmacotherapies which cardiac patients tend to take on EPC numbers and functions. EPC behavior and mechanisms are also elucidated in patients with CVD, including CAD, HF and PAD. Some studies showed conflicting results and this may be due to the varying definition of EPCs using different methods of identification, different timing of blood sampling, different severity of native disease and concomitant medication and comorbidities that may affect EPC numbers and functions. Besides a biological marker, EPCs have also been shown to be a useful prognostic marker in predicting events in patients with CAD. Lastly, there are several promising studies to suggest EPCs as a novel therapy for CVDs. However, due to the paucity of circulating cells and the effects of disease on cell quality, investigators need to be mindful of its possible limitations. Possible solutions include enhancing these cell numbers by increasing their mobilization or concentrating them before transplantation and improving their function using *ex vivo* augmentation. Several pilot studies on animals have already shown encouraging results. Further translation to
clinical practice is anticipated.
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Leading the way in cardiovascular research, Enophonelial progenitor cells have emerged as a promising field in the treatment of cardiovascular diseases. This article highlights the latest findings and advancements in the use of these cells for various cardiac conditions, from acute myocardial infarction to chronic ischemic heart disease. With the continuous evolution of regenerative medicine, these cells continue to offer potential solutions to long-standing cardiac challenges. 

Key takeaways:
- The therapeutic potential of autologous CD34+ stem cells for intractable angina: a phase I/IIa trial.
- Intramyocardial transplantation of autolo- gous endothelial progenitor cells in patients with refractory angina.
- The role of ex vivo expanded endothelial progenitor cells in myocardial ischemia.
- The use of oral dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor sitagliptin increases circulating CD34-positive cells.
- Therapeutic potential of ex vivo expanded endothelial progenitor cells for myocardial ischemia.

For professionals in the field of cardiology, this article offers a comprehensive overview of the latest research on the use of endothelial progenitor cells in the treatment of cardiovascular diseases. It is a valuable resource for those interested in the latest advancements in regenerative medicine and its applications in cardiology.
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