Optimization of Drainage Schemes for the Dike Strengthening by Warping Construction Project in the Qinhe River under Restricted Drainage Condition
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Abstract. Dike strengthening by warping construction is one of the main construction modes for the standardized dike construction of mainstream and tributaries in the lower reaches of the Yellow River, and whether the drainage in the dike strengthening by warping construction is smooth is closely related to the safety of dike project. Restricted by topographic conditions and construction conditions, existing drainage schemes are not applicable to the Qinhe River (the Yellow River’s largest tributary) Flood Prevention Project Wuzhi Section, which is next to farm cottage. Therefore, in this paper a field test was carried out using three drainage schemes—“L” type PVC seepage drainage pipes through dike, “L” type perforated PVC seepage drainage pipes coated with geotextile and geotextile drainage grilles. The drainage velocity, silt retaining effect, water level in the silt area, dike body deformation and other key indicators were obtained through field monitoring, and the safety and stability of the dike strengthening by warping construction project were verified by numerical calculation. The field test results showed that the drainage effect of the “L” type perforated PVC seepage drainage pipes coated with geotextile and geotextile drainage grilles was obviously better than that of the “L” type PVC seepage drainage pipes through dike, but the geotextile drainage grilles had advantages in silt retaining effect, construction convenience, cost, and improvement. The field test results can provide a reference for the quick drainage design and construction of dike back deposited with silt of the dike strengthening by warping construction project in the Yellow River.

1 Introduction

In recent years, standardized dike construction of mainstream and tributaries in the middle and lower reaches of the Yellow River is carried out in China, among which dike strengthening by warping construction is one of the main construction modes. The dike strengthening by warping construction is the process where the deposited silt in the channels of the Yellow River is diverted and placed in the back side of the Yellow River. In the dike strengthening by warping construction of mainstream and tributaries in the middle and lower reaches of the Yellow River in Henan and Shandong, cracks mostly occur in the dike on the reverse river side. The main reasons are that the poor drainage or lag of dike back deposited with silt cause long-time immersion of the dike, and the loading of silt earthwork cause subsidence of the surface on the reverse river side[1-2]. Therefore, the drainage is one of the key steps of strengthening by warping construction. At present, the drainage scheme of plastic sheet overflow or laying drainage pipe is mainly adopted for the dike strengthening by warping construction of the Yellow River, achieving poor drainage effects[3]. Some scholars conducted a drainage test using gravel blind ditches, earthwork pads and horizontal-vertical complex PVC permeation pipes and confluence pulp collector composite systems in Dongming County and Heze County in Shandong Province as well as other places, achieving good drainage effects[4-5]; the design of drainage system is also very important in similar projects such as mine project and hydraulic fill project. For example, the drainage roadways, sand-wells, cut-off ditches and other drainage methods are mainly adopted in the underground drainage of mine project[6-8]; the methods for hydraulic fill project, such as plastic drainage plate, pressure consolidation and vacuum preloading drainage are widely adopted in the hydraulic fill project of foundation[9-11]. However, the Qinhe River (the Yellow River’s largest tributary) Flood Prevention Project Wuzhi Section is next to farm cottage, so it does not have the condition of draining directly to the surrounding areas, and also can not directly use the above drainage systems and methods. To solve the above problem, in this paper a field test was carried out using three drainage schemes in the Qinhe River Flood Prevention Project Wuzhi Section.

2 Drainage schemes
The Qinhe River Flood Prevention Project Wuzhi Section was constructed by unit, and the dimension of construction unit was 120m×100m. As it does not have the condition of draining directly to the surrounding areas, in the drainage scheme designed 6-8 adjacent construction units were classified as a group. In the process of warping, the accumulated water was drained to the construction unit in the most tail end by using drainage facilities, and pumped to the grout making pool for recycle. A field test was carried out using three drainage schemes—“L” type PVC seepage drainage pipes through dike, “L” type perforated PVC seepage drainage pipes coated with geotextile and geotextile drainage grilles according to the construction conditions of the Qinhe River Flood Prevention Project Wuzhi Section based on the principle of convenient construction and low cost. The specific methods are as follows.

(1) “L” type PVC seepage drainage pipes through dike
The “L” type PVC seepage drainage pipes through dike were set up under the dike between two construction units, with a pipe diameter of 25 cm, transverse length of 500 cm, and initial height of 50 cm. The height increased 30 cm with every increase of siltation height in the test area to ensure that the water was clear after precipitation through the “L” type PVC seepage drainage pipes through dike.

(2) “L” type perforated PVC seepage drainage pipes coated with geotextile
The “L” type PVC seepage drainage pipes through dike were drilled for improvement. The perforation opening ratio was 15%, and the perforation size was 10mm; the thickness of geotextile was 1.5mm, the mass of unit area was 125g/mm², and the coefficient of permeability was 1.1×10⁻¹cm/s.

(3) Geotextile drainage grilles
The frame of geotextile drainage grilles with a dimension of 125cm × 100cm was made up of PVC materials. A layer of geotextiles were filled inside the frame, and the two sides of the frame were clamped by steel wire mesh. The characteristic parameters of geotextiles were consistent with those in the drainage scheme 2. The schematic diagrams of the three drainage schemes are shown in Figs.1 and 2.

Fig. 1 Schematic diagram of “L” type PVC seepage drainage pipes through dike
Fig. 2 Schematic diagram of geotextile drainage grilles
The Qinhe River dike stake No. 72+370~73+090 sections were selected as the test areas, including a total of 6 construction units. To ensure the contrast effect of the test, 2 continuous construction units of the same size were selected for test for each drainage scheme. 6 construction units were divided into 3 test areas and 6 test units, which were numbered separately.

To compare the drainage effects of the three drainage schemes, two kinds of field tests were conducted: (1) Field test of drainage velocity and drainage silt content; (2) Field monitoring of groundwater level and dike body deformation. There were 2 water level monitoring points in each test area, a total of 6 water level monitoring points, all of which were located in the middle of the silt area; there were 2 deformation monitoring points in each test area, a total of 6 deformation monitoring points, which were located in the dike slope and dike top respectively. The laying heights of all the sensors were the same; the laying of drainage facilities and the distribution of monitoring points are shown in Fig. 3.
3 Field test process and observation data analysis

3.1. Review of test
The warping was started on June 19, 2014, and the initial silt height in each test area was about 97.22 m–97.41 m, and the initial water level in the test area was low, and the accumulated water was drained by plastic sheet overflow. To July 9, 2014, the silt height in the test area increased to about 99.40 m, at which time the field test was conducted, and ended on July 16, 2014.

3.2 Analysis of the field test results of drainage silt content and drainage velocity
The purpose of dike strengthening by warping construction was that the soil particles in the silt reinforced the dike on the reverse river side when deposited, and the drainage silt content and drainage velocity in the drainage process were two indicators for the evaluation of quality of the drainage schemes. During the test, from 8 a.m. to 8 p.m. the silt at the drainage inlet and drainage outlet was collected every one hour, and the drainage velocity was measured at the same time. The detailed results are shown in Tables 1 and 2.

Based on the test data: (1) From Table 1 we can see that in the drainage scheme 1 and drainage scheme 2, there was little difference between the drainage silt content at the drainage outlet and the drainage silt content before being treated with drainage facilities during the test, in contrast, in the drainage scheme 3 the drainage silt content decreased significantly through filtering with geotextile drainage grilles, and the percentage of soil particles between 0.075 mm-0.005 mm decreased significantly, which were conducive to the progress of dike strengthening by warping construction. (2) From Table 2 we can see that the drainage scheme 3 > drainage scheme 2 > drainage scheme 1 in drainage velocity.

Table 1 Variation of drainage silt content

| Drainage schemes | Drainage silt content (kg/m²) |
|------------------|------------------------------|
| Before drainage  | 80–115                       |
| After drainage   | 78–112                       |
| Particle size gradation (%) |
| Before drainage  | (1) 0.25–0.075mm: 1–4       |
|                  | (2) 0.075–0.005mm: 61–65     |
|                  | (3) <0.005mm: 32–35          |
| After drainage   | No significant change        |
|                  | (1) 1–3                      |
|                  | (2) 61–65                    |
|                  | (3) 37–40                    |

Table 2 Test results of drainage velocity

| Drainage schemes | Drainage velocity range(cm/s) | Average drainage velocity(cm/s) | Drainage area (m²) | Average daily drainage capacity (m³/d) |
|------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Drainage scheme 1 | 29.3–47.5                     | 33.8                            | 0.049              | 1642.64                               |
| Drainage scheme 2 | 43.7–57                       | 48.5                            | 0.049              | 2053.30                               |
| Drainage scheme 3 | 6.1–7.5                       | 6.9                             | 0.38               | 2146.18                               |

3.3 Analysis of monitoring results

(1) Analysis of water level monitoring results
The water level of monitoring points was obtained through the 6 water level gauges laid in the test areas 1-2-3-2. Fig. 4 shows the variation of water level of the 6 water level monitoring points in the test area, and the water level increment at each water level monitoring point is shown in Table 3. From Fig. 4 and Table 3 we can see that under the condition of the same warping amount, the rising of water level of the water level monitoring points S1-1 and S1-2 in the test area in the drainage scheme 1 was significantly higher than that in the drainage scheme 2 and drainage scheme 3. There was little difference between the rising of water level of the water level monitoring points S1-1 and S1-2 in the test area in the drainage scheme 1 was significantly higher than that in the drainage scheme 2 and drainage scheme 3. There was consistent with the field test results of drainage velocity.
Table 5 Comparison of water level at each water level monitoring point

| Number of water level monitoring points(m) | Water level of water level monitoring points(m) | Water level increment (m) | Daily water level increment (m) |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| S1-1                                      | 99.385                                        | 101.553                  | 2.168                         |
| S1-2                                      | 99.388                                        | 101.566                  | 2.178                         |
| S2-1                                      | 99.41                                         | 101.085                  | 1.675                         |
| S2-2                                      | 99.423                                        | 101.078                  | 1.655                         |
| S3-1                                      | 99.396                                        | 101.046                  | 1.650                         |
| S3-2                                      | 99.387                                        | 101.052                  | 1.665                         |

(2) Analysis of dike body deformation monitoring results

The observed objects for dike body deformation included horizontal displacement (X direction—perpendicular to the dike body, the area facing the area deposited with silt was “+”, and the area with back to the area deposited with silt was “-”); Y direction—parallel to the dike body, the area facing the area deposited with silt towards the right was “+”, and the area facing the area deposited with silt towards the left was “-”); and vertical displacement of the dike body(Z direction was the vertical displacement of the dike body, the uplift was “+”, and the subsidence was “-”). The monitoring results of the dike body deformation in each direction are shown in Fig. 5. (Note: the numerical values were cumulative deformation data after construction started on June 19th, Fig. 5 shows only the deformation data during the test).

From Fig. 5 we can see that during the drainage test, the deformation mainly occurred in the dike slope, and the directions of displacement were mainly X and Z directions. During the test, the deformation in the drainage scheme 1 was slightly large, and there was little difference between the deformation in the drainage scheme 2 and drainage scheme 3.
4 Numerical calculation and simulation

Taking the test area 72+900 as the calculation section, on the basis of indoor soil test parameters, the overall stability of the dike body before and after the warping was analyzed using Geo-studio software; in the process of warping where the water level constantly changed, the permeability of the dike body was analyzed, then the effect of the dike strengthening by warping construction on the saturation line and dike body stability was analyzed. The calculation parameters are shown in Table 3, and the calculation model is shown in Fig. 7.

Table 3 Parameters for numerical calculation

| Soil layer | Dike body | Foundation | Area deposited with silt |
|------------|-----------|------------|-------------------------|
| γ 2.72     | 2.70      | 2.69       |
| Es/Mpa 8   | 15        | 3          |
| ρkg/m3 1730| 1820      | 1530       |
| W% 11.72   | 14.65     | 6.48       |
| C/kPa 10.8 | 10.8      | 3          |
| μ 0.334    | 0.3       | 0.4        |
| k cm/s 2.27×10^{-3} | 2.27×10^{-3} | 3.4×10^{-3} |

According to the field test conditions, the deformation of the dike body in all stages was calculated. The results are shown in Table 4. The calculated results were consistent with the overall trend of field monitoring results.

5 Optimization of drainage schemes

The Qinhe River Flood Prevention Project Wuzhi Section has difficulty in draining as it does not have the condition of draining directly to the surrounding areas. To solve the above problem, in this paper a field test was carried out using three drainage schemes in the Qinhe River Flood Prevention Project Wuzhi Section. The advantages and disadvantages of the three drainage schemes were analyzed and summarized through field test, filed monitoring and numerical calculation combined with the specific circumstances of the construction site, as shown in Table 6. From Table 6 we can see that there was little difference between the field test, numerical calculation and other indicators in the drainage scheme 2 and drainage scheme 3, but the drainage scheme 3 had advantages in silt retaining effect, construction convenience, cost, and improvement. Thus, the drainage scheme 3 (geotextile drainage grille) was the best drainage scheme of the three drainage schemes.

Fig. 6 Crack in the dike

Fig. 7 Calculation model of stability

After the dike strengthening by warping construction, the stability of the dike body was greatly improved. The results are shown in Table 4.
6 Conclusion and suggestion

The Qinhe River Flood Prevention Project Wuzhi Section has difficulty in draining as it does not have the condition of draining directly to the surrounding areas. To solve the above problem, in this paper a field test was carried out using three drainage schemes in the Qinhe River dike stake No. 72+370~73+090 sections as the test areas. The effect of the three drainage schemes on the dike body stability under the change of water level was analyzed. With the increase of the water level in the silt area, the deformation of the dike body became farther, which was not conducive to the construction and there was small room for improvement. The main conclusions were as follows:

(1) According to the characteristics of the dike strengthening construction project of the Qinhe River in Jiaozuo, a field test was carried out using three drainage schemes--“L” type PVC seepage drainage pipes through dike (drainage scheme 1), “L” type perforated PVC seepage drainage pipes coated with geotextile (drainage scheme 2) and geotextile drainage grilles (drainage scheme 3). Also, the field tests (drainage velocity test, field monitoring, drainage test) were carried out. The field test results showed that the drainage effect of the drainage scheme 2 and drainage scheme 3 was good, and the drainage effect of the drainage scheme 1 was poor.

(2) The deformation and dike body stability before and after the dike strengthening by warping construction were analyzed. With the increase of the water level in the silt area, the stability coefficient decreased, thus the drainage scheme was considered to be reasonable. The water level in the silt area was low, which did not affect the overall stability of the dike body; during the drainage test, the dike body deformation in the drainage scheme 2 and drainage scheme 3 was small.

(3) By considering and comparing the test data, monitoring results, economic benefits, construction difficulty, silt retaining effect, energy saving, environmental protection and other factors, the drainage scheme 3 was selected.
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