Policy Learning for Generating Green Electricity
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ABSTRACT

An important challenge for any developing country is to achieve their green energy targets for clean electricity generation. In this respect, Iran is no exception. This paper sets out a reference point for Iran as a less developed country by learning the green electricity production policies from developed countries; Germany and Denmark. We used a mixed research approach. The most significant lessons learnt includes the relevance of the financial and regulatory tools and policies that have been successfully applied in Denmark and Germany. Our findings show the structure of fuel allocation to energy generation plants needs reforming. The result shows a considerable difference between Iran and the two other developed countries regarding the level of utilizing fossil fuels and renewable energies. We identified a wide range of beneficial measures to facilitate the transition to green energy production. These included: reforms to electricity pricing, phasing out nuclear energy production; the setting of a green tax package, subsidising renewable energy development for new and old systems, dedicating soft-interest loans, implementing informative programmes about local ownership, facilitating the process of participation, and performing obligatory plans to purchase green electricity for governmental sectors.
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1. BACKGROUND

This study attempts to offer an optimal reference for policymakers to boost greener electricity production in Iran. The main research questions driving our research were: what are the financial and regulatory policies or incentives to generate green electricity? And, what are the most important drivers for successful implementation?

To answer these questions, we studied the past and current status of electricity generation in two countries with successful green energy interventions and transitions: Germany and Denmark. These practices were compared with those in Iran.

Achieving clean energy targets represents a complex challenge for developing countries. This is particularly so given the principal role energy plays in enabling socio-economic development and poverty alleviation (Oyedepo, 2012). Intergenerational justice and environmental observations make clean energy important when developing policies that entail the least environmental impact (Meyer, 2007). Electricity, as the highest profile energy feature in our lives, can be generated cleanly by various renewable resources that replace electricity generated by fossil fuels that are a major source of CO2 emissions. However, governmental interventions to facilitate a transition to clean energy vary with levels of local awareness and the intensity of environmental impacts (Lipp, 2007).

In Denmark, generating green energy, especially electricity, has received considerable governmental support (Lund, 2007). It was also beneficial that they chose to encourage less ownership and the establishment of NGOs (including those opposed to nuclear energy and in support of renewable energy). National energy programmes were evolved with a clear approach to renewable
energy development (Meyer, 2007), governmental support through investment (Van der Vleuten and Raven, 2006), the creation of competitive conditions (Midttun and Gautesen, 2006), promotion of energy self-sufficiency, improved productivity measures (Lipp, 2007) and expanded local ownership (Lehtonen and Nye, 2009). All these measures were clearly favourable interventions to facilitate the energy transition.

Many factors influenced the development of electricity production based on renewable energies in Germany. For example, it was fundamental that there was a strong central government with an open political culture for governmental interventions who formulated and prioritised policies for green energy, the creation of interest groups in the anti-nuclear movement (Wüstenhagen and Bilharz, 2006). In addition, other factors that were remarkably effective included: the distribution of costs among all the consumers (International Energy Agency, 2013), the minimising of investment risk by guarantying long-term prices, the signing of long-run contracts and the private-public pattern of ownership in the electricity industry (Arentsen and Künneke, 1996). Other initiatives that were perceived as being supportive included: changes to environmental taxes, the introduction of a tax on electricity consumption (Lauber and Mez, 2006) and having access to numerous natural resources (Deloitte Conseil, 2015).

Iran seems somewhat similar to Germany given its monopoly governmental structure (Wüstenhagen and Bilharz, 2006); (Khalatbari, 2014). Various noticeable positive steps have been taken to reform electricity production. For example, guaranteed purchasing laws and long-term rules (Amini et al., 2015) have been formulated, the energy portfolio has been diversified (Peimanbank et al., 2010), and a distributed electricity production method has been subsidised (Ministry of Energy of the Islamic Republic of Iran, 2016). Determined tolls on electricity bills for renewable development have also been implemented (Islamic Parliament Research Center of Iran, 2017). Power generation plants that were once fueled by crude oil have been switched across to natural gas and the number of combined heat and power (CHP) plants increased (Ministry of Energy of the Islamic Republic of Iran, 2016).

These measures all align with the Iranian commitment to reduce emissions by 12% by 2030, as this was stipulated in the paris agreement (Dodwell et al., 2015).

Despite these positive steps, there are no noticeable outcomes in terms of fossil fuel use reduction. This is for various reasons, measures and factors in Iran that undermine the transition towards green energy development. These include: The authorities having no macro perspective toward energy issues (Power Ministry of the Islamic Republic of Iran, 2018), inaccurate pricing policy for electricity, neglect of the efficiency of the power plant, allocating fuels free of charge to power plants and no application of coal, despite large stockpiles (Peimanbank et al., 2010). Other deterrent factors include: the reduction of public budget to the power sector, delays in approving projects and issuing environmental permissions (Darvish, 2016), the limited application of renewable resources (Amini et al., 2015) and the development of nuclear power plants (The Fifth Five-Year Plan for the Development of the Islamic Republic of Iran, 2011-2015); (the group of Strategic Planning of Power Ministry of the Energy of the Islamic Republic of Iran, 2011).

Failures in policy making and their implementation in Iran have encouraged high energy consumption, increased fossil fuels usage and neglected the development of renewable energy. The practices employed in Germany and Denmark illustrate how development can be achieved to foster renewable energy. By 2013, 56% and 29% of German electricity production was based on fossil fuels and renewable energies respectively (Craig, 2018). In Denmark, these figures were 7% and 60% in 2016 (Energinet Report, 2018). Meanwhile, in Iran, more than 90% of electricity continues to be generated from fossil fuels (Power Ministry of the Islamic Republic of Iran, 2018).

However, Iran is rich in both renewable and non-renewable resources and the state is the main actor controlling the electricity production sector and seemingly encourage fossil fuel consumption – as the development of the South Pars Gas field in the Persian Gulf clearly exemplifies. Although there are notable plans and programmes for developing renewable energies, Iran seems still some considerable distance from deploying policies and measures recognised as current best practice.

2. METHODOLOGY

We designed and applied a mixed method approach to answer the research questions that involved four main steps as follows:

1. Review of literature and documentation: We searched scientific databases (Elsevier, Science Direct, Google Scholar, and the International Energy Agency (IEA) Website) using relevant keywords: e.g. economic and financial instruments/incentives/policies, green electricity, renewable energy, and sustainable development.

2. Two pioneer countries - Germany and Denmark - were chosen for a comparative study against Iran and the main differences and similarities identified. The main focus was on relevant policies, rules and regulations, national and international reports and also scientific articles to find the green development path. The IEA was the main reference used for knowledge on interventions. The comparative study results were analysed by the theme analysis method (Braun et al., 2019). We used the IEA policy categories to name the themes and sub-themes.

3. Then a draft questionnaire was designed and its reliability and validity tested by Delphi methodology.

4. The questionnaire was answered by 15 from a total of 25 members of a panel of experts chosen from relevant and related practitioners and academics. Their responses were analysed by statistical methods.

3. RESULTS

The results are presented according to each step above as follows:

1. Literature review. The searches through scientific databases

1 A method for capturing patterns “themes” across qualitative datasets.
found more than 90 articles relating to the successful implementation of policies in different countries which claimed to be in the “green path” involving voluntary green electricity schemes (Energinet Report, 2018), Tradable Green Certificates (TGC) (Gan et al., 2007), and Feed-in-Tariffs (Schaeffer et al., 1999). These are the most practiced policies in Europe.

43 of the 90 articles focused on German and Danish green energy cases, such as: Learning from wind energy policy - Denmark, Sweden and Spain (Meyer, 2007); Lessons for effective renewable energy policy - Denmark, Germany and the United Kingdom (Lipp, 2007); electricity policy-making and regulation - Denmark (Couture et al., 2010); amongst many other examples. These search results established the criterion upon which to select Denmark and Germany for our comparative study.

2. Comparative study. The comparison starts by illustrating certain basic characteristics for the three countries, e.g. the main emission factors, particularly for CO₂ emissions: alongside population growth, economic growth, primary energy supply and electricity generation (Lockwood, 2015). This data was compiled by the authors using Wikipedia and IEA CO₂ emission fuel combustion reports (International Energy Agency, 2017) The data are shown in Table 1 for the three selected countries.

Table 1 shows that GDP and electricity production per capita in Iran are significantly lower than for the other two countries. Nuclear energy is not utilised in Denmark (Midttun and Gautesen, 2006) and will be stopped in Germany [30], while Iran is trying to further develop it (The Fifth Five-Year Plan for the Development of the Islamic Republic of Iran, 2011-2015).

The review of relevant articles identified various reasons of success, the similarities and differences of policies, measures and incentives as shown in Table 2. This reveals the similarities and useful measures taken by Germany and Denmark by comparison with Iran where different ploys have slowed down green electricity development.

Multiple categories emerged from the review of adopted rules, policies, implemented plans and also measurements in the comparative study phase. For the theme analysis, IEA’s renewable policies categorisation set a common worldwide language. We identified and coded three main themes (A-financial, B-regulatory, and C-financial-regulatory incentives) with 14 sub-themes. The sub-themes of the A, B, and C themes are coded as A₁, A₂, A₃, B₁, B₂, B₃, B₄, and C₁, C₂, C₃, and C₄, respectively. All the results with their references are illustrated in Table 6 in Appendix 1.

3. Questionnaire. The draft questionnaire was prepared and its validity was tested by applying Delphi methodology and consultations with an expert panel of 25 experts chosen by a purposive sampling method. The sample was chosen from related professions and academics; experts of the research Centre of the Parliament of Iran, the Ministry of Energy, renewable energy and energy efficiency organisation, Iran chamber of commerce; industries; mines and agriculture, Plan and Budget Organisation of Iran, the Mapna² group and professors of well-known universities. Three rounds were completed to obtain an acceptable level of consensus; Kendal’s Coefficient of Concordance was applied to show the level of consensus among the panel members. Table 3 presents its result:

The expert consultation led to some irrelevant and inappropriate statements being deleted. We used a Likert scale to give answers to finalise the questionnaire. Then, we asked two main questions on each statement: (i) what is the importance of each statement for supporting green energy development from 1 to 5? And, in accordance with green energy statues in Iran, (ii) what is the priority of each statement in the questionnaire categories used from the respondents’ perspective?

4. Analysis of results. In Phase 3, the final questionnaire was answered by the experts. We applied confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) to analyse the answers and present the final result.

Table 1: Country basic characteristics

| Country | Population (million) | GDP per capita ($) | Gross production in 2015 (GWh) | Electricity production per capita (GWh) in 2015 | CO₂ emission of total primary energy generation in 2015 (Tonne) | CO₂ emission per capita of electricity generation in 2015 (kg) |
|---------|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Iran    | 80.8                 | 5027               | Fossil fuels 93.60% 289181    | Nuclear[1] 3579                             | 55.8, and -5.4% reduction compared to 1990      | 1955                                             |
| Germany | 82                   | 44674              | Fossil fuels 56% 597000       | Nuclear 17% 7281                             | 56.6, and -11.4% reduction compared to 1990      | 3925                                             |
| Denmark | 5.5                  | 56601              | Fossil fuels 39.70% 27704     | Nuclear 0% 5037                              | 47.4, and -32.2% reduction compared to 1990      | 1855                                             |

[1] Iran AEOI (2018) Performance report on electricity production of Bushehr nuclear power plant in 2017 (in Persian), Office of planning and developing of the management of economical and budgetary affairs of AEOI, Iran, ibid

[2] MAPNA Group is a group of Iranian companies involved in development and execution of thermal and renewable power plants, oil & gas, railway transportation and other industrial projects as well as manufacturing main equipment including gas and steam turbines, electrical generator, turbine blade and vane, HRSG and conventional boilers, electric and control systems, gas compressor, locomotive and other pertinent equipment.
model. The statistically significance at 95% was the criteria for acceptance of each statements, which encompassed the T-test and factor loading of <0.3 and also the explained variance of <0.19. In this regard, $A_{13}^1, A_{13}^2, A_{23}^1, A_{46}^1, A_{43}^1$ from financial theme, and $C_{13}$ and $C_{22}$ from financial-regulatory theme were omitted from the proposed model. The remaining questions have a significant correlation between the dependent variables and each of the related questions. The t-values for all the rest items were more than 1.96. So, it can be argued that these terms affected the dependent variables.

Furthermore, for fit indexes, we figured out the ratio of chi-square and degrees of freedom (df) were 1.13, 1.06 and 0.99 for the financial, regulatory and financial-regulatory themes respectively, and the root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA) was 0.055, 0.070 and zero respectively, which should be <0.08. Therefore, the CFA of these three themes showed the best fit with the data.

In the final phase, we tested the effectiveness of all the themes and sub-themes on the reference model and, according to Figure 1, except $B_1$, all the other sub-themes have an influence in the main model. So, only $B_1$ should be omitted from the list of influencers:

Table 2: Comparison between Iran, Germany, and Denmark

| Contradictory measures and incidences in Iran | Useful measurements and actions in Germany and Denmark |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| • Ignoring plant productivity and pollutant emission and allocating free fossil fuels to them | • Movements against nuclear energy |
| • Lack of a macro approach to the energy sector | • Mandatory purchase of local facilities, a minimum price guarantee, and the national price adjustment system to balance regional differences |
| • Noting economic, social and political issues for energy pricing, instead of considering all production costs | • Supporting the renewable energy sector by low-interest/soft loan (Wüstehenagen and Bilharz, 2006); (Meyer, 2007). |
| • Not paying attention to the potential resources and varying the energy portfolio | • Priority policies for green energy production (Midttun and Gautesen, 2006); (Wüstehenagen and Bilharz, 2006) |
| • Not applying coal with its huge capacity (Peimanbank et al., 2010) | • Clear tariff in electricity market (Arentsen and Künneke, 1996) |
| • Delay in approving plans and environmental permits (Amini et al., 2015) | • Transferring the responsibilities from the Ministry of Economic Affairs (Democrats) to the Ministry of the Environment (Green Party) (Lauber and Mez, 2006) |
| • Incorrect use of subsidy modification law in the energy sector (Mohajeri et al., 2014) | • Increasing taxes and duties to finance renewable energy subsidies (Energinet.dk, n.d.); (Deloitte Conseil, 2015). |
| • Emphasising nuclear energy utilisation and development (The Sixth Five-Year Plan for the Development of the Islamic Republic of Iran, 2016-2020) | • Tax exemption (Wüstehenagen and Bilharz, 2006); (Midttun and Gautesen, 2006). |
| • Not being serious for implementing long-term contracts and guaranteed prices rules | • Developing electricity market and creating a competitive environment (Midttun and Gautesen, 2006); (Deloitte Conseil, 2015) |
| • Not allocating electricity duties for renewable development (Islamic parliament Research Center of Iran, 2017) | • Let growing the coalition and interest groups (Wüstehenagen and Bilharz, 2006); (Lauber and Mez, 2006) |
| • Distributing the costs between dispersed groups; | • Distributing the costs between dispersed groups; |
| • National plans to reduce oil dependency (Meyer, 2007); (Wüstehenagen and Bilharz, 2006). | • National plans to reduce oil dependency (Meyer, 2007); (Wüstehenagen and Bilharz, 2006). |
| • Increasing electricity prices based on fossil fuels | • Increasing electricity prices based on fossil fuels |
| • Following public-private, local and municipal ownership pattern (Meyer, 2007); (Arentsen and Künneke, 1996) | • Following public-private, local and municipal ownership pattern (Meyer, 2007); (Arentsen and Künneke, 1996) |
| • Supporting the distributed generation and utilising renewable energy (Lauber and Mez, 2006);(Lehtonen and Nye, 2009) | • Supporting the distributed generation and utilising renewable energy (Lauber and Mez, 2006);(Lehtonen and Nye, 2009) |
| • R & D (Midttun and Gautesen, 2006); (Gan et al., 2007) | • R & D (Midttun and Gautesen, 2006); (Gan et al., 2007) |
| • Setting ambitious goals (Lehtonen and Nye, 2009); (Gan et al., 2007) | • Setting ambitious goals (Lehtonen and Nye, 2009); (Gan et al., 2007) |
| • Technological sustainability (Van der Vleuten and Raven, 2006) | • Technological sustainability (Van der Vleuten and Raven, 2006) |

The status of all the effective factors in the main model is presented in Table 4:

After indicating the effective factors, we use the Friedman test to analyse the answer to prioritise statements. The results are shown in Table 5:

For the obtained significance level <0.05, there is a significant difference among the factors. So, the priority of each category was recognised as mentioned above.

4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

This study aimed to learn from successful experiences on green electricity production to suggest a reference point that could help energy policy making in Iran in particular and even other less developed countries.

A similar study carried out in the USA (Couture et al., 2010) highlighted the feed-in tariff (FIT) as one of the most successful policies implemented in Europe for developing renewable energies via a tax incentive. The FIT has facilitated the expansion of approximately 75% of photovoltaic and 45% of wind energy implementation around the world. The substantive features of FIT are the long-term contracts offered through which to sell green electricity, ensuring access to the network, and enabling the classification of payments based on the type of technology, size of the project, quality of resources and location of the projects.
Another study examined the tradable green certificate (TGC). This mechanism allows a member of the electricity supply chain (consumer, supplier or generator) to submit a minimum number of certificates, as determined annually by the authorities. A committed entity may itself produce this certificate or purchase it from the market and also transfer its costs to the consumer (Fouquet and Johansson, 2008).

Other policies in support of renewable energy development include the renewable portfolio standards (RPS). This, also, has been implemented in USA. This is more market-oriented than the other policies described and requires a minimum level of production of renewable resources in a portfolio (Berry and Jaccard, 2001). FIT and RPS are both market-based models. Their difference is that the FIT prices are regulated politically and their number is specified by the market, while the RPS prices are set by the market and the number is determined politically (Lipp, 2007).

The results of our survey differ from other studies as they are based on expert opinions, derived from successful experiences, whilst combining the lessons from international examples with local knowledge about the situation in Iran. The survey tried to cover the entire scope of practical aspects of the possible tools, as well as those suggested and prioritised by experts’ opinions, which might enable policy makers to benefit from long-term policymaking suggestions.

The professional and academic perspectives gathered in this research, in order to ratify financial policy, recommended sequential measures to: design green tax package; use different tax models; subsidise for participation; shift pricing; and subsidise to help scrapping old systems. They highlighted applying different financial instruments, such as:

- Imposing tax on \( CO_2 \) and \( SO_2 \) emissions
- Reducing the tax rates for committed companies
- Gradual phase-in of the taxes
- Transferring back a part of the revenues of green tax package to enterprises through reduced taxation on employing labour, the remainder being redirected to the industry and service sectors
- Exemption of biofuels from oil taxes
- Distinctive taxes for light and heavy industrial processes, as

| Table 4: Status of all the effective factors |
|---------------------------------------------|
| Latent variation | Factors | Abbreviation | Standardised coefficient | Status effect | t-value | result |
|------------------|---------|--------------|--------------------------|---------------|---------|--------|
| Green energy supportive policies | Financial tools | A | 0.56 | Acceptable | 2.15 | Approved |
| | Regulatory tools | B | 0.98 | Very desirable | 3.58 | Approved |
| | Financial-regulatory tools | C | 0.86 | Very desirable | 3.13 | Approved |

| Table 5: Results of friedman test |
|---------------------------------|
| Theme | Friedman test | Mean | Priority result |
| Financial | A1 | 2.71 | 4 |
| | A2 | 3.57 | 1 |
| | A3 | 3.14 | 2 |
| | A4 | 2.86 | 3 |
| | A5 | 2.71 | 5 |
| X^2: | 1.410 | df: 4 | Sig: 0.000 |
| Regulatory | B1 | 2.36 | 4 |
| | B2 | 2.43 | 2 |
| | B3 | 2.82 | 1 |
| | B4 | 2.39 | 3 |
| X^2: | 1.410 | df: 3 | Sig: 0.033 |
| Financial-regulatory | C1 | 2.21 | 4 |
| | C2 | 2.86 | 1 |
| | C3 | 2.39 | 3 |
| | C4 | 2.54 | 2 |
| X^2: | 2.071 | df: 3 | Sig: 0.000 |
well as domestic or industrial consumers; subsidising based on working years, ownership of power plants and their capacity

• Specific and limited time to granting

• Providing low-interest loans to the collective buildings, such as schools, to renovate heating system based on renewable energies

• Offering governmental grants, such as reducing household taxes for the installation of heat pumps or solar heating collectors

• Developing a certification scheme for inefficiency or disability of a system based on a minimum level for detecting non-operating systems from the efficient one

• Increasing fossil fuels prices; and

• Defining different fees for plants with different sources.

To improve regulatory policy, they suggested measures to: improve citizen awareness and establish forums; setting long-term goals; and facilitate participation for producers and suppliers respectively. Different regulatory instruments could be utilised to reach these goals. For example, to:

• Create associations for a variety of renewable resources

• Target to produce a specific amount of renewable energy and eradicating CO₂ emission in a specified period

• Ratify guidelines to issue required permits for installing renewable energy plants; and

• Define mandatory contributions, such as adding a certain amount of biofuels to the fuel resources of the power plants to promote the usage of resources, rather than applying tax exemption or subsidy allocation methods.

The sequential measures suggested for applying financial-regulatory policy included to: regulate to select different sources at various prices in the market; support financially the pilot plans; regulate to purchase green electricity; and rationing. Various financial-regulatory instruments could be utilised and, for further research, the implementation of these suggestions is recommended. For instance, to:

• Legalise the sale of electricity from renewable sources at high market prices

• Set a plan to support pilot systems and helping researchers to find their test results in a real situation;

• Oblige the supplier who uses the public electricity grid to purchase an equal share of green electricity at a price equivalent to the average payments

• Determine a tradable limited share for CO₂ emissions; and

• Guarantee the demand for green certificates by creating stocks for the purchase of renewable electricity, in which all consumers of electricity should implement it.

Consequently, it should be noted that, by comparing the history of energy management policies in these three countries, it was observed that success was not accidental, but rather the result of several components, among them: proper planning; the commitment to making an accurate policy and its implementation and; scrupulous policy assessment. All of them have contributed to the forefront position apparent in Germany, and even more so in Denmark.

5. AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

The data of international renewable energy policies that support the findings of this study are available from https://www.iea.org/.
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APPENDIX 1

Table 6a: Categorizing the results: A- financial /policies/incentives/tools

| Sub-Themes | Statements | References in Denmark | References in Germany |
|------------|------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| A1: Pricing | A1. Increasing fossil fuels prices to compete with the renewable technologies in electricity, heat, and transport | Legislation on electricity favorable to renewable (electricity reform agreement) | Electricity feed-in law of 1991 |
| A1. | A2. Transferring high prices of renewable to the consumers | New Rules for Payment of Green Electricity - Adaptation of the Electricity Act Reforms | Eco-Tax reform renewable energy sources act |
| A1. | A3. Moving gradually toward market-based prices | | 2009 Amendment of the Renewable Energy Sources Act (EEG 2009) |
| A1. | A4. Forcing the utilities to pay more for the green electricity and transferring the imposed costs to the electricity suppliers and customers | | |
| A1. | A5. Reducing payments from a specific time, on an annual basis, to encourage producers to reduce the production costs. | | |
| A1. | A6. Defining different fees for plants with different sources | | |
| A1. | A7. Tax on CO2 and SO2 | Green Tax Package 1995, Carbon Tax/Green Tax System | |
| A1. | A8. provisions a significantly reduced tax rate in the case of specific energy-intensive activities, conditional upon a business committing itself to reduce energy consumption through an agreement negotiated between the company and the authorities | | |
| A1. | A9. Reducing the tax rates for committed companies | | |
| A1. | A10. Gradual phase-in of the taxes, | | |
| A1. | A11. Transferring back a part of the revenues of green tax package to enterprises through reduced taxation on employing labor, and the rest should be redirected to the industry and service sectors to provide investment grants for energy efficiency measures | | |
| A1. | A12. The tax revenues can be used for energy efficiency measures and renewable energy development | | |
| A1. | A13. Exemption of biofuels from oil taxes | | |
| A2. Use different tax models to encourage participation | A1. Distinction between different purposes for energy use for assessing the tax: light and heavy industrial processes | Wind energy cooperative tax incentive | Combined heat and power law |
| A2. | A2. Returning the tax as a subsidy to implement to carry out energy efficiency measures and renewable development | | |
| A2. | A3. Impose different tax incentives to encourage consumers to consume green electricity. These incentives can be different depending on the amount of consumption, as well as the domestic or industrial nature of the consumer | | |
| A2. | A4. Tax exemptions for a share of production, and in case of further production, the taxes should be paid less than the usual tax rate | | |
| A2. | A5. Tax exemptions for all renewable electricity producers, provided that: all installations are connected to the network, the installation of the electricity generation system should be at the place of consumption, and the consumer of electricity must own the system. In this regard, a maximum amount of electricity should be provided for renewable electricity, for example, only producers of <6 KWh are allowed to participate in this project | | |
| A2. | A6. The subsidy allocation to renewable energy plants should be based on the working years | Subsidies for wind turbines | 250 MW Wind Programme: 1989 |
| A2. | A7. Considering the time of renewable plants connection to the grid for subsidies allocation | Subsidies for Renewable Electricity Generation | ERP-Environment and Energy Saving Programme: 1990 |
| A2. | A8. Allocating subsidies for a specified period of time, for example, 20 years from the date of connection to the grid. Changing the amount of subsidy based on the hurry or delay in connecting | Feed-in premium tariffs for renewable power (Promotion of Renewable Energy Act) Danish Energy Agreement for 2012-2020 | Full Cost Rates Home Eco Grant Ordinance on the Fee Schedule for Architects and Engineers |
| A2. | A9. Controlling renewable usage by the subsidies allocation amount | | |
| A2. | A10. Excluding household systems from subsidizing which are for personal use | | |
| A2. | A11. Determining the annual use of renewable energies; for example between 10% and 94% of the total amount of fuel consumed | | |
| A2. | A12. Subsidizing for developing green production in the industrial sector as developing CHP plants in industry and greenhouses | | |
| A2. | A13. More subsidizing to less favorable renewable energies | | |
| A2. | A14. Subsidize generated electricity per kWh for a determined maximum and for a specified size of facilities | | |
| A2. | A15. Offering low-interest loan (less than the market rate) for private and public-private enterprises who have taken appropriate measures to save energy, or those who have already planned to use renewable energy | | |

(Contd...)
Table 6a: (Continued)

| Sub-Themes                                                                 | Statements                                                                                                                                                                                                 | References in Denmark                                                                                     | References in Germany                                    |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| A₁₁ - Providing low-interest loans in the first phase for the renovation of private buildings and the use of renewable energy for heating systems, as well as the modernization of buildings, subsequently offering these loans to the collective buildings, such as schools   | A₁₁₁ - Aiming to install specific capacity (determining the share of each renewable energy), within a specified period and also funding for that purpose. A₁₁₂ - Contributing to the development of CHP plants to reduce CO₂ emissions and increase the efficiency of power plants, for example; for the electricity generated by CHP technology, offer a fixed price, at a level above the market rate and for a long period of, for instance 10 years. A₁₁₃ - Offering governmental grants for the installation of heat pumps or solar heating collectors. These grants can be in the form of household taxes reduction. A₁₁₄ - Developing guidelines and incentives for architects and engineers to develop renewable energy in new constructions. A₁₁₅ - Designing fixed premium systems (for all renewable) and flexible system (for some renewable) | - Funding some plans to scrap old and inappropriate situated systems. Subsidies are paid per kWh to a maximum level of production or in a specific period for those who upgrade the systems, because the capacity of new systems can be multiplied. A₁₁₆ - Developing a certification scheme for inefficiency or disability of a system based on a minimum level for detecting non-operating systems of the efficient one (such as issuing scrapping certificates for a wind turbine with installed power of 450 KW or less). A₁₁₇ - Allocating more subsidies or extending the repayment time, if the owners of the facilities or turbines are more quickly switch the system within a certain period. A₁₁₈ - Providing supportive regulations for the replacement plan for example: new turbines should be installed in the same region, turbines must be at least 10 years old for swaps, new turbines should have a capacity of twice or even more than 5 times than the prior ones, and also, they will receive tariffs per KWh. | Replacement of wind turbines Replacement Scheme for Wind turbines on land (scraping certificates) Promotion of Renewable Energy Act | REPLACEMENT OF WIND TURBINES REPLACEMENT SCHEME FOR WIND TURBINES ON LAND (SCRAPPING CERTIFICATES) PROMOTION OF RENEWABLE ENERGY ACT |

Table 6b: Categorizing the results: B- regulatory/policies/incentives/tools

| Sub-themes                          | Statements                                                                                                                                                                                                 | References in Denmark                                                                                     | References in Germany                                    |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| B₁ - Regulating a market           | B₁₁ - Developing an agreed framework (target) for a specific amount of renewable electricity production over a specified time frame. B₁₂ - applying operators for measuring renewable electricity production. B₁₃ - Offering certificates to indicate suggested KWH in the market. | Legislation on Electricity Favourable to Renewables (Electricity Reform Agreement). | -                                                        |
| B₂ - Setting goals                 | B₂₁ - Targeting for producing a specific amount of renewable energy in a certain period. B₂₂ - Targeting to reduce the percentage of CO₂ in a specified time and in accordance with international agreements. B₂₃ - Supporting to remove the oil boilers in buildings by Prohibiting the installation of oil and gas boilers in new buildings from a specified time. B₂₄ - Banning the installation of new oil boilers in existing buildings in areas which is accessible to other sources including natural gas. B₂₅ - Financially committing at certain intervals to convert oil and gas boilers to renewable energy in the new buildings. B₂₆ - Developing multi-year and successive programs to support R&D and applying Renewable Technologies. | Danish Energy Agreement for 2012-2020 | Fourth Energy Research Programme Investing in the Future Programme Fifth Energy Research Programme | Danish Energy Agreement for 2012-2020 | Fourth Energy Research Programme Investing in the Future Programme Fifth Energy Research Programme |
| B₃ - Training for citizen awareness and establishment forums and unions | B₃₁ - Selecting local citizens to buy shares of renewable systems in each region. B₃₂ - Implementing educational initiatives such as Green Plan to increase local knowledge. B₃₃ - Creating associations for a variety of renewable resources, such as the Association of Local Owners of Wind Turbines. B₃₄ - Providing special offers to the people who have more than a certain level of ownership of renewable energy systems. For example; it is suggested to sell wind turbines to inhabitants within 4.5 Km or less of the nearest turbine. | Promotion of Renewable Energy Act | -                                                        | Promotion of Renewable Energy Act | -                                                        |
### Table 6c: Categorizing the results: C- financial-regulatory/policies/incentives/tools

| Sub-Theme | Statements | References in denmark | References in germany |
|-----------|------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| **C₁** Rationing | | | |
| | B₃ | Presenting or not providing specific offers to shareholders who own these systems for personal use | | |
| | B₄ | Facilitate participation of producers and suppliers | | |
| | B₄₁ | Obliging network operators to provide required infrastructures for connecting renewable resources to the grid and transferring electricity at their own expense | - | Federal Building Codes for Renewable Energy Production |
| | B₄₂ | Ratifying guidelines to issue required permits for installing wind, hydro, biomass and even nuclear power plants on the city border | - | Eco-Tax Reform, Biofuels Quota Act |
| | B₄₃ | Defining specific mandatory contributions and a percentage of biofuels to be added to the fuel resources of the power plants to promote the usage of resources rather than applying tax exemption or subsidy allocation methods | - | |
| | C₁ | Determining a tradable limited share for CO₂ emissions | Legislation on Electricity Favourable to Renewables | 2017 Amendment of the Renewable Energy Sources Act (EEG 2017) |
| | C₁₁ | Guaranteeing the demand for green certificates by creating stocks for the purchase of renewable electricity, in which all consumers of electricity should implement it | - | |
| | C₁₂ | Holding public auctions for a specified amount and type of renewable resource in a certain period | - | Green power |
| **C₂** Regulating for selection of different sources at different prices in the market | | | |
| | C₂₁ | Legalizing the sale of electricity from renewable sources at high market prices | - | |
| | C₂₂ | Public utilities and electricity suppliers give their customers the right to choose between tariffs. This choice to buy green electricity may differ even between different sources of renewable energy | - | |
| **C₃** Regulating for purchasing green electricity | | | |
| | C₃₁ | Approving law to oblige governmental sectors to buy green electricity | - | Green Power, Renewable Energy Sources Act (Erneuerbare-Energien-Gesetz EEG) |
| | C₃₂ | Obliging the supplier who uses the public electricity grid to purchase an equal share of green electricity at a price equivalent to the average payments. This system equalizes benefits as well as the costs | - | Solarthermie 2000Plus Ground-mounted PV Auction Ordinance |
| **C₄** Financial support for pilot plans | | | |
| | C₄₁ | Setting a plan to support pilot systems and helping researchers to find their test results in a real situation | - | |
| | C₄₂ | Public auctions for pilot implementation of a type of renewable energy with a determined capacity and experience the implementation of other renewable energies | - | |
| | C₄₃ | Public auctions for specific capacities. Projects must be priced at an auction price | - | |