ABSTRACT
Owing to the huge amount of naira invested into the irrigation sub-sector by the Nigerian government, the study assessed the perception of farmers on the activities of Lower Nigeria River Basin Development Authority (LNRBDA) in Ilorin East local government area of Kwara State, Nigeria. Primary data were used for the study and the data were collected by means of a well-structured questionnaire coupled with interview schedule. A two-stage sampling technique was used to select one hundred and six (106) respondents from the list of registered farmers who had participated in the scheme. Descriptive statistics and Pearson Product Moment Correlation were used in analyzing the data. Findings of the study indicated that 98.1% of the farmers had a positive perception on the effectiveness of the scheme. Also, 92.5% of the respondents reported flexibility in the payment schedule in participating in the LNRBDA irrigation scheme while 81.1% of the respondents paid for irrigation services install mentally. It was also found that 99.1% of the respondents experienced increased production output as a result of the use of irrigation facilities. There is a significant relationship between age, farm size and the perception of farmers on some of the activities in the scheme (p-value < critical p-value of 0.05). The study concludes that farmers’ participation and perception in LNRBDA irrigation scheme in the study area is highly encouraging and there is association between age, farm size and the perception of farmers on effectiveness some of the activities of LNRBDA in the study area. It is therefore recommended that government should continue to enhance and strengthens the performance of LNRBDA in the study area.
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INTRODUCTION
Adaption and coping strategies with effects of climate change remain a pertinent issue of discussion among research scholars, policy makers and international communities. Presently, Climate change is perceived the most significant environmental threat facing man and development (Baker, et al., 2015; Agbola, 2011; Cohen et al., 2008). Climate change is the significant deviation from the mean annual temperature of an area and it is reflected in the rapid increase in global temperature. Such change in climate and by implication influences an increase in moisture deficit particularly in semi-arid and even the more humid areas. The associating effects of climate change include global warming, frequent occurrence of drought and flood and other environmental and health disasters. However, drought as one of the effects of climate change has become more frequent (Zoellick, 2009) impacting agriculture, biodiversity, man’s health and other sectors in Africa (UNFCCC 2007, IPCC, 2007). Consequently, the change implies that existing agriculture regions will increase reliance on irrigation. With the global climatic change and the rapid encroachment of the Sahara into hither to wet areas of Nigeria middle belt region, the need for irrigation becomes more pressing considering the desire of Nigeria government to assure food security and improve farmers and rural welfare...
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(Federal Ministry of Water Resources, 2006). The Nigeria government interest with the objective of promoting agriculture in water deficient areas through investment in irrigation systems cannot be over emphasized. Over the years, huge resources have been put into the development of irrigation systems and water resource to increase farmers’ productivity. History recorded that Nigeria government has spent billions of Naira to construct dams and pumping stations most especially in water deficient areas (Federal Ministry of Water Resources, 2006). Also, in the plight to increase productivity, boost food production and poverty reduction, Nigeria government established many irrigation projects and programs. Notably among them were the Rural Basin Development Authorities (RBDA), dams and the on-going small-scale Fadama (in-land valley lands which are low-lying and seasonally flooded) development programs by the World Bank assisted project. However, inadequate infrastructures, ineffective marketing system, low human capacity and wrong targeting of government agricultural policies and programs still ravage agricultural system in Nigeria. Hence, the eventuality of wide spread of poverty and food security continue to exist (Liverpool-Tasie, 2012; Olokesusi, 1991). Drought and other associating effects of climate change have also been proven to exacerbate these problems. Agricultural production in Nigeria is mainly rain-fed and climate dependent. Majority of farmers depend largely on broad ecological zones resulting from disparity in annual rainfall and the response to climate constraint (Adekunle et al., 2015). Thus, as proposed by the federal government, the focus of LNRBDA which was established in 1976, as a parastatal under the supervision of the federal ministry of agriculture and water resources was on the utilization of the irrigation facilities (PCU, 2002). The utilization of the irrigation facilities and participation of farmers with the parastatal have been low due to wrong perception or inadequate information of their activities. The effect of the large sums of money that have been invested into the irrigation sub-sector of Nigerian agriculture through the activities of the LNRBDA needs justification. However, the outcomes of these spending have been that of dismal failures, the standard of living of farmers has not improved nor is there any significant increase in crop production (Ekanade, 1994). Thus, given the efforts by the Federal government of Nigeria to realign and restructure the activities of River Basin Authority, this study focused on the perception of farmers on the activities and benefits of the Lower Niger River Basin and Rural Development Authority in Kwara state. The Lower Niger River Basin and Rural Development Authority is shouldered with the responsibilities and mission of ensuring a sustainable development in the area of irrigated agriculture, rural and urban water supply, flood and erosion control, construction of dams fence and weirs (Adekunle et al., 2015; LNRBDA, 2002). According to Olorunda (2002), the Authority was established to ease the socio-economic stress of farmers but poor funding, mismanagement of funds and corruption have hindered the achievement of the Authority. The determinant of low productivity among farmers are numerous and complex and are to a large extent associated with poor performance of many of the public irrigated scheme which cause low inequitable and unreliable water supplies in those areas (Ekanade, 1994). It is important to know the perception of the farmers on the activities and performance of the Authority in recent time.

However, the need to assess the farmers’ perception of the activities and performance of LNRBDA irrigation scheme in Kwara State becomes necessary. There have been limited studies on farmers’ perception of the irrigation scheme in the study area. The studies of Olokesusi (1991) and Sangari (1998) on irrigation in the semi-arid of Nigeria addressed the technology and methods of assessing irrigation environment vis-à-vis the socio-economic implications while recently, Adekunle et al. (2015) considered the factor affecting farmers participation in the irrigation scheme of the LNRBDA. Hence, the main objective of this study was to assess the perception of farmers on the activities of Lower Niger Basin Authority in Ilorin East Local Government Area of Kwara State, Nigeria. Therefore, the specific objectives were to:

i. Describe the socio-economic characteristics of the farmers;

ii. Examine the perceived benefits of the activities of the LNRBDA on farmers productivity, and

iii. Assess the perceptions of farmers about the effectiveness of various activities of Lower Niger River Basin Authority.

Statement of Hypothesis

H01: There is no relationship between selected socio-economic characteristics and perception of farmers on the effectiveness of activities in the irrigation scheme.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Area

The study was conducted in Ilorin East Local Government Area of Kwara State, Nigeria. Ilorin is
the state capital of Kwara state. The state lies between latitude 8° and 10°04' north of the Equator and longitude 2°45' and 6°12' East of Greenwich Meridian (Longman, 2000). Kwara State is bounded in the North by Niger State and by River Niger; to the East is Kogi State, while it shares boundary with Oyo, Ondo and Osun States in the south. There are many flowing streams in Kwara State and the state has about 36,820 hectares of farmland (Alalade et al. 2016). All the rivers empty their water into River Niger. The Niger River and its numerous tributaries provide abundant water resources for irrigation activities in the State, especially during the dry season.

**Sampling Techniques and Sample Size**
A two stage sampling technique was used for the study. The first stage involves a purposive selection of Ilorin East LGA as the study area. This is because the LGA has the most active irrigation scheme in the State. The second stage involves the use of a systemic random sampling to select 50% of farmers from the list of the farmers who have participated in the scheme at one time or the other from the association. Thus, a total of one hundred and six (106) respondents were selected which was the sample size used for this study. Data were collected through the use of a well-structured questionnaire coupled with interview schedule. The data collected were analyzed using descriptive statistics and inferential statistics. The descriptive statistics includes; frequency, percentage, and mean scores while the hypotheses was tested using Pearson Products Momentum Correlations (PPMC).

**Pearson Product Moment Correlation (PPMC)**
The relationship between socio-economic characteristics of the respondents and the framers’ perception of the activities of LNRBDA was measure using the PPMC and this was operationalized using the correlation coefficient (r) formula.

\[
r = \frac{\sum XY - \frac{\sum X \sum Y}{n}}{\sqrt{\left(\sum X^2 - \frac{\sum X^2}{n}\right) \left(\sum Y^2 - \frac{\sum Y^2}{n}\right)}^{\frac{1}{2}}}
\]

Where
- \(r\) = correlation coefficient,
- \(X\)=Socio-economic characteristics,
- \(Y\)=Farmers perception of the activities of the LNRBDA irrigation scheme (mean score).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Socio-economic Characteristics of the Respondents**
Findings in Table 1 reveal that all (100%) the respondents were males while the mean age of the respondents was 41.0 years. This showed that middle-aged generations were more active in rural farming than older generations, who are gradually phasing out of farming activities. Also, farmers are in their economically active years indicating their ability to leverage on this attribute for a high degree of prospects and increased production. This finding is similar to that of Audu and Abu (2005) who reported that people from this age constitute the major work force that can be productive. It is also an inexusable fact that farming requires a high sense of maturity, vigour and energy which might be difficult for the aged to do. Furthermore, 70.8% of the respondents were married. This is in line with the finding of Ojo and Mohammed (2008) who in their studies revealed that more than 96% of their respondents were married. Bolarinwa and Olayinka (2011) also reported similar trend in their study.

**Table 1:** Socio-economic characteristics of respondents (n=106)

| Socio-economic Characteristics | Frequency | Percentage | Mean |
|--------------------------------|-----------|------------|------|
| Gender                         |           |            |      |
| Male                           | 106       | 100.0      |      |
| Female                         | 0         | 0.00       |      |
| Age                            |           |            |      |
| 20-30                          | 19        | 17.9       | 41.0 |
| 31-40                          | 48        | 45.3       |      |
| 41-50                          | 29        | 27.4       |      |
| 51-60                          | 10        | 9.4        |      |
| Marital Status                 |           |            |      |
| Single                         | 31        | 29.2       |      |
| Married                        | 75        | 70.8       |      |
| Household Size                 |           |            |      |
| 2-4                            | 23        | 21.7       | 7.7  |
| 5-7                            | 47        | 44.3       |      |
| 8-10                           | 24        | 22.6       |      |
| ≥11                            | 12        | 11.4       |      |
| Level of Education             |           |            |      |
| Non-formal Education           | 26        | 24.5       |      |
| Quranic Education              | 28        | 26.4       |      |
| Primary Education              | 23        | 21.7       |      |
| Secondary Education            | 18        | 17.0       |      |
| Tertiary Education             | 11        | 10.4       |      |
| Faming experience              |           |            |      |
| 1-5                            | 5         | 4.7        | 13.0 |
| 6-10                           | 39        | 36.8       |      |
| 11-15                          | 29        | 27.4       |      |
| 16-20                          | 10        | 9.4        |      |
| ≥21                            | 23        | 21.7       |      |
| Prior Knowledge of LNRBDA      |           |            |      |
| Irrigation                     |           |            |      |
| Yes                            | 98        | 92.5       |      |
| No                             | 8         | 7.5        |      |

Source: Field Survey, (2012)

**Table 2:** Farmers Perceived Benefit of LNRBDA activities in the study area

| Increase Productivity | Frequency | Percentage |
|-----------------------|-----------|------------|
| Yes                   | 104       | 98.1       |
| No                    | 3         | 1.9        |

Source: Field Survey, 2012
Table 3: Distribution of respondents based on perception

| Terms                                    | Very Satisfactory | Fairly Satisfactory | Not Satisfactory | Mean |
|-----------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|------|
| Quality of water supplied for irrigation| 101 (95.3)        | 5 (4.7)             | -                | 2.95 |
| Quantity of water supplied for irrigation| 7(6.60)           | 18(16.98)           | 81(76.42)        | 1.30 |
| Land Preparation                        | 85 (80.2)         | 21 (19.8)           | -                | 2.80 |
| Size of land allocated to farmers       | 95 (89.6)         | 11 (10.4)           | -                | 2.90 |
| Land allocation fee                     | 84 (79.2)         | 21 (19.8)           | 1 (0.9)          | 2.78 |
| Water allocation fee                    | 14 (13.2)         | 43 (40.6)           | 49 (46.2)        | 1.67 |
| Timeliness of land allocation to farmers| 82 (77.4)         | 22 (20.8)           | 2 (1.8)          | 2.75 |
| Timeliness of water supplied to farmers | 22 (20.8)         | 82 (77.4)           | 2 (1.8)          | 2.18 |
| Information on marketing opportunity    | 14 (13.2)         | 49 (46.2)           | 43 (40.6)        | 1.73 |
| Advisory services                       | 56 (52.8)         | 45 (42.5)           | 5 (4.7)          | 2.48 |

Source: Field Survey, (2012)

Table 4: Benefits derived from the scheme

| Terms                                    | Frequency | Percentage |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Increase in socio-status                | Yes 100   | 94.3       |
|                                         | No 06     | 5.70       |
| Improvement in skill & knowledge        | Yes 105   | 99.1       |
|                                         | No 01     | 0.9        |
| Satisfactory service                    | Yes 105   | 99.1       |
|                                         | No 01     | 0.9        |
| Flexibility in mode of payment          | Yes 97    | 91.5       |
|                                         | No 9      | 8.50       |
| Technical support                       | Yes 88    | 83.1       |
|                                         | No 18     | 16.9       |
| Information on market opportunity       | Yes 93    | 87.7       |
|                                         | No 13     | 13.3       |

Source: Field Survey, (2012)

This implies that most of the farmers have a strong family responsibility ties that will require more financial commitment on the part of the farmers hence the need to get the best out of farming so as to enhance their income. Only 10.4% of the respondents had tertiary education. This is also in consonance with the finding of Ndanitsa (2005) and Tscho (2005) who in their separate study reported that rural farmers are characterized with low level of literacy. Also, 44.3% of the respondents have between 5 and 7 household sizes while majority (36.8%) had 6-10 years farming experience. This indicated that farming household has large household size which might be as a result of high need for man power in all farming activities.

Perception of Farmers on Activities of LNRBDA

Table 2 reveals that majority (92.5%) of the respondents had prior knowledge of irrigation before their involvement in the LNRBDA scheme and 98.2% of the respondents admitted that the irrigation scheme increase their productivity. While Table 3, majority (95.3%) of the respondents believed that the quality of water supplied for irrigation was very satisfactory while only few (6.6%) of the respondents believed that the quantity of water supplied for irrigation was very satisfactory most especially during the dry season farming. More so, based on other activities benefited by the LNRBDA farmer participants, the result (Table 3) revealed that 80.2% of respondents believed land preparation was very satisfactory and 89.6% of the respondents supposed that the size of land allocation was very satisfactory. The table also shows that 79.2% of the respondents believed that land allocation fee was very satisfactory and only few (13.2%) of the respondents believed information on marketing opportunities provided by LNRBDA were very satisfactory, while on advisory services given by LNRBDA, 52.8% of the respondents believed that this was very satisfactory. On water allocation, only few (13.2%) of the respondents agreed that it was very satisfactory. This result was in consonance with the report of Adekunle et al., (2015) and Oriola, (2006) that insufficient water, unreliable supply and distribution irrigation water were factors that discouraged farmers participating in LNRBDA irrigation scheme. Therefore, the performance of the LNRBDA irrigation scheme could be adjudged below average given that the main function of the scheme is yet to be fully addressed.

Benefits Derived by the Farmers from the Scheme

Table 4 revealed the benefits derived by farmers who participated in the scheme. About 94.3% of the respondents agreed that the scheme has led to a drastic increase in their social status. By implication, there has been a drastic increase in the standard of living of the farmers through the scheme. Omotayo, (2008) noted that the ultimate goal of government’s involvement in farming is to bring about an increase in the standard of living of rural farmers.
Also, 99.1% of the respondents stated there has been an improvement in their skill and knowledge as a result of participating in the scheme. On the other hand, 91.5% of the respondents described the mode of payment for services rendered as flexible while 99.1% also described the services rendered as satisfactory. On market opportunity, 87.7% of the respondents agreed they received good and timely information on market opportunities while 83.1% were satisfied with the quality of technical support rendered. Furthermore, 87.7% of the respondents agreed to timeliness in land allocation which no doubt has contributed to the success of the scheme.

**Test of Hypothesis**

Table 5 shows the relationship between selected socio-economic characteristics and perception of farmers on activities of the scheme. It was revealed that age have a significant associations with the farmers perception on the effectiveness of the technical support provided and land preparation activities by the scheme (p-value < 0.05) while that of the perception on quality of irrigated water supply was significant at p-value of 10% (i.e p-value=0.059 < critical p-value of 0.10).

Also, 99.1% of the respondents stated there has been an improvement in their skill and knowledge as a result of participating in the scheme. On the other hand, 91.5% of the respondents described the mode of payment for services rendered as flexible while 99.1% also described the services rendered as satisfactory. On market opportunity, 87.7% of the respondents agreed they received good and timely information on market opportunities while 83.1% were satisfied with the quality of technical support rendered. Furthermore, 87.7% of the respondents agreed to timeliness in land allocation which no doubt has contributed to the success of the scheme.

| Perceptions                      | Socio-economic characteristics | Farming experience | Farm size |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|----------|
| Quantity of water supplied      | 0.184* (0.059)                | 0.133 (0.175)      | -0.053 (0.557) |
| Land preparation                | 0.220** (0.023)               | 0.146 (0.134)      | 0.044 (0.651)  |
| Size of land allocation         | 0.103 (0.293)                 | -0.018 (0.853)     | 0.209** (0.032) |
| Land allocation fee             | 0.088 (0.372)                 | -0.027 (0.785)     | -0.045 (0.649)  |
| Water allocation fee            | 0.095 (0.331)                 | -0.003 (0.972)     | 0.120 (0.219)  |
| Timeliness of land preparation  | 0.015 (0.880)                 | -0.044 (0.653)     | 0.285** (0.003) |
| Timeliness of water             | 0.041 (0.673)                 | 0.100 (0.104)      | 0.157 (0.108)  |
| Technical support               | -0.219** (0.024)              | -0.053 (0.593)     | -0.025 (0.802)  |
| Information on marketing        | 0.013 (0.897)                 | 0.046 (0.639)      | 0.082 (0.406)  |

Source: Field Survey, (2012) figure in parenthesis is P-Value

**CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The study concluded that farmers’ perception of Lower Niger River Basin Development Authority (LNRBDA) irrigation scheme in Ilorin-east LGA of Kwara State is high and very satisfactory. The factors that influenced farmers’ participation lie in the flexibility in payment schedule in partaking in the irrigation scheme. As a result of their participation in the scheme, majority of the farmers perceived a significant increase in their productivity which brings about more income. In lieu of these, the following recommendations were made:

i. Government should continue to enhance and strengthens the performance of river basin authority in the area

ii. Government must ensure meaningful participation of farmers in LNRBDA areas in designing, implementings, monitoring and evaluating the irrigation scheme.

iii. Alongside the improvement of irrigation system, efforts must be made by all stakeholders to develop sustainable policies on land and water use, quantity of water supply for irrigation, land and water allocation fees, timeliness of land and water supplied and market and marketing opportunities. These will go a long way in increasing farmers’ participation in LNRBDA irrigation scheme in Ilorin-east LGA of Kwara State, which will in turn boost productivity thereby ensuring food security.
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