Clinical and functional outcomes of surgical management of distal femur fractures using distal femur locking compression plate
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Abstract

Distal femur fractures are reported to be less than 1% of all fractures and between 4 to 6 percent of all femoral fractures. Knowledge of anatomy of articular block with trapezoidal shape on axial section and anterior bowing of femur shaft is critical for operative treatment of distal femur fractures. In this prospective study, distal femoral locking compression plate was used for surgical management of these fractures to evaluate the clinico-radiologic and functional outcomes. 40 patients having 41 distal femur fractures were managed with open reduction and internal fixation using distal femur locking compression plate as per standard AO technique during period of July 2014 to September 2016. Patients were followed up for a minimum of 6 months. Average time of union was 18.23 weeks. Complications seen were delayed union in 2 cases, plate bending in one case who had an episode of seizure, was reoperated & union achieved later on and implant breakage in one case. Superficial infection was seen in 2 cases. Results were found to be excellent in 70%, good in 15%, fair in 12.5% and poor in 2.5% of the patients.

Keywords: Distal femur fractures, distal femur locking compression plate

1. Introduction

Distal femoral fractures are reported to be less than 1% of all fractures and comprise between 4-6% of all femoral fractures. These fractures are seen commonly among two populations, young patients involved in high energy trauma and older patients sustaining low energy fall fractures [1, 2].

Open injuries with considerable comminution of condyles and metaphysis is seen in high energy trauma patients. The problem is to restore the function in a destroyed knee joint. Complex knee ligament injuries usually occur in addition to extensive cartilage injuries. In older patients with severe osteoporosis frequently periprosthetic fractures are seen. Severe osteoporosis poses problem of anchoring the implant [3].

Operative repair is the standard for all such fractures, whether open or closed, intra-articular or extra-articular. Nonoperative management of these injuries should only be done in exceptional circumstances or for absolutely nondisplaced injuries. It should be noted that nonoperative management might actually be more risky than surgery because of the need for immobilization of the entire lower limb and the related risk of thromboembolism, pressure sores and other risks of prolonged recumbency [4].

Over the years orthopaedic surgery has evolved, still the treatment of distal femur fractures has not achieved clinical results with a quality comparable to the rest of the femoral fractures. The presence of thin cortices, osteoporosis, wide medullary canals, and fracture comminution make it difficult to obtain and maintain a stable fixation. The goal of the treatment of these fractures is anatomical reduction of the articular surface, restoration of the limb length, alignment and rotation, as well as allowing for an early limb mobilization to avoid articular stiffness and the loss of muscle mass [5].

Current generation of distal femoral locking compression plates is precontoured based on the average bony anatomy of the adult population and they form a fixed angled construct.
The pull-out strength of locking screws is higher than the conventional screws and is particularly useful in osteoporotic bones. These plates are designed to apply in minimally invasive fashion to preserve local biology and avoid problems with fracture healing and infection [6, 7]. This study was carried out to evaluate the clinical and functional outcomes of management of distal femur fractures by Distal Femur Locking Compression Plate.

2. Materials and Methods: The prospective study was conducted on 40 patients having 41 distal femur fractures. One patient had plate breakage and was lost to follow up so results were calculated in 39 patients with 40 fractures. All patients gave the informed consent prior to being included in the study and the study was authorized by the local ethical committee and was performed in accordance with the ethical standards of the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki as revised in 2000. Fractures of less than 6 weeks duration, open upto grade IIIA, age above 18 years with stable hemodynamic status and general condition were included in the study. In every case a detailed history regarding the mode, duration and nature of injury was taken. Personal history regarding smoking, previous history of chronic illness and other relevant medical history was obtained. A thorough examination of the affected lower limb was conducted. Knee joint was assessed for any dislocation or instability. Radiological examination was carried out for knowing the type of fracture. Fractures were then classified according to the AO classification system depending upon the degree of comminution.

2.1 Operative Technique: Patients were operated under spinal/general anesthesia, as per requirement. Patients were positioned in supine position on a simple C-Arm compatible orthopaedic table. Cleaning and Draping was done adequately. A roll was placed under the knee. A midline incision was made from above the fracture laterally to the patella. The length of incision was according to the length of implant to be used. Quadriceps fascia was dissected off the vastus lateralis muscle laterally to its inclusion with the iliobial band. Iliotibial band and fascia was retracted laterally continuing the dissection down to the linea aspera. The lateral parapatellar retinaculum was incised separating it from the vastus lateralis. A lateral parapatellar arthrotomy was incised separating it from the vastus lateralis. A lateral parapatellar arthroscopy was done to expose the femoral condyles. Vastus lateralis and medialis was retracted to expose the distal femur and displacing the patella medially. The perforating vessels were ligated and vastus lateralis elevated exposing the entire distal femur. Fixation was carried out using distal femoral locking compression plate as per standard protocol and as per fracture anatomy and cannulated cancellous screws were used for intercondylar fractures.

2.2 Post operative management
Hip, Knee and Ankle mobilization exercises were started on the same day of surgery. Post operative X-Ray thigh with knee (AP and lateral views) were done on 1st post-op day. Ambulation with non weight bearing over operated limb with help of walker was started as per tolerability of patient (if tolerable to patient, then from 1st post-op day).Sutures were removed on 10th to 12th post operative day. Patients were called on regular follow ups at 6 weeks, 3 months and 6 months.

2.3 Scoring: Scoring was done using knee society score. Grading of score is considered excellent with score >80, good 70-79, fair 60-69 and poor <60.

3. Results

Table 1: Age Distribution

| Age (Years) | Cases | Percentage |
|-------------|-------|------------|
| 10-19       | 3     | 7.69%      |
| 20-29       | 12    | 30.7%      |
| 30-39       | 9     | 23.07%     |
| 40-49       | 2     | 5.12%      |
| 50-59       | 9     | 23.07%     |
| 60-69       | 3     | 7.69%      |
| 70-79       | 1     | 2.56%      |

Table 2: Gender Distribution

| Sex         | Number of cases | Percentage |
|-------------|-----------------|------------|
| Male        | 35              | 89.47%     |
| Female      | 4               | 10.26%     |
| Total       | 39              | 100%       |

Table 3: Mechanism of Injury

| Mechanism of Injury | Number of cases | Percentage |
|---------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Road Traffic Accident| 30              | 76.92%     |
| Fall from Stairs    | 1               | 2.56%      |
| Fall from height    | 4               | 10.26%     |
| Fall of heavy object on thigh | 2           | 5.12%      |
| Assault             | 1               | 2.56%      |
| Slip and fall while walking | 1          | 2.56%      |
| Total               | 39              | 100%       |

Table 4: Side Affected

| Side Affected | Cases | Percentage |
|---------------|------|------------|
| Right         | 23   | 58.97%     |
| Left          | 15   | 38.46%     |
| Bilateral     | 1    | 2.56%      |
| Total         | 39   | 100%       |
4. Discussion

Concepts in the management of trauma in Orthopaedics are rapidly changing to keep pace with the increasing severity and complexities of the fractures. The management of distal femur fractures is always a challenging problem to orthopaedics surgeon, as they are high energy traumas in young patients with high comminution and in old patients with severe osteoporosis there are problems of implant fixation.

Nayak et al. [8] in 2011 studied 31 cases of AO type A distal femur fracture fixed with locking plate. Mean age was 42 years. Doshi et al. [9] in 2013 studied 24 cases of distal femur fractures in patients aged 55 and above. Average age in their study was 73 years. Kumar et al. [10] in 2014 conducted a study on 44 cases of AO type C distal femur fracture fixed with locking plate. Average time of union was 14 weeks. Yeap and Deepak [12] reported average time of union to be 18 weeks in their study. Kim et al. [13] in their study on 14 cases of type C distal femur fractures average time of union was found to be 15. Ramesh et al. [14] in their study found average union time to be 16.4 weeks. The average time of union in our study was 18.25 weeks which is more than other studies. The possible reasons are (1) percentage of smokers was much higher than other studies, (2) fracture site was opened in all cases except 4 in which minimally invasive plate osteosynthesis was used while in studies by Nayak et al and Doshi et al MIPO was used for all cases and (3) one patient who was a known epileptic union was achieved in 36 weeks as was reoperated after bending of the plate due to fall by seizure episode. This also increased the overall average time.

The knee score in the present study was found to be lower than the other studies. In one patient the score was 55 as the patient had moderate continual pain because of screw impingement on Medial condyle. In one patient the score was 68 because of varus collapse. In one patient the score was 63 because of open proximal tibia fracture which was initially fixed by external fixator and patella tendon bearing cast was applied, patient started bearing weight without brace against advice. In one patient the score was 67 because patient had bilateral supracondylar femur fracture and was intercondylar on left side. Patient was unable to bear weight for longer duration, which added to osteoporosis and increased pain in already osteoarthritic knee. In one patient the score was 68 because patient had proximal tibia fracture with old malunited fracture of tibia and fibula of same side and also associated with multiple skull and mandible fractures was unable to bear weight and was not fully compliant with the physiotherapy protocol. In another patient the score was 60 as the patient had segmental femur fracture. Function score was comparable to the other studies.

Table 9: Average Knee and Function Score in Various Studies

| Series             | Knee Score | Function Score |
|--------------------|------------|----------------|
| Nayak et al. 2011  | 92.19      | 85.45          |
| Doshi et al. 2013  | 88.8       | 76             |
| Kumar et al. 2014  | 90.56      | 84.27          |
| Present Study      | 83.8       | 81             |
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5. Conclusion
The aim of treatment is to achieve anatomical reduction of articular surface, restoration of the limb length and alignment, as well as allowing for early limb mobilization to avoid articular stiffness and the loss of the muscle mass. Even with newer advances in fracture treatments, the treatment of distal femur fracture has not achieved clinical results with a quality comparable to the rest of femur fractures. Reconstruction of distal femur fractures especially in supracondylar and intercondylar fractures is a challenging task. Use of Distal Femur Locking Compression Plate in distal femur fracture was observed to be a reliable tool for allowing better reconstruction in distal femur fractures and allows stable fixation which leads to good knee function but on early weight bearing there can be varus collapse. The anchoring of distal femur locking compression plate in the condyle is very reliable even in osteoporotic bones.
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