Minimal Model for Sand Dunes
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We propose a minimal model for aeolian sand dunes. It combines an analytical description of the turbulent wind velocity field above the dune with a continuum saltation model that allows for saturation transients in the sand flux. The model provides a qualitative understanding of important features of real dunes, such as their longitudinal shape and aspect ratio, the formation of a slip face, the breaking of scale invariance, and the existence of a minimum dune size.

Sand dunes develop wherever sand is exposed to an agitating medium (air, water . . . ) that lifts grains from the ground and entrains them into a surface flow. The diverse conditions of wind and of sand supply in different regions on Earth give rise to a large variety of shapes of aeolian dunes [1]. Moreover, dunes have been found on the sea-bottom and even on Mars. Despite the long history of the subject, the underlying physical mechanisms of dune formation are still not very well understood. How are aerodynamics (hydrodynamics) and the particular properties of granular matter acting together to create dunes? How is the shape of a dune maintained when it moves? In the following we propose a “minimal model” for aeolian sand dunes to address such questions. Although it refers only to rather generic properties of the wind velocity field and the laws of aeolian sand transport, it can make interesting qualitative predictions that are not sensitive to the simplifying assumptions, e.g. about the surface profile, the development and position of the slip face, dune migration etc. Using results from turbulent boundary layer calculations [4], we will propose an approximate analytical description of the surface shear stress exerted by the wind onto a heap of sand. This will be combined with a saltation model [5,6] that allows for saturation transients of the sand flux, which are an essential element of a consistent description of dunes.

We start by summarizing some basic knowledge about aeolian sand transport and saltation. The mean turbulent wind velocity above a plane surface increases logarithmically with height. Its magnitude is specified by a characteristic velocity called the “shear velocity” us and defined by us2 ≡ τ0/ρ with τ0 the average surface shear stress (far away from any obstacle) and ρ the density of air. On a surface covered with sand, the wind entrains some grains into a surface layer flow if the shear velocity exceeds a threshold value. The grains advance mainly by an irregular hopping process, thereby reducing the wind velocity in the surface layer. A unique relation between the shear stress τ and the sand flux q is thus established in the equilibrium state. If τ is not too close to the threshold, one has approximately

$$q_s \propto \tau^{3/2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The index s emphasizes that this simple relation is restricted to situations where the flux is saturated. According to Eq.(1), the changing wind shear stress above a heap of sand h(x, y) is responsible for flux gradients, which cause erosion and deposition. Due to mass conservation, the flux gradient dq/dx on a slice h(x) parallel to the wind direction gives rise to a change in height with time dh/dt, and thus to migration of the surface profile in the wind direction with a velocity

$$v \propto dq/dh.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

This velocity is much smaller than the velocity of the saltating grains, so that the terrain can be assumed to be stationary for considerations concerning the wind and saltation dynamics. To obtain qs and the corresponding vs ≡ v(qs) from Eqs.(1) and (2), we thus need to know the stationary surface shear stress perturbation ̂τ ≡ τ/τ0 − 1 caused by the heap. This depends on its shape.

In a first attempt to model ̂τ, one might try the affine relation ̂τ ∝ h and combine it with the saturated flux approximation q = qs. If this was true, the surface velocity v would increase with height (dv/dh ≥ 0) due to the nonlinearity of Eq.(1). This in turn would decrease the upwind slope and increase the lee slope, and thus eventually create a slip face on the lee side, where sand slides down in avalanches. Since there is so far nothing in the model to stop the further decrease of the upwind slope, our model “dune” would then start to decrease in height and finally become flat, whatever its windward profile. This “zeroth order model” is obviously missing an essential ingredient. In the following, we will successively demonstrate how the modeling of both the shear stress and the flux have to be refined to achieve a consistent description of dune formation and migration. In particular, we will show that a subtle balance of two quantitatively small but qualitatively crucial corrections to the zeroth order model determine the shape of aeolian heaps and
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An improved description for the shear stress can be obtained from turbulent boundary layer calculations. The surface shear stress perturbation $\tilde{\tau}$ in wind direction caused by a smooth bump $h(x, y)$ is

$$F_{xy}\{\tilde{\tau}_x\} = \frac{\alpha k_x(x + i\beta k_y)}{(k_x^2 + k_y^2)^{1/2}} F_{xy}\{h(x, y)\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Here, we have neglected a minor term $\ln |k_x L|$, and abbreviated the Fourier transformation from $x, y$ to $k_x, k_y$ by $F_{xy}$. The parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ depend logarithmically on the ratio $L/\zeta_0$, where $L$ is the characteristic length of $h(x, 0)$ and $\zeta_0$ is a measure of the surface roughness.\[\text{For the following discussion we evaluate Eq. (3) on a slice} h(x) \equiv h(x, 0) \text{ of a transverse dune (d independent of y). We obtain} (h' := dh/dx)\]

$$\tilde{\tau}(x) = \alpha \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{h'(x - \xi)}{\pi\xi} + \beta h'(x) \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Minor corrections for dunes with a finite transverse width can be calculated but are omitted here for simplicity.

For certain heap profiles $h(x) \equiv H f(x/L)$ (Fig. 1) with

$$f_L = (1 + x^2)^{-1}, \quad f_C = e^{-x^2}, \quad f_L^2 = \cos^2 x, \hspace{1cm} (5)$$

Eq. (4) can be evaluated analytically. (The cosine profile $f_L^2$ is understood to vanish outside the region $[-\pi/2, \pi/2].$) The plots of Eq. (4) in Fig. 1 share several crucial properties not present in the affine approximation $\tilde{\tau} \propto h$. First, we observe from a dimensional analysis of Eq. (4) that $\tilde{\tau} \propto H/L$ for a given shape $f$. Hence, as one expects from the scale invariance of turbulence, the amplification of the shear velocity at the top of the profile is determined by its aspect ratio $H/L$ and is essentially independent of the absolute height $H$.\[\text{Secondly, at the tails of the profiles in Fig. 1, the shear stress decreases below its asymptotic value} \tau_0. \text{ This effect is particularly pronounced for the profile } f_L^2 \text{ that has a discontinuous second derivative. Further, as a consequence of the second term in Eq. (4), the surface shear stress is asymmetric even for symmetric profiles like those of Eq. (5). For } q = q_s, \text{ there can thus be a net deposition on a symmetric heap of sand and the shift of the shear stress maximum with respect to the top of the heap } \tau_0 \text{ allows deposition at the top of the heap. An initially flat heap of sand can spontaneously grow and increase its windward slope.}\]

To understand this instability of a plane sand surface, the migration velocity $v_s(x)$ of a cosine shaped heap of sand $f_L^2(x)$ is depicted in Fig. 2. The decrease of $v_s(x)$ on the lee side reveals the anticipated self–amplifying instability of the lee slope, which gives rise to the formation of the slip face. More interestingly, Eq. (4) renders $v_s(x)$ approximately constant over almost the whole windward side if $H/L$ is close to a stable optimum value. Slightly better results can be achieved for slightly lower $n$ (with slightly larger $H/L$) but not for the profiles $f_C$ and $f_L$, for which $v_s$ is always non–uniform. The dashed and dotted lines in Fig. 2 were obtained for a smaller and a larger aspect ratio and represent a steepening ($v_s' < 0$) and flattening ($v_s' > 0$) of the windward side, respectively. Altogether, Fig. 2 suggests that the coupled Eqs. (3) and (4) drive a heap of sand towards a “dune” with a cosine–like windward profile of a preferred aspect ratio, and a slip face on the lee side.

From the preceding qualitative analysis it is not yet obvious that this process converges to a translation invariant steady state solution. Several previous studies using similar descriptions either did not scrutinize the long time behavior of their models, or failed to obtain stable dunes. Indeed, the present model is still insufficient. The problem with the quasi–linear Eqs. (3) and (4) is that they were derived for smooth hills and cannot account for flow separation. Once a slip face has developed, with a slope of about $32^\circ - 35^\circ$ that terminates in a sharp brink, they can no longer be applied. As in the textbook example of a backward facing step,
flow separation occurs at the brink and large eddies develop in the wake region. The recirculating flow in this “separation bubble” is bounded by a separating streamline against the region of laminar flow. Following a suggestion by Zeman and Jensen [15], we assume that in order to calculate the shear stress on the windward side, Eqs. (3) and (4) may be applied to the envelope of a dune and its separation bubble (inset of Fig. 3) in place of the actual surface profile of the dune. In our numerical computations we model this effective separation bubble by a third order polynomial that is the smooth continuation of the windward dune profile with a maximum slope of 14° [7].

If this generalization is accepted, and if — for qualitative purposes — one identifies the profile \( f^\circ \) with such an envelope, one can draw further interesting conclusions from Fig. 2. First, the constant \( v_s(x) \) obtained for the windward side of the cosine profiles \( f^\circ \) \((n \approx 2)\), provides strong evidence that Eqs. (4) and (1) allow a dune with a cosine-like windward side of suitable aspect ratio to remain invariant upon translation by aeolian sand transport. The steep decrease of \( v_s(x) \) at a certain distance upwind from the top of the envelope, which is a consequence of the maximum of \( \tau(x) \) being shifted with respect to the top of the envelope, must then coincide with the position of the brink. Secondly, the stable dune shape is scale invariant [11]. Together with the scaling relation \( \tilde{\tau} \propto H/L \) mentioned above, this implies that the migration velocity \( v \) of dunes obeys \( v \propto w^* /L \), in accord with the empirical observation of a roughly reciprocal relation between dune velocity and dune height [2, 7].

However, one has to bear in mind that Eq. (1) is restricted to a completely saturated saltation layer. Saturation transients in the sand flux, as they occur under variable wind or sand conditions, introduce a new characteristic length, the saturation length \( \ell_s \) related to (but distinct from) the mean saltation length of the grains. Shape invariance is therefore restricted to large dunes \((L/\ell_s \to \infty)\). Estimates [1] of typical absolute values of \( \ell_s \) suggest that real dunes are not necessarily large in this sense, in accord with field measurements [18] that report size dependent dune shapes. Eq. (1) also fails at boundaries sand/ground. It predicts deposition at the windward foot of an isolated dune, where the shear stress decreases [19] (Fig. 8). Previous numerical studies tried to avoid this by focusing onto the short time behavior and by ad hoc heuristic methods such as a “smoothing operator” [22] or an “adaptation length” [12].

In the following, we demonstrate how these problems are naturally cured if Eq. (1) is replaced by a slightly more elaborate description derived from a continuum saltation model [4]. In the steady state \((\partial / \partial t \sim 0)\), that model reduces to the differential equation

\[
\ell_s \partial q / \partial x = q(1 - q/q_s)
\]

for the sand flux \( q \), and explicit expressions for \( \ell_s(\tau) \) and \( q_s(\tau) \). For wind velocities well above the entrainment threshold, \( \ell_s(\tau) \) levels off, and the saturated flux \( q_s \) converges to Eq. (1). The detailed forms used in our numerical integrations, which involve the entrainment threshold, can be found in [4] but are not important here. During the integration of Eqs. (2) and (3), the lee slope of the heap is constantly evaluated. If it exceeds 14°, the separation bubble is introduced for the shear stress calculation as described above. Additionally, the surface shear stress is set to zero within the separation zone, and surface avalanches are induced if the slope exceeds the angle of repose (34°). For definiteness we choose the values \( \alpha = 3.2 \), \( \beta = 0.25 \) for the parameters of Eq. (4). Starting from a Gaussian heap, we obtain the steady state profiles shown in Fig. 6. Their general shape and average windward slope of about 10° are in good accord with recent field measurements [18, 20]. The shape of the largest dune (indeed well described by \( f^\circ \), \( n \approx 2 \)) nicely confirms our expectations from Fig. 4 whereas for small heaps, the instability of the lee slope is washed out by the saturation transients. The upwind shift of \( \tau(x) \) with respect to \( h(x) \) (which is of the order of \( \beta L \)) and the lag of \( q(x) \) with respect to \( \tau(x) \) and \( q_s(x) \) (of the order of \( \ell_s \)) compete to establish a critical heap size for slip face formation. Smaller heaps do not spontaneously develop a slip face, while somewhat larger heaps evolve into a steady state dune with a windward slope that is lowered and a slip face that is shifted downwind compared to the asymptotic shape. The critical dune size depends on the wind velocity through (and scales as) the saturation length \( \ell_s(\tau) \approx \ell_s(\tau_0) \) (≈ for large \( \tau \)).

Fig. 3 shows the growth histories of two heaps of different mass and initial aspect ratio \( H/L \). It demonstrates the spontaneous formation of a slip face that is stable for the larger heap but finally turns out to be unstable for the smaller one. For intermediate sizes, flow separation can stabilize an existing slip face although it would not have developed from a flat configuration. This is the case for the two smallest dunes with slip face in Fig. 3 which were produced from a steep initial configuration (as in Fig. 3). As well as the critical heap size for slip face formation.
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