Towards formulating quantum gravity, we present a novel mechanism for the emergence of space-time geometry from randomness. In [arXiv:1705.06097], we defined for a given Markov stochastic process “the distance between configurations,” which enumerates the difficulty of transition between configurations. In this article, we consider stochastic processes of large-$N$ matrix models, where we regard the eigenvalues as spacetime coordinates. We investigate the distance for the effective stochastic process of one-eigenvalue, and argue that this distance can be interpreted in noncritical string theory as probing a classical geometry with a D-instanton. We further give an evidence that, when we apply our formalism to a tempered stochastic process of $U(N)$ matrix, where the ’t Hooft coupling is treated as another dynamical variable, a Euclidean AdS$_2$ geometry emerges in the extended configuration space in the large-$N$ limit, and the horizon corresponds to the Gross-Witten-Wadia phase transition point.
1. Introduction

There has long been an expectation that quantum mechanics has its origin in randomness [1][2]. There also have been attempts to explain the observed values of physical constants by making the constants random variables [3][4][5][6]. A natural question we may then have is whether a quantum theory of gravity can be formulated based on randomness. In order for such framework to be meaningful, there must be a mechanism for the emergence of spacetime geometry from randomness.

In [7], we defined a geometry for an arbitrary Markov stochastic process such that the distance between configurations quantifies the difficulty of transition between them. In this article, we discuss that the above mechanism can be realized by considering a stochastic process of matrices, where we regard the eigenvalues as coordinates of a spacetime. We investigate the distance for the effective stochastic process of one-eigenvalue, and argue that this distance can be interpreted in noncritical string theory as probing a classical geometry with a D-instanton. We further give a preliminary result that, when we apply our formalism to a stochastic process of $U(N)$ matrix with treating the 't Hooft coupling as another dynamical variable, a Euclidean AdS$_2$ geometry emerges in the extended configuration space in the large-$N$ limit, where the horizon corresponds to the Gross-Witten-Wadia phase transition point.

2. Distance between configurations in a Markov stochastic process

In this section, we summarize the results obtained in [7] and [8].

2.1 Definition of distance

Let $\mathcal{M} = \{x\}$ be a configuration space and $S(x)$ an action. We consider a Markov chain for probability distributions $p_n(x)$,

$$p_n(x) \rightarrow p_{n+1}(x) = \int dy P(x|y) p_n(y),$$

and design the transition matrix $P(x|y) = \langle x|\hat{P}|y \rangle$ such that $P_n(x|y) \equiv \langle x|\hat{P}^n|y \rangle$ converges uniquely in the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ to the equilibrium distribution $p_{eq}(x) \equiv (1/Z) e^{-S(x)} (Z = \int dx e^{-S(x)})$. We further assume that $P(x|y)$ satisfies the detailed balance condition

$$P(x|y) p_{eq}(y) = P(y|x) p_{eq}(x),$$

and that all the eigenvalues of $\hat{P}$ are positive. These assumptions are satisfied for Langevin algorithms, and if $\hat{P}$ has negative eigenvalues, we then instead consider $\hat{P}^2$ as the fundamental transition matrix, which satisfies the detailed balance condition of the same form.

Suppose that the system is in equilibrium with $p_{eq}(x)$, and consider the set of all $n$-step random paths in $\mathcal{M}$. We introduce the connectivity $f_n(x,y)$ between configurations $x$ and $y$ for fixed step number $n$ as the ratio of “the number of $n$-step paths from $y$ to $x$” to “the number of all $n$-step paths” [4]. This can be expressed as the product of the probability to find $y$ in equilibrium and the probability to obtain $x$ from $y$ at $n$ steps:

$$f_n(x,y) = P_n(x|y) p_{eq}(y).$$
The detailed balance condition means that \( f_n(x, y) \) is a symmetric function of \( x \) and \( y \). We further introduce the normalized connectivity \( F_n(x, y) \) \(^7\) by

\[
F_n(x, y) \equiv \frac{f_n(x, y)}{\sqrt{f_n(x, x) f_n(y, y)}} = \sqrt{\frac{P_n(x|y) P_n(y|x)}{P_n(x|x) P_n(y|y)}}. \tag{2.4}
\]

The distance \( d_n(x, y) \) between \( x \) and \( y \) for fixed \( n \) steps \(^7\) is then defined by the relation \( F_n(x, y) = e^{-(1/2)d_n^2(x,y)} \), i.e.

\[
d_n(x, y) \equiv \sqrt{-\ln \frac{P_n(x|y) P_n(y|x)}{P_n(x|x) P_n(y|y)}}. \tag{2.5}
\]

One can show that this satisfies all the axioms of distance but the triangle inequality \(^7\), and that the triangle inequality does hold for the coarse-grained configuration space that is introduced below \(^8\). Furthermore, if the Markov chain generates only local moves in \( \mathcal{M} \), the distance is universal in the sense that the difference of distances for two different Markov chains with the same action can be absorbed into a rescaling of step number \( n \).

For example, the Gaussian action \( S(x) = (β/2) \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 \) for an \( N \)-dimensional variable \( x = (x_i) \) (using as a Markov chain the Langevin algorithm with fictitious time increment \( \varepsilon \) ) gives a flat and translationally invariant geometry \(^7\):

\[
d_n(x, y) = \sqrt{\frac{β}{2\sinh βn\varepsilon}} |x - y|. \tag{2.6}
\]

As another example, for the one-dimensional double-well action \( S(x) = (β/2)(x^2 - 1)^2 \) with large \( β \), the distance between two minima \( x = \pm 1 \) can be estimated to be \( O(β) \) \(^7\).

### 2.2 Coarse-grained configuration space

For a Markov chain that generates local moves, the distance takes significant values only for transitions between configurations around different modes, and thus, configurations around the same mode can be effectively treated as a single point when we discuss about the global geometry of \( \mathcal{M} \). This leads us to the idea of the coarse-grained configuration space \( \mathcal{M}_c \) \(^7\). For example, for the double-well action above, the original configuration space is \( \mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R} \), and the coarse-grained configuration space consists of two minima, \( \mathcal{M}_c = \{+1, -1\} \). For an \( N \)-dimensional periodic action

\[
S(x; β) = β \sum_{i=1}^N (1 - \cos x_i) \quad (β \gg 1), \tag{2.7}
\]

the original configuration space is \( \mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}^N \), and the coarse-grained configuration space is an \( N \)-dimensional lattice consisting of local minima, \( \mathcal{M}_c = \{x = (2\pi k_i) | k_i \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, N)\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^N \). When an action \( S(x) \) has local minima that are scattered in the configuration space in a complicated way, the gradient flow \( \dot{x}_i = -\partial_i S(x) \) \(^10\) \(^11\) can be used for a systematic construction of the coarse-grained configuration space.
2.3 Geometry for a tempered stochastic process

Suppose that we have a configuration space with a highly multimodal equilibrium distribution, and let us apply the simulated tempering algorithm \[9\] to the system. Namely, we treat a parameter \(\beta\) existing in the action \(S(x;\beta)\) (say, the overall coefficient in the action as in (2.7)) as another dynamical variable, and extend the configuration space \(\mathcal{M}\) to \(\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{A} = \{X = (x, \beta)\}\). Here \(\mathcal{A} = \{\beta\}\) is a discrete set of values of \(\beta\) that includes such values for which the distribution \(\propto e^{-S(x;\beta)}\) is far less multimodal in the \(x\)-direction. We introduce a new Markov chain to the extended configuration space \(\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{A}\) such that global equilibrium is realized with the probability distribution \(P_{eq}(X) = P_{eq}(x, \beta) \propto e^{-S(x;\beta)}\). This algorithm prompts transitions between different modes at the original value of \(\beta\), because they now can communicate with each other by passing through configurations at such \(\beta\)’s that give less multimodality (see Fig. 1).

Figure 1: A random path in the extended configuration space \(\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{A}\). \(\beta_0\) is the original value of \(\beta\), at which the equilibrium distribution is highly multimodal in the \(x\)-direction.

We can also consider the coarse-graining of the extended configuration space. In \[8\], it is shown for the action (2.7) that the coarse-grained, extended configuration space \(\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{A}\) has a geometry of Euclidean AdS\(_{N+1}\) of the following form for large \(\beta\):

\[
ds^2 \equiv d_n^2(X,X + dX) = \text{const.} \beta^q \sum_{i=1}^{N} d\beta_i^2 + \text{const.} \frac{d\beta^2}{\beta^2},
\]

which can be transformed to a standard form, \(ds^2 \propto \rho^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} dx_i^2 + d\rho^2 / \rho^2 \propto (\sum_{i=1}^{N} dx_i^2 + dz^2) / z^2\), by setting \(\beta = \text{const.} \rho^{2/q} = \text{const.} z^{-2/q}\).

We comment that the discretization of \(\mathcal{A}\) must be done so that configurations can move smoothly in the \(\beta\)-direction. A simple, geometrical analysis based on the distance shows that an exponential stepping is optimal for large \(\beta\) \[8\] \[12\], and this result was used in the tempered Lefschetz thimble method \[13\] \[14\] \[15\] \[16\], that is a method towards solving the numerical sign problem.

3. Geometry for a stochastic process of matrices

3.1 \(U(N)\) matrix model

The appearance of asymptotic AdS\(_{N+1}\) metric may not be so much interesting as a model of gravity, because in quantum field theories, \(x = (x_i)\) corresponds to a configuration of field variables (such as a configuration of link variables on the lattice for the lattice gauge theory), and thus
the degrees of freedom, $N$, is infinite in the thermodynamic limit. It is also hard to regard
the configuration space as directly related to a spacetime.

However, things become different if we note that $\beta$ is a one-body part of the $U(N)$ matrix model,

$$ S(U; \beta) = \beta N \text{Re} \text{tr} (1 - U) = \frac{\beta N}{2} \text{tr} (2 - U - U^\dagger), $$

with $U$ set to a diagonal form $U = \text{diag} [i \chi_j] \ (j = 1, \ldots, N)$, and if we recall that the eigenvalue can
be regarded as a spacetime coordinate (see, e.g., [17]). To state this more precisely, we first rewrite
the partition function as the integration over eigenvalues:

$$ Z \equiv \int dU e^{-S(U; \beta)} \propto \int_{\pi}^\pi \left( \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{dx_i}{2\pi} \right) \left( \prod_{i<j} \right) \frac{\sin^2 x_i - \sin^2 x_j}{2} e^{-\beta N \sum_{i=1}^N (1 - \cos x_i)}. $$

The Faddeev-Popov determinant $\prod_{i<j} \frac{\sin^2 x_i - \sin^2 x_j}{2}$ gives a repulsive two-body potential between
eigenvalues. Then, by introducing the collective coordinate $u$ through

$$ \hat{\rho}(u) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{\rho} (x_i - u) \ (\delta_{\rho}(x): \text{periodic delta function with period } 2\pi), $$

we now can regard $u$ as a coordinate of a covering space with a period $2\pi m, \mathcal{M} = \{ u | -\pi m \leq u < 
\pi m \}$.

It is known that the matrix model has a third-order phase transition in the large $N$ limit at the
Gross-Witten-Wadia point $\beta = 1$ [18] [19], where the functional form of the eigenvalue distribution
$\rho(u) \equiv \lim_{N \to \infty} \langle \hat{\rho}(u) \rangle$ changes as follows ($x_c \equiv 2 \arcsin(1/\sqrt{\beta})$):

- $\beta \geq 1$:
  $$ \rho(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{\beta}{\pi} \cos \frac{u}{2}, & (u \in I_1) \\ 0, & (u \in I_2) \end{cases} $$

- $\beta \leq 1$:
  $$ \rho(u) = \frac{1}{2\pi} (1 + \beta \cos u) \ (\forall u), $$

where $I_1 \equiv \{ u | -x_c \leq |u| \leq x_c \}$ and $I_2 \equiv \{ u | -\pi \leq |u| \leq x_c \text{ or } +x_c \leq |u| \leq \pi \}$ with $|u|$ the
projected value of $u$ to the fundamental region $[-\pi, \pi]$.

For a finite but large $N$, one-eigenvalue transitions yield an instanton effect of $e^{-O(N)}$ in the
low-temperature phase ($\beta \geq 1$) [20] (see, e.g., [21] [22] for nonperturbative effects of the Gross-
Witten-Wadia model). One can easily show that the one-eigenvalue feels the effective potential
$S_{\text{eff}}(u; \beta) = N v(u; \beta) + O(\ln N)$ with

$$ v(u) \equiv \begin{cases} \frac{2}{\sin x_c/2} \left( \frac{\sin^2 u/2}{\sin^2 x_c/2} - 1 - 2 \ln \left( \frac{\sin u/2}{\sin x_c/2} + \sqrt{\frac{\sin^2 u/2}{\sin^2 x_c/2} - 1} \right) \right), & (u \in I_1) \\ 0, & (u \in I_2). \end{cases} $$

The vanishing of potential in the region $|u| \leq x_c$ (we have set a possible additive constant to zero)
can be understood as a balance between the one-body potential and the repulsive potential from
other eigenvalues that are condensed with the distribution [14]. Note that there is no such instanton
effect for the high temperature phase, so that $v(u)$ vanishes for $\beta \leq 1$. 

---
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3.2 Geometry for a stochastic process of one-eigenvalue and a string theoretical interpretation

Let us consider the stochastic process of one-eigenvalue $u$ with respect to the effective action $S(u; \beta) = N v(u; \beta) + O(\ln N)$ for $\beta \geq 1$. The distance measures the difficulty of one-eigenvalue transitions in the background where other eigenvalues are condensed to large-$\beta$ solutions \([3,4]\). We thus can interpret the distance as “measuring the background geometry of condensed eigenvalues by using the one-eigenvalue as a probe.” On the other hand, in noncritical string theory, one-eigenvalue corresponds to a D-instanton \([23]\) (see also \([24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29]\)). Thus, the above can be rephrased as “measuring the background geometry by using a D-instanton as a probe.”

3.3 Emergence of a quantum blackhole

We now implement the simulated tempering by treating the inverse ’t Hooft coupling $\beta$ as a random variable. The coarse-grained, extended configuration space $\hat{\mathcal{H}} \times \mathcal{A} = \{ X = (u, \beta) \}$ then becomes a two-dimensional lattice. Recall that we have set a periodic boundary condition for the $u$-direction with period $2\pi m$.

The geometry of $\hat{\mathcal{H}} \times \mathcal{A}$ in the low temperature phase ($\beta \geq 1$) should be as follows. First, we recall that the effective potential disappears at $\beta = 1$ in the large-$N$ limit, which means that the distance between $(0, \beta)$ and $(u, \beta)$ should become vanishingly small at $\beta = 1$ for arbitrary $u$. Since we set a periodic boundary condition in the $u$-direction, we thus find that the $S^1$-cycle in the $u$-direction vanishes at $\beta = 1$ in the large-$N$ limit (see the left panel of Fig. 2). On the other hand, the effective action $S_{\text{eff}}(u; \beta) = N v(u; \beta) + O(\ln N)$ has many local minima for $\beta \gg 1$, which means that the geometry of $\hat{\mathcal{H}} \times \mathcal{A}$ must be asymptotically AdS$_2$ for large $\beta$. Combining these two observations, we expect that the full geometry of $\hat{\mathcal{H}} \times \mathcal{A}$ for $\beta \geq 1$ is given by a Euclidean AdS$_2$ space with a horizon at the Gross-Witten-Wadia point, $\beta = 1$. We choose a candidate metric in continuum of the form

$$ds^2 = \ell^2 \left[ (\rho^2 - 1) d\tau^2 + \frac{d\rho^2}{\rho^2 - 1} \right], \quad (3.7)$$

![Figure 2: (Left) Geometry of $\hat{\mathcal{H}} \times \mathcal{A}$ in the large-$N$ limit. $u$ has a period $2\pi m$, and the $S^1$-cycle vanishes at the horizon $\beta = 1$. (Right) The corresponding geometry in continuum. $\tau$ has a period $2\pi$, and the horizon is at $\rho = 1$.](image)
which is defined only for the outside of the horizon, $\rho \geq 1$ (see the right panel of Fig. 2). One can easily check that the metric (3.7) satisfies the equations $R_{\mu\nu} = \Lambda g_{\mu\nu}$ with $\Lambda = -1$ and has no conical singularity at the horizon $\rho = 1$ when $\tau$ has a period $2\pi$. Furthermore, the geodesic distance $I(\tau, \rho)$ between two points $(0, \rho)$ and $(\tau, \rho)$ can be analytically calculated to be

$$I(\tau, \rho) = 2\ell \arccosh \sqrt{\cos^2 \frac{\rho}{2} + \rho^2 \sin^2 \frac{\tau}{2}}. \quad (3.8)$$

In the following discussions, we will set an ansatz that the two coordinate systems $(u, \beta)$ and $(\tau, \rho)$ are related to each other with a simple scaling:

$$u = m\tau, \quad \rho = \rho_c + \alpha(\beta - \beta_c)^q/2. \quad (3.9)$$

### 3.4 Numerical confirmation

We now numerically confirm that the two geometries in Fig. 2 are the same under the relation (3.9), by comparing “the numerically obtained distances $d_a(X, Y)$ between $X = (0, \beta)$ and $Y = (u, \beta)$” with “the analytic values of distance, $I(\tau, \rho)$, between $(0, \rho)$ and $(\tau, \rho)$” for various $(u, \beta)$ with $u = u_j = 2\pi j$ ($j = 1, \ldots, 25$) and $\beta = \beta_a = 5 \times 5^{-a/5}$ ($a = 0, 1, \ldots, 5$).

With $N = 20, n = 200$ and $m = 50$, we determine the parameters $(\ell, \beta_c, \alpha, \rho_c, q)$ by minimizing

$$\chi^2 \equiv \sum_j \sum_a \left[ \frac{d_a^2((0, \beta_a), (u_j, \beta_a)) - I^2(\tau(u_j), \rho(\beta_a))}{\delta d_a^2((0, \beta_a), (u_j, \beta_a))} \right]^2, \quad (3.10)$$

where $[\delta d_a^2(X, Y)]^2$ is a sample variance in the estimation of $d_a^2(X, Y)$. The obtained results are shown in Fig. 2 for the optimizing values,

$$\ell = 0.10, \quad \beta_c = 0.33, \quad \alpha = 3.0, \quad \rho_c = 0.93, \quad q = 11 \quad (3.11)$$

with $\sqrt{\chi^2/\text{DOF}} = \sqrt{\chi^2/(25 \times 6 - 5)} = 1.6$, from which we confirm that the geometry of $\bar{M} \times S^5$ is well given by the metric (3.7) through the relation (3.9).

We comment that, for the optimizing parameters (3.11), the corresponding value of $\beta$ to the horizon $\rho = 1$ is given by $\beta = 0.83$. We expect that the discrepancy from the critical value $\beta = 1$ can be understood as a finite $N$ correction (or it may imply that the relation (3.9) needs to be modified) (3.10).

### 4. Conclusion and outlook

In this article, we applied the distance between configurations, (2.4), to a Markov process of matrices. By identifying the eigenvalues with coordinates of a spacetime, this realizes a mechanism for the emergence of spacetime geometry from randomness. As an example, we showed that there emerges a Euclidean AdS geometry with a horizon from a tempered stochastic process of $U(N)$ matrix.

There are left many things we need to study in a more elaborate way. First of all, the fitting of the geometry with metric (3.7) is based on the assumption (3.9). It should be nice if we can analytically calculate the distance $d_a(X, Y)$ by using the tempered Langevin algorithm for the one-eigenvalue effective action $S_{\text{eff}}(u; \beta)$. It should also be interesting to understand the results obtained.
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Figure 3: Numerically obtained squared distances $d^2_n(X,Y)$. The solid lines represent the squared geodesic distances, $I^2(\tau,\rho)$, for the optimizing parameters (3.11).

here in the context of AdS/CFT correspondence, especially with a relation to the SYK model [31,32] and the wormholes.

It must be important to generalize the present results to higher dimensions. We expect that an AdS$_{d+1}$ blackhole will emerge from a tempered stochastic process of the $d$-dimensional twisted Eguchi-Kawai model [33], where the action is given by $S(U;\beta) = \beta \text{Retr} (1 - \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} U_\mu U_\nu U^*_\mu U^*_\nu)$ for $U_\mu \in U(N)$ ($\mu = 1,\ldots,d$). The spacetime coordinates $x = (x_\mu) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ can be identified with the fluctuating modes corresponding to $U(1)^d$ transformations $U_\mu \rightarrow e^{ix_\mu} U_\mu$. For a symmetric twist, this $U(1)^d$ symmetry is actually broken at large $\beta$ if $N$ is taken to be sufficiently large [34]. In fact, as was also first found in [34], the $U(1)^d$ symmetry is restored step by step (the number of restored directions increases one by one as $\beta$ decreases). Since in our construction the spacetime can be extended only in the broken directions and the $S^1$-cycles vanish for the restored directions, we expect that an AdS$_{d+1}$ blackhole with a single horizon is obtained for the region $\beta \geq \beta_H$, where $\beta_H$ corresponds to the coupling at which a $U(1)$ symmetry is restored for the first time when reducing $\beta$ from a sufficiently large value.

As another direction of future project, it should be important to investigate whether we can find a stochastic process that gives de Sitter space. If such a process exists, then this should give a new mechanism to create an inflationary universe from randomness.

A study along these lines is now in progress and will be reported elsewhere.
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