Spray volumes and fungicide rates on Asian soybean rust control
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ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to assess the effect of different spray volumes and fungicide rates on Asian soybean rust control. Experiments were conducted in the field and in the laboratory during 2014 and 2016. We varied the fungicide rates (45 + 52.5, 60 + 70 and 75 + 87.5 g a.i. ha\(^{-1}\)) for the mixture trifloxystrobin + prothioconazole, and 45 + 22.5, 60 + 30 and 75 + 37.5 g a.i. ha\(^{-1}\) for azoxystrobin + benzovindiflupyr) and the spray volume (100, 150 and 200 L ha\(^{-1}\)) for application on soybean plants in the field. Another experiment was conducted in the laboratory, using the recommended rates of the fungicides trifloxystrobin + prothioconazole (60 + 70 g a.i. ha\(^{-1}\)) and azoxystrobin + benzovindiflupyr (60 + 30 g a.i. ha\(^{-1}\)). The spray volume of 200 L ha\(^{-1}\) resulted in the best disease control only for the third out of three applications carried out in the field (control between 68.4% and 70.1%). However, there was no statistical difference in grain yield for all tested spray volumes when we used the recommended fungicide rates (3422, 3526 and 3601 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) or higher rates (3529, 3586 and 3550 kg ha\(^{-1}\)). The decrease in the fungicide rate directly implied reduced rust control for both experiments (20 to 39% in the field and up to 71.4% in the laboratory).
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RESUMO

O objetivo deste trabalho foi verificar o efeito de diferentes volumes de calda e de doses de fungicidas no controle da ferrugem-asiática da soja. Os ensaios foram conduzidos no campo e em laboratório, durante 2014 e 2016. Variou-se a dose (45 + 52,5, 60 + 70 e 75 + 87,5 g i.a. ha\(^{-1}\)) para a mistura trifloxistrobina + prothioconazol e 45 + 22,5, 60 + 30 e 75 + 37,5 g i.a. ha\(^{-1}\) para azoxistrobin + benzovindiflupyr) e o volume de calda (100, 150 e 200 L ha\(^{-1}\)) na aplicação de fungicidas sobre plantas de soja no campo. Também foi realizado ensaio em laboratório com uso das doses recomendadas dos fungicidas trifloxistrobina + prothioconazol (60 + 70 g i.a. ha\(^{-1}\)) e azoxistrobin + benzovindiflupyr (60 + 30 g i.a. ha\(^{-1}\)). O volume de calda de 200 L ha\(^{-1}\) resultou no melhor controle da doença somente na terceira, dentre as três aplicações realizadas no campo (controle entre 68,4% e 70,1%). No entanto, não houve diferença estatística no rendimento de grãos para todos os volumes de calda testados, quando utilizadas as doses recomendadas (3422, 3526 e 3601 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) e as doses superiores a ela (3529, 3586 e 3550 kg ha\(^{-1}\)). A redução na dose de fungicida resultou diretamente na redução do controle da FAS para ambos os ensaios (20 a 39% no campo e até 71,4% em laboratório).

Palavras-chave: controle químico, Glycine max, Phakopsora pachyrhizi, tecnologia de aplicação.

Asian soybean rust (ASR), caused by Phakopsora pachyrhizi Syd. & Syd., is considered one of the most destructive diseases causing damage to soybean plants [Glycine max (L.) Merr] and other members of the Fabaceae family (19). Presenting spore-wind dissemination, this pathogen is found in almost all soybean producing regions in Brazil, causing up to 75% yield loss (3).

According to Santos et al. (22), ASR control is mostly based on fungicide applications. With the aim of reducing the costs of chemical control, studies on reduced spray volumes for fungicide applications have been developed (23). The same occurs for fungicide rates. Azevedo (5) reported that fungicide spraying requires better coverage of the biological target than other classes of agricultural pesticides.

To reduce costs, producers often adopt reduced fungicide rates and spray volumes, which leads to evidently lower treatment efficiency. Thus, changing the recommended fungicide rates may affect the disease control effectiveness; furthermore, problems such as reduced fungicide efficacy can be caused by decreased fungal sensitivity. Reduced or no fungicide efficacy can be monitored by different methods, the choice of which will depend on the target pathogen and on the chemical properties of the fungicide. In vivo tests generally include the use of plant parts, especially leaves, leaf discs or segments deposited on a culture medium containing the fungicide (6, 7, 14).

In the present study, we hypothesized that a reduction in both the fungicide rate and the spray volume decreases ASR control and soybean yield. The objective of this study was to verify if different fungicide rates and spray volumes affect ASR control.
MATERIAL AND METHODS

Experiments were conducted in an experimental field, in a greenhouse and in a growth chamber at University of Passo Fundo, Passo Fundo City – Rio Grande do Sul State, Brazil. Latitude, longitude and altitude were 28° 15’ S, 52° 24’ W and 687 m a.s.l., respectively.

The field trial was implemented in the 2014/2015 growing season, and the employed soybean cultivar was NS 5445 IPRO, which has indeterminate growth habit. The experiment was conducted in randomized block design, using five replicates. The plots measured 2.25 m x 5.0 m and were composed of five cultivated rows.

The soil is classified as Dystrophic Dark Red Latosol. Accumulated rainfall during December 2014 and January, February and March 2015 was 696.8 mm, 73.2 mm above the normal historic for the period. However, almost half of the precipitation was observed in January (333.5 mm). The mean temperatures for this period were similar to the normal historic (around 21°C), and minimum (17°C) and maximum (28°C) temperatures were slightly above and below it, respectively (12, 13).

Fourteen seeds per meter, spaced 0.45 m between rows, were sown under no-tillage system, in the end of November, using wheat crop residues. Weed and pest management was carried out according to the official technical recommendation for the crop (18).

Fungicides were applied three times. The first application was carried out before the pathogen was detected in the area, at 50 days after sowing (DAS), using trifloxystrobin + prothioconazole (60 + 70 g a.i. ha\(^{-1}\)) and vegetable oil composed of methyl ester of soybean oil (360 g a.i. ha\(^{-1}\)), while the second and the third applications were done at 67 and 88 DAS, respectively, using azoxystrobin + benzovindiflupyr (60 + 30 g a.i. ha\(^{-1}\)) and mineral oil (256.8 g a.i. ha\(^{-1}\)). Three fungicide volumes were sprayed (100, 150 and 200 L ha\(^{-1}\)), as well as three rates (45 + 52.5, 60 + 70 and 75 + 87.5 g a.i. ha\(^{-1}\)) for the mixture trifloxystrobin + prothioconazole, and 45 + 22.5, 60 + 30 and 75 + 37.5 g a.i. ha\(^{-1}\) for azoxystrobin + benzovindiflupyr), characterizing a 3 x 3 factorial design and one control, which did not receive fungicide application (Table 1).

Table 1. Spray volumes (L ha\(^{-1}\)) and rates (g a.i. ha\(^{-1}\)) of fungicides used in field applications, as well as fungicide commercial rate percentages and respective amounts of active ingredient per hectare used in the laboratory trial. Passo Fundo/RS, 2016

| Treatment | Spray volume (L ha\(^{-1}\)) | Fungicide | Azoxystrobin + benzovindiflupyr (g a.i. ha\(^{-1}\)) |
|-----------|-------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Field Treatments |                               |           |                                                 |
| Treatment (% recommended fungicide rate) | Spray volume (L ha\(^{-1}\)) | Fungicide | Trifloxystrobin + prothioconazole (g a.i. ha\(^{-1}\)) | Azoxystrobin + benzovindiflupyr (g a.i. ha\(^{-1}\)) |
| 0 | - | - | - | |
| 10 | 6 + 7 | 6 + 3 | |
| 20 | 12 + 14 | 12 + 6 | |
| 30 | 18 + 21 | 18 + 9 | |
| 40 | 24 + 28 | 24 + 12 | |
| 50 | 30 + 35 | 30 + 15 | |
| 60 | 36 + 42 | 36 + 18 | |
| 70 | 42 + 49 | 42 + 21 | |
| 80 | 48 + 56 | 48 + 24 | |
| 90 | 54 + 63 | 54 + 27 | |
| 100 | 60 + 70 | 60 + 30 | |

Treatments consisted of different rates of two fungicide mixtures, one of them was composed of trifloxystrobin + prothioconazole (commercial rate: 60 + 70 g a.i. ha\(^{-1}\)) and the other one was composed of azoxystrobin + benzovindiflupyr (60 + 30 g a.i. ha\(^{-1}\)) (Table 1). Suspensions were prepared in 500-mL plastic cups containing 300 mL distilled water, without addition of adjuvants. Concentrations were prepared for 100 L ha\(^{-1}\) spray volume. Experimental design was in completely randomized way and six replicates. The used methodology was adapted from the detached leaf test of Scherb & Mehl (16). Six leaflets were used for each fungicide rate. They were immersed in their respective fungicide solutions for five seconds for perfect coverage. Then, each leaflet was placed in a gerbox and was allowed to dry with
the adaxial side facing up, in the dark, at room temperature. A piece of cotton saturated with distilled water was added to the petioles of the leaflets.

Leaflets were inoculated with a *P. pachyrhizi* spore suspension (5x10<sup>4</sup> spores mL<sup>-1</sup>) twenty-four hours after fungicide application. The inoculum was collected from soybean leaflets in the field. Distilled water (200 mL) was added in a 500-mL Erlenmeyer plus one drop of Tween<sup>®</sup> spreader and the soybean leaflets with ASR. After shaking, the spore suspension and the leaflets were separated. Spore concentration was estimated in a hemocytometer. Using a 500-mL spray, the suspension was applied to leaflets. For spore germination, the gerboxes were kept in the dark for 24 hours, at 23°C (+/- 2°C).

After this period, the boxes were placed in a growth chamber at 12-hour photoperiod and 23°C (+/- 2°C). Every two days, water was added to maintain the humidity. After 22 days of incubation, the pustules on each leaflet were counted in an area of 2.0 cm<sup>2</sup> per leaflet (1.0 cm<sup>2</sup> each side of the leaflets in the abaxial face) under a stereomicroscope. The percentage of disease control was obtained by using the formula PC (%) = [(T-t) * 100 / T] (1), where 'T' is the number of pustules for the unsprayed control and 't' is the number of pustules for the treatment. The experiments with both fungicide mixtures were repeated twice and their average was calculated by verifying the homogeneity of variance. The data underwent analysis of variance, Scott-Knott test and simple linear regression.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Field experiment. Both the fungicide rate and the spray volume influenced rust control efficacy (Table 2). There was no interaction between factors.

Mean disease severity at 100 DAS was 52% for untreated plants. The low severity found at 60 and 80 DAS influenced the statistical non-differentiation for all the volumes with recommended and increased rates, which were the best treatments for the first and second applications. At 100 DAS, the best performance was obtained for treatments with 200 L ha<sup>-1</sup> spray volume and the recommended rates of trifloxystrobin + prothioconazole (60 + 70 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup>) and azoxystrobin + benzovindiflupyr (60 + 30 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup>), as well as increased rates (75 + 87.5 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> for the first mixture and 75+37.5 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> for the second one). For these rates, all spray volumes (100, 150 and 200 L ha<sup>-1</sup>) presented the same control trend, and increasing the rate had no benefit. According to the statistical analysis, reducing the fungicide rates (45 + 52.5 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> trifloxystrobin + prothioconazole and 45 + 22.5 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> azoxystrobin + benzovindiflupyr) resulted in decreased disease control, only superior to that of untreated plants.

Disease control varied from 37% for treatments with 100 L ha<sup>-1</sup> spray volume of 45 + 52.5 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> trifloxystrobin + prothioconazole and 45 + 22.5 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> azoxystrobin + benzovindiflupyr, to 68.4% and 70.1% for 200 L ha<sup>-1</sup> volume of 60 + 70 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> (trifloxystrobin + prothioconazole) and 60 + 30 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> (azoxystrobin + benzovindiflupyr) or 75 + 87.5 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> and 75 + 37.5 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> for the same fungicides, respectively. According to the Brazilian legislation, one of the requirements for the registration of a pesticide is control efficacy of 80% or at least superior to that of already registered pesticides (2). Environmental conditions affect the control percentage and can reduce the fungicide performance. Fungicide application technology, such as the spray volume, can also interfere in the efficacy, as observed in the present study.

### Table 2. Asian soybean rust severity, control and leaf area index (LAI), according to three fungicide rates (g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup>) and three spray volumes (L ha<sup>-1</sup>). Nidera 5445 soybean cultivar. Passo Fundo/RS, 2015

| Spray volume (L ha<sup>-1</sup>) | T + P | A + B | 60 DAS | 80 DAS | 100 DAS |
|---------------------------------|-------|-------|--------|--------|---------|
| 100                             | 60 + 70 | 60 + 30 | 4.8 a<sup>1</sup> | 7.8 c | 26.2 d |
| 100                             | 75 + 87.5 | 75 + 37.5 | 4.9 c | 7.4 c | 26.5 d  |
| 100                             | 45 + 52.5 | 45 + 22.5 | 6.3 b | 10.1 b | 32.9 b |
| 150                             | 60 + 70 | 60 + 30 | 5.0 c | 7.4 c | 24.9 d  |
| 150                             | 75 + 87.5 | 75 + 37.5 | 5.1 c | 7.6 c | 23.9 d  |
| 150                             | 45 + 52.5 | 45 + 22.5 | 6.5 b | 10.5 b | 30.1 c |
| 200                             | 60 + 70 | 60 + 30 | 4.7 c | 7.0 c | 16.5 e  |
| 200                             | 75 + 87.5 | 75 + 37.5 | 4.7 c | 6.9 c | 15.6 e  |
| 200                             | 45 + 52.5 | 45 + 22.5 | 6.0 b | 9.5 b | 30.4 c |
| Untreated                       |       |       | 10.0 a | 17.4 a | 52.2 a  |
| CV(%)                           | 5.98  | 5.68  | 2.03   |        |         |

### Control (%)

| 100     | 60 + 70 | 60 + 30 | 52.0 | 56.8 | 49.8  |
|---------|---------|---------|------|------|-------|
| 100     | 75 + 87.5 | 75 + 37.5 | 51.0 | 57.1 | 49.4  |
| 100     | 45 + 52.5 | 45 + 22.5 | 37.0 | 35.2 | 37.0  |
| 150     | 60 + 70 | 60 + 30 | 50.0 | 60.8 | 52.3  |
| 150     | 75 + 87.5 | 75 + 37.5 | 49.0 | 60.4 | 54.2  |
| 150     | 45 + 52.5 | 45 + 22.5 | 35.0 | 40.3 | 42.3  |
| 200     | 60 + 70 | 60 + 30 | 53.0 | 63.4 | 68.4  |
| 200     | 75 + 87.5 | 75 + 37.5 | 53.0 | 62.3 | 70.1  |
| 200     | 45 + 52.5 | 45 + 22.5 | 40.0 | 39.6 | 41.8  |
| Untreated |       |       |       |       |       |

### Leaf area index (m<sup>2</m>·m<sup>-2</sup>)

| 100     | 60 + 70 | 60 + 30 | 5.79 a | 5.59 a | 2.62 a |
|---------|---------|---------|--------|--------|-------|
| 100     | 75 + 87.5 | 75 + 37.5 | 5.67 a | 5.71 a | 2.72 a |
| 100     | 45 + 52.5 | 45 + 22.5 | 4.74 b | 4.48 b | 1.97 b |
| 150     | 60 + 70 | 60 + 30 | 5.52 a | 5.31 a | 2.54 a |
| 150     | 75 + 87.5 | 75 + 37.5 | 5.90 a | 5.84 a | 2.55 a |
| 150     | 45 + 52.5 | 45 + 22.5 | 4.58 b | 4.35 b | 1.92 b |
| 200     | 60 + 70 | 60 + 30 | 5.51 a | 5.70 a | 2.87 a |
| 200     | 75 + 87.5 | 75 + 37.5 | 5.80 a | 5.64 a | 2.88 a |
| 200     | 45 + 52.5 | 45 + 22.5 | 4.83 b | 4.22 b | 1.99 b |
| Untreated |       |       | 4.14 b | 4.08 b | 1.10 c |
| CV(%)   | 12.6  | 11.2  | 13.8   |        |        |

<sup>1</sup>Trifloxystrobin + prothioconazole fungicides.  
<sup>2</sup>Azoxystrobin + benzovindiflupyr fungicides.  
<sup>3</sup>Means followed by the same lowercase letter in the column do not differ according to Scott-Knott test, at 5% error probability.
Leaf area index (LAI) was measured to verify the crop development, as well as defoliation that could have been caused by the rust. The mean LAI at the first general evaluation was 2.93. Maximum LAI was found between 60 and 80 DAS (Table 2). There were no statistical differences among spray volumes (100, 150 and 200 L ha\(^{-1}\)) when the rates were 60 + 70 or 75 + 87.5 g a.i. ha\(^{-1}\) for trifloxystrobin + prothioconazole and 60 + 30 or 75 + 37.5 g a.i. ha\(^{-1}\) for azoxystrobin + benzovindiflupyr, which showed the highest LAI. Reducing the fungicide rates led to inferior LAI values, similarly to those of untreated plants, at 60 and 80 DAS. Due to the crop development, the canopy tends to be thicker, which may impair the penetration of sprayed drops, especially on the lower parts of the plants. According to Butzen et al. (8), for cultivars showing larger leaves, an increase in the spray volume may provide sufficient coverage and penetration to protect soybean leaves against the pathogen. These remarks corroborate the reports by Derksen & Sanderson (12), who observed higher coverage and lower variability in fungicide deposition along the canopy of soybean plants in response to increased application volume.

Yield and thousand grain mass showed no statistical differences among the spray volumes of 100, 150 and 200 L ha\(^{-1}\) and the rates of 60 + 70 or 75 + 87.5 for trifloxystrobin + prothioconazole and 60 + 30 or 75 + 37.5 g a.i. ha\(^{-1}\) for azoxystrobin + benzovindiflupyr, being considered the best treatments. Treatments with reduced rates of the same fungicides (45 + 52.5 and 45 + 22.5 g a.i. ha\(^{-1}\)) and untreated plants had lower grain yield. These results evidenced the importance of using the fungicide rate recommended by the manufacturer. Trying to optimize soybean rust control, Navarini et al. (17) found that variations in the fungicide rate produced a positive effect for propiconazole, cyproconazole, and prothioconazole + cyproconazole since control efficacy increased with higher fungicide rates against *P. pachyrhizi* in soybean. According to Cunha et al. (9, 10), the product deposition tends to increase with higher spray volumes, but no differences in grain yield were detected.

The results obtained in the experiment carried out in the laboratory corroborated with the data reported above, evidencing that the reduction in the recommended rates of trifloxystrobin + prothioconazole and azoxystrobin + benzovindiflupyr implied a directly proportional reduction in soybean rust control (Figure 1). Unsprayed leaflets showed a mean of 80.3 pustules per cm\(^2\). There was a similar cause and effect relationship for the rates of both fungicide mixtures and the number of pustules per cm\(^2\). There was a reduction of approximately 0.60 pustules/cm\(^2\) for each 1% increase (one unit in the graph) in the rate of trifloxystrobin + prothioconazole. Using the commercial rate (60 + 70 g a.i. ha\(^{-1}\)), the number of pustules cm\(^2\) was 3.5 (95.5% control). For azoxystrobin + benzovindiflupyr, there was a variation of 0.61 pustules cm\(^2\) for each variation of 1% in the fungicide rate. For the commercial rate (60 + 30 g a.i. ha\(^{-1}\)), the number of pustules cm\(^2\) was 3.0 (96.2% control) (Figure 1).

The best result for both fungicide mixtures was obtained by using their commercial rates, which presented the highest reduction percentage in the number of pustules cm\(^2\) (Figure 1). The other rates also reduced the number of pustules cm\(^2\) but they were not as effective as those indicated by the manufacturer. Using only half of the recommended rates of trifloxystrobin + prothioconazole (30 + 35 g a.i. ha\(^{-1}\)) and azoxystrobin + benzovindiflupyr (30 + 15 g a.i. ha\(^{-1}\)), disease control was reduced by 30% and 34%, respectively. The results obtained under laboratory conditions simulated an ideal fungicide application on both sides of the leaflet, with no interference. In the field, a large number of factors can interfere in the fungicide coverage, including application errors, failure in the observation of the ideal weather conditions, and intrinsic characteristics of the cultivar, including leaf area index.

Tormen et al. (25) showed that fungicide coverage for the lowest leaves in the canopy of soybean plants depends on the architectural characteristics of the cultivar, including its leaf area index at application, since the leaves in the upper part of the canopy receive most spray drops and prevent the bottom leaves from receiving the same amount of the active ingredient. Cultivars that have higher leaf density and branches that are more lateral are capable of filling faster the space between the crop rows, which can impair drop penetration into the bottom part of the canopy (25). In general, there is less deposition in the bottom and inner parts of the canopy. In the case of fungicides that require uniform plant coverage, this lack of uniformity results in low disease control efficacy (9).

Antuniassi et al. (4) and Cunha et al. (9) used different spray tips to evaluate fungicide deposition and observed that there was greater coverage of the lower third of soybean canopy when tips with lower droplet spectrum were employed. Studying different pesticide application strategies, Derksen et al. (11) found that the amount of active ingredient was lower in the bottom than in the mid part of the canopy; in addition, there was less pesticide residue in the stems than in leaves at the same position in the canopy.

The fungus that cause rust tends to become more aggressive over time since they have the capability of mutating and therefore surviving under adverse conditions. According to Cunha et al. (10), only 7% of the active ingredient reaches the lower third of the soybean canopy. Considering that the surface of a soybean leaflet measures 50 cm\(^2\), both sides will be a total of 100 cm\(^2\). Thus, if 3.5% is intercepted by the adaxial side of the soybean leaflet, the droplet coverage obtained with 6 + 7 g a.i. ha\(^{-1}\) trifloxystrobin + prothioconazole and 6 + 3 g a.i. ha\(^{-1}\) azoxystrobin + benzovindiflupyr is 3.5 times greater than the expected result in the field. Therefore, the amount of fungicide that reaches the middle and the lower part of the soybean crop is very low, which is a concern since the use of low rates of demethylation inhibitors fungicide (DMIs) tends to increase the risk of sensitivity loss by the pathogen. In the same way, the fungicides quinone outside inhibitor (QoI) and succinate dehydrogenase inhibitor (SDHI) result in ineffective control in the lower part of the soybean canopy, which may require reapplication.

---

Table 3. Grain yield and thousand grain mass (TGM) of soybean NS 5445 IPRO cultivar, according to three fungicide rates and three spray volumes. Passo Fundo/RS, 2015

| Spray volume (L ha\(^{-1}\)) | T + P\(^1\) (g a.i. ha\(^{-1}\)) | A + B\(^2\) (g a.i. ha\(^{-1}\)) | Yield (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) | TGM (g) |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|--------|
| 100                         | 60 + 70                         | 60 + 30                         | 3422 a                 | 170.9 a|
| 100                         | 75 + 87.5                       | 75 + 37.5                       | 3529 a                 | 172.9 a|
| 100                         | 45 + 52.5                       | 45 + 22.5                       | 2861 b                 | 148.1 b|
| 150                         | 60 + 70                         | 60 + 30                         | 3526 a                 | 166.5 a|
| 150                         | 75 + 87.5                       | 75 + 37.5                       | 3586 a                 | 173.6 a|
| 150                         | 45 + 52.5                       | 45 + 22.5                       | 2894 b                 | 144.5 b|
| 200                         | 60 + 70                         | 60 + 30                         | 3601 a                 | 174.5 a|
| 200                         | 75 + 87.5                       | 75 + 37.5                       | 3550 a                 | 173.5 a|
| 200                         | 45 + 52.5                       | 45 + 22.5                       | 2914 b                 | 146.3 b|
| Untreated                   | -                               | -                               | 1848 c                 | 120.3 b|
| CV (%)                      | 11.5                            | 9.93                            |                        |        |

\(^1\)Trifloxystrobin + prothioconazole fungicides. \(^2\)Azoxystrobin + benzovindiflupyr fungicides.

Means followed by the same lowercase letter in the column do not differ according to Scott-Knott test, at 5% error probability.

---
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of the same active ingredient in the same area more than twice during the same season, which is not recommended.

Repeated applications of sub-doses or overdoses of site-specific fungicides, applications that are performed poorly or under inappropriate conditions such as temperature above 30°C, air relative humidity below 55% and wind speed under 3 km h⁻¹ or above 10 km h⁻¹ (20) may select organisms that are resistant to the fungicide molecules used (21). Development of resistance in phytopathogenic fungi have a greater impact when using site-specific fungicides (24).

Since the efficacy of the fungicides trifloxystrobin + prothioconazole and azoxystrobin + benzovindiflupyr is directly proportional to the used rate and spray volume, improvements in the application technology are required to optimize the fungicide deposition in the target leaves in the crop and to improve ASR control.
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