Ichthyofauna from floodplain lakes of Reserva de Desenvolvimento Sustentável Piagaçu-Purus (RDS-PP), lower rio Purus
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Abstract: The ichthyofauna of the rio Purus has been little investigated, especially in its lower portion, characterized by diverse aquatic environments, especially in flooded areas. We inventoried the ichthyofauna of floodplain lakes with different management efforts in Reserva de Desenvolvimento Sustentável Piagaçu-Purus (RDS-PP), which represent important resource for commercial fishing in North region, in the first illustrated inventory of the fish fauna from lower rio Purus. We surveyed 20 lakes, classified as open access (eight lakes) and protected (12 lakes) during 2009 low water levels. A total of 2,299 individuals were collected, represented by seven orders, 25 families and 74 species. Characiformes was the most representative order in number of species and families, followed by Siluriformes, Cichliformes, Gymnotiformes, Clupeiformes, and Osteoglossiformes. Most abundant and frequent species were Pygocentrus nattereri, Triportheus angulatus, Serrasalmus sp. “2n=58”, Pterygoplichthys gibbiceps, and Osteoglossum bicirrhosum. Protected lakes presented higher species richness compared to open access lakes. Similarly, protected lakes possessed 26 species occurring exclusively, with emphasis on Colossoma macropomum, an important species for fisheries due to its commercial importance. We added 44 new records of fish species for the lower rio Purus. Our results indicate the potential efficiency of zoning systems of open access and protected lakes established by local population and ruled by RDS-PP for fisheries management. Therefore, we strongly suggest its maintenance for conservation of ichthyofauna of floodplain lakes of lower rio Purus.
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Ictiofauna de lagos de várzea da Reserva de Desenvolvimento Sustentável Piagaçu-Purus (RDS-PP), baixo rio Purus

Resumo: A ictiofauna do rio Purus tem sido pouco investigada, especialmente em seu curso inferior, caracterizada pela diversidade de ambientes aquáticos, especialmente em áreas de inundação. Inventariamos a ictiofauna dos lagos de várzea com diferentes tipos de manejo na Reserva de Desenvolvimento Sustentável Piagaçu-Purus (RDS-PP), que representa importante recurso para pesca comercial na região Norte, no primeiro inventário ilustrado da fauna de peixes do baixo rio Purus. Amostramos 20 lagos classificados como de uso (oito lagos) e proteção (12 lagos), durante o período de águas baixas de 2009. Foi coletado um total de 2.299 indivíduos representados por sete ordens, 25 famílias e 74 espécies. Characiformes foi a ordem mais representativa em número de espécies e famílias, seguida por Siluriformes, Cichliformes, Gymnotiformes, Clupeiformes e Osteoglossiformes. As espécies mais abundantes e frequentes foram Pygocentrus nattereri, Triportheus angulatus, Serrasalmus sp. “2n=58”,
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The rio Purus is one of the largest tributaries of the rio Solimões, with
an extension of 2,765.65 km (Melo 2012) from its sources in Peru until
its confluence on the main ports of Amazonas State. It represents the
largest fishing productivity of Amazon basin compared to other major
white-water tributaries (Petere 1978, Batista 1998, 2003), however, the
efforts are concentrated in a few species, from which only 12 represent
80% of the fish landed in the main ports of Amazonas State (Barthem & Fabre 2003). Despite this potential, few studies were conducted in
order to investigate the ichthyofauna of this basin. Most focused on the
upper portion of the river, with 48 species recorded in the rio Macauhan
(tributary of rio Yaco) (La Monte 1935), 86 species in Caeté and Macapá
rivers (Anjos et al. 2008), and 94 species in rio Acre (Claro-Garcia et al. 2013). The investigations in lower rio Purus registered higher number of
species: 180 by Rapp Py-Daniel & de Deus (2003) in flooded areas, and
approximately 110 species in two sandy beaches of Reserva Biológica
de Abufari and Reserva de Desenvolvimento Sustentável Piagaçu-Purus
(RDS-PP) (Duarte et al. 2010).

The RDS-PP was created in 2003 by the Amazonas State
Government, to conciliate usage of natural resources with socio-
environmental sustainability. The region was deeply exploited for
terrestrial and aquatic resources during the first half of twentieth century
(Vieira et al. 2015). Activities as fishing, hunting, and practice of
small-scale agriculture and extractivism are allowed to approximately
60 communities with 4,000 residents (de Deus et al. 2003, IPI 2010).
Fishing rules agreement determining management practices and fisheries
areas were established in 2008 by local population and signed in
agreements. Floodplain lakes were divided in a zoning model into two
categories: open access and protected. In open access lakes subsistence
and commercial fishing are allowed, while in protected lakes commercial
fishing is prohibited (IPI 2010). The zoning model adopted in RDS-
PP is similar to the model pioneered in RDS-Mamirauá (Queiroz &
Crampton 1999).

The reserve is comprised of both upland terra firme landscapes and
flooded forests, the latter representing 45% of its area, more
concentrated on its North region, on the interfluve of Solimões and
Purus rivers (de Deus et al. 2003; IPI 2010). The confluence of Purus
and Solimões rivers generates a large floodplain area in the lower
portion of the basin, with many environments still unexplored, which
require huge sampling efforts to improve the fish fauna knowledge
and fisheries consequences in natural sources. In this study, we sampled, for
the first time, floodplain lakes in RDS-PP, with different management
efforts, that represent important resources for commercial fishing in
North region. Therefore, the main goals of this study were: (i) register
the composition of fish species that occur in the RDS-PP floodplain
lakes of different zoning model categories: open access and protected;
and (ii) provide the first illustrated inventory of the ichthyofauna from
floodplain lakes of lower rio Purus basin.

Material and Methods

1. Study area

The Reserva de Desenvolvimento Sustentável Piagaçu-Purus
(4°03'–5°25'S and 61°40'–63°30'W) comprises 834,245 ha situated
along the lower rio Purus basin (Fig. 1), 223 km upstream from Manaus,
capital of Amazonas State (Vieira et al. 2015). Surveys were carried in
20 floodplain lakes of the RDS-PP between October and November 2009,
during low water levels on lower rio Purus (Tab. 1). Lakes were
chosen according to access availability, and were previously classified
by the zoning model as eight open access and 12 protected (Fig. 1).

2. Data Collection

Sampling efforts were standardized for all lakes. Fishing gear
consisted in a set of stationary gillnets (10 m length) with mesh sizes
40, 60, 80, 100 and 120 mm between opposite knots, exposed for 24
hours starting at 6:00 AM, with harvests at fours hours. The exposure
time was defined in order to minimize the damage caused by piscivorous
fish, dolphins and alligators to gillnet and fish caught.
Figure 1. Map of the study area showing the location of the Reserva de Desenvolvimento Sustentável Piagaçu-Purus (RDS-PP) in Amazonas State, and sampled floodplain lakes from rio Purus.

Table 1. Sampled floodplain lakes from rio Purus at Reserva de Desenvolvimento Sustentável Piagaçu-Purus (RDS-PP) in Amazonas State.

| Site | Name of the lake | Category | Coordinates |
|------|------------------|----------|-------------|
| 1    | Cobra            | Open access | 4°13'24.5"S/61°47'56.6"W |
| 2    | Pepu Grande      | Open access | 4°12'49.1"S/61°48'18.6"W |
| 3    | Adão             | Open access | 4°14'23.1"S/61°49'19.1"W |
| 4    | Panela           | Open access | 4°12'11.5"S/61°57'30.0"W |
| 5    | Macacão          | Open access | 4°13'20.2"S/61°53'53.3"W |
| 6    | Macaco           | Open access | 4°13'48.0"S/61°53'55.2"W |
| 7    | Igarapé Açu      | Open access | 4°16'40.7"S/61°52'20.7"W |
| 8    | Fortuna          | Open access | 4°07'22.5"S/61°50'00.8"W |
| 9    | Marajá           | Protected   | 4°13'52.8"S/61°50'48.0"W |
| 10   | Cedro            | Protected   | 4°15'45.9"S/61°53'56.9"W |
| 11   | Caverna          | Protected   | 4°15'25.0"S/61°53'33.7"W |
| 12   | Caverninha       | Protected   | 4°15'08.8"S/61°53'18.2"W |
| 13   | Tucuxi           | Protected   | 4°16'14.8"S/61°50'03.9"W |
| 14   | Redondo 1        | Protected   | 4°15'50.5"S/61°52'30.7"W |
| 15   | Redondo 2        | Protected   | 4°15'35.6"S/61°52'31.1"W |
| 16   | Redondo 3        | Protected   | 4°15'28.6"S/61°52'28.5"W |
| 17   | Redondo do Caverna 1 | Protected | 4°15'48.2"S/61°53'17"W |
| 18   | Redondo do Caverna 2 | Protected | 4°15'50.3"S/61°53'25.4"W |
| 19   | Henrique         | Protected   | 4°16'05.4"S/61°51'29.3"W |
| 20   | Mureruzinho      | Protected   | 4°16'12.0"S/61°51'23.5"W |
In field, fish specimens were anesthetized in benzocaine hydrochloride, and fixed in 10% formalin and weighted to define the individual biomass. Total biomass of each species was represented by the sum of the weight of all specimens collected. Posteriorly, specimens were transferred to 70% ethanol in the laboratory and deposited in the Coleção de Peixes do Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia (INPA, Manaus, Amazonas State).

3. Fishfauna

The classification of families and subfamilies were presented in alphabetical order due to the many changes in the current classifications, and the lack of congruence or resolution regarding the relationships between them. The nomenclatural arrangement and classification of groupings in levels higher than family and family names followed Betancur-R. et al. (2018), and of Loricariidae followed Armbruster (2004), with the exception of Rhinelepisinae, which is according to Lujan et al. (2015). Identification follows Menezes & Géry (1983), Kullander & Ferreira (2006), Santos et al. (2006), Bristki & Birindelli (2008), Zarske & Géry (2008), Queiroz et al. (2013), Littmann et al. (2015), Andrade et al. (2016), Ferraris et al. (2017), Ramirez et al. (2017), Ribeiro et al. (2017), and Mateussi et al. (2018).

Results

A total of 2,299 individuals were collected, distributed in seven orders, 25 families and 74 species (Tab. 2, Figs. 2-4). Characiformes was the most representative order in number of species and families (44 and 14, respectively), followed by Siluriformes with 20 species and six families (Fig. 5), Cichliformes, with only one family but five species, and Gymnotiformes (two species in two families). Clupeiformes, Osteoglossiformes and Perciformes were less representative, with only one species each.

Characiformes and Siluriformes presented major number of species. The families with higher species richness were Serrasalmidae (12 species), Curimatidae and Pimelodidae (7 species), and Loricariidae (5 species) (Fig. 6). Highest abundances were also observed for Characiformes and Siluriformes with 1,888 and 279 individuals respectively.

Most abundant and frequent species were *Pygocentrus nattereri* (piranha caju) with 880 individuals collected in 90% of sampled lakes, followed by *Triportheus angulatus* (sardinha-papuda) (276 individuals and 80% of lakes), *Serrasalmus* sp. 2n=58 (piranha preta) (157 individuals in 80% of lakes), *Pterygoplichthys gibbiceps* (bodó) (100 individuals in 65% of lakes), and *Osteoglossum bicirrhosum* (aranã) with 76 individuals captured in 65% of lakes. Species represented by only one individual represented 28.5% of the total catch. With exception of *Serrasalmus maculatus*, with scarce number of individuals (59) but collected in 65% of lakes, the other species were found in less than 45% of the lakes.

Four species presented high biomass levels, representing 72.7% of total 359.5 kg collected, *P. nattereri* (32.4%), *O. bicirrhosum* (20.8%), *Colossoma macropomum* (tambaqui) (11.8%), and *P. gr. gibbiceps* (7.7%). Despite the great contribution of *C. macropomum* biomass it occurred in only 20% of the sampled sites (all protected lakes), differing from the others that occurred in at least 60% of the lakes.

Protected lakes presented higher species richness (62 species) compared to open access lakes with 48 species collected (Table 3). Similarly, protected lakes possessed 26 species occurring exclusively in this category (e.g., *Brycon* spp., *Colossoma macropomum*, *Curimata inornata*, *Hypopthalmus* spp., and *Pseudoplatystoma* spp.), while in open access lakes this number was reduced to 12 species (e.g. *Loricarichthys acutus*, *Mylossoma aureum*, and *Semaprochilodus taeniurus*). However, beyond the dominance of Characiformes and Siluriformes in both type of lakes, Cichliformes did not occur in protected lakes, while in open access lakes five species were collected, including commercially important as (*Astronotus ocellatus* and *Cichla monoculus*). Open access lakes presented higher abundance of Characiformes than protected lakes, mainly due to the participation of *P. nattereri* (Tab. 3).

Species accumulation curve is concerned with accumulation rates of new species over the sampled area and depends on species identity. Considering all lakes sampled, the species accumulation curve did not completely reach the asymptote (Fig. 7). Species richness expectation obtained by nonparametric estimators indicated that was collected 72% of the species expected to lakes by Jacknife estimate 1 (Jack1 = 107.4), 60% by Jacknife estimate 2 (Jack2 = 12, 5), 57.5% by Chao estimate (Chao = 133.89) and 85.8% according to the Bootstrap estimator.

Discussion

The ichthyofauna of floodplain lakes of RDS Piagaçu-Purus on lower rio Purus, as remaining freshwater regions of the world, is composed mostly by Ostariophysi, representing 88.2% of total richness. Characiformes presented higher families representativeness from which Serrasalmidae and Curimatidae composed 25% of all diversity surveyed by us. The predominance of these two families is different from previous results registered for lower rio Purus. From the 90 species inventoried using gillnets by Rapp Py-Daniel & de Deus (2003), mostly belong to Anostomidae (10), Serrasalmidae (8) and Hemiodontidae (6), whereas only *Hemiodus* sp. “rabo de fogo” and five species of anostomids were captured in the present study. The former study was developed during high water levels (June) and fish were sampled in different types of environments (lakes, paranás, igarapés and river channel), while the present investigation was performed in low water levels (October and November) strictly in lakes.

The lower rio Purus, next to its confluence with rio Solimões generates a large floodplain. Flooded areas are known by suffer seasonally dramatic changes in landscapes, modeled by the flood pulse (Junk et al. 1989). During wet period flooded forest consists an important feeding source of fruits and seeds, providing a wider diversity of habitat options, decreasing the density of possible predators (Winemiller & Jepsen 1998, Lowe-Connell 1999). In low water ichthyofauna migrates to available habitats, including main river channel and permanent lakes, increasing predators occurrence in consequence of the reduction of suitable occupancy areas (Goulding 1980). These environmental differences are essential to the maintenance of life cycle of many fish species and biological interactions (Duarte et al. 2010). Despite the efficiency and selectivity of the use of a unique sampling effort (gillnet) we reached a representative number of species (74) and complemented available information of ichthyofauna from lower rio Purus, surveying for...
Table 2. List of fish species captured in floodplain lakes of Reserva de Desenvolvimento Sustentável Piagacu-Purus (RDS-PP), lower rio Purus, in 2009 drought. The references for the classification used are listed in the Material and Methods section. (Locality: 1. Cobra; 2. Pepu grande; 3. Adão; 4. Panela; 5. Macaco; 6. Macaco; 7. Igarapé Açu; 8. Fortuna; 9. Marajá; 10. Cedro; 11. Caverna; 12. Caverninha; 13. Tucuxí; 14. Redondo 1; 15. Redondo 2; 16. Redondo 3; 17. Redondo do caverna 1; 18. Redondo do caverna 2; 19. Henrique; 20. Mureruzinho. Bold numbers are for Protected lakes).

| Classification         | Locality | Voucher INPA |
|------------------------|----------|--------------|
| **TELEOSTEI**           |          |              |
| **OSTEOGLOSSOCEPHALAI** |          |              |
| Osteoglossidae          |          |              |
| Osteoglossum bicirrhosum (Cuvier, 1829) | 3,4,5,6,7,9,10,11,12,14,15,19,20 | 17228, 39950 |
| **CLupeiformes**        |          |              |
| Pristigasteridae        |          |              |
| Pellona flavipinnis     | 1,7,17,18 | 34030, 36729 |
| **Characiformes**       |          |              |
| Acestrorhynchidae       |          |              |
| Acestrorhynchus microlepis (Jardine, 1841) | 1 | 17152 |
| Acestrorhynchus cf. pantaneiro Menezes, 1992 | 1,2,4,6,7,9,17,18,20 | 23749, 36738 |
| Anostomidae             |          |              |
| Leporinus friderici     | 1,4,5,8,12,18,19 | 36714, 48861 |
| Leporinus parae Eigenmann, 1907 | 6,10 | 36734, 36737 |
| Megaleporinus trifasciatus (Steindachner, 1876) | 2,3,12 | 36724 |
| Rhytiodus microlepis Kner, 1858 | 3,4,5,6,7,9,10,11,12,14,15,19,20 | 41882 |
| Schizodon fasciatus Spix & Agassiz, 1829 | 1,4,5,9,13,14,15,16,19 | 36599, 36720 |
| **Bryconidae**          |          |              |
| Brycon amazonicus (Agassiz, 1829) | 13 | 17122, 23628 |
| Brycon melanopterus (Cope, 1872) | 13 | 36665 |
| **Chalcidae**           |          |              |
| Chalceus erythrurus     | 1,2,4,5,8,9,13,19,20 | 17257, 17235 |
| **Characidae**          |          |              |
| Characinae              |          |              |
| Roeboides myersii Gill, 1870 | 1,3,4,13,14,17 | 36573 |
| **Tetragonopterinae**   |          |              |
| Tetragonopterus argenteus Cuvier, 1816 | 13 | 36718 |
| Tetragonopterus chalceus Spix & Agassiz, 1829 | 17 | 42420 |
| **Ctenolucidae**        |          |              |
| Boulengerella maculata (Valenciennes, 1850) | 13 | 42394 |
| **Curimatidae**         |          |              |
| Curimata inornata Vari, 1989 | 19 | 23638, 36707 |
| Curimatella alburna (Müller & Troschel, 1844) | 1,7,17,18,19 | 36708, 36715 |
| Potamorhina altamazonica (Cope, 1878) | 1,2,7,9,16,17,18,19,20 | 36746 |
| Potamorhina pristigaster (Steindachner, 1876) | 4 | 23617, 36730 |
| Psectrogaster amazonica Eigenmann & Eigenmann, 1889 | 1,2,7,18,19 | 36594, 36736 |
| Psectrogaster rutiloides (Kner, 1858) | 1,2,16 | 36558, 36740 |
| Steindachnerina bimaculata (Steindachner, 1876) | 1,2,4,8,10,16,17,19 | 36600, 36626 |
| **Cynodontidae**        |          |              |
| Cynodon gibbus (Spix & Agassiz, 1829) | 1,2,16 | 23746 |
| Rhaphiodon vulpinus Spix & Agassiz, 1829 | 1,3,4,7,11,12,15,17,18 | 18551, 32043 |
Continuation Table 2.

| Classification          | Locality       | Voucher INPA |
|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| **Erythrinidae**        |                |              |
| *Hoplias malabaricus*   (Bloch, 1794) | 1,2,3,6,10,12,15,16 | 33740, 48374 |
| **Hemiodontidae**       |                |              |
| *Hemiodus sp. "rabo de fogo"* | 5,13           | 36706        |
| **Iguanodectidae**      |                |              |
| *Bryconops melanurus*   (Bloch, 1794) | 19             | 36709        |
| **Prochilodontidae**    |                |              |
| *Prochilodus nigricans* Spix & Agassiz, 1829 | 1,3,6,11 | 41661        |
| *Semaprochilodus insignis* (Jardine, 1841) | 1,4,5,8,10,13,17,20 | 41691        |
| *Semaprochilodus taenurus* (Valenciennes, 1821) | 18             | 42456        |
| **Serrasalmidae**       |                |              |
| *Colossoma macropomum*  (Cuvier, 1816) | 12,15,19,20 | 58771        |
| *Metynnis longipinnis*  Zarske & Géry, 2008 | 13             | 39502        |
| *Myloplus aff. asterias* (Müller & Troeschel, 1844) | 13             | 58772        |
| *Myloplus torquatus*    (Kner, 1858) | 9              | 36702        |
| *Mylossoma albiscopum*  (Cope, 1872) | 1,2,3,5,6,11,13 | 36683, 36686 |
| *Mylossoma aureum*      (Spix & Agassiz, 1829) | 4              | 16776, 41655 |
| *Pristobrycon striolatus* (Steindachner, 1908) | 13             | 36703, 41917 |
| *Pygocentrus nattereri* Kner, 1858 | 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18 | 41663, 41689 |
| *Serrasalmus elongatus* Kner, 1858 | 1,2,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,13,17,18 | 36672, 36705 |
| *Serrasalmus maculatus* Kner, 1858 | 1,2,3,4,5,7,8,9,11,12,15,18,19 | 36698, 36699 |
| *Serrasalmus rhombeus*  (Linnaeus, 1766) | 13             | 36704, 36693 |
| *Serrasalmus sp. "2n=58"* | 1,2,3,4,5,7,8,9,10,11,13,15,16,17,18,19 | 36670, 36673 |
| **Triportheidae**       |                |              |
| *Triportheus angulatus* (Spix & Agassiz, 1829) | 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19 | 36713, 36716 |
| *Triportheus auritus*   (Valenciennes, 1850) | 1,2,5,7,13,17,18,20 | 36666, 48380 |
| *Triportheus rotundatus* (Jardine, 1841) | 13             | 36744        |
| **GYMNOTIFORMES**       |                |              |
| **Rhamphichthyidae**    |                |              |
| *Rhamphichthys pantherinus* Castelnau, 1855 | 3,17           | 27614, 42430 |
| **Sternopygidae**       |                |              |
| *Eigenmannia macrops*   (Boulenger, 1897) | 14             | 36717        |
| **SILURIFORMES**        |                |              |
| **Auchenipteridae**     |                |              |
| *Ageneiosus inermis*    (Linnaeus, 1766) | 11,15,16       | 36728, 41700 |
| *Trachelyopterus galeatus* (Linnaeus, 1766) | 12,14,15       | 36661, 41790 |
| *Trachychorystis porosus* Eigenmann & Eigenmann, 1888 | 2,12         | 36732, 36735 |
| **Doradidae**           |                |              |
| *Anadoras grypus*      (Cope, 1872) | 10,12,14,15    | 36733        |
| *Oxydoras niger*       (Valenciennes, 1821) | 2,3,5,11       | 36731        |
| **Callichthyidae**      |                |              |
| *Dianema urostriatum*   (Miranda Ribeiro, 1912) | 20             | 36722        |
| *Hoplosternum littorale* (Hancock, 1828) | 1,3,4,5,6,10,12 | 36710        |
Continuation Table 2.

| Classification        | Locality       | Voucher INPA |
|-----------------------|----------------|--------------|
| **Loricaridae**       |                |              |
| **Hyphostominae**     |                |              |
| Dekeyseria amazonica  | 13             | 17328, 29206 |
| Pterygoplichthys gibbiceps | 2,3,4,6,8,10,11,12,14,15,16,19,20 | 36721, 36664 |
| **Loricariinae**      |                |              |
| Loricariichthys acutus | 1,2,3          | 36712        |
| Loricariichthys nadoirostris | 4           | 34633        |
| **Rhinelepinidae**    |                |              |
| Pseudorinelepis genibarbis | 4,8,10,12,20  | 36745        |
| **Heptapteridae**     |                |              |
| Pimelodella gr. cristata | 7,17          | 36741, 36748 |
| **Pimelodidae**       |                |              |
| Calophysus macropterus | 10,11,15,18   | 36743        |
| Hypophthalmus edentatus | 12,17         | 41720        |
| Hypophthalmus oremaculatus | 16,17       | 36747        |
| Pimelodus blochii Valenciennes, 1840 | 1,2,3,4,7,15,18 | 36711, 36723 |
| Pseudoplatystoma punctifer (Castelnau, 1855) | 16             | 36663        |
| Pseudoplatystoma tigrinum (Valenciennes, 1840) | 16             | 36662        |
| Sorubim lima (Bloch & Schneider, 1801) | 1,4,7,18      | 28028        |
| **CICHLIFORMES**      |                |              |
| **Cichlidae**         |                |              |
| Acarichthys heckelii (Müller & Troschel, 1849) | 2             | 28716, 36719 |
| Astronotus ocellatus (Agassiz, 1831) | 5             | 17092, 17387 |
| Cichla monoculus Spix & Agassiz, 1831 | 2,4,6         | 17363, 49977 |
| Heros spurius Heckel, 1840 | 1,2          | 36742, 41831 |
| Pterophyllum scalare (Schultze, 1823) | 2             | 23711        |
| **PERCIFORMES**       |                |              |
| **Sciaenidae**        |                |              |
| Plagioscion squamosissimus (Castelnau, 1855) | 1,2,7,9,12,15,17,18 | 32078, 48866 |

the first time flooded lakes from RDS-PP during the dry season. As expected, composition of ichthyofauna changed significantly, with 44 new species recorded by us for this region. The most abundant and frequent species, occurring in more than 60% of sampled lakes, including open access and protected, were Pygocentrus nattereri, Triportheus angulatus, Serrasalmus sp. 2n=58, Pterygoplichthys gibbiceps, and Osteoglossum bicirrhosum, all consumed by local population of RDS-PP. Similarly, in floodplain lakes of another reserve (RDS Mamirauá) in Solimões river, piranhas (Serrasalmus spp. and P. nattereri) and aruanã (Osteoglossum bicirrhosum) were the main collected species (Silvano et al. 2009). Osteoglossum bicirrhosum is a relevant artisanal fisheries resource in the entire Amazon (Lowry et al. 2005), and is also used as ornamental in aquarium trades (Santos et al. 2006). Apart from its intense exploitation, the aruanã was the third most abundant with the second highest biomass registered by us. This success can be attributed to the generalist feeding behavior, hunting along lake borders and flooded forests, allied to capacity of exploiting allochthonous food items during the high-water season (Saint-Paul et al. 2000, Lowry et al. 2005, Castillo et al. 2012), by leaping from the water to seek allochthonous prey, including terrestrial invertebrates (Aragão 1984, Goulding 1980) and small vertebrates (Verba et al. 2018).

In the comparison between the two lakes categories, open access presented less number of species (48 vs. 62 in protected). Nevertheless, from the 12 exclusively captured in these lakes, several possess elevated importance for artisanal and commercial fisheries, as Pellona flavipinnis, Semaprochilodus taeniurus, Mylossoma aureum, and the cichlids Astronotus ocellatus and Cichla monocolus, indicating the efficacy of choice of these lakes for fish exploration. On the other hand, the exclusive capture of Colossoma macropomum in protected lakes in RDS Piagüçu-Purus, indicates the efficiency of fishing management model adopted, that had already registered a major probability of capture and higher abundance of this species in protected lakes of RDS Mamirauá (Silvano et al. 2009).
Figure 2. Fish species from floodplain lakes of Reserva de Desenvolvimento Sustentável Piagaçu-Purus (RDS-PP), lower rio Purus, Amazonas State, Brazil. 1) Osteoglossum bicirrhosum INPA 17228, 278.8 mm SL; 2) Pellona flavipinnis INPA 34030, 231.0 mm SL; 3) Acestrorhynchus cf. pantaneiro INPA 23749, 212.8 mm SL; 4) Acestrorhynchus microlepis INPA 17152, 133.0 mm SL; 5) Leporinus friderici INPA 48861, 186.7 mm SL; 6) Leporinus parae INPA 36734, 132.5 mm SL; 7) Megaleporinus trifasciatus INPA 36724, 178.5 mm SL; 8) Rhiodius microlepis INPA 41882, 166.8 mm SL; 9) Schizodon fasciatus INPA 36599, 131.4 mm SL; 10) Brycon amazonicus INPA 17122, 135.8 mm SL; 11) Brycon melanopterus INPA 36573, 108.1 mm SL; 12) Chalceus erythrurus INPA 17235, 174.2 mm SL; 13) Roeboides myersii INPA 36757, 108.1 mm SL; 14) Tetrogonopterus adspersus INPA 36718, 64.2 mm SL; 15) Tetrogonopterus chalceus INPA 42420, 91.1 mm SL; 16) Boulengerella maculata INPA 42394, 192.9 mm SL; 17) Curimata inornata INPA 23638, 130.5 mm SL; 18) Curimatella alburna INPA 36708, 148.8 mm SL; 19) Potamorhina altamazonica INPA 36746, 172.3 mm SL; 20) Potamorhina pristigaster INPA 36730, 172.2 mm SL; 21) Psectrogaster amazonica INPA 36594, 114.2 mm SL; 22) Psectrogaster rutileides INPA 36558, 126.3 mm SL; 23) Steindachnerina bimaculata INPA 36626, 93.0 mm SL; 24) Cynodon gibbus INPA 23746, 207.7 mm SL; 25) Rhaphiodon vulpinus INPA 32043, 220.0 mm SL; 26) Hoplias malabaricus INPA 48374, 100.8 mm SL; 27) Hemiodus sp. “rabo de fogo” INPA 36706, 151.0 mm SL; 28) Bryconops melanurus INPA 36709, 94.4 mm SL; 29) Prochilodus nigricans INPA 41661, 155.6 mm SL.
Figure 3. Fish species from floodplain lakes of Reserva de Desenvolvimento Sustentável Piagaçu-Purus (RDS-PP), lower rio Purus, Amazonas State, Brazil. 30) *Semaprochilodus insignis* INPA 41691, 128.4 mm SL; 31) *Semaprochilodus taeniurus* INPA 42456, 222.5 mm SL; 32) *Colossoma macropomum* INPA 58771, 357.6 mm SL; 33) *Meylania longipinnis* INPA 39502, 98.9 mm SL; 34) *Myloplus aff. asterias* INPA 58772, 189.3 mm SL; 35) *Myloplus torquatus* INPA 36702, 78.8 mm SL; 36) *Mylossoma aureum* INPA 41655, 129.6 mm SL; 37) *Mylossoma albiscopum* INPA 36686, 124.8 mm SL; 38) *Pristobrycon striolatus* INPA 41917, 102.5 mm SL; 39) *Pygocentrus nattereri* INPA 41689, 168.9 mm SL; 40) *Serrasalmus elongatus* INPA 36705, 134.4 mm SL; 41) *Serrasalmus maculatus* INPA 36699, 116.8 mm SL; 42) *Serrasalmus rhombeus* INPA 36693, 109.0 mm SL; 43) *Serrasalmus* sp. "2n=58" INPA 36670, 104.0 mm SL; 44) *Triportheus angulatus* INPA 36713, 126.9 mm SL; 45) *Triportheus auritus* INPA 36666, 94.4 mm SL; 46) *Triportheus rotundatus* INPA 36744, 94.8 mm SL; 47) *Eigenmannia macrops* INPA 36717, 183.1 mm SL; 48) *Rhamphichthys pantherinus* INPA 27614, 573.9 mm SL; 49) *Agenieous inermis* INPA 41700, 126.9 mm SL; 50) *Trachelyopterus galeatus* INPA 41790, 80.4 mm SL; 51) *Anadoras grypus* INPA 36732, 102.0 mm SL; 52) *Anadoras grypus* INPA 36733, 136.1 mm SL.
Figure 4. Fish species from floodplain lakes of Reserva de Desenvolvimento Sustentável Piagaçu-Purus (RDS-PP), lower rio Purus, Amazonas State, Brazil. 53) Oxydoras niger INPA 36731, 163.0 mm SL; 54) Dianema urostriatum INPA 36722, 99.6 mm SL; 55) Hoplosternum littorale INPA 36710, 140.9 mm SL; 56) Dekayseria amazonica INPA 29206, 173.9 mm SL; 57) Pterygoplichthys gibbiceps INPA 36664, 251.2 mm SL; 58) Loricariichthys acutus INPA 36721, 208.1 mm SL; 59) Loricariichthys nudirostris INPA 36710, 140.9 mm SL; 60) Pseudorinelepis genibarbis INPA 36745, 140.3 mm SL; 61) Pimelodella gr. cristata INPA 36741, 120.1 mm SL; 62) Calophysus macropterus INPA 36743, 113.6 mm SL; 63) Hypophthalmus oremaculatus INPA 36747, 185.2 mm SL; 64) Hypophthalmus edentatus INPA 41720, 198.7 mm SL; 65) Pimelodus blochii INPA 36711, 120.6 mm SL; 66) Pseudoplatystoma punctifer INPA 36662, 208.2 mm SL; 67) Pseudoplatystoma tigrinum INPA 36663, 275.5 mm SL; 68) Sorubim lima INPA 28208, 215.7 mm SL; 69) Acanichthys heckeli INPA 28716, 101.4 mm SL; 70) Astronotus ocellatus INPA 17387, 170.4 mm SL; 71) Cichla monoculus INPA 49977, 240.2 mm SL; 72) Heros spurius INPA 41831, 123.4 mm SL; 73) Pterophysum scalare INPA 23711, 80.3 mm SL; 74) Plagioscion squamosissimus INPA 32078, 149.6 mm SL.
Figure 5. Percentages of the total number of collected species of each order in floodplain lakes from rio Purus, Amazonas State, Brazil.

Figure 6. Percentages of the total number of collected species of each family in floodplain lakes from rio Purus, Amazonas State, Brazil.

Table 3. Participation of Teleostei orders in total abundance and species richness observed in protected, open access lakes and shared by protected and open access lakes (overlap) of the lower rio Purus.

| Orders            | Protected | | | Open access | | | Protected + Open access | | |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|                   | Species Richness | Abundance | Species Richness | Abundance | Species Richness | Abundance | |
| Characiformes      | 15        | 69        | 5          | 7         | 24        | 1816      |
| Siluriformes       | 10        | 79        | 2          | 6         | 8         | 194       |
| Cichliformes       | 0         | 0         | 5          | 9         | 0         | 0         |
| Perciformes        | 0         | 0         | 0          | 0         | 1         | 12        |
| Clupeiformes       | 0         | 0         | 0          | 0         | 1         | 27        |
| Osteoglossiformes  | 0         | 0         | 0          | 0         | 1         | 76        |
| Gymnotiformes      | 1         | 1         | 0          | 0         | 1         | 3         |
| Total              | 26        | 149       | 12         | 22        | 36        | 2128      |

However, this can be a consequence of the overexploitation of *C. macropomum* in open access lakes due to its relevance as feed source of protein for entire Amazon population, but not necessarily mean that such management actions have been ineffective. In marine systems, for example, the main goal of major management is to improve fisheries, allowing some fish landings in managed areas (common-based management), without affecting fish species diversity and ecological integrity (Mcclanahan et al. 1997). Though, the model of integral protection of the lakes adopted in RDS-PP focuses in whole habitat protection, preserving ecological patterns and complexity, and seems to be the factor supporting the ecological stability, beyond providing the local population the most appreciated species for feed consumption.

RDS Piagacu-Purus provides legal context for monitoring wildlife along with the local population, gathering data and developing strategies for sustainable use. Additionally, it forms a mosaic of protected areas ruled by several different regimes, with two indigenous reserves (Terra Indigena Lago Ayapua and Itixi Mitari), Reserva Biologica Abufari (REBIO) and Parque Nacional Nascentes do Lago Jari (PARNA), consisting an important region for conservation of ichthyofauna, especially considering fishing productivity of rio Purus. However, recent models of future deforestation in Amazonia predict an increase in the
loss of vegetation cover for the RDS-PP, related to the reactivation of the road BR-319 (Manaus - Porto Velho), which percuss the interfluve between Madeira and Purus rivers (Soares-Filho et al. 2006, Fearnside et al. 2009, Vieira et al. 2015).

Considering all registers published for the rio Purus basin (i.e. La Monte 1935, Rapp Py-Daniel & de Deus 2003, Fernandes et al. 2004, Anjos et al. 2008, Duarte et al. 2010; Claro-Garcia et al. 2013, and the present) 360 currently valid species compose the ichthyofauna of this river basin. Still, we strongly believe that this number is underestimated, with much of the basin still unexplored or only sparsely sampled. Our surveys beyond assessing and illustrating for the first time the ichthyofauna composition of floodplain lakes of RDS Piagaçu-Purus, revealed the occurrence of at least three new species of science in rio Purus, Hemiodus sp., “rabo de fogo”, Myloplus aff. asterias (under description by RPO et al.), and Serrasalmus sp. 2n=58. Principally, our results indicate the potential efficiency of zoning systems of open access and protected lakes established by local population and ruled by RDS-PP for fisheries management. Therefore, we strongly suggest its maintenance for conservation of ichthyofauna of floodplain lakes of lower rio Purus.
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