“If you are on Duty, you may be Afraid to Come out to Attend to a Person”: Fear of Crime and Security Challenges in Maternal Acute Care in Nigeria from a Realist Perspective.
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Abstract

Background Maternal and Child Health (MCH) is a global priority. Access and utilization of facility MCH services remain challenges in low and middle-income countries (LMICs). Evidence on barriers to providing and accessing services omits information on the role of security within facilities. This paper explores the role of security in provision and use of MCH services in primary healthcare (PHC) facilities in Nigeria.

Methods Study was carried out in Anambra state, Nigeria. Qualitative data was collected from 35 in-depth interviews (IDIs) and 16 focus group discussions (FGDs) with purposively identified key informants. Information gathered was used to build a program theory that was tested with another round of IDIs and FGDs and the literature. Data analysis and reporting were based on the Context-Mechanism-Outcome heuristic of Realist Evaluation methodology.

Results Security elements (presence or lack of security guards, fencing, lighting, and staff accommodation) facilitated or constrained provision and uptake of services respectively, by impacting the behavior of staff and patients. Security concerns of facility staff who did not feel safe to let in people into unguarded facilities, mirrored those of pregnant women who did not utilize health facilities because of fear of not being let in and attended to by facility staff.

Conclusion Health facility security needs to be a key consideration in program planning, to avert staff and women's fear of crime which currently constrains provision and use of MCH care at health facilities. This, in turn, should contribute to improved MCH outcomes and facilitate achievement of Universal Health Coverage.

Background

The high maternal and child mortality rates in different low and middle-income countries (LMICs), including Nigeria, have been attributed to inadequate utilization of facility-based maternal health care (MCH) services [1–3], given the direct associations between utilization of maternal and child health services and improved health outcomes [4–6]. Studies have reported demand and supply-side barriers to accessing and utilizing facility-based interventions. Demand-side determinants of access and use of MCH services in different LMICs have been reported to be influenced by individual, household, community and other contextual factors; with a variation across and within cultures [7–10]. Supply-side factors are attributed to inadequate quantity and quality of health workers, their motivation and other factors affecting their retention [6, 11].

Although some studies have reported women's feeling of security and safety while accessing facility services [12], only a few have actually addressed the potential challenges that can arise when facilities are perceived as secure or insecure by both providers and users, especially for vulnerable pregnant women, children, and female health workers [13, 14]. This paper intends to contribute towards addressing this gap in the literature.
The Nigerian government, in 2012, through an MCH program—Subsidy Reinvestment and Empowerment Program for MCH (SURE-P/MCH), embarked on improving supply and demand of MCH services. The supply component included recruiting, training and deployment of midwives and community health workers (CHWs) to selected facilities, upgrading the facility infrastructure and supply of drugs and other consumables for MCH services. The demand component incentivised women in the community to access services through engaging with a novel group of health workers; the village health workers (VHWs) who sensitized and mobilized women within the community and encouraged them to access and use the facility services. In addition, a conditional cash transfer (CCT) scheme was also deployed in some of the facilities to encourage women to use facility services. As part of CCT, cash payments were offered to women who completed a given set of facility MCH activities (Antenatal care, delivery, postnatal care, immunization and family planning). However, there were no explicit measures in the program design to ensure that the facilities were secured and that both providers and users of services felt safe while giving and receiving services, especially at night.

Security has been defined as “pursuit of freedom from threats including violence”[15]. In this paper, we focus on how security operates at the micro (individual) and meso (institutional) context levels, hence, how this notion of protection against violent attacks or coercion and overall feeling of organisation safety causes staff and service users to act, and how these actions then affect healthcare access and uptake[16]. Literature highlights four main perspectives that have characterized the approaches to studying the fear of crime. First is the relationship between fear of crime and social identity characteristics, such as age and gender. The second is the relationship between fear and structural factors like the physical layout of buildings. The third and fourth include the role of media, trust and informal networks [17]. The gender perspective and structural factors are relevant to our study and will be further explored. Fear of crime is recognized to be a significant problem, sometimes more than the crime itself and has also been linked to women’s subordinate social, economic and political status[18]. This entrenched social position of women is reported to be both a product and a reinforcer of fear and hence, over time women’s fear of crime has been normalised and treated as routine [19]. Crime prevention behaviours can be broken down into two major categories: avoidance and risk management strategies. Avoiding dangerous settings reduces the risk of running into potentially threatening situations. Risk management practices are used when one finds oneself in a dangerous situation and/or location and takes precautionary measures to be a less suitable target for victimization [18, 20]. Further, while Koskela and Pain recognize the effect of structural modifications (and a resultant increased sense of ownership of space and increased likelihood of social interaction), in reducing women’s fear, they however agree that the fear of crime is also embedded in other aspects of social life, and hence cannot be completely ‘designed out’ [21]. These concepts, though mostly originating from higher-income countries, support transferable principles to low and middle-income contexts, where women are even perceived as more vulnerable and of comparably lower socioeconomic status, especially in the rural areas [13, 14, 22, 23].

This paper explores the role of health facility security and feeling of safety, which determine the provision and uptake of health services respectively, from the perspective of health staff, who were all females and health service users (pregnant women). In this paper, we define security as i) the absence of fear of crime
and the feeling of safety within health care facilities. These feelings were assumed to support the provision of 24-hour services by health workers and in this way, improve users’ access and utilisation of these services.

Methods

Study Setting

Nigeria is a coastal West African country divided into 36 states and a federal capital territory. This evaluation was carried out in Anambra state, one of the 36 states, located in the south-eastern region. Anambra state was purposively chosen as a case study for in-depth understanding of the inquiry into SURE-P/MCH, because of the researchers’ longstanding engagements in the state. The program was initially carried out in 12 primary health care (PHC) facilities, beginning from October 2012. A year later, another 12 PHCs were selected and included in the program, which ended in November 2015. This study focused on the initial 12 facilities because they had a longer experience of the intervention. The MCH interventions were carried out in all 12 PHCs, but the demand side conditional cash transfer program (CCT) was implemented in four out of the twelve PHCs, as a pilot scheme. Each facility had a ward development committee (WDC) made up of community members. Facility managers and health workers (nurses, midwives and community health extension workers) were all females. The WDC is usually comprised of 8 to 10 members and has a gender mix.

Study design

Realist evaluation (RE) [24, 25] was used to assess this program. RE moves beyond cause and effect, to focus on ‘what works, how it works, under what conditions and for whom it works’ using the context, mechanism and outcome (C-M-O) configurations as a heuristic [24, 26, 27]. Context refers to the conditions in which programs are introduced, and can include political and economic conditions, cultural norms and beliefs. Mechanism includes two aspects, first the process of reasoning of how subjects interpret and act upon program intervention, at a given time, in a given context, and secondly how they interact with available program resources. Outcomes are described as the patterns of intended and unintended consequences that result from mechanisms triggered in different contexts, and may be proximal, intermediate or distal outcomes [24, 28]. Theories about how programs are expected to work ("program theories") are developed based on this configuration and are then iteratively tested and refined with empirical data gathered through appropriate methods and against available literature [24, 29]. The overall evaluation approach sought to answer the question ‘what works for whom under what circumstances, how and why’ using both qualitative and quantitative methods. This paper presents a component of the larger RE study described elsewhere [27, 30], specifically focusing on exploring the notion of facility security using qualitative data. Study was carried out in two phases. Phase 1 was exploratory during which, we conducted in-depth qualitative interviews to glean initial knowledge to build our program theories. Security emerged as a distinct theme from these exploratory interviews and formed a distinct program theory, which was then iteratively tested, validated and refined in the second phase,
based on views of patients and health staff and against the body of literature related to security. We report our findings using C-M-O linkages.

**Sampling and data collection**

In Phase 1 (March-October 2016), eight health facilities were purposively sampled to include the four facilities that had an additional demand side intervention and another four chosen randomly. Interview respondents were purposively selected from these facilities to include the facility managers, a program midwife and a pre-existing (before program) health worker. On the demand side, we sampled service users (women who had received maternal care services during the program intervention (October 2012-November 2015, and were also receiving maternal care services during the study period) and the WDC members, who are community representatives that oversee the functioning of the facilities. Program managers and relevant state and local government level policymakers were also interviewed. We also visited the 12 health facilities during both phases of data collection, to directly observe the structural security components (perimeter fencing, secure gates, security guards and staff accommodation).

Data collection included document reviews to ascertain the program’s approach, in-depth interviews (IDIs) and focus group discussions (FGDs) to explore stakeholders’ views and experiences.

35 in-depth interviews (IDIs) were conducted with policymakers (n = 9), program managers (n = 10), facility managers (n = 8) and health workers (n = 8). FGDs were conducted with eight groups of service users (8–10 respondents per group) and eight groups of WDCs (6–8 respondents per group). Health workers comprised nurses, midwives and community health extension workers (CHEWs). Researchers, who were trained in realist qualitative interviewing [25], conducted all interviews. Information gleaned from these interviews were synthesised and informed our program theory on Security and Safety. In Phase 2 (July-December 2018), further interviews included IDIs with health workers (n = 8) and FGDs with four groups of service users (5–10 per group) because these were the respondent groups directly involved with providing and utilizing round the clock facility-based MCH services. All Phase 2 interviews were conducted in the remaining four facilities which were not sampled in Phase 1, hence respondents were different from Phase 1 respondents. Interview question guides for various groups of respondents were developed for this project and they are included as Supplementary files.

**Data Analysis**

Interviews were transcribed verbatim and analysed manually, systematically identifying emerging themes, which were then organised according to whether they were perceived to be Context, Mechanism or Outcomes and initial linkages between all these were recorded. Data analysis was guided by our hypothesis that the presence or absence of security components given (by the program) and/or existing resources and how various stakeholders (service users and providers) interacted with these resources to produce behaviours which manifest in their actions. It is these combinations that give rise to the outcome patterns observed and reported here. With information synthesised from Phase 1, we proposed a program theory for Security and Safety as follows; “In the context where programs or communities ensure employment of security guards, erect perimeter fences and there is availability of accommodation and
adequate lighting in the health facility premises, health workers and service users are likely to feel safer and therefore willing to provide and use MCH services respectively, thus ensuring the provision of round the clock MCH services, and improved access and utilization of MCH services. “We tested this theory by retroductively analysing information gathered from the Phase 2 interviews. We then reported varying explanatory CMO configurations which emerged from our data, in line with the RAMESES Realist Evaluation reporting standards [31]. In the analysis, we acknowledge that realist evaluation is not primarily concerned with whether secure facilities (or insecure facilities) directly lead to increased (or decreased) facility access and utilization (i.e. causation), rather we used RE to explore how participants interacted with the resources (emotional, social, material, economic and sometimes political) offered by the SURE-P/MCH program to produce actions which led to observed program outcomes [26].

Ethical considerations

Ethical approvals were granted by the School of Medicine Research Ethics Committee at the Faculty of Medicine and Health at the University of Leeds (ref: SoMREC/14/097) and the Health Research Ethics Committee at the University of Nigeria Teaching Hospital (ref: NHREC/05/02/2008B-FWA00002458-1RB00002323). Written informed consent was obtained from all study participants and they were assured of confidentiality during reporting of findings.

Results

One or more contextual factors were identified within the program intervention which may or may not interact to trigger mechanisms, which causes service providers and users to behave in ways that lead to various outcomes. Below, we present different C-M-O configurations which explore the presence or absence of security components during the program and community efforts to maintain facility security after the program. We present three revised CMO configurations (after testing our initial program theory) related to the presence of security in the healthcare facility:

CMO1: Provision of security fence, security guard, adequate lighting and staff accommodation within the health facility supports health workers and service users to feel safe and confident to provide and use 24-hour services.

In facilities where these security components were in place or had been instituted at the beginning of the program, health workers and service users perceived the facilities as guarded and secure, hence felt safe to provide and use services. This was reported by program managers, users and staff and exemplified with the following quote by a program manager:

“[Security] It was a big problem. That was why they could not run 24-hours services. So, we had to fence some of the health centers and put [i.e. recruit] security men, just to make sure that they are secured.. So, if they [health workers] get there, number one, security is utmost to them. It is very important that at least you ensure that their own lives are safe so that they can save other women’s lives.” (IDI, program manager, male)
Availability of staff accommodation within the health facility resulted in more health workers living within the facility, thus making workers feel safe at night, being aware that other co-workers (and their families) living in the facility. This increased the provision of 24-hour services, and utilization especially at nights, which explained how security personnel and healthcare staff were available during nocturnal obstetric emergencies:

“There was a woman around my house who my husband called when I was in labor, she ran out to get a taxi. We got here by 2am and the gateman went to get a nurse and they immediately attended to me, they took very good care of me!” (FGD-, female, farmer/petty trader)

During the program, health facilities had adequate numbers of staff that made it possible for there to be more than one health worker running a shift. The fact that they worked in pairs made the health workers feel safer, in addition to the feeling that they had help at hand from other staff living within the facility accommodation, if there was any threat.

“In a shift, we may have up to two or three [staff], so being that you have a colleague, it will still stimulate you, despite the fact that there is no gate or fence, but having someone you are working with, that will scare away the fear. So, it really helped us and increased the health care services that we gave to them.” (IDI, health worker)

In opposition to this, the absence of security in healthcare facilities was identified as having a different impact which was phrased as:

CMO2: Absence of security (fence, security guard and adequate lighting) and no staff accommodation within facility made health workers feel unsafe within the facility, especially at night, with a resultant reduction in 24 hours access and utilization of facility services by service users.

Before the program, some facilities were not fenced and did not have gates and security men to safeguard health workers and patients. This created a feeling of fear and insecurity among the health workers and service users, which resulted in challenges highlighted by the participants. In some facilities where night-time security was not assured, health workers resorted to locking the facility doors at night and would not respond when potential service users knocked as they were not certain they were free from threats. Pregnant women and their family members experienced being turned back at unguarded facility doors from within because the night duty staff were unable to ascertain the identity of the potential service users and did not feel safe to open the doors.

“….. many centers don’t have security men. We have about 40 health facilities here, both full-fledged primary health care and health posts, but we have only one security man. Because most deliveries are usually in the night, when these women come shouting, crying……and there is no security man to help assure that the person that has come is actually a pregnant woman and not a robber, the nurse will not come out…” (IDI, Local Govt. Policymaker-male)
Within the context of these pre-existing conditions, when SURE-P/MCH resources were phased out some communities collaborated to preserve security in the health centres ensuring the sustainability of this outcome. This was phrased as:

CMO 3: *In facilities where the community ensured sustained presence of security guard after SURE-P/MCH, health workers continued to feel safe and confident to provide 24 hours services, and hence sustained, improved service delivery and utilization.*

Participants highlighted the collaborative efforts made by their communities to safeguard the PHCs and how these efforts produced positive effects including some communities employing and paying security guards to help secure the facilities and medicines that were brought therein. Fences, gates and security personnel encouraged the health workers to feel safe in their places of work and had confidence in their host communities, which also encouraged community members to utilize PHC facilities.

“There was a time when people's children were stolen [abducted]. The community decided to stop this through employing a security man who will safeguard the facility. The security guard was paid by the Ward Development Committee (WDC)” (FGD, male WDC member)

Conversely, in communities that were unable to sustain the program inputs or take initiatives to provide security, service provision and utilization became constrained once the program ended. An example is illustrated with the quote below:

“A friend of mine who was pregnant came to the health center with her husband in the middle of the night without knowing that the program had ended. We stood long at the gate and knocked for almost an hour but there was no response. I went to the second gate and it was empty,...I got tired and picked a stone and threw it on the roof. Someone eventually came out…” (FGD-, female, petty trader)

Even when women had available emergency transportation, after arrival at the premises, delayed or denied facility access were reported by users and confirmed by staff who feared for their own safety. Once a decision to seek medical care has been made, other obstacles had to be overcome when the medical facility was reached. These included: delay in receiving prompt care after reaching the hospital, and if access was denied due to the fear of crime, women had to seek care at another medical facility.

CMO 4: *Presence of a male security guard in the facility made the female health workers feel safer and more secure and confident to deliver 24 hours services leading to improved service delivery, access and utilization.*

In Nigeria, the responsibility of performing security roles such as opening gates for and screening visitors at night usually relies on male security guards. Where there were no security guards employed, and the health workers had to carry out this function at nights, it made them feel vulnerable and sometimes they completely refused to take on these roles. Staff believed that having a male security guard in their midst was a source of strength for them.
“A woman is not supposed to open this gate for a visitor in the night, a woman, a nurse. It is the watch night [security guard] that will open it, come to the quarters [accommodation] and call the nurse whether there is a fence or not. It is the watch night that will call us before we come out. At least we have hope that we have a man in our midst. Nurses are women but all the time we will be the watch night, we will be the nurse, we will be everything and one person on duty. ... Security matters a lot ...” (IDI, facility manager/health worker, female).

Staff also pointed out that service users also felt safer once they arrive at the facility. Although the gender of the security guard and staff was identified by respondents as important, there is a more nuanced understanding of the security guards in this context, not only in terms of gender but also on their knowledge of the community and ability to identify strangers who may be perceived as a threat, conflict management skills experience, crime deterrence equipment and symbolic authority (i.e. uniform).

In summary, these CMO configurations show that a well-resourced MCH program (what) will be beneficial (work) to MCH service providers and users (Whom), in circumstances where there are adequate resources and the health facility is secure enough (especially at night) to make them feel safe to offer and use services (how). This could be because, anecdotally, a large proportion of deliveries occur at night (why). We further refined our program theory as follows, “In the context where programs, or communities ensure sustained employment of security guards, erect perimeter fences and there is availability of accommodation and adequate lighting in the health facility premises, female health workers and service users (pregnant women) are likely to feel safer (especially at night) and therefore willing to provide and/or use MCH services respectively, thus ensuring the provision of round the clock MCH services, and improved access and utilization of MCH services.” We further acknowledge that this theory can and will be refined by future studies.

Discussion

This paper identified the decisions and behaviours triggered in female health workers and service users, by the presence or lack of adequate facility security components and elaborated the importance of health facility security in the provision and uptake of MCH services at grassroots level [16]. The findings highlight two key issues. The first is that, different security elements as operationalised in this study (fencing, gate, security guard, electricity, staff living within facility accommodation and more than one staff running a shift) work in different combinations to contribute to perceived feelings of safety, depending on whether these components are available or not. Second, the study highlights how an otherwise well-resourced program can be constrained or facilitated by a singular component (in this case security), where it is included or excluded in the initial program design or during implementation.

Experiences of healthcare workers, who were all females, in the study area, differed according to whether they perceived their facilities to be safe or unsafe to provide 24-hour services. Our study found that in facilities where a combination of the presence of security guard and structural security (perimeter fencing, adequate lighting) were in place, the health workers and service users felt safe to provide and
utilize facility-based MCH services respectively, and expectedly, the reverse was the case in perceived insecure facilities. Although it was not stated in the findings, whether the security guards were equipped with alarms, whistles, for example, to alert people, the sheer physical presence of a security guard made health workers, feel safer within the facilities.

One action the health workers took to ensure their safety in the facilities at night was to lock the facility and not let anyone in, through the night. Even when users were willing to initiate healthcare utilization, healthcare staff prevented utilization from happening by physically closing the access to the facilities which potentially could increase the risk of negative healthcare outcomes for mothers and newborns. The desire to utilize proven, effective maternal and child healthcare (MCH) services available in primary health care (PHC) facilities in any context will depend on the value mothers place on the primary health care services and their estimation of the goal of achieving good health for their children. Household, community and other contextual factors, like previous or long-term experiences e.g. mothers with negative experiences from the health facilities in the form of lack of health personnel, poor attitude of the health workers, etc. will place lower values on the use of health care facilities [32]. Service users who have been turned away at night by health workers may perceive the facility as insensitive, unsafe or inadequate for their MCH needs and hence the perception may trigger mechanisms that will likely alter their health-seeking behavior. Health workers’ attitude in guarding their own safety may result in negative consequences such as complications during labor, depending on the stage at which a woman attends the facility. In the longer term, this may make women not to even access the facility at all and seek alternative care from other sources, such as traditional birth attendants [33], some of whom may not be skilled [34, 35].

Lack of security in facilities (absence of perimeter fencing, inadequate lighting, lack of within-facility accommodation and absence of security guards) have raised issues of access across some other LMICs (Tanzania, Nepal, Swaziland, South Africa and Kenya) to varying degrees, especially in the rural areas. These range from the limitation of provision and access to services, to service providers changing jobs to facilities where they perceive to be more secure [13, 14, 22, 23, 36] [13]. Low retention of public sector nurses for the private sector in South Africa have been related to lack of security in the public facilities [22]. Concerns about different crimes; stolen babies, threatening of staff have been documented in facilities in some areas of South Africa and report, that in these areas, nurses were three times more likely to experience crime and violence in the workplace than other occupational groups [37, 38].

Security components also need to be provided in an acceptable combination to providers and users of facility services, in order to trigger the feeling of safety. Of these, however, the presence of a trained security guard within the facility is perceived to be crucial to the feeling of safety by female health workers. This supports theories of other underlying social factors to the fear of crime [39]. It would appear that provision of security guards, a pivotal security component, was left at the discretion of the community and not explicitly incorporated in the program design either in the supply or demand side. Where the community takes ownership of the program and intervenes to provide security guards, this led to improved provision and utilization of services. It has been argued, that community health worker
(CHW) programs, like the SURE-P/MCH, while being integrated into the health sector also need to be embedded in the community systems, for a more holistic approach to service delivery, and that this is required in order to successfully implement CHW programs at scale as has been experienced in some LMICs [40]. However, there is also another significant factor related to health workers’ safety. The presence of other health workers living within the premises, some with their families, increased the perception that there were other people within reach, in case of any threats. This is a situation where structural modifications lead to ‘increased ownership of space and social interaction’ as theorized by Koskela and Pain [21]. Lack of staff accommodation was cited as one of the reasons why health workers did not want to be posted to work in remote areas of Nepal [23].

There is ample anecdotal evidence across the data from respondents that most deliveries take place at night. This contributed to a heightened sense of vulnerability and feeling of unsafety amongst service providers and users alike. Darkness and being alone are two potential factors that heighten the fear of personal safety and indicate potential risk [41]. Some of the study facilities did not have electricity, with the result that the facilities were dark at night and this resulted in health workers not providing needed services, and service users seeking healthcare elsewhere [35].

**Conclusions**

MCH programs, can be constrained or facilitated by a singular component (in this case security), if it is included or excluded in the initial program design or during implementation. In some LMIC countries, workplace safety is severely compromised and staff fear and reality of violence in healthcare facilities may constrain intended program outcomes. Federal and state governments need to work closely with Local Government authorities and facility managers to ensure that the security needs of PHCs are met and workplace safety is ensured [42]. Communities should be incentivized by the government to enable them to employ community members as security personnel for the facilities, as they stand a better chance of knowing how, and from whom to protect the facility.

The strengths of this study include the longitudinal nature of the design which allowed exploring effects beyond the program lifetime and the realist evaluation approach adopted which allowed going beyond the list of key influences to identifying how security works. Limitations are the relatively small scale of the study, being carried out in only one state, hence not allowing for comparison of different state contexts and their influence on program outcomes. Further studies also need to specifically disaggregate health facility services, especially deliveries, by time of day, to lend evidence for policy recommendations.

Although socio-cultural beliefs and individual barriers are often the focus of investigations of factors that influence women's likelihood to seek healthcare during pregnancy and labour, our findings highlight the importance of structural factors including the provision of adequate physical as well as human security elements in health facilities to improve access to grassroots-level maternal and child health services. We believe these findings are transferable principles especially to other LMICs. Hence, facility-based health programs need to explicitly incorporate security of service providers and users in program designs.
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