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**ABSTRACT**

Chronic medical conditions are increasingly common and associated with a high burden for persons affected by them. Digital health interventions might be a viable way to support persons with a chronic illness in their coping and self-management. The present special issue's editorial on digital health interventions in chronic medical conditions summarizes core findings and discusses next steps needed to further the field while avoiding to reinvent the wheel, thereby elaborating on four topics extracted from the special issue's articles: 1) Needs assessment and digital intervention development, 2) Efficacy and (cost-)effectiveness, 3) Dissemination and implementation research: reach and engagement as well as 4) next generation of digital interventions.

The substantial number of papers on need assessment and intervention development target a broad range of chronic medical conditions, including diabetes (Geirhos et al., 2021; Mellergård et al., 2021; Muijs et al., 2021), cancer (Harnas et al., 2021; Nap-van der Vlist et al., 2021; van der Hout et al., 2021), arthritis and pain (Blaney et al., 2021; Geirhos et al., 2021; Terhorst et al., 2021; Terpstra et al., 2021), cystic fibrosis (Geirhos et al., 2021; Nap-van der Vlist et al., 2021; Verkleij et al., 2021), asthma (Bonnert et al., 2021) and coronary artery disease (Bendig et al., 2021) as well as chronic medical conditions in general (Domhardt et al., 2021b) in adults as well as youth (Domhardt et al., 2021b; Geirhos et al., 2021; Nap-van der Vlist et al., 2021).

This special issue provides us the opportunity to reflect on the current status of digital health in medical conditions in the broader context of digital interventions and identify the next steps needed to further the field while avoiding to reinvent the wheel, with four extracted interdependent categories (Fig. 1):

1. Needs Assessment and Digital Intervention Development
2. Efficacy and (Cost-)Effectiveness
3. Dissemination and Implementation Research: Reach and Engagement
4. Next Generation of Digital Interventions

### 1. Needs assessment and digital intervention development

The substantial number of papers on need assessment and intervention development in this special issue appears indicative for the field of digital health interventions in people with chronic medical conditions being still in its early stage. While there are clearly overarching psycho-social themes across diseases, it is important to identify the specific needs, challenges and preferences of specific patient groups as they may vary across conditions and severity stage of disease. For example people
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with diabetes mellitus (Geirhos et al., 2021; Melligård et al., 2021) might be particularly interested in interventions supporting their long-term daily struggle, while those with a progressive condition or in palliative care phase (Capurro et al., 2014; Verkleij et al., 2021) may have a demand for meaning-centered interventions, related to coping with limited life-expectancy, dying or struggling with the helplessness in a not yet always very supportive, personalised health care system. As exemplified by Carolan-Olah et al. (2021), interventions should also be attuned to the demands and preferences of the target population, in socio-demographic and cultural terms, next to the disease-specific needs. Interventions are to be optimized for people with a medical condition with a migration background, low socioeconomic status and different educational levels. In this context, it seems recommendable to build interventions on existing knowledge gathered in the past two decades of digital intervention development, that have led to intervention development guidelines and recommendations from both a clinical and a technological perspective (Edwards-Stewart et al., 2019; Holfelder et al., 2021; Karekla et al., 2019; Michie et al., 2017).

2. Efficacy and (cost-)effectiveness

Once needs are assessed and the interventions developed, they usually pass the translational process of clinical testing with feasibility and pilot trials followed by efficacy and (cost-)effectiveness trials. A few aspects warrant special attention in order to make a difference in the field of digital interventions for people with chronic medical conditions. First, interventions might not work the same across disease conditions and at different stages of the disease and treatment. As one example, Bendig et al. (2021) reported on a failed trial examining an intervention for depression in people with coronary artery disease, while we do know that this kind of intervention can work e.g. for people with diabetes (Nobis et al., 2018; Nobis et al., 2015; van Bastelaar et al., 2008). However, two other trials, one on the prevention of depression in patients with back pain (Sander et al., 2020) and one on the treatment of depression in patients with back pain (Baumeister et al., 2021), using almost the same intervention highlighted that we still need to take a closer look at what works for whom at which stage of disease. While the prevention trial, targeting individuals with chronic pain and subclinical symptoms of depression, resulted in a more than impressive hazard ratio of 0.48, i.e. onset of depression was halved within a period of twelve months (Sander et al., 2020), the treatment trial, including only people with a diagnosed Major Depression Disorder, provided a null-finding, at least for the primary outcome (Baumeister et al., 2021), despite the fact that the efficacy of digital depression interventions in the general population is well established (Königbauer et al., 2017). This finding also supports the assumption that evidence generated in the general population can not necessarily be simply generalized to chronic medical populations.

Thus, digital interventions for depression in chronic medical conditions can work and make a difference, but we cannot assume they work for all people at any stage of disease with any intervention, regardless of the specific active components and technological approaches. More research is warranted to deepen our understanding of the differential effectiveness of interventions by means of moderator analyses as estimator for personalised intervention provision as well as studies on the active components and the mechanisms of change (Breitbart et al., 2010). As one example, it has been shown that people with chronic pain are more likely to benefit from an Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT) based pain intervention in case of initially lower psychological flexibility (Probst et al., 2018), and psychological flexibility has been verified as mechanism of change (Lin et al., 2018). Improving our knowledge on the moderators, causal factors and mechanisms of change can iteratively inform future intervention development to optimize (digital) health care (Domhardt et al., 2021a).

3. Dissemination and implementation research: reach and engagement

Dissemination and implementation research should accompany our efforts to inform health care policy about the effectiveness and potential of digital interventions in real world settings (Etzelmueller et al., 2020; Gaebel et al., 2021; Titov et al., 2018). A main challenge in this context is reach, i.e. reaching the target population at large and facilitating acceptance towards digital health interventions (Baumeister et al., 2014; Baumeister et al., 2015; Baumeister et al., 2020), as well as reaching those not yet well covered by our health care systems, thereby aiming to reduce health care disparities (Wasserman et al., 2019). A second topic of interest is engagement, i.e. scientific evidence on how digital health interventions are used in real word settings outside the research context. Fleming et al. (2018) highlighted that completion or sustained use of
self-help interventions for depression, low mood or anxiety provided in real-world was only registered for 0.5% to 28.6% of health intervention users. Similarly, Baumeel et al. (2019) calculated that there is a research bias regarding engagement estimates with intervention usage being four times higher in trials compared with the same interventions in real-world. Therefore, the report by van der Hout et al. (2021) in this special issue on reasons for not reaching or engaging cancer patients regarding the provided digital intervention is of utmost relevance, underscoring the need to better understand ways of implementing evidence-based health care delivery models.

4. Next generation of digital health interventions

Information from the translational process of developing and evaluating digital health interventions for people with chronic medical conditions can help to further improve interventions, thereby taking the rapid technological innovation cycle into account. Next generation digital health interventions for people with chronic medical conditions will not only be informed by formative feedback from studies alongside the translational process, but also by intervention designs aiming to provide personalised and adaptive interventions such as Just-in-time-adaptive interventions (i.e. personalised interventions provided at a moment of opportunity; (Nahum-Shani et al., 2017)) or AI-based med adaptive interventions (i.e. personalised interventions provided at a time of need). This can help to improve intervention engagement - one of the well-established barriers to successful intervention designs (Baumeister et al., 2019). The complexity of this kind of technologically enhanced interventions is nicely illustrated by Harnas et al. (2021) in this special issue, who discuss the translational process, but also by intervention designs aiming to provide personalised and adaptive interventions such as Just-in-time-adaptive interventions (i.e. personalised interventions provided at a moment of opportunity; (Nahum-Shani et al., 2017)) or AI-based med adaptive interventions (i.e. personalised interventions provided at a time of need). This can help to improve intervention engagement - one of the well-established barriers to successful intervention designs (Baumeister et al., 2019).

5. Conclusion

The present special issue clearly illustrates the potential as well as the challenges that we face on the road to exploit the full potential of digital intervention for people with a chronic medical condition. We commend the many colleagues who have contributed to this special issue and provided much needed evidence to add to this developing field of research. We hope it will stimulate future research within and across medical conditions to provide answers on how digital interventions can make a difference to help improve the lives of people with chronic medical conditions – within and beyond established health care services.
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