Exponential time differencing based efficient SC-PML for RCS simulation
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Abstract: To efficiently simulate and calculate the radar cross section (RCS) related electromagnetic problems by employing the finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) algorithm, an efficient stretched coordinate perfectly matched layer (ESC-PML) based upon the exponential time differing (ETD) method is proposed. The proposed implementation can not only reduce the number of auxiliary variables in the SC-PML regions but also maintain the ability of the original SC-PML in terms of the absorbing performance. Compared with the other existed algorithms, the ETD-FDTD method shows the least memory consumption resulting in the computational efficiency. The effectiveness and efficiency of the proposed ESC-PML scheme is verified through the RCS relevant problems including the perfect E conductor (PEC) sphere model and the patch antenna model. The results indicate that the proposed scheme has the advantages of the ETD-FDTD method and ESC-PML scheme in terms of high computational efficiency and considerable computational accuracy.
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1. Introduction

As one of the most widespread full-wave analytic procedures in the numerical simulation, the finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) algorithm, proposed by Yee, is deemed as one of the most powerful tools in solving the Maxwell’s equations, simulating the wave propagation. With its high computational efficiency, simplicity and wideband capability, the FDTD algorithm has widely application especially in calculating the radar target characteristics. The simulation of radar cross section (RCS) is one of the most significantly problems among various challenges in the radar system. The RCS is the pivotal element in the radar detection and stealth [1]. Consequently, it is important to predict and calculate the RCS accurately. To achieve such ends, a precise RCS evaluation by employing the full-wave numerical simulation is regarded as a frontier science. Among the RCS concepts, the RCS in the far field has raised public concern, especially far field RCS characteristics [2].

When simulating the far field RCS by the FDTD algorithm, the large open region problems must be considered. As the computers cannot simulate the infinite computational domain, the boundary condition must be employed during the calculation [3]. The absorbing boundary condition (ABC) is employed to absorb the outgoing waves and reduce the wave reflection so that the space outside the computational domain becomes lager resulting in the negligible level of the reflection waves in the ABC regions. As one of the most well-known ABCs, the perfectly matched layer (PML), proposed by Bérenger, has been extensively researched [4]. Among several PML implementations, the stretched coordinate PML (SC-PML), proposed by Chew and Weedon, is introduced to simplify its implementation at corners and edges of the PML regions [5]. Since then, several SC-PML schemes have been carried out including the auxiliary differential equation (ADE) method, the bilinear Z transform (BZT) method and the matched Z transform (MZT) method [6–8]. However, at least two auxiliary variables are employed in the afore-mentioned schemes, resulting the quite low computational efficiency.

In order to improve the computational efficiency and maintain the computational accuracy, several efficient SC-PML (ESC-PML) implementations have been introduced. In 2006, Li proposed an ESC-PML, denoted as LI-PML, by combining the second-order differential equations with the digital signal processing techniques [9]. However, LI-PML has complicate mathematical derivation due to introducing the higher order equations. The memory consumption still maintains a high level. In 2011, Ramadan proposed an ESC-PML, denoted as OR-PML [10]. Compared with LI-PML, second-order differential equations are re-
placed by first-order equations resulting in lower memory consumption. In 2019, Jiang proposed ESC-PMLs based on the ADE, BZT and MZT methods, denoted as CT-ADE-PML, CT-BZT-PML and CT-MZT-PML, respectively [11,12]. Fewer arithmetic operations and coefficients have been obtained. However, the above-mentioned methods still have their own limitations. They are not clear as the discretization scheme should be used when high-order derivatives are involved [13]. Thus, the exponential time differencing (ETD) method which involves the exact integration of the governing equations is introduced [14].

Here, the ESC-PML implementation is proposed based on the ETD-FDTD method, denoted as ETD-PML. The proposed ETD-PML obtains the better performance and higher computational efficiency by decreasing the auxiliary variables compared with the existed PMLs including ESC-PMLs and uniaxial PML (UPML) which has widely employed in the commercial software. Compared with the previous works on ESC-PMLs, the proposal can further reduce the operation manipulations. The computational efficiency is verified both in theory and numerical simulation. Through numerical examples including the perfect E conductor (PEC) sphere model and the patch antenna model, the effectiveness of the proposed method has been demonstrated. The results show that the proposed scheme can not only maintain the absorbing performance of SC-PMLs but also decrease the computational resources during the simulation.

2. Formulations

By introducing the generalized material independent concept, the proposed ETD-PML can be independent of the materials [11,12]. Thus, the electric flux density \( D \) and the magnetic flux density \( B \) are introduced as follows:

\[
D(\omega) = \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon(\omega) E(\omega)
\]

\[
B(\omega) = \mu_0 \mu(\omega) H(\omega)
\]

where \( \varepsilon_0 \) and \( \mu_0 \) are the permittivity and permeability of the vacuum, respectively; \( \varepsilon(\omega) \) and \( \mu(\omega) \) are the relative permittivity and permeability, respectively; \( E(\omega) \) and \( H(\omega) \) are the electric field intensity and magnetic field intensity, respectively. The Maxwell’s equations in the 3-D SC-PML regions can be given as

\[
j \omega D(\omega) = \nabla_s \times H(\omega)
\]

\[
-j \omega B(\omega) = \nabla_s \times E(\omega)
\]

where

\[
\nabla_s = \hat{x} \frac{1}{S_x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \hat{y} \frac{1}{S_y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \hat{z} \frac{1}{S_z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.
\]

It can be observed that the materials’ information can be expressed by employing (3) and (4). Through the calculation of \( D \) and \( B \), the Maxwell’s equations for terminating arbitrary mediums can be renovated by employing the generalized material independent concept.

For simplicity, the \( x \)-projection of the Ampere’s law is selected as an example. The other components can be updated by employing the same approach. Equation (3) can be rewritten as

\[
j \omega D_x = \frac{1}{S_y} \frac{\partial H_z}{\partial y} - \frac{1}{S_z} \frac{\partial H_y}{\partial z}
\]

where \( D_x \) is the electric flux density in \( x \)-direction; \( H_y \) and \( H_z \) are the magnetic component in \( y \)- and \( z \)-directions, respectively; \( S_\eta \) \( (\eta = x, y, z) \) is the stretched coordinate variables which can be defined as

\[
S_\eta = 1 + \frac{\sigma_\eta}{j \omega \varepsilon_0}
\]

where \( \sigma_\eta \) is the PML conductivity profile defined as a function of the distance from the interface of the PML and the computational domain along the \( \eta \)-direction. By multiplying \( (j \omega)^{-1} \) at both sides of (6) and substituting (7) into (6), the result can be written as

\[
D_x = \frac{1}{j \omega + \frac{\sigma_y}{\varepsilon_0}} \frac{\partial H_z}{\partial y} - \frac{1}{j \omega + \frac{\sigma_z}{\varepsilon_0}} \frac{\partial H_y}{\partial z}
\]

where \( \sigma_y \) and \( \sigma_z \) are the conductivity in the PML region.

By rearranging (8) and auxiliary variables \( F_x \), one obtains

\[
D_x + \frac{1}{j \omega + \frac{\sigma_z}{\varepsilon_0}} \frac{\partial H_y}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{j \omega + \frac{\sigma_y}{\varepsilon_0}} \frac{\partial H_z}{\partial y} = F_x
\]

It can be observed that (9) can be splitted into two sub-equations which can be written as

\[
j \omega D_x + \frac{\sigma_z}{\varepsilon_0} D_x + \frac{\sigma_y}{\varepsilon_0} D_y = j \omega F_x + \frac{\sigma_z}{\varepsilon_0} F_x,
\]

\[
j \omega F_x + \frac{\sigma_y}{\varepsilon_0} F_x = \frac{\partial H_z}{\partial y}.
\]

By employing the relationship \( j \omega \Leftrightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \) (10) and (11) can be rewritten as

\[
\frac{\partial D_x}{\partial t} + \frac{\sigma_z}{\varepsilon_0} D_x + \frac{\sigma_y}{\varepsilon_0} D_y = \frac{\partial F_x}{\partial t} + \frac{\sigma_z}{\varepsilon_0} F_x,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial F_x}{\partial t} + \frac{\sigma_y}{\varepsilon_0} F_x = \frac{\partial H_z}{\partial y}
\]

where \( t \) is time variable.

Following the ETD-FDTD method, by multiplying \( e^{\frac{\sigma_y t}{\varepsilon_0}} \) at both sides of (13) and integrating the resultants from the
time step \( t = \left( n - \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta t \) to \( t = \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta t \), one obtains
\[
F_{x}^{n+1} = e^{-\frac{\sigma_y \Delta t}{\Delta y}} F_{x}^{n} + e^{-\frac{\sigma_y \Delta t}{\Delta y}} \int_{0}^{\Delta t} e^{\frac{\sigma_y \Delta t}{\Delta y}} \frac{\partial H_{z}}{\partial y} \times \left[ \left( n - \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta t - \tau \right] d\tau \quad (14)
\]
where \( \tau \) is the integral variable.

By introducing the linear approximation method to the magnetic components, one obtains
\[
\frac{\partial H_{z}}{\partial y} \times \left[ \left( n - \frac{1}{2} \right) \Delta t - \tau \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} H_{z}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( H_{z}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - H_{z}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \Delta t. \quad (15)
\]

Thus, by substituting (15) into (14), the updated equation of \( F_{x} \) can be written in the FDTD domain as
\[
F_{x}^{n+1} = e^{-\frac{\sigma_y \Delta t}{\Delta y}} F_{x}^{n} + \frac{\varepsilon_0^2}{\sigma_y^2 \Delta t} \left( \frac{\sigma_y \Delta t}{\varepsilon_0} - 1 + e^{-\frac{\sigma_y \Delta t}{\Delta y}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} H_{z}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{\varepsilon_0^2}{\sigma_y^2 \Delta t} \left( 1 - \frac{\sigma_y \Delta t}{\varepsilon_0} e^{-\frac{\sigma_y \Delta t}{\Delta y}} + e^{-\frac{\sigma_y \Delta t}{\Delta y}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} H_{z}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (16)
\]

After renovating (16), (12) can be calculated by the following procedure:
\[
D_{x}^{n+1} = e^{-\frac{\sigma_z \Delta t}{\Delta z}} D_{x}^{n} + F_{x}^{n+1} - e^{-\frac{\sigma_z \Delta t}{\Delta z}} F_{x}^{n} - \frac{\varepsilon_0^2}{\sigma_z^2 \Delta t} \left( \frac{\sigma_z \Delta t}{\varepsilon_0} - 1 + e^{-\frac{\sigma_z \Delta t}{\Delta z}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} H_{y}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{\varepsilon_0^2}{\sigma_z^2 \Delta t} \left( 1 - \frac{\sigma_z \Delta t}{\varepsilon_0} e^{-\frac{\sigma_z \Delta t}{\Delta z}} + e^{-\frac{\sigma_z \Delta t}{\Delta z}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} H_{y}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (17)
\]

The updated equations of the other field components and the auxiliary variables can be obtained by employing the same procedure. The auxiliary and the field variables updated equations in the PML regions are (16) and (17), respectively. The updated procedure can be described as follows:

**Step 1** Update the field components \( D_{x}, D_{y}, D_{z}, B_{x}, B_{y} \) and \( B_{z} \) according to (17).

**Step 2** Update the field components \( F_{x}, F_{y} \) and \( F_{z} \) according to (16).

To demonstrate the computational efficiency in theory, the number of auxiliary variables, coefficients, multiplications/ divisions (M/D) and additions/subtractions (A/S) of different PML implementations are employed for comparison. As shown in Table 1, the details of them are listed.

| PML algorithm | M/D | A/S | Exponential manipulation |
|---------------|-----|-----|-------------------------|
| UPML          | 2   | 6   | 8                       |
| SC-PML        | 2   | 6   | 8                       |
| LI-PML        | 2   | 6   | 7                       |
| OR-PML        | 2   | 6   | 7                       |
| CT-ADE-PML    | 1   | 4   | 6                       |
| CT-BZT-PML    | 1   | 4   | 6                       |
| CT-MZT-PML    | 1   | 4   | 6                       |
| ETD-PML       | 1   | 4   | 4                       |

Table 2 Number of M/D, A/S and exponential manipulation in the coefficients in CT-MZT-PML and ETD-PML

| PML algorithm | M/D | A/S | Exponential manipulation |
|---------------|-----|-----|-------------------------|
| CT-MZT-PML    | 8   | 6   | 4                       |
| ETD-PML       | 8   | 4   | 3                       |

Through the comparison, an additional exponential manipulation and two external A/Ss can be decreased by employing the proposed scheme which verifies the computational efficiency in theory.

### 3. Numerical examples

To validate the effectiveness of the proposed ETD-PML, numerical examples including the PEC sphere model and the patch antenna model are introduced. A PC with Intel Core™ i7-8700K 3.20 GHz and 128 GB DDR4 (2666 MHz) is employed to implement the algorithms.

### 3.1 PEC sphere model related RCS problems

The absorbing performance and RCS obtained by different PML algorithms are evaluated through the PEC sphere model, as shown in Fig. 1. The PEC sphere model with the radius of \( R \) is located in the center of the FDTD domain. The rest part of the domain is filled with vacuum. The computational domain is with the size of \( 100 \Delta x \times 100 \Delta y \times 100 \Delta z \). The incidence plane wave which is a modulated Gaussian pulse with the bandwidth and center frequency of 1.25 GHz propagates along the negative side of the \( x \)-direction. The size of the \( yOz \) incidence plane wave is a square with \( 100 \Delta y \times 100 \Delta z \) in the \( y \)- and \( z \)-directions. To observe the reflection wave of the PML regions with different incident angles, four observation points are selected which are located at \((1, 1, 1), (1, 1, 25), (1, 1, 50) \) and \((0, 0, 0)\).
At the boundaries of the computational domain, 10-cell-PML is employed to absorb the outgoing waves. The uniform mesh size with $\Delta x = \Delta y = \Delta z = \Delta = 2.5 \text{ mm}$ is employed. The time step can be obtained by the corresponding relationship as 4.81 fs [3]. Within the PML regions, the parameters are chosen according to the fourth order polynomial criterion to obtain the best absorbing performance. To illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed scheme, the UPML, SC-PML, LI-PML, OR-PML, CT-ADE-PML, CT-BZT-PML, CT-MZT-PML are employed for comparison. According to the fourth order polynomial criterion adopted in this paper, $m$ should be scaled below 4 at the integer. The parameters of ETD-PML are selected as $m = 3$ and $R_0 = 0.001\%$, where $m$ is the order of the polynomial. $R_0$ is the reflection coefficient along the vertical direction of the PML region, defined as

$$R_0 = \exp\left(-\frac{2\sigma_{\text{max}} h}{(m+1)\varepsilon_0 c}\right)$$

(18)

where $\sigma_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum permittivity of the PML region which is selected by the try and error approach; $h$ is the thickness of the PML region; $c$ is the speed of light. The parameters of UPML, SC-PML, CT-ADE-PML, CT-BZT-PML, LI-PML are $m = 2$ and $R_0 = 0.001\%$ [15]. The CT-MZT-PML and OR-PML are with the parameters of $m = 2$ and $R_0 = 0.01\%$.

In order to evaluate the absorbing performance of the PML regions, the relative reflection error is carried out in the time domain, which can be defined as

$$R_{\text{dB}}(t) = 20 \log\left|\frac{E^T_x(t) - E^R_x(t)}{E^R_{\text{max}}(t)}\right|$$

(19)

where $E^T_x(t)$ is the test solution which can be observed directly from the observation point; $E^R_x(t)$ is the reference solution which can be obtained by enlarging the computational domain to $200\Delta x \times 200\Delta y \times 200\Delta z$ and terminating by 32-cell-PML. During the calculation of the reference solution, the reflection wave of the computational domain can be ignored.

Firstly, the PEC sphere model with the radius of 100 mm is calculated. Thus, the radius of sphere is discretized as 40 cells. To further investigate the absorbing performance of the PML regions, the corner which shows the worst performance in the previously works is calculated by the same procedure [16]. As shown in Fig. 2, it can be also calculated that the maximum relative reflection errors (MRREs) in observation point 1 of the UPML, SC-PML, LI-PML, OR-PML, CT-ADE-PML, CT-BZT-PML, CT-MZT-PML and ETD-PML are $-67.2 \text{ dB}$, $-69.8 \text{ dB}$, $-69.8 \text{ dB}$, $-69.8 \text{ dB}$, $-69.8 \text{ dB}$, $-69.8 \text{ dB}$, $-77.1 \text{ dB}$ and $-65.9 \text{ dB}$. It can be observed that the RREs of SC-PML, LI-PML, OR-PML, CT-ADE-PML and CT-BZT-PML are overlapped, indicating that the afore-mentioned algorithms hold the same absorbing performance. The CT-MZT-PML has the best absorbing performance. The absorbing performance of the ETD-PML is the worst. Although the absorbing performance of the ETD-PML is inferior among these implementations, it can be still employed in practical engineering (usually below $-40 \text{ dB}$) [17]. It can be observed that the proposed scheme has advantages in terms of computational efficiency, computational time, occupied memory, MRRE and time reduction of the proposed implementations are shown in Table 3.

![Fig. 1 Sketch of the PEC sphere model in the computational domain](image)

![Fig. 2 RRE versus time obtained by different PML algorithms](image)
among the above-mentioned implementations, the computational efficiency and the computational resources can be significantly reduced compared with the other implementations. Compared with the conventional SC-PML and UPML algorithms, the computational efficiency of the ETD-PML scheme reduces by 29.5%, 30.6% and the occupied memory decreases by 1.0 MB. The ADE-PML and BZT-PML hold the same computational efficiency with the time reduction of 22.2% and 22.6%. Thus, the proposed scheme is suitable for the fast speed simulation among the above-mentioned implementations.

Table 3 Computational time, occupied memory, MRRE and time reduction with different PML implementations

| PML algorithm | Memory/MB | Computational time/s | MRRE/dB | Time reduction/% |
|---------------|-----------|----------------------|---------|------------------|
| SC-PML        | 8.2       | 198.6                | −69.8   | −                 |
| UPML          | 8.2       | 201.7                | −67.2   | 1.5              |
| LJ-PML        | 8.0       | 185.1                | −69.8   | 6.8              |
| OR-PML        | 8.1       | 182.9                | −69.8   | 7.9              |
| CT-ADE-PML    | 7.6       | 154.5                | −69.8   | 22.2             |
| CT-BZT-PML    | 7.6       | 153.7                | −69.8   | 22.6             |
| CT-MZT-PML    | 7.7       | 164.1                | −77.1   | 17.4             |
| ETD-PML       | 7.2       | 140.0                | −65.9   | 29.5             |

To observe the absorbing performance with different incident angles, MRRE versus observation points with different PML implementations is shown in Fig. 3.

![Fig. 3 MRRE versus observation points with different PML implementations](image)

To clarify the demonstration of the absorbing performance versus different angles, four implementations are selected. As shown in Fig. 3, it can be concluded that the absorbing performance at the edge of the domain is worse compared with that at the surface. Among observation points 1, 2 and 3, the MRRE decreases with the increment of the distance between the sphere model and the observation point. Fig. 4 shows the absorbing performance of different implementations with different thickness.

As shown in Fig. 4, the MRRE decreases with the increment of the PML thickness. Thus, it can be concluded that the absorbing performance will be enhanced by employing the thicker PML layers. In the practical problems, the cells per wavelength (CPW) is regarded as one of the most important parameters. The CPW can be regarded as the ratio of wavelength to the cell size. Thus, the CPW versus the absorbing performance is testified. To satisfy the courant condition, the CPW is with the minimum value of 12. Fig. 5 shows the CPW versus the MRRE with different implementations. Through the resultants, it can be observed that the absorbing performance becomes worse with the increment of the CPW. Thus, the absorbing performance becomes worse with the decrement of the PML thickness. Thus, such phenomenon occurs.

![Fig. 4 Absorbing performance of different implementations with different thickness](image)

The accuracy and the effectiveness of the proposed scheme in the frequency domain are reflected by the RCS parameters. Fig. 6 shows the RCS parameters of the PEC sphere obtained by employing different PML algorithms. It can be observed that the RCS parameters obtained by different PML algorithms are overlapped, indicating that...
the afore-mentioned PML algorithms have the same accuracy in calculating RCS problems.

In conclusion, although the absorbing performance of the proposal in inferior to the other schemes, the proposed ETD-PML holds the least occupied memory and the computational time. Thus, the ETD-PML is suitable for the simulation of the RCS relevant problems, especially in large computational domains.

Table 4 Computational time, occupied memory, and time reduction of ETD-PML and SC-PML in different domains

| Parameter      | Size                  | Memory SC-PML/MB | Memory ETD-PML/MB | Time SC-PML/s | Time ETD-PML/s | Reduction/% |
|----------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------|
|                | 90x90x90              | 6.7              | 6.0               | 94.7          | 79.1           | 16.5        |
|                | 100x100x100           | 8.2              | 7.2               | 198.6         | 140.0          | 29.5        |
|                | 200x200x200           | 20.9             | 17.4              | 784.2         | 491.6          | 37.3        |

As can be concluded from Fig. 6 and Fig. 7 that the RCS is concentrated from –10 dB to 20 dB. The computational accuracy should be testified through low RCS structures. Thus, the radius of the sphere is chosen as 12.5 mm (5 cells) to further demonstrate the effectiveness. Fig. 8 shows the RCS of the sphere model with the radius of 12.5 mm. It can be observed that the curves are overlapped, indicating that the proposed algorithm can maintain higher accuracy in the calculation of the low RCS model.

Instead of the monostatic RCS, the bistatic RCS is regarded as one of the most important parameters. Thus, the bistatic RCS is investigated through the PEC sphere model at 2.5 GHz. Fig. 9 and Fig. 10 show the bistatic RCS of 100 mm and 12.5 mm PEC sphere model versus degree at 2.5 GHz, respectively. It can be observed that the curves are almost overlapped, indicating the considerable computational accuracy.
3.2 Patch antenna related RCS problems

To further demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed ETD-PML, a patch antenna model is carried out as shown in Fig. 11.

![Fig. 11 Model patch antenna structure](image)

The antenna is with 90 mm × 90 mm × 5 mm in x-, y- and z-directions. The substrate is the dielectric with \( \varepsilon_r = 3.38 \). The cut angles are with the shape of triangles with the sides length of 5 mm. The material of the patch can be seemed as the PEC. To simulate the RCS of the antenna and evaluate the absorbing performance, a computational domain with the size of \( 100\Delta x \times 100\Delta y \times 40\Delta z \) is employed. The antenna model is located at the center of the domain. The boundaries of the domain are terminated by 10-cell-PML, as shown in Fig. 12. The incidence plane wave which is the modulated Gaussian pulse propagates along the negative direction of the z-direction. The plane wave in the \( xOy \) plane is a square which has the side length of 500 mm both in the x- and y-directions. The modulated Gaussian pulse is with the center frequency of 2.5 GHz and bandwidth of 0.5 GHz. The mesh sizes are chosen as \( \Delta x = \Delta y = 5 \text{ mm} \) and \( \Delta z = 1 \text{ mm} \). The time step can be obtained by 1.9 fs.

![Fig. 12 Sketch picture of the patch antenna domain](image)

Following the same steps, the resultants can be obtained. The parameters of the PML schemes are the same as the numerical example above. Fig. 13 shows the RRE versus time obtained by different PML algorithms.

![Fig. 13 RRE versus time obtained by different PML algorithms](image)

It can be observed from Fig. 13 that the MRREs of the SC-PML, LI-PML, OR-PML, CT-ADE-PML, CT-BZT-PML, CT-MZT-PML and ETD-PML are \(-61.2 \text{ dB}, -61.2 \text{ dB}, -61.2 \text{ dB}, -61.2 \text{ dB}, -63.0 \text{ dB}, -56.6 \text{ dB} \) and \(-64.9 \text{ dB} \), respectively. The RREs of SC-PML, LI-PML, OR-PML, CT-ADE-PML and CT-BZT-PML are overlapped, indicating good agreement of the afore-mentioned
algorithms. On the whole, good absorbing performance of both proposed methods has been achieved. Table 5 shows the computational time, memory and MRRE with different PML implementations. It can be concluded that the ETD-PML can obtain the highest computational efficiency among above mentioned schemes.

### Table 5 Computational time, occupied memory, MRRE and time reduction with different PML implementations

| PML algorithm | Memory/MB | Computational time/s | MRRE/dB | Time reduction/% |
|---------------|-----------|----------------------|---------|------------------|
| SC-PML        | 6.8       | 159.2                | 61.2    | —                |
| UPML          | 6.8       | 161.7                | 59.4    | 1.5              |
| LI-PML        | 6.7       | 140.1                | 61.2    | 12.0             |
| OR-PML        | 6.7       | 140.0                | 61.2    | 12.1             |
| CT-ADE-PML    | 5.9       | 129.2                | 61.2    | 18.8             |
| CT-BZT-PML    | 5.9       | 129.8                | 61.2    | 18.5             |
| CT-MZT-PML    | 6.1       | 132.5                | 63.0    | 16               |
| ETD-PML       | 5.3       | 116.7                | 56.6    | 26.7             |

It can be observed from Fig. 14 that the RCS obtained by different PML algorithms are overlapped. Thus, the aforementioned PML schemes almost have the same computational accuracy.

![RCS of the patched antenna obtained by different PML algorithms](image)

**Fig. 14 RCS of the patched antenna obtained by different PML algorithms**

## 4. Conclusions

Based on the ETD-FDTD method, an ESC-PML is proposed. Numerical examples including the PEC sphere model and the patch antenna model are carried out. The results demonstrate that although the absorbing performance of the proposed ETD-PML is inferior compared with the other implementations, the computational efficiency and the occupied memory can be significantly reduced. The RCS parameters are overlapped, indicating that the proposal can obtain considerable computational accuracy. In conclusion, the proposed ETD-PML is suitable for the large domain, fine structure relevant RCS problems.
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