Genetic and Epigenetic Determinants of Aggressiveness in Cribriform Carcinoma of the Prostate
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Abstract

Among prostate cancers containing Gleason pattern 4, cribriform morphology is associated with unfavorable clinical-pathologic factors, but its genetic features and association with long-term outcomes are incompletely understood. In this study, genetic, transcriptional, and epigenetic features of invasive cribriform carcinoma (ICC) tumors were compared with non-cribriform Gleason 4 (NC4) in The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) cohort. ICC (n = 164) had distinctive molecular features when compared with NC4 (n = 102). These include: (i) increased somatic copy number variations (SCNV), specifically deletions at 6q, 8p and 10q, which encompassed PTEN and MAP3K7 losses and gains at 3q; (ii) increased SPOPmut and ATMmut; (iii) enrichment for mTORC1 and MYC pathways by gene expression; and (iv) increased methylation of selected genes. In addition, when compared with the metastatic prostate cancer, ICC clustered more closely to metastatic prostate cancer than NC4. Validation in clinical cohorts and genomically annotated murine models confirmed the association with SPOPmut (n = 38) and PTENmut (n = 818). The association of ICC with lethal disease was evaluated in the Health Professionals Follow-up Study (HPFS) and Physicians’ Health Study (PHS) prospective prostate cancer cohorts (median follow-up, 13.4 years, n = 818). Patients with ICC were more likely to develop lethal cancer [HR, 1.62; 95% confidence interval (CI), 1.05–2.49], independent from Gleason score (GS).

Implications: ICC has a distinct molecular phenotype that resembles metastatic prostate cancer and is associated with progression to lethal disease.

Introduction

Despite advances in biomarker discoveries and molecular testing, the Gleason grading system plays a significant role in the prognosis of prostate cancer outcomes and in clinical decision (1). It is based on basic grade patterns depicted in a standard scheme to generate the final grade (sum of the dominant and next most common patterns). Recently, from the original Gleason grading, a simplified five-tiered grading system has emerged. This new grading was found to reflect the tumor behavior more reliably than the original Gleason score (GS; ref. 2).

Among Gleason grades, there is a growing interest in the role played by prostatic cancer with Gleason pattern 4 in terms of aggressive disease, for example, a single focus of GS 4 is sufficient for a patient to be excluded from active surveillance and placed into a definitive treatment protocol, usually surgery or radiation (3). Cribriform growth pattern has attracted attention because of the observed unfavorable biologic behavior. In the original Gleason description, cribriform glands used to be distributed between GS3 and GS4 (4), but cribriform is currently represented exclusively in GS4 (2). The presence of a cribriform component has been associated with poorer prognosis when compared with cases without cribriform pattern of the same GS (5). Tumors with cribriform morphology are more often associated with unfavorable clinicopathologic factors, including higher tumor volume, higher GS, extracapsular spread, positive resection margin, and biochemical
recurrence (BCR) after prostatectomy, reviewed previously (1). Moreover, two prior retrospective studies have reported associations with risk of metastasis (5, 6). Recently, cribriform morphology has been integrated into the Rotterdam European Randomized Study of Screening for Prostate Cancer Risk Calculator to predict high-risk prostate cancer (7). Associations of ICC and prognosis were observed in tumors with any quantity of ICC, irrespective of GS and tumor stage, indicating that focal/minor components should be regarded as clinically relevant (8, 9).

The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) provides an unprecedented look into the molecular features of primary prostate cancer. A recent analysis of TCGA identified eight subtypes defined by a single molecular driver, namely, a gene fusion (ERG, ETVI, ETV4, and FLI1), a mutation (SPOP, FOXA1, and IDH1), or ‘other’ for patients that did not fall into the previous seven subtypes (10). The motivation for defining such subtypes was to utilize them for clinical application.

Our aim in this study was to define the molecular features of cribriform carcinoma using TCGA cohort and compare it to that of GS4 noncribriform pattern tumors and ultimately to that of metastatic disease (11). We also aimed to assess the association between cribriform morphology and development of lethal outcomes in prospective cohorts of patients with primary prostate cancers. This study highlighted the genomic and methylation changes, transcriptionally deregulated biologically important pathways and molecular similarities with metastatic prostate cancer. We also validated the prognostic significance of ICC in large prospective cohorts with long-term follow-up.

Materials and Methods

TCGA and SU2C/PCF Dream Team prostate cancer cohorts

The publicly available TCGA (10) and SU2C/PCF Dream Team (11) prostate cancer cohorts were analyzed (12, 13). Somatic copy number variation (SCNV), mutation, and clinical data were retrieved directly from cBioPortal, and Level 3 mRNA expression and methylation data were downloaded from FireHose (https://gdac.broadinstitute.org). Copy number alteration was defined in each gene by sample-specific threshold as follows: deep deletion (−2), shallow deletion (−1), neutral (0), gain (+1), and amplification (+2) in Genomic Identification of Significant Targets in Cancer (GISTIC) analysis (12, 13).

Classifying the TCGA cases into cribriform and noncribriform

Images of the scanned frozen sections of primary prostate cancer (10) were reviewed with the intent to identify invasive cribriform carcinoma (ICC) pattern on the slide representing the blocks in which the molecular analyses were done. We assessed the samples according to the 2014 International Society of Urological Pathology (ISUP) updates (2) whereby all ICC patterns (small, large, regular, and irregular solid masses punctuated by round lumina with no intervening stroma) have been categorized as GS4 (Supplementary Fig. S1). Cases were considered ICC when the cribriform invasive pattern was ≥5% of tumor in the examined section(s). We evaluated the images with stringent adherence to the histomorphologic criteria for differentiation from other mimics, for example, high-grade prostate intraepithelial neoplasia (HGPIN). No attempt was made to distinguish ICC from intraductal carcinoma because of their comparable prognostic impact and the inability to distinguish between the two (5, 6, 8) as the blocks were not available for IHC. Two cases with extensive freezing artifacts were excluded because of difficulty in assigning GS. Prior to analysis, we excluded any sample lacking the GS4 component, that is, 3 + 3 and 5 + 5 (Supplementary Fig. S2).

Ultimately, 266 patients with Gleason 4 component in TCGA were analyzed; ICC (n = 164/266, 62%) and NC4 (n = 102/266, 38%; IDs are provided in Supplementary Table S1).

Health Professionals Follow-up Study and Physicians’ Health Study prospective prostate cancer cohorts

Health Professionals Follow-up Study (HPFS) is an ongoing prospective cohort study of initially 51,529 men that has been followed with biannual questionnaires since 1986 (14). Physicians’ Health Study (PHS) is a prospective cohort study of initially 29,067 men that started as primary prevention trials of aspirin and vitamin supplements with start of annual follow-up in 1982 and 1999 (15, 16). Incident prostate cancer diagnosed and self-reported during follow-up of HPFS/PHS was confirmed with the review of medical records and pathology reports. Follow-up for lethal cancer, defined as development of metastases and prostate cancer–specific death, occurred through specific questionnaires to the patients with prostate cancer, contact to treating physicians, review of medical record, and death certificates. Follow-up for mortality is >98% complete.

Archival formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded specimens from radical prostatectomy and transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP) were retrieved from treating hospitals, described elsewhere (17). All samples were centrally reviewed by genitourinary pathologists, including centralized GS (18) with the majority being updated to the 2014 grading system (2). Tissue microarrays (TMA) were constructed using at least three 0.6-mm tumor cores per patient from the primary nodule or the nodule with the highest GS. We evaluated hematoxylin and eosin slides from 14 TMAs for the presence of ICC. Samples with cribriform component in one of the cores were considered ICC, without any cutoff for the proportion of tumor area. TMAs were additionally evaluated for PTEN protein expression, as described previously (19, 20).

Weill Cornell Medicine validation cohort

Whole-exome sequencing data from 118 unselected prostate cancer cases at Weill Cornell Medicine (WCM) were retrieved (21). Of these, 11 (9.3%) demonstrated SPOP mutation (SPOPmut). Blinded to mutation status, we assessed all prostatectomy slides from the 11 SPOPmut and 11 SPOP wild-type (SPOPwt) cases matched for GS and pathologic stage. Dominant and secondary tumor nodule(s) from each prostatectomy specimen were assessed for the presence or absence of ICC (≥5% cutoff). Furthermore, 49 prostate cancer cases from the well-characterized WCM cohort of the Early Detection Research Network (EDRN) were also reviewed (21, 22). Eight additional cases of SPOPmut prostate cancer and eight wild-type controls were included. At the end, 38 samples were used for the analysis (n = 19 SPOPmut and n = 19 SPOPwt) and all samples were either GS 3 + 4 or 4 + 3. SPOP status for the WCM EDRN cohort was established by high-resolution melting (HRM) followed by Sanger sequencing confirmation (21). For all cases, data on ERG and SPOP status were available. Statistical analysis was performed to look for an association between ICC and SPOP status.

Mouse model

We utilized a previously developed transgenic mouse model with prostate-specific SPOP-F133V expression, Rosa26SPOP/SPOP mice crossed with PbCre4/PtenLox/+ mice for validation,
described previously (23). Blinded to the genotype, we assessed the morphology for presence or absence of cribriform morphology in 56 mice with the following genotypes: 4 PTEN+/−/SPOPmut, 4 PTEN+/−/SPOPwt, 11 PTEN+/−/SPOPmut, 12 PTEN+/−/SPOPwt, 15 PTEN+/−/SPOPmut, and 10 PTEN+/−/SPOPwt.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed by using IBM SPSS statistical version 23, Qucere Omics Explorer R, and SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute). The threshold for statistical significance was set at \( P < 0.05 \) (two-sided). Correction for multiple testing was performed using Benjamini–Hochberg FDR. FDR (q-value) of 0.05 was considered significant. Categorical clinicopathologic variables and TCGA clusters were described by frequency and percentage and the comparisons were carried out by \( \chi^2 \) test. Mann–Whitney and Kruskal–Wallis nonparametric tests were used to assess the difference in fraction genomic altered (FGA) between ICC and NC4 as well as between GS, respectively. The comparison of individual SCNV between ICC and NC4 was done using Fisher exact test. Multivariable logistic regression was performed to assess whether SCNVs were associated with ICC when adjusting for FGA (continuous) and GS (categorical: 3, 4, 5, and 6). For the gene expression analysis, we used the moderated \( t \) test approach as implemented by limma package and the genes were considered differentially expressed on the basis of \( q \) value < 0.05 and \(|\log_2 \text{fold change}| > 0.5\). Gene Set Analysis (GSA) was carried out using Biocomputor package limma for the functional annotation of the differentially expressed genes by using 3 gene sets collection from the Molecular Signature Database (MsigDB): Gene Ontology (GO) biological processes (24), Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomics (KEGG; ref. 25), and Hallmark (26). Methylation data were obtained from FireHose and the annotations from Illumina website. The probes not having any missing values (386,741 probes) were considered in the analysis. Methylation threshold was set at beta of 0.2 (≥0.2 was considered methylated). Differential methylation was assessed statistically using Fisher exact and \( \chi^2 \) test with q-value <0.05. SCNVs of ICC were then compared with metastatic prostate cancer in the Stand Up To Cancer/Prostate Cancer Foundation Dream Team cohort (SU2C) by cluster analysis. The HPFS/PHS prostate cancer cohorts were combined for analysis, including 818 cases with any pattern 4 (i.e., 3 + 4 to 9). The association of GS (ordinal) and ICC was assessed using logistic regression. PTEN status was dichotomized as complete loss in contrast to intact expression in any tumor core (Supplementary Fig. S3). To assess the associations of PTEN status with ICC, we used logistic regression with and without adjustment for GS (categorical: 3 + 4, 4 + 3, 5 + 2, and 6 + 1). To assess the association of ICC and time from cancer diagnosis to lethal cancer, we used Cox proportional hazards regression stratified by or adjusted for GS. Models were additionally adjusted for age at diagnosis (linear) and body mass index (BMI; categorical: <25, 25–30, and >30 kg/m\(^2\)). In a separate model, we also adjusted for clinical tumor stage (categorical: T1/T2 N0/M0, T3 N0/M0, T4 N1 M1), a probable intermediate between morphology and lethal disease. To test whether the association of ICC and lethal disease differed by GS, a multiplicative interaction term was tested. Proportionality of hazards was assessed using weighted Schoenfeld residuals and interactions with follow-up time, there were no departures. \( P \) values were two-sided.

Results

Clinicopathologic features of ICC in TCGA dataset

The prevalence of ICC, in the selected cases as described above, was 62% (\( n = 164/266 \)). As expected, ICC was significantly associated with high GS (GS ≥ 8), higher tumor stage, and lymph node metastases in the localized tumors. No association was found between ICC and age, preoperative PSA, and ethnic group (data not shown). Demographic and clinicopathologic factors are summarized (Table 1; Supplementary Fig. S4).

Distribution of ICC cases within TCGA molecular classes and clusters

Seven molecular classes were identified in the original TCGA cohort based on oncogenic drivers, namely: ERG, ETV1, ETV4, FLI1, SPOP mutation, IDH1 mutation, and FOXA1 mutation. The prevalence of ICC compared with NC4 in SPOP mutation class was 90.3% versus 9.7%, \( (n = 28/31) \) vs. \( n = 3/31, \ P < 0.001 \). No association was found between ICC and other molecular classes. There were differences between ICC and NC4 with respect to

Table 1. Clinicopathologic variables of TCGA and HPFS/PHS cohorts

| TCGA       | ICC \( n = 164 \) (62%) | NC4 \( n = 102 \) (38%) | HPFS/PHS | ICC \( n = 218 \) (27%) | NC4 \( n = 600 \) (73%) |
|------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| GS         |                          |                          |          |                          |                          |
| 3 + 4      | 55 (33.5)                | 25 (22.5)                |          | 48 (22.0)                | 334 (55.7)               |
| 4 + 3      | 39 (23.8)                | 63 (61.8)                |          | 76 (34.9)                | 165 (27.5)               |
| 8          | 36 (21.95)               | 9 (8.8)                  |          | 43 (19.7)                | 35 (5.8)                 |
| 9          | 34 (20.7)                | 7 (6.9)                  |          | 51 (23.4)                | 66 (11.0)                |
| Tumor pathologic stage (pT) |                  |                          |          |                          |                          |
| NA         | 2 (1.2)                  | 1 (1)                    |          | 20 (9.2)                 | 37 (6.2)                 |
| pT1/2      | 38 (23.17)               | 45 (44)                  |          | 111 (50.9)               | 400 (66.7)               |
| pT3        | 77 (46.95)               | 48 (47)                  |          | 75 (34.4)                | 145 (24.2)               |
| pT4/N1/M1  | 47 (28.7)                | 8 (7.8)                  |          | 12 (5.5)                 | 18 (3.0)                 |
| PTEN status (IHC) |                |                          |          |                          |                          |
| NA         | –                        | –                        |          | 43 (19.7)                | 126 (21.0)               |
| Complete loss | –                      | –                        |          | 47 (21.6)                | 75 (12.5)                |
| Intact, any core | –                    | –                        |          | 128 (58.7)               | 399 (66.5)               |
| Outcome    |                          |                          |          |                          |                          |
| Nonlethal  | –                        | –                        |          | 175 (80.3)               | 554 (92.5)               |
| Lethal     | –                        | –                        |          | 43 (19.7)                | 46 (7.7)                 |

Abbreviation: NA, not available.
SCNVs and mutations in ICC

Overall genome alteration and SCNVs. In contrast to other solid tumors, prostate cancer is known to orchestrate its molecular alteration mainly by SCNVs (27). To explore the copy number profile of ICC, we compared SCNVs between ICC and NC4. After excluding the events with low frequency (<5%), we analyzed a total of 450 gains and 551 losses. As expected, FGA was significantly higher in ICC compared with NC4 (P < 0.001). High GS were also associated with FGA (P < 0.001), implying that FGA is possibly associated with ICC through GS. Therefore, analyses for individual SCNVs were adjusted for FGA and GS. Notably, S5). Therefore, analyses for individual SCNVs were adjusted for FGA and GS. Out of the 208 significantly associated copy number aberrations (q < 0.15), only 51 SCNV events were independently associated with ICC after the adjustment for FGA and GS. Notably, shallow deletions and gains were driving the significance (Fig. 1A and B). The most common losses were identified at 8p (P = 0.001), 6q (P = 0.03), and 13q (P = 0.01) and the most frequent gains were at 3q (P < 0.001) and 3p (P = 0.03; Fig. 1C). After the adjustment for FGA and GS, ICC remained significantly associated with deletions at 8p21–22, 6q21, 11q22–23, and 10q23 and gains at 5q11–21 (Supplementary Fig. S5). Deletions were considered an independent prognosticator in prostate cancer (28). It was reported to be the second most frequent aberration after ERG fusion, accounting for 55% and 90% in primaries and advanced prostate cancer, respectively (29). The observed SCNVs in ICC span large chromosomal regions harboring several tumor suppressor genes (TSG) known to play a crucial role in prostate cancer, for example, PTEN (10q23.3, 39%), NKX3-1 (8p21.2, 72.56%), and MAP3K7 (6q15, 45.7%). PTEN is a frequently altered TSG in prostate cancer and is associated with poor outcome (20, 30). PTENloss was significantly enriched in ICC [39%, n = 64/164 vs. 25.5%, n = 24/102; OR = 1.87; 95% confidence interval (CI), 1.09–3.26; P = 0.024, q = 0.13]. This association with ICC was also significant in PTEN homozygous loss (23%, n = 38/164 vs. 10.7%, n = 11/102; OR = 2.52; 95% CI, 1.23–5.26; P = 0.014; Fig. 1A). NKX3-1, a prostate-specific TSG that enhances DNA repair by ATM activation (31), was suppressed in 40%–80% of the castration-resistant prostate cancers (CRPC; ref. 27). In ICC, NKX3-1 was the most common deletion (72.56%, n = 119/164). Moreover, ICC is enriched for aberrations previously correlated with aggressive behavior, for example, GATA2, PRKCI, and PTK3CA/B (Supplementary Table S4). Some of these SCNVs are correlated with gene expression (Table 2).

Mutations

A total of 825 mutations were found. The top five ranked mutations, according to their frequency, were ERG fusion, SPOP, TTN, ETV1, and TP53 (Supplementary Table S5). When compared, SPOP and ATM mutations (two DNA-repair genes) were significantly higher in ICC than in NC4; SPOPmut (17.1%, n = 28/164 vs. 2.9%, n = 3/102; OR = 6.79; 95% CI, 2.18–21.67; P < 0.001; q = 0.003) and ATMmut (7.3%, n = 12/164 vs. 0.98%, n = 1/102; OR = 7.97; 95% CI, 1.21–86.33; P = 0.019; q = 0.1; Fig. 1B and D). ERG fusion, the most frequent genetic abnormality in prostate cancer, was not different between ICC and NC4 (46.3%, n = 76/164 vs. 47.1%, n = 48/102; OR = 0.97, 95% CI, 0.6–1.57; P = 0.39).

Key alterations in ICC. As mentioned above, the ICC tumors were enriched for several genes known to play a critical role in prostate cancer, but the most interesting ones are PTENmut and SPOPmut due to two main reasons. First, SPOPmut and PTENmut are statistically more likely to occur in ICC than in NC4. When adjusted for GS, these associations remained significant; PTENmut (OR = 1.91; 95% CI, 1.05–3.52; P = 0.03) and SPOPmut (OR = 5.54; 95% CI, 1.78–24.4; P = 0.008). Second, PTENmut and SPOPmut have been previously regarded as distinct molecular groups on their own: PTENmut has emerged as a distinct group with worse clinical outcome (30, 32). In addition, in a meta-analysis study, PTENmut was strongly linked to marked increase in SCNVs with wide involvement of the genome, including deletions at 8p and 13q (29). Remarkably, 8p and 13q are the most frequent deletions in ICC samples (Fig. 1C). However, after the adjustment for FGA and GS, the deletions at 13q were no longer significantly associated with ICC. In ICC, PTENmut was associated with 8p deletion, albeit nonsignificantly. SPOPmut, the most common mutation in prostate cancer, designates a distinct ERG–fusion–negative class (33). In primary tumors, SPOPmut was reported to be inversely correlated to PTENmut and frequently associated with CHD1 and MAP3K7 codeleions (33–35). Combined deleions of CHD1 and MAP3K7 were previously correlated with unfavorable outcome and reported in 10%–20% and 20%–25% of the primary and metastatic prostate cancers, respectively (36).

In agreement with these studies, we also found significant enrichment in ICC for SPOPmut associated with CHD1loss (P < 0.001) and 6q deletions encompassing MAP3K7 (P < 0.001). Despite the observed codeleion of CHD1 and MAP3K7, the adjustment for FGA and GS did not change the association between ICC and MAP3K7 (OR = 2.73; 95% CI, 1.5–5; P < 0.001; q = 0.01; after adjustment OR = 2.26; 95% CI, 1.22–4.28; P = 0.011; q = 0.11). However, CHD1 was no longer statistically significant (OR = 2.4; 95% CI, 1.13–5.5; P = 0.02, q = 0.12; after adjustment OR = 1.8; 95% CI, 0.8–4; P = 0.16). As previously reported, PTENmut and SPOPmut were mutually exclusive in ICC (P < 0.001). Of interest, PTENmut and SPOPmut together span 56% of ICC compared with 16.9% in NC4.

In summary, we showed that two genomic insults define two molecular subgroups in ICC: (i) SPOPmut, which is associated with CHD1 and MAP3K7 codeleions; and (ii) PTENmut, which is mutually exclusive with SPOPmut (Fig. 1E).

Comparison of gene expression and methylation patterns in ICC and NC4

Gene expression profile. To identify the potential pathways that might emerge from changes in mRNA expression influenced by copy number and mutational insults, we performed gene set enrichment analysis. We detected 2,813 differentially expressed genes between ICC and NC4 with q < 0.05. Out of those, 1,089 also passed our log fold change (FC) threshold of |logFC| > 0.5. Of these, 861 were underexpressed, whereas 148 were overexpressed in ICC (Supplementary Table S6). Among the overexpressed pathways in ICC, the functional pathways were involved in cell division, cell-cycle regulation, DNA replication, chromatin and histone modification, and transcriptional initiation (q < 0.05).
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Figure 1.
Frequency (%) of the SCNV in ICC and NC4. ICC is significantly higher in SCNV events. A, Dark-blue represents deep deletions, light-blue represents superficial deletions. B, Red represents amplifications, magenta represents gains, and green represents mutations. The SCNVs in A and B are the adjusted events for FGA and GS. C, Landscape of copy number alterations in ICC and NC4: The chromosomes are displayed horizontally and the frequency is displayed on the y-axis. D, Distribution of SCNV clusters and SPOP mutation within each ICC and NC4 groups (164 and 102 samples, respectively). In the column sidebar of the figure, blue represents the SCNV clusters and green represents SPOPmut groups. In the heatmap, red represents gains/amplifications and blue represents deletions. Chromosomes are represented in columns and samples are represented in rows. E, The frequency of SPOPmut and PTENloss in ICC and NC4. The P values in E were derived considering deep deletions and mutations of PTEN.
Furthermore, mTORC1 and MYC signaling pathways, had higher expression in ICC. Our results also indicated that angiogenesis, cell migration, epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT), cell-matrix adhesion, MAPK, KRAS, and JAK–STAT signaling pathways were significantly enriched in ICC (Supplementary Table S7). Interestingly, some of the genes constituting these pathways have been proposed as potential targets for prostate cancer therapy, for example, BIRC5, PLK1, CDC45, FOXM1, CDCA3, and UHRF1 (Supplementary Table S8).

**Methylation profile.** Prior studies in prostate cancer have shown that DNA methylation was more frequent than genomic insults in advanced and metastatic prostate cancer [37, 38]. This has led us to analyze the methylation difference between ICC and NC4. We found 52 significantly hypermethylated genes (OR ≥ 3) and 22 hypomethylated genes (OR ≤ 0.33) in ICC with q < 0.05 (Supplementary Table S9). Unsupervised clustering of the 266 cases revealed two clusters based on different DNA-methylation levels, visualized in the heatmap (Fig. 2). ICC is enriched in the methylated cluster, whereas NC4 dominated the hypomethylated cluster. Unlike in a previous study of ICC methylation [39], we found a significant increase in methylation of CYP26A1 in ICC but not of APC, RASSF1, and TBX15. Notably, several strongly methylated genes in ICC were correlated with reduced mRNA expression, such that the gene expression was differentially downregulated, for example, ZNF853, DDIT4L, B3GAT1, and EZH2 (Supplementary Table S10). Interestingly, EZH2 methyltransferase was transcriptionally overexpressed in ICC (logFC = −0.48, q = 0.001). EZH2 overexpression has been implicated in prostate cancer progression. TIMP2 and TIMP3 (inhibitors of the tissue matrix, metalloproteinsases) and SLIT2 (inhibitor of chemotactic-induced cell migration), known downstream targets of EZH2 in prostate cancer (40), were in fact repressed in ICC; TIMP2 (logFC = −0.34, q = 0.013), TIMP3 (logFC = −0.52, q < 0.001), and SLIT2 (logFC = −0.46, q = 0.01). We observed a methylated CpG island shore of TIMP2 in ICC (OR = 0.22; q = 0.01). Furthermore, among the differentially methylated genes that emerged from our analysis, we found a subset of genes that has been previously reported to be methylated and/or downregulated in aggressive prostate cancer, for example, EVX1, EPHX3 (ABHD9), and IRAK3 (Supplementary Table S11). Taken together, the results imply that the aggressive features of ICC could also be explained by epigenetic changes.

**Common features between ICC and metastatic prostate cancer based on SCNV and mutational status**

The reported aggressive behavior of ICC (5, 6) led us to investigate the molecular features of ICC in comparison with that of metastatic prostate cancer. From the independent SCNVs, in ICC, a binary matrix was created by including events with frequency >20% in ICC and q < 1.5 (Fig. 3). Then, unsupervised hierarchical clustering of combined genetic events in ICC, NC4, and metastatic prostate cancer was then performed. We identified four main clusters based on the frequency of genetic alteration. Clusters 1 and 2 each had a high frequency of SCNVs at 6q, 8p, and 3q. Metastatic and ICC samples constituted together 94% of cluster 1 and 100% of cluster 2. Deletions at 6q and 8p designate cluster 3 but no gains at 3q: metastatic and ICC samples comprised 75% of the cluster. Cluster 4 had the lowest frequency of SCNVs, where a subset of samples showed deletions at 6q and/or PTENloss (40.6%), but 8p and 3q events are lacking. NC4 was dominantly condensed in cluster 4 representing 47.5% of its samples. Other common events, PTEN loss and SPOP mutation, were heterogeneous in their distribution between clusters. This could be explained by the effects imposed by the events at 8p and 3q in defining the clusters. These results indicate that, in contrast to NC4, ICC is genetically comparable with metastatic prostate cancer. This clustering pattern continues to support the clinically observed aggressive behavior and metastatic potential of ICC.

**Validation of ICC genetic alterations in external datasets (WCM and HPFS/PHS cohorts)**

Finally, we validated our results from TCGA data using two independent external cohorts and a mouse model. We selected the two key alterations in ICC for validation, *PTEN*mut and *SPOP*mut, discussed above.

**PTENmut validation—human cohort.** We evaluated 818 tumors for ICC in the HPFS/PHS cohorts. As expected, ICC was more common among tumors with higher GS, with 44% of GS9 tumor
compared with 13% tumors with GS3+4 showing ICC (P < 0.001; Table 1). PTEN status was known for 649 tumors. Tumors with PTENloss compared with those with intact PTEN expression were more likely to have ICC (39% vs. 24%; OR = 1.95; 95% CI, 1.29–2.96). However, when adjusting for GS, the association of PTENloss and ICC was weaker and not statistically significant (OR = 1.38; 95% CI, 0.89–2.16). SPOP mutation status is not known in HPFS/PHS.

SPOPmut validation–human cohort. The WCM cohort was comprised of GS7 (3 + 4 or 4 + 3) cases with 19 SPOPmut and 19 SPOPwt cases as control. ICC was observed in 53% (n = 10/19) of the SPOPmut samples, compared with 21% (n = 4/19) in SPOPwt samples (P = 0.04).

PTENloss and SPOPmut validation–mouse model. We assessed the contribution of PTENloss and SPOPmut to cribriform morphology in a transgenic mouse prostate. PTENmut with or without SPOPmut showed a normal morphologic prostate epithelium. PTEN1/1 mice showed focal cribriform carcinoma only in the background of SPOPmut, although this was not statistically significant. Cribriform morphology was observed in most PTEN3/4 mice (n = 18/23), involving 15%–90% of the dorsolateral prostate (Supplementary Fig. S6). SPOPmut added more aggressive
morphologic features to the PTEN\textsuperscript{L/L} genotype in the form of less differentiated areas and sarcomatoid changes (n = 5/12), as described previously (23).

**ICC and lethal disease over long-term follow-up**

Over a median follow up of 13.4 years, 89 of 818 patients in the prospective HPFS/PHS prostate cancer cohorts developed lethal cancer, defined as distant metastasis or cancer-specific mortality. Patients with ICC were 62% more likely than those without ICC to develop lethal disease after adjusting for GS (HR = 1.62; 95% CI, 1.05–2.49; P = 0.028). These estimates were attenuated when additionally adjusting for cancer stage (Table 3).

**Discussion**

Because the behavior of GS4 tumors with cribriform morphology has been associated with aggressive clinical behavior, we set out to determine the key molecular features that would determine biologic aggressiveness characterizing this subtype of grade 4 prostate cancer, and to evaluate how the long-term prognosis of ICC differs when compared with NC4.

Our analysis shows that when compared with NC4 tumors, ICC tumors are more likely to have deletions at specific chromosomal sites, for example, 6p and 6q. These chromosomal regions harbor tumor suppressor genes known to play critical roles in prostate tumor development, progression, and therapy resistance. Many of these deletions were strongly correlated with aggressive behavior and early BCR, for example, PTEN, NKKX3-1, and MAP3K7. In addition, SPOPmut and ATMmut are associated with ICC. These results outline that the most frequent genomic alterations with prognostic impact in prostate cancer are prevalent in ICC.

The associations of ICC with SPOPmut and PTEN\textsuperscript{A/A} remained significant after adjustment for GS in the TCGA data, but not in the HPFS/PHS (for PTEN\textsuperscript{A/A}). Given that ICC evaluation was TMA-based, the results in this validation cohort could be attributed to sampling bias. SPOPmut status was not available in these cohorts. Because the complete analysis of all prostatectomy slides was not possible, we tested the sensitivity of TMA-based assessment for ICC by evaluating 25 full case slide sets and found a specificity of 100% (95% CI, 69–100) but a sensitivity of 71% (95% CI, 42–92). Although not being able to detect all ICC represents a limitation in our study, these results inform practice in the context of evaluating ICC in biopsy specimens.

In our mouse model bearing the SPOPmut, PTEN\textsuperscript{A/A} mice showed focal cribriform carcinoma only in the background of SPOPmut. Although statistically not significant due to the limited number of mice, these findings suggest an association between SPOPmut and induction of a cribriform morphology in the mouse prostate.

Our GSA indicated that the cell cycle, replication, mitosis, and DNA-repair genes were enhanced, whereas cell adhesion and cell junction sets were suppressed in ICC. These results are in accordance with the known aggressiveness of ICC and may explain its metastatic potential. In addition, MYC and mTORC1 pathways were upregulated, two main pathways activated in metastatic prostate cancer. The association of ICC with PTEN\textsuperscript{A/A} and SPOPmut further highlights the activation of PI3K/mTOR as a potentially relevant pathway in ICC. Indeed, PTEN\textsuperscript{A/A} is known to activate PI3K/mTOR pathway and it has recently been shown that SPOPmut can do the same (23, 41).

In this work, we were able to show the difference in DNA-methylation profile between ICC and NC4. The methylation changes affect genes related to BCR and prostate cancer progression. Recently, a study on ICC has assessed seven prognostic methylation biomarkers: APC, CY26A1, HOXD3, HOXD8, RASSF1, TBX15, and TGF\textsubscript{R}2 (39). In their analysis, APC, RASSF1, and TBX15 were hypermethylated in ICC. Of these, we only confirmed CYP26A1 as being strongly methylated in ICC in the TCGA cohort. Importantly, EZH2 was transcriptionally upregulated in ICC. Of interest, EZH2 is a downstream target of PI3K/mTOR pathway, which triggered its methylation activity as documented in a cell culture study (42). These observations imply that the methylation changes in ICC are possibly EZH2-induced through the indirect contribution of PTEN\textsuperscript{L/L} and SPOPmut via the activation of PI3K/mTOR pathway. Altogether, these findings suggest that PTEN\textsuperscript{L/L} and SPOPmut not only contribute to the genomic stability, as reported in other studies, but can also influence the gene expression and methylation changes in ICC.

Finally, ICC and metastatic prostate cancer seem to display overlapping molecular features when directly compared. Cluster analysis demonstrated that ICC had similarities with metastatic prostate cancer in the SCNVs pattern, mainly gains at 3q, in addition to the deletions at 8p and 6q. These alterations likely

---

**Table 3. Lethal disease associated with ICC versus NC4 in the prospective prostate cancer cohorts within the HPFS and the PHS**

|                | NC4 | ICC |
|----------------|-----|-----|
|                | Total | Lethal (%) | HR (95% CI) | Total | Lethal (%) | HR (95% CI) |
|                |       |          |            |       |          |            |
| Overall        | 600   | 46       | 7.7        | 218   | 43       | 19.7       |
| Unadjusted     |       |          |            |       |          |            |
| Model 1: + Gleason | 1 (ref.) | 2.46 (1.75–4.05) | | 1 (ref.) | 1.62 (1.05–2.49) |
| Model 2: + Age, BMI | 1 (ref.) | 1.67 (1.08–2.59) | | 1 (ref.) | 1.45 (0.92–2.27) |
| Model 3: + cTNM | 1 (ref.) | 1.45 (0.92–2.27) | | 1 (ref.) | 1.45 (0.92–2.27) |
| By Gleason, unadjusted | | | | | | |
| 3 + 4          | 334   | 10       | 2.9        | 48    | 1        | 2.1        |
| 4 + 3          | 165   | 17       | 10.3       | 76    | 13       | 17.1       |
| 5 + 6          | 35    | 7        | 20.0       | 45    | 9        | 20.9       |
| 7              | 66    | 12       | 18.2       | 51    | 20       | 39.2       |
| Abbreviation: ref, reference category. |
| aHR with 95% CI. |
| bInteraction of cribriform morphology and Gleason grade (ordinal).|
mediate the progression of ICC to metastatic disease more than others.

Although ICC was associated with oncogenic alterations, several aberrations offer therapeutic opportunities, for example, PTEN, PI3KCA, and ATM, reviewed in ref. 43. Likewise, ATM and SPOP mutations were found to be associated with responsiveness to DNA-damaging agents such as PARP inhibitors (34, 44).

Complementing the comprehensive analysis of the molecular genetic alterations that occur in ICC, we compared the long-term prognosis of ICC and NC4 tumors in the prospective HPFS/PHS cohorts. We found that localized cancers with ICC were considerably more likely to progress to lethal disease, independent of GS. Our results are in line with previous studies on ICC and BCR (8, 45), as well as retrospective and case-control studies that assessed the progression to metastatic disease (5, 6). Our analyses underscore the potential clinical importance of diagnosing and reporting the cribriform pattern on biopsy specimens as well as in radical prostatectomies. A recently proposed grading system stratifies prostate cancer into five grade groups. GS4 is a component of grade groups 2 (GS3 + 4), 3 (GS4 + 3), and 5 (GS4 + 5); the amount of Gleason grade 4 differentiates groups 2 and 3. Owing to the close association of ICC with aggressive molecular phenotypes and tumor lethality, our data show that ICC can subdifferentiate prognosis beyond grade groups.

Our results are consistent with the findings of a recent study of genome stability and SCNVs in ICC that used TCGA dataset and validated the results in Canadian Prostate Cancer Genomic Network cohort (46). In their analyses, ICC was associated with 6q15, 8p21, loss of PTEN, and NKX3-1 as well as SPOP missense. In contrast to their results, we did not find an association with RB1, TP53 deletions, MYC amplification, FOXA1, and TP53 mutations. Discordant results could be attributed to differences in the threshold utilized to define the ICC component (5% in their analysis vs. 30% in theirs). Therefore, ICC constituted 62% of the TCGA samples in our analysis compared with 31% in their work. The cut-off rule we used (≥5%) was reported to maintain the significant adverse prognostic association (8, 9), suggesting that our threshold is more reasonable in capturing most of ICC samples. The existing discrepancy between the two studies suggests the potential effect of ICC percentage on the molecular features. Addressing this point necessitates examining the whole tumor, whereas our study was limited to the available one tissue block. Moreover, we believe that fluid-based tests (e.g., serum and urine transcriptome, proteomics, and exosomes) would represent a better approach, as they provide a view of the genomic landscape of the whole tumor.

The importance of our work lies in its comprehensive approach. Our investigation outlines the copy number and mutational insults, methylation changes, deregulated biologically relevant pathways analyzed by the mRNA expression, and molecular similarities with metastatic/CRPC. We also verified the prognostic significance of ICC in a large prospective cohort with long-term follow-up.

The molecular insight gained in this study and other studies may assist in improving the diagnostic accuracy. Accounting for the potential sampling error in prostatic biopsies and limited visibility of ICC on multiparametric MRI (47), a biomarker that is indicative for the presence of ICC may be useful. Some of the aberrations in ICC could be traced in cell-free serum or urine DNA (48). This may open new avenues for considering fluid-based biopsies to triage patients before any further invasive intervention or to integrate into screening programs.

Our work has led us to conclude that the observed enrichment of a wide range of oncogenic alterations affecting tumor properties in ICC may confer a selective advantage to this subtype of Gleason grade 4 prostate cancer. This study also highlights the importance of ascribing molecular features to morphologic entities.
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