Do MRI features at baseline predict radiographic joint space narrowing in the medial compartment of the osteoarthritic knee 2 years later?
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Abstract

Purpose The purpose of the study was to relate magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) features at baseline with radiographically determined joint space narrowing (JSN) in the medial compartment of the knee after 2 years in a group of patients with symptomatic osteoarthritis at multiple joint sites.

Materials and methods MRI of the knee and standardized radiographs were obtained at baseline and after 2 years in 186 patients (81% female; aged 43–76 years; mean 60 years). MRI was analyzed for bone marrow lesions, cysts, osteophytes, hyaline cartilage defects, joint effusion, and meniscal pathology in the medial compartment. Radiographs were scored semiquantitatively for JSN in the medial tibiofemoral joint using the Osteoarthritis Research Society International (OARSI) atlas. Radiological progression was defined as ≥1 grade increase. Associations between baseline magnetic resonance (MR) parameters and subsequent radiographic JSN changes were assessed using logistic regression. Relative risk (RR) was then calculated.

Results Radiographic progression of JSN was observed in 17 (9.1%) of 186 patients. Eleven patients had a Kellgren and Lawrence (KL) score of ≥2. A significant association was observed between all patients and meniscal tears (RR 3.57; confidence interval (CI) 1.08–10.0) and meniscal subluxation (RR 2.73; CI 1.20–5.41), between KL<2 and meniscal subluxation (RR 11.3; CI 2.49–29.49) and KL≥2 and meniscus tears (RR 8.91; CI 1.13–22.84) and radiographic JSN 2 years later. Follow-up MR in 15 of 17 patients with progressive JSN showed only new meniscal abnormalities and no progression of cartilage loss.

Conclusion Meniscal pathology (tears and/or meniscal subluxation) was the only MRI parameter to be associated with subsequent radiographic progression of JSN in the medial tibiofemoral compartment on a radiograph 2 years later, as assessed by the OARSI score.
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Introduction

Knee osteoarthritis (KOA), characterized by cartilage and bony alterations, is a progressive disease accompanied by pain and stiffness and is one of the major causes of musculoskeletal disability in the industrialized world with an increasing prevalence related to an aging population [1].

Currently, not only is the pathogenesis and etiology of osteoarthritis (OA) not fully understood but also no approved disease modifying OA drugs are available. To date, it is not clear which parameters predict progression of
OA. Thus, it is important to find parameters by which the more rapidly progressing patients can be identified to focus upon them in trials of the novel therapies currently being developed and eventually to treat this population.

At present, plain film radiography is the method of choice to grade OA by assessing structural damage. This technique permits assessment of osteophytes, subchondral bone cysts, sclerosis, and joint space width (JSW). Changes in JSW, the gold standard for the evaluation of OA progression in clinical trials, are related to various abnormalities including cartilage loss and meniscal subluxation or extrusion from the joint line [2]. However, JSW measurement does not permit detection of structural changes in nonopaque tissues nor does it constitute an efficient means of monitoring the progression of OA in daily practice [3, 4]. Further, radiographic measurement of JSN in the knee is reliable only in the medial compartment [5].

Recently, magnetic resonance (MRI) imaging data have fueled the debate on the relevance of radiographic JSW as a single measure of biological severity in knee OA since knee OA is panarticular and mild to moderate joint space loss may result from changes in other structures such as the menisci [6].

The question arises whether prognostic magnetic resonance (MR) features can be determined which can predict JSN over a period of 2 years as seen on a standard knee radiograph. Different structural abnormalities such as joint effusion and synovial thickening [7], osteophytes [8, 9], cartilage lesions [10], and patellar cartilage volume [11] have been attributed to the progression of KOA. Bone marrow lesions (BML) also have been associated with progression of knee OA [12] but BML and cysts may change over time and their prognostic significance remains uncertain [13].

The purpose of the present study was to relate MRI parameters at baseline with radiographic progression of knee OA as assessed by JSN after 2 years.

Methods and materials

Patients

The present study is part of the ongoing Genetics, Osteoarthritis, and Progression (GARP) study [14]. The primary goal of GARP is to determine risk factors for OA development and disease progression. Probands, aged between 40 and 70 years, and their siblings (n = 382), with symptomatic OA at multiple sites were eligible after giving informed consent. Sibling pairs (n = 210) with at least one subject having symptomatic hip OA or knee OA (but not radiological end-stage disease defined as a Kellgren and Lawrence (KL) score of 4 [15]) were followed for 2 years. Of the 210 patients at baseline, 186 completed the 2-year follow-up with complete data and were thus included. Median age was 60.2 years; 150 were female, and mean body mass index (BMI) was 26.5 kg/m² (Table 1). Of the included patients, 74 had definite knee OA as defined by a KL score of 2 or more. Our institution’s medical ethical review board approved the study.

Diagnosis of osteoarthritis

Subjects were included with symptomatic OA at two or more of the following joints sites: hand, spine (cervical or lumbar), knee, or hip defined by the presence of radiographic OA in any of the four joint groups, or for the hands the presence of two or more Heberden nodes, Bouchard nodes, or squaring of at least one first carpometacarpal (CMCJ1) joint on physical examination.

Symptomatic OA in the knee and hip was defined according to the American College of Rheumatology recommendations for knee and hip OA [16, 17]. Knee OA was defined as pain or stiffness for most days of the preceding month and osteophytes at the joint margins of the tibiofemoral joint (X-ray spurs). Hip OA was defined as pain or stiffness in the groin and hip region on most days of the preceding month in addition to femoral or acetabular osteophytes or axial joint space narrowing on radiography. Prosthetic joints in the hips or knees as a result of end-stage OA were defined as OA in that particular joint.

Radiograph acquisition

The standardized, nonfluoroscopic, fixed-flexion protocol was used in a single center to obtain posteroanterior weight-bearing radiographs of the knee at baseline and after 24 months [18]. Uniform anatomical alignment of the knees was facilitated by the use of a specifically designed positioning frame (Synaflexer®, San Francisco, USA) [18] that places the patient’s feet in 5° of external rotation, the knees and thighs in contact with the cassette and coplanar

| Characteristics               | 60.2 (43.2–76.3) |
|-------------------------------|------------------|
| Age (years), median (range)   |                  |
| BMI (kg/m²), median (range)   |                  |
| Knee pain*, N (%)             |                  |
| Symptomatic knee OA, N (%)    |                  |
| KL score, no. (%)             |                  |
| >1                            | 74 (39.8)        |
| =1                            | 55 (29.6)        |
| =0                            | 57 (30.6)        |

BMI Body mass index, KL Kellgren–Lawrence score, 0–3

*Pain on most days of the prior months in the imaged knee as described by ACR.
with the hips and tips of the great toes, resulting in a fixed knee angulation of approximately 20° flexion. The X-ray tube was angled to point 10° downwards and the knees were exposed to the X-ray beam centered at the joint line. Radiographic severity of knee OA at baseline was scored according to the KL score [15]. The intraclass correlation coefficient for reproducibility was 0.92 [14].

Radiographic progression

Baseline and 2-year knee radiographs were scored for medial JSW on a scale of 0 (normal)–3 (total JSN) with help of the Osteoarthritis Research Society International (OARSI) atlas [19, 20] by two experienced readers (respectively 3 and 30 years of experience) reading in consensus. In cases of disagreement, the lower, more conservative score was adopted. An increase of at least 1 grade between baseline and follow-up was considered to be radiographic progression. The observers were blinded to clinical information such as age, gender, and time sequence.

MRI acquisition

Knees were imaged using a dedicated knee coil in a 1.5-T magnet (Philips Medical Systems, Best, the Netherlands). Each examination consisted of the following sequences, optimized for software available at time of inclusion from 2002 to 2005: (1) Coronal proton density (PD) and T2-weighted dual spin echo (SE) sequence (repetition time (TR) of 2,200, an echo time (TE) of 20/80, 5-mm slice thickness, 0.5-mm intersection gap, 160-mm field of view (FOV), and 205×256 acquisition matrix); (2) sagittal PD and T2-weighted dual SE images (TR 2,200, TE 20/80, 4-mm slice thickness, 0.4-mm intersection gap, 160-mm FOV, 205×256 acquisition matrix); (3) sagittal 3D T1-weighted spoiled gradient echo (GE) frequency-selective fat-suppressed images (TR 46, TE 2.5, flip angle 40°, 3.0-mm slice thickness, slice overlap 1.5 mm, no gap, 180-mm FOV, 205×256 acquisition matrix); (4) axial proton density and T2-weighted turbo SE fat-suppressed images (TR 2,500, TE 7.1/40, echo train length 6, 2-mm slice thickness, no gap, 180-mm FOV, 205×256 acquisition matrix). The total acquisition time (including the initial survey sequence) was 30 min.

MR images at baseline of the knee were performed successfully in 205 of 208 patients. One patient was excluded due to claustrophobia, and in two others image quality was inadequate due to motion artifacts.

MRI interpretation

All MR images were analyzed by means of consensus between three readers. During the assessment, the readers were blinded to radiographic results, patient symptoms, patient age, and other clinical data. A published knee OA scoring system (KOSS) was used to assess osteoarthritic defects [21]. The MR images of patients including a 2-year follow-up MRI were assessed simultaneously using the ΔKOSS [21].

Cartilaginous defects and BML were assigned to any of the following anatomical locations: the crista patellae, medial or lateral patellar facet, the medial or lateral trochlear facets, the medial or lateral femoral condyle, and the medial or lateral tibial plateau. The medial and lateral menisci were reviewed for the presence of meniscal tears and/or subluxation out of the joint line.

Cartilaginous defects were graded as diffuse or focal. The coronal and sagittal SE images and sagittal GE images were used to assess the tibiofemoral cartilage. Axial turbo SE images and sagittal GE and SE images were used to assess patellofemoral cartilage. The surface extent of a diffuse or focal cartilaginous defect was classified by its maximal diameter and was graded as follows: grade 0, absent; grade 1, minimal (<5 mm); grade 2, moderate (5–10 mm); grade 3, severe (>10 mm). The depth of a cartilaginous defect was graded using a modification of the Yulish classification [22]: grade 0, absent; grade 1, <50% reduction of cartilage thickness; grade 2, >50% reduction of cartilage thickness; grade 3, full thickness or nearly full thickness cartilage defect.

A BML was defined as an ill-defined area of increased signal intensity on T2-weighted images in the subchondral bone, extending away from an articular surface or at places where traction changes occur [23]. The lesions were graded as follows: grade 0, absent; grade 1, minimal (<5 mm); grade 2, moderate (5–2 cm); grade 3, severe (>2 cm).

A meniscal tear was defined as a region of intermediate signal intensity on PD-weighted images within the meniscus, communicating with its superior and/or inferior surfaces or inner margin, on more than one slice [24]. The meniscal roots were examined also.

Meniscal subluxation was defined as protrusion over the edge of the tibial plateau seen at the level of the body of the meniscus on coronal PD-weighted images and was graded as follows: grade 0, absent; grade 1, minimal (<1/3 width of the meniscus bulging); grade 2, moderate (1/3–2/3 meniscal width involved); grade 3, severe (>2/3 meniscal width involved).

Osteophytes were defined as focal bony excrescences, seen on axial, sagittal, or coronal images, extending from a cortical surface. Osteophytes were further specified as being marginal, intercondylar, or central. Osteophytes were assessed using the following scale: grade 0, absent; grade 1, minimal (<3 mm); grade 2, moderate (3–5 mm); grade 3, severe (>5 mm) [25].

Subchondral cysts were defined as well-defined foci of high signal intensity on T2-weighted images, in the
cancellous bone underlying the joint cartilage. Their greatest dimension was measured and they were graded as follows: grade 0, absent; grade 1, minimal (<3 mm); grade 2, moderate (3–5 mm); grade 3, severe (>5 mm).

The presence of joint effusion was evaluated on T2-weighted coronal, sagittal, and axial sequences. A joint effusion was assumed to be absent when only a small physiological sliver of synovial fluid was observed. A small effusion was scored as present when a small amount of fluid distended one or two of the joint recesses (suprapatellar pouch, medial or lateral patellar recess, dorsal tibiofemoral joint space, popliteal tendon sheath, recesses surrounding the cruciate ligaments, meniscosynovial recesses), a moderate effusion when more than two joint recesses were partially distended, and massive effusion when full, marked distention of all the joint recesses was present.

Statistical analysis

Logistic regression analysis was used to calculate odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) to determine a relation between MR parameters seen at baseline and JSN on radiographs 2 years later for the entire population and separately for the KL<2 group and KL≥2 of patients. The ORs were adjusted for sex, age, BMI, and family effect using STATA 8.0 for Windows (STATA Corp, TX, USA). These ORs were then converted to relative risks (RR) and 95% CI were calculated also using the formula described by Zhang and Yu [26].

In patients showing JSN, the baseline grades were subtracted from the scores 2 years later. For cartilage, change in either diffuse thinning or focal thinning on either the femur or tibia facet was considered to be progression. This was also the case for osteophytes, BML, and subchondral cysts. For meniscal tears and subluxation, progression was considered to be a new tear or extension of the original tear into any of the other compartments (anterior, body, or posterior). This was analyzed also by subtracting the baseline score from the score 2 years later.

Results

The majority (112 or 60%) of patients had no significant features of knee OA (KL=0 or 1), (Table 1). At 2 years, radiographic progression of JSN occurred in 17 patients (9%). In the knees with radiographic OA (KL≥2), 11 of 74 patients (15%) had progressive JSN, whereas six of 112 (5.4%) progressed in the KL<1 group.

In the entire patient population, a significant association was found between meniscal tears (crude RR 4.1; crude CI 1.3–13.1; adjusted RR 3.57; adjusted CI 1.08–10.0) and meniscal subluxation (crude RR 3.5; crude CI 1.3–9.8; adjusted RR 2.73; adjusted CI 1.20–5.41) with progression of radiographic JSN (Table 2). No association was seen between the progression of JSN in the entire study population over 2 years and BML, cartilage loss, and joint effusion seen at baseline. A trend for an association was seen with subchondral cysts.

In the KL<2 group, a definite association occurred between meniscal subluxation and radiographic progression of JSN 2 years later (crude RR 7.85; crude CI 1.56–24.86; adjusted RR 11.3; adjusted CI 2.49–29.49). An example is shown in Fig. 1a–d. A trend was seen for BML and cartilage loss, but no other association was shown between the progression of JSN and any other MR parameter (Table 2).

An association was seen also in the KL≥2 group between meniscal tears and radiographic progression of JSN 2 years later (crude RR 8.91; crude CI 1.1–22.8; Table 2). The association between MRI characteristics in the medial tibiofemoral compartment and radiographic progression after 2 years in all subjects and only in patients with KL≥2 at baseline separately

| Prognostic factor       | Adjusteda RR (95% CI) | KL<2 N=112 | KL≥2 N=74 |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------|-----------|
| Bone marrow edema       |                       | 1.8 (0.51–5.17) | 4.97 (0.31–18.15) | 0.9 (0.18–3.0) |
| Cysts                   |                       | 2.7 (0.8–6.4)   | 1.66 (0.23–8.35)   | 1.6 (0.5–4.0)   |
| Cartilage loss          |                       | 1.7 (0.4–6.7)   | 2.72 (0.37–12.79)  | 3.0 (0.5–9.6)   |
| Osteophytes             |                       | 2.1 (0.6–6.4)   | 0.52 (0.05–3.26)   | –                  |
| Meniscal tears          |                       | 3.57 (1.1–10.0) | 1.19 (0.14–6.92)   | 8.91 (1.1–22.8) |
| Meniscal subluxation    |                       | 2.73 (1.2–5.4)  | 11.27 (2.49–29.49) | 1.70 (0.6–3.7)  |
| Joint effusion          |                       | 1.35 (0.7–2.4)  | 2.41 (0.51–8.63)   | 0.6 (0.2–1.8)   |

RR Relative risk, 95% CI 95% confidence intervals, KL Kellgren and Lawrence

a Adjustment for age, sex, BMI, and family effect
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adjusted RR 8.91; adjusted CI 1.13–22.84). This is illustrated in Fig. 2a–d. A trend was seen for cartilage loss. However, no association was shown between the progression of JSN and BML, subchondral cysts, and effusion seen at baseline. The association between osteophytes and progression could not be assessed as, by definition, all patients with KL ≥2 had osteophytes at baseline.

Table 3 shows the MR changes in the knees with JSN over 2 years. Of 17 patients, 15 showed JSN 2 years later on X-ray (two patients did not have the follow-up MRI scans). While no loss of hyaline cartilage was observed in these 15 knees, four new meniscal tears and three meniscal subluxations were observed.

Discussion

Only meniscal subluxation and meniscal tears in particular were significant in predicting radiographic JSN in the medial compartment of the knee 2 years later in the entire study population. In the KL ≥2 subpopulation, the effect of meniscal subluxation was not significant as it was in the KL <2 group, but the presence of meniscal tears was still found to be a significant risk factor. Hunter et al. [27] have demonstrated also that alterations in the menisci lead to joint space variance and loss of width. The presence of a tear alters weight-bearing forces and causes cartilage water redistribution and/or actual degeneration and thus a reduction in JSW [28]. In the KL <2 group, meniscal subluxation was a major cause for radiographic JSN in the medial compartment. In a much smaller study population of 30 OA patients, Adams et al. [6] also have shown that meniscal subluxation on MR imaging is associated with JSN on X-rays of OA but in a less disease-advanced population and over a shorter time period of only 1 year. However, in the present series, it is unclear why meniscal subluxation should be a risk factor for KL <2 and not in the KL ≥2 patients. Possible explanations include laxity of the capsule and/or medial collateral ligament early in the evolution of OA. Others include extrusion from the tibiofemoral joint line secondary to marginal osteophyte formation as ob-

![Fig. 1](image1.png)

**Fig. 1** Coronal PD dual SE images medial meniscus subluxation (a) with a sagittal 3D T1-weighted spoiled gradient echo frequency-selective fat-suppressed image of normal hyaline cartilage (b) with X-rays of subsequent joint space narrowing in the medial compartment after 2 years (d) compared to baseline (c) in the KL <2 group

![Fig. 2](image2.png)

**Fig. 2** Sagittal PD-weighted MR image shows a partial maceration of the posterior horn of medial meniscus (a) with a sagittal 3D T1-weighted spoiled gradient echo frequency-selective fat-suppressed image of minimal hyaline cartilage loss (b) with X-rays of subsequent joint space narrowing in the medial compartment after 2 years (d) compared to baseline (c) in the KL ≥2 group
The MR changes over 2 years in the medial femoral tibial compartment observed in 15 out of 17 patients with progressive JSN on radiographs for each prognostic factor

| Prognostic Factor    | MR Change |
|----------------------|-----------|
|                      | No change| Larger | Smaller |
| Bone marrow edema    | 13        | 0      | 2       |
| Subchondral cysts    | 11        | 2      | 2       |
| Cartilage loss       | 14        | 0      | 1       |
| Osteophyte           | 11        | 3      | 1       |
| Meniscus tear        | 10        | 4      | 1       |
| Meniscus subluxation | 12        | 3      | 0       |
| Joint effusion       | 12        | 1      | 3       |

Two patients were lost to follow-up.

soerved in a cross-sectional study (OR 4.89; CI 1.59–15.02) [29]. Also, it is possible that in OA subluxation is a precursor to a degenerative meniscal tear. Another possibility is of increased mobility secondary to root tears. These are tears originating at the root of the meniscus and therefore allowing extrusion of the meniscus by increased mobility [6, 30]. These were not detected in this study.

The obvious question arises as to whether the JSN observed in our patients is a real finding. The follow-up MR scans 2 years later confirmed that meniscal lesions were the main features to have changed. Hyaline cartilage loss was not the etiology (Table 3). In some cases, BML, subchondral cysts, and effusion improved. However, these are known to be dynamic processes on MR imaging [13]. However, the apparent improvement in osteophyte formation seen in one patient may be an artifact of projection. The observation of less cartilage loss in a patient 2 years later could indeed be spontaneous repair as is seen in animal models [31] or it may just be an artifact.

Others have shown that hyaline cartilage pathology at baseline does seem to predict JSN, but in our study the association was not significant even though a trend was seen. Cartilage defects do not seem to account for substantial JSN [32, 33].

The underlying rationale for investigating the use of MR imaging in predicting progression in radiographic JSN was to enable identification of those subjects with a likelihood of rapid progression of JSN for selection in clinical trials with novel treatments. In most studies, plain radiographs remain the “gold standard” for assessing knee OA and MRI is seen as an expensive adjunct. However, was a feature to be seen on a baseline MRI that did predict subsequent cartilage loss, justification of an MR image would increase and perhaps also permit greater understanding of the underlying pathophysiology of OA. The literature suggests that subsequent JSN would be primarily related to cartilage abnormalities [5]. This was not the case in our population in whom the inclusion criteria specified an earlier or less severe grade of knee OA than other studies [12]. Further, even though cartilage defects were seen at baseline, they did not appear to be the primary reason for subsequent JSN, again questioning how much apparent JSN in knee OA really represents hyaline cartilage pathology.

The results in the present study with regard to BML and progression of OA are not in agreement with the findings of others [34]. In the Boston Osteoarthritis of the Knee Study (BOKS), the finding of BML pattern carried seven times higher risk for progression of OA [34]. This was not confirmed in the present study (RR 1.8; CI 0.51–5.17). However, the BOKS [12] comprised patients with established KOA, whereas in the present study the population consisted of OA patients with generalized OA, where not all patients had established knee OA. Also, the median BMI of our study population was lower than in the BOKS. In addition, Komaat et al. [13] have reported that BML patterns fluctuate significantly in most OA knees in that study over time (i.e., one-third improving and another third worsening), indicating that BML is a dynamic phenomenon. Taken together, the prognostic value of a BML pattern for progression of OA seems not to be fully established. Currently, the role of BML patterns in early OA remains ambiguous.

In conclusion, in the present MR report, meniscal pathology (tears and/or meniscal subluxation) was the only MR parameter to be associated with subsequent radiographic progression of JSN in the medial tibiofemoral compartment seen on a radiograph 2 years later as assessed by the OARSI score. These data indicate that the predictive significance of other MR parameters in OA of the knee remain ambiguous in a time span of 2 years in a population with mild knee OA.

This study has some limitations which need to be addressed. The first one is the small number of progressors in this sample population. Secondly, the patient population comprises of patients with generalized OA and only 40% presented with knee OA which may account for the small number of progressors in this study. Thirdly, as this study is in an early cohort of OA patients, the follow-up period of 2 years may be too short to observe changes. Therefore, it would be interesting to look at early OA patients with a longer follow-up period of 5 years to assess the consequences of meniscal lesions, cartilage lesions and BML, and cysts.
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