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ABSTRACT

The current Evolved Packet Core (EPC) 4th generation (4G) mobile network structure emphasizes complicated control plane protocols and requires expensive equipment. In this approach, we propose the creation of a tunnel that maintains data delivery to mobile devices until the new Base Station (BS) element updates the route with the gateway, which prevents data packet loss during handover between BS elements located near to one another. To maintain the handover without losing the data we propose an approach scheme based on IP encapsulated within IP (IP-in-IP) for data delivery. We describe the results of numerical analyses showing that the proposed architecture provides superior performance compared with the current 4G architecture in terms of handover delay.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, the marked increase in the use of mobile phones and other mobile devices has led to a tremendous increase in mobile communication data traffic. This growth in mobile data traffic places increasing demands on wireless communication systems and represents a major challenge for cellular providers in terms of improving their core networks to support future network demands and keeping up with growing customer requirement [1].

Many methods have been introduced to address the extension in data traffic on mobile communication networks, including device-to-device (D2D) communication and wireless radio resource management. However, these efforts have concentrated essentially on expanding the capacity of wireless radio links. The future mobile network consists of two main parts: a radio link and a non-radio mobile core network. The efficient design of both the mobile core and the radio link is needed to meet the demands of the future mobile network [2].

The current 4th generation (4G) core network termed the Evolved Packet Core (EPC) is based on the General Packet Radio Service tunneling protocol (GTP) [4]. With EPC, eNodeB (eNB) or Base station (Bs) elements establish GTP tunnels with serving gateways (S-GWs) and Packet Data Network (PDN) gateways (P-GWs) to create centralized mobility anchors for data packet forwarding. However, the 4G network has a number of limitations.

- There are load balance and latency issues. Growth in data traffic requires a reduction in the transmission and connection delays. Simplifying the handover process between Base Station (BS) and decreasing the number of identifications can make handover simpler and more efficient, and hence more cost-effective.
- The GTP tunneling protocol overhead. GTP protocol adds three headers to the data payload totaling 36 bytes (GTP, UDP, and IP).

Various systems have been proposed to overcome these problems. The Distributed Mobility Management (DMM) approach [3] provides mobility solutions with localized mobility anchors distributed within the network. A mobility data offloading approach using femtocells has been introduced to enhance the 4G network [4]. In this scheme, data traffic is forward to the mobile device without using the mobile core network, which decreases the volume of internet traffic and unwanted data flow into the mobile core network.

However, most existing architectures suffer from problems associated with mobility anchoring and GTP overhead. In addition, from the perspective of capital expenditure, most of the proposed mobile network architectures are not cost-effective. Other optimization techniques can be used [8-14].

In this paper we propose a handover model between BSs located near to one another, we propose the use of an IP encapsulated within IP to maintain data flow to mobile devices until the new BSs updates the route with the gateway. In this way, we prevent data packet loss during handover.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section II describes the existing handover management in the 4G network. In Section III, we demonstrate our proposed approach. We analyze the performances of our approach and the 4g network and compare them in Section IV. Numerical results are provided in Section V and the conclusion follows in Section VI.

2. HANDOVER MANAGEMENT IN 4G NETWORK

There are two types of handover process in a 4G network: X2 handover with S-GW relocation, and S1 handover with S-GW relocation.

A. X2 handover with S-GW relocation

When the MN moves to another BS region, the source BS sends a Handover Request to the target BS, which response
B. S1 handover with S-GW relocation

When the MN moves to a new domain, the source MN sends a Handover required message to the MME. The MME then sends a Handover Request to target MN, which response with a Handover Acknowledgment. The MME then sends a Handover Command to the source MN, which sends a Handover Notify message to the MME. The MME sends a Modify Bearer Request to the target S-GW, which exchanges modify bearer messages with the P-GW via a Modify Bearer Request and a Modify Bearer Response. The target S-GW sends a Modify Bearer Response to the MME, which then sends a Release Resources message to the source BS.

3. PROPOSED NETWORK MODEL

In this paper, we will discuss and modify the X2 handover with S-GW relocation and proposed a new handover model based on IP encapsulation. This handover procedure occurs between a source BS and a target BS when the MN moves but remains within the same domain. With this operation, there is the risk of data packet loss. When the UE moves to the target BS, the source BS still receives data packets from the P-GW. To bridge this gap, these two BSs communicate with each other directly via IP-in-IP protocol [5]. This supports handover and prevents data packet loss during the handover process.

Fig. 1 demonstrate how the source BS encapsulate the inner IP address with outer IP of target BS to exchange data. During this process, the MN continues to receive data packets from the source BS until handover to the target BS has been completed. Fig. 2 shows the protocol stack for BSs connected via an IP-in-IP protocol [5]. This supports handover and prevents data packet loss during the handover procedure.

To bridge this gap, these two BSs communicate with each other directly via IP-in-IP protocol [5]. This supports handover and prevents data packet loss during the handover process.

Fig. 1 shows the handover procedure, during which the MN moves from the source BS to the target BS as a follow:

1) The source BS sends a Handover Request to the target BS, which response with a Handover Acknowledgment, thereby the IP packet encapsulate within outer IP.

2) The target BS sends a Path Switch Request to the MME.

3) The MME exchanges Path Switch Response and Request message with P-GW, to inform the MME that the MN has moved to a new BS, and the P-GW sends a Path Modify Response to the MME. Finally, the target BS sends a Release Resources message to the source BS.

4. NUMERICAL ANALYSIS

We calculated the total transmission delay of current 4G network and the proposed model based on the hop count between the BSs and between the BS and MME unite.

We determined the transmission delay of a message with size S that was sent between two nodes over a wireless link and a wired link [6].

The transmission delay of a message with size S sent via a wireless link from x to y as T(x-y)(S), can be represented as follows:

\[ T_{x,y}(S) = \frac{1}{1-q}(1+q) \times \left[ \frac{S}{B_{wl}} + L_{wl} \right] \]  

We express the transmission delay of the message with size S sent via a wired link from node to node as T_{x,y} (S, H_{x,y}),
where $H_{x,y}$ denotes the number of wired hops between $x$ and $y$. $T_{x,y}(H_{x,y})$ can be expressed as follows:

$$T_{x,y}(S,H_{x,y}) = H_{x,y} \times [(S/Bw) + Lw + Tq]$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

In the performance analysis, we used the notation and default parameter values listed in Table 1.

Based on the handover procedure that discussed in section II, the total X2 handover delay of the 4G network presented as follows:

$$2T_{BS-BS} + 3T_{BS-MME} + 2T_{MME-S-GW} + 2T_{S-GW-P-GW}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

We obtain the inter-gateway handover delay of the proposed network as follows:

$$3T_{BS-BS} + 2T_{BS-MME} + 2T_{MME-S-GW}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

5. NUMERICAL RESULTS OF HANDOVER DELAY

Based on the mathematical functions given above, we compare the performance of the current 4G network handover with the proposed architecture. Table 1 lists the default values of the delay parameters [7].

As shown in fig. (4) a comparison of proposed handover and 4G network handover. This comparison is based on the hop count between BSs in the same domain. The handover between BSs had a greater impact on the handover delay for the 4G network. This is because the MME changes the bearer via a centralized anchor (S-GW/P-GW), whereas the proposed approach is largely insensitive to BS handover because the MME performs path modification with the P-GW.

Fig. (5) shows a comparison of S1 handover with S-GW relocation. This comparison was based on the hop count between the BS and MME. The result show a significant impact on the handover delay for the 4G network; this is because the MME implements modify bearer with the P-GW via the S-GW. By contrast, with the proposed approach network, the MME performs path switching directly with MME.

Table 1: Default parameter values

| Parameter | Description               | Value  |
|-----------|---------------------------|--------|
| Lwl       | Delay of a wireless link  | 10 ms  |
| Lw        | Delay of a wired link     | 2 ms   |
| q         | Wireless Link failure     | 0.2    |
| Tq        | Average queuing delay at each node | 5 ms    |
| Sc        | Size of control packets   | 50 bytes |
| Sd        | Size of data packets      | 200 bytes |
| Bwl       | Bandwidth of wireless links | 11 Mbps |
| Bw        | Bandwidth of wired links  | 100 Mbps |

6. CONCLUSION

The current 4G mobile handover process is inflexible. We have described a handover model, which is based on IP-in-IP protocols for data packet delivery. With this architecture, data packets are delivered between BSs near to each other via outer IP. The proposed model achieves better performance than 4G by eliminates the GTP tunneling protocol and using IP-in-IP.

We compared our approach architecture with the existing 4G network architecture using numerical analyses. The results show that the proposed model results in better performance than the 4G network in terms of the handover delay.
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