Mammalian Fat1 cadherin regulates actin dynamics and cell–cell contact
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Fat1 cadherins form a distinct subfamily of the cadherin gene superfamily, and are featured by their unusually large extracellular domain. In this work, we investigated the function of a mammalian Fat cadherin, Fat1. Fat1 was localized at filopodial tips, lamellipodial edges, and cell–cell boundaries, overlapping with dynamic actin structures. RNA interference–mediated knockdown of Fat1 resulted in disorganization of cell junction–associated F-actin and other actin fibers/cables, disturbance of cell–cell contacts, and also inhibition of cell polarity formation at wound margins. Furthermore, we identified Ena/vasodilator-stimulated phosphoproteins as a potential downstream effector of Fat1. These results suggest that Fat1 regulates actin cytoskeletal organization at cell peripheries, thereby modulating cell contacts and polarity.

Introduction

Cadherins are one of the major classes of cell–cell adhesion molecules, and they regulate many aspects of animal morphogenesis, including the formation of epithelial and synaptic junctions, morphogenetic cell movement, and cell growth (Gumbiner, 2000; Tepass et al., 2000; Hirano et al., 2003). The cadherins form a superfamily that can be categorized into several subfamilies, including classic cadherins, desmosomal cadherins, protocadherins, Flamingo/Celsr, and Fat. Classic and desmosomal cadherins are well characterized in their function as adhesion molecules, but the biological functions of other cadherins seem to have diverged. Classic cadherins have five repeated domains in the extracellular region (called extracellular cadherin [EC] domains), and a highly conserved cytoplasmic region, to which the catenin system binds. It links the classic cadherins to the actin cytoskeleton. Desmosomal cadherins (desmocollin and desmoglein) also have five EC domains, but they are linked with the intermediate filament cytoskeleton via plakoglobin/desmoplakin (Garrod et al., 2002). On the other hand, protocadherins, Flamingo/Celsr, and Fat cadherins show a large variety in their primary structures. They have diverse numbers of the EC domain, from 5 to 34. Furthermore, their cytoplasmic sequences are quite divergent. Thus, these cadherins might play roles distinct from those of the classic and desmosomal cadherins (Suzuki, 2000; Frank and Kemler, 2002; Hirano et al., 2003). In fact, Flamingo/Celsr was found to be important for both planar polarity regulation and neurite patterning (Chae et al., 1999; Usui et al., 1999; Gao et al., 2000; Curtin et al., 2003; See et al., 2003; Senti et al., 2003). Members of the protocadherin subfamily have likewise been implicated as playing roles in the establishment of synaptic connections (Kohmura et al., 1998), neuronal survival (Wang et al., 2002), cochllear function (Bolz et al., 2001; Bork et al., 2001; Di Palma et al., 2001), and other morphogenetic processes (Kim et al., 1998, 2000; Yamamoto et al., 1998; Rhee et al., 2003). However, the biological functions of many other members of the cadherin superfamily remain to be elucidated.

Vertebrate Fat cadherin is one such molecule. Fat is the largest among the members of the cadherin superfamily, commonly having 34 EC domains. The primary sequences of the cytoplasmic regions of Fat subtypes have almost no similarity to those of the classic cadherins. In Drosophila, fat was shown to be a gene responsible for hyperplastic overgrowth of imaginal discs (Bryant et al., 1988; Mahoney et al., 1991), and recent analyses revealed that Drosophila Fat regulates planar polarity patterning (Rawls et al., 2002; Strutt and Strutt, 2002; Yang et al., 2002; Fanto et al., 2003; Ma et al., 2003). In mammals, three subtypes of Fat, namely Fat1, 2, and 3, have been reported (Dunne et al., 1995; Ponassi et al., 1999; Cox et al., 2000; Inoue et al., 2003). The online version of this article includes supplemental material.
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We can predict that mammalian Fat might also play roles in cell proliferation or planar cell polarity. However, the cytoplasmic region is not highly conserved between the *Drosophila* and mammalian Fats, although their extracellular regions are similar. Thus, we cannot rule out the possibility that mammalian Fat might have acquired distinct roles from its *Drosophila* counterpart. Fat is expressed in various tissues at embryonic stages, especially in proliferating epithelial tissues such as the neural tube, lung epithelium, and proliferating layers in the skin (Duenne et al., 1995; Ponassi et al., 1999; Cox et al., 2000; Inoue et al., 2001; Mitsui et al., 2002; Nakayama et al., 2002).

In this report, we describe for the first time analyses at both molecular and cellular levels on the properties of mammalian Fat1. Our detailed examinations revealed Fat1 to be localized at filopodia, lamellipodia, and cell–cell contact sites. By performing RNA interference (RNAi) in PAM212 cells, we found that Fat1 was required for tight cell–cell association and proper actin organization. Furthermore, we found that in a wound-healing assay, Fat1 was required to regulate cell polarity at the wound margins. As for its molecular action, we identified Ena/vasodilator-stimulated phosphoproteins (VASPs) as a possible downstream effector of Fat1. Fat1 bound to these proteins via an Ena/VASP homology 1 (EVH1) domain–mediated interaction. We suggest that Fat1 regulates cell–cell adhesion and other cell behavior by controlling actin polymerization through the Ena/VASP system, at least in part.

Results

Localization of Fat1 at cell–cell boundaries

To understand the properties of Fat cadherin at the cellular level, we first examined the subcellular localization of endogenous Fat1 in various cell lines by using antibodies specific for Fat1 (Fig. S1, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200403006/DC1). In DLD1 cells, a colon carcinoma line, Fat1 was detected at cell–cell junctions (Fig. 1 A). However, its distribution pattern was not identical to that of molecules of the classic cadherin system. β-Catenin, a classic cadherin-associated protein, was sharply concentrated at the apical portion of lateral cell contacts, where the adherens junction is located (Fig. 1 A). In contrast, Fat1 was not particularly strongly concentrated in the adherens junction region; rather, its staining was more intense in the lower portion of the cell contacts (Fig. 1 A). MDCK cells, a kidney epithelial line, showed a similar junctional staining for Fat1 (Fig. 1 B, low). However, in these cells Fat1 became barely detectable in the cell junctions of highly packed colonies (Fig. 1 B, high), indicating that junctional Fat1 does not persist in mature cell contacts in this cell line. Western blotting analysis showed that expression levels of Fat1 protein were reduced as the cell density increased in prolonged cultures (Fig. S1 F). In PAM212 cells, a transformed keratinocyte line, Fat1 was localized not only at cell junctions, but also at the free edges of cells (Fig. 1 C). As was the case for other cell lines, the staining of Fat1 at the cell junctions was not always identical to that of β-catenin (Fig. 1 C). Among these three cell lines, PAM212 cells expressed the highest level of Fat1 protein, and MDCK cells expressed the lowest level, as revealed by Western blotting analysis (Fig. S1 B).

In PAM212 cells, the Fat1 signals overlapped with those of cortical actin fibers. For example, Fat1 was colocalized with actin fibers underlying early cell–cell contacts (Fig. 1 D). At the basal level of confluent cultures, Fat1 often accumulated at a protruded portion of the cells, often overlapping with actin stress fibers (Fig. 1 E). Fat1 staining was also observed at the free edges of cells, including lamellipodia and filopodia (Fig. 1 F). Double staining for Fat1 and F-actin showed that their distributions overlapped in these structures, particularly at lamellipodia (see Fig. 4 E). Such colocalization of Fat1 and actin was observed in any other cell lines examined.

Cell–cell associations are controlled by classic cadherins. To test their effects on Fat1 regulation, we treated PAM212 cells with blocking antibodies specific for E- and P-cadherins (Yoshida-Noro et al., 1984; Nose and Takeichi, 1986), the major classic cadherins expressed in this cell line. After a short period of incubation (30 min), β-catenin localization became diffuse, most extensively at the peripheral portions of cell colonies. Coincidently, the junctional concentration of Fat1 also became diffuse or was lost entirely (Fig. 2). Thus, we suggest that Fat1 requires the classic cadherin system to become localized at cell–cell junctions.

Fat1 binds to Ena/VASP proteins through an EVH1 domain–mediated interaction

We noticed that the Fat1 cytoplasmic tail has three consensus sequences to the binding site for the EVH1 domain of Ena/VASP proteins (residues 4310–4314, 4437–4441, and 4450–4454 of human Fat1; see also Fig. 3 A). Ena/VASP proteins are known to regulate the motility of the actin cytoskeleton by antagonizing capping proteins and/or by increasing the rate of dissociation of the branched junction (Bear et al., 2002; Krause et al., 2002; Renfranz and Beckerle, 2002; Samarim et al., 2003). In mammals, there are three known members of the Ena/VASP family, namely mammalian Enabled (Mena), VASP, and Ena/VASP-like (Reinhard et al., 1992; Gertler et al., 1996). They are thought to play redundant roles for regulating actin dynamics. The core amino acid sequence for binding to the EVH1 domain of Ena/VASP is F/L/Y/WPPP (Niebuhr et al., 1997; Ball et al., 2000). Fat1 has three core sequences for the EVH1 domain, which are conserved across species from avian to mammalian, but not in *Drosophila* (Fig. 3 A; unpublished data). Among the three consensus sequences for the EVH1 binding, amino acid residues 4432–4443 and 4448–4456 of Fat1 are preferred candidate sequences for the high affinity binding to the EVH1 domain (Niebuhr et al., 1997; Carl et al., 1999; Ball et al., 2000). Furthermore, Ena/VASP proteins have been reported to localize at filopodia and lamellipodia (Reinhard et al., 1992; Gertler et al., 1996; Rottner et al., 1999). The above findings prompted us to test the possibility that Fat1 binds to Ena/VASP proteins.

To examine the binding between Fat1 and Ena/VASP proteins, we created a GST fusion protein containing the EVH1 domain of Mena (GST-Mena EVH1) and performed a GST pull-down assay. The EVH1 domain is necessary and
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sufficient for the interaction with ActA, zyxin, or vinculin (Niebuhr et al., 1997). As shown in Fig. 3 B, a Flag-tagged cytoplasmic portion of Fat1 (Fat1 cyto, see Materials and methods) exogenously expressed in MDCK cells was efficiently coprecipitated with the GST-Mena EVH1 protein, but not with a control GST protein. Furthermore, endogenous Fat1 protein was also coprecipitated with the same fusion protein (Fig. 3 C; see also Fig. S2 A, available at http://...
To further confirm the direct binding between Fat1 and Ena/VASP, we used an NH$_2$-terminal truncated form of Fat1, delN Fat1. This molecule contains four EGF motifs and transmembrane and cytoplasmic regions, to the NH$_2$-terminus of which the signal sequence of preprotrypsin and a Flag tag are attached (Fig. 3 D). As it was reported that the first amino acid residue of F/L/Y/WPPPP was essential for the binding between Ena/VASP proteins and their binding partner (Niebuhr et al., 1997; Ball et al., 2000), we created a mutant form of delN Fat1 (delN Fat1 mut) in which phenylalanine and leucines of the putative Ena/VASP-binding sites (Leu 4310, Phe 4437, and Leu 4450 of human Fat1) were replaced by alanines. As shown in Fig. 3 E, the efficiency of coprecipitation with GST-Mena EVH1 was greatly reduced for delN Fat1 mut, as compared with that for wild-type delN Fat1.

To test the interaction between Fat1 and Ena/VASP at the cellular level, we overexpressed delN Fat1 in NRK-52E cells showing a clear focal adhesion distribution. In these cells, endogenous VASP disappeared from focal adhesions, coinciding with the loss of junctional β-catenin (Fig. 3 F, top panels), and was occasionally recruited to the sites where delN Fat1 was concentrated (unpublished data). Under these conditions, focal adhesions, as visualized by vinculin staining, still existed, suggesting that the effect of delN Fat1 was specific to Ena/VASP proteins (Fig. S2 B). However, the delN Fat1 mut, in which the Ena/VASP-binding sites had been mutated, did not exhibit such activity (Fig. 3 F, bottom panels). The expression levels of both delN Fat1 proteins and endogenous VASP in delN Fat1–transfected and delN Fat1 mut–transfected cells were comparable (unpublished data). Of the total number of transfectants, the percent showing the disappearance of VASP from focal adhesions was 88.8 ± 8.0% (mean ± SEM, from 10 independent experiments) for delN Fat1–expressing cells, and 8.0 ± 4.0% (from 12 independent experiments) for delN Fat1 mut–expressing cells. Furthermore, when the Fat1 cytoplasmic tail attached to the mitochondrial targeting sequence of _Listeria_ protein ActA was expressed in NRK-52E cells, endogenous VASP was recruited to the mitochondria (Fig. 3 G, top panels). However, the mutant form of Fat1, in which the Ena/VASP-binding sites had been mutated, did not have such activity (Fig. 3 G, bottom panels).
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Panels). These results strongly support the idea that Fat1 binds to Ena/VASP proteins specifically through an EVH1 domain–mediated interaction. In addition, the Fat1 tail could not recruit N-WASP, WAVEs, vinculin, β-catenin, or ZO-1, indicating the specific interaction between Fat1 and Ena/VASP proteins (unpublished data). Notably, the Fat1 tail was not able to induce ectopic actin fiber formation at the mitochondria, although the NH2 terminus of zyxin, which also binds to Ena/VASP proteins, had such activity in the above assays (unpublished data).

**Fat1 was colocalized with VASP at cell–cell contact and cell peripheries**

Next, we used PAM212 cells to examine whether endogenous Fat1 was colocalized with Ena/VASP proteins. As shown in Fig. 4 (A–C), these two molecules did colocalize at lamellipodia, filopodial tips, or cell–cell contacts (see also Fig. 6 A). To investigate the relationship between the localization of Fat1 and Ena/VASP, we examined the behavior of these molecules in the presence of a low dose of cytochalasin D; for it had been reported that Ena/VASP disappears from...
lamellipodial edges by treatment with a low dose of cytochalasin D, whereas N-WASP remains (Bear et al., 2002). In the presence of 150 nM cytochalasin D, Mena and VASP all disappeared from the lamellipodial edges (Fig. 4 D, top panels; not depicted for Mena). However, the Fat1 distribution in these structures was not altered, and WAVE and N-WASP were also retained (Fig. 4 D, bottom panels; not depicted for N-WASP), suggesting that the localization of Fat1 might not be determined by Ena/VASP proteins.

Fat1 regulates actin dynamics through interaction with Ena/VASP proteins

To explore the intracellular molecular events regulated by Fat1, we examined the effects of Fat1 tail expression in MDCK cells, which had the lowest level of endogenous Fat1 among the cell types examined (Fig. S1 B). When either delN Fat1 or delN Fat1 mut was exogenously expressed in MDCK cells, these molecules became localized to cell junctions, showing a distribution similar to that of WAVE and N-WASP were also retained (Fig. 4 D, bottom panels; not depicted for N-WASP), suggesting that the localization of Fat1 might not be determined by Ena/VASP proteins.

Fat1 is required for tight cell association and actin fiber organization

We used PAM212 cells, which express a high level of endogenous Fat1 (Fig. S1 B), to examine the effect of RNAi-mediated knockdown of Fat1 protein expression on cell behavior. After transfection with Fat1-RNAi plasmids, ~95% of the cells in culture lost Fat1 protein, as judged by anti-Fat1 antibody staining. The remaining cells maintained high levels of Fat1 expression, allowing us to compare the properties of Fat1-positive and -negative cells side by side. Fat1-negative cells, in general, looked flatter than positive ones. Immunostaining for β-catenin in low density cultures showed that, in
the absence of Fat1, cell–cell associations appeared to be significantly looser; i.e., the β-catenin signals along cell junctions became discontinuous and were interrupted by non-junctional intercellular spaces (Fig. 5 A). Actin organization was also severely disrupted; junctional actin bundles were disorganized (compare arrow and arrowheads in Fig. 5 B), and the number of actin stress fibers was diminished in the Fat1 knockdown cells. These abnormal actin organizations were also observed in fully confluent cultures (Fig. 5 C).

To interrelate the above effect of Fat1 loss on actin fibers with its activity to interact with Ena/VASP proteins, we compared more closely the distributions of these proteins in control and Fat1 knockdown PAM212 cells, manipulating their adhesion by the Ca\(^{2+}\) switch method. As observed in primary keratinocytes (Vasioukhin et al., 2000), VASP proteins were localized to cell–cell contact sites at 1–8 h after the Ca\(^{2+}\) switch, and these junctional VASPs colocalized with Fat1 (Fig. 6 A). The VASP and Fat1 also colocalized with F-actin that had accumulated along these early cell–cell boundaries (Fig. 6 A). However, in Fat1 knockdown cells, VASP disappeared from cell boundaries, and the actin localization at early cell contact sites became punctate, instead of showing its linear organization in control cells (Fig. 6 B). These results are in accord with the idea that Fat1–VASP interactions may control actin organization. It is known that actins concentrated at initial cell contacts are gradually reorganized into radial actin cables (Vaezi et al., 2002), whose ends terminate at cell junctions, in keratinocytes. Formation of such radial actin cables was strongly suppressed in the Fat1 knockdown cells (Fig. 6 C). Previous work (Vaezi et al., 2002) showed that radial actin cable formation is initiated at the basolateral portion of the cells and then shifts to the apical portion. Fat1 was occasionally localized at the points where early radial actin cables appeared to “cross” the junctional actin fibers at the basolateral portion (Fig. 6 A, middle panels and insets). However, Fat1 did not particularly colocalize with radial actin cables fully organized at the apical portion (Fig. 6 C). These results suggest that Fat1 may participate in early phases of actin cable organization, but not directly in the later phases of this process.

**Fat1 regulates early cell contacts and also cell polarity formation**

As Fat1 was localized at free cell edges, we examined whether Fat1 had any role in initial cell–cell contacts by using the Ca\(^{2+}\) switch method. In control PAM212 cells, when their culture medium was switched from a Ca\(^{2+}\)-chelated to a Ca\(^{2+}\)-containing one, β-catenin as a marker of the classic cadherin–catenin system was promptly recruited to cell contact sites, with a concomitant induction of close cell–cell association (Fig. 7 A, top panels). However, in Fat1 knockdown cells, β-catenin accumulation was retarded (Fig. 7 A, bottom panels). These results suggest that Fat1 functions not only for maintenance of cell associations, but also for initiation of cell contacts.

Finally, we asked whether Fat1 had any activity to regulate dynamic cell rearrangement. As a test of this possible activity, we compared the behavior of PAM212 cells with that of their Fat1 knockdown counterparts in a wound-healing assay. As expected, Fat1 knockdown cells exhibited looser associations at wound margins compared with controls cells (Fig. 7 B). However, the overall wound closure rate was not significantly different between these cells (unpublished data). Then, we examined the distribution of the Golgi apparatus, which is known to be reoriented toward the edges of the wound in several cell lines (Nobes and Hall, 1999; Etienne-Manneville and Hall, 2001). In PAM212 cells, the Golgi apparatus surrounds the nucleus or accumulates at one side of the periphery of the nucleus; and it became reoriented to face the wound edge at \(\sim 8\) h after the wound had been made. When Fat1 was eliminated, the Golgi apparatus was no longer reoriented to the wound edges (Fig. 7, C and D), indicating that Fat1 was required for cellular polarization. To test whether the loss of actin stress fibers in Fat1 knockdown cells could be implicated in this phenomenon, we treated control PAM212 cells with Y27632, a Rock in-
hibitor, which can eliminate actin stress fibers. However, this treatment did not affect the reorientation of the Golgi apparatus (unpublished data), indicating that actin stress fibers were not involved in this process.

**Discussion**

Our present work has demonstrated novel cell biological properties of a vertebrate Fat cadherin, Fat1. This molecule was localized at both cell peripheries and cell–cell contact sites, overlapping with actin structures. Loss of Fat1 affected several classes of actin organization; not only junctional actin accumulation, but also the formation of radial actin cables and stress fibers. Furthermore, Fat1 deficiency resulted in looser cell association and abrogated cell polarity formation during wound healing. These findings suggest that Fat1 regulates cell contact and polarity, possibly by modulating actin polymerization at the cytoplasmic side.

How does Fat1 control the actin cytoskeleton? We identified Ena/VASP proteins as partners of Fat1. Ena/VASP proteins are localized to free cell edges, focal adhesions, and cell junctions, where they are thought to regulate the polymerization of actin (Laurent et al., 1999; Bear et al., 2000, 2002; Krause et al., 2002; Renfranz and Beckerle, 2002). Fat1 colocalized with Ena/VASP at these sites, with the exception of focal adhesions. Ena/VASP proteins have been reported to interact with several molecules, including zyxin, vinculin, semaphorin, Robo, and Fyb/Slap, via EVH1 domain–mediated interactions, and these interactions regulate the localization and activity of Ena/VASP proteins. We showed that Fat1 indeed bound to Ena/VASP proteins through an EVH1 domain–mediated interaction, and further demonstrated that the Fat1 tail promoted stress fiber formation in MDCK cells in a manner dependent on its EVH1-binding regions. When Fat1 protein was eliminated from PAM212 cells by RNAi, VASP was no longer localized to cell–cell contact sites; concomitantly, F-actin was reorganized into a punctate pattern at these sites. These findings suggest that the Fat1–Ena/VASP interaction is one possible mechanism for the regulation of actin organization.

Loss of α-catenin, a mediator for cadherin–actin interaction, also abolishes the localization of Ena/VASP proteins.

**Figure 6.** Fat1 regulates VASP localization and actin fiber formation in early cell–cell contacts. (A and B) PAM212 cells transfected with control or Fat1 RNAi plasmids were cultured for 72 h. The cells were then subjected to a Ca\(^{2+}\) switch assay, as described elsewhere (Fukuhara et al., 2002). 3 h after the medium had been changed from a low to high Ca\(^{2+}\), the cells were doubly immunostained for Fat1/VASP, Fat1/F-actin, or VASP/F-actin in control cells (A), or singly stained for VASP or F-actin in transfected cells (B). Insets, close-up views of part of the middle panels. Arrowheads point to representative cell–cell contact sites. The arrows indicate a site where a putative future radial actin cable meets with junction-associated F-actin. (C) 12 h after the medium change, cells were stained for F-actin. In control cells, radial actin cables are well formed at the apical plane of the cells. Fat1 is not colocalized with the radial actin cables. In Fat1 RNAi cells, such cable formation was suppressed. Bars: 10 μm (A and B), 15 μm (C).
Fat1 regulates actin dynamics at cell–cell contacts (Vasioukhin et al., 2000). As Fat1 affected classic cadherin-mediated junctions, the effects of Fat1 deficiency on VASP localization and/or actin organization could have been indirectly produced through the perturbation of the classic cadherin system. However, in contrast to the case of α-catenin null mutants, in which junctional actin is eliminated (Vasioukhin et al., 2000), F-actin still accumulated at cell junctions in Fat1 knockdown cells, although its distribution pattern was altered, suggesting that the actin disorganization observed in Fat1 knockdown cells was not a simple consequence of the perturbation of the classic cadherin system. It is important to determine in future analyses how these two cadherin systems coordinate for actin organization. Our results also showed that the Fat1–VASP–actin colocalization occurred only at cell boundaries; nevertheless, Fat1 knockdown affected a broad range of actin organization. There might be a cascade of actin reorganization that is triggered by cell–cell contacts, and Fat1-knockdown may block an early step of this cascade, indirectly affecting later steps. On the other hand, it is equally possible that the Fat1 tail may have other unidentified domains or functions to cover the regulation of multiple classes of actin organization, and the Fat1–VASP interaction is only part of the entire Fat1 system.

Our results indicated that the classic cadherin and Fat1 adhesion systems depended on one another for their full activities. They probably cooperate in cell–cell adhesion at different sites of the lateral cell membranes, as the apical adherens junction is enriched in the classic cadherin–catenin complex, whereas Fat1 is abundant at more basal sides of the lateral membranes. To elicit adhesive activity, Fat1 may undergo homophilic interactions via its cadherin motifs, as

Figure 7. Fat1 regulates early cell contacts and also cell polarity formation. (A) PAM212 cells transfected with control or Fat1 RNAi plasmid were cultured for 72 h. Then, the cells were subjected to the Ca^{2+} switch, fixed at the indicated times, and stained for β-catenin. (B) PAM212 cells transfected with control RNAi plasmid or Fat1 RNAi plasmid were plated in a high density and were cultured for 72 h. The wound was made using a pipette tip. The cells were cultured for another 8 h, and then were stained for Fat1 and β-catenin. (C) 8 h after the wound had been made, the cells were stained for GM130 to visualize the Golgi apparatus. The wound margin is indicated by a dotted line. (D) Percentages of wound-edge cells with the Golgi apparatus oriented toward the wound front. Error bars denote SDs of six independent experiments. Bars, 10 μm.
other members of the cadherin superfamily do. Such homophilic interactions may generate some intracellular signals to modulate cytoskeletal organization via the cytoplasmic tail. It remains to be elucidated what signals are elicited by Fat1 to control cell polarity, and how these are related to similar activities of the *Drosophila* homologue.

Fat1 is not ubiquitously expressed in the body, suggesting it has cell type–specific functions. For example, the level of Fat1 proteins greatly differed among epithelial lines, such as between PAM212 and MDCK cells. These observations suggest that this protein is not indispensable for the general control of cell–cell adhesion, but rather plays some cell type–specific roles. Recently, the phenotypes of transgenic mice lacking Fat1 have been reported (Ciani et al., 2003). These mice exhibit perinatal lethality, most probably caused by loss of renal glomerular slit junctions and fusion of glomerular epithelial cell processes (podocytes). Some Fat1–/– mice show holoprosencephaly and anophthalmia, which may be caused by defective cell–cell interactions. We are hopeful that the present cell biological findings may aid in the interpretation of such embryonic phenotypes in the future.

Materials and methods

**Plasmids**

A partial sequence of human Fat1 cDNA was obtained by the PCR method using total RNA from DLD1 cells as a template. The sequence corresponding to the EVH1 domain of mouse Mena (residues 1–175) was obtained by the PCR method using total RNA from the mouse brain as a template. pFlag-CMV1, a mammalian expression vector, was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Human Fat1 expression plasmid (a gift from Dr. R.M. Golsteyn, Institut de Recherches Servier, Suresnes, France) to construct a pCA-IRES-Neo vector. This integrated vector was used for extracellular secretion of NH2-terminal truncated forms of Fat1, we used the pCA-Sig-IRES vector (see above). 400 μg/ml G418 was used for selected transfected cells. Selected cells were maintained as a noncloned population.

**Immunostaining**

Cells were fixed with 3.7% formaldehyde in PBS for 15 min at RT. The fixed cells were then permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 10 min and blocked with 3% BSA in PBS for 30 min at 37°C. The cells were incubated with appropriate antibodies in 3% BSA in PBS for 1.5 h at 37°C. Next, the cells were washed three times with PBS and incubated with fluorochrome-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:500; Alexa Fluor secondary antibodies; Molecular Probes, Inc.) in 3% BSA in PBS for 1 h on 37°C. For rat anti-Fat1 antibody, a Cy3-conjugated secondary antibody (1:500; CHEMICON International) was used. In double staining for Fat1 and other antigens, either the rat or rabbit anti-Fat1 antibody was chosen, depending on the antibody type used for the other antigen. After three washes with PBS and two washes with Milli-Q water (Millipore), coverslips were mounted with FluorSave reagent (Calbiochem). Preparations were analyzed using a laser-scanning confocal microscope (LSM 510 mounted on an Axiovert 100 M microscope; Carl Zeiss Microimaging, Inc.) on an inverted stand using C-Apochromat 40×/1.20 and Plan-Apochromat 63×/1.40 objectives (Carl Zeiss Microimaging, Inc.) at RT. Images for presentation were prepared with Adobe Photoshop software.

**Western blotting**

Fetal mouse brains or cells were lysed in 50 mM Hepes (pH 7.4) containing 2 mM EGTA, 2 mM MgCl2, 10% glycerol, 1% NP-40, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, and 20 μM μl aprotinin. Proteins were fractionated by SDS-PAGE using a 2–15% gradient gel (Daiichi Pure Chemicals; in Fig. 3, C, Fig. S1 and Fig. S2) or a 10% gel (in Fig. 3). The fractionated proteins were electroblotted onto immobilon-P polyvinylidifluore membranes (Millipore) by using either a wet or a semi-dry transfer apparatus (Bio-Rad Laboratories). The membrane was blocked with 5% skim milk for 30 min at RT. Then, proteins were probed for 1 h at 4°C with antibody against rat anti-Fat1 antibody (1:100), rabbit anti-Fat1 antibody (1:100), or anti-Flag antibody (1:500) in 20 mM Tris-HCl containing 3% BSA and 150 mM NaCl. The membrane was then washed three times at RT (15 min each time) in 20 mM Tris-HCl containing 0.1% Tween 20 and 0.5% Triton X-100 (TBS-Tween), and was subsequently incubated for 2 h at RT with secondary antibody in 20 mM Tris-HCl containing 5% skim milk and 150 mM NaCl. After three washes with TBS-Tween, the proteins were detected using the ECL plus reagent (PerkinElmer) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

**GST pull-down assay**

Cells were lysed in 50 mM Hepes (pH 7.4) containing 2 mM EGTA, 2 mM MgCl2, 10% glycerol, 1% NP-40, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride,
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