Fluorescence intermittency originates from reclustering in two-dimensional organic semiconductors
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Fluorescence intermittency or blinking is observed in nearly all nanoscale fluorophores. It is characterized by universal power-law distributions in on- and off-times as well as 1/f behaviour in corresponding emission power spectral densities. Blinking, previously seen in confined zero- and one-dimensional systems has recently been documented in two-dimensional reduced graphene oxide. Here we show that unexpected blinking during graphene oxide-to-reduced graphene oxide photoreduction is attributed, in large part, to the redistribution of carbon $sp^2$ domains. This reclustering generates fluctuations in the number/size of emissive graphenic nanoclusters wherein multiscale modelling captures essential experimental aspects of reduced graphene oxide’s absorption/emission trajectories, while simultaneously connecting them to the underlying photochemistry responsible for graphene oxide’s reduction. These simulations thus establish causality between currently unexplained, long timescale emission intermittency in a quantum mechanical fluorophore and identifiable chemical reactions that ultimately lead to switching between on and off states.
O bserving chemical reactions as they occur reveals unforeseen connections. An in situ fluorescence experiment monitoring single cholesterol oxidase molecules observed non-Markovian rates of reversible reactions. This indicates a form of memory in the system which influences future reaction dynamics.

For decades, researchers have been trying to determine the finite states of a system undergoing fluorescence intermittency, a phenomenon in which a fluorophore consistently cycles between power-law distributed bright periods and power-law distributed dark periods. Many models have been developed to explain the contrast between fast radiative recombination (ns) and slow timescales seen in fluorescence intermittency (s-min). One of the first and most widely used microscopic models assumes that the dark state is charged, and it is often invoked to justify the existence of long intermittent periods in colloidal quantum dot blinking trajectories. In this model, an on-off transition occurs due to Auger ionization of a nanocrystal. Although some of the predictions of the original Efros–Rosen charging model are in contradiction with experiments, recent work by Osad’ko et al. showed that a modified version of the charging model is consistent with power-law kinetics. However, as shown by Guyot-Sionnest, charged quantum dots are emissive, and alternative blinking scenarios also have to be considered. Quantum dot blinking has also been attributed to light-induced surface ligand reorganization and even to light-induced defect rearrangement in nanocrystals. Beyond quantum dots, blinking has been observed in a host of other systems. Examples includes instances of fluorescence intermittency in single molecules and in single polymer strands. Explanations for blinking in these other systems hence range from surface electron traps to oxidation. Consequently, within the broader context of single-particle microscopy, and even within the realm of quantum dot blinking, there exists no consensus as to the microscopic origin of blinking.

Graphene oxide (GO) is a 2D material formed by the oxidation of graphene. Unlike graphene, GO possesses a band-gap that gives rise to strong fluorescence in the visible spectrum that can be tuned by gradual reduction into reduced graphene oxide (rGO). Prior single sheet absorption and emission microscopy/spectroscopy studies have shown that this novel system’s photophysical properties evolve dramatically during photoreduction; GO’s emission first quenches and then brightens under continuous 405 nm irradiation. This behaviour is linked to reduction monitored through temporal monitoring single cholesterol oxidase molecules observed chemical reactions which occur during GO photoreduction since despite the nearly three decades of work on the matter, the current study is the first to link blinking to a definitive chemical process. To determine if rGO’s blinking and reduction mechanisms are in contradiction with experiments, recent work with multiscale theoretical modelling of GO-to-rGO interconversion. Figure 1 shows density functional theory (DFT)/time-dependent density functional theory (TDDFT) and Kinetic Monte Carlo (MC) simulations which connect the photoreduction-induced, structural evolution of GO to the optical response of its individual sp² domains. GO features a sp²-coordinated carbon honeycomb lattice, which contains vacancies, defects and functional groups distributed across its basal plane and edges. Different models suggest that epoxide (COC) and hydroxide (COH) groups predominantly decorate GO’s basal plane while edges are carbonyl (CO), carboxyl (COOH) or hydrogen-terminated. Consequently, graphenic domains are embedded within a disordered, sp²-hybridized matrix with photolytic reduction leading to GO-to-rGO interconversion through changes to the local number, size and overall density of aromatic sp² clusters.

**Results**

**Optical properties of individual graphenic domains.** DFT/TDDFT are first used to establish minimum transition energies, absorption cross-sections/emission intensities and quantum yields (QYs) for a series of successively larger graphenic clusters: C₂₄H₁₂, C₅₄H₁₈, C₉₆H₂₄, C₁₅₀H₃₀ and C₂₁₆H₃₆. Clar’s pioneering work demonstrated that the optical response of polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) depends exclusively on the number of carbon atoms as well as the maximum number of possible aromatic sextets. Different PAHs, which conserve the number of carbon atoms and aromatic sextets, possess near identical optical properties. Consequently, the chosen graphenic clusters enable construction of a calibration curve, linking sp² domain size to QY and spanning the visible spectrum. Corresponding graphenic cluster emission energies (QYs) are 4.16 eV (31.8%), 2.90 eV (12.5%), 2.18 eV (4.52%), 1.69 eV (1.25%), and 1.32 eV (0.092%) respectively.

**Simulation of photoreduction with kinetic Monte Carlo.** MC simulations then model the evolution of GO’s graphenic clusters during photoreduction (cf. Supplementary Figs 2 and 3). A 20 nm × 20 nm carbon honeycomb lattice is first generated whereupon functional groups are incorporated into the lattice by randomly placing hydroxide and epoxide groups across the basal plane. Three chemical reactions are simulated to evolve the lattice during reduction: hydroxyl abstraction equation (1), 1,3 epoxide abstraction equation (2) and direct carbon sublimation equation (3) (refs 33,34).

\[
\text{C}_n\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{C}_n + \text{OH}, \quad (\text{Rate Constant} = k_{\text{OH}}) \quad (1)
\]

\[
\text{C}_n\text{O} \rightarrow \text{C}_{n-1} + \text{CO}, \quad (\text{Rate Constant} = k_{\text{O}}) \quad (2)
\]

\[
\text{C}_n \rightarrow \text{C}_{n-1} + \text{C}, \quad (\text{Rate Constant} = k_{\text{C}}) \quad (3)
\]

Although the actual chemical reactions which occur during GO photoreduction are more complicated and numerous, equations (1–3) produce photoreduction and lattice disintegration which dictate GO’s long-term structural evolution. Additional details regarding the parameterization can be found in Supplementary Notes 1 and 2.
Optical properties of clusters in MC. DFT/TDDFT results are incorporated into MC simulations. Specifically, graphenic clusters, possessing suitable emission energies and QYs to be experimentally observed, are monitored. The absorption of an individual graphenic domain is proportional to its \( sp^2 \) carbon content while its corresponding emission intensity is the product of its absorption cross-section and associated QY. \( C_{24}H_{12} \) and \( C_{216}H_{36} \) have emission energies outside the visible range. Therefore, only clusters in the range of 50 to 150 carbon atoms contribute significantly to the observed emission (cf. Supplementary Table 1; Supplementary Fig. 1). Counterintuitively, smaller clusters emit more despite their lower absorption cross-section. By adding the response of all spectroscopically relevant graphenic clusters within suitably large areas of the GO lattice, theoretical emission/absorption intensity time trajectories are obtained, which can be directly compared to experiment18.

Emission and absorption time trajectories. Figure 2a shows emission/absorption trajectories resulting from using equations (1–3) \((k_{OH} > k_{O} > k_C)\) in the MC simulation. The percentage of epoxide:carbon (COC:C) has been varied from 0 to 25% to find the trajectory in best agreement with experiment. This yields an optimal 20%, consistent with previous studies showing C:O ratios between 4:1 and 2:1 if one assumes that half of the oxygen is in epoxide form ref. 15. In all cases, remaining \( sp^2 \) sites have been OH decorated.

Figure 2b compares an experimental emission/absorption trajectory to the best match from Fig. 2a (20% epoxide). This value was chosen by comparing absorption maximum and valley-to-peak emission intensity ratio. Evident in either case is that the emission exhibits an initial decay, followed by a low plateau. Meanwhile, the absorption exhibits an initial rise followed by a high plateau. The emission then exhibits a second photobrightening peak with blinking prior to apparent...
Figure 3 | Frequency domain analysis of emission trajectories. (a) Fourier filtered experimental trajectory. (b) Fourier filtered MC trajectory. In both cases, frequencies below 0.01 Hz have been removed. The envelope of the experimental Fourier filtered trajectory grows around ca. 500 s and decays around ca. 3,000 s. The magnitude of the Monte Carlo Fourier filtered trajectory grows and decays at nearly the same time as the experiment. (c) Power spectral density of experimental and MC trajectories. Error bars are s.e.m. The error in the power-law exponent reflects the quality of the fit and shows that both experimental and MC trajectories fit well to power-law distributions.

Blinking from carbon sublimation. In Fig. 3, the frequency domain has been used to elicit features of fluorescence intermittency in the experimental and MC trajectories. Figure 3a,b show the trajectories after Fourier filtering. This process removes the long-term evolution of GO’s emission and more clearly reveals the fluorescence intermittency that is present. Blinking begins and ends at approximately the same time in the MC and the experiment. This leads us to conclude that the MC simulation captures the key difference between the stable emission and the fluorescence intermittency phase of the system.

Subsequent analyses of their power spectral densities reveal that both simulated and experimental trajectories exhibit 1/f^2 power-law behaviours (Fig. 3c). Experimental and theoretical power-law exponents of 0.5 < α < 0.9 and α = 1.9 are found, which fall within the range of exponents (that is, 0.5 to 2.0) typically seen for other nanoscale emitters exhibiting fluorescence intermittency. The quantitative discrepancy between experiment and theory is narrowed by including Poisson noise. In this regard, Poisson noise accounts for counting statistics and also provides a simple model for intensity fluctuations arising from reversible reactions. The latter, in particular, stems from dynamic changes to the number of emissive domains, which contribute to the overall observed emission intensity. Including counting statistics to the Monte Carlo simulation reduces its power-law exponent to 0.7, which is closer to the range of exponents seen in the experiment. Furthermore, after including cluster fluctuations to model reversible processes, we recover substantial spectral weight at high frequencies. Additional details regarding the effect of Poisson noise on the Monte Carlo results and the methods used to calculate PSDs can be found in Supplementary Figs 5 and 6; Supplementary Note 3; Supplementary Method 3.

In whole, the model reproduces the two peaked absorption/emission trajectories in Fig. 2b. Subsequently accounting for local structure immediately reproduces the emission versus absorption...
correlation seen experimentally in Fig. 2d and the appearance of emission intermittency seen in Figs 2c,d and 3. This last success is especially important, because it points to a structural mechanism, which underlies blinking in graphene oxide.

Reclustering mechanism of blinking. To explore deeper mechanistic aspects of GO/rGO blinking, Fig. 4 highlights specific features of emission/absorption trajectories and associated structure in a 20 nm × 20 nm area. MC simulations illustrate that relatively few spectrally relevant clusters exist per unit area in GO/rGO during the blinking segment of its trajectories. In fact, approximately one emissive cluster exists per 100 nm² at any given time. Reclustering of these graphenic domains is therefore responsible for corresponding fluctuations in the associated emission intensity (for example, Fig. 4). The structure at subsequent time steps reveals how emissive domains separate from large networks and subsequently photobleach. This explains why blinking shows up in emission but not absorption. Blinking is thus a consequence of an evolving equilibrium between the photolytic separation and disintegration of graphenic clusters of the correct, spectrally relevant size. Such light-induced photolytic separation and disintegration of graphenic clusters is thus a consequence of an evolving equilibrium between the structural changes are also the likely cause of blinking in other fluorophores35 although there may not be a universal mechanism for blinking.

Discussion

In summary, a multiscale numerical simulation of GO–rGO photoreduction reproduces essential experimental features characterizing the evolution of GO’s optical properties. Specifically, the modelling successfully explains experimentally observed emission/absorption trajectories with strong temporal fluctuations about a second photobrightening feature. In addition, both experimental and simulated PSDs exhibit power-law behaviour characteristic of universal emission intermittency seen other fluorophores. The explicit link established between emission/absorption trajectories and the structural/chemical transformation of GO/rGO strongly suggests that blinking in rGO originates from reclustering—sp² cluster creation and destruction processes as well as processes which distort sp² domains in a reversible manner. Reclustering alters the size, shape and ultimately the QY of underlying graphenic nanoclusters leading to emergent emission intermittency. This conclusion is especially important from a mechanistic standpoint since it has been a longstanding mystery32,36 as to how an inherently quantum mechanical system—such as a quantum dot, rod, wire, but also rGO—exhibits fluctuations over timescales much longer than those of fundamental electronic processes.

Methods

In order to provide molecular insight into optically measured experimental data, the developed model scales six orders of spatial magnitude in a series of steps. First, DFT and TDDFT is carried out to determine gap energies, radiative recombination rates, nonradiative recombination rates, and thus QYs on Å–nm scaled fluorescent domains (cf. Supplementary Fig. 1). The domains we studied via DFT/TDDFT span the entire visible range and are representative of all sp² domains which contribute to the photoluminescence of graphene oxide. Next, MC simulations use this information to model larger regions of a GO sheet during dynamical chemical reactions. During the simulation, cluster detection is used to count the number of connected carbon atoms; the gap energy, associated absorption cross-section and QY expressions are applied to calculate the colour, absorption and emission intensity of that cluster. Clusters whose gap energy exceeds the photon excitation energy are excluded. The absorption cross-section and emission intensity of all clusters within the region is summed up. The absorption is rescaled to calculate a per cent absorption. Finally, in order to simulate a region comparable to a pixel in the experiment many small regions are simulated and the results are averaged.

All DFT calculations were performed with the Gaussian 09 software package. Structures were relaxed to a force of less than 0.01 eV Å⁻¹ before optical calculations were performed. All calculations were performed with the 6–31G* basis set at the B3LYP level. QYs are calculated by comparing the rate of radiative recombination with the total recovery rate to the ground state (cf. Supplementary Methods 1 and 2). The absorption in MC simulations was calculated by comparing the number of sp² carbon atoms in the simulation with a piece of graphene of the same area. The ratio was then multiplied by 2.3% (The absorption of graphene at 520 nm)32. Emission QYs of the five selected clusters were calculated by starting with the lowest energy level, which has a significant dipole element to the ground state and then comparing photoluminescence rates to internal conversion rates. The gap energy, absorption cross-section and emission QY of individual clusters formed in MC simulations.

Figure 4 | Simulated emission, absorption and domain structure during rGO blinking. Cluster colour coding reflects the energy of light emitted by clusters. Black sp² domains do not emit although they do absorb light. Supplementary Movie 3 illustrates the structural evolution during blinking.
was subsequently estimated through TDDFT-established calibration curves, \( \text{hv}(E) = a(E) \cdot E + b(E) \text{ (a.u.)} = N \), and \( \text{QY} = \% = \frac{74.5}{\text{hn}} \cdot \text{QY} \text{ (%)} \), where \( N \) is the number of carbon atoms in the cluster. Kinetic Monte Carlo simulations were performed with 17,280 carbon lattice sites \((21.3 \times 21.3 \text{ nm})\) with larger regions represented by averaging many such simulations. Each kinetic step removed a carbon atom/molecular group from the simulation, and the reaction to be performed was chosen randomly with probability proportional to rate, rate constant times number of reactants, and site of reaction chosen randomly.

**Synthesis of graphene oxide.** GO was synthesized using a modified Hummers method\(^{22}\). In brief, 300 mg of graphite, 36 ml of \( \text{H}_{2}\text{SO}_{4} \) and 4 ml of \( \text{H}_{2}\text{PO}_{4} \) were combined in an ice bath and continuously stirred for 4 h. Next, 3.6 mg of KBr was added and the mixture was stirred for an additional 48 h. The temperature was kept below 25 °C for this period. The suspension was then diluted to double the volume with deionized water and subjected to sonotrode sonication at 20 kHz for 1.5 h. Finally, 3% \( \text{H}_{2}\text{O}_{2} \) was added to the suspension until it turned yellow, indicating that highly oxidized graphite was produced. Upon centrifugation, the precipitate was washed with 1 M \( \text{HCl} \) and then repeatedly washed with deionized water. The resulting red-brown suspension was then freeze-dried for storage, yielding a cream coloured solid\(^{19}\).

**Individual GO sheet microscopy.** Dilute GO/ethanol suspensions were sonicated at 42 kHz for \( \sim 10 \text{s} \) and subsequently drop-cast onto flashed fused silica micro- scope coverslips. This produced a sample coverage of \( \sim 1 \text{ single layer GO sheet per several } \mu\text{m}^2 \), with flake sizes ranging from 1 to 10 \( \mu\text{m} \). Individual sheets were imaged with a home-built inverted microscope utilizing a continuous wave 405 nm laser. The excitation source was introduced to the sample in an epi-illumination arrangement, with an associated excitation intensity of 380 W cm\(^{-2} \) and an \( \sim 50 \text{ nm} \) excitation spot. Fluorescence was captured with an electron-multiplied charge-coupled device (EM-CCD) via a collection objective (Zeiss, 1.4 NA) and imaging lens (\( f/160 \text{ mm} \)) for a final resolution of \( \sim 200 \text{ nm per pixel} \).

**Data availability.** The Monte Carlo simulation code is available at: https://github.com/arthug2/GOMonteCarlo. The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.
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