Generalized squeezed–coherent states of the finite one-dimensional oscillator and matrix multi-orthogonality
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Abstract
A set of generalized squeezed–coherent states for the finite $u(2)$ oscillator is obtained. These states are given as linear combinations of the mode eigenstates with amplitudes determined by matrix elements of exponentials in the $su(2)$ generators. These matrix elements are given in the $(N+1)$-dimensional basis of the finite oscillator eigenstates and are seen to involve $3 \times 3$ matrix multi-orthogonal polynomials $Q_n(k)$ in a discrete variable $k$ which have the Krawtchouk and vector-orthogonal polynomials as their building blocks. The algebraic setting allows for the characterization of these polynomials and the computation of mean values in the squeezed–coherent states. In the limit where $N$ goes to infinity and the discrete oscillator approaches the standard harmonic oscillator, the polynomials tend to $2 \times 2$ matrix orthogonal polynomials and the squeezed–coherent states tend to those of the standard oscillator.
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1. Introduction

Discretizations of the standard quantum harmonic oscillator are provided by finite oscillator models (see for instance [1, 7]). We here consider the one based on the Lie algebra $u(2) = u(1) \oplus u(2)$, which has been interpreted as a quantum optical system consisting of $N+1$ equally spaced sensor points [1]. In this connection, we investigate here the matrix elements of exponentials of linear and quadratic expressions in the $su(2)$ generators; these operators represent discrete analogues of the squeezed–coherent states operators for the standard quantum oscillator. As shall be seen, these matrix elements are given in terms of matrix multi-orthogonal polynomials.
These polynomials (defined below), generalize the standard orthogonal polynomials by being orthogonal with respect to a matrix of functionals [11]. Very few explicit examples have been encountered in the literature; remarkably, our study entails a family of such polynomials and the algebraic setting allows for their characterization.

1.1. Finite oscillator and $u(2)$ algebra

The standard one-dimensional quantum oscillator is described by the Heisenberg algebra $\mathfrak{h}_1$, with generators $a, a^\dagger$ and $\mathbb{1}$ obeying

$$[a, a^\dagger] = \mathbb{1} \quad \text{and} \quad [a, \mathbb{1}] = [a^\dagger, \mathbb{1}] = 0. \quad (1.1)$$

The Hamiltonian is given by $H = a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2}$ and with the position operator $Q$ and the momentum operator $P$ defined as follows:

$$Q = \frac{1}{2} (a + a^\dagger), \quad P = -\frac{i}{2} (a - a^\dagger), \quad (1.2)$$

the equations of motion

$$[H, Q] = -iP, \quad (1.3)$$

$$[H, P] = iQ, \quad (1.4)$$

are recovered.

The finite oscillator model is obtained by replacing the Heisenberg algebra by the algebra $u(2) = u(1) \oplus su(2)$. The $su(2)$ generators are denoted by $J_1, J_2$ and $J_3$ and verify

$$[J_i, J_j] = i\epsilon_{ijk} J_k, \quad (1.5)$$

with $\epsilon_{ijk}$ the Lévi-Civitá symbol. The $u(1)$ generator is later to be $\frac{N}{2} \mathbb{1}$. For the finite oscillator, the correspondence with the physical ‘observables’ is as follows:

- **Position operator**: $Q = J_1$, \quad (1.6)
- **Momentum operator**: $P = -J_2$, \quad (1.7)
- **Hamiltonian**: $H = J_3 + \frac{(N + 1)}{2} \mathbb{1}$. \quad (1.8)

While this relaxes the functional dependence of the Hamiltonian, it is readily seen that this identification reproduces the Hamilton–Lie equations (1.3) and (1.4).

In quantum optics, such a system can be identified with signals coming from an array of $N + 1$ sensor points [1]. The states of this system can be expanded in the eigenbasis of the Hamiltonian $H = J_3 + \frac{N}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$, which spans the vector space of the $(N + 1)$-dimensional unitary irreducible representation of the $su(2)$ algebra. The eigenstates of $H$ are denoted $|N, n\rangle$ and one has

$$H|N, n\rangle = (n + 1/2)|N, n\rangle, \quad (1.9)$$

with $n = 0, \ldots, N$. The number $n$ will often be referred to as the mode number and the states $|N, n\rangle$ as the mode eigenstates. This oscillator model thus only has a finite number of excitations, as opposed to an infinite number for the standard oscillator. Moreover, in this representation, the spectrum of the momentum and position operators $P$ and $Q$ consists of equally-spaced discrete values ranging from $-N/2$ to $N/2$. The position and momentum eigenbases can be obtained from the mode eigenbasis by simple rotations and their overlaps are $su(2)$ Wigner functions [1].
It is convenient to introduce the usual shift operators $J_{\pm}$ and the number operator $\hat{N}$. These operators are defined by

$$J_{\pm} = (J_1 \pm iJ_2), \quad \hat{N} = J_3 + N/2.$$ (1.10)

The action of these operators on the mode eigenstates is given by

$$J_{+} |N, n\rangle = \sqrt{(n+1)(N-n)} |N, n+1\rangle,$$ (1.12)

$$J_{-} |N, n\rangle = \sqrt{n(N-n+1)} |N, n-1\rangle,$$ (1.13)

$$\hat{N} |N, n\rangle = n|N, n\rangle.$$ (1.14)

For the shift operators $J_{\pm}$, the action of any of their positive powers has the form

$$J_{\pm}^n |N, n\rangle = \frac{(n+\alpha)!(N-n)!}{n!(N-n-\alpha)!} |N, n+\alpha\rangle = \sqrt{(-1)^{\alpha} (n+1)\alpha (n-N)\alpha} |N, n+\alpha\rangle,$$ (1.15)

$$J_{\pm}^n |N, n\rangle = \frac{(n+N-\alpha)!}{(n-\beta)! (N-n)!} |N, n-\beta\rangle = \sqrt{(-1)^{\beta} (n-N+1)\beta} |N, n-\beta\rangle,$$ (1.16)

where $(n)_0 = 1$ and $(n)_\alpha = n(n+1) \cdots (n-\alpha+1)$ stands for the Pochhammer symbol. It is worth noting that in contradistinction with the standard quantum harmonic oscillator, the finite oscillator possesses both a ground state and an anti-ground state. Indeed, one has $J_{+} |N, N\rangle = 0$ and $J_{-} |N, 0\rangle = 0$. This symmetry will play a role in what follows.

### 1.2. Contraction to the standard oscillator

In the limit $N \to \infty$, the finite oscillator tends to the standard quantum harmonic oscillator through the contraction of $\mathfrak{u}(2)$ to $\mathfrak{h}_1$ [2, 15]. In this limit, after an appropriate rescaling, the shift operators $J_{\pm}$ tend to the operators $a^\dagger$ and $a$. Precisely, with

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} J_{\pm} \sqrt{\frac{1}{N}} = a^\dagger, \quad \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{J_{\pm}}{\sqrt{N}} = a,$$ (1.17)

the commutation relation $[a, a^\dagger] = i\hbar$ of the Heisenberg–Weyl algebra $\mathfrak{h}_1$ is recovered. Moreover, the contraction of the Hamiltonian $H$ leads to the standard quantum oscillator Hamiltonian $H_{\text{osc}} = \frac{1}{2} (P^2 + Q^2)$. This limit shall be used to establish the correspondence with studies associated with the standard harmonic oscillator [13].

### 1.3. Exponential operator and generalized coherent states

It is known that the standard one-dimensional harmonic oscillator admits the Schrödinger algebra $\mathfrak{sh}_1$ as dynamical algebra [8, 9]. This algebra is generated by the linears and bilinears in $a$ and $a^\dagger$, that is, $a, a^\dagger, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}^2, (a^\dagger)^2$ and $a^\dagger a$. The representation of the group $\text{Sch}_1$ has been recently constructed and analyzed in the oscillator state basis by two of us [13]. It involved determining the matrix elements of the exponentials of the linear and quadratic expressions in $a$ and $a^\dagger$. The study hence had a direct relation to the generalized squeezed–coherent states of the ordinary quantum oscillator [10].

We pursue here a similar analysis for the finite oscillator. Notwithstanding the fact that the linears and bilinears in $J_{+}$ and $J_{-}$ no longer form a Lie algebra, our purpose is to determine analogously the matrix elements of the fully disentangled exponential operator

$$R(\eta, \xi) = D(\eta) \cdot S(\xi) = e^{\eta J_3} \cdot e^{\frac{\eta J_3^2}{2}} \cdot e^{-\frac{\xi J_3^2}{2}} \cdot e^{-\xi J_3^2}.$$ (1.18)
in the basis of the finite oscillator’s states. The parameters $\eta$ and $\xi$ are complex-valued and $\mu = \log(1 + \eta)$. The matrix elements in the $(N + 1)$-dimensional eigenmode basis shall be denoted

$$R_{k,n} = \langle k, N| R(\eta, \xi) | N, n \rangle.$$  

(1.19)

In parallel with the definition of the standard harmonic oscillator squeezed–coherent states, we introduce the following normalized set of states:

$$|\eta, \xi\rangle := \frac{1}{|\langle \eta, \xi| \eta, \xi\rangle|^{1/2}} R(\eta, \xi)|N, 0\rangle,$$

(1.20)

which are a special case of the generalized coherent states

$$|\eta, \xi\rangle_n := \frac{1}{A} \sum_k R_{k,n}|N, k \rangle,$$

(1.21)

where $A$ is a normalization factor.

Contrary to the case of the harmonic oscillator, the operator $R$ considered here is not unitary. While the operator $D(\eta)$ can be shown to be unitary [12], such is not the case for the operator $S(\xi)$. Nonetheless, we shall observe that the superpositions of states in (1.20) show spin squeezing and entanglement according to the criteria found in [6] and [14]. In addition, the consideration of the fully disentangled form (1.18) allows for the explicit calculation of the matrix elements in terms of known polynomials, which is not possible with other choices of the squeezing operator for the finite oscillator [16].

As previously mentioned, the matrix elements (1.19) will be naturally expressed in terms of a finite family of $3 \times 3$ multi-orthogonal matrix polynomials $Q_q(k)$ in the discrete variable $k$. In the contraction limit, these polynomials tend to the $2 \times 2$ matrix orthogonal polynomials encountered in [13].

1.4. Matrix multi-orthogonality

Matrix multi-orthogonality was first studied in the context of the Padé-type approximation [5]. The algebraic aspects of matrix multi-orthogonality (recurrence relation, Shohat–Favard theorem, Darboux transformation, etc) are discussed by Sorokin and Van Iseghem in [11]. Their study is based on matrix orthogonality for vector polynomials. We shall here recall the basic results to be used in what follows.

We first introduce the canonical basis for the vector space of vector polynomials of size $q$:

$$e_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \; e_{q-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \; e_q = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \; e_{2q-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ x \end{pmatrix}, \; e_{2q} = \begin{pmatrix} x^2 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$  

(1.22)

For $i = \lambda q + s$ with $i \geq 0$ and $s = 0, \ldots, q - 1$, the basis vector $e_i$ has the component $x^i$ in the $(s+1)$th position and zeros everywhere else. A vector polynomial of the form $\alpha_0 e_0 + \cdots + \alpha_n e_n$ with $\alpha_n \neq 0$ will be said to be of order $n$. If $q = 1$, this corresponds to a standard polynomial of degree $n$ in $x$. Let $q$ and $p$ be positive integers and $H_q(x) = (h_{n,1}(x), h_{n,2}(x), \ldots, h_{n,q}(x))^t$ be a $q$-vector polynomial of order $n$, where $t$ denotes the transpose [11]. The vector polynomial $H_n(x)$ is multi-orthogonal if there exists a $p \times q$ matrix of functionals $\Theta_{i,j}$ with $i = 0, \ldots, p$ and $j = 0, \ldots, q$, defined by their moments, such that the following relations hold:

$$\Theta_{1,1}(h_{n,1}(x)x^v) + \cdots + \Theta_{1,q}(h_{n,q}(x)x^v) = 0, \quad v = 0, \ldots, n_1 - 1,$$

(1.23)

$$\Theta_{p,1}(h_{n,1}(x)x^v) + \cdots + \Theta_{p,q}(h_{n,q}(x)x^v) = 0, \quad v = 0, \ldots, n_p - 1.$$  

(1.24)
Krawtchouk polynomials are included.
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It was shown [11] that such polynomials obey a recurrence relation of the form

$$c_n^{(p)} H_n(x) + \cdots + c_n^{(1)} H_{n+1}(x) + c_n^{(0)} H_n(x)$$

along with the initial conditions $H_{-p} = \cdots = H_{-1} = 0$; it was also proven [11] that a recurrence of the type (1.25) implies the orthogonality conditions (1.23) and (1.24).

These relations are more easily handled by introducing matrix polynomials, which are matrices of polynomials. Suppose that $p \geq q$, the matrix polynomials are obtained by first writing the recurrence relation (1.25) for $k$ consecutive indices. One has

$$x(H_n(x), \ldots, H_{n+k-1}(x)) = (H_{n-p}(x), \ldots, H_{n+k-1+p}(x)),$$

One can choose $k$ to be the greatest common divisor of $p$ and $q$; in this case, we can set $p = \sigma k$, $q = \rho k$ and the matrix on the right-hand side can be put in blocks of size $k \times k$. We define the $q \times k$ matrix polynomial by $Q_n(x) = (H_{nk}(x), \ldots, H_{nk+k-1}(x))$. The recurrence relation (1.25) thus becomes

$$x Q_n(x) = \sum_{\ell=\sigma}^{\rho} \Gamma_n^{(\ell)} Q_{n+\ell}(x).$$

At the end points $-\sigma$ and $\rho$ in the sum, the matrix coefficient $\Gamma_n^{-\sigma}$ is an upper triangular invertible matrix and $\Gamma_n^{\rho}$ is a lower triangular invertible matrix. For $q = 1$, this recurrence relation characterizes vector orthogonality of order $p$, also called $p$-orthogonality. If $p = q$ and $\Gamma_n^{-\sigma} = (\Gamma_n^{\rho})^T$, matrix orthogonality is recovered. In this paper, the special case corresponding to $q = 3$ and $p = 9$ will be encountered.

1.5. Outline

The outline of the paper is as follows. In section 2, we obtain the recurrence relation satisfied by the matrix elements $R_{k,n}$ and show that they involve $3 \times 3$ matrix multi-orthogonal polynomials. In section 3, we express these matrix elements as a finite convolution involving the Krawtchouk polynomials and a family of 3-orthogonal polynomials recently studied in [3]. In section 4, we obtain a bi-orthogonality relation for the matrix polynomials $Q_n(x)$. In section 5, we calculate the matrix orthogonality functionals $\Theta_{ij}$ for the polynomials. In section 6, we obtain a difference equation for the polynomials and discuss the dual picture. In section 7, we derive the generating functions and ladder relations. In section 8, we discuss the properties of the states $|\eta, \xi\rangle$ and study spin squeezing in this system. In section 9, we briefly review the contraction limit $N \to \infty$ and relate our results to those of [13]. We close with concluding remarks in section 10. Appendices containing $su(2)$ structure formulas and properties of the Krawtchouk polynomials are included.
2. Recurrence relation

We shall begin the analysis by obtaining the recurrence relation satisfied by the matrix elements $R_{k,n}$. We first observe that

$$(k - N/2)R_{k,n} = (k, N|R|N, n) = (k, N|RR^{-1}J_kR|N, n),$$

(2.1)

where the inverse operator is given by

$$R^{-1} = e^{\tau J_2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} J_1} e^{\gamma J_3} e^{-\eta J_1}.$$

(2.2)

The recurrence relation is obtained by expanding the expression $R^{-1}J_kR$ and acting on the eigenstates $|N, n\rangle$. This is done using the Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff (BCH) relation

$$e^A e^B e^{-A} = B + [A, B] + \frac{1}{2!} [A, [A, B]] + \frac{1}{3!} [A, [A, [A, B]]] + \cdots,$$

(2.3)

and the formulas for $su(2)$ found in the appendix. Using the polar parametrizations $\eta = \rho e^{i\delta}$ and $\xi = r e^{i\gamma}$, we obtain

$$R^{-1}J_kR = (1 - 2p)\mathcal{A}_0 + \rho e^{i\delta}(1 - p)\mathcal{A}_- + \rho(1 - p)[e^{i\gamma} - r e^{-i(\delta - \gamma)}]\mathcal{A}_+$$

$$+ (1 - 2p)r e^{i\gamma}\mathcal{A}_0^2 - \rho r^2 e^{-i(\delta - 2\gamma)}(1 - p)\mathcal{A}_+^3 - 2\rho r e^{-i(\delta - \gamma)}(1 - p)\mathcal{A}_+\mathcal{A}_0,$$

where we have defined

$$p = \frac{\rho^2}{1 + \rho^2}, \quad \mathcal{A}_0 = J_3 + \xi J_2,$$

(2.5)

$$\mathcal{A}_- = J_-, \quad \mathcal{A}_+ = J_+ - \bar{\xi}(1 + 2J_3)J_- - \bar{\xi}^2 J_3^2.$$

(2.6)

Introducing this result into (2.1), we find that the matrix elements $R_{k,n}$ obey the recurrence relation

$$c_n^{(3)} \mathcal{R}_{k,n+3} + c_n^{(2)} \mathcal{R}_{k,n+2} + c_n^{(1)} \mathcal{R}_{k,n+1} + c_n^{(0)} \mathcal{R}_{n,k} + c_n^{(-1)} \mathcal{R}_{k,n-1} + \cdots + c_n^{(-9)} \mathcal{R}_{k,n-9} = k \mathcal{R}_{k,n}.$$

The coefficients $c_n^{(j)}$ can be obtained straightforwardly with the help of symbolic computation software, their explicit expressions are cumbersome and will thus be omitted here. This recurrence relation is of the form (1.25) with $q = 3$ and $p = 9$; consequently, we look for an expression of the matrix elements $R_{k,n}$ as vector polynomials. From the shape of the recurrence relation, it is natural to define the 3-vector matrix elements

$$\Psi_{k,n} = (R_{k,3n}, R_{k,3n+1}, R_{k,3n+2})^t,$$

(2.7)

generated by the $3 \times 3$ matrix polynomial $Q_n(k)$,

$$\Psi_{k,n} = Q_n(k)\Psi_{k,0}.$$

(2.8)

With these definitions, the recurrence relation (2.7) for the matrix elements $R_{k,n}$ can be expressed as a recurrence relation for the matrix polynomial $Q_n(k)$. We have

$$k Q_n(k) = \sum_{j=0}^{1} \Gamma_n^{(j)} Q_{n+j}(k),$$

(2.9)

where the matrices $\Gamma_n^{(j)}$ are expressed in terms of the coefficients $c_n^{(j)}$ in the following manner:

$$\Gamma_n^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} c_n^{(3)} & 0 & 0 \\ c_n^{(2)} & c_n^{(3)} & 0 \\ c_n^{(1)} & c_n^{(2)} & c_n^{(3)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma_n^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} c_n^{(0)} & c_n^{(-1)} & c_n^{(1)} \\ c_n^{(-1)} & c_n^{(0)} & c_n^{(-1)} \\ c_n^{(1)} & c_n^{(-1)} & c_n^{(0)} \end{pmatrix}.$$  

(2.10)
matrices of functionals, denoted by $F_{Qn}$. The structure of the matrix polynomial $\Gamma_1(J)$, where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the integer part of $x$ and will make explicit the multi-orthogonal nature of the matrix polynomials $Q_n(k)$.

3. Decomposition of matrix elements

The matrix elements $R_{k,n}$ can be expressed as a finite convolution involving the classical Krawtchouk polynomials and a family of vector-orthogonal polynomials studied recently in [3]. Indeed, one writes

$$R_{k,n} = \langle k, N | R(N, n) | N, n \rangle = \sum_{m=0}^{N} \langle k, N | e^{\mu J_e} e^{\nu J_\mu} e^{-\eta J_\xi} | N, m \rangle \langle m, N | e^{\epsilon J_e/2} e^{-\epsilon J_\xi/2} | N, n \rangle = \sum_{m=0}^{N} \lambda_{k,m} \phi_{m,n},$$

where we have defined the auxiliary matrix elements

$$\lambda_{k,m} = \langle k, N | e^{\mu J_e} e^{\nu J_\mu} e^{-\eta J_\xi} | N, m \rangle,$$

$$\phi_{m,n} = \langle m, N | e^{\epsilon J_e/2} e^{-\epsilon J_\xi/2} | N, n \rangle.$$

The properties of these intermediary objects shall prove useful to further characterize the matrix polynomials $Q_n(k)$ and will also yield the explicit expansion of the states $|\eta, \xi\rangle$ in the mode eigenbasis.

3.1. The matrix elements $\lambda_{k,m}$ and Krawtchouk polynomials

We first study the matrix elements $\lambda_{k,m}$ of the coherent state operator $D(\eta) = e^{\eta J_e} e^{\mu J_\mu} e^{-\eta J_\xi}$. These matrix elements can be computed directly by expanding the exponentials in series and using the actions (1.15) and (1.16) on the state vector $|N, m\rangle$. With the identity

$$\frac{e^{ik}}{(n-k)!} = (-1)^k (-n)_k,$$

we readily obtain

$$\lambda_{k,m} = (-1)^m \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \rho^2 N}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \rho^2 N}} K_m(k; p, N),$$

where $K_m(k; p, N)$ is the Krawtchouk polynomial.
where \( p = \frac{\alpha^2}{1 + \rho^2} \) and \( K_m(k; p, N) \) is the Krawtchouk polynomial of degree \( m \), which has the hypergeometric representation
\[
K_m(k; p, N) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 + p)} \left( \frac{-m}{N} \right) \frac{1}{p} \binom{N}{m} \binom{-m}{k} \frac{1}{1 - \rho^2}
\]
with \( m = 0, \ldots, N \). This result also follows from the recurrence relation satisfied by the matrix elements \( \lambda_{k,m} \). This relation can be obtained from (\ref{eq:2.7}) or more simply by writing
\[
(k - N/2) \lambda_{k,m} = \langle k, N|J_z D(\eta)|N, m \rangle = \langle k, N|D(\eta)D^{-1}(\eta)J_z D(\eta)|N, m \rangle.
\]
Using the BCH relation, the matrix elements \( \lambda_{k,m} \) are found to obey
\[
k\lambda_{k,m} = \rho^2 \lambda_{k,m} + N \left[ \rho^2 \lambda_{k,m} + \rho e^{i\delta} \sqrt{(m + 1)(N - m)} \lambda_{k,m+1} \right.
\]
\[
+ \frac{\rho e^{-i\delta}}{1 + \rho^2} \sqrt{m(N - m + 1)} \lambda_{k,m-1}.
\]
Introducing the polynomials \( \lambda_{k,m} = (-\eta)^m \binom{N}{m}^{1/2} K_m(k; p, N) \lambda_{k,0} \) with \( p = \frac{\alpha^2}{1 + \rho^2} \), we recover the three-term recurrence relation of the Krawtchouk polynomials
\[
- k K_m(k; p, N) = M(p(N - m) + (1 - p)K_m(k; p, N))
\]
\[
+ p(N - m)K_{m+1}(k; p, N) + m(1 - p)K_{m-1}(k; p, N).
\]
The coherent state operator \( D(\eta) \) is unitary (see [\ref{12}]); consequently, we have the following orthogonality relation between the matrix elements \( \lambda_{m,k} \):
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{N} \lambda_{k,m} \lambda_{k,n}^* = \delta_{mn},
\]
where \( x^* \) is the complex conjugate of \( x \). In \( \lambda_{k,n} \), this amounts to the replacement \( \delta \rightarrow -\delta \). In the following, it shall be useful to write the orthogonality relation (\ref{eq:3.9}) as the bi-orthogonality relation
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{N} \lambda_{k,m} \lambda_{N-n,k}^* = \delta_{nn},
\]
whose equivalence to (\ref{eq:3.9}) is shown straightforwardly using the properties of the Krawtchouk polynomials. Expressing the matrix elements as in (\ref{eq:3.4}) yields the well-known orthogonality relation of the Krawtchouk polynomials
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{N} \binom{N}{k} \rho^k (1 - p)^{N-k} K_m(k; p, N) K_m(k; p, N) = \frac{(-1)^n N!}{(N-k)! (N-n)!} \frac{(1 - p)^n}{(1 - p)^m} \delta_{mn}.
\]

3.2. The matrix elements \( \phi_{m,n} \) and vector-orthogonal polynomials

We now turn to the characterization of the matrix elements \( \phi_{m,n} \) of the squeezing operator \( S(\xi) \). As in the case of the coherent state operator \( D(\eta) \), the matrix elements \( \phi_{m,n} \) of \( S(\xi) = e^{\xi \phi^*/2} e^{-\xi \phi^*/2} \) can be computed directly by expanding the exponentials in series and applying the actions (\ref{eq:1.15}) and (\ref{eq:1.16}) on the state vector \( |N, n \rangle \). Obviously, any matrix element \( \phi_{m',n'} \) with \( m' \) and \( n' \) of different parities will be zero; consequently, we set \( n = 2a + c \) and \( m = 2b + c \) with \( c = 0, 1 \) and obtain
\[
\phi_{m,n} = (-1)^c \frac{\Gamma((2b-a)/2) \Gamma((b-a)/2)}{\Gamma(b+c)!} \sqrt{(N-c)!m!} \sqrt{(N-n)!} \alpha_n^{(c)}(b, d, N),
\]
where the identities \((a)_{2n} = 2^{2n} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)_n \left( \frac{n+1}{2} \right)_n\) and \((2\sigma + s)! = 2^{2\sigma} s! \sigma! (s + 1/2)_\sigma\) were used, and where we have defined

\[
A^{(c)}_\sigma(b; d, N) = \mathcal{Z}_3 \left( -\frac{a}{c+1/2}, -\frac{b}{c}, -\frac{c-b}{c+a} \right).
\]  

(3.13)

with \(d = -4r^2\). The polynomials \(A^{(c)}_\sigma\) have been studied in [3]; we review here some basic results. The polynomials \(A^{(c)}_\sigma\) are vector-orthogonal polynomials of dimension 3, which corresponds to the case \(q = 1\) and \(p = 3\) of the general setting presented in the introduction. This can be seen by computing the recurrence relation satisfied by the matrix elements \(\phi_{m,n}\). Once again, we start with

\[
(m-N/2)\phi_{m,n} = \langle m, N| J_3 S(\xi)|N, n \rangle = \langle m, N| S(\xi) S^{-1}(\xi) J_3 S(\xi)|N, n \rangle.
\]  

(3.14)

Using the BCH relation and the formulas from the appendix, we obtain

\[
S^{-1}(\xi) J_3 S(\xi) = (J_3 + \xi \hat{J}_3^2) + \xi [J_\perp - \xi (1 + 2J_3) J_\perp - \xi \hat{J}_3^2 \hat{J}_3^2].
\]  

(3.15)

Substituting this result into (3.14) yields

\[
(m-n)\phi_{m,n} = \frac{\xi}{3} \sqrt{(n+1)2(n-N)} \phi_{m,n+2} + \frac{\xi}{3} \sqrt{(-n)2(N-n+1)} \phi_{m,n-2}
\]

\[
+ \frac{\xi}{3} \sum_{j=0}^{3} \frac{\xi(-n)2(N-n+1)_{2j} f^{(j)}_{m-n-2}}{f^{(j)}_{m-n-2}}.
\]  

(3.16)

with coefficients

\[
f^{(0)}_n = (N - 2n)(-1 + N + 2Nn - 2n^2),
\]  

(3.17)

\[
f^{(1)}_n = (6n^2 - 12n + N(5 - 6n) + N^2 + 9),
\]  

(3.18)

\[
f^{(2)}_n = (4n - 2N - 8),
\]  

(3.19)

\[
f^{(3)}_n = 1.
\]  

(3.20)

Setting \(\phi_{2b+c,2a+c} = \frac{(-\xi)^c}{a} \sqrt{(N-c)(N-n)} A^{(c)}_{a}(b; d, N) \phi_{2b+c,c}\), the polynomials \(A^{(c)}_{a}(b; d, N)\) are seen to obey the recurrence relation

\[
(b - a)A^{(c)}_{a}(b; d, N) = \frac{(-\xi)^c}{a+1} (n+1)2(n-N)2 A^{(c)}_{a+1}(b; d, N) - a A^{(c)}_{a-1}(b; d, N)
\]

\[
+ \frac{\xi}{3} \sum_{j=0}^{3} (-a) f^{(j)}_{m-n} A^{(c)}_{a-j}(b; d, N).
\]  

(3.21)

The matrix elements \(\phi_{m,n}\) are thus given by two families of polynomials \(A^{(c)}_{a}(b; d, N)\) for \(c = 0, 1\) of vector-orthogonal polynomials of order 3. The matrix elements of the inverse operator \(S^{-1}(\xi)\) can also be found by direct computation or by inspection. One readily sees that the matrix elements \(\phi_{m,n}\) obey the bi-orthogonality relation

\[
\sum_{m=0}^{N} \phi_{m,n}^* \phi_{N-n',N-n} = \delta_{nn'}.
\]  

(3.22)

In contrast to the situation with the matrix elements \(\lambda_{k,m}\) of the displacement operator \(D(\eta)\), the bi-orthogonality relation for the matrix elements \(\phi_{m,n}\) is not equivalent to a standard orthogonality relation; this is a consequence of the non-unitarity of \(S(\xi)\) and explains the vector orthogonal nature of the polynomials \(A^{(c)}_{a}(b; d, N)\). From the bi-orthogonality relation of the
matrix elements, \( \phi_{m,n} \) follows two bi-orthogonality relations for the polynomials \( A^{(c)}_a (b; d, N) \); we have, for \( N = 2u + 2c \),

\[
\sum_{b=0}^u (-1)^b \binom{u}{b} A^{(c)}_a (b; d, N) A^{(c)}_{a'-d'} (u-b; d, N) = \frac{a!}{(-u)_a (c+1/2)_u} \left( \frac{1}{d} \right)^u \delta_{a'a}. \tag{3.23}
\]

For \( N = 2u + 1 \), we find a bi-orthogonality relation interlacing the two families \( c = 0 \) and \( c = 1 \):

\[
\sum_{b=0}^u (-1)^b \binom{u}{b} A^{(1)}_a (b; d, N) A^{(0)}_{a'-d'} (u-b; d, N) = \frac{a!}{(-u)_a (1/2)_u (3/2)_u} \left( \frac{1}{d} \right)^u \delta_{a'a}. \tag{3.24}
\]

### 3.3. Full matrix elements and squeezed–coherent states

The results of the two preceding subsections allow us to write explicitly the matrix elements \( R_{k,n} \); noting that \( \phi_{m,n} \) is automatically zero when \( m \) and \( n \) have different parities, we have, for \( n = 2a + c \), the following expression for the full matrix elements:

\[
R_{k,n} = \Phi \sum_{b=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \Theta_b K_{2b+c}(k; p, N) A^{(c)}_a (b; d, N),
\tag{3.25}
\]

where we have defined

\[
\Phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1 + \rho^2)^N}} \eta^b (-\xi/2)^a \left( \frac{N}{a} \right)^{1/2} \sqrt{(N-c)!n! / (N-n)!},
\tag{3.26}
\]

\[
\Theta_b = \frac{(-\eta)^{2b+c} (\xi/2)^b}{b!} \left( \frac{N}{2b+c} \right)^{1/2} \sqrt{(N-c)!(2b+c)! / (N-2b-c)!}.
\tag{3.27}
\]

The generalized squeezed–coherent states are therefore expressed as the linear combination

\[
|\eta, \xi\rangle_n = \frac{1}{\text{Norm}} \sum_{k=0}^N R_{k,n} |N, k\rangle,
\tag{3.28}
\]

where ‘Norm’ is a normalization constant. The expression for the amplitudes simplifies significantly if one considers the standard squeezed–coherent states in which the operator \( R(\eta, \xi) \) acts on the vacuum. Indeed, we have

\[
|\eta, \xi\rangle = \frac{1}{\text{Norm}} \sum_{k=0}^N \sqrt{\frac{1}{1 + \rho^2} \left( \frac{N}{k} \right)^{1/2} \eta^k \sum_{b=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \binom{\frac{n}{2}}{b} \sqrt{(\eta \xi/2)^b} (-N)_{2b} K_{2b}(k; p, N) } |k\rangle.
\tag{3.29}
\]

If the squeezing parameter \( \xi = r e^{i\theta} \) is set to zero, we recover the standard normalized \( au(2) \) coherent states as

\[
|\eta\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1 + \rho^2)^N}} \sum_{k=0}^N \left( \frac{N}{k} \right)^{1/2} \eta^k |k\rangle.
\tag{3.30}
\]

In section 8, the properties of the states \( |\eta, \xi\rangle \) will be further investigated; in particular, it will be shown that they exhibit spin squeezing when \( N \) is even.
4. Bi-orthogonality relation

Given the symmetry of the matrix elements entering the finite convolution yielding \( R_{N,n} \), the matrix elements of the inverse operator \( S^{-1}(\xi)D^{-1}(\eta) \) are expected to have similar behavior. Indeed, one finds that

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{N} R_{k,n} \tilde{R}^{N-k,N-n'} = \delta_{nn'},
\]

where \( \sim \) denotes the replacements \( \rho \to -\rho \) and \( r \to -r \). In terms of the vector polynomials \( \Psi_{k,n} = (R_{k,3n}, R_{k,3n+1}, R_{k,3n+2})' \), this bi-orthogonality relation takes the form

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{N} \Psi_{k,n}(\tilde{\Psi}_{N-k,N-n'})' = \delta_{nn'} \text{Id}_{3 \times 3}.
\]

This equation can be transformed into a bi-orthogonality relation for the matrix polynomials \( Q_n(k) \). Indeed, one has

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{N} Q_n(k) \Psi_{k,0}(\tilde{\Psi}_{N-n'}(N-k)\tilde{\Psi}_{N-k,0})' = \delta_{nn'} \text{Id}_{3 \times 3},
\]

which can be written as

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{N} Q_n(k) W(k)(\tilde{\Psi}_{N-n'}(N-k))' = \delta_{nn'} \text{Id}_{3 \times 3},
\]

with the bi-orthogonality weight matrix

\[
W(k) = \begin{pmatrix}
R_{k,0} \tilde{R}^{N-k,0} & R_{k,1} \tilde{R}^{N-k,1} & R_{k,2} \tilde{R}^{N-k,2} \\
R_{k,1} \tilde{R}^{N-k,0} & R_{k,1} \tilde{R}^{N-k,1} & R_{k,2} \tilde{R}^{N-k,2} \\
R_{k,2} \tilde{R}^{N-k,0} & R_{k,1} \tilde{R}^{N-k,1} & R_{k,2} \tilde{R}^{N-k,2}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Each element of the weight matrix can be computed exactly as a finite sum with the help of equation (3.25).

5. Matrix orthogonality functionals

From the recurrence relation (2.7) and the results in [11], it is known that there exists a set of three \( 3 \times 3 \) matrix of functionals with respect to which the matrix polynomials \( Q_n(k) \) are orthogonal. To construct these functionals, we consider the recurrence relation satisfied by the matrix elements of the inverse operator \( R^{-1}(\eta, \bar{\xi}) \). These matrix elements are defined as

\[
R^{-1}_{n,k} = \langle n, N | R^{-1} | N, k \rangle,
\]

and their recurrence relation is obtained by noting that

\[
(k - N/2) R^{-1}_{n,k} = \langle n, N | R^{-1} J_0 | N, k \rangle = \langle n, N | R^{-1} J_0 RR^{-1} | N, k \rangle.
\]

The quantity \( R^{-1} J_3 R \) has been computed previously; recalling that \( J_{\pm}^\dagger = J_{\mp} \) and that \( J_3^\dagger = J_3 \), the recurrence relation for the inverse 3-vector \( \Psi_{n,k}^{-1} \) is given by

\[
k \Psi_{n,k}^{-1} = \sum_{j=-1}^{3} e_3^{(j)} \Psi_{n+1,j,k}^{-1}.
\]
where the coefficients \( e^{(i)}_n \) are 3 \times 3 matrices and \( e^{(i-1)}_n \) as well as \( e^{(3)}_n \) are respectively lower and upper triangular invertible matrices. Since,

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{N} R^{-1}_{m,k} R_{k,n} = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \langle m, N | R^{-1} | N, k \rangle \langle k, N | R | N, n \rangle = \delta_{nm},
\]

(5.4)

it follows that

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{N} \psi_{k,n} (\psi^{-1}_{m,k})^t = \delta_{nm} \mathrm{Id}_{3 \times 3}.
\]

(5.5)

We may now state the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.** The 3-vector \( \psi^{-1}_{n,k} \) can be expressed as

\[
\psi^{-1}_{n,k} = \sum_{i=0}^{2} p_i^{(n)}(k) \kappa_i \Xi_i,
\]

(5.6)

where \( \Xi_i = \psi^{-1}_{i,k} \). The \( \kappa_i \) are \( 3 \times 3 \) matrices which depend only on \( i \) and \( p_i^{(n)}(k) \) are polynomials in the variable \( k \). Let \( n = 3\nu + \ell \), for \( \ell = 0, 1, 2, 3 \); if \( i \leq \ell \), the degree of the polynomial \( p_i^{(n)}(k) \) is \( \nu \), otherwise it is \( \nu - 1 \).

**Proof.** We set \( n = 0 \) in the recurrence relation (5.3), which leads to

\[
e^{(3)}_n \psi^{-1}_{3,k} = (k \mathrm{Id}_{3 \times 3} - e^{(0)}_0) \psi^{-1}_{0,k} - e^{(1)} \psi^{-1}_{1,k} - e^{(2)} \psi^{-1}_{2,k}.
\]

(5.7)

Since \( e^{(3)}_n \) is upper triangular and invertible, it follows that

\[
\psi^{-1}_{3,k} = \sum_{i=0}^{2} p_i^{(3)}(k) \kappa_i \psi^{-1}_{i,k},
\]

(5.8)

where the degree of \( p_i^{(3)}(k) \) is 1 for \( i = 0 \) and 0 for all other indices. This establishes (5.6) for \( n = 0 \). The proof is then completed by induction. \( \square \)

From this proposition, it is natural to define the following matrices of functionals.

**Definition 5.1.** Let \( F_i \) for \( i = 1, 2, 3 \) be the matrix functionals defined by

\[
F_i[|1] = \sum_{k=0}^{N} [-1] \psi_{k,0} \Xi_{i-1}^{-1}.
\]

(5.9)

With this definition, relation (5.5) can be written as

\[
F_i[k^v Q_n(k)] = 0_{3 \times 3} \quad \text{for} \quad v = 0, \ldots, \left\lfloor \frac{n - i}{3} \right\rfloor,
\]

(5.10)

for \( i = 1, 2, 3 \). The multi-orthogonality of the matrix polynomials \( Q_n(k) \) has thus been made explicit by the direct construction of the orthogonality functionals.

### 6. Difference equation

The matrix polynomials \( Q_n(k) \) are bi-spectral; not only do they satisfy a recurrence relation, they also obey a difference equation. In a fashion dual to the approach followed to find the recurrence relation, we observe that

\[
(n - N/2) R_{k,n} = \langle k, N | R J_3 | N, n \rangle = \langle k, N | R J_3 R^{-1} R | N, n \rangle.
\]

(6.1)
Using once again the BCH relation and formulas from the appendix, we obtain
\[
R J_3 R^{-1} = (B_0 - \xi B_3^2) - \xi (B_+ + \xi B_+(1 + 2B_0) - \xi^2 B_+^2),
\]
with
\[
B_0 = (1 - 2p)J_3 - \rho e^{i\delta}(1 - p)J_+ - \rho e^{-i\delta}(1 - p)J_-,
\]
\[
B_+ = (1 - p)J_+ + 2\rho e^{-i\delta}(1 - p)J_3 - \rho e^{-2i\delta}J_-,
\]
\[
B_- = -p e^{i\delta}J_+ + 2p e^{i\delta}(1 - p)J_3 + (1 - p)J_-.
\]
Expanding these expressions leads to a difference equation for the matrix polynomials \(Q_n\) with
\[
\text{in which can be turned into a difference equation for the matrix polynomials } Q_n(k) \text{ which is quite involved and not provided here.}
\]

It is interesting to observe that this difference equation contains the same number of terms as the recurrence relation, but has a different symmetry. Indeed, the indices run from \(-6\) to \(6\) in the difference equation. It indicates that the order in which the coherent state operator and the squeezing operator are presented has an impact on the structure of the associated polynomials. If one defines \(R = S(\xi)D(\eta)\) instead of \(R = D(\eta)S(\xi)\), one gets \(6 \times 6\) matrix multi-orthogonal polynomials satisfying a three-term matrix recurrence relation; these polynomials are not, however, orthogonal, because the condition \((\Gamma^{(6)})^* = \Gamma^{(6)}\) is not fulfilled.

7. Generating functions and ladder relations

The ordinary \(\mathfrak{su}(2)\) coherent states \(|\eta\rangle\) can be used to obtain a generating function for the matrix elements \(R_{k,n}\). We consider the two-variable function defined by
\[
G(x, y) = \frac{1}{(1 + \rho^2)^N} \sum_{k,n} \binom{N}{k}^{1/2} \binom{N}{n}^{1/2} x^k y^n R_{k,n}.
\]
Clearly, the function \(G(x, y)\) can be viewed as the matrix element of \(R\) between the coherent states \(|x\rangle\) and \(|y\rangle\). Introducing a resolution of the identity, we obtain
\[
G(x, y) = \langle x|R|y\rangle = \sum_{m=0}^{N} \langle x|D(\eta)|N, m\rangle \langle m, N|S(\xi)\rangle |y\rangle.
\]
The first part of this convolution can be evaluated directly using the action of the generators \(J_k\) on the states; not surprisingly, we recover, up to a multiplicative factor, the generating function of the Krawtchouk polynomials; the result is
\[
\langle x|D(\eta)|N, m\rangle = \frac{\eta^m}{(1 + \rho^2)^N} \binom{N}{m}^{1/2} (1 + \eta \bar{x})^{N-m} \left(\frac{(1 - p)}{p} \eta \bar{x} - 1\right)^m,
\]
with \(p = \frac{\rho^2}{1 + \rho^2}\). The second part in the RHS of (7.2) is also readily determined. Setting \(m = 2t + s\), one finds
\[
\langle m, N|S(\xi)\rangle |y\rangle = \frac{\xi^t y^s}{t!} \frac{\Gamma(Nm)!}{(N-m)!} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor} \binom{\frac{m}{2} - s}{k} (-1)^k z^F_0 \left(\frac{-z(k)}{2}, \frac{1 - z(k)}{2}; -4y^2 \xi\right),
\]
(7.4)
where \( z(k) = N - 2k - s \). The convolution of the two functions given in (7.3) and (7.4) thus yields the generating function for the matrix elements \( R_{k,n} \). The ladder relations for the matrix polynomials \( Q_n(k) \) can also be constructed explicitly from the observations

\[
\sqrt{-(n + 1)}(n - N)R_{k,n+3} = \langle k, \rho | R J^3_k | N, n \rangle, \\
\sqrt{-(n)}(N - n + 1)R_{k,n-3} = \langle k, \rho | R J^3_k | N, n \rangle.
\]

(7.5)

The conjugation of the operator \( J^3_k \) by the operator \( R \) leads to complicated expressions which are best evaluated with the assistance of a computer.

8. Observables in the squeezed–coherent states

We now further investigate the properties of the states \( |\eta, \xi \rangle \) resulting from the application of the squeezed–coherent operator \( D(\eta)S(\xi) \) on the vacuum \( |N, 0 \rangle \). For systems which possess the \( su(2) \) symmetry, there exist many different parameters to determine whether a state is squeezed or not (for a review of the parameters that can be used see [6]). In the following, we will adopt [14]

\[
Z_{\vec{n}_i}^2 = N \frac{(\Delta J^3_i)^2}{(J^2_{\vec{n}_i})^2 + (J^2_{\vec{n}_i})^2},
\]

(8.1)

where the indices are to be understood cyclically. If \( Z_{\vec{n}_i}^2 < 1 \), the system is squeezed in the direction \( \vec{n}_i \), where the \( \vec{n}_i \), \( i = 1, 2, 3 \) are orthogonal unit vectors. This choice of the squeezing criterion is relevant because of its relation to entanglement [6].

The mean value of any observable \( \mathcal{O} \) in the state \( |\eta, \xi \rangle \) can be expressed by

\[
\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \frac{1}{\kappa(r)} \sum_{i,j} \frac{(\xi/2)^i(\bar{\eta}/2)^j}{i!j!} \sqrt{(2i)!(2j)!(\xi)^2(\eta)^2} \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_{\eta,\xi}.
\]

(8.2)

where \( \kappa \) is the normalization constant, which is given by the hypergeometric function

\[
\kappa(r) = |\langle \xi, \eta | \eta, \xi \rangle|^2 = \text{$_3F_2 \left(1/2, -N/2, 1-N/2; (2r)^2 \right)$}.
\]

(8.3)

For simplicity, we choose to study squeezing along the axis of the Hamiltonian \( J_3 \). We begin by setting \( r = 0 \) to study the behavior of the parameter \( Z_3^2 \) in the coherent states. We readily find

\[
\langle J_1 \rangle = \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \frac{N \rho}{1 + \rho^2} \cos(\delta), \\
\langle J_2 \rangle = -(\langle P \rangle) = -\frac{N \rho}{1 + \rho^2} \sin(\delta), \\
\langle J_3 \rangle = \langle (H - 1/2) \rangle = -\frac{N(1 - \rho^2)}{2(1 + \rho^2)} = (\Delta J_3)^2 = (\langle J_3^2 \rangle - \langle J_3 \rangle)^2 = \frac{N \rho^2}{(1 + \rho^2)^2}.
\]

(8.4)

(8.5)

From these results, it is seen that for pure coherent states \( |\eta \rangle \), we always have \( Z_3^2 = 1 \), which ensures, according to the definition (8.1), that purely coherent states are never squeezed; this can be proved in a straightforward manner for any choice of the normalized basis \( \{\vec{n}_1, \vec{n}_2, \vec{n}_3\} \) [14].

We now investigate squeezing in the \( \vec{n}_3 = (0, 0, 1) \) direction for the states \( |\eta, \xi \rangle \). We have that

\[
\langle J_1 \rangle = \left[ \frac{\rho \cos(\delta)}{1 + \rho^2} \right] G_n(r), \\
\langle J_2 \rangle = \left[ -\frac{\rho \sin(\delta)}{1 + \rho^2} \right] G_n(r), \\
\langle J_3 \rangle = \left[ \frac{1 - \rho^2}{1 + \rho^2} \right] H_n(r),
\]

(8.6)

\[
\langle J_3^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{(1 + \rho^2)^2} \left( [1 + \rho^4] J_0(r) + \rho^2 L_0(r) + 2\rho^2 [\cos(2\delta - \gamma)] M_0(r) \right)
\]

(8.7)
where we have defined the polynomials

\[ G_n(r) = \frac{1}{\kappa(r)} \sum_{i=0}^{\left\lfloor N/2 \right\rfloor} \frac{(r^2)^i}{i!} (1/2)_i (-N)_{2i} (N - 4i), \]

\[ H_n(r) = \frac{1}{\kappa(r)} \sum_{i=0}^{\left\lfloor N/2 \right\rfloor} \frac{(r^2)^i}{i!} (1/2)_i (-N)_{2i} (2i - N/2), \]

\[ J_n(r) = \frac{1}{\kappa(r)} \sum_{i=0}^{\left\lfloor N/2 \right\rfloor} \frac{(r^2)^i}{i!} (1/2)_i (-N)_{2i} (4i^2 - 2iN + N^2/4), \]

\[ L_n(r) = \frac{1}{\kappa(r)} \sum_{i=0}^{\left\lfloor N/2 \right\rfloor} \frac{(r^2)^i}{i!} (1/2)_i (-N)_{2i} (-16i^2 + 8iN - N^2/2 + N), \]

\[ M_n(r) = \frac{r}{\kappa(r)} \sum_{i=0}^{\left\lfloor N/2 \right\rfloor} \frac{(r^2)^i}{i!} (1/2)_{i+1} (-N)_{2i+2}. \]

The exact expression for the squeezing parameter \( Z_{\vec{Z}_n}^2 \) cannot be obtained in closed form; nevertheless, it can easily be computed numerically. We observed that squeezing \( Z_{\vec{Z}_n}^2 < 1 \) along the Hamiltonian axis \( \vec{Z}_n \) occurs only in the case where \( N \) is even, which corresponds to an oscillator having an odd number of points. It is worth noting that a distinction between the \( N \) odd and \( N \) even cases also arises in the Fourier–Krawtchouk transform [1], which transforms the finite oscillator wavefunctions into themselves. The squeezing parameter is plotted against \( \theta \) in figure 1.

9. Contraction to the standard oscillator

It is of interest to study the behavior of the polynomials \( Q_n(k) \) and of the squeezed states in the contraction limit \( N \to \infty \), where the finite \( u(2) \) oscillator tends to the standard quantum
harmonic oscillator; this limit was studied in detail in [2]. To obtain the proper limit, the parameters of the squeezed–coherent operator must be renormalized according to

$$\rho \rightarrow \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{N}}, \quad r \rightarrow \frac{r}{N}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9.1)

Upon taking the limit, one finds that the 13-term recurrence relation of the $R_{n,k}$ tends to a five-term symmetric recurrence relation of the form

$$kR_{k,n} = \sum_{j=-2}^{2} c_n^{(j)} R_{k,n+j}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9.2)

This is indeed the type of recurrence relation obtained in [13]. In the case of the standard oscillator, it is possible to choose a unitary version of the corresponding $S$ operator and to express its matrix elements in terms of $2 \times 2$ matrix orthogonal polynomials.

10. Conclusion

The matrix elements of the exponential operators corresponding to the squeezed–coherent operators of the finite oscillator have been determined in the energy eigenbasis of this model. They were seen to be given in terms of matrix multi-orthogonal polynomials which have the Krawtchouk and vector-orthogonal polynomials as building blocks. The algebraic setting allowed us to characterize these polynomials and to explicitly compute their matrix orthogonality functionals. The results have been used to show that the squeezed–coherent states of the finite oscillator exhibit squeezing when the dimension of the oscillator $N+1$ is odd.
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Appendix. Useful formulas involving the $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ generators

The relation

$$J^3_+ J^\pm_n = J^\pm_n (J^3_\pm n),$$

holds and can be proven straightforwardly by induction on $n$. Using this identity and the relations $(J^\pm)^t = -J^\mp$ as well as $J^t_3 = J^\mp_3$, it follows that for $P(J^\mp_3)$ denoting a polynomial in $J^\pm_3$, one has

$$[P(J^\mp_3), J^\pm_3] = \mp J^\pm_3 P'(J^\mp_3),$$

where $P'(x)$ is the derivative of $P(x)$ with respect to $x$. The preceding formula and the Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff relation lead to the identity

$$e^{P(J^\pm_3)} J^\pm_3 e^{-P(J^\mp_3)} = J^\pm_3 \mp J^\pm_3 P'(J^\mp_3).$$

In addition, we have the relations

$$[J^+_3, J^+_n] = 2n J^+_3 J^+_n + n(n-1) J^+_n - 1,$$
$$[J^-_3, J^-_n] = -2n J^-_3 J^-_n - n(n-1) J^-_n - 1.$$
which can also be proved by induction on \( n \). With the help of the previous identities, one obtains
\[
[J_+, P(J_-)] = 2J_3 P'(J_-) + J_- P''(J_-), \\
[J_-, P(J_+)] = -2P'(J_+) J_3 - J_+ P''(J_+).
\]
From these formulas it follows that
\[
e^{p(J_-)} J_+ e^{-p(J_-)} = J_+ - 2J_3 P'(J_-) - J_- [P''(J_-) + P'(J_-)^2], \\
e^{p(J_-)} J_- e^{-p(J_-)} = J_- + 2P'(J_+) J_3 + J_+ [P''(J_+) - P'(J_-)^2].
\]

A.1. Krawtchouk polynomials

The Krawtchouk polynomials have the hypergeometric representation
\[
K_n(x; p, N) = \binom{N}{n} \left(-\frac{x}{p}; 1 \right) \left(1 - \frac{p}{x} \right)^n.
\]
Their orthogonality relation is
\[
\sum_{x=0}^{N} \binom{N}{n} p^n (1-p)^{N-n} K_m(x; p, N) K_n(x; p, N) = \frac{(-1)^n n!}{(-N)_n \left(1 - \frac{p}{x} \right)^n} \delta_{mn}.
\]
They have the generating function
\[
(1 + t)^{N-n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} t \right)^x = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \binom{N}{n} K_n(x; p, N) t^n.
\]
For further details, see [4].
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