CNS Abnormalities at 11-14 Weeks
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Introduction

The implementation of the routine ultrasound assessment in obstetric practice both as a diagnostic as well as a screening tool in the management of the antenatal care, has proved its benefits in the detection of fetal anomalies with great medical, socio-economical and psychological impact. The two components of the fetal imaging: screening and diagnosis need to be very well defined as the latter require a higher level of expertise and appropriate sonographic equipment, especially for cases difficult to imagine, as the first trimester malformations [1,2]. Nevertheless, these two terms should live in a perfect symbiosis, as the development of one of them causes the progress of the other, in favour of the patient and of a healthy society. The late improvements in technology has enabled the health providers to lower the timing of the fetal morphologic assessment during pregnancy and made possible the detection of the fetal disorders earlier than before [1,2]. Its utility in counselling the couple is incontestable, and offers them the possibility to legally terminate the pregnancy in cases with severe fetal anomalies or scheduling the delivery in a centre that fulfils the needs of their pathology in curable cases, with good outcomes and significant expenditure decrease.

Importance

Central nervous system (CNS) malformations are important, because they are the largest group of fetal abnormalities, more prevalent than trisomy 21 and similar to congenital heart diseases, accounting for more than 10 cases in 1000 births [3,4]. They also represent an important factor of morbidity and mortality among neonates and children, as some of these disorders become symptomatic only in early infancy. Their detection is important because of the high degree of gravity with modest postnatal treatment and possibilities of recovery and due to their disabling evolution with medical, social and economic implications.

Limitations of the First Trimester Scan

Although the ultrasound screening for brain anomalies is worldwide performed at 19-22 weeks of gestational age because of the continuous development of brain structures by mid-pregnancy, further attempts are made to decrease the detection age for some CNS malformations as much as possible and even to the 11-13 weeks, when routinely, a morpho-genetic scan is recommended. Still there are important limitations in the FT evaluation. First, most of the CNS abnormalities are undetectable or associate only subtle findings in early gestation, as the brain continues to develop during pregnancy and after birth. There are a small number of brain structures that can be assessed at this gestational age, as the appearance of the brain is much different in later stages of pregnancy, due to its later development and differentiation during the second trimester. Therefore, a skilled sonographer needs a thorough knowledge of the embryological development of the fetus. Some disorders such as neural migration, proliferation and organization, as well as acquired lesions like haemorrhage and tumors occur in the late second and even in the third trimester, and these anomalies cannot be suspected during the previous fetal evaluations [5,6]. Agensis of corpus callosum, microcephaly, lissencephaly, cysts, posterior fossa abnormalities usually are apparent only in late stages. Some other abnormalities represent the consequence of acquired prenatal or perinatal insults: infections, hemorrhage or hypoxia.

A second limitation is related to the fact that the extensive assessment of the fetal anatomy at the FT scan necessitates appropriate training, equipment and increased examination time, which means financial resources, that health care systems are not yet ready to provide [4,7,8].

What is the usefulness and effectiveness of the 11-13 weeks’ scan in CNS abnormalities’ detection, what can we detect and how? There are strong arguments in favour for CNS early assessment. We have this opportunity/obligation to examine the fetus at the end of the FT since there is strong evidence to invert the pyramid of prenatal care and to look for major fetal abnormalities at the end of the first trimester [9]. There is no need for further investments in training, equipment and time, because the CNS parameters are easily assessed during the standard examination, with no additional scanning time (Figure 1).

We should not forget the advantages of an earlier detection, which is safer, with less emotional stress and less economic costs [10]. Indeed, most of the CNS MA are indeed undetectable, but the most important CNS congenital anomalies concerning prevalence and severity are usually detectable in the first trimester: holoprosencephaly and neural tube defects (Table 1) [11-22].

Due to the early stages of calvarium ossification, fetal brain structures are easily seen and a certain number of CNS anomalies can be detected during this period [11-13]. Anomalies like anencephaly and holoprosencephaly can be confidently diagnosed at the end of the first trimester (Table 1), because the respective defective structures, as calvaria and falx cerebri are already well seen.

Figure 1: Normal sonographic appearance of the fetal CNS at 11-13 week scan: facial profile and posterior brain, choroid plexus and falx cerebri, thalamus and spine.
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Studies show a detection rate between 66 and 84% for major CNS abnormalities in the first trimester (Table 2). These figures make early CNS scan efficient, because ISUOG guidelines state that the most optimistic 2nd trimester detection rates report 80% of the major abnormalities detected [4,19,22,23]. Why this small difference? Perhaps the answer is that the most prevalent major abnormalities are detectable early in pregnancy, while the FT undetectable MA are often missed during the second trimester anomaly scan. Only 50% of the agenesis of corpus callosum and posterior fossa abnormalities are detected at the morphologic scan. However, this hypothesis should be verified in large population studies [24-26].

Anencephaly can be easily recognized at 11-13 weeks scan, because of the absence of calvaria and brain abnormalities. Usually exencephaly is evident, with the cerebral hemispheres still present but in contact with the destructive amniotic fluid and giving the Mikey Mouse appearance [27], described sixteen years ago (Figure 2). The absence of calvaria must be differentiated from skeletal dysplasia like achondrogenesis, osteogenesis imperfecta type II and hypophosphatasia, where due to the severe hypomineralisation of the calvarium, the skull cannot be visualised [1].

A high percentage (89%) of fetuses with acrania has echogenic amniotic fluid and this finding could potentially be used as a marker of fetal acrania in the first trimester (Figure 3) [28]. This also supports the hypothesis of the transition from acrania to anencephaly, with the unprotected brain undergoing progressive destruction. The prognosis of anencephaly is gloomy, as this disorder is incompatible with life, therefore termination of pregnancy is recommended and feasible at this gestational age.

Encephalocele is easily detectable because of the occipital herniation

Table 1: Detection of CNS abnormalities. Analysis after Hernadi, et al. [11], Bilardo, et al. [12], D’Ottavio, et al. [13], Whitlow, et al. [14], Chen, et al. [15], Taipale, et al. [16], Cedergren, et al. [17], Dane, et al. [18], Chen, et al. [19], Oztekin, et al. [20], Syngelaki, et al. [21], Iliescu, et al. [22].

| Fetal abnormality       | First trimester detection [nr, %] |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Neural tube             | 81/116 69.82%                    |
| Acrania/iniencephaly    | 63/84 78.44%                     |
| Encephalocele           | 3/3 100.00%                      |
| Open spina bifida       | 12/42 25.67%                     |
| Hemivertebrae           | 2/4 50.00%                       |
| Sacrococcygeal teratoma | 7/3 33.33%                       |
| Brain                   | 26/83 30.12%                     |
| Microcephaly            | 0/2 0.00%                        |
| Craniosynostosis        | 0/2 0.00%                        |
| Corpus callosum agenesis| 0/11 0.00%                       |
| Ventriculomegaly        | 8/36 15.15%                      |
| Holoprosencephaly       | 11/13 84.61% [holo 100%]         |
| Cerebral hypoplasia     | 5/13 38.46%                      |
| Vermian agenesis        | 1/5 20.00%                       |
| Porencephaly            | 0/1 0.00%                        |

Table 2: Detection rates for CNS abnormalities at 11-13 weeks scan [13,14,19,22,23].

| Study                  | Year | Patients | First trimester detection |
|------------------------|------|----------|---------------------------|
| D’Ottavio et al. [13]  | 1997 | 3,514    | 4/6 (66%)                 |
| Whitlow et al. [14]    | 1999 | 6,443    | 16/19 (84.2%)             |
| Chen et al. [15]       | 2008 | 7,642    | 7/9 (77.8%)               |
| Iliescu et al. [22]    | 2013 | 5,472    | 16/23 (68.6%)             |

Figure 2: Anencephaly in 2D and 3D assessment. A, B: Absence of calvaria is indicated with open arrows, and the brain structures are floating in amniotic fluid, as exencephaly. C, D: “Mickey Mouse” exencephaly appearance in 2D and 3D evaluation.

Figure 3: Echogenic amniotic fluid in early stages of fetus with anencephaly.
the affected fetuses die shortly after birth, and the surviving ones orbits, support the diagnosis of holoprosencephaly [1]. Since most of 40%, implying that microcephaly is not a prominent FT feature [33]. These findings, in association with the fetal facial abnormalities [33,35]. Also, combining alpha fetoprotein and BPD with free β-hCG to the multitude of early features of this pathology. It seems that the investigation. Several new markers for OSB were added in the last years of the choroid plexus has a higher sensitivity (100%), higher than BPD (40%), implying that microcephaly is not a prominent FT feature [33]. These findings, in association with the fetal facial abnormalities such as facial asymmetry, hypotelorism, central clefts and abnormal orbits, support the diagnosis of holoprosencephaly [1]. Since most of the affected fetuses die shortly after birth, and the surviving ones are severely mentally retarded, termination of the pregnancy is offered (Figure 5) [6].

At the 11-13 week scan the diagnosis of open spina bifida (OSB) cannot be relied upon the well-known indirect cranian markers from the second trimester, as the lemon and banana signs [34]. Also, a cystic mass is rarely observed in the FT (Figure 6). But similar to the second trimester markers, early cranian features were initially proposed: reduced BPD, abnormal spine shape, retraction of the frontal bones and parallelism of cerebral peduncles. However, in the last decade, many studies highlighted the possibility of an efficient early OSB detection by assessing parameters of the posterior brain region. In such abnormal cases the sonographer may encounter a thickened brainstem, an increase ratio between brainstem diameter and brainstem-occipital bone distance to more than 1 (normal <0.9), a shortened cisterna magna and fourth ventricle [also called intracranial translucency], which is not visible or has a less amount of fluid than normal fetuses. The studies emphasized the high specificity of a normal posterior brain for OSB exclusion which is compulsory for an efficient screening test [30,31]. The optimal plane used to assess the posterior brain is the mid-sagittal view of the fetal face, which is routinely investigated at this gestational age to properly evaluate the genetic markers: nuchal translucency, nasal bone and fronto-maxillary facial angle. However, the posterior brain may be also assessed by experienced sonographers in the axial plane, more confidently by transvaginal approach [34].

What is more? There is a continuous progress in early CNS investigation. Several new markers for OSB were added in the last years to the multitude of early features of this pathology. It seems that the BPD/transverse abdominal diameter ratio improves considerably the diagnostic performance of using BPD measurement alone, to 76.9% [29,35]. Also, combining alpha fetoprotein and BPD with free β-hCG as part of first trimester aneuploidy screening, would allow early detection of about two-thirds of cases [36]. Another early feature of OSB fetuses is that the intracranial collection of cerebrospinal fluid is substantially reduced; giving the aspect of a “dried brain”, therefore, the roof of the third ventricle, aqueduct of Sylvius and fourth ventricle cannot be properly visualized [37]. Another marker recently proposed is the “crash” of the thalamus against the occiput, meaning the posterior-caudal displacement of the mesencephalon against occiput, with 92.3% detection rate [38].

Several years ago, we suggested the potential of the posterior brain morphometry to highlight conditions other than OSB: posterior fossa abnormalities, hydrocephaly, holoprosencephaly, other neural tube defects and chromosomal abnormalities. Our findings were later confirmed by other researches [39,40], regarding Dandy-Walker syndrome, vermian hypoplasia, Blake’s pouch cyst, and trisomies [13,18] and triploid fetuses that have measurable abnormalities in the posterior brain [40-43].

Nowadays we are heading toward the unthinkable. Until recently, we could not imagine identifying agenesis of corpus callosum in the first trimester, and now we have a marker - midbrain diameter-to-falx diameter ratio that seems to correctly identify 87% of the cases [44]. And we are bringing Kaneth score in the early stages of fetal development as the3/4D sonography enables precise study of embryonic and fetal activity and behaviour [45-47].

Challenges

Despite the impressive progress in early fetal diagnosis, we still must face an important challenge. Pathology is not easy to perform because of the small fetal dimensions and the brain damage that is also commonly seen at later gestational ages [48,49]. And as always, we expect great things from genetic investigation, with better characterization of CNS abnormalities [50].

Is 11-13 weeks’ scan reliable for a precise diagnosis and can it solely represent the indication of termination of pregnancy? In most of the cases, further investigations as genetic tests should be undertaken to
correlate the sonographic with genetical findings to obtain a complete
diagnosis. Generally, the brain anomalies detected in the first trimester
are disorders with poor prognosis and outcome, with disabling or even
lethal postpartum evolution.

Yet, the 11-13 weeks scan is not exempted of false-positive
conditions. For instance, due to the incomplete development of some
structures such as the cerebellar vermis, a false diagnosis of Dandy
Walker variants can be made by an unexperienced sonographer,
if it is not taken into consideration the cerebellum embryological
development. Therefore, a wide communication between the fourth
ventricle and cisterna magna is physiological at 11-13 weeks and
should not be considered a pathological finding, unless an evident
cystic posterior fossa is detected [1]. In case of anomalies with dynamic
evolution pattern such as ventriculomegaly, the sonographer may
suspect at the 11-13 weeks scan the diagnosis, but usually without
certainty and further serial re-evaluation is mandatory [40]. In such
cases the counselling of the couple is troublesome, because of the
uncertainty of the diagnosis and the necessity of second trimester
confirmation [51]. Hence, ventriculomegaly may be considered only
by an experienced fetal medicine specialist. Along the subjective
impression, the objective assessment of the ratio between choroid
plexus and lateral ventricle lengths, or the ratio between the choroid
plexus and lateral ventricle areas have proved their prognostic value
and good reproducibility among observers [52].

Conclusions

Nowadays, we should be able to detect at a routine first trimester
ultrasound scan most major CNS abnormality: neural tube defects,
holoprosencephaly and encephalophy. What is more, the pyramid
of prenatal care is more and more reversed, as we now aim early
in pregnancy for diagnosis traditionally reserved for second and third
trimester, regarding posterior fossa abnormalities, agenesis of corpus
callosum or neurobehavioral scoring. We still must face several
important challenges, regarding the false positive results, pathology
confirmation and genetic correlations. And even first trimester scan
gives us great information regarding CNS, we should not forget to
correlate the sonographic with genetical findings to obtain a complete
and good reproducibility among observers [52].
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