Ecology and development of *Mesembryanthemum crystallinum* L. in the Deltaic Mediterranean coast of Egypt
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**Abstract**

Ice plant (*Mesembryanthemum crystallinum* L.) is an important stress-tolerant halophyte distributed in saline areas along the Mediterranean coast of the Nile delta, Egypt. Plant communities dominated by *M. crystallinum* were studied in different habitats. The application of TWINSPAN classification based on 77 species recorded in 50 stands, led to the recognition of four vegetative groups, which are categorized under three communities. The first is salt marsh community co-dominated by *M. crystallinum* and *Senecio glaucus* L. The second is sand dune community dominated by *Hordium murinum* L. The third is sand flat community dominated by *M. crystallinum*. Electrical conductivity (EC), sodium ion concentration, Sodium Absorption Ratio (SAR) and sand fraction are the main controlling factors in the distribution of the different vegetative community. The distribution of *M. crystallinum* community was influenced by calcium carbonate, pH, E.C. and calcium. Various growth parameters including root, shoot and total fresh weight, diameter, leaf area, number of flowers and fruits were measured at two-week interval in the three habitats (sand dune, sand flat and salt marsh). The sand dune and salt marsh habitats, which are threatened by anthropogenic activities, were optimizing growth, flowering and fruiting of *M. crystallinum*.
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**1. Introduction**

Salinity is one of the major problems in Egypt where about 1.8 million ha of the total area of our country are affected by salt. Salt marshes are important habitats; inhabited by a large number of halophytic plants, which are considered as a great potential source for many raw materials such as human food, animal feeds, fiber materials, ornamental, wood, as a habitat for fish or insects, a sink for carbon dioxide sequestration etc.
Therefore revegetation of these habitats with halophytes could be highly beneficial for the natural ecosystem and lessens the risk of degradation [1]. Phytosociological assessment of halophytes and their environmental demands are required for the implementation of saline production systems [2].

Mesembryanthemum crystallinum belongs to Aizoaceae, and it is an extremely stress-tolerant halophyte. Not only salinity and drought, but also high temperature, initiate a complex network of hormonal and transcriptional responses leading to induction and/or repression of gene expression, including transition from C3 photosynthesis to the Crassulacean acid metabolism [3]. It shows five distinct growth phases during its life cycle namely: germinating seedlings, juvenile, adult, flowering fruiting and seed formation [4].

The ice plant has many important uses and it has been used in desalination of salt affecting soil [5]. Its leaves and stems are used raw or cooked as a spinach substitute and pickled like cucumbers. Also, crushed foliage is a soap substitute [6]. Therefore, M. crystallinum has many biological activities such as antioxidant and antimicrobial [7,8]. Historically, physicians used leaf juice to soothe inflammation of the mucous membranes of the respiratory or urinary system. Also, leaves of the ice plant are used in treatment of ascites, dysentery and diseases of the liver and kidney. It is used in dermatologic and cosmetic preparations [9]. In Europe, the fresh juice has been used to treat water retention and painful urination and to soothe lung inflammation [10]. The ability to accumulate salt by M. crystallinum, may be useful for bioremediation [11].

The habitats of M. crystallinum are under threat due to uncontrolled human and other biotic interferences. Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate the ecological amplitude of M. crystallinum communities and soil factors controlling its distribution along the Deltaic Mediterranean coast of Egypt. In addition, it aimed to study the growth behavior of ice plant in three different habitats: sand dune, sand flat and salt marsh.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study area

The coastal area bordering the Nile Delta (Fig. 1) is characterized by coarse and fine sand, silt and clay, deposited by the river Nile [12]. The climate is arid, with a mean winter temperature above 10°C and the rainy season during the winter. The annual rainfall ranges from 91.6 to 175.2 mm. Mean relative humidity is lower in summer than in winter (65% and 81%) and evaporation is higher in summer than in winter (7.8 and 2.8 mm Piche/day) [13]. The main habitats of the study area are salt marsh, sand formation, reed swamp and fertile non-cultivated land. The salt marshes extend along the whole coast. They are connected with the three natural lakes Idku, Burullus and Manzala. The sand formation includes sand dunes and sand flats. The swamps were found in depressions where water accumulated through seepage from the nearby lakes and cultivated land. The fertile non-cultivated land is found in the southern part of the area; it has slightly higher soil salinity than the cultivated land [14].

2.2. Estimation of species abundance

The sampled stands are distributed along the Deltaic Mediterranean coastal region – Egypt, represented in three Governorates namely: Al-Dakahlia, Damietta, Kafr El-Sheikh.
Voucher specimens of plant material were collected, and mated. Nomenclature of the species follows Boulos [17]. The important value in each stand was estimated according to the line-intercept method of Canfield [16]. Relative values of density and cover were calculated for each according to the line-intercept method of Canfield [16]. Relative values of density and cover were calculated for each species and the importance value in each stand was estimated. Nomenclature of the species follows Boulos [17]. Voucher specimens of plant material were collected, and deposited at the herbarium of Mansoura University.

2.3. Soil analysis

A composite soil sample was collected from each stand (triplicates) as a profile from three holes of 0–50 cm depth, air-dried and passed through a 2 mm sieve to separate gravel and debris [18]. Soil texture, water holding capacity (WHC), soil porosity, organic carbon and sulphate were determined according to Piper [19]. Calcium carbonate content was determined by titration against 1 N NaOH and expressed as a percentage [18,20]. The soil solution (1:5) was prepared for determining the extractable cations Na and Mg [21]. The extractable cations Na and K contents were determined using Flame Photometer (Model PHF 80 Biologie Spectrophotometer), while Ca and Mg were estimated using atomic absorption spectrometer (A Perkin-Elmer, Model 2380 USA) [22]. The sodium adsorption ratio (SAR) and potassium adsorption ratio (PAR) were calculated to express the combined effects of different ions in the soil [23].

2.4. Growth analysis

The different growth parameters including root, shoot and total fresh weight, plant diameter, leaf area, number of flowers and number of fruits of M. crystallinum were measured in three permanent stands for the three habitats (sand dune, sand flat and salt marsh) distributed along the study area. The data were recorded in regular visits at a two-week interval. The leaf area was determined by weight method according to [24].

Plant growth measurements were estimated according to Evans [25] and Hunt [26]. Relative growth rate (RGR) was estimated as the difference in dry weight over time interval (mg g⁻¹ day⁻¹) according to Eq. (1):

\[
RGR = (\ln W_2 - \ln W_1)/(t_2 - t_1)
\]

(1)

\(W_1\) and \(W_2\): weight at time \(t_1\) and \(t_2\), respectively.

Net assimilation rate (NAR) was estimated as the difference in dry weight over a time interval versus leaf area (mg cm⁻² day⁻¹) according to Eq. (2):

\[
NAR = \frac{[W_2 - W_1]/(t_2 - t_1)] \times ([\ln A_2 - \ln A_1]/(A_2 - A_1)]
\]

(2)

\(A_1\) and \(A_2\): leaf area at time \(t_1\) and \(t_2\), respectively.

Leaf area ratio (LAR) was measured as the total leaf area per weight of plant (cm² g⁻¹) according to Eq. (3):

\[
LAR = (A_2 - A_1)/(W_2 - W_1)
\]

(3)

A¹ and A²: leaf area at time t1 and t2, respectively and W¹ and W² are weight at time t1 and t2, respectively.

2.5. Multivariate analyses and statistical testing

Vegetation classification and ordination techniques were employed. The stand-species data matrix was classified into groups using the importance values (IV) of species by means of the Two Way Indicator Species Analysis (TWINSPLAN) computer program according to Hill and Smilauer [27]. Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA) that was used to examine the relationships of the floristic composition and the measured environmental variables according to Ter Braak [28]. Data of soil analyses were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the mean values were separated based on Least Significant Difference (LSD) at 0.05 probability level using COSTAT 6.3 program.

3. Results

3.1. Classification of stands

The application of TWINSPLAN classification based on the importance values of 77 plant species recorded in 50 sampled stands led to the recognition of four vegetation groups (Table 1 & Fig. 2). Group A comprises five stands (representing sand flat habitat) dominated by M. crystallinum which has the highest IV = 34.28. The other important indicator species which attained relatively high IVs in this group are Brassica tournefortii (IV = 22.57), Mellilotus indicus (IV = 18.45), Emex spinosa (IV = 13.85), Polygonum equisetiforme (IV = 13.40) and Malva parviflora (IV = 13.03). Group B represents salt marsh habitat and includes 12 stands dominated by M. crystallinum (IV = 30.98). The other important species in this group are Chenopodium murale (IV = 18.06), M. nodiflorum (IV = 17.93), Senecio glaucus (IV = 15.46) and Cynodon dactylon (IV = 13.98). Group C is the largest group and represents salt marsh habitat comprising 22 stands co-dominated by M. crystallinum (IV = 29.68) and S. glaucus (IV = 29.13). M. nodiflorum (IV = 23.66) and Cakile maritime (IV = 15.00) are considered as important species in this group. Group D represents sand dune habitat and comprises 11 stands dominated by Hordeum murinum (IV = 29.91), followed by S. glaucus (IV = 17.58), Rumex pictus (IV = 16.66), M. crystallinum (IV = 14.69) and Launea mucronata (IV = 13.26).

3.2. Vegetation-soil relationship

The soil variables of the four clusters derived from TWINSPLAN classification indicate considerable variation in the edaphic factors among the stands of the different groups (Table 2). Soil texture in the four groups is formed mainly of sand and it showed significant variation (P ≤ 0.1) between the different vegetation clusters. EC, sodium and SAR showed high significant variation among the vegetation groups. Group B and C attained the highest value of EC (237 and 245 μmhos/cm, respectively) as these groups represent the salt marsh
habitats. Group C attained the highest value of sodium (31.34 mg/100 g dry soil). The other variables (soil porosity, pH, WHC), calcium carbonate, organic carbon, chloride, sulphate, carbonate, bicarbonate, potassium, calcium, magnesium and PAR are comparable to each other between the four vegetation clusters.

3.3. Correlation between soil variables and vegetational gradients

The correlation between vegetation and soil characteristics is indicated in Fig. 3. The most effective soil variables are chloride, pH, calcium carbonate, calcium, EC, sodium and WHC.

![Fig. 2 – TWINSPAN dendrogram of 50 stands based on the importance value of the species. Indicator species names are abbreviated to the first three letters of both genus and species. Mal par: Malva parviflora, Ifi spi: Ifloga spicata, Hor mur: Hordeum murinum, Mes nod: Mesembryanthemum nodiflorum, Ech spi: Echinops spinosus, Bra tou: Brassica tournefortii, Ave fat: Avena fatua, Phr aus: Phragmites australis, Typ dom: Typha domingensis, Mel ind: Melilotus indicus.](image)

Table 1 – Characteristics of the different vegetation clusters from TWINSPAN classification of the studied area.

| Cluster | No. of stands | Total Spp. | Habits | Dominant Species | Other important species |
|---------|---------------|------------|--------|------------------|------------------------|
| A       | 5             | 28         | SF     | Mesembryanthemum crystallinum (34.28 [0.43]) | Brassica tournefortii (22.57[0.43]) |
| B       | 12            | 43         | SM     | Mesembryanthemum crystallinum (30.98[0.84]) | Chenoa pumila (18.06[0.76]) |
| C       | 22            | 36         | SM     | Mesembryanthemum nodiflorum (23.66[1.01]) | Cakile maritime Scop. subsp. aegyptiaca (15.00[1.14]) |
| D       | 11            | 46         | SD     | Hordeum murinum (29.91[0.64]) | Senecio glaucus (17.58[0.58]) |

* (Important value [coefficient of variation]), SD: sand dune, SF: sand flat, SM: salt marsh.
Calcium carbonate and pH are correlated with each other, while chloride, EC, sodium and WHC showed close relation. The distribution of *M. crystallinum* (dominant species of group A, B and C and important species with relatively IV of group D) was influenced by calcium carbonate, pH, EC and calcium. The cluster group A was affected mainly by calcium, potassium, pH and calcium carbonate, while the cluster group B and C was controlled by chloride, EC, WHC, PAR, silt and organic carbon.

### 3.4. Growth analysis

The different growth parameters including root, shoot and total fresh weight, plant diameter, leaf area, number of

| Soil variable | TWINSPLAN vegetation groups | F-value | LSD_{0.1} |
|---------------|-----------------------------|---------|-----------|
| Sand (%)      | A  97.00 ± 0.56              | C 96.99 ± 0.43 | D 95.41 ± 0.48 | A 96.62 ± 0.70 | 2.957* | 1.56 |
| Silt (%)      | A 2.48 ± 0.47                | C 2.44 ± 0.33 | D 3.92 ± 0.42 | A 2.92 ± 0.62 | 2.791 | 1.35 |
| Clay (%)      | A 0.52 ± 0.11                | C 0.57 ± 0.12 | D 0.67 ± 0.09 | A 0.45 ± 0.09 | 2.492 | 0.31 |
| Porosity (%)  | A 30.90 ± 3.55               | C 33.06 ± 1.41 | D 32.35 ± 0.91 | A 34.64 ± 1.31 | 0.771 | 3.91 |
| W.H.C. (%)    | A 20.18 ± 0.61               | C 18.73 ± 0.80 | D 18.33 ± 0.50 | A 17.82 ± 0.73 | 1.603 | 1.85 |
| pH            | A 7.72 ± 0.16                | C 7.81 ± 0.07 | D 7.87 ± 0.02 | A 7.88 ± 0.02 | 1.252 | 0.16 |
| EC (µhos/cm)  | A 111.32 ± 31.02             | C 237.36 ± 65.23 | D 244.56 ± 56.36 | A 117.38 ± 39.48 | 5.721* | 152.82 |
| CaCO₃ (%)     | A 3.52 ± 0.74                | C 3.27 ± 0.46 | D 5.35 ± 0.99 | A 3.42 ± 0.79 | 0.634 | 1.42 |
| O.C. (%)      | A 0.64 ± 0.24                | C 0.75 ± 0.22 | D 0.70 ± 0.13 | A 0.83 ± 0.24 | 0.363 | 0.50 |
| Cl⁻ (%)       | A 0.01 ± 0.00                | C 0.01 ± 0.00 | D 0.01 ± 0.00 | A 0.01 ± 0.00 | 0.745 | 0.01 |
| SO₄²⁻ (%)     | A 0.25 ± 0.10                | C 0.12 ± 0.03 | D 0.12 ± 0.03 | A 0.15 ± 0.05 | 3.321 | 0.10 |
| CO₃²⁻ (%)     | A 0.03 ± 0.03                | C 0.03 ± 0.01 | D 0.02 ± 0.01 | A 0.01 ± 0.01 | 0.201 | 0.04 |
| HCO₃⁻ (%)     | A 0.07 ± 0.02                | C 0.07 ± 0.01 | D 0.07 ± 0.00 | A 0.08 ± 0.01 | 0.459 | 0.02 |
| Na⁺ (mg/100 g dry soil) | A 14.81 ± 3.17 | C 27.04 ± 6.84 | D 31.34 ± 5.84 | A 19.43 ± 2.43 | 6.506* | 15.07 |
| K⁺            | A 26.60 ± 11.31              | C 18.38 ± 6.67 | D 14.91 ± 4.00 | A 14.98 ± 5.20 | 0.625 | 17.18 |
| Ca²⁺          | A 4.61 ± 0.75                | C 8.35 ± 2.18 | D 6.38 ± 0.66 | A 7.35 ± 1.05 | 1.129 | 3.03 |
| Mg²⁺          | A 55.45 ± 35.17              | C 25.04 ± 8.26 | D 16.19 ± 8.32 | A 26.43 ± 16.38 | 1.417 | 37.52 |
| SAR           | A 9.00 ± 1.94                | C 4.72 ± 1.46 | D 8.59 ± 2.73 | A 12.27 ± 2.48 | 6.664* | 4.64 |
| PAR           | A 4.65 ± 1.05                | C 6.14 ± 2.52 | D 5.96 ± 2.31 | A 5.74 ± 1.60 | 0.046 | 5.72 |

**Table 2** – Mean value and standard error of the different soil variables at a depth of 0–50 cm in the sampled stands representing the different vegetation groups obtained by TWINSPLAN.

**Fig. 3** – CCA species-soil variable biplot in different habitat types of the study area. EC: electrical conductivity, OC: organic carbon, SAR: sodium adsorption ratio, PAR: potassium adsorption ratio, Por: porosity, WHC: water holding capacity, Bas ind: *Baassia indica*, Bra tou: *Brassica tournefortii*, Cak mar: *Cakile maritime*, Che mur: *Chenopodium murale*, Ech spi: *Echinops spinosus*, Ero lac: *Erodium laciniatum*, Hor mur: *Hordeum murinum*, Ifl spi: *Ifloga spicata*, Mal par: *Malva parviflora*, Mel ind: *Melilotus indicus*, Mes cry: *Mesembryanthemum crystallinum*, Mes nod: *Mesembryanthemum nodiflorum*, Phr aus: *Phragmites australis*, Rum pic: *Rumex pictus*, Zyg alb: *Zygophyllum album*.
Fig. 4 – Different growth attributes (root, shoot and total fresh weight (g); plant diameter (cm); leaf area (cm²); flower number; fruit number) of *Mesembryanthemum crystallinum* in different habitat types (sand dune, sand flat and salt marsh).
flowers and number of seed capsule of *M. crystallinum* are presented in Fig. 4. From this figure, plant diameter significantly increased gradually (*P* ≤ 0.05), but after 12 weeks of development, it decreased. In addition, there is a slight significant variance between the different habitats, while the highest plant diameter was 26.8 cm, after 12 weeks (Fig. 4a).

The fresh weight of the root system of *M. crystallinum* showed slight significant variations in the three habitats at the first 8 weeks, but it showed high significant variation after 10 weeks. Generally, the sand flat habitats support the growth of *M. crystallinum* root (Fig. 4). The fresh weight of *M. crystallinum* shoot was increased gradually during the early period of growth, but after 6 weeks, its growth rate increased sharply until 12 weeks, where it showed significant decrease as the plant entered the seed forming stage. There is no significant variation (*P* ≤ 0.05) between the different habitats at the first weeks of growth, but after 6 weeks the fresh weight showed significant variation (Fig. 4c). The sand dune habitats attained the highest values of shoot fresh weights of *M. crystallinum* during the earlier week, but at 12 weeks, the salt marsh habitats showed the highest values (202 g).

Leaf area of the small secondary leaves of *M. crystallinum* increased sharply during the early weeks, but after 12 weeks, it decreased as the plant entered in the seed forming stage. There was a slight significant difference in the leaf area after two weeks between the three habitats; afterwards it showed high significant variations. The sand dune habitat showed the highest leaf area (261 cm²) after 12 weeks (Fig. 4e). Blossoming

---

**Fig. 5** – RGR (g⁻¹ day⁻¹), NAR (mg cm⁻² day⁻¹) and LAR (cm² g⁻¹) of *Mesembryanthemum crystallinum* growing in sand dune, sand flat and salt marsh.
of M. crystallinum started after 8 weeks. The different habitats showed significant variations in the number of flowers at 0.05 probability level. The sand dune habitat demonstrated the highest value (41 flowers/plant). In addition, the number of seed capsule showed slight significant variations in the three habitats after 14 weeks (Fig. 4g).

Growth attributes of the three habitats including RGR, NAR and LAR are illustrated in Fig. 5. RGRs of the three habitats were found higher at early vegetative stage than at seed forming stage, the highest RGR of sand flat and sand dune (128 g·L⁻¹·day⁻¹ and 112 g·L⁻¹·day⁻¹, respectively) were recorded after 8 weeks, while the highest RGR of salt marsh habitat (127 g·L⁻¹·day⁻¹) was recorded after 10 weeks. RGR of M. crystallinum were below zero after 14 weeks for sand dune and salt marsh habitats and after 12 weeks for sand flat (Fig. 5a).

NAR demonstrated irregular pattern of change during growth, it reached maxima after 10 weeks as it attained 50, 40 and 24 mg·cm⁻²·day⁻¹ for sand flat, salt marsh and sand dune, respectively. NAR decreased at the seed forming stage (Fig. 5b). LAR of the three habitats decreased with the time, while it was increased slightly at the seed forming stage (Fig. 5c).

4. Discussion

The multivariate analysis of the sampled stands representing the different habitats led to recognition of four vegetation groups. Group A and B were dominated by M. crystallinum. Group C was co-dominated by M. crystallinum and S. glaucus. Group D was dominated by H. murinum. These groups could be categorized under three communities; salt marsh community co-dominated by M. crystallinum and S. glaucus; sand dune community dominated by H. murinum and sand flat community dominated by M. crystallinum. These results reflect the domination (high ecological amplitude) of M. crystallinum in the present study that may be attributed to its adaptation to the environment (anatomical, physiological, biochemical and molecular). This species is very tolerant to saline soils, salt spray and coastal conditions [4,29]. In addition, it can accumulate salt in the top soil, which prevents the growth of non-tolerant species and subsequently increases its abundance and success in the invaded site [30,31].

The community of H. murinum appeared more species rich than that of M. crystallinum, which is probably attributed to the salinity tolerance of M. crystallinum; this result is in agreement with those reported in other studies [14,32,33].

Sodium ion concentration, EC, SAR and sand fraction are the most controlling factors in the differentiation and distribution of the different vegetation groups. These results reflect the coastal-marshy habitat in the studied area and it has been reported in other studies [14,34]. The distribution of M. crystallinum was influenced by calcium carbonate, pH, EC and calcium [35,36].

In the present study, M. crystallinum diameter significantly increases gradually by the time (P ≤ 0.05). However, after 14 and 16 weeks it was decreased; also leaf area of M. crystallinum increased sharply during the early weeks, but it was decreased during the seed forming stage, after 12 weeks [4].

The fresh weights of M. crystallinum increased gradually during the early period of growth (juvenile period), but after 6 weeks, it increased sharply until 14 weeks, where it showed significant decrease as the plant entered the flowering stage. Highest root growth was recorded in the sand flat habitats, where the root can reach deeply toward the watercourses and the superficial root spread vigorously to absorb the water vapor [37,38]. On the other hand, root growth retarded under salinity (salt marsh habitat), indicating that water uptake by the root system is not essential for plant survival at the late developmental stages under high salinity, because they already switch to CAM [39]. The measured growth parameters in the present study decreased progressively from juvenile, adult, flowering and seed production. During the later stage, older portions of the ice plant progressively senesce dry out, die and the plants change from C₃ to CAM [4,40].

Generally, the sand dune habitats are preferred for the growth, flowering and fruiting of M. crystallinum, due to low concentration of salts [29]. In addition, the plant probably flourished in salt marsh habitat by synthesis of osmolytes and antioxidant molecules such as betacyanins, mesembryanthin and other flavonoids [41–44]. The flowering and seed forming stages starts rapidly in salt marsh habitat after 8 weeks in response to salt stress [4]. The habitats of M. crystallinum are under threat by human and other biotic interferences especially the establishing of new villages. It is recommended to establish a protectorate along the Deltaic Mediterranean coast of Egypt, extended from Ash tum El Gamil protectorate to Burullus protectorate in the north of Egypt.
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