Sequencing and comparative analysis of fugu protocadherin clusters reveal diversity of protocadherin genes among teleosts
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Abstract

Background: The synaptic cell adhesion molecules, protocadherins, are a vertebrate innovation that accompanied the emergence of the neural tube and the elaborate central nervous system. In mammals, the protocadherins are encoded by three closely-linked clusters (α, β and γ) of tandem genes and are hypothesized to provide a molecular code for specifying the remarkably-diverse neural connections in the central nervous system. Like mammals, the coelacanth, a lobe-finned fish, contains a single protocadherin locus, also arranged into α, β and γ clusters. Zebrafish, however, possesses two protocadherin loci that contain more than twice the number of genes as the coelacanth, but arranged only into α and γ clusters. To gain further insight into the evolutionary history of protocadherin clusters, we have sequenced and analyzed protocadherin clusters from the compact genome of the pufferfish, Fugu rubripes.

Results: Fugu contains two unlinked protocadherin loci, Pcdh1 and Pcdh2, that collectively consist of at least 77 genes. The fugu Pcdh1 locus has been subject to extensive degeneration, resulting in the complete loss of Pcdh1/γ cluster. The fugu Pcdh genes have undergone lineage-specific regional gene conversion processes that have resulted in a remarkable regional sequence homogenization among paralogs in the same subcluster. Phylogenetic analyses show that most protocadherin genes are orthologous between fugu and zebrafish either individually or as paralog groups. Based on the inferred phylogenetic relationships of fugu and zebrafish genes, we have reconstructed the evolutionary history of protocadherin clusters in the teleost fish lineage.

Conclusion: Our results demonstrate the exceptional evolutionary dynamism of protocadherin genes in vertebrates in general, and in teleost fishes in particular. Besides the ‘fish-specific’ whole genome duplication, the evolution of protocadherin genes in teleost fishes is influenced by lineage-specific gene losses, tandem gene duplications and regional sequence homogenization. The dynamic protocadherin clusters might have led to the diversification of neural circuitry among teleosts, and contributed to the behavioral and physiological diversity of teleosts.
Background

A long-standing mystery facing neurobiologists is the molecular mechanism underlying the highly-diversified neural network in vertebrate brains [1]. The discovery of three closely-linked protocadherin (Pcdh) clusters in mammalian genomes has led to an intriguing speculation that these genes may provide a profound molecular code for specifying neuron-neuron connections in the central nervous system [2-4]. Each of the three clusters, designated Pcdh α, β, and γ, contains different numbers of variable domains. The α cluster, for example, contains three 'constant' exons each, that are alternatively spliced to individual variable exons in their respective clusters. The constant exons define the main part of the cytoplasmic domain shared by all members in the same cluster [23]. In many ways, this type of genomic organization resembles the immunoglobulin and T-cell receptor gene loci, which are widely known for their ability to generate a remarkably diverse repertoire of antigen recognizing molecules. Pcdh genes are expressed mainly in the neurons, and their proteins are highly enriched on synaptic membranes [2,5,6]. The transcription of Pcdh genes is controlled by individual promoters located adjacent to each variable exon [7,8], which contribute to the differential expression patterns of individual Pcdh genes in the central nervous system [5]. The Pcdh genes also appear to be under a higher order of complex regulation since their expression seems to be allele-selective [9], and individual neurons, even of the same kind, express an overlapping but distinct combination of Pcdh genes [7,8]. More recently, two long-range cis-regulatory elements in Pcdha cluster have been identified and proposed to underlie the monoallelic expression of the Pcdh genes [10]. Taken together, these features of Pcdh genes indeed suggest that they have the potential to play a fundamental role in establishing neural diversity in the brain.

The Pcdh clusters are essentially a vertebrate innovation that accompanied the emergence of the neural tube and the elaborate central nervous system. No such Pcdh cluster has been identified in invertebrate genomes [11]. Mammals contain a single Pcdh locus consisting of about 60 genes [3,6,12-15]. The lobe-finned fish, coelacanth, which is believed to be a forerunner of tetrapods, also contains a single Pcdh locus organized into α, β, and γ clusters similar to mammals, with a total of 49 genes [16]. In contrast, the teleost fish, zebrafish, contains two unlinked Pcdh loci (DrPcdh1 and DrPcdh2), presumably due to the 'fish-specific' genome duplication [17,18]. The zebrafish genes in each locus are organized into only α and γ clusters. The two loci collectively contain at least 107 genes. The massive expansion of Pcdh genes in zebrafish has been attributed to lineage-specific expansion of individual genes in some Pcdh clusters [19,20]. Interestingly, the zebrafish Pcdh genes have experienced concerted evolution through adaptive selection and gene conversion [20]. Thus, the structure and organization of Pcdh clusters in zebrafish is quite divergent from that in lobe-finned fish and mammals. It has been speculated that the differences in the complement of Pcdhs in zebrafish and mammals could be related to the anatomical differences of their brains [20]. However, it is not known whether the organization of Pcdh clusters in zebrafish is typical of all teleost fishes or unique to the zebrafish lineage. Teleosts are the largest and most successful group of vertebrates. The extant teleosts include almost the same number of species as all other living vertebrate species combined. Teleost fishes also exhibit wide diversity in their habitat, morphology, behavior, physiology and adaptations [21]. Given the possible function of protocadherins in the formation of neural complexity, it would be of interest to characterize Pcdh clusters from diverse groups of teleost fishes.

In this study, we report the sequencing and comparative analysis of Pcdh clusters in the pufferfish, Fugu rubripes. Pufferfishes are unique in having the smallest genome among vertebrates. The reduction in the genome size of pufferfish is attributed to a paucity of repetitive sequences and short intergenic regions and introns. At 400 Mb, the fugu genome is one-eighth the human genome and one-quarter the size of the zebrafish genome. A 'draft' sequence of the fugu genome was completed in 2002 purely by the whole-genome shotgun sequencing strategy [22]. However, we found that most of Pcdh genes were misassembled in the 'draft' genome sequence, most likely due to the presence of a highly similar 3' region (identity >99% across about 750 bp) shared by all the variable exons in the same paralog subcluster (see Results and Discussion below). We therefore sequenced overlapping cosmids and BAC clones and meticulously assembled the complete sequence for the Pcdh loci. Our results show that there are two unlinked Pcdh loci in fugu, similar to zebrafish, and they contain at least 77 genes. The Pcdh1 locus in fugu has undergone an extensive degeneration, resulting in the complete loss of the γ cluster. Based on the inferred evolutionary relationships of fugu and zebrafish Pcdh genes, we were able to reconstruct the two Pcdh loci in the common ancestor of fugu and zebrafish and the ancestral single Pcdh locus in the teleost fish lineage prior to the 'fish-specific' whole-genome duplication. Our data indicate that Pcdh clusters in teleost fishes have undergone extensive diversification largely through lineage-specific degeneration, tandem gene duplication, and gene conversion.
Results and discussion

Two unlinked protocadherin loci in fugu

We searched the fugu ‘draft’ genome assembly for scaffolds containing Pcdh genes by TBLASTN using the human Pcdh protein sequences as the query. Altogether we identified about 70 scaffolds with high similarity (p value < 10^{-10}) to Pcdh sequences. A closer inspection of the scaffold sequences showed that most of them were either misassembled Pcdh cluster sequences, due to the presence of a stretch of nearly identical sequences across about 750 bp shared by multiple Pcdh variable exons, or contained non-clustering Pcdh genes. Only three scaffolds (scaffold_6, scaffold_160 and scaffold_480) contain reliably-assembled sequences equivalent to the Pcdh constant regions. Detailed examination of scaffold_6 (~3.4 Mb long) revealed that this scaffold contains a small Pcdha cluster, consisting of three variable exons followed by three constant exons, but no Pcdhγ genes (Fig 1). These genes are flanked by several non-Pcdh genes, indicating that this is a complete Pcdh locus (Fig 1). To verify this, we have identified three overlapping cosmid clones that span this region (Fig 1). The sizes of these cosmid clones, as inferred by mapping their end sequences to scaffold_6 sequence, range from 40.2 to 48.7 kb. These are typical sizes of cosmid clones and thus indicate that there are no large scale deletions or insertions at the Pcdh locus on the assembled scaffold_6 sequence. To confirm this, we analyzed cosmid clone c112D15, whose sequence spans the entire Pcdh1 locus, by restriction mapping using three enzymes (EcoRI, HindIII and EcoRV) and found that it contains 46 kb insert and its restriction map exactly matches that predicted from scaffold_6 sequences (data not shown). This confirms that the Pcdh cluster on scaffold_6 represents a complete fugu Pcdh locus, and contains only three Pcdha genes and no Pcdhγ genes. Phylogenetic analyses of the variable (see below) and constant (data not shown) exons showed that these genes are orthologous to zebrafish DnPcdh1α genes. We thus designated this Pcdh locus as FrPcdh1 (Fig 1). We filled gaps in the Pcdh constant region in scaffold_480 and scaffold_160 by PCR using fugu genomic DNA as a template and extended the sequences by identifying and sequencing overlapping cosmid or BAC clones (see Methods). This approach resulted in two contiguous sequences of length 331 kb and 86 kb.

The larger contig includes a complete Pcdha cluster containing 37 variable exons and three constant exons, followed by the first 23 variable exons of a Pcdhγ cluster (Fig 1). We identified three non-Pcdh genes, fEf1, fFbxo11 and fFgf18 upstream of the Pcdha cluster indicating that the α cluster on this contig is complete (Fig 1). The shorter contig contains 14 variable exons and three constant exons of a Pcdhγ cluster, followed by two non-Pcdh genes, fDiaph1 and fFtcp7 (Fig 1). RT-PCR with forward primers corresponding to variable exons of the Pcdhγ cluster of the larger contig and a reverse primer for the constant region of Pcdhγ in the shorter contig (data not shown) showed that the two contigs belong to the same locus. We designate this locus as FrPcdh2 locus (Fig 1). We were unable to fill the gap between the two contigs due to the lack of a genomic clone spanning the two contigs. Attempts to fill the gap by long-template PCR using fugu genomic DNA as a template also failed, presumably due to the large size of the gap between the two contigs. The FrPcdh2 locus contains 37 α variable exons and at least 37 γ variable exons. The exons downstream of the gap have been numbered with a prime sign (24’ to 37’) to indicate that the numbers may not represent their actual positions in the cluster. Thus, fugu possesses two unlinked Pcdh loci, Pcdh1 and Pcdh2.

The two Pcdh loci in fugu apparently resulted from segmental duplication from an ancestral Pcdh cluster. Phylogenetic analyses using constant (data not shown) and variable (see below) regions of fugu and zebrafish Pcdh genes show that the two Pcdh loci in fugu are orthologous to the duplicate zebrafish Pcdh loci, respectively, indicating that the locus duplication took place before the divergence of the two lineages. This duplication is most likely the result of the ‘fish-specific’ whole genome duplication event that occurred early during the evolution of ray-finned fishes [17,18]. Like the two zebrafish Pcdh loci, both fugu Pcdh loci lack β cluster genes. The presence of a β cluster in the lobe-finned fish and tetrapods, and its absence in fugu and zebrafish suggest that the β cluster either evolved in the lineage that led to the lobe-finned fish and tetrapods, or was already present in the common ancestor of these vertebrates and was subsequently lost in the teleost lineage before the divergence of the fugu and zebrafish lineages.

The two Pcdh loci in the fugu and zebrafish show significant differences in their gene content and organization. For instance, FrPcdh1 cluster is highly degenerate compared to the zebrafish Pcdh1; as a result, it contains only three α genes compared to ten α genes in zebrafish Pcdh1 cluster [12,19,20]. More strikingly, the fugu Pcdh1 locus completely lacks a γ cluster (Fig 1), whereas the zebrafish Pcdh1 locus contains a γ cluster with at least 28 genes [12,19,20]. Thus, unlike the zebrafish genome which contains two Pcdhγ clusters, fugu genome contains a single Pcdhγ cluster that is located in the Pcdh2 locus. The whole-genome sequence of a second pufferfish, Tetraodon nigroviridis, has recently been completed [23]. To determine whether Tetraodon contains a single Pcdhγ cluster like the fugu, we performed a BLASTX search of the Tetraodon genome database and discovered that Tetraodon also contains two sets of α constant exons belonging to two putative Pcdh clusters but only a single set of γ constant exons similar to fugu. Thus the second copy of Pcdhγ cluster
Exons whereas solid bars at the end of each cluster represent the constant exons. The dotted line in Pcdh represents a gap in the sequence. The IDs and position of the BAC and cosmid clones, as well as the relevant scaffolds, are shown below the gene clusters. The names of variable exons after the gap carry a prime sign (′) to indicate that the numbers may not reflect their actual positions in the locus. The non-Pcdh flanking genes and their orientation at each end of the loci are indicated by arrows. \( \text{FrPcdh1: protocadherin 1 (a non-clustering protocadherin gene with multiple coding exons),} \)
\( \text{fFj31436: a homolog of human hypothetical protein FJ31436,} \)
\( \text{fHrh2: histamine receptor H2,} \)
\( \text{fKnip1: Kv channel interacting protein 1,} \)
\( \text{fEtf1: eukaryotic translation termination factor 1,} \)
\( \text{fBxw1: F-box and WD-40 domain protein 1B,} \)
\( \text{fFgf18: fibroblast growth factor 18,} \)
\( \text{fDiaph1: diaphanous 1,} \)
\( \text{fTrpc7: transient receptor potential cation channel, subfamily C, member 7.} \)

Figure 1
Genomic organization of the two fugu protocadherin loci (FrPcdh1 and FrPcdh2). White boxes represent variable exons whereas solid bars at the end of each cluster represent the constant exons. The dotted line in FrPcdh2 γ cluster represents a gap in the sequence. The IDs and position of the BAC and cosmid clones, as well as the relevant scaffolds, are shown below the gene clusters. The names of variable exons after the gap carry a prime sign (′) to indicate that the numbers may not reflect their actual positions in the locus. The non-Pcdh flanking genes and their orientation at each end of the locus are indicated by arrows. FrPcdh1: protocadherin 1 (a non-clustering protocadherin gene with multiple coding exons), fFj31436: a homolog of human hypothetical protein FJ31436, fHrh2: histamine receptor H2, fKnip1: Kv channel interacting protein 1, fEtf1: eukaryotic translation termination factor 1, fBxw1: F-box and WD-40 domain protein 1B, fFgf18: fibroblast growth factor 18, fDiaph1: diaphanous 1, fTrpc7: transient receptor potential cation channel, subfamily C, member 7.

Associated with the Pcdh1 locus seems to have been lost before the divergence of the fugu and Tetraodon. The highly degenerate nature of the Pcdh1 locus in pufferfishes is consistent with the trend of pufferfish genome towards compaction. The complete loss of the second copy of Pcdhγ cluster in pufferfish suggests that these Pcdhγ genes may be redundant. However, we cannot rule out the possibility that the loss of this cluster in pufferfishes might have an effect on their phenotype with regard to the structure and function of the central nervous system.

Phylogenetic relationships of fugu, zebrafish and coelacanth protocadherin genes
The FrPcdh2 locus contains 37 α genes and at least 37 γ genes, as compared to 38 α genes and at least 31 γ genes in the zebrafish Pcdh2 locus [16,19,20]. In order to determine the phylogenetic relationships of these genes and to trace the evolutionary history of Pcdh clusters in teleosts, we performed phylogenetic analyses using the Neighbor-joining method. We used only EC1-EC3 sequences, instead of the entire ectodomain region (EC1-EC6) for the analyses, because the C-terminal ectodomain (EC4-EC6) of some fugu and zebrafish genes have undergone extensive regional sequence homogenization due to repeated gene conversion events (see below), and using such homogenized regions would bias the tree and the inferred relationships. We first determined the relationships among fugu Pcdh1 and Pcdh2 genes (Fig 2). The topology of the gene tree shows that FrPcdh2α cluster is mainly comprised of three major paralog groups, FrPcdh2α3–7, FrPcdh2α8–25 and FrPcdh2α26–36. The three α genes in the Pcdh1 cluster, FrPcdh1α1–α3, are the inter-locus paralog of FrPcdh2α1, α2 and α37 of the Pcdh2 locus, respectively. FrPcdh2γ cluster also consists of three large paralog groups, FrPcdh2γA–17, FrPcdh2γ9–32′ and FrPcdh2γ33′–36′. In addition, Pcdhγ cluster also contains two individual genes, FrPcdh2γ18 and FrPcdh2γ27′, that seem to be distantly related to the other genes in the cluster, suggesting they are generated from ancient gene duplications. Interestingly, FrPcdh2γ37′ appears to be more closely related to the FrPcdh1α3 and FrPcdh2α37 in the Pcdhα cluster (Fig 2). Notably, such a phylogenetic relationship between Pcdhα and Pcdhγ clusters is also evident in mammalian Pcdh clusters [3,12,13]. The two genes, c1 and c2, at the end of mammalian Pcdhα cluster are shown to be evolutionarily closer to the last three genes, c3-c5, of the Pcdhγ cluster than any other genes in the Pcdhα cluster [3]. Interestingly, phylogenetic analyses show that FrPcdh1α3,
FrPcdh2α2α7 and FrPcdh2γβ7 indeed belong to the mammalian c1-c5 gene group (see below). The remarkable conservation of these genes suggests that they may play an important role in protocadherin functions in all vertebrates. The overall structure of fugu Pcdh cluster gene tree is highly similar to that of zebrafish, which also contains three large paralog groups each of Pedha and γ clusters [12].

To explore the orthology of fugu and zebrafish Pcdh genes and their phylogenetic relationships with Pcdh genes from other vertebrate groups, we next performed phylogenetic analyses of fugu and zebrafish Pcdh genes together with Pcdh genes from coelacanth. Coelacanthis was selected as a representative of lobe-finned fish and tetrapod lineages since the single Pcdh cluster in coelacanth is likely to be the closest to the ancestral Pcdh locus of the two teleost lineages. We analyzed Pedha (Fig 3a) and Pedhγ (Fig 3b) clusters separately.

As shown in Fig 3a, Pedha genes of fugu, zebrafish and coelacanthis comprise three large paralog/ortholog groups. The first group (group I in Fig 3a) contains genes localized at the two ends of fugu and zebrafish Pedha clusters, including fugu FrPcdh1α1–3, FrPcdh2α1–7, FrPcdhα2α7 and zebrafish DrPcdh1α1–2, DrPcdhα1α10, DrPcdhα2α1–7, DrPcdhα3α8, besides all but one of the genes (LmPcdha14) in the coelacanthis Pedha cluster. These fugu and zebrafish genes are further divided into four subgroups. The first subgroup (Ia in Fig 3a) consists of two fugu inter-locus paralogs, FrPcdh1α1 and FrPcdh2α1, zebrafish DrPcdh1α1 and LmPcdha14. The second subgroup (Ib in Fig 3a) is comprised of two fugu inter-locus paralogs, FrPcdh1α2, FrPcdh2α2 and their zebrafish orthologs, DrPcdh1α2 and DrPcdh2α1. The third subgroup (Ic in Fig 3a) contains fugu FrPcdh1α3 and FrPcdh2α37 and their zebrafish orthologs DrPcdh1α10 and DrPcdh2α38, as well as the coelacanthis ortholog, LmPcdha21. An interesting feature of these Pedha genes is that they seem to be resistant to gene duplication. In spite of the heavy turnover of genes in their neighborhood (see below), they have been conserved as single-copy genes throughout the evolution of these vertebrates. This suggests that they may play a fundamental role in the central nervous system. The fourth subgroup (Id in Fig 3a) contains fugu FrPcdh2α3–7 and zebrafish DrPcdh2α2–7. No direct orthologous relationship can be identified between individual genes in this subgroup; instead, FrPcdh2α3–7 as a paralog group seems to be orthologous to DrPcdh2α2–7. This type of phylogenetic relationship indicates that subsequent to the divergence of the two species, the ancestral paralogs have undergone independent lineage-specific gene duplications, giving rise to a multi-gene paralog group in each species. This phylogenetic tree also suggests that the subgroup Ia and Ic are derived from a common ancestor, while subgroup Ib and Id share a common ancestor. Except LmPcdha1 and LmPcdhaα2, other coelacanth genes in this group do not show any direct orthology to fugu and zebrafish genes, suggesting that these genes are either specific to lobe-finned fish and tetrapods or have been lost from the teleost fish lineage.

The second paralog/ortholog group (group II in Fig 3a) in the Pcdha phylogenetic tree comprises fugu FrPcdh2α26–36, zebrafish DrPcdh1α3–9, DrPcdh2α26–37, and a single coelacanthis gene, LmPcdha14. The subtrees of this group show that a subset of genes in the zebrafish Pcdh1α locus, the DrPcdh1α3–5,7–8, are generated from an ancestral paralog of DrPcdh1α6 through multiple gene duplication events in the zebrafish lineage. No fugu ortholog for zebrafish DrPcdh1α3–9 genes is found in FrPcdh1 locus, presumably due to the independent loss of this paralog group of genes in fugu. On the other hand, FrPcdh2α31–35 appear to be derived from a single common ancestor through lineage-specific duplications in fugu. A single fugu gene, FrPcdh2α36, seems to share a common ancestor with a cluster of zebrafish genes, DrPcdh2α27,31–36, indicating that while the fugu gene was retained as single-copy, the zebrafish gene has undergone multiple duplications. The fourth subset of genes in this paralog/ortholog group consists of multiple fugu and zebrafish genes including FrPcdh2α26–30, DrPcdh2α26,28–30 and DrPcdh1α6. However, the orthologous relationship between these subsets of genes cannot be inferred with confidence since the bootstrap values at their branch nodes are rather low (< 200). As these paralog/ortholog group genes are closely related and are clearly segregated from other fugu and zebrafish Pcdh paralog/ortholog group genes, we consider the whole group as one large paralog/ortholog group. The evolution of such paralog/ortholog groups is likely to have involved many rounds of lineage-specific gene duplication and degeneration. It appears that LmPcdha14 is a distant ortholog of this group (Fig 3a). Interestingly, this coelacanthis gene also shares common ancestry with the entire mammalian α cluster (except the c1 and c2 genes) [16], suggesting that this paralog/ortholog group of fugu and zebrafish genes is perhaps orthologous to the entire mammalian Pedha clusters.

The third paralog/ortholog group of Pedha genes (group III in Fig 3a) seems to be teleost-specific, containing only fugu FrPcdh2α8–25 and zebrafish DrPcdh2α8–25. These genes can further be divided into three subgroups. The first subgroup (IIIa in Fig 3a) contains fugu FrPcdh2α8 and its zebrafish ortholog DrPcdh2α8, whereas the other two subgroups (IIIb and IIIc in Fig 3a) that contain multiple fugu and zebrafish paralogs do not exhibit any manifest individual orthologous relationships. However, it is clear that fugu FrPcdh2α (15,18–25) and FrPcdh2α (9–14,16–17) as paralog subgroups are orthologous to
zebrafish DrPcdh2α19–25 and DrPcdh2α9–18, respectively.

Similar to Pcdhα genes, the Pcdhγ genes also form three large paralog/ortholog groups (Fig 3b). Orthology between multi-gene groups (between a single gene and a subset of genes and between two subsets of genes in two species) seems also to be a common feature of the Pcdh1γ cluster. For example, the fugu FrPcdh2γ32' (group II in Fig 3b) is apparently orthologous to the entire zebrafish paralog group DrPcdh2γ28–31, whereas the fugu FrPcdh2γ1–17 (group I in Fig 3b) as a paralog group is orthologous to
**Figure 3**

*Phylogenetic analyses of fugu, zebrafish and coelacanth protocadherin genes.* Phylogenetic trees for *Pcdhα* (a) and *Pcdhγ* (b) clusters. Protein sequences of the EC1-EC3 ectodomain region were aligned by ClustalX and phylogenetic trees were built by the Neighbor-joining method based on sequence distance matrix. Numbers at the nodes are bootstrap values of 1000 replicates. Only bootstrap values above 500 at the major branches are shown. The trees are unrooted. Genes in individual *Pcdhα* or *Pcdhγ* clusters are labeled by the same color.
a zebrafish DrPcdh2γ1–13. Additionally, orthology between two individual genes from two species is also observed in the Pedhγ cluster. For instance, fugu FrPcdh2γ8 (group I in Fig 3b) is clearly an ortholog of zebrafish DrPcdh2γ4. Consistent with the previous study [16], the phylogenetic tree for Pedhγ cluster also revealed that coelacanth Pedhγ genes comprise five paralog groups (group III in Fig 3b), of which four, LmPcdhγ(1–4, 7, 9, 19), LmPcdhγ1–16, LmPcdhγ(2, 5, 8, 17–18, 20) and LmPcdhβ1–4 are closely related to each other, whereas the fifth group, LmPcdhγ21–24, is more closely related to fugu FrPcdh2γ37’ and zebrafish DrPcdh1γ28 (Fig 3b). Such a phylogenetic relationship suggests that a massive expansion of Pedhγ genes has occurred in the coelacanth lineage subsequent to the divergence of these species.

Orthology between an individual gene in one species and a group of genes in another and between groups of genes in two species rather than between individual genes is a characteristic of multigene families which have experienced continuous events of lineage-specific gene duplications and losses. Pedh cluster is a typical example of such a dynamic cluster of genes in vertebrates. The Pedh clusters from fugu and zebrafish include instances of orthology between a single fugu gene and a group of paralogous zebrafish genes (e.g., FrPcdh2γ32’ and DrPcdh2γ28–31) and between entire paralog groups of fugu and zebrafish genes (e.g., FrPcdh2γ1–17 and DrPcdh2γ1–13). These types of phylogenetic relationships among Pedh genes in fugu and zebrafish illustrate the exceptionally dynamic evolutionary changes at the Pedh loci in the teleost fish lineage following the ‘fish-specific’ whole genome duplication event. Although the single Pedh cluster in mammals and the coelacanth have experienced gene duplications and losses, the extent of turnover is much lower than that in the fugu and zebrafish. Such variations in the complement of Pedh genes show that Pedh clusters are much more dynamic in teleost fishes than in mammals and lobe-finned fishes. Since teleost fishes are the most species-rich and most diverse group of vertebrates, it is likely that the evolutionarily dynamic Pedh clusters in teleosts might have contributed to morphological and behavioral diversity of teleost fishes.

Regional gene conversion in fugu protocadherin locus

A striking feature of fugu Pedh cluster genes observed during the assembly of cosmid and BAC sequences is the highly similar 3’ region of variable exons (identity >99%) shared by multiple paralogs. These paralogs, which can be differentiated by their divergent 5’ sequences, are generally located at close proximity on the chromosome and segregate into subclusters. We have identified three such paralog subclusters in each of the fugu Pedh2α (FrPcdh2α2–7, FrPcdh2α8–25 and FrPcdh2α26–36) and Pedh2γ (FrPcdh2γ1–17, FrPcdh2γ19–32’ and FrPcdh2γ33’–36’) clusters, respectively. To investigate the extent of sequence similarity and differences in the 5’ and 3’ regions of these genes, we aligned protein and nucleotide sequences of individual paralog subclusters and calculated their pair-wise amino acid and nucleotide sequence identities based on the multiple alignment. While the sequence identity of the less similar upstream region ranges from 60 to 80% at both amino acid and nucleotide levels, the 3’ sequences are nearly 100% identical among members in each subcluster (Table 1). The two distinct regions are separated by a discrete boundary located at the coding sequence for domains EC4 or EC5 in different subclusters (Table 1). Because purifying selection for protein function does not act on synonymous sites, the astonishingly high sequence similarity at the nucleotide level is thus unlikely to be due to a greater functional constraint on the protein sequence. Instead, such homogenized sequences could have arisen from repeated regional gene conversion events. There is evidence that tandem gene arrays tend to embark on gene conversion that leads to sequence homogenization among paralogs [24]. Indeed, zebrafish and human Pedh paralogs have been shown to have undergone frequent gene conversions, resulting in substantial sequence homogenization among paralogs [12,20,25]. However, gene conversions do not seem to be an inherent characteristic of all Pedh clusters, because no gene conversion signatures have been uncovered at the coelacanth Pedh locus [16]. To determine whether the high similarity regions shared by fugu paralogs are generated through gene conversion events, we compared the GC content at codon third positions (GC3) between the 5’ low similarity and the 3’ high similarity regions among paralogs in each group. It is known that repeated gene conversions usually cause an increase of GC3 in converted regions [26,27]. The GC3 in 3’ regions of all the paralog groups is above 50%, and is significantly higher than that for their corresponding 5’ regions (Table 2), indicating that these high similarity regions are indeed the result of gene conversion events.

Pedhs have been proposed to provide molecular diversities for neuron-neuron connections through the combinatorial interaction of protocadherin proteins. For classical cadherins, the trans-homophilic interaction (i.e. the interaction between cells) is mainly mediated by the EC1 domain [28]. Although yet to be demonstrated experimentally, it is generally believed that Pedhs also engage in a similar form of homophilic interaction as the classic cadherins. However, unlike classic cadherins which contain five ectodomains in their extracellular region, the extracellular region of Pedhs contains six ectodomains. It is possible that the molecular diversifying signals of Pedhs in fugu are encoded by the extracellular EC1–EC3 domains since this region is more divergent among individual Pedhs as compared to the highly homologous C-terminal
extracellular domains. This is consistent with the observation that the EC2 and EC3 domains of zebrafish and mammalian Pcdhs seldom undergo sequence homogenization processes and thus provide the most diversifying signals for the molecules [20]. Interestingly, it has been shown recently that EC2 and EC3 of mammalian Pcdhs undergo diversity-enhancing positive diversifying selection [12]. Collectively, these observations imply that the N-terminal ectodomains of Pcdhs play a crucial role in mediating neuronal connections in the brain. Furthermore, in contrast to the virtually 100% identical C-terminal sequences of paralogs in the same fugu subclusters, the converted regions are highly divergent between subclusters. The consensus sequences for the converted regions between different subclusters of $Pcdh_2$ exhibit on average only 37.7% and 38.9% identities, respectively. This implies that the converted regions in different subclusters may have undergone adaptive selection and acquired diverse functions specific to each subcluster. In contrast to fugu $Pcdh_2$ cluster genes, the $Pcdh_1$ cluster genes do not contain any signature for gene conversion.

**Reconstruction of protocadherin clusters in ancestral fish lineage**

Based on the inferred phylogenetic relationships of fugu, zebrafish and coelacanth $Pcdh$ genes, we have reconstructed models of the duplicate teleost $Pcdh$ clusters in the common ancestor of fugu and zebrafish, and the single $Pcdh$ cluster in the fish lineage prior to the ‘fish-specific’ whole genome duplication event (Fig 4). These models illustrate the dynamic nature of the $Pcdh$ locus in vertebrates. The $Pcdh$ loci in teleost fishes and the coelacanth have repeatedly experienced lineage-specific gene losses and gene duplications. The lineage-specific tandem gene duplication is rather dramatic in the $Pcdh_2$ locus of teleost fishes, giving rise to at least 74 and 69 genes in fugu and zebrasfish, and the single $Pcdh$ cluster in the fish lineage prior to the 'fish-specific' whole genome duplication event (Fig 4). These models illustrate the dynamic nature of the $Pcdh$ locus in vertebrates. The $Pcdh$ loci in teleost fishes and the coelacanth have repeatedly experienced lineage-specific gene losses and gene duplications. The lineage-specific tandem gene duplication is rather dramatic in the $Pcdh_2$ locus of teleost fishes, giving rise to at least 74 and 69 genes in fugu and zebrasfish, respectively, compared to 49 genes in the coelacanth. According to our model, the single $Pcdh$ cluster in the fish lineage prior to the whole genome duplica-

---

**Table 1: Paralog sequence similarity of fugu protocadherin subclusters**

| Paralog subclusters | 5’ low homology region | 3’ high homology region |
|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
|                     | Pcdh protein sequence | Amino acid identity (%) | Nucleotide identity (%) |
|                     |                        |                         |                        |
| FrPcdh2α2–7 | 1–331 | 80.0 ± 13.4 | 79.0 ± 13.9 |
| FrPcdh2α8–25 | 1–507 | 67.6 ± 10.8 | 69.9 ± 9.9 |
| FrPcdh2α26–36 | 1–487 | 57.5 ± 6.6 | 60.7 ± 5.1 |
| FrPcdh2γ1–17 | 1–356 | 70.0 ± 10.8 | 72.7 ± 10.0 |
| FrPcdh2γ9–32’ | 1–507 | 66.4 ± 9.0 | 68.2 ± 8.5 |
| FrPcdh2γ33’–36’ | 1–449 | 66.5 ± 9.5 | 68.7 ± 7.3 |

*a The fugu $Pcdh$ sequences used for this analysis do not include the coding sequences for the signal peptide.
*b The numbers refer to the consensus of the amino acid sequence alignment without the signal peptide sequence.
*c The amino acid sequence identity is calculated by average of the pair-wise comparison of paralog members in the same subcluster and expressed as mean ± standard deviation.
*d Nucleotide sequences were aligned according to the amino acid alignment and the percentage identity is calculated by average of the pair-wise comparison and expressed as mean ± standard deviation.

---

**Table 2: GC3 of fugu paralog subcluster protocadherin sequences**

| Paralog subclusters | GC3 (%) |
|---------------------|---------|
|                     | 5’ low homology region | 3’ high homology region | p Value |
|                     | 41.3 ± 7.2 | 61.2 ± 0.3 | 2.78 × 10^-4 |
| FrPcdh2α2–7 | 38.4 ± 4.3 | 54.4 ± 0.5 | 2.68 × 10^-16 |
| FrPcdh2α26–36 | 45.0 ± 2.2 | 60.7 ± 0.7 | 1.32 × 10^-15 |
| FrPcdh2γ1–17 | 43.6 ± 2.6 | 56.3 ± 0.4 | 2.40 × 10^-19 |
| FrPcdh2γ9–32’ | 41.5 ± 3.4 | 58.5 ± 0.6 | 3.28 × 10^-15 |
| FrPcdh2γ33’–36’ | 40.1 ± 1.2 | 68.8 ± 0.3 | 6.35 × 10^-9 |

*a GC3 is calculated as the average percentage of the GC content at the codon third-position in each paralog subcluster and expressed as mean ± standard deviation.
*b The statistical analysis was conducted using Student’s t-test.
tion contained at least six Pcdhα paralog groups (α to αVI) and ten Pcdhγ paralog groups (γ to γX) (Fig 4). In contrast, coelacanth Pcdh cluster contains orthologs for only three of these fish Pcdhα genes and one of the fish Pcdhγ genes. On the other hand, two of the coelacanth Pcdhα paralog groups (Lmα2–13 and Lmα5–20) and one Pcdhγ paralog group containing Lmβ1–4 and Lmγ1–20 have no apparent orthologs in the ancestral fish Pcdh cluster. These comparisons show that the Pcdh loci have been subject to dynamic changes since the divergence of the lobe-finned fish and ray-finned fish lineages and have been continuously undergoing lineage-specific degeneration and tandem duplications. Characterization of Pcdh cluster from a more basal vertebrate, such as a cartilaginous fish, should shed light on the ancestral state of Pcdh cluster(s) and help to reconstruct the evolutionary changes in the basal lobe-finned fishes and teleost fishes.

Conclusion
We have identified two unlinked fugu Pcdh loci that collectively contain at least 77 Pcdh genes. The gene content of the two fugu Pcdh loci is quite different from that of the two Pcdh loci in zebrafish. We show that following the ‘fish-specific’ whole-genome duplication, regional sequence homogenization due to repeated lineage-specific gene conversion processes, secondary gene losses and tandem gene duplications are the major factors affecting the evolution of Pcdh clusters in teleosts. Based on phylogenetic analyses, we predict that there were at least six α and ten γ genes (or paralog groups) in the Pcdh locus of the ancestral fish genome prior to the whole-genome duplication event. Elucidating the origin and evolutionary dynamics of Pcdh clusters in different lineage of vertebrates is an important endeavor as it may help to uncover the molecular code for the complex central nervous system of vertebrates.

Methods
Sequencing and assembly of fugu Pcdh loci
To identify fugu Pcdh sequences in the fugu ‘draft’ genome, we performed TBLASTN search of fugu genome database using human protocadherin protein sequences as the query [22]. We identified about 70 scaffolds that showed similarity to Pcdh protein with an E-value of 10^-10 or less. Detailed examination of these scaffolds showed that most of the resulting scaffolds were misassembled due to the high sequence homology shared by multiple fugu Pcdh variable exons. Only three scaffolds, scaffold_6, scaffold_480 and scaffold_160, were found to contain large reliably-assembled sequences. Gaps within the relevant regions of these scaffolds were filled by PCR using fugu genomic DNA as a template. Scaffold_6 contained a complete Pcdh cluster flanked by non-Pcdh genes. We identified three overlapping cosmids clones that cover the Pcdh-containing region on scaffold_6. These include: c117N19, c112D15 and c5N15. For the other two scaffolds, scaffold_480 and scaffold_160, we used only the reliable Pcdh-containing sequences as the anchor sequence for identifying overlapping cosmid and BAC clones by BLASTN search of the cosmid or BAC end databases [22]. We first attempted to sequence these cosmid and BAC clones by shotgun method. However, since this resulted in piling up of many variable exons, we resorted to cloning and sequencing restriction enzyme-digested fragments to obtain contiguous sequences. The protocol for shotgun sequencing of cosmid and BAC clones comprised of shearing DNA by ultra-sonication followed by end-filling by Klenow treatment. The blunt-ended DNA fragments were resolved on an agarose gel and 2–3 kb fragments were isolated and subcloned into the EcoRV site of pBluescript SK vector. Plasmid inserts were sequenced from both ends using T3 and T7 primers and BigDye Terminator technology (Applied Biosystem). Sequence reads were then edited and assembled using SeqMan (Lasergene). The Pcdh variable exons and non-Pcdh genes were annotated based on the results of BLASTX search of the non-redundant protein database at NCBI [29] and GENSCAN predictions [30]. Sequences of fugu Pcdh clusters generated in this study have been submitted to GenBank under accession numbers DQ986917 and DQ986918. Human orthologs of the fugu non-Pcdh genes were identified by BLAT search of the human genome database at the UCSC genome browser [31].

Phylogenetic analyses
The genomic sequences of zebrafish and coelacanth Pcdh clusters were retrieved from the GenBank [29]. The zebrafish Pcdh clusters were assembled from sequences of AC144823, AC144826, AC144828, AC146480, AL929558, AB075928, BX005294 and BX957322[12,16,19,20], whereas the coelacanth Pcdh clusters were assembled from sequences of AC150238, AC150284 and AC150308-AC150310[16]. Variable exons were identified by BLASTX searches. We used the N-terminal protocadherin ectodomain sequences (EC1-EC3) for constructing phylogenetic trees as this region is structurally homologous in all species, which gives rise to few gaps in the alignment and does not undergo gene conversion. The sequences of EC1-EC3 from various species were aligned by ClustalX algorithm [32]. Phylogenetic trees were constructed by the Neighbor-joining method based on sequence distance matrix, and the trees were drawn using Njplot [33]. The robustness of the tree was determined by bootstrap analysis of 1000 replicate sample sequences.

Analysis for third position GC content
We used CODEML program in PAML package with default parameters to determine the GC content at third-position of codons [34]. The nucleotide sequence align-
ments were generated by RevTrans program using amino acid sequence alignment as templates [35].
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