Selective Hydroboration–Oxidation of Terminal Alkenes under Flow Conditions
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Abstract: An efficient flow process for the selective hydroboration and oxidation of different alkenes using 9-borabicyclo(3.3.1)nonane (9-BBN) allows facile conversion in high productivity (1.4 g h⁻¹) of amorpha-4,11-diene to the corresponding alcohol, which is an advanced intermediate in the synthesis of the antimalarial drug artemisinin. The in situ reaction of borane and 1,5-cyclooctadiene using a simple flow generator proved to be a cost efficient solution for the generation of 9-BBN.

Alkenes are very important entities in organic synthesis due to the diversity and wide availability of alkene substrates and the large spectrum of chemical reactivities of double bonds.¹ Oxidation of alkenes to the corresponding alcohols is one of the fundamental chemical transformations and the hydroboration–oxidation sequence is an important tool in this context.² In 2015, Souto et al. reported a highly efficient flow method for the hydroboration–oxidation of alkenes.³ Their flow protocol presented several clear advantages over batch reactions including milder reaction conditions, better selectivity and a high production rates of up to 120 mmol h⁻¹, in addition to the facile continuous processing of the produced biphasic mixture under flow conditions. Despite the efficiency, scalability and simplicity of this protocol, the use of borane poses severe selectivity problems with substrates containing terminal as well as internal double bonds. Limonene, for example, was a challenging substrate that gave diol 2 in only 28% yield. The problem of a regioselective reaction is alleviated in batch using bulkier hydroborating reagents such as 9-BBN leading to compound 3 in 77% yield (Scheme 1).⁴

The selective oxidation of the terminal double bond of amorpha-4,11-diene 4 to the corresponding alcohol 5 as shown in Scheme 2 is a key step in the synthesis of the antimalarial drug artemisinin 6, which can be achieved in batch using 9-borabicyclo(3.3.1)nonane (9-BBN).⁵ As part of our ongoing research on the development of an efficient semi-synthetic approach to artemisinin,⁶ we report here a scalable flow protocol for the selective hydroboration–oxidation of terminal alkenes.

Scheme 1. Hydroboration–oxidation of limonene.³⁻⁴

Scheme 2. Hydroboration–oxidation of amorpha-4,11-diene 4 as a key step in the synthesis of artemisinin 6.

Initial investigations were based on the optimised reaction conditions for the sequence of hydroboration and oxidation of alkenes in flow as published by Souto et al.³ Using a modified reaction setup (Figure 1) and replacing borane by 9-BBN, the selective oxidation of the terminal alke of (R)-(±)-limonene was investigated as a cheap and easily accessible model substrate.

Under the optimal reaction conditions of Souto³ (Table 1, entry 1), the desired alcohol (R)-3 was formed in only 7% yield. The hydroboration step takes place in the first reactor (PFA coil, 1 mm i.d.) while the oxidative work-up proceeds in the second reactor (PFA coil, 2.4 mm i.d.). Coils with a smaller internal diameter for the second reactor resulted in partial blockage of the reactor due to the formation of some solids which was also observed when borane was used as a reagent.³ The flow rate of the NaOH solution was adjusted to deliver about 1.7 equivalents to the alkene and the stream of aqueous solu-
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Schematic representation of hydroboration–oxidation flow setup. i.d. = inner diameter.

Figure 1. Schematic representation of hydroboration–oxidation flow setup. i.d. = inner diameter.

Table 1. Optimisation of hydroboration–oxidation of (R)-2 in flow.\(^{[a]}\)

| Entry | Limonene (R)-2 [\(\text{mmol}\)] | Flow A [mL/min] | Flow B [mL/min] | Reactor R1 volume [mL] | Calculated residence time [min] | \(T\) [°C] | Yield (R)-3 [%]\(^{[b]}\) |
|-------|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------|------------------------|--------|------------------|
| 1     | 1.0                           | 1.0            | 2.0            | 2                   | 0.67                   | r.t.   | 7                |
| 2     | 0.5                           | 1.0            | 1.0            | 2                   | 1.0                    | r.t.   | 9                |
| 3     | 0.5                           | 1.0            | 1.0            | 4                   | 2.0                    | r.t.   | 15               |
| 4     | 0.25                          | 0.25           | 0.25           | 4                   | 5.3                    | r.t.   | 27               |
| 5     | 0.25                          | 0.5            | 0.5            | 4                   | 4.0                    | r.t.   | 65               |
| 6     | 0.25                          | 0.25           | 0.25           | 6                   | 6.0                    | r.t.   | 69               |
| 7     | 0.25                          | 0.25           | 0.25           | 4                   | 8.0                    | r.t.   | 61               |
| 8     | 0.25                          | 0.5            | 0.5            | 4                   | 4.0                    | 30     | 76               |
| 9     | 0.25                          | 0.5            | 0.5            | 4                   | 4.0                    | 40     | 92               |
| 10    | 0.25                          | 0.5            | 0.5            | 4                   | 4.0                    | 50     | 90               |
| 11    | 0.25                          | 0.5            | 0.5            | 4                   | 4.0                    | 40     | 90\(^{[2]}\)      |

[a] Reaction conditions: Solvent: THF, flow rate of NaOH (0.53 M) is set to 1.7 equiv, flow rate of \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) (20% aq.) is set to 6.7 equiv. [b] Determined by \(^1\)H NMR using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as the internal standard. [c] Isolated yield, 2.5 mmol scale.

As mentioned above, the main motivation of this work was the development of an efficient flow protocol for the conversion of amorph-4,11-diene 4 to the corresponding alcohol 5, an advanced intermediate in the synthesis of the antimalarial drug artemisinin 6. When the optimal conditions (Table 1, entry 9) were used for amorph-4,11-diene 4 as a starting material, alcohol 5 was isolated in 85% yield. Performing the reaction on 15 mmol scale led to the production of 5 with a production rate of 1.4 g h\(^{-1}\) without a reduction in yield. In addition, epimer-amorph-4,11-diene\(^{[2]}\) was also successfully converted to the corresponding alcohol 7 in 85% yield under the same conditions. The method was also applied to other substrates to prove its general applicability (Scheme 3). Both (+)-valencene and (-)-\(\beta\)-pinene gave the corresponding alcohols 8 and 9 in excellent yields of 90% and 95%, respectively. Under the same reaction conditions, both terminal double bonds of deca-1,9-diene reacted to give diol 10 in 91% yield. Slightly lower yields were obtained in the case of 5-bromopent-1-ene and styrene where the corresponding alcohols 11 and 12 were obtained in 81% and 77% yield, respectively.

As a further proof of concept, the 9-BBN solution was replaced with a flow generator of 9-BBN from borane (BH\(_3\)·THF) and 1,5-cyclooctadiene\(^{[7]}\) (Figure 2) leading to the isolation of alcohol (R)-3 in 56% yield. Although the yield of (R)-3 was lower compared to using commercially available 9-BBN (Figure 1), the experiment shows clearly the feasibility of the flow genera-

\[ \text{R} \rightarrow \text{OH} \]

1. 9-BBN (0.5 M, 2 eq.), THF, 40 °C
2. eq. NaOH, \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\), \(\text{EIOH}\), r.t.

Scheme 3. Hydroboration–oxidation of various alkenes under flow condi-
tions. [a] 15 mmol scale.

ment of the reaction outcome. A small improvement (76%) was obtained by raising the temperature to 30 °C. Another increase of the reaction yield to 92% was obtained using a reaction temperature of 40 °C (entry 9). Raising the temperature further did not improve the reaction yield (entry 10). All yields were determined by \(^1\)H NMR using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard. Applying the optimum reaction conditions of entry 9 to a reaction on larger scale (2.5 mmol, entry 11) led to the isolation of alcohol 3 in 90% yield. The reaction was also scaled up further (15 mmol) to prove the efficiency and reliability of the developed flow protocol where 2.1 g (90%) of alcohol (R)-3 was obtained in 2 h.

As mentioned above, the main motivation of this work was the development of an efficient flow protocol for the conversion of amorph-4,11-diene 4 to the corresponding alcohol 5, an advanced intermediate in the synthesis of the antimalarial drug artemisinin 6. When the optimal conditions (Table 1, entry 9) were used for amorph-4,11-diene 4 as a starting material, alcohol 5 was isolated in 85% yield. Performing the reaction on 15 mmol scale led to the production of 5 with a production rate of 1.4 g h\(^{-1}\) without a reduction in yield. In addition, epimer-amorph-4,11-diene\(^{[2]}\) was also successfully converted to the corresponding alcohol 7 in 85% yield under the same conditions. The method was also applied to other substrates to prove its general applicability (Scheme 3). Both (+)-valencene and (-)-\(\beta\)-pinene gave the corresponding alcohols 8 and 9 in excellent yields of 90% and 95%, respectively. Under the same reaction conditions, both terminal double bonds of deca-1,9-diene reacted to give diol 10 in 91% yield. Slightly lower yields were obtained in the case of 5-bromopent-1-ene and styrene where the corresponding alcohols 11 and 12 were obtained in 81% and 77% yield, respectively.

As a further proof of concept, the 9-BBN solution was replaced with a flow generator of 9-BBN from borane (BH\(_3\)·THF) and 1,5-cyclooctadiene\(^{[7]}\) (Figure 2) leading to the isolation of alcohol (R)-3 in 56% yield. Although the yield of (R)-3 was lower compared to using commercially available 9-BBN (Figure 1), the experiment shows clearly the feasibility of the flow genera-
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The synthesis of 9-BBN and the potential of a future development of an efficient 9-BBN generator from cheaper reagents.

In conclusion, an efficient and scalable protocol for the selective hydroboration-oxidation of terminal alkenes using 9-BBN under flow conditions was developed. This protocol is particularly useful for substrates containing terminal and internal double bonds such as limonene, amorpha-4,11-diene and valencene, where selective functionalisation of the terminal alkene is observed without affecting the internal alkene, a problem that is encountered when borane is used. The method was efficiently applied to the conversion of amorpha-4,11-diene 4 to dihydroartemisinic alcohol 5 (1.4 g h⁻¹), an important advanced intermediate in the synthesis of the antimalarial drug artemisinin 6. In addition, the flow generation of 9-BBN from borane and 1,5-cyclooctadiene using a simple generator was probed and the preliminary results are promising. Development of an efficiently integrated generator of 9-BBN is ongoing in our laboratories.

**Experimental Section**

**Synthetic protocol:** Using the reaction setup shown in Figure 1, a solution of alkene (0.25 M) in THF and 9-BBN (0.5 M) in THF pumped at 0.5 mL min⁻¹ each were combined using a T-piece and reacted in a 4 mL PFA coil (R1, 1 mm i.d.) at 40 °C. A second stream formed by combining a solution of NaOH (0.53 M) in a mixture of water and ethanol (52.5:47.5) at 0.4 mL min⁻¹ and an aqueous solution of H₂O₂ (20% v/v) at 0.1 mL min⁻¹ was combined with the outlet of reactor R1 through a T-piece and the combined solutions reacted at room temperature in a second PFA coil (R2, 2.4 mm i.d.), 4.4 mL. The reaction mixture was received in a flask containing saturated aqueous ammonium chloride solution to quench the reaction. The two phases were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted three times with Et₂O. The combined organic layers were washed with water then brine and dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure to give the crude reaction mixture which was then purified by flash column chromatography.
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