Immune response to anti-SARS-CoV-2 prime-vaccination in patients with cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis
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Abstract
Purpose This systematic review and meta-analysis aimed to evaluate the immune response to anti-SARS-CoV-2 prime-vaccination in patients with cancer.

Methods We performed a systematic literature search using PubMed, Embase, and Cochrane Library until 28/09/2021, and conference proceedings from ASCO and ESMO 2021 annual meetings. We screened for observational or interventional studies including subjects ≥ 16 years old with cancer diagnosis who were vaccinated against SARS-CoV-2. Prime-vaccination was defined as one dose of Ad26.COV2-S vaccine or two doses of BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, ChAdOx1-S or inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine. The outcomes were humoral and adaptive immune responses (proportion of subjects with positive titers of antibody anti-SARS-CoV-2 spike protein and anti-SARS-CoV-2 cellular responses, respectively).

Results We included 89 records reporting data from 30,183 subjects. The overall seropositive rate within the first month after complete anti-SARS-CoV-2 prime-vaccination was 80% [95% confidence interval (CI), 72–86%], 60% (95%CI, 53–67%) in patients with hematological malignancies (HM) versus 94% (95%CI, 88–97%) in patients with solid malignancies (SM). The diagnosis of HM was significantly associated with a lower seropositive rate on multivariate meta-regression (odds ratio 0.35, 95% CI 0.18–0.69, HM versus both, \( p = 0.002 \)). The overall humoral response was 49% (95% CI, 42–56%) after incomplete prime-vaccination and 79% (95% CI, 70–86%) at 2 months after complete prime-vaccination. These responses were also lower in patients with HM at these time points. The overall cellular response rate at any time after vaccination was 61% (95% CI, 44–76%).

Conclusion This meta-analysis provides compelling evidence of humoral and adaptive immune responses against SARS-CoV-2 in patients with cancer, which last for at least 2 months following complete prime-vaccination.
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Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic has been the cause of millions of deaths worldwide (World Health Organization 2022a). Patients with cancer are at increased risk of complications and death from SARS-CoV-2 infection (Saini et al. 2020). Therefore, vaccination is critical to prevent severe COVID-19 in this vulnerable population. Several vaccines based on different platforms have been approved (World Health Organization 2022). This systematic review and meta-analysis aims to evaluate the immune response to anti-SARS-CoV-2 prime-vaccination in patients with cancer.

Methods

We performed a systematic literature search using PubMed, Embase, and Cochrane Library until 28/09/2021, and conference proceedings from ASCO and ESMO 2021 annual meetings. The search string included “cancer” AND “anti-SARS-CoV-2 vaccination”. We included observational or interventional studies, including subjects ≥ 16 years old with cancer diagnosis and vaccinated against SARS-CoV-2, and reporting data of at least one of this meta-analysis’ endpoints. Studies were excluded if ≥ 10% of the participants had other causes of immunosuppression, baseline anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies, or history of previous SARS-CoV-2 infection. For records of the same study with superimposable populations for the same study endpoint, we excluded the record with the smaller population size. The primary objective was to evaluate the humoral immune response against SARS-CoV-2 within the first month (≤ 4 weeks) after complete anti-SARS-CoV-2 prime-vaccination in patients with cancer. Prime-vaccination was defined as one dose of Ad26.COV2-S vaccine or two doses of BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, ChAdOx1-S or inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine. Secondary objectives included humoral immune responses against SARS-CoV-2: after incomplete prime-vaccination, at two (> 4 weeks to 3 months), four (> 3–5 months), six (> 5–7 months), and any time after prime-vaccination. As exploratory objective we assessed the adaptive immune response against SARS-CoV-2 at any time after vaccination.

We calculated the combined overall immune responses rate per each time point by considering a meta-analysis of immune response rates. A random-effects estimation model was used to estimate combined proportions with 95% confidence intervals (CI) due to large heterogeneity between studies, as evaluated by the Higgins I² index. The combined proportion was estimated overall, and stratified by cancer type. Meta-regression was performed for the primary endpoint, using a random intercept logistic regression model with the following variables: age, sex, cancer type, disease status, and cancer treatment. The packages meta and metafor were used for all analyses in R version 4.1.2.

Results

A total of 89 records were included in this systematic review, reporting data from 30,183 subjects (Fig. 1). Forty-seven studies included only patients with hematological malignancies (HM) (n = 9141), while 24 studies measured the immune response only in patients with solid malignancies (SM) (n = 7229). The other 18 studies evaluated patients from both cancer type subgroups (n = 13,813). The main characteristics of the included studies are summarized in Table S1.

The overall seropositive rate within the first month after anti-SARS-CoV-2 prime-vaccination was 80% (95% CI, 72–86%) (30 studies, n = 4113 patients). There was a large heterogeneity between the included studies (I² = 95%), with response rates ranging from 42 to 99%. Stratification by cancer type revealed 60% (95% CI, 53–67%) seropositive rate within the first month in patients with HM (13 studies, n = 1486 patients) and 94% (95% CI, 88–97%) in patients with SM (9 studies, n = 1804 patients) (Fig. 2B). Eight studies (n = 823 patients) including both subgroups of patients with HM and with SM, reported an overall seropositive rate of 81% (95% CI, 72–88%) within the first month after prime-vaccination. Only one study assessed the efficacy of inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine in patients with SM, showing a lower seropositive rate of 64% (95% CI, 49–77%) (Karacin et al. 2021). In a meta-regression including all studies reporting this endpoint (30 studies), only the diagnosis of HM was significantly associated with lower seropositive rate: odds ratio (OR) 0.35 (95% CI, 0.18–0.69) of HM versus both (p value = 0.002), OR 3.02 (95% CI, 1.34–6.80) of SM versus both (p value = 0.007).

The humoral response rates after incomplete prime-vaccination were 49% (95% CI, 42–56%) for the overall population (38 studies, n = 4154 patients) and 51% (95% CI, 39–63%) for the studies including both subgroups of patients (8 studies, n = 1241 patients), while 42% (95% CI, 34–51%) and 60% (95% CI, 46–72%) for studies including only patients with HM (20 studies, n = 1350 patients) and SM (10 studies, n = 1563 patients), respectively (Fig. 2A). At 2 months after prime-vaccination, the overall seropositive rate was 79% (95% CI, 70–86%) (11 studies, n = 1885 patients), 71% (95% CI, 60–81%) in patients with HM (7 studies, n = 992 patients) as compared to 92% (95% CI, 87–95%) among patients with SM (2 studies, n = 183 patients).
patients) (Fig. 2C). Only two studies (n = 710 patients) including both subgroups of patients reported 81% (95% CI, 77–85%) seropositive rate at two months after vaccination. In Fig. 2E, we describe the estimated seropositive rates at each time point by cancer type. Two studies including only patients with SM reported a seropositive rate of 87% (Eliakim-Raz et al. 2021) and 79% (Waldhorn et al. 2021) at four (n = 95 patients) and six months (n = 154 patients), respectively. The overall humoral response against SARS-CoV-2 at any time after prime-vaccination was 78% (95% CI, 73–82%) (64 studies, n = 10,511 patients).

The overall cellular response rate at any time after vaccination was 61% (95% CI, 44–76%) (8 studies, n = 664 patients), 59% (95% CI, 38–77) in patients with HM (7 studies, n = 444 patients), and 68% (95% CI, 50–83) in those with SM (4 studies, n = 179 patients) (Fig. 2D).

**Discussion**

To our knowledge, this is the largest systematic review and meta-analysis assessing immunogenicity of anti-SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in patients with cancer. With a high number of studies included, we reduced the impact of significant heterogeneity between published studies, permitting improved discrimination of the seropositive rate at specific time points after prime-vaccination. We also add more robust data on cellular immune responses. Our study estimates that only half of the patients with cancer seroconvert after incomplete prime-vaccination, whereas eight out of ten fully vaccinated patients are seropositive in the first month following prime-vaccination. These findings are in line with previous reports of smaller systematic reviews (Corti et al. 2021; Tran et al. 2021; Becerril-Gaitan et al. 2022); however, our meta-regression provides stronger evidence that patients with HM have a weaker humoral responses after SARS-CoV-2 prime-vaccination, potentially due to malignancy-associated lymphoid imbalances. This may principally reflect B-cell malignancies, such as B-cell non-Hodgkin Lymphoma and Chronic Lymphocyte Leukemia.
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HM subgroups that seem to develop lower seropositive rates (Terpos et al. 2021). Moreover, the use of B-cell-depleting therapies is also described to negatively impact the humoral immune response to anti-SARS-CoV-2 vaccination, as demonstrated by the study with the largest subgroup of patients with HM actively treated with anti-CD20 included in our meta-analysis, in which only 7% of the patients were seropositive after prime-vaccination (Perry et al. 2021). On the other way, in this meta-analysis, we demonstrate that cellular immune responses were detected in approximately two-thirds of patients with HM, similar to patients with SM. For both cancer types, the seropositive rate remains stable at 2 months after prime-vaccination. Interestingly, for HM, the humoral response rate seems higher at two months following prime-vaccination, suggesting that these patients may have a delayed seroconversion. These data may argue in favor of a delayed booster for both tumor types beyond the two months following prime-vaccination. Long-term humoral and cellular immune responses after prime-vaccination and subsequent booster doses of anti-SARS-CoV-2 vaccination are currently being investigated (NCT05075538), and should contribute, together with data from this meta-analysis, in guiding future decisions on the optimal vaccination boost periodicity for patients with cancer.

Conclusion

This meta-analysis provides compelling evidence of humoral and adaptive immune responses against SARS-CoV-2 in patients with cancer, supporting the efficacy of this intervention in this vulnerable population. The humoral responses last for at least two months after prime-vaccination, even in patients with HM who show lower initial humoral response within the first month, despite similar adaptive immune responses.
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