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ABSTRACT: Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) are a class of porous materials with immense chemical tunability derived from their organic and inorganic building blocks. Presynthetic approaches have been used to construct tailor-made MOFs, but with a rather restricted functional group scope limited by the typical MOF solvothermal synthesis conditions. Postsynthetic modification (PSM) of MOFs has matured into an alternative strategy to broaden the functional group scope of MOFs. PSM has many incarnations, but two main avenues include (1) covalent PSM, in which the organic linkers of the MOF are modified with a reagent resulting in new functional groups, and (2) coordinative PSM, where organic molecules containing metal ligating groups are introduced onto the inorganic secondary building units (SBUs) of the MOF. These methods have evolved from simple efforts to modifying MOFs to demonstrate proof-of-concept, to becoming key synthetic tools for advancing MOFs for a range of emerging applications, including selective gas sorption, catalysis, and drug delivery. Moreover, both covalent and coordinative PSM have been used to create hierarchical MOFs, MOF-based porous liquids, and other unusual MOF materials. This Outlook highlights recent reports that have extended the scope of PSM in MOFs, some seminal reports that have contributed to the advancement of PSM in MOFs, and our view on future directions of the field.

1. INTRODUCTION

Since their discovery over two decades ago, metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) have garnered significant interest due to their inherently crystalline, periodic structures and high surface areas.1 MOFs are composed of inorganic metal centers, often referred to as secondary building units (SBUs), linked together by multtopic organic linkers to form uniform two- or three-dimensional frameworks (Figure 1).1,2 The key distinction between MOFs and other porous inorganic materials that predate them (e.g., zeolites) is that MOFs are composed of components, both organic and inorganic, that are highly tunable.1,2,4 In particular, the organic component of MOFs opened an avenue for tailoring these materials with functional groups that could enhance their properties for a range of applications including gas storage, drug delivery, molecular separations, and catalysis.3–10

An examination of some of the earlier reports on MOFs from Yaghi and co-workers shows that functional groups were incorporated into the canonical IRMOF-1 (IRMOF = isoreticular MOF, a.k.a. MOF-$\delta$) material.11 IRMOFs were synthesized using a Zn$^{2+}$ metal source and benzene dicarboxylic acid (H$_2$bdc) ligand; these ligands could be derivatized with amino, halide, aromatic, and alkyl groups. This early report inspired the synthesis of other MOFs with the same H$_2$bdc linkers, but using different metal sources, such as Zr$^{4+}$, Al$^{3+}$, and Cr$^{3+}$.12–15 For example, the Zr$^{4+}$-based MOF, designated as UiO-66 (Zr$_4$O$_8$(OH)$_4$(bdc)$_6$, UiO = University of Oslo, Figure 1), is one of the most commonly used MOFs due its high chemical stability and has been prepared from a wide range of H$_2$bdc derivatives.12 Inclusion of functional groups on linkers has the ability to tailor MOF properties; however, the scope of organic molecules that can be included presynthetically (particularly during these earlier reports) was rather limited.11,15 The syntheses of MOFs, including IRMOFs and UiO-66, occur under solvothermal conditions at high temperatures (80–120 °C) in low-vapor-pressure solvents such as DMF (DMF = N,N-dimethylformamide) or DEF (DEF = N,N-diethylformamide). Sometimes modulators are added to target specific sizes, morphologies, or defect densities in the resulting crystallites.12,16–19 Under these empirically derived reaction conditions, the diversity of functional groups that could be incorporated into MOFs was limited and
included only those that could tolerate these somewhat
stringent synthetic conditions. To expand the scope and
versatility of functional groups that can be introduced into
MOFs, postsynthetic modification (PSM) was developed
and eventually became widely adopted in the field. To
successfully achieve PSM, it is essential that the MOF does not
degradate during the reaction and maintains its structure,
crystallinity, and porosity. This key criteria of PSM on MOFs has parallels
in bioorthogonal chemistry that require judicious selection of
reagents, reaction conditions, etc. to perform chemical
transformations without harming or otherwise damaging
biomolecules or living cells.20,21 The focus of this Outlook is
to highlight methods in which PSM of MOFs, both covalently
and coordinatively, has served as an enabling technology to
advance the function of these porous materials.

To expand the scope and versa-
tility of functional groups that
Figure 2. Illustrative schematic of MOFs and PSM. Depiction of covalent PSM using reactive groups on the MOF linker (blue spheres representing
amine groups) for modification by an organic reagent (blue acid chloride reagent). Depiction of coordinative PSM using a coordinating organic
century to generally depict an extended MOF crystallite and is not only used to represent the UiO-66 framework. Green
polyhedra represent Zr4+ ions, red spheres O atoms, and silver sticks C bonds.

The earliest examples of covalent PSM were demonstrated
by Lee and Kim over two decades ago on MOF-like
coordination solids.22,23 In 2007, nearly a decade after these
earliest reports, Cohen and co-workers described the concept
of “postsynthetic modification”,24 and PSM was revived,
popularized, and greatly expanded as a synthetic method by
many research groups.24−26 In a rudimentary example of PSM,
amine functional groups on IRMOF-3 (IRMOF-3 is an amine-
functionalized version of IRMOF-1) were combined
with acetic anhydride to generate acetamide groups on the MOF
linkers. In this fashion, covalent PSM was defined as a reaction
between a functional group (amine) on the MOF linker and a
reagent (Figure 2). Covalent PSM allowed for MOFs to be
functionalized with reagents that could alter the characteristics
of the resulting materials, including changes in hydrophobicity,
hydrophilicity, catalytic behavior, and others.27,28 Ultimately,
the 2007 report by Cohen and co-workers ignited several
efforts to perform organic chemistry on MOFs through PSM
to produce MOFs with diverse functional groups
through the use of single or multistep reactions. Importantly,
in many cases, these functional groups could not be introduced
into the MOF presynthetically.29−32 Covalent PSM has now
become a reliable, and indeed commonplace method for
functionalizing MOFs to produce porous materials with a rich
array of properties and characteristics.
While covalent PSM is a useful approach for tailoring MOFs, related methods that focus on the MOF SBU instead of the organic linker have also been explored. In particular, coordinative PSM is a method that attaches organic molecules to the MOF SBUs to tailor their properties (Figure 2). Starting from simple solvation and desolvation observations of SBU metal sites, this PSM approach has become mainstream as a method for modifying MOFs. During the revival of PSM in the late-2000s, typical coordinative PSM strategies focused on using amines to coordinate to unsaturated sites in SBUs. More recently, coordinative PSM with other ligating molecules such as carboxylates and phosphates has proven effective in decorating the surface of MOF crystallites with polymers or biomacromolecules such as nucleic acids or lipids. This surface coordinative PSM approach focuses on modifying the macroscopic material properties of the MOF, while maintaining the unique framework MOF characteristics such as porosity, crystallinity, etc. resulting in unusual MOF-based materials, such as MOF–polymer hybrids and porous liquids. PSM has been extensively reviewed elsewhere, and the focus of this Outlook is to examine how covalent and coordinative PSM has enabled the advancement of novel MOF materials for emerging applications. We also offer insights on the future of PSM and the impact that these methods may have on the ongoing uses and applications of MOFs.

PSM has been extensively reviewed elsewhere, and the focus of this Outlook is to examine how covalent and coordinative PSM has enabled the advancement of novel MOF materials for emerging applications.

2. COVALENT POSTSYNTHETIC MODIFICATION FOR THE SYNTHESIS OF MOF–POLYMER HYBRID MATERIALS

Frequently, covalent PSM has been achieved through the use of amine-, aldehyde-, or azide-derivatized organic linkers, as these moieties are quite reactive and can be targeted with reasonable selectivity using specific reagents. The installation of these functional groups has led to the discovery of MOFs with interesting catalytic, gas separation, hydrophilic, and hydrophobic properties. More recently, covalent PSM has been used for the hybridization of MOFs with polymers to produce materials that contain the desired properties of MOFs (e.g., porosity, catalysis, etc.) in a more flexible polymer form factor. The motivation behind much of this work is to design a functional hybrid material, improve the processability of MOFs, and thereby bring MOFs closer to real-world applications. In this realm, PSM has played an important role in synthesizing MOFs that can undergo covalent bond formation with monomers to form a polymer matrix and bridge the MOF–polymer interface. The hybridization of MOFs and polymers has been extensively reviewed; in this section, the use of covalent PSM as an enabling technology to develop MOF–polymer hybrid materials is discussed.

More recently, covalent PSM has been used for the hybridization of MOFs with polymers to produce materials that contain the desired properties of MOFs (e.g., porosity, catalysis, etc.) in a more flexible polymer form factor.

The synthesis of MOF–polymer hybrid materials has been achieved via several synthetic methods. In the simplest scenario, a physical mixture of the MOF and polymer component have been mechanically combined into a heterogeneous material which can be described as a mixed matrix membrane (MMM). The application of MMMs has centered around gas separations, liquid separations, and water purification, among others. Limitations on the performance of MMMs often arise at the MOF–polymer interface, where the MOF and polymer form macrovoids, or gaps at the interface, which diminish the performance of MMMs. To address this limitation, materials with covalent linkages between the MOF and polymer component have been prepared. In 2015, and co-workers developed the concept of postsynthetic polymerization (PSP). In this report, PSM was first conducted on UiO-66-NH2 by introducing methacrylic anhydride to install methacrylamide handles on amine groups of the MOF (UiO-66-NH2-Met). Subsequently, the methacrylamide handles on the MOF served as a polymerization point for butyl methacrylate (BMA), which was introduced in the presence of a photoinitiator (phenyl- (2,4,6-trimethylbenzoyl)phosphine oxide) and UV light, resulting in a cross-linked MOF–polymer hybrid material. The covalently linked MOF–polymer hybrid material displayed significantly better separation of toxic heavy metals from aqueous solutions than physically blended MOF–polymer controls. This report prompted the development of materials that covalently tether MOFs and polymers to form hybrid materials and also motivated the use of PSM to tether polymerizable groups to the MOF. Several reports followed this paper, highlighting the effectiveness of PSP for preparing MOF–polymer hybrids, such as MMMs.

Using a combined PSM and PSP strategy, and co-workers developed an MOF–nylon hybrid material. In this study, UiO-66-NH2 was suspended in ethyl acetate, and an excess of adipoyl chloride was added via PSM, forming an amide bond at the MOF surface while still maintaining a highly reactive acyl chloride tail (Figure 3). The ethyl acetate suspension was then layered on an aqueous solution containing hexamethylene diamine. At the liquid–liquid interface, polymerization occurred resulting in the formation of an MOF–nylon hybrid material. The formation of this material was entirely enabled by the initial amide formation via the PSP step that occurs in situ allowing for the interfacial polymerization to occur. Importantly, nylons are ubiquitously used in textiles fibers and when combined with MOFs present a unique opportunity to develop MOF-based fabrics.
polymer (Jeffamine T3000) was then introduced, and the NCS handles on the MOF form a thiourea linkage (Figure 3). Upon the addition of a benzene diisothiocyanate the MOF particles were cross-linked into an MOF−polymer hybrid material (Figure 3). To demonstrate the utility of this PSP process, these materials were spray coated onto Nyco (nylon and cotton blended textile) fibers. The covalently linked spray coating showed excellent adhesion to the Nyco fibers, whereas a noncovalent control spray coating (unfunctionalized UiO-66) exhibits clear phase separation between the MOF and polymer component. The durability of these spray coated fibers was analyzed using a laundry simulation test, and the covalently linked fibers indicated better retention of the MOF versus the noncovalent control. Both the nylon and PTU MOF hybrid materials were also tested for their catalytic activity against organophosphorous chemical warfare agents (CWAs), as Zr⁴⁺-based MOFs have shown promise for the catalytic degradation of CWAs. To achieve this, covalent PSP was performed on azide groups of the AzTPDC linker with a tetra-alkynyl cross-linker through a click reaction. The cross-linked network was then subjected to acid treatment resulting in degradation of the Zn³⁺-based SBUs. The resulting amorphous gel swelled upon exposure to solvent yet maintained its faceted shape (originating from the MOF crystal morphology) after acid treatment. This clever...
approach provided a new method for synthesizing MOF-templated polymers through simple modulation of covalent PSM in conjunction with MOF stability. These studies show that PSM has been widely applied for developing MOF−polymer hybrid materials and MOF-templated polymeric gels. PSM has also played a role in many other parts of MOF chemistry, include the design of novel MOFs with highly tailored pores.

3. COVALENT POSTSYNTHETIC MODIFICATION FOR THE SYNTHESIS OF HIERARCHAL MOFS

Over the years, PSM has also been used to develop unique MOFs with varying pore sizes and environments. In this section, we will highlight these materials, referred to as hierarchal MOFs, that often contain both micro- and mesopores or ligands with multiple functional groups to diversify the pore environment. One of the motivations for synthesizing hierarchal MOFs is to improve mass transport. With respect to using MOFs for catalytic applications, mass transport is crucial to ensure efficient catalysis that is not limited by this parameter. With the presence of mesopores, substrates can easily penetrate throughout the MOF, thereby facilitating mass transport and ensuring effective utilization of internal active sites. Several reports have targeted such structures through presynthetic routes by synthesizing mixed ligand or multivariate (MTV) MOFs with varying linker lengths; polyMOFs have also been a strategy to generate mesoporosity.57–61 Presynthetic approaches to MTV MOFs have displayed interesting synergistic properties by creating MOFs with various mixtures of functionalized organic linkers.58–62 Postsynthetic approaches for precisely altering the pore environment of MOFs have also been utilized and have demonstrated excellent synthetic control.62–70 One of the first postsynthetic methods for creating hierarchal pores used molecules to etch away either MOF SBUs or linkers and create mesoporosity within the structure.71–73 In one example, Kim and co-workers use hydrolysis to partially degrade SBUs in an Y3+-based MOF.71 By exposing the MOF to water postsynthetically, hydrolysis occurred at some MOF SBU sites resulting in etching that creates mesoporosity in the MOF, while partially maintaining microporosity. The degree of hydrolysis occurring at the SBUs was tuned by adjusting both the time of water exposure to the MOF as well as the temperature of the water. Using the same concept, Zhou and co-workers utilized a technique which they term “labilization” on MTV MOFs that contain a mixture of robust and labile linkers.74–77 In one report, two linkers of the same length were used to synthesize a mixed ligand Zr4+-based MOF.74 An imine-based ligand, 4-carboxy-benzylidene-4-aminobenzate, was selected as the labile linker whereas an azobenzene linker (azobenzene-4,4′-dicarboxylate) was selected as the robust linker. Upon treatment with acid, the MOF remains intact; however, the imine linker cleaves into an aminobenzoic acid and a
formylbenzoic acid. As a result, defects are created in the MOF, and mesoporosity and microporosity are observed by N2 gas sorption analysis in the resulting MOF. More recently, the same group used photolytic techniques to remove labile linkers from MTV MOFs. A UiO-66 framework containing about 5% of a photolabile porphyrin linker TCCP (TCCP = tetrakis(4-carboxyphenyl)porphyrin) could be cleaved upon irradiation with 405 nm laser light resulting in the creation of defect sites and generating mesoporosity (while maintaining microporosity).

Drawing inspiration from the aforementioned MOF-templated gels, Zhou and co-workers utilized a modular programing approach to develop hierarchal MOF–polymer hybrid materials. Computational modeling was used to judiciously select MOFs for their stability or chemical reactivity toward different types of guest molecules or stimuli. The authors sought to identify distinct MOFs that responded independently to a guest or stimulus without affecting other MOFs in the system. For example, a mixture of Zr4+-based MOFs that are stable in acidic environment and Zn2+-based MOFs that are unstable in acidic environments would behave independently when exposed to acid. Computational methods were also used to predict the order in which the MOFs should be synthesized based on metal–ligand bond strengths. Using this approach, a Zr4+-based MOF, PCN-222 (PCN = porous coordination network), was first synthesized under solvothermal conditions. Using the PCN-222 MOF as a nucleation site, HKUST-1 (HKUST = Hong Kong University of Science and Technology) was grown from PCN-222, forming a hetero-MOF structure (PCN-222@HKUST-1). Subsequently, this hetero-MOF structure was used as a nucleation site to grow the aforementioned Zn-AzTPDC MOF, which forms as an external shell on the composite system (PCN-222@HKUST-1)@Zn-AzTPDC (Figure 4). Using the same strategy reported by Sada and co-workers, the Zn-AzTPDC MOF shell was then cross-linked with a tetra-alkynyl molecule through PSM to form an MOF–polymer composite (Figure 4). Upon treatment of the entire hetero-MOF assembly with acid, the Zn-AzTPDC and HKUST-1 frameworks decomposed, but the acid stable PCN-222 remains intact, giving an MOF–polymer hybrid material (Figure 4). The resulting MOF–polymer composite contained small pores as a function of the intact PCN-222 and larger voids as a result of the decomposed HKUST-1. Synthetic control of this system was demonstrated through the formation of isolated domains in the hetero-MOF structures in the form of core–shell or Janus-type particles. This study presents a new approach for developing hierarchal MOF systems with targeted morphologies, domains, and voids using a postsynthetic strategy.

4. COORDINATIVE POSTSYNTHETIC MODIFICATION FOR THE SYNTHESIS OF MOF-BASED POROUS LIQUIDS

In the prior sections, various ways that the MOF organic linkers can be manipulated via covalent PSM have been described. Another method for performing PSM on MOFs focuses on modification at the SBU. As metal clusters, MOF SBUs provide a platform for PSM through the use of a coordination chemistry route (Figure 2). Historically speaking, coordinative PSM was first observed through the coordination of solvent molecules to MOFs that contain open metal sites at the SBUs. The field subsequently moved toward the tethering of amine or pyridine-based linkers to the MOF SBUs, and several reports were able to demonstrate MOFs with uniquely tuned properties. One direction in coordinative PSM has shifted toward using chelating molecules, such as carboxylates and phosphates, to selectively decorate the surface of MOF particles. Surface functionalization of MOFs is at times preferable to avoid permanent alteration of the entire framework that could result in decreased porosity.

This approach typically results in hetero core–shell type MOF structures where the core of the particle displays typical MOF characteristics while the shell of the particle alters the bulk properties of the material. A common strategy in developing the core–shell MOF structure through PSM is by introducing a coordinative molecule attached to a larger macromolecule that is unable to penetrate the MOF pore. In this section, coordinative PSM and the enabling of the development of MOF-based porous liquids will be discussed.

Step growth polymerization methods using PSP to achieve highly functional MOF materials were discussed above. While step growth polymerizations from MOF surfaces are useful, more elaborate polymer grafting methods have also been used with MOFs to grow long, dense polymer chains. These approaches include living polymerization techniques such as ring-opening metathesis polymerization (ROMP) and atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP). Using ROMP or ATRP, MOFs can be densely coated with polymer chains to
shield the MOF exterior and allow for polymer interactions to dominate the bulk material properties. Recently, some very elegant work has been accomplished by Li and co-workers, where they developed a generalizable method for grafting polymers from MOF surfaces. In this report, a random copolymer containing multiple carboxylate moieties, as well as multiple bromoisobutyrate (BiB) groups (a common ATRP initiator), was attached to the MOF surface through coordination of the carboxylate groups. Subsequently, additional monomer and cross-linking agents were added to the mixture, and dense polymer chains were grown using surface-initiated ATRP (SI-ATRP). The versatility of this method was demonstrated using MOFs containing five different metals (Zr4+, Zn2+, Co2+, Al3+, and Cr3+) at the SBU.

This surface grafting approach has recently played a role in developing a new class of porous liquids (PLs) using MOFs. The idea of combining solid cages or frameworks with liquids to form a PL was first explored by Cooper and co-workers through the melting of alkylated organic cages. Simple physical blends, other porous frameworks, such as porous carbons, porous silica, and ZIFs (ZIF = zeolitic imidazolate framework, a subclass of MOFs), have also been used to achieve PLs. It is important to note that the synthesis of PLs requires judicious selection of framework and solvent so that the solvent is not able to penetrate into the framework pore and reduce absorption capacity. Li and co-workers used coordinative PSM to chemically tune a series of UiO-66 MOFs by attaching a PDMS (PDMS = poly-(monomethacryloxypropyl-terminated polydimethylsiloxane)) shell on the MOF through SI-ATRP (Figure 5). The grafted polymer shell on the MOF surface permits the MOF to behave more like a polymer. Selection of PDMS was crucial in the formation of PLs as the polymer has a relatively low melting point and is liquid at room temperature even at high molecular weights. PDMS also displays weak interactions with gaseous molecules allowing for mass transport of molecules into the MOF pores. Characterization via TEM (TEM = transmission electron microscopy) and EDX (EDX = energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy) imaging clearly indicates the presence of a thin polymer corona around the MOF particles. The surface coated MOF particles were then mixed with free PDMS polymer at varying ratios resulting in the formation of a colloidal stable, homogeneous PL. Gas sorption measurements using CO2, N2, Xe, and H2O indicate that the MOF-based PLs maintain porosity relatively well. To further demonstrate the generalizability of this method, a mesoporous MOF, MIL-101(Cr3+), was used to synthesize a PL. The use of mesoporous MOFs for PLs is challenging as the PDMS solvent can penetrate into and block the large pores. To circumvent this, a branched polymer (“star PDMS”) was synthesized to avoid pore blockage of the MIL-101(Cr3+). This result demonstrates the ability to synthesize new age MOF-based materials through the use of coordinative PSM.

5. COORDINATIVE POSTSYNTHETIC MODIFICATION FOR THE SYNTHESIS OF BIOMOLECULAR MOFS

As discussed above, coordinative PSM has shown promise in decorating MOFs with densely grafted polymeric chains. Similarly, coordinative PSM has also played a critical role for attaching biomolecules to the surface of MOFs, including lipids and nucleic acids. Phosphate groups connected to molecules or biomolecular precursors have been used to immobilize these molecules on the MOF surface. Lin and co-workers initially developed a method to tether phospholipids to the surface of nanoscale coordination polymers (NCPs) through coordinative PSM for drug delivery applications.

In one approach, two steps of PSM were conducted, where in the first step phospholipids were coordinated to the NCPs through the phosphate head, and a subsequent step of PSM saw formation of a bilayer on the MOF surface as a function of favorable tail interactions. These lipid bilayers allowed for the nanoparticles to move through the cell membrane and deliver a commonly known antitumor drug, cisplatin, directly to the tumor sites in mice. Drawing inspiration from this study, other biomolecules were attached to the surface of nanoparticles through coordinative PSM. As it pertains to MOFs, Mirkin and co-workers have played a pioneering role in attaching biomolecules to MOF particles. In one report, phospholipids (Figure 6), 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphate (DOPA), were tethered to the surface of three Zr4+-based MOFs (UiO-66, UiO-67, and BUT-30). PXRD of the MOFs after coordinative PSM showed that crystallinity was maintained suggesting that the coordination occurred at the surface and the phosphate did not displace structural MOF carboxylate linkers. Gas sorption measurements showed that porosity was maintained in all of these materials after functionalization, providing evidence that coordinative PSM only occurs at the surface of these MOFs. Moreover, a dye labeled DOPA molecule was also used to identify whether the coordinative bond occurs at the surface or throughout the
MOF. Using UV–vis absorption spectroscopy it was verified that the coordination of the phosphate groups occurs only at the surface SBUs of the MOF. The DOPA coordinated MOF particles were shown to form stable colloids in organic solvents. To analyze the hydrophilic and hydrophobic MOF bulk properties, an experiment was conducted where unfunctionalized MOFs and lipid-functionalized MOFs were introduced to a CHCl₃/H₂O solvent mixture. After rigorously agitating the MOF CHCl₃/H₂O solution, lipid surface coated particles were pulled into the organic phase, while unfunctionalized MOF particles resided in the aqueous layer due to their inherently hydrophilic nature.

Mirkin and co-workers also used coordinative PSM to attach phosphate-modified nucleic acids to the surface of MOFs. Oligonucleotides with terminal phosphate ligands were shown to coordinate to the SBU of nine different MOFs with a variety of metals (Zr⁴⁺, Fe³⁺, Cr³⁺, and Al³⁺). All MOFs were characterized before and after PSM and displayed a dense surface coating of DNA. Importantly, crystallinity and porosity of the particles were maintained after coordinative PSM. Moreover, gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) were surface coated with a complementary DNA strand, while unfunctionalized AuNP particles resided in the aqueous layer due to their inherently hydrophilic nature.

Figure 7. Scheme for the design of DNA-functionalized MOFs through coordinative PSM. Single-stranded DNA with phosphate coordinating groups was tethered to the MOF via the SBUs. Subsequently, with the addition of AuNPs surface coated with a complementary DNA strand, MOF particles are assembled around the AuNP as a function of the double helix formation.

MOFs have emerged in the literature in recent years. Covalent PSM of MOFs has enabled the development of interesting MOF–polymer hybrid materials. These composites are significant advancements in developing MOF-based textiles, gels, membranes, and other functional materials. Furthermore, through judicious tailoring of MOFs via covalent PSM, hierarchal MOF structures with highly engineered, tunable, and variable pore environments have been achieved. Methods in which PSM has been applied to MOFs through a coordinative route at the SBUs have also proven to be a useful approach. Coordinative PSM has been used to develop novel MOF-based porous liquids using a polymer surface coating on the MOF. This surface coating strategy was also used for tethering biomolecules to MOFs, such as nucleic acids, which have been used to drive self-assembly of MOFs with other particles or to facilitate targeted drug delivery.

The synthesis and study of MOFs have expanded rapidly over the past two decades. As the field enters its third decade, new approaches and significant advancements are expected in developing MOFs into advanced materials for use in commercial products. Realization of MOFs in these applications will require the synthesis of MOFs at-scale and the formation of robust frameworks. We anticipate that just as the synthesis of MOFs at industrial scales has now begun, their modification by PSM and related strategies will also become part of the preparation and functionalization of MOFs on large scales. At scale, PSM may prove to be of greater value for MOFs that will be used in more specialized applications. However, the high yields and facile purification of MOF materials after PSM may result in even wider adoption of these synthetic approaches as the industrial scale production of MOFs matures. Moreover, scaling of PSM will require implementation of green chemistry principles. In order for MOFs to make an impact as new commercial materials, they will be more widely adopted if they provide an environmentally friendly alternative to already established processes or materials. The ability of PSM to endow MOFs with greater stability, processability, and functionality will likely make this synthetic method indispensable for the development of MOFs for commercial applications.

6. CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

A number of elegant and creative synthetic approaches for tuning MOFs through both covalent and coordinative PSM have emerged in the literature in recent years. Covalent PSM of MOFs has enabled the development of interesting MOF–polymer hybrid materials. These composites are significant advancements in developing MOF-based textiles, gels, membranes, and other functional materials. Furthermore, through judicious tailoring of MOFs via coordinative PSM, hierarchal MOF structures with highly engineered, tunable, and variable pore environments have been achieved. Methods in which PSM has been applied to MOFs through a coordinative route at the SBUs have also proven to be a useful approach. Coordinative PSM has been used to develop novel MOF-based porous liquids using a polymer surface coating on the MOF. This surface coating strategy was also used for tethering biomolecules to MOFs, such as nucleic acids, which have been used to drive self-assembly of MOFs with other particles or to facilitate targeted drug delivery.

The synthesis and study of MOFs have expanded rapidly over the past two decades. As the field enters its third decade, new approaches and significant advancements are expected in developing MOFs into advanced materials for use in commercial products. Realization of MOFs in these applications will require the synthesis of MOFs at-scale and the formation of robust frameworks. We anticipate that just as the synthesis of MOFs at industrial scales has now begun, their modification by PSM and related strategies will also become part of the preparation and functionalization of MOFs on large scales. At scale, PSM may prove to be of greater value for MOFs that will be used in more specialized applications. However, the high yields and facile purification of MOF materials after PSM may result in even wider adoption of these synthetic approaches as the industrial scale production of MOFs matures. Moreover, scaling of PSM will require implementation of green chemistry principles. In order for MOFs to make an impact as new commercial materials, they will be more widely adopted if they provide an environmentally friendly alternative to already established processes or materials. The ability of PSM to endow MOFs with greater stability, processability, and functionality will likely make this synthetic method indispensable for the development of MOFs for commercial applications.
We anticipate that just as the synthesis of MOFs at industrial scales has now begun, their modification by PSM and related strategies will also become part of the preparation and functionalization of MOFs on large scales.

Since MOFs were originally reported, PSM has played a critical role in expanding the full potential of these materials. As we enter the third decade of research in MOFs, several aspects of PSM remain unexplored. In particular, gas phase PSM has not been as widely explored as solution phase methods and may provide even more efficient, green, and inexpensive routes to PSM. Reversible PSM, mediated by various stimulus mechanisms, would provide an avenue for achieving “smart” MOF materials. In conclusion, the progress of PSM in MOFs continues to be robust, and we anticipate an even brighter “Outlook” for the future implementation of postsynthetic methods in these exciting materials.
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