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Abstract

Instruction: Craniosynostosis is a human disorder characterized by the premature fusing of the cranial sutures in infants. Point mutations in hotspot genes such as FGFRs are the well-recognized causes of syndromic craniosynostosis, but chromosomal aberrations may also play an important role in developing this disease. Here, we report the case in China of a 2-year-old boy with dolichocephaly craniosynostosis. Karyotyping by both G-bind staining and array-based DNA hybridization identified microduplications on Chromosomes 8p11.22 q12.1 and 16q11.2 q21, but none of the known pathogenic mutations was detected.

Conclusions: This finding not only expands knowledge on the genetic mechanism of craniosynostosis but also provides a new target for the early diagnosis of this rare disease.

Abbreviations: OMIM = Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man, SNV = single nucleotide variant.
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1. Introduction

Three to five out of 10,000 births develop an abnormally shaped skull when the cranial bones fuse prematurely. This condition is known as craniosynostosis, which results in cosmetic problems and insufficient cranial interior space associated with neurological complications.1,2 In general, sagittal craniosynostosis accounts for approximately 60% of the frequencies of varies type of craniosynostosis.3,4 At least 57 genes, including FGFRs, TWIST1, MSX2, EFNB1, ERP, and TCF12, are reported to be associated with craniosynostosis.5–6 Besides single nucleotide variants (SNVs), a few chromosomal abnormalities have also been reported as being linked with craniosynostosis,7–9 However, these correlations were predominantly defined based on sporadic American or European cases, which can explain merely a small fraction of affected individuals.9,10 Given its highly heterogeneous and complex etiology, genetic investigations on much more cases are urgently required for grasping the entire spectrum of craniosynostosis’ disease mechanism. Here, we detail the case of a Chinese infant with dolichocephaly craniosynostosis carrying novel chromosomal microduplications.

2. Case report

A 2-year-old boy was born by caesarean section to non-consanguineous, healthy parents with no family history of abnormal head shape. The child was born at 40 weeks through a normal pregnancy procedure, and exhibited a birth weight of 3.2 kg. He was first admitted to the Qingdao Women and Children’s Hospital when he was 10 months old, manifesting clinical skull abnormalities (dolichocephaly, defined as cranial index ratio lower than 76) and weak acoustic and visual responses, although pappledema was not observed in this patient with fundus examination. He was 9.9 kg (50–60 percentiles) in weight, 72.0 cm (30–40 percentiles) in height, and 46.5 cm (50–60 percentiles) in occipitofrontal circumference. At 27 months of age, an abnormal skull formation and weak responses continued to be observed, in addition to widely spaced eyes (hypertelorism), a small lower jaw (micrognathia), protruding chest (pectus carinatum), weak muscle tone (hypotonia), and enlarged toes as well as partial syndactyly (Fig. 1).

To explore the genetic mechanisms of this disease, karyotyping on peripheral blood was performed by 2 methods: G-band staining via CytoVision GSL120 Platform and DNA hybridization via Affymetrix CytoScan 750K Array. Both methods revealed the same de novo microduplications at 8p11.22 q12.1 (39,489,479–57,610,327 bp) and 16q11.2 q21 (46,489,514–64,515,400 bp) in the chromosomes of this patient.
The former microduplication was homozygous, while the latter showed a 70% rate of mosaicism. Both of them were not detected in the patient’s parents.

Whole exome sequencing was also performed by enriching the exonic DNA via the Agilent SureSelect Human All Exome V6 kit, and then sequencing it via the Illumina Hiseq 2500 platform with an average 75X coverage. After filtering those benign SNVs by comparing against OMIM, NCBI ClinVar, and dbSNP database, a dozen homozygous nonsynonymous SNVs were identified in this patient (Table 1), which were likely pathogenic or of uncertain significance. However, none of these SNVs was located in the known genes responsible for craniosynostosis disorders, or was associated with diseases in the existing OMIM database.

3. Discussion
Rare diseases have attracted increasingly more attention from the research community, not only in regard to seeking better patient management tools such as prenatal diagnosis, but also

### Table 1
Homologous nonsynonymous single nucleotide variants identified in this patient.

| Cytoband | Gene  | Accession number | Exon | Nucleotide change | Amino acid change |
|----------|-------|------------------|------|-------------------|------------------|
| 1p36.21  | PRAMEF14 | NM_001024661 | exon4 | c.G1276A | p.D426N |
| 1p36.21  | PRAMEF19 | NM_001008090 | exon3 | c.C1280T | p.S427L |
| 1q44      | OR2T34  | NM_001001821 | exon1 | c.G800C | p.R267P |
| 10q11.22  | FRMPD2  | NM_001018071 | exon21 | c.A2620G | p.S874G |
| 12p13.31  | ZNF705A | NM_001004328 | exon5 | c.A376G | p.T126A |
| 15q24.3   | PEAK1   | NM_0024776 | exon5 | c.T1318C | p.S440P |
| 15q24.3   | PEAK1   | NM_0024776 | exon20 | c.A3851G | p.H1284R |
| 2q12.2    | RGPD3   | NM_001144013 | exon20 | c.A376G | p.R186H |
| 2q13      | RGPD5   | NM_00116463 | exon20 | c.T4622G | p.V1541G |
| 7q22.1    | MUC12   | NM_00116462 | exon2 | c.A11681C | p.K384T |
| 9p11.2    | ANKR0206A | NM_001012419 | exon15 | c.T2279C | p.T760T |
| 9p11.2    | ANKR0206A | NM_001012419 | exon15 | c.T2279C | p.T760T |
| 9p11.2    | ORNTAP3B | NM_001201380 | exon23 | c.G3741C | p.M1247I |

![Figure 1. The diagnostic features of a patient. (A) Facial photograph showing big ear and fish-shaped lips. (B) Foot anomaly. (C and D) Dolichocephaly craniosynostosis (cephalic index below 76). (E–G) Breast bone skeletal deformation (chest protrusion).](image)
for expanding understanding of the underlying mechanisms. It is important that craniosynostosis be recognized and treated because of its potential link with malfunctional sensory, respirator, and/or neurological functions. A surgical treatment is available to improve the situation for severe craniosynostosis, although some attempts of gene therapy on craniosynostosis are explored in mouse model.[11] Thus the elucidation of molecular mechanism of various craniosynostosis is crucial to guide and advance these treatments. Previous studies have identified many SNVs and a few chromosomal abnormalities which cause craniosynostosis, but the entire spectrum of craniosynostosis’ disease mechanism is far from being disclosed. Here, we have ruled out the possibilities that the known hotspot mutations resulted through deep exome sequencing in craniosynostosis. Particularly, pathogenic FGFR2 mutants (Ala344Pro, Cys342Trp, Ile617Phe, Glu731Lys, and Cys278-Phe) which were reported in other Chinese craniosynostosis patients were not detected in this patient.[12–17] Moreover, we observed novel copy number variations on this patient’s chromosomes 8 and 16 through G-bend staining and array-based DNA hybridization. These results have led us to deduce that these chromosomal variations were responsible for the subject’s phenotype, and in particular the characteristics of craniosynostosis.

A close examination of these 2 regions revealed a number of development disorder-related genes (Fig. 2). First, triple dosage of these genes may have contributed to the phenotypic characteristics of this patient. It was further noted that 8p11.22 q12.1 is merely 1 Mb downstream of FGFR1, thereby causing development of dolichocephaly craniosynostosis. Second, no literature evidence is available to support the association between duplication of this region of chromosomes 8p11.22 q12.1 (39,489,479–57,610,327bp) and 16q11.2 q21 (46,489,514–64,515,400bp) with craniosynostosis. These novel chromosomal variations not only provide fresh impetus for exploring the molecular etiology of this rare disease but also pave the way for a novel prenatal diagnosis of craniosynostosis. This finding suggests that atypical mosaic duplications in one Chinese family with Crouzon syndrome. Mol Vis 2012;18:1278–82.

4. Conclusions
We have outlined the case of a patient exhibiting dolichocephaly craniosynostosis but without the reported pathogenic gene mutations. Our findings suggest that atypical mosaic duplications in the region of chromosomes 8p11.22 q12.1 (39,489,479–57,610,327bp) and 16q11.2 q21 (46,489,514–64,515,400bp) could be responsible for the subject’s development of craniosynostosis. These novel chromosomal variations not only provide fresh impetus for exploring the molecular etiology of this rare disease but also pave the way for a novel prenatal diagnosis of craniosynostosis.
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