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Changes in the Metabolite Profile during Micropropagation of Normal and Somaclonal Variants of Banana Musa AAA cv. Williams
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Abstract: Micropropagation techniques allow the mass production of banana plants but can cause somaclonal variations such as dwarfism. Changes in the metabolite profile during micropropagation of normal (NP) and dwarf (DP) banana plants have not been described. Both, NPs and DPs of banana Musa AAA cv. Williams were micropropagated and the metabolite profile of vitroplants was assessed at the proliferation (PP), rooting (RP) and the second greenhouse-acclimatization (APII) phases of tissue culture. Metabolites from 10 DPs and 10 NPs meristems separated from NPs in RP and APII were extracted and identified by gas chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry (GC-MS). Principal component analysis (PCA) and test of statistical significance were applied to detect differentially accumulated metabolites. The PCA showed a clear grouping of DPs separated from NPs in RP and APII. Among the differentially accumulated metabolites, various precursors of apoplastic components including arabinose and galactose or deoxygalactose in both PP and RP, as well as mannose and fucose in APII were under-accumulated in DPs. Results suggest affected apoplastic composition during micropropagation of DPs.
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1. Introduction

Banana (Musa spp.) is an essential crop from socioeconomic and ecological perspectives [1]. The world production of banana fruits was 119,191.0 million tons in 2018 and Ecuador was the top exporter with a production of 6,505,000 tons (FAO, 2019). Cavendish is the most cultivated banana subgroup in the world, representing more than 40% of the global production and more than 15% of the exports [2].

In vitro propagation is an effective tool for production [3,4], conservation and use of plants [5]. Banana micropropagation consist of an in vitro cell proliferation phase (PP) followed by an in vitro rooting phase (RP) and two greenhouse acclimatization phases
However, growth conditions and material management during the in vitro phases can cause chromosomal rearrangements, loss or duplication of DNA fragments, small mutations, and DNA methylation [6] that can yield the phenotypic changes known as somaclonal variation (SV) [7]. In a broad sense SV encompasses inheritable phenotypic and genotypic variability [8,9] affecting up to 88% of banana plants produced in vitro [6,10]. Among all the SVs, dwarfism is the most common in Musa spp., affecting up to 80% of the micropropagated banana plants [11].

The type and frequency of SVs depend on the genotype of the starting material used for micropropagation. The Musa genus shows a great plurality with various levels of ploidy and genetic makeup. Therefore, diploid (AA, BB, AB), triploid (AAA, AAB, BBB) and tetraploid (AAAA, AAAB) Musa genotypes [12–14] have been reported. The commercial Cavendish banana subgroup possesses a triploid AAA genome shown to be more unstable during in vitro propagations than the AAB and ABB subgroups [11,15]. Therefore, Cavendish bananas have shown a high susceptibility to various SVs, including dwarfism which represents up to 90% of the SV in this subgroup [8]. Despite the importance of SV to the banana industry, the underlying causes of dwarfism are not fully understood.

Phenotypically, dwarf banana plants show a short height, small distance between petioles, short petiole length and low leaf index. Additionally, banana bunches and fingers from dwarf plants are smaller than those from true-to-type (normal) individuals [8]. Identification of SV before the plant reach the field can avoid economical losses. However, the recognition of phenotypic characteristics and diagnosis of dwarfism can only be achieved by trained personnel at the end of the greenhouse acclimatization phase or in the field [8]. Molecular techniques such as random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) [7,16–18] amplified fragment length polymorphisms (AFLPs) [19] and inter simple sequence repeats (ISSRs) [17,20–23] have been proposed to monitor SV in Musa. However, the relationship among the proposed molecular markers and the SVs was not described.

In general, metabolites have been more closely associated with phenotypes than genes, and metabolomics has been proposed as the link between genotypes and phenotypes [24]. Metabolomic techniques have been used to assess plant responses to biotic and abiotic stresses as well as other treatments [25–29] while gaining insights into the physiological and biochemical state of the plants under given conditions [27,30,31]. Gas chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry (GC-MS) is the most used analytical technology for metabolite profiling [30] and has allowed the diagnosis of dwarf SV in banana plants at the greenhouse phase [32]. However, metabolite changes occurring during the early stages of in vitro culture of banana plants, including PP and RP, as well as the greenhouse APII have not been reported.

The present research aimed to characterize the metabolite profile of normal and dwarf banana plants (Musa AAA subgroup Cavendish cv. Williams) during PP, RP and APII.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant Material

Meristems from Musa (AAA) Cavendish subgroup cv. Williams were obtained from two 5-year-old plantations showing genetic homogeneity and located around Guayaquil City (Ecuador). Two normal mother plants (M1 and M2) from plantation 1 were selected as the starting material for the assessment of the proliferation and rooting micropropagation phases whereas several normal mother plants from plantation 2 were used for the analysis of the acclimatization phase. The normal plants were true-to-type (with no signs of deformations or somaclonal variations) and showed no symptoms of diseases. For both plantations, apical tips from each plant were submitted to a clonal in vitro culture process that included 6 proliferation subcultures, followed by one rooting and two acclimatization
phases carried out in Sociedad Ecuatoriana de Biotecnología de la ESPOL (SEBIOCA) and CIBE facilities at ESPOL University in Guayaquil as described in Section 2.2.

For plantation 1, selected plants at the end of APII were transferred to the field to allow the growth of suckers (plants developed from the roots of a parent plant) until they could be readily identified as DP or NP (approximately one year). One NP and one DP sucker plant from M1 (and from the same 6th subculture vitroplant) as well as one DP sucker plant from M2 were then selected for further propagation. The selected sucker plants showed the same NP or DP phenotype as the corresponding field vitroplant they were attached to. Apical tips from the selected sucker plants were submitted to the micropropagation process described in Section 2.2, with only 3 proliferation subcultures at PP prior to RP and the two acclimatization phases. Random samples from PP and RP were submitted to the metabolite profiling protocol described in Section 2.4, whereas samples from APII were used for phenotype assessment as described in Section 2.3.

For plantation 2, plants at the end of APII were classified as NP or DP as described in Section 2.3. (see Supplementary Figures) and random samples were submitted to the metabolite profiling protocol described in Section 2.4. Figure 1 shows the process followed for obtaining the plant materials. The Supplementary figures show pictures of the samples at each micropropagation stage.

![Figure 1. Plant micropropagation scheme.](image)

2.2. In Vitro Establishment of Banana Plants

All the apical and sucker tips collected were washed with water and reduced to a size of 3 cm high × 3 cm diameter. The reduced tips were then immersed in a 2% chlorine solution for 20 min and rinsed by immersion in sterile water for 2 min. The 2-min rinsing step was repeated 4 times using fresh sterile water each time. Meristems were obtained by further reducing the tips to 1.5 cm height × 1.5 cm base diameter. The obtained meristematic domes were placed onto a media composed of MS [33], salts and vitamins, 1.25
mg/L kinetin, 4.0 mg/L-benzylaminopurine, 3.0 g/L sucrose and 2.0 g/L phytagel and incubated at 22–25 °C for one month with 12-h photoperiods generated by white light fluorescent tubes yielding a photosynthetic light radiation of 50 μmol m⁻²s⁻¹ [34].

Meristematic domes were then submitted to a PP. For this, the domes were divided into two halves and each half was placed onto the same MS-based culture medium described above. The propagules were then divided and subcultured onto fresh media every 30 days. A total of six subcultures were obtained from meristems of both plantations. Since sucker plants from plantation 1 underwent a second micropropagation cycle, only three proliferation subcultures were performed until sufficient plant material for analysis was obtained.

The microshoots obtained from the final subculture entered the RP. For this, the microshoots were rooted in flasks with MS [33] semisolid medium supplemented with 1.25 mg/L kinetin, 0.52 mg/L 3-indoleacetic acid, 30 g/L sucrose and 2 g/L phytagel. After a 30-day period at 22–25 °C with 12-h photoperiods, vitroplants were removed from the flasks and placed under tap water to wash out agar residues. Cleaned rooted plants were then submitted to API and APII. For this, plants were placed into plastic trays with sterile moss peat BERGER (BM2) and grown in greenhouse at 24–35 °C, 75–95% humidity and 12 h photoperiod of natural light (API). After 4 weeks, the plants were transferred to new containers with a mixture of sand, rice paddy and clay (1:2:2), and grown in the greenhouse under the same conditions as API (APII) for 24 weeks until a minimum size of about 50 cm height was reached (see Supplementary Figures).

2.3. Phenotype Analysis

The phenotype of all regenerants was analyzed at the end of APII. As all plants at APII were the same age, plants were classified as DP or NP by inspecting their height, distance between petioles, petiole length and leaf index. In general, all plants that at the end of APII were at least 10 cm shorter and possessed more rounded leaves than the average NP were classified as DP.

2.4. Metabolite Profiling

Random samples from PP, RP and APII were taken for metabolomic analysis. Each sample from PP and RP consisted of a pool of two microshots or root-deprived vitroplants from plantation 1, respectively. Each pool contained 0.7 g of the individual samples. A total of 30 pools were analyzed at each PP and RP as follows: 10 pools from normal plants (NP1–NP10) and 10 pools from dwarf plants (DP1–DP10) originating from M1 as well as additional 10 pools from dwarf vitroplants (DP11–DP20) originating from M2. APII samples consisted of individual apical meristems from 10 normal (NP1-NP10) and 10 dwarf (DP1-DP10) plants originating from plantation 2.

The metabolite extraction, detection and identification were carried out as suggested by Cevallos-Cevallos et al. [32]. Briefly, samples were frozen at −80 °C prior to grinding to a fine powder under liquid nitrogen. About 0.3 g of the powder was placed in an Eppendorf tube and 1 mL of methanol/chloroform/water solution was added to each powder in an 8:1:1 ratio and mixed. Samples were then incubated at 7 °C for 48 h and centrifuged at 15,000 rpm for 2 min. About 650 μL of the supernatant was placed in a water bath at 80 °C until dry as suggested in previous studies [35], and the metabolites were re-suspended in 150 μL of N-methyl-N-trimethylsilyl trifluoroacetamide (MSTFA) and incubated during 90 min at 80 °C. The suspension was then transferred to 500 μL inserts placed in 2 mL chromatographic vials and analyzed in a GC-MS (7890A GC system and 5975C inert XL MSD, Agilent Technologies), with a DB-5MS capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm) of phenyl methylpolysiloxane (0.25 μm film thickness) as stationary phase. The injector temperature was 250 °C, the initial oven temperature was maintained at 80 °C for 1 min, the temperature gradient was 7 °C/min and the final temperature was 300 °C that was maintained for 5 min. Ultra-pure helium was used as carrier gas at 1 mL/min. The GC-MS interface was set at 280 °C and the scan was recorded at a frequency of 4 Hz. The data were obtained.
using ChemStation E.02.02 software (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) and differentially accumulated metabolites were identified by matching their MS spectra using both the mass spectrometer database of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Library (NIST 11) and the Wiley Registry of mass spectral database (9th edition, Wiley 9) (McLafferty 2009). The metabolite identity was confirmed by comparing the linear retention rate of each compound with that of the pure standard using our internal database. All metabolites were quantified by estimating their individual peak area.

2.5. Data Analysis

This study followed an observational-descriptive design to assess the metabolite profile of DPs and NPs. The metabolite levels were normalized to the total area prior to alignment using the MZmine2 software [36] and the metabolite profile of DPs and NPs was compared using both multivariate and univariate statistical methods. For PP and RP, 10 replicates of each DP from M1 and M2, as well as 10 replicates from NP were used. As for APII, 9 replicates from each DP and NP were used for both multivariate and univariate comparisons.

For multivariate comparisons, principal components analysis (PCA) was carried out using XLSTAT 2019 (Addinsoft, Boston, MA, USA) on NP and DP samples originating from M1 at PP and RP whereas DP samples from M2 were included as an assessment set after PCA calculations at both phases. All NP and DP samples from APII were used for PCA.

After verifying that the data were not normal-distributed, the non-parametric U Mann-Whitney test was used for univariate comparisons to determine the differentially expressed metabolites, at a significance level of 0.05, between DPs and NPs from M1 at the PP and RP, respectively as well as all the DP and NP samples at the APII. Significant differences between the metabolite levels of DPs from M2 and NPs were also assessed.

3. Results

3.1. Phenotype Heritability

All the 3rd-subculture regenerants derived from plantation 1 showed the same phenotype of the mother field-vitroplant at APII. For M1, 13 dwarf and one undefined plant were obtained from the dwarf mother field vitroplant whereas 12 normal and 2 undefined plants were obtained from the normal mother field vitroplant. For M2, all the regenerants were DPs as was the mother field vitroplant.

3.2. Overall Metabolite Profiling

A total of 55, 27 and 40 metabolites were detected in PP, RP and APII, respectively. The PCA run using samples from PP, showed no obvious grouping of NPs and DPs from M1 but a clear separation of DPs from M2 along PC2 was evidenced. Additionally, DPs from M1 were more dispersed along both axes than NPs (Figure 2A). PC1 contributed to 20.94% of the overall variance, whereas PC2 contributed to 16.18%. The metabolites with the highest loading values in PC1 were methyl-α-D-Galactopyranoside, 2-keto-D-glucronic acid, 2-pentendioic acid, altronic acid, D-ribofuranose, D-Glucose 2-amino-2-deoxy and 2,3,4,5-Tetrahydroxypentanoic acid, D-Ribofuranose and D-Glucose, whereas PC2 showed high absolute values of methyl-α-D-Glucopyranoside, β-D-Galactofuranose, D-glucuronic acid and sebacic acid, L-(−) Sorbose, L-Glutamine, β-L-Arabinopyranose, linolenic acid, gluconic acid, propanoic acid, L-methionine and Phenylalanine (Figure 2B).
Figure 2. PCA score (A) and loading (B) plots of the metabolite profile from Musa AAA cv. Williams samples at the proliferation phase (end of 3rd subculture) coming from meristem M1 (N1—N10: normal plants, D1—D10: dwarf plants), for which the PCA was performed. Additionally, the plants M2 DP (11—19) are also visualized as an assessment set. Metabolites numbers in the loading plot correspond to Table 1.

Table 1. Differentially accumulated metabolites in dwarf plants (DF) when compared with normal plants (NP) ($p < 0.05$).

| No. | METABOLITE                              | CLASS            | Log: FC M1DP-M1NP | Log: FC M2DP-M1NP |
|-----|-----------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|     |                                         | Proliferation Phase |                  | Rooting Phase     |                   |
| 1   | Sebacic acid                            | Dicarboxylic acid | 3.520             | n.s.              |
| 2   | 2,3,4,5-Tetrahydroxypentanoic acid-1,4-lactone | Carboxylic acid | 2.304             | n.s.              |
| 3   | D-Ribofuranose                          | Monosaccharide   | 2.226             | n.s.              |
| 4   | D-Glucose                               | Monosaccharide   | −0.995            | n.s.              |
| 5   | L-(-)-Sorbose                           | Monosaccharide   | −0.908            | n.s.              |
| 6   | β-L-Arabinopyranose                     | Monosaccharide   | −0.918            | −6.252            |
| 7   | α-D-Galactopyranose                     | Monosaccharide   | −1.479            | −1.013            |
| 8   | Phenylalanine                           | Aminoacid        | −0.918            | −6.252            |
| 9   | 1,2,3-Propanetricarboxylic acid, 2-hydroxi (Citric acid) | Tricarboxylic acid | 0.954             | 0.944             |
| 10  | 2-Keto-D-gluconic acid                  | Carboxylic acid  | 2.694             | 1.898             |
| 11  | D-Psicofuranose                         | Monosaccharide   | −1.084            | −0.620            |
| 12  | D-Galactose, 2-deoxy                    | Monosaccharide   | −1.076            | −1.510            |
| 13  | D-Fructose                              | Monosaccharide   | −1.246            | NS                |
| 14  | D(-)-Fructofuranose                     | Monosaccharide   | −1.264            | UDP               |
| 15  | β-L-Arabinopyranose                     | Monosaccharide   | −1.264            | UDP               |
| 16  | β-D-Galactofuranose                     | Monosaccharide   | UNP               | n.a.              |
| 17  | Guonic acid                             | Carboxylic acid  | UNP               | n.a.              |
| 18  | D(+)-Galacturonic acid                  | Monosaccharide   | 2.852             | n.a.              |
| 19  | N-Acetyl glucosamine                    | Amino sugar      | −0.755            | n.a.              |
| 20  | D-Galactose, 2-amino-2-deoxy (D-Galactosamine) | Amino sugar | −0.969            | n.a.              |
| 21  | Mannose                                 | Monosaccharide   | −2.307            | n.a.              |
| 22  | L-6 deoxy-Galactopyranose (L-Fucopyranose) | Monosaccharide   | −3.993            | n.a.              |
| 23  | L-Glutamine                             | Aminoacid        | UDP               | n.a.              |
| 24  | α-D-Glucopyranoside, methyl             | Monosaccharide   | UDP               | n.a.              |
| 25  | Uridine                                 | Nucleoside       | UDP               | n.a.              |

The PCA run using M1 samples from RP showed a clear grouping of NPs separated from DPs along PC2. A more notorious separation of DPs from M2 was also observed along both PCs (Figure 3A). PC1 contributed to 21.70% of the overall variance whereas PC2 contributed to 17.17%. The metabolites with the lowest PC2 loadings (more abundant in DPs than in NPs) were 1,2,3-Propanetricarboxylic acid 2-hydroxi, 2-Keto-d-gluconic...
acid, Psicofuranose and Propanoic acid, whereas D-Galactose 2-deoxy, D-Fructose, D(-)-Fructofuranose and β-L-Arabinopyranose showed the highest PC2 loadings (more abundant in NPs compared to DPs) (Figure 3B).

The PCA run using APII samples showed a clear grouping of NPs and DPs on both PC1 and PC2 (Figure 4A). PC1 contributed to 19.66% of the variability while PC2 contributed to 15.16%. The loading plot showed that the metabolites in the upper-right quadrant such as β-D-Galactofuranose and D(+)-Galacturonic acid were more abundant in DPs when compared to NPs, whereas the metabolites in the bottom-right quadrant including, L-Galactopyranose 6 deoxy, methyl-α-D-Glucopyranoside, Uridine, α-D-Galactopyranose, aspartic acid, 2-amino-2-deoxy-D-galactose, L-Glutamine, N-acetylglucosamine and 2-amino-2-deoxy D-Galactose were more abundant in NPs compared to DPs (Figure 4B).

3.3. Individual Metabolites

The U Mann-Whitney test run to compare DP and NP from M1 at PP revealed that the levels of two carboxylic acids including sebacic acid and 2,3,4,5-Tetrahydroxypentanoic acid-1,4-lactone, as well as D-ribofuranose, were significantly higher in DPs when compared to NPs, whereas the levels of the monosaccharides D-Glucose, L(-)-Sorbose, β-L-Arabinopyranose and α-D-Galactopyranose were significantly reduced in DPs (Table 1). Similarly, the aminoacid Phenylalanine was only detected in NPs. When the Mann—
Whitney U test was run to compare DP from M2 versus NP from M1, two monosaccharides (β-L-Arabino pyranose and α-D-Galactopyranose) were also less abundant in DPs than in NPs (Table 1).

In the RP, the Mann—Whitney U test used to compare DPs and NPs from M1 showed that the levels of organic acids such as Propanoic, 2-Keto-D-gluconic and 1,2,3-Propanetricarboxylic acid as well as D-Psicofuranose, were significantly higher in DPs than in NPs, whereas the levels of the aldohexoses D-Fructose (including the form D-(+)-Fructofuranose) and 2-deoxy-D-Galactose as well as β-L-Arabino pyranose were significantly reduced in DPs (Table 1). The comparison between M2 DPs and M1 NPs also showed a significant increase in the levels of 2 keto-D-gluconic acid and 1,2,3-propanetricarboxylic acid 2-hydroxi in DPs in M2 DPs but D-Psicofuranose was not detected in this sample group. Similarly, the levels of 2-deoxy-D-galactose, D-Fructose and β-L-Arabino pyranose were reduced in DPs (Table 1). The comparison between M2 DPs and M1 NPs also showed a significant increase in the levels of 2 keto-D-gluconic acid and 1,2,3-propanetricarboxylic acid 2-hydroxi in DPs in M2 DPs but D-Psicofuranose was not detected in this sample group. Similarly, the levels of 2-deoxy-D-galactose, D-Fructose and β-L-Arabino pyranose were reduced in DPs (Table 1).

4. Discussion

4.1. Phenotype Analysis

As dwarfism can only be diagnosed in APII or in field plants, it is not possible to select dwarf phenotypes for analysis during the early in vitro phases of micropropagation. In this study, the phenotype of the field regenerant was always conserved by their small sucker plants. Therefore, microplants obtained from previously identified adult dwarf variants were considered as DPs at the in vitro micropropagation stages. The heritability of the phenotype was verified in the greenhouse phases, as plants in APII showed the same phenotype as their respective mother plant (see Supplementary Figures). Results suggest that various biochemical processes associated to the somaclonal variability of the plants were maintained during the micropropagation phases. In this study, differential accumulation of various metabolites was observed when comparing DPs and NPs at each micropropagation stage, suggesting a possible relationship between metabolites and phenotype, even before the plant morphology became apparent.

4.2. Overall Metabolite Profile

A total of 119 metabolites were detected in the microshoots and meristems from PP, RP and APII. A higher number of metabolites have been reported in APII banana leaves [32]. The data suggest a higher metabolic activity in banana leaves when compared to meristems or microshoots. However, as leaves are not produced at the early stages of micropropagation, meristems and meristem-derived microshoots were used in this study. Despite the low number of metabolites observed, results showed that the metabolite profile of meristems and microshoots can also be used to characterize SVs in banana plants.

The PCAs were able to clearly differentiate DPs from NPs in RP and APII, with clusters becoming more separated as the plant production phases progressed. This observation suggests that various biochemical functions were differentially activated in DPs as the vitroplants developed. In the PP, no grouping of DPs and NPs was observed but DPs were more dispersed along the score plot than NPs, indicating a high metabolic variability in proliferating DPs. However, DPs from M2 grouped separately from the rest of the samples in both PP and RP, suggesting that the selection of the mother plant affected the metabolite profile of the produced plantlets.

The differentially accumulated metabolites were carboxylic acids, monosaccharides, amino acids and amino sugars as well as one nucleoside (Table 1). In the three micropropagation phases studied, all the differentially accumulated carboxylic acids were most
abundant in DPs, whereas the majority of the differentially accumulated monosaccharides as well as all of the amino acids and the nucleoside were most abundant in NPs.

4.3. Differentially Accumulated Carboxylic Acids

Accumulation of organic acids has been observed in plants with mineral deficiencies [37], and the high amounts of this metabolite group suggest a mineral unbalance in DPs especially in the in vitro phases. Among the differentially accumulated carboxylic acids, DPs from PP showed higher levels of 2,3,4,5-Tetrahydroxypentanoic acid-1,4-lactone and sebacic acid than NPs. The 2,3,4,5-Tetrahydroxypentanoic acid has several stereoisomers but the one reported in plants is xylono-1,4-lactone [38,39]. Stereoisomers are not usually differentiated in untargeted metabolomic analysis and generally require additional chiral separations. Xylono-1,4-lactone is naturally produced in plants by dehydrogenation of xylose—a monomer of pectin and hemicellulose—by microorganisms [40]. Thus, the high levels of the putative xylonolactone in DPs suggest xylose oxidation and an affection to the cell wall. Similarly, sebacic acid is usually derived from the oxidation of longer dicarboxylic acids in mammals [41]. In plants, long dicarboxylic acids are part of suberin, which confers protection in cell walls. Therefore, the high levels of both xylonolactone and sebacic acid suggest oxidation of cell wall component precursors in DPs, potentially caused by the oxidative stress commonly observed during micropropagation [42]. Results suggest a differential response to oxidative stress in DPs. Further research is needed to assess the role of sebacic acid in plant development and SV. Additionally, in the aerial part of vitroplants from the RP, citric and 2-keto-D-gluconic carboxylic acids over-accumulated in all DPs regardless of the mother plant, suggesting both metabolites as potential biomarkers of dwarfism in this phase.

4.4. Differentially Accumulated Monosaccharides and Plant Cell Wall Components

Among the differentially accumulated monosaccharides, only D-ribofuranose—the furanose form of ribose—and D-psicose in the form of D-psicofuranose were significantly accumulated in DPs from PP and RP, respectively. The accumulation of both sugars has been associated with deficient plant development and ribose accumulation has been linked to inhibited plant growth caused by the absence of plant ribokinases [43]. Further research is needed to assess the ribokinase activity in DPs. Similarly, D-psicose can induce plant resistance to stress [44], which can also generate SV [45]. Furthermore, psicose can inhibit various enzymes related to the hydrolysis of higher saccharides [46] and has been associated with plant growth [44].

In all the studied phases, several precursors or monomers of apoplast (cell wall and middle lamella) polysaccharides (hemicelluloses and pectin) were under-accumulated in DPs. In PP, the hemicelluloses and pectin monomers glucose, sorbose, arabinopyranose and galactopyranose were under-accumulated in DPs. In plants, glucose can act as a signaling molecule to stimulate plant growth and changes in the levels of this monosaccharide have been shown to affect plant development [47]. Similarly, D-Glucose is the sole monomer of the cellulose present in the plant’s cell wall and the low levels of this monosaccharide suggest a decreased synthesis of cellulose and a misconstruction of the cell wall. Additionally, glucose is commonly in charge of the transport of monolignols derived from phenylalanine—also under-accumulated in DPs from PP—via phenylpropanoids, to build lignin in the apoplast [48]. Therefore, the low levels of both glucose and phenylalanine in DPs suggest a reduced lignin content in the apoplast.

In the PP, the aldoses galactose and arabinose in the form of α-D-Galactopyranose and β-L-Arabino-pyranose, respectively, were at reduced levels in DPs regardless of the mother plant and can be proposed as biomarkers for DPs in this phase. The cycled forms pyranose or furanose can be formed randomly from the original monosaccharide during the sample preparation for metabolomic analysis [49]. Both galactose and arabinose are monomers of the apoplast polysaccharides hemicellulose and pectin [50,51] and the low levels of these metabolites suggest a misconstruction of the apoplast in DPs. Similarly, β-
L-Arabinopyranose, 2-deoxy-D-galactose and fructose were also under-accumulated in DPs from both M1 and M2 in the RP, showing the potential of these metabolites as biomarkers of banana dwarfism in the RP. Moreover, the data suggest L-Arabinose as a potential biomarker for DPs in both PP and RP. Deficiencies in other apoplast components, including the hemicellulose precursors mannose and fucose [50,52], were observed in DP meristems from APII.

Furthermore, Uridine was not detected in DPs from APII. Uridine is typically involved in glucidic metabolism and glucose-uridine biphosphate contributes to saccharose biosynthesis, respiration and energy supply in the plant by transferring glucose to fructose in photosynthetic cells [53]. This nucleotide also supports monosaccharide provision to various plant components, including the apoplast [54,55]. The absence of uridine in DPs suggests a low energy provision and probably contributed to a reduced biosynthesis of apoplast components in this sample group.

Although hemicellulose components were under-accumulated in DPs from APII, the pectin components β-D-Galactose and D-galacturonic acid, were more abundant in DPs than in NPs in this phase, suggesting alterations in the pectin structure of this sample group. Changes in pectin structure can influence the growth, final size and robustness of plant tissues [56]. Results are in agreement with previous reports showing that other hemicellulose and pectin component (rhamnose) can over-accumulate in DP leaves at the APII potentially contributing to the thick pseudostem observed in this sample group [32].

Altogether, the data suggest a misconstruction of the apoplast in DPs at each phase of the micropropagation. Construction of cell wall is critical for plant cell growth [57], and an incomplete formation of cell wall components has been associated to SV after micropropagation by protoplast cultures [58]. The observed alterations in the apoplast constitution probably affected cell shaping [59] and contributed to the development of the phenotypic characteristics observed in the DP phenotype. Consequently, a putative relationship between the apoplast structure and different morphological traits of dwarf phenotypes was observed in this study.

4.5. Differentially Accumulated Aminated Metabolites

The amino acids phenylalanine and glutamine were absent in DPs from PP and APII, respectively. Phenylalanine can produce phenylpropanoids to cooperate in all aspects of plant responses to biotic and abiotic factors [60] and favor adaptation to new habitats [61], including those applied during in vitro culture. The absence of this amino acid in DPs suggests a reduced response to the oxidative stress caused by the micropropagation and probably contributed to the limited growth observed in DPs, as abiotic stresses can limit plant development [62]. Similarly, glutamine usually acts as an intermediary of nitrogen assimilation reactions in plants [63]. Nitrogen assimilation is associated with plant development and the absence of glutamine might have contributed to the limited growth observed in DPs.

D-galactosamine and N-acetylglucosamine have been found in proteins associated with the plant cell wall [64,65], as well as in microbial cell wall [66–68]. Both metabolites were not differentially accumulated in PP and RP. Therefore, the differential accumulation of both aminated monosaccharides exclusively in APII may be associated with the presence of plant fungi in this non-sterile phase. The low levels of both metabolites in DPs from APII suggest a differential response to microorganisms in this sample group.

In the present work, we reported differentially accumulated metabolites commonly associated with oxidative stress and/or plant cell wall biosynthesis as well as aminated sugars usually generated from the degradation of fungal cell walls. Results correlate with previous reports showing alterations in genes associated to glucose synthesis, galactose transference and lignin formation in field and in vitro grown Musa spp. [69]. Further research is needed to assess all the DPs physiological associations with the plant’s metabolite profile.
5. Conclusions

The present work showed differentially accumulated metabolites between both studied phenotypes suggesting a differential response to oxidative processes and disruption of the biosynthesis of apoplast components in DPs. Thus, low levels of arabinose, a component of several polysaccharides in both plant cell wall and middle lamella, characterize dwarf phenotype in the in vitro phases. The observed alterations can affect cell shape and contribute to the macromorphologic characteristics observed in dwarf phenotypes. This is the first report showing the changes in the metabolite profile occurring during micro-propagation of normal and somaclonal plant variants.
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