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ABSTRACT

Background and aims: Statin therapy is administered to patients with high cardiovascular risk. These patients are also at risk for severe course of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Statins exhibit not only cardioprotective but also immunomodulatory and anti-inflammatory effects. This study performed a systematic review of published evidence regarding statin treatment and COVID-19 related mortality.

Methods: A systematic PubMed/Embase search was performed from February 10, 2020 until March 05, 2021 for studies in COVID-19 patients that reported adjusted hazard or odds ratio for death in statin users versus non-users.

Results: 22 studies fulfilled the inclusion criteria and were included in the systematic review. Meta-analysis of 10 studies (n = 41,807, weighted age 56 ± 8 years, men 51%, hypertension 34%, diabetes 21%, statin users 14%) that reported adjusted hazard ratios for mortality in statin users versus non-users showed pooled estimate at 0.65 (95% confidence intervals [CI] 0.53, 0.81). Meta-analysis of 6 studies that reported continuation of statin therapy during hospitalization (58–100% of patients) revealed a pooled hazard ratio of 0.54 (95% CI 0.47, 0.62). Meta-analysis of 12 studies (n = 72,881, weighted age 65 ± 2 years, men 54%, hypertension 66%, diabetes 43%, statin users 30%) that reported adjusted odds ratios for mortality showed pooled estimate at 0.65 (95% CI 0.55, 0.78). Multivariable meta-regression analysis did not reveal any significant association of hazard or odds ratios with anthropometric characteristics or comorbidities.

Conclusions: This meta-analysis of retrospective observational studies showed that statin therapy was associated with an about 35% decrease in the adjusted risk of mortality in hospitalized COVID-19 patients.

1. Introduction

Statins are the most frequently prescribed hypolipidemic agents [1]. Their wide use is strongly supported by their evidence-based cardioprotective effect whereas severe adverse events are extremely rare [1]. Additional beneficial (pleiotropic) effects attributed to their anti-inflammatory and antioxidant properties render these drugs an irreplaceable choice when it comes to lipid lowering and cardioprotection treatment [2].

In the era of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), the impact and safety of established background therapies of COVID-19 patients have been questioned. It should be noted that statin-treated individuals are more likely to be older and to have cardiovascular risk factors or disease, and thereby more likely to have severe COVID-19 [3]. Statins seem to up-regulate angiotensin-converting enzyme 2, which could possibly enhance COVID-19 transmissibility [4]; on the other hand, these drugs present anti-oxidative, anti-inflammatory, anti-arrhythmic, anti-thrombotic properties and have beneficial effects on endothelial dysfunction, which could be proved protective against fatal respiratory, cardiovascular and thromboembolic complications in COVID-19 [5,6].

Accumulating evidence as presented in the available relevant meta-analyses seems to support a beneficial or at least a neutral effect of statins in COVID-19 patients in terms of mortality [7–13]. However, there is significant methodological heterogeneity (analysis of unadjusted and adjusted risks, simultaneous use of odds and hazard ratios, inclusion of a limited number of studies or non-peer-reviewed studies)
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which might have accounted for the heterogeneity in the reported outcome [7–13].

The aim of the present study was to perform an updated systematic review of the current literature in order to investigate the impact of statin treatment on the mortality of COVID-19 patients by using strict methodological criteria.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Search strategy

A systematic review and meta-analysis was performed according to Meta-analysis of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) Guidelines [14]. A systematic search of PubMed and EMBASE databases was performed to identify eligible articles from February 10, 2020 until March 05, 2021 using the following term strategy: (“coronavirus 2019” OR “2019-ncov” OR “SARS-CoV-2” OR “COVID-19” OR COVID OR COVID19) AND (statin* OR atorvastatin OR rosuvastatin OR simvastatin OR pitavastatin OR pravastatin OR fluvastatin OR lovastatin) AND (mortality OR death OR fatal). Articles were also identified from reference lists of relevant papers and hand search. The study selection was performed independently by 2 investigators (K.G.K and I.G.K). Disagreements were resolved by consensus with a senior author (A.K.).

2.2. Selection criteria

The primary study outcome included the adjusted risk of death in statin users versus non-users with a COVID-19 diagnosis. Eligible studies were full-text peer-reviewed articles in English that: (1) had prospective or retrospective design, (2) included only COVID-19 patients, (3) reported the use of statins among patients at least at baseline, (4) presented the hazard ratio or odds ratio for death in statin users versus non-users which should be adjusted for anthropometric characteristics/comorbidities or calculated in matched groups for such variables. In the case of more than one study from the same dataset, only the most relevant (reporting on the outcome of interest) or the largest one was included.

2.3. Data extraction and risk of bias assessment

Authors of the included studies were contacted by email to obtain additional details not reported in the published paper regarding the continuation of statin use during hospitalization. Three investigators (A. K, K.G.K and I.G.K) extracted independently data concerning study design, main characteristics of included populations and data regarding primary endpoint from included studies where available. The risk of bias was assessed using a combined checklist from Joanna Briggs Institute Critical Appraisal Checklists for Analytical Cross Sectional Studies and for Cohort Studies [15].

2.4. Statistical analysis

Meta-analysis regression was performed using the Stata/SE 11 (Texas) software. Natural logarithms of adjusted hazard ratios or odds ratios and corresponding standard errors were used for the analysis (random-effects meta-analysis). Sensitivity and stratified analyses were performed in order to compensate for the observed methodological heterogeneity among the included studies: (i) analysis of studies reporting in-hospital use of statins, (ii) analysis by excluding one study each time (influence analysis), and (iii) analyses by selecting studies on the criteria of mean age and percentage of males, as well as excluding those performed exclusively in diabetic or intensive care unit patients. Multivariable meta-regression analysis was performed for assessing associations between the natural logarithms of adjusted hazard or odds ratios for death with gender, age, prevalence of diabetes, hypertension, coronary heart disease, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease or lung disease in general across studies. Mean values of variables for subgroups were combined where feasible in order to get the mean value for the total sample [16]. Median values were converted to mean values [17]. Heterogeneity was tested using I² statistics. Publication bias was assessed by inspecting funnel plots for primary outcomes in which the ln (hazard ratio) and ln(odds ratio) were plotted against SE, as well as Egger’s test (linear regression method) and Beggs’s test (rank correlation method) [18,19]. Two-sided p values of <0.05 were considered significant.

3. Results

3.1. Included studies

The MOOSE Statement - Reporting Checklist for the present meta-analysis of observational studies is presented in Supplementary Appendix A. Among 237 initially identified articles, 22 studies fulfilled the inclusion criteria and were included in the systematic review (flowchart in Fig. 1) [20–41]. The main characteristics of these studies are shown in Table 1. All the studies were of retrospective observational design and all reported the risk of death associated with statin use adjusted for several confounding factors. Regarding the latter, the most commonly reported included: (i) Demographics: age, gender, body mass index; (ii) Comorbidities: hypertension, diabetes, coronary heart disease; (iii) Medications: angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors or angiotensin II receptor blockers, antplatelets, anticoagulants; (iv) Biochemical indices: lipids, creatinine levels. Some of the studies reported the duration of the follow-up, which ranged from a few days to several weeks [20,21,23–26,28,32,33,34,41]. In addition, 2 studies reported that the median length of hospitalization of the non-survivors was about 10 days [27,31], and 1 study reported that the majority of deaths occurred within the first 2 weeks of follow-up [20].

3.2. Studies reporting hazard ratios

Ten studies (n = 41,807, weighted age 56 ± 8 years, men 51%, hypertension 34%, diabetes 21%, coronary heart disease 11%, statin users 14%) reported the adjusted hazard ratio for death in statin users versus non-users [20–29]. The pooled estimate of hazard ratio was 0.65 (95% confidence intervals [CI] 0.53, 0.81) (Fig. 2). By removing one study which included both outpatients and hospitalized patients [23], the pooled estimate of hazard ratio from studies that included only hospitalized patients was 0.62 (95% CI 0.50, 0.76). Six studies (n = 19,793) reported the continuation of statin use during hospitalization (5 of them in 100% of patients under such treatment [21,22,25,27,28] and 1 in 58% [24]), whereas this information was not available for the rest studies. Meta-analysis of these 6 studies revealed a pooled hazard ratio of 0.54 (95% CI 0.47, 0.62). The results were the same when the analysis included the 5 studies reporting continuation of statin use in 100% of patients (pooled hazard ratio 0.54 [95% CI 0.46, 0.62]) [21,22,25,27,28]. Sensitivity analysis by excluding one study each time confirmed that the pooled estimate was consistent among studies with balanced weight (Fig. 1A, Supplementary Appendix B). Additional sensitivity and stratified analyses are presented in Table 1, Supplementary Appendix B. No publication bias was identified (p = 0.93 and 0.62 for Begg’s and Egger’s test respectively; Begg’s funnel plot is presented in Fig. 2A, Supplementary Appendix B).

Multivariable meta-regression analysis did not reveal any significant association of hazard ratios for death with gender, age, prevalence of diabetes, hypertension, coronary heart disease and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease or lung disease across the included studies (all p = NS). All but one studies were assigned high quality scores (Table 2, Supplementary Appendix B).
3.3. Studies reporting odds ratios

Twelve studies (n = 72,881, weighted age 65 ± 2 years, men 54%, hypertension 66%, diabetes 43%, statin users 30%) reported the adjusted odds ratio for death in statin users versus non-users [30–41]. The pooled estimate of odds ratio was 0.65 (95% CI 0.55, 0.78) (Fig. 3). Sensitivity analysis by excluding one study each time confirmed that the pooled estimate was consistent and not driven by specific studies (Fig. 1B, Supplementary Appendix B). Additional sensitivity and stratified analyses are presented in Table 1, Supplementary Appendix B. No publication bias was identified (p = 0.95 and 0.67 for Begg’s and Egger’s test respectively; Begg’s funnel plot is presented in Fig. 2B, Supplementary Appendix B). Multivariable meta-regression analysis did not reveal any significant associations of the odds ratios for death with gender, age, prevalence of diabetes, hypertension and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease or lung disease across the included studies (all p = NS). Prevalence of coronary heart disease was not included in the previous analysis due to insufficient observations. Half of the studies were assigned high quality scores (Table 2, Supplementary Appendix B).

4. Discussion

This meta-analysis of retrospective observational studies showed that statin therapy was associated with an about 35% decrease in the adjusted risk of COVID-19 related mortality. Statin users compared to non-users showed a pooled estimate of hazard or odds ratio for death at about 0.65 after adjustment for confounders. Cardiovascular risk factors and established cardiovascular disease constitute risk factors for severe COVID-19 [3]. Statin users are in general patients with adverse cardiovascular profile and thereby at higher risk for an adverse course of COVID-19. Moreover, acute cardiac injury, including myocardial ischemia, has been reported among the complications of COVID-19 [42]. Therefore, the effect of statins on mortality should be examined by taking into account the adverse cardiovascular background of these patients. Despite the heterogeneity in the populations’ characteristics across the included studies, the pooled estimate of the adjusted mortality risk was similar either when using hazard ratios or odds ratios, all of which suggest a beneficial effect of statins in COVID-19. This was also supported by the meta-regression analysis, which failed to show any significant associations between the risk reduction with statins and anthropometric characteristics or...
Table 1
Main characteristics and findings of included studies.

| Study | N        | Country, Setting                  | Age (mean ± SD) | Males (%) | HTN (%) | DM (%) | CHD (%) | COPD or lung disease (%) | Statin use (%) | Type of statin used (%) | Continuation of statins during COVID-19 (%) | HR/OR adjustment factors | Total sample mortality (%) | LDL-c (mean ± SD, mg/dl) in statin users/non-users | Adjusted HR/OR for mortality (95% CI) (statin users vs non-users) |
|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|---------|--------|---------|--------------------------|----------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Lee et al. [20] | 10,448 | S. Korea, Hospitalized            | 45 ± 20         | 40        | 21      | 18     | 6       | 14                       | 5              | A, R, S, P, other        | NR                                            | Demographics             | 2                         | NR 0.64 (0.43, 0.95)                                                      |
| Peymani et al. [21] | 150    | Iran, Hospitalized                | 62 ± 15         | 58        | 29      | 21     | NR      | 13                       | 50             | A (94), R (3) S (3)     | 100                                           | Comorbidities            | 13                        | NR 0.92 (0.21, 4.16)                                                      |
| Fan et al. [22]    | 2,147  | China, Hospitalized               | 59 ± 15         | 48        | 33      | 14     | 8       | 2                        | 12             | A (65), R (30) other (3) | 100                                           | Comorbidities Mediations | 4                         | 101 ± 37/98 ± 27 0.43 (0.17, 0.91)                                               |
| Butt et al. [23]   | 4,842  | Denmark, Emergency department/ Hospitalized/ Outpatients | 55 ± 24         | 47        | 20      | 9      | 9       | 5                        | 17             | A (50), R (7), S (42), P (1) | NR (generally continued)                            | Demographics             | 10                        | NR 0.96 (0.78, 1.18)                                                      |
| Masana et al. [24] | 1,162  | Spain, Hospitalized               | 73 ± 13         | 60        | 78      | 44     | 25      | 21                       | 50             | A, R, other             | 58                                            | Matched population        | 23                        | 98 ± 26/120 ± 31 0.60 (0.39, 0.92)                                               |
| Saeed et al. [25]  | 2,266   | USA, Hospitalized, DM type 2      | 68 ± 13         | 52        | 87      | 100    | 36      | 34                       | 43             | A (76), R (1), S (18), P (5) | 100                                           | Demographics               | 32                        | NR 0.51 (0.43, 0.61)                                                      |
| Grasselli et al. [26] | 3,988  | Italy, ICU                        | 63 ± 10         | 80        | 41      | 13     | 13      | 2                        | 12             | NR                     | NR                                            | Demographics               | 48                        | NR 0.98 (0.81, 1.20)                                                      |
| Rodriguez-Nava et al. [27] | 87   | USA, ICU                          | 67 ± 13         | 64        | NR      | NR     | NR      | NR                       | 54             | A (100)                 | 100                                           | Demographics               | 55                        | NR 0.38 (0.18, 0.77)                                                      |
| Zhang et al. [28]  | 13,981 | China, Hospitalized               | 57 ± 16         | 49        | 35      | 16     | 8       | 1                        | 9              | A (83), R (15), other (2) | 100                                           | Demographics               | 7                         | 90 ± 37/94 ± 32 0.63 (0.48, 0.84)                                               |
| Lala et al. [29]   | 2,736  | USA, Hospitalized                 | 66 ± 16         | 60        | 39      | 26     | 17      | 6                        | 36             | NR                     | NR                                            | Demographics               | 18                        | NR 0.57 (0.47, 0.69)                                                      |
| Chacko et al. [30] | 255    | USA, Hospitalized                 | 65 ± 15         | 51        | 73      | 48     | 18      | 13                       | 45             | NR                     | NR                                            | Demographics Mediations   | 20                        | NR 0.14 (0.03, 0.61)                                                      |
| Nicholson et al. [31] | 1,042  | USA, Hospitalized                 | 64 ± 16         | 57        | 56      | 43     | 17      | 12                       | 49             | NR                     | NR                                            | Demographics Mediations   | 20                        | NR 0.47 (0.24, 0.92)                                                      |
| Gupta et al. [32]  | 2,626  | USA, Hospitalized                 | 65 ± 18         | 57        | 54      | 37     | 13      | 18                       | 36             | NR                     | 77                                            | Demographics Mediations   | NR                        | 82 ± 35/91 ± 37 0.49 (0.38, 0.63)                                               |
| Wargny et al. [33] | 2,796  | France, Hospitalized, DM type 2   | 70 ± 13         | 64        | 76      | 100    | NR      | 10                       | 46             | NR                     | NR                                            | Demographics Mediations   | 21                        | NR 1.42 (1.00, 2.02)                                                      |

(continued on next page)
| Study                        | N       | Country, Setting            | Age (mean ± SD) | Males (%) | HTN (%) | DM (%) | CHD (%) | COPD or lung disease (%) | Statin use (%) | Type of statin used | Continuation of statins during COVID-19 (%) | HR/OR adjustment factors | Total sample mortality (%) | LDL-c (mean ± SD, mg/dl) in statin users/non-users | Adjusted HR/OR for mortality (95% CI) (statin users vs non-users) |
|-----------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------|---------|--------|---------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Oh et al. [34]              | 7,780   | S. Korea, Hospitalized     | NR              | NR        | NR      | NR     | NR      | NR                       | NR             | A (49), R (16), S (30), other (5) | NR (generally continued) |                          | 3                   | 27                  | NR                                     | 0.74 (0.52, 1.05)         | 0.90 (0.54, 1.51)          |
| Mitacchione et al. [35]     | 290     | Italy, Hospitalized        | 68 ± 13         | 71        | 68      | NR     | NR      | NR                       | NR             | NR (generally continued) |                             |                          | NR (generally continued) | (generally continued) | 20                  | NR                                     | 0.60 (0.50, 0.65)         | 0.51 (0.26, 1.17)          |
| Rosenthal et al. [36]       | 35,302  | USA, Hospitalized         | 53 ± 18         | 65 ± 14   | NR      | NR     | NR      | NR                       | NR             | NR (known confounders) | Demographics Comorbidities Biochemical indices | NR (generally continued) | 23                  | NR                                     | 0.51 (0.49, 0.60)         |                                                                  |
| De Spiegeleer et al. [41]   | 154     | Belgium, Nursing home      | 59 ± 20         | 59        | 49      | NR     | NR      | NR                       | NR             | NR (generally continued) | Demographics Comorbidities Comorbidities Biochemical indices | NR (generally continued) | 17                  | NR                                     | 0.88 (0.37, 2.08)         |                                                                  |
| Daniels et al. [47]         | 249     | USA, Hospitalized         | 59 ± 20         | 59 ± 17   | NR      | NR     | NR      | NR                       | NR             | NR (generally continued) | Demographics Comorbidities Comorbidities Biochemical indices | NR (generally continued) | 13                  | NR                                     | 0.45 (0.11, 1.87)         |                                                                  |
| A, atorvastatin; CI, confidence intervals; CHD, coronary heart disease; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; LDL-c, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; DM, diabetes mellitus; HR, hazard ratio; HTN, arterial hypertension; ICU, intensive care unit; NR, not reported; OR, odds ratio; P, pitavastatin; R, rosuvastatin; S, simvastatin. |
comorbidities. Indeed, all studies showed reduced or trend for reduced risk of mortality with statin use [20–32,34–41], apart from a single study which included only diabetic patients and showed increased mortality in statin users [33]. In a large nationwide whole-population analysis in England, patients with diabetes were at higher risk for COVID-19 related mortality than non-diabetes patients [43]. Increased COVID-19 related mortality in these patients was associated with cardiovascualar and renal complications of diabetes, as well as with glycaemic control and obesity [44]. However, statin use again was independently associated with decreased COVID-19 related mortality (adjusted hazard ratio 0.72) in type 2 diabetics [44].

Continuation of statin use in hospitalized patients with severe COVID-19 raises several concerns, mainly due to drug interactions (i.e. with macrolides) and transaminase elevations which are common in COVID-19 and are attributed either to the virus itself or to specific therapies i.e. remdesivir [45]. In addition, it seems that statins up-regulate angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 receptor, which might facilitate SARS-CoV-2 cell entry [4]. However, liver injury from statins is very rare, including COVID-19 patients [20–41]. Moreover, statins exert several beneficial pathophysiological effects. Increased levels of angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 receptor lead to increased degradation of angiotensin II to angiotensin 1-7 which exerts vasodilatory, anti-proliferative, anti-inflammatory, anti-thrombotic and anti-hypertrophic effects, and which in turn alleviate major pathophysiological disorders of SARS-CoV-2 infection, including acute lung injury, endotheliitis and thromboinflammation [46]. In addition, statins exert immunomodulatory effects by stabilization of the myeloid differentiation primary response 88 (MyD88) during hypoxia and stress, leading to mitigation of the NF-κB induced hyper-inflammatory activation during severe SARS-CoV-2 infection [47,48]. Other effects of statins, including membrane composition changes, antiviral activity, anti-oxidant and anti-thrombotic effects, might also have a protective role in severe COVID-19 [6,49,50]. Indeed, based on data from a few studies, the difference in LDL-cholesterol levels between statin users and non-users was only moderate, suggesting that the protective effect of statins might be over and beyond that of cholesterol lowering.

The importance of the in-hospital continuation of statin therapy has been addressed in non-COVID-19 patients with acute myocardial infarction [51]. Specifically, it has been shown that new or continued treatment with a statin in the first 24 h of admission for acute

| Study          | OR (95% CI)            | Weight (%) |
|---------------|------------------------|------------|
| A           | 0.69 (0.56, 0.81)      | 100        |
| B           | 0.70 (0.58, 0.85)      | 3.2        |
| C           | 0.71 (0.59, 0.87)      | 3.8        |
| D           | 0.72 (0.60, 0.87)      | 4.4        |
| E           | 0.73 (0.61, 0.88)      | 5.0        |
| F           | 0.74 (0.62, 0.88)      | 5.6        |
| G           | 0.75 (0.63, 0.88)      | 6.2        |
| H           | 0.76 (0.64, 0.88)      | 6.8        |
| I           | 0.77 (0.65, 0.89)      | 7.4        |
| J           | 0.78 (0.66, 0.90)      | 8.0        |
| K           | 0.79 (0.67, 0.91)      | 8.6        |
| L           | 0.80 (0.68, 0.92)      | 9.2        |
| M           | 0.81 (0.69, 0.93)      | 9.8        |
| N           | 0.82 (0.70, 0.94)      | 10.4       |
| O           | 0.83 (0.71, 0.95)      | 11.0       |
| P           | 0.84 (0.72, 0.96)      | 11.6       |
| Q           | 0.85 (0.73, 0.97)      | 12.2       |
| R           | 0.86 (0.74, 0.98)      | 12.8       |
| S           | 0.87 (0.75, 0.99)      | 13.4       |
| T           | 0.88 (0.76, 1.00)      | 14.0       |
| U           | 0.89 (0.77, 1.01)      | 14.6       |
| V           | 0.90 (0.78, 1.02)      | 15.2       |
| W           | 0.91 (0.79, 1.03)      | 15.8       |
| X           | 0.92 (0.80, 1.04)      | 16.4       |
| Y           | 0.93 (0.81, 1.05)      | 17.0       |
| Z           | 0.94 (0.82, 1.06)      | 17.6       |
| Pooled estimate (I²=61%, p<0.01) | 0.69 (0.56, 0.81) | 100 |

Fig. 2. Forest plot of adjusted hazard ratios for death in statin users versus non-users among COVID-19 patients.

Fig. 3. Forest plot of adjusted odds ratios for death in statin users versus non-users among COVID-19 patients.
myocardial infarction was associated with a decreased risk of mortality compared with no statin use, whereas discontinuation of statin treatment was associated with a slightly increased risk of mortality [51]. The findings of this meta-analysis support the in-hospital continuation of statin use in COVID-19 patients since in sensitivity analysis including the studies in which statin therapy was continued in the majority of patients, the decrease in the mortality risk was highly significant. Not surprisingly, clinical guidelines now recommend that outpatient statin treatment should be continued in hospitalized COVID-19 patients [52].

The findings of this study should be interpreted in light of some limitations. The current evidence is exclusively derived from observational retrospective studies. Prospective randomized studies are lacking and difficult to obtain. Despite the source of evidence, there seems to be consistency in the key findings across the included studies either examining hazard or odds ratios in terms of lower mortality in statin users. Moreover, the inclusion of studies that reported adjusted risks allowed reasonable methodological quality. However, important details examining hazard or odds ratios in terms of lower mortality in statin users. Additional data from prospective studies are needed to confirm these findings.
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