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Core tip: Percutaneous interventions for lesions in the hepatic dome can be technically challenging. This review article discusses various maneuvers and techniques to safely access and treat lesions in this region.
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INTRODUCTION

Image guided hepatic interventions are integral to management of infective and neoplastic liver lesions[1-5]. A gamut of hepatic interventions including abscess drainage, thermal ablation, biopsy of focal liver lesions...
have significantly improved the morbidity and mortality associated with hepatic surgeries\(^1,2,4-6\). They offer several advantages over other invasive procedures in the liver such as laparoscopy/laparotomy including absence of a laparotomy scar, shorter hospital stay, avoidance of general anesthesia and lower risk of complications, morbidity and mortality\(^2,4-6\). Liver lesions are generally easily accessible for percutaneous procedures, however access to certain regions may be challenging such as the hepatic dome. Certain interventional procedures in the hepatic dome, particularly thermal ablative procedures including radiofrequency ablation (RFA) can be associated with complications related to diaphragmatic and/or pleural injury\(^6\). Therefore, it is important to adhere to certain guiding principles of safety when performing percutaneous interventions in the hepatic dome. The purpose of this article is to review the anatomy, challenges, technical considerations and various different adjunctive maneuvers to safely access and treat lesions in the hepatic dome.

HEPATIC DOME: ANATOMIC CONSIDERATIONS AND TECHNICAL CHALLENGES

The term hepatic dome in general refers to the liver parenchyma close to the diaphragm and roughly accounts for nearly one-third of the liver volume. For most of its part, the hepatic dome is related on the anterior, lateral and posterior aspects to diaphragm, lung parenchyma with the accompanying pleura and thoracic cage (Figure 1). On the medial aspect, the hepatic dome is related to the cardia and inferior vena cava (IVC) anteriorly and the vertebral column posteriorly. Given the intricate anatomic relations, there is potential risk of severe pain during thermal ablative procedures due to diaphragmatic irritation that can limit complete treatment and can increase need for deeper sedation/anesthesia\(^7,12\). Percutaneous catheter drainage of hepatic dome abscesses can be difficult due to the need for transgression of the sterile pleural space and/or the lung which increases risk of pleural space contamination and the resulting pleural fluid collections and/or empyemas can often be challenging to treat\(^1\).

Other technical challenge encountered during percutaneous intervention of hepatic dome lesions is accessibility and localization. The technical difficulty is particularly amplified in patients receiving conscious sedation or general anesthesia as the liver becomes increasingly subcostal in position due to shallow respirations brought on by sedation\(^13\).

HEPATIC DOME INTERVENTIONS: TIPS AND TRICKS

**Imaging modality**

Ultrasound can be useful in approaching lesions of hepatic dome as various angles can be used, given non-axial nature of ultrasound imaging. However ultrasound guidance can be challenging for deeply seated lesions. Computed tomography (CT) provides a 3-dimensional orientation of the needle/catheter and the target during navigation and allows performance of several additional maneuvers as discussed later in the review article. CT permits fluoroscopic capabilities and allows access to the hepatic dome through the transpleural/transpulmonic route. Particularly in patients undergoing ablation, the role of CT encompasses planning, positioning of needles, ablation monitoring, verification of completion and post-ablation assessment. Disadvantages of CT include inability to visualize certain lesions thereby necessitating administration of intravenous contrast and exposure to ionizing radiation. C-arm cone beam CT (CBCT) application may be useful in hepatic dome interventions. Respiratory gating application in CBCT can minimize motion mis-registration during navigation in thoracic and hepatic dome tumors\(^14\). Ablations of the liver with CBCT are often performed after administration of intra-arterial or intravenous contrast and obtaining an intra-arterial access might...
be logistically difficult at certain centers\textsuperscript{15,16}. Real time magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) guidance for biopsy of hepatic dome lesion has been described by Lu et al\textsuperscript{3} to be beneficial in targeting lesions best depicted on MRI. MRI guidance has its own caveats such as need for specialized equipment (including open magnet configuration), limited availability and expertise.

Lesion localization

Precise lesion localization within the hepatic dome is crucial, particularly during biopsies and ablations to maximize diagnostic yield and achieve complete tumor destruction respectively. Accurate definition and localization of lesions on CT can be accomplished by either use of anatomic landmarks, contrast administration or additional techniques\textsuperscript{17}.

Extrapolation based on anatomic landmarks:

Tumors seen on pre-procedural MR scans may not be well visualized on preliminary CT images or ultrasound during the procedure. In such circumstances, a comprehensive review of the imaging modality best depicting the lesion helps to identify the orientation of the lesion relative to adjacent landmarks such as blood vessels, bones, vascular or parenchymal calcification. Extrapolation of lesion relationship to hepatic veins, cardiac margin, aorta and IVC can be particularly helpful while performing interventions in hepatic dome. Sainani et al\textsuperscript{17} reported that this strategy is highly accurate (98%) for percutaneous biopsy in liver and can obviate the need of intravenous contrast during the procedure (Figure 2).

Contrast administration: Administration of intravenous contrast can be used to guide exact placement of biopsy needle or RF electrode and is generally done after the guiding needle has been placed in to the presumed lesion location\textsuperscript{11}. The relationship of the guiding needle and the lesion then facilitates the accurate placement of the biopsy needle or the RF electrode. It is important to ensure that the patient’s serum urea and creatinine are within normal limits prior to administering contrast to avoid the risk of contrast induced nephropathy\textsuperscript{18}.

Other techniques: Several techniques have been described for targeting poorly visible hepatic lesions during interventional procedures\textsuperscript{19-22}. Image fusion techniques combining real time ultrasonography with preprocedural CT/MRI images can be used effectively to enhance detectability for focal hepatic lesions with poor sonographic visibility\textsuperscript{19,20}. A variety of tracking methods are available for image fusion such as image-based, optical, and electromagnetic tracking (most frequently used)\textsuperscript{19}. The image fusion techniques however have limitations related to mis-registration because while the reference images (CT, MRI) are often obtained in a static breath-holding state, real-time ultrasound is affected by tissue deformation due to patient’s respiration and movements. Most commercially available image fusion systems lack compensating mechanism for patient respiration and movement\textsuperscript{23,24}. Hookwire and Suture localization under CT guidance followed by microwave ablation under ultrasound guidance for a sonographically invisible lesion has also been described by Kanazawa et al\textsuperscript{21}. Such an approach however is cumbersome as it requires two different procedures for an ablation that can be entirely performed under CT guidance. Prior use of lipiodol might be helpful in the visualisation of hepatocellular carcinomas treated with lipoidal-transarterial chemoembolization (TACE)\textsuperscript{22}.

Optimizing access route

Selection of the approach and proper patient positioning are two important considerations for optimizing access to localization of lesions in the hepatic dome.

Percutaneous approach: The lesions of the hepatic dome can be accessed either by subcostal, intercostal or epipericardial fat pad approach. The choice of percutaneous approach is often based on operator preference.
experience and the access route to the regional anatomy of each individual patient is determined by reviewing prior imaging or preliminary scans. Subcostal approach is most preferred whenever feasible as this usually avoids transgression of lung and pleura (Figure 3). This approach is particularly beneficial in drainage of hepatic abscesses especially while using ultrasound guidance and can be facilitated by placing the patient in decubitus position. However, this approach may not always be feasible and an intercostal route is frequently required (Figure 4) which may necessitate pleural or pulmonic transgression[1]. Pulmonary transgression can be avoided by creation of artificial pleural effusion or pneumothorax. Although pulmonary transgression may be unavoidable, for example, in patients with pleural adhesions[25]. In cases where pleural or pulmonary transgression is unavoidable it is important to limit the number of punctures to minimize the risk of pneumothorax. Furthermore, the interventionalist and patient must be prepared for the possibility of a pneumothorax and be aware of the management of such a complication. The technique of pulmonary transgression is of limited value in patients with severe emphysema or coagulopathy[26,26].

Brennan et al[27] described a novel epipericardial fat pad approach for safe access to hepatic dome lesions. The epipericardial fat pad is a variable sized structure located in the anterior mediastinum, outside the fibrous pericardium[27]. The authors recommend that an epipericardial fat pad exceeding 1 cm in thickness, may provide a safe window for percutaneous image guided RFA using CT fluoroscopy (Figure 5)[27].

Patient positioning: Optimal patient positioning not only determines a safe percutaneous path to the lesion but also ensures patient comfort and minimizes motion. An ideal position is one which allows the least complicated access to the hepatic dome. Supine position is the most common position employed and is generally the most comfortable one. It allows the use of anterior and lateral approach to access the dome (Figure 6). Oblique patient position can also be employed to improve the safety of a percutaneous path to the hepatic dome (Figure 4). Oblique patient position is usually employed when using a lateral approach to the hepatic dome. Lateral decubitus position can also be used when accessing the hepatic dome using a lateral approach. A lateral decubitus position is beneficial in

Figure 3  Percutaneous drainage of hepatic dome abscess in a 36-year-old man. A: Axial contrast computed tomography shows the large hepatic dome abscess (arrows). Pleural transgression carried an increased risk of pleural complications; B: Percutaneous catheter drainage using a subcostal approach (arrows) allowed successful abscess treatment while avoiding pleural transgression.

Figure 4  Percutaneous radiofrequency ablation of a hepatic dome hepatocellular carcinoma in a 54-year-old man. A: Axial post gadolinium T1-weighted image in the portal venous phase demonstrates a 3.4 cm hepatocellular carcinoma in the hepatic dome (arrow); B: During the radiofrequency ablation procedure, the patient was placed in the oblique position and using a lateral intercostal approach the tumor was accessed for a successful ablation (arrow).
obese patients and women with large amount of breast tissue where an anterior approach is not feasible.

Adjunctive techniques
Non-target organ injury is the most feared complication during ablative procedures of hepatic dome. Several maneuvers could be performed in order to minimize collateral damage such as CT gantry angulation, creation of artificial ascites/pleural effusions and artificial pneumothorax.

Gantry angulation: Angulation of the CT gantry is a useful approach when the presence of overlying structures precludes a safe path to the hepatic dome (Figure 7). Angling the gantry allows optimum needle track visualization and permits a lower site of entry relative to lesion location, helping avoid transgression of pleura and lungs and thus reducing the risk of pneumothorax and pleural contamination. In this technique, the CT gantry is tilted towards the patient feet to achieve a caudo-cephalad beam direction. After preliminary scanning and identification of a safe path to the lesion, the needle shaft and hub are aligned to the CT gantry with the help of the localizing light. The needle is then advanced by constantly monitoring the needle tip position at frequent intervals while maintaining the angle of the needle. Gantry angulation technique is frequently performed for hepatic dome lesions and allows a subcostal approach to lesions high in the subphrenic location[28].

Hydro dissection/artificial ascites: Creation of artificial ascites or hydrodissection is an effective technique for safe percutaneous ablation of hepatic dome lesions[7,8,29,30]. Hydrodissection involves injection of fluid into the peritoneal space around the liver to create separation of hepatic dome from the diaphragm, thereby preventing damage to the diaphragm and pleura during thermal ablation. Additionally, this technique diminishes post procedure pain resulting from diaphragmatic irritation, and reduces the need for general anesthesia for pain control allowing use of conscious sedation[30]. Hydrodissection can be performed using ultrasound or CT guidance and we most commonly use a 14-20 G Chiba needle or a 5 French vascular catheter/sheath for instilling fluid (Figure 8)[7,8,29]. For hepatic dome interventions, the puncture sites for creation of artificial ascites are typically at the level of left subphrenic space. Prolonged procedures such as ablations necessitate continued hydrodissection throughout the primary procedure to maintain sustained separation of the lesion from the diaphragm. Five percent dextrose water (D5W) is preferred over normal saline for hydrodissection since it provides significantly better electrical isolation, reduces unwanted heat dissipation to the adjacent organs and is least likely to cause volume shifts due to its iso-osmolar nature[7,8,29,31]. While no definitive amount of separation has been universally agreed upon, at least 5 mm separation between the diaphragmatic margin and liver capsule is recommended to minimize organ damage. The instilled fluid usually resorbs spontaneously within a week and does not decrease the therapeutic efficacy of RFA[29]. Despite its benefits, occasionally the fluid dissipates away from the intended site and hydrodissection is not effective in the presence of peritoneal adhesions due to prior treatments such as surgical resection, TACE or thermal ablation. Additionally, lesions located in the bare area of liver cannot be separated by hydrodissection as this area is surrounded by peritoneal ligaments. Omentum interposed during hepatic surgeries can also...
impede successful induction of artificial ascites\textsuperscript{[7]}.

**Artificial pleural effusion:** Bare area of liver (not lined by peritoneum) is in direct contact with the diaphragm. The fluid form an artificial ascites cannot dissect this region from the diaphragm. Similar situation arises when intra-peritoneal adhesions limit separation of the diaphragm from the liver. Artificial pleural effusion using saline is a valuable adjunctive technique in such situations. It also creates a safe percutaneous path or good sonographic window when using ultrasound for image guidance\textsuperscript{[32-36]}.

**Iatrogenic pneumothorax:** An iatrogenic pneumothorax can be created when other approaches fail\textsuperscript{[37,38]}. In this technique, an 18 gauge epidural needle is appropriately positioned in the pleural space and around 50 mL of air, obtained through a micro-porous filter, is injected into the pleural space. Subsequently, serial boluses of 200, 400, 600 and 800 mL are injected to separate the lung from the pleura (Figure 9). Following completion of the interventional procedure, the intrapleural air is aspirated through the catheter into the syringe and expelled through the stopcock\textsuperscript{[37]}. The patient is usually admitted overnight.
for observation and serial radiographs are obtained to monitor the resolution of the pneumothorax. Pneumothorax tends to accumulate in non-dependent locations and hence patient positioning is of critical
importance. For example, if anterior approach is adopted, the patient should be placed in supine position to direct the pneumothorax anteriorly\cite{37}.

**Other techniques:** Investigators have tried using different barriers for diaphragmatic protection such as intraabdominal carbon dioxide insufflations or angiographic balloon interposition, although the experience with their use is limited\cite{10,39}. Raman et al\cite{10} studied the use of intraperitoneal carbon dioxide insufflations for diaphragmatic protection during hepatic RFA ablations in porcine model and proved its efficacy in limiting diaphragmatic injury during superficial hepatic RFA. Knuttinen et al\cite{39} interposed an angiographic balloon catheter during RFA ablation of the hepatic dome in a porcine model and demonstrated that balloon interposition is an effective technique for diaphragmatic protection. Balloon interposition has been reported to be superior to hydro-dissection or carbon dioxide insufflation, as the balloon remains stable during the procedure, while fluid and gas have a tendency to dissipate, however evidence in this regard is limited\cite{39}. Electrode “retraction/torquing” technique is another maneuver with the use of expandable RFA probes in kidney, liver and lung tumors\cite{40}. In this technique, the expandable electrode is retracted or torqued to displace the organ after the electrode is in position and fully expanded. This technique may be ineffective in isolation as only a few millimetres of displacement is achieved but could be used as an adjunct to other techniques.

**COMPLICATIONS**

Most dreaded complications during hepatic dome interventions include diaphragmatic and lung injury, pleural effusion, pneumothorax and empyema. Specific maneuvers like CT-guided transpulmonary needle insertion for liver tumors may lead to pneumothorax, lung hemorrhage and hemothorax, pleural effusion, diaphragmatic injury, tumor seeding in the pleura and/or lung parenchyma, lung abscess and systemic air embolism\cite{26}. Serious complications such as massive pulmonary hemorrhage and systemic air embolism may result from transpulmonary RF needle insertion\cite{41-43}. Diaphragmatic injury can lead to severe pain due to irritation, diaphragmatic palsy and/or perforation\cite{7-10,30,31,39,44}. Diaphragmatic injury can also lead to fistulization of hepatic dome processes into the thorax (Figure 10). Injury to the lung and pleura can result in pneumothorax, pleural effusion and empyema which often need chest tube drainage\cite{9,45}.

The reported incidence of major diaphragmatic complications is low and has been reported to be more frequent with deployable radiofrequency electrodes and multiple treatments\cite{29,46-49}. Post-ablation local...
tumor progression may be slightly higher for peri-diaphragmatic tumors as compared to central tumors as these tumors are ablated more cautiously because of concern for collateral damage.10

CONCLUSION

Image guided interventions in the hepatic dome often pose unique challenges to interventional radiologists. Interventionists should use their anatomic expertise along with the wide range of available imaging and interventional techniques to safely access and successfully manage hepatic dome lesions.
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