Elevated Small Nuclear Ribonucleoprotein Polypeptide an Expression Correlated With Poor Prognosis and Immune Infiltrates in Patients With Hepatocellular Carcinoma
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Background: Elevated Small Nuclear Ribonucleoprotein Polypeptide A (SNRPA) can enhance tumor cell growth and proliferation in various cancers. However, rarely studies focus on the comprehensive analysis of SNRPA in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC).

Methods: TCGA and GEO databases were used to analyze the mRNA expression of SNRPA in HCC. Protein expression of SNAPPA was validated using immunohistochemistry. Stably transfected HCC cells were used to investigate the role of SNRPA in the progression of HCC. The functional enrichment analysis was utilized for the biological function prediction. The CIBERSORT and ssGSEA algorithms were used to evaluate the composition of the tumor microenvironment and immunocyte infiltration ratio.

Results: The SNRPA expression was upregulated in HCC and positively correlated with tumor stage and grade. SNRPA overexpression were independent risk factors for poor overall survival (OS) and recurrence-free survival (RFS). In patients with early-stage disease, low alpha-fetoprotein expression, and better differentiation, SNRPA still exhibited the excellent prognostic value. Knockdown of SNRPA inhibited the proliferation and migration while promoting the apoptosis of HCC cells. Higher methylation of the CpG site cg16596691 correlated with longer OS in HCC patients. Genes co-expressed with SNRPA were overexpressed in HCC and correlated with shorter OS. The GO and KEGG enrichment analysis showed that SNRPA expression was related to mRNA splicing, spliceosome signaling. GSEA demonstrated that the main enrichment pathway of SNRPA-related differential genes was spliceosome signaling, cell cycle signaling, P53 signaling pathway, T cell receptor signaling pathway, natural killer cell-mediated signaling. CIBERSORT and ssGSEA algorithm revealed that SNRPA was mainly associated with the higher proportion of CD8+ T cells, T cells follicular helper, T cells regulatory, Macrophages M0, and the lower
INTRODUCTION

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), the most common primary liver malignant, is the fifth leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide (1). Although significant progress has been made in cancer-related treatment technologies in recent years, the five-year survival rate is still less than 20% in all stages (2). The low diagnostic rate in the early stage of disease and high recurrence rate after curative hepatectomy have been mainly responsible for the poor prognosis (3, 4). Currently, early screening of HCC mainly relied on liver ultrasound examination and serum alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) analysis, but both techniques lack sufficient sensitivity for detecting the early lesions (5, 6). Therefore, the identification of diagnosis and prognosis-related novel molecular markers is crucial for providing novel clues of early diagnosis, guiding early treatment, and ameliorating the prognosis of patients with HCC (7).

The splicing process of pre-messenger RNA was essential in gene expression in eukaryotic cells (8, 9). The dysregulation of the alternative splicing process, such as aberrant expression of genes encoding the splicosomal members and the delocalization of snRNPs, have now been proved to be the molecular basis of many diseases (10–12). Small nuclear ribonucleoprotein polypeptide A (SNRPA) was one of the core proteins of the splicosome. High SNRPA levels have been detected in varieties of cancers and associated with patients’ poor prognoses, such as gastric cancer, and colorectal cancer (13–15). Using bioinformatic analyses, SNRPA has been found overexpressed in HCC, but the prognostic significance and biological function has not yet been elucidated (16).

In this present study, we investigated the SNRPA mRNA and protein expression in HCC and adjacent normal tissues to uncover the diagnostic value, especially for the early stage of diseases. We then analyzed the association between SNRPA expression with the clinical characteristics and clinical outcomes. We conducted cellular and molecular biology assays to further investigate the role of SNRPA in the progression of HCC. Next, we queried the CpG sites and analyzed the correlation between SNRPA methylation with overall survival. The Gene Ontology (GO), Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) and Gene Set Enrichment Analyses (GSEA) were employed to identify the biological functions and signaling pathways through which SNRPA could involve in the tumorigenesis and progression of HCC. Finally, we investigated the correlation of SNRPA expression with the composition of the tumor microenvironment and immunocyte infiltration ratio by the CIBERSORT algorithm and ssGSEA algorithm.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

SNRPA Expression Analysis in Various Public Databases

The mRNA expression level of SNRPA in HCC and adjacent normal tissues were analyzed using datasets from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) and the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) (GSE54236 and GSE76427 datasets) database. The Kaplan Meier plotter database was used to investigate the association between SNRPA expression with overall survival and recurrence-free survival.

Patients and HCC Tissue Specimens

We performed immunohistochemical staining assay to investigate the prognostic significance of SNRPA protein expression in HCC. 161 HCC specimens and relevant complete clinicopathologic characteristics from the patients undergoing radical tumor resection from January 2012 to May 2014 at 900 Hospital of the Joint Logistics Team were collected. All HCC specimens were stored by formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded blocks. The complete clinicopathologic characteristics, including basic clinical features and the survival information. Survival data were acquired through repeat admissions, telephone follow-up, and the Social Security Death Index. The latest end of follow-up date for the last patient was Dec 21, 2019. Our inclusion criteria were listed as follows: patients underwent open liver resection, only one tumor lesion or multiple lesions but limited to one hepatic lobe, Child-Pugh class A or B, without any history of cancer treatment prior to hepatectomy, pathologic examination confirmed the diagnosis of HCC. This investigation was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of the 900th Hospital of the Joint Logistics Team (Fuzhou, China). Written informed consent was obtained from all patients before surgery.

Immunohistochemistry Assay

The formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded blocks were cut into 4-μm sections. Then, the sections were dehydrated and rehydrated using different concentrations of malondialdehyde and ethanol. The antigen retrieval used Tris/Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) (pH 9.0) by boiling tissue sections for 20 min. The sections were co-incubated with 3% H2O2 for 10 min to eliminate endogenous peroxidase activity. Then, the sections were incubated with Anti-U1A antibody (ab155054; 1:300; Abcam, UK) for one hour at 25 °C. Next, the sections were incubated with secondary antibody (1:50,000; KIT-5010; anti-rabbit/mouse IgG; China Fuzhou Maixin Biotechnology
Development Co., Ltd.) for 40 min at 25°C. Finally, the sections were stained with 3,3′-diaminobenzidine and substrate chromogen (Dako) and then counterstained with hematoxylin. SNRPA protein expression was assessed on a semi-quantitative IHC scoring system with five-point scale: 0 (no positive cells); 1 (less than 25% positive cells); 2 (26-50% positive cells); 3 (51-75% positive cells) and 4 (more than 75% positive cells). The IHC staining was evaluated by two separate experienced pathologists who were blinded to patients’ clinical information.

Cell Culture and Plasmid Transfection
The hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines Huh7, HepG2, Hep3B, and normal hepatocyte cell line LO2 were purchased from the Shanghai Cell Bank of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). These cell lines were cultured in DMEM basal medium (HyClone, SH30022.01) supplemented with 1% penicillin-streptomycin (HyClone, SV30010) and 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco, 10099141), in an incubator under a moist atmosphere of 5% CO2 at 37°C. The Huh7 and HepG2 cells were transfected with shSNRPA or sh-NC using Lipofectamine™ 3000 Transfection Kit (L3000015, Invitrogen, USA), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. After incubation for 48h at 37°C, cells were used for subsequent experiments.

Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (qRT-PCR)
The total RNA was extracted from the cells using RNAiso Plus (TaKaRa, 9109, China) and then reverse transcribed into cDNA for subsequent PCR assay using gDNA Purge (Novoprotein, E047-01A, China), according to the manufacturer’s instruction. Then, NovoStart® SYBR qPCR SuperMix Plus (Novoprotein, E096-01B, China) and 7300 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, USA) were used to perform the RT-qPCR. GAPDH was used as the internal control for SNRPA. The 2-ΔΔCT method was used to calculate the mRNA expression of SNRPA. The primer pairs of SNRPA and GAPDH were designed as following: SNRPA Forward: 5′-CAACCCATATCGGATCCAGT-3′, Reverse: 5′-GGATTTCAGAAAGGCGTG-3′ and GAPDH Forward: 5′-ATGGGGAAGGTGAGGTCG-3′, Reverse: 5′-TCGCGGTCATTGATGGCAACATA-3′.

CCK-8 Assay
After transfected 48 h, the HepG2 and Huh7 cells were harvested and seeded (8.0×104 cells/ml) into a 96-well microplate and then placed into an incubator at 37°C for 24, 48,72 and 96 h. Next, a 10 μl Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) (MA0218, Meilune, Dalian, China) reagent was added to each well for 90 min. The viable cells were determined using absorbance at a 450-nm wavelength.

Transwell Migration and Invasion Assays
The transwell assays were performed using 24-well transwell plates (Corning Inc., Corning, NY, USA) to assess the migration and invasion abilities of HepG2 and Huh7 cells. For migration assays, 2 × 105 HCC cells per well were seeded in 200 μl of the serum-free medium in the upper chamber, and 700 μl of 15% FBS medium was added to the lower chamber serving as the chemoattractant solution. For transwell invasion assays, we precoated the 24-well transwell plates with 60μl 1:8 DMEM-diluted Matrigel (BD Biosciences, USA) for 2 h at 37°C before cells were seeded. Subsequently, the migrating and invading cells under the surface of the membrane were fixed by 4% paraformaldehyde (Aladdin, Shanghai, China) for 15 min and stained by crystal violet (MA0150, Meilune, Dalian, China).

Cell Cycle
After cultured stable HepG2 and Huh7 cells, logarithmic-growth phase cells were digested by trypsinization and isolated. Cells were fixed with 75% ethanol at 4°C overnight. Then, 5μl propidium iodide (PI) was used to stain the pelleted cells. Flow cytometry was used to determine the cell cycle distribution (MA0334, Meilune, Dalian, China). The Flojo software (Becton Dickinson, USA) was employed to calculate the percentages of HCC cells at different cell cycle stages.

Cell Apoptosis
After being stably cultured, cells were digested by trypsinization (without EDTA), resuspended in 1× binding buffer at 1×106 cells/ml. Then, 5μl Annexin V-FITC and 10μl PI (MA0220, Meilune, Dalian, China) were sequentially added to the cells. We determine the cell apoptosis using flow cytometry after the cells were incubated at 25°C for 15 min in the dark. Cells that Annexin V-FITC (-)/PI (-) were considered living cells. Cells that Annexin V-FITC (+)/PI (-) and Annexin V-FITC (+)/PI (+) were considered as early apoptotic cells and late apoptotic cells, respectively.

DNA Methylation of SNRPA in HCC
We downloaded the RNAseq and Illumina Human Methylation 450 datasets from the UCSC Xena database to analyze the correlation between SNRPA expression and DNA methylation. Then, we identified the CpG sites that correlated with mRNA expression and survival rate from the MethSurv web tool (17).

Co-Expressed Genes Analysis of SNRPA
Correlated genes that influence SNRPA mRNA expression were identified from the cBioPortal, LinkedOmics, and GEPIA databases, respectively. Then, overlapping genes from three databases with Pearson’s correlation value greater than 0.6 were considered as the co-expressed genes of SNRPA. Next, we performed the GO and KEGG enrichment analysis on these co-expressed genes in the DAVID database to explore the potential biological processes and pathway that SNRPA regulates tumorigenesis and progression. In addition, a PPI network from the co-expressed genes was constructed in the STRING database and visualized in the Cytoscape software (Version 3.5.1).

GSEA
We downloaded the RNAseq dataset of 373 HCC samples from TCGA and separated into high and low expression groups based on the median mRNA expression value of SNRPA. We then performed the GSEA enrichment analysis using GSEA software (Version1.4.2). In this process, “c2.cp.kegg.v7.0.symbols.gmt” was selected as the functional gene set, and P-values <0.05 and false discovery rate q-values <0.25 were considered statistically significant.
Immune Infiltration Analysis
The CIBERSORT software was used to calculate the proportion of 22 types of immune cells in each sample, and the single sample GSEA (ssGSEA) method with the “GSVA” R package was used to determine the level of tumor immune infiltration. We then investigated the correlations between SNRPA mRNA expression and enrichment of 22 immune cell types.

Statistical Analysis
The R software with packages was utilized to perform statistical analysis and generate the figures. The Fisher exact test, two-tailed Student’s t-tests or Wilcoxon test were employed to analyze the associations between SNRPA expression and clinicopathologic features. The univariate and multivariate Cox regression analysis was employed to determine the prognostic value of SNRPA. Correlations analyses were performed using Spearman correlation tests. Kaplan-Meier method with log-rank test was used for comparison of survival rate. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves and area under the curve (AUC) were performed to evaluate the diagnostic value of SNRPA in HCC. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS
SNRPA mRNA Was Overexpressed and Associated With Poor Prognosis in Patients With HCC
We investigated the SNRPA mRNA levels in HCC tissues from TCGA and GEO (GSE54236 and GSE76427 datasets) database and found that SNRPA mRNA expression was significantly upregulated in HCC compared with adjacent normal liver tissues (all P<0.001, Figures 1A–C). In addition, ROC curves revealed that SNRPA mRNA level has excellent diagnostic significance (Figure 1D), with AUC values of 0.856 for TCGA, 0.722 for GSE76427, 0.744 for GSE54236. Furthermore, the expression of SNRPA mRNA gradually increased with the tumor stage (Figure 1E) and tumor grade (Figure 1F) increased. We next looked at the prognostic significance of SNRPA mRNA level and found that patients with high SNRPA expression have shorter OS and RFS (Figures 1G, H). As shown in Figures 11–L, in patients with early tumor stages (stage I+II) and grades (grade I+II), high SNRPA mRNA levels also correlated with poorer OS and RFS (Figures 11–L).

SNRPA Protein Was Overexpressed and Associated With Poor Prognosis in a Cohort of 161 HCC Patients
The IHC staining demonstrated that SNRPA protein was predominantly seen in the nucleus. We exhibited the representative IHC staining pictures of SNRPA protein expression in adjacent normal liver tissue and different IHC scored HCC samples (Figures 2A–F). At the last patient follow-up, the death rates of patients with IHC scoring score of 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4 were 30.0%, 18.2%, 52.0%, 54.5, and 83.3%, and the recurrence rates were 44.3%, 18.2%, 60.0%, 63.6% and 100%, respectively (Figures 2G, H). We divided the 161 HCC patients into two groups of high SNRPA expression (A score of 3 and 4, n=50) and low SNRPA expression (A score of 0, 1, and 2, n=111) based on the IHC staining score. We then investigated the correlations between SNRPA protein expression with clinicopathologic features in HCC. The results showed that patients with high SNRPA protein expression were found to be positively correlated with worse TNM stage (P=0.049), low tumor differentiation (P=0.009), vascular invasion (P=0.006), high recurrence rate (P=0.005), and high death rate (P=0.003), whereas not correlated with age, gender, tumor size, serum AFP level, tumor location, HBsAg, Edmonson grade, Child-Pugh class, and tumor encapsulation (Table 1). In addition, univariate Cox regression analysis indicated that high SNRPA protein level was one of the prognostic factors for OS and/or RFS in the HCC cohort (Table 2). The multivariate Cox regression analysis validated that high SNRPA protein level was an independent risk factor for OS ((aHR (95%CI) 1.724(1.011-2.939), P = 0.045) and/or RFS (aHR (95%CI) 1.672 (1.062-2.631), P = 0.026) in the HCC cohort (Table 3). Kaplan-Meier curve revealed that high expression of SNRPA protein correlated with poorer OS and RFS in HCC (Figures 21-1). Furthermore, there was an increasing trend towards poor OS and RFS with a higher IHC staining score (Figures 2K-L).

SNRPA Protein Expression Has Prognostic Significance in Patients With Early-Stage, Low Tumor Grade, and Median/High Differentiation Subgroups
Further, we studied the prognostic significance of the SNRPA protein expression in early-stage (I+II), low tumor grade (grade I +II), low AFP level (≤400 ng/ml), tumor size ≤5cm, Child-Pugh class A, and median/high differentiation subgroups. The result indicated that in stage I+II, grade I+II, and median/high differentiation subgroups, both OS and RFS of patients with high SNRPA protein expression were significantly shorter than patients with low SNRPA protein expression (Figures 3A-F). In tumor size ≤5cm, AFP ≤400 ng/ml subgroups, high SNRPA protein expression correlated with poor OS (Figures 3G, H), but not correlated with RFS (Figures 3J, K). In addition, in the Child-Pugh class A subgroup, both OS and RFS did not significantly differ between the high and low SNRPA protein expression groups (Figures 3I, L).

SNRPA Regulates the Proliferation and Migration of HCC Cells
We investigated the SNRPA expression in one normal liver cell line (LO2) and three HCC cells line (Huh7, HepG2, Hep3B) and found that SNRPA was significantly overexpressed in HCC cell lines (Figure 4A). Then, the targeted shRNAs (shSNRPA) with lentiviral transfection were transfected into HepG2 and Huh7 cell lines, respectively. Then, the targeted shRNAs (shSNRPA) with lentiviral transfection were transfected into HepG2 and Huh7 cell lines, respectively. The qRT-PCR validated that SNRPA mRNA expression was significantly inhibited in both cell lines. Due its maximum inhibitory effect in both cells, shSNRPA-3 was selected for subsequent experiments. (Figures 4B, C). The CCK-8 assays indicated that SNRPA knockdown inhibited the proliferation of both HepG2 and Huh7 cells compared to the shNC cells (Figures 4D, E). In addition, transwell assays demonstrated that
shSNRPA significantly inhibited the cell migration and invasion in both HCC cells (Figures 4F, G). Furthermore, SNRPA knockdown markedly prolonged the G1 phase and shortened the S phase of both HepG2 and Huh7 cells (Figures 4H, I). Finally, flow cytometry detection illustrated that shSNRPA dramatically enhanced the apoptotic rate of both HCC cells (Figures 4J, K).

**Dysregulation of SNRPA Expression Correlated With DNA Methylation Status in Patients With HCC**

We investigated the correlations between SNRPA mRNA expression and DNA methylation status in the MethSurv database and identified two SNRPA-related methylation CpG sites in HCC: cg04274340 and cg16596691 (Figure 5A). We next analyzed Illumina Human Methylation 450 datasets in TCGA and found that SNRPA mRNA expression was significantly negatively related to the methylation status of the cg16596691 site (Figures 5B, C). In addition, the methylation status of cg16596691 gradually decreased as the tumor stage and grade level increased (Figures 5D, E). Moreover, ROC curve analysis revealed that the methylation status of cg16596691 exhibited excellent diagnostic significance for HCC in TCGA (AUC=0.930, P<0.001, 95% CI: 0.909-0.954, Figure 5F). The survival analysis also indicated that lower methylation of cg16596691 correlated with poorer overall survival probability in HCC (P=0.0096, Figure 5G). All results demonstrated that dysregulation of SNRPA expression and the poorer prognosis was associated with DNA methylation status in patients with HCC.

**FIGURE 1** | SNRPA mRNA levels in HCC and adjacent normal liver tissues and its prognostic value. (A–C) SNRPA mRNA levels were significantly greater in HCC than in normal liver tissues in the TCGA (A), GSE43236 (B) and GSE76427 (C) datasets. (D) ROC curve exhibited the excellent diagnostic value of SNRPA mRNA for HCC in TCGA and GEO databases. (E, F) The SNRPA mRNA expression gradually increased with the tumor stage (E) and tumor grade (F) increased. (G, H) Higher SNRPA mRNA expression was associated with worse OS (G) and RFS (H) in patients with stage I+II, higher SNRPA mRNA levels correlated with poor OS (I) and RFS (J). (K, L) In patients with grade 1 + 2, higher SNRPA mRNA levels correlated with poor OS (K) and RFS (L). *P* < 0.05, **P** < 0.01, ***P*** < 0.001.
Analysis of SNRPA Co-Expressed Genes in Patients With HCC

We subsequently investigated the genes that correlated with SNRPA in their expression from the LinkedOmics, cBioPortal and GEPIA databases, respectively. The 86 overlapping genes from the three databases with Spearman’s values greater than 0.60 were selected as co-expressed genes with SNRPA (Figure 6A). Next, the Retrieval of Interacting Genes (STRING) database was utilized to analyze the significant interactions between SNRPA and its 86 co-expressed genes. We then established and visualized a protein-protein interaction (PPI) network with 40 nodes and 96 edges using Cytoscape software (Figure 6B). In the PPI network, six proteins (SNRPB, SNRPD1, SNRPG, SNRPF, PRPF31, SNRNPT70) directly interacted with SNRPA. In TCGA database, Correlation analysis validated that these six genes significantly positively correlated with the expression of SNRPA (Figure 6C). Furthermore, the survival analysis in TCGA revealed that higher level of these six genes correlated with poorer overall survival probability in patients with HCC (Figure 6D).

Overexpression of SNRPA Was Associated With the Spliceosome Signaling Pathway in HCC

For clarifying the mechanism and signaling pathway whereby SNRPA promotes the tumorigenesis and progression in HCC, we performed the GO and KEGG analyses on 86 co-expressed genes with SNRPA in the Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID). In the GO Biological Process analysis, the co-expressed genes were mainly involved in splicing processes, such as RNA splicing, mRNA splicing via spliceosome, spliceosomal snRNP assembly (Figure 7A). In the GO cellular component, these genes were mainly related to spliceosomal complex, Sm-like protein family complex, spliceosomal snRNP complex, and spliceosomal tri-snRNP complex (Figure 7B). In the GO molecular function, these genes were mainly related to ubiquitin-like protein transferase activity, catalytic activity acting on RNA, ribonucleoprotein complex binding, and snRNA binding (Figure 7C). In the KEGG analysis, the Spliceosome, Cell cycle, Oocyte meiosis, Ubiquitin mediated proteolysis, Glycospolyphepatidylinositol (GPI)-anchor biosynthesis were greatly enriched (Figure 7D).

We next performed a GSEA using mRNA expression data in TCGA to further explore the potential pathways that SNRPA implicated in tumorigenesis and HCC progression. Results showed that high SNRPA level patients correlated with Spliceosome, Cell cycle, Ribosome, P53 signaling pathway, T cell receptor signaling pathway, Base excision repair, and DNA replication, etc (Figures 7E, a). Meanwhile, the low SNRPA level patients negatively correlated with Lysing degradation, Propanoate metabolism, N glycan biosynthesis, Peroxisome, B cell receptor signaling pathway, etc (Figures 7E, b). All results demonstrated that SNRPA mRNA was implicated in the spliceosome signaling pathway in HCC.

Association Between SNRPA mRNA Expression and Tumor-Infiltrating Immune Cells

Previous studies have shown that independent tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes play an essential role in the prognosis prediction in a variety of cancers (18–20). Therefore, we investigated the associations between SNRPA expression and 22 immune-cell subsets in HCC using the CIBERSORT algorithm and ssGSEA. We calculated the estimated fractions of 22 immune cells in each HCC tissue and visualized it in a box plot. As shown in Figure 8A, the different colors correspond to different types of immune cells (Figure 8A). Then, we investigated the infiltration difference of immune cells between the high and low SNRPA expression groups (Figure 8B). In addition, the CIBERSORT algorithm revealed that high SNRPA expression patients have a higher proportion of CD8+ T cells, T cells follicular helper, T cells regulatory, Macrophages M0, whereas a lower proportion of T cells CD4+ memory resting, NK cells resting, Monocytes, and Mast cells resting (Figure 8C). We further investigated the correlation between SNRPA and 22 immune cells in HCC using ssGSEA with Spearman r analysis (Figure 8D). Results uncovered that SNRPA mRNA expression positively correlated with infiltration levels of CD8+ T cells (r=0.229, P<0.001), T cells follicular helper (r=0.167, P=0.001), T cells regulatory (r=0.258, P<0.001) and Macrophages (r=0.164, P=0.002) (Figures 8E a–d). Whereas, SNRPA mRNA expression negatively correlated with infiltration levels of T cells CD4+ memory restir (r=-0.203, P<0.001), NK cells resting (r=-0.111, P=0.033), Monocytes (r=-0.155, P=0.003) and Mast cells resting (r=-0.194, P<0.001) (Figures 8E e–h). These results suggested that SNRPA potential regulates the extent of immune cell infiltration in HCC.
As one of the most common aggressive tumors, HCC is the fifth leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide and results in a significant economic and health burden (1, 21). Despite the great progress has been made in molecular targeted therapy and immunotherapy in the past several years, the overall prognosis of patients with HCC is still poor (17, 22–24). The low detection rate, rapid progression, and easy recurrence after curative hepatectomy were the primary causes of the poor prognosis in patients with HCC. Therefore, there is an urgent need for clarification of the molecular mechanism of the tumorigenesis and development of the HCC and identification of biomarkers with prognostic value and exploration of new targets to improve prognosis (25).

**TABLE 1** | Correlation between SNRPA protein expression and clinicopathologic features in 161 patients with hepatocellular carcinoma.

| Characteristics               | SNRPA level | χ² | *P-Value | N  | high(n) | low(n) |
|-------------------------------|-------------|----|--------|----|---------|--------|
| Age (year)                    |             |    |        |    |         |        |
| >55                           | 105         | 36 | 69     | 1.471 | 0.225  |
| <55                           | 56          | 14 | 42     | 0.012 | 0.973  |
| Gender                        |             |    |        |    |         |        |
| Male                          | 141         | 44 | 97     | 3.265 | 0.071  |
| Female                        | 20          | 6  | 14     | 0.117 | 0.736  |
| Tumor size (cm)               |             |    |        |    |         |        |
| >5cm                          | 86          | 32 | 54     | 3.559 | 0.049  |
| <=5cm                         | 75          | 18 | 57     | 0.009 |        |
| TNM stage                     |             |    |        |    |         |        |
| I/II                          | 107         | 28 | 79     | 9.392 | 0.009  |
| III                           | 54          | 22 | 32     |       |        |
| Serum AFP level               |             |    |        |    |         |        |
| >400ng/ml                     | 95          | 66 | 46     | 0.030 | 0.863  |
| <=400ng/ml                    | 95          | 30 | 65     |       |        |
| Tumor location                |             |    |        |    |         |        |
| Left                          | 53          | 16 | 37     | 0.028 | 0.868  |
| Right                         | 108         | 34 | 74     |       |        |
| Tumor differentiation         |             |    |        |    |         |        |
| Low                           | 23          | 13 | 10     | 0.133 | 0.717  |
| Median                        | 106         | 31 | 75     |       |        |
| High                          | 32          | 6  | 26     |       |        |
| HBsAg                         |             |    |        |    |         |        |
| Positive                      | 74          | 21 | 53     | 0.459 | 0.498  |
| Negative                      | 87          | 29 | 58     |       |        |
| Edmonson grade                |             |    |        |    |         |        |
| I                            | 28          | 10 | 18     | 0.344 | 0.558  |
| II-IV                         | 133         | 40 | 93     |       |        |
| Child-Pugh class              |             |    |        |    |         |        |
| A                            | 76          | 27 | 49     | 1.344 | 0.246  |
| B                            | 85          | 23 | 62     |       |        |
| Vascular invasion             |             |    |        |    |         |        |
| Yes                           | 77          | 32 | 45     | 7.603 | 0.006  |
| No                            | 84          | 18 | 66     |       |        |
| Tumor encapsulation           |             |    |        |    |         |        |
| Yes                           | 110         | 35 | 75     | 0.094 | 0.759  |
| No                            | 51          | 15 | 36     |       |        |
| Recurrence                    |             |    |        |    |         |        |
| Yes                           | 83          | 34 | 49     | 7.855 | 0.005  |
| No                            | 78          | 16 | 62     |       |        |
| Survival status               |             |    |        |    |         |        |
| Alive                         | 66          | 29 | 37     | 8.671 | 0.003  |
| Dead                          | 95          | 21 | 74     |       |        |

TNM, tumor node metastasis; AFP, alpha fetoprotein. *P-Value<0.05 were considered statistically significant. Bold value considered statistically significant.

**DISCUSSION**

As one of the most common aggressive tumors, HCC is the fifth leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide and result in a significant economic and health burden (1, 21). Despite the great progress has been made in molecular targeted therapy and immunotherapy in the past several years, the overall prognosis of patients with HCC is still poor (17, 22–24). The low detection rate, rapid progression, and easy recurrence after curative hepatectomy were the primary causes of the poor prognosis in patients with HCC. Therefore, there is an urgent need for clarification of the molecular mechanism of the tumorigenesis and development of the HCC and identification of biomarkers with prognostic value and exploration of new targets to improve prognosis (25).

**TABLE 2** | Univariate Cox Regression analysis of overall survival and recurrence-free survival in 161 patients with hepatocellular carcinoma.

| variables                  | Overall survival | *P-Value | Recurrence-free survival | *P-Value |
|----------------------------|------------------|----------|--------------------------|---------|
| HR (95% CI)                |                  |          |                          |         |
| Age (year) >55 vs. <=55    | 0.883 (0.536-1.454) | 0.625 | 0.704 (0.453-1.095) | 0.120  |
| Gender Male vs. Female     | 0.664 (0.285-1.549) | 0.343 | 1.277 (0.692-2.355) | 0.433  |
| Tumor size (cm) >5 vs. <=5 | 1.934 (1.156-3.234) | 0.012 | 1.801 (1.153-2.812) | 0.010  |
| TNM stage I/II vs. III     | 1.935 (1.188-3.152) | 0.008 | 0.818 (0.521-1.528) | 0.383  |
| Serum AFP level >400 vs <=400 | 1.766 (1.085-2.875) | 0.022 | 1.597 (1.037-2.458) | 0.033  |
| Tumor location Left vs. right | 0.851 (0.513-1.414) | 0.534 | 1.169 (0.731-1.868) | 0.515  |
| Tumor differentiation High vs. median/low | 1.347 (0.686-2.644) | 0.386 | 1.773 (1.001-3.155) | 0.048  |
| HBsAg Positive vs. negative | 1.326 (0.814-2.155) | 0.257 | 0.952 (0.617-1.469) | 0.826  |
| Edmonson grade I vs. II-IV | 0.640 (0.305-1.341) | 0.237 | 0.581 (0.300-1.127) | 0.108  |
| Child-Pugh class A vs. B   | 5.187 (2.693-9.078) | <0.001 | 1.767 (1.142-2.734) | 0.011  |
| Vascular invasion Yes vs. no | 1.859 (1.131-3.054) | 0.014 | 2.470 (1.578-3.865) | <0.001 |
| Tumor encapsulation Yes vs. no | 0.897 (0.536-1.501) | 0.679 | 0.288 (0.185-0.447) | <0.001 |
| SNRPA protein level High vs. low | 2.221 (1.360-3.627) | 0.001 | 1.840 (1.187-2.853) | 0.006  |

HR, Hazard ratio; CI, confidential interval; TNM, tumor node metastasis; AFP, alpha fetoprotein. *P-Value<0.05 were considered statistically significant. Bold value considered statistically significant.
Spliceosome, was responsible for the excision of introns from pre-messenger RNA and the connection of exons in sequence (26–28). The splicing process of pre-messenger RNA was essential in gene expression in eukaryotic cells and the alterations of splicing patterns may lead directly to tumorigenesis (27, 29, 30). Numerous previous studies have shown that many spliceosomal relevant biomarkers can be used as the easy and non-invasive way to detect and estimate the prognosis of HCC. Splicing factor 3B subunit 1

TABLE 3 | Multivariate Cox Regression analysis of overall survival and recurrence-free survival in 161 patients with hepatocellular carcinoma.

| variables                  | Overall survival aHR (95%CI) | *P-Value | Recurrence-free survival aHR (95%CI) | *P-Value |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------|----------|
| Tumor size (cm)           | >5 vs. <=5                   | 0.971(0.501-1.884) | 0.931 | 0.814(0.498-1.329) | 0.411 |
| TNM staging               | I/II vs. III                 | 0.870(0.472-1.634) | 0.682 |                                   |        |
| Serum AFP level           | >=400 vs <=400               | 0.649(0.389-1.084) | 0.099 | 0.682(0.434-1.072) | 0.097 |
| Tumor differentiation     | High vs. median/low          | 0.912(0.478-1.740) | 0.780 |                                   |        |
| Child-Pugh class          | A vs. B                      | 0.192(0.106-0.349) | <0.001 | 0.723(0.456-1.148) | 0.169 |
| Vascular invasion         | Yes vs. no                   | 0.642(0.372-1.106) | 0.110 | 0.478(0.290-0.781) | 0.003 |
| Tumor encapsulation       | Yes vs. no                   | 0.808(0.476-1.372) | 0.431 | 3.433(2.163-5.448) | <0.001 |
| SNRPA protein level       | High vs. low                 | 1.724(1.011-2.939) | 0.045 | 1.672(1.062-2.631) | 0.026 |

aHR, adjusted Hazard ratio; CI, confidential interval; TNM, tumor node metastasis; AFP, alpha fetoprotein. *P-Value<0.05 were considered statistically significant. Bold value considered statistically significant.

Spliceosome, was responsible for the excision of introns from pre-messenger RNA and the connection of exons in sequence (26–28). The splicing process of pre-messenger RNA was essential in gene expression in eukaryotic cells and the alterations of splicing patterns may lead directly to tumorigenesis (27, 29, 30). Numerous previous studies have shown that many spliceosomal relevant biomarkers can be used as the easy and non-invasive way to detect and estimate the prognosis of HCC. Splicing factor 3B subunit 1

FIGURE 3 | The prognostic value of the SNRPA protein levels in patients with early-stage subgroups. (A–C) In stage I+II (A), grade I+II (B), and median/high differentiation subgroups (C), higher SNRPA protein expression significantly correlated with shorter OS. (D–F) In stage I+II (D), grade I+II (E), and median/high differentiation subgroups (F), higher SNRPA protein expression significantly correlated with shorter RFS. (G–I) In tumor size ≤ 5cm (G), AFP ≤ 400 ng/ml (H), and higher SNRPA protein expression correlated with poor OS. (J) There was no correlation between the SNRPA protein expression and OS in the Child-Pugh class A subgroup. (K–L) In tumor size ≤ 5cm (J), AFP ≤ 400 ng/ml (K), and Child-Pugh class A (L) subgroup, RFS did not significantly differ between the high and low SNRPA protein expression groups.
SF3B1 was reported overexpressed in HCC, and its silence inhibited cell viability, proliferation and migration of HCC cell lines (31). Small nuclear ribonucleoprotein polypeptide C (SNRPC), coding essential protein of spliceosome, was reported to promote the viability and epithelial-mesenchymal transition of HCC cells (32). In addition, Wang et al. reported that small nuclear ribonucleoprotein polypeptide D1 (SNRPD1) was regulated directly by miR-100 and promoted the progression of HCC through regulating the mTOR pathway and autophagy (33).

Previous studies have confirmed that SNRPA was considered a tumor enhancer that can promote the tumorigenesis and progression of lung cancer, gastric cancer, cervical cancer and colorectal cancer (14, 15, 34–36). Herein, we first systematically investigated the prognostic value, clinical significance and gene function of SNRPA in HCC.

We investigated the SNRPA mRNA levels in HCC based on the TCGA and GEO database and found that SNRPA mRNA was significantly upregulated in HCC compared with normal tissues.
In addition, SNRPA mRNA levels gradually increased with the tumor stage and tumor grade increased. ROC curve exhibited the excellent diagnostic significance of SNRPA mRNA level. Kaplan-Meier survival analyses revealed that patients with high SNRPA expression had shorter OS and RFS. Furthermore, in patients with early tumor stages (stage I+II) and grades (grade I+II), SNRPA mRNA levels still exhibited significant prognostic value. We next investigated the SNRPA protein levels in a cohort with 161 HCC patients and found that SNRPA protein also overexpressed in HCC samples compared with adjacent normal liver tissues. Moreover, higher SNRPA protein expression was statistically associated with poorer clinicopathological features and prognosis. Most importantly, multivariate cox analysis validated that SNRPA protein expression was an independent prognostic factor for HCC. These results illustrated that SNRPA was of good prognostic value in HCC. Therefore, postoperative
SNRPA IHC detection may be helpful for the prediction of prognosis after HCC resection.

In our study, we also conducted cellular and molecular biology assays to further investigate the role of SNRPA in the progression of HCC and found that SNRPA mRNA was significantly upregulated in HCC cell lines compared with the normal liver cell line. When SNRPA was knockdown, the proliferative capacity of HepG2 and Huh7 cells were markedly inhibited. The transwell assay demonstrated that knockdown of the SNRPA also inhibited the migration and invasion of two HCC cells. In addition, knockdown of the SNRPA expression also inhibited cell cycle progression and promoted apoptosis. These results suggested that SNRPA plays prominent cancer-promoting roles and may be valuable drug targets.

DNA methylation, the most in-depth studied epigenetic alterations mechanism, has been confirmed to be causally related to various mechanisms of proliferation and metastasis of HCC (37). Growing evidence indicated that aberrant DNA methylation level extensively leads to the dysregulation of gene
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FIGURE 6 | Analysis of co-expressed genes with SNRPA in HCC. (A) 86 overlapping co-expressed genes with SNRPA were identified from the three databases. (B) The PPI network showed that the SNRPD1, SNRPB, SNRPG, SNRPF, PRPF31, and SNRNP70 protein directly interact with SNRPA. (C) Correlation of SNRPA mRNA levels with SNRPB (a), SNRPD1 (b), SNRPF (c), SNRPG (d), PRPF31 (e), and SNRNP70 (f) mRNA levels. (D) Associations between SNRPB (a), SNRPD1 (b), SNRPF (c), SNRPG (d), PRPF31 (e), and SNRNP70 (f) mRNA levels and the OS of HCC patients.
In our study, we found a clear correlation between the SNRPA overexpression and the hypomethylation of the CpG site cg16596691. Furthermore, the cg16596691 methylation level gradually decreased as the tumor stage and grade level increased, and hypomethylation of the CpG site cg16596691 was associated with poor OS in patients with HCC. Moreover, ROC curve analysis exhibited excellent diagnostic value of cg16596691 methylation status for HCC. These results demonstrated that hypomethylation of SNRPA contributed to SNRPA mRNA overexpression in HCC.

For clarifying the mechanism and signaling pathway whereby SNRPA promotes the tumorigenesis and progression in HCC, we performed the functional enrichment analysis. Results of GO Biological Process analysis revealed that SNRPA was mainly associated with the process of RNA splicing. In addition, KEGG and GSEA analysis revealed that SNRPA was involved in the spliceosome signaling pathway. Interestingly, numerous studies have shown that spliceosome signaling contributed enormously to the tumorigenesis and advancement of multiple tumor types (27, 40, 41). More importantly, in our previous study, the genes most relevant to survival were mainly enriched in the spliceosome pathway in the GEPIA database (40). Based on the above research results, it is reasonable to speculate that SNRPA functions as an oncogene that promotes HCC tumorigenesis and progression via the spliceosome signaling pathway, which needs further study.

Besides, we investigated the associations between SNRPA expression and 22 immune-cell subsets in HCC using the CIBERSORT algorithm and ssGSEA. The results of the CIBERSORT algorithm uncovered that high SNRPA expression correlated with a higher proportion of CD8+T cells, T cells follicular helper, T cells regulatory, Macrophages M0, and a lower proportion of T cells CD4 memory resting, NK cells resting, Monocytes, and Mast cells resting. Wolf et al. reported that high proportion of CD8+T cells of HCC promotes liver damage and HCC tumorigenesis and progression through interaction with NKT cells (42). In addition, the proliferation in T cells follicular helper was found in HCC compared with healthy control and promoted the progression (43). In our study, the high SNRPA expression level was associated with a lower proportion of NK cells resting and Monocytes. Previous studies have indicated that monocyte performs functions that
contribute to antitumoral immunity, including phagocytosis, secretion of tumoricidal mediators (44, 45). Therefore, dysregulation of these immune cells in patients with higher SNRPA expression levels may be a reasonable explanation for the poor prognosis.

CONCLUSION

SNRPA mRNA and protein levels were significantly greater in HCC tissues than in adjacent normal liver tissues and SNRPA could be an independent prognostic marker for predicting poor outcomes in HCC. The aberrant expression of SNRPA may be correlated with the dysregulation of DNA methylation. SNRPA plays prominent cancer-promoting roles through the spliceosome signaling pathway and may be valuable drug target. These findings can serve as a reference for subsequent experimental studies.
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