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ABSTRACT

A new low power CMOS ASIC for the detection of X-rays was optimized for low power and low noise. Theoretical calculations and optimizations are presented and compared with experimental results. Noise as low as 120+25\text{pF\text{ENC rms}} was obtained including a silicon detector of 1.3\text{pF} and 0.3\text{nA} of leakage. The power consumption is less than 100\text{W}. Other circuit parameters are also shown.
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1. INTRODUCTION

During the last years, an important effort has been dedicated to the development of electronics circuits for nuclear radiation measurements using crystalline and amorphous silicon diodes detectors. Recently we reported [1] a full custom integrated circuit designed for X-ray photon detection in a new system approach to digital radiography. For pixel array architectures, a big amount of pixels is needed to obtain high resolution and thus low power consumption and small area per channel are required in the readout circuits. Detection of soft X-ray used in medical applications also requires high gain preamplifiers and very low overall noise in the circuit. In this paper we present calculations and experimental results obtained for low noise optimization, while maintaining low power consumption and other required parameters.

2. READOUT CIRCUIT CHARACTERISTICS

The front end of the readout circuit consists of a Charge Sensitive Amplifier (CSA), designed to integrate the charge collected at the detector during a period of time much bigger than its collection time in order to create a voltage pulse at the output of the circuit. CSA are low pass filters with an integration time mainly dependent on the output impedance, peak voltage and feedback capacitor. Their noise is mainly due to the high transconductance input MOS transistor. To cut this unnecessary noise a narrow band filter called Shaper must be included and its parameters optimized to achieve required signal to noise ratio. JFET transistors have less noise than MOS, but are difficult to implement using standard CMOS technology employed in our circuit.

Fig. 1 shows the first two blocks of the ASIC corresponding to the CSA and SHP, designed to obtain a maximum output voltage swing of 3V, a shaping time less than 5\mu\text{s} for a capacitive load of 20\text{pF}, a power consumption less than 100\mu\text{W} and a single voltage supply of 5V, so it can be used in portable systems, space and big matrix detectors. The calculated gain was 3446\text{mV/fC}, to allow detection of charges above 400 electrons, if noise is
maintained below 200 electrons. The detector used in [1] had a capacitance of $C_d = 1.3 \text{ pF}$ and diode leakage of $I_s = 0.35 \text{ nA}$. Fig. 2 shows the schematics of the detector diode with an AC coupling to the readout circuit.

3. NOISE OPTIMIZATION

Noise was theoretically minimized optimizing the detector bias resistor $R_{\text{bias}}$, the input transistor transconductance $g_m$, and the shaping time of the filter $\tau$. The noise in the circuit, expressed by the Equivalent Noise Charge (ENC) at the input, was calculated through equations [2,3]:

$$ENC_{d} = \sqrt{\left(2qI_s + \frac{4kT}{R_{\text{bias}}}\right) \tau \left(1.57 \times 7.39\right) \frac{1}{q^2 4\pi}}$$

$$ENC_{\text{th}} = \sqrt{\frac{8kT}{g_m} \frac{C_t^2}{q^2 4\pi \tau}}$$

$$ENC_f = \sqrt{\frac{K_f \times C_f^2}{C_{\text{conf}}^2 WL q^2}}$$

where detailed description of the parameters and their values are shown in Table I.

![Diagram](image)

*Figure 1. Electronic readout circuit of the channel including the integrator CSA and Shaper.*
Total noise is calculated by:

$$\text{ENC}_{\text{tot}}^2 = \text{ENC}_{\text{sh}}^2 + \text{ENC}_{\text{det}}^2 + \text{ENC}_{\text{I}}^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

Optimized shaping time was calculated using the condition:

$$\frac{d\text{ENC}_{\text{tot}}}{d\tau} = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)$$

obtaining the value:

$$\tau \cong C_t \sqrt{\frac{8kT/3g_m}{2qI_L}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)$$

The dependence of ENC on each of the parameters is calculated using a program written in “Mathematica” and is shown in Fig. 3. Table I indicates the optimized and used parameters in the circuit design and optimization.

Figure 3. Dependence of $\text{ENC}_{\text{tot}}$ vs. all other parameters, using values reported in Table 1, for $T=300$ °C.
Table 1. Optimized and other circuit parameters for ORBIT 2 µm, N well process.

| Parameter (description)                        | Symbol | Value | Unit |
|------------------------------------------------|--------|-------|------|
| Channel width of the input transistor of the CSA | $W$    | 788   | µm   |
| Length width of the input transistor of the CSA  | $L$    | 2     | µm   |
| Lateral diffusion of the input transistor of the CSA | $L_D$  | 0.112 | µm   |
| Current in the input transistor of the CSA       | $I_{ds}$ | 3     | µA   |
| Transconductance of the input transistor of the CSA | $g_{m}$ | 352.5 | µA/V |
| Detector diode capacitance                      | $C_d$  | 1.3   | pF   |
| Total capacitance at the CSA input              | $C_t$  | 4.16  | pF   |
| Leakage current in the detector diode           | $I_L$  | 0.3864| pA   |
| Bias resistor for the AC connection in the detector diode | $R_{bias}$ | 50 | MΩ   |
| Feedback capacitance of the CSA                 | $C_f$  | 30    | fF   |
| Feedback resistance of the CSA                  | $R_f$  | > 100 | MΩ   |
| 1/f technology process coefficient              | $K_f$  | $1 \times 10^{-27}$ | C²/m² |
| Time constant of the Shaper                     | $\tau$ | 2.1   | µs   |
| Technology transconductance parameter           | $K'$   | 52.5  | µA/V² |
| Integrator order                                | $n$    | 1     | -    |

ENC rms noise for each of the contribution was:

- $ENC_f = 21.1393$ electrons
- $ENC_d = 184.15$ electrons
- $ENC_t = 208.85$ electrons (with detector)
- $ENC_{tot} = 98.5256$ electrons (without detector)

4. NOISE MEASUREMENTS

We used two different methods to measure the noise. In the first method the output waveform of the Shaper is stored and analyzed using a digital oscilloscope. Fig 4a shows the pulse characteristics, while Fig. 4b shows noise at the output of the circuit without detector for an input capacitance of $C_r = 3pF$.

![Figure 4. a) Curve (1)- CSA input signal equivalent to 3000 electrons; curve (2) - CSA output signal and curve (3)- Shaper output signal. All points in the memory are included for mathematical processing. b) Curve (1) - CSA input signal equivalent to 3000 electrons; curve (2)- CSA output signal and curve (3)- Shaper output signal. Only the points inside the window were included for mathematical processing.](image-url)
ENC was calculated from $ampl(3)$ in Fig.4a and $rms(3)$ in Fig.4b using the following relations:

$$\text{ENC} = \frac{ampl(1) \text{[electrons]}\cdot rms(3)}{ampl(3)} = \frac{3000 \text{electrons} \cdot 74.3 \text{mV}}{1.654 \text{V}} = 134 \text{electrons}$$

(7)

Repeating the same for different values of input capacitance, points were traced and fitted to the equation:

$$\text{ENC}_{\text{experim}} \text{[electrons]} = 120 + 2.5 \cdot C_{\text{in}} \text{[pF]}.$$  

(8)

The second method used was to vary the amount of injected charge by changing the peak input voltage pulse applied through a capacitance equal to the CSA feedback capacitance. The output of the Shaper was connected to a counter with a fixed threshold voltage of 4 V. The pulse generator was set in burst mode with 2000 pulses. The amount of pulses was counted for each voltage step and different input capacitance values indicated in Table 2.

The pulse amplitude vs $C_{\text{in}}$ was plotted for each column in Table 2; differentiated and fitted to a gaussian function. The width of each adjusted function is a double sigma $(2\sigma)$ shown in Table 3. The linear equation that better fits to all $\sigma$ points can be expressed as:

$$\text{ENC}_{\text{theor}} \text{[electrons]} = 90 + 2.5 \cdot C_{\text{in}} \text{[pF]}.$$  

(9)

| Pulse $Cin$ $\text{[pF]}$ | 0 | 3.4 | 6.02 | 9.86 | 17.3 | 26.7 | 39.6 | 47.4 | 55.6 |
|--------------------------|---|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| 0                        | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    |
| 6                        | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    |
| 8                        | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    |
| 9                        | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0    | 1    | 1    | 4    | 7    | 33   |
| 10                       | 992| 2000| 1999 | 1986 | 1922 | 1661 | 1199 | 1043 | 1152 |
| 11                       | 2000| 2    | 2000 | 1995 | 1830 | 1774 | 1736 |
| 12                       | 2000| 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | 1999 | 1992 | 1977 |
| 13                       | 2000| 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 |

Table II. Input voltage pulses in [mV] vs. the amount of pulses counted, by the counter at the shaper output, for different input capacitance values in [pF].

| Cin $\text{[pF]}$ | ENC $\text{[mV]}$ $(2\sigma)$ | $(2\sigma)$ | $(\sigma)$ |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| 0               | 0.81776 | 163.552 | 81.776 |
| 3.4             | 1.1946  | 238.92  | 119.46     |
| 6.02            | 1.0514  | 210.28  | 105.14     |
| 9.86            | 1.0222  | 204.44  | 102.22     |
| 17.3            | 1.2045  | 240.9   | 120.45     |
| 26.7            | 1.4591  | 291.82  | 145.91     |
| 39.6            | 1.8779  | 375.58  | 187.79     |
| 47.4            | 1.9731  | 394.62  | 197.31     |
| 55.6            | 2.1545  | 430.9   | 215.45     |

Table III. Width of the gaussian curve (ENC rms) for each value of input capacitance [pF].
From both experimental methods used above to calculate the noise of the circuit we see that values are similar, that confirm the accuracy of measurements, and indicates correctness of theoretical optimizations, $ENC = 98$ electrons against the experimental one $ENC = 123$ electrons. The small difference can be attributed to circuit parasitic capacitances that change the filtering properties.

5. CONCLUSIONS

Theoretical calculations for noise optimization and experimental measurements of the noise in a low power, high output swing readout circuit for signal particle detection is presented, which ensure detection of as low as 400 electrons. Validity of the theoretical prediction is demonstrated using 2 methods to determine the experimental noise. The circuit presents noise as low as $ENC_{\text{tot}} = 123$ electrons rms for $C = 1.3$ pF input capacitance while keeping a power consumption lower than 100 W, and providing an output swing of 3 V which can be analyzed by laboratory equipment without other amplification stages.
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