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ABSTRACT. If $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_n$ are limit groups and $S \subset \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_n$ is of type $\text{FP}_n(\mathbb{Q})$ then $S$ contains a subgroup of finite index that is itself a direct product of at most $n$ limit groups. This answers a question of Sela.

1. Introduction

The systematic study of the higher finiteness properties of groups was initiated forty years ago by Wall [29] and Serre [26]. In 1963, Stallings [28] constructed the first example of a finitely presented group $\Gamma$ with $H_3(\Gamma; \mathbb{Q})$ infinite dimensional; his example was a subgroup of a direct product of three free groups. This was the first indication of the great diversity to be found amongst the finitely presented subgroups of direct products of free groups, a theme developed in [4].

In contrast, Baumslag and Roseblade [3] proved that in a direct product of two free groups the only finitely presented subgroups are the obvious ones: such a subgroup is either free or has a subgroup of finite index that is a direct product of free groups. In [11] the present authors explained this contrast by proving that the exotic behaviour among the finitely presented subgroups of direct products of free groups is accounted for entirely by the failure of higher homological-finiteness conditions. In particular, we proved that the only subgroups $S$ of type $\text{FP}_n$ in a direct product of $n$ free groups are the obvious ones: if $S$ intersects each of the direct factors non-trivially, it virtually splits as the direct product of these intersections. We also proved that this splitting phenomenon persists when one replaces free groups by the fundamental groups of compact surfaces [11]; in the light of the work of Delzant and Gromov [17], this has significant implications for the structure of Kähler groups.

Date: 18 Sept 2007.
1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 20F65, 20F67, 20J05 (20E07, 20E08, 20E26).

Key words and phrases. subdirect products, homology of groups, limit groups, residually-free groups.

This work was supported in part by the CNRS (France) and by Alliance Scientifique grant # PN 05.004. Bridson is supported by a Royal Society Nuffield Research Merit Award and an EPSRC Senior Fellowship.
Examples show that the splitting phenomenon for $FP_\infty$ subgroups does not extend to products of more general 2-dimensional hyperbolic groups or higher-dimensional Kleinian groups [7]. But recent work at the confluence of logic, group theory and topology has brought to the fore a class of groups that is more profoundly tied to surface and free groups than either of the above classes, namely limit groups.

Limit groups arise naturally from several points of view. They are perhaps most easily described as the finitely generated groups $L$ that are fully residually free (or $\omega$–residually free): for any finite subset $T \subset L$ there exists a homomorphism from $L$ to a free group that is injective on $T$. It is in this guise that limit groups were studied extensively by Kharlampovich and Myasnikov [18, 19, 20]. They are also known as $\exists$-free groups [21], reflecting the fact that these are precisely the finitely generated groups that have the same existential theory as a free group.

More geometrically, limit groups are the finitely generated groups that have a Cayley graph in which each ball of finite radius is isometric to a ball of the same radius in some Cayley graph of a free group of fixed rank.

The name limit group was introduced by Sela. His original definition involved a certain limiting action on an $\mathbb{R}$-tree, but he also emphasized that these are precisely the groups that arise when one takes limits of stable sequences of homomorphisms $\phi_n : G \to F$, where $G$ is an arbitrary finitely generated group and $F$ is a free group; stable means that for each $g \in G$ either $I_g = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \phi_n(g) = 1 \}$ or $J_g = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \phi_n(g) \neq 1 \}$ is finite, and the limit of $(\phi_n)$ is the quotient of $G$ by \{ $g | |I_g| = \infty$ \}.

In his account [25] of the outstanding problems concerning limit groups, Sela asked whether the main theorem of [11] could be extended to cover limit groups. The present article represents the culmination of a project to prove that it can. Building on ideas and results from [11, 8, 9, 10, 13] we prove:

**Theorem A.** If $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_n$ are limit groups and $S \subset \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_n$ is a subgroup of type $FP_n(\mathbb{Q})$, then $S$ is virtually a direct product of $n$ or fewer limit groups.

Combining this result with the fact that every finitely generated residually free group can be embedded into a direct product of finitely many limit groups ([19, Corollary 2], [22, Claim 7.5]), we obtain:

**Corollary 1.1.** Every residually free group of type $FP_\infty(\mathbb{Q})$ is virtually a direct product of a finite number of limit groups.

B. Baumslag [2] proved that a finitely generated, residually free group is fully residually free (i.e. a limit group) unless it contains a subgroup isomorphic to $F \times \mathbb{Z}$, where $F$ is a free group of rank 2. Corollary [1.1] together with the methods used to prove Theorem [A] yield the following generalization of Baumslag’s result:
Corollary 1.2. Let $\Gamma$ be a residually free group of type $\text{FP}_n(\mathbb{Q})$ where $n \geq 1$, let $F$ be a free group of rank 2 and let $F^n$ denote the direct product of $n$ copies of $F$. Either $\Gamma$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $F^n \times \mathbb{Z}$ or else $\Gamma$ is virtually a direct product of $n$ or fewer limit groups.

We also prove that if a subgroup of a direct product of $n$ limit groups fails to be of type $\text{FP}_n(\mathbb{Q})$, then one can detect this failure in the homology of a subgroup of finite index.

Theorem B. Let $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_n$ be limit groups and let $S \subset \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_n$ be a finitely generated subgroup with $L_i = \Gamma_i \cap S$ non-abelian for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

If $L_i$ is finitely generated for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and not finitely generated for $i > r$, then there is a subgroup of finite index $S_0 \subset S$ such that $S_0 = S_1 \times S_2$, where $S_1$ is the direct product of the limit groups $S_0 \cap \Gamma_i$, $i \leq r$ and (if $r < n$) $S_2 = S_0 \cap (\Gamma_{r+1} \times \cdots \times \Gamma_n)$ has $H_k(S_2; \mathbb{Q})$ infinite dimensional for some $k \leq n - r$.

In Section 9 we shall prove a more technical version of Theorem B and account for abelian intersections.

Theorems A and B are the exact analogues of Theorems A and B of [11]. In Section 3 we introduce a sequence of reductions that will allow us to deduce both theorems from the following result (which, conversely, is an easy consequence of Theorem B). We remind the reader that a subgroup of a direct product is called a subdirect product if its projection to each factor is surjective.

Theorem C. Let $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_n$ be non-abelian limit groups and let $S \subset \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_n$ be a finitely generated subdirect product which intersects each factor non-trivially. Then either:

1. $S$ is of finite index; or
2. $S$ is of infinite index and has a finite-index subgroup $S_0 < S$ such that $H_j(S_0; \mathbb{Q})$ has infinite $\mathbb{Q}$-dimension for some $j \leq n$.

For simplicity of exposition, the homology of a group $G$ in this paper will almost always be with coefficients in a $\mathbb{Q}G$-module – typically the trivial module $\mathbb{Q}$. But with minor modifications, our arguments also apply with other coefficient modules, giving corresponding results under the finiteness conditions $\text{FP}_n(R)$ for other suitable rings $R$.

A notable aspect of the proof of the above theorems is that following a raft of reductions based on geometric methods, the proof takes an unexpected twist in the direction of nilpotent groups. The turn of events that leads us in this direction is explained in Section 4 – it begins with a simple observation about higher commutators from [13] and proceeds via a spectral sequence argument.

Several of our results shed light on the nature of arbitrary finitely presented subgroups of direct products of limit groups, most notably Theorem 4.2. These results suggest that there is a real prospect of
understanding all such subgroups, i.e. all finitely presented residually free groups. We take up this challenge in [12], where we describe precisely which subdirect products of limit groups are finitely presented and present a solution to the conjugacy and membership problems for these subgroups (cf. [13], [14]). But the isomorphism problem for finitely presented residually free groups remains open, and beyond that lie many further challenges. For example, with applications of the surface-group case to Kähler geometry in mind [17], one would like to know if all finitely presented subdirect products of limit groups satisfy a polynomial isoperimetric inequality.

We are grateful to the many colleagues with whom we have had useful discussions at various times about aspects of this work, particularly Emina Alibegović, Mladen Bestvina, Karl Gruenberg, Peter Kropholler, Zlil Sela and Henry Wilton. We are also grateful to the anonymous referee for his/her helpful comments.

2. Limit groups and their decomposition

Since this is the fourth in a series of papers on limit groups (following [8, 9, 10]), we shall only recall the minimal necessary amount of information about them. The reader unfamiliar with this fascinating class of groups should consult the introductions in [1, 6], the original papers of Sela [22, 23, 24], or those of Kharlampovich and Myasnikov [18, 19, 20] where the subject is approached from a perspective more in keeping with traditional combinatorial group theory; a further perspective is developed in [16].

2.1. Limit groups. Our results rely on the fact that limit groups are the finitely generated subgroups of \( \omega \)-residually free tower (\( \omega \)-rft) groups [22, Definition 6.1] (also known as NTQ-groups [19]). A useful summary of Sela’s proof of this result was given by Alibegović and Bestvina in the appendix to [1] (cf. [23, (1.11), (1.12)]). The equivalent result of Kharlampovich and Myasnikov [19, Theorem 4] is presented in a more algebraic manner.

An \( \omega \)-rft group is the fundamental group of a tower space assembled from graphs, tori and surfaces in a hierarchical manner. The number of stages in the process of assembly is the height of the tower. Each stage in the construction involves the attachment of an orientable surface along its boundary, or the attachment of an \( n \)-torus \( T \) along an embedded circle representing a primitive element of \( \pi_1 T \). (There are additional constraints in each case.)

The height of a limit group \( \Gamma \) is the minimal height of an \( \omega \)-rft group that has a subgroup isomorphic to \( \Gamma \). Limit groups of height 0 are free products of finitely many free abelian groups (each of finite rank) and surface groups of Euler characteristic at most \(-2\).
The splitting described in the following proposition is obtained as follows: embed \( \Gamma \) in an \( \omega \)-rft group \( G \) of minimal height, take the graph of groups decomposition of \( G \) that the Seifert-van Kampen Theorem associates to the addition of the final block in the tower, then apply Bass-Serre theory to get an induced graph of groups decomposition of \( \Gamma \).

Recall that a graph-of-groups decomposition is termed \( k \)-acylindrical if in the action on the associated Bass-Serre tree, the stabilizer of each geodesic edge-path of length greater than \( k \) is trivial; if the value of \( k \) is unimportant, one says simply that the decomposition is acylindrical.

**Proposition 2.1.** If \( \Gamma \) is a freely-indecomposable limit group of height \( h \geq 1 \), then it is the fundamental group of a finite graph of groups that has infinite cyclic edge groups and has a vertex group that is a non-abelian limit group of height \( \leq h - 1 \). This decomposition may be chosen to be \( 2 \)-acylindrical.

Note also that any non-abelian limit group of height 0 splits as \( A \ast_C B \) with \( C \) infinite-cyclic or trivial, and this splitting is 1-acylindrical for surface groups, and 0-acylindrical for free products.

### 2.2. The class of groups \( \mathcal{C} \). We define a class of finitely presented groups \( \mathcal{C} \) in a hierarchical manner; it is the union of the classes \( \mathcal{C}_n \) defined as follows.

At level 0 we have the class \( \mathcal{C}_0 \) consisting of free products \( A \ast B \) of non-trivial, finitely presented groups, where at least one of \( A \) and \( B \) has cardinality at least 3 - in other words, all finitely presented non-trivial free products with the exception of \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \ast \mathbb{Z}_2 \).

A group lies in \( \mathcal{C}_n \) if and only if it is the fundamental group of a finite, acylindrical graph of finitely presented groups, where all of the edge groups are cyclic, and at least one of the vertex groups lies in \( \mathcal{C}_{n-1} \).

The following is an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.1.

**Corollary 2.2.** All non-abelian limit groups lie in \( \mathcal{C} \).

### 2.3. Other salient properties. In the proof of Theorems [A] and [B] the only properties of limit groups \( \Gamma \) that will be needed are the following.

1. Limit groups are finitely presented, and their finitely generated subgroups are limit groups.
2. If \( \Gamma \) is non-abelian, it lies in \( \mathcal{C} \) (Corollary 2.2).
3. Cyclic subgroups are closed in the profinite topology on \( \Gamma \). (This is true for all finitely generated subgroups [30].)
4. If a subgroup \( S \) of \( \Gamma \) has finite dimensional \( H_1(S; \mathbb{Q}) \), then \( S \) is finitely generated (and hence is a limit group) [8, Theorem 2].
(5) Limit groups are of type $\text{FP}_\infty$ (in fact $\mathcal{F}_\infty$). This follows directly from the fact that the class of limit groups coincides with the class of constructible limit groups, [6, Definition 1.14].

2.4. Notation. Throughout this paper, we consistently use the notational convention that $S$ is a subgroup of the direct product of the limit groups $\Gamma_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$), that $L_i$ denotes the intersection $S \cap \Gamma_i$, and that $p_i : \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_n \to \Gamma_i$ is the coordinate projection.

2.5. Subgroups of finite index. Throughout the proof Theorems A, B and C we shall repeatedly pass to subgroups of finite index $H_i \subset \Gamma_i$. When we do so, we shall assume that our original subgroup $S$ is replaced by $p_i^{-1}(H) \cap S$ and that each $\Gamma_j$ ($j \neq i$) is replaced by $p_j p_i^{-1}(H_i)$. This does not affect the intersections $L_j = S \cap \Gamma_j$ ($j \neq i$).

Recall [15, VIII.5.1] that the property $\text{FP}_n$ is inherited by finite-index subgroups and persists in finite extensions. In the proof of Theorem C we detect the failure of property $\text{FP}_n$ by considering the homology of subgroups of finite index: if $H_k(S_1; \mathbb{Q})$ is infinite dimensional for some $S_1 < S$ of finite index, then neither $S$ nor $S_1$ is of type $\text{FP}_k$.

Some care is required here because one cannot conclude in the previous sentence that $S$ has an infinite-dimensional homology group: the finite-dimensionality of homology groups is a property that persists in finite extensions but is not, in general, inherited by finite-index subgroups. In the context of the proof of Theorem C care has been taken to ensure that each passage to a finite-index subgroup respects this logic.

3. Reductions of the main theorem

The following proposition reduces Theorem A to Theorem C.

Proposition 3.1. Theorem A is true if and only if it holds under the following additional assumptions.

1. $n \geq 2$.
2. Each projection $p_i : S \to \Gamma_i$ is surjective.
3. Each intersection $L_i = S \cap \Gamma_i$ is non-trivial.
4. Each $\Gamma_i$ is a non-abelian limit group.
5. Each $\Gamma_i$ splits as an HNN-extension over a cyclic subgroup $C_i$ with stable letter $t_i \in L_i$.

Proof. (1) The case $n = 0$ of Theorem A is trivial.

In the case $n = 1$, $S < \Gamma_1$ has type $\text{FP}_1(\mathbb{Q})$, so is finitely generated. But a finitely generated subgroup of a limit group is again a limit group, and there is nothing more to prove. (The case $n = 2$ was proved in [10] but an independent proof is given below.)

(2) Since $S$ has type $\text{FP}_n(\mathbb{Q})$ it is finitely generated, hence so is $p_i(S)$ and we can replace each $\Gamma_i$ by $p_i(S)$. 

(3) If, say, \( L_n \) is trivial, then the projection map \( q_n : S \to \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_{n-1} \) is injective, and \( S \) is isomorphic to a subgroup \( q_n(S) \) of \( \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_{n-1} \). After iterating this argument, we may assume that each \( L_i \) is non-trivial.

(4) Suppose that one or more of the \( \Gamma_i \) is abelian. A group is an abelian limit group if and only if it is free abelian of finite rank. Hence a direct product of finitely many abelian limit groups is again an abelian limit group. This reduces us to the case where precisely one of the \( \Gamma_i \) — say \( \Gamma_n \) — is abelian.

Now, replacing \( \Gamma_n \) by a finite index subgroup if necessary, we may assume that \( L_n \subset \Gamma_n \) is a direct factor of \( \Gamma_n \): say \( \Gamma_n = L_n \oplus M \). Since \( M \cap S \) is trivial, the projection \( \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_{n-1} \to \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_{n-1} \times L_n \) with kernel \( M \) maps \( S \) isomorphically onto a subgroup \( T \) of \( \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_{n-1} \times L_n \). Since \( L_n \subset T \), it follows that \( S \cong T = U \times L_n \) for some subgroup \( U \) of \( \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_{n-1} \). But then \( U \) has type \( \text{FP}_n(\mathbb{Q}) \), since \( S \) does, and if Theorem \( A \) holds in the case where all the \( \Gamma_i \) are non-abelian, then \( U \) is virtually a direct product of \( n-1 \) or fewer limit groups. But then \( S \cong U \times L_n \) is virtually a direct product of \( n \) or fewer limit groups, so Theorem \( A \) holds in full generality.

(5) The subgroup \( L_i \) of \( \Gamma_i \) is normal by (2) and non-trivial by (3). Hence it contains an element \( t_i \) that acts hyperbolically on the tree of the splitting described in Proposition 2.1 (see \([9, \text{Section } 2]\)). Then by \([9, \text{Theorem } 3.1]\), \( t_i \) is the stable letter in some HNN decomposition (with cyclic edge-stabilizer) of a finite-index subgroup \( \Delta_i \subset \Gamma_i \).

Replacing each \( \Gamma_i \) by the corresponding subgroup \( \Delta_i \), and \( S \) by \( S \cap (\Delta_1 \times \cdots \times \Delta_n) \), gives us the desired conclusion.

(The above argument extends to all groups in \( \mathcal{C} \) under the additional hypothesis that the edge groups in the splittings defining \( \mathcal{C} \) are all closed in the profinite topology.)

\[ \square \]

4. The elements of the proof of Theorem \( \mathcal{C} \)

We have seen that Theorem \( A \) follows from Theorem \( \mathcal{C} \). The proof of Theorem \( \mathcal{C} \) extends from Section 5 to Section 8. In the present section we give an overview of the contents of these sections and indicate how they will be assembled to complete the proof.

In Section 5 we prove the following extension of the basic result that non-trivial, finitely-generated normal subgroups of non-abelian limit groups have finite index \([8]\).

**Theorem 4.1.** Let \( \Gamma \) be a group in \( \mathcal{C} \), and \( 1 \neq N < G < \Gamma \) with \( N \) normal in \( \Gamma \) and \( G \) finitely generated. Then \( |\Gamma : G| < \infty \).

Using this result, together with the HNN decompositions of the \( \Gamma_i \) described in Proposition 3.1, we deduce (Section 6):
Theorem 4.2. Let \( \Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_n \) be non-abelian limit groups. If \( S \subset \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_n \) is a finitely generated subgroup with \( H_2(S_1; \mathbb{Q}) \) finite dimensional for all finite-index subgroups \( S_1 < S \), and if \( S \) satisfies conditions (1) to (5) of Proposition 3.1, then:

- the image of each projection \( S \to \Gamma_i \times \Gamma_j \) is of finite index in \( \Gamma_i \times \Gamma_j \);
- the quotient groups \( \Gamma_i/L_i \) are virtually nilpotent of class at most \( n - 2 \).

We highlight the case \( n = 2 \).

Corollary 4.3. If \( \Gamma_1 \) and \( \Gamma_2 \) are non-abelian limit groups, and \( S < \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2 \) is a subdirect product intersecting each factor non-trivially, with \( H_2(S_1; \mathbb{Q}) \) finite dimensional for all finite-index subgroups \( S_1 < S \), then \( S \) has finite index in \( \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2 \).

An important special case of Theorem C considered in Section 7, arises where \( S \) is the kernel of an epimorphism \( \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_n \to \mathbb{Z} \).

Theorem 4.4. Let \( \Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_n \) be non-abelian limit groups, and \( N \) the kernel of an epimorphism \( \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_n \to \mathbb{Z} \). Then there is a subgroup of finite index \( N_0 \subset N \) such that at least one of the homology groups \( H_k(N_0; \mathbb{Q}) \) \( (0 \leq k \leq n) \) has infinite \( \mathbb{Q} \)-dimension.

We complete the proof of Theorem C in Section 8. We have seen that each of the \( \Gamma_i/L_i \) is virtually nilpotent. Setting \( \Gamma = \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_n \) and noting that \( S \) contains the product \( L = L_1 \times \cdots \times L_n \), we argue by induction on the difference in Hirsch lengths \( d = h(\Gamma/L) - h(S/L) \) to prove that \( H_k(S; \mathbb{Q}) \) has infinite \( \mathbb{Q} \)-dimension for some \( k < n \) if \( d > 0 \). The initial step of the induction is provided by Theorem 4.4, and the inductive step is established using the LHS spectral sequence. Section 9 contains a proof of Theorem B.

5. Subgroups containing normal subgroups

In this section we prove Theorem 4.1. We assume that the reader is familiar with Bass-Serre theory [27], which we shall use freely. All our actions on trees are without inversions.

Lemma 5.1. Let \( \Delta \) be a group acting \( k \)-acylindrically, cocompactly and minimally on a tree \( X \). Let \( H \) be a finitely generated subgroup of \( \Delta \). Suppose that \( M < H \) is a non-trivial subgroup which is normal in \( \Delta \). Then the action of \( H \) on \( X \) is cocompact.

Proof. If \( X \) is a point there is nothing to prove, so we may assume that \( X \) has at least one edge. By hypothesis, \( \Delta \) has no global fixed point in its action on \( X \). By [9, Corollary 2.2], the non-trivial normal subgroup \( M < \Delta \) contains elements which act hyperbolically on \( X \), and the union of the axes of all such elements is the unique minimal
$M$-invariant subtree $X_0$ of $X$. Since $M$ is normal in $\Delta$, the $M$-invariant subtree $X_0$ is also invariant under the action of $\Delta$. But $X$ is minimal as a $\Delta$-tree, so $X_0 = X$.

We have shown that $M$ acts minimally on $X$. Since $M < H$, it follows that $H$ acts minimally on $X$, so the quotient graph of groups $\mathcal{G}$ has no proper sub-(graph of groups) such that the inclusion induces an isomorphism on $\pi_1$. A standard argument in Bass-Serre theory shows that since $H$ is finitely generated, the topological graph underlying $\mathcal{G}$ is compact, as claimed. $\square$

**Proposition 5.2.** Let $\Gamma \in C$, and let $C, G$ be subgroups of $\Gamma$ with $C$ cyclic and $G$ finitely generated. If $|G\backslash \Gamma/C| < \infty$, then $|\Gamma : G| < \infty$.

**Proof.** Let $\Gamma$ be a group in $C$. We argue by induction on the level $\ell = \ell(\Gamma)$ in the hierarchy $C = \cup_n C_n$ where $\Gamma$ first appears. By definition, $\Gamma$ has a non-trivial, $k$-acylindrical, cocompact action on a tree $T$, with cyclic edge stabilizers. Without loss of generality we can suppose that this action is minimal.

If $\ell = 0$ there is a single orbit of edges, the edge stabilizers are trivial and the vertex stabilizers are non-trivial. If $\ell > 0$ the edge-stabilizers are non-trivial and the stabilizer of some vertex $w$ is in $C_{\ell-1}$.

Let $c$ be a generator for $C$. We treat the initial and inductive stages of the argument simultaneously, but distinguish two cases according to the action of $c$.

**Case 1.** Suppose that $c$ fixes a vertex $v$ of $T$.

Then, by our double-coset hypothesis, the $\Gamma$-orbit of $v$ consists of only finitely many $G$-orbits $Gv_i$. Since the action of $\Gamma$ on $T$ is cocompact, there is a constant $m > 0$ such that $T$ is the $m$-neighbourhood of $\Gamma v$, and hence the quotient graph $X = G\backslash T$ is the $m$-neighbourhood of the finitely many vertices $Gv_i$. In other words, $X$ has finite diameter.

Note also that $\pi_1 X$ has finite rank, because it is a retract of $G$ which is finitely generated.

Finally, note that $X = G\backslash T$ has only finitely many valency 1 vertices. For otherwise, we can deduce a contradiction as follows. Since $G$ is finitely generated, if there are infinitely many vertices of valency 1, then the induced graph-of-groups decomposition of $G$ is degenerate, in the sense that there is a valency 1 vertex $\bar{u}$ with $G_{\bar{u}} = G_{\bar{e}}$, where $\bar{e}$ is the unique edge of $G\backslash T$ incident at $\bar{u}$.

Now $\bar{u} = Gu$ for some vertex $u$ in $T$, and $\bar{e} = Ge$ for an edge $e$ incident at $u$. The group $G_{\bar{u}}$ is the stabilizer of $u$ in $G$, and $G_{\bar{e}}$ is the stabilizer of $e$ in $G$. The fact that $\bar{u} = Gu$ has valency 1 in $G\backslash T$ means that $G_{\bar{u}}$ acts transitively on the link $Lk$ of $u$ in $T$. Hence $|Lk| = |G_{\bar{u}} : G_{\bar{e}}| = 1$, so $u$ is a valency 1 vertex of $T$. But this contradicts the fact that $T$ is minimal as a $\Gamma$-tree.
We have shown that \( X = G \setminus T \) has finite diameter, finite rank, and only finitely many vertices of valency 1. It follows that \( X \) is a finite graph.

In the case where \( \Gamma \) has level \( \ell = 0 \), the stabilizer \( \Gamma_e \) of any edge \( e \) of \( T \) is trivial. The number of edges in \( X = G \setminus T \) that are images of edges \( \gamma e \in \Gamma e \) can therefore be counted as \( |G \setminus \Gamma e| = |G \setminus \Gamma| = |\Gamma : G| \). Hence, in this case, \( |\Gamma : G| < \infty \), as required.

In the case where \( \ell > 0 \), there is a vertex \( w \) of \( T \) whose stabilizer \( \Gamma_w \) in \( \Gamma \) is a group in \( \mathcal{C}_{\ell - 1} \). Let \( \Gamma_e \) denote the stabilizer of some edge \( e \) incident at \( w \). Then \(|(G \cap \Gamma_w) \setminus \Gamma_e|/\Gamma_e|\) is bounded above by the finite number of edges \( X = G \setminus T \) incident at \( Gw \in G \setminus T \) that are images of edges \( \gamma e \in \Gamma e \). By inductive hypothesis, \( G \cap \Gamma_w \) has finite index in \( \Gamma_w \). Similarly, for each \( \gamma \in \Gamma \), \( G \cap \gamma \Gamma_w \gamma^{-1} \) has finite index in \( \gamma \Gamma_w \gamma^{-1} \).

Consider the action of \( \Gamma_w \) by right multiplication on \( G \setminus \Gamma \) : the orbits are the double cosets \( G \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_w \) and hence are finite in number because they index a subset of the vertices of \( X = G \setminus T \); moreover the stabilizer of \( G \gamma \) is \( \gamma^{-1}G \gamma \cap \Gamma_w \), which we have just seen has finite index in \( \Gamma_w \). Thus \( G \setminus \Gamma \) is finite.

**Case 2.** Suppose that \( c \) acts hyperbolically on \( T \), with axis \( A \) say.

Then the double coset hypothesis implies that the axes \( \gamma(A) \), for \( \gamma \in \Gamma \), belong to only finitely many \( A \)-orbits. On the other hand, the convex hull of \( \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma(A) \) is a \( \Gamma \)-invariant subtree of \( T \), and hence by minimality is the whole of \( T \).

Let \( T_0 \) be the minimal \( G \)-invariant subtree of \( T \). If \( T_0 = T \) then \( X = G \setminus T \) is finite since \( G \) is finitely generated, and so \( |G \setminus \Gamma e| < \infty \) for any edge-stabilizer \( \Gamma_e \) in \( \Gamma \). If \( \ell = 0 \), then \( \Gamma_e \) is trivial, so \( |\Gamma : G| < \infty \). Otherwise, choose \( e \) incident at a vertex \( w \) whose stabilizer \( \Gamma \) is in \( \mathcal{C}_{\ell - 1} \) and apply the inductive hypothesis as above to deduce that \( |\Gamma : G| < \infty \).

It remains to consider the case \( T_0 \neq T \).

Now, for any subgraph \( Y \) of \( T \), and any \( g \in G \), we have

\[
d(g(Y), T_0) = d(g(Y), g(T_0)) = d(Y, T_0).
\]

Since the \( \Gamma \)-orbit of \( A \) contains only finitely many \( G \)-orbits, there is a global upper bound \( K \), say, on \( d(\gamma(A), T_0) \) as \( \gamma \) varies over \( \Gamma \).

Since \( T \neq T_0 \) and \( T \) is spanned by the \( \Gamma \)-orbit of \( A \), there is a translate \( \gamma(A) \) of \( A \) that is not contained in \( T_0 \). Recall that the action is \( k \)-acylindrical. Choose a vertex \( u \) on \( \gamma(A) \) with \( d(u, T_0) > K + k + 2 \) and let \( \Gamma_u \) denote its stabiliser in \( \Gamma \). Let \( p \) be the vertex a distance \( K \) from \( T_0 \) on the unique shortest path from \( T_0 \) to \( u \). Since \( d(\gamma(A), T_0) \leq K \), the geodesic \( [p, u] \) is contained in \( \gamma(A) \). Similarly, \( [p, u] \) is contained in any translate of \( A \) that passes through \( u \). In particular, if \( \delta \in \Gamma_u \) then \( [p, u] \subset \delta \gamma(A) \), and since \( \delta \) fixes \( u \) we have \( \delta(p) = p \) or \( \delta(p') = p \), where \( p' \) is the unique point of \( \gamma(A) \) other than \( p \) with \( d(u, p) = d(u, p') \).
If $\delta$ fixes the edge of $[p, u]$ incident at $u$, then $\delta(p) = p$ hence $\delta$ fixes $[p, u]$ pointwise, which contradicts the $k$-acylindricality of the action unless $\delta = 1$. Thus the stabiliser of this edge is trivial, which is a contradiction unless $\ell = 0$.

If $\ell = 0$ then, replacing $u$ by an adjacent vertex if necessary, we may assume that $|\Gamma_u| > 2$. Choose distinct non-trivial elements $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \Gamma_u$. It cannot be that all three of $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_1\delta_2^{-1}$ send $p'$ to $p$. Thus one of them fixes $p$, hence $[p, u]$, which again contradicts the $k$-acylindricality of the action.

We are now able to complete the proof of Theorem 4.1.

**Proof of Theorem 4.1.** Suppose that $\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}$, $G < \Gamma$ is finitely generated, and $N$ is a non-trivial normal subgroup of $\Gamma$ that is contained in $G$. Then by definition of $\mathcal{C}$, $\Gamma$ acts non-trivially, cocompactly and $k$-acylindrically on a tree $T$ with cyclic edge stabilizers. There is no loss of generality in assuming the action is minimal, so we may apply Lemma 5.1 to see that the action of $G$ is cocompact. The stabilizer $\Gamma_e$ in $\Gamma$ of an edge $e$ is cyclic, and the finite number of edges in $G \setminus T$ is an upper bound on $|G \setminus \Gamma_e|$. It follows from Proposition 5.2 that $|\Gamma : G| < \infty$, as claimed. \hfill $\Box$

6. Nilpotent Quotients

In this section we prove Theorem 4.2 which steers us away from the study of groups acting on trees and into the realm of nilpotent groups.

We first prove a general lemma (from [13]) about a subdirect product $S$ of $n$ arbitrary (not necessarily limit) groups $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_n$. As before, we write $L_i$ for the normal subgroup $S \cap \Gamma_i$ of $\Gamma_i$. We also introduce the following notation. We write $K_i$ for the kernel of the $i$-th projection map $p_i : S \to \Gamma_i$, and $N_{i,j}$ for the image of $K_i$ under the $j$-th projection $p_j : S \to \Gamma_j$. Thus $N_{i,j}$ is a normal subgroup of $\Gamma_j$.

We shall denote by $[[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]]$ the left-normed $n$-fold commutator $[[[x_1, x_2], x_3], \ldots, x_n]]$.

**Lemma 6.1.** $[N_{1,j}, N_{2,j}, \ldots, N_{i-1,j}, N_{i+1,j}, \ldots, N_{n,j}] \subset L_j$.

**Proof.** Suppose that $\nu_{i,j} \in N_{i,j}$ for a fixed $j$ and for all $i \neq j$. Then there exist $\sigma_i \in S$ with $p_i(\sigma_i) = 1$ and $p_j(\sigma_i) = \nu_{i,j}$. Let $\sigma$ denote the $(n - 1)$-fold commutator $[[[\sigma_1, \sigma_{j-1}, \sigma_{j+1}, \ldots, \sigma_n]]] \in S$. Then $p_j(\sigma)$ is the $(n - 1)$-fold commutator $[\nu_{1,j}, \ldots, \nu_{j-1,j}, \nu_{j+1,j}, \ldots, \nu_{n,j}] \in \Gamma_j$.

On the other hand, for $i \neq j$, we have $p_i(\sigma) = 1$ since $p_i(\sigma_i) = 1$. Hence $\sigma \in L_j$, and $p_j(\sigma) = \sigma \in L_j$.

Since the choice of $\nu_{i,j} \in N_{i,j}$ was arbitrary, we have $[N_{1,j}, N_{2,j}, \ldots, N_{i-1,j}, N_{i+1,j}, \ldots, N_{n,j}] \subset L_j$.
as claimed. \hfill \square

We now consider a finitely generated subdirect product \( S \) of non-
abelian limit groups \( \Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_n \) such that \( H_2(S_1; \mathbb{Q}) \) is finite dimensional
for every finite-index subgroup \( S_1 < S \).

Let \( L_i, C_i \) and \( t_i \) be as in Proposition 3.1. We consider the image
\( A_{i,j} := p_j(p_i^{-1}(C_i)) \) under the projection \( p_j \) of the preimage under \( p_i \)
of the cyclic group \( C_i \). Clearly \( N_{i,j} < A_{i,j} < \Gamma_j \).

In the remainder of this section we shall prove that \( N_{i,j} \subset \Gamma_j \) is
of finite index for all \( i \) and \( j \). Lemma 6.1 then implies that \( \Gamma_i/L_i \) is
virtually nilpotent of class at most \( n-2 \), as is claimed in Theorem 4.2.

As a first step towards showing that \( N_{i,j} \subset \Gamma_j \) is of finite index, we
prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.2.** Let \( \Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_n \) be non-abelian limit groups. If \( S < \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_n \)
is a finitely generated subgroup with \( H_2(S; \mathbb{Q}) \) finite dimensional, and if \( S \) satisfies conditions (1) to (5) of Proposition 3.1, then
for all \( i,j \):

1. \( |\Gamma_j : A_{i,j}| < \infty \);
2. \( A_{i,j}/N_{i,j} \) is cyclic.

**Proof.** (1) It suffices to consider the case \( i = 1 \). The HNN decom-
position \( \Gamma_1 = B_1*_{C_1} \) described in Proposition 3.1 (5) pulls back to an HNN
decomposition of \( S \) with stable letter \( \tilde{t}_1 = (t_1,1,\ldots,1) \), base group
\( \tilde{B}_1 = p_1^{-1}(B_1) \), and amalgamating subgroup \( \tilde{C}_1 = p_1^{-1}(C_1) \). As \( C_1 \)
is cyclic, \( \tilde{C}_1 = K_1 \times \langle \tilde{c}_1 \rangle \) where \( \tilde{c}_1 \) is a choice of a lift of a generator of
\( C_1 \). Consider the Mayer-Vietoris sequence for the HNN decomposition
of \( S \):

\[
\cdots \rightarrow H_2(S; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_1(\tilde{C}_1; \mathbb{Q}) \overset{\phi}{\rightarrow} H_1(\tilde{B}_1; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_1(S; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \cdots
\]

The map \( \phi \) is the difference between the map induced by inclusion
and the map induced by the inclusion twisted by the action of \( \tilde{t}_1 \) by
conjugation. Notice that \( \tilde{t}_1 \) commutes with \( K_1 \) and so acts trivially on
\( H_1(K_1; \mathbb{Q}) \). Thus \( \phi \) factors through the map \( H_1(\tilde{C}_1; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_1(\langle \tilde{c}_1 \rangle; \mathbb{Q}) \),
in particular the image of \( \phi \) has dimension at most 1. Since \( H_2(S; \mathbb{Q}) \)
is finite dimensional by hypothesis, it follows that \( H_1(\tilde{C}_1; \mathbb{Q}) \) is finite
dimensional. For each \( j \), \( A_{1,j} = p_j(\tilde{C}_1) \) is a homomorphic image of
\( \tilde{C}_1 \), so \( H_1(A_{1,j}; \mathbb{Q}) \) is finite-dimensional. Since \( A_{1,j} \) is a subgroup of
the non-abelian limit group \( \Gamma_j \), it follows that it is finitely generated.
Since it contains the non-trivial normal subgroup \( L_j \), Theorem 4.1 now
implies that \( A_{1,j} \) has finite index in \( \Gamma_j \), as claimed.

(2) As \( p_j \) is surjective, \( A_{i,j}/N_{i,j} = p_j(\tilde{C}_i)/p_j(K_i) \) is a homomorphic
image of \( \tilde{C}_i/K_i \), so it is also cyclic, as claimed. \hfill \square

The other crucial ingredient in the proof of Theorem 4.2 is the fol-
lowing proposition.
Proposition 6.3. Let $G$ be an HNN extension of the form $B \ast_C$ with stable letter $t$, finitely generated base-group $B$ and infinite-cyclic edge group $C$. Suppose that $G$ has normal subgroups $L$ and $N$ such that $t \in L$, $C \cap N = \{1\}$ and $G/N$ is infinite-cyclic. Suppose further that $H_1(N; Q)$ is infinite dimensional. Let $\Delta \subseteq G$ be the unique subgroup of index 2 that contains $B$. Then, there exists an element $x \in L \cap B \cap N$ such that $R\overline{x} \subset H_1(N \cap \Delta; Q)$ is a free $R$-module of rank 1, where $R = Q[\Delta/(N \cap \Delta)]$ and $\overline{x}$ is the homology class determined by $x$.

Proof. Let $T$ be the Bass-Serre tree of the splitting $G = B \ast_C$ and consider the graph of groups decomposition of $N_2 := N \cap \Delta$ with underlying graph $X = N_2 \backslash T$; since $N_2C$ has finite index in $G$, this is a finite graph. Each vertex group in this decomposition is a conjugate of $B \cap N_2$, and the edge groups are trivial since $C \cap N_2 = \{1\}$.

Thus, as an abelian group, $H_1(N_2; Q)$ is the direct sum of $H_1(X; Q)$ and $p$ copies of $H_1(B \cap N_2; Q)$, where $p$ is the index of $BN_2$ in $G$. The first of these summands is finite-dimensional, and hence $H_1(B \cap N_2; Q)$ is infinite-dimensional (since $H_1(N; Q)$ is infinite-dimensional, implying that $H_1(N_2; Q)$ is too).

Let $\tau$ be a generator of $G/N$. Then $M := H_1(B \cap N_2; Q)$ is a $Q[\tau^{\pm p}]$-module, which is finitely generated because $B$ is finitely generated and $B/(B \cap N_2)$ is finitely presented. Since $Q[\tau^{\pm p}]$ is a principal ideal domain, the module $M$ has a free direct summand. We fix $z \in B \cap N_2$ so that $\overline{z} \in M$ generates this free summand. It follows that $R\overline{z}$ has infinite $Q$-dimension, and so is a free submodule of the $R$-module $H_1(N_2; Q)$.

Since $t \notin \Delta$, $z_1 := z$ and $z_2 := tzt^{-1}$ belong to distinct vertex groups in $X$. Hence $x := [z, t] = z_1z_2^{-1} \in L \cap N \cap \Delta$ is such that $\overline{x} = \overline{z}_1 - \overline{z}_2$ generates a free $Q[\tau^{\pm p}]$-submodule of $H_1(N_2; Q)$, and hence also a free $R$-submodule.

The following proposition completes the proof of Theorem 4.2.

Proposition 6.4. Let $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_n$ be non-abelian limit groups. If $S < \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_n$ is a finitely generated subgroup with $H_2(S_1; Q)$ finite dimensional for each subgroup $S_1$ of finite index in $S$, and if $S$ satisfies conditions (1) to (5) of Proposition 5.1, then (in the notation of Lemma 6.3) $N_{i, j} \subset \Gamma_j$ is of finite index for all $i$ and $j$.

Proof. It suffices to consider the case $(i, j) = (2, 1)$. Let $T$ be the projection of $S$ to $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$, and define $M_i = T \cap \Gamma_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Notice that $M_1 = N_{2, 1}$, the projection to $\Gamma_1$ of the kernel of the projection $p_2 : S \to \Gamma_2$, and similarly $M_2 = N_{1, 2}$.

Since $S$ projects onto each of $\Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma_2$, the same is true of $T$. Hence we have isomorphisms

$$\frac{\Gamma_1}{M_1} \cong \frac{T}{M_1 \times M_2} \cong \frac{\Gamma_2}{M_2}.$$
We will assume that these groups are infinite, and obtain a contradiction.

By Lemma 6.2, \( T/(M_1 \times M_2) \) is virtually cyclic, so we may choose a finite index subgroup \( T_0 < T \) containing \( M_1 \times M_2 \) such that \( T_0/(M_1 \times M_2) \) is infinite cyclic. Hence \( G_i := p_i(T_0) \) is a finite-index subgroup containing \( M_i \) for \( i = 1, 2 \), such that \( G_i/M_i \) is infinite cyclic. Choose \( \tau \in T_0 \) such that \( \tau(M_1 \times M_2) \) generates \( T_0/(M_1 \times M_2) \), and let \( \tau_i = p_i(\tau) \in G_i \) for \( i = 1, 2 \).

The HNN-decomposition of \( \Gamma_i \) from Proposition 3.1 (5) induces an HNN decomposition \( G_i = B_i^t \ast C_i \) with stable letter \( t_i \in L_i \), where \( C_i = C_i \cap G_i \) and \( t_i \) an appropriate power of the stable letter \( t_i \) of \( \Gamma_i \). Notice that, by Lemma 6.2 \( C_i \cap M_i = \{1\} \). For each \( i = 1, 2 \), Proposition 6.3 (with \( G = G_i, N = M_i, L = L_i, t = t_i, B = B_i, C = C_i \)) provides an index 2 subgroup \( \Delta_i \) in \( G_i \) and an element \( x_i \in M_i \cap \Delta_i \cap L_i \) such that \( x_i \) generates a free \( \mathbb{Q}[\tau_i^{\pm 1}] \)-submodule of \( H_1(M_i \cap \Delta_i; \mathbb{Q}) \).

Now define \( M_i := M_i \cap \Delta_i \). It follows that \( x_1 \otimes x_2 \) generates a free \( \mathbb{Q}[\tau_1^{\pm 1}, \tau_2^{\pm 1}] \)-submodule of \( H_1(M_i; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} H_1(M_j; \mathbb{Q}) \subset H_2(M_i \times M_j; \mathbb{Q}) \).

Let \( T_1 \) be the finite-index subgroup of \( T_0 \) defined by \( T_1 := (M_i \times M_j) \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle \), and let \( S_1 < S \) be the preimage of \( T_1 \) under the projection \( S \to T \). Using the LHS spectral sequence for the short exact sequence \( M_i \times M_j \to T_1 \to \langle \tau \rangle \), we see that \( H_0(\langle \tau \rangle; H_2(M_i \times M_j; \mathbb{Q})) \subset H_2(T_1; \mathbb{Q}) \) has an infinite dimensional \( \mathbb{Q} \)-subspace generated by the images of \( \{ (\tau_1^m x_1 \tau_1^{-m}) \otimes (\tau_2^n x_2 \tau_2^{-n}); m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \} \).

In particular, the image of the map \( H_2(L_1 \times L_2; \mathbb{Q}) \to H_2(T_1; \mathbb{Q}) \) induced by inclusion is infinite-dimensional. But this contradicts the hypothesis that \( H_2(S_1; \mathbb{Q}) \) is finite dimensional, since the inclusion \( (L_1 \times L_2) \to T_1 \) factors through \( S_1 \). This is the desired contradiction which completes the proof. \( \square \)

7. Normal subgroups with cyclic quotient

**Proposition 7.1.** If \( \Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_n \) are groups of type \( FP_n(\mathbb{Z}) \) and \( \phi : \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_n \to \mathbb{Z} \) has non-trivial restriction to each factor, then \( H_j(\ker \phi; \mathbb{Z}) \) is finitely generated for \( j \leq n - 1 \).

**Proof.** We first prove the result in the special case where the restriction of \( \phi \) to each factor is epic. Thus we may write \( \Gamma_i = L_i \rtimes \langle t_i \rangle \) where \( S = \ker \phi, L_i = S \cap \Gamma_i \) is the kernel of \( \phi|_{\Gamma_i} \) and \( \phi(t_i) \) is a fixed generator of \( \mathbb{Z} \).

If \( n \geq 2 \) and we fix a finite set \( A_i \subseteq L_i \) such that \( \Gamma_i = \langle A_i, t_i \rangle \), then \( S \) is generated by \( A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_n \cup \{ t_1t_2^{-1}, \ldots, t_1t_n^{-1} \} \).
We proceed by induction on \( n \) (the initial case \( n = 1 \) being trivial), considering the LHS spectral sequence in homology for the projection of \( S \) to \( \Gamma_n \),

\[
1 \to S_{n-1} \to S \xrightarrow{\phi_n} \Gamma_n \to 1,
\]

where \( S_{n-1} \) is the kernel of the restriction of \( \phi \) to \( \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_{n-1} \). In particular, the inductive hypothesis applies to \( S_{n-1} \).

Since \( \Gamma_n \) is of type \( \text{FP}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \) and \( H_q(S_{n-1};\mathbb{Z}) \) is finitely generated for \( q \leq n - 2 \), by induction, on the \( E^2 \) page of the spectral sequence there are only finitely generated groups in the rectangle \( 0 \leq p \leq n \) and \( 0 \leq q \leq n - 2 \). It follows that all of the groups on the \( E^\infty \) page that contribute to \( H_j(S;\mathbb{Z}) \) with \( j \leq n - 1 \) are finitely generated, with the possible exception of that in position \((0, n-1)\).

On the \( E^2 \) page, the group in position \((0, n-1)\) is \( H_0(\Gamma_n; H_{n-1}(S_{n-1};\mathbb{Z})) \), which is the quotient of \( H_{n-1}(S_{n-1};\mathbb{Z}) \) by the action of \( \Gamma_n \). This action is determined by taking a section of \( p_0 : S \to \Gamma_n \) and using the conjugation action of \( S \). The section we choose is that with image \( L_n \times \langle t_1 t_n^{-1} \rangle \).

Since \( L_n \) and \( t_n \) commute with \( S_{n-1} \), we have

\[
H_0(\Gamma_n; H_{n-1}(S_{n-1};\mathbb{Z})) = H_0(\langle t_1 \rangle; H_{n-1}(S_{n-1};\mathbb{Z})).
\]

The latter group is the \((0, n - 1)\) term on the \( E^2 \) page of the spectral sequence for the extension

\[
1 \to S_{n-1} \to \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathbb{Z} \to 1.
\]

This is a 2-column spectral sequence, so the \( E^2 \) page coincides with the \( E^\infty \) page. Since \( \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_{n-1} \) is of type \( \text{FP}_{n-1} \) (indeed of type \( \text{FP}_n \)), it follows that \( H_0(\langle t_1 \rangle; H_{n-1}(S_{n-1};\mathbb{Z})) \) is finitely generated, and the induction is complete.

For the general case, replace \( \mathbb{Z} \) by the finite index subgroup \( \phi(\Gamma_1) \cap \cdots \cap \phi(\Gamma_n) (= m\mathbb{Z}, \text{say}) \); replace each \( \Gamma_i \) by the finite-index subgroup \( \Delta_i = \Gamma_i \cap \phi^{-1}(m\mathbb{Z}) \), and replace \( S \) by the finite-index subgroup \( T = S \cap (\Delta_1 \times \cdots \times \Delta_n) \). Since \( \phi(\Delta_i) = m\mathbb{Z} \) for each \( i \), the above special-case argument applies to \( T \), to show that \( H_j(T;\mathbb{Z}) \) is finitely generated for each \( 0 \leq j \leq n - 1 \). Moreover, \( T \) is normal in \( S \), and we may consider the LHS spectral sequence of the short exact sequence

\[
1 \to T \to S \to S/T \to 1.
\]

On the \( E^2 \) page of this spectral sequence, the terms \( E^2_{pq} \) in the region \( 0 \leq q \leq n - 1 \) are homology groups of the finite group \( T/S \) with coefficients in the finitely generated modules \( H_q(T;\mathbb{Z}) \), and so they are finitely generated abelian groups. But all the terms that contribute to \( H_j(S;\mathbb{Z}) \) for \( 0 \leq j \leq n - 1 \) lie in this region, so \( H_j(S;\mathbb{Z}) \) is finitely generated for \( j \leq n - 1 \), as required. \[\square\]

**Theorem 7.2.** Let \( \Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_n \) be non-abelian limit groups and let \( S \) be the kernel of an epimorphism \( \phi : \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_n \to \mathbb{Z} \). If the restriction of \( \phi \) to each of the \( \Gamma_i \) is epic, then \( H_n(S;\mathbb{Q}) \) has infinite \( \mathbb{Q} \)-dimension.
Proof. The proof is by induction on \( n \). The case \( n = 1 \) was established in [8]: the group \( S = \ker \phi \) is a normal subgroup of the non-abelian limit group \( \Gamma_1 \), and if \( H_1(S; \mathbb{Q}) \) were finite dimensional then \( S \) would be finitely generated, and hence would have finite index in \( \Gamma_1 \).

The preceding proposition shows that \( H_j(S; \mathbb{Q}) \) is finitely generated, and hence \( H_j(S; \mathbb{Z}) \) is finite dimensional for \( j < n \). As in the proof of that proposition, we consider the LHS spectral sequence for

\[
1 \to S_{n-1} \to S \xrightarrow{p_n} \Gamma_n \to 1,
\]

now with \( \mathbb{Q} \)-coefficients. There are now only finitely generated \( \mathbb{Q} \)-modules in the region \( 0 \leq q \leq n - 2 \). (Recall that \( \Gamma_i \) is of type \( \text{FP}_\infty \).) In particular, the terms on the \( E^2 \) page involved in the calculation of \( H_n(S; \mathbb{Q}) \) are all finitely generated except for

\[
H_0(\Gamma_n; H_n(S_{n-1}; \mathbb{Q})) = H_0(\langle t_1 \rangle; H_n(S_{n-1}; \mathbb{Q}))
\]

and

\[
H_1(\Gamma_n; H_{n-1}(S_{n-1}; \mathbb{Q})).
\]

It suffices to prove that the latter is infinite dimensional over \( \mathbb{Q} \). (The former is actually finite dimensional, but this is irrelevant.)

The module \( M = H_{n-1}(S_{n-1}; \mathbb{Q}) \) is a homology group of the kernel of a map from an \( \text{FP}_\infty \) group to \( \mathbb{Z} \). It is thus a homology group of a chain complex of free \( R = \mathbb{Q}[t, t^{-1}] \) modules of finite rank. The ring \( R \) is Noetherian, so such a homology group is finitely generated as an \( R \)-module. By the inductive hypothesis, \( M \) has infinite \( \mathbb{Q} \)-dimension. So by the classification of finitely generated modules over a principal ideal domain, \( M \) has a free direct summand, that is \( M = M_0 \oplus R \).

The \( \Gamma_n \)-action on \( M \) factors through the quotient \( \Gamma_n \to \Gamma_n/L_n = \langle t_n \rangle \), since \( L_n \) acts trivially, so the direct sum decomposition passes to \( M \) considered as a \( \mathbb{Q}\Gamma_n \) module. Hence \( H_1(\Gamma_n; M) = H_1(\Gamma_n; M_0) \oplus H_1(\Gamma; R) \).

Finally, as a \( \mathbb{Q}\Gamma_n \) module, \( R = \mathbb{Q}\Gamma_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}L_n} \mathbb{Q} \), so by Shapiro’s Lemma \( H_1(\Gamma_n; R) \cong H_1(L_n; \mathbb{Q}) \) (see for instance [15, III.6.2. and III.5]) .

As \( L_n \) is an infinite index normal subgroup of a non-abelian limit group, it is not finitely generated, and therefore neither is the \( \mathbb{Q} \)-module \( H_1(L_n; \mathbb{Q}) \) [3].

Theorem 4.4 follows immediately from Theorem 7.2 in the light of the Künneth formula, after one has passed to a subgroup of finite index to ensure that whenever \( \Gamma_i \to \mathbb{Z} \) is non-trivial it is onto.

8. Completion of the proof of the Main Theorem

The following lemma and its corollary provide an extension to the virtual context of known results about finitely generated nilpotent groups. We shall apply them to direct products of the virtually nilpotent quotients of \( \Gamma_i/L_i \) resulting from Theorem 4.2.
Lemma 8.1. Let $G$ be a finitely generated virtually nilpotent group and let $S$ be a subgroup of infinite index. Then there exists a subgroup $K$ of finite index in $G$ and an epimorphism $f : K \to \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(S \cap K) \subset \ker(f)$.

Proof. We argue by induction on the Hirsch length $h(G)$, which is strictly positive, since $G$ is infinite.

In the initial case, $h(G) = 1$ means that $G$ has an infinite cyclic subgroup $K$ of finite index. Since $S$ has infinite index in $G$, $S$ is finite, so $(S \cap K)$ is trivial, and we can take $f : K \to \mathbb{Z}$ to be an isomorphism.

For the inductive step, let $H$ be a finite index torsion-free subgroup of $G$, and $C$ an infinite cyclic central subgroup of $H$. If $C \cap S$ has infinite index in $G$, then the inductive hypothesis applies to $H/C$ and we are done. Otherwise, $S$ has infinite index in $C \cap S$, so $C \cap S$ has infinite index in $C \cong \mathbb{Z}$. But then $C \cap S = \{1\}$, and since $C < H$, it follows that $C \cap H = C \times (S \cap H)$. Put $K = C \cap H$ and let $f$ be the projection $K \to C$ with kernel $S \cap H$. □

We note that Lemma 8.1 would not remain true if one assumed only that $G$ were polycyclic. For example, it fails for lattices $G = \mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes \langle t \rangle$ in the 3-dimensional Lie group $\text{Sol}$ if one takes $S = \langle t \rangle$.

Repeated applications of Lemma 8.1 yield the following.

Corollary 8.2. Let $G$ be a finitely generated, virtually nilpotent group and let $S$ be a subgroup of $G$. Then there is a subnormal chain

$$S_0 < S_1 < \cdots < S_r = G,$$

where $S_0$ is a subgroup of finite index in $S$ and for each $i$ the quotient group $S_{i+1}/S_i$ is either finite or cyclic.

For the benefit of topologists, we should note that the following algebraic argument is modelled on the geometric proof of the Double Coset Lemma in [9].

Proof of Theorem C.

Let $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_n$. Recall that the $\Gamma_i$ are non-abelian, the projections $p_i : S \to \Gamma_i$ are surjective, and the intersections $L_i = S \cap \Gamma_i$ are non-trivial. By passing to a subgroup of finite index we may assume that each $\Gamma_i$ splits as in Proposition 3.1(5). Let $L = L_1 \times \cdots \times L_n$.

We only need consider the case when $S$ has infinite index in $\Gamma$. We shall derive a contradiction from the assumption that for all subgroups $S_0 < S$ of finite index and for all $0 \leq j \leq n$, $H_j(S_0, \mathbb{Q})$ is finite-dimensional.

From Theorem 4.2 we know that each of the quotient groups $\Gamma_i/L_i$ is virtually nilpotent, and hence so is $\Gamma/L$.

Since $L \subset S$ and $S$ has infinite index in $\Gamma$, the image $\overline{S}$ of $S$ in $\Gamma/L$ is of infinite index and we may apply Lemma 8.1 with $\Gamma/L$ in the role of $G$. Let $\Lambda < \Gamma$ be the preimage of the subgroup $K$ provided by the lemma. Note that $\Lambda$ has finite index in $\Gamma$, contains $L$, and admits an
epimorphism \( f : \Lambda \to \mathbb{Z} \) such that \( S \cap \Lambda \subset \ker(f) \). As in (2.5), we may replace the groups \( \Gamma_i \) and \( S \) by finite-index subgroups so as to ensure that \( L \subset S \subset N \), where \( N \) is the kernel of an epimorphism \( \Gamma \to \mathbb{Z} \). By Theorem 4.4 there is a finite index subgroup \( N_0 < N \) and an integer \( j \leq n \) such that \( H_j(N_0; \mathbb{Q}) \) is infinite dimensional.

By Corollary 8.2 (applied to the image of \( S \cap N_0 \) in \( \Gamma/L \)) there is a subgroup \( S_0 \) contained in \( S \cap N_0 \), which has finite index in \( S \), and a subnormal chain of subgroups \( S_0 \triangleleft S_1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft S_k = N_0 \) with \( S_{i+1}/S_i \) either finite or cyclic for each \( i \). We now use the following lemma to contradict the assumption that \( H_j(S_0; \mathbb{Q}) \) is finite-dimensional.

**Lemma 8.3.** Let \( S_0 \triangleleft S_1 \) be groups with \( S_1/S_0 \) finite or cyclic. If \( H_j(S_0; \mathbb{Q}) \) is finite dimensional for \( 0 \leq j \leq n \), then \( H_j(S_1; \mathbb{Q}) \) is finite dimensional for \( 0 \leq j \leq n \).

**Proof.** In the LHS spectral sequence for the group extension \( S_0 \to S_1 \to (S_1/S_0) \) we have \( E^2_{p,q} = H_p(S_1/S_0; H_q(S_0; \mathbb{Q})) \). By hypothesis, \( E^2_{p,q} \) has finite \( \mathbb{Q} \)-dimension for \( q \leq n \). Moreover, \( E^2_{p,q} = 0 \) for \( p > 1 \), since \( S_1/S_0 \) has homological dimension at most 1 over \( \mathbb{Q} \). Thus the derivatives on the \( E^2 \) page all vanish and the spectral sequence stabilizes at the \( E^2 \) page. Hence, for \( 0 \leq j \leq n \), we have

\[
\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(H_j(S_1; \mathbb{Q})) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(E^2_{0,j}) + \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(E^2_{1,j-1}) < \infty,
\]
as required. \( \square \)

Repeatedly applying this lemma to the subnormal sequence \( S_0 \triangleleft S_1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft S_k = N_0 \) implies that \( H_j(N_0; \mathbb{Q}) \) is finite dimensional for all \( j \leq n \), contradicting Theorem 4.3.

\( \square \)

This completes the proof of Theorem C from which Theorem A follows immediately.

### 9. From Theorem C to Theorem B

Let \( \Gamma_i, L_i \) and \( S \) be as in the statement of Theorem B but without necessarily assuming that the \( L_i \) are non-abelian for all \( i \). We first discuss how this situation differs from the special case stated in Theorem B.

If some \( L_i \) is trivial, then \( S \) is isomorphic to a subgroup of the direct product of the \( \Gamma_j \) with \( j \neq i \), as in Proposition 3.1 (3). We now assume that \( L_i \neq \{1\} \) for each \( i \).

As in Proposition 3.1 (2), we may replace each \( \Gamma_i \) by \( p_i(S) \), where \( p_i : S \to \Gamma_i \) is the projection, and hence assume that \( p_i \) is surjective, and so each \( L_i \) is normal in \( \Gamma_i \).

If some \( L_i \) is non-trivial and abelian, then it is free abelian of finite rank, by [6 Corollary 1.23]. Since \( L_i \) is normal, it has finite index in
Γ_i, and it follows immediately from the ω-residually free property that Γ_i is itself abelian.

Arguing as in Proposition 3.1 (4), we may assume that only one of the Γ_i is abelian, say Γ_1, and that L_1 is the only non-trivial abelian L_i. We may also assume that L_1 is a direct factor of Γ_1; say Γ_1 = L_1 × M_1. But then S virtually splits as a direct product L_1 × S', where S' = S ∩ (Γ_2 × · · · × Γ_n).

Note that the above reduction involved only one passage to a finite index subgroup, and that was within the abelian factor Γ_1. The other Γ_i and L_i are left unchanged. In particular, the L_i remain non-abelian.

We have now reduced to the situation of the statement of Theorem B, with the additional hypothesis that each p_i : S → Γ_i is surjective.

In particular, each L_i is normal in Γ_i, and hence is of finite index for i = 1, . . . , r.

Let Π_r : Γ_1 × · · · × Γ_n → Γ_1 × · · · × Γ_r be the natural projection, let Λ = L_1 × · · · × L_r and let ˆS_0 = S ∩ Π_r⁻¹(Λ). Then ˆS_0 has finite index in S and ˆS_0 = ˆΛ × ˆS_2, where ˆS_2 = ˆS_0 ∩ (Γ_{r+1} × · · · × Γ_n). Theorem C now says that that ˆS_2 has a subgroup of finite index ˆS_2 with H_k(ˆS_2; Q) infinite dimensional for some k ≤ n − r.
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