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Abstract. We show that for a connected Lie group $G$, its Fourier algebra $A(G)$ is weakly amenable only if $G$ is abelian. Our main new idea is to show that weak amenability of $A(G)$ implies that the anti-diagonal, $\Delta_G = \{ (g, g^{-1}) : g \in G \}$, is a set of local synthesis for $A(G \times G)$. We then show that this cannot happen if $G$ is non-abelian. We conclude for a locally compact group $G$, that $A(G)$ can be weakly amenable only if it contains no closed connected non-abelian Lie subgroups. In particular, for a Lie group $G$, $A(G)$ is weakly amenable if and only if its connected component of the identity $G_e$ is abelian.

0.1. Background. Questions on the nature of bounded derivations on (commutative) Banach algebras $A$ have been around for a long time, in particular vanishing of bounded Hochschild cohomologies $H^1(A, M)$ for certain Banach $A$-modules $M$. See, for example, [SiWe, Kam]. Johnson systematized many of these questions in [Joh1]. In particular, he showed that for a locally compact group $G$, its group algebra is amenable (i.e. $H^1(L^1(G), M^*) = \{0\}$ for each dual module $M$) if and only if $G$ is an amenable group. He also started the problem of determining when $H^1(L^1(G), L^1(G)^*) = \{0\}$.

For a commutative Banach algebra $A$, Bade, Curtis and Dales ([BCD]) introduced the concept of weak amenability, which is defined as having $H^1(A, M) = \{0\}$ for all symmetric Banach modules. They observed that this is equivalent to having $H^1(A, A^*) = \{0\}$. There is an interesting universal module also exhibited by Runde ([Run]). The above observation of [BCD], leads us to refer to any Banach algebra $B$ as weakly amenable if $H^1(B, B^*) = \{0\}$. Weak amenability was established for all $L^1(G)$ by Johnson ([Joh2]).

The Fourier algebras, $A(G)$, as defined by Eymard ([Eym]), are dual objects to the group algebras $L^1(G)$ in a sense which generalizes Pontryagin duality. It was long expected that the amenability properties enjoyed by group algebras would also extend to Fourier algebras. Hence it was a surprise when Johnson ([Joh3]) showed that $A(G)$ fails to be weakly amenable for any compact simple Lie group, in particular for $G = SO(3)$. This motivated Ruan ([Rua]) to consider the operator
space structure $A(G)$ inherits by virtue of being the predual of a von Neuman algebra. He proved that $A(G)$ is operator amenable if and only if $G$ is amenable. Operator weak amenability for general $A(G)$ was determined by Spronk ([Spr]) and, independently, by Samei ([Sam1]). The question of amenability of $A(G)$ was settled by Forrest and Runde ([FoRu]): it happens exactly when $G$ is virtually abelian. They also showed that if the connected component $G_e$ is abelian, then $A(G)$ is weakly amenable. The following is suggested.

**Question 0.1.** If $A(G)$ is weakly amenable, then must $G_e$ be abelian?

Much progress has been made in answering this question. Building on work of Plymen ([Ply]) – which was written to answer a question in [Joh3] – Forrest, Samei and Spronk ([PSS1]) showed that $A(G)$ is not weakly amenable whenever $G$ contains a non-abelian connected compact subgroup. Exciting recent progress was made by Choi and Ghandehari. In [ChGh1] they show for the affine motion group, and hence any simply connected semisimple Lie group, and also for the reduced Heisenberg group, that the Fourier algebra is not weakly amenable. In [ChGh2] they used completely different techniques to show the same for Heisenberg groups. Our main theorem generalizes all of these results.

Let us briefly review the history of ideas around spectral synthesis. All concepts below will be defined in Section [LeRoII]. The study of sets of spectral synthesis, or, for us, simply “synthesis”, for $A(G)$, especially for abelian $G$, has a long history. See, for example, the historical notes in [LeRoII §42]. Herz ([Her]) appears to have been the first author to consider local synthesis for general $G$. There, he proved this property is enjoyed by closed subgroups. Herz’s work has inspired, in part, the injection theorem of Lohoué ([Loh]) and has motivated aspects of the work of Ludwig and Turowska ([LuTu1]). Thanks to the existence of a bounded approximate identity consisting of compactly supported functions ([Lep]), sets of local synthesis are sets of synthesis when $G$ is amenable. Weak synthesis has its origins in work of Varopoulos ([Var]) on spheres in $\mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 3$), was used in Kirsch and Müller ([KMi]), and was formalized by Warner ([War]). The first explicit mention of what we call smooth synthesis is by Müller ([Müll]), which was applied to certain manifolds in $\mathbb{R}^n$. The first use of this concept for non-abelian $G$ is due to Ludwig and Turowska ([LuTu2]). Following their work, Park and Samei ([PaSa]) showed that for a connected Lie group $G$, the anti diagonal $\overline{\Delta}_G$ is a set of smooth synthesis, and also of weak synthesis for $A(G \times G)$.

For compact $G$, building on work of Grønbæk ([Gre]), the article [Joh3] used the failure of a weak form of spectral synthesis of the diagonal $\Delta_G = \{(g, g) : g \in G\}$ for the projective tensor product algebra $A(G) \hat{\otimes} A(G)$, to obtain the failure of weak amenability. The local synthesis of $\Delta_G$ for general locally compact $G$ was used by Samei ([Sam2]) to study a property which implies weak amenability of $A(G)$. Returning to compact groups, the ideas of [Joh3] were formalized and capitalized upon in [PSS2]. These were used in [PSS1] to show that for compact $G$, $A(G)$ is weakly amenable exactly when the anti-diagonal $\Delta_G = \{(g, g^{-1}) : g \in G\}$ is a set of synthesis for $A(G \times G)$. For groups containing open subgroups products of abelian groups and compact groups, i.e. $G \supseteq H \cong A \times K$, this last result was extended to local synthesis. We recall that $A(G) \hat{\otimes} A(G) \neq A(G \times G)$, generally ([Los]). Hence we do not expect obvious connections between sets of local synthesis for these two algebras.
0.2. **Structure.** The starting point for the present investigation lies in the aforementioned results of PaSa. Let $G$ be a connected Lie group. We use the fact that $\Delta_G$ is simultaneously of weak and smooth synthesis for $A(G \times G)$, along with the characterization of weak amenability of Run, to show that for a connected Lie group, weak amenability of $A(G)$ implies that $\Delta_G$ is a set of local synthesis for $A(G \times G)$. The techniques rely intrinsically on the Lie theory, especially having finite dimension for $G$. We see no way, at present, to extend them to arbitrary connected locally compact groups.

In Section 1, we show for any connected Lie $G$ that that weak amenability of $A(G)$ implies local synthesis of $\Delta_G$ for $A(G \times G)$ − a property we shall hereafter call “local synthesis for $G \times G$”. Also in that section we discuss our two main functorial properties satisfied by local synthesis of $\Delta_G$ for $G \times G$ for locally compact $G$: the restriction to a closed connected Lie subgroup and an injection theorem with quotients by discrete normal subgroups. In Section 2 we give a criterion for testing local synthesis of the anti-diagonal, and we show for five (classes of) low-dimensional Lie groups that this criterion is satisfied. In Section 3 we tie the investigation together by noting that any non-abelian connected Lie group contains one of the five aforementioned groups or its simply connected covering group, and use the functorial properties to draw our conclusion for connected $G$. We can thus answer Question 0.1 affirmatively for all Lie groups. We finally reduce Question 0.1 to one of connected pro-solvable groups.

0.3. **Basic notation.** Let $G$ be a locally compact group. The following spaces will be used in this note: the space $C_c(G)$ of compactly supported continuous functions; and the $L^p$-spaces with respect the the left Haar measure, $L^p(G)$, $p = 1, 2, \infty$.

We follow Eymard (Eym) for all definitions and concepts around the Fourier algebra $A(G)$. We recall that $A(G)$ consists of all matrix coefficients $u(g) = \langle \lambda(g) \xi | \eta \rangle$ where $\lambda : G \rightarrow U(L^2(G))$ is the left regular representation, and $\xi, \eta \in L^2(G)$. Furthermore, the norm is given by $\|u\|_A = \inf\{\|\xi\|_2 \|\eta\|_2 : u = \langle \lambda(\cdot) \xi | \eta \rangle \text{ as above}\}$. The bounded linear dual is given by the group von Neuman algebra $VN(G) = \lambda(G)'' \subset B(L^2(G))$. If $u = \langle \lambda(\cdot) \xi | \eta \rangle \in A(G)$ and $T \in VN(G)$ we write $T(u) = \langle T \xi | \eta \rangle$. We shall denote the operator norm of an element $S$ of $VN(G)$ by $\|S\|_{VN}$. We recall that the maps $u \mapsto \hat{u} (\hat{u}(g) = u(g^{-1}))$ and maps of left and right translation are all isometric automorphisms of $A(G)$. We always let $A(G) \otimes A(G)$ denote the projective tensor product. We shall have no need for completely bounded maps, and will make no use of the operator projective tensor product, except, of course, implicitly, when we discuss $A(G \times G)$.

We shall define more specialized notions in situ as their necessities arise.

1. **Weak amenability and local synthesis for the anti-diagonal.**

1.1. **Weak amenability implies local synthesis for the anti-diagonal.** Let $G$ be a locally compact group. We let $A_c(G)$ denote the subalgebra of elements $u$ of $A(G)$ for which supp $u = \{g \in G : u(g) \neq 0\}$ is compact. It is well known that the Tauberian condition holds: $A_c(G)$ is dense in $A(G)$; and that $A(G)$ is regular on $G$: given compact $K$ and a neighbourhood $U$ of $K$, there is $u$ in $A_c(G)$ for which $u|_K = 1$ and supp $u \subset U$. See Eym (3.38) and (3.2)].
Given a closed subset $E$ of $G$ we let
\[ I_G(E) = \{ u \in A(G) : u|_E = 0 \} \]
\[ I^0_G(E) = \{ u \in A_c(G) : \text{supp } u \cap E = \emptyset \}, \]
\[ J_G(E) = \overline{I_G(E)} \cap A_c(G). \]

It is evident that $I^0_G(E) \subseteq J_G(E) \subseteq I_G(E)$. We say that $E$ is a set of
- **spectral synthesis** for $G$ if $I^0_G(E) = I_G(E)$; and
- **local synthesis** for $G$ if $I^0_G(E) = J_G(E)$.

For a linear subspace $S \subset A(G)$ we let $S^{(d)} = \text{span}\{ u^d : u \in S \}$. We say that $E$ is a set of
- **weak synthesis** for $G$ if there is $d \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $I^0_G(E)^{(d)} \subseteq I^0_G(E)$; and
- **local weak synthesis** for $G$ if there is $d \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $J_G(E)^{(d)} \subseteq I^0_G(E)$.

We now let $G$ be a connected Lie group and let $\mathcal{D}(G)$ denote the space of compactly supported smooth functions on $G$. If $K$ is a compact subset of $G$ we let $\mathcal{D}_K(G) = \{ u \in \mathcal{D}(G) : \text{supp } u \subset K \}$, which is a Fréchet space. For example, we fix a basis $\beta = (\mathbf{X}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{X}_d)$ for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of $G$ and for $u, v \in \mathcal{D}_K(G)$ set
\[
\partial_X u(g) = \frac{d}{dt} u(g \exp(tX)) \bigg|_{t=0}, \quad \text{for } X \in \mathfrak{g}
\]
\[
\rho^K_{\beta,n}(u) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_n \leq d} \| \partial_X_{i_1} \cdots \partial_X_{i_n} u \|_{\infty}
\]
and $\rho^K_{\beta}(u, v) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^K_{\beta,n}(u - v)}{2^n}$.

Then given a compact neighbourhood $K$ of the identity, $\mathcal{D}(G) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{D}_{K^n}(G)$ is an inductive limit of Fréchet spaces, a so called LF-space. See, for example, [Tre]. It follows from [Eym (3.26)] or [LuTu2] Lemma 3.3 and Remark 4.2, that $\mathcal{D}(G) \subset A_c(G)$, and each inclusion $\mathcal{D}_K(G) \hookrightarrow A_c(G)$ is continuous. Furthermore, since $\mathcal{D}(G)$ is dense in $L^2(G)$, $\mathcal{D}(G) \supseteq \mathcal{D}(G) \ast \mathcal{D}(G)$ and $\{ \hat{u} : u \in \mathcal{D}(G) \} = \mathcal{D}(G)$, $\mathcal{D}(G)$ is dense in $A_c(G)$.

For a closed subset $E$ of $G$ we let
\[ J^0_G(E) = \overline{\mathcal{D}(G)} \cap \overline{I_G(E)} \]
where closure is in $A_c(G)$. Since $\mathcal{D}(G)$ is dense in $A_c(G)$, and $\mathcal{D}(G) J^0_G(E) \subseteq \overline{J^0_G(E)}$, we have that $J^0_G(E)$ is an ideal in $A_c(G)$. Since $A_c(G)$ is regular and Tauberian we have inclusions $I^0_G(E) \subseteq J^0_G(E) \subseteq J_G(E) \subseteq I_G(E)$. We say that $E$ is a set of
- **smooth synthesis** for $G$ if $J^0_G(E) = I_G(E)$; and
- **local smooth synthesis** for $G$ if $J^0_G(E) = J_G(E)$.

The projective tensor product $\mathcal{E} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{F}$ of two locally convex spaces $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is the completion of the algebraic tensor product $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ in the final topology with respect to the embedding $\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F}$. The standard proof of the following, for $G = \mathbb{R}^d$, uses techniques of Fourier analysis on Schwarz class functions. Hence we need to take a little care to see that it holds our setting, though the proof is standard.

**Lemma 1.1.** Let $G$ be a connected Lie group and $K$ and $M$ be compact subsets of $G$. Then $\mathcal{D}_K(G) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}_M(G) \cong \mathcal{D}_{K \times M}(G \times G)$ linearly and homeomorphically.
Proof. Let us first assume that there is a neighbourhood $U$ of $K$ for which there is a diffeomorphism $\varphi : U \to U' \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Then the map $u \mapsto u \circ \varphi : \mathcal{D}_K(G) \to \mathcal{D}_{\varphi(K)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a linear homeomorphism. The same fact holds for $M$, with a neighbourhood $V$ of $M$ and a diffeomorphism $\psi : V \to V' \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Thus we get linear homeomorphisms

\[
\mathcal{D}_K(G) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}_M(G) \cong \mathcal{D}_{\varphi(K)}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}_{\psi(M)}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cong \mathcal{D}_{\varphi(K) \times \psi(M)}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \cong \mathcal{D}_{K \times M}(G \times G)
\]

where the middle identification is provided by [Tre] Theorem 51.6, and the last one by the map $w \mapsto w \circ (\varphi^{-1} \times \psi^{-1})$.

Generally, there are finite open covers $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^m$ of $K$ and $\{V_j\}_{j=1}^n$ of $M$, such that each member is diffeomorphic to an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^m, \{v_j\}_{j=1}^n$ in $\mathcal{D}(G)$ be smooth partitions of unity, subordinate to the respective covers. Then we obtain, for example, a linear homeomorphism $u \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^m u_i : \mathcal{D}_K(G) \to \sum_{i=1}^m \mathcal{D}_{K \times M_i}(G)$, whose inverse is mere inclusion. Then we obtain linear homeomorphisms

\[
\left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathcal{D}_{K \times M_i}(G) \right) \hat{\otimes} \left( \bigoplus_{j=1}^n \mathcal{D}_{M \times V_j}(G) \right) \cong \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \bigoplus_{j=1}^n \mathcal{D}_{K \times M \times M \times V_j}(G \times G) \right)
\]

as above. Hence we obtain linear homeomorphisms

\[
\mathcal{D}_K(G) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}_M(G) \cong \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \mathcal{D}_{K \times U_i \times M \times V_j}(G \times G)
\]

by using both injectivity and projectivity of tensor product of these nuclear spaces.

We let $\Delta_G = \{(g, g^{-1}) : g \in G\} \subset G \times G$.

**Lemma 1.2.** Let $G$ be a connected Lie group. Then

\[
(A_c(G) \otimes A_c(G)) \cap J_{G \times G}(\Delta_G)
\]

is dense in $J_{G \times G}(\Delta_G)$.

**Proof.** For simplicity, we let $A = A(G \times G)$. Let $u \in J_{G \times G}(\Delta_G)$. It was shown in [PaSa] Theorem 7 that $\Delta_G$ is a set of local smooth synthesis, so we may assume that $u \in \mathcal{D}(G \times G)$. Hence we can find a compact subset $M$ of $G$ for which $u \in \mathcal{D}_{M \times M}(G \times G)$. We can further assume that $M$ is symmetric: $M^{-1} = M$. Lemma [11] provides that $u \in \mathcal{D}_M(G) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{D}_M(G)$.

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\rho$ be any invariant metric on $\mathcal{D}_{M \times M}(G \times G)$ which comes form the Fréchet structure. There is a $\delta_1 > 0$ for which

\[
\rho(w, 0) < \delta_1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \|w\|_{A} < \varepsilon
\]

for $w \in \mathcal{D}_{M \times M}(G \times G) \subset A(G \times G)$. Furthermore, it is straightforward to check that the map

\[
\Lambda : \mathcal{D}_{M \times M}(G \times G) \to \mathcal{D}_M(G) \text{ given by } \Lambda w(g) = w(g, g^{-1})
\]

is continuous since $\Delta_G$, qua submanifold of $G \times G$, is diffeomorphic to $G$. If we fix $\nu_M$ in $A_c(G)$ for which $\nu_M|_M = 1$, there is $\delta_2 > 0$ for which

\[
\rho(w, 0) < \delta_2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \|\Lambda(w)\|_{A(G)} < \varepsilon \|\nu_M\|_{A(G)}.
\]
Thus, if $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$, then given $u$ as in the paragraph above, there is a $v$ in the algebraic tensor product $D_M(G) \otimes D_M(G)$ for which $\rho(u, v) < \delta$, and hence our choice of $\delta$ entails that

$$\|u - v\|_A < \varepsilon \text{ and } \|\Lambda(u - v)\|_{A(G)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{\|v_M\|_{A(G)}}.$$  

Now let $w = v - \Lambda(v) \otimes v_M$ which is an element of $A_c(G) \otimes A_c(G)$. Since $M = M^{-1}$ it is easy to see that $w|_{\Delta_G} = 0$. Moreover, notice that $\Lambda(u) = 0$, as $u \in J^P_{G \times G}(\Delta_G)$, so

$$\|u - w\|_A \leq \|u - v\|_A + \|\Lambda(u - v) \otimes v_M\|_A < 2\varepsilon$$

since $\|\Lambda(u - v) \otimes v_M\|_A \leq \|\Lambda(u - v)\|_{A(G)} \|v_M\|_{A(G)}$. \hfill $\Box$

We let $(A(G))^2$ denote the unitization of $A(G)$ and $m^\sharp : (A(G))^2 \otimes (A(G))^2 \to (A(G))^2$ and $m : (A(G)^2 \otimes A(G) \to A(G)$ be the continuous linearization of the respective multiplication maps. Since $A(G)$ is Tauberian and regular, $\overline{A(G)^2} = A(G)$. Hence It follows from [Grö Theorem 3.2] that $A(G)$ is weakly amenable if and only if

(1.2) $$\overline{(\ker m)^2} = A(G) \otimes A(G) \cdot \ker m^\sharp.$$ 

Above, and in what follows, we use for a subspace $S$ of an algebra $A$, the notation $S^d = \text{span}\{u_1 \ldots u_d : u_1, \ldots, u_d \in S\}$.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let $G$ be a connected Lie group. If $A(G)$ is weakly amenable, then $\Delta_G$ is a set of local synthesis for $A(G \times G)$. 

**Proof.** We let $\tilde{m} : A(G) \otimes A(G) \to A(G)$ be given on elementary tensors by $\tilde{m}(u \otimes v) = uv$, and likewise define $\tilde{m}^\sharp$. Since $v \mapsto \tilde{e}$ is an isometry on $A(G)$, if $A(G)$ is weakly amenable then (1.2) implies that

(1.3) $$\overline{(\ker \tilde{m})^2} = A(G) \otimes A(G) \cdot \ker \tilde{m}^\sharp.$$ 

For simplicity, we write $J = J^P_{G \times G}(\Delta_G)$, below. Let $u \in J$. We wish to approximate $u$ by elements from $I^P_{G \times G}(\Delta_G)$. We let $\iota : A(G) \otimes A(G) \to A(G \times G)$ be the linear contraction which embeds $A(G) \otimes A(G)$ into $A(G \times G)$. We have that

$$[A_c(G) \otimes A_c(G)] \cap J \subset \iota(A_c(G) \otimes A_c(G) \cdot \ker \tilde{m}^\sharp) \subseteq \overline{(\ker \tilde{m})^2} \cap A_c(G \times G) \subseteq \overline{J^2}$$

where the first inclusion follows from regularity of $A(G)$, the second inclusion is provided by (1.3), and the third inclusion follows from regularity of $A(G \times G)$. By Lemma [1.2] $[A_c(G) \otimes A_c(G)] \cap J$ is dense in $J$. We thus conclude that $J = \overline{J^2}$, and induction shows that $J = \overline{J^m}$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

The identity $4uv = (u + v)^2 - (u - v)^2$ shows that $J^2 = J^{(2)}$, where the latter notation was used in the definition of weak synthesis, above. By induction we see that $J^{2^m} = J^{(2^m)}$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We conclude that $J = \overline{J^{(2^m)}}$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

It is shown in [PaSa] Theorem 7, that $\Delta_G$ is a set of local weak synthesis, i.e. if $n \geq \dim(G)/2$, then $J^{(n)} \subset I^P_{G \times G}(\Delta_G)$. Hence we see that for some $m$, $J = \overline{J^{(2^m)}} = I^P_{G \times G}(\Delta_G)$, and local synthesis is established. \hfill $\Box$
1.2. **Functorial properties for local synthesis of the anti-diagonal.** Our ultimate goal is to show that a non-abelian connected Lie group does not allow local synthesis of the anti-diagonal. The following will allow us to reduce our calculations to certain computable cases.

We shall make use of the well-known result that for any locally compact group $G$, and closed subgroup $H$, the restriction map $R_H : A(G) \to A(H)$ is a quotient map. See [HeL, McM (4.21)], [Ars (3.23)] or [DeDe]. We even have $R_H(A_c(G)) = A_c(H)$.

**Theorem 1.4.** Let $G$ be a locally compact group and $H$ is a closed connected Lie subgroup. If $\Delta_G$ is of local synthesis for $G \times G$, then $\Delta_H$ is of local synthesis for $H \times H$.

**Proof.** We first claim that $R_{H \times H}(J_{G \times G}(\Delta_G)) = J_{H \times H}(\Delta_H)$. It is clear that $R_{H \times H}(J_{G \times G}(\Delta_G)) \subseteq J_{H \times H}(\Delta_H)$. To see the converse inclusion, let $u \in J_{H \times H}(\Delta_H)$. Since $H$ is a connected Lie group, Lemma 12 allows us to assume that $u \in A_c(H) \otimes A_c(H)$, hence $u = \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i \otimes v_i$. The restriction theorem assures that there are elements $u'_i, v'_i$ in $A_c(G)$ for which $R_H u'_i = u_i$, $R_H v'_i = v_i$ for each $i$. Hence if $w$ in $A_c(G)$ satisfies that $w|_{S} = 1$ where $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \text{supp}(u_i)$, then

$$u' = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (u'_i \otimes v'_i - w \otimes u'_i v'_i) \in I_{G \times G}(\Delta_G) \cap A_c(G \times G)$$

and, since $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{u}_i(h) v_i(h) = u(h^{-1}, h) = 0$ for $h$ in $H$, we have

$$R_{H \times H}(u') = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (u_i \otimes v_i - R_H(w) \otimes \hat{u}_i v_i) = u.$$

It then follows that $u \in R_{H \times H}(J_{G \times G}(\Delta_G))$.

It is evident that $R_{H \times H}(I_{G \times G}^{0}(\Delta_G)) \subseteq I_{H \times H}^{0}(\Delta_H)$, so $R_{H \times H}(I_{G \times G}^{0}(\Delta_G)) \subseteq I_{H \times H}^{0}(\Delta_H)$. Our assumption that $I_{G \times G}(\Delta_G) = I_{G \times G}^{0}(\Delta_G)$, coupled with the result of the prior paragraph, shows that $I_{H \times H}^{0}(\Delta_H) = J_{H \times H}(\Delta_H)$. □

The proof of the next functorial property is more general, though its proof is a little more involved. We shall use the following fact about local synthesis. This is related to well-known facts about spectral synthesis in regular function algebras; see, for example, expositions in [ReSt] [Kan]. If $G$ is a locally compact group and $E$ is a closed subset of $G$, we have for $u \in A_c(G)$ that

$$u \in I_G^{0}(E) \iff u \text{ is "locally in $I_G^{0}(E)^{\#}$", i.e. for every $g$ in $E$ there is a neighbourhood $U_g$ of $g$, and a $u_g$ in $I_G^{0}(E)$, for which $u|_{U_g} = u_g|_{U_g}$.}$$

Indeed, we need to only prove sufficiency. In this case, take such a collection $U_1 = U_{g_1}, \ldots, U_n = U_{g_n}$ of open sets covering $\text{supp}(u) \cap E$, and associated functions $u_1, \ldots, u_n$. Let $U_{n+1}$ be a neighbourhood of $\text{supp}(u) \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{n} U_k$ with $U_{n+1} \cap E = \emptyset$. Any partition of unity $v_1, \ldots, v_{n+1}$ of $\text{supp}(u)$ subordinate to $U_1, \ldots, U_{n+1}$, satisfies $u v_k = u_k v_k$, for each $k = 1, \ldots, n$, so $u = \sum_{k=1}^{n} u_k v_k + u_{n+1} \in I_G^{0}(E)$. 


The following holds for any regular Banach function algebra. To avoid introducing new notation, we state it only for a Fourier algebra.

**Proposition 1.5.** Let $G$ be a locally compact group and $E$ be a closed subset which admits a partition, $E = \bigsqcup_{i \in I} E_i$, for which each $E_i$ is closed in $G$ and relatively open in $E$. Then $E$ is of local synthesis for $G$ if and only if each $E_i$ is of local synthesis.

**Proof.** ($\Rightarrow$) Let $F$ be a subset of $E$ which is closed in $G$ and relatively open in $E$; in particular we may consider $F = E_i$ for a fixed $i$. Let $u \in \mathcal{J}_G(F) \cap A_\gamma(G)$. For every $g$ in $F$, our assumptions on $F$ allows us to choose a compact neighbourhood $U$ of $g$ for which $U \cap E = U \cap F$. We find $v$ in $A_\gamma(G)$ for which $\text{supp}(v) \cap E = \text{supp}(v) \cap F$ and $v|_U = 1$. We have that $u = uv$ on $U$ and

$$uv \in \mathcal{J}_G(E) = \overline{I_G^0(E)} \subseteq \overline{I_G^0(F)}.$$ 

Thus $u$ is locally in $\overline{I_G^0(F)}$, whence in $\overline{I_G^0(F)}$.

($\Leftarrow$) Let $u \in \mathcal{J}_G(E) \cap A_\gamma(G)$. Since each $E_i$ is of local synthesis, we have

$$u \in \mathcal{J}_G(E) \subseteq \mathcal{J}_G(E_i) = \overline{I_G^0(E_i)}$$

i.e. $u = \lim_{n \to \infty} u_n$, where each $u_n \in \overline{I_G^0(E_i)}$. Fix $g$ in $E_i$ and, again, our assumption of relative openness of $E_i$ in $E$ allows us to take a compact neighbourhood $U$ of $g$ for which $U \cap E = U \cap E_i$. If $v$ is any element of $A_\gamma(G)$ for which $\text{supp}(v) \cap E = \text{supp}(v) \cap E_i$ and $v|_U = 1$, then $u = uv$ on $U$, and $uv = \lim_{n \to \infty} u_n v$, where each $u_n v \in \overline{I_G^0(E)}$. Hence $u$ is locally in $\overline{I_G^0(E)}$, thus $u \in \overline{I_G^0(E)}$. 

**Theorem 1.6.** Let $G$ be a locally compact group and $\Gamma$ a discrete normal subgroup. Then $\Delta_G$ is of local synthesis for $G \times G$ if and only if $\Delta_G/\Gamma$ is of local synthesis for $G/\Gamma \times G/\Gamma$.

**Proof.** The injection theorem of [Loh] tells us that $\Delta_G/\Gamma$ is of local synthesis for $G/\Gamma \times G/\Gamma$ if and only if $q^{-1}(\Delta_G/\Gamma)$, where $q : G \times G \to G/\Gamma \times G/\Gamma$ is the quotient map, is a set of local synthesis for $G \times G$. Hence we wish to verify that

\[(1.4) \quad \Delta_G \text{ is of local synthesis } \iff \quad q^{-1}(\Delta_G/\Gamma) \text{ is of local synthesis for } G \times G.\]

Hence if we define an action of $\Gamma \times \Gamma$ on $G \times G$ by $(\gamma, \gamma') \cdot (g, g') = (\gamma g, \gamma g')^{-1}$, then $q^{-1}(\Delta_G/\Gamma) = (\Gamma \times \Gamma) \cdot \Delta_G$, the orbit of the set $\Delta_G$ under this action. It is easy to check that

\[(\gamma, \gamma') \cdot \Delta_G = \Delta_G \quad \iff \quad \gamma' = \gamma\]

i.e. if $(\gamma g, \gamma^{-1} g^{-1}) = (h, h^{-1})$ then $\gamma g = (g^{-1} \gamma^{-1})^{-1}$; and hence

\[(\gamma, \gamma') \cdot \Delta_G = (\lambda, \lambda') \cdot \Delta_G \quad \iff \quad \lambda^{-1} \gamma = \lambda^{-1} \gamma' \quad \iff \quad \lambda' \gamma = \gamma' \gamma^{-1}.\]

Thus $q^{-1}(\Delta_G/\Gamma) = \bigsqcup_{(\gamma, \gamma) \in (\Gamma \times \Gamma)/\Delta_G} (\gamma, \gamma') \cdot \Delta_G$, where $\Delta_G = \{ (\gamma, \gamma) : \gamma \in \Gamma \}$. Let us see that

\[(1.5) \quad \text{the individual fibres } (\lambda, \lambda') \cdot \Delta_G \text{ are relatively open in } q^{-1}(\Delta_G/\Gamma).\]

Suppose that $(\lambda h, h^{-1} \lambda^{-1})$ is approached by a net of elements $(\gamma_n g_n, g_n^{-1} \gamma_n^{-1})$. Then the net of elements $(\lambda^{-1} \gamma_n g_n h^{-1}, h g_n^{-1} \gamma_n^{-1} \lambda')$ would approach $(e, e)$, which
implies that \( \lambda^{-1} \gamma_n \gamma_n'^{-1} \lambda' \) approaches \( e \), and hence is ultimately \( e \), by discreteness; thus \( \gamma_n \gamma_n'^{-1} \) is ultimately \( \lambda \lambda'^{-1} \), i.e. the net is ultimately in the fibre \( (\lambda, \lambda') \cdot \Delta_G \).

\((\Rightarrow)\) If \( \Delta_G \) is of local synthesis for \( G \times G \), then so too is each fibre \( (\gamma, \gamma') \cdot \Delta_G = (\gamma, e) \Delta_G (e, \gamma'^{-1}) \). We then appeal to Proposition \([1,5]\) and \([1,4]\) to obtain necessity in \([1,4]\).

\((\Leftarrow)\) The anti-diagonal \( \Delta_G \) is an open fibre of \( q^{-1}(\Delta_{G/\Gamma}) \), thanks to \([1,5]\). Then Proposition \([1,5]\) delivers sufficiency in \([1,4]\).

In practice we will use this last result with a central discrete subgroup. Centrality seems to offer no meaningful simplification to the proof, however.

\section{Failure of local synthesis for the anti-diagonal}

\subsection{The basic strategy}

We wish to show that for certain given 2 or 3-dimensional non-abelian connected Lie groups \( G \), that its anti-diagonal fails to be a set of local synthesis for \( G \times G \). We shall exploit the density of the space of test functions \( \mathcal{D}(G) \) in \( A(G) \), as outlined in Section \([1,1]\). This implies that any \( T \in \mathcal{V}N(G) \cong A(G)^* \) may be understood as a distribution, i.e. \( T \in \mathcal{D}(G)^* \).

The following informs all of the choices made through the rest of this section.

\begin{lemma}
Let \( G \) be a connected Lie group with Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g} \) and \( X \in \mathfrak{g} \) with \( X \neq 0 \), hence \( (X,0) \) is an element of the Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \) of \( G \times G \). Let \( 0 \neq v \in L^1(G) \). If there exists \( S = S_{X,v} \) in \( \mathcal{V}N(G \times G) \), for which

\[ S(u) = \int_G \partial_{(X,0)} u(g, g^{-1}) v(g) \, dg \]

whenever \( u \in \mathcal{D}(G \times G) \), then \( \Delta_G \) is not a set of local synthesis for \( G \times G \).

\begin{proof}
We will verify that \( S \in \mathcal{I}_{G \times G}^0(\Delta_G)^+ \setminus \mathcal{J}_{G \times G}(\Delta_G)^+ \), where \( K^+ \) denotes the annihilator of the subspace \( K \). This will show that \( \mathcal{I}_{G \times G}^0(\Delta_G) \subseteq \mathcal{J}_{G \times G}(\Delta_G) \).

Let us see that \( S \in \mathcal{I}_{G \times G}^0(\Delta_G)^- \). Let \( u \in \mathcal{I}_{G \times G}^0(\Delta_G) \), and \( \varepsilon > 0 \). By the regularity of the algebra \( \mathcal{D}(G \times G) \), there is \( w \) in \( \mathcal{D}(G \times G) \) such that \( w \mid_{\text{supp}(u)} = 1 \) and \( \text{supp}(w) \cap \Delta_G = \emptyset \). Since \( \mathcal{I}_{G \times G}^0(\Delta_G) \subseteq \mathcal{J}_{G \times G}^0(\Delta_G) \), there is \( u' \) in \( \mathcal{D}(G \times G) \cap \mathcal{I}_{G \times G}(\Delta_G) \) such that \( \| u - u' \|_A \leq \varepsilon \). But then, since \( u = uu' \), we have that \( \| u - uu' \|_A \leq \varepsilon \). As \( uu' \in \mathcal{I}_{G \times G}^0(\Delta_G) \), it is obvious that \( \partial_{(X,0)}(uu')|_{\Delta_G} = 0 \), so \( S(uu') = 0 \). Hence \( |S(u)| = |S(u - uu')| \leq \| S \|_{\mathcal{V}N} \varepsilon \). As \( \varepsilon > 0 \) may be chosen arbitrarily, \( S(u) = 0 \).

Let us now see that \( S \not\in \mathcal{J}_{G \times G}(\Delta_G)^- \). We consider general \( x, y, z \) in \( \mathcal{D}(G) \), and let \( w = xy \otimes z - x \otimes \tilde{y}z \), which is an element of \( \mathcal{D}(G \times G) \cap \mathcal{J}_{G \times G}(\Delta_G) \). But then

\[ S(w) = \int_G [\partial_x(xy)(g) - \partial_x x(xy)g(g)] z(g)v(g) \, dg = - \int_G x(g) \partial_x y(g) z(g)v(g) \, dg. \]

We may choose \( x, y, z \) for which \( S(w) \neq 0 \).

We shall require disintegration of the left regular representation of \( G \) into irreducible components. For this purpose and to introduce notation, we summarize the Plancherel theorem of \([1,3]\). Our presentation is influenced by \([1,2]\) \((7.50)\). We purposely restrict the description, to fit our needs. We let \( \hat{G} \) denote the space of (equivalence classes of) irreducible representations of \( G \), and accept the standard
abuse of notation where we conflate an equivalence class with one of its representatives. If \( u \in C_c(G) \) we let its Fourier transform be given at \( \pi \) in \( \hat{G} \) by

\[
\hat{u}(\pi) = \int_G u(g) \pi(g) \, dg \in \mathcal{B} (\mathcal{H}_\pi), \text{ i.e. } \langle \hat{u}(\pi) \xi | \eta \rangle = \int_G u(g) \langle \pi(g) \xi | \eta \rangle \, dg
\]

where \( \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}_\pi \), and \( \mathcal{H}_\pi \) is the space on which \( \pi \) acts.

**Proposition 2.2.** Suppose \( G \) is a connected Lie group for which the kernel of the modular function, \( K = \ker \Delta \), is type I, and \( G \) acts on \( \hat{K} \) regularly in the sense that there is a Borel cross-section for the space of orbits of \( G \) on \( \hat{K} \). Then \( \hat{G} \) contains

- a dense Borel subset \( S(\hat{G}) \) of elements, each of which is the induced representation from some closed subgroup of \( \ker \Delta \);
- a Borel parametrization \( b \mapsto \pi_b : B \to S(\hat{G}) \)

and

- for each \( b \in B \), a positive operator \( \delta_b \) on \( \mathcal{H}_{\pi_b} \), which satisfies

\[
\pi_b(g) \delta_b \pi_b(g^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\Delta(g)} \delta_b
\]

for which the choice of the triple \( (\delta_b)_{b \in B}, (\pi_b)_{b \in B}, \mu \) is unique, up to measure equivalence of \( (\pi_b)_{b \in B}, \mu \), and such that the Plancherel transform on \( C_c(G) \), given by

\[
u \mapsto \langle \hat{\nu}(\pi_b) \delta_b^{1/2} \rangle_{b \in B}
\]

extends to a unitary identifying

\[
L^2(G) \cong \int_B \mathcal{H}_{\pi_b} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{H}_{\pi_b}} \, d\mu(b).
\]

Each Hilbertian tensor product \( \mathcal{H}_{\pi_b} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{H}_{\pi_b}} \) is identified with the space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators on \( \mathcal{H}_{\pi_b} \), above.

Hence the left regular representation \( \lambda \) of \( G \) admits disintegration up to unitary equivalence, and quasi-equivalence respectively, as

\[
\lambda \cong \int_B \pi_b \otimes \overline{\pi_b} \, d\mu(b) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda \simeq \int_B \pi_b \, d\mu(b).
\]

In (2.1) we need to concern ourselves with only the equivalence class of \( \mu \) in the relation of mutual absolute continuity of measures on \( B \). Furthermore, the left regular representation of \( G \times G \) may now be represented by the quasi-equivalence

\[
\lambda \times \lambda \cong \int_{B \times B} \pi_b \times \pi_{b'} \, d(\mu \times \mu)(b, b')
\]

where we use Kroenecker products of representations. Hence if \( v \in L^1(G) \), then the operator on \( A(G \times G) \) given by \( E_v(u) = \int_G u(g, g^{-1}) v(g) \, dg \) may be represented by the operator field

\[
E_v(b, b') = \int_G v(g) \pi_b(g) \otimes \pi_{b'}(g^{-1}) \, dg \quad \text{for } b, b' \in B.
\]

If \( G \) is unimodular, we set each \( \delta_b = I_{\mathcal{H}_{\pi_b}} \). When \( G \) is not unimodular, and \( \pi_b \) is induced from a character \( \chi \) of abelian subgroup \( H \) of \( \ker \Delta \), then \( \mathcal{H}_{\pi_b} \) may be identified with a completion of \( \mathcal{F}_{\pi_b} = \{ f \in C(G) : f(gh) = \chi(b) f(g) \} \), and \( \delta_b \) with multiplication on the latter space by \( \Delta(\cdot)^{-1} \); compare with the description in [Fol (7.49)].
We also have for any \( g \) in \( G \), the Fourier inversion formula of [Tat Corollary 2]:

for \( w = \langle \lambda(\cdot)u|v \rangle \), where \( u,v \in \mathcal{C}_c(G) \), we have

\[
(2.4) \quad w(g) = \int_B \text{Tr}(\pi_b(g^{-1})\tilde{w}(\pi_b)\delta_b) \, d\mu(b) = \int_B \text{Tr}(\pi_b(g)\tilde{w}(\pi_b)\delta_b) \, d\mu(b).
\]

By density of span \( \lambda(G) \) in \( VN(G) \), and of each span \( \pi_b(G) \) in \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\pi_b}) \) (Schur’s lemma), we also have for any \( T \) in \( VN(G) \) the duality formula

\[
(2.5) \quad T(w) = \int_B \text{Tr}(T(b)\tilde{w}(\pi_b)\delta_b) \, d\mu(b)
\]

where \( T \simeq (T(b))_{b \in B} \in L^\infty(B,\mu;\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\pi_b})). \)

Let \( G \) be a connected Lie group and \( \pi \in \hat{G} \). It is well known that for any \( X \) in the Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g} \) of \( G \), and any \( \pi \) in \( \hat{G} \), that there is a dense subspace \( \mathcal{H}_{\pi_b}^X \) of vectors \( \xi \) for which

\[
(2.6) \quad d\pi(X)\xi = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h} [\pi(\exp(hX)) - I]\xi
\]

exists. For example \( \mathcal{H}_{\pi}^X \supseteq \mathcal{H}_{\pi}^D = \text{span}\{ \hat{u}(\pi)\xi : u \in \mathcal{D}(G), \xi \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \} \). Thus \( d\pi(X) \) is an (unbounded) operator on \( \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \). Given the parameterization \( b \mapsto \pi_b \) above, it can be checked that, as the limit of a measurable field of operators, \( (d\pi_b(X))_{b \in B} \) is also measurable.

**Lemma 2.3.** Suppose that \( G \) is a connected Lie group with Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g} \), and \( X \) in \( \mathfrak{g} \) is such that, for each \( b \) in \( B \), there is a subspace \( \mathcal{F}_b \) of \( \mathcal{H}_{\pi_b}^X \) which is dense in \( \mathcal{H}_{\pi_b^X} \), and for which \( \delta_b^{-1}\mathcal{F}_b \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{\pi_b}^X \). If \( T \) in \( VN(G) \) satisfies that \( (S(b))_{b \in B} = (T(b)d\pi_b(X))_{b \in B} \) is a bounded field of operators, then for \( u \) in \( \mathcal{D}(G) \) we have that

\[
S(u) = T(\partial_X u).
\]

**Proof.** Recall that as in (1.1), the symbol \( \partial_X \) denotes a derivative on the right.

First we fix \( \pi \). If \( \xi \in \delta_b^{-1}\mathcal{F}_b \) then we have for \( u \) in \( \mathcal{D}(G) \) that

\[
(\partial_X u)^\vee(\pi_b)\delta_b \xi = \int_G \partial_X u(g^{-1})\pi_b(g)\delta_b \xi \, dg
\]

\[
= \lim_{h \to \infty} \frac{1}{h} \left[ \int_G u(g^{-1}\exp(hX))\pi_b(g)\delta_b \xi \, dg - \int_G u(g^{-1})\pi_b(g)\delta_b \xi \, dg \right]
\]

\[
= \lim_{h \to \infty} \frac{1}{h} \left[ \int_G u(g^{-1})\pi_b(\exp(hX)g)\delta_b \xi \, dg - \int_G u(g^{-1})\pi_b(g)\delta_b \xi \, dg \right]
\]

\[
= \left( \lim_{h \to \infty} \frac{1}{h} [\pi_b(\exp(hX) - I)] \right) \int_G u(g^{-1})\pi_b(g)\delta_b \, dg \xi
\]

\[
= d\pi_b(X)\hat{u}(\pi)\delta_b \xi
\]

where in the second through fourth lines, the limit is understood in the weak sense, and we may use dominated convergence theorem on associated scalar integrals.

Since \( \delta_b^{-1}\mathcal{F}_b \) is dense in \( \mathcal{H}_{\pi_b} \), the computation above, coupled with (2.5), tells us that

\[
S(u) = \int_B \text{Tr}(T(b)d\pi_b(X)\hat{u}(\pi_b)\delta_b) \, d\mu(b)
\]

\[
= \int_B \text{Tr}(T(b)(\partial_X u)^\vee(\pi_b)\delta_b) \, d\mu(b) = T(\partial_X u).
\]

□
We now will embark on using Lemma 2.3 on the group $G \times G$ and operator fields with fibres $d(\pi_b \times \pi_{b'})_t(X,0) = d\pi_b(X) \otimes I_{H_{t'}}$ ($X \in \mathfrak{g}$) to verify the conditions of Lemma 2.1.

As a first illustration, let us apply these methods to the special unitary group $SU(2)$. We note that $\Delta_{SU(2)}$ is a set of non-synthesis is known (see [FSS1]). We recall the well known fact that $SU(2)$ admits as its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(2)$, which may be identified with $2 \times 2$-complex matrices which are skew-Hermitian and trace zero. The representation theory of $SU(2)$ is well-known: $\hat{SU}(2) = \{ \pi_n : n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \}$, each $\pi_n$ acts on a space of dimension $n + 1$, and if $g \cong \operatorname{diag}(z, \bar{z})$ ($\cong$ is similarity by conjugation in $SU(2)$) then $\pi_n(g) \cong \operatorname{diag}(z^n, z^{n-2}, \ldots, z^{-n})$.

**Proposition 2.4.** Let $X$ be any non-zero element of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$. Then there is an $S = S_{X,1}$ in $V(\mathfrak{su}(2))$ for which

$$S(u) = \int_G \partial_{(X,0)} u(g, g^{-1}) \, dg$$

for $u$ in $D(SU(2) \times SU(2))$.

**Proof.** Since $X^* = -X$ and $\operatorname{Tr}X = 0$, there is $x \in \mathbb{R}$ for which we have equivalence $X \cong \operatorname{diag}(ix, -ix)$, hence $\exp(hX) \cong \operatorname{diag}(e^{ihx}, e^{-ihx})$ in $SU(2)$. It is immediate that

$$d\pi_n(X) \cong \operatorname{diag}(inx, i(n-2)x, \ldots, -inx).$$

The Schur orthogonality relations immediately give, in the notation of (2.3) with $v = 1$, that

$$E_1(n, n') = \int_{SU(2)} \pi_n(g) \otimes \pi_{n'}(g)^* \, dg = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n+1} T_n & \text{if } n = n' \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $T_n$ is an $(n + 1)^2 \times (n + 1)^2$ permutation matrix with respect to some basis.

It is then obvious that

$$\|E_1(n, n') (d\pi_n(X) \otimes I)\| \leq |x|$$

for each $n, n'$. We appeal to Lemma 2.3. □

2.2. **Two unimodular groups.** We let $E = \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{T}$ be the 3-dimensional Euclidean motion group with multiplication and inversion given by

$$(x, z)(x', z') = (x + zz', zz') \quad \text{and} \quad (x, z)^{-1} = (-\bar{z}x, \bar{z}).$$

This group is unimodular with Haar integral the same as that on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{T}$.

The following data are well known; see, for example [Sug, IV]. The additive group $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}^2$ admits a real inner product $(x, x') \mapsto x \cdot x' = \Re x \Re x' + \Im x \Im x'$. For each $a$ in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ we obtain an irreducible unitary representation $\pi_a$ by inducing from the character $\chi_a(x) = e^{-ix \cdot a}$. Then $\pi_a \cong \pi_b$ if and only if $|a| = |b|$. Hence we parameterize this family by $r$ in $(0, \infty)$. Each representation is given

$$\pi_r : E \to \mathcal{U}(L^2(\mathbb{T})), \quad \pi_r(x, z) \xi(w) = e^{-i r(xw)} \xi(zw).$$

Then the disintegration formula (2.1) takes the form

$$\lambda \simeq \int_{(0, \infty)} \pi_r r \, dr \simeq \int_{(0, \infty)} \pi_r \, dr$$

where the middle formula is with respect to the group’s Plancherel measure which is mutually absolutely equivalent to Lebesgue measure on $(0, \infty)$. 

We recall that $E$ has Lie algebra
$$\mathfrak{e} = \{T, X_1, X_2 : [T, X_1] = X_2, [T, X_2] = -X_1, [X_1, X_2] = 0\}$$
where $\exp(hX_1) = (h, 1)$, $\exp(hX_2) = (ih, 1)$ and $\exp(hT) = (0, e^{ih})$.

We also consider the Sobolev-type space
$$H^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2) = \{v : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{C} \mid v, \partial^2_2 v \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2), \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$$
where $\partial_x v(y) = \frac{d}{dy}v(y + tx)|_{t=0}$, and the second order derivatives may be considered in the distributional sense.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let $\hat{v} \in H^{2,1}(\mathbb{C}) = H^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, and $v$ in $L^1(E)$ be given by $v(x, z) = \hat{v}(x)$. Let $X \in \text{span}\{X_1, X_2\}$. Then there is an $S = S_{X, v}$ in $VN(E)$ for which
$$S(u) = \int_E \partial_{(x, 0)}u(g, g^{-1})v(g)\,dg$$
for $u$ in $\mathcal{D}(E \times E)$.

**Proof.** First, for $\xi$ in $L^2(\mathbb{T})$, [23] provides that
$$E_v(r, r')\xi(w, w') = \int_\mathbb{T} \int_\mathbb{C} \hat{v}(x)e^{-ix(rw' - rw)}\xi(\bar{z}w, zw')\,dx\,dz$$
$$= \int_\mathbb{T} 2\pi\hat{v}(rw - r'zw')\xi(\bar{z}w, zw')\,dz$$
where $\hat{v}$ is the Fourier transform of $\hat{v}$ on $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}^2$. Consider the unitary $U$ on $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$ given by $U\xi(w, w') = \xi(w, \bar{w}w')$, which has adjoint given by $U^*\xi(w, w') = \xi(w, \bar{w}w')$. We have
$$U^*E_v(r, r')U\xi(w, w') = E_v(r, r')U\xi(w, \bar{w}w')$$
$$= 2\pi \int_\mathbb{T} \hat{v}(rw - r'\bar{z}\bar{w}w')\xi(\bar{z}w, zw')\,dz = 2\pi \int_\mathbb{T} \hat{v}(rw - r'zw')\xi(\bar{z}, w')\,dz$$

The fact that we choose $\hat{v}$ from $H^{2,1}(\mathbb{C})$ allows that there is a constant $C_v$, such that for any $y$ in $\mathbb{C}$ we have
$$|\hat{v}(y)| \leq \frac{C_v}{1 + |y|^2}.$$ 

In fact we could choose $C_v = ||\hat{v}||_\infty + ||\hat{Lv}||_\infty$, where $L = \partial^2_{x_1} + \partial^2_{x_2}$ is the Laplacian on $\mathbb{R}^2 \cong \mathbb{C}$. Hence we estimate
$$||U^*E_v(r, r')U\xi(w, w')||^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left[2\pi C_v \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left|\frac{\xi(\bar{z}, w')}{1 + |rw - r'zw'|^2}\right|\,dz\right]^2\,d(w, w')$$
$$\leq (2\pi C_v)^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left[\int_{\mathbb{T}} \left|\frac{\xi(\bar{z}, w')}{1 + |rw - r'zw'|^2}\right|^2\,dz\right]\,dw'$$
$$\leq (2\pi C_v)^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left|\frac{dz}{1 + |r - r'z|^2}\right|^2 ||\xi||^2$$

where we have used the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in the second line, and Tonelli’s theorem, in the third. Hence
$$\|E_v(r, r')\| = ||U^*E(r, r')U|| \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{2\pi C_v\,dz}{1 + |r - r'z|^2} \text{ for } r, r' > 0.$$}

(2.7)
Now we consider for each $r > 0$ the operator $d\pi_r(X)$. If $\xi \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ then for $(x,1) = \exp X$ we have

$$d\pi_r(X)\xi(w) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h} [e^{-i(hx) \cdot (rw)} - 1] \xi(w) = -irx \cdot w\xi(w)$$

where convergence is uniform in $w$. Hence by (2.6) $d\pi_r(X)$ is the multiplication operator by $w \mapsto -irx \cdot w$. In particular $d\pi_r(X)$ is bounded with $\|d\pi_r(X)\| \leq r|x|$. Combining with (2.7) we see that

$$\|E_u(r, r')(d\pi_r(X) \otimes I)\| \leq \int_T 2\pi C_{uv} r \, dz = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{C_{uv} r \, dt}{1 + r^2 + r'^2 - 2rr' \cos t}$$

However, using either methods of complex analysis, or the table of integrals [Gr Ry 2.553-3], we obtain that the latter integral is equal to the first expression in the elementary estimate

$$\frac{C_{uv} r}{2\sqrt{(1 + r^2 + r'^2)^2 - 4(rr')^2}} \leq \frac{C_{uv} r}{2\sqrt{1 + 2(r^2 + r'^2)}}$$

which is clearly uniformly bounded in $r$ and $r'$. Hence, by Lemma 2.3 we are done. \[\square\]

Now we consider the reduced Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^r = (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}) \times \mathbb{R}$ with multiplication and inversion given by

$$(y, z, x)(y', z', x') = (y + y', z + z'e^{iy}, x + x')$$
and $$(y, z, x)^{-1} = (-y, z, x)$$
We identify the centre of $\mathbb{H}^r$ with $\mathbb{T}$. The group is unimodular, and its Haar integral is the same as that on the product group $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$. All of the infinite-dimensional irreducible representations are known to be obtained by inducing from the characters $\chi_{0,n}$ on the normal subgroup $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$, $\chi_{0,n}(y, z) = \bar{z}^n$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. This follows, for example, from [Ka Ta 4.38] and the fact that $\mathbb{H}^r$ is a quotient of the usual Heisenberg group. For each $n$ in $\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, the representation is given by

$$\pi_n : \mathbb{H}^r \to L^2(\mathbb{R}), \quad \pi_n(y, z, x)\xi(t) = \bar{z}^n e^{-int} \xi(t - x).$$
The left regular representation admits a decomposition

$$\lambda \simeq \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \pi_n$$
where $\pi_0 : \mathbb{H}^r \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is, effectively, the left regular representation of $\mathbb{H}^r/\mathbb{T}$. Indeed, if we let $\mathcal{H}_n = \{\xi \in L^2(\mathbb{H}^r) : \xi(g(0, z, 0)) = \bar{z}^n \xi(g) \text{ for a.e. } g \in \mathbb{H}^r\}$, then $\lambda = \lambda(\cdot) |_{\mathcal{H}_n}$ has $\lambda_n(0, z, 0) = \bar{z}^n I$, and is hence quasi-equivalent to $\pi_n$ by the Stone-von Neumann theorem (see, for example, [Fol 6.49]).

We note that the Lie algebra of $\mathbb{H}^r$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{h} = \langle X, Y, Z : [X, Y] = Z, [Z, X] = 0 = [Y, Z] \rangle$$
where $\exp(hX) = (0, 1, h)$, $\exp(hY) = (h, 1, 0)$ and $\exp(hZ) = (0, e^{ih}, 0)$.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let $v_1, v_2$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ be so that $v_1$ is (essentially) bounded and $v_2 \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, and set $v(y, z, x) = v_1(x)v_2(y)$. Then there is $S = S_{Z,v}$ in $VN(\mathbb{H}^r)$ for which

$$S(u) = \int_{\mathbb{H}^r} \partial_Z u(g, g^{-1}) v(g) \, dg$$

for $u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{H}^r \times \mathbb{H}^r)$.
Proof. We allow (2.8) to substitute for (2.1) and we obtain a likewise decomposition for $\lambda \times \lambda$. Thus, using the appropriate analogue of (2.3), we compute for $n, n'$ in $\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ that for $\xi$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \cong L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R})$ that

$$E_v(n, n')\xi(t, t') = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} v_1(x)v_2(y)e^{-inty} e^{ixy} e^{in't'y}\xi(t-x, t'+x) \, dy \, dz \, dx$$

$$= \delta_{n, n'} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_1(x)v_2(y)e^{-inx+it-t'}y\xi(t-x, t'+x) \, dy \, dx$$

$$= \sqrt{2\pi} \delta_{n, n'} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_1(x)\hat{v}_2(n(x+t-t'))\xi(t-x, t'+x) \, dx$$

where $\delta_{n, n'}$ is the Kronecker delta symbol. Likewise $E_v(n, 0) = 0$ for $n$ in $\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. We consider the unitary on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ given by $U\xi(t, t') = \xi(t, t'+t)$. We have for $n$ in $\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ that

$$U^* E_v(n, n)U \xi(t, t') = E_v(n, n)U \xi(t, t'-t)$$

$$= \sqrt{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_1(x)\hat{v}_2(n(x+2t-t'))\xi(t-x, t') \, dx$$

Hence we compute

$$\|U^* E_v(n, n)U \xi\|^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2\pi \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} |v_1(x)\hat{v}_2(n(-x+3t-t'))|\xi(x, t') \, dx \right]^2 \, dt \, dt'$$

$$\leq 2\pi \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} |v_1(x)| |\hat{v}_2(n(-x+3t-t'))| \, dx \right]$$

$$\times \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} |v_1(x)\hat{v}_2(n(-x+3t-t'))| |\xi(x, t')|^2 \, dx \right] \, dt \, dt'$$

$$\leq 2\pi \|v_1\|^2 \|\hat{v}_2\|^2 \frac{1}{3n^2} \|\xi\|^2$$

where we have used the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for the second inequality and Tonelli’s theorem, a Hölder inequality and a change of variables for the third. In summary

$$(2.9) \quad \|E_v(n, n')\| \leq \delta_{n, n'} \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sqrt{3n}} \|v_1\|_{\infty} \|\hat{v}_2\|_1, \quad \text{for} \quad (n, n') \, \text{in} \quad (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{Z}.$$

It is trivial to see that

$$d\pi_n(Z) = -inI$$

for $n$ in $\mathbb{Z}$. Combining with (2.9) we see that

$$\sup_{(n, n') \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \|E_v(n, n')(d\pi_n(Z) \otimes I)\| < \infty$$

and we may hence appeal to Lemma 2.3. \hfill \square

2.3. Two non-unimodular groups. We shall consider a class of groups we call Grélaud’s groups. Fix a parameter $\theta > 0$ and for $s$ in $\mathbb{R}$ let

$$\tau(s) = \exp s \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\theta \\ \theta & 1 \end{bmatrix} = e^s \varrho(s), \quad \text{where} \quad \varrho(s) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos s\theta & -\sin s\theta \\ \sin s\theta & \cos s\theta \end{bmatrix}.$$
We now let $G_\theta = \mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes \mathbb{R}$ with multiplication given by

$$(x, s)(x', s') = (x + \tau(s)x', s + s'),$$

hence $(x, s)^{-1} = (-\tau(-s)x, -s)$.

Notice that we have \( \det \tau(s) = e^{2s} \) from which we get left Haar integral, for $u$ in $C_c(G_\theta)$, and modular function

$$\int_G u(x, s)\, d(x, s) = \int_\mathbb{R}^2 \int_\mathbb{R} u(x, s)e^{-2s} \, ds \, dx \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta(x, s) = e^{2s}.$$

If $y \in \mathbb{R}^2$, with associated character $\chi_y(x) = e^{-ix\cdot y}$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$, we get induced representation

$$\pi_y : G_\theta \to \mathcal{U}(L^2(\mathbb{R})), \quad \pi_y(x, s) = e^{-i(\tau(-s)x)y} \chi_y(t - s) = e^{-ie^{-t}s}(\rho(t)y) \chi_y(t - s).$$

Notice that if $y' = \rho(t)y$, then $\pi_y \cong \pi_{y'}$ via the intertwiner $\rho(t')$, where $\rho$ is the left regular representation on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Hence we may parameterize these representations by the unit sphere $S^1$. Furthermore, by [Kn1997, 7.35], the family $\{\pi_y\}_{y \in S^1}$ is a dense, compact subset of $\hat{G}_\theta$.

To learn the disintegration of the left regular representation (2.1), we will have to obtain the Plancherel formula for this group. Since we do not know of a reference for this, we compute it ourselves. We use the notation of Proposition 2.2.

**Proposition 2.7.** The Plancherel decomposition of $L^2(G_\theta)$ is given by

$$L^2(G_\theta) \cong \int_{S^1} L^2(\mathbb{R})_y \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R})_y \, d\nu(y),$$

where each $L^2(\mathbb{R})_y$ is a copy of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, $\nu$ is the unique rotationally invariant probability measure on $S^1$, and we have for $\xi$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})_y$, $\delta_y \xi(t) = \Delta(t)^{-1} \xi(t) = e^{-2i\xi(t)}$ for a.e. $t$. Hence we obtain

$$\lambda \cong \int_{S^1} \pi_y \, d\nu(y).$$

**Proof.** We will simply verify that the choices above satisfy Proposition 2.2. Let for $y$ in $S^1$ and $u$ in $C_c(G_\theta)$ and $\xi$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$

$$\hat{u}(\pi_y)\delta^1_y \xi(t) = \int_G u(g)\pi_y(g)(\delta^1_y \xi)(t) \, dg,$$

$$= \int_\mathbb{R} \int_\mathbb{R} u(x, s)e^{-ie^{-t}x(\rho(t)y)}e^{s-t}\xi(t - s) \, dx \, ds,$$

$$= \int_\mathbb{R} 2\hat{u}^1(e^{-t}\rho(t)y, t - s)e^{s-t}\xi(s) \, ds,$$

where $\hat{u}^1$ is the partial Fourier transform in the $\mathbb{R}^2$-variable. We note the well-known fact that the Hilbert-Schmidt norm $\|\hat{u}(\pi_y)\|_2$ is given in terms of the kernel function as $(\int_\mathbb{R} \int_\mathbb{R} |2\hat{u}(e^{-t}\rho(t)y, s + t)|^2 e^{-2s} \, ds \, dt)^{1/2}$. We then see that

$$\int_{S^1} \|\hat{u}(\pi_y)\delta^1_y\|_2^2 \, d\nu(y) = \int_{S^1} \int_\mathbb{R} \int_\mathbb{R} |2\hat{u}(e^{-t}\rho(t)y, t - s)|^2 e^{-2s} \, ds \, dt \, d\nu(y) = \int_{S^1} \int_\mathbb{R} \int_\mathbb{R} \int_\mathbb{R} |2\hat{u}(e^{-t}\rho(t)y, s)|^2 e^{2(t-s)} \, ds \, dt \, d\nu(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_\mathbb{R} |2\hat{u}(z, s)|^2 e^{-2s} \, ds \, dz = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_\mathbb{R} |u(x, s)|^2 e^{-2s} \, ds \, dx = \|u\|_2^2.$$


where we have used the invariance of the chosen measures on $\mathbb{S}^1$ and $\mathbb{R}$, then an obvious change of variables in $\mathbb{R}^2$, and finally the Plancherel formula in $\mathbb{R}^2$.

We note that $G_\theta$ admits the Lie algebra
\[
\mathfrak{g}_\theta = \langle T, X_1, X_2 : [T, X_1] = X_1 - \theta X_2, [T, X_2] = \theta X_1 + X_2, [X_1, X_2] = 0 \rangle
\]
where $\exp(hX_j) = (he_j, 0)$ for $j = 1, 2$ ($(e_1, e_2)$ is the standard basis for $\mathbb{R}^2$) and $\exp(hT) = (0, h)$.

**Theorem 2.8.** Let $v_1$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be so that $v_1 \in A_c(\mathbb{R}^2)$, let $v_2 \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$, and then let $v(x, s) = v_1(x)v_2(s)$. Let $X \in \text{span}\{X_1, X_2\}$. Then there is an $S = S_{X, v}$ in $\text{VN}(G_\theta)$ for which
\[
S(u) = \int_{G_\theta} \partial(X, 0) u(g, g^{-1}) v(g) dg
\]
for $u$ in $\mathcal{D}(G_\theta \times G_\theta)$.

**Proof.** Our assumptions on $v_1$ and $v_2$ ensure that $v$ is integrable. We use (2.5) to compute for $\xi$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)^{\otimes 2} \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R})$ that
\[
E_v(y, y')\xi(t, t')
\]
\[
= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v_1(x)v_2(s)e^{-i\tau(-t)x} e^{\xi(t-s, t'+s)} ds dt
\]
\[
= \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2\pi \hat{v}_1(e^{-t} \varphi(t)y - e^{-t+s} \varphi(t')y')v_2(s)e^{-2s\xi(t-s, t'+s)} ds dt.
\]

Letting, now, $U\xi(t, t') = \xi(t, t' + t)$ we compute
\[
U^*E_v(y, y')U\xi(t, t') = E_v(y, y')U\xi(t, t' - t)
\]
\[
= 2\pi \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{v}_1(e^{-t} \varphi(t)y - e^{-t+t+s} \varphi(t' - t + s)y')v_2(s)e^{-2s\xi(t-s, t'+s)} ds dt.
\]

(2.10)

Let us now compute $d\pi_y(X)$. Let $(x, 0) = \exp X$, and for $\xi \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$ we have
\[
d\pi_y(X)\xi(t) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h}[e^{i\varphi(hx)} \cdot \varphi(t)g - 1] \xi(t) = -ie^{-t} \cdot (\varphi(t)g) \xi(t)
\]
where convergence is uniform on compact sets. Hence $d\pi_y(X)$ admits $\mathcal{F}_y = C_c(\mathbb{R})$ in its domain, and by (2.6), and is given by pointwise multiplication by $t \mapsto -ie^{-t} \cdot (\varphi(t)g)$. Notice that $\widehat{\delta_y^{-1}} \mathcal{F}_y \subset \mathcal{F}_y$. Hence we may appeal to Lemma 2.6 and it suffices to see that the field of operators
\[
(E_v(y, y')d\pi_y(X) \otimes I)_{y,y' \in \mathbb{S}^1}
\]
(2.11)

is bounded to gain our conclusion. It is clear that $U^*(d\pi_y(X) \otimes I)U = d\pi_y(X) \otimes I$, and it suffices to work with the field $(U^*E_v(y, y')U(d\pi_y(X) \otimes I))_{y,y' \in \mathbb{S}^1}$. We combine (2.10) and (2.11) to see that for $\xi$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ we have
\[
U^*E_v(y, y')U\xi(t, t')(d\pi_y(X) \otimes I)
\]
\[
= 2\pi \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{v}_1(e^{-t} \varphi(t)y - e^{-t+t+s} \varphi(t' + s)y')v_2(t-s)e^{2(s-t)}(d\pi_y(X) \otimes I)\xi(s, t') ds dt
\]
\[
= -2\pi i \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{v}_1(e^{-t} \varphi(t)y - e^{-t+t+s} \varphi(t' + s)y')v_2(t-s)e^{s-2t}(x \cdot (\varphi(s)y))\xi(s, t') ds.
\]
Our assumptions on $v_1$ allow us to find a non-increasing $\varphi$ in $C_c([0, \infty))$ for which

$$2\pi |\hat{v}_1(y)| \leq \varphi(|y|).$$

Let us observe that $|e^{-t}g(t)y - e^{-t'+s}g(t'+s)y'| \geq |e^{-t} - e^{-t'+s}|$. Now with an application of Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we obtain

$$\|U^*E_v(y, y')U(d\pi_y(X) \otimes I)\xi\|^2_2$$

$$\leq |x|^2 \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(|e^{-t} - e^{-t'+s}|) |v_2(t - s)| e^{s-t} \xi(s, t') \,ds \right]^2 \,dt \,dt'$$

$$\leq |x|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(|e^{-t} - e^{-t'+s}|) |v_2(t - s)| e^{s-t} \,ds \right]$$

$$\times \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(|e^{-t} - e^{-t'+s}|) |v_2(t - s)| e^{s-t} \xi(s, t') \,ds \right] \,dt \,dt'.$$

Since $e^{-t} \leq |e^{-t} - e^{-t'+s}| + e^{-t+s}$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(|e^{-t} - e^{-t'+s}|) e^{-t} |v_2(t - s)| e^{s-t} \,ds$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(|e^{-t} - e^{-t'+s}|) |v_2(t - s)| e^{s-t} \,ds$$

$$+ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(|e^{-t} - e^{-t'+s}|) e^{-t+s} |v_2(t - s)| e^{s-t} \,ds$$

$$\leq \|\varphi\|_\infty \|\delta^{-1/2} v_2\|_1 + \|\varphi\|_1 \|\delta^{-1/2} v_2\|_\infty$$

where $\mu(r) = r$ for $r \geq 0$ and $\delta v_2(s) = e^{2s} v_2(s)$. It then follows that

$$\|(d\pi_y(X) \otimes I)U^*E_v(y, y')U\xi\|^2_2$$

$$\leq |x|^2 \|\varphi\|_\infty \|\delta v_2\|_1 + \|\varphi\|_1 \|\delta v_2\|_\infty$$

$$\times \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(|e^{-t} - e^{-t'+s}|) e^{-t} |v_2(t - s)| e^{s-t} \,dt \right] \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(|e^{-t} - e^{-t'+s}|) e^{-t+s} |v_2(t - s)| e^{s-t} \,dt \right]$$

$$\leq |x|^2 \left( \|\varphi\|_\infty \|\delta^{-1/2} v_2\|_1 + \|\varphi\|_1 \|\delta^{-1/2} v_2\|_\infty \right) \|\varphi\|_1 \|\delta^{-1/2} v_2\|_\infty \|\xi\|_2^2.$$

Hence we have verified (2.12).

We now consider the real affine motion group, also known as the “ax+b group”, $F = \mathbb{R} \rtimes \mathbb{R}$ with product and inverse given by

$$(b, a)(b', a') = (b + e^a b', a + a') \quad \text{and} \quad (b, a)^{-1} = (-e^{-a}b, -a).$$

The group has left Haar integral and modular function given by

$$\int_F u(b, a) d(b, a) = \int_\mathbb{R} \int_\mathbb{R} u(b, a) e^{-a} \,da \,db \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta(b, a) = e^a.$$

It is extremely well known, see, for example [Kha] or [Fol] 7.6-2, that the only inequivalent infinite-dimensional irreducible representations are given by $\pi_{\pm}$, which are gained by inducing characters of positive/negative index from the normal subgroup $B = \{(b, 0); b \in \mathbb{R}\} \cong \mathbb{R}$. Explicitly, for $\xi$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ we have

$$\pi_{\pm}(b, a) \xi(t) = e^{\mp te^{-b}a} \xi(t - a).$$
Furthermore, we get a quasi-equivalence
\begin{equation}
\lambda \simeq \pi_+ \oplus \pi_-.
\end{equation}
We recall that the Lie algebra for \( F \) is given by
\[ \mathfrak{f} = \langle X, Y : [X, Y] = Y \rangle \]
where \( \exp(hX) = (0, h) \) and \( \exp(hY) = (h, 0) \).

**Theorem 2.9.** Let \( v_1 \) in \( L^1(\mathbb{R}) \) be so \( \tilde{v}_1 \in A_c(\mathbb{R}) \), let \( v_2 \in C_c(\mathbb{R}) \), and set \( v(b, a) = v_1(b)v_2(a) \). Then there is \( S = S_{Y, v} \) in \( VN(F) \) such that
\[ S(u) = \int_F \partial(\gamma, \gamma) u(g, g^{-1})v(\gamma) d\gamma \]
for \( u \in D(F \times F) \).

**Proof.** The details of this proof are similar to those in the proof of Theorem 2.8. Indeed, we obtain for \( \sigma, \sigma' \) in \( \{ \pm \} \) and \( \xi \) in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \) that
\[ E_v(\sigma, \sigma')\xi(t, t') = \sqrt{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{v}_1(\sigma e^{-t} - \sigma' e^{-a-t'})v_2(a)e^{-a}\xi(t - a, t' + a) da \]
and if \( U\xi(t, t') = \xi(t, t' + t) \) we have
\[ U^* E(\sigma, \sigma')U\xi(t, t') = \sqrt{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{v}_1(\sigma e^{-t} - \sigma' e^{-a-t'})v_2(t - a)e^{a-t}\xi(a, t') da. \]
We compute for \( \xi \) in \( F_{\pm} = C_c(\mathbb{R}) \) the derivative
\[ d\pi_{\pm}(Y)\xi(t) = \lim_{g \to 0} \frac{1}{h}[e^{i\tau e^{-t}} - I]\xi(t) = \mp i e^{-t}\xi(t). \]
The operators from the Plancherel formula (Proposition 2.2) are given by \( \delta_{\pm}\xi(t) = e^{-t}\xi(t) \), and we see that \( \delta_{\pm} F_{\pm} \subseteq F_{\pm} \). Hence we may appeal to Lemma 2.3 and it suffices to see that each of the operators
\begin{equation}
E_v(\sigma, \sigma')(d\pi_{\sigma} \otimes I) \end{equation}
is bounded for each \( \sigma, \sigma' \) in \( \{ \pm \} \).

Since \( U^* (d\pi_{\sigma} \otimes I) U = d\pi_{\sigma} \otimes I \) it suffices compute the norms of the operators \( U^* E_v(\sigma, \sigma')U(d\pi_{\sigma} \otimes I) \). We first observe that
\[ U^* E_v(\sigma, \sigma')U(d\pi_{\sigma} \otimes I)\xi(t, t') = \sqrt{2\pi} i \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{v}_1(\sigma e^{-t} - \sigma' e^{-a-t'})v_2(t - a)e^{-t}\xi(a, t') da. \]
We then note that \( e^{-t} \leq |\sigma e^{-t} - \sigma' e^{-a-t'}| + e^{-a-t'} \) and observe that
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{v}_1(\sigma e^{-t} - \sigma' e^{-a-t'})v_2(t - a)| e^{-t} da \]
\[ \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{v}_1(\sigma e^{-t} - \sigma' e^{-a-t'})| |\sigma e^{-t} - \sigma' e^{-a-t'}| |v_2(t - a)| da \]
\[ + \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{v}_1(\sigma e^{-t} - \sigma' e^{-a-t'})| e^{-a-t'} |v_2(t - a)| da \]
\[ \leq \|\hat{v}_1\|_{\infty} \|v_2\|_{1} + \|\hat{v}_1\|_{1} \|v_2\|_{\infty}. \]
where \( \alpha(t) = |t| \) for \( t \) in \( \mathbb{R} \). Hence by our usual technique we see that

\[
\|U^* E_v(\sigma, \sigma') U(d\pi_\sigma \otimes I) \xi\|_2^2 \\
\leq 2\pi (\|\hat{v}_1 \alpha\|_\infty \|v_2\|_1 + \|\hat{v}_1\|_1 \|v_2\|_\infty) \\
\times \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{v}_1(\sigma e^{-t} - \sigma' e^{a-t'})| |e^{-t}| |v_2(t - a)| \|\xi(a, t')\|^2 \, dt \, da \, dt'
\]

\[
\leq 2\pi (\|\hat{v}_1 \alpha\|_\infty \|v_2\|_1 + \|\hat{v}_1\|_1 \|v_2\|_\infty) \|\hat{v}_1\|_1 \|v_2\|_\infty \|\xi\|_2^2.
\]

Hence (2.14) is verified. \( \Box \)

2.4. Remarks. We note that the failure of weak amenability of \( A(G) \), for \( G \) either \( F \) or \( \mathbb{H}^r \), is shown in [ChGh1]; but this does not automatically imply failure of local synthesis.

The one aspect in common in the strategies employed for each of the five (classes of) groups \( G \) above is that the Lie derivative is always taken from a direction which is trivial in any abelian quotient. We suspect that to do otherwise would entail that for an abelian quotient, \( G/N \), we would find that \( \Delta_{G/N} \) would be a set of non-synthesis, which would contradict Theorem 1.3 as \( A(G/N) \cong L^1(G/N) \) is amenable.

Our choice of Lie derivatives \( X \), in forming operators \( S_{X,v} \), shares a property in common with the choices made in [Joh3] for \( SO(3) \), and [ChGh1 ChGh2] for \( F, \mathbb{H}^r \) and the Heisenberg group \( \mathbb{H} \). Of course, there is an enormous gulf between exploiting these for a failure of spectral synthesis calculation and showing that these derivatives may be used to build non-trivial elements of \( H^1(A(G), VN(G)) \). It is plausible that for each of our four semi-direct product groups \( G = N \rtimes A \), above, with the associated Lie algebra \( n = a \), that the space of bounded derivations from \( A(G) \) to \( VN(G) \) is isomorphic to the Lie algebra \( n \).

3. Weak amenability of Fourier algebras

The following is well-known. We include a proof for convenience of non-specialists.

**Proposition 3.1.** (i) Let \( g \) be a non-abelian real Lie algebra. Then \( g \) contains either \( su(2) \) or a non-abelian solvable algebra \( m \).

(ii) Let \( m \) be a non-abelian solvable real Lie algebra. Then \( m \) contains one of the following Lie algebras:

\[
\mathfrak{f} = \left\langle X, Y : [X, Y] = Y \right\rangle \text{ (affine motion)}
\]

\[
\mathfrak{e} = \left\langle T, X_1, X_2 : [T, X_1] = X_2, [T, X_2] = -X_1, [X_1, X_2] = 0 \right\rangle \text{ (Euclidean motion)}
\]

\[
\mathfrak{g}_\theta = \left\langle T, X_1, X_2 : [T, X_1] = X_1 - \theta X_2, [T, X_2] = \theta X_1 + X_2, [X_1, X_2] = 0 \right\rangle, \quad \theta > 0,
\]

(Grélaud), or

\[
\mathfrak{h} = \left\langle X, Y, Z : [X, Y] = Z, [X, Z] = 0 = [Y, Z] \right\rangle \text{ (Heisenberg)}.
\]

**Proof.** Every concept and fact from Lie theory which is used in this proof is well-known may be found in [HINc], for example.

(i) Let \( r \) denote the solvable radical ideal of \( g \). If \( r \) is non-abelian, we set \( m = r \). If \( r \) is abelian, we use the Levi-decomposition \( g = s + r \), where \( s \) is semisimple. For \( s \) we have the Iwasawa decomposition \( s = \mathfrak{k} + a + n \). If \( \mathfrak{k} \) is non-abelian, then \( \mathfrak{k} \) contains \( su(2) \) and we are done. Otherwise \( m = a + n \) is non-abelian and solvable;
Proof. If \( m \) from Proposition 3.1, above, we let \( m \) connected. Given a Lie subalgebra and hence, essentially by the smooth splitting theorem, each is closed and simply connected, we may restrict ourselves to the case of simply connected groups.

(ii) We let \( m \supseteq m' \supseteq \cdots \supseteq m^{(d-1)} \supseteq \{0\} \) be the derived series, so \( m^{(d-2)} \) is non-abelian. For simplicity we assume \( m = m^{(d-2)} \); thus we now have derived series \( m \supseteq m' \supseteq m'' = \{0\} \).

Suppose, first there is an element \( S \) of \( m \) for which \( \text{ad} S : m \to m \) admits a non-zero eigenvalue \( a + ib, \ a, b \in \mathbb{R} \). If \( b = 0 \), then there is an eigenvector \( Y \) for \( \text{ad} S \), i.e. \([S,Y] = aY\). Put \( X = \frac{1}{i}S \) and we get \( f = \text{span}\{X,Y\} \). Otherwise, we appeal to the real Jordan decomposition of \( \text{ad} S \), which allows us to find elements \( Y_1, Y_2 \) of \( m \) for which

\[ [S,Y_1] = aY_1 - bY_2 \quad \text{and} \quad [S,Y_2] = bY_1 + aY_2. \]

If \( a = 0 \), we let \( T = \frac{1}{b}S \) and we notice immediately that \( Y_1 = X_1, Y_2 = X_2 \in m' \), so \([Y_1,Y_2] = 0\). Thus we get \( f = \text{span}\{T, Y_1, Y_2\} \). Finally, if \( ab \neq 0 \), we let \( \theta = b/a \). Let \( T = \frac{1}{\theta}S \) and \( Y_j = Y_j \) (\( j = 1, 2 \)) if \( ab > 0 \); and let \( T = \frac{1}{\theta}S, X_1 = Y_2, X_2 = Y_1 \) if \( ab < 0 \). It is straightforward to check that \( X_1, X_2 \in m' \) so \([X_1, X_2] = 0\). Hence, \( g_\theta = \text{span}\{T, X_1, X_2\} \), in this case.

If no \( S \) in \( m \) has that \( \text{ad} S \) admits a non-zero eigenvalue, then each \( \text{ad} S \) is nilpotent, and hence by Engel’s theorem \( m \) is nilpotent. We consider the central series \( m \supseteq C(m) \supseteq \cdots \supseteq C^n(m) \supseteq \{0\} \). Any \( Z \) in \( C^n(m) \) is hence central and we further consider such \( Z \) for which there are \( X, Y \) in \( m \) (one of which is in \( C^{n-1}(m) \)) for which \( Z = [X,Y] \). Then \( h = \text{span}\{X,Y,Z\} \).

**Theorem 3.2.** Let \( G \) be a connected Lie group. Then the following are equivalent:

(i) \( A(G) \) is weakly amenable,

(ii) the anti-diagonal \( \Delta_G \) is of local synthesis for \( G \times G \), and

(iii) \( G \) is abelian.

**Proof.** The result (i) \( \Rightarrow \) (ii) is Theorem 1.3 while (iii) \( \Rightarrow \) (i) is well-known, i.e. \( A(G) \cong L^1(\hat{G}) \), in this case. Hence it remains to prove that (ii) \( \Rightarrow \) (iii). We will assume that \( G \) is non-abelian, and show that \( \Delta_G \) is not of local synthesis for \( G \times G \).

All Lie theoretic concepts and nomenclature, used in this proof, may be found in [HiNe]. We will show that when \( G \) is non-abelian, then \( \Delta_G \) fails to be of local synthesis for \( G \times G \). Thanks to Theorem 1.6 and the fact that any \( G \cong \hat{G}/\Gamma \) for a simply connected connected Lie group \( \hat{G} \) and a discrete central subgroup \( \Gamma \) of \( \hat{G} \), we may restrict ourselves to the case of simply connected groups.

Let \( G \) be simply connected with Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g} \). The Levi decomposition \( \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{r} \) begets respective integral subgroups \( S \) and \( R \) of \( G \), with \( S \) semisimple and \( R \) normal, and hence, essentially by the smooth splitting theorem, each is closed and simply connected. Given a Lie subalgebra \( \mathfrak{m} \) of \( \mathfrak{g} \), in particular one of the algebras arising from Proposition 3.1 above, we let \( M \) be the integral subgroup generated by \( \mathfrak{m} \). If \( \mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{su}(2) \), then \( M \cong SU(2)/C \), where \( C \) is a subgroup of the centre \( \{\pm 1\} \), and hence \( M \) is closed. If \( \mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathfrak{s} \) and is non-abelian and solvable, then \( M \subseteq AN \) (Iwasawa decomposition of \( S \)); or if \( \mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathfrak{r} \), then \( M \subseteq R \). Any integral subgroup of a simply connected solvable group is closed and simply connected.

Thus, corresponding to each of the solvable Lie algebras \( \mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{g}_\theta \) or \( \mathfrak{h} \), as obtained in Proposition 3.1 above, we see that \( M \) is closed and isomorphic to one of the affine motion group \( F \), the simply connected cover \( \hat{E} \) of the Euclidean motion group \( E \), Gel’fand’s group \( G_\theta \), or the Heisenberg group \( H \).
Proposition 2.4, Theorem 2.5, Theorem 2.6, Theorem 2.8, and Theorem 2.9, and then Lemma 2.1 tells us that $\Delta_H$ fails to be a set of (local) synthesis for $H \times H$, for each of $H = \text{SU}(2)$, $E$, $\mathbb{H}$, $G_0$ and $F$. Then, Theorem 1.6 tells us the same for $H = \text{SU}(2)/C$, $\tilde{E}$ and $\mathbb{H}$. Hence applying Theorem 3.4 to the subgroup $M$ of $G$, above, we obtain (ii). 

Let $G$ be a locally compact group. The following is an immediate consequence of the restriction theorem for closed subgroups $H$, i.e. $R_H(A(G)) = A(H)$ (see the comments before Theorem 1.4); and the fact that any quotient of a commutative weakly amenable Banach algebra is again weakly amenable.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let $G$ be a locally compact group. If $A(G)$ is weakly amenable, then any connected Lie subgroup of $G$ is abelian.

Let us observe a condition for non-Lie connected groups which guarantees existence of non-abelian Lie subgroups. For any closed subgroup $H$, let $[H, H]$ denote the closed subgroup generated by all commutators from within $H$. If $G$ is locally compact and connected, then define

$$G^{(0)} = G, \quad G^{(n)} = [G^{(n-1)}, G^{(n-1)}], \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ and } G^{(\infty)} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G^{(n)}.$$

We say that $G$ is pro-solvable if $G^{(\infty)} = \{e\}$. See [HoMo] to see that this is equivalent to $G$ being a projective limit of solvable Lie groups. We recall that $G$ is pro-Lie by virtue of the main result of [MoZi].

**Proposition 3.4.** If $G$ is locally compact and connected, but not pro-solvable, then $G$ contains a semi-simple Lie group as a closed subgroup.

**Proof.** We shall borrow liberally from the results of [HoMo], on the structure theory of connected pro-Lie groups. Let $S = G^{(\infty)} \neq \{e\}$. We have that $[S, S] = S$, so $S$ is semisimple. But then we have a sandwich theorem: there is a list $\{S_j\}_{j \in J}$ of simply connected simple Lie groups which gives a sequence $\prod_{j \in J} S_j \to S \to \prod_{j \in J} S_j / \mathbb{Z}(S_j)$ whose composition is the quotient map on each factor. Since $S$ is locally compact, all but finitely many groups $S_j$ are compact. It is clear that $S$ contains a copy of $S_j / C_j$, for some central subgroup $C_j$ of $S_j$, for each $j$.

In particular, any locally compact group $G$ whose connected component of the identity, $G_e$, is not pro-solvable, has non-weakly amenable $A(G)$, thanks to Corollary 3.3.

**Example 3.5.** Let us consider an example of a non-abelian solvable connected group which contains no non-abelian closed connected Lie subgroups. We consider a group related to the simply connected covering group, $\tilde{E} = \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$, of the Euclidean motion group.

We let $\mathbb{R}^{ap}$ denote the almost periodic compactification of the real line, and for each $r$ in $\mathbb{R}$ we let $\chi_r : \mathbb{R}^{ap} \to \mathbb{T}$ be the unique continuous character extending $t \mapsto e^{itr} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{T}$. We observe that the dual group is given by $\mathbb{R}^{ap} = \{\chi_r : r \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and is isomorphic to the discretized reals, $\mathbb{R}_d$. If $K$ is a closed connected Lie subgroup of $\mathbb{R}^{ap}$, then $K \cong \mathbb{T}^n$, where $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, so $\tilde{K} \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$. On the other hand $\tilde{K}$ is a quotient of $\mathbb{R}_d$, and hence is either trivial or divisible. Thus $K = \{1\}$, and we conclude that $\mathbb{R}^{ap}$ contains no non-trivial closed Lie subgroups.
Now let $E = C \times \mathbb{R}^{op}$ with multiplication given by

$$(x, \zeta)(x', \zeta') = (x + \chi_1(\zeta)x', \zeta' \zeta).$$

We may think of this group as a partial compactification of $E$ along its quotient subgroup. We note that $q(x, \zeta) = (x, \chi_1(\zeta))$ defines a quotient homomorphism from $E$ onto $E$. For notation convenience we identify $\mathbb{R}^{op} \cong \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{op}$ in $E$, and $T \cong \{0\} \times T$ in $E$, and we identify $C$ with $C \times \{1\}$ in either $E$, or in $E$, as should be clear by context. Notice that $q|C$ is a homeomorphism.

We now show that $E$ admits no non-abelian closed connected Lie subgroups. We first note that the proper Lie subalgebras of $C$ are either one-dimensional, the two-dimensional ideal $n = RX_1 + RX_2$, or $\{0\}$. Since every closed connected subgroup of $E$ corresponds to a Lie subalgebra of $C$, we find that the one-dimensional closed connected subgroups are of the form

$$M_{S+X} = \text{exp}(\mathbb{R}(S + X)) = \{(z - 1)x, z : z \in T\}$$

and $L_X = \text{exp}(\mathbb{R}X) = \{(tx, 1) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$

where $X \in n$ with $\exp X = (x, 1)$, while the only two-dimensional closed connected subgroup is $C$. Taking $q^{-1}(M)$ for each subgroup $M$ of $E$, listed above, we get

$$\overline{M}_{S+X} = \{(x_1(\zeta) - 1)x, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^{op}\}, L_X \times \ker \chi_1$$

Let $H$ be a proper closed connected subgroup of $E$ and $H_0 = H \cap C$. Notice that in $E$, $q(H_0) = q(H) \cap C \cong H_0$. If $H_0 = C$ then for $(x, \zeta)$ in $H$ we have $(0, \zeta) = (-x, 1)(x, \zeta) \in H \cap \mathbb{R}^{op}$, so the image of $H$ under the quotient map $(x, \zeta) \mapsto \zeta$ is closed, and hence either the trivial group $\{1\}$ or is non-Lie. If $H_0 \subseteq C$, then $q(H) \cap C \cong H_0 \subseteq C$, and it follows that $H$ is a subgroup of one of the abelian groups $M_{S+X}$, $L_X \times \ker \chi_1$ or $\mathbb{R}^{op}$, and hence is abelian.

At present, we are aware of no method for determining if $A(E)$ is weakly amenable.

We close by observing that Question [1] now reduces to the following question.

**Question 3.6.** If $G$ is a pro-solvable, non-Lie connected, locally compact group, for which $A(G)$ is weakly amenable, must $G$ be abelian?
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