Survival and stability of free and encapsulated probiotic bacteria under simulated gastrointestinal conditions and in ice cream
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Abstract

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the upshot of microencapsulation on the stability and viability of probiotics in carrier food (ice cream) and simulated gastrointestinal (GIT) conditions. Purposely, Lactobacillus casei was encapsulated with two different hydrocolloids, that is, calcium alginate (Ca-ALG) and whey protein concentrate (WPC) by using encapsulator. The obtained microbeads were characterized in terms of encapsulation efficiency and morphological features. Afterward, the probiotics in free and encapsulated form were incorporated into ice cream. The product was subjected for physicochemical, microbiological, and sensory attributes over a storage period of 80 days. Microencapsulation with both hydrogels significantly (p < .05) improved the viability of probiotics in both carrier food and simulated GIT conditions. The initial viable count of probiotics encapsulated with Ca-ALG and WPC was 9.54 and 9.52 log CFU/ml, respectively, that declined to 8.59 and 8.39 log CFU/ml, respectively, over period of 80 days of storage. While nonencapsulated/free cells declined from 9.44 to 6.41 log CFU/ml during same storage period. Likewise, during in vitro GIT assay, encapsulated probiotic with Ca-ALG and WPC showed 0.95 and 1.13 log reduction, respectively. On other hand, free probiotics showed significant 3.03 log reduction. Overall, microencapsulated probiotic exhibited better survival as compared to free cells. Moreover, the amalgamation of encapsulated and free probiotics affected the physicochemical (decrease in pH and increase in viscosity) was and sensory parameters of ice cream during storage.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

The demand for functional food is increasing across the world due to their therapeutic potential. Owing to this increasing demand, the overall market share of the functional food is also increasing (Tripathi & Giri, 2014). The functional foods mend human health as well as native nutritional value. Incorporation of probiotics in different carrier foods is helpful in making functional food products. Probiotics are the living microorganism that give specific health benefits when taken in recommended amount (Hill et al., 2014). Different food products (yogurt, beverage, and other traditional fermented products) are being manufactured by the addition of different probiotics. The consciousness about the health has tremendously increased the demand of functional foods.

Probiotics bacterial cell reside throughout the gut system of human and remain most active in colon of human. The survival of probiotic in stomach is greatly affected by acidic conditions in stomach (Song, Ibrahim, & Hayek, 2012). Likewise, Lactobacillus acidophilus and Bifidobacterium bifidum, Lactobacillus casei has great probiotic potential and has wide food application due to their numerous health benefits (El-Shenawy, El-Aziz, Elkholy, & Fouad, 2016). There are many extrinsic (temperature, relative humidity, and gaseous atmosphere) and intrinsic (nutrients, pH, acidity, and oxidation–reduction potential) factors which affect the viability and stability of probiotics in carrier food; however, there were two fundamental factors in dairy products that include toxicity and freezing injury (Vasilyevich & Shah, 2008).

To inducement health paybacks from incorporated probiotics in the food products, their sufficient or recommended level ($10^6$–$10^7$ CFU/ml) and survival in product is necessary. Microencapsulation is being considered as the most adept process for protection of probiotics bacteria during the process of storage as well during processing conditions. The target probiotic bacteria is coated/encapsulated with desired protection hydrocolloids, which tend to release the cell on a specific point and tolerate any unfavorable condition (Tolve et al., 2016). Encapsulation ensures the viability of probiotics in yogurt as well as maintains the volatiles produced in yogurt.

The encapsulation of probiotics bacterial cell ensures the survival and stability in carrier food products and gastrointestinal conditions. The literature has reported and recommended different wall materials (sodium alginate, calcium alginate, chitosan, whey protein concentrate, and many others) for protection of probiotics due to their nontoxic, economic, and ease of use. Calcium chloride is provided cross-wall protection. Capsule coating with different hydrogel materials provides stability in product and GIT conditions (Zanjani, Ehsani, Tarzi, & Sharifan, 2018). Among dairy products, yogurt have good carrier potential or probiotics; however, yogurt is not like by all ages. Ice cream can be used as a carrier for probiotics as it is very popular dairy products across the world. Different factors like freezing, overrun, and storage conditions including minerals and antioxidants affect the survival of probiotics (Costa, Ooki, Vieira, Bedani, & Saad, 2017). Major features of ice cream as food include sweet in taste, highly digestible, soft in texture as well as it is liked by all generations (Cruz, Antunes, Sousa, Faria, & Saad, 2009).

Keeping in view the probiotic carrier potential of ice cream, the present study was carried out. In this study, L. casei was encapsulated with two wall materials (Ca-ALG and WPC) and afterward survival of probiotics was examined in cream and in vitro GIT.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Procurement of raw material

The required chemicals and reagents were procured from scientific store, and all the experiments were carried out in Food safety and Biotechnology laboratory, College University Faisalabad, Pakistan.

2.2 | Preparation of probiotics bacterial cell (Lactobacillus casei)

Pure culture, L. casei, was obtained from NIFSAT University of Agriculture Faisalabad. The obtained culture was grown anaerobically by spread plate method at 37°C for 48 hr. The obtained growth of probiotic cells was centrifuged by using centrifuge machine (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.; 75005286 EA). The cell pallets were the concentration of L. casei was adjusted to $10^5$–$10^9$.

2.3 | Encapsulation

Encapsulation was done by following the method described by Yeung, Arroyo-Mayo, McClements, & Sela, 2016 with some modifications. For this purpose, the required glasswares were autoclaved at 121°C for 15 min. Solution of hydrogels, that is, Ca-ALG and WPC were prepared by with 2% (weight/volume). The suspension of cell was mixed with hydrocolloid solutions. The microbeads were made by using an encapsulator (B-390; Buchi-Switzerland) under standard operating conditions as described by manufacturer. Suspension containing probiotics and hydrocolloids was introduced into calcium chloride (0.1 M) for the purpose of hardening of microbeads. The obtained beads were filtered and washed with double distilled water. The harvested beads were preserved in saline solution and stored till further use.

2.4 | Beads analysis

2.4.1 | Size

Light microscope was used for the analysis of beads. The size of prepared beads were recorded as previously described by Ramos et al., (2018)
2.4.2 | Encapsulation efficiency

The effect of different encapsulating materials was determined by encapsulation efficiency (EE). Efficiency of encapsulation was checked by following the method of Zou et al., (2011). EE was calculated by using the formula as shown below.

\[ EE = \frac{N}{N_o} \times 100 \]

\( N \) = released number of viable entrapped cells, and \( N_o \) = added number of free cells.

2.4.3 | Product development

The ice cream was manufactured by following the method as described by Karthikeyan, Elango, Kumaresan, Gopalakrishnamurty, and Pandiyyan (2013). The typical composition of ice cream was adjusted as 0.5% stabilizers with emulsifiers, 36% total solids, 14% sugar and 10% fat. All ingredients were mixed and homogenized and heated at 80°C. Afterward, the obtained mixture was cooled to 5°C. The probiotics were incorporated into ice cream as free and encapsulated with calcium alginate and whey protein concentrate. The resultant product was incubated at 40°C to achieve a pH about 6.5. Finally, the ice-cream mixture was frozen at −4 to −5°C and kept for hardening at −20°C.

2.5 | Product analysis

2.5.1 | pH

Digital pH meter was used to obtain pH value available at Functional food research Center, GC Faisalabad.

2.5.2 | Viscosity

Ice-cream viscosity was determined by stirrer the probiotics ice cream five times in a clockwise direction with the help of plastic spoon. Viscometer was used for measurement of viscosity at 24°C in laboratory. Measured viscosity was expressed through centipoise as method determined by Elling and Duncan (1996).

2.5.3 | Probiotic enumeration of free and encapsulated probiotics in product

Enumeration of probiotic bacteria either in nonencapsulated or in encapsulated was determined by method as described by Haynes and Playne, (2002). The probiotics were released from the beads of Ca-ALG and WPC. The samples were pleated and incubated at 37°C. The obtained results were expressed in colony-forming units.

2.5.4 | In vitro gastrointestinal assay

Simulated gastric intestinal juice was prepared by following the method described by Chávarri et al., (2010) with little modifications. Simulated gastric juice (SGJ) of pH 2 was used to access the viability of probiotics in free and encapsulated form. The dilutions were prepared with peptone water. Pour plate method technique was used. The viability was evaluated at different time interval 0, 30, 60, 90, and 120 min. The dilutions were poured into plates with MRS agar and incubate them. Similarly, to evaluate the stability and viability in intestinal conditions a solution of pH with 7.5 was prepared. Cells were exposed as for gastric juice analysis.

2.5.5 | Sensory evaluation

Sensory evaluation was carried out by following using nine hedonic scale. Sensory analysis of control and probiotic cream (containing free and encapsulated probiotics) was accomplished by a group of nine members of Institute of Home & Food Sciences, Government College University, Faisalabad. The samples of all type of ice cream were presented to panallist in cups coded with alphabetic digits to avoid business. The sensory evaluation was carried organoleptically using fluorescent white light and using nine hedonic scale.

2.6 | Statistical analysis

The experiments were carried out under Complete randomized design. The obtained data were subjected for each parameter was subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA).

3 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In current study, the viability and stability of L. casei were assessed in carrier food product and also under simulated gastrointestinal conditions. All experiments were performed aseptically.

3.1 | Beads analysis

Beads of calcium alginate had mean diameter value of 716 μm while diameter of whey protein concentrate is 727 μm. It was observed that the concentration of hydrogel materials affects the size and diameter of the microbeads. The type of encapsulating matrices and method directly affect the size of microbeads as reported by. Similar findings were presented by Ramos et al., 2018 who described that the size of alginate beads are affected by alginate concentration.
3.2 | Encapsulation efficiency

*Lactobacillus casei* was encapsulated with two different hydrogel materials. The Ca-ALG encapsulation showed higher efficiency (96%) as compared to WPC (94%). Encapsulation efficiency affects the final level of probiotics in carrier food. It is essential to ensure a recommended probiotic level. The encapsulation of efficiency is affected by the nature of hydrogel materials. It was observed that calcium alginate provides effective cross-linking and mechanical support for protection to the probiotics. Probiotic bacteria were coated with Ca-ALG showed highest level of efficiency as it is good wall material having good compatibility (Xu, Gagné-Bourque, Dumont, & Jabaji, 2016).

3.3 | Physicochemical analysis of ice cream

3.3.1 | pH

Overall, a decreasing trend in all type of pH was observed. The pH of control samples of ice cream decreased from 6.41 to 6.29, similarly the decreasing trend for the treatment containing the free ad encapsulated probiotics was observed. The pH of the ice-cream samples containing unencapsulated bacteria decreased from 6.27 to 6.06 over 80 days of storage. There was a slow decrease in samples containing the encapsulated probiotics like ca-alginate and whey protein concentrate. There was just a slight change (0.10) in case of calcium alginate and 0.13 in case of WPC was recorded. A rapid decrease in pH samples containing free probiotics as compared to other samples was observed as shown in Figure 1. pH of carrier food affects the viability and stability of probiotics. The low pH of the product causes a decrease in survival of probiotics. A decreasing trend in all type of pH was observed. Encapsulated *L. casei* exhibited gentle decrease in pH due to slow metabolic activity of cells. The results are in accordance with the study conducted by Afzaal et al., (2019), they observed a decrease in pH of ice cream during storage. In another study investigated by Sagdic, Ozturk, Cankurt, and Tornuk (2012), who concluded that the addition dietary fiber and culture cause an increase in acidity and decrease in pH. The supplementation of encapsulated probiotic bacteria in ice cream positively improve the physical quality parameters of ice cream during storage and using of different aging time affect the physical quality of probiotic ice cream (Muhrdina, Sari, Aisyah, & Haryani, 2019).

3.3.2 | Viscosity

An increasing trend in viscosity was observed in all type of treatments as shown in Figure 2. The maximum viscosity 300 cp was observed for the samples that contain the microbeads encapsulated with calcium alginate followed by the samples containing the microbeads encapsulated with WPC (270 cp). The viscosity value for the control sample was increased from 100 to 220 cp over a period of 80 days of storage. The viscosity value increased from 110 to 250 cp in case of unencapsulated probiotics. The viscosity of ice cream was affected with different properties like shape, size of microbeads, and type of wall materials used for encapsulation. An increasing trend in viscosity with passage of time was observed in all type of treatments. The results could be due to binding of water during storage at low temperature and might the incorporation of encapsulating materials binds the water during storage. In case of encapsulated calcium alginate and WPC showed higher viscosity as compared to control and treatments containing free cells. The viscosity of probiotic ice cream mainly affected by the addition of encapsulated probiotics and the % age of protein, fat, and total solids (Akın & Öztürk, 2018). In another study, the ice-cream samples that were enriched with the prebiotic and encapsulated probiotics showed higher viscosity as compared to other samples (Kumar, Rai, Alam, Rai, & Bhardwaj, 2019).

3.4 | Viability and stability of nonencapsulated/free and encapsulated *Lactobacillus casei* in ice cream

Free and encapsulated CA-ALG and WPC were incorporated in carrier food (ice cream). The enumeration of incorporated was
evaluated over a period of 80 days of storage. The results regarding the viability and stability of probiotics in free and encapsulated form in ice cream are shown in Figure 3. Overall, a decreasing trend was observed in all type of treatments. The initial viable count in samples containing free/unencapsulated probiotic was 9.44 log10 CFU/ml that was decreased 6.41 log10 CFU/ml. On the other hand, the encapsulated probiotic showed a slow decreasing trend. Only a 0.55 log reduction in case of calcium alginate and a log of 1.13 in case of WPC was recorded. The viable count of probiotics bacterial cell in case of free cells decreased from 10.79 to 5.48 log10, while probiotics cell encapsulated with Ca-ALG showed decrease from 10.72 to 7.65 log10 CFU/ml. The whey protein concentrate encapsulates probiotic bacterial cell count decreased from 10.81 to 7.45 log CFU. The viability and stability of free and encapsulated L. casei were assessed by making simulated gastric juice (pH value 2) and exposed with defined interval of time. Overall, a decreasing trend in all type of cells was observed as shown in Figure 4. A rapid decrease in cell count was observed in nonencapsulated cells as compared to encapsulated. The viable count of probiotics bacterial cell in case of free cells decreased from 10.79 to 5.48 log, while probiotics cell encapsulated with Ca-ALG showed decrease from 10.72 to 7.65 log10 CFU/ml. The whey protein concentrate encapsulates probiotic bacterial cell count decreased from 10.81 to 7.45 log CFU. The viability and stability of free and encapsulated L. casei were assessed by making simulated gastric juice (pH value 2). Overall, a decreasing trend in all type of cells was observed. A rapid decrease in cell count was observed in nonencapsulated cells as compared to encapsulated. The viable count of probiotics bacterial cell in case of free cells decreased rapidly as low pH affects the survival of probiotics in case of gastric juice. The viability of probiotics decreased and therapeutic benefits cannot be derived. While probiotics cell encapsulated with Ca-ALG showed a slow decrease due to the protection effect of encapsulation. This showed that the L. casei coated with calcium alginate showed more stability as compared to free cells. This research also relates with the Iqbal, Zahoor, Huma, Jamil, and Unlu (2019) who reported that encapsulation improves the survival of probiotics in gastric conditions.

3.5 Viability and stability of probiotics bacteria in simulated gastric conditions

The viability and stability of free and encapsulated L. casei were assessed by making simulated gastric juice (pH value 2) and exposed with defined interval of time. Overall, a decreasing trend in all type of cells was observed as shown in Figure 4. A rapid decrease in cell count was observed in nonencapsulated cells as compared to encapsulated. The viable count of probiotics bacterial cell in case of free cells decreased from 10.79 to 5.48 log, while probiotics cell encapsulated with Ca-ALG showed decrease from 10.72 to 7.65 log10 CFU/ml. The whey protein concentrate encapsulates probiotic bacterial cell count decreased from 10.81 to 7.45 log CFU. The viability and stability of free and encapsulated L. casei were assessed by making simulated gastric juice (pH value 2). Overall, a decreasing trend in all type of cells was observed. A rapid decrease in cell count was observed in nonencapsulated cells as compared to encapsulated. The viable count of probiotics bacterial cell in case of free cells decreased rapidly as low pH affects the survival of probiotics in case of gastric juice. The viability of probiotics decreased and therapeutic benefits cannot be derived. While probiotics cell encapsulated with Ca-ALG showed a slow decrease due to the protection effect of encapsulation. This showed that the L. casei coated with calcium alginate showed more stability as compared to free cells. This research also relates with the Iqbal, Zahoor, Huma, Jamil, and Unlu (2019) who reported that encapsulation improves the survival of probiotics in gastric conditions.
### 3.6 Viability and stability of probiotics bacteria in intestinal conditions

Probiotics in nonencapsulated and encapsulated form were exposed to simulated intestinal juice having pH 7.5. The results regarding the survival of probiotics are shown in Figure 5. The microencapsulation of the *L. casei* with either Ca-ALG or WPI improved significantly ($p < .05$). Ca-ALG showed better effect as compared to WPI. In case of nonencapsulated a 4.6 log reduction while on average basis just a 2 log reduction was observed in encapsulated probiotics over 120 min of exposure. Probiotics in nonencapsulated and encapsulated form were exposed to simulated intestinal juice having pH 7.5. Free cells/nonencapsulated bacteria showed a rapid decreasing trend as compared to encapsulated cells. Both type of hydrocolloids materials improved the probiotic survival in simulated intestinal conditions. The microencapsulation of the *L. casei* with either Ca-ALG or WPI improved significantly ($p < .05$). Ca-ALG showed better effect as compared to WPI. The low pH and high pH affect the viability of probiotics both in carrier food as well as in gastrointestinal conditions.

### 3.7 Sensory evaluation

The sensory score of different parameters for all type of ice-cream samples is shown in Figure 6. Addition of probiotics in either free or encapsulated form affected the parameters. Consumer observed a grittiness in samples of ice cream containing the encapsulated cells. No significant difference in taste and flavor of probiotic and control ice cream was observed. Addition of probiotics in either free or encapsulated form affected the parameters. Consumer observed a grittiness in samples of ice cream containing the encapsulated cells. The type of hydrocolloids also affects the texture and appearance of the ice cream as well. High sensory was observed for control treatments as compared to other treatments. No significant difference in taste and flavor of probiotic and control ice cream was observed. The overall sensory properties of probiotic ice cream are affected during storage. The findings are parallel with studies of Kataria et al., (2018) who incorporated the *Bifidobacterium longum* in ice cream in free and encapsulated form and observed that there was not a significant difference in sensory attributes with regular ice cream.
FIGURE 6 Effect of free and encapsulated with calcium alginate (Ca-ALG) and whey protein concentrate (WPC) on sensory attributes of ice cream during storage. T0 (control), T1 (nonencapsulated), T2 (encapsulated with Ca-ALG), and T3 (encapsulated with WPC).

4 | CONCLUSION

Microencapsulation technology is worthwhile in ensuring the therapeutic level ($10^6$–$10^8$ CFU/g) of probiotics in carrier food. In current study microencapsulation with Ca-ALG and WPC improved the viability of probiotics in carried food as well as under simulated GIT conditions. The probiotic ice cream supplemented with encapsulated probiotics may find a high market share and demand due to the therapeutic benefits of probiotics.
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