Energy spectra of 2D gravity and capillary waves with narrow frequency band excitation
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Abstract - In this letter we present a new method, called increment chain equation method (ICEM), for computing a cascade of distinct modes in a two-dimensional weakly nonlinear wave system generated by narrow frequency band excitation. The ICEM is a means for computing the quantized energy spectrum as an explicit function of frequency \( \omega_0 \) and stationary amplitude \( A_0 \) of excitation. The physical mechanism behind the generation of the quantized cascade is modulation instability. The ICEM can be used in numerous 2D weakly nonlinear wave systems with narrow frequency band excitation appearing in hydrodynamics, nonlinear optics, electrodynamics, convection theory etc. In this letter the ICEM is demonstrated with examples of gravity and capillary waves with dispersion functions \( \omega(k) \sim k^{1/2} \) and \( \omega(k) \sim k^{3/2} \), respectively, and for two different levels of nonlinearity \( \varepsilon = A_0/k_0 \); small (\( \varepsilon \sim 0.1 \) to 0.25) and moderate (\( \varepsilon \sim 0.25 \) to 0.4).

Introduction. – The theory of weakly nonlinear wave interactions, also called wave turbulence theory, is based on the assumption that only resonant or close to resonant (in some well-defined sense) wave interactions have to be taken into account. Resonance conditions are written in the form

\[
\omega_1 + \omega_2 = \omega_3 + \Omega, \quad \vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2 = \vec{k}_3 + \Theta,
\]

\[
\omega_1 + \omega_2 = \omega_3 + \omega_4 + \Omega, \quad \vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2 = \vec{k}_3 + \vec{k}_4 + \Theta,
\]

\[
0 \leq \Omega < 1, \quad \Theta > 0
\]

for 3- and 4-wave systems correspondingly. Here \( \vec{k} \) is the wave vector, \( \omega = \omega(\vec{k}) \) is the dispersion function (notation \( \omega_j = \omega(k_j) \) is used for brevity) while \( \Omega \) and \( \Theta \) are the frequency and wave vector mismatch, correspondingly. In this letter we study only 2D wave systems. Various scenarios of energy transport in a 2D wave system are known depending on the values of \( \Omega \) and \( \Theta \); some of them are shown in table 1 (detailed description of this classification will be given in a forthcoming paper).

For wave systems with narrow frequency band excitation a theory exists for the resonance conditions (1)–(3) with zero or small enough frequency mismatch \( 0 \leq \Omega \leq \delta_0 \ll 1 \) and \( \Theta = 0 \) meaning that wave phases are coherent. These are the so-called exact resonances and quasi-resonances shown in table 1, A1.

Table 1: Scenarios of energy transport in 3- and 4-wave 2D systems and corresponding references in the literature, if available; otherwise the cell is left empty.

| Scenario | 1: Narrow frequency band excitation | 2: Wide frequency range excitation |
|----------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| A: \( \Theta = 0 \) | set of dynamical systems, [1] | | |
| B: \( \Theta > 0 \) | increment chain equation (ICEM) | wave kinetic equation, [4] |

Evolution of the system over time is described by a set of independent dynamical systems, one for each resonance cluster. In a 3-wave system the simplest clusters, which are called primary clusters, are described by dynamical systems of the form

\[
B_1 = V_{12}^3 B_2^3 B_3, \quad B_2 = V_{12}^3 B_2^3 B_3, \quad B_3 = -V_{12}^3 B_1^3 B_2
\]

with \( B_j, j = 1, 2, 3 \), denoting the wave amplitudes in canonical variables and \( V_{12}^3 \) the coupling coefficient; differentiation in (4) is taken over the “slow” time \( \tau = \varepsilon t \), where \( 0 < \varepsilon \ll 1 \) is a parameter of nonlinearity and time \( t \) corresponds to the linear regime.

All other clusters, called composite clusters, are combinations of primary clusters having wave vectors in common, and their dynamical systems can be written out explicitly. This also applies to 4-wave systems, however the form of the primary clusters is more complicated, and differentiation in the corresponding dynamical system is taken over the slow time \( \tau = \varepsilon^2 t \), [1].
In a 3-wave system excitation of the \( \omega_p \)-mode (the high frequency mode) yields periodic energy exchange among the modes of a primary cluster; in a composite cluster also chaotic energy exchange is possible (table 1, A1). Modes with smaller frequencies, \( \omega_1 \) and \( \omega_2 \)-modes are neutrally stable; when excited they do not change their energy at the time scale \( \tau = \varepsilon t \).

In a 4-wave system with one-mode excitation resonance is only possible for the degenerate case with three different frequencies, [2]. These quartets are called Phillips quartets and in fact behave like a 3-wave system but with a different dynamical system (table 1, A1).

For wave systems with wide range frequency excitation a theory exists only under the condition that frequency mismatch \( \Omega \) in (1)–(3) is big enough to exclude exact resonances and quasi-resonances, in order to avoid the small divisor problem; wave phases are incoherent, table 1, B2. Energy transport is governed by the wave kinetic equation derived from the statistical description of a wave system. This approach originates in the pioneering paper by Hasselmann [3]. The 3-wave kinetic equation reads

\[
\frac{d}{dt} |B_k|^2 = \int |V_{12}|^2 \delta(\omega_k - \omega_1 - \omega_2) \delta(\vec{k} - \vec{k}_1 - \vec{k}_2) \cdot (B_1 B_2 - B_1^* B_2 - B_2^* B_1) d\vec{k}_1 d\vec{k}_2
\]  

(5)

(it is written for average quantities \( \langle B_1 B_2^* \rangle \) and resonance conditions of the form (1) where \( \vec{k} \) takes all possible values \( \vec{k}_3 \)); the 4-wave kinetic equation has a similar form (not shown here for place). The stationary solution of the corresponding wave kinetic equation is the continuous energy spectrum, [4].

Quantized spectra observed in the numerous laboratory experiments with narrow frequency band excitation [5–8], etc. have not yet been described theoretically.

In this letter we present a method based on a chain equation (increment chain equation method, ICEM) to compute the form of the quantized energy spectrum as an explicit function of frequency \( \omega_0 \) and stationary amplitude \( A_0 \) of excitation (table 1, B1). The ICEM is demonstrated with examples of capillary and gravity waves (usually regarded as pure 3- and 4-wave system, respectively) in order to show that the underlying mechanism of quantized cascade generation in both types of system is the same, namely modulation instability which is a 4-wave mechanism.

Outline of the ICEM. – A model describing the generation of distinct modes (quantized cascade) in a gravity water wave system with narrow frequency band excitation has been presented in [9]. In [9] several fundamental aspects of Benjamin-Feir instability are explained qualitatively (e.g. dependence of cascade direction on excitation parameters and asymmetry of amplitudes of sidebands) under the assumption that the frequency shift \( \Delta \omega \) between neighboring cascade steps is constant with respect to \( n \). In the present letter we relax this assumption. This allows us to compute the quantized energy spectrum and, in some cases, transition to continuous spectrum.

Benjamin-Feir or modulation instability (known in various physical areas under different names such as parametric instability in classical mechanics, Suhl instability of spin waves, Oraevsky-Sagdeev decay instability of plasma waves, etc. [10]) is the decay of a carrier wave \( \omega_0 \) into two neighboring side band modes \( \omega_1, \omega_2 \) described by

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega_1 + \omega_2 &= 2\omega_0, \\
\vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2 &= 2\vec{k}_0 + \Theta,
\end{align*}
\]

(6)

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega_1 &= \omega_0 + \Delta \omega, \\
\omega_2 &= \omega_0 - \Delta \omega, \quad 0 < \Delta \omega \ll 1.
\end{align*}
\]

(7)

The growth of modulation instability is characterized by the instability increment. In the seminal work [11] Benjamin and Feir have shown (for gravity waves with small initial steepness, \( \varepsilon \approx 0.1 \) to 0.2) that a wave train with initial real amplitude \( A \), wave number \( k = |\vec{k}| \), and frequency \( \omega \) is unstable to perturbations with a small frequency mismatch \( \Delta \omega \), when the following condition is satisfied: \( 0 \leq \Delta \omega / A k \omega \ll \sqrt{2} \) and the increment has its maximum for

\[
\Delta \omega / A k \omega = 1.
\]

(8)

Similar conditions for other wave systems and moderate nonlinearity can be found e.g. in [12,13].

Notice that \( \Delta \omega = \omega_1 - \omega_0 \) or \( \Delta \omega = \omega_2 - \omega_0 \) for direct and inverse energy cascades corresponding which means that there are two different expressions: one for direct cascade and one for inverse cascade for computing the frequency at which the maximum of the instability increment is achieved. The main steps of the increment chain equation method (ICEM) are performed as follows, separately for direct and inverse cascade (depending on the excitation parameters, in any of those passes a cascade may be generated):

Step 1. Compute the frequencies of the cascading modes: At the first cascade step \( n = 1 \), according to (7) from the excitation frequency \( \omega_0 \) the frequency of the cascading mode \( \omega_1 \) is computed such that its increment of instability is maximal. In each subsequent step the frequency of the previous cascading mode \( \omega_{n-1} \) is regarded as the excitation frequency and a new cascading mode with frequency \( \omega_n \) is generated.

Step 2. Deduce the chain equation: The chain equation is a recursive relation between subsequent cascading modes involving frequencies \( \omega_n, \omega_{n+1} \) and amplitudes \( A_n, A_{n+1} \). It is derived using the explicit form of the condition of maximal instability increment, assuming that the fraction \( p \) of energy transported from one cascading mode to the next one depends only on the excitation parameters and not on the cascade step.

Step 3. Compute the amplitudes of the cascading modes: Taylor expansion of the chain equation is taken; its first two terms provide an ordinary differential equation whose solution is a quantized energy spectrum.

The method is described in detail in the next section.

The ICEM. –

Assumptions. We assume that

i) \( p_n = p = \text{const} \), i.e. the cascade intensity \( p \) for a given set of the excitation parameters is constant;
ii) at each cascade step $n$, the cascading mode with frequency $\omega_n$ has maximal increment of instability.

Assumption i) is confirmed by experimental data, e.g. for capillary water waves [8], vibrating elastic plate [14], gravity water waves [15]. Assumption ii) can be regarded as a reformulation of the hypothesis of Phillips that “the spectral density is saturated at a level determined by wave breaking” ([§2.6.16], [16]).

**Step 1: computing frequencies.** Let us regard a cascading chain of the form

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega_{1,1} + \omega_{2,1} &= 2\omega_0, \quad E_1 = p_1 E_0, \\
\omega_{2,1} + \omega_{2,2} &= 2\omega_{1,1}, \quad E_2 = p_2 E_1, \\
\vdots & \\
\omega_{n,1} + \omega_{n,2} &= 2\omega_{n-1,1}, \quad E_n = p_n E_{n-1},
\end{align*}
\]

(9)

where (7) is satisfied at each cascade step $n$, with $(\Delta \omega)_n$ depending on $n$. For brevity, frequencies of the cascading modes $\omega_{1,1}$ are further notated as $\omega_j$. Here $\omega_0$ is the excitation frequency, $E_n$ is the energy at the $n$-th step of the cascade and $p_n$, $0 < p_n < 1$, denotes the fraction of the energy $E_{n-1}$ transported from the cascading mode with amplitude $A_{n-1} = A(\omega_{n-1})$ to the cascading mode with amplitude $A_n = A(\omega_n)$. Accordingly

\[
\omega_0 < \omega_1 < \omega_2 < \omega_3 < \cdots < \omega_n < \cdots
\]

(10) for direct cascade and

\[
\omega_0 > \omega_1 > \omega_2 > \omega_3 > \cdots > \omega_n > \cdots
\]

(11)

for inverse cascade.

**Step 2: Deducing chain equation.** Construction of a chain equation is demonstrated below for the example of gravity water waves where conditions providing maximum of the instability increment hold as given by (8). The example was chosen for its simple form. For demonstrating that our method is quite general, in the following sections more complicated examples are given.

It follows from i) that

\[
E_n = pE_n \Rightarrow A_{n+1} = \sqrt[p]{A} A_n.
\]

(12)

Condition (8) is now rewritten as $(\Delta \omega)_n/\omega_n A_n k_n = 1$ and yields $(\Delta \omega)_n$.

From assumption ii) we obtain the frequency of the next cascading mode as

\[
\omega_{n+1} = \omega_n + \omega_n A_n k_n,
\]

(13)

for direct cascade and

\[
\omega_{n+1} = \omega_n - \omega_n A_n k_n,
\]

(14)

for inverse cascade. In this sense, we may combine (13) and (14) into

\[
\omega_{n+1} = \omega_n \pm \omega_n A_n k_n.
\]

(15)

Thus, as all calculations for direct and inverse cascades are identical save the sign of the second term, in all equations below we write “$+$” at the corresponding place, understanding that “$+$” should be taken for direct cascade and “$-$” for inverse cascade. Combination of (12) and (15) yields $\sqrt[p]{A} A(\omega_n) = A(\omega_{n+1})$, i.e.

\[
\sqrt[p]{A} A_n = A(\omega_n \pm \omega_n A_n k_n),
\]

(16)

which is further on called the chain equation.

**Step 3: Computing amplitudes.** Let us take the Taylor expansion of RHS of the chain equation:

\[
\sqrt[p]{A} A_n = A(\omega_n \pm \omega_n A_n k_n) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{A(s)}{s!} (\pm \omega_n A_n k_n)^s
\]

\[
= A_n \pm A_n' \omega_n A_n k_n + \frac{1}{2} A_n''(\pm \omega_n A_n k_n)^2 + \ldots
\]

(17)

with differentiation over $\omega_n$ and $k_n = k(\omega_n)$ as defined by the dispersion relation.

Taking two first RHS terms from (17) we obtain

\[
\sqrt[p]{A} A_n \approx A_n \pm A_n' \omega_n A_n k_n \Rightarrow A_n' = \pm \frac{\sqrt[p]{\omega} - 1}{\omega_n k_n} \Rightarrow
\]

\[
A(\omega_n) = \pm (\sqrt[p]{\omega} - 1) \int \frac{d\omega}{\omega_n k_n} + C(\omega_0, A_0, p),
\]

(19)

where $\omega_0$, $A_0$ are excitation parameters and $p = p(\omega_0, A_0)$. Accordingly, energy $E(\omega_n) \sim A^2(\omega_n)$.

**Gravity water waves.** $\omega \sim k$. –

Gravity water waves with small nonlinearity, $\varepsilon \sim 0.1$ to 0.25. It follows from (19) that for direct cascade

\[
A(\omega_n) = (\sqrt[p]{\omega} - 1) \int \frac{d\omega}{\omega_n^2} = \frac{(1 - \sqrt[p]{\omega})}{2} \omega_n^{-2} + C(\text{Dir})
\]

(20)

which yields an energy spectrum of the form

\[
E(\omega_n)^{\text{Dir}} \sim \left[ \frac{(1 - \sqrt[p]{\omega})}{2} \omega_n^{-2} + C(\text{Dir}) \right]^2.
\]

(22)

Computations of energy spectra for inverse cascade are analogous and yield

\[
E(\omega_n)^{\text{Inv}} \sim \left[ \frac{(1 - \sqrt[p]{\omega})}{2} \omega_n^{-2} + C(\text{Inv}) \right]^2,
\]

(23)

\[
C(\text{Inv}) = A_0 + \frac{(1 - \sqrt[p]{\omega})}{2} \omega_n^{-2}.
\]

(24)

In particular, for specially chosen excitation parameters $\omega_0^2 (1 - \sqrt[p]{\omega}) = 2A_0$ the direct cascade has an infinite number of steps, with quantized energy spectrum $E_{\infty}(\omega)^{\text{Dir}}$ having the form

\[
E_{\infty}(\omega_n)^{\text{Dir}} \sim \omega_n^{-4}.
\]

(25)

In this case spectral density $S(E(\omega))$ showing how fast the spectrum falls can be computed as follows:

\[
S(\varepsilon) = \lim_{\omega_{n+1} - \omega_n \to 0} \frac{E(\omega_{n+1}) - E(\omega_n)}{\omega_{n+1} - \omega_n}
\]

\[
= \frac{dE(\omega)}{d\omega} \sim \omega^{-5}.
\]

(26)

This is in accordance with the JONSWAP spectrum which is an empirical relationship based on experimental data.
Conditions for direct \((\omega_{n+1} > \omega_n)\) and inverse \((\omega_{n+1} < \omega_n)\) cascade to occur can be studied in a similar way as in [9]. Cumbersome formulae for computing \(p\) as function of initial parameters are not shown for space; they shall be presented in [14]. Notice that cascade intensity \(p\) can be easily determined from experimental data.

*Gravity water waves with moderate nonlinearity, \(\varepsilon \sim 0.25\) to 0.4.** Maximal instability increment is achieved in the case of moderate steepness \((\varepsilon \sim 0.25\) to 0.4) if, [12],

\[
\Delta \omega / \left( \omega Ak - \frac{3}{2} \omega^2 A^2 k^2 \right) = 1. \tag{27}
\]

In this case assumptions i), ii) yield

\[
\begin{cases}
E_n = pE_n \Rightarrow A_{n+1} = \sqrt{p}A_n, \\
(\Delta \omega)_n / \left( \omega_n A_n k_n - \frac{3}{2} (\omega_n A_n k_n)^2 \right) = 1, \\
\sqrt{p} - 1 \approx \pm \tilde{A}_n \omega_n^3 + \frac{3}{2} A_n \omega_n^6, \quad \tag{28}
\end{cases}
\]

where again only two terms of the corresponding Taylor expansion are taken into account (upper signs for direct cascade; lower signs for inverse cascade).

The general solution of (28) has the form \(A_n = \tilde{A}_n + \hat{A}_n\) where \(\hat{A}_n\) is the general solution of the homogeneous part of (28) and \(\tilde{A}_n\) is a particular solution of (28) (taken below at \(\omega_n = 1\)). Accordingly

\[
\pm \tilde{A}_n \omega_n^3 + \frac{3}{2} A_n \omega_n^6 = 0 \Rightarrow \tilde{A}_n = \frac{3}{2} \omega_n^{-3}, \tag{29}
\]

while equation

\[
\pm \hat{A}_n + \frac{3}{2} A_n \hat{A}_n = \sqrt{p} - 1 \tag{30}
\]

has two solutions

\[
\tilde{A}_n = \frac{1}{3} \left( 2 \pm \sqrt{2} \sqrt{2 - 6(\sqrt{p} - 1)\omega_n + 3C^{(Dir)}} \right), \tag{31}
\]

for direct cascade and two solutions

\[
\tilde{A}_n = \frac{1}{3} \left( 2 \pm \sqrt{2} \sqrt{2 + 6(\sqrt{p} - 1)\omega_n + 3C^{(Inv)}} \right), \tag{32}
\]

for inverse cascade.

To determine the sign in (31), (32) we assume without loss of generality that \(\omega_n = 1\), implying \(\omega_n > 1\) for direct cascade and \(\omega_n < 1\) for inverse cascade. As the energy of the modes decreases with growth of \(n\) we get finally

\[
\mathcal{E}(\omega_n)^{(Dir)} \sim \frac{3}{2} \omega_n^{-3}
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{3} \left( 2 - \sqrt{2} \sqrt{2 - 6(\sqrt{p} - 1)\omega_n + 3C^{(Dir)}} \right)^2, \quad \tag{33}
\]

\[
\mathcal{E}(\omega_n)^{(Inv)} \sim \frac{3}{2} \omega_n^{-3}
\]

\[+ \frac{1}{3} \left( 2 + \sqrt{2} \sqrt{2 + 6(\sqrt{p} - 1)\omega_n + 3C^{(Inv)}} \right)^2. \tag{34}\]

We introduce the function

\[
D(x) = \left[ \frac{3}{2} x^{-3} + \frac{1}{3} \left( 2 - \sqrt{2} \sqrt{2 - 6(\sqrt{p} - 1)x + 3C} \right) \right]^2. \tag{35}
\]
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Fig. 1: (Color online) Plot of the function \(D(x), 0.5 \leq x \leq 0.9\) for different values of parameters \(p\) and \(C\). Upper panel: \(D(x)\) is shown for \((1 - \sqrt{p}) = 0.1; 0.5; 0.9\) (red bold, blue dashed and black dotted lines, correspondingly); \(C = 0.1\). Lower panel: \(D(x)\) is shown for \((1 - \sqrt{p}) = 0.1, C = 0.1; 5; 10\) (red bold, blue dashed and black dotted lines, correspondingly).
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Fig. 2: (Color online) Plot of the function \(B(x), 0.5 \leq x \leq 0.9\) for different values of parameters \(p\) and \(C\). Upper panel: parameters and color scheme as in fig. 1, upper panel; Lower panel: \(B(x)\) is shown for \((1 - \sqrt{p}) = 0.1, C = 0.1; 0.5; 1\) (red bold, blue dashed and black dotted lines, correspondingly).

which describes the energy spectrum as given in (33) and study its behavior for different values of parameters \(p\) and \(C\) (fig. 1).

To compare energy spectra \(E_n(\omega)\) and \(E_n(\omega)\) for direct cascade in fig. 2 we plot the function

\[
B(x) = [(1 - \sqrt{p})x^{-2}/2 + C]^2. \tag{36}
\]

In both figs. 1 and 2 in the upper panels \(C\) is fixed, while the cascade intensity \(p\) varies; in the lower panels the cascade intensity \(p\) is fixed and \(C\) varies.
A simple observation can be made immediately: the dependence of quantized energy spectra on the excitation parameters is substantially smaller in a wave system with bigger nonlinearity. Indeed, for $C = 0.1$ (figs. 1, 2; upper panels), case of moderate nonlinearity,

$$
\left( D(0.5) \right)_{p=0.81}/\left( D(0.5) \right)_{p=0.01} \approx 0.9.
$$

This means a change of cascade intensity of almost of two orders of magnitude yields $\sim 10\%$ change in the energy at $\omega = 0.5$. On the other hand, for the same set of values $p$,

$$
\left( B(0.5) \right)_{p=0.81}/\left( B(0.5) \right)_{p=0.01} \approx 0.03.
$$

This means $B(x)$ changes by $300\%$.

A similar effect is observed if the cascade intensity is fixed, $p = 0.81$, (figs. 1, 2; lower panels):

$$
\left( D(0.5) \right)_{C=0.1}/\left( D(0.5) \right)_{C=10} \approx 0.73,
$$

$$
\left( B(0.5) \right)_{C=0.1}/\left( B(0.5) \right)_{C=1} \approx 0.07.
$$

For the improvement of graphical presentation function $B(x)$ is shown with a smaller value of $C$ than that used for function $D$. $(B(0.5)_{C=10} \approx 104$, not shown in fig. 2).

From these figures preliminary conclusion can be made: dependence of the quantized energy spectrum on the parameters of excitation is lower for higher nonlinearity.

**Capillary waves, $\omega^2 \sim k^3$.** – Conditions for small and moderate nonlinearity read

$$
(\Delta \omega)_n/\left( \frac{1}{24} \omega_n A_n k_n \right) = 1,
$$

$$
(\Delta \omega)_n/\left( \frac{1}{24} \omega_n A_n k_n + \frac{3}{2} \omega_n^2 A_n^2 k_n^2 \right) = 1,
$$

as is shown in [13]; all computations are completely similar to the examples presented in the previous section. Resulting formulae are shown below, for the case of small nonlinearity:

$$
\pm (\sqrt{p} - 1) \approx \frac{1}{24} A_n \omega_n^{5/3} \Rightarrow
$$

$$
E(\omega_n)^{(Dir)} \sim \left[ \frac{1}{16} (1 - \sqrt{p}) \omega_n^{-2/3} + C^{(Dir)} \right]^2,
$$

$$
E(\omega_n)^{(Inv)} \sim \left[ -\frac{1}{16} (1 - \sqrt{p}) \omega_n^{-2/3} + C^{(Inv)} \right]^2,
$$

where

$$
C^{(Dir)} = A_0 - \frac{1}{16} (1 - \sqrt{p}) \omega_0^{-2/3},
$$

$$
C^{(Inv)} = A_0 + \frac{1}{16} (1 - \sqrt{p}) \omega_0^{-2/3}
$$

and for the case of moderate nonlinearity:

$$
\pm (\sqrt{p} - 1) \approx \frac{1}{24} A_n \omega_n^{5/3} + \frac{3}{2} A_n \omega_n^5 \Rightarrow
$$

$$
\mathcal{E}(\omega_n)^{(Dir)} \sim \left[ -\frac{1}{36} \omega_n^{-5/2}
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{36} (1 - 1728(\sqrt{p} - 1) \omega_n + 72C^{(Dir)}) \right]^2,
$$

$$
\mathcal{E}(\omega_n)^{(Inv)} \sim \left[ -\frac{1}{36} \omega_n^{-5/2}
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{36} (1 + \sqrt{1 + 1728(\sqrt{p} - 1) \omega_n + 72C^{(Inv)})} \right]^2,
$$

where $C^{(Dir)}$ and $C^{(Inv)}$ are derived from the conditions

$$
A_0 = \frac{1}{36} \omega_0^{-5/2}
$$

$$
- \frac{1}{36} (1 - \sqrt{1 + 1728(\sqrt{p} - 1) \omega_0 + 72C^{(Dir)}},
$$

$$
A_0 = \frac{1}{36} \omega_0^{-5/2}
$$

$$
- \frac{1}{36} (1 + \sqrt{1 + 1728(\sqrt{p} - 1) \omega_0 + 72C^{(Inv)}},
$$

**Conclusions.** – In this letter the following results are presented:

1) The increment chain equation method (ICEM) presented allows to compute quantized energy spectra as a solution of an ordinary differential equation. Generation of cascading modes is only possible by modulation instability and only if exact and quasi-resonances do not occur. The dispersion function and the excitation parameters determine the form of the differential equation and the resulting quantized spectra. Direct, inverse or both cascades are possible with a finite or infinite number of modes. All these scenarios have been found in experiment.

2) In the examples given the ICEM is applied for computing quantized energy spectra of gravity and capillary waves, and two different levels of nonlinearity. It is also shown that transition from quantized to continuous spectrum in the case of gravity water waves (for direct cascade) yields spectral density $\sim \omega^{-5/2}$ which is in accordance with the notorious JONSWAP spectrum empirically deduced from oceanic measurements.

The ICEM has been applied to more complicated forms of the dispersion function, e.g. for gravity-capillary waves with $\omega^2 = gk + \sigma k^3$, which is not shown in the examples.

3) Comparing the methods of increment chain equation and kinetic equation, one can see from table 1 that they apply to different sets of parameters and excitation form. Moreover, they make different predictions. ICEM predicts that the form of the energy spectrum depends on the amplitude $A_0$ and frequency $\omega_0$ of excitation, whereas kinetic equation predicts that there is no such dependency. If one is unsure as to which method to apply, it will be sufficient to
measure the energy spectrum with two different sets of excitation parameters (e.g. with the same excitation frequency but different amplitude of excitation as is done in [8]).

4) Conditions of quantized cascade termination can be derived from the increment chain equation. As they are not discussed in this letter a short account is given below (detailed study of these conditions for gravity surface waves will be performed in [14]):

a) In the case of direct cascade, transition to continuous spectrum is possible with \( n \to \infty \) (example is given by (25)) for certain values of excitation parameters. For other choices of excitation parameters, a direct cascade terminates at some finite step \( n \) due to one of the following reasons

- decrease of amplitudes: amplitude of mode \( A_n \) is so small that the wave system becomes linear which means no mode \( A_{n+1} \) is produced; experimentally observed in [6].

- limiting steepness of total wave package: with each additional cascading mode the resulting wave package becomes steeper until the wave system becomes strongly nonlinear and wave breaking occurs; experimentally observed in [7].

- exact resonance among cascading modes: if a mode \( A_n \) generates an exact or quasi-resonance with some of the previously generated modes (table 1, A1), (quasi-) recurrent energy exchange among these modes will occur similar to the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam phenomenon and no new mode will be generated; experimentally observed in [6].

At the cascade step \( n \) one cascading mode (with the maximum growth rate) is generated which transports energy to the next cascading mode which has the maximum growth rate at the cascade step \( n + 1 \). Accordingly, at each cascade step one non-cascading mode is also generated. These “neglected” modes do not take part in the energy transport (at the regarded time scale) but rather provide energy broadening over \( \omega \)-spectrum. Their existence might supposedly provide a mechanism for transition from quantized to continuous spectra in the case of direct cascade. As the quantized cascade is very often finite, it is necessary to estimate whether the generated spectrum broadening is enough for applicability of a statistical description and the kinetic equation method.

b) An inverse cascade is always finite. It can be shown that under certain conditions, it terminates in a final mode with a frequency close to zero. Our hypothesis is that this is the “zero-frequency sideband” observed in many experiments [8]. Moreover, it may be assumed that this mode interacts with previously generated modes thus yielding (at some new time scale) a distributed initial state for the kinetic regime to occur.
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