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A phase I study with MAG-camptothecin intravenously administered weekly for 3 weeks in a 4-week cycle in adult patients with solid tumours

In MAG-camptothecin (MAG-CPT), the topoisomerase inhibitor camptothecin is linked to a water-soluble polymer. Preclinical experiments showed enhanced antitumour efficacy and limited toxicity compared to camptothecin alone. Prior phase I trials guided the regimen used in this study. The objectives were to determine the maximum tolerated dose, dose-limiting toxicities, safety profile, and pharmacokinetics of weekly MAG-CPT. Patients with solid tumours received MAG-CPT intravenously administered weekly for 3 weeks in 4-week cycles. At the starting dose level (80 mg m$^{-2}$ week$^{-1}$), no dose-limiting toxicities occurred during the first cycle ($n = 3$). Subsequently, three patients were enrolled at the second dose level (120 mg m$^{-2}$ week$^{-1}$). Two of three patients at the 80 mg m$^{-2}$ week$^{-1}$ cohort developed haemorrhagic cystitis (grade 1/3 dysuria and grade 2/3 haematuria) during the second and third cycles. Next, the 80 mg m$^{-2}$ week$^{-1}$ cohort was enlarged to a total of six patients. One other patient at this dose level experienced grade 1 haematuria. At 120 mg m$^{-2}$ week$^{-1}$, grade 1 bladder toxicity occurred in two of three patients. Dose escalation was stopped at 120 mg m$^{-2}$ week$^{-1}$. Cumulative bladder toxicity was dose-limiting toxicity at 80 mg m$^{-2}$ week$^{-1}$. Pharmacokinetics revealed highly variable urinary camptothecin excretion, associated with bladder toxicity. Due to cumulative bladder toxicity, weekly MAG-CPT is not a suitable regimen for treatment of patients with solid tumours.

Camptothecins (CPTs) are a maturing class of anticancer agents with significant clinical activity against a broad range of malignancies (Kim and Lim, 2002). As the topoisomerase I inhibitor CPT is extremely insoluble, the first phase I trials in the early 1970s were performed with the less active water-soluble carboxylate salt of CPT (Gottlieb et al, 1970; Muggia et al, 1972). Although some antitumour activity was observed, severe haematologic toxicity and haemorrhagic cystitis hampered the first development. The observation that an acidic environment (e.g. urine) favours the formation of the insoluble CPT lactone suggested an explanation for the prolonged bladder toxicity observed with sodium CPT, as well as a potential approach for alleviating this toxic effect by alkalisation of the urine.

In MAG-camptothecin (MAG-CPT, PNU-166148), CPT is covalently linked to a water-soluble polymer (MAG). MAG-CPT is a copolymer of N-(hydroxypropyl)methacrylamide, (20-O-(N-methacryloyl-glycyl-aminohepanoyl-glycyl)cyclopropyl) and N-(2-hydroxypropyl) methacryloyl-glycinamide. Binding of polymers to cytotoxic drugs may enhance the therapeutic activity and reduce toxicity mainly by altering their pharmacokinetics and biodistribution (Kim and Lim, 2002). Via the so-called enhanced permeability and retention effect, polymeric drug conjugates facilitate the solid tumour targeting of chemotherapeutic agents, in both animal models and man (Maeda and Matsumura, 1989; Duncan, 1999). This enhanced permeability and retention effect can be attributed to leaky tumour vessels allowing macromolecular extravasation not occurring in normal tissues and lack of effective tumour lymphatic drainage preventing clearance of the penetrant macromolecules and promoting their accumulation (Duncan, 1999). The enhanced permeability and retention effect has been observed in many experimental and human solid tumours (Maeda et al, 2000).

Experiments in healthy mice showed about five-fold lower plasma levels of MAG-CPT compared to the highest tolerated dose of CPT administered in classical vehicles (Caiolfa et al, 2000). Whole-body autoradiographs in HT29 human colon carcinoma-bearing mice demonstrated evident tumour radioactivity uptake after intravenous injection of MAG-($^3$H)CPT, but not after injection of ($^3$H)CPT (Caiolfa et al, 2000). MAG-CPT was better tolerated and tumour inhibition was observed at similar and even lower doses compared to CPT alone (Caiolfa et al, 2000).
Recently, two phase I studies with MAG-CPT have been performed. The first study indicated that a single dose of 200 mg m\(^{-2}\) MAG-CPT in a 4-week schedule caused no toxicity (De Bono et al, 2000), while at 240 mg m\(^{-2}\) three dose-limiting toxicities (DLTs) (neutropenic sepsis, grade 4 thrombocytopenia and grade 3 diarrhoea) occurred. In the second study, patients with solid tumours received MAG-CPT administrated over 3 consecutive days every 4 weeks (Schoemaker et al, 2002). Dose-limiting toxicity was cumulative bladder toxicity, occurring in two out of three patients treated at a dose of 85 mg m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\). Pharmacokinetic analysis in both studies showed that carrier-bound CPT has linear kinetics. The area under the curves (AUCs) following administration of MAG-CPT as a single dose or administration over three consecutive days are comparable. However, the maximum plasma concentration (C\(_{\text{max}}\)) levels of carrier-bound and released CPT were three to five times lower when MAG-CPT was administered over three consecutive days, compared to a single dose administration. Therefore, when exposure to CPT is similar for both administrations, a lower C\(_{\text{max}}\) might give less toxicity. However, as a result of the relatively long half-life of both bound and free CPT, accumulation in plasma was observed during the 3 days of administration. This accumulation might be the explanation for the dose-related toxicity observed in this trial, suggesting that toxicity can be avoided by increasing the time between dosing (Schoemaker et al, 2002). This answer was sought in the present study.

In this phase I trial, MAG-CPT was administered weekly for 3 weeks in a 4-week cycle in adult patients with refractory or resistant solid tumours. The primary objectives were to determine the maximum tolerated dose and DLTs, and to define the safety profile of MAG-CPT. The secondary objective was the evaluation of pharmacokinetics. Based on the results of the above-mentioned phase I studies, a starting dose of 80 mg m\(^{-2}\) was chosen (total dose 240 mg m\(^{-2}\) week\(^{-1}\)).

**PATIENTS AND METHODS**

**Patient selection**

The study was performed in Leuven, Belgium and Groningen, The Netherlands. Patients were included if they had histological or cytological confirmed malignant tumours for whom no recognised therapy was available. Patients may have received prior chemo-, hormone-, or immunotherapy. Patients must have completed any prior chemotherapy 4 weeks before study entry. Previous treatment with CPTs (e.g. topotecan, irinotecan, 9-AC) was not allowed. Prior radiotherapy was allowed as long as no more than 25% of the bone marrow was irradiated. Patients had to be recovered from all acute toxic effects from any prior therapy, excluding alopecia and Common Toxicity Criteria (CTC) grade 1 neurotoxicity residual from prior chemotherapy. Patients (≥18 years) had to have a performance status ≤2 according to the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) scale, and a life expectancy of at least 12 weeks. Adequate organ functions were required such as bone marrow function (neutrophils ≥1.5 × 10\(^{11}\) and platelets ≥100 × 10\(^{11}\)), renal function (serum creatinine <133 μmol l\(^{-1}\)) and liver function, defined as total bilirubin, serum alanine aminotransferase (ALAT) and serum aspartate aminotransferase (ASAT) within the normal range, or for patients with liver metastases serum ALAT and ASAT less than five times the upper limit of normal and total bilirubin less than 1.5 times the upper limit of normal. Patients with haematologic malignancies, known brain or leptomeningeal disease, more than three prior chemotherapy regimens, previous high-dose chemotherapy requiring (autologous) bone marrow transplantation or peripheral stem cell reconstitution, and known hepatitis B or HIV positive or AIDS-related illness were excluded. Pregnant or breast feeding women, or fertile persons not using contraceptives, were also excluded. The study was approved by the medical ethics committees of both hospitals. All patients gave written informed consent.

**Treatment schedule, dose escalation and dose adjustments**

MAG-CPT (provided by Pfizer, Nerviano (Milan), Italy) was administered intravenously in 4-week cycles comprising weekly treatment for three consecutive weeks, followed by 1-week rest. Toxicities were graded according to the CTC of the National Cancer Institute. Dose-limiting toxicities were defined as any of the following events occurring during the first cycle of treatment with MAG-CPT: grade 4 granulocytopenia lasting at least 7 days, febrile neutropenia, neutropenic infection, grade 3 or 4 thrombocytopenia, grade 3 or 4 nonhaematologic toxicity and grade 2 neurotoxicity. Failure to complete the first treatment cycle with three full-weekly doses within a maximum of 6 weeks due to drug-related toxicity was also considered as DLT.

At least three patients were to be treated at the starting dose of 80 mg m\(^{-2}\) week\(^{-1}\). One patient was treated and observed for 3 weeks from the start of treatment. Thereafter, the second and third patients started with MAG-CPT in the absence of DLTs in the first patient. The latter were observed for at least 3 weeks. If DLT occurred already at the 80 mg m\(^{-2}\) week\(^{-1}\) (240 mg m\(^{-2}\) cycle\(^{-1}\)) dose level, subsequent treatment would be given at a dose level of 60 mg m\(^{-2}\) week\(^{-1}\) (180 mg m\(^{-2}\) cycle\(^{-1}\)). If no DLTs or clinically significant toxicity occurred during the first cycle at the starting dose level, further dose escalation would proceed in cohorts of three patients by modified Fibonacci-guided dose increments defined by the investigators on the basis of the clinical and pharmacokinetic results. At subsequent dose levels, three patients were to be enrolled in parallel. At any dose level, if one out of three patients would experience in the first cycle a DLT, the entire patient cohort had to be enlarged to a total of six patients. If no other DLT or clinically significant toxicity was observed at this dose level, dose escalation would proceed at the next dose increments. The maximum tolerated dose was reached when ≥2 out of three or ≥2 out of six patients experience a DLT. Upon identification of the maximum tolerated dose, at least six patients would be treated at the next lower dose level, to better characterise the safety profile at that dose. The next dose level below the maximum tolerated dose would be the starting dose recommended for use in subsequent phase II studies. No within-patient dose escalation was foreseen.

Dose modifications during a cycle were based on worst toxicity attributable to the study drug observed during that given cycle. In case of grade 3/4 granulocytopenia, grade 3/4 thrombocytopenia, febrile neutropenia, neutropenic infection, grade 2–4 neurological toxicity and grade 3/4 other nonhaematologic toxicities, treatment was stopped for the given cycle. Dose adjustment at the start of subsequent cycles was based on the assessment of toxicity during the previous cycle. When patients experienced grade 2–4 granulocytopenia, grade 2–4 thrombocytopenia, grade 1–4 neurotoxicity, or other grade 2–4 nonhaematologic toxicities, treatment was delayed until recovery. If re-treatment had to be held for more than 2 weeks, the patient was removed from therapy.

Prophylactic treatment with antiemetics was not provided at the first dose during the first cycle of treatment. Afterwards, antiemetic prophylactics could be administered based on the judgement of the physician. For diarrhoea loperamide was allowed.

**Treatment evaluation**

Patient evaluation on days 1, 8, 15 and 22 of each cycle included complete blood cell counts, liver and renal functions, urinalysis, performance status and toxicity scoring according to the CTC. Tumour measurements were repeated at least every two cycles.
Tumour response was assessed according to RECIST criteria (Therasse et al., 2000).

Pharmacokinetics

During the first cycle of treatment, blood samples were collected for pharmacokinetic analysis of MAG-CPT and free CPT. On days 1 and 15, blood samples were drawn just before infusion, immediately after infusion, at 10 min after the end of infusion, and at 1, 4, 8, 12 and 24 h post-infusion. On day 8, one sample was taken prior to infusion, and one at the end of infusion. On days 22 and 29, one blood sample was collected. Pre-cooled heparinised tubes were used for sampling and were immediately placed on ice until being centrifuged at 1200 g at 4°C for 10 min. For determination of free CPT levels, 0.25 ml plasma was added to tubes containing 0.75 ml 8.5% phosphoric acid and subsequently mixed. Specimens were stored at −80°C until analysis.

Urine portions were collected during the first cycle on day 1, before the first dose, and post-dose with timed collections between 0–8 and 8–24 h after infusion. Subsequently, urine samples were taken weekly until the start of the second cycle. An aliquot of each urine portion was stored at −80°C until analysis.

A high-performance liquid chromatographic (HPLC) method with fluorescence detection was used for determination of CPT in plasma and urine (Fraier et al., 2000). Total CPT levels were determined after hydrolysis of MAG-CPT. Free CPT was extracted from acidified plasma before determination. Bound CPT was determined after hydrolysis of MAG-CPT. Free CPT was extracted from acidified plasma before determination. Bound CPT was calculated by the difference of total minus free CPT. In urine, only total CPT levels were measured. The lower limit of quantification in plasma was 10 ng ml⁻¹ for total CPT and 1 ng ml⁻¹ for free CPT. In urine, the lower limit of quantification for total CPT was 50 ng ml⁻¹.

Pharmacokinetic calculations for carrier-bound and free CPT were performed using both a noncompartmental and compartmental approach. Noncompartmental analysis was executed with the WinNonLin package (version 2.1, Scientific Consulting Inc.). Compartmental analysis was conducted via the MW\Pharm (version 3.5, Mediware, Groningen, The Netherlands) and ADAPT II (version 4.0, USC, Los Angeles, USA) packages. Curve stripping and subsequent curve fitting was performed in the KINSTRIP and KINFIT programs of the MW\Pharm package. These data were subsequently used in a multi-compartment analysis in ADAPT II, in which the combined data of carrier bound and free CPT in plasma and the amount CPT excreted in urine were analysed. Variance for the observations was assumed to be proportional to the measured values and set at 10%.

RESULTS

Patient characteristics and treatment

Between August 2000 and January 2001, nine patients (four males and five females) were included in the study. Patient characteristics are listed in Table 1. Patients received a total of 20 cycles, with a median of two (range 1–4) cycles per patient.

Toxicity

Initially, three patients were enrolled at a dose level of 80 mg m⁻² week⁻¹. No DLTs occurred during the first cycle of treatment. Subsequently, three patients were enrolled in parallel at the next dose level of 120 mg m⁻² week⁻¹. As the trial continued, two of the three enrolled patients at the first dose level developed chemical cystitis, inducing cumulative bladder toxicity (Table 2). One patient at the first dose level developed bladder toxicity during the second cycle (grade 1 dysuria and grade 2 haematuria).

Table 1 Patient characteristics

| Patients entered | 9 |
|-----------------|---|
| Male/female     | 4/5 |
| Median age, years (range) | 55 (26–74) |

Performance status

| 0 | 3 |
|---|---|
| 1 | 6 |

Malignancy

| Melanoma | 1 |
| Non-small-cell lung cancer | 4 |
| Sarcoma | 3 |
| Renal cell carcinoma | 1 |

Prior therapy

| Chemotherapy | 8 |
| Radiotherapy | 2 |
| Immunotherapy | 1 |
| Hormonal therapy | 1 |

Table 2 Bladder toxicity per individual patient per cycle according to CTC

| Cycle | CTC grade dysuria | CTC grade haematuria |
|-------|-------------------|----------------------|
|       | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Cohort 1 (80 mg m⁻²²)
| Patient 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Patient 2 | 0 | 1 | — | — | — | 0 | 2 | — |
| Patient 3 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | — | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Patient 7 | 0 | 0 | — | — | — | 0 | 0 | — |
| Patient 8 | 0 | 0 | — | — | — | 0 | 0 | — |
| Patient 9 | 0 | — | — | — | — | 0 | — | — |
| Cohort 2 (120 mg m⁻²²)
| Patient 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | — | 0 | 0 | 0 | — |
| Patient 5 | 0 | — | — | — | — | 1 | — | — |
| Patient 6 | 1 | — | — | — | — | 0 | — | — |

0 = no toxicity, 1–4 = toxicity grade according to CTC, — = treatment discontinued.
He was hospitalised and treatment with MAG-CPT was discontinued. In another patient at the first dose level, bladder toxicity worsened from grade 1 haematuria during the first cycle to grade 2 dysuria and haematuria during the second cycle, and to grade 3 dysuria and haematuria during the third cycle. During the fourth cycle, the dose of MAG-CPT was reduced to 60 mg m$^{-2}$ week$^{-1}$. Subsequently, treatment in this patient was stopped because of progressive disease. Two out of three patients at the second dose level experienced grade 1 bladder toxicity during their first cycle. Treatment of both patients was discontinued after the first cycle for progressive disease (Table 2). Owing to the observed cumulative bladder toxicity, it was decided to enlarge the first cohort (80 mg m$^{-2}$ week$^{-1}$) to a total of six patients. Although only grade 1 bladder toxicity occurred in the three additional patients, bladder toxicity in the second and third patients of the first dose level was considered as DLT. Subsequently, it was decided to stop the trial. De-escalation to a lower dose level was not performed, because this would not result in a higher maximum tolerated dose compared to the previous phase I trial in which a single dose of 240 mg m$^{-2}$ (in a 4-week schedule) was the maximum tolerated dose (De Bono et al., 2000).

Haematologic toxicity was mild (Table 3). Six patients experienced grade 1 or 2 anaemia. Grade 1 thrombocytopenia, grade 1 leucopenia, and grade 1 granulocytopenia were observed in two, three, and two patients, respectively. No febrile neutropenia was observed, and red blood cell or platelet transfusions were not required.

With the exception of bladder toxicity, nonhaematologic toxicity is listed in Table 4. Elevation of serum transaminases was seen in one patient with non-small-cell lung cancer and progression of liver metastases after one cycle. Other toxicities were grade 1 elevation of serum bilirubin and creatinine, grade 1 mucositis, nausea, diarrhoea, skin reaction (rash), anorexia and fatigue. A few patients experienced grade 2 nausea, vomiting, fever, infection, or fatigue.

Overall, chemical cystitis induced grade 1 – 3 dysuria and/or grade 1 – 3 haematuria in five out of the nine (56%) patients included in this trial. Dose-limiting toxicity was cumulative bladder toxicity, and 80 mg m$^{-2}$ week$^{-1}$ was considered to be the maximum tolerated dose. The reason for treatment discontinuation was progressive disease in eight patients, and bladder toxicity in one patient.

### Tumour response

No objective tumour responses were seen in six evaluable patients. In two patients the best overall response was stable disease.

| Anaemia        | 80 mg m$^{-2}$ (no. of patients) | 120 mg m$^{-2}$ (no. of patients) |
|----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                | 1                                | 2                                |
|                | 2                                | 2                                |

| Thrombocytopenia | 80 mg m$^{-2}$ (no. of patients) | 120 mg m$^{-2}$ (no. of patients) |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                 | 1                                | 1                                |
|                 | 2                                | 0                                |

| Leukopenia      | 80 mg m$^{-2}$ (no. of patients) | 120 mg m$^{-2}$ (no. of patients) |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                 | 1                                | 2                                |
|                 | 2                                | 0                                |

| Granulocytopenia | 80 mg m$^{-2}$ (no. of patients) | 120 mg m$^{-2}$ (no. of patients) |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                 | 1                                | 2                                |
|                 | 2                                | 0                                |

### Pharmacokinetics

MAG-CPT plasma data followed a bi- or triexponential elimination pattern in all patients. Simulation of CPT release in the first 24 h after infusion in a two- or three-compartment model with elimination from the first compartment suggested that only 14 – 20% of the administered dose (expressed as CPT equivalents) had been eliminated after 24 h. Since 26 – 92% of the dose was recovered in urine after 24 h, this model seems incorrect. Modelling of CPT data in a one-compartment model, while considering MAG-CPT as a mixture of two or three substances each with a different elimination rate, revealed that 60 – 100% of CPT might have been eliminated after 24 h. One-compartment
modelling data also better predicted the measured data (Figure 1). The main pharmacokinetic parameters of carrier-bound CPT and free CPT in plasma at the first week of treatment are shown in Table 5. The fact that the $C_{\text{max}}$ and $AUC_{0-168\text{h}}$ of free CPT, in patients treated with 80 vs 120 mg m$^{-2}$, appeared similar might be explained by the slow release rate of MAG-CPT. Possibly, the period of sampling (between 0 and 24 h after infusion) was too short to determine the true $C_{\text{max}}$ of free CPT. Urinary excretion during the first 24 h after infusion expressed as a percentage of the total dose administered, as well as the calculated creatinine clearance before treatment, is shown in Table 6. We observed a high variability in urinary excretion between subjects; after 24 h, between 26 and 92% of the total dose was excreted in patients treated with 80 or 120 mg m$^{-2}$ MAG-CPT. The calculated creatinine clearance before treatment was not related to the variability in urinary excretion. Figure 2 shows the relation

### Table 6  Urinary excretion of CPT and creatinine clearance

| Cohort | Urinary excretion (%) | Creatinine clearance (before treatment, ml min$^{-1}$) |
|--------|-----------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| 1      | 34.1                  | 60.1                                                |
| 2      | 56.2                  | 90.3                                                |
| 3      | 91.8                  | 78.2                                                |
| 4      | 60.3                  | 48.5                                                |
| 5      | 52.6                  | 69.3                                                |
| 6      | 55.4                  | 45.1                                                |
| Mean   | 58.4 ± 18.8           | 65.3 ± 17.5                                         |

Values obtained from the first 24 h of treatment (cycle 1). Values calculated by Cockroft and Gault formula.

![Figure 1](Concentration vs time curve for MAG-CPT and free CPT in plasma; values (mean ± s.d.).)

![Figure 2](Relation between bladder toxicity and urinary excretion of CPT, and relation between bladder toxicity and urine production between 0 and 24 h after infusion.)
between the worst bladder toxicity (dysuria or haematuria) observed in individual patients and the total amount of CPT excreted during 24 h after infusion (Spearman’s rho 0.82, \(P<0.01\)). The relation between the worst bladder toxicity and the urine production between 0 and 24 h after infusion is also shown in Figure 2 (Spearman’s rho –0.84, \(P<0.01\)). Figure 2 illustrates that bladder toxicity is associated with local CPT exposure.

**DISCUSSION**

In this phase I study, MAG-CPT was administered weekly for 3 weeks in 4-week cycles in adult patients with solid tumours. Dose escalation was already stopped when two patients at the starting dose level developed a disabling haemorrhagic cystitis during the second cycle. Other haematologic or nonhaematologic toxicity was relatively mild. Dose-limiting toxicity was cumulative bladder toxicity, and 80 mg m\(^{-2}\) week\(^{-1}\) was considered to be the maximum tolerated dose. De-escalation to a lower dose level (60 mg m\(^{-2}\) week\(^{-1}\)) was not performed, because at this dose level a higher maximum tolerated dose could not be reached compared to the previous phase I trial in which a single dose of 240 mg m\(^{-2}\) (in a 4-week schedule) was the maximum tolerated dose (De Bono et al, 2000). Schoemaker et al (2002) showed that, also with MAG-CPT infusion over 3 consecutive days, every 4 weeks the cumulative bladder toxicity was dose-limiting. Dysuria and haematuria, generally developing during the first or second cycle, were noted in patients treated at 68 mg m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\) and higher dose levels. As in the previous trials, no objective tumour responses were observed in this trial. Without bladder protection, this weekly regimen of MAG-CPT is not a suitable regimen for treatment of patients with solid tumours.

The action of CPT is most evident in the S-phase of the cell cycle; therefore, prolonged inhibition of topoisomerase I was postulated to be an important parameter in causing cytotoxicity (Hertzberg et al, 1989; Del Bino et al, 1991; Kingsbury et al, 1991; Caiolfia et al, 2000). The relation between bladder toxicity and the dose excreted in 24 h suggests that bladder toxicity may be explained by interindividual differences in pharmacokinetics. Accumulation of both carrier-bound and free CPT during subsequent cycles could not be assessed properly, because pharmacokinetics were only performed during the first cycle of treatment. However, clinical data did not demonstrate a reduction in bladder toxicity in the weekly administration schedule compared to the schedule with administration over 3 consecutive days, suggesting that with weekly MAG-CPT treatment accumulation in plasma still plays a role in inducing bladder toxicity. Alkalisation of urine by treatment with sodium bicarbonate might be a possible solution for MAG-CPT-induced bladder toxicity. However, due to the relatively long terminal half-life of MAG-CPT (about 100 h) and the cumulative bladder toxicity after 4 weeks, this treatment was not considered a suitable option. Whether the uroprotective drug mesna has any effect on bladder toxicity induced by MAG-CPT is currently unknown. As bladder toxicity is associated with local CPT exposure, and an inverse relation between urine volume and bladder toxicity was observed in this trial, hyperhydration during MAG-CPT treatment might be useful to reduce bladder toxicity.

Large and variable amounts of CPT are excreted in urine within the first 24 h after infusion. This might be largely due to the linkage of CPT to the water-soluble MAG polymer, since CPT itself is predominantly excreted into bile after intravenous administration (Ahmed et al, 1996). The total urinary excretion of the water-soluble CPT analogues irinotecan and topotecan is about 30 and 40%, respectively (Herben et al, 1996; Sparreboom et al, 1998). The large amount of CPT excreted in urine after administration of MAG-CPT cannot be explained in a multi-compartment pharmacokinetics analysis when only free CPT is supposed to be renally
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