Impression Cytology in Eyes with Clinical and Confocal Scan Features of Acanthamoeba Keratitis
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Purpose: To report impression cytology findings in specimens obtained from eyes with clinical and confocal microscopic features of Acanthamoeba keratitis (AK).

Methods: In this interventional case series, impression cytology was obtained from corneas of patients with clinical and confocal microscopic features indicative of AK. Specimens were stained with Periodic acid-Schiff/Papanicolaou (PAS/PAP) and examined for the presence of PAS-reactive Acanthamoeba cysts and/or hyperchromatic pear-shaped trophozoites. All specimens were then decolorized and re-stained with calcofluor white (CFW) for the presence of chemofluorescent cysts.

Results: Fifty-six eyes of 50 patients with mean age of 25.5±9.3 (range, 17 to 78) years were evaluated. Forty-one (82%) cases were female and 51 (91.1%) eyes had history of contact lens wear. PAS-reactive Acanthamoeba cysts and/or hyperchromatic pear-shaped trophozoites were identified in 53 eyes (94.6%), 2 of which demonstrated only trophozoite-like structures. CFW staining was able to reveal the presence of chemofluorescent cysts in all 51 specimens (91.1%) in which cysts had been demonstrated with PAS/PAP staining. Trophozoites were not detected with CFW due to background staining of the cellulose acetate strip used for impression cytology.

Conclusion: Corneal impression cytology, stained with PAS/PAP or with CFW, successfully detects Acanthamoeba and can be employed for early noninvasive diagnosis of AK.
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INTRODUCTION

Acanthamoeba are ubiquitous free-living protozoans that can cause potentially serious keratitis in contact lens wearers,1 and in others with a history of corneal trauma, contact with dirty water and exposure to leaf juice or bird seed dust.2,3 The incidence of Acanthamoeba keratitis (AK) varies with geographical region and has been reported from 0.33 to 1 in 10,000 soft contact lens wearers per year,4 however, recent studies have indicated an increasing trend in the incidence of AK worldwide.5 The clinical features of AK may lead to confusion with other...
types of keratitis, such as herpes simplex, fungal or bacterial keratitis. Early suspicion and timely diagnosis of AK is necessary to prevent the devastating consequences of this infection and to obtain more favorable visual outcomes.1,10

Invasive methods such as corneal scrapings or biopsy for microbiology, histopathology and polymerase chain reaction (PCR), and noninvasive techniques such as confocal microscopy and impression cytology have been employed for diagnosis of AK.1,11-13 Microbiologic cultures can be taken from 2 days to 2 weeks to yield positive results; true positive results range from 0% to 68%.8 Confocal microscopy is a rapid noninvasive diagnostic tool with high sensitivity and specificity11,14 which reveals hyper-reflective cyst-like structures and high-contrast irregular wedge-shaped features of Acanthamoeba within the cornea.15

Impression cytology is a simple and fairly rapid method that takes less than 2 hours for preparation and microscopic examination.16 Impression cytology stained with Periodic acid-Schiff/Papanicolaou (PAS/PAP) has been reported in small case series for diagnosis of AK in corneas with superficial involvement.12,17 Calcofluor white (CFW) is a chemofluorescent dye used for rapid diagnosis of amoebic cysts in corneal scrapings and paraffin-embedded corneal tissues.18,19

To the best of our knowledge, CFW staining has not been used for detecting Acanthamoeba cysts on impression cytology specimens and there is no study on the results of impression cytology in eyes with both clinical and confocal microscopic features of AK. The current study was conducted to evaluate the results of impression cytology specimens stained with PAS/PAP and CFW in eyes with clinical and confocal microscopy features consistent with AK.

METHODS
This interventional case series was approved by the Ethics Committee at the Ophthalmic Research Center and informed consent was obtained from all subjects. Patients with both clinical and confocal microscopic features indicative of AK were included for the purpose of this study. These included: 1) a high clinical suspicion such as history of contact lens wear, presence of keratoneuritis, ring infiltrates, geographic epitheliopathy, nummular infiltrates and an unusually painful keratitis; 2) Typical confocal scan (Confoscan 3.4, Nidek Co. Ltd., Gamagori, Japan) findings11,14 including high contrast round to oval-shaped structures with bi-layered, coffee-bean, or target-shaped appearance measuring 10-25 μm in diameter, within the corneal epithelium and/or stroma (Fig. 1A), and/or large irregular wedge-shaped, highly refractile structures (Fig. 1B).

Impression cytology was performed by an ophthalmologist/ophthalmic pathologist (MRK). After topical anesthesia with 0.5% tetracaine eye drops (Sina Darou Laboratories Company, Tehran, Iran), the site of corneal involvement was determined by slit lamp biomicroscopy, the eyelids were kept opened by an assistant and excess moisture was gently cleaned from the conjunctival surface with a cotton swab. Next, a 5×5mm precut cellulose acetate filter paper (47mm, pore size 0.45μm, Schleicher & Schuell Microscience GMBH, Dassel, Germany) was applied to the affected area of the cornea and gentle pressure was applied for a few seconds. The filter paper was carefully peeled off the corneal surface and fixed in a cytology fixative containing glacial acetic acid, formaldehyde, distilled water and ethyl alcohol in 1:1:6:14 volume ratio.

After staining with PAS/PAP,16 these filter papers were cleared in xylene and mounted on glass slides using a DPX mountant. Simultaneously, one slide from a normal goblet

![Figure 1. Confocal scan images (×500) of Acanthamoeba keratitis: A, Note the hyper-reflective cysts (arrow) with a bilayered appearance. B, High contrast irregular pear-shaped trophozoite (arrow) in the corneal epithelium.](image-url)
cell-containing conjunctiva was stained as the positive control. The specimens were examined by another ophthalmic pathologist (BH) under bright field microscopy (Olympus BX43, Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) for the presence of PAS-reactive Acanthamoeba cysts, or hyper-chromatic irregular or pear-shaped trophozoites, the results were then confirmed by another pathologist (NR), who was masked to the study.

After recording the microscopic findings and taking photomicrographs, all impression cytology specimens which had been stained with PAS/PAP were decolorized and re-stained with CFW for the presence of chemofluorescent cysts or bright red-orange trophozoites using a fluorescent microscope (Olympus IX71/U-RFL-T/U-LH100HG, Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with ultraviolet light plus excitation and suppressing filters. This stage of the examination was performed by an ophthalmic pathologist (MRK) who was unaware of the results of the PAS/PAP-stained specimens. A tissue section of a cornea with characteristic amoebic cysts on histopathology was considered as a positive control for CFW staining.

Finally the frequency and percentage of Acanthamoeba cysts and trophozoites in impression cytology specimens stained with PAS/PAP and CFW were calculated.

RESULTS

Fifty-six eyes of 50 patients with mean age of 25.5±9.3 (range, 17 to 78) years including 41 (82%) female subjects were enrolled. Six patients had bilateral corneal involvement. Fifty-one (91.1%) eyes had history of contact lens usage. Fifty-three eyes from 48 patients had superficial involvement with AK. Deep stromal involvement was observed in three eyes from 2 patients; one eye from one patient had a severe epithelial defect. All eyes were successfully sampled for impression cytology.

Fifty-three out of 56 eyes (94.6%) with clinical and confocal scan features of AK had positive impression cytology, based on demonstration of PAS-reactive Acanthamoeba cysts (Figures 2A and 2B) and/or hyper-chromatic irregular or pear-shaped trophozoites (Fig. 2C). Fifty-one out of 53 positive impression cytology specimens had Acanthamoeba cysts; such structures were not observed in the remaining 2 specimens which only showed hyper-chromatic irregular and pear-shaped trophozoites.

Re-staining of the impression cytology specimens with CFW, despite background staining of the cellulose acetate strips, confirmed the presence of chemofluorescent amoebic cysts (Fig. 2D) in all 51 specimens which had PAS-reactive Acanthamoeba cysts. In the two specimens which had only demonstrated trophozoites-like structures with PAS/PAP staining, CFW failed to reveal the trophozoites due to background staining of the cellulose acetate strip. Of those 3 cases (5.4%) in which impression cytology had not revealed Acanthamoeba, despite an adequate specimen, no cysts or trophozoites were observed in CFW.
strated Acanthamoeba cysts and trophozoites in the 3 eyes with deep stromal involvement. In the case of the eye with marked epithelial defect, Acanthamoeba cysts and trophozoites were successfully found amongst the inflammatory cells and cell debris on impression cytology.

DISCUSSION

The current study demonstrated a high yield of acanthamoeba (94.6%) by impression cytology whether stained with PAS/PAP or CFW in eyes with clinical and confocal scan features of AK, as compared to a 77% positive rate for PCR and 48% positive results for histopathology, in a confocal microscopic study of corneal epithelial biopsy specimens suspected of being positive for Acanthamoeba. Impression cytology may therefore be appropriate in centers where confocal microscopy and PCR techniques are unavailable and may substitute more invasive corneal biopsy techniques. Impression cytology can be easily implemented in all major ophthalmologic centers with a pathology department.

Corneal scraping is an invasive method to obtain biopsy specimens for histopathologic studies, microbiologic culture and PCR. Microbiologic cultures take some time to prove positive, with variable positive results. PCR has been introduced as an alternative to standard microbiologic tests for AK, it is relatively faster than histopathology and culture methods, but requires overnight incubation at -20°C and is not available in all laboratories. However, impression cytology in which the first layer or the 2 outermost layers of the corneal epithelium are removed is a rapid and relatively noninvasive method for diagnosis of AK. The method is cost effective and eliminates the need for large epithelial scrapings which cause ocular pain.

Limitations of impression cytology include the demand for an expert pathologist or ophthalmic pathologist to conduct proper staining for cytopathologic diagnosis, and the possibility of insufficient sampling whilst obtaining the specimen due to keratitis-induced pain, photophobia and tearing. However, none of our impression cytology specimens were inadequate; keeping the eyelids open during sampling and gentle clearing of excess moisture from the ocular surface are key points for obtaining a sufficient impression cytology specimen.

Swada et al12 and Barros et al17 introduced an impression cytology technique for the diagnosis of AK with superficial corneal involvement early in the disease process. However, it has not been verified that Acanthamoeba actually depart from the ocular surface in favor of a less accessible stromal bed in an untreated eye.14 Our study demonstrated the presence of Acanthamoeba cysts and trophozoites within the corneal epithelium on impression cytology in three eyes with deep stromal involvement. We believe that despite the invasion of the organisms into deep stromal layers, clusters of cysts and trophozoites may still remain within the epithelium.

The purpose of CFW staining in our study was to confirm the results of a modified PAS/PAP staining in impression cytology specimens. To the best of our knowledge, CFW has not been employed for the diagnosis of amoebic cysts in impression cytology specimens. This staining method, by revealing chemofluorescent cysts in all impression cytology specimens that had previously shown PAS-reactive Acanthamoeba cysts, confirmed the results of PAS/PAP staining in our study. None of the specimens that were negative with modified PAS/PAP were positive with CFW.

Staining with CFW was not of any help in detecting Acanthamoeba trophozoites in impression cytology specimens and did not provide additional yield as compared to the modified PAS/PAP stain. Therefore, although CFW can be a simple, rapid and highly reliable method for diagnosis of Acanthamoeba cysts in impression cytology specimens, it seems logical to use the modified PAS/PAP in such cases which is as an easy and inexpensive staining method not requiring fluorescent microscopy. Acanthamoeba trophozoites were not detectable with CFW due to the presence of background staining of the filter paper interfering with their identification. CFW stains cellulose fibers of the cellulose acetate strips and results in background staining.18,21 In such cases, the use of Millicell-CM (hydrophilic polytetrafluoroethylene)
membranes (Millipore, Millipore Corporation, Bedford, USA) instead of cellulose acetate filter papers may be associated with less background staining. This observation requires further investigation.

In summary, impression cytology is a noninvasive method with a high positive yield which can be used alone or as an adjunct for early diagnosis of AK. To the best of our knowledge, there is no report on impression cytology in patients with both clinical and confocal microscopic findings of AK and this study demonstrated a high positive rate for impression cytology in such cases. We employed CFW for the first time to confirm the presence of Acanthamoeba cysts in impression cytology specimens; however it did not improve the results over the modified PAS/PAP staining method.
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