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Pulse oximetry is one of the most commonly employed monitoring modalities in the critical care setting. This review describes the latest technological advances in the field of pulse oximetry. Accuracy of pulse oximeters and their limitations are critically examined. Finally, the existing data regarding the clinical applications and cost-effectiveness of pulse oximeters are discussed.

Introduction
The human eye is poor at recognizing hypoxemia. Even under ideal conditions, skilled observers cannot consistently detect hypoxemia until the oxygen (O₂) saturation is below 80% [1]. The difficulty that physicians have in detecting hypoxemia was recently exemplified in a study of over 14,000 patients being evaluated at the UCLA Emergency Department [2]. Patients were monitored by oximetry but recordings were given to physicians only after they completed their initial assessment. Changes in diagnostic testing and treatment were most likely at an O₂ saturation of 89%, and changes were actually less common at lower saturations, probably because the physicians were able to detect evidence of hypoxemia without requiring a pulse oximeter.

With the proliferation of pulse oximeters in different locations of the hospital throughout the 1980s, several investigators demonstrated that episodic hypoxemia is much more common than previously suspected with an incidence ranging from 20–82% [3–5] (Fig. 1). The significance of episodic desaturation on patient outcome is largely unknown [6]. In patients admitted to a general medical service, Bowton et al. [7] found that O₂ saturation < 90% of at least 5 min duration occurred in 26% of the patients. On follow-up over the next 4–7 months, those patients experiencing hypoxemia during the first 24h of hospitalization had more than a threefold higher mortality than patients who did not desaturate. Although episodic desaturation may simply be a marker of increased risk rather than the direct cause of decreased survival, an increased mortality rate was still observed in patients with episodic hypoxemia when the investigators corrected for severity of illness. Whether or not the early detection and treatment of episodic hypoxemia can affect patient outcome remains unknown.

Principles of pulse oximetry
Pulse oximetry is based on two physical principles: (a) the presence of a pulsatile signal generated by arterial blood, which is relatively independent of non-pulsatile arterial blood, venous and capillary blood, and other tissues; and (b) the fact that oxyhemoglobin (O₂Hb) and reduced hemoglobin (Hb) have different absorption spectra [8]. Currently available oximeters use two light-emitting diodes (LEDs) that emit light at the 660 nm (red) and the 940 nm (infrared) wavelengths. These two wavelengths are used because O₂Hb absorbs less light than Hb, while the reverse occurs

O₂ = oxygen; O₂Hb = oxyhemoglobin; Hb = hemoglobin; LED = light-emitting diode; SₐO₂ = oxygen saturation; SₐO₂ = arterial saturation; FIO₂ = fractional inspired oxygen concentration; PₐO₂ = arterial oxygen tension; COHb = carboxyhemoglobin; MetHb = methemoglobin; ICU = intensive care unit; SET = signal extraction technology; ABG = arterial blood gas
in the infrared region. The ratio of absorbencies at these two wavelengths is calibrated empirically against direct measurements of arterial blood oxygen saturation (S\textsubscript{a}O\textsubscript{2}) in volunteers, and the resulting calibration algorithm is stored in a digital microprocessor within the pulse oximeter. During subsequent use, the calibration curve is used to generate the pulse oximeter’s estimate of arterial saturation (S\textsubscript{O}2) \cite{9,10} (Fig. 2). In addition to the digital readout of \textsubscript{O}2 saturation, most pulse oximeters display a plethysmographic waveform which can help clinicians distinguish an artifactual signal from the true signal (Fig. 3).

**Accuracy**

The accuracy of commercially available oximeters differ widely, probably because of the different algorithms employed in signal processing \cite{8}. These algorithms are limited by the range of saturations that can be safely obtained in volunteers, and also the accuracy of the measurement standard (CO-oximeter) \cite{11}. Comparison of pulse oximetry with direct CO-oximeter measurements should be reported in terms of the mean difference between the two techniques (bias) and the standard deviation of the differences (precision).

In healthy volunteers, oximeters commonly have a mean difference (bias) of <2\% and a standard deviation (precision) of <3\% when S\textsubscript{a}O\textsubscript{2} is 90\% or above \cite{12,13}. Comparable results have also been obtained in critically ill patients with good arterial perfusion \cite{14,15}. Accuracy of pulse oximeters deteriorates when S\textsubscript{a}O\textsubscript{2} falls to 80\% or less. In healthy volunteers under hypoxic conditions, bias of pulse oximetry varies from −15.0 to 13.1 while the precision ranges from 1.0 to 16.0 \cite{12,16–18}. In a study in critically ill patients, eight out of 13 oximeters had a bias ≥±5\%
when $S_O^2$ was $<80\%$ [14]. In a study of 54 ventilator-dependent patients, the accuracy of oximetry deteriorated significantly at low $S_O^2$ values. Bias ± precision was $1.7 \pm 1.2\%$ for $S_O^2$ values $>90\%$, and it increased to $5.1 \pm 2.7\%$ when $S_O^2$ was $\leq 90\%$ [19].

Different probes that are used with a pulse oximeter can also affect the accuracy of $S_O^2$ measurements. In patients with poor peripheral perfusion as a consequence of cardiopulmonary bypass surgery, finger probes had lower precision and more readings within 3% of the reference (CO-oximeter) than the other probes. Overall rankings were significantly better for the finger probes than probes on other sites (Fig. 4) [20]. The response time of oximeter probes was assessed by Severinghaus and Naifeh [17] who induced 30–60 s hypoxic plateaus between an $S_O^2$ of 40 and 70% in healthy volunteers. Oximeter probes placed on the ear generally had a much faster response to a sudden decrease in fractional inspired oxygen concentration ($FIO_2$) than did the finger probes (10–20 versus 24–35 s, respectively). Employing hypobaric facility to induce hypoxia in normal volunteers, Young et al. [21] also observed that the response time of the finger probes were slower than the ear probes in response to either a decrease or increase in $O_2$ saturation.

**Limitations**

Oximeters have a number of limitations which may lead to inaccurate readings (Table 1). Pulse oximeters measure $S_O^2$ that is physiologically related to arterial oxygen tension ($P_{O_2}$) according to the $O_2$Hb dissociation curve. Because the $O_2$Hb dissociation curve has a sigmoid shape, oximetry is relatively insensitive in detecting the development of hypoxemia in patients with high baseline levels of $P_{O_2}$ [11,22].

Pulse oximeters employ only two wavelengths of light and, thus, can distinguish only two substances, Hb and $O_2$Hb. When carboxyhemoglobin (COHb) and methemoglobin (MetHb) are also present, four wavelengths are
required to determine the ‘fractional S\textsubscript{O}_2’: i.e., (O\textsubscript{2}Hb×100)/(Hb+O\textsubscript{2}Hb+COHb+MetHb). In the presence of elevated COHb levels, oximetry consistently overestimated the true S\textsubscript{O}_2 [23,24] by the amount of COHb present. Elevated MetHb levels also may cause inaccurate oximetry readings [25,26]. Anemia does not appear to affect the accuracy of pulse oximetry: in non-hypoxemic patients with acute anemia (mean Hb, 5.2±0.3 (SE) g/dl), pulse oximetry was accurate in measuring O\textsubscript{2} saturation with a bias of only 0.53% [27]. However, in patients with sickle cell anemia presenting with acute vaso-occlusive crisis [28], mean bias of pulse oximetry was 4.5% (in some patients it was as high as 8%), which was significantly greater than in a control group of patients without sickle cell anemia. Severe hyperbilirubinemia (mean bilirubin, 30.6mg/dl) does not effect the accuracy of pulse oximetry [29].

Intravenous dyes such as methylene blue, indocyanine green, and indigo carmine can cause falsely low S\textsubscript{O}_2 readings [30], an effect that persists for up to 20 min [31]. Nail polish, if blue, green or black, causes inaccurate S\textsubscript{O}_2 readings [32], whereas acrylic nails do not interfere with pulse oximetry readings [33]. Falsely low and high S\textsubscript{O}_2 readings occur with fluorescent and xenon arc surgical lamps [34].

Motion artifact continues to be a significant source of error and false alarms [35–38]. In a recent, prospective study in an intensive care unit (ICU) setting, S\textsubscript{O}_2 signals accounted for almost half of a total of 2525 false alarms [39] (Fig. 5). In 123 patients recovering from general or spinal-epidural anesthesia, 77% of pulse oximeter alarms were false in nature, which the investigators attributed to sensor displacement, motion artifact, and a decrease in skin perfusion [40]. In this study, the alarm threshold was set at an S\textsubscript{O}_2 of 90% and it is not clear if a minimum duration was specified. A recent study in 647 patients in the recovery room compared the influence of two pulse oximeter lower alarm limit settings (S\textsubscript{O}_2 90% = group 90 and S\textsubscript{O}_2 85% = group 85) on the incidence of hypoxemia [41]. Although the number of audible alarms was lower in group 85, hypoxic episodes (defined as S\textsubscript{O}_2 ≤90% lasting >1 min) were more common in group 90 than in group 85 (11 versus 6%, respectively). The investigators concluded that decreasing the alarm limit to reduce false alarms may lead to increase in more relevant episodes of hypoxemia.

Various methods have been employed to reject motion artifact but have met with little success [8,42,43]. An innovative technological approach, termed Masimo signal extraction technology (SET\textsuperscript{TM}; Masimo Corporation, Mission Viejo, California, USA), was recently introduced to extract the true signal from artifact due to noise and low perfusion [44]. This technique incorporates new algorithms for processing the pulse oximeter’s red and infrared light signals that enable the noise component, which is common to the two wavelengths, to be measured and subtracted. When tested in healthy volunteers during standardized motion, Masimo SET\textsuperscript{TM} exhibited much lower error rates (defined as percentage of time that the oximeter error exceeded 5%, 7%, and 10%) and dropout rates (defined as the percentage of time that the oximeter provided no S\textsubscript{O}_2 data) than did the Nellcor N-200 and Nellcor N-3000 oximeters (Nellcor Puritan Bennett, Pleasanton, California, USA) for all test conditions [45]. The lowest performance index (defined as the percentage of time that the oximeter’s value was within 7% of the control S\textsubscript{O}_2 value) was 97% for Masimo SET\textsuperscript{TM} compared with 47% for the N-3000 and 68% for the N-200. In 50 postoperative patients, Dumas \textit{et al.} [46] observed that a pulse oximeter’s alarm frequency was decreased twofold with a Masimo SET\textsuperscript{TM} system versus a conventional oximeter (Nellcor N-200). Improved performance was particularly striking during conditions of gross (non-rhythmic) motion and tremor, when a 22-fold reduction in signal loss over time was observed (Fig. 6).

Inaccurate oximetry readings have been observed in pigmented patients, but not by all investigators [8]. In 33 healthy black subjects during normoxia and hypoxia, the correlation between S\textsubscript{O}_2 and S\textsubscript{O}_2 was inferior with a Biox II A oximeter (Ohmeda, Boulder, Colorado, USA) (r=0.80) than with the older Hewlett-Packard (Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) (non-pulse) oximeter (r=0.94) [47]. In critically ill patients [19], bias ± precision was greater in black patients, 3.3±2.7%, than in white patients, 2.2±1.8%; also,
a bias > 4% occurred more frequently in black patients (27%) than in white patients (11%).

Low perfusion states, such as low cardiac output, vasoconstriction and hypothermia, may impair peripheral perfusion and may make it difficult for a sensor to distinguish a true signal from background noise. In cardiac surgery patients experiencing hypothermia and poor perfusion, only two of 20 oximeters (Criticare CSI 503, Criticare Systems, Inc., Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA; Datex Satelite, Datex Instrumentarium Corp., Helsinki, Finland) provided measurements within ±4% of the CO-oximeter value [48]. Measurements of $S_O_2$ with a Biox 3700 oximeter had a bias >±4% in 37% of patients receiving vasoactive therapy [49].

An under-recognized and worrisome problem with pulse oximetry is that many users have a limited understanding of how it functions and the implications of its measurements. In a recent survey [50], 30% of physicians and 93% of nurses thought that the oximeter measured $P_{O_2}$. Some clinicians also have a limited knowledge of the $O_2$-dissociation curve, and they do not recognize that $S_O_2$ values in the high 80s represent seriously low values of $P_{O_2}$. In the above survey, some doctors and nurses were not especially worried about patients with $S_O_2$ values as low as 80% (equivalent to $P_{O_2} \leq 45$ torr).

Clinical applications

Cullen et al. [51] demonstrated that the introduction of pulse oximetry to areas where anesthesia was administered decreased the overall rate of unanticipated admissions to the ICU. Moller et al. [52] conducted the first prospective, randomized study of pulse oximetry on the outcome of anesthesia care in 20802 surgical patients. A 19-fold increase in the detection of hypoxemia (defined as an $S_O_2 < 90\%$) was noted in the oximeter group than in the control group. Myocardial ischemia was more common in the control group versus the oximeter group (26 and 12 patients, respectively); however, pulse oximetry did not decrease the rate of postoperative complications or mortality. In general care units of a university hospital, Bowton et al. [53] reported that 75% of patients had at least one episode of desaturation with $S_O_2 < 90\%$, and 58% had at least one episode with $S_O_2 < 85\%$. Despite these events, few nurses, and even fewer physicians, made mention of these hypoxemic episodes in their clinical notes. Moreover, the decrease in $S_O_2$ values rarely resulted in a change in respiratory care orders.

Pulse oximetry can assist with titration of $F_{O_2}$ in ventilator-dependent patients, although the appropriate $S_O_2$ target depends on a patient’s pigmentation [19]. In white patients, an $S_O_2$ target value of 92% predicts a satisfactory level of oxygenation whereas, in black patients, this target may result in significant hypoxemia. While a higher target $S_O_2$ value (95%) avoids hypoxemia in black patients, some will have $P_{O_2}$ values as high as 198 torr (Fig. 7) and, if receiving a high $F_{O_2}$ to achieve the $S_O_2$ target of 95%, $O_2$ toxicity may result.

The potential usefulness of pulse oximetry as a screening tool that could supplement or supplant respiratory rate as a ‘pulmonary vital sign’ was investigated [54]. Paired measurements of respiratory rate (counted while auscultating breath sounds for 1 min) and $S_O_2$ were obtained in over 12000 adult patients in the triage area of an Emergency Department [54]. The relationship between $S_O_2$ and respiratory rate revealed correlation coefficients of 0.378 to –0.454 with a weighted mean of –0.160, in other words, a weak inverse relationship between $S_O_2$ and respiratory rate. Overall, only 33% of patients with an $S_O_2$ below 90% exhibited an increase in respiratory rate (defined as any rate in the upper five percentile by age). The study con-
of 72 (4.2%) patients with an \( S_aO_2 > 92\% \) (\( FiO_2 \)) was adjusted until the desired steady-state \( SpO_2 \) value was achieved. The solid horizontal line represents the mean \( \Delta P_{O_2} \) value for each \( SpO_2 \) target. The closed and open circles represent values obtained in black and white patients, respectively. In white patients, an \( SpO_2 \) target of 92\% resulted in a satisfactory level of oxygenation, whereas a higher \( SpO_2 \) target, 95\%, was required in black patients. Published with permission [19].

The usefulness of pulse oximetry as a means of screening for respiratory failure defined as \( P_{aO_2} < 60 \text{mmHg} \) and \( P_{CO_2} > 45 \text{mmHg} \) in patients with severe asthma was examined [57]. Respiratory failure occurred in six patients out of 82 (7.3\%) with an \( S_{O_2} > 90\% \) versus only three out of 72 (4.2\%) patients with an \( S_{O_2} > 92\% \) (\( P<0.005 \)). The investigators concluded that an \( S_{O_2} > 92\% \) suggests that respiratory failure is unlikely and therefore arterial blood gas measurements are unnecessary when evaluating patients with acute severe asthma. Interestingly, this threshold value of 92\% is the same target value that predicted reliably a satisfactory level of oxygenation during titration of \( FiO_2 \) in ventilator-dependent patients [19].

Cost-effectiveness

Bierman et al. [4] reported that fewer arterial blood gas (ABG) samples were obtained in cardiac surgery patients if \( S_{O_2} \) data were available to the caregivers. Interestingly, the availability of oximetry data had no effect on the duration of ICU stay, duration of mechanical ventilation, or the need for supplemental \( O_2 \). In an emergency department, a recent report showed that the number of unjustified ABGs (as determined by independent experts) over a 2-month period decreased from 29\% when pulse oximetry was unavailable to 12\% when oximetry was available; the number of justified ABGs did not change [58].

Solsona et al. [59] measured the number of blood gas measurements in 417 patients admitted to a medical–surgical ICU during a 12-month period in which only two pulse oximeters were available (i.e., control period). They then studied 306 patients admitted over a 9-month period when 12 pulse oximeters were available for the same number of beds (i.e., intervention period). Less frequent use of mechanical ventilation and a slightly lower number of arterial blood samples were observed when pulse oximetry was fully available. Inman et al. [60] examined the effect of implementing pulse oximetry without any specific algorithm for its appropriate use. They studied 148 patients before the implementation of oximetry in their ICU and 141 patients after its implementation. The number of ABG samples decreased from 7.2 to 6.4 per patient per day, a reduction of only 10.3\% compared with average reductions of 39\% in the previous studies [4,61]. This suggests that, without explicit guidelines, the pulse oximeter was used in addition to, rather than instead of, ABG samples.

Conclusion

Pulse oximetry is probably one of the most important advances in respiratory monitoring. Over the last 15 years, numerous studies have focused on the technical aspects of pulse oximeters and found that these instruments have a reasonable degree of accuracy. This degree of accuracy, coupled with the ease of operation of most instruments, has led to the widespread use of pulse oximetry for monitoring patients in the ICU. Perhaps the major challenge facing pulse oximetry is whether this technology can be incorporated effectively into diagnostic and management algorithms that can improve the efficiency of clinical management in the intensive care unit.
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