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Abstract
A 66-year-old patient presented with acute recurrent metastatic estrogen and progesterone receptor-positive, Her-2/neu-negative breast cancer, bone lesions (lumbar spine, pelvis), pulmonary nodules, hepatic metastasis, elevated cancer antigen 15 and liver enzymes, dyspepsia, and diarrhea. She subsequently underwent lumpectomy and a six-course treatment with adjuvant chemotherapy that included 5-fluorouracil, epirubicin, and cyclophosphamide (FEC-100). The patient declined radiation therapy. Aromatase inhibitors (AIs) were not attempted due to a history of osteoporosis and concerns by the patient. In June 2014, the patient experienced dyspepsia and diarrhea, which eventually led her to seek a medical evaluation from a family physician. The family physician originally excluded potential infectious etiologies in the stool on July 27, 2014 and subsequently suspected active hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection on September 4, 2014, which was ruled out on September 10, 2014. The laboratory investigation on September 4, 2014 also revealed an elevated ferritin and liver function tests (LFTs). The family physician also ordered an abdominal ultrasound on September 3, 2014 and follow-up contrast computed tomography (CT) abdomen/pelvis scan on September 10, 2014. The patient was asymptomatic with a good performance status. Hepatic metastatic regression has been confirmed, without any oncological treatment administered in the past 16 months and approximately 23 months following the withdrawal of raloxifene. This case highlights the need to screen breast cancer patients for the possibility of an AEWE if they are using raloxifene and possibly similar selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs) which includes tamoxifen, when diagnosed with advanced breast cancer, especially in the recurrent disease setting.
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September 22, 2014, which noted pulmonary nodules and multiple hepatic lesions indicative of malignancy. Tumor markers ordered on September 25, 2014 revealed elevations in CA-125, CA 15-3, and CEA (Figure 1).

A referral was made to a new medical oncologist, as the original oncologist had retired, who ordered a bone scan on October 2, 2014, that revealed further evidence of metastatic disease. A liver core biopsy on October 15, 2014, confirmed hepatic metastatic disease consistent with ductal carcinoma of the breast. The biopsy also confirmed strong estrogen and progesterone receptor and negative Her-2/neu status in line with her original diagnosis back in 2005.

The patient, a retired pharmacist, had decided on her own intuition to discontinue raloxifene in July 2014 to see if there was a connection with her symptoms, in particular the diarrhea. Between this time period and October 27, 2014 (ie, within 3 months), without any oncological therapy or other forms of treatment, she experienced a significant improvement and eventual resolution of the dyspepsia along with the diarrhea, which stopped within days. LFTs also began to improve between September 4 and October 27, 2014 (Figure 2). Furthermore, the CA 15-3 tumor marker revealed truly significant reductions from 5860 kU/L on September 25, 2014, to 1772 kU/L on October 27, 2014. Notably, this effect was prior to any chemotherapeutic intervention. The tumor markers CEA and CA-125, which were also found to be elevated on September 25, 2014, were not repeated later.

On October 27, 2014, capecitabine was prescribed by the oncologist at a dose of 1500 mg twice daily. The patient ascribed the improvement in her symptoms to a possible raloxifene withdrawal effect, which was shared with the oncologist who, according to the patient, viewed this as improbable. The patient, on her own volition, reduced her capecitabine dose to 1000 mg twice daily in the middle of the second cycle mainly due to the side effects of capecitabine treatment. During capecitabine treatment, continued improvements in clinical parameters were noted. The oncologist continued to opine that the positive response was solely related to the chemotherapy.

On February 10, 2015, the patient was referred to our clinic by another medical oncologist who was consulted as a second opinion. The oncologist also was unaware of a possible anti-estrogen withdrawal effect (AEWE) in breast cancer, but referred the patient to our facility to explore treatment options to help address capecitabine side effects. The patient also suffered from insomnia and anxiety and wished to explore a combined naturopathic/oncological approach. During this visit, the patient also discussed the effects of the suspected raloxifene withdrawal effect. It was psychologically distressing to her that neither oncologist seriously examined the possibility of a raloxifene AEWE. This distress along with side effects of the raloxifene withdrawal were affecting her quality of life. For the patient’s symptoms, we suggested acupressure, a maitake mushroom extract and a botanical/nutritional formula reputed to assist with sleep/anxiety relief, in addition to physical activity. We had just independently discovered that one case of a raloxifene AEWE had been reported in 2004. We shared this information with the patient, which remarkably eased her psychological state. On February 20, 2015, she attempted a single infusion of vitamin C that was not repeated. Although both maitake extract and intravenous vitamin C are reported to “boost immune function” and hence may be may be
useful in adjunctive cancer therapy,\textsuperscript{1,2} it appears unlikely that these supplements had a noticeable anticancer effect in our case, since they were initiated months after the initial dramatic decline in tumor markers, symptoms, and LFTs. However, according to the patient, these interventions were beneficial for her overall well-being.

Capecitabine was finally discontinued on the patient’s own accord on February 13, 2015, without consultation from our clinic. The treating medical oncologist was not opposed to a treatment break. Along with the published raloxifene rebound case report, an upcoming family wedding had triggered this decision in an attempt to improve quality of life and enjoyment of the celebration. Interestingly, following this decision, the CA 15-3 marker continued to decline from 35 kU/L on February 23, 2015, to 15 kU/L on April 27, 2015.

Follow-up CT abdomen on April 24, 2015, compared with October 8, 2014, and December 1, 2014, revealed continued reductions in liver metastases. Chest nodules between the October and April dates were stable.

During a follow-up appointment on May 29, 2015, the patient reported continued anxiety concerns along with troublesome hot flashes and night sweats which began following the raloxifene withdrawal. She mentioned an acute gastritis episode in March requiring an emergency room evaluation that had since improved. She reported no obvious signs of disease progression. The patient had modified our recommended natural health product supplementation on her own volition in March 2015 to include a flaxseed oil capsule, vitamin D 2000 IU, B-complex tablet, and a milk thistle capsule taken all at once daily along with her morning coffee. Since the CA 15-3 marker had already declined from 5680 kU/L in September 2014 to 35 kU/L in February 2015, attribution of the patient’s continued improvement to intake of this modest supplement regimen is also unlikely. She reported no other supplement use and did not receive any other therapies. She intended to continue this regime for the foreseeable future.

Follow-up CT on October 10, 2015, of the chest, abdomen, pelvis with contrast agent revealed stable pulmonary nodules and similar appearing sclerotic bony densities. Hepatic regression continued despite having discontinued capecitabine 8 months earlier. Tumor marker and LFTs as of October 14, 2015 continued to be in the normal range, with CA 15-3 at 12 kU/L, alkaline phosphatase at 51 U/L, alanine aminotransferase at 25 U/L, and total bilirubin at 18 µmol/L.

Graphic representations of the LFT results from September 2014 to October 2015 are given in Figures 1 and 2; the corresponding CT scans are shown in Figures 3 to 6. Unfortunately, no laboratory data or images predating this period were available.

As of the writing of this report (June 2016), the patient continues to do very well, is asymptomatic, and has no need of any further oncological treatment or care. It has been 16 months since the last capecitabine dose and approximately 23 months since stopping the raloxifene.

Discussion
To our knowledge, only one earlier case report exists in the published literature\textsuperscript{3} that describes a patient with metastatic breast cancer, who on discontinuing raloxifene experienced a reduction in global metastatic sites that continued throughout a 2-year period.

The frequency of AEWEs in breast cancer is unknown in the clinical setting. However, agonist oncological effects have been observed with raloxifene and tamoxifen in laboratory settings.\textsuperscript{4,5} Furthermore, there have been detailed discussions regarding the proposed mechanisms of action...
regarding this area of SERM resistance and stimulated breast cancer growth. In one model proposed by Fan and Jordan, 3 phases of acquired antihormone drug resistance have been discussed where: (a) tumors with phase I resistance are stimulated by estrogen and SERM treatment but inhibited by aromatase inhibitors (AIs) and fulvestrant, which occurs after 1 or 2 years of therapy; (b) tumors with phase II resistance are stimulated by SERM treatment but are inhibited by estrogen due to apoptosis, which occurs after 5 years of therapy or as an occult disease during 5 years of adjuvant SERM therapy; and (c) tumors with phase III resistance potentially develop after indefinite therapy for estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer.

Because of the patient’s 8-year period of using raloxifene, a phase II/III evolution of acquired drug resistance may help to explain the suspected AEWE observed in this case. The remaining physiologic estradiol/estrogens may have acted on SERM-sensitized cells and induced apoptosis on raloxifene discontinuation. Similar discussions are also being explored with acquired resistance to AIs and fulvestrant. Further mechanisms of SERM resistance may also include alterations or mutations in estrogen receptors such as estrogen receptor alpha D351Y that would convert the anti-estrogenic raloxifene–estrogen receptor complex to an estrogenic complex. As a consequence, the withdrawal of raloxifene may have removed the estrogenic potential driver on breast cancer sensitive cells.

It is important to highlight that an analogous anti-androgen withdrawal effect is utilized in androgen deprivation therapy for prostate cancer. Our patient had been taking raloxifene for an extended period. It is uncertain at which point the potential transformation “from friend to foe” may have taken place with this patient. This medication may arguably have been inappropriate to begin with.

The use of raloxifene is not a prevalent practice in patients following a diagnosis of breast cancer. Still, there could be patient populations where its anti-osteoporotic effects may be an attractive feature for its use, in particular when other anti-estrogen modulators and aromatase inhibitors are not well tolerated. In addition, raloxifene may be an experimental treatment option for recurrence risk prevention, especially in patients with a history of osteoporosis or osteopenia.

In light of this case report, some general concerns arise regarding the use of raloxifene and possibly other related agents used for chemoprevention. It should be explored whether a subgroup of patients who experience an initial breast cancer diagnosis when using raloxifene may in fact be susceptible to an AEWE.

Furthermore, this case should serve as a reminder to health care providers that patient intuition may in fact be correct and should not be dismissed from the outset. At times careful observation may be the more appropriate course of action, versus aggressive and potentially harmful therapeutic intervention. We hope this report will add another piece of information to the rather unexplored subject area of AEWE in patients with breast cancer.
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