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Abstract

FBG_SiMul V1.0 is a tool to study and design the implementation of fibre Bragg grating (FBG) sensors into any kind of structure or application. The software removes the need of an fibre optic expert user, becoming more obvious the sensor response of a structural health monitoring solution using FBG sensors. The software uses a modified T-Matrix method to simulate the FBG reflected spectrum based on the stress and strain from a finite element method model. The article describes the theory and algorithm implementation, followed by an empirical validation.
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1. Introduction

More demanding structural applications and new design philosophies are increasingly motivating engineers and researchers to implement sensors into structures and to develop new structural health monitoring (SHM) solutions [1, 2]. This opportunity is driven by new low-cost sensors and transducers, new electronics and new manufacturing techniques. In particular, the cost of fibre Bragg grating (FBG) sensors has dropped over the last few years and robust fibre-optic monitoring systems suitable for SHM have become commercial off the shelf hardware.
However, the sustainment of structures using these permanent on-board health monitoring systems is a complex and multi-disciplinary technological field that requires a holistic approach that cannot be addressed solely by advances in the various technology platforms on which the SHM is constructed. What is required is twofold; that the next generation of research scientists and engineers are specifically trained with the skills, research experience, and multi-disciplinary background to adopt the new structural sustainment concepts. And that tools are available that enable the demanding task of integrating, supporting, and maintaining an innovative holistic health management system and to propel its application in the aerospace, wind energy, and other industries.

The FBG SiMul software described here is an example of the type of tool that will allow sensor simulation to become part of the design process, where output is simulated and optimised to a structure. This will have an immediate impact on the planning, development and implementation of SHM as well as provoking further research and development to include active control elements in the software and real-time data-driven feedback control for smart structures in the future. Equally important is that the software is robust and runs from a user friendly interface. This ensures its uptake both within and outside the modelling and sensor communities as it provides an opportunity for non-experts to simulate the signals and support their sensor implementation plans; whether for a one-off full-scale structural test, or a series of mechanical test specimens [3].

2. Problems and Background

The shape and response of the FBG reflected spectrum (measured signal) depends on the way that the grating is deformed, i.e., the stress and strain field acting along the grating will define the signal response. The FBG response simulation based on the stress and strain state from a finite element method (FEM) model was only recently addressed. Hu et al.[4] developed a Matlab code to simulate the FBG response under non-uniform strain fields caused by the transverse cracking in cross-ply laminates; and in a similar work, Hasson el al.[5] developed a Matlab code to simulate the FBG response for mode-I delamination detection. However, the code developed by both authors is limited either by the type of FEM model or by the type of sensor response analysed; and, in both cases the code/algorithm for the
signal simulation code is not provided.

Thus, FBG_SiMul was developed to tackle this gap in the FBG simulation field, where the FBG response is simulated independently of the structure, loading, or application type. As the software removes the need of a fibre optic expert user, the FBG sensor response of a structural health monitoring solution becomes more intuitive.

2.1. Fibre Bragg Grating Signal Response

A FBG sensor is formed by a permanent periodic modulation of the refractive index along its core. When the optical fibre is illuminated by a broadband light source a narrow wavelength band is reflected back, as shown in figure 1.

![Figure 1: Fibre Bragg grating response for uniform strain, transverse stress and non-uniform strain.](image)

Any external force/load acting in the grating region will change the effective index and/or the period of modulation, which will create a shift in the wavelength and/or modify the shape of the reflected peak. However, different stress and strain fields acting in the FBG sensor create different signal responses [3, 11, 12, 13, 14] (see figure 1); a longitudinal uniform strain field creates a wavelength shift in the reflected peak ($\Delta \lambda$), but its shape remains unchanged; a longitudinal uniform and non-uniform strain field, acting along the grating, causes an increase in the reflected peak width ($\Delta \lambda_{WV}$) and a wavelength shift ($\Delta \lambda$); a transverse stress field, acting along the grating, causes a separation of the reflected Bragg peak due to the optical fibre birefringent behaviour, which can be described by an increase in the reflected peak width ($\Delta \lambda_{WV}$) and a wavelength shift ($\Delta \lambda$).
2.2. Spectrum Simulation: Transfer-Matrix Method

The transfer-matrix method was originally developed to simulate the reflected spectrum of FBG sensors under a uniform strain field by Yamada and Sakuda [6]; later, this theory was modified to simulate the reflected spectrum of FBG sensors under other types of strain field or different FBG configurations [7, 8, 9, 10]. The modified T-Matrix method, developed by Peters et al.[7], consists of dividing the waveguides (grating periodic pattern) into short segments, and in each segment the grating is assumed to be periodic. This assumption allows each segment to be handled as a uniform grating and its signal to be simulated by the original Yamada T-Matrix method. Then, when the grating is deformed, the grating period (Λ) in each increment is calculated using the average strain acting in that increment; and, the total reflected signal is reconstructed by combining the signal contribution from all increments.

2.3. From a Finite Element Method Model to Spectrum Simulation

In a FEM model the structure domain is divided in small sections called elements, which contain stress and strain information that describes the structural behaviour. In the T-Matrix method the grating is divided into short segments, and the simulated signal from each segment is added to the total reflected signal. Thus, it is possible to simulate the FBG reflected spectrum based on a FEM model, by matching the number of short segments used by the T-Matrix method with the number of elements in the FEM model, as shown in figure 2.

Figure 2: Schematic representation of the algorithm implemented in the FBG_SiMul software: from a FEM model to FBG spectrum simulation;
Then, the stress and strain from each FEM element is used by FBG_SiMul to simulate the sensor signal, using a modified T-Matrix method. The different theory and algorithm structure implemented in the software are presented in appendix A- *Spectrum Simulation Theory and Algorithm.*

3. Software Description

FBG_SiMul was developed with a graphical user-interface, no programming knowledge is required to preform FBG simulation; all the input parameters are pre-checked by the software, meaning that the simulation is robust and the code does not crash. However, the source code (python) is provided and it can be re-used or changed to fit any purpose. The software is provided in two formats: a standalone file, in .exe format, which does not require installation or any dedicated software; and, in Python format, which can be modified but requires a python compiler.

A user-manual is provided together with the software; In this documentation the user can find information about the code structure, the type of functions/algorithms implemented, the software input/output and different functionalities, and a software tutorial case.

3.1. Software Conceptual Structure

The FBG_SiMul conceptual structure is shown in figure 3. First, the software extracts the stress and strain along a predefined path in a FEM model, and save it as a .txt file. This can be made for a specific/single time increment, or for multiple time increments (ex: dynamic models, time dependent behaviour). Next, the software identifies the elements that are inside of each FBG, and creates a local variable per FBG sensor containing all information needed to simulate the FBG response, as the number of elements per grating, and the stress and strain field. Finally, two simulation options are given to the user: reflected spectrum simulation for a specific time increment, to evaluate the shape of the reflected signal; and, FBG time response, to simulate the sensor response for multiple time increments.
3.2. Software Functionalities

The software is divided between 4 tabs according to functionality:

- **Tab 1- Software**: Software front page, where the user can find information about all the different tabs and their functionalities, open the user manual, or learn more about the software copyright and author;

- **Tab 2- Extract Stress/Strain along Optical Fibre (Abaqus)**: Tool to automatically extract the stress and strain along a pre-defined path in a FEM model. The output is a .txt file containing the stress and strain distribution along a FBG path for a specific time increment. Tool options: multiple FBG paths; coordinate system rotation; single or multiple time increment;

Figure 3: FBG_SiMul conceptual structure. FBG spectrum simulation from a finite element method.
**Note:** this tool was developed for *Abaqus* FEM models. Nevertheless, the user can simulate the FBG response using a different FEM software by extracting the files manually, and ensuring that the files have the required format, as described in the user-manual.

- **Tab 3- FBG Spectrum Simulation (Specific Step Increment):**
  FBG reflected spectrum simulation for a specific time increment. Here, the user can study the FBG spectrum response, plan the sensor location, optimise the sensor wavelength, check available bandwidth, evaluate signal distortion or measurement errors, and so forth. The tab output is the FBG reflected spectrum, and it can be saved as an image or as a .txt file. Tool options: different SI units, mm or m; type of simulation, as longitudinal uniform strain, longitudinal non-uniform strain or transverse stress; user-defined optical fibre parameters; number of FBG sensors per fibre; FBG length; user-defined FBG array configuration; plot configuration;

- **Tab 4- FBG Signal variation (Time Response):** FBG signal response for multiple time increments. Here, the user can study the wavelength shift variation $(\Delta \lambda_{WV})$ and the peak width variation $(\Delta \lambda)$ along the selected time increments, compare the sensor response for multiple FBG paths, plan the sensor location, and so forth. The tab output is the $\Delta \lambda_{WV}$ and $\Delta \lambda$ along the selected time increments, and it can be saved as an image or as a .txt file. Tool options: different SI units, mm or m; user-defined optical fibre parameters; number of FBG sensors per fibre; FBG length; user-defined FBG array configuration; plot configuration;

**4. Software Empirical Validation**

To validate the software algorithm, 3 input files representing known cases of uniform strain, non-uniform strain and transverse stress were created. The wavelength shift, $\Delta \lambda_{WV}$, and the peak width variation, $\Delta \lambda$, for the 3 cases were calculated using the analytical equations (Eq. (3), (7), and (11)) developed by Pereira et al. [3]. Each input file contains the stress and strain along a 10 mm grating, discretized in 20 segments.
Theoretical results:

- Uniform strain: grating under 1.0 $\varepsilon(\%)$ longitudinal strain. Theoretical output: $\Delta \lambda = 12.16 \text{ nm}$, $\Delta \lambda_{WV} = 0$; for $p_e = 0.215$ and $\lambda_b = 1550 \text{ nm}$.

- Non-uniform strain: half grating under 1.0 $\varepsilon(\%)$ and the other half under 0.5 $\varepsilon(\%)$ longitudinal strain. Theoretical output: $\Delta \lambda = 9.15 \text{ nm}$, $\Delta \lambda_{WV} = 6.07 \text{ nm}$; for $p_e = 0.215$, $\lambda_b = 1550 \text{ nm}$, $n_{eff} = 1.46$ and $\Lambda_0 = 530.82$.

- Transverse stress: grating under a compressive stress of 100 MPa in the $z$ direction. Theoretical output: $\Delta \lambda = 0 \text{ nm}$, $\Delta \lambda_{WV} = 0.3839 \text{ nm}$; for $p_{11} = 0.121$, $p_{12} = 0.270$, $E = 70 \text{ GPa}$, $\nu = 0.17$, $\lambda_b = 1550 \text{ nm}$, $n_{eff} = 1.46$ and $\Lambda_0 = 530.82$.

Figure 4: FBG_SiMul simulation results. Simulated test cases: uniform strain, non-uniform strain, and transverse stress.

The three empirical test cases were simulated with good accuracy by the FBG_SiMul software, as shown in figure 4. Thus, it can be concluded that the software can represent the FBG response for different type of strain/stress fields.

5. Illustrative Example

In this section, the FBG_SiMul was used simulate and design a delamination/crack monitoring solution based in FBG sensors. A double cantilever beam (DCB) FEM model, based on the work presented by Pereira et al. in [3], was used to represent the delamination phenomenon. The complete FEM
model description and a simulation tutorial can be found in the FBG_SiMul user-manual.

The simulated virtual FBG array was composed of 5 gratings, spaced by 10 mm (see figure 5), and its path was a 0.03 mm line parallel with the delamination plane. Then, the FBG array spectrum response in the presence of a crack was simulated using the FBG_SiMul tab 3; and, the FBG signal response during the delamination process was simulated using the FBG_SiMul tab 4.

Figure 5: FBG array configuration in the DCB specimen.

5.1. FBG Spectrum Simulation

The reflected spectrum was simulated for a specific time increment using the FBG_SiMul tab 3-FBG Spectrum Simulation, where the crack tip was situated 36 mm from the beginning of the optical fibre, which corresponds to the middle of the second grating.

A screen-shoot of the FBG_SiMul plot/output window is shown in figure 6, where the deformed reflected spectrum (red curves) can be compared with the original reflected spectrum (grey curves). It can be observed that the two first FBGs measure a high amount of wavelength shift ($\Delta \lambda$) and peak width variation ($\Delta \lambda_{WV}$), as result of the presence of the crack.

5.2. FBG Time Response Simulation

The FBG response was simulated using the FBG_SiMul tab 4-FBG Signal Variation. It was used multiple time increments, representing the delamination of the DCB specimen, from an undamaged to a fully damage state. A
Figure 6: FBG_SiMul plot window: FBG reflected spectrum simulation for the non-uniform strain contribution.

A screen-shoot of the FBG_SiMul plot/output window is shown in figure 7, where the top plot represents the wavelength shift ($\Delta \lambda_{WV}$), and the bottom plot represents the peak width variation ($\Delta \lambda$). This simulation shows an increase of the $\Delta \lambda$ as the crack passes the position of the grating, caused by change in the material compliance and load distribution; and, an increase of the $\Delta \lambda_{WV}$ when the crack is near the grating, caused by a non-uniform strain field generated at the crack tip.
6. Conclusions

FBG_SiMul provides the user with a tool to study and design structural health monitoring solutions based on FBG sensors. The software is divided in 3 main tools: a tool to extract the stress and strain along an optical fibre path from a FEM model; a tool to simulate the reflected spectrum for a specific time increment; and a tool to simulate the FBG time response.

The software uses a modified version of the T-Matrix method to simulate the FBG signal from a FEM model. Thus, it can simulate the FBG response independently of the type of structure, loading or application. Also, the software removes the need of a fibre optic expert to plan and design monitoring solutions. The user interacts with the software through a user-interface, meaning that no programming knowledge is required, making parameter manipulation more intuitive to the user. Also, the input data is pre-checked by
the software, meaning that the simulation is robust and does not crash or give calculation errors.
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Appendix A: Spectrum Simulation Theory and Algorithm

In a free state, without strain and at a constant temperature, the spectral response of a homogeneous FBG is a single peak centred at wavelength $\lambda_b$, which can be described by the Bragg condition.

$$\lambda_b = 2n_{eff}\Lambda_0$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The parameter $n_{eff}$ is the mean effective refractive index at the location of the grating, $\Lambda_0$ is the constant nominal period of the refractive index modulation, and the index 0 denotes unstrained conditions (initial state).

The change in the grating period due to a uniform strain field is described in equation (2),

$$\Lambda(x) = \Lambda_0[1 + (1 - p_e)\varepsilon_{FBG}(x)]$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where the parameter $p_e$ is the photo-elastic coefficient, and $\varepsilon_{FBG}(x)$ is the strain variation along the optical fibre direction [7]. The variation of the index of refraction $\delta n_{eff}$ of the optical fibre is described by equation (3),

$$\delta n_{eff}(x) = \delta n_{eff}\left\{1 + \nu \cos \left[\frac{2\pi}{\Lambda_0}x + \phi(x)\right]\right\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $\nu$ is the fringe visibility, $\phi(x)$ is the change in the grating period along the length, and $\delta n_{eff}$ is the mean induced change in the refractive index [7].

By the couple-mode theory, the first order differential equations describing the propagation mode through the grating $x$ direction are given by equations (5) and (5).

$$\frac{dR(x)}{dx} = i\tilde{\sigma} R(x) + i\kappa S(x)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

$$\frac{dS(x)}{dx} = i\tilde{\sigma} S(x) + i\kappa R(x)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

The parameter $R(x)$ and $S(x)$ are the amplitudes of the forward and backward propagation modes, respectively, $\tilde{\sigma}$ is the self-coupling coefficient as function of the propagation wavelength $\lambda$, and $\kappa$ is the coupling coefficient between the two propagation modes [7, 8, 9].

The self-coupling coefficient $\tilde{\sigma}$ for a uniform grating ($\phi(x) = 0$) in function of the propagation wavelength $\lambda$ is described in equation (6), where the
The parameter $\lambda_b$ is the FBG reflected wavelength in an unstrained state defined by the equation (1).

$$\hat{\sigma} = 2\pi n_{\text{eff}} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\lambda_b} \right) + \frac{2\pi \delta n_{\text{eff}}}{\lambda}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)$$

The coupling coefficient between the two propagation modes $\kappa$ is defined by equation (7), where the parameter $m$ is the striate visibility that is $\approx 1$ for the conventional single mode FBG [8, 9].

$$\kappa = \frac{\pi}{\lambda} m \delta n_{\text{eff}}$$ \hspace{1cm} (7)$$

### Spectrum reconstruction

The optical response matrix of the $i$th (each segment) uniform grating can be described by the coupled mode theory [4, 8]. By considering the FBG length ($L$) divided in $n$ short segments, then the $\Delta x = L/n$ is the length of each segment. Note that $n$ is constrained by the grating period [8], as described by equation (8).

$$n \leq \frac{2n_{\text{eff}}}{\lambda_b} L$$ \hspace{1cm} (8)$$

For the FBG length limits, $-L/2 \leq x \geq L/2$, and the boundary conditions, $R(-L/2) = 1$ and $S(L/2) = 0$, the solution of the coupling mode of equations (5) and (5) can be expressed as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} R(x_{i+1}) \\ S(x_{i+1}) \end{bmatrix} = F_{x_i,x_{i+1}} \begin{bmatrix} R(x_i) \\ S(x_i) \end{bmatrix}$$ \hspace{1cm} (9)$$

where $R(z_i)$ and $S(z_i)$ are the input light wave travelling in the positive and negative directions, respectively, and $R(z_{i+1})$ and $S(z_{i+1})$ are the output waves in the positive and negative directions, respectively. Thus, the TTM matrix $F_{x_i,x_{i+1}}$ for each segment ($\Delta x$) of the grating can be calculated using the equation (10) and (11).

$$F_{x_i,x_{i+1}} = \begin{bmatrix} S_{11} & S_{12} \\ S_{21} & S_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$ \hspace{1cm} (10)$$
\[
\begin{align*}
S_{11} &= \cosh(\gamma_B \Delta x) - i \frac{\hat{\sigma}}{\gamma_B} \sinh(\gamma_B \Delta x) \\
S_{12} &= -i \frac{\kappa}{\gamma_B} \sinh(\gamma_B \Delta x) \\
S_{21} &= i \frac{\kappa}{\gamma_B} \sinh(\gamma_B \Delta x) \\
S_{22} &= \cosh(\gamma_B \Delta x) + i \frac{\hat{\sigma}}{\gamma_B} \sinh(\gamma_B \Delta x) \\
\gamma_B &= \sqrt{\kappa^2 - \hat{\sigma}^2}
\end{align*}
\] (11)

Finally, the grating total response matrix \( F \) is obtained by multiplication of each segment response matrix, as described in equation (12).

\[
F = F_{x1}.F_{x2}...F_{xn}.
\] (12)

And, the reflectance of the grating can be described by the equation (13).

\[
R = \left| \frac{S(-L/2)}{R(-L/2)} \right|^2 = \left| \frac{S_{21}}{S_{11}} \right|^2
\] (13)
The structure of the spectrum simulation algorithm implemented in the FBG_SiMul is shown in figure 8.

Figure 8: FBG_SiMul spectrum simulation algorithm structure.
**Required Metadata**

**Current executable software version**

| Nr. | (executable) Software metadata description | Please fill in this column |
|-----|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| S1  | Current software version                  | V1.0                      |
| S2  | Permanent link to executables of this version | https://github.com/GilmarPereira/FBG_SiMul.git |
| S3  | Legal Software License                     | GNU GPL-3                 |
| S4  | Computing platform/ Operating System       | Windows;                  |
| S5  | Installation requirements & dependencies   | None for standalone file; Python 2.7.5 for Python format; |
| S6  | If available, link to user manual - if formally published include a reference to the publication in the reference list | https://github.com/GilmarPereira/FBG_SiMul/blob/master/Standalone_Version/Software_Documentation.pdf |
| S7  | Support email for questions                | gfpe@dtu.dk; gilmar_fp@outlook.com; |

Table 1: Software metadata (optional)