A Note on Gradually Varied Functions and Harmonic Functions
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Abstract Any constructive continuous function must have a gradually varied approximation in compact space. However, the refinement of domain for \(\sigma\)-net might be very small. Keeping the original discretization (square or triangulation), can we get some interesting properties related to gradual variation? In this note, we try to prove that many harmonic functions are gradually varied or near gradually varied; this means that the value of the center point differs from that of its neighbor at most by 2. It is obvious that most of the gradually varied functions are not harmonic. This note discusses some of the basic harmonic functions in relation to gradually varied functions.

1 Introduction

In this note, we will discuss some interesting facts about gradually varied functions (GVF) and harmonic functions. The compatibility between gradually varied functions and harmonic functions is important to the applications of gradually varied functions in real world engineering problems.

Any constructive continuous function must have a gradually varied approximation in compact space. However, the refinement of domain for \(\sigma\)-net might be very small. Keeping the original discretization (square or triangulation), we can obtain some interesting properties related to gradual variation. In this note, we try to prove that many harmonic functions are gradually varied or near gradually varied, meaning that the value of the center point differs from that of its neighbor by at most 2. It is obvious that most of the gradually varied functions are not harmonic. This note discusses some of the basic harmonic functions in relation to gradually varied functions.

Let \(A_1, A_2, ..., A_n\) be rational numbers and \(A_1 < A_2 < ... < A_n\). Let \(D\) be a graph. \(f : D \to \{A_1, ..., A_n\}\) is said to be gradually varied if for any adjacent pair \(p, q\) in \(D\) and \(f(p) = A_i\), then \(f(q) = A_{i-1}, A_i,\) or \(A_{i+1}\). We usually let \(A_1 = i\).

Extending the concept of gradual variation to the function in continuous space: \(f : D \to R\) is gradually varied if \(|p - q| \leq 1\) then \(|f_q - f_p| \leq 1\). Or

\[|f_q - f_p| \leq |p - q|.\] (1)

To some extent, gradual variation is the same as the locally Lipschitz condition. (However, \(A_i\) may be defined differently.)

On the other hand, a harmonic function satisfies:

\[\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} = 0\] (2)

A main property of the harmonic function is that for a point \(p\), \(f(p)\) equals the average value of all surrounding points of \(p\).
If $f$ is harmonic and $p, q$ are two points such that $f(p) < f(q)$ and $s$ is a path (curve) from $p$ to $q$, then we know

$$f_q - f_p = \int_{p,q} \nabla f \cdot ds$$

(3)

If $s$ is a projection of a geodesic curve on $f$, does the gradient $\nabla f$ maintain some of its properties? For example, is it a constant or does it have any property relating to gradual variation?

What we would like to prove is that if we define

$$f_{mg}(p, q) = \max\{|\nabla f|\}$$

on curve $s$ or entire $D$  

(4)

should we have

Observation A: $f_{mg}(p, q) < 2 \cdot |(f_q - f_p)/\text{length}(s)$ when $f$ is harmonic?

Therefore, our purpose is to show that many basic harmonic solutions are at least “near” GVF solutions.

2 Harmonic Functions with Gradual Variation

Given the value of a set of points in domain $D$, $f : J \rightarrow R$, $J \subset D$, for 4-adjacency in 2D (grid space), using an interpolating process, we can obtain a GVF solution.

We also can solve a linear equation using a fast algorithm for a sparse matrix of the harmonic equation based on

$$f_{i,j} = \frac{1}{4}(f_{i-1,j} + f_{i+1,j} + f_{i,j-1} + f_{i,j+1})$$

(5)

or give an initial value for $f$ and then do an iteration. This formula gives a fast solution and also gives a definition of discrete harmonic functions.

How we use the GVF algorithm to guarantee a near harmonic solution is a problem. We can use the divide-and-conquer method to have an $O(n \log n)$ algorithm and then iterate it a few times to get a harmonic solution.

Assume $b_1$ and $b_2$ are two points in boundary $J$. $f(b_1) < f(b_2)$ and $s(b_1, b_2)$ is a path from $b_1$ to $b_2$. So

$$\frac{(f(b_2) - f(b_1))}{\text{length}(s(b_1, b_2))}$$

(6)

is the average slope of the curve. We can define

$$\text{slope}(b_1, b_2) = \max\left\{\frac{(f(b_2) - f(b_1))}{\text{length}(s(b_1, b_2))} | s(b_1, b_2) \text{ is a path}\right\}$$

(7)

Therefore, there is a $s(b_1, b_2)$ whose length reaches the minimum. Such a path will be a geodesic curve.

With the consideration of the maximum “slope”, the reason for Observation A is

$$|\nabla f| \leq \left(\frac{\partial f^2}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial f^2}{\partial y}\right)^{1/2} \leq 2 \cdot \text{slope}(b_1, b_2) \leq 2.$$  

(8)

In general,

$$|\nabla f| \leq \left(\frac{\partial f^k}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial f^k}{\partial y}\right)^{1/k} \leq 2 \cdot \text{slope}(b_1, b_2) \leq 2.$$  

(9)

where $k > 0$. Since $\text{slope} \leq 1$ based on the condition of gradual variation, we want to show that the harmonic solution is nearly gradually varied. Note that the gradual variation condition is similar to the Lipschitz condition.

There are two reasons for using “2” in the above formula as the ratio: (1) It is not possible to use “1,” and (2) anything less than 2 is almost gradual variation.
Lemma 2.1  There are simple cases in discrete space that the harmonic solution reaches difference 1.5.

Proof Assume that we have five points in grid space in direct adjacency: \((i, j), (i - 1, j), (i + 1, j), (i, j - 1), (i, j + 1)\) and \(f_{i-1,j} = 1, f_{i+1,j} = f_{i,j-1} = f_{i,j+1} = 3\)

We want to know what \(f(i, j)\) equals. Using the GVF, we get \(f(i, j) = 2\) by Definition 1.1. See Fig. 3.1.

Using harmonic functions, we will have \(f(i, j) = 2.5\) by Definition 2.1. With the same principle, we can let \(f_{i-1,j} = 3\) and \(f_{i+1,j} = f_{i,j-1} = f_{i,j+1} = 1\). So \(f(i, j) = 1.5\) for the harmonic solution and \(f(i, j) = 2\) still for gradually varied.

When we use the harmonic solution to approximate gradual variation, we need to see if we can find the best value when choosing from two possible values. A simple algorithm may be needed to make this decision.

Observation B: There is a GVF that is almost harmonic: \(|\text{center} - \text{averageOfNeighbor}| < 1\) or \(|\text{center} - \text{averageOfNeighbor}| < c, c\) is a constant.

The above examples show that a perfect GVF is not possible for a harmonic solution. The gradient (maximum directional derivative) less than \(2 \cdot f'_m, f'_m\) denotes the maximum average change (slope) of any path between two points on the boundary possessing the mean of gradual variation.

Every linear function is harmonic. And for quadratic functions, we have

\[f(x, y) = ax^2 + by^2 + cxy\]

is harmonic if and only if \(a = -b\). However, the following example will not meet the case.

Example 1 Three vertices of a triangle are \(p_1 = (0, 0), p_2 = (9, 0), p_3 = (-8, 4)\). The linear function \(f(x, y) = x + 3y\).

This triangle satisfies the gradually varied conditions:

\[|f(p1) - f(p2)| = 9 \leq |p1 - p2| = 9\]

\[|f(p2) - f(p3)| = |9 - 4| \leq |p1 - p2|\]
\[ |f(p_1) - f(p_3)| = |0 - 4| \leq \sqrt{8^2 + 4^2} \]

If we consider a point \( p = (x, y) \) on the line \( < p_2, p_3 > \) when \( x = 0 \) and \( y = 36/17 = 2.176 \), then \( f(x, y) > 7 \). This point and \( p_1 \) do not maintain the condition of gradual variation. \( |f(p) - f(p_1)| > 7 > |p - p_1| \).

This example seems to break the observation we have made. However, let us revisit the function \( f(x, y) = x + 3y \) and let \( z = f(x, y) \). We have \( z - x - 3y = 0 \). We can have \( y = \frac{1}{3}z - \frac{1}{3}x \) represent the triangle and associated function. In general, for a linear function in 3D
\[ ax + by + cz + d = 0 \]

We can always find a coefficient that has the maximum absolute value. We will have the equivalent equation that has
\[ AX + BY + D = Z \] (10)
where \( |A|, |B| \leq 1 \). This property is often used in computer graphics.

Lemma 2.2 The Piece-wise linear function preserves the property of gradual variation.

Proof: We first want to discuss the case of a single triangle where any piecewise linear function is a harmonic function. In this case we can write the function like this
\[ f(x, y) = ax + by + c, \quad |a|, |b| \leq 1 \]
\[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = f_x = a, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = f_y = b. \] The gradient is a constant \( \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \). There is a horizontal and vertical line that goes through boundary points. The maximum average rate of change \( r \) (average slope on the path between two points on the boundary) is greater than or equal to \( \max a, b \).

Since \( |a|, |b| \leq 1 \); \( r \leq \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \leq \sqrt{2} \max a, b \leq \sqrt{2} \). So \( r < 2 \).

If this piecewise linear function is on a polygon (2D), it will still have this property.

The problem is that in this proof, we have not used the conditions of gradual variation directly. The conditions are
\[ |f(p_2) - f(p_3)| = |a(x_2 - x_3) + b(y_2 - y_3)| \leq |p_2 - p_3| = \sqrt{(x_2 - x_3)^2 + (y_2 - y_3)^2} \]
\[ |f(p_1) - f(p_3)| = |a(x_1 - x_3) + b(y_1 - y_3)| \leq |p_1 - p_3| = \sqrt{(x_1 - x_3)^2 + (y_1 - y_3)^2} \]
\[ |f(p_2) - f(p_3)| = |a(x_2 - x_3) + b(y_2 - y_3)| \leq |p_3 - p_2| = \sqrt{(x_2 - x_3)^2 + (y_2 - y_3)^2} \]

We have used the GVF general property and the triangle constraint. The next section will discuss a more general case.

3 Gradually Varied Semi-Preserving

In this section, we extend the content of above sections using more rigorous mathematical definitions. Harmonic functions can be characterized by the mean value theorem. Here we are interested in harmonic functions that are gradually varied. More specifically, a function is said to be gradually varied semi-preserving if
\[
\max_D |\nabla u| \leq c \cdot \max_{p,q \in \partial D} \frac{|u(p) - u(q)|}{|p - q|} \tag{11}
\]

where \(\nabla u\) is the gradient of \(u\), \(D\) is a domain with the boundary \(\partial D\), and \(c\) is a constant.

The above formula poses a property of computational importance. We can show that linear functions and quadratic hyperbolic functions satisfy the condition of gradually varied semi-preserving.

If \(u\) is linear we can assume that \(u = ax + by + c\) and if \(u\) is quadratic hyperbolic we can let \(u = a(x^2 - y^2)\). We do not restrict the value of \(a, b, c\) here.

**Lemma 3.1 Proposition 2** If \(u\) is linear or quadratic hyperbolic, then

\[
\max_B |\nabla u| \leq \sqrt{2} \cdot \max_{p,q \in \partial B} \frac{|u(p) - u(q)|}{|p - q|} \tag{12}
\]

where \(B\) is any ball.

**Proof** Let \(u\) be a linear function \(u = ax + by + c\) then

\[
|\nabla u| = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \leq \sqrt{2} \max\{|a|, |b|\} \tag{13}
\]

On the other hand, if we choose \(p = (-r, 0), q = (r, 0)\) on \(\partial B\), where \(r\) is the radius of the ball \(B\). Then

\[
\frac{|u(p) - u(q)|}{|p - q|} = \frac{|-ar - ar|}{2r} = |a| \tag{14}
\]

Choosing another pair of \(p\) and \(q\) on \(\partial B\),

\[
p = (0, r), q = (0, -r)
\]

we have

\[
\frac{|u(p) - u(q)|}{|p - q|} = |b| \tag{15}
\]

Combining (13), (14) and (15) we conclude (12) when \(u\) is linear.

Now, consider \(u\) as a quadratic hyperbolic function: \(u = a(x^2 - y^2)\). Then,

\[
|\nabla u| = 2|a|\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \leq 2|a|r \tag{16}
\]

On the other hand, if we choose \(p\) and \(q\) on \(\partial B\),

\[
p = (0, r), q = (r, 0)
\]

Then

\[
\frac{|u(p) - u(q)|}{|p - q|} = \frac{|-ar^2 - ar^2|}{\sqrt{2}r^2} = 2|a|r \tag{17}
\]

Combining (16) and (17) we have

\[
|\nabla u| \leq \sqrt{2} \frac{|u(p) - u(q)|}{|p - q|} \leq \sqrt{2} \max_{x,y \in \partial B} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|}
\]

and (12) follows.
4 Discussion

Recent studies show an increased interest in connecting discrete mathematics with continuous mathematics, especially in geometric problems. For instance, the variational principle has been used for triangulated surfaces in discrete differential geometry, see [8]. This note presented an idea of combining a type of discrete function: the gradually varied function and a type of continuous function: the harmonic function in a relatively deep way in terms of continuous mathematics. The harmonic function is a weak solution to the Dirichlet problem which is about how to find a surface when the boundary curve is given. The gradually varied function was proposed to solve a filling problem in computer vision. We are hesitant to use the method of the Dirichlet problem for the discrete filling problem since we do not know the exact formula (function) on the boundary, even though we know the sample points. This problem is also related to the Whitney’s problem [5][6]. Some ideas have been presented by the first author in the Workshop on Whitney’s problem in 2009 organized by C. Fefferman and N. Zobin at College of William and Mary (http://nxzobi.people.wm.edu/whitney/whitney.htm). During the Workshop, P. Shvartsman presented an idea of using geodesic curves in Sobolev space (See related paper [9]). Our idea about using the geodesic curve presented in this note was independently obtained.
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