Clinical Omics Analysis of Colorectal Cancer Incorporating Copy Number Aberrations and Gene Expression Data
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Abstract

Background: Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most frequently occurring cancers in Japan, and thus a wide range of methods have been deployed to study the molecular mechanisms of CRC. In this study, we performed a comprehensive analysis of CRC, incorporating copy number aberration (CNA) and gene expression data. For the last four years, we have been collecting data from CRC cases and organizing the information as an “omics” study by integrating many kinds of analysis into a single comprehensive investigation.

In our previous studies, we had experienced difficulty in finding genes related to CRC, as we observed higher noise levels in the expression data than in the data for other cancers. Because chromosomal aberrations are often observed in CRC, here, we have performed a combination of CNA analysis and expression analysis in order to identify some new genes responsible for CRC.

This study was performed as part of the Clinical Omics Database Project at Tokyo Medical and Dental University. The purpose of this study was to investigate the mechanism of genetic instability in CRC by this combination of expression analysis and CNA, and to establish a new method for the diagnosis and treatment of CRC.

Materials and methods: Comprehensive gene expression analysis was performed on 79 CRC cases using an Affymetrix Gene Chip, and comprehensive CNA analysis was performed using an Affymetrix DNA Sty array. To avoid the contamination of cancer tissue with normal cells, laser micro-dissection was performed before DNA/RNA extraction. Data analysis was performed using original software written in the R language.

Result: We observed a high percentage of CNA in colorectal cancer, including copy number gains at 7, 8q, 13 and 20q, and copy number losses at 8p, 17p and 18. Gene expression analysis provided many candidates for CRC-related genes, but their association with CRC did not reach the level of statistical significance. The combination of CNA and gene expression analysis, together with the clinical information, suggested UGT2B28, LOC440995, CXCL6, SULT1B1, RALBP1, TYMS, RAB12, RNMT, ARHGDIB, S1000A2, ABHD2, OIT3 and ABHD12 as genes that are possibly associated with CRC. Some of these genes have already been reported as being related to CRC. TYMS has been reported as being associated with resistance to the anti-cancer drug 5-fluorouracil, and we observed a copy number increase for this gene. RALBP1, ARHGDIB and S100A2 have been reported as oncogenes, and we observed copy number increases in each. ARHGDIB has been reported as a metastasis-related gene, and our data also showed copy number increases of this gene in cases with metastasis.

Conclusion: The combination of CNA analysis and gene expression analysis was a more effective method for finding genes associated with the clinicopathological classification of CRC than either analysis alone. Using this combination of methods, we were able to detect genes that have already been associated with CRC. We also identified additional candidate genes that may be new markers or targets for this form of cancer.
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Background
Colorectal Cancer (CRC) has now become the third leading cause of death in Japan, and is one of the cancers with highest incidence in women. In 2006, 22,547 men and 18,834 women died from CRC in Japan. Surgical operation is the most common treatment, but chemotherapy is also performed in Western countries. New drug targets have been developed recently, including oncogenes, anti-oncogenes, signal-transduction factors and apoptosis factors, and some of the drugs related to these factors are under clinical trial. Meanwhile, drug resistance is increasingly becoming an issue for chemotherapy.

Since 2005, we have been collecting comprehensive clinical information and omics information to establish our Integrated Clinical Omics Database (iCOD). We have collected about 200 hepatocellular carcinoma cases, 200 CRC cases and 150 oral cancer cases. The database can be accessed at http://omics.tmd.ac.jp/. We are collecting comprehensive clinical information from patient records held at the hospital, and by interviews with patients. The data is anonymized and standardized for statistical analysis. The surgical specimens are stored, and genomic (single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and CNA), epigenomic, transcriptomic (mRNA and micro-RNA) and proteomic analysis are performed for each case. Our goal is to integrate comprehensive information to determine the relation between omics and clinical pathology by a systematic biomedical approach.

A lot of work has been done on molecular biological researches in CRC, and it is known that a specific sequence of several genes change sequentially during the development of CRC i.e. APC, beta-catenin, K-ras, p53, TDF-beta receptor, Smad2 and Smad4. This is called the adenoma-carcinoma sequence. A change in APC is observed in the early stage of this sequence, and thus APC is often called a gatekeeper gene for CRC.

CRC is considered to develop through two pathways: 85% of cases arise due to chromosomal instability (CIN), and 15% arise due to microsatellite instability or replication errors. Copy number aberrations are often observed in CRC, including gains at 7, 8q, 13 and 20q, and losses at 8p, 17p and 18. Some of these observations are related to the grade or metastasis level of CRC. Comprehensive analysis of these changes has previously been performed by comparative genomic hybridization or array comparative genomic hybridization, but a new method using SNP array has recently become possible.

Samples
We obtained fresh-frozen tissue samples from 70 CRC patients (43 males and 27 females) who had undergone surgical resection at Tokyo Medical and Dental University Hospital Faculty of Medicine (Tokyo, Japan) between November 2005 and August 2007. This research project was reviewed and approved by institutional review board guidelines. Informed consent was obtained from all patients via the standard protocols of the institution. The tumors were located in the colon (46 samples) and rectum (24 samples). Normal tissue was obtained from each patient, taken from at the adjacent region of the colon or rectum, and used as the control sample. All resected specimens were collected in cryotubes, frozen and stored at −80 °C until the DNA and RNA analyses were performed.

DNA Isolation
Tumors were microdissected by removing the surrounding non-neoplastic tissue. Tumor DNA was extracted and purified using a QIAamp DNA Micro Kit (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Contaminated RNAs were eliminated using RNase A during the purification process. Non-neoplastic tissues were homogenized in microtubes. Non-neoplastic tissue DNA was extracted and purified using a QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (QIAGEN) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Contaminated RNAs were eliminated using RNase A during the purification process. According to the Mapping 500 K Assay Manual supplied by Affymetrix (Santa Clara, CA), the minimum amount of genomic DNA required was 250 ng. Therefore, only purified DNA samples containing more than 250 ng of genomic DNA, as determined by a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE), were used in the subsequent experiments. For each sample, quality controls, which included confirming DNA degradation and contamination of RNAs, were performed by running 1% agarose gel electrophoresis with lambda DNA/Hind fragments.
SNP Array Analysis
The experiment was performed by strictly following the assay manual and using a GeneChip® Human Mapping 250 K Sty array (Affymetrix). The concentration of the starting materials was standardized by diluting genomic DNA samples to 50 ng/µl with a reduced EDTA-TE buffer. Since the Mapping 500 K Array set consists of two types of arrays, there are two alternatives of enzymes, which are Nsp I and Sty I. In this study, we used Sty I for digesting genomic DNA and used adaptor for Sty I in the PCR reaction following the manufacturers manual, which includes reaction at 94 °C 3 min, (94 degree 30 sec : 60 degree 45 sec : 68 degree 15 sec) × 30 cycles, 68 degree 7 min, and 4 degree hold. Amplicons were fragmented after the purification, followed by labeling reaction. After 16 hours of hybridization at 49 °C, the microarrays were transferred to a Fluidic Station 450 (Affymetrix), which is a totally automated system, for the washing and staining steps. After fluorescence staining, the microarray images were scanned by an Affymetrix laser scanner.

Data Analyses of SNP Arrays
The microarray data from the laser scanner was used for copy number analysis with a Chromosome Copy Number Analysis Tool (Affymetrix). The copy number for each SNP probe set taken from a tumor sample was calculated by comparing the probe intensity to the reference probe intensity from non-neoplastic tissue, and creating a list of the genome-wide copy number data. Genome-wide CNA analysis was carried out for the gene information combined with the copy numbers in order to identify the overall tendency of the chromosomal CNAs over the whole human genome in CRCs. Software written in the R language (http://www.r-project.org/) was used to perform this visualization of CNAs across the chromosomes and for the rest of the data analyses.

Total RNA Isolation
Tumors were microdissected by removing the surrounding non-neoplastic tissue. The total RNA was extracted and purified using an RNeasy micro kit (QIAGEN) with on-column DNase digestion, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The integrity of the total RNA we obtained was assessed using a Bioanalyzer RNA 6000 Nano Assay (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA). Samples with an RNA Integrity Number (RIN) greater than 5.0 were used for the rest of the experiments.

Microarray Analysis
Using 100 ng of total RNA, cRNA was prepared using two-cycle target labeling and control reagents, namely Affymetrix P/N 900494 (Affymetrix). The experiment was performed using a GeneChip® Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array (Affymetrix) in strict adherence to the assay manual. The 70 output data files (CEL files) obtained by this process were then normalized with the robust multi-array average method using R 2.4.1 statistical software (http://www.r-project.org/) together with the “Affy” package from BioConductor (http://www.bioconductor.org/). Estimated gene expression levels were calculated as log2-transformed values, and 62 control probe sets were removed for further analysis. In order to identify differently expressed genes associated with clinico-pathological characteristics, we performed exact Wilcoxon rank-sum tests available from the in “Coin” package. Fold-change values (FC) were also calculated using the ratio of geometric means of gene expression levels in each patient group.

Gene Sets
Our CNA data was also statistically analyzed to investigate the association between the CNA and the prognosis of CRC. Fisher’s exact test was applied to multiple conditions, such as T/N/M classification and recurrence. All genes with P-values < 0.01 were classified into Gene Set I. Statistically significant differences in gene expression were assessed using the Wilcoxon exact rank-sum test from the exactRankTests package. The Wilcoxon exact rank-sum test was also applied to multiple conditions, such as T/N/M classification and recurrence. Genes with P-values < 0.05 and FC > 1.5 were classified into Gene Set II. Genes included in both Gene Set I and Gene Set II were defined as Gene Set III.

Results
Analysis of copy number variations
Based on the copy number variation analysis of 70 cases, we found copy number increases in chromosome 7,
8q, 13 and 20q, and copy number decreases in 8p, 17p and 18 (Fig. 1). In particular, copy number increases in chromosome 20q and decreases in chromosome 18q were identified in over 80% of cases. Gene amplifications were also involved in these chromosomes: for example, the CRC-associated gene snail homolog 1 (SNAI1) exists in chromosome 20q and is deleted in colorectal carcinoma (DCC) in chromosome 18q.

Selection of Gene Set I (from the relationship between copy number variation and clinico-pathological factors)

We performed Fisher’s exact tests in order to examine the significance of any associations between the copy numbers of all genes (26,376 genes) and clinico-pathological factors, and selected the statistically significant genes ($P < 0.01$) (Gene Set I). These genes were used in subsequent analyses for selecting Gene Set III.

In one association analysis between T classification (T1 and T2 vs. T3 and T4) and copy number variations, three genes showed significant copy number increases and 28 genes showed significant copy number decreases. These genes were categorized as Gene Set I-T$^A$.

In another association analysis between T classification (T1, T2 and T3 vs. T4) and copy number variations, 407 genes showed significant copy number increases and 209 genes showed significant copy number decreases. These genes were categorized as Gene Set I-T$^B$.

In association analysis between N classification (N0 vs. N1 and N2) and copy number variations, 145 genes showed significant copy number increases and 88 genes showed significant copy number decreases. These genes were categorized as Gene Set I-N.

In association analysis between M classification (M0 vs. M1) and copy number variations, 170 genes showed significant copy number increases and 124 genes showed significant copy number decreases. These genes were categorized as Gene Set I-M.

In association analysis between recurrence (Re0: no recurrence vs. Re1: recurrence) and copy number variations, 391 genes showed significant copy number increases and 311 genes showed significant copy number decreases. These genes were categorized as Gene Set I-Re.

---

Figure 1. (Continued)
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Figure 1. (Continued)
Selection of Gene Set II (from the relationship between gene expressions and clinico-pathological factors)

All 70 cases were classified into two groups by clinicopathological criteria, and Wilcoxon exact rank tests using the expression data of each gene were performed. We selected some statistically significant genes ($P$-value < 0.05 and FC > 1.5, or $P$-value < 0.05 and FC < 0.67) and analyzed these genes as Gene Set II.

As to T classification (T1 and T2 vs. T3 and T4), 29 genes were significantly up-regulated and 89 genes were significantly down-regulated. We categorized these genes as Gene Set II-T_A and used them in subsequent analyses.

As to T classification (T1, T2 and T3 vs. T4), 30 genes were significantly up-regulated and 52 genes were significantly down-regulated. We categorized these genes as Gene Set II-T_B.

As to N classification (N0 vs. N1 and N2), 39 genes were significantly up-regulated and 26 genes were significantly down-regulated. We categorized these genes as Gene Set II-N.

As to M classification (M0 vs. M1), 100 genes were significantly up-regulated and 46 genes were significantly down-regulated. We categorized these genes as Gene Set II-M.

As to recurrence classification (Re0 vs. Re1), 93 genes were significantly up-regulated and 54 genes were significantly down-regulated. We categorized these genes as Gene Set II-Re.

Selection of Gene Set III (from the relationship between copy number variations and gene expressions)

The genes that appeared in both Gene Set I and Gene Set II were classified as Gene Set III. Genes in this third set had parallel expression and copy number change (e.g. copy number increase paralleled up-regulated expression). The subcategorirs in Gene Set III followed the same clinico-pathological labeling system as Gene Sets I and II. Figure 2 compares the expression differences for expression differences for each gene in Gene Set III and Table 2 lists the total number of genes in each set. Table 3 lists the genes...
Table 1. Clinico-pathological data for 70 primary CRC cases.

| Factor      | Patients (n = 70) | Percentage (%) |
|-------------|------------------|----------------|
| Age (years) | 65.7 ± 10.9      | (range: 33–87) |
| Gender      |                  |                |
| Male        | 43               | 61.4           |
| Female      | 27               | 38.6           |
| Position    |                  |                |
| Colon       | 46               | 65.7           |
| Rectum      | 24               | 34.3           |
| Stage       |                  |                |
| 1           | 9                | 12.9           |
| 2           | 25               | 35.7           |
| 3           | 23               | 32.9           |
| 4           | 13               | 18.6           |
| T           |                  |                |
| 1           | 1                | 1.4            |
| 2           | 12               | 17.1           |
| 3           | 28               | 40.0           |
| 4           | 29               | 41.4           |
| N           |                  |                |
| 0           | 39               | 55.7           |
| 1           | 23               | 32.9           |
| 2           | 8                | 11.4           |
| M           |                  |                |
| 0           | 57               | 81.4           |
| 1           | 13               | 18.6           |
| Recurrence  |                  |                |
| Re−         | 50               | 71.4           |
| Re+         | 20               | 28.6           |

appearing in Gene Set III by clinico-pathological classification.

In Gene Set III-T A, no genes showed both a copy number increase and up-regulated expression (FC > 1.5 and P < 0.05; group 1); however, UDP glucuronosyltransferase 2 family polypeptide B28 (UGT2B28) showed both a copy number decrease and down-regulated expression (FC > 0.67 and P < 0.05; group 2).

In Gene Set III-T B, group 1 contained a hypothetical gene supported by BC034933; BC068085 (LOC440995) showed both a copy number decrease and down-regulated expression (FC > 0.67 and P < 0.05; group 2).

In Gene Set III-N, group 1 contained ralA binding protein 1 (RALBP1); thymidylate synthetase (TYMS); RAB12, a member of the RAS oncogene family (RAB12); and RNA (guanine-7-) methyltransferase (RNMT); group 2 contained no genes.

In Gene Set III-M, group 1 contained no genes, and group 2 contained Rho GDP dissociation inhibitor (GDI) beta (ARHGDIB).

In Gene Set III-Re, group 1 contained S100 calcium binding protein A2 (S100A2) and abhydrolase domain containing 2 (ABHD2), and group 2 contained oncoprotein induced transcript 3 (OIT3) and abhydrolase domain containing 12 (ABHD12).

Thus a total of 14 genes were assigned to Gene Set III, which was a much smaller number of genes than assigned to Gene Set I or Gene Set II.

When we remove fold change from the analysis, the number of selected genes increases dramatically. As many of the genes showed no correlation between copy number and gene expression, we defined Gene Set III in terms of both FC and P-value.

Discussion

The results of the CNA analysis (Fig. 1) clearly show that copy number gains were often observed in chromosomes 7, 8q, 13 and 20q. Copy number losses were also frequently observed in chromosomes 8p, 17p, and 18. These results match the observations in other institutes and even in other countries, so we believe these characteristics can be considered to apply to CRC worldwide, despite differences in genetic backgrounds or lifestyles.

We observed a copy number gain in SNAI1, a CRC-related gene, in more than 85% of cases, as a CRC-related gene. SNAI1 is located at 20q13.1-q13.2, and is said to play a role in the regulation of the cell adhesion protein E-cadherin (CDH1). SNAI1 is also plays a role in phosphorylation through Axin and GSK-3beta for degradation of beta-catenin in proteasomes in the Wnt-signaling pathway. DCC is located at 18q21.3, and it is one of the membrane proteins with netrin-1 (NTN1) as a ligand. DCC of SNAI1 makes an apoptotic signal to the cell when no ligand is bound, and NTN1 inhibits its signal, thus DCC and NTN1 are thought to be one of the cancer suppressor genes. Repression of DCC is observed not only in CRC, but also in other tumors. The copy number changes observed in the present study also support these previous findings.

Many genes were extracted as those related to the clinico-pathological classifications using Fisher’s
test in Gene Set I ($P < 0.001$). However, most of them were unknown open reading frames or pseudogenes. Even it was difficult to establish an association between the annotated genes and CRC. A similar analysis was performed for Gene Set II using the Wilcoxon exact rank test for clinico-pathological classification, and some genes were extracted ($P < 0.05$) and FC $> 1.5$. However, this was insufficient to infer a relationship with CRC. For this reason, we performed a combined analysis of Gene Sets I and II.

We were able to extract several genes by this combined analysis, and defined these as Gene Set III. We investigated these genes further by their function and by a search of the literature.

**Gene Set III-T**

UGT2B28 was extracted as Gene Set III-T$_A$ (T1 and T2 vs. T3 and T4), which showed copy number loss and a decrease in expression level (FC $< 0.67$, $P < 0.05$). UGT2B28 is located at 4q13.2, and is a membrane protein in cytosolic microsomes as one of the subtypes of uridine diphospho-glucuronosyl-transferases (UGTs). UGTs are enzymes for glucuronization of foreign molecules for detoxification. UGT2B plays a role in the metabolism of bilic acid, all-trans retinoic acid (ATRA), non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), flavonoids and steroids.$^{24,25}$ Substrates of UGT2B generally have an inhibitory effect for CRC, but down-regulation of UGT2B28 may lead to an abnormal environment in the cell.
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Figure 2. Expression comparison of each genes in Gene Set III. Expression differences are shown for each gene, compared by each group. Gene Set III-TA (T1 and T2 vs. T3 and T4): A) UGT2B28, B) LOC440995, C) CXCL6, D) SULT1B1; Gene Set III-TB (T1, T2 and T3 vs. T4): E) CXCL3, F) RALBP1, G) TYMS, H) RAB12; Gene Set III-N (N0 vs. N1 and N2): I) RNMT; Gene Set III-M (M0 vs. M1): J) ARHGDIIB, K) S100A2, L) ABHD2, M) OIT1; Gene Set III-Re (recurrence vs. non-recurrence): N) ABHD12.

Notes: *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.

Gene Set III-TB
LOC440995 was extracted as Gene Set III-TB (T1, T2 and T3 vs. T4), which showed copy number gain and a high expression level (FC > 1.5 and P < 0.05). CXCL6, SULT1B1 and CXCL3 genes were also extracted in Gene Set III as copy number loss and expression level down (FC < 0.67 and P < 0.05). The LOC440995 gene is located at 3q29; however, neither its function nor annotation was clear.

The CXCL6 gene is located at 4q21, its product is one of the ligands for the chemokine receptor interleukin 8 receptor (IL8R) and it exhibits neutrophil migration activity. CXCL6 also has angiogenesis activity and can stimulate cancer cell progression.26,27 Zhu et al reported overexpression of CXCL6 with its receptor, IL8R, in hypoxic small cell lung cancer, which is an autocrine regulation for cell progression.28 Rubie et al observed an overexpression of CXCL1 and CXCL5 in CRC, but the level of CXCL6 was not changed.29 Our observations showed that copy number loss and low expression levels were correlated with the invasiveness of CRC. To understand this relationship better, we must further clarify the function of CXCL6 in CRC.

The SULT1B1 gene is located at 4q13.3, and is one of the sulfotransferase family members (SULTs). SULT2 mainly contributes to the metabolism of steroids.30 Sulfate conjugation is usually a kind of detoxification, and sometimes activates some chemical compound. For example, the carcinogens, 2-amino-1-methyl-6-phenylimidazo(4,5-b) pyridine and
Table 2. Numbers of genes in each gene set associated with key clinicopathological factors.

| Clinicopathological factor | Gene Set I (CNA) | Gene Set II (EC) | Gene Set III CNA + EC |
|----------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| T1 and T2 vs. T3 and T4    | 31               | 118              | 1                     |
| T1, T2 and T3 vs. T4       | 616              | 82               | 4                     |
| N0 vs. N1 and N2           | 233              | 65               | 4                     |
| M0 vs. M1                  | 294              | 146              | 1                     |
| Re- vs. Re+                | 702              | 147              | 4                     |
| Total                      | 1,876            | 558              | 14                    |

Table 3. List of genes in Gene Set III using each clinicopathological classification grouping.

| NCBI          | Gene symbol | Gene title                                      | Location      |
|---------------|-------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Invasion (T1 and T2 vs. T3 and T4 : Gene Set III-T1) | | | |
| 54490 | UGT2B28 | UDP glucuronosyltransferase 2 family, polypeptide B28 | 4q13.2 |
| 440995 | LOC440995 | hypothetical gene supported by BC034933; BC068085 | 3q29 |
| 6372 | CXCL6 | chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 6 (granulocyte chemotactic protein 2) | 4q21 |
| 27284 | SULT1B1 | sulfotransferase family, cytosolic, 1B, member 1 | 4q13.3 |
| 2921 | CXCL3 | chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 3 | 4q21 |
| Lymph node metastasis (N0 vs. N1 and N2 : Gene Set III-N) | | | |
| 10928 | RALBP1 | ralA binding protein 1 | 18p11.3 |
| 7298 | TYMS | thymidylate synthetase | 18p11.32 |
| 201475 | RAB12 | RAB12, member RAS oncogene family | 18p11.22 |
| 8731 | RNMT | RNA (guanine-7-) methyltransferase | 18p11.22–p11.23 |
| Distant metastasis (M0 vs. M1 : Gene Set III-M) | | | |
| 397 | ARHGDIIB | Rho GDP dissociation inhibitor (GDI) beta | 12p12.3 |
| Recurrence (Gene Set III-Re) | | | |
| 6273 | S100A2 | S100 calcium binding protein A2 | 1q21 |
| 11057 | ABHD2 | abhydrolase domain containing 2 | 15q26.1 |
| 170392 | OIT3 | oncoprotein induced transcript 3 | 10q22.1 |
| 26090 | ABHD12 | abhydrolase domain containing 12 | 20p11.21 |
cancer cells in mice suppressed cell growth, and these effects were much more pronounced when combined with other anti-cancer drugs.\textsuperscript{34}

TYMS is located at 18p11.32, and is a target for the anti-cancer drug 5-fluorouracil (5-FU). This drug inhibits the reaction between deoxyuridine monophosphate (dUMP) and deoxythymidine monophosphate (dTMP), resulting in the inhibition of DNA synthesis. However, the expression of TYMS is up-regulated in most cancers, which, in turn, makes tumor cells resistant to 5-FU treatment. Sharma et al reported that 5-FU resistance was stronger in patients with overexpression of either TYMS mRNA or protein.\textsuperscript{35} Although the relation between TYMS with lymph node metastasis is not clear, we are now analyzing its relationship with cancer resistance, as we observed the copy number gain and overexpression of TYMS more frequently in advanced CRC cases.

RAB12 is located at 18p11.22, and is known as one of the Rab GTP-binding proteins, which is a GTPase with low molecular weight. The Rab GTP-binding protein is associated with the membrane transporter, and takes part in the excretion of hormones or neuron messengers. Mosesson et al found that the integrin molecule is transported in the direction of cancer invasion by endocytosis of this molecule.\textsuperscript{36} However, there has been no report of a direct relation between RAB12 and cancer. Iida reported that RAB12 is a vesicle-associated small GTPase that may be activate the transportation of the endoplasmic reticulum from cytosol to centrosome on the cytoskeleton.\textsuperscript{37}

RNMT is located at 18p11.22-p11.23, and is known to play a role in the maturation of mRNA.\textsuperscript{38} but there has been no report about its relation to cancer.

**Gene Set III-M**

ARHGIDIB was extracted because of its copy number loss and low expression level (\(FC < 0.67\) and \(P < 0.05\)) in Gene Set III-M (M0 vs. M1). ARHGIDIB is located at 12p12.3, and is known as a target gene of transcription factor v-ets erythroblastosis virus E26 oncogene homolog 1 (ETS1). Expression of ARHGIDIB was found in hematopoietic cells and epithelial cancer. ETS1 is regulated by protein kinase C, alpha (PKCA) and is related to cancer invasion and progression. ARHGIDIB, working with vav 1 guanine nucleotide exchange factor (VAV1), activates the nuclear factor of activated T-cells 1 (NFAT1) and results in transcription regulation of the tumor-related gene cyclooxygenase (COX2). COX2 is related to cancer progression, and Schunke reported that the activation of COX2 in breast cancer lowers the survival rate, and promotes metastasis to lung or bone.\textsuperscript{39} On the other hand, ARHGIDIB also has an ability to inhibit Rho GTPase, which inhibits cancer invasion. This relates to epithelial mesenchymal transformation. Rho GTPase inhibitors (Rho-GDI) such as ARHGIDIB bind to GDP-bound Rho GTPase, and inhibits its function by stabilizing this bound form. These findings suggest that changes in the expression level of ARHGIDIB result in a positive effect for remote metastasis of cancer.\textsuperscript{39} Ota et al suggested that there was a relation between a low inhibitory effect of ARHGIDIB and remote metastasis,\textsuperscript{40} which supports our observations.

**Gene Set III-Re**

S100A2 and ABHD2 had copy number gains and high expression levels (\(FC > 1.5\) and \(P < 0.05\)) in Gene Set III-Re (No recurrence vs. recurrence). Within this same set, OIT3 and ABHD12 had copy number losses and low expression levels (\(FC < 0.67\) and \(P < 0.05\)).

S100A2 is one of the calcium-binding proteins and is located as a cluster around 1q21.3. The S100 family bind to p53 and regulate the oligomerization of p53.\textsuperscript{41} Copy number gain and high expression of the S100 family is reported in relation with progression and recurrence of stomach cancer,\textsuperscript{42} lung cancer, pancreatic cancer and brain tumor.\textsuperscript{42,43} This suggests that S100A2 is likely to be linked with CRC.

ABHD2 is located at 15q26.1 and is a member of the abhydrolase (ABHD) superfamily. Li et al reported an association between ABHD6 and cancer,\textsuperscript{44} but the detailed function of this gene remains uncertain. ABHD12 is located at 20p11.21 and also belongs to the abhydrolase superfamily.

OIT3 is located at 10q22.1 and is known to be related to hepatocyte formation and liver function,\textsuperscript{45} but the details of this relationship are also not certain.

From these observations, we could extract strong candidate genes by comparing the relation between Gene Set I and Gene Set II. The number of genes in Gene Set III (14 genes) was much smaller than the number in Gene Set I (1876 genes) or Gene Set II (558 genes). When we used a cut-off value of only \(P < 0.05\) for the expression analysis, many genes were
Clinical omics analysis of colorectal cancer

extracted, but most of them seemed to be unrelated to either CNA or expression change. For this reason, we used FC as well as \( P \)-value for the analysis.

As described previously, not all genes in Gene Set I had a change in expression level. This indicates that the copy number itself is not parallel with the expression level. Transcription regulation is also related to the expression level, so changes in the upstream genes, promoter sequences and feedback regulators will all result in changes in the expression level. We also have to keep in mind that our observations were made using microarray analysis, so they might also be affected by alternative splicing, due to the design of the probes. Detailed research must be performed with other methods, such as Reverse Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-PCR) or immunostaining. CNA also has to be confirmed with qPCR or FISH analysis. In addition, it is necessary to confirm the relation of the genes in Table 3 using independent CRC cases as cancer markers, and to identify the function of each gene using molecular biological experiments before these genes can be used as anti-cancer drug targets.

Pollack et al found that 62% of highly amplified genes in breast cancer exhibit at least twofold increased expression.46 In our research, we could not observe this kind of correlation, and amplification and increased expression appeared to be rather independent. However, this was rather good for us, as it became a strong selection criterion. Stranger et al found some correlation between SNPs and CNA with the expression levels of the gene in Hapmap samples; however, the overlap of the expression change between SNPs and CNV was small.47 This data suggests that part of the expression change is due to CNV but most of them are related to SNPs. That means the relationship between CNA and expression is rather weak.

We have analyzed not only CRC but also liver cancer and oral cancer, and observing quite different tendencies in each cancer. Among them, CRC was the most difficult cancer to analyze by single omics data using this method. We assume that breast cancer in the previous study47 show a different relationship between copy number and expression than that of CRC.

Here, we want to emphasize that we are suggesting use of the multi-omics analysis for selecting cancer-related genes and decreasing the false positive signals that tend to come out of comprehensive analysis. CNA and gene expression have some relationship, but are not linked directly. This means we can observe the results from a different perspective. As suggested above, it is important to validate the CNA and/or expression change, but we usually obtain similar results by other methods. Also as this multi-dimensional analysis is a kind of validation and can reduce the false positive candidates, we think that the results can be used as a primary indicator tool without validation of each gene.

We observed differences between groups \( T_A \) and \( T_B \), especially in Gene Set III, where we classified the samples according to the invasiveness of the cancer (T1 and T2 vs. T3 and T4, and T1, T2 and T3 vs. T4). This means that the progression of the CRC resulted in changes in the genes and their expression levels.

Conclusion
We analyzed the genes related to CRC that showed CNA by comparing CNA with clinico-pathological classification. We also analyzed the genes that showed expression level changes related with CRC by a similar method. The combination of these two methods was an efficient method of selecting possible candidate genes. Each method may contain high background noise in an omics study, but when used together, especially when they are not directly related, we had a very effective result.

This method can enhance the efficiency of cancer omics analysis, and could find a new marker or target for CRC.
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