SEXUAL TRAITS ARE SENSITIVE TO GENETIC STRESS AND PREDICT EXTINCTION RISK IN THE STALK-EYED FLY, DIASEMOPSIS MEIGENII
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The handicap principle predicts that sexual traits are more susceptible to inbreeding depression than nonsexual traits. However, this hypothesis has received little testing and results are inconsistent. We used 11 generations of full-sibling mating to test the effect of inbreeding on sexual and nonsexual traits in the stalk-eyed fly Diasemopsis meigenii. Consistent with the theoretical predictions, the male sexual trait (eyespan) decreased more than nonsexual traits (female eyespan and male wing length), even after controlling for body size variation. In addition, male eyespan was a reliable predictor of line extinction, unlike other nonsexual traits. After 11 generations, inbred lines were crossed to generate inbred and outbred families. All morphological traits were larger in outbred individuals than inbred individuals. This heterosis was greater in male eyespan than in male wing length, but not female eyespan. The elevated response in male eyespan to genetic stress mirrored the result found using environmental stress during larval development and suggests that common mechanisms underlie the patterns observed. Overall, these results support the hypothesis that male sexual traits suffer more from inbreeding depression than nonsexual traits and are in line with predictions based on the handicap principle.
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condition-dependent response of traits to environmental stress (reviewed in Bussière et al. 2008), although this does not directly show whether genetic stress causes a condition-dependent response. In this study, we bridge this gap in our understanding by using inbreeding to explicitly induce genetic stress and measure the condition-dependent response in a male sexual ornament.

Inbreeding has been advocated as a useful tool for studying the genetics of condition dependence (Rowe and Houle 1996; Tomkins et al. 2004) because an increase in homozygosity is associated with a reduction in fitness, called inbreeding depression (Roff 2002). Two separate mechanisms have been proposed to explain inbreeding depression. The dominance hypothesis predicts that fitness decreases because inbreeding exposes rare recessive deleterious mutations (Rowe and Houle 1996; Roff 2002; Tomkins et al. 2004). Alternatively, the overdominance hypothesis predicts that fitness decreases under inbreeding if heterozygotes have a fitness advantage compared with homozygotes (Wright 1977). Although the exact importance of each mechanism is still unclear, recessive deleterious mutations appear to account for a greater proportion of inbreeding depression (Charlesworth and Charlesworth 1999; Charlesworth and Willis 2009).

Generally, a trait will show greater inbreeding depression if it harbors higher standing genetic variation controlled by many loci (Charlesworth and Willis 2009). This has led to the prediction that condition-dependent sexual traits will suffer from elevated inbreeding depression in comparison with nonssexual traits (Rowe and Houle 1996; Tomkins et al. 2004). This prediction stems from the observations that condition-dependent sexual traits, like other fitness-related traits, have high standing genetic variation and in theory these traits are affected by allelic variation at multiple loci (Pomiankowski and Möller 1995; Rowe and Houle 1996). An extrapolation of this view is that where the male trait signals genetic quality, males with larger sexual ornaments are expected to be more resistant to the effects of inbreeding and so produce offspring with higher viability.

A small number of studies have compared the effect of inbreeding on sexual and nonssexual traits. The results have not produced a consistent pattern, with inbreeding depression in male sexual traits being demonstrated in some species including zebra finches (Bolund et al. 2010), guppies (Sheridan and Pomiankowski 1997; van Oosterhout et al. 2003; Mariette et al. 2006; Ala-Honkola et al. 2009; Zajitschek and Brooks 2010) and Drosophila (Sharpe 1984; Aspi 2000). However, inbreeding was not associated with a reduction in male sexual traits in sticklebacks (Frommen et al. 2008), only in some finer-scale calling parameters in male crickets (Drayton et al. 2007) and not in the male sexual trait (eyespan) of an Asian stalk-eyed fly, Teleopsis dalmanni, after accounting for body scaling (Prokop et al. 2010).

Here, we investigate the effect of inbreeding in a different stalk-eyed fly species, Diasemopsis meigenii. As in many stalk-eyed fly species (Wilkinson 2001; Chapman et al. 2005), male D. meigenii have larger eyespan than females, even after controlling for body scaling (Baker and Wilkinson 2001) and females show strong mate preference for large eyespan males (Cotton et al. 2006). Here, a full-sibling mating design was used to generate inbred lines of D. meigenii. Unlike most previous studies investigating inbreeding in sexual traits, inbreeding was carried out over 11 successive generations, such that the lines were highly inbred (f~0.908; Falconer and Mackay 1996). The consequences of inbreeding were tracked for the male sexual trait (eyespan), other nonssexual selected traits in males and females and a lifetime trait (female fecundity). To assess whether the male sexual trait indicated genetic quality as predicted by the handicap principle, we tested whether male eyespan decreased more than female eyespan and male wing length both before and after controlling for body size variation. We further tested whether male eyespan was a reliable predictor of line survival given repeated inbreeding (Radwan 2008). At the end of the period of inbreeding, the inbred lines were crossed to measure the degree of heterosis in sexual and nonssexual traits. This enabled a further test of the handicap principle. We asked whether male eyespan increased more than female eyespan and male wing length in outbred individuals when compared to inbred individuals. Finally, a more standard approach using environmental stress (larval diet) was used to study condition dependence in the male sexual trait of D. meigenii. This test was used to determine whether the effect of genetic stress was similar to that observed when applying environmental stress, and so indicative of common mechanisms underlying the responses of traits to different kinds of stress.

**Methods**

**PRODUCTION OF STOCK FLIES**

A stock population of D. meigenii was collected from Nelspruit, South Africa, in 2001 (by R. H. Baker) and maintained in cage culture (>100 individuals per cage) in a 25°C temperature controlled room on a 12 h:12 h light:dark cycle. Flies were fed twice a week on puréd sweetcorn.

**PRODUCTION OF EXPERIMENTAL FLIES FOR THE INBREEDING REGIME**

Inbred lines were founded from male–female virgin pairs (n = 105, defined as the F0 generation) taken from the stock population. Pairs were allowed to mate freely in 500 mL pots. The base of each pot was lined with moist cotton wool and blue tissue paper to visualize eggs, which were collected three times a week. At collection, eggs were transferred to Petri dishes lined with moist cotton wool with an excess of puréd sweetcorn to minimize larval competition. Pupae were transferred to a 500 mL pot and left to eclose. Male–female F0 pairs were kept until they
produced approximately 10 male and 10 female F₁ offspring. The F₁ offspring were separated by sex within a week of eclosion and allowed to reach sexual maturity (approximately 7 weeks after eclosion).

Within each line up to five pairs of male and female F₁ full-siblings were randomly paired, each pair being defined as a subline. These were maintained until one subline per line had produced 10 male and 10 female F₂ adult offspring. This subline alone was chosen to maintain the line and the remaining sublines were culled. In cases where multiple sublines had produced more than 10 male and 10 female offspring, a single subline was randomly chosen to maintain the line. The procedure was repeated for 11 generations (f ≈ 0.908; Falconer and Mackay 1996) and took approximately 36 months.

**EFFECT OF INBREEDING ON MORPHOLOGICAL TRAITS AND FEMALE FECUNDITY**

All flies that eclosed were collected and stored at −20°C for future measurement. After generation 11, flies from the chosen subline for each generation were measured for sexual and nonsexual morphological traits. A maximum of 10 males and 10 females were measured from each line per generation. Flies were measured for eyespan (distance between the outmost tips of the eyes; Cotton et al. 2004b), thorax length (distance between the middle of the most anterior part of the head to the posterior edge of the thorax; Cotton et al. 2004b), and wing length (distance from the anterior cross-vein to the wing margin of the right wing; Baker and Wilkinson 2003). All measurements were made using a digital camera mounted on a monocular microscope using the image analysis program ImageJ (version 1.43).

The number of eggs laid (Cotton et al. 2006) by each female in each subline was recorded during the first four generations of inbreeding. Eggs were counted once a week over a 3-day period in each of the three successive weeks immediately post-sexual maturity and used to calculate the average fecundity per day for each female.

The effect of inbreeding was analyzed by comparing mean trait sizes (thorax length, eyespan, and wing length) for generations 1–11. The founding generation (F₀) was excluded from all analyses because the flies were reared in a high-stress environment and measurements would be based on a sample of only two flies per line. General linear mixed models (GLMMs) were used to determine the effect of the inbreeding coefficient (f) on trait size separately for males and females. Each GLMM included line as a random effect, nested within generation. Models for eyespan and wing length were performed both with and without thorax length as a covariate, to control for allometric scaling (David et al. 1998).

To distinguish between these tests, we refer to absolute eyespan and absolute wing length or relative eyespan and relative wing length (relative denoting that thorax length was included as a covariate).

The degree of inbreeding depression was quantified using $b_X$ coefficients, that is, the trait value in inbred flies relative to the mean value in outbred flies (DeRose and Roff 1999). In our study, this is defined as $b_X = (X_1 - X_{11})/fX_1$, where $X_1$ is the mean trait size in F₁, $X_{11}$ is the mean trait size in F₁₁, and $f$ is the estimated inbreeding coefficient over the 11 generations of inbreeding (i.e., $f = 0.908$). The $b_X$ coefficients allow comparisons to be made with other studies (DeRose and Roff 1999; Prokop et al. 2010). We also calculated $b_X$ coefficients for crosses of the inbred lines. In this case, $b_X = (X_o - X_b)fX_o$, where $X_o$ is the mean trait size of outbred flies and $X_b$ is the mean trait size of inbred flies (again $f = 0.908$).

The effect of inbreeding on mean trait sizes is expected to decline with the number of rounds of inbreeding. The relationship of mean trait size with the inbreeding coefficient ($f$) is expected to be linear if the contribution of loci is additive (Falconer and Mackay 1996). If there are epistatic interactions between loci that contribute to the inbreeding depression of a trait then the mean trait size will be nonlinearly related to the inbreeding coefficient. If such interactions between loci are negative (diminishing epistasis), the rate of decline in the trait mean will be concave-up and this effect can be approximated by the frequency of double, or multiple, heterozygotes that decline in proportion to $f^2$ (Crow and Kimura 1970). To test for this pattern, a regression model with both a linear and a quadratic term of the inbreeding coefficient ($f$ and $f^2$) was used for all absolute and relative morphological trait sizes. Traits were tested in males and females separately.

To test whether male eyespan responded more to inbreeding than the corresponding female eyespan trait and male wing length, we tested the interaction of inbreeding coefficient ($f$) × sex (male eyespan vs. female eyespan) and inbreeding coefficient ($f$) × trait (male eyespan vs. male wing length). This was done for both absolute and relative trait values.

The effect of inbreeding on female fecundity was tested over the first four generations. As female fecundity varies through time (Reguera et al. 2004), only females for whom we had obtained three fecundity measurements carried out over the full 3-week period were included. The analysis was performed for both absolute and relative measures (with thorax as a covariate) of fecundity.

To test whether line extinction and a loss of sample size was associated with trait changes, the above analysis was repeated using only the lines that were extant in generation 11 for all morphological analysis and generation 4 for fecundity analysis.

**ASSOCIATION OF TRAIT VALUES WITH EXTINCTION**

We assessed whether male and female F₁ trait phenotypes were positively associated with the inbreeding coefficient at which the
The mating design used to generate inbred and outbred families. Outbred families were generated by crossing each inbred line with two other inbred lines. To keep the number of inbred and outbred flies the same, two inbred families per line were generated. line went extinct. For each line, we calculated a mean $F_1$ value for male and female thorax length, eyespan, wing length, and fecundity per day. The inbreeding coefficient ($f$) when the line went extinct was then regressed against the mean $F_1$ trait value for that line. In cases where the line was extant in generation 11, we assigned a value of 1.0 for the inbreeding coefficient ($f$) of extinction. This was based on the assumption that all lines will eventually go extinct. This was done for both absolute trait values (thorax length, eyespan, wing length and fecundity per day) and relative trait values (eyespan, wing length, and fecundity per day).

**CROSSING OF INBRED LINES**

To test for the presence of inbreeding depression, the inbred lines were used to generate outbred and inbred crosses using a modified protocol developed by Prokop et al. (2010) shown in Figure 1. Crosses were carried out using virgin flies collected from 17 inbred lines that had undergone at least 11 generations of full-sibling inbreeding. For each outbred cross (line $i \times$ line $j$), two reciprocal families were set up (one with male $i \times$ female $j$, the other with male $j \times$ female $i$). To balance this, each inbred cross (line $i \times$ line $i$) was also replicated (Fig. 1). This design meant that the same number of inbred and outbred crosses were set up.

Each family was generated by mating four males with four females (both outbred and inbred). Each was kept in a 1 L pot lined with moist blue tissue paper. Males and females were left to mate freely and eggs were collected twice a week. Eggs were transferred to Petri dishes with ad libitum puréed sweetcorn. A maximum of 10 eggs were transferred per Petri dish to minimize larval competition. Eggs were left to hatch and the resulting pupae were transferred to a 500 mL pot to eclose. All offspring were then killed and stored at $-20^\circ$C for future measurement. Each family was maintained until they produced 10 male and 10 female offspring, which were measured for thorax length, eyespan, and wing length.

General linear mixed models were used to compare inbred versus outbred flies for thorax length, eyespan, and wing length in both males and females. As set-out earlier, two reciprocal families were set up for each outbred cross, with two inbred families set up to balance this (Fig. 1). Cross was treated as a random effect. Family was also treated as a random effect (to avoid potential bias if one family produced more offspring than the other), nested within cross (Grafen and Hails 2002). The analysis was repeated for relative eyespan and wing length by including thorax length as a covariate. To test whether heterosis in male eyespan was exaggerated relative to nonsexual traits, we tested the interaction of inbreeding treatment (inbred or outbred) $\times$ sex (male eyespan vs. female eyespan) and inbreeding treatment $\times$ trait (male eyespan vs. male wing length). This was done both for absolute and relative trait values.
EFFECT OF ENVIRONMENTAL STRESS ON MORPHOLOGICAL TRAITS

We predicted that the response in morphological traits to genetic stress would mirror that observed to environmental stress. To test this hypothesis, we used a modified protocol from Cotton et al. (2004b) to manipulate larval condition by varying the amount of food available during development. Eggs were collected from a laboratory population of D. meigenii over a 24-h period and individually assigned to one of four food levels: 1.00, 0.30, 0.20, and 0.15 g of corn. Emerging adults were collected and then stored at −20°C for future measurement. All individuals were measured for thorax length, eyespan, and wing length.

General linear models (GLMs) were used to assess the effect of larval treatment on morphological traits, separately for males and females. The analysis was repeated for relative eyespan and wing length by including thorax length as a covariate. To consider whether the sexual trait was more sensitive to stress than nonsexual traits, we tested the interaction of treatment × sex (male eyespan vs. female eyespan) and treatment × trait (male eyespan vs. male wing length). This was done both for absolute and relative trait values.

All statistical analysis was performed using JMP Statistical Software (version 5.0.1). GLMM and GLM results tables and parameter estimates are provided in the Supporting Information.

Results

INBREEDING REGIME

There was a rapid and continual extinction of lines during the inbreeding procedure. Of the 105 male–female F₀ pairs, the number of extant lines in successive generations was 58 in generation 1, 54 in generation 2, 48 in generation 3, 46 in generation 4, 41 in generation 5, 40 in generation 6, 33 in generation 7, 27 in generation 8, and 26 in generations 9–11. In total, 5167 flies (2663 males and 2504 females) from generations 1 to 11 were subject to analysis.

EFFECT OF INBREEDING ON MORPHOLOGICAL TRAITS AND FEMALE FECUNDITY

Male and female thorax length both suffered from inbreeding depression (males, $b_{X_n} = 0.051$, $F_{1,362.8} = 195.722, P < 0.001$; females, $b_{X_n} = 0.051$, $F_{1,370.3} = 143.875, P < 0.001$; Fig. 2A). This pattern was also evident in absolute eyespan in both sexes (males, $b_{X_n} = 0.080$, $F_{1,381.7} = 412.927, P < 0.001$; females, $b_{X_n} = 0.060$, $F_{1,406.8} = 284.005, P < 0.001$; Fig. 2B) and persisted for relative eyespan after controlling for thorax length (males, $b_{X_n} = 0.061$, $F_{1,422.1} = 272.912, P < 0.001$; females, $b_{X_n} = 0.043$, $F_{1,428.0} = 202.341, P < 0.001$; Fig. 2C). The pattern for wing length was much less pronounced compared to thorax and eyespan. There was a net decrease in male and female wing length over 11 generations, both for absolute wing length (males, $b_{X_n} = 0.026$, $F_{1,387.5} = 29.453, P < 0.001$; females, $b_{X_n} = 0.024$, $F_{1,353.6} = 353.6, P < 0.001$; Fig. 2B) and relative wing length
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Figure 2. Proportional change in male (solid) and female (dashed) traits over 11 generations of inbreeding, standardized to one in F₁, for (A) male and female thorax length, (B) absolute eyespan (gray) and absolute wing length (black), and (C) relative eyespan (gray) and relative wing length (black). Error bars were omitted for clarity.
after controlling for thorax length (males, \( b_{X_s} = 0.014, F_{1,425.5} = 4.102, P = 0.044 \); females, \( b_{X_s} = 0.012, F_{1,368.8} = 9.641, P = 0.002 \); Fig. 2C).

It was hypothesized that the male sexual ornament (eyespan) would show greater inbreeding depression than less exaggerated nonsexual traits, namely the homologous female eyespan trait and male wing length. The response observed in absolute values of male eyespan was greater than in female eyespan (inbreeding coefficient, \( f \times \text{sex} \), \( F_{1,367.2} = 105.128, P < 0.001 \)) and male wing length (inbreeding coefficient, \( f \times \text{trait} \), \( F_{1,361.3} = 419.609, P < 0.001 \)). Similarly, there was a stronger response observed in relative values of male eyespan than in female eyespan (inbreeding coefficient, \( f \times \text{sex} \), \( F_{1,375.4} = 108.493, P < 0.001 \)) and male wing length (inbreeding coefficient, \( f \times \text{trait} \), \( F_{1,361.9} = 429.345, P < 0.001 \)). In contrast, there was no sex difference in absolute wing lengths (inbreeding coefficient, \( f \times \text{sex} \), \( F_{1,311.3} = 0.366, P = 0.546 \)) or relative wing lengths (inbreeding coefficient, \( f \times \text{sex} \), \( F_{1,313.8} = 0.766, P = 0.382 \)) responses to inbreeding.

There was evidence of a reduction in the rate of inbreeding depression because the inclusion of an additional quadratic term for the squared values of the inbreeding coefficient (\( f^2 \)) better explained the decline in male and female thorax length (males, \( F_{1,347.3} = 36.457, P < 0.001 \); females, \( F_{1,363.5} = 37.232, P < 0.001 \)) and absolute eyespan (males, \( F_{1,371.0} = 10.572, P = 0.001 \); females, \( F_{1,404.4} = 9.355, P = 0.002 \)), although not in absolute wing length (males, \( F_{1,372.8} = 0.029, P = 0.865 \); females, \( F_{1,345.8} = 1.594, P = 0.208 \)). The latter result probably reflects the rather shallow inbreeding depression in this trait. After controlling for thorax length, the quadratic term was no longer significant for either male nor female relative eyespan (males, \( F_{1,383.7} = 1.218, P = 0.270 \); females, \( F_{1,404.7} = 1.026, P = 0.312 \)).

Female fecundity was measured over the first four generations and was predicted to also show inbreeding depression. Both absolute and relative fecundity changed with inbreeding, but not in the predicted direction (\( b_{X_n} = -0.625 \) and \(-0.866 \) respectively, both comparisons, \( P < 0.001 \)). In the light of these results, we checked whether the methods used to generate inbred lines inadvertently subjected them to artificial selection for female fecundity. To test for this possibility, a GLMM was used to test for differences in fecundity between flies from sublines chosen to maintain the line and sublines that were eliminated from further breeding. Unsurprisingly, sublines chosen to maintain the inbred line had higher female fecundities during the 3-week test period than those that were eliminated (\( F_{1,454.6} = 16.239, P < 0.001 \)). This relationship may explain why female fecundity increased over the first four generations.

The prior analysis included all lines, those that went extinct and those that were still extant at generation 11. However, trait size may have been associated with the probability of line extinction and this might in part have accounted for the changes in trait sizes across generations. To control for this possibility, the morphology analysis was repeated using only the 26 lines that survived to generation 11 and the fecundity analysis using only the 46 lines surviving to generation 4. Overall, no change to the findings described earlier was identified. In the extant lines, all male and female absolute (thorax length, eyespan, and wing length) and relative traits (eyespan and wing length) decreased over 11 generations of inbreeding (all traits, \( P < 0.001 \)). Once again the magnitude of the response in absolute and relative male eyespan (\( b_{X_s} = 0.080 \) and 0.063, respectively) was greater than that in absolute and relative female eyespan (\( b_{X_s} = 0.063 \) and 0.047, respectively) and absolute and relative male wing length (\( b_{X_s} = 0.024 \) and 0.012, respectively; all comparisons, \( P < 0.001 \)). Both absolute and relative fecundity still increased over the first four generations of inbreeding (\( b_{X_s} = -0.705 \) and \(-0.847 \), respectively, all comparisons \( P < 0.001 \)).

ASSOCIATION OF TRAIT VALUES WITH EXTINCTION

We tested whether particular values of male and female \( F_1 \) absolute and relative trait phenotypes were associated with line extinction. When the analysis was performed on absolute morphological traits, only male absolute eyespan positively correlated with the inbreeding coefficient at which the line went extinct, that is, lines with larger \( F_1 \) male absolute eyespan took more generations to go extinct (\( F_{1,56} = 4.125, P = 0.047 \); all other absolute morphological traits, \( F_{1,55–56} < 1.920, P > 0.131 \)). After thorax was included as a covariate, only male relative eyespan was positively associated with the inbreeding coefficient at which the line went extinct (male relative eyespan, \( F_{1,55} = 7.179, P = 0.010 \); all other relative morphological traits, \( F_{1,54–55} < 1.971, P > 0.166 \)). Surprisingly, neither absolute fecundity (\( F_{1,45} = 2.801, P = 0.101 \)) nor relative fecundity (\( F_{1,44} = 3.058, P = 0.087 \)) were significantly associated with extinction risk. We excluded the possibility that in the first generation, lines with larger male eyespan were associated with higher female fecundity as there were no correlation between these traits (both absolute and relative eyespan tests, \( P > 0.542 \)).

CROSSING OF INBRED LINES

A total of 68 families were set up (34 inbred and 34 outbred). In total, 976 flies were measured: 481 females (237 inbreds and 244 outbreds) and 495 males (244 inbreds and 251 outbreds). Analysis was performed by pooling all flies and comparing inbred and outbred means. Evidence of heterosis was inferred if outbred trait means were significantly larger than inbred trait means. Male and female thorax length was higher in outbred flies than inbred flies (males, \( b_{X_s} = 0.027, F_{1,25.5} = 15.280, P < 0.001 \); females, \( b_{X_s} = 0.019, F_{1,28.1} = 8.495, P = 0.007 \); Fig. 3A). The same was also true for absolute eyespan (males, \( b_{X_s} = 0.030, F_{1,30.8} = 11.964, P = 0.002 \); females, \( b_{X_s} = 0.028, F_{1,29.1} = 10.084, P = 0.004 \)) and relative eyespan (males, \( b_{X_s} = 0.018 \),
Figure 3. Mean (± standard error) values of inbred (gray bars) and outbred (white bars) individuals for (A) male and female thorax length, (B) male and female relative eyespan, and (C) male and female relative wing length. Asterisks denote significance level where *P < 0.050 and **P < 0.010.

Given that male eyespan is predicted to suffer greater inbreeding depression, it was predicted that it would also show greater heterosis than female eyespan and male wing length after a generation of outbreeding. However, the increase in male absolute eyespan was not significantly different from the increase in female absolute eyespan (inbreeding treatment × sex, $F_{1,31.1} = 2.152, P = 0.152$). Similarly, the observed increase in male relative eyespan did not significantly differ from the increase in female relative eyespan (inbreeding treatment × sex, $F_{1,28.9} = 2.015, P = 0.167$). However, the increase observed in absolute eyespan was significantly greater than the increase in absolute male wing length (inbreeding treatment × trait, $F_{1,32.1} = 11.789, P = 0.002$) and this pattern persisted after controlling for thorax length (inbreeding treatment × trait, $F_{1,32.0} = 12.451, P = 0.001$).

**EFFECT OF ENVIRONMENTAL STRESS ON MORPHOLOGICAL TRAITS**

In total, 547 flies were collected: 258 males and 289 females. All male and female absolute traits (thorax length, eyespan, and wing length) declined as the amount of food available decreased (all absolute traits, $F_{1,249–286} > 403.743, P < 0.001$). The response observed in male absolute eyespan (42.49% decrease over the four treatments) was greater than the response observed in female absolute eyespan (32.50% decrease; treatment × sex, $F_{1,540} = 102.189, P < 0.001$) and male absolute wing length (24.63% decrease; treatment × trait, $F_{1,499} = 432.319, P < 0.001$). The same comparisons were made using thorax length as a covariate. Again, all male and female relative traits (eyespan and wing length) declined as the amount of food available decreased (all relative traits, $F_{1,249–279} > 44.573, P < 0.001$; Fig. 4). The response observed in male relative eyespan (21.71% decrease over the four treatments) was greater than the response observed in female relative eyespan.
Discussion

In this article, we studied whether a sexual trait (male eyespan) in the stalk-eyed fly *D. meigenii* signals genetic stress induced by inbreeding. Unlike previous studies, male and female traits were measured over an extended period of full-sibling matings (11 generations, inbreeding coefficient $f = 0.908$). Male eyespan decreased as the inbreeding coefficient increased (Fig. 2B), as did other nonsexually selected morphological traits like thorax length and wing length (in both males and females), and female eyespan (Fig. 2A,B). Inbreeding depression was also evident after controlling for body size, male relative eyespan still suffered more from inbreeding depression compared to female relative eyespan and male relative wing length. In contrast, there was no consistent sex difference in the response of male or female absolute or relative wing length (nonsexual traits) and in general the decline in wing length was much less marked than in eyespan.

A similar greater response in the sexual ornament was found when the inbred lines were crossed after generation 11. All traits showed heterosis, with higher absolute and relative values among outbred than inbred flies. The degree of heterosis was much greater for male relative eyespan compared to male relative wing length. However, the degree of heterosis observed in male absolute and relative eyespan did not significantly differ from that observed in female absolute and relative eyespan. Both tests approached significance ($P \sim 0.08$) and a more intensive sampling of lines may have generated a significant response.

The extent of inbreeding depression is predicted to be dependent upon the genetic architecture of a trait (Rowe and Houle 1996; Tomkins et al. 2004). Generally, a locus is predicted to contribute a larger effect to inbreeding if the allelic variance at the locus is higher (as rare alleles are unlikely to be fixed) and if the dominance coefficient is higher (Falconer and Mackay 1996). The dominance effect depends on the degree to which the heterozygote differs from the mean of the homozygotes, and the mean effect of that locus on the trait. If there is no dominance there will be no inbreeding depression, as each allele in a heterozygote is equally likely to be fixed through inbreeding. In addition, a trait is predicted to suffer stronger inbreeding depression if it is affected by more loci, assuming additive interactions between loci and the same mean effect per locus (Falconer and Mackay 1996). This leads to a simple interpretation of our findings. Either the number of loci that affect variation or the mean per locus effect is greater in the male eyespan compared to those traits of nonsexual traits. Just such a pattern has been predicted and is explained by the idea of condition-dependent expression of sexual traits (Andersson 1986; Pomiankowski 1987; Iwasa et al. 1991; Pomiankowski and Moller 1995; Rowe and Houle 1996; Tomkins et al. 2004). More specifically, condition-dependent traits are likely to be affected by more loci (Pomiankowski and Moller 1995; Rowe and Houle 1996), have higher dominance coefficients typically of fitness related traits (Mousseau and Roff 1987; Roff and Mousseau 1987), and have higher allelic variation per locus as they have been subject to a history of directional rather than stabilizing selection (Pomiankowski and Moller 1995).

To distinguish the relative contribution of these causes of the patterns observed requires a more detailed specification of the genetic basis of traits in *D. meigenii*. Several quantitative trait loci (QTL) studies have assessed the number of genetic markers associated with sexual traits in other species (Gleason and Ritchie 2004; Huttunen et al. 2004; Moehring and Mackay 2004; Mundy 2005; Shaw and Lesnicky 2009). However, few of these make direct comparisons of QTLs for sexual and nonsexual traits. One study that allows such a comparison of the number of QTLs is in a related stalk-eyed species, *T. dalmanni* (Johns et al. 2005). Here the sexual trait (male eyespan) had 5–6 QTLs. In contrast, nonsexual traits had fewer—none for male thorax length and a single QTL for female thorax length; although the number found for female eyespan variation was higher (4–5 QTLs). A further contrast was the X-linked QTL for eyespan that accounted for over a third of the observed male variation, but considerably less in females. These observations are suggestive that sexual traits may be associated with both a greater number of loci and larger effects at some loci than is the case for nonsexual traits or be determined by many loci of small effect. The generality of these observations needs further investigation.

In a final analysis, we compared the pattern of inbreeding depression across generations (Fig. 2). There was an obvious plateau in the decline of thorax length as the inbreeding coefficient increased (measured as a significant quadratic term; Fig. 2A). This is indicative of negative epistasis in the interaction between loci that contribute to this trait (Falconer and Mackay 1996). That is, multiple homozygosity has less effect with inbreeding. Although there was a similar response in male and female absolute eyespan, this nonlinearity disappeared after controlling for thorax length, so relative eyespan declined in a linear fashion over the 11 generations of inbreeding (Fig. 2C). This is indicative that the loci contributing to eyespan have a greater degree of additivity than
those contributing to thorax length (i.e., body size). This is an interesting finding as it suggests that eyespan better reflects the degree of homozygosity than does body size per se, and hence this might explain why male eyespan is used by females in their mate choice (Cotton et al. 2006). Note that it has recently been pointed out that purging of deleterious alleles caused by the “extra” selection in homozygotes because of nonadditivity could also result in a reduced response with \( f \) (Garcia-Dorado 2012). If this holds, it implies again that there is less nonadditivity in eyespan than thorax length.

The differing responses of sexual and nonsexual traits to inbreeding in *D. meigenii* are similar to the way these traits respond to environmental stress. The heightened response of sexual traits to environmental stress has been shown in other species of stalk-eyed fly (David et al. 1998; Cotton et al. 2004b). It suggests that similar general mechanisms may underlie the stress response in *D. meigenii* and other species, so that different kinds of stress in development feed through the same mechanisms, resulting in alterations to the allocation of resources to different traits. It is significant to note that the greater response of the male sexual trait is a general finding in a range of other study systems using environmental rather than genetic stress (Cotton et al. 2004a; Bonduriansky and Rowe 2005; Boughman 2007; Eraud et al. 2007; Siitari et al. 2007; Kemp 2008; Punzalan et al. 2008; McGuiigan 2009; Gosden and Chenoweth 2011).

These results add to the growing body of literature studying the effect of inbreeding depression on male sexual traits. Overall, the literature provides inconsistent support for the hypothesis of greater inbreeding depression in sexual traits (see the introduction paragraph). One reason for this variation is differences in the methodology used. Most studies only consider the effect of one generation of inbreeding (e.g., Mariette et al. 2006; Drayton et al. 2007; Frommen et al. 2008; Ala-Honkola et al. 2009; Prokop et al. 2010). More inbreeding and a more severe level of genetic stress may be required to observe the detrimental effects of inbreeding as well as differences between sexual and nonsexual traits. A related possibility is that environmental factors may mask the detrimental effects of the inbreeding (reviewed in Armbruster and Reed 2005). To date, only one study has looked at the effect of inbreeding under different levels of environmental stress. Zajitschek and Brooks (2010) looked at the effect of three generations of inbreeding on male pigmentation and courtship behavior in the guppy *Poecilia reticulata*. They found that inbreeding depression in male pigmentation was greater in stressful environments compared to benign environments. However, inbreeding had no effect on male courtship behavior in any of the environments. Assessing how sexual traits respond to inbreeding in different environments may be a more reliable method of detecting inbreeding depression, but requires considerably greater effort. Finally, the variable response to inbreeding may be real and reflect different degrees of condition dependence between traits or species (Cotton et al. 2004a), although this remains to be investigated.

An unexpected and very interesting result was that the eyespan of \( F_1 \) males predicted extinction risk of a line (i.e., the offspring of founding males). As expected, extended inbreeding over the 11 generations \( (f = 0.908) \) resulted in the extinction of the majority of lines \( (~75\%) \), with a higher rate initially. This pattern of extinction has been reported in a number of species (Frankham 1995; Bijlsma et al. 2000; Reed et al. 2002; Radwan 2003; Wright et al. 2008) and is probably explained by the random fixation of deleterious recessive alleles, with a reduced rate in the latter generations due to purging (Hedrick 1994; Wang et al. 1999). Strikingly, only the sexual trait, \( F_1 \) male absolute and relative eyespan, was significantly associated with extinction risk. Lines with larger \( F_1 \) male relative eyespan went extinct later than lines with smaller \( F_1 \) male relative eyespan. In contrast, \( F_1 \) nonsexual morphological traits (female eyespan and male and female wing length) were not associated with extinction risk. If extinction risk is correlated with the number and effect of recessive or partially recessive deleterious mutations carried by a line, then these observations suggest that male eyespan reflects this more than do nonsexual traits. This implies that male eyespan is a signal of genetic quality and that females who mate with large eyespan males are likely to gain indirect genetic benefits for their offspring. These results also demonstrate that size per se is less informative, presumably because it has a weaker association with the genetic signal (see deductions above about epistasis). The weaker prediction of extinction risk in the latter generations presumably is due to a greater impact of stochastic factors once purging has occurred.

We also expected that line fecundity would be associated with extinction risk, as observed in other studies (e.g., Radwan 2003). However, female absolute and relative fecundity did not correlate with the inbreeding coefficient at which lines went extinct. In large part, this was due to reduced statistical power when dealing with fecundity measures. Our criteria for including individuals in the fecundity analysis were restrictive, resulting in a loss of sample size and power \( (n = 131, \text{power} = 0.183) \) compared to morphological trait measurements \( (n = 240–319, \text{power} = 0.224–0.872) \). Our experience with *D. meigenii* and other stalk-eyed fly species is that fecundity is highly variable through time (Reguera et al. 2004). A more intensive sampling regime may have generated a more robust relationship between extant and extinct lines.

It is worth noting that not all the findings in this investigation were consistent with theoretical expectations. Fecundity is a life-history trait closely related to fitness and was expected to suffer from inbreeding depression. Contrary to predictions, female fecundity increased over the first four generations of inbreeding \( (f = 0.594) \). This was probably due to the experimental design...
because the subline that was selected to maintain the line was invariably the subline that was first to yield the number of males and females necessary to produce the following generation. Therefore, there was inadvertent artificial selection for highly fecund females that reproduced early and laid the most eggs.

Overall our results are consistent with those predicted by the handicap principle (Zahavi 1975; Pomiankowski 1987; Cotton et al. 2004a). If sexual traits are reliable indicators of condition they are expected to be more susceptible than nonsexual traits to the negative effects of the genetic stress caused by inbreeding (Pomiankowski and Moller 1995; Rowe and Houle 1996; Cotton et al. 2004a). Our results differ from those of another study of inbreeding using a different species of stalk-eyed fly, *T. dalmanni* (Prokop et al. 2010), which found that inbreeding depression in male eyespan was fully accounted by body scaling.

The contrasting results probably reflects differences in experimental design. Prokop et al. (2010) compared inbred flies after one generation of inbreeding with outbred flies, whereas we investigated the consequences of long-term inbreeding and crossing of highly inbred lines. Our approach may simply have allowed a greater accumulated response to the detrimental effects of inbreeding to become evident, although we still found a significant response in male eyespan in the first generation of inbreeding. Evidence for this is found when looking at $b_x$ coefficients (i.e., the effect of inbreeding depression after controlling for the inbreeding period), which are relatively low in both *D. meigenii* and *T. dalmanni* (0.06 and 0.13, respectively; Prokop et al. 2010) relative to life-history traits (DeRose and Roff 1999). This suggests that the genetic benefit of mating with particular males may be limited in stalk-eyed flies. However, the present experiment was carried out in a benign environment, and our previous work has shown that the genetic signal is amplified under more stressful environmental conditions (David et al. 2000).

In conclusion, our results are consistent with those predicted by the handicap principle (Andersson 1986; Pomiankowski 1987; Grafen 1990; Iwasa et al. 1991; Rowe and Houle 1996; Cotton et al. 2004a; Johnstone et al. 2009). We have shown that the sexual trait (male eyespan) in *D. meigenii* is more affected by inbreeding depression than nonsexual traits (female eyespan and male wing length) and that this pattern persists after controlling for body size variation. We also found male eyespan to be a good predictor of extinction risk after repeated full-sibling inbreeding. The heightened responsiveness in the male sexual trait to genetic stress mirrors the response observed after applying environmental stress. Altogether, these findings provide support for the handicap hypothesis that condition-dependent sexual traits are reliable indicators both of genetic and environmental stress. The clarity of the results also establishes the utility of serial inbreeding as a simple way to assess the consequences of genetic stress for sexual traits.
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