Integrated wafer-scale ultra-flat graphene by gradient surface energy modulation
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The integration of large-scale two-dimensional (2D) materials onto semiconductor wafers is highly desirable for advanced electronic devices, but challenges such as transfer-related crack, contamination, wrinkle and doping remain. Here, we developed a generic method by gradient surface energy modulation, leading to a reliable adhesion and release of graphene onto target wafers. The as-obtained wafer-scale graphene exhibited a damage-free, clean, and ultra-flat surface with negligible doping, resulting in uniform sheet resistance with only ~6% deviation. The as-transferred graphene on SiO2/Si exhibited high carrier mobility reaching up ~10,000 cm2 V−1 s−1, with quantum Hall effect (QHE) observed at room temperature. Fractional quantum Hall effect (FQHE) appeared at 1.7 K after encapsulation by h-BN, yielding ultra-high mobility of ~280,000 cm2 V−1 s−1. Integrated wafer-scale graphene thermal emitters exhibited significant broadband emission in near-infrared (NIR) spectrum. Overall, the proposed methodology is promising for future integration of wafer-scale 2D materials in advanced electronics and optoelectronics.

The integration of two-dimensional (2D) materials into current silicon technology may embed high mobility, dangling band-free interface, atomic-scale channel size into practical electronic and optoelectronic devices1–4. Note that, an essential prerequisite is transferring 2D materials from their growth substrates to industrial wafers. Yet, a significant gap still exists in transfer method, which frustrates the recent progress in wafer-scale single-crystal growth of 2D materials5–10. Conventionally, wet transfer methods commonly use poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) film as a transfer medium to support 2D materials when separated from the growth substrate and scooped from the liquid surface to a target substrate11,12. For graphene, the wet transfer methods may significantly degrade its properties due to introduced cracks, wrinkles, polymer contaminations, and water doping by water adsorptions on the graphene surface13–18. These factors act as extra scattering centers to limit carrier mobility and decrease device performance. To overcome these issues, previous attempts have shown that the optimization of PMMA and replacement of PMMA with small molecules or other polymers would facilitate clean graphene transfer19–27, conformal contact with the target substrate may reduce the formation of cracks and wrinkles28–31, and the development of dry transfer methods may
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diminish water doping by preventing the submersion of target substrate in liquids. However, no method has so far entirely solved these issues, and most approaches are not compatible with high-volume semiconductor technology at the wafer level.

Here, we designed a multi-functional tri-layer transfer medium with gradient surface energy distribution, according to the thin-film adhesion theory that transfer of thin film from one layer to another layer is mainly dominated by the difference in surface energy of each layer. In this case, the higher surface energy of a target substrate, the better it serves as the thin film 'acceptor' due to the better wetting and larger adhesion strength at interface. Thus, the surface energies of transfer medium and target substrates should be engineered to ensure reliable adhesion and release, critical features for securing the wafer-scale 2D materials integration. As a result, the gradient surface energy (GSE) modulation approach conducted to the integration of 4-inch single-crystal ultra-flat graphene onto silicon wafers. The transferred graphene wafer maintained its flatness, exhibiting intact and clean surfaces with negligible water doping. Consequently, the resulting wafer-scale graphene illustrated a uniform sheet resistance of only ~6% deviation over a 4-inch area. The as-transferred graphene on SiO2/Si exhibited outstanding electrical performances with smaller Dirac points and much higher carrier mobility (~30,000 cm2 V−1 s−1) at room temperature when compared to conventional wet transfer (~2000 cm2 V−1 s−1). The quantum Hall effect (QHE) was also observed at room temperature in graphene transferred on SiO2/Si, and fractional quantum Hall effect (FQHE) was recorded at 1.7 K in transferred graphene encapsulated by h-BN with high mobility reaching ~280,000 cm2 V−1 s−1. Furthermore, the integrated thermal emitter arrays fabricated on a 4-inch graphene/silicon wafer showed significant emission with a broad spectrum in NIR region.

Results

Design of wafer-scale graphene integration

To minimize the adverse effects of grain boundaries and wrinkles on the charge carrier mobility, single-crystal ultra-flat graphene films were grown on 4-inch Cu(111)/sapphire wafers and the details can be found in Methods. A multi-functional tri-layer transfer medium was designed to support the wafer-scale graphene during transfer (Fig. 1a, b, Supplementary Figs. 1 and 2). The bottom layer of small molecules (borneol) was adsorbed on the graphene to reduce the surface energy of graphene, as well as working as a buffer layer to prevent direct contaminations caused by the upper PMMA layer (Fig. 1b). The PMMA layer ensured the integrity of graphene during transfer (Supplementary Fig. 3), and the topmost polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) layer served as a self-supporting layer, allowing dry transfer of graphene and preventing water doping (Fig. 1a, b).

Most importantly, the surface energy gradually decreased from the destination SiO2/Si wafer to the topmost PDMS layer (Fig. 1b, c), whose surface energy was calculated by measuring the contact angles based on Owen-Wendt and Young’s equations (Supplementary Table 1 and 2, Supplementary Fig. 4). The film with low surface energy tends to adsorb strongly on the substrate with high surface energy, according to the thin-film adhesion theory. The driving force for wetting the interface is the spreading coefficient $\lambda_{AB}$:

$$\lambda_{AB} = y_B - y_A - y_{AB}$$  (1)

where $y_B$ and $y_A$ are the surface energies of phase B (the adherend) and A (the adhesive), respectively, and $y_{AB}$ is the interface energy between phase A and B. The fracture strength $\sigma_f$ of the interface is related to $\lambda_{AB}$ by:

$$\sigma_f = \frac{K_m}{1 - \frac{1}{\gamma_k}} = \frac{K_mB}{y_A + y_{AB}} = \frac{K_mB}{y_A}$$  (2)

where $K_mB$ is a function of the mechanical properties. Considering that $y_{AB} = \sigma_f$, the fracture strength $\sigma_f$ is proportional to the surface energy ratio of the adherend to the adhesive ($y_B/y_A$).

For the wafer-scale graphene transfer, both the reliable adhesion and release of graphene film are critical, which determine the integrity of transferred wafer-scale graphene. Since the surface energy of SiO2/Si ($\gamma_B$) was much larger than that of graphene/borneol ($y_{AB}$), the complete wetting and reliable adhesion of graphene to the SiO2/Si wafer was facilitated (Fig. 1b, c). Moreover, the very small surface energy of PDMS ($\gamma_A$) that is close to PMMA ($\gamma_B$) ensured the damage-free release of wafer-scale graphene onto SiO2/Si wafer (Fig. 1b, c, Supplementary Fig. 5) due to the weak adhesion bond strength. By comparison, the use of thermal release tape with larger surface energy and sticky surface as a self-supporting layer led to uncontrolled release of the wafer-scale graphene with poor macroscopic and microscopic integrity (Supplementary Fig. 6), indicating that the gradient surface energy is the key to the successful adhesion and release of wafer-scale 2D materials during transfer.

The design of gradient surface energy (GSE) allowed successful integration of the 4-inch single-crystal graphene onto the SiO2/Si wafer with high intactness (99.8 ± 0.2%, Fig. 1d, e, Supplementary Figs. 7 and 8). The GSE-transferred graphene also exhibited a clean surface with significantly reduced polymer residues when compared to conventional PMMA-transferred graphene (Fig. 1f, g, Supplementary Figs. 9–12), owing to the much lower adsorption energy of borneol on graphene than that of PMMA (Supplementary Fig. 11). In general, the flatness of graphene was influenced by the density of particles and wrinkles on the surface. In addition to the negligible surface particles, the GSE-transferred graphene maintained its flat morphology with few wrinkles, benefitting from the ultra-flat nature of graphene/Cu(111)/sapphire with significantly inhibited graphene wrinkles and Cu step bunches (Fig. 1h, Supplementary Figs. 13–14). In this way, a graphene film with an intact, clean, and ultra-flat surface was obtained on wafer-scale SiO2/Si substrate.

The proposed GSE strategy can also be used for the graphene integration onto 4-inch industrial sapphire substrates (Supplementary Fig. 15). Meanwhile, wafer-scale graphene grown on Cu foil and h-BN could also be integrated onto SiO2/Si using the GSE strategy (Supplementary Figs. 16 and 17). Similar results were obtained by using rosin as a small molecule buffer layer, implying the versatility of the GSE method (Supplementary Fig. 18). To show more details of the GSE transfer method, we have included a step-by-step protocol within the Methods section, Supplementary Movie 1 and 2.

Uniform wafer-scale graphene

The uniformity of transferred graphene is vital for advanced wafer-scale integrated devices. Owing to the intact and clean surface, the GSE-transferred graphene had a very uniform sheet resistance (655 ± 39 $\Omega$ sq$^{-1}$), whose standard deviation was only ~6% over the 4-inch wafer (Fig. 2a). By contrast, the sheet resistance of PMMA-transferred looked inhomogeneous with a much higher standard deviation of ~22% (600 ± 132 $\Omega$ sq$^{-1}$), resulting from the uneven distribution of cracks and contaminations introduced during the transfer (Fig. 2b). The uniformity of graphene at the microscopic level was further evaluated by Raman mapping. As shown in Supplementary Fig. 19, no D band peak was observed for GSE-transferred graphene. Also, the distribution of G-band position became remarkably narrower when compared to that of PMMA-transferred graphene (Fig. 2c, d). These observations further evidenced the advantages of the proposed GSE strategy for integrating wafer-scale damage-free and clean graphene with a uniform surface.

To examine the doping and strain level of GSE-transferred graphene, the peak positions of G band ($\omega_G$) and 2D band ($\omega_{2D}$) were extracted from the Raman spectra, and the correlation maps were
plotted in Fig. 2e. The yellow star reveals the location of intrinsic graphene (ωC = 1582 cm⁻¹, ω2D = 2677 cm⁻¹), corresponding to neither p-doping nor strain⁴. The PMMA-transferred graphene films are often deeply p-doped when using wet transfer methods due to water doping at the interface¹⁸, leading to changes in the Fermi level of graphene and declined carrier mobility. As shown in Fig. 2e, the PMMA-transferred graphene film on SiO₂/Si wafer experienced deeply p-doping. By comparison, GSE-transferred graphene on SiO₂/Si wafer nearly experienced no p-doping and strain, thereby close to the intrinsic graphene (Fig. 2e). The histograms of 2D peak’s full width at half maximum (Γ₂D) of transferred graphene were gathered in Fig. 2f. The average Γ₂D of GSE-transferred graphene (~30 cm⁻¹) was smaller than that of PMMA-transferred graphene (~40 cm⁻¹), indicating GSE-transferred graphene with little random strain fluctuation and potentially high charge carrier mobility³⁵,⁴⁸,⁴⁹.

Electronic properties of GSE-transferred graphene

The electrical performances of devices fabricated with GSE-transferred graphene were investigated. Hall-bar devices were fabricated with standard electron beam lithography (EBL) to measure the field-effect carrier mobility of graphene on SiO₂/Si. The typical transfer characteristics of 60 Hall-bar devices fabricated with GSE- and PMMA-transferred graphene are summarized in Fig. 3a. The Dirac point of GSE-transferred graphene was near zero, and the carrier concentration (~3 × 10¹² cm⁻²) was about 3 × 10¹¹ cm⁻², revealing a very small doping level of graphene consistent with the Raman results. The extracted hole mobility was about 3 × 10¹¹ cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹, comparable to previously reported values of state-of-the-art CVD graphene⁴⁸,⁴⁹. By contrast, the Dirac point of deeply-doped PMMA-transferred graphene was close to 35 V, and the carrier concentration (~3 × 10¹² cm⁻²) was an order of magnitude higher than that of GSE-transferred graphene, showing a
Hall mobility reached as high as 280,000 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ and Hall effect measurement was about 8.4 × 10¹⁰ cm⁻². The Hall effect measurement was performed to resolve the broken Landau level degeneracy and quantized Hall platform and magnetoresistance measurements at the different magnetic fields on h-BN-encapsulated transferred graphene. The Hall effect (QHE) feature was further demonstrated the mobility of GSE-transferred graphene should be comparable to the high-quality exfoliated graphene with average mobilities exceeding 100,000 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹. These data confirmed the ultrahigh quality of the as-transferred graphene.

**Wafer-scale integrated graphene thermal emitter devices**

Graphene-based silicon-chip blackbody emitters in the near-infrared region, including telecommunication wavelength hold promise in applications in on-Si-chip, small footprint, and high-speed emitters of highly integrated optoelectronics and silicon photonics. As shown in Fig. 4a, the passage of a current through graphene thermal emitter device with narrow constrictions led to enhancements in the Joule heating, as well as localized light emission of graphene at the middle of the constriction. As shown in Fig. 4b, the integrated graphene emitter device arrays with 4-inch GSE-transferred graphene wafer were successfully obtained. The representative device array was enlarged in Fig. 4c, showing an array of 8 × 8 graphene emitter devices with a graphene channel length of 120 μm and width of 10 μm at the center of graphene.

To protect the graphene channel of the thermal emitter device, a 70-nm-thick Al₂O₃ layer was deposited on the graphene before voltage application (Supplementary Fig. 23a). Under continuous DC bias voltage, significant emission from Al₂O₃-capped graphene device between two electrodes was observed with an Infrared (IR) camera under vacuum at power density P = 3.0 kW cm⁻² (Fig. 4d). Note that the blue and yellow dashed lines indicated the graphene and metal...
to the Joule heating effect that reached ~750 K under vacuum at the fabrication of wafer-scale graphene thermal emitter devices. GSE-transferred graphene integration strategy will provide a key to the emitter is promising for high-density emitters on silicon chips, and the peak position shift of 2D band of biased graphene (Supplementary Fig. 23b) depicted a linear change with the applied power density due to the negligible doping level and much fewer scattering centers when compared to conventional PMMA-transferred graphene. The Hall-bar devices fabricated with graphene on SiO2/Si exhibited small Dirac points and high carrier mobilities (up to ~10,000 cm2 V−1 s−1), allowing the observation of quantum Hall effect (QHE) at room temperature. Fractional quantum Hall effect (FQHE) also appeared at 1.7 K in the transferred graphene encapsulated by h-BN, with mobility reaching ~280,000 cm2 V−1 s−1. Furthermore, integrated thermal emitter arrays with the 4-inch graphene/silicon wafer illustrated significant emission with a broad spectrum in NIR region. In sum, the proposed methodology can be used as a universal approach for the integration of other intrinsic 2D materials, such as h-BN and 2D MoS2 on the wafer level, paving the way for the development of integrated high-performance electronics and optoelectronics.

**Discussion**
A general method was successfully developed for the wafer-scale graphene integration onto silicon wafers, compatible with current semiconductor technologies. The physical adhesion model and data revealed the importance of the gradient surface energy in the transfer of wafer-scale graphene, enabling reliable adhesion and release during transfer. Accordingly, 4-inch damage-free graphene with preserved intrinsic properties was obtained, contributing to a uniform sheet resistance with -6% deviation over a 4-inch area.

The transferred graphene enhanced the electrical performance due to the negligible doping level and much fewer scattering centers when compared to conventional PMMA-transferred graphene. The Hall-bar devices fabricated with graphene on SiO2/Si exhibited small Dirac points and high carrier mobilities (up to ~10,000 cm2 V−1 s−1), allowing the observation of quantum Hall effect (QHE) at room temperature. Fractional quantum Hall effect (FQHE) also appeared at 1.7 K in the transferred graphene encapsulated by h-BN, with mobility reaching ~280,000 cm2 V−1 s−1. Furthermore, integrated thermal emitter arrays with the 4-inch graphene/silicon wafer illustrated significant emission with a broad spectrum in NIR region. In sum, the proposed methodology can be used as a universal approach for the integration of other intrinsic 2D materials, such as h-BN and 2D MoS2 on the wafer level, paving the way for the development of integrated high-performance electronics and optoelectronics.
After that, the Cu/sapphire was then annealed at 1000 °C with the sample cooled to room temperature. 40 sccm H2 was introduced for graphene growth. Fully covered graphene was obtained after ~2 h, and CH4 gas was fed through the homemade annealing furnace to obtain Cu(111) single crystal.

Methods

Preparation of single-crystal Cu(111) film on sapphire
The Cu(111) film was deposited on a single-crystal sapphire (4 inch, c plane with misorientation <0.5°, 600 μm thickness, epi-ready with R<sub>c</sub> < 0.2 nm) using a sputtering system (ULVAC QAM-4W). The deposition rate was 0.3 nm/s with a power of 300 W at 8 × 10⁻¹⁰ Torr, and a ~500-nm-thick Cu film was obtained on sapphire after 30 min. After that, the Cu/sapphire was then annealed at 1000 °C with 2000 sccm Ar and 10 sccm H<sub>2</sub> for 2 h at atmospheric pressure in a homemade annealing furnace to obtain Cu(III) single crystal.

Growth of ultra-flat single-crystal graphene wafers
The 4-inch Cu(111)/sapphire was heated to 1000 °C with 2000 sccm Ar at atmospheric pressure, then 40 sccm CH<sub>4</sub> (0.1% diluted in Ar) and 40 sccm H<sub>2</sub> was introduced for graphene growth. Fully covered graphene was obtained after ~2 h, and CH<sub>4</sub> gas flow was turned off while the sample cooled to room temperature.

Preparation of single-crystal Cu(111) foil
Commercially available polycrystalline Cu foils were placed in a homemade annealing furnace equipped with a 6-inch quartz tube. Three heating zones were asynchrornously heated up from room temperature to target temperatures (1040 °C, 1020 °C, 1000 °C, respectively) in 40 min and kept for 1 h, which leads to the formation of a temperature gradient through the Cu foils (about 2 °C/cm) and promotes the anomalous Cu grain growth<sup>59</sup>. The heating and annealing processes were carried out under 500 sccm H<sub>2</sub> and 100 sccm Ar.

Growth of rough graphene on single-crystal Cu foil
The rough graphene was grown on Cu(111) foil using a low-pressure CVD system. Firstly, The Cu(111) foil was heated to 1000 °C with 500 sccm Ar, followed by annealing with 500 sccm H<sub>2</sub> for 30 min. Then the growth of graphene was initiated by the introduction of 1 sccm CH<sub>4</sub>. Fully covered graphene was produced after 1 h growth. Finally, the system was cooled down to room temperature.

Transfer of ultra-flat single-crystal graphene wafers with GSE strategy
A step-by-step protocol is available as a Supplementary Protocol in Supplementary Information. First, a layer of (-)-borneol (~97% purity, Alfa Aesar) dissolving in isopropyl alcohol (Crystal Clear Electronic Material Co., Ltd.) (25 wt%) and a layer of PMMA (950 K A4, Microchem Inc.) were sequentially spin-coated on graphene/Cu(111)/sapphire at 1000 rpm for 1 min, and baked at 130 °C for 3 min to form a composite support film. After that, PMMA/Borneol/graphene film was detached from growth substrate by etching the Cu film for 8-12 h in an aqueous solution of 1 mol/L (NH)<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub> (Rawhn, Shanghai Yien Chemical Technology Co., Ltd.). After washing with deionized water to remove residual etchant, the PMMA/Borneol/graphene film was attached to the Si substrates, and the PDMS (WF-40×40-0060-X4, Gel-Pak) was laminated on the surface of PMMA after the graphene was dried using the commercial laminator (LM-330ID, Rayson Co., Ltd.). The composite film of PDMS/PMMA/Borneol/Graphene was detached from Si substrate in water because the water will intercalate into the interface of graphene and Si substrate due to the hydrophilic surface of SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si (Supplementary Fig. 2, Supplementary Movie 1). The composite film is fully dried in atmosphere, followed by laminating onto SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si (sapphire), and the PDMS was exfoliated from the PMMA at 180 °C in 5 min. To further enhance the interaction of graphene and substrate, we baked the graphene at 180 °C for 3 h.
before removing PMMA and borneol with the vapor of hot acetone (UP, 99%, Crystal Clear Electronic Material Co., Ltd.), leaving the monolayer graphene on target substrate.

The detachment of graphene from Cu(111)/sapphire can also be achieved by electrochemical bubbling delamination when PDMS/PMMA/borneol was used as a composite support layer, which reduced the time of transfer of graphene and preserved the Cu(111)/sapphire wafer.

**Transfer of ultra-flat and rough single-crystal graphene wafers with PMMA**

First, a layer of PMMA was spin-coated on graphene/Cu(111)/sapphire or graphene/Cu(111) foil at 1000 rpm for 1 min, and baked at 170 °C for 3 min. After that, PMMA/graphene film was detached from growth substrate by etching the Cu film in an aqueous solution of 1 mol/L (NH)2S2O8. After washing with deionized water to remove residual etchant, the PMMA/graphene film was attached to the SiO2/Si substrates at the surface of water. To further enhance the interaction of graphene and SiO2/Si, the PMMA/graphene/SiO2/Si was baked at 180 °C for 3 h before removing PMMA with the vapor of hot acetone, leaving the monolayer graphene on SiO2/Si.

**DFT calculation of adsorption energies of borneol and PMMA on graphene surface**

To evaluate the adsorption energies of borneol and PMMA on graphene surface \( E_{ad} \), short chain of PMMA (C22H38O8) terminated with -CH3 without introducing additional polarity of the polymer was used in our first-principles calculations. Both borneol and PMMA short chain were placed on the surface of graphene with a -3.3 Å distance, measured from the center plane of molecule to the plane of graphene. The adsorption energy is defined as:

\[
E_{ad} = E_{\text{transfer medium}} + E_{\text{graphene}} - E_{\text{transfer medium on graphene}}
\]

All the structures were optimized using the density functional theory (DFT) implemented in VASP. To keep consistency, all the periodic cells are of the same size with \( a = 24.56 \) Å, \( b = 24.56 \) Å, \( c = 21.70 \) Å. The \( 11 \times 11 \) supercell of graphene was chosen for all the calculations. The PBE with the optB86b-vdW correction functional was used to determine the total energies for each structure, taking into account the Van der Waals interaction between polymer and graphene.

**Fabrication of Hall-bar devices and electrical transport measurement**

Hall-bar devices were fabricated on the graphene/SiO2/Si with marks for alignment. Electron-beam lithography and plasma etching with air or graphene/Cu(111) foil at 1000 rpm for 1 min, and baked at 170 °C for 3 min, followed by a standard lift-off technique. Limited by the stage size of thermal evaporation (ZHD300, Beijing Technol Science Co., Ltd), patterned by EBL, Pd/Au (5/40 nm) electrodes were deposited by electron-beam lithography and plasma etching with air or graphene/Cu(111). The area of each slice is 1 × 1 cm2. 18 graphene Hall-bar devices on 5 slices from another 4-inch PMMA-graphene/Cu(111)/sapphire wafer. The contact angle images of tested liquids on different surfaces were obtained using Biolin THETA optical tensiometer, the volume of the droplet on surface was controlled at about 4 μL.

**AFM measurement.** The AFM morphology images were collected on a Bruker Dimension Icon using the ScanAsyst mode.

**Sheet resistance measurement.** The sheet resistances of transferred graphene on 4-inch wafers were collected by CDE ResMap 178 four-probe resistance tester.

**Contact angle measurement.** The contact angle images of tested liquids on different surfaces were obtained using Biolin THETA optical tensiometer, the volume of the droplet on surface was controlled at about 4 μL.

**SEM and TEM measurement.** SEM images were obtained on an FEI Quatto s field-emission scanning electron microscope using a 5 kV acceleration voltage. The aberration-corrected STEM images of graphene were performed using a Nion U-HERMS200 microscope at 60 kV.

**Fabrication of thermal emitters and thermal radiation measurement**

The arrays of graphene thermal emitters were fabricated by UV lithography machine, and Al2O3 layer was grown by atomic layer deposition method. The Raman spectroscopy of graphene were measured by a confocal microRaman spectroscope (Renishaw inVia Qontor, UK) with a solid-state laser at 532 nm. The Raman signals were dispersed by a grating of 1800 lines/mm ensuring a high spectral resolution of ~1.0 cm⁻¹. The spectrometer was calibrated by a quartz tungsten lamp at temperature of 3200 K before measurement. The thermal emission spectra were recorded using a spectrometer and a liquid nitrogen cooled Si CCD with a 50° objective lens. All measurements were carried out at room temperature and in vacuum.

**Characterization**

**Optical measurement.** Optical microscopy was conducted on a Nikon Olympus LV100ND. Raman spectra of transferred graphene were collected on a Horiba LabRAM HR Evolution Raman system using a 532 nm laser with a laser spot size 1 μm, and a 100x objective and 600 lines/mm grating were used to collect the Raman signal.

**Data availability**

The data that support the findings of this study are available within the article and its Supplementary Information files. The source data of Fig. 1c, e, h, 2a–f, 3a–c, e–h, 4e, f, and Supplementary Figs. 8b, 10a, 12c, 14c, 15b, d, 16b, 18c, 19a, b, 20b, c, 21a, b, and 23d are provided as “Source Data File”. All raw data generated during the current study are available from the corresponding authors upon request. Source data are provided with this paper.
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