Oxidation of soot over supported RuRe nanoparticles prepared by the microwave-polyol method
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Abstract

The oxidation of soot over RuRe bimetallic nanoparticles (NPs) supported on γ-Al2O3 has been investigated. The catalysts were synthesized by a microwave-polyol method and characterized by ICP, BET, TEM, STEM-EDS, XRD and XPS techniques. The study revealed that the proper choice of the Re loading (0.4–2.0 wt%) is crucial for the catalytic behavior of the 2% Ru–Re/Al2O3 nano-catalysts. The best catalytic properties, in terms of overall activity and stability, were observed for the 2%Ru-0.8%Re/γ-Al2O3 nano-catalyst. The stability of all bimetallic 2% Ru–Re nano-catalysts in catalytic soot oxidation in the presence of oxygen is very high in contrast to the 2% Ru/γ-Al2O3 sample. The presence of rhenium in the catalytic system hinder the formation of large RuO2 agglomerates leading to a better dispersion of active ruthenium phase and a better catalytic performance. The relationship between the catalytic activity of Ru–Re/γ-Al2O3 and the synergetic roles of Ru and Re is discussed.
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Introduction

Particle matters (PM) suspended in the air are responsible for the black smoke, which is one of the biggest problems of modern society. The main source of harmful particulate matters are car engines, especially diesel engines. The gasoline direct injection engines can easily comply with the PM gravimetric Euro 6 limit, but solid particles emitted from them are very small (mostly below 100 nm) and particulate number (PN) emission significantly exceeds the acceptable threshold [1]. For this reason, the newest EU regulations enforce the control of the particulate emission also from gasoline engines. Particle matters with diameters below 10 μm can be present in the air for a long time and are recognized as the most harmful particles for human bodies. A high concentration of PM$_{2.5}$ in the air has been linked to increased morbidity and mortality because they can penetrate the lungs causing asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and atherosclerosis [2]. However, the diesel and gasoline particulate matters consist not only of carbonaceous soot but also minor components of the volatile organic fraction (VOF) from unburned fuel, lubricating oil, and inorganic phases, for example, ash or sulfur combinations [3]. Several of these compounds are considered carcinogenic and mutagenic.

So far particulate filters are considered as the only efficient solution for lowering the PM emissions from cars [4]. However, the removal of the soot accumulated on the filter pores is required in order to prevent the clogging, building up a back-pressure and consequently the destruction of the filtering system. The process of trapped PM oxidation by O$_2$ molecule can be performed when a pre-determined quantity of soot is collected [5, 6]. The additional portion of fuel needs to be injected into the hot exhaust for rising the adiabatic temperature and consequently, the catalytic combustion of the soot within the filter can occur.

Various forms of catalysts were proposed for lowering the soot oxidation temperature. The noble metal-based systems (Pt, Pd, Rh) are mostly used in the automotive exhaust control catalysts because of their high activity and stability. Limited availability and the high cost of platinum and palladium have been a reason for the search for alternative catalysts. Cerium or iron-based catalysts can be used as fuel additives that embed into the soot structure and lower the ignition temperature of PM [7]. On the other hand, non-noble metals oxides like Ni, Co, Cu, Mn are not durable for automotive applications, because of their intrinsic reactivity and lack of resistance to poisoning [8].

New catalytic systems are still under development. The main issues are: lowering the filter’s regeneration temperatures, decreasing the cost of the catalysts, and improving their effectiveness. A large portion of the particulate matters produced by realistic combustion engines includes porous soot within which organic molecules can be condensed and adsorbed [9]. As the engine exhaust cools, these molecules may pass from the gas phase to create fine particulate matters [10]. The condensed or adsorbed hydrocarbons molecules can be up to 25% by a soot mass and act as precursors of the elemental carbon within the particles [9, 11]. Although a lot of studied catalytic systems were proved to be active in the
combustion of elemental carbon they are not good enough to remove the condensed toxic molecules from the engine’s exhaust. Due to this fact, it is rational to search for a new catalytic system, stable and active in both hydrocarbon and soot combustion.

Ruthenium is a very active catalyst in important reactions for industry, such as ammonia synthesis [12] or the Fischer–Tropsch process [13]. Recently, much attention has been devoted to research on the use of ruthenium in oxidation reactions of, e.g.: ammonia [14], alcohols [15] or carbon monoxide [16]. The Ru/Al₂O₃ catalyst also exhibits the capacity to strong chemisorption of the naphthalene and activity in the total oxidation of this molecule [17]. In a previous study we also shown that Ru/Al₂O₃ [18] and Ru/CeO₂ [19] are highly efficient catalysts for oxidation of the light alkanes (C₁–C₄). More recently, a few studies have been devoted to the oxidation of soot in the presence of Ru-based catalyst [20–24]. Ruthenium supported on zeolite is active in the oxidation of carbon particles by NO₂, which is a stronger soot oxidant than oxygen [22]. On the other hand, Villani et al. found that in the absence of NOₓ, the Ru/Na-Y catalyst catalyzes the soot oxidation at temperatures about 100 °C lower than the Pt/Na-Y catalyst [23]. Hence, the authors claimed that unlike platinum catalysts, carbon oxidation by a zeolite-supported ruthenium catalyst does not require the presence of NOₓ in the gas stream and this catalyst opens up a new route for catalytic soot oxidation in diesel engine exhaust filters. A comparison between ruthenium and platinum based catalysts in C–O₂ and C–NO₂ showed that Ru catalysts are more active in both types of reactions compared to Pt based catalyst [24]. However, during the soot combustion reaction progressive conversion of the Ru into the bulk RuO₂ oxide occurred and it is a reason for deactivation of the ruthenium catalyst [23]. Additionally, one of the factors that Ru is not used as the automotive catalyst instead of Pt and Pd is that it forms volatile oxides at high temperatures [8]. Villani et al. studied the stability of Ru/zeolite-based catalysts and found 900 °C as the upper limit temperature for practical applications of Ru-based catalysts [23]. Several studies were carried out at Ford company to improve the Ru stability against volatilization, e.g. by deploying it in the form of various ruthenate compounds like BaRuO₃, SrRuO₃, or LaNiₓRu₁−ₓO₃, but the ruthenium in that state loses its excellent catalytic properties in soot oxidation [8].

The solution to the problem of the low thermal stability of the ruthenium may be the introduction of a second metal into the system and the application of new techniques for the preparation of the metallic particles. The polyol reduction method, in which the polyol acts both as a solvent of the metal salt and as reducing agent, is one of the most effective for this purpose. It was found that the Ru/TiO₂ catalyst prepared by this method and used for partial oxidation of methane, demonstrates a much stronger metal-support interaction and a following higher thermal stability than an analoical sample prepared by traditional impregnation method [25]. In our previous work, we reported that colloidal synthesis in ethylene glycol using a microwave reactor is an effective new method for obtaining RuRe bimetallic nanoparticles (NPs) with the diameters of a few nanometers [26]. Generally bimetallic catalysts are mostly prepared by co-impregnation or sequential impregnation methods. However, these methods frequently lead to ill-defined morphologies of the supported bimetallic catalysts and an uneven distribution of active sites. An improved
method to achieve higher uniformity of the bimetallic catalysts, in which the two metals are bonded to each other are the polyl method or a combined microwave irradiation-polyol method [26]. Additionally, microwave-assisted polyl synthesis of bimetallic NPs represents a sustainable and green approach to the preparation of supported nanostructured catalysts using environmentally friendly and less energy-demanding conditions, compared with those involved in traditional methods. This technique allows very rapid uniform heating of the metal precursors and this shortens the crystallization period of the metal NPs, leading to more homogeneous nucleation when compared to conventional synthesis methods. In this way nanostructures with smaller sizes, narrower size distributions, and a higher degree of crystallization are obtained under microwave (MW) heating. Baranowska and Okal found that the RuRe NPs in situ deposited on γ-Al₂O₃ were very stable, did not tend to agglomeration and their activity in the propane oxidation was higher than that of the Ru nano-catalyst [27, 28]. Generally, rhenium is known as a good promotor for catalytic application causing the improvement of the active phase stability [29]. In the Pt-Re reforming catalyst, the sintering of small-sized nanoparticles (several nanometers and smaller) during the catalytic reaction was significantly inhibited thanks to the presence of Re atoms [30]. The RuRe catalysts are also active and stable in the high-temperature methane oxidation [31], hydrogenation of succinic acid [32], hydrodeoxygenation of lignin [33], and hydrogenolysis of guaiacol [34] or sorbitol [35].

The purpose of this study was to prepare a series of Ru–Re bimetallic nano-catalysts with different atomic ratios (Ru/Re = 9:1, 9:2, 9:3, 9:4 and 9:5) and to investigate the catalysts performances for the soot combustion. Bimetallic RuRe NPs prepared by the colloidal microwave-assisted synthesis were in situ deposited on the γ-Al₂O₃ and next characterized by BET, ICP, TEM, STEM-EDS, XRD and XPS methods. Activity of the catalysts (in the absence of NOₓ) was checked using thermogravimetry in the tight contact between soot and catalysts with the ratio soot:catalysts = 1:8 w/w. Despite the high activity of supported Ru catalysts in various oxidation reactions, little research has been done on the possibility of using ruthenium catalysts for the oxidation of solid carbon. To the best of our knowledge, no literature data are available on the use of RuRe nano-catalysts for the soot combustion. It could be noted that the proposed Ru–Re system is cheaper than Pd or Pt-based catalysts. The current price of Ru and Re is about $ 750 and $ 250 per ounce, respectively and they are lower than the Pd ($ 2600 an ounce) and Pt ($ 1100 an ounce).

Experimental

Preparation and treatment of the catalysts

γ-Al₂O₃ support with purity of 99.99% was purchased from US Research Nanomaterials. The microwave-polyol synthesis of RuRe NPs involved the reduction of RuCl₃·3H₂O (Alfa Aesar) and NH₄ReO₄ (Alfa Aesar) with ethylene glycol (EG) in the presence of the alumina support. First, the proper amount of the metal precursors was dissolved in 50 ml of EG and stirred magnetically at room
temperature (RT) for 10 h. Next, 10 drops of NH$_4$OH was added to the solution to achieve pH = 7.5, and in the next stage γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ (c.a. 2.7 g) was added to form a suspension. The mixture was magnetically stirred again for 15 min at RT and next put in a Teflon vessel placed in an autoclave with microwave heating (MW Reactor, Ertec, Poland). The synthesis time was set up to 20 min and the reaction proceeded at 190–200 °C under autogenic pressure of 20–23 atm. After the reaction was completed the vessel was rapidly cooled down in an ice-water bath. The suspension was mixed with the 250 ml of the NH$_4$NO$_3$ solution (0.3 M), filtered, and washed several times with distilled water to remove the chlorine and others ions. After drying under vacuum at RT, part of the as-prepared sample was treated in hydrogen flow (heating rate 5 °C/min) at 400 °C for 3 h in order to clean the catalyst surface from adsorbed organic species. The same procedure was used to prepare supported Ru NPs. The reduction of the rhenium salt by EG without the presence of ruthenium was not possible. Because of that, we used the wet impregnation method to obtain the reference 0.8% Re/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst, which after impregnation, drying in air at RT and next at 110 °C (15 h) was reduced in hydrogen flow at 500 °C for 5 h. The samples were stored in a closed containers until using it.

In the bimetallic nano-catalysts, the Ru amount was kept as 2 wt% and the amount of Re was changed: 0.4, 0.8, 1.2, 1.6 and 2.0 wt%. (Ru:Re atomic ratio was 9:1, 9:2 9:3, 9:4 and 9:5, respectively). Additionally, we also decided to synthesize a bimetallic nano-catalyst with a high Ru loading of 5 wt% and Re loading of 2 wt% to be able to observe specific properties of the RuRe NPs. In the samples with a small amount of the active phase—the observations were sometimes impossible because of the detection limit of the measuring equipment.

**Catalyst characterization**

Ru and Re loadings in the as-prepared samples were measured by the ICP-OES method. Uniformity and chemical composition of the nano-catalysts was confirmed with FE-SEM microscope (FEI NovaNano SEM 230) equipped with EDS analyzer (EDAX Genesis XM4). Elemental distributions and weights were determined by either a point of a user-designed size or of a region within the sample. Textural properties of the samples were estimated by N$_2$ adsorption–desorption at − 196 °C by using Micrometrics ASAP 2020 C system. Prior to the measurement’s samples (0.2 g) were dehydrated in a vacuum at 250 °C for 4 h.

Morphological and structural characterization of the catalysts were performed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and high-resolution TEM (HRTEM). HRTEM images were obtained with Philips CM 20 Super-Twin microscope with accelerating voltage of 200 kV providing 0.25 nm resolution. Gatan Digital Micrograph software was used to analyze the results. Additional atomic EDS analysis was performed using STEM TITAN 80–300 microscope equipped with EDAX EDS spectrometer. STEM images were collected with a 24.5-mrad probe semi-angle and recorded by HAADF detector at 47–200 mrad range.
Crystallographic structure and phase composition were determined by X-ray diffraction using PANalytical X’Pert PRO diffractometer equipped with the copper anode (Cu Kα radiation, λ = 1.5406 Å).

The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) with the possibility to perform the in-situ thermal treatments in hydrogen was applied to estimate the surface composition and chemical state of the selected samples. The XPS spectra were collected using monochromatized Al source (XM 650 X Ray Monochromator) operating at 360 W and a Scienta R4000 electron analyzer. All measurements were carried out with an electron flood gun source. The pass energy of the analyzer was set at 200 eV for wide-range scan and 50 eV for high resolution spectra. The spectra were collected and curve-fitted by using the CasaXPS software (version 2.3.16 PR 1.6), taking into account the relative sensitivity factors. The spectra were charge corrected (917 eV) and quantified after background (Shirley) subtraction and deconvolution.

Catalytic measurements

Before measuring catalytic activity, the nano-catalysts were heated/activated in air at 500 °C for 1 h. The activity in a soot combustion has been investigated by thermogravimetric measurements in the flow of synthetic air 50 ml/min + Ar 20 ml/min, using Mettler Toledo—TGA/DSC 3 + Thermogravimetric Analyzer with the large furnace (LF). Printex 80 manufactured by Degussa was used as a model soot, which is widely applied as a model substance for diesel particulate. Before catalytic activity evaluation, catalyst-soot mixtures were prepared by grinding the catalyst powder with soot (8:1 on a mass basis) in an agate mortal for 10 min, thus realizing a tight contact. Next, 100 mg of this mixture was loaded in an alumina crucible and heated from RT to 600 °C with a rate of 5 °C/min. For the monometallic Ru and bimetallic RuRe samples, three consecutive cycles were carried out. For the γ-Al2O3 and Re/γ-Al2O3 catalyst only one cycle was performed to check the Re or catalyst support contribution. From our reproducibility tests, to compare activity between various catalysts, we determined the experimental error of ± 4 °C, for the tight contact in the soot: catalyst ratio used in this investigation.

Results and discussion

Composition and textural results

Table 1 presents nominal and actual Ru and Re loadings in the as-prepared samples and atomic ratios of Ru and Re in the bimetallic nano-catalysts. As can be seen both Ru and Re loadings measured by the ICP-OES method for all the catalysts prepared by the microwave irradiation-polyol method are very close to the theoretical loadings established during the synthesis. Thus, for the bimetallic samples with a Ru content of 2 wt% it will be possible comparison of the influence of Re loading (from 0.4 to 2 wt%) on the chemical and catalytical properties of ruthenium. Only for the 5% Ru-2%Re sample the measured Re loading was higher than expected (~3 wt%
instead of 2 wt%). The results of the chemical analyses indicate complete reduction of the RuCl3 and NH4ReO4 precursors to metallic species during used colloidal synthesis and their in-situ deposition onto the γ-Al2O3 support. Previously we found that at higher Ru (5 wt%) and Re loading (up to 9.2 wt%) a small portion of Ru in the RuCl3 and Re in the NH4ReO4 was not completely reduced and these species were removed as dissolved Ru and Re species during the NaNO3 treatment and consequent filtration and washing procedure with distilled water [26]. The Ru and Re loadings were also determined for the samples treated in air at high temperature of 500 °C. The ICP results (not presented) showed that the Ru and Re content as well as Ru:Re atomic ratios were close to that of in the as-prepared nano-catalysts, indicating that no noticeable loss of Ru and Re (as volatile RuO4 or Re2O7 oxide) occurred during oxidation treatment at 500 °C.

The textural data for all the nano-catalysts and γ-Al2O3 support, based on the N2 adsorption–desorption isotherms (not presented), are summarized in Table 1. The data were obtained for the samples treated in hydrogen at 400 °C and also after their treatment in air at 500 °C. The γ-Al2O3 support before measurement was treated in hot EG in the same way as the catalysts—but without the addition of a metal precursor. Generally, γ-Al2O3 and all the samples exhibited type IV isotherms typical of mesoporous materials, and their textural properties were only slightly influenced by composition and treatment procedures. The EG treatment and heating in H2 at 400 °C of the alumina support nearly did not change its initial BET specific surface area (142 m²/g), pore volume (0.67 cm³/g) and pore diameter (18.2 nm). For the H2 treated bimetallic 2% Ru–Re catalysts the values of BET surface area and pore volume are roughly the same (139–142 m²/g and 0.64–0.68 cm³/g, respectively) as for the γ-Al2O3. However, at higher Ru loading (5 wt%), both in the mono- and bimetallic nano-catalyst, the BET surface area is lower by ~7% indicating that the

| Catalyst | Ru/Re atomic ratio | Actual metal loading (wt%) | BET surface area (m²/g) | Mean pore diameter (nm) | Total pore volume (cm³/g) |
|----------|------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
|          |                  |                           | Ru | Re | H₂ | air | H₂ | air | H₂ | air |
| γ-Al2O3  | –                | –                         | 143 | 143 | 18.3 | 17.8 | 0.66 | 0.63 |
| 2% Ru    | 1:0              | 1.98                      | 139 | 134 | 18.9 | 19.0 | 0.66 | 0.63 |
| 2%Ru-0.4%Re | 9:1          | 1.90, 0.41                | 139 | 136 | 18.7 | 18.1 | 0.65 | 0.62 |
| 2%Ru-0.8%Re | 9:2          | 1.96, 0.81                | 140 | 135 | 18.4 | 18.3 | 0.66 | 0.63 |
| 2%Ru-1.2%Re | 9:3          | 2.01, 1.24                | 139 | 136 | 18.0 | 18.3 | 0.63 | 0.65 |
| 2%Ru-1.6%Re | 9:4          | 2.00, 1.64                | 141 | 138 | 18.2 | 18.7 | 0.64 | 0.65 |
| 2%Ru-2.0%Re | 9:5          | 1.98, 2.03                | 142 | 136 | 19.2 | 18.9 | 0.68 | 0.64 |
| 5%Ru     | 1:0              | 4.93                      | 133 | 130 | 18.4 | 18.7 | 0.63 | 0.61 |
| 5%Ru-2%Re | 9:2          | 4.95, 2.97                | 134 | 130 | 17.5 | 17.9 | 0.60 | 0.58 |
| 0.8%Rea  | 0:1              | –                         | n.m | 138 | 135 | 17.3 | 17.3 | 0.60 | 0.60 |

*aRe/γ-Al2O3 catalyst prepared by the impregnation method*
metal particles may be localized both inside the pores and on the alumina surface. Treatment of the mono- and bimetallic Ru–Re samples in air at 500 °C leads to a small decrease of the BET surface area (134–138 m²/g), and the total pore volume (0.58–0.65 cm³/g). The mean pore diameter for all the Ru-based catalysts is in the narrow range 17–19 nm. Some drop of the BET surface area and total pore volume after air treatment may be related to the partial blockage of pores by the formed RuO₂ particles. The textural data presented in Table 1 suggest that particles of the active phase are placed both in the pores and in an external surface of the alumina support.

Additionally, we confirmed by SEM–EDS analysis that in the fresh as-prepared nan-catalysts as well in the H₂ treated, the metal particles were uniformly distributed on the alumina support surface. After air calcination at 500 °C small agglomeration of particles was observed for all the studied nano-catalysts.

**Structure characterization of the nano-catalysts after H₂ treatment at 400 °C**

The XRD patterns recorded for the Ru NPs and Ru–Re NPs deposited on γ-Al₂O₃ after hydrogen treatment at 400 °C are presented in Fig. 1. All the patterns show diffraction peaks at 2Θ of 37.6°, 45.7°, 62°, and 66.6° corresponding only to the structure of the γ-Al₂O₃ (JCPDS 29-0063). Similar XRD patterns were obtained for the nano-catalysts with high Ru content (5 wt%). This suggests that the formed metal NPs are either amorphous or highly dispersed, with particle sizes below the detection limit of the XRD method. The high dispersion of Ru and Ru–Re NPs was confirmed by TEM observation. Fig. 2 shows representative TEM images and

![Fig. 1 X-ray diffraction patterns of the monometallic 2% Ru/γ-Al₂O₃ and bimetallic 2% Ru–Re nano-catalysts with different Re content after treatment in H₂ at 400 °C. Peaks from all the patterns are ascribed only to γ-Al₂O₃ structure (JCPDS 29-0063)](image-url)
corresponding particle size distributions of the 2\% Ru and bimetallic 2\%Ru-0.8\%Re and 2\%Ru-1.6\%Re nano-catalysts. In all samples we can observe dark dots mainly with a size of 1–3 nm evenly distributed on the alumina support and thus they were undetected by XRD. The mean particle size of the NPs determined from the TEM images amount to 2.7, 2.1 and 1.2 nm for the 2\% Ru, 2\%Ru-0.8\%Re and 2\%Ru-1.6\%Re nano-catalysts, respectively. Therefore, the monometallic Ru nano-catalyst has slightly larger Ru NPs than both RuRe nano-catalysts. Evidently, Re addition improves the ruthenium dispersion in the bimetallic nano-catalysts, in full agreement with our previous studies performed for the traditionally prepared Ru–Re/γ-Al₂O₃ catalysts (co-impregnation) containing 5 wt\% Ru and 1.0–9.2 wt\% Re [29]. The high ruthenium dispersion remains unchanged in all bimetallic nano-catalysts even those with high Ru content (5 wt\%). Moreover, the range of particle sizes is very narrow in all the synthesized samples.

HAADF-STEM analysis was carried out for sample with high ruthenium and rhenium content (5\%Ru-2\%Re) since for the catalysts with a less amount of the active phase, it was difficult to perform EDS measurements. The Ru:Re atomic ratio of the 5\%Ru-2\%Re nano-catalyst is the same as in the 2\%Ru-0.8\%Re (Ru:Re=9:2, Table 1). HAADF-STEM images (with good quality) and EDS spectra of a single nanoparticle in the 2\%Ru-0.8\%Re catalyst were unsuccessful since very small bimetallic NPs were found to be less stable under the electron beam (98\% particles below 2 nm, Fig. 2). Release of atoms from the RuRe NPs during the analysis was observed, leading to the presence of defects on the outer shell of the nano-objects and isolated atoms on the grid. It could be noted that all prepared bimetallic nano-catalysts were highly dispersed, even those with high Ru and Re content, therefore we decided to perform STEM-HAADF characterization for sample with high Ru.

![Fig. 2](image-url)

**Fig. 2** Representative TEM images and corresponding particle size distributions of the 2\% Ru/γ-Al₂O₃ (A), 2\%Ru-0.8\%Re/γ-Al₂O₃ (B) and 2\%Ru-1.6\%Re/γ-Al₂O₃ (C) nano-catalysts after treatment in H₂ at 400 °C.
and Re content. The high magnification STEM-HAADF images of the 5%Ru-2%Re catalyst treated in hydrogen at 400 °C and corresponding lines in the EDS spectrum collected along active phase particles are shown in Fig. 3. The crystalline RuRe NPs with size of 1–4 nm are uniformly dispersed on the support, mostly as single particles. The presented EDS spectrum leads to the conclusion that small NPs consist of both Ru and Re atoms. Additionally, the Ru:Re atomic ratio in the analyzed particles (84:16) is very close to the assumed one (90:20), taking into consideration the measurement error. The results evidence that the RuRe NPs with well-defined composition can be easily synthesized by the used colloidal method. Martynova et al. reported that ruthenium and rhenium can form bulk alloys with the composition of Ru0.5Re0.5 and Ru0.67Re0.33 [36].

**Structure characterization of the nano-catalysts after air treatment at 500 °C**

Before catalytic measurements the Ru and RuRe catalysts were heated in air at 500 °C, therefore we performed also structure characterization of the oxidized samples. Fig. 4 shows the XRD patterns recorded for the 2% Ru and all the bimetallic 2% Ru–Re nano-catalysts treated in air at 500 °C for 1 h. As can be seen, all the patterns show the characteristics reflections corresponding to RuO2 in a tetragonal phase (JCPDS 40-1290), with (110), (101) and (211) as the prevailing primary orientations. For the 2% Ru nano-catalyst reflections from RuO2 are narrow and intense and the mean crystallite size, calculated from the line broadening of the most intense peak at 2θ = 28° is about 40 nm. Reflections of RuO2 oxide are weaker and more broadened in the bimetallic Ru–Re nano-catalysts indicating that mean crystallite size of RuO2 for these samples is smaller. As shown in Table 2, the crystallite size of RuO2 is the smallest for the 2%Ru-0.8%Re nano-catalyst (20 nm) and at higher Re loadings a slight increase of the crystallite size of RuO2 oxide is observed (maximum to 26 nm for 2%Ru-2%Re/γ-Al2O3). The XRD results evidently show that the presence of Re at loading of ≥0.8 wt% causes smaller aggregation of the Ru phase.
in the bimetallic nano-catalysts during air oxidation at 500 °C. In the oxidized bimetallic Ru–Re samples no evidence of any rhenium oxide phase was found by XRD, although the ICP data confirmed that all Re was present in these nano-catalysts. Probably, rhenium oxide is present on the catalyst surface as highly dispersed ReOx phase not giving detectable diffraction peaks.

We also performed characterization of the selected oxidized bimetallic Ru–Re samples by STEM-HAADF/EDS technique since this method is capable of providing simultaneous chemical and structural information with atomic resolution. As example, Fig. S1 (Supplementary Materials) shows representative high magnification STEM-HAADF image of the 2%Ru-0.8%Re/γ-Al2O3 nano-catalyst oxidized
at 500 °C and the EDS spectrum obtained from the selected area. Morphology of the oxidized nano-catalyst differs significantly from the morphology of the reduced bimetallic samples (Fig. 2B, C). Small, well-dispersed RuRe NPs are replaced with rather large (10–50 nm) RuO₂ crystallites, mainly in the shapes of elongated blocks. EDS analysis, conducted on the 2%Ru-0.8%Re sample, allowed us to examine how the chemical compositions of nano-catalysts changed after oxidation treatment. It is clearly visible that the EDS signal from the particle of Ru atoms is intensive, but Re atoms are not visible evidencing a separation between ruthenium and rhenium oxide phase. Nevertheless, after carefully measuring several particles with less defined shapes (Fig. S1), we were able to conclude, that the rhenium dopant was actually still there but its concentration in such large crystals was far from the assumed theoretical value. Since the concentration of Re in this sample is very low (~0.15 Re atoms/nm²), determining the exact position of the oxidized ReOₓ species was difficult. Using STEM-HAADF/EDS technique we previously evidenced for traditionally prepared bimetallic 5%Ru-3%Re/Al₂O₃ catalyst, aged in air at 500 °C for 3 h, that oxidized ReOₓ species may be located both on the surface of larger RuO₂ oxide particles (as atomically dispersed species) and on the alumina support as very small clusters (~0.6 nm) [31]. Very recently, Ro et al. [37] found by using HAADF-STEM characterization the presence of dispersed co-localized atomically dispersed Rh and Re species in the Rh/ReOₓ-Al₂O₃ catalysts after ex situ calcination at 350 °C. However, these catalysts were prepared by a special procedure i.e. via the targeted deposition of Rh precursors near atomically dispersed ReOₓ on γ-Al₂O₃ using electrostatic interactions. In the HAADF-STEM images of the calcined monometallic ReOₓ-Al₂O₃ samples atomically dispersed Re species but also Re clusters were observed [37]. These results are consistent with those presented earlier by Okal et al. who found that Re at low concentration on γ-Al₂O₃ support (0.2 Re/nm²) after oxidation at 500–800 °C, is present as individual ReOₓ species with an Al-O-ReO₃ structure [38] and at higher concentration (2.1 Re/nm²) also as a surface compound exhibiting Al-(O-ReO₃)₃ structure [39].

XPS studies

The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis revealed the oxidation states of the surface ruthenium and rhenium atoms in the selected Ru or Ru–Re nano-catalysts after heating in hydrogen at 400 °C and oxidation in air at 500 °C i.e. before activity measurements. The characterization of the Ru-based catalysts by this technique is difficult because Ru 3d spectra are severely overlapped with C 1 s peak (at 285.2–288 eV) of the various carbon bound species and also between the Re 4d₃/₂ and Ru 3d₅/₂ (major peaks). Large part of the carbon species may come from organic residues in agreement with literature data, which showed that at the surface of the Ru catalyst prepared by the polyol method, there is more C-containing species than at the surface of the traditional prepared catalyst (impregnation). Some part of the carbon residues was removed by heating of the as-prepared samples in hydrogen at 400 °C. A typical wide energy scans of the 2% Ru/γ-Al₂O₃ and 2%Ru-0.8%Re/γ-Al₂O₃ nan-catalyst after in situ treatment in hydrogen at 400 °C are shown in Supplementary Materials (Figs. S2 and
The monometallic Ru sample gave an XPS spectrum with clearly resolved O 1 s and 2 s, Al 2 s and 2p, C 1 s, Ru 3p, Ru 3d peaks (Fig. S2) and for the bimetallic Ru–Re sample additionally Re 4d peak is also visible (Fig. S3). As an impurity only carbon (in four different states; C–H aliphatic, C–O, C=O and O–C=O) was observed in all the studied samples. It is important that chlorine ions were not present in the tested samples (Cl 2p peak at ~199 eV), although they were synthesized from Cl-containing Ru precursor (RuCl₃). Since RuCl₃ is often used in the preparation of the various Ru-based catalysts, it is available in the market and cheaper than other Ru precursors, thus the frequently observed the chlorine poisoning effect should be avoided, using the proposed microwave-polyol method to synthesize Ru–Re nano-catalysts.

Fig. S4 (Supplementary Materials) shows XPS high resolution spectra of the Ru 3d region from the 2% Ru catalyst and spectra of the Ru 3d and Re 4d regions from the bimetallic 2%Ru-0.8%Re/γ-Al₂O₃ after treatment with H₂ at 400 °C and after oxidation in air at 500 °C. More detailed deconvoluted high resolution spectra are also shown in Figs. S5 and S6. The XPS spectrum of the reduced Ru sample (Fig. S4a) shows two main components that contain 3d₅/₂ peak at ~279.7 eV, which is assigned to metallic Ru⁰ species and at ~280.8 eV, which is attributed to Ru⁴⁺ species. After treatment in air at 500 °C, a strong peak at ~280.73 eV attributed to a 3d₅/₂ of Ru⁴⁺ species is observed (Fig. S4 b). Moreover, XPS spectra of the reduced and oxidized 2% Ru nano-catalyst contain also minor contributions from RuOₓ surface species (at ~281.8 eV) and from satellite feature in RuO₂ (at ~282.6 eV). The XPS spectra of the reduced bimetallic 2%Ru-0.8%Re sample (Fig. S4c) contain also metallic Ru⁰ species (at ~279.8 eV) and Ru⁴⁺ species, and in the fully oxidized sample only Ru⁴⁺ species (at ~280.8 eV, Fig. S4d). Additionally, in the reduced and oxidized Ru–Re catalyst (Fig. S4 c and d) spectra in the Re 4d₃/₂ region show only one low intense and broad peak and therefore determination of the rhenium oxidation states is impossible. Such large spectral broadening is also characteristic for the low-loaded Re/γ-Al₂O₃ catalysts and it is ascribed to the strong interaction of the rhenium species (mainly as ReOₓ) with the alumina support [40]. In our case, we suppose that in the reduced Ru–Re/γ-Al₂O₃ sample significant amounts of Re species existed as a mixture of low-valent Re species (Re³⁺, Re⁴⁺, Re⁶⁺ and Re⁷⁺) that may include also the metallic state. Previously, we found by XPS that in traditionally prepared 5%Ru-3%Re/γ-Al₂O₃ catalyst, after reduction in hydrogen at 500 °C, rhenium species existed as Re⁰, Re⁴⁺, Re⁶⁺ and Re⁷⁺ [28]. The presence of a mixture of various oxidation states is ascribed to the strong oxophilicity of rhenium [40]. We suppose that ReOₓ oxide species in the reduced catalyst existed mainly on the surface of RuRe NPs in agreement with EDS results (Fig. 3), which showed that Ru and Re atoms were in close proximity. After oxidation at 500 °C, probably all Re species are oxidized to Re⁷⁺, and large broadening of the Re XPS peak indicates that this species is present on the surface in various environments (Fig. S4d).

**Soot oxidation activity of Ru-based nano-catalysts in the presence of oxygen**

The catalytic performance of the monometallic Ru and bimetallic RuRe/γ-Al₂O₃ nano-catalysts in the soot combustion was investigated by the thermogravimetric method using synthetic air atmosphere (21% O₂ and 79% N₂). Before measurements
the nano-catalysts were activated in air at 500 °C for 1 h. This activation resulted in a considerable reactivity for the catalytic soot oxidation and the commercially available soot, Printex80 from Degussa, is a good reference to the realistic particulate matters [41]. The results expressed as a soot conversion percentage as a function of temperature change for all studied samples are presented in Figs. 5 and S7. The scale was adjusted to present the obtained results the most accurately. Since the durability
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**Fig. 5** Catalytic soot oxidation curves recorded during the three consecutive tests on the 2% Ru/γ-Al₂O₃, and bimetallic 2%Ru-0.4%Re and 2%Ru-1.2%Re nano-catalysts (soot:catalyst ratio = 1:8, in the flow of synthetic air 50 ml/min + Ar 20 ml/min)
of the catalysts is very necessary for their application, activity of the studied catalysts was evaluated in three consecutive cycle experiments. To compare the catalytic activities of the samples $T_{10}$, $T_{50}$, and $T_{90}$ values (the temperature at which 10%, 50%, and 90% of the soot was oxidized, respectively) were chosen and are presented in Table 3. Additionally, catalytic properties of the studied catalysts were evaluated by comparing these temperatures with those of soot oxidation without any catalyst and over the monometallic Re/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst in the same reaction conditions. As shown in Table 3, the temperature onset $T_{10}$ for the non-catalytic soot oxidation is close to 465 °C, whereas nearly completed soot oxidation is observed at 670 °C. Similar the $T_{10}$ and $T_{90}$ values were obtained for soot oxidation over 0.8% Re/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst. The results show that rhenium supported on γ-alumina is totally inactive in the soot oxidation. Literature data show that the non-catalytic combustion temperature of soot depends on the amount of adsorbed hydrocarbon molecules and experimental conditions, but generally is between 600 and 700 °C [9, 21, 42].

Fig. 5 shows the soot conversion over three representative catalysts, monometallic 2%Ru and bimetallic 2%Ru-0.4%Re and 2%Ru-1.2%Re, as a function of reaction temperature. In the first catalytic cycle the 2% Ru catalyst exhibited high activity. The onset of soot oxidation is observed at 403 °C, i.e. 62 °C below that of the uncatalyzed soot. After ignition, soot oxidation proceeds rapidly and the $T_{90}$ value in the first cycle is close to 577 °C i.e. about 93 °C lower with respect to non-catalytic soot oxidation (Table 3). However, activity of this catalyst decreases quickly, already in the second measurement cycle (Fig. 5). Namely, the onset temperature ($T_{10}$) value

| Catalyst                | Reaction cycle | $T_{10}$ (°C)$^a$ | $T_{50}$ (°C)$^a$ | $T_{90}$ (°C)$^a$ | $\Delta T$ (°C) |
|-------------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Bare soot               | 1st            | 465              | 585              | 670              | 205            |
| 0.8%Re/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$    | 1st            | 462              | 578              | 668              | 206            |
| 2% Ru                   | 1st            | 403              | 522              | 577              | 174            |
|                         | 2nd            | 448              | 535              | 578              | 130            |
|                         | 3rd            | 448              | 550              | 583              | 135            |
| 2%Ru-0.4%Re             | 1st            | 412              | 528              | 583              | 171            |
|                         | 2nd            | 430              | 525              | 557              | 122            |
|                         | 3rd            | 430              | 525              | 557              | 127            |
| 2%Ru-0.8%Re             | 1st            | 422              | 521              | 570              | 148            |
|                         | 2nd            | 420              | 525              | 568              | 148            |
|                         | 3rd            | 420              | 530              | 558              | 138            |
| 2%Ru-1.2%Re             | 1st            | 434              | 525              | 577              | 143            |
|                         | 2nd            | 431              | 532              | 575              | 144            |
|                         | 3rd            | 433              | 537              | 575              | 142            |
| 2%Ru-1.6%Re             | 1st            | 436              | 532              | 582              | 146            |
|                         | 2nd            | 440              | 534              | 576              | 136            |
|                         | 3rd            | 448              | 540              | 574              | 126            |
| 2%Ru-2%Re               | 1st            | 445              | 529              | 578              | 133            |
|                         | 2nd            | 446              | 536              | 574              | 128            |
|                         | 3rd            | 448              | 540              | 574              | 126            |

$^a$The experimental error is ± 4 °C, for the tight contact in the soot:catalyst ratio used in this investigation
in the second and third cycle is about 45 °C higher (448 °C) as compared to the first cycle (403 °C). Also, the $T_{50}$ value increased by about 13 °C in the second cycle and by 28 °C in the third cycle (550 °C, Table 3). For the bimetallic catalyst with small amount of Re (0.4 wt%) in the first catalytic cycle, the soot conversion curve shifts slightly towards the higher temperatures in comparison to the monometallic 2%Ru (Fig. 5, Table 3). However, the curves of the cycle experiments show a slight back shift compared to the catalytic curve of the fresh 2% Ru-0.4%Re catalyst, and the two curves almost overlap. Thus, in the second cycle, activity of this catalyst increased and the $T_{90}$ value is about 26 °C lower (557 °C) as compared to the first cycle (583 °C) and in the third cycle the $T_{10}$, $T_{50}$ and $T_{90}$ values are the same as in the second cycle (Table 3). The best catalytic results, in terms of all the considered parameters, are observed when the Re content in the bimetallic sample was increased to 0.8 wt% (atomic ratio of Ru/Re is 9:2). As shown in Table 3, in the first catalytic cycle, the $T_{50}$ and $T_{90}$ values are close to that observed for the monometallic 2% Ru sample. However, in the second and third cycle these values are much lower, particularly the $T_{90}$ value in the third cycle is observed near 558 °C, i.e. about 25 °C lower as compared to 2% Ru (583 °C) and about 112 °C below that of bare soot. Also, for this sample the $T_{90}$ value in the third cycle is about 12 °C lower (558 °C) as compared to the first cycle (570 °C). A further increase in the rhenium content to 2 wt% (atomic ratio of Ru/Re is 9:5) leads to a small decrease in the catalyst activity in the first cycle (Figs. 5 and S7). However, as can be seen from Fig. S7 and Table 3, the $T_{50}$ and $T_{90}$ values in the second and third cycle are always lower for the bimetallic Ru–Re nano-catalysts as compared to the monometallic Ru nano-catalyst, independent of the Re content. It should be noted that the bimetallic Ru–Re/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ nano-catalysts, with the Re loading of 0.4 and 0.8 wt%, have soot oxidation activity in the presence of oxygen very close to that reported for the Pt-K/Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst [43], but slightly lower than for Ru supported on the reducible oxides (CeO$_2$, CeO$_2$–ZrO$_2$), for which the presence of Ru–O–Ce species is favorable for this reaction [20, 21, 42–44]. Querini et al. [45, 46] studied the catalytic combustion of diesel soot using Co/MgO, K/MgO and Co–K/MgO catalysts, which are much cheaper than those used in this study. The authors reported negligible activities for pure MgO and K/MgO. For the Co/MgO catalysts, a direct relation between catalytic activity and cobalt reducibility was found, suggesting that the reaction proceeds through a redox mechanism. This catalyst burns out the soot between 450 and 550 °C depending on the calcination temperature, but the Co/MgO sample calcined at 500 °C or higher was inactive, and the formation of the solid solution Co±Mg was responsible for the loss of activity [45]. Catalytic activity of the Co/MgO calcined at 400 °C increased with the addition of potassium and there was an optimum in the potassium content. The Co–K/MgO catalyst containing 4.5% of K presented a temperature of maximum combustion rate at 378 °C, whereas those containing 1.5% and 7.5% of K at temperatures over 400 °C [46]. On the other hand, Jiménez et al. [47] found that calcination of the K/MgO catalyst at 760 °C, instead 400 °C as was applied by Querini et al., cause significant increase its activity ($T_{10}$ = 399 °C $T_{max}$ = 440 °C, “tight” contact with carbon black). It could be noted however, that comparing the catalytic performances of our Ru-based nano-catalysts is difficult since activity of various soot combustion catalysts depends on many factors such as
the soot-catalyst ratio, the type of catalyst-soot contact, the soot composition and the content of oxygen in the feed mixture, and a great variation of these parameters can be found in the literature [4].

It is worth to note that the onset combustion temperature, defined as the temperature at which 10% of the soot is converted, was also considered in order to better discriminate the intrinsic catalytic activity of the synthesized mono- and bimetallic Ru–Re nano-catalysts. The tight contact maximizes the soot contact with the catalyst, thus the onset combustion temperature depends only on the catalytic reaction, in a reaction rate limited regime. At higher soot conversion degrees, other phenomena such as mass transfer or hot spots could influence the real hierarchy of the catalysts. As shown in Table 3, in the first catalytic cycle, the onset of soot oxidation is the lowest for the monometallic 2% Ru nano-catalyst (403 °C) and $T_{10}$ successively increases for the bimetallic Ru–Re nano-catalysts from 412 to 445 °C when the Re content increases from 0.4 to 2 wt%. Because rhenium alone is not active in the soot combustion reaction (Table 3), we suppose that some covering of the active Ru phase by the ReO$_x$ species could lower the intrinsic catalytic activity of the Ru phase. HAADF-STEM characterization demonstrated that Re species may be located both on the alumina surface and on the surface of RuO$_2$ oxide particles. On the other hand, for the bimetallic catalysts containing 0.8–2 wt% of Re, during the whole cycle experiment $\Delta T$ does not change much in the three reaction cycles, which indicates that these samples have high and stable catalytic activity for soot combustion. For the 2% Ru and 2% Ru-0.4%Re nano-catalysts, $\Delta T$ in the first reaction cycle is similar (174–171 °C, Table 3) and it is much higher than in the next catalytic cycles, which may be due to the structural changes as the cycle experiment progresses, which are crucial for maintaining the satisfactory catalytic activity. Probably the Re content is insufficient to obtain a stable bimetallic nano-catalyst when the atomic ratio of Ru/Re is equal to 9:1 (Table 1). Therefore, the activity results indicate that although monometallic 2% Ru nano-catalyst exhibited the highest intrinsic activity however, bimetallic Ru–Re/Al$_2$O$_3$ samples are much more stable during the whole catalytic experiment. The activity of the Ru–Re catalysts basically does not change in the second and third catalytic cycles. Villani et al. [23] reported that the carbon oxidation activity of Ru/Na-Y is related to the Ru content and the $T_{10}$ values at Ru loadings of 0.3, 3 and 7.5% were 396, 373 and 356 °C, respectively. The Ru/Al$_2$O$_3$ was less active and the observed $T_{10}$ value was found to be 415 °C [23] i.e. at higher temperature than that found for our monometallic 2% Ru nano-catalyst ($T_{10}=403 °C$).

To better analyze the change of the catalytic activity of the catalysts in the soot oxidation we took into consideration: (a) changes of the specific BET surface area, (b) possible loss of the Re and Ru oxides by volatilization during heating under soot/oxygen mixture at high temperature (up to 600 °C), and (c) changes in the catalyst structure. Thus, the Ru-based catalysts after testing in three cycles were characterized by $N_2$ adsorption–desorption measurements, ICP-AES and XRD methods. As shown in Table 2, all used nano-catalysts have similar BET surface areas (124–129 m$^2$/g) and are only slightly lower than the fresh air treated catalysts (134–138 m$^2$/g, Table 1). However, the mean pore diameter and total pore volume of the used 2% Ru/γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ (13.5 nm and 0.42 cm$^3$/g, respectively) are much lower than
in the used bimetallic Ru–Re/γ-Al2O3 catalysts (16.8–17.8 nm and 0.55–0.57 cm³/g, respectively) and lower than in all catalysts before catalytic measurements (18.1–19 nm, and 0.62–0.65 cm³/g, respectively, Table 1). Therefore, the observed some deactivation of the 2% Ru nano-catalyst may be caused by the changes in the support structure, i.e. pore blockage by the larger RuO₂ oxide particles formed during the course of the catalytic reaction. ICP-AES measurements of the 2% Ru and selected two bimetallic Ru–Re samples confirm that Ru and Re contents in the used catalysts are close to that in the fresh samples (Table S1, Supplementary Materials) evidencing that no loss of Ru or Re as volatile RuO₄ or Re₂O₇ oxide occurs during the soot combustion up to 600 °C. Strong interaction of the oxidized Re species with γ-Al₂O₃, especially large at low loading, prevented its loss from the catalysts surface even at high temperature [39, 40]. Aouad et al. reported for the supported Ru-based catalysts, used in propene and carbon black oxidation, that above 730 °C RuO₂ is oxidized and forms volatile RuO₄ oxide and this results in Ru loss [42]. In our study complete combustion of soot occurs at lower temperatures (<583 °C, Table 3) and therefore, loss of Ru and Re was prevented.

Structure characterization of the used catalysts by XRD show that all the Ru and bimetallic Ru–Re nano-catalysts exhibited the XRD patterns with the diffraction peaks of RuO₂ oxide. The XRD patterns, shown in the Supplementary Materials (Fig. S8) are similar to those obtained after oxidation treatment at 500 °C i.e. as before catalytic measurements (Fig. 4). Diffraction peaks of the RuO₂ oxide are basically very similar for all used Ru–Re/Al₂O₃ samples, but more intense in the case of the 2% Ru nano-catalyst, indicating that monometallic Ru catalyst exhibits a sintering phenomenon. The mean crystallite size of RuO₂ is 50 nm for the used 2% Ru i.e. higher than that before catalytic experiments (40 nm, Table 2). For the used bimetallic Ru–Re samples, with Re content ≥ 0.8 wt%, the crystallite size of RuO₂ is much lower (30–36 nm, Table 2). These data are in good agreement with the activity results (Table 3), which show that all the Ru–Re nano-catalysts in the 3rd cycle exhibited higher overall catalytic performance as compared to that of the 2% Ru nano-catalyst.

Summarizing, the characterization data of the used samples clearly indicate that deactivation of the monometallic Ru nano-catalyst, due to nanoparticle sintering, is significantly greater than that of the bimetallic Ru–Re nano-catalysts. Therefore, the presence of rhenium in the catalytic system hinder the formation of large RuO₂ agglomerates leading to a better dispersion of the ruthenium phase and therefore a better catalytic performance of Ru–Re nano-catalysts. Also, in the traditionally prepared 5% Ru–Re catalysts, containing 1 or 3 wt% of Re, the suppress RuO₂ particles sintering was observed during propane combustion reaction [28]. It is well known that the reaction of soot combustion is a redox process, in which the soot acts as a reducing agent during the reaction. Our XPS studies of Ru and Ru–Re nano-catalysts showed that different reactive ruthenium oxide species are formed following air treatment at 500 °C (Fig. S4). The ability of RuO₂ particles or RuOₓ species to undergo redox cycles required for oxidation reactions is well known [15, 28]. Thus, we suppose that lattice oxygen atoms from small RuO₂ particles or partially oxidized RuOₓ forms can be available for soot combustion reaction. The higher concentration of the smaller RuO₂ crystallites in the bimetallic Ru–Re nano-catalysts, in
comparison to the monometallic Ru, leads to the higher activity of this system in the catalytic soot combustion. Literature data and our previously reported volumetric O₂ adsorption results for the 5% Ru and 5% Ru–Re catalysts revealed also that some weak dissociative oxygen adsorption take place on the surface of the RuO₂ particles [28, 48]. It is known that the stoichiometric RuO₂(110) surface exposes coordinatively unsaturated (cus) Ru atoms onto which dissociative adsorption of oxygen (O-cus) may occur [14]. Dissociative adsorption of oxygen on Ru sites followed by its reaction with the solid carbon at the soot-catalyst interface could be also a possible mechanism for soot combustion over the Ru-based nano-catalysts. Additionally, some literature results reported that ReOₓ clusters can play significant role in the activation of oxygen to produce oxidizing species in the case of Pt-ReOₓ/SiO₂ catalyst [49]. Finally, under oxidizing conditions and temperatures above 400 °C, some loss of the activity of the ruthenium catalysts may be correlated with the transformation of the catalytically active RuO₂(100) phase into a reconstructed c(2×2) domains [50]. It is possible that rhenium presence in the Ru–Re nano-catalysts may inhibit the RuO₂(100) growth on the surface of the small RuO₂ crystallites and its transformation into inactive c(2×2) surface facets.

Conclusion

A series of bimetallic Ru–Re/Al₂O₃ nano-catalysts with different atomic ratios (Ru/Re = 9:1, 9:2, 9:3, 9:4 and 9:5) were synthesized by the microwave polyol method and their activity in model soot oxidation was investigated (tight contact, in the absence of NOₓ). In the first catalytic cycle the temperature of soot ignition for Ru nano-catalyst was found at 403 °C, while for Ru–Re nano-catalysts it was found to be in the range of 412- 445 °C. However, in the next catalytic cycles, bimetallic catalysts were more active than monometallic Ru sample. The presence of Re in the bimetallic Ru–Re/Al₂O₃ nano-catalysts prevents the growth of RuO₂ crystallites, which in turn leads to greater activity and stability of these nano-catalysts, regardless of the Re amount. The activity of soot oxidation over Ru–Re nano-catalysts depended on the amount of Re and the highest catalytic performance exhibited the 2% Ru-0.8%Re nano-catalyst with atomic ratio Ru/Re = 9:2. When the rhenium amount was too high (≥ 1.6 wt%), the activity of the catalytic system slightly decreased, probably because of the Ru surface blockage by an inactive oxidized ReOₓ species.

Combining the facts that we proved previously Ru–Re catalysts are active and stable in oxidation of volatile organic compounds and we now showed they can be also stable and active in the catalytic soot combustion, bimetallic Ru–Re nano-catalysts prepared by polyol methods, can be a good alternative for Pt or Pd catalysts for particle matters oxidation.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s11144-021-02048-y.
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