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ABSTRACT

Introduction The primary aim of this study is to compare the efficacy of three short-term glucose-lowering interventions (exercise, metformin and dapagliflozin) on glycaemic variability in overweight or obese men and women with elevated diabetes risk (ie, prediabetes, defined as haemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) 39–47 mmol/mol (5.7%–6.4%)). The secondary aims are to investigate the effects of the interventions on body composition and cardio metabolic risk factors.

Methods and analysis The Pre-D Trial is an investigator-initiated, randomised, controlled, parallel, open-label, superiority trial. The study aims to assign 120 participants in a 1:1:1:1 ratio to receive one of four interventions for 13 weeks: (1) dapagliflozin (10 mg once daily); (2) metformin (850 mg twice daily); (3) exercise (interval training, 5 days a week, 30 min per session); or (4) control (lifestyle advice). After the 13 weeks of intervention, a follow-up period of 13 weeks will follow to study the long-term effects of the interventions. The primary endpoint is reduction from baseline to end-of treatment (13 weeks) in mean amplitude of glycaemic excursions measured by continuous glucose monitoring. The secondary endpoints include concomitant changes in various measures of glucose metabolism, body weight, cardiorespiratory fitness, blood pressure, plasma lipids, objectively measured physical activity and dietary intake.

Strengths and limitations of this study

► First randomised controlled trial testing the effect of sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibition in individuals with prediabetes defined by haemoglobin A1c.
► Head-to-head comparison of metformin, SGLT2 inhibitors and exercise on glycaemic variability in prediabetes.
► Detailed physiological examinations allowing investigation of energy expenditure and substrate oxidation patterns.
► The study may have limited statistical power to show interindividual differences in treatment responsiveness within each treatment arm (subgroup analyses).

INTRODUCTION

Individuals with prediabetes are at high risk of developing type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease.1,2 The Diabetes Prevention Program showed that lifestyle modification is more effective than metformin in lowering diabetes incidence when diabetes is diagnosed by an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT).3 However, the superiority of lifestyle intervention over metformin is less clear when type 2 diabetes is diagnosed by haemoglobin A1c (HbA1c).1 This indicates that findings from individuals diagnosed by an OGTT cannot be directly transferred to individuals diagnosed by HbA1c.

The reason for the different effects of physical activity and metformin in individuals identified by OGTT versus HbA1c may be related to differences in the relative contributions of insulin resistance and beta cell dysfunction associated with the different diagnostic criteria. Fasting hyperglycaemia is mainly caused by impaired first-phase insulin secretion and insulin resistance in the
liver, whereas hyperglycaemia 2 hours after oral glucose ingestion is related to whole body insulin resistance and reduced second-phase insulin secretion. In general, prediabetes or type 2 diabetes diagnosed by HbA1c is characterised by a combination of the defects observed in individuals with fasting versus 2-hour hyperglycaemia, but large differences exist between cohorts.

HbA1c reflects the mean glucose concentration during the past 8–12 weeks. It is well documented that high HbA1c levels are associated with an increased risk of diabetic complications. However, daily glucose fluctuations (ie, glycaemic variability) may be even more important than sustained hyperglycaemia in terms of the risk of developing diabetic complications. Studies have shown that glucose fluctuations increase the risk for endothelial dysfunction, retinopathy and coronary artery disease independent of the level of mean glycaemia. Also, increased use of multiple daily insulin injections and insulin pump therapy, which reduce glycaemic variability, has been associated with a reduced risk of retinopathy. Together, these findings suggest that reducing glucose fluctuations and not only mean glycaemia is a highly relevant focus for future diabetes-related trials.

An often used measure of glycaemic variability is the mean amplitude of glycaemic excursions (MAGE), which reflects the mean of the differences between consecutive peaks and nadirs in blood glucose concentrations, and thereby is independent of the mean glucose level. MAGE is associated with coronary artery disease, vascular endothelial function and oxidative stress independent of HbA1c and fasting plasma glucose levels. Different interventions are expected to have different effects on mean, fasting and postprandial glucose concentrations, as well as on MAGE. Exercise is known to reduce the postprandial glucose response and thereby will reduce MAGE. It is recommended that patients with type 2 diabetes as well as persons with prediabetes should perform at least 150 min of moderate-to-vigorous intensity aerobic exercise per week. A recent study in adults with a high risk of type 2 diabetes suggests that to obtain an improvement in glycaemic control, exercise should be performed in sessions with high intensities as compared with sessions with a longer duration with lower intensities (same total energy expenditure).

In line with this, several studies suggest that interval training has a favourable effect on glycaemic control and glycaemic variability in persons with or at high risk of type 2 diabetes and can be performed with a high compliance. In contrast to exercise, the actions of metformin are predominantly on hepatic insulin sensitisation and inhibition of gluconeogenesis. Metformin will therefore preferentially lower fasting glucose concentrations and is not likely to have the same beneficial effects on MAGE as exercise. Sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors are a relatively new class of medication for patients with type 2 diabetes. SGLT2 inhibitors block glucose reabsorption in the kidney if blood glucose levels exceed 9–10 mmol/L.

Their efficacy and safety have been studied in multiple randomised controlled trials of individuals with type 2 diabetes. Treatment with SGLT2 inhibitors has shown to lower the renal threshold for glucose excretion in a dose-dependent manner both in individuals with type 2 diabetes and normal glucose regulation. In normal glucose-tolerant normal-weight to overweight men, SGLT2 inhibitors reduce postprandial glucose and insulin levels by increasing urinary glucose excretion. However, fasting glucose levels do not seem to be affected by SGLT2 inhibition in non-diabetic individuals. Because SGLT2 inhibitors are mostly effective at blood glucose levels above 9–10 mmol/L, whereas physical activity and exercise can reduce postprandial glucose levels even in the normal and prediabetic glycaemic range, it is expected that the exercise intervention is superior to SGLT2 inhibitors in reducing MAGE.

To date, metformin is the only widely accepted medication for use in prediabetes. This trial will provide evidence as to whether it will be relevant to further examine the long-term effect of SGLT2 inhibitors on prevention of type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease in prediabetic individuals.

**OBJECTIVES**

The primary objective of this study is to compare the short-term (13 weeks) efficacy of three glucose-lowering interventions (exercise, metformin and SGLT2 inhibition) on glycaemic variability in overweight or obese individuals with prediabetes defined as HbA1c 39–47 mmol/mol (5.7%–6.4%). The secondary aims are to investigate the effects of the interventions on body composition and cardiometabolic risk factors. Third, we aim to identify subgroups of individuals who do not respond or respond better than others to lifestyle and pharmacological interventions, and to determine how daily exercise bouts and time spent sedentary in moderate-to-vigorous physical activity intensities are related to measures of glycaemic variability, insulin sensitivity and beta cell function.

**HYPOTHESES**

In relation to the overall objective, the following are the hypotheses:

1. Exercise is superior to the pharmacological interventions in reducing glycaemic variability.
2. Metformin will predominantly lower fasting glucose levels and only have minor effects on postprandial glucose levels. Dapagliflozin will reduce glucose levels in the postprandial state, but not in the fasting state. Accordingly, dapagliflozin is superior to metformin in reducing glycaemic variability.
Met Hods and analys Is
Study design
The study is an investigator-initiated, randomised, controlled, parallel, open-label trial (figure 1). A total of 120 participants will be randomly assigned in a 1:1:1:1 ratio to receive one of four interventions for 13 weeks: (1) dapagliflozin (10 mg once daily); (2) metformin (850 mg twice daily); (3) exercise (interval training, 5 days a week, 30 min per session); or (4) control (lifestyle advice). After the 13 weeks of intervention, a follow-up period of 13 weeks will follow to study the longer term effects of the interventions.

Participants
Eligible participants are overweight or obese adults who meet the eligibility criteria for prediabetes defined by HbA1c45 (box 1). After informed consent, participants who meet the inclusion criteria without conditions leading to exclusion at the screening examination will be enrolled for randomisation, followed by 13 weeks of treatment and 13 weeks of follow-up at the Steno Diabetes Center, Gentofte, Denmark. Inclusion and exclusion criteria are listed in box 1.

Eligibility criteria
Although recruitment is mainly directed at middle-aged overweight individuals, the age criterion was set at ≥30 years to include groups at high risk for prediabetes in early adulthood, such as young women with a history of gestational diabetes. An upper age limit of 70 years is set because the ability to reduce the risk or postpone the development of diabetes and cardiovascular disease is expected to be limited in individuals above 70 years of age. The body mass index (BMI) criterion of ≥25 kg/m² is used in order to identify individuals with a high risk of having prediabetes, thereby limiting the number of screen failures. Most exclusion criteria are chosen to reduce the risk of adverse effects related to the interventions. Individuals with clinically significant cardiovascular or pulmonary diseases are excluded because the examinations require performing cardiorespiratory fitness tests and the exercise intervention requires performing interval training in alternating high and low intensities. Also individuals with injuries or disabilities that make them unable to perform the interval training are excluded. At the screening, all participants will be asked about their motivation to participate in the trial if they are randomised to exercise,

Box 1 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

| Inclusion criteria |
|--------------------|
| Prediabetes (haemoglobin A1c 39–47 mmol/mol/5.7%–6.4%) |
| ≥30 to ≤70 years of age |
| BMI ≥25 kg/m² |

| Exclusion criteria |
|--------------------|
| Uncontrolled medical issues including but not limited to cardiovascular pulmonary, rheumatological, haematological, oncological, infectious, gastrointestinal or psychiatric disease; diabetes or other endocrine disease; immunosuppression |
| Current treatment with hormones that affect glucose metabolism |
| Current treatment with loop diuretics or thiazide diuretics |
| Current treatment with beta blockers or peroral steroids |
| Bariatric surgery within the past 2 years |
| Impaired renal function defined as an estimated GFR<60 mL/min/1.73 m² |
| Neurogenic bladder disorders |
| Alcohol/drug abuse or in treatment with disulfiram (Antabus) at time of inclusion |
| Pregnant or lactating women |
| Fertile women not using birth control agents, including oral contraceptives, gestagen, injection, subdermal implantation hormonal vaginal ring, transdermal application or intrauterine devices |
| Allergic to one or more of the medications used in the study |
| Unable to exercise according to protocol (judged by investigator based on the participant’s motivation, injuries/disabilities, and evaluation of ECG) |
| Concomitant participation in other intervention study |
| Unable to understand the informed consent and the study procedures |

BMI, body mass index; GFR, glomerular filtration rate.
medication or the control group in order to lower the risk of dropouts after randomisation.

Individuals with any degree of renal impairment are excluded because of their increased risk of adverse events with both metformin and dapagliflozin treatments. In addition, the efficacy of dapagliflozin on glucose reabsorption is limited in individuals with impaired renal function. Pregnant or nursing women as well as women who anticipate pregnancy during the course of the programme are also excluded from the study. The reason is that in Denmark neither metformin nor dapagliflozin is recommended during pregnancy or nursing. In addition, pregnancy modifies insulin resistance, which can affect the outcome measures.

Thiazide diuretics, loop diuretics and beta blockers are commonly used to treat hypertension, which often coexists with prediabetes. Dapagliflozin may add to the diuretic effect of thiazide and loop diuretics and may increase the risk of dehydration and hypotension. Because these agents may cause adverse events in relation to the interventions, individuals using thiazides, loop diuretics or beta blockers on a daily basis are ineligible. However, such individuals may be included in the study if they meet HbA1c and other eligibility criteria after their treatment is changed to other antihypertensive drugs without known adverse effects related to the interventions.

**Recruitment of participants**

Recruitment strategies appropriate for the identified target population include advertisements in online media and newspapers, recruitment of relatives to patients with diabetes at Steno Diabetes Center, and recruitment through contact with local general practitioners. Persons who show their interest in participating will be approached by a member of the research team to screen for preparticipation eligibility and explain the main requirements of participating in the study. Individuals are considered eligible at this step if the age and BMI criterion is met, and written information is sent to the potential participant. Those who are interested in participating after reading the material are invited to a health examination and screening (V0, table 1). Participants who are eligible after the screening are included in the study (V1–V4, table 1).

**Endpoints**

**Primary endpoint**

The primary endpoint is changes in MAGE from baseline to end-of treatment (13 weeks). MAGE will be estimated from the 6-day sensor glucose profiles by a researcher blinded to the interventions. MAGE will be calculated by taking the arithmetic mean of the blood glucose increases or decreases (from blood glucose nadirs to peaks or vice versa) when both ascending and descending segments exceed the value of 1 SD of the blood glucose during a 24-hour measurement period.

**Secondary endpoints**

The secondary endpoints include changes from baseline to mid-of-treatment (6 weeks), end-of-treatment (13 weeks) and follow-up (26 weeks) in the following parameters: HbA1c, daily time spent above different
glucose concentrations (eg, >6.1 mmol/L, >7.0 mmol/L, >7.8 mmol/L and >11.1 mmol/L), glucose concentrations during OGTT (0, 30, 60 and 120 min), Insulinogenic Index, Insulin Sensitivity Index, body weight, body fat distribution, cardiorespiratory fitness, basal energy expenditure, substrate oxidation patterns, time spent sedentary and in moderate-to-vigorous physical activity intensity, blood pressure, heart rate, plasma lipids, biomarkers of metabolic functions, number of adverse events and side effects, changes in dietary habits, sleep patterns, self-rated health and quality of life, and adherence to the different interventions.

**Study visits and examinations**

No study-related procedures will take place before informed consent has been obtained after careful written and oral information to the participant about the study. Individuals who agree to participate in the study will be invited to a screening visit (V0). All examinations will be performed at Steno Diabetes Center. Study visits and examinations are summarised in Table 1. The examinations are described below.

**Clinical examination**

A clinical examination including measurement of body weight, waist and hip circumference, blood pressure, and pulse is performed at all visits. Height is only measured at the screening visit. Height and body weight will be measured with the participant wearing light indoor clothes and no shoes. Waist circumference is measured halfway between the lowest point of the costal margin and highest point of the iliac crest, and hip circumference is measured at the level of the greater femoral trochanter; both are measured to the nearest 0.5 cm. Measurements of waist and hip circumference are performed three times each. Blood pressure and pulse are measured with the participant in sitting position after a minimum of 10 min of rest using a digital blood pressure monitor, Model UA-852 (A&D Instruments, Oxfordshire, UK). Blood pressure and pulse measurements are repeated three times separated by 2 min breaks. The mean value of the last two measurements is used.

**Continuous glucose monitoring**

A 6-day continuous glucose monitoring for assessment of glycaemic variability will be performed at V1, V2, V3 and V4. The iPro2 Continuous Glucose Monitoring System (CGMS) will be used (Medtronic Danmark A/S, Copenhagen, Denmark). The CGMS sensor will be inserted in the subcutaneous adipose tissue on the lower part of the abdomen (under the umbilicus). In order to calibrate the CGMS, participants will monitor home glucose levels before breakfast, before lunch, before main evening meal and before bedtime using a glucometer (Contour XT, Ascensia Diabetes Care Denmark ApS, Copenhagen, Denmark).

**Free-living physical activity and dietary intake**

Concomitant with the 6-day measurement of glycaemic variability, measurement of free-living physical activity will be performed. Free-living physical activity energy expenditure will be measured with accelerometers (Axivity AX3, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK). The participants will wear two accelerometers (one on the thigh and one on the back) for 6 days. Participants will be instructed to send the accelerometers and the continuous glucose monitor back to the investigator by postage after the 6 days of measurements. During the first 4 days of measurement of physical activity and continuous glucose monitoring, the participants are asked to register their entire intake of food and caloric beverages (grams per portion and time of ingestion).

**Indirect calorimetry**

The respiratory exchange ratio will be measured by indirect calorimetry after standardised conditions, including >8 hours of fasting and no exercise for 48 hours. The measurement takes place in a quiet room, where the participant is placed in supine position. The respiratory exchange ratio is calculated from the relationship between oxygen consumption and carbon dioxide production and will be used as a measure of the relative contributions of carbohydrate and lipid oxidation in the basal state. The measurement is performed with a ventilated hood using a JAEGER Oxycon Pro analyser (Erich JAEGER GmbH, Hoechberg, Germany). Before each measurement, the equipment is flow-calibrated and gas-calibrated to take into account subtle changes in humidity, temperature and content of O2 and CO2 in the examination room.

**Oral glucose tolerance test**

The participant is instructed to fast (water is allowed) for 8–10 hours prior to the tests. A small venous catheter will be inserted in one of the participant’s arms for blood sampling. The participant will drink a 200 mL glucose solution (75 g glucose) within 1 min. Blood samples will be drawn at 0, 30, 60 and 120 min for assessment of plasma glucose, insulin, C peptide, glucagon, glucagon-like peptide-1 and glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide.

**Body fat content**

A dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) scan will be performed to measure body fat content. Participants will lie still on a table while a machine arm passes over their entire body, which emits a high-energy and a low-energy X-ray beam. By measuring the absorption of each beam into parts of the body, readings for bone mineral density, lean body mass and fat mass will be obtained.
Cardiorespiratory fitness

After the OGTT, a fitness test for determination of peak oxygen consumption (VO_{2peak}) and peak heart rate (HR_{peak}) will be performed. The test will be performed as an incremental test on a cycle ergometer (Monark LC4) with indirect calorimetry (JAEGER Oxycon Pro analyser, Erich JAEGER GmbH). Study participants will be cycling for 6 min as a warm-up (3 min at 30 W and 3 min at 60 W for women; 3 min at 40 W and 3 min at 80 W for men). After the warm-up, the workload is increased every minute by 20 W for women and 25 W for men until exhaustion.

Interventions

Dapagliflozin (10 mg) will be administered once daily as monotherapy. The product will be delivered by Gentofte Apotek and labelled by the investigator at Steno Diabetes Center. Common side effects (1%–10%) include decreased appetite, nausea, vomiting, diarrhoea, stomach pain and dysgeusia.

Metformin (850 mg) will be administered twice daily as monotherapy (1 tablet together with breakfast, 1 tablet together with dinner). Titration will be performed according to the guidelines for patients with type 2 diabetes (one tablet daily the first week, then two tablets daily for the rest of the treatment period). Metformin will be delivered by Gentofte Apotek and labelled by the investigator at Steno Diabetes Center. Common side effects (1%–10%) include decreased appetite, nausea, vomiting, diarrhoea, stomach pain and dysgeusia.

Exercise

The exercise intervention will consist of interval training 5 days a week, 30 min per session, at alternating 3 min intervals aiming at reaching intensities of ≥75% (high) and ≤60% (low) of HR_{peak} by the end of each interval. If the participants experience challenges in reaching these intensities, they are advised to aim for at least 15% difference between the high and low intervals (eg, 80% and 65% of HR_{peak}). The participants can freely choose between walking, cycling, running or other aerobic activities, and they can do the activities outdoor or in a fitness centre. They will be offered membership to a local fitness centre for the entire intervention period. The exercise will be monitored, evaluating the heart rate response of all exercise sessions. The participants will record the heart rates and durations of exercise bouts using Polar V800 (Polar Electro, Kempele, Finland). The participants will be asked to upload heart rate data from the Polar watches to the software Polar Flow on their computer twice a week. Computer-generated reminders are sent to the participants twice a week to ensure compliance on the upload.

Within the first week after randomisation, the participants are invited to an introduction to the exercise intervention at Steno Diabetes Center. During this introduction, a thorough training on the use of heart rate monitor and watch will take place in order to teach the participants how to exercise at the alternating intensities and make them familiar with the technology used.

Control

At the baseline examination, the control group as well as the three other groups will receive oral and written information about a healthy lifestyle and weight loss according to the official dietary recommendations from the Danish Veterinary and Food Administration.

Randomisation

For safety and practical reasons, randomisation will be open for both participants and investigators, but assessment of the primary outcome is blinded. Randomisation codes have been produced by the sponsor by use of the web-based Clinical Trial Management System EasyTrial (EasyTrial ApS, Glostrup, Denmark). Randomisation is performed in blocks in order to secure an equal distribution of participants in each intervention group if the trial unexpectedly will be terminated before inclusion of the planned number of participants. Details of the size of the study population will be determined by the previous studies in an attempt to achieve statistical power for the primary outcome.

Questionnaires

Self-reported physical activity is measured using the recent physical activity questionnaire. This questionnaire assesses physical activity across four domains (domestic, recreational, work, commuting) over the previous month. Questionnaires on health and well-being, sleep patterns and satisfaction with the interventions will also be filled out at each visit at the study site. During the 6-day CGM measurements, the study participants will be asked to fill in a diary describing the type and duration of physical activity. In addition, the participants are asked to weigh and register intake of meals and caloric beverages consumed during the first 4 days of the CGMS measurement period.

Sociodemographic information

Baseline sociodemographic information, which could act as covariates or confounders for the tested interventions, will also be collected. These include age, ethnicity, civil status, education, occupation, health history, smoking status and alcohol consumption.
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blocks are unavailable to the investigators performing the examinations and allocating participants to the interventions. Allocation to intervention groups will take place at the end of the baseline examination (at day −6) to secure that the investigator performs all baseline measurements unbiased of the allocated intervention. After the baseline measurements and allocation, the investigator puts the allocated intervention into a box (metformin tablets, dapagliflozin tablets, a Polar watch or a carton filled with paper), and the box is locked with a coded lock. All boxes have identical looks. The participant then receives the box containing his/her allocated treatment regime, but it is not revealed for the participant what the box contains. Six days after the baseline examination (at day 0), the participant will receive the code to the box by telephone from the investigator. This procedure is done in order to secure that the 6-day baseline measurements of continuous glucose monitoring and physical activity are performed unbiased of the allocated intervention by the participants.

**Assessment of compliance**

**Medication**

Participants receiving medication are asked to bring their study medication to visit 2 (6 weeks) and visit 3 (13 weeks). The investigator will register the number of tablets taken and thereby assess compliance to the medication. A compliance of at least 80% is considered satisfactory.

**Exercise**

For participants in the exercise intervention group, compliance is monitored online via Polar V800 (Polar Electro, Finland) and the online tool ‘Polar Flow for Coach’. Participants completing ≥80% of the training volume prescribed (ie, 120 min per week) and ≥80% of the exercise sessions prescribed (ie, four sessions per week) are considered to be compliant. To prevent drop-outs, two procedures are implemented if a participant expresses concerns about compliance with the prescribed exercise intervention or if the investigators experience that a participant does not follow the prescribed exercise intervention (eg, upload of exercise sessions is lacking, or the duration or intensity of the exercise bouts is not in compliance with the protocol):

1. **Maintenance of training volume**: The participant is offered a telephone interview to identify possible challenges in relation to the exercise intervention, that is, lack of time or worries. An adjusted plan is made in collaboration between the investigator and participant with the aim of maintaining the weekly training volume as per protocol, for example, fewer sessions of exercise per week but with longer duration (unchanged intensity).

2. **Reducing training volume**: If training volume cannot be maintained (eg, in case of injury or other personal issues), up to two exercise sessions per week are eliminated from the programme for 2 weeks or more if necessary.

**ETHICS, SAFETY, DATA MANAGEMENT AND DISSEMINATION**

This intervention study will provide important information about the effect of exercising versus prescribing dapagliflozin or metformin therapy to individuals with prediabetic glucose levels defined by the HbA1c criteria. All equipment used in the study meet the requirements for patient safety. For the determination of body composition, DEXA scanning with a weak X-ray radiation is used. The radiation dose is less than 0.01 mSv, which corresponds to less than 1 day of normal background radiation. The dapagliflozin tablets contain lactose, which may cause discomfort in lactose-intolerant individuals. Dapagliflozin and metformin are not yet registered for treatment of individuals with prediabetes in Denmark. Side effects such as low blood pressure, increased number of red blood cells, change in plasma lipid profile, back pain, dizziness, inflammation in and around the vagina, inflammation of the foreskin, urinary tract infection, painful urination, increased urine volume, decreased appetite, nausea, vomiting, diarrhoea, stomach pain and dysgeusia can be expected in some study participants. However, it is believed that the potential beneficial effects of dapagliflozin and metformin on glycaemic control will counterbalance the potential unfavourable effects.51 52

The participants are covered by the Patient Compensation Association according to the Danish Act on the Right to Complain and Receive Compensation within the Health Service.

The study protocol is approved by the Ethics Committee of the Capital Region (H-15011398) and the Danish Medicines Agency (EudraCT number: 2015-001552-30). Approval for data storage has been obtained from the Danish Data Protection Board (2012-58-0004). The study is registered with ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT02695810) and will be carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki II and to the regulations for good clinical practice (GCP). The unit for GCP in Copenhagen University Hospital will perform audit site visits in order to secure that the study is performed in accordance with the International Council for Harmonisation (ICH)-GCP guidelines.

The investigator is responsible for ensuring that all serious adverse reactions/adverse events are immediately reported to the sponsor, who will then notify the Ethics Committee of the Capital Region and the Danish Medicines Agency according to the existing laws and ICH-GCP guidelines. In case of unexpected severe adverse reactions to medication during the study, the trial will be discontinued. In case participants suffer harm from participation in this trial, they will be referred to the Patient Compensation Association.

The web-based Clinical Trial Management System EasyTrial is used for data entry and management (Easy-Trial ApS).50 EasyTrial has been approved by the Danish Data Protection Board. Electronic case reports forms and questionnaires have been generated by the sponsor in EasyTrial. Fields have been programmed with acceptable ranges for data entry. EasyTrial is also used to send
reminders to the participants prior to visits and to remind participants in the exercise intervention group to upload heart rate data for supervision. During the study, data are entered directly into the system by the investigators, and after study completion data will be extracted directly from the system by the sponsor/investigators.

Positive, negative and inconclusive study results will be published by the investigators in international peer-reviewed journals and presented at international conferences. Manuscripts will be written in accordance with the online supplementary CONSORT statement and the Vancouver Declaration.

**STATISTICAL METHODS**

**Sample size considerations**

MAGE has not previously been used as primary outcome in randomised controlled trials of persons with prediabetes. A study has shown that mean (SD) MAGE is significantly higher in individuals with impaired fasting glycaemia (IFG) and (IGT) (2.26 (0.7) mmol/L) than in people with normal glucose tolerance (1.60 (0.7) mmol/L) despite having similar HbA1c levels (5.7 vs 5.5%). Similarly, MAGE was found to be significantly higher (mean (SD) MAGE: 2.7 (0.4) mmol/L) in women with previous gestational diabetes (mean HbA1c 5.8%) than in weight-matched normal glucose-tolerant women without a history of gestational diabetes (mean (SD) MAGE: 1.8 (0.5) mmol/L; mean HbA1c 5.4%). In addition, a Chinese study found mean (SD) MAGE to be 2.1 (0.8) mmol/L in 23 abdominally obese men versus 1.6 (0.5) mmol/L in 23 non-abdominally obese men with normal glucose regulation (p<0.05).

Randomised controlled trials in patients with type 2 diabetes (mean duration of diabetes ~5 years) found that MAGE decreased from mean (SD) 4.9 (1.0) to 3.7 (0.9) mmol/L after treatment with metformin + sitagliptin (p<0.001). The mean (SD) decrease in MAGE after 15 weeks of metformin treatment in patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes was 1.4 (1.6) mmol/L. In terms of SGLT2 inhibition, a study of 15 patients with type 1 diabetes found a mean (95% CI) reduction of 3.8 (1.5 to 6.1) mmol/L in MAGE after 7 days of treatment with dapagliflozin. In relation to exercise, a single bout of exercise has shown to decrease average blood glucose by ~0.9 mmol/L in patients with type 2 diabetes — also in those with HbA1c levels below 7%. In another study of patients with type 2 diabetes, 2 weeks of interval walking reduced MAGE by 1.8 (0.5) mmol/L.

It is expected that both mean and SD of MAGE are lower among individuals with prediabetes than in individuals with type 2 diabetes. With a power of 80% (alpha level of 0.05) in a two-sided test, a clinically meaningful difference in the change in MAGE over the 13-week intervention of ≥0.5 mmol/L (SD: 0.6 mmol/L) between two groups can be found with 23 participants in each group. To allow for dropouts (~20%) and subgroup analyses, we plan to include 30 participants in each of the four study groups. Inclusion of participants is terminated when 120 participants have been included. In case fewer than 120 participants are recruited, the minimum mean differences in MAGE expected to be statistically significant are shown in Table 2 (with different SD).

Participants who withdraw from the study will not be replaced. Participants who are excluded or who decide to stop their participation will be referred to their general practitioner for advice on how to manage their elevated diabetes risk. Data on withdrawn participants will be collected at the end of the study and used in the safety analysis if allowed by the participant.

**Statistical analysis**

Intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis will be performed after the last participant has completed the last visit. In addition, per-protocol analyses will be made (80% compliance to the interventions). In principle, the ITT strategy requires a complete follow-up of all randomised participants for study outcomes, which may not be possible to achieve, and therefore the ITT analysis may give a biased estimate of the treatment effect. On the other hand, the ITT analysis reflects treatment in clinical practice to a higher degree than per-protocol analyses, which only include people who are compliant to the interventions. In order to address these issues, analyses with imputation of missing data will be performed. Patterns of missing data will be investigated. It is expected that missing data will be ‘missing at random’ rather than ‘missing completely at random’, because it is assumed that dropouts may depend on observed outcomes or covariates but not on unobserved data. Subgroup analyses stratified by age, sex, obesity degree and prediabetic subgroup (fasting vs 2-hour hyperglycaemia) will also be performed.

Parametric tests (general linear models) will be used to test differences in outcomes from baseline to follow-up. If model assumptions cannot be met even after logarithmic transformation, non-parametric tests will be used. Plots of residuals versus predicted values will be used to address these issues, analyses with imputation of missing data will be performed. Patterns of missing data will be investigated. It is expected that missing data will be ‘missing at random’ rather than ‘missing completely at random’, because it is assumed that dropouts may depend on observed outcomes or covariates but not on unobserved data. Subgroup analyses stratified by age, sex, obesity degree and prediabetic subgroup (fasting vs 2-hour hyperglycaemia) will also be performed.

| Scenario | Mean difference | SD | Participants needed in each group (n) |
|----------|----------------|----|--------------------------------------|
| 1        | 0.5            | 0.7| 31                                   |
| 2        | 0.5            | 0.6| 23                                   |
| 3        | 0.5            | 0.5| 16                                   |
| 4        | 0.6            | 0.7| 22                                   |
| 5        | 0.6            | 0.6| 16                                   |
| 6        | 0.6            | 0.5| 11                                   |
| 7        | 0.7            | 0.7| 16                                   |
| 8        | 0.7            | 0.6| 12                                   |
| 9        | 0.7            | 0.5| 8                                    |
values of <0.05 are considered significant. Adjustment for multiple testing will be performed.

**DISCUSSION**

The guidelines from the American Diabetes Association suggest that individuals with prediabetes (ie, IGT, IFG or HbA1c 39-47 mmol/mol / 5.7%–6.4%) should increase physical activity level to at least 150 min/week and lose body weight. In prediabetic individuals who are <60 years of age, have BMI >35 kg/m² or have a history of gestational diabetes, metformin therapy should be considered. Furthermore, the American Diabetes Association states that aggressive interventions should be pursued for those considered at very high risk (eg, those with HbA1c > 42 mmol/mol / 6.0%). However, the evidence behind these recommendations is predominantly based on individuals with IGT; evidence underlying a strategy for HbA1c-defined prediabetes is lacking.

Rigorous monitoring of exercise bouts using online technology will provide experience and may constitute a new way of conducting studies of real-life physical activity interventions. Our study will describe glycaemic variability and a range of metabolic parameters in prediabetes, giving us the opportunity to determine detailed characteristics of individuals at risk, potentially identifying new parameters for interventions or prediction of future diseases. In addition, the study will bring new important and detailed data on the use of SGLT2 inhibition at an early stage in a risk population where pharmaceutical intervention is rare. As such, the results from this trial will challenge current medical practice and form the basis for future clinical trials focused on diabetes prevention.
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