The telomere resolvase of the Lyme disease spirochete, *Borrelia burgdorferi*, promotes DNA single-strand annealing and strand exchange
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ABSTRACT

Spirochetes of the genus *Borrelia* include the tick-transmitted causative agents of Lyme disease and relapsing fever. They possess unusual genomes composed mainly of linear replicons terminated by closed DNA hairpin telomeres. Hairpin telomeres present an uninterrupted DNA chain to the replication machinery overcoming the ‘end-replication problem’ for the linear replicons. Hairpin telomeres are formed from inverted repeat replicated telomere junctions by the telomere resolvase, ResT. ResT uses a reaction mechanism similar to that of the type IB topoisomerases and tyrosine recombinases. We report here that ResT also possesses single-strand annealing activity and a limited ability to promote DNA strand exchange reactions on partial duplex substrates. This combination of activities suggests ResT is a nexus between the seemingly distinct processes of telomere resolution and homologous recombination. Implications for hairpin telomere replication and linear plasmid recombination, including antigenic variation, are discussed.

INTRODUCTION

Spirochetes of the genus *Borrelia* include important tick-transmitted zoonotic pathogens that cause Lyme disease and relapsing fever maladies (1–3). *Borrelia* species possess unusual, highly segmented genomes with the majority of the replicons, including the chromosome, being linear double-stranded DNA (dsDNAs) terminated by covalently closed DNA hairpins referred to as hairpin telomeres (4–6).

Bidirectional replication from an internal origin would produce, after replication through the hairpin telomeres, replication intermediates with replicated telomere (*rTel*) junctions with inverted repeat sequence symmetry (7–10). Daughter DNA molecules covalently linked via the *rTel* junctions must be separated by a specialized DNA breakage and reunion reaction, referred to as telomere resolution that reforms the hairpin telomeres to allow for subsequent segregation. *rTel* junctions are processed *in vivo* and *in vitro* into two hairpin telomeres (9,11). The essential specialized telomere resolvase that performs this reaction for *Borrelia* is known as ResT (12,13). A similar replication strategy has been demonstrated for the lysogen of the N15 bacteriophage; N15 lysogens exist as extrachromosomal linear molecules terminated by hairpin telomeres (14–16). The telomere resolvases from the characterized phage systems with linear prophage genomes are commonly referred to as protelomerases. The N15 protelomerase (TelN) has been confirmed to be required in lysogen maintenance but has also, unexpectedly, been shown to be required for lytic replication of N15 (17).

ResT and other confirmed telomere resolvases have a catalytic domain that shares the protein fold and most of the catalytic side chains of the catalytic domain of tyrosine recombinases (18,19). Biochemical analyses have confirmed that telomere resolvases and tyrosine recombinases share a common chemical mechanism (9). ResT has a two-domain structure with site-specific telomere recognition and the tyrosine recombinase catalytic domain residing in the C-terminal two-thirds of the protein. The N-terminal domain possesses non-specific DNA binding activity, and near its C-terminal end, it possesses side chains implicated in creating DNA distortions between the scissile phosphates to allow cleavage by the catalytic domain (20,21). A more complete view of the ResT mechanism is found in (10,22). Genomic sequence analysis of multiple *Borrelia burgdorferi* strains shows that there is frequent inter-plasmid exchange between the linear
plasmids that seems especially frequent near the telomeres (23,24). These exchanges produce complex mosaic relationships among the linear plasmids both within and between strains.

It is an intriguing possibility that this recombinogenic property of hairpin telomeres has been harnessed in the service of infection persistence in the mammalian host. Both the relapsing fever and Lyme disease spirochetes undergo gene conversion-driven antigenic variation of the VlsE surface lipoprotein, the expression site for which is located immediately upstream of a hairpin telomere on a linear plasmid (25). For B. burgdorferi, this switching usually involves short patch conversions of the variable portion of the VlsE expression site from unexpressed donor site(s) in a process that is RecA-independent but dependent on the Holliday junction branch migration motor RuvAB (26–28).

In this report, we show that ResT promotes single-strand annealing (SSA) and limited strand exchange between single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) donors and partial duplex target DNA. These activities are reminiscent of the activities of the λ beta protein, the recombinase component of the λ red recombination system (29). These results point to a, hitherto, unanticipated connection between the processes of telomere resolution and homologous recombination. Possible implications for hairpin telomere replication and linear plasmid recombination, including antigenic variation of VlsE, are discussed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Proteins and oligonucleotide substrates

All oligonucleotides were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies as polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE)-purified oligos (see Supplementary Materials and Methods). All reactions using wild-type ResT used N-terminal (6×-His) protein purified as previously reported except with the addition of step elution off of a heparin-Sepharose 6-LB column washed with buffer HG 0.5 M NaCl [25 mM HEPES (pH = 7.6), 0.2 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA); pH = 8.0], 10% (w/v) glycerol and 500 mM NaCl] and eluted with buffer HG 1.5 M NaCl (20). ResT (1–163) and ResT (164–449) were purified as reported in (21). Cre recombinase was purchased from New England Biolabs, and recombinant human FEN-1 was purchased from MyBioSource.

SSA assays

Fourteen-nanomolar unlabeled complementary oligo-nucleotide was mixed into reaction buffer (25 mM TrisCl, pH = 8.5; 1 mM EDTA, pH = 8.0; 100 mM NaCl) and chilled on ice for 2 min to inhibit the rate of spontaneous annealing on addition of 14-nm 5'-32P end labeled reporter oligonucleotide and 150 nM ResT followed by incubation at 30°C. Timepoints were taken by removing 21 μl from the 120 μl master annealing reactions to tubes with pre-aliquoted sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) stop dye containing an excess unlabeled version of the reporter oligo to prevent further annealing of the labeled reporter oligo after reaction termination. The 10× stop dye contains 200 mM EDTA, 32% glycerol, 1% SDS, 0.024% bromophenol blue and 600 mM unlabeled reporter oligonucleotide. Electrophoretic analysis was performed on 20 × 20 cm vertical gels with 7 or 9% PAGE 1× TAE/0.1% SDS as indicated in the legends and electrophoresed at 13 V/cm for the times indicated in the legends followed by gel drying, exposure of the gels to phosphor screens and analysis on a BioRad FX phosphorimaging machine. Reactions were performed, at a minimum, in triplicate and the data were quantitated with BioRad’s Image Lab software according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Reaction curves, bar graphs and statistics were generated with Prism’s GraphPad 5.0.

DNA strand exchange assays

Fifteen-nanomolar unlabeled ssDNA donor oligonucleotide was mixed into reaction buffer with or without 222 nM ResT (25 mM Tris-Cl, pH = 8.5; 1 mM EDTA, pH = 8.0; 100 mM NaCl; final concentrations) and incubated at 30°C for 5 min before addition of 15 nM of the partial duplex target DNA, 5'-32P end labeled on the top strand to be exchanged, followed by continued incubation at 30°C. Timepoints were taken by removing 21 μl from the 120 μl master strand exchange reactions to tubes with pre-aliquoted SDS stop dye to achieve a 1× final concentration of stop dye. Reaction time courses were loaded onto to 20 × 20 cm, 7% polyacrylamide, 1× TAE/0.1% SDS gels run for 2 h at 13.3 V/cm followed by gel drying, exposure of the gels to phosphor screens and analysis on a BioRad FX phosphorimaging machine.

RESULTS

ResT promotes DNA SSA

The lines of evidence discussed in the Introduction indicating that hairpin telomeres in B. burgdorferi are recombinogenic, prompted us to examine the possibility that ResT might have unanticipated activities that promote homologous recombination. The most fundamental of such activities is the pairing of complementary single strands of DNA, a process known as SSA. This is a core common activity shared by the RecA/Rad51 recombinases that promote homologous recombination via strand invasion and by the SSA proteins (SSAPs) typified by λ beta/Rad52 that drive the simpler SSA mode of homologous recombination (30,31). This issue was examined by running SSA assays with ResT. Briefly, the assay involves mixing a radiolabeled reporter DNA strand with an equimolar amount of cold complementary strand in protein-free reactions or with the addition of ResT under buffer conditions typical of a telomere resolution assay (see Materials and Methods for details). Annealing reactions are terminated by addition of SDS load dye containing an excess unlabeled reporter oligonucleotide to sequester any remaining unlabeled complementary strand, freezing the annealing reaction at the point of termination (32). The time courses documented in Figure 1B–D indicate that under our reaction conditions, ResT affords >100-fold stimulation over the rate
of the spontaneous reaction. A wide variety of reporter and complementary strand partner DNAs were tested to make sure the SSA activity we noted was not a peculiarity of the particular strands tested in Figure 1. ResT is able to promote SSA on a wide variety of oligonucleotide substrates (24–106 bp range tested; data not shown). Because ResT is an atypical member of the tyrosine recombinase family of enzymes, we tested whether the prototypical tyrosine recombinase Cre would also promote SSA. Addition of Cre over a wide range of concentrations did not promote SSA (see Supplementary Figure S1). Cre is a basic (theoretical pI of 9.6) two-domain protein that binds DNA as a C-clamp, making contacts via major and minor grooves, as do the telomere resolvases (19,33,34). Therefore, SSA promoted by ResT is not simply due to charge neutralization afforded by the addition of a basic DNA binding protein (ResT has a theoretical isoelectric point (pI) of 9.65).

We tested whether ResT could promote annealing of a model substrate flanked by the unusual GC-rich 17-mer direct repeats that delimit the variable region of VlsE in the expression site and that mark the boundaries between the silent archival variable cassettes (25). The repeats mark the boundary of the gene conversions that drive antigenic variation of the VlsE lipoprotein gene required for infection persistence in mice (35). An SSA assay run with a model substrate flanked by vls 17-mer direct repeats is documented in Figure 2. We labeled the G-rich strand, as we anticipated that this strand would form complex parallel-stranded intermolecular interactions mediated by G-quartet DNA and that this may interfere with normal annealing with its complement (36). This reporter strand forms a complex ladder of bands on our polyacrylamide gel with most of the material retained in the wells. Only the small proportion of the reporter strand not caught up in this web is annealed with the cold complementary strand in protein-free reactions (Figure 2B). Interestingly, addition of ResT to the SSA assay promotes complete annealing of the reporter and cold complementary strands indicating an ability of ResT to disrupt the G-quartet-mediated interactions of the G-rich strand with itself to form a normally annealed duplex DNA with its complementary strand.

Because SSAPs like λ beta do not use high-energy cofactors, the energy for accelerated annealing of complementary strands of DNA by SSAPs is derived from a high binding energy of these proteins to their heteroduplex products (37). We conclude that ResT also remains bound to its annealed heteroduplex products. This was demonstrated with the vls substrate in an assay based on ResT-binding to the heteroduplex product protecting an Mlu I restriction site in the annealed product (see Supplementary Figure S2).

To determine the minimum length requirements of ResT-mediated SSA and to see whether there is an effect of GC-content on this value, we designed the SSA assay presented in Figure 3. The reporter strand is mixed with a set of cold partner strands that range from perfectly complementary to possessing progressively longer blocks of non-complementary sequence, positioned in such a way as to place the resulting unbasepaired ‘bubble’ asymmetrically within the product, yielding left and right complementary flanks of different lengths and GC-contents (Figure 3A). If both flanks anneal successfully, the heterology in the annealed product forms a bubble product. If only the longer left flank anneals successfully, a frayed-end product is recovered. The gel migration breakpoint

Figure 1. ResT promotes DNA SSA. (A) Schematic representation of the SSA assay. The tested DNA strands anneal into an 83-bp duplex product with 26% GC-content typical of the low GC-content of the B. burgdorferi genome. The 5'-32P endlabeled 455* reporter strand is shown as a shaded or red line, whereas the complementary unlabeled 456 strand is shown in black. Also, represented is the 83-bp heteroduplex product (SSA). The red asterisk represents the 5'-27P end label. (B) Nine percent native TAE–SDS PAGE analysis of SSA promoted by ResT. Representative panels of SSA time courses are shown. The migration position on the gel of the labeled reporter strand (455*) is indicated to the left, whereas the migration position of the duplex product of annealing (P) is indicated on the right. Gels were run at 13 V/cm for 150 min. (C) Graphical summary of SSA time courses. The mean and standard deviation of at least three independent experiments are shown; without (w/o) ResT (n = 3) and 150 nM ResT (n = 4). (D) Comparison of the initial rates of spontaneous and ResT-promoted SSA of the 455* and 456 strands detailed in (A); w/o ResT (n = 3) and 150 nM ResT (n = 4).
between bubble and frayed-end products was determined empirically by comparison of the migration of the SSA products against intentionally designed frayed-end markers. We found that the AT-rich right flank successfully annealed with a flank of only 13 bp, whereas the GC-rich left flank required >19 bp of complementarity to successfully anneal this flank under our reaction conditions (Figure 3B). For the last bubble product formed by ResT (oligo 5), the strand annealed to the reporter strand is only a 63% homology match to the reporter strand.

**ResT promotes DNA strand exchange**

A prokaryotic exemplar of the SSAPs is \( \lambda \) beta. Studies of \( \lambda \) beta indicate that it catalyzes the related reactions of strand assimilation when working in combination with \( \lambda \) exonuclease and of strand exchange on partial duplexes via three-strand branch migration when operating without \( \lambda \) exonuclease (38,39). We tested whether ResT was also able to promote strand exchange on partial duplex substrates. Briefly, the assay consists in pre-incubation of ResT with unlabeled ssDNA donor followed by addition of partial duplex target DNA radiolabeled on the short top strand and continued incubation. Strand exchange was monitored on polyacrylamide gels as the displacement of the labeled strand from the partial duplex target DNA (see the Materials and Methods section for details). For these experiments we used the same substrates tested for beta but provided an equimolar concentration donor ssDNA to target rather than the 25-fold molar excess of donor reported in (39). The results presented in Figure 4 show that ResT also promotes DNA strand exchange. The rapid displacement of the labeled 410* strand from the partial duplex represents *bona fide* ResT-promoted strand exchange, as spontaneous branch migration was negligible (Figure 4; no ResT+411 donor panel) and the displacement was dependent on homology of the donor DNA with the target DNA (Figure 4; + ResT and randomized sequence 426 donor). Finally, the possibility that 410* strand displacement was due to contaminating helicase activity was ruled out by the incubation of the partial duplex DNA with ResT without donor addition (Figure 4; + ResT no donor panel). Here the reaction was stopped with SDS stop dye with an excess of unlabeled 410 donor to prevent reannealing of any 410* that may be displaced from the partial duplex by the reaction conditions or possible helicase activity. Strand exchange reactions were also run with radiolabeled donor ssDNA and unlabeled target DNA. This allowed us to verify that the deproteinated heteroduplex products of DNA strand exchange were annealed correctly by treating them with a restriction enzyme (data not shown).
The results presented in Supplementary Figure S3 show that ResT is an even more potent strand exchange protein than λ beta being able to promote strand exchange through as many as 63 bp on a partial duplex target, given an equimolar amount of an 83-nt ssDNA donor that can anneal to 20 nt of ssDNA flank next to duplex DNA in the target (Supplementary Figure S3A). λ Beta was unable to strand exchange the equivalent substrate (39). ResT gave no evidence of spontaneous branch migration in a version of this assay with an 83-bp partial duplex and a 106-nt donor ssDNA, indicating that like beta, ResT has only a limited ability to catalyze strand exchange; neither enzyme is able to use the energy of ATP for their reactions [(37); data not shown].

**Strand exchange: the effect of sequence heterology**

ResT-promoted strand exchange was shown to be dependent on its SSA activity rather than occurring by strand invasion by use of donor DNAs in the assay with shortened homology to the 3'-flank in the partial duplex target (see Figure 5A). Reducing the length of the flank homology in the donor ssDNA quickly abrogated the strand exchange reaction. Shortening the flank homology to 5 nt or eliminating it abolished strand exchange (Figure 5C). This indicates that ResT is unable to catalyze DNA strand invasion.

We next assessed the effect of sequence changes in the donor ssDNA that correspond to the 3'-ss/dsDNA junction in the target DNA, what would become the initial branch point during strand exchange (Figure 5B). Sequence heterology here presents a strong energetic barrier to spontaneous branch migration and to DNA strand exchange (39). The rate of ResT-promoted strand exchange is strongly reduced by such sequence heterology; >3 nt of heterology completely blocks the strand exchange (39). Dispersing 4 nt of heterology throughout the exchanging segment also blocked strand exchange (data not shown). We performed a full set of strand exchange assays with 5'-flank homology in the donor and observed no evidence of a polarity bias for strand exchange (Supplementary Figure S4).

**Strand exchange: incomplete strand exchange gives rise to flap complexes**

Our strand exchange assays tested the same substrates used to characterize λ beta but differ in that our
complex (Figure 6B). Similar results were obtained with donors with 5'-flank homology (Supplementary Figure S5).

We investigated the structure of the ternary complexes seen in the experiment in Figure 6 by treating them with the 5'-flap endonuclease, FEN-1 (Figure 7). FEN-1 cleaves 5'-flaps, and its preferred substrate is a double-flap complex (40). FEN-1 treatment of the ternary complexes shows that they are a mix of single- and double-flap complexes. The single-flap complexes are annealed via the 20-nt homology flank only; FEN-1 cleavage releases a 43-nt radiolabeled strand indicating that the 4 nt of heterology has blocked strand exchange at the initial branch point (Figure 7B; lane 4). The absence of flap complexes in the protein-free controls suggests that spontaneous branch migration cannot easily progress beyond the 4-nt heterology block and that the 20-nt flank in the target DNA tested is insufficient in the absence of ResT to produce large amounts of gel-stable single-flap complexes annealed to the target solely via the 20-nt single-stranded flank. A similar investigation of the ternary complexes produced with a 4-nt heterology block with donor DNA with a 20-nt 5'-flank homology (Supplementary Figure S5) revealed the presence of only single flap complexes (data not shown). The fact that the 5'-end of the partial duplex target has a much higher GC-content than the 3'-end (5'-CCTG-3' versus 5'-TACT-3') likely accounts for this difference.

The N-terminal domain of ResT is a SSA protein

To determine whether the SSA activity of ResT could be assigned to a particular domain, we compared full-length ResT, ResT (1–163) and ResT (164–449), in SSA and strand exchange assays (Figure 8). ResT (1–163) and ResT (164–449) have been previously defined as domains by partial chymotrypsin cleavage and their independent expression (21). The N-terminal domain possesses non-specific DNA binding activity and acts as an autoinhibitory domain for telomere binding/recognition, whereas the C-terminal domain has the tyrosine recombinase catalytic functions and the protein determinants of site-specific telomere binding (21). The SSA and strand exchange assays reveal that ResT (1–163) can support both reactions on its own, although 8-fold more ResT (1–163) is required for half-maximal annealing velocity (Figure 7B). The curves for the strand exchange reaction are more complicated than those for SSA. Reaction rate increases with ResT concentration but begins to drop above a concentration above 250 nM indicating a requirement for a specific stoichiometry of ResT/nucleotides of donor DNA (1 ResT/4 nt DNA) for optimal strand exchange. The curve for ResT (1–163) appears biphasic indicating that the ‘bottom’ strand is provided by a 126-nt oligonucleotide rather than the M13 genome. This allowed us to use polyacrylamide gels and to visualize ternary complexes formed between the donor ssDNA and the target DNA in strand exchange reactions that have failed to displace the 410* strand owing to the heterology block in the donor ssDNA (see Figure 6 and Supplementary Figure S5). Donor oligonucleotides with increasing sequence heterology decrease the rate of strand exchange but give a corresponding increase in the formation of the slowly migrating ternary complex. A donor ssDNA with four successive nucleotides of sequence heterology at the 3'-ss/dsDNA junction completely blocked strand exchange but yielded a quantitative conversion of the substrate DNAs into ternary complexes, whereas the protein-free reactions gave very little ternary complex (Figure 6B). Similar results were obtained with donors with 5'-flank homology (Supplementary Figure S5).
substrates may be present in alternate conformations, one of which requires a higher concentration of ResT (1–163) for optimal strand exchange. ResT (1–163) and ResT (164–449) are both very basic (pI = 9.9 and 9.3, respectively); the segregation of the SSA activity to the N-terminal domain argues for the specificity of our results as opposed to a non-specific effect mediated by charge neutralization (see also Cre’s failure to promote SSA, Supplementary Figure S1). Mixing the two domains together does not reduce the effective concentration of ResT (1–163) required for half-maximal reaction velocity for either SSA or strand exchange (data not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

SSAPs fall into three families typified by Redβ/RecT, ERF and Rad52 (41). Redβ/RecT and ERF families appear to be derived from bacteriophage and the Rad52 family is represented in eukaryotes. SSAPs participate in RecA-dependent and RecA-independent DNA recombination pathways. Despite their different sequence patterns and unrelated predicted structures, representatives of all three families have been shown to form similar ring and filament quaternary superstructures with ssDNA and DNA heteroduplexes, respectively (42,43). In the RecA-independent pathway, the SSAPs act in concert with a 5'-3' exonuclease to anneal complementary strands created by the exonuclease in a non-conservative DNA recombination event [see Supplementary Figure S6; (38)].

ResT bears no sequence relationship with any of the currently known SSAP families. The N-terminal domain only has similarity to the N-terminal domain of ResT from other Borrelia species. SSA activity has yet to be reported for any other telomere resolvase or tyrosine recombinase or subdomains thereof; the telomere resolvases are considered atypical members of this large family of site-specific recombinases typified by λ integrase (44). There are, however, a growing number of examples of tyrosine recombinases that are active on ssDNA substrates that have folded into hairpin structures that present to the recombinase regions.

**Figure 5.** Flank homology dependence and sensitivity to heterology of DNA strand exchange. (A) Schematic representation of the substrate DNAs used in DNA strand exchange reactions between a 43-bp partial duplex target DNA (410*/409) and a series of ssDNA donors with decreasing lengths of 3'-flank homology with the bottom strand of the partial duplex target. The top strand is colored red or shaded grey and the bottom strand black; the red asterisk indicates a 5'-32P endlabel. (B) Schematic representation of the substrate DNAs used in DNA strand exchange reactions between a 43-bp partial duplex target DNA (410*/409) and a series of ssDNA donors with the indicated length of sequence heterology introduced at what would be the initial branch point with the 3'-ss/dsDNA junction of the partial duplex target. (C) Comparison of the initial rates of spontaneous and ResT-promoted DNA strand exchange using the 410*/409 partial duplex substrate and a series of ssDNA donors with the indicated length of 3'-flank homology [detailed in (A)]. Shown is the mean and standard deviation of three independent time courses for each donor DNA and reaction condition. (D) Comparison of the initial rates of ResT-promoted DNA strand exchange using the 410*/409 partial duplex substrate and a series of ssDNA donors with the indicated length of sequence heterology introduced at what would be the initial branch point with the 3'-ss/dsDNA junction of the partial duplex target (detailed in B). Rates of spontaneous branch migration were too slow to be usefully plotted. The mean and standard deviation of three independent time courses for each donor DNA and reaction condition are shown.
Figure 6. Sequence heterology in the exchanging segment of the single-stranded donor DNA promotes the formation of ternary complexes. (A) Schematic representation of the DNA strand exchange reaction between a 43-bp partial duplex target DNA (410*/409) and a 63-nt ssDNA donor (412) with 20 nt of 3'-flank homology and a contiguous 4-nt block of heterology at the initial branch point with the 3'-ss/dsDNA junction of the partial duplex target. The product is inferred to be a ternary complex (3') containing the donor DNA and the partial duplex target. The top strand sequence is colored red or shaded grey and the bottom strand black; the asterisk indicates a 5'32P end label; X represents sequence changes in the donor that introduce mismatches with the partial duplex target DNA. (B) Seven percent native TAE–SDS PAGE analysis of representative time courses of spontaneous and ResT-promoted DNA strand exchange reactions. The 410*/409 marks the migration position of the 43-bp partial duplex target labeled on the 5'-strand; 412* marks the migration position of displaced 410* strand; 3' marks the migration position of the ternary complex. (C) Comparison of the initial rates of spontaneous and ResT-promoted ternary complex formation using the 410*/409 partial duplex substrate detailed in (A) and a series of ssDNA donors with the indicated length of sequence heterology introduced at what would be the initial branch point with the 3'-ss/dsDNA junction of the partial duplex target. The mean and standard deviation of three independent experiments for each donor DNA and reaction condition are shown.

duplex DNA for site-recognition followed by subsequent DNA cleavage and strand exchange. Examples include integration of the cholera toxin-bearing CTXφ into the chromosome by host XerC/D in Vibrio cholerae, and the integration of integron gene cassettes into chromosomal sites (45,46). For the integrons that use an arm of an extruded cruciform for integration, it has been suggested that the integrase stabilizes the cruciform conformation that is less energetically favorable than the lineform conformation (47).

What does ResT's possession of SSA activity mean? We can envision several possibilities: (i) that this activity is implicated in the telomere resolution reaction, (ii) that the SSA activity is required for some unappreciated aspect of linear replicon replication or maintenance and (iii) that the SSA activity is required for other functions in Borrelia.

A role for SSA in telomere resolution?
The telomere resolution reaction involves ResT binding to the rTel junction and DNA cleavage 6 bp apart on
opposite strands (9). The formation of the hairpins must involve disruption of the base pairing between the scissile phosphates and reannealing of at least some base pairing to effect strand foldback and strand transfer (19,22,34). It is conceivable that this necessary small-scale annealing activity required for hairpin formation has been elaborated, under selection, into the relatively long-range SSA activity reported here.

Potential implications for hairpin telomere replication

The prevailing model for replication of the linear replicons of *Borrelia* and the temperate bacteriophages that maintain linear prophage genomes is that bidirectional replication that is initiated internally proceeds around the hairpin telomeres to produce the hairpin junctions that are resolved into two hairpin telomeres by the resolvase (9). The formation of the hairpins must involve disruption of the base pairing between the scissile phosphates and reannealing of at least some base pairing to effect strand foldback and strand transfer (19,22,34). It is conceivable that this necessary small-scale annealing activity required for hairpin formation has been elaborated, under selection, into the relatively long-range SSA activity reported here.

**Figure 8.** ResT’s N-terminal domain promotes DNA SSA and strand exchange. (A) A plot of the annealing velocity versus ResT concentration is presented comparing full-length ResT and ResT (1–163) and ResT (164–449). The substrate strands used were the 455*+456 strands used in Figure 1. The mean and standard deviation of at least three independent experiments for each reaction condition are shown. (B) A plot of strand exchange velocity versus ResT concentration is presented comparing full-length ResT and ResT (1–163) and ResT (164–449). The substrates used were the 411 donor siDNA and the 410/409 partial duplex target used in Figures 4 and 5. The mean and standard deviation of at least three independent experiments for each reaction condition are shown.

A crucial assumption of this model is that the replisome is able to fully denature the DNA at the hairpin telomere end and that the leading strand polymerase fills any gaps left from lagging strand synthesis. The actual fate of the replisome approaching a hairpin telomere is not currently known. If occasionally the replisome reached the end of the telomere on the leading strand but not the lagging strand, it is conceivable that the ResT SSA and strand exchange activities that we have discovered indicate a need for recombinational repair of a daughter strand gap left on the lagging strand to produce a fully rTel.

**Implications for linear plasmid recombination and vls switching**

The linear plasmid component of the *B. burgdorferi* genome is characterized by a fairly uniform complement of genes distributed among the linear plasmids in different strains (24). However, the linear plasmids bear a complex mosaic relationship to each other, indicative of frequent and ongoing recombination (23). The mosaicism is similar to that noted among temperate bacteriophages (50). Among the temperate bacteriophages, it has been demonstrated that λ Red promotes homologous recombination to produce mosaic phages at a rate far above that produced by the host cell’s general recombination functions owing to the relative insensitivity of λ beta to sequence mismatches compared with RecA-promoted recombination and the insensitivity of the resulting mismatches to repair by the methyl-directed mismatch repair system (51). Some of the ‘recombination joints’ inferred from the sequencing data indicate recombination by some illegitimate process; we have previously proposed that ResT’s ability to fuse hairpin telomeres together followed by stabilizing deletions could explain this class of linear plasmid recombination and provide the raw material for generating substrates for homologous recombination between different plasmids (10,52). The SSA activity of ResT reported here suggests another way in which ResT could contribute to linear plasmid recombination as detailed in Supplementary Figure S6.

One of the most intriguing examples of recombination at hairpin telomeres is the gene conversion that drives antigenic variation of the *vlsE* expression site locus. The single expression site for *VlsE* is located immediately upstream of a linear plasmid telomere. Information in the variable region of the *vlsE* gene is replaced by information from an array of silent copies of the *vls* variable region located upstream of the telomeric expression site; the silent *vls* cassette array is arranged in inverted repeat orientation with respect to the expression site. *vls* switching usually involves short conversions of the variable portion of the *vlsE* expression site from unexpressed donor site(s) in a process that is RecA-independent but dependent on the branch migration motor RuvAB, implying the involvement of some variety of RecA-independent strand exchange reaction (26–28). Without data on the initiating events involved in antigen switching, it is difficult to arbitrate between different
possible models of switching. Nonetheless, the SSA and strand exchange activity of ResT is consistent with a possible role for ResT in this process. The expression site and silent donors being in inverted orientation indicate that during lagging strand synthesis the single-stranded gaps transiently produced would reveal complementary sequences in the donor vs DNA cassettes and the expression site, creating potential substrates for ResT-mediated DNA SSA or strand exchange.

The discovery of the SSA and strand exchange activities of ResT reported here point to important future studies to examine in more detail the way in which rTel junctions are produced during the Borrelia replication cycle and hint at ways in which hairpin telomere metabolism may contribute to the diversity-generating system represented by the vls locus.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA
Supplementary Data are available at NAR Online, including [53].
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