Study on steam pressure characteristics in various types of nozzles
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Abstract. Steam Jet Refrigeration (SJR) is one of the most widely applied technologies in the industry. The SJR system was utilizes residual steam from the steam generator and then flowed through the nozzle to a tank that was containing liquid. The nozzle converts the pressure energy into kinetic energy. Thus, it can evaporate the liquid briefly and release it to the condenser. The chilled water, was produced from the condenser, can be used to cool the product through a heat transfer process. This research aims to study the characteristics of vapor pressure in different types of nozzles using a simulation. The Simulation was performed using ANSYS FLUENT software for nozzle types such as convergent, convergent-parallel, and convergent-divergent. The results of this study was presented the visualization of pressure in nozzles and was been validated with experiment data.

1. Introduction

Steam injector refrigeration system can replace vapor compression of refrigeration system. In general, the refrigerant thermodynamic characteristics of the two systems are the same. The fact indicates that only water that has been used so far and available resources to drive injectors. Some research has been done on the use of fluorocarbon in vapor compression systems. These systems use water as a refrigerant in vapor compression cycle and every compression obtained from compression jet principles, known as refrigeration water-steam or steam-injector refrigeration (SJR) system.

SJR system utilizes remaining steam of boilers that is then channeled through nozzles into a tank (flash tanks) containing water. The nozzles convert pressure energy into kinetic energy so that it can quickly evaporate water. Cold water resulting from condensers (chilled water) is used to cool products through heat transfer. Generally, evaporating 1% of water in the tank can reduce 6°C of water temperature [1].

SJR system can be operated at the boiler temperature of 120°C up to 140°C and evaporator temperature of 5°C up to 15°C [2]. The temperature of evaporators depends on the pressure of evaporators. Low pressure or vacuum condition of evaporators is highly dependent on the design of injectors. According to [3], one of the factors that affect vacuum pressure of evaporators is the geometry between water surface and the suction side of injectors. It is therefore required that an injector be designed properly for the applications of refrigeration steam jet systems. The design of optimum angle of steam injector inclination is 2° for convergent type and 3° for divergent type with a throat length of 137 mm [4]. However, geometry factor causes the efficiency or performance (COP) of steam jet system refrigeration is still very low.
A quick evaporation of 1 kg of water results in a decline in temperatures of 5, 7°C [1]. If such a condition persists, the temperature of the water will get lower and lower. This should be allowed to take place to achieve a desired water temperature. The shortage of SJR refrigeration is associated with the fact that water freezes at the temperature of 0°C; if water freezes, the circulation in the system will not occur. Accordingly, the SJR refrigeration applications at low temperatures is very limited.

The performance of SJR system relies heavily on the efficiency of nozzles. Meanwhile, the efficiency of nozzles are influenced by geometry and fluid pressure in the injector. Sahni [5] reported that a the COP of steam jet refrigeration system is influenced by the geometry of nozzles and pressure drop.

According to Mitchley [3], one of the influential factors in the design of injector is geometry between water surface and the suction side of injector. On the output side the parameter that affects the efficiency of injector is pressure drop [5]. Other factors to consider are critical pressure and shock waves on the injector [6]. The ratio between intake pressure and critical pressure also affects the efficiency of injector and depends on geometry [7]. Vadalia [8] states that the optimization of nozzle geometry (throat diameter) is very influential towards the performance of steam jet injector. Petel [9] conducted research on the geometry optimization of injector in order to obtain the best efficiency by computational fluid dynamics (CFD) analysis and by the reduction of the pressure drop through the geometry injector.

2. Materials and methods
In this experiment there are 4 types of nozzle analyzed theoretically by simulations of convergent, parallel-convergent, 1° inclination-angle convergent-divergent, and 3° inclination-angle convergent-divergent nozzles. However, only the simulation of 1° inclination-angle convergent-divergent nozzles which were validated by the data of experiments.

![Figure 1. Experiment scheme.](image-url)

The experiments were begun by placing a 1° inclination-angle convergent-divergent on certain positions, as shown at figure 1, then followed by setting the intake pressure of nozzle as much as 5.4 bars, which was maintained constant. Furthermore, the profile arm of nozzles was arranged to read the pressure at each point along a range of 5 mm. The exit pressure obtained from the nozzles in the experiment was 2 bars. The data of experiment were used as the boundary condition in the simulation as shown in figure 2.

To view the condition of fluid inside the nozzle visually with the simulations, ANSYS 16.0 with an system analysis feature FLUENT was used. The simulation steps were, firstly, drawing CAD nozzle by using solid works. Secondly, the CAD nozzle was imported to the ANSYS by using the geometric features in the ANSYS. Thirdly, the geometry of nozzle was imported to the mesh. Fourthly, the mesh was imported to the setup then to set solver based on pressure and steady state of problems in time types; then the energy equation was activated and the inlet and outlet pressures in the boundary
conditions were set up. Finally, iterative solver and mixed initialization were selected and the program was run.

![Diagram of nozzle with inlet, throat, and outlet pressures](image)

**Figure 2. Boundary Condition.**

3. Results and discussion

The use of ANSYS 16.0 CFD with the feature of simulation system FLUENT in ANSYS 16.0 was applied in order to simulate the flows of fluid in the form of nozzle geometry in the experiment. In the experiment the inlet and outlet sides of the nozzles were the parameter derived from the results of laboratory testing, which were 5.5 bars and 2 bars on the inlet side and outlet side respectively. From figure 12, it can be seen that pressure graph on each wall of the nozzle with pressure changes at each position.

To see the characteristics of each varied nozzle, various step models were validated in accordance with the data of experiment. The contour parameters shown in figure 3, 5, 7 and 9 were found after inputting the inlet and outlet pressures on the 1° inclination-angle convergent-divergent, 3° inclination-angle convergent-divergent, convergent, and divergent-parallel nozzle models by using the method of fluent simulation. To compare the results of laboratory experiments, the results of simulations using ANSYS 16.0 can be seen below.

![Contour of 1° inclination angle convergent-divergent Nozzle](image)

**Figure 3.** Contour of 1° inclination angle convergent-divergent Nozzle.

![Pressure Graph of 1° inclination angle convergent-divergent Nozzle](image)

**Figure 4.** Pressure Graph of 1° inclination angle convergent-divergent Nozzle.

On the 1° inclination-angle convergent-divergent the pressure will decrease at when its position was after 30 mm as shown in figure 4. As shown in figure 3, the pressures began to go down after shocks took place. This is in line with the results of the study of Pansari [10] that the decrease moved from the shock location headed the exit side.
On the contrary, the 3° inclination-angle convergent-divergent underwent pressure decline when its position reached 20 mm; the differences were also due to the angle of inclination. The influence of inclination angle is accorded to the research results of Surya [11] claiming that inclination angle affected the performance of nozzles.

The characteristics of pressure on convergent nozzles were almost the same with those of convergent-parallel nozzle where the decrease of pressure occurred when they reached the same position. The decrease of pressure happened because the flow of fluid in the nozzles of both types underwent a sudden change of pressure on the exit sides of such nozzles. These conditions were in line with the research results of Rao [12] that analyzed flows in convergent nozzles using the CFD for the ratio of different nozzles.
The graph in figure 11, the results of the experiment, demonstrated the suitability of characteristics with those of the simulation in figure 12. The contours of pressure as in figure 3 up to figure 12 show the variation of pressure along the walls of nozzles; the pressures increased at inlet sides but decreased at outlet sides. The analysis results were in line with the view of Satyanarayana [13] that CFD analysis on convergent-divergent nozzle with rectangular, square, and round surfaces showed the result of the computation was very suitable with the experimental results. Sudhakar [14] has also made such a modeling with theoretical analysis by using CFD with parameters of pressure, temperature, and flow speed that resulted in a suitability of flow characteristics in convergent-divergent nozzles. Similarly, the suitability was demonstrated by the modeling of fluid flow in convergent-divergent nozzles assuming that the Quasi-one of dimensional isentropis flows using CFD [15].

4. Conclusion
Based on the results of experiments by simulations, it was found that various pressures occurred along the nozzles. The characteristic analysis on pressures in every type of nozzle showed different results; and this was subject to the difference of geometry. It was concluded that analysis using FLUENT indicated conformity with the results of the experiments in this study.
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