Abstract: In this work, a systematic review of the literature has been carried out to analyse the design of intelligent networks in environments inhabited by people and the applications of sensors to improve quality of life and aid human activities. This study aims to answer three research questions. The first question is whether the design of smart grids is made with people in mind. The second question focuses on whether intelligent networks are being taken account of in the research on human activity recognition, the Internet of Things, and the recognition of activities of daily living. The third question looks at whether there are synergies and multidisciplinary teams studying state-of-the-art technologies applied to environments inhabited by elderly or disabled people. Installations with sensors deployed for the improvement of the quality of human life will also help to improve the quality of the intelligent network, thus integrating the Human–Technology binomial. This study concludes with an analysis of the results of the sources examined, putting forward a protocol of seven proposals to guide future work.
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1. Introduction

The design of electrical installations in a given environment poses a challenge for the improvement of energy management in our society. In the year 2019, one of the main objectives of the United Nations Climate Change Conference–COP25–held in Madrid was the development and transfer of technology to achieve a sustainable evolution of energy consumption worldwide, with the main objective of reducing greenhouse gas emissions [1].

Today, there is a growing need for society to take care of its health by integrating the use of technology and numerous studies and research efforts offer interesting works on this topic [2,3]. The technology that we design and build in intelligent environments must be a climate-focused technology; that is, technological developments must drive energy consumption through renewable sources, control and measure consumption, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and alert and prevent inappropriate energy consumption. In short, household technologies should integrate the processes of energy efficiency and environmental sustainability.

Electrical and energy networks in general are designed, planned, and installed with the objective of providing high-quality electricity supply to users. This energy travels enormous distances to reach the final point, which is the consumer. People are essential in this scenario and play a key role in interacting with the energy networks when it comes to designing better installations and improving quality.

The Smart Grid is a modern intelligent network adapted to a new global paradigm. Intelligent systems bring together energy and information and communication technologies, improving performance, quality, generation, transmission, distribution, and marketing services [4,5].
Regarding the influence of intelligent networks in the transformation of human activities, it is essential to know the resources and systems of sensors, IoT and, in general, the devices that improve people’s quality of life. Today, there is a growing need for society to take care of its health by integrating the use of technology. Human Activity Recognition (HAR) allows monitoring of people’s quality of life and, in time, more features and functionalities emerge in this area, relying on a wide repertoire of hardware and software components. Proof of this is the implementation of several solutions in indoor environments, which capture the data generated from people’s interactions with an intelligent environment [6]. Data collected from heterogeneous sensors implemented in intelligent environments or from sensors connected to the body (wearables) are stored in datasets [3] and the information obtained from intelligent devices is integrated into a larger set, the intelligent network that brings together an environment, a community, a city, and, in general, a joint strategy to improve the quality of technology and life in our society.

In 2010, the Conference of the Parties, the supreme decision-making body of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, established the Technology Executive Committee (TEC) with the objective of accelerating and enhancing the development and transfer of climate technology. This committee consists of 20 experts representing developed and developing countries. The Technology Executive Committee analyses climate technology issues and develops balanced policy recommendations, supporting countries to accelerate action on Climate Change (Figure 1). The Technology Executive Committee brings together a range of institutions, non-governmental organisations, government experts, the United Nations, and others [7].

![Figure 1. Focus areas of the Technology Executive Committee [7].](image)

In 2018, the European Commission published the document: “A Clean Planet for all”. A European strategic long-term vision for a prosperous, modern, competitive, and climate-neutral economy, in which it presented a strategy for the transition to a zero-net-emission economy in 2050. According to the European Commission, the energy system of the future will integrate the systems and markets for electricity, natural gas, air conditioning, and mobility, with smart grids that put citizens at the centre [8].

Technology, and its development, is not only an effective tool in the fight against climate change, but it is also an essential mechanism for the evolution of life on earth and the improvement of human health and the condition of the planet in general. Technological design in this context will be key to the future of humanity.

The technological design of the environments where we live, move, and work must also be the subject of a detailed analysis related to climate technology. Human beings produce and emit greenhouse gases as a product of our activity; hence we must design sustainable and efficient spaces.

The design of smart homes and houses must be adapted to existing regulations on electrical engineering and automation. These regulations have become obsolete and are sometimes not suitable for efficient and sustainable climate design. As is often the case, technological research and innovation are evolving faster than regulations [9,10]. Based on the above, in this work, we will focus on studying the interaction between intelligent systems integrated in human environments and the recognition of human activities that can be observed in such spaces in a multidisciplinary way, with the purpose...
of carrying out a systematic and comprehensive review of the existing research in this field and contributing new ideas to these advances [11,12].

This analysis aims to answer some of the following questions:

1. Is the design of Smart Grids (SGs) made taking into account the people living in homes or other spaces?
2. Based on the analysis of data from Human Activity Recognition (HAR) and Activities of Daily Living (ADL) systems, are the contributions in SGs being considered in these fields?
3. Are there synergies and multidisciplinary teams studying state-of-the-art technologies applied to environments inhabited by elderly or disabled people?

In order to try to answer the questions raised, firstly, a systematic analysis of the scientific literature in this field will be carried out and, secondly, a model will be proposed where people’s quality of life and technology will be highlighted as necessary means to achieve the objectives of sustainable development, valuing sustainability and energy efficiency in all processes [13,14].

Based on the proposed research, the following actions will be undertaken:

- Analysing the research contributions on SGs and HAR. A systematic literature review will be carried out, applying specific criteria to search for patterns that interlink the Human–Technology binomial.
- Characterising and group the set of results obtained, performing an analysis of the proposals, methodology, relevance, and applications to intelligent environments.
- Proposing an action protocol with seven proposals to approach projects and designs in intelligent environments in which human activity prevails.

Among the analysed bibliography, numerous papers and research works related to SGs can be found [15–17], but if we narrow the search to SG systems applied to intelligent environments with sensors and HAR in indoor spaces, the number of works is considerably limited. As indicated in [3], with a large amount of data analysed, this research on HAR and its relationship with ADL resulted in a study that offered a magnificent analysis.

The objectives studied include: (1) Creating predictive models that allow the classification of normal and abnormal behaviour in people and (2) providing the necessary tools for the caregiver and the medical team to identify the activities performed by them and establishing preventive and corrective measures.

Our main objective is to carry out a systematic literature review that groups the advances in SGs and the use of sensors in home environments as a previous phase to researching the applications of human activity recognition with sensors to elderly people, people with disabilities, in care homes, inclusive and/or adapted environments, etc. All this, under the paradigm of achieving compliance with the sustainable development goals and the global strategy for the energy transition and climate change.

The work presented in this article contains the following sections: The introduction details the analysis of some basic issues that have prompted the research, as well as raising some questions and proposals that will be analysed in the following sections. In the research section, the sources that have served as reference for the work are studied. The third section explains the method and procedure used to extract, filter, and analyse the references and sources obtained in the systematic literature review. In the results section, a quantitative and qualitative analysis of the sources obtained is carried out and the data are detailed in tables and figures, as well as outlining and analysing the most important research works. Finally, the conclusions section explains the results obtained, answers the questions raised in the work, and lays out a protocol of recommendations for the future.

2. Related Research

By carrying out a study of references and scientific proposals on SGs, we can retrieve thousands of sources, most of them related to Smart Power Grids, Power Generation Systems, Electric Power Systems, Economy and Management of the Electric Network, and Control and Optimization of Electric
We will focus our review on indoor environments to narrow down the sources that have developed similar research. By reviewing the related literature, we find sources that focus their research on the fields of the Internet of Things (IoT), SGs, Energy Engineering Computing, Wireless Sensor Networks, and Home Automation, among others.

We shall now highlight some of the studies found within these fields for their level of citations, their presence in high-impact journals or special relevance to the subject studied in this work.

Saha [20] describes trends in IoT, cloud computing, autonomous control, and artificial intelligence. This work discusses the need for synchronization of the Internet, wireless sensors, and actuators along with distributed computing to enable successful technologies for IoT. The regulatory aspect referred to here is interesting.

Other works [21] propose research on IoT applied to mobility, taking into account that this aspect is a human need and that there are many technological resources that can improve it, such as the use of sensors and intelligent devices in vehicles. In this work, we also include these sources, which are relevant to the study of SGs, since electric mobility is a basic factor in energy management, environmental sustainability, and, of course, to improve people’s quality of life, especially vulnerable groups such as the disabled, the elderly, and others.

In [22], an overview is given of the wide variety of sensors applied to intelligent environments. It catalogues and classifies these according to uses and applications. Sensors applied to health, agriculture, environment, energy sources, among others, are analysed. The references to manufacturers, technologies, and future trends studied in this work are interesting. This work offers valuable information to advance in the study of sensors and their application in intelligent environments, taking into account climate change mitigation policies and the energy transition.

In relation to the hierarchical architecture of the intelligent network to evaluate the advantages of distributed data processing, one paper [23] offers a specific analysis of the sensors present in the home. In addition, local data processing is analysed through automatic learning algorithms integrated in the distributed system.

There are studies that integrate the design of sensors and HAR in applications whose purpose is energy or electricity demand control [24]. It is essential to study the response of stochastic demand in the smart grid considering the use patterns of random devices. An advance in this type of research will undoubtedly improve the quality of life of users [25]. User interactions, household appliances, and human activity recognition data and their relationship with household equipment are analysed. An automated method is proposed to determine when an electrical device is activated by household residents only from its energy trail.

The approach is interesting, since the interaction of people with the equipment is analysed through energy and consumption measurement. Data and consumption management are taken into account for the analysis of the efficiency and sustainability of the actions we study, an important vector for our analysis, since in our study we will consider the sustainable development goals and the challenge of the energy transition.

References and documentary sources from the Web of Science (WOS), Scopus, and IEEE Xplore databases have been carefully analysed according to the work methodology detailed as follows.

3. Method

There are numerous works that explore the systematic review of literature as a means to address research on a topic. In this paper, we have chosen this method since we find numerous references on SGs and HAR in intelligent environments and we want to delimit and analyse the results using both parameters, evaluated jointly. In this way, we intend to advance research that groups both vectors with the aim of improving future developments. Among the literature examined, we found work in
the areas of engineering, as in [26], which is limited to finding which working models work best in numerous research studies, both qualitative and quantitative.

In studies such as [27], different works related to IoT and its applications are analysed. Generally, most of the works are focused on the field of health, medicine, oncology, etc. and references to the field of engineering greatly limit the scope of the research [28–33]. In some sources, the search was limited to two work vectors, as we have planned in this study, to subsequently establish the databases or search repositories chosen. The selection and exclusion of parameters were established as a strategic means to limit the sources, in order to focus the research as much as possible.

We established the following questions that we wanted to address in our work:

1. Does the design of Smart Grids (SGs) take into account the human vector? How many of the sources consulted on SGs research take into account the Human–Technology binomial to implement architectures, designs, and installations that respect people’s quality of life and their environment?

2. In the analysis of data from HAR and ADL systems and the use of sensors for their monitoring, are SG contributions being considered to adapt and improve data acquisition, management in the monitoring of activities, and the implementation of sustainable development goals and the energy transition?

3. Are there synergies and multidisciplinary teams studying state-of-the-art technologies applied to environments inhabited by elderly or disabled people?

The databases we chose for the search are: IEEExplore, Web of Science, and Scopus (Table 1). We started searching for keywords and discarded any search parameters that were not relevant or could hinder our research. The search was limited to the time period 2015–2019 because developments in SG and HAR work in this field have been updated and advanced greatly in recent years. We limited the search to articles and conferences, discarding books, editorials, and essays. We focused on interior environments, homes, and indoor spaces, and therefore excluded outdoor or open spaces.

Table 1. Database sources.

| Source                | URL                          |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| IEEE Xplore           | http://ieeexplore.ieee.org    |
| Web of Science (WOS)  | http://apps.isiknowledge.com  |
| Scopus                | http://www.scopus.com        |

We can see the search criteria and the filters applied in Table 2.

Table 2. Search strategies and filters.

| Search for Keywords         | Results |
|-----------------------------|---------|
| “Smart Grids” and “Sensors” and “Home” | 481     |

| Filters applied             |         |
|-----------------------------|---------|
| Filter 1: Time frame: 2015–2019 | 262     |
| Filter 2: Publication sources. Only conferences and journals. | 249     |
| Filter 3: Exclusion of some subject areas: smart meters, distributed power generation, energy conservation and optimisation. | 180     |
| Duplicates                  | 18      |
| Total Results (180–18)      | 162     |

Figure 2 shows the search pattern used. As can be seen, the time frame selected is the last 5 years and some search terms related to energy management, electricity measurement, and distributed
electricity generation, which are specific studies on energy systems and are not related to our objectives, were excluded.

![Search string model](image)

**Figure 2. Search string model.**

The following proposed search string was selected as it offered the most interesting results: (Smart Grids) and (Sensors) and (Home) and not (Smart Meters) and not (Distributed power generation) and not (Energy conservation) and not (Optimisation). In addition, the time frame and other specific vectors were selected by groups of articles, in order to discard any that were not relevant or did not offer any satisfactory results to the goals of the research effort.

Some indicators in the filtered works were also analysed, such as:

1. Type of environment analysed,
2. Whether it belongs to a research project and its results,
3. Whether the research is theoretical or experimental.

Data analysis was carried out with the help of data management, statistical, and graphic packages in order to present the results of the chosen sources. They were classified quantitatively, outlining the number of sources per year, number of citations, impact of the publication, and they were also presented qualitatively, indicating the most relevant conclusions, proposals for action, and implementation of results, etc.

### 4. Results

This section details the results of the selection process, including the search parameters that we analyse in Section 3, the filters that have been applied, the inclusion and exclusion indicators, and the duplicates in articles that exist in different databases.

#### 4.1. Quantitative Analysis

We begin this point by indicating that a time frame of full years has been chosen, from 2015 to 2019. In the year 2020, at the date of completion of this work, there are some very interesting references related to our research, but because they do not comply with the time parameter, they have not been included in the data tables and quantitative and qualitative analyses. A total number of 29 works that could meet the objectives of this research have been located in the databases analysed. The work done by Dileep can be highlighted [34], as it offers a comprehensive and detailed analysis of all the components of the intelligent network, its applications, benefits, and opportunities, also taking into account the importance of the users.

We continue analysing Table 3, where it can be seen that 481 results were obtained in the first block of data extraction, while applying the corresponding filters resulted in a lower number, 180 results. The first column indicates the name of the analysed database, the second column, Results,
indicates the number of gross results obtained. The columns for Filter 1, Filter 2, and Filter 3 present the screening results. In the last column, Revised Results, all 180 results are included.

| Search String Model | Library | Results | Filter 1 | Filter 2 | Filter 3 | Revised Results | Duplicates | Revised Results Final |
|---------------------|---------|---------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------|------------|-----------------------|
| IEEEExplore         | 129     | 74      | 72       | 0        | 72       | IEEEExplore + WOS + SCOPUS 3 |
| WOS                 | 34      | 23      | 22       | 0        | 22       | IEEEExplore + WOS 9  |
| SCOPUS              | 318     | 165     | 155      | -69      | 86       | IEEExplore + SCOPUS 6 |
| Total               | 481     | 262     | 249      | -69      | 180      | 18              | 162        |

By filtering out the works that are repeated over several databases, we obtain the final number of articles to be reviewed in a qualitative way, this being 162.

As we can see in Figure 3, representing the results from the Scopus database, there is a remarkably large percentage of articles in the areas of Computer Science (41.1%) and Engineering (35.9%). These data coincide approximately with those of the WOS and IEEEExplore databases.

In the quantitative analysis of the final results, we can observe that there are some works that are out of the scope of what we want to analyse and that were not detected in the previous screening. With a more in-depth review of each one of them, they were discarded from our work. Therefore, the final number of works in our quantitative analysis is 162.

We observe in Tables 4–6, according to the databases analysed, that the results differ according to type of publication source, year, and number of publications. Thus, in the IEEEExplore database, we see that most of the research is published in international conferences (77.8%) and there is a greater number of publications in 2017 and 2018. We can see this in Table 4.

It can be deduced from Table 5 that most publications are made in high-impact journals, although this subject is also dealt with by other, lesser publications. Although, we continue to see that a very high number of the sources are published in international conferences and congresses.
Table 4. Quantitative results from the IEEExplore database.

| IEEExplore Library                  | Publications | 2019 | 2018 | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|------|------|------|------|------|
|                                    |              |      |      |      |      |      |
| IEEE Sensors Journal                | 7            | 9.7  | 1    | 2    | 2    | 1    |
| IEEE Access                         | 2            | 2.8  | 1    | 1    |      |      |
| IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials | 1          | 1.4  |      |      |      |      |
| IEEE Transactions on Green Communications and Networking | 1          | 1.4  |      |      |      |      |
| IEEE Transactions on Multi-Scale Computing Systems | 1          | 1.4  |      |      |      |      |
| Canadian Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering | 1          | 1.4  |      |      |      |      |
| IET Generation, Transmission & Distribution | 1          | 1.4  |      |      |      |      |
| IEEE Internet of Things Journal     | 1            | 1.4  |      |      |      |      |
| IEEE Transactions on Education      | 1            | 1.4  |      |      |      |      |
| International Conference            | 56           | 77.8 | 7    | 16   | 14   | 11   |
| Total                               | 72           | 100  | 9    | 20   | 19   | 12   | 12   |

Table 5. Quantitative results of the Web of Science (WOS) database.

| WOS Library                                            | Publications | 2019 | 2018 | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------|------|------|------|------|------|
|                                                        |              |      |      |      |      |      |
| IEEE Sensors Library                                   | 2            | 22.2 |      |      |      |      |
| IEEE Access                                            | 1            | 11.1 | 1    |      |      |      |
| IEEE Instrumentation & Measurement Magazine            | 1            | 11.1 | 1    |      |      |      |
| Information Sciences                                   | 1            | 11.1 | 1    |      |      |      |
| IET Networks                                           | 1            | 11.1 | 1    |      |      |      |
| Physical Communication                                 | 1            | 11.1 |      |      |      |      |
| IEEE Transactions on Multi-Scale Computing Systems     | 1            | 11.1 |      |      |      |      |
| IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials                | 1            | 11.1 |      |      |      |      |
| IEEE Transactions on Green Communications and Networking |              |      |      |      |      |      |
| Canadian Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering |              |      |      |      |      |      |
| IET Generation, Transmission & Distribution            |              |      |      |      |      |      |
| IEEE Internet of Things Journal                        |              |      |      |      |      |      |
| IEEE Transactions on Education                         |              |      |      |      |      |      |
| International Conference                               | 1            | 11.1 |      |      |      |      |
| Total                                                  | 9            | 100  | 5    | 1    | 3    | 0    |

As with the aforementioned databases, the quantitative results of the number of publications in Scopus are shown in Table 6 according to the title of the journal or international conference. The publication percentages per year are also outlined, in which we can see that they are very similar. With regard to the type of publication sources, 52% of works have been published in international conferences and the rest in journals.

In Table 7, it can be seen that the main thematic areas in which the works have been published are largely concentrated in the fields of Engineering (30%) and Computer Science (28%). Nevertheless, some of the sources have been published over a notable and wide group of research areas, such as environmental sciences or social sciences.
Table 6. Quantitative results of the Scopus database.

| SCOPUS Library | Publications | 2019 | 2018 | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
|----------------|--------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| ACM Transactions on Sensor Networks | 1            |      |      |      |      |      |
| Applied Energy | 1            | 1    |      |      |      |      |
| Applied Soft Computing Journal | 1            |      |      |      |      |      |
| Applied Thermal Engineering | 1            |      |      |      |      |      |
| ARPN J. of Engineering and Applied Sc. | 1            |      |      |      |      |      |
| Canadian J. of Electrical and Comp. Enginee. | 1            | 1    |      |      |      |      |
| Computer Communications | 1            |      |      |      |      |      |
| Computer Networks | 1            |      |      |      |      |      |
| Computers and Electrical Engineering | 1            | 1    |      |      |      |      |
| Electronics | 2            | 1    | 1    |      |      |      |
| Energy and Buildings | 1            |      |      |      |      |      |
| Energy Research and Social Science | 1            |      |      |      |      | 1    |
| IEEE Consumer Electronics Magazine | 1            | 1    |      |      |      |      |
| IEEE Internet of Things Journal | 1            |      |      |      |      | 1    |
| IEEE J. on Selected Areas in Communic. | 1            |      |      |      |      | 1    |
| IEEE Transactions on Education | 1            |      |      |      |      | 1    |
| IEEE Transactions on Green Comm. and Net. | 1            |      |      |      |      | 1    |
| IEEE Transact. on Multi-Scale Comp. Systems | 1            |      |      |      |      | 1    |
| IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid | 1            |      |      |      |      | 1    |
| IEEE Wireless Communications | 1            |      |      |      |      | 1    |
| Information (Japan) | 1            |      |      |      |      | 1    |
| Information Fusion | 1            | 1    |      |      |      |      |
| Internat. J. of Applied Engineering Research | 1            |      |      |      |      | 1    |
| Internat. J. of Comm. Netw. and Inform. Security | 1            | 1    |      |      |      |      |
| Internat. J. of Comp. Netw. and Comm. | 1            |      |      |      |      | 1    |
| Internat. J. of Control Theory and Applic. | 1            |      |      |      |      | 1    |
| Internat. J. of Cooper. Informat. Systems | 1            |      |      |      |      | 1    |
| Internat. J. of Grid and Distrib. Computing | 1            |      |      |      |      | 1    |
| Internat. J. of Recent Technology and Engin. | 1            |      |      |      |      | 1    |
| Jisuanji Y. Fazhan/Comp. Research and Dev. | 1            |      |      |      |      | 1    |
| Journal of Communications | 1            |      |      |      |      | 1    |
| Journal of Internet Services and Applic. | 1            |      |      |      |      | 1    |
| Journal of Network and Comp. Applications | 1            | 1    |      |      |      |      |
| Physical Communication | 1            |      |      |      |      | 1    |
| Procedia Manufacturing | 1            |      |      |      |      | 1    |
| Recent Patents on Engineering | 1            |      |      |      | 1    |      |
| Sustainability (Switzerland) | 1            | 1    |      |      |      |      |
| Sustainable Energy, Grids and Networks | 1            |      |      |      |      | 1    |
| Wireless Personal Communications | 1            |      |      |      |      | 1    |
| Wireless Personal Communications | 1            |      |      |      |      | 1    |
| International Conference | 45            | 7    | 9    | 7    | 15   | 7    |
| Total | 86            | 15   | 17   | 15   | 20   | 19   |
Table 7. Main thematic areas of publication.

| Thematic Areas                           | %   |
|-----------------------------------------|-----|
| Engineering                             | 30% |
| Computer Science                        | 28% |
| Energy                                  | 9%  |
| Mathematics                             | 7%  |
| Physics and Astronomy                   | 7%  |
| Social Sciences                          | 3%  |
| Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology | 2%  |
| Business, Management and Accounting     | 2%  |
| Chemistry                               | 2%  |
| Decision Sciences                       | 2%  |
| Environmental Science                   | 2%  |
| Materials Science                       | 2%  |
| Economics, Econometrics and Finance     | 1%  |
| Medicine                                | 1%  |
| Pharmacology, Toxicology and Pharmaceutics | 1%  |

Figure 4 shows the total percentage of documents per area. As we can see, there is a remarkably large percentage of articles in the areas of Computer Science (27.9%) and Engineering (30.2%).

In Figure 5, we can see the origin of the authors of the analysed works. The country that covers the highest number of sources is India, followed by the United States, China, South Korea, Canada, France, and Italy.
4.2. Qualitative Analysis

According to the quantitative extraction, we have a set of 162 documents to analyse. We look at each of them in detail and have excluded those that do not provide relevant information to our research, since the study of SGs and their relationship with sensors and the home means that there are some works that fall outside of the scope of our discussion.

As stated in the objectives of the work laid out in Section 1, we will carry out a systematic literature review that groups the advances in SGs and at the same time details the use of sensors in the home as an initial research model in the applications of human activity recognition with sensors in elderly people, people with disabilities, applications to residences, inclusive and/or adapted environments, etc. All this, under the paradigm of achieving compliance with the sustainable development goals and the global strategy of the energy transition and climate change.

Only three of the sources analysed thoroughly study the relationship between SGs and HAR, as we have analysed by reading the sources that have been selected on the basis of the systematic literature review. This first analysis leads us to a first point for the conclusions of this study, which is the fact of the scarce relation that we find in the strategy of studies and advances in SGs with the energy transition and the Human–Technology binomial. It can be clearly seen that in engineering, technology, and SG studies, the human being is understood as one more vector of analysis and not as the main vector on which research and the study matrix of these works should be based.

Table 8 specifies the type of publication and the references and topics in each research work that are related to SG analysis and strategy, sensor use techniques, and type of application to the home. In this way, we have grouped the sources by topic, similarly to the one used in the databases to select the references.

The analysis of each of the sources has been carried out in an in-house database, indicating the following parameters:

- Identification of the source with bibliographic reference,
- Journal or conference where it is published,
- Title, year, and area of knowledge.
- Proposed research tools and techniques.
- Technologies and synergies that interrelate SGs with sensors and the home.
- Applications for the elderly and people with disabilities.

| Strategies and Topic | WOS | IEEE Xplore | Scopus |
|----------------------|-----|-------------|--------|
| 1. Analysis of architectures, models, prototypes and studies on the Smart Grid and its relationship with communication systems for the automation of low-voltage electrical networks in intelligent indoor environments | [35–42] | [43,44] | [45–50] |
| 2. Control theory modelling. Mathematical analysis, block diagrams, differential/integral equations, etc. are included | [51] | [52–55] | [56–60] |
| 3. Smart Grids and their application to HAR and ADL | [61] | [62,63] |
| 4. Simulation of intelligent environments with sensors in the home. Data control architecture, complex computer systems | [64–66] | [60,67–83] | [81,84–86] |
Table 8 shows the different themes and research strategies analysed, according to the database and the subject matter.

The strategies analysed are listed below:

1. Analysis of architectures, models, prototypes, and studies on SGs and their relationship with communication systems for the automation of low-voltage electrical networks in intelligent indoor environments.
2. Control theory modelling. Mathematical analyses, block diagrams, differential/integral equations, etc., are included.
3. SGs and their application to HAR and ADL.
4. Simulation of intelligent environments with sensors in the home. Data control architecture, complex computer systems.

We can observe that, according to the qualitative analysis in Table 8, a very small number of sources that coincide with the search strategy linking SGs to sensors and home environments and with research based on HAR and ADL are repeated in all the databases analysed.

Different issues have been detected that will lead us to draw up an action protocol and work model that can serve as a basis for design teams in engineering, architecture, institutions, etc., where it is paramount that studies on SGs place their focus on people as the backbone of all research.

If we analyse the relevance of the sources in which the works have been published, we see in Table 9 that most common destination country for the publications is the United States of America (USA).

| SOURCE                                      | Publications | Country | ISSN       | Quartile |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------|---------|------------|----------|
| IEEE Sensors Journal                        | 7            | USA     | 1558-1748  | Q1-Q2    |
| IEEE Int. Conf. on Smart Grid Communicat.   | 6            | USA     | 2016       | –        |
| Inter. Istanbul Smart Grids and Cities Cong.| 3            | USA     | 7281-1315  | –        |
| IEEE Access                                 | 2            | USA     | 2169-3536  | Q1       |
| Electronics                                 | 2            | SWITZ.  | 2079-9292  | Q3       |
| IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials     | 1            | USA     | 1553-877X  | Q1       |
| IEEE Trans. on Green Commun. and Network.   | 1            | USA     | 2473-2400  | –        |
| IEEE Trans. on Multi-Scale Comp. Systems    | 1            | USA     | 2332-7766  | Q1-SJR   |
| Canadian J. of Electrical & Comp. Engineering| 1            | CANADA  | 0840-8688  | Q3       |
| IET Generation, Transmission & Distribution| 1            | UK      | 1751-8687  | Q2       |
| IEEE Internet of Things Journal             | 1            | USA     | 2327-4662  | Q1       |
| IEEE Transactions on Education              | 1            | USA     | 0018-9359  | Q2       |
| Information Sciences                        | 1            | USA     | 0020-0255  | Q1       |
| IET Networks                                | 1            | UK      | 20474962   | Q2 SJR   |
| ACM Transactions on Sensor Networks         | 1            | USA     | 1550-4859  | Q2       |
| Applied Energy                              | 1            | UK      | 0306-2619  | Q1       |
| Applied Soft Computing Journal              | 1            | NETHERL. | 15684946   | Q1       |
| Computers & Electrical Engineering          | 1            | UK      | 0045-7906  | Q2       |

If we analyse some of the most cited or relevant works, we will be able to structure and examine which are the most studied trends and research parameters and also the references and other documents that have been used by these sources. We will focus mainly on the most recent works.
4.2.1. Analysis Strategy 1. SG Architectures, Models and Studies

There are numerous sources that propose their work as an analysis of architectures, models, prototypes, and studies on SGs and their relationship with communication systems for the automation of low-voltage electrical networks in intelligent indoor environments.

If we analyse the documents [35–42,46], we find relationships between the fields of work studied. For example, a multi-zone control scheme for data and energy flow management was designed and evaluated in [35] using real data on load demand and energy prices from the household power grid. A reduction in energy demand was deduced.

In [36], a target optimization technique was proposed and the proposed algorithm coordinates all monitored and recorded appliances for better use of electrical energy by means of sensors. The proposed method was tested and verified using 300 (household) case studies. In [37], an in-depth analysis of university training related to SGs, sensors, and their practical applications is presented in a study with engineering students. A framework for defining resilience in power systems is proposed in [38], which divides the ways in which the system supports extreme disturbance events into two categories: Disturbance absorption and disturbance recovery. Resilience is a multidimensional property of the electric grid; it requires managing disturbances originating from physical component failures, cyber component malfunctions, and human attacks. It is based on a qualitative risk assessment study in SGs.

In [39], an algorithm is analysed using real residential energy consumption data from the database, which allows the consumer to compare their energy consumption with that of their neighbours or detect any anomalies, such as a faulty appliance. Consumers can also be classified into different groups, which can be used effectively to improve demand response policies.

In [40], a systematic literature review is carried out on the energy management systems for the home implemented over the last five decades. It analyses the home automation protocols integrated in the home. The model proposed by the authors facilitates the change of role from a passive user to an active user in the electrical energy value chain to control, monitor, and supervise any household appliance in real time, remotely or directly. In [42], a range of changes in the electric-electronic industry regarding the growth of SG automation and sensor technology is explored. Market trends in the electronics industry in the coming years were analysed.

In the IEEEExplore database, some works in the field of SGs, sensors, and applications in the intelligent home have been selected to be studied [43,44]. In [43], educational training in SGs and the use of advanced sensor technologies are analysed. This document presents a test bed in the smart home based on the project-based learning (PBL) pedagogical model for undergraduate education. The proposed test bench enables undergraduate students to gain key skills in smart network-related topics such as peak demand flattening, real-time price response, wireless sensor networks, machine learning, pattern recognition, embedded system programming, user interface design, circuit, and database design.

In [44], we found a very interesting work framed within a special issue of a high-impact publication and related to SGs, sensors, and their implementation in intelligent environments. For example, different sensors and their applications to smart cities are studied regarding the measurement, control, and management of energy. Some of the most cited articles in this special issue look into smart metering and its role in the IoT of the future. In this special issue, other topics are also analysed, and Morello proposes the development and experimental validation of a smart power meter capable of monitoring power in real time. Its approach is based on technologies, applications, demand management, models, and prototypes related to energy.

There is some interesting research in the Scopus database, where [45] proposes a new energy management approach to smart homes that combines a wireless network based on Low-Energy Bluetooth (BLE) for communication between home appliances with a Home Energy Management (HEM) scheme. People’s comfort is taken into account when it comes to intelligent and integrative energy management. Figure 6 shows a proposal for a home network with sensors based on Bluetooth.
In [50], a decentralized algorithm for demand scheduling that minimizes consumer discomfort and the cost of electricity in a home is studied. In this paper, they differentiate smart home devices as non-programmable and programmable. The first refers to devices with a fixed energy requirement that are not subject to programming decisions (TV, refrigerators, modems, etc). Programmable appliances allow the use of the appliance to be changed over time and have a direct relationship with consumer preferences and behaviour (dishwashers, washing machines and dryers).

In [87], intrusive sensors directly connected to the monitored devices are used. This work classifies the connected devices into four categories and displays the sequences. In [47], similarly to other documents, a model for energy evaluation and management in intelligent homes is explored, with the integration of sensors in devices and appliances. A way to reduce the energy of ZigBee-based smart appliances and meters for SGs is proposed.

4.2.2. Analysis Strategy 2: Modelling Control Theory, Mathematical Analysis, Block Diagrams, Differential/Integral Equations

If we propose an analysis of the works that contain research on control theory modelling, mathematical analysis, etc., that are an essential part of developments in the field of study of SGs concerned with integrated sensors in the home, we find the following references that carry out similar studies: [51–60].

In [52], an algorithm for the evaluation of different scenarios is developed. The proposed algorithm also considers devices, external environmental parameters, and human behaviour. In this model and simulation, human aspects in the field of energy consumption are taken into account.

One interesting aspect that needs to be addressed is that which is referred to in [53] and which in this era has grown considerably—the field of cyber security. In this document, we analyse IoT-enabled cyberattacks, which can be found in all fields of application.

Three objectives are proposed: (1) To evaluate IoT-enabled cyberattacks with a risk-based approach, (2) to identify hidden and subliminal attack routes enabled by IoT against critical infrastructures and services, and (3) to examine mitigation strategies for all fields of application. In analysing SGs and their application to HAR, we must take cyber security into account.

In [59], a protocol to help minimise security breaches that may occur in systems with HAR sensors and applications is discussed. The emergence of IoT and the availability of low-cost sensor platforms and devices capable of wireless communications enables a wide range of applications, such as intelligent home and building automation, mobile healthcare, intelligent logistics, distributed monitoring, SGs, energy management, etc. These devices are expected to employ the restricted application protocol for the integration of such applications with the Internet, which includes the link of the user protocol with the datagram transport layer security protocol to provide end-to-end security.

Figure 6. Proposal for a home network with sensors based on Bluetooth [45].
In [55], a cost-effective appliance programming model for residential users is presented and aims to optimise the operating time of appliances.

Wazid [56] studies a new efficient protocol for remote user authentication in the implementation of IoT and also evaluates the formal security verification of the scheme by automatically validating the Internet Security Applications and Protocols Tool (AVISPA) through simulation to verify whether it is secure (Figure 7).

![Network architecture Internet of Things (IoT)](image)

**Figure 7.** Network architecture Internet of Things (IoT) [56].

In [58], the case of SGs applied to electrical networks and communication protocols is studied. This is also the case in [60], where the main objective is data analysis and prediction of power grid demand models.

### 4.2.3. Analysis Strategy 3: SGs and Their Application to HAR and ADL

The results of a study carried out in 19 Scottish households in which energy consumption and the perception of SGs in relation to energy were controlled by means of sensors and equipment installed in these homes, mainly in homes inhabited by older people, are presented in [61]. This applies a social scope to the study, a case that interests us, since one of the objectives of the work we are analysing is social involvement, applying the study and its results to households and elderly people in future applications.

In [62], an intelligent network architecture based on an IoT platform that can host a wide range of applications for the smart home is presented. The proposal is “customer-centric”, rather than “distribution-centric”, in the sense that it favours ease of implementation and user acceptance, taking advantage of the smart home trend to allow the merger of smart networks and smart home applications.

In [63], an analysis of civil applications, prototypes, and possibilities for future integration of the wireless sensor is carried out by means of a bibliographic review, discussing human life and welfare. It classifies the use of wireless sensors in different disciplines and makes specific mention of those related to human health and human use for HAR.

We can observe that in the filtering of documents and research works, in this case for studies related to SGs, sensors, and devices that facilitate, study, or research human activities, mobility in intelligent environments, etc., we find few works that interrelate these subjects.
We would have to apply other search parameters to find further research in this field, but we wanted to analyse the fact of direct studies with people, with mobility within the home of people with disabilities, elderly people, and other types of search vectors being largely absent when searching for the terms SGs or intelligent networks.

This will lead us to the conclusion presented at the end of the work, in which we analyse this fact.

4.2.4. Analysis Strategy 4: Simulation of Intelligent Environments with Sensors in the Home, Data Control Architecture, Complex Computer Systems

In [67], the relationship of SGs assisted by IoT is addressed: Technologies, architectures, applications, prototypes, and directions for future research. It is an interesting and comprehensive work on the more specific field of SGs and their relationship with IoT (Figure 8). However, we still do not see a more direct relationship with the social and human spheres, in order to link this SG strategy with its direct application to HAR and ADL. In this work, the research is focused on structuring the sources of SGs and their relationship with IoT, but it covers a large number of vectors related to the generation, transmission, and marketing of electrical energy, where the home and the application of sensors for the improvement of people’s quality of life is scarcely touched upon.

**Figure 8.** Smart Grid architecture featuring power systems, power flow, and information flow [67].

Data privacy and security for the home area network connected to the network using IoT is discussed in [64]. This paper looks into secure data flow and customer data privacy during critical and emergency operations. Data are available in real time with minimal delay in transit time. Devices are continuously monitored for vital and emergency services. User energy consumption data are intended to be available in the cloud and also on customized electronic devices in real time.

Aroua [68] proposes a new framework for household energy control in smart homes using Cognitive Radio Sensor Networks (CRSN).

Andrade, in his work [65], develops a management methodology that analyses the behaviour of wireless networks within an intelligent home through a simulation, taking into account several parameters or restrictions such as coverage distance and capacity. The smart grid infrastructure is described, and a mathematical model is proposed to minimise the distance of the sensors to the access points within the area under consideration.

In [70], optimal energy demand data management for smart homes is studied. The system can support near real-time decisions for 10,000 customers, each of which has 10 sensors with only 35 basic machines running free software in the cloud.

The European project COMPOSE [66], Collaborative Open Market to Place Objects at your Service, analysed the inclusion of sensors inside a supermarket that track the location of shopping carts as customers move through the store, which can then be combined with shopping information. These data can be used to help position the product on the supermarket shelves. This type of research does provide learning to be implemented in the development of HAR studies, however this document does not discuss this aspect, but focuses rather on business production.
The review of communication protocols or algorithms, which study the design and implementation of environments with SG sensors and to a large extent are studies in the field of energy demand, electrical networks, are an important area of research among the following documents analysed: [73–77].

There are also studies that address the role of 5G technology in the IoT [78] and in some others, such as [84], different vectors are studied, such as temperature and CO2 level and its control, to find out how people move around in a home or how they consume. This study developed a methodology to determine individual household occupation patterns using ubiquitous household sensors.

The characteristics were derived from sensors that monitored electrical energy, dew point temperature, and CO2 concentration indoors and merged using the Dempster–Shafer method of combining evidence. A hidden Markov method was then applied to predict the occupancy profile during the day. It is an evidence-based approach.

Wang and others, in [79], discuss a wireless sensor network (WSN) applied in the intelligent network communication system, which is low-cost, low-energy dissipation, self-organising, and highly flexible. This article presents WSN applications in condition-based maintenance, smart metering, and smart homes, among others.

Nguyen [86] makes a practical implementation of an intelligent home system based on a wireless sensor network for the integration of SGs. It presents a SG home gateway hardware design. The SG Home Gateway can control electrical devices according to the programming schedule or data received from the control centre. In addition, it proposes a simple wireless network topology based on the star routing protocol for the SG Home Network. The results of the final demonstration present a SG Home Gateway prototype.

It is interesting to note that the relationship between SGs, sensors, HAR, and ADL has multiple applications and different points of view, which are interrelated and have design and simulation perspectives that portend a very promising future for the benefit of people’s health, even more so during this pandemic in which we have undergone lockdowns and where health and technology play an increasingly important role.

5. Conclusions

This work is approached with the main objective of analysing the existing literature in the most important scientific databases worldwide regarding the role played by SGs and their involvement in environments designed with sensors that fail to fully take into account people’s quality of life. A study has been carried out that combines intelligent network technology, sensors, and environments inhabited by people in a multidisciplinary way.

At the beginning of the research we raised some questions that we will analyse in the conclusions:

1. Is the human vector taken into account in the design of SGs? How many of the sources consulted on SGs research take into account the Human–Technology binomial to implement architectures, designs, and installations that respect people’s quality of life and their environment?

In response to this question and reviewing Table 8, it can be seen that among the sources consulted that facilitate or consider the social and human condition in their work on SGs and the implementation of sensors, we found three sources that take into account the Human–Technology binomial in their research [61–63].

Most of the documents and work done in the field of SGs are approached from the point of view of the following patterns:

- Analysis of electrical and energy systems through intelligent devices.
- Studies of energy control systems in homes or smart environments.
- Basic research studies on architectures, mathematical models applied to the analysis of sensor data applied to SG infrastructures.
- Surveys and prototypes tested in laboratories or pilot houses.
2. In the analysis of data from HAR and ADL systems and the use of sensors for their monitoring, are SG contributions being considered to adapt and improve data acquisition, management in monitoring activities, and implementation of the sustainable development goals and the energy transition?

According to the works analysed, in the search for literature on SGs and their relationship with the design and use of sensors in environments inhabited by people, they are closely related to energy aspects, mathematical models, data acquisition, etc. However, it is unusual to explore their relationship with HAR and ADL. This leads us to think that human needs, with their evolution and analysis, are not generally addressed from a transversal point of view in the generic field of SGs.

Indeed, studies on SGs are often linked with the fields of economics, business, and energy, whereas people and their daily needs are more often than not considered secondary. Of the more than 30,000 references obtained from the search on SGs in the WOS database, only one paper appears on ADL and it is related to the use of data collected from smart home monitoring devices for ADL recognition in order to use the results to establish a stochastic optimization problem for home energy storage management [88].

There is also a powerful source of citations, which addresses a review of the various fields of SG research, including health research, and provides a comprehensive overview of IoT with respect to architectures, enabling technologies, security and privacy issues, and presents the rationale for IoT and applications [89] (Figure 9).

3. Are there synergies and multidisciplinary teams studying state-of-the-art technologies applied to environments inhabited by elderly or disabled people?

There are very broad and interesting teams and research related to the implementation of IoT technologies in SG networks applied to human environments, but they are very specific and sometimes only work in very specific environments. For example, they do not work on design for all people or design that can be used through generalised protocols in construction systems, electrical, electronic, and computer installation designs or multifunctional designs in homes or in installations in the service industries.

In the most relevant research with the greatest impact, which is reflected in Table 9, we find studies and analyses in which the parameters of SGs and HAR applied to human environments are interrelated, which can be implemented in environments inhabited by disabled or elderly people.

For example, in [35], a demand management model is developed to improve responses to the large amount of data and communications between energy suppliers and consumers, combining IoT technologies, sensors, and designs for more effective SGs. This research will directly improve human environments to streamline energy management.
In [67], research was done into improving the environments inhabited by people, requiring SG connectivity, automation, and monitoring, which is achieved with the help of IoT. The IoT helps SG systems support various network functions throughout the generation, transmission, distribution, and consumption of energy by incorporating IoT devices (such as sensors, actuators, and smart meters), as well as providing connectivity, automation, and monitoring for those devices.

Similarly, design work was done in [37] to develop the technical skills of students, researchers, engineers, and faculties who want to address some of the problems faced by people around the world in the fields of smart sensors and IoT with applications in smart homes, smart cities, SGs, smart environments, smart transport, etc.

A study of older people in rural Scotland is presented in [61], where sensors were installed to measure electricity consumption and to show indoor and outdoor temperatures in the home. This is a specific case of application in older and/or disabled people.

In [43], a test bench for the intelligent home is presented based on the pedagogical model of project-based learning for undergraduate education, in which it is intended that students interrelate advances in SGs and its applications.

Likewise, we find studies with a very similar final goal, which is the analysis and evolution of SGs and applications to improve people’s lives, such as in [44, 52, 55, 63, 90, 91].

In conclusion, we have analysed numerous sources in which the main vector of information and analysis is the energy system, although it is true that in the institutional definitions of SGs and applied technologies there is great relevance to the energy indicator and more specifically to electricity, but we consider that within such a broad paradigm of study of SGs, there should be a greater emphasis on people, without merely relegating them to the fields of health, social sciences, or other disciplines outside the technological and engineering context.

If we involve the developers of SGs, such as engineers, technologists, and those in charge of the design, coordination, and implementation of energy, computer, and telecommunications facilities so that a more person-centric approach is achieved, it would be possible to create infrastructures that are structured transversally towards the Human–Technology binomial.

One of the most prestigious journals in the field of smart grid analysis is IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid, which deals with different studies on the subject. If we look for the term “human activities” in this publication, among the more than 130,000 documents, only one result appears [92]. In this case, it is a study that aims to reduce the energy consumption of people living at home.

It should be noted that most of the sources analysed in the databases correspond to conferences and a minority to journals. Most of the works referenced from the IEEExplore database, which has some degree of influence, are within the field of engineering.

A set of measures and an action protocol is thus proposed for SG research and its need for adaptation to humans, looking at integrating a greater involvement of the Human–Technology binomial and a better correlation with sustainable development goals in future works.

If we analyse the search that has been carried out on SGs and apply new search filters such as sustainable development goals and the Human vector, the result is even more disappointing, as it is limited to one publication out of 50,000 papers in the Scopus database alone [43]. It is curious that this work is carried out under the educational paradigm with an academic and non-business analysis.

In the different topics and research strategies analysed, depending on the database and the subject, the following references have been obtained as the most significant:

- SGs and their application to HAR and ADL.
- Simulation of intelligent environments with sensors in the home. Data control architecture, complex computer systems.

As indicated in the introduction to this paper, we conclude with the development of a protocol of recommendations for research on SGs and sensor design for human activities, taking into account sustainable development goals and measurement parameters for the energy transition and environmental protection (Figure 10).
The following proposals for action are indicated for the implementation of projects and designs in intelligent environments with human activity at the core:

1. **P1.** The definitions and regulations on SGs should be structured according to sustainable development goals and the energy transition [93–95].

2. **P2.** Comprehensive training in universities and engineering and architecture schools should be based on the need to design and model in terms of the protection of human beings and their environment [96,97].

3. **P3.** The technological and innovative business sector must be premised on corporate social responsibility based on the fact that production in intelligent systems with sensors and electrical networks must include people’s needs and this ought to be the main parameter for design and construction. [98–100].

4. **P4.** The transition to SGs requires a new energy infrastructure along with various types of devices (e.g., electronic sensors and computer systems) throughout the electrical system, and their interconnection through high-speed communications networks using standardised protocols [101,102].

5. **P5.** The Human–Technology binomial must be integrated transversely in the design and technical developments in research on SGs with sensors applied to indoor environments.

6. **P6.** Sustainable development goals must be adapted and complied with in all intelligent network projects, taking into account their goals and objectives and offering technological solutions for compliance.

7. **P7.** Measures concerning the legal and regulatory aspects of the energy transition and the fight against climate change should also be considered transversally in future research and industrial applications involving SGs and sensors in human environments.

In this work, we have analysed different aspects of the research and studies carried out on SGs and the integration of sensors to improve people’s quality of life and health, especially the most vulnerable, such as the elderly and disabled.

The technology that we design and build within intelligent environments must be a climate technology, efficient, human-centred, and which controls and measures consumption, reduces greenhouse gas emissions, prevents inappropriate consumption, and integrates energy efficiency and environmental sustainability processes.
6. Future Works

In the future, we will work on the development and implementation of the recommendations protocol outlined in the conclusions of the work (Figure 10). We will focus our study on the monitoring of SGs for the energy transition and how this relates to sustainable development goals as a binding vector to achieve the path towards sustainability in the engineering and design of intelligent systems.
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