Facile Synthesis and Redox Behavior of an Overcrowded Spirogermabifluorene
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Abstract: A spirogermabifluorene that bears sterically demanding 3,3′,5,5′-tetra(t-butyl)-2,2′-biphenylene groups (I) was obtained from the reaction of in-situ-generated 2,2′-dilithiobiphenylene with GeCl2·(dioxane). The solid-state structure and the redox behavior of 1 were examined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis and electrochemical measurements, respectively. The sterically hindered biphenyl ligands endow 1 with high redox stability and increased electron affinity. The experimental observations were corroborated by theoretical DFT calculations.
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1. Introduction

With the aim of constructing redox-active molecules, biphenyl ligands are very interesting π-organic bidentate ligands that exhibit redox activity on account of the effectively conjugated benzene rings [1–3]. Spirobifluorenes (I) that contain two mutually perpendicular biphenylene π-frameworks on a central tetravalent carbon atom are potentially efficient optoelectronic materials due to their unique photophysical properties derived from the spiroconjugation (Figure 1) [4–10]. Two main methods are conceivable for the modification of the properties of spirobifluorenes: (i) functionalization on the periphery of the biphenyl skeletons and (ii) replacement of the central element, which creates heterospirobifluorenes. Especially the replacement of the central carbon atom with heavier main-group elements should be interesting due to the characteristic low-lying LUMO that originates from the spiroconjugation through the σ-bonding of the central heavier element [11–16]. For example, a spirosilabifluorene (II), which contains a central silicon atom instead of the central carbon atom in the spirobifluorene skeleton, has attracted much attention due to its low-lying LUMO and small HOMO-LUMO gap, which affords effective photoabsorption and electron-transporting properties [11–19]. A vital requirement for such molecules as electron-transporting materials is redox stability, i.e., the anionic/cationic species generated via one-electron reduction/oxidation should exhibit relatively high stability. In this context, a heterospirobifluorene has already shown electrochemical stability upon reduction [11–19].
Spirogermabifluorenes (III) are expected to exhibit even lower LUMO levels relative to those of spirosilabifluorenes. While stable spirogermabifluorenes have already been synthesized and structurally characterized, spirogermabifluorenes are, in contrast to spirosilabifluorenes, unstable to chemical reduction, which results in the decomposition of the spirogermabifluorene skeleton [20]. One way to increase the redox stability of spirogermabifluorenes is using sterically demanding substituents that are able to surround the strained central atom to avoid intramolecular subreactions and the subsequent ring-opening [21–24]. However, attaching two biphenylene units that bear bulky substituents to a tetravalent germanium center via nucleophilic substitution can be expected to be difficult on account of steric congestion. For example, the reaction of 2,2-dilithio-1,1′-binaphtyl with GeCl4 afforded the corresponding binaphthyl-substituted spirogermabifluorene (IV) in only very low yield (1.8%) [25]. In this article, we report an alternative synthetic route to an overcrowded spirogermabifluorene that bears 3,3′,5,5′-tetra-t-butylbiphenyl ligands (I), using GeCl2·(dioxane) as the germanium source.

2. Results and Discussion

2,2′-Dibromo-3,3′,5,5′-tetra-t-butylbiphenyl (3) was prepared via the bromination of biphenyl 2 [26]. When 3 was treated with n-BuLi (3.0 e.q.) at −80 °C in Et2O, the color of the solution changed to yellow, indicating the generation of 2,2′-dilithio-3,3′,5,5′-tetra-t-butylbiphenyl (4), the quantitative formation of which was confirmed by a trapping reaction using Mel [27]. Addition of a solution of the in-situ-generated 4 to a solution of GeCl4 (5.0 eq), followed by the addition of an excess of LiCl, resulted in the quantitative formation of dichlorogermaine 5 (isolated yield: 61%), which was characterized spectroscopically and structurally (vide infra). In the expectation of the formation of the overcrowded spirogermabifluorene 1, we attempted the reaction of 5 with in-situ-generated 4. However, only a complicated mixture including biphenyl 2 was obtained, and evidence for the formation of the expected spirogermabifluorene 1 was not observed (Scheme 1). The attempted synthesis of 1 by treatment of 4 with 0.5 equivalent of GeCl4 was also unsuccessful, giving only a complicated mixture including 2 and 5. Most likely, the steric hindrance of dichlorogermaine 5 inhibits a further nucleophilic attack on the germanium atom.
Scheme 1. (a) Synthesis of dichlorogermane 5. (b) Attempted synthesis of 1 using conventional substitution reactions with GeIV species.

Conversely, when an equimolar amount of GeCl₂·(dioxane) was added to an Et₂O solution of 4 at −80 °C, a deep-red suspension was obtained. When the mixture was warmed to r.t., its color changed to orange, and spirogermabifluorene 1 was obtained in 22% isolated yield after purification by gel-permeation chromatography (GPC; eluent: toluene) (Scheme 2). In order to identify possible intermediates, UV/vis spectra were recorded at low temperature of the deep-red ether solution and of the colorless solution obtained after exposure of this ether solution to air. The differential spectrum of the two spectra showed a characteristic absorption at \( \lambda_{\text{max}} = 360 \text{ nm} \), indicating the formation of the corresponding Ge(II) species (6), i.e., germylene 6A or digermene 6B, as intermediates of the reaction (Scheme 2) [28]. Addition of CBr₄ to the deep-red ether solution furnished the corresponding dibromogermane 7 [29], which corroborates the anticipated presence of 6A or 6B as an intermediate.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of spirogermabifluorene 1 and dibromogermane 7.

Although the mechanism of the reaction of 4 with GeCl₂·(dioxane) to furnish spirogermabifluorene 1 is not clear in all details at present, the formation of 1 can potentially be explained in terms of a disproportionate reaction of germylene-dimer 6B, i.e., from Ge(II) to Ge(IV) and...
Ge(0). On the basis of DFT calculations at the B3PW91-D3(BJ)/6-311G(2d,p) level of theory for the real models, a plausible reaction pathway is proposed in Scheme 3 [30].

Germylene 6A can form its dimer 6B with an energy gain of 21.4 kcal/mol, which was calculated for the real models at the B3PW91-D3(BJ)/6-311G(2d,p) level of theory. Thus, a potential-energy-surface (PES) search was carried out starting from germylene dimer 6B. On the calculated PES, the carbon migration could occur to give the corresponding germylgermylene (8) with a barrier of \( \Delta G^\ddagger = 24.0 \) kcal/mol, which is comparable to a case of aryl migration of a tetra-aryldigermene that furnished the corresponding germylgermylene [31]. Then, a further carbon migration in 8 could form the spirogermabifluorene skeleton bearing “Ge” on the aryl ring (9) (\( \Delta G^\ddagger = 40.4 \) kcal/mol). Although the barrier from 8 to 9 seems to be a bit higher than expected based on the experimental results, where the reaction could occur below room temperature, the solvent effect and/or the coordination of the contaminated chloride ions in the real situation could promote the carbon-migration processes, thus lowering the reaction barrier. In other words, the release of Ge(0) from 9 to give 1 could proceed smoothly due to the gain of aromatic stabilization of the biphenyl ligand, while the process could be difficult to calculate due to the unclear situation with respect to Ge(0) being eliminated from 9. In any case, the biphenyl-substituted germylene can be considered an appropriate precursor for a spirogermabifluorene.

The molecular structures of spirogermabifluorene 1 and dichlorogermane 5 determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis are shown in Figure 2 [32]. The Ge–C(biphenyl) bonds in 1 (1.974(5), 1.969(5), 1.972(5), 1.971(5) Å) are slightly longer than those of less hindered spirogermabifluorene III (1.938(2), 1.945(2) Å) [20] and 5 (ca. 1.94 Å), probably due to the steric repulsion between the tert-butyl groups on the biphenyl ligands, which suggests severe steric congestion around the central germanium atom of 1. The theoretical structure of 1, optimized at the B3PW91-D3(BJ)/6-311G(2d,p) level of theory, exhibits slightly longer Ge–C bonds (ca. 1.96–1.97 Å) relative to those of III (ca. 1.94 Å) when calculated at the same level of theory.
The molecular structures of spirogermabifluorene I and dichlorogermane 5 determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis are shown in Figure 2 [32]. The Ge–C(biphenyl) bonds in I (1.974(5), 1.969(5), 1.972(5) Å) are slightly longer than those of less hindered spirogermabifluorene III (1.938(2), 1.945(2) Å) [20] and 5 (ca. 1.94 Å), probably due to the steric repulsion between the tert-butyl groups on the biphenyl ligands, which suggests severe steric congestion around the central germanium atom of I. The theoretical structure of I, optimized at the B3PW91-D3(BJ)/6-311G(2d,p) level of theory, exhibits slightly longer Ge–C bonds (ca. 1.94 Å) relative to those of III (ca. 1.94 Å) when calculated at the same level of theory.

The electron affinity of the spirogermabifluorene was investigated based on theoretical calculations and electrochemical measurements. The theoretically calculated LUMO levels (eV) and electron affinity (EA in eV) values of Si- and Ge-spirobifluorenes II and III, and those of I and its Si analogue 10 are summarized in Figure 3; all calculations were carried out at the B3PW91-D3(BJ)/6-311G(2d,p) level of theory. Spirogermabifluorene I exhibits considerable spiroconjugation, which can be expected to lower the LUMO level, similar to the case of spirosilabifluorenes [4–10]. Unexpectedly, in both compounds with biphenyl ligands and 3,3′,5,5′-tetra-t-butylbiphenyl ligands, the Si analogues (10 and II) exhibit lower LUMO levels and higher electron affinities than the corresponding Ge analogues (I and III), probably due to the less effective spiroconjugation in spirogermabifluorenes relative to spirosilabifluorenes on account of the longer Ge–C bond length. Notably, the 3,3′,5,5′-tetra-t-butylbiphenyl ligands were found to augment the EAs for both Si and Ge relative to the corresponding parent molecules (II and III), albeit that the LUMO levels are also raised. Consequently, the electron affinity of the overcrowded spirogermabifluorene I can be expected to be comparable to that of spirosilabifluorene II.
In contrast to spirosilabifluorene II, which is reduced by metals to give the corresponding anion-radical and dianion species as isolable compounds [33], spirogermabifluorene III decomposes with concomitant elimination of the biphenyl ligand upon reduction by metals [20]. Spirogermabifluorene I exhibited stable redox behavior in the electrochemical measurements, as shown in Figure 4. The cyclic voltammogram of I showed pseudoreversible redox couples, indicating appreciable redox stability under the applied conditions. While only one reduction wave was observed at $E_{pc} = −1.75$ V, the corresponding oxidations were observed separately at $E_{pa} = −1.11$ V and −0.59 V, albeit that the feature at −1.11 V was very weak and broadened. A combined consideration of the large difference between the $E_{pc}$ and $E_{pa}$ and the very broad peak observed in the differential pulse voltammogram of I suggested that I undergoes a two-electron reduction and that the oxidation of the generated dianion species is very slow due to the steric congestion afforded by the tert-butyl groups. In the oxidation region, I did not show any oxidation events under the same measurement conditions. In other words, I exhibits stable redox behavior in the reduction region, probably forming the corresponding dianion species [34].

### Figure 3.
(a) Theoretically calculated LUMO levels and electron affinity (EA in eV) values for Si- and Ge-spirobifluorenes II, III, 1 and 10. (b) LUMO of 1; all calculations were carried out at the B3PW91-D3(BJ)/6-311G(2d,p) level of theory.

| LUMO level in eV | −1.17 | −1.24 | −1.34 | −1.40 |
|------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| electron affinity (EA) in eV | 0.45 | 0.52 | 0.39 | 0.45 |

### Figure 4.
Cyclic (blue) and differential-pulse (red) voltammograms of spirogermabifluorene I in THF at $−40$ °C ([1]: 1.0 mM; supporting electrolyte: 0.1 M [nBu$_4$][PF$_6$]; scan rate: 70 mV s$^{-1}$).

### 3. Conclusions
A sterically hindered spirogermabifluorene (I) was successfully synthesized via the reaction of a dilitiated 3,3',5,5'-tetra-tert-butylbiphenyl ligand with an equimolar amount of GeCl$_2$ (dioxane). The sterically hindered biphenyl ligands afford I with high redox stability and increased electron affinity. We think that several families of spirogermabifluorenes
can be accessed using the synthetic methodology described in this paper, which may lead to new applications of spirogermabifluorenes on account of their unique optoelectronic features. The isolation and identification of the reduced product of spirogermabifluorene 1 and the synthesis of its Si-analogue are currently in progress in our laboratory.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. General Information

All manipulations were carried out under an argon atmosphere using either Schlenk-line or glove-box techniques. All solvents were purified by standard methods. Residual trace amounts of water and oxygen in the solvents were thoroughly removed by bulb-to-bulb distillation from potassium mirror prior to use. $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra were measured on a JEOL ECZ-500R ($^1$H: 500 MHz; $^{13}$C: 126 MHz) or on a Bruker AVANCE-400 spectrometer ($^1$H: 400 MHz; $^{13}$C: 101 MHz). Signals arising from residual $^6$D$_2$O or CHCl$_3$ (7.26 ppm) in CDCl$_3$ were used as the internal standards for the $^1$H NMR spectra; those of $^6$D$_2$O (128.0 ppm) and CDCl$_3$ (77.0 ppm) were used for the $^{13}$C NMR spectra. High-resolution mass spectra were obtained from a JEOL JMS-T100LP (DART) or JMS-T100CS (APCI) mass spectrometer (DART). Gel-permeation chromatography (GPC) was performed on an LC-6AD (Shimadzu Corp., Kyoto, Japan) equipped with JAIHEL-1H and 2H (Japan Analytical Industry Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) columns using toluene as the eluent. All melting points were determined on a Büchi Melting Point Apparatus M-565 and are uncorrected. 1-Bromo-3,5-di-tert-butylbenzene was generously donated by MANAC Inc., Tokyo, Japan.

4.2. Synthesis of Dichlorogermane 5

2,2′-Dibromo-3,3′,5,5′-tetra-tert-butylbiphenyl (1.07 g, 2.00 mmol)[26] was dissolved in Et$_2$O (20 mL) and cooled to $-80^\circ$C. n-Butyllithium (1.64 M in hexane, 2.50 mL, 4.10 mmol) was slowly added at $-80^\circ$C. After stirring at $-80^\circ$C for 1 h, the reaction mixture was slowly warmed to 0 $^\circ$C, where it was stirred for 2 h. The reaction mixture was then slowly added to a solution of GeCl$_4$ (0.40 M in Et$_2$O, 25.0 mL, 10.0 mmol) at $-80^\circ$C, and the resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h at the same temperature. Then, the reaction mixture was slowly warmed to room temperature and stirred for 3 h. After the removal of the volatiles under reduced pressure, LiCl (8.68 g, 200 mmol) and THF (200 mL) was added to the residue. After stirring at room temperature and stirred for 6 h, the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in hexane and filtered through a pad of Celite. The removal of the volatiles under reduced pressure gave a colorless solid. Recrystallization from hexane afforded 5 (0.637 g, 1.22 mmol, 61%) as colorless crystals. Mp. 139–142 $^\circ$C. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, $^6$D$_2$O) $\delta$ 7.94 (d, $^4$J = 1.7, 2H), 7.66 (d, $^4$J = 1.6, 2H), 1.64 (s, 18H), 1.26 (s, 18H); $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, $^6$D$_2$O) $\delta$ 156.9 (4$^\circ$), 155.6 (4$^\circ$), 143.8 (4$^\circ$), 126.0 (CH), 125.4 (4$^\circ$), 117.2 (CH), 38.2 (4$^\circ$), 35.3 (4$^\circ$), 32.9 (CH$_3$), 31.2 (CH$_2$); HRMS(DART-TOF), $m/z$: Found: 521.1816 ([M+H]$^+$); Calcd. for C$_{25}$H$_{41}$GeCl$_2$ ([M+H]$^+$): 521.1795. Anal. calcld for C$_{25}$H$_{40}$GeCl$_2$+0.2$^6$D$_2$(hexane): C, 65.26; H, 8.03. found: C, 65.64; H, 7.90.

4.3. Synthesis of Spirogermabifluorene 1

2,2′-Dibromo-3,3′,5,5′-tetra-tert-butylbiphenyl (1.07 g, 2.00 mmol) was dissolved in Et$_2$O (20 mL) and cooled to $-80^\circ$C. n-Butyllithium (1.64 M in hexane, 2.50 mL, 4.10 mmol) was slowly added at $-80^\circ$C. After stirring at $-80^\circ$C for 1 h, the reaction mixture was slowly warmed to 0 $^\circ$C, where it was stirred for 2 h. GeCl$_2$ (dioxane) (0.463 g, 2.00 mmol) was added to the reaction mixture at 0 $^\circ$C in one portion. The reaction mixture was slowly warmed to room temperature and stirred for 12 h to afford an orange suspension. After the removal of the volatiles under reduced pressure in air, the residue was dissolved in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and filtered through a pad of Celite. Removal of the volatiles under reduced pressure gave a yellow solid. The crude product was purified by GPC (toluene) to afford 1 (0.179 g, 2.17 mmol, 22%) as a colorless solid. Mp. 114–118 $^\circ$C. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, $^6$D$_2$O) $\delta$ 8.37 (d, $^4$J = 1.8, 2H), 7.68 (d, $^4$J = 1.7, 2H), 1.39 (s, 18H), 1.16 (s, 18H); $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz,
C₆D₆ δ 155.5 (4°), 152.5 (4°), 148.3 (4°), 135.3 (4°), 125.4 (CH), 117.9 (CH), 37.9 (4°), 35.1 (4°), 32.4 (CH₃), 31.5 (CH₃); HRMS (DART-TOF), m/z: Found: 827.5593 ([M+H]+), Calcd. for C₅₆H₈₁⁷⁴Ge ([M+H]+): 827.5565.

4.4. X-ray Crystallographic Analysis of Spirogermabifluorene 1 and Dichlorogermane 5

Single crystals of 1 and 5 were obtained by recrystallization from hexane. Intensity data for 1 and 5 were collected on a RIGAKU Saturn70 CCD(system) with VariMax Mo Optics using Mo-Kα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å), while the preliminary data were collected at the BL02B1 beamline of SPring-8 (proposal numbers: 2018A1167, 2018B1668, 2018B1179, 2019A1057, 2019A1677, 2019B1129, 2019B1784, 2020A1056, 2020A1644, 2020A1650, 2020A0834) on a PILATUS3 X CdTe 1M camera using synchrotron radiation (λ = 0.4148 Å). The structures were solved using SHELXT-2014 and refined by a full-matrix least-squares method on F² for all reflections using SHELXL-2014. All nonhydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically, and the positions of all hydrogen atoms were calculated geometrically and refined as riding models. Supplementary crystallographic data were deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC) under deposition numbers CCDC-2106926 (1) and CCDC-2106927 (5) and can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request.cif.

4.5. Electrochemical Measurements of Spirogermabifluorene 1

Cyclic and differential-pulse voltammograms were recorded on an ALS 1140A potentiostat/galvanostat using Pt wire electrodes under an argon atmosphere in custom-tailored glassware. Voltammograms were recorded at −40 °C on THF solutions ([analyte]: 1.0 mM; supporting electrolyte: 0.1 M [nBu₄][PF₆]) using a variety of scan rates.
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