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Abstract: The deep desulfurization method of sintering flue gas based on the low-temperature oxidation method is studied. Based on the analysis of the main principle of deep desulfurization of sintering flue gas, a deep desulfurization system of sintering flue gas is constructed, which is composed of an absorption washing unit and a washing solution treatment unit. Sodium hydroxide solution is used as the desulfurizing absorbent to mix with the sintering flue gas entering the reaction tower. Sulfur dioxide in the sintering flue gas reacts with sodium hydroxide to generate sodium sulfite, and sodium sulfite is oxidized to produce sodium sulfate; ozone is produced by ozone generator, nitrogen oxide compounds are oxidized by ozone to generate oxycacid, which is easy to be removed by sodium hydroxide washing solution, and the detergent is the same as that used to remove sulfur dioxide and dust. The experimental results show that the highest desulfurization rate and denitrification rate of the proposed method are 90% and over 22%, and the reaction efficiency and economy are significantly better than that of the comparative method, which shows that the method is reasonable and effective.
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1 Introduction

The iron and steel industry is not only an important basic industry of the country but also the source of high-energy consumption, high emission, and increased environmental load. It consumes on a large scale not only raw materials but also energy and creates pollution. Nearly half of the materials are put into the final output in the form of waste gas, solid waste, or byproducts [1,2]. Iron and steel production consumes a lot of fuel and ore in the process of hot processing and discharges a lot of air pollutants at the same time. In 1996, the emission of sulfur dioxide (SO₂) in the iron and steel industry was 9,78,000 tons, accounting for 7.5% of the national industrial sulfur dioxide emission, ranking third only after the production and supply of electric power, gas, hot water, and the manufacture of chemical raw materials and chemicals [3,4]. The sulfur dioxide emission from the sintering process accounts for 40–60% of the annual emission of iron and steel enterprises, which is the key point of sulfur dioxide pollution control in iron and steel enterprises. With the increase of sinter output and the large-scale development of sintering machine, the amount of exhaust gas and sulfur dioxide emission of single machine will increase, so it is imperative to control the sulfur dioxide pollution of sintering machine flue gas. To control the emission of sulfur dioxide in the production process of sintering machine is the key point of sulfur dioxide pollution control in iron and steel works, and one of the key links to maintain sustainable development in iron and steel works. Sintering flue gas is the dust-containing waste gas produced in the process of high-temperature sintering and forming after ignition of sintering mixture and running with trolley [5,6]. Its main characteristics are large emission, about 4,000–6,000 m³ of flue gas produced by 1-t sinter; high dust concentration, mainly iron and its compounds, and also containing silicon, calcium, and other iron-ore-associated components; high temperature [7], generally around 150°C; large moisture content, about 10% (volume ratio); corrosive gases, such as hydrogen sulfide, nitrogen oxide, and sulfur oxide; the concentration of sulfur dioxide is low, the concentration changes greatly, generally in 1,000–3,000 mg/m³.

At present, the commonly used flue gas desulfurization methods are as follows: Duan et al. used multi-layer
packing cross flow rotating packed bed for deep purification of sintering flue gas, in view of the characteristics of sintering flue gas in the iron and steel smelting industry and the difficulties faced in the current industrial production, carried out the experimental research on the influence of high gravity factor \( \beta \), liquid gas ratio \( L/G \), and different inlet \( \text{SO}_2 \) concentrations on the desulfurization rate \( \eta \) by using the multilayer packing cross flow rotating bed as the absorption equipment and \( \text{NaOH} \) as the absorbent. The results show that when \( \beta = 55 \), \( L/G = 2.0 \text{ L/m}^3 \), \( \text{CSO}_2 \), \( \text{in} = 1,680 \text{ mg/m}^3 \), the desulfurization rate of the double-layer liquid inlet is 1.7 times of that of the single-layer liquid inlet, and the outlet concentration is lower than 35 mg/m\(^3\), which can realize deep purification. When the concentration is increased to \( \text{CSO}_2 \), \( \text{in} = 4,396 \text{ mg/m}^3 \), the desulfurization rate is only reduced by 0.75%, which indicates that the multilayer cross flow bed is suitable for a wide range of \( \text{SO}_2 \). At the same time, the fine particles can be removed, and the outlet concentration of low concentration dust is lower than 10 mg/m\(^3\), which can realize deep desulfurization and dust removal at the same time and reach the ultra-low-emission standard [8]. Wang et al. studied the main influence factors of oxygen on the \( \text{NO} \) oxidation rate in the simulated flue gas and the removal rate of \( \text{NO}_x \) in the simulated flue gas after oxidation by sodium hydroxide solution absorption method. In the experiment, the effects of \( \text{NO} \) concentration, reaction temperature, oxygen concentration, and \( \text{SO}_2 \) concentration in flue gas on \( \text{NO} \) oxidation rate were studied first. On this basis, \( \text{NO} \) oxidation rate was also studied. The experimental results show that at low temperature, the increase of \( \text{NO} \) concentration, oxygen concentration, and oxidation reaction time is beneficial to that of \( \text{NO} \) oxidation rate, and the \( \text{NO} \) oxidation rate initially increases significantly with the increase of the aforementioned parameters, and the increased trend slows down after reaching a certain value, while \( \text{SO}_2 \) in flue gas has little effect on \( \text{NO} \) oxidation rate. When the mass concentration of sodium hydroxide solution is 6% and \( \text{pH} = 9 \), increasing the NO oxidation rate can promote the \( \text{NO}_x \) removal rate of simulated flue gas [9]. Yang et al. prepared mixed steel-slag-activated carbon adsorbent with steel slag and activated carbon by mixed method, carried out simulated sintering flue gas desulfurization and denitrification experiment in programmable electric heating fixed-bed reactor, and inspected reaction temperature and \( \text{SO}_2 \) concentration. The experimental results show that the highest desulfurization and denitrification rates were 79% and 34%, respectively, at 15 vol% and 120°C [10]. Although the aforementioned methods can achieve the goal of flue gas desulfurization to a certain extent, it is difficult to fully promote the application due to the limitation of raw material sources. At the same time, there are many disadvantages, such as high investment in equipment, large floor area, large water consumption, secondary pollution caused by a large amount of byproduct gypsum, and difficulty to match with the existing industrial boilers, so the development of practical and effective alternative technology is still in constant exploration.

In view of the problems existing in the aforementioned methods, the deep desulfurization method of sintering flue gas in iron and steel plant based on the low-temperature oxidation method is studied. The method is to use metal oxide catalysts such as alumina, titanium dioxide, and sodium hydroxide to develop highly efficient low-temperature oxidation selective reduction catalyst as an absorbent to realize the deep desulfurization of sintering flue gas in iron and steel plant.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Principle of flue gas desulfurization

Deep desulfurization of sintering flue gas based on low-temperature oxidation is a new technology developed on the basis of catalytic oxidation desulfurization. Its main principle is to add absorbent [11,12] in the liquid phase, in the presence of oxygen, oxidize sulfur dioxide to sulfuric acid, nitric oxide to nitrogen dioxide, and obtain compound fertilizer of ammonium sulfate and ammonium nitrate in the alkaline condition. At present, the most studied absorbents are transition metal ions such as iron, manganese, and sodium, and organic compounds such as ethylenediamine cobalt [13,14]. Take sodium hydroxide as an example to illustrate the principle of sintering flue gas deep desulfurization method based on low-temperature oxidation, as shown in Figure 1.

It can be seen from Figure 1 that in the process of deep desulfurization of sintering flue gas, sodium hydroxide complex is first used to adsorb \( \text{NO}, \text{O}_2 \), and \( \text{SO}_2 \), and the adsorbed substance has the properties of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) and strong oxidant. Then, \( \text{NO}_3 \) and \( \text{SO}_2 \) are converted to \( \text{NO} \) and \( \text{SO}_3 \) through oxidation treatment. Finally, nitrite, nitrate, and sulfate ions can be formed under alkaline conditions.

2.2 Construction of deep desulfurization system

Based on the aforementioned principle, a deep desulfurization system for sintering flue gas of iron and steel plant
based on the low-temperature oxidation method is constructed. The brief process flow of the system is shown in Figure 2. The system is divided into absorption washing unit and washing solution treatment unit [15]. The deep desulfurization process system of sintering flue gas based on low-temperature oxidation mainly consists of absorption tower system, flue gas system, oxidation system, filtration and separation system, neutralizer and catalyst supply system, catalyst recovery system, byproduct recovery system, public system, control system, etc. [16].

It can be seen from Figure 2 that in the process of deep desulfurization of sintering flue gas, the flue gas is mainly filtered by the filter-circulating pump in the filter module, and then the treated sintering flue gas is further absorbed by the bottom slurry-circulating pump. Finally, the salty sewage is treated by the clarifier, and the salty sewage is discharged to realize deep desulfurization of sintering flue gas.

The basic principle of deep desulfurization of sintering flue gas in iron and steel plant based on the low-temperature oxidation method is to use sodium hydroxide solution as a desulfurization absorbent, mix with the sintering flue gas entering into the reaction tower, the sulfur dioxide in the sintering flue gas reacts with sodium hydroxide, to generate sodium sulfite, and the sodium sulfite is oxidized to produce sodium sulfate. The reaction formula in the above process is as follows:

\[
\text{SO}_2(g) + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{SO}_3,
\]
\[
\text{H}_2\text{SO}_3 + 2\text{NaOH} \rightarrow \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_3 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O},
\]
\[
\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{SO}_3 \rightarrow 2\text{NaHSO}_3,
\]
\[
2\text{NaOH} + \text{SO}_2 \rightarrow \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4 + \text{H}_2\text{O}.
\]

For nitrogen oxide emission control in sintering flue gas of iron and steel plant, ozone is produced by ozone generator, and nitrogen oxide compounds are oxidized by ozone, generating oxyacid that can be easily removed by sodium hydroxide washing solution, which is the same as the washing solution used to remove sulfur dioxide and dust. The reaction formula in the above process is as follows:

\[
\text{NO} + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{NO}_2 + \text{O}_2,
\]
\[
2\text{NO}_2 + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{N}_2\text{O}_5 + \text{O}_2,
\]
\[
\text{N}_2\text{O}_5 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2\text{HNO}_3,
\]
\[
\text{HNO}_3 + \text{NaOH} \rightarrow \text{NaNO}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O}.
\]

2.2.1 Absorption washing unit

The flue gas from the carbon monoxide waste heat boiler enters the scrubber, and the flue gas is immediately cooled to the saturation temperature in the quench area at the bottom of the scrubber. The injected ozone oxidizes the nitrogen oxide to nitrogen pentoxide and further dissolves in water to generate nitric acid. The flue gas after cooling and saturation rises to the absorption area, fully contacts with the slurry droplets containing alkaline absorbent in sections, and is washed step by step to remove catalyst dust, nitric acid, and sulfur dioxide from flue gas. After washing, the flue gas rises into the filtering module area, where it is forced to pass through 13 filtering modules, with a special nozzle above each filtering channel. The saturated flue gas is depressurized and expanded through the filter module, and the small dust particles and acid droplets that are not removed in the absorption area agglomerate with water droplets, and then is filtered and captured by the high-density water curtain generated by the nozzle, so that the sintering flue gas can be further purified. The water drop separator on the upper part of
the washing tower removes the liquid drop carried in the flue gas, and the purified flue gas is discharged into the atmosphere through the chimney on the top of the tower.

The system continuously injects alkali solution (30% sodium hydroxide) into the washing tower through alkali pump to maintain the pH value of the absorption solution. The circulating pump draws out the washing liquid at the bottom of the tower and sends it to the quench nozzle and the absorption nozzle for recycling the alkaline absorbent solution. To prevent the accumulation of catalyst dust and ensure the absorption efficiency and equipment safety, in normal operation, to control the solid content, total dissolved solids (TDS), chlorine element, calcium ion, etc. in the circulating absorption liquid in the scrubber, the slurry-circulating system of the scrubber needs to throw part of the slurry outside to the washing-liquid-processing unit.

2.2.2 Detergent treatment unit

The washing waste water sent by the slurry-circulating pump of the washing tower contains particles and sulfites. It is first mixed with flocculant evenly and then enters the clarifier. In the clarifier, the catalyst solid precipitates and forms the bottom layer in a centralized way. The slurry area at the bottom is stirred by the rake-type transmission mechanism to form a suitable bottom layer to prevent the solid bottom layer from hardening. The drain valve at the bottom of the clarifier is opened regularly to discharge mud. As the solid precipitates from the clarified liquid, the clarified liquid overflows from the upper part of the clarifier to the back three oxidation tanks in series for treatment. Alkali is added according to the pH value in each oxidation tank. The oxygen in the air delivered by the same fan in the oxidation tank reacts chemically

Figure 2: Brief process flow of sintering flue gas deep desulfurization system in iron and steel plant.
to generate sulfate and reduce chemical oxygen consumption (COD). The sewage from the oxidation tank is filtered, and it is discharged to the sewage treatment plant for treatment.

2.3 Effect of different flue gas quantities on desulfurization process

In the process of flue gas denitification, the influence of different flue gas quantities on the desulfurization process should be considered. Keep the normal sulfur dioxide concentration, oxygen content of flue gas, initial concentration of absorption solution, and reaction temperature unchanged. In the experiment of different flue gas flow rates, absorbent is no longer added in the reaction process, so the sulfur dioxide content at the inlet and outlet of flue gas is measured. According to the absorption liquid value and the concentration of each component, it is concluded that the reaction driving force in the initial stage of the desulfurization process is larger under different flue gas volumes, and SO2 can be removed rapidly, which shows that the flue gas flow has little effect on the desulfurization rate.

2.4 Calculation of removal rate

According to the analysis results in Section 2.3, the removal rate of different substances in sintering flue gas is calculated. The removal rate of sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxide in sintering flue gas of iron and steel plant is calculated according to the following formula:

\[ T = \frac{(N_x - N'_x)}{N_x}, \]  

where \( T \) represents the removal rate of pollutant \( X \) in sintering flue gas, \( N_x \) and \( N'_x \) represent the concentration of pollutant \( X \) at the inlet and outlet of desulfurization tower.

**Ethical approval:** The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.

3 Results

To verify the effectiveness of the deep desulfurization method of sintering flue gas based on low-temperature oxidation studied in this study, a certain iron and steel plant in Chongqing, China, is taken as an example to conduct the deep desulfurization treatment of sintering flue gas with the method in this study. The operation data of three experimental objects under typical working conditions are selected below to analyze the operation effect of this method under different device loads and three working conditions. See Table 1 for the nature of regeneration sintering flue gas.

3.1 Operation analysis of absorption and washing unit

The main operation parameters of the scrubber are shown in Table 2 under different flue gas flow and operation conditions after the deep desulfurization of sintering flue gas by this method.

From the data shown in Table 2, it can be seen that most of the operation parameters of the scrubber in this method meet the design requirements, and only the flow

| Table 1: Properties of regeneration sintering flue gas |
|------------------------------------------------------|
| **Project**                                           | **Design value** | **Working condition 1** | **Working condition 2** | **Working condition 3** |
| Sulfur content of raw oil (mg/kg)                    | 3,900            | 5,800                    | 5,700                    | 2,908                   |
| Inlet flue gas flow (N m$^3$/h)                      | 3,786.19 (MAX)   | 4,078.49                 | 4,029.94                 | 3,038.08                |
| Flue gas composition of regeneration sintering       |                 |                          |                          |                          |
| Carbon dioxide (vol%)                                | 12.56            | 12.22                    | 12.30                    | 12.27                   |
| Oxygen (vol%)                                        | 2.60             | 1.46                     | 1.40                     | 2.73                    |
| Nitrogen (vol%)                                      | 72.44            | 76.35                    | 76.26                    | 77.4                    |
| Carbon monoxide (vol%)                               | 0                | 7.74                     | 7.61                     | 5.17                    |
| Sulfur dioxide (mg/m$^3$)                            | 1603.21          | 2565.74                  | 2156.25                  | 1137.34                 |
| Nitrogen dioxide (mg/m$^3$)                          | 209.00           | 142.00                   | 135.00                   | 108.00                  |
| Dust (mg/m$^3$)                                      | 139.00           | 113.00                   | 119.00                   | 130.00                  |
of make-up water is higher than the design value. The pH value of the absorption slurry is controlled at the set value by continuously injecting alkali solution into the tower to maintain the material balance of the absorption reaction and ensure the absorption efficiency of the washing solution for sulfur dioxide and nitric acid in the sintering flue gas. In this method, the alkali consumption of the whole system is related to the sulfur dioxide content in the sintering flue gas. When the sulfur dioxide content is reduced to the design value, the alkali consumption of this method is lower than the design value.

Under normal conditions, the decisive factor affecting the absorption effect of sulfur dioxide in sintering flue gas is the pH value of circulating slurry. The higher the pH value, the better the absorption effect. The material of the equipment in the absorption and washing unit is mainly 304L. If the circulating liquid is too acidic, it will cause stress corrosion to the equipment under the action of high concentration of chloride ion. If the circulating liquid is too alkaline, it will absorb other harmless weak acid components in the sintering flue gas, increase the alkali consumption and operation cost. Therefore, the upper limit of pH value control index of the absorbing liquid is 7.5, and the pH value of the circulating slurry in actual operation is 7.0–7.5.

Because there are no analysis data of flue gas before entering the scrubber, they can only be calculated according to the analysis data of laser particle size analyzer at the inlet of the flue gas turbine. The dust concentration of flue gas at the inlet of the flue gas turbine is generally between 100 and 150 mg/Nm³. According to this calculation, the catalyst dust carried in the regenerated flue gas is generally between 40 and 60 kg/h. According to the dust collection speed in the filter box of the washing solution treatment unit, generally, the dust concentration is below 20 mg/Nm³, which meets the design value according to the regular field test data.

### Table 2: Main process parameters of washing tower unit

| Project                                      | Design value | Working condition 1 | Working condition 2 | Working condition 3 |
|----------------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Make up water volume of washing tower (T/h)  | 38.20        | 52.90               | 53.00               | 48.10               |
| Total alkali consumption (T/h)               | 1.97         | 2.29                | 2.31                | 2.07                |
| Ozone amount (N m³/h)                        | 1182.41      | 909.82              | 954.26              | 679.50              |
| Discharge slurry volume (T/h)                | 30.96        | 29.41               | 30.02               | 29.25               |
| Discharge sintering flue gas temperature (°C)| 55.70        | 54.15               | 54.32               | 55.01               |
| Composition of circulating slurry            |              |                     |                     |                     |
| pH (25°C)                                    | 6.00         | 5.49                | 5.69                | 6.00                |
| TDS                                          | 79.00        | 79.00               | 18.00               |                     |
| Suspended solids                             | 950.00       | 1018.00             | 769.00              |                     |
| COD (hash)                                   | 7519.00      | 7449.00             | 3100.00             |                     |
| Composition of discharged sintering flue gas (mg/m³) | <39.00 | 12.00               | 49.00               | 23.00               |
| Nitrogen dioxide                             |              |                     |                     |                     |
| Nitric oxide                                 | 74.00        | 77.00               | 19.00               |                     |
| Sulfur dioxide                               | <239.00      | 13.00               | 14.00               | 19.00               |
| Oxygen                                       | 1.97         | 1.89                | 1.89                |                     |
| Dust                                         | <29.00       | 16.00               | 17.00               | 18.00               |

### 3.2 Validation of deep desulfurization effect of sintering flue gas

To understand the influence factors of this method on the deep desulfurization process of sintering flue gas, the influence of pH value of absorption solution and oxygen concentration on the deep desulfurization process of sintering flue gas was investigated under condition 1 in the previous experiment. The absorption solution used in this method is sodium hydroxide solution with a certain pH value, in which sulfuric acid is used as the pH regulator.

#### 3.2.1 Effect of pH value of absorption liquid on deep desulfurization effect of sintering flue gas

Under condition 1, the influence of pH value of absorption solution on the desulfurization and denitrification of sintering flue gas is shown in Figure 3.

It can be seen from Figure 3 that in the range of pH value of absorption solution from 3.0 to 9.0, with the increase of pH value, the denitrification rate of sintering flue gas increases gradually, while the desulfurization
rate is basically unchanged. In the desulfurization process of this method, none can react with sulfite to form sulfur nitrogen complex, and none can be reduced to nitrogen by sulfite, so as to obtain a certain denitrification rate. With the increase of pH, the alkalinity of the solution increases; this is beneficial to the formation of sulfite in the desulfurization reaction of this method, so it promotes the absorption of NO. However, the excessive pH value easily causes ozone volatilization, forms aerosol, reduces the use efficiency of ozone, and improves the operation cost. Therefore, to ensure the effect of desulfurization and denitrification by this method at the same time, the pH value of the absorption solution should be controlled within the appropriate range. After many experiments, it is concluded that the best effect of desulfurization and denitrification by this method is when the pH value is between 7.0 and 9.0.

3.2.2 Effect of oxygen concentration on deep desulfurization of sintering flue gas

Under condition 1, the effect of oxygen concentration on desulfurization and denitrification of sintering flue gas is shown in Figure 4.

According to Figure 4, with the increase of oxygen concentration in sintering flue gas, denitrification first increases and then decreases, while desulfurization rate is basically unchanged. The ratio of liquid to gas is large and the solubility of sulfur dioxide in water is good, so the desulfurization rate is close to or up to 100% under the set condition. In the setting of desulfurization conditions, too high or too low oxygen concentration in sintering flue gas is not good for the denitrification of sintering flue gas. Under the aforementioned operating conditions and the oxygen concentration of 14%, the properties of sintering flue gas and absorption liquid are close to the actual situation of general desulfurization of experimental objects. At this time, the desulfurization rate and denitrification rate are 90.00 and 22.48%, respectively.
3.2.3 Effect of temperature on deep desulfurization of sintering flue gas

To determine the appropriate temperature for deep desulfurization of sintering flue gas by this method, the cargo flow activity of the absorption liquid at 150, 200, and 250°C is evaluated, and the results are shown in Figure 5.

According to the analysis of Figure 5, the change rule of desulfurization activity of absorption liquid with temperature is 250°C > 200°C > 150°C, which indicates that the reactivity between the active component of absorption liquid and sulfur dioxide increases with the increase of temperature.

3.3 Comparison of desulfurization effect

To verify the desulfurization effect of this method, simulate the field environment of the research object and compare the desulfurization effect of this method, wet-method-based desulfurization method, and catalytic cracking-based desulfurization method under the same conditions. The desulfurization effect of three different methods is shown in Figure 6.

It can be seen from the analysis of Figure 6 that the maximum desulfurization rate of this method is as high as 90% and the denitration rate is about 22.5% when the method is used for deep desulfurization of sintering flue gas in iron and steel plant, which has significant advantages compared with the other two methods. It can be seen that the desulfurization effect of this method is significantly better than the two comparison methods.

3.4 Reaction efficiency comparison

To verify the desulfurization effect of this method, simulate the field environment of the research object and
compare the reaction efficiency of this method, wet de-
sulfurization method, and catalytic cracking desulfuriza-
tion method under the same conditions. The reaction ef-
fi ciency of three different methods is shown in Figure 7.

It can be seen from the analysis of Figure 7 that the
advanced desulfurization treatment of sintering flue gas
in the iron and steel plant is carried out by the method in
this paper, and the desulfurization and denitrification can
enter the stable state in about 2 min, while the other two
methods have a significantly longer time of stability state
than the method in this study. It can be seen that this
method has a significant efficiency advantage compared
with the comparative method.

3.5 Economic comparison

The comparison of the analysis results of the main eco-
nomic and technical indicators of the above three methods
is shown in Table 3.

It can be seen from the information in Table 3 that
compared with the other two comparison methods, this
method integrates desulfurization, denitrification, dioxin re-
moval, dust removal, and heavy metal removal, with opti-
imized overall investment, simple equipment required,
small proportion of unit investment cost in the project.
The operation cost is about 50% of the operation cost of
the mature wet desulfurization and catalytic cracking de-
sulfurization methods in the current industry, and in the
operation process. Due to the high cost of activated coke
caused by mechanical and chemical consumption, the
process can effectively recover sulfur dioxide in flue gas
for the production of sulfuric acid, liquid sulfur dioxide,
or sulfur with good economic benefits, so as to realize the
resource utilization of sulfur dioxide, thus reducing the
overall operation cost of this method. At the same time,
the method in this study basically does not consume pro-
cess water, solves the problems of the byproduct gypsum
produced by traditional wet desulfurization, such as dif-

cult to digest in the market and waste water discharge,
and has good economic benefits and application value.

4 Discussion

The deep desulfurization method of sintering flue gas
based on the low-temperature oxidation method is an
advanced new generation of comprehensive treatment
and utilization technology of wet sintering flue gas,
which is developed on the basis of summarizing previous
technology, experience, and lessons. Using metal ele-
ments as a catalyst and oxygen as an oxidant, the opera-
tion cost is low, which overcomes the problems of low
desulfurization efficiency, unstable operation, easy to
block and scale, and no use value of by-products in the
traditional wet process, greatly improves the stability and
desulfurization efficiency of desulfurization system, and
basically eliminates the secondary pollution caused by
desulfurization system itself.
The desulfurization effect of this method is significantly better than that of the comparative method:

1. The amount of desulfurizer is small and the utilization rate is high;
2. The water consumption is low, and the water consumption for the absorption liquid to improve its activity by humidification is very small. Generally, the water content to mass ratio of circulating desulfurizer is 3–5%;
3. The agitator in the tower strengthens the mass transfer process, prolongs the desulfurization reaction time, and ensures the operation effect of the system;
4. The adaptability of the system to the variation of flue gas and load with different sulfur dioxide concentrations is very strong, which is a remarkable advantage of the technology;
5. The absorption liquid is dry in the whole desulfurization process, the operating temperature is higher than the dew point, there is no corrosion or condensation, and there is no waste water;
6. The tower body is made of ordinary steel without special anticorrosion measures such as alloy, coating, and rubber lining;
7. Sintering flue gas can be discharged without reheating.

At present, there are many processes of sintering flue gas desulfurization. For the desulfurization treatment of sintering flue gas, we should make a reasonable choice according to the characteristics of flue gas and the situation of the site.

1. The process selection shall adhere to the following principles: advanced and mature technology, in line with the technical and economic environment of the enterprise itself, simple and reliable equipment, simple operation, high automation, low investment, high and stable desulfurization rate, low operation cost and energy consumption, wide source of absorption liquid, easy treatment of byproducts, and no secondary pollution.
2. The dense coherent tower flue gas desulfurization process belongs to the semidry desulfurization process, which fully conforms to the above process selection principle, and is suitable for the desulfurization of sintering flue gas.
3. In the sintering process, the concentration of sulfur dioxide in the flue gas is variable, sometimes with large range and high frequency. The concentration of sulfur dioxide in the head and tail flue gas is low and that in the middle flue gas is high. To reduce the scale of the desulfurization unit, only the flue gas with high concentration of sulfur dioxide can be introduced into the desulfurization unit, which can save most of the funds.
4. Accelerate the industrial application of sintering flue gas desulfurization technology, gradually eliminate the negative impact of sulfur dioxide and acid rain pollution on economic development, and promote the sustainable development of iron and steel enterprises.

5 Conclusions

In this study, the deep desulfurization method of sintering flue gas based on low-temperature oxidation developed iron and steel plant is proposed. The method has good desulfurization and denitrification effect on sintering flue gas and dust removal effect, which is lower than the national

| Project                                      | Article method | Desulfurization method based on wet method | Desulfurization method based on catalytic cracking |
|----------------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Proportion of space occupied by equipment (%) | Less           | Large                                      | Secondary                                        |
| Equipment cost proportion (kW)               | 200 ± 25       | 225 ± 15                                   | 300 ± 15                                         |
| Proportion of operation cost (%)             | 9.7            | 20.6                                       | 23.1                                             |
| By products and benefits                     | Agricultural fertilizer | Agricultural fertilizer                  | No, and there is secondary pollution              |
| Byproduct benefit                            | Yes            | Yes                                        |                                                  |
| Flue gas reheat                              | No need to reheat | No need to reheat                        | Reheat                                           |
| Regional restriction                         | Nothing        | Nothing                                    |                                                  |
| Technology maturity                          | Industrial demonstration | Industrial demonstration                   | Domestic commercialized                           |

Table 3: Economic index analysis of deep desulfurization method of sintering flue gas
environmental protection emission standard, greatly reducing the emission of harmful pollutants, stable and reliable operation of equipment, and achieves the expected effect. The effective improvement of the surrounding environment provides a strong guarantee for the sustainable development of the whole petrochemical enterprise.
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