Abstract. Background/Aim: In prostate cancer (PC), the formation of new blood vessels is stimulated by hypoxic conditions, androgens, and a number of molecular factors including microRNAs. MicroRNA-1 (miR-1) has been characterized in some tumor entities as anti-angiogenic, but this has not yet been investigated in PC. Materials and Methods: PC cells stably overexpressing miR-1 (LNCaP-miR-1) were incubated on an in vivo hen’s egg test–chorioallantoic membrane (HET-CAM) model and compared to maternal LNCaP cells. Cell growth, blood vessel organisation, and total blood vessel area were analysed. Results: Both matrigel-embedded LNCaP and LNCaP-miR-1 cells formed compact tumor-like cell aggregates on the CAM of the HET-CAM model. Although not quantifiable, bleeding of the CAM and remodelling of the blood vessel network in the CAM indicated an influence of miR-1 on the vascular system. The statistically significant decrease in the total surface area of blood vessels in the visible CAM section to 79.4% of control cells demonstrated the antiangiogenic properties of miR-1 for the first time. Conclusion: MiR-1 had a tumor-suppressive and anti-angiogenic effect in an in vivo PC model. In the clinic, miR-1-mediated anti-angiogenesis would result in reduced tumor supply and increased hypoxic stress inside the tumor. Thus, miR-1 restoration by nucleic acid-based miR-1 mimetics would represent a promising option for future PC therapy.

Angiogenesis represents one of the hallmarks of cancer and thus is an important prerequisite for the formation of complex solid tumors (1). The diffusion limit for oxygen is 100-200 μm. For multicellular organisms, growth beyond that critical size at which cells can be adequately supplied by diffusion is not possible. Therefore, mammalian cells depend on oxygen being transported through the blood vessel system.

Development and reconstruction of blood vessels are regulated by a finely balanced system of pro- and anti-angiogenetic molecules. Among these, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and angiopoietin (Ang) family members play a predominant role (2). During tumor development, new tumor-associated blood vessels are formed by sprouting or intussusception of existing blood vessels. This involves a temporally and spatially coordinated expression of angiogenetic factors and their inhibitors. The regulation differs significantly from physiological angiogenesis during development, but the underlying molecular mechanisms are poorly understood (3). Due to the irregular organization of the tumor-associated blood vessel network, some areas of the tumor are more than 100-200 μm distant from a blood vessel. The resulting lower oxygen concentration therefore leads to a permanent hypoxic environment in at least parts of the tumor (4).

In prostate cancer (PC), the formation of new blood vessels is stimulated by hypoxic conditions and androgens, with VEGF playing a major role in angiogenic signalling (5). A number of other molecular factors, including some microRNAs, have also been described to play an important role in angiogenesis. MicroRNA-1 (miR-1) has been characterized in many tumor entities as a tumor suppressor [reviewed in (6)]. Initial studies indicated that miR-1 also
has anti-angiogenic properties (7-10), but this has not yet been investigated for PC. The aim of this study was to explore the anti-angiogenic effects of the overexpression of miR-1 in PC cells in an in vivo hen’s egg test–chorioallantoic membrane (HET-CAM) model.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture. Prostate cancer (PC) cells LNCaP (Cell Lines Service;CLS, Eppelheim, Germany) and LNCaP cells stably overexpressing miR-1 [LNCap-miR-1; (11)] were grown in RPMI 1640 media with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (PAN Biotech, Aidenbach, Germany) in a 5% CO2 atmosphere and 37°C.

In vitro cell growth assay. Cell growth was examined by using a CASY Cell Counter and Analyzer Model TT (Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany). Adherent cells were detached by treatment with trypsin and suspended in CASYton (Roche Applied Science) in a 1:100 dilution. Measurement of 400 μl cell suspension was performed in 3 cycles using a capillary of 150 μm in diameter. Discrimination between living cells, dead cells and cellular debris was performed using gate settings of 15.45 μm (living cells vs. dead cells) and 7.20 μm (dead cells vs. cell debris).

RNA preparation and cDNA synthesis. For detection of miR-1 molecules, total RNA was prepared using peqGOLD Trifast Reagent (Peqlab Biotechnology, Erlangen, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA concentration was determined utilizing a Nanodrop 2000c UV/vis spectrophotometer (Peqlab Biotechnology) and RNA was stored at –80°C. For reverse transcription 100 ng of total RNA were applied to the Superscript II Reverse Transcriptase (Life Technologies, Darmstadt, Germany) according to the protocol of Chen et al. (12). Stem-loop primers for reverse transcription were designed as follows: miR-1 stem-loop: 5’-GTGTATCCAGTGGATCTGACATACAT-3’; U6 stem-loop: 5’-GTCATCTTGGCGCAGG-3’.

Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction. Quantification of miR-1 was performed with the SensiMix SYBR hi-ROX Kit (Bioline, Luckenwalde, Germany) on a CFX96 Real-Time System (Bio-Rad, München, Germany) with the CFX Manager software (Bio-Rad). The sequences of the PCR primers were as follows: miR-1 forward: 5’-GCCCAGCTGAATGTAAAGTATG-3’; miR-1 reverse: 5’-GTCAGGGTCTCGAGGT-3’; U6 forward: 5’-GCCCGCTGGAGGGAATCTTCT-3’; U6 reverse: 5’-AGGGGCCATGCTATCTTCATCC-3’. For quantification, miR-1 signals were standardized to U6 RNA as reference.

Hen’s egg test–chorioallantoic membrane model. Fertilized, specific pathogen-free Leghorn chicken eggs were purchased from Lohmann Tierzucht (Cuxhaven, Germany). Eggs were incubated at 37°C with a relative humidity of 62% in a Thermal de Lux 200 (J. Hemel Brutgeräte, Verl, Germany). For the first 6 days, the eggs were automatically rotated with 1 rotation/12 h. Starting from day 7 the eggs were placed with the blunt end, containing the air cell, facing downwards into the breeder and incubated for 7 additional days without further rotation. On day 8 eggs were screened with a Powerflux Egg Tester Lamp (J. Hemel Brutgeräte) and unfertilized eggs were eliminated. Before preparation, the contour of the air chamber was marked and the egg shell was carefully removed. For subsequent incubation the egg opening was covered with a sterile Tegaderm mesh (3M, Neuss, Germany). For incubation experiments 5x10⁶ cells were mixed with 30 μl Matrigel (Corning, Wiesbaden, Germany), inoculated to the CAM and incubated for 144 h. During the incubation period the tumor-like cell aggregates were photomicroscopically archived.

Determination of the tumor-like cell aggregate volume. Volume determination was carried out using frozen tumor-like cell aggregates that were assumed to be spherical segments. Height h and radius a of an aggregate was measured and the volume V was calculated using the formula V=hπ/6 (3a²+h²) as depicted in Figure 1G and H.

Determination of the total area of all blood vessels. To determine the total area of blood vessels on the prepared CAM section, the vessels were drawn according to their thickness with the open source graphic evaluation program Image J (https://imagej.nih.gov/ij). Afterwards, the total area of the lines was calculated by the program.

Statistics. Statistical comparisons of at least five independent measurements were performed using the unpaired Student’s t-test with 95% confidence interval. For all statistical analyses results of ps0.05 (*) and ps0.01 (**) were considered significant with data given as mean±SD.

Results

To investigate the angiogenic properties of miR-1, the cell line LNCap-miR-1 was used in an in vivo HET-CAM model. LNCap-miR-1 is a recombinant cell line stably overexpressing the tumor suppressor miR-1 (129.6-fold ± 69.4-fold, p=0.0424; Figure 2A) and, in cell culture growth experiments, displays the expected inhibition of cell growth during the incubation (Figure 2B). Due to the stable overexpression of the microRNA, the growth inhibitory effect remained verifiable over the entire incubation period of 240 h.

Both the matrilag-embedded LNCaP control cells (Figure 1A, C, E) and the miR-1 overexpressing LNCaP-miR-1 cells (Figure 1B, D, F) formed compact tumor-like cell aggregates on the CAM of the HET-CAM model. These aggregates were maintained in culture up to 144 h. To determine the tumor-like cell aggregate volume, aggregates were deep-frozen and the height h and the radius a of the aggregate assumed as a spherical segment were measured. The volume V was then calculated using the formula V=πh/6 (3a²+h²) (Figure 1G, H). Although compaction of the cell aggregates was observed (Figure 1A-F), analysis of the calculated volume revealed no significant difference between the two cell lines (LNCaP: 43.6±10.4 mm³; LNCaP-miR-1: 46.5±8.2 mm³; p=0.4401; Table I).

Even the microscopic examination of the tumor-like cell aggregates showed that the overexpression of miR-1 led to changes in the vascular structure in the CAM. During the first days of incubation, the vascular system resembled a
uniform network (Figure 3A, B). After 144 h, however, the basic structure had changed and most of the blood vessels now ran radially towards the tumor-like cell aggregate (Figure 3C, D). For further evaluation of the blood vessel remodelling, the total area covered by all blood vessels in the visual field was determined after 144 h (Figure 3E, F). This analysis showed that overexpression of miR-1 significantly reduced the total area of blood vessels from 1.07±0.32 mm\(^2\) in the CAM section of eggs incubated with LNCaP cells to 0.85±0.17 mm\(^2\) in the CAM section of eggs incubated with LNCaP-miR-1 cells \((p=0.0144; \text{Table I})\).

### Discussion

In PC, the expression of miR-1 is down-regulated compared to healthy tissue and continues to decrease during tumor progression (13-17). At the cellular level, miR-1 possesses anti-oncogenic properties, inhibits proliferation and metastasis, and induces apoptosis (11, 18-21). A main target in PC cells is the proliferative androgen receptor (22, 23). Although shown during tissue development and remodelling, including malignant degeneration (7-10), there are still no data available on the angiogenic properties of miR-1 in PC cells.
Using the HET-CAM in vivo model, the effects of miR-1 overexpression on the growth of PC tumor cells and on the architecture of the blood vessel system in the CAM were investigated. The HET-CAM was introduced as a sensitive screening model to analyse the irritative potential and acute inflammation (24) and replace the Draize irritation test on rabbit (25). Additionally, in the last years the HET-CAM was used as a model to investigate inflammation, angiogenesis, apoptosis and mutagenicity (25-27). An ethical advantage of the HET-CAM is that it is not classified as an animal experiment until the 11th day of incubation, because no nerve tissue has developed and thus no pain perception occurs until then (28). Thus, this model is suitable to analyse the anti-angiogenic effect of miR-1.

Figure 2. Characterization of the miR-1 overexpressing cell line LNCaP-miR-1. (A) Elevated levels of miR-1 in LNCaP-miR-1 cells compared to maternal LNCaP cells. (B) Tumor-suppressive and anti-proliferative properties of miR-1 overexpressing LNCaP-miR-1 cells compared to maternal LNCaP cells.

Figure 3. Organization of the blood vessel system after 72 h (A, B) and 144 h (C, D) of incubation. (E, F) Calculation of the total area of blood vessels utilizing the open source graphic evaluation program Image J.
Due to the three-dimensional growth, the exact determination of tumor proliferation in the HET-CAM model proved to be difficult. Single cell counting failed because PC cells could not be separated from the compact tumor-like cell aggregates. Furthermore, analysis of two-dimensional microscopic images or the total weight of the aggregates (29, 30) also seemed inappropriate and too inaccurate to determine tumor growth. Therefore, and in order to more accurately calculate the three-dimensional cell growth, the volume was finally calculated after determining the height and radius of the aggregates.

Although a clear antiproliferative effect of miR-1 could be shown in cell culture experiments, this could not be confirmed in the in vivo HET-CAM model. However, there was a clear compression of the PC cells during incubation. This suggests that cell proliferation did take place, but that it may not have had a pronounced effect on the volume of the tumor-like cell aggregates. In addition, the arithmetical determination of the aggregate volume may be too inaccurate to determine small differences in growth.

In addition to the control of cell growth, miR-1 is also thought to be a pivotal factor for the regulation of tumor-associated blood vessels. With regard to the HET-CAM model, bleeding into the CAM has been described as a first common symptom of blood vessel sprouting and vascular system modulation (31). In our experiments, bleeding was identified in about half of all eggs. This was slightly but not significantly declined in eggs inoculated with LNCaP-miR-1 (data not shown). More obvious was restructuring of the vessel system around the tumor-like cell aggregates. In tumor progression, this plays an essential role in the complex setting of overcoming host vessel regression and the induction of neo-angiogenesis (2, 32). Within a few days, the previously rather regular network structure of the blood vessels was mostly restructured and exhibited a radial orientation towards the tumor cells. This effect appeared to be more prominent in LNCaP-miR-1 cells, but could not be quantified and therefore not statistically analysed. The analysis of the entire vessel surface as a measure of the quantity and capacity of the vascular system finally demonstrated the anti-angiogenic properties of miR-1 in PC cells. The tumor-associated vessel system was significantly reduced in PC cells overexpressing miR-1.

The data presented in this study verified the tumor suppressive potential of miR-1 and demonstrated the anti-angiogenic effect of miR-1, for the first time, in an in vivo PC model. In the clinic, miR-1-mediated anti-angiogenesis would result in reduced blood supply to the tumor and increased hypoxic stress inside the tumor, which in sum would lead to tumor regression. Thus, miR-1 restoration by applying nucleic acid-based miR-1 mimetics would represent a promising option for future PC therapy.
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