Crystal-field excitations and vibronic modes in the triangular-lattice spin-liquid candidate TbInO₃
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We study the ground-state properties, the electronic excitations, and lattice dynamics in spin-liquid candidate TbInO₃. By employing polarization-resolved Raman spectroscopy we define the intermultiplet and intramultiplet excitations, and establish the low-energy crystal-field (CF) level scheme. In particular, we demonstrate that the ground state of the Tb³⁺ ions is a non-Kramers doublet, and relate the enhanced linewidth of the CF modes to the magnetic fluctuations near the spin-liquid ground state. We identify the 38 allowed Raman-active phonon modes at low temperature. Moreover, we observe hybrid vibronic excitations involving coupled CF and low-lying phonon modes, suggesting strong spin-lattice dynamics. We develop a model for vibronic states and obtain the parameters of the bare responses and coupling strength. We further demonstrate that the obtained CF level scheme is consistent with specific-heat data.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Interplay between electron correlation and spin-orbit coupling (SOC) gives rise to a variety of emergent quantum phases and transitions [1]. Especially, because of the compactness of f orbits and the heaviness of f elements, f-electron systems are in both the strong Mott regime and the strong SOC regime [2]. For these materials, exotic spin-liquid (SL) and multipolar-ordered ground states were predicted [3,4]. The multipolar interactions and the resulting ordering phenomena have also been studied [5,6]. However, rare-earth-based SL systems still remain to be explored, especially from the experimental side; many of the candidate materials are not widely accepted as hosting SL ground state due to absence of convincing experimental evidence.

It has been recently proposed that ferroelectric insulator TbInO₃ could harbor a two-dimensional (2D) spin-liquid ground state [7–9]. In the ferroelectric phase this material has a hexagonal structure [10] (space group P6₃cm, No. 185; point group C₆v), as shown in Fig. 1(a). The magnetic Tb³⁺ ions form a slightly distorted triangular lattice, separated by nonmagnetic layers of corner-sharing \([\text{InO}_5]^{-}\) polyhedra. The Tb³⁺ ions possess two different site symmetries: Tb2 sites form a hexagonal lattice while the Tb1 sites slightly buckle out of the plane [Figs. 1(b) and 1(c)]. Hence, the site symmetry is C₃ᵥ for Tb1 sites and C₃ for Tb2 sites. The distortion from ideal triangular lattice is weak because the buckling of Tb1 is only 0.38 Å, around \(\frac{1}{10}\) of the in-plane Tb2-Tb2 distance (3.65 Å) [7]. The fluctuating magnetic moment is shown to be confined to the triangular-lattice plane [8]. Magnetic susceptibility of polycrystalline samples obeys Curie-Weiss law above 10 K, with the Weiss temperature being \(-17.2\) K; however, no magnetic ordering or spin freezing occurs down to 0.1 K [7], indicating strong magnetic frustration.

The classification of the proposed spin-liquid state in TbInO₃, though, remains unclear. The inelastic neutron-scattering (INS) study by Clark et al. suggests a singlet crystal-field (CF) ground state for Tb1, and a doublet for Tb2 ions [7]. At low temperature, then, Tb1 ions become nonmagnetic, and a honeycomb lattice of magnetic Tb2 ions emerges. In this scenario a Kitaev Z₂ symmetry SL is likely
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FIG. 1. Crystal structure of ferroelectric TbInO₃. (a) The black frame indicates the unit cell. (b) The Tb layer viewed from X direction. The Tb2 sites form a hexagonal lattice while the Tb1 sites slightly buckle out of the plane. (c) The Tb layer viewed from Z direction. The Tb1 sites at the hexagonal centers are labeled by “1.”
realized [11]. This CF level scheme was supported by analysis of the low-temperature specific heat [9]. On the contrary, the observations of another INS study by Kim et al. are consistent with a SL state based on triangular lattice [8]. Such a scenario implies that the CF ground states of Tb1 and Tb2 are the same, and the SL state might have a continuous symmetry. Interestingly, if the CF ground states of Tb1 and Tb2 are both non-Kramers doublets, on a triangular-lattice spin-orbit-entangled multipolar phases are predicted to emerge [12]. It is therefore vital to identify the ground state of the Tb$^{3+}$ ions in this system because this is the principal step to construct the spin Hamiltonian and to elaborate on emergent low-energy physics.

Moreover, in rare-earth compounds vibronic modes, namely, coupled vibrational and CF electronic excitations, have been observed [13–15]. Thus, the lattice may play a pivotal role in defining the electronic ground state. Therefore, we explore electron-phonon interactions and such hybrid modes in TbInO$_3$.

In this work, we present spectroscopic study of the electronic and phononic excitations in TbInO$_3$. We measure the intermultiplet and intramultiplet excitations, and establish the CF level scheme within the lowest-energy multiplet. We find that the ground state of the Tb$^{3+}$ ions is a non-Kramers doublet, and show that the derived CF level scheme is consistent with the entropy data. We determine the energy of the 38 allowed Raman-active phonon modes at low temperature. In addition, we observe hybrid vibronic excitations resulting from strong coupling between the CF and phonon modes. The coupling originates from the modulation of the electron-cloud distribution of the CF states by lattice vibration.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we describe the sample preparation and experimental setup. In Sec. III we present the intermultiplet excitations. In Sec. IV we discuss the CF excitations within the lowest-energy multiplet, and establish the CF level scheme. In Sec. V we show the phonon spectra at low temperature and identify the Raman-active phonon modes. In Sec. VI we examine the hybrid vibronic spectral features, and explain how the coupling between the CF and phonon modes leads to such vibronic features. In Sec. VII we analyze the specific heat and entropy data, demonstrating their consistency with the CF level scheme. In Sec. VIII we provide a summary of the observations and their implications. The relevant mathematical formalisms are provided in appendices: classification of the CF states in each multiplet of the $^7F$ term in Appendix A; allowed transitions for different CF ground states in Appendix B; classification of the $\Gamma$-point phonons in Appendix C; expressions relevant to the coupling between one phonon and one CF mode in Appendix D; the fitting model for the vibronic features in Appendix E; the specific-heat model in Appendix F.

II. EXPERIMENT

Single crystals of TbInO$_3$ were prepared using laser-floating-zone method, and characterized by Laue diffraction to confirm single phase [9]. Two samples were used for Raman study: one cleaved to expose its (001) crystallographic plane and the other polished to expose its (010) plane. The samples were then examined under a Nomarski microscope to find a strain-free area. Raman-scattering measurements were performed in a quasi-back-scattering geometry from the samples mounted in a continuous helium gas flow cryostat.

We used a custom fast 1/4 high-resolution 500/500/660 mm focal lengths triple-grating spectrometer for data acquisition. All the data were corrected for the spectral response of the spectrometer.

For acquisition of the low-frequency Raman response, we used 1800-nm$^{-1}$ master holographic gratings; the 647- and 676-nm lines from a Kr$^+$ laser ion laser were used for excitation: 647-nm laser line combined with 100-µm slit width provides 0.19-meV spectral resolution; 676-nm laser line combined with 25-µm slit width provides 0.05-meV spectral resolution. For the high-frequency Raman response, we used 150-nm$^{-1}$ ruled gratings; the 476- and 568-nm lines from the same Kr$^+$ ion laser were used for excitation: 476-nm laser line combined with 100-µm slit width provides around 4-meV spectral resolution; 568-nm laser line combined with 100-µm slit width provides around 3-meV spectral resolution.

For polarization optics, a Glan-Taylor polarizing prism (Melles Griot) with a better than 10$^{-2}$ extinction ratio to clean the laser excitation beam and a broad-band 50-mm polarizing cube (Karl Lambrecht Corporation) with an extinction ratio better than 1:500 for the analyzer was used. To perform measurements with circularly polarized light, we use a Berek polarization compensator (New Focus) after the polarizing prism to convert the incoming linearly polarized light into circularly polarized light for excitation, and a broad-band 50-mm-diameter quarter-wave retarder (Melles Griot) before the polarizing cube to convert the outgoing circularly polarized light into linearly polarized light for the analyzer. Experiments involving circular polarization geometry are performed from the (001) crystallographic plane.

Incident light was focused to a 50 × 100 µm$^2$ spot. For the spectra at 5 K, 2 mW laser power was used; for other data, 5 mW laser power was used. The reported temperature values were corrected for laser heating: 1 K/mW laser heating rate was assumed.

The measured secondary-emission intensity $I(\omega, T)$ is related to the Raman response $\chi''(\omega, T)$ by $I(\omega, T) = [1 + n(\omega, T)]\chi''(\omega, T)$, where $n$ is the Bose factor, $\omega$ is energy, and $T$ is temperature. In Fig. 2 we show an overview spectrum to illustrate the relevant energy scales. Below 15 meV, energy proximity between the CF state of the lowest-energy multiplets and the phonon modes leads to vibronic spectral features. From 15 to 80 meV, phononic features dominate. Above 80 meV, the spectrum is composed of the intermultiplet excitations on top of a broad photoluminescence continuum.

III. INTERMULTIPLET EXCITATIONS

In this section we present the intermultiplet excitations within the $^7F$ term of Tb$^{3+}$ ions. The electronic configuration of Tb$^{3+}$ ion is $4f^8$. According to Hund’s rules, the $^7F$ term has the lowest energy. In Fig. 3 we show the intermultiplet excitations within the $^7F$ term. They are on top of a broad photoluminescence continuum centered at 2.05 eV [Fig. 3(a)]. This luminescence features could be attributed to the dipole-allowed $^5D \rightarrow ^7F$ transition [16–18].
The lowest-lying multiplet should have the largest possible total angular momentum, namely, \( J = 6 \). The other multiplets of the \( ^7F \) term, with total angular momentum from \( J = 5 \) to 0 in integer step, have increasing energy in order: 0.30, 0.43, 0.48, 0.56, 0.63, and 0.70 eV, respectively [Fig. 3(b)]. The energies of the multiplets measured in this study are consistent with those measured in TbInO\(_3\) [16], TbAl\(_2\)(BO\(_4\))\(_4\) [17], and Tb-doped LaF\(_3\) [18].

These multiplets are further split by the CF potential, resulting in sharp spectral features clustered within a particular energy range. Fine intramultiplet CF structures are revealed at low temperature [Fig. 3(b)]. Because the degeneracy of each multiplet is \( 2J + 1 \), the number of CF levels within each multiplet decreases with \( J \) (Appendix A).

### IV. CRYSTAL-FIELD EXCITATIONS

In this section we discuss the intramultiplet excitations, between the CF levels, within the lowest-lying \( ^7F_6 \) multiplet. The general expression for a CF potential of \( C_{3v} \) site symmetry can be written as [19]

\[
H_{\text{CF}} = B_2^0 \hat{O}_2^0 + B_4^0 \hat{O}_4^0 + B_4^2 \hat{O}_4^2 + B_6^0 \hat{O}_6^0 + B_6^4 \hat{O}_6^4 + B_6^6 \hat{O}_6^6,
\]

where \( \hat{O} \)'s are Stevens operators [20] and the \( B \)'s are the CF coefficients. The \( C_{3v} \)-symmetry CF potential splits the \( 15 \)-fold degenerate \( ^7F_6 \) multiplet, resulting in \( 3A_1 \) singlets, \( 2A_2 \) singlets, and \( 4E \) doublets. The CF wave functions can be expressed in the \( |J, m_J\rangle \) bases; by group theory we can identify the basis functions of the CF eigenfunctions of each symmetry. These basis functions are given in Table I. The \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) singlets are nonmagnetic, while the \( E \) doublets allow a finite magnetic dipole moment. On the site symmetry reduction from \( C_{3v} \) to \( C_3 \), the \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) states of \( C_{3v} \) group merge into the \( A \) states of \( C_3 \). Therefore, the \( C_3 \)-symmetry CF potential splits the \( ^7F_6 \) multiplet into 5 \( A \) singlets and 4 \( E \) doublets. Due to the mirror symmetry breaking, both the \( A \) singlets and \( E \) doublets of \( C_3 \) group could carry magnetic moment.

In Fig. 4 we present the high-resolution spectra of the CF excitations within the \( ^7F_6 \) multiplet. The relationship between

| \( A_1 \) | \( A_2 \) | \( E \) |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|+3\rangle - |\pm3\rangle) \) | \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|+3\rangle + |\pm3\rangle) \) | \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|+1\rangle \pm |\pm1\rangle) \) |
| \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|+6\rangle + |\pm6\rangle) \) | \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|+6\rangle - |\pm6\rangle) \) | \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|+2\rangle \pm |\pm2\rangle) \) |
| \( 0 \) | \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|+4\rangle \pm |\pm4\rangle) \) | \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|+5\rangle \pm |\pm5\rangle) \) |

TABLE I. The basis functions of the \( A_1 \)-, \( A_2 \)-, and \( E \)-symmetry CF eigenfunctions, respectively. These basis functions are expressed as combinations of \( |m_J\rangle \) with \( J = 6 \).
Phonon modes, labeled by their symmetry, are shown in purple. The red lines represent the fits by Lorentzian line shapes. The blue lines show doublet-to-singlet CF oscillators labeled by the final singlet geometries. The Raman data are represented by black dots. The symmetry of the CF transitions at Tb1 and Tb2 sites is classified by those of the crystallographic directions; R and L represent right and left circular polarizations. The symmetry of the CF transitions at Tb1 and Tb2 sites is classified by the irreducible representations of the $C_{6v}$ group; the symmetry of the CF transitions at Tb1 and Tb2 sites is classified by those of the $C_{3v}$ and $C_1$ groups, respectively.

### TABLE II. Relationship between the scattering geometries and the symmetry channels

| Scattering geometry | RL | XZ | ZZ |
|---------------------|----|----|----|
| Phonon modes ($C_{6v}$) | $E_2$ | $E_1$ | $A_1$ |
| CF transition ($C_{6v}$) | $E$ | $E$ | $A_1$ |
| CF transition ($C_1$) | $E$ | $E$ | $A$ |

### TABLE III. The crystal-field (CF) level scheme for the $^7F_6$ multiplet of Tb$^{3+}$ ions in TbInO$_3$

| Symmetry | s1 | s2 | s3 | s4 | d2 | d3 | s5 | d4 |
|----------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Energy (meV) | 0.8 | 2.5 | 3.7 | 5.7 | 6.3 | 8.7 | 10.8 | 11.5 |
| HWHM (0.19 meV) | 0.25 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1.3 |

are resolved. Hence, both sites have essentially the same CF level scheme, consistent with the weakness of ferroelectric distortion.

First, we fit the XZ spectrum with Lorentzian functions to determine the energy and linewidth of the CF excitations [Fig. 4(a)]. Because we find eight CF modes in the XZ spectrum, the ground state must be a doublet: otherwise, there would be only four CF modes in the XZ spectrum.

Next, we turn to the ZZ spectrum. Because the CF transitions at 0.8, 2.5, and 3.7 meV are absent in the ZZ spectrum [Fig. 4(b)], we assign the states as singlets (the doublet-to-singlet transitions should not appear in ZZ geometry). For the remaining CF transitions, there are two in the (5–7)-meV range and three in (8–12)-meV range. We find that to fit the $A_1$-symmetry spectrum, one mode in the (5–7)-meV interval and two modes in the (8–12)-meV interval are required. These three modes must be doublets, and there are only three doublets to be assigned. Hence, the two modes in the (5–7)-meV interval must be a singlet and a doublet. Among them, we assign the 6.3-meV mode as a doublet to better fit the $A_1$-symmetry spectrum. For the same reason, we assign the 8.7- and 11.5-meV modes in (8–12)-meV range as doublets. The CF energies and transition widths are given in Table III.

By now the low-energy CF level scheme is established (Table III), and we can relate our results to the two excitation branches measured in the INS study [8]: their gapless excitation extending to 1.4 meV likely corresponds to the Raman-measured 0.8-meV singlet with 0.5-meV width; their broad excitation between 1.6 and 3.0 meV matches well the Raman-measured 2.5-meV singlet with 0.7-meV width.

Low-temperature CF excitations in insulators are expected to be sharp [21]. However, the linewidths of the low-energy CF excitations in TbInO$_3$ are much broader than the typical. To identify the reason for this broadening, first we exclude the effect of thermal fluctuations: the observed widths measured by INS and Raman are consistent even though the INS data are taken at 0.2 K while the Raman data are acquired at 5 K; moreover, the Raman linewidth of the s4 and d2 CF modes remains

1The same CF level scheme for both Tb1 and Tb2 is further confirmed by the fact that d2, d3, and d4 modes each have the same energy and linewidth in XZ and ZZ scattering geometries (Fig. 4), and the temperature dependence of CF entropy [Fig. 9(b)].

2The energy and width of a CF mode are intrinsic properties of the system which are same for all symmetry channels. This requirement puts a constraint on the fitting process.
essentially the same between 5 and 15 K. Second, the same excitation branches measured by INS in TbIn0.95Mn0.05O3 have very similar linewidth [8], indicating that the broadening is not due to structural imperfection; in addition, the fact that the CF modes exhibit a Lorentzian line shape indicates lack of inhomogeneous broadening. Third, the three lowest-lying CF modes are well separated in energy from the phonon modes. As the effective vibronic coupling depends on the energy separation between the CF and phonon modes, the large linewidth of these CF modes cannot be attributed to vibronic effects. We suggest that the anomalously large CF linewidth of TbInO3 is caused by magnetic fluctuations near the SL ground state; the dynamics of the correlated yet nonordered magnetic moments manifests itself through the width of CF excitations. Thus, in this section we have shown that both Tb1 and Tb2 ions have essentially the same CF level scheme within the lowest-lying 7F6 multiplet; particularly, their ground state is a non-Kramers doublet. Such a doublet ground state allows a finite magnetic moment, and the same CF level scheme for all Tb3+ ions further supports the scenario of triangular magnetic lattice. We have suggested that the broad CF linewidth is related to the magnetic fluctuations resulting from the SL dynamics.

V. PHONON MODES

In this section we identify the Raman-active phonon modes from the low-temperature spectra. We start from group-theoretical analyses to count the number and symmetries of Raman modes. In the high-temperature paraelectric phase (space group P63/mmc, No. 194; point group D6h), TbInO3 has 10 atoms in one unit cell, and 5 Raman-active optical phonon modes: 1A1g ⊕ 1E1g ⊕ 3E2g; in the low-temperature ferroelectric phase (space group P63/mcm, No. 185; point group C6v), TbInO3 has 30 atoms in one unit cell, and 38 Raman-active optical phonon modes: 9A1 ⊕ 14E1 ⊕ 15E2 (Appendix C).

The spectra of phonon modes at low temperature are presented in Fig. 5 with both linear and semilogarithm scale. Unlabeled spectral features below 15 meV are related to crystal-field modes; some weak features at higher energy, for example those in-between A1(8) and A1(9) modes, result from second-order phonon scattering. In such scattering process, two phonons of zero total momentum are excited simultaneously; because the resulting spectral feature depends on the phonon dispersion and density of states, it does not have Lorentzian line shape and commonly exhibits broad linewidth [22,23].

The energies of the phonon modes at 20 K are summarized in Table IV. The energy of nine phonon modes was identified by unpolarized Raman measurements of a series of hexagonal rare-earth (RE) REInO3 compounds [24]; these energy values are consistent with the result of this study.

The atomic displacements of a Raman-active optical phonon mode modulate the macroscopic polarizability. The stronger the induced polarizability, the stronger the intensity of the corresponding Raman mode. For TbInO3, the paraelectric to ferroelectric transition not only causes some Raman-inactive modes in the paraelectric phase to become Raman active in the ferroelectric phase, but also results in new Raman-active modes in the ferroelectric phase which have no correspondence to the modes in the paraelectric phase. Because the ferroelectric structure differs by only small distortions from the paraelectric structure, these additional Raman-active modes are expected to render only weak modulation of polarizability and in turn have weak intensity. On the contrary, the A1(9), E1(10), E2(2), E2(10), and E2(15) modes have much stronger intensity than the other modes. These modes correspond to the five Raman-active modes of the paraelectric phase:

\[
\begin{align*}
A1^{(9)} & \rightarrow A1, \\
E1^{(10)} & \rightarrow E1, \\
E2^{(2)}, E2^{(10)}, E2^{(15)} & \rightarrow 3E2. 
\end{align*}
\]

Hitherto, we have characterized the symmetry and measured the energy of all 38 Raman-active phonon modes at 20 K. Moreover, we have interpreted the relative intensity of these modes in view of the structural change between the paraelectric and ferroelectric phases; the modes which are Raman active in the paraelectric phase have strong intensity in the ferroelectric phase, while other modes have weak intensity.

VI. VIBRONIC MODES IN E2 SYMMETRY CHANNEL

After presenting the CF and phonon spectra, respectively, in Secs. IV and V, we examine the hybrid vibronic spectral features resulting from the coupling between the CF and phonon modes. In Fig. 6 we present the temperature dependence of the E2(1) and E2(2) phonon modes. The apparent linewidth of the two modes anomalously increases on cooling. Moreover, the energy and HWHM of the CF modes, determined from XZ and ZZ spectra, cannot be directly used to fit the modes between 8 and 12 meV in the RL spectrum. These two phenomena indicate presence of coupling between the two phonon modes and three CF modes in the (8–12)-meV energy range. Even above 100 K, when the CF modes become

| Number | A1 modes | E1 modes | E2 modes |
|--------|----------|----------|----------|
| 1      | 13.9     | 10.2     | 8.1      |
| 2      | 23.1     | 13.8     | 9.6      |
| 3      | 26.8     | 18.3     | 15.2     |
| 4      | 30.1     | 21.4     | 17.4     |
| 5      | 36.2     | 23.1     | 29.0     |
| 6      | 39.7     | 30.2     | 32.0     |
| 7      | 46.7     | 36.2     | 36.1     |
| 8      | 52.6     | 40.2     | 40.3     |
| 9      | 75.6     | 44.9     | 44.0     |
| 10     | 47.0     | 46.7     |          |
| 11     | 52.5     | 52.2     |          |
| 12     | 64.3     | 60.1     |          |
| 13     | 67.8     | 64.1     |          |
| 14     | 75.5     | 68.0     |          |
| 15     |          |          | 75.4     |
FIG. 5. Raman response $\chi''(\omega, 20 \text{ K})$ of the (a) $A_1$-symmetry, (b) $E_1$-symmetry, and (c) $E_2$-symmetry phonon modes measured at 20 K with 647-nm excitation, plotted in linear scale. (d)–(f) The respective plots in semilogarithm scale. Unlabeled spectral features below 15 meV are related to crystal-field modes; weak features at higher energy result from second-order scattering.

very broad, the coupling effects remain noticeable, as it seen from the asymmetric line shape of the $E_2^{(2)}$ mode [Fig. 6(b)].

Because of the close proximity of CF transitions to phonon modes, vibronic excitations have been observed in several rare-earth compounds, e.g., in Ce$_2$O$_3$ [13], NdBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_7$ [14], and Ho$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ [15]. In these cases, one CF transition interacts with one phonon mode, resulting in two vibronic states [25].

The $E_2$-symmetry spectra of TbInO$_3$ are more involved because three CF transitions and two phonon modes are close in frequency, leading to complex spectra structure. Before analyzing this multimode problem, we consider a simplified case in which one phonon mode interacts with one CF mode. We model the bare response of the CF and phonon modes, in the absence of interaction, by Lorentzian function 3:

$$\chi''_{e,p}(\omega) = \frac{\gamma_{e,p}^2 \chi_{e,p}}{(\omega - \omega_{e,p})^2 + \gamma_{e,p}^2},$$

3Note that Raman response is an odd function of frequency. The Lorentzian approximation Eq. (3) is only valid when mode’s frequency is much larger than its scattering rate.
where the subscripts “e” and “p” label the CF and phononic responses, respectively; \( t_{e,p} \) is the light-scattering vertex, \( \omega_{e,p} \) is the mode’s bare frequency, and \( \gamma_{e,p} \) is the bare half-width at half-maximum (HWHM).

The interaction between the phonon and CF modes couples these dynamical responses; as a result, not only are the bare responses [Eq. (3)] renormalized, but also an interference term appears in the total Raman response. The full Raman response in turn can be broken into three contributions:

\[
\chi''(\omega) = \chi''_{pw}(\omega) + \chi''_{pv}(\omega) + \chi''_{int}(\omega),
\]

in which the subscript “v” indicates presence of the interaction. The first two terms correspond to the phonon response proportional to square of light coupling vertex \( t_{p}^2 \); and the CF response proportional to \( t_{e}^2 \), respectively, while the third one, that is proportional to the \( t_{p}t_{e} \) combination, is the interference term appearing due to the exciton-phonon coupling with strength \( v \).

The exact expressions for the three contributions are given in Appendix D. To illustrate the essential features, we consider the weak-coupling limit \( v/\Delta \omega \ll 1 \) with \( \Delta \omega = \omega_{p} - \omega_{e} \) being the frequency difference between the phonon and CF modes. In this limit, the renormalized phonon response can be written in a Lorentzian form

\[
\chi''_{pw}(\omega) = \frac{t_{p}^2\gamma_{pv}}{\omega - \omega_{pv}^2 + \gamma_{pv}^2},
\]

in which \( \chi''_{pv}(\omega) \), and \( \chi''_{int}(\omega) \) correspond to the phonon response proportional to square of light coupling vertex \( t_{e}^2 \); and the CF response proportional to \( t_{e}^2 \), respectively, while the third one, that is proportional to the \( t_{p}t_{e} \) combination, is the interference term appearing due to the exciton-phonon coupling with strength \( v \).

The exact expressions for the three contributions are given in Appendix D. To illustrate the essential features, we consider the weak-coupling limit \( v/\Delta \omega \ll 1 \) with \( \Delta \omega = \omega_{p} - \omega_{e} \) being the frequency difference between the phonon and CF modes. In this limit, the renormalized phonon response can be written in a Lorentzian form

\[
\chi''_{pw}(\omega) = \frac{t_{p}^2\gamma_{pv}}{\omega - \omega_{pv}^2 + \gamma_{pv}^2},
\]

The renormalization shifts the phonon frequency from \( \omega_{p} \) to \( \omega_{p} = \omega_{p} + v^2\Delta \omega/\gamma_{p}^2 + \Delta \omega^2 \) and broadens the phonon HWHM from \( \gamma_{p} \) to \( \gamma_{p} = \gamma_{p} + v^2\gamma_{p}/(\gamma_{p}^2 + \Delta \omega^2) \). The simultaneous change of both frequency and HWHM is consistent with the general effect of interaction on the self-energy of a state: the interaction influences both the real part of the self-energy, which shifts the frequency, and the imaginary part of the self-energy, which broadens the linewidth.

Because the bare responses of the phonon and CF modes have the same form [Eq. (3)], the renormalized CF response also has a Lorentzian form, as required by symmetry. The energy is shifted to \( \omega_{e} = \omega_{e} + v^2\Delta \omega/\gamma_{e}^2 + \Delta \omega^2 \) and the HWHM is broadened to \( \gamma_{e} = \gamma_{e} + v^2\gamma_{e}/(\gamma_{e}^2 + \Delta \omega^2) \). If \( \omega_{e} > \omega_{p} \), \( \Delta \omega \) is negative; the maximum of CF response is moved to higher energy and that of phononic response is moved to lower energy, characteristic of the conventional level-repulsion behavior.

The interference term has the following expression in the weak-coupling limit:

\[
\chi''_{int}(\omega) = \frac{-2t_{p}t_{e}v[\gamma_{p}(\omega - \omega_{e}) + \gamma_{e}(\omega - \omega_{p})]}{[(\omega - \omega_{e})^2 + \gamma_{e}^2][(\omega - \omega_{p})^2 + \gamma_{p}^2]}.
\]

The sign of this term depends not only on \( v \), but also on phase difference between the CF and phonon oscillators. The phase of a light-driven oscillator flips to the opposite sense at the resonant frequency. Hence, the sign of the interference term changes in the range between the bare CF oscillator frequency \( \omega_{e} \), and the bare phonon frequency \( \omega_{p} \); this crossover happens closer to the frequency of the oscillator which has smaller bare linewidth [see Figs. 7(b) and 7(e)].

After discussing the basic properties of the coupling effect, we fit the \( E_{2}^{2} \)-symmetry spectra of TblnO3 with the model described in Appendix E. We use the energy and HWHM in Table III for the three CF transitions; the energy of the two phonon modes is constrained to be no less than their values at 300 K, and the HWHM is constrained to be no more than their values at 300 K. From the fitting we obtain the values of the coupling constants listed in Table V.

From Table V, we notice that the \( E_{2}^{(1)} \) phonon mainly couples to the d3 CF transition, and the \( E_{2}^{(2)} \) phonon has the strongest dimensionless coupling to the s5 CF transition. We study these two pairs separately in Fig. 7. First we discuss the renormalization effect. Because \( v/\Delta \omega \approx 1 \), the weak-coupling limit does not apply to these two pairs and the renormalized responses do not have a Lorentzian line shape [Figs. 7(a) and 7(d)]. However, the level-repulsion behavior and the broadening of line shape seen in the weak-coupling case still applies in the strong-coupling case. At

TABLE V. The coupling strength (v), frequency difference (\( \Delta \omega \)), and dimensionless coupling constant (\( \varepsilon/\Delta \omega \)) for interactions between \( E_{2}^{(i)} \) phonons (\( i = 1, 2 \)) and the CF transitions to the states d3, s5, and d4. Values are obtained by fitting the vibronic excitations.

| Pair | \( E_{2}^{(1)} \)-d3 | \( E_{2}^{(1)} \)-s5 | \( E_{2}^{(1)} \)-d4 | \( E_{2}^{(2)} \)-d3 | \( E_{2}^{(2)} \)-s5 | \( E_{2}^{(2)} \)-d4 |
|------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| v (meV) | -0.31 | -0.35 | -0.46 | -0.60 | -0.68 | -0.91 |
| \( \Delta \omega \) (meV) | -0.44 | -2.59 | -3.24 | 1.38 | -0.77 | -1.42 |
| \( \varepsilon/\Delta \omega \) | 0.69 | 0.13 | 0.14 | -0.44 | 0.89 | 0.64 |
and renormalized by interaction $\chi$ and $d^{3}$ CF modes; (d)–(f) the $E$ which $\chi$ and $\chi$ $(d)$ The Raman response functions for bare $\chi$ total Raman response

interference term $[Figs.7(c) and 7(f)]$. Because of the much response, the sum of the renormalized responses, and the

smaller relative intensity of the $E$ phonon, on the contrary, has a much larger relative to CF

frequency $[Figs.7(b) and 7(e)]$ because the phonon mode

exhibits an antiresonant spectral feature, which arises due to the destructive interference of the two modes. The new

constructive interference. Second, we consider the interference term, which changes sign essentially at the bare phonon

frequency, labeled by black dots. The red line represents the fit. The blue lines show doublet-to-singlet CF oscillators labeled by the final singlet state, and the green lines show doublet-to-doublet CF transitions; the phonon modes, labeled by their symmetry, are shown in purple. The Raman data and fitting curves below 7 meV are multiplied by a factor of 5 for clarity.

FIG. 8. The low-energy crystal-field (CF) transitions in TbInO₃, measured at 5 K in RL scattering geometry. The Raman data are represented by black dots. The red line represents the fit. The blue lines show doublet-to-singlet CF oscillators labeled by the final singlet state, and the green lines show doublet-to-doublet CF transitions; the phonon modes, labeled by their symmetry, are shown in purple. The Raman data and fitting curves below 7 meV are multiplied by a factor of 5 for clarity.

to three CF modes in the same energy range. Such coupling results from the modulation of the electron-cloud distribution of the CF states by lattice vibration, and is facilitated by the energetic proximity of the CF and phonon modes. We illustrated the physics of this type of interaction by considering a simplified case with only one CF mode and one phonon mode in the weak-coupling limit. By fitting the spectrum of the vibronic modes, we have found that the coupling constant is comparable to the frequency difference between pairs of CF and phonon modes, indicating the strong-coupling regime. Presence of these vibronic excitations further implies strong spin-lattice dynamics.

VII. SPECIFIC HEAT AND ENTROPY

In this section we demonstrate that the specific heat and entropy data are consistent with the CF level scheme determined in Sec. IV. We use four components to model the specific heat of TbInO₃: the nuclear, CF, acoustic-phonon, and optical-phonon contributions (Appendix F). In particular, based on the Raman results we use Lorentzian line shapes with the energy and width given in Table III for the CF states. The CF contribution to the specific heat can be expressed in the following form:

$$C_{E} = \frac{R}{(k_{B}T)^{2}} \left\{ \frac{1}{Z_{E}} \sum_{i} \int L_{i}(\epsilon)\epsilon^{2}e^{-\epsilon/k_{B}T}d\epsilon \right. $$

$$- \left[ \frac{1}{Z_{E}} \sum_{i} \int L_{i}(\epsilon)e^{\epsilon/k_{B}T}d\epsilon \right]^{2} \right\},$$

(7)

which is a generalization of the formula for CF levels with Dirac $\delta$ function as line shape [26]. In Eq. (7), $Z_{E} = \sum_{i} \int L_{i}(\epsilon)\exp(-\epsilon/k_{B}T)d\epsilon$ is the partition function for the CF levels; $i$ (from 1 to 8) labels the individual CF energy levels, and $L_{i}(\epsilon)$ is the normalized Lorentzian function for energy level $i$. 
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Tb1 has a singlet ground state and Tb2 has a doublet one. The excited state is a doublet; the pink curve in the middle assumes that the cyan curve assumes that the ground state is a singlet and the first excited state describes the entropy much better than an assumption that Tb1 has a singlet ground state and Tb2 has a doublet one.

In this section, we described the specific heat by the nuclear, CF, and phononic contributions. In particular, we have accounted the finite linewidth of the CF states as determined by spectroscopic data. We demonstrated that the temperature dependence of the CF entropy is consistent with a doublet ground state for both Tb3+ ion sites.

### VIII. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we study the electronic and phononic excitations of TbInO₃ by polarization-resolved Raman spectroscopy. We establish that TbInO₃ is hosting a triangular magnetic lattice of the non-Kramers doublet ground states. Specifically, we discuss intermultiplet excitations within the ⁷F term, intramultiplet excitations within the lowest-lying ⁷F₆ multiplet, Raman-active phonon modes, specific-heat data, and discover hybrid vibronic modes.

We observe the ⁵D → ⁷F luminescence continuum centered around 2 eV, and the ⁷F intermultiplet Raman excitations. The energy of the ⁷F multiplets is between 0.3 and 0.7 eV. These multiplets exhibit at low temperature fine intramultiplet CF structures which are clustered within their respective particular energy range.

We measure the CF excitations and in turn establish the CF level scheme for the lowest-lying ⁷F₆ multiplet. We show that both Tb1 and Tb2 ions, though having slightly different crystalline environment, have essentially the same CF level scheme. In particular, they both exhibit a non-Kramers doublet ground state. This result supports the scenario of triangular magnetic lattice, which could support U(1)-symmetry SL ground state. Moreover, the orbital-degenerate ground state could result in spin-orbit-entangled multipolar phases. These possibilities render TbInO₃ as a suitable platform to explore the SL physics. The enhanced linewidth of the CF states further supports the scenario of triangular magnetic lattice of the non-Kramers doublet ground states. Specifically, we discuss intermultiplet excitations within the ⁷F term, intramultiplet excitations within the lowest-lying ⁷F₆ multiplet, Raman-active phonon modes, specific-heat data, and discover hybrid vibronic modes.

We first subtract the nuclear and phononic contributions from the experimental specific-heat data, and then use Eq. (8) to find the entropy corresponding to the CF excitations. The fact that the entropy at 300 K is very close to 1.9R further supports the assignment to doublet ground state [Fig. 9(b)]. Moreover, an assumption that Tb1 and Tb2 both have a doublet ground state describes the entropy much better than an assumption that Tb1 has a singlet ground state and Tb2 has a doublet one.

In this work, we study the electronic and phononic excitations of TbInO₃ by polarization-resolved Raman spectroscopy. We establish that TbInO₃ is hosting a triangular magnetic lattice of the non-Kramers doublet ground states. Specifically, we discuss intermultiplet excitations within the ⁷F term, intramultiplet excitations within the lowest-lying ⁷F₆ multiplet, Raman-active phonon modes, specific-heat data, and discover hybrid vibronic modes.

We observe the ⁵D → ⁷F luminescence continuum centered around 2 eV, and the ⁷F intermultiplet Raman excitations. The energy of the ⁷F multiplets is between 0.3 and 0.7 eV. These multiplets exhibit at low temperature fine intramultiplet CF structures which are clustered within their respective particular energy range.

We measure the CF excitations and in turn establish the CF level scheme for the lowest-lying ⁷F₆ multiplet. We show that both Tb1 and Tb2 ions, though having slightly different crystalline environment, have essentially the same CF level scheme. In particular, they both exhibit a non-Kramers doublet ground state. This result supports the scenario of triangular magnetic lattice, which could support U(1)-symmetry SL ground state. Moreover, the orbital-degenerate ground state could result in spin-orbit-entangled multipolar phases. These possibilities render TbInO₃ as a suitable platform to explore the SL physics. The enhanced linewidth of the CF states further supports the scenario of triangular magnetic lattice of the non-Kramers doublet ground states. Specifically, we discuss intermultiplet excitations within the ⁷F term, intramultiplet excitations within the lowest-lying ⁷F₆ multiplet, Raman-active phonon modes, specific-heat data, and discover hybrid vibronic modes.

We first subtract the nuclear and phononic contributions from the experimental specific-heat data, and then use Eq. (8) to find the entropy corresponding to the CF excitations. The fact that the entropy at 300 K is very close to 1.9R further supports the assignment to doublet ground state [Fig. 9(b)]. Moreover, an assumption that Tb1 and Tb2 both have a doublet ground state describes the entropy much better than an assumption that Tb1 has a singlet ground state and Tb2 has a doublet one.

In this section, we described the specific heat by the nuclear, CF, and phononic contributions. In particular, we have accounted the finite linewidth of the CF states as determined by spectroscopic data. We demonstrated that the temperature dependence of the CF entropy is consistent with a doublet ground state for both Tb3+ ion sites.

### VIII. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we study the electronic and phononic excitations of TbInO₃ by polarization-resolved Raman spectroscopy. We establish that TbInO₃ is hosting a triangular magnetic lattice of the non-Kramers doublet ground states. Specifically, we discuss intermultiplet excitations within the ⁷F term, intramultiplet excitations within the lowest-lying ⁷F₆ multiplet, Raman-active phonon modes, specific-heat data, and discover hybrid vibronic modes.

We observe the ⁵D → ⁷F luminescence continuum centered around 2 eV, and the ⁷F intermultiplet Raman excitations. The energy of the ⁷F multiplets is between 0.3 and 0.7 eV. These multiplets exhibit at low temperature fine intramultiplet CF structures which are clustered within their respective particular energy range.

We measure the CF excitations and in turn establish the CF level scheme for the lowest-lying ⁷F₆ multiplet. We show that both Tb1 and Tb2 ions, though having slightly different crystalline environment, have essentially the same CF level scheme. In particular, they both exhibit a non-Kramers doublet ground state. This result supports the scenario of triangular magnetic lattice, which could support U(1)-symmetry SL ground state. Moreover, the orbital-degenerate ground state could result in spin-orbit-entangled multipolar phases. These possibilities render TbInO₃ as a suitable platform to explore the SL physics. The enhanced linewidth of the CF states further supports the scenario of triangular magnetic lattice of the non-Kramers doublet ground states. Specifically, we discuss intermultiplet excitations within the ⁷F term, intramultiplet excitations within the lowest-lying ⁷F₆ multiplet, Raman-active phonon modes, specific-heat data, and discover hybrid vibronic modes.

We first subtract the nuclear and phononic contributions from the experimental specific-heat data, and then use Eq. (8) to find the entropy corresponding to the CF excitations. The fact that the entropy at 300 K is very close to 1.9R further supports the assignment to doublet ground state [Fig. 9(b)]. Moreover, an assumption that Tb1 and Tb2 both have a doublet ground state describes the entropy much better than an assumption that Tb1 has a singlet ground state and Tb2 has a doublet one.

In this section, we described the specific heat by the nuclear, CF, and phononic contributions. In particular, we have accounted the finite linewidth of the CF states as determined by spectroscopic data. We demonstrated that the temperature dependence of the CF entropy is consistent with a doublet ground state for both Tb3+ ion sites.
TABLE VI. Splitting of the $^7F_J$ multiplet by the $C_{3v}$-symmetry CF potential. The symmetry of the CF states is classified by the irreducible representations of the $C_{3v}$ group.

| $J$ value | 6  | 5  | 4  | 3  | 2  | 1  | 0 |
|-----------|----|----|----|----|----|----|---|
| $A_1$     | 3  | 1  | 2  | 1  | 1  | 0  | 1 |
| $A_2$     | 2  | 2  | 1  | 0  | 1  | 0  |   |
| $E$       | 4  | 4  | 3  | 2  | 2  | 1  | 0 |

CF and phonon modes. We use a simple model, with one CF mode and one phonon mode, to illustrate the physics associated with this type of interaction, including level repulsion, linewidth broadening, and spectral interference effect. We use a model fit to the $E_2$-symmetry spectrum and derive the electron-phonon coupling strength. Because the energetic proximity of CF and phonon modes is common in rare-earth compounds, the developed method for decomposing Raman spectra of strongly coupled phononic and CF excitations may have wide applicability.

We also fit the specific-heat data by the sum of nuclear, CF, and phononic contributions consistent with obtained spectroscopic parameters. The temperature dependence of the CF entropy further supports the CF level scheme determined from Raman measurements, therefore, may be of interest for further exploration of SL physics.
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APPENDIX A: MULTIPLET SPLITTING INDUCED BY CRYSTAL-FIELD POTENTIAL

The multiplets of the $^7F$ term are split by the CF potential. In Table VI we classify the symmetry of the resulting fine levels by the irreducible representations of $C_{3v}$ group. On reducing the site symmetry from $C_{3v}$ to $C_3$, the $A_1$ and $A_2$ states of $C_{3v}$ group mix into the $A$ states of $C_3$.

APPENDIX B: ALLOWED TRANSITIONS FOR DIFFERENT CRYSTAL-FIELD GROUND STATE

In Fig. 10 we illustrate the allowed CF transitions for XZ and ZZ scattering geometries. If the CF ground state is a doublet, there are eight CF transitions allowed for XZ geometry and three allowed for ZZ geometry; if the CF ground state is a singlet, there are four CF transitions allowed in XZ geometry and four allowed in ZZ geometry. We note that in the case of a doublet ground state, the three transitions appearing in both XZ and ZZ geometries must have the same energy and linewidth; on the contrary, for a singlet ground state the four transitions in XZ geometry are independent from those four in ZZ geometry. The fact that the $d_2$, $d_3$, and $d_4$ modes have the same energy and linewidth for both XZ and ZZ geometries support the doublet ground-state assignment.

APPENDIX C: CLASSIFICATION OF THE Γ-POINT PHONONS

In Fig. 11 we compare the paraelectric and ferroelectric phases of TbInO$_3$. We first discuss the high-temperature paraelectric phase [Fig. 1(a)], with space group $P6_3/mmc$ and point group $D_{6h}$. Although high-temperature Raman measurements were not performed in this study, considering the paraelectric phase is helpful for understanding many aspects of the Raman-active phonons, particularly the large intensity difference for different modes. The unit cell contains two formula units, having two in-equivalent oxygen sites (O$_{apex}$...
and O_{plane}) and only one site for Tb and In. The Tb site is the center of inversion and Tb atoms therefore cannot participate in Raman-active lattice vibrations. The Γ-point phonons are classified in Table VII; there are a total of five Raman-active phonon modes.

The low-temperature ferroelectric phase [Fig. 1(b)], with space group P63cm and point group C6v, can be obtained from the paraelectric phase by tilting the InO$_5$ bipyramids and buckling the Tb layers [27]. Because of the tilting, the apex oxygen sites O(1) and O(2) and the planar oxygen sites O(3) and O(4) become nonequivalent; as a result of the buckling, the Tb$_{1(2)}$ site becomes slightly out of the plane formed by Tb(2). The unit cell contains six formula units. We note that the number of Raman-active modes should increase for two reasons: (i) the absence of central inversion symmetry renders the Raman- and infrared- (IR-) active modes not mutually exclusive; (ii) the larger volume of the unit cell leads to new phonon modes at the Γ point, some of which are Raman active. From Table VIII, the number of Raman-active phonon modes increase from 5 to 38.

TABLE VII. Classification of the Γ-point phonons for the high-temperature paraelectric TbInO$_3$ (space group P6$_3$/mmc, No. 194; point group D$_{6h}$); Z = 2.

| Atom       | Wyckoff notation | Site symmetry | Irreducible representations |
|------------|------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|
| Tb         | 2(a)             | D$_{3d}$      | A$_{2u}$ + B$_{2u}$ + E$_{1u}$ + E$_{2u}$ |
| In         | 2(c)             | D$_{3h}$      | A$_{2u}$ + B$_{1g}$ + E$_{1u}$ + E$_{2g}$ |
| O$_{plane}$| 2(b)             | D$_{3h}$      | A$_{2u}$ + B$_{1g}$ + E$_{1u}$ + E$_{2g}$ |
| O$_{apex}$ | 4(f)             | C$_{3v}$      | A$_{1g}$ + A$_{2u}$ + B$_{1g}$ + B$_{2g}$ + E$_{1g}$ + E$_{1u}$ + E$_{2g}$ + E$_{2u}$ |

Γ$_{Raman}$ = A$_{1g}$ + E$_{1g}$ + 3E$_{2g}$
Γ$_{IR}$ = 3A$_{2u}$ + 3E$_{1u}$

APPENDIX D: MATHEMATICAL EXPRESSIONS FOR THE COUPLING BETWEEN ONE PHONON AND ONE CRYSTAL-FIELD MODE

In Sec. VI we consider a simplified case in which one phonon mode interacts to one CF mode; the weak-coupling limit is taken in order to illustrate the essential features. In this section, we present the exact expressions for the three contributions in Eq. (4):

(i) the renormalized phonon response
\[
\chi''_{p}(\omega) = i t_{p}[\gamma'_{p}((\omega - \omega_{p})^{2} + \gamma_{e}^{2})]^{1/2}D; \tag{D1}
\]

(ii) the renormalized CF response
\[
\chi''_{e}(\omega) = i t_{p}[\gamma'_{e}((\omega - \omega_{p})^{2} + \gamma_{p}^{2})]^{1/2}D; \tag{D2}
\]

(iii) the interference term
\[
\chi''_{p+e}(\omega) = -2t_{p}v[\gamma_{p}(\omega - \omega_{p}) + \gamma_{e}(\omega - \omega_{p})]/D. \tag{D3}
\]

We note that \(\chi''_{p}(\omega)\) and \(\chi''_{e}(\omega)\) are related by the exchange of subscript \(p \leftrightarrow e\) in their respective expressions, as required by symmetry. For the same reason, the interference term is invariant under the exchange of subscript \(p \leftrightarrow e\). The three expressions have the same denominator \(D\), which is
\[
\frac{[\gamma_{e}^{2} + (\omega - \omega_{e})^{2}]^{1/2}[\gamma_{p}^{2} + (\omega - \omega_{p})^{2}]}{2v^{2}[\gamma_{e}\gamma_{p} + (\omega - \omega_{e})(\omega - \omega_{p})] + v^{4}}. \tag{D4}
\]

APPENDIX E: FITTING MODEL FOR THE VIBRONIC FEATURES

The apparent spectral linewidth of the \(E_{2}^{(1)}\) and \(E_{2}^{(2)}\) phonon modes anomalously increases on cooling (Fig. 6), indicating existence of interaction between the phonon modes and the CF excitations. Such interaction originates from the modulation of the electron-cloud distribution of CF states by lattice vibration. We use a Green’s function formalism to construct a model describing this physics [28]. In essence, the Raman response of the coupled system is calculated from the interacting Green’s function:
\[
\chi'' \sim \text{Im} T^{T}GT, \tag{E1}
\]

in which \(T\) denotes the vertices for light scattering process and \(G\) is the Green’s function for the interacting phononic and CF excitations. The Green’s function \(G\) can be obtained by
TABLE VIII. Classification of the \( \Gamma \)-point phonons for the low-temperature ferroelectric TbInO\(_3\) (space group \( P6_3cm\), No. 185; point group \( C_{6v}\); \( Z = 6 \)).

| Atom | Wyckoff notation | Site symmetry | Irreducible representations |
|------|------------------|---------------|----------------------------|
| \( \text{Tb}_{11} \) | 2(a) | \( C_{4v} \) | \( A_1 + B_1 + E_1 + E_2 \) |
| \( \text{Tb}_{21} \) | 4(b) | \( C_3 \) | \( A_1 + A_2 + B_1 + B_2 + 2E_1 + 2E_2 \) |
| In   | 6(c) | \( C_2 \) | \( 2A_1 + A_2 + 2B_1 + B_2 + 3E_1 + 3E_2 \) |
| \( O_{(1)} \) | 6(c) | \( C_2 \) | \( 3A_1 + A_2 + 2B_1 + B_2 + 3E_1 + 3E_2 \) |
| \( O_{(2)} \) | 6(c) | \( C_2 \) | \( 2A_1 + A_2 + B_1 + B_2 + 2E_1 + 2E_2 \) |
| \( O_{(3)} \) | 2(a) | \( C_{4v} \) | \( A_1 + B_1 + E_1 + E_2 \) |
| \( O_{(4)} \) | 4(b) | \( C_2 \) | \( A_1 + A_2 + B_1 + B_2 + 2E_1 + 2E_2 \) |

\[ \Gamma_{\text{Raman}} = 9A_1 + 14E_1 + 15E_2 \]

\[ \Gamma_{\text{IR}} = 9A_1 + 14E_1 \]

solving the Dyson equation

\[ G = (G_0^{-1} - V)^{-1}, \quad (E2) \]

where \( G_0 \) is the bare Green’s function and \( V \) represents the interaction. To proceed, we first need to consider which phonon and CF modes are involved, and the way they couple to each other.

For \( C_{3v}/C_3 \) groups, the doublet-to-singlet transitions appear only in \( E \) symmetry channel; the doublet-to-doublet transitions appear both in \( A_1/A^- \) and in \( E \)-symmetry channels. The \( E \)-symmetry component of the CF excitations can interact with the \( E_1^- \) and \( E_2^- \)-symmetry phonon modes; the \( A_1/A^- \)-symmetry component of the CF excitations interacts with the \( A_1^- \)-symmetry phonon modes.

From the measured Raman spectra, we identify there CF modes in the energy range between 8 and 12 meV: the first one is at 8.6 meV (a peak in both XZ and RL spectra), the second one at 10.8 meV (a peak in XZ spectrum), and the third one at 11.4 meV (a peak in RL spectrum). Two of them are doublets and the remaining one is a singlet. The three \( E \)-symmetry components of the CF excitations interact with two \( E_1^- \)-symmetry and two \( E_2^- \)-symmetry phonon modes; the two \( A_1^- \)-symmetry component of the CF excitations interact with one \( A_1^- \)-symmetry phonon mode.

For the \( E \)-symmetry components of the CF excitations, the bare Green’s function \( G_{E0} \) and the coupling interaction \( V_E \) are

\[ G_{E0} = \begin{pmatrix}
G_{p1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & G_{p2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & G_{p3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & G_{p4} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & G_{e1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & G_{e2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & G_{e3}
\end{pmatrix}, \quad (E3) \]

\[ V_E = \begin{pmatrix}
u_{11} & v_{12} & v_{13} \\
v_{21} & v_{22} & v_{23} \\
v_{31} & v_{32} & v_{33} \\
v_{41} & v_{42} & v_{43} \\
v_{51} & v_{52} & v_{53} \\
v_{61} & v_{62} & v_{63} \\
v_{71} & v_{72} & v_{73}
\end{pmatrix}, \quad (E4) \]

The phononic Green’s function \( G_p(i = 1, 2) \) corresponds to the \( E_{1}^{(1)} \) and \( E_{1}^{(2)} \) phonon modes; \( G_{p}(i = 3, 4) \) corresponds to the \( E_{2}^{(1)} \) and \( E_{2}^{(2)} \) phonon modes. The electronic Green’s function \( G_{e}(j = 1, 2, 3) \) has increasing energy in order; \( G_{e1} \) and \( G_{e3} \) are doublet-to-doublet CF excitations, and \( G_{e2} \) is a doublet-to-singlet CF excitation. These Green’s functions have a Lorentzian form \(-1/(\omega - \omega_0 + i\gamma_0)\), in which \( \omega_0 \) is bare frequency and \( \gamma_0 \) is half-width at half-maximum (HWHM). The parameters \( \omega_{ij} (i = 1, 2, 3; j = 1, 2, 3, 4; j = 1, 2, 3) \) represent the coupling strength between the phononic excitation \( G_{pi} \) and electronic excitation \( G_{ej} \).

We can describe the coupling in an alternative way to reduce the number of free parameters. We define the effective electric field \( f_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) \) for each of the four phonon modes, and the effective electric moment \( q_{jk} (j = 1, 2, 3; k = 1, 2) \) for each of the three CF transitions. For \( q_{jk} \), the first index \( j \) labels the three CF transitions; the second index \( k \) labels the symmetry of the corresponding electric moment: \( k = 1 \) corresponds to \( E_1 \) symmetry and \( k = 2 \) corresponds to \( E_2 \) symmetry. We then have \( v_{ij} = f_{ij} \gamma_{j1} (i = 1, 2) \) and \( v_{ij} = f_{ij} \gamma_{j2} (i = 3, 4) \). In this way, we reduce the number of needed coupling constants to 10 from 12.

The interacting Green’s function \( G_E \) for the \( E \)-symmetry components of the CF excitations is

\[ G_E = (G_{E0}^{-1} - V_E)^{-1}. \quad (E5) \]

And the Raman responses in the XZ and RL scattering geometries are calculated in the following way:

\[ \chi'_{XZ} \sim \text{Im} T_{XZ}^{\dag} G_E T_{XZ}, \quad (E6) \]

\[ \chi'_{RL} \sim \text{Im} T_{RL}^{\dag} G_E T_{RL}. \quad (E7) \]
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In these two expressions, $T_{XZ}^\dagger$ and $T_{RL}^\dagger$ are the vertex of light scattering process in the XZ and RL scattering geometries, respectively:

$$T_{XZ}^\dagger = (t_{p3} \ t_{p2} \ 0 \ 0 \ t_{e1XZ} \ t_{e2XZ} \ t_{e3XZ}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (E8)

$$T_{RL}^\dagger = (0 \ 0 \ t_{p3} \ t_{p4} \ t_{e1RL} \ t_{e2RL} \ t_{e3RL}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (E9)

For the $A_1/A_2$-symmetry components of the CF excitations, the bare Green’s function $G_{A0}$ and the coupling interaction $V_A$ are

$$G_{A0} = \begin{pmatrix} G_{p5} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & G_{e1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & G_{e3} \end{pmatrix},$$  \hspace{1cm} (E10)

$$V_A = \begin{pmatrix} v_{p5} \\ v_{e1} \\ v_{e3} \end{pmatrix}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (E11)

Here the phononic Green’s function $G_{p5}$ represents the $A_1$-symmetry phonon modes. Similar to the $E$-symmetry case, we have

$$G_A = (G_{A0}^{-1} - V_A)^{-1},$$  \hspace{1cm} (E12)

$$\chi_{ZZ}^{\prime\prime} \sim \text{Im}T_{ZZ}^\dagger G_A T_{ZZ},$$  \hspace{1cm} (E13)

$$T_{ZZ}^\dagger = (t_{p5} \ t_{e1ZZ} \ t_{e2ZZ}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (E14)

In practice, we find that the XZ and ZZ spectra can be fitted without any coupling between CF excitations and phonon modes. This fact suggests that the coupling in $E_1$- and $A_1$-symmetry channels is weak, and in turn significantly simplifies the model because the fitting of the XZ and RL spectra are now decoupled. As the CF modes have the same energy and linewidth in different symmetry channels, we first fit the XZ spectrum and then use the obtained energy and linewidth for the fitting of RL and ZZ spectra.

For the XZ spectrum, we have

$$\chi_{XZ}^{\prime\prime} \sim \text{Im}T_{XZ}^\dagger G_{XZ} T_{XZ},$$  \hspace{1cm} (E15)

in which

$$G_{XZ} = \begin{pmatrix} G_{p1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & G_{p2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & G_{e1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & G_{e2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & G_{e3} \end{pmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (E16)

and

$$T_{XZ}^\dagger = (t_{p1} \ t_{p2} \ t_{e1XZ} \ t_{e2XZ} \ t_{e3XZ}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (E17)

The RL spectrum is fitted with

$$\chi_{RL}^{\prime\prime} \sim \text{Im}T_{RL}^\dagger G_{RL} T_{RL},$$  \hspace{1cm} (E18)

in which

$$G_{RL} = (G_{RL0}^{-1} - V_{RL})^{-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (E19)

and

$$T_{RL}^\dagger = (t_{p3} \ t_{p4} \ t_{e1RL} \ t_{e2RL} \ t_{e3RL}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (E20)

In Eq. (E19), $G_{RL0}$ and $V_{RL}$ have the following expression:

$$G_{RL0} = \begin{pmatrix} G_{p3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & G_{p4} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & G_{e1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & G_{e2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & G_{e3} \end{pmatrix}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (E21)

$$V_{RL} = \begin{pmatrix} f_3 \ q_{12} & f_3 \ q_{22} & f_3 \ q_{32} \\ f_3 \ q_{12} & f_4 \ q_{12} & f_4 \ q_{22} & f_4 \ q_{32} & f_4 \ q_{42} \\ f_3 \ q_{32} & f_4 \ q_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ f_3 \ q_{32} & f_4 \ q_{32} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (E22)

In Eq. (E22) we use the relationship $v_{ij} = f_i q_{ij} (i = 3, 4; j = 1, 2, 3)$ to reduce the number of needed coupling constants to five from six.

For the ZZ spectrum, because the coupling is weak comparing with the XZ and RL spectra, the following form [26]:

$$\chi_{ZZ}^{\prime\prime} \sim \text{Im}T_{ZZ}^\dagger G_{A0} T_{ZZ},$$  \hspace{1cm} (E23)

In Fig. 12 we compare the fits to the experimentally measured Raman data. The fitting curves match the data well. For RL spectrum [Fig. 12(b)], the sum of the bare responses is rather different from the interacting response, which is significantly modulated by the couplings between pairs of phonon and CF modes. In appearance, the $E_{2}^{(1)}$ phonon mode and $d_3$ CF mode “merge” into the vibronic feature at 8.5 meV; the $E_{2}^{(2)}$ phonon mode and $s_5$ CF mode exhibit level-repulsion behavior.

The fitting parameters can be found in Table IX. Using the effective electric fields and effective electric moments, we can calculate the coupling strength in unit of energy between pairs of phonon and CF modes in the RL spectrum ($E_2$-symmetry channel). Considering that the smaller the frequency difference between one pair of modes, the stronger the coupling effect is, we can construct dimensionless coupling constants by coupling strength divided by frequency difference of the same pair of modes. The relevant values are shown in Table V.

**APPENDIX F: SPECIFIC-HEAT MODEL**

We use four components to model the specific heat of TbInO$_3$ from 0 to 300 K: (i) the nuclear contribution; (ii) the electronic contribution; (iii) the acoustic-phonon contribution; (iv) the optical-phonon contribution.

(i) The nuclear contribution is related to the transitions between the nuclear energy levels of Tb ions, and has the following form [26]:

$$C_N = \frac{R}{(k_B T)^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{Z_N} \sum_i \epsilon_i^2 e^{-\epsilon_i/k_B T} \right\},$$  \hspace{1cm} (F1)

where $R$ is the ideal gas constant; $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant; $T$ is temperature; $Z_N = \sum_i \exp(-\epsilon_i/k_B T)$ is the partition function for the nuclear levels; $i$ (from 1 to 4) labels
(a) XZ(E1)

(b) RL(E2)

(c) ZZ(A1)

FIG. 12. The fitting results for the coupled crystal-field (CF) and phonon modes. These spectra are the same as those shown in Fig. 2 of the main text. The XZ spectrum (a) is fitted with Eq. (E15); the RL spectrum (b) with Eq. (E18); the ZZ spectrum (c) with Eq. (E23). The Raman data are represented by black dots. The red lines represent the fits. The blue lines show doublet-to-singlet CF oscillators labeled by the final singlet state; the green lines show doublet-to-doublet CF transitions; the phonon modes, labeled by their symmetry, are shown in purple. The dashed red line in (b) represents the sum of blue, green, and purple curves.

(iii) We use Debye model for the acoustic-phonon contribution [26]. Because ferroelectric TbInO3 has one A1 and one E1 acoustic mode, two Debye temperatures are used:

\[
C_D = \frac{3R}{N} \left[ \frac{T}{\theta_{A_1}} \int_0^{\theta_{A_1}/T} x^3 e^x \left( e^x - 1 \right)^2 dx + 2 \frac{T}{\theta_{E_1}} \int_0^{\theta_{E_1}/T} x^4 e^x \left( e^x - 1 \right)^2 dx \right],
\]

in which \( N = 6 \) is the number of chemical units per unit cell; \( \theta_{A_1} \) is the Debye temperature for the A1 mode and \( \theta_{E_1} \) is that for the E1 mode.

(iv) The optical-phonon contribution is accounted for by the Einstein model [26]

\[
C_{Ein} = \frac{R}{N} \sum_i n_i \left( \frac{\hbar \omega_i}{k_B T} \right)^2 \frac{\hbar \omega_i}{\left( e^{\hbar \omega_i/k_B T} - 1 \right)^2}.
\]

TABLE IX. The values of the parameters obtained by fitting the XZ spectrum with Eq. (E15), the RL spectrum with Eq. (E18), and the ZZ spectrum with Eq. (E23). Notice that although \( f_i \) \((i = 3, 4)\) and \( q_{j2} \) \((j = 1, 2, 3)\) can have arbitrary units, \( v_{ij} = f_i q_{j2} \) has the unit of meV.

| Parameter (unit) | Value (uncertainty) |
|------------------|---------------------|
| \( t_{p1} \) (arb. units) | 2.45 (0.10) |
| \( \gamma_{p1} \) (meV) | 0.242 (0.015) |
| \( \omega_{p1} \) (meV) | 10.15 (0.01) |
| \( t_{p2} \) (arb. units) | 3.83 (0.01) |
| \( \gamma_{p2} \) (meV) | 0.184 (0.001) |
| \( \omega_{p2} \) (meV) | 13.99 (0.01) |
| \( t_{p3} \) (arb. units) | 1.95 (0.24) |
| \( \gamma_{p3} \) (meV) | 0.041 (0.017) |
| \( \omega_{p3} \) (meV) | 18.25 (0.12) |
| \( f_3 \) (arb. units) | -0.50 (0.21) |
| \( t_{p4} \) (arb. units) | 8.91 (0.23) |
| \( \gamma_{p4} \) (meV) | 10.03 (0.02) |
| \( \omega_{p4} \) (meV) | -0.99 (0.28) |
| \( f_4 \) (arb. units) | 8.91 (0.23) |
| \( t_{p5} \) (arb. units) | 18.25 (0.12) |
| \( \gamma_{p5} \) (meV) | 0.223 (0.003) |
| \( \omega_{p5} \) (meV) | 13.98 (0.02) |
| \( t_{e1XZ} \) (arb. units) | 6.93 (0.04) |
| \( \gamma_{e1} \) (meV) | 0.703 (0.006) |
| \( \omega_{e1} \) (meV) | 8.65 (0.02) |
| \( q_{12} \) (arb. units) | 0.61 (0.21) |
| \( t_{e2XZ} \) (arb. units) | 9.10 (0.17) |
| \( \gamma_{e2} \) (meV) | 10.80 (0.11) |
| \( \omega_{e2} \) (meV) | 0.69 (0.21) |
| \( q_{22} \) (arb. units) | 9.85 (0.17) |
| \( t_{e3XZ} \) (arb. units) | 2.49 (0.29) |
| \( \gamma_{e3} \) (meV) | 11.45 (0.12) |
| \( \omega_{e3} \) (meV) | 0.92 (0.25) |

the individual nuclear energy levels; \( \epsilon_i \) represents the energy of level \( i \). The nuclear spin of \(^{159}\)Tb is \( I = \frac{3}{2} \). Assuming that its four nuclear levels are evenly spaced [29,30], we find the splitting between each level is 0.003 meV by fitting the specific heat below 0.5 K.

(ii) The electronic contribution corresponds to the transitions between the CF states within the $^7F_6$ ground-state multiplet of Tb ions. The relevant formula has been discussed as Eq. (7) in Sec. VII.
In this formula, \( i \) labels the individual optical phonon modes, and \( n_i \) is the degeneracy of optical phonon mode \( i \). Ferroelectric \( \text{TbInO}_3 \) has 38 Raman-active optical modes (9\( A_g \oplus 14E_g \oplus 15E_u \)) and 20 silent optical modes (5\( A_g \oplus 10B_g \oplus 5B_u \)). For the Raman-active optical modes, we use the experimentally determined energy listed in Table IV; for the silent optical modes, we assume that they are evenly spaced from 10 to 80 meV.

We subtract the nuclear, electronic, and optical-phonon contributions from the experimental specific-heat data, and then fit the subtracted data with Eq. (F2). We find \( \theta_A = 180 \pm 50 \) K and \( \theta_E = 310 \pm 90 \) K.
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