Opening a Can of Worm(-like Micelle)s: The Effect of Temperature of Solutions of Functionalized Dipeptides
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Abstract: A simple heat/cool cycle can be used to significantly affect the properties of a solution of a low-molecular-weight gelator at high pH. The viscosity and extensional viscosity are increased markedly, leading to materials with very different properties than when the native solution is used.

The process by which a gel is formed using a self-assembled low-molecular-weight gelator (LMWG) is critical in determining the final properties of the gel.[1] There are many reports attempting to link the molecular structure of the gelator to the gel properties; however, it is possible to produce gels with very different properties from a single gelator by varying the process.[2] Since predicting new gelators from first principles is still difficult,[3] it is extremely useful to know that many properties, such as the stiffness, recoverability, and opacity can all be varied significantly using a single, robust gelator.

Gel formation requires assembly into one dimensional structures, followed by the entanglement and/or cross-linking of these structures.[4] Hence, it is common for this process to be kinetically driven and the method of gelation has a significant effect on the outcome.[4a] A further complication is that the gelator must first be added to the solvent pre-assembly. For organic solvents, it is common to suspend the insoluble gelator in the solvent, and heat to dissolve the gelator; cooling then leads to assembly. In water, this process can also sometimes be achieved, but it is more usual to utilize a trigger, such as a change in pH, to go from a “dissolved” gelator to the gel.[5] In some cases, the “dissolved” gelator is in fact dispersed as a surfactant-like aggregate.[6] The transition from this aggregate to gel fibers is largely process-controlled and therefore very unlikely to reach a thermodynamic minimum.

Functionalized dipeptides are highly effective hydrogela-
tors.[7] Dipeptides functionalized at the N-terminus with a naphthalene are robust and effective gelators.[8] The free C-terminus allows dispersion in water, and a trigger for gelation. At high pH, these can be dispersed by adding base to deprotonate the free carboxylic acid. Typically, spherical or worm-like micelles are formed,[6b] although this is concentration dependent.[9] We have described this scenario in detail and have shown that solutions of the worm-like micelles can be gelled at high pH by adding a divalent cation.[8] Herein, we show that the properties of the solutions and resulting gels are strongly affected by a simple pre-heating step. This effect has important implications for these gelators.

Solutions of 2NapFF (2NapFF = 2-(naphthalen-2-yl)-acetamido)-3-phenylpropanamido)-3-phenylpropanoic acid; Figure 1 a) were prepared at a concentration of 10 mg mL⁻¹ by adding sodium hydroxide to the dipeptide in water. After stirring overnight, a slightly viscous solution was formed at a pH of approximately 11. We have previously shown that these solutions contain worm-like micelles.[6b,9] These solutions are susceptible to shear forces, exhibiting enhanced extensional viscosity after exposure to shear rates of between 10³ and 10⁶ s⁻¹.[10] This effect was weak and difficult to reproduce exactly.

Herein, we report that heating to elevated temperatures does not change the viscosity of the solutions (Figure 1 b). On recooing, the samples became significantly more viscous (Figure 1 b), and formed materials that were self-supporting for at least 8 h (Figure 1 c). Overnight, the samples fell to the bottom of the tube. Very similar materials were formed if the heating was between 40 and 70°C, and samples that could be inverted were also formed after cooling from a short period of heating with a hot air gun. Whilst the samples become self-supporting, it is clear from the rheological data that these are not true gels. The storage modulus (G’') does not dominate over the loss modulus (G’‘) by one order of magnitude; rather the values are very close, indicating a more elastic type of
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material (Figure 1d), and there is some frequency dependence. The $G^\prime$ for the two solutions also highlights the significant difference in the viscosity of the two solutions near the rest state. This zero-shear viscosity can be determined by the slope of $G^\prime$ versus $\omega$ in the limit of vanishing frequency or, as this terminal regime is not approximated for the heat/cool cycle sample, roughly estimated with $G^\prime/\omega$ at $\omega = 1$ rad s$^{-1}$. Before heating, $G^\prime/\omega \approx 0.5$ Pa s, whereas after the heat/cool cycle, $G^\prime/\omega \approx 50$ Pa s; that is, two orders of magnitude higher. All of this is clearly important as it is common for tube inversion to be shown as the only test of gelation. $\text{The increase in viscosity was observed over a range of}$

concentrations (Supporting Information, Figure S1), with materials that could be inverted forming at concentrations as low as 5 mgmL$^{-1}$ (solutions at 3 and 4 mgmL$^{-1}$ were visibly more viscous after a heat/cool cycle, but did not support inversion). The concentration range coincides with our previous work on the phase diagram for 2NapFF, where we showed that worm-like micelles are formed above around 1 mgmL$^{-1}$, with a significant increase in the viscosity above 5 mgmL$^{-1}$. $\text{Hence, this heat-induced behavior requires that}$

specific aggregates exist at room temperature, rather than this being a heat-induced structural transition.

The pH is a critical parameter; at 10 mgmL$^{-1}$, samples that could be inverted were prepared after a heat/cool cycle as long as the pH was above 9.48 (Supporting Information, Figure S2). Typically, for this kind of molecule, the apparent $\text{pK}_a$ of the carboxylic acid is around 6, and can be concentration dependent. At 10 mgmL$^{-1}$, titration of the 2NapFF shows an apparent $\text{pK}_a$ at around 8.5 (Supporting Information, Figure S3). After a heat/cool cycle, the $\text{pK}_a$ slightly increased to around 9.0, but it should be stressed that the high viscosity of this solution made this measurement difficult. We note that elsewhere heat/cool cycles have been used during a pH titration to establish the $\text{pK}_a$ and it is possible that this leads to errors on the basis of the current work. Nonetheless, for the viscosity increase to be observed, the pH needs to be above the apparent $\text{pK}_a$ of the 2NapFF, implying that significant charge is required on the worm-like micelles.

The samples exhibited significant extensional viscosity after heating and cooling, becoming very “stringy” (Supporting Information, Figure S4). We therefore undertook experiments to quantify the resistance of the solutions to extensional/elongational deformations using a capillary break-up extensional rheometer (“CaBER”). In this device, a liquid bridge (2 mm in length) is formed between two circular discs 4 mm in diameter, which are then rapidly pulled apart (ca. 50 ms). The resulting unstable fluid filament consequently thins down under the action of surface tension until finally breaking. The diameter of the filament (D) is observed as a function of time ($t$) using the equipment’s laser micrometer (resolution ca. 10 microns). Although the filament diameter data can be post-processed into an (apparent) extensional viscosity, the standard method to quantify extensional effects is by an exponential fit to the filament diameter as a function of time in the elasto-capillary regime to determine a characteristic relaxation time ($\lambda$; more correctly, a characteristic time for extensional stress growth). Representative plots are shown in Figure 2a where the effect of the heat/cool cycle can be seen to increase this characteristic time $\lambda$ by almost two orders of magnitude, from 25 ms before heating to a relaxation time of 1.35 s after a heat/cool cycle.

To explain this behavior, we used small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS). Before heating, the scattering data fitted
on cooling. This data implies a subtle change in the packing after a heat/cool cycle. In the NMR spectra, peaks are initially broad and integrate at a lower intensity than might be expected (Supporting Information, Figure S12); this is due to the presence of the worm-like micelles, as discussed previously. On heating, the peaks become better resolved and the integral of the aforementioned peaks increases with respect to the internal standard. This implies that the 2NapFF is more soluble at these higher temperatures. For a sample that has been through a heat/cool cycle, the peak integral returns to that found before heating, implying a very similar solubility and exchange rate between the worm-like micelles and free molecule. In combination, these data correlate with our model above; the heat/cool cycle leads to subtle changes in packing as opposed to the formation of a new structure.

The heat/cool cycle results in interesting effects. For example, solutions of 2NapFF were loaded into a syringe either without or with a heat/cool cycle. After 18 h, the solutions behave very differently on being pushed out of the syringe (Figure 3b; see video in the Supporting Information). The solution without a heat/cool cycle flows as expected for a slightly viscous solution. The solution that has been exposed to a heat/cool cycle can be pushed out as a single strand beyond the resolution of the fit, but it is clear that the length increases after the heat/cool cycle, as does the Kuhn length, showing that the flexibility decreases. The radius decreases after the heat/cool cycle. Small-angle neutron scattering (SANS) data was also collected (Supporting Information, Figure S6 and Table S2). In agreement with our previous work,[9] the data before heating is best fit to a hollow cylinder with a radius of 36.9 ± 0.1 Å and a core radius of 19.1 ± 0.2 Å. After a heat/cool cycle, the radius decreases to 31.2 ± 0.1 Å (in line with the SAXS data) and the core radius also decreases to 9.2 ± 0.3 Å. These data lead us to suggest that the 2NapFF assembles into a coiled structure with a central core (Figure 3a); the heat/cool cycle leads to partial dehydration of the core and an extension in the length. The cryo-transmission electron microscopy (cryo-TEM) images of the solution before and after heating (Figure 2c and d, respectively; Supporting Information, Figures S7 and S8), show that both solutions contain long anisotropic structures with similar diameters (Supporting Information, Figure S9).

Further insight into the heat/cool cycle comes from fluorescence, infrared (IR), and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy. Immediately after heating, fluorescence is significantly quenched as compared to the initial solution (see fluorescence spectra in the Supporting Information, Figure S10). There is no red-shift in the peak, which implies that there is no significant change in the local packing. On cooling, the intensity increases again, but does not reach the original value. Normalization of the data shows that there is a slight increase in the tail of the fluorescence, although the position of the main peak has not changed significantly. These data therefore correlate with a similar molecular packing of the naphthalene rings. The IR data (Supporting Information, Figure S11) shows relatively little change before and after heating, with the main difference being the shift of a peak from 1647 cm⁻¹ to 1654 cm⁻¹ on heating; this peak is then lost.
Gels formed from the pre-heated solutions behaved as described previously, with a G' of 18.9 ± 3.4 kPa, and a tan δ (G'/G) of 0.17 ± 0.02 (Figure 4b). Interestingly, the gels formed when the solution of the CaCl₂ was added, either immediately after heating or after a cooling period, were significantly stiffer and very similar to one other. G' was 119.4 ± 1.4 kPa with a tan δ of 0.20 ± 0.01 for the gels formed by adding CaCl₂ immediately, and G' was 122.7 ± 4.1 kPa with a tan δ of 0.22 ± 0.01 for the gels formed by adding CaCl₂ after cooling (Figure 4b). These are some of the highest reported values for such a gel. Cryo-TEM of the gels shows that lateral association of the fibers occurs on addition of the calcium salt (Supporting Information, Figures S13–15).

This behavior is not restricted to 2NapFF. A number of other LMWGs show similar results (Supporting Information, Figures S16–S18). A prerequisite seems to be that the solutions form viscous solutions at high pH, implying that worm-like micelles formed from this class of molecule behave in this manner. As we have described previously,[6b] these are the more hydrophobic examples. Less hydrophobic LMWGs form solutions that are not viscous and show no tendency to either become self-supporting or to become more viscous (Supporting Information, Figures S19–S21).

In summary, we have shown that a simple heat/cool cycle results in a significant change in the physical properties of a solution of a LMWG. The heat/cool cycle results in an increase in the length of the worm-like micelles and allows interesting new materials to be prepared. The rheological properties of the gels formed from solutions before heating or after a heat-cool cycle are very different. The increase in extensional viscosity, for example, potentially allows electro-spinning to be carried out.[13] As well as the opportunities that this offers, we highlight that this may have important implications for experiments carried out in labs with different operating temperatures.
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