Effects of Tenascin-C (TNC) Knockdown on Global Genes Expression
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Abstract The extracellular matrix protein tenascin-C (TNC) is up-regulated in many cancers including breast cancer. TNC is associated with tumour progression and poor prognosis. The aim of this study was to investigate the effects of TNC knockdown on global gene expression in TNC expressing invasive breast cancer cell lines. Breast cancer cell lines (MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-436) were transfected with small interfering RNA (siRNAs) targeting total TNC. cDNA microarray was used to analyse the effects of TNC knockdown on global gene expression at the mRNA level. Microarray analysis following total TNC knockdown revealed significant changes in gene expression: CREBL2, YWHAE and RRAS2 showed down regulation and QKI was specifically up-regulated. In conclusion, the silencing of TNC expression caused significant alteration on global genes expression associated with tumour progression.
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1. Introduction

The extracellular matrix (ECM) functions as a scaffold to maintain tissue and organ structure. The ECM also regulates pivotal physiological processes such as cell proliferation, migration, differentiation, growth and survival [1]. TNC is one glycoprotein, which is highly expressed in the stroma of many solid tumours and in breast cancer in particular [2]. In vitro studies have shown that TNC modulates cell signalling pathways involving Wnt, mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), focal adhesion kinase (FAK) and Rho ([3,4,5]). By analysing the effects of TNC on global gene expression using cDNA microarray, Ruiz [4] found that TNC stimulates tumour cell proliferation in glioma cells by down-regulating the expression of tropomyosin-1, subsequently leading to cell spreading by destabilisation of actin stress fibres. TNC differentially regulates genes associated with several pathways, such as endothelial receptor type A (ENDRA), MAPK, and the Wnt inhibitor dickkopf-1 (DKK1) [5]. The aims of this study were to investigate the effects of TNC knockdown on global gene expression in highly invasive breast cancer cell lines, and to show the most significant up- or down-regulation genes associated with invasion, proliferation and migration. The results of the study show that TNC silencing significantly regulates genes expression associated with tumour progression.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Cell Lines

Breast cancer cell lines (MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-436) were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (Rockville, MD, USA). MDA-MB-436 cell line was grown in RPMI with 10% FBS. MDA-MB-231 cell line was grown in DMEM containing 2 mM L-glutamine and 10% FBS (Invitrogen Life Science, Carlsbad, CA, USA).

2.2. siRNA Transfection of breast carcinoma cell lines

Breast cancer cell lines were transfected with siRNA targeting total TNC (sense and antisense siRNA targeting TNC at exon 24 are 5’CGCGAGAACUUCUACCAAAtt3’ and 5’UUUGGUAGAAGUUCUCGCGtc3) and scrambled siRNA as a negative control. Lipofectamine 2000 was used as transfection reagent (Invitrogen, UK). The transfection incubation periods were two time points (24 and 48 hours).

2.3. Reverse Transcription and Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-qPCR)

RNA was extracted from breast cancer cell pellets re-suspended in Tri Reagent. mRNA was isolated using oligo-dT Dynabeads®(Dynal, Bromborough, UK) and reverse transcription was performed as described previously ([6,7]). Total TNC expression was analysed using inventoried Taqman assays (Applied Biosystems Hs01115654_m1). The relative expression (RE) of TNC knockdown was calculated the formula:
2.4. Microarray Studies

Microarray analysis carried out on breast cancer cell lines (MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-436) transfected with siRNAs targeting total TNC. The isolated RNA using RNeasy Mini Kit were analysed by Almac Diagnostics, UK. The raw data (CEL files) were normalised and analysed using Partek® Genomics Suite™ 6.5, build 6.10.1129 (Partek Inc, USA, http://www.partek.com/). To identify the top lists of differentially expressed genes, the normalised and filtered data set was further analysed to deduce the most highly significant up- and down-regulated genes, and also to determine sample relationships based through hierarchical cluster (HCL). Prior to background correction, raw probe signal intensity values were pre-adjusted for target GC content and probe sequence. Background correction using the robust multiarray average (RMA) method [8] including quantile normalisation and median polish probe set summarisation was then performed. The effects of normalisation were then observed through the comparison of un-normalised and normalised data using Box-Plots. Following normalisation, the filtering of array data to deduce markers of significant difference was carried out according to a significance of p ≤ 0.05 with false discovery rate (FDR) and thresholded with ≥2 fold changes.

2.5. Pathway Analysis

A functional annotation cluster and pathway analysis was performed on 902 (642 up and 260 down) genes identified of siRNA transfection in both cell lines using the Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID).

2.6. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 5 for Windows (GraphPad Software, Inc., USA). All assays used to determine the effects of siRNA employed a Two-Way Analysis of Variance (Two-way ANOVA) and Post-hoc Tukey’s test in order to test the significant variance between cells transfected with TNC siRNAs compared to cells transfected to scrambled siRNA. All tests were two-sided and P < 0.05 was considered significant.

3. Results

It is known that siRNAs regulate gene expression by degradation of the targeted gene and inhibiting translation [9]. The knowledge about total TNC knockdown on gene expression on breast cancer cell lines is limited. In this study, the efficiency of total TNC siRNA compared to the scrambled siRNA control, RNA collected from the transfected cell lines at two time points post transfection (24 and 48 hrs) was analysed using RT-qPCR. Real time qPCR analysis of assays specific to total TNC (targeting exon 17-18), showed statistically significant down regulation (p<0.001) of all mRNA species at both 24 and 48 hrs (Figure 1).
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**Figure 1.** Relative expression (RE) means and (± SEM) of TNC between samples in breast cancer cell lines transfected with total TNC siRNA at 24 and 48 hrs post transfections compared to scrambled siRNA. All results are highly significant as indicated by the stars (**=p<0.001**).

3.1. Microarray Results

![Figure 2](image2.png)

**Figure 2.** Hierarchical clustering of samples identifies two main clusters, representing the two different cell lines. Within each cluster, samples were again divided by siRNA treatment and time point. Red, positive log; ratio; Green, negative log; ratio.
Table 1. Top 20 regulated genes in breast cancer cell lines after total TNC knockdown determined by GeneChip microarray

| Gene Symbol | NCBI accession number | Description | Fold change |
|-------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|
| DISC1       | NM_001012957, NM_001012958, NM_001012959, NM_001012960 | Disrupted in schizophrenia 1 | 3.7          |
| OLML3       | NM_020190             | Olfactomedin-like 3 | 4.0          |
| EMP2        | NM_001424             | Epithelial membrane protein 2 | 4.4          |
| ANAPC10     | NM_014885             | Anaphase promoting complex subunit 10 | 3.05         |
| YWHAH       | NM_003405             | Tryptophan 5-monooxygenase activation protein, eta polypeptide | 2.8          |
| SAR1B       | NM_001033503, NM_016103 | SAR1 homolog B | 2.65         |
| OLFML3      | NM_020858, NM_049666, NM_153616, NM_153617, NM_153619 | Olfactomedin-like 3 | 2.45         |
| EMP2        | NM_01424              | Epithelial membrane protein 2 | 2.8          |
| ANAPC10     | NM_014885             | Anaphase promoting complex subunit 10 | 2.8          |
| YWHAH       | NM_003405             | Tryptophan 5-monooxygenase activation protein, eta polypeptide | 2.8          |

Figure 3. Box plot of all samples pre and post normalisation. Each sample represented by one box plot. The blue box plots represent log2 ratio for raw intensity values, and the red box plots represent log2 ratio for normalised data.

Total TNC knockdown induced significant differential gene expression in highly invasive breast cancer cell lines at 48 hours post-transfection. The differential gene expression was directly proportional to transaction period as indicated by less alteration at 24 hours. Hierarchical clustering was performed on all samples using complete linkage and incorporating the log2 ratios for all markers (54,680 individual markers) on the chip. Firstly, this revealed a large natural difference in gene expression profile between the two native cell lines. Secondly, cDNA derived from the two cell lines also separated based on siRNA treatment and time point. Importantly, sample replicates at 48 hrs in each cell line and treatment also gave good reproducibility clustered together in individual clusters (Figure 2). Box-plots which identify the intensity distribution across the probe values for each sample pre and post normalisation is shown in (Figure 3). There were variations in the distribution of intensity signals among the array before normalisation, which were then corrected and became equal for all samples. Comparative analysis of total TNC siRNA to scrambled control mRNA profiles showed differential regulation of 156 overlapping in both cell lines, of which 106 (68%) were up-regulated and 44 (32%) were down-regulated (Figure 4). There were 329 and 417 up- or down-regulated genes unique to each cell line. The difference between the unique number of affected genes in each cell line and at each time point is perhaps a reflection of the alternate effects of TNC knockdown on each and the subsequent coping mechanisms adopted in response to this knockdown.

Messenger RNA (mRNA) levels regulated by more than two-fold changes top 20 overlapping genes in both breast cell lines were listed in (Table 1). Of the top 20
overlapping genes, four candidate genes (CREBL2, YWHAE, RRAS2 and QKI) were selected for further validation according to their role in cancer, particularly those associated with cancer cell invasion and proliferation.

3.2. Real Time Quantitative PCR Analysis of Differential Gene Expression

Real time quantitative (RT-qPCR) analysis of the four candidate genes confirmed the effects of total TNC knockdown on candidate gene expression and significantly correlated with GeneChip analysis of both breast cancer cell lines (MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-436) transfected with total TNC siRNA at 24 hours and 48 hours. Furthermore, RT-qPCR analyses of down-regulated genes (CREBL2, YWHAE, RRAS2) (Figure 5 A, B, C), and up-regulated genes (QKI) (Figure 5 D) showed similar results with GeneChip analysis; whereas there was some variance found with QKI. For example, there were no significant changes shown in QKI expression in both cell lines at 24 hrs post transfection.

3.3. Effects of TNC Knockdown on Genes Associated with ECM and Tumour Progression

The KEGG pathway analysis by Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID) (Table 2) identified 72 genes associated with different pathways involved in the development of cancer, in particular signalling pathways associated with the interactions between cells and ECM.
Table 2. Pathway analysis of the regulated genes associated with cancer progression

| Pathway                        | Genes count | p-value   | Genes identified                                      |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-----------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| Pathways in cancer            | 32          | 1.4 x 10^-3 | BID, E2F2, FGFR3, WNT5B, XIAP, ARNT2, EGLN3, TCF7L2, MMP1, SUFU, TGFBR2, LAMB2, PIK3CA, PIK3R5, CCNA1, AXIN2, FN1, BMP4, COL4A4, FZD8, COL4A2, BMP2, RET, TGFBR2, CDK6, SMAD2, BAD, BIRC3, COL4A6, WNT2B, PIAS2, WNT9A |
| ECM-receptor interaction      | 13          | 1.7 x 10^-3 | COL4A4, COL4A2, TNC, COL5A2, COL4A6, COL5A1, HMMR, ITGA9, LAMB2, ITGB8, RELN, TSP-1, FN1 |
| Focal adhesion                | 21          | 5.8 x 10^-3 | COL4A4, COL4A2, FLTL1, XIAP, TNC, BAD, BIRC3, COL5A2, COL5A1, COL4A6, PKA6, ITGA9, LAMB2, ITGB8, PIK3CA, PIK3R5, PDGF, RELN, TSP-1, SHC4, FN1 |
| Cell cycle                    | 7           | 7.2 x 10^-3 | YWHAE, CDC14B, SMAD2, ANAPC10, CCNA1, YWHAE, TGFBR2 |
| TGF-beta signalling           | 11          | 1.9 x 10^-3 | INHBB, BMP4, NOG, BMP2, SMAD6, FST, GDF5, TGFBR2, SMAD2, TSP-1, TGFBR2 |
| ErbB signalling               | 10          | 4.5 x 10^-3 | PAK6, ERBB4, EREG, ERBB3, PIK3CA, PIK3R5, BAD, AREG, NRG1, SHC4 |
| Apoptosis                     | 10          | 4.5 x 10^-3 | BID, XIAP, PRKAR1A, PIK3CA, PIK3R5, BAD, PRKACB, BIRC3, IL1A, NGF |

4. Discussion

TNC may regulates various genes involved in carcinogenesis as revealed by microarray analysis of total TNC knockdown in breast cancer cell lines in our study, which were differentially regulated at the mRNA level. Although the vast majority of genes that were significantly altered in cells transfected with total TNC siRNA were unique to each cell line, 156 genes were commonly altered in both. Furthermore, the majority of changes were achieved at 48 hrs post-transfection in both cell lines.

Pathway analysis showed the effects of TNC silencing on differential gene expression was associated with several pathways, which relate to the development of cancer, such as focal adhesion, cell cycle, ECM-receptor interaction, TGFB-signalling, MAPK, ErbB signalling, TP53 and apoptosis. However, the majority of the identified genes were associated with focal adhesion pathways. Cell adhesions play a fundamental role in cell biological signatures including proliferation, motility, differentiation and survival [10]. In this study, focal adhesion was the most common pathway regulated by TNC silencing, and most of the differentially regulated genes were up-regulated, suggesting that TNC silencing stimulates cell adhesion and inhibits cell proliferation and tumour progression. For example, increased level of integrin α9 (ITGA9) is associated with reduced breast cancer cell proliferation and migration [11], and integrin α8 (ITGA8) was found to be a biomarker for ovarian cancer [12].

RT-qPCR Validation of total TNC knockdown showed consistent results for CREBL2, YWHAE, RRAS2 and QKI in both breast cancer cell lines (MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-436). The information related to the role of CREBL2 in cancer is limited; however, up-regulation of CREBL2 plays an important role in multiple steps of breast cancer bone metastasis [13]. CREBL2 was found to be up-regulated during preadipocyte differentiation [14]. These findings suggest TNC may play a critical role in the suppression of adipogenesis and lipogenesis when silencing CREBL2.

YWHAE belongs to the 14-3-3 protein family [15], 14-3-3 proteins regulate several intracellular processes such as phosphorylation dependent switching and protein-protein interactions [16]. In the microarray analysis of breast cancer tissues from patients with different clinical outcomes (relapse and without relapse after 72 months from surgery), 6 genes including YWHAE were up-regulated and found to be associated with disease free and overall survival. The expression of YWHAE was associated with shorter disease free and early death confirmed by using covariates of significant factor such as oestrogen receptor (ER-) and lymph node status (LN+) [17]. These findings suggests, the knockdown of total TNC may decrease the oncogenic activity of YWHAE.

RRAS2 (TC21) was shown to regulate key physiological processes in tumour cells such as proliferation, epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT), migration, anoikis and chemotherapy resistance ([18,19,20]). RRAS2 was also found to be over-expressed in tumours such as breast, lymphomas, skin carcinomas, oral cavity and oesophageal cancers [18]. RRAS2 was found to be over expressed in 7 out of 9 breast tumour cell lines, suggesting the ability of RRAS2 over-expression in the contribution of breast cancer development [21].

QKI was suggested to have a tumour suppressor action by which it was found to be significantly reduced in tumours such as breast, bladder, testis, ovary, cervix and colon cancer with abnormal reduction in the histone variant (macro H2A1) [22]. QKI expression was also significantly reduced in gastric cancer tissues, predominantly due to promoter hyper-methylation. In addition, down regulation of QKI expression was associated with impaired differentiation, invasion, gastric lymph node metastasis, distant metastasis, advanced TNM stage and poor prognosis [23]. The increase in QKI expression by TNC knockdown, supports the hypothesis that QKI is a tumour suppressor gene.

5. Conclusion

The study has confirmed that TNC knockdown by siRNA affects global gene expression (CREBL2, YWHAE, RRAS2 and QKI) at the mRNA level. These findings could provide a new mechanism of TNC action in tumorigenesis.
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