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Abstract
Coordination between chromosome replication and segregation is essential for equal partitioning of genetic material between daughter cells. In bacteria, this is achieved through the proximity of the origin of replication, oriC, and the chromosome partitioning site, parS. We report here that in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, segregation but not replication is also controlled at the terminus region of the chromosome. Using the fluorescent repressor operator system (FROS), we investigated chromosome segregation in *P. aeruginosa* strain PAO1-UW, wherein the chromosome dimer resolution site, dif, is asymmetrically positioned relative to oriC. In these cells, segregation proceeded sequentially along the two chromosomal arms and terminated at dif. In contrast, chromosome replication terminated elsewhere, opposite from oriC. We further found two large domains on the longer arm of the chromosome, wherein DNA segregated simultaneously. Notably, GC-skew, which reflects a bias in nucleotide usage between the leading and lagging strands of the chromosome, switches polarity at the dif locus but not necessarily at the terminus of replication. These data demonstrate that termination of chromosome replication and segregation can be physically separated without adverse effects on bacterial fitness. They also reveal the critical role of the dif region in defining the global layout of the chromosome and the progression of chromosome segregation and suggest that chromosome packing adapts to its subcellular layout.

Importance
Segregation of genetic information is a central event in cellular life. In bacteria, chromosome segregation occurs concurrently with replication, sequentially along the two arms from oriC to dif. How the two processes are coordinated is unknown. We explored here chromosome segregation in an opportunistic human pathogen, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, using its strain with markedly unequal chromosomal arms. We found that replication and segregation diverge in this strain and terminate at very different locations, whereas the longer chromosomal arm folds into large domains to align itself with the shorter arm. The significance of this research is in establishing that segregation and replication of bacterial chromosomes are largely uncoupled from each other and that the large-scale structure of the chromosome adapts to its subcellular layout.
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Chromosome replication and segregation are two central events in the life of a cell. Coordination between these two processes is essential for equal genome partitioning between daughter cells. Eukaryotic cells achieve this by limiting these two events to once per cell cycle, each at its own stage. Once replication is complete, attachment of a microtubule-based mitotic spindle via a kinetochore promotes sister chromosome segregation into daughter cells (1). In bacteria, these events occur concurrently where the duplication of chromosomal loci is quickly followed by their segregation (2–5).
The key event that establishes this coordination occurs shortly after the initiation of replication and is mediated by the ParABS system. This system consists of a conserved DNA sequence, parS, the parS-binding protein ParB, and an actin-like protein, ParA (6–9). This system has been found in diverse bacteria, and bacteria with multiple chromosomes harbor unique parS–ParB pairs on each of them (10, 11). Invariably, parS is located close to the origin of replication and cannot be relocated far from it, suggesting a functional or structural interaction between chromosome replication and segregation (9, 12–14). Whether or not such an interaction exists past the initiation event remains unknown.

The terminus region of the chromosome contains another genetic element that controls chromosome dynamics. The dif site serves as the recognition sequence for XerCD, a site-specific recombinase, which ensures resolution of chromosome dimers prior to cell division. The assembly of the recombination complex is facilitated by a septum-tethered DNA translocase FtsK, which ensures the capture of the two recombining dimers in the vicinity of the septum and activates the complex for recombination (15, 16). About half of all presently sequenced eubacterial genomes contain a canonical dif site, and several alternative difs were found in other bacteria (17). Translocation of FtsK toward dif is guided by numerous KOPS (FtsK orienting polar sequences), which are distributed along both arms from oriC to dif (18, 19). The sequence of KOPS, GGGNAGGG, is asymmetrically distributed in numerous bacteria (20).

In Escherichia coli and Bacillus subtilis, dif is flanked by several polar Ter sites. The binding of Tus (in E. coli) or RTP (in B. subtilis) protein to Ter creates a roadblock to forks advancing from the opposite arm, but not its own, thereby precluding chromosome overreplication (21, 22). This arrangement of the Ter and dif sites ensures a degree of coordination between chromosome replication and dimer resolution. In these bacteria, chromosome segregation proceeds along the two arms from oriC to dif. As a result, termination of replication, XerCD recombination, and chromosome segregation all occur at the same chromosomal locus. Notably, the activity of dif is not affected by relocation of the terminus of replication, suggesting that the two processes are not biochemically coordinated (23).

Bacterial chromosomes display a nucleotide usage bias between the leading and lagging strands. In general, the leading strand has an excess of guanines, while the lagging strand contains an excess of cytosines. The resulting GC-skew changes the polarity in the vicinity of oriC and dif and has conventionally been used to map the origin and terminus of replication in diverse bacteria (24, 25). Curiously, the switch in the polarity of GC-skew occurs closer to dif than to any of the Ter sites, which points to a more convoluted relationship between chromosome replication and XerCD recombination (26).

Pseudomonas aeruginosa is an opportunistic human pathogen responsible for serious nosocomial infection in newborns, patients with impaired immunity, and burn victims and is a leading cause of morbidity in cystic fibrosis patients (27, 28). Many P. aeruginosa genomes are asymmetrically organized with respect to oriC and dif. In particular, the reference P. aeruginosa strain, PAO1, exists in two main variations. The chromosome of one of them is nearly symmetric, whereas the left chromosomal arm of the other variant is 56% longer than the right arm (Fig. 1). This asymmetry is caused by a large inversion between two rRNA operons (29, 30). To conform to a previous classification, we refer to the symmetric variant as PAO1-DSM and to the asymmetric variant as PAO1-UW (29). Both strains were derived from the original isolate PAO, which has the same genomic arrangement as PAO1-DSM (29, 31). The exact instance when the inversion occurred is unknown (30). In PAO1-DSM, chromosome segregation proceeds sequentially from parS to dif (14, 32). Here, we investigated segregation in PAO1-UW.

We found that many details of chromosome segregation are conserved between the two PAO1 strains. In particular, the chromosomes in both strains are longitudinally organized, where oriC and dif are located at opposite poles of the cell. Likewise, segregation of chromosomal loci occurs in the middle of the cell, the site of replisome localization (32). Moreover, chromosome segregation occurs sequentially from oriC to...
dif, even though one of the arms is significantly longer than the other. As a further distinction from the symmetric strain, the longer arm of the PAO1-UW chromosome contains two large domains, which are extended along the cell but segregate together. Thus, the large-scale structure of the chromosome is adapted to its asymmetric subcellular layout. In contrast, the abundance of chromosomal markers declines symmetrically along the two arms, indicating that replication terminates opposite from oriC irrespective of the location of dif. Inspection of complete annotated genomes revealed that the asymmetric location of oriC and dif is common among bacteria. In all cases, GC-skew switched polarity in the vicinity of dif but not at the expected terminus of replication.

RESULTS

Segregation of oriC and dif. To monitor chromosome segregation, cells with fluorescently labeled chromosomal loci were grown in M9 minimal medium containing 0.25% sodium citrate at 30°C. Under these conditions, the doubling time was 55 min, and at most, one new round of replication was initiated (but did not progress far) prior to cell division. Figure 2A shows representative cells with tagged oriC- and dif-proximal loci. In short cells, which correspond to early stages of the cell cycle, oriC was located in the middle of the cell and dif was located at a cell pole. As the cells grew, the oriC foci split and relocated to the quarters of the cell, whereas dif migrated toward the middle. Further growth of the cells was accompanied by another split of oriC, which was eventually followed by duplication of the dif locus. However, most cells divided prior to the second round of oriC segregation, giving rise to daughter cells with a single focus of oriC.

This pattern of separation can be also seen in Fig. 2B and C, where subcellular localization of all scored foci is plotted against the length of the cell. A single focus of oriC could be found only in cells shorter than 2.0 μm, whereas the second round of oriC segregation occurred in cells longer than 4.0 μm. Following duplication, oriC foci quickly migrated to their ultimate locations at the cell quarters (more precisely, 20% and 80% of the cell length; see also reference 32), resulting in distinct distributions centered around the midcell, cell quarters, or octet positions for single-, double-, or quadruple-focus cells, respectively (Fig. 2D). In contrast, the dif locus slowly relocated from the new pole toward the midcell and only after its duplication did its position produce a tight distribution (Fig. 2E).

To relate chromosome dynamics to cell growth and division, we next scored cells according to the presence of the septum. The existence of a septum was evaluated by visual inspection of phase-contrast images of the cells. The septum was considered to be formed when the undulation in the middle exceeded 10% of the average cell width. The midpoint for septum constriction was estimated as 2.7 μm (Fig. 3A). Thus, segregation of oriC preceded septum constriction, whereas relocation of dif toward the midcell occurred concurrently.
**FIG 2** Segregation of oriC and dif in PAO1-UW. (A) Representative images of cells with tagged oriC- and dif-proximal loci. Scale bar is 2 μm. (B) Intracellular localization of oriC. Cells were binned according to the number of fluorescent foci per cell and quantified separately. Dashed lines mark midpoints of septum constriction and the second round of oriC segregation. The distance from midcell is given as a fraction of cell length. (C) Intracellular localization of the dif-proximal tag as a function of cell length. (D, E) Subcellular distribution of oriC- and dif-proximal tags.

**P. aeruginosa chromosome segregates from oriC to dif.** Segregation patterns of all tagged chromosomal loci are summarized in Fig. 3. We first analyzed the cell length dependence of focus duplication. To this end, we binned the cells according to their lengths and separately counted those with one or two fluorescent foci. The fractions of cells having two foci were then plotted as a function of cell length for tetO tags located on the right (Fig. 3A) or left (Fig. 3B) chromosomal arms. We then quantified the formation of cells with four oriC foci, which was the only locus that underwent a second round of segregation.

**FIG 3** Segregation profiles of the tagged sites on the PAO1-UW chromosome. (A, B) Percentages of one- and two-focus cells as a function of cell size for sites located on the right (A) and left (B) chromosomal arms. The dashed line represents the second round of oriC segregation, i.e., the transition from two- to four-focus cells. Lines represent the best fit to a sigmoid function. Septum constriction is shown with a dashed black line. (C, D) Average location of each chromosomal locus at a given cell length. The lines are split at the midpoint of transition as determined in panels A and B. (E) Synchronicity of foci segregation on the two chromosomal arms. For each tagged locus, a match was found, by interpolation when needed, on the opposite arm that segregates at the same cell length.
The majority of newly born cells contained two oriC foci, indicating that segregation of oriC frequently occurs prior to cell division. This is consistent with the finding of the second round of oriC segregation in long cells, which occurs shortly before the segregation of dif. All other tested locations were present only in single copies in short cells and duplicated sequentially in accord with their genomic location for both the left and right chromosomal arms (Fig. 3A and B). The subcellular localization of the tagged loci before and after their separation is shown in panels C and D of Fig. 3. In all cases, foci duplication occurred close to the middle of the cell. This is consistent with the previously reported finding that the replisome is located at the midcell in PAO1 cells (32). Shortly after duplication, foci migrated away from the midcell (Fig. 3C and D).

Strikingly, the last segregated locus was found at 4.7 h in the vicinity of dif. The midpoint of dif segregation was at the cell length of 4.4 µm, which is significantly later than the 3.1-µm length found for the 6-h position or the 3.8-µm length found for the tetO tag at 4 h (Fig. 3E). Moreover, dif appeared as a single focus in 20% of the longest quantified cells, which further supports the notion of a delay in dif segregation. Thus, chromosome segregation in PAO1-UW proceeds unevenly along the two arms and terminates at dif.

The P. aeruginosa PAO1-UW chromosome is longitudinally oriented. We next determined whether or not the tagged chromosomal loci have a preferred location within the cell. We limited the analysis to two-focus cells where the difficulties in telling apart the new and old cell poles would not complicate the interpretation of the results. Indeed, the two cell poles appear identical in phase-contrast micrographs. As a result, foci located at the same distance from either the old or new pole appear to occupy the same position inside the cell.

For each chromosomal tag, the distribution of focal locations was approximated as a double Gaussian distribution (Fig. S2), and the center of the Gaussian distribution was adopted as the average position of a given locus. We found that both chromosomal arms were largely stretched between oriC and dif (Fig. 4). The oriC locus was found at about 70% of the distance from the midcell to the cell pole, and dif, after its duplication, was located at about 15%. The other sites were located between these two extremes. The subcellular positions of the tagged loci paralleled their genomic locations (Fig. 4C). These results reveal that the chromosome in PAO1-UW cells is longitudinally oriented; following chromosome duplication, the two sister oriC sites occupy positions close to the cell poles, the two dif sites are in the middle of the cell, and the two chromosomal arms in each sister run in parallel between oriC and dif.

The PAO1-UW chromosome segregates discontinuously along the arms. Despite their unequal lengths, the two arms of the PAO1-UW chromosome completed segregation at the same time. To gain insight into how the two segregation events are synchronized, we examined the timeline of chromosome segregation. To this end, we
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**FIG 4** Longitudinal orientation of PAO1-UW chromosome. (A, B) Location of the indicated chromosomal loci in double-focus cells. The distribution of focal locations was approximated with a double Gaussian function (Fig. S2), and the best-fit Gaussian function was plotted as a function of subcellular localization for the sites on the right (A) and left (B) chromosomal arms. Genomic location of each site is illustrated on a cartoon below the graph. (C) Average (±SD) subcellular position of each locus plotted against its genomic location.
determined the cell length at which 50% of the population had two visible TetR-cyan fluorescent protein (CFP) foci for each tagged locus and then plotted these values against the genomic location of each tag (Fig. 5A). We found that chromosome segregation proceeds at a virtually constant rate along the short chromosomal arm but is discontinuous along the long arm. Two large segments of the chromosome, located between the 8-h and 10-h and between the 6-h and 7-h genomic coordinates, segregated as two distinct units at a constant cell length. This behavior appeared to apply to the entire stretch of the chromosome since the internal 6.5-h site segregated at the same cell length as its flanks at 6 h and 7 h (Fig. 3E and 5A). In this respect, these two domains, with the lengths of 1 Mbp and 0.5 Mbp, resemble the macrodomains previously described for *E. coli* (33–35).

To further characterize chromosome dynamics within the larger of the two domains, we tagged the 8-h and 10-h loci with two different tags, *tetO* and *parSpMT1* cassettes, and visualized the two tags in the same cells using TetR-CFP and ParB-mCherry, respectively (Fig. 5B). We found that indeed the two loci duplicated at the same time (Fig. 5C). Moreover, the distribution of focal locations was virtually the same for the tags at 8 h and 10 h, indicating that the sites are located close together most of the time (Fig. 5D). However, the average distance between the two 8-h loci was smaller than that for the 10-h sites (Fig. 5D). Inspection of individual cells further substantiated this observation. In 72% of the cells, the 8-h sister foci were closer together than the 10-h sister loci (*P* = 10⁻⁵). Similar results were obtained when the two fluorescent tags were swapped at these locations (Fig. S3). Thus, although the two sites segregate at the same time, they occupy distinct positions within the cell.

**Chromosome replication terminates opposite from oriC.** We next evaluated the idea that replication of the PAO1-UW chromosome terminates at the *dif* locus. If true, this would offer a straightforward explanation as to why segregation terminates at *dif* despite asymmetry of the chromosome. To this end, we employed the genomics version of marker frequency analysis (36–38). Genomic DNA was extracted from both slow- and fast-growing PAO1-UW cells and then subjected to high-throughput se-
sequencing. The relative abundance of each genomic marker was then plotted against its genomic coordinate (Fig. 6A) and fit to a model that postulates bidirectional replication that originates at oriC (Fig. 6B). This analysis builds on the expectation that the copy number of a marker doubles upon passage of the replication fork. As a result, the most- and least-abundant sites are expected to be found at the origin and terminus of replication, respectively.

We found a symmetric distribution of site abundancies with the highest copy number located at oriC and the lowest located opposite from it, at 3.2 Mbp (Fig. 6A). For comparison, the dif site is located at 2.4 Mbp. Thus, replication terminates away from dif. A similarly symmetric distribution was observed for cells grown in LB, albeit with a higher copy number of origin-proximal sites (Fig. 6A). Thus, replication of the PA01-UW chromosome terminates opposite from oriC for both slow and fast growth conditions.

Fitting the data to a model revealed virtually identical replication rates for the clockwise and counterclockwise forks, 0.96 Mbp/μm and 0.98 Mbp/μm, respectively. In this model (see Materials and Methods for details), two populations of cells are expected, with two or four replication forks (Fig. 6B). Parameters of the model include the length of the cell at which a round of replication is initiated (L₁ and L₂) and the rates of the forks (v₁ and v₂). In addition, the cell length distribution measured under given conditions (Fig. 6B) is used to calculate the average expected frequency of each marker (see equation 2). Note that the rates of replication are expressed in the units of Mbp of DNA replicated per μm of cell growth (see equation 1). When the cell growth rate was taken into account, the best-fit rate of replication averaged to 0.94 kb/s.

For cells grown in M9 medium, replication was predicted to start only once per cell cycle at the average cell length of 4.0 μm (the value of L₂ in Fig. 6B). As a result, the newly born cells were expected to have partially replicated chromosomes (since the best-fit L₁ was shorter than the shortest cell) (Fig. 6B), in full accord with the results of microscopy studies (Fig. 2). In LB, the best-fit rates were 1.07 Mbp/μm and 1.02 Mbp/μm for the forks on the right and left chromosomal arms, respectively, but the second round of replication was predicted to occur sooner, in 2.0-μm cells (Fig. 6B), leading to a higher abundance of origin-proximal DNA (Fig. 6A).

**GC-skew switches polarity at dif, not opposite from oriC.** Bacterial genomes display a notable nucleotide usage bias between the two DNA strands presumably due to a higher retention frequency of guanines rather than cytosines in the leading DNA strand.
strand (24, 25). This bias has been used to map the sites of initiation and termination of chromosome replication (39–41). In PAO1-UW, the positive DNA strand between oriC and 2.43 Mbp is enriched in guanines, whereas a higher frequency of cytosines is found in the rest of the positive strand of the chromosome (Fig. 7A). Notably, the switch in GC-skew polarity occurs within 15 kb from the dif site and 682 kb away from the replication termination site (Fig. 7A). Thus, GC-skew serves as a poor predictor of termination of chromosome replication in P. aeruginosa.

We next evaluated how common asymmetric genomes are in bacteria. As of 15 January 2018, the NCBI database contained 8,730 fully assembled genomes composed of 9,357 chromosomes, 4,055 of which contained a canonical dif sequence. To map oriC in these chromosomes, we examined their GC-skew profiles. Three thousand three hundred eighty-nine of these chromosomes consisted of two clearly defined domains, one each with a high and low guanine content. The remaining chromosomes contained multiple alternating GC-skew domains or highly asymmetric domains, suggestive of extensive chromosome rearrangements or, perhaps, multiple origins of replication. These genomes were excluded from further analysis.

On average, dif was found opposite from the predicted oriC gene. However, the distribution of dif locations was broad, with the width of the distribution spanning 1.5% of the chromosomal length (Fig. 7B). Chromosomes where dif was located more than 5% of the chromosomal length away from its expected location opposite from oriC
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**FIG 7** (A) Distribution of dif locations in the bacterial genomes GC-skew (black) and GGC-skew (red), with oriC, dif, and the replication terminus (top) and locations of KOPS sites (bottom) in the chromosome of PAO1-UW indicated. (B) Numbers of chromosomes with the indicated genomic separation between dif and the replication terminus (left histogram), the GC switch and the terminus (middle), and dif and the GC switch (right). The data were fit to a Gaussian distribution. Chromosomes where dif was located more than 5% away from the predicted terminus of replication were considered asymmetric. (C) Comparison of genomic locations, measured as a percentage of the chromosome length, of dif and the predicted terminus of replication in symmetric and asymmetric genomes. (D) Comparison of the proximities of the GC switch to dif and the expected terminus of replication.

---

strands (24, 25). This bias has been used to map the sites of initiation and termination of chromosome replication (39–41). In PAO1-UW, the positive DNA strand between oriC and 2.43 Mbp is enriched in guanines, whereas a higher frequency of cytosines is found in the rest of the positive strand of the chromosome (Fig. 7A). Notably, the switch in GC-skew polarity occurs within 15 kb from the dif site and 682 kb away from the replication termination site (Fig. 7A). Thus, GC-skew serves as a poor predictor of termination of chromosome replication in P. aeruginosa.

We next evaluated how common asymmetric genomes are in bacteria. As of 15 January 2018, the NCBI database contained 8,730 fully assembled genomes composed of 9,357 chromosomes, 4,055 of which contained a canonical dif sequence. To map oriC in these chromosomes, we examined their GC-skew profiles. Three thousand three hundred eighty-nine of these chromosomes consisted of two clearly defined domains, one each with a high and low guanine content. The remaining chromosomes contained multiple alternating GC-skew domains or highly asymmetric domains, suggestive of extensive chromosome rearrangements or, perhaps, multiple origins of replication. These genomes were excluded from further analysis.

On average, dif was found opposite from the predicted oriC gene. However, the distribution of dif locations was broad, with the width of the distribution spanning 1.5% of the chromosomal length (Fig. 7B). Chromosomes where dif was located more than 5% of the chromosomal length away from its expected location opposite from oriC
were defined as asymmetric. This cutoff comprises 3.3 standard deviations of the Gaussian fit, with a >99% confidence interval (Fig. 7B). Out of 2,027 analyzed chromosomes, 113 were classified as asymmetric (Fig. 7B and C).

The switch in GC-skew polarity occurred much closer to dif than to the expected terminus of replication. The average distance from the GC-switch to dif was 13 kb as opposed to 71 kb for the terminus of replication (Fig. 7B). Notably, this pattern held true in both symmetric and asymmetric chromosomes (Fig. 7D), and the distribution of distances between the GC-switch and dif was indistinguishable between the two types of chromosomes (Fig. S4).

The GC-skew virtually mirrors the distribution of KOPS in PAO1-UW (Fig. 7A, bottom). Counting from oriC to dif, we found, respectively, 153 and 34 KOPS on the positive and negative strands of the short chromosome arm, and 271 and 57 KOPS on the negative and positive strands of the long arm. Given the high guanine content of KOPS, this bias contributes 595 and 1,070 excessive guanines to the overall GC-skew in the short and long arms, respectively. These numbers comprise only small fractions of the observed guanine excesses: 45,815 for the short arm and 81,869 for the long arm. Thus, the GC-skew and the KOPS bias appear to reflect different biological phenomena.

**DISCUSSION**

We examined here segregation of a chromosome with unequal arms. This analysis revealed several features that remained masked in symmetric chromosomes. In particular, it became clear that chromosome replication and segregation are largely uncoupled from each other. Indeed, termination of replication and segregation of the PAO1-UW chromosome occurred at two different locations (Fig. 6). Based on marker frequency analysis, replication was predicted to terminate opposite from oriC. The abundance of sites on the two chromosomal arms was symmetric, suggesting that the replication forks move at virtually the same rate along the left and right arms. A similar conclusion has been previously reached from the analysis of the forks in *E. coli*, *B. subtilis*, and *Caulobacter crescentus* (36, 42–44). In contrast, chromosome segregation ended at the dif locus, which is separated by 0.8 Mbp from the predicted terminus of replication (Fig. 3E). Clearly, chromosome segregation was delayed at the dif locus, lagging behind its replication. Notably, an uncoupling of chromosome replication and recombination at dif has been previously observed in a laboratory strain with a large chromosomal inversion (23). Our data reveal that such strains are fairly widespread and do not have obvious fitness defects.

Notably, chromosome segregation was delayed not only at dif but at many other locations. For example, the 6 o’clock position, which coincides with the terminus of replication, segregated simultaneously with the 3 o’clock site found in the middle of the right chromosomal arms (Fig. 3E). Thus, the asymmetry of segregation propagated along both chromosomal arms. This asymmetry becomes especially clear in the comparison with the previous study of the symmetric strain PAO1-DSM, where segregation was proceeding continuously along both arms from oriC to dif (32). In this sense, the dif site appeared special and dictated the overall dynamics of chromosome segregation.

In further support of this view, we found that the global chromosome layout parallels its segregation order. Two sites, oriC and dif, were found at the opposite extremes of the chromosome, whereas the rest of the DNA was stretched between the two sites (Fig. 4). The average position of a site correlated with its genomic location (Fig. 4C). Likewise, segregation also proceeded from oriC to dif, sometimes discontinuously but otherwise in sync with the genomic location of the sites. Such a layout supports the view that chromosome segregation is driven by segregation of the replication origins, which is followed by a passive peeling apart of the emerging sister chromosomes. This order, however, is interrupted along the way (Fig. 3E), where local chromosome structure, or perhaps intertwining and tethering of the two arms, delays the progress of segregation along the shorter arms.

A striking feature of the PAO1-UW chromosome is the existence of two large domains, 1 Mbp and 0.5 Mbp long, which segregate discontinuously. DNA sites within
these domains segregate at the same time but are located adjacent to each other (Fig. 5). The domains are reminiscent of the SNAP regions (45) or perhaps macrodomains described for E. coli, wherein DNA sites display unique dynamics and regulation consistent with tighter DNA packing within the macrodomains (33–35). Unsurprisingly, these domains are found in the longer arm of the PAO1-UW chromosome, which requires extra packing of DNA. Accordingly, no such domains were observed in the symmetric PAO1-DSM chromosomes (32). Thus, the mesoscale structure of the chromosome adjusts itself to accommodate its global layout. It is tempting to continue this line of reasoning and postulate that the local chromosome structure and, by extension, its activity are also affected by its global-scale subcellular organization.

Another intriguing implication of these findings is that the P. aeruginosa chromosome might be tethered to the cellular matrix at two locations, specifically, in the vicinity of oriC and dif, whereas the other interactions are circumstantial and have little bearing on cell division. The molecular organization of these tethers is yet to be determined. At the oriC end, the attachment is apparently mediated by the ParABS system, which interacts with the chromosome and, sometimes, via extended tethers, the cell poles (8, 10, 46, 47). Which system is responsible for the attachment of the dif region is far less clear. The most likely known candidate for this role is the XerCD-FtsK system. Indeed, FtsK localizes to the septum and could, in principle, tether the chromosome to it (48, 49). This model, however, would need to explain how FtsK remains attached to dif-proximal DNA even after chromosome dimer resolution (Fig. 2C). In E. coli, the MatP protein is required for a delay in segregation of the Ter macrodomain (50). No such system has yet been found in P. aeruginosa.

This study offers new insights into the anatomy of GC-skew. Bacterial genomes display a virtually universal bias in the distribution of guanines and cytosines between the leading and lagging DNA strands. The origins of this phenomenon remain unknown. In a typical genome, GC-skew switches polarity in the vicinity of the origin of replication and dif. We show here that the same holds true even in asymmetric genomes. In such genomes, GC-skew does not correlate with the terminus of chromosome replication (Fig. 7). Clearly, an alternative mechanism for the link between GC-skew and dif needs to be proposed.

Such a mechanism could be an evolutionary pressure that acts to align the terminus of chromosome replication and the site of chromosome dimer resolution. Given that most of the chromosomes that carry a dif site are symmetric (Fig. 7B), such an arrangement appears to carry an evolutionary advantage. This mechanism, however, does not readily explain why the separation of dif from the GC-switch is severalfold smaller than its distance from the replication terminus (Fig. 7B). The evolution does not seem to have enough precision to ensure the observed average 13-kb separation between dif and the GC-switch. It seems more likely that at some point in time, dif was indeed located at the terminus of replication, perhaps at the time it was acquired by the chromosome. In this view, most of the GC-skew would already have been introduced into bacterial genomes. The subsequent genetic drift would be sufficiently strong to separate the replication terminus and dif but not enough to reshuffle the GC-skew.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Strains and plasmids.** Pseudomonas aeruginosa strains that were used in this study are listed in Table S1 in the supplemental material. PAO1 (ATCC 47085) was used as the wild-type strain. PCR analysis of the strain revealed an inversion between rrnA and rrnB genes (Fig. S1), which qualifies it as PAO1-UW (29). To tag defined locations in the PAO1-UW chromosome, approximately 500 bp of chromosomal segments at the desired locations were amplified and inserted between HindIII and KpnI sites of the pP30DFRT-lacUV5 plasmid. This plasmid carries a cassette of approximately 140 tetO sequences (32, 51). The tetO cassette was then inserted into designated locations on the chromosome (see Tables S2 and S3 for the list of the used plasmids and primers) using homologous recombination (52).

Plasmid pPSV35Ap-TetR-CFP was constructed by removing yGFP-ParBT1 from pPSV35Ap-TetR-Cfp-yGfp-ParBT1 (32) using overlap extension PCR. The resulting plasmid carries an in-frame DNA segment encoding the tetracycline repressor protein (TetR) fused with the CFP at its C terminus. Expression of this chimera is controlled by an isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG)-inducible lacUV5 promoter (32).
In order to visualize the chromosomal tags, plasmid pPSV35Ap-TetR-CFP was introduced into the target cells using electroporation, and transformants were selected on LB agar plates.

To visualize two different segments of the chromosome simultaneously in live cells, the PAO1 UW chromosome was tagged with both a par^BmtI sequence and tetO arrays (32) and transformed with a pPSV35Ap-TetR-CFP-mCherry-ParBT1 plasmid. pPSV35Ap-TetR-CFP-mCherry-ParBT1 was constructed from pPSV35Ap-TetR-Cfp-gfp-ParBT1 by replacing the GFP version of ParB^BmtI with that of the mCherry version.

**Bacterial growth and microscopy.** *P. aeruginosa* cells carrying chromosomal tags and the pPSV35Ap-TetR-CFP or pPSV35Ap-TetR-CFP-mCherry-ParBT1 plasmid were grown overnight at 37°C in M9 medium supplemented with 0.25% sodium citrate and a cocktail of trace ions (53). Whenever necessary, carbenicillin and gentamicin were added at 200 μg/ml and 30 μg/ml, respectively. The cells were then transferred into fresh M9 medium supplemented with 0.25% sodium citrate at an OD600 of 0.01 and incubated at 30°C. After 3 h of bacterial growth, expression of the fluorescent proteins was induced by the addition of 0.05 mM IPTG. Two hours after induction, the cells were collected at an OD600 of 0.1 and deposited onto an agarose pad (1% agarose in M9 medium supplemented with 0.25% sodium citrate) and observed using a fluorescence microscope (Olympus BX50 equipped with a BX-FLA mercury light source, a 100×, 1.43-numerical-aperture oil immersion objective). Fluorescent and phase-contrast images of cells were collected using a Spot Insight QE camera and analyzed using Nucleus (54).

**Replication profiling using marker frequency analysis.** Cells were grown at 30°C in M9 medium supplemented with 0.25% sodium citrate or in LB and harvested at an OD600 of 0.1 (M9 medium) or 0.6 (LB). The genomic DNA was then isolated using a GenElute bacterial genomic DNA kit (Sigma-Aldrich). The concentration and purity of isolated DNA were determined using a NanoDrop 2000c spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific), and the cell size distribution was measured using phase-contrast microscopy. High-throughput sequencing of the *P. aeruginosa* genome was performed at the sequencing facility at OUHSC.

The relative abundancies of each DNA site determined using deep sequencing were binned and fit to a model of bidirectional replication using a home-written MATLAB script. The model postulates that each chromosomal arm contains two chromosomal arms, which advance at a constant rate. The copy number of a given site with a genomic location x was calculated as follows:

\[
    n(x, L) = \begin{cases} 
    4, & x < v_1(L - L_1) \text{ or } (1 - x) < v_2(L - L_1) \\
    2, & x < v_1(L - L_1) \text{ or } (1 - x) < v_2(L - L_1) \\
    1, & \text{otherwise} 
\end{cases} \tag{1}
\]

where \( v_1 \) and \( v_2 \) are the velocities of the forks on the right and left arms, respectively, \( L \) is the cell length, and \( L_1 \) and \( L_2 \) are the parameters that specify at which cell length a given round of replication starts. The copy number of each site was then averaged over the entire cell population as follows:

\[
    \langle n(x) \rangle = \int n(x, L)p(L)\,dL \tag{2}
\]

where \( p(L) \) is the probability of finding cells with a given length. This probability was determined by measuring the distribution of cell lengths at a given condition (Fig. 6B).

**Bioinformatic analysis.** The analysis of genome organization was carried out for 8,730 complete genomes comprised of 9,537 chromosomes, which were downloaded from the NCBI database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/browse#!/prokaryotes/). A BLAST search among them, using the word-size of 11 nucleotides, identified 4,055 chromosomes, with a site homologous to the consensus \( \text{dif} \) sequence, AATTCGCATAATGTATATTATGTTAAAT (55). For these genomes, the GC-skew was calculated as (G−C)/(G+C), where G and C represent the number of guanines and cytosines, respectively, in a 1-kb window containing the site on the positive DNA strand. Three thousand four hundred twenty-one chromosomes contained two well-defined domains, one each with a positive and a negative GC-skew. Thirty-two of these chromosomes were disregarded because of their high asymmetry, when one of the domains occupied more than 75% of the entire chromosome. Out of these, 2,027 chromosomes contained a sequence with no more than 3 substitutions compared to the consensus \( \text{dif} \). Six chromosomes contained two hits with fewer than 3 substitutions compared to the consensus sequence. Only one \( \text{dif} \) sequence with the highest similarity to the consensus was retained. For the remaining 2,027 chromosomes, the cumulative GC-skew, GCC, was computed, and the minimum and maximum on the CGC-skew profile, CGCmin and CGCmax, were determined. According to the previous analysis (56), the minimum on the CGC profile coincides with the location of \( \text{oriC} \), and the maximum on the CGC profile marks the position of \( \text{dif} \) and the terminus of DNA replication.
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