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The Nd\textsubscript{1-x}Ce\textsubscript{x}CoIn\textsubscript{5} alloys evolve from local moment magnetism (x = 0) to heavy fermion superconductivity (x = 1). Magnetic order is observed over a broad range of x. For a substantial range of x (0.83 ≤ x ≤ 0.95) in the temperature - composition phase diagram we find that superconductivity may coexist with spin - density wave magnetic order at the Fermi surface. We show that a delicate balance between superconducting and magnetic instabilities can be reversibly tuned by both the Ce/Nd ratio and magnetic field, offering a new and unique model electronic system.

PACS numbers: 74.70.Tx, 71.27.+a, 75.30.Mb, 74.62.Bf

I. INTRODUCTION

In contrast to simple metals such as Pb, phase diagrams of unconventional superconductors often show a multitude of electronic phases of matter. In particular, magnetic order is ubiquitous in cuprate oxides and heavy fermion superconductor (HFSC) phase diagrams alike, as well as in some ruthenates and cobaltates. The proximity, competition, or coexistence of two distinct types of electronic order at the Fermi surface raised speculations that their driving mechanisms could be closely related.\textsuperscript{1,3,4} Exotic superconductivity in heavy fermion materials\textsuperscript{5} usually appears near the quantum critical point\textsuperscript{6} where the magnetic ordering temperature is tuned to T = 0 by a variety of external parameters. For example, annealing, composition or magnetic field.\textsuperscript{7} However, the most frequently used tuning parameter in HFSC is pressure.\textsuperscript{2} This is in variance with the cuprate family where the interplay of superconductivity and magnetism is balanced by adjusting the in plane charge density of the CuO\textsubscript{2} layers. The discovery of pressure - induced superconductivity in CeIn\textsubscript{5}\textsuperscript{8} stimulated exploratory synthesis of AuCu\textsubscript{3} superstructures by Fisk, where the magnetic entropy might be further suppressed by crystallographic arguments.\textsuperscript{9} Indeed, superconductivity in CeRhIn\textsubscript{5}\textsuperscript{9} and CeIrIn\textsubscript{5}\textsuperscript{10} was soon discovered, as well as coexistence of heavy fermion superconductivity and magnetism in CeRh\textsubscript{1−x}Ir\textsubscript{x}In\textsubscript{5}\textsuperscript{11,12} and CeCoIn\textsubscript{5}\textsuperscript{13} the primo compound in the 115 family of HFSC, has also been recently doped to an antiferromagnetic ground state by Cd substitution on the In (1) site.\textsuperscript{12,14} Despite a few examples of structurally tuned superconductivity in HFSC, the lack of a predictive theory suggests that there is still no clear understanding of how the delicate interplay of various degrees of freedom in these materials stabilizes superconducting, or a magnetic ground state.

The large quasiparticle mass enhancement in CeCoIn\textsubscript{5} is reflected in two large nearly cylindrical pieces of the Fermi surface and smaller 3D hole pockets.\textsuperscript{15} Due to the pronounced K - space inhomogeneity, hybridization of the 3d electrons of Co with the 5p electrons of In results in a small density of states at the Fermi energy, implying partially quasi - 2D electronic structure.\textsuperscript{16} By exploring a well defined non - hybridizing local moment such as Nd, we were able to continuously tune the coupling in the lattice and consequently quasiparticle mass enhancement between 4f ions and conduction electrons. As a result, we have obtained a rich phase diagram. The magnetic ground state in Nd\textsubscript{1−x}Ce\textsubscript{x}CoIn\textsubscript{5} smoothly evolves from local moment magnetism (LMM) on the Nd - rich side to HFSC on the Ce - rich side. Superconductivity coexists with other forms of electronic order, most likely magnetic in nature, in samples for Nd concentrations between x = 0.78 and x = 0.98. We demonstrate that the delicate balance between coexisting ordered states can be smoothly tuned by magnetic fields near the magnetic - superconducting boundary.

II. METHODS

Single crystals of Nd\textsubscript{1−x}Ce\textsubscript{x}CoIn\textsubscript{5} were grown from an excess In flux. Magnetic susceptibility, specific heat and resistivity measurements were performed in a Quantum Design MPMS XL 5 and PPMS - 9 instruments respectively. Single crystals were thoroughly ground to a fine powder for structural measurements. High resolution synchrotron powder X - ray patterns were taken at beamline X7A of National Synchrotron Light Source at Brookhaven National Laboratory. Monochromatic synchrotron x-ray and gas-proportional position-sensitive detector were used to measure the powder diffraction data. Rietveld refinements were performed using GSAS\textsuperscript{17} The samples were manually aligned to measure the magnetization, heat capacity, or resistivity for fields applied along the appropriate axis. M/H polycrystalline averages were calculated as $\chi(T)=\frac{2\chi_{ab}(T)+\chi_{c}(T)}{3}$ and were used to obtain the high temperature effective moments. Temperature dependent magnetic susceptibility was used to estimate the relative ratio of Ce/Nd ions. At high temperatures ranging from 150 K to 350 K, H/M curves were fit with Curie - Weiss (CW) law.
magnetically ordered second phases, such as NdIn, in accordance with Vegard’s law (Fig. 2b).

The lattice parameters increase smoothly with Ce substitution, and we were able to follow the characteristic peak in $M/H$ (signature of the onset of the magnetic order) down to lowest temperature of our magnetic measurement, $T = 1.8$ K for $x = 0.6$. Curie - Weiss analysis of polycrystalline magnetic susceptibility average at high temperatures showed at most a 4% deviation from the nominal ratio of Ce moments (Table 1). As expected, the highest uncertainty in the nominal concentration of Ce ($x$) is in the middle of the alloy series, for the highest chemical disorder. Combined together, these results demonstrate that Ce uniformly substitutes Nd in the entire doping range of Nd$_{1-x}$Ce$_x$CoIn$_5$, with a maximum $\Delta x = 0.04$.

III. STRUCTURAL CHARACTERIZATION AND PHASE PURITY

Since both NdCoIn$_5$ and CeCoIn$_5$ are grown using identical temperature profiles and an identical ratio of starting materials Nd (Ce), Co and In, one would expect a smooth change of the lattice parameters in the Nd$_{1-x}$Ce$_x$CoIn$_5$ alloy series.

Indeed, this is confirmed by high resolution structural measurements which showed that the samples crystallized in tetragonal HoCoGa$_5$ structure without any additional peaks introduced by Ce alloying (Fig. 1). Selected regions of the powder X-ray spectra showed monotonic evolution and uniform sharpness independent of $x$ for both [111] and [003] peaks. This implies that Ce uniformly substitutes Nd with the increase of $x$ and that our samples are indeed alloys rather than a mixture of intergrown compounds NdCoIn$_5$ and CeCoIn$_5$. The lattice parameters increase smoothly with Ce substitution in accordance with Vegard’s law (Fig. 2b).

Magnetic susceptibility measurements did not detect magnetically ordered second phases, such as NdIn$_2$ or CeIn$_3$ (Fig. 3). The cubic compounds CeIn$_3$ and NdIn$_3$ order antiferromagnetically at 10 K and 6.3 K respectively. Magnetic ordering in NdCoIn$_5$ is depressed smoothly with the increase of Ce, and we were able to follow the characteristic peak in $M/H$ (signature of the onset of the magnetic order) down to lowest temperature of our magnetic measurement, $T = 1.8$ K for $x = 0.6$. Curie - Weiss analysis of polycrystalline magnetic susceptibility average at high temperatures showed at most a 4% deviation from the nominal ratio of Ce$^{3+}$ and Nd$^{3+}$ moments (Table 1). As expected, the highest uncertainty in the nominal concentration of Ce ($x$) is in the middle of the alloy series, for the highest chemical disorder. Combined together, these results demonstrate that Ce uniformly substitutes Nd in the entire doping range of Nd$_{1-x}$Ce$_x$CoIn$_5$, with a maximum $\Delta x = 0.04$.

IV. RESULTS

M/H data of Nd$_{1-x}$Ce$_x$CoIn$_5$ alloy series show substantial anisotropy (Fig. 3) at low temperatures and signature of magnetic order at $T_N = 9$ K. For field applied along the $a$-axis, $|H||\hat{a}|$, the magnetic transition is rather broad. On the other hand for $H||\hat{c}$, the transition is relatively sharp implying complex magnetic order.

The thermodynamic properties of Nd$_{1-x}$Ce$_x$CoIn$_5$ are shown in Fig. 4. The magnetic ordering temperature in NdCoIn$_5$ is smoothly depressed from $T_N = 9$ K with increased Ce concentration to 2.0 K by $x = 0.6$ where $(C-C_{latt})/T$ shows a broad peak (Fig. 4a). Interestingly, the magnetic ordering transition becomes sharper as $x$ is further tuned towards the superconducting boundary.
TABLE I: High temperature magnetic moment in Nd$_{1-x}$Ce$_x$CoIn$_5$ alloy series

| x  | Measured $\mu_{\text{eff}}(\mu_B)$ | Expected $\mu_{\text{eff}}(\mu_B)$ | Error (%) |
|----|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| 1  | 2.59(1)                          | 2.54                               | 2         |
| 0.85 | 2.67(6)                           | 2.69                               | 0.8       |
| 0.83 | 2.73(1)                           | 2.71                               | 0.8       |
| 0.8  | 2.72(1)                           | 2.75                               | 1         |
| 0.6  | 2.83(1)                           | 2.96                               | 4         |
| 0.5  | 2.96(1)                           | 3.07                               | 3         |
| 0.2  | 3.37(2)                           | 3.40                               | 0.8       |
| 1    | 3.7(1)                            | 3.62                               | 2         |

FIG. 3: Magnetic properties of Nd$_{1-x}$Ce$_x$CoIn$_5$ alloy series in 1kOe for a) H $\parallel \hat{a}$ and b) H $\parallel \hat{c}$ axis. Magnetic susceptibility shows decrease with Ce substitution, with easy axis along the crystalline $\hat{c}$ axis. The characteristic signature of antiferromagnetic order is observed down to $x = 0.6$ above $T = 1.8 K$ for $\chi_C(T)$. The smooth evolution of $\chi_C(T)$ with x could indicate that magnetic fluctuation spectrum along the $\hat{c}$ axis is more relevant for tuning of the ground state.

for $x = 0.7$. At $x \geq 0.78$ superconductivity emerges. With increasing $x$, the $T_C$ increases to the bulk $T_C = 2.3 K$ of CeCoIn$_5$. The magnetic entropy released upon emergence of LMM (Fig. 4a inset) scales with Nd concentration up to $x = 0.4$, implying that Ce ions do not play a direct part in the formation of the LMM ground state. In the HFSC state for $0.78 \leq x \leq 1$, the magnetic entropy at 5K is essentially invariant to changes of Ce/Nd ratio. However, a hallmark of heavy fermion magnetism is observed for $x \geq 0.5$. This can be seen on the example of $x = 0.6$ where only approximately $\sim 0.2$ Rln2 is released below the magnetic ordering transition at 2 K. In the superconducting region at the Ce-rich side (Fig. 4b) additional thermodynamic anomalies A, B and C emerge in $(C-C_{latt})/T$ below the superconducting transition $T_C$ for $x = 0.95$, $x = 0.9$, but above $T_C$ for $x = 0.83$.

By examining electronic transport we obtain further evidence for the presence of distinct types of electronic matter in the phase diagram. Temperature dependent electrical resistivities normalized to their value at 300K (Fig. 5 a,b) show rather small loss of the spin disorder scattering below Neel temperature in NdCoIn$_5$. This is consistent with the LMM - type of order in rare earth intermetallic compounds where local moments do not become part of Fermi surface upon cooling. By $x = 0.5$ the electronic scattering strongly increases due to a single ion Kondo - type interaction and a logarithmic contribution of hybridizing Ce$^{3+}$ ions submerged in the Fermi sea. Eventually for $x \geq 0.5$ a broad coherence peak develops in the lattice. This marks the emergence of an additional Kondo energy scale arising from the coherence in the heavy fermion lattice. As expected, the coherence temperature $T_{COH}$ increases with the increase of Ce$^{3+}$ ions. Looking from the Ce - rich side, the onset of the superconducting transition in CeCoIn$_5$ is depressed to 0.9 K and magnetic scattering increases by $x = 0.83$ (Fig. 4b).

V. DISCUSSION

Heat capacity in the HFSC state for $x \leq 0.95$ reveals two thermodynamic anomalies. Only one $(C-C_{latt})/T$ discontinuity, though, corresponds to the superconducting transition (Fig. 4b). One possible explanation for this would involve sample inhomogeneity and a distribution of the doping concentration. This however is very unlikely due to the low residual resistivity, the clean high resolution synchrotron powder X - ray diffraction pattern with uniform sharpness of peaks for the whole range of $x$. 

FIG. 4: Heat capacity and resistivity of Nd$_{1-x}$Ce$_x$CoIn$_5$ alloy series for $x = 0, 0.05, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.85, 1$. The smooth evolution of $\chi_C(T)$ with $x$ could indicate that magnetic fluctuation spectrum along the $\hat{c}$ axis is more relevant for tuning of the ground state.

TABLE II: Heat capacity in the HFSC state for $x = 0.95$

| Temperature (K) | Heat capacity (mJ/mol) |
|----------------|------------------------|
| 5              | 0.95                   |
| 10             | 1.5                   |
| 50             | 3.2                   |

FIG. 4: Heat capacity of Nd$_{1-x}$Ce$_x$CoIn$_5$ alloy series. The smooth evolution of $\chi_C(T)$ with $x$ could indicate that magnetic fluctuation spectrum along the $\hat{c}$ axis is more relevant for tuning of the ground state.
and the compositional dependence of lattice parameters \( \hat{a} \) and \( \hat{b} \) in accordance with Vegard’s law (Fig. 1). Furthermore, one would expect the highest degree of metallurgical disorder around the middle of the doping range, for \( x = 0.5 \), and not near the Ce end. Finally, in superconducting materials with metallic type of bonding, metallurgical inhomogeneity would shift the bulk \( T_C \) of the whole sample, not only a fraction of the sample. For example, in CeCu\(_{2}\)Si\(_2\) this is indeed seen in high resolution studies of the local structure.\(^{25}\) Moreover, as seen in the heat capacity data for \( x = 0.1 \), the two thermodynamic anomalies are comparable in size. Thus secondary phases would have been easily detected in the analysis of powder X-ray spectra. Furthermore, as will be evident in Fig. 7, the smooth evolution of \( T_C(x) \) and \( T_M(x) \) argues against real space inhomogeneity. Our results imply that magnetic and superconducting phases coexist in the phase diagram, similarly to the situation in Cd-doping of CeCoIn\(_5\).\(^{14}\)

An alternative explanation involves two superconducting energy scales on different parts of the Fermi surface and negligible interband scattering. This could explain low temperature anomalies \( A, B \) for \( x = 0.95 \) and \( x = 0.9 \) since zero resistivity is achieved at the high temperature transition and is maintained through the low temperature transition. However, this scenario cannot explain thermodynamic anomaly \( C \) above the superconducting transition in Nd\(_{0.17}\)Ce\(_{0.83}\)CoIn\(_5\) (Fig. 4b). We speculate that low temperature discontinuities \( A, B \), high temperature discontinuity \( C \) as well as sharp transition in \( [C-C_{latt}]/T \) (Fig. 4a)) for \( x = 0.7 \) may be connected with some form of Fermi surface instability appearing concurrently on a different part of the Fermi surface. In what follows we show that this instability as well as the boundary between superconductivity and magnetism can be tuned by a magnetic field, in addition to composition \( x \). Application of magnetic field reverses remarkable behavior seen with progressive decrease in the Ce/Nd ratio, tuning the boundary between the two ordered states.

The magnetic field depresses both \( T_C \) and \( B \) in Nd\(_{0.2}\)Ce\(_{0.8}\)CoIn\(_5\) (Fig 6). The suppression is rather anisotropic. For a field applied along the \( \hat{c} \) axis superconducting anomaly in heat capacity and increasingly

\begin{figure}[h]
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\includegraphics[width=\linewidth]{fig4.png}
\caption{(a): Thermodynamic properties of Nd\(_{1-x}\)Ce\(_x\)CoIn\(_5\). Specific heat of LaCoIn\(_5\) was taken as the estimate of the lattice specific heat \( C_{latt} \). Magnetic entropy (inset) obtained from integral \( (C-C_{latt})/T \) in the same temperature range. (b): Thermodynamic and transport properties in the superconducting state, measured on the same sample for each Ce concentration \( x \) minus the lattice. The lattice resistivity was estimated by taking values of electrical resistivity of LaCoIn\(_5\). With decrease of the Ce/Nd ratio, the superconducting temperature \( T_C \) is suppressed. The additional thermodynamic anomaly increases in temperature (A \( \rightarrow \) B \( \rightarrow \) C), as seen in the heat capacity data (full symbols). In-plane resistivity data (open symbols) are shown to identify superconducting transition.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\linewidth]{fig5.png}
\caption{Results of resistivity measurements on Nd\(_{1-x}\)Ce\(_x\)CoIn\(_5\) with current parallel to the [100]. (a): Resistivity curves normalized to their value at 300 K. (b): Magnetic contribution to the electrical resistivity \( (\rho - \rho_{lattice}) \). The lattice resistivity was estimated by taking values of electrical resistivity for LaCoIn\(_5\).}
\end{figure}
negative magnetoresistance for $\mathcal{H}$ taken on the same sample. The crossover from positive to different nature of critical magnetic fluctuations below $\mathcal{H} = 50$ kOe and above $\mathcal{H}_C$. Superconducting transition $\mathcal{T}_C$ corresponds to thermodynamic anomaly at higher temperature (below $\mathcal{H}_C$) and at lower temperature (above $\mathcal{H}_C$) for $\mathcal{H} \parallel \hat{a}$-axis. A small feature in resistivity around 1 K for $\mathcal{H} = 70$ kOe could indicate opening of the partial gap at the Fermi surface in higher fields.

broad resistivity transition are suppressed to 0.5 K in 40 kOe. The anomaly $B$ is observed only below 10 kOe, merging into a single thermodynamic transition for higher fields above 0.4 K, the lowest temperature of our measurement. On the other hand, for a field applied along $\hat{a}$-axis both thermodynamic anomalies show quite different field dependence. Superconducting $\mathcal{T}_C$ at 30 kOe - defined by the simultaneous onset of zero resistivity and the start of the heat capacity anomaly - is suppressed to 1.4 K. The anomaly $B$ is observed below the $\mathcal{T}_C$, just as we observe in $\mathcal{H} = 50$ kOe. In contrast to $\mathcal{H} = 0$ and $\mathcal{H} = 30$ kOe, zero resistivity in $\mathcal{H} = 50$ kOe corresponds to midpoint, rather than the onset of the heat capacity anomaly. In $\mathcal{H} = 70$ kOe, Nd$_{0.1}$Ce$_{0.9}$CoIn$_5$ electronic matter becomes equivalent to the $x = 0.83$ sample at $\mathcal{H} = 0$ kOe: the main heat capacity transition is now above superconductivity. Electronic scattering for field applied in tetragonal plane (Fig. 3b) first increases and then decreases in the normal state as the magnetic field is tuned through $\mathcal{H}_C = 50$ kOe. The resistivity transition in $\mathcal{H} \geq \mathcal{H}_C$ is sharper implying a magnetic field induced phase transition for $\mathcal{H} \parallel \hat{a}$-axis. The size of the superconducting anomaly in (C-C$_{lat}$) decreases in field relative to the size of additional thermodynamic anomaly $B$ below $\mathcal{T}_C$ at $\mathcal{H} = 0$ for field applied along both crystalline axes. There is no loss of spin disorder scattering at the main heat capacity transition for both $x = 0.1$ sample in $\mathcal{H} = 70$ kOe (Fig. 6b) and for $x = 0.83$ sample in $\mathcal{H} = 0$ (Fig. 4b). Instead, a very small upturn is observed in Fig. 4(b) ($x = 0.83, \mathcal{H} = 0$) and in Fig. 6(b) ($x = 0.1, \mathcal{H} = 70$ kOe) in resistivity (denoted by orange diamonds), a signature of the partial gapping of the Fermi surface.

This is reminiscent of an itinerant spin-density-wave type - transition based on Fermi-surface nesting in a heavy-electron band observed in Ce(Ru$_{0.85}$Rh$_{0.15}$)$_2$Si$_2$. It appears that Nd$_{1-x}$Ce$_x$CoIn$_5$ can support simultaneously multiple electronic ordering states. Coexistence of magnetism in superconductivity in Ce 115 superconductors has been induced by pressure in CeRhIn$_5$ or by composition in CeRh$_{1-x}$Ir$_x$In$_5$, CeCo(In$_{1-x}$Cd$_x$)$_5$ and CeRh$_{1-x}$Co$_x$In$_5$. The low temperature specific heat and resistivity data taken in Nd$_{0.1}$Ce$_{0.9}$CoIn$_5$ at $\mathcal{H} = 0$ and in Nd$_{0.17}$Ce$_{0.83}$CoIn$_5$ at $\mathcal{H} = 70$ kOe imply that $\mathcal{T}_C$ and $\mathcal{T}_M$ involve instabilities at different parts of the Fermi surface. The balance between these states is tuned only by degree of hybridization in the Kondo Lattice.
(Ce/Nd ratio) and by magnetic field. Though the presence of additional magnetic transition made it difficult to estimate accurate values for the electronic heat capacity coefficient $\gamma$, by taking $\gamma = [C - C_{\text{tatt}}(T)]/T$ above $T_C$, we observe that the large jump at ambient pressure in the specific heat of CeCoIn$_5$ $\Delta C/C(T_C) = 4.35$ is reduced as more Nd enters into the matrix. For $x = 0.98$ we observe $\Delta C/C(T_C) = 3.79$ and by $x = 0.1$ this ratio decreases to 1.76. Nevertheless, our results may indicate general trend that increased Nd concentration decreases electron-boson coupling strength $^{23}$.

In the standard paradigm, the suppression of heavy fermion antiferromagnetic order leads to superconductivity around the quantum critical point $^{24,25}$. One aspect of the reduced Ce/Nd ratio in the lattice is a negative pressure. Using the bulk modulus of CeCoIn$_5$ $B = 76$ GPa $^{26}$ we estimate that a rigid shift of the lattice parameters for $x = 0.83$ and $x = 0.9$ corresponds to 0.03 GPa of applied pressure. Given that $\Delta T_C = 0.9 \text{ K}$ (50%) between these two concentrations, this result implies that chemical pressure effects are less relevant than electronic tuning through increased hybridization $^{27}$. This result applies not only at the magnetic - superconducting boundary where the contribution is only up to 2%, but also for the whole range of $x$ since changes of the ground state in Nd$_{1-x}$Ce$_x$CoIn$_5$ are far more dramatic than what was observed in pressure - induced changes of the ground state in CeCoIn$_5$ $^{27}$.

It would be instructive to compare our results with the reported coexistence of superconductivity and magnetism in CeRhIn$_5$ under high pressure and to field-induced magnetic order in the superconducting state of La$_{1.9}$Sr$_{0.1}$CuO$_4$ $^{28,29,30}$. In both materials, as well as in CeCoIn$_5$, there are nodes in the superconducting gap. Delicate balance between antiferromagnetic and superconducting coupling near a quantum phase transition is smoothly tuned by magnetic field which generates field-induced vortices that suppress superconductivity and enhance magnetic correlations $^{24,25}$. In addition to this, in Nd$_{1-x}$Ce$_x$CoIn$_5$ suppression of d-wave superconductivity is certainly influenced by disorder, as seen in large increase in residual resistivity (Fig.5) $^{23}$.

The evolution of superconducting $T_C(x)$ with the increase of Nd is similar to Ce$_{1-x}$La$_x$CoIn$_5$ $^{31,32}$. This is consistent with dominant potential scattering and insensitivity of $T_C$ to magnetic configuration of the rare earth ion, as observed in Ce$_{1-x}$Rh$_x$CoIn$_5$ $^{13}$.

VI. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have reported on Nd substitution in CeCoIn$_5$. Nd substitution results in the rich phase diagram, controlled apparently only by Ce/Nd ratio, i.e. by the level of 4f- conduction electron coupling. Ground state of this alloy series evolves from LMM to HFSC state via magnetically ordered heavy fermion ground state. Small concentration of Nd (5%) induces magnetic order deep in the superconducting state. We have demonstrated magnetic field tuning of the delicate balance between superconducting and magnetic ground state at the magnetic - superconducting boundary. We invite further investigation into possible microscopic coexistence of magnetic and superconducting order parameters by NMR and neutron scattering measurements. Possible existence of short range order (spin glass) between local moment magnetism and heavy fermion superconductor near the middle of alloy series would offer model electronic system with rich interplay of superconductivity and magnetism comparable to high-$T_C$ cuprate oxide superconductors.
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