Repeated Measurements of Cardiac Biomarkers in Atrial Fibrillation and Validation of the ABC Stroke Score Over Time
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Background—Cardiac biomarkers are independent risk markers in atrial fibrillation, and the novel biomarker–based ABC stroke score (age, biomarkers, and clinical history of prior stroke) was recently shown to improve the prediction of stroke risk in patients with atrial fibrillation. Our aim was to investigate the short-term variability of the cardiac biomarkers and evaluate whether the ABC stroke risk score provides a stable short-term risk estimate.

Methods and Results—According to the study protocol, samples were obtained at entry and also at 2 months in 4796 patients with atrial fibrillation followed for a median of 1.8 years in the ARISTOTLE (Apixaban for Reduction in Stroke and Other Thromboembolic Events in Atrial Fibrillation) trial. Cardiac troponin I, cardiac troponin T, and N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide were measured with high-sensitivity immunoassays. Associations with outcomes were evaluated by Cox regression. C indices and calibration plots were used to evaluate the ABC stroke score at 2 months. The average changes in biomarker levels during 2 months were small (median change cardiac troponin T +2.8%, troponin I +2.0%, and N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide +13.5%) and within-subject correlation was high (all ≥0.82). Repeated measurement of cardiac biomarkers provided some incremental prognostic value for mortality but not for stroke when combined with clinical risk factors and baseline levels of the biomarkers. Based on 8702 person-years of follow-up and 96 stroke/systemic embolic events, the ABC stroke score at 2 months achieved a similar C index of 0.70 (95% CI, 0.65–0.76) as compared with 0.70 (95% CI, 0.65–0.75) at baseline. The ABC stroke score remained well calibrated using predefined risk classes.

Conclusions—In patients with stable atrial fibrillation, the variability of the cardiac biomarkers and the biomarker-based ABC stroke score during 2 months is small. The prognostic information by the ABC stroke score remains consistent and well calibrated with similar good predictive performance if patients are retested after 2 months.
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Atrial fibrillation (AF) is the most common sustained arrhythmia and constitutes a major risk factor for stroke and mortality. Cardiac biomarkers, troponin and natriuretic peptides, have repeatedly been shown to provide independent prognostic information for the risk of stroke, vascular events, and mortality in patients with AF treated with anticoagulation.3–6 Recently, a biomarker-based risk model consisting of the 4 strongest predictors for stroke in patients with AF was developed, the ABC stroke score (age, biomarkers [troponin and NT-proBNP (N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide)], and clinical history of prior stroke).7 The ABC stroke score was derived in 14 701 patients, validated in 2
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external cohorts of 1400 and 8356 patients achieving C indices between 0.65 and 0.68, and showed superiority over contemporary risk scores.7,8 However, so far, there is no information on the variability over time for these biomarkers and the associations between changed levels and clinical events in patients with stable AF. Therefore, the utility of repeated measurements for reevaluation of the ABC stroke score within different time frames needs to be evaluated because changes of the biomarker levels and the ABC score may be potentially useful for monitoring disease progress and the effects of different treatments (eg, for hypertension, heart failure, and rate control) both in clinical trials and in the routine management of patients with AF.

In the present prespecified serial biomarkers substudy within the ARISTOTLE (Apixaban for Reduction in Stroke and Other Thromboembolic Events in Atrial Fibrillation) trial, we investigated the changes in the concentrations of the cardiac biomarkers, cardiac troponin (cTn I (cTnI), cTn T (cTnT), and NT-proBNP, at 2 months and whether the added information improved the prognostication of outcomes in patients with AF treated with anticoagulation. The availability of repeated measurements also provided an opportunity to determine whether the novel biomarker–based ABC stroke risk score provided a stable estimate over a period of time.

Methods

The ARISTOTLE Trial

The details of the ARISTOTLE trial have been previously published.9,10 Briefly, ARISTOTLE was a double blind, double-dummy, randomized clinical trial that enrolled 18 201 patients with AF and at least one CHADS2 risk factor (congestive heart failure, hypertension, age ≥75 years, diabetes mellitus, prior stroke) for stroke or systemic embolism. Patients were randomized to warfarin (n=9081) or apixaban (n=9120). The primary end point was stroke or systemic embolism. The median duration of follow-up was 1.8 years.

The biomarker serial substudy aimed to include 5000 patients with events after 2 months stratified for each biomarker. ARISTOTLE indicates Apixaban for Reduction in Stroke and Other Thromboembolic Events in Atrial Fibrillation; cTnI, cardiac troponin I; cTnT, cardiac troponin T; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; SE, systemic embolism.

End Points

The end points in this substudy were new events after 2 months and included the primary efficacy outcome in the ARISTOTLE trial (stroke or systemic embolism) and the secondary efficacy outcomes (all-cause mortality and cardiovascular death [excluding bleeding and other noncardiac causes]). A blinded clinical events committee using prespecified criteria adjudicated all end points.10

Biochemical Methods

At randomization and at 2 months, blood samples were collected in vacutainer tubes containing EDTA and were centrifuged immediately. Plasma was frozen in aliquots and stored at −70℃ until analyzed centrally at the Uppsala Clinical Research Center. The cTnI concentration was determined with high-sensitivity sandwich immunoassays on the ARCHITECT i1000SR (Abbott Diagnostics). The dynamic range is 0.7 to 50 000 ng/L, limit of detection in the Uppsala Clinical Research Center laboratory 1.3 ng/L, and the 99th percentile upper reference limit for healthy persons 23 ng/L.11 The coefficient of variation (CV) for this cTnI assay is 10% at 3.3 with a local CV of 7% at 21 ng/L. The cTnT concentration was determined with high-sensitivity sandwich immunoassays on the Cobas Analytics e601 Immunoanalyzers (Roche Diagnostics). The measuring range is 3 to 10 000 ng/L, limit of detection 5 ng/L, and the 99th percentile upper reference limit for healthy persons 14 ng/L.12 The CV is 10% at 13 ng/L with a local CV of 3% at 27 ng/L. NT-proBNP concentration was determined on the Cobas Analytics e601 Immunoanalyzers (Roche Diagnostics). The limit of detection is 5 ng/L. The analytical range is 20 to
35,000 ng/L. The upper reference limit is 269 in men and 391 ng/L in women. The CV is <10% at 30 ng/L with a local CV of 3% at 27 ng/L.

**Statistical Analyses**

The analyses included randomized patients with biomarkers available at randomization and at 2 months follow-up. The biomarkers were natural log transformed before analysis. Associations between baseline characteristics and cTn or NT-proBNP at 2 months were examined in linear regression models where the biomarker at 2 months was a response

## Table 1. Demographics and Clinical Characteristics at Baseline

|                      | N=4796 |
|----------------------|--------|
| Age, median (Q1–Q3), y | 70.0 (63.0–76.0) |
| Male sex             | 3156 (65.8%) |
| Region, No. (%)      |        |
| Asia/Pacific         | 684 (14.3) |
| Europe               | 2353 (49.1) |
| Latin America        | 419 (8.7)  |
| North America        | 1340 (27.9) |
| Weight, median (Q1–Q3), kg | 83.5 (71.3–96.6) |
| Renal function, median (Q1–Q3), mL/min (n=4779) | 75.5 (58.5–96.7) |
| Systolic blood pressure, median (Q1–Q3) (n=4788) | 130.0 (120–140) |
| Baseline heart rate, median (Q1–Q3), beats per min (n=4785) | 75.0 (65–85) |
| Smoker, No. (%)      | 424 (8.8) |
| Myocardial infarction, No. (%) | 650 (13.6) |
| Prior stroke or TIA, No. (%) | 853 (17.8) |
| Heart failure, No. (%) | 1721 (35.9) |
| Diabetes mellitus, No. (%) | 1194 (24.9) |
| Hypertension, No. (%) | 4187 (87.3) |
| Permanent/persistent atrial fibrillation, No. (%) | 4022 (83.9) |
| Prior VKA status, naive, No. (%) | 1802 (37.6) |
| ACEI or ARB, No. (%) | 3397 (70.8) |
| Aspirin, No. (%)     | 1401 (29.2) |
| hs Troponin I, median (Q1–Q3), ng/L (n=4744) | 5.1 (3–9) |
| hs Troponin T, median (Q1–Q3), ng/L (n=4791) | 10.8 (8–16) |
| GDF-15, median (Q1–Q3), ng/L (n=4764) | 1363.5 (978–2038) |
| NT-proBNP, median (Q1–Q3), ng/L (n=4791) | 713.0 (366–1231) |

ACEI indicates angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; GDF, growth differentiation factor; hs, high-sensitivity; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; TIA, transient ischemic attack; VKA, vitamin K antagonist.

**Figure 2.** Bland-Altman plot of the ratio between the 2-month level and the baseline level against the geometric mean of the 2 observations for cardiac troponin I (cTnI) (A), cardiac troponin T (cTnT) (B), and N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) (C). The dotted lines represent mean and 95% CI. A, Association between continuous cTnI levels at baseline (x axis) and cardiovascular mortality by group according to changes of cTnI at 2 months (lines). B, Association between continuous cTnT levels at baseline (x axis) and cardiovascular mortality by group according to changes of cTnT at 2 months (lines). C, Association between continuous NT-proBNP levels at baseline (x axis) and cardiovascular mortality by group according to changes of NT-proBNP at 2 months (lines).
variable and the baseline characteristic, randomized treatment, and biomarker at baseline were explanatory variables. Geometric means, calculated by antilogarithms of the model-adjusted means, were compared. Correlations between biomarker changes were evaluated using the Spearman rank correlation coefficient. The intraindividual CV was estimated by pooling individual CVs.

Analysis of clinical outcomes included all events from the month 2 measurement until the efficacy cutoff date. For patients with events between randomization and month 2, the first event occurring after month 2 was counted as the first event.

The associations between cTn or NT-proBNP and events after 2 months were investigated using Cox proportional hazards regression adjusted for study treatment and baseline levels of the respective biomarker. A relative change value of 50% was used in order to capture clinically significant changes.15–17 The increased discriminative value of month 2 measurement of each biomarker was estimated by comparing Harrell’s C index before and after adding the month 2 value to a model with the baseline value (both in continuous form), randomized treatment, other biomarkers (cystatin C and either cTnI or NT-proBNP), and established risk factors (for stroke or systemic embolism outcomes collected at baseline (age, sex, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, heart failure, previous stroke/systemic embolism/transient ischemic attack, and history of vascular disease). For all-cause mortality and cardiovascular death, systolic blood pressure and smoking status were also included. Restricted cubic splines were used to allow for nonlinearities in the association between continuous variables and outcomes. Likelihood ratio tests were performed to evaluate whether the global model fit improved after the addition of the month 2 measurements of each biomarker.

### Table 2. Baseline Characteristic With Significant Associations With the Continuous cTnI (ng/L) at Month 2 Adjusted for Baseline cTnI Level and Randomized Treatment

| Variable | Variable Value at Baseline | No. | Geometric Mean | Ratio of Geometric Means (95% CI) | P Value* |
|----------|---------------------------|-----|----------------|----------------------------------|---------|
| Diabetes mellitus | No | 3495 | 6.0 | | <0.0001 |
| | Yes | 1153 | 6.3 | 1.06 (1.03–1.09) | |
| Prior myocardial infarction | No | 4013 | 6.0 | | 0.0010 |
| | Yes | 635 | 6.4 | 1.06 (1.03–1.10) | |
| Prior stroke or systemic embolism | No | 3784 | 6.0 | | 0.0120 |
| | Yes | 864 | 6.3 | 1.04 (1.01–1.08) | |
| Prior warfarin | No | 1740 | 6.0 | | 0.0325 |
| | Yes | 2908 | 6.1 | 1.03 (1.00–1.06) | |
| Vascular disease | No | 3454 | 6.0 | | 0.0008 |
| | Yes | 1194 | 6.30 | 1.05 (1.02–1.08) | |
| Renal function | Cystatin C (quartiles), mg/L | ≤0.82 | 1179 | 6.0 | | 0.0106 |
| | 0.83–0.99 | 1123 | 5.9 | 0.99 (0.95–1.04) | |
| | 1.0–1.2 | 1180 | 6.1 | 1.02 (0.98–1.07) | |
| | >1.2 | 1160 | 6.3 | 1.05 (1.01–1.10) | |
| NT-proBNP (quartiles), ng/L | ≤363 | 1158 | 4.6 | | 0.5973 |
| | 364–713 | 1174 | 5.4 | 1.00 (0.96–1.04) | |
| | 714–1250 | 1183 | 6.2 | 1.02 (0.97–1.06) | |
| | >1250 | 1128 | 8.9 | 1.02 (0.97–1.07) | |
| Troponin T (quartiles), ng/L | ≤7.5 | 1188 | 5.8 | | 0.0007 |
| | 7.6–11.0 | 1205 | 6.0 | 1.02 (0.98–1.06) | |
| | 11.1–16.7 | 1180 | 6.2 | 1.06 (1.01–1.10) | |
| | >16.7 | 1072 | 6.4 | 1.09 (1.04–1.15) | |

cTn indicates cardiac troponin I; NT-proBNP indicates N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide.

*P value from a linear regression model with the natural logarithm of the month 2 biomarker as the outcome variable and log baseline biomarker level and specific baseline characteristic as the independent variables.
Table 3. Baseline Characteristic With Significant Associations With the Continuous cTnT (ng/L) at Month 2 Adjusted for Baseline cTnT Level and Randomized Treatment

| Variable                        | Variable Value at Baseline | No.   | Geometric Mean | Ratio of Geometric Means (95% CI) | P Value* |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-------|----------------|----------------------------------|----------|
| Age category, y                 | <65                        | 1464  | 11.1           |                                  | <0.0001  |
|                                 | 65–74                      | 1892  | 11.7           | 1.05 (1.03–1.08)                 |          |
|                                 | ≥75                        | 1434  | 12.3           | 1.11 (1.08–1.13)                 |          |
| Atrial fibrillation             | Paroxysmal                 | 773   | 11.4           |                                  | 0.0225   |
|                                 | Persistent/permanent       | 4016  | 11.7           | 1.03 (1.00–1.05)                 |          |
| Current smoker                  | No                         | 4366  | 11.7           |                                  | 0.0397   |
|                                 | Yes                        | 423   | 11.4           | 0.97 (0.94–1.00)                 |          |
| Diabetes mellitus               | No                         | 3598  | 11.5           |                                  | <0.0001  |
|                                 | Yes                        | 1192  | 12.1           | 1.05 (1.03–1.07)                 |          |
| Prior warfarin use              | No                         | 1796  | 11.4           |                                  | <0.0001  |
|                                 | Yes                        | 2994  | 11.9           | 1.04 (1.02–1.06)                 |          |
| Sex                             | Female                     | 1638  | 11.4           |                                  | 0.0001   |
|                                 | Male                       | 3152  | 11.8           | 1.03 (1.02–1.05)                 |          |
| Vascular disease                | No                         | 3565  | 11.6           |                                  | 0.0030   |
|                                 | Yes                        | 1225  | 11.9           | 1.03 (1.01–1.05)                 |          |
| Renal function                  | Cystatin C (quartiles), mg/L |       |                |                                  |          |
|                                 | ≤0.82                      | 1208  | 11.9           |                                  | 0.0274   |
|                                 | 0.83–0.99                  | 1159  | 11.5           | 0.97 (0.94–0.99)                 |          |
|                                 | 1.0–1.2                    | 1224  | 11.6           | 0.98 (0.95–1.01)                 |          |
|                                 | >1.2                       | 1196  | 11.8           | 0.99 (0.96–1.02)                 |          |
| NT-proBNP (quartiles), ng/L     | ≤363                       | 1188  | 11.6           |                                  | 0.7181   |
|                                 | 364–713                    | 1208  | 11.7           | 1.00 (0.98–1.03)                 |          |
|                                 | 714–1250                   | 1224  | 11.7           | 1.01 (0.98–1.04)                 |          |
|                                 | >1250                      | 1168  | 11.8           | 1.01 (0.98–1.04)                 |          |
| Troponin I (quartiles), ng/L    | ≤3.3                       | 1302  | 11.2           |                                  | <0.0001  |
|                                 | 3.4–5.4                    | 1222  | 11.6           | 1.03 (1.00–1.06)                 |          |
|                                 | 5.5–10.1                   | 1173  | 12.1           | 1.07 (1.04–1.10)                 |          |
|                                 | >10.1                      | 1043  | 12.0           | 1.06 (1.03–1.10)                 |          |

cTnT indicates cardiac troponin T; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide.
*P value from a linear regression model with the natural logarithm of the month 2 biomarker as outcome variable and log baseline biomarker level and the specific baseline characteristic as independent variables.

The validation of the ABC stroke score at 2 months was performed by applying the prediction model using the measurements at 2 months. Discrimination was assessed by Harrell’s C index and by comparing Kaplan–Meier curves and hazard ratios between the predefined risk categories. Clinical usefulness and net benefit were estimated with decision curve analysis. Calibration was assessed by comparing observed 1-year event rates with predictions from the final model. A P value <0.05 from 2-sided tests was considered statistically significant. Since the analyses were exploratory, the P values were not adjusted for multiple comparisons. All analyses were performed at Uppsala Clinical Research Center using SAS version 9.4 for Windows (SAS Institute Inc) and R version 3.3 (The R Foundation).

Results

Baseline Characteristics

Baseline characteristics, medications, and concentration of the cardiac biomarkers for the serial biomarker cohort are shown in Table 1. At consent, the median age of patients was 70 years (25th percentile–75th percentile, 63–76), 3156 (65.8%) were men, and 3693 (77%) were from Europe/North America.
Changes of cTn and NT-proBNP Levels Over Time in AF

The median cTnI level at entry was 5.1 ng/L (25th–75th percentile, 3.2–9.1 ng/L). At 2 months’ follow-up, median levels were slightly higher at 5.2 ng/L (3.4–8.9 ng/L), geometric mean change was 1.02 (95% CI, 1.00–1.03), CV was 7.6%, and within-subject correlation was 0.87. For cTnT, the median level at entry was 10.8 ng/L (7.5–16.1 ng/L) and after 2 months was 11.1 ng/L (7.9–16.9 ng/L), geometric mean change was 1.03 (95% CI, 1.02–1.04), CV was 3.6%, and within-subject correlation was 0.88. Median NT-proBNP level at entry was 702 ng/L (362–1215 ng/L) and 797 ng/L (392–1327 ng/L) after 2 months, geometric mean change was 1.10 (95% CI, 1.08–1.12), CV was 14.4%, and within-subject correlation was 0.82. The agreements between the biomarker concentrations at baseline and after 2 months are shown in Figure 2A through 2C.

There was a positive correlation between changes in cTnI and cTnT concentrations, Spearman $\rho=0.45$. The correlation between changes in NT-proBNP and changes in cTn was lower (cTn $\rho=0.15$ and cTnT $\rho=0.17$).

The randomized treatment group (apixaban or warfarin) had no statistically significant relationship with the change in biomarker concentration at 2 months’ follow-up (all $P \geq 0.1272$).

Determinants of Increased Levels of cTn and NT-proBNP at Follow-Up

The effect of baseline characteristics on cTn and NT-proBNP levels at 2 months’ follow-up was assessed with linear regression adjusted for baseline levels of each respective biomarker (Table 2–4). For both cTnI and cTnT, presence of coronary artery disease and diabetes mellitus as well as baseline troponin were associated with higher levels at 2 months’ follow-up. Furthermore, prior stroke and prior myocardial infarction were associated with higher cTnI values in particular at follow-up, while higher age, male sex, and lower hematocrit level were associated with higher cTnT levels at follow-up. Higher NT-proBNP levels at 2 months were

| Variable | Variable Value at Baseline | No. | Geometric Mean | Ratio of Geometric Means (95% CI) | $P$ Value* |
|----------|---------------------------|-----|----------------|----------------------------------|------------|
| Age category, y | <65 | 1277 | 619.9 | | <0.0001 |
| | 65–74 | 1635 | 667.9 | 1.08 (1.02–1.13) | |
| | ≥75 | 1256 | 747.8 | 1.21 (1.14–1.27) | |
| Atrial fibrillation | Paroxysmal | 700 | 545.3 | | <0.0001 |
| | Persistent/permanent | 3467 | 705.3 | 1.29 (1.22–1.37) | |
| Heart failure | No | 2891 | 667.9 | | 0.0778 |
| | Yes | 1277 | 692.7 | 1.04 (1.00–1.08) | |
| Sex | Female | 1460 | 696.8 | | 0.0150 |
| | Male | 2708 | 664.2 | 0.95 (0.92–0.99) | |
| Renal function Cystatin C (quartiles), mg/L | ≤0.82 | 1081 | 713.3 | | <0.0001 |
| | 0.83–0.99 | 1007 | 643.7 | 0.90 (0.85–0.96) | |
| | 1.0–1.2 | 1057 | 635.2 | 0.89 (0.84–0.95) | |
| | >1.2 | 1022 | 712.6 | 1.00 (0.93–1.07) | |
| Troponin I (quartiles), ng/L | ≤3.3 | 1131 | 618.5 | | <0.0001 |
| | 3.4–5.4 | 1060 | 676.7 | 1.09 (1.03–1.16) | |
| | 5.5–10.1 | 1034 | 701.5 | 1.13 (1.06–1.21) | |
| | >10.1 | 907 | 717.0 | 1.16 (1.08–1.24) | |
| Troponin T (quartiles), ng/L | ≤7.5 | 1078 | 629.5 | | <0.0001 |
| | 7.5–11.0 | 1088 | 657.4 | 1.04 (0.98–1.11) | |
| | >11.0–16.7 | 1041 | 687.6 | 1.09 (1.02–1.16) | |
| | >16.7 | 959 | 738.1 | 1.17 (1.10–1.25) | |

NT-proBNP indicates N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide.
mainly affected by age, type of AF (persistent or permanent), and baseline cTn levels.

### Changes of Cardiac Biomarker Levels Over Time and Association With Cardiovascular Risk

In Cox regression analyses adjusted for baseline concentrations of NT-proBNP and randomized treatment, there was a positive association between risk of stroke or systemic embolism and NT-proBNP at month 2 (hazard ratio per 50% increase 1.24; 95% CI, 1.06–1.45 [P=0.0076]). For cTnI and cTnT, the association was attenuated (hazard ratio, 1.12 [95% CI, 0.98–1.29] and hazard ratio, 1.21 [95% CI, 0.98–1.49]), respectively. However, the association was more pronounced for cardiovascular mortality for NT-proBNP as well as the cTn biomarkers (Table 5). The association between cardiovascular mortality and changes of cTn and NT-proBNP levels at 2 months according to continuous level of the biomarker at baseline is illustrated in Figure 3A through 3C.

### Prognostic Discrimination Using Repeated Measurement of Cardiac Biomarkers

According to C indices, all 3 biomarkers showed similar discriminatory properties at baseline and after 2 months for the risks of stroke and cardiovascular mortality during follow-up after the 2-month visit. Addition of information from the repeated cTn or NT-proBNP measurement to baseline level on top of established risk factors and other baseline biomarkers (NT-proBNP and cystatin C for cTn; cTn and cystatin C for the NT-proBNP-15 analyses) did not improve the prognostication sufficiently for stroke or systemic embolism (no improvement in C index and/or P≥0.1031). For cardiovascular death, repeated measurement of the cardiac biomarkers at 2 months improved the C index slightly (cTnI 0.78 to 0.80 and cTnT 0.77 to 0.78; NT-proBNP 0.78 to 0.79 with statistically significant model improvement [P≤0.0006 for all]).

### Discrimination and Calibration of the ABC Stroke Score at 2-Month Follow-Up

The newly developed biomarker-based stroke risk model, the ABC stroke score, was assessed in this cohort with a total of 8702 person-years of follow-up and a total of 96 adjudicated stroke or systemic embolic events after the blood sampling at 2 months. Using information from the cardiac biomarker levels at 2 months yielded a C index for the ABC stroke score of 0.72 (95% CI, 0.66–0.77) including cTnI and 0.70 (95% CI, 0.65–0.76) including cTnT. The C index for the ABC stroke score using data at baseline was almost identical, with cTnI 0.71 (95% CI, 0.66–0.76) and cTnT 0.70 (95% CI, 0.65–0.75). The similarity between the predicted risks of ABC stroke score at baseline and after 2 months is illustrated in Figure 4. Most predictions, 94.7%, differed less than a factor of 1.5 between the 2 measurement periods (Figure 4). The ABC stroke score at 2 months showed good calibration according to predefined risk classes (Figure 5). Similarly, decision curve analysis showed consistent net benefit for clinical usefulness when reassessing the ABC stroke score at 2 months compared with the ABC stroke score at baseline (Figure 6).

### Table 5. Relation to Cardiovascular Outcomes Per 50% Increase in cTnT and NT-proBNP Month 2 Levels

| Biomarker/Outcome | No. | Events (%/y) | HR (95% CI) | P Value |
|-------------------|-----|--------------|-------------|---------|
| **NT-proBNP**     |     |              |             |         |
| Stroke or systemic embolism | 4168 | 87 (1.15) | 1.24 (1.06–1.45) | 0.0076 |
| Death             | 4168 | 237 (3.09)  | 1.30 (1.18–1.43) | <0.0001 |
| Cardiovascular death | 4168 | 114 (1.49) | 1.36 (1.18–1.57) | <0.0001 |
| **cTnI**          |     |              |             |         |
| Stroke or systemic embolism | 4648 | 94 (1.11)  | 1.12 (0.98–1.29) | 0.1079 |
| Death             | 4648 | 265 (3.10)  | 1.18 (1.10–1.28) | <0.0001 |
| Cardiovascular death | 4648 | 125 (1.46) | 1.24 (1.13–1.37) | <0.0001 |
| **cTnT**          |     |              |             |         |
| Stroke or systemic embolism | 4790 | 96 (1.11)  | 1.21 (0.98–1.49) | 0.0745 |
| Death             | 4790 | 271 (3.08)  | 1.40 (1.26–1.56) | <0.0001 |
| Cardiovascular death | 4790 | 128 (1.46) | 1.50 (1.30–1.74) | <0.0001 |

Hazard ratios (HRs) per 50% increase in biomarker level at month 2 with 95% CIs and P values from Cox proportional hazards model adjusted for randomized treatment and baseline biomarker (continuous, log-transformed). cTnI indicates cardiac troponin I; cTnT, cardiac troponin T; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide.
Discussion

The main findings in this study were that the changes of cardiac biomarker levels in patients with stable AF during 2 months were small and that there was low intraintividual variability. Increasing cTn levels were associated with higher baseline cTn levels, renal dysfunction, and cardiovascular comorbidities. Increasing levels of NT-proBNP were mainly associated with higher baseline cTn levels, older age, and persistent or permanent AF. In patients with AF in stable condition, repeated measurement of the cardiac biomarkers cTn and NT-proBNP provided some incremental prognostic value for mortality but not for stroke when combined with other prognostic biomarkers and established clinical risk factors. The biomarker-based ABC stroke risk score showed a reliable and stable predictive performance and remained well calibrated when reevaluated after 2 months.

Repeated Measurements of cTn and Cardiovascular Risk

It has been demonstrated in patients with AF that cTn measured with high-sensitivity assays is independently associated with the risk of stroke, mortality, and major bleeding events.4,5 We recently reported that a detectable level of cTnI during follow-up as measured with a conventional assay (AccuTnI assay, Beckman Coulter) was associated with an increased risk of stroke and cardiovascular events.21 By using high-sensitivity cTn assays in the present study, in which more than 93% have detectable levels, a more detailed analysis was possible concerning changes of troponin during a short period in a clinical setting. In both the RE-LY (Randomized
Evaluation of Long-Term Anticoagulation Therapy) and the present study, repeated measurement of cTnI improved risk stratification in patients with AF for cardiovascular mortality. However, there was seemingly a discrepancy between these studies concerning the findings for stroke or systemic embolism. In the RE-LY study, but not in the present serial markers substudy, a significant association between cTn and stroke or systemic embolism was seen. The differences are reasonably attributable to the vastly improved risk prediction gained by the initial cTnI measurement at baseline by using high-sensitivity assays compared with the conventional troponin assays. This was apparent as the C index for stroke or systemic embolism improved from 0.59 to 0.63 in the RE-LY study using the conventional cTnI assay, compared with a C index from 0.69 to 0.70 using high-sensitivity assays in the present study.

The present findings demonstrating additive prognostic information of repeat measurement for the risk of cardiovascular mortality in patients with AF are in accordance with findings in previous studies with other patient populations, eg, those with coronary artery disease and heart failure and in apparently healthy adults, in which serial measurements of cTn have been shown to be powerful markers of mortality and morbidity. Our findings in the present study therefore extend these observations to a novel population and validate previous results by displaying the prognostic importance of a repeated measurement of cTn in patients with AF related to mortality risk.

Figure 5. Cumulative event rate of stroke or systemic embolism by predicted 1-year ABC (age, biomarkers, and clinical history of prior stroke) risk (cardiac troponin T) group (green=0–1%, blue=1–2%, and red >2%) for the baseline (dashed lines) and temporal validation (solid lines) data. The figure shows the cumulative event rate within risk classes for the ABC stroke model evaluated independently at the 2 time points. It illustrates that the model performs equally well when it is applied using biomarker values at baseline as when it is applied using biomarker values at 2 months. SE indicates systemic embolism.
The additive value of repeated measurement was overall similar for cTnI and cTnT, with some potential differences of risks at different concentrations. There are some structural differences between cTnI and cTnT as well as differences in the assay properties that may contribute to these dissimilarities and provide insights into the moderate correlation between the 2 cTn subtypes.25 The specific mechanism of elevated cTn in patients with AF is not known. It has been hypothesized that it might be related to changes in microvascular blood flow and myocyte ischemia and dysfunction, and possibly linked to underlying processes of atrial inflammation and fibrosis in AF.26,27 Even though the average change during 2 months for cTn was small, there were some differences between the determinants for increasing levels of cTnI and cTnT. Recently, similar patterns between cTnI and cTnT have also been described in AF, although these findings are restricted by analyses based on single measurements.28

Repeated Measurement of NT-proBNP and Cardiovascular Risk

NT-proBNP has demonstrated a strong independent association with risk of stroke and mortality in patients with AF.3,6 Recently, we also reported that an elevated level during follow-up was associated with an increased risk of stroke and cardiovascular events.21 In the present study, using repeated measurement, we demonstrated that the variability of NT-proBNP over time is low, and that risk stratification improves for stroke and mortality in patients with AF during treatment with anticoagulation. In this study, as compared with the RE-LY biomarker substudy, we assessed the actual change in NT-proBNP levels, accounting for analytical imprecision and, importantly, also adjusting the analysis for the levels of NT-proBNP at baseline. The differences in the predictive importance most likely depends on the use of a larger number of clinical risk indicators (demographic variables and comorbidities) as well as the inclusion of biomarkers with high-sensitivity assays in the baseline model before assessing the added value of repeated NT-proBNP measurements.

The ABC Stroke Score Over Time

This study presents the first temporal validation of the novel biomarker–based ABC stroke score for estimating the risk of stroke in patients with AF. Although cardiac biomarkers are powerful predictors of risk of stroke in patients with AF, analytical and temporal variation might influence the clinical usefulness of a score composed of biomarkers. However, there is an abundance of data demonstrating the stability of these analytes and the high precision in the used assays.25,28–30 We

Figure 6. Decision curve analysis for the ABC stroke score (age, biomarkers, and clinical history of prior stroke) (cardiac troponin T) applied at baseline (red) and at 2 months (blue).
showed that the levels of cardiac biomarkers overall remain stable with a relatively low CV and a high within-subject correlation in patients with stable AF during 2 months of follow-up. The biomarker-based ABC stroke score remained well calibrated over time and provided similar clinical usefulness. The majority of patients had similar predicted risk of stroke using the ABC stroke score at baseline and at 2 months. Therefore, from a clinical perspective, short-term routine reassessment does not seem necessary in patients with stable AF. The results also support that reliable and similar prognostic information is obtained by biomarker determinations at different time points during the course of the disease.

Limitations

Our results were derived from a clinical trial population of consenting patients with AF and at least 1 risk factor for stroke and therefore may not apply to a general unselected population. The change of the biomarker concentrations over time may be influenced by the analytical imprecision. Similarly, parts of the observed differences between cTnI and cTnT may be attributable to analytical differences between the assays rather than biological differences. However, both troponins were analyzed using high-sensitivity assays with high precision in the same plasma samples. Within the ARISTOTLE trial, repeated sampling of biomarkers was prespecified at 2 months; therefore, the importance of long-term (12 months or longer) changes of cardiac biomarkers in patients with AF may thus differ and requires further investigation. Nonetheless, the present results provide valuable information from a clinical perspective on the short-term stability of these biomarkers in patients with AF and on the consistent risk prediction with the ABC stroke score.

Conclusions

In patients with stable AF, the variability of the levels of the cardiac biomarkers cTn and NT-proBNP levels during 2 months is small. Repeated measurements of these cardiac biomarkers do not seem useful for monitoring the risk of stroke, although it might be useful for improved information on the risk of cardiovascular mortality. The ABC stroke risk score provides reliable and stable prognostic information at different time points, and reassessment of stroke risk by repeating the ABC stroke score is overall not routinely required in patients with stable AF within a short time frame such as 2 months. Whether biomarker retesting at a later time point or after a change in clinical status would add additional prognostic information requires further investigation.
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