Butterfly-like phase shift: a novel gauge for critical coupling of add–drop resonator
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Abstract
Optical transfer function for add–drop resonator is derived in the presence of coupling loss using the scattering matrix method. A critical coupling condition for ADR is calculated. The response of the ADR under variation of coupling losses and coupling coefficients is studied. The number of allowed states under the critical condition is determined. The full width at half maximum as sharp as 0.17 nm is achieved. It is found that the relative phase shifts of through and drop ports show the same responses under the critical coupling condition. This response emerges in a butterfly-like phase shift, which can be considered as a new evaluating factor for checking the system in critical coupling condition.
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Introduction
Ring resonators have found versatile functionalities in optical switching [1, 2], optical filters, photonics sensors [3, 4] and optical communications [5, 6]. The superiorities of resonating systems rather than Fabry–Perot cavities, Bragg gratings and other integrated feedback waveguide devices are in automatic pumping system for the feedback process and the possibility of jointing several waveguides into the a ring. Resonators benefit from low photon loss rate and have ability to store energy in a microsized volume [7]. These features have made the ring resonators as multipurpose components for photonics and optoelectronics. Several methods have been used to check the quality and characteristics of output light from resonator systems. These methods include critical coupling condition, over coupling [8], multiple-stage ring resonator [9], parallel coupling [10], symmetric coupling [11] and asymmetric coupling [12]. The critical coupling condition in ring resonator has been a subject of interest due to its potential application in optical communication [13, 14] and photonics sensors [15]. The add–drop ring resonators have been used as an optical notch type filter in optical networks [16, 17]. Optical filters need to be tunable for using network system. Some parameters in ring resonators are effective factors in the quality of output signals. To evaluate the functionalities of resonator-based filters, the critical coupling condition and full width at half maximum (FWHM) are considered as the key parameters in checking the quality of output signals [18–20]. In this paper, a critical coupling condition of ADR system is determined by considering the coupling loss. The behavior of the silicon–ADR system is studied under the variation of coupling coefficients and coupling factors. A visual method for checking the quality of the output signals is introduced based on the relative phase shifts of through and drop ports from ADR filter. This proposed method is applicable in signal processing, optical filters and optical communication.
Theoretical background

The input light undergoes some variations while passing through a resonator system. The light response versus spectrum can be investigated by the scattering matrix method [21, 22]. A layout of add–drop resonator (ADR) is illustrated in Fig. 1. Two light beams can simultaneously be coupled into the add–drop resonator via two couplers. Here, the ring waveguide manages the feedback mechanism. The wavelength selectivity is obtained based on the resonance condition inside the ring, \( mL = n_{\text{eff}} \lambda \) [23]; thus, only specific wavelengths can resonate inside the ring. A power coupling coefficient, \( k \), is defined for each coupling region. A fraction of input light beam passing via direct path waveguide is shown by \( c \) (Fig. 1), which can be calculated by \( c_1 = \sqrt{(1 - \gamma)(1 - k_1)} \) and \( c_2 = \sqrt{(1 - \gamma)(1 - k_2)} \) for each coupler [24, 25]. A portion of input light crosses over the waveguides via evanescent fields. This evanescent portion can be calculated as \( is_1 = \sqrt{(1 - \gamma)k_1} \) for the first coupler and \( is_2 = \sqrt{(1 - \gamma)k_2} \) for the second coupler [26–28]. Based on the scattering matrix method, the relation between input and output signals in each coupler, \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \), can be written by the following matrices:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
E_{\text{th}} \\
E_2
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
c_1 & is_1 \\
is_1 & c_1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
E_{\text{in}} \\
E_1
\end{bmatrix}
\tag{1}
\]

and

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
E_4 \\
E_{\text{drop}}
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
c_2 & is_2 \\
is_2 & c_2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
E_3 \\
E_{\text{add}}
\end{bmatrix},
\tag{2}
\]

where \( E_{\text{in}} \) and \( E_{\text{add}} \) are input fields to the couplers and \( E_{\text{th}} \) and \( E_{\text{drop}} \) represent the output fields from couplers 1 and 2, respectively. As illustrated in Fig. 1, an input light with the electric field of \( E_{\text{in}} \) transmits across the waveguides and couples into the ring waveguide. A positive feedback emerges inside the ring, and the field \( E_2 \) starts to build up. The pulse undergoes a phase shift of \( \xi = e^{-2\pi \alpha}e^{-i\varphi} \) [29] via each round trip. Here, \( \alpha \) is the wavelength loss, \( L \) is the ring’s circumference and \( \varphi = 2\pi L_{\text{eff}}/\lambda \) [30] denotes the phase delay inside the ring in which \( n_{\text{eff}} \) represents the effective index of the ring’s waveguide. The propagated light inside the ring’s waveguide undergoes a \( \xi \) phase shift. Existing of \( m \) couplers within the perimeter of the ring can reduce this phase shift to \( \sqrt{\xi} \). The following equations represent the relation between the fields traveling inside the ADR system:

\[
E_3 = E_2 \sqrt{\xi},
\tag{3}
\]

\[
E_1 = E_4 \sqrt{\xi},
\tag{4}
\]

According to Eqs. (1)–(4), the optical transfer function for the through and drop ports of add–drop resonators is calculated as:

\[
H_{\text{ADR}}^\text{th} = \frac{c_1 - (1 - \gamma_1)c_2 \xi}{1 - c_1c_2 \xi},
\tag{5}
\]

\[
H_{\text{ADR}}^\text{drop} = \frac{-s_1s_2 \sqrt{\xi}}{1 - c_1c_2 \xi}.
\tag{6}
\]

The phase of the transmitted light at each coupler can be calculated by the argument of optical transfer function at that coupler [31]

\[
\phi^\text{th} = \arg (H_{\text{ADR}}^\text{th}),
\tag{7}
\]

\[
\phi^\text{drop} = \arg (H_{\text{ADR}}^\text{drop}),
\tag{8}
\]

and the normalized intensity for the through and drop ports of ADR can be calculated by

\[
I_{\text{ADR}}^\text{th} = \frac{c_1^2 + (1 - \gamma_1)^2 c_2^2 e^{-2\pi L} - 2c_1c_2(1 - \gamma_1)e^{-2\pi L} \cos \theta}{1 + c_1^2c_2^2 e^{-2\pi L} - 2c_1c_2 \cos \theta},
\tag{9}
\]

\[
I_{\text{ADR}}^\text{drop} = \frac{s_1^2s_2^2 e^{-2\pi L}}{1 + c_1^2c_2^2 e^{-2\pi L} - 2c_1c_2 \cos \theta}.
\tag{10}
\]

The full width at half maximum (FWHM) is an important parameter in determining the sharp signals. It shows the central section of the signal and has been used in determining the spectral band width of optical system [32]. For an ADR system, the FWHM is given by [33]

\[
\Delta\lambda_{\text{FWHM}} = \frac{j^2 \cos^{-1}(\theta)}{\pi n_{\text{eff}} L}.
\tag{11}
\]

The critical coupling condition represents the situation that all of the input signals get coupled into the ring’s waveguide. In this case, the light transmission via through port reaches to zero value \( H_{\text{thr}}(\varphi = 2\pi n \pi) = 0 \). In contrast to the through port, almost all of the input light can be realized at the drop port \( E_{\text{in}} = E_{\text{drop}} \). The critical coupling condition can be calculated by considering the numerator of Eq. (5) equal to zero. For simplicity, we supposed that \( \xi \approx 1 \) and the critical coupling coefficient \( (k_c) \) for add–drop
resonator in the presence of coupling loss is calculated to be

\[
k_c = k_2 = 1 - \frac{1 - k_1}{(1 - \gamma_1)(1 - \gamma_2)},
\]

(12)

where \(k_1\) shows the value of coupling coefficient for the first coupler and \(\gamma_1\) and \(\gamma_2\) represent the coupling losses in each coupling region of the ADR system.

**Results and discussion**

The effect of equal coupling coefficients \((k_1 = k_2)\) on FWHM of output signals from through and drop ports of ADR system is shown in Fig. 2. The results simulated for the ADR from silicon microring resonators with 1.5 \(\mu\m\) radius and the group refractive index of 4.2 [34]. The intensity responses versus wavelength were simulated for equal coupling coefficients values less than 0.1 with reduction step of 0.02. The FWHM for 3 dB coupling coefficients of ADR \((k_1 = 0.5—\text{black line})\) is added for comparison. It is observed that any decrease in the coupling coefficients leads to detection of sharper signals with narrower FWHM. Results of Fig. 2 reveal that decreasing the coupling coefficient from 0.1 to smaller values as small as 0.02 brings about the detection of signals with the FWHM from 2.1 to 0.4 nm, respectively. The free spectral range of 62.5 nm is achieved, which is concordant with reported experimental results in [34].

Based on Eq. (12) for lossless coupling areas, the critical coupling will be the same as symmetric coupling coefficients \(k_1 = k_2 = 0.1\) and an increase in coupling loss will decrease the critical coupling to the values less than 0.1 \((k_2<0.1)\). Increasing the coupling loss with step size of 0.01 \((0 \leq \gamma \leq 0.05)\) generates six allowed states for the ADR system with first coupling coefficient of \(k_1 = 0.1\), three states admitted for \(k_1 = 0.05\) and only one acceptable state available for \(k_1 = 0.01\) as shown in Figs. 3, 4 and 5, respectively. The coupling coefficient is a number in range of 0 \(\leq k \leq 1\) and shows the fraction of power divided between the waveguides. Here, based on Eq. (12) the states with positive values of critical coupling coefficients are considered as the allowed states. Some sets of critical coupling coefficients together with coupling losses were selected and are shown in Fig. 3a, b. Each set of critical coupling engenders unique signal with a specific intensity at the drop port. Since \(c_1\) and \(c_2\) become small values at critical coupling, the intensities at the through port, Eq. (9), are almost unchanged under the applied sets of critical coupling. However, all of these through port signals have almost the same FWHM as sharp as 2.1 nm.

Decreasing the value of the first coupling coefficient to \(k_1 = 0.05\) brings about a reduction in the number of the allowed states to three states as shown in Fig. 4. All of these allowed states (at through and drop ports) have an equal FWHM as sharp as 0.96 nm. Based on the results of Figs. 4 and 5, set of equal coupling coefficients for lossless coupling provides a maximum cross talk. Here, increasing the loss in couplers leads to smaller values of critical coupling coefficients, which leads to advent of signals with a lower cross talk.

Based on the critical coupling condition, only one state will be allowed for a constant coupling coefficient of \(k_1 = 0.01\) with the increment step size of 0.01 \((0 \leq \gamma \leq 0.05)\). These allowed states, \((k_1; k_2; \gamma) = (0.01; 0.01; 0)\), generate the FWHM as sharp as 0.17 nm as shown in Fig. 5. This achieved FWHM is exactly the same as the reported experimental data in [34]. Based on the simulated results in Figs. 3, 4 and 5, there exists a trade-off between coupling loss and coupling coefficient in each coupler. It means that the maximum intensity in resonant peaks belongs to lossless coupler and changing the coupling coefficient will change the FWHM of output signal. In order to achieve a signal with the same FWHM as that of from lossless case, the coupling loss and coupling coefficient should be balanced.

Relative phase shifts of through and drop ports for different coupling coefficients and coupling losses are demonstrated in Figs. 3c, 4c and 5c. Results show that relative phase shift in critical coupling condition is independent from optical parameters of couplers (coupling coefficients and coupling loss). The relative phase shifts of the through and drop ports can be considered as a new evaluating factor for checking the system on critical coupling condition. When an ADR system is in a critical coupling condition, the through and drop ports will have the butterfly-like relative phase shift as shown in parts c of Figs. 3, 4 and 5. The through gain shows the fraction of electric fields at the through port to the input port, while the drop gain is given by \(E_{\text{drop}}/E_{\text{in}}\). The effect of critical coupling variations in the response of the through port gain versus drop port gain is shown in Figs. 3d, 4d and 5d. Based on the simulated results, the behavior of gains at the drop and through ports remains the same for lossless coupling. It seems that the coupling coefficient is not a determinative factor in the output gains of ADR. Change in coupling losses and coupling coefficients is quite effective in the through port gain. As shown in Figs. 3e, 4e and 5e, increasing the coupler loss can decrease the through port gain. This treat can be observed in intensity response on through port versus drop port, too. The transmission characteristics of light via through and drop ports of ADR are totally dependent on the critical condition parameters, especially coupler’s parameters. As shown in Fig. 3f, the 50 dB transmission at the through port of ADR corresponds to the 42 dB transmission at the drop port for
$k_1 = 0.1, \ k_2 = 0.1$ with lossless coupling. An increase in coupler loss will reduce the drop port transmission to a value less than 25 dB. In this case, the through port transmission holds its 50 dB value. Changing the coupling coefficient to $k_1 = 0.05; \ k_2 = 0.05$ with $\gamma = 0$ will change the through and drop ports transmissions to 45 and 39 dB, respectively. Here, increment of coupler loss solely effects on the drop port transmission.

Results of Figs. 3c, 4c and 5c reveal that the phase-shift responses at the through and drop ports follow a unique trend under the critical coupling condition. This butterfly-like shape remains its shape, provided that the ADR
Fig. 3 Effect of the variation of coupling loss $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$ on ADR response for various critical coupling $k_{ct} = k_2$ with $k_1 = 0.1$ and $\alpha = 0$. 
system’s parameters fulfill the critical coupling condition. This butterfly phase shift can be considered as a new gauge for quick inspection of ADR systems, which are in the critical coupling condition.

**Conclusion**

The behavior of light via add–drop ring resonator from silicon waveguide is studied. A critical coupling condition is calculated in the presence of coupling loss for coupling
regions in ADR system. Some limited states with the same FWHM were determined based on the critical coupling condition. The critical coupling condition is quantified by butterfly-like relative phase shift. A pictorial method for checking the system under the critical condition was introduced based on the relative phase shifts of through and drop ports from filters. It provides an easy approach for checking the quality of the output signals for optical sensors and notch ring-based filters.

Fig. 5 Effect of the variation of coupling loss $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$ on ADR response for various critical coupling $k_{cu} = k_2$ with $k_1 = 0.01$ and $\alpha = 0$.
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