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Abstract

We study the phenomenology of the light and heavy Higgs boson production and decay in the context of a $U(1)_{B-L}$ extension of the standard model with an additional $Z'$ boson at future $e^+e^-$ linear colliders with center-of-mass energies of $\sqrt{s} = 500–3000$ GeV and integrated luminosities of $L = 500 – 2000$ fb$^{-1}$. The study includes the processes $e^+e^- \rightarrow (Z,Z') \rightarrow Zh$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow (Z,Z') \rightarrow ZH$, considering both the resonant and non-resonant effects. We find that the total number of expected $Zh$ and $ZH$ events can reach 909, 124 and 97, 487, respectively, which is a very optimistic scenario and thus it would be possible to perform precision measurements for both Higgs bosons $h$ and $H$, as well as for the $Z'$ boson in future high-energy and high-luminosity $e^+e^-$ colliders experiments. Our study complements other studies on the B–L model and on the Higgs-strahlung processes $e^+e^- \rightarrow (Z,Z') \rightarrow Zh$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow (Z,Z') \rightarrow ZH$.
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1. Introduction

The $U(1)_{B-L}$ model [1–5] is one of the simplest extensions of the standard model (SM) with an extra $U(1)$ local gauge symmetry [6], where B and L represent the baryon number and
lepton number, respectively. This B–L symmetry plays an important role in various physics scenarios beyond the SM: (a) The gauge $U(1)_{B-L}$ symmetry group is contained in the grand unification theory described by a $SO(10)$ group [1]. (b) The scale of the B–L symmetry breaking is related to the mass scale of the heavy right-handed (RH) Majorana neutrino mass terms and provide the well-known see-saw mechanism [7–11] to explain light left-handed neutrino mass. (c) The B–L symmetry and the scale of its breaking are tightly connected to the baryogenesis mechanism through leptogenesis [12]. In addition, the model also contains an extra gauge boson $Z'$ corresponding to B–L gauge symmetry and an extra SM singlet scalar (heavy Higgs boson $H$). This may change the SM phenomenology significantly and lead to interesting signatures at the current and future colliders such as the large hadron collider (LHC) [13, 14], international linear collider (ILC) [15–20] and the compact linear collider (CLIC) [21–23]. Therefore, another Higgs factory besides the LHC, such as the ILC and CLIC, which can study in detail and precisely determine the properties of the Higgs bosons $h$ and $H$, is another important future step in high-energy and high-luminosity (HL) physics exploration.

The B–L model [24, 25] is attractive due to its relatively simple theoretical structure. The crucial test of the model is the detection of the new heavy neutral ($Z'$) gauge boson and the new Higgs boson ($H$). The analysis of precision electroweak measurements indicates that the new $Z'$ gauge boson should be heavier than about 1.2 TeV [26]. On the other hand, searches for both the heavy gauge boson ($Z'$) and the additional heavy neutral Higgs boson ($H$) predicted by the B–L model are presently being conducted at the LHC. In this regard, the additional boson $Z'$ of the B–L model has a mass which is given by the relation $M_{Z'} = 2v'g_\phi'$ [4, 5, 24, 25]. This boson $Z'$ interacts with the leptons, quarks, heavy neutrinos and light neutrinos with interaction strengths proportional to the B–L gauge coupling $g_\phi'$. The $Z'$ boson can be detected by observing di-leptonic and di-jet signals at colliders. The sensitivity limits on the mass $M_{Z'}$ of the boson $Z'$ of the $U(1)_{B-L}$ model derived for the ATLAS and CMS collaborations are of the order of $O(1.83–2.65)$ TeV [27–35]. In the case of the heavy neutral Higgs boson $H$ of the B–L model, this can be produced at the HL run at LHC (HL-LHC) through multiple production processes: gluon fusion, weak boson fusion, associated $WH/ZZ$ productions and the associated $t\bar{t}H$ production mode, with subsequent decay in heavy particles. The dominant decay modes are $WW, hh$ and $ZZ$, respectively. In addition, the heavy Higgs $H$ can also be produced in association with a $Z'$ [4, 5].The discovery prospects of the heavy neutral scalar $H$ during the runs at HL-LHC are extensively studied in [4, 5, 36–38]. It is noteworthy that future LHC runs at 13–14 TeV could increase the $Z'$ mass bounds to higher values, or evidence may be found of its existence. Precision studies of the $Z'$ properties will require a new linear collider [39], which will allow us to perform precision studies of the Higgs sector. We refer the readers to [4, 5, 24, 25, 40–45] for a detailed description of the B–L model.

The Higgs-strahlung process $e^+e^- \rightarrow Zh$ [46–50] is one of the main production mechanisms of the Higgs boson in the future linear $e^+e^-$ colliders such as the ILC and CLIC. Therefore, after the discovery of the Higgs boson, detailed experimental and theoretical studies are necessary for checking its properties and dynamics [51–54]. It is possible to search for the Higgs boson in the framework of the B–L model; however, the existence of a new gauge boson could also provide new Higgs particle production mechanisms that could prove its non-standard origin.

In this paper we study the phenomenology of Higgs bosons in the Type I see-saw model [7–11] of neutrino mass generation in presence of a spontaneously broken $U(1)_{B-L}$ symmetry at future electron-positron linear colliders such as the ILC and the CLIC. We consider both
physical Higgs states emerging in the model, one of which is SM-like ($h$) while the other ($H$) is of B–L origin, both compliant with recent LHC data. We examine a variety of $h, H$ decay channels while we concentrate on the $e^+e^- \rightarrow Zh$ and $ZH$ production modes, including the possibility of $Z'$ mediation, which could be resonant, as we allow for $Z/Z'$ mixing (in presence of relevant experimental constraints from LEP).

It is worth mentioning that in [40], the authors made a very exhaustive study of Higgs physics through the Higgs-strahlung processes $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z'h, Z'H$, the associated production of a Higgs boson and a pair top quark $e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}h, t\bar{t}H$ and the associated production of a Higgs boson pair and a $Z'$ boson $e^+e^- \rightarrow hhZ'$ in the aforementioned B–L model at future $e^+e^-$ linear colliders. They do not consider, however, the case of $Z/Z'$ mixing. Furthermore, [40] is primarily a numerical analysis, whereas in the present paper we present a wealth of useful analytical formulae. In addition, our analytical and numerical results for the Higgs bosons production and decay at future $e^+e^-$ colliders are helpful in searching for signatures of new physics and could be of scientific significance. Moreover, our paper complements others studies on the B–L model and the Higgs-strahlung processes $e^+e^- \rightarrow (Z, Z') \rightarrow Zh$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow (Z, Z') \rightarrow Zh$, respectively.

The different stages of high-energy and HL of the ILC and the CLIC would provide a clean environment to study the properties of additional $Z'$ and Higgs bosons through the production of a $Z/Z'$ in association with a Higgs boson which is SM-like ($h$), while the other ($H$) is of B–L origin. The different Higgs boson production processes where the signatures can best be exploited to reveal the B–L nature of the electroweak symmetry breaking (EWSB) and in association with heavy particles, both SM ($W, Z$ bosons and $t$ (anti)quarks) and B–L ($Z'$ boson and $\nu_R$ neutrinos), are $e^+e^- \rightarrow Zh, ZH, Z'h, Z'H$ (Higgs-strahlung process), $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu}h$ ($WW$ vector boson fusion process) and $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-h$ ($ZZ$ vector boson fusion process). Other important Higgs boson production mechanisms via a $Z'$ boson which are also accessible to ILC and CLIC, are $e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}h, t\bar{t}H$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow Zhh, Z'hh$, where the processes $e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}h, t\bar{t}H$, will play an important role for the precision measurements of the top Yukawa coupling, while the processes $e^+e^- \rightarrow Zhh, Z'hh$ will be crucial to understand the Higgs self-coupling and the mechanism of EWSB and mass generation. The Higgs self-coupling can be a non-trivial probe of the Higgs potential and probably the most decisive test of the EWSB mechanism. Detailed discussions on these processes and some new physics models can be found in [18, 19, 22, 55, 56].

Although we do not consider the background of the processes that we study, it is worth mentioning that the most important background of the processes studied in our article, $e^+e^- \rightarrow Zh$ and $ZH$, are: $ZZ, Z\gamma, \gamma\gamma$ for the $b$-quark final state ($e^+e^- \rightarrow Zh \rightarrow e^+e^-b\bar{b}, \mu^+\mu^-b\bar{b}$) and $W^+W^0Z/\gamma$ for the $W$-boson final state ($e^+e^- \rightarrow Zh \rightarrow e^+e^-W^+W^-, \mu^+\mu^-W^+W^-$), respectively [18, 19, 22, 55, 56].

As mentioned above, our aim in the present paper is to study the phenomenology of the light and heavy Higgs boson production and decay, as well as the sensitivity of the $Z'$ boson of the B–L model as a source of Higgs bosons through the Higgs-strahlung processes $e^+e^- \rightarrow (Z, Z') \rightarrow Zh$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow (Z, Z') \rightarrow Zh$, including both the resonant and non-resonant effects at future high-energy and HL linear $e^+e^-$ colliders. We evaluate the total cross section for $Zh$ and $ZH$ production and we calculate the total number of events for integrated luminosities of $L = 500$–2000 $fb^{-1}$ and center-of-mass energies of $\sqrt{s} = 500$–3000 GeV. We find that the total number of expected $Zh$ and $ZH$ events for the $e^+e^-$ colliders is very promising and that it would be possible to perform precision measurements for both Higgs bosons $h$ and $H$, as well as for the $Z'$ boson.
This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we present the B–L theoretical model. In section 3, we present the decay widths of the Z’ heavy gauge boson of the B–L model. In section 4, we present the calculation of the cross section for the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow (Z, Z') \rightarrow Z\ell$. In section 5, we present the decay widths of the H heavy Higgs boson of the B–L model. In section 6, we present the calculation of the cross section for the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow (Z, Z') \rightarrow ZH$, and finally, we present our results and conclusions in section 7.

2. Brief review of the B–L theoretical model

The solid evidence for the non-vanishing neutrino masses has been confirmed by various neutrino oscillation phenomena and indicates the evidence of new physics beyond the SM. In the SM, neutrinos are massless due to the absence of RH neutrinos and the exact B–L conservation. The most attractive idea to naturally explain the tiny neutrino masses is the seesaw mechanism [8–10, 57], in which the RH neutrinos singlet under the SM gauge group $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \times U(1)_{B–L}$ [7, 58] is an elegant and simple extension of the SM in which the RH heavy neutrinos are essential both for anomaly cancelation and preserving gauge invariance. In addition, the mass of RH neutrinos arises associated with the $U(1)_{B–L}$ gauge symmetry breaking. Therefore, the fact that neutrinos are massive indicates that the SM requires extension.

We consider a $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \times U(1)_{B–L}$ model, which is one of the simplest extensions of the SM [4, 5, 7, 24, 40–45, 58], where $U(1)_{B–L}$ represents the additional gauge symmetry. The gauge invariant Lagrangian of this model is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_s + \mathcal{L}_{YM} + \mathcal{L}_f + \mathcal{L}_Y,$$

where $\mathcal{L}_s$, $\mathcal{L}_{YM}$, $\mathcal{L}_f$ and $\mathcal{L}_Y$ are the scalar, Yang–Mills, fermion and Yukawa sector, respectively.

The model consists of one doublet $\Phi$ and one singlet $\chi$ and we briefly describe the lagrangian including the scalar, fermion and gauge sector, respectively. The Lagrangian for the gauge sector is given by [4, 44, 59, 60],

$$\mathcal{L}_g = -\frac{1}{4} B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} W_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} Z_{\mu\nu} Z^{\mu\nu},$$

where $W_{\mu\nu}$, $B_{\mu\nu}$ and $Z_{\mu\nu}$ are the field strength tensors for $SU(2)_L$, $U(1)_Y$ and $U(1)_{B–L}$, respectively.

The Lagrangian for the scalar sector of the model is

$$\mathcal{L}_s = (D^\mu \Phi)^\dagger (D_\mu \Phi) + (D^\mu \chi)^\dagger (D_\mu \chi) - V(\Phi, \chi),$$

where the potential term is [42],

$$V(\Phi, \chi) = m^2 (\Phi^\dagger \Phi) + \mu^2 |\chi|^2 + \lambda_1 (\Phi^\dagger \Phi)^2 + \lambda_2 |\chi|^4 + \lambda_3 (\Phi^\dagger \Phi) |\chi|^2,$$

with $\Phi$ and $\chi$ as the complex scalar Higgs doublet and singlet fields, respectively. The covariant derivative is given by [40–42]

$$D_\mu = \partial_\mu + ig_{\mu} T^a G^a_{\mu} + ig_1 T^a W^a_{\mu} + g Y B_{\mu} + (\bar{g}_Y + g_Y Y_{B–L}) Y_{B–L},$$

where $g_{\mu}$, $g_1$, and $g_Y$ are the $SU(3)_C$, $SU(2)_L$, $U(1)_Y$ and $U(1)_{B–L}$ couplings with $T^a$, $Y$, $Y_{B–L}$ being their respective group generators. The mixing between the two Abelian groups is described by the new coupling $g_Y$. The electromagnetic charges on the fields are the same as those of the SM and the $Y_{B–L}$ charges for quarks, leptons and the scalar fields are
given by: \( Y_{\text{BL}} = 1/3 \), \( Y_{\text{BL}}^{\text{leptons}} = -1 \) with no distinction between generations for ensuring universality, \( Y_{\text{BL}}(\Phi) = 0 \) and \( Y_{\text{BL}}(\chi) = 2 \) \([4, 5, 40-42]\) to preserve the gauge invariance of the model, respectively.

An effective coupling and effective charge such as \( \langle g \rangle' \) and \( Y' \) are usually introduced as \( g'Y' = gY + g'Y_{\text{BL}} \) and some specific benchmark models \([61, 62]\) can be recovered by particular choices of both \( \langle g \rangle \) and \( g' \) gauge couplings at a given scale, generally the electroweak scale. For instance, the pure B–L model is obtained by the condition \( g = 0 \) \( (Y' = Y_{\text{BL}}) \) which implies the absence of mixing at the electroweak scale. Other benchmark models of the general parameterisation are the sequential standard model \((\text{SSM})\), the \( U(1)_R \) model and the \( cU(1) \) model. The SSM is reproduced by the condition \( \langle g \rangle = g' \) \( (Y' = Y) \), and the \( U(1)_R \) extension is realised by the condition \( g = -2g' \), while the \( SO(10) \)-inspired \( cU(1) \) model is described by \( g = -2g' \).

The doublet and singlet scalars are

\[
\Phi = \left( \begin{array}{c} v + \phi^0 \cr iG_Z \end{array} \right), \quad \chi = \left( \begin{array}{c} v' + \phi'^0 + iz' \cr \sqrt{2} \end{array} \right),
\]

with \( G^\pm, G_Z \) and \( z' \) the Goldstone bosons of \( W^\pm, Z \) and \( Z' \), respectively, while \( v \approx 246 \text{ GeV} \) is the EWSB scale and \( v' \) is the B–L symmetry breaking scale constrained by the electroweak precision measurement data whose value is assumed to be of the order TeV.

After spontaneous symmetry breaking, the two scalar fields can be written as

\[
\Phi = \left( \begin{array}{c} v + \phi^0 \cr \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2}} \end{array} \right), \quad \chi = \left( \begin{array}{c} v' + \phi'^0 \cr \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2}} \end{array} \right),
\]

with \( v \) and \( v' \) real and positive. Minimization of equation (4) gives

\[
m^2 + 2\lambda_1 v^2 + \lambda_3 vv' = 0, \quad \mu^2 + 4\lambda_2 v'^2 + \lambda_3 vv' = 0.
\]

To compute the scalar masses, we must expand the potential in equation (4) around the minima in equation (7). Using the minimization conditions, we have the following scalar mass matrix:

\[
\mathcal{M} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \lambda_1 v^2 & \frac{\lambda_1 vv'}{2} \\
\frac{\lambda_1 vv'}{2} & \lambda_2 v'^2 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} M_{11} & M_{12} \\
M_{21} & M_{22} \end{array} \right).
\]

The expressions for the scalar mass eigenvalues \((M_H > M_h)\) are

\[
M_{H,h}^2 = \frac{(M_{11} + M_{22}) \pm \sqrt{(M_{11} - M_{22})^2 + 4M_{12}^2}}{2},
\]

and the mass eigenstates are linear combinations of \( \phi^0 \) and \( \phi'^0 \), and written as

\[
\left( \begin{array}{c} h \\
H \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\
\sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \phi^0 \\
\phi'^0 \end{array} \right),
\]

where \( h \) is the SM-like Higgs boson, \( H \) is an extra Higgs boson and the scalar mixing angle \( \alpha \) \( (-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \alpha \leq \frac{\pi}{2}) \) can be expressed as
\[ \tan(2\alpha) = \frac{2M_{21}}{M_{22} - M_{11}} = \frac{\lambda_1 v v'}{\lambda_2 v'^2 - \lambda_3 v^2}. \]  

(12)

while coupling constants \(\lambda_1, \lambda_2\) and \(\lambda_3\) are determined using equations (10)–(12):

\[ \lambda_1 = \frac{M_{11}}{4v^2}(1 - \cos 2\alpha) + \frac{M_{12}^2}{4v^2}(1 + \cos 2\alpha), \]

\[ \lambda_2 = \frac{M_{11}}{4v'^2}(1 - \cos 2\alpha) + \frac{M_{12}^2}{4v'^2}(1 + \cos 2\alpha), \]

\[ \lambda_3 = \sin 2\alpha \left( \frac{M_{11}^2 - M_{12}^2}{2v v'} \right). \]

(13)

If the LHC data \([63, 64]\) are interpreted by identifying \(h\) with the recently observed Higgs boson, then the scalar mixing angle \(\alpha\) should satisfy the constraint \(\sin^2 \alpha \lesssim 0.33(0.36)\) for \(M_H = 200(300)\text{ GeV}\) as discussed in \([65–67]\).

In Table 1, the interactions of \(h\) and \(H\) with the fermions, gauge bosons, scalar and scalar self-interactions are expressed in terms of the parameters of the \(B-\) model.

To determine the mass spectrum of the gauge bosons, we have to expand the scalar kinetic terms as with the SM. We expect that there exists a massless gauge boson, the photon, while the other gauge bosons become massive. The extension we are studying is in the Abelian sector of the SM gauge group, so that the charged gauge bosons \(W^\pm\) will have masses given by their SM expressions related to the \(SU(2)_L\) factor only. The other gauge boson masses are not so simple to identify because of mixing. In fact, analogous to the SM, the fields of definite mass are linear combinations of \(M_{W^\pm}, M_{W^3}\) and \(M_{B^\mu}\) and the corresponding mass eigenstates is given by \([24, 25, 40, 41]\)

\[ \begin{pmatrix} W^\mu \\ B^\mu \\ W^3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_W & \sin \theta_W \cos \theta_{B-L} & \sin \theta_W \sin \theta_{B-L} \\ -\sin \theta_W & \cos \theta_W \cos \theta_{B-L} & -\cos \theta_W \sin \theta_{B-L} \\ 0 & \cos \theta_{B-L} & \sin \theta_{B-L} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A^\mu \\ Z^\mu \\ Z'^\mu \end{pmatrix}. \]

(14)

with \(-\frac{\pi}{4} \leq \theta_{B-L} \leq \frac{\pi}{2}\), such that

\[ \tan 2\theta_{B-L} = \frac{2\bar{g} \sqrt{g^2 + g_1^2}}{g^2 + 16 \left( \frac{\bar{g}}{v} \right) g_1^2 - g^2 - g_1^2}. \]

(15)

and the mass spectrum of the gauge bosons is given by

\[ M_W = 0, \]

\[ M_{W^\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \bar{v} g, \]

\[ M_X = \frac{\bar{v}}{2} \sqrt{g^2 + g_1^2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{g^2 + 16 \left( \frac{\bar{g}}{v} \right) g_1^2}{g^2 + g_1^2} + 1 \right) - \frac{\bar{g}}{\sin 2\theta_{B-L} \sqrt{g^2 + g_1^2}} \right], \]

\[ M_{Z} = \frac{\bar{v}}{2} \sqrt{g^2 + g_1^2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{g^2 + 16 \left( \frac{\bar{g}}{v} \right) g_1^2}{g^2 + g_1^2} + 1 \right) + \frac{\bar{g}}{\sin 2\theta_{B-L} \sqrt{g^2 + g_1^2}} \right]. \]

(16)
| Particle Couplings |
|---------------------|
| \( \langle \bar{f} f h \rangle \) | \( \phi = \frac{\alpha}{\sin \alpha} \) |
| \( \langle \bar{f} f H \rangle \) | \( \phi = \frac{\alpha}{\cos \alpha} \) |
| \( \langle Z \bar{Z} h \rangle \) | \( \phi = -\frac{1}{\sin \alpha} \phi_{\alpha} \cos \alpha \) |
| \( \langle Z \bar{Z} H \rangle \) | \( \phi = -\frac{1}{\sin \alpha} \phi_{\alpha} \sin \alpha \) |
| \( \langle W \bar{W} h \rangle \) | \( \phi = \frac{\alpha}{2 \sin \alpha} \phi_{\alpha} \) |
| \( \langle W \bar{W} H \rangle \) | \( \phi = \frac{\alpha}{2 \sin \alpha} \phi_{\alpha} \) |
| \( \langle Z \bar{Z} \rangle \) | \( \phi = 2 \sin \alpha \cos \alpha \phi_{\alpha} \) |
| \( \langle W \bar{W} \rangle \) | \( \phi = -2 \sin \alpha \cos \alpha \phi_{\alpha} \) |
| \( \langle h h H \rangle \) | \( \phi = \frac{\alpha}{3} \phi_{\alpha} \) |
| \( \langle h h H \rangle \) | \( \phi = \frac{\alpha}{3} \phi_{\alpha} \) |

*Table 1.* Fermion, vector boson, scalar coupling and scalar self-interactions in the B–L model.
where $M_Z$ and $M_{\tilde{W}}$ are the SM gauge bosons masses and $M_{Z'}$ is the mass of new neutral gauge boson $Z'$, which strongly depends on $v'$ and $g'_0$. For $g = 0$, there is no mixing between the new and SM gauge bosons $Z'$ and $Z$. In this case, the $U(1)_{B-L}$ model is called the pure or minimal model $U(1)_{B-L}$. In this article we consider the case $g = 0$, which is mostly determined by the other gauge couplings $g_1$ and $g'_0$ [65–67]. The electroweak precision measurement data can give stringent constraints on the $Z-Z'$ mixing angle $\theta_{B-L}$ expressed in equation (15) [68].

In the Lagrangian of the $SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \times U(1)_{B-L}$ model, the terms for the interactions between neutral gauge bosons $Z, Z'$ and a pair of fermions of the SM can be written in the form [4, 5, 69–71]

\[
\mathcal{L}_{NC} = \frac{-ig}{\cos \theta_W} \sum_f \gamma^\mu \frac{1}{2} (g'_0 - g_A^f (\gamma^5)) Z^\mu_f + \frac{-ig}{\cos \theta_W} \sum_f \gamma^{\mu} \frac{1}{2} (g'_0 - g_A^f (\gamma^5)) Z'^\mu_f. \tag{17}
\]

From this Lagrangian we determine the expressions for the new couplings of the $Z, Z'$ bosons with the SM fermions, which are given in table 2. The couplings $g'_0$ ($g'_0^f$) and $g_A^f$ ($g_A^f$) depend on the $Z-Z'$ mixing angle $\theta_{BL}$ and the coupling constant $g'_0$ of the $B-L$ interaction. In these couplings, the current bound on the mixing angle is $|\theta_{BL}| \leq 10^{-3}$ [72]. In the decoupling limit, when $\theta_{BL} = 0$ and $g'_0 = 0$, the couplings of the SM are recovered.

3. The decay widths of the $Z'$ boson in the $B-L$ model

In this section we present the decay widths of the $Z'$ boson [26, 69, 73–75] in the context of the $B-L$ model needed in the calculation of the cross section for the Higgs-strahlung process $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z\tilde{H}$. The decay width of the $Z'$ boson to fermions is given by

\[
\Gamma(Z' \rightarrow f\bar{f}) = \frac{2G_F}{3\pi\sqrt{2}} N_c M_{Z'}^2 M_f \left[ 1 - \frac{4M_f^2}{M_{Z'}^2} \right] \left[ (g'_0)^2 \left( 1 + \frac{2M_f^2}{M_{Z'}^2} \right) \right] + (g'_0^f)^2 \left( 1 - \frac{4M_f^2}{M_{Z'}^2} \right) \right], \tag{18}
\]

where $N_c$ is the color factor ($N_c = 1$ for leptons, $N_c = 3$ for quarks) and the couplings $g'_0$ and $g'_0^f$ of the $Z'$ boson with the SM fermions are given in table 2.

The decay width of the $Z'$ boson to heavy neutrinos is

\[
\Gamma(Z' \rightarrow \nu_{R}\bar{\nu}_{R}) = \frac{g'^2}{24\pi} \sin^2 \theta_{BL} M_{Z'} \left[ 1 - \frac{4M_{\nu_R}^2}{M_{Z'}^2} \right] \left[ 1 - \frac{4M_{\bar{\nu}_{R}}^2}{M_{Z'}^2} \right] \tag{19}
\]

where the width given by equation (19) implies that the RH neutrino must be lighter than half the $Z'$ mass, $M_{\nu_R} < \frac{M_{Z'}}{2}$, and the conditions under which this inequality holds is for coupled heavy neutrinos, i.e. with minor mass less than $\frac{M_{Z'}}{2}$. The possibility of the $Z'$ heavy boson decaying into pairs of heavy neutrinos is certainly one of the most interesting of its features.
The partial decay widths involving vector bosons and the scalar bosons are

\[ \Gamma(Z \rightarrow W^+ W^-) = \frac{G_F M_W^3}{24\pi \sqrt{2}} \cos^2 \theta_W \sin^2 \theta_{\text{BL}} M_{Z'}^4 \left( \frac{M_W}{M_{Z'}} \right)^4 \]

\[ \times \sqrt{1 - \frac{4 M_W^2}{M_{Z'}^2}} \left[ 1 + 20 \frac{M_W^2}{M_{Z'}^2} + 12 \frac{M_W^4}{M_{Z'}^4} \right], \]

(20)

\[ \Gamma(Z' \rightarrow Zh) = \frac{G_F M_W^2 M_{Z'}}{24\pi \sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\lambda_H} \left[ \lambda_H + 12 \frac{M_Z^2}{M_{Z'}^2} \right] f(\theta_{\text{BL}}, g'_H) \cos \alpha + g(\theta_{\text{BL}}, g'_H) \sin \alpha \right]^2, \]

(21)

\[ \Gamma(Z' \rightarrow ZH) = \frac{G_F M_W^2 M_{Z'}}{24\pi \sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\lambda_H} \left[ \lambda_H + 12 \frac{M_Z^2}{M_{Z'}^2} \right] f(\theta_{\text{BL}}, g'_H) \sin \alpha - g(\theta_{\text{BL}}, g'_H) \cos \alpha \right]^2, \]

(22)

where

\[ \lambda_{H,H}\left( 1 - \frac{M_Z^2}{M_{Z'}^2} \right) = 1 + \left( \frac{M_W^2}{M_{Z'}^2} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{M_{H,HH}}{M_{Z'}^2} \right)^2 - 2 \left( \frac{M_Z^2}{M_{Z'}^2} \right) - 2 \left( \frac{M_{H,HH}}{M_{Z'}^2} \right) - 2 \left( \frac{M_{W}^2}{M_{Z'}^2} \right) \left( \frac{M_{H,HH}}{M_{Z'}^2} \right). \]

\[ f(\theta_{\text{BL}}, g'_H) = 1 + \left( \frac{v'^2 g'_W}{4 M_{Z'}^2} \right) \sin(2 \theta_{\text{BL}}) + \left( \frac{v g'_W}{M_{Z'}} \right) \cos(2 \theta_{\text{BL}}). \]

\[ g(\theta_{\text{BL}}, g'_H) = \left( \frac{v v'}{4 M_{Z'}^2} \right) g'_H \sin(2 \theta_{\text{BL}}). \]

(23)

In the BL model, the heavy gauge boson mass \( M_{Z'} \) satisfies the relation \( M_{Z'} = 2v' g'_W \)

[4, 5, 24, 25, 40, 41], and considering the most recent limit from \( \frac{M_{Z'}}{v'} > 6.9 \text{ TeV} \) [62, 76, 77], it is possible to obtain a direct bound on the B–L breaking scale \( v' \). In our next numerical calculation, we will take \( v' = 3.45 \text{ TeV} \), while \( \alpha = \frac{\pi}{2} \) for the \( h - H \) mixing angle in correspondence with [4, 13, 14, 78].
4. The Higgs-strahlung process $e^+e^- \rightarrow Zh$ in the B–L model

In this section, we calculate the Higgs production cross section via the Higgs-strahlung process $e^+e^- \rightarrow Zh$ in the context of the B–L model at future high-energy and HL linear electron-positron colliders, such as the ILC and CLIC.

The Feynman diagrams contributing to the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow (Z, Z') \rightarrow Zh$ are shown in figure 1. The respective transition amplitudes are thus given by

$$M_Z = \frac{-ig}{\cos \theta_W} \left[ \bar{\psi}(p_1) \gamma^\mu \left( \frac{1}{2} (g'^e - g'^Z) u(p_2) \right) \right. \times \left. \left( -g_{\mu \nu} + p_\mu p_\nu / M_Z^2 \right) \right] \frac{2M_Z^2 \cos \alpha}{v} \epsilon^\nu(Z),$$

$$M_{Z'} = \frac{-ig}{\cos \theta_W} \left[ \bar{\psi}(p_1) \gamma^\mu \left( \frac{1}{2} (g'^e - g'^Z) u(p_2) \right) \right. \times \left. \left( -g_{\mu \nu} + p_\mu p_\nu / M_{Z'}^2 \right) \right] \frac{2M_{Z'}^2}{v} \epsilon^\nu(Z),$$

where $\epsilon^\nu(Z)$ is the polarization vector of the Z boson. The couplings $g'^e, g'^Z, g'^f_u, g'^f_d$ are given in table 2 and the functions $f(\theta_{BL}, g'_f)$ and $g(\theta_{BL}, g'_f)$ are given in equation (23), while $\Gamma^Z$ is obtained of equations (18)–(22).

The parameters of the $U(1)_{BL}$ model, $M_Z$, $g'^f$, $\theta_{BL}$ and $\alpha$, contribute to the total cross section for the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow (Z, Z') \rightarrow Zh$, and the expressions for the total cross section of the Higgs-strahlung process for the different contributions can be written in the following compact form [69]:

$$\sigma_{tot}(e^+e^- \rightarrow Zh) = \sigma_Z(e^+e^- \rightarrow Zh) + \sigma_{Z'}(e^+e^- \rightarrow Zh) + \sigma_{Z,Z'}(e^+e^- \rightarrow Zh),$$

where

$$\sigma_Z(e^+e^- \rightarrow Zh) = \frac{G_F^2 M_Z^4 \cos^2 \alpha}{24\pi} \left[ (g'^e)^2 + (g'^Z)^2 \right] \frac{s \sqrt{\lambda + 12M_Z^2/s}}{(s - M_Z^2)^2 + M_Z^2 \Gamma_Z^2},$$

$$\sigma_{Z'}(e^+e^- \rightarrow Zh) = \frac{G_F^2 M_{Z'}^4}{24\pi} \left[ (g'^e)^2 + (g'^Z)^2 \right] \frac{s \sqrt{\lambda + 12M_{Z'}^2/s}}{M_{Z'}^2 [(s - M_{Z'}^2)^2 + M_{Z'}^2 \Gamma_{Z'}^2]} \times \left[ f(\theta_{BL}, g'_f) \cos \alpha + g(\theta_{BL}, g'_f) \sin \alpha \right]^2,$$

$$\sigma_{Z,Z'}(e^+e^- \rightarrow Zh) = \frac{G_F^2 M_{Z,Z'}^4 \cos \alpha}{6\pi} \left[ 8g'^e g'^Z g'^f_u + (g'^f_d)^2 \right] s \sqrt{\lambda} \frac{1}{M_{Z,Z'}^2} \left[ \frac{1}{M_Z^2} (\lambda + 12M_Z^2/s) + \frac{1}{M_{Z'}^2} (\lambda + 12M_{Z'}^2/s) ight. \left. + \frac{(s - M_Z^2)(s - M_{Z'}^2) + M_Z M_{Z'} \Gamma_{Z,Z'}^2}{(s - M_Z^2)^2 + M_Z^2 \Gamma_Z^2} \right] \times \left[ f(\theta_{BL}, g'_f) \cos \alpha + g(\theta_{BL}, g'_f) \sin \alpha \right].$$
with
\[ \lambda\left(1, \frac{M_Z^2}{s} \cdot \frac{M_h^2}{s}\right) = \left(1 - \frac{M_Z^2}{s} - \frac{M_h^2}{s}\right)^2 - 4 \frac{M_Z^2 M_h^2}{s^2}, \] (30)
the usual two-particle phase space function.

The expression given in equation (27) corresponds to the cross section with the exchange of the Z boson, while the expressions given in equations (28) and (29) come from the contributions of the B–L model and of the interference, respectively. The SM expression for the cross section of the reaction \( e^+e^- \to Zh \) can be obtained in the decoupling limit when \( q_{BL} = 0, g' = g \) and \( \alpha = 0 \). In this case, the terms that depend on \( q_{BL}, g' \) and \( \alpha \) in equations (27)–(29) are zero and equation (26) is reduced to the expression given in [46, 50] for the SM.

5. The decay widths of the \( H \) Higgs boson in the B–L model

In this section we present the decay widths of the \( H \) Higgs boson [4, 5, 79] in the context of the B–L model which we need to study the process \( e^+e^- \to ZH \). The decay width of the \( H \) boson to fermions is given by
\[ \Gamma(H \to \ell^+\ell^-) = \frac{G_F M_H^2 M_{\ell_f}}{4\pi \sqrt{2}} N_f \left(1 - \frac{4M_{\ell_f}^2}{M_H^2}\right)^{3/2} \sin^2 \alpha, \] (31)
where \( N_f \) is the color factor, 1 for leptons and 3 for quarks.

The \( H \) partial decay widths involving vector bosons, heavy neutrinos and the scalar boson are
\[ \Gamma(H \to W^+W^-) = \frac{G_F M_H^3}{8\pi \sqrt{2}} \left(1 - \frac{4M_W^2}{M_H^2}\right)^{3/2} \sin^2 \alpha, \] (32)
\[ \Gamma(H \to ZZ) = \frac{G_F M_H^3}{16\pi \sqrt{2}} \left(1 - \frac{4M_Z^2}{M_H^2}\right)^{3/2} \sin^2 \alpha, \] (33)
\[ \Gamma(H \to \nu\bar{\nu}) = \frac{M_{\nu_{\ell}} M_H}{16\pi v^2} \left(1 - \frac{4M_{\nu_{\ell}}^2}{M_H^2}\right) \cos^2 \alpha, \] (34)
where the coupling $g_{hhH}^2$ is given in Table 1.

### 6. The Higgs-strahlung process $e^+ e^- \rightarrow ZH$ in the B–L model

In this section, we calculate the Higgs production cross section via the process $e^+ e^- \rightarrow ZH$ in the context of the $U(1)_{B–L}$ model at future high-energy and HL linear electron–positron colliders such as the ILC and CLIC.

The Feynman diagrams contributing to the process $e^+ e^- \rightarrow (Z, Z') \rightarrow ZH$ are shown in Figure 1. The respective transition amplitudes are thus given by

$$
\mathcal{M}_Z = \frac{-i g}{\cos \theta_w} \left\{ \bar{v}(p_1) \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \frac{1}{2} (g_{\ell}^\nu - g_{\ell}^\nu_i \gamma_5) u(p_2) \right\} \left\{ \frac{-g_{\mu \nu} + p_\mu p_\nu / M_Z^2}{(p_1 + p_2)^2 - M_Z^2 - i\Gamma_Z^2} \right\} \left[ \frac{2 M_Z^2 \sin \alpha}{v} \right] \epsilon_\nu^\gamma,
$$

$$
\mathcal{M}_{Z'} = \frac{-i g}{\cos \theta_w} \left\{ \bar{v}(p_1) \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \frac{1}{2} (g_{\ell'}^\nu - g_{\ell'}^\nu_i \gamma_5) u(p_2) \right\} \left\{ \frac{-g_{\mu \nu} + p_\mu p_\nu / M_{Z'}^2}{(p_1 + p_2)^2 - M_{Z'}^2 - i\Gamma_{Z'}^2} \right\} \left[ \frac{2 M_{Z'}^2}{v} \right] \\
\times \left\{ f(\theta_{BL}, g') \sin \alpha - g(\theta_{BL}, g') \cos \alpha \right\} \epsilon_\nu^\gamma.
$$

Following a similar procedure as that of Section 4, we show our results for the total cross section of the Higgs-strahlung process for the different contributions which can be written in the following compact form:

$$
\sigma_{\text{tot}}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow ZH) = \sigma_Z(e^+ e^- \rightarrow ZH) + \sigma_{Z'}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow ZH) + \sigma_{Z, Z'}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow ZH),
$$

where

$$
\sigma_Z(e^+ e^- \rightarrow ZH) = \frac{G_F^2 M_Z^4 \sin^2 \alpha}{24\pi} \left[ (g_{\ell}^\nu)^2 + (g_{\ell}^\nu_i)^2 \right] \frac{s \sqrt{\lambda} [s + 12 M_Z^2 / s]}{[(s - M_Z^2)^2 + M_Z^2 \Gamma_Z^2]},
$$

$$
\sigma_{Z'}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow ZH) = \frac{G_F^2 M_{Z'}^4}{24\pi} \left[ (g_{\ell'}^\nu)^2 + (g_{\ell'}^\nu_i)^2 \right] \frac{s \sqrt{\lambda} [s + 12 M_{Z'}^2 / s]}{[(s - M_{Z'}^2)^2 + M_{Z'}^2 \Gamma_{Z'}^2]} \\
\times \left\{ f(\theta_{BL}, g') \sin \alpha - g(\theta_{BL}, g') \cos \alpha \right\}^2,
$$

$$
\sigma_{Z, Z'}(e^+ e^- \rightarrow ZH) = \frac{G_F^2 M_{Z'}^4 \sin^2 \alpha}{6\pi} \left[ g_{\ell'}^\nu g_{\ell'}^\nu_i + g_{\ell}^\nu_i g_{\ell}^\nu_i \right] s \sqrt{\lambda} \left[ \frac{1}{M_Z^2} (\lambda + 12 M_Z^2 / s) \\
+ \frac{1}{M_{Z'}^2} (\lambda + 6(M_Z^2 - M_{Z'}^2) / s) + \frac{s \lambda}{8 M_Z^2 M_{Z'}^2} (\lambda - 12 M_Z^2 / s) \right] \\
\times \left\{ \frac{(s - M_Z^2)(s - M_{Z'}^2) + M_Z M_{Z'} \Gamma_Z \Gamma_{Z'}}{[(s - M_Z^2)^2 + M_Z^2 \Gamma_Z^2][(s - M_{Z'}^2)^2 + M_{Z'}^2 \Gamma_{Z'}^2]} \right\} \\
\times \left\{ f(\theta_{BL}, g') \sin \alpha - g(\theta_{BL}, g') \cos \alpha \right\},
$$

12
with

\[
\lambda\left(1, \frac{M_Z^2}{s}, \frac{M_H^2}{s}\right) = \left(1 - \frac{M_Z^2}{s} - \frac{M_H^2}{s}\right)^2 - 4 \frac{M_Z^2 M_H^2}{s^2}.
\] (42)

The expression given in equation (39) corresponds to the cross section with the exchange of the \(Z\) boson, while the expressions given in equations (40) and (41) come from the contributions of the B–L model and of the interference, respectively. In the decoupling limit when \(\theta_{BL} = 0, g'_1 = 0\) and \(\alpha = 0\), the total cross section of the reaction \(e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH\) is zero.

7. Results and conclusions

7.1. Higgs boson production and decay \(h\) in the B–L model

In this section we evaluate the total cross section of the Higgs-strahlung process \(e^+e^- \rightarrow (Z, Z') \rightarrow Zh\) in the context of the B–L model at next generation linear \(e^+e^-\) colliders such as the ILC and CLIC. Using the following values for numerical computation [72]:

\[\sin^2 \theta_W = 0.231 \pm 0.000 \ldots, \quad m_e = 1776.82 \pm 0.16 \text{ MeV}, \quad m_b = 4.6 \pm 0.18 \text{ GeV}, \]
\[m_t = 172 \pm 0.9 \text{ GeV}, \quad M_W = 80.389 \pm 0.023 \text{ GeV}, \quad M_Z = 91.1876 \pm 0.0021 \text{ GeV}, \]
\[\Gamma_Z = 2.4952 \pm 0.0023 \text{ GeV}, \quad M_h = 125 \pm 0.4 \text{ GeV} \]

and considering the most recent limit from [62, 76, 77]:

\[\frac{M_Z'}{g'_1} \geq 6.9 \text{ TeV}, \] (43)

it is possible to obtain a direct bound on the B–L breaking scale \(\nu'\) and take \(\nu' = 3.45 \text{ TeV}\) and \(\alpha = \frac{\pi}{9}\). In our numerical analysis, we obtain the total cross section \(\sigma_{tot} = \sigma_{aat} (\sqrt{s}, M_{Z'}, g'_1, \theta_{BL}, \alpha)\). Thus, in our numerical computation, we will assume \(\sqrt{s}, M_{Z'}, g'_1, \theta_{BL}\) and \(\alpha\) as free parameters.

In order to determine how \(g_{ZZ'h}\) coupling change from their SM value, as well as the functions \(f(\theta_{BL}, g'_1)\) and \(g(\theta_{BL}, g'_1)\) with respect to the parameters of the B–L model, we give a 2D plot in figure 2. As seen from this figure, both the \(g_{ZZ'h}\) coupling and the functions \(f(\theta_{BL}, g'_1)\) and \(g(\theta_{BL}, g'_1)\) strongly depend on \(g'_1\).

In figure 3 we present the total decay width of the \(Z'\) boson as a function of \(M_{Z'}\) and the new \(U(1)_{B-L}\) gauge coupling \(g'_1\), respectively, with the other parameters held fixed to three different values. From the top panel, we see that the total width of the \(Z'\) new gauge boson varies from very few to hundreds of GeV over a mass range of \(1000 \text{ GeV} \leq M_{Z'} \leq 3500 \text{ GeV}\), depending on the value of \(g'_1\), when \(g'_1 = 0.145, 0.290, 0.435\), respectively. In the case of the bottom panel, a similar behavior is obtained in the range \(0 \leq g'_1 \leq 1\) and depends on the value \(M_{Z'} = 1000, 2000, 3000 \text{ GeV}\). The branching ratios versus \(Z'\) mass and the coupling \(g'_1\) are given in figure 4 for different channels: \(BR(Z' \rightarrow f f'), BR(Z' \rightarrow W^+W^-), BR(Z' \rightarrow Z h), BR(Z' \rightarrow Z H)\) and \(BR(Z' \rightarrow \nu_{R} \bar{\nu}_{R})\), respectively. In these figures, the \(BR(Z' \rightarrow f f')\) is the sum of all BRs for the decays into fermions. In the case of the top panel, we consider \(\theta_{B-L} = 10^{-3}, g'_1 = 0.290\) and \(1000 \text{ GeV} \leq M_{Z'} \leq 3500 \text{ GeV}\). For the bottom panel, we
consider $\theta_{B-L} = 10^{-3}, M_{Z'} = 2000$ GeV and $0 \leq g'_{Z} \leq 1$. In both figures a clear dependence is observed on the parameters of the $U(1)_{B-L}$ model.

We present figures 5–9 to illustrate our results regarding the sensitivity of the $Z'$ heavy gauge boson of the B–L model as a Higgs boson source through the Higgs-strahlung process $e^+e^- \to (Z, Z') \to Zh$, including both the resonant and non-resonant effects at future high-energy and high luminosity linear $e^+e^-$ colliders, such as the ILC and the CLIC.

In figure 5, we show the cross section $\sigma(e^+e^- \to Zh)$ for the different contributions as a function of the center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s}$ for $\theta_{B-L} = 10^{-3}$ and $g'_{Z} = 0.290$: the solid line corresponds to the SM and the dashed line corresponds to $\sigma_{Z}(e^+e^- \to Zh)$ (equation (27)), where the $U(1)_{B-L}$ model contributes to the couplings $g'_{Z}$ of the SM gauge boson $Z$ to electrons. The dotted–dashed line corresponds to $\sigma_{Z'}(e^+e^- \to Zh)$ (equation (28)), which is only the B–L contribution, while the dot dotted–dashed line corresponds to the interference $\sigma_{Z,Z'}(e^+e^- \to Zh)$ (equation (29)). Finally, the dot line corresponds to the total cross section of the process $e^+e^- \to Zh$ (equation (26)). In figure 5, we can see that the cross section corresponding to $\sigma_{Z}(e^+e^- \to Zh)$ decreases for large $\sqrt{s}$, whereas in the case of the cross section of the B–L model equation (28) and the total cross section equation (26), respectively, there is an increased for large values of the center-of-mass energy, reaching its maximum value at the resonance $Z'$ heavy gauge boson, which is to say, $\sqrt{s} = 2000$ GeV.

We plot the total cross section of the reaction $e^+e^- \to Zh$ in figure 6 as a function of the center-of-mass energy, $\sqrt{s}$ for the values of the heavy gauge boson mass of $M_{Z'} = 1000, 2000, 3000$ GeV and $g'_{Z} = 0.145, 0.290, 0.435$, respectively. It is worth mentioning that the choice of the values for $M_{Z'}$ and $g'_{Z}$ is accomplished by maintaining the relationship between $M_{Z'}$ and $g'_{Z}$ given by equation (43). This relationship will always remain throughout the article. In figure 6 we show that the cross section is sensitive to the free parameters and also observe that the height of the resonance peaks for the boson $Z'$ changes depending on the value of $\sqrt{s} = M_{Z'}$. In addition, the resonances are broader for larger $g'_{Z}$ values, as the total width of the $Z'$ boson increases with $g'_{Z}$, as shown in figure 3.

An important quantity is the statistical significance

$$S[\sigma] = \frac{|\sigma_{BL} - \sigma_{SM}|}{\delta\sigma_{BL}} = \frac{|\Delta\sigma_{Z} + \Delta\sigma_{V}|}{\sqrt{\sigma_{SM}^{2} + \sigma_{Z}^{2} + \sigma_{V}^{2}}} \sqrt{L_{int}}$$

(44)

where $\delta\sigma_{Z}$ is the statistical uncertainty, and $L$ the integrated luminosity. It determines the deviation of the cross section from the SM prediction, in terms of standard deviations. In figure 7 we show the energy dependence of this statistical significance for $L = 1000$ fb$^{-1}$, and for three different masses, $M_{Z'}$ with its corresponding value for $g'_{Z}$: $M_{Z'} = 1000$ GeV and $g'_{Z} = 0.145$, $M_{Z'} = 2000$ GeV and $g'_{Z} = 0.290$, $M_{Z'} = 3000$ GeV and $g'_{Z} = 0.435$, respectively. As seen in the figure, the peaks are located at energies of $\sqrt{s} = 1000, 2000, 3000$ GeV. The figure also shows that the sensitivity is reduced at higher $Z'$ masses. The statistical significance $S[\sigma]$ as a function of $g'_{Z}$ is shown in figure 8 for $M_{Z'} = 1000, 2000, 3000$ GeV and $\sqrt{s} = 1000, 2000, 3000$ GeV with $L = 1000$ fb$^{-1}$, respectively. It is clear that the $S[\sigma]$ increases as $g'_{Z}$ increases, and demonstrates a clear dependence on the parameters of the model. Thus, in a sizeable parameter region of the B–L model, the new heavy gauge boson $Z'$ can produce a significant signal which can be detected in future ILC and CLIC experiments.
Figure 2. $g_{Z^0}(\theta_{BL}, g'_1)$ coupling and $f(\theta_{BL}, g'_1)$, $g(\theta_{BL}, g'_1)$ functions as a function of $g'_1$, with $\theta_{BL} = 10^{-3}$.

Figure 3. Top panel: $Z'$ width as a function of $M_{Z'}$ for fixed values of $g'_1$. Bottom panel: $Z'$ width as a function of $g'_1$ for fixed values of $M_{Z'}$. 
The correlation between the heavy gauge boson mass $M_{Z'}$ and the new gauge coupling $g'_{1}$ is presented in figure 9. From the plots we see that there is a strong correlation between the gauge boson mass $M_{Z'}$, center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s}$, and $g'_{1}$, which is the new $U(1)_{BL}$ gauge coupling. The total cross section increases with the collider energy and reaching a maximum at the resonance of the $Z'$ gauge boson. As an indicator of the order of magnitude, we present the $Zh$ number of events in table 3 for several center-of-mass energies $\sqrt{s} = 1000, 2000, 3000$ GeV, integrated luminosity $L = 500, 1500, 2000 fb^{-1}$ and heavy gauge boson masses $M_{Z'} = 1000, 2000, 3000$ GeV with $g'_{1} = 0.145, 0.290, 0.435$, respectively. It is worth mentioning that the values reported in table 3 for the total number of events $Zh$ are determined while preserving the relationship between $M_{Z'}$ and $g'_{1}$ given in equation (43). We find that the possibility of observing the process $e^+ e^- \rightarrow (Z, Z') \rightarrow Zh$ is very promising as shown in table 3, and it would be possible to perform precision measurements for both the $Z'$ and Higgs boson in the future high-energy and HL linear $e^+ e^-$
colliders experiments. We observe in Table 3 that the cross section rises once the threshold for $Z_h$ production is reached, with the energy, until the $Z'$ is produced resonantly at $\sqrt{s} = 1000, 2000$ and $3000$ GeV, respectively, for the three cases. Afterwards it decreases with rising energy due to the $Z$ and $Z'$ propagators. Another promising production mode for studying the $Z'$ boson and Higgs boson properties of the B–L model is $e^+e^- \rightarrow (Z, Z') \rightarrow ZH$, which is studied in the next subsection.
7.2. Heavy Higgs boson production and decay $H$ in the $B$–$L$ model

As in the previous subsection, in this study we use the Higgs-strahlung process $e^+e^- \to (Z, Z') \to ZH$ to investigate the impact of the parameters of the $B$–$L$ model on this process. First, we present figure 10 in order to analyze the behavior of the coupling $g_{ZZH}$ as well as of the functions $f(\theta_{BL}, g'_1)$ and $g(\theta_{BL}, g'_1)$ with respect to the parameters of the model. From this figure is clear that both the coupling $g_{ZZH}$ and the functions $f(\theta_{BL}, g'_1)$ and $g(\theta_{BL}, g'_1)$ are sensitive to the parameters of the model.

**Figure 7.** The statistical significance $S[\sigma]$ of equation (41) as a function of $\sqrt{s}$. Starting from the top, the curves are for $M_Z = 1000$ GeV and $g'_1 = 0.145$, $M_Z = 2000$ GeV and $g'_1 = 0.290$, $M_Z = 3000$ GeV and $g'_1 = 0.435$, with $L = 1000 \text{ fb}^{-1}$, respectively.

**Figure 8.** The statistical significance $S[\sigma]$ of equation (41) as a function of $g'_1$. Starting from the top, the curves are for $M_Z = 1000, 2000, 3000$ GeV and $\sqrt{s} = 1000, 2000, 3000$ GeV with $L = 1000 \text{ fb}^{-1}$, respectively.
In Figure 11, we present the total decay width of the $H$ heavy Higgs boson as a function of $M_H$ and on the scalar mixing $\cos\alpha$, respectively. In the top panel figure, we observed that total width of the $H$ Higgs boson varies from a few to hundreds of GeV over a mass range of $400 \text{ GeV} \leq M_H \leq 1000 \text{ GeV}$, depending on the value of $\cos\alpha$, i.e. $\cos\alpha = 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8$, respectively. In the bottom panel figure, we show the dependence of total decay width of the heavy scalar boson $\Gamma_H$ on the scalar mixing $\cos\alpha$ for different values of $M_H$ and a moderate value of the mass of the heavy neutrinos $M_{\nu} = 300 \text{ GeV}$. For
higher $M_{H}$, the decay width becomes larger for large mixing. This plot also shows that for the limiting case when $\cos \alpha \to 1$, without mixing between the scalar bosons, $\Gamma_{\text{Tot}}(H) \to 0$ and hence it is completely decoupled from the SM.

In figure 12, the top panel shows the branching fractions of $H$ decays in $f \bar{f}$, $W^{-}W^{+}$, $ZZ$, $hh$ and $\nu_{R}\bar{\nu}_{R}$ as function of its mass, varying $M_{H}$ between 400 GeV and 1000 GeV for $M_{\nu_{R}} = 300$ GeV and $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{6}$. As is clear from top panel, the three most dominant decay modes of $H$ are $W^{-}W^{+}$, $ZZ$ and $\bar{f}f$. The bottom panel shows the branching ratios of $H$ as function of the scalar mixing $\cos \alpha$ for a given value of $M_{H} = 800$ GeV and $M_{\nu_{R}} = 300$ GeV. The $W^{-}W^{+}$ pairs clearly dominate the $H$ decays.

The total cross section for the Higgs-strahlung production processes $e^{\pm}e^{-} \to ZH$ as a function of the collision energy for $M_{H} = 125$ GeV, $M_{H} = 800$ GeV, $M_{H} = 300$ GeV, $M_{Z'} = 2000$ GeV and $g'_{1} = 0.290$ GeV is shown in figure 13. In this figure the curves are for $\sigma_{Z}(e^{+}e^{-} \to ZH)$ (equation (39)) (solid line), $\sigma_{Z'}(e^{+}e^{-} \to ZH)$ (equation (40)) (dashed line), $\sigma_{Z,Z'}(e^{+}e^{-} \to ZH)$ (equation (41)) (dotted–dashed line), and the dot dotted–dashed line corresponds to the total cross section of the process $\sigma_{\text{Tot}}(e^{+}e^{-} \to ZH)$ (equation (38)), respectively.

To see the effects of $\theta_{B-L}$, $g'_{1}$, $M_{Z'}$, the free parameters of the B–L model, we plot the total cross section of the process $e^{+}e^{-} \to ZH$ in figure 14 as a function of the center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s}$ for the values of the heavy gauge boson mass of $M_{Z'} = 1000$ GeV with $g'_{1} = 0.145$, $M_{Z'} = 2000$ GeV with $g'_{1} = 0.290$ and $M_{Z'} = 3000$ GeV with $g'_{1} = 0.435$, respectively, preserving the relationship between $M_{Z'}$ and $g'_{1}$ given by equation (43). In this figure we observed that for $\sqrt{s} = M_{H}$, the resonant effect dominates, the cross section is sensitive to the free parameters. We also observe that the height of the resonance peaks for the boson $Z'$ change depending on the value of $\sqrt{s} = M_{Z'}^{2}$, and in addition, that the resonances are broader for larger $g'_{1}$ values, as the total width of the $Z'$ boson increases with $g'_{1}$, as is shown in figure 3.

In figure 15, we show the correlation between the heavy gauge boson mass $M_{Z'}$ and the $g'_{1}$ coupling of the $U(1)_{B-L}$ model for the cross section of $\sigma_{\text{Tot}} = 10, 20, 30, 40 \text{ fb}$ (top panel), $\sigma_{\text{Top}} = 1, 1.5, 2, 3 \text{ fb}$ (central panel) and $\sigma_{\text{Top}} = 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.7 \text{ fb}$ (bottom panel). From the plots we see that there is a strong correlation between $M_{Z'}$ and $g'_{1}$.
Figure 10. $g_{ZZH} (\theta_{BL}, g_1')$ coupling and $f (\theta_{BL}, g_1'), g (\theta_{BL}, g_1')$ functions as a function of $g_1'$, with $\theta_{BL} = 10^{-3}$.

Figure 11. Top panel: heavy Higgs boson decay width as a function of $M_H$ for $M_{\phi} = 125$ GeV and $M_{\phi} = 300$ GeV. Bottom panel: heavy Higgs boson decay width as a function of $\cos \alpha$. 
Finally, from figures 13–15, it is clear that the total cross section is sensitive to the value of the gauge boson mass \( M_{Z'} \), center-of-mass energy \( \sqrt{s} \) and \( g_1' \), which is, the new \( U(1)_{B-L} \) gauge coupling, increases with the collider energy and reaching a maximum at the resonance of the \( Z' \) gauge boson. As an indicator of the order of magnitude, we present the \( ZH \) number of events in table 4, for several center-of-mass energies \( \sqrt{s} = 1000, 2000, 3000 \text{ GeV} \), integrated luminosity \( \mathcal{L} = 500, 1500, 2000 \, \text{fb}^{-1} \) and heavy gauge boson masses \( M_{Z'} = 1000, 2000, 3000 \, \text{GeV} \) with \( g_1' = 0.145, 0.290, 0.435 \), respectively. It is worth mentioning that the values reported in table 4 for the total number of events \( ZH \) are determined while preserving the relationship between \( M_{Z'} \) and \( g_1' \) given by equation (43). We find that the possibility of observing the process \( e^+e^- \rightarrow (Z, Z') \rightarrow ZH \) is very promising as shown in table 4, and it would be possible to perform precision measurements for both the \( Z' \) and Higgs boson in the future high-energy linear \( e^+e^- \) colliders experiments. We observed in table 4 that the cross section rises once the threshold for \( ZH \) production is reached, with the energy, until the \( Z' \) is produced resonantly at \( \sqrt{s} = 1000, 2000 \) and \( 3000 \, \text{GeV} \), respectively, for the three cases. Afterwards it decreases with rising energy due to the \( Z \) and \( Z' \) propagators.
In conclusion, in this article we have studied the phenomenology of the light and heavy Higgs boson production and decay in the context of a $\mathcal{U}(1)_{B-L}$ extension of the SM with an additional $Z'$ boson at future $e^+e^-$ linear colliders with center-of-mass energies of $\sqrt{s} = 500$–$3000$ GeV and integrated luminosities of $\mathcal{L} = 500$–$2000$ fb$^{-1}$. Our study covers the Higgs-strahlung processes $e^+e^- \rightarrow (Z, Z') \rightarrow Zh$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow (Z, Z') \rightarrow ZH$, including

**Figure 13.** The cross section of the production process $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH$ as a function of $\sqrt{s}$ for $M_b = 125$ GeV, $M_H = 800$ GeV, $M_{Z'} = 2000$ GeV and $\theta_{BL} = 10^{-3}$. The curves are for $\sigma_{Z'}(e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH)$ (equation (37)) (solid line), $\sigma_{e}(e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH)$ (equation (38)) (dashed line), $\sigma_{Z',Z'}(e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH)$ (equation (39)) (dotted–dashed line), and the dot dotted–dashed line correspond to the total cross section of the process $\sigma_{Tot}(e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH)$ (equation (36)), respectively.

**Figure 14.** The total cross section of the production process $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH$ as a function of $\sqrt{s}$ for $M_b = 125$ GeV and $M_H = 800$ GeV. The curves are for $M_{Z'} = 1000$ GeV and $\theta_{BL} = 10^{-3}$ (solid line), $M_{Z'} = 2000$ GeV and $\theta_{BL} = 0.290$ (dashed-line), $M_{Z'} = 3000$ GeV and $\theta_{BL} = 0.435$ (dotted–dashed line), respectively.

In conclusion, in this article we have studied the phenomenology of the light and heavy Higgs boson production and decay in the context of a $\mathcal{U}(1)_{B-L}$ extension of the SM with an additional $Z'$ boson at future $e^+e^-$ linear colliders with center-of-mass energies of $\sqrt{s} = 500$–$3000$ GeV and integrated luminosities of $\mathcal{L} = 500$–$2000$ fb$^{-1}$. Our study covers the Higgs-strahlung processes $e^+e^- \rightarrow (Z, Z') \rightarrow Zh$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow (Z, Z') \rightarrow ZH$, including
both the resonant and non-resonant effects. We find that the total number of expected \( Zh \) and \( ZH \) events can reach 909, 124 and 97, 487, respectively, which is a very optimistic scenario and it would be possible to perform precision measurements for both Higgs bosons \( h \) and \( H \), for the \( Z' \) heavy gauge boson, as well as for the parameters of the model \( \theta_{B-L}, \ g'_1 \) and \( \alpha \) in future high-energy and HL \( e^+e^- \) colliders experiments such as the ILC and CLIC. In addition, the SM expression for the cross section of the reaction \( e^+e^- \rightarrow Zh \) can be obtained in the decoupling limit when \( \theta_{B-L} = 0, \ g'_1 = 0 \) and \( \alpha = 0 \). In this case, the terms that depend on

---

**Figure 15.** Correlation between \( M_{Z'} \) and \( g'_1 \). Top panel: the contours are for \( \sigma_{\text{Tot}} = 10, 20, 30, 40 \, \text{fb} \) and \( \sqrt{s} = 1000 \, \text{GeV} \). Central panel: the contours are for \( \sigma_{\text{Tot}} = 1, 1.5, 2, 3 \, \text{fb} \) and \( \sqrt{s} = 2000 \, \text{GeV} \). Bottom panel: the contours are for \( \sigma_{\text{Tot}} = 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.7 \, \text{fb} \) and \( \sqrt{s} = 3000 \, \text{GeV} \).
\[ \theta_{B-L}, g'_1 \] and \( \alpha \) in (26) are zero and (26) is reduced to the expression given in [46, 50] for the SM. Our study complements other studies on the B–L model and on the Higgs-strahlung processes \( e^+e^- \rightarrow (Z, Z') \rightarrow Zh \) and \( e^+e^- \rightarrow (Z, Z') \rightarrow ZH \).
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