Effect of anxiety and depression on the fatigue of patients with a permanent pacemaker
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Abstract

Introduction: Permanent cardiac pacemakers (PPM) are the most common treatment for severe symptomatic bradycardia. This implanted life-saving device may involve a severe psychological burden to recipients or aggravate their symptoms such as fatigue. The aim of the study was to explore the effect of anxiety and depression on fatigue of patients’ with a PPM.

Material and methods: The study group consisted of 250 patients with a PPM. Data collected included: a) patients’ characteristics, b) the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) and c) the Fatigue Assessment Scale (FAS).

Results: High levels of anxiety and depression were observed in 27.2% and 14.0% of the sample, respectively. Regarding the fatigue total score it was found that 25% of the participants had a score higher than 26. Accordingly, with regard to physical and mental fatigue, 25% of enrolled patients had a score higher than 20 and 8, respectively. These values indicate moderate to low levels of fatigue. Furthermore, there was a statistically significant association between anxiety/depression and the total score of fatigue as well as between anxiety/depression and physical and mental fatigue (p < 0.001 for all associations).

Conclusions: Socio-demographic and patients’ clinical characteristics are related to anxiety and depression. Understanding the association between psychological burden and fatigue after implantation as well as factors associated with these variables will help health professionals to provide beneficial care for PPM patients that will significantly contribute to better device outcomes.
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Introduction

A cardiac pacemaker is a small medical device implanted to maintain an adequate heart rate [1]. According to global estimates, the annual implantation rate is 600 thousand pacemakers while 3 million individuals already have a pacemaker [2]. During the last 30 years, implantation rates have increased 2.7-fold [3], mainly due to the more accurate diagnosis of coronary disease, to the ageing of population and to the
improvement of devices that are currently available [3, 4].

Nowadays, the life expectancy of recipients with no significant comorbidities almost equals that of the general population [5, 6]. Therefore, several goals of cardiac rehabilitation have emerged, such as optimizing patients’ medical treatment, enhancing psychological well-being and improving health-related quality of life [5, 6]. Interestingly, the device may save recipients from life-threatening arrhythmia, but at the same time, it is life-changing, implying several challenges in the process of psychosocial adaptation [7]. Not surprisingly, the intrusiveness of a foreign device in the heart, which symbolizes the center of emotions, is frequently associated with psychological distress [8]. Indeed, recipients encounter various physical, financial and social problems including restriction of activities and the feeling of living with the pacemaker [2].

Despite the considerable progress in understanding patients’ psychological state, data on pacemaker patients are sparse. Additionally, no studies are available on how important these measurements are with respect to levels of fatigue. It is well known that psychiatric problems and in particular depression go together with fatigue in those undergoing health care. This disabling combination negatively affects the outcome of a chronic disease [9].

Thus, this cross-sectional study was carried out to determine the effect of anxiety and depression on fatigue of permanent cardiac pacemaker patients and explore the associated factors.

Material and methods

Study population

The sample of the study consisted of 250 patients who had a pacemaker (160 men and 90 women). This sample was a convenience sample.

Data were collected in the outpatient departments of two public hospitals that patients were visiting for regular monitoring and follow-up. Criteria for inclusion in the study were: a) implantation of a pacemaker, b) the ability to write and read the Greek language fluently, and c) adequate follow-up. The exclusion criteria were patients: a) with a history of mental illness and b) with a serious chronic disease.

Data collection was performed via an interview using a questionnaire developed by the researchers so as to fully serve the purposes of the study. The data collected for each patient included: socio-demographic characteristics (e.g. gender, age, education level), clinical characteristics (e.g. when the symptoms had started), therapy characteristics (e.g., adherence to treatment guidelines), and other patients’ characteristics.

Completion of the questionnaires lasted approximately 15 min and took place when patients attended their regular monitoring and follow-up.

In the present study there was no intervention or control group since this research merely recorded whether patients experienced anxiety and depression and their impact on fatigue as it was reported by patients. For this reason, we used Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS), which is widely used to determine the levels of anxiety and depression that a patient is experiencing, as well as the Fatigue Assessment Scale (FAS), which is commonly used to determine fatigue. Literature on this association was limited, thus not enabling extensive comparative research.

Ethical considerations

Patients who met the entry criteria were informed by the researcher for the purposes of the study and participated only after they had given their written consent. All of the patients participated in the study on a voluntary basis and had their anonymity preserved. All participants were informed of their rights to refuse or to discontinue their participation, according to the ethical standards of the Helsinki Declaration of 1983. The study was approved by the Medical Research Ethics Committee of each hospital.

Assessment of anxiety and depression

Patients’ mental health (depression and anxiety) was examined by the evaluation of HADS, which was proposed by Zigmond and Snith [10]. The scale consists of 14 questions that assess how patients felt during the previous week. Patients are able to answer every question on a 4-point Likert scale from 0 to 3. Seven of the 14 questions assess the level of depression and the other seven evaluate the anxiety level. The scores attributed to questions are summed up separately for anxiety and depression, leading to two scores ranging between 0 and 21. Higher scores indicate increased levels of anxiety or depression. In addition, the following categorization has been proposed and is widely used in scientific papers: score 0–7 indicating no anxiety or depression, score 8–10 indicating moderate levels of anxiety or depression, and score > 11 indicating high levels of anxiety or depression. The HADS scale has been translated and tested for its validity and reliability in the Greek population [11].

Assessment of fatigue

The fatigue of patients with a pacemaker was evaluated by the FAS. The scale collects information related to the perceived fatigue in the Greek population and has an internal consistency of 0.761 [12]. The scale consists of 10 questions that assess the
fatigue of patients on a Likert type scale (scores from 1 to 5). The coding of responses is as follows: 1 = never, 2 = sometimes, 3 = regularly, 4 = often, 5 = always. Therefore, the score ranges between 10 and 50. Five questions are related to physical fatigue and the other five to mental fatigue. The score is summed up separately for the questions that assess physical fatigue, and separately for those that assess mental fatigue and all questions. Both scores are then added together to represent the total fatigue. Higher values of scores indicate increased fatigue [12].

Statistical analysis

Categorical data are presented as absolute and relative (%) frequencies, whereas continuous data are presented as the median (interquartile range). The Kruskal-Wallis test was used to test the association between fatigue and a factor with more than two categories, while the Mann-Whitney test was performed to access the association between fatigue and a factor with two categories. Spearman’s rho correlation coefficient was used to evaluate the association between fatigue and patient characteristics. The χ² test of independence was used to evaluate the association between fatigue and patient characteristics. The level of statistical significance was set to α = 5%. All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS version 20 package (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

Sample description

Men accounted for 64% of the sample, while half of the subjects were over 71 years of age (median). Out of the 250 patients, 48% had primary school education and in 50% the pacemaker had been implanted under the age of 66 (median). Skin infection due to the pacemaker occurred in 8.9% of the patients. At least one symptom occurred after the insertion of the pacemaker in 14%, with the most common symptom being redness of the skin at the site of incision (6.4%). Furthermore, 69.6% considered themselves anxious while around 20% of the sample were “very” anxious about their heart rate and the functioning of the device. Half of the patients had someone else who helped them in their everyday activities (52.4%) while 63.6% believed that their life depended on health professionals to a large degree. Almost all the participants believed that the pacemaker solved their arrhythmia (94.8%) and 50.8% that their quality of life was improved significantly. Finally, 17.6% continued smoking after the pacemaker implantation and 44.4% did not exercise at all (Tables I–III).

Levels of anxiety and depression

Table IV presents the results concerning the levels of anxiety and depression of patients with a pacemaker. The results showed that 59.2% and 67.2% of patients reported low levels of anxiety and depression, respectively.
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As far as fatigue is concerned, at least 50% of the patients’ scores were found to be below 19 (median) of the total score, whereas the physical and mental fatigue were found to be below 15 and 4, respectively (Table IV). Regarding the total score, it was found that 25% of the participants had a score higher than 26. Accordingly, with regard to the physical and mental fatigue, 25% of enrolled patients had a score higher than 20 and 8, respectively. These values indicate moderate to low levels of fatigue.

Characteristics associated with anxiety and depression

Tables V and VI present the statistically significant associations between patients’ characteristics and the levels of anxiety and depression, respectively.

Regarding anxiety, women more frequently suffered high levels of anxiety (40%) than men (20%, \( p < 0.001 \)). Likewise, high levels of anxiety were observed more frequently in patients with primary school education (29.8%, \( p = 0.020 \)) and those who considered themselves anxious (37.9%, \( p = 0.001 \)) or were very anxious about their heart rate (56.2%, \( p = 0.001 \)) and the proper functioning of their device (57.4%, \( p = 0.001 \)). Furthermore, patients who had someone helping them in their everyday activities more frequently experienced high levels of anxiety (33.6%, \( p = 0.021 \)), and this was the case with patients who believed that their life depended on health professionals (34%, \( p = 0.008 \)) or those who did not believe that the pacemaker solved the problem (92.3%, \( p = 0.001 \)) or did not think that their quality of life was improved (66.7%, \( p = 0.001 \)). Moreover, patients who did not smoke after the insertion of the pacemaker and those who had experienced symptoms had high levels of anxiety more frequently (29.1%, \( p = 0.021 \) and 48.6%, \( p = 0.009 \)). Finally, patients with high levels of anxiety had the pacemaker fitted for less time (median 3 years, \( p = 0.011 \)) than those with low (median 4 years) and moderate levels (median 4 years).

### Table III. Patients’ other characteristics (\( N = 250 \))

| Variable | \( N \) (%) |
|----------|-------------|
| Do you consider yourself anxious? (Yes) | 174 (69.6) |
| Do you have anxiety about your heart rate disorder? | |
| Severe | 58 (23.2) |
| Moderate | 47 (18.8) |
| A little | 65 (26.0) |
| None | 80 (32.0) |
| Do you have anxiety about the proper function of the implanted device? | |
| Severe | 49 (19.6) |
| Moderate | 52 (20.8) |
| A little | 61 (24.4) |
| None | 88 (35.2) |
| Do you have someone who helps you in your everyday activities? (Yes) | 131 (52.4) |
| Do you believe your life depends on health professionals? | |
| Greatly | 159 (63.6) |
| Moderately | 87 (34.8) |
| Not at all | 4 (1.6) |
| Do you think that the pacemaker solves the problem of arrhythmia? (Yes) | 237 (94.8) |
| Has your quality of life improved after the placement? | |
| Greatly | 127 (50.8) |
| Moderately | 99 (39.6) |
| A little | 23 (9.2) |
| Not at all | 1 (0.4) |
| Do you smoke after the placement? (Yes) | 44 (17.6) |
| Do you exercise after the placement? | |
| A lot | 13 (5.2) |
| Moderately | 41 (16.4) |
| A little | 85 (34.0) |
| Not at all | 111 (44.4) |

### Table IV. Levels of anxiety and depression of patients with a pacemaker and their fatigue score

| Variable | \( N \) (%) |
|----------|-------------|
| Levels of anxiety: | |
| Low | 148 (59.2) |
| Moderate | 34 (13.6) |
| High | 68 (27.2) |
| Levels of depression: | |
| Low | 169 (67.2) |
| Moderate | 47 (18.8) |
| High | 35 (14.0) |
| Total score of fatigue (range: 10–50) | |
| Median | 19 (15–26) |
| Physical fatigue (range: 7–35) | |
| Median | 15 (11–20) |
| Mental fatigue (range: 3–15) | |
| Median | 4 (3–8) |

Fatigue

As far as fatigue is concerned, at least 50% of the patients’ scores were found to be below 19 (median) of the total score, whereas the physical and mental fatigue were found to be below 15 and 4, respectively (Table IV). Regarding the total score, it was found that 25% of the participants had a score higher than 26. Accordingly, with regard to the physical and mental fatigue, 25% of enrolled patients had a score higher than 20 and 8, respectively. These values indicate moderate to low levels of fatigue.

Characteristics associated with anxiety and depression

Tables V and VI present the statistically significant associations between patients’ characteristics and the levels of anxiety and depression, respectively.

Regarding anxiety, women more frequently suffered high levels of anxiety (40%) than men (20%, \( p < 0.001 \)). Likewise, high levels of anxiety were observed more frequently in patients with primary school education (29.8%, \( p = 0.020 \)) and those who considered themselves anxious (37.9%, \( p = 0.001 \)) or were very anxious about their heart rate (56.2%, \( p = 0.001 \)) and the proper functioning of their device (57.4%, \( p = 0.001 \)). Furthermore, patients who had someone helping them in their everyday activities more frequently experienced high levels of anxiety (33.6%, \( p = 0.021 \)), and this was the case with patients who believed that their life depended on health professionals (34%, \( p = 0.008 \)) or those who did not believe that the pacemaker solved the problem (92.3%, \( p = 0.001 \)) or did not think that their quality of life was improved (66.7%, \( p = 0.001 \)). Moreover, patients who did not smoke after the insertion of the pacemaker and those who had experienced symptoms had high levels of anxiety more frequently (29.1%, \( p = 0.021 \) and 48.6%, \( p = 0.009 \)). Finally, patients with high levels of anxiety had the pacemaker fitted for less time (median 3 years, \( p = 0.011 \)) than those with low (median 4 years) and moderate levels (median 4 years).
### Table V. Characteristics associated with anxiety levels

| Parameter | Low levels of anxiety N (%) | Moderate levels of anxiety N (%) | High levels of anxiety N (%) | P-value |
|-----------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Gender:   |                             |                                 |                             | < 0.001 |
| Male      | 112 (70.0)                  | 16 (10.0)                       | 32 (20.0)                   |         |
| Female    | 36 (40.0)                   | 18 (20.0)                       | 36 (40.0)                   |         |
| Education:|                             |                                 |                             | 0.020   |
| Primary school | 63 (52.1)          | 22 (18.2)                       | 36 (29.8)                   |         |
| Secondary school | 47 (58.8)            | 11 (13.8)                       | 22 (27.5)                   |         |
| University | 38 (77.6)                   | 1 (2.0)                         | 10 (20.4)                   |         |
| Do you consider yourself anxious? |                   |                                 |                             | 0.001   |
| Yes       | 79 (45.4)                   | 29 (16.7)                       | 66 (37.9)                   |         |
| No        | 69 (90.8)                   | 5 (6.6)                         | 2 (2.6)                     |         |
| Do you have anxiety about your heart rate disorder? |                   |                                 |                             | 0.001   |
| A lot/moderate | 34 (32.4)                  | 12 (11.4)                       | 59 (56.2)                   |         |
| A little/not at all | 114 (78.6)               | 22 (15.2)                       | 9 (6.2)                     |         |
| Do you have anxiety about the proper function of the implanted device? |                   |                                 |                             | 0.001   |
| A lot/moderate | 31 (30.7)                  | 12 (11.9)                       | 58 (57.4)                   |         |
| A little/not at all | 117 (78.5)               | 22 (14.8)                       | 10 (6.7)                    |         |
| Do you have someone who helps you in your everyday activities? |                   |                                 |                             | 0.021   |
| Yes       | 67 (51.1)                   | 20 (15.3)                       | 44 (33.6)                   |         |
| No        | 81 (68.1)                   | 14 (11.8)                       | 24 (20.2)                   |         |
| Do you believe your life depends on health professionals? |                   |                                 |                             | 0.008   |
| Greatly   | 87 (54.7)                   | 18 (11.3)                       | 54 (34.0)                   |         |
| Moderately| 57 (65.5)                   | 16 (18.4)                       | 14 (16.1)                   |         |
| Do you think that the pacemaker solves the problem of arrhythmia? |                   |                                 |                             | 0.001   |
| Yes       | 148 (62.4)                  | 33 (13.9)                       | 56 (23.6)                   |         |
| No        | 0 (0.0)                     | 1 (7.7)                         | 12 (92.3)                   |         |
| Has your quality of life improved after the placement? |                   |                                 |                             | 0.001   |
| A lot     | 90 (70.9)                   | 8 (6.3)                         | 29 (22.8)                   |         |
| Moderately| 53 (53.5)                   | 23 (23.2)                       | 23 (23.2)                   |         |
| A little/not at all | 5 (20.8)                  | 3 (12.5)                        | 16 (66.7)                   |         |
| Do you smoke after the placement? |                   |                                 |                             | 0.021   |
| Yes       | 34 (77.3)                   | 2 (4.5)                         | 8 (18.2)                    |         |
| No        | 114 (55.3)                  | 32 (15.5)                       | 60 (29.1)                   |         |
| Symptoms after the placement |                   |                                 |                             | 0.009   |
| Yes       | 15 (42.9)                   | 3 (8.6)                         | 17 (48.6)                   |         |
| No        | 133 (61.9)                  | 31 (14.4)                       | 51 (23.7)                   |         |

| Parameter               | Median (IQR) | Median (IQR) | Median (IQR) | P-value |
|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------|
| Age [years]             | 72 (56–78)   | 70 (4–80)    | 70 (58–78)   | 0.579   |
| Age when pacemaker was placed | 66 (53–72)   | 67 (62–73)   | 66 (56–73)   | 0.476   |
| Years having the pacemaker | 4 (2–6)     | 4 (2–5)     | 3 (1–5)     | 0.011   |
Table VI. Characteristics associated with depression levels

| Parameter | Low levels of depression | Moderate levels of depression | High levels of depression | P-value |
|-----------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Gender:   |                          |                               |                          | 0.002   |
| Male      | 120 (75.0)               | 23 (14.4)                    | 17 (10.6)                |         |
| Female    | 48 (53.3)                | 24 (26.7)                    | 18 (20.0)                |         |
| Education:|                          |                               |                          | 0.023   |
| Primary school | 76 (62.8)             | 23 (19.0)                    | 22 (18.2)                |         |
| Secondary school | 50 (62.5)            | 18 (22.5)                    | 12 (15.0)                |         |
| University | 42 (85.7)                | 6 (12.2)                     | 1 (2.0)                  |         |
| Do you consider yourself anxious? | 0.001 |                          |                           |         |
| Yes       | 104 (59.8)               | 38 (21.8)                    | 32 (18.4)                |         |
| No        | 64 (84.2)                | 9 (11.8)                     | 3 (3.9)                  |         |
| Do you have anxiety about your heart rate disorder? | 0.001 |                          |                           |         |
| A lot/moderate | 51 (48.6)            | 29 (27.6)                    | 25 (23.8)                |         |
| A little/not at all | 117 (80.7)        | 18 (12.4)                    | 10 (6.9)                 |         |
| Do you have anxiety about the proper function of the device? | 0.001 |                          |                           |         |
| A lot/moderate | 49 (48.5)            | 28 (27.7)                    | 24 (23.8)                |         |
| A little/not at all | 119 (79.9)        | 19 (12.8)                    | 11 (7.4)                 |         |
| Do you have someone who helps you in your everyday activities? | 0.001 |                          |                           |         |
| Yes       | 72 (55.0)                | 32 (24.4)                    | 27 (20.6)                |         |
| No        | 96 (80.7)                | 15 (12.6)                    | 8 (6.7)                  |         |
| Do you think that the pacemaker solves the problem of arrhythmia? | 0.001 |                          |                           |         |
| Yes       | 168 (70.9)               | 43 (18.1)                    | 26 (11.0)                |         |
| No        | 0 (0.0)                  | 4 (30.8)                     | 9 (69.2)                 |         |
| Has your quality of life improved after the placement? | 0.001 |                          |                           |         |
| A lot     | 102 (80.3)               | 11 (8.7)                     | 14 (11.0)                |         |
| Moderately| 64 (64.6)                | 28 (28.3)                    | 7 (7.1)                  |         |
| A little/Not at all | 2 (8.3)        | 8 (33.3)                     | 14 (58.3)                |         |
| Do you smoke after the placement? | 0.003 |                          |                           |         |
| Yes       | 39 (88.6)                | 4 (9.1)                      | 1 (2.3)                  |         |
| No        | 129 (62.6)               | 43 (20.9)                    | 34 (16.5)                |         |
| Do you exercise after the placement? | 0.001 |                          |                           |         |
| A lot/moderately | 42 (77.8)            | 10 (18.5)                    | 2 (3.7)                  |         |
| A little  | 69 (81.2)                | 11 (12.9)                    | 5 (5.9)                  |         |
| Not at all| 57 (51.4)                | 26 (23.4)                    | 28 (25.2)                |         |
| Symptoms after the placement: | 0.001 |                          |                           |         |
| Yes       | 13 (37.1)                | 11 (31.4)                    | 11 (31.4)                |         |
| No        | 155 (72.1)               | 36 (16.7)                    | 24 (11.2)                |         |

| Parameter | Median (IQR) | Median (IQR) | Median (IQR) | P-value |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------|
| Age [years] | 72 (57–78) | 69 (60–80) | 75 (59–80) | 0.226 |
| Age when pacemaker was placed | 66 (53–72) | 66 (58–74) | 70 (57–76) | 0.138 |
| Years having the pacemaker | 4 (2–6) | 3.5 (1.5–5) | 2 (2–5) | 0.034 |
Regarding depression, women experienced high levels of depression more frequently (20%) than men (10.6%, \( p = 0.002 \)). Similarly, high levels of depression were observed more frequently in patients with primary school education (18.2%, \( p = 0.023 \)) and those who considered themselves anxious (18.4%, \( p = 0.001 \)) or were very anxious about their heart rate (23.8%, \( p = 0.001 \)). Furthermore, patients who had someone helping them in their everyday activities were prone to high levels of depression more frequently (20.6%, \( p = 0.001 \)), and this was also the case among patients who did not believe that the pacemaker solved the problem (69.2%, \( p = 0.001 \)).

### Association between fatigue and levels of anxiety/depression

Table VII presents the results of the association of fatigue and levels of anxiety/depression. From the results, there was a statistically significant association between anxiety/depression and the total score of fatigue as well as in physical and mental fatigue (\( p < 0.001 \) for all associations). To be specific, patients with high levels of anxiety and depression felt more fatigue.

### Effect of anxiety/depression on fatigue

In order to assess the effect of anxiety/depression on fatigue, multiple linear regression was performed which was adjusted to various potential confounding factors affecting fatigue. From Table VIII it was concluded that after adjustment for confounders there was a significant reduction in the effect of anxiety/depression on fatigue (\( \beta \)-coefficients were reduced > 10%).

Furthermore, patients with moderate levels of anxiety had 5.77 (95% CI: 3.72–7.85, \( p = 0.001 \)) points higher in the total fatigue, 3.55 (95% CI: 2.01–5.10, \( p = 0.001 \)) points higher in the physical fatigue and 2.22 (95% CI: 1.43–3.01, \( p = 0.001 \)) points higher in the mental fatigue score than patients with low levels of anxiety after adjusting for confounding factors. Also, patients with high levels of anxiety had 10.55 (95% CI: 8.48–12.62, \( p < 0.001 \)) points higher in total fatigue, 6.36 (95% CI: 4.81–7.90, \( p < 0.001 \)) points higher in physical fatigue and 4.16 (95% CI: 3.37–4.95, \( p < 0.001 \)) points higher in mental fatigue than patients with low levels of anxiety.

Regarding depression, similarly as above, patients with moderate levels of depression had 6.73 (95% CI: 4.78–8.69, \( p < 0.001 \)) points higher in total fatigue, 4.07 (95% CI: 2.65–5.48, \( p < 0.001 \)) points higher in physical fatigue and 2.60 (95% CI: 1.81–3.38, \( p < 0.001 \)) points higher in mental fatigue than patients with low levels of depression after adjusting for confounding factors. Furthermore, patients with high levels of depression had 11.40 (95% CI: 9.03–13.77, \( p < 0.001 \)) points higher in total fatigue, 7.74 (95% CI: 6.02–9.45, \( p < 0.001 \)) points higher in physical fatigue and 3.70 (95% CI: 2.72–4.66, \( p < 0.001 \)) points higher in mental fatigue than patients with low levels of depression.

### Study limitations

The results should be interpreted with caution as they are limited by the method of convenience sampling. This method is not representative of all patients in Greece, thus limiting the generalizability.

**Table VII.** Association between fatigue and levels of anxiety/depression

| Variable          | Total fatigue median (IQR) | \( P \)-value | Physical fatigue median (IQR) | \( P \)-value | Mental fatigue median (IQR) | \( P \)-value |
|-------------------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
| **Levels of anxiety:** |                           |              |                             |              |                             |              |
| Low               | 16 (13–19)*               | < 0.001      | 12 (10–15)*                 | < 0.001      | 3 (3–4)*                    | < 0.001      |
| Moderate          | 24.5 (20–27)              |              | 18 (14–20)                  |              | 6 (5–8)                     |              |
| High              | 29 (25–36)                |              | 21 (18–26)                 |              | 8 (7–9)                     |              |
| **Levels of depression:** |                          |              |                             |              |                             |              |
| Low               | 17 (14–19)*               | < 0.001      | 13 (10–16)*                 | < 0.001      | 3 (3–4)*                    | < 0.001      |
| Moderate          | 26 (24–31)                |              | 20 (18–22)                 |              | 8 (6–9)                     |              |
| High              | 36 (28–38)                |              | 26 (20–29)                 |              | 9 (8–11)                    |              |

*Statistically significantly different score compared to the rest of the categories, after Bonferroni correction.
Table VIII. Effect of anxiety/depression on fatigue

| Variable          | Total fatigue \( \beta \) coef. (95% CI) | \( P \)-value | Physical fatigue \( \beta \) coef. (95% CI) | \( P \)-value | Mental fatigue \( \beta \) coef. (95% CI) | \( P \)-value |
|-------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Crude regression  |                                          |               |                                           |               |                                           |               |
| Levels of anxiety:|                                          |               |                                           |               |                                           |               |
| Low               | Ref. category                            |               | Ref. category                             |               | Ref. category                             |               |
| Moderate          | 8.79 (6.61–10.98) < 0.001                |               | 5.76 (4.11–7.40) < 0.001                 |               | 3.03 (2.26–3.79) < 0.001                 |               |
| High              | 13.02 (11.31–14.72) < 0.001              |               | 8.57 (7.28–9.85) < 0.001                 |               | 4.40 (3.80–4.99) < 0.001                 |               |
| Levels of depression: |                                      |               |                                           |               |                                           |               |
| Low               | Ref. category                            |               | Ref. category                             |               | Ref. category                             |               |
| Moderate          | 9.73 (7.92–11.55) < 0.001                |               | 6.27 (4.95–7.59) < 0.001                 |               | 3.40 (2.72–4.08) < 0.001                 |               |
| High              | 16.03 (14.01–18.05) < 0.001              |               | 11.21 (9.74–12.69) < 0.001               |               | 4.81 (4.04–5.57) < 0.001                 |               |
| Adjusted regression* |                                      |               |                                           |               |                                           |               |
| Levels of anxiety:|                                          |               |                                           |               |                                           |               |
| Low               | Ref. category                            |               | Ref. category                             |               | Ref. category                             |               |
| Moderate          | 5.77 (3.72–7.85) < 0.001                |               | 3.55 (2.01–5.10) < 0.001                 |               | 2.22 (1.43–3.01) < 0.001                 |               |
| High              | 10.55 (8.48–12.62) < 0.001              |               | 6.36 (4.81–7.90) < 0.001                 |               | 4.16 (3.37–4.95) < 0.001                 |               |
| Levels of depression: |                                      |               |                                           |               |                                           |               |
| Low               | Ref. category                            |               | Ref. category                             |               | Ref. category                             |               |
| Moderate          | 6.73 (4.78–8.69) < 0.001                |               | 4.07 (2.65–5.48) < 0.001                 |               | 2.60 (1.81–3.38) < 0.001                 |               |
| High              | 11.40 (9.03–13.77) < 0.001              |               | 7.74 (6.02–9.45) < 0.001                 |               | 3.70 (2.72–4.66) < 0.001                 |               |

*Regression adjusted to the following factors: gender, age, educational level, degree of information, whether patients consider themselves anxious, whether patients have anxiety about their heart rate or the proper function of the device, whether patients have someone who helps, whether patients think that the pacemaker solves the problem of arrhythmia, how much the quality of life was improved, whether patients smoke or exercise after the placement and whether they experienced any symptoms.

Discussion

In the present study the majority of participants were men. Similar observations were made in Germany [1] and in the U.S. [13], with 52.7% and 52.8% of participants respectively being male. In Zurich out of a total of 70 participants, 50 were male and 26 female [8]. According to the results, 27.2% and 14.0% of participants experienced high levels of anxiety and depression, respectively. In clinical settings, the value of this finding is not merely to compare it with other relevant studies but to enhance awareness about psychological distress among health care professionals, who usually pay more attention to technical aspects of the device [8]. However, a similar observation was made in a prior survey which showed that 10.7% of pacemaker patients were clinically depressed [14]. Cardiac illness may be a chronic burden that triggers a depressive episode as it changes the individual’s sense of purpose and meaning in life [15, 16].

Data of the present study revealed high levels of anxiety and depression in women, and in patients with primary education. These findings are in line with Vellone et al., who indicated that less educated individuals and those having low income were more vulnerable to anxiety and depression [4]. Similarly, Aydemir et al. [14] found that the severity of depression was significantly higher in females while uneducated patients had a significant loss of energy. Meanwhile, the role of gender in the endorsement of psychopathology is well known. For example, women are different regarding family or social roles, heritability or vulnerability, personality traits, biological risk, and other mechanisms [17–20].

Higher levels of anxiety were experienced by participants who reported dependency on health professionals. The association between anxiety and dependency on health professionals has seldom been the subject of systematic enquiry. Nevertheless, device implantation typically in-
volves a short hospital stay, although treatment success demands regular and long-term follow-up to assess device functioning or any other practical aspects [21]. Therefore, clinical settings should encourage scheduled visits to emphasize patient responsibility in the therapeutic regimen and support self-efficacy behaviors [21, 22]. Interestingly, 20% of recipients request support group participation [8].

Data also revealed high levels of anxiety and depression in patients who considered themselves anxious, or were very anxious about heart rate, the proper functioning of the device and those who did not believe that the device solves the problem of arrhythmia. To the best of our knowledge, these findings have been rarely addressed in previous studies. Hence, it is crucial to know which patient-related characteristics have true value when assessing the occurrence of anxiety and depression. Nevertheless, the psychological burden needs deep exploration whether it is attributed to the lack of knowledge or doubts about the therapeutic effect. Adopting the model of participatory decision making, health professionals should co-operate with patients and their families to ensure that they understand the context of this arrhythmia management device [7]. Patients who have not acquired sufficient knowledge may confront many hazards [21–23].

In support of this view there is evidence that patients may impose unnecessary restrictions on routine activities (driving, climbing stairs, using the arm of the implantation side, use of a microwave oven) especially when they perceive them as a threat to the proper functioning of the device [24]. Data illustrate the need for future research to identify other types of interventions (telephone follow-up or internet use) to maintain the acquired knowledge of pacemaker-related issues [16, 21]. Finally and more strikingly, patients reporting themselves as anxious may perceive all the necessary changes in life including restrictions imposed by the device as a threat [25].

According to the current data, patients with high levels of anxiety and depression felt more fatigue. Data referring to psychiatric disorders and fatigue among patients with a pacemaker are limited in both national and international scientific research and several issues remain obscure. However, the association between fatigue and anxiety or depression seems to be a vicious circle, since having one may dramatically increase the risk of developing the other. Additionally, this unfortunate connection makes individuals socially isolated [26, 27]. Though these two complex phenomena share common characteristics, the nature of this relation remains unclear. Indeed, they both affect physical, emotional, cognitive and behavioral domains of human life.

An overlap in symptoms is frequently observed, but patients with chronic fatigue lack feelings of anhedonia, guilt, and decreased motivation which are typically seen in patients with depression [15]. Patients with chronic fatigue show similar motor impairment to those with depression [28]. Approximately, two thirds of patients having chronic fatigue also present signs of major depression. Both anxiety and depression may affect levels of fatigue in various ways. Individuals with depression lack motivation or energy to perform either physical or mental tasks, and they frequently experience changes in sleep patterns, which in turn increase levels of fatigue [29]. On the other end of the spectrum, anxiety makes individuals more vulnerable to panic, fear and other high-stress responses which successively increase levels of fatigue. Anxiety frequently co-exists with depression [26].

In conclusion, high levels of anxiety and depression were observed in women, participants with primary education, those who described themselves as anxious, who were very anxious about their heart rate and the proper functioning of the device, those who had help with their daily activities, those who believed that the pacemaker does not solve the problem of arrhythmia, those who did not believe that their quality of life was improved, those who did not smoke and finally participants who had experienced symptoms.

It is widely accepted that the pacemaker is an opportunity to prolong patients’ survival. Notwithstanding, it needs routine follow-up along with the systematic evaluation of anxiety and depression and consultation with psychiatrists.

This research illustrates the association between fatigue and anxiety/depression and contributes to identifying associated factors. The findings may help health care professionals to provide holistic care by implementing appropriate strategies to alleviate this burden and help patients manage to live with this device.

Future research might involve a group of patients in pre-implantation and post-implantation phases with a follow-up of one or more years afterwards. Research material on pacemaker patients in Greece is limited, so future research efforts may shed more light on this sensitive population and the burden of their physical emotions.
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