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Abstract

**Purpose:** The goal of this study was to compare the tumor uptake kinetics and diagnostic value of three $^{68}$Ga-DOTA-labeled somatostatin analogues ($^{68}$Ga-DOTATOC, $^{68}$Ga-DOTANOC, and $^{68}$Ga-DOTATATE) using PET/CT in a murine model with subcutaneous meningioma xenografts.

**Methods:** The experiment was performed with 16 male NUDE NU/NU mice bearing xenografts of a human meningioma cell line (CH-157MN). $^{68}$Ga-DOTATOC, $^{68}$Ga-DOTANOC, and $^{68}$Ga-DOTATATE were produced in a FASTLab automated platform. Imaging was performed on an Argus small-animal PET/CT scanner. The SUV$_{max}$ of the liver and muscle, and the tumor-to-muscle (T/M) SUV ratios were computed. Kinetic analysis was performed using Logan graphical analysis for a two-tissue reversible compartmental model, and the volume of distribution (V$_t$) was determined.

**Results:** Hepatic SUV$_{max}$ and V$_t$ were significantly higher with $^{68}$Ga-DOTANOC than with $^{68}$Ga-DOTATOC and $^{68}$Ga-DOTATATE. No significant differences between tracers were found for SUV$_{max}$ in tumor or muscle. No differences were found in the T/L SUV ratio between $^{68}$Ga-DOTATATE and $^{68}$Ga-DOTATOC, both of which had a higher fraction than $^{68}$Ga-DOTANOC. The T/M SUV ratio was significantly higher with $^{68}$Ga-DOTATATE than with $^{68}$Ga-DOTATOC and $^{68}$Ga-DOTANOC. The V$_t$ for tumor was higher with $^{68}$Ga-DOTANOC than with $^{68}$Ga-DOTATOC and relatively similar to that of $^{68}$Ga-DOTATOC.

**Conclusions:** This study demonstrates, for the first time, the ability of the three radiolabeled somatostatin analogues tested to image a human meningioma cell line. Although V$_t$ was relatively similar with $^{68}$Ga-DOTATATE and $^{68}$Ga-DOTATOC, uptake was higher with $^{68}$Ga-DOTATATE in the tumor than with $^{68}$Ga-DOTANOC and $^{68}$Ga-DOTATOC, suggesting a higher diagnostic value of $^{68}$Ga-DOTATATE for detecting meningiomas.

Introduction

Meningiomas arise from the meningothelial cells of the arachnoid membranes, which are attached to the inner layer of the dura mater [1]. With a yearly incidence of approximately 7.44/100,000, they account for 35% of primary intracranial tumors [2]. Meningiomas are usually diagnosed using morphologic imaging methods such as computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). However, meningiomas located near the base of the skull may be difficult to distinguish from other lesions, such as lymphomas, metastases, or neurinomas. Consequently, management of meningiomas at these sites requires a specific therapeutic approach [3]. Functional imaging techniques could be advantageous for detecting meningiomas in cases where biopsy is risky (e.g., location near critical intracranial structures) and for tumors located at the skull base, with possible infiltration of bone structures.

Meningiomas express a large variety of receptors, including progesterone, androgens, growth factor, prolactin, dopamine, and somatostatin receptor subtype 2 (SSTR2) [4, 5]. Abundant expression of SSTRs is a characteristic of many types of tumors, mainly neuroendocrine tumors (NETs), lung cancer, lymphomas, and meningiomas. To date, five different SSTR subtypes have been identified (SSTR1–5). Meningiomas express relatively high levels of SSTR2, thus making them ideal targets for functional imaging and radionuclide therapy with radiolabeled somatostatin analogues [5, 6]. These receptors can be visualized in vivo by targeted positron emission tomography (PET) tracers.
68Ga-DOTA-labeled somatostatin analogues are PET tracers that bind specifically to somatostatin receptors (SSTRs). 68Ga has clear advantages: it has a short half-life (68 minutes), which facilitates its application in clinical practice, and can be produced with a 68Ge/68Ga radionuclide generator. The 3 compounds most widely used in PET functional imaging are 68Ga-DOTATOC (68Ga-DOTA-Tyr3-octreotide), 68Ga-DOTANOC (68Ga-DOTA-Nal3-octreotide), and 68Ga-DOTATATE (68Ga-DOTA-Tyr3-octreotate). 68Ga-DOTATOC and 68Ga-DOTATATE are commonly used for PET/CT imaging of SSTRs. Their high affinity has been demonstrated for SSTR2, which is one of the most common SSTR subtypes found in tumors [7]. 68Ga-DOTANOC targets a broader range of somatostatin subtype receptors, including SSTR2, SSTR3, and SSTR5 [8]. Preliminary results in humans suggest that this new radiopeptide identifies more metastases than SSTR2-specific tracers [9]. However, it is not yet clear which of these somatostatin analogues provides better results in the case of meningiomas.

68Ga-DOTATOC is the most commonly used radiotracer for imaging meningioma [10,11,12]. The ability of 68Ga-DOTATOC to adequately detect this tumor has proven useful for planning radiation therapy. Moreover, 68Ga-DOTATOC-PET data can complement anatomical data from MRI and CT to improve target volume definition, especially in cases with complex infiltration and recurrent disease after surgery [13,14]. In fact, recent progress in the development of PET radiotracers has enabled PET/CT imaging of various SSTRs, and 68Ga-DOTA-labeled somatostatin analogues have been reported to show higher sensitivity for the detection of NETs and other types of tumors than the most widely used radiotracer, 2-deoxy-2-[18F] fluoro-D-glucose, which measures glucose metabolism [15,16].

To our knowledge, the PET radiotracers 68Ga-DOTATOC, 68Ga-DOTANOC, and 68Ga-DOTATATE have not been directly compared in terms of tumor uptake and ability to detect meningiomas. Therefore, the goal of this study was to compare the tumor uptake kinetics and diagnostic value of these three 68Ga-DOTA-labeled somatostatin analogues in a PET/CT animal model with subcutaneous human meningioma xenografts.

Materials and Methods

1. Cell culture
CH-157MN tumor cells were provided by Randy Jensen, from the Department of Neurosurgery of the University of Utah Health Care. This human malignant meningioma cell line exhibits microscopic, immunohistochemical, and ultrastructural features of meningioma [17,18]. Cells were grown in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM) (from Sigma-Aldrich) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 2 mM L-glutamine, 50 units/ml penicillin, and 50 μg/ml streptomycin. Cultures were maintained at 37°C and in 5% CO2. Cell viability was over 90%, as determined by trypsin-blue staining.

2. Meningioma mouse flank xenograft model
The experiment was performed with 16 male NUDE NU/NU mice. The animals were purchased from Charles River Laboratories (Spain), maintained at a constant temperature (24±0.5°C) under a 12 hour light/dark cycle, and permitted free access to commercial rodent laboratory chow and water. All experimental procedures were conducted in conformity with European Communities Council Directive 2010/63/EU and approved by the Ethics Committee for Animal Experimentation of our hospital (Comité de Ética de Experimentación Animal, CEEA; number ES280790000087).

A CH-157MN tumor cell suspension containing 1.5×106 cells in a volume of 0.1 ml was injected subcutaneously into both flanks using a 30-gauge needle to increase the probability of growth. The tumor size threshold to start PET/CT imaging was 0.1 cc.

3. Synthesis of 68Ga-DOTA-peptides
The precursors were obtained from BCN Peptides (Barcelona, Spain) [DOTATOC] and ABX GmbH (Radeberg, Germany) [DOTATATE and DOTANOC]. The reagents HCl 30%, ethanol, acetonitrile, and HEPES were obtained from Merck; NaCl was obtained from Sigma Aldrich.

Most of the synthesis was performed using an automated process programmed in a FastLab module (General Electric Healthcare). Before synthesis was started, the precursor (11.37, 11.13, and 10.98 nmols of DOTATOC, DOTATATE, and DOTANOC, respectively) and HEPES were introduced into the needle of the reactor. 68Ga was obtained from a 50-mCi Obninsk 68Ge/68Ga radionuclide generator (Eckert & Ziegler) by elution with HCI 0.1N.

In this step, we applied a fractionation method, keeping only the middle (most active) part of the eluate. The effluent containing the 68Ga was stored in a reservoir, and HCl 30% was added. The mixture was passed through a SAX cartridge (SPE-Spezialartuschen Chromafix PS-HCO3, Macherey-Nagel) for purification and concentration of 68Ga, which was eluted from the cartridge to the reactor using 200 μl of ultrapure water. The mixture was heated at 95°C for 10 minutes for labeling. Once the reaction had finished, the reactor was washed with ultrapure water to obtain the 68Ga-DOTA-peptide, which was purified by passing it through a C18 cartridge (Sep-Pak SPE Waters). The product was eluted from the Sep-Pak with ethanol and heated at 120°C for evaporation of ethanol and sterilization. The radiotracer was finally formulated in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS)/NaCl (1/3).

The protocol used for the synthesis of 68Ga-DOTATOC and 68Ga-DOTANOC was slightly different from that of 68Ga-DOTATOC. Changes included a second elution of the anion exchange cartridge that duplicated the volume in the reactor, thus increasing acidity (pH = 4.5 for 68Ga-DOTATOC and pH = 4.0 for 68Ga-DOTATATE and 68Ga-DOTANOC). Also, the reactor was washed twice to recover more activity. The reason for these changes was to improve the radiochemical yield that increased from 37.8% to 67.8% for 68Ga-DOTATATE and from 43% to 66.1% for 68Ga-DOTANOC.

Quality controls were carried out before administration of the tracer. The products were clear and colorless, pH was measured using pH paper strips, which consistently yielded values of around 7. The purity of the radiochemical was measured in a 1200 Agilent HPLC system, the average of all tracers being greater than 98%. Radioisotopes values are expressed as mean ± SEM (standard error of mean).

4. Determination of SSTR affinity
Affinity was determined from three independent experiments performed in triplicate and was measured by means of a homologous competitive binding experiment according to Moltusky and Neubig [19]. The IC50 (concentration of cold ligand [somatostatin-28] that reduces specific binding of radioligand by 50%) was determined by competitive binding assays with [125I]-somatostatin-28 (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, UK) in CH-157MN meningioma cells. Briefly, cells were incubated into a 24-well cell culture plate (2-2.5×105 cells/well) at 37°C for 1 hour in a CO2 incubator with 0.5 nM [125I]-somatostatin-28 (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, UK) in the presence of increasing concentrations of somatostatin-28 (0.1 nM-10 μM) in 0.25 ml of binding
media (DMEM, 2 mM L-glutamine, 50 units/ml penicillin and 50 μg/ml streptomycin). The reaction medium was aspirated after incubation. Cells were rinsed with 0.5 ml of ice-cold PBS twice and lysed in 0.5 ml of 1 N NaOH for five minutes. The activity in cells was measured in a Packard Cobra II gamma counter. The competitive binding curves were obtained by plotting the [125I]-somatostatin-28 bound to cells against concentrations of cold ligand. IC50 and maximum numbers of binding sites (Bmax) were calculated using Origin 7.5 software (OriginLab Corporation, Northampton, Massachusetts, USA).

5. PET imaging studies

Animals were scanned on a small-animal PET scanner (ARGUS PET-CT, SEDECAL, Madrid, Spain) under anesthesia (isoflurane, 3% induction and 1.5% maintenance in 100% O2). Imaging was performed 7–28 days after tumor cell inoculation. Animals were imaged over four consecutive weeks (at days 7, 14, 21 & 28 after cell inoculation) with one radiotracer (n = 8 with 68Ga-DOTATOC; n = 4 with 68Ga-DOTANOC and n = 4 with 68Ga-DOTATATE). Half of the animals imaged with 68Ga-DOTATOC were also imaged with the other two radiotracers in alternate days with respect to 68Ga-DOTATOC (n represents the number of animals).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0111624.g001

Figure 1. Experimental protocol. Animals were imaged with 68Ga-DOTATOC (white line), 68Ga-DOTANOC (gray line) and/or 68Ga-DOTATATE (dotted line). Half of the animals imaged with 68Ga-DOTATOC were also imaged with the other two radiotracers in alternate days with respect to 68Ga-DOTATOC.

7. Analysis of PET-CT data

Three 3D ROIs were drawn manually on the CT image, one for each tissue of interest (tumor, liver, and muscle), plus a background ROI outside the body area. Since the CT images are intrinsically registered to the PET images, the ROIs drawn on the CT image were directly overlaid on the PET image after correction for the different image sizes. The assessment of PET data included calculation of the maximum standard uptake value (SUVmax) on the static tomographic study and computation of the volume of distribution (Vt) from the dynamic study.

a) Static study. Tumor, muscle, and liver SUVmax values at 30–90 minutes post-injection were recorded. As our field of view did not include the brain, the usual localization of this type of tumor, we selected two reference tissues: the liver, which consistently shows a slight to moderate physiologic SSTR density, and muscle, with low SSTR expression, similar to that of the brain [21]. The SUVmax of the tumor lesions was normalized to the SUVmax of the liver (T/L) and muscle (T/M) [21]. The T/L and T/M SUV ratios were considered markers of the ability of the radiopharmaceutical to localize in the tumor.

b) Dynamic study. Vt was calculated from dynamic PET data using standard Logan graphical analysis for a two-tissue reversible compartmental model [22]. Image-derived input functions and tissue time-activity curves were obtained by manually drawing ROIs over the static PET image (artery) or CT image (liver, muscle, and tumor). The artery used was the descending aorta, because the spillover from other organs is low and the descending aorta extends from the upper chest to the lower abdomen [23]. The model was resolved using the tracer kinetic modeling library [24].

8. Immunohistochemistry

The animals were sacrificed in a CO2 chamber. Tumors were extracted and fixed in 10% formalin solution. Five-micrometer paraffin sections were used for immunohistochemical analysis. High temperature antigen unmasking (microwaving of slides in 0.01-M citrate buffer for 10 minutes) was used after deparaffination to enhance staining. Sections were incubated with 5% horse serum for 30 minutes to block the Fc receptor in tissue and then
washed three times with sterile PBS (pH 7.5) before incubation with polyclonal antibody IgG anti-SSTR2 (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri, USA) and dilution (1/50) in PBS/bovine serum albumin [25]. Goat anti-rabbit IgG-peroxidase secondary antibody was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, Missouri, USA). For immunohistochemistry, peroxidase was visualized using diaminobenzidine as a substrate (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA). The sections were counterstained with hematoxylin.

9. Statistical analysis

The data analysis only included tumors grown in the left flank. SUV_{max} and V_t are presented as mean ± standard error of mean (SEM). Homogeneity of variance was assessed using Levene’s test. Data were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by post hoc tests (Tukey’s HSD) when statistical significance was reached (p < 0.05).

Results

Radiosynthesis

Radiosynthesis was successfully performed with the three somatostatin analogues. For \(^{68}\)Ga-DOTATOC, \(^{68}\)Ga-DOTANOC and \(^{68}\)Ga-DOTATATE respectively, the mean activity (MBq) was 232.73 ± 14.06, 275.28 ± 14.80 and 274.90 ± 10.36; the decay-corrected radiochemical yield (%) was 54.03 ± 2.45, 66.13 ± 3.35 and 67.81 ± 5.12; the radiochemical purity (%) was 97.86 ± 0.51, 99.38 ± 0.31 and 99.68 ± 0.13 and the injected specific activity (MBq/nmol) was 11.07 ± 1.09, 12.45 ± 1.29 and 12.33 ± 1.32.

Tumor growth

All animals developed tumors on both flanks, although only left side tumors were included in the data analysis. Tumor volumes were 0.13 ± 0.01 cc (7–9 days), 0.73 ± 0.10 cc (14–16 days), 1.55 ± 0.21 cc (21–23 days) and 1.55 ± 0.32 cc (28–30 days). Tumor growth showed a second grade polynomial trend with an R^2 = 0.9619.

In vitro binding study

Figure 2A shows the high binding affinity of SSTR in CH-157MN cells for the universal ligand somatostatin-28. The IC_{50} was 63.7 ± 32.3 nM and the B_{max} was 78.4 ± 6.5 fmol/10^6 cells.

Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemical analysis showed that the tumor expressed SSTR2 (Figure 2B); staining was more intense on the periphery of the cells and showed weaker reactivity inside them.

Static imaging study: SUV_{max} analysis

Figure 3 shows the mean SUV_{max} for tumor, muscle, and liver, as well as the T/L and T/M SUV ratios for each radiotracer. The ANOVA revealed significant differences in liver uptake between radiotracers (p < 0.001, F = 9.164), with \(^{68}\)Ga-DOTANOC showing higher uptake than \(^{68}\)Ga-DOTATOC or \(^{68}\)Ga-DOTATATE (p < 0.001). No significant differences between tracers were found for SUV_{max} in tumor and muscle tissue.

The ANOVA also revealed significant differences in T/L SUV ratio between radiotracers (p < 0.001, F = 19.646), with \(^{68}\)Ga-DOTANOC showing lower uptake than \(^{68}\)Ga-DOTATOC and \(^{68}\)Ga-DOTATATE (p < 0.001). No differences were found between \(^{68}\)Ga-DOTATATE and \(^{68}\)Ga-DOTATOC.

As for differences in the T/M SUV ratio, ANOVA revealed significant differences between the radiotracers (p < 0.01, F = 5.560), with \(^{68}\)Ga-DOTATATE uptake proving to be higher than that of \(^{68}\)Ga-DOTATOC (p < 0.01) and \(^{68}\)Ga-DOTANOC (p < 0.05).

Figure 3 shows comparative PET/CT images of the same animal for the three radiotracers. The tumors in the flank were better visualized with \(^{68}\)Ga-DOTATOC and \(^{68}\)Ga-DOTATATE than with \(^{68}\)Ga-DOTANOC.

Dynamic study: V_t analysis

ANOVA revealed significant differences in V_t between radiotracers in the tumor (p = 0.0132, F = 5.349) and radiotracers in the liver (p = 0.0013, F = 10.706). Post hoc tests revealed significant differences between \(^{68}\)Ga-DOTANOC and \(^{68}\)Ga-DOTATOC...
(p<0.01 for tumor and p<0.05 for liver). Statistically significant differences were also found between 68Ga-DOTANOC and 68Ga-DOTATATE (p<0.05 for tumor and p<0.05 for liver).

No significant differences were found for Vt in muscle. Figure 4 shows the Vt (liver, muscle, and tumor) for each radiotracer.

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first report to compare the tumor uptake and diagnostic value of the three 68Ga-DOTA-labeled somatostatin analogues 68Ga-DOTATOC, 68Ga-DOTANOC, and 68Ga-DOTATATE using PET/CT in an animal model with a subcutaneous meningioma xenograft. Our study demonstrates the ability of the three radiolabeled somatostatin analogues to image mice bearing human meningioma xenografts.

Only three papers have evaluated the kinetics of 68Ga-DOTA-labeled somatostatin analogues by PET in humans. The first focused on meningiomas located near the skull base [26]. The kinetics of 68Ga-DOTATOC was analyzed using a two-tissue compartmental model, which revealed significant differences between meningiomas and the reference tissue, with high values in the tumor for vascular fraction, receptor binding, and k1/k2 and k3/k4 ratios. These data highlight that the main mechanisms responsible for tracer accumulation are receptor binding and trapping by internalization. The second and third studies compared the kinetics of 68Ga-DOTATOC and 68Ga-DOTANOC in NETs [27,28] and did not reveal significant differences in kinetic behavior between the tracers. Our results are consistent with those of these studies: no significant differences in Vt were found between the two tracers, suggesting that the human meningioma cell line used had predominantly SSTR2, since both radiotracers exhibit mostly SSTR2-selective binding. Moreover, our binding and immunohistochemical studies showed that the CH-157MN meningioma cells expressed SSTR2 and, consequently, suggest that both radiotracers could be equally used for imaging and staging patients with meningioma. On the contrary, Vt in the tumor was lower for 68Ga-DOTANOC than for the other two tracers, and although 68Ga-DOTANOC has higher affinity for SSTR2 than 68Ga-DOTATOC, it also has affinity for SSTR3 and SSTR5 [29]. Consequently, there seems to be no advantage to using a tracer that targets a broader range of somatostatin subtype receptors when imaging meningioma.

We recorded significant differences between radiotracers in the SUV of the liver and the T/L and T/M SUV ratios. Uptake of 68Ga-DOTANOC in the liver was greater than that of the other tracers, probably because 68Ga-DOTANOC is more lipophilic than 68Ga-DOTATATE and 68Ga-DOTATOC, resulting in increased accumulation of tracer in the liver [8]. The T/L SUV ratio was similar for both 68Ga-DOTATOC and 68Ga-DOTANOC and higher than that of 68Ga-DOTATATE, probably because of the lower uptake of these tracers than of 68Ga-DOTANOC in the liver. This finding must be taken into account if the tumor is located in the liver. On the other hand, the T/M SUV ratio for 68Ga-DOTATATE was significantly higher than that obtained with 68Ga-DOTATOC or 68Ga-DOTANOC. Normalization to a tissue with moderate SSTR expression (liver) or to a tissue with lower SSTR expression (muscle) yields slightly different results, indicating that the organ distribution of these somatostatin analogues differs depending on the peptide used in the radiotracer. Since expression of SSTR is similar in both muscle and brain tissue, muscle was the tissue chosen for normalization in our study. In this respect, uptake of 68Ga-DOTATATE in the tumor was greater than that of the other two tracers, suggesting that 68Ga-DOTATATE might be considered the radiotracer of choice for detection of meningioma in the brain.

Few authors have compared the SUVmax of [68Ga]-labeled somatostatin analogues, and none were assessed in meningiomas. Poeppel et al. showed that the SUVmax of 68Ga-DOTATOC tended to be higher than that of 68Ga-DOTATATE [7,21]. Velikyan et al. found no statistically significant differences in tumor uptake between 68Ga-DOTATOC and 68Ga-DOTANOC, but suggested that the slight difference in healthy organ distribution may render 68Ga-DOTATATE preferable for planning of peptide receptor radionuclide therapy [28,30]. Yang et al. suggested that 68Ga-DOTATATE may be more sensitive and specific than 68Ga-DOTANOC [31]. Our results are in line with those of previous works with NETs, and the organ distribution for 68Ga-DOTATATE and 68Ga-DOTANOC is also very similar. Only two studies have compared tumor detection rates of 68Ga-DOTATOC and 68Ga-DOTANOC in patients with NETs [32,33]. Wild et al. detected significantly more lesions with 68Ga-DOTANOC than with 68Ga-DOTATATE [33], probably owing to its broader somatostatin receptor binding profile [29]. On the contrary, Kabasakal et al. demonstrated that images obtained with 68Ga-DOTATOC and 68Ga-DOTANOC could have comparable diagnostic accuracy for NETs, although 68Ga-DOTATATE showed a higher uptake and may have a potential advantage over 68Ga-DOTANOC [32]. Our results agree with those of Kabasakal et al. and provide further evidence in favor of 68Ga-DOTATATE as the first-choice radiotracer or of 68Ga-DOTANOC as the second choice in the case of meningiomas.

Our study has several limitations. The first is the low anatomical resolution of the PET images. Measurements of metabolic activity by this technique in small regions may not be entirely accurate, since the activity of the ROI could be contaminated by that of surrounding regions. However, partial volume effects were minimized by defining the ROI on a registered CT scan of the same animal. Second, although partial volume effects were not corrected in the kinetic analysis, we were not measuring absolute values of Vt but merely comparing the relative volumes of distribution between the three tracers. Since each tracer was studied using the same tumor, with only a short time interval between studies, and with CT-defined ROIs, it is likely that the partial volume effects would be similar for all tracers. Finally, SUV was normalized using liver (with moderate-high SSTR expression) and muscle (with low SSTR expression), whereas it would also be advisable to have used brain tissue, as the tumor/brain ratio could be more informative about uptake differences with this tumor type in practice. In any case, with the current normalized values and the result of the kinetic analysis, it can be assumed that at least 68Ga-DOTATATE shows increased uptake with regards to the other two tracers, assuming that the tumor/muscle ratio would be considered similar to that of the tumor/brain ratio.

In conclusion, this study demonstrates the ability of the three radiolabeled somatostatin analogues tested to image a human meningioma cell line. Although the Vt was relatively similar for
68Ga-DOTATATE and 68Ga-DOTATOC. 68Ga-DOTATATE showed a higher tumor to muscle ratio uptake than the other radiotracers, suggesting that it should be the preferred option for detecting meningiomas.
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