Differences between Non-arteritic Anterior Ischemic Optic Neuropathy and Open Angle Glaucoma with Altitudinal Visual Field Defect
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Purpose: To investigate the differences in retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) change and optic nerve head parameters between non-arteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy (NAION) and open angle glaucoma (OAG) with altitudinal visual field defect.

Methods: Seventeen NAION patients and 26 OAG patients were enrolled prospectively. The standard visual field indices (mean deviation, pattern standard deviation) were obtained from the Humphrey visual field test and differences between the two groups were analyzed. Cirrus HD-OCT parameters were used, including optic disc head analysis, average RNFL thickness, and RNFL thickness of each quadrant.

Results: The mean deviation and pattern standard deviation were not significantly different between the groups. In the affected eye, although the disc area was similar between the two groups (2.00 ± 0.32 and 1.99 ± 0.33 mm², \( p = 0.586 \)), the rim area of the OAG group was smaller than that of the NAION group (1.26 ± 0.56 and 0.61 ± 0.15 mm², respectively, \( p < 0.001 \)). RNFL asymmetry was not different between the two groups (\( p = 0.265 \)), but the inferior RNFL thickness of both the affected and unaffected eyes were less in the OAG group than in the NAION group. In the analysis of optic disc morphology, both affected and unaffected eyes showed significant differences between two groups.

Conclusions: To differentiate NAION from OAG in eyes with altitudinal visual field defects, optic disc head analysis of not only the affected eye, but also the unaffected eye, by using spectral domain optical coherence tomography may be helpful.
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ed from glaucoma, especially in clock-hour sectors by comparing the rim-retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) correlation. Using Heidelberg retinal tomography (HRT), they demonstrated that a number of parameters were significantly different between glaucoma and NAION. With similar damage, glaucoma was associated with larger and deeper cups, smaller rims, greater cup volume, and reduced rim volume [8]. However, HRT is not interchangeable with spectral domain optical coherence tomography (OCT) for the analysis of the optic disc head [9]. Thus, in this study, we investigated differences in RNFL changes and optic nerve head parameters between NAION and glaucoma in patients with altitudinal visual field defects by using spectral domain OCT.

Materials and Methods

A total of 17 NAION patients (8 males, 9 females) and 26 glaucoma patients (15 males, 11 females) were prospectively enrolled between January 2011 and March 2013. This research study was reviewed and approved by the institutional review board of Kim’s Eye Hospital, and all procedures conformed to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki.

The diagnosis of NAION was made by one neuro-ophthalmologist based on clinical examination and history. The inclusion criteria of NAION were duration of symptoms <14 days at baseline eligibility visit, best-corrected visual acuity in the affected eye from 20 / 64 to light perception, visual field defects consistent with optic neuropathy (pattern deviation ≤3.0 dB) and relative afferent pupilary defect. All patients had disc edema at the initial visit. Among 41 NAION patients, 17 patients had an altitudinal defect with a sharp border along the horizontal meridian. The OCT and visual field test were performed at least two months after acute optic disc swelling. The inclusion criteria for open angle glaucoma (OAG) patients with an altitudinal defect with a sharp border along the horizontal meridian for the first visit were as follows: age at onset >18 years and RNFL loss visible on red-free fundus photography with open an angle. A glaucomatous optic disc change was defined as a cup-to-disc ratio >0.5 or as a glaucomatous optic disc change (including vertical enlargement of the cup, increase in the depth of the cup, and notching). The fellow eye did not show any typical glaucomatous visual field defect. The exclusion criteria included a history of ocular trauma or compressive optic neuropathy. Also, patients who showed low reliability on the visual field test (over 33% false negative, over 33% false positive, and over 20% fixation loss) were excluded.

Full ophthalmologic examinations, including best-corrected visual acuity, slit-lamp examination, and manifest refraction, were performed. Visual field tests were completed using Humphrey automated perimetry (Fig. 1A-ID). The standard visual field indices (mean deviation [MD] and pattern standard deviation [PSD]) were obtained from the Humphrey visual field test (24-2 SITA program) and differences between the two groups were analyzed.

Patients were scanned using Cirrus HD-OCT (software ver. 6.0.1; Carl Zeiss Meditec, Dublin, CA, USA) with internal fixation. The OCT scans were analyzed with fast

**Fig. 1.** The results of visual field test (A-D) and spectral domain optical coherence (E,F). Ischemic optic neuropathy (A,B,E) and open angle glaucoma (C,D,F). RNFL = retinal nerve fiber layer.
RNFL thickness protocols. In this study, only data with a signal strength greater than 6 was used. The following parameters were analyzed: average RNFL thickness, interocular RNFL asymmetry, rim area, disc area, average cup-to-disc ratio, and vertical cup-to-disc ratio (Fig. 1E and 1F). We also investigated the RNFL thickness of each quadrant (based on a 6 mm × 6 mm data cube captured by the optic disc cube 200 × 200 scan) and compared the ratio of the superior and inferior quadrants in the affected eye. The OCT and visual field test were conducted at the same time.

The data were analyzed using SPSS ver. 15.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The Mann-Whitney test was used to differentiate the visual field defect and RNFL thickness in the two groups. To determine differences between affected eyes and unaffected eyes, the Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used. Differences were considered significant at a p-value of <0.05.

Table 1. Demographics

|                     | NAION group | OAG group | p-value |
|---------------------|-------------|-----------|---------|
| Male : female       | 8 : 9       | 15 : 11   | 0.732†  |
| Age (yr)            | 60.0 ± 9.6  | 64.0 ± 11.2 | 0.165† |
| Refractive error    |             |           |         |
| (SE, D)             |             |           |         |
| Affected eye        | 0.31 ± 0.84 | -0.48 ± 2.72 | 0.631  |
| Unaffected eye      | 0.42 ± 1.64 | -0.17 ± 2.14 | 0.528† |

NAION = non-arteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy; OAG = open angle glaucoma; SE = spherical equivalent; D = diopters.

Table 2. The characteristics of visual field defect

|                     | NAION group (n = 17) | OAG group (n = 26) | p-value |
|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Superior altitudinal| 3                    | 22                 | <0.001† |
| Inferior altitudinal| 14                   | 4                  |         |

Visual field test

|                     | NAION group (n = 17) | OAG group (n = 26) | p-value |
|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------|
| MD (dB)             |                      |                    |         |
| Affected eye        | -14.13 ± 5.36        | -12.71 ± 7.70      | 0.672†  |
| Unaffected eye      | -1.66 ± 3.30         | -5.12 ± 7.43       | 0.048†  |

PSD (dB)

|                     | NAION group (n = 17) | OAG group (n = 26) | p-value |
|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Affected eye        | 12.64 ± 3.12         | 13.92 ± 1.92       | 0.710†  |
| Unaffected eye      | 3.43 ± 2.48          | 5.25 ± 3.67        | 0.321†  |

NAION = non-arteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy; OAG = open angle glaucoma; MD = mean deviation; PSD = pattern standard deviation.

Table 3 highlights the RNFL thickness in the affected eye. The results showed that only the inferior RNFL thickness was significantly different between the two groups (NAION group 109.4 ± 46.9 μm vs. OAG group 63.2 ± 11.6 μm, p < 0.001). RNFL asymmetry was not significantly different between the two groups (p = 0.265). Similar to the affected eye, the inferior RNFL thickness of the unaffected eye was less in the OAG group than in the NAION group (Fig. 2).

The optic disc characteristics using OCT were significantly different between the affected eyes of the two groups (Table 4). Although the disc area was similar between the two groups (2.00 ± 0.32 and 1.99 ± 0.33 mm², p

Table 3. The results of optical coherence tomography in the affected eye

|                     | NAION group (n = 17) | OAG group (n = 26) | p-value |
|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------|
| RNFL (μm)           |                      |                    |         |
| Average             | 77.8 ± 25.8          | 65.2 ± 8.8         | 0.165   |
| Superior            | 78.0 ± 31.3          | 82.9 ± 22.1        | 0.140   |
| Nasal               | 63.2 ± 13.4          | 59.0 ± 8.1         | 0.444   |
| Inferior            | 109.4 ± 46.9         | 63.2 ± 11.6        | <0.001  |
| Temporal            | 61.1 ± 34.5          | 55.2 ± 9.4         | 0.437   |

RNFL asymmetry (%)

|                     | NAION group (n = 17) | OAG group (n = 26) | p-value |
|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Average             | 60.9 ± 15.9          | 50.5 ± 27.0        | 0.265   |

NAION = non-arteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy; OAG = open angle glaucoma; RNFL = retinal nerve fiber layer.

Results

The mean age of patients in the NAION and OAG groups was 60.0 ± 9.6 and 64.0 ± 11.2 years, respectively (Mann-Whitney test, p = 0.165) (Table 1). Differences in the visual field test results of the affected eye were compared between the NAION and OAG groups (Table 2). Among them, superior altitudinal visual field defects were more common in the OAG group, whereas, in comparison, inferior altitudinal visual field defects occurred more frequently within the NAION group, the visual field test in the unaffected eyes showed a significantly lower MD in the OAG group (NAION group -1.66 ± 3.30 dB vs. OAG group -5.12 ± 7.43 dB, p = 0.048).

Table 4. The characteristics of visual field defect

|                     | NAION group (n = 17) | OAG group (n = 26) | p-value |
|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Superior            | 78.0 ± 31.3          | 82.9 ± 22.1        | 0.140   |
| Nasal               | 63.2 ± 13.4          | 59.0 ± 8.1         | 0.444   |
| Inferior            | 109.4 ± 46.9         | 63.2 ± 11.6        | <0.001  |
| Temporal            | 61.1 ± 34.5          | 55.2 ± 9.4         | 0.437   |

RNFL asymmetry (%)

|                     | NAION group (n = 17) | OAG group (n = 26) | p-value |
|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Average             | 60.9 ± 15.9          | 50.5 ± 27.0        | 0.265   |

NAION = non-arteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy; OAG = open angle glaucoma; RNFL = retinal nerve fiber layer.

Chi-square test; †Mann-Whitney test.
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The rim area of the OAG group was smaller than that of the NAION group (1.26 ± 0.56 and 0.61 ± 0.15 mm², respectively, \( p < 0.001 \)). Consequently, the OAG group had a larger average cup-to-disc ratio and vertical cup-to-disc ratio and a bigger cup volume than the NAION group. The rim area, average cup-to-disc ratio, vertical cup-to-disc ratio, and cup volume of the unaffected eye were also significantly different between the two groups (\( p < 0.001 \)).

There were no significant differences between the affected eyes and unaffected eyes of patients in the NAION group (all parameters including rim area, vertical cup-to-disc ratio, average cup-to-disc ratio, and cup volume, \( p = 1.000 \)). All of these parameters were also not significantly different between the unaffected eye and affected eye in OAG patients (Wilcoxon signed-rank test; \( p = 0.084, p = 0.992, p = 0.164, \) and \( p = 0.312 \), respectively).

**Table 4. Optic nerve head analysis using spectral domain optical coherence tomography**

|                              | **Affected eye** | **p-value** | **Unaffected eye** | **p-value** |
|------------------------------|------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|
| Disc area (mm²)              | NAION group      | OAG group   | 0.586              | NAION group | OAG group   | 0.802       |
| Rim area (mm²)               | 2.00 ± 0.32      | 1.99 ± 0.33 | <0.001             | 1.99 ± 0.35 | 2.00 ± 0.36 | 0.802       |
| Average C/D ratio            | 1.26 ± 0.56      | 0.61 ± 0.15 | <0.001             | 1.35 ± 0.38 | 0.85 ± 0.28 | <0.001      |
| Vertical C/D ratio           | 0.57 ± 0.19      | 0.81 ± 0.06 | <0.001             | 0.52 ± 0.19 | 0.73 ± 0.11 | <0.001      |
| Cup volume (mm³)             | 0.54 ± 0.20      | 0.80 ± 0.07 | <0.001             | 0.48 ± 0.20 | 0.71 ± 0.11 | <0.001      |
| Cup volume (mm³)             | 0.18 ± 0.24      | 0.58 ± 0.19 | <0.001             | 0.16 ± 0.19 | 0.45 ± 0.27 | <0.001      |

NAION = non-arteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy; OAG = open angle glaucoma; C/D = cup-to-disc.

**Discussion**

The present study revealed that both the affected and unaffected eyes were significantly different between OAG and NAION patients using the visual field test as well as spectral domain OCT. Altitudinal visual field defects are considered common in NAION (especially inferior visual field defects) because of the typical vascular supply of the optic disc [5]. However, altitudinal visual field defects can also be seen in patients with glaucoma, other optic nerve disorders, and chiasmal lesions [10]. In the present study, inferotemporal rim loss was usually more pronounced in glaucoma patients, therefore superior visual field defects were more common. We also found that inferior visual field defects were more common in the NAION group and superior visual field defects were more common in the OAG group.

Visual field indices including MD and PSD were not significantly different between the affected eyes of NAION and OAG patients. However, RNFL findings differed from the OCT findings. Horowitz et al. [11] presented significant quantitative differences in RNFL thickness between glaucomatous and NAION eyes. Like this previous study, the average RNFL thickness was slightly thinner in the OAG group. When analyses were performed by quadrant, inferior RNFL loss was found to result in superior altitudinal visual field defects, which were more common in the OAG group. However, the inferior RNFL thickness among OAG patients was less than that among NAION patients.

Optic disc analysis using spectral domain OCT also noted significant differences between the affected and unaffected eyes of the two groups. Anton et al. [12] reported that the disc area was not significantly different between normal and glaucomatous patients, but that the rim area...
was smaller and the cup-to-disc ratio significantly greater in glaucomatous eyes. Our data also indicated that the disc area was not significantly different among all eyes in both groups. Table 4 details the criteria for typical glaucomatous disc cupping including a large cup-to-disc ratio, a large cup volume and a small rim area in the affected and unaffected eyes of OAG patients. In addition, all parameters except for disc area were different between the affected eyes of the two groups (p < 0.001). Patients with NAION had a smaller cup-to-disc ratio and a larger rim area in the affected eye. Although a significant decrease in inferior RNFL thickness was observed in OAG patients, their optic nerve head was not notably different between the affected and unaffected eyes. Danesh-Meyer et al. [13] reported that disc cupping is an uncommon finding in association with NAION. In contrast, Saito et al. [14] suggested that the cup is slightly larger in affected NAION eyes compared to the corresponding unaffected eye using HRT. These different results might be due to the differences in optic nerve head measurements associated with the use of spectral domain OCT and HRT [9].

Lisboa et al. [15] reported that the RNFL assessment with spectral domain OCT performed well with respect to detecting preperimetric glaucoma patients. In the present study, no differences between the affected and unaffected eyes of OAG patients were noted. Consequently, the unaffected eyes of OAG patients might have undergone glaucomatous damage before visual field defects, such as altitudinal ones, are detected. However, similar RNFL thicknesses were shown in spite of differences of MD between the affected and unaffected eyes. The relatively small sample size of OAG patients could affect the results of this study.

In conclusion, altitudinal visual field defects can be associated with both ischemic optic neuropathy and OAG. Superior altitudinal visual field defects are more common in patients with OAG and inferior altitudinal visual field defects are more common in patients with NAION. To differentiate between altitudinal visual field defects, optic disc head analysis of not only the affected eye, but also the unaffected eye, using spectral domain OCT, may be helpful.
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