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Abstract

Porcine epidemic diarrhea (PED) has caused huge economic losses to the global pork industry. Infection by its causative agent PED virus (PEDV), an Alpha-coronavirus, was previously proven to be mediated by its spike (S) glycoprotein and a cellular receptor porcine aminopeptidase N (pAPN). Interestingly, some recent studies have indicated that pAPN is not a functional receptor for PEDV. To date, there is a lack of a direct evidence for the interaction between pAPN and PEDV S protein in vitro. Here, we prepared pAPN ectodomain and the truncated variants of PEDV S protein in Drosophila S2 cells. These recombinant proteins were homogeneous after purification by metal-affinity and size-exclusion chromatography. We then assayed the purified target proteins through immunogenicity tests, PEDV binding interference assays, circular dichroism (CD) measurements, pAPN activity assay and structural determination, demonstrating that they were biologically functional. Finally, we characterized their interactions by gel filtration chromatography, native-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) and surface plasmon resonance (SPR) analyses. The results showed that their affinities were too low to form complexes, which suggest that pAPN may be controversial as the genuine receptor for PEDV. Therefore, further research needs to be carried out to elucidate the interaction between PEDV and its genuine receptor.

© 2018 Published by Elsevier B.V.

1. Introduction

Coronaviruses are enveloped single-stranded positive-sense RNA viruses, comprising Alpha-, Beta-, Gamma-, and Delta-coronaviruses [1]. They are critical causative agents, whose infections are often associated with respiratory, digestive, and neurological diseases in humans and animals. Among these viruses, two Beta-coronaviruses severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) [2–6] and Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) [7–10] are lethal to humans, and an Alpha-coronavirus transmissible gastroenteritis virus (TGEV) has caused severe intestinal diseases to pigs [11].

The infection of a coronavirus is mediated by its spike (S) glycoprotein and the S protein is further cleaved into S1 and S2 subunits by endogenous and/or exogenous proteases [12]. The S1 subunit recognizes and binds to the corresponding host receptor, whereas the S2 subunit mediates membrane fusion between the virus and host cells [13–15]. Since intervention of S1 subunit binding to host receptor shows a great antiviral potential, there are increasing studies to elucidate their interaction mechanisms. Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) [16,17] and dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP4) [18–20] have been identified as the receptor for SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV, respectively. The viral receptor-binding domains (RBD) are located in the C-terminal domains (CTDs) of the S1 subunits (S1–CTDs) [17,19,20]. Human coronavirus 229E (HCoV-229E) [21,22] and TGEV [23,24] utilize aminopeptidase N (APN) as the host receptor and their RBDs are also located in the S1–CTDs [22,24].

Porcine epidemic diarrhea (PED), characterized by vomiting, diarrhea and dehydration, has caused huge economic losses to the global...
pork industry [25–30]. Its causative agent, PED virus (PEDV), was an Alpha-coronavirus. Previous studies showed that a porcine receptor APN (pAPN) mediated PEDV infection [31–34] and its density appeared to be an important factor in contributing to the virus efficient infection [35]. PEDV S1–CTD (residues 505–629) was further demonstrated to interact with pAPN ectodomain (residues 63–963) [36]. Interestingly, a few recent studies show that pAPN is not the functional receptor for PEDV infection [37,38]. However, there is a lack of direct demonstration of the interaction between pAPN and PEDV S protein to clarify this discrepancy.

In this study, we prepared the extracellular domain of pAPN, PEDV S1 and its truncated variant (S1t) in Drosophila Schneider 2 (S2) cells. After purification, we assayed the target proteins for their biological functions. Finally, we characterized their interactions by three canonical assays.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cell lines, plasmids, antibodies and reagents

IPEC-J2 cell line (from porcine small intestines) was kindly provided by Zhyong Wei of Henan Agricultural University, China. Vero cell line (African green monkey kidney epithelial cell line), Drosophila S2 cell line, pMT/BiP/V5-His A vector, pCoBlast vector [39,40] and positive serum against PEDV were kept in our laboratory. pEASY-Blunt-pAPN plasmid was constructed and kept in our laboratory. Celfectin II reagent, blasticidin S, Sf-900 II serum-free medium (SFM) and TRIzol reagent were purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, USA). Pre-stained protein ladder (10 to 180 kDa) was purchased from BioLabs (Massachusetts, USA). Enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) reagent was purchased from GE Healthcare (Chicago, USA). The mouse anti-His epitope tag antibody and HRP-conjugated anti-mouse IgG using Western blot.

2.2. Construction of recombinant protein expression vectors

The cDNAs encoding PEDV S1 (residues 21–793) and its truncated variants (residues 21–249, 253–638 and 505–629) were amplified by PCR using the codon-optimized S gene of the PEDV CH/1HXC strain as template. The cDNA encoding pAPN ectodomain (residues 63–963) was amplified by PCR from the pEASY-Blunt-pAPN plasmid. All PCR primers were listed in Table 1. The PCR products were isolated and inserted into the pMT/BiP/V5-His A expression vector between the Bgl II and Mlu I sites. All constructs were transformed into Escherichia coli strain Trans503 competent cells and the recombinant expression vectors were verified by sequencing at Shanghai Sangon Biotech Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, China).

2.3. Cell culture

The Drosophila S2 cells were kept in Schneider’s insect medium supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FBS at 37 °C with 5% CO2. All cell cultures were supplemented with antibiotics (100 U/ml penicillin, 100 μg/ml streptomycin).

Table 1

| Primer name | Sequence a |
|-------------|------------|
| S21-793 F   | GGAAGACGTCAAGACGCTGACAGGATTGGCTGCG |
| S21-249 F   | GGAAGACGTCAAGACGCTGACAGGATTGGCTGCG |
| S21-249 R   | GGAAGACGTCAAGACGCTGACAGGATTGGCTGCG |
| S253-638 F  | GCAAGACGTCACCAGGACCAGGCTGCG |
| S253-638 R  | GCAAGACGTCACCAGGACCAGGCTGCG |
| S505-629 F  | GGAAGACGTCAAGACGCTGACAGGATTGGCTGCG |
| S505-629 R  | GGAAGACGTCAAGACGCTGACAGGATTGGCTGCG |
| pAPN F      | GGAAGACGTCAAGACGCTGACAGGATTGGCTGCG |
| pAPN R      | GGAAGACGTCAAGACGCTGACAGGATTGGCTGCG |
| PEDV pQCR F | CGGAACAAGTGAACCCACCTAAC |
| PEDV pQCR R | TGGCTGCTGTCAACAGTGGAGAT |
| PEDV pQCR Probe | FMG-TGGCCGATACGACGACTCTGCG-3' |

a The boldface letters indicate restriction sites.

2.4. Expression of recombinant proteins

The recombinant expression vectors and the pCoBlast vector were co-transfected into Drosophila S2 cells by Cellfectin II reagent according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The stably transfected S2 cells were selected in Schneider’s insect medium supplemented with 10% FBS, antibiotics, and 25 μg/ml blasticidin S. The stably transfected cell lines were then grown in SF-900 II SFM and induced by 0.75 mM CuSO4 for 5 days. The culture supernatants were harvested by centrifugation at 2000 rpm at 4 °C for 10 min. Expression of recombinant proteins was checked by mouse anti-His epitope tag antibody and HRP-conjugated anti-mouse IgG using Western blot.

2.5. Purification of recombinant proteins

The supernatant containing pAPN ectodomain, PEDV S1 or S1t protein was adjusted to pH 8.0 by 1.5 M Tris-HCl pH 8.8, followed by centrifugation at 10000 rpm at 4 °C for 30 min. After filtration through 0.22 μm filter membranes, the supernatant was applied to HisTrap excel prepacked column (GE Healthcare, Fairfield, USA) or/and HiTrap Q HP prepacked column (GE Healthcare) for purification. Then, each target protein was subjected to size-exclusion column Hiload 16/600 Superdex 200 pg (GE Healthcare) and Superdex 200 increase 10/300 GL (GE Healthcare) for further purification with 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.6 and 150 mM NaCl as the elution buffer. The purified proteins were treated with PNGase F according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The PNGase F-treated and -untreated proteins were applied to sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) under reducing (with β-mercaptoethanol) or non-reducing condition (without β-mercaptoethanol).

2.6. Function analyses of PEDV S1 and S1t proteins

2.6.1. Immunogenicity tests of PEDV S1 and S1t proteins

Purified PEDV S1 and S1t proteins were subjected to SDS-PAGE and transferred to PVDF (polyvinylidene fluoride) membranes. The immunogenicity was then tested by positive serum against PEDV and ECL plus reagent.

2.6.2. PEDV propagation

The PEDV CH/hubei/2016 strain was propagated on Vero cells as previously described [41]. Briefly, when the confluence reached 80%, Vero cells were washed three times and inoculated with 200 TCID50 (50% tissue culture infective dose) PEDV at 37 °C for 1 h. After that, the viruses not entering were removed and the cells were cultured with growth medium consisting of 0.3% TPF, 0.02% yeast extract and 3
mg/ml of TPCK-trypsin. When obvious cytopathic effects (CPE) appeared, the cells were frozen and thawed three times, and centrifuged at 2000 rpm at 4 °C for 10 min. Finally, the supernatant was stored at −80 °C for further use.

2.6.3. Interference of PEDV binding by purified S1 and S1t proteins

The IPEC-J2 cells were pre-incubated with indicated concentrations of the purified PEDV S1 or S1t protein for 1 h at 4 °C. Cells were further inoculated with PEDV CH/Hebei/2016 strain at an MOI (multiplicity of infection) of 0.1 for 1 h at 4 °C. Then, the virus and protein mixtures were washed away. Total RNA was isolated using TRIzol reagent according to the manufacturer’s instructions and the binding was represented by the copies of the PEDV nucleocapsid (N) gene using absolute quantitative PCR (The primers were listed in Table 1) [42].

2.7. Circular dichroism (CD) measurements of PEDV S1 and S1t proteins

CD measurements (180–260 nm) were carried out on AVIV 420Sf spectrometer (Lakewood, USA) at room temperature (RT) using a 1 mm path-length quartz cell. The instrument was calibrated with 10 mM phosphate buffer (PB) pH 7.6, and freshly purified PEDV S1 and S1t proteins were adjusted to 0.8 mg/ml and 1.5 mg/ml in 10 mM PB pH 7.6, respectively. Five scans were accumulated at a scan speed of 1 nm/step with average time of 0.3 s.

2.8. Functional and structural analyses of pAPN ectodomain

2.8.1. Activity assay of pAPN ectodomain

1 nM purified pAPN ectodomain was mixed with 100 μM to 10 mM l-alanine 4-nitroanilide hydrochloride as substrate in 100 μl 60 mM KH2PO4 buffer pH 7.2 at 37 °C for 60 min. Then, the product p-nitroanilide was measured using a microplate reader (BMG LABTECH, Offenburg, Germany) at 405 nm [43].

2.8.2. Structural determination of pAPN ectodomain

The purified pAPN ectodomain was concentrated to 10 mg/ml in 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.2, 150 mM NaCl. Crystallization of pAPN ectodomain was carried out by the sitting-drop vapor diffusion method with an equal volume of the target protein and various crystallization reagents from the crystallization screening kits (Hampton, USA) at RT. The crystals were acquired with a reservoir solution containing 25% (wt/vol) PEG3350, 0.2 M sodium fluoride and 100 mM Hepes pH 7.2. The crystals were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen using a crystal freezing buffer containing 20% ethylene glycol, 30% PEG3350, 0.2 M sodium fluoride and 100 mM Hepes pH 7.2. X-ray data sets of the crystals were collected at a wavelength of 0.979 Å on the beam line BL19U1 at National Center for Protein Sciences Shanghai (NCPSS) and Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility (SSRF). The crystal structure was solved by molecular replacement [44] using the mammalian APN structure (PDB code: 4HOM) [43] as the search model. The structure was refined using SHELXL and 100 mM Hepes pH 7.2 at 37 °C for 60 min. Then, PEDV S1 or S1t protein was coupled to the CM5 sensor chips (GE Healthcare) and pAPN ectodomain was injected with the indicated concentrations (187.5 nM, 375 nM, 750 nM, 1500 nM and 3000 nM). The running buffer was HBS-EP (10 mM Hepes pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 3 mM EDTA, 0.005% Surfactant P20). The binding kinetics were analyzed with the software Biacore S200 evaluation 1.0, and the Kd, Koff and Kd were calculated using a 1:1 interaction model as previously described [47]. The equations used for calculation are represented below with Biacore SPR terminology:

\[
K_d = \frac{K_{on}}{K_{off}},
\]

\[
\frac{dR}{dt} = K_{on} \cdot C \cdot \left(R_{max} - R\right) - K_{off} \cdot R,
\]

where \( K_{on} \) (response unit/s, RU/s) is the slope of the curve during association phase, \( C \) (M) is the concentration of injected pAPN ectodomain, \( R_{max} \) (RU) is the signal response for maximum absorption of PEDV S1 or S1t protein, and \( R \) (RU) is the signal response for pAPN ectodomain binding to PEDV S1 or S1t protein.

2.12. Statistical analysis

All experimental data were presented as group means and standard errors of the means (SEM). The experimental data were analyzed using the unpaired, 2-tailed Student t-test using GraphPad Prism software (GraphPad). Differences at the 95% confidence level (p < 0.05) were considered statistically significant.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Expression of recombinant proteins

The recombinant pAPN ectodomain (residues 63–963), PEDV S1 protein (residues 21–793) and S1 truncated variants (residues 21–249, 253–638 and 505–629) were produced in Drosophila S2 cells. Western blot analyses verified that pAPN ectodomain (Fig. 1A), PEDV S1 protein (Fig. 1B) and a PEDV S1 truncated variant (S1t, residues 505–629, Fig. 1C) were highly expressed. The expression of other PEDV S1 truncated variants (residues 21–249 and 253–638) was too low to be detected (data not shown), which were consequently not considered in our subsequent experiments.
3.2. Purification of recombinant proteins

The target proteins were purified through metal-affinity and size-exclusion chromatography. After purification, these proteins achieved a high purity (>99%, Fig. 2). pAPN ectodomain (Fig. 2, top), PEDV S1 (Fig. 2, middle) and S1t proteins (Fig. 2, bottom) were eluted at 10.9 ml, 12.2 ml and 17.8 ml on the calibrated size-exclusion column, corresponding to approximately 240 kDa, 110 kDa, 15 kDa, respectively. As shown in Fig. 2, there were differences between the bands of each target protein under reducing (Lane 2) and non-reducing (Lane 1) conditions, which implied that they contained disulfide bonds. In addition, the bands showed a significant change after de-glycosylation using PNGase F (Lane 2 and Lane 3). Therefore, the recombinant proteins were heavily glycosylated compared to their calculated molecular masses (pAPN ectodomain: 104 kDa, PEDV S1 protein: 85.5 kDa, PEDV S1t protein: 15.1 kDa). Based on the results above, recombinant pAPN ectodomain was obtained as dimers, and PEDV S1 or S1t protein existed as monomers, which showed similar natures of mammalian APN [43] and other coronavirus S proteins [48–50] as previously reported.

3.3. Immunogenicity tests, interference of PEDV binding and CD measurements of purified PEDV S1 and S1t proteins

We carried out immunogenicity tests and PEDV binding interference assays to analyze whether purified PEDV S1 and S1t proteins were functional. As shown in Fig. 3A and B, purified PEDV S1 and S1t proteins could be recognized by positive serum against PEDV, indicating that these recombinant proteins had a comparable immunogenicity to the native virus. Since porcine small intestines are the major target organ for PEDV infection, IPEC-J2 cells from porcine small intestines were applied in interference of PEDV binding by purified PEDV S1 and S1t proteins. IPEC-J2 cells incubated with PEDV S1 or S1t protein showed the viral RNA reduction in a concentration dependent manner (Fig. 3C). Especially at a concentration of 200 μg/mL, PEDV S1 or S1t protein pre-treatment caused a significant reduction compared to that incubated with control protein buffer (p < 0.05), demonstrating that purified PEDV S1 and S1t proteins existed in functional form (Fig. 3C).

We have taken efforts to crystallize functional PEDV S1 or S1t protein, and determine their crystal structures. Unfortunately, crystallization of these proteins was unsuccessful (data not shown). CD measurement is usual for determination of protein secondary structures [51–56] and we performed CD measurements to study their secondary structures. As shown in Fig. 4, one minimum at about 215 nm was observed for both PEDV S1 and S1t proteins, suggesting that the two proteins had β-sheets. These results were consistent with other coronavirus S1 proteins, which have a high content of β-sheets [49,50,57–60].

3.4. Functional and structural studies of purified pAPN ectodomain

Mammalian APN is a member of zinc-dependent M1 metallopeptidases, which is widely distributed on the intestinal epithelia and the nervous system cells [61]. It plays multifunctional roles in tumor angiogenesis and metastasis, signal pathway, and degradation of enkephalins [62]. Based on these functions, we performed activity assay for purified pAPN ectodomain. The enzymatic kinetics showed
that purified pAPN ectodomain could degrade the substrate l-alanine 4-nitroanilide hydrochloride into the product p-nitroanilide. The $K_m$ and $K_{cat}$ were 0.18 ± 0.13 mM and 75.55 ± 7.9/s, respectively (Table 2).

Furthermore, we determined the crystal structure of pAPN ectodomain. Purified pAPN ectodomain was crystallized with one molecule in each asymmetric unit and its crystal structure was determined at 2.65 Å in a C121 space group (Table 3). As described previously [22,24,43,63,64], pAPN ectodomain adopts a hook-like conformation or so-called seahorse shape (Fig. 5B and C). It is consisted of four domains (I-IV) and a zinc ion in Domain II, characteristics of M1 metallopeptidases (Fig. 5A and B). In addition, it is heavily glycosylated, and Cys758 and Cys765 in association with Cys795 and Cys831 bridge into two disulfide linkages in Domain IV (Fig. 5B), which are consistent with our results stated above (Fig. 2). A close structural comparison of our current pAPN ectodomain and that determined by others (PDB code: 4HOM) reveals that the root mean square deviation (RMSD) differences are slight (0.361 Å for 853 matching Cα atoms), demonstrating that they share almost identical structural fold (Fig. 5C).

Taken together, purified pAPN ectodomain was shown to be biologically active on the basis of its enzymatic activity and crystal structure.

3.5. Characterization of the interaction between functional pAPN ectodomain and PEDV S proteins

pAPN was previously demonstrated as a functional receptor for PEDV [31–34]. However, the Vero cell lines, possessing no APN

| Crystal | pAPN ectodomain |
|---------|----------------|
| PDB code | 5Z65 |
| X-ray source wavelength (Å) | 0.979 |
| Resolution limits (Å) | 2.65 |
| Space group | C121 |
| Temperature of experiments (K) | 100 |
| Cell parameters (Å) | $a = 260.3, b = 62.3, c = 80.6, \alpha = 90^\circ, \beta = 100^\circ, \gamma = 90^\circ$ |
| Completeness (%) | 99.6 (99.6)* |
| Redundancy | 6.4 (6.3)* |
| Rmerge* | 0.137 (1.287)* |
| Number of observations | 236,643 |
| Number of unique reflections | 37,252 |
| Refinement data | |
| R factor | 0.196 |
| R free | 0.251 |
| r.m.s. deviation of bond lengths (Å) | 0.019 |
| r.m.s. deviation of angle (°) | 1.984 |
| Ramachandran analysis (%) | 95.0 c, 4.6 d, 0.4 e |

* Numbers in parentheses refer to the highest resolution shells.

<Fig. 4. CD spectra of functional PEDV S1 (A) and S1t proteins (B). One minimum at about 215 nm was observed for both PEDV S1 and S1t proteins.>

<Table 3 X-ray data collection and model refinement statistics.>

<Fig. 3. Immunogenicity tests and interference of PEDV binding by purified S1 and S1t proteins. Purified PEDV S1 (A) and S1t proteins (B) were detected by Western blot using the positive antiserum against PEDV. (C) PEDV binding interference with purified PEDV S1 or S1t protein. Data represent means ± SEM of three independent experiments. *Significantly reduced viral RNA (p < 0.05).>
[32,41], are efficiently infected by PEDV, and widely utilized for isolation and series propagation of the virus [41,65–68]. Recently, no effects were reported on the susceptibility to PEDV after knocking out APN in porcine swine testis cells and human cell lines (human hepatoma cells, Huh7 cells and human cervical cancer cells, HeLa cells) by CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing [38]. Moreover, soluble pAPN ectodomain neither interacted with PEDV nor inhibited its infection [37]. All these studies infer that pAPN may be not the receptor for PEDV. To date, there are few direct evidences to clarify this discrepancy by characterization of the interaction between pAPN ectodomain and PEDV S protein in vitro.

We speculate that the lack of related studies is probably due to the difficulty in preparing the large PEDV S protein, which contains many disulfide bonds and glycosylation sites as other coronaviruses [48,49]. In the current study, three canonical assays were carried out to characterize the interaction between pAPN ectodomain and PEDV S1 or S1t protein since these functional target proteins were successfully prepared. According to previous reports, coronavirus S-RBDs and their host receptors have high affinities and yield stable complexes in vitro, which can be obtained by gel filtration chromatography [17,20,22,24,69,70]. Therefore, we firstly characterized the interaction between pAPN ectodomain and PEDV S1 or S1t protein by gel filtration chromatography. The results showed that there were no complexes formed in these protein mixtures as no complex peaks appeared (Fig. 6). Additionally, we performed native-PAGE to detect whether they formed complexes. As shown in Fig. 7A, there were no bands of the protein complexes compared to single proteins. Interestingly, PEDV S1t protein showed other forms in addition to monomers (Figs. 6B and 7A). In order to further corroborate the interaction between the proteins, the kinetics of pAPN ectodomain binding to PEDV S1 or S1t protein were measured by SPR using Biacore S200. The profiles showed that there were no signal responses during their association and dissociation phases, which demonstrated that pAPN ectodomain neither interacted with PEDV S1 nor S1t protein under physiological condition (Fig. 7B). In contrast, HCoV-229E [22] and TGEV [24] RBDs had high affinities to their receptor APN. These results were similar to SARS-CoV RBD not binding to DPP4 or MERS-CoV RBD not binding to ACE2, while the $K_d$ of DPP4 binding to MERS-CoV RBD was about 16.7 nM ($K_{on}:1.79 \times 10^5$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, $K_{off}:2.99 \times 10^{-3}$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$)[20].

In conclusion, our work characterized the interaction between recombinant pAPN ectodomain and PEDV S protein in vitro. The results suggest that pAPN may be controversial as the genuine receptor for PEDV because functional pAPN ectodomain neither binds to PEDV S1 nor S1t protein. Our current work sheds some light on the invasion mechanism of the virus, and supports a molecular basis for prevention and control of PED. As S protein recognizing and interacting with the host receptor are crucial for PEDV infection, further research needs to be carried out to identify the genuine receptor of PEDV and elucidate the specific interaction between them.
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Fig. 6. Gel filtration chromatography analyses of PEDV S1 or S1t protein (blue), pAPN ectodomain (green) and their mixtures (brown). The pAPN ectodomain, PEDV S1 (A) or S1t protein (B) and their mixtures were loaded on a calibrated Superdex 200 increase column (10/300 GL) individually. Their chromatographs were superposed and shown in the figures. SDS-PAGE analyses of pooled samples (A: 1–2 and B: 1–3) were also presented.

Fig. 7. Characterization of the interaction between pAPN ectodomain and PEDV S1 or S1t protein. (A): Native-PAGE analysis. Lane 1: PEDV S1 protein; Lane 2: pAPN ectodomain and PEDV S1 protein mixtures (1:1); Lane 3: pAPN ectodomain; Lane 4: pAPN ectodomain and PEDV S1t protein mixtures (1:5); Lane 5: PEDV S1t protein. (B): SPR analyses of the interaction between PEDV S1 (top) or S1t protein (bottom) and pAPN ectodomain.
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