JET-SHOCKED H2 AND CO IN THE ANOMALOUS ARMS OF MOLECULAR HYDROGEN EMISSION GALAXY NGC 4258
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ABSTRACT

We present a Spitzer Infrared Spectrograph map of H2 emission from the nearby galaxy NGC 4258 (Messier 106). The H2 emission comes from 9.4 ± 0.4 × 10⁶ M☉ of warm molecular hydrogen heated to 240–1040 K in the inner anomalous arms, a signature of jet interaction with the galaxy disk. The spectrum is that of a molecular hydrogen emission galaxy (MOHEG), with a large ratio of H2 over 7.7 μm polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon emission (0.37), characteristic of shocked molecular gas. We find close spatial correspondence between the H2 and CO emission from the anomalous arms. Our estimate of cold molecular gas mass based on CO emission is 10 times greater than our estimate of 1.0 × 10⁸ M☉ based on dust emission. We suggest that the XCO value is 10 times lower than the Milky Way value because of high kinetic temperature and enhanced turbulence. The H2 disk has been overrun and is being shocked by the jet cocoon, and much of the gas originally in the disk has been ejected into the galaxy halo in an X-ray hot outflow. We measure a modest star formation rate of 0.08 M☉ yr⁻¹ in the central 3.4 kpc² that is consistent with the remaining gas surface density.
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1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Radio Jet Feedback

Radio jet–interstellar medium (ISM) interactions may cause either positive or negative feedback on star formation in galaxies (Wagner & Bicknell 2011). Jet feedback may explain the truncation of the galaxy mass function and quenching of star formation via expulsion or heating of the ISM (Croton et al. 2006). At larger scales, radio jets may prevent the cooling and accretion of intergalactic medium (Fabian et al. 2000). Hydrodynamical simulations of radio jets demonstrate that the jet deposits a large amount of energy, inflating a cocoon of hot gas that may encompass and shock the entire host galaxy ISM (Sutherland & Bicknell 2007). Molecular gas may be dissociated by shocks and driven out of the galaxy by outflows, preventing star formation altogether. Alternatively, jet-driven turbulence may support the gas against collapse, preventing star formation.

1.2. MOHEG Phenomenon

Ogle et al. (2010, 2007) find that 30% of 3C radio galaxies have extremely luminous H2 emission from large masses (up to 10¹⁰ M☉) of warm molecular gas. The H2 is heated to temperatures of 100–1500 K. UV excitation in photodissociation regions (PDRs) is ruled out by a very high ratio of L(H2 0–0 S(0)–S(3))/L(PAH 7.7 μm)>0.04. Heating by X-rays from the active galactic nucleus (AGN) is ruled out by insufficient X-ray luminosity. The remaining viable sources of heating are shocks driven into the ISM by the radio jet, or cosmic rays (Ogle et al. 2010).

Similar conditions and IR spectra are seen in the Stephan’s Quintet intergalactic shock, where there is a close correspondence between X-ray, Hα, H2, [C II], and CO emission (Appleton et al. 2006, 2013; Cluver et al. 2010; Guillard et al. 2012a). The large range of densities, temperatures, and cooling timescales are characteristic of a shocked multi-phase medium, where X-ray-emitting gas occupies the lower-density spaces in the post-shocked gas (Guillard et al. 2009).

X-ray emission from jet-shocked gas is seen in several radio molecular hydrogen emission galaxies (MOHEGs), including Per A (Fabian et al. 2006), Cen A (Kraft et al. 2007), and 3C 305 (Massaro et al. 2009; Hardcastle et al. 2012). Some radio MOHEGs display jet-driven neutral and ionized outflows with velocities up to 1200 km s⁻¹ (Morganti et al. 2005; Emonts et al. 2005; Guillard et al. 2012b). The limited spatial resolution of mid-IR H2 observations of distant radio galaxies has made it difficult to determine the relationship between the radio jet, X-ray-emitting gas, outflows, and the H2 emission region. It is unknown whether the H2 emission comes from a distinct shock front or is distributed more widely in the galaxy. It is also unclear whether the H2 emission arises from preexisting molecular gas that has been shocked in-situ, entrained in an outflow, or created in the post-shock gas.

1.3. Anomalous Arms and Jet Feedback in NGC 4258

NGC 4258 (Messier 106, z = 0.001494, d = 7.2 Mpc) is a nearby galaxy hosting a Seyfert 1.9 AGN (Ho et al. 1997). It is known for its anomalous spiral arms, which emerge from the galaxy nucleus and appear to intersect the regular spiral arms of the galaxy (Courtes & Cruvellier 1961). It is famous for water maser emission from a nuclear disk that enables accurate measurement of the central black hole mass (Miyoshi et al. 1995; Greenhill et al. 1995).

The anomalous spiral arms of NGC 4258 emit strongly in radio continuum (van der Kruit et al. 1972), optical nebular lines, and X-rays. Optical emission line ratios (Cecil et al. 1995a) and X-ray spectroscopy (Cecil et al. 1995b; Wilson et al. 2001; Yang et al. 2007) indicate 10¹³–10¹⁰ K shocked gas in the anomalous arms. Large lobes of X-ray-emitting plasma extend above and below the galactic disk, from a jet-driven outflow (Cecil et al. 1995b; Wilson et al. 2001). A series of Hα-emitting streamers of ionized gas branch off of the anomalous arms, marking cooler gas entrained by the hot outflow.
Figure 1. (a) Spitzer IRS spectrum of NGC 4258, extracted from spectral cubes in the SL+LL overlap region. The H₂ 0–0 (L) pure rotational emission lines indicate a large mass of warm (>240 K) molecular gas. Ionized gas lines and PAH features are also indicated. (b) Spectral energy distribution, measured in (NUV, FUV), SDSS (u, g, r, i, z). 2MASS (J, H, K), Spitzer IRAC (3.6, 4.5, 5.8, 8.0 μm), MIPS 24 μm, Herschel PACS (70, 100, 160 μm), and SPIRE (250, 350 μm). The SED was modeled using MAGPHYS (da Cunha et al. 2008).

The three-dimensional orientation of the inner radio jet relative to the maser disk is well-constrained by very long baseline interferometry observations. Jet hot spots indicate a consistent jet orientation at larger scales, at an angle of 30° to the plane of the galaxy disk (C Cecil et al. 2000). Wilson et al. (2001) propose that the anomalous arms are the projection of the jet along the disk, along a “line of destruction.” Gas is blown out the opposite side of the disk to the jet.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND RESULTS

A map of NGC 4258 was made with Spitzer Infrared Spectrograph (IRS) SL1, 2 and LL1, 2 modules (PID 30471, PI: J. D. Smith). The 60 s Short-low (SL) observations were stepped perpendicular to the slit 29″ × 1″.85. The 30 s Longlow (LL) observations were stepped perpendicular to the slit 11″ × 5″.08 and parallel to the slit 4″ × 15″. The inner 50′.7 × 55′.0 (1.76 × 1.92 kpc) of the galaxy is covered by all four modules. There is extended coverage along the SL and LL slits, which are oriented in perpendicular directions. Spectral cubes were constructed from each IRS module with the IDL program CUBISM (Smith et al. 2007a), using the off-pointed slit for background subtraction, and 5σ global bad pixels were cleaned from the data.

The integrated IRS spectrum is shown in Figure 1(a). The spectrum was extracted from the spectral cubes in the overlap region between the SL and LL slits indicated in Figure 2. Line and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) feature fluxes (Table 1) were fit using PAHFIT (Smith et al. 2007b). The H₂ pure rotational lines are extraordinarily strong relative to the PAH and continuum emission. Ionized emission lines include [Ar II] λ6.99, [S IV] λ10.51, [Ne II] λ12.81, [Ne III] λ15.56, [S III] λ18.71, [O IV] λ25.89, [Fe II] λ26.00, [S III] λ33.48, and [Si II] λ34.82. The high ionization lines of [Ne VI] λ7.65, [Ne V] λ14.3, and [Ne V] λ24.31 are undetected.

We extracted slices from the SL1 and LL2 cubes at the wavelengths of the H₂ 9.66 μm 0–0 S(3) and 17.03 μm...
The H2 emission does extend well beyond the projection of the radio jet axis. We suggest that the warm H2 and hot, intercloud X-ray-emitting gas are in the turbulent post-shocked layer left behind as the jet cocoon has expanded and overrun a multi-phase medium.

The inner X-ray jet lies in a channel between two molecular ridges (Figure 4). An anticorrelation between the H2 and X-ray emission is clearly seen at 6″–9″ by comparing their azimuthal profiles (Figure 4). A similar anticorrelation is seen between Hα emission and CO emission at these distances (Martin et al. 1989). The jet may have carved out a hole in the molecular gas disk, or alternatively the X-ray- and Hα-emitting gas is confined by a preexisting hole in the disk.

3. DISCUSSION

3.1. H2 Temperature Distribution and Heating

We created an excitation diagram for the H2 pure rotational lines, and used this to estimate the mass of warm H2 as a function of temperature, assuming thermal equilibrium. Three temperature components are required to fit the excitation diagram, with $M(H_2) = 8.9 \pm 0.3 \times 10^5 \, M_\odot$, $5.3 \pm 2.4 \times 10^5 \, M_\odot$, and $2.5 \pm 0.8 \times 10^4 \, M_\odot$ at $T = 240 \, K$, $450 \, K$, and $1040 \, K$, respectively. The total warm H2 mass at $T > 200 \, K$ is therefore $M_{\text{tot}}(H_2) = 9.4 \pm 0.4 \times 10^5 \, M_\odot$. 

Figure 3. NGC 4258 anomalous arms. Chandra ACIS 0.3–8 keV image (blue, with point sources removed) combined with H2 S(3) (green, SL1) and H2 S(1) (red, LL2). The H2 emission follows the X-ray emission from the anomalous arms, at least to where they bend out of the plane of the host galaxy. The bright, primary ridge of H2 emission is displaced 6″ SW of the AGN (green circle). The axis of the radio jet (green line) and radio jet hot spots (N, S) are shown for reference. Note that the radio jet is misaligned with the anomalous arms because it tilts 30° out of the plane of the galaxy (Cecil et al. 2000).

Figure 4. Comparison of Spitzer H2 0–0 S(3) and Chandra 0.3–8 keV X-ray azimuthal emission profiles for NGC 4258. The top two panels show the H2 0–0 S(3) flux (left) and background-subtracted X-ray counts (right). The bullseye pattern shows the regions used to extract the flux for the azimuthal profiles. The bottom two panels show that while the H2 emission follows the position angle of the X-ray emission at 15″–30″, it is anticorrelated with the inner X-ray jets at 6″–9″.
The mid-IR (MIR) line luminosities inside our IRS extraction region are given in Table 1. The total ionized gas line luminosity is \(L_{\text{MIR}}\), ionized = \(2.27 \times 10^{40}\) erg s\(^{-1}\), compared to the total H\(_2\) 0–0 S(0)–S(7) rotational line luminosity of \(L(\text{H}_2)\) 0–0 S(0)–S(7) = \(5.27 \times 10^{40}\) erg s\(^{-1}\). H\(_2\) emission is one of the main ISM cooling channels. The luminosity of H\(_2\), summed over the first four transitions is \(L(\text{H}_2) = 3.92 \times 10^{40}\) erg s\(^{-1}\), while the PAH 7.7 \(\mu\)m luminosity is \(L(\text{PAH} 7.7) = 1.07 \times 10^{41}\) erg s\(^{-1}\). The ratio is \(L(\text{H}_2)/L(\text{PAH} 7.7) = 0.37\), which qualifies NGC 4258 as a MOHEG (Ogle et al. 2010). A large ratio of H\(_2\) to PAH 7.7 \(\mu\)m (>0.04) indicates that the H\(_2\) is not heated by UV photons from hot stars in a PDR (Guillard et al. 2012b).

We measure an unabsorbed 2–10 keV luminosity of 7.3 \(\times 10^{40}\) erg s\(^{-1}\) for the AGN from the Chandra image. The ratio of \(L(\text{H}_2)/L_X(\text{AGN}, 2–10\text{ keV}) = 0.54\) rules out AGN heating of the H\(_2\) by X-rays from the nucleus, which has a maximum ratio of 0.01 (Ogle et al. 2010). The extended thermal X-ray emission inside the IRS extraction region has a total 0.3–2 keV luminosity of 7.3 \(\times 10^{39}\) erg s\(^{-1}\), which is a factor of \(\sim 7\) less than the total H\(_2\) line luminosity, and therefore contributes a negligible amount of X-ray heating to the H\(_2\).

Heating by mechanical energy from the jet via shocks or cosmic rays is the prime candidate for powering the H\(_2\) emission. The radio jet power dissipated at its terminal hotspots is estimated to be \(L_{\text{mech}} \approx 1.9 \times 10^{46}\) erg s\(^{-1}\), based on the H\(_2\) luminosities of the N and S bow shocks, assuming an 82% conversion efficiency (Cecil et al. 2000). This is only 36% of the total H\(_2\) power measured within the IRS spectral extraction region. In order to power the observed H\(_2\) emission, the jet must be at least three times more powerful than the above estimate. Additional power may be dissipated along the entire length of the jet, particularly where the primary H\(_2\) emission ridge is brightest, near the AGN (Figures 2 and 3).

3.2. CO and Dust Emission

We measure the BIMA CO 1–0 flux (Helfer et al. 2003) in our IRS extraction region to be 1783 Jy km s\(^{-1}\). Using the standard Galactic conversion factor of \(X_{\text{CO}} = 2 \times 10^{20}\) cm\(^{-2}\) (K km s\(^{-1}\)) (Lebrun et al. 1983; Bolatto et al. 2013), we estimate a cold H\(_2\) mass of 9.7 \(\times 10^8\) M\(_\odot\). This is in agreement with previous CO measurements and H\(_2\) mass estimates of \(\sim 1 \times 10^9\) M\(_\odot\) (Cox & Downes 1996; Krause et al. 2007). However, we find evidence for a nonstandard X\(_{\text{CO}}\) value below, so this is likely an overestimate of the molecular gas mass.

The integrated 42–122 \(\mu\)m luminosity from the IRS extraction region is \(L(\text{FIR}) = 2.2 \times 10^{42}\) erg s\(^{-1}\). We estimate a dust mass of \(M_{\text{dust}} = 0.8–1.7 \times 10^9\) M\(_\odot\) by fitting the FIR SED with a modified blackbody with dust emissivity powerlaw index \(\beta = 1.5–2.0\); in agreement with a dust mass of \(M_{\text{dust}} = 1.0^{+0.2}_{-0.1} \times 10^9\) M\(_\odot\) derived by fitting the UV–FIR SED (Figure 1(b)) using MAGPHYS (da Cunha et al. 2008). We use this to estimate a total gas mass of \(1.0 \times 10^9\) M\(_\odot\), assuming a gas/dust mass ratio of 100, as found in the Milky Way (Savage & Mathis 1979). This is only 10% of the molecular gas mass estimated from CO using the standard X\(_{\text{CO}}\) value. The corresponding gas surface density is \(\Sigma_{\text{gas}} = 30\) M\(_\odot\) pc\(^{-2}\).

To reconcile the large molecular gas mass estimated from CO with the smaller total gas mass estimated from FIR dust emission, either the dust/gas ratio must be a factor of 10 smaller, or the X\(_{\text{CO}}\) value must be a factor of 10 lower than in the Milky Way. While we cannot rule out a low dust/gas ratio in the H\(_2\) emission region, dust absorption is seen in optical images of this region with a morphology similar to the H\(_2\) emission. In support of a low X\(_{\text{CO}}\) factor is the close spatial correspondence between CO 1–0 emission and H\(_2\) emission. If most CO emission comes from the same 240–1040 K gas as the H\(_2\) emission, we expect the CO emission to be enhanced by the high temperature and any additional turbulence. Some other nearby galaxies show similarly depressed X\(_{\text{CO}}\) values in their central regions (Sandstrom et al. 2013). The warm H\(_2\) in NGC 4258 constitutes roughly 1% of the CO-derived molecular mass, or 10% of the dust-derived gas mass. This warm H\(_2\) percentage is similar to that seen for LINER and Seyfert nuclei in the SINGS sample (Roussel et al. 2007).

3.3. Jet Feedback on ISM and Star Formation

The radio jet has a clear impact on the temperature and spatial distribution of molecular and atomic gas in the anomalous arms of NGC 4258. The question remains whether it has a significant impact on the gas content, star formation rate (SFR), and evolution of the central regions of NGC 4258 and the galaxy as a whole. The clearest indication that the jet is ejecting large quantities gas from the galaxy is seen in X-ray images, where bubbles of hot gas extend far above and below the plane. Integrating over the entire X-ray lobes and assuming a filling factor of \(f \lesssim 1\), we find 1.8 \(\times 10^8\) M\(_\odot\) of 0.2–0.9 keV gas cooling at a rate of 0.31 M\(_\odot\) yr\(^{-1}\). An outflow of hot gas at this rate is necessary to sustain the X-ray emission from the lobes, assuming no additional source of heating. If the gas in the center of the galaxy is the source of this outflow, then most of it has already been ejected into the galaxy halo and the rest would be depleted in \(3 \times 10^8\) yr, if not replenished.

We estimate the SFR in the IRS extraction region using two methods. The MAGPHYS model (Figure 1(b)) gives a best-fit SFR of 0.084\(^{+0.015}_{-0.004}\) M\(_\odot\) yr\(^{-1}\) and a stellar mass of \(7.6^{+0.3}_{-0.1} \times 10^9\) M\(_\odot\). In agreement with this, we estimate SFR(PAH 7.7) = 2.4 \(\times 10^{-3}\) L(7.7) = 0.069 M\(_\odot\) yr\(^{-1}\) from the luminosity of the 7.7 \(\mu\)m PAH feature (Roussel et al. 2001). In comparison, the Kennicutt–Schmidt (KS) law (Kennicutt 1998) predicts a SFR surface density of \(\sim 0.03\) M\(_\odot\) yr\(^{-1}\) kpc\(^{-2}\) for the dust-derived gas surface density of \(\Sigma(\text{H}_2) = 30\) M\(_\odot\) pc\(^{-2}\), or a total SFR of \(\sim 0.1\) M\(_\odot\) yr\(^{-1}\), in agreement with the observed SFR. However, if were to use the CO-based molecular gas mass density of \(\Sigma(\text{H}_2) = 300\) M\(_\odot\) pc\(^{-2}\), the KS law would predict a 25 times greater SFR of 2.5 M\(_\odot\) yr\(^{-1}\), in disagreement with the observed value. This demonstrates the crucial role the X\(_{\text{CO}}\) factor plays in estimates of SFR suppression, which may be greatly overestimated in MOHEGs where CO emissivity is enhanced and the X\(_{\text{CO}}\) value is low.

4. CONCLUSIONS

We find H\(_2\) emission aligned with the inner anomalous arms of NGC 4258, tracing a large mass of shocked molecular gas. The high H\(_2\)/PAH 7.7 \(\mu\)m ratio of 0.37 is similar to radio galaxies and other MOHEG systems. The H\(_2\) emission appears to be powered by the jet–ISM interaction, which drives shocks into the galaxy disk and generates the outflow of hot gas seen in X-ray emission. However, the jet must be at least three times more powerful than previous estimates in order to drive the observed H\(_2\) luminosity of \(L(\text{H}_2) = 5.27 \times 10^{40}\) erg s\(^{-1}\). The standard X\(_{\text{CO}}\) overpredicts the molecular gas mass by a factor of 10 compared to the FIR-derived gas mass of \(1.0 \times 10^8\) M\(_\odot\). It is likely that CO emission is enhanced and X\(_{\text{CO}}\) is suppressed by the high temperature and turbulent motions in the jet-shocked anomalous
arms. Taking this into account, and using the lower, FIR-derived gas mass, there is no indication of significant suppression of the SFR in the central regions of NGC 4258 relative to normal galaxies. While the SFR is not suppressed in the remaining central molecular disk of NGC 4258, we estimate that most of the gas originally there has already been ejected into the X-ray-emitting lobes, and that the rest will be ejected in $3 \times 10^8$ yr.

This work is based in part on observations made with the Spitzer Space Telescope, data from the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED), and data from the NASA/IPAC Infrared Science Archive (IRSA), which are operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with NASA. This work is based in part on observations made with Herschel, a European Space Agency Cornerstone Mission with significant participation by NASA. The scientific results reported in this article are based in part on data obtained from the Chandra Data Archive and published previously in cited articles.
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