Metabarcoding assessment of fungal diversity in brown algae and sponges of Mauritius
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Marine fungi are largely associated with second most inhabitants of the marine ecosystem such as sponges and algae. They are important colonizers and play vital ecological roles, such as nutrient cycling, organic matter decomposition, and symbiosis with other organisms. High throughput sequencing methods have been used successfully to reveal unknown fungal communities associated with a number of hosts particularly in the marine environment. However, the diversity of marine fungi associated with sponges and brown algae in Mauritius remains largely unknown. Traditional methods based on culturing do not provide reliable estimate of fungal diversity as only those that are able to grow under laboratory conditions are dominant; in addition, a large proportion of fungi, cultured in vitro remain most of the time unidentifiable, given that there are no sporulating structures to be examined morphologically. To overcome these limitations, we employed Illumina sequencing to unravel fungi species present in the sponges, lotrochota sp. and the brown algae Turbinaria conoides, Sargassum pfeifferae, and Sargassum obovatum, collected from the north of Mauritius. Diversity analyses revealed that Biemna sp. had the highest diversity from the sampled sponges with fungi from 24 orders being recovered while from brown algae; Turbinaria conoides had the highest diversity with recovery of fungal taxa of the orders Botryosphaeriales, Chaetothyriales, Eurotiales, Hypocreales, and Mucorales with the latter four orders being common in both sampled algae and sponges. Beta diversity analyses revealed clustering only in the algae, Turbinaria conoides, and Sargassum pfeifferae and not in the co-occurring sponges, indicating that sampling location did not have much influence on fungal diversity. Our findings provide the first amplicon sequencing based insights of the fungal communities associated with macro-algae and sponges in Mauritius and supplements research on the fungal community existing in the oceans around the world.
Introduction

Marine organisms live and evolve in a sea of microbes which include bacteria, archaea, microeukaryotes, and viruses. These microorganisms play an important part in the biogeochemical cycles of the marine ecosystems. They can either exist in the planktonic state or live in symbiosis with the animals, plants, and algae of the marine world (Pita et al., 2018). Sponges came into existence approximately 800 million years ago and have formed a close relationship with marine microorganisms, that is, the bacteria, archaea, fungi, and algae (Turner, 2021). The sponge holobiont is a complex relationship that benefits both the host and its associated microorganisms. The latter enhance nutrition, defense mechanisms, immunity, and development of the host while receiving shelter (Suryanarayanan, 2012). Endophytes, often fungi and bacteria, have also been reported from macroalgae around the world. Different microbial biodiversity and bioactive chemical structures have been discovered with immense potentials (Jeewon et al., 2019). An example of a promising anticancer drug in Phase III clinical trial is Plinabulin. It was isolated from the marine fungus Aspergillus sp. and is used for the treatment of neutropenia and non-small cell lung cancer (Saced et al., 2021). Endophytes benefit their host by producing compounds that are useful to growth, protection, and resistance to biotic and abiotic stresses (Nair and Padmavathy, 2014).

Marine fungi are key players in the oceans as they participate actively in the decomposition of organic matter, nutrient cycling and are symbionts to many organisms (Wang et al., 2014). They are taxonomically very diverse which belong to different fungal orders and families, and are also present in different habitats such as deep-sea sediments, hydrothermal vents, sea water, sand, and driftwoods and are associated with a plethora of marine organisms like sponges, corals, sea fans, algae, and sea cucumbers (Godinho et al., 2019). Culture based techniques, using different artificial media, have been employed to study fungal diversity of sponges and algae (Bovio et al., 2018; Sahoo et al., 2021) and these give an indication of some fungal symbionts present and enable the study of bioactive metabolites produced (Bovio et al., 2019; Kumat et al., 2020). Nevertheless, most microorganisms cannot be cultured in the laboratory, and it is often the fast-growing ones that are successfully isolated (Hagestad et al., 2019) and problems associated with traditional methods have been discussed in previous papers (Doilm et al., 2017; Jeewon et al., 2017; Hongsanang et al., 2018). There are very few scientific studies on the marine fungal diversity of Mauritius (Poonyth et al., 1999).

Metagenomics is a resource that can be employed to assess fungal biodiversity and to obtain data about the fungi that cannot be grown under laboratory conditions (Pearman et al., 2019). Many projects have turned to this technique and results are very promising. Eight-hundred and seven fungal operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were obtained by Ramá et al. (2016) on North Atlantic driftwoods, representing a rich biodiversity of Ascomycota and Basidiomycota. More than one fourth of the OTUs were unassigned, pointing the necessity of a wider range of DNA reference data. Garmendia et al. (2021), on the other hand, compared the cultivation-dependent method and the high-throughput sequencing methods (HTS) and concluded that some families of fungi were only obtained by the HTS. The dominant families included Trichocomaceae, Hypocreaceae, Cladosporiaceae, and Mortierellaceae. The in-depth investigation of the mycobiome will enable better understanding of the ecological functions of these symbionts. The orders and phyla of marine fungi associated with the sponges and algae can be identified and their functional roles assigned (Moreno-Pino et al., 2020). However, this method requires knowledge in bioinformatics, proper planning of the experiment, and appropriate standards to ensure reproducibility (Escobar-Zepeda et al., 2015).

To the best of our knowledge, no sequencing approach has been applied to survey the fungal diversity present in the brown algae and sponges from Mauritius waters. These samples were chosen as they support a high diversity of fungi (Suryanarayanan et al., 2010; Bovio et al., 2018). To this end, we employed ITS-targeted amplicon sequencing to survey the diversity of fungal communities of these organisms.

Materials and methods

Sample collection

The brown algae, Turbinaria conoides (A1), Sargassum pefeferae (A2), and Sargassum obovatum (A3) were collected in August 2020 (average temperature around 20°C) in Balacalla, Mauritius (coordinate: 20°08’30’’ S, 57°51’65’’ E) at a depth of 1 m. The algae were identified according to Guiry and Guiry (2020). The sponge samples, Iotrochota sp. (S1) and Biemna sp. (S2) were collected in August 2018 in Melville, Mauritius (coordinate: 20°1’34’’ S, 57°42’8’’ E; Figures 1A,B) at a depth of 1 m and were identified according to the World Porifera Database (https://www.marinespecies.org/porifera/; Van Soest et al., 2020). Samples were placed in Ziploc© bags with sea water and were transported on ice. The sponges and brown algae were then washed in sterile sea water to remove any debris, surface sterilized in 70% ethanol for 30s followed by three rinses in autoclaved sea water, and frozen at −20°C for DNA extraction.
DNA extraction and PCR amplification

The samples were crushed in liquid nitrogen and DNA extraction was carried out using the Norgen Biotek Plant/Fungi DNA isolation kit (Norgen Biotek Corp, Cat. 26200) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Three biological replicates were used for each sample and three technical replicates were included as shown in Figure 1C. The DNA samples were sent to Novogene Co., Ltd. (Singapore) for amplification of the ITS regions (ITS1) and sequencing. PCR reactions were conducted using Phusion® High-fidelity PCR Master Mix (New England Biolabs), with the primers ITS5-1737F (GGAAGTAAAAGTCGTAACAAGG) and ITS2-2043R (GCTGCGTTCTTCATCGATGC; White et al., 1990). PCR amplification was performed using the following conditions: 95°C for 120 s; 32 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s, 72°C for 45 s; and 72°C for 10 min (Bellemain et al., 2010). The negative control consisted of nuclease-free water instead of the sample DNA. PCR products were checked on 2% agarose gel and quantity and purity was assessed by Nanodrop and Qubit 2.0. Products between 400–450 bp were mixed at equal density ratios and purified using the Qiagen Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen, Germany). Sequencing was performed using the Illumina NovaSeq PE250. The libraries were generated with NEBNext® UltraTM DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (New England Biolabs, Cat. E7370L).

Sequence analysis of ITS amplicon community profiling

Paired-end reads were first demultiplexed by assigning them to their corresponding samples according to their unique barcodes. They were then truncated by cutting the barcode and primer sequences before being merged using FLASH (version 1.2.7; Magoč and Salzberg, 2011). Quality control was subsequently performed on the merged sequences using the default parameters in QIIME (version 1.7.0; Caporaso et al., 2010), to remove low quality reads and those containing ambiguous bases so as to obtain high quality clean tags (Bais et al., 2006). These were compared to sequences in the UNITE database (Gold...
database, http://drive5.com/uchime/uchime_download.html using the UCHIME algorithm (UCHIME Algorithm, http://www.
drive5.com/usearch/manual/uchime_algo.html) to identify and
remove chimeric sequences as well as singletons and host
sequences. The resulting sequences were clustered into operational
taxonomic units (OTUs) at 97% similarity using UPARSE (version
7.0.1001; Edgar, 2013) before assigning taxonomy by BLAST
searches against the UNITE database. The metagenome
sequences were deposited in the NCBI BioSample database with
accession numbers SAMN18168348–SAMN18168352, and the
project was registered in NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA)
under the BioProject accession number PRJNA707034.

Diversity metrics and statistical analysis

The community richness, diversity of the brown algae, and
sponge samples as well as the sequence coverage were determined
using alpha diversity indices. The Chao1 estimator, Shannon
index, and the Good's coverage, estimated in QIIME were used,
and displayed with the ggplot2 package (Wickham, 2016) of R
(version 2.15.3 R core team 2020; Nenadic and Greenacre, 2020).
T-test and Wilcoxon Rank Sum tests were performed to analyze
the significant differences between the samples. Beta diversity was
used to assess the differences in fungal composition between the
samples based on Unweighted Unifrac distances. The Analysis of
MOlecular VAriance (AMOVA) test was used to assess significant
difference between samples. Similarities or dissimilarities between
the samples were visualized on a Principal Coordinate Analysis
(PCoA) plot in R.

ASV workflow data analysis

In addition to OTU clustering, the amplicon sequence variant
(ASV) approach was also employed. DADA2 inference algorithm
was used on barcode and primer-free sequences were used to
correct for errors and create ASVs for the fungal communities
(Callahan et al., 2016). The error model algorithm of DADA2 was
applied, followed by dereplication, sample inference, merging of
paired-end reads, and chimera removal in order to generate a
sequence table of abundance per sample. Taxonomy was assigned
based on the curated UNITE database. IdTaxa via the DECIPHER
package (Murali et al., 2018) was used for taxonomic classification.
Community-based analyses were conducted by looking at the
ASV abundance in all the samples. To assess within sample
diversity (i.e., alpha), the phyloseq package (McMurdie and
Holmes, 2013) was used. To assess in between sample diversity
(i.e., beta), dissimilarities were computed using the ordinate
function in phyloseq and visualized using principal coordinates analysis.

Results

Composition of fungal communities

After the sequencing of the fungal ITS regions, a total of
755,822 reads were generated. From these, only 567,391 reads
were of high quality after removing low-quality reads and filtering
chimeras. The sample A1 had the smallest number of bases
(26,878,973) whereas the sample S1 had the largest number of bases
(49,872,062). The average number of bases for the five
samples was 36,479,404. The average length of the effective tags
ranged from 267 to 360 bp. A detailed summary of the number of
total tags, the taxon, and unclassified ones, the number of unique
tags as well as the number of OTUs in the five samples is provided
in Figure 2A; Table 1. A1 had the smallest number of reads and the
highest number of OTUs. For the co-occurring brown algae,
63 OTUs were shared among the three macroalgae and this could
be indicative of a core microbiome for macroalgal species. When
comparing two species of brown algae, 35 OTUs were common
between A1 and A2, 31 OTUs between A1 and A3, and 26 OTUs
were shared between A2 and A3. In the case of sponges, only 19
OTUs were common between them (Figure 2B).

Community composition at order and
genera level

Only fungal taxa of the Ascomycota and Basidiomycota were
recovered from sponge S2 (Figure 3A; Supplementary Figure 1A).
The relative abundance of Ascomycota was 0.0995% and
Basidiomycota was of 0.00118%. Sponge S2 harbored more diverse
and abundant orders as compared to sponge S1 which did not
have a high abundance of identified fungi. Sponge S1 was
colonized by fungal taxon of the orders Botryosphaeriales,
Chaetothyriales, Eurotiales, and Hypocreales, which were common
to sponge S2, with the latter also containing the 24 orders shown
except for Mucorales (Figure 3B; Supplementary Figures 1B,C). At
the genus level, only Trichoderma was common to the two
sponges. Twenty-four genera, namely Alternaria, Aspergillus,
Botryosphaeria, Candida, Chaetomium Cladophialophora, Colletotrichum, Cyphellophora, Dactylaria, Malassezia, Myramicium Myrothecium, Peronospora, Phoma, Resinicium,
Saccharomycopsis, Scopulariopsis, Slimonobasid, Talaromyces,
Trametes, Trichoderma, Trichomonas, Trichosporon, and
Unbilians were obtained from the sponge S2 (Figure 3C).

For the brown algae, A1 had the highest diversity and
abundance of fungal orders namely Botryosphaeriales,
Chaetothyriales, Eurotiales, Hypocreales, Malasseziales, and
Mucorales. In contrast, algae A2 and A3 contained lower
abundances of Botryosphaeriales, Chaetothyriales, Eurotiales,
and Hypocreales. Common orders that were detected in the three algae
included Botryosphaeriales, Chaetothyriales, Eurotiales, and
Hypocreales (Figure 3B). Regarding fungal genera, all the three
algae harbored fungal taxa of the genus Trichoderma, with algae
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A1 also being colonized by the genera *Aplosporella*, *Malassezia*, *Penicillium*, and *Rhizomucor* (Figure 3C).

**Statistical analyses**

The alpha diversity reflects the fungal community diversity within a sample. It indicates the richness and evenness of fungal communities found within each sample. Three indices were used in this study, namely the Shannon diversity index, the Chao1 index, and the Good’s coverage (Table 2; Supplementary Figure 2A). The Shannon diversity index and the Chao1 were in accordance with each other and indicated a significantly lower fungal diversity in sponge S1 as compared to S2, A3, A2, and S1 (p < 0.05). The Good’s coverage of all the samples were 100%, indicating that the sequencing depths were sufficient to evaluate fungal diversity in the samples. This was supported by the rarefaction curve (Figure 4A; Supplementary Figure 2B) where the curves show a tendency to become flatter.

The beta diversity analysis was used to measure the differences between community composition of the different samples. From the AMOVA test, there were no significant differences between the samples (p > 0.05). Based on relative fungal abundance, the samples collected from the same region did form a close group, with A1 and A2 being particularly closer. The two PCoA axes accounted for 36.5 and 31.3% of the observed variations, respectively, (Figure 4B). Similar results were observed in the phylogenetic-based clustering of samples, where the two brown algae A1 and A2, obtained from the same environment, clustered together, thereby showing similarities in the fungal community composition. Macroalgae A3 was also obtained from the same environment but it clustered with sponge S1, which was collected at another location. Sponge S2 did not cluster with the rest of the samples (Figure 4C).

**Discussion**

Fungal biodiversity in Mauritius waters has not been profoundly studied. Identity-based OTU clustering is the most commonly-used approach to reveal fungal diversity from different environments, and our data were comparable in both the OTU and ASV approaches. Therefore, OTU data were used...
to discuss the results. In the sponge *Iotrochota* sp. (S1), fungal taxa from only three orders were detected, namely *Botryosphaeriales*, *Chaetothyriales*, *Eurotiales*, and *Hypocreales*. These were found at a relatively low abundance. This is quite unusual as most genomic analyses of sponges have revealed a high abundance and diversity of fungal communities (Moreno-Pino et al., 2020). Given that it was co-occurring in the same environment as the sponge *Biemna* sp. (S2), which was colonized by numerous fungi, a wider range of orders was expected. Nevertheless, there are sponges which have low-microbial abundance, that is, they have a microbial biomass, which is less diverse and single-clade dominant (Fuerst, 2015). He et al. (2014) found only one OTU to be present in the sponges *Cinachyrella australiensis* and *Haliclona mediterranea* from the South China Sea. In the same study, 1,122 OTUs were discovered from the sponge *Iotrochota* sp., which represented much more than the 57 OTUs found in our study. Fungal colonization depends on environmental conditions as well as the host and therefore, it can be variable for different sponges. Marine fungi have shown to adapt and evolve differently based on the environmental conditions in which they live. These conditions exert a selective pressure on the microorganisms. In addition, the sponge *Iotrochota* sp. is
known to produce the halogenated alkaloids purpuroines A–J, which might have antifungal properties (Shen et al., 2012). The low abundance of fungi in the sponge S1 might be due to the secondary metabolites produced by this sponge.

In this study, the sponge *Biemna* sp. had the highest fungal diversity with *Ascomycota* and *Basidiomycota* being the two most identified phyla. Nguyen and Thomas (2018) also found that *Ascomycota*, *Basidiomycota*, and *Glomeromycota* colonized the three sponges *Cymbastela concentrica*, *Scopalina* sp., and *Tedania ahelans* from Australia. This confirms that these phyla are common colonizers of marine sponges (Paz et al., 2010; Jin et al., 2014; Naim et al., 2017). Furthermore, fungal taxa from orders of the *Agaricales*, *Diaporthales*, *Eurotiales*, *Hypocreales*, *Malasseziales*, *Pleosporales*, and *Xylariales* were also recovered, similar to the ones found in the sponge *Biemna* sp. Nineteen OTUs were shared between sponge S1 and S2. The orders *Botryosphaeriales*, *Chaetothyriales*, and *Eurotiales* were found in both sponges and might be indicative of a core microbiome. These orders have been found in different sponges around the world and might occupy important functions in the sponge holobiont (Yu et al., 2013; He et al., 2014; Bovio et al., 2019; Karthik and Li, 2019). The genera *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium* have often been recovered from marine sponges and macroalgae (Venkatachalam et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2018; Moreno-Pino et al., 2020; Sibero et al., 2021) and our study reports *Aspergillus* in the sponge *Biemna* sp. and that of *Penicillium* in the brown algae *Turbinaria conoides*. It can be noted that orders of yeasts have also been identified in the sponge S2. This is not uncommon as Naim et al. (2017) obtained reads that belonged to the yeast orders *Malasseziales*, *Saccharomycetales*, *Cystofilobasidiales*, and *Tremellales*. Maldonado et al. (2005) also reported that in sponges, endosymbiotic yeasts are maternally transmitted through the oocytes to the fertilized egg. This indicates that yeasts, along with filamentous fungi inhabit the sponges.

Regarding the algal fungal community, common orders that were shared between the three macroalgae were *Botryosphaeriales*, *Eurotiales*, and *Hypocreales*. *Eurotiales* and *Hypocreales* have also been recovered from macroalgae by Abdel-Gawad et al. (2014) while Wainwright et al. (2019)
recovered these orders from Illumina sequencing of *Sargassum ilicifolium*. Yurchenko et al. (2019) isolated an algal-derived fungus *Penicillium* sp. indicating that this genus is an algal endophyte. The order *Botryosphaerales* was found in the marine environment and was isolated as an endophyte of the red algae *Bostrychia radicans* (De Jesus et al., 2017). Therefore, these three orders could represent the core microbiome of macroalgae. As endophytes, they have important roles in their hosts, participating in protection, growth and resistance (Morelli et al., 2020; Ogbe et al., 2020). The genus *Trichoderma* was recovered in the three algae in this study. Song et al. (2019) also isolated a marine algal endophyte *Trichoderma asperellum* with interesting bioactive properties. Marine endophytic *Trichoderma* can inhibit marine-derived bacteria and phytoplankton (Zou et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2020). Our results suggest that these three algae contain endophytes that could be further studied for the production of bioactive secondary metabolites. The high abundance of sequences with unassigned taxonomy in this study was not unexpected. Previous studies on the green macroalga *Caulerpa lentillifera* revealed five eukaryotic phyla along with unclassified eukaryotes based on ITS sequencing. The unclassified sequences accounted for 83.6% of all the sequences at the genera level (Liang et al., 2019). The metabarcoding analyses of Xu et al. (2019) of the deep-sea hadal sediments also yielded 80% of total OTUs which were not assigned to any fungal division. Wainwright et al. (2019) also reported their inability to assign taxonomy to many sequences obtained from the brown algae *Sargassum ilicifolium*. They concluded that the marine environment has various unknown fungi that are not referenced in the databases. Inability to assign taxonomy is a major problem in marine fungi high throughput sequencing studies. This arises due to the lack of representation in the public databases. As most fungal research focus on terrestrial environments, sequence data of fungi that live solely in the marine world are minim (Lee et al., 2019; Wainwright et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2019).

The beta analyses as well as the phylogenetic relationship revealed that only the two algae, *Turbinaria conoides* (A1) and *Sargassum pfeifferae* (A2) clustered relatively close to each other, reflecting the fact that these algae were co-occurring in the same environment and therefore, it is evident that some fungi would be present in both algae. Although the sponges were collected from the same location, they did not cluster together but they did share common OTUs, indicating that there are fungi from their environment that live in association with them. According to Nguyen and Thomas (2018), the sponges *T. anhelans* and *Scopalina* sp. were significantly different in fungal communities, suggesting that the sponge exert different selective pressures on their fungal communities. A similar observation was made by He et al. (2014), where co-occurring sponges *Haliclona fuscigera* and *Ircinia* sp. did not cluster together too. Gao et al. (2008) also supported the theory that eukaryotic diversity of sponges has no correlation with the sampling location and this might explain why sponge S1 did not cluster with sponge S2.

The culture-independent method used in this study showed higher fungal diversity compared to a previous traditional microbiological study from our research group that involved the same sponge and algal species (Wong Chin et al., 2021). The marine ecosystem provides abundant opportunities to discover novel fungi that can advance our understanding of fungal diversity and ecology while expanding the current repertoire of organisms from the Mauritius waters with the potential to contribute to drug discovery.

**Conclusion**

This study demonstrated that many orders of marine fungi inhabit sponges and algae in Mauritius. Various uncultured and yet-to-be discovered fungi have high abundance in the samples in this study. The orders and genera that were described have been isolated from marine environments around the world and are not uncommon. This indicates that they are sponge and algal generalists. The samples from the same locations did not show specific clustering, suggesting that the host might exert more pressure on the fungal diversity as compared to the environment. This NGS-based cultivation-independent method is a powerful tool to uncover fungal communities but further studies are needed to shed light on the function of these fungi in the sponges and algae of Mauritius and how they contribute and interact in their host’s survival and protection.
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