Prevention of chemotherapy-induced cachexia by ACVR2B ligand blocking has different effects on heart and skeletal muscle
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Abstract

Background Toxicity of chemotherapy on skeletal muscles and the heart may significantly contribute to cancer cachexia, mortality, and decreased quality of life. Doxorubicin (DOX) is an effective cytostatic agent, which unfortunately has toxic effects on many healthy tissues. Blocking of activin receptor type IIB (ACVR2B) ligands is an often used strategy to prevent skeletal muscle loss, but its effects on the heart are relatively unknown.

Methods The effects of DOX treatment with or without pre-treatment with soluble ACVR2B-Fc (sACVR2B-Fc) were investigated. The mice were randomly assigned into one of the three groups: (1) vehicle (PBS)-treated controls, (2) DOX-treated mice (DOX), and (3) DOX-treated mice administered with sACVR2B-Fc during the experiment (DOX + sACVR2B-Fc). DOX was administered with a cumulative dose of 24 mg/kg during 2 weeks to investigate cachexia outcome in the heart and skeletal muscle. To understand similarities and differences between skeletal and cardiac muscles in their responses to chemotherapy, the tissues were collected 20 h after a single DOX (15 mg/kg) injection and analysed with genome-wide transcriptomics and mRNA and protein analyses. The combination group was pre-treated with sACVR2B-Fc 48 h before DOX administration. Major findings were also studied in mice receiving only sACVR2B-Fc.

Results The DOX treatment induced similar (~10%) wasting in skeletal muscle and the heart. However, transcriptional changes in response to DOX were much greater in skeletal muscle. Pathway analysis and unbiased transcription factor analysis showed that p53-p21-REDD1 is the main common pathway activated by DOX in both skeletal and cardiac muscles. These changes were attenuated by blocking ACVR2B ligands especially in skeletal muscle. Tceal7 (3-fold to 5-fold increase), transferin receptor (1.5-fold increase), and Ccl21 (0.6-fold to 0.9-fold decrease) were identified as novel genes responsive to blocking ACVR2B ligands. Overall, at the transcriptome level, ACVR2B ligand blocking had only minor influence in the heart while it had marked effects in skeletal muscle. The same was also true for the effects on tissue wasting. This may be explained in part by about 18-fold higher gene expression of myostatin in skeletal muscle compared with the heart.

Conclusions Cardiac and skeletal muscles display similar atrophy after DOX treatment, but the mechanisms for this may differ between the tissues. The present results suggest that p53-p21-REDD1 signalling is the main common DOX-activated pathway in these tissues and that blocking activin receptor ligands attenuates this response, especially in skeletal muscle, supporting the overall stronger effects of this treatment in skeletal muscles.

Keywords Myostatin; Activins; Transcriptome; p53; Doxorubicin; Ccl21

Received: 4 August 2017; Revised: 15 September 2017; Accepted: 12 October 2017
*Correspondence to: Juha Hulmi, Neuromuscular Research Center, Faculty of Sport and Health Sciences, University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland. Email: juha.hulmi@jyu.fi
†Riikka Kivelä, Translational Cancer Biology Program, Faculty of Medicine, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland. Email: riikka.kivela@helsinki.fi
‡These authors contributed equally to the work.
Introduction

Cancer cachexia is associated with increased mortality. This may, in part, be related to increased toxicity of chemotherapy on skeletal muscles and the heart. The maintenance of skeletal muscle mass and function predicts better response to treatment and survival in diseases. Therefore, it is crucial to discover and develop effective strategies to counteract pathological skeletal and cardiac muscle loss.

Doxorubicin (DOX, Adriamycin) is an anthracycline cytostatic agent, which acts through arresting cell cycle, and thus blocks proliferation of malignant cells. Unfortunately, DOX has deleterious effects also on many healthy tissues. Large doses of DOX have been shown for decades to induce cardiotoxicity through various mechanisms. In addition, DOX induces adverse effects on skeletal muscle tissue including muscle weakness, fatigue, dysfunction, and atrophy. Some studies have suggested that the heart may be more sensitive to DOX than skeletal muscle, but the degree of skeletal muscle dysfunction can be comparable to or even higher than that of heart.

Skeletal and cardiac muscles are very similar in several aspects; both are striated and composed of myofibrils. However, cardiac cells are smaller, more circular, branched, and have junctions between cells called intercalated discs connecting cardiomyocytes (CMCs) together. This complexity in the heart may be an advantage, but also a disadvantage regarding regeneration, which has been thought to be among the weakest in the adult mammalian body. In comparison to the heart, skeletal muscles have a remarkable regeneration capacity even after very severe injury.

Skeletal muscle size is negatively regulated by myostatin, GDF11, and activins, which belong to the TGF-β superfamily of proteins. They exert their effects through binding to activin receptor type IIb (ACVR2B). An often used strategy to increase muscle size and to prevent muscle loss is to block these ACVR2B ligands by administration of a soluble ligand binding domain of ACVR2B (sACVR2B-Fc). An often used strategy is to increase muscle size and to prevent muscle loss is to block these ACVR2B ligands by administration of a soluble ligand binding domain of ACVR2B (sACVR2B-Fc).

Previous studies have evaluated the effects of DOX and ACVR2B blocking either on the heart or skeletal muscle. In the present study, we compared the effects of DOX-treatment with or without pre-treatment with sACVR2B-Fc on cardiac and skeletal muscles. We show here that cardiac and skeletal muscle masses were similarly decreased by DOX chemotherapy treatment. However, transcriptional changes in response to DOX were much greater in skeletal muscle. Furthermore, we show that blocking of activin receptor ligands had more pronounced effect on skeletal muscle than cardiac wasting.

Materials and methods

Animals

C57BL/6J male mice (Envigo), aged 6–10 weeks were maintained under standard conditions (temperature 22°C, 12:12 h light/dark cycle) with free access to food and water. The protocols were approved by the National Animal Experiment Board, and all the experiments were carried out in accordance with the guidelines of the committee and the ethical standards of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Experimental design

The mice were randomly assigned into three groups: (1) vehicle (PBS)-treated controls (CTRL), (2) DOX hydrochloride treated mice (DOX), and (3) DOX-treated mice administered with sACVR2B-Fc intraperitoneally (DOX + sACVR2B) (Figure 1A).

In the long-term treatment experiments, both DOX groups received four intraperitoneal injections of DOX (each 6 mg/kg in PBS), administered every third day during the first 2 weeks of the experiment. Control mice were administered with an equal volume of PBS. The mice were euthanized at 2 weeks and at 4 weeks after the first DOX injection. Half of the DOX mice were injected with sACVR2B-Fc (5 mg/kg in PBS) twice a week during the first 2 weeks of the experiment and once a week after that. The DOX treatment protocol was designed to mimic the treatment of human patients with low DOX doses to induce cardiotoxicity but no treatment-related deaths.

In the acute experiment, a single intraperitoneal injection of DOX (15 mg/kg in PBS) or an equal volume of PBS was administered. sACVR2B-Fc-treated mice received a single intraperitoneal injection of sACVR2B-Fc (10 mg/kg in PBS) 48 h before DOX administration, as we have previously shown that sACVR2B-Fc increases muscle protein synthesis 48 h after its administration. The mice were euthanized 20 h after DOX/PBS administration. To analyse the effects of sACVR2B-Fc alone, another experiment was conducted in which sACVR2B-Fc (10 mg/kg in PBS) or PBS were administered 48 h before sample collection into wild-type mice.

Tissue collection

At the end of the experiment, the mice were anaesthetized with ketamine and xylazine and then euthanized by cardiac puncture followed by cervical dislocation. Hindlimb muscle tibialis anterior (TA), gastrocnemius, soleus, and the heart were immediately excised and weighed. The left TA and gastrocnemius muscles and part of the heart (apex) were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Blood was fully drained from hearts prior to tissue weighing. All tissue weights were normalized to the length of the tibia (mm). TA muscle was used in...
subsequent analyses, except when analysing the effects of sACVR2B-Fc alone\textsuperscript{20} and DOX-measurements, in which gastrocnemius was used.

**Puromycin injection for protein synthesis measurement**

Puromycin incorporation assay\textsuperscript{31} was conducted as earlier\textsuperscript{4,20,32} with small modifications. In brief, mice were intraperitoneally injected with 0.040 $\mu$mol/g (21.78 mg/kg) puromycin (Calbiochem, Darmstadt, Germany) dissolved in 200 $\mu$L PBS. At exactly 25 min after the injection of puromycin, mice were euthanized by cervical dislocation and heart was collected and snap-frozen at exactly 30 min after puromycin injection.

**sACVR2B-Fc production**

The recombinant fusion protein was produced and purified as described earlier in detail.\textsuperscript{20} The ectodomain of human ACVR2B was fused with a human IgG1 Fc domain and expressed in Chinese hamster ovary cells grown in a suspension culture. The protein is similar but not identical to that originally generated by Lee and colleagues.\textsuperscript{19}

**RNA analysis**

Total RNA was extracted from muscle and the heart with TRIzol reagent (Bioline) and further purified with NucleoSpin® RNA II columns. For quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR), RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA by using iScript\textsuperscript{TM} Advanced cDNA Synthesis Kit for real-time qPCR (Bio-Rad Laboratories) and CFX96 Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Quantification was carried out by using standard curve or efficiency corrected $\Delta\Delta$Ct method. The relative mRNA expressions were normalized by using $36b4$ as a reference gene, as it was the most stable (lowest intergroup and intragroup variances) from three candidate reference genes ($36b4$, Gapdh, and Rn18S). Primer sequences are listed in Online Resource 1: Supplementary Methods.

**Microarray analysis**

RNA from the TA and the heart samples of the acute experiment were analysed with Illumina Sentrix MouseRef-6 v2 Expression BeadChip containing 45 281 transcripts (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) by the Functional Genomics Unit at Biomedicum Helsinki, University of Helsinki, Finland according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Five muscle and heart samples from control and DOX groups and five muscle and three heart samples from DOX + sACVR2B-Fc group were analysed. RNA was analysed for integrity and quality on Agilent Bioanlyser 2100. Illumina’s GenomeStudio software was used for initial data analysis and quality control. Raw data were normalized with quantile normalization (including log2-transformation of the data), data quality was assessed, and statistical analyses were performed by using Chipster software (IT Center for Science, Espoo, Finland).\textsuperscript{33} Statistically significant differences in individual genes between the groups were tested by using Empirical Bayes statistics and the Benjamini-Hochberg algorithm controlling false discovery rate (FDR). FDR values of $<0.05$ with $\geq1.2$-fold change difference were considered significant. MIAME guidelines were followed during array data generation, pre-processing, and analysis. The complete data set is publicly available in the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/, accession no. GSE77745 and GSE97642). Heatmap
illustrations were performed with GENE-E software (Broad Institute, Cambridge, USA).

**Transcription factor analysis**

Transcription factor (TF) analysis is usually conducted in cultured cells rather than actual tissue samples, and it focuses on a single a priori chosen TF at a time. When the TF is not known in advance, or when only gene expression profiling is available, regulatory relationships can be uncovered by reverse-engineering a gene regulatory network starting from the expression data. A-genome-wide ranking-and-recovery approach using iRegulon software was used to detect enriched TF motifs and their optimal set of direct target genes. This analysis also links these candidate motifs to TFs by using motif2TF procedure.

**Pathway analysis**

Enrichment of functionally related genes in four different gene set collections was first performed by using a non-biased method by gene set enrichment analysis software (GSEA; Version 2.0) as previously done in our laboratory. The collections used were the Canonical Pathways, Biocarta, KEGG, and Reactome (http://www.broadinstitute.org/gsea/msigdb/collections.jsp). The number of permutations by gene set was set to 1000 and gene sets with at least 10, and no more than 500 genes were taken into account in each analysis. The statistical significance was calculated by using FDR, and the level of significance was set at FDR < 0.05.

**Doxorubicin measurement**

The DOX concentration from gastrocnemius muscle was measured with an Agilent 1100 HPLC system (Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany) coupled to an AB Sciex API 2000 tandem mass spectrometer (Framingham, MA), as previously described.

**Tissue processing for the protein analysis**

Muscle and heart samples were homogenized and treated with proper inhibitors as previously reported. One part of the heart homogenate was taken for the puromycin incorporation examination. For that purpose, the sample was centrifuged at 500 g for 5 min to remove cell debris. For the analysis of individual proteins, the rest of the homogenate was centrifuged at 10 000 g for 10 min. Total protein content was determined by using the bicinchoninic acid protein assay (Pierce Biotechnology, Rockford, USA) with an automated KoneLab analyser (Thermo Scientific, Vantaa, Finland).

**Western immunoblot analyses**

Western immunoblot analyses were performed as previously reported. Ponceau S staining and GAPDH were used as loading controls, and all the results are normalized to the mean of Ponceau S and GAPDH. The quantification of GAPDH normalized to Ponceau S was similar among the groups, indicating that GAPDH protein content remained stable under the experimental conditions. The antibodies used are listed in the Online Resource 1: Supplementary Methods.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Cardiac and skeletal muscle (TA) tissue sections were cut with cryomicrotome and fixed with ice-cold acetone. Masson trichrome staining was performed to analyse the amount of fibrosis in the tissues. Immunohistochemistry was performed to measure CMC cross-sectional area by using mouse-anti-dystrophin antibody (1:500 dilution, NCL-Dys 2, Novocastra). Rabbit-anti-Ki67 antibody (1:300, ab1667, Abcam) was used to evaluate the effects of DOX and sACVR2B-Fc on the cell proliferation. Sections were imaged with Zeiss Axiomager microscope, and CMC size was calculated using Cell Profiler software.

**Statistical analysis**

Multiple group comparisons except microarray (see details above) were conducted with general linear model analysis of variance followed by Bonferroni post hoc test or by non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis test followed by Holm-Bonferroni corrected Mann–Whitney U-test as post hoc when appropriate. For two-group comparisons, a two-tailed unpaired Student’s t-test or non-parametric Mann–Whitney U-test was used. Data were checked for normality and for the equality of variances. The level of significance in these analyses was set at \( P < 0.05 \). Data are expressed as means ± SEM if not otherwise mentioned. Statistical analyses were performed with IBM SPSS STATISTICS version 24 for Windows (SPSS, Chicago, IL).

**Results**

**ACVR2B blocking can prevent chemotherapy-induced skeletal muscle but not cardiac atrophy**

At 4 weeks, chemotherapy-induced atrophy was almost identical between skeletal and cardiac muscles (Figure 1B). In skeletal muscle, sACVR2B-Fc treatment effectively prevented the loss of muscle mass and was able to even increase muscle mass (Figure 1B). However, sACVR2B-Fc was unable to fully block the cardiac atrophy, although the weight loss was
slightly less consistent in DOX + sACVR2B (P = 0.165) when compared with DOX alone (P = 0.030). Furthermore, CMC cross-sectional area was not increased by sACVR2B (Online Resource 2: Supplementary Figure S1A).

**Larger transcriptomic changes in skeletal muscle than in the heart in response to doxorubicin chemotherapy**

Whole-genome microarray analysis using FDR < 0.05 and fold change ≥ 1.2 criteria showed that 485 and 40 annotated transcripts were up-regulated and 473 and 24 were down-regulated by DOX in skeletal muscle and heart, respectively, at 20 h after a single DOX injection. Out of these genes, there were 21 and 6 genes that were up-regulated or down-regulated, respectively, by DOX in both muscle and the heart (Online Resource 3: Supplementary Figure S2A and S2B). In addition to having much larger number of genes altered, the genes with largest changes showed more robust response in skeletal muscle as compared with the heart (Figure 2A–2D). Of the most up-regulated genes, a well-known cell-cycle inhibitor p21/Cdkn1a was highly up-regulated by DOX injection in both muscle and the heart (Figure 2A and 2C), and this was validated by qPCR (Figure 3A). Interestingly, this response was significantly decreased by sACVR2B-Fc treatment preceding DOX administration in skeletal muscle (Figure 3A). sACVR2B-Fc alone did not, however, decrease p21/Cdkn1a below healthy controls (Figure 3A). Moreover, DNA-damage response indicator Redd1/Ddit4 was up-regulated in both skeletal and heart muscle as published earlier, and blocking ACVR2B ligands attenuated this response in skeletal muscle as published earlier without an effect in the heart (Online Resource 2: Supplementary Figure S1B).

Tceal7 and Ccl21 mRNAs are regulated by sACVR2B-Fc

One hundred eighteen and 1 annotated transcripts were up-regulated, and 84 and 2 transcripts were down-regulated in DOX + sACVR2B-Fc-treated mice when compared with DOX alone in muscle and the heart, respectively. In skeletal muscle, the gene with the highest increase by sACVR2B-Fc in microarray (Figure 2E) was Tceal7 [transcription elongation factor A], a protein involved in muscle development and regeneration, and this finding was further confirmed by qPCR (Figure 3B). The expression level of Tceal7 in the heart was very low and could not be analysed reliably. The only significantly up-regulated gene by sACVR2B-Fc in the heart was Vsig4 (3.52-fold, FDR < 0.001), which remained unchanged in skeletal muscle. Of the five annotated probes down-regulated by sACVR2B-Fc in the heart, four were probes for Ccl21 gene and the response of this gene was also validated by qPCR in both tissues (Figure 3C). sACVR2B-Fc treatment alone also increased the expression of Tceal7 and decreased the expression of Ccl21 (Figure 3B and 3C), confirming that these effects are due to the blocking of activin receptor type IIB ligands. Potassium voltage-gated channel, Isk-related subfamily, member 1 (Kne1) was another gene decreased by sACVR2B-Fc in the heart (0.55-fold, FDR = 0.01) without an effect in skeletal muscle. Transcripts, which showed the largest down-regulation by sACVR2B-Fc in muscle, are shown in Figure 2F.

There were 22 and 17 genes that were up-regulated or down-regulated, respectively, by DOX in skeletal muscle, and whose expression was normalized by sACVR2B-Fc treatment (Online Resource 3: Supplementary Figure S2C and S2D). An interesting gene among these was transferrin receptor, as iron metabolism has been shown to be affected and to play a role in DOX-induced toxicity. In both muscle and the heart transferrin receptor mRNA decreased by DOX and in both tissues, but especially in skeletal muscle, this was rescued by sACVR2B-Fc (Figure 3D). The increase in transferrin receptor by sACVR2B-Fc was translated into protein level as well, especially in skeletal muscle (Figure 3E).

**PGC-1 gene expression**

As microarray platforms do not have probes for most of the recently identified PGC-1 isoforms, we analysed them by qPCR. In skeletal muscle, Pgc-1α exon 1a (Ppargc1a exon 1a) and Pgc-1α exon 1c isoforms were as well as Pgc-1β (Ppargc1b) mRNA decreased by DOX (P < 0.05), and only the N-truncated Pgc-1α isoforms remained unchanged (Figure 4A–4D). In contrast, in the heart, there was an overall increase by DOX in Pgc-1α isoforms (Figure 4A–4D). No effect of sACVR2B-Fc was observed in either tissue type (Figure 4A–4D).

**Heart protein synthesis and ubiquitin ligases**

We recently reported that skeletal muscle protein synthesis was decreased by DOX and this could be restored by sACVR2B-Fc. In this study, we analysed protein synthesis in the heart in response to DOX and sACVR2B-Fc. Unlike in skeletal muscle, there was no consistent effect of either DOX or sACVR2B-Fc on puromycin incorporation into proteins, a marker of protein synthesis, in the heart (Figure 5A). The level of ubiquitinated proteins was also unchanged in the heart (Figure 5B) similarly as previously published in skeletal muscle. E3 ubiquitin ligase Atrogin1 mRNA increased by DOX in both tissues, but more robustly in the skeletal muscle (Figure 5C). Interestingly, sACVR2B-Fc prevented the increase in Atrogin1 mRNA in muscle (Figure 5C). Murf1 mRNA showed a small decrease by DOX in the heart (Figure 5C), while as previously published in skeletal muscle, Murf1 mRNA was unaltered by DOX, but decreased due to sACVR2B-Fc.
Muscle doxorubicin content is unaltered by sACVR2B-Fc

To analyse whether the strong effects of sACVR2B-Fc were simply due to attenuated DOX levels in the combination group, DOX concentration in gastrocnemius muscle after the acute DOX treatment was analysed. We found that DOX content at 20 h post-injection did not differ between the DOX and DOX + sACVR2B administered mice (Figure 5D), suggesting that sACVR2B-Fc effects are not due to altered tissue concentration of DOX.

Pathway analysis shows similar responses in skeletal muscle and the heart

To identify affected pathways, further analyses were conducted by using an unbiased gene clustering analysis with

Figure 2 Top 10 genes with largest response to doxorubicin in skeletal muscle (A and B) and in the heart (C and D). Top 10 genes with largest change by sACVR2B-Fc in skeletal muscle (E and F). C = CTRL, D = DOX, A = DOX + sACVR2B. *, **, or *** = significant ($P < 0.05$, $P < 0.01$, or $P < 0.001$, respectively) adjusted difference (false discovery rate). $n = 5$ per group.
In GSEA analysis, 72 and 51 gene sets were up-regulated and 17 and 0 down-regulated (FDR < 0.05) in skeletal muscles and hearts of DOX-injected mice, respectively (Online Resource 4: Supplementary Figure S3A and S3B). It was evident that p53/p63 pathways/gene sets were activated in both skeletal muscle and the heart (Figure 6A and 6B). Also, pathways related to RNA polymerase and transcription were up-regulated in both tissues (Figure 6A and 6B). In skeletal muscle the most down-regulated pathways were related to extracellular proteins, collagens, and their regulation (Figure 6A). Same pathways tended to be decreased also in the heart after DOX-injection, but less significantly than in skeletal muscle (FDR > 0.05). No sign of fibrosis was observed with Masson trichrome staining either in skeletal muscle or in the heart at 4 weeks (Online Resource 2: Supplementary Figure S1C).

Correspondingly, nine gene sets were up-regulated and six down-regulated (FDR < 0.05) in DOX + sACVR2B when compared with DOX alone in skeletal muscle without any affected gene sets in the heart (Figure 6C). In muscle, the most activated gene sets were related to translation capacity and efficiency, and the most down-regulated ones to extracellular proteins, and especially proteoglycans and extracellular matrix glycoproteins. Of the gene sets that were down-regulated by DOX in skeletal muscle, p38-MAPK pathway was significantly increased by DOX + sACVR2B when compared with DOX alone (Figure 6C and Online Resource 4: Supplementary Figure S3C and S3D).
As sACVR2B prevented the DOX-induced increase in the cell cycle inhibitor p21, we stained muscle and heart sections with Ki67 antibody. DOX treatment had no significant effect on the number of Ki67-positive nuclei, but surprisingly, sACVR2B significantly increased Ki67-positive nuclei in both muscle and the heart (Online Resource 2: Supplementary Figure S1D).

**Transcription factor analysis**

Next, to gain insight into the key mediators of the effects of DOX and sACVR2B-Fc, a TF analysis was conducted. For this analysis, the gene lists of FDR < 0.05 up-regulated or down-regulated genes with at least 1.5-fold change were loaded to iRegulon software. As a common TF up-regulated by DOX in both the muscle and the heart, p53 was again identified (Online Resource 5: Supplementary Table S1). This result was associated with increased Myod1 mRNA by DOX in both microarray and in qPCR (Online Resource 6: Supplementary Figure S4A). On the other hand, Stat3 binding site was strongly enriched in the heart, but not in skeletal muscle (Online Resource 5: Supplementary Table S1) without changes in the phosphorylation of Stat3 (Online Resource 6: Supplementary Figure S4B).

**Gene expression of ACVR2B and its ligands in muscle and in heart and in response to doxorubicin and sACVR2B-Fc**

The difference in the response to ACVR2B ligand blocking between skeletal muscle and the heart could be explained by different expression level of activin receptor IIB or its ligands or their responses to DOX. First, we compared the mRNA levels in muscle and heart tissues of young healthy mice...
Myostatin (Gdf8) mRNA expression was ~18-fold higher in skeletal muscle than in the heart (P < 0.001; Figure 8A). The expression levels of Activin A (Inhibin βA), Gdf11, and activin receptor IIB (Acvr2b) mRNAs were relatively low in both tissues, especially in skeletal muscle that had lower mRNA of these genes than the heart (P < 0.005; Figure 8A).

Next, we analysed the responses of these ligands to the treatments. sACVR2B-Fc increased Gdf8 mRNA but decreased Acvr2b mRNA exclusively in skeletal muscle without effects on Activin A or Gdf11 mRNA (Figure 8B–8E). DOX slightly decreased Activin A and Gdf11 mRNA in skeletal muscle without an effect on Gdf8 or Acvr2b mRNA expression (Figure 8B–8E).

Discussion

The present study reports common and unique changes in skeletal muscle and the heart after DOX chemotherapy alone and in combination with activin receptor ligand blocking. DOX treatment induced similar tissue wasting in both heart and skeletal muscles; however, changes in the whole-genome transcriptome were much greater in skeletal muscle. We identified p53-p21-REDD1 as the main common pathway activated by DOX in both skeletal and cardiac muscles, and this was attenuated by blocking the activin receptor IIB ligands. Although a few novel targets were found in the heart, the blocking of sACVR2B ligands had markedly stronger effects in skeletal muscle than in the heart.

To the best of our knowledge, this was the first omics-based study comparing the effects of chemotherapy and activin receptor ligand blocking in the heart and skeletal muscle. Previously, the effects of DOX on heart transcriptome have been investigated and the effects of cancer cachexia without chemotherapy have been compared in cardiac and skeletal muscles. Moreover, we and others have investigated skeletal muscle transcriptome after blocking or deleting activin receptor ligands with different strategies. However, we are unaware of any omics-based DOX studies in skeletal muscle. Here we found that after a cumulative dose of 24 mg/kg of DOX, skeletal muscle and heart wasting at 4 weeks after starting the treatment were almost identical, supporting previous findings in rats. Regarding quality and functional aspects, previous studies comparing the degree of toxicity in skeletal muscle and in the heart have provided...
Perhaps surprisingly, in our study, skeletal muscles showed much more robust changes in transcript expression after DOX administration when compared with the heart. Interestingly, in line with our findings, a recent study reported that muscle transcriptome was much more responsive to C26 cancer cachexia than that of the heart. Why the DOX-induced changes, especially in transcriptomics, were much larger in skeletal muscle even though the...
loss of tissue mass was equal? Skeletal muscle tissue has a
tremendous regeneration capacity after muscle damage com-
pared with the heart.13,14 One of the most important factors
in skeletal muscle regeneration/restoration is a skeletal
muscle-specific protein MyoD that activates skeletal muscle-
specific transcription,42 and is required for muscle regenera-
tion after injury.43 MyoD target genes and
Myod mRNA itself
were induced in skeletal muscle in DOX-injected mice, possi-
bly reflecting regeneration process in skeletal muscle by
activated satellite cells.43 This response is probably second-
ary to the myocyte damage,2,10 as at least in vitro in myo-
blasts, DOX per se can inhibit MyoD expression.44 Tceal7
was the most induced gene by sACVR2B-Fc in skeletal mus-
cle. TCEAL7 is a protein expressed mainly in skeletal muscle,
and it is up-regulated after muscle injury serving as an
enhancer of muscle cell differentiation.38 This may be one of
the mechanisms how new myo

Figure 7  mRNA expression changes of genes containing p53 targeted motifs (DOX vs. CTRL fold change > 1.5 and false discovery rate < 0.05) (A) in skeletal muscle and (B) in the heart. C = control, D = DOX, and D + A = DOX + sACVR2B. Sig. designates p-adjusted false discovery rate of the difference between the doxorubicin and controls (* = false discovery rate < 0.05, ** = false discovery rate < 0.01, *** = false discovery rate < 0.001). Rank = the
rank number (all genes in the genome) of likelihood of being a p53 target. Motifs = the number of p53 associated motifs found in regulatory sequence. The table presents results of mean of probes with multiple transcripts. n = 5 per group. (C) The effects of DOX and combined DOX + sACVR2B on p53 protein level. Kruskal-Wallis test with Holm-Bonferroni corrected Mann–Whitney U post hoc test was used. n = 8–9 in heart and 4–6 in skeletal muscle.
Figure 8 (A) Activin receptor type IIB and its major ligands are differentially expressed in the heart and skeletal muscle. The effects of doxorubicin and sACVR2B-Fc administration on mRNA expression of (B) Gdf8 (myostatin), (C) Acvr2b, (D) Activin A, and (E) Gdf11 in skeletal muscle and the heart. n = 12 per group in muscle and heart comparison (A), n = 6–9 per group in the doxorubicin experiment (B–E). For multiple group comparisons, general linear model analysis of variance with Bonferroni post hoc test was used (B–E). For two-group comparisons, the non-parametric Mann–Whitney U-test (A) or Student’s t-test (doxorubicin effect in D) were used.

RED1 and p21 are downstream targets of p53. The present results suggest that p53-p21-RED1 is the main pathway activated by DOX in both skeletal and cardiac muscles. DOX has been shown to increase nuclear accumulation and DNA binding of p53, which at least in part mediates cardiac wasting. Interestingly, sACVR2B-Fc completely prevented DOX-induced increase in p53 protein in both tissues and attenuated the increase in p21 mRNA in skeletal muscle. Our results show that sACVR2B-Fc does not induce its effect via modulating DOX-levels in muscles. Instead, decreased level of ACVR2B ligands such as myostatin can directly affect cell cycle regulation. We found that sACVR2B-Fc increased Ki67-positive cells in both tissues. In skeletal muscle, this may indicate increased proliferation of at least satellite cells, although most of the Ki67 staining was observed in myofibre and CMC nuclei. In addition to changes in proliferating cells, the increased Ki67 staining by sACVR2B-Fc may also reflect ribosomal RNA transcription. In our pathway analyses, gene sets related to translation capacity or efficiency were enriched in skeletal muscle by sACVR2B-Fc. Knowing the importance of mTOR and ribosomes and their biogenesis in muscle protein synthesis and in muscle hypertrophy, this may be causally linked with the increased muscle protein synthesis and mTORC1 signalling that we showed earlier.

Downstream of p53, cell cycle arrest and increased reactive oxygen species are thought to be mediated, at least in part, by cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p21 and REDD1/DDIT4. These responses can be important in preventing proliferation of cells with harmful alterations in their DNA. This may, however, also be detrimental as some of the proliferating cells would aid recovery of skeletal muscle and the heart. In the heart, absence of p53 may prevent at least part of the DOX-induced injury. In skeletal muscle, overexpression of p53 is sufficient to induce muscle atrophy and muscle is partially resistant to certain types of atrophy stimuli in the absence of p53. Interestingly, Fox et al. showed that p53 specifically targeted to muscle fibres mediates atrophy through p21, and that p21 alone is sufficient to decrease muscle fibre size. Thus, the evidence suggests that increased p53 and its downstream signalling in skeletal muscle mainly acts by provoking muscle loss and injury rather than being protective. Our present results indicate that sACVR2B-Fc acts in part via inhibiting increased p53 signalling and thus may have a protecting role in the muscles and the heart.

Another common effect of sACVR2B-Fc was decreased expression of Ccl21 mRNA. CCL21 is a chemokine that is increased in the heart and in serum of clinical and preclinical heart-failure, and its high levels are associated with increased all-cause mortality in patients with heart pathologies. Thus, our results indicate that activin receptor ligand blocking attenuates pathological cytokine responses in both tissues. However, based on the present data, we cannot conclude the effect of this directly on heart or skeletal muscle function.

Blocking of activin receptor ligands had only minor effects in the heart. However, it may still have certain protective
ACVR2B ligands, also elevated serum activin A levels have been reported in heart failure, and heart size is decreased in situations with large increases in systemic activin A levels. Moreover, activin A and cancer-induced decrease in heart size can be prevented with strategies that block ACVR2B ligands. In the present study, sACVR2B-Fc treatment increased skeletal muscle mass without a significant effect on heart mass. The small effect of sACVR2B-Fc in the heart supports earlier findings, in which blocking of activin receptor ligands in mice without cardiac atrophy had very small or no effect on heart size. The present study showed that there was also no effect of DOX or sACVR2B-Fc on cardiac protein synthesis or CMC size unlike in skeletal muscle.

In conclusion, the present results demonstrate that cardiac and skeletal muscles displayed similar atrophy due to the DOX treatment. However, the transcriptional changes were much greater in skeletal muscle, which may be attributed to the different regeneration capacity of these two tissues. On the other hand, p53-p21-REDD1 signalling was strongly induced in both tissues, and this could be attenuated by activin receptor ligand blocking, especially in skeletal muscle. Smaller effect of blocking these ligands in the heart may be explained in part by higher gene expression of myostatin in skeletal muscle. Taken together, the present study emphasizes that therapeutic strategies should not assume that skeletal and cardiac muscles show similar responses to different atrophy conditions.
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Figure S1. (A) Cardiomyocyte size at 2 weeks, (B) Redd1 (Ddit4) mRNA acutely, (C) fibrosis at 4 weeks, and (D) ki67 at 2 weeks (both tissues, left panel) and acutely (right panel) in the heart after single doxorubicin and sACVR2B-Fc injection. In Figure 1C, representative images of stained transverse heart section and tibialis anterior muscle of different groups show no marked fibrosis at this timepoint (scalebar 50 μm). In Figure 1D, lower panel shows representative Ki67 staining in muscle and in the heart (scalebar 100 μm). The n = 4–8 per group in cardiomyocyte and ki67, n = 9–10 in fibrosis, and in REDD1 n = 6–9 per group). Bonferroni post hoc tests. *, **, or *** = significant (P < 0.05, P < 0.01, or P < 0.001, respectively) difference to respective CTRL. # or ### = significant (P < 0.05, P < 0.001, respectively) difference to respective doxorubicin. Notice that muscle results of Redd1 can be found from a previous publication [4].

Figure S2. Venn graphs for individual gene transcripts changed by doxorubicin (A) in skeletal muscle (tibialis anterior) and (B) in the heart and (C–F) the effects of sACVR2B-Fc in tibialis anterior at adjusted false discovery rate < 0.05, fold change ≥ 1.2. Figure S3. Venn graphs for comparison of gene set enrichment analysis identified gene sets and pathways in skeletal muscle and the heart (false discovery rate < 0.05) (A–C and E). p38 MAPK pathway was down-regulated by doxorubicin and restored by sACVR2B-Fc treatment in skeletal muscle as (D).

Table S1. These tables present top 10 identified transcription factors that may be involved in regulation of co-expressed genes in question. The program searched the regulatory motifs from each gene 500 bp upstream from transcription start site. Motif collection involved 9713 position weight matrices. D = doxorubicin, C = CTRL, A = doxorubicin + sACVR2B-Fc. NES = enrichment score threshold.

Figure S4. (A) Myod mRNA levels in skeletal muscle (n = 8 per group) and (B) p-STAT3(Tyr750)/total STAT3 protein levels in skeletal muscle and the heart (n = 7–9 per group).
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