Prevalence and factors associated with disclosure of HIV diagnosis to infected children receiving antiretroviral treatment in public health care facilities in Gauteng, South Africa.
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Abstract:

HIV infected children who started antiretroviral therapy (ART) in public health facilities in South Africa have survived to older age and disclosure has become an essential part of their care. Available data on HIV disclosure to children were collected much earlier in the provision of ART in South Africa. The aim of the study was to (a) determine the characteristics of caregivers of pediatric HIV patients in Gauteng, South Africa, (b) estimate the prevalence and timing of HIV disclosure among these patients, and (c) assess the factors associated with disclosure status. A cross-sectional study was conducted among 286 caregivers of paediatric ART children aged 4–17 in two centres in Gauteng, South Africa. Bivariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were carried out. The highest proportion of caregivers were biological mothers (n=140, 49.3%). The mean age of the children was 8.5 years, (range 4–17 years). More than a third (n=99, 34%) were disclosed their HIV status, and the mean age at disclosure was 9.3 years, (SD = 2.7). Child’s age older than 10 years (OR = 1.63; 95% CI: 1.44–1.85), having a nonbiological caregiver (OR=1.75; 95% CI: 1.06–2.89), caregiver educational level (OR =0.64; 95% CI: 0.47–0.87), and caregiver’s age older than 60 years (OR=1.02; 95% CI: 1.01–1.04), were significantly associated with HIV disclosure to infected children. The relatively higher prevalence of disclosure is attributed to increasing access to paediatric ART. Training healthcare providers to support caregivers in disclosure will increase the rate of disclosure to HIV infected children receiving ART in public health facilities.
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Introduction
In the past six years, South Africa has established the largest pediatric antiretroviral programme in the world, with an estimated coverage of 81% of children in need of antiretroviral therapy (ART) by the end of 2009 [1]. The increased access to ART in South Africa resulted in an increase in the survival time of adults and children living with HIV [2]. With increased access to ART, HIV infected children are surviving to older ages, and disclosure has become an essential part of their comprehensive medical care [3]. However, data from studies conducted in developing countries show that many HIV infected children on ART do not know their HIV diagnosis [4-9]. Furthermore, available data on HIV disclosure to infected children from studies conducted in developed and developing countries do not explain the low disclosure rates [10-12]. Literature further shows that, most studies on the prevalence and effects of HIV disclosure to children are mainly from developed countries [13, 14].

Disclosure has been shown to have positive effects on the clinical course of the disease, an important implication for the success of ART [7, 10, 12, 15, 16]. However, despite the growing evidence of the benefits of disclosure from developed countries [17], disclosure to HIV infected children in developing countries continues to be delayed until older childhood [9, 18, 19]. Due to the increasing access to ART, most HIV infected children who started ART in public health facilities in South Africa, have survived to older age. As a consequence, health care providers are currently faced with the challenge of providing HIV care to an increasing population of children who have not been informed of their HIV diagnosis. There are limited data on what influences caregivers’ disclosure of HIV diagnosis to their infected children in South Africa. Available data on HIV disclosure to children were collected much earlier in the provision of ART in South Africa [11]. This study sought to (a) determine the characteristics of the caregivers of pediatric HIV patients in Gauteng, South Africa, (b) estimate the prevalence and timing of HIV disclosure among these patients, and (c) assess the factors associated with disclosure status.

Materials and Methods
Setting
A cross sectional study was conducted using structured interviews with caregivers of children receiving ART. Data were collected between November 2009 and December 2010 as part of a multicentre study on HIV disclosure to children. A total of 286 caregivers were recruited from two paediatric HIV clinics in Gauteng Province, South Africa. The paediatric HIV clinic at the Dr George Mukhari academic hospital started providing paediatric ART in 2004 and serves children from urban, peri-urban and informal settlements. At the time of data collection, the registration records indicated that about 1000 children aged between birth and 17 years were receiving ART in this centre. The Odi district hospital started with adult ART in 2006 and paediatric ART during the course of 2010. The centre provides services to children from surrounding rural villages and informal settlements. Most of the children who were receiving ART from this clinic were referred from a tertiary hospital in the district.

Caregivers of pediatric ART children aged 4–17 years were recruited to participate as they waited for consultation and medication during the routine monthly visits for their children. For the purpose of this study, we defined a caregiver as the biological mother, biological father, grandmother, grandfather, foster parent, or other relatives who perform primary caregiving functions for the child routinely or on a daily basis.

Data collection
Data were collected by the second author and two trained research assistants. Structured interviews were conducted using a questionnaire developed with inputs from literature on disclosure of HIV to children [20, 21]. The questionnaire was first developed in English and then translated into Setswana, the local language of the caregivers in the study area. To ensure quality of the data, the questionnaire was pre-tested at the Dr George Mukhari Hospital and revised prior to the start of data collection. The questionnaire captured caregiver socio-demographic information regarding their age, gender, employment status, level of education, marital status,
and relation to the child as well as their HIV status. Caregivers also provided clinical information for the children under their care including age, diagnosis age, duration on ART, disclosure status, as well as age at disclosure.

Ethics
The Medunsa Research Ethics Committee of the University of Limpopo granted ethical approval for the study. The hospital management of each setting gave permission to conduct the study. After the purpose of the study was explained, a written informed consent was obtained from caregivers before data collection. Caregivers were informed that participating in the study was voluntary and that refusal to participate would not compromise the medical care their children received from the hospital. The right to withdraw from the study at any time was also assured. The interviews were conducted in a private room in the health centre to ensure privacy. No personal identifiers were collected from the participants to ensure participants confidentiality.

Analysis
Data were entered into a Microsoft Excel 2003 spreadsheet and imported to the Stata version 10.0 [22]. First, descriptive statistics were carried out to explore the socio-demographic characteristics of caregivers and children. The results for numerical variables were summarised as means and standard deviations. T-tests and Chi-square tests were used to compare continuous and categorical variables between children who knew their HIV diagnosis and children who did not know. To determine which factors were associated with HIV status disclosure to infected children multiple logistic regression was employed.

Variables associated with disclosure in bivariate analyses were included in the logistic models.

Results
Caregivers’ demographics
A total of 286 caregivers provided information on the children under their care. Almost all the caregivers (n=264, 92.6%) were female, with a mean age of 40.8 years, (SD =14.0 year). The highest proportion of caregivers were biological mothers (n=140, 49.3%), followed by grandmothers (n=61, 21.5%). A third of the caregivers (n=83, 29.3%) had completed 12th grade, while (n=121, 42.8%) completed secondary school. The majority of the caregivers 176 (62%) were unemployed, almost half (n=139, 48.9%) were single and only about a third (n=80, 28.2%) were married (Table 1).

Children’s demographics
There were nearly equal numbers of girls (n=144, 50.3%) and boys (n=142, 49.6%) in the sample. The mean age of the children was 8.5 years, (SD = 3.0 years). More than half of the children (n=159, 55.6%) were diagnosed between 1-5 years while one quarter (n=74, 25.9%) was between 11-17 years. Almost all the caregivers (n=283, 98.9%) provided information on the diagnosis age of the children and the majority (n=170, 60.0%) were diagnosed between 1-5 years, almost a third (n=81, 28.6%) were diagnosed between 6-10 years, and a tenth (n=32, 10%) were diagnosed between 11-17 years. The mean age at diagnosis was 5.1 years (SD= 4.7). All the children were on ART, and the mean time when children started ART was 3.0 years (SD = 1.79). The majority of the children 257 (90.8%) were attending school (Table 2).

The data was further analysed per clinic and is presented in table 3. The samples were comparable in terms of the mean age (11yrs vs. 10.6yrs), mean diagnosis age (6.02 yrs vs. 7.8 yrs) and the mean disclosed age of the children (9.4 yrs vs. 9.3yrs) as well as the mean age of the caregiver (42.4 yrs vs. 38.9yrs) and caregiver relation to child.

Prevalence of HIV disclosure
Based on caregiver reports, 99 (34%) of the children knew about their HIV diagnosis. Nearly equal numbers of girls (n=51, 51.5%) and boys (n=48, 48.5%) were reportedly informed of their HIV diagnosis. The mean age at disclosure was 9.3 years, (SD 2.7 years). Of the 99 children who were disclosed (n=39, 39.4%) were reportedly told their HIV diagnosis by biological mothers, a quarter (n=26, 26.3%) was reportedly told by
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Table 1: Caregiver demographic characteristics by disclosure status of children

| Variable                  | All N=286 % | No N=187 % | Yes N=99 % | Crude OR (95%CI) | P-value |
|---------------------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------------|---------|
| Age                       |             |            |            |                  |         |
| 19-30yrs                  |             |            |            |                  | 0.001   |
|                           | 77 (27.3)   | 54 (29.7)  | 23 (23.7)  | 1.00             |         |
| 31-40yrs                  |             |            |            |                  |         |
|                           | 95 (33.7)   | 58 (31.9)  | 36 (37.1)  | 1.45 (0.76-2.77) |         |
| 41-50yrs                  |             |            |            |                  |         |
|                           | 40 (14.2)   | 28 (15.4)  | 12 (12.4)  | 1.00 (0.43-2.32) |         |
| 51-60yrs                  |             |            |            |                  |         |
|                           | 39 (13.8)   | 26 (14.3)  | 13 (13.4)  | 1.17 (0.51-2.69) |         |
| 61-70yrs                  |             |            |            |                  |         |
|                           | 23 (8.1)    | 14 (7.7)   | 9 (9.3)    | 1.50 (0.56-4.01) |         |
| 71-80yrs                  |             |            |            |                  |         |
|                           | 8 (2.8)     | 3 (1.6)    | 3 (3.1)    | 1.76 (0.35-8.62) |         |
| 82 year                   |             |            |            |                  | 0.934   |
|                           | 1 (0.36)    | 1 (0.5)    | 1 (1.0)    | 0                |         |
| Sex of the caregiver      |             |            |            |                  |         |
| Female                    |             |            |            |                  | 0.075   |
|                           | 264 (92.9)  | 174 (93.0) | 90 (92.8)  | 1.00             |         |
| Male                      |             |            |            |                  |         |
|                           | 20 (7.1)    | 13 (7.0)   | 7 (7.2)    | 1.05 (0.40-2.73) |         |
| Employment status         |             |            |            |                  |         |
| Employed                  |             |            |            |                  | 0.106   |
|                           | 64 (22.5)   | 47 (25.1)  | 17 (17.5)  | 1.00             |         |
| Unemployed                 |             |            |            |                  |         |
|                           | 176 (62.0)  | 116 (62.0) | 60 (61.9)  | 1.43 (0.75-2.70) |         |
| Pensioner                 |             |            |            |                  |         |
|                           | 25 (8.8)    | 13 (7.0)   | 12 (12.4)  | 2.55 (0.95-6.85) |         |
| Schooling                 |             |            |            |                  |         |
|                           | 4 (1.4)     | 3 (1.6)    | 1 (1.0)    | 0.92 (0.08-9.63) |         |
| Part time employ          |             |            |            |                  |         |
|                           | 15 (5.3)    | 8 (4.3)    | 7 (7.2)    | 2.41 (0.74-7.88) |         |
| Marital status            |             |            |            |                  |         |
| Single                    |             |            |            |                  | 0.009   |
|                           | 139 (48.9)  | 100 (53.5) | 39 (40.2)  | 1.00             |         |
| Married                   |             |            |            |                  |         |
|                           | 80 (28.1)   | 48 (25.7)  | 32 (33.0)  | 1.70 (0.95-3.07) |         |
| Live with partner         |             |            |            |                  |         |
|                           | 25(8.8)     | 15 (8.0)   | 10 (10.3)  | 1.70 (0.70-4.15) |         |
| Divorced                  |             |            |            |                  |         |
|                           | 11 (3.8)    | 7 (3.7)    | 4 (4.1)    | 1.46 (0.40-5.31) |         |
| Widowed                   |             |            |            |                  |         |
|                           | 29 (10.2)   | 17 (9.1)   | 12 (12.4)  | 1.80 (0.78-4.16) |         |
| Level of education        |             |            |            |                  |         |
| Primary                   |             |            |            |                  | 0.026   |
|                           | 62 (21.9)   | 34 (18.2)  | 28 (28.9)  | 1.00             |         |
| Secondary                 |             |            |            |                  |         |
|                           | 121 (42.8)  | 76 (40.6)  | 45 (46.4)  | 0.71 (0.38-1.34) |         |
| Grade 12                  |             |            |            |                  |         |
|                           | 83 (29.3)   | 64 (34.2)  | 19 (19.6)  | 0.36 (0.17-0.75) |         |
| Tertiary                  |             |            |            |                  |         |
|                           | 17 (6.0)    | 12 (6.4)   | 5 (5.2)    | 0.50 (0.15-1.63) |         |
| Relation to child         |             |            |            |                  |         |
| Biological parent         |             |            |            |                  | 0.026   |
|                           | 152 (53.5)  | 109 (58.3) | 43 (44.3)  | 1.00             |         |
| Non biological parent     |             |            |            |                  |         |
|                           | 132 (46.5)  | 78 (41.7)  | 54 (55.7)  | 1.75 (1.06-2.89) |         |
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grandparents, and slightly less than a quarter (n=23, 23.4%) were reportedly told by health care providers.

(Continued on page 18)
### Disclosure status of children on ART by demographic characteristics and clinic

| Variable                        | Disclosure status | n   | %   | Clinic A (Odi) | Clinic B(DGM) | Clinic A (Odi) | Clinic B(DGM) |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|-----|-----|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| **Non-disclosed groups**        |                   |     |     |                |               |                |               |
| **Disclosed groups**            |                   |     |     |                |               |                |               |
| Clinic A (Odi)                  |                   |     |     |                |               |                |               |
| Gender of the child             |                   |     |     |                |               |                |               |
| Girl                            |                   | 54  | 60  | 57 (58.8)      | 32 (54.2)     | 21 (52.5)      |               |
| Boy                             |                   | 36  | 40  | 40 (41.2)      | 27 (45.8)     | 19 (47.5)      |               |
| **Age of the child**            |                   |     |     |                |               |                |               |
| 4 yrs                           |                   | 31  | 34.4| 19 (19.6)      | 3 (5.1)       | 0              |               |
| 6-10 yrs                        |                   | 54  | 60.0| 61 (62.9)      | 26 (44.1)     | 18 (45.0)      |               |
| 11-17 yrs                       |                   | 5   | 5.6 | 17 (17.5)      | 30 (50.8)     | 22 (55.0)      |               |
| **Mean age**                    |                   |     |     |                |               |                |               |
| 95% Conf. Int                   |                   | CI: 6.26-7.15 |     | CI: 7.50-8.49 | CI: 9.82-11.4 | CI:10.2-11.7  |               |
| **Diagnosis age of the child**  |                   |     |     |                |               |                |               |
| 1 - 5 yrs                       |                   | 67  | 74.4| 63 (64.9)      | 23 (39.0)     | 20 (50.0)      |               |
| 6 - 10 yrs                      |                   | 23  | 25.6| 27 (27.8)      | 18 (30.5)     | 13(32.5)       |               |
| 11 - 17 yrs                     |                   | 0   | 0   | 7 (7.2)        | 18 (30.5)     | 7 (17.5)       |               |
| **Mean age**                    |                   |     |     |                |               |                |               |
| 95% Conf. Int                   |                   | CI: 4.02-5.09 |     | CI: 3.68-5.04 | CI: 6.76-8.84 | CI: 4.75-7.29 |               |
| **Disclosed age of the child**  |                   |     |     |                |               |                |               |
| 4-5 yrs                         |                   | 3   | 5   | 0              |               |                |               |
| 6-10 yrs                        |                   | 26  | 44  | 18 (45.0)      |               |                |               |
| 11 - 17 yrs                     |                   | 30  | 50  | 22 (55.0)      |               |                |               |
| **Mean age**                    |                   |     |     |                |               |                |               |
| 95% Conf. Int                   |                   | CI: 8.55-10.0 |     | CI: 8.57-10.3  |               |                |               |
| **Schooling**                   |                   |     |     |                |               |                |               |
| Not at school                   |                   | 19  | 21  | 25 (25.8)      | 2 (3.4)       | 2 (5.0)        |               |
| Attending school                |                   | 71  | 78  | 72 (74.2)      | 57 (96.6)     | 38 (95.0)      |               |

Health care providers were comprised of doctors, nurses, and social workers (Table 4).

---

**DGMH** (Dr George Mukhari Hospital), data collected between November-March 2010, (n=137).

**Odi Hospital**, data collected between December-January 2011, (n=149).
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Table 4: Characteristics of people who disclosed the HIV diagnosis to children (n=99)

|                | Frequency | Percentage |
|----------------|-----------|------------|
| Biological mother | 39        | 39.4       |
| Father          | 2         | 2.0        |
| Grand parents   | 26        | 26.3       |
| Aunt            | 7         | 7.1        |
| Health provider | 23        | 23.3       |
| Other           | 2         | 2.0        |

Discussion

The study determined the prevalence and factors associated with disclosure among children aged between 4–17 years enrolled in the ART programme of two HIV clinics in Gauteng province, South Africa. The prevalence of disclosure in our sample was 34%, much higher than the 9% previously reported with children on ART in a similar setting in Cape Town, South Africa [11]. This study collected data six years after the roll out of ART whereas the study conducted by Moodley and colleagues collected data within the first year of the roll out of ART to children. Previously, Thorne and colleagues attributed higher prevalence of disclosure to HIV infected children to the increasing use of ART in developed countries [23]. The current data presented here are from two paediatric HIV clinics and were collected a year apart. When calculated individually, there was a significant difference between the prevalence of the two samples. The prevalence increased from 29.9% to 39.9% in one year. The samples were comparable in terms of the mean age of the children as well as the mean age of the caregiver and caregiver relation to child.

Our findings are comparable with prevalence of HIV disclosure from some studies conducted in developing countries. Oberdorfer and colleagues reported prevalence of 30.1% in Thailand [12], and Bikaako-Kajura and colleagues reported a prevalence of 29% in Uganda [6]. Meanwhile, our estimated prevalence of HIV disclosure was higher than that reported in other studies conducted with caregivers of HIV infected children.
Kallem and colleagues reported prevalence of 21% in Ghana [7], while Biadgilign and colleagues reported prevalence of 17.4% in Ethiopia [5]. Published prevalence of HIV disclosure in children from the United States, Canada, and Europe show that between 18–77% of HIV infected children had been told their HIV diagnosis [17]. Factors that were statistically significant associated with disclosure were the age of the child, diagnosis age of the child, caregiver relation to child, caregiver age, and caregiver level of education. Older child age was identified as a determining factor for disclosure, children older than 10 years were more likely to be disclosed than those younger than 10 years. The association between HIV disclosure and older age of the child has been documented in studies conducted in developing countries [7, 11, 12], and in well developed countries [17]. Our findings are consistent with previous studies showing that children younger than 6 years were least likely to be told their HIV diagnosis [9]. In our study only nine out of 99 children were younger than 6 years when they were told their diagnosis. Caregivers delay disclosure till older age because they believe that at early age, the child does not have the maturity needed to understand the disease [10, 24, 25].

The mean age of disclosure in this study was 9.35 years and is comparable to other studies in developing countries [7, 11], but much lower than the mean age of 13.6 and 15 years reported in Thailand and Congo respectively [8, 12]. Nevertheless, the mean age of disclosure in our study falls within the range of 5 to 14 years reported in several studies in developed countries [18, 20, 26, 27]. Children were also more likely to be disclosed if they were older than 10 years when they were diagnosed with HIV as compared to children diagnosed earlier than 10 years.

However, almost a third (29.7%) of 11-17 years-olds did not know their HIV diagnosis, implying that a number of children in this setting enter adolescence without important information regarding their illness as well as their HIV diagnosis. Age at disclosure in studies conducted in developing countries varies between 11-16 years, and most caregivers delay disclosure to 14 years and above [28-31]. The older age of children who are not informed of their HIV diagnosis, suggests that despite increased access to ART, there are still significant barriers to discussing HIV with infected children in these settings.

The highest proportion of care givers in this study were biological mothers who made up half of the caregivers. The increased representation of biological mothers is attributed to the increased access to PMTCT and increased survival time of adults on ART [2]. Although biological mothers were in the majority, nonbiological caregivers were more likely to disclose the child’s HIV status than biological caregivers. This finding is in line with previous studies highlighting that nonbiological caregivers tend to disclosure soon after learning about the HIV diagnosis of the child [16, 23, 26, 32, 33]. Studies show that disclosure is particularly difficult for HIV infected biological parents who may be responsible for the infection of the child [23, 26, 32].

In this study older caregivers were more likely to disclose the child’s HIV status than younger caregivers. In South Africa, the impact of HIV and AIDS has led to older people becoming primary caregivers of children infected or affected by HIV and AIDS. About a quarter (26.3%) of children in this study were informed about their HIV diagnosis by grandparents. We also found that the level of education of the caregiver was statistically significant to HIV status disclosure. In this study caregivers with a higher educational level were less likely to disclose HIV to infected children than caregivers with primary education. The association between HIV disclosure educational status of the caregiver were documented in a study conducted in Ethiopia [4].

The study had some limitations; caregiver reports of HIV status disclosure might have been affected by social desirability, and they might have said they disclosed when they hadn’t truly disclosed. Secondly, our findings outcome measurement is assessed based on self-reports by the caregivers and may have resulted in recall bias, caregivers might not remember the child’s age at disclosure or age at diagnosis. The study was cross-sectional and could not establish the process and circumstances leading to disclosure, we recommend more studies be conducted to answer these questions.

(Continued on page 21)
Further studies are needed to determine whether our findings are representative of the situation in Gauteng province in South Africa.

**Conclusion**

The prevalence of disclosure among our study population was 34%. Children older than 10 years of age, the level of education of the caregiver, age of the caregiver, nonbiological relation to child were significantly associated with disclosure of HIV-positive status to HIV infected children. In addition, a relatively high proportion of children were entering adolescence without knowing their HIV diagnosis. These findings have implications for health care providers working in paediatric HIV services. It is important that health care providers are cognisant of the factors to develop interventions to facilitate HIV disclosure to infected children. Informing children about their HIV diagnosis is an essential step in preventing secondary HIV transmission.

**Acknowledgements**

This research was partially funded by AAU Grants for Graduate Research and Scholarship and the Vlaamse Interuniversitaire Raad (VLIR) Institutional University Cooperation (IUC). We thank Mr Abram Maubane and Mr Nick Maubane the research assistants as well as Ms Chidi Matlala an MPH intern for their role in data collection. We also thank health care providers from the paediatric clinics of Doctor George Mukhari and Odi hospital for their guidance and assistance during data collection.

**References**

1. **UNGASS, ‘South Africa UNGASS Country Progress Report’: 2010.**

2. Shisana, O. , Rehle T. , Simbayi L. C. , Zuma K. , Jooste S. , et al. (2009) *South African national HIV prevalence, incidence, behaviour and communication survey 2008: A turning tide among teenagers?* 2009, HSRC Press: Cape Town.

3. Myer, L. , Moodley, K. , Hendricks, F. , and Cotton, M. (2006) *Healthcare Providers’ Perspectives on Discussing HIV Status with Infected Children.* J. Trop. Pediatr. 52, 293-295

4. Biadgilign, S. , Deribew, A. , Amberbir, A. , Escudero, H. R. , and Deribe, K. (2011). *Factors Associated with HIV/AIDS Diagnostic Disclosure to HIV Infected Children Receiving HAART: A Multi-Center Study in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.* PLoS ONE 2011. 6(3).

5. Biadgilign, S. , Deribew, A. , Amberbir, A. , and Deribe, K. (2009) Barriers and facilitators to antiretroviral medication adherence among HIV-infected paediatric patients in Ethiopia: A qualitative study. SAHARA J. 6, 148-154

6. Bikaako-Kajura, W. , Luyirika, E. , Purcell, D. W. , Downing, J. , Kaharuza, F. , et al. (2006) *Disclosure of HIV Status and Adherence to Daily Drug Regimens Among HIV-infected Children in Uganda.* AIDS Behav. 10, 85-93

7. Kallem, S. , Renner, L. , Ghebremichael, M. , and Paintsil, E. (2010) *Prevalence and Pattern of Disclosure of HIV Status in HIV-Infected Children in Ghana.* AIDS Behav. 15, 1121-1127

8. Vaz, L. M. E. , Eng, E. , Maman, S. , Tshikandu, T. , and Behets, F. (2010) *The process of HIV status disclosure to HIV-positive youth in Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of the Congo.* AIDS Care: AIDS Patient Care ST 24, 247-256

9. Vaz, L. M. E. , Eng, E. , Maman, S. , Tshikandu, T. , and Behets, F. (2010) *Telling Children They Have HIV: Lessons Learned from Findings of a Qualitative Study in Sub-Saharan Africa.* AIDS Patient Care ST 24, 247-256

10. Ferris, M. , Burau, K. , Schweitzer, A. M. , Mihale, S. , Murray, N. , et al. (2007) *The influence of disclosure of HIV diagnosis on time to disease progression in a cohort of Romanian children and teens.* AIDS Care. 19, 1088-1094

11. Moodley, K. , Myer, L. , Michaels, D. , and Cotton, M. (2006) *Paediatric HIV disclosure in South Africa – caregivers’ perspectives on (Continued on page 22)*
discussing HIV with infected children. SAMJ. 96, 201-204.

12. Oberdorfer, P. , Puthanakit, T. , Louthrenoo, O. , Charnsil, C. , Sirisantha, V. , et al. (2006) Disclosure of HIV/AIDS diagnosis to HIV-infected children in Thailand. J Paediatr Child Health. 42, 283-288

13. Instone, S. (2000) Perceptions of children with HIV infection when not told for so long: Implications for diagnosis disclosure. J Pediatr Health Care. 14, 235-243.

14. Wiener, L. , Battles, H. , and Wood, L. (2007) A Longitudinal Study of Adolescents with Perinatally or Transfusion Acquired HIV Infection: Sexual Knowledge, Risk Reduction Self-efficacy and Sexual Behavior. AIDS Behav. 11, 471-478.

15. Bachanas, P. J. , Kullgren, K. A. , Schwartz, K. S. , Lanier, B. , McDaniel, J. S. , et al. (2001) Predictors of Psychological Adjustment in School-Age Children Infected With HIV. J Pediatr Psychol. 26, 343-352

16. Chi-Ling, L. , and Johann-Liang, R. (1999) Disclosure of the Diagnosis of HIV/AIDS to Children Born of HIV-infected Mothers. AIDS Patient Care ST. 13, 41-45

17. Wiener, L. P. , Mellins, C. A. P. , Marhefka, S. P. , and Battles, H. B. P. (2007) Disclosure of an HIV Diagnosis to Children: History, Current Research, and Future Directions. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 28, 155-166

18. Blasini, I. , Chantry, C. , Cruz, C. , Ortiz, L. , Salabarria, I. , et al. (2004) Disclosure Model for Pediatric Patients Living with HIV in Puerto Rico: Design, Implementation, and Evaluation. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 25, 181-189

19. Mellins, C. A. , Brackis-Cott, E. , Dolezal, C. , and Abrams, E. J. (2004) The role of psychosocial and family factors in adherence to antiretroviral treatment in human immunodeficiency virus-infected children. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 23, 1035-1041

20. Dematteo, D. , Harrison, C. , Arneson, C. , Goldie, R. S. , Lefebvre, A. , et al. (2002) Disclosing HIV/AIDS to children: the paths families take to truthtelling. Psychol Health Med. 7, 339-356

21. Ledlie, S.W. (1998) Diagnosis Disclosure by Family Caregivers to Children Who Have Perinatally Acquired HIV Disease: When the Time Comes. Ph.D. thesis, University of Pennsylvania

22. StataCorp. (2007) Stata Statistical Software. In Release 10. TX: StataCorp LP

23. Thorne, C. , Newell, M. L. , Botet, F. A. , Bohlin, A. B. , Ferrazin, A. , et al. (2002) Older Children and Adolescents Surviving With Vertically Acquired HIV Infection. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 29, 396-401

24. Funck-Brentano, I. , Costagliola, D. , Seibel, N. , Straub, E. , Tardieu, M. , et al. (1997) Patterns of Disclosure and Perceptions of the Human Immunodeficiency Virus in Infected Elementary School-age Children. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med, 151, 978-985.

25. Negese, D. , Addis, K. , Awoke, A. , Birhanu, Z. , Muluye, D. , et al. (November 2012) HIV-Positive Status Disclosure and Associated Factors among Children in North Gondar, Northwest Ethiopia. ISRN AIDS. 10.5402/2012/485720

26. Mellins, C. A. , Brackis-Cott, E. , Dolezal, C. , Richards, A. , Nicholas, S.W. , et al. (2002) Patterns of HIV Status Disclosure to Perinatally HIV-Infected Children and Subsequent Mental Health Outcomes. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 7, 101-114

27. Mialky, E. , Vagnoni, J. , and Rutstein, R. (2001) School-Age Children with Perinatally Acquired HIV Infection: Medical and Psychosocial Issues in a Philadelphia Cohort. AIDS Patient Care ST. 15, 575-579

28. Mahloko, J.M. , and Madiba, S.(September 2012) Disclosing HIV diagnosis to children in Odi (Continued on page 23)
district, South Africa: Reasons for disclosure and non-disclosure. African Journal of Primary Health Care and Family Medicine. 10.4102/phcfm.v4i1.345

29. Santamaria, E. K., Dolezal, C., Marhefka, S. L., Hoffman, S., Ahmed, Y., et al. (2011) *Psychosocial Implications of HIV Serostatus Disclosure to Youth with Perinatally Acquired HIV.* AIDS Patient Care ST. 25, 257-264

30. Spiegel, H. M. (2011) *Nondisclosure of HIV status in adolescence.* Adolesc Med State Art Rev. 22, 277-282.

31. Madiba, S. (2012) *Patterns of HIV Diagnosis Disclosure to Infected Children and Family Members: Data from a Paediatric Antiretroviral Program in South Africa.* World Journal of AIDS, 2, 212-221.

32. Ledlie, S.W. (1999) *Diagnosis Disclosure by Family Caregivers to Children Who Have Perinatally Acquired HIV Disease: When the Time Comes.* Nur Res. 48, 141-149.

33. Lesch, A., Swartz, L., Kagee, A., Moodley, K., Kafaar, Z., et al. (2007) *Paediatric HIV/AIDS disclosure: towards a developmental and process-oriented approach.* AIDS Care. 19, 811-816.