A bio-optical algorithm for the remote estimation of the chlorophyll-α concentration in case 2 waters
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Abstract
The objective of this work was to test the performance of a recently developed three-band model and its special case, a two-band model, for the remote estimation of the chlorophyll-α (chl-α) concentration in turbid productive case 2 waters. We specifically focused on (a) determining the ability of the models to estimate chl-α < 20 mg m\(^{-3}\), typical for coastal and estuarine waters, and (b) assessing the potential of MODIS and MERIS to estimate chl-α concentrations in turbid productive waters, using red and near-infrared (NIR) bands. Reflectance spectra and water samples were collected in 89 stations over lakes in the United States with a wide variability in optical parameters (i.e., \(2.1 < \text{chl-α} < 184 \text{ mg m}^{-3}\); \(0.5 < \text{Secchi disk depth} < 4.2 \text{ m}\); \(1.2 < \text{total suspended matter} < 15 \text{ mg l}^{-1}\)). The three-band model, using wavebands around 670, 710, and 750 nm, explains more than 89% of the chl-α variation for chl-α ranging from 2 to 20 mg m\(^{-3}\) and can be used to estimate chlorophyll-α concentrations with a root mean square error (RMSE) of <1.65 mg m\(^{-3}\). MODIS (bands 13 and 15) and MERIS (bands 7, 9, and 10) red and NIR reflectances were simulated from the collected reflectance spectra and potential estimation errors were assessed. The MODIS two-band model is able to estimate chl-α concentrations with a RMSE of <7.5 mg m\(^{-3}\) for chl-α ranging from 2 to 50 mg m\(^{-3}\); however, the model loses its sensitivity for chl-α < 20 mg m\(^{-3}\). Benefiting from the higher spectral resolution of the MERIS data, the MERIS three-band model accounts for 93% of chl-α variation and is able to estimate chl-α concentrations with a RMSE of <5.1 mg m\(^{-3}\) for chl-α ranging from 2 to 50 mg m\(^{-3}\), and a RMSE of <1.7 mg m\(^{-3}\) for chl-α ranging from 2 to 20 mg m\(^{-3}\). These findings imply that, provided that an atmospheric correction scheme specific to the red and NIR spectral region is available, the extensive database of MODIS and MERIS images could be used to quantitatively monitor chl-α in case 2 waters.
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1. Introduction
Remote estimation of the concentrations of water constituents is based on the relationship between the remote-sensing reflectance, \(R_r(\lambda)\), and the inherent optical properties, backscattering coefficient, \(b_b(\lambda)\), and absorption coefficient, \(a(\lambda)\):

\[
R_r(\lambda) \propto \frac{b_b(\lambda)}{a(\lambda) + b_b(\lambda)}
\]  

where \(a(\lambda)\) is the sum of the absorption coefficients of phytoplankton pigments, \(a_{pigm}\), coloured dissolved organic matter, \(a_{CDOM}\), non-algal particles, \(a_{NAP}\), and pure water, \(a_{water}\) (e.g., Gordon et al. 1988).

To retrieve the chl-α concentration from spectral reflectance, one has to isolate the chl-α absorption coefficient. In open ocean waters chl-α is derived using the blue and green spectral regions (e.g., Gordon and Morel 1983). However, in turbid productive case 2 waters, these spectral regions...
cannot be used to estimate chl-α because of the overlapping, uncorrelated absorptions by CDOM and NAP, which are much larger in these waters (e.g., GKSS 1986, Gitelson 1992, Gons 1999, Dall’Olmo et al 2005).

Algorithms developed for estimating chl-α in turbid productive waters are based on the properties of the reflectance peak near 700 nm (e.g., Vasilekov and Kopeclevich 1982, Gitelson et al 1985, Stumpf and Tyler 1988, Gitelson 1992, Gons 1999, Gower et al 1999). Recently, Dall’Olmo and Gitelson (2005) provided evidence that a three-band reflectance model, originally developed for estimating pigment contents in terrestrial vegetation (Gitelson et al 2003, 2005), could also be used to assess chl-α in turbid productive waters. The model relates pigment concentration $C_{\text{pigm}}$ to reflectance $R(\lambda_i)$ in three spectral bands $\lambda_i$ (Gitelson et al 2003):

$$C_{\text{pigm}} \propto [R^{-1}(\lambda_1) - R^{-1}(\lambda_2)] \times R(\lambda_3).$$

It was shown that for estimating chl-α, $\lambda_1$ should be in the red range around 670 nm, $\lambda_2$ in the range around 710 nm and $\lambda_3$ in the NIR range around 750 nm (Dall’Olmo and Gitelson 2006, Gitelson et al 2007, 2008).

The three-band model (equation (2)) was tested using observations from lakes with variable optical properties (Dall’Olmo and Gitelson 2005, Gitelson et al 2008) as well as in estuarine waters (Gitelson et al 2007, 2008). In these studies chl-α varied widely, reaching as high as hundreds of mg m$^{-3}$, which is typical for hypereutrophic lakes and reservoirs and coastal waters when phytoplankton blooms occur.

This study focused on (a) determining the ability of the two- and three-band models to estimate chl-α concentrations below 20 mg m$^{-3}$, typical for estuarine and coastal case 2 waters, and (b) assessing the potential of MODIS and MERIS for estimating chl-α concentrations using red and near-infrared (NIR) bands. The results of this study were used for estimating chl-α concentrations in reservoirs of Dnieper River (Ukraine) as well as Don River and Azov Sea (Russia) using MODIS and MERIS data (Moses et al 2009).

2. Data and methods

From July through November 2008, 89 stations were sampled in the Fremont State Lakes in eastern Nebraska, USA. At each station Secchi disk depth was measured and water samples were collected at a depth of 0.5 m. A standard set of water quality variables was measured: turbidity and concentrations of chl-α, total, inorganic, and organic suspended solids (TSS, ISS, OSS, respectively).

Hyperspectral reflectance measurements were taken from a boat using two intercalibrated Ocean Optics USB2000 spectrometers each coupled 2048-element linear CCD-array detector. Data were collected in the range of 400–900 nm with a sampling interval of 0.3 nm, a spectral resolution of 1.5 nm and signal to noise ratio of above 250. Radiometer 1, equipped with a 25° field-of-view optical fibre, was pointed downward to measure the below-surface upwelling radiance, $L_{\text{up}}(\lambda)$, at nadir. The tip of the optical fibre was kept just below the water surface by means of a 2 m long, hand-held dark blue pole. To simultaneously measure incident irradiance $E_{\text{inc}}(\lambda)$, radiometer 2, connected to an optical fibre fitted with a cosine collector, was pointed upward and mounted on a 2.5 m mast.

To match the transfer functions of the radiometers, intercalibration of the instruments was accomplished by measuring simultaneously the upwelling radiance $L_{\text{cal}}(\lambda)$ from a white Spectralon® reflectance standard (Labsphere, Inc., North Sutton, NH), with the reflectance $R_{\text{cal}}(\lambda)$, and the corresponding incident irradiance $E_{\text{cal}}(\lambda)$. The remote-sensing reflectance at nadir was computed as:

$$R_{\text{rs}}(\lambda) = \frac{L_{\text{up}}(\lambda)}{E_{\text{inc}}(\lambda)} \times \frac{E_{\text{cal}}(\lambda)}{L_{\text{cal}}(\lambda)} \times \frac{100 \times R_{\text{cal}}(\lambda) \times \frac{\pi}{t}}{\frac{F(\lambda)}{\pi}}$$

where $t$ is the water-to-air transmittance (taken equal to 0.98 (Mobley 1994), $n$ is the refractive index of water relative to air taken equal to 1.33, $\pi$ is used to transform the irradiance reflectance, $R$, into remote-sensing reflectance, $R_{\text{rs}}$, and $F$ is the wavelength-dependent correction factor (the so-called immersion factor) calculated following Ohde and Siegel (2003). The immersion factor has to be applied if the sensors are immersed in water; it accounts for the change in the sensor response due to the different refractive index of the intervening medium (i.e., water) in contact with the optics.

The critical issue with regard to the dual-fibre approach is that the transfer functions, which describe the relationship of the incident flux impinging on the sensor to the data numbers produced by both radiometers, should be identical. We studied the identity of the two radiometers used in this study and found that the difference between their transfer functions did not exceed 0.4% (Dall’Olmo and Gitelson 2005).

Measured reflectances were averaged in the spectral bands of MODIS (band 13: 662–672 nm, band 15: 743–753 nm) and MERIS (band 7: 660–670 nm, band 9: 703–713 nm, and band 10: 748–755.5 nm) to simulate reflectances in the respective satellite spectral bands.

3. Results and discussion

The waters sampled had chl-α concentrations and turbidity values that varied by a factor of about 10 (table 1). TSS and concentrations correlated weakly with chl-α (figure 1), confirming that the water bodies sampled belong to case 2 waters (Morel and Prieur 1977).

The remote-sensing reflectance was highly variable over the visible and NIR spectral regions (figure 2). The spectra were quite similar in magnitude and shape to reflectance spectra collected in turbid productive waters (Lee et al 1994, Gitelson et al 2000, Dall’Olmo and Gitelson 2005, Schalles 2006). The standard deviation of the reflectance had maximal values in the green and red spectral regions.

The maximum band ratio, calculated as maximum of three band ratios at wavelength 443, 490, 520 and 565 nm ($R_{443}/R_{565}$, $R_{490}/R_{565}$, $R_{520}/R_{565}$), used for estimating chl-α concentrations in case I ocean waters (e.g., O’Reilly et al 1998), was poorly related to the chl-α concentrations (figure 3) due to multiple factors that contribute to the reflectance patterns in these spectral regions.
Table 1. Descriptive statistics of the optical water quality parameters measured.

| Parameter | Min  | Max  | Median | Mean  | STDa | CVb | Nc |
|-----------|------|------|--------|-------|------|-----|----|
| Chl-a     | 2.07 | 183.53 | 23.70 | 29.33 | 26.11 | 0.89 | 89 |
| SD        | 0.51 | 4.20  | 0.98   | 1.21  | 0.70  | 0.58 | 89 |
| Turbidity | 1.51 | 19.20 | 6.79   | 7.59  | 4.39  | 0.58 | 89 |
| TSS       | 1.19 | 15.00 | 6.80   | 7.30  | 3.26  | 0.45 | 89 |
| ISS       | 0.15 | 5.85  | 0.80   | 1.11  | 0.95  | 0.86 | 87 |
| OSS       | 0.81 | 12.80 | 6.00   | 6.26  | 2.97  | 0.47 | 87 |

a Standard deviation of water quality parameters.

b Coefficient of variation (STD/mean).

c Number of samples.

Figure 1. TSS concentrations versus chl-a concentrations.

Figure 2. Remote-sensing reflectance, $R_\lambda$, spectra and spectrum of their standard deviation, STD (in red, right axis).

These include absorption by CDOM and NAP as well as backscattering by particulate matter. Thus, this algorithm was inadequate for accurately estimating chl-a concentrations in these case 2 waters.

Using an optimization procedure for the three-band model equation (2) (Dall’Olmo and Gitelson 2005), the optimal spectral region for $\lambda_1$ was found around 670 nm, which is in accord with previous studies (Dall’Olmo and Gitelson 2005, Gitelson et al 2008).

However, the reciprocal reflectance at 670 nm, $R_{670}^{-1} \propto (a + b_\lambda)/b_\lambda$, was governed by other factors (i.e., TSS, absorption by non-algal particles and CDOM) in addition to chl-a. Thus, despite an increase in absorption as chl-a increased, the relationship $R_{670}^{-1}$ versus chl-a had a negative slope (figure 4(A)). $R_{710}^{-1}$ was strongly affected by scattering by TSS, which increases with an increase in chl-a (figure 4(B)).

To reduce the effects of scattering by suspended particles and absorption by non-algal particles and CDOM, $R_{B}^{-1}(\lambda_2)$ at 710 nm was used. $R_{710}^{-1} \propto (a_{CDOM} + a_{nup} + a_{water} + b_b)/b_b$ was strongly related to TSS concentration (figure 4(B)) as well as to absorption by all materials except chl-a.

Thus, the difference $R_{710}^{-1} - R_{710}^{-1} \propto a_{chl}/b_b$ was more closely related to chl-a than $R_{670}^{-1}$ (figure 4(C)). However, it was still dependent on $b_b$ and it was strongly affected (especially for chl-a < 10 mg m$^{-3}$) by scattering by suspended particles.

The reflectance in the NIR range of the spectrum is closely related to $b_b$, so $R_{710} \propto b_b$ was used to remove the effect of the differences between samples in scattering by suspended particles. Thus, the model (equation (2)) with $\lambda_1 = 665–675$ nm, $\lambda_2 = 705–715$ nm and $\lambda_3 = 745–755$ nm was closely and almost linearly related to chl-a with an estimation error of <1.65 mg m$^{-3}$ (figure 4(D)).

The same model (equation (2)) was used to assess the potential of MODIS and MERIS to retrieve the chl-a concentration in turbid productive waters.

We tested the model in the form

$$\text{MODIS2band} = R_{15}/R_{13} \quad (4)$$

$$\text{MERIS3band} = (R_{7}^{-1} - R_{9}^{-1}) \times R_{10} \quad (5)$$
where $R_i$ is the surface reflectance in band $i$ of MODIS and MERIS.

The MODIS two-band model (equation (4)) was able to estimate chl-$a$ ranging from 2 to 50 mg m$^{-3}$ with a RMSE $< 7.5$ mg m$^{-3}$. However, the model was almost insensitive to chl-$a < 20$ mg m$^{-3}$ (figure 5(A)). The MERIS three-band model (equation (5)) was able to accurately estimate chl-$a$ ranging from 2 to 50 mg m$^{-3}$ with RMSE $< 5.1$ mg m$^{-3}$ (figure 5(B)) and chl-$a < 20$ mg m$^{-3}$ with a RMSE $< 1.7$ mg m$^{-3}$.

4. Conclusions

The results obtained in this study provide evidence that the three-band model which uses red and NIR bands is able to accurately estimate low to moderate chlorophyll-$a$ concentrations in turbid productive waters. With an accurate atmospheric correction of satellite data in the red and NIR spectral bands, the two-band model can be applied to MODIS data for estimating moderate-to-high chlorophyll-$a$ concentrations exceeding 20 mg m$^{-3}$ (i.e., in phytoplankton bloom conditions). The existence of a spectral band at 708 nm provides a significant advantage for MERIS data. Benefiting from the higher spectral resolution of the MERIS data, both the two-band and three-band models can be reliably applied for estimating wide ranging chl-$a$ concentrations including chl-$a < 20$ mg m$^{-3}$, typical for coastal and estuarine waters.
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