Introduction of Inactivated Poliovirus Vaccine and Trivalent Oral Polio Vaccine/Bivalent Oral Polio Vaccine Switch in the African Region
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The Polio Eradication and Endgame Strategic plan outlines the phased removal of oral polio vaccines (OPVs), starting with type 2 poliovirus–containing vaccine and introduction of inactivated polio vaccine in routine immunization to mitigate against risk of vaccine-associated paralytic polio and circulating vaccine-derived poliovirus. The objective includes strengthening routine immunization as the primary pillar to sustain high population immunity. After 2 years without reporting any wild poliovirus (July 2014–2016), the region undertook the synchronized switch from trivalent OPV (tOPV) to bivalent OPV (bOPV) as recommended by the Strategic Advisory Group of Experts on Immunization. Consequently the 47 countries of the World Health Organization (WHO) African Region switched from the use of tOPV to bOPV within the stipulated period of April 2016. Planning started early, routine immunization was strengthened, and technical and financial support was provided for vaccine registration, procurement, destruction, logistics, and management across countries by WHO in collaboration with the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF) and partners. National commitment and ownership, as well as strong coordination and collaboration between UNICEF and WHO and with partners, ensured success of this major, historic public health undertaking.
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Since the initiation of the eradication of poliomyelitis, the World Health Organization (WHO) has been making preparations in the African region for changes anticipated in immunization. Consequently, in 2006, the Working Group on Pre- and Post-Polio Eradication Issues was established to examine all the issues related to the pre- and post-polio eradication eras [1]. In its final report the expert committee recommended a synchronized switch from trivalent oral polio vaccine (tOPV) to bivalent oral polio vaccine (bOPV) and inactivated polio vaccine (IPV) use in routine introduction, but added that this would require very accurate, detailed, timely, and global project planning, with regional as well as global consensus. In 2012, the World Health Assembly declared the completion of the eradication of polio in the African region as the global public health programmatic emergency, and a Polio Eradication and Endgame Strategic Plan was developed.

The second objective of the plan is the phased removal of oral polio vaccines (OPVs), starting with vaccine containing poliovirus type 2 (OPV2) and introduction of at least 1 dose of IPV in routine immunization as a risk mitigation measure. Use of IPV is intended to boost systemic immunity and to eliminate the rare risks of vaccine-associated paralytic polio and circulating vaccine-derived poliovirus (cVDPV).

An additional objective of the endgame strategy is to strengthen routine immunization (RI) as the primary pillar to sustain high population immunity. Routine immunization performance will be strengthened through implementation of activities and strategies to raise immunization coverage and to ensure high population immunity against polioviruses, especially type 2, after withdrawal of OPV2 [2]. Outbreaks of wild poliovirus (WPV) and cVDPV are closely correlated with low vaccine coverage and hence routine immunization performance [3]. An opportunity was therefore presented to use the Global Polio Eradication Initiative (GPEI) infrastructure to further strengthen RI and improve immunization coverage to sustain a polio-free world.

Over the years progress was made in the African region and transmission of WPV was interrupted and, for >24 months, no case of WPV was reported [4, 5] until the recent cases in Nigeria. Nigeria was therefore delisted as an endemic country in September 2015 [6–10]. Specifically, WPV type 2 (WPV2) has...
not been reported since 1999, and WPV type 3 was last detected 11 November 2012 [10, 11].

This significant progress was made possible through widespread use of mainly tOPV, consisting of types 1, 2, and 3 live, attenuated polioviruses [11–13]. The use of tOPV is despite the chances of the vaccine viruses undergoing genetic changes during intestinal replication and resulting in vaccine-derived polioviruses (VDPVs) capable of causing paralytic polio in communities with low vaccination coverage [14]. Elimination of the risk of VDPVs requires global stoppage of OPV use as an imperative. Confirming the risk posed by the use of OPV, Hampton noted that among 686 cases of paralytic polio caused by cVDPVs, detected since 2006, type 2 cVDPVs (cVDPV2) accounted for >97% [11]. Thus, elimination of the risks posed by cVDPV2 demands the withdrawal of OPV2 through a synchronized replacement of all tOPV with bOPV containing only types 1 and 3 polioviruses [15, 16]. This switch from tOPV to bOPV was therefore scheduled for April 2016 [16].

As of 24 June 2015, 90 (46%) of 194 WHO member states were using IPV, 102 (53%) had established dates for the introduction of IPV, and 2 (1%) intended to introduce IPV in 2015 but had not set dates for doing so. In the WHO African Region, all 47 member states were using tOPV in RI, but a few countries had used bOPV and Kenya used IPV in campaigns.

In April 2015, the Strategic Advisory Group of Experts on immunization (SAGE) in its recommendation set April 2016 as the date for the switch, stressing that any delays will only be considered on the grounds that the risk for continued cVDPV2 transmission is deemed to be high by October 2015 [16]. Therefore all 47 countries of the WHO African Region switched from tOPV to bOPV. This report summarizes the introduction of IPV and preparations for the switch from tOPV to bOPV, highlighting the lessons learned and the challenges overcome.

**STRENGTHENING ROUTINE IMMUNIZATION AS ESSENTIAL PART OF SWITCH TO BOPV**

Routine immunization is a major pillar of the GPEI endgame strategic plan, delivering 4 doses of tOPV at birth, 6, 10, and 14 weeks of age. To sustain the gains of polio eradication and maintain a polio-free African Region, resources of the polio eradication initiative were deployed to improve RI performance and to increase immunization coverage in several countries [2, 17]. Financial, physical, human, and other resources were used to support immunization programs. Systems were put in place to monitor the progress of national plans through teleconferences and face to face meetings.

Microplanning was used to identify settlements or villages with a high number of unvaccinated children and a global positioning systems (GPS) was used to create accurate, coordinate-based maps for polio-endemic states that were consequently utilized to provide routine vaccines [17]. For instance, health facility microplans were updated in what is named in Nigeria “reach every ward” to capture previously unreached settlements, improving access and utilization of the immunization services by reestablishing immunization service delivery points (fixed sites) in wards with existing facilities and outreach or mobile sites [2]. Strategies used for unreached children during polio campaigns were leveraged to ensure that RI services are provided in identified areas. In addition to delivering OPV, the national or subnational immunization days and child days were used as opportunities to administer other routine vaccines in all countries in addition to the micronutrient vitamin A, iron, and insecticide-treated bednets.

Furthermore, some of the staff whose positions were funded by the polio eradication program provided training to health workers in immunization. Data were analyzed and used for actions to improve performance at all levels. Similarly, GPEI contributions to supportive supervision was strengthened and text messaging was used to transmit data and drive corrective actions, in what is known as Nigeria’s real-time tracking of routine immunization supervision [2]. Regular meetings were also held between the village committees and health facility staff to improve linkages and enable good turnout during outreach and mobile services. Community volunteers were trained to support health workers in revising microplans to identify new outreach points.

Program management, processes, human and financial resources, vehicles, and tools were also used in managing the routine Expanded Programme on Immunization (EPI). GPEI led to monthly monitoring and decision-making meetings that took into account immunization and existing problems in the health sector. In Tanzania, health workers with experience in polio management provided RI and other services in an integrated manner in remote villages that lacked adequate health services [2]. GPEI funds facilitated the logistics and management of vaccines ensuring uninterrupted supply of vaccines at the endpoints. With examples from Ethiopia and Chad, Table 1 further illustrates how polio assets, systems, and infrastructures were deployed to strengthen routine immunization in the African Region. The situation in Chad and Ethiopia was typical of all the countries, with GPEI investments in the region with respect to strengthening RI systems.

These efforts resulted in improved performance of RI in the region. As a result, national infant immunization coverage rates for bacillus Calmette-Guérin (BCG), third dose of vaccine containing diphtheria, tetanus and pertussis (DTP3), and first dose of measles containing vaccine (MCV1) rose steadily between 2011 and 2014 (Figure 1) in the region. Specifically in some of the countries with huge polio investments such as the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) and Nigeria, DTP3 coverage rose to 80% and 66% from <50% and <40%, respectively, in the period under review. In Angola, Chad, and Togo, DTP3 coverage reached 87%, 46%, and 87%, respectively. A moderate increase was also observed in Cote d’Ivoire (58% to 67%), Tanzania (82% to 97%), and Ethiopia (55% to 77%).
Table 1. Use of Polio Assets in Strengthening Routine Immunization in the African Region: Examples From Ethiopia and Chad

| Domains of GPEI | Ethiopia | Chad |
|----------------|----------|------|
| 1. Policy and strategy development | cMYP 2016–2020 to be elaborated integrating RI activities, GPEI, new vaccines introduction | Development of the cMYP 2015–2017 integrating RI and NV introduction, GPEI, and other accelerated disease initiatives and surveillance of VPD |
| 2. Planning | 2015 annual work plan with 6-month roadmap | 2015 work plan with 6-month roadmap |
| 3. Management and oversight | Establishment of NITAG | ICC and polio TAG at national level |
| 4. Implementation and service delivery | High-risk zones for focused support identified | High-risk zones and population (nomads, security compromised areas) included in the microplan |
| 5. Monitoring and evaluation | Weekly conference calls with IST/AFRO, intercountry coordinating committee (ICC), and partners | Weekly conference calls with IST/AFRO to monitor the level of implementation of activities with partners |
| 6. Communications and community engagement | Integrated communication strategy exists | Communication plan integrated in the 2015 EPI plan and including demand creation, community engagement, advocacy, and strategies for high risk and underserved |
| 7. Surveillance and data analysis | Integrated surveillance activities conducted by polio Monitoring meeting in the 54 RED districts | Weekly/monthly monitoring of surveillance indicators and feedback bulletin on immunization |
| 8. Capacity building | Development/ upation of training materials | Development of training material |
| 9. Partnerships and coordination |ICC and command post at national level holds biannual/quarterly meetings | Weekly meetings of the technical ICC |

Abbreviations: cMYP, comprehensive multiyear plan; EPI, Expanded Programme on Immunization; GPEI, Global Polio Eradication Initiative; ICC, intercountry coordinating committee; IEC, Information Education and Communication; IIP, Immunization in Practice; IPV, inactivated polio vaccine; IST/AFRO, Intercountry Support Team of the African Regional Office; MLM, mid level manpower; NITAG, National Technical Advisory Group; NV, new vaccine; RED, reach every district; RI, routine immunization; SIAs, supplemental immunization activities; SITREP, situation report; TAG, Technical Advisory Group; VPD, vaccine-preventable diseases.

regional coverage for DTP3 vaccine increased from 5% in 1980 to 77% in 2014.

Anya et al further demonstrated that of the 47 countries in the region, 18 countries (38%) achieved a national coverage for DTP3-containing vaccine of ≥90% meeting the global and regional immunization targets in 2014 (Table 2) [2]. An increase in national vaccination coverage was reported in Ethiopia, DRC, and Nigeria, whereas a decrease was noted in 17 countries, including the 3 Ebola-affected countries (Guinea, Liberia, and Sierra Leone).

A further analysis of results from 6 countries in Africa, following the strengthening of RI using GPEI systems and infrastructures, revealed significant improvements in the RI programs in Angola, Chad, DRC, Ethiopia, Nigeria, and South Sudan in 2014. Figure 2, for instance, showed a significant reduction in dropout rates in the 6 countries. Similarly, Figure 3 revealed...
some improvements on DTP3 coverage at the district level in the 6 focus countries. For instance, fewer districts recorded <50% coverage in Nigeria, Ethiopia, and DRC in 2014 compared with 2013. On the other hand, more districts in Nigeria and Ethiopia recorded ≥90% coverage in 2014 compared to 2013 [2].

REGISTRATION OF VACCINES AND IMPORT LICENSES PRIOR TO INTRODUCTION OF IPV

The standard pathway for vaccine registration requires the submission of a Common Technical Document to a regulatory authority of origin, who will review it and provide approval for a marketing authorization before the vaccine can be introduced or exported to other countries [18]. The national regulatory authority of the country of importation is also required to register the vaccine and to issue a permit to the manufacturer for vaccine shipment. The countries of the African region have regulatory authorities, legally mandated to fulfill all the regulatory functions including the registration of all health products, including vaccines before they are shipped into the countries to be introduced. One of the challenges facing timely introduction of new vaccines is the weak capacity of countries of the WHO African Region to register vaccines and to issue an import permit prior to shipment of vaccine for use in countries.

Most vaccines, including IPV and OPV, were developed in countries outside the region, fully licensed by national regulatory authorities of these countries and prequalified by WHO. Most countries in the African Region take into account the work carried by the WHO prequalification in reviewing or providing regulatory approval for the importation and use of new vaccines. The WHO expedited review procedure [2] and the collaborative procedure [19] represent fast-track methods recommended by WHO for the registration of prequalified vaccines.

To address the challenges and ensure that both IPV and bOPV are duly licensed and available in all the countries as an urgency to meet the switch timelines, countries were supported by WHO in identifying a suitable pathway for the registration of IPV and bOPV. The technical support that was provided ensured that there was no delay in shipment of the right quantities of vaccines and the subsequent synchronized switch. WHO gathered information from each of the 47 countries of the African Region on how vaccines have been registered previously or whether a waiver was given for importation and use. This included 16 countries (Angola, Burundi, Cape Verde, Comoros, Equatorial Guinea, Eritrea, Gambia, Guinea-Bissau, Lesotho, Liberia, Malawi, Namibia, Sao Tomé e Príncipe, Seychelles, Sierra Leone, Swaziland) that, in principle, accepted WHO-prequalified bOPV, without resorting to a dossier submission by the manufacturers and a review, either through an expedited pathway or a regular full review. Angola, had already given approvals or waivers for the importation and use of bOPV in supplemental immunization activities. The data showed that several countries which responded to WPV outbreaks had in the past

Figure 1. Trends in coverage of some vaccines in the African Region. Abbreviations: BCG, bacillus Calmette-Guérin; DTP3, third dose of diphtheria, tetanus and pertussis containing vaccine; MCV1, first dose of measles containing vaccine.
Table 2. World Health Organization (WHO)/United Nations Children’s Fund Estimate of Vaccination Coverage for Selected Expanded Programme on Immunization Antigens by Country, WHO African Regional Office, 2014

| Countries       | DTP3 | MCV1 | OPV3 | Status       | IPV Introduction Into Routine |
|-----------------|------|------|------|--------------|--------------------------------|
| Algeria         | 95   | 95   | 95   | Introduced   | December 2015                  |
| Angola          | 80   | 85   | 81   | Planned      | Q4, 2017                       |
| Benin           | 70   | 63   | 72   | Introduced   | August 2015                    |
| Botswana        | 95   | 97   | 96   | Introduced   | November 2015                  |
| Burkina Faso    | 91   | 88   | 91   | Planned      | Q4 2017                        |
| Burundi         | 95   | 94   | 95   | Introduced   | November 2015                  |
| Cabo Verde      | 95   | 93   | 95   | Planned      | Q4 2017                        |
| Cameroon        | 87   | 80   | 86   | Introduced   | July 2015                      |
| CAR             | 47   | 49   | 47   | Introduced   | September 2015                 |
| Chad            | 46   | 54   | 54   | Introduced   | August 2015                    |
| Comoros         | 80   | 80   | 79   | Introduced   | January 2015                   |
| Congo           | 90   | 80   | 90   | Introduced   | April 2016                     |
| Côte d’Ivoire   | 67   | 63   | 66   | Introduced   | June 2015                      |
| DRC             | 80   | 77   | 79   | Introduced   | April 2015                     |
| Equatorial Guinea | 24  | 44   | 30   | Introduced   | August 2016                    |
| Eritrea         | 94   | 96   | 94   | Planned      | Q4 2017                        |
| Ethiopia        | 77   | 70   | 75   | Introduced   | December 2015                  |
| Gabon           | 70   | 61   | 68   | Introduced   | December 2015                  |
| The Gambia      | 96   | 96   | 97   | Introduced   | April 2015                     |
| Ghana           | 98   | 92   | 98   | Planned      | Q4 2017                        |
| Guinea          | 51   | 52   | 42   | Introduced   | November 2015                  |
| Guinea-Bissau   | 80   | 69   | 78   | Introduced   | July 2016                      |
| Kenya           | 81   | 79   | 81   | Introduced   | December 2015                  |
| Lesotho         | 96   | 92   | 95   | Introduced   | April 2016                     |
| Liberia         | 50   | 58   | 49   | Planned      | Q4 2017                        |
| Madagascar      | 73   | 64   | 73   | Introduced   | May 2015                       |
| Malawi          | 91   | 85   | 87   | Planned      | Q4 2017                        |
| Mali            | 77   | 80   | 84   | Introduced   | March 2016                     |
| Mauritania      | 84   | 84   | 84   | Introduced   | November 2015                  |
| Mauritius       | 97   | 98   | 98   | Introduced   | November 2015                  |
| Mozambique      | 78   | 85   | 78   | Introduced   | November 2015                  |
| Namibia         | 88   | 83   | 88   | Introduced   | November 2015                  |
| Niger           | 68   | 72   | 67   | Introduced   | July 2015                      |
| Nigeria         | 66   | 51   | 66   | Introduced   | February 2015                  |
| Rwanda          | 99   | 98   | 99   | Planned      | Q4 2017                        |
| Sao Tomé & Príncipe | 95  | 92   | 95   | Introduced   | April 2016                     |
| Senegal         | 89   | 80   | 85   | Introduced   | January 2015                   |
| Seychelles      | 99   | 99   | 99   | Introduced   | September 2015                 |
| Sierra Leone    | 83   | 78   | 83   | Planned      | Q4 2017                        |
| South Africa*   | 70   | 70   | 71   |               |                                |
| South Sudan     | 39   | 22   | 44   | Introduced   | December 2015                  |
| Swaziland       | 98   | 86   | 98   | Introduced   | July 2016                      |
| Togo            | 87   | 82   | 85   | Planned      | Q4 2017                        |
| Uganda          | 78   | 82   | 82   | Introduced   | April 2016                     |
| Tanzania        | 97   | 99   | 97   | Planned      | Q4 2017                        |
| Zambia          | 86   | 85   | 78   | Planned      | Q4 2017                        |
| Zimbabwe        | 91   | 92   | 92   | Planned      | Q4 2017                        |

Abbreviations: CAR, Central African Republic; DRC, Democratic Republic of Congo; DTP3, third dose of diphtheria, tetanus and pertussis containing vaccine; IPV, inactivated polio vaccine; MCV1, first dose of measles containing vaccine; OPV3, third dose of oral polio vaccine; Q4, quarter 4.

*South Africa has been using hexavalent vaccine, which includes IPV. South Africa is the only country in the region that introduced IPV before the Endgame strategy.

used bOPV and Kenya used IPV in campaigns. The information that was obtained formed the basis for guidance and support to enable the countries register these vaccines ahead of the switch.
All the countries provided permits for the importation of IPV and bOPV, in time for the use of IPV and the switch to bOPV. Follow-up was instituted by WHO and the United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF) on a regular basis to ensure that the vaccines were registered and the means by which the decision was made was also identified and documented. Two joint reviews using the WHO collaborative procedure were organized for groups of Francophone and Anglophone countries, to facilitate licensure of IPV and bOPV.

**INTRODUCTION OF IPV INTO ROUTINE IMMUNIZATION PROGRAMS**

Training and sensitization is important as part of any new vaccine introductions, even for health workers with experience in immunization. Therefore, the WHO Regional Office for Africa and UNICEF co-organized 2 workshops in April 2014 for participants drawn from the English-speaking (3–4 April 2014) and French-speaking (14–15 April 2014) countries in the African Region. Each 2-day workshop equipped participants with up-to-date technical information and references to guide the interaction with decision makers and program managers, and to train a critical mass of consultants to support country planning activities and training sessions for the introduction of IPV.

Specifically, participants received knowledge about poliomyelitis, the eradication efforts, existing and expected vaccines, and the endgame strategy. They also learned about logistics, operational, regulatory, and communication issues. Furthermore, the workshops emphasized the role of routine immunization as one of the tripods in the eradication of polio in the region. Participants went through routine immunization systems.
strengthening and how to leverage the polio infrastructure and resources to strengthening routine immunization for a sustainable fight against polio and other vaccine-preventable diseases. Participants also discussed the policies and operational procedures of Gavi, the Vaccine Alliance, as well as the process of applying to Gavi funding for the introduction of IPV into RI.

The trained personnel were deployed to support the countries as they prepared for the introduction of IPV into RI. Thirty-three countries (Table 2) successfully introduced IPV into RI, ready to switch from tOPV to bOPV. However, because of the global IPV supply constraint, the remaining 13 countries will have to wait until the end of 2016 to introduce the vaccine.

**SWITCH FROM TOPV TO BOPV IN THE AFRICAN REGION**

In compliance with the World Health Assembly mandate, a strict window of between 15 April and 1 May 2016 was set for the African Region to stop the use of OPV beginning with removal of the type 2 component of tOPV through a coordinated switch to bOPV, containing only types 1 and 3 As a first step toward meeting this target, all OPV-using countries in the African Region started planning in earnest during the second quarter of 2015 and finalized budgeted National Switch Plans by the last quarter of 2015.

A series of national level “dry runs” was undertaken to test global guidance materials; to learn from a desk-based walk-through of the steps to carry out the switch and explore areas of weakness, gaps, barriers; and to identify potential facilitators and solutions. The switch dry runs helped in informing the finalization of the global guidance to countries on planning for and implementing the switch. Countries benefited from the advanced preparation of their switch plans, including identification of the critical roles and responsibilities of national regulatory authorities, EPI, ministry of health, and partner organizations in each of the planning, preparation, implementation, and validation phases of the switch.

In the African Region, UNICEF, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Clinton Health Access Initiative (CHAI), Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, Gavi, and WHO provided technical and other support to the countries in planning, preparation, implementation, and validation of the switch. The 2 organizations collaboratively organized workshops to build the capacities of the countries to conduct the switch. Participants were given orientation on global update on the strategic plan for the eradication of polio and the final phase; guidance on operational planning of the implementation, monitoring, and validation; tools and various materials for training, logistics, monitoring and validation; and the process of monitoring and validation of the switch. Country preparedness was assessed with a questionnaire containing 12 questions, which was administered on the first day of the workshop.

The implementation was done in 4 phases: planning, preparation, implementation, and validation [20, 21]. The planning commenced with the creation of national regional and district switch management committees. The National Switch Committee had the responsibility for selecting a national switch day; developing a national plan and establishing subcommittees for logistics, communication, and process monitoring; identifying focal points; and establishing an operations center. There was a National Switch Validation Committee responsible for validating the withdrawal of the tOPV from national, regional, and district vaccine stores, and from service delivery points. The tOPV inventory and procurement plan as well as planning for bOPV acquisition, including obtaining regulatory approval and import permit, and the distribution were conducted at this level.

There were also mechanisms such as securing funds for the switch and developing and implementing communications strategy. The management of logistics included the assessment of cold chain capacity, determining disposal strategy, and developing training materials for logisticians and health workers as well as the establishment of monitoring system. Switch management committees at national and regional levels selected, monitored, and reported on indicators and achievements [20, 21]. Table 3 highlights the outcomes of the monitoring exercises in countries.

Implementation of the switch started with training of switch monitors on their roles and responsibilities as well as the modus operandi of the switch. They were also trained on methods for confirming the absence of tOPV at vaccine stores and selected service delivery points, removing tOPV if any is found in facilities and reporting to supervisors. On the other hand, the training of health workers on the important aspects of the switch such as the rationale for the switch as well as setting of switch date, and monitoring were systematically undertaken.

Communication and media messages followed the standard switch protocol. The messages covered such information as “All tOPV should be removed from the cold chain, packed in bags with sticker on which it is written “Do not use/For disposal.” The remaining tOPV should be destroyed or securely stored within 3 months following the national switch date. The validation was carried out by existing Polio Eradication Certification Committees and covered a 2-week period postswitch. Based on data collected by switch independent monitors, the National Switch Validation Committee validated tOPV withdrawal, and submission of the ministry of health endorsed the switch validation report submitted to WHO by the countries.

The process revealed that governments provided funds for the switch at the operational levels. The tOPV collection bags and stickers were also available. However, microplanning of independent monitors and selection of independent monitors and supervisors had a low level of achievement. Switch committees exited at the different levels of operation. The GPEI also
Table 3. Independent Monitoring of Switch Outcome in the African Region

| Country       | HF, No. | % of HFs Monitored | % of HFs With tOPV in Cold Chain | % of HFs With bOPV | % of HFs With IPV | Total tOPV Vials Collected for Disposal | Validation Dates |
|---------------|---------|--------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------|
| Benin         | 95      | 100.0              | 7.0                              | 97.0               | 91.0             | NA                                     | NC               |
| Botswana      | 674     | 29.4               | 0.0                              | 99.5               | 93.9             | 14682                                  | 26 April 2016    |
| Burkina Faso  | 1920    | 12.0               | 0.0                              | 100.0              | NA               | 21502                                  | 17 May 2016      |
| Cabo Verde    | 38      | 100.0              | 0.0                              | 87.0               | 0.0              | 4147                                   | 4 May 2016       |
| Cameroon      | 992     | 103.5              | 2.4                              | 80.8               | 78.5             | 266528                                 | 12 May 2016      |
| CAR           | 316     | 48.0               | 3.0                              | 66.0               | 71.0             | NC                                     | 11 May 2016      |
| Chad          | 1341    | 23.8               | 3.2                              | 91.5               | 94.0             | 479300                                 | 16 May 2016      |
| Comoros       | 5       | 100.0              | 0.0                              | 100.0              | 100.0            | NC                                     | 6 May 2016       |
| Republic of Congo | 251 | 16.0               | 0.0                              | 82.0               | 82.0             | 37094                                  | 9 May 2016       |
| Cote d’Ivoire | 2007    | 19.0               | 0.0                              | 88.0               | 93.0             | 20339                                  | 28 April 2016    |
| DRC           | 6416    | 28.0               | 0.0                              | 35.0               | 43.0             | 87908                                  | 14 May 2016      |
| Equatorial Guinea | 47 | 100.0              | 4.0                              | 98.0               | NA               | 22860                                  | 20 May 2016      |
| Eritrea       | 296     | 25.0               | 0.0                              | 100.0              | NA               | 77252                                  | 19 May 2016      |
| Ethiopia      | 3990    | 50.4               | 0.3                              | 78.0               | 76.6             | 79256                                  | 19 May 2016      |
| Gabon         | 59      | 100.0              | 0.0                              | 100.0              | 95.0             | NC                                     | 9 May 2016       |
| The Gambia    | 69      | 25.0               | 0.0                              | 100.0              | 100.0            | NC                                     | 4 May 2016       |
| Ghana         | 3649    | 11.9               | 1.2                              | 96.8               | NA               | 31084                                  | 9 May 2016       |
| Guinea        | 439     | 47.0               | 10.0                             | 87.0               | 87.0             | 9638                                   | 5 May 2016       |
| Guinea-Bissau | 116     | 52.0               | 0.0                              | 100.0              | NA               | 366264                                 | 30 April 2016    |
| Kenya         | 6121    | 18.3               | 6.0                              | 92.6               | 90.2             | 8983                                   | 11 May 2016      |
| Lesotho       | 200     | 100.0              | 24.0                             | 93.0               | 93.0             | 376360                                 | 2 May 2016       |
| Liberia       | 534     | 53.0               | 4.0                              | 97.5               | NA               | 386918                                 | 12 May 2016      |
| Madagascar    | 2598    | 19.0               | 1.0                              | 68.0               | 67.0             | 12884                                  | 10 May 2016      |
| Malawi        | 823     | 10.0               | 0.0                              | 100.0              | NA               | 137000                                 | 11 May 2016      |
| Mali          | 1423    | 28.0               | 5.0                              | 83.0               | 86.0             | 50744                                  | 17 May 2016      |
| Mauritania    | NA      | 100.0              | NA                               | NA                 | NA               | 383                                    | 16 May 2016      |
| Mauritius     | 29      | 100.0              | 0.0                              | 100.0              | 100.0            | 265027                                 | 19 May 2016      |
| Mozambique    | 1461    | 38.0               | 0.0                              | 100.0              | 80.0             | 6187                                   | 10 May 2016      |
| Namibia       | 443     | 38.0               | 1.1                              | 100.0              | 100.0            | 1843053                                | 17 May 2016      |
| Niger         | 978     | 12.0               | 1.7                              | 100.0              | 100.0            | 3553693                                | 29 April 2016    |
| Nigeria       | 25651   | 12.0               | 2.0                              | 93.0               | 50.0             | 11670                                  | 13 May 2016      |
| Rwanda        | 507     | 20.0               | 0.0                              | 100.0              | NA               | 33600                                  | 4 May 2016       |
| Sao Tome and Principe | 21 | 100.0              | 5.0                              | 100.0              | 95.0             | 1041                                   | 17 May 2016      |
| Senegal       | 1041    | 16.0               | 1.2                              | 95.0               | 99.0             | NC                                     | 18 May 2016      |
| Seychelles    | 14      | 100.0              | 0.0                              | 100.0              | 100.0            | 7120                                   | 18 May 2016      |
| Sierra Leone  | 785     | 11.0               | 4.0                              | 94.0               | NA               | 78477                                  | 3 May 2016       |
| South Africa  | 4824    | 29.0               | 3.9                              | 92.0               | 76.0             | 168150                                 | 3 May 2016       |
| South Sudan   | 399     | 67.0               | 7.0                              | 62.0               | 72.0             | 37882                                  | 20 May 2016      |
| Swaziland     | 177     | 100.0              | 0.0                              | 100.0              | NA               | NC                                     | 9 May 2016       |
| Tanzania      | 5999    | 20.4               | 0.2                              | 98.5               | NA               | 1516                                   | 3 May 2016       |
| Togo          | 712     | 29.0               | 0.0                              | 100.0              | NA               | NC                                     | 29 April 2016    |
| Uganda        | 2747    | 74.9               | 7.2                              | 92.8               | 91.8             | 41012                                  | 13 May 2016      |
| Zambia        | 1904    | 12.0               | 0.0                              | 100.0              | NA               | 28560                                  | 13 May 2016      |
| Zimbabwe      | 1647    | 10.3               | 0.6                              | 99.4               | NA               | 4295                                   | 10 May 2016      |

Abbreviations: bOPV, bivalent oral polio vaccine; CAR, Central African Republic; DRC, Democratic Republic of Congo; HF, health facility; IPV, inactivated polio vaccine; NA, not available; NC, not compiled; tOPV, trivalent oral polio vaccine.

*In addition to health facilities, all vaccine stores were monitored. There was no tOPV found in any of the primary vaccine stores, but some were found in the district store in 6 of 20 countries.

*Botswana, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Malawi, Namibia, Rwanda, Seychelles, South Africa, South Sudan, Swaziland, Uganda, Tanzania, Zambia, and Zimbabwe have submitted official report on the disposal and all used high-temperature incineration.

allocated funds to the switch. The second order of bOPV and planning of training for independent monitors had a proportion of achievement from 50% to 79%. The National Switch Validation Committee was in place in all the countries and conducted the identification of tOPV destruction sites, and the training of logisticians and health workers had a very high level of attainment.

The West African situation was replicated in both the East and Southern African and the Central African subregions. Various committees and resources were in place, and the identification...
DISCUSSION

The global switch from tOPV to bOPV represented a significant milestone in the efforts to eradicate polio in the African Region, because it marked the eradication of WPV2 and, in the long term, should lead to the elimination of type 2 VDPVs [11]. Careful synchronization of the switch from tOPV to bOPV within and across OPV-using countries was observed with all 47 member states conducting the switch as recommended.

The entire exercise was a success for a number of key reasons, and some valuable lessons were learned. The anticipation and establishment of a committee of experts in 2006 to examine closely the pre- and post-polio eradication issues and to advise the organization was important, as it contributed to the discussions by SAGE and the final recommendation on the switch. This further underscores the vital role of early planning and working across the entire organization—headquarters to region, to intercountry support teams, and to countries where most of the work was carried out.

The switch could not have succeeded without the close collaboration and partnership between UNICEF and WHO at the subnational, national, regional, and global levels. Each organization brought its expertise and strengths to bear on the task of supporting the countries and there was fine coordination leading to the success. Face-to-face meetings were supplemented by regular teleconference calls and frequent exchange of emails. It was important for technical and other support to be well coordinated between the 2 organizations, for activities to be planned together, and for any potential issues to be managed. The committee that examined the pre- and post-polio eradication issues in the WHO African Region was derived from the membership of the Task Force on Immunization, now called the Regional Immunization Technical Advisory Group, which is set up and mandated to advise the Regional Director on Vaccines and Immunization. It did not include any external organization.

 Adequate preparations often produce a better chance of success in public health action. Following the World Health Assembly resolution, a clear strategy for the endgame, SAGE recommendations, and regional and national plans were put in place. It was crucial for each country to decide on a date for the switch, within the SAGE-recommended period, communicate this to all stakeholders, and make preparations to implement the switch.

Training was also effective, regardless of how knowledgeable health workers in a country were. The training ensured that status of preparations could be monitored and remedial action taken where delays in implementation were imminent. The role of training can therefore not be underestimated in such a major change in immunization since the introduction of the EPI.

By bringing countries together, experiences and best practices were shared, knowledge passed on, and mentorships established; all countries were inspired to aim at achieving a smooth switch. The outcome, unsurprisingly, was that all countries met the recommendations and provided validation of the switch on time.

The success was also due to having reliable data, which was analyzed and used for decision making. The data on routine immunization performance dictated the level of technical support required for each country in the region, bearing in mind that immunization systems vary in strength, just as health systems in general do across the 47 countries of the WHO African region. The data were used for national planning, acceptability of prequalification vaccines, national pathways for registration of vaccines, informing on tOPV levels, cold chain capacity, target populations, and other key considerations.

However, it is well recognized that cVDPV2 outbreaks, caused either by circulating strains and/or newly emerged strains, could occur after the switch given that a high proportion of persons susceptible to infections with type 2 polioviruses will increase over time from new birth cohorts not receiving tOPV and because multiple low-income countries already have low polio vaccination coverage [22]. As a result, following the switch from tOPV to bOPV, reducing the likelihood and potential extent of cVDPV2 outbreaks is essential, as is the ability to detect and respond to any such outbreaks that do occur [11].

Furthermore, for the reason of averting an explosion in the outbreak of cVDPVs, countries planned and introduced IPV in their RI systems to boost herd immunity. The introduction of IPV should aid in preventing paralytic polio from wild or vaccine-derived type 2 polioviruses in many persons who have received only bOPV by providing them immunity to type 2 viruses [11]. Thirty-three countries in the African Region introduced IPV into their RI systems.

To support the introduction of IPV as well as sustain the gains of polio eradication, polio resources were deployed to strengthen the RI systems. The health workers were trained and logistics supplies enhanced. Polio systems and accountability structures as well as planning techniques were deployed in managing the RI system. The RI structure ensured smooth distribution and administration of the IPV and, in situations of limited supplies, prioritized IPV for areas at high risk for cVDPV2 outbreaks to enhance the impact of IPV use. The GPEI supported the IPV introduction, including technical assistance and funding for IPV purchases and operational expenses in all countries.
However, the use of IPV alone is not capable of effectively preventing the spread of poliovirus infections, as was the case in recent repeated isolation of type 1 WPVs through environmental surveillance in Israel, where the population had high IPV coverage, but, because OPV had not been used since 2004, silent circulation of introduced wild polioviruses occurred \[11, 23\]. As tOPV is withdrawn, efforts are made in the region to ensure high-quality surveillance for circulating polioviruses, both through acute flaccid paralysis surveillance and environmental surveillance, and structures are in place to promote prompt, aggressive responses to any identified type 2 poliovirus outbreaks.

In conclusion, the switch passed off smoothly due to early planning; coordination of support by UNICEF, WHO, and partners; and national efforts. To address the challenges, efforts should be made to further strengthen routine immunization and improve the quality of acute flaccid paralysis surveillance, environmental surveillance, and the preparedness to respond robustly and effectively to any outbreaks of polio.
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