How I treat splenomegaly in myelofibrosis
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Symptomatic splenomegaly, a frequent manifestation of myelofibrosis (MF), represents a therapeutic challenge. It is frequently accompanied by constitutional symptoms and by anemia or other cytopenias, which make treatment difficult, as the latter are often worsened by most current therapies. Cytoreductive treatment, usually hydroxyurea, is the first-line therapy, being effective in around 40% of the patients, although the effect is often short lived. The immunomodulatory drugs, such as thalidomide or lenalidomide, rarely show a substantial activity in reducing the splenomegaly. Splenectomy can be considered in patients refractory to drug treatment, but the procedure involves substantial morbidity as well as a certain mortality risk and, therefore, patient selection is important. For patients not eligible for splenectomy, transient relief of the symptoms can be obtained with local radiotherapy that, in turn, can induce severe and long-lasting cytopenias. Allogeneic hemopoietic stem cell transplantation is the only treatment with the potential for curing MF but, due to its associated morbidity and mortality, is usually restricted to a minority of patients with poor risk features. A new class of drugs, the JAK2 inhibitors, although also palliative, are promising in the splenomegaly of MF and will probably change the therapeutic algorithm of this disease.
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Introduction

Myelofibrosis (MF) is a chronic myeloproliferative neoplasm (MPN) characterized by bone marrow fibrosis, extramedullary hemopoiesis with splenomegaly and leukoerythroblastosis in blood. The disease can appear de novo (primary MF or PMF) or as evolution of a previously known MPN, either polycythemia vera (PV) (post-PV MF) or essential thrombocythemia (ET) (post-ET MF). Irrespective of MF being primary or to follow a previous MPN, once it is diagnosed, its clinical and histological characteristics and prognosis are essentially the same. MF is a clonal proliferation of a pluripotent hemopoietic stem cell, in which the resulting abnormal cell population releases several cytokines and growth factors in the bone marrow that lead to the appearance of marrow fibrosis and stroma changes, and colonizes extramedullary organs such as the spleen and the liver. The mutation V617F in the JAK2 gene is present in more than a half of patients with PMF or post-ET MF, and in 95% of those with post-PV MF. Mutations in the MPL gene (the gene of the thrombopoietin receptor) are observed in 4–8% of patients with PMF and post-ET MF but not in post-PV MF. These molecular findings have contributed to a better understanding of the pathogenesis of MF, but the diagnosis of the disease remains mainly of exclusion.

MF is an infrequent disease that usually affects elderly people. Currently, median survival approaches 6 years, but there is a wide variability, ranging from less than 1 year to more than 2 decades. A number of prognostic factors have been identified and, recently, important progress has been made in the prognostic stratification of MF patients, both at diagnosis and during the disease evolution, with four prognostic groups with markedly different survival having been recognized (Table 1). MF is a heterogeneous disease, not only with regard to its prognosis but also to its clinical and hematologic manifestations. Around 30% of patients are asymptomatic at diagnosis and can remain in this fashion for variable periods of time. However, most patients have symptoms already at presentation, most frequently derived from anemia and splenomegaly, and constitutional symptoms. To date, allogeneic hemopoietic stem cell transplantation (allo-HSCT) is the only therapy with the potential for curing MF but, in practice, owing to the advanced age of most patients, the lack of donor and, especially, the substantial morbidity and mortality associated with the procedure, allo-HSCT is restricted to a few patients, usually included within the intermediate-2 and high-risk prognostic categories. Therefore, for the majority of patients with MF, the disease remains incurable, with its therapy being merely palliative. Because of this, and given the heterogeneity of the clinical manifestations of the MF, it is important to adjust the treatment to the characteristics of the disease in each individual patient.

The splenomegaly of MF

Splenomegaly, one of the most characteristic features of MF, is due to extramedullary hemopoiesis (also called myeloid metaplasia), which affects not only the spleen but also the liver and, for this reason, hepatomegaly and alterations of the liver function often coexist) and, more frequently, other sites such as the lung, the kidney, the central nervous system, the lymph nodes or the skin. The spleen is palpable in up to 90% of MF patients at presentation. In this sense, it must be noted that if the spleen is not palpable at diagnosis and does not become palpable after 1 or 2 years, the possibility of other conditions such as myelodysplasia, which can also cause cytopenias and narrow fibrosis, should be excluded by careful examination of the bone marrow histopathologic features. Symptoms from splenomegaly usually correlate with spleen size. Thus, some patients with moderate splenomegaly may not have local symptoms initially. However, as the spleen
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Table 1: Current prognostic stratification of patients with primary myelofibrosis

| Variablea | IPSS | DIPSS | DIPSS-plus |
|-----------|------|-------|------------|
| Age > 65 years | +    | +     | +          |
| Constitutional symptoms | + | + | + |
| Hb < 10 g/dl | +    | +     | +          |
| Leukocytes > 25 x 10⁹/l | +    | +     | +          |
| Circulating blasts > 1% | +    | +     | +          |
| Platelet count < 100 x 10⁹/l | + | + | + |
| Unfavorable karyotype | + | + | + |
| (+8, −7, −7q, −5, −5q, iso 17q, inv (3), 12p, −11q23 rearrangement) | + | + | + |

Abbreviations: DIPSS, dynamic IPSS; IPSS, international prognostic scoring system.
*aEach variable scores 1 point, except 2 points for Hb < 10 g/dl in the DIPSS.

progressively increases, it produces mechanical discomfort in the left part of the abdomen, occasionally episodes of severe pain in the left upper quadrant irradiating to the shoulder and due to splenic infarctions, early satiety and diarrhea. These symptoms are frequently associated with profound cachexia and fatigue, accentuation of pre-existing cytopenias and, sometimes, signs of portal hypertension. Of note, despite its clinical relevance in MF, splenomegaly is not per se a poor prognostic factor of the disease, as it is usually observed in patients displaying other well-recognized unfavorable prognostic factors, such as severe anemia, constitutional symptoms or marked leukocytosis.11

Treatment of splenomegaly

It is generally agreed that, if patients with MF have no symptoms, a wait-and-see approach is a reasonable option, with treatment being delayed until significant changes are observed.19 It is likely that such conservative approach will change as soon as more effective therapies for the disease will become available. The above wait-and-see policy also applies to asymptomatic splenomegaly, especially taking into account that MF patients have often concurrent cytopenias that may worsen following treatment institution.

Myelosuppressive therapy

For MF patients with marked and symptomatic splenomegaly, myelosuppressive drugs are considered as the first-line therapy, with hydroxyurea being the drug of choice.20–22 Although hydroxyurea has been (and still is) the drug most frequently used in this setting, information on its efficacy in MF has been based on a few reports that included a scarce number of patients.20,21 In this sense, in a recent publication from our group on the results of hydroxyurea therapy in 40 MF patients with ‘hyperproliferative’ disease,22 symptomatic splenomegaly was the reason for treatment initiation in 45% of patients. The starting dose was 500 mg/day and it was subsequently adjusted to the individual efficacy. In those patients who responded, the required dose to maintain the response was variable, ranging from 500 mg to 2 g daily. According to the International Working Group for MF Research and Treatment (IWG-MRT) criteria,23 response in splenomegaly was 40%, including disappearance of the palpable splenomegaly in 4 patients and a >50% reduction in spleen size in 12 patients. Median duration of the response was 13.2 months, being long lasting in some patients (range: 3–126.2 months). Coincidently with hydroxyurea treatment, worsening of the anemia or appearance of pancytopenia was observed in almost a half of the patients, requiring administration of erythropoietin-stimulating agents, which were effective almost exclusively in patients with inadequate erythropoietin serum levels (<125 U/l) and non-transfusion-dependant anemia, or danazol. Therefore, to avoid the development or the accentuation of a pre-existing anemia, I usually start at a dose of 500 mg/day, following the patient every 3–4 weeks during the initial phase of treatment to allow for dose titration. Once the appropriate dose is found, controls can be delayed to every 2–3 months, unless the patient requires red blood cell transfusions. Oral or leg ulcers, the most characteristic extrahematologic toxicity of hydroxyurea, occasionally develop, usually in association with prolonged administration and high doses of the drug.

Busulfan, an alkylating drug, can also be used to treat symptomatic splenomegaly,24 but, due to its prolonged effect and the risk of provoking long-lasting cytopenias, it requires a close control of the patients, making it inconvenient in clinical practice. Busulfan is contraindicated in the presence of leukocytes <4 x 10⁹/l or platelets <100 x 10⁹/l, as the drug accumulates in the bone marrow, where it continues exerting its effect for several weeks. I have treated with busulfan a number of MF patients resistant or intolerant to hydroxyurea, who were not eligible for other therapies. In these cases, I usually give a low dose (2 mg/day) and follow the patients closely (every 3–4 weeks) to watch for possible development of leukopenia (<4 x 10⁹/l) or thrombocytopenia (<100 x 10⁹/l), which mandate immediate treatment stop. The drug was effective in some of the patients, with a progressive effect on spleen size being observed over the months. Once treatment was stopped because of decreasing hematologic values, some of the patients could remain untreated for several months, until spleen increase, accompanied by recovery of the hematologic values, led to treatment reinstitution.

Low-dose melphalan (2.5 mg for 3 days a week) yielded favorable responses in 66 of 99 patients with hyperproliferative MF.25 Splenic size, leukocytosis and thrombocytosis normalized in 23%, 86% and 93% of patients, respectively. Anemia improved in 12 of 20 patients not requiring transfusion and 6 of 16 became transfusion independent. However, the leukemogenic potential of melphalan probably explains its scarce use in clinical practice.

In patients with massive, refractory splenomegaly, intravenous courses of cladribine (2-CdA), a purine nucleoside analog, given once-monthly for 4–6 months, produced around 50% of responses that were mostly durable, with a median duration of 6 months after treatment discontinuation.26 Severe cytopenia was the main toxicity. It must be noted, however, that use of the above drug in MF is off-label.

Interferon

Despite in vitro data suggesting that interferon-α (IFN) could correct bone marrow fibrosis, in practice, the contribution of this drug to the treatment of MF has been minor, if any, owing to its limited efficacy and its frequent hematologic and extrahematologic toxicity, which leads to treatment discontinuation in the majority of patients.27–29 Recently, promising results have been reported with the use of the pegylated form of IFN.30 However, the mid-term toxicity of pegylated IFN in other diseases is not
negligible and its effects in the long run not yet known, especially considering the advanced age of most MF patients. It is, therefore, quite unlikely that pegylated IFN could have a relevant role in the therapy of MF.

**Immunomodulatory drugs**

The immunomodulatory drugs, which include thalidomide, lenalidomide and pomalidomide, are a group of drugs that inhibit several cytokines and have antiangiogenic effects. They are used in patients with MF, alone or in combination with prednisone. Side effects are frequent, especially in the case of thalidomide (neurological toxicity) and lenalidomide (myelosuppression), and the responses are seen mostly in the anemia, whereas the drugs rarely have a substantial activity in the splenomegaly. Therefore, I would not recommend this type of therapy for MF patients with marked, symptomatic splenomegaly.

**Splenectomy**

Splenectomy involves a substantial risk in patients with MF. In a single-institution series, operative morbidity was 31% and mortality 9%, usually during the 3-month post-splenectomy period. Main complications are bleeding (especially hemoperitoneum), infections and thrombosis. In addition, massive hepatomegaly due to compensatory myeloid metaplasia of the liver develops in 16–24% of patients, some of which die from liver failure. Post-splenectomy thrombocytosis that increases the risk of thrombosis, especially in the splenoportal vein tract, is observed in 20% of patients. Following splenectomy, a higher rate of blast transformation has also been registered in one study, although this was not confirmed by others. Splenectomy can be considered in MF patients with heavily symptomatic splenomegaly refractory to drug treatment, severe constitutional symptoms, transfusion-dependent anemia (including uncontrollable hemolysis) unresponsive to therapy and portal hypertension secondary to the increased portal flow. As no survival prolongation from splenectomy has been demonstrated, the risks of splenectomy should be carefully balanced against the possible benefits in every individual patient, in order to restrict the procedure to those patients most likely to benefit from it. Given the risks associated with the procedure, other factors such as the patient’s comorbidities and life expectancy must also be taken into account. In the series of the Mayo Clinic, durable responses in constitutional symptoms, transfusion-dependent anemia, portal hypertension and severe thrombocytopenia were obtained in 67%, 23%, 50% and 0% of cases, respectively. From the above results, it can be inferred that splenectomy has no role in the treatment of the thrombocytopenia of MF. Beside, thrombocytosis would be a relative contraindication to splenectomy, given the high probability of provoking uncontrollable thrombocytosis and thrombosis, especially in the splenoportal vein tract, because of the additional effect of the local injury during the surgical procedure. Therefore, if platelets are above the normal range and, despite this, splenectomy is decided, it is important to restrict the procedure to those patients most likely to benefit from it. Given the risks associated with the procedure, other factors such as the patient’s comorbidities and life expectancy must also be taken into account.

In the series of the Mayo Clinic, durable responses in constitutional symptoms, transfusion-dependent anemia, portal hypertension and severe thrombocytopenia were obtained in 67%, 23%, 50% and 0% of cases, respectively. From the above results, it can be inferred that splenectomy has no role in the treatment of the thrombocytopenia of MF. Beside, thrombocytosis would be a relative contraindication to splenectomy, given the high probability of provoking uncontrollable thrombocytosis and thrombosis, especially in the splenoportal vein tract, because of the additional effect of the local injury during the surgical procedure. Therefore, if platelets are above the normal range and, despite this, splenectomy is decided, it is advisable to lower the platelets with hydroxyurea before surgery to around 200 x 10^9/l and to maintain them at this level in the post-operative period to reduce the risk of thrombosis. In addition, once it is made sure that peritoneal bleeding has not occurred within the first 24h post surgery, prophylactic anticoagulation with low-weight molecular heparin should be instituted and maintained for at least 4–6 weeks. Peritoneal bleeding, the most life-threatening complication of splenectomy in these patients, usually occurs during the first 24h following surgery. This complication can be related to the presence of thrombocytopenia but also to the existence of abnormalities of the platelet function in these patients. Because of this, I usually transfuse platelet concentrates immediately after spleen removal in all patients with platelet counts <150 x 10^9/l. I also do it in patients who actually develop peritoneal bleeding, in conjunction with the surgical measures aimed at stopping bleeding. By applying this measure, the incidence of this complication has dramatically dropped in my center and no mortality from this origin has been further observed. However, this personal approach is not supported by any randomized study.

With regard to the question of splenectomy or no before allo-HSCT, given the morbidity and mortality of splenectomy versus the faster hematologic recovery post transplant, splenectomy preceding transplantation is controversial. Although the current tendency is not to perform splenectomy routinely as preparation for transplantation, it seems reasonable to carry out the procedure in patients with massive splenomegaly, taking into account the higher risk of graft failure in such cases. However, this scenario will probably change with the availability of the JAK2 inhibitors, which are highly effective in reducing the splenomegaly in a high proportion of MF patients.

**Radiation therapy**

Splenectomy can be used to reduce the spleen size and procure symptom relief. Total doses range from 0.15 to 65 Gy per course, administered in a fractioned form. It can be indicated in poor candidates to surgery and for palliation of severe pain from spleen infarct, but its effect is not durable, whereas the risk of severe and long-lasting cytopenias, with subsequent infection and bleeding, is high, probably due to an effect on the circulating progenitors. Therefore, routine use of splenic irradiation in patients with MF should not be recommended. In this sense, in an attempt to prolong the therapeutic effect of splenic irradiation while avoiding pancytopenia, an induction-maintenance strategy, consisting of administration of lower radiation doses during induction followed by maintenance with the same or lower doses, was recently reported to control not only the splenomegaly but also the signs of disease acceleration in two MF patients. On the other hand, splenic radiation to reduce the spleen size in preparation for splenectomy is not advised, given the higher rate of post-surgical bleeding observed in such cases, probably related to the development of splenic adhesions to the abdominal wall and the surrounding viscera.

**JAK2 inhibitors**

The discovery of the JAK2 mutation triggered the development of molecular-targeted therapies for the MPNs, with this especially applying to MF, given the lack of an appropriate therapy for many of these patients. However, for the time being, the expectations that the JAK2 inhibitors could reproduce the success of the tyrosine kinase inhibitors in chronic myeloid leukemia have not been substantiated. To date, information on the use of JAK2 inhibitors in MF is available for four drugs in clinical development: ruxolitinib (formerly known as INCBO18424), TG101348, CEP-701 and CYT387, whereas...
other agents are also being tested (Table 2). These agents are usually administered to patients with intermediate-2 or high-risk MF, including PMF and post-PV/ET MF.

Ruxolitinib, an oral JAK1/JAK2 inhibitor, was given to 153 MF patients in a phase 1/2 trial.\(^{48}\) Treatment was well tolerated, with thrombocytopenia as the dose-limiting toxicity. At the dose of 15 mg twice daily, half of the patients had a clinical response, mainly in the splenomegaly and constitutional symptoms. In responding patients, the response is usually dramatic but also drug- and dose-dependent, as treatment discontinuation or dose reductions because of side effects are rapidly followed by spleen increase and reappearance of constitutional symptoms. A small proportion of patients become transfusion independent and the same proportion have accentuation of pre-existing anemia. Of note, the response was independent of the patient’s JAK2 mutational status, whereas no difference was noted between PMF and post-PV/ET MF. The effect on JAK2V617F allele burden was limited, and there was no significant reduction in the marrow fibrosis. Normalization of several pro-inflammatory cytokines was noted and this was correlated with symptomatic improvement, a fact that may be ascribed to the anti-JAK1 activity of the drug. Two different phase 3 multicenter studies (COMFORT-I and COMFORT-II) have been carried out and their results have recently been presented.\(^{49,50}\) The first one\(^{49}\) compared ruxolitinib versus placebo in 309 patients and the second one\(^{50}\) ruxolitinib with best-available therapy in 219 patients. Both trials have attained the primary endpoint of >35% reduction in spleen size, as measured by imaging techniques, at 24 or 48 weeks of treatment start, respectively, and, based on these results, application for the drug approval is currently in progress. Ruxolitinib is a good palliative treatment for a half of MF patients with significant splenomegaly. Given its palliative nature, cost considerations will be important in deciding if it should be given to all MF patients with significant splenomegaly or if it could be used as a second-line therapy for patients not responding or losing the response to hydroxyurea. TG101348 is an inhibitor with preferential activity in JAK2. In a phase 1/2 study with 59 patients,\(^{51}\) dose-limiting toxicity was an increase in the serum amylases, without clinical signs of pancreatitis. Gastrointestinal adverse events were frequent but usually moderate and transient. Worsening of anemia, thrombocytopenia and neutropenia occurred in 35%, 24% and 10% of the patients, respectively. At 6 months of treatment, almost 60% achieved a >50% decrease in splenomegaly. The responses were independent of the JAK2V617F mutational status, but a >50% decrease in the allele burden was reported in 40% of mutated patients. Symptomatic response was achieved in 50–75% and, as opposed to ruxolitinib, improvement in constitutional symptoms did not correlate with changes in pro-inflammatory plasma cytokine levels.

CEP-701 achieved clinical improvement in 6 of 22 MF patients.\(^{52}\) However, gastrointestinal toxicity was remarkable, with diarrhea, nausea and vomiting in 72%, 50%, and 27%, respectively. No effect on JAK2V617F allele burden or pro-inflammatory cytokines was documented.

In a phase 1/2 study with 108 MF patients,\(^{53}\) CYT387 produced a response in palpable spleen according to the IWG-MRT criteria in 45% of cases, whereas resolution of constitutional symptoms was observed in the majority of patients. Of note, of the 42 patients evaluable for anemia response, 50% responded, including 58% of those who were transfusion dependent. Grade 3–4 thrombocytopenia was observed in 25% of patients, while hyperlipasemia and headache were the most characteristic non-hematologic side effects.

The above observation of a substantial response rate of the anemia with CYT387A as compared with other JAK2 inhibitors can be of clinical interest and warrants confirmation in a larger number of patients.

Longer follow-up is required to define the role of the JAK2 inhibitors in the treatment of MF. The trade-offs between clinical activity and toxicity will be the determinant to choose the right drug. Beside, information on the possible extra-hematologic effects in the long term is needed. This having being said, it is quite likely that the JAK2 inhibitors will have a major role in the treatment of MF in the coming years, especially for splenomegaly and constitutional symptoms.
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