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Abstract

Background: Concerns that opioids may hasten death can be a cause of the physicians' reluctance to prescribe opioids, leading to inadequate symptom palliation. Our aim was to find if there was an association between different opioid doses and the survival of the cancer patients that participated in our palliative care program.

Methods: A retrospective study was conducted at Ramathibodi Hospital, Bangkok between January 2013 and December 2015. All of the cancer patients that were referred to palliative care teams by their primary physicians were included in the study. The study data included the patients' demographics, disease status, comorbidities, functional status, type of services, cancer treatments, date of consultation, and the date of the patient's death or last follow-up. The information concerning opioid use was collected by reviewing the medical records and this was converted to an oral morphine equivalent (OME), following a standard ratio. The time-varying covariate in the Cox regression analysis was applied in order to determine the association between different doses of opioids and patient survival.

Results: A total of 317 cancer patients were included in the study. The median (IQR) of the OME among our patients was 6.43 mg/day (0.53, 27.36). The univariate Cox regression analysis did not show any association between different opioid doses (OME ≤ 30 mg/day and > 30 mg/day) and the patients' survival (p = 0.52). The PPS levels (p < 0.01), palliative care clinic visits (HR 0.32, 95%CI 0.24–0.43), home visits (HR 0.75, 95%CI 0.57–0.99), chemotherapy (HR 0.32, 95%CI 0.22–0.46), and radiotherapy (HR 0.53, 95%CI 0.36–0.78) were identified as factors that increased the probability of survival.

Conclusions: Our study has demonstrated that different opioid doses in advanced cancer patients are not associated with shortened survival period.
depression [6, 8]. A study in the Netherlands on the perceptions of physicians concerning opioid use and the survival of the patient showed that physicians more often took hastening death into account when they gave higher doses of opioids when the patient experienced more severe symptoms and with female patients [9].

With regard to the concerns of the potential adverse events related to opioid use, the current evidence regarding the effect of opioids on the patient’s survival is still conflicting. Some studies have indicated a potential association of increased survival with higher doses of opioids or increases in opioid doses in the last days of life [10–14]. Some studies on the other hand did not show any significant survival difference between those that were taking opioids and those that were not [15–17]. In other studies, higher opioid doses or increasing doses of opioids were reported to be associated with shorter survival, although some of these did not examine the effect of opioids on survival as a primary endpoint [18–20]. Several retrospective studies have suggested that opioid use might promote tumor progression and as a result negatively impact the survival of patients with advanced cancer [21–23]. Additionally, opioid doses have been seen to be correlated with low testosterone, and hypogonadal males were seen to have a shorter survival compared with those that were eugonadal [24]. The analysis from a large chemotherapy RCT showed that opioid use was independently associated with shorter survival [25]. Regarding two recent systematic reviews on the association between systemic opioid analgesia and survival among cancer patients [26, 27], the results showed that there was no clear association between opioid doses or increasing doses of opioids and survival; other studies showed that opioids might be associated with decreased survival, while others suggested that opioids improved survival or had no effect.

The Department of Family Medicine at Ramathibodi Hospital, Mahidol University, had a project on the development of palliative care for people in the Bangkok metropolitan area beginning in 2010. The project is aimed at the integration of palliative care services into mainstream medicine in Thailand by providing a variety of services, including inpatient consultation, a palliative care clinic, telephone consultation, as well as providing home-based palliative care. Around 700 patients and their families participated in this project from January 2010 to December 2015. Among these patients, 90% were diagnosed with cancer. The aim of this study was to find if there was an association between different opioid doses and the survival of the cancer patients that participated in our palliative care program.

**Methods**

This retrospective cohort study was conducted in the Department of Family Medicine at Ramathibodi Hospital, Bangkok, Thailand, between January 2013 and December 2015. All of the patients with a cancer diagnosis that were referred to palliative care teams by their primary physicians, e.g., oncologists, surgeons or internists (both inpatient and outpatient consultation), were included into the study. The time from the first palliative consultation to the study endpoints was estimated for each subject. The endpoints of patient follow up were death, referral to other catchment areas, or the end of the study period. The study's participants were censored, if they were referred to other catchment areas or were still alive at the end of study period (December 31th, 2015). The primary outcome was all-cause mortality.

**Data collection**

The study data were collected using a standardized data record form. The data set was comprised of the patient’s demographics (age, gender, marital status), disease status (primary tumor sites, presence of metastases), comorbidities assessed by using the Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) [28], functional status assessed using the Palliative Performance Scale Adult Suandok, which was translated into Thai from the Palliative Performance Scale (PPSv2) [29], types of palliative care service, concurrent cancer treatments, date of patient consultation with the palliative care service, and the date of death or the patient's last follow-up.

**Opioid dose**

The information about opioid use for symptom palliation (e.g., pain or dyspnea), both regular doses and the number of breakthrough doses per day from the initial consultation to the study endpoints, was collected by reviewing the patient’s medical records. The formulations and dosages of available opioids in Thailand are summarized in Appendix. The use of tramadol and methadone was excluded from the analysis due to their oral morphine equivalent not being reliably established [30]. The daily opioid dose for each type of opioid was then converted to an oral morphine equivalent (OME), following a standard ratio, as shown in Table 1 and 2.

The average daily opioid use of each patient during those specific periods was calculated by using the sum of the OME in that period divided by the number of days. For example, the average daily OME in the first week = Sum of OME in the first weeks divided by 7. Based on the previous data from our palliative program, which showed that most patients...
survived 2–3 months after the palliative consultation, we decided to calculate the average daily OME on a weekly basis for the first three months and then on a monthly basis until the study endpoints.

Statistical analysis
The opioid doses were categorized into two groups: 1) ≤ 30 mg/day and 2) > 30 mg/day, based upon the recommended total daily starting dose for opioids in palliative care [31, 32]. The opioid dosages varied according to time, depending on the symptom severity and clinical conditions of the patients. Therefore, they were considered in the model as a time-dependent variable. The survival probability among the two categories of opioid doses was estimated using Kaplan–Meier curves and they were compared using the Log-rank test. The univariate Cox proportional hazards regression model was applied to assess the association between risk of death and different opioid doses, as well as other possible prognostic factors (i.e., age, sex, marital status, types of cancer, services, and concurrent cancer treatments, metastases, and baseline PPS and CCI). The multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression was applied to determine the independent association between those variables and risk of death. Only the factors that had a P-value less than 0.15 from the univariate model were considered in the multivariate Cox proportional hazards model.

All of the analyses were performed using STATA version 14. A P-value less than 0.05 was considered to be a statistically-significant level.

Table 1 Equianalgesic dose (mg) of different types of opioids [47, 48]

| Types of Opioids (Route) | Oral       | Parenteral* |
|-------------------------|------------|-------------|
| Morphine sulfate        | 30 mg      | 10 mg (IV), 15 mg (SC) |
| Pethidine hydrochloride (IV) | –          | 100 mg (IV)  |
| Codeine phosphate tablet (Oral) | 200 mg    | –           |
| Fentanyl citrate (IV)   | –          | 0.1 mg      |
| Methadone and Tramadol  | Morphine dose equivalence not being reliably established |

*IV intravenous route, SC subcutaneous route

Results
Four hundred and six patients received palliative care from the Department of Family Medicine, Ramathibodi Hospital, between January 2013 and December 2015. Eighty-nine patients that had non-cancer diagnoses were excluded from the analysis. The age of the study population ranged from 19 to 95 years, with a median of 63 years. Forty-eight point nine percent were female and only 11% had no evidence of metastases at the time of the palliative consultation. The major types of malignancies were gastrointestinal cancer (40.1%), primary lung cancer (18%), and head and neck cancer (13.6%). The median PPS level was 40% (ranging from 10% to 90%) and the median CCI score was 3 (ranging from 0 to 39). The most prescribed opioids in our study were morphine in different formulations and fentanyl patch. The median (IQR) of the OME among our patients was 6.43 mg/day (0.53, 27.36). Two of our patients did not receive any type of opioid during the study period. Thirteen patients (4.1%) received oral methadone during the study period. Seventy-two patients (22.7%) received oral tramadol and forty-nine patients (15.5%) received intravenous tramadol. The median survival of the patients was 33 days (ranging from 1 to 995 days). The details of the characteristics of our study population are depicted in Table 3.

The univariate Cox regression analysis revealed that the patients with PPS levels of 40 to 60% and greater than 70% had a higher chance of survival than patients with a PPS level of 30% and below 30%, with a hazard ratio of 0.27 (95%CI 0.20–0.38) and 0.11 (95%CI 0.07–0.16) respectively. Moreover, a palliative care clinic visit (HR 0.32, 95%CI 0.24–0.43), a home visit (HR 0.75, 95%CI 0.57–0.99), chemotherapy (HR 0.32, 95%CI 0.22–0.46), and radiotherapy (HR 0.53, 95%CI 0.36–0.78) were identified as factors that increased the probability of survival. On the other hand, the patients that needed hospital admission had a higher probability of dying (HR 2.03, 95%CI 1.54–2.67).

We did not find any difference in the survival among the patients that received OME of ≤ 30 mg/day and more than 30 mg/day (HR 1.14, 95%CI 0.77–1.69). The median survival time for OME ≤ 30 and > 30 was 47 days and 31 days. The medians (IQR) of the OME in patients with OME ≤ 30 mg/day and > 30 mg/day were 3.08 (0.22, 9.37) and 80 (42.98, 148.74) respectively. The results of our analysis are shown in Table 4 and the survival curves of the two groups of patients receiving different opioid doses are shown in Fig. 1.

Previous preclinical studies have demonstrated that morphine may lead to cancer progression via many
mechanisms in some specific types of cancer [21, 23]. Moreover, evidence from clinical practice has revealed that individuals respond differently to opioids, and that general differences between classes of opioids do exist [33, 34]. Therefore, we decided to perform a post hoc subgroup analysis of the association between different morphine doses and the survival of palliative care patients that had received only morphine. We found that a higher dose of morphine (>30 mg/day) was strongly associated with higher mortality (HR 4.09, 95%CI 1.89–8.78) with the median survival time for OME ≤30 and >30 at 47 days and 31 days respectively. The multivariate Cox regression analysis, adjusted by PPS, concurrent chemotherapy and radiotherapy, palliative care clinic visit, hospital admission, and home visit, also revealed the same result (HR 4.13, 95%CI 1.83–9.31), as shown in Table 5.

Table 3 Characteristics of the patients (N = 317)

| Characteristics                          | Number (%)   |
|------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Age (median; range)                      | 63 years (19–95) |
| Gender                                   |              |
|   Female                                 | 155 (48.9)   |
| Marital status                           |              |
|   Married                                | 220 (69.4)   |
|   Widowed                                | 43 (13.6)    |
|   Single                                 | 31 (9.8)     |
|   Divorced                               | 23 (7.3)     |
| Types of malignancies                    |              |
|   Gastrointestinal cancer                | 127 (40.1)   |
|   Primary lung cancer                    | 57 (18)      |
|   Head and neck cancer                   | 43 (13.6)    |
|   Genitourinary cancer                   | 34 (10.7)    |
|   Breast cancer                          | 22 (6.9)     |
|   Others                                 | 34 (10.7)    |
| Metastases                               |              |
|   No evidence of metastases at initial consultation | 35 (11) |
| PPS level (%) a                          |              |
|   ≤30                                    | 130 (41)     |
|   40–60                                  | 102 (32.2)   |
|   ≥70                                    | 65 (20.5)    |
| Charlson comorbidity index               |              |
|   ≤3                                     | 196 (61.8)   |
|   >3                                     | 121 (38.2)   |
| Types of service                         |              |
|   Hospital admission                     | 191 (60.3)   |
|   Palliative care clinic visit           | 126 (39.7)   |
|   Home visit                             | 97 (30.6)    |
| Concurrent cancer treatments             |              |
|   Chemotherapy                           | 62 (19.6)    |
|   Radiotherapy                           | 49 (15.5)    |
|   Surgery                                | 13 (4.1)     |
| Types of opioids b                       |              |
|   Morphine sulfate (Oral)                | 235 (74.1)   |
|   Morphine sulfate (Intravenous)         | 155 (48.9)   |
|   Morphine sulfate (Subcutaneous)        | 5 (1.6)      |
|   Fentanyl TTS 12 mcg/h                  | 70 (22.1)    |
|   Fentanyl TTS 25 mcg/h                  | 78 (24.6)    |
|   Fentanyl TTS 50 mcg/h                  | 56 (17.7)    |
|   Fentanyl citrate (Intravenous)         | 22 (6.9)     |
|   Pethidine hydrochloride (Intravenous)  | 16 (5)       |
|   Codeine phosphate (Oral)               | 24 (7.6)     |
| Patient status at the last follow-up     |              |
|   Dead                                   | 228 (71.9)   |
|   Alive                                  | 89 (28.1)    |

aN = 297, missing values in 20 patients (6.3%)
bN = 315, each patient could receive more than one type of opioid and two patients did not receive any opioid

Discussion

Concerns that opioids may hasten death can be a cause of physicians’ reluctance to prescribe or increase the dosage of opioids in palliative care settings, and could contribute to insufficient symptom palliation in the latest stage of cancer. The present study looked at the survival of cancer patients that received palliative care in various settings, e.g., outpatient, inpatient, and home care. We did not find any association between different opioid doses and the survival of the cancer patients in our palliative care program. This finding agrees with clinical experience and the findings of previous studies of palliative care populations [11–14, 16, 17, 35]. On the other hand, when we performed the post hoc subgroup analysis to find out if there was any association between different morphine doses and the survival among patients that received morphine only, we surprisingly found that a higher dose of morphine was associated with shorter survival period. This finding is consistent with the results from previous studies that showed the effects of morphine on cancer progression/recurrence and survival with some specific types of cancers, although the effects of morphine on these outcomes are still poorly understood [21, 25, 36, 37]. It is also worth mentioning that these effects have been explored in preclinical models using morphine as the archetypical opioid [38]. Nevertheless, the number of patients with OME > 30 mg/day in this subgroup analysis was very low and all died. This could be one limitation of the analysis and we believe that further, well-designed study is required to clarify if there is an association between classes of opioids and the survival of cancer patients. Moreover, there may be other potential confounding factors, such as the patients’ final symptom levels, that were
not recorded in our study. Thus those patients needing a higher dose of morphine might have worse symptoms or at least need a higher dose to control them. A more advanced disease might mean increased levels of pain, necessitating higher doses of morphine. Therefore, it is too early to come to a conclusion concerning these effects of morphine in clinical practice.

The patients participating in our study had a median survival of 33 days, ranging from 1 to 995 days. This follow-up period was longer than that discussed in previous literature, where the follow-ups were generally short, over days or only a short number of weeks [39]. To the best of our knowledge, ours is the first study to analyze opioid use from the time of palliative consultation until the patient’s death by using the time-dependent covariate analysis in the Cox regression model, which represented the variation of actual opioid exposure better than measuring only the increased dose or the opioid dose used during the last days of life, as performed by other studies [14, 15, 18, 39, 40].

We also found that the higher Palliative Performance Scale, chemotherapy, and radiotherapy were among the factors strongly correlated with longer survival of the patients, which was consistent with previous reports [41–44]. Moreover, the patients that visited the palliative care clinic or had a home visit

| Table 4 Univariate Cox regression analysis of factors associated with survival (Continued) |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Oral morphine equivalent (mg/day) |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| ≤ 30 mg/day     | 170 (69.7)      | –               | –               | 0.52            |
| > 30 mg/day     | 58 (79.5)       | 1.14            | 0.77, 1.69      |

Fig. 1 Survival curves of the study population categorized by oral morphine equivalent
tended to survive longer, and the patients that needed hospital admission lived a shorter length of time in our study. This could be explained by the fact that only patients with good clinical or functional status survived long enough to receive those interventions, and those with complex conditions that needed hospital admission had a greater chance of dying earlier.

Limitations
There are some limitations of our study worth mentioning. Since our study was a retrospective cohort study, the sample was not randomly determined. Other variables or residual confounders could have affected the differences between groups. It is worth mentioning that the doses of methadone and tramadol were not included in the analysis. Although, few patients received those opioids, this might have affected the results of the study to some extent. Most of the patients in our study were exposed to relatively low doses of opioids and this may have led to a “floor effect” where we may not have seen the significant difference in the survival among patients with different opioid doses in our study. Additionally, a patient’s survival is influenced by many complex factors that may not be measurable. For example, depression was identified as a factor associated with mortality among advanced cancer patients in a longitudinal study [45]. The effect of opioids on survival may be from better pain relief, which may interact with the patient’s psychological distress or depression. Moreover, most of our cancer patients survived for a few months after the palliative consultation. Therefore, the chronic effect (months to years) of opioids on survival was not adequately illustrated in this study. Further, there are wide differences in published opioid equianalgesic ratios and critical individual factors, such as gender differences, organ dysfunction, bidirectional differences of equivalence with certain opioids, drug interactions, and interindividual differences in pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics, which may impact equianalgesic doses [46]. Therefore, the calculated equianalgesic dose to oral morphine in this study may represent only the estimated opioid exposure and not the real-life exposure for each individual patient.

Conclusion
Our study has demonstrated that the use of opioids of different doses in the palliative care population is not associated with shortened survival time. Based on our available data, we recommend that opioids be continued for pain control in patients with advanced cancer, as the ultimate goal of palliative care is to provide patients with the best quality of life during the trajectory of the illness. Nevertheless, future clinical research is required to clarify if there are any associations between different classes of opioids and the survival of cancer patients.

Appendix

Table 5 Cox regression analysis of the association between different morphine doses and the survival among palliative care patients that received morphine only (N = 118)

| Morphine doses | Number of deaths (%) | Hazard ratio (95%CI) | P-value | Adjusted Hazard ratio (95%CI) | P-value |
|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------|-----------------------------|---------|
| ≤ 30 mg/day    | 82 (72.57)           | –                    |         | –                           |         |
| > 30 mg/day    | 5 (100)              | 4.08 (1.89,8.78)     | <0.01   | 4.13 (1.83, 9.31)           | <0.01   |

N=118

Adjusted by PPS, chemotherapy, radiotherapy, palliative care clinic visit, hospital admission, and home visit

Table 6 Formulations and dosages of available opioids in Thailand [50]

| Formulations                                      | Available dosages |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Tramadol hydrochloride (injectable form)          | 50 mg/ml          |
| Tramadol hydrochloride (capsule)                  | 50 mg             |
| Tramadol hydrochloride (sustained release tablet) | 100 mg            |
| Morphine sulfate (injectable form)                | 10 mg/ml          |
| Morphine sulfate (immediate release oral solution) | 2 mg/ml           |
| Morphine sulfate (immediate release tablet)       | 10 mg             |
| MST Continus (sustained release morphine tablet)  | 10 mg, 30 mg, 60 mg|
| Kapanol (sustained release morphine capsule)      | 20 mg, 50 mg, 100 mg|
| Pethidine hydrochloride (injectable form)         | 50 mg/ml          |
| Fentanyl GPO TTSa                                   | 12 mcg/h, 25 mcg/h, 50 mcg/h |
| Fentanyl citrate (injectable form)                 | 0.1 mg/ml         |
| Codeine phosphate (table)                          | 15 mg, 30 mg      |
| Methadone hydrochloride (tablet)                   | 10 mg             |

aManufactured by Hexal AG, Germany
Abbreviations
IV: Intravenous route; OME: Oral morphine equivalent; SC: Subcutaneous route
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