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In Brief
The cyclic GMP-AMP synthase (cGAS) is a DNA sensor critical for the recognition of pathogen-derived DNA and induction of innate immune responses. Using discovery-based and targeted mass spectrometry, sequence and structural analyses, and genetic mutations, we characterize cGAS posttranslational modifications (PTMs). We analyze PTMs in different cell types under basal and immune-stimulated conditions, and identify acetylation sites that impact cGAS functions. This study will be relevant to studies of cGAS regulation during both pathogen infections and autoimmune diseases.

Highlights
- cGAS acetylations and phosphorylations under basal and immune-stimulated states.
- K384 and K414 acetylations and S305 phosphorylation inhibit cGAS-mediated apoptosis.
- Acetylation at K198 stimulates cGAS-dependent interferon signaling.
- K198 acetylation is decreased upon herpesvirus infection.
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The cyclic GMP-AMP synthase (cGAS) protein is a pattern-recognition receptor of the mammalian innate immune system that is recognized as a main cytosolic sensor of pathogenic or damaged DNA. cGAS DNA binding initiates catalytic production of the second messenger, cyclic GMP-AMP, which activates the STING-TBK1-IRF3 signaling axis to induce cytokine expression. Post-translational modification (PTM) has started to be recognized as a critical component of cGAS regulation, yet the extent of these modifications remains unclear. Here, we report the identification and functional analysis of cGAS phosphorylations and acetylations in several cell types under basal and immune-stimulated conditions. cGAS was enriched by immunoaffinity purification from human primary fibroblasts prior to and after infection with herpes simplex virus type 1 (HSV-1), as well as from immune-stimulated STING-HEK293T cells. Six phosphorylations and eight acetylations were detected, of which eight PTMs were not previously documented. PTMs were validated by parallel reaction monitoring (PRM) mass spectrometry in fibroblasts, HEK293T cells, and THP-1 macrophage-like cells. Primary sequence and structural analysis of cGAS highlighted a subset of PTM sites with elevated surface accessibility and high evolutionary sequence conservation. To assess the functional relevance of each PTM, we generated a series of single-point cGAS mutations. Stable cell lines were constructed to express cGAS with amino acid substitutions that prevented phosphorylation (Ser-to-Ala) and acetylation (Lys-to-Arg) or that mimicked the modification state (Ser-to-Asp and Lys-to-Gln). cGAS-dependent apoptotic and immune signaling activities were then assessed for each mutation. Our results show that acetyl-mimic mutations at Lys384 and Lys414 inhibit the ability of cGAS to induce apoptosis. In contrast, the Lys198 acetyl-mimic mutation increased cGAS-dependent interferon signaling when compared with the unmodified charge-mimic. Moreover, targeted PRM quantification showed that Lys198 acetylation is decreased upon infections with two herpesviruses—HSV-1 and human cytomegalovirus (HCMV), highlighting this residue as a regulatory point during virus infection.

The mammalian innate immune system relies on the function of pattern-recognition receptors (PRRs) that are able to recognize pathogen-associated and damage-associated molecular patterns in order to initiate innate immune signaling (1–3). DNA derived either from the genetic material of pathogens or from cellular DNA damage provides such a molecular pattern that can be sensed by PRRs. In recent years, cyclic GMP-AMP synthase (cGAS) has been characterized as a primary cytosolic DNA sensor during DNA transfection, bacterial infections, DNA virus or retrovirus infection, as well as self-DNA leakage (4–12). During DNA virus infection, cGAS was shown to sense viral DNA upon infection with herpesviruses, including herpes simplex virus 1 (HSV-1) (5, 6), human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) (7, 8), and Kaposi’s sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV) (9), as well as other viruses with DNA as genetic material or DNA intermediates during the replication cycle, for example, HIV-1 (10).

The downstream signaling pathway activated by cGAS DNA sensing has been well characterized (supplemental Fig. S1). Upon recognition of DNA, cGAS dimerizes and catalyzes cyclic GMP-AMP (cGAMP) synthesis using GTP and ATP as substrates. cGAMP functions as a second messenger, which binds to and activates STING, an adaptor protein on the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) (4, 13, 14). Activated STING next recruits and activates TANK binding kinase 1 (TBK1), which then phosphorylates IFN regulatory factor-3 (IRF3). The phosphorylated IRF3 dimerizes and translocates into the nucleus to function as a transcription factor and induce the expression of type I interferons and other inflammatory cytokines (15–17). STING also activates IKK, which phosphorylates IκB and thus releases the transcription factor NF-κB into the nucleus, inducing cytokine expressions (18, 19).

As this central cGAS-STING-TBK1-IRF3 immune signaling axis is now well-defined, recent investigation has focused on understanding the regulation of cGAS activity. Several key questions remain to be fully addressed. For example, what drives the recruitment of cGAS to viral DNA during infection? What immune evasion mechanisms have been acquired by viruses via inhibition of cGAS? How do mammalian cells keep...
cGAS inactive during cellular homeostasis? To this end, a range of protein interactions have been identified that either activate or inhibit cGAS. For example, cGAS associations with PQBP1 (20), IFI16 (21), TRIM14 (22), XRCC6, HEXIM1 (23), TRIM56 (24), ZCCHC3 (25), and NONO (26) were shown to promote immune responses. Other interactions were reported to inhibit cGAS function, including those with BECN1 (27), AKT1 (28), CCP5 and CCP6 (29), NCOA3 (30), caspase-1 (31), and OASL (32, 33). Interactions with viral proteins were also found to underlie the ability of certain viruses to suppress host immune signaling, such as KSHV ORF52 and LANA (9, 34), dengue virus NS2B (35), HSV-1 pUL37 and VP22 (36, 37), and HCMV pUL31 and pUL83 (38, 39).

Another facet of cGAS regulation that has started to emerge is through posttranslational modifications (PTMs). Several cGAS PTMs have been identified, including two phosphorylation sites, two glutamylation sites, one ubiquitination, two SUMOylation sites, and several acetylation sites (24, 28, 29, 40–42). Similar to its protein associations, cGAS PTMs were shown to have opposing effects on its activity.

Several different types of PTMs were shown to inhibit cGAS functions. For instance, phosphorylation of cGAS by Akt kinase at S305, which is located in the catalytic core of the NTase domain, was shown to suppress its enzymatic activity (28). cGAS was also found to be phosphorylated at Y215 by B-lymphoid tyrosine kinase, which blocks cGAS nuclear translocation upon DNA damage (40). Glutamylation of cGAS was shown to be inhibitory via two different regulatory sites. cGAS monoglutamylation at K302 by tubulin tyrosine ligase-like protein 4 (TTLL4) blocks its synthase activity, and polyglutamylation at E272 by TTLL6 weakens its DNA binding ability (29). Recently, several cGAS acetylation sites (K7, K50, K384, K392, K394, K414) were discovered using mass spectrometry (MS) (42). Among these residues, K384, K394, and K414 acetylations negatively affect cGAS functions in immune signaling. Interestingly, aspirin was shown to directly acetylate K384, K392, K394, K414 acetylations negatively affect cGAS functions in immune signaling. Additionally, acetylation of K198 was suggested to have opposing effects on its activity.

Several PTMs were also found to activate cGAS functions. cGAS monoubiquitination at K335 by the E3 ubiquitin ligase TRIM56 increased cGAS dimerization and DNA binding ability (24). Lastly, murine cGAS was discovered to be SUMOylated by TRIM38 at K217 and K464 (corresponding to K231 and K479 in human cGAS). These two SUMOylation sites inhibit the polyubiquitination of K271 and K464, thus inhibiting the degradation of cGAS. Although the murine cGAS SUMOylation at K217 was identified in unstimulated cells, K464 SUMOylation was found during HSV-1 infection, and deSUMOylation at this site by Senp2 late in infection caused cGAS degradation (41).

Similarly, several cGAS acetylation sites (K7, K50, K384, K392, K394, K414) were discovered using mass spectrometry (MS) (42). Among these residues, K384, K394, and K414 acetylations negatively affect cGAS functions in immune signaling. Additionally, acetylation of K198 was suggested to have opposing effects on its activity.
hcGAS sequences were subcloned into LentIORF pLVX-TetOne-Puro vector, which was purchased from Open Biosystems, pcDNA3.1-EGFP-hcGAS plasmids with point mutations were generated by site-directed mutagenesis.

Construction of HEK293T-STING was described in (43). To construct EGFP, EGFP-hcGAS and hcGAS-EGFP HFFs, the WT HFFs were transduced with lentivirus generated from pLVX-TetOne-Puro-EGFP, pLVX-TetOne-Puro-EGFP-hcGAS or plasmid for 3 days and selected in 2 μg/ml puromycin for a week. To construct HEK293T- STING-EGFP and HEK293T-STING-hcGAS cells, the HEK293T- STING cells were transduced with respective lentiviruses, and the cells were selected through fluorescence-activated flow cytometry (S3 Cell Sorter, BioRad, Hercules, CA). The expression of EGFP and EGFP-hcGAS was induced by doxycycline (1 μg/ml for EGFP, 2 μg/ml for EGFP-hcGAS).

Mutant EGFP-cGAS HFF cell lines were constructed by transducing pLVX-TetOne-Puro-EGFP-cGASmutant plasmid into cGAS KO HFFs. The CRIPSR knockout was done by transfection of Cas9 and gRNA, using LipofectamineTM CRISPRMAXTM Cas9 Transfection Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Tissue Culture and Viruses—Primary human foreskin fibroblasts (HFFs) and HEK293T cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium with 10% fetal bovine serum, penicillin (100 U/ml) and streptomycin (100 mg/ml) and maintained at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ environment. The HFFs were provided generously by Dr. Hillary Coller of University of California Los Angeles, USA. THP-1 cells (ATCC, Manassas, VA) were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium with 10% fetal bovine serum, penicillin (100 U/ml) and streptomycin (100 mg/ml) in cell culture flasks. THP-1 cells were differentiated in culture media containing 200 mM MES, 30 mM NaCl, pH 7.4, 1% (v/v) Triton X-100, 0.11 mM KOAc, 1% (v/v) Tween-20, 0.5% (v/v) NP-40, 1/100 protease inhibitor mixture (PIC, Sigma), 1/100 phosphatase inhibitor mixture (PhIC, Sigma), and 100 U/ml benzonase for 30 min on ice, with vortexing every 5 min. The lysate was subjected to polytron homogenization and centrifuged at 8000 g for 10 min to pellet insoluble material. The supernatant was recovered and processed by immunoaffinity purification. Antibody-conjugated magnetic beads were prepared as previously described (43). Immunoaffinity purification was performed using 1 mg of Dynabeads Magnetic Beads (Thermo Fisher Scientific) conjugated with either α-EGFP or α-cGAS antibody (5 μg antibody/mg magnetic beads). Conjugated beads were incubated with supernatants for 1 h at 4°C. Beads were washed six times with lysis buffer and once with PBS, then incubated with elution buffer (1 x Laemml sample buffer) for 10 min at 70°C.

SOS-PAGE and Western Blotting—Cells were lysed in 1 x Laemml sample buffer (62.5 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 2% SDS (w/v), 10% glycerol (v/v), 0.02% bromphenol blue (w/v), 100 mM DTT) and boiled for 95°C for 10 min. Samples were resolved by SDS-PAGE using Tris-Glycine gels (10%). The proteins were transferred to PVDF membranes by wet transfer method, blocked with 5% (w/v) milk in PBS, and incubated with primary and secondary antibodies. Protein bands were detected and quantified by immunofluorescence using a Li-COR Odyssey imaging system (LI-COR Biotechnology).

Experimental Design and Statistical Rationale—Data-dependent LC-MS/MS experiments were performed in 4 independent biological replicates per condition (see Fig. 1D). This was selected as appropriate for building spectral libraries of cGAS PTMs while accounting for experimental variation and stochastic nature of MS/MS sampling. Parallel reaction monitoring experiments were performed to target PTM candidates for validation in 3 independent biological replicates of HEK293T and human fibroblasts (HFF) and 2 biological replicates per condition (see Fig. 1D).

In-cell Digestion and Nanoliquid Chromatography-tandem Mass Spectrometry Analysis—The IP elution samples were processed by SDS-PAGE and in-cell digestion, as previously described (43). Briefly, proteins were reduced by 25 μM tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine and alkylated by 50 μM chloroacetamide, and then separated on a NuPAGE 4–12% Bis-Tris gel (Life Technologies). Protein bands were visualized by Coomassie blue. The region of the gel corresponding to either GFP-cGAS or untagged cGAS was excised and the in-gel digestion was performed using trypsin (Promega, Madison, WI). Peptides were desalted using SDB-RPS stage tips (44), concentrated to near dryness by vacuum centrifugation, and resuspended in 1% formic acid (4 μl).

Desalted peptides (2 μl) were analyzed by data-dependent LC-MS/MS using a Top 15 acquisition strategy on an LTQ Orbitrap Velos-ETD (Velos) (43, 45, 46) or Q Exactive HF Hybrid Quadrupole-Orbitrap (QE) mass spectrometer (47, 48) equipped with an EASY-Spray or Thermoflex ion source, respectively, and interfaced with Dionex Ultimate 3000 nanoRSLC HPLCs (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Briefly, peptides were separated by direct injection over an EASY-Spray PepMap C18 column (2 μm; 25 cm × 75 μm, Velos) and self-packed Reprosil C18-AQ column (1.9 μm; 20 cm × 75 μm, QE)
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for 90 min (Velos) or 60 min (QE) at 250 nl min⁻¹ with a reverse phase linear ACN gradient from 4 to 40% (Velos) or 5 to 32% (QE) solvent B (B: 97% ACN/0.1% formic acid/2.9% water, A: 0.1% formic/99.9% water). One cycle of data-dependent acquisition consisted of a precursor scan in the orbitrap at resolution of 30,000 (Velos) or 120,000 (QE), followed by MS/MS on the top 15 precursors using collision-induced dissociation (CID, Velos) or higher-energy collisional dissociation (HCD, QE). Fragment ions were detected in the ion trap (Velos) or orbitrap (QE). See supplemental Fig. S2 for detailed instrument-specific parameters.

Data Processing and PTM Identification—Raw instrument files were processed through either Proteome Discoverer 1.4 (Thermo Scientific)/Scaffold 4.6.2 (Proteome Software, Portland, OR), or Proteome Discoverer 2.2 (Thermo Fisher Scientific), using default parameters with modifications, as noted. The processing of raw data files consisted of (1) extraction of MS/MS spectra and assignment of the monoisotopic precursor peak, (2) Peptide Spectrum Match (PSM) assignment using SEQUEST HT (v1.3 or 1.17) against a database of human and herpesvirus protein sequences appended with common contaminants (UniProt Reference Proteome, 2015–09; 70,155 sequences or UniProt Swiss-Prot, 2016–04; 22, 213 sequences), (3) validation of primary search scores using Scaffold or Percolator (v3.0), and (4) scoring of phosphosites by phosphoRS (v3.1) or ptmRS (v2.2) (49). For ion trap-CID spectra, SEQUEST was configured as follows: precursor and fragment tolerances, 10 ppm and 0.5 Da; full trypsin specificity with maximum of 2 missed cleavages; static modification of Cys carbamidomethyl; variable modification of STY phosphorylation. For matching of HCD spectra (Q Exactive HF), SEQUEST was configured as follows: precursor and fragment tolerances, 4 ppm and 0.02 Da; full trypsin specificity with maximum of 3 missed cleavages; static modification of carbamidomethyl (C); variable modifications of deamidation (N), acetylation (K), phosphorylation (STY), and protein terminus MetLoss + Acetyl. Scaffold and X! Tandem (50) were used for post-processing of SEQUEST PSMs from Proteome Discoverer 1.4. X! Tandem re-search was performed in Scaffold with the subset database enabled. SEQUEST and X! Tandem PSMs were combined and assigned peptide probabilities using the Scaffold LFDR algorithm (51). Percolator (52) was used with default settings for post-processing of SEQUEST PSMs from Proteome Discoverer 2.2. For all workflows, PSM were filtered to a peptide false discovery rate of 1% using q-values that were calculated based on PSM score distributions for reverse database searches. Manual inspection of MS/MS spectra assigned to post-translationally modified cGAS peptides was performed using Scaffold or consensus workflows (PD 2.2). Only PSM sites with site localizations scores > 0.75, corresponding to an estimated false localization rate of < 1% (49), were considered. Overall cGAS sequence coverage was obtained by combining data-dependent experiments into a Skyline spectral library, then plotted using ProTrer (http://wlab.ethz.ch/protrer/) (53).

Development and Analytical Validation Targeted MS Assays/Measurements—PRM methods were developed according to Tier 3 level analyses. PRM method design and data analysis was performed using Skyline (54) (ver. 19.1). Initial PRM methods were created with wide retention time scheduling windows (15 min) containing 14 PTM peptides and two unmodified peptides selected from spectral libraries assembled from data-dependent search results. Using these initial PRM methods, cGAS peptides from pooled samples (2 µl) were analyzed by LC-MS/MS on a Dionex Ultimate 3000 nanoRSRLC HPLC connected online to a Q Exactive HF Hybrid Quadrupole-Orbitrap (QE) mass spectrometer equipped with an EASY-Spray ion source (Thermo Scientific). Peptides were separated by direct injection over an EASY-Spray PepMap C18 column (2 µm; 25 cm × 75 µm, Velos) for 60 min at 250 nl min⁻¹ with a reverse phase linear ACN gradient from 3 to 30% solvent B (B: 97% ACN/0.1% formic acid/2.9% water, A: 0.1% formic/99.9% water). The mass spectrometer was configured for parallel reaction monitoring (PRM) acquisition (see supplemental Fig. S2). The detection of modified peptides was manually evaluated. Refined scheduled PRM methods were generated without MS1 precursors scans, and with larger maximum injection times and narrower retention time windows, allowing for a reduction in the number of concurrent precursors to ≤ 3. Refined PRM methods were applied to biological replicates (n = 3) of uninfected HEK293T, HFF, and THP-1 cells. Validation of PTMs in Skyline was performed by manual verification of peak picking. A candidate PTM was considered validated if it was detected ≥ 2 independent samples with ≥ 4 co-eluting fragment ions and received a dotp score > 0.70 (see supplemental Fig. S3 for targeted peptides and their fragment ions). For targeted quantification of acetyl-lysine 198 in uninfected and herpesvirus-infected HFF cells, co-elution of fragment ion chromatogram, absence of interferences, and integration boundaries were manually validated. The top three peak areas for the validated fragment ion chromatograms were summed, normalized by total cGAS levels using the unmodified peptide IQLEEYSNTR, and expressed relative to the average of the uninfected samples (mean ± S.E., n = 3).

RESULTS
cGAS Contains Multiple Acetylation and Phosphorylation Sites—PTMs are dynamically regulated by different external stimuli, and this regulation often occurs in a cell type dependent manner. To improve the likelihood of identifying cGAS PTM sites that have potential biological relevance, our initial analysis employed non-targeted, data-dependent mass spectrometry performed in two cell types that express GFP-tagged cGAS and under conditions that stimulate cGAS activity. First, to verify that the N-terminal tagged cGAS retains its immune stimulatory function, we co-transfected GFP-cGAS and STING into HEK293T cells. These cells do not endogenously express cGAS or STING and were previously shown to provide a platform for reconstituting and testing the cGAS-signaling pathway (5, 55). We found that GFP-cGAS stimulated anti-viral cytokine induction, demonstrated by measuring interferon-β (ifn-β) and interferon stimulated gene 54 (isg54), and by blotting against phosphorylated IRF3 (Fig. 1A–1C). Next, we generated doxycycline-inducible cell systems expressing GFP-cGAS using lentiviral transduction in human primary fibroblasts (HFFs), as well as in STING-expressing HEK293T cells. In agreement with previous reports (21, 40, 56, 57), GFP-cGAS localized to both the cytoplasm and the nucleus, with a more prominent cytoplasmic localization (Fig. 1D).

As PTMs are often present at sub-stoichiometric ratios, the assembly of proteome-scale PTM databases has benefited from the use of affinity enrichment approaches paired with tandem MS analysis (58, 59). These approaches have supported clinical investigation of PTMs, such as phosphorylations involved in immune responses (60), and more generally, PTMs associated with disease phenotypes (61). In the current study, we leveraged the concept of enrichment by isolating the cGAS protein from cells using immunoaffinity purification coupled to mass spectrometry (IP-MS) (Fig. 1C), which can
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offer PTM enrichment in a modification-independent fashion (as in (46, 62, 63)). IP-MS analysis of GFP-cGAS was performed from fibroblasts that were either uninfected (mock) or HSV-1 infected at 6 h post infection (hpi), a time point at which cGAS-mediated cytokine induction has been reported (5). Additionally, GFP-cGAS IP-MS analyses were performed from HEK293T cells 6 h post transfection (hpt) with VacV70mer DNA, which has been shown to induce cytokine induction through the cGAS-STING pathway (64). Analysis of the immunoisolated GFP-cGAS using nLC-MS/MS (on an LTQ Orbitrap Velos or Q Exactive HF mass spectrometer) afforded an overall sequence coverage of 82% (Fig. 1F and supplemental Fig. S4) and led to the identification of 14 cGAS PTMs (PTM site localization scores > 0.99), which included six phosphorylation and eight acetylation sites (Fig. 1F and 1G, supplemental Fig. S5). Notably, pS305 was identified, which was previously reported to be phosphorylated by Akt kinase, dampening cGAS enzymatic activity (28). Among previously reported acetylation sites, acetylation of K50 and K384 were present in the current analysis. To our knowledge, the remaining 11 modified sites have not been previously identified or characterized.

Several Conserved Modified Sites Show Proximity to DNA and/or Have High Solvent Accessibility—To gain insight into which modifications may contribute to cGAS functions, the locations of the modified residues within the human and mouse cGAS crystal structures (65, 66) were evaluated, as well as their sequence conservation among various species. As previously reported, in the human cGAS structure, S305 is in the catalytic core and its phosphorylation inhibits cGAMP synthesis (28). K384 is in close proximity to the bound DNA, and its positive charge has been shown to contribute via hydrogen bonding to the DNA binding ability of cGAS. Hence, K384 acetylation would partially neutralize this charge, consistent with the finding that this modification inhibits DNA binding (42). In addition to these two previously characterized sites, our investigation showed that S221 is located within the NTase core, whereas K198 and K355 are close to the bound DNA (Fig. 2A and supplemental Fig. S6).

Using the human protein structure, the accessible surface areas (ASA) for the identified PTM sites were determined using the online tool PDBePISA (67). ASA values could be calculated for nine of the fourteen PTM sites. Overall, the average ASA for these PTM sites was greater than the average of all cGAS residues (Fig. 3B). Several modified residues, such as at K198 and K432, had relatively high ASA values, whereas S305, which is buried in the catalytic core, had a low ASA value. Although the functional relevance of PTM sites is not exclusively linked to their surface accessibility, this analysis suggests that PTM sites with higher ASA values may be positioned to participate in cGAS protein interaction interfaces.

Investigation of the phylogenetic sequence conservation of candidate cGAS PTM sites showed that, in addition to the known S305 and K384 sites, S221 and K285 are also well conserved among different species (Fig. 3). Additionally, the K198 site shows an intriguing pattern, i.e. being switched between K and Q amino acids among mammalian species, which suggests that acetylation at this residue position may have an evolutionary importance. Given the potential functional implications of our candidate PTMs within the sequence and structural features of cGAS, we wanted to further validate the presence of these candidate PTMs and analyze their functional relevance.

Validation of PTMs on GFP-cGAS and Endogenous cGAS—Although discovery proteomics approaches allowed for greater breadth of PTM detection, not all cGAS PTM sites were detected across all samples and replicates. Historically, the issue of stochastic sampling by unbiased approaches can be remedied by high resolution, data-independent and targeted mass spectrometry approaches that validate and quantify phosphorylation and acetylation with improved sensitivity and reproducibility (68–70). Here, to determine the PTMs that are reproducibly present, we performed targeted mass spectrometry of cGAS PTMs using parallel reaction monitoring (PRM) on a Q Exactive HF (Fig. 4A). To build the targeted PRM method, spectral libraries were assembled from data-dependent analyses. The best responding tryptic peptides (by MS1 intensity and fragmentation pattern) for the 14 cGAS PTM sites were selected, along with two unmodified cGAS peptides as positive controls (supplemental Fig. S3). PRM experiments were first performed in HEK293T and HFF cells that expressed GFP-cGAS to confirm the presence and site localization of the modifications following cGAS immunoisolation. cGAS PTMs were considered validated if they were detected in at least two biological replicates, and with ≥ 4
co-eluting fragment extracted ion chromatograms that matched the library spectrum (dotp score ≥ 0.7). Overall, 11 PTM sites, corresponding to five phosphorylation and six acetylation sites, were confirmed in HEK293T cells (Fig. 4B). Of note, the general trend of lower dotp scores for phosphopeptides was likely because of the differences in fragment ion intensities between the PRM-acquired MS/MS spectra (HCD) versus the MS/MS spectra in the library (ion trap CID). In primary fibroblasts (HFFs), seven PTM sites were validated—three phosphorylations and four acetylations (Fig. 4C). The ability to validate more modifications in 293T cells compared with HFFs is likely in part because of the higher GFP-cGAS expression level. Interestingly, despite the possibility for positional isomers for several of the phosphopeptides, the PRM analysis did not detect site determining fragment ions to support these alternative modification sites. This observation is consistent with the high PTM site localization scores for cGAS modified peptides (Fig. 1G).

We next aimed to validate cGAS PTMs on endogenous cGAS. We focused on the immune-relevant THP-1 monocytes differentiated into macrophages-like cells, in which cGAS has been shown to be expressed and active (71). The isolation of endogenous cGAS proved challenging, and several commercially available anti-cGAS antibodies were tested. Although the isolation of endogenous cGAS was less effective than from the GFP-tagged cells, our analysis confirmed four modified sites—phosphorylation of S116 and S305 and acetylation of K198 and K355 (Fig. 4D).

Although it is difficult to compare across cell types because of different cGAS levels and capture antibodies, the most consistently detected phosphorylations across all cell types were S305 and S116, whereas K198 was the most reproducible acetylation site. Two of these consistent modifications, pS116 and Kac198 (Fig. 4E and supplemental Fig. S7), have not been previously documented. Broadly, our results show that cGAS, either endogenously or upon expression, can be modified on at least 11 residues. Having confirmed these cGAS PTMs, we next investigated their functional relevance.

Phosphorylation of S305 and Acetylation of K384 and K414 Inhibit cGAS-dependent Apoptosis—Upon binding to dsDNA, cGAS has previously been shown to induce downstream immune signaling and apoptosis (4, 5, 43). To characterize the potential role of cGAS PTMs in these downstream signals, we generated a set of GFP–cGAS PTM mutants for each of the modified residues (Fig. 5A). Specifically, for each site that we identified as phosphorylated, we generated S-to-A and S-to-P mutants.
to-D cGAS mutants to represent the unphosphorylated and phosphorylated state, respectively. Additionally, for each acetylated residue identified, we constructed K-to-Q and K-to-R mutants to mimic the acetylated and the unmodified positively charged state, respectively.

The impact of these substitutions on the ability of cGAS to induce apoptosis was assessed by measurement of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase 1 (PARP1) cleavage in STING-expressing HEK293T cells co-transfected with mutant or WT GFP-cGAS, or GFP control. As HEK293T cells do not express endogenous cGAS, these cells allowed the assessment of the specific impact of the mutations on cGAS functions. The expression of GFP-cGAS and associated PTM mutants did not cause any overt changes in cell and nuclear morphology (supplemental Fig. S8), whereas the levels of cGAS constructs were similar (Fig. 5B–5C). From the perspective of apoptosis induction, PARP1 cleavage in the S305D mutant was lower compared with WT, indicating that the S305 phosphorylation can have an inhibitory effect on cGAS-mediated apoptosis. Among the acetylation sites that we detected, the K384Q mutant led to inhibited PARP cleavage. In a prior study, K384, K394, and K414 acetylations inhibited cGAS-dependent cytokine production (42). Given this shared functionality, we predicted that the K394Q and K414Q mutants might inhibit cGAS-dependent apoptosis. Indeed, we found that the K414Q and K414R mutants resulted in inhibition of PARP cleavage, whereas the K394Q mutant did not impact this function. Our observation that both (Q and R) mutants of K414 resulted in the same effect on apoptosis may not be surprising as these mutants have also shown a concordance in their inhibition of cGAS-dependent cytokine production (42). Overall, the present experiments provide the first evidence that cGAS post-translational modification may participate in cGAS-mediated apoptosis. Therefore, these PTM sites could be of relevance for understanding the molecular mechanisms of virus-host defense.

K198 Acetylation Results in Increased cGAS-mediated Cytokine Induction—We next investigated the impact of cGAS mutations on its ability to induce cytokine expression, by measuring the mRNA levels of IFN-β and ISG54 in STING-expressing HEK293T cells co-transfected with mutant or WT GFP-cGAS, or GFP control. As HEK293T cells do not express endogenous cGAS, these cells allowed the assessment of the specific impact of the mutations on cGAS functions. The expression of GFP-cGAS and associated PTM mutants did not cause any overt changes in cell and nuclear morphology (supplemental Fig. S8), whereas the levels of cGAS constructs were similar (Fig. 5B–5C). From the perspective of apoptosis induction, PARP1 cleavage in the S305D mutant was lower compared with WT, indicating that the S305 phosphorylation can have an inhibitory effect on cGAS-mediated apoptosis. Among the acetylation sites that we detected, the K384Q/R mutants were used as positive controls, given their described roles in cGAS immune signaling (28, 42). As expected, we observed that the S305D and K384Q mutants, which mimicked the phosphorylated and acetylated residues, inhibited the induction of antiviral cytokines. Mutation of the other identified phosphorylation sites did not show significant changes in cytokine induction. However, the K198Q mutation resulted in increased cytokine expression when compared with the K198R mutation (Fig. 6). This result suggested that K198 acetylation may enhance cGAS function, leading to higher expression levels of anti-viral cytokines.

![Evolutionary conservation analysis for cGAS modification sites.](image)
K198 Acetylation Modulates cGAS Signaling and is Decreased During Infection With Both HSV-1 and HCMV—cGAS has been shown to promote immune signaling following infection with either HSV-1 or HCMV (4, 5, 7). Given our finding that K198 mutants differentially impacted cytokine expression, we aimed to further characterize this site in the context of viral infection. We first generated primary human fibroblasts (HFF) that lack cGAS through CRISPR-mediated knockout (Fig. 7A). Then, in this background, we generated cells stably expressing K198Q and K198R GFP-cGAS. This allowed us to study cGAS-dependent signaling upon HSV-1 infection, using the levels of phosphorylated IRF3 as a proxy for immune signaling. We employed K384Q, K414Q, and K414R mutants, which were previously reported to modulate cGAS function (42), as controls (Fig. 7B). The expression of the K198Q mutant led to higher phosphorylated IRF3 levels than the K198R mutant (Fig. 7B), which was consistent with our results on IFN-β expression (Fig. 6). Together, these data suggest a role for K198 acetylation in cGAS-dependent immune signaling.

PTM-dependent regulation of protein activity and cell signaling often involves changes in modification stoichiometry. Therefore, we investigated whether the level of K198 acetylation is regulated during viral infection. We performed relative quantification of K198 acetylation in GFP-cGAS-expressing uninfected fibroblasts and cells infected with either HSV-1 or HCMV at 6 hpi using targeted MS/MS (see Fig. 4). Following GFP-cGAS IP, the Kac198 peptide was measured by PRM, normalized by total cGAS levels, and then expressed relative to the average of the uninfected samples (see Experimental Procedures). K198 acetylation levels showed significant decreases following both HSV-1 and HCMV infections (Fig. 7C). This finding is intriguing given the surface accessibility of this site (Fig. 2) and its functional relevance in cGAS immune signaling (Fig. 6 and 7), highlighting K198 as a

Fig. 4. Validation of cGAS PTMs by targeted mass spectrometry analysis. A, Workflow of parallel reaction monitoring (PRM) analysis of cGAS modifications. A spectral library was designed for modified and unmodified cGAS peptides. B–D, Representative extracted fragment ion chromatograms (n ≥ 4 co-eluting fragments) are shown for cGAS modified tryptic peptides that were validated in ≥ 2 biological replicates in at least one cell type for (B) HEK293T, (C) HFF, and (D) THP1 cells. The peak correlation to the library spectra (dotp) is indicated in parentheses. E, Representative MS/MS spectra from the library that support cGAS acetylation at Lys198 (HCD) and phosphorylation at Ser116 (CID). For simplicity, only selected y and b ions (including neutral losses, *) are labeled in the spectra. Manual verification of fragment ion assignments for y and b ions are indicated along the peptide sequence. The measured precursor m/z, mass error (Δm), and Percolator match probability (PEP) are indicated.
DISCUSSION

cGAS has been characterized as a pivotal cytoplasmic DNA sensor against pathogen infections and self-DNA leakage (4–12). In addition, cGAS is also reported to play crucial roles in senescence, autophagy (72), and cancer (73). For example, cGAS links DNA damage and cellular senescence and is essential for senescence phenotypes (56). However, in cancer biology, cGAS is a double-edged sword, as it can contribute to either anti-tumor immunity or inflammation-driven carcinogenesis and metastasis (74). Overall, given the exquisite control needed to engage in physiological immune signaling, the underlying molecular mechanisms that control cGAS-dependent signaling are of broad interest. These explorations provide mechanistic explanations for how IFNs and danger signals are dynamically tuned by the cell to both regulate homeostasis and clear pathogen infections.

Profiling cGAS Post-translational Modifications—Toward this goal, we wanted to broaden the scope of knowledge for the regulation of cGAS-dependent activities by post-translational modification. To enable sensitive PTM detection that was not biased to a modification, we employed immunoaffinity purification of cGAS and “bottom-up” DDA sequencing using CID and HCD fragmentation techniques. When targeting multiple modifications on a single protein target, intact protein-based immunoaffinity enrichment techniques are more efficient and often find PTMs not identified by proteome scale affinity enrichment approaches. This is arguably best exemplified by the mass spectrometric characterization of histones (75) but has also been observed for other proteins (63, 70). In this study of cGAS PTMs, we initially detected 14 PTMs on cGAS. These PTMs did not include several sites characterized by prior studies, such as pY215, Kac394, and Kac414 (40, 42). This could be because of biological and technical differences, including cell type specificity, epitope tagging, and sample preparation.

Of the 14 putative PTMs detected in this study, we reproducibly validated 11 sites by parallel reaction monitoring. Interestingly, the breakdown of sites by modification type was roughly equivalent, five phosphorylation and six acetylation sites. Given the majority of serine and threonine phosphorylations typically have greater stoichiometry (1–30%) compared with lysine acetylation (≤1%) (76–78), the nearly equivalent detection is intriguing. The three PTM sites that were detected by the DDA analyses, but not validated by PRM may be because of their low abundance, either in absolute terms or in unstimulated cells. Although we did not detect other “common” lysine modifications, such as lysine succinylation, malonylation, or lysine/arginine mono-, di-, or tri-methylation, the global protein PTM landscape is vast and it is likely that cGAS is modified at residues our DDA analyses did not detect. For example, the GFP tag may have induced cGAS conformational changes that altered its modification status.
compared with the untagged protein. Our data from endogenous cGAS isolated from THP-1 argues against this possibility, but the differences in cGAS abundance between the cell lines prevent a direct comparison. Overall, our results show that cGAS is highly decorated by PTMs, identifying eight previously unreported modification sites, while also providing the basis for future experiments that target additional PTMs.

Interferon-independent Roles for cGAS PTMs—The STING-IRF3 signaling axis has been shown to activate the mitochondrial apoptotic pathway independent of transcription induction, i.e. interferon-β production, during RNA virus (79) and human T cell leukemia virus 1 infection (80), and ER stress (81). cGAS has also been shown to induce apoptosis by a non-transcriptional mechanism during HSV-1 infection (32) or mitotic cell death (82). Our study provides a previously unrecognized aspect of cGAS regulation, showing that cGAS PTMs can regulate the induction of apoptosis. Our findings suggest that several PTMs modulate cGAS-mediated apoptosis and cytokine expression either differentially (e.g. Kac198, Kac394) or concordantly (e.g. pS305, Kac384, Kac414). By expressing cGAS PTM blocking and mimicking mutants for 16 unique PTM sites (identified from this study and previous work (28, 42), we determined that pS305, K384, and K414, are likely modulatory PTMs during cGAS-dependent apoptosis induction. For many viruses, induction of apoptosis is often delayed until the virus can sufficiently replicate and release progeny virus, which facilitates the propagation of infection (83). Based on our data, it is tempting to speculate that DNA viruses have evolved mechanisms to delay apoptosis in part by modulating the modification state of cGAS at S305, K384, and K414.

The Structure-Function Relationship of cGAS K198 Acetylation—Our site-directed mutational analysis of K198 to mimic the acetylated (Q) or non-acetylated (R) lysine resulted in

---

**Fig. 7.** cGAS PTM mutants differentially impact immune signaling during herpesvirus infection. A, Workflow for generating HFF lines expressing cGAS mutants in CRISPR-mediated cGAS knockout background. Western blotting shows knockout efficiency of cGAS compared with controls (untreated and Cas9 + Ctrl gRNA). *, nonspecific band. B, The effect of cGAS mutations on the levels of phosphorylated IRF3 (pIRF3) in the absence (-) and presence (+) of HSV-1 infection at 6 h post infection (hpi). Relative quantification of pIRF3 was calculated by densitometry as the ratio of pIRF3 to mutant GFP-cGAS during HSV-1 infection. C, Representative raw extracted fragment ion chromatograms acquired by PRM analysis of the acetylated-Lys198 peptide from uninfected, HSV-1, and HCMV infected HFF cells at 6 hpi. The summed peak areas of the top three fragment ions (y4 - y6) were used to calculate virus-induced changes in Lys198 acetylation. Acetylation levels were normalized by total cGAS abundance and expressed relative to uninfected controls. Unpaired t test, *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01 (mean ± S.E., n = 3).
opposing magnitudes of \( \text{ifn-} \beta \) mRNA induction (see Fig. 6). Our observation that the WT condition produces an intermediate \( \text{ifn-} \beta \) response, compared with the K to Q and K to R mutants, is consistent with the mixture of unmodified and acetylated K198 we find in unstimulated cells. These results are highly suggestive that K198 acetylation could modulate signaling during immune activation. Indeed, when cells were challenged with HSV-1 infection, the K198 site mutants again showed opposing responses, specifically in IRF-3 phosphorylation, a downstream effector of cGAS signaling. Finally, both HSV-1 and HCMV infection alone downregulate K198 acetylation. Taking these results together, it is possible that the stoichiometry of Kac198 can calibrate the magnitude of cGAS activation to increase downstream interferon signaling. Interestingly, K198Q does not appear to impact cGAS-dependent apoptosis, and thus it is possible that K198 acetylation provides a mechanism for which cGAS-dependent cytokine induction is distinct from cGAS-dependent apoptosis induction. Given these findings, it is tempting to speculate that K198 acetylation would promote antiviral cytokine expression in the context of viral infection, thereby limiting efficient viral replication and spread. The observed infection-induced decreases in cGAS K198 acetylation levels may reflect a viral innate immune evasion strategy. For example, if acetylation levels are different between infection with WT virus versus a mutant virus strain that lacks the ability to antagonize cGAS and suppress cytokine induction \( \text(e.g. HSV-1 \text{UL37C819S (36) or HCMV v65Stop (39)), then the innate viral mechanisms that modulate cGAS acetylation levels would warrant future investigation. Yet, at present, the molecular mechanism(s) by which K198 acetylation increases cGAS function are unclear. From a structural perspective, previous research of the cGAS-DNA binding structures (65) suggested that certain lysine acetylations would inhibit cGAS binding with negatively charged DNA through neutralizing the positively charged lysine and thus would inhibit cGAS signaling. Indeed, the previously identified acetylation at K384 is in close apposition to bound DNA and was found to impact its DNA binding ability and inhibit cGAS function (42, 65). Although K198 is located close to the bound DNA on the cGAS structure, based on our hypothesis that increased K198 acetylation potentiates signaling, it is unlikely that acetylation of this residue impacts cGAS DNA binding. It is possible that K198 acetylation affects cGAS function by inducing conformational change. This residue is localized at the C terminus of an alpha-helix and may alter the orientations of the residues nearby. It is also possible that K198 acetylation, owing to its relatively high surface accessibility, may influence cGAS protein-protein interactions that are modulators of its enzymatic activity.

Taking a broader perspective on the function of K198 acetylation, we observed from the sequence conservation analysis of cGAS PTM residues that the K198 position was exclusively K or Q among all mammals and fish. The Q alteration at the 198 position is intriguing as a biochemical mimic of the charge neutralization effect imparted by acetylation on the \( \varepsilon \)-amino group of lysine. Therefore, the prevalence of Q at this position might indicate a selective benefit to fitness, perhaps by offering a greater cGAS signaling threshold. One could also argue that the presence of K confers a selective advantage, which would be through a different mechanism and thus would not exclude the Q advantage. Specifically, K may provide state-dependent acetylation regulation. It is notable that K is exclusively conserved among higher primates. Given our results with herpesviruses, it is tempting to speculate that the co-evolution of hosts and viruses has played an important role in driving the development of new mechanisms for both innate immune stimulation and evasion by pathogens. Higher primates may take advantage of the K residue to restrict cGAS function under quiescence, whereas viruses that infect these species may have acquired the ability to decrease the acetylation level, thus dampening cGAS antiviral functions.

Overall, our identification of previously unreported cGAS PTMs allowed us to systematically screen these positions for their roles in specific cGAS functions. The identification of functionally selective PTMs provides new insights into cGAS regulation under active immune signaling. As cGAS also has been shown to play important roles in senescence, autophagy, autoinflammatory diseases, and cancer (72, 73), this study provides additional PTM sites that may influence cGAS functions in these other pathological states. Among these roles, the distinct activities of the explored PTMs have meaningful implications for explaining the evolutionary history of cGAS functions, from those suggested to be primordial \(\text(e.g. autophagy)\) to those suggested to have developed adaptively \(\text(e.g. interferon stimulation).\)

**DATA AVAILABILITY**

Data-dependent mass spectrometry data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE (84) partner repository with the data set identifier PXD017109 (http://proteomexchange.org/cgi/GetDataset?ID=PXD017109). Skyline files have been deposited to PanoramaWeb (https://panoramaweb.org/cGAS_PTM.uri and associated with the ProteomeXchange identifier PXD017133 (http://proteomexchange.org/cgi/GetDataset?ID=PXD017133).

**Acknowledgments**—We thank Gary Laevsky (Princeton University microscopy facility) for technical support.

**Funding and additional information**—Funding was provided by NIH NIGMS (GM114141) and by the Edward Mallinckrodt Foundation to I.M.C., China Scholarship Council (CSC) scholarship (201506210052) to B.S., and NIH Ruth L. Kirschstein F31 fellowship (GM120936) to K.K.L., and the NIH training grant from NIGMS (T32GM007388).
**Post-translational Modifications of the cGAS DNA Sensor**

**Author contributions**—B.S., K.K.L., and I.M.C. designed research; B.S., K.K.L., and C.T. performed research; B.S., T.M.G., K.K.L., and I.M.C. analyzed data; B.S., T.M.G., K.K.L., and I.M.C. wrote the paper.

**Conflict of interest**—Authors declare no competing interests.

**Abbreviations**—The abbreviations used are: cGAS, Cyclic GMP-AMP synthase; STING, Stimulator of interferon genes; TBK1, TANK-binding kinase 1; IRF3, Interferon regulatory factor 3; TRIM56, TRIM56 E3 factor 3; PQBP1, Polyglutamine-binding protein 1; IFI16, TBK1, TANK-binding kinase 1; IRF3, Interferon regulatory factor 3; STING, Stimulator of interferon genes; GMP-AMP synthase; STING, Stimulator of interferon genes; GMP-AMP synthase}

1. Thompson, M. R., Kaminski, J. J., Kurt-Jones, E. A., and Fitzgerald, K. A. (2011) Pattern recognition receptors and the innate immune response to viral infection. *Viruses* 3, 920–940
2. Chen, Q., Sun, L., and Chen, Z. J. (2016) Regulation and function of the cGAS-STING pathway of cytosolic DNA sensing. *Nat. Immunol.* 17, 1142–1149
3. Tan, X., Sun, L., Chen, J., and Chen, Z. J. (2018) Detection of microbial infections through innate immune sensing of nucleic acids. *Ann. Rev. Microbiol.* 72, 447–478
4. Wu, J., Sun, L., Chen, X., Du, F., Shi, H., Chen, C., and Chen, Z. J. (2013) Cyclic GMP-AMP synthase is an endogenous second messenger in innate immune signaling. *Nat. Immunol.* 14, 935–942
5. Sun, L., Wu, J., Du, F., Chen, X., and Chen, Z. J. (2013) Cyclic GMP-AMP synthase is a cytosolic DNA sensor that activates the type I interferon pathway. *Science* 339, 786–791
6. Li, X. D., Wu, J., Gao, D., Wang, H., Sun, L., and Chen, Z. J. (2013) Pivotal roles of cGAS-cGAMP signaling in antiviral defense and immune adjuvant effects. *Science* 341, 1390–1394
7. Liu, G. W., McDonald, B., Takahashi, M., Dhanwani, R., Sharma, N., Huang, J., Pham, E., Benedict, C. A., and Sharma, S. (2016) cGAS-STING Signaling Regulates Initial Innate Control of Cytomegalovirus Infection. *J. Virol.* 90, 7789–7797
8. Pajon, J., Doring, M., Spanier, J., Grabski, E., Nooruzzaman, M., Schmidt, T., Witte, G., Messerle, M., Hornung, V., Kaeve, V., and Kilinuk, U. (2016) cGAS senses human cytomegalovirus and induces type I interferon responses in human monocyte-derived cells. *PLoS Pathogens* 12, e1005546
9. Wu, J. J., Li, W., Shao, Y., Avey, D., Fu, B., Gillen, J., Hand, T., Ma, S., Liu, X., Miley, W., Konrad, A., Neipel, F., Sturzl, M., Whitby, D., Li, H., and Zhu, F. (2015) Inhibition of cGAS DNA sensing by a herpesvirus virion protein. *Cell Host Microbe* 18, 333–344
10. Gao, D., Wu, J., Wu, Y. T., Du, F., Aroh, C., Yan, N., Sun, L., and Chen, Z. J. (2013) Cyclic GMP-AMP synthase is an innate immune sensor of HIV and other retroviruses. *Science* 341, 903–906
11. Mackenzie, K. J., Carroll, P., Martin, C. A., Murina, O., Fluteau, A., Simpson, D. J., Olova, N., Sutcliffe, H., Raingier, J. K., Leitch, A., Osborn, R. T., Wheeler, A. P., Nowotny, M., Gilbert, N., Chandra, T., Reinjs, M. A. M., and Jackson, A. P. (2017) cGAS surveillance of micrornuclei links genome instability to innate immunity. *Nature* 548, 461–465
12. Harding, S. M., Benci, J. L., Irianto, J., Discher, D. E., Minn, A. J., and Greenberg, R. A. (2017) Mitotic progression following DNA damage enables pattern recognition within micrornuclei. *Nature* 548, 466–470
13. Diner, E. J., Burdette, D. L., Wilson, S. C., Monroe, K. M., Kellenberger, C. A., Hyodo, M., Hayakawa, Y., Hammond, M. C., and Vance, R. E. (2013) The innate immune DNA sensor cGAS produces a noncanonical cyclic dinucleotide that activates human STING. *Cell Reports* 3, 1355–1361
14. Ablasser, A., Goldeick, M., Caviar, T., Deimling, T., Witte, G., RohI, I., Hopfner, K. P., Ludwig, J., and Horung, V. (2013) cGAS produces a 2'-5' linked cyclic dinucleotide second messenger that activates STING. *Nature* 498, 358–384
15. Ishikawa, H., and Barber G. N. (2009) STING is an endoplasmic reticulum receptor that facilitates innate immune signalling. *Nature* 455, 674–678
16. Zhong, B., Yang, Y., Li, S., Wang, Y. Y., Li, Y., Diao, F., Lei, C., He, X., Zhang, L., Tien, P., and Shu, H. B. (2008) The adaptor protein MITA links virus-sensing receptors to IRF3 transcription factor activation. *Immunity* 29, 538–550
17. Ishikawa, H., Ma, Z., and Barber, G. N. (2009) STING regulates intracellular DNA-mediated, type I interferon-dependent innate immunity. *Nature* 461, 788–792
18. Fitzgerald, K. A., McWhirter, S. M., Faia, K. L., Rowe, D. C., Latz, E., Golenbock, D. T., Coyle, A. J., Liao, S. M., and Maniatis, T. (2003) IKKepsilon and TBK1 are essential components of the IRF3 signaling pathway. *Nat. Immunol.* 4, 491–496
19. Sharma, S., ten Oever, B. R., Grandvaux, N., Zhou, G. P., Lin, R., and Hiscott, J. (2003) Triggering the interferon antiviral response through an IKK-related pathway. *Science* 300, 1148–1151
20. Yoh, S. M., Schneider, M., Selfried, J., Spronkhovmavcharin, S., Akele, R. E., Olivieri, K. C., De Jesus, P. D., Ruan, C., de Castro, E., Ruiz, P. A., Germainen, D., des Portes, V., Garcia-Sastre, A., Konig, R., and Chanda, S. K. (2015) PQBP1 is a proximal sensor of the cGAS-dependent innate immune response to HIV-1. *Cell* 161, 1293–1305
21. Orzalli, M. H., Broekema, N. M., Diner, B. A., Hano, J. R., Elde, N. C., Cristea, I. M., and Knipe, D. M. (2015) cGAS-mediated stabilization of IFI16 promotes innate signaling during herpes simplex virus infection. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 112, E1723–E1781
22. Chen, M., Meng, Q., Yin, Y., Liang, P., Tan, P., He, L., Zhou, Y., Chen, Y., Huang, J., Wang, R. F., and Cui, J. (2016) TRIM14 inhibits cGAS degradation mediated by selective autophagy receptor p62 to promote innate immune responses. *Cell* 64, 105–119
23. Morchik, M., Cribier, A., Raffel, R., Amraoui, S., Cau, J., Severac, D., Dubois, E., Schwartz, O., Benassayer, Y., and Benkirane, M. (2017) HEXIM1 and NEAT1 long-non-coding RNA form a multi-subunit complex that regulates DNA-mediated innate immune response. *Cell* 67, 387–399 e385
24. Seo, J., Kim, C., Shin, W. J., Sklan, E. H., Eoh, H., and Jung, J. U. (2018) TRIM56-mediated monoubiquitination of cGAS for cytosolic DNA sensing. *Nat. Communications* 9, 613
25. Lian, H., Wei, J., Zang, R., Ye, W., Yang, Q., Zhang, X. N., Chen, Y. D., Fu, Y. Z., Hu, M. M., Lei, C. Q., Luo, W. W., Li, S., and Shu, H. B. (2018) ZCCHC3 is a co-sensor of cGAS for dsDNA recognition in innate immune response. *Nat. Communications* 9, 3349
26. Lahaye, X., Gentili, M., Silvin, A., Conrad, C., Picard, L., Jouve, M., Zueva, E., Maurin, M., Nadalin, F., Knott, G. J., Zhao, B., Du, F., Rio, M., Amiel, J., Fox, A. H., Li, P., Etienne, L., Bond, C. S., Colleaux, L., and Manel, N. (2018) NONO detects the nuclear HIV capsid to promote cGAS-mediated innate immune activation. *Cell* 175, 488–501 e422
27. Liang, Q., Seo, G. J., Choi, Y. J., Kwak, M. J., Ge, J., Rodgers, M. A., Shi, M., Leslie, B. J., Hopfner, K. P., Ha, T., Oh, B. H., and Jung, J. U. (2014) Crosstalk between the cGAS DNA sensor and Beclin-1 autophagy protein shapes innate antimicrobial immune responses. *Cell Host Microbe* 15, 228–238
28. Liu, H., Zhang, H., Wu, X., Ma, D., Wu, J., Wang, L., Jiang, Y., Fei, Y., Zhu, L.-K., Lum, K. K., Song, B., Federspiel, J. D., Diner, B. A., Howard, T., and Cristea, I. M. (2016) Viral DNA infection. *Immunity* **46**, 367–384

29. Zhang, G., Chan, B., Samarina, N., Abere, B., Weidner-Glunde, M., Buch, A., Pich, A., Brinkmann, M. M., and Schulz, T. F. (2016) Cytoplasmic isoforms of Kaposi sarcoma herpesvirus LANA recruit and antagonize the innate immune DNA sensor cGAS. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **113**, E1034–E1043

30. Wang, Y., Ning, X., Gao, P., Wu, S., Sha, M., Lv, M., Zhou, X., Gao, J., Fang, R., Meng, G., Su, X., and Jiang, Z. (2017) Inflammasome activation triggers caspase-1-mediated cleavage of cGAS to regulate responses to DNA virus infection. *Immunity* **46**, 393–404

31. Hu, M. M., Yang, Q., Xie, X. Q., Liao, C. Y., Lin, H., Liu, T. T., Yin, L., and Kaufmann, S. H. E., Chen, C., Mao, Z., and Ge, B. (2018) Nuclear cGAS regulatory associations of the pathogen sensing factor cGAS. *J. Virol.* **92**, 69–80 e64

32. Murray, L. A., Sheng, X., and Cristea, I. M. (2018) Orchestration of protein acetylation as a toggle for cellular defense and virus replication. Nat. Rev. Immunol. **18**, 768–774

33. Taus, T., Kocher, T., Pichler, P., Paschke, C., Schmidt, A., Henrich, C., and Mechtler, K. (2011) Universal and confident phosphorylation site localization using phosphoRS. *J. Proteome Res.* **10**, 5354–5362

34. Kulak, N. A., Pichler, G., Paron, I., Nagaraj, N., and Mann, M. (2014) Structural and functional mapping of phospho-sites using high-resolution mass spectrometry. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **13**, 3698–3708

35. Scheltema, R. A., Hauschild, J. P., Lange, O., Denisov, E., Diner, B. A., Kersten, R., Rosen, B., and Seemann, S. (2017) Inflammasome activation suppression by repressing cGAS. *Cell Reports* **19**, 2759–2769

36. Guise, A. J., Greco, T. M., Zhang, I. Y., Yu, F., and Cristea, I. M. (2012) Post-translational Modifications of the cGAS DNA Sensor

37. Scheltema, R. A., Hauschild, J. P., Lange, O., Denisov, E., Diner, B. A., Kersten, R., Rosen, B., and Seemann, S. (2017) Inflammasome activation suppression by repressing cGAS. *Cell Reports* **19**, 2759–2769

38. Murray, L. A., Sheng, X., and Cristea, I. M. (2018) Orchestration of protein acetylation as a toggle for cellular defense and virus replication. Nat. Rev. Immunol. **18**, 768–774

39. Taus, T., Kocher, T., Pichler, P., Paschke, C., Schmidt, A., Henrich, C., and Mechtler, K. (2011) Universal and confident phosphorylation site localization using phosphoRS. *J. Proteome Res.* **10**, 5354–5362

40. Kulak, N. A., Pichler, G., Paron, I., Nagaraj, N., and Mann, M. (2014) Structural and functional mapping of phospho-sites using high-resolution mass spectrometry. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **13**, 3698–3708

41. Scheltema, R. A., Hauschild, J. P., Lange, O., Denisov, E., Diner, B. A., Kersten, R., Rosen, B., and Seemann, S. (2017) Inflammasome activation suppression by repressing cGAS. *Cell Reports* **19**, 2759–2769

42. Murray, L. A., Sheng, X., and Cristea, I. M. (2018) Orchestration of protein acetylation as a toggle for cellular defense and virus replication. Nat. Rev. Immunol. **18**, 768–774

43. Taus, T., Kocher, T., Pichler, P., Paschke, C., Schmidt, A., Henrich, C., and Mechtler, K. (2011) Universal and confident phosphorylation site localization using phosphoRS. *J. Proteome Res.* **10**, 5354–5362

44. Kulak, N. A., Pichler, G., Paron, I., Nagaraj, N., and Mann, M. (2014) Structural and functional mapping of phospho-sites using high-resolution mass spectrometry. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **13**, 3698–3708

45. Scheltema, R. A., Hauschild, J. P., Lange, O., Denisov, E., Diner, B. A., Kersten, R., Rosen, B., and Seemann, S. (2017) Inflammasome activation suppression by repressing cGAS. *Cell Reports* **19**, 2759–2769

46. Guise, A. J., Greco, T. M., Zhang, I. Y., Yu, F., and Cristea, I. M. (2012) Post-translational Modifications of the cGAS DNA Sensor

47. Scheltema, R. A., Hauschild, J. P., Lange, O., Denisov, E., Diner, B. A., Kersten, R., Rosen, B., and Seemann, S. (2017) Inflammasome activation suppression by repressing cGAS. *Cell Reports* **19**, 2759–2769

48. Murray, L. A., Sheng, X., and Cristea, I. M. (2018) Orchestration of protein acetylation as a toggle for cellular defense and virus replication. Nat. Rev. Immunol. **18**, 768–774

49. Kulak, N. A., Pichler, G., Paron, I., Nagaraj, N., and Mann, M. (2014) Structural and functional mapping of phospho-sites using high-resolution mass spectrometry. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **13**, 3698–3708

50. Scheltema, R. A., Hauschild, J. P., Lange, O., Denisov, E., Diner, B. A., Kersten, R., Rosen, B., and Seemann, S. (2017) Inflammasome activation suppression by repressing cGAS. *Cell Reports* **19**, 2759–2769

51. Murray, L. A., Sheng, X., and Cristea, I. M. (2018) Orchestration of protein acetylation as a toggle for cellular defense and virus replication. Nat. Rev. Immunol. **18**, 768–774

52. Taus, T., Kocher, T., Pichler, P., Paschke, C., Schmidt, A., Henrich, C., and Mechtler, K. (2011) Universal and confident phosphorylation site localization using phosphoRS. *J. Proteome Res.* **10**, 5354–5362

53. Scheltema, R. A., Hauschild, J. P., Lange, O., Denisov, E., Diner, B. A., Kersten, R., Rosen, B., and Seemann, S. (2017) Inflammasome activation suppression by repressing cGAS. *Cell Reports* **19**, 2759–2769

54. Scheltema, R. A., Hauschild, J. P., Lange, O., Denisov, E., Diner, B. A., Kersten, R., Rosen, B., and Seemann, S. (2017) Inflammasome activation suppression by repressing cGAS. *Cell Reports* **19**, 2759–2769

55. Murray, L. A., Sheng, X., and Cristea, I. M. (2018) Orchestration of protein acetylation as a toggle for cellular defense and virus replication. Nat. Rev. Immunol. **18**, 768–774

56. Taus, T., Kocher, T., Pichler, P., Paschke, C., Schmidt, A., Henrich, C., and Mechtler, K. (2011) Universal and confident phosphorylation site localization using phosphoRS. *J. Proteome Res.* **10**, 5354–5362

57. Scheltema, R. A., Hauschild, J. P., Lange, O., Denisov, E., Diner, B. A., Kersten, R., Rosen, B., and Seemann, S. (2017) Inflammasome activation suppression by repressing cGAS. *Cell Reports* **19**, 2759–2769

58. Scheltema, R. A., Hauschild, J. P., Lange, O., Denisov, E., Diner, B. A., Kersten, R., Rosen, B., and Seemann, S. (2017) Inflammasome activation suppression by repressing cGAS. *Cell Reports* **19**, 2759–2769
Post-translational Modifications of the cGAS DNA Sensor

64. Unterholzer, L., Keating, S. E., Baran, M., Horan, K. A., Jensen, S. B., Sharma, S., Sirois, C. M., Jin, T., Latz, E., Xiao, T. S., Fitzgerald, K. A., Paludan, S. R., and Bowie, A. G. (2010) IFI16 is an innate immune sensor for intracellular DNA. Nat. Immunol. 11, 997–1004

65. Zhou, W., Whiteley, A. T., de Oliveira Mann, C. C., Morehouse, B. R., Nowak, R. P., Fischer, E. S., Gray, N. S., Mekalanos, J. J., and Krissinel, E., and Henrick, K. (2007) Inference of macromolecular assemblies from crystalline state. J. Mol. Biol. 372, 774–797

66. Ordureau, A., Heo, J. M., Duda, D. M., Paulo, J. A., Olszewski, J. L., Yanishevski, D., Rinehart, J., Schulman, B. A., and Harper, J. W. (2015) Defining roles of PARKIN and ubiquitin phosphorylation by PINK1 in mitochondrial quality control using a ubiquitin replacement strategy. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 112, 6637–6642

67. Meyer, J. G., D’Souza, A. K., Sorensen, D. J., Rardin, M. J., Wolfe, A. J., Gibson, B. W., and Schilling, B. (2016) Quantification of lysine acetylation and succinylation stoichiometry in proteins using mass spectrometric data-independent acquisitions (SWATH). J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrometry 27, 1758–1771

68. Mankan, A. K., Schmidt, T., Chauhan, D., Goldeck, M., Honing, K., Gaidt, M., Kubarenko, A. V., Andreeva, L., Hopfner, K. P., and Hornung, V. (2014) Cytosolic RNA:DNA hybrids activate the cGAS-STING axis. EMBO J. 33, 2937–2946

69. Rui, X., Yang, H., Li, T., Tan, X., Shi, P., Li, M., Du, F., and Chen, Z. J. (2019) Autophagy induction via STING trafficking is a primordial function of the cGAS-STING axis. Nature 567, 262–266

70. Li, T. and Chen, Z. J. (2018) The cGAS-cGAMP-STING pathway connects DNA damage to inflammation, senescence, and cancer. J. Exp. Med. 215, 1287–1299

71. Kwon, J. and Bakhoum, S. F. (2020) The cytosolic DNA-sensing cGAS-STING pathway in cancer. Cancer Discovery 10, 26–39

72. Perez-Riverol, Y., Csordas, A., Bai, J., Bernal-Llano, M., Hewapathirana, S., Kundu, D. J., Inuganti, A., Griss, J., Mayer, G., Eisenacher, M., Perez, E., Uszkoreit, J., Pfeuffer, J., Sachsenberg, T., Yilmaz, S., Tiwary, S., Cox, J., Audain, E., Walzer, M., Jarnuczak, A. F., Ternent, T., Brazma, A., and Visca Inuganti, J. A. (2019) The PRIDE database and related tools and resources in 2019: improving support for quantification data. Nucleic Acids Res. 47, D442–D450

73. Siddi, S., Bhanu, N. V., Karch, K. R., Wang, X., and Garcia, B. A. (2016) Complete workflow for analysis of histone post-translational modifications using bottom-up mass spectrometry: from histone extraction to data analysis. J. Visualized Exp. 17, doi: 10.3791/54112

74. Tsai, C. F., Wang, Y. T., Yen, H. Y., Tsou, C. C., Ku, W. C., Lin, P. Y., Chen, H. Y., Nesvizhskii, A. I., Ishihama, Y., and Chen, Y. J. (2015) Large-scale determination of absolute phosphorylation stoichiometries in human cells by motif-targeting quantitative proteomics. Nat. Communications 6, 6622

75. Zhou, T., Chung, Y. H., Chen, J., and Chen, Y. (2016) Site-specific identification of lysine acetylation stoichiometries in mammalian cells. J. Proteome Res. 15, 1103–1113

76. Hansen, B. K., Gupta, R., Baldus, L., Lyon, D., Narita, T., Lammers, M., Choudhary, C., and Weinert, B. T. (2019) Analysis of human acetylation stoichiometry defines mechanistic constraints on protein regulation. Nat. Communications 10, 1055

77. Chattopadhyay, S., Marques, J. T., Yamashita, M., Peters, K. I., Smith, K., Desai, A., Williams, B. R., and Sen, G. C. (2010) Viral apoptosis is induced by IRF-3-mediated activation of Bax. EMBO J. 29, 1762–1773

78. Sze, A., Belnaoui, S. M., Oglinger, D., Lin, R., Hiscott, J., and Van Gelkenynghe. (2013) Host restriction factor SAMHD1 limits human T cell leukemia virus type 1 infection of monocytes via STING-mediated apoptosis. Cell Host Microbe 14, 422–434

79. Petrasek, J., Iracheta-Vellve, A., Csak, T., Satischandran, A., Kodys, K., Kurt-Jones, E. A., Fitzgerald, K. A., and Szabo, G. (2013) STING-IRF3 pathway links endoplasmic reticulum stress with hepatocyte apoptosis in early alcoholic liver disease. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 110, 16544–16549

80. Zierhut, C., Yamaguchi, N., Paredes, M., Luo, J. D., Carroll, T., and Funabiki, H. (2019) The cytoplasmic DNA sensor cGAS promotes mitotic cell death. Cell 178, 302–315 e323

81. Orzalli, M. H., and Kagan, J. C. (2017) Apoptosis and necroptosis as host defense strategies to prevent viral infection. Trends Cell Biol. 27, 800–809

82. Perez-Riverol, Y., Csordas, A., Bai, J., Bernal-Llano, M., Hewapathirana, S., Kundu, D. J., Inuganti, A., Griss, J., Mayer, G., Eisenacher, M., Perez, E., Uszkoreit, J., Pfeuffer, J., Sachsenberg, T., Yilmaz, S., Tiwary, S., Cox, J., Audain, E., Walzer, M., Jarnuczak, A. F., Ternent, T., Brazma, A., and Visca Inuganti, J. A. (2019) The PRIDE database and related tools and resources in 2019: improving support for quantification data. Nucleic Acids Res. 47, D442–D450