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1 Introduction

Classical equiaffine differential geometry investigates the properties of hypersurface \(r(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n)\) in \(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\) invariant under the equiaffine transformations \(r \to Ar + v\), where \(A \in \text{SL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{R})\) and \(v \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\). Although the Euclidean angle is no longer invariant, there exists an affine invariant transversal vector field along \(r\), called the affine normal. The affine metric and the affine cubic form give a set of complete affine invariants. The fundamental theorem tells us that any given affine metric and affine cubic form satisfying certain compatibility conditions (Gauss-Codazzi type) will determine an affine hypersurface uniquely up to equiaffine transformations (see [16]). The affine metric (conformal to the Euclidean second fundamental form) is definite if and only if the hypersurface is locally strictly convex. The simplest interesting class of hypersurfaces is the affine spheres, which are defined by the condition that all affine normal lines meet in a point. Specially, definite affine spheres (with a mean curvature \(H\) and with center at the origin or infinity) can be represented as a graph of a locally strictly convex function \(f\) if and only if the Legendre transform \(u\) of \(f\) solves a Monge-Ampère equation (see Calabi [1]):

\[
\det \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} \right) = \begin{cases} (Hu)^{-n-2}, & \text{if } H \neq 0, \\ 1, & \text{if } H = 0. \end{cases} \tag{1.1}
\]

Cheng & Yau [4] showed that on a bounded convex domain there is for \(H < 0\) a unique negative convex solution of (1.1) extending continuously to be 0 on the boundary. This leads to a beautiful
geometric picture of any complete hyperbolic \((H < 0)\) affine sphere \(r\) (conjectured by Calabi \cite{1}): it is always asymptotic to the boundary of the cone given by the convex hull of \(r\) and its center; and conversely the interior of any regular convex cone is foliated by complete hyperbolic affine spheres asymptotic to it with all \(H < 0\) and with centers at the vertex.

However, explicit representations are rarely known except for homogeneous cones. Hildebrand classified three-dimensional regular convex cone with an automorphism group of dimension at least 2, which he also called ‘semi-homogeneous’. In this case, he reduced the Monge-Ampère equation to some ODE and was able to solve it with elliptic integrals in \cite{13}.

It is known that 2 dimensional affine spheres have additional features than higher dimensional ones. For example, the affine metric can be expressed simply in some natural isothermal coordinates (definite case) or asymptotic coordinates (indefinite case). In addition, each 2 dimensional affine sphere has a natural associated family of the same type with the same affine metric but different affine cubic forms. In particular, this implies that the structure equations actually form an integrable system, called Tzitzéica equation or the affine \(a_2^{(2)}\)-Toda field equation (see \cite{6}, \cite{7}, \cite{16}). It is then natural to ask for the isothermal parametrizations and the associated families of Hildebrand’s new examples. Specially, one wish to see the natural associated family of cones. This paper will answer these questions.

Our extensive studies of definite affine spheres were motivated by Loftin, Yau & Zaslow’s ‘trinoid’ construction (see \cite{18}) with applications in mirror symmetry. The dressing actions on proper definite affine spheres and soliton examples have been presented in \cite{16}. The Permutability Theorem and group structure of dressing actions will be presented in a subsequent paper \cite{24}. Their Weierstrass or DPW representations have been studied in \cite{7}, using an Iwasawa decomposition of certain twisted loop group. The equivariant solutions have been constructed in \cite{8}.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce the fundamental concepts of affine sphere and review the main results in \cite{13}. In section 3, we compute the isothermal parametrizations and the affine invariants of Hildebrand’s examples case by case. We also get the whole associated family for each case by solving their structure equations. In general any regular convex cone in \(\mathbb{R}^3\) has a natural associated \(S^1\)-family of such cones, which deserve further studies.

## 2 Affine spheres and semi-homogeneous cones

Let

\[
  r : M \to \mathbb{R}^3 \\
  (x, y) \mapsto r(x, y)
\]

be an immersion with a non-degenerate second fundamental form. Introduce

\[
  L = |r_x, r_y, r_{xx}|, \quad M = |r_x, r_y, r_{xy}|, \quad N = |r_x, r_y, r_{yy}|,
\]

where \(|·, ·, ·|\) denotes the standard determinant in \(\mathbb{R}^3\). The quadratic form

\[
  g = \frac{Ldx^2 + 2Mdx dy + N dy^2}{|LN - M^2|^{1/4}}
\]

is equiaffine invariant, and it is called the affine (or Blaschke) metric of the immersion. The surface is said to be **definite** or **indefinite** if this metric \(g\) is so. The surface is definite if and
only if it is locally strictly convex. A transversal vector field $\xi$ on a surface $r(M)$ is called affine normal if it satisfies

$$\xi = \frac{1}{2} \Delta^g r,$$

where $\Delta^g$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator of the affine metric. The affine metric and the affine normal are then uniquely determined (up to a sign) by requiring the following decomposition into tangential and transverse components:

$$D_X r_* Y = r_*(\nabla_X Y) + g(X, Y)\xi,$$

where $D$ is the canonical flat connection on $\mathbb{R}^3$ and $X$, $Y$ are any tangent vector fields on the surface. The affine cubic form measures the difference between the induced Blaschke connection $\nabla$ and $g$'s Levi-Civita connection $\nabla^g$:

$$C(X, Y, Z) := g(\nabla_X Y - \nabla^g_X Y, Z).$$

It is actually symmetric in all 3 arguments and is a 3rd order invariant.

If all affine normals of $r$ meet in one point (the center), then the surface is called affine sphere. If this point is not infinite it may be chosen as the origin of $\mathbb{R}^3$ so that $\xi = -Hr$, $H : M \to \mathbb{R}$.

$H$ is called the affine mean curvature, and such surface is called proper affine sphere. For a convex proper affine sphere, if the center is outside the surface, it is called hyperbolic.

In the sequel we consider the cone $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, with the vertex at the origin. The complete hyperbolic affine spheres foliating $K$ are the level sets of the solution $F : K^0 \to \mathbb{R}$ of the following Monge-Ampère equation (see [2], [3], [10], [17] for detail):

$$\det F'' = e^{2F}, \quad F|_{\partial K} = +\infty, \quad F'' > 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Let $\text{Aut}K$ denote the automorphism group of the cone $K$. The equiaffine invariance of (2.1) implies $F$ is invariant under unimodular automorphisms $g \in \text{Aut}K$ (see [10] or [12]). Then the dimension of (2.1) can be effectively reduced by the generic dimension of the orbits of $\text{Aut}K$. If there are orbits of dimension 2, the PDE (2.1) will reduce to an ODE. This kind of cone is also called semi-homogeneous cone. Hildebrand provided an important classification theorem of such cones:

**Theorem 2.1.** ([13], Theorem 3.2) Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a regular convex cone such that $\dim \text{Aut}K \geq 2$. Then $K$ is isomorphic to exactly one of the following cones:

1. the cone obtained by the homogenization of the epigraph of the exponential function;
2. the positive orthant $\mathbb{R}^3_{++}$;
3. the cone given by $\{ x | x_2 \leq x_1^{1/p} x_3^{1/q}, x_1 \geq 0, x_3 \geq 0 \}$ for some $p \in [2, \infty)$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$;
4. the cone given by $\{ x | -\alpha x_1^{1/p} x_3^{1/q} \leq x_2 \leq x_1^{1/p} x_3^{1/q}, x_1 \geq 0, x_3 \geq 0 \}$ for some $p \in [2, \infty)$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $\alpha \in (0, 1]$;
5. the cone given by $\{ x | 0 \leq x_2 \leq x_1^{1/p} x_3^{1/q}, x_1 \geq 0, x_3 \geq 0 \}$ for some $p \in [2, \infty)$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

We choose $p = 5$ for each case and give the pictures of these five cases of semi-homogeneous cones in Figure 1. It could be useful for knowing the shape of semi-homogeneous cones.
3 The associated families of affine spheres

For convex affine spheres, the affine metric is positive-definite, and thus it provides a conformal structure in dimension two. There exists local complex coordinate $z = x + iy$ so that $g = 2e^{\psi} dz d\bar{z}$. The affine cubic form $C = Ud\bar{z}^3 + \bar{U}d\bar{z}^3$, where $U = i|r_z, r_{zz}, r|$. The affine normal satisfies:

$$\xi = \Delta g x/2 = e^{-\psi} x_{zz} = -H x.$$ 

Simon-Wang derived in [19] the following equations for the frame $F = (r_z, r_{\bar{z}}, \xi)$:

$$F_z = F \begin{pmatrix} \psi_z & 0 & -H \\ -Ue^{-\psi} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^\psi & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad F_{\bar{z}} = F \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\bar{U}e^{-\psi} & 0 \\ 0 & \psi_{\bar{z}} & -H \\ e^\psi & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$ (3.1)

and showed that the compatibility conditions (Tzitzéica equation) are:

$$\begin{cases} \psi_{zz} + H e^\psi + |U|^2 e^{-2\psi} = 0, \\ U_{\bar{z}} = 0. \end{cases}$$ (3.2)
The above system is invariant under $U \rightarrow e^{2it}U$ with $t \in [0, \frac{2}{3}\pi]$. Inserting the parameter $\lambda = e^{2it}$ into the frame equations (3.1), we obtain the following Lax representation of the system (3.2):

$$F_z = F \begin{pmatrix} \psi_z & 0 & -H \\ -\lambda U e^{-\psi} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\psi} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad F_{\bar{z}} = F \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\lambda^{-1} \bar{U} e^{-\psi} & 0 \\ \psi_z & 0 & -H \end{pmatrix}.$$ (3.3)

In this section we compute the isothermal parametrizations of Hildebrand’s examples, then obtain the affine invariants. Substituting these affine invariants into the frame equations (3.3) and solving them, we get the whole associated family for each examples.

### 3.1 The isothermal parametrization and the associated family of case 1

In this subsection we consider the complete hyperbolic affine sphere asymptotic to the boundary of the cone obtained by the homogenization of the epigraph of the exponential function (case 1 in Theorem 2.1). The corresponding example in [13] is given by:

$$\mathbb{R}^2_{++} \ni (x, y) \rightarrow \vec{r} = \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ r_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -y \left( \frac{3}{2} \ln(x) + 3 \ln(y) + x \right) \\ x^{-\frac{3}{2}} y^{-2} \sqrt{1 + x} \\ y \end{pmatrix},$$ (3.4)

here $\mathbb{R}_{++}$ is the set of positive reals.

Let us briefly review the classical results of isothermal coordinates in [20]. If the metric is given locally as

$$g = Edx^2 + 2Fdxdy + Gdy^2,$$

then in the complex coordinate $z = x + iy$, it takes the form:

$$g = \lambda |dz + \mu d\bar{z}|^2,$$

with

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{4}(E + G + 2\sqrt{EG - F^2}), \quad \mu = \frac{E - G + 2iF}{4\lambda}.$$

In isothermal coordinates $(u, v)$ the metric should take the form

$$g = \rho(du^2 + dv^2).$$

The complex coordinate $\omega = u + iv$ satisfies

$$\rho |d\omega|^2 = \rho |\omega_z|^2 |dz + \frac{\omega_z}{\omega_{\bar{z}}} d\bar{z}|^2,$$

so that the coordinates $(u, v)$ will be isothermal if the Beltrami equation:

$$\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \bar{z}} = \mu \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial z}$$ (3.5)

has a diffeomorphic solution.

To compute the isothermal parametrization of the affine sphere, we should compute the affine metric. Then we get

$$L = \frac{1}{8} \frac{(4x + 3)(2x + 3)^2}{x^{9/2}y(1 + x)^{3/2}}, \quad M = \frac{3}{4} \frac{(2x + 3)^2}{x^{7/2}y^2 \sqrt{1 + x}}, \quad N = \frac{3}{2} \frac{(2x + 3)^2}{x^{5/2}y^3 \sqrt{1 + x}}.$$
and

\[ \mu = \frac{\sqrt[3]{3}}{6\sqrt{x^2 + 1}} - \frac{i}{y} + \frac{ix}{y}. \]

The Beltrami equation (3.5) can be written as:

\[ d\omega = \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial z} dz + \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial \bar{z}} d\bar{z} = (dz + \mu d\bar{z}) \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial z} = ((1 + \mu)dx + i(1 - \mu)dy) \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial z} \]

\[ = (2 \cdot \frac{\sqrt[3]{3}}{6\sqrt{x^2 + 1}} + \frac{i}{y} + \frac{ix}{2y}) dx + i \frac{\sqrt[3]{3}}{6\sqrt{x^2 + 1}} + \frac{1 + i}{2y} dy) \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial z}. \] (3.6)

Then we divide our computation into the following steps:
(i) Set \( \tilde{d}x = \frac{1}{2\pi} dx, \tilde{dy} = \frac{1}{y} dy \), i.e. \( \tilde{x} = \frac{1}{2} \ln(x), \tilde{y} = \ln(y) \). Then (3.6) becomes:

\[ d\omega = (2 \cdot \frac{\sqrt[3]{3}}{6\sqrt{x^2 + 1}} + i) \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial z}. \] (3.7)

and

\[ L = \frac{(4e^{2\tilde{x}} + 3)(2e^{2\tilde{x}} + 3)^2}{e^{3\tilde{x}}(1 + e^{2\tilde{x}})^3/2}, \quad M = N = \frac{3(2e^{2\tilde{x}} + 3)^2}{e^{3\tilde{x}}\sqrt{1 + e^{2\tilde{x}}}}. \]

(ii) Set \( v = \tilde{y} - \tilde{x} \), we get

\[ d\omega = \frac{2 \cdot (\frac{\sqrt[3]{3}}{6\sqrt{x^2 + 1}})}{e^{\tilde{x}}(1 + e^{2\tilde{x}})^3/2} dx + i \frac{\sqrt[3]{3}}{6\sqrt{x^2 + 1}} + \frac{1 + i}{2y} dy) \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial z}. \] (3.8)

\[ L = \frac{(2e^{2\tilde{x}} + 3)^2}{e^{\tilde{x}}(1 + e^{2\tilde{x}})^3/2}, \quad M = 0, \quad N = \frac{3(2e^{2\tilde{x}} + 3)^2}{e^{3\tilde{x}}\sqrt{1 + e^{2\tilde{x}}}}. \]

(iii) Set \( du = \frac{2\sqrt[3]{3}}{6\sqrt{x^2 + 1}} d\tilde{x} = \frac{\sqrt[3]{3}}{3\sqrt{1 + e^x}} d\tilde{x} \), i.e. \( u = \frac{\arcsinh(e^{\tilde{x}})}{\sqrt[3]{3}} \). Then

\[ d\omega = du + i dv, \]

and

\[ L = N = \frac{3\sqrt[3]{3}(2\cosh(\sqrt[3]{3}u) + 1)^2}{(\cosh^2(\sqrt[3]{3}u) - 1)^2}, \quad M = 0. \]

Summarize the above computation, we try the coordinate transformation:

\[ x \to \sinh^2(\sqrt[3]{3}x), \quad y \to \sinh^{-1}(\sqrt[3]{3}x)e^y, \]

and scale the immersion: \( \vec{r} \to -\frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{3}} \vec{r} \). Then we can get the isothermal parametrization of the affine sphere:

\[ \vec{r}' = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{3}} \sinh^{-1}(\sqrt[3]{3}x)e^y \sinh^2(\sqrt[3]{3}x) + 3y) \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{3}} \sinh^{-1}(\sqrt[3]{3}x)e^{-2y} \sqrt{1 + \sinh^2(\sqrt[3]{3}x)} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{3}} \sinh^{-1}(\sqrt[3]{3}x)e^y \end{pmatrix}. \] (3.9)
By direct computation, we can get the affine metric, the affine mean curvature and the affine cubic form:

\[ g = 3(\cosh(\sqrt{3}x))^2 + \frac{2}{3}(dz \, d\bar{z}), \quad H = -1, \quad Ud\bar{z}^3 = id\bar{z}^3. \]

It is easy to see that \( g \) gives an explicit solution of Tzitzeica equation (3.2). Substituting \( g, H, U \) into frame equations (3.3) and solving them, then we can conclude the above computations into the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** The associated family of the complete hyperbolic affine sphere \([3,4]\) in \([13]\) is given by:

\[
\vec{r}_\lambda = A \cdot (D : B)^{-1} \cdot \begin{pmatrix}
-(\coth(\sqrt{3}x) + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \cos(t))e^{2(-\cos(t)x + \sin(t)y)} \\
-(\coth(\sqrt{3}x) - \frac{\sqrt{3}\sin(t)+\cos(t)}{\sqrt{3}})e^{(-\sqrt{3}\sin(t)\cos(t))x + (-\sin(t) - \sqrt{3}\cos(t))y} \\
-(\coth(\sqrt{3}x) - \frac{\sqrt{3}\sin(t)+\cos(t)}{\sqrt{3}})e^{(\sqrt{3}\sin(t) + \cos(t))x + (-\sin(t) + \sqrt{3}\cos(t))y}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(3.10)

where

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \sqrt{2} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 1 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \sqrt{2} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}
\end{pmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{pmatrix}
(1 + \sqrt{2})^2 \cos(t) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & (1 + \sqrt{2})^{-\sin(t) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \cos(t)} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & (1 + \sqrt{2})^{\sin(t) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \cos(t)}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
B = \begin{pmatrix}
-(\sqrt{3} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \cos(t)) & -(\sqrt{3} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \cos(t)) & -(\sqrt{3} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \cos(t)) \\
-(\sqrt{3} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \cos(t)) & (\sqrt{3} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \cos(t)) & -(\sqrt{3} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \cos(t)) \\
-(\sqrt{3} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \cos(t)) & -(\sqrt{3} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \cos(t)) & (\sqrt{3} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \cos(t))
\end{pmatrix},
\]

with the affine metric, the affine mean curvature and the affine cubic form:

\[ g = 3(\cosh(\sqrt{3}x))^2 + \frac{2}{3}(dz \, d\bar{z}), \quad H = -1, \quad Ud\bar{z}^3 = e^{3it}dz^3. \]

**Remark 1.** The expression (3.10) is gauged by the initial condition

\[
(r_\lambda^x, (r_\lambda^y)_x, (r_\lambda^y)_y)|_{x = \text{ln}(1 + \sqrt{3}), \quad y = 0} = (r^x, r^y, (r^y)_x)|_{x = \text{ln}(1 + \sqrt{3}), \quad y = 0} = A.
\]

With this initial condition, one can check \( \lim_{t \to \frac{\pi}{6}} r_\lambda^x = r^x \) by direct computation.

### 3.2 The isothermal parametrizations of remaining cases

The complete hyperbolic affine spheres asymptotic to the boundary of the remaining cones can be treated in a common framework. In this subsection we compute the common isothermal coordinates transformation for these affine spheres by Weierstrass elliptic functions. Let us review the results in [13].

Let \( p \in [2, \infty), q \in (1, 2] \) be reals such that \( \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 \), and let \( \alpha, \beta \in [0, +\infty] \). The cases \( 2 - 5 \) in Theorem 2.1 are given by the closure of

\[ K^\circ = \{(x, y, z)^T \mid -\alpha x^{1/p} y^{1/q} < z < \beta x^{1/p} y^{1/q}, x > 0, y > 0\}, \]
with the value \((\alpha, \beta) = (0, \infty), (\infty, 1), (\alpha, 1), (0, 1)\), respectively. The PDE (2.1) becomes the ODE

\[
e^{-\phi} \dot{\phi}(t \dot{\phi} + p + 1)(t \dot{\phi} + q + 1) = (p + q)t e^\phi + c, \tag{3.11}
\]

\[
t \dot{\phi} > -\frac{2}{3}(p + q) - \frac{1}{3}, \quad \dot{\phi} > \frac{\dot{\phi}^2(p + q - 1 + t \dot{\phi})}{2(p + q) + 1 + 3t \dot{\phi}}, \tag{3.12}
\]

where \(\phi(t) : (-\alpha, \beta) \to \mathbb{R}\) is a function of variable \(t\), and \(c\) is an integration constant. Suppose \(t(\xi)\) is a function of \(\xi\), with \(\xi\) is a real parameter taking values in some interval \(\Xi \subset \mathbb{R}\). Then the affine sphere is given by the immersion

\[
\Xi \times \mathbb{R} \ni \left(\frac{\xi}{\mu}\right) \mapsto \bar{r} = \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ r_3 \end{pmatrix} = e^{\phi(t)} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\phi^2}{4} e^{-\frac{q+1}{3} t} \\ e^{-\frac{q+1}{3} t} \\ e^{-\frac{q-q}{3(p+q)^2} t} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.13}
\]

Introduce the variables \(\tau = \ln(t)\), \(\varphi = \phi + \tau\), \(\xi = \frac{d\varphi}{d\tau} = 1 + t \dot{\phi}\) and denote \(P = (\xi - 1)(\xi + p)(\xi + q)\) for writing shorthand. By virtue of (3.11), we obtain

\[
e^\varphi = \frac{-c + s \sqrt{c^2 + 4(p + q)P}}{2(p + q)}, \tag{3.14}
\]

\[
\frac{d\tau}{d\xi} = \frac{2(p + q)(3 \xi + 2(p + q - 1))}{\sqrt{c^2 + 4(p + q)P}(-cs + \sqrt{c^2 + 4(p + q)P})}, \tag{3.15}
\]

where \(s = \pm 1\). It is easy to see that if \(\phi(t)\) is a solution of (3.11) for the value \(c\), then \(\hat{\phi}(t) = \phi(-t)\) is a solution for \(-c\). We may thus assume without restriction of generality that \(c \leq 0\) and have the following classification:

(1) when \(\xi \equiv c_1\) is constant, the affine spheres asymptotic to the orthant (case 2 of Theorem 2.1) are the level surfaces of the product \(xyz\).

(2) when \(c = -2(p + q), s = -1\), the affine spheres asymptotic to the cones given in the case 3 of Theorem 2.1, up to a sign change in the second coordinate.

(3) when \(c = -2(p + q), s = 1\), the affine spheres asymptotic to the cones given in the case 5 of Theorem 2.1.

(4) when \(c = 0, s = 1\), the affine spheres asymptotic to the cones given in the case 4 of Theorem 2.1 with \(\alpha = 1\).

(5) when \(-2(p + q) < c < 0\), the affine spheres asymptotic to the cones given in the case 4 of Theorem 2.1 for \(\alpha \neq 1\).

Now we begin to compute the transformation. We consider Blaschke metric of the immersion

\[
g = \frac{L dx^2 + 2 M dxdy + N dy^2}{|LN - M^2|^\frac{4}{3}},
\]

here

\[
L = |r_{\xi}, r_{\mu}, r_{\xi\mu}| = e^{2\varphi} \left[ \frac{1}{9} \left( \frac{d\tau}{d\xi} \right)^3 (\xi^2 + \xi - 2) + \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{d\tau}{d\xi} \right)^2 \right],
\]

\[
M = |r_{\xi}, r_{\mu}, r_{\xi\mu}| = \frac{p}{9p} e^{2\varphi} \left( \frac{d\tau}{d\xi} \right)^2 (\xi - 1),
\]

\[
N = |r_{\xi}, r_{\mu}, r_{\mu\mu}| = \frac{p}{9p} e^{2\varphi} \left( \frac{d\tau}{d\xi} \right)^3 (3\xi - 2(p + q - 1)).
\]
Similar to case 1, we divide the computation into the following 3 steps:

(i) Set $d\xi = \frac{3\xi + 2(p + q - 1)}{2(\xi - 1)} \frac{d\xi}{dt}$, $\mu = \frac{p(p-2)}{2(p-1)} y_1$, the coefficients of metric become:

$$L = -\frac{p(p-2)}{36(p-1)} e^{\varphi} (3\xi + 2(p + q - 1))^3 \frac{(\xi^2 + \xi - 2) - 3(d\xi/d\tau)}{\xi - 1}$$

$$M = N = \frac{p(p-2)^3}{36(p-1)^2} e^{\varphi} (3\xi + 2(p + q - 1))^2 \frac{\xi}{\xi - 1}$$

(ii) Set $y_1 = y_2 - \xi_1$, we get

$$L = -\frac{p(p-2)}{12(p-1)} e^{\varphi} (3\xi + 2(p + q - 1))^2 \sqrt{\frac{c^2}{4(p+q)}} + P - \frac{cs}{\sqrt{4(p+q)}}$$

$$M = 0, \quad N = \frac{p(p-2)^3}{36(p-1)^2} e^{\varphi} (3\xi + 2(p + q - 1))^2 \frac{\xi}{\xi - 1}$$

(iii) Set $d\xi_1 = \frac{\xi - 1}{\sqrt{4(p+q)}} d\xi_2$, $y_2 = \frac{\sqrt{3(p-1)}}{p-2} y_3$, we finally arrive at

$$L = N = \frac{p\sqrt{3(p-1)}}{12(p-1)} e^{\varphi} (3\xi + 2(p + q - 1))^2 \sqrt{\frac{c^2}{4(p+q)}} + P - \frac{cs}{\sqrt{4(p+q)}}$$

Summarizing the above computation, we obtain the transformation:

$$d\xi = \sqrt{\frac{c^2}{4(p+q)}} + P d\xi_2, \quad \mu = \frac{p(p-2)}{2(p-1)} (\sqrt{\frac{3(p-1)}{p-2}} y_3 - \xi_1).$$

(3.16)

The above integral can be expressed by Weierstrass elliptic function:

$$\xi = 4 \wp(\xi_2 + \omega_1, g_2, g_3) - b_1,$$

(3.17)

here we use $\frac{e^2}{4(p+q)} + P = \xi^3 + 3b_1\xi^2 + 3b_2\xi + b_3$, $g_2 = \frac{3}{4}(b_1^2 - b_2)$, $g_3 = \frac{1}{16}(3b_1b_2 - 2b_1^3 - b_3)$. $\wp(\xi_2, g_2, g_3)$ is Weierstrass P-function with the invariants $g_2, g_3$, and $\omega_1$ is the first half-period of $\wp$. We use $\wp(\xi_2)$ to denote $\wp(\xi_2, g_2, g_3)$ for convenient. This transformation allows to compute the isothermal parametrizations of the affine spheres:
here \(a_1\) is the root of \(4\mathcal{P}(\xi_2, g_2, g_3) - b_1 + p = 0\), and \(\sigma, \zeta\) are Weierstrass sigma function and Weierstrass zeta function respectively. By similar computation, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
    r_1 &= \sqrt{\frac{4\mathcal{P}(\xi_2 + \omega_1) - b_1 + p}{4\mathcal{P}(\omega_1) - b_1 + p} \left( \frac{\sigma(\xi_2 + \omega_1 + a_1)\sigma(\omega_1 - a_1)}{\sigma(\xi_2 + \omega_1 - a_1)\sigma(\omega_1 + a_1)} \right)^{-s} e^{s\zeta(\xi_2)\xi_2 + \frac{(2p-1)}{2\sqrt{3(p-1)}}y_3} }, \quad (3.18) \\
    r_2 &= \sqrt{\frac{4\mathcal{P}(\xi_2 + \omega_1) - b_1 + q}{4\mathcal{P}(\omega_1) - b_1 + q} \left( \frac{\sigma(\xi_2 + \omega_1 + a_2)\sigma(\omega_1 - a_2)}{\sigma(\xi_2 + \omega_1 - a_2)\sigma(\omega_1 + a_2)} \right)^{-s} e^{s\zeta(\xi_2)\xi_2 - \frac{(p+1)}{2\sqrt{3(p-1)}}y_3} }, \quad (3.19) \\
    r_3 &= \sqrt{\frac{4\mathcal{P}(\xi_2 + \omega_1) - b_1 - 1}{4\mathcal{P}(\omega_1) - b_1 - 1} \left( \frac{\sigma(\xi_2 + \omega_1 + a_3)\sigma(\omega_1 - a_3)}{\sigma(\xi_2 + \omega_1 - a_3)\sigma(\omega_1 + a_3)} \right)^{-s} e^{s\zeta(\xi_2)\xi_2 - \frac{(p-2)}{2\sqrt{3(p-1)}}y_3} }, \quad (3.20)
\end{align*}
\]

where \(a_2, a_3\) are the roots of \(4\mathcal{P}(\xi_2, g_2, g_3) - b_1 + q = 0\) and \(4\mathcal{P}(\xi_2, g_2, g_3) - b_1 - 1 = 0\) respectively. It is easy to check that the Weierstrass P-function can be expressed by Weierstrass sigma function:

\[
\mathcal{P}(\xi_2 + \omega_1) - \mathcal{P}(a_i) = \frac{\sigma(\xi_2 + \omega_1 + a_i)\sigma(\xi_2 + \omega_1 - a_i)}{\sigma(\xi_2 + \omega_1)^2\sigma(a_i)^2}. \quad (3.21)
\]

By this expression and a gauge transformation:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
    r_1 \\
    r_2 \\
    r_3
\end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix}
    \frac{1}{3p} & 0 & 0 \\
    0 & \frac{1}{3p} & 0 \\
    0 & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}(p-1)c}{2}
\end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix}
    r_1 \\
    r_2 \\
    r_3
\end{pmatrix},
\]

we can simplify (3.18)–(3.20) as

\[
\begin{align*}
    r_1 &= \frac{1}{3p} \left( \frac{\sigma(\xi_2 + \omega_1 - s \cdot a_1)\sigma(\omega_1)}{\sigma(\xi_2 + \omega_1)\sigma(\omega_1 - s \cdot a_1)} \right) e^{s\zeta(\xi_2)\xi_2 + \frac{(2p-1)}{2\sqrt{3(p-1)}}y_3}, \quad (3.22) \\
    r_2 &= \frac{1}{3p} \left( \frac{\sigma(\xi_2 + \omega_1 - s \cdot a_2)\sigma(\omega_1)}{\sigma(\xi_2 + \omega_1)\sigma(\omega_1 - s \cdot a_2)} \right) e^{s\zeta(\xi_2)\xi_2 - \frac{(p+1)}{2\sqrt{3(p-1)}}y_3}, \quad (3.23) \\
    r_3 &= \frac{\sqrt{3}(p-1)c}{2} \left( \frac{\sigma(\xi_2 + \omega_1 - s \cdot a_3)\sigma(\omega_1)}{\sigma(\xi_2 + \omega_1)\sigma(\omega_1 - s \cdot a_3)} \right) e^{s\zeta(\xi_2)\xi_2 - \frac{(p-2)}{2\sqrt{3(p-1)}}y_3}. \quad (3.24)
\end{align*}
\]

**Remark 2.** Since \(\mathcal{P}\) is even, both of \(a_i\) and \(-a_i\) \((i=1,2,3)\) are the roots of those equations. We need to choose \(a_i\) such that \(\mathcal{P}'(a_i, g_2, g_3) < 0\).

Then we obtain the affine metric, the affine mean curvature and the affine cubic form:

\[
\begin{align*}
    g &= (\mathcal{P}(\xi_2 + \omega_1) + \frac{2(p + q - 1) - 3b_1}{12})(d\xi_2^2 + d\eta_3^2), \quad H = -1, \quad (3.25) \\
    Ud(\xi_2 + i\eta_3)^3 &= s \cdot \frac{c \cdot \sqrt{p-1}}{12p} - i \left( \frac{(p-2)(2p-1)(p+1)}{2(12p-1)^2} d(\xi_2 + i\eta_3)^3. \quad (3.26)
\end{align*}
\]

The invariants (3.25)–(3.26) imply that the two affine spheres corresponding to \(s = 1\) and \(s = -1\) are in the same associated family. The coefficients \(U\) of these two affine spheres can be expressed as \(|U|e^{3it}\) and \(|U|e^{\pi - 3it}\) respectively, in other words, \(s = -Sgn(cos(3t))\).
3.3 The associated families of remaining cases

With the generic formulas (3.22)-(3.24), we can construct the complete hyperbolic affine spheres and the associated families case by case. Some parts of construction have referred to the formulas of the affine spheres in [13]. We consider the following cases.

$-2(p + q) < c < 0$. In this case $\beta = 1$. For positive $t$, $s = 1$, (3.22)-(3.24) yielding

$$\mathbb{R}^3 \ni (\xi_2, y_3) \rightarrow \vec{r} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3p} \left( \frac{\sigma(\xi_2 + \omega_1 - a_1)\sigma(\omega_1)}{\sigma(\xi_2 + \omega_1)\sigma(\omega_1 - a_1)} \right) e^{\zeta(a_1)\xi_2 + \frac{(p-1)}{2\sqrt{3(p-1)}}y_3} \\ \frac{1}{3p} \left( \frac{\sigma(\xi_2 + \omega_1 - a_2)\sigma(\omega_1)}{\sigma(\xi_2 + \omega_1)\sigma(\omega_1 - a_2)} \right) e^{\zeta(a_2)\xi_2 - \frac{(p-1)}{2\sqrt{3(p-1)}}y_3} \\ \sqrt{3(p-1)}e \left( \frac{\sigma(\xi_2 + \omega_1 - a_3)\sigma(\omega_1)}{\sigma(\xi_2 + \omega_1)\sigma(\omega_1 - a_3)} \right) e^{\zeta(a_3)\xi_2 - \frac{(p-2)}{2\sqrt{3(p-1)}}y_3} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.27)$$

Since $\phi$ is analytic in $t$, it must be an even function of $t$. For negative $t$, $s = -1$, we have

$$\mathbb{R}^3 \ni (\xi_2, y_3) \rightarrow \vec{r} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3p} \left( \frac{\sigma(\xi_2 + \omega_1 + a_1)\sigma(\omega_1)}{\sigma(\xi_2 + \omega_1)\sigma(\omega_1 + a_1)} \right) e^{-\zeta(a_1)\xi_2 + \frac{(p-1)}{2\sqrt{3(p-1)}}y_3} \\ \frac{1}{3p} \left( \frac{\sigma(\xi_2 + \omega_1 + a_2)\sigma(\omega_1)}{\sigma(\xi_2 + \omega_1)\sigma(\omega_1 + a_2)} \right) e^{-\zeta(a_2)\xi_2 - \frac{(p-1)}{2\sqrt{3(p-1)}}y_3} \\ \sqrt{3(p-1)}e \left( \frac{\sigma(\xi_2 + \omega_1 + a_3)\sigma(\omega_1)}{\sigma(\xi_2 + \omega_1)\sigma(\omega_1 + a_3)} \right) e^{-\zeta(a_3)\xi_2 - \frac{(p-2)}{2\sqrt{3(p-1)}}y_3} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.28)$$

This affine sphere is asymptotic to the cone given in case 4 of Theorem 2.1, for $\alpha \neq 1$. The affine invariants are given in (3.25)-(3.26). When computing the associated family, we can consider the coefficient $U$ of this affine sphere is $|U| \cdot \arg(U)$. Then, for convenience, substitute $g$, $H$, $U' = |U|$ into frame equations (3.3) and solve them. We conclude the above discussions into the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.2.** The associated family the complete hyperbolic affine sphere (3.13)-(3.15) with $-2(p + q) < c < 0$ in [13] is given by

$$\vec{r}_\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3p} \left( \frac{\sigma(\xi_2 + \omega_1 - s_m_1)\sigma(\omega_1)}{\sigma(\xi_2 + \omega_1)\sigma(\omega_1 - s_m_1)} \right) e^{\zeta(m_1)\xi_2 + f_1(t)y_3} \\ \frac{1}{3p} \left( \frac{\sigma(\xi_2 + \omega_1 - s_m_2)\sigma(\omega_1)}{\sigma(\xi_2 + \omega_1)\sigma(\omega_1 - s_m_2)} \right) e^{\zeta(m_2)\xi_2 + f_2(t)y_3} \\ \sqrt{3(p-1)}e \left( \frac{\sigma(\xi_2 + \omega_1 - s_m_3)\sigma(\omega_1)}{\sigma(\xi_2 + \omega_1)\sigma(\omega_1 - s_m_3)} \right) e^{\zeta(m_3)\xi_2 + f_3(t)y_3} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.29)$$

where $s = -\text{sgn}(\cos(3t))$ and $m_i$ are the solutions of

$$\mathcal{P}(\xi_2) + \frac{b_1}{4} + \frac{-\sqrt{-2c(p-1)U'\sin(3t)}}{3^{3/4}p \cdot f_1(t)} = 0, \quad \mathcal{P}'(m_i) < 0.$$
The functions $f_i(t)$ are given by

$$f_1(t) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{p^2 - p + 1}{p - 1}} \sin\left(\frac{1}{3} \pi + \frac{1}{3} \arccos\left(\frac{U' H \sin(3t)}{\sqrt{p^2 - p + 1}}\right)\right),$$

$$f_2(t) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{p^2 - p + 1}{p - 1}} \cos\left(\frac{1}{3} \pi + \frac{1}{3} \arccos\left(\frac{U' H \sin(3t)}{\sqrt{p^2 - p + 1}}\right)\right),$$

$$f_3(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{p^2 - p + 1}{p - 1}} \cos\left(\frac{1}{3} \arccos\left(\frac{U' H \sin(3t)}{\sqrt{p^2 - p + 1}}\right)\right).$$

What's more, the affine metric, the affine mean curvature and the affine cubic form are given as

$$g = (\mathcal{P}(\xi_2 + \omega_1) + \frac{2(p + q - 1) - 3b_1}{12})(d\xi_2^2 + dy_3^2), \quad H = -1,$$

$$U d(\xi_2 + i y_3)^3 = e^{3it} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{c^2 \cdot (p - 1)}{(32p)^2} + \frac{(p - 2)^2(2p - 1)^2(p + 1)^2}{4(12(p - 1))^3}} d(\xi_2 + i y_3)^3.$$

$c = 0$. In this case $s = 1$, $\beta = 1$ and (3.22)-(3.24) the become

$$\mathbb{R}^\infty \ni (\xi_2, y_3) \rightarrow \vec{r} = \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ r_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{3\sqrt{2p - 1}} \sqrt{\mathcal{P}(\xi_2 + \omega_1) + \frac{2p - 1}{4\sqrt{2p - 1}} y_3} \\ \frac{3}{\sqrt{2p - 1}} \sqrt{\mathcal{P}(\xi_2 + \omega_1) + \frac{2p - 1}{4\sqrt{2p - 1}} y_3} \\ \frac{-2}{3\sqrt{2p - 1}} \sqrt{\mathcal{P}(\xi_2 + \omega_1) + \frac{2p - 1}{4\sqrt{2p - 1}} y_3} \end{pmatrix} \text{, \quad (3.30)}$$

for positive $t$. Then for negative $t$, we have

$$\mathbb{R}^\infty \ni (\xi_2, y_3) \rightarrow \vec{r} = \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ r_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-2}{3\sqrt{2p - 1}} \sqrt{\mathcal{P}(\xi_2 + \omega_1) + \frac{2p - 1}{4\sqrt{2p - 1}} y_3} \\ \frac{3}{\sqrt{2p - 1}} \sqrt{\mathcal{P}(\xi_2 + \omega_1) + \frac{2p - 1}{4\sqrt{2p - 1}} y_3} \\ \frac{2}{3\sqrt{2p - 1}} \sqrt{\mathcal{P}(\xi_2 + \omega_1) + \frac{2p - 1}{4\sqrt{2p - 1}} y_3} \end{pmatrix} \text{. \quad (3.31)}$$

This affine sphere is asymptotic to the cone given in case 4 of Theorem 2.1 with $\alpha = 1$. The affine metric, the affine mean curvature and the affine cubic form of this affine sphere are

$$g = (\mathcal{P}(\xi_2 + \omega_1, g_2, g_3) + \frac{2(p + q - 1) - 3b_1}{12})(d\xi_2^2 + dy_3^2),$$

$$H = -1, \quad U d(\xi_2 + i y_3)^3 = -i \frac{(p - 2)(2p - 1)(p + 1)}{2(12(p - 1))^3} d(\xi_2 + i y_3)^3.$$

Remark 3. By direct computation, it is easy check the expression of affine spheres (3.30)-(3.31) can get from (3.27)-(3.28) when $c \to 0$. Henceforth, the associated families in this case can also get from (3.29) by $c \to 0$.

$c = -2(p + q), \beta = 1$. In this case $s = 1$, and (3.22)-(3.24) the becomes
Theorem 3.3. The associated family of the complete hyperbolic affine sphere in [13]:

\[ \mathbb{R}_{++} \times \mathbb{R} \ni \left( \xi \mu \right) \rightarrow \vec{r} = \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ r_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3(p-1)}}(\sqrt{p^2 - p + 1} \coth(\sqrt{p^2 - p + 1} \xi_2) + 1)e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3(p-1)}} \xi_2 + \frac{2p-1}{2\sqrt{3(p-1)}} y_3} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3(p-1)}}(\sqrt{p^2 - p + 1} \coth(\sqrt{p^2 - p + 1} \xi_2) + (p - 1))e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3(p-1)}} \xi_2 + \frac{p-1}{2\sqrt{3(p-1)}} y_3} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3p}}(\sqrt{p^2 - p + 1} \coth(\sqrt{p^2 - p + 1} \xi_2) - p)e^{2\sqrt{3p} \xi_2 - \frac{p-2}{2\sqrt{3(p-1)}} y_3} \end{pmatrix}. \]

This affine sphere is asymptotic to the cone given in case 5 of Theorem 2.1. The affine metric, the affine mean curvature and the affine cubic form of this affine sphere are

\[ g = \frac{p^2 - p + 1}{4(p - 1)}(\coth(\sqrt{p^2 - p + 1} \xi_2) - \frac{1}{3})(d\xi_2^2 + dy_3^2), \]

\[ H = -1, \quad Ud(\xi_2 + iy_3)^3 = -i\frac{3(2p - \sqrt{3} - 1)^3}{576(p - 1)^{3/2}}d(\xi_2 + iy_3)^3. \]

Substituting \( g, H, U' = |U| \) into frame equations (3.3) and solving them, we can get the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.3.** The associated family of the complete hyperbolic affine sphere in [13]:

\[ \mathbb{R}_{++} \times \mathbb{R} \ni \left( \xi \mu \right) \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ r_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \frac{\xi \sqrt{\xi + p + q - 1}}{\sqrt{p + q}} \frac{1}{3} \left( t^{-\frac{1}{3}} e^{\frac{q+1}{3\sqrt{2p-1}}} \right) \\ t^{-\frac{1}{3}} e^{\frac{q+1}{3\sqrt{2p-1}}} \right) \\ t^{\frac{1}{3}} e^{-\frac{q}{3\sqrt{2p-1}}} \right) \),

\[ t = (\sqrt{\xi + p + q - 1} + \sqrt{p + q}) \left( \frac{\xi}{(\sqrt{\xi + p + q - 1} + \sqrt{p + q - 1})^2} \right) \left( \frac{\sqrt{\xi + p + q - 1} + \sqrt{p - 1}}{\xi + p} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left( \frac{\sqrt{\xi + p + q - 1} + \sqrt{p - 1}}{\xi + q} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \]

is given by

\[ \vec{r}_\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{p^2 - p + 1}{3(p - 1)}}. \]

\[ \begin{pmatrix} \coth(\sqrt{\frac{p^2 - p + 1}{4(p - 1)}} \xi_2) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\sqrt{3} \sin(t) + \cos(t))e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\sqrt{3} \sin(t) + \cos(t))\xi_2 + (\sqrt{3} \cos(t) - \sin(t)y_3) \right) \\ \coth(\sqrt{\frac{p^2 - p + 1}{4(p - 1)}} \xi_2) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(-\sqrt{3} \sin(t) + \cos(t))e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(-\sqrt{3} \sin(t) + \cos(t))\xi_2 + (-\sqrt{3} \cos(t) - \sin(t)y_3) \right) \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}(\coth(\sqrt{\frac{p^2 - p + 1}{4(p - 1)}} \xi_2) + 2\sqrt{3} \cos(t))e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\sqrt{3} \sin(t) + \cos(t))\xi_2 + (\sqrt{3} \cos(t) - \sin(t)y_3) \right) \) \]
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with the affine metric, the affine mean curvature and the affine cubic form:

\[ g = \frac{p^2 - p + 1}{4(p - 1)} (\coth^2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{p^2 - p + 1}}{2\sqrt{p - 1}} \xi_2 \right) - \frac{1}{3}) (d\xi_2^2 + dy_3^2), \]

\[ H = -1, \quad Ud(\xi_2 + iy_3)^3 = e^{3it} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{3}}{72} \left( \frac{p^2 - p + 1}{p - 1} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} d(\xi_2 + iy_3)^3. \]

\[ c = -2(p + q), \beta = +\infty. \] In this case \( s = -1, \) and (3.22)-(3.24) the becomes

\[ \mathbb{R}_{-\infty} \times \mathbb{R} \ni \begin{pmatrix} \xi_2 \\ y_3 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \vec{r} = \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ r_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3(p-1)}} (\sqrt{p^2 - p + 1} \coth(\frac{\sqrt{p^2 - p + 1}}{2\sqrt{p - 1}} \xi_2) - 1) e^{\frac{1}{2\sqrt{p-1}} \xi_2 + \frac{2p-1}{2\sqrt{3(p-1)}} y_3} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3(p-1)}} (\sqrt{p^2 - p + 1} \coth(\frac{\sqrt{p^2 - p + 1}}{2\sqrt{p - 1}} \xi_2) - (p - 1)) e^{\frac{p}{2\sqrt{p-1}} \xi_2 - \frac{p-1}{2\sqrt{3(p-1)}} y_3} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3p}} (\sqrt{p^2 - p + 1} \coth(\frac{\sqrt{p^2 - p + 1}}{2\sqrt{p - 1}} \xi_2) + p) e^{\frac{p}{2\sqrt{p-1}} \xi_2 - \frac{p-1}{2\sqrt{3(p-1)}} y_3} \end{pmatrix}. \]

This affine sphere is asymptotic to the cone given in case 3 of Theorem 21. Since this affine sphere is in the same associated family with the previous case, their affine metrics and affine mean curvatures are the same, and the cubic form of this case is

\[ Ud(\xi_2 + iy_3)^3 = -i \frac{\sqrt{3}(2p + \sqrt{3}i - 1)^3}{576(p - 1)^{3/2}} d(\xi_2 + iy_3)^3. \]

At last, we explain that any regular convex cone in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) should have a natural associated family of such cones.

If we try the transformations:

\[ \sqrt{\frac{p^2 - p + 1}{12(p-1)}} \xi_2 \rightarrow \xi_2, \quad \sqrt{\frac{p^2 - p + 1}{12(p-1)}} y_3 \rightarrow y_3, \]

\[ \vec{r}_\lambda \rightarrow A \cdot (D \cdot B)^{-1} \cdot E \cdot \vec{r}_\lambda \]

for \( \vec{r}_\lambda \) in the Theorem 3.3, with the matrices A, B, D in the Theorem 3.1 and

\[ E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \sqrt{\frac{3p^2}{p^2 - p + 1}} \\ 0 & -\sqrt{\frac{3(p-1)}{p^2 - p + 1}} & 0 \\ -\sqrt{\frac{3(p-1)}{p^2 - p + 1}} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \]

it is easy to see that the associated family in Theorem 3.3 is the same with it in Theorem 3.1, i.e., case 1, case 3 and case 5 are all in the same associated family. Conversely, any member in this family can be found in these three cases. The cones which these members are asymptotic to also can be found in the corresponding cases of the semi-homogeneous cones, which form a natural associated family of cones.

There are similar results for case 4. To show this, we should use the following relationship between Weierstrass \( \wp \)-function and Jacobi SN-function:

\[ \wp(x, g_2, g_3) = \frac{e_1 - e_3}{sn^2(\sqrt{e_1 - e_3 x}, k^2)} + e_3, \quad (3.32) \]
here \( e_i \) are the roots of \( 4z^3 - g_2z - g_3 = 0 \) which are ordered by \( e_1 > e_2 > e_3 \), and \( sn \) is the Jacobi SN-function with the elliptic modulus \( k^2 = \frac{e_2-e_3}{e_1-e_3} \). For any associated family in Theorem 3.2 with \( p = p_1 \) and \( c = c_1 \), we try the transformation:

\[
\sqrt{e_1 - e_3} \xi_2 \rightarrow \xi_2, \quad \sqrt{e_1 - e_3} y_3 \rightarrow y_3.
\]

For all \( p \in [2, +\infty] \), we can get the parameter \( c \) by solving the equation:

\[
k^2(p_1, c_1) = k^2(p, c).
\]

For example, if we choose \( p_1 = 3 \), \( c_1 = 1 \) and \( p = 2 \), we can get \( c = 4.39 \). In this way, we can find out \( g \) and the module of \( U \) are the same for \((p_1, c_1)\) case and \((p, c)\) case, i.e., they are in the same associated family. So we find out the corresponding relationship between the complete hyperbolic affine spheres in case 4 and the associated families in Theorem 3.2. By this relationship, we can find the corresponding cones of the associated families in Theorem 3.2, which also form natural associated families of cones.

In conclusion, in general any regular convex cone in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) has a natural associated \( S^1 \)-family of such cones, which deserve further studies. Given any natural associated family of some semi-homogeneous cone, it may contain case 1, case 3 and case 5 of semi-homogenous cones or case 4.
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