The aim of this study was to investigate the influence of metabolic cage housing on the Wistar rat physiological state and to analyze the correlation between the minerals in blood and urine. Thirty male rats were used in the experiment. Fifteen rats (control group) were housed individually in standard polycarbonate cages and fifteen rats (experimental group) in metabolic cages (Techniplast, Italy) for two weeks. Body weight, respiration rate, water and food consumptions were recorded for each animal at the beginning of the experiment. The same parameters, as well as blood and urine parameters of control and experimental animals were recorded during the experiment after 72 h, 168 h and 336 h of housing in standard cages and metabolic cages. Urine collection was measured only in the experimental group. Rats weight decreased from 3.84 % to 18.59 % (P<0.05), respiration rate from 18.65 % to 24.59 % (P<0.05) when rats were housed in metabolic cages. Consumption of food and water by the rat depended on how long the animal was kept in metabolic cage. Glucose concentration increased on average by 15.37 %, WBC count decreased by 5.83 % in the blood of rats housed in metabolic cages compared to the animals housed in standard cages. We did not observe significant changes of triglycerides concentration, red blood cells count and total protein between all rats. The positive moderate correlation of rat housing in a metabolic cage was between K blood and K urine, P blood and P urine, Na blood and K blood, between Na urine and P urine and significant negative moderate correlation was determined between K urine and P urine. These present study findings indicate that metabolism cage housing significantly affects rat’s physiological parameters and potentially may influence animal health and wellbeing.
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INTRODUCTION

Rodents are mostly used in scientific and experimental studies. Rats are one of the primary mammalian species used for the evaluation of acute and chronic toxicity, metabolism and bioavailability in preclinical evaluation of drugs and preregistration evaluation of chemicals (1, 2). Rats are used for metabolism study, pharmacokinetic study, toxicity testing, vaccine potency testing, model for induction of tumors and etc. (3).

Metabolic cages are used to gain information about metabolic function and how different factors affect animal’s metabolism processes (2, 4). Metabolic cages are equipped with a unique system for the separated collection of faeces and urine. The cage is designed to avoid contamination of the urine and effectively separate urine and faeces into collection tubes outside of the cage (2). The grid floor is a necessity to allow urine and faeces to be collected (5, 6).

Design and finish results are such that the animal has a minimum of comfort and housing on grid floors is negative for animal welfare. Preference tests have shown that most rats prefer to sleep in solid bottom cages (7). Rodents housed in metabolic cages can not perform some of their natural behaviors such as nest-building, hiding, social interaction process (8). Social isolation affected the central nervous system of mice and single housed wild type mice showed an increase of stereotypic behaviors which strongly indicate a lack of possibilities to perform natural behaviors in the environment (9, 10). Moreover, social isolation, lack of nesting material, housing on grid floor and small cage area are environmental factors that may expose rodents...
to stress (8). Conducted surveys revealed that grid floor can cause hypersensitivity and nerve injury in the feet of rats and lead to elevated corticosterone levels, increased blood pressure, heart rate and body temperature.

There are limited scientific investigations performed on the influence of a metabolic cage on animal welfare. Stress can be defined as factor that alters the internal environment of the body (11). The body has some major adaptations to stress in order to maintain homeostasis, prepare for physical activity, such as increased glucocorticoid and catecholamine secretion (12, 13). According to scientists observations it was measured that young male rats housed in metabolic cages had reduced weight gains and produced more amounts of faeces after three days of metabolism cage housing (4). There are various reasons how the type of cage influences weight of rodents. Single housed mice had significantly lower body weights and lower bone mineral content, compared to animals held in groups. Some researches noticed several physiological disruptions due to increased levels of circulating corticosterone in single housed rodents (14, 15). Many scientists conducted studies on social isolation and the release of sympathetic neurotransmitter substances in the brains of mice. Since the reproductive, cardiovascular, gastrointestinal and the immune system are regulated by the sympathetic nervous system any change in the central nervous system will have great impact on the animal’s physiology (16). Attempts to keep rodents in metabolic cages have been carried out in all EU countries, but some researchers noticed only changes in animals behavior, others (2, 8, 10, 17) determined only changes of hormonal activity in blood or lower animals weight, but there is still insufficient knowledge about systematic data changes of physiological parameters.

The aim of this study was to investigate the influence of metabolic cage housing on Wistar rat physiological state and to analyze the correlation between minerals in blood and urine.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

24-weeks-old male Wistar rats weighing 289.05±1.55g (mean±SEM (standard error of the mean)) were used. The rats were kept under normal conditions: light-dark cycle of 12:12 hours, temperature maintained at 22 ± 2°C and relative humidity was 55±5 %. Rats were given ad libitum access to high-quality feed of the same batch and water throughout the whole study in both groups. The commercial standard diet (PA-11700000-171) for rodents contained crude protein 19.91 %, fat 12.05 %, crude fiber 2.79 % and 7.72 % cellulose in 1 kg of feed. All procedures were approved by the local Animal Ethical Committee (No. license G2-16).

A control group of fifteen rats were housed individually in standard polycarbonate cages (Techniplast, Italy), where minimum enclosure size was 800 cm², floor area per animal 350 cm² and minimum 18 cm height according to the Directive 2010/63 EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 September 2010 on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes. Fifteen rats of the experimental group were transferred to and housed in individual metabolic cages (Techniplast, Italy) with stainless steel grid floor for two weeks.

Body weight, respiration rate, water and food consumptions were recorded for each animal in the beginning of the experiment. The same parameters as well as blood and urine parameters of control and experimental animals were recorded during the experiment after 72 h, 168 h, 336 h of housing in standard cages and metabolic cages. Urine collection was measured only in the experimental group. Rats were weighed using the scales KERN PCB000-1 (Germany, 2014). Daily urine was collected at 9 a.m. for analysis and calculation of diuresis intensity. Water and food consumption were calculated via 24 hours. Respiration rate was measured by calculation of breaths per minute. The rats were killed by an overdose of carbon dioxide in camber and blood samples were collected from v. jugularis.

Blood samples collected in heparinized tubes were used for determination of red blood cells (RBC) and white blood cells (WBC) count. RBC and WBC count were calculated using hemacytometer Neubauer chamber (Germany) under the microscope OLYMPUS CX 22LED (Germany).

Serum was separated taking blood in microtubes without anticoagulant, using rotation 4000 x g for 10 min by centrifuge (EBA-200, Germany). Serum levels of glucose (GL), triglycerides (TG) and total protein (T-Pro) were measured by analyzer SPOTCHEM EZ SP-4430 (Arkray Inc., Japan).

The concentrations of minerals as sodium (Na), potassium (K), phosphorus (P) in serum and urine after 336 h housing in metabolic cages were assayed using Cobas Integra 400 plus analyzer and commercial kits (Tegimenta Ltd Roche, Switzerland).

The statistical analysis of the results were carried out using the SPSS (licence No. 9900457; version 15, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). All data are presented as mean±SEM. The level of statistical significance was set at P<0.05. Correlation coefficient (r) was used for measuring the relationship between two
variables. The correlation is considered weak at $r = 0.50$, moderate at $r = 0.50 – 0.75$ and strong at $r = 0.80 – 1.00$.

**RESULTS**

At the beginning of the experiment body weight, respiration rate, consumption of food and water of control and experimental rats were similar within the range of the physiological norms for rats (Table 1). Variation of some functional parameters of the rats during the study have been presented in Table 2. Duration of the housing of rats in the metabolic cage influenced the body weight and respiration rate. Rats weight decreased 3.84 % and 18.59 % ($P<0.05$) respectively when rats were housed 72 h and 168 hours in metabolic cages, compared to the housing of animals in standard cages. We did not determine changes in breathing when rats were housed 72 h in metabolic cages, but the respiration rate decreased from 18.65 to 24.59 % ($P<0.05$) when rats were housed from 168 to 336 hours in metabolic cages compared to the rats housed in the standard cages.

In *vivo* study in rats has demonstrated that metabolic cages have influence on the GL concentration in blood (Table 3). Glucose level increased from 13.95 to 16.79 % in blood of rats housed in metabolic cages compared to the rats housed in standard cages. Our experiment results did not reveal any significant changes of TG concentration, RBC and total protein counts between all rats, but WBC decreased by average 5.83 % (from 5.00 to 6.33 %) ($P<0.05$) in the blood of rats housed in metabolic cages compared to the analogous parameters of housing rats in standard cages.

### Table 1. Body weight, respiration rate, consumption of food and water of control and experimental rats at the beginning of the study

| Parameter                        | Control, n=15 | Experimental, n=15 |
|----------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Body weight, g                   | 288.5±1.8     | 289.6±1.3         |
| Respiration rate, breaths/min     | 60.0±2.3      | 60.0±1.4          |
| Consumption of water, via 24 h, ml| 25.0±1.7      | 24.0±1.5          |
| Consumption of food, via 24 h, g  | 39.7±2.1      | 41.2±1.9          |

### Table 2. Variations of rats’ body weight, respiration rate, consumption of food and water and intensity of diuresis

| Parameter                        | Control group               | Experimental group             |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|
|                                 | after 72 h | after 168 h | after 336 h | after 72 h | after 168 h | after 336 h |
| Number, n                        | 5           | 5           | 5           | 5           | 5           | 5           |
| Body weight, g                   | 288.7±1.4* | 288.4±1.7* | 289.3±2.3  | 277.6±2.2* | 234.8±1.5* | 252.1±2.0  |
| Respiration rate, breaths/min     | 60.0±1.9    | 59.0±2.0*   | 61.0±1.8*  | 61.0±1.7   | 48.0±1.9*  | 46.0±2.0*  |
| Consumption of water, via 24 h, ml| 25.6±2.1  | 24.8±1.7** | 26.3±1.4** | 27.6±1.9   | 40.0±1.5** | 30.0±1.8** |
| Consumption of food, via 24 h, g  | 37.2±2.3  | 40.1±1.9   | 39.2±2.3   | 25.9±2.3   | 25.0±1.8   | 23.9±1.5   |
| For a 24–h intensity of diuresis, ml | -       | -           | -           | 11.3±2.0   | 14.5±2.2   | 12.0±2.6   |

$P<0.05*$; $P<0.01**
We found that when rats were housed 336 hours in metabolic cages there were changes in the main minerals in blood and urine and results are presented in Table 4. Correlation coefficient was used for measuring the relationship between the two variables. The positive moderate correlation was between K blood and K urine ($r=0.7328; P<0.01$), P blood and P urine ($r=0.6932; P<0.01$), Na blood and K blood ($r=0.6151; P<0.01$), Na urine and P urine ($r=0.7373; P<0.01$) and significant negative moderate correlation was determined between K urine and P urine.

| Parameter | Control group | Experimental group |
|-----------|---------------|--------------------|
| RBC, $\times 10^{12}$/L | 4.2±0.05 | 4.2±0.14 |
| WBC, $\times 10^{9}$/L | 8.1±0.40* | 7.4±0.09* |
| T-Pro, g/L | 56.3±0.84 | 54.6±0.80 |
| GL, mmol/L | 6.88±0.10* | 7.93±0.41* |
| TG, mmol/L | 1.62±0.08 | 1.76±0.04 |

DISCUSSION

Modern housing technologies, physical environmental factors, research experiments, human interaction and intervention are parts of the stimuli presented to rats every day, influencing their physiology and contributing to their welfare. Human interaction, certain environmental conditions and routine procedures in the animal facility might induce stress responses and when the animal is unable to maintain its homeostasis in the presence of a particular stressor, the animal’s wellbeing is threatened. When rats were housed in metabolic cages, the human interaction process increased because of daily urine collection and cage washing. The present study focused on the impact of the metabolic cage on the laboratory rat physiological state and correlation between minerals in blood and urine. When rodents are used in experiments with preclinical animal models they are kept in metabolic cages with specific floor construction and this fact can influence some functional parameters (18). Our results revealed that the long-term effect of housing of rats in a metabolic cages lead to changes in feeding, water consumption and some blood parameters compared to the housing of rats in standard cages. This may be associated with the specific construction of the cage floor that influences changes in the respiration rate of rats and this parameter decreased by 21.62 %.

We established a tendency of increasing water consumption by 14.07 % and decreasing of food consumption by 39.04 % when rats were housed for 336 hours in metabolic cages. Scientists indicated that consumption of water and food depends on the bedding material volume (19), but in our experiment bedding was not provided. According to
our analysis if the plate of the feeding area in the metabolic cage is not a very small grid construction, the rats are feeling better because they stand in a normal position. Some scientists identified that cage design and construction may influence temperature in the cage, which can affect the physiological state of the organism (20).

We determined that long-term housing of rats when this duration is applied in preclinical studies has negative effect on rats’ functional parameters. After 7-14 days of rat’s social isolation there was a significant decreased WBC count. Glucose concentration in blood, as one of the stress factors, depended on the duration of metabolic cage housing. We noticed that when rats were housed in metabolic cages from 168 to 336 hours, the glucose concentration increased by average of 15.37 % if compared to the housing of animals in standard cages. Several authors have shown that cage cleaning promotes an acute stress response in laboratory animals (10, 21). They reported that cage cleaning of adult male Sprague-Dawley rats, housed in groups of three, elevated serum concentrations of corticosterone and prolactin (15, 22, 23). We found that daily cleaning of metabolic cages and urine samples taking caused fear in rats. This effect showed that activation of the sympathetic nervous system has also been associated with routine housing procedures such as cage cleaning and significant increases in plasma noradrenaline and adrenaline (15, 24). Furthermore, we determined positive moderate correlation between K blood and K urine, P blood and P urine, Na blood and K blood, Na urine and P urine, but also significant negative moderate correlation was found between K urine and P urine. Researchers should be careful with animal housing in metabolic cages when planning studies, because this modern cage caused potential discomfort due to floor construction, there is no place for rest and the animal does not have social communication.

CONCLUSION

Housing rodents in cages that exposes them to social isolation, or not natural grid floor construction, may cause physiological changes due to stress responses. But stress levels depended on the duration of housing in a metabolic cage. Rats weight decreased from 3.84 to 18.59 % (P<0.05), respiration rate from 18.65 to 24.59 % (P<0.05) when rats were housed in a metabolic cage. Consumption of food and water of the rat depended on how long the animal was kept in a metabolic cage. Glucose concentration increased by 15.37 %, WBC count decreased by 5.83 % in the blood of rats housed in metabolic cages compared to the animals housed in standard cages. We did not observe significant changes in triglycerides concentration, red blood cells count and total protein between all rats. The positive moderate correlation in rats housed in metabolic cages was between K blood and K urine, P blood and P urine, Na blood and K blood, between Na urine and P urine and significant negative moderate correlation was determined between K urine and P urine. These findings indicate that metabolism cage housing significantly affects rat’s physiological parameters and potentially may influence the animal health and wellbeing.
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