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ABSTRACT

Background and Objective: Social networking sites (SNSs) are online platforms that provide individuals with an opportunity to manage their personal relationship and remain updated with the world. The primary objective of the present research was to find the pattern of school students’ SNS usage and its influence on their academic performance.

Materials and Methods: The setting was an English medium school situated in the metropolitan city of Siliguri in West Bengal. A pretested and predesigned questionnaire was self-administered anonymously by 388 randomly selected students. The data were analyzed using appropriate statistics. Results: Three hundred thirty-eight (87.1%) students used SNS and spent an increased amount of time on these networks. Addiction was seen in 70.7% and was more common in the age group of 17 years and above. Conclusion: There is a need to educate students about the ways of using SNS and the perils associated with it, to help them understand that though very much in trend, they should be used cautiously.
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INTRODUCTION

Social networking has always been in our cultures and beliefs and is a way of expanding the number of one’s contact by making connections.[1] The effect of the internet and the study of modern sociology has changed the backdrop of social networking from face to face to online networks. The introduction and increased use of online social networking sites (SNSs) such as Facebook, Twitter, WhatsApp, Hike, Orkut, Tumblr, etc., have become a global phenomenon of the last decade and have revolutionized the way of communication.[2] As far as the impact of SNS is concerned, though they have contributed in diminishing the distance between friends and families, their negative effects, such as exposure to unwanted contacts, abusive messages, harassment, stalking, bullying, easy access to personal info, and their time-consuming nature should not be neglected.[3]

Adolescents and young adults have embraced these sites much more than the older generations, to connect with their peers, share information, reinvent their personality, and showcase their social lives. They are
usually more prone to develop addictive behaviors to SNS, as they are habitually alert to what happens in these virtual environments.\cite{4}

Often, they participate in virtual conversations, answer messages even in inappropriate circumstances, and get pathologically engaged in these activities, resulting in poor academic performance, insomnia, distress, anxiety, lack of focus, disrupted eating habits, excessive fatigue, and diminished real-life social interactions.\cite{5-8}

The young adults spend their leisure time by sitting in front of the PC, ogling their mobile phone’s screen, or updating their status about their feelings and needs instead of sharing it with their parents and friends. Consequently, very often, they get cut off from the real world. In its absence, they start yearning for it, and occasionally they become the target of unscrupulous activities.\cite{9,10}

Increased use of SNS can take a toll on the academic performance of students. It tends to become a distraction to these children as they tend to pay more attention to these sites instead of the textbooks, which can have a harmful impact on the learning environment.\cite{11} Siliguri, being situated at the confluence of North East India and West Bengal, has a perfect blend of diversity with students coming together from different ethnicities. Since there is a dearth of published literature on the subject in these regions the present study attempts to investigate the pattern of usage of online SNS among school students of these areas.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

- **Study type and design:** A cross-sectional descriptive study
- **Study period:** August 2016 to September 2016
- **Study setting:** A prominent English medium school of Siliguri, was the setting
- **Study population:** All students studying in Class IX–XII were selected
- **Exclusion criteria:** Students absent on the days of data collection and students who themselves or their parents did not give consent
- **Sample size:** Assuming an estimated SNS usage rate as 30% and applying a formula for sample size as:
  \[ n = \frac{Z_{\alpha}^2 P(1-P)}{L^2}, \]
  where \( n \) is the sample size,
  \( Z_{\alpha} \) is the standard normal variate at \( \alpha = 0.05 \) level is 1.96,
  \( P \) is the proportion of estimated SNS usage rate as 30%, and
  \( L \) is the absolute precision = 0.05%.

The sample size comes out to be 192. After adjusting for the design effect of 2 and 10% nonresponse rate, the final sample size calculated was 422.

- **Sampling technique:** A sampling frame of students was prepared from the list of eligible students enrolled in the school register. By systematic random sampling, 422 students from IX to XII of the school were selected from the sampling frame.
- **Data collection tools and technique:** Data were collected using a pretested and predesigned self-administered anonymous questionnaire consisting of questions on sociodemographic details to obtain information on age, gender, parents’ occupations, education, type of family, monthly income, etc., and questions regarding academic performance and pattern of SNS use.

A new scale was developed, with the help of literature review and consultation of experts (school teachers, experts from the departments of psychiatry and community medicine), to measure addiction to SNS. The suggestions proposed by the experts were reviewed, and a homogenized version of the questionnaire was developed with 25 items. The answers ranged from *always* (3) to *never* (0). Pretesting of the questionnaire was carried out on a convenience sample of 30 students, the findings of which were not included in the final analysis. The clarity and relevance of items were assessed, certain modifications were made on the basis of the findings of the pretest, and the questionnaire was customized for the study.

**Statistical analysis**

After collecting all data, data entry was done in Microsoft Excel. Data were organized and presented by applying principles of descriptive and inferential statistics. Categorical data were analyzed using the Chi-square test, and the responses of the addiction scale were summarized by means and standard deviations.

Based on the responses of the students to the items of the questionnaire, a two-stage cluster analysis was performed to identify groups of students who were homogeneous within themselves but heterogeneous with each other regarding their addiction to SNS. Individual questions of the SNS addiction scale were used as input variables in the cluster analysis. A cluster of students with higher mean scores was labeled as “addicted” and another as “not addicted.” Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20 was used for analysis.

**Ethical considerations**

Ethical clearance was taken from the Institutional Ethics Committee of North Bengal Medical College, Darjeeling before conducting the study.
was also obtained from the respective school authority. Informed consent and assent were obtained from the guardians and the participating students, respectively, prior to data collection. Anonymity and confidentiality of the information provided by the participants were ensured.

RESULTS

Of all the students approached, 410 returned the questionnaires. Of that, 22 questionnaires were found incomplete. So, the final analysis was done on 388 students. The mean age of the students was 15.1 ± 1.44 years, and the 229 males (68.6%) outnumbered the 109 females (31.4%).

The proportion of use of online SNS among the study population is 87.1% (338 out of 388) [Figure 1]. Among the 388 users, the most common sites visited were WhatsApp [used by 277 (82%) of the sample], followed by Facebook used by 254 (75.1%) and Instagram used by 114 users representing 33.7% of the study sample [Figure 2]. Smartphones were the most common [256 (75.7%)] means of accessing the SNS, followed by desktop/laptop computers at home [207 (61.5%)]. The frequency of checking SNS varied from more than five times a day [102 (30.2%)] to less than once a month [11 (3.3%)]. The SNS users, 262 (77.5%), opined that their online accounts carry real information about them; 110 students (32.5%) said that their academic performance had been affected negatively by social networking; 185 (54.7%) felt that spending time on SNS interfered with the ability to study or complete assignments in the last one month; 77 (22.8%) were late in handing an assignment because he/she spent time on SNS instead of doing the homework.

After performing two-stage cluster analysis from the responses obtained in the scale used, the proportion of addiction to SNS was found to be 70.7% (239 out of 338) [Figure 1]. The most important predictor was being connected with friends by Facebook or WhatsApp. The quality of cluster solution was fair (average silhouette = 0.3).

Table 1 shows the association of different epidemiological correlates with addiction to SNS. The proportion of addicted students was significantly higher among the age group of 17 years and above. Male gender, homemaker mothers, and monthly family income less than Rs. 100,000 had greater odds of being addicted to SNS. The odds of SNS addiction were also higher when 50% or more online friends were known in real life, when online accounts contained real-life data, and among the students who accessed SNS by smartphones. Significantly higher odds of SNS addiction were found in students who had opined that SNS had interfered with their studies [Table 1].

DISCUSSION

Social networks not only enable users to communicate beyond local or social boundaries but also offer possibilities to share user-generated contents such as photos and videos and have features such as social games. According to studies, the adolescents are one of the most prolific users and SNS are greatly impacting their lives.[12] Users between the ages of 15 and 19 years spend at least 3 hours a day on average on social media.[13] In the current study, among 388 students, 388 (87.1%) reported that they use online SNS, which was quite higher than the rate reported by Machold et al. among Irish teenagers (72%) in 2012.[14] This increase can be explained by the fact that more and more students are accessing internet through smartphones which have become quite affordable now.

Although Facebook holds the most dominant spot in many surveys, WhatsApp topped the ranking in the current study. This may be because of providing the user with free instant messaging on mobile data and the required privacy. An exploratory research among school students and teachers mentioned WhatsApp’s technical advantages (simple operation, low cost, availability, and immediacy), educational advantages (creation of a pleasant environment, cooperation and working as a team, and an in-depth acquaintance with
With the advent of newer technology, smartphones have become the preferred device for SNS use, mainly due to their portability. Also, the arrival of the selfie and its connection with SNSs have added to the overall craze. Of all the SNS users in the present study, 75.7% access it through smartphones. The present study suggests that most of the subjects, i.e., 157 (46.4%) visit SNS at least once each day, while 102 (30.2%) subjects responded that they visit these sites more than five times each day. Previous studies showed that those with greater access reported having more social media profiles on multiple SNS. Moreover, those with greater access also reported logging into those profiles more frequently than those with less access.

A total of 185 (54.7%) subjects felt that their academic performance has been affected by social networking, while 22.8% were even late in submitting an assignment because of the time spent on SNS. A conference paper by Karpinski suggested that college students who are Facebook users have lower grades than students who are not users of the site. A study among college students of Oman reflected that about 36% of the respondents felt that spending time on SNS had distracted them and had affected their study timings. Addiction to social networking sites

Excessive and compulsive online social networking behavior has been suggested to be a behavioral addiction. Andreassen and Pallesen define SNS addiction as "being overly concerned about SNS, to be driven by a strong motivation to log on to or use SNS and to devote so much time and effort to SNS that it impairs other social activities, studies/job, interpersonal relationships, and/or psychological health and well-being." In the present study, the proportion of students found addicted to SNS was 70.7%.

Factors related to addiction to social networking sites

Majority of the subjects who were addicted were in the age group of 17 years and above. A study comparing 50 teenagers (13–19 years) and the same number of older persons (60 years and above) who use MySpace revealed that teenagers’ friends networks were larger and that their friends were more similar to themselves with regard to age.

SNS addiction and gender

Research has suggested ambiguous patterns in SNS usage among males and females. Some studies state that

| Table 1: Predictors of SNS addiction among the study population |
|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| Variables                        | Total         | Addiction to SNS | COR (95% CI)† | AOR (95% CI)˜ |
|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| Age group                       |                | Not addicted (%) | Addicted (%)  |                |
| 12-14 years                     | 122            | 49 (40.2)       | 73 (59.8)     | 1(Referent)    |
| 15-16 years                     | 127            | 35 (27.6)       | 92 (72.4)     | 1.76 (1.04, 3.00) |
| ≥17 years                       | 89             | 15 (16.9)       | 74 (83.1)     | 3.31 (1.71, 6.42) |
| Gender                          |                |                |               |                |
| Female                          | 109            | 34 (31.2)       | 75 (68.8)     | 1(Referent)    |
| Male                            | 229            | 65 (28.4)       | 164 (71.6)    | 1.14 (0.70, 1.88) |
| Occupation of mother            |                |                |               |                |
| Housewife                       | 242            | 69 (28.5)       | 173 (71.5)    | 1(Referent)    |
| Service                         | 96             | 30 (31.2)       | 66 (68.8)     | 0.88 (0.53, 1.47) |
| Family income per month         |                |                |               |                |
| < Rs 100,000                    | 199            | 58 (29.1)       | 141 (70.9)    | 1(Referent)    |
| ≥Rs. 100,000                    | 139            | 41 (29.5)       | 98 (70.5)     | 0.98 (0.61, 1.58) |
| Proportion of online friends known in real life | 261 | 72 (27.6) | 189 (72.4) | 1(Referent)    |
| 50 or more                      | 77             | 27 (35.1)       | 50 (64.9)     | 0.71 (0.41, 1.21) |
| Less than 50                    |                |                |               |                |
| Accounts contain real information |                |                |               |                |
| No                              | 76             | 31 (40.8)       | 45 (59.2)     | 1(Referent)    |
| Yes                             | 262            | 68 (26.0)       | 194 (74.0)    | 0.51 (0.30, 0.87) |
| Interfered with studies         |                |                |               |                |
| Never                           | 153            | 59 (38.6)       | 94 (61.4)     | 1(Referent)    |
| Once or more                    | 185            | 40 (21.6)       | 145 (78.4)    | 0.44 (0.27, 0.71) |
| Access SNS by smartphone        |                |                |               |                |
| No                              | 82             | 31 (37.8)       | 51 (62.2)     | 1(Referent)    |
| Yes                             | 256            | 68 (26.6)       | 188 (73.4)    | 1.68 (0.99, 2.84) |
| Total                           | 338            | 99 (29.3)       | 239 (70.7)    |                |

* Statistically significant; †Crude odds ratio; ˜Adjusted odds ratio. SNS – Social Networking Site
men tend to have more friends on SNS than women,
whereas others have found the opposite results.
In addition, men were found to take more risks with
regard to disclosure of personal information.
A Korean study had revealed that SNS addiction had
the greatest impact on internet game addiction among
the male middle school students. In the current study,
the association of addiction to SNS with the gender
of the subject is not so significant with males (71.6%)
syntax higher in number compared to females (69.7%).

**SNS addiction and mothers’ employment**
Mother’s employment status is an important variable,
which may reveal meaningful differences in the
children. However, the relationship has to be studied
in moderation with other variables, such as family
structure, the father’s role, and the parents’ parenting
styles. In the current study, though the odds of
addiction were higher in children of stay at home
mothers, the difference was not statistically significant.

**Online accounts contain real data**
SNs are designed to encourage the sharing of information.
However, few people may not choose to reveal everything,
and consequently, restrict and prune their profiles by
masking information they don’t want others to know.
Research by the Pew Research Center had revealed that
in recent times, adolescents are sharing more information
about themselves on social media sites than they did in
the past. In the present study, SNS addiction was found
more among users who shared real data.

**Social networking sites and smartphone**
Nowadays, smartphones are ubiquitous, with their
user-friendly interfaces and advanced computing
capabilities. Similar to the findings of the present
study, a study by Salehan et al. found that use of
smartphones was an important predictor of addiction.
A survey by the Pew Research Center showed that
91% of teens go online from a mobile device, at least
occasionally.

**Social networking and academics**
Among the 185 respondents who had answered in
the affirmative to whether the use of the social media
affects their academic work, 143 (78.4%) were found
to be significantly addicted to SNSs.

Several studies have been conducted to find out the
impact of social media on the academic performance
of students. According to Ito et al., teens use these
technologies for a number of positive activities,
which include delving deeper into interest-driven
communities and participating in various activities.
Conversely, Mingle et al. found that high school students
experienced negative effects such as poor grammar and
spelling, late submission of an assignment, less study
time, and poor academic performance due to the heavy
participation on social media networks. This was
supported by Kirschner and Karpinski, who found a
significant negative relationship between Facebook use
and academic performance.

A student’s involvement in activities such as making
friends on SNS should be seen as students having access
to relevant information that can be channeled toward
improving the students’ academic performance. This
depends on the ability and willingness of the concerned
individual to be able to harness that opportunity and to
cope with academic-related stress. Helou et al., in their
study among Malaysian university students, found out
that the majority of respondents agreed that SNSs have
a positive impact on their academic performance.

The strengths of the study are that it is first of its
kind to be done in this part of the country and that it
used a scale for objectively measuring the addiction.
However, the limitations should be taken into account
while interpreting our findings. The data were all
self-reported by the school students, which may lead
to social desirability bias and recall bias. Moreover, this
study was conducted among the students of a single
institution. Hence, generalization with other schools
may not be possible, even though the comparisons
made with other studies remain valid.

**CONCLUSION**

The present study examined the use of SNS among school
students. The results indicated that sociodemographic
factors such as age, gender, accessibility, etc., are all
associated with the SNS addiction among adolescents.
The amount of time spent using social media has a
positive association with the SNS addiction, meaning
the more time one spends on social media, the more
they will show addiction, which ultimately occurs at the
expense of the time allotted for studying. The majority
of the respondents agreed that social media usage
interferes with their routine activity, in this context the
academic performance.
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