Circular photogalvanic spectroscopy of Rashba splitting in 2D hybrid organic–inorganic perovskite multiple quantum wells
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The two-dimensional (2D) Ruddlesden–Popper organic-inorganic halide perovskites such as (2D)-phenethylammonium lead iodide (2D-PEPI) have layered structure that resembles multiple quantum wells (MQW). The heavy atoms in 2D-PEPI contribute a large spin-orbit coupling that influences the electronic band structure. Upon breaking the inversion symmetry, a spin splitting (‘Rashba splitting’) occurs in the electronic bands. We have studied the spin splitting in 2D-PEPI single crystals using the circular photogalvanic effect (CPGE). We confirm the existence of Rashba splitting at the electronic band extrema of 35±10 meV, and identify the main inversion symmetry breaking direction perpendicular to the MQW planes.

The CPGE action spectrum above the bandgap reveals spin-polarized photocurrent generated by ultrafast relaxation of excited photocarriers separated in momentum space. Whereas the helicity dependent photocurrent with below-gap excitation is due to spin-galvanic effect of the ionized spin-polarized excitons, where spin polarization occurs in the spin-split bands due to asymmetric spin-flip.
In recent years the three-dimensional (3D) hybrid organic–inorganic halide perovskites (HOIP) with the general formula of $\text{AMX}_n$, where A is an organic cation such as methylammonium (MA), M a divalent metal cation such as $\text{Pb}^{2+}$, and X$^-$ the halide anion such as $\text{I}^-$, have been extensively studied$^{1-3}$. The superior optical and electronic properties of these semiconductors have opened a wide range of optoelectronic applications, such as photovoltaic devices, light emitting diodes, and lasers$^{4-9}$. The heavy atoms, namely lead and halogen endow HOIP with large spin–orbit coupling (SOC). In the presence of structural inversion symmetry breaking, the SOC leads to spin-splitting of the continuum bands, a phenomenon known as 'Rashba splitting' (see Fig. 1d)$^{10-14}$. This may open a new avenue of using HOIP in spin-related optoelectronic and spintronic applications$^{15-17}$.

The 2D version of the HOIP are the multilayered Ruddlesden-Popper compounds with the general formula of $\text{A}_2\text{A'}_{n-1}\text{M}_n\text{X}_{3n+1}$, with the corner-sharing $[\text{MX}_6]^{14-}$ octahedra forming the inorganic perovskite layers separated by the bilayer of interdigitated long chain organic cation $\text{A}^{18,19}$, where n indicates the number of inorganic perovskite layers. When $n > 1$, a small organic cation $\text{A'}$ can also be intercalated in the cube formed by eight corner-sharing $[\text{MX}_6]^{14-}$ octahedra. 2D HOIP offer superior stability over the 3D counterparts due to the protection of the hydrophobic organic layer, and tunability owing to the synthesis versatility$^{18}$.

The 2D HOIP form natural multiple quantum well (MQW) structure in which the inorganic $[\text{MI}_n]^{14-}$ layers serve as the potential 'wells' and the organic cation chains are the potential 'wall' (see Fig. 1a)$^{21,22}$. The 2D-phenet hydalammonium lead iodide (2D-PEPI), $(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{NH}_3)_2\text{PbI}_4$, ($n = 1$) is a model example of such natural MQW. At low temperature (~200 K), its crystal structure is monoclinic (space group $C2/m$)$^{23,24}$. There is a lack of consensus on the room temperature crystal structure in the literature, with the majority reporting a triclinic structure (space group $1$)$^{19,25,26}$. Both $C2/m$ and $Pm1$ space groups are centrosymmetric; however, responses associated with broken inversion symmetry may still occur due to the presence of interfaces/surfaces in the MQW structure$^{14,27}$. Giant Rashba splitting was inferred in a recent spectroscopic study of 2D-PEPI$^{28}$ and has since initiated strong interest in this material$^{25,26,29}$. However, a direct observation of a spin-related photocurrent and the symmetry breaking direction are still missing. Furthermore, unlike the well-studied doped III–V semiconductor MQW structures, where free carriers carry the spin photocurrent$^{30}$, it is largely unknown what role, if any, the excitons in 2D-PEPI play in the photogeneration of spin current. The circular photogalvanic effect (CPGE) is considered as the most important experiment that verifies the existence of Rashba splitting in the electronic bands$^{34}$. CPGE has been studied in a variety of Rashba type materials including doped GaAs/AlGaAs MQW$^{31}$, the polar semiconductor BiTeI$^{32}$, 2D transition-metal dichalcogenides$^{33}$, and topological insulator$^{34}$. Most recently, the CPGE was studied in bulk methylammonium lead iodide perovskite (MAPbI$_3$) films$^{35}$ and single crystal$^{36}$. Here we employ helicity-dependent steady state...
Results

Continuous-wave (CW) photogalvanic (PGE) currents in 2D-PEPI crystal. As an introduction to the optical properties of 2D-PEPI, Fig. 1b shows the absorption spectrum of a thin film at room temperature (RT). The absorption spectrum has a pronounced peak at $E_\text{ex} \approx 2.40 \text{ eV}$ due to the exciton absorption, followed by a monotonic increase related to interband absorption, with an onset at $\approx 2.57 \text{ eV}$.

Continuous-wave (CW) photogalvanic (PGE) currents in 2D-PEPI:

\[
J_{\text{pge}}(\alpha) = C_1 \sin(2\alpha) + C_2 \cos(2\alpha) + L_1 \sin(4\alpha) + L_2 \cos(4\alpha) + D
\]

Where $\alpha$ indicates the measurement direction in Fig. 1. The 4a terms describe LPGE, the 2a terms stand for CPGE, and $D$ represents a polarization-independent offset that originates from other effects such as photothermal, photovoltaic response, or photo-Dember effect. This is known as linear photogalvanic effect (LPGE). Through a $360^\circ$ rotation of the QWP, the incident light polarization cycles through LP, RCP, LP, LCP, and therefore the measured photogalvanic effect (PGE) current, $J_{\text{pge}}(\alpha)$ contains both CPGE and LPGE current given by:
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This is known as linear photogalvanic effect (LPGE). Through a $360^\circ$ rotation of the QWP, the incident light polarization cycles through LP, RCP, LP, LCP, and therefore the measured photogalvanic effect (PGE) current, $J_{\text{pge}}(\alpha)$ contains both CPGE and LPGE current given by:
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the CPGE-IB spectrum is peaked at energy, SM12 in states in 2D-PEPI crystal and accommodates a Rashba term (see red line) using a model system consisting of and S
Ed at to the direct transition at the CB and VB (see Fig.1d). CPGE-IB has a threshold energy for clarity. The red lines through the data points are 4 plate rotation angle, 4
~40 meV, reminiscence of EX1 and EX2 in the spectrum of 2D-PEPI (Fig.1b inset). The uncertainty comes from the direct transition at k = 0 between the Dirac points in the CB and VB (see Fig. 1d). CPGE-IB has a threshold energy at $E_d = \left( m^*_e + m^*_h \right) \left( \alpha_c + \alpha_v \right)^2 / (2h^2)$, where $\alpha_c$ is the Rashba coefficient of the CB (VB), and $m^*_c$ is the effective mass of the CB (VB). Note that the Rashba-splitting energy, $E_R$ corresponds to the energy difference between the threshold and maximum of the CPGE-IB action spectrum, i.e., $E_R = \left( m^*_e + m^*_h \right) \left( \alpha_c + \alpha_v \right)^2 / (2h^2)$. Figure 2b shows that the experimental CPGE-IB action spectrum is well reproduced by this model, using Rashba splitting of 35 ± 10 meV and broadening parameters of 30 ± 10 meV (details in SM12 in SI). The good agreement with the experimental data validates the Rashba splitting in 2D-PEPI continuum bands.

In order to experimentally identify the symmetry breaking axis in 2D-PEPI crystal that leads to the Rashba splitting, we measured the incident angle ($\theta$) dependence of the PGE($\theta$) response at 2.58 eV (IB) (Fig. 2c) and 2.30 eV (EX1) (Fig. 2d) at azimuthal angle $\phi$ = 90°. For the CPGE-IB in this special case $C1 \theta = \sin(\theta) + B \cos(\theta)$, whereas $L2 \theta = A \sin(2\theta) + B \cos(2\theta) + G, A, B, A', B'$ and G are fitting parameters with details given in SM11 in SI. The dashed lines in Fig. 2c show the fitting results. The satisfactory fitting for $L2 \theta$ with this model proves that the break of inversion symmetry exists along the z-axis (out-of-plane) and crystal b-axis (within the [Pbl$_4$]$^4$ plane) (Fig. 1c). The fitting for $C1 \theta$ is not as good and yields near zero $\gamma_{cv}$, meaning that CPGE avoids this plane (Fig. 1c).

For demonstrating the instantaneous generation of the PGE response at the IB excitation, we have also used transient THz emission spectroscopy to complement the steady state measurements. Figure 3a is a schematic illustration of the experimental setup for the helicity dependent
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Fig. 3 Terahertz (THz) emission due to ultrafast photogalvanic (PGE) currents in 2D-PEPI crystal. a schematic illustration of the experimental setup for the THz emission measurements. The angles, and are denoted. b THz time-domain emission waveforms measured at 45° and 135° as denoted, that correspond to linear polarized (LP), right circularly polarized (RCP) and left circularly polarized (LCP) light. The two dashed black lines mark the times where positive and negative peaks of the terahertz emission were determined. The peak-to-peak values are determined from the addition of the absolute positive and negative values as marked by the dots. The inset shows a null signal obtained when resonantly excited at the exciton band (2.34 eV). c Terahertz field emission vs. the rotation angle, , photogenerated using 3.06 eV pulsed excitation at θ = 45°. The red line through the black data points is a fit using Eq. (1). The inset shows the obtained relative values of the coefficients C1, L2, and D. d Similar measurements as in panel c, for incident angle, θ = 0°. The fit using Eq. (1) shows majority contribution from L2. The blue dashed line marks the noise level corresponding to zero emission field.

THz emission measurement. This setup is similar to the one used for the steady state measurements (see Fig. 1c), except that the crystal is devoid of gold electrodes, hence eliminating related field effect artifacts. The 2D-PEPI crystal is excited with ~50 femtosecond (fs) second-harmonic pulses at 3.06 eV using an amplified Ti-sapphire laser operating at a center wavelength of 810 nm, and the THz emission is collected in transmission geometry (see SI). Figure 3b shows the time-domain waveforms at three different rotation angles (α) of the QWP measured at normal incidence. The detection electro-optic crystal and a wire grid polarizer were used to measure the emitted field in the y’-direction (with angle ψ to the b-axis, see Fig. 1c). The absence of sign reversal for the emission field between RCP (α = 45°) and LCP (α = 135°) can be explained by the presence of in-plane inversion symmetry breaking, similar as observed in ref. 30, consistent with the measurement in Fig. 2c.

Figure 3c shows the THz field emission vs. α at incident angle θ = 45°. The data points for the E-Field are extracted as ‘peak-to-peak’ values in the THz emission signal (see the broken lines in Fig. 3b). The peak-to-peak value of the E-field corresponds to the amplitude of the PGE current, J, as defined in the phenomenological model described in Eq. (1). The lower inset shows the obtained relative values of the coefficients C1, L2, and D. It is clearly seen that the THz emission intensity depends on the pulsed light polarization helicity, similar to the steady state results in Fig. 2a, and has a strong sin(2α) component that originates from CPGE. Ultrafast current is known to result in pulsed THz emission that corresponds to sub-picosecond electric field, and the THz emission is proportional to the time derivative of the photocurrent. Since the duration of the pulse excitation is ~50 fs in our THz setup, the relatively strong THz emission here indicates that the polarized photocurrent is very short lived. This is consistent with the process of PGE current that is estimated to happen in the femtosecond time-domain, associated with momentum scattering time of the material, as described in Fig. 1d. We note that THz emission associated with fast PGE current has been presented in previous studies35,41,42. We also conclude that the THz emission is unlikely to originate from optical phonons, as such process would have a different characteristic transient; namely long-lived periodically modulated signal43,44 rather than a single cycle emission as measured in Fig. 3b. In fact, we measured the optical phonon modes using terahertz transmission through the crystal w.r.t. to reference substrate. Phonons in 2D-PEPI can be observed at 0.78 THz and 1.6 THz, respectively (see Supplementary Fig. 12 in SI); probably associated with Pb-I-Pb rocking vibration and Pb-I stretching modes43. Moreover, the helicity dependence of the THz emission points to a spin-dependent process, which is also present in CW measurements, rather than simply due to phonons.

We also measured substantial ultrafast LPGE component (see Supplementary Fig. 13) at normal incidence indicating that this
linear PGE effect is due to ‘shift current’, caused by the displacement of the electron charge center upon undergoing a transition from the VB to CB (see SM9 in SI). Figure 3d shows similar measurements as in Fig. 3c but at normal incidence ($\theta = 0^\circ$). The extracted parameters show much smaller contribution of C1 at normal incidence, in agreement with the CW measurements (Fig. 2c). We note in passing that an important difference exists between the THz and steady state measurements, which is the much-reduced contribution of the THz emission associated with the background current D (see inset of Fig. 3c). It is conceivable that D in THz emission mainly comes from the (ultrafast) photo-Dember effect,$^{44}$ whereas in CW excitation slow processes, such as photothermal effect dominate.$^{49}$

In contrast to the spectral response of CPGE-IB, the spectrum of CPGE-EX has a completely different line shape (Fig. 2b, left of the broken line). Here the action spectrum comprises of a derivative-like feature with a negative valley at 2.30 eV and peak at 2.34 eV; however it is not the first derivative of the absorption. Furthermore, upon 180° rotation of the device the derivative-like feature converges into a single band (see Supplementary Fig. 3 in SI). Also, as shown in Fig. 2a, the PGE(a) response at the exciton photon energy is very different from that at the interband. In addition, the incident angle $\theta$–dependence of both CPGE (C1) and LPGE (L2) at the exciton energy (Fig. 2d) are also very different from those at the interband (Fig. 2c). In fact, for the exciton excitation, C1 $\neq 0$ at $\theta = 0^\circ$, and L2 is almost zero at negative $\theta$ angle. Importantly, no THz emission related to the CPGE-EX was observed (see Fig. 3b inset), indicating a slower dynamic of the helicity-dependent photocurrent generated from the exciton dissociation. Furthermore, the sense of spin is opposite at the valley and peak of the CPGE-EX spectrum. We thus conclude that the spin-dependent photocurrent associated with the photogenerated excitons is a feature that cannot be explained by the CPGE traditional band model.$^{30,35,36}$

Clearly the excitons in 2D-PEPI substantially contribute to the photocarriers density (see photoconductivity action spectrum in SI, Supplementary Fig. 4). Although the PGE current was measured at zero bias, at steady state there is still a small electric field (estimated to be about 500 V/cm, see SM5 in SI) within the device that originates from the photothermal effect due to light-induced temperature gradient across the device and/or photovoltaic effect from slight asymmetry between the two Au electrodes.$^{35,40}$ This weak electric field contributes to the DC offset current D (see MM5 in SI). However, based on our calculation, this weak electric field is not strong enough to dissociate the excitons in 2D-PEPI because of the large exciton binding energy here ($>250\text{meV}$)$^{24,29,37}$. Yet, as shown in ref. 46 efficient exciton dissociation may occur at native defects in the crystal. We also note that the exciton CPGE occurs below the exciton main absorption, and that the CPGE (C1) current polarity depends on the incident angle $\theta$ (Fig. 2d).

One possible mechanism to explain these puzzling results is that the helicity-dependent photocurrent at the exciton band is in fact due to the spin-galvanic effect (SGE) rather than the CPGE. In this scenario the spin angular momentum of the impinging light is conserved during the absorption by the exciton. To verify this assumption we have measured a transient circular polarization memory at the exciton level at RT using the transient polarized photoinduced absorption technique at $537\text{nm}$ with $150\text{fs}$ time resolution. In this method we set the pump beam polarization at a fixed circular polarization, whereas the circular polarization of the probe beam was modulated between same circular polarization or opposite polarization to that of the pump beam (see SM10 in SI for detail). In this method only the difference between the same or opposite pump-probe circular polarizations is measured. Firstly, we found that there is circular polarization memory’ for the exciton, in which the photoinduced absorption is larger when the pump-probe have same polarization compared to that of pump-probe with opposite polarization (see Supplementary Fig. 16 in SM10, SI). This shows that the excitons are spin polarized following excitation by a circularly polarized pump. Secondly, we measured the RT circular polarization lifetime, or spin relaxation time to be $\sim 4.5\text{ps}$ on the average (see Supplementary Fig. 17 in SM10, SI). Subsequently, some of the spin-polarized excitons dissociate into spin-polarized electron-hole pairs that contribute to the photocurrent. The exciton dissociation process may be via edge states, or other native defects in the 2D-PEPI crystal. Since the continuum bands in 2D-PEPI are spin splitted due to the Rashba interaction, therefore the exciton-related spin-polarized carriers preferentially occupy one spin sub-band over the other, depending on the light beam helicity. This non-equilibrium spin occupancy causes asymmetric spin-flipping between the two spin sub-bands and results in a current flow in the MQW plane. This situation has been known in the literature as spin-galvanic effect (SGE).$^{47,48}$ A similar situation occurs in the Rashba-Edelstein effect upon spin injection from a ferromagnet electrode$^{49}$, except that in our case the spin injection occurs by optical means. The SGE in our case is not ultrafast, since it takes some time for the excitons to dissociate at native defects in the crystal. In addition, the spin relaxation time is not in the sub-ps time-domain. These explain the lack of THz emission due to the SGE of the excitons in 2D-PEPI.

**Discussion**

Using circularly polarized light excitation we obtained steady state spin-dependent photocurrent and ultrafast terahertz (THz) emission, which verify the existence of CPGE in 2D-PEPI single crystal multiple quantum wells (MQW). The circular photogalvanic effect (CPGE) action spectrum contains two distinct features that are due to excitons and free carriers, respectively. The CPGE at the interband excitation is a ‘smoking gun’ proof for Rashba splitting in the continuum bands of 2D-PEPI, which is caused by the large SOC and structural inversion symmetry breaking. We found that the main axis of inversion symmetry breaking is perpendicular to the MQW planes, but there is also small contribution from in-plane inversion asymmetry. In contrast, the spin-dependent photocurrent upon exciton excitation is caused by spin-galvanic effect, which also proves the occurrence of Rashba splitting in 2D-PEPI. Our findings highlight the importance of excitons for helicity-dependent photocurrent in 2D perovskites MQW, a topic that has not been properly dealt with in the well-established CPGE theory in semiconductor MQW.

**Methods**

**Samples preparation.** PbI$_2$, R-NH$_3$I (where R is C$_6$H$_5$C$_2$H$_4$), N,N’-dimethyllimide (DMF), g-butyrrolactone (GBL), and dichloromethane (DCM) were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich Corporation. All the materials were used as received without further purification.

All samples were fabricated in a nitrogen-filled glove box with oxygen and moisture levels of <1 part per million. We have grown the 2D hybrid perovskite (2D-PEPI) single crystals on cleaned quartz substrates using the Anti-solvent Vapor-assisted Crystalization (AVC) method as in ref. 1. The 2D-PEPI crystals were used for the following measurements: photoluminescence (PL) spectrum, terahertz emission spectroscopy, XRD and SEM microscopy. For the device used in continuous-wave (CW) PGE measurement, two 70 nm thick gold electrodes were deposited onto the crystal by e-beam evaporation through a shadow mask in a glove-box-integrated vacuum deposition chamber (Angstrom Engineering), which had a base pressure of 3 $\times$ 10$^{-8}$ Pa. The gap between electrodes was 0.5 mm.

For the solution used to create 2D-PEPI film, we mixed R-NH$_3$I and PbI$_2$ in a 2:1 molar ratio in DMF solvent to form a solution with a concentration of 0.2 mol/l. This solution was stirred overnight at 60 °C on a hotplate before using. Subsequently the solution was spin-coated on an oxygen plasma–pretreated glass substrate at 314 rad/s and 90 s to form 100 nm thick film; the obtained film was subsequently annealed at 100 °C for 30 min. We used this film for the optical density measurement.
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