High Costs of Female Choice in a Lekking Lizard
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Although the cost of mate choice is an essential component of the evolution and maintenance of sexual selection, the energetic cost of female choice has not previously been assessed directly. Here we report that females can incur high energetic costs as a result of discriminating among potential mates. We used heart rate biologging to quantify energetic expenditure in lek-mating female Galápagos marine iguanas (Amblyrhynchus cristatus). Receptive females spent 78.9±23.2 kJ of energy on mate choice over a 30-day period, which is equivalent to ~¼ of one day’s energy budget. Females that spent more time on the territories of high-quality, high-activity males displayed greater energetic expenditure on mate choice, lost more mass, and showed a trend towards producing smaller follicles. Choosy females also appear to face a reduced probability of survival if El Niño conditions occur in the year following breeding. These findings indicate that female choice can carry significant costs, and suggest that the benefits that lek-mating females gain through mating with a preferred male may be higher than previously predicted.

INTRODUCTION

Optimal mate choice involves a tradeoff between the cost of selecting a mate and the benefit gained by mating with a preferred partner. Substantial research has centered on identifying the particular benefits females accrue through discriminating among potential mates, but very little is known about the corresponding costs of choice or their magnitude. Recent studies indicate that a fitness cost can be associated with mate choice, and that females alter their selectivity when costs differ [1–5]. The specific nature of mate choice costs and their relationship to increasing mate sampling effort remains unclear.

Increasing evidence suggests that mate choice can be maintained by indirect genetic benefits (the production of genetically superior offspring) in a variety of species [6–9]; however, the magnitude of genetic benefits is generally considered to be small [10,11]. If this is the case, indirect benefits could only maintain mate choice if the costs of choosing are low. Despite the plethora of studies on the genetic benefits of mate choice, the cost of choosing a mate has not previously been directly quantified in any species.

Sexual selection and male mating skew are unusually strong in lekking species [12,13], even though lek-mating females typically receive no direct benefits (e.g. food resources or parental care) from their chosen mate. This phenomenon has been termed the ‘paradox of the lek’ [10,14]. It has often been assumed that the assessment of lekking males incurs low energetic costs because of the tight clustering of territories [10,15]; however, there is little empirical support for this theory. A resolution of the lek paradox depends on determining the cost of female choice.

Two studies have indirectly estimated the energetic cost of mate choice by calculating the energetic expenditure predicted to result from the increased distance females travel while assessing males. In female sage grouse (Centrocercus urophasianus), traveling to leks was estimated to represent ~1% of one day’s energy expenditure, and to lower annual survival by ~0.1% [16]. Any costs incurred while on the lek were not included, and birds were not observed off the lek. Female choice over a two-week period was estimated to increase the energetic expenditure of female pronghorn antelope (Antilocapra americana) by ~50% of one day’s energy budget [17]. While these studies provide useful estimations of the energetic cost of choice, calculations based on the distance traveled provide an inevitably imprecise estimate of cost. Additionally, the relationship between energetic expenditure on female choice and resulting physiological or fitness costs was not investigated in these species.

We quantified the energetic cost of mate choice in the Galápagos marine iguana (Amblyrhynchus cristatus), a lekking species in which the largest males defend display territories during the mating season that do not contain any energetic rewards. Females visit these territories over a period of approximately 45 days, and maintain nearly constant presence on the territories during this interval. Territorial males display to visiting females using a stereotyped side-walking head-bob display. Resident males court visiting females almost continuously throughout the mate choice period, but males within a single lek can differ 10-fold in courtship display rate. Energy expenditure on locomotion represents a significant portion of the energy budget of iguanids [18], and territorial males fast for the duration of the mate choice period, thus the ability to maintain costly courtship displays may be an honest indicator of male quality.

Females preferentially visit territories containing larger males, and among the territories that they visit, typically mate with the
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male that is most active during the time they are observing [19]. The chosen mate is not always the male that displays the most overall, indicating that females make independent decisions based on the information that they gather. Visiting the territories of active males may be costly to female marine iguanas; females reject courtship and copulation attempts by moving several steps away from the displaying male.

The timing of mating is relatively synchronous within a population, occurring over a period of approximately 14 days. Territorial males do not force copulations or attempt to keep females from leaving territories; thus females can move freely between territories [20,21]. Females do not copulate preferentially at territories that received high copulation rates in previous years [22], and cluster locations are unrelated to habitat characteristics or non-breeding female densities [21]. Receptive females normally mate only once per reproductive season [23]. Females were classified as receptive if they were observed copulating with a territorial male or had developing follicles when assessed by ultrasound at the conclusion of the mate choice period. Marine iguanas typically mate biennially, which allows comparison of the costs incurred by receptive and non-receptive females of the same size and weight classes within a season.

The mass-specific oxygen pulse of A. cristatus, defined as the product of cardiac stroke volume and the difference in oxygenation between arterial and mixed venous blood, varies predictably with exercise [24]. This makes it possible to determine energetic expenditure on a fine temporal scale from recorded values of heart rate and body temperature. We correlated these fine-scale measurements of metabolic rate with behavioral observations taken continuously throughout the mating season.

RESULTS
Receptive female marine iguanas spent a mean of 78.9 (±23.2) kJ of energy on mate choice behaviors during the 30 days prior to mating, which is equivalent to 78.4% of one day’s energetic expenditure during the reproductive season (100.6±16.8 kJ/day). During most years all marine iguanas are in negative energy balance during the reproductive period due to seasonal fluctuations in algal abundance; however, receptive females tended to lose more mass during the mate choice period than non-receptive females (receptive: 13.6±1.6%, n = 18; non-receptive: 7.6±2.3%, n = 7; t = −2.06, df = 23, P = 0.051; r = 0.425). Females that lost more mass also showed a trend towards spending more energy on mate choice (r = 0.523, n = 13, P = 0.066). Receptive and non-receptive females did not differ in their initial body mass (receptive: 0.993±0.153, n = 18; non-receptive: 0.932±0.151, n = 7; t = −0.901, df = 23, P = 0.377; r = 0.197) or snout-vent length (receptive: 25.1±1.5, n = 16; non-receptive: 25.6±1.5, n = 7; t = 0.629, df = 21, P = 0.536; r = −0.164).

Male territorial behavior significantly predicted copulation success (ANOVA: F1,13 = 5.452, P = 0.012, r2 = 0.557). Specifically, the number of copulations a male received was significantly associated with his rate of head-bobbing display (Fig 1; F1,13 = 10.704, P = 0.006), but not with the frequency of his participation in territorial fights (F1,13 = 2.456, P = 0.141) or chases (F1,13 = 0.129, P = 0.731). Males were assigned quality scores (1–5) based on copulation success, and the mean quality of males that each female visited was calculated, weighted for time spent with each male.

Relationships among mean male quality, follicular volume, mass, and mate choice are listed in Table 1. Among receptive females there was no relationship between initial body mass and the quality of males visited; however, receptive females that visited high-quality males spent more energy on mate choice, lost more mass, and showed a strong trend towards developing smaller follicles (Fig 2a–c). Females that visited high-quality males did not spend more time on territories overall. Follicular volume was not correlated with initial body mass, or with mass loss during mate choice, but females that mated later in the season developed larger follicles.

While stationary on territories, receptive females spent a higher proportion of time sitting (head and upper body elevated on front limbs) than non-receptive females (receptive: sitcay 2.06±0.14, n = 11, non-receptive: 1.45±0.16, n = 4; t = −2.42, df = 13, P = 0.031; r = 0.609). Energetic expenditure per hour was higher while in the sitting posture than while laying (sitting: 3.33±0.85 kJ*hr−1, laying: 2.76±0.79; paired t-test, t = −4.28, n = 9, P = 0.003; r = 0.115).

DISCUSSION
Mate choice represents a relatively high cost to female marine iguanas; receptive females spent a mean of 78.9 (±23.2) kJ of energy on mate choice over a 30-day period, which is equivalent to 78% of one day’s energy budget. The relative energetic expenditure on female choice in marine iguanas is 1.5 times what was estimated in pronghorn antelope [17] and over 50 times the cost of traveling to leks in female sage grouse [16].

Our results suggest that assessing high-quality males is more costly than assessing low-quality males. Receptive females that spent more time visiting high-quality males displayed greater

Table 1. Correlates of male quality and follicle volume.

| Variables                                | r   | n  | P     |
|------------------------------------------|-----|----|-------|
| Male quality vs. initial body mass       | 0.358 | 15 | 0.191 |
| Male quality vs. % mass loss             | 0.646 | 15 | 0.009* |
| Male quality vs. EE on mate choice       | 0.731 | 10 | 0.016* |
| Male quality vs. % time on territories   | 0.301 | 7  | 0.512 |
| Male quality vs. follicle volume         | −0.660 | 11 | 0.027 |
| Follicle volume vs. initial mass          | −0.102 | 12 | 0.752 |
| Follicle volume vs. % mass loss          | −0.250 | 12 | 0.434 |
| Follicle volume vs. mating date          | 0.860 | 9  | 0.003* |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000567.t001
energy expenditure on mate choice and lost more body mass during the mate choice period. Although the correlational design of our study does not enable us to determine whether these variables are causally related, we were unable to conduct controlled experiments for the following reasons: 1) female mate choice cannot be experimentally manipulated in free-roaming marine iguanas; 2) captive animals have very low survival rates; and 3) marine iguanas do not exhibit mate choice or mating behaviors in enclosure experiments, even when these enclosures are located on the territories. Although we cannot conclusively assess whether the increased mass loss suffered by ‘choosy’ females is a direct result of the increased time spent with high-quality males, we suggest that this is the most likely explanation. Females that spent more time with high-quality males did not have higher initial body masses, or differ in the total amount of time spent visiting territories. High-quality males (males with high copulation rates) maintain nearly constant territorial and courtship displays during the mating season. These displays typically induce visiting females to move a few steps away from the displaying male and incur the resulting measurable energetic cost. As predicted, females that spent more time with high-quality males spent more energy on mate choice behaviors (which include these movements away from displaying males). Thus we suggest that the energetic cost incurred by moving away from the frequent displays of high-quality males contributes to the parallel loss of body mass observed in females visiting these males.

Marine iguanas are in the process of growing follicles during the mate choice period, an activity which represents a significant energetic cost to females [20,25], and likely contributes to the high degree of mass loss observed in receptive females. There may also be additional costs associated with male assessment that were not included in our definition of mate choice behaviors. Receptive females spent significantly less time lying down, tending instead to adopt the more energetically costly sitting posture while stationary on territories. The elevation of the head and upper body that is characteristic of the sitting posture likely enables these females to maintain continual observation of their surroundings, including the location and behavior of territorial males. Increased opportunities to monitor potential mates may provide receptive females with benefits that balance the additional costs of remaining in the sitting posture. Additionally, our estimations of energetic expenditure on mate choice are conservative: in calculating the energy spent on a mate choice event we included elevations in energetic expenditure during the encounter, and for up to three minutes following the cessation of locomotion. Some studies suggest that reptiles may exhibit increases in energetic expenditure for a longer duration (>10 mins) following the termination of activity [26]; thus it is possible that our conservative estimate of mate choice underestimates the proportion of total energetic expenditure due to mate choice and other locomotory events.

The mass loss resulting from mate choice behavior is a biologically significant cost to marine iguanas due to the frequent and unpredictable occurrence of El Niño conditions in the Galápagos. During El Niño years an increase in sea surface temperature causes a decline in the algal food resources utilized by marine iguanas, and can result in massive mortality. Animals with low body weight at the start of an El Niño year have a dramatically lower probability of surviving the season [27]. Females that breed in the year prior to an El Niño face higher mortality rates during El Niño than non-breeding females, indicating that reproductive effort can have serious fitness consequences [27]. Females that spend more energy on mate choice, and thus lose more mass, should face a substantially diminished probability of survival if El Niño conditions prevail during the year following reproduction. Based on previous survival estimates in this population [28], we predict that the increased mass loss suffered by choosy females (3.1% of body mass) would result in only a marginal (0–2%) decrease in annual survival if a cool La Niña year follows reproduction. If a choosy female is subjected to El Niño conditions after mating, however, her survival probability may decrease by as much as 14% compared to a non-choosy female.

The energetic cost of mate choice in marine iguana females is also relevant to reproduction because individuals appear to face a trade-off between allocating resources to mate choice and to follicular development. Although follicle volume was not related to a female’s initial body mass or mass loss, females that visited high-quality males showed a strong trend towards producing smaller follicles. Follicle size is positively correlated with hatching size in many lizard species [29–31], and hatching size is a significant predictor of survival in marine iguanas [32,33]. Females that visited higher-quality males also showed a tendency to mate earlier in the season. While further study is needed to explore this result, our findings do not support the alternative hypothesis that females that mate with a high-quality male take longer to assess males and develop follicles, which are thus being measured at an earlier stage of development.

The possibility that females are receiving direct benefits from high-quality males is difficult to conclusively rule out, as each potential benefit must be tested individually; however, we have found no evidence to date that females receive any direct benefits from visiting or mating with a higher-quality male. No food resources are located in territories, and there are no detectable differences in the microclimate (topography or thermal properties) of areas defended by males and other areas containing groups of

Figure 2. Relationships between mean male quality and female mate choice, mass loss and follicle size. Female marine iguanas that spent more time on the territories of high-quality, high activity males (a) spent more energy on mate choice (r = 0.731, n = 10, P = 0.016), (b) lost more body mass during the mate choice period (r = 0.646, n = 15, P = 0.009), and (c) displayed a trend towards producing smaller follicles (r = 0.660, n = 11, P = 0.027). Males were assigned quality scores (1–5) based on copulation success. Data represent the mean quality score of the males a female visited, weighted for time spent with each male. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000567.g002
Iguanas [21]. Females visiting high-quality territorial males could potentially receive the benefit of greater protection from forced copulation attempts by satellite males. Satellite males are present on the periphery of territories and attempt to solicit copulations from females as they move between territories or travel to foraging areas. Females face a lower risk of forced copulation attempts on territories than off territories [21], but we found no relationship between territorial male quality and the number of territorial intrusions, indicating that beyond the benefit of being on a territory, females do not gain additional protection from visiting higher-quality males.

We were not able to conduct genetic analyses of paternity on the offspring of study females due to the difficulty of individually fencing nest sites in the high-density communal nesting grounds; however, we believe that it is reasonable to assume that in most cases the male a female is observed to mate with is the genetic father of her offspring. It is rare for females to mate more than once in a season, and in most of the small percentage of cases in which this has been observed, both copulations were with the same male.

Here we demonstrate that female choice incurs an energetic cost that appears to translate into direct costs (mass loss/decreased survival probability), and possibly also indirect costs (decreased clutch mass). We found no evidence that females gain any direct benefits through mating with a high-quality male; thus we suggest that the maintenance of costly mate choice behavior may be related to the potential genetic benefit conferred to a female’s offspring [34]. Females that sample more males ultimately mate with a more active and larger male [19]. Body size appears to be highly heritable in iguanas [35], so large males are likely to pass this element of attractiveness on to their sons. Our finding of a high cost of mate choice in a lekking species in which females appear to gain no direct benefits from territorial males is contrary to theoretical predictions that only low choice costs can be maintained by indirect genetic benefits [10,36]. Determining the cost of mate choice in a diversity of mating systems is critical to understanding the evolution and maintenance of sexual selection.

METHODS

Location and Measurement

Data were collected at Bahía Paraíso on Isla Santa Fe, Galápagos Archipelago, Ecuador (90°2’W, 0°50’S). We obtained behavioral and morphological data from 23 females, and heart rate-body temperature data from 11 females: 7 in 2004–2005 and 2 each in 2003–2004. Marine iguanas were captured either by hand or with the aid of a loop at the end of a bamboo pole. Marine iguanas were captured either in annual survival rates.

Behavioral Observations

Five-minute focal behavioral samples were conducted on all territorial males every 1.5 days for the duration of territory tenure. During the five-minute observation period the number of male displays, chases, and fights were recorded. Focal behavioral samples of study females were conducted continuously throughout the mating season during all daylight hours (0600 h to 1800 h), the entirety of the active period in marine iguanas [37]. During focal observations the focal female’s behavior and her interactions were recorded, as were the identity of the individuals with whom the focal female interacted, the male territory visited, and her location. Marine iguanas are highly site-faithful, and the topography of the study site allows individuals to be observed continually during mate choice, copulation, foraging, and resting.

We classified mate choice behaviors as: 1) movement between male territories (not including territories on a direct path to the foraging grounds); 2) direct approach towards a male by the focal female, which characteristically elicits male display; 3) male courtship display directed at a female that caused her to move away from the approaching male.

Quantification of Energetic Expenditure

Heart rate (fH) and body temperature (Tb) were measured with implanted data loggers that record both variables at 2 s intervals. Measurements of fH and Tb were subsequently averaged over 60 s periods. The mass-specific rate of oxygen consumption (sVO2), in units of ml O2 kg–1 h–1, was calculated using a modified version of Fick’s convection equation for the cardiovascular system adapted for use in marine iguanas [24]:

\[ sV_{O2} = 0.0113 \times \frac{fH}{H} - 0.2983 \times \frac{Q_{10}^{(Tb-27)/10}} \]

Q10 was set at 2.0. Oxygen consumption was converted to metabolic rate using a respiratory quotient (RQ) of 0.8 [38].

Follicular Measurement

In 2004–2005 the size of developing follicles was measured after the last copulation on the study site using ultrasonography [39]. The presence and size of developing follicles was highly repeatable...
in marine iguanas, and after an initial trial period showed high inter-observer reliability (M.N. Vitousek & M.A. Mitchell, unpublished data). We were unable to obtain a measurement of follicular volume in one of the females upon recapture due to the presence of digestive matter in the colon from a recent foraging bout.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 12.0. Potential differences between receptive and non-receptive females were tested with an Independent Samples t-test. Male copulation success was investigated using an analysis of variance design (ANOVA) with display, fight, and chase rate as covariates. Correlations among receptive females were investigated with Pearson's product-moment correlations, and significance levels were adjusted to control for multiple comparisons using the false discovery rate procedure [40], which controls the expected proportion of null hypotheses that are falsely rejected. P-values are ranked in ascending order, and compared to a critical significance level, starting with the largest P-value. The critical significance level of each comparison, \( d_p \), is calculated by dividing the rank of the P-value by the total number of comparisons, and multiplying by the false discovery rate (0.05). The critical P-value, \( P_{crit} \), is the first P-value, \( P_1 \), that is less than or equal to \( d_p \). The null hypothesis is rejected for all comparisons with \( P_{values} < P_{crit} \). This method has greatly increased power over procedures that control the probability of rejecting any null hypotheses, such as Bonferroni corrections, particularly when dealing with small sample sizes [41,42]. Effect sizes were calculated for all tests to provide a measure of the strength of the relationship between variables. Note that sample sizes differ in correlations because we were not always able to obtain measurements from each female on every factor. No available data was excluded from the analysis. All data are normally distributed and are expressed as means ± s.e.m.
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