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'KHODERI' OLIVE CULTIVAR AS AN EFFICIENT POLLINISER FOR SOME FRENCH AND ITALIAN OLIVE CULTIVARS

SUMMARY

The research was conducted in 2017 and 2019 seasons in Bouka center for research and plant production, Latakia, Syria, in order to investigate the ability of using Syrian olive cultivar 'Khoderi' as polliniser for 'Tanche', 'Picholine' (French) and 'Frantoio' (Italian) olive cultivars. Pistil abortion (%), pollen germination (%), flowering period, self, open and cross-pollination with 'Khoderi' were studied. Results showed that all cultivars were characterized by good pollen germination ratio. Both season and cultivar affected pistil abortion significantly. The flowering periods were overlapping for all studied cultivars but with some delay of 'Frantoio'. 'Picholine' was highly self-incompatible (ISI= 0.11), while 'Tanche' was partially self-incompatible (0.33- 0.35). The highest self-compatibility was for 'Frantoio' (ISI= 0.52- 0.73). Pollination results showed that using 'Khoderi' as polliniser increased final fruit set over open- and self-pollination for all cultivars and seasons. The results indicated that 'Khoderi' was efficient polliniser for 'Tanche', 'Picholine' and 'Frantoio' olive cultivars under Syrian Coast conditions.
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INTRODUCTION

Olive (Olea europaea L.) is one of the most important fruit trees worldwide. Olive cultivation is close related to the culture and traditions of the Mediterranean countries. Syria is considered as one of the native origins of olive, also it’s an active olive producer with ~850 thousand tons (MOAAR, 2017).

Traditionally, olive was considered self-fertile, but the recent studies mentioned that most olive cultivars are self- incompatible (Saumitou-Laprade et al., 2017). Self-incompatibility (SI), a common physiological phenomenon in flowering plants, leads to rejection of self-pollen. Although self- incompatibility encourages biodiversity by inhibiting self-fertilization, but this could lead to lower fruit set of olive cultivars planting without pollinisers. In Mediterranean as well as non-Mediterranean countries, many studies were conducted to find the efficient pollinisers for local and imported olive cultivars in each area and in different planting systems (Zhu et al., 2013; Lodolini et al., 2018; Sanchez-
Estrada and Cuevas, 2019). In Croatia, 'Leccino', 'Drobinca' and 'Oblica' were partially self- incompatible cultivars, also 'Levantinka' and 'Oblica' were cross-compatible in both directions (Selak et al., 2011). In Turkey, Mete et al. (2016) reported several Turkish cultivars to be efficient pollinisers for the new olive cultivar 'Hayat'. Farinelli et al. (2012) mentioned that the most efficient polliniser for 'Ascolana Tenera' was 'Carolea' followed by 'Picholine'. In Mexico, which is non- traditional olive producer, self- and open-pollination were reported to give low fruit set in mono-cultivar orchards of 'Manzanillo', fruit set was increased when using 'Barouni' as polliniser (Sanchez-Estrada and Cuevas, 2019).

Breton and Berville (2012) used fruit set estimation and fertility index to predict self-incompatibility alleles in olive; they reported six SI- alleles in olive with dominance relationships among them. Using pollen growth observation in stigma tissue, paternity analysis and fruit set estimation, Saumitou-Laprade et al., (2017) reported two SI groups in olive G1 (with S1S2 genotype) and G2 (with S1S1 genotype). Till now S-locus in olive still undiscovered in the molecular level and many phenomena must be studied to full understand SI in olive (Alagna et al., 2019). In general, cross-compatibility response was reported to differ depending on location and seasons (Gonzalez and Cuevas, 2012), which leads to conduct local experiments in each area in order to have an accurate evaluation of the pollinisers.

Pollination experiments are fairly recent in Syria. Mhnna et al. (2015) reported that imported cultivars 'Picholine' and 'Frantoio' were self- incompatible and had good performance under Syrian coastal area. So, this study aimed to evaluate the ability of local olive cultivar 'Khoderi' to pollinate those two cultivars, 'Picholine' and 'Frantoio', with 'Tanche' another French cultivar also proved good performance in the area.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Study location and Plant material:**

The experiments were conducted for two seasons (2017 and 2019) in Bouka center for research and plant production, Latakia province, Syria (latitude 35º32’ North, 35º48’ East), 40 m above sea level, characterized by clay calcareous soil. More than 40 olive cultivars are grown in the germoplasm collection. Average monthly maximum and minimum temperatures (°C) and Precipitations (mm) were taken from Sit- Khereis station located less than 8 km from the experimental orchard. Three olive cultivars were involved as pollen receptors: 'Tanche', 'Picholine' (French) and Italian olive cultivar 'Frantoio'. Autochthonous olive cultivar 'Khoderi' was used as pollen donor. Trees are about 30 years old, planted under rain fed conditions.

**Methods:**

Three uniform trees of each of 'Tanche', 'Picholine' and 'Frantoio' olive cultivars were chosen, a sample of 50 inflorescences was taken randomly from one year branches in white bud stage randomly distributed around the canopy of each tree. Average number of flowers per inflorescence and pistil abortion (%)
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*Note: The natural text has been laboriously extracted and formatted to maintain the context and coherence of the original content.*
were estimated. Pollen was extracted and stored for several days in 2017 season, but cultured immediately in 2019 season in a media according to Ferri et al. (2008), with some modifications containing 15% commercial sugar, 50 ppm boric acid and 0.6% agar. Germination was observed after 24 hours of incubation on 26± 2°C. Germination (%) was estimated by taking three fields, each field containing more than 50 pollen grains (Koubouris et al., 2009) in each petri dish (four petri dishes for each cultivar). Pollen was considered germinated when pollen tube length was longer than twice the pollen diameter.

**Pollination treatments:**

Three one year old branches on each side of the trees were tagged in white bud stage (twelve on each tree), number of inflorescence were counted and unified. Two of the three branches were enclosed by white paper bags.

Cross-pollination: at full bloom, paper bag enclosing one of the two branches in each side of the trees were opened and flowering branch of 'Khoderi' with open flowers taken from the same orchard were inserted into the bag. Bags were enclosed and shaken daily for three days.

Self-pollination: the second branch on each side of the trees was kept enclosed to force self-pollination.

Open pollination: The third branch in each side of the trees was kept without enclosing for open (free) pollination.

Initial and final fruit (%) set were estimated 30 and 60 days (Selak et al., 2011) after pollination, respectively. 'Picholine' was studied for only one season (2017).

Index of self-incompatibility was calculated as fruit set obtained by self-pollination / fruit set obtained by open or cross-pollination. Evaluation was as follows: ISI= 0: completely self-incompatible, 0.1 to 0.2 highly self-incompatibile, 0.2- 0.9 partial self-incompatible, ≥1: completely self-compatible (Zapata and Arroyo, 1978).

Fertility index (R) was estimated and evaluated according to Moutier (2002) as follows:

\[
R = \frac{\text{number of fruits in the case of cross-pollination}}{\text{number of fruits in the case of free- pollination}}
\]

R=0 to 0.33: bad polliniser, R= 0.33 to 0.66: passable polliniser, R= 0.66 to 1 or higher: good polliniser.

**Experimental design and statistical analysis:**

Completely randomized blocks design was used with three replications (trees). Data were arcsine transferred and subjected to ANOVA. Means were separated using Duncan multiple range test (\(P \leq 0.05\)) using (CoStat version 6.400 Copyright(c) 1998- 2008 CoHort software, CA, USA).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Meteorological data:

Climatic factors play major rule in physiology of fruit trees, affecting the transition from one phenological phase to another (Marra et al., 2018). Figure (1) shows that the climatic conditions were different between 2017 and 2019.
seasons. January and February of 2017 season were colder than 2019, while the period from March to June was warmer.

Figure 1. Monthly minimum and maximum temperatures (°C) (on the left) and precipitation (mm) (on the right) for the period from January to June of 2017 and 2019 seasons.

Precipitation behavior was also different among seasons, precipitation almost stopped in February 2017, then lasted until June. In 2019 season, precipitation was higher but stopped in June resulting in drought in that month.

**Flowering period:**

The flowering period is a key factor when choosing pollinisers. Figure (2) shows that flowering started earlier in 2017 comparing with 2019 season. Differences in flowering could be due to higher precipitation in April and higher flowering load of 2019 season comparing with 2017 (Data from visual observation). Mehri and Mehri-Kamoun (2007) reported differences in flowering periods depending on year and study site.

Figure 2. Flowering periods of 'Khoderi', 'Tanche', 'Frantoio' and 'Picholine' olive cultivars in 2017 and 2019 seasons.

All the studied cultivars started flowering by the same time in 2017 except for 'Frantoio' whose flowering was delayed by five days. In 2019 season, 'Khoderi' was the earliest flowering followed by 'Tanche' and 'Frantoio'. In general 'Khoderi' had the longest flowering period and overlapped or covered the entire flowering periods of the other cultivars. This meets the fact that when choosing pollinisers, the pollen donor (pollinator) and the receptor plant must
overlap in flowering periods that ensures the presence of pollen grains by the
time of flower opening of the receptor cultivars (Selak et al., 2018).

**Pistil abortion and Number of flowers per inflorescence:**

Data analysis showed that both cultivar and season affected pistil abortion;
the main effect was of the cultivar. Figure (3-A) shows that 'Picholine' had the
highest pistil abortion (%) and 'Frantoio' had the lowest. Significant differences
between seasons were observed in 'Tanche' and 'Picholine' but not in 'Frantoio'.
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Figure 3. Pistil abortion (%) (A) and Average number of flowers per
inflorescence (B) of 'Tanche', 'Picholine' and 'Frantoio' olive cultivars in 2017
and 2019 seasons. Different letters on the columns indicate significant difference
(P<0.05) among seasons of the same cultivar using LSD test.

Generally, several factors reported to influence pistil abortion like genetic
factor, season, competition for resources and other factors (Rosati et al., 2011;
Rosati et al., 2012; Alagna et al., 2016). Rapoport et al. (2012) found that water
deficits in the stage of inflorescence formation reduced the hermaphrodite
flowers ratio. In the study site buds began to burst in February, so lacking
moisture in this period (Figure 1) could negatively affect the inflorescence
formation. The number of flowers per inflorescence (Figure 3-B) was lower in
2017 than in 2019 season, this could be due to similar reason even though this
reduction was insignificant in all studied cultivars except 'Frantoio'.

**Pollen germination:**

Pollen germination differed depending on cultivar and season (Figure 4).
'Frantoio' and 'Tanche' had the highest germination ratio in 2017 and 2019
seasons, respectively, while 'Picholine' had the lowest in both seasons.
Significant differences were observed between 2017 and 2019 seasons, 2019
season was characterized by higher germination ratio. This could be due to fact
that pollen was cultured immediately in 2019 season while stored for several
days before culturing in 2017. Pollen germination could also be influenced by the
number of the pollen produced by the tree, nutritional status and climatic
conditions (Ferri et al., 2008; Mazzeo et al., 2010).
Figure (4): pollen germination (%) of 'Khoderi', 'Tanche', 'Picholine' and 'Frantoio' olive cultivars in 2017 and 2019 seasons. Different letters on the columns indicate significant difference (P<0.05) among cultivars in the same season using Duncan’s test.

**Fruit set:**

Data presented in table (1) shows that initial fruit set (%) was influenced by pollination type. In 2017 season, open pollination and cross-pollination by 'Khoderi' resulted in the highest initial fruit set, while lowest initial fruit set was of self-pollination. In 'Frantoio' cultivar, the differences in initial fruit set for all pollination type were insignificant. In 2019, initial fruit set of 'Tanche' was higher than 2017 and behaved the same. In 'Frantoio', cross-pollination with 'Khoderi' resulted in the highest initial fruit set. Significant effect of pollinisers on initial fruit set for number of olive cultivars was reported in Croatia (Selak et al., 2011).

Final fruit set was used to evaluate the fruit set from different pollination types in most pollination studies (Selak et al., 2011; Sánchez-Estrada and Cuevas, 2018). Data presented in table (1) shows similar response of 'Tanche' and 'Picholine' to pollination type, i.e. cross-pollination with 'Khoderi' resulted in the highest final fruit set followed by open pollination, while self-pollination resulted in the lowest final fruit set. After initial fruit set massive fruit abscission normally occurs mainly due to fruit competition for substrate (Rapoport and Rallo, 1991), this could be the reason for higher fruit drop of ‘Tanche’ fruits resulting from cross-pollination with ‘Khoderi’ in 2019 comparing to 2017 season and to other treatments were fruit sets were lower.

'Frantoio' behaved little different. No significant differences between all pollination types were observed in 2017, but in 2019 final fruit set resulted from cross-pollination with 'Khoderi' was significantly higher than fruit set resulted
from open and self-pollination. Generally, cross-pollination with 'Khoderi' resulted in the highest final fruit set for all studied cultivars and seasons.

Several studies reported the significance of cross-pollination in olive cultivars, Farinelli et al. (2006) found that cross pollination of 'Sorani' with 'Gordal', 'Moresca' and 'Picholine marocaine' resulted in fruit set similar to open pollination; by the same way, 'Gemlik' olive cultivar was reported to increase fruit set of 'Hayat' when used as pollen donor (Mete et al., 2016).

Table 1. Initial and final fruit set (%) of 'Tanche' 'Picholine' and 'Frantoio' olive cultivars as affected by cross-pollination with 'Khoderi', self-pollination and open pollination in 2017 and 2019 seasons*

| Initial fruit set          | Tanche 2017 | Picholine 2017 | Frantoio 2017 |
|---------------------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|
| Cross pollination with 'Khoderi' | 2.62 a      | 1.75 a         | 5.97 a        |
| Self- pollination         | 0.81 b      | 0.19 b         | 2.42 a        |
| Open pollination          | 2.78 a      | 2.22 a         | 5.19 a        |
| p values                  | .0281       | .0043          | .1781         |

| Final fruit set           | Tanche 2017 | Picholine 2017 | Frantoio 2017 |
|---------------------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|
| Cross pollination with 'Khoderi' | 2.49 a      | 1.65 a         | 4.60 a        |
| Self- pollination         | 0.74 b      | 0.18 b         | 2.13 a        |
| Open pollination          | 2.21 a      | 1.59 a         | 4.12 a        |
| p values                  | .0399       | .0090          | .2898         |

* Different letters in the columns indicate significant difference at p < 0.05 using Duncan’s test.

Many studies reported increasing fruit set under open pollination over cross-pollination (Pinillos and Cuevas, 2009; Selak et al., 2011; Sanchez- Estrada and Cuevas, 2018), this could be a result of more favorable environmental conditions in open branches comparing with enclosed bags (Selak et al., 2011), and/or the presence of more compatible pollinisers than those used in cross-pollination. However, in the present study the opposite situation was found, cross-pollination results were higher than open pollination, which clearly indicate that 'Khoderi' is highly compatible with the studied cultivars. Enhancing fruit set under cross-pollination over open-pollination is a case more important in areas with little olive diversity or mono-cultivar olive orchards of 'Tanche', 'Picholine' and 'Frantoio'.

**Self-incompatibility and Fertility index:**

Data presented in table (2) shows that 'Picholine' was highly self-incompatible cultivar with ISI= 0.11. Previous studies in the same region
reported that 'Picholine' was highly self-incompatible with ISI= 0-0.09 (Mhanna et al., 2015), which is in accordance with Moutier (2002) who found that 'Picholine' is highly self-incompatible in France. 'Tanche' and 'Frantoio' were partially self-incompatible, but 'Frantoio' was more self-fertile than 'Tanche'. Spinardi and Bassi (2012) found that ISI of 'Frantoio' was 0.25; this difference could be related to different environmental conditions. Gonzalez and Cuevas (2012) reported different ISI values of 'Arbequina' depending on season and study location. This cultivar was self-compatible in one region and incompatible in another. The same cultivar was reported to be infertile in Montenegro conditions with concern of the productivity in mono-cultivar orchards (Lazović et al., 2017).

Table (2) Index of self- incompatibility (ISI) and evaluation of 'Khoderi' as polliniser for 'Tanche' 'Picholine' and 'Frantoio' olive cultivars using fertility index (R index) in 2017 and 2019 seasons*

|           | Tanche | Picholine | Frantoio |
|-----------|--------|-----------|----------|
|           | 2017   | 2019      | Eva.     | 2017   | 2019      | Eva.     | 2017   | 2019      | Eva.     |
| ISI       | 0.33   | 0.35      | PSI      | 0.11   | ---       | HSI      | 0.52   | 0.73      | PSI      |
| RI        | 1.13   | 1.92      | Good     | 1.04   | ---       | Good     | 1.12   | 1.92      | Good     |

*PSI: partially self- incompatible, HSI: Highly self- incompatible, RI: fertility index, Eva: evaluation.

Fertility index (R-index) was used to evaluate olive pollinisers by different authors (Moutier, 2002; Mete et al., 2016, etc.). In our study, based on R-index 'Khoderi' was good (efficient) polliniser for 'Tanche', 'Picholine' and 'Frantoio' olive cultivars in both study seasons. Recent studies also reported that most olive cultivars are self-incompatible (Saumitou-Laprade et al., 2017), and there is a need of cross-pollination with compatible cultivars to increase fruit set even for self-compatible cultivars (Mete et al., 2016).

CONCLUSIONS

'Picholine' was highly self-incompatible olive cultivar, while 'Tanche' and 'Frantoio' were partially self-incompatible. 'Khoderi' was efficient polliniser for all the studied cultivars, characterized by good pollen germinability and extended flowering period that overlaps the flowering period of the receptor cultivars. Overall results suggest 'Khoderi' as efficient polliniser for 'Picholine', 'Tanche' and 'Frantoio' olives in order to enhance fruit set and consequently productivity.
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