Connectivity between nidopallium caudolateral and visual pathways in color perception of zebra finches

Yi-Tse Hsiao1,9, Ta-Ching Chen2,3,9, Pin-Huan Yu4, Ding-Siang Huang2, Fung-Rong Hu2, Cheng-Ming Chuong5 & Fang-Chia Chang1,6,7,8*

Researchers demonstrated an elegant ability for red discrimination in zebra finches. It is interested to understand whether red activates exhibit much stronger response than other colors in neural network levels. To reveal the question, local field potentials (LFPs) was recorded and analyzed in two visual pathways, the thalamofugal and the tectofugal pathways, of zebra finches. Human studies demonstrate visual associated telencephalons communicate with higher order brain areas such as prefrontal cortex. The present study determined whether a comparable transmission occurs in zebra finches. Telencephalic regions of the thalamofugal (the visual Wulst) and the tectofugal pathway (the entopallium) with their higher order telencephalon, nidopallium caudolateral (NCL) were simultaneously recorded. LFPs of relay nuclei (the nucleus rotundus, ROT) of tectofugal pathway were also acquired. We demonstrated that LFP powers in the tectofugal pathway were higher than those in the thalamofugal pathway when illuminating blue lights. In addition, the LFP synchronization was stronger between the entopallium and NCL. LFPs also revealed a higher Granger causality from the direction of entopallium to NCL and from ROT to entopallium. These results suggest that zebra finches’ tectofugal pathway predominately processing color information from ROT to NCL, relayed by entopallium, and blue could trigger the strongest response.

Like humans, birds heavily rely on color vison to gather information and perception1. Color discrimination affects their food seeking and mate choosing abilities2,3. The central visual pathways in brain exhibit similar organizations between mammals and birds. In humans, there are two major pathways—the geniculocortical and extra-geniculocortical pathways, which process visual information. Similarly, the thalamofugal and the tectofugal pathways in birds are respectively corresponding to the geniculocortical and extra-geniculocortical pathways1,4. Unlike humans, who depend mainly on the geniculocortical pathway to process visual information5,6, birds with laterally placed eyes have the most prominent tectofugal pathway (corresponding to extra-geniculocortical pathway in mammals)7,8. Lesions of this pathway cause deficits on color discrimination tasks9.

However, with estimating approximately 10,000 species of birds, merely the eye position (laterally placed eyes or medially placed eyes) resulted in profound differences in how their brains process visual information1. Even in the species with similar eye positions, there still are species-specific variations for avian brains. The zebra finches’ (Taeniopygia guttata) retina contains an extra type of cone cells, ultraviolet cones, in addition to the short-wavelength (S)-cones, medium-wavelength (M)-cones, and long-wavelength (L)-cones10, suggesting that ‘blue’, ‘red’, and ‘green’ play important roles for zebra finches. Researchers found that the color of seed feeders attracted different species of garden birds, implying their different color preferences11. Red is the best known for its function than other colors for zebra finches. The capability of red color discrimination seems critical for both male and female zebra finches to decide on their mates12-14. Recently, researchers also demonstrated an elegant ability of red discrimination in zebra finches15. Most of the literatures focus on the correlation between red and social behaviors12-15. But, it is also interesting to investigate the neural network level of whether red activates...
much strong responses than other colors, and whether the zebra finches are indeed sensitive to other colors than red. A report demonstrates that zebra finches have green, blue, and UV light-dependent magnetic compass, suggesting that blue light is critical for their navigation. The present study recorded local field potentials (LFPs) in the zebra finches’ brain regions and tried to elucidate the brain response when they were stimulated by various colors. Next, we introduced the brain regions that were recorded in our experiments.

The visual information from the retina transmits to both the optic tectum and the geniculatulcis lateralis pars dorsalis (GLd) of the thalamus in birds. The neurons in the optic tectum project to the nucleus rotundus (ROT), which then send the signals to the entopallium (ENTO). This optic tectum-ROT-ENTO projection pathway is referred to as the tectofugal pathway. Hodos et al. conducted a series of lesion experiments to determine the functions of ROST and ENTO. Deconstruction of ROT or ENTO cause deficits in the pattern discrimination, visual intensity, and color discrimination. Reports further elucidate that the tectofugal pathway processes motion signal, color perception, luminance changes, and in-depth vision signals, and is also sensitive to looming (approaching) objects. It seems that the tectofugal pathway is in charge of some simple visual signals. On the other hand, the signal from GLd transmits to the visual Wulst (VW), a telencephalic region that is comparable with mammalian visual cortex. This pathway is called the thalamofugal pathway. The thalamofugal pathway is important for birds, which relies on binocular vision to hunt preys (e.g., owl) in long distance and requires precise perception of three-dimensional stimuli. However, the functions of VW in owl are not comparable with zebra finches, since zebra finches are laterally eyed bird that results in only marginal binocular interaction for both of their eyes. Seed or fruit-eating birds use frontal binocular vision only when they peck foods from where is close to their beaks. Zebra finches are granivores (seed predators) with laterally placed eyes and mostly depend on the monocular vision. A previous report showed that male zebra finches prefer to use right eye to observe females and show off their flanks during the early ritual of courtship. The color preference for zebra finches is still an unsolved question and most studies were performed under general anesthesia and acclimated or trained birds. In this study, we elucidated what kinds of color spectra trigger the largest brain responses in aforementioned visual pathways. For this purpose, we implanted electrodes into the nuclei (ROT, ENTO and VW) relayed in the tectofugal and thalamofugal pathways in brains. To study the effects of different colors on activating thalamofugal and tectofugal pathways in the left hemisphere, then shined a series of colors to the right eye, and acquired the LFPs still emerged between 0 and 500 ms after color flashing when black background was used as baseline.
Figure 1. The averaged LFP traces in the brain regions after stimulated by 15 colors with black color as baseline between each color. The top illustration displays the experimental protocols. The stimulation trials were the unit for analysis. The LFP amplitudes of y-axis were Z-scored and depicted as the means ± SEMs. The zero at the x-axis is the stimulation time point. The evoked potentials were emerged about 500 ms after the stimuli and LFPs possessed their own waveforms in each of these four regions. In this figure we represent 5 color stimuli, the detailed LFP traces after stimulated by 15 colors have been shown in Fig. S1.
related brain region, the NCL, involves in processing color stimuli. The WPLI is an index which is insensitive to volume conduction from volume conduction. Volume conduction is an electric current transmitting between nearby brain areas and usually shows no phase delay in LFPs between sources and the nearby areas, as indicated that the recorded waveforms are similar between different brain areas and may mask the actual LFP signals. The different shape and response time of evoked potentials among regions in our LFP recordings suggest that volume conduction did not cause artificial synchronization and the acquired LFPs were real signals. Therefore, it is worth to further analyze the functional connectivity. It has been demonstrated that gamma oscillations are triggered by vision through the tectofugal pathway in pigeons42; therefore, we investigated the changes of LFP powers in different spectra after receiving the different 15-color stimuli before further analyzing the functional connectivity. The LFP spectrograms stimulated by 15 different colors depicted that the strongest responses were elicited after receiving blue to green lights (Figs. 2A, S2, color No. 6: RGB color (0, 1, 1), and color No. 7: RGB color (0.25, 1, 0.75)). However, if the white background color was used as the baseline, no distinct response was found among these color stimuli (Fig. S3). The spectrograms of color stimuli displayed a predominant power between middle and high frequencies from the onset of the trigger to half a second after the trigger (Fig. 2A dashed line box). The powers between the middle and high frequency bands were increased (as the arrows indicated in Fig. 2A); therefore, we referred to rat’s frequency bands and divided the responsive spectrograms into three frequency bands: the low frequency (< 20 Hz, including the delta, theta and alpha waves), the middle frequency (20–60 Hz, mainly containing slow gamma waves), and the high frequency (60–100 Hz, mainly containing fast gamma waves)43. Bar graphs of average powers across 0–500 ms after stimuli depicted that the subjects have low responses to the reds (colors No.14 or No.15) when comparing to colors No. 6 or No. 7 in the ROT and ENTO (Fig. 2B–D analyzed by one-way repeated measures ANOVA, Bonferroni-adjusted significance tests for pairwise comparisons; see supplementary Table S2, for detailed means ± SEMs, F values, p values, and corrected p values). NCL also showed strong response to blue (Fig. 2B–D, analyzed by one-way repeated measures ANOVA, Bonferroni-adjusted significance tests for pairwise comparisons; see supplementary Table S2, for detailed means ± SEMs, F values, p values, and corrected p values). These results suggested that subjects were sensitive to the illumination of blue (color No. 6, 7) colors when comparing with red (color No. 14, 15) colors. This phenomenon was only observed in VW in middle frequency when flashed with No. 14 color (Fig. 2B–D, analyzed by one-way repeated measures ANOVA; see supplementary Table S2 for detailed means ± SEMs, F values, p values, and corrected p values). The overall Z-scored powers in ENTO are significantly higher than those of ROT and VW (Fig. 2E–G; analyzed by one-way ANOVA compared between total of 15 colors among 4 areas, Bonferroni-adjusted significance tests for pairwise comparisons. See supplementary Table S3A for detailed means ± SEMs, F values, p values, and corrected p values). The overall Z-scored power in NCL are also strong (Fig. 2E–G, supplementary Table S3A). These results suggested that the NCL-ROT pathway plays the major role in processing color information. We further created 7 rainbow colors with the same radiation power (Table S1), since the previous 15 colors created by the color codes did not control the radiation power. After adjusting these rainbow colors to an identical radiation power, the colors were a little bit pale (Fig. 3A; color index on the left; also see “Materials and Methods” section, Table S1). The radiation-power-controlled rainbow colors still triggered evoked potentials (Fig. S4, LFP traces). In addition, the rainbow colors still generated strongest power in ENTO and significantly stronger than VW (Fig. S5A, analyzed by one-way repeated measure ANOVA compared between the total rainbow colors among 4 areas, Bonferroni-adjusted significance tests for pairwise comparisons. See supplementary Table S3C for detailed means ± SEMs, F values, p values, and corrected p values). Since there were some missing values from VW recording because of the broken recording wires and one-way repeated measure ANOVA excludes the missing trials, we analyzed the statistical differences by one-way ANOVA. The statistical analysis still demonstrates significant higher power in ENTO than VW (see supplementary Table S3B for detail statistic values). The blue colors triggered the highest potentials, especially in ENTO and NCL (Figs. 3B–D, and S5B, analyzed by one-way repeated measures ANOVA, Bonferroni-adjusted significance tests for pairwise comparisons; see supplementary Tables S4 and S3D for detailed means ± SEMs, F values, p values, and corrected p values). The powers in ROT and VW are still relatively low (Fig. 3A–D), which is consistent with the findings using 15-color stimuli. We were interested in knowing whether blue trigged highest response in ENTO than VW, therefore, we analyzed z-scored power after the blue stimulation. The data elucidate a significant stronger power in ENTO than VW (Fig. S5B, One-way repeated ANOVA, see supplementary Table S3D for detailed means ± SEMs, F values, p values, and corrected p values). The powers in ROT and VW are still relatively low (Fig. 3A–D). The red colors triggered the highest potentials in ENTO and NCL. However, the blue colors triggered the highest potentials in ENTO and NCL. The blue colors triggered the highest potentials in ENTO and NCL.

Phase synchronization between the NCL and the tectofugal pathway is stronger. We analyzed the phase synchronizations between ROT and NCL (ROT-NCL), ENTO and NCL (ENTO-NCL), and VW and NCL (VW-NCL) by the weighted phase lag index (WPLI) to determine whether the higher-level cognitive-related brain region, the NCL, involves in processing color stimuli. The WPLI is an index which is insensitive to volume conduction and suitable to analyze the levels of synchronization within a small size region of brain. We calculated WPLI within 500 ms after different color stimuli (Fig. 4A–C) and we also determined WPLI within 500 ms by all of rainbow color stimuli (Fig. 4D–F). The phase synchronization of the ROT-NCL, ENTO-NCL, and VW-NCL were not significantly higher compared with other colors when stimulated with blue (Fig. 4A–C, analyzed by one-way repeated measures ANOVA compared then Bonferroni-adjusted significance tests for pairwise comparisons). However, the ENTO-NCL pathway showed significant higher WPLI in all colors when compared with those of VW-NCL, indicating more prominent increases between NCL and relay nuclei in the tectofugal pathway than that between NCL and VW of
Figure 2. The averaged spectrograms in the brain regions after stimulated by 15 colors with black color as baseline between each color. Here we selected results from only red stimuli and blue stimuli for comparing the brain responses to red and blue. The stimulation trial as the unit to be analyzed. (See Fig. S2 for the complete 15-color-stimuli spectrograms.) (A) The Z-scored spectrograms of red and blue stimuli. Frequencies (Hz) have shown on the y-axis and stimulation time point is marked as zero at the x-axis. Dashed line box marks the time between 0 and 500 ms. The magnitude of power is color-coded and the power scales are plotted under each column. Although the animals were recorded under anesthesia, the color No. 7 evoked the strongest power and the high energy revealed in different frequency bands (depicted by arrows). (B.C.D) are the mean of powers between 0 and 500 ms (from the dashed line boxes in (A)) of low, middle, high frequency bands, respectively. The values were depicted as means ± SEMs. * denotes the p value < 0.05 when compared to color No. 6; # denotes the p value < 0.05 when compared to color No.7. (One-way repeated measurement ANOVA, then Bonferroni post hoc comparison). (E.F.G) display the mean of powers between 0 and 500 ms without differentiating the 15-color-stimuli. The values were depicted as means ± SEMs. *Denotes the p value < 0.05 when compared to the ROT; # denotes the p value < 0.05 when compared to the VW (one-way ANOVA, then Bonferroni post hoc comparison).
the thalamofugal pathway (Fig. 4D–F; one-way ANOVA, Bonferroni-adjusted significance tests for pairwise comparisons. See Table S6A for detailed statistic values). One-way repeated measures ANOVA were also used and demonstrated higher ENTO-NCL synchronization than VW-NCL in middle and high frequency (Fig. S5C, Table S6B for detailed statistic values). Again, blue was extracted and further analyzed (Fig. S5D, Table S6C for detailed statistic values; One-way repeated measures ANOVA). The results demonstrated significant stronger phase synchronization in ENTO-NCL than that of VW-NCL in the high frequency. These results imply that the color signal transmission was mediated by the tectofugal pathway to NCL. To further confirm the transmission pathway and connectivity, we injected the non-trans-synaptic retrograded tracer, fluorogold, into NCL to confirm the anatomical afferent projections to NCL (Fig. S6A–C). The brain histology showed that the bilateral ENTOs exhibit prominent fluorescent signals after injecting fluorogold bilaterally to NCL. Projections from the striatum were also noticed. In contrast, there was a mild retrograded signal in the caudal part of VWs (Fig. S6B). To determine the hemispheric integration of these pathways, we injected fluorogold into the left NCL and incubated for additional two weeks. We found that most of the signals were noticed in the mesopallium, and there was few fluorogold signal in the left cranial part of VW (Fig. S6C). This retrograde staining result implies that the afferent projection to NCL is predominant from ENTO, but not from VW.

**Figure 3.** The averaged spectrograms in the brain regions after stimulated by rainbow colors with black color as baseline between each color. (A) The Z-scored spectrograms of baseline and rainbow color stimuli. Frequencies (Hz) have shown on the y-axis and stimulation time point is marked as zero at the x-axis. The magnitude of power is color-coded and the power scales are plotted under each column. We further confirmed the results from the 15-color-stimulation by using the rainbow colors with similar radiation intensity. (B,C,D) are averaged powers within the time period (0–500 ms) of low, middle, and high frequency bands. The values were depicted as means ± SEMs. *Denotes the p value < 0.05 when compared to blue. (one-way repeated measurement ANOVA, then Bonferroni post hoc comparison; stimulation trials as the unit to be analyzed).
Color information is processed in the direction from tectofugal pathway to NCL. We further assessed the functional connectivity between the two pathways and NCL to reveal the directional interactions when the subjects were stimulated by different colors (Fig. 5A). The overall Granger causality for both directions are significantly higher in the ENTO ⇔ NCL (Fig. 5B,C, Table S7A for detailed statistic values and Bonferroni post-hoc tests of one-way ANOVA). One-way repeated ANOVA demonstrated the significant strongest Granger causality in the ENTO ⇔ NCL as well if we pooled all the results of all rainbow colors (Fig. S7A, Table S7B for detailed statistic values). This phenomenon is still significant if we only analyzed blue stimuli (Fig. S7B, Table S7C for detailed statistic values). The increase of Granger causality was observed in a direction from ENTO to NCL.

**Figure 4.** Phase synchronization between the NCL and other 3 brain regions after stimulated by rainbow colors with black color as baseline between each color. (A,B,C) are the means of WPLIs within the time period (0–500 ms) for the 3 frequency bands. The stimuli of rainbow colors are depicted under the panels. The values were depicted as means ± SEMs. (D,E,F) display the means of WPLIs between 0 and 500 ms without differentiating the rainbow-color-stimuli. *Denotes the p value < 0.05. (one-way ANOVA, then Bonferroni post hoc comparison; stimulation trials as the unit to be analysis).
Figure 5. Granger causality between the NCL and other 3 brain regions after stimulated by rainbow colors with black color as baseline between each color. (A) The dynamics of Granger causality between the NCL and other 3 regions. The data in the y-axis are the value of Granger causality before and after stimulating with rainbow colors. The means ± SEMs are displayed by solid lines and shades, where blue lines are Granger causality direction from each 3 regions to the NCL and red lines are the opposite direction. Please note that it must sample a period of time for calculating the Granger causality. We took every 0.5 s with 0.05 s moving steps to measure the dynamics (see the Method section); therefore, the time resolution was not as precise as the LFP traces and resulted in the peak G.C.s before 0 s. And also, the scale for ENTO-NCL is different for others (B, C) show the mean G.C. between 0 and 500 ms without differentiating the rainbow-color-stimuli. The values were depicted as means ± SEMs. *Denotes the p value < 0.05. (one-way ANOVA, then Bonferroni post hoc comparison; stimulation trials as the unit to be analysis). (D) represents the mean of G.C. between 0 and 500 ms after the stimulation of rainbow colors. The values were depicted as means ± SEMs. *Denotes the p value < 0.05. (paired t test).
to NCL during blue stimuli (Fig. 5D, two-tailed paired t-test, see Table S8B for detailed degree of freedom, t values and p values). Similar finding could also be found after indigo (Fig. 5D, Table S8B) and violet stimuli (Fig. 5D, Table S8B), but not after other color stimuli. These results suggest that the LFPs recorded from ENTO are leading the LFPs in NCL, because the direction from ENTO to NCL demonstrated the highest Granger causality and implied the color information is processed from the tectofugal pathway to NCL. Finally, it is also interesting to determine whether ROT transfers information to ENTO, because ROT is a relay nucleus of tectofugal pathway. Our data demonstrated that the direction from ROT to ENTO had a higher Granger causality than that of the direction from ENTO to ROT after the stimulation of rainbow colors (Fig. S7C, Table S7D for detailed statistic values) or blue color (Fig. S7D, Table S7E for detailed statistic values).

Discussion

ENTO and VW are respectively the telencephalic areas of tectofugal pathway and thalamofugal pathway. However, it is still unclear whether higher order avian brain areas, such as NCL, communicate with them or not. We hypothesized that the telencephalons of visual pathways communicate with higher order brain areas in zebra finches and the ENTO has stronger communication with the NCL than VW, when stimulated by colors. We initially were expecting prominent responses in ENTO when zebra finches see colors. The present study demonstrated that the ENTO generates stronger power than those of ROT and VW when the right eye was stimulated by colors. In addition, the NCL also represented strong power. When we further analyzed the functional connectivity between ENTO and NCL, we found that color stimuli enhanced synchronization between ENTO and NCL in the direction from ENTO to NCL. Interestingly, the synchronization between VW and NCL were negatively synchronized, suggesting these two areas communicated poorly with each other37. Moreover, blue is the color that evoked the strongest power in the direction from ENTO to NCL; the Granger causality also demonstrated the LFPs acquired from ROT leaded the consequent LFPs of ENTO. However, the different colors did not result in different phase synchronization between NCL and other three regions. We were also interested in learning what colors zebra finches are sensitive to. Indeed, we hypothesized that their visual pathway is sensitive to red, but we cannot ignore the fact that blue is important for finding sky or water and green may be important for searching plants. Surprisingly our results partially support that blue is relatively important to zebra finches. These results also raise some interesting questions about the physiological or ecological advantages of “blue” and also the physiological functions of the tectofugal pathway, the thalamofugal pathway, and NCL for zebra finches. The LFP experiments are mainly done in rodent models; therefore, we firstly applied findings from rodents to explain our current results. Then, we discussed some potential physiological meanings for zebra finches.

The LFP spectrograms. We employed the results of LFPs to determine our hypothesis. The LFP is a summation of various potentials such as membrane potential and action potentials. The synaptic potential is often the main source of LFP48. The LFP contains multi-dimensional information including frequency, amplitude, phase, and time. Therefore, the present study analyzed several aspects of the LFPs. In the spectrograms, we noticed that blue evoked a strongest power within 0–500 ms after the stimuli, especially in ENTO and NCL. Strong LFP power (or amplitude) usually suggests that the target areas are in a very active status38. In addition, the color stimuli evoked approximately three frequency bands. The LFP frequency is an unique way for communicating between brain regions and each frequency band may encode different information and has its own physiological functions39. We analyzed the frequency bands to explore the potential communication of color information between ENTO and NCL. Our data demonstrated that the middle and high frequency bands revealed the power differences between ENTO and VW. In general, low frequency oscillations are propagated farther, given that the cell membrane is a low pass filter37. In the other hand, high frequency oscillations provide a more temporally organized transmission than low frequency oscillations40,41. We cannot conclude that the color information is not transmitted between VW and NCL, since we still acquired significant potentials in VW. However, the evidence from phase synchronization and Granger causality support that the ENTO-NCL is more sensitive to colors.

Phase synchronization and directional connectivity. The phase of LFP also encodes information for propagating between brain regions37. Synchronizations help the communication between different brain regions42. Although we found no color preference of phase synchronization, the ENTO-NCL still revealed strong positive synchronization, whereas VW-NCL showed weak or negative synchronization. Even though the blue evoked the highest activities in the ENTO and NCL, the WPLI cannot reflect the difference of amplitudes because WPLI only measures the phases of LFPs. We postulated that the phase synchronization encoded information of stimuli, but not colors. In the other word, the communications between ENTO and NCL increased, which paved a way for color information but the color itself was encoded by amplitudes. The weak communication between VW-NCL implies that the tectofugal pathway is the main path in response to color stimulation. The directional connectivity also suggests a strong information transmits from ENTO to NCL when zebra finch received blue stimulation.

Sensitivity to blue stimulation. We demonstrated that the male zebra finch is sensitive to blue lights. The retina studies have shown that several species of birds are sensitive to both yellow and UV lights, but some species show their maximal sensitivity at the green spectrum46. Therefore, the spectral sensitivity in birds may vary among species. Bennett et. al. reported that UV vision dominantly contributes to the mate-selection in zebra finches47. Our data could not rule out the possibility of sensitivity for UV light in the zebra finch, but we believe our results shed some light on zebra finches’ color preference. Additionally, a recent study also demonstrates the zebra finches’ retina is sensitive to blue as well48.
Our results also showed that the color-evoked potentials were significantly detected when we used black as baseline, rather than white color, between different colors. It is possible that white baseline, which contains all spectra of the visible lights, may saturate the visual response and let the visual pathways do not respond to the subsequent colors. Therefore, we manually adjusted the intensities of different colors to be the same and acquired their evoked potentials. The limitation of this study is the light source, since we did not use a light with narrow wavelength band such as laser. We simply used a laptop, which generates colors based on a human's vision system (i.e. trichromatic colors). Even though this study did not stimulate the visual pathway with a precisely narrow wavelength band and no invisible light (for humans) was generated by the laptop, the results still shed light on their brain activities when stimulated by trichromatic colors. We expected more complicated responses will be observed when stimulated by mixing the UV light with trichromatic colors.

In addition, the color perception in zebra finches is a complicated issue. Our report raises several new questions needed to be discuss. For instances, what the limitation is for using a monitor which generates RGB colors to stimulate the animals with tetrachromatic vision. Do colors influence the zebra finches' perceptive brightness and cause the strongest evoked LFPs from blue? Although the functions of the avian brain are species-specific, we reviewed some studies from other bird species and tried to reveal the potential answers of whether the violet cones (or ultraviolet cones in finches) interact with the S-, M-, and L-cones in the tetrachromatic vision of bird. For humans, the purple from the monitor stimulates the short-wavelength sensitive cone cells and humans interpret it as purple. The purple from the laptop monitor is a mixing of red and blue, not a pure short wavelength light. Therefore, we should roughly interpret the color spectrum of the visible lights, may saturate the visual response and let the visual pathways do not respond to the subsequent colors. There is also a behavior report indicates that the contrast of the background affects color discrimination in zebra finches. Although the background used in the present study are not parallel to the back or white baseline, it is likely that the responses to colors are modulated by recent or adjacent colors. To minimize the confounding factor, we stimulated the subjects with colors in random orders. The intensities of white or other colors may also affect the brain activities. A study regarding the spectral sensitivity in avian retina reports that increasing intensity of certain color shifts the maximum electroretinal potential toward the shorter wavelengths. Therefore, we manually adjusted the intensities of different colors to be the same and acquired their evoked potentials. The limitation of this study is the light source, since we did not use a light with narrow wavelength band such as laser. We simply used a laptop, which generates colors based on a human's vision system (i.e. trichromatic colors). Even though this study did not stimulate the visual pathway with a precisely narrow wavelength band and no invisible light (for humans) was generated by the laptop, the results still shed light on their brain activities when stimulated by trichromatic colors. We expected more complicated responses will be observed when stimulated by mixing the UV light with trichromatic colors.

Tectofugal pathway, thalamofugal pathway and NCL. Our findings indicated that the color-evoked potentials were stronger in the tectofugal pathway than the thalamofugal pathway. Moreover, the synchronization between the relayed nuclei of the tectofugal pathway and NCL was also stronger. These results are similar to some studies using pigeons as subjects, in which lesion of ROTs impairs the color discrimination and some color sensitive units are also found in ROT. In addition to ROT, about 30% of tectal units are able to respond to certain wavelengths. Although we discovered that ENTO (a downstream of ROT) represents stronger activities than those of ROT, we cannot exclude the roles of ROT for processing color information. In our result, we demonstrated that NCL responses were highly correlated with ENTO but the correlation is relatively low between the NCL and ROT. We think ROT did not reveal as strong response as ENTO because ENTO needs more intensive communication with NCL. This hypothesis is supported by the synchronization and directional connectivity results of NCL-ENTO. With regard to the thalamofugal pathway, color-sensitive units have been discovered in the ventral lateral geniculate nucleus, which consists of inputs from both retinas and VW. Bischof used 1-ms flash to evoke and record the field potentials of VW and ENTO, and found the amplitudes recorded from the contralateral hemispheres are similar. A lesion study demonstrates that the VW in zebra finch involves in spatial information processing and ENTO analyzes the pattern of objects. The zebra finches' VW even perceive vision mediated by earth magnetic field orientation. It is still unclear whether the VW modulates other cognitive functions related to vision, but researchers demonstrate the important role of VW in imprinting for chicken. These pieces of evidence may support our hypothesis that the tectofugal pathway is much critical than the thalamofugal pathway in regard to the color information processing, since colors are also important cues for discriminating objects. It would be also of interest to simultaneously record from the ventral
lateral geniculate nucleus. However, because of the limitations of channel and skull space, we selected only to record the relay nucleus (ROT) of tectofugal pathway.

Conclusions
Our result suggests that the communication between nidopallium caudolateral and tectofugal pathways is crucial for color discrimination. Moreover, ENTO and NCL are more active when the eyes are stimulated by blue and the visual information was transmitted from the direction of ENTO to NCL.

Materials and methods
Animals. In the experiments, the male zebra finches (n = 9, 5 to 8-month-old) were acquired from the commercial bird breeders (San-Xing Bird Store, Taipei, Taiwan). The birds were housed in home cages individually. The temperature of the environment temperature was controlled at 23 ± 1 °C, and the light–dark cycle was maintained under nature light (AM 7:00 light, PM 7:00 dark; summer). Food and water were available ad libitum. All procedures performed in this study were approved by the National Taiwan University Animal Care and Use Committee, approval ID: NTU-106-EL-026. All methods described in this paper were performed in accordance with the guidelines and regulations of National Taiwan University Animal Care and Use Committee.

Surgery and electrophysiological data collection. After at least a 7-day accommodation in their home cages, the zebra finches were randomly selected for the recordings of evoke potentials. These finches stayed in an induction box, which provided pure oxygen for 10 min to raise their blood oxygen levels. Subsequently, they were intraperitoneally administrated butophanol (2 µg/g) and midazolam (2 µg/g) for analgesia and muscle relaxing. Anesthesia was induced by 2% isoflurane mixed with oxygen. Once they lost their reflex of deep pain, the subjects were fixed on the stereotactic instrument and maintained anesthesia with 1.5% isoflurane60. Four tetrodes, consisting of two twisted 0.05 mm stainless steel wires (California Fine Wire, Grover Beach, CA) in each one, were implanted into the NCL (AP, 1.0 mm; ML, − 4.5 mm; DV, 4.0 mm relative to y point), the ENTO (AP, 3.0 mm; ML, − 3.6 mm; DV, 3.3 mm relative to y point), and the ROT (AP, 2.6 mm; ML, − 2.0 mm; DV, 5.0 mm relative to y point), and the VW (AP, 5.5 mm; ML, − 2.0 mm; DV, 1.5 mm relative to y point) in the left hemisphere. The coordinates were selected based on A stereotaxic atlas of the brain of the zebra finch by Nixdorf-Bergweiler and Bischof61. The tetrodes were connected to an interface board which linked to a head stage and tether to the preamplifier of OmniPlex A system (omniplex version 1.2.0, https://plexon.com/products/omniplex-software, Plexon, Dallas, TX, USA). One grounding screw was anchored on the rostral part of right frontal skull. During the recording, the grounding electrode was used as a reference and the LFP signals were amplified, digitalized, and recorded by a 16 channels OmniPlex A system. The raw LFP signals were bandpass filtered between 0 and 500, amplification time was set at 2500, and the sampling rate was 40 kHz. These digitalized data then down sampled to 2000 Hz for storage. Some reports demonstrate that zebra finches use their right eye to choose their mates which have colorful beaks and feathers28. To test if the left visual pathways of zebra finches are sensitive to certain colors, we flashed 15 colors or 7 rainbow colors to the right eyes of birds. The eyelids of the right eyes were opened and fixed with #6-0 surgical sutures. The color flashing time stamps were also integrated with the guidelines and regulations of National Taiwan University Animal Care and Use Committee.

Color flashing. Fifteen colors were generated using MATLAB code: jet(15), which makes RGB codes from blue to green to red. (The RGB color codes are: (0, 0, 0.75), (0, 0, 1), (0, 0.25, 1), (0, 0.5, 1), (0, 0.75, 1), (0, 1, 1), (0.25, 1, 0.75), (0.5, 1, 0.5), (0.75, 1, 0.25), (1, 1, 0), (1, 0.75, 0), (1, 0.5, 0), (1, 0.25, 0), (1, 0, 0), and (0.75, 0, 0)). We further created 7 rainbow colors with the same radiation power (Table S1), because the 15 colors did not generate the same radiation power. The RGB color codes of the rainbow colors are: red: (1, 0.47, 0.47), orange: (1, 0.58, 0), yellow: (0.86, 0.68, 0), green: (0, 0, 0), blue: (0.4, 0.4, 1), indigo: (0.51, 0.54, 0.87), and violet: (0.85, 0, 0.85). The intensity of radiationpowers (Table S1) were confirmed by light meter (model: MR-16, RAINBOW-LIGHT, Taiwan). For the comparison between these colors and white/colors, four kinds of color sequences, which are black order (BO), black random (BR), white order (WO), and white random (WR), were used in the experiment. The color sequences in the BO were used above mentioned sequence of color codes (either 7 or 15 colors) and inserted black color between colors. The pattern of BR is to rule out the confounding factor caused by the order of colors. We randomly picked 15 (or 7) colors without regular sequence and inserted black color between colors. The color sequences of WO and WR are similar to BO and BR, except that we inserted white color between colors. These colors were flashed on a laptop (model: SVP132AICP, SONY) placed in front of the subjects’ right eyes (the monitor was approximately 30 cm away from the subject). Each color, including black or white colors, emerged for 2 s and then switched to another color in a sequence (order or random) as described earlier. Each subject received a total of 80-time stimuli of color sequences (20 trials in each BO, BR, WO, and WR). The pilot tests had indicated that zebra finches sobered up when isoflurane was below 1%; thus, we maintained the concentration of isoflurane between 1 and 1.5% during the whole experiment. At the end of the experiment, we euthanized the subject with intraperitoneal administration of Zoletil (Tiletamine:Zolazepam = 1:1 Virbac, Carros, France). Their brains were collected and soaked in 10% formalin to confirm the location of implanted electrodes.

LFP analysis. We extracted the time stamps of every color-changing point and extended the time ± 1 s. The local field potentials in the ± 1-s periods were used for further analysis as described below.
**Evoked potentials (LFPs) and power estimation.** The LFPs were Z-scored and averaged across the same color for measuring the evoked potentials, and the negative Z-scored power means it is below the average power. The power spectograms were analyzed with the multitaper method from the open-source MATLAB toolbox Chronux. Because the spectograms need a period of samples and step a short time to create a dynamic spectrum as function of time, we used 0.5-s windows with 0.05-s overlapping steps, set the time-bandwidth product at 3, and set the number of tapers at 5. We also extracted and averaged the values of stimuli between 0 and 500 ms for testing the statistic differences among stimuli or brain regions.

**Phase synchronization across regions.** The levels of synchronization between the ROT-NCL, ENTO-NCL, and VW-NCL were evaluated with a debiased estimator of the squared weighted phase lag index (WPLI). The codes can be download from the open source tool box, Fieldtrip [https://www.fieldtriptoolbox.org/download.php](https://www.fieldtriptoolbox.org/download.php). The WPLI analyzes an imaginary component of the spectrum across two LFPs, because it not only relates to the phase synchronization between two LFPs but is also insensitive to noise or contamination from volume conduction. Compared with classical coherence, this estimator analyzes the phase synchronization across brain regions and minimizes the effect of volume conduction contamination and sample size bias. The WPLI normalized the two LFP with perfect synchronization to 1 and completed out of phase to -1. In order to access the WPLI in a similar manner with multipower estimation, we also broke the ±1-s periods into 0.5-s windows with 0.05-s step and estimated the WPLIs. We extracted and averaged the values of each stimulation during 0–500 ms for testing the statistic differences among stimuli or brain regions.

**Granger causality.** The function connectivity between the ROT⇒NCL, ENTO⇒NCL, and VW⇒NCL were accessed by using Granger causality. We adapted the open-source MATLAB toolbox developed by Barnett and Seth. It is also due to the input samples must contain a period of time to generated a Granger causality dynamic over time, which is similar to the method described in the power spectogram and the WPLI, we calculated the Granger causality for the time domain every 0.5 s with 0.05-s steps for measuring the dynamics of Granger causality. We extracted and averaged the values of each stimulation during 0–500 ms for testing the statistic differences among stimuli or brain regions.

**Histology and retrograde tracing of the afferents to the NCL.** For tracing the afferent projections to NCL, a retrograde tracer, 4% fluorogold (Sigma Chemical), was microinjected into NCL in two birds. One subject was bilaterally (the NCL; AP, 1.0 mm; ML, ±4.5 mm; DV, 4.0 mm relative to y point) injected with fluorogold using microinjection syringe pump at a speed of 1 µl/10 min. We administered 0.33 µl of fluorogold at each site and waited for 1 min, then moved the tip of the needle up for 100 µm and administered another 0.33 µl again and waited another 1 min, and repeated the procedure. Two weeks after injections, this bird was euthanized by isoflurane. The brain was removed and dissected into a 30-µm coronal section by a cryostat microtome. Some brain slices were stained with DAPI. We used an ultraviolet filter in the inverted microscope (IX83; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) to detect the fluorescent reaction of fluorogold. Since the fluorescent reaction in VW was not high in this bird (Fig. S6B), we double confirmed this result with another finch, which was microinjected with 4% fluorogold to the left NCL and waited for 4 weeks to let fluorogold travel a longer distance. The brain slices for confirming the locations of the implanted electrodes were also dissected into a 30-µm coronal section by the cryostat microtome. The pictures in Fig. S6E–H were taken under stereo microscope without staining. The coordinates were adopted from *A stereotaxic atlas of the brain of the zebra finch*. 

**Results and statistics**

All results in the figures are depicted as the means ± SEMs. The results of statistical analyses were done by SPSS (Version: 10.0.7, IBM, New York, USA). The stimulation trials were used as unit to be analyzed. We initially used one-way ANOVA to compared the differences between brain areas. In addition, we excluded the miss values of VW in one bird and restested differences between brain areas with one-way repeated measures ANOVA. Bonferroni post hoc comparison was used if the ANOVA test indicates a significant difference. For measuring the difference between the two causality directions, we used two-tailed paired t-tests.
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