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An o-quinone methide (o-QM) featuring an overcrowded olefinic framework is introduced, which exhibits dehydridation activity owing to its enhanced zwitterionic character, particularly through photoexcitation. The characteristics of this o-QM enable the operation of dehydridation catalysis in the oxidation of benzylic secondary alcohols under aerobic photoirradiation conditions. An experimental analysis and density functional theory calculations provide mechanistic insights; the ground-state zwitterionic intermediate abstracts a hydride and proton simultaneously, and the active oxygen species facilitate catalyst regeneration.

Introduction

In contrast to the catalytic transformations that proceed through deprotonative generation of an anion as a nucleophile, alternative reactions triggered by hydride abstraction to generate a cationic intermediate as an electrophile have garnered less attention in organic chemistry, as a chemical term “dehydridation” sounds unusual. This is probably because of a deficit in effective catalysts for dehydridation, which could be ascribed to the intrinsic problems associated with the dehydridative transformations.1,2 While the deprotonative system commonly relies on base catalysis (proton-transfer catalysis) and involves deprotonation and protonation to complete a catalyst turnover,3 the dehydridative system generally lacks the catalyst regeneration step, rendering the development of the dehydridation catalyst difficult (Fig. 1). Mechanistically, initial dehydridation from a substrate generates a cationic intermediate and hydridated catalyst (H-Cat+) and subsequent bond formation furnishes a cationic product precursor. The precursor is prone to liberate a cationic group (G), such as proton and trialkysilylium ions, to yield a stable product rather than accepting a hydride for the catalyst regeneration. Therefore, the dehydridation catalyst often requires an independent oxidative regeneration process, in which the terminal oxidant should selectively react with H-Cat+ without degrading the substrate. These features constitute inherent impediments to eliciting the full synthetic potential of dehydridative catalysis.4

In view of designing a dehydridation catalyst under these circumstances, we became interested in one of the canonical structures of o-quinone methide (o-QM), which could be regarded as a zwitterionic triarylmethilide (Scheme 1).5 As triarylmethilide (tritylcarbenium) ion, exemplified by tritylium ion, has been used as a powerful dehydridating reagent,4 we envisioned that the zwitterionic form of o-QM could have an ability to engage in dehydridation, being transformed to its hydrogenated (reduced) form, o-diarylmethyl arylhydroxide. However, although o-QMs undergo 1,4-addition of various nucleophiles, their capability of accepting a hydride from simple organic molecules, 1,4-reduction reactivity, remains obscure.6 On the other hand, o-diarylmethyl arylhydroxide is known to be converted to o-QM under mild oxidative conditions,7 which implies the feasibility of in situ regeneration of o-QM after dehydridation event. These characteristics of o-QMs and their redox behavior prompted us to explore the possibility of imparting reactivity as a dehydridation catalyst to o-QMs through pertinent structural modifications and optimization of

Fig. 1 General schemes of catalytic deprotonative and dehydridative transformations.
reaction conditions. Here, we report the implementation of this approach, revealing the catalytic performance of novel α-QM featuring an overcrowded olefinic core in the dehydridative oxidation of secondary benzylic alcohols under aerobic photoirradiation conditions.

Results and discussion

At the outset, we selected a representative isolable α-QM 1 in (Fig. 2a), and evaluated its intrinsic reactivity toward an organic reductant, 2-phenylbenzothiazoline, in CDCl3 by 1H NMR (400 MHz) monitoring experiment, which showed that conversion of 1 to the reduced form 1-H2 via 1,4-reduction did not occur (Fig. 3a). We inferred that the observed low reactivity could be ascribed to the insufficient contribution of the zwitterionic canonical form to the resonance structures of 1. To increase the zwitterionic character of α-QM, we devised an α-QM 2H featuring 10,10-dimethyl-9(10H)-anthracenylidene framework with expectation that 2H would be compelled to form a twisted structure due to the steric repulsion between the substituents on the olefinic carbons at the fjord region. However, X-ray diffraction analysis of an orange crystal of 2H revealed its distorted but non-twisted (anti-folded) three-dimensional structure having a nearly identical α,β-unsaturated core to that of 1 (Fig. 2b). The burden of the distortion is mainly localized on the

Fig. 2 (a) Molecular structures of α-QMs and their reduced forms. (b) ORTEP diagrams of α-QMs. (c) UV spectra of α-QMs. (d) Structure and ORTEP diagram of protonated α-QM, 2H-HBF4 (BF4- was omitted for clarity). (e) Expected molecular motion of 2H upon photoexcitation and calculated structure of 1S0 (calculation was performed at SMD(CH2Cl2)-TD-CAMB3LYP/6-31+G(d) level).
ring systems. Specifically, the mean plane dihedral angle of the benzocyclohexadienone subunit of 2H (142.21°) is markedly smaller than that of 1 (153.68°), and the dihydroanthracene subunit is also significantly bent (121.53°). In silico structural optimization of 2H and 1 using density functional theory (DFT) calculations (SMD(CH2Cl2)-CAMB3LYP/6-31+G(d) level)\(^{10}\) derived nearly identical structures to those obtained from the X-ray analysis, suggesting that the observed skeletal distortion was not affected by the crystal packing force (see ESI†). In addition, a comparison of the maximum absorption wavelengths of 2H (381 nm) with that of 1 (363 nm) supports a similar effective conjugation length (\(\pi,\beta\)-unsaturated character) (Fig. 2c). These observations implied a negligible difference in the cationic character of their benzylic carbons. However, 2H reacted with 2-phenylbenzothiazoline with higher efficiency to give the reduced form 2H-H\(_2\) at ambient temperature (43%), suggesting the enhanced cationicity (Fig. 3b). Although 2H did not undergo 1,4-reduction with less reactive cyclohexa-1,4-diene as a hydride donor, its dehydridation activity could be enhanced by adding an acidic additive to effectively increase the participation of the zwitterionic structure as its protonated, carbocationic form. For instance, the reaction of 2H with cyclohexa-1,4-diene proceeded smoothly in the presence of 10 mol% of methanesulfonic acid (MsOH) to afford 2H-H\(_2\) in 64% yield. Protonation of the carbonyl oxygen of \(\sigma\)-QM induces the generation of the protonated form of the zwitterionic resonance structure of 2H, 2H-HOMs, as evident from the indicative change in solution color from pale yellow to deep red. For precise structural elucidation of the protonated form of 2H, 2H-HBF\(_4\) was separately prepared by the treatment of 2H-H\(_2\)O with HBF\(_4\) in toluene. The subsequent recrystallization from the hexane/CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) solvent system gave deep red crystals, which were subjected to X-ray diffraction analysis. As shown in Fig. 2d, 2-naphthol and dihydroanthracene subunits connected perpendicularly (torsion angle: 78.1°), and the benzylic carbon of the connecting bond adopted an almost complete sp\(^3\)-hybridized planar structure (sum of the angles: 360°), accounting for its cationic character. Notably, the reduction of 2H-HBF\(_4\) to 2H-H\(_2\) with cyclohexa-1,4-diene was even faster (83%), demonstrating its high reactivity. These observations corroborate the correlation between the cationicity of the benzyl carbon atom of \(\sigma\)-QM 2H and its dehydridation activity.

Having verified the structure–activity relationship of \(\sigma\)-QM 2H in the reduction with the hydride donors, we were intrigued with the possibility of increasing the reactivity of 2H by other means, preferably by taking advantage of its inherent structural attributes, without the addition of acidic additives. Toward this end, we paid our attention to the push–pull-type structure of the tetrasubstituted olefinic component of 2H and envisaged that its local excitation by photoradiation would cause twisting around the C–C axis at the singlet excited state (S\(_1\)) of the folded conformer to give stabilized twisted S\(_1\) (S\(_{1\text{tw}}\), in analogy with the behavior of the overcrowded ethylenes (Fig. 2e).\(^{19}\) Further relaxation with preservation of the twisted structure furnishes ground-state intermediate S\(_0\) of distinct zwitterionic character, which would exert enhanced dehydridation activity. This hypothesis was substantiated by attempting the reaction of 2H with cyclohexa-1,4-diene in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) under irradiation with blue LEDs (456 nm), which exhibited smooth conversion into 2H-H\(_2\) (56% for 1 h, Fig. 3b). To obtain support for the origin of the increased hydride-abstracting ability of 2H, we calculated the structure of S\(_0\) at the SMD(CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\))-TD-CAMB3LYP/6-31+G(d) level and confirmed its similarity to the structure of 2H-HBF\(_4\) in accordance with the presumed predominant contribution of the zwitterionic form (Fig. 2d vs. 2e). Molecular orbital of S\(_0\) revealed intramolecular charge separation and the largest orbital lobe in LUMO of the resultant zwitterionic structure resided at the benzylic carbon in good agreement with its expected carbocationic character (Fig. 4).

To experimentally explore the feasibility of the dehydridative catalysis of \(\sigma\)-QM, we chose the oxidation of benzylic secondary alcohols as a model reaction system. Prior to assessing the viability of the regeneration of \(\sigma\)-QM with various oxidants, we sought to evaluate the intrinsic reactivity of 2H as a dehydridation catalyst with 1-(4-methylphenyl)ethyl alcohol (3a) as a representative substrate (Table 1). Thus, 3a was treated with each 5 mol% of 2H and the co-catalyst MsOH in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) at ambient temperature for 12 h, which produced 4a.

### Table 1 Optimization\(^a\)

| Entry | Catalyst | Yield\(^b\) (%) | Note |
|-------|----------|----------------|------|
| 1     | 2H       | 4              | MsOH (3 mol%) without photoirradiation |
| 2     | 2H       | 17             | Under Ar |
| 3     | 2H       | 51             | Under air |
| 4     | 2H       | 59             | Under O\(_2\) |
| 5     | 2H       | 4              | Under Ar (degassed) |
| 6     | 2H-H\(_2\) | 76             | Under O\(_2\) |
| 7     | 2Br-H\(_2\) | 97             | Under O\(_2\) |
| 8     | 1        | 8              | Under O\(_2\) |

\(^a\)Unless otherwise noted, reaction was performed with 0.1 mmol of 3a in the presence of 5 mol% of catalyst in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) under blue LEDs irradiation (456 nm) at ambient temperature for 12 h. \(^b\)\(^1\)H NMR yield (400 MHz) determined by trimethylsilylbenzene as the internal standard.
methylacetophenone (4a) in 4% 1H NMR yield (400 MHz, trimethylsilylbenzene as the internal standard), an amount less than the loading of 2H (entry 1). Considering the beneficial effect of photoirradiation on the reactivity of 2H, we also conducted the reaction with irradiation of blue LEDs (456 nm) in the absence of MsOH under otherwise similar conditions, which exhibited a higher conversion to afford 4a in 17% yield (entry 2). While this unexpected outcome suggested the possibility of regenerating 2H with photoirradiation, close examination of the reaction conditions revealed that traces of O2 acted as an oxidant to regenerate 2H under light irradiation. Indeed, performing the reaction under air and O2 atmospheres further improved conversion to 51% and 59%, respectively, whereas only a trace amount of 4a was detected under a thoroughly inert atmosphere (entries 3–5). These profiles led us to attempt the reaction with the reduced form 2H-H2 as a precatalyst, assuming that active catalyst 2H could be generated in situ under aerobic photoirradiation conditions (entry 6). The observed high conversion of 3a validated the hypothesis and the operation of the dehydridative catalysis of 2H in this oxidation. This finding allowed us to continue further investigation with the use of more stable and easy-to-handle 2H-H2 as a precatalyst, and we found that introduction of a bromo functionality to the 6-position of the 2-naphthol subunit (2Br-H2) delivered a critical enhancement in the catalytic activity, enabling a complete conversion of 3a within 12 h (entry 7). It should be noted that the importance of the overcrowded alkene component of 2H for exerting efficient dehydridative catalysis was confirmed by comparing the reactivity with that of 1 (entry 8).

With the optimized conditions in hand, we turned our attention to examine the substrate scope of the dehydridative catalysis (Table 2). Generally, 5 mol% of 2Br-H2 was sufficient for smooth conversion of alcohols 3 to afford the corresponding ketones 4 in high chemical yield. Incorporation of various substituents of different electronic properties to an arbitrary position of the aromatic nuclei was tolerated (entries 1–10). The alkyl substituent on the hydroxy-bearing carbon could also be varied and not only linear but also branched chains were well accommodated (entries 11–15). As demonstrated in the reaction with a diol, chemoselective oxidation of benzylic alcohols over aliphatic alcohols was feasible (entry 16). 12 In addition, scalability of this protocol was confirmed with 3a as a substrate (entry 17).

To gain further insight into the reaction mechanism, we simulated the molecular motion of the postulated excitation process of 2H using time-dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT) calculations (SMD(CH2Cl2)/TD-CAMB3LYP/6-31+G(d) level). As illustrated in Fig. 5, the calculated energy difference between the folded S1 and \(S_1 (\Delta E = 2.15 \text{ eV})\) signified that photoexcitation of 2H to S1 and spontaneous unfolding furnishes \(S_1\). The subsequent rapid relaxation to the ground-state zwitterionic intermediate \(S_0\) may well occur owing to the small energy gap (\(\Delta E = 0.43 \text{ eV}\)), while this process was estimated to be a forbidden transition. Further simulation of the folding pathway from \(S_1\) to \(S_0\) to estimate the lifetime of \(S_0\) uncovered the intervention of a two-step transition process (Fig. 6). Following the relaxation from \(S_1\), \(S_0\) spontaneously undergoes conformational change to give thermodynamically more stable int1. Then, increase in the double-bond character of the bridging C-C bond with concurrent bending of the

![Fig. 5 Plausible mechanism.](image-url)
Eventually forms S0. Subsequent rotation around the bridging C–C bond eventually forms S0 via TS2. These computational analyses imply that int1 would have a certain lifetime, although both of the two energetic barriers of the folding process are very small. Accordingly, the triarylmethilide moiety of o-QM would engage in dehydrodiation from the methine carbon of alcohol 3 to give ketone 4 with concomitant generation of 2H-H2. During this process, the aryloxide moiety of S0 would simultaneously accept a proton from the hydroxy functionality of 3. The regeneration of 2H from 2H-H2 is facilitated likely by active oxygen species, such as singlet oxygen or superoxide, generated through excitation of O2 by sensitization with the 2-naphthol subunit of the catalyst, as confirmed by the detection of H2O2 in the reaction mixture (see ESI†). UV-vis spectrum of 2H-H2 indicated that its absorption terminus reaches 470 nm and thus, 2H-H2 could be excited by irradiation of blue LEDs (Fig. S2†). The estimated energy of 2H-H2 at the excited state was 2.17 eV, which is sufficient to activate the corresponding ketone 4 with concomitant generation of 2H-H2. The TD-DFT analysis also suggested the feasibility of an alternative process involving an electron-donor–acceptor (EDA) complex of 2H-H2 and O2 followed by its photoexcitation, careful examination of the UV-vis spectroscopy of 2H-H2 under Ar and O2 atmospheres showed no evidence of the formation of EDA complex.

Conclusions

We have demonstrated the feasibility of eliciting the latent reactivity of o-QMs as a dehydrodiation catalyst. The introduction of an overcrowded olefinic framework is a key for enhancing the contribution of the zwitterionic resonance form of o-QM and its dehydrodiation activity can be fully realized by photoexcitation. This unique property of the novel o-QM is exploited to establish dehydrodiative catalysis in the oxidation of benzylic secondary alcohols under aerobic photoradiation conditions, where the ground-state zwitterionic intermediate acts as an actual reactive species and the catalyst is regenerated by either singlet oxygen or superoxide as supported by experimental and theoretical analyses. We anticipate that the present study stimulates further research endeavor for exploring synthetic potential of dehydrodiative catalysis.
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