Serial patient-derived orthotopic xenografting of adenoid cystic carcinomas recapitulates stable expression of phenotypic alterations and innervation
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ABSTRACT

Background: Patient-derived xenograft (PDX) models have significantly enhanced cancer research, and often serve as a robust model. However, enhanced growth rate and altered pathological phenotype with serial passages have repeatedly been shown in adenoid cystic carcinoma (ACC) PDX tumors, which is a major concern.

Methods: We evaluated the fidelity of ACCs in their natural habitat by performing ACC orthotopic xenotransplantation (PDOX) in salivary glands.

Findings: Our PDOX model enabled solid tumors to integrate within the local epithelial, stromal and neuronal environment. Over serial passages, PDOX tumors maintained their stereotypic MYB-NFIB translocation, and FGFR2 and ATM point mutations. Tumor growth rate and histopathology were retained, including ACCs hallmark presentations of cribriform, tubular, solid areas and innervation. We also demonstrate that the PDOX model retains its capacity as a tool for drug testing.

Interpretation: Unlike the precedent PDX model, our data shows that the PDOX is a superior model for future cancer biology and therapy research.

Fund: This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (NIH)/National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research (NIDCR) grants DE022557, DE027034, and DE027551.

© 2019 Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

New strategies are constantly being developed to better design therapies for combating cancer. It has been well addressed that immortalized cancer cell lines or 2D growth of primary human tumor-derived cells provide some insights into the disease, but lack the 3D complexity of the clinical situation [1]. Instead, subcutaneous implantation of patient-derived tumor specimens in immune-deficient mice, known as the PDX model, opened up a variety of opportunities to model cancer [1]. By better reflecting the 3D heterogeneity within the tumor, the PDX provided an answer to the urgent need for translatable preclinical modeling and therapeutic testing. For example, multiple successes were obtained in unraveling tumor resistance against current therapies and new drug development [1–3]. However, PDX models come with obstacles. Endogenous primary tumor-environment interactions are not sustained with subcutaneous implantations, and tumor-specific tropism of distant metastasis is mostly not recapitulated [4]. Moreover, multiple reports recently disputed the assumption that PDX tumors faithfully maintain features of the primary tumor [1,5,6]. Distinct selection pressures result in the disappearance of original genomic and morphological characteristics, thus affecting outputs of targeted therapies. Therefore, the need for more stable models to meet the demands of precision medicine is high.

One type of tumor affected by the PDX limitations and drift selection is the adenoid cystic carcinoma (ACC) [7]. ACC is a rare relentless
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To circumvent the limitations of the ACC PDX model, we aimed to create a submandibular gland (SMG) implantation model. The patient-derived orthotopic xenotransplantation (PDOX) mouse model was first described 2 decades ago [16], but are often underutilized due to technical procedures related to intra-organ surgery. Here, tumor growth rate of ACCs along with genomic, molecular and pathological stability using our PDOX model was compared to the conventional PDX over serial passages. To date, this is the first extensive study evaluating the creation and advantages of a SMG PDOX model. Overall, our PDOX method provides an optimal glandular microenvironment for ACC development, and ensures maintenance of clinically relevant ACC characteristics compared to the current PDX model.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. PDX tumor sample used for PDOX and control human salivary glands

The ACCX11 human biopsy and ACC11 PDX tissue (P8) was received from Dr. C. Moskaluk (Virginia). The human ACC specimen, coded ACCX11 [13], was isolated from a sinonasal cavity site from a female patient, aged 55, as part of a primary tumor and evaluated as grade 3 (>30% solid [17]). Previous histological examination of the ACC11 PDX (P1) showed characteristic histology with the donor tissue [13].

Human salivary glands were received from Dr. Witt (Delaware) after proper consent, and received at the University of Michigan.

2.2. Establishment of PDOX tissue

All animal experiments were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Michigan. Adult 6–8 week old NOD Cg-Pkd1clq and Il2rgtm1Wjl/Szj mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratory and housed in Specific Pathogen Free (SPF)/BSL2 rooms located in the AAALAC-accredited University of Michigan vivarium.

Tumor samples were collected and dissociated with a tumor dissociation kit according to the manufacturer’s description (Miltenyi, 130-095-929). After dissociation, the number of cells was quantified and a fixed number of cells were separated for implantation. Prior to implantation, mice were anesthetized with isoflurane and ketamine/xylazine, as previously described [18]. The neck area was surgically opened to expose the submandibular glands and a 5ul cell suspension containing ACC cells was injected.

Mouse weight and tumor growth were monitored weekly. At certain time-points after cell injections or at 1–2 cm² of tumor size, mice were euthanized by carbon dioxide and cervical dislocation. Tumor and lung tissue were photographed, and divided for RNA lysis and/or fixation after gross indexing.

2.3. Histology and immunofluorescent staining

Formalin or paraffin-embedded fixed tissue was processed for paraffin sectioning, and stained with a routine hematoxylin-eosin stain or in situ hybridization after gross indexing.

In situ hybridization (ISH)

In situ hybridization was performed with specific MYB/NFIB translocation probes (Abnova, FT0027) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, cryosections were hybridized with the fluorescently labeled target probes. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI.
2.5. Gene expression analysis

Real time PCR was performed as previously described [19]. cDNA was generated from DNase-free RNA, amplified and gene expression was normalized to human-specific house-keeping gene, GAPDH, and human control submandibular gland. Experiments were run in technical triplicates at a minimum of three biological samples, unless stated otherwise in the legends. All primers were designed to exclusively detect human gene expression and were found negative in generating amplicons from mouse submandibular gland tissue. Only as indicated in the legends of Figs. 3–4 and Supplementary Fig. 3, mouse-specific primers were used that did not generate amplicons on human tissue material. Graphs depict Mean ± SEM, representing 3 technical replicates (for N = 1 tissue samples) or biological replicates (for N ≥ 3 tissue samples).

2.6. Quantification of tissue morphology

Hematoxylin-eosin slides of the ACC11 human biopsy, PDOX (P1, 3, and 8), PDOX(n+1), and PDOX-S tissue were evaluated by pathologist NJD, and a percentage of the solid, cribriform and tubular form was defined. Data was plotted as a Mean ± SEM.

2.7. Drug sensitivity test

Tumor dimensions (mm) were converted to volume (mm³) using the formula previously used on ACC11 PDOX tissue: tumor volume (TV) = (width²) × length × 0.52 [14]. Regorafenib was formulated for daily oral gavage injection with a dosage of 25 mg/kg as directed by the manufacturer (SelleckChem, BAY 73-4506). Body weight and tumor measurements (digital caliper) were collected twice weekly. Each biweekly tumor volume, TV Day(n), measurement was normalized to its corresponding initial tumor volume, TV Day(0) pre-treatment, using the eq. TV norm = TV Day(n)/ TV Day(0). Statistical analysis on normalized final tumor size, control vs treatment, was performed using a two-tailed student t-test with Welch’s correction.

2.8. Establishment of PDOX-S tissue

ACC11 PDOX (P8) tumor tissue was dissociated into single cells using the Miltenyi tumor dissociation kit (Miltenyi, 130-095-929) to generate subcutaneous PDOX tumors (PDOX-S). In each mouse, 3 × 10⁶ cells were injected in Matrigel (Corning, Cat#356234), as previously described [20]. Control injections (matrigel without cells) were administered in the right flanks of the same mice. Body weight and tumor measurements were collected weekly. Tumor volume was calculated using the formula: TV = (width × 2) × length × 0.52 [14].

2.9. Sequencing

Using total RNA, cDNA was generated as described above. RT-PCR was performed with primers close to the mutation sites, as described previously [14]. Generated amplicons were analyzed via Sanger sequencing.

2.10. Relative tumor size

Increase of relative tumor size over time was calculated via the relative tumor volume equation, as described previously for the ACC PDOX model [7]. Briefly, R(t) and R(t) were calculated over PDOX(n) passages and plotted in a log-transformed graph. V(t) and V(t) remained constant and reflected a standard measurement of control mice.

2.11. Body weight analysis over time

Weights of the mice were monitored on a weekly basis. The time of visible tumor initiation was defined as time zero, week zero or W(0). All weights before W(0) (negative weeks) and after W(0) (positive weeks) were normalized to W(0). Weights of all mice across the four PDOX passages were averaged to create a mean ± SEM, and plotted into a graph. Subsequently, a trend line was created to reveal a constant increase in body weight over time.

2.12. Statistical analysis

Statistical parameters included N ≥ 3. Data was analyzed to be statistically significant between two groups using one sample t-test or student's t-test, as indicated in the legends. Graphs show Mean ± SEM for each group. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. No statistical analysis could be performed between samples consisting of N = 1.

3. Results

3.1. The mouse submandibular gland is a suitable environment to harbor ACCs

To compare ACC tumor formation in a glandular environment with the subcutaneous ACC PDOX model [13], we injected 0.7 × 10⁶ single ACC cells into the salivary submandibular gland. A PDOX passage 8 (P8) of a head-and-neck ACC, ACC11, was provided by Dr. Moskaluk [13] and used as initial tumor material. The PDOX tissue was dissociated into single cells prior to transplantation (Fig. 1A). The contralateral gland was used as negative control and received a saline injection. ACC11–injected glands at 3 month post-injection showed no differences with the contralateral glands (Fig. 1B, Suppl. Fig. 1A). Gland weight and histology, including salivary acini and ducts, were found comparable (Suppl. Fig. 1B–C). At 4 months post-injection, ACC11 PDOX [1] tumors became visibly apparent (Fig. 1B, Suppl. Fig. 1A). By month 5, tumor size reached 1–2 cm³ and increased the gland weight by 800% relative to control gland (Fig. 1B, Suppl. Fig. A-C).

To investigate the morphology of the tumor within the mouse SMG environment, we performed routine histology. ACC11 PDOX (P1) tissue was previously classified as high grade 3 with 30–50% solid form depending on the applied grading system [17], and found to resemble the donor tumor [13] (Fig. 1C, Suppl. Fig. 1D). However, ACC11 PDOX tissue became mixed solid/cribriform over multiple passages (Fig. 1C, Suppl. Fig. 1D–E). Stellate interstitial cells (Fig. 1C, arrow) and extravasated erythrocytes were present in the PDOX tissue (Suppl. Fig. 1E). By 4 and 5 months, the PDOX [1] tumor became apparent and showed characteristic solid, cribriform, and tubular morphology with neoplastic cells invading adjacent mouse salivary gland (Fig. 1C, Suppl. Fig. 1D–E), skeletal muscle and approximating nerves (Fig. 1D). Consistent with features of human ACC, the neoplastic cells in the PDOX exhibited ovoid and spindle-shaped nuclei with minimal cytoplasm, characteristics for de-differentiation (Fig. 1D, yellow arrow). Malignant transformation from low-grade to high-grade, or de-differentiation, has been associated with ACCs and corresponds to an aggressive clinical course [21]. Mitoses, typically identified in ACC with solid features, were also observed (Fig. 1D, dashed yellow arrows). To confirm the human origin of the PDOX tumor, we performed human specific Ku80 staining. As predicted, malignant cells were Ku80⁺ while surrounding mouse tissue showed no expression (Fig. 1E, Suppl. Fig. 1F).

Next, we investigated the genomic and molecular profile of the ACC11 PDOX tumor. The donor patient's tumor and ACC11 PDOX (P8) tissue harbored the MYB-NFIB translocation [13] (Fig. 1G). Through fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) and qPCR analysis (Fig. 1F–G), we confirmed that the MYB-NFIB gene translocation (Fig. 1F) as well as MYB-NFIB fusion mRNA (Fig. 1G) is present in PDOX [1] tissue. As
expected from the gene fusion, PDX and PDOX [1] tumors showed increased MYB gene expression levels compared to normal human SMG (dotted line) (Fig. 1G). Interestingly, within the first passage of switching to the PDOX model, NFIB, MYB, and MYB-NFIB expression levels were restored to more clinically relevant levels, resembling the human patients biopsy. No MYB-NFIB amplicon, specifically designed over the ACC11 MYB-NFIB breaking point, was generated in normal human SMG (SMGs). With the additional FGFR2 S252W and ATM F588L point mutations present in the ACC11 PDOX [14], we confirmed the presence of the C > G (FGFR2) and T > C (ATM) conversion in ACC11 PDOX [1] (Fig. 1H). The gain-of-function S252W mutation [22] automatically leads to increased FGFR2b expression levels (Fig. 1G). Since the effect of missense F588L on ATM protein is unknown and the mutation lies outside the functional protein domain [23], no increased ATM was observed in the PDX or PDOX [1] tissue (Fig. 1G).

These findings indicate that SMGs offer a suitable environment for ACC expansion using single cell suspension fluid transplantation. Tumor growth initiated between 3 and 4 months and maintained the genomic and morphological phenotype of the original PDX (P8) donor tissue, and a single PDOX passage rescued molecular similarities consistent with the human specimen that were lost during passageing using the PDX method.

3.2. Serially transplanted PDOX tumors maintain distinctive ACC traits

After initiating the PDOX model, we evaluated the concordant nature of serial PDOX transplantations (Fig. 2A). An ACC11 tumor from transplant n, PDOX(n), was dissociated into single cells, and various cell numbers were injected into new acceptor mice to generate PDOX (n + 1) tissue (Fig. 2A-B). In each PDOX(n) cycle, we obtained a 100% success rate in obtaining tumors (Fig. 2C). Across 4 serial transplantations, tumor growth rate reaching 1cm³ remained constant between 3 and 4 months (Fig. 2D, arrow). We next calculated the ‘relative tumor size increase’ of the PDOX’s from 1 to P4. This mathematical equation combines and normalizes tumor size information over multiple passages, allowing evaluation of tumor growth rate behavior over time periods exceeding the life span of the mouse. Interestingly, the calculated PDOX ‘relative tumor size increase’ over the lifetime of the serial transplants revealed constant growth (Fig. 2E), which is in striking contrast to the accelerated growth seen in the PDX model [7]. Also of interest is that the outwards growth of the PDOX tumors in the salivary glands did not affect the feeding capacity of the host, as indicated by the ceaseless increase in mice body weight over time (Suppl. Fig. 2A).

Histologic comparison of the PDOX(n) tumors to PDX [1] showed no apparent differences in tumor morphology exhibiting tubular, cribriform and solid arrangement of tumor cells (Fig. 2F, Suppl. Fig. 2B, Suppl. Fig. 1E). All ACC11 PDOX(n) neoplasms exhibited Ku80⁺ cells (Fig. 2G, Suppl. Fig. 2C). Moreover, MYB-NFIB as well as the FGFR2 and ATM point mutations were found present across the PDOX(n) transplantations (Fig. 2H-I, Suppl. Fig. 2D). Increased mRNA levels of MYB, NFIB and FGFR2b were also observed over PDOX(n) tumors (Fig. 2H).

Overall, these data reveal that the PDOX model maintains the distinctive genomic, morphological, and molecular features of ACCs over time.

The salivary gland PDOX model is sufficient to recapitulate the clinical neural, endothelial andstromal environment for ACCs.

After evaluating the genomic and molecular fidelity of PDOX tumors, we investigated the epithelial, stromal and neural components within the ACCs. We compared protein and gene expression of PDOX(n) with PDX (P8), normal mouse and human SMGs, and human patient ACC11. PDOX(n) tumors shared epithelial cells (CDH1, Ecadherin) dominant in myoepithelial cell marker smooth muscle actin (ACTA2) with ACC11 (Fig. 3A-B (arrows), Suppl. Fig. 3A-B), which is characteristic for cribriform and tubular ACCs [24]. PDOX tissue appeared heterogeneous, and consisted of areas with an epithelial only and strong interstitial epithelial-myoepithelial character. In both ACC11, PDOX, and PDX(n) tissue, stromal marker, vimentin (VIM), was highly expressed and surrounding the neoplastic epithelial and/or epithelial-myoepithelial cells. The latter cell type is known to express vimentin across various salivary gland neoplasms [24]. In contrast, myoepithelial cells surround ducts and acini in normal human/mouse SMGs, and vimentin is only expressed in stroma to engulf the main ducts. Typical ACC-related MYB protein expression and high cell division (Ki67 and CCND1) were found in ACC11, PDOX and PDOX(n) tumors (Fig. 3C, Suppl. Fig. 3C). Fold changes in gene expression confirmed protein expression by showing increased levels of ACTA2 in ACC11 and PDOX(n) tissue, compared to PDX (Fig. 3B). Basal epithelial and myoepithelial marker KRT14 was also increased in ACC11 and PDOX(n) tissue compared to PDOX, but ductal marker KRT19 remained rather similar. As expected, vimentin (VIM) and CCND1 were upregulated in both PDOX and PDOX(n) tissue.

Thus, proper epithelial/stromal contribution and high proliferative status are preserved in the PDOX(n) model, and showed more similarities with ACC11 than PDOX.

To evaluate the clinically relevant perineural character of ACCs, in particular ACC11, we assessed the neuronal/endothelial component. Neuronal (TUBB3) and endothelial (PECAM) cells are dispersed within the stromal compartment, and surround epithelial cells in normal mouse/human SMGs (Suppl. Fig. 3D-E). Sporadically, nerves and dispersed blood vessels were found within the PDOX tissue. In contrast, extensive innervation and presence of blood vessels were present in PDOX(n) tumors (Fig. 3D-E, arrows). Neuronal cells and/or nerve fibers [25] were predominantly observed within the solid epithelial compartment, as well as close to the luminal lining of cribriform areas (Fig. 3D). Related to the neural observation seen in ACC11, increased gene expression levels of human-related neurotrophic factors (neurturin NRTN, nerve growth factor NGF, brain derived neurotrophic factor BDNF) were observed in PDOX(n) tumors compared to PDOX tissue (Fig. 3F). Only mouse-specific Pecam could be detected in all PDOX and PDOX(n) tumors while human-specific PECAM was solely observed in human SMG and ACC11 (Fig. 3F, Suppl. Fig. 3F). Interestingly, both mouse-specific Tubb3 and human-specific TUBB3 were detectable in both PDOX and PDOX(n) samples (Fig. 3F), indicating that both tumor-related and host-specific nerves contribute to the perineural effect observed in the in vivo generated tumors. Notwithstanding, PDOX tumors contained more gene expression levels of both Tubb3 and TUBB3 compared to PDOX, confirming the histological observation. Overall, these observations reveal that the SMG PDOX model robustly mimics the clinical relevant environment, allowing for stable formation of ACCs over serial transplantations.

Fig. 1. The SMG is a suitable environment to harbor ACCs. (A) Cartoon depicting the creation of the PDOX mouse model. PDOX tissue was created from a patient-derived ACC, termed ACC11X. This ACC11 PDOX tissue was passaged 8 times using the PDX model, and PDX (P8) was dissociated into single cells prior to transplantation into the mouse salivary gland. Several months later, the first (n = 1) PDOX tumor was generated. (B) Bright field pictures of PDOX [1] at 3, 4 and 5 months post-cell injection. The contralateral gland served as control (left). Scale bar, 0.5 cm. (C) Comparison of the histology (hematoxylin-eosin, HE) between ACC11X (human patient), ACC11 PDOX (Passage 8, P8), and ACC11 PDOX [1] tissue at months 4 and 5. Right panels are high power images from the same sections of which a part is shown on the left. Scale bar, 500 μm (left panels) and 250 μm (right panels, arrow indicates stellate cells). (D) High power HE images of ACC11 PDOX [1] tumors outlining nerve and muscle integration, existence of cribriform/solid form, mitoses (dashed yellow arrows) and de-differentiation (yellow arrow). Scale bar, 500 μm (upper panels), 125 μm (lower left panel) and 75 μm (lower right panel). (E) Human-specific Ku80 staining and nuclei (DAPI) in PDOX [1] tissue. Scale bar, 50 μm. (F) Fluorescent in situ hybridization of PDOX [1] tumor outlining the MYB-NFIB gene translocation, Nuclei (DAPI). Scale bar, 12 μm. (G) qPCR analysis of ACC-related genes in ACC11X (n = 1), ACC11 PDOX P8 (n = 1) and PDOX [1] tumors (n = 1). Gene expression levels were normalized to human specific housekeeping gene GAPDH and normal human SMG (dotted line), except for MYB-NFIB as the translocation is not present in normal SMG. MYB-NFIB data was normalized to PDOX tissue. Mean ± SEM. (H) Sequencing of FGFR2 and ATM transcripts showing ACC11X’s unique point mutations F858L (C > G) and S252W (T > C), respectively, in PDOX [1].
3.3. Evaluation of drug sensitivity and metastasis in PDOX(n) model

To demonstrate the efficacy of the PDOX model for drug testing, we evaluated PDOX [4] tumors treated with buffered-saline or Regorafinib, which inhibits ACC11 PDX tumors [14]. As expected, Regorafinib significantly inhibited tumor growth size by 28 days of treatment (Fig. 4A), demonstrating the capabilities of the PDOX model for future drug testing.

With ACCs being known for their preferential metastasis to the lung, we explored the presence of ACC11 cells in the lung. Gross indexing of PDOX tumors maintained distinctive ACC traits. (A) Cartoon illustrating the PDOX(n + 1) serial transplantation model. (B) Number of transplanted cells into the various PDOX(n + 1) mice. (C) Representative examples of PDOX(n + 1) tumors compared to a contralateral control gland. Scale bar, 0.5 cm. (D) Graph outlining tumor growth size over time for each PDOX(n + 1) tumor. (E) Graph showing relative tumor growth increase over serial passages. (F) HE staining of PDOX [3] tumors. Scale bar, 500 μm (left) and 250 μm (right). (G) Ku80 and nuclear (DAPI) staining on PDOX [3] tumor tissue. Scale bar, 50 μm. (H) Fold changes in gene expression of ACC11 PDX and PDOX(n + 1) tumors. Data was normalized to GAPDH and normal human SMG (dotted line), except for MYB-NFIB. PDX (N = 1), PDOX [1] (N = 1), PDOX [2] (N = 3), PDOX [3] (N = 3). Mean ± SEM. One sample t-test. (I) Presence of point mutations in FGFR2 and ATM in PDOX [3] tumor, as defined by sequencing.
PDOX(n) lungs compared to normal lung did not show apparent neoplasms, except for small areas of unhealthy tissue (Fig. 4B, arrows). Through histology, we observed the presence of macrophage-like cells across all PDOX(n) lungs (Fig. 4C, arrows). By observing human-specific nuclear antigen (HNA) protein (Fig. 4D) or human-specific mitochondrial cytochrome c protein (COXIV) (Suppl. Fig. 4A) with mouse-specific CD68 protein, we found that PDX(n) lungs contained more CD68+ macrophages. The latter were not HNA+/COXIV+, and no other epithelial (CDH1) HNA+/COXIV+ cells were observed in the lung at 4 months. Possibly, this 4-month time frame post-injection may be too early to detect metastasis.

To demonstrate the validity of using single cells in the PDOX model, we further compared tumors generated subcutaneously from ACC11 PDX (P8) single cells (PDOX-S) (Fig. 4E). PDX-S tumors showed a similar growth rate of 3–4 months (Fig. 4F–G). They appeared similar to PDX (P1–3) (solid 80–90%, tubular 0–5%, cribriform 0–10%) tumors (Fig. 4H), often in vicinity of necrotic areas. Phenotypically, PDOX-S tissue showed similar results as PDOX (P8) in epithelial (CDH1) cells expressing MYB, KI67, but devoid of ACTA2 (Fig. 4I). Interestingly, blood vessels were present and in certain areas a big ganglia were observed, however axon integration was absent. No lung metastasis was observed at 3–4 months (Fig. 4J). Molecularly, PDOX-S tissue showed lower expression of MYB-NFIB, ACTA2, and KRT14 relative to PDOX(n) and that were similar to PDOX (Fig. 4K). Except for NGF, similar levels of NRTN, and BDNF could be observed in PDOX-S compared to PDOX (Fig. 4M). Levels of mouse-specific Pecam in PDOX-S were similar to PDOX and PDX tissue, and no human PECAM was present (Suppl. Fig. 3F). While human TUBB3 was similar to PDOX [1] and higher than PDOX, mouse Tubb3 was...
lower, indicating that the host-related innervation is lower in PDX-S compared to the PDOX model. This indicates that the PDX-S model better mimics the ACC environment then the PDX model, but does not phenocopy the ACC tumor cells as in the clinical setting.

Thus, our PDOX model shows superior environmental and ACC characteristics over the PDX and PDX-S model. Moreover, tumor fidelity is maintained over multiple passages, which is in striking contrast to the PDX set-up.

4. Discussion

For each cancer model it is utterly important to understand the unique opportunities it has to offer, as well as the limitations. Particular caution should be given towards genomic instability and pathological changes that distance the newly generated tumors from the original specimen. Here we evaluated tumor fidelity and environmental phenotype in our glandular PDOX against the current PDX model, as the latter had been suffering from clonal drifting and altered genomic composition [5]. Our PDOX model did not show drastic changes in tumor growth rate or overall infidelity. It also maintained profound innervation, which often relates to the metastatic component of ACCs and poor prognosis [26,27], and was validated for drug testing.

PDOX models often harbor a powerful advantage over PDX as clinical features like metastasis can be more readily observed. Due to the subcutaneous tumor location, the vast majority of the PDX tumors retain local tumor expansion with circumscribed borders without apparent invasion. Instead, orthotopic transplantation of tumor-derived single cells or spheres better mimicked drug response, tumor growth pattern and clinically-relevant metastasis [4]. Surprisingly, PDOX models are still limited to mammary gland [28,29], lung [30], pancreas [31], brain [32], colon [16], stomach [33], ovaria [34] and cervix [35], even though the first PDOX was established in 1991 [16]. We now add salivary submandibular glands as a successful intra-organ model to generate tumors, such as ACCs. We propose that metastasis should be feasible as the increased innervation is preserved over our serial PDOX tissue. Unfortunately, no ACC11 metastasis was observed to the lung within the limited time frame before harvesting the primary tumor. While ACC11 PDX tissue takes 10 months to develop [13], ACC11 cells clearly expand quicker (3–4 months) in their original intra-organ environment. On rare occasion, undissociated ACC specimens, either transplanted subcutaneously or in the center of the tongue, were shown to metastasize to the lungs 5 months after transplantation [36]. These ACCs had a pure solid pattern, known to harbor the worst prognosis for metastasis. It is currently unclear whether the patient from whom ACC11 PDX was derived had lung metastases. As such, ultimate validation of lung metastasis in our PDOX model will involve transplantation of ACCs known to have metastasized in the patient, and/or increase the experimental time frame before tissue harvesting. While our new PDOX model is superior over the current in vivo PDOX set-up for pre-clinical studies, the speed by which the ACC PDOX tumors are formed and/or metastasize is too slow for clinical-related settings where real-time testable therapeutic outcomes are required, for example within 1–2 weeks. For those ‘person-alized medicine’-intended purposes, the creation of ex vivo 3D tumor spheroids in multi-well plates [37] can serve as a reliable method for rapid drug screening assays. Multiple salivary gland cancers, including ACCs, have sphere-forming potential and share common ex vivo phenotypes in that similar (ALDH+CD44high) epithelial tumor stem cell populations form the highest numbers of spheres [38]. While this system is superior in the rapid evaluation of tumor stem cells and/or their response to drug or radiation-related therapeutics, the spheres created through cell sorting currently do not include the surrounding cell types. Thus, as ACCs are highly innervated it remains to be seen whether these ex vivo settings, completely mimic the in vivo long-term response after treatment, which can be evaluated via the PDOX system.

At a minimum, primary tumors in our PDOX model provide greater in depth similarity with the patient’s primary tumor, both biologically and molecularly. ACC11 PDOX(n) tumors recapitulated all 3 forms (cribriform, solid, tubular) characteristic for ACCs, while ACC PDX tissue altered their phenotype over multiple passages. Instead, PDOX tumors displayed similar pathological patterns amongst sibling and serial passages. This highlights the stability within and across multiple passages. Additionally, genomic and molecular alterations unique to the original ACC were retained. Based on these results, the PDOX salivary gland environment offers substantial benefits for tumor fidelity. Notably, the superiority of the PDOX model may be unique to ACCs, as a rare simultaneous comparison of PDX and PDOX with a pancreatic tumor showed that both maintained fidelity [39].

In contrast to the PDX-related transplantation of intact tumor fragments, the PDOX model includes cell-based injections. Remarkably, we still obtained all ACC epithelial (cribriform, solid and tubular form) and environmental characteristics with single cell transplantations, arguably better than with undissociated subcutaneous PDX tissue as it mimicked the original human ACC biopsy. As such, PDOX can be applied to newly generated ACC primary cell lines, such as from our PDX-derived ACC11 [14], or FACS-sorted ACC epithelial tumor stem cells that are currently evaluated for PDX formation capacity [38], to compare their fidelity in maintaining similar phenotypic characteristics of the original specimen. In the future, it will be essential to determine whether ACC cell lines, which are often enriched in a specific cell type, are able to generate mixed PDOX tumors. This could determine whether the glandular environment aids in forming mixed tumors, or whether the phenotype is inherently dictated by the tumor cells.

In summary, we describe an extensive validation of the newly established salivary gland PDOX model compared to the existing PDX model. The markedly poor outcome of patients developing ACCs highlights the need for more accurate models mimicking the clinical situation. Our glandular PDOX model presents a platform to better investigate the biology behind these aggressive salivary gland malignancies, and assess new drug strategies that can potentially be combined with current therapy of radiation.
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