Interactions of noncanonical motifs with hnRNP A2 promote activity-dependent RNA transport in neurons
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A key determinant of neuronal functionality and plasticity is the targeted delivery of select ribonucleic acids (RNAs) to synaptodendritic sites of protein synthesis. In this paper, we ask how dendritic RNA transport can be regulated in a manner that is informed by the cell’s activity status. We describe a molecular mechanism in which inducible interactions of noncanonical RNA motif structures with targeting factor heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein (hnRNP) A2 form the basis for activity-dependent dendritic RNA targeting. High-affinity interactions between hnRNP A2 and conditional GA-type RNA targeting motifs are critically dependent on elevated Ca^{2+} levels in a narrow concentration range. Dendritic transport of messenger RNAs that carry such GA motifs is inducible by influx of Ca^{2+} through voltage-dependent calcium channels upon β-adrenergic receptor activation. The combined data establish a functional correspondence between Ca^{2+}-dependent RNA–protein interactions and activity-inducible RNA transport in dendrites. They also indicate a role of genomic retroposition in the phylogenetic development of RNA targeting competence.

Introduction

Neurons rely on the targeted delivery of diverse RNAs to synaptodendritic microdomains for locally controlled protein synthesis (Wells and Fallon, 2000; Job and Eberwine, 2001; Smith, 2004; Kindler et al., 2005; Darnell, 2011). RNA transport mechanisms are therefore critical underpinnings of local protein synthetic competence and, consequently, of synaptic functionality and plasticity (Miyashiro et al., 2009; Buckley et al., 2011; Darnell, 2011; Doyle and Kiebler, 2011). Dysregulated dendritic RNA transport has been implicated in the manifestation of neurological disease (Jin et al., 2007; Mus et al., 2007; Swanson and Orr, 2007; Oostra and Willemsen, 2009; Muslimov et al., 2011).

Dendritically targeted RNAs include mRNAs and regulatory RNAs (Eberwine et al., 2002; Smith, 2004; Kindler et al., 2005; Qureshi and Mehler, 2012; Iacoangeli and Tiedge, 2013). Dendritic mRNAs encode a variety of synaptodendritic proteins, including postsynaptic receptors, kinases, and cytoskeletal elements (Miyashiro et al., 2009; Darnell, 2011). Some of these mRNAs are delivered to dendrites in an activity-dependent manner (Tongiorgi et al., 1997, 2004; Dictenberg et al., 2008), suggesting that neuronal stimulation can lead to increased availability of such mRNAs for local translation at the synapse. Dendritic regulatory RNAs include miRNAs (Kim et al., 2004; Kosik and Krichevsky, 2005; Schratt et al., 2006; Muddashetty et al., 2011) and brain cytoplasmic (BC) RNAs (Muslimov et al., 1997, 1998, 2006, 2011). Regulatory BC RNAs are translational repressors that target translation initiation by interacting with eukaryotic initiation factors (Iacoangeli and Tiedge, 2013). Translational control of gene expression is thus performed in synaptodendritic domains by regulatory RNAs that are selectively delivered to such sites (Cao et al., 2006; Iacoangeli and Tiedge, 2013).

Dendritic RNA targeting enables postsynaptic microdomains to retain relevant mRNAs for local translation. The dynamic modulation of dendritic RNA delivery is therefore a key specificity determinant for local mRNA availability and thus for the local management of synaptic protein repertoires. However,
our understanding of dendritic RNA delivery mechanisms is underdeveloped as important questions have remained unanswered. At the most fundamental level, we need to (a) decipher spatial targeting codes that dendritic RNAs use to specify their destinations, (b) understand how such codes are recognized and interpreted into dendritic targeting, and (c) establish how targeting codes mediate dendritic RNA transport that is induced by neuronal activity. Despite recent progress in some of these areas (Dictenberg et al., 2008; Buckley et al., 2011; Darnell, 2011), the molecular basis of activity-dependent dendritic RNA targeting has remained elusive.

Here, we describe a novel molecular mechanism for the stimulus-inducible delivery of neuronal RNAs to dendrites. Key to targeting conditionality is the organization of noncanonical motif interactions in the RNA targeting element. We show that such noncanonical conditional targeting elements specify dendritic delivery that is inducible by neuronal stimulation and receptor activation. Underlying the conditional delivery mechanism is the Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent recognition of targeting motifs by the RNA transport factor heterogeneous nuclear RNP (hnRNP) A2. Such conditional targeting motifs are often encoded in mobile genomic elements of the retroposon type, and our work therefore also implicates genomic retroposition as an innovative force in the evolution of plastic cellular mechanisms in neurons.

**Results**

**Rationale**

The dendritic targeting of regulatory BC RNAs is constitutive and specified by spatial codes that use noncanonical motif interactions (Muslimov et al., 1998, 2006, 2011). Noncanonical RNA motifs are those featuring nucleotide interactions that are distinct from Watson–Crick (WC) base pairing (Leontis and Westhof, 2001; Muslimov et al., 2006, 2011). Base pairs are denoted as follows: =, GC standard WC; –, AU standard WC; ∙, GU wobble WC; •, noncanonical (non-WC). GA motif structures are bracketed by vertical bars. Noncanonical purine•purine base pairs (A•A, A•G, G•A, and G•G) are shown in red. GA motif WC base pairs clamping the noncanonical GA motif core are shown in blue. Differences between ID elements and the 5′ BC1 domain are shown in blue. Differences between ID elements and the 5′ BC1 domain are shown in blue. Differences between ID elements and the 5′ BC1 domain are shown in blue. Differences between ID elements and the 5′ BC1 domain are shown in blue. Differences between ID elements and the 5′ BC1 domain are shown in blue. Differences between ID elements and the 5′ BC1 domain are shown in blue. Differences between ID elements and the 5′ BC1 domain are shown in blue.

Figure 1. Secondary structures of the 5′ BC1 domain and ID1–ID4 elements. Rat consensus sequences (Kim et al., 1994) are shown. Secondary structure representation is based on previous evidence (Rozhdestvensky et al., 2001; Muslimov et al., 2006, 2011). Base pairs are denoted as follows: =, GC standard WC; –, AU standard WC; ∙, GU wobble WC; •, noncanonical (non-WC). GA motif structures are bracketed by vertical bars. Noncanonical purine•purine base pairs (A•A, A•G, G•A, and G•G) are shown in red. GA motif WC base pairs clamping the noncanonical GA motif core are shown in blue. Differences between ID elements and the 5′ BC1 domain are indicated by arrows. The 5′ BC1 domain and ID1 are identical; 5′ BC1 nucleotide numbering is shown.

**Activity-dependent targeting**

ID elements, retroposed from the 5′ BC1 domain, are categorized in four subtypes in rat (ID1–ID4; Fig. 1; Kim et al., 1994). We generated chimeric reporter mRNAs in which ID elements of each of the four subtypes were introduced 3′ to the coding region of α-tubulin mRNA, an mRNA that is normally restricted to neuronal somata (Bruckenstein et al., 1990; Mohr et al., 2001; Muslimov et al., 2011). While ID1 is identical to the 5′ BC1 domain, ID2 differs from the 5′ BC1 domain only inasmuch as a G-U wobble WC pair in the basal stem has been replaced with a G=C standard WC pair (Fig. 1). In either case, the noncanonical GA targeting motif is identical to the one contained in the 5′ BC1 domain, and we therefore anticipated that reporter mRNAs that harbor ID1 or ID2 elements would be constitutively delivered to neuron-specific dendrites.
dendrites. This was indeed observed in RNA transport assays (Fig. 2, A–D and I) in which we assessed RNA transport competence using our standard microinjection protocol with sympathetic neurons in primary culture (Muslimov et al., 1997, 2006, 2011).

ID3 and ID4, in comparison with ID1 and ID2, feature additional noncanonical content (G•G or A•G, respectively) that is directly juxtaposed with the GA targeting motif at relative position 35–41 in the apical stem-loop domain (Fig. 1). Surprisingly, and in contrast to chimeric mRNAs carrying ID1 or ID2 elements,
Figure 3. **Dendritic transport of ID3- and ID4-chimeric mRNAs in stimulated neurons.** (A–D) Sympathetic neurons in culture were K⁺ depolarized before RNA microinjection. Under these conditions, ID3 (A and B)- and ID4 (C and D)-chimeric α-tubulin mRNAs were delivered to distal dendrites. Number of cells analyzed: (A and B) 16 neurons, 55 dendrites; (C and D) 18 neurons, 60 dendrites. Bar, 50 µm. (E) Quantitative analysis. One-way ANOVA, Dunnett’s post hoc analysis (comparison of RNA levels in the basal, nonstimulated state [Fig. 2] with RNA levels after K⁺ depolarization): ID3-chimeric α-tubulin mRNA (A and B), P < 0.001 for all interval points; ID4-chimeric α-tubulin mRNA (C and D), P < 0.001 for all interval points. Error bars indicate SEM.

Chimeric mRNAs carrying ID3 or ID4 elements were not delivered to dendrites (Fig. 2, E–H and I). We conclude that the constitutive targeting competence of the 5′ BC1 domain is retained in ID1 and ID2, but not in ID3 or ID4, elements.

We hypothesized, as will be detailed in the following paragraphs, that conversion of the base pair at relative position 35–41 (Fig. 1) from canonical 35G=C41 (5′ BC1, ID1, and ID2) to noncanonical 35G•G41 (ID3) or 35A•G41 (ID4) will alter, but not abolish, the dendritic coding competence of the adjoining GA motif. Because the aforementioned experiments were conducted with neurons under basal steady-state (resting) conditions, we now asked whether ID3 or ID4 chimeric mRNAs are targeted to
dendrites upon neuronal stimulation. To this end, we used elevated
K\(^+\) to depolarize sympathetic neurons in culture, as previously
described (Sun et al., 1992; Banker and Goslin, 1998; Habecker
et al., 2006; see also Materials and methods). Fig. 3 shows that
upon K\(^+\)-induced depolarization, ID3 and ID4 \(\alpha\)-tubulin chimeric
mRNAs were indeed delivered to dendrites, and resulting so-
matodendritic distributions were indistinguishable from that of
ID1 (5′ BC1) and ID2 \(\alpha\)-tubulin chimeric mRNA under nonstim-
ulated (basal) steady-state conditions. The data indicate that
a noncanonical purine-purine (R•R) base pair adjoining a non-
canonical GA targeting motif can render dendritic transport condi-
tional. We refer to such motifs as R•R-linked GA targeting motifs,
and we suggest that such motifs define a class of mRNAs that are
conditionally targeted to dendrites.

In control experiments, we used a chimeric mRNA with a
reverse complementary ID4 element (ID4R), resulting in a con-
figuration that is antisense to ID4. In ID4R elements, standard
WC base pairs are retained (e.g., conversion of G=C to C=G),
whereas noncanonical pairs are disrupted (e.g., G=A to C U), thus
resulting in a stem loop that lacks a GA motif. No significant
dendritic targeting was observed with ID4R \(\alpha\)-tubulin mRNA in
either basal or stimulated states (Fig. S1).

We performed a further set of experiments to test the hy-
pothesis that noncanonical interactions at relative position 35–41
render dendritic targeting conditional. We asked whether the con-
stitutive dendritic targeting competence of wild-type (WT) BC1
RNA can be altered to conditional, i.e., activity dependent, by in-
roducing ID-type point mutations. We converted, in full-length
BC1 RNA, WT canonical base pair G35=C41 to mutant non-
canonical variant G35•G41 or A35•G41, the former corresponding
to ID3 and the latter corresponding to ID4 (Kim et al., 1994). We
found that G35•G41 and A35•G41 mutant BC1 RNAs both lack
dendritic targeting competence under basal conditions but are
delivered along the entire dendritic extent upon depolarization
(Fig. 4). In contrast, conversion of wobble WC pairs to standard
WC pairs in the basal stem, as indicated in Fig. 1, did not alter the
constitutive targeting competence of BC1 RNA (not depicted).

The data confirm that noncanonical attributes in relative position
35–41 are determinants of targeting conditionality.

**Conditional neuronal RNA targeting**

**hnRNP A2**

We have previously shown that hnRNP A2 is a transport factor
that recognizes the BC1 RNA GA targeting motif and that this
interaction is necessary for the delivery of the RNA to dendrites
(Muslimov et al., 2006, 2011). Now, we asked whether condi-
tional dendritic transport, mediated by ID3/ID4-type R•R-linked
GA targeting motifs, equally relies on targeting factor hnRNP A2
and whether in these cases conditional recognition of such motifs
by hnRNP A2 is the basis for conditional, activity-dependent
dendritic targeting.

In addressing these questions, we took into consideration
that \(\beta\)-adrenergic downstream modes of action in neurons involve
PKA-mediated stimulation of Ca\(^{2+}\) influx through dendritic
L-type voltage-dependent calcium channels (VDCCs; Davare
et al., 2001; Bloodgood and Sabatini, 2007; Hall et al., 2007;
Hell, 2010). In view of these data, the possibility is raised that
binding of ID3/ID4 GA targeting motifs by hnRNP A2 is Ca\(^{2+}\)
dependent. We performed quantitative electrophoretic mobility
shift assay (EMSA) analysis, as previously described (Muslimov
et al., 2006, 2011), to assess this possibility.

EMSA analysis revealed that hnRNP A2 binds to ID4 GA
targeting motif RNA and that this binding is dynamically modu-
lated by Ca\(^{2+}\) levels (Fig. 7). Binding is robust at 500 nM Ca\(^{2+}\)
but significantly less so at Ca\(^{2+}\) levels above or below this optimum,
thus defining a window of effective Ca\(^{2+}\) concentrations. Mg\(^{2+}\)
was found ineffective in promoting binding except at concentra-
tions of 1 mM or above (Fig. S2). These results differ from those
obtained with BC1 RNA as in the latter case, binding to hnRNP
A2 is Ca\(^{2+}\) dependent. We performed quantitative electrophoretic mobility
shift assay (EMSA) analysis, as previously described (Muslimov
et al., 2006, 2011), to assess this possibility.

**Dendritic targeting induced by
receptor activation**

The aforementioned data on dendritic delivery after depolariza-
tion raise the question whether transport can also be induced by
specific receptor activation. Noting that sympathetic neurons
use adrenergic transmission (Landis, 1990), we used adrenergic
agonists and antagonists to address this question. ID4 \(\alpha\)-tubulin
mRNA, which is not targeted to dendrites under basal steady-
state conditions (Fig. 2), was delivered along the entire dendritic
extent upon activation of \(\alpha\)-adrenergic receptors (ARs) using the
\(\beta\)-AR agonist isoproterenol (Fig. 5, A, B, and G). In contrast, ID4
\(\alpha\)-tubulin mRNA remained restricted to somata after application of
the \(\alpha\)-adrenergic agonist phenylephrine (Fig. 5, C, D, and H).
Induction of dendritic transport by isoproterenol was blocked by
the \(\beta\)-adrenergic antagonist propranolol (Fig. 6, E, F, and H).
Analogous results were obtained with ID3-mediated conditional
dendritic transport (unpublished data). The data indicate that
ID3- and ID4-mediated conditional dendritic transport is specifi-
cally induced by activation of \(\beta\)-ARs.

We next asked whether inducible, activity-dependent dend-
ritic transport can also be observed with naturally occurring
mRNAs that contain ID3 or ID4 elements. As a representative of
such mRNAs, we chose rat CLN2 (cereoid lipofuscinosis, neu-
ronal 2; Jalanko and Braulke, 2009) mRNA, which contains an ID3
element in its 3′ UTR (3′ UTR nt 478–552; GenBank accession
no. NM_031357). We found that this mRNA was not delivered to
dendrites under basal steady-state conditions (Fig. 6, A, B, and G).
However, CLN2 mRNA was transported along the dendritic ex-
tent upon K\(^{+}\)-induced depolarization (not depicted) and upon ac-
vitation of \(\beta\)-ARs with isoproterenol (Fig. 6, C, D, and G). In
contrast, activation of \(\alpha\)-ARs with phenylephrine did not induce
dendritic delivery (Fig. 6, E, F, and G). The results further cor-
roborate the notion that R•R-linked GA targeting motifs encode
conditional dendritic transport competence as activity-dependent
transport can be observed with chimeric reporter mRNAs and
with naturally occurring mRNAs containing such motifs.
detectable below the former or above the latter Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentrations. We conclude that binding of hnRNP A2 to the ID4 GA motif occurs only in a narrowly demarcated Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentration window around 500 nM.

Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent dendritic delivery

Because the interaction of ID4 GA motif RNA with targeting factor hnRNP A2 is Ca\textsuperscript{2+} dependent, we hypothesized that the dendritic transport of ID4 RNA would also be a function of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentrations. In neurons, intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} levels ([Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]\textsubscript{i}) are low at 50–100 nM in the resting state but can transiently rise to 500–1,000 nM upon activation (Berridge et al., 2000; Grienberger and Konnerth, 2012). Even higher transient levels may occur locally (Neher, 1998; Ross, 2012). It was thus conceivable that for ID4 GA motif RNA, induction of dendritic targeting requires concentrations of hnRNP A2 at three levels of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentrations, selected according to the results shown in Fig. 7 (A and B): 100 nM Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, 500 nM Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, and 2 µM Ca\textsuperscript{2+}. Quantitative EMSA analysis was performed at each of these Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentrations, and the data obtained were fitted to the Hill equation (Ryder et al., 2008; Chao et al., 2010; Muslimov et al., 2011). The following equilibrium dissociation constants were obtained: K\textsubscript{d} = 280 nM at 100 nM Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, K\textsubscript{d} = 200 pM at 500 nM Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, and K\textsubscript{d} = 580 nM at 2 µM Ca\textsuperscript{2+}. Equilibrium dissociation constants could not be established at Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentrations <20 nM or >10 µM as no significant binding was detectable.

The results show that binding of hnRNP A2 to the ID4 GA targeting motif is of remarkably high affinity at 500 nM Ca\textsuperscript{2+}. The affinity is significantly lower (by more than three orders of magnitude) at 100 nM Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and 5 µM Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, and little binding was detectable below the former or above the latter Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentrations. We conclude that binding of hnRNP A2 to the ID4 GA motif occurs only in a narrowly demarcated Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentration window around 500 nM.

Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent dendritic delivery

Because the interaction of ID4 GA motif RNA with targeting factor hnRNP A2 is Ca\textsuperscript{2+} dependent, we hypothesized that the dendritic transport of ID4 RNA would also be a function of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentrations. In neurons, intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} levels ([Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]\textsubscript{i}) are low at 50–100 nM in the resting state but can transiently rise to 500–1,000 nM upon activation (Berridge et al., 2000; Grienberger and Konnerth, 2012). Even higher transient levels may occur locally (Neher, 1998; Ross, 2012). It was thus conceivable that for ID4 GA motif RNA, induction of dendritic targeting requires...
Figure 5. **Dendritic transport of ID4-chimeric mRNA after β-adrenergic activation.** (A and B) After β-adrenergic activation with the agonist isoproterenol, ID4-chimeric α-tubulin mRNA was delivered to distal dendrites of sympathetic neurons. (C and D) In contrast, after α-adrenergic activation with the agonist phenylephrine, ID4-chimeric α-tubulin mRNA was not transported to dendrites to any substantial extent. (E and F) Application of the β-AR antagonist propranolol before application of agonist isoproterenol blocked the isoproterenol-induced dendritic delivery of ID4-chimeric α-tubulin mRNA. Concentrations of agonists, antagonists, and other drugs are given in Materials and methods. Number of cells analyzed: (A and B) 16 cells, 52 dendrites; (C and D) 16 cells, 57 dendrites; (E and F) 14 cells, 50 dendrites. Bar, 50 µm. (G) Quantitative analysis. One-way ANOVA, Dunnett’s post hoc analysis (comparison of RNA levels in the basal state [Fig. 2] with RNA levels after adrenergic activation/blockade): comparison with phenylephrine (A and B), *P > 0.7* for interval points 50 µm; comparison with isoproterenol (C and D), *P < 0.001* for all interval points; comparison with isoproterenol/propranolol (E and F), *P > 0.8* for interval points 50 µm. Error bars indicate SEM.
In parallel experiments, isoproterenol was applied after preincubation with BAPTA-AM, a standard internal Ca^{2+} chelator (Bofill-Cardona et al., 2000; Paschen et al., 2003). Fig. 8 shows that isoproterenol-induced dendritic delivery of ID4 α-tubulin chimeric transient elevation of [Ca^{2+}], We performed two sets of experiments to test this possibility.

First, we induced dendritic transport of ID4 α-tubulin chimeric RNA with β-AR agonist isoproterenol, as shown in Fig. 5. In parallel experiments, isoproterenol was applied after preincubation with BAPTA-AM, a standard internal Ca^{2+} chelator (Bofill-Cardona et al., 2000; Paschen et al., 2003). Fig. 8 shows that isoproterenol-induced dendritic delivery of ID4 α-tubulin chimeric

---

**Figure 6.** Conditional dendritic transport of CLN2 mRNA. (A and B) ID3-containing CLN2 mRNA was not targeted to dendrites of sympathetic neurons under basal conditions. (C–F) CLN2 mRNA was delivered to dendrites after β-AR activation with agonist isoproterenol (C and D) but not after α-adrenergic activation with agonist phenylephrine (E and F). Number of cells analyzed: (A and B) 19 cells, 72 dendrites; (C and D) 17 cells, 63 dendrites; (E and F) 18 cells, 66 dendrites. Bar, 50 µm. (G) Quantitative analysis. One-way ANOVA, Dunnett’s post hoc analysis (comparison of RNA levels in the basal state with RNA levels after adrenergic activation): comparison with isoproterenol (C and D), P < 0.001 for all interval points; comparison with phenylephrine (E and F), P > 0.8 for interval points 50 µm. Error bars indicate SEM.
RNA was blocked by BAPTA-AM. Reduced ID4 GA motif targeting was also observed when BAPTA-AM was used in conjunction with neuronal depolarization (not depicted). The data suggest that intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) is required for the β-adrenergic induction of ID4 GA motif conditional targeting.

β-Adrenergic signaling in dendrites entails influx of Ca\(^{2+}\) through the L-type VDCC Ca\(_{\text{v}}\)1.2 (Davare et al., 2001; Hoogland and Saggu, 2004; Bloodgood and Sabatini, 2007; Hall et al., 2007). Therefore, in a second set of experiments, we activated β-ARs in the presence of L-type VDCC blockers nimodipine/
nifedipine. Fig. 9 shows that isoproterenol-induced dendritic delivery of ID4 α-tubulin chimeric RNA was significantly reduced in the presence of nimodipine (Fig. 9, C and D) or nifedipine (Fig. 9, E and F). To examine the possibility that chelation of intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) by BAPTA-AM, or blockade of Ca\(^{2+}\) influx through L-type VDCCs, may have caused a general defect in dendritic RNA targeting, we investigated dendritic transport of PKM\(\_\) mRNA under such conditions. We have previously shown that PKM\(\_\) mRNA is constitutively targeted to dendrites and that a standard GA motif is responsible for distal dendritic delivery (Muslimov et al., 2004). We now find that dendritic targeting of PKM\(\_\) mRNA is not stimulated after β-adrenergic activation and is unaltered in the presence of BAPTA-AM or nifedipine (Fig. S3). These results suggest that β-adrenergic stimulation and Ca\(^{2+}\) dependence are characteristics of dendritic ID4 GA motif targeting but not of dendritic GA motif targeting in general. In summary, the aforementioned data indicate that dendritic transport of ID4 GA motif RNA is induced by β-adrenergic activation via influx of Ca\(^{2+}\) through L-type VDCCs.

**Activity-dependent dendritic delivery of endogenous CLN2 mRNA**

The aforementioned data raise the question whether β-adrenergic activation will also induce Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent dendritic targeting of native (i.e., endogenous) ID3/ID4-carrying neuronal mRNAs. To address this question, we performed in situ hybridization...
Figure 9. **Conditional dendritic targeting dependent on influx of Ca^{2+} through VDCCs.** (A and B) ID4-chimeric α-tubulin mRNA was delivered to dendrites of sympathetic neurons after β-adrenergic activation. (C–F) Dendritic transport was significantly reduced if β-adrenergic activation occurred in the presence of L-type VDCC blocker nimodipine (C and D) or nifedipine (E and F). Number of cells analyzed: (A and B) 16 cells, 57 dendrites; (C and D) 19 cells, 80 dendrites; (E and F) 20 cells, 78 dendrites. Bar, 50 µm. (G) Quantitative analysis. One-way ANOVA, Dunnett’s post hoc analysis (comparison of RNA levels after β-adrenergic activation with RNA levels after β-adrenergic activation in the presence of nimodipine or nifedipine): comparison with nimodipine (C and D), P < 0.001 for all interval points; comparison with nifedipine (E and F), P < 0.01 for all interval points. Error bars indicate SEM.
both hippocampal and sympathetic neurons, the dendritic delivery of a native, ID3-carrying neuronal mRNA is inducible by β-adrenergic activation and is dependent on influx of Ca\(^{2+}\) through L-type VDCCs.

**Discussion**

A wealth of new structural and functional data has over the last decade resulted in the identification of various novel classes of protein-engaging RNA motifs (Steitz and Moore, 2003; Noller, 2005; Leontis et al., 2006). Leading this development have been major advances in the field of ribosome structure and function (Noller, 2005; Grandin, 2010). As a result of these and related discoveries in RNA biology, it is now recognized that, except for exclusively protein-coding regions, it is often architectural RNA motifs—rather than nucleotide sequences per se—that are subject to natural selection in evolution (Leontis et al., 2006). Such motifs often serve as recognition sites for proteins, and their structural gestalt is typically determined by noncanonical nucleotide interactions (Leontis and Westhof, 2003; Noller, 2005; Leontis et al., 2006; Grandin, 2010).
Here, we report that a newly identified type of noncanonical RNA motif, the R•R-linked GA motif, encodes conditional dendritic targeting competence. The motif, which is contained in ID3 and ID4 elements, is organized around a core of noncanonical G•A/A•G pairs. This core in turn is clamped by WC pairs, typically of the G=C type. Directly adjoining this structure is a noncanonical purine•purine pair that is critical for targeting conditionality. Recognition of this motif by the targeting factor hnRNP A2 is strongly dependent on Ca\(^{2+}\) levels. At 500 mM Ca\(^{2+}\), the motif binds to hnRNP A2 with a uniquely high affinity of $K_d = 200$ PM. At Ca\(^{2+}\) levels above or below this optimal concentration, binding is significantly lower if at all detectable. GA motifs are known to be dimorphic as they exist in an equilibrium between an extended and a kinked conformation. Divalent cations promote transition to the latter conformation, which binds cognate proteins with high affinity (Matsumura et al., 2003; Goody et al., 2004; Cojocaru et al., 2005; Lescoute et al., 2005; Rázga et al., 2006; Falb et al., 2010; Schroeder et al., 2010). Binding to a cognate protein will in turn arrest the motif in the high-affinity conformation (Turner et al., 2005). However, although such binding dynamics have been described for standard GA motifs, a narrow-window Ca\(^{2+}\) dependence, as described here, appears to be a feature specific to R•R-linked GA motifs. It is possible that the GA-proximal additional R•R content introduces conformational motif flexibility that is Ca\(^{2+}\) driven. Although a formal test of this hypothesis will require structural approaches, we propose that motif affinity to hnRNP A2 is directly Ca\(^{2+}\) dependent and that Ca\(^{2+}\) levels in the 500-nM range promote transition from a low-affinity to the high-affinity conformation.

R•R-linked GA motifs are contained in ID3 and ID4 mRNAs. Working with ID3/ID4 chimeric reporter mRNAs as well as with a naturally occurring ID3 mRNA, we found that dendritic targeting is inducible by neuronal depolarization and by receptor activation. Specifically, β-adrenergic activation (but not α-adrenergic activation) induces long-range delivery along the entire dendritic extent. β-Adrenergic transport induction is dependent on influx of Ca\(^{2+}\) through L-type VDCCs. β-ARs have previously been implicated in neuronal function and plasticity (Huang and Kandel, 1996; Raman et al., 1996; Moncada et al., 2011). In hippocampal neurons, β-ARs have been shown to form signaling complexes with L-type VDCCs, G proteins, adenylyl cyclase, and PKA, indicating that localized signal transduction couples receptors to channels (Davare et al., 2001; Vásquez and Lewis, 2003; Hall et al., 2007). Ca\(^{2+}\) influx is thus a direct consequence of β-adrenergic activation. In both sympathetic and hippocampal neurons, our data indicate that native and introduced ID3/ID4-bearing mRNAs are targeted to dendrites after β-adrenergic activation. In both cell types, β-adrenergic induction of ID3/ID4 mRNA transport is dependent on influx of Ca\(^{2+}\) through L-type VDCCs.

A transient rise in postsynaptic [Ca\(^{2+}\)], can trigger intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) waves that propagate along dendrites toward the soma, and these Ca\(^{2+}\) transients can reach [Ca\(^{2+}\)] –amplitudes of 1 μM or more in both somata and dendrites (Berridge et al., 2000; Grienberger and Konnerth, 2012; Ross, 2012). Any such Ca\(^{2+}\) transient, once it reaches a local threshold of 500 nM, will cause a switch to the high-affinity conformation of the GA targeting motif and thus enable binding of hnRNP A2. The question is then raised how a rapid rise and fall in [Ca\(^{2+}\)], can lead to prolonged dendritic transport (which operates on a slower time frame). We surmise that binding of hnRNP A2 to the high-affinity motif conformation will in turn fix this conformation (as has previously been reported for GA motifs; Turner et al., 2005), as a result locking the protein on the RNA and thus supporting sustained transport even after local [Ca\(^{2+}\)] has returned to basal resting levels.

Retroposition is a mechanism of intragenomic transfer that has been a ubiquitous and innovative force in the remodeling of eukaryotic genomes (Brosius, 1991, 2005; Herbert, 2004; Kazazian, 2004; Cordaux and Batzer, 2009). Retroposition has been responsible for the genomic dissemination of numerous 5′ BC1-derived ID elements (Kim et al., 1994). A partial list of such elements has been established, and dendritic targeting has been confirmed for selected representatives (Buckley et al., 2011). In earlier work, in contrast, ID or BC1 chimeric RNAs were not seen localized to dendrites in a conventional transgenic mouse system (Khanam et al., 2007a). However, the expression of GA motif RNAs at high levels for prolonged periods of time, as is the case in such animals, can trigger cellular anti-dsRNA mechanisms (Kaufman, 2000; Pe’ery and Mathews, 2000). Potent inducers of such mechanisms, which likely have evolved as components of antiviral defense strategies, are noncanonical GA motifs (Bevilacqua et al., 1998). Of note, the HIV-1 (human immunodeficiency virus type 1) Rev response element contains a GA motif (Jain and Belasco, 1996) that is similar to those in BC RNAs.

For a dissection of dendritic targeting competence in naturally occurring ID-containing mRNAs, we chose as a representative CLN2 mRNA that contains in its 3′ UTR an ID3 targeting element with a G•G-linked GA motif. We established that this RNA is delivered to dendrites conditionally, i.e., upon induction by depolarization or β-AR activation. CLN2 mRNA encodes TPP1 (tripeptidyl peptidase 1), a soluble lysosomal serine protease (Jalanko and Braulke, 1999). Lysosomes are located to dendrites in cultured neurons and in vivo during neurodevelopment (Roberts and Gorenstein, 1987; Nixon and Cataldo, 1995; Lee et al., 2004, 2011), suggesting that associated RNA transport is a developmental mechanism. Reduced TPP1 activity is causative of late infantile neuronal ceroid lipofuscinosis (Jalanko and Braulke, 2009; Kollmann et al., 2013), and future work will investigate whether dysregulated RNA transport contributes to disease manifestations, such as ataxia and seizures.

Because the retroposition mechanism has been shaping the phylogenetic diversification of a large number of mammalian genes, we expect ID dissemination to be only one out of several retroposition dispersals that have provided neuronal genes with constitutive and conditional targeting codes. In primates, retrosons of the Alu-J type are homologous to the 5′ BC200 domain (Tiedge et al., 1993). BC200 RNA localizes to dendrites in human brain (Tiedge et al., 1993) and is also delivered to dendrites of rat cultured neurons after microinjection (Muslimov et al., 2011). BC200 RNA and G22 RNA (a prosimian Alu-related nonprotein-coding RNA) were also found localized in dendritic fields of transgenic mouse brains (Khanam et al., 2007b). BC200 RNA interacts with hnRNP A2 via a 5′ GA motif (Muslimov et al., 2011; unpublished data). Alu-J elements are highly similar to the 5′ BC200 domain, with the critical difference of additional GA-proximal
R•R content (Tiedge et al., 1993; Skryabin et al., 1998). Such an R•R-linked GA motif is contained in human CLN2 mRNA, and we found that this RNA is delivered to dendrites in a conditional manner (unpublished data). We therefore posit that the constitutive BC1-conditional ID relationship is mirrored in an analogous BC200–Alu relationship. On the other hand, and in contrast to rat CLN2 mRNA, murine CLN2 mRNA appears to be lacking an ID element or other GA motifs that may serve as dendritic targeting elements. Species-specific acquisition of RNA targeting competence has previously been described by us (Cristofanilli et al., 2004) and by others (Buckley et al., 2011). The combined evidence provides further support for the notion that the functional recruitment of mobile elements may be a determinant in the evolutionary diversification of neural systems.

Conditional dendritic targeting can also be encoded by R•R-linked GA motifs that are not formal members of the ID or Alu retroposon families. Dendritic delivery of brain-derived neurotrophic factor mRNA and CaMKIIα mRNA is constitutive at low levels (Burgin et al., 1990; An et al., 2008) but can be induced by application of neuronal stimuli, as was shown by use of depolarization in the former and receptor activation in the latter case (Tongiorgi et al., 1997; Dictenberg et al., 2008). Both mRNAs contain GA motifs that, while sharing key attributes with ID GA motifs, feature two R•R pairs proximal to the GA core, rather than the single proximal R•R pair that is seen in ID3/ID4. In both cases, motif structure is conserved between rodent and primate mRNAs. While future work will address the significance of these GA motifs for inducible dendritic targeting, we propose that a common principle is at work in neuronal RNA transport: while noncanonical GA motifs serve as dendritic targeting elements, additional proximal R•R content renders targeting conditional.

The activity-dependent dendritic delivery of GA motif RNAs enables neurons to supply postsynaptic microdomains with requisite RNAs on demand. Work presented here indicates that a Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent switch to the high-affinity GA motif conformation promotes interaction with the targeting machinery and, consequently, sustained dendritic transport. How then is RNA cargo discharged upon arrival at a synaptodendritic destination? Although speculative at this time, it is tempting to hypothesize that docking of the RNA transport complex at a target site will trigger reversion to the low-affinity GA motif conformation and consequently dissolution of the RNA–protein targeting complex. We invite research to test this hypothesis.

Materials and methods

Plasmids and RNA preparation

WT BC1 RNA was transcribed from plasmid pBCX607 by T7 RNA polymerase after linearization with Drai (Munro et al., 2006, 2011). Analogously, the following plasmids, derived from pBCX607, were used to express mutant forms of BC1 RNA (respective mutations indicated by subscript): pBC1\(_{\text{U5C}}\), pBC1\(_{\text{U5C/U67C}}\), pBC1\(_{\text{G35A}}\), and pBC1\(_{\text{G35A/C41G}}\). Chimeric α-tubulin mRNAs were generated as follows. pTub-A98/TA2 was used to transcribe α-tubulin mRNA with an 98-nt poly(A) tail 3’ to the protein-coding sequence. An analogous, chimeric α-tubulin mRNA with an ID1 element (5’ BC1 domain) inserted between the protein-coding sequence and A98 was transcribed from pTID1/T1, with an inserted ID2 element from pTID2/T3-D, with an inserted ID3 element from pTID3/T3, with an inserted ID4 element from pTID4/T1-B, and with an inserted reverse complementary ID4 element from pTID4/T2-13. These plasmids were constructed using the pBlueScript II SK+ RNA transcription kit (Agilent Technologies). They were linearized with Xbal, and transcripts were generated with SP6 RNA polymerase. CLN2 mRNA was generated from pBlueScript-CLN2 (obtained from R. Crystal, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY; Sandhii et al., 2005). The plasmid was linearized with SacI and transcribed with T7 RNA polymerase. For in situ hybridization, a CLN2 mRNA segment (nt 327–822) was recloned into pBlueScript II SK between the Smal and Xbal sites to generate pBlueScript-CLN2. pTID1/T2 was used to express recombinant full-length hnrNPA2 (obtained from R. Smith, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia; Munro et al., 1999, Munisimov et al., 2011). The pET-2α vector (pBK322 origin and 17 promoter; EMD Millipore) was used to construct this plasmid. The hnrNPA2 expression plasmids were generated from two separate RT-PCR fragments (Mayeda et al., 1994). C and N-terminal fragments were identified and ligated together with Ndel and BamHI. For PKM2 mRNA microinjection experiments, a segment of 1,935 nt was generated from plasmid PKM2G(48–1,982) (Munisimov et al., 2004), representing PKM2 mRNA nt 48–1,982, inserted between the HindIII and XbaI sites in pBlueScript SK+ (Argent Technologies). The plasmid was linearized with Xbol and transcribed with T7. Size and integrity of all transcripts was ascertained by clear native PAGE.

Cell culture, microinjection, and in situ hybridization

Low-density primary cultures of sympathetic neurons were generated and maintained as follows (previously described by Goslin et al., 1997; Muslimov et al., 2006, 2011). Superior cervical ganglia were isolated from embryonic day 19 (E19)–E21 Sprague-Dawley rat embryos. Ganglia were dissociated by mechanical and enzymatic treatment (20 min at 37°C; TrypLE Express; Life Technologies), and neurons were plated and maintained on glass coverslips (Carolina Biological Supply) precoated with 100 µg/ml fibronectin. Mutagenesis of 1,935 nt was generated from plasmid PKM2G(48–1,982) (Munisimov et al., 2004), representing PKM2 mRNA nt 48–1,982, inserted between the HindIII and XbaI sites in pBlueScript SK+ (Argent Technologies). The plasmid was linearized with Xbal and transcribed with T7. Size and integrity of all transcripts was ascertained by clear native PAGE.

To depolarize neurons in culture, cells were maintained in media containing 2 µM Ara-C (cytosine arabinofuranoside; Sigma-Aldrich), 20 mM progesterone (Sigma-Aldrich), 5 ng/ml sodium selenite (Sigma-Aldrich), and 100 ng/ml β-NGF (Harlan Bioproducts for Science, Indianapolis, IN). Neurons were grown at 35°C in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂; Basement membrane extract (100 µg/ml; Matrigel; Collaborative Biomedical Products) was added on the third day in vitro to induce dendritic growth. Growth of nonneuronal cells was minimized by adding 2 µM Ara-C (cytosine arabinofuranoside; Sigma-Aldrich) on the second and fifth days after plating. Work with vertebrate animals was approved by the State University of New York Downstate Medical Center Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Primary cultures of hippocampal neurons were prepared as follows (previously described by Goslin et al., 1998; Muslimov et al., 1998). Hippocampal brain tissue was prepared from E18–E19 Sprague-Dawley rat embryos. Cells were dissociated by mechanical and enzymatic treatment (20 min at 37°C; TrypLE Express) and plated on poly-L-lysine-precoated glass coverslips in MEM with 10% horse serum (Life Technologies) and 0.6% d-glucose (Sigma-Aldrich). After 4 d, cells were transfected to dishes containing monolayer cultures of astroglia. Neuronal and glial layers were facing each other without being in physical contact. Cells were then maintained in serum-free medium: MEM, 0.5 mg/ml N2 supplement, 10 mM Hepes supplemented with 100 µg/ml rat transferrin (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc.), 10 µg/ml insulin (Sigma-Aldrich), 20 mM progestosterone (Sigma-Aldrich), 5 ng/ml sodium selenite (Sigma-Aldrich), 100 µM putrescine (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.1% ovolbumin (Sigma-Aldrich), and 1 mM sodium pyruvate (Sigma-Aldrich). Astrogial cell cultures were prepared from neonatal rat cerebral hemispheres dissected in a dish with Hepes-buffered, Ca\(^{2+}\)- and Mg\(^{2+}\)-free balanced salt solution. Cells were dissociated by mechanical and enzymatic treatment and plated onto 22-mm glass coverslips, arranged in 60-mm dishes for co-culturing with neurons. 5 µM Ara-C was added on the fifth day to reduce glial proliferation.

To depolarize neurons in culture, cells were maintained in media containing 30 mM KCl, as previously described (Sun et al., 1992; Banker and Goslin, 1998; Habeker et al., 2006) beginning 15 min before microinjection. Adrenergic agonists/antagonists were used as follows with cultured neurons: 10 µM isoproterenol (Merck and Zigmund, 1999), 10 µM phenylephrine (Lees and Horsburgh, 1984), and 10 µM propranolol (Aguayo and Grossie, 1994). The membrane-permeable Ca\(^{2+}\)-chelator BAPTA-AM was used at 50 µM (Bofili-Cardona et al., 2000). Ca\(^{2+}\) channel blockers nifedipine and nimodipine were used at 10 µM (Bofill-Cardona et al., 2000; Uhrenholt and Niedergerda, 2003). Agonists were applied 15–30 min before microinjection. Antagonists, Ca\(^{2+}\) chelators, and Ca\(^{2+}\) channel blockers were applied ≥30 min before agonists (Berchtold et al., 2007).
Microinjection of sympathetic neurons in culture was performed as follows (previously described in Muslimov et al., 1997, 2004, 2006, 2011). RNAs (35S radiolabeled at 3 x 10^6 cpm/µl) were microinjected, typically into cell perikarya, at volumes of a few femtoliters per pulse. Nuclear chromatin was prepared to examine whether a “nuclear cap” was required for targeting (Whorton, 2009). No such case was observed. For each RNA, injection amounts were varied over a concentration range of at least one order of magnitude to ensure that observed dendritic targeting patterns were independent of amounts injected (Muslimov et al., 2006, 2011). We routinely coinject 0.4% Lucifer yellow to monitor the injection process and to ascertain that injection and experimental conditions (e.g., application of drugs, antagonists, and Ca++ chelators) did not cause alterations in cell morphology, including dendritic extent and arborization (Muslimov et al., 2006). The postinjection incubation period varied between 1 and 3 h. Although 1 h was found sufficient for GA motif RNAs to reach distal dendritic tips, a range of incubation periods was used to establish that transport velocities were constant (Muslimov et al., 2006), i.e., did not vary between different transported RNAs and was not a function of experimental paradigms, such as receptor activation or blockade. For each transcript, RNA stability was monitored preinjection by PAGE and postinjection by measuring average integrated total signal intensities per injected cell (Muslimov et al., 2006, 2011). Relative RNA stability was also ascertained by incubation with brain extract (Muslimov et al., 2011).

Neurons on coverslips were fixed, dipped in photographic emulsion (NTB-2; Kodak), and exposed at 4°C for 3–4 wk. Microscopy was performed after processing with a developer (50% strength; D-19; Kodak) and a liquid fixer (Rapid Fixer; Kodak).

Microinjection of radiolabeled transcripts is our preferred method to introduce RNAs into cells for two reasons. (1) We found microinjection superior to other delivery methods as it allows uncontrolled release of amounts of RNA introduced. In combination with the high sensitivity of radiolabel detection, this approach enables us to keep the number of introduced RNA molecules lower than the number of respective endogenous RNA molecules (Muslimov et al., 2006). (2) Microinjection of radiolabeled RNAs is preferred because architectural GA motifs are intolerant of nucleotide substitutions (Goody et al., 2004), and introduced side chains (such as fluorophores) may sterically interfere with motif structure and cause altered targeting (Muslimov et al., 2011). We have developed methodology to quantify dendritic RNA transport both spatially and temporally (Muslimov et al., 2006, 2011). In situ hybridization was performed with hippocampal neurons in culture (Muslimov et al., 1998) and with sympathetic neurons in culture (Muslimov et al., 2011) as previously described. 35S-labeled RNA probes directed at rat CLN2 mRNA were generated from plasmid pBluescript- CLN2–2, which allowed control experiments in which a reverse complementary ID4 mRNA is not delivered to dendrites under either basal or stimulated conditions. In combination with the high sensitivity of radiolabel detection, this approach enables us to keep the number of introduced RNA molecules lower than the number of respective endogenous RNA molecules (Muslimov et al., 2006). We therefore use a small amount of hybridization buffer (10 mM DTT, 0.3 M NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 0.5 mM EDTA, Denhardt’s solution, 10% dextran sulfate, and 50% formamide) was added to cover cells. 35S-labeled RNA probes (50,000 cpm/reaction) were heated for 10 min at 70°C and allowed to cool for 5 min at room temperature. Probes were incubated for 20 min at room temperature together with proteins in binding buffer (300 mM KC1, 5 mM MgCl2, 2 mM DTT, 5% glycerol, and 20 mM Hepes, pH 7.6). The samples were electrophoresed at room temperature at 120 V and 25 mA. RNA-protein complexes were visualized by autoradiography. To quantify results, a phosphorimaging system (Storm 860) and ImageQuant software were used (GE Healthcare). Binding affinities were established by measuring the fractions of free versus bound RNA. The Hill equation was used to determine equilibrium constants (Ryder et al., 2008; Chao et al., 2010, Muslimov et al., 2011).

Online supplemental material
Fig. S1 shows control experiments in which a reverse complementary ID4 (ID4R) mRNA is not delivered to dendrites under either basal or stimulated conditions. Fig. S2 provides evidence that binding of ID4 RNA to hnRNP A2 is not promoted by Mg++ at concentrations <1 mM. Fig. S3 shows that dendritic transport of PKM2 mRNA, which depends on a 3′ UTR standard GA motif, is constitutive and remains unaltered under conditions of Ca++ chelation or L-type VDCC blockade. Fig. S4 documents that dendritic delivery of endogenous CLN2 mRNA is induced by β-adrenergic activation in sympathetic neurons in culture and that such transport induction is prevented by chelation of intracellular Ca++ and L-type VDCC blockade. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.201310045/DC1.
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