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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

The effects of exposure to atmospheric pollution on the incidence and mortality due to COVID-19 have been studied but not for sulfur dioxide (SO\textsubscript{2}) in most studies. However, most studies failed to consider important confounding factors in the estimation of health effects of air pollution. The objective of the study was to assess the short- and long-term effects of air pollution on the COVID-19 risk and fatality in Lombardy and Veneto. Air pollutants were studied based on monitoring station information in Lombardy and Veneto from January 2013 to May 2020. The daily number of cases and deaths of COVID-19 were collected from the reports of the Italian Ministry of Health in Italy. A generalized linear model with the generalized estimating equation method was used to evaluate the effects of short- and long-term exposure to air pollution on the COVID-19 outbreak in Lombardy and Veneto. After adjusting for other covariates, we found that short-term exposure to PM\textsubscript{2.5} and PM\textsubscript{10} had a tendency to increase the incidence and mortality of COVID-19 than long-term exposure, while for other air pollutants, including SO\textsubscript{2} and NO\textsubscript{2}, long-term exposure was more significant than short-term exposure. Both short- and long-term exposure of SO\textsubscript{2} resulted in increased health effects on COVID-19 pandemic. Our findings suggest that exposure to atmospheric pollution has a significant impact on COVID-19 pandemic and call for further researches to deeply investigate this topic.

1. Introduction

In December 2019, cases of atypical pneumonia caused by infection with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 were suddenly observed in the metropolitan city of Wuhan (China) (Li et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2020). The World Health Organization (WHO) gave coronavirus disease 2019 the acronym COVID-19. Patients with COVID-19 often experience pulmonary edema, acute respiratory stress syndrome and respiratory failure, or complications with other serious disorders, including organ failure, septic shock, and cardiovascular dysfunction, which are fatal in many cases and require intensive care unit (ICU) support in some cases (Chen et al., 2020).

The first country in Europe to be affected by the COVID-19 pandemic was Italy, followed by other European countries and United States, with outbreaks even larger than that originally observed in China (Fanelli and Piazza, 2020; Remuzzi and Remuzzi, 2020). The WHO announced the COVID-19 pandemic, reporting 4.8 million cases and more than 318,527 victims worldwide (as of May 19, 2020). Notably, the fatality rate of COVID-19-positive cases is the highest in the world (up to 14.2%). Although national-level Italian data were published, compared with regional-level data, such data enabled a weaker interpretation of the Italian COVID-19 outbreak.

The Lombardy region is home to a sixth of the Italian population (10.08 million inhabitants) and accounts for 37.1% of cases and 49.2% of deaths in the country as of May 19, 2020. The COVID-19 outbreak started in Italy with two cases: one in Codogno, Lombardy (Grasselli et al., 2020) and one in Vo Euganeo, Veneto. On February 25, 2020, Lombardy and Veneto had 240 and 43 confirmed cases, respectively.
Fig. 1. Locations of Lombardy and Veneto in Italy.
and PM\(_{10}\), ozone (O\(_3\)), and sulfur dioxide (SO\(_2\)), to the incidence, mortality, and fatality rates of COVID-19 in the Lombardy and Veneto regions, both short- and long-term, for following up to 8 years.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Air pollution exposure

We collected the hourly or daily monitoring data of ambient air pollutants (i.e., NO\(_2\), O\(_3\), PM\(_{2.5}\), PM\(_{10}\), and SO\(_2\)) in Lombardy and Veneto in Italy from January 2013 to May 2020 from the air quality database of the European Environment Agency (EEA) (https://discomap.eea.europa.eu/map/fme/AirQualityExport.html), as reported by member states. In this study, we calculated the short- and long-term exposure to air pollutants (i.e., STAP and LTAP) in Lombardy and Veneto to estimate the acute and chronic contributions of air pollution to the COVID-19 pandemic. The daily average concentration of air pollutants in different regions in an individual day was calculated as STAP in the corresponding location and day. For LTAP, it was defined as the mean of air pollution measurements in the individual region in the coming 8 years. The monitoring data were validated, and the measurements below detection limits were replaced using 0.5 times the detection limit.

2.2. Daily information on the COVID-19 pandemic

The daily number of cases of and deaths due to COVID-19 in Lombardy and Veneto from February 21 to May 19 in 2020 were collected from the daily reports of the Italian Ministry of Health (http://www.salute.gov.it/). We used the fatality rate (i.e., the number of deaths divided by the number of cases in the same day) to determine the severity of the COVID-19 pandemic. Furthermore, the government of Italy announced a decree implementing the quarantine of residents in northern Italy from February 22, 2020. Lockdown implementation was extended to the entire country on March 9, 2020, and it may have influenced the course of the COVID-19 outbreak in Italy. Therefore, we further adjusted for the effect of lockdown in models used in this study.

2.3. Statistical analysis

The generalized estimating equation (GEE) method has been widely used for longitudinal data analysis in clinical trials or epidemiological studies (Bailey et al., 2002; Neilan et al., 2017; Stawinska-Witoszynska et al., 2016; Yan et al., 2019). In the study, we used a generalized linear model with the GEE method for the count data of COVID-19 with log link function to evaluate the effects of short- and long-term exposure to air pollution on the course of the COVID-19 outbreak in Lombardy and Veneto. In the models, the dependent variable of interest was the daily average concentration of air pollutants (i.e., STAP and LTAP) in Lombardy and Veneto to estimate the effect of lockdown implementation on the COVID-19 pandemic. For example, we assumed that lockdown had effectively controlled the spread of COVID-19 infections in Lombardy and Veneto from February 22, 2020. In terms of the COVID-19 pandemic, the total numbers of COVID-19 cases and deaths in Lombardy (85,800 and 15,700 persons, respectively) were 4.51 and 8.55 times those in Veneto (19,000 and 1,800 persons, respectively).

Regarding lockdown implementation, the starting date for Lombardy and Veneto was the same (February 22, 2020). Italy’s government first established a lockdown to restrain the spread of COVID-19 within one province each in Lombardy (i.e., Lodi) and Veneto (i.e., Padua). On March 9, 2020, the lockdown policy was extended to all the regions in Italy. In our research, we used the definition of a lockdown to adjust the effect of lockdown implementation on the COVID-19 pandemic. For example, we assumed that lockdown had effectively controlled the spread of COVID-19 infections in Lombardy and Veneto from February 22, 2020.

The descriptive statistics related to COVID-19 pandemic in Lombardy and Veneto are shown in Table 1. The population of Lombardy (10.06 million persons) is approximately 2.05 times that of Veneto (4.91 million persons). Furthermore, the population density of Lombardy (421.93 persons per km\(^2\)) is 1.58 times that of Veneto (267.42 persons per km\(^2\)). In terms of the COVID-19 pandemic, the total numbers of COVID-19 cases and deaths in Lombardy and Veneto were 4.51 and 8.55 times those in Veneto (19,000 and 1,800 persons, respectively).

### Table 1

**Summary statistics of the COVID-19 pandemic and air pollution in Lombardy and Veneto.**

| Items        | Regions | Lombardy | Veneto |
|--------------|---------|----------|--------|
| Population   |         | 10,060,574 | 4,905,854 |
| Population density |        | 421.93 | 267.42 |
| Total number of COVID-19 cases | | 85,775 | 19,030 |
| Total number of COVID-19 deaths | | 15,662 | 1,832 |
| STAP NO\(_2\) |         | 24.89 (11.13) | 20.16 (10.05) |
| STAP O\(_3\) |         | 59.80 (19.45) | 60.23 (19.70) |
| STAP PM\(_{2.5}\) | | 18.44 (9.16) | 18.14 (14.55) |
| STAP PM\(_{10}\) | | 25.92 (13.62) | 29.47 (22.44) |
| STAP SO\(_2\) |         | 2.23 (0.41) | 0.70 (0.32) |
| LTAP NO\(_2\) |         | 41.06 (0.21) | 32.88 (0.14) |
| LTAP O\(_3\) |         | 48.30 (0.19) | 45.89 (0.15) |
| LTAP PM\(_{2.5}\) | | 23.23 (0.07) | 24.26 (0.04) |
| LTAP PM\(_{10}\) | | 32.03 (0.06) | 33.83 (0.05) |
| LTAP SO\(_2\) |         | 2.82 (0.01) | 1.63 (0.01) |
| LOCKDOWN\(a\) | Yes | 1 (1.12) | 1 (1.12) |
| LOCKDOWN\(a\) | No  | 88 (98.88) | 88 (98.88) |

\(a\) The units of population, population density, total number of COVID-19 cases and deaths, short-term exposure to air pollution (STAP), long-term exposure to air pollution (LTAP), and lockdown implementation (LOCKDOWN) are expressed as persons, persons/km\(^2\), persons, \(\mu g/m^3\), \(\mu g/m^3\), and day, respectively. For STAP and LTAP, the average concentration and standard deviation (in brackets) are presented; for LOCKDOWN, the means of days and standard deviations (in brackets) are shown.

\(b\) Lockdown implementation (i.e., Yes) is defined as one or more provinces being locked down by Italy’s government in Lombardy and Veneto since February 22, 2020.
22, 2020 although only in a few provinces.

3.2. Temporal variation of accumulated incidence, mortality, and fatality rates for COVID-19

Fig. 2 shows the accumulated cases, deaths, incidence rate, mortality rate, and fatality rate related to COVID-19 in Lombardy and Veneto from February 21 to May 19, 2020. From February 22 to the day of the survey, the cumulative cases, deaths, incidence, and mortality related to COVID-19 in Lombardy increased more rapidly than in Veneto. Similarly, the cumulative COVID-19 fatality rate in Lombardy also increased faster than in Veneto, and the rate of increase declined after April 6, whereas the COVID-19 fatality rate in Veneto increased slowly at the same rate during the investigation.

3.3. Short- and long-term exposure to air pollution

Regarding STAP, the daily mean concentrations of NO$_2$, O$_3$, PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, and SO$_2$ in Lombardy were 24.89, 59.80, 18.44, 25.92, and 2.23 μg/m$^3$, respectively, whereas those in Veneto were 20.16, 60.23, 18.14, 29.47, and 0.70 μg/m$^3$, respectively (Table 1). The mean (STAP) concentrations of NO$_2$, PM$_{2.5}$, and SO$_2$ were higher in Lombardy than in Veneto (ratios of 1.23, 1.02, and 3.19, respectively). Regarding LTAP, the mean concentrations of NO$_2$, O$_3$, PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, and SO$_2$ were 41.06, 48.30, 23.23, 32.03, and 2.82 μg/m$^3$, respectively, in Lombardy, respectively; and 32.88 μg/m$^3$, 45.89 μg/m$^3$, 24.26 μg/m$^3$, 33.83 μg/m$^3$, respectively.
m$^3$, and 1.63 $\mu$g/m$^3$ in Veneto, respectively (Table 1). The LTAP mean concentrations of NO$_2$, O$_3$ and SO$_2$ were higher in Lombardy, and the ratios were 1.25, 1.05 and 1.73, respectively.

Fig. 3 shows the temporal variation of annual mean concentration for NO$_2$, O$_3$, PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, and SO$_2$ between Lombardy and Veneto regions from 2013 to 2020 (until May 19, 2020). The annual average concentrations of NO$_2$, O$_3$ and SO$_2$ from 2013 to 2020 (i.e., the mean of measurements from January 1 to May 19) were all higher in Lombardy than in Veneto. In terms of particulate matter (PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$), no consistent patterns were observed in the two regions.

3.4. Effect of exposure to air pollution on the COVID-19 pandemic

On the basis of the results of our model (Eq. (1)) analyzed using the GEE method, compared with other pollutants, in terms of LTAP, SO$_2$ has the greatest effect on the incidence and mortality of COVID-19 after adjustment for the lockdown effect (Table 2). For example, with the increase of 1 LTAP unit in these two regions, the incidence and mortality of the COVID-19 pandemic increased by 3.16 and 5.37, respectively. Similar results were observed for the increase of 1 STAP unit, with the incidence and mortality of the COVID-19 pandemic increasing by 1.12 and 1.19, respectively. In addition, consistent results were observed for NO$_2$ and O$_3$. For example, the COVID-19 incidence and mortality increased by 1.20 and 1.29, respectively, per 1 unit of LTAP for NO$_2$, whereas they increased by 1.00 each per 1 unit of STAP for NO$_2$.

Conversely, PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ exhibited an opposing effect on COVID-19 incidence and mortality (Table 2). Short-term exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ has a greater effect on COVID-19 than does long-term exposure. As for the COVID-19 fatality rate (Table 2), no significant effects of LTAP and STAP of SO$_2$ and O$_3$ were observed. Nevertheless, in long-term exposure to NO$_2$, PM$_{2.5}$, and PM$_{10}$ significantly affected COVID-19 fatality rate.

In addition, after adjustment for other pollutants with the most relevant correlation to our outcome of interest (Eq. (2)), reliable results can be drawn to estimate the most robust effect of LTAP and STAP on the COVID-19 pandemic (Table 3). Table S1 shows the correlation between
### Table 2
Regression parameters of short- and long-term exposure to air pollution using GEE method.

| Parameters | NO<sub>2</sub> | Incidence | Mortality | Fatality | O<sub>3</sub> | PM<sub>2.5</sub> | Incidence | Mortality | Fatality | PM<sub>10</sub> | Incidence | Mortality | Fatality | SO<sub>2</sub> | Incidence | Mortality | Fatality |
|------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| INTERCEPT  | 2.78E-01     | 2.97E-03  | 1.34E-00  | 5.08E-10  | 1.15E-15    | 9.82E-01    | 1.57E+18  | 2.37E+22  | 2.12E-01  | 3.54E+14    | 9.55E+17  | 2.38E-02  | 1.75E+01  | 6.38E-01    | 5.04E-01  |
| STAP       | 1.00E+00     | 1.00E+00  | 9.93E-01  | 1.00E+00  | 9.93E-01    | 1.00E+00    | 1.01E+00  | 1.00E+00  | 9.94E-01  | 1.00E+00    | 1.00E+00  | 9.98E-01  | 1.12E+00  | 1.19E+00    | 8.20E-01  |
| LTAP       | 1.20E+00     | 1.29E+00  | 9.77E-01  | 1.78E+00  | 2.10E-15    | 9.81E-01    | 2.31E-01  | 1.30E-01  | 1.06E+00  | 4.29E-01    | 3.20E-01  | 1.09E+00  | 3.16E+00  | 5.37E+00    | 1.08E+00  |
| LOCKDOWN   | Yes          | 1.48E+00  | 1.44E+00  | 3.33E-01  | 1.44E+00    | 1.35E+00    | 3.83E-01  | 1.35E+00  | 1.28E+00  | 3.79E-01    | 1.42E+00  | 1.34E+00  | 3.87E-01  | 1.54E+00    | 1.55E+00  | 3.64E-01  |
|            | No           | 1.00E+00  | 1.00E+00  | 1.00E+00  | 1.00E+00    | 1.00E+00    | 1.00E+00  | 1.00E+00  | 1.00E+00  | 1.00E+00    | 1.00E+00  | 1.00E+00  | 1.00E+00  | 1.00E+00    | 1.00E+00  | 1.00E+00  |

*STAP, LTAP, and LOCKDOWN represent short- and long-term exposure to air pollution and lockdown implementation, respectively. Values in bold were significant at a p value of 0.05.*

### Table 3
Regression parameters of short- and long-term exposure to air pollution using GEE method adjusted for the effect of a single pollutant.

| Parameters | NO<sub>2</sub> | Incidence | Mortality | Fatality | O<sub>3</sub> | PM<sub>2.5</sub> | Incidence | Mortality | Fatality | PM<sub>10</sub> | Incidence | Mortality | Fatality | SO<sub>2</sub> | Incidence | Mortality | Fatality |
|------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| INTERCEPT  | 2.54E-01     | 2.77E-03  | 1.35E-00  | 4.16E-10  | 7.70E-16    | 6.52E-01    | 2.59E+18  | 3.47E+22  | 1.48E-01  | 1.90E+15    | 2.22E+18  | 4.64E-02  | 1.75E+01  | 6.40E-01    | 7.29E-01  |
| STAP       | 1.00E+00     | 1.00E+00  | 9.93E-01  | 1.00E+00  | 9.93E-01    | 1.00E+00    | 1.01E+00  | 1.00E+00  | 9.94E-01  | 9.98E-01    | 9.99E-01  | 1.00E+00  | 1.12E+00  | 1.20E+00    | 8.66E-01  |
| LTAP       | 1.20E+00     | 1.29E+00  | 9.77E-01  | 1.78E+00  | 2.26E+00    | 9.81E-01    | 2.32E-01  | 1.31E-01  | 1.05E+00  | 4.09E-01    | 3.10E-01  | 1.07E+00  | 3.16E+00  | 5.37E+00    | 1.09E+00  |
| STAP_ADJ   | 1.00E+00     | 1.00E+00  | 1.00E+00  | 1.00E+00  | 1.00E+00    | 9.92E-01    | 9.98E-01  | 9.99E-01  | 1.00E+00  | 1.01E+00    | 1.00E+00  | 9.93E-01  | 1.00E+00  | 1.00E+00    | 9.89E-01  |
| LOCKDOWN   | Yes          | 1.48E+00  | 1.44E+00  | 3.33E-01  | 1.46E+00    | 1.38E+00    | 3.30E-01  | 1.33E-00  | 1.27E-00  | 3.78E-01    | 1.35E-00  | 1.30E-00  | 3.79E-01  | 1.54E-00    | 1.55E-00  | 2.87E-01  |
|            | No           | 1.00E+00  | 1.00E+00  | 1.00E+00  | 1.00E+00    | 1.00E+00    | 1.00E+00  | 1.00E+00  | 1.00E+00  | 1.00E+00    | 1.00E+00  | 1.00E+00  | 1.00E+00  | 1.00E+00    | 1.00E+00  | 1.00E+00  |

*STAP, LTAP, STAP_ADJ, and LOCKDOWN represent short- and long-term exposure to air pollution, the pollutant used for adjusting the interaction with the one of our interest, and lockdown implementation, respectively. Of NO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, and SO<sub>2</sub>, the pollutants with the highest correlations (i.e., STAP_ADJ) were O<sub>3</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, and NO<sub>2</sub>, respectively. Values in bold were significant at a p value of 0.05.*
short-term exposure to different pollutants. Table 3 shows that LTAPs of all air pollutants significantly affected the variations of both COVID-19 incidence and mortality. For example, when for a 1-unit increase of LTAP for SO\textsubscript{2} after adjustment for NO\textsubscript{x} and other confounding factors, the COVID-19 incidence and mortality increased by 3.16 and 5.37, respectively. However, only NO\textsubscript{x} and SO\textsubscript{2} show significant short-term effect on COVID-19 incidence and mortality. Compared with long-term effects, PM\textsubscript{2.5} and PM\textsubscript{10} have greater short-term effects on COVID-19 incidence and mortality. In terms of fatality, we observed similar results between short- and long-term exposure (Table 2), except for PM\textsubscript{2.5}, PM\textsubscript{10}, and SO\textsubscript{2}.

Table 4 shows the results of the full pollutant model (Eq. (3)). The effects of LTAP of overall pollutants on COVID-19 incidence and mortality were significant after adjustment for multiple pollutants and confounders. For example, a 1-unit increase of long-term SO\textsubscript{2} exposure may lead to 3.53- and 5.64-fold increases in COVID-19 incidence and mortality, respectively. For STAP, only NO\textsubscript{x} and SO\textsubscript{2} contributed to COVID-19 incidence and mortality. In addition, only NO\textsubscript{x} and O\textsubscript{3} significantly contributed to the fatality rate. The effects of LTAP on the COVID-19 fatality rate were nonsignificant. As aforementioned, the ratio of LTAP and STAP coefficient for incidence and mortality rates were, respectively, 2.82 and 4.51 in a single pollutant model (SO\textsubscript{2}). COVID-19 fatality rate were nonsignificant. As aforementioned, the LTAP for SO\textsubscript{2} short-term exposure to different pollutants. Table 3 shows that LTAPs of all air pollutants significantly affected the variations of both COVID-19 incidence and mortality. For example, when for a 1-unit increase of LTAP for SO\textsubscript{2} after adjustment for NO\textsubscript{x} and other confounding factors, the COVID-19 incidence and mortality increased by 3.16 and 5.37, respectively. However, only NO\textsubscript{x} and SO\textsubscript{2} show significant short-term effect on COVID-19 incidence and mortality. Compared with long-term effects, PM\textsubscript{2.5} and PM\textsubscript{10} have greater short-term effects on COVID-19 incidence and mortality. In terms of fatality, we observed similar results between short- and long-term exposure (Table 2), except for PM\textsubscript{2.5}, PM\textsubscript{10}, and SO\textsubscript{2}.

To further evaluate the reliability of our results, the effect of meteorology on the association of short-term air pollution and COVID-19 pandemic was considered in models. The meteorological data (e.g., the daily means of temperature and relative humidity) in Lombardy and Veneto regions during the study period were collected from the NASA earth science’s applied sciences program. We reanalyzed the association of short-term air pollution and COVID-19 pandemic after adjusting for the meteorological information in models. In the results (Tables S2–S4), we found the similar patterns of estimated coefficients for short-term air pollutants compared with the results of Tables 2–4. It supported the reliability of our finding related to the association of air pollution and COVID-19 pandemic when considering the influence of meteorology.

4. Discussion

Among air pollutants, the current focus is mainly on NO\textsubscript{x}, PM\textsubscript{2.5}, PM\textsubscript{10}, and O\textsubscript{3}, which frequently occur at increased concentrations in large areas of the planet (Ogen, 2020). However, the roles of SO\textsubscript{2} in COVID-19 risk and severity are few (Conticini et al., 2020), if any, emphasized, although inhaled SO\textsubscript{2} causes pulmonary and systemic inflammation, leading to toxic effects both in the respiratory and cardiopulmonary systems (Meng et al., 2003) and causing fibrotic respiratory disease (Wigenstam et al., 2016).

In this study, the concentrations of tropospheric air pollutants including SO\textsubscript{2}, which were extracted from the air quality database of the EEA, were used to explain the spatial variation of incidences, mortalities, and fatalities in Lombardy and Veneto. The air quality data show that annual mean concentrations of SO\textsubscript{2} were higher over Lombardy region than over Veneto region in the past years (Fig. 3). One of the possible reasons was that the high concentration of SO\textsubscript{2} in Lombardy is accompanied by downward airflow, which causes SO\textsubscript{2} to accumulate near the surface. The terrain of Lombardy, which is dominated by mountains and canyons, combined with reversed atmospheric conditions (e.g., temperature inversion), prevents the spread of air pollutants, which can lead to a high incidence of respiratory diseases and inflammation among local residents. Furthermore, we observed an asymmetric variation of cases and deaths due to COVID-19 in the two regions (Fig. 2). It is interesting to consider that long-term exposure may be an important reason for the high COVID-19 mortality observed in these areas. The physiopathological event leading to the ICU admissions and consequent mortality is acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), which is a sever event, and its treatment is usually only supportive and
requires mechanical ventilation. Regardless of the cause (Aisiku et al., 2016), excessive activation of the innate immune system is considered to play a crucial role in related events: inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, such as tumor necrosis factor (TNF-\(\alpha\)), interleukin (IL)-1\(\beta\), IL-6, IL-8, IL-17, and IL-18, and several growth factors are overexpressed in ARDS, triggering the apoptosis cascade and epithelial-mesenchymal transition (Gouda et al., 2018). In addition, their high serum and bronchoalveolar lavage levels seem to be associated with poor prognosis (Butt et al., 2016). Although these findings are not validated and thus not applicable to routine clinical practice, they further medical goals regarding suitable potential biomarkers and targets for treatment.

According to our results (Tables 2–4), long-term exposure to SO\(_2\) significantly affected the COVID-19 pandemic after adjustment for other covariates, and its influence was higher than that of STAP. As for other air pollutants besides particulate matter, similar results were also observed after adjustment for multiple pollutants and lockdown. As mentioned in related studies, air pollution is among the most well-known causes of chronic inflammation, which ultimately leads to excessive activation of the innate immune system. Levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as TNF-\(\alpha\), IFN-\(\gamma\), in allergic mice (ovavalbumin challenge) were increased 6- to 8-fold by exposure to PM\(_{2.5}\) particles before challenge compared with control filter extract (Yang et al., 2019). High systemic inflammation also impairs cardiac function, as demonstrated by another group of mice exposed to PM\(_{2.5}\) and PM\(_{10}\) (Radan et al., 2019). Moreover, these findings have been extensively confirmed in humans; even in healthy people, nonsmokers, and young people, PM\(_{2.5}\) and PM\(_{10}\) caused systemic inflammation with the upregulation of platelet-derived growth factor, vascular endothelial growth factor, TNF\(\alpha\), IL-1, and IL-6 (Tsai et al., 2019; Pope et al., 2016). Among other more common pollutants, SO\(_2\) also plays a crucial role in inducing systemic and respiratory inflammation both in vitro and in vivo (Knoorst et al., 1996). The commonest source of SO\(_2\) exposure is from fossil fuel combustion. Italy has two sources of SO\(_2\) emission, namely volcanic emissions and biofuel combustion. Biofuel combustion is the main source of SO\(_2\) emissions in Lombardy (Stefano, 2012). Notably, due to worldwide Clean Air Act efforts, anthropogenic emissions of SO\(_2\) into the troposphere have remarkably reduced in the West; it had peaked during the year 1972 at approximately 131 megatons and decreased by approximately 48 megatons by the year 2000 (Smith et al., 2011). In our study, we observed a decreasing trend of annual mean SO\(_2\) level in two regions in northern Italy from 2013 to 2020. However, the effects of SO\(_2\) exposure are long term; a long-term study can explain why the fatality rate is high in elderly people. In our recent in vivo study on the effects of exposure to air pollution on idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, SRM 1649b (Albinet et al., 2019), a well characterized urban dust standard reference material, was applied, which contains the main organic and inorganic substances produced in the mid-1970s, when fossil fuel combustion was at its peak in the United States (Ellerman, 2004). Macrophages activated through particulate matter uptake secreted KC (a murine IL-8 homolog) to recruit neutrophil and aggravate bleomycin-induced pulmonary fibrosis (Cheng et al., 2019). These studies have shown that exposure to SO\(_2\) or related air pollution can cause lung inflammation, and it is now necessary to determine whether the initial inflammation in the body is related to the immune system’s response to COVID-19. When the body experiences chronic respiratory stress, its ability to resist infection is limited.

Notably, after adjusting for other covariates, we also observed that the short-term exposure to PM\(_{2.5}\) and PM\(_{10}\) had a tendency to increase COVID-19 incidence and mortality than long-term exposure. These findings are supported by previous studies of Setti et al., where they found a significant correlation between the daily PM\(_{10}\) exceedances and COVID-19 spreading in Italy (Setti et al., 2020a). In addition, we observed the new confirmed cases of COVID-19 still appeared during the lockdown period. We speculate on two reasons for the occurrence of new COVID-19 cases after the lockdown in northern Italy. First, patients who have been infected with COVID-19 before the lockdown were still in the incubation period. Second, the SARS-COV-2 virus may spread through the air by attaching to PM\(_{2.5}\)/PM\(_{10}\) particles as demonstrated in previous study (Setti et al., 2020b), thereby spreading COVID-19 disease to other people.

5. Conclusions

In our study, we analyzed the temporal variability of incidence, mortality, and fatality rate related to COVID-19 as well as atmospheric pollution exposure in Lombardy and Veneto regions in Italy from February 21 to May 19, 2020. We observed that the incidence, mortality and fatality rates related to COVID-19 in Lombardy increased more rapidly than in Veneto. As for air pollution exposure, the annual average concentrations of NO\(_2\), O\(_3\), and SO\(_2\) were consistently higher in Lombardy than in Veneto from 2013 to 2020 as well as particulate matter (PM\(_{2.5}\) and PM\(_{10}\)). Furthermore, we explored the effects of STAP and LTAP on COVID-19 risk and fatality. The results showed that short-term exposure to PM\(_{2.5}\) and PM\(_{10}\) had a tendency to increase the incidence and mortality of COVID-19 than long-term exposure. As for other air pollutants, both short- and long-term exposure resulted in increased health effects on COVID-19 pandemic, especially for SO\(_2\). Our findings indicated the significant role of exposure to SO\(_2\) in COVID-19 pandemic via inducing systemic and respiratory inflammation in human bodies, suggesting that further studies are warranted.
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