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ABSTRACT

Background: Hand hygiene is recognised as one of the leading measure to prevent cross transmission of micro-organisms. Inadequate hand hygiene practices are common cause of nosocomial infections. Nurses are considered as the “nucleus of health care system” Because of the time they spend with patients than any other health care workers. Hence their compliance with hand washing guidelines seems to be more vital in preventing the disease transmission among patients.

Methods: Despite the simplicity of the procedure, compliance with hand hygiene among health care providers in particular nurses is as low as 40%. This study aims at assessing knowledge, attitude and practice of hand hygiene of the nursing staff working in a tertiary care hospital.

Results: Among the 140 study participants 74% were female nurses. Majority of the study participants (78%) had average knowledge of hand hygiene in the pre-test session The health education and training session on correct hand hygiene methods significantly (p<0.001) improved the knowledge and attitude of the nursing staff, during the post-test session 87% of the nurses had good knowledge and 100% had positive attitude.

Conclusions: Our study shows the importance of improving the current training programmes targeting the hand hygiene practices among nursing staff. Hand hygiene training sessions need to be conducted more frequently with continuous monitoring and performance feedback to encourage them and their fellow nurses to follow correct hand hygiene practices.
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INTRODUCTION

An important discovery was made in 1847 by a Hungarian physician Ignaz Philipp Semmelweis, he was called the "saviour of mothers" for he proved statistically that the incidence of puerperal fever, also known as childbed fever could be drastically cut by use of hand washing standards for doctors and nurses in obstetrical clinics. Centuries later we are still battling hospital-acquired infections, otherwise known as the nosocomial infections which occur as the result of transmission of healthcare-associated pathogens via the contaminated hands of healthcare workers. It affects 5-10% of hospitalized patients in developed countries and 20% of patients in developing countries.1

Hospital-acquired infections lead to consequences like prolonged stay in the hospital, increase in the cost of health care for the patient. Another complication is that hospital acquired infections are difficult to treat with antibiotics leading to drug resistance particularly among gram-negative bacteria.2,3

A simple measure to reduce hospital acquired infections is hand hygiene of the health care workers. It is known
that proper hand hygiene practices among health-care workers will significantly reduce the transmission of bacterial organisms. Despite the simplicity of this preventive measure adherence to the hand hygiene guidelines and proper techniques is poor among the health care workers.

The world health organisation (WHO) issued draft guidelines in 2006 to provide evidence and recommendations for improvement of hand hygiene. But lack of knowledge on proper hand hygiene among health care workers leads to poor compliance. Nursing staff are the “nucleus of health care system” because they spend more time with patients than any other health care workers. Their compliance with hand washing guidelines seems to be more vital in preventing the disease transmission among patients. With this background the present study was undertaken to assess the knowledge and attitude of hand hygiene practices of the nursing staff working in a tertiary care hospital setting and also to assess the changes in knowledge and attitude after a health education and training session on hand hygiene practices.

METHODS

A cross sectional study was conducted among the nursing staff working in MVJ Medical College Hospital which is a tertiary care hospital in rural Bengaluru to assess the knowledge and attitude regarding hand hygiene. Study was done after obtaining ethical clearance from the institutional ethical committee. All the nursing staffs working in the institution in various places like wards, operation theatre, emergency room, intensive care units were approached for the study. The study, purpose and procedures were explained and verbal consent was obtained from those who volunteered to participate. A total of 140 respondents were included in the study. The study was conducted over a period of 3 months from October 2018 to January 2019.

Questionnaire

The questionnaire was designed to assess knowledge and attitude related to hand hygiene. This pre-validated questionnaire was administered to nursing staff. Their level of knowledge was assessed based on the hand hygiene knowledge questionnaires for health-care workers designed by World health organisation, which was slightly modified for the study settings.

The first part of the questionnaire elicited demographic data like gender, profession, and years of experiences, college studied and qualification (GNM, BSC). The second part included 39 questions both multiple choice and “yes” or “no” questions in the knowledge section. Measurement of attitude was done on the basis of 13 questions. Here the respondents gave their opinion on a 1 to 5 point Likert scale ranging from strongly disagrees to strongly agree.

For scoring, 1 point was given for each correct response to good level of knowledge and positive attitude and 0 point was given for poor level of knowledge and negative attitude, good knowledge was when they scored 75% and above, a score between 50-74% was moderate/average/ fair and below 50% was considered poor.

Intervention

To address the problem of nosocomial infections the World health organisation makes continuous efforts to identify effective and sustainable strategies. One of such effort is introduction of an evidence based concept of “my 5 moments for hand hygiene” by WHO and a “save lives: clean your hands” campaign May 5, 2014 to ask the world leaders to show their commitment towards hand hygiene and initiate appropriate action. These five moments that call for the use of hand hygiene include the moment before touching a patient, before performing aseptic and cleaning procedures, after being at risk of exposure to body fluids, after touching a patient, and after touching patient surroundings.

A health education and training session based on the WHO concept of hand hygiene was conducted for the nursing staff in smaller batches of twenty five. Information on nosocomial infections, ways to prevent it, importance of hand hygiene were included in Health education. Proper technique of hand washing according to who guidelines was demonstrated and were encouraged to practice the same on daily basis. Interactive session concluded the training session. The same questionnaire was administered again at the end of the session to compare the results of pre-test with that of post-test.

Data analysis

Data analysis and management was done using Microsoft EXCEL and SPSS software. Descriptive statistics including frequency distributions and central tendencies were conducted. Knowledge score was computed; each item was allotted 1 point, and then, the score was converted to a per cent out of 100. Chi-square test was applied to compare pre-test and post-test results. p value less than 0.05 were considered significant.

RESULTS

Our study sample consisted mostly of female nurses (74%) working in various places in the hospital like wards (68%), operation theatre (6%), intensive care unit (22%) and very few from emergency room (2%) participated in the study. Majority of the study participants (80%) had experience of less than 5 years. Qualification of the nursing staff was GNM nursing for 54% of the participants where as 46% had a BSc nursing degree.

Majority of the study participants (78%) had average knowledge of hand hygiene in the pre-test session, 9% of
the nursing staff had well and 13 % had poor knowledge before the training intervention. The health education and training session on correct hand hygiene methods significantly (p<0.001) improved the knowledge of the nursing staff, during the post-test session 87% of the nurses had good knowledge and 13% had average knowledge on hand hygiene (Table 1).

Table 1: Knowledge of hand hygiene among nurses (n=140).

| S. no. | Knowledge                                                                 | Pre-test                  | Post-test                  | P value |
|-------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------|
| 1     | Which of the following is the main route of transmission of potentially harmful germs between patients (health care workers hands when not clean) | 61 (43.5)                 | 129 (92)                  | <0.001  |
| 2     | What is the most frequent source of germs responsible for health care associated infections? (Germs already present on or within the patient) | 45 (32)                  | 126 (90)                  | <0.001  |
| 3     | According to WHO how many steps of hand washing, do you know? (7)         | 24 (17)                  | 132 (94)                  | <0.001  |
| 4     | Do you think wearing gloves replaces the need for hand washing practices (No) | 68 (48.5)                | 136 (97)                  | <0.05   |
| 5     | The most appropriate timing for performing hand hygiene actions that prevent transmission of germs to the patient? Before touching the patient (yes) | 45 (32)                  | 137 (98)                  | <0.001  |
| 6     | Immediately after risk of body fluid exposure (yes)                        | 34 (24)                  | 138 (98)                  | <0.001  |
| 7     | After exposure to immediate surroundings of the patient (no)               | 34 (24)                  | 136 (97)                  | <0.001  |
| 8     | Immediately before a clean or aseptic procedure (yes)                      | 27 (19)                  | 134 (96)                  | <0.001  |
| 9     | The most appropriate timing for performing hand hygiene actions that prevent transmission of germs to the health care worker? Before touching the patient (yes) | 27 (19)                  | 134 (96)                  | <0.001  |
| 10    | Immediately after risk of body fluid exposure (yes)                        | 28 (20)                  | 138 (99)                  | <0.001  |
| 11    | After exposure to immediate surroundings of the patient (no)               | 29 (21)                  | 138 (99)                  | <0.001  |
| 12    | Immediately before a clean or aseptic procedure (yes)                      | 30 (21.5)                | 140 (100)                 | <0.001  |
| 13    | Which type of hand hygiene method is required in the following situations? Before palpation of abdomen (rubbing) | 36 (26)                  | 130 (93)                  | <0.001  |
| 14    | Before giving an injection (rubbing)                                       | 76 (54)                  | 126 (90)                  | <0.001  |
| 15    | After emptying the bed pan (washing)                                      | 118 (84)                 | 140 (100)                 | NS      |
| 16    | After making patients bed (rubbing)                                       | 74 (53)                  | 127 (91)                  | NS      |
| 17    | After visible exposure to blood (washing)                                 | 140 (100)                | 140 (100)                 | NS      |
| 18    | With respect to hand cleansing which of the following statements on alcohol-based hand rub and hand washing with soap and water are true? Hand rubbing is more rapid for hand cleansing than hand washing (true) | 45 (32)                  | 140 (100)                 |         |
| 19    | Hand rubbing causes skin dryness more than hand washing (false)           | 28 (20)                  | 132 (94)                  |         |
| 20    | Hand rubbing is more effective against germs than hand washing (false)     | 45 (32)                  | 140 (100)                 | <0.001  |
| 21    | Hand washing and hand rubbing are recommended to be performed in sequence (false) | 10 (7)                   | 133 (95)                  |         |
| 22    | What is the minimal time needed for alcohol based rub to kill most germs on your hands? (30 seconds) | 48 (34)                  | 130 (93)                  |         |
| 23    | Which of the following should be avoided, as associated with increased likelihood of colonization of hands with harmful germs? Wearing jewellery (yes) | 64 (46)                  | 140 (100)                 | <0.05   |
| 24    | Damaged skin(yes)                                                         | 106 (76)                 | 140 (100)                 |         |
| 25    | Artificial finger nails(yes)                                              | 102 (73)                 | 140 (100)                 |         |

Continued.
There were significantly (p<0.001) improvement in the knowledge regarding the main route of transmission of potentially harmful germs between patients. The most appropriate timing for performing Hand hygiene, actions that prevent transmission of germs to the patient and to health care workers. Knowledge on alcohol-based hand rub and hand washing with soap and water and type of hand hygiene method required for various situations increased after the training session (Table 1).

The major reasons for poor hand washing compliance as per the participants were overcrowding of the hospital and understaffing (84%) and lack of knowledge of guidelines and protocol (89%) and training of the health care workers (98%) was the best approach to improve hand washing compliance among the staff according to the participants.

The attitude regarding the hand hygiene practices was favourable among the nurses even before the training session (85%) (Table 2). Though majority of the participants (76%) agreed that hand washing facilities are conveniently located in their tertiary care institution, few reasons or barriers to practice hand hygiene all the time mentioned by the participants were not sure of its need (78%), not convenient (67%), out of product (39%) forgetting to wash hand (82%) causes irritation and dryness to the skin (46%).

**DISCUSSION**

The knowledge about hand hygiene is very important to reducing the burden of nosocomial infections. The main finding of our study was that the knowledge scores were low, among the nursing staff working in this tertiary health care hospital. Results indicating low knowledge level are in line with other studies in India as well as internationally.8,11

Forty three per cent of the respondents in pre-test and ninety eight per cent in the post test answered correctly when asked about the main route of transmission of potentially harmful germs between patients. Our pre-test results are low when compared with other studies which reported that 75% and 72% of participants knew that unhygienic hands of health care workers were the main route of transmission.9,12 However, only 45% of the participants knew the source of infection in the pre-test session.

The knowledge on alcohol based hand rub was low in our study similar to results of a study done in Cairo, by Khaled.13 Only 32% had knowledge that hand rubbing was more raid then hand washing and fewer participants (34%) knew the minimal time required for hand rubs to kill germs on your hand.

| S. no. | Knowledge                                                                 | Pre-test N (%) | Post-test N (%) | P value |
|-------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------|
| 26    | Lack of knowledge of guidelines/protocol                                  | 94 (67)        | 125 (89)        |         |
| 27    | Lack of role models among the superiors/colleagues                        | 15 (11)        | 42 (30)         |         |
| 28    | Understaffing and over crowding                                          | 118 (84)       | 140 (100)       |         |
| 29    | Poor access to hand washing facilities                                   | 64 (46)        | 87 (62)         |         |
| 30    | Non availability of hand rub or soap or water                            | 53 (38)        | 48 (34)         |         |
| 31    | Hand washing causes irritation and dryness                               | 24 (17)        | 13 (9)          |         |
| 32    | Wearing gloves/gowns                                                     | 3 (2)          | 0 (0)           |         |

**Table 2: Attitude on hand hygiene among nurses (n=140).**

| S. no. | Attitude                                                                 | Pre-test N (%) | Post-test N (%) | P value |
|-------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------|
| 1     | Hand hygiene is essential part of my role (agree)                        | 137 (98)       | 140 (100)       | NS      |
| 2     | Health care worker should have sufficient knowledge and training on hand hygiene (agree) | 105 (75)       | 140 (100)       |         |
| 3     | Correct hand hygiene should be followed all times (agree)                | 113 (81)       | 137 (98)        |         |
| 4     | Feeling guilty on omitting hand hygiene (agree)                          | 106 (76)       | 123 (88)        |        |
| 5     | Reluctant to engage others in hand hygiene (disagree)                     | 60 (43)        | 95 (68)         |        |
In a study to see the impact of ring wearing on hand contamination, Trick et al. have shown that 40% of the nurses’ harboured Acinetobacter under the finger rings. In our study only 46% had knowledge that wearing jewellery should be avoided to reduce colonization of hands with harmful microorganisms.

The knowledge on the type of hand hygiene method required before various procedures was average in our study. The knowledge of hand washing after visible exposure to blood and after emptying the bed pan was very high even in the pre-test sessions, whereas appropriate methods of hand hygiene before palpation of abdomen was only known to 26% of study participants. The reason could be due to non-availability of hand rub solutions at all points in the institute. In this study the participants (76%) agreed that hand washing facilities are conveniently located in their tertiary care institution. Previous studies showed increase in compliance and correlated it with the availability of hand rub solutions at the bedside of the patient.

Another main finding of our study is that nursing staff have a positive attitude toward hand hygiene guidelines. They recognize their importance and they try to follow them all of the time. This finding is similar to the studies that have assessed attitudes toward hand hygiene in medical settings. Overall, the attitude towards of good hand hygiene is high even before the conduct of training session. In a study conducted by Suchitra in Mysore University it was also revealed that compliance for hand washing was maximum among nurses and nurses showed more positive attitudes towards hand hygiene.

Barriers to practice hand hygiene all the time mentioned by the participants were not sure of its need, not convenient, out of product, forgetting to wash hand, causes irritation and dryness to the skin. As compared to the barriers mentioned in a study in Bhopal where the study population mentioned the following as barriers for practicing hand hygiene, lack of education, high work load, understaffing, working in critical care units, lack of encouragement, lack of role model among senior staff and lack of knowledge of guidelines set by the institution.

Limitations

A limitation of our study was that it was performed only as a knowledge and attitude study on hand hygiene and actual practice of hand hygiene was not observed in the study population as done in various other studies. A study on observation on actual hand hygiene practices by the nurses working in various setting and correlating the findings with the incidence of nosocomial infections in the hospital is warranted.

CONCLUSION

Our study highlights the importance of improving the current training programmes targeting the hand hygiene practices among nursing staff to prevent nosocomial infections. Hand hygiene training sessions need to be conducted more frequently with continuous monitoring and performance feedback to encourage them and their fellow nurses to follow correct hand hygiene practices. Increase in knowledge regarding hand hygiene would translate in to a behavioural change that would help in reducing the incidence of nosocomial infections.
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