Bile acids in treatment of ocular disease
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Abstract Bear bile has been included in Asian pharmacopeias for thousands of years in treatment of several diseases, ranging from sore throat to hemorrhoids. The hydrophilic bile acids tauroursodeoxycholic acid (TUDCA) and ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA) are the major bile acids of bear bile. Both of these are available as synthetic formulations and are approved by the health administrations of several countries for treatment of cirrhosis and gallstones. This review briefly covers the use of bear bile in Traditional Chinese Medicine, bile acid physiology, approved use of UDCA and TUDCA in Western medicine, and recent research exploring their neuroprotective properties, including in models of ocular disease.
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Bear bile use in traditional Chinese medicine

Bear bile, bile extract, and gallbladder have been used in Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM) and other Asian pharmacopeia for thousands of years [1–3]. Bear bile is classified as a “cold” medicine used to treat diseases of “heat” that involve fevers and inflammation to detoxify the liver, to prevent convulsions and epileptic seizures, to dissolve kidney stones and gallstones, to shrink tumors and hemorrhoids, and to improve vision [1–3]. Its use continues in TCM and has spread with the establishment of Asian communities throughout the world [3]. China’s State Pharmacopoeia lists 28 types of medicines containing bear bile, 15 of which are used in ophthalmology [4].

The Asian black bear (Ursus thibetanus or Selenarctos thibetanus), also known as the Moon bear due to its coat markings, is the traditional source species, but as Moon bear populations decline, other species such as the North American black bear are used, often with negative consequences on those populations [5]. To address the issue of declining populations and in recognizing that trafficking in animal parts is a component of their rural economy (dried bear bile has a higher street value than cocaine [6]), controlled bear farming was established in China [2–4]. However, the harvesting of bear parts is considered by many to be cruel [4, 7], and it is illegal or greatly restricted in many countries [3–6] (particularly the signatory nations of the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora [8] and additionally in the USA by the Endangered Species Act [9]), and it is not clear that the farming is sustainable economically or politically [4, 7, 10]. Thus, there is great interest in replacing bear parts with herbal and synthetic substitutes in TCM [3, 4].

Bile acid physiology

Bile acids are biosynthesized from cholesterol in liver hepatocytes. They are largely contained in the enterohepatic...
circulation and are stored in the gallbladder. They are predominantly conjugated with glycine or taurine, allowing them to be fully ionized in the pH range of the small intestine [11]. Bile acids have five major functions: elimination of cholesterol, micellization of dietary lipids to aid in their transport, stimulation of bile flow and biliary phospholipid secretion, and finally, negative feedback regulation of bile acid and cholesterol synthesis [11].

In humans, the principle primary bile acids are cholic acid and chenodeoxycholic acid (CDCA) and the principle secondary bile acids are deoxycholic acid (DCA) and lithocholic acid. Conversely, the biliary bile and the serum of some bears contain high proportions of ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA), mainly occurring as its taurine conjugate in American black bear and up to 76% in Asiatic black bear conjugates comprise about 47% of the biliary bile make up of some bears contain high proportions of ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA), mainly occurring as its taurine conjugate in American black bear and up to 76% in Asiatic black bear conjugates comprise about 47% of the biliary bile make up.UDCA and TUDCA are found only in trace amounts (<1–5% of total biliary bile acid composition) in other vertebrates [13]. Similar to biliary bile content, the taurine-conjugated form of UDCA comprises a large proportion (about 28%) of the circulating plasma levels of bile acids in American black bear and up to 76% in Asiatic black bear [13]. UDCA and TUDCA are the major constituents of bile from Asian black bears, these two hydrophilic bile acids have long been considered by many to be the bioactive components of bear bile [3, 4, 7, 10, 14].

**Synthetic UDCA and TUDCA as therapeutics**

UDCA and TUDCA were first synthesized from cholic acid in 1954 in Japan [17]. Today UDCA is produced by several manufacturers worldwide and marketed under various trade names, including Ursodiol, Actigall, Ursosan, Urso, Urso Forte, and Deursil. UDCA is approved by several governments for treatment of liver diseases and for the dissolution of gallstones. In Italy, TUDCA is marketed as Taurolite and is used similarly. Typical oral dosing of UDCA is 7–15 mg/kg body weight daily. Both TUDCA and UDCA are well tolerated; the most common side effect is diarrhea in less than 2% of several populations tested [18–20].

Oral administration of UDCA results in UDCA and its conjugates becoming the proportionately dominant bile acids in biliary bile, and serum and plasma absolute concentrations increase over tenfold [21–26]. About half of the dose is absorbed from the portal blood into liver via first pass extraction, where it is conjugated with glycine forming glycuronsodeoxycholic acid (GUDCA) or taurine forming TUDCA [24, 27–29]. The percentage absorbed decreases with increasing dose such that absolute and proportional enrichment of the biliary bile with UDCA and conjugates plateaus at an as-yet undefined dose due to epimerization of UDCA to CDCA and endogenous bile acid synthesis [23, 27, 30, 31]. UDCA and conjugates are excreted from the biliary tree and resorbed through the enterohepatic circulation or metabolized to insoluble salts and excreted in the feces [24]. Oral dosing with TUDCA produces similar changes in biliary bile acid composition and circulating bile acid composition and concentrations, but with higher proportions and concentrations of UDCA and conjugates, possibly due to reduced intestinal biotransformation of TUDCA, suggesting moderately enhanced bioavailability [18, 32].

The initial use of UDCA was for dissolution of gallstones; it dissolves gallstones by solubilizing cholesterol from the stone surface, and it reduces the saturation of cholesterol in the bile, enhancing cholesterol transport [24, 26, 33]. UDCA is still prescribed for gallstone dissolution in patients who cannot or do not want to undergo surgery [24, 27, 29].

The other major, institutionally approved use of UDCA or TUDCA is in treatment of cholestatic liver disease, especially primary biliary cirrhosis (PBC). The first report of the use of UDCA or TUDCA in treatment of liver diseases in Japanese patients came shortly after their laboratory synthesis was developed in the mid-1950s [24, 29, 34]. However, their use in treatment of liver disease increased greatly after it was fortuitously discovered that serum aminotransferase levels improved in patients with chronic active hepatitis who were receiving UDCA for gallstone dissolution [24, 35], a discovery that sparked a series of studies examining its efficacy in treatment of cholestatic liver disease [11, 24].

**UDCA and TUDCA as antiapoptotic cytoprotectants**

TUDCA and UDCA were originally thought to act largely through displacement of hepatotoxic, hydrophobic bile acids such as CDCA and DCA from the bile acid pool, thus preventing accumulation of toxic levels in enterohepatic circulation and so reducing the detergent properties of bile at the hepatocellular membrane [11, 24]. However, two groups nearly simultaneously discovered that UDCA may have more mechanistic actions than simply replacing toxic bile acids. Koga et al. [36] found that biliary epithelial cells (BECs) from PBC patient biopsy tissue had much less DNA fragmentation from patients treated with UDCA than from untreated patients, suggesting that BECs from treated patients undergo less apoptosis than those from untreated patients.

Rodrigues et al. [37] more directly tested for an antiapoptotic mechanism. They isolated mitochondria from rat liver and treated them with UDCA or DCA, a
hydrophobic bile acid known to be cytotoxic. DCA increased mitochondrial swelling, calcine release, and reactive oxygen species (ROS) production, and decreased the mitochondrial membrane potential. UDCA treatment inhibited these effects. In the same study, they found that rats fed with high DCA diets had high concentrations of Bax protein in their mitochondria, an effect blocked by adding UDCA to their drinking water. This same group then demonstrated that UDCA treatment inhibited apoptosis induced by deoxycholic acid, okadaic acid, and transforming growth factor beta1 in rat hepatocytes in primary culture [38]. They found that incubation with UDCA prevented cytochrome c release, caspase activation, and cleavage of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase. They also found that the apoptosis-inducing agents decreased mitochondrial transmembrane potential (MTP) and increased Bax translocation from the cytosol to the mitochondrial membrane, effects blocked by UDCA. From these combined studies, the authors concluded that UDCA treatment can directly inhibit changes in MTP and ROS production, thus preventing megapore opening, membrane potential transition, and subsequent initiation of an apoptotic cascade [37, 38].

In later experiments, the same group found that exposing isolated mitochondria to purified, recombinant Bax protein induced cytochrome c release without permeability changes that result in mitochondrial swelling, that is, independently of the permeability transition pore opening. This effect in isolated mitochondria was prevented by co- or pre-incubation with TUDCA. Pre-incubating mitochondria with TUDCA, then washing out the media and replacing with fresh, produced the same results, indicating that the effect of TUDCA is not due to direct interaction between UDCA and Bax protein in the incubation media [39]. Thus, both UDCA and TUDCA have antiapoptotic effects on isolated mitochondria.

More recently, this group and others [40, 41] have demonstrated that in addition to effects at the mitochondrial membrane, UDCA and TUDCA may have antiapoptotic effects by binding or otherwise activating nuclear steroid receptors. Following nuclear bile acids appear to modulate the E2F-1/p53/Bax pathway as part of their antiapoptotic mechanism of action (reviewed in [42, 43]).

**UDCA and TUDCA as neuroprotectants**

Steer, Rodrigues, Kren, Low, and colleagues extended their studies in liver disease models to test the effects of UDCA or TUDCA treatment in models of neuronal disease and injury. Treatment of rat striatum in vivo or neuronal cells in culture with 3-nitropropionic acid (3-NP), an irreversible inhibitor of succinate dehydrogenase, induces apoptosis and is considered a model of Huntington’s disease (HD). Coincubation of an immortalized rat neuronal cell line or rat striatal cells in primary culture with TUDCA, UDCA, or GUDCA prevented 3-NP-induced apoptotic events such as MTP depolarization, mitochondrial-associated Bax translocation, and mitochondrial release of cytochrome c [44, 45]. Intraperitoneal injection of rats with 3-NP caused loss of striatal volume, mitochondrial swelling, increased TUNEL signal in striatal tissue sections, and Rota-Rod sensorimotor task and cognitive testing deficits [45]. All of these 3-NP-induced effects were largely or completely prevented for up to 6 months if TUDCA was co-administered with the neurotoxin [45]. The authors tested whether TUDCA is also neuroprotective in a genetic model of HD, R6/2 mice that are transgenic for a causative CAG/polyglutamine repeat expansion of the human HD gene develop striatal intranuclear inclusions containing the proteins huntingtin and ubiquitin [46] followed by progressive neurological deficits similar to those of HD [47]. IP injections of TUDCA starting at 6 weeks of age reduced striatal atrophy, decreased striatal apoptosis, resulted in fewer and smaller ubiquitinated neuronal intranuclear huntingtin inclusions, and improved locomotor and sensorimotor performance [45]. Thus, systemic TUDCA treatment was found to be protective and antiapoptotic in cultured cells and in vivo in acute models and genetic models of HD.

Similarly, using in vivo cell culture and in vitro approaches, the same core group and colleagues found that treatment with UDCA or TUDCA slowed cell death in several neuronal disease models, including Alzheimer’s disease [48–54], Parkinson’s disease [55], acute hemorrhagic [56] and acute ischemic stroke [57], and neuronal glutamate toxicity [58].

Other laboratories have found that UDCA and TUDCA are protective in models of neuronal damage or degeneration. For example, incubation with UDCA prevents apoptosis in a model of cisplatin-induced sensory neuropathy, possibly by suppressing p53 accumulation [59]. In an in vivo spinal cord injury model, rats injected systemically with TUDCA showed fewer apoptotic cord cells, less tissue injury, and better hind limb function than untreated control animals [60]. Related, though not neuronal, UDCA and TUDCA are antiapoptotic cytoprotectants in ischemia/reperfusion and oxidative stress models of liver disease or injury [61–71] and models of heart disease [72–75].

**TUDCA as protectant in ocular models**

Genetic models of photoreceptor degeneration

Given the effects demonstrated in several models of neurodegeneration, it was an obvious strategy to test
whether treatment with TUDCA might have effects in various retinal degeneration models. In our initial experiments, we treated Pde6brd1 (rd1) mice with subcutaneous injections of TUDCA in the same dosing regimen as was done with the rodent neurodegeneration models (e.g., 500 mg/kg body weight every 3 days). Injections started at postnatal day (P) 9 and continued to P21, at which point animals were killed and retinal cryosections were made. As shown in Fig. 1, vehicle-treated retinas showed the expected near-total loss of outer nuclear layer cells. Conversely, TUDCA-treated retinas had varied morphology, ranging from very little outer nuclear layer (ONL) to thick ONL and in some instances the preservation of what appear to be photoreceptor outer segments. The response to TUDCA treatment varied greatly. TUDCA treatment occasionally resulted in enhanced a- and b-wave electroretinography (ERG) amplitudes, and morphological changes were only moderately more consistent. This variability was resolved by starting TUDCA injections of rd1 pups earlier (P6) and with daily injections rather than every 3 days [76].

Degeneration of photoreceptors begins by at least P8 in rd1 mice [77], so treatments in our early experiments possibly started too late to provide consistent protection. To address this, we chose to treat another mouse model, the Pde6brd10 (rd10) mouse, which became available about the time we were testing treatment in the rd1 mouse [78]. In this mouse, a missense mutation in PDE6B causes degeneration of rods starting at about P14–16 [79, 80]. ERG amplitudes are large enough to be easily measured through the first month of age, but are never normal, as would be expected in mice harboring a mutation in a visual cycle gene [78]. Systemic TUDCA injections of these mice suppressed apoptosis and greatly slowed loss of photoreceptor number, morphology, and function [81, 82]. In rd10 mice at P18, ONL thickness and nuclei counts are about 50% of wild type, photoreceptor outer segments are largely degenerated, and ERG a- and b-wave amplitudes about 50% of wild type [80]. In P18 rd10 mice, subcutaneous injections with TUDCA resulted in the preservation of the number of photoreceptor cells (Fig. 2), ONL thickness, photoreceptor outer segments (Fig. 3), and ERG a- and b-
wave amplitudes (Fig. 4 and [81]). Retina sections from mice treated with TUDCA showed almost no TUNEL signal (Fig. 3) and substantially reduced immunosignal for activated caspase 3 (Fig. 5), suggesting that treatment resulted in suppression of apoptosis [81].

Fig. 2 Effect of TUDCA treatment on number of photoreceptor cells in P18 and P30 rd10 mice. rd10 were injected subcutaneously with vehicle or TUDCA (500 mg/kg) every 3 days starting at P6. At P18 and P30 mice were killed, and retinal paraffin sections were prepared and H&E-stained. Outer nuclear layer nuclei were counted in two regions per section and were assumed to reflect number of photoreceptor cells. Each region spanned 400µm starting from a point 400µm from either side of the optic nerve. TUDCA treatment significantly preserved the number of photoreceptors at both postnatal days. Of note is that TUDCA treatment delayed the loss of photoreceptor cells by 12 days over the course of the degeneration to P30.

Fig. 3 Effect of TUDCA treatment on retinal morphology and TUNEL in P18 rd10 mice. Fluorescence microscopy using a B-2A emission fluorescence filter allows observation of the preservation of photoreceptor inner segments (IS) and outer segments (OS) present in TUDCA- (right) versus vehicle-treated retina sections (left). TUNEL-positive nuclei (green/yellow signal) are seen to be abundant in vehicle-treated sections, but rare retinas from TUDCA-treated mice. TUDCA treatment provided significant preservation of photoreceptor nuclei number in the outer nuclear layer (ONL). Treatment had no discernable effect on the inner nuclear layer (INL) or ganglion cell layer (GCL). Image reprinted with permission from Ref. [81].

Fig. 4 Effect of TUDCA treatment on electroretinograms of rd10 mice at P18 and P30. Representative dark-adapted ERG waveforms (top) and average b-wave amplitude (bottom) to a bright flash (2.1 log cd s/m²) at P18 and P30 in TUDCA and vehicle-treated rd10 mice. Note that TUDCA treatment delayed the loss of retinal function by about 12 days, or about 35%, over the course of the degeneration period (e.g., P30 TUDCA-treated amplitudes are similar to P18 vehicle-treated amplitudes).
Systemic TUDCA treatment provides protection in the rd10 mouse retina to at least P30. At this stage, the ONL has degenerated to about one cell layer of mainly cones (rods are nearly completely absent and cones are degenerating), and the dark-adapted a-wave is only 3% and the b-wave only 14% of wild-type mice [80, 82]. TUDCA-treated retinas had dark-adapted a-waves that were maintained to 30% of wild-type and light- and dark-adapted b-waves maintained to 45% of wild-type, indicating preservation of both rod and cone function (Fig. 4 and [82]). The number of photoreceptor nuclei was fivefold greater in TUDCA-treated mice than in vehicle-treated mice (Figs. 2 and 6). Of...
note is that TUDCA treatment delayed the loss of photoreceptor cell number and retinal function by 12 days over the course of the degeneration to P30 (Figs. 2 and 4). Similar to the effect on rod photoreceptors at P18, treatment preserved cone outer segment morphology in the P30 retina [82].

Other researchers have tested the effects of TUDCA in retinal degeneration models. TUDCA treatment slows retinal degeneration in s334ter-3 rats [83], a rat line that was genetically engineered to have a rhodopsin mutation identical to one common in autosomal dominant retinitis pigmentosa (ADRP) patients [84]. These rats were injected daily from birth with TUDCA. Retinal sections from P5 and P10 rats showed that TUDCA treatment significantly decreased markers for reactive oxygen species, endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress, and apoptosis. Retinal degeneration as assessed by morphology was also delayed in TUDCA-treated rats [83].

TUDCA treatment also slows retinal degeneration in P23H-3 rats [85, 86], another rat line that was genetically engineered to have an ADRP rhodopsin mutation [84]. Rats were injected intraperitoneally (500 mg/kg body weight) once per week from P20 through 4 months old. In TUDCA-versus vehicle-treated rats, photoreceptor inner and outer segments, nuclei counts in the ONL, and the capillary retinal network were preserved. TUNEL signal was lower in TUDCA-treated rats compared to controls.

Induced models of photoreceptor degeneration

We also tested the effects of TUDCA treatment in mice and rats undergoing light-induced retinal degeneration (LIRD), an environmental model of blindness [87, 88]. Adult albino BALB/C mice were subcutaneously injected with TUDCA or vehicle, dark-adapted for 18 h, injected again, then exposed to 7 h of bright (10,000 lx) or dim (200 lx) light [87], then returned to regular rearing lighting conditions. At various times post-exposure, ERGs, retinal morphology, and apoptosis markers were assessed. Within 24 h of damaging light exposure, there was massive disruption of photoreceptor cells, extreme disorganization, and heavy TUNEL signal throughout the ONL in vehicle-treated mice exposed to bright light (Fig. 7). Conversely, retinas from TUDCA-treated mice exposed to bright light had low TUNEL signal and unaltered retinal morphology (Fig. 7), similar to mice exposed to dim light. Immunoreactivity for activated caspase-3 was abundant in retina sections from vehicle-injected mice, but nearly nonexistent in sections from TUDCA-injected mice exposed to bright light or mice exposed to dim light (Fig. 8). Protection against light damage was observed at 15 days post-exposure, again, with suppression of TUNEL (Fig. 9) and diminished immunosignal for activated caspase 3 [81]. ERG amplitudes were maintained in TUDCA-treated mice exposed to bright light, even up to 7 weeks post-exposure [89], suggesting that protection is fairly long term. Thus, in both the rd10 mouse and the LIRD mouse and rat, apoptotic photoreceptor degeneration was greatly diminished and retinal function was largely preserved by systemic injections of TUDCA.

Ganglion cell death model

We also found that systemic TUDCA treatment slowed loss of retinal ganglion cells following optic nerve crush. Adult C57/B16 mice were treated as in the LIRD and rd10 mouse experiments for 10 days and anesthetized, and their optic nerves were transected [90, 91]. In some mice, a gelfoam sponge soaked in 3% Fluorogold was applied to the cut optic nerve stumps. Ten days after surgery, the number of fluorescing RGC somas seen in retina flatmounts declined by about 60% in vehicle-treated animals, a loss that was completely prevented in TUDCA-treated mice [90].

Lens models

Thus, systemic treatment with TUDCA slows retinal degeneration in mouse and rat models, but it also has effects in other ocular tissues. Shinohara and colleagues report that TUDCA treatment prevents lens epithelial cell (LEC) death and cataract formation [92]. Incubating
cultured LEC with galactose, homocysteine, or tunicamycin induces ER stress and eventually death. However, pre- and co-incubation with TUDCA prevents this, even to a greater degree than treatment with the ER stress chaperones 4-phenylbutyric acid, trimethylamine N-oxide. Further, systemic injections of TUDCA significantly reduced lens epithelial cell death and partially delayed hypermature cataract formation in galactosemic rats, an effect accompanied by suppression of ER stress markers [92]. Though others have reported that UDCA and TUDCA efficacy is mediated through alleviation of ER stress [93–96], only Shinohara and colleagues have demonstrated that TUDCA acts as a chemical ER stress chaperone [83, 97].

![Fig. 8 Effect of TUDCA on LIRD mouse retina caspase-3 activation. Mice were subcutaneously injected with either vehicle or TUDCA (500 mg/kg), exposed to 200 (dim) or 10,000 lx (bright) of white light for 7 h, then returned to maintenance lighting conditions. Mice were killed 24 h later, and paraffin retina sections were prepared and probed for activated caspase 3 immunoreactivity by fluorescent confocal microscopy. Representative composite confocal micrographs for vehicle dim-light-exposed vehicle-injected (left), bright-light-exposed vehicle-injected, and bright-light exposed TUDCA-injected (right) treatments are shown. There was significantly more immunoreactivity (yellow signal) in sections of bright-light-exposed vehicle-treated mice than in bright-light-exposed TUDCA-treated mice or dim-light-exposed mice. Image reprinted with permission from Ref. [81].]

![Fig. 9 Effect of TUDCA on LIRD mouse retina morphology and apoptosis: 15 days post-light exposure. Mice were subcutaneously injected with either vehicle or TUDCA (500 mg/kg), exposed to 10,000 lx of white light for 7 h, then returned to maintenance lighting conditions. Fifteen days after light exposure, mice were killed, and paraffin retina sections were prepared and assayed for fluorescent TUNEL by confocal microscopy. Bright-light-exposure-induced massive apoptosis and morphological damage in retinal photoreceptors of vehicle-treated (left) but not TUDCA-treated eyes (right). Of particular note in the vehicle-treated sample is the thinning of the outer nuclear layer (ONL) and the nearly complete loss of inner segments (IS) and outer segments (OS) of the photoreceptors. Additionally, nearly all the photoreceptor nuclei are TUNEL positive. Conversely, TUDCA-treated samples showed intact photoreceptors, thick ONL, and very few TUNEL-positive photoreceptor cells. Treatment had no discernable effect on the inner nuclear layer (INL), ganglion cell layer (GCL), or retinal pigment epithelium (RPE). Image reprinted with permission from Ref. [81].]
Conclusion

The hydrophilic bile acids UDCA and TUDCA are cytoprotective in many disease models. Their exact mechanisms of action at the molecular level are not fully elucidated. Their cytoprotective actions are proposed to be mediated through actions at several points of the intrinsic and extrinsic apoptotic pathways and the ER stress response [42, 43, 92, 96, 98] from direct actions on mitochondrial and ER membranes through transcriptional regulation of both death and survival factors. Effects in ocular disease models have just begun to be tested and are clearly promising. However, almost no mechanistic data from ocular models have been reported. Indeed, some of the protective effects of TUDCA may be due to its tauroine moiety suppressing formation of the apoptosome [99, 100]! Thus, in addition to continued preclinical testing for translational purposes, much work remains in determining how the hydrophilic bile acids have such marked protective effects in models of retinal degeneration, glaucoma, and cataract.
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