Strength Analysis and Structure Optimization of Gate Valve Body Based on Finite Element Software
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Abstract: Stress concentration zone and maximum deformation zone of gate valve at 1.6MPa working pressure were found out according to strength calculation and analysis by ANSYS software, which proceeds the processes of modeling, meshing, constraints define, applying loads and solving. Design variables, state variables and optimize targets were defined by target optimization design function of ANSYS Workbench in order to reveal the influence law of each design variable on the gate valve body equivalent stress, and the optimal values of design variables could be obtained after calculation. The valve structure was improved and stress concentration was reduced from the perspective of safety and economy, which could provide a reference for reliable valve design. The shape of the valve body was optimized by topology optimization design in order to achieve the rational use of materials. Research results show that the maximum stress lies on both sides of the valve rib plate and the stress is beyond the material allowable value, but the valve body has little deformation. After optimization the maximum equivalent stress of valve body is decreased by 23.91% and the valve body has uniform stress distribution, the mass of the valve body is reduced by 1.4% as well. Material utilization is improved effectively, which indicates that the optimization design based on ANSYS Workbench is highly efficient and reliable.

1 Introduction

Gate valve is one of the most widely used valves during industrial production, which plays an important role in agriculture irrigation, nuclear energy field, aerospace, petrochemical, machinery, pharmaceutical and other industrial departments. According to statistics, the number of gate valves accounts for 70% of the total valves number in the chemical sector [1]. During original valves design, the three-dimensional models were mainly set up by artificial way, and every node equation was solved after meshing process according to the valve model characteristics, in which way the various aspects factors of the valve were analyzed [2-3]. However, this kind of design method was proved to be useless as its heavy workload, complicated calculation process, and low calculation accuracy. What’s more, local stress concentration and unreasonable stress distribution always appeared due to the structural reason of gate valve during practical application.

With the development of computer technology and mechanical theory, the three dimensional mechanics analysis of structure through finite element analysis method provided by CAE software is becoming mature and widely used in structure analysis of pressure vessels [4]. CAD/CAE function provided by ANSYS software could play a positive role in the process of the gate valves modeling and finite element analysis, thus realizes size and structure optimization of gate valves. This method could improve the valve structural design level, reduce the valve design cycle and manufacturing cost, which affords reliable improvements for valves design.

A certain type gate valve with 700mm nominal diameter and 1.6MPa rated working pressure was analyzed by ANSYS software in this paper. Whether the valve body could survive stable pressure without apparent elastic-plastic deformation under the condition of hydrostatic test is mainly considered, the structure under strong stress was optimally designed to ensure the gate valve body reliability and reasonable use of materials.

2 Material and Methods

2.1 Modeling

Parametric model of the valve body should be able to accurately reflect the actual situation of the structure [5-7], that is to say, its shape, boundary conditions and loads should keep consistent with actual situation. The model should be simplified as much as possible on the premise of guarantee calculation precision, therefore those characteristics which do not affect the valve body overall performance can be simplified in the process of
modeling. After ignore some unnecessary chamfering, parametric calculation model of gate valve is obtained as shown in Figure 1 [8-10].

Fig. 1. Parametric calculation model of gate valve.

2.2 Mesh generation

The quality of finite element meshing directly affects the reliability of analysis results and the time cost of analysis process [11-12]. As the valve body has irregular shape, we mesh the model with 10 nodes tetrahedron element in order to make calculation results more accurate, and the grid of mutation valve shape is encrypted. Mesh generation of the valve model is shown in Figure 2.

Fig. 2. Mesh generation.

2.3 Applying constraints and loads

Fixed constraint is applied on both end faces of the valve body import and export flange, and vertical constraint is applied on the end face of middle flange. According to the requirements of valve hydraulic pressure test, 2.4MPa calculation pressure is applied on the valve body inside surface, the bolt retightening force of middle flange and the weight of valve are ignored.

2.4 Define material

The material of gate valve body is WCB (Workmen’s Compensation Board), and the material characteristics are shown in Table 1.

| Name | Elasticity modulus Symbol/Unit | Poisson ratio | Extension strength | Yield strength | Allowable stress |
|------|--------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Value| E/GPa | μ | σb/MPa | σs/MPa | [σ]/MPa |
|      | 206 | 0.25 | 482.8 | 248.3 | 120.69 |

3 Results

The deformation and equivalent stress nephogram of gate valve body which obtained after calculation are shown in Figure 3. The maximum deformation of valve body is 0.41mm under 2.4MPa test pressure. During the original valve design the valve body deformation is controlled under the range of 0.001 times of nominal diameter to protect mechanical seal, that is to say, the gate valve with 700mm nominal diameter has 0.7mm allowable deformation [13-14]. From Figure 3(a) we can see that the designed valve body meet stiffness requirement. But in Figure 3(b) we can see that the maximum stress on both sides of the valve body floor is 149.6MPa, which is beyond the allowable stress of the valve body material. From the calculation results it can be concluded that the valve cannot meet work requirements. Consequently, the sizes of both sides of valve body floor should be optimal designed from the view of safety and economy in order to reduce the stress concentration phenomenon and ensure the valve body strength.

(a) Total deformation nephogram
4 Discussion

4.1 Structure parameters which affect the valve body strength

From Figure 3(b) we can see that the maximum stress lay on the both sides of valve body floor, in other words, shape of the valve body floor have great influence on the valve body strength. During optimal design we mainly consider the sizes of valve body floor, define the floor thickness as D, and define the floor bottom chamfer as R. There are totally two structure parameters that influence the body strength are defined as design variables in this paper.

4.2 Valve structure parameters optimization

In order to make the valve body has uniform stress distribution and meet the strength requirements, the valve structure optimal design aim to make the maximum equivalent stress as small as possible under the constraint of valve weight, D and R as design variables at the same time. Optimize target is to make the maximum equivalent stress of valve body as small as possible, which is less than the material allowable stress 120 MPa. The valve weight is set as state variable, which is ensured to be as small as possible during the optimization process. According to the needs of the practical operation, the range of design variables is determined as shown in Table 2.

| Design variables | Minimum/mm | Maximum/mm | Initial/mm |
|------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| D                | 10         | 30         | 15         |
| R                | 80         | 400        | 100        |

The optimization program is operated automatically, and the mesh of the model is redistributed in each optimization circulation till the optimization finished.

4.3 The influence of design parameters to equivalent stress

The response diagrams are obtained from DesignXplorer optimization module of ANSYS Workbench, and the influence of parameter D to valve body equivalent stress is shown in Figure 4(a). We can see that equivalent stress reach the maximum value when the floor thickness is 22 mm, and the stress decreases with the increase of the floor thickness when D is greater than this value. The maximum equivalent stress is less than 120 MPa when D reaches to 29 mm. The influence of parameter R to valve body equivalent stress is shown in Figure 4(b), obviously, the equivalent stress decreases with the increase of R. Combined influence of D and R to valve body equivalent stress is shown in Figure 5.
4.4 Optimization results and analysis

After optimization analysis of valve body structure parameters, we get optimization results of parameters D and R which is shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Optimization results comparison.

| Design variables | Before optimization/mm | After optimization/mm |
|------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| D                | 15                     | 29                    |
| R                | 100                    | 367                   |

The improved model with optimized D and R is analyzed, and calculation results are shown in Figure 6. The maximum equivalent stress point of valve body moved to the intersection of rib plate and middle flange. The maximum stress value is 113.83 MPa, which is decreased by 23.91%. The maximum deformation is 0.32mm, decreased by 21.95%, which indicates that both strength and stiffness of the improved valve meet work requirements.

![Total deformation nephogram](image1.png)

![Equivalent stress nephogram](image2.png)

Fig. 6. Deformation and equivalent stress nephogram of gate valve after optimization.

4.5 Topology optimization design of valve structure

Topology optimization design is actually a kind of model’s geometry optimization, sometimes it also can be called layout optimization [15-16]. Target of topology optimization design is to seek the best use solution of material under a given constraints such as saving volume, and a certain target such as total strength or stiffness should be achieved to be maximum or minimum at the same time [17-18].

After comprehensive analysis, the command Shape Optimization of ANSYS Workbench is carried out. Constraints and loads are set the same as the third section of this paper, and target reduction is set as 60%. By carrying out the command Shape Finder we get calculation results as shown in Figure 7(a), Red zones in Figure 7(a) are parts that can be removed. According to the result of topology optimization and considering the processing technology and manufacturing cost, the length of both sides of the valve reinforcing rib is shortened by 40 mm as shown in Figure 7(b).

![Results of topology optimization](image3.png)

![The modified model of valve](image4.png)

Fig. 7. Results of topology optimization and the modified model of valve.

The analysis results of modified valve model are shown in Figure 8. From Figure 8 we can see that the maximum deformation and maximum equivalent stress of modified valve model is tiny, which indicates that strength and stiffness of the modified valve meet design requirements. What’s more, total mass of the valve decreases from 1748.4 Kg to 1723.4 Kg, which is reduced by 1.4%.

![Total deformation nephogram](image5.png)
5 Conclusion

Parameterized model of gate valve is built through ANSYS software in this paper, and deformation and equivalent stress of the valve are finite element analyzed. The structure of the gate valve is improved by parameters optimization analysis and topology optimization analysis, which provide a reference for gate valve design.

(1) Analysis results show that the total strength of the valve body is improved after structural optimization design, the maximum equivalent stress of the improved valve is decreased by 23.91% with more uniform stress distribution.

(2) Material of the valve body is reduced under the premise of meet the work requirements, material utilization is improved by 1.4%.

(3) The valve design cycle is reduced substantially and design efficiency is enhanced effectively by using this design method, which has high efficiency and accuracy. It can be concluded that finite element analysis is an effective method for valves structural design.
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