Antibacterial activity of *Solanum lycopersicum* var. *ceraciforme* on aerobic microbial isolates of meat
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**ABSTRACT**

The antibacterial activities of the extract of *Solanum lycopersicum* var. *ceraciforme* were evaluated against five bacteria: *Serratia marcescens*, *Micrococcus luteus*, *Streptocococcus pyogenes*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Bacillus cereus*. Ethanolic extract (UEE) of *Solanum lycopersicum* var. *ceraciforme* were obtained by standard methods. The antibacterial activity was assayed using agar well diffusion method. The ethanolic extract (UEE) exhibited antibacterial effects against the isolates with inhibiting zones ranging from 2.09±0.01 mm to 7.53±0.01 mm. UEE was able to inhibit the growth of *Serratia marcescens* and *Micrococcus luteus* which was resistant to the commercial antibiotic, erythromycin. The extract showed appreciable quantity of total phenol (tannic acid equivalent) of 22.12 MgGAE/g. The higher phenolic content of UEE may be responsible for its ability to inhibit more of the aerobic microflora from meat. This study shows that useful bioactives component that can be used in preserving meat from microbial spoilage are present in UEE.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Meat is animal flesh that is eaten as food (Lawrie and Ledward, 2006). Meat is mainly composed of water, protein and fat and is usually eaten together with other food. Meat is one of the most nutritious foods used for human consumption. The actual composition of meat depends however on the breed, age, sex, nutrition and state of health (Aurand et al., 1987). Shelf life and maintenance of the meat quality are influenced by a number of interrelated factors including holding temperature, which can result in detrimental changes in the quality attributes of meat. Spoilage by microbial growth is the most important factor in relation to the keeping quality of meat (Lambert et al., 1991). In most developing countries, including Nigeria, fresh meat forms a significant proportion of meat intake (Olaoye and Idowu, 2010). It is either eaten cooked or processed into other forms to avoid associated spoilage. The main causative factor of such spoilage has been linked to unavailability of necessary storage facilities and favourable ambient temperature that usually prevail in developing countries that are in tropical regions (Onilude et al., 2002). Meat has long been considered a highly desirable and nutritious food, but unfortunately it is also highly perishable because it provides the nutrients needed to support the growth of many types of microorganisms (Kalalou et al., 2004). Due to its unique biological and chemical nature, meat undergoes progressive deterioration from the time of slaughter until consumption. In general, the metabolic activity of the ephemeral microbial association which prevails in a meat ecosystem under certain aerobic conditions, or generally introduced during processing, leads to the manifestation of changes or spoilage of meat (Nychas et al., 2008).

The spoilage of meat occurs if untreated in a matter of hours or days and results in the meat becoming unappetizing, poisonous or infectious. Spoilage is caused by the practically unavoidable infection and subsequently decomposition of meat by bacteria and fungi which are
borne by the animal itself, by the people handling the meat and by implements. Meat can be kept edible for a much longer time though not indefinitely if proper hygiene is observed during production and processing and if appropriate food safety, food preservation and food storage procedures are applied (Adams and Moss, 2000). A number of interrelated factors influence the shelf life and keeping quality of meat, specifically holding temperature, atmospheric oxygen (O2), indigenous enzymes, moisture (dehydration), light and, most importantly, micro-organisms. All of these factors, either alone or in combination, can result in detrimental changes in the colour, odour, texture and flavour of meat. Fresh meat has a shelf life of 1 day or less at ambient storage temperatures, 20-30°C (Lambert et al., 1991).

Spoilage is said to be a state of a particular food in which it is offensive to consumers’ senses, usually caused by metabolites of contaminant microorganisms (Paulsen and Smuldens, 2003). Meat spoilage is not always evident and consumers would agree that gross discoloration, strong off-odours, and the development of slime would constitute the main qualitative criteria for meat rejection. In general, spoilage is a subjective judgment by the consumer, which may be influenced by cultural and economic considerations and background as well as by the sensory acuity of the individual and the intensity of the change (Nychas et al., 2008). Spoilage of meat can be considered as an ecological phenomenon that encompasses the changes of the available substrata, such as low molecular weight compounds, during the proliferation of bacteria that constitute the microbial association of the stored meat (Nychas et al., 2007). The microbiological quality of meat depends on the physiological status of the animal at slaughter, the spread of contamination during slaughter and processing, the temperature and other conditions of storage and distribution. In fact, some of the microorganisms originate from the animal’s intestinal tract as well as from the environment with which the animal had contact at some time before or during slaughter (Koutsoumanis and Sofos, 2004).

Other organisms, including psychrotrophic bacteria, are recovered from hides and work surfaces within an abattoir as well as from carcasses and butchered meat at all stages of processing (Gill, 2005). A wide range of micro-organisms coming from different sources are introduced onto carcass surfaces, which contain abundant nutrients and which have high water availability. Only a few of the contaminants will be able to initiate growth, and only some of these will eventually spoil the meat by means of their biochemical attributes.

Predominance of different groups of microorganisms on meat depends on the characteristics of the meat, the environment in which meat is stored as well as the processing that meat may undergo (Gill and Molin, 1991). The problems of spoilage and food poisoning mainly by oxidation processes or by microorganisms activity during production and storage are still concerns for both the food industry and consumers despite the use of synthetic chemical additives and various preservation methods (Lawrie and Ledward, 2006; Adams and Moss, 2000). However, the side effects of some synthetic antioxidants used in food processing such as butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) and butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA) have already been documented. They showed carcinogenic effects in living organisms.

Cherry tomato (Solanum lycopersicum var. cerasiforme Linn Moench) are widely consumed either raw or after processing and can provide a significant proportion of the total antioxidants in the diet (Martinez-Valvercle, 2002). It is an important source for the daily intake of healthy constituents to the diet like minerals (calcium, phosphorous, magnesium and other minor minerals), water-soluble vitamins (B and C), fat-soluble vitamins (A, E and K) and a wide variety of phytochemicals (Shahidi et al., 2008). Among phytochemicals, we can find bioactive molecules capable to protect against diseases acting as free radical scavengers or antimicrobial agents. Cherry tomato contain carotenoids, lycopene and flavonoids which have powerful antitumour and anticancer properties. Also high intake of cherry tomato prevents cancer of breast, cervix, colon, oesophagus, mouth and pancreas. The anticancer effects are best against prostate, lung and stomach cancer (Edward, G. (1999): Ellinger et al., (2006); Aune, 2012).

Cherry tomato exhibited numerous health benefits in preventing and treating a wide variety of diseases such as aging, metabolic, neurological, cardiovascular, and inflammatory diseases. Their role in food safety and preservation have not been studied. Therefore, the present study aims to provide a comprehensive summary on the antibacterial activity of the ethanolic extract of Solanum lycopersicum var. cerasiforme on aerobic microbial isolates of meat.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Collection of samples.

Source and identification of tomato.

Cherry tomato (Solanum lycopersicum var. cerasiforme) were collected from Teaching and Research farm of the Federal University of Technology, Akure, Ondo State, Nigeria. The tomatoes were identified at the Department of Crop, Soil and Pest Management, Federal University of Technology, Akure, Ondo State. The tomatoes were washed, sliced and sun dried for two weeks and then blended into powder using Marlex mixer grinder model 7371373.

Source of meat.

The meat (Beef) was obtained from Oja Oba market
Akure, Ondo State, Nigeria. The meat were stored in sterile container under room temperature.

Preparation of extract

Twenty grams of grounded Solanum lycopersicum var. cersiforme was extracted with ethanol. The extraction by the solvent was performed in Erlenmeyer flask shaken on an orbital shaker at 300rpm and at room temperature for 48 h. The extract obtained from the extracting medium was evaporated to dryness.

Isolation of microorganism from meat.

One gram of homogenized meat was taken from the dissolved into 9ml of the sterilized water in the McCartney. Serial dilution of the sample was carried out to a dilution factor of 10^5. Exactly 0.1ml aliquot of 10^3 were aseptically pipette into different sterile Petri dishes. After this; the sterile molten nutrient agar were aseptically poured into each corresponding Petri dish. The plate were incubated in an inverted position. Incubation period was 37 °C for 24 h. Distinct bacterial colonies formed after incubation were isolated for characterization (Fawole and Oso, 2001).

Identification of bacterial species

The identification of bacteria was based on cultural characteristics, staining reaction and biochemical tests. (Cowan and Steel, 1993).

Assay for antimicrobial activity.

Antimicrobial activities of extracts were determined by the agar well diffusion method (Schinor et al., 2007). A 0.2 ml of a 24 h broth culture was aseptically introduced into the sterile Petri dishes. The sterilized medium at 45-50 °C was poured into Petri dishes. The agar depth was 4mm. 25ml medium was used for plates with 90mm diameter. Wells were made on the agar plates using a sterile cork borer of 5mm diameter. A 0.5ml of each extract was pipette into the well. A negative control was 0.01ml of the extracting solvent. The plates were allowed on the bench for 40 minutes for pre diffusion of the extract to occur. The treated Petri dishes were incubated overnight at 37 °C for 24 h.

Phytochemical screening

Chemical tests were carried out on the aqueous extracts to identify the constituents using standard procedures (Harborne, 1973; Trease and Evans, 1989; Sofowora, 1993).

Preparation of sample.

Two grams of each extract was carefully weighed into 250 ml conical flask and 50 ml of distilled water was added to it. It was mixed and stopper with rubber bung, then incubated in water bath for 2 h at 37 °C, and then allowed to cool. The content was filtrated with the use of Whatman filter paper No 1 and the filtrate was kept for analysis.

Test for saponins

5 ml of aqueous extract was shaken vigorously with 5 ml of distilled water in a test tube and warmed. The formation of stable foam was taken as an indication for the presence of saponins.

Test for Alkaloids

To 0.5 gram of the extracts in a test tube was added 5ml of 10 % (v/v) HCL and put in a stream bath for 2 minutes, after which the mixture was filtrated. To separate portions, 1ml of the filtrate was added followed by 3 drops of dragendroff reagent. The presence of alkaloids was confirmed by the production of reddish-brown.

Test for Tannin

Ten ml from the filtrates was taken into 100ml volumetric flask. 15ml Folin Denis reagent and 10ml of Na2CO3 solution was diluted with distilled water, mixed well and the absorption were read after 30 minutes at 760nm.

Test for flavonoids

To 1 ml of aqueous extract was added 1 ml of 10% lead acetate solution. The formation of a yellow precipitate was taken as a positive test for flavonoid.

Test for phlobatannins

About 2 ml of aqueous extract was added to 2 ml of 1% HCl and the mixture was boiled. Deposition of a red precipitate was taken as an evidence for the presence of phlobatannin.

Tests for anthraquinones

To 3 ml of aqueous extract was shaken with 3 ml of benzene, filtered and 5 ml of 10% ammonia solution was added to the filtrate. The mixture was shaken and the
Table 1. Aerobic Microflora isolated from meat

| Isolate | Identity                  |
|---------|---------------------------|
| 1       | *Serratia marcescens*     |
| 2       | *Micrococcus luteus*      |
| 3       | *Streptococcus pyrogens*  |
| 4       | *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*  |
| 5       | *Bacillus cereus*         |

The presence of a pink, red or violet colour in the ammonical (lower) phase indicates the presence of free anthraquinones.

**Tests for glycosides**

**Liebermann’s test:**
To 2 ml of the organic extract was dissolved in 2 ml of chloroform and 2 ml of acetic acid was added and the solution cooled well in ice. Sulphuric acid was then added carefully. A colour change from violet to blue to green indicates the presence of a steroidal nucleus (that is, a glycone portion of glycoside).

**Salkowski’s test:**
To 2 ml of each extract was dissolved in 2 ml of chloroform. 2 ml of sulphuric acid was added carefully and shaken gently. A reddish brown colour indicates the presence of a steroidal ring (that is, a glycone portion of glycoside).

**Keller-Killiani Test**
Exactly 0.5 gram of extracts were dissolved in 2.0 ml glacial acetic acid containing one drop of ferric chloride solution this was under laid with 0.1 ml of concentrated sulphuric acid. A brown ring obtained at the inter face indicated the presence of deoxysugar, a characteristics of cardenolides. A violet ring may appear below the brown ring while the acetic acid layer, a greenish ring may form just above the ring and gradually speed throughout this layer.

**Total phenol**
The phenolic content was determined according to the method described by Spanos with slight modifications (Spanos and Wrolstad, 1990). The assay was determined using 1 mL of each extract stock solution (1 mg mL⁻¹) and 1 mL of each standard gallic acid solution was taken in 25 mL volumetric flask, added 10 mL of water, 1.5 mL of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent and allowed to stand for 10 min then 4 mL of sodium carbonate solution was added in each volumetric flask and volume was adjusted with distilled water. Absorbance were measured after 1 h at 765nm by UV visible spectrophotometer against blank. The total phenolic content was calculated from the calibration curve of gallic acid and the results were expressed in mg gallic acid equivalent per gram of dried extract.

**Statistical Analysis**
All experiments were carried out in triplicate. Data obtained were analysed by one way analysis of variance and mean were compared by Duncan multiple range test (SPSS 18.0 version). Differences were considered significant at $P \leq 0.05$.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Identification**
Aerobic bacterial isolates obtained from the meat include *Serratia marcescens*, *Micrococcus luteus*, *Streptococcus pyrogens*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Bacillus cereus* (Table 1). The microorganism found occur frequently on freshly cut and aerobically stored meat (Doulgeraki et al., 2012; Hultman et al., 2015). They are recongnised as undesirable bacteria in food processing environment (De Filippis et al., 2013; and Hultman et al., 2015) and as main contributors to meat spoilage (Moretro et al., 2013). Most of the isolates above had been reported to be important spoilage organisms in meat (Lawrie and Ledward, 2006). Spoilage under aerobic conditions of raw meat causes surface slime which was attributed to *Streptococcus pyrogens*, *Leuconostoc mesenteroides*, *Bacillus cereus* and *Micrococcus [frazler]*. Earlier reports had implicated *Pseudomonas* one of isolates as being the predominant genera that are regularly found in meat at the beginning of storage. Pseudomonas was reportedly to be lipolytic and proteolytic, as were species belonging to the Enterobacteriaceae family, and they can contribute to spoilage through the production of volatile organic compound and other undesirable metabolites such as biogenic amines (Doulgeraki et al., 2012). The other microorganisms may be contaminants acquired as a result of posts laughtering operations.

**Assay for Antimicrobial**
The Ethanolic extract of *Solanum lycopersicum var. cerasiforme* exerted a concentration dependent inhibitory
Table 2. Zone of inhibition (mm) of extract against aerobic microflora from meat.

| Microorganism       | UEE     | E       | CN      | S       | SXT     | AU      |
|---------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| *Serratia marcescens* | 7.53±0.01a | NI      | 7.05±0.03a | 3.27±0.03c | 2.25±0.02a | 5.28±0.01a |
| *Micrococcus luteus*  | 2.09±0.00b | NI      | 1.86±0.01b  | 4.48±0.06b  | 3.31±0.07c  | 4.56±0.03c  |
| *Streptococcus pyogenes* | 4.64±0.02c | 2.48±0.02d  | 3.33±0.04d  | 2.23±0.04a  | 5.41±0.01b  | NI      |
| *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* | NI      | NI      | 9.67±0.00a  | 5.19±0.01a  | 6.69±0.00a  | 5.11±0.00c  |
| *Bacillus cereus*     | 6.64±0.02d | 3.33±0.04b  | 7.23±0.03b  | 3.14±0.01a  | 1.32±0.04e  | NI      |

Values are means SD of replicates (n=3).

**Keywords:** NI-No inhibition, UEE: Ethanolic extract, E: Erythromycin, CN: Gentamycin, S: Streptomycin, SXT: Septrin, AU: Augmentin.

Table 3. Phytochemicals present in ethanolic extract of *Solanum lycopersicum* var. *cerasiforme*.

| Phytochemical          | UEE |
|------------------------|-----|
| Saponin                | +ve  |
| Alkaloids              | +ve  |
| Tannin                 | +ve  |
| Flavonoids             | +ve  |
| Phlobatannin           | +ve  |
| Anthraquinone          | -ve  |
| Cardiac glycosides     | +ve  |
| Liebermans             | +ve  |
| Salkowski              | +ve  |
| Keller Killians        | -ve  |
| Test                   |      |

**Keywords:** -ve: Not present, +ve: Present

Table 4. Percentage yield of ethanolic extract of *Solanum lycopersicum* var. *cerasiforme*

| % Yield          | Total Phenol(*TAE) |
|------------------|--------------------|
| *Solanum lycopersicum var. cerasiforme* | 25.34±0.04 | 22.12±0.01 |

**Keywords:** *TAE: Tannic acid equivalent. Values are means of replicates (n=3).
Phytochemicals

Phytochemical screening of the extract revealed the presence of saponin, alkaloids, tannin, flavonoids, phlobatannis anthraquinones and cardiac glycosides (Table 3). These are believed to be responsible for the observed antibacterial effects (Veloglu, 1998). Flavonoid derivatives were reported to be effective antimicrobial substances against different microorganisms. Their mode of activity may be due to their ability to complex with extracellular and soluble proteins as well as to complex with bacterial cell wall. The flavonoids being more lipophilic may also disrupt microbial membranes. In addition to being effective against bacteria, these compounds exhibit inhibitory effects against viruses and parasites (Cowan, 1999). It has been well established that flavonoids in nature are the potential antioxidants Saponins, which are amphipathic glycosides, may be mono- or polydesmodic, depending on the number of attached sugar moieties. These bio-active ingredients are commonly present in licorice root (Glycyrrhiza glabra), and possess expectorant, bacteriostatic and antiviral activities. (Cseke et al., 2006).

CONCLUSION

The results obtained from this study shows that the extract of these Solanum lycopersicum var. cerasiforme UEE, possess inhibitory potential against spoilage and pathogenic organisms that are associated with meat. cherry tomato has potential use in food preservation and enhancement of shelf life as a natural bioingredient. The bioactive components in this extract if further screened may serve as effective and safe alternatives to chemical preservatives which are known to have negative side effects. Isolation and identification of the specific bioactive responsible for inhibitory effect is the next research focus.
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