Circadian activity patterns and temporal overlap among cracids (Aves: Cracidae) within a vegetation mosaic in the Pantanal of Rio Negro, Brazil
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Abstract. Vertebrates, overall, present a daily activity pattern when managing their needs, such as foraging, resting or searching for sexual partners. Most of the available information regarding the circadian rhythm in birds comes from controlled laboratory conditions, and little is known about these patterns in the wild. In this study we used camera traps to describe the daily activity patterns of three cracid species in the Pantanal of Rio Negro, Brazil. We had a sampling effort of 9,617 camera trap-days along 231 days (5,544 hours) from September 2013 to May 2014. This resulted in 4,833 independent records of cracids from a total of 7,713 individuals.

Crax fasciolata was the species with the most records (n rec = 3,792) and individuals (n ind = 5,781), followed by Ortalis canicollis (n rec = 934; n ind = 1,758) and Aburria grayi (n rec = 107; n ind = 174). None of the species was uniformly distributed throughout the day, thus evidencing a periodization of their activities. The mean vectors of the activity patterns of C. fasciolata, O. canicollis and A. grayi were, respectively, mμ = 10:36 ± 04:26 (SD), mμ = 11:42 ± 03:57 and mμ = 11:44 ± 03:47. We observed a temporal overlap between A. grayi and O. canicollis, whereas C. fasciolata significantly differed from them. Because of their large home ranges, cracids are important indicators of environmental quality, and, as frugivores, they play key roles in the ecological dynamics of forests. In this sense, and given that cracids are notably more susceptible to extinction, the knowledge on their circadian activity patterns may be useful when establishing effective management and conservation strategies.
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INTRODUCTION

The daily activity period, or circadian cycle, corresponds to the 24-hour span which establishes the biological cycles of nearly all living species. Such patterns have existed throughout the history of life on Earth, and virtually every life form has had its rhythm adapted to it (Beale et al., 2016). Activity patterns may be influenced by abiotic factors, such as photoperiod, lunar cycle, temperature, precipitation, tides, and latitude, as well as by biotic factors, like food availability, reproduction, and interactions with predators and humans (Kronfeld-Schor & Dayan, 2003; Pita et al., 2011; Ross et al., 2013; Bennie et al., 2014; Sassi et al., 2015; Díaz-Ruiz et al., 2016; Gaynor et al., 2018). Knowing how species explore their habitats and spotting the basic resources and conditions for their occupation, survival and reproduction is essential for stakeholders when determining potential threats and planning efficient management programs (Willems & Hill, 2009; Morrison et al., 2006).

Animals perform a number of activities within their circadian cycle (e.g., foraging, resting or searching for reproductive partners) that may
concentrate in specific times of the day or night. The activity pattern refers to the continuous period in which an individual concentrates its daily actions (Maffei et al., 2002; Monroy-Vilchis et al., 2011). The circadian cycle is involved in the regulation of several daily functions, including the patterns of greater activity (Pittendrigh, 1981; Lapowsky et al., 2008; Cassone, 2014). Therefore, as ecosystems grow in complexity, organisms go on to explore not only their physical dimensions but also their temporal niches (Hut et al., 2012). As a consequence, all life forms share physiological and behavioral processes for specific times of the day (West & Bechtold, 2015). Behavioral plasticity, although limited in some species, becomes evident in others from observations of intraspecific variation in foraging patterns (Ashby, 1972; Hertel et al., 2016) that may lead to changes in the temporal niche (Fenn & MacDonald, 1995; Ensing et al., 2014).

The circadian rhythm also allows animals to expect and prepare for predictable alterations in their environments (Stelzer et al., 2010; Kumar et al., 2010; Bloch et al., 2013). Daily variations in animal physiology or behavior also present a strong endogenous (circadian) component (Reebs, 2002; Kronfeld-Schor & Dayan, 2003; Lazzari & Insaudi, 2008). Facing this, information about animal movement, domestic environment, territoriality, and activity patterns greatly contribute to wildlife conservation and management (Rodriguez-Flores & Arizmendi, 2020).

Monitoring rare cryptic species is not a feasible task for researchers. Camera traps have been used to overcome this and successfully record, monitor and estimate the density of enigmatic taxa (Heilbrun et al., 2006; Kelly & Holub, 2008). This tool is highly flexible and has been used for fauna inventories (e.g., Jiménez et al., 2010), relative abundance indexes (Monroy-Vilchis et al., 2011), and to describe activity patterns (Hernández-SaintMartin et al., 2013; Lafleur et al., 2014) and land and habitat use (Michalski et al., 2015; Blake et al., 2017; Pardo et al., 2017; Pérez-Irineo & Santos-Moreno, 2018). In birds, most of the available information regarding the circadian rhythm comes from controlled laboratory conditions, while data from the wild remains poorly reported. Studies from the last decade have shown the efficacy of camera traps for studying large birds in the wild in Brazil, especially in the Atlantic Forest (Srbek-Araújo et al., 2009, 2012; Kuhnen et al., 2013), and in other countries (Negret et al., 2015).

The Cracidae is one of the most threatened families of large birds (curassows, guans and chachalacas) inhabiting the Neotropical woodlands (Brooks, 2006). Out of the 54 known cracid species (Winkler et al., 2020), 26 are under some global degree of threat while one is extinct in nature, the Alagoas Curassow (Ortalis canicollis), endemic to the Mato-Grosso do Sul state, and the red-throated piping-guan (Aburria cujubi), commonly found in northern Brazil and reaching northern Pantanal (del Hoyo & Kirwan, 2020).

In this study we deployed camera traps in the Pantanal of Rio Negro to describe the daily activity patterns of three cracid species (A. grayi, O. canicollis and C. fasciolata) and to check whether or not they overlap in time.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Study area**

The study was performed in a 26,500 ha area in the Pantanal wetlands of Rio Negro, in Aquidauana, Mato Grosso do Sul state, Brazil (Silva & Abdon, 1998). Most of the surveyed area (22,300 ha) was within the Barranco Alto ranch (19°33’35”S, 56°09’22”W, 100-120 m a.s.l.). The remaining sampling sites were located in a contiguous section of the Dicuí and Vera Lúcia ranches. The landscape is characterized by several bays and salt lakes, intertwined with open savannas, dense shrublands, fields and gallery forests. Non-flooded forests compose the most elevated areas. Secondary savannas and planted pastures occur in areas of greater anthropic influence (Silva et al., 2011). The climate in the region is classified as tropical wet (Aw) in the Köppen-Geiger’s system (Kottek et al., 2006), with rainy summers (June to September) and dry winters (December to March). The mean minimum and maximum annual temperatures range from 21° to 33°C, respectively (Soriano & Alves, 2005), while the mean annual precipitation is approximately 1,350 mm (Bergier et al., 2018).

**Sampling design and data collection**

The birds were recorded by camera traps (Bushnell Trophy Cam HD E3). This method is recognized for its versatility and efficacy in obtaining ecological data, mainly when detecting rare species and determining their activity periods, as it provides a big volumes of information simultaneously (Hernández-SaintMartin et al., 2013; Lafleur et al., 2014; Pardo et al., 2017). Data was collected over nine months, from September 2013 to May 2014. The traps were spread over a grid of 90 sampling stations (Fig. 1). All sites were at least 500 m apart from each other to avoid sampling gaps. The cameras possess infra-red sensors activated by heat and movement and were placed approximately 40 cm above the ground so that the targeted animal could be recorded 2-3 m away (Tobler et al., 2008). The traps were set to work 24 h/day and make 60-second videos for every record, with 30-second intervals between successive records. The sites were checked every 30 days for battery and memory card replacement.
Data analyses

All registered animals were identified to species level. When the species could not be determined, the records were classified at the smallest scale within the following: 1) genus; 2) family; 3) order; 4) class; 5) not identified. Every video made for the sampled species was considered a record. They were subdivided in: 1) total, which are all records obtained, and; 2) independent, excluding repeated records of the same species at the same sampling site in intervals ≤ 20 min (Tobler et al., 2008). Every record informed the recorded species, the number of individuals, date, and time of day. Videos depicting more than one species were considered independent records for each of them.

The sampling effort was calculated by multiplying the number of stations by the number of sampled days (1 day = 24 hours), and the catch rate by dividing the number of records by the sampling effort (Srbek-Araújo & Chiarello, 2005). The area covered by the camera traps was measured by the minimum convex polygon method (MCP) using all of the sampling stations. We performed a Shapiro-Wilk test for inspecting the normality of abundance distributions throughout the day.

We used circular statistics through the Rayleigh uniformity test (Z-test) to determine the circadian preferences of each species (Jammalamadaka & SenGupta, 2001). This test is employed to observe whether the records are uniformly distributed along a day. Our null hypothesis was a uniform circular distribution of the data, while our alternative hypothesis was a non-uniform distribution, with peaks of activity along the day. For this, the 24 hours of the day were distributed along 360°, with 1 h = 15° or 1 min = 0.25°. The mean vector (μ), generated in degrees, was then converted into hours and corresponded to the mean hour of greater activity. We performed a nonparametric Mardia’s two-sample test (Watson’s $U^2$) to check for overlap between the mean active hours of the species (Mardia, 1967; Batschelet, 1981; Zar, 2010). The null hypothesis acknowledges that all samples come from a single population, whereas the alternative hypothesis considers that populations differ in their mean active hours and present time partitioning. All these analyses were performed on R 3.5.1 (R Development Core Team, 2011) with the packages vegan 2.5-5 (Oksanen et al., 2020), circular 0.4-93 (Agostinelli & Lund, 2017) and plotly 4.9.2.1 (Sievert, 2020). We adopted significance at $p < 0.05$.

RESULTS

We had a sampling effort of 9,617 camera trap-days along 231 days (5,544 hours). The cameras covered an area of 23,391 ha in a 60.3 km perimeter, according to the MCP. We found a total of 26,559 records of vertebrates, 4,833 (18.2%) of which were independent records of the three studied cracids, *C. fasciolata*, *O. canicollis* and *A. grayi*, with 7,713 individuals detected. *Crax fasciolata* was the most registered ($N_{rec} = 3,792$) and abundant species ($N_{ind} = 5,781$), followed by *O. canicollis* ($N_{rec} = 934; N_{ind} = 1,758$) and *A. grayi* ($N_{rec} = 107; N_{ind} = 174$). The varia-
tion in their abundances throughout the day had a non-normal distribution (Shapiro-Wilk normality test for all species, p-values < 0.05).

The distribution of the active time of the three species was non-uniform along the 24 hours, which evidences a periodization of their activities (Table 1). The mean vectors of the activity patterns of *C. fasciolata*, *O. canicollis* and *A. grayi* were, respectively, \( m_\mu = 10:36 \pm 04:26 \) (SD), \( m_\mu = 11:42 \pm 03:57 \) and \( m_\mu = 11:44 \pm 03:47 \).

*Aburria grayi* presented two peaks of activity, one in the morning, between 07:30-09:30, and another in the afternoon, between 01:30-02:30. *Crax fasciolata* was active the most between 06:30-09:30 a.m. The peak of *O. canicollis* was between 07:30-09:30 a.m., although it was more evenly distributed along the day if compared to the other species (Fig. 2).

Watson’s U² test showed a temporal overlap between *A. grayi* and *O. canicollis*, while their peak of activity differed significantly from *C. fasciolata* (Table 2).

Our results reveal that the three studied species (*C. fasciolata*, *A. grayi* and *O. canicollis*) share the activity patterns of other cracids. For instance, the red-billed curassow (*Crax blumenbachii*) has a peak of activity in the early morning that gradually decreases until midday, restarts in the afternoon and peaks again before dusk (Srbek-Araújo et al., 2012; Hernández-SaintMartín et al., 2013; Fernández-Duque et al., 2013; SchAAF et al., 2014; Pérez-Irineo & Santos-Moreno, 2018). Some other species, however, show distinct temporal patterns. The Sira Curassow (*Pauxi koepckeae*), in the Peruvian Andes, stays active between 10:00 a.m. and 06:00 p.m. (Beirne et al., 2017). The razor-billed curassow (*Pauxi tuberosa*) is active mostly in the morning, whereas the Spix’s guan (*Penelope jacquacu*), in the Xeruã river, Amazonas, peaks at midday (Sæbø, 2016). The incompatibility with the bi-modal activity pattern in such species may be a result of the environments they inhabit, i.e., mountain and tropical forests, with scarce solar radiation on the ground vegetation that allows animals to forage longer along the day (Sæbø, 2016; Beirne et al., 2017).

Contrary to *Aburria*, species in the *Crax* genus primarily inhabit the forest floor (Delacour & Amadon, 1973) in areas that either are or are not prone to seasonal floodings (Michalski et al., 2015; Costa et al., 2018). Seasonally floodable areas like the Pantanal present marking differences in the density and richness of animal species between distinct seasons, which is driven by the effects of the flooding cycles on fruit production and habitat use by the animals (Haugaasen & Peres, 2007, 2008; Alvarenga et al., 2018). Behavioral adaptations arise under environ-
mental pressure, while changes in temporal distribution may also occur according to the heterogeneity of the site (Presley et al., 2009; Reyes-Arriagada et al., 2014). In our study, C. fasciolata was more active in the morning, with a gradual decrease in activity along the day. This pattern had already been observed for an Argentinean population in another camera-trap study (Fernández-Duque et al., 2013). In the Vale Natural Reserve in the Espírito Santo state, Brazil, activities of C. blumenbachii peaked between 06:00-07:00 a.m. (Srbeš-Araújo et al., 2012), a similar pattern to what we observed for C. fasciolata in the Pantanal. Camera-trap assessments in Central America and other parts of South America revealed bimodal diurnal activity patterns for plain chachalaca O. vetula, great curassow C. rubra, and C. fasciolata, with activities beginning between 06:00-07:00 a.m., reaching a first peak still in the morning and another less evident one in the afternoon (Hernández-SaintMartin et al., 2013; Fernández-Duque et al., 2013). The peak in activity for O. canicollis also happened in the morning, between 07:30-09:30. Nevertheless, this species presented a more homogeneous pattern throughout the day compared to the two other cracids.

Camera-trap samplings have shown that temporal segregation is an important strategy for the coexistence of ecologically similar species (e.g., Di Bitetti et al., 2010; Monterroso et al., 2014; Sunarto et al., 2015). In the present study, the overlap in activity was prominent between A. grayi and O. canicollis but differed significantly for C. fasciolata. When resources are abundant, species tend to vastly overlap their use (Pianka, 1981). Estevo et al. (2017) observed a great overlap in time of activity between two sympatric land birds, brown tinamou Crypturellus obsoletus and tataupa tinamou C. tataupa. A study on the coast of the Argentinean Patagonia showed a high isotopic niche overlap among 14 seabird species during mating season that was maintained by a super-abundance of food (Forero et al., 2004). Moreover, similar patterns of co-occurrence between species sharing the same food resources at the same times of the day have also been widely observed for mammalian carnivores (Davis et al., 2018). Therefore, resource availability could be acting as a limiting agent to the co-occurrence of cracids in the Pantanal.

Cracids are important indicators of environmental quality, as they occupy large territories. They are frugivorous animals with a fundamental role in forest dynamics (Sedaghatkish, 1996; Jordano et al., 2006; Muñoz & Kattan, 2007; Bueno et al., 2013; Galetti et al., 2013, 2016). Studies on activity patterns and interspecific co-occurrence present a straightforward application in the conservation strategies for protected areas, as they incorporate a zonal approach aiming at habitat protection for the cracids and also for other codependent species (Leite et al., 2018). Continuous multiannual camera-trap studies would allow a better comprehension of how local alterations and anthropic disturbance affect the distribution and activity of species in this taxon.

This study presents the first data on the activity patterns of cracid birds in the Pantanal of Rio Negro obtained through camera-trapping, a reliable tool for the evaluation of activity rhythm in vertebrates (Ridout & Linkie, 2009; Monterroso et al., 2013; Torretta et al., 2017; Mori et al., 2020). The presented data contribute substantially to fill the information gaps concerning our target species and their activity patterns, particularly in the Pantanal of Rio Negro.

The activity patterns described here suggest a clear niche partitioning within their circadian cycles. Furthermore, these patterns are similar to results previously found for other cracids. Given the environmental heterogeneity of the Pantanal, differences in behavior along the day may as well be guided by the capacities of each species, as the high habitat and resource availability allows these animals to occupy a wide variety of niches. Therefore, investigating how organisms occupy a landscape along time may provide support for researchers to better understand the patterns in community structure and interspecific coexistence.

From a conservationist viewpoint, cracids are particularly relevant as they have highly specific ecological requirements and significantly different daily activity patterns allowing species to coexist. Because they are seed predators and dispersers and perform essential services for the dynamics of vegetal communities, understanding their life history is of great conservation interest.

AUTHORS’ CONTRIBUTIONS: LS, ATAJ, MCRS, FKU: Conceptualization; MCRS, LS, ATAJ, GBA, FKU: Methodology, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation; MCRS, FKU, GBA: Writing – original draft, review & editing; LS, ATAJ: Funding acquisition; FKU: Supervision. All authors actively participated in the discussion of the results, they reviewed and approved the final version of the paper.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST: Authors declare there are no conflicts of interest.

FUNDING INFORMATION: We are grateful to Jaguar Conservation Institute (JCF) for support this research project. Field research in Pantanal was also supported by Barranco Alto ranch.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS: We thank the team of the Ornithology Lab in CESC/UEMA, especially Surama Pereira, Hilda Rainane and Anderson Teixeira, for the help to survey and identify the collected material. We are grateful to Natália M. Tórrres, Ananda Barban and all collaborators of the Jaguar Conservation Institute (JCF). Ana Luiza Catalano kindly revised the English version of the manuscript. We thank Fábio Maffei and Lucas Lima for reviewing the preliminary version of this text.

REFERENCES

Agostinelli, C. & Lund, U. 2017. R package ‘circular’: Circular Statistics, version 0.4-93. Available: http://r-forge.r-project.org/projects/circular. Access: 15/07/2020.
Hernández-SaintMartin, A.D.; Rosas-Rosas, O.C.; Palacio-Núñez, J.; Tarango-Arambula, L.A.; Clemente-Sánchez, F. & Hoogesteijn, A.L. 2013. Activity patterns of jaguar, puma and their potential prey in San Luis Potosí, Mexico. Acta Zoológica Mexicana, 29(3): 520-533.

Hertel, A.G.; Zedrosser, A.; Mysterud, A.; Steen, O.G.; Steyaert, S.M. & Swenson, J.E. 2016. Temporal effects of hunting on foraging behavior of an apex predator: do bears forego foraging when risk is high? Oecologia, 182(4): 1019-1029. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-016-3729-8.

Hut, R.A.; Kronfeld-Schor, N.; van der Vinne, V. & De la Iglesia, H. 2012. In search of a temporal niche: environmental factors. Progress in Brain Research, 199: 281-304. https://doi.org/10.1016/BS-PRE2016.03.010.

Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da Biodiversidade (ICMBio). 2018. Livro Vermelho da Fauna Brasileira Ameaçada de Extinção: Volume III – Aves. Brasília, ICMBio/MMA.

Mardia, K. 1967. A non-parametric test for the bivariate two-sample location problem. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B, Methodological, 29(2): 320-342.

Maffei, L.; Cuéllar, E. & Noss, J. 2002. Uso de trampas cámara para la evaluación de mamíferos en el ecotono Chaco-Chiquitanía. Revista Boliviana de Especies y dispersión de sementes à biologia da conservação. São Carlos, Editora Rima. p. 411-436.

Kelly, M.J. & Holoh, E.L. 2008. Camera trapping of carnivores: trap success among species. African Journal of Ecology, 46(4): 427-432. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2028.2008.01179.x.

Negret, P.J.; Garzón, O.; Stevenson, P.R. & Laverde, O. 2015. New ecological information for the Black Tinamou (Tinamus osgoodi hershkovitzi). The Auk, 132(3): 533-539. https://doi.org/10.1642/AUK-14-116.1.

Oksanen, J.; Blanchet, F.G.; Friendly, M.; Kindt, R.; Legendre, P. & McGill, D.; Minchin, P.R.; O’Hara, R.B.; Simpson, G.L.; Solymos, P.; Stevens, M.H.; Szoecs, E. & Wagner, H. 2020. Vegan: Community Ecology Package. R Package Version 2.5-6.

Laposky, A.D.; Bass, J.; Kohsaka, A. & Turek, F.W. 2008. Sleep and circadian rhythms: key components in the regulation of energy metabolism. FEBS Letters, 582(1): 142-151. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2007.06.079.

Lazzari, C.R. & Insauti, T.C. 2008. Circadian rhythms in insects. In: Fanjul, M.L.; Moles & Robledo, R.A. Comparative aspects of circadian rhythms. Transworld Research Network, 37(661) (2), Trivandrum-695 023, Kerala, India.

Leite, G.A.; Farias, I.P.; Gócalves, A.L.S.; Hawes, J.E. & Peres, C.A. 2018. Coarse- and fine-scale patterns of distribution and habitat selection places an Amazonian floodplain curassow in double jeopardy. PeerJ, 6: e4617. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.4617.

Michalski, L.J.; Norris, D.; Oliveira, T.G. & Michalski, F. 2015. Ecological relationships of meso-scale distribution in 25 neotropical vertebrate species. Plos One, 10(5): e0126114. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0126114.

Montesroo, P.; Alves, P.C. & Ferreras, P. 2013. Catch me if you can: diel activity patterns of Mammalian prey and predators. Ethology, 119(12): 1044-1056. https://doi.org/10.1111/eth.12156.

Negreiros, R.A.; Zarcó-González, M.M.; Rodríguez-Soto, C.; Soria-Díaz, L. & Urios V. 2011. Fototrampeo de mamíferos en el Sierra Nanchititla, México: abundancia relativa y patrón de actividad. Revista de Biología Tropical, 59(1): 373-383. https://doi.org/10.15517/rbt.v59i1.3206.

Reyes-Arriagada, R.; Jiménez, J.E. & Rozzi, R. 2014. Daily patterns of activity of passerine birds in a Magellanic sub-Antarctic forest at Omora Park (55°S), Cape Horn Biosphere Reserve, Chile. Polar Biology, 38(3): 401-411. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-014-1596-5.
Sid, H. 2015. Spatial and temporal distributions and interactions in a neotropical ground-dwelling animal community. Masters Dissertation. Norwegian University of Life Sciences, Akershus, Noruega.

Sassi, P.L.; Taraborelli, P.; Albanese, S. & Gutierrez, A. 2015. Effect of temperature on activity patterns in a small andean rodent: behavioral plasticity and intraspecific variation. Ethology, 121(9): 840-849. https://doi.org/10.1111/eth.12398.

Schubart, O.; Aguirre, A.C. & Sick, H. 1965. Contribuição ao conhecimento da alimentação das aves brasileiras. Arquivos de Zoologia, 12: 95-249.

Sedaghatkish, G. 1996. The importance of seed dispersers in the conservation of Useful wild plant species: a case study of the avian family Cracidae. Masters Dissertation. University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA.

Sick, H. 1997. Ornitologia Brasileira. Rio de Janeiro, Nova Fronteira. 862p.

Sievert, C. 2020. Interactive web-based data visualization with R, plotly, and shiny. Florida, Chapman & Hall/CRC.

Silva, J.D. & Abdon, M.D. 1998. Delimitação do Pantanal brasileiro e sub-regiões. Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira, 33 (N. Esp.): 1703-1711.

Silva, J.V.; Pott, A.; Abdon, M.M.; Pott, V.J. & Santos, K.R. 2011. Projeto GeoMS: cobertura vegetal e uso da terra do estado do Mato Grosso do Sul. Campinas, Embrapa Informática Agropecuária.

Soriano, B.M.A. & Alves, M.J.M. 2005. Boletim agrometeorológico ano 2002 para a sub-região da Nhecolândia, Pantanal, Mato Grosso do Sul, Brasil. Documentos Embrapa Pantanal, 76: 1-29.

Srbek-Araújo, A.C. & Chiarello, A.G. 2009. A review on distribution and natural history of White-necked Hawk (Leucopternis lacernulatus), including a record of prey on tegu lizard (Tupinambis meriane) at Reserva Natural Vale, southeastern Brazil. Revista Brasileira de Ornitologia, 17(1): 53-58.

Srbek-Araújo, A.C.; Silveira, L.F. & Chiarello, A.G. 2012. The Red-billed Curassow (Crax rubra) : social organization, and daily activity patterns. The Wilson Journal of Ornithology, 124(2): 321-327. https://doi.org/10.1676/11-054.1.

Stelzer, R.J.; Stanevsky, R. & Chittka, L. 2010. Circadian foraging rhythms of Bumblebees monitored by radio-frequency identification. Journal of Biological Rhythms, 25(4): 257-267. https://doi.org/10.1177/0747030410371750.

Sunarto, S.; Kelly, M.J.; Parakkasi, K. & Hutajulu, M.B. 2015. Cat coexistence in central Sumatra: ecological characteristics, spatial and temporal overlap, and implications for management. Journal of Zoology, 296(2): 104-115. https://doi.org/10.1111/jzo.12218.

Tobler, M.W.; Carrillo-Percastegui, S.E.; Pitman, R.L.; Mares, R. & Powell, G. 2008. An evaluation of camera traps for inventorying large- and medium-sized terrestrial forest mammals. Animal Conservation, 11(3): 169-178. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-1795.2008.00169.x.

Tortella, E.; Mosini, A.; Piana, M.; Tirozzi, P.; Serafini, M.; Puopolo, F.; Saino, N. & Balestrieri, A. 2017. Time partitioning in mesocarnivore communities from different habitats of NW Italy: insights into martens’ competitive abilities. Behaviour, 154(2): 241-266. https://doi.org/10.1163/1568539X-00003420.

West, A.C. & Bechtoldt, D.A. 2015. The cost of circadian desynchrony: evidence, insights and open questions. BioEssays, 37(7): 777-788. https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.201400173.

Willems, E.P. & Hill, R.A. 2009. Predator-specific landscapes of fear and resource distribution: effects on spatial range use. Ecology, 90(2): 546-555. https://doi.org/10.1890/08-0765.1.

Winkler, D.W.; Billerman, S.M. & Lovette, I.J. 2020. Guans, Chachalacas, and Curassows (Cracidae), version 1.0. In: Billerman, S.M.; Keeney, B.K.; Rodewald, P.G. & Schulenberg, T.S. Birds of the World. Ithaca, Cornell Lab of Ornithology. Available: https://doi.org/10.2173/bow.cracid2.01. Access: 26/09/2020.

Zar, J.H. 2010. Biostatistical analysis, 5. ed. New Jersey, Prentice Hall/Pearson.