A cross-sectional study of small mammals for tick-borne pathogen infection in northern Mongolia
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ABSTRACT

**Background:** Tick-borne pathogens (TBPs) are frequently studied in developed nations but are often neglected in emerging countries. In Mongolia, TBP research is especially sparse, with few research reports focusing upon human and domestic animal disease and tick ecology. However, little information exists on TBPs in small mammals.

**Methods:** In this 2016 cross-sectional pilot study, we sought to uniquely study wildlife for TBPs. We live-trapped small mammals, and tested their whole blood, serum and ear biopsy samples for molecular or serological evidence of *Borrelia* spp., *Rickettsia* spp., and Anaplasma spp./Ehrlichia spp.

**Results:** Of 64 small mammals collected, 56.0%, 39.0% and 0.0% of animals were positive by molecular assays for *Borrelia* spp., *Rickettsia* spp., and Anaplasma spp./Ehrlichia spp., respectively. 41.9% were seropositive for *A. phagocytophilum* and 24.2% of animals were seropositive for *Rickettsia rickettsii*.

**Conclusion:** This pilot data demonstrates evidence of a number of TBPs among small mammal populations in northern Mongolia and suggests the need to further investigate what role these mammals play in human and domestic animal disease.

**Background**

During the last three decades, awareness of tick-borne pathogens (TBP) have increased globally. Human infection with TBPs occur when people have contact with areas cohabitated by tick vectors and disease reservoirs. Studying the ecology of TBPs and their animal reservoirs is key to our understanding of disease epidemiology. While much is known about TBPs in developed settings [1–3], major gaps of knowledge remain regarding ticks and sylvatic transmission cycles in developing countries. This holds true in Mongolia, where a number of studies documenting tick diseases of clinical relevance have confirmed the presence of TBPs [4–12], but research regarding wild animal reservoirs has been largely neglected. Further understanding of wild animal reservoirs and disease ecology of TBPs in Mongolia is particularly important, given that approximately 33% of the country’s total human population relies on herding, an activity by which individuals spend long periods of time outdoors and have increased contact with animals leading to a greater exposure to ticks.

Small mammals are known to serve as reservoirs for a variety of TBPs worldwide, including *Anaplasma phagocytophilum*, *Borrelia* spp., and *Rickettsia* spp., and are important to the ecology of ticks and the pathogens they harbor. Some research conducted in China has identified TBPs in small mammal species along the Mongolian border in the Xinjiang and Inner Mongolia Autonomous Regions [3,13–17]. However, few studies have assessed the prevalence of TBPs in animals, specifically small mammal species, within Mongolia, and the risk factors associated with TBP detection in animal reservoirs remains largely undescribed. In this pilot study, we sought to identify the role of small mammal species in the ecology of TBPs in Mongolia, specifically *Borrelia* spp., *Rickettsia* spp., and Anaplasma spp./Ehrlichia spp. using serological and molecular analysis.

**Methods**

**Study sites**

Between 20 June and 23 July 2016, small mammals were captured from twelve sites in three aimags (provinces) in Northern Mongolia (Figure 1). Latitude and longitude of each sampling site was collected and remote sensing data were used as previously...
described [18] to provide basic landscape differences among sampling areas, including normalized density vegetation index (NDVI), land surface temperature (LST), and elevation.

Small mammal sampling

Tomahawk traps or Sherman traps were baited with a mix of oat, grain, potato and peanut butter for 1–2 nights, for a total of 17 trap-nights. Traps were placed near small mammal burrows that had clear indications of recent small mammal activity including fresh scratched dirt and droppings in front of burrows. Traps were set between 7:00 PM and 10:00 PM and checked every 4–6 hours for the presence of small mammals.

Small mammals captured were anesthetized with ketamine (50 mg/Kg) and assessed for species and gender by a veterinarian. Samples collected from small mammals included: (1) one 2 × 2 mm ear biopsy placed in 70% EtOH, (2) one serum sample placed onto blood sampling paper (Toyo Roshi Kaisha, Ltd., Tokyo, Japan), and (3) approximately 100 uL of whole blood preserved in 20 uL 10 mM EDTA/100 uL. Whole blood samples were kept refrigerated at 4°C and ear biopsy and blood sampling paper was kept at room temperature until further analysis at the Institute of Veterinary Medicine, Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia.

PCR assays

Nucleic acid was extracted from whole blood and ear biopsy samples using the TIANamp Genomic DNA Kit (Tiangen Biotech (Beijing) Co., LTD, Beijing, China), and screened for Borrelia spp. by PCR targeting the rrs-rr1A IGS gene, as previously described (Table 1) [19]. In addition, nucleic acid extracts were screened for (1) Rickettsia spp. targeting the gltA gene (citrate synthase gene) [20] and (2) Anaplasma spp./Ehrlichia spp. targeting the 16S rRNA gene (Table 1) [21,22]. Samples were compared against known positive tick pool samples from another study that was previously sequenced and identified as Rickettsia raoultii [23], Anaplasma phagocytophilum, Ehrlichia muris, or Borrelia garinii.

Amplified PCR products were loaded on a 2% gel for electrophoresis and stained with ethidium bromide before visualizing through an ultra violet trans-illuminator (ENDURO™ GDS, Labnet International, Edison, NJ, USA). Small mammals were determined to be actively infected with Borrelia spp., Rickettsia spp., or Anaplasma spp./ Ehrlichia spp. if whole blood samples were positive by PCR. Additionally, if ear biopsy samples were positive by PCR, animals were determined positive for Borrelia spp. [24–26].

Indirect fluorescent assay

Serum samples were assessed for antibodies against Rickettsia rickettsii and Anaplasma phagocytophilum using indirect fluorescent assays (IFA). Blood sampling paper was cut into small pieces and soaked in 0.4 mL of PBS for 60 minutes at room temperature. Samples were compared against positive Rickettsia and Anaplasma serum controls (Protatek International, Inc., Minnesota, USA). Serum was diluted at 1:50 for unknown samples or 1:200 for control serum, applied to antigen slides (Protatek International, Inc., Minnesota, USA) and incubated

Table 1. List of primers used to conduct molecular analyses for Borrelia spp., Rickettsia spp., and Anaplasma spp./Ehrlichia spp.

| Target Gene              | Primers | Sequence (5’–3’) | Fragment size (bp) | References |
|-------------------------|---------|-----------------|--------------------|------------|
| rrs-rr1A IGS for Borrelia spp. | BF1 | GTATGTTTAGTGAGGGGGGTG | Various | [19] |
|                         | BR1 | GGATCATAGCCTAGGCTGTTAG | | |
|                         | BF2 | AGGGGGGTTGAGCTCTGAAAG | | |
|                         | BR2 | GTCTGATAAACCTGGGTCGCCA | | |
| gltA for Rickettsia spp. | CS2d | ATGACCAATGAAAATAATAAT | 381 bp | [20] |
|                         | CS5Endr | CTATACCTCTACTGACA | | |
|                         | RpCS5877p | GGGGAACCTGTCACGCCGCG | | |
|                         | RpCS1258n | ATGGCAAAATGATACGGAAACA | | |
| 16S rRNA for Anaplasma spp./Ehrlichia spp. | Ehr1 | AAGCAACCTGGCGGCGCAAGC | 524 bp | [21,22] |
|                         | Ehr2 | AGTAYGRAACCAAGTGAGCGCAG | | |
|                         | Ehr3 | TGCACTAGAATCTACCTAGTAG | | |
|                         | Ehr4 | CATGGAATTCGGCCTATCCCT | | |
with a wet paper towel at 37°C for 45 minutes. Slides were then washed twice in PBS on a shaker for three minutes and incubated with A/G FITC secondary conjugate (BioVision, California, USA) diluted 1:100 for *R. rickettsii* and 1:200 for *A. phagocytophilum* at 37°C for 45 minutes. Slides were washed for 3 minutes in PBS on a shaker and stained with three drops of Erichrome T-Black (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) for 3 minutes. Slides were dried and evaluated using a fluorescent microscope. Shiny green cytoplasmic inclusion bodies, or a ‘starry night’ array, were considered positive for antibodies in serum sample against *Rickettsia* and *Anaplasma* antigen [11].

**Results**

A total of 64 small mammals were captured in twelve locations across three northern aimags. Vegetation differed slightly among sites with lower vegetation in Darkhan-Uul sites in comparison to Selenge and Tuv aimag (Table 2). Elevation varied the most between aimags with the highest elevation in Tuv aimag and the lowest elevation in Darkhan-Uul aimag (Table 2).

Six species of animal were captured including 21 Mongolian gerbils (*Meriones unguiculatus*), 19 ground squirrels (*Spermophilus* spp.), 17 striped dwarf hamsters (*Cricetulus barabensis*), 4 Siberian chipmunks (*Tamias sibiricus*), 2 Daurian pika (*Ochotona dauurica*), and one field mouse (*Apodemus* spp.). Of the 64 small mammals captured 62 serum samples, 61 ear biopsy samples, and 49 whole blood samples were collected.

**PCR active infections**

Thirty-five (56.4%) of 62 animals tested were PCR positive for *Borrelia* spp. in either whole blood or ear biopsy tissues of which 11/49 (22.4%) whole blood samples and 29/69 (47.5%) ear biopsy samples were positive for *Borrelia* spp. and five animals were positive in both whole blood and ear biopsy samples. Fourteen (74%) of 19 ground squirrels, 10 (59%) of 17 striped dwarf hamsters, 10 (50%) of 20 Mongolian gerbils, and one (25%) of four Siberian chipmunks were PCR-positive for *Borrelia* spp. The highest prevalence of *Borrelia* spp. was found in animals captured in Tuv aimag (75% among 16 animals), followed by Darkhan-Uul aimag (64% among 11 animals), and Selenge aimag (46% among 35 individual animals) (Table 3). No samples tested positive by PCR for *Anaplasma* spp./*Ehrlichia* spp.

**Seropositivity of tick-borne pathogens in small mammals**

Evidence of previous infection of *Rickettsia* spp. was detected in serum of 15 (24.2%) of 62 animals consisting of 6 (30%) of 20 Mongolian gerbils, 1 (25%) of...
4 Siberian chipmunks, 4 (23.5%) of 17 striped dwarf hamsters, and 4 (21.0%) of 19 ground squirrels. Animals captured in Selenge aimag had the highest prevalence of previous infection with *Rickettsia* spp. (26.0%, 9/35 animals), followed by Tuva aimag (25.0%, 4/16 animals) and Darkhan-Uul aimag (18.0%, 2/11 animals) (Table 3).

Evidence of previous infection with *Anaplasma* spp. was present in serum of 26 (41.9%) of 62 serum samples collected (Table 3). Of these, 10 (58.8%) of 17 striped dwarf hamsters, 2 (50%) of 4 Siberian chipmunks, 9 (47.4%) of 19 ground squirrels, and 5 (25%) of 20 Mongolian gerbils had antibodies present for *Anaplasma* spp. Seroprevalence of *Anaplasma* spp. was highest in small mammals captured in Darkhan-Uul aimag (64.0%, 7/11 animals), followed by Tuva aimag (38.0%, 6/16 animals) and Selenge aimag (37.0%, 13/35 animals) (Table 3).

**Discussion**

This report is part of a limited body of research describing the prevalence of tick-borne pathogens among small mammal reservoirs in Mongolia. While speciation of pathogens was not possible in this pilot study, PCR results suggest that there is a high prevalence of *Borrelia* spp. (56.4%) and *Rickettsia* spp. (38.8%) in a number of small mammal species captured in this study. There was also high geographic distribution of infection of *Borrelia* spp. (46.0–75%) and *Rickettsia* spp. (30–60%). There was no molecular evidence of *Anaplasma* spp./*Ehrlichia* spp. in any host species, however serum samples collected had a high antibody response to *A. phagocytophilum* (41.9%).

**Small mammals as competent reservoirs of tick-borne pathogens**

Prior studies identified a high prevalence of *Borrelia* spp. in *I. persulcatus* ticks in Selenge aimag further providing evidence that rodents likely harbor *Borrelia* spp. in northern Mongolia [9,10]. While *Dermacentor* spp. was the only tick species identified on animals captured during this study, *I. persulcatus* ticks were present in these sampled areas suggesting that *Borrelia* spp. were also likely present in animal reservoirs captured [3]. The most common strains of *Borrelia* spp. circulating in Mongolia are *B. afzelii*, *B. bavariensis* and *B. garinii* [9,10], all of which are reservoired in rodents and are commonly found to transmit to humans. *B. garinii* in particular has been identified in hamster [13,27] and sibirian chipmunk species [26] in neighboring China. While ground squirrels and Mongolian gerbils have not been identified as hosts of *Borrelia* in Mongolia’s neighboring countries, research out of the United States has found California gray squirrels as competent reservoirs for *Borrelia* spp. [28] and Mongolian gerbils have historically been used in experimental studies as reservoirs for *Borrelia* spp. [29,30] suggesting that they too could be competent reservoirs.

Prior studies found a large variation of prevalence of infection of *Rickettsia* spp. in ticks ranging from 12.5% to 97.0% in northern Mongolia [5,14,31]. *Rickettsia raoultii* is the dominant SFGR species circulating in *D. nuttali* ticks in northern Mongolia with prevalence ranging between 66 and 97.0% [5,12] suggesting that *Rickettsia raoultii* could be circulating in small mammals captured in this study. In our study, Mongolian gerbils had a very high prevalence of *Rickettsia* spp. in comparison to other small mammal species. While we could not find supporting evidence for spotted fever group rickettsiosis (SFGR) circulating in Mongolian gerbils in surrounding regions, they have been known to reservoir SFGR in other parts of the world [32]. Other rodents have also been found to reservoir SFGR in China, suggesting that our rodent population could be reservoiring SFGR [16]. However, due to financial constraints and time we were not able to sequence samples and therefore it is likely that we are detecting other rickettsial species such as scrub typhus, *Orientia tsutsugamushi*, which has been identified in Inner Mongolia and is known to circulate in small mammals [2]. Therefore, we cannot definitively say that SFGR is circulating in the population but suggest that further research is warranted to assess this question further as SFGR is highly prevalent in ticks in this area.

While we did not identify any molecular evidence of *Anaplasma* spp./*Ehrlichia* spp. in reservoir hosts we found a high response to antibody response to *A. phagocytophilum*. Previous studies in the United Kingdom found that prevalence rates in rodents was highly seasonal and could be linked to peak nymphal or adult tick seasons [33]. Most of the ticks found on the rodents captured in this study were larvae which could be why we don’t see any active infections in captured rodents but did see antibody responses to *A. phagocytophilum*. *Anaplasma* spp. has also been shown to have variable durations of infection with some rodent species having longer persistence of infection than others [33]. This could in part be due to their immunity to infection or other attributes such as size of rodent. For example, it is thought that older animals may have a higher immunity to infection in comparison to juveniles [33,34]. Alternatively, larger rodents might be more susceptible to infection based on the mere fact that they can sustain a larger population of ticks and hence are more likely to become infected. Previous reports regarding the prevalence of *Anaplasma* spp. in Mongolia have also found similarly low prevalence in ticks suggesting that *Anaplasma* spp. may not be
highly prevalent in small mammals in this area or it is highly seasonal [4,9,35]. In regards to reservoir competence, striped dwarf hamsters, Siberian chipmunks and ground squirrels had a high seroprevalence of *A. phagocytophilum*, similar studies in China found that gerbils and Siberian chipmunks were adequate hosts for *A. phagocytophilum* [13,16]. In the United States, *Spermophilus* spp. are similarly competent reservoir hosts for *A. phagocytophilum* [36] suggesting that they too could sustain transmission of *Anaplasma* spp. in our study area.

**Implications of tick-borne pathogens on humans and domestic animals**

Few studies have assessed TBP prevalence among the Mongolian population and its domestic livestock. The few studies which have assessed this found *Borrelia* spp. in the general population with incidences as high as 7.8 cases per 100,000 persons per year in Selenge aimag [10] and seroprevalences of *A. phagocytophilum* ranging between 2.3 and 37.3% throughout the country [6,23]. Relatively less is known regarding the prevalence of SFGR in humans, however case studies have identified infections of *Rickettsia siberica* in international travelers visiting Mongolia and a recent study found high seroprevalences of SFGR among humans especially in the northern provinces of Mongolia [7,8,23].

As a large proportion of the population rely on herding, the impact of TBPs on domestic livestock is also of interest given that domestic animals can contract TBPs [1,13,24,37] and can serve as a vector for the transfer of infected ticks to humans. Recent studies of domestic animals in Mongolia identified antibody responses to *B. burgdorferi*, SFGR, and *Anaplasma* spp. in various domestic livestock in northern Mongolia [11,23]. These findings are similar to the seroprevalences of *R. rickettsii* (24.2%) and *A. phagocytophilum* (41.9%) we found in our small mammal population suggesting that these pathogens are likely shared between wildlife reservoirs and domestic animals in this region.

Apart from the seroprevalence of *A. phagocytophilum*, TBP prevalence was highest in small mammals captured in Tuv aimag. Tuv aimag surrounds the capitol of Mongolia, Ulaanbaatar, and therefore might have a higher distribution of domestic animals moving in and out of this region. As domestic animals play an important role in the tick life cycle this location may be prime tick habitat potentially leading to a higher prevalence of TBPs. Further studies need to address areas such as this where there is a large influx of humans and animals moving through this landscape.

**Conclusion**

This pilot study identified the need to further explore *Borrelia* spp. and *Rickettsia* spp. circulating in small mammal reservoirs in northern Mongolia. Additional studies are needed to further assess specific species of TBPs present in small mammal reservoirs in Mongolia. Specifically, studies with larger sample sizes are needed, particularly in areas where small mammal reservoirs, domestic animals, humans and ticks overlap. Such studies are necessary to further understand the complexity of tick-borne pathogens in Mongolia.
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