Long noncoding RNA LINC00968 inhibits proliferation, migration and invasion of lung adenocarcinoma through targeting miR-22-5p/CDC14A axis
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Abstract
Lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) is a high aggressive human cancer which usually diagnosed at advanced stages. Accumulating evidences indicate that long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) are crucial participants in LUAD progression. In the present study, we found that lncRNA LINC00968 was significantly down-regulated in LUAD tissues and cell lines. LINC00968 level was positively correlated to survival rate, and negatively correlated to tumor node metastasis (TNM) stage, tumor size and lymph node metastasis of LUAD patients. We over-expressed LINC00968 in LUAD cells using lentivirus, inhibited proliferation and cell cycle arrest at G1 phase were detected. LINC00968 over-expression also suppressed migration, invasion and epithelial mesenchymal transition. We further validated that LINC00968 localized in cytoplasm and acted as an upstream regulator of microRNA miR-22-5p, which was up-regulated in LUAD tissues and cell lines. Besides, elevated miR-22-5p expression abolished the effect of LINC00968 over-expression on LUAD progression including in vivo tumor growth. In addition, we first validated that cell division cycle 14A (CDC14A), which was down-regulated in LUAD tissues, was a downstream target of miR-22-5p. We over-expressed CDC14A in LUAD cells and miR-22-5p induced LUAD progression was partially reversed. In conclusion, our study demonstrated that LINC00968 inhibited proliferation, migration and invasion of LUAD by sponging miR-22-5p and further restoring CDC14A. This novel regulatory axis might provide us with promising diagnostic and therapeutic target in LUAD treatment.
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Introduction
Lung cancer remains one of the leading causes of cancer death in China and worldwide. Lung cancer remains the leading cause of cancer death worldwide, which is mainly attributed to tobacco intake and absence of efficient early-stage diagnosis and treatment strategies (Sung et al. 2021; Fitzmaurice et al. 2019; Feng et al. 2019). Non-small-cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) accounts for over 85% of all lung cancer cases, among which lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) is the most common subtype (Zappa and Mousa 2016). LUAD is an aggressive human cancer which is resistant to conventional radiotherapy and chemotherapy. LUAD patients are often diagnosed at advanced stages, accompanying with disseminated metastasis and leaving them with limited treatment options (Denisenko et al. 2018). Smoking and exposure to radon, non-industrial air pollution, asbestos and some chemicals are major risk factors for LUAD (Molina et al. 2008). In spite of tremendous efforts we made...
in understanding the pathogenesis of LUAD in the past decades, the precise underlying mechanisms of LUAD progression continues to be enigmatic.

Long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) refer to non-protein coding RNA transcripts containing more than 200 nucleotides (Birney et al. 2007). Although increasing evidences revealed that lncRNAs are involved in numerous human diseases, cancer included, full understanding of their exact molecular mechanisms is still far beyond completion (Tsai et al. 2011). Long intergenic non-protein coding RNA 968 (LINC00968) localized in Chromosome 8, NC_000008.11 (56518318.0.56559823, complement). LINC00968 is reported to be dysregulated in several human cancers including breast cancer, osteosarcoma, and epithelial ovarian cancer (Liu et al. 2018; Sun et al. 2019; Yao et al. 2019). Integrative microarray analysis showed that LINC00968 is significantly down-regulated in NSCLC tissues compared with normal lung tissues (Qiao 2018; Yu et al. 2015). LINC00968 is involved in LUAD progression through targeting microRNAs (Tang 2020; Zhu et al. 2020).

MicroRNAs are another group of noncoding RNAs, approximately 22 nucleotides in length, which participate in a variety of physiological processes by inhibiting the expression of target genes (Wilson and Doudna 2013). miR-22-5p was identified as a potential biomarker for acute myocardial infarction and gastric cardia adenocarcinoma (Li et al. 2019; Tao et al. 2017). CDC14A was involved in the regulation of cell cycle and DNA repair, suggesting its crucial role in cancer progression (Paulsen et al. 2006). Yet the role of miR-22-5p/CDC14A axis remains elusive.

In the present study, we analyzed the correlation between LINC00968 level and clinicopathological characteristics of LUAD patients. Then, we validated the interaction between LINC00968 and miR-22-5p, miR-22-5p and CDC14A. We further investigated the effect of novel LINC00968-miR-22-5p-CDC14A axis on proliferation, migration and invasion of LUAD cells.

Materials and methods

Clinical samples and ethics statement

All LUAD tissues and adjacent non-tumor lung tissues (n = 60) were collected with the consent of patients. All experiment regarding clinical samples were performed in accordance with the ethical guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Ethics Committee of International Medical Center Hospital.

Cell culture and transfection

Normal lung epithelial cell line BEAS2B, LUAD cell lines A549 and H1975 were purchased from Procell (China). LUAD cell lines HCC2935 and PC-9 were obtained from the American type culture collection (ATCC) cell bank. BEAS2B, A549 and H1975 cells were cultured with Dulbecco’s modified eagle medium (DMEM) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). HCC2935 and PC-9 cells were maintained in Roswell Park Memorial Institute‑1640 (RPMI‑1640) medium with 10% FBS. LINC00968 was inserted into lentiviral vector pRLenti-CMV-MCS-3FLAG (OBIO, China) for over-expression. LINC00968 lentivirus and negative control (NC) lentivirus, termed as LV-LINC00968 and LV-NC, were packed and amplified in HEK293T cells. miR-22-5p mimics, non-specific (NC) mimics, miR-22-5p agomir, NC agomir and NC agomir were synthesized in GenePharma (China). CDC14A was inserted into pcDNA3.1 between Xho I and BamH I for over-expression, termed as pcDNA3.1-CDC14A. Cells were transfected with mimics, agomirs and pcDNA3.1 vectors using lipofectamine2000 according to the manufactures’ instruction.

Quantitative real-time PCR

LUAD and adjacent non-tumor tissues were smashed using a grinder. Patient samples and cell lines were lysed with Trizol reagent (ThermoFisher, USA) to extract total RNAs, which was further reversely transcribed into cDNA using SuperScript IV reverse transcriptase (ThermoFisher, USA). Then, cDNA products were quantified by real-time PCR using SYBR Green (Solarbio, China). Housekeeping gene GAPDH was used as an internal control for LINC00968 and CDC14A, RNU6B was used as an internal control for miR-22-5p. Results from quantitative real time was analyzed using g the 2^−∆∆CT method. All primers used were listed as below:
LINC00968-forward: 5’-CCACTCCTTAAGTCGTTG
TGC-3’; LINC00968-reverse: 5’-GGTCCCTCATCCCTA
TCCC-3’; miR-22-5p-forward: 5’-AGTTCTTCAGTGCA
AGCTTTA-3’; miR-22-5p-reverse: 5’-TGGTGTCGGGA
GTGC-3’; CDC14A-forward: 5’-GGGAAACTATGCCG
GTTGT-3’; CDC14A-reverse: 5’-CAGCGGTCTCIAATC
TGCA-3’; GAPDH-forward: 5’-ACAACCTTGTA
tGTGGAGG-3’; GAPDH-reverse: 5’-GCCATCACG
CACAGTTC-3’; RNU6B-forward: 5’-CTCGGTGG
GTC-3’; RNU6B-reverse: 5’-TGTTTGTCGGGA
GTGC-3’;

**Cell counting kit-8 (CCK-8) assay**

A549 and H1975 cells showed relative lower LINC00968 level among four LUAD cell lines, thus they were chosen for subsequent investigations. Cell proliferation was determined using cell counting kit-8 (MedChemExpress, USA) following the manufactures’ instruction. A549 and H1975 cells were seeded into a 96-well plate (2 × 10⁴ cells/well) and cultured for 24 h. Cells were treated with LV-LINC00968/LV-NC, miR-22-5p agomir/NC-agomir or pcDNA3.1-CDC14A/vector, followed by incubation for 24 h, 48 h, 72 h and 96 h. Then, cells were incubated with 10 µl CCK-8 solution for 3 h and absorbance at 450 nm was measured using a microplate reader.

**Cell cycle detection**

A549 and H1975 cells were harvested and re-suspended with PBS buffer after treatment. Cells were fixed with 1 ml pre-cooled 70% ethanol for 2 h and rinsed with PBS buffer. Then, cells were incubated with 500 µl propidium iodide (50 μg/ml) for 37 °C for 1 h, followed by flow cytometry detection within 24 h.

**Wound healing and transwell assay**

Wound healing assay was used to assess cell migration. A549 and H1975 cells were seeded into a 6-well plate and cultured to confluence, scratch on the cell monolayer was performed using a 200 µl pipette tip, followed by observation under a microscope 24 h after scratch. Transwell assay was performed to evaluate cell invasive capacity. A549 and H1975 cells were seeded into the Matrigel pre-coated upper chamber and cultured with serum-free culture medium for 48 h, and lower chamber with culture medium containing 20% FBS was used as an attractant. Cells invaded to the lower chamber were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and stained with 1% crystal violet for 5 min, followed by cell counting under a microscope.

**Western blotting**

LUAD cells and tissues were harvested and lysed with RIPA lysis buffer (Beyotime, China), followed by quantification with commercial QuantiPro BCA assay kit (Sigma, USA). Then, equivalent amount of total proteins were fractionated on SDS–polyacrylamide gel and then transferred onto a polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membrane (ThermoFisher, USA). The PVDF membrane was blocked with 5% skim milk and incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4 °C. After rinsing with PBS buffer for three times, the PVDF membrane were incubated with secondary antibodies for 60 min at room temperature. Then, PVDF membrane was illuminated with ECL luminescence reagent (Sangon, China). Primary and secondary protein levels were analyzed using IPP6.0. Primary antibodies used in this study were E-cadherin antibody (1:1000, SinoBiological, China), N-cadherin antibody (1:1000, SinoBiological, China), SNAIL antibody (1:1000, Affinity, USA), TWIST antibody (1:1000, Affinity, USA) and CDC14A antibody (1:1000, Affinity, USA). HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit antibody (1:5000, Affinity, USA) was used as secondary antibody.

**Luciferase reporter assay**

The interactions between LINC00968 and miR-22-5p and between miR-22-5p and CDC14A were validated using luciferase reporter assay. In brief, mutant type of LINC00968 and CDC14A, termed as LINC00968-MUT and CDC14A-MUT, absent miR-22-5p binding site were obtained using overlapping PCR. Wild type and mutant type of LINC00968 and CDC14A were amplified and cloned into pmiRGLO vector. The recombinant pmiRGLO plasmids were co-transfected with miR-22-5p/NC mimics into A549 and H1975 cells. The binding activity was assessed by measuring absorbance at 560 nm using commercial Luciferase Reporter Assay Kit (ThermoFisher, USA).

**Fluorescent in situ hybridation (FISH)**

FISH assay was performed to detect the subcellular localization of LINC00968 in LUAD cells. In brief, A549 and H1975 cells were baked at 37 °C for 2 hours and dehydrated in 50%, 80% and 98% gradient ethanol for 3 min. Then, A549 and H1975 cells were hybridized with cy3-labelled LINC00968 (RiboBio, China) probe or NC probe (RiboBio, China) for 16 h at 42 °C. After staining with DAPI, typical images were captured under a microscope.
RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP)

The enrichment of LINC00968 and miR-22-5p on Argonaute 2 (Ago2) protein was evaluated using RIP assay kit (MBL life science, Japan). A549 and H1975 cells were lysed using 200 µl RIP lysis buffer, cell lysate was centrifuged and 15 µl of supernatant was used as input. The rest of supernatant protein was incubated with anti-Ago2-coated agarose beads (MBL life science, Japan) overnight at 4 °C, rabbit IgG was used as a control. The expression of Ago2 was detected by subsequent western blotting, and expression of LINC00968 and miR-22-5p was measured by quantitative real-time PCR.

RNA pull down

A549 and H1975 cells were lysed using lysis buffer containing RNase inhibitor and proteinase inhibitor. Cell lysate was centrifuged and resuspended with hybridation buffer. Then, cell lysate was incubated with biotinylated miR-22-5p or NC probes for 5 h and incubated with magnetic streptavidin beads overnight at 4 °C. The enriched RNA was purified and analyzed using quantitative real-time PCR.

In vivo tumor growth

Healthy 6–8 week-old C57BL/6J mice were purchased from the experimental animal center of BIOCYTOGEN (China). A549 cells were cultured to exponential phase, infected with LV-LINC00968/LV-NC and miR-22-5p ago-mir. Then, A549 cells (2 × 10⁶ cells/mice) were subcutaneously injected to mice to establish tumor xenograft model (n = 6). Tumor volumes were measured every week for five weeks, and mice were euthanized at fifth week. Tumor weight was recorded and tumor tissues were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for subsequent detection. All animal experiments were performed following the guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals and approved by the Ethics Committee of International Medical Center Hospital.

Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemistry assay was performed to detect the expression of Ki67 in mouse tumor tissues. In brief, 5 µm of tissue sections were deparaffinized and boiled with 10 mM, pH6.0 citric acid buffer for 15 min for antigen retrieval. Then, tissue sections were blocked with 5% goat serum for one hour and incubated with Ki67 antibody (1:200, SinoBiological, China) overnight at 4 °C. After washing with TBS buffer (0.1% Tween 20 in PBS buffer), tissue sections were incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary antibody and Ki67 signal was developed using 3, 3’-diaminobenzidine [(Sangon, China)].

Statistical analysis

All results in our study were presented as means ± SD and all data analysis was conducted using Graphpad Prism 8.0. Mean values between two groups were analyzed by Student’s t test. Survival rate was analyzed using Log-rank (Mantel–Cox) test. Data from Cell counting kit-8 assay, tumor growth and luciferase reporter assay were analyzed by Two-way ANOVA. The correlation between LINC00968 level and clinicopathological characteristics of LUAD patients were analyzed using Pearson r² test. The correlation between miR-22-5p and CDC14A expression was analyzed using Pearson r test. The rest of results were analyzed using One-way ANOVA. All experiments were repeated for more than three times and P values less than 0.05 were considered as statistically significant.

Results

LINC00968 was significantly down-regulated in LUAD tissues and cell lines

TCGA database showed that LINC00968 was significantly down-regulated in LUAD and lung squamous cell carcinoma (LUSC) tissues (Fig. 1A). We first examined the LINC00968 level in 60 LUAD patients. LUAD tissues showed significantly lower LINC00968 level compared with adjacent non-tumor tissues (Fig. 1B). Further follow-up visit showed that LUAD patients with relative high LINC00968 level have significantly higher survival rate in contrast with that with relative low LINC00968 level (Fig. 1C). Then, we analyzed the correlation between LINC00968 level and clinicopathological characteristics of LUAD patients. Tumor node metastasis (TNM) stage, tumor size and Lymph node metastasis were negatively correlated to LINC00968 level (Table 1). Besides, we detected the LINC00968 level in normal lung epithelial cell line BEAS2B and four LUAD cell lines, LUAD cells showed significantly lower LINC00968 level compared with BEAS2B cells, especially in A549 and H1975 cells (Fig. 1D). These results implied that LINC00968 may serve as a crucial regulator of LUAD progression.

LINC00968 over-expression inhibited proliferation, migration and invasion of LUAD cells

We over-expressed LINC00968 in A549 and H1975 cells using lentivirus vector, and LINC00968 level was elevated...
for more than twice in LV-LINC00968 group (Fig. 2A). We detected cell proliferation using CCK-8 assay, LV-LINC00968 significantly inhibited proliferation in both A549 and H1975 cells 48 h after infection compared with LV-NC (Fig. 2B). Cell cycle detection by flow cytometry showed that cells at G1 phase were increased, while cells at S phase and G2 phase were reduced in both A549 and H1975 cells after LV-LINC00968 infection (Fig. 2C). Wound healing assay revealed that LINC00968 over-expression remarkably suppressed the migratory ability of A549 and H1975 cells (Fig. 2D). Further transwell assay showed similar results, the number of LUAD cells invaded to lower chamber in LV-LINC00968 group was conspicuously fewer than that in LV-NC group (Fig. 2E). Besides, LINC00968 over-expression increased the E-cadherin protein level, while reduced the expression of N-cadherin, TWIST and SNAIL in A549 and H1975 cells (Fig. 2F). These results suggested that LINC00968 over-expression inhibited the malignance of LUAD cells.

LINC00968 was a putative regulator of miR-22-5p

The mRNA level of miR-22-5p was significantly higher in LUAD patients compared with that in Normal control (Fig. 3A). Bioinformatics prediction indicated that LINC00968 might competitively bind to miR-22-5p...
Table 1  Correlation between LINC00968 level and clinicopathological characteristics of LUAD patients

| Variable               | n   | Relative LINC00968 level | \( \chi^2 \) | p value |
|------------------------|-----|-------------------------|------------|--------|
|                        |     | High | Low  |          |        |
| Age (years)            |     |      |      | 0.271  | 0.794  |
| > 65                   | 26  | 12  | 14  |          |        |
| \( \leq 65 \)          | 34  | 18  | 16  |          |        |
| Gender                 |     | 0.537| 0.642|          |        |
| Male                   | 38  | 17  | 21  |          |        |
| Female                 | 22  | 12  | 10  |          |        |
| TNM stage              |     | 5.384| 0.039|          |        |
| I–II                   | 24  | 16  | 8   |          |        |
| III–IV                 | 36  | 13  | 23  |          |        |
| Tumor size             |     | 9.160| 0.006|          |        |
| \( \leq 5 \text{ cm} \) | 25  | 17  | 8   |          |        |
| > 5 cm                 | 35  | 10  | 25  |          |        |
| Lymph node metastasis  |     | 5.831| 0.032|          |        |
| Negative               | 22  | 14  | 8   |          |        |
| Positive               | 38  | 12  | 26  |          |        |
| Smoking history        |     | 0.012| 0.871|          |        |
| Smokers                | 39  | 21  | 18  |          |        |
| Non-smokers            | 21  | 11  | 10  |          |        |
| EGFR mutation status   |     | 0.003| 0.800|          |        |
| Yes                    | 23  | 13  | 10  |          |        |
| No                     | 37  | 21  | 16  |          |        |

The bold values represents \( p < 0.05 \) was regarded as statistical significant

(Fig. 3B), which inspired us to explore the interaction between LINC00968 and miR-22-5p. Further luciferase reporter assay showed that miR-22-5p mimics significantly inhibited the luciferase activity in LINC00968-WT group compared with NC mimics, whereas no obvious effect of miR-22-5p mimics on luciferase activity in LINC00968-MUT group was detected (Fig. 3C). Moreover, LV-LINC00968 infection significantly reduced the miR-22-5p level compared to LV-NC in both A549 and H1975 cells (Fig. 3D). FISH assay was performed to determine the subcellular localization of LINC00968, and LINC00968 was mainly localized in cytoplasm (Fig. 3E). RIP assay showed that Ago2 was successfully precipitated, accompanied with enrichment of LINC00968 and miR-22-5p in Ago2 complex (Fig. 3F). Besides, biotinylated miR-22-5p probe successfully pulled down LINC00968 compared with NC probe in both A549 and H1975 cells (Fig. 3G). These results revealed that LINC00968 acted as a putative regulator of miR-22-5p.

LINC00968 inhibited proliferation, migration and invasion of LUAD cells through regulating miR-22-5p

Next we investigated whether LINC00968 regulated the malignance of LUAD cells through regulating miR-22-5p. A549 and H1975 cells infected with LV-LINC00968/LV-NC were transfected with miR-22-5p/NC agomir. LINC00968 over-expression significantly inhibited cell proliferation, while further miR-22-5p agomir transfection abolished the effect (Fig. 4A). Cells at G1 phase was increased, whereas cells at S phase was reduced after LV-LINC00968 infection, all these effect was partially reversed by miR-22-5p agomir transfection (Fig. 4B). Wound healing assay showed that LINC00968 over-expression inhibited cell migration, while further miR-22-5p agomir transfection abolished the effect (Fig. 4C). Similarly, LINC00968 over-expression reduced cell invasion number, whereas further miR-22-5p agomir transfection abolished the effect (Fig. 4D). LINC00968 over-expression elevated the E-cadherin level, while reduced the protein levels of N-cadherin, TWIST and SNAIL, all these effects were partially reversed by further miR-22-5p agomir transfection (Fig. 4E). Besides, mice in LV-LINC00968 + NC agomir group showed significant smaller tumor size than that in LV-NC + NC agomir group, yet the tumor suppressive effect was partially reversed in LV-LINC00968 + miR-22-5p agomir group (Fig. 4F). We further examined the expression of Ki67 in mouse tumor tissues, LINC00968 obviously decreased the Ki67 protein level in vivo, whereas further miR-22-5p agomir treatment partially restored Ki67 expression (Fig. 4G). Taken these together, our results showed that LINC00968 inhibited proliferation, migration and invasion of LUAD cells via targeting miR-22-5p.

miR-22-5p promoted proliferation, migration and invasion of LUAD cells through targeting CDC14A

In the last part, we investigated whether miR-22-5p regulated LUAD progression through targeting CDC14A. Quantitative real-time PCR assay showed that CDC14A was significantly down-regulated in LUAD tissues compared with adjacent non-tumor tissues (Fig. 5A). Similarly, CDC14A protein level was down-regulated in LUAD tissues (Fig. 5B). In addition, the miR-22-5p level was negatively correlated to CDC14A level in LUAD tissues (Fig. 5C). Luciferase reporter assay showed that miR-22-5p mimics notably inhibited the luciferase activity in wild-type CDC14A (CDC14A-WT) group, yet had no obvious impact on CDC14A-MUT (Fig. 5D). We transfected A549 and H1975 cells with miR-22-5p agomir, and significantly reduced mRNA level of CDC14A was detected (Fig. 5E). We further transfected
Fig. 2 LINC00968 overexpression inhibited proliferation, migration and invasion of LUAD cells. A549 and H1975 cells were infected with LV-VC and LV-LINC00968. A Quantitative real-time PCR was performed to measure LINC00968 level in A549 and H1975 cells. B Cell counting kit-8 assay was carried out to evaluate cell proliferation. C Cell cycle of A549 and H1975 cells was detected using flow cytometry. D Wound healing assay was performed to assess the cell migration ability. E Cell invasion capacity was assessed using transwell assay. F Protein levels of E-cadherin, N-cadherin, TWIST and SNAIL were detected using western blotting. LINC00968: long intergenic non-protein coding RNA 968; LUAD: lung adenocarcinoma; LV-NC: negative control lentivirus; LV-LINC00968: LINC00968 overexpression lentivirus; *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001
Fig. 3 LINC00968 was a putative regulator of miR-22-5p. 
A Relative miR-22-5p level in LUAD tissues and adjacent non-tumor tissues was measured using quantitative real-time PCR. B Binding site of miR-22-5p on LINC00968 is shown in Fig. 3B. C Interaction between LINC00968 and miR-22-5p was validated by luciferase reporter assay. D Relative miR-22-5p level in A549 and H1975 cells after LINC00968 over-expression was measured using quantitative real-time PCR. E The subcellular localization of LINC00968 was displayed using FISH assay. F Enrichment of LINC00968 and miR-22-5p on Ago2 complex was determined using RNA immunoprecipitation assay. G Enrichment of LINC00968 using biotinylated miR-22-5p probe was detected using quantitative real-time PCR. LINC00968: long intergenic non-protein coding RNA 968; LUAD: lung adenocarcinoma; FISH: fluorescent in situ hybridization; **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ns: no significant
Fig. 4 LINC00968 inhibited proliferation, migration and invasion of LUAD cells through regulating miR-22-5p. A549 and H1975 were infected with LV-LINC00968/LV-NC and transfected with miR-22-5p/NC agomir. A Cell proliferation was evaluated using cell counting kit-8 assay. B Cell cycle was detected using flow cytometry. C Wound healing assay was performed to assess cell migration. D Transwell assay was carried out to assess cell invasion. E Protein levels of E-cadherin, N-cadherin, TWIST and SNAIL was determined using western blotting. F A549 cells were subcutaneously injected to mice to form tumor xenograft model, and tumor volumes were analyzed. G Expression of Ki67 in mouse tumor tissues was determined by immunohistochemistry. LINC00968: long intergenic non-protein coding RNA 968; LUAD: lung adenocarcinoma; LV-NC: negative control lentivirus; LV-LINC00968: LINC00968 over-expression lentivirus; *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001
cells with miR-22-5p agomir and pcDNA3.1-CDC14A. miR-22-5p agomir significantly promoted the proliferation of LUAD cells, while this effect was reversed by simultaneous pcDNA3.1-CDC14A transfection (Fig. 5F). Similarly, flow cytometry detection revealed that miR-22-5p agomir significantly increased the number of cells at S phase yet reduced the G1 phase cells, whereas further CDC14A over-expression abrogated the effect (Fig. 5G). Besides, wound healing and transwell assay showed that miR-22-5p agomir significantly facilitated the migration and invasion of LUAD cells, which was partially abolished by CDC14A over-expression (Fig. 5H, I). In addition, miR-22-5p agomir inhibited the expression of E-cadherin yet elevated the expression of N-cadherin, SNAIL and TWIST, all these effects were abrogated by further CDC14A over-expression (Fig. 5J). These results suggested that miR-22-5p promoted the proliferation, migration and invasion of LUAD cells via targeting CDC14A.

Discussion

Large-scale cancer genomics projects revealed that numerous lncRNAs are aberrantly expressed in a variety of human cancers (Maurano et al. 2012). LncRNAs exert their diverse function in the tumorigenesis and tumor development via acting as oncogenes, tumor suppressors or regulatory RNAs (Arun et al. 2018). However, to date, only about 1% of all 3000 human lncRNAs has been characterized (Tsai et al. 2011). LINC00968 was first identified by Hui et al. in 2015, and the following researches demonstrated its diverse role in different human cancers. LINC00968 inhibited the progression of breast cancer yet acted as an oncogene in epithelial ovarian cancer and osteosarcoma (Liu et al. 2018; Sun et al. 2019; Yao et al. 2019; Yu et al. 2015). Here in our study, we
investigated the exact role of LINC00968 in the progression of LUAD. Tissue-specific expression analysis showed that LINC00968 was specifically expressed in lung (Fagerberg et al. 2014). We detected the expression level of LINC00968 in LUAD tissues, and the down-regulation of LINC00968 was highly in accordance with previous researches (Acha-Sagredo et al. 2020; Yu et al. 2015). Lower LINC00968 level accompanied with lower survival rate, more advanced TNM stage, larger tumor size as well as more positive lymph node metastasis. All these results implied that LINC00968 are involved in LUAD progression and may serve as a diagnostic target. Then, we cloned LINC00968 into lentivirus vector for over-expression in two LUAD cell lines which displayed lowest LINC00968 level. Uncontrolled malignant proliferation and cell cycle are critical characteristics of human cancers (Feitelson et al. 2015). LINC00968 over-expression significantly inhibited the proliferation of LUAD cells and induced cell cycle arrest at G1 phase, further tumor xenograft assay showed that LINC00968 inhibited tumor growth in vivo, indicating that LINC00968 is a putative LUAD suppressor. Distant metastasis is responsible for majority of cancer death. Migration, invasion and epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT) are most crucial procedures involving in tumor metastasis, which confer cancer cells with enhanced resistance to apoptotic stimuli (Mittal 2018). Here we found that LINC00968 over-expression inhibited the migration and invasion of LUAD cells. EMT was also suppressed evidenced by elevated E-cadherin and reduced EMT markers N-cadherin, SNAIL and TWIST (Fazilaty et al. 2019). Our findings demonstrated that LINC00968 over-expression inhibited LUAD progression and LINC00968 is a promising diagnostic and therapeutic target for LUAD treatment.

The “competing endogenous RNA” (ceRNA) hypothesis that lncRNAs could competitively bind to microRNAs and forms a new regulatory network across the transcriptome (Salmena et al. 2011). In our case, the role of miR-22-5p in LUAD progression is poorly understood. In the present study, we found that miR-22-5p was significantly up-regulated in LUAD tissues and cell lines, indicating that miR-22-5p might serve as a potential early-stage biomarker for LUAD diagnosis. Previous microRNA microarray analysis showed that overexpression of LINC00968 in A549 cells resulted in the down-regulation of miR-22-5p, which was highly in accord with our validation of their direct interaction (Li et al. 2017). We further investigated the effects of miR-22-5p on LUAD progression, LINC00968 overexpression-induced inhibited proliferation, migration and invasion of LUAD cells were significantly reversed by miR-22-5p supplement, indicating that LINC00968 may function as LUAD suppressor through sponging miR-22-5p and this novel regulatory network is a potential therapeutic target for LUAD management. However, off-target effects may occur as LINC00968 also interacts with other microRNAs according to previous research. (Wang et al. 2018b; Li et al. 2018).

Cell cycle progression is mainly promoted by cyclins and cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs), which makes them promising targets for cell cycle control and hence cancer therapy (Otto and Sicinski 2017). CDC14 phosphatase family is highly conserved among yeast and human. Active CDC14A reverses CDK phosphorylation and further regulates the mitotic entry via regulating CDC25A and CDC25B (Vazquez Novelle et al. 2005; Vazquez Novelle et al. 2010). Paulsen et al. validated that CDC14A interacts with the CDK1/cyclin B complex, suggesting that CDC14A inhibits the activity of CDK1/cyclin B complex during interphase and, therefore, induces cell cycle arrest. Besides, CDC14A over-expression may activate p53 signaling and further induce cell apoptosis or cell cycle arrest (Paulsen et al. 2006). In the present study, CDC14A was significantly down-regulated in both LUAD tissues and cell lines, which was in line with previous study (Li et al. 1997; Paulsen et al. 2006). In addition, we first validated that CDC14A was a downstream target of miR-22-5p, and LUAD progression facilitated by miR-22-5p was reversed by CDC14A over-expression, indicating that CDC14A was a putative tumor suppressor. (Li et al. 1997).
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