Bacterial Ecology of Peritonitis in a Sub-Saharan Peritoneal Dialysis Unit
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Abstract

Introduction: Peritonitis is a common and serious complication of Peritoneal Dialysis (PD). Peritonitis is a major cause of PD technique failure and conversion to long-term hemodialysis. After acquiring 10 years of experience with this complication, we conducted this study to determine the bacterial ecology profile of peritoneal infections in Dakar.

Patients and methods: A retrospective descriptive and analytical study in PD unit of the Nephrology Department at Aristide Le Dantec University Hospital was performed during the period of 1 January 2011 to 31 December 2013. We included all patients on PD who had a peritonitis infection.

Results: Seventy-two episodes of peritonitis were diagnosed in 51 patients with a peritonitis rate of 1 episode/21.03 patient-months. The sex ratio was 0.6. Nephroangiosclerosis [56.87%], chronic glomerulonephritis [25.49%], and diabetic nephropathy [3.92%] were the most common causes of end stage renal disease. Among the patients who had infectious peritonitis, 50 (98.03%) were on continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. Peritonitis was more common during the wet season (41 cases [56.94%]) than during the dry season (31 cases [43.06%]). The drained fluid was cloudy in 76.4%, purulent in 2.77% and clear in 20.83% of cases. The culture was negative in 21 cases and positive in 51 cases. The pathogens found were Gram-positive organisms in 60.78% and Gram negative in 39.22% of cases. Staphylococcus aureus was present in 25 patients (45.12%) and Pseudomonas aeruginosa in 8 patients (17.64%). Antimicrobial susceptibility testing did not detect any multidrug resistance or vancomycin-resistant strains. Therapeutically, ciprofloxacin per os was used as a first-line therapy in all patients (100%) and intraperitoneal vancomycin susceptibility testing did not detect any multidrug resistance or vancomycin-resistant strains. Therapeutically, ciprofloxacin per OS was used as a first-line therapy in all patients (100%) and intraperitoneal ceftazidime in 61 episodes (84.72%). The average duration of treatment was 17 ± 3 days. The treatment was favorable in 48 cases.

Conclusion: Peritoneal infections remain common in our patients, mainly with Gram-positive bacilli. Prevention and better care of peritoneal infections are necessary to ensure an effective PD technique.

Introduction

Peritonitis is a common and serious complication of Peritoneal Dialysis (PD). Peritonitis is the direct or major contributing cause of death in around 16% of PD patients [1, 2]. In addition, severe or prolonged peritonitis leads to structural and functional alterations of the peritoneal membrane, eventually leading to membrane failure. Peritonitis is a major cause of PD technique failure and conversion to long-term hemodialysis. The most commonly encountered bacteria are staphylococcus and Gram-negative bacilli [3]. Difficulties in the treatment of bacterial infections with PD are related to the frequency of multidrug resistance of the causal agent. Identification of the organism and subsequent antibiotic sensitivities help in guiding the choice of antibiotic, and the type of organism often indicates the possible source of infection. After 10 years of experience with this complication, this study was conducted to shed light on the bacterial ecology profile of peritoneal infections in Dakar.

Patients and Methods

A retrospective, descriptive, and analytical study was conducted from 1 January 2011 to 31 December 2013 in the peritoneal dialysis unit of Nephrology Department of Aristide Le Dantec Hospital. It is the only referral center for peritoneal dialysis in the country. All patients treated with PD who had a peritonitis infection were included. Data analysis was performed using data collection sheets from records and hospital registers.

The socioeconomic status was evaluated based on patients’ monthly income. It was defined as low when the monthly income was less than 68.7 euros, as medium when between 68.7 euros and 229 euros, high if it exceeded 229 euros.

Peritonitis was diagnosed when at least 2 of the following were present: clinical features consistent with peritonitis (abdominal pain and/or cloudy dialysis effluent), dialysis effluent white cell count >100 cells/μL with >50% neutrophils, and positive dialysis effluent culture.

For bacteriological tests, we performed a Bedside inoculation of 5 - 10 mL effluent in 2 (aerobic and anaerobic) blood-culture bottles. Gram stain was performed in all patients included, the culture was treated using a BACTEC 9120 and the associated software (Becton Dickinson Microbiology Systems, Sparks, Md).

After bacterial identification, different antibiotics were tested with reference to recommendations of the French Society of Microbiology [4] in order to determine antibiotic susceptibility.

The patient was considered cured when the cytology of peritoneal fluid returned to standard levels (white blood cell count <100 cells/ml) along with resolution of clinical signs of peritonitis.

Surgical implantation of a double cuff Tenckhoff catheter was performed using local anesthesia. For continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis (CAPD), a Y-set connection system for exchanges...
was used; Baxter Healthcare (Baxter Healthcare Corporation, Deerfield, IL, USA) systems were used.

The Baxter Home Choice Cycler was used for Automated Peritoneal Dialysis (APD). Standard lactate-based solutions containing 1.36%, 2.27% and 3.86% dextrose were used. Icodextrin and amino acid-based solutions were used in selected cases.

The collected data were entered and analyzed using Epi Info 3.5.1 software. Our results were divided into global and analytical categories. Quantitative variables were expressed as mean and standard deviation, whereas qualitative variables were given as numbers and percentages. The study was conducted with crosstabs to compare frequencies. Chi-square test or Fisher’s test was applied, and the difference was considered statistically significant if p value was <0.05.

Results

Over 1514 months, 72 episodes of peritonitis were diagnosed in 51 patients with a peritonitisrate of 1 episode/21.03 patient-months (0.57 episodes/year). There were 19 women and 32 men with a sex ratio of 0.6. Socioeconomic status was low in 62.51%, medium in 15.27% and high in 22.22% of cases. Nephroangiosclerosis (56.87%), chronic glomerulonephritis (25.49%) and diabetic nephropathy (3.92%) were the most common causes of ESRD. Among the patients who had infectious peritonitis, 50 (98.03%) were treated by Continuous Ambulatory Peritoneal Dialysis (CAPD). The mean duration of patient education was 12 ± 5 days. 41 (57%) episodes of peritonitis were noted during the wet season while 31 (43%) episodes occurred during the dry season. The main clinical signs seen are shown in (Table 1). The mean delay between onset of symptoms and sending culture was 36 hours (range 3-48h). Infection of the exit site was the starting point in 59.7% of cases. The other entry points are shown in (Table 2). The drained fluid was cloudy in 76.4%, purulent in 2.77%, and clear in 20.83% of cases. The mean white cell count was shown in (Table 2). The drained fluid was cloudy in 76.4%, purulent in 2.77%, and clear in 20.83% of cases. The mean white cell count was 2612.57 ± 65/ml. The culture was negative in 21 cases and positive in 51 cases. Out of the 51 episodes with positive cultures, 60.78% were Gram-positive organisms, while 39.22% were Gram negative. For the 21 episodes with negative cultures, 46.88% wereGram-positive organisms, while 53.12% were Gram negative. Of the culture-negative patients, none were on antibiotics prior to bacteriological sampling. Other microorganisms that were found are shown in (Table 3). Staphylococcus aureus was present in 25 patients (45.12%) while Pseudomonas aeruginosa was in 8 patients (17.64%). Antimicrobial susceptibility tests revealed resistance to cefoxitin for 25 strains of Staphylococcus aureus. Two Meti-R strains (one case of resistance to cefoxitin, one case of resistance to Gentamicin) that were sensitive to β-lactams, fluoroquinolones, and aminoglycosides. All 25 strains were sensitive to glycopeptides, aminoglycosides and macrolides, except for one strain that was resistant to erythromycin. Among the streptococci isolated, one strain was resistant to Penicillin G and other β-lactams. All enterococci were sensitive to oxacillin, to Penicillin G, and to β-lactam antibiotics with low resistance to aminoglycosides. Enterobacteria, a strain secreting β-lactamase at high levels, was sensitive to other antibiotics tested. One strain of Klebsiella pneumoniae was a high-level penicillinase producer, four others produced penicillinase at lower levels, and another one had cross-resistance to fluoroquinolones. All Pseudomonas were sensitive to β-lactams, fluoroquinolones, and aminoglycosides.

Therapeutically, ciprofloxacin per os (PO) was used as a first-line therapy in all patients (100%) and IntraPeritoneal(IP) ceftriaxone in 61 episodes (84.72%) (Table 4). Median duration of treatment was 17 ± 3 days. Twenty-eight (36%) patients had been hospitalized with a mean duration of 8 ± 3 days. Evolution of peritonitis was favorable in 48 cases (66.6%), unfavorable in 24 cases (33.3%). The main reasons for removal of catheter were repetitive peritonitis with the same bacteria often in the context of poor adherence to therapy, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa peritonitis. Seventeen patients (23.61%) were transferred to hemodialysis.

The peritonitis was statistically correlated with certain parameters such as: age (highest in subjects under 50 years of age, p = 0.001), sex (45 cases in women vs 27 cases in men, p = 0.001), socioeconomic status (more common in patients with a low socioeconomic status,
Table 4: First-line indicated antibiotics.

| Drugs          | Number | Percentage |
|----------------|--------|------------|
| Ceftriaxone: 1000 mg daily (IP) | 61     | 84.72%     |
| Ciprofloxacin: 250 mg twice daily (per os) | 72     | 100.00%    |
| Gentamycin: LD 8 mg/L, MD 4 mg/L (IP) | 11     | 15.28%     |

LD = Loading dose; MD = Maintenance Dose.

Discussion

In our study, the rate of peritonitis was 1 episode/21.3 patient-months or 0.5 episodes/year. Lioussfi reported a prevalence of 1 episode/21.07 patient-months [5]. The peritonitis rate found in our study was consistent with guidelines that recommend fewer than 0.5 episodes a year [6].

Infectious peritonitis was more frequent with CAPD than with APD (98.03% vs. 1.96%) in our study. Enrique Villereal reported higher rates of peritonitis with CAPD (94.20%) and less infectious peritonitis with APD (5.8%) [7]. This can be explained by higher number of hand manipulations in CAPD.

Peritonitis occurred more often in wet season (56.94%) than in dry season (43.06%). This could be in line with sanitation problems that occur during the rainy season. Indeed, heavy rains cause flooding and limited access to clean water. In addition, increased relative humidity in homes where patient hold exchanges, a sharp temperature rise, heavy sweating and sand storms all contribute to bacterial contamination of the patient’s environment, leading to peritonitis.

Gram-positive bacteria were the most frequent (60.78%), including *Staphylococcus aureus* (45.12%) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (17.64%). These bacteria are also the most commonly found in almost all the literature [2, 8-11]. This high incidence of gram-positive bacteria was due to the fact that these organisms live in saprophytic skin flora of humans. Low rates of antibiotic resistance in our study may be explained by the community origin of bacterial infections. No multi-resistant strains were isolated even among gram-negative bacteria. Vancomycin was active on isolated gram-positive microorganisms. This was also reassuring, as some antibiotics, especially imipenem and vancomycin, are not easily affordable in our country. The emergence of multi-resistant strains is a major danger to our patients. Zineb Lioussfi also reported the absence of strains resistant to vancomycin in his study [5].

The treatment applied as a first-line was bi-antibiotherapy including ceftriaxone injected intraperitoneally and ciprofloxacin orally on a daily basis for 5 days pending the results of susceptibility testing to tailor treatment. The ISPD (International Society of Peritoneal Dialysis) recommends treating staphylococcal infections involving the PD fluid with a first-generation cephalosporin, such as cefazolin, which inhibits the last stage of peptidoglycan synthesis [6]. In the event of Gram-positive peritonitis, the ISPD recommends using a vancomycin and an effective glycopeptide against bacteria that works by binding to the peptidoglycan precursors involving assembly of dipeptide D-alanyl-D-alanine [12]. We did not use vancomycin in our study, as it was not available. As for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infections, the ISPD recommends combining two drugs with different modes of action, such as a β-lactam antibiotic and an aminoglycoside, and an oral quinolone may be applied as an alternative [6].

Noncompliance with ISPD recommendations on the treatment of infectious peritonitis was due to the lack of access to certain drug compounds in our hospital.

Conclusion

Peritoneal infections remain common in our patients and are mainly caused by Gram-positive bacilli. Prevention should rely on intensifying technical training and compliance with the rules of hygiene. Improved management of these peritoneal infections and acquisition of an effective technique requires awareness in the laboratory environment of the importance of good culture techniques and susceptibility studies extended to all anti-bacterial compounds. The increased availability of certain antibiotic compounds must be a priority for our hospitals.
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