The effect of localized porphyrin photodynamic therapy on the induction of tumour metastasis
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Summary Studies were performed to determine whether localized treatment of subcutaneously growing Lewis Lung Carcinoma (LLC) in C57BL/6 mice with porphyrin photodynamic therapy (PDT) affects the formation of distant metastases. Treatments consisted of a 10 mg kg⁻¹ dose of dihematoporphyrin-ether (photofrin II) followed 24 h later by local tumour irradiation with 630 nm red light. Total doses of light ranged from 0–500 J cm⁻² and the irradiance of delivered light was 150 mW cm⁻². Primary LLC tumours were treated at a volume of 25–30 mm³, and lung metastases were determined 21 days following transplantation. Mice exposed to PDT treatment which produced either partial or complete local tumoricidal responses had significantly decreased numbers of metastatic lung colonies compared to controls. In addition, PDT treated mice had equal or less metastatic lung colonies than comparable mice treated with local surgical excision of the primary LLC lesion. These results indicate that local PDT does not enhance metastatic spread of LLC following either curative or noncurative treatments.

Photodynamic therapy (PDT) continues to show promise in the clinical treatment of solid tumours (Dougherty et al., 1982; Doiron & Gomer, 1984; Dougherty, 1984). Preferential uptake and retention of haematoporphyrin derivative or its active component di-haematoporphyrin ether (photofrin II) in malignant tissue compared to surrounding normal tissue (Gomer & Dougherty, 1979; Little et al., 1984), together with the efficient photochemical production of cytotoxic singlet oxygen by these compounds (Weishaupt et al., 1976) account for the efficacy of PDT. The advancement in clinically available lasers and fiberoptic light delivery systems has also enhanced the selectivity with which tumour localized porphyrins can be activated (Doiron et al., 1984; Moore, 1984). Efficacy of PDT is currently being examined for the treatment of obstructive or partially obstructive endobronchial tumours as well as for the treatment of transitional cell carcinoma and carcinoma in-situ of the bladder (Cortese & Kinsey, 1984; Hayata et al., 1984; Nsuyo et al., 1985; Benson, 1985). Preliminary clinical PDT results also remain encouraging for treating colorectal, ocular, brain, gynaecological, oesophageal, head and neck, and cutaneous neoplasms (Herrera-Ornelas et al., 1986; Bruce, 1984; Cheng et al., 1986; McCaughan et al., 1985; Wile et al., 1984; Tse et al., 1984).

The expanding use of PDT in the clinic dictates that studies be performed which will examine potential limitations and/or side effects of this procedure. At the cellular level it has been well documented that PDT can induce damage to the plasma membrane, cytoplasmic organelles and to nuclear structures (Moan, 1986). While PDT can cause various types of nuclear damage, studies indicate that PDT does not induce mutagenic (Gomer et al., 1983) or carcinogenic changes in mammalian cells (Gomer, unpublished results). Limitations or side-effects of clinical PDT are primarily related to the attenuation of visible red light in tumour tissue (which limits the effective tumoricidal depth of PDT) and transient skin photosensitivity following porphyrin administration (Wan et al., 1981; Dougherty, 1984). However, an increasing number of studies continue to demonstrate that direct vascular damage is induced by PDT (Selman et al., 1984; Henderson et al., 1985; Star et al., 1986; Berenbaum et al., 1986). The fact that PDT is being suggested for use in early stage malignancies combined with the continued observations concerning induced vascular injury following PDT have led us to examine the relationship between PDT and induction of tumour metastasis. The objective of our study was therefore to determine whether localized PDT treatments in C57BL/6 mice with subcutaneous Lewis lung carcinoma affected tumour dissemination.

Materials and methods

Drugs Photofrin II (dihematoporphyrin-ether) was obtained from Photofrin Medical Co., Inc., Raritan, New Jersey, as a sterile solution at a concentration of 2.5 mg ml⁻¹. The drug was diluted with sterile saline to obtain a working concentration of 1 mg ml⁻¹ prior to i.p. injection in mice receiving a dose of 10 mg kg⁻¹. Photofrin II was not diluted prior to administration in animals receiving a 50 mg kg⁻¹ dose.

Animal and tumour model

Female C57BL/6 mice were obtained from Jackson Laboratories, Bar Harbor, Maine and were entered into studies at 7 to 9 weeks of age. The Lewis lung carcinoma (LLC) was obtained from the Division of Cancer Treatment Tumor Repository, NCI-Frederick Cancer Research Facility and was grown as a subcutaneous mass for serial passage and tumour cell transplantation. Single cell suspensions of LLC were obtained by passing minced tumour pieces through 19, 22 and 25 gauge needles. Viability of tumour cells (as determined by trypan blue exclusion) was always >95% and cells were counted on an electronic Coulter counter. A total of 10⁶ LLC cells in a volume of 0.05 ml were injected s.c. in the right hind flank of experimental mice. Tumour volume was subsequently measured 3 times per week using a vernier caliper. Tumours measuring between 25–30 mm³ were used in PDT and surgery experiments.

Tumour treatment protocols

A standard PDT treatment consisted of an i.p. injection of Photofrin II followed 24 h later by localized exposure of the primary tumour to 630 nm red light. A 1 cm diameter spot size was utilized in all procedures and this allowed for both the tumour and a 1 to 2 mm margin of normal skin to be
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exposed to the light. Red light was generated from an argon pumped dye laser (Spectra Physics, Mountain View, CA). A 400 μm diameter quartz fiberoptic cable was interfaced to the output of a dye laser and a microlens was attached to the distal tip of the fiber for light delivery. The wavelength of delivered light (630 nm) was measured with a spectroscope (Cooper Lasersonics, Santa Clara, CA) and the light power was determined using a power meter (Coherent Radiation, Palo Alto, CA). The irradiance or dose rate of delivered light was kept at 150 mW cm⁻² and the total light dose ranged from 0–500 J cm⁻². All animals were restrained with tape during PDT treatment.

Surgical excision of the primary LLC was performed in certain experiments. In these procedures, animals were first anaesthetized using an i.p. injection of sodium pentobarbital (50 mg kg⁻¹). The primary lesion was then surgically excised and the overlying skin sutured. The resection margin was 4 mm and tumour recurrences were observed in the subcutaneous space.

Quantification of lung metastasis

Treated animals were sacrificed at various time intervals following tumour transplantation. The lungs of these animals were stained by injecting 2 ml of India ink through the trachea, followed by washing and incubating for 24 h in Feketes bleaching solution (Oda et al., 1986). Metastatic lung colonies were then counted under a dissecting microscope.

Tumour temperature measurements

A group of tumour bearing C57BL/6 mice were utilized to document temperature measurements during PDT treatments. A 21 gauge thermocouple hypodermic needle (Omega Engineering, Inc., Stamford, CT) was inserted into the base of the LLC of mice treated with PDT. Temperatures were monitored as a function of light exposure time.

Statistical analysis

The 2-tailed Student’s t test was used for the evaluation of all data.

Results

Figure 1 shows the growth curve for LLC following subcutaneous transplantation of 10⁶ cells to the flank of C57BL/6 mice. Following a short lag period, the tumour volume had a doubling time of ~5 days. Photofrin II, when administered to tumour bearing mice 5 days following implantation, had no effect on the growth rate of the tumour (data not shown). Mice with tumours measuring between 25–30 mm³ (4–6 days following transplantation) were utilized in PDT treatments.

Figure 2 shows the number of metastatic lung colonies observed in mice with subcutaneously growing LLC as a function of time following transplantation. Metastatic lung colonies were first observed ~10 days following tumour transplantation. Between 50–70 metastatic colonies per lung were observed by day 21. The administration of Photofrin II five days following transplantation had no effect on the number of metastatic lung colonies which were subsequently observed in the transplanted mice. All studies related to quantification of metastatic lung colonies were performed 21 days following the s.c. transplantation of the 10⁶ LLC cells.

The standard PDT treatment, which utilized a light dose rate of 150 mW cm⁻² induced a 6°C temperature rise at a tumour depth of 2.5 mm. The baseline temperature of the tumours prior to PDT treatment averaged 33°C.

Table I shows the effect of PDT or surgery on the incidence of metastatic lung colonies in tumour bearing mice when treatments were started when the primary flank lesion measured 25–30 mm³. In this group of experiments the primary subcutaneous tumours were treated 4 to 6 days following transplantation. Control groups included animals which received no treatment, photofrin II alone (either 10 or 50 mg kg⁻¹), or light treatment alone (400 J cm⁻²). A complete tumour response corresponds to those animals treated with either PDT or surgery in which the primary tumour did not reoccur prior to the time of sacrifice on day 21. A partial tumour response corresponds to those animals in which the local tumour was observed to regrow prior to sacrifice on day 21. There was no statistically significant difference in the number of metastatic lung colonies in any of the control groups. In addition, the number of metastatic lung colonies in all groups of treated mice which had partial responses were also statistically identical and averaged ~30. The number of metastatic lung colonies in the complete response groups of mice were significantly less following PDT treatment (at all PDT doses) than for surgically treated mice.

Table II is a subpopulation of Table I and shows the effect of PDT or surgery on the incidence of metastatic lung colonies in tumour bearing mice when all treatments were started 5 days following tumour implantation. In this set of experiments, all mice treated with 100 or 200 J cm⁻² of PDT had only partial responses. The number of metastatic lung
The having dose of PDT by itself did not result in LLC-bearing colonies in C57BL/6 mice with Lewis lung carcinoma. For Table III shows the incidence of metastatic lung colonies in C57BL/6 mice when localized PDT preceded LLC transplantation. PDT delivered 24 h prior to LLC transplantation had no effect on subsequent induction of metastatic lung colonies. Light doses ranged from 100–400 J cm⁻² and the left hind limb was used for PDT exposure while the right hind limb was used for tumour cell transplantation. Contralateral legs were used for this set of experiments, 200 J cm⁻² of PDT was used and this dose of PDT by itself did not induce any complete responses.

Table I Effect of photodynamic therapy or surgery on the incidence of lung metastasis in C57BL/6 mice with Lewis lung carcinoma.

| Treatment               | Number of mice | No response | Partial response | Complete response | P values |
|-------------------------|----------------|-------------|------------------|-------------------|----------|
| Control                 | 83             | 67.9 ± 8.5  |                  |                   |          |
| Photofrin II (10 mg kg⁻¹) | 33            | 64.0 ± 6.3  |                  |                   |          |
| Photofrin II (50 mg kg⁻¹) | 13            | 56.3 ± 3.6  |                  |                   |          |
| Light alone             |                |             |                  |                   |          |
| 150 mW cm⁻²             |                |             |                  |                   |          |
| 400 J cm⁻²              | 15             | 58.5 ± 9.4  |                  |                   |          |
| Surgery                 | 14             | 30.1 ± 10.7 |                  |                   |          |
| PDT, 100 J cm⁻²         | 14             | 36.0 ± 8.0  |                  |                   |          |
| PDT, 200 J cm⁻²         | 13             | 36.0 ± 6.5  |                  |                   |          |
| PDT, 300 J cm⁻²         | 28             | 16.0 ± 4.0  |                  |                   |          |
| PDT, 100 J cm⁻²         | 19             | 9.2 ± 3.3   |                  |                   |          |
| PDT, 200 J cm⁻²         | 13             | 24.6 ± 5.3  |                  |                   |          |
| PDT, 300 J cm⁻²         | 8              | 25.6 ± 10.1 |                  |                   |          |
| PDT, 500 J cm⁻²         | 6              | 5.3 ± 3.2   |                  |                   |          |

*Lungs examined 21 days following tumour transplantation; †Primary tumours were treated when the lesion measured 25–30 mm³; ‡No response – tumour did not respond to treatment; §Partial response – local tumour regrowth prior to sacrifice; ¶Complete response – no local tumour present at the time of sacrifice; ‡Two tailed Student t test: *Surgery (complete response) vs PDT (complete response); ‡Mean ± s.e.

Table II Effect of photodynamic therapy or surgery on the incidence of lung metastasis in C57BL/6 mice with Lewis lung carcinoma when treatments were delivered 5 days following transplantation.

| Treatment               | Number of mice | No response | Partial response | Complete response | P values |
|-------------------------|----------------|-------------|------------------|-------------------|----------|
| Control                 | 83             | 67.9 ± 8.5  |                  |                   |          |
| Photofrin II (10 mg kg⁻¹) | 33            | 64.0 ± 6.3  |                  |                   |          |
| Photofrin II (50 mg kg⁻¹) | 13            | 56.3 ± 3.6  |                  |                   |          |
| Light alone             |                |             |                  |                   |          |
| 150 mW cm⁻²             |                |             |                  |                   |          |
| 400 J cm⁻²              | 15             | 58.5 ± 9.4  |                  |                   |          |
| Surgery                 | 22             | 18.5 ± 6.6  |                  |                   |          |
| PDT, 100 J cm⁻²         | 10             | 13.9 ± 6.6  |                  |                   |          |
| PDT, 200 J cm⁻²         | 7              | 37.4 ± 12.5 |                  |                   |          |
| PDT, 300 J cm⁻²         | 18             | 34.1 ± 11.4 |                  |                   |          |
| PDT, 300 J cm⁻²         | 16             | 15.4 ± 5.7  |                  |                   |          |
| PDT, 400 J cm⁻²         | 8              | 22.8 ± 8.6  |                  |                   |          |
| PDT, 400 J cm⁻²         | 2              | 0.5 ± 0.5   |                  |                   |          |
| PDT, 500 J cm⁻²         | 7              | 29.2 ± 10.8 |                  |                   |          |
| PDT, 500 J cm⁻²         | 5              | 6.4 ± 3.7   |                  |                   |          |

Table III shows the incidence of metastatic lung colonies in LLC bearing mice when PDT was followed by surgery. For these experiments, 200 J cm⁻² of PDT was used and this dose of PDT by itself did not induce any complete responses. The combination of PDT on day 5 and surgery on day 6 resulted in 8 mice having a partial response and 11 mice having a complete response. For mice with complete responses, the combination of PDT and surgery induced a decreased number of metastatic lung colonies compared to either surgery alone (performed on either day 5 or day 6) or Photofrin II and surgery.

Table IV documents the incidence of metastatic lung colonies in C57BL/6 mice when localized PDT preceded LLC transplantation. PDT delivered 24 h prior to LLC transplantation had no effect on subsequent induction of metastatic lung colonies. Light doses ranged from 100–400 J cm⁻² and the left hind limb was used for PDT exposure while the right hind limb was used for tumour cell transplantation. Contralateral legs were used for this set of experiments.
Table III  Effect of photodynamic therapy followed by surgery on the incidence of lung metastasis in C57BL/6 mice with Lewis lung carcinoma. 

| Treatment                      | Number of mice | Average number of lung colonies | P values |
|--------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|----------|
| Control                        | 83             | 67.9 ± 8.5                      |          |
| Photofrin II (10 mg kg⁻¹)      | 33             | 64.0 ± 6.3                      |          |
| Surgery (day 5)                | 22             | 18.5 ± 6.6                      |          |
| Surgery (day 5)                | 10             | 13.9 ± 6.1                      |          |
| Surgery (day 6)                | 31             | 29.9 ± 4.0                      |          |
| Photofrin II (day 4) plus      | 11             | 43.5 ± 9.7                      |          |
| Surgery (day 6)                | 7              | 34.1 ± 11.4                     |          |
| PDT (day 5) plus               | 11             | 6.6 ± 3.6                       | >0.1*    |
| PDT (day 6) plus               | 8              | 42.6 ± 12.4                     | <0.01+   |

*Lungs examined 21 days following tumour transplantation; bNo response – tumour did not respond to treatment; cPartial response – local tumour regrew prior to sacrifice; dComplete response – no local tumour present at time of sacrifice; eTwo tailed Student t test: *Surgery (day 5) vs PDT (day 5) + surgery (day 6); tSurgery (day 6) vs PDT (day 5) + surgery (day 6); Photodynamic therapy (PDT) consisted of a 10 mg kg⁻¹ dose of Photofrin II followed 24 h later by 200 J cm⁻² delivered at 150 mW cm⁻².

Table IV  Incidence of lung metastasis in C57BL/6 mice when photodynamic therapy precedes Lewis lung carcinoma transplantation.

| Treatment                  | Number of mice | Average number of lung colonies | P values |
|----------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|----------|
| Control                    | 15             | 7.4 ± 9.6                       |          |
| Photofrin II               | 11             | 86.3 ± 8.2                      | >0.1     |
| PDT, 100 J cm⁻²            | 10             | 95.3 ± 15.3                     | >0.1     |
| PDT, 200 J cm⁻²            | 12             | 76.6 ± 13.3                     | >0.1     |
| PDT, 300 J cm⁻²            | 10             | 72.3 ± 7.5                      | >0.1     |
| PDT, 400 J cm⁻²            | 9              | 73.0 ± 8.5                      | >0.1     |

*Lungs examined 21 days following tumour transplantation; bPhotofrin II (10 mg kg⁻¹) administered 48 h prior to transplantation, PDT was delivered to 1 cm diameter area of the left hind leg 24 h prior to tumour transplantation to the right hind leg; cTwo tailed Student t test; dMean ± s.e.

Discussion

There is no evidence from the limited number of clinical PDT studies that this modality induces an increase in the metastatic rate or potential of malignant tumours. However, there are similarities between PDT and hyperthermia in that both procedures can induce significant damage to the tumour vasculature (Star et al., 1986; Berenbaum et al., 1986; Hahn, 1982; Eddy, 1980). Direct trauma or damage to a primary tumour may cause an increase in the number of tumour cells released into the circulation (Hill & Denekamp, 1982), although this process may not always induce metastasis (Salisbury, 1975). In the case of hyperthermia, there still remains a controversy related to its role in the spread of metastasis (Hahn, 1982; Hill & Denekamp, 1982; Oda et al., 1985; Yerushalmi, 1970; Hahn et al., 1979). The majority of evidence suggests that localized hyperthermia does not affect metastatic spread, whereas total body hyperthermia appears to increase the incidence of metastatic spread. Stress induced by surgery is also suggested to play a role in a hyper-metastatic state in the in vivo LLC tumour model (Pollak et al., 1984). The results of our study indicate that localized PDT does not enhance the dissemination of tumour metastasis in the LLC tumour model. Mice treated with PDT had significantly lower numbers of metastatic lung colonies than comparable control groups. In fact, the number of metastatic lung colonies observed following a range of PDT doses which produced either partial or complete local tumour responses were either comparable to or lower than that observed in mice treated by local surgical excision of the tumour. Treatment of tumour-bearing mice with Photofrin II alone or light treatment alone, as well as PDT delivered to mice prior to LLC transplantation had no effect on local tumour growth or subsequent lung metastasis. While extrapolation of in vivo data to possible clinical effects is not possible, it would appear that PDT will not induce significant side-effects related to metastatic spread of malignant tumours.

Mice with subcutaneously growing LLC develop pulmonary metastases in a reproducible manner. In addition, the LLC tumour has not been observed to regress spontaneously (Yerushalmi, 1976), and has been reported to be responsive to local PDT treatment (Cowles & Forbes, 1985). The LLC tumour model has also been used to study metastatic spread following local and whole body hyperthermia (Oda et al., 1986; Yerushalmi, 1976), surgery (Pollack et al., 1984), prostaglandins (Young & Knes, 1984), cytotoxic drugs (Stahl et al., 1985) and anaesthetics (Shapiro
et al., 1981). Our study was designed to utilize the LLC tumour model to specifically examine the role of localized PDT in the induction of metastases. It is of interest to note that relatively high doses of PDT were required for local tumour control during the 2 week period between treatment and sacrifice of animals. Partial responses (or tumour regression) developed in 13 out of 18 mice receiving a 10 mg kg \(^{-1}\) light dose of Photofrin II, 1.5 cm \(^{-2}\) light dose (Table I). Eight out of 14 mice treated with Photofrin II and a 500 J cm \(^{-2}\) dose of light also had recurrences within the 2 week period. The high degree of resistance of the LLC to PDT is probably not due to tumour tissue hypoxia since the LLC has been shown to be sensitive to ionizing radiation (Shipley et al., 1975; Lvosky et al., 1985). Skin pigmentation and light attenuation may play a role in the in vivo PDT resistance observed in the LLC.

Recent studies have shown that PDT can induce systemic immunosuppression related to inhibition of contact hyper-sensitivity of dinitrofluorobenzene (Elmets & Bowen, 1986). The immunosuppression induced by PDT may be mediated by activation of the complement system (Lim et al., 1984; Lim et al., 1985). We have observed a transient decrease in splenic natural killer (NK) cell activity following localized PDT in mice (Gomer et al., 1986). Therefore, while PDT does not enhance metastatic spread in an experimental animal model, it does appear as though this modality can induce immunosuppression related to both T cells (documented in hypersensitivity reactions) and NK cells. However, localized PDT delivered to mice prior to LLC transplant did not effect either the rate or quantity of subsequent metastases.

In summary, the documented side-effects related to PDT continue to be restricted to transient skin photosensitization. The current study indicates that local PDT does not enhance the spread of tumour metastasis. Additional investigations related to this area would appear warranted in view of both the vascular and immunological action of PDT. However, since PDT has been shown to be an effective tumoricidal procedure, the results of this study would support the continued clinical examination of PDT in the treatment of both advanced as well as early stage malignancies.

We thank Barbara Paul for assistance in the preparation of this manuscript. This investigation was performed in conjunction with the Clayton Foundation for Research and was supported in part by USPS Grant CA-31230 awarded by NCI, NHSS.
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