**Downregulation of L1CAM inhibits proliferation, invasion and arrests cell cycle progression in pancreatic cancer cells in vitro**
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**Abstract.** The aim of the present study was to establish the effect of silencing L1 cell adhesion molecule (L1CAM) on the proliferation, invasion, cell cycle progression and apoptosis of pancreatic cancer cells, and to determine the potential molecular mechanisms that are involved. The human Capan-2 pancreatic cancer cell line was infected with lentivirus-mediated short hairpin RNA (shRNA) to target L1CAM. Cell proliferation and invasion were analyzed using cell counting kit-8 and Transwell assays, respectively, and cell cycle progression and apoptosis were analyzed using flow cytometry. L1CAM protein expression in Capan-2 cells decreased following shRNA-L1CAM infection. Furthermore, knockdown of L1CAM significantly inhibited cell proliferation and reduced the number of invasive cells, while increasing the percentage of cells in the G0/G1 phase (P<0.05). However, the effect on apoptosis was not identified to be statistically significant. In addition, L1CAM silencing may induce activation of p38/extracellular signal regulated kinase 1/2. Downregulation of L1CAM may inhibit proliferation, invasion and arrests cell cycle progression in pancreatic cancer via p38/ERK1/2 signal pathway, and therefore, L1CAM may serve as a potential target for gene therapy in pancreatic cancer.

**Introduction**

Pancreatic cancer is ranked as the fourth leading cause of cancer-related mortalities in the United States (1,2) and the sixth leading cause of mortality in China (3). Pancreatic cancer is characterized by a highly malignant phenotype that is associated with early metastasis and chemoresistance. Although resection of the tumor is considered the primary option for a successful cure (4), the five-year survival rates remain at <5%. Therefore, understanding the underlying molecular mechanisms of invasion and metastasis in pancreatic cancer is central to identifying effective therapeutic targets.

L1 cell adhesion molecule (L1CAM), a 200-220 kDa transmembrane glycoprotein, is a member of the neuronal immunoglobulin superfamily of cell adhesion molecules. L1CAM was originally considered to be a stimulator of neurite outgrowth in the peripheral nervous system due to its involvement in establishing central nervous structures. Mutations in the gene that encodes for L1CAM may result in a variety of developmental defects in humans, including corpus callosum hypoplasia, mental retardation and spastic paraplegia (5). In addition, studies have found that L1CAM is aberrantly expressed in a variety of tumor types, including human gliomas, non-small cell lung cancer, and ovarian, colorectal and pancreatic cancer (6-9).

The presence of L1CAM in tumor tissue and cultured cells has been correlated with poor prognosis and advanced-stage pancreatic cancer (10,11). Positive L1CAM expression has been reported in ~80% of analyses of pancreatic tumor samples and cell lines. Furthermore, L1CAM has been associated with metastasis and angiogenesis during tumor progression by promoting cancer cell adhesion to endothelial cell monolayers, and via transendothelial migration (12). A combined treatment with an L1CAM antibody and gemcitabine or paclitaxel in severe combined immunodeficiency mouse models reduced the growth of subcutaneous pancreatic Colo357 tumors more efficiently than treatment with the cytotoxic agent alone (7). These data demonstrated the central role of L1CAM in the tumorigenesis of pancreatic cancer.

In the present study it was hypothesized that the suppression of L1CAM expression in human pancreatic cancer cells may inhibit tumor progression. To investigate this hypothesis, L1CAM was silenced in Capan-2 pancreatic cancer cells and the effect on proliferation, apoptosis, cell cycle progression and invasion was examined. In addition, the potential role of L1CAM in the activation of intracellular signaling pathways was investigated.
Materials and methods

Cell culture. Human pancreatic cancer cell lines, Capan-2, Panc-1, AsPC-1, BxPC-3, SW-1990, Patu-8988 and CFPAC-1, were purchased from the Cell Bank of Type Culture Collection of Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). Cells were maintained in RPMI-1640 medium (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Invitrogen), 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin (Invitrogen). Cells were incubated at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ and used for the assays during the exponential phase of growth. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Ruijin hospital, Shanghai Jiaotong University, Shanghai, China.

Construction of recombinant lentivirus and cell infection. Small interfering RNA (siRNA; 5'-AGGGAU GGUGUCCACUUCAATT-3') was used to down-regulate the LICAM expression and a non-silencing fragment (5'-TTTCTCCGACGTGTCAGT-3') served as the negative control. The synthesis of siRNA was conducted by Shanghai GenePharma Co., Ltd (Shanghai, China). Short hairpin RNA (shRNA) fragments were synthesized according to the manufacturer's instructions (Takara, Biotechnology, Hangzhou, China). The shRNA fragments were cloned into the pGLV-U6-EGFP plasmid to yield pGLV-Sh-LICAM plasmid. The correct insertions of the shRNA cassettes were confirmed using direct sequencing. The shRNA-containing plasmid, pGLV-sh-LICAM, as well as two imperative elements for virus packaging (VSVG and Gag/pol/rev plasmid), were co-transfected into 293T cells to produce viral particles. The lentivirus was stored at -80°C and the titers of the lentiviral vectors were determined via dilution using fluorescence microscopy (IX71; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

Lentivirus infection in Capan-2 cells. Capan-2 cells were plated at a density of 5x10⁴ cells/well in six-well plates and incubated for 24 h at 37°C and 5% CO₂. A recombinant lentivirus encoding the negative control and antisense, 5'-CTCACAAAGCCGATGAACCA-3′ and antisense, 5'-GACTACGAGATCCACTTGTTTAAGGA-3′, was prepared using a Bio-Rad assay (Hercules CA, USA). The proteins were transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride membranes following SDS-PAGE and probed with their corresponding primary antibodies (LICAM; Abcam, Cambridge, UK) in a blocking buffer (5% non-fat milk) at 4°C. The immunoreactive bands were detected by chemiluminescence (Pierce Biotechnology, Inc., Rockford, IL, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. GAPDH served as the control to verify that there was equal protein loading.

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The cDNA template was synthesized according to the manufacturer's instructions (Takara, Tokyo, Japan). PCR was performed in a 25-µl reaction mixture containing 2 µl RT products and β-actin served as the internal control. The amplification products were separated by electrophoresis on a 1.5% agarose gel using the following primers: LICAM sense, 5'-GACTACGAGATCCACTTGTTTAAGGA-3′ and antisense, 5'-CTCACAAAGCCGATGAACCA-3′; β-actin sense, 5'-GCTTCTCTCGAGCGCAAG-3′ and antisense, 5'-CATCTGCTGGAAAGGTGGAC-3′.
seven pancreatic cancer cell lines, Capan-2, PANC-1, AsPC-1, BxPC-3, SW-1990, Patu-8988 and CFPAC-1. The Capan-2 cells demonstrated the highest levels of L1CAM mRNA and the Patu-8988 cells displayed the lowest (Fig. 1A). Therefore, the Capan-2 cell line was used for the subsequent experiments.

Figure 1. Lentivirus-mediated RNA interference decreased L1CAM expression in the pancreatic cell lines. (A) Polymerase chain reaction showed that human L1CAM mRNA was present in seven pancreatic cancer cell lines, Capan-2, PANC-1, AsPC-1, BxPC-3, SW-1990, Patu-8988 and CFPAC-1. L1CAM mRNA expression levels were highest in the Capan-2 cells and lowest in the Patu-8988 cells. (B) Light micrograph (top) and fluorescence micrograph (bottom) images (magnification, x200). The transduction efficiency in the Capan-2 cells was estimated 96 h after infection and the intensity of fluorescence indicated a high transfection efficiency. (C) Western blot analysis of total cellular proteins extracted from Capan-2 cells at 0, 24, 48, 72 and 96 h after infection and targeted by antibodies against L1CAM, showed that the L1CAM protein levels decreased in a time-dependent manner. GAPDH served as the internal control. Data represents one of three independent experiments. L1CAM, L1 cell adhesion molecule; NC, negative control; Sh, short hairpin; RQ, relative quantity.

Infection efficiency in Capan-2 pancreatic cancer cells. The infection efficiency of Capan-2 cells with lentivirus-mediated sh-L1CAM was detected 96 h after infection by fluorescence microscopy. A high intensity of green fluorescence was observed, indicating a high infection efficiency (Fig. 1B). Western blot analysis indicated that the interfering efficiency was greatest in the Capan-2 cells 96 h after infection with ~75% reduction in L1CAM protein levels. Furthermore, the western blot analysis demonstrated that the L1CAM protein levels decreased in a time-dependent manner following infection (Fig. 1C).

L1CAM silencing inhibits tumor cell proliferation and cell cycle entry in pancreatic cancer Capan-2 cells. The effect of L1CAM silencing on proliferation and cell cycle distribution in Capan-2 pancreatic cancer cells was determined by CCK-8 and flow cytometric assays, respectively. Proliferation was identified to be significantly inhibited by sh-L1CAM silencing when compared with the negative control (P<0.01; Fig. 2). In addition, there was a significant increase in the cell population at the G0/G1 phase, with a concurrent decrease in the cell population at the G2/M and S phases following L1CAM knockdown (P<0.01; Fig. 3A and B).

L1CAM silencing inhibits tumor cell invasion but does not induce cell apoptosis in Capan-2 pancreatic cancer cells. Next, the ability of L1CAM silencing to induce cell apoptosis was investigated. The results of Annexin V and PI flow cytometric analyses showed that lentivirus-mediated inhibition of L1CAM did not significantly increase apoptosis in the Capan-2 cells (P>0.05; Fig. 4A and B). By contrast, the number of invasive Capan-2 cells after sh-L1CAM silencing was identified to be significantly lower 96 h after infection compared with the negative control (P<0.01; Fig. 5).

L1CAM silencing inhibits activation of extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) in pancreatic cancer cells.
mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) and ERK have been implicated in cancer metastasis signaling pathways and may be involved in regulating LICAM activation (9,13). As shown in Fig. 6, it was identified that the downregulation of LICAM inhibited the intrinsic activation of ERK1/2 in Capan-2 cells, indicating that LICAM may be involved in cancer cell progression via the p38/ERK1/2 signaling pathway.

**Discussion**

An understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying cancer progression is essential for the development of optimal therapeutic modalities. Pancreatic cancer is a heterogeneous disease involving multiple cellular components and gene expression patterns. An increasing number of studies have identified the molecular markers that correlate with the development and progression of cancer.
RNA interference has been used as a therapeutic tool in cancer treatments (14), however, strategies to improve the methodology and efficiency of siRNA delivery are required. Although LICAM mRNA was observed in the seven human pancreatic cancer cell lines that were investigated in the present study, the level was highest in the Capan-2 cells. Therefore, in order to assess the impact of LICAM knockdown on the development of pancreatic cancer in vitro, Capan-2 cells were infected with lentivirus-mediated LICAM-specific shRNA. Silencing of endogenous LICAM significantly inhibited cell proliferation and invasion in the Capan-2 cells (P<0.01). In addition, LICAM silencing induced cell cycle arrest at the G0/G1 phase, however, there was no significant effect observed on apoptosis. These results indicated that LICAM is overexpressed in tumors and may be significant in cancer cell invasion.

Previous studies have indicated that LICAM, a cell surface molecule, promotes tumor cell proliferation. Zecchini et al (15) demonstrated that the upregulation of LICAM in OVCAR3 ovarian cancer cells significantly enhanced cell proliferation in comparison to the parental cells. Conversely, the downregulation of LICAM in IGROV1 ovarian cancer cells significantly inhibited cell proliferation (15). Furthermore, when tumor cells, including SKOV3 ovarian (16) and HCT116 colon cancer cells (17), were treated with an LICAM monoclonal antibody, cell proliferation was reduced by 40-60% (18). In vivo studies demonstrated that cancer cells overexpressing LICAM significantly promoted tumor formation, with tumor volumes that were 3-5 times greater than those with low levels of LICAM expression (8). Kiefer et al (19) observed that the upregulation of LICAM in pancreatic PT45-P1 cells promoted cell proliferation and xenograft growth.

LICAM was initially hypothesized to be specific to the nervous system and has been implicated in numerous neurological disorders. However, LICAM has recently been shown to be expressed in human tumors and correlate with tumor progression, poor prognosis and the advanced stages of cancer (9,10,20). Investigations in a variety of tumor types demonstrated that increased expression of LICAM significantly increases the migration capacity of cancer cells in vitro (17,21-25). In addition, the upregulation of LICAM was found to enhance cell invasion in the SW707 human colon cancer cell line (17). In vivo experiments have demonstrated that LICAM significantly increases liver metastases in mice inoculated with LS174T colon cancer cells (26). In addition, multiple clinical pathology studies have indicated that LICAM may promote cancer cell invasion and metastasis (10,27-31). Although the mechanism by which LICAM promotes proliferation and cell invasion in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma remains to be determined, studies have investigated the role of LICAM-dependent activation in the MAPK-ERK signaling pathway. Schaefer et al (32) demonstrated that LICAM induces ERK activity and ERK-regulated gene expression, which contributes to cell motility and invasion. Furthermore, LICAM has been identified to interact with various components of the ERK pathway, including Src protein tyrosine kinases (33) and Ran-binding protein M (34), indicating that LICAM may serve as an adaptor protein in LICAM-induced ERK activation. Furthermore, LICAM-signaling has been implicated in integrin-binding and the nuclear factor-kB pathways (35).

Epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) is characterized by morphological and phenotypical alterations in cancer invasion and metastasis. Epithelial carcinoma cells acquire a motile phenotype via EMT, enabling the gain of metastatic potentials, whereas metastatic tumor cells often display a mesenchymal phenotype with a loss of epithelial markers, such as E-cadherin. A connection between LICAM and EMT was initially identified by Shuttman et al (36) and it was observed that the expression of LICAM in MCF7 mammary carcinoma cell lines disrupted E-cadherin-containing adheren junctions and increased the transcriptional activity of β-catenin. As LICAM is a target gene of β-catenin (17), this event resulted in increased cell motility. In addition, treatment of pancreatic cancer cell lines with the EMT inducer, transforming growth factor (TGF)-β1, was found to upregulate LICAM, leading to increased cell migration and invasion (35,37,38). These events have been implicated in the early stages of tumor development in pancreatic cancer (39). Geismann et al (39) observed that treatment with TGF-β1 caused H6c7 pancreatic ductal cells to acquire a spindle-shaped morphology, elevated cell migration potential and increased LICAM expression. These effects may be abolished by the interference of TGF-β1 signaling or suppression of Slug (39). Conversely, LICAM-mediated metastasis in colon cancer cells was shown to be independent of EMT induction and altered the expression of epithelial and mesenchymal marker proteins (40). Therefore, the impact of LICAM on EMT requires further investigation.

In conclusion, the results of this study indicate that downregulation of LICAM inhibits cell proliferation, induces cell cycle quiescence and reduces cell invasion in the Capan-2 pancreatic cell line. Thus, these effects may be associated with an observed decrease in p38/ERK expression. These findings indicate that LICAM may be involved in metastatic potential and may, therefore, be a molecular target in anti-metastatic therapies for pancreatic cancer.
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