Introduction

Colorectal cancer is ranked as the fourth leading cancer for incidence and the second leading cause for cancer mortality worldwide in both sexes combined in 2018 (1). Generally, 50% of people will be diagnosed with a colorectal adenoma by the age of 50, and 10% of diagnosed patients will progress to malignancy (2). The incidence of colorectal cancer increases with age in both Western and Asian populations (3). While the mortality of colorectal cancer has declined in the past decades, 50% of patients still develop metastases (4). Colorectal cancer is a tissue growth...
that first begins on the inner linings of the colon or rectum, also known as a polyp (5). Therefore, many non-metastatic colorectal cancers can be surgically removed at this early stage. When a polyp grows abnormally and subsequently becomes cancerous, it can form a tumor on the wall of the colon or rectum, and then grows into lymph vessels to spread into other organs (6). Since colorectal cancer exhibits well-defined early stage characteristics and slow progression to metastasis, eliminating the cancer stem cell population becomes a critical cancer treatment.

Cancer stem cells were initially identified in acute myeloid leukemia, and were characterized as a CD34+CD38− subpopulation (7). Cancer stem cells were later found in other kinds of tumors, such as the CD44+CD24− subpopulation in breast cancer (8). For colorectal cancer stem cells, CD44+, CD133+, and epithelial cell adhesion molecule (EpCAM)high have been identified as reliable markers (9-11). Identifying cancer stem cell markers is not only beneficial for targeted therapy development (12), but also critical for detecting circulating tumor cells (CTCs) (13). The advantage of detecting cancer stem cells in CTCs is that we can trace tumor progression in response to treatment, since CTCs are present in the blood when cancer cells are transitioning from their origin to distant organs (14). It has been demonstrated that CTCs isolated from colorectal cancer patients displayed strong expression of CD133, EpCAM, or CD44 (13,15,16), suggesting that detecting colorectal cancer stem cells in CTCs may help early detection of metastasis and subsequently lower mortality. Identifying CTCs is beneficial for colorectal cancer patients as well, since a simple blood draw is all that is needed to detect CTCs and patients would not need to be subjected to magnetic resonance imaging.

The morphology and immunostaining pattern of CTCs is mainly used for identification, and the heterogeneity of CTCs becomes a major difficulty for CTC detection. In addition, only a small aliquot of blood will be obtained from a patient for CTC detection and may subsequently be cultured to verify. In cell culture, if the initial seeding number is too small, surface marker expression levels can be affected. In this study, we studied how the expression of surface markers of colorectal cancer stem cells changed in different culture conditions. We investigated the expression of CD44 and CD133 of a colorectal cancer stem cell line, Caco-2. Different initial seeding cell concentrations of Caco-2 were cultured, and the cell morphology and the expression of surface markers were observed. Caco-2 was treated with eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), and ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) to mimic conditions after colorectal cancer treatments. By characterizing the changes of surface marker expression of Caco-2 cells in different cell culture conditions, our results might provide useful information for improving the accuracy and sensitivity of CTC detection in the future.

**Methods**

**Cell line and culture**

Colorectal cancer cell line, Caco-2, was purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA). Caco-2 cells were cultured in normal medium: DMEM (Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium, Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA), high glucose medium, containing 20% FBS (Fetal bovine serum, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.), 0.02 mM L-Glutamine (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.), 0.1× non-essential amino acid (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.), 0.01 mM sodium pyruvate (Hyclone, GE Healthcare, Pittsburge, PA, USA), and 1.5 g/L sodium bicarbonate (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA).

**Different culture conditions**

For different initial seeding concentration experiments, Caco-2 was all cultured in normal medium. The initial seeding concentration is 1×10⁶, 7.5×10⁵, 5×10⁵, and 2.5×10⁵ cells/mL were tested. Cells were cultured for 3 days, and subsequently collected for flow cytometric analysis.

For different treatment experiments, Caco-2 was treated with EPA, DMSO, and EDTA. For EPA treatment, Caco-2 was cultured in DMEM, high glucose medium containing 10% FBS, 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.), 0.01 mM sodium pyruvate, and 2% EPA (Sigma). For DMSO and EDTA, Caco-2 cells were cultured in normal medium supplementing 2% DMSO (Sigma) or 2% EDTA (Hyclone). Caco-2 cells were cultured in different treatments for 3 days, and then collected for flow cytometric analysis.

**Flow cytometric analysis**

CD44 (BD Pharmingen, San Diego, CA, USA) and CD133 (BioLegend, San Diego, CA, USA) were tested in this study. Caco-2 cells were washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS, UniRegion Bio-Tech, Taiwan), and stained...
with markers for 30 minutes on ice at dark. The samples were then washed with PBS for 3 times, and analyzed by FACS Calibur flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson).

**Statistical analysis**

Flow cytometry analyses were evaluated using CellQuest Pro (BD Biosciences). The expression patterns in the dot plots of Figures 1, 2 were from one representative flow cytometry experiment.

**Results**

**Initial seeding concentration corresponds to the co-expression of CD44 and CD133**

In order to investigate the relationship between the initial seeding concentration of Caco-2 and the expression of CD44 and CD133, four different seeding concentrations were tested. The cell morphology was not affected by initial seeding concentrations (Figure 3). At day 1, cells were round and evenly distributed on the plate. Cells were attached to the bottom of the plate, and morphology became flatter at day 2. Cell clusters were more obvious when the initial seeding concentration were higher (1×10⁶ and 7.5×10⁵ cells/mL), but the clusters could also be observed in the lowest seeding concentration group. At day 3, all the groups reached confluence regardless of the initial seeding concentrations.

We then analyzed the expression of CD44 and CD133, and the results are shown in Table 1 and Figure 1. When the initial seeding concentration was 1×10⁶ cells/mL, the single and combined expression of CD44 and CD133 were the highest (Figure 1A). When the initial seeding concentration was 7.5×10⁵ cells/mL, the expression of both CD44 and CD133 were reduced dramatically (Figure 1B). The expression of both markers was decreased at the initial seeding concentration of 5.0×10⁴ and 2.5×10⁴ cells/mL, and it was seeding number dependent (Figure 1C, D). The results showed that even when the initial seeding concentration was as low as 2.5×10⁴ cells/mL, the expression of surface markers on Caco-2 cells was still detectable.

**Different treatments affect the single and combined expression of markers**

We next investigated the expression of CD44 and CD133 when Caco-2 was treated with different chemicals to mimic the potential clinical situations. When Caco-2 was treated with EPA, the expression of CD44 or CD133 was 45.74% (Table 2) although the percentage of double positive cells was 45.74% (Figure 2A). When Caco-2 was treated with...
DMSO, the common diluent for drugs, the percentage of cells expressing only CD44 or CD133 was over 50%, but the proportion of cells expressing both markers dropped to 18% (Figure 2B). When cells were treated with EDTA, the levels of single expression of either marker were nearly as high as those when cells were grown in normal medium. However, the percentage of cells expressing both markers was reduced to 27.45% in the EDTA treated group (Figure 2C).

Discussion

In this study, different initial seeding concentrations of Caco-2 and different chemical treatments were tested to investigate the expression of CD44 and CD133 in colorectal cancer cells. The result of different initial seeding concentrations showed that the co-expression of CD44 and CD133 decreased when the initial seeding concentration was reduced in a seeding concentration dependent manner. The result suggested that if the initial seeding concentration was as low as 2.5x10⁵ cell/mL, the percentage of cells that are not expressing both CD44 and CD133 could be close to 50%. When Caco-2 cells were treated with different chemicals, the results demonstrated that EPA but not DMSO or EDTA could effectively inhibit the expression of CD44 or CD133.

It is beneficial for colorectal cancer patients to undergo CTC detection, in order to monitor cancer progression or treatment response. However, heterogeneity and scarcity of the cells are two major obstacles for CTC detection and verification. To overcome the issue of scarcity of CTCs, some researchers have suggested that culturing and characterizing human CTCs can provide better understandings of how treatments can be improved (15,17,18). Because only a small amount of blood is drawn from a patient, researchers have to promote the survival of self-renewing cells in order to characterize CTCs through cancer stem cells. In addition, studies have shown that the volume of blood sample was a limiting factor for flow cytometry-based CTC assays, thus culturing CTCs may increase the accuracy of detection. In order to mimic the potential few colorectal cancer stem cells that might be retrieved from CTC samples, we investigated four different initial seeding concentrations of Caco-2 cells to investigate the sensitivity of flow cytometry. Our results demonstrated that when fewer cancer stem cells were
seeded the expression of surface markers was reduced. The flow cytometry results indicated that still ~50% of the cells co-expressed CD44 and CD133, and these cells could be detected when the initial seeding concentration was $2.5 \times 10^5$ cells/mL. Therefore, we provided useful information that cancer stem cells in CTCs could still be detected at the lowest initial concentration we tested. Whether such cancer stem cell concentrations in CTCs are clinically applicable will require further investigation.

Many researchers have demonstrated the effects of EPA and another omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid, docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), for their anti-colorectal cancer cell activity in vitro (19). The anti-colorectal cancer cell activity of EPA and DHA has been shown to be accomplished through the promotion of apoptosis and inhibition of colorectal cancer cell proliferation, especially when combined with other chemicals (20). When we treated Caco-2 cells with EPA, we observed poor cell growth. When the surface markers of EPA-treated cells were evaluated, single expression of CD44 or CD133 was 0% but co-expression was 45.74%. The result suggested that the activity of cancer stem cells was not completely inhibited by EPA in this treatment. It was not surprising, since it has been shown that single expression of CD44 or CD133 could be used for the detection of colorectal tumor cells but not cancer stem cells (21). This information could
be useful in the future for evaluating colorectal cancer patients who were treated with EPA; colorectal cancer stem cells may still be present to induce recurrence even though tumor cells have been inhibited.

In contrast, expression of either CD44 or CD133 alone was high but co-expression of both markers was low in DMSO and EDTA-treated cells. DMSO is often used as a dissolvent or a control when testing the effects of drugs on colorectal cancer cells (21). It has been shown that, when the platinum drugs are dissolved in DMSO, DMSO reacts with the drugs, which inhibits the drug from promoting colorectal cancer cell death (22). However, it has not been shown that DMSO affects the surface marker expression of colorectal cancer cells. Under the concentration we evaluated in this study, DMSO caused lower co-expression of CD44 and CD133, suggesting the population of colorectal cancer stem cells was reduced but not colorectal tumor cells. More investigation will be required in order to confirm whether DMSO-treated cells lost the self-renewing ability and underwent differentiation. EDTA is a commonly used anticoagulant for blood sample transportation and storage. However, it has been shown that EDTA could reduce EpCAM expression, resulting in degradation of breast cancer cells in CTCs (23). Our results also suggested that EDTA would decrease the co-expression of CD44 and CD133 in Caco-2 cells. Our data provides evidence that another anticoagulant for blood samples should be considered to replace EDTA, in order to reduce the risk of damaging CTCs.

The study has limitations, since only the expression of CD44 and CD133 were investigated when Caco-2 cells were cultured in different conditions; EpCAM expression should be investigated in future studies. More colorectal cancer cell lines should be investigated to understand whether the results we observed were Caco-2-specific. In addition, CTC lines from colorectal cancer patients after different treatments should be evaluated in order to obtain a better understanding of the surface marker profiles.

**Conclusions**

We showed that the co-expression of CD44 and CD133 was initial seeding concentration dependent, and the co-expression was still above 50% at the lowest seeding concentration we investigated. Our results demonstrated both single and double expression of CD44 and CD133 should be considered when treating colorectal cancer stem

| Marker    | Initial seeding concentration (cell/mL) |
|-----------|----------------------------------------|
|           | 1.0×10⁶ | 7.5×10⁵ | 5.0×10⁵ | 2.5×10⁵ |
| CD44⁺ (%) | 85.28   | 39.38   | 37.21   | 37.91   |
| CD133⁺ (%)| 77.14   | 42.00   | 40.84   | 41.73   |
| CD44⁺CD133⁺ (%) | 76.62 | 56.93 | 52.48 | 50.04 |

Table 1 The single and combined expression of CD44 and CD133 in Caco-2 cells at various initial seeding concentrations

| Marker    | Culture condition |
|-----------|-------------------|
|           | EPA | DMSO | EDTA |
| CD44⁺ (%) | 0   | 52.64 | 77.14 |
| CD133⁺ (%)| 0   | 70.97 | 85.99 |
| CD44⁺CD133⁺ (%) | 45.74 | 18.00 | 27.45 |

Table 2 The single and combined expression of CD44 and CD133 when Caco-2 is cultured in different conditions

EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide; EDTA, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid.
cells in different conditions, in order to better understand cancer stem cell properties.
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