CircRNA circVEGFC is Highly Expressed in Gestational Diabetes Mellitus (GDM) and It is Correlated with Multiple Adverse Events
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Background: Circular RNA vascular endothelial growth factor C (circVEGFC) is a novel regulator of glucose metabolism, while its role in gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is unclear. This study aimed to detect the expression of circVEGFC in GDM and explore its clinical values.

Methods: This study enrolled 220 pregnant women (gestational age less than 5 weeks) with normal blood glucose level on the day of admission. The expression of circVEGFC in plasma samples of these participants was determined by RT-qPCR. The participants were divided into high and low circVEGFC level groups with the median expression level of plasma circVEGFC as the cutoff value. The development of GDM was monitored until delivery. Adverse events were also monitored.

Results: Compared to low circVEGFC level group, GDM-free curve analysis revealed significantly higher incidence of GDM in high circVEGFC level group. In addition, plasma expression levels of circVEGFC were also higher in GDM patients than that in non-GDM patients on the day of admission and at 1 month before and after delivery. ROC curve analysis revealed that high expression levels of circVEGFC on the day of admission showed higher sensitivity and specificity in the early diagnosis of GDM. Moreover, high circVEGFC level group showed higher incidence rates of fetal malformation and hypertension.

Conclusion: Therefore, circVEGFC is highly expressed in GDM, and it is correlated with multiple adverse events.
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Introduction

In many cases, pregnant women may not produce enough insulin, resulting in the development of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM). GDM is a serious complication of pregnancy caused by the dysfunction of pancreatic β cells, which are responsible for the production, storage and secretion of insulin. It was estimated that more than 12% of pregnant women will develop GDM, and the incidence increases with aging. Without proper treatment, GDM may cause adverse events to both mother and the fetus. Exercise, proper hydration, stress and sleep management and diet can be applied to control blood glucose in GDM patients. In severe cases, insulin injection can be performed. However, adverse events may inevitably occur. Therefore, novel approaches are needed to improve patients’ conditions.

At present, GDM is usually screened between 24 and 28 weeks of gestational age. However, pregnant women with high risk may develop GDM at early gestational age and the delayed diagnosis is the main cause of most adverse events. Therefore, the
development of early diagnostic predictor is still the key to improve the conditions of GDM patients and prevent adverse complications.\textsuperscript{10,11} Circular RNAs (circRNAs) are covalently linked long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) by back-splicing of exons.\textsuperscript{12} CircRNAs do not encode proteins and regulate human diseases, such as diabetes, mainly by regulating the expression of targeted genes.\textsuperscript{12} In effect, some differentially expressed circRNAs may serve as potential biomarkers for human diseases including GDM.\textsuperscript{12–14} Circular RNA vascular endothelial growth factor C (circVEGFC) is a novel regulator of glucose metabolism.\textsuperscript{15} CircVEGFC can promote high glucose-induced injuries of vascular endothelial cells by interacting with the miR-338-3p/HIF-1α/VEGFA axis,\textsuperscript{15} while its role in GDM is unknown. This study aimed to detect the expression of circVEGFC in GDM and explore its clinical values.

**Materials and Methods**

**Participants and Follow-Up**

The present study enrolled a total of 220 pregnant women (gestational age less than 5 weeks) who were admitted at West China Second Hospital of Sichuan University/Key Laboratory of Birth Defects and Related Diseases of Women and Children (Sichuan University), Ministry of Education from May 2018 to May 2021. During this time period, 1244 women in early pregnancy (the number of all pregnant women enrolled was more than 10 times higher) were admitted to this hospital, but only 248 out of them agreed to participate in this study. Moreover, 28 out of the 248 women were excluded due to the existence of certain clinical disorders. Blood samples were collected from all participants to exclude high blood glucose. Participants with a history of any types of diabetes were excluded from this study. This study also excluded participants with severe diseases, such as cancer, metabolic disorders and chronic infections. Patients were monitored until one month after delivery. A blood sugar level higher than 190 mg/dL prior to delivery was used as the criteria to diagnose GDM. During follow-up, adverse events were also monitored and recorded. The Ethics Committee of West China Second Hospital of Sichuan University/Key Laboratory of Birth Defects and Related Diseases of Women and Children (Sichuan University), Ministry of Education approved this study. This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All patients signed the informed consent.

**Preparation of RNA Samples**

Total RNAs were extracted from both in vitro cultivated cells and tissues from patients using GenElute™ Mammalian Total RNA Miniprep Kit. Total RNA samples were separated through centrifugation using silica membranes. After that, DNase I was used to remove genomic DNA in the RNA samples. RNA concentration and integrity were determined using Bioanalyzer.

**Preparation of cDNA Samples and qPCRs**

A total of 1 µg total RNAs were used to synthesize cDNA samples using iScript™ cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad). The prepared cDNA samples were used as template to perform qPCRs to determine the expression levels of circVEGFC with 18S rRNA as the internal control. Ct values of circVEGFC were normalized to 18S rRNA through the method of delta Ct.

**Statistical Analysis**

Comparisons between two groups were performed using Student’s $t$-test. With the median expression level of circVEGFC as the cutoff value, the 220 participants were divided into high and low circVEGFC level groups (n = 110). GDM-free curves were plotted for both groups and compared by Log rank test. Diagnostic value of the plasma expression levels of circVEGFC on the day of admission for GDM were analyzed with ROC curve analysis. Repeated measures ANOVA was applied to compare multiple time points in the same group. $P < 0.05$ was statistically significant.

**Results**

**High Plasma Expression Levels of circVEGFC Predicted High Incidence of GDM**

To explore the predictive value of plasma circVEGFC on the day of admission for GDM, the 220 participants were
divided into high and low circVEGFC level groups (n = 110) with the median expression level of circVEGFC as the cutoff value. GDM-free curves were plotted for both groups and compared by Log rank test. A total of 32 cases of GDM were diagnosed. Compared to low circVEGFC level group, GDM-free curve analysis revealed significantly higher incidence of GDM in high circVEGFC level group (Figure 1). Therefore, high plasma expression levels of circVEGFC predicted higher incidence of GDM.

The Expression Levels of circVEGFC Were Higher in GDM Patients Than That in Non-GDM Patients at Multiple Time Points

According to the diagnosis of GDM, the 220 patients were divided into GDM (n = 32) and non-GDM groups (n = 188). Plasma expression levels of circVEGFC were compared between these two groups at 3 time points, including the day of admission (Figure 2A), one month prior to delivery (Figure 2B) and 1 month after delivery (Figure 2C). At all 3 time points, plasma expression levels of circVEGFC were higher in GDM patients than that in non-GDM patients ($p < 0.05$ or $p < 0.01$). Diabetes (type 2) was detected in all GDM patients at 6 and 12 weeks after delivery. Only 2 cases of diabetes were diagnosed at 6 weeks after delivery and only 1 case was diagnosed at 12 weeks after delivery.

The Expression Levels of circVEGFC Were Increased in GDM Group, but Not in Non-GDM Group During the Follow-Up

Plasma expression levels of circVEGFC were compared among the 3 time points, including the day of admission, one month prior to delivery and 1 month after delivery, in both GDM (Figure 3A) and non-GDM (Figure 3B) groups.

Figure 2 Plasms expression levels of circVEGFC were also higher in GDM patients than that in non-GDM patients at multiple time points. According to the diagnosis of GDM, the 220 patients were divided into GDM (n = 32) and non-GDM groups (n = 188). Plasma expression levels of circVEGFC were compared between two groups at three time points, including the day of admission (A), one month prior to delivery (B) and 1 month after delivery (C). *$p < 0.05$; **$p < 0.01$. 
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**Figure 2** Plasms expression levels of circVEGFC were also higher in GDM patients than that in non-GDM patients at multiple time points. According to the diagnosis of GDM, the 220 patients were divided into GDM (n = 32) and non-GDM groups (n = 188). Plasma expression levels of circVEGFC were compared between two groups at three time points, including the day of admission (A), one month prior to delivery (B) and 1 month after delivery (C). *$p < 0.05$; **$p < 0.01$. 
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**Figure 3** The expression levels of circVEGFC were increased in GDM group, but not in non-GDM group during the follow-up.
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**Table 1** Summary of plasma expression levels of circVEGFC at different time points.
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**Table 2** Summary of plasma expression levels of circVEGFC at different time points.
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**Table 3** Summary of plasma expression levels of circVEGFC at different time points.
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**Table 4** Summary of plasma expression levels of circVEGFC at different time points.
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**Table 5** Summary of plasma expression levels of circVEGFC at different time points.
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**Table 6** Summary of plasma expression levels of circVEGFC at different time points.
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**Table 7** Summary of plasma expression levels of circVEGFC at different time points.
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**Table 8** Summary of plasma expression levels of circVEGFC at different time points.
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**Table 9** Summary of plasma expression levels of circVEGFC at different time points.
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**Table 10** Summary of plasma expression levels of circVEGFC at different time points.

---

**Table 11** Summary of plasma expression levels of circVEGFC at different time points.
It was observed that plasma expression levels of circVEGFC were significantly increased in GDM group (Figure 3A, \( p < 0.05 \) or \( p < 0.01 \)), but not in non-GDM group (Figure 3B) during the follow-up.

The Expression Levels of circVEGFC Provide High Sensitivity and Specificity in Early Diagnosis of GDM

With GDM patients as true positive cases and non-GDM patients as true negative cases, the early diagnostic value of plasma expression levels of circVEGFC for GDM was analyzed by performing ROC curve analysis. High expression levels of circVEGFC on the day of admission showed higher sensitivity and specificity in the early diagnosis of GDM (Figure 4; area under the curve = 0.8927).

High Expression Levels of circVEGFC Were Correlated with Fetal Malformation and Hypertension

Occurrence of multiple adverse events, including premature delivery, miscarriage, intrauterine distress, intrauterine death, intrauterine infection, fetal malformation, hypertension and macrosomia, were recorded and compared between high and low circVEGFC groups. It was observed that high expression levels of circVEGFC were correlated with fetal malformation and hypertension (Table 1), but not other adverse events. Besides, using Youden's index as the cutoff value for grouping, essentially the same results were obtained (data not shown). In contrast, GDM was closely correlated with all monitored adverse effects, including malformation, hypertension, premature delivery, miscarriage, intrauterine distress, intrauterine death, intrauterine infection and macrosomia (Table 1).

Discussion

In this study, we explored the differential expression of circVEGFC in GDM and evaluated its potential in predicting GDM and its adverse complications. We showed that circVEGFC may serve as an early predictor of GDM.

CircVEGFC is a novel circRNA with critical functions in high glucose-induced cell injury.\(^{15}\) It was reported that
circVEGFC may interact with the miR-338-3p/HIF-1α/VEGFA axis to promote the injuries of vascular endothelial cells induced by high glucose.\textsuperscript{15} It is well established that high glucose-induced cell injuries contribute to the development of GDM and its complications.\textsuperscript{16} Therefore, circVEGFC is likely involved in GDM. In this study, it reported increased expression levels of circVEGFC in GDM patients at multiple time points. Interestingly, the expression levels of circVEGFC were further increased after delivery. The possible explanation is that, besides pregnancy, circVEGFC is also involved in other physiological and pathological processes after delivery. Although certain biomarkers, such as HbA1c, have been developed to predict GDM in the first trimester, the applications of these biomarkers are limited by the low sensitivity and specificity.\textsuperscript{17} In this study, increased expression levels of circVEGFC on the day of admission were observed in females who developed GDM during follow-up, and increased expression levels of circVEGFC on the day of admission effectively distinguished GDM patients from the controls. Moreover, pregnant women in high circVEGFC level group showed a significantly higher incidence of GDM compared to the ones in low circVEGFC level group. Therefore, detecting the expression levels of circVEGFC in plasma of pregnant women may assist the identification of pregnant women with high risk of GDM, thereby assisting the development of individualized preventative approaches. However, this study only enrolled 220 Han Chinese. Conclusions of the present study should be validated by future studies with more participants from different populations.

In conclusion, circVEGFC was upregulated in GDM. High expression levels of circVEGFC may serve as an early predictor of GDM and its adverse events.

**Abbreviation**

GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus.
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