Long gamma-ray bursts and their host galaxies at high redshift
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ABSTRACT

Motivated by the recent observational and theoretical evidence that long gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) are likely associated with low metallicity, rapidly rotating massive stars, we examine the cosmological star formation rate (SFR) below a critical metallicity \( Z_{\text{crit}} \approx 1/10–1/5 Z_\odot \), to estimate the event rate of high redshift long GRB progenitors. To this purpose, we exploit a galaxy formation scenario already successfully tested on a wealth of observational data on (proto)spheroids, Lyman break galaxies, Lyman \( \alpha \) emitters, submm galaxies, quasars and local early-type galaxies. We find that the predicted rate of long GRBs amounts to about 300 events yr\(^{-1}\) sr\(^{-1}\), of which about 30 per cent occur at \( z \gtrsim 6 \). Correspondingly, the GRB number counts well agree with the bright \emph{SWIFT} data, without the need for an intrinsic luminosity evolution. Moreover, the above framework enables us to predict the properties of the GRB host galaxies. Most GRBs are associated with low-mass galaxy haloes \( M_H \lesssim 10^{11} M_\odot \), and effectively trace the formation of small galaxies in such haloes. The hosts are young, with age smaller than \( 5 \times 10^7 \) yr, gas rich, but poorly extincted (\( A_V \lesssim 0.1 \)) because of their chemical immaturity; this also implies high specific SFR and quite extreme \( \alpha \)-enhancement. Only the minority of hosts residing in large haloes with \( M_H \gtrsim 10^{12} M_\odot \) has larger extinction (\( A_V \sim 0.7–1 \)), SFRs exceeding \( 100 M_\odot \) yr\(^{-1}\) and can be detected at submm wavelengths. Most of the hosts have ultraviolet magnitudes in the range \( -20 \lesssim M_{1350} \lesssim -16 \), and Lyman \( \alpha \) luminosity in the range \( 2 \times 10^{40} \lesssim L_{\text{Lyman}\alpha} \lesssim 2 \times 10^{42} \) erg s\(^{-1}\). GRB hosts are thus tracing the faint end of the luminosity function of Lyman break galaxies and Lyman \( \alpha \) emitters. Finally, our results imply that the population of ‘dark’ GRBs occur mostly in faint hosts at high redshift, rather than in dusty hosts at low redshift.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The spectroscopic detection of the energetic supernova SN 2003dh coincident with GRB 030329 (Hjorth et al. 2003; Stanek et al. 2003) has firmly established that – at least some – long GRBs accompany the core collapse of massive stars, as it was first suggested by the spatial and temporal coincidence of GRB 980425 and SN 1998bw (Galama et al. 1998). Such spectroscopic signatures of supernovae (SNe) associated with GRBs have been detected in a handful of cases during the last years, e.g. GRB 031203n/SN 2003lw (Malesani et al. 2004), GRB 021211/SN 2002lt (Della Valle et al. 2003), GRB 050525A/SN 2005nc (Della Valle et al. 2006) and the recent case of GRB 060218/SN 2006aj (Campana et al. 2006; Modjaz et al. 2006). The possibility that core-collapse SNe are progenitors of GRBs has been further supported by the detection of re-brightening in the late-time afterglow light curves, interpreted as a contribution of accompanying SNe (Bloom et al. 1999; Castro-Tirado & Gorosabel 1999; Zeh, Klose & Hartmann 2004) and the localizations of afterglows in star-forming regions (e.g. Fruchter et al. 1999; Holland & Hjorth 1999; Fynbo et al. 2000; Bloom, Kulkarni & Djorgovski 2002).

Indeed, the current most favoured scenario for the origin of long GRBs involves the collapse of a massive Wolf–Rayet star.
endowed with rotation (Woosley 1993; MacFadyen & Woosley 1999; Woosley & Heger 2004). Recently, Yoon & Langer (2005) considered the evolution of massive, magnetized stars where rapid rotation induces an almost chemically homogeneous evolution, and found that the requirements of this collapsar model are satisfied if the metallicity is sufficiently small, namely less than 0.1 Z⊙ (see Woosley & Heger 2006; Yoon, Langer & Norman 2006). This is broadly consistent with the estimates of metallicities of long GRB hosts, which yielded preferentially subsolar – down to 10−2 Z⊙ – values (e.g. Chen et al. 2005; Gorosabel et al. 2005; Starling et al. 2005).

Clearly, this scenario has key implications not only on the physics of the event and evolution of massive stars at low metallicities, but also on the event rate and redshift distribution of long GRBs compared with that of SNe. The cosmological consequences of a metallicity threshold can be explored by considering an average cosmic metallicity evolution, as in the work by Langer & Norman (2006). In this paper, we instead explore the effects of a metallicity threshold following the star formation and chemical evolution of individual galaxies.

In order to derive the rates of progenitors and the characteristics of their host galaxies at high redshift, the star formation history and the chemical evolution for a large range of galaxy mass and virialization redshift must be computed. Baryon condensation in cold gas, stars and in a central massive black hole (BH) within galaxy Dark Matter (DM) haloes is a quite complex outcome of a number of physical processes (including shock waves, radiative and shock heating, viscosity, radiative cooling; star formation, BH accretion, gas inflow and outflow) largely affecting each other (see Granato et al. 2001, 2004; Croton et al. 2006; De Lucia et al. 2006). When treating these processes within a self-consistent cosmological framework of galaxy formation, most of the complexity is related to the different scales involved.

For the sake of definiteness, here we adopt as a reference the galaxy formation scenario developed by Granato et al. (2004), which consistently accounts for the coevolution of spheroidal galaxies and their nuclear activity and intrinsically follows in time the gas content, star formation rate (SFR) and metallicity evolution for each galaxy mass. This enables us to investigate in detail the effect of a metallicity threshold on the properties not only of the GRB population, but also of their host galaxies.

The outline of this paper is the following. In Section 2, we briefly review the conceptual issues of the adopted galaxy formation scenario and explain how the GRB progenitor rate has been estimated. Our results are presented in Section 3. In Section 4, we discuss our findings, by comparing them with observational results and previous studies. In Section 5, we summarize our conclusions. Throughout the paper, a flat cosmological model with matter density parameter Ωm = 0.27 and Hubble constant H₀ = 72 km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹ is adopted.

## 2 MODELLING

### 2.1 Overview of the galaxy formation scenario

Long GRBs at high redshift (z ≥ 1) have progenitors which formed at least 8 Gyr ago. Their coeval stellar populations are as old as the populations of spheroidal galaxies and spiral bulges, and older than the populations in present galaxy discs (see Renzini 2006); thus, high redshift long GRBs trace the formation history of the oldest stellar populations. Low-redshift GRBs conversely trace the star formation in small-mass irregular/interacting galaxies with low SFR (Fruchter et al. 2006; Wainwright, Berger & Penprase 2007).

Since we focus on high-z GRBs, in the following we will neglect the contribution of star formation in discs of present-day spiral galaxies.

We exploit the physical model elaborated by Granato et al. (2001, 2004), which follows the evolution of baryons within protogalactic spheroids taking into account the effects of the energy fed back to the intragalactic gas by SN explosions and by accretion on to the nuclear supermassive BH (see also Croton et al. 2006; De Lucia et al. 2006). The model envisages that during or soon after the formation of the host DM halo, the baryons falling into the newly created potential well are shock-heated to the virial temperature. The hot gas is (moderately) clumpy and cools fast especially in the denser central regions, yielding a strong burst of star formation. Star formation also promotes the storage of the cooled gas into a reservoir around the central seed BH, eventually leading to accretion on to it (see Kawakatu & Umemura 2002). The ensuing SN explosions and the nuclear activity feed energy back to the baryons, and regulate the ongoing SFR and BH growth. These mutual energy feedbacks actually reverse the formation sequence of the baryonic component of galaxies compared to that of DM haloes: the star formation and the buildup of central BHs are completed more rapidly in the more massive haloes, thus accounting for the phenomenon now commonly referred to as downsizing (e.g. Cowie et al. 1996; Glazebrook et al. 2004; Kodama et al. 2004).

In Appendix A, we present a simplified version of basic equations of the model, which allows to derive analytical solutions for the time evolution of SFR, mass in stars and metallicity, the quantities relevant to this work. These analytical functions are very good approximations of the more complex system of equations numerically solved in Granato et al. (2004; see for details Lapi et al. 2006; Mao et al. 2007). Because of their fundamental character, these equations catch the basic aspects of the physical processes ruling star formation in protogalaxies at high redshift. The adopted initial mass function (IMF) is a double power law with slope 1.25 from 120 to 1 M⊙ and 0.4 from 1 M⊙ down to 0.1 M⊙ (Romano et al. 2002), which is quite similar to that proposed by Chabrier (2005).

In Fig. 1, we present the results for DM haloes virialized at z = 6 and endowed with mass ranging from 10¹⁰ to 10¹⁰ M⊙. For masses Mh ≳ 10¹³ M⊙, the SFR increases almost linearly with galaxy age in the initial stages, and then it is suddenly halted by the energy feedback from the quasar after a few 10⁸ yr. On the contrary, for Mh ≲ 10¹² M⊙ it is first almost constant and then slowly declines due to gas exhaustion. In fact, for small masses the effect of SNe feedback regulates the star formation, while the BH is rather small there and the SFR can proceed for a much longer time (see equation 16 in Shankar et al. 2006).

The mass cycled through stars at any time is easily obtained by integrating the SFR (see Fig. 1). Note that the quantities plotted in Fig. 1 refer to redshift z = 6; however, both SFR and mass in stars scale approximately as (1 + z)² for a given halo mass.

From the figure, it is apparent that the chemical enrichment of the cold gas component is very rapid; for example, with the adopted IMF the gas attains 1/100 and 1/10 the solar abundance in about 1.2 × 10⁷ and 5 × 10⁷ yr, respectively, almost independently of the halo mass and redshift. A Salpeter IMF yields time-scales about twice as long. This rapid enrichment is due to the fast evolution and formation time-scales (t* see Appendix A) for the massive stars (greater than 10 M⊙) relative to the time-scale for the infall of the diffuse medium with primordial composition, which dilutes the metallicity of the cold star-forming gas. This behaviour shows that a possible change of the IMF at metallicity lower than a threshold around 3–5 × 10⁻⁴ Z⊙ (see Bromm et al. 2001; Schneider et al. 2006) is not critical for the issue related to long GRBs. In other
words, if long GRBs are associated with low-metallicity environments, the quick enrichment implies that the most relevant epoch for GRB progenitors comes soon after halo virialization.

This galaxy formation model neglects spatial resolution and assumes instantaneous mixing, that is, it averages both SFR and chemical composition over the entire mass of cold gas. We stress that the cold gas is only a small fraction of the overall baryons associated with the galaxy halo (cf. Appendix A), and thus the averaging concerns the mass/volume of the protogalaxy wherein star formation is occurring and not the overall DM halo mass/volume. On the other hand, metallicity gradients have been extensively discussed in the context of our model by Negrello et al. (2007).

2.2 Estimate of long GRB progenitor rates

The key ingredients provided by the galaxy formation model related to this work are the SFR $M_\star(t)$ and the average gas metallicity $Z(t)$ as a function of the age $t$ for an individual galaxy within a given halo mass $M_H$ virialized at redshift $z$ (see Appendix A for handy approximations). Note that $Z$ refers to the average metallicity of the gaseous component from which new stars form.

The cosmic SFR per unit volume at redshift $z$ contributed by objects with average-gas metallicity $Z < Z_{\text{cut}}$ is thus given by

$$\mathcal{S} \mathcal{F} \mathcal{R}(z)_{Z < Z_{\text{cut}}} = \int dM_\star dM_H \frac{d^2 N_{\text{SN}}}{dM_H dt} \frac{d}{d \ln M_\star} T_{Z > Z_{\text{cut}}},$$

(1)

where $d^2 N_{\text{SN}}/dM_H dt$ are the formation rates of DM haloes at cosmic time $t$, computed using the Sheth & Tormen (1999) mass function, and $T_{Z > Z_{\text{cut}}}$ is the time the galaxy spends at SFR higher than $M_\star$ and metallicity lower than $Z_{\text{cut}}$.

It is plausible that the core collapse, believed to give rise to the formation of a BH and a GRB event, is related to the final phases of the evolution of stars with masses greater than 12 $M_\odot$ and with rapidly rotating cores (Yoon & Langer 2005; Woosley & Heger 2006; Yoon et al. 2006). From a theoretical point of view, a major issue in this respect is the large mass loss that would entail also large angular momentum loss. This problem is possibly alleviated in stars of metallicity below a critical threshold lower than 1 $Z_\odot$, $386–618$

Figure 1. SFR, stellar mass, specific SFR, average cold gas metallicity, cold gas mass and extinction at 1350 Å (from top to bottom panel) as a function of the galactic age for haloes of masses $10^{10} M_\odot$ (solid lines), $10^{11} M_\odot$ (dotted lines), $10^{12} M_\odot$ (dashed lines), $10^{13} M_\odot$ (dotted lines), virialized at redshift $z = 6$. The vertical lines mark the epoch when the cold gas metallicity attains the critical thresholds $Z_\odot/10$ (green) and $Z_\odot/5$ (red).
2.3 Long GRB number counts

The number of GRBs at redshift greater than $z$ is

$$R^{\text{obs}}_{\text{GRB}}(z > z) \approx f_{\text{beam}} \int_{z}^{\infty} \frac{R_{\text{prog}}(z')}{1 + z'} \frac{dV}{dz'},$$

(3)

where $V$ is the cosmological volume per unit solid angle and the factor $(1 + z)^{-1}$ accounts for time dilation effects due to redshift. Moreover, recall that GRBs are believed to be anisotropic phenomena owed to the flow collimation and/or relativistic beaming effects. Thus, only a fraction $f_{\text{beam}}$ of the estimated progenitors prompt emission would point towards us within an opening angle $2\theta$ and could then be observed as a GRB. For the events for which a (jet) opening angle have been estimated\(^1\) assuming that an afterglow light curve break owed to a jetted structure with a half angle $\theta$ (Ghirlanda et al. 2007), the median value $\theta \approx 6$° has been inferred (see also Guetta et al. 2005); correspondingly, $f_{\text{beam}} \approx 5.5 \times 10^{-3} (\theta/6)^{2}$. The expected number of long GRBs per unit mass of formed stars within metal-poor environments ($Z < Z_{\text{crit}}$) is thus $k \approx 1.5 \times 10^{-6} (n_{\text{SN}}/1.4 \times 10^{-5}) (f_{\text{prog}}/0.02)/(\theta/6)^{2} M_{\odot}$.

The long GRB number counts at flux limit $S_{\text{lim}}$ and redshift $z > z$ are given as

$$R^{\text{obs}}_{\text{GRB}}(z > z; S_{\text{lim}}) \approx f_{\text{beam}} \int_{z}^{\infty} \frac{R_{\text{prog}}(z')}{1 + z'} \frac{dV}{dz'} \int_{L_{\text{lim}}}^{\infty} P(L) \, dL;$$

(4)

in this expression, $P(L)$ is the equivalent isotropic luminosity distribution (see Section 3.2 for details), and $L_{\text{lim}}$ is the luminosity corresponding to the limiting flux, given by

$$L_{\text{lim}}(z) = \frac{4\pi d_{L}(z)^{2}}{K(z)} S_{\text{lim}},$$

(5)

in terms of the luminosity distance $d_{L}(z)$ and $K$-correction $K(z)$; note that the latter quantity depends on the GRB spectra, see Section 3.2 for details.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Long GRB progenitor rates and redshift distribution

If progenitors of long GRBs are metal-poor massive stars, the metal abundance $Z$ of the cold gas wherein stars form is a crucial physical parameter. Fig. 2 shows the cosmological SFR for the overall galaxy population and for systems with $Z \lesssim Z_{\text{crit}} \approx 0.1$ and $0.2 Z_{\odot}$ as function of redshift. The model prediction can be fitted with the approximate formula

$$\log \left( \frac{S_{\text{FR}}(z)_{Z < Z_{\text{crit}}}}{M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-3}} \right) = a + b(z - z_{\text{max}})^{2},$$

(6)

where $a = -1.4 (-3.2), b = -3.8 (-3.2) \times 10^{-2}$ and $z_{\text{max}} = 4.5 (6.5)$ for $Z_{\text{crit}} = 0 (Z_{\odot}/10)$.

The predicted overall SFR reproduces fairly well the extinction-corrected data at $2 \lesssim z \lesssim 6$, with reasonable correction for dust extinction. At $z \lesssim 1$, the observed SFR is underestimated due to the fact that intrinsically our model does not account for the contribution of star formation in discs of present spiral galaxies. On the other hand, only a minor fraction (less than 20 per cent) of long GRBs with redshift determination (though it is difficult to quantify the observational biases which certainly affect this percentage) are located at $z \lesssim 1$, while we are interested in the bulk of the (high redshift) GRB population (cf. Section 2.1).

As expected the SFR in GRB hosts decreases with $Z_{\text{crit}}$, but the effect is differential with redshift. Since the time-scale $t_{\text{crit}} \lesssim 5 \times 10^{5}$ yr to reach the critical metallicity threshold is almost independent of mass and redshift (cf. Fig. 1), its ratio to the cosmic time increases towards high $z$ for all haloes. The fraction of overall cosmic star formation occurring in metal-poor protogalaxies raises with redshift, and so does the predicted rate of GRB progenitors. The net effect is that the expected redshift distribution of long GRB progenitors peaks to a redshift significantly higher than that of the cosmic SFR. As a consequence, the higher the redshift the more directly GRBs mirror the cosmic SFR. The fall off of star formation beyond $z > 10$ in the galaxy formation model is a consequence of the effect of the SN feedback; at increasing $z$, the decrease of star formation $\propto M_{\text{inst}}^{b}$ is not balanced by the rise in the number of virialized haloes.

The long GRB progenitor rate shown in Fig. 2 can be integrated over cosmic time to obtain the normalized redshift distribution reproduced Fig. 3. For the case $Z_{\text{crit}} = Z_{\odot}/10$, the fraction of progenitors is around 60 per cent at $z = 4$ and around 30 per cent at $z > 6$.

If the threshold $Z_{\text{crit}}$ were to refer to stellar rather than interstellar medium (ISM) metallicity, the time within which GRB progenitors can be produced in any galaxy halo would be overestimated by a factor of around 2. This would, in turn, double the duty cycle and, hence, the number of progenitors. The difference in the predictions worsens with higher $Z_{\text{crit}}$ threshold, to the point where the threshold might never be reached in small galaxies, which then can host GRB progenitors over the whole Hubble time. Again the effect is differential with redshift, with a larger increase in the progenitor number at higher $z$.

3.2 Long GRB counts with SWIFT

In order to assess whether a scenario in which single metal poor, rotating massive stars are the GRB progenitors is tenable within the adopted galaxy model framework, we estimated the predicted long

\(^{1}\) These estimates maybe clearly affected by selection effects, though not easy to quantify.
\[ \delta = \text{mean log} \]

As discussed in Sections 2.2 and 2.3, we consider a number of observed GRBs per unit mass \( k \approx 1.9 \times 10^{-4} \, M_\odot \) and a metallicity threshold \( Z_{\text{crit}} \approx 1/10-1/5 \, Z_\odot \).

In order to estimate the observable number of GRBs as function of limiting flux, we assume that the prompt GRB luminosity distribution can be characterized, independently of redshift, as

\[ P(L) \propto L^{-\delta} e^{-L/L_k}, \]

with a low-luminosity cut-off at \( L_k = 3 \times 10^{51} \, \text{erg s}^{-1} \), and slope \( \delta = 2 \). This parametrization is consistent with those constrained by Daigne, Rossi & Mochkovitch (2006) and Guetta, Piran & Waxman (2005). As for the spectrum, we adopted – as commonly done – a typical Band representation, with low- and high-energy slopes \( \alpha = -1 \) and \( \beta = -2.25 \), respectively. The peak energy is considered to follow a lognormal distribution (Preece et al. 2000) with mean log \( E_{\text{peak},0} = 2.74 \) and dispersion \( \sigma = 0.3 \, \text{dex} \) (see Daigne et al. 2006). Although such spectral parameters have been estimated from bright BATSE GRBs (Preece et al. 2000), this provides the simplest hypothesis which – given the relatively small effect owed to the K-correction – appears adequate for the consistency check we intended to perform.

The predicted number counts are shown in Fig. 4 together with the actual GRB SWIFT counts. A meaningful comparison can only consider ‘bright’ SWIFT GRBs, namely above a photon flux of 1 ph s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\); as below this level some degree of incompleteness is expected (Band 2006).

As it is apparent from Fig. 4, a reasonable agreement with the SWIFT counts can be obtained after the above assumptions. It should be pointed out that we did not perform any fitting optimization, but simply compared the counts predicted by the model with the data, under the simplified and commonly adopted assumptions on the luminosity and spectral energy GRB distributions outlined above.

A very interesting aspect concerns the fact that the counts can be reasonably reproduced without requiring any GRB prompt luminosity evolution (e.g. Daigne et al. 2006). This is a natural consequence of the fact that our redshift progenitor distribution intrinsically peaks at redshift higher than the cosmic star formation. Indeed, if we were to reproduce the number counts with no metallicity threshold, the number of GRBs per unit mass of formed stars \( (k) \) would have to be a factor of about 10 lower, which would imply that the bulk of the progenitors would be located at lower redshift.

The predicted redshift distribution of GRB events for different flux limits is presented in Fig. 5. This shows that already at fluxes \( S \gtrsim 1 \, \text{ph s}^{-1} \, \text{cm}^{-2} \), we expect that 10 per cent of GRBs occur at \( z \gtrsim 6 \). Of course such a fraction increases with decreasing limiting flux, reaching around 30 per cent for \( S \gtrsim 10^{-2} \, \text{ph s}^{-1} \, \text{cm}^{-2} \); since this flux limit is below the present detectable level, the upper curve of Fig. 5 represents the redshift distribution of all currently observable GRBs.

More specifically, the model predicts that in two years 13 GRBs at \( z \gtrsim 6 \) and flux limit 1 ph s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\) should have been detected by SWIFT. So far, only 1 GRB has robust redshift estimate at \( z > 6 \). On the other hand, while it is difficult to quantify the efficiency of the redshift determination, especially for very high redshift events, this could well be less than 10 per cent.

### 3.3 GRB host galaxies

The exploited galaxy formation model also enables us to directly predict the properties of the GRB host galaxies (SFR, magnitude, stellar mass, average metallicity, extinction) as a function of the halo mass and age as well as the luminosity function (LF) and the corresponding SFR distribution of the overall population.

As discussed in Section 2.1, during the evolution of individual galaxies, the metallicity of the star-forming gas attains the threshold \( Z_{\text{crit}} = 0.1-0.2 \, Z_\odot \) quite rapidly, within \( t_{\text{crit}} \approx 5 \times 10^7 \), and this timescale is basically independent of the host halo mass.
Interestingly, since this is much shorter than the halo virialization time-scale, $t_{\text{crit}} \ll t_H$, the GRB rates for fixed halo mass directly trace the cosmic rate of halo virialization. As a consequence, the GRB hosts mostly reside within galaxy haloes in the mass range $10^8 \lesssim M_H \lesssim 10^{11} M_\odot$, and only at $z \lesssim 4$, the fraction of hosts in massive haloes $M_H \gtrsim 10^{13} M_\odot$ exceeds 10 per cent. The relative number of GRBs for different halo masses as function of redshift is shown in Fig. 6.

The predicted evolution of the SFR and stellar mass as functions of galactic age and halo mass is reported in Fig. 1. For halo masses in the range $10^{10} - 10^{11} M_\odot$ and virialized at redshift $z \approx 6$ the expected SFR spans $0.3 - 10^3 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$, and the corresponding stellar masses cover the interval $10^6 \lesssim M_* \lesssim 2 \times 10^10 M_\odot$, with both quantities scaling as $(1 + z)^{1.5}$ at a given halo mass. The shortness of $t_{\text{crit}}$ yields high specific SFRs, $\dot{\Sigma}/M_* \gtrsim 2 \times 10^{-8}$, almost independently of the virialization redshift.

Now we turn to consider more directly observable properties of GRB hosts, such as the average ultraviolet (UV) extinction $A_{1350}$ and the corresponding extincted magnitude $M_{1350}$ at 1350 Å, again as function of galactic age. We recall that $M_{1350} \approx -18.6 - 2.5 \log(M_* / M_\odot)$ yr$^{-1} + A_{1350}$ (see Appendix A). Fig. 1 reports $A_{1350}$, which scales with redshift as $(1 + z)^{1.5}$, for various halo masses virialized at redshift $z = 6$. A key prediction of the model is apparent, namely that most GRB hosts are poorly extincted systems. Since the less massive hosts ($M_* \lesssim 10^{11} M_\odot$) largely outnumber the most massive ones, the typical $A_{1350}$ ranges between 0.01–0.3 mag, corresponding to $A_V \lesssim 0.1$. Larger dust extinction is predicted only for more massive hosts $M_* > 10^{11} M_\odot$, which exceed a few per cent of the total GRB hosts only at $z \lesssim 6$ (cf. Fig. 1).

The AB absolute magnitude at 1350 Å, $M_{1350}$, is reported in Fig. 7 for various halo masses and redshifts $z = 3$ and 6. The shaded area indicates the absolute magnitude range where the UV high-$z$ LF is currently well sampled. It is clear that the UV luminosity is practically not affected by dust, since the predicted extinction is low for galaxy ages less than $t_{\text{crit}}$.

Fig. 7 also shows the age dependence of the expected Lyman $\alpha$ luminosity at fixed halo mass. At variance with respect to the behaviour of the UV magnitude, the Lyman $\alpha$ luminosity of hosts in larger haloes is already declining for ages less than $t_{\text{crit}}$ at $z \gtrsim 6$, since Lyman $\alpha$ emission is sensitive not only to dust but also to neutral hydrogen absorption.

Anyhow we expect a strict correlation between GRB hosts and Lyman Break Galaxies (LBG) and Lyman $\alpha$ emitters (LAE). As the galaxy model here adopted reproduces the high-redshift UV LF of LBGs and LAEs (see Mao et al. 2007), it is meaningful to estimate the UV LF expected for GRB hosts, namely by imposing the condition $Z \lesssim Z_{\text{crit}} \approx 0.1 Z_\odot$. The result is shown in Fig. 8. In general, the conditional UV LF depends on the ratio between $t_{\text{crit}}$ and the time during which the host is brighter than a fixed absolute magnitude. As expected, the metallicity cut depresses the UV luminosity distribution, but this depression is minimal at the faint and bright ends, and maximum at intermediate values, as expected from the effects of the cut on $M_{1350}$ in individual systems.

In particular, the time spent by galaxies in large haloes as LBGs – before turning into strongly submm emitting galaxies because of dust attenuation – is short and comparable with $t_{\text{crit}}$. At the faint end, the luminosity of small hosts can fall below a fixed magnitude in a relatively short time, as shown in Fig. 8 for hosts in haloes with $M_H \approx 10^{10} M_\odot$. It is also apparent that intermediate-mass haloes and the corresponding intermediate-UV luminosity objects are significantly more affected by the condition on metallicity. Obviously, at high redshift, for example, $z \approx 10$, the cut reduces the visibility time-scale only by a small fraction.

We can conclude that GRB hosts should well reproduce the LBG LF at its faint and bright ends. Similar conclusions hold for LAE LF.
On the other hand, the model allows for the existence of GRB hosts increased fraction of observable hosts which are below threshold. $M_{10^{10}} M_{\odot}$, exhibit specific SFR $\dot{M}_{s}$/yr$^{-1}$ and should be rather extincted by dust (see Fig. 1).

Finally, we can also estimate the distribution of intrinsic SFRs. It is worth stressing that generally the hosts with SFRs exceeding a few $\times 10^2 M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$ are significantly less than the overall galaxy population. This suppression reflects not only the steep halo mass function, but also the short duty cycle of GRB hosts following the rapid metal enrichment in the initial stages of star formation. And again, the effect gets more pronounced at decreasing $z$ due to the increased fraction of observable hosts which are below threshold. On the other hand, the model allows for the existence of GRB hosts with SFRs exceeding a few $\times 10^2 M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$ within haloes endowed with $M_{\text{crit}} \gtrsim 10^{12} M_{\odot}$; as shown in Figs 1 and 8, the fraction of these hosts should amount to a few per cent of the total. Nevertheless, they should not have formed a large amount of stars $M_{*} \lesssim 10^{10} M_{\odot}$, exhibit specific SFR $M_{*}/M_{*} \gtrsim 10^{-8}$ yr$^{-1}$ and should be quite simple and plausible assumptions on the GRB jet opening angle, prompt gamma-ray luminosity distribution and spectral shape, without requiring any luminosity evolution (see Sections 2.3 and 3.2).

4 DISCUSSION

The adopted galaxy formation scenario coupled with the metal-poor collapsar model suggested by stellar evolution have been exploited to infer the above results, which include GRB counts and redshift distribution and the complete description of the relevant properties of their hosts, such as SFR, mass in stars, chemical evolution in the cold star-forming gas and the stellar component within individual galaxy haloes, with specified mass and formation redshift. In this Section 4, these results are discussed in the light of presently available observations and they are compared to previous studies.

4.1 GRB progenitor rates and GRB counts

The imposed metallicity threshold affects the GRB progenitor redshift distribution. Instead of peaking at around $z \approx 3$ as in the case without metallicity constrain, the threshold $Z_{\text{crit}} = Z_{\odot}/10$ yields a broad peak at $z \approx 6$ (Fig. 2). A significant fraction of progenitors are expected at high redshift: approximately 60 per cent at $z \gtrsim 4$ and approximately 30 per cent at $z \gtrsim 6$ (see Fig. 3). This behaviour is shared by all models which associate GRBs with metal-poor progenitors (e.g. Natarajan et al. 2005; Langer & Norman 2006; Salvaterra & Chincarini 2007).

In particular, our findings are similar to those inferred by Langer & Norman (2006), who explored the effect of a metallicity threshold in the environment of GRBs, by adopting a mass-stellar metallicity relation for galaxies and an average cosmic metallicity $[Z]$ dependence on redshift $d[Z]/dz \approx -0.15$ dex. This law, derived to reproduce the metallicity of stars in galaxies, has been extrapolated to the ISM. This extrapolation implies that at $z \gtrsim 7$ all cosmic star formation occurs in environments with $[Z] \lesssim 0.1 Z_{\odot}$, independently of the processes occurring in galaxy haloes. As a result, the corresponding rate of low-metallicity SNe peaks at $z \approx 6$. In our model, the combination of cooling and feedback processes implies that at $z \approx 7$ the SFR in cold gas with $Z \lesssim 0.1 Z_{\odot}$ amounts to about 40 per cent of the cosmic one, due to the short time-scale for gas enrichment. Therefore, despite of the quite different approach, the redshift distributions of GRB progenitors found by Langer & Norman (2006) and Yoon et al. (2006) are very similar to ours, since their assumed SFR for metal-poor stars peaks at $z \approx 6$, similarly to our SFR in cold gas environment (see Fig. 2).

The combination of the galaxy formation scenario with the low-metallicity collapsar hypothesis for GRB events leads to a good agreement of the predicted and observed (bright, $S \gtrsim 1$ ph s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$) $SWIFT$ counts (see Fig. 4). This naturally follows – without any tuning – from the derived number of GRB progenitors, under quite simple and plausible assumptions on the GRB jet opening angle, prompt gamma-ray luminosity distribution and spectral shape, without requiring any luminosity evolution (see Sections 2.3 and 3.2).

The corresponding redshift distribution (see Fig. 5) implies that at this bright limit the adopted model predicts about six GRBs per
year, while only one GRB at \( z \gtrsim 6 \) has been identified in two years of SWIFT operation. As a matter of fact, only for about half of the SWIFT bursts an optical afterglow has been observed, and for only 30 per cent it has been possible to infer a redshift estimate. It is therefore quite reasonable to guess that this fraction could be about 10 per cent for bursts at \( z \gtrsim 6 \) (see Fiore et al. 2007).

Recently, Salvaterra & Chincarini (2007) obtained a good formal fit to the SWIFT counts down to \( S \approx 0.4 \, \text{ph s}^{-1} \, \text{cm}^{-2} \), adopting as free parameters the count normalization and the GRB LF (two further parameters). They considered the case of low-metallicity environment by adopting a kinematical model and predict the occurrence of only 1 GRB yr\(^{-1} \) for the SWIFT bright flux limit (1 \( \text{ph s}^{-1} \, \text{cm}^{-2} \)). Their result implies a redshift determination efficiency for GRBs greater than 50 per cent.

It is worth noting that reaching completeness down to 0.1 \( \text{ph s}^{-1} \, \text{cm}^{-2} \) would significantly increase the number of detected GRBs and, in turn, allow to explore the Universe during the recombination epoch, \( z \gtrsim 8 \), with good statistical significance. A further decrease in the flux limit to 0.01 \( \text{ph s}^{-1} \, \text{cm}^{-2} \) would instead only increase the GRB sample by a factor of 2; at this flux limit practically all GRBs would be detected (see Figs 5 and 6).

In order to account for the trend of GRBs to be at substantial redshift, Firmani et al. (2005) proposed that the GRB LF is evolving. Duigne et al. (2006) tested the hypothesis of an increasing efficiency of GRB production by massive stars with increasing redshift. Detailed redshift distributions of GRBs will discriminate among these different possibilities.

We can conclude that the hypothesis that metal poor, rapidly rotating massive stars are the GRB progenitors (Woosley & Heger 2006; Yoon et al. 2006), is consistent with the observed SWIFT counts. Clearly determinations of GRB redshifts will be extremely informative on the progenitor and galaxy formation models.

We stress that the adopted galaxy formation scenario exploits quite a standard IMF, independent of the gas metallicity. As a matter of fact, we showed that the cold star-forming gas is rapidly (\( t \ll 10^7 \) yr) enriched to the possible threshold around \( 3 \times 10^{-4} \, Z \odot \), below which the IMF might be strongly biased towards high-mass stars (see Bromm et al. 2001; Schneider et al. 2006). Therefore, the possible contribution of Pop III stars with IMF strongly biased towards high masses is not considered here. However, Bromm & Loeb (2006) showed that at \( z \approx 10 \) the contribution of Pop III to cosmic SFR could be of the order of 1/10 of the overall SFR, becoming dominant at \( z \gtrsim 15 \); the GRB rate from Pop III massive stars would grow correspondingly.

### 4.2 Properties of long GRB host galaxies

Though the idea that GRBs are preferentially located in metal-poor environments is attractive, nevertheless observational estimates of the metal content of host galaxies are still controversial. While most of the results suggest that at high-\( z \) GRB hosts exhibit metallicity \( Z \lesssim 0.1-0.3 \, Z \odot \) (Prochaska et al. 2007; Price et al. 2007), there are claims of higher metal content (see Savaglio, Fall & Fiore 2003).

Once a metal-poor (\( Z \sim 0.1 \, Z \odot \)) collapsar model is assumed, our galaxy formation scenario predicts that GRB hosts are very young, with age less than \( 5 \times 10^7 \) yr, independently of the halo mass. This young age directly mirrors the predicted short time-scale, \( t_{\text{crit}} \approx 5 \times 10^7 \) yr, of chemical enrichment of the cold gas, independently of mass. Such independence makes the GRB rate at high \( z \) a good tracer of the virialization rate of relatively small galaxy haloes, \( M_H \lesssim 10^{11} \, M \odot \) (see Fig. 6).

A definite prediction issuing from their youth is that GRB hosts should exhibit high [\( \alpha/\text{Fe} \)]-enhancement, as their metal content directly reflects the chemical yields of core collapse SNe. Indeed, in the most favourable scenario of close DD progenitors, Type Ia SNe (SNe Ia) may have the [\( \alpha/\text{Fe} \)] ratio produced by the generations of massive stars in a few \( 10^8 \) yr, somewhat longer than \( t_{\text{crit}} \). Recent observations by Prochaska et al. (2007) suggest that [\( \alpha/\text{Fe} \)] ratios are more than three times the solar value. Though differential depletion could be responsible for the result, the young age of the hosts is a much more palatable explanation.

The shortness of \( t_{\text{crit}} \) implies that star formation has not much proceeded, i.e. the model predicts high specific star formation \( M_*/M_* \gtrsim 2 \times 10^{-8} \) yr\(^{-1} \). Note that even the specific star formation is almost independent of halo mass. Specific SFRs at this high level have been claimed by several authors (Fruchter et al. 1999; Le Floc’h et al. 2003; Christensen, Hjorth & Gorosabel 2004; Castro Cerón et al. 2006; Savaglio, Glazebrook & Le Borgne 2006; Michelowski et al. 2008). We caution that metal-poor gas may be left over particularly in external regions of relatively old galaxies, hosting a burst of star formation even if most stars already formed and the gas was already metal enriched. However, we expect that relatively evolved hosts endowed with significant stellar mass are exceptions: GRB 020127 could be one of such cases (Berger et al. 2007). The vast majority of GRBs are hosted by small galaxies soon after their first stars shine.

Since the hosts are very young galaxies, our model predicts that they are gas-rich objects with large column density \( N_H \), once more independently of their galaxy halo mass. Observations confirm the tendency for GRB hosts to exhibit large \( N_H \) (Prochaska et al. 2007; Schady et al. 2007). At odd with these results, Tumlinson et al. (2007) set stringent upper limits on the molecular hydrogen (H\(_2\)) abundance, concluding that the fraction of molecular hydrogen H\(_2\)/H\(_1\) is extremely low in the examined hosts. These authors also point out that the deficiency may be related to low dust abundance, H\(_2\) formation being catalyzed on the surface of dust grains. An additional possibility is the destruction of H\(_2\) by UV radiation. The model here proposed predicts that GRB hosts are dust poor and are pervaded by a intense UV radiation field; therefore, they are expected to be quite poor in molecular hydrogen.

Concerning the UV emission, most of the hosts (\( M_H \lesssim 10^{10} \, M \odot \)) should have UV magnitude at 1350\( \AA \) in the range \( \sim 20 \lesssim M_{1350} \lesssim -16 \). The most luminous hosts are bright enough to be included in the already available LBG LF. Interestingly, Jakobsson et al. (2005) have shown that GRB hosts are tracing the faint end of the LBG LF at \( z \approx 3 \).

The predicted host Lyman \( \alpha \) luminosity should fall in the interval \( 2 \times 10^{40} \lesssim L_{\text{Lyman}\alpha} \lesssim 2 \times 10^{42} \, \text{erg s}^{-1} \), only marginally overlapping with the range explored by currently available Lyman \( \alpha \) LF (Fig. 7). Tanvir & Levan (2007) have shown that the UV rest-frame luminosity distribution of Lyman \( \alpha \)-selected galaxies and GRB hosts at \( z \approx 3 \) are quite similar. We note that Mao et al. (2007) pointed out that LAE are expected to be younger, with lower stellar masses, more compact and associated with less-massive haloes than LGBs.

In summary, observations support the model prediction that the GRB hosts trace the faint end of the LF of LGBs and LAEs.

A further issue concerns the amount of dust in GRB hosts. While most hosts have not been detected at mid-IR or submm wavelengths (e.g. Tanvir et al. 2004; Le Floc’h et al. 2006; Priddey et al. 2006) in a few cases submm and radio emission have been actually detected (Michałowski et al. 2008). How can the existence of these hosts be interpreted within the proposed scenario?
The model predicts that only GRBs hosted in large galactic haloes \( M_{\text{BH}} \gtrsim 10^{12} M_\odot \) can have significant dust absorption. These also exhibit large SFR (\( M_\star \gtrsim 100 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1} \)) and relatively small stellar mass (\( M_* \lesssim 10^{10} M_\odot \)). These properties correspond to those inferred by Michalowski et al. (2008) for the submm and radio-detected objects. The model also predicts that these systems should represent only 1/20 of all the GRB hosts at \( z \approx 1-2 \) and practically disappear at \( z \gtrsim 5 \).

We stress that the conclusions regarding the host properties refer to the average GRB population, and do not exclude the possibility that individual GRBs might reside in low-metallicity local regions within their host (as might be the case for GRB 060206, Fynbo et al. 2006).

The above findings have been derived in the framework of a galaxy formation scenario, where a key role is played by the energy feedbacks provided by SNe and quasars. However, the fast chemical enrichment leading to gas metallicity above \( Z_{\text{crit}} \approx 0.1 Z_\odot \) takes place at early galactic times, before quasar feedback becomes effective. On the other hand, the stellar feedback is very relevant since it regulates the star formation activity even at early galactic times.

5 SUMMARY

We have explored the cosmological consequences of the assumption that metal poor and rapidly rotating single stars are the progenitors of most long GRBs. Our main conclusions are as follows.

(i) The overall long GRB rate amounts to approximately 300 yr\(^{-1} \) sr\(^{-1} \). Bright \textit{SWIFT} counts are reproduced by assuming a non-evolving prompt (gamma-ray) luminosity distribution.

(ii) Above a flux limit of 1 ph s\(^{-1} \) cm\(^{-2} \) about 30 per cent of GRBs are predicted to be at \( z \gtrsim 6 \) and 10 per cent at \( z \gtrsim 8 \), amounting to approximately 13 for two years of \textit{SWIFT} operation. Only one have been located above \( z \gtrsim 2 \) in two years: this would require a redshift determination accuracy around 10 per cent, to be compared with the 30 per cent efficiency for events at lower \( z \).

(iii) The host galaxies are very young, with age less than 5 \( \times 10^7 \) yr, gas rich (large column densities) but poorly extincted systems (\( \chi_s < 0.1 \)), because of their chemical immaturity. Only the few per cent of hosts associated with large haloes (\( M_{\text{BH}} \gtrsim 10^{12} M_\odot \)) have large extinction (\( \chi_s > 0.3 \)), high SFR (\( M_* \gtrsim 100 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1} \)) and can be detected at relatively bright submm flux levels. This result has implications for the origin of ‘dark’ GRBs [about 1/3 of \textit{SWIFT} GRBs can be considered ‘dark’, e.g. Schady et al. (2007)], lacking a detection of the optical afterglow. Dark GRBs should largely comprise a population of high-z events, rather than highly extincted systems.

(iv) The young age of hosts implies that (i) the specific SFR is high (\( M_* / M_* \gtrsim 2 \times 10^{-5} \)) and (ii) the ratios of abundance of different chemical elements are just those of the respective chemical yields of SNeII, i.e. large \( \alpha \)-enhancements should be the rule.

(v) Most of the hosts \( \left( 10^9 \lesssim M_{\text{BH}} \lesssim 10^{11} M_\odot \right) \) have UV magnitude in the range \( -20 \lesssim M_{1500} \lesssim -16 \) and Lyman \( \alpha \) luminosity in the range \( 2 \times 10^{48} \lesssim L_{\text{Lyman} \alpha} \lesssim 2 \times 10^{47} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \). They trace the formation of small galaxies in small haloes, and as a consequence the faint end of the LBG and LAE LF. These hosts would reionize the Universe at \( z \approx 7 \) (see Mao et al. 2007).
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APPENDIX A: A SIMPLE RECIPE FOR STAR FORMATION AND DUST OBSCURATION IN PROTOTRAGALAXIES

Granato et al. (2004) have proposed a model for early galaxy formation, in which the most relevant processes (gas cooling and inflow, star formation and gas accretion on to BH, stellar and quasar feedback, gas outflow) are included in a set of equations, that can be solved with straightforward numerical computations. In this Appendix, we present a simplified version of the model describing the SFR, mass in stars and chemical evolution, which are relevant for this work. The analytical formulae presented below are very good approximations of the results found by solving the full set of equations of the model (for details see Lapi et al. 2006; Mao et al. 2007). We stress that, because of their fundamental character, the equations listed below describe the main aspects of star formation and chemical evolution in protogalaxies at high redshift.

When a DM halo of mass $M_{\text{DM}}$ reaches the virial equilibrium, it contains a mass $M_{\text{vir}}(t) = f_{\text{cool}} M_{\text{DM}}$ of hot gas at the virial temperature, $T_{\text{vir}} \approx 0.18$ being the mean cosmic baryon to DM mass-density ratio. The gas in virial equilibrium flows towards the central region at a rate $\dot{M}_{\text{cool}} = M_{\text{DM}}/t_{\text{cool}}$ where the condensation time-scale $t_{\text{cool}} = \max [t_{\text{cool}}(R_H), t_{\text{dyn}}(R_H)]$, is the maximum between the dynamical time and the cooling time at the halo virial radius $R_H$. When computing the cooling time, a clumping factor $C$ in the baryonic component is also included: $C \gtrsim 7$ implies $t_{\text{cool}}(R_H) \lesssim t_{\text{dyn}}(R_H)$ on relevant galaxy scales at high $z$. By defining such a condensation time, we implicitly neglect the effect of angular momentum. However, angular momentum decays on a dynamical friction time-scale $t_{\text{DF}} \approx 0.2 (\xi/\ln \xi) t_{\text{dyn}}$, where $\xi = M_{\text{DM}}/M_b$, and $M_b$ is the typical mass cloud involved in major mergers (e.g. Mo & Mao 2004); major mergers, which are very frequent at high redshift and in the central regions of haloes, imply $\xi \sim 1$.

The model also assumes that quasar activity removes the hot gas from the halo through winds at a rate $\dot{M}_{\text{QSO}}$; the equation for the diffuse hot gas is then

$$\dot{M}_{\text{diff}} = -\dot{M}_{\text{cool}} - \dot{M}_{\text{QSO}}. \quad (A1)$$

The cold gas is piled up following the cooling of hot gas, is consumed by star formation ($\dot{M}_\star$), and is removed by the energy feedback from SNe ($\dot{M}_{\text{SN}}^{\text{cold}}$) and quasar activity ($\dot{M}_{\text{QSO}}^{\text{cold}}$):

$$\dot{M}_{\text{cold}} = \dot{M}_{\text{cool}} - (1 - R)\dot{M}_\star - \dot{M}_{\text{SN}}^{\text{cold}} - \dot{M}_{\text{QSO}}^{\text{cold}}, \quad (A2)$$

where $R$ is the fraction of gas resituated to the cold component by the evolved stars. Under the assumption of instantaneous recycling, $R \approx 0.54$ for the adopted IMF ($R \approx 0.3$ for a Salpeter IMF); this value of $R$ is an upper limit, since only a fraction of evolved stars have a significant mass loss in the evolutionary phases considered here. However, the relevant results are only very weakly sensitive to the chosen value, in the physically allowed range. The mass of cold baryons that is going to be accreted on to the central supermassive BH is small enough to be neglected in the above equation (see Granato et al. 2004).

Stars are formed at a rate

$$\dot{M}_\star = \int \frac{dM_{\text{cold}}}{\max(t_{\text{cool}}, t_{\text{dyn}})} \approx \frac{\dot{M}_{\text{cold}}}{t_\star}, \quad (A3)$$

where now $t_{\text{cool}}$ and $t_{\text{dyn}}$ refer to a mass shell $d\dot{M}_{\text{cold}}$, and $t_\star$ is the star formation time-scale averaged over the mass distribution. The rate of cold gas removal due to SNe is parametrized as

$$\dot{M}_{\text{cold}}^{\text{SN}} = b_{\text{SN}} \dot{M}_\star, \quad (A4)$$
where the averaged efficiency

$$\beta_{SN} = \frac{n_{SN} \epsilon_{SN} E_{SN}}{E_{bind}} \approx 0.6 \left( \frac{n_{SN}}{1.4 \times 10^{-3}/M_{\odot}} \right) \times \left( \frac{\epsilon_{SN}}{0.05} \right) \left( \frac{E_{SN}}{10^{51} \text{ erg}} \right) \left( \frac{M_{\odot}}{10^{2} M_{\odot}} \right)^{-2/3} \left( \frac{1 + z}{7} \right)^{-1}$$

(A5)

depends on the number of SNe per unit solar mass of condensed stars $n_{SN}$, the energy per SN available to remove the cold gas $\epsilon_{SN} E_{SN}$ and the specific binding energy of the gas within the DM halo, $E_{bind}$. Following Zhao et al. (2003) and Mo & Mao (2004), the latter quantity has been estimated, for $z \gtrsim 1$, as $E_{bind} \approx V_{i}^2 f(c)(1 + f_{com})/2 \approx 5.6 \times 10^{14} (M_{H}/10^{12} M_{\odot})^{2/3} [(1 + z)/7] \text{ cm}^2 \text{s}^{-2}$. Here, $V_{i}$ is the halo circular velocity at the virial radius and $f(c) \approx 2/3 + (c/21.5)^{1/2} \sim 1$ is a weak function of the halo concentration $c \sim 5$. Lapi et al. (2006) have shown that high-redshift LFs of quasars and galaxies constrain $\epsilon_{SN} \approx 0.05$; the same value is required in order to reproduce the fundamental correlations between local ellipticals and dormant BHs.

By analysing the results of the numerical solution of the full set of equations by Granato et al. (2004), it is apparent that the term of quasar feedback is important only during the final stage of BH growth, around two to three e-folding times (approximately $10^8$ yr) before the peak of quasar luminosity, when the energy discharged by the quasar is so powerful to unbind most of the residual gas, quenching both star formation and further accretion on to the supermassive BH. The time integral over the quasar bolometric power exceeds the gas binding energy after

$$\Delta_{bndt} \approx 2.5 \times 10^6 \left( \frac{1 + z}{7} \right)^{-1.5} \mathcal{F} \left( \frac{M_{\odot}}{10^2 M_{\odot}} \right) \text{ yr}.$$  

(A6)

where $\mathcal{F}(x) = 1$ for $x \gtrsim 1$ and $\mathcal{F}(x) = x^{-1}$ for $x \lesssim 1$. Therefore, a good approximation for the star formation history is obtained by neglecting the quasar feedback effect in equations (A1) and (A2), and by abruptly stopping star formation and accretion on to the central BH after $\Delta_{bndt}$ since halo virialization.

Then equations (A1) and (A2) can be easily solved, with the outcome that the infalling mass declines exponentially as $M_{\text{inf}}(t) = M_{\text{inf}}(0) e^{-t/t_{\text{cool}}}$, while the SFR evolves according to

$$M_{\star}(t) = \frac{M_{\text{inf}}(0)}{t_{\text{cool}}(y - 1/x)} \left[ e^{-t/t_{\text{cool}}} - e^{-y t/t_{\text{cool}}} \right],$$

(A7)

with $y = 1 - R + \beta_{SN}$. The quantity $x = t_{\text{cool}}/t_{\star}$ is the ratio between the time-scale for the large-scale infall estimated at the virial radius and the star formation time-scale in the central region; it corresponds to $x \sim 5$, both for an isothermal or NFW (Navarro, Frenk & White 1997) density profile.

The following expression well approximates the condensation time-scale (see Mao et al. 2007):

$$t_{\text{cool}} \approx 4 \times 10^8 \left( \frac{1 + z}{7} \right)^{-1.5} \left( \frac{M_{\odot}}{10^2 M_{\odot}} \right)^{0.2} \text{ yr}.$$  

(A8)

The scaling with redshift reflects the dependence of the dynamical time; the weak dependence on $M_{\odot}$ reproduces the impact of the energy feedback from the quasar on the infalling gas, which is stronger for more massive haloes hosting more massive BH.

In order to compute the metal content of the cold gas, one has to take into account the infall of primordial abundance gas, the enrichment due to earlier generations of stars and outflows driven by winds generated by SNe and quasars. We assume, as common, that in the cold gas, there is an instantaneous mixing of metals released by stars. The corresponding simplified equation reads

$$Z_{\text{cold}}(t) = \frac{Z_{\text{inf}} - Z_{\text{cold}}}{t_{\text{cool}}} + \frac{R_{\star}(t)}{t_{\star}},$$

(A9)

where

$$R_{\star}(t) = \int_{m_{\star}}^{t_{\text{cool}}} dm_{\star}(m_{\star}) \frac{\phi_{\star}(m_{\star})}{M_{\star}(t) - \mathcal{M}_{\star}(m_{\star})}. \quad (A10)$$

The IMF is denoted by $\phi_{\star}(m_{\star})$ and $q_{\star}(m_{\star})$ is the metal yield of stars of mass $m_{\star}$. From Fig. 1, it is apparent that metallicity rapidly (in a time less than a few $10^8$ Gyr) increases from primordial content to the limiting value

$$Z_{\text{cold}} \approx s \frac{s}{s'} = A_{Z}, \quad (A11)$$

with $A_{Z} = 0.043$ for the adopted IMF ($A_{Z} = 0.021$ for the Salpeter IMF).

In an early galaxy evolution, an important role is played by dust that absorbs the UV emission and re-radiates it in the mid- and far-IR band. The amount of dust in a galaxy is expected to be correlated to that of cold gas (or SFR, see equation A3) and with metallicity. Mao et al. (2007) have shown that it is possible to describe the luminosity-reddening relation found by Shapley et al. (2001) for $z \approx 3$ LBGs with the simple law

$$A_{1350} \approx 0.35 \left( \frac{M_{\star}}{M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}} \right)^{0.45} \left( \frac{Z}{Z_{\odot}} \right)^{0.8},$$

(A12)

where $A_{1350}$ is the attenuation at 1350 Å. By inserting in the above equation the SFR and metallicity, we get the attenuation as function of time. Then the UV magnitude is simply given by

$$M_{1350} \approx -18.6 - 2.5 \log \left( \frac{M_{\star}}{M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}} \right) + A_{1350}. \quad (A13)$$

This simplified treatment of dust attenuation proved to be quite a good approximation for low-luminosity LBGs and LAEs, which exhibit low attenuation (Mao et al. 2007).

On the other hand, for massive haloes attenuation is large soon after $10^8$ yr; this corresponds to the quick appearance of very luminous submm-selected galaxies. For these systems, the model by Granato et al. (2004) includes a sophisticated treatment of dust attenuation through the GRASIL code (Silva et al. 1998).

The scheme presented here traces the evolution of single galaxy haloes as function of time, given their mass and formation redshift. The results can be interfaced to the formation rate, $d^2 N_{\text{ST}}/dM_{\odot} dt$, (see Section 2.2) yielding LFs, counts and redshift distribution for spheroidal galaxies. Moreover, the quasar LF can also be reproduced (Lapi et al. 2006), as well as the LF of LBGs and Lyman $\alpha$ emitters (Mao et al. 2007). A summary of the parameters used by the model and of its achievements is presented in tables 1 and 2 of Lapi et al. (2006).
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