Rangeland Health, Condition and Biodiversity in Kırşehir Province
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Abstract
Rangeland improvement and management have recently become more important that many new projects have been also initiated and conducted throughout Turkey. There is a great responsible for the provinces in the Central Anatolia Region on those implementation projects. In this framework, this study was designed that could contain vegetation surveys in representative sites for rangelands of Kırşehir province in 2008 year, at the same time their assessment, and conclusion were also performed too. The 36 rangeland sites were surveyed with the modified-loop method. The results of vegetation surveys were analyzed and determined rangeland condition and health classes for each sites. The vegetation cover was measured as 65.35%. The rates of decreasers and increasers cover were 11.54% and 35.26%, respectively. The studied sites numbers were one, 30 and 5 for good, fair, and poor conditions, respectively. The 35 sites of total sites were identified as fair and poor in condition based on the rangeland condition classes. On the other hand, 8 sites were found at healthy, 20 sites at risky and 8 sites at unhealthy in rangeland health categories. Total site numbers of the last two classes were 28. Rangeland biodiversity were calculated as 0.229 by Simpson’s index. Survey results showed that rangelands have been overgrazed and seemed degradation. Firstly this trend should be stopped and secondly urgent measures should be implemented for restoration.
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Kırşehir İlinde Mera Sağlığı, Durumu ve Biyoçeşitlilik

Özet
Son yıllarda mera ıslah ve yönetimi çalışmaları oldukça önem kazanmış olup ülkemizde bu alanda birçok yeni proje yürütülmektedir. Bölgenizde yer alan iler bu konuda çok sayıda projeye öncülük etmektedir. Bu çalışma Kırşehir ilinde 2008 yılında yapılan mera vejetasyon sörveylerini içermektedir. Çalışmada toplam 36 mera durakına gidilmiş ve modifiye edilmiş tekerlekli luv yöntemine göre mera vejetasyonu ölçülmuştur. Yapılan vejetasyon etüdlerine dayanan mera durum ve sağlık sınıfları belirlenmiştir. Bitki ile kaplı alan oranı %65.35 olarak hesaplanmıştır, azalıcı ve coğalıcı türlerin oranı sırasıyla %11.54 ve %35.26 olarak bulunmuştur. Çalışılan duraklardan 1 tanesi iyi, 30 tanesi orta ve 5 tanesi zayıf mera durum sınıfta yer almıştır. Mera durum sınıfları temel alınarak toplam durakların 35 adedi orta ve zayıf sağlık sınıfta yer almıştır. Toplam durakların 28 adeti son iki sınıfta yer almıştır. Mera vejetasyonunun biyolojik çeşitliliğini değerlendirmek için hesaplanan Simpson indeks değeri 0,229 olarak belirlenmiştir. Çalışma sonuçları meraların aşırı otlatıldığını ve mera vejetasyonunda bozulma olduğunu göstermiştir. İlk olarak il meralarındaki bu aşırı otlatma ve bozulma durdurulmalı, daha sonra meraların rehabilitasyonu için acil önlemler devreye sokulmalıdır.
Introduction

Rangeland is a complex subject closely related to many other issues such as animal, ecology, biodiversity, climate, soil, altitude, topography, etc. Its environmental point is considered to face in-depth of flora, fauna, wild animals, domestic animals, climatic change and global warming. Its protection, improvement, and management are important tools for use in current and future sustainable rangeland. Improper management commonly used (early, heavy and late grazing) causes loss of biodiversity and increasing erosion impact (Mermer et al., 2010). Rangelands have been declined from 40 million hectares to 14.6 million hectares. During this period, their carrying capacities have also reduced. The results of mismanagement emerge as reducing yield and biodiversity loss on rangelands. Farmers are reluctant to improve common rangelands with no ownership and no charge for their use. Common using of rangelands in Kırıkkale and Sivas provinces in the Central Anatolia Region are grazed more 1.8-7.0 livestock unit than carrying capacity (Anonymous, 2009). There are existing large rangeland areas (percentage, 30.0%) of Turkey in the Central Anatolia Region. In the past, rangeland studies were conducted and completed. But during the between period of 2007 and 2011, intensive rangeland vegetation studies were carried out (Anonymous, 2012). At the beginning of rangeland studies, descriptions and properties of rangeland are identified such as area, botanical composition, climatic data, aspect, slope, etc. Plant species of rangeland community were observed in this study. They were identified for condition, health and biodiversity aspects.

Material and Methods

The study site, Kırşehir, is located in the Central Anatolia Region, bounded by latitudes 37.97°-39.65°N to and longitudes 33.18°-34.45°E. The elevation of the working area range from 860 m to 1310 m. The region has typical continental climatic characteristics, and receives most of the yearly precipitation in winter, autumn and spring (average 378 mm). Summer is hot and dry and winter is cold. The average temperature is 11.3°C; it ranges from below 0°C in December and January to 39°C in July and August (Anonymous, 2008a).

The texture of soil of the study area differs from clay-loam to loam, and depth is broadly very shallow. The soil is slightly alkaline, lime content varies from low to very high amount of lime, very low phosphorus, rich potassium content, low and fair organic matter content (Anonymous, 2008b). A modified wheel point method with loop for the vegetation survey was applied (Koç and Çakal, 2004) at the representative 36 sites of Kırşehir province in 2008 (Figure 1). Two transect lines, each of 100 m in length and perpendicular to each other, were studied at each site. A total of 400 readings with 0.50 m intervals was recorded on two transects to determine cover percentages of plant species and bare ground area on rangeland vegetation. The rangeland condition, based on proportion of decreasers and increasers, were classified as excellent, good, fair and poor. Health categories of rangeland were determined as healthy, at risky, and unhealthy by considering soil coverage proportion of vegetation (Koç et al. 2003). In addition habitat characteristics of altitude, aspect, slope, and distance to village and rangeland management practices such as grazing intensity, erosion, and soil compactness were recorded during vegetation survey. Rangeland area is about 129 027 ha and covers 19.2% of the province (PAED 2008). The total livestock number of the province is 73 337 large animal units (LAUs) in 2008 (TUİK 2008). It is estimated that annual hay need was 334 600 tons. The hay production amount is calculated for rangelands as 25 805 tons which accounts for 7.7% of total need.

Biodiversity index

Vegetation diversity of rangeland vegetation is evaluated by calculating Simpson’s index (D) (Simpson, 1949). This index uses both species richness and an evenness of abundance among the species present. The formula for calculating Simpson’s index is:

\[ D = \frac{\sum n_i(n_i - 1)}{N(N-1)} \]

Where, \( n_i \) = the number of individuals of each individual species \( N \) = the total number of organisms of all species.

The value of \( D \) ranges from 0 to 1. With this index, 0 represents infinite diversity and, 1, no diversity. That is, the bigger the value the lower the diversity. To calculate the index, frequencies of all species record on each sampling point was adjusted so that the total frequency would be made up to 100.
Results and Discussions
The rangeland condition in Kırşehir province was calculated and grouped as “fair” class (the total values of decreasers and increasers as 31.82%) based on plant species cover (Table 1). Moreover, the proportions of decreaser, increaser and invader species in botanical composition calculated as 11.54%, 35.26%, and 53.51%, respectively. Health class of Kırşehir rangelands was in a “risky” category since the percentages of vegetation cover and bare ground calculated as 65.35% and 34.65%, respectively (Table 1). Ankara, Çankırı, Kayseri, Sivas and Mardin provinces became similar status for range condition and health, in a fair and at risky, respectively (Ünal et al. 2012a; Ünal et al. 2012b; Ünal et al. 2013; Ünal et al. 2014; Seydoşoğlu et al. 2018). Overgrazing, a major problem for especially this region, may be reason for the undesirable rangeland status.

Table 1. Botanical composition, bare ground and rangeland health values

|            | DS | BC  | BG  | DBC | IBC | IBC1 | IURC | SURC |
|------------|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|
| Minimum    |    | 35.50 | 4.75  | 0.26 | 2.97 | 21.74  | 2.97 | 7.39  |
| Maximum    |    | 95.25 | 64.50 | 40.00 | 74.55 | 92.61  | 35.00 | 52.20 |
| Average    |    | 65.35 | 34.65 | 11.54 | 35.26 | 53.51  | 20.60 | 31.82 |
| Standard error | 12.70 | 12.70 | 8.91 | 16.08 | 14.81 | 5.95  | 8.61  |
| CV(%)      |    | 19.43 | 36.66 | 77.20 | 45.61 | 27.67  | 28.91 | 27.07 |

*BC: Botanical composition (%) BG: Bare ground (%) DBC: Decreasers on Botanical composition (%) IBC: Increasers on Botanical composition (%) IBC1: Invaders on Botanical composition (%) IURC: Increasers Used for Range Condition SURC: Species Used for Range Condition (%) CV: Coefficient Variation DS: Descriptive statistics.

Rangelands condition and health

Rangeland health values were presented in Table 2. The percentages of vegetation cover and bare ground were calculated as 81.63% and 18.38%; 65.90% and 34.10%; 47.72% and 52.28% at healthy (8 sites), risky (20 sites), and unhealthy (8 sites) respectively (Table 2). Out of 36 rangeland sites, 28 sites were found “risky” and “unhealthy” health classes. The percentages of vegetation cover and bare ground at healthy, risky, and unhealthy of the health classes were obtained to be as 77.75% and 22.25%; 63.77% and 36.23%; 49.30% and 50.70% in Ankara (Unal et al. 2012a), 79.04% and 20.96%; 64.52% and 35.48%; 46.23% and 53.77% in Çankırı (Unal et al. 2012b), 83.42% and 16.58%; 62.33% and 37.67%; 48.21% and 51.79% in Kayseri (Ünal et al. 2013), 80.90% and 19.10%; 62.15% and 37.85%; 46.94% and 53.06% in Sivas (Ünal et al. 2014), respectively.
The highest vegetation cover was 95.25% in healthy rangeland class. The lowest and the highest values on botanical composition in the same following continuously classes for these three health classes were measured as 76.00%, 95.25%; 56.75%, 73.25% and 35.50%, 53.75%, respectively. According to the coefficient variations of botanical composition in the same Table, healthy (7.42%) and risky (7.77%) but unhealthy (13.25%) of rangelands had a higher value than theirs. The mentioned data above indicated that region provinces rangelands have continued degradation trend. Overgrazing and mismanagement have immediately stopped and started rehabilitation projects.

Table 2. Botanical composition, bare ground and rangeland health values

| Health classes   | Site numbers | DS       | BC (%) | BG (%) |
|------------------|--------------|----------|--------|--------|
| Healthy          | 8            | Minimum  | 76.00  | 4.75   |
|                  |              | Maximum  | 95.25  | 24.00  |
|                  |              | Average  | 81.63  | 18.38  |
|                  |              | Standard error | 6.05 | 6.05 |
|                  |              | CV (%)   | 7.42   | 32.95  |
| Risky            | 20           | Minimum  | 56.75  | 26.75  |
|                  |              | Maximum  | 73.25  | 43.25  |
|                  |              | Average  | 65.90  | 34.10  |
|                  |              | Standard error | 5.12 | 5.12 |
|                  |              | CV (%)   | 7.77   | 15.01  |
| Unhealthy        | 8            | Minimum  | 35.50  | 46.25  |
|                  |              | Maximum  | 53.75  | 64.50  |
|                  |              | Average  | 47.72  | 52.28  |
|                  |              | Standard error | 6.32 | 6.32 |
|                  |              | CV (%)   | 13.25  | 12.09  |

Rangeland condition

The conditions data is presented in Table 3. In the province of Kırşehir, 1 site was identified as good, 30 sites were as fair, and 5 sites were calculated as poor of the three different classes of rangeland conditions. Fair and poor sites numbers were 35 (Table 3). The only 1 site of the 36 sites were in a good rangeland condition and its decreasers cover, the highest percentage figure, was found as 40.00% in the botanical composition. The highest percentages of increaser and invader species in botanical composition of fair and poor conditions were 74.55% and 92.61%, respectively. These values are early an indicator for fast rangeland degradation. If there won’t be precautions taken for improvement and reverse of present status, it may be too late in the near future. The decreasers and increasers cover in good rangeland conditions were measured as 43.09% and 32.87% in Ankara (Unal et al. 2012a), 49.36% and 13.33% in Cankiri (Unal et al. 2012b), 70.25% and 0.00% in Kayseri (Unal et al. 2013), 34.96% and 33.35% in Sivas (Unal et al. 2014), respectively. The highest cover rate of decreasers was in Kayseri in this category. Out of 36 survey sites, 30 rangeland sites were found in “fair” class, and their percentages of decreasers and increasers in botanical composition were 11.26% and 38.31%, respectively (Table 3). As seen invader species spread in a wider area on vegetation community cover due to misused or overgrazing palatable species. Invader species cover expanded and reached to high rate as 92.61%.

The decreasers and increasers covers of fair rangeland conditions were measured as 15.13% and 28.91% in Ankara (Unal et al. 2012a), 15.19% and 25.33% in Cankiri (Unal et al. 2012b), 15.82% and 27.08% in Kayseri (Unal et al. 2013), 17.92% and 25.83% in Sivas (Unal et al. 2014), 1.17% and 9.50% in Batman (Seydoşoğlu et al. 2019) respectively. The coefficient variation values of decreaser and increaser species were 64.97% and 39.73 % in the fair condition respectively (Table 3). It seems to be more pressure on decreaser species. Rangeland vegetation in Kırşehir province had the lowest rate of decreasers and the highest rate of increasers in the fourth provinces mentioned above.

The portion of decreasers and increasers of botanical composition were 5.26% and 21.58% respectively, on 5 sites of “poor” class of rangeland condition (Table 3).

The highest invader’s rate (73.16%) was found in the “poor” category among the three classes. The effects of mismanagement are clearly seen in this category. The composition of decreasers and increasers covers in poor rangeland conditions were calculated as 4.21% and 22.66% in Ankara (Unal et al. 2012a), 3.13% and 27.13% in Cankiri (Unal et al. 2012b), 7.16% and 13.93% in Sivas (Unal et al. 2014), respectively. Rangeland vegetation in Kırşehir province had a similar rate for
decreasers and the increasers to the fourth provinces previous presented.

Table 3. Rangeland conditions of sites and the percentages of decreasers, increasers, and invaders on botanical composition

| Rangeland condition | Site numbers | Minimum DS | Minimum DBC | Minimum IBC | Minimum IBC | Minimum SURC | Standard error | Minimum DS | Minimum DBC | Minimum IBC | Minimum IBC | Minimum SURC | Standard error | Minimum DS | Minimum DBC | Minimum IBC | Minimum IBC | Minimum SURC | Standard error |
|---------------------|--------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|
| Good                | 1            | 40.00      | 12.20       | 47.80       | 12.20       | 52.20       | 47.80          | 12.20      | 47.80       | 12.20       | 52.20       | 47.80       | 12.20          |
| Fair                | 30           | 11.26      | 38.31       | 50.43       | 21.74       | 33.00       | 50.43          | 21.74      | 33.00       | 20.58       | 50.40       | 20.58       | 50.40          |
| Poor                | 5            | 5.26       | 21.58       | 73.16       | 15.42       | 20.68       | 73.16          | 15.42      | 20.68       | 20.58       | 50.40       | 20.58       | 50.40          |

Plant species

The number of plant species is a good measure for vegetation diversity. The number of plant species (204) was lowest in Kırşehir rangelands comparing to the number of species found in rangelands of the other provinces of the region such as Sivas, Çankırı, Kayseri, Ankara and Batman having 422, 327, 263, 287 and 202 plants species, respectively (Ünal et al. 2012a; Ünal et al. 2012b; Ünal et al. 2013; Ünal et al. 2014; Seydoşoğlu and Kökten 2019). The nine and thirteen plant species were decreasers and increasers (the desired palatable species), respectively. The rates of decreasers, increasers, and invaders on botanical composition were presented in Table 1. Palatable species and total plant species numbers are important for improvement and biodiversity of the rangelands. In this study, decreaser grasses are as follows: Bothriochloa ischaemum, Bromus tomentellus, Chrysopogon gryllus, Koeleria cristata, Poa pratensis, Medicago sativa, Medicago varia, Onobrychis armena, and Trifolium pretense. Decreasers were observed in the former studies are as follows: Agropyron cristatum, Bromus tomentellus, Koeleria cristata (Ünal et al. 2012a; Ünal et al. 2012b; Ünal et al. 2013) Dactylis glomerata, Elymus repens, Lotus oegaeus, L. corniculatus, Onobrychis armena, Onobrychis oxyodonta, Trifolium pretense, and Vicia cracca. (Ünal et al. 2012a; Ünal et al. 2012b) Agrostis stolonifera, Elymus hispidus, Phleum montanum and Poa pratensis (Ünal et al. 2013), Onobrychis occulta and O. oxyodonta, Hedysarum pestalozzae (Ünal et al. 2013), Andropogon grusillus, (Bakir 1970; Tokluoğlu 1979; Ünal et al. 2013) and Festuca ovina (Bakir 1970; Özmen 1977; Uluocak 1977; Ünal et al. 2010; Ünal et al. 2011; Ünal et al. 2013) Poa bulbosa, Cynodon dactylon, (Bakir 1970; Ünal et al. 2012a; Ünal et al. 2012b; Ünal et al. 2013), Hedysarum varium (Bakir 1970; Tokluoğlu 1979; Ünal et al. 2013), Medicago sativa (Bakir 1970; Uluocak 1977; Ünal et al. 2013), Onobrychis armena (Bakir 1970; Ünal et al. 2010) and Onobrychis sativa, O. alba, O. tenuifolia (Uluocak 1977). Increaser grasses were found as Coronilla scorpioides, Cynodon dactylon, Ebenus laguroides, Festuca valesiaca, Hordeum bulbosum, Juncus gerardi, Pennisetum orientale, Plantago holosteum, Poa bulbosa, Stipa holosericea, Stipa lessingiana, Teucrium polium and Trigonella brachycarpa. Identifed increasers before were such as Stipa holosericea (Ünal et al. 2012a; Ünal et al. 2012b; Ünal et al. 2013), Poa alpina, Hordeum bulbosum and Hedysarum cappadociicum (Ünal et al. 2012a; Ünal et al. 2013), Plantago lanceolata, and Teucrium polium (Ünal et al. 2012a; Ünal et al. 2012b) Dorycnium pentaphyllum, Ebenus hirsuta, (Ünal et al. 2012a) Briza media, Ebenus laguroides, Puccinellia koeieana (Ünal et al. 2013). In the past surveys and this study were encountered similar plant species such as Hedysarum varium (Bakir, 1970; Tokluoğlu, 1979), Medicago sativa (Bakir, 1970; Uluocak, 1977), Onobrychis armena (Bakir, 1970; Ünal et al., 2010) and Onobrychis sativa, O. alba, O. tenuifolia (Uluocak, 1977) that are all considered potentially to be most important to be rehabilitated and over-seeded for region vegetation community. These increasars as Cynodon dactylon,
Plantago lanceolata, P. bulbosa, Stipa holosericea, and Teucrium polium also occurred in Ankara and Cankiri provinces (Unal et al., 2012a; Unal et al., 2012b). Poa bulbosa var. vivipara, and Cynodon dactylon were also found in the previous survey (Bakir, 1970). Unal et al., (2012a) studied in vegetation of Ankara province and reported other increaser species such as Hordeum bulbosum, Poa alpine, Dorycnium pentaphyllum, Ebenus hirsuta, Hedysarum cappadocicum.

Some invader species in Kirşehir were Alyssum desertorum, A. pateri, Artemisia santonicum, Taeniatherum caput-medusae, Eryngium campestre, Euphorbia macroclada, Phlomis pungens, Potentilla recta, Salvia dactylon, Ziziphora capitata. Dominant plant species on regional rangelands are as follows: Thymus squarrosus (Thymus sipyleus) (Bakir 1970; Özmen 1977; Tokluoğlu 1979; Ünal et al. 2010; Ünal et al. 2011; Ünal et al. 2013), Artemisia fragrans (Artemisia santonicum) (Özmen 1977; Tokluoğlu 1979; Únal et al. 2010; Únal et al. 2011; Únal et al. 2013).

Biodiversity of rangelands
To evaluate biodiversity of sampling sites of Kirşehir province, Simpson’s index values were determined (Table 4). Species diversity found high in site 4 vegetation of Kirşehir province based on index value (0.507). Low index value became 0.107 in site 29. Average Simpson index was 0.229. Grazing pressure is high on the Central Anatolia rangelands that’s why number of species reduced and limited number of species such as Festuca ovina or several annual species became dominant in grassland vegetation. Low species diversity indicates stressful environment. Dry and semi-arid conditions causes low species diversity (De Bello et al., 2006). According to Canals and Sebastian (2000), for the development of management practices that prevent ecological degradation, it is necessary to know mechanism for preserving biodiversity in different ecosystems.

Table 4. Simpson’s index values for Kirşehir province.

| S  | DI | S  | DI | S  | DI | S  | DI | S  | DI |
|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 1  | 0.173 | 7  | 0.140 | 13 | 0.116 | 19 | 0.133 | 25 | 0.177 | 31 | 0.130 |
| 2  | 0.116 | 8  | 0.186 | 14 | 0.121 | 20 | 0.113 | 26 | 0.111 | 32 | 0.130 |
| 3  | 0.323 | 9  | 0.168 | 15 | 0.362 | 21 | 0.400 | 27 | 0.131 | 33 | 0.320 |
| 4  | 0.507 | 10 | 0.303 | 16 | 0.359 | 22 | 0.153 | 28 | 0.073 | 34 | 0.222 |
| 5  | 0.180 | 11 | 0.181 | 17 | 0.107 | 23 | 0.263 | 29 | 0.107 | 35 | 0.140 |
| 6  | 0.151 | 12 | 0.364 | 18 | 0.262 | 24 | 0.231 | 30 | 0.254 | 36 | 0.230 |

Average 0.229
Minimum 0.107
Maximum 0.507
Standart deviation 0.115
CV (%) 50.290

*DI: Simpson’s index values, S: Sites.

Conclusions
While range condition was identified as fair, health classes were identified as risky. 8 sites of Kirşehir Province’s rangelands were in a healthy class, 20 sites were at risky class and 8 sites were at unhealthy class. 1 site of the rangelands of Kirşehir Province was in a good condition, 30 sites were in fair condition, and 5 sites were in poor condition. In the history of rangeland management, the status of 35 sites in total -fair and poor- is an indication of misuse. Palatable plant species existed on vegetation community, thus they may be important for over-seeding of degraded rangeland areas.

Rangelands have still used with early, late, and overgrazing for long term. Firstly this trend should be stopped, secondly it should be reversed in a restoration way. For healthy and good condition classes, present situation should be kept on with sound management techniques as fertilization and proper grazing system. For risky, unhealthy, fair and poor condition class, all improvement activities should be well planned as weed control, fertilization and proper grazing system. In addition, forage crops should be pay attention to support all improvement activities to produce for animal needs. Monitoring on vegetation changes should be also followed in a certain time period.
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