Structural Modeling of Effective Factors on Women’s Satisfaction of Public Transport System (Case study: City of Kashan)
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Abstract: Women form half the population and many spaces in cities are used more by them. Women, as a large and active group, use the most possible of the system in cities due to their time constraints. Therefore, the special survey of their traffic patterns in urban public spaces and in particular, the public transportation and bus network of the city have a significant role in increasing the prosperity of urban spaces. The purpose of this paper is to investigate the factors affecting women’s satisfaction from urban transportation system. Research method of in this study is descriptive-analytic. The data used in this study were collected through questionnaires and interviews. Then, using SPSS and AMOS software, the existing factors and structural modeling of these factors were investigated. The results showed that among the various indicators such as: services, equipment, seat quality, visual beauty, safety, social factors, security and behavior of the driver, the only factor of visual beauty, had a significant effect on women’s satisfaction with the inter-city bus system and other factors with P value greater than 0.05, did not have a significant impact on women’s satisfaction.
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1- Introduction

Geographically, transportation is one of the most important human activities around the world. With the main role in spatial relationships between different situations, it is an integral part of the economy and has a valuable relationship between regions and economic activities and between human beings and the surrounding world (Rodrigue et al., 2009). In urban planning, transportation and communication networks with residential uses occupy the highest levels of urban space, and in addition to shaping the form and structure of the city, they play an important role in connecting and communicating space and urban utilities to each other. The traffic and transit system as a part of urban activities expresses the dynamism and life of a city complex. Undoubtedly, without moving, one cannot imagine a city vibrant and dynamic. In the systematic structure of a city, various activities require space, and activities are best done to have a suitable space in accordance with the type of activity. In the case of the transportation system, this space is nothing but a system environment that the transit network is also part of (Abibi, 2013). Urban transport system is an integrated system, with its components closely related. The success of this system is in the safety, connectivity and connectivity of its subsystems (Moeini, 2012). Today, transportation is associated with all aspects of life in cities. Leisure, education, trade, industry, and other aspects are among the basins that require a sustainable transport network in cities to link and build together with each other and to sustain the city's life cycle. Having a sustainable approach to the basin requires adapting and coordinating human activities with a climate of environments and avoiding contaminants, along with supporting dynamism and economic justice, along with vitality and social justice, as key dimensions of sustainable development (Litman, 2011). The reason for the importance of urban transport in the sustainable development approach is that the urban transport system is problematical with regard to its economic, social and environmental issues (Turton, 2006: 608). The use of high capacity passenger vehicles based on the greater passenger mobility of fewer cars, with different aspects such as congestion, air pollution, safety, fuel and energy consumption, and other options for transportation are preferred (cain et al., 2007). Therefore, the promotion of the use of public transport such as the bus has reduced the city's many problems, but an optimal transportation system should provide citizens with satisfaction. Assessment and evaluation of the quality of public transport services is a topic that has been in the past 15 years researchers. The World Bank publication, said that many challenges, including increased demand for transportation, match patterns of transportation standards and global consistency of communities with cars in public transport and satisfaction of the users of transport in the different countries are created (Zhou, 2012). Today, the major problems and disadvantages of urban transport are the economic, social and urban environments as the main indicators of sustainability, which has become more urgent for sustainability issues in this basin. Today's urban planning should reflect on the evolution of masculine and patriarchal cities and create urban spaces that are consistent with the needs of all its citizens. With this approach, cities will gain more urban
security, more participation and participation by women every day, creating economic, social and cultural opportunities, and the dynamics of the city’s economy and increasing the beauty and efficiency of the city’s spaces. Ultimately, we will have more stable, more humane cities (Ziyari & Turkamannia, 2013). In addition, today, bus transportation around the world does not indicate general satisfaction, bus services can be unreliable, uncomfortable and sometimes dangerous (Rezaei Aghamirlou, 2015). Therefore, measuring citizens’ satisfaction with the bus service system will help to improve the quality of the system. There are also variations in patterns of movement between men and women in urban areas. Generally, men go further away to get to work, but women are in need of home and work responsibilities. This means women often have to travel their work other things like shopping or taking children to school and returning them. As a result, a significant number of women limit their employment opportunities to such multipurpose travel patterns. Gender differences is associated with the reality of lack of a car or a car for a family because men often use family cars in most cases that this makes women more likely to travel by public transport (because of limited access to the family car). The radial design of shipping lines also limits the selection of jobs for those who rely on public transportation (Pacione, 2009).

What is more important about women is the vulnerability of these people in urban spaces against the threats of the surrounding environment. Damage such as fear of violence and aggression, fear of darkness, physical harassment, intimidation, avoidance of privacy and insecurity (faczanch, 2006) threatens women. Therefore, attention to these people in an urban space is of great importance. Meanwhile, women, because of their distinct characteristics, result in differences in urban space that space can be desirable for all groups and free from distress psychologically, in case of caring about these features (FaridTehrani, 2010).

Women are more likely to use intracity bus transportation than men, so increasing their satisfaction with the system will improve urban sustainability indicators for public transportation and directly affect the sustainability of the city. The public transportation system in Kashan has many problems in terms of security, quality, order, access etc. The main objective of this research is to measure the satisfaction of women with the public transportation system in Kashan. To this end, women’s satisfaction with public transportation was examined.

2- Literature Review

a) Foreign Researches

Eboli & Mazzulla (2007) examined the satisfaction of public transport users in Italy using structural modeling. They concluded that the weight of service planning and trust services such as design, comfort and convenience in the weight of passenger satisfaction is worth more.

According to Chen (2008), factors such as service quality, customer satisfaction, and value play an important role in user loyalty, the higher the quality of the user's loyalty service, and therefore their perceived value should increase.

Lai & Chen (2010), in a study using structural equation modeling to examine the behavior of citizens in public transportation in Taiwan paid to the conclusion that the service and the
satisfaction of passengers of public transport plays an important role in this mode of transportation among citizens.

Verma et al., (2014), a research study in Bangalore, India, reviewed the quality of the bus and coach service system in Bangalore, India. They concluded that lack of proper infrastructure and management weakness has made it difficult for users of this system to meet the satisfaction of achieving a sustainable UN development.

Wan et al., (2016) studied the satisfaction of travelers from the New York City bus system using structural equation modeling. They concluded that the indicators of awareness and accessibility of travelers to travel information and upstream stations and speed have a significant effect on the satisfaction of passengers. Using the results of structural modeling of the routes inside and outside Manhattan, it is possible to increase the number of passengers on the bus lines waiting for him.

Hadiuzzman et al., (2017) in a structural modeling study; users’ satisfaction with the quality of public bus transport was utilized through hidden and apparent variables in the city of Dhaka, Bangladesh. They concluded that in the operation of the Dhaka bus system, the variables of traffic experience, service the regularity and overall quality of the bus have the greatest impact and the least security impact on passenger satisfaction.

Chica-olma et al., (2017) examined the effect of the route on the perception of the quality of transportation services in Gradena, Spain. They concluded that the speed of the means of transport, the length of the route and the type of route had the greatest effect on the satisfaction of the passengers.

b) Iranian Researches

Rezayiagharmirlo et al., (2015) investigated passengers’ satisfaction of urban fast-moving buses in Tabriz metropolis with emphasis on predictive models. Using the Kendall, Summers Day, Chi-square, correlation and regression statistics, they found that there was a significant and inverse correlation between education and the degree of satisfaction with fast-moving buses.

Ahmadvand et al., (2015) studied modeling the dynamics of sustainable urban transport system in order to improve traffic in Tehran. They concluded that if combined reductions in intra-city travel demand were achieved by improving the services of the public transportation sector, such as: safety and travel comfort, as well as coverage of travel routes, it would be possible to improve the traffic parameters, including the average speed of a private car, fuel consumption and environmental pollution in Tehran.

Hataminejad et al., (2014) examined users’ satisfaction of public transport system in South Alborz area. Using statistical techniques such as factor analysis and regression, they analyzed the four factors of service, speed, behavior and physical characteristics of the bus, which the service agent played more important role in explaining the citizens’ satisfaction.

Mirkatooli et al., (2013) investigated people’s satisfaction of quality of services of public transport in the city of Gorgan-district 2. By examining a sample of 250 people, they found that the low cost and security presence was the most important reason for the people’s satisfaction with the public transportation system.

Ziyari & Torkamanniya (2013) in an article entitled “The extent of women’s
access to the inland transportation system (Case study: Tehran 6th District) argued that inter-city transportation was one of the main elements of the urban system that provides citizens with access to various city uses and situations. The results showed that 59 percent of women each day had an inter-city trip, with 59 percent doing all of their inland transit trips with public transportation. 60% of women preferred intercity bus and 24% taxi.

3- Theoretical Background

Urban Transport

Urban transport is an important part of the city’s communications and transportation system, which aims to provide access and communication between different urban uses (Grava, 2007). Transportation of human and goods are of major economic and social importance for achieving the ideal quality of life indicators. Selecting a private vehicle or public transportation is a complex process that is affected by many factors. The characteristics of travel, travel time, trip order, demographic characteristics such as age, income, and income influence selecting type of vehicle (Ye et al., 2007). Basically, urban transportation is divided in three main categories which includes public transportation, personal transportation and transportation of goods. In this regard, the purpose of public transportation is to provide mobility and access in a specific section of the city. The efficiency of this form of transportation is due to the large number of people (Rodrique et al., 2009).

For every person in urban space, traveling with a personal car is a great attraction because, compared to other transport options, it is easier, more flexible, faster and more livable in urban busy lifestyle. The personal car also symbolizes and reflects the social and economic identity of individuals. Inefficiencies and deficiencies in the urban transport system will have serious environmental issues, such as air pollution and negative social and economic consequences, resulting in inefficiencies in the functioning of the urban system (Hutchison, 2009). With regard to private car-based transport conditions, social, economic and environmental sustainability is not expected, and reducing demand for private cars in urban areas is key to sustainability. Efforts have been made to reduce the negative impacts of private cars in the world’s cities, with solutions that include investing in developing less greenhouse gas equipment, planning for public transport infrastructure, a reduction in the distance between work and residence has taken place. The public transportation sector plays a key role in reducing air pollution and reducing the demand for non-renewable resources, including oil. The rapid growth of the use of private cars in urban areas has aggravated environmental concerns and social problems such as population density and lower general health (Redman et al., 2013).

Sustainable transport system means accessing all individuals and groups in a safe and consistent manner to human and nature health, providing the optimal and economical means of transportation and supporting economic growth, reducing greenhouse gases and minimizing non-renewable resources, land use and noise.
pollution to achieve sustainable levels (Gilbert et al., 2003). The reason for the importance of urban transportation in sustainable development is the problem of urban transport system considering its economic, social and environmental dimensions (Turton, 2006). Several indicators have been proposed to define the quality of public transportation, some of which are objective and some are subjective and perceptual. The comfort and convenience of riding a bus alone can be considered as an important aspect of the quality of the efficient service of the bus system, which can attract more passengers with appropriate strategies. Comfort has several sub-sets, such as seat comfort, congestion, and abnormal vibrations (Eboli et al., 2016).

The flexibility and affordability of an intercity bus system for all cities has a functional appeal, so optimizing the quality of the bus transport network will reduce traffic in the city and users of this system will increase. Since the urban bus system is the main part of the public transportation system, and even in many cities, the only means of public transport, it is expected to be such this for relatively long years. Therefore, it is essential to increase the level of service provided by this system through the implementation of technical and engineering measures (Namdari & Tangestanipoor, 2011). The bus systems create a diverse and inclusive form of flexible public transport that serves a variety of access needs in an unlimited range of locations throughout the city. Buses use urban streets and roads. For this reason, infrastructure investments that support bus services can steadily be much lower than the cost of capital required for rail systems. As a result, bus services can be cost-effective (Rezayi et al., 2015). Currently, the bus is one of the most important public passenger vehicles, due to its high flexibility and close proximity to car service in terms of service, it has a great deal of efficiency (Moghbel et al., 2014).

Table 1. Satisfaction indicators of the Bus System

| Main indicator | Sub-index               | Definition                                                                 |
|----------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Physical**   | Frequency               | The number of buses in the public transportation system                   |
|                | Speed                   | The spent time of commuting between specified points                      |
|                | Access                  | The threshold of public transportation services to individuals            |
|                | Price                   | Travel costs                                                              |
|                | Providing information   | Informing public transit routes to travelers                              |
|                | Wasted time             | Bus Expectancy Time                                                       |
|                | Vehicle condition       | Physical and mechanical conditions of transportation of public transport  |
| **Perceptual** | Comfort                 | Comfort on travel with public transportation including seat comfort, cordial, air conditioning |
|                | Safety                  | Safety in terms of accidents and passenger safety                          |
|                | Ease                    | Public transportation services are to some extent prevented from the movement and additional travel of passengers |
|                | Aesthetics              | The beauty of the stations and the means of public transportation in terms of passengers |

Source: (Redman et al., 2013)
Woman and Urban Spaces

Urban spaces as a physical manifestation of the city should meet the social needs of citizens, provide the necessary means for accessing and providing the services required by the users, and provide conditions for all users, including men and women to enjoy the space. In Iran, due to various social, cultural, political, and other reasons, urban spaces are in a monopoly of men. Nowadays, mannish urban planning and unfavorable urban environments have led to a decrease in the enjoyment of women from urban public spaces and a kind of injustice in society. (Boromand & Rezaei, 2012). Although urban spaces are very large and inspiring, they are full of strangeness, insecurity and fear. For this reason, urban spaces often do not have the attraction for women than men. For this reason, many feminist writers are anti-city (Naghdi & Darayi, 2014). In comparison with men, women have different biological characteristics and responsibilities that affect their relationship with space. They expect certain facilities from space to fit their physical and emotional needs, and facilitate their everyday life and activities, but in urban spaces, men and their needs are the reference point for planning and regulating spatial standards. It does not pay enough attention to the needs of women (Poormohammadi et al., 2015). According to some experts, due to patriarchal domination, despite the expansion of economic activities and the full participation of women in social, cultural and economic affairs, which requires their active presence in the city and urban public spaces, no attempt is made to create their favorable urban spaces in the community or the fitting of existing spaces have not been made and studies have been conducted to determine the extent of women’s security in the city (Hajiahmadi et al, 2015). Considering urban public spaces as the realm of communicating social interactions and human activities without any discrimination, today’s cities do not follow the pattern of all social groups and women do not have the necessary comfort in these spaces. In researches that generally investigated the differences between women and men in the review of the use of public transportation such is stated: Women are more affiliated with public transportation than men are, and they have less privately owned cars, and in our country they do not use bicycles for normative and security reasons. In addition, most pedestrians are women; moreover, women do more journeys that are complex. Women tend to be more likely to use public transportation. Some studies show that women who live alone have more mobility in the use of public transportation (Scheiner, 2006) (Páez et al., 2007). Some research suggests that women use public transport more than men for travel purposes other than commuting (Kuhnimhof et al., 2006). In most cases, men in the family have a driver role, which this gender difference shows that men in their lives use much more than their own car, and vice versa, women are much less likely to use the car (Hjorthol et al., 2010).

4- Research Method

The research method in this study is descriptive-analytical. The main part of the data used in this research has been collected through fieldwork of the questionnaire and interview. Then, using SPSS and AMOS software, the security analysis and its role in women’s satisfaction with intra-city transportation system was
analyzed. The Cochran formula was used to determine the sample size. Since the population of women using the inner city bus system of Kashan is not known, the Cochran formula is used for a situation in which both the size of the population is unknown and the variance of the prototype is not available. The following formula was used to determine sample size:

\[
x = \left( \frac{z_{a/2} \sigma}{\varepsilon} \right) \quad \sigma = \frac{\max(x_i) - \min(x_i)}{6}
\]

Since the five-point Likert scale questionnaire has been used, the largest value is 5 and the smallest value is 1. Therefore, the standard deviation is equal to 0.66. This value is the maximum standard deviation (Momeni & Ghayoomi, 2008). The confidence level is 95% and the accuracy of the estimate is 0.01. Therefore, the sample size is equal to:

\[
Z_{a/2} = 1.96, \varepsilon = 0.01, \sigma = 0.66 \Rightarrow n = 170
\]

The validity of the research tool was determined by the content and face emphasis of the questionnaire by experts and university professors. The reliability of the questionnaire was calculated by Cronbach’s alpha. Since the reliability of the researcher-made questionnaire is equal to 0.716, it can be concluded that the reliability of the questionnaire is desirable. Another part of the required data, such as theoretical-conceptual framework of research, documents, has been obtained through library methods and referring to relevant organizations. The research questionnaire was completed in 39 items. After collecting the data of the research questionnaire and performing confirmatory factor analysis, the sub-indicators and main indicators were prepared in 29 items, that the share of each of the main indicators is three items in each security, social, seat quality and safety index, respectively. The visual beauty indicators, equipment and services each have 4 positions and the behavior and behavior index is 5 items.

Then, using structural equations modeling, the effects of independent variables on dependent variables were investigated. Structural equations modeling is the second-generation of statistical analysis technique used to analyze the interrelationships between multiple variables in a model (Keshavarz, 2014). Analyzing covariation structures or causal modeling, or structural equation modeling, is one of the main methods for analyzing complex and multivariate data structures whose main characteristic is the analysis of the coexistence of independent and dependent variables (Kirschkamp, 2008). This method is a set of statistical methods for modeling the relationships between independent and dependent variables (structural model) and hidden and observable variables (measurement model) (Mohsenin & Esfidani, 2017). In the Structural Equation Modeling Technique, the researcher, by observing and calculating the simultaneous variations (common variance) of several variables, intends to conclude that it is likely that the joint factor explaining these changes simultaneously. In fact, the common variance in an index is part of a variance that is shared with other indicators and is explained by one or more factors (Alavai, 2013). The indicators used in this research include a set of objective and mental criteria that affect women’s satisfaction. The mental factors include driving behavior, social, safety and security; physical factors include equipment, services, visual aesthetics and the quality of the seat of the bus.
The Study Area

The city of Kashan is located in the center of Kashan with coordinates of 51 degrees and 27 minutes longitude and 34 degrees latitude. This city is located in the cities of Aran and Bidgol, Ghamsar, Niasar, Ravand and SefidShahr.

5- Results

In the structural model of the research, the effective factors on women’s satisfaction with public transportation (bus) in Kashan have been investigated (Fig1). Latent variables such as security (feeling security on the bus, security feeling at the bus stop, safety in the bus compared to taxi), social factors (social relationships on the bus and station and meeting relatives and friends), equipment (variety of advertising and entertainment, standard canopies of stations, ventilation system, physical barriers for the separation of women and men), driver’s behavior (observing the time and order of the bus, the driver’s attention to the speeds and bumpers, drivers’ attention to the rights of passengers, driver’s supervision to the behavior of passengers), safety (child safety, safety when getting off, last seat safety), visual beauty (noise pollution, bus beauty), seat quality (free seats, seat belts, material and seat quality), as well as services (satisfaction of electronic payment, green space around the stations, trash bins, general services). In the explicit variable of research (intra-city transport), the effect of each of these variables was explored in the obvious variable. The results showed that visual beauty and driver’s behavior on the bus transport system of Kashan inland has caused the dissatisfaction of female citizens in this city. In this model, the effects of the latent variables of the social factors set are 0.08, security factors 0.03, service factors 0.02, and safety factors, driver behavior, equipment, visual aesthetics and seat quality, respectively, with weights of 0.09, 0.38, 0.05, 0.50 and 0.15 have had a significant effect on the overall satisfaction of female citizens with public transportation, which is referred to the weighting regression table for meaningful determination (Table2). The model was corrected with two-way communication and high correlation between security and driver’s behavior, as well as visual beauty and equipment, and the quality of the seat and services.
In the structural model of research, women’s satisfaction with the inter-city bus system as dependent variable and equipment, seat quality, visual aesthetics, safety, social, driver behavior and security as independent variables of the model have been introduced that affect the degree of satisfaction. According to Table 2, the significance level of the effect of safety, social and service indicators on satisfaction with the quality of public transportation was 0.754, 0.287 and 0.774, respectively (P value > 0.05), indicating that there is no significant relationship between these indices on the dependent variable of the research. The only latent variable is the visual beauty that affects the quality of transportation, with two-way communication and high correlation between this index and the indicators of bus system equipment. By improving the variables of this index, it would be possible to improve the relative satisfaction up to 43% in the overall satisfaction of the urban transport system. The highest weight among the sub-indicators of hidden variables were seat quality, equipment, visual beauty, safety, driver behavior, safety, social and service, respectively, the apparent variables of seat belt, ventilation system, bus atmosphere, bus station security, observance and order of buses, safety for getting off, visits to relatives and friends, and the presence of trash cans and station and bus cleaning. Generally, three main explicit variables that have the most impact on the dependent variable of research are as follows: the dull space of the bus factor with the factor weight of 0.346, noise pollution with factor weight 0.274, the apparent beauty of the bus with the factor weight of 0.224, and observing driving time by bus drivers with a weight of 0.207, which, with the expectation of change, explain the extent of women’s satisfaction with the urban transport system.
### Table2. Weighted regression of the default model

| Indicators          | Abbreviation | Variables                                      | Non-standard estimation | The standard error | Critical ratio |
|---------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| **Security**        | s31          | Feeling secure at the bus                     | 1.00                    |                    |                |
|                     | s32          | Security and bus station                      | 1.157                   | 0.204              | 5.679          |
|                     | s38          | Bus Security Compared to Taxi                 | 0.518                   | 0.170              | 3.054          |
| **Social**          | s33          | Social relationships with others inside the bus| 1.00                    |                    |                |
|                     | s34          | Meet relatives and friends                    | 0.956                   | 0.146              | 6.533          |
|                     | s35          | Social relationships with others at the station| 0.836                   | 0.128              | 6.533          |
| **Visual beauty**   | s15          | Noise pollution                               | -1.732                  | 0.451              | -3.840         |
|                     | S12          | Light and brightness                          | 1.00                    |                    |                |
|                     | s17          | The apparent beauty of the bus               | -1.417                  | 0.389              | -3.643         |
|                     | s20          | Anxiety of bus atmosphere                     | -2.125                  | 0.521              | -4.080         |
| **Services**        | S4           | Satisfaction with electronic payment          | 1.00                    |                    |                |
|                     | S8           | Green space on the route and the stations     | 2.550                   | 0.499              | 5.112          |
|                     | S24          | General service                               | 1.526                   | 0.347              | 4.398          |
|                     | S9           | Trash and cleaning                            | 2.430                   | 0.471              | 5.165          |
| **Equipment**       | S3           | Variety of advertising and entertainment      | 1.00                    |                    |                |
|                     | S19          | Standard canopies of stations                 | 2.528                   | 0.659              | 3.838          |
|                     | S18          | air conditioning system                       | 3.114                   | 0.802              | 3.885          |
|                     | S22          | Physical barriers for separating women and men| 1.430                   | 0.460              | 3.108          |
| **Driver’s behavior** | S1          | Observe the time and order of the bus traffic  | 2.281                   | 0.118              | 2.041          |
|                     | S11          | Driver’s attention to speed and bumpers       | 1.00                    |                    |                |
|                     | S13          | Driver’s Attention to Traveler’s Rights       | 1.657                   | 0.932              | 1.797          |
|                     | S27          | The driver’s harsh behavior                   | -2.125                  | 0.521              | -4.080         |
|                     | S37          | Supervision of the driver to the behavior of the passengers | 2.333 | 1.172 | 1.991 |
| **Seat quality**    | S6           | Free space of seats                           | 1.252                   | 0.181              | 6.927          |
|                     | S7           | Seatbelt seats                                | 1.503                   | 0.214              | 7.020          |
|                     | S5           | Material and quality of the seats             | 1.00                    |                    |                |
| **Safety**          | S21          | Last Seat Safety                              | -1.752                  | 0.703              | -2.493         |
|                     | S30          | Child Safety                                  | 1.00                    |                    |                |
|                     | S28          | Safety when getting off                       | 5.263                   | 5.237              | 1.005          |
| **Satisfaction with the inner city bus system** | | Security | 0.016 | 0.051 | 0.314 |
|                     | | Safety | 0.014 | 0.027 | 0.498 |
|                     | | Seat quality | 0.098 | 0.058 | 1.702 |
|                     | | Social | 0.070 | 0.066 | 1.066 |
|                     | | Services | 0.009 | 0.031 | 0.287 |
|                     | | Driver’s behavior | 0.071 | 0.038 | 1.883 |
|                     | | Equipment | 0.014 | 0.027 | 0.498 |
|                     | | Visual beauty | 0.173 | 0.047 | 3.670 |
The fitting of structural models is done in both general and partial fit. According to Table 3, the non-nominal Chi-square Index (CMIN) is 919.963, which gives a significant result. The ratio of the Chi-square to the degree of freedom, which is called CMIN / DF, is equal to 2.314, which indicates an acceptable status for the model and the RMSEA value is 0.89. The good fit index of CFI is 0.0624, which is considered as the optimum value for the above model. Also in Table 3, the value of the Normal Fit Index (PNFI) is 0.450. The GFI value is 0.747, which indicates that the model has a satisfactory status.

Table 3. Model indicators of goodness of fit

| Index                           | Signs   | Model          |
|---------------------------------|---------|----------------|
| Parameters released to formulate the model | NPAR    | 72  | 465  | 30  |
| Chi-square                      | CMIN    | 919.963       | 0.000 | 1834.761 |
| Degree of freedom               | DF      | 393           | 0    | 435  |
| Significance level              | P       | 0.000         | 0.000 |
| Relative chi-square             | CMIN/DF | 2.341         | 1.000 | 4.218 |
| GOF                             | GFI     | 0.747         | 1.000 | 0.516 |
| Adaptive GOF                    | CFI     | 0.624         | 1.000 | 0.000 |
| Normal fit index                | PNFI    | 0.450         | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Adaptive fit index              | PCFI    | 0.563         | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Root Mean Squares Estimated Error | RMSEA  | 0.89          | -    | 0.200 |
| Probability of fitness          | PCLOSE  | 0.000         | -    | 0.000 |

6- Conclusion and Discussion

Women constitute the majority of pedestrians, and they are drivers or own a car less than men do, and are often with children. From time to time, they are generally afraid of night trips and schedule their trips as avoiding after the darkness. In this case, planning for the identification and analysis of the model and the needs of women’s movement is of particular importance and in the long run, it will increase the quantity and quality of using urban spaces and the actual and effective presence of women in cities. Given that women are generally much more dependent on public transport than men, and on the other hand, due to the proximity of the study area with bus and train stations, this can have a huge impact on the attraction of the population and their sense of security in the presence of the safety and efficiency of public transport. Different social and physical indices were measured in order to satisfy more women in public transportation. The latent variables such as security, social factors, safety, and driver’s behavior were investigated as subjective variables. Other latent variables such as beauty and visibility, service, equipment and quality of seats and interior furniture of the bus identified as objective or physical factors and effect of each of these variables were explored in the obvious variable.

The results indicated that visual visibility of the bus and the intercity carriage station of Kashan had the greatest impact on the dissatisfaction of women citizens with the bus system in this city, and other latent variables had no significant effect on the independent variable. Although the latent variables of the driver’s behavior with the weight of 0.38 affects the dependent variable, the
significance level of this variable is 0.060, indicating that it cannot be approved with 95% significance level. This means that scheduling and speed of buses, security, green spaces, and behaviors of the drivers have no significant effect on citizens’ satisfaction with the bus system. Given the structural model and the relationship between latent and obvious variables, it can be concluded that by increasing the visual beauty of the bus and the transport stations, a value of 0.5 is added to the satisfaction of the female citizens. Therefore, improvements in the quality of the environment and the urban transportation environment (bus system) have a great effect on the satisfaction of women. Improvement variables include reducing pollution, improving the quality of bus space and increasing the diversity and beauty of the entire bus system. Among the obvious variables involved in the structural equation model, respectively, variables such as busy noise, noise pollution, apparent bus appearance, and bus driving time were the most frequent with the expectation of changing the satisfaction rate of women of inland transportation system. The dull space inside the bus, light and brightness, smell, the arrangement of the seats and the extent of the visitor’s visibility is not favorable due to the position of the female citizens in the second part of the bus. The noise pollution due to the location of the bus engine in the deployment of women is the main cause of noise pollution, which is a double problem because of the weakness or lack of a suitable ventilation system and open windows of the bus as a result of the noise pollution of the streets.

In order to improve the physical quality of the bus system in Kashan, the following suggestions are provided for the indicators studied:

- Increasing the number of public transport bus vehicles
- Modernization and improvement of the quality of the bus fleet in order to reduce the noise pollution
- Improvement of the ventilation and cooling system of city buses
- Eliminating busy and depreciated buses
- Preventing ads on bus stops and create obstacles in the visibility of travelers
- The optimal design of the entrance and the bus stops so that the elderly, women and children can easily get on the bus
- Installing children’s chair and safety devices to prevent the injury of women with children on the bus
- Specifying a place in the bus to put women’s stuff because many women use city buses to shop daily for retail outlets and stores
- Improving the quality of glass surfaces and bus windows to reduce noise pollution
- Periodic service of buses in order to reduce noise
- Insulate and create a noise barrier between the bus engine and passenger cabin
- Installing curtains adjacent to the windows on the section used by women
- Improving seat buses and living space
- Improving green space around bus stations
- Making diversity and beautifying the cabin of bus passengers
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