Review Article

Browse silage as potential feed for captive wild ungulates in southern Africa: A review

Khanyisile R. Mbatha,*, Archibold G. Bakare

* University of South Africa, Department of Agriculture and Animal Health, Private Bag X6, Florida 1710, South Africa
b Fiji National University, College of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry, PO Box 7222, Suva, Fiji

A B S T R A C T

The objective of the review was to assess the potential of indigenous browse trees as sustainable feed supplement in the form of silage for captive wild ungulates. Several attempts to use silage as feed in zoos in temperate regions have been conducted with success. Information on silage from the indigenous browse trees preferred by wild ungulates in southern Africa is scanty. The use of silage from the browse trees is of interest as it has potential to reduce or replace expensive feed sources (pellets, fruits and farm produce) currently offered in southern African zoos, game farms and reserves, especially during the cold-dry season. Considerable leaf biomass from the indigenous browse trees can be produced for silage making. High nutrient content and minerals from indigenous browsable trees are highly recognised. Indigenous browse trees have low water-soluble carbohydrates (WSC) that render them undesirable for fermentation. Techniques such as wilting browse leaves, mixing cereal crops with browse leaves, and use of additives such as urea and enzymes have been studied extensively to increase WSC of silage from the indigenous browse trees. Anti-nutritional factors from the indigenous browse preferred by the wild ungulates have also been studied extensively. Indigenous browse silages are a potential feed resource for the captive wild ungulates. If the browse trees are used to make silage, they are likely to improve performance of wild ungulates in captivity, especially during the cold-dry season when browse is scarce. Research is needed to assess the feasibility of sustainable production and the effective use of silage from indigenous browse trees in southern Africa. Improving intake and nutrient utilisation and reducing the concentrations of anti-nutritional compounds in silage from the indigenous browse trees of southern Africa should be the focus for animal nutrition research that need further investigation.
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1. Introduction

Ungulate species inhabit most parts of southern Africa and their survival depends on plants, which provide adequate nutrients. In natural environments, they survive by using their feeding skills and walking long distances to select feed that meet nutritional requirements for growth, maintenance and reproduction (Boone et al., 2006). In captivity, the availability of feed that is in commensuration with the natural environment is limited; therefore, for alternative dietary items they rely on feed supplied with adequate nutrients. Animals in captivity are fed various dietary items such pellets, grass hay (grass species depends on the area), lucerne hay (Medicago sativa), browse (seasonally available) and fresh produce that depends on market availability. The chosen dietary items should meet the animal’s protein and energy requirements with minimum exposure to dangerous toxins (Mbatha et al., 2012). Furthermore, they must be appealing and palatable to animals.

The worst-affected ungulate species in captivity are browsers and mixed-feeders. Some examples of browsers in southern Africa are giraffes (Giraffa camelopardalis), black rhinos (Diceros bicornis), kudu (Tragelaphus strepsiceros) and bushbuck (Tragelaphus...
**sylvaticus**). Mixed-feeders include springbok (*Antidorcas marsupialis*), elephants (*Loxodonta africana*) and impalas (*Aepyceros melampus*). Diets of browsers constitute mostly of shoots, shrubs, tender twigs, fruits and pods from trees (*Aganga and Mesho, 2008; Jamala et al., 2013*). During rainy seasons mixed-feeders tend to feed on high-quality grasses of different heights while switching to browse as grass nutrient quality declines, especially during the dry season (*Botha and Stock, 2005*). It has been reported that most trees shed their leaves and loose most of their nutrients during this period. Thus, in captivity it is not a challenge to provide sufficient browse in wet season and the diet of browsers is optimally balanced. The harvesting logistics, therefore, represent one of the most crucial aspects of feeding browse to animals during dry season (*Nijboer et al., 2003; Hatt and Clauss, 2006; Wensvoort, 2008*). Browse is an important dietary item of browsers, thus, insufficient nutrients due to deficient browse supply may result in diseases, abnormalities, under-performance and eventually death (*Clauss et al., 2008; Mbatha et al., 2012*). Some browse species are relatively nutritious and can provide substantial amounts of nutrients, including fibre and protein. They can also stimulate natural behaviours and thus have high enrichment value for captive wild ungulates. One significant challenge with the use of browse is that the secondary metabolites (condensed tannins, alkaloids, terpenes) might be presented at dangerously high levels causing deleterious effects to captive wild ungulates. There are, however, beneficial effects to some captive wild ungulates when secondary metabolites are consumed. Tannins, for example, have a potential to mitigate iron overload disorder in wild ungulates (*Lavin, 2012*).

There are various methods of conserving browse such as freezing and drying. These methods require more storage space, are costly and labour intensive. Currently, browse silage is the best conserving technique because it is affordable and easy to make. The research on browse silage using southern African browse species is scarce. The information can benefit zoological institutions, protected areas and/or nature reserves, feed compounders and game farms. The willow (*Salix alba* and poplar (*Populus canadensis*) browse was ensiled by the Rotterdam Zoo (*Hatt and Clauss, 2001*). The Zurich zoo ensiled willow, hazel and maple tree species foliage (*Hatt and Clauss, 2001*). *Wensvoort* (2008) used *sider* (*Ziziphus spina-cristi*), damas (*Conocarpus lancifolius*), saltbush (*Atriplex spp*), ghafl (*Prosopis cinerea*), ghafl al bahr (*Pithecellobium dulce*), leucaena (*Leucaena leucocephala*), rakh (*Salvadora persica*) and date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera*) for browse ensilage in the United Arab Emirates. According to *Lachance* (2012), the Toronto Zoo used trees from apple orchards to prepare browse silage.

The main objective of ensiling is to preserve browse with minimum loss of nutrients. Silage is the conservation of forage with high moisture by natural fermentation under anaerobic conditions, where beneficial organisms such as bacteria, yeasts and fungi convert plant energy sources to organic acid (*Hurst, 1971*). During preservation, the fodder will undergo acid fermentation when bacteria produce lactic, acetic and butyric acids from sugars present in plant material. The main principle is the establishment of low pH and the maintenance of anaerobic conditions. When sufficient acid is produced to lower the pH to approximately 4.0, most metabolic actions cease and the forage is preserved. Under ideal situations, microorganisms will quickly thrive, multiply and dominate the silage mass. Maintaining the nutritional value (energy, DM and crop quality) of silage during the storage period is essential to successful ensiling programmes (*Muck et al., 2003*).

The objective of this review was to assess alternative feed sources to feed captive wild ungulates in southern Africa. The review focuses on nutritional value of the browse tree species, their availability and possibilities of conserving the browse trees by ensiling. It also highlights the recommendations to enhance the sustainable production and utilisation of the silage from the browse trees to benefit captive wild ungulates.

## 2. Browse species of southern Africa

Indigenous browse species that are dominant in most parts of southern Africa have an important role to provide feed for wild ungulates. They can be classified into deciduous, semi-deciduous and evergreen plants.

Deciduous plants, including trees, shrubs and herbaceous perennials, are those that lose all of their leaves, especially during cold-dry conditions (winter) in southern Africa. A number of deciduous plants channel nitrogen and carbon from the foliage and store them in vacuoles of parenchyma cells in their roots and inner bark before they are shed. In spring the nitrogen is used for growth of new leaves or flowers (*Srivastava, 2002*). Some examples of deciduous browse in southern Africa are: *Accacia spp.*, *Bulusanthus speciosus*, *Combretum spp.*, *Terminalia*, *Erythrina lysistemon*, *Robinia pseudoacacia*, *Comiphora spp.*, *Grewia occidentalis*, *Ziziphus mucronata*, *Pappea capensis*, *Balanites maughmii*, *Brachystegia spp.*, *Burkea africana* and *Dichrostachys cinerea* (*Van Wyk et al., 2010*). Semi-deciduous trees and bushes are plants that normally lose part of their foliage during the dry season. They might lose all their leaves especially during severe dry conditions (drought) (*Scogings et al., 2004*). Some examples of semi-deciduous browse in southern Africa are *Schotia brachypetala*, *Heteropyxis natalensis/dehniae*, *Brachylaena discoulour*, *Antidesma venosum*, *Ficus polita*, *Boscia albiflora* and *Colophospermum mopane* (*Van Wyk et al., 2000*). Evergreen browse keep their leaves throughout the year. Some of the evergreen browses in southern Africa are *Carissa bispinosas*, *Euclea divinorum*, *Gymnosporia senegalensis*, *Boscia foetida* and *Diospyros spp.* (*Van Wyk et al., 2000*).

The use of indigenous browse trees as feed for wild ungulates is associated with features such as abundance and accessibility, quality in terms of available protein, energy, minerals and vitamins, and presence of anti-nutritional factors (*Kibria et al., 1994; Ramírez, 1998*). Few indigenous browse species have been investigated and evaluated for their foliage biomass production and their chemical and physical characteristics. The information is important to ensure suitability and a ready supply of browse for ensiling.

### 2.1. Biomass production from browse species in southern Africa

Consumable biomass from browse is influenced by biotic, abiotic and anthropogenic factors. Regarding climatic (abiotic) factors, more consumable biomass is produced during the wet seasons. As rainfall decreases into the dry season, plant biomass and quality gradually decrease as well. This is due to leaf dormancy, lignification of existing shoots and falling of leaves (*Pelllow, 1980*). Consumable biomass reached production peak of 712 kg/ha during the wet season and a minimum of 167 kg/ha during the dry season on the ridge-top acacia regeneration woodland in the Serengeti National Park (Fig. 1). Browse can, therefore, be harvested during the wet season when in abundance and conserved as silage to be used as feed during the dry season.

*Polis (1999*) described how the availability of soil nutrients can have an impact on plant productivity and aboveground biomass. The use of resources from soil by trees largely depends on rainfall patterns, which have effects on nutrient uptake and storage (*Parks et al., 2000; Shae et al., 2004*). Rainfall dissolves nutrients in the soil; hence, roots can absorb it. On the other hand, if nutrients are not dissolved there will be no uptake of nutrients from the soil. Consequently, plant growth is hindered (*Kambatuku et al., 2011*). Fires can prevent tree establishment and growth by killing tree seedlings or seriously damaging the aboveground parts of shrubs.
and trees (Sinclair et al., 2009). Therefore, this may result in reduction of consumable biomass. In the wild, ungulates use their foraging skills to access consumable biomass. One of the skills is the bipedal stance where an animal stands on its hind legs to access biomass at considerable height (Fig. 2). Other ungulates like giraffes have adapted by developing a long neck to access consumable tree biomass not reachable by other ungulate species. In captivity, human beings harvest the consumable browse biomass for the ungulates. Different tools can be used to harvest browse, including secateurs, pruning clippers, cutlasses, loppers and sickles, depending on the height of the tree. The browse is harvested in such a way that trees are not permanently damaged allowing them to recover. The harvested browse can be conserved in the form of silage and can be used to prepare pellets, such as Boskos (Section 4.1.2).

2.2. Chemical composition of browse species of southern Africa

2.2.1. Nutritional characteristics of browse species

Indigenous trees are important source of nutrients for both domesticated and wild ungulates in southern Africa. Browse is rich in protein and their chemical composition tends to vary little across seasons compared with grasses (Lukhele and Van Rysen, 2003). The deep root system of trees allows them to extract nutrients from deep soil layers, which are inaccessible to shallow rooted plants such as grasses and herbaceous plants. This makes them a good source of nutrients for wild ungulates (Ngwa et al., 2004).

Variations in chemical compositions have been noted among different vegetation types of southern Africa. In karoo vegetation (Louw et al., 1968), crude protein content varied from around 5% to 20%, and is generally about 3% higher (in the same species) in summer than it is in winter. According to Aucamp (1979), in the second form of karroid vegetation, the valley bushveld showed crude protein levels to range from a minimum of 10.5% in September–November (early wet season) to 14.5% in February–May (late wet to early dry season). This might change due to effects of climate change on vegetation. Apart from season and edaphic factors that affect chemical composition of these trees, stage of maturity, plant parts and genetic predisposition are important (Underwood and Suttle, 1999; Bechaz, 2000; Grant et al., 2000; Dambe et al., 2015). Regarding stage of maturity of the plant, new shoots are highly nutritious compared with mature leaves. New shoots had high crude protein and magnesium, whilst mature leaves had high DM and fibre content (Balehegn and Hintsa, 2015). Fibre content increases due to accumulation of lignin. Consumable plant parts have been observed to have different chemical compositions (Table 1). Wild ungulates obtain more nutritional benefits from consuming leaves than barks and stems.

2.2.2. Secondary plant metabolites in browse trees

Most browse trees in southern Africa have been observed to have different types of anti-nutritional factors/secondary plant metabolites (SPM). These are chemicals produced by plants that are not used for primary plant metabolism. They function as feeding deterrents and have evolved to protect plants from herbivory that can quickly defoliate them. Some of the different SPM found in various browse species in southern Africa are shown in Table 2. Under natural conditions there is little danger that wild ungulates will be poisoned because they will seldom consume large quantities of pods or leaves with SPM. Ungulates use the dilution approach, which involves feeding on SPM-rich plants and later diluting by feeding on plants with fewer SPM (Bhat et al., 2013). Rogosic et al. (2007) described adaptation of microbes in the gut of an animal to tannins. Microbes degrade the SPM, enabling animals to more efficiently use tannin-rich plants. Another way animals detect and avoid intoxication from the SPM is through post-ingestive feedback from nutrients and toxins (Burritt and Provenza, 1996). They learn about post-ingestive consequences of forage through affective and cognitive systems. Austin et al. (1989), Robbins et al. (1985) also described browsers to have large parotid salivary glands, which produce tannin-binding proteins that may prevent tannins in browse to reduce protein digestibility. Thus, the protein affinity of SPM is reduced early in the gastro-intestinal
DM \(=\) dry matter, CP \(=\) crude protein, EE \(=\) ether extract, CF \(=\) crude fibre, NFC \(=\) non fibrous carbohydrate.

1 Sources: Balehegn and Hintsa (2015), Ghol (1981), and Dambe et al. (2015).

giraffes as being able to ingest fully matured, hard thorns with apparent indifference. Other phenotypic mouth features of the browsers which allow them to fully utilise browse plant species are a narrow mouth and highly mobile lips (Clauss et al., 2008). The features increase harvesting efficiency of leaves whilst avoiding deterrent features on plants such as thorns. Therefore, browse will always be available as feed for ungulates in the wild. Depending on mouth features and skills that each browser has developed, the preference of browse species will differ.

3. Browse species preferences of wild ungulates in southern Africa

Browse species preferred by the selected ungulates in southern Africa are given in Table 3. Preference of browse is influenced by the constantly changing environment and human intervention. Environmental change usually has to do with seasons and climate change. Ungulates change their feeding habits and plant preferences with season. Changing of feeding habits is mostly observed in intermediate feeders such as springboks (A. marsupialis), elephants (L. africana) and impalas (A. melampus). When grass quality is poor and scarce during the dry season, they switch to feeding on browse leaves.

Through management practices, humans play an important role in influencing plant preferences of ungulates as they decide on where to relocate ungulates to prevent conflicts with humans. Furthermore, poor management practices like overstocking will cause extinction of palatable plant species through overgrazing and/or over-browsing. Animals will have no other alternative but to select the remaining unpalatable plant species within a piece of land to get nutrients that meet their requirements for growth, maintenance and reproduction.

Knowing plant species preferences can be the first step towards gaining an understanding of the ideal and acceptable browse species for the ungulates. This knowledge may be used when formulating diets and choosing the right palatable plant species for ensiling that meet nutrient requirements for growth, maintenance and reproduction of captive wild ungulates.

4. Feeding management for captive wild ungulates in southern Africa

4.1. Feed offered to captive wild ungulates

Information accrued from preference studies may guide zoo nutritionists to identify dietary items for captive ungulates. Most browse species preferred by wild ungulates are scarce during the cold and dry season. Hence, zoo nutritionists resort to adding other
Acacias are rich in natural trace elements. Nutrients and pellets are produced from natural bushveld acacias in southern Africa, Boskos game pellets are a widely recognised feed. They require a nutritionally complete diet (Crissey et al., 2001). Further, they are formulated to specific physiological requirements of certain animal species. Browsers are fed high-quality hay to captive ungulates, problems have been reported due to problematic feeding. A study by Gattiker et al. (2014) has linked to the development of other health problems such as laminitis (Zenk et al., 2009) and diarrhoea (Hummel and Claus, 2006).

4.1.1. Hay
Hay of various types generally constitutes the mainstay of feeding programmes for domesticated and captive animals. In southern Africa, it is usually prepared by using Cynodon dactylon, Eragrostis teff, Eragrostis curvula, Chloris gayana, Digitaria eriantha and Cenchrus ciliaris (Tainton, 2000; Mbatha et al., 2012). The chemical composition of hay can vary widely depending largely on soil fertility, plant species and stage of maturity when they are harvested. High proportion of legume hay is offered to browsers whereas grass hay is sufficient for most grazers or bulk feeders such as zebras, elephants and buffaloes. Despite efforts to feed high-quality hay to captive ungulates, problems have been reported that animals tend not to accept it as feed. High refusal rates of grass hay have been reported in giraffes (Gutzwiler, 1984) and duikers (Van Soest et al., 1995). Feeding on hay alone will also not provide optimal nutrients to the captive ungulates for growth, maintenance and reproduction. A combination of hay and other feedstuffs such as pellets and fresh produce are mostly fed to the captive ungulates.

4.1.2. Pellets
Pellets contain a variety of ingredients ranging from abundant protein and energy sources to vitamins and minerals in one form. The pellets are formulated in such a way that captive ungulates in large quantities (Clauss and Kiefer, 2003; Vercammen et al., 2006; Zenker et al., 2009). With regard to laminitis, Gram-positive bacteria in the caecum break down fructans resulting in the development of lactic acidosis and endotoxemia (Van Eps and Pollitt, 2006). Lactic acidosis and endotoxemia activates local metalloproteinases that break down the basement membrane, causing a detachment of the distal phalanx from the inner hoof wall. The fructans are an important contributing factor to the development of lactic acidosis and endotoxemia (Van Eps and Pollitt, 2006). Lactic acidosis and endotoxemia activates local metalloproteinases that break down the basement membrane, causing a detachment of the distal phalanx from the inner hoof wall. Therefore, zoological gardens find it difficult to source money to feed pellets to the ungulates. In addition, several studies have reported some metabolic disorders associated with feeding pellets to captive ungulates (Hummel et al., 2006; Clauss et al., 2006; Zenker et al., 2009). One of these metabolic disorders is acidosis. High levels of rapidly digestible carbohydrates in pellets decrease rumen pH (Cheeke and Dierenfeld, 2010); as such, the gut becomes atonic resulting in depressed appetite. Worst scenarios result in shock and even death. Rumen acidosis has also been linked to the development of other health problems such as laminitis (Zenk et al., 2009) and diarrhoea (Hummel and Clauss, 2006).

4.1.3. Fruits and vegetables
Fruits and vegetables are commonly fed to ungulates in captivity (Huisman et al., 2008). They are rich in fructans and are readily fermented feedstuffs. Their consumption is associated with a wide range of health problems such as rumen acidosis, laminitis and other hoof problems, as well as glycosuria when these they are used in large quantities (Clauss and Kiefer, 2003; Vercammen et al., 2006; Zenker et al., 2009). With regard to laminitis, Gram-positive bacteria in the caecum break down fructans resulting in the development of lactic acidosis and endotoxemia (Van Eps and Pollitt, 2006). Lactic acidosis and endotoxemia activates local metalloproteinases that break down the basement membrane, causing a detachment of the distal phalanx from the inner hoof wall. Therefore, zoological gardens find it difficult to source money to feed pellets to the ungulates. In addition, several studies have reported some metabolic disorders associated with feeding pellets to captive ungulates (Hummel et al., 2006; Clauss et al., 2006; Zenker et al., 2009). One of these metabolic disorders is acidosis. High levels of rapidly digestible carbohydrates in pellets decrease rumen pH (Cheeke and Dierenfeld, 2010); as such, the gut becomes atonic resulting in depressed appetite. Worst scenarios result in shock and even death. Rumen acidosis has also been linked to the development of other health problems such as laminitis (Zenk et al., 2009) and diarrhoea (Hummel and Clauss, 2006).
reported more than 25 cases of metabolic disorders in necropsy of captive ungulate species fed hay, pellets and fructans in zoological gardens of South Africa (Table 4). Browsers, particularly the greater kudu (*Tragelaphus strepsiceros*) and giant eland (*Taurotragus derbianus*) had the worst body condition scores compared with intermediate feeders and grazers at necropsy. Browsers such as giraffes were more prone to ruminal acidosis, rumenitis and parakeratosis at time of death.

Most feeds like hay, pellets and fruits when offered daily, predispose the captive animals to hemosiderosis or haemochromatosis, usually referred to as iron storage disease. Hemosiderosis is a form of iron overload disorder, which results in the accumulation of hemosiderin. In the wild, ungulates are not susceptible to this condition because of phenolic compounds (tannins) in their diets. High amounts of iron in consumed feedstuffs are reduced because of the binding properties of the phenolic compounds. On the contrary, alternative feeds offered to captive ungulates are high in iron (Castell, 2005) and low in iron chelates, such as tannins (Wright, 1998). Low iron chelates result in excessive storage of iron in the liver of the animal. Previous studies involving black rhinoceros have shown the amount of iron to increase with time when kept in captivity (Smith et al., 1995; Paglia and Dennis, 1999; Dierenfeld et al., 2005). The diet of black rhinoceros in the wild mostly consists of twigs, leaves bark and shrubs, which contain high levels of natural antioxidants and chelators, which reduce bioavailability of dietary iron (Clauss et al., 2002). Treatments of the condition are only successful when iron levels in the system are still low.

Notwithstanding all the efforts to provide alternative feed for captive wild ungulates during the period when browse is unavailable, there is also a need to supply food that is in commensuration with the natural environment. The use of silage from indigenous browse species of southern Africa as feed for the captive wild ungulates is one of such alternative.

## 5. Ensiling browse tree species

Ensiling of forages is a method of conservation in many parts of the world for livestock production. It makes feed available all year round even during seasons when browse is scarce (Wilkinson and Davies, 2012). Plants species like grasses, whole-crop cereals and legumes are suitable for ensiling. Compared with hay, ensiling does not affect nutrient composition (Teixeira et al., 2003; Wanjekeche et al., 2003). Browse silage is used mostly for captive animals while it can be profitable for livestock production of mixed-feeders such as goats. Furthermore, when well-prepared it is more palatable than hay.

The process of making browse silage involves the pruning of leaves, stems and fruits from selected browse species. These can be chopped into smaller pieces (approximately 2 to 4 cm) put in plastic drums, polythene bags and/or even dug into the ground. The material is compressed to remove excess air to prevent spoilage. Silage must be firmly packed to minimize the oxygen content, or it will be spoot. Oxygen supply and water-soluble carbohydrates become depleted, paving way for anaerobic fermentation to occur. High temperature reduces lactic acid concentrations resulting in increased pH levels and reduced dry matter (Koc et al., 2009). The ensiled browse will undergo acid fermentation when anaerobic bacteria (lactic acid bacteria — *Lactobacillus, Pediococcus, Lactococcus, Enterococcus, Streptococcus and Leuconostoc*) produce lactic, acetic and butyric acids from sugars present in plants. The

### Table 4

| Species Common name         | FT 2 | n  | PRA, % | Body condition 1 |   |   |   |   |
|-----------------------------|------|----|--------|------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Alcelaphus buselaphus       | Hartebeest         | GR  | 6      | 33.3             | 0 | 16.6 | 16.7 |
| Antilope cervicapra         | Blackbuck          | GR  | 35     | 37.1             | 8.8 | 2.8 | 2.9 |
| Addax nasomaculatus         | Addax             | GR  | 16     | 18.8             | 6.2 | 18.7 | 18.8 |
| Damaliscus pygargus         | Bontebok          | GR  | 6      | 66.7             | 16.6 | 0 | 0 |
| Hippotragus niger           | Sable antelope    | GR  | 8      | 75               | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kobus leche                 | Lechwe            | GR  | 23     | 30.4             | 4.4 | 8.7 | 17.4 |
| Oryx dammah                 | Scimitar-horned oryx | GR  | 16     | 43.8             | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.3 |
| Oryx leucoryx               | Arabian oryx     | GR  | 19     | 36.8             | 10.6 | 5.3 | 15.8 |
| Syncerus caffer             | African buffalo   | GR  | 14     | 35.7             | 0 | 0 | 7.1 |
| Ammotragus lervia           | Barbary sheep     | IM  | 31     | 3.2              | 3.3 | 0 | 0 |
| Antidorcas marsupialis      | Springbok         | IM  | 52     | 44.2             | 9.6 | 11.6 | 1.9 |
| Aepyceros melampus          | Impala            | IM  | 42     | 14.3             | 2.4 | 2.4 | 7.1 |
| Axis axis                   | Chital            | IM  | 30     | 10               | 13.3 | 10 |
| Axis porcinus               | Hog deer          | IM  | 23     | 13.1             | 4.3 | 17.4 |
| Boselaphus tragocamelus     | Nilgai            | IM  | 10     | 50               | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Capra nubiana               | Nubian ibex       | IM  | 59     | 35.6             | 1.7 | 6.8 | 6.8 |
| Hemitragus jemlahicus       | Tahr              | IM  | 14     | 0                | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nanger dama                 | Dama gazelle      | IM  | 23     | 34.8             | 13 | 13 | 0 |
| Ovis aries                  | Sheep             | IM  | 9      | 11.1             | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ovis nivicola               | Snow sheep        | IM  | 18     | 22.2             | 11.1 | 11.1 | 11.1 |
| Raphicerus campestris       | Steenbok          | IM  | 30     | 33.3             | 3.4 | 0 | 3.3 |
| Tragelaphus angasi          | Nyala            | IM  | 37     | 40.5             | 8.1 | 2.7 | 0 |
| Taurotragus derbianus       | Giant eland      | BR  | 10     | 80               | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Taurotragus oryx            | Common eland     | IM  | 4      | 50               | 25 | 0 | 0 |
| Cephalophus natalensis      | Red forest duiker | BR  | 19     | 10.5             | 10.6 | 0 | 0 |
| Giraffa camelopardis        | Giraffe           | BR  | 8      | 62.5             | 0 | 12.5 | 0 |
| Philantomba monticola       | Blue duiker       | BR  | 37     | 51.4             | 8.1 | 2.7 | 0 |
| Sylvicapra grimmica         | Common duiker    | BR  | 34     | 38.2             | 0 | 3 | 2.9 |
| Tragelaphus imberbis        | Lesser kudu       | BR  | 5      | 60               | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| Tragelaphus strepsiceros    | Greater kudu     | BR  | 14     | 28.6             | 78.6 | 7.1 | 0 | 0 |

1 Source: Gattiker et al. (2014).
2 Feeding type (FT) is abbreviated as grazer (GR), intermediate feeder (IM), or browser (BR).
3 Prakeratosis/rumenitis/acidosis (PRA) includes ruminal acidosis, rumenitis, and parakeratosis.
4 Body condition is denoted as poor (P), reasonable (R), good (G), or excellent (Ex).
proportion of acids produced will depend on plant maturity, moisture and natural bacterial populations. When sufficient acid is produced to reduce the final pH to approximately 4.2, most metabolic actions cease and the forage is preserved. The type of forage and moisture content of ensiled crop usually influences final pH.

Legumes generally have less water-soluble carbohydrates, high buffering capacity and can reach a final pH of about 4.5. Corn silage, on the other hand, has high water-soluble carbohydrates, low buffering capacity and can reach a final pH of around 4.0. The smell of lactic acid (sour milk) when opening silage containers is the sign of good quality. On contrary, butyric acid (rancid fat) is an indication of silage poor quality. An extremely low fermentable and poor quality silage will have ammonia smell. Preservation of browse by ensiling is important, especially for dry periods when browse is scarce. The ensiled browse species can be used as an acceptable feed commensurate with the natural environment for the captive wild ungulates. Feeding silage is also not associated with metabolic disorders compared with feeding of pellets and fructans to captive wild ungulates.

5.1. Ensiling suitability of indigenous browse species of southern Africa

Most indigenous browse species of southern Africa are not the best ensilage material compared with temperate forages (Taitont, 2000). This is largely because they have low water-soluble carbohydrates (WSC) that are essential for successful ensilage (Mcdonald, 1981; Tjandraatmadja et al., 1993; Nussio, 2005). Low WSC during ensiling leads to a higher buffering capacity and leaves protein susceptible to proteolysis (Woolford, 1984). Proteolysis may reduce the efficiency of nitrogen utilisation by the ungulates. There are, however, several practices that aim to improve the levels of fermentable carbohydrates, reducing buffering and preventing proteolysis so that good-quality silage can be produced. These include wilting, mixing legumes with cereal crops, silage additives and using small-scale silos. Wilting has been found to greatly increase DM and reduce proteolysis and anti-nutritional factors before the ensiling processes (Hashemzadeh-Cigari et al., 2011). Cereal crops have high WSC; hence, mixing the browse with cereal crops may produce a conducive environment for fermentation (Phiri et al., 2007).

Table 5 shows fermentation and nutritional quality of silage from leguminous trees, and mixture of crop and leguminous trees.

| Table 5 | Fermentation and nutritional quality of silages from maize and legume forages (Acacia boliviana, Calliandra calectyrsus, Gliricidia sepium and Leucaena leucocephala). |

| Silage type                  | Fermentation quality | Nutritional quality |
|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
|                              | pH | NH₃-N, % | CP, % | OMD, % |
| Maize and legume mixture      | 4.0 | 7.7   | 9.4 | 56.0 |
| Maize + A. boliviana          | 4.7 | 12.0  | 18.7 | 44.8 |
| Maize + C. calectyrsus        | 4.1 | 11.6  | 14.0 | 37.5 |
| Maize + G. sepium             | 4.2 | 8.5   | 15.5 | 59.1 |
| Maize + L. leucocephala       | 4.6 | 12.8  | 17.2 | 45.3 |
| A. boliviana                  | 6.3 | 11.0  | >24.0 | 40.9 |
| C. calectyrsus                | 5.2 | 12.4  | 22.9 | 30.2 |
| G. sepium                     | 5.1 | 9.3   | 25.5 | 64.5 |
| L. leucocephala               | 6.5 | 11.7  | 27.2 | 33.4 |

CP = crude protein; OMD = organic matter digestibility.

1 Source: Mugweni (2000).
2 Acidity of < pH5 needed for good preservation; NH₃:N = Protein-N loss as ammonia (<15% is reasonable in legumes).

Silages from leguminous browse trees are not suitable materials for fermentation unless a cereal crop is added. Browse species, especially in the tropics, will also need additives since they do not ferment quite readily (Taitont, 2000). Urea, ammonia and molasses might be used as additives. Wilting, mixing legumes with cereal crops, silage additives and using small-scale silos have been investigated in several studies to successfully produce silage for livestock in southern Africa (Bolsen, 1999; Titterton and Bareeba, 2000; Mugweni, 2000; Dewhurst et al., 2003; Phiri et al., 2007).

There is paucity of information regarding making silage from other browse species preferred by wild ungulates. This, therefore, warrants further investigations.

5.2. Effects of ensiling on detoxification of anti-nutritional factors

Previous studies have noted ensiling to be a means of reducing secondary plant metabolites (Ngwa et al., 2004; Martens et al., 2014; Huisden et al., 2014). Ngwa et al. (2004) found ensiling to reduce the content of cyanogenic glycosides (CG) in pods of Acacia sieberiana. The concentrations of cyanide in silage samples indicated that ensiling effectively reduced the cyanide content in the feed. The concentrations were brought down to nontoxic levels, although they did not completely eliminate the cyanide in the pods. It was suggested that this may relate to the fact that cyanide in most plants exists in 2 forms: CG, which is metabolised by microorganisms during the process of fermentation, and non-glycosidic cyanide, which is not metabolised (Izomkon-Etiobio and Ugochukwu, 1984).

Free condensed tannins available to bind to proteins were also reduced by ensiling in some tropical forage legumes (Martens et al., 2014). Huisden et al. (2014) found ensiling to reduce L-3 and 4-dihydroxyphenylalanine concentration in Mucuna pruriens. The process of detoxification is likely to make it possible for the ungulate species to consume silage from a variety of indigenous browse species in large quantities without any deleterious side effects. Therefore, performance of captive wild ungulates can be improved.

5.3. Effects of feeding silage on performance of ungulates

It has been noted that silage from crops, grasses, legume trees and ley legumes is readily digested by domesticated ungulates (cattle, sheep and goats) and has led to improvements in their performance (Mapiye et al., 2007; Salcedo et al., 2010; Helander et al., 2014). Feeding grass silage increased daily live weight and carcass gains in sheep and beef cattle (Helander et al., 2014). In dairy cattle, feeding with red clover silage and corn silage increased milk yield, protein concentration and the yields of fat plus protein (Salcedo et al., 2010).

Wild ungulates, like their domesticated counterparts, the ruminants (cattle, sheep and goats), can digest fibrous diets (Hofmann, 1989; Clauss et al., 2008). In a study conducted by Nilsson et al. (1996), silage made from grass was found to be highly acceptable by the ungulates. Intake of grass silage increased over time when introduced to reindeer (Fig. 3). Live weight gain was also found to increase in reindeer fed low dry matter silage (Nilsson et al., 1996). It is very likely that wild ungulates in southern Africa will also perform to expectation when offered the silages from the indigenous browse trees. Very few, if any, studies have been documented to determine in southern Africa on how the captive wild ungulates fed silage will perform in terms of growth and reproductive performance in southern Africa. This, therefore, warrants further investigation.
6. Concluding remarks, economic implications and future directions regarding the use of silage as feed for captive ungulates

There is a need for alternative feed sources for captive wild ungulates in zoos, game farms and reserve in southern Africa due to insufficient supply and high prices of existing feed sources. The alternative feed source can be obtained from leaves of browse trees. These leaves can be conserved by ensiling. Hatt and Clauss (2001) highlighted the fact that feeding captive ungulates with silage from browse species can be more cost-effective than feeding hay, pellets and pellet mix. The objective of this paper was to review the current knowledge in the literature regarding the use of browse silage as feed for captive wild ungulates. Information on feeding of browse silage to captive wild ungulates in southern Africa is scanty. As a result, much of the information in the review is giving references to studies in temperate regions and also livestock species in southern Africa. Using browse silage as a feed source for captive wild ungulates is generally safe and it does not run high risks of introducing hazardous substances that can be have deleterious effects on animal well-being. For sustainable production of silage, there is a need to understand foliage biomass, anti-nutritional factors and fermentation chemistry of different browse species preferred by wild ungulates in southern Africa. Future research should also aim at improving potential of browse silage as alternative feed source by observing responses in terms of growth and reproduction in captive wild ungulates in southern Africa.
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