Abstract The purpose of this paper is to get second-order gravitational equations, a correction made to Jefimenko’s linear gravitational equations. These linear equations were first proposed by Oliver Heaviside in [1], making an analogy between the laws of electromagnetism and gravitation. To achieve our goal, we will use perturbation methods on Einstein field equations. It should be emphasized that the resulting system of equations can also be derived from Logunov’s non-linear gravitational equations, but with different physical interpretation, for while in the former gravitation is considered as a deformation of space-time as we can see in [2–5], in the latter gravitation is considered as a physical tensor field in the Minkowski space-time (as in [6–8]). In Jefimenko’s theory of gravitation, exposed in [9,10], there are two kinds of gravitational fields, the ordinary gravitational field, due to the presence of masses, at rest, or in motion and other field called Heaviside field due to and acts only on moving masses. The Heaviside field is known in general relativity as Lense-Thirring effect or gravitomagnetism (The Heaviside field is the gravitational analogous of the magnetic field in the electromagnetic theory, its existence was proved employing the Gravity Probe B launched by NASA (See, for example, [11,12]). It is a type of gravitational induction), interpreted as a distortion of space-time due to the motion of mass distributions, (see, for example [13,14]). Here, we will present our second-order Jefimenko equations for gravitation and its solutions.

1 Introduction

In general relativity gravitational interaction is interpreted as deformation of space-time due to the presence and movement of masses. We can read in almost all books related to general relativity about the relationship existing between matter and space-time: “space-time tells to space-time how to curve” (see, [15]). This idea arose from the conclusion of Einstein that the field variable for the gravitational field must be the metric tensor of the Riemann space-time $g_{\mu\nu}$, and that this quantity is determined by the distribution and motion of matter, this is the link between matter and geometry. General relativity theory is often mentioned by various authors as one of the most important theories developed in the last century. In words of the Nobel prize R. Feynman: “Einstein’s gravitational theory, which is said to be the greatest single achievement of theoretical physics, resulted in beautiful relations connecting gravitational phenomena with the geometry of space; this is an exciting idea.” [16]. Although this relationship between matter and geometry of space-time has been widely spread between the most of scientist, this was not the central result that Einstein wanted to highlight, this idea never was accepted by Einstein, instead of this, was the unification of inertia and gravity. In fact, the idea of the geometrization of gravity began with the work of Weyl. For a detailed discussion, see the paper, Why Einstein did not believe that general relativity geometrizes gravity [17].

To obtain the second-order Jefimenko equations, we will use Post-Newtonian approximation on the Einstein field equations. The same system of non-linear equations can be obtained from other approaches such as the system of equations obtained by Logunov.

The Jefimenko equations for gravitation were first obtained by Heaviside in [1], making use of Gibbs vector analysis, supposing the existence of a second gravitational field arising as a kind of gravitational induction. Years before Einstein wrote about this possibility in his not-so-well-known work Gibt es eine gravitationswirkung die der elektromagnetischen induktionswirkung analog ist? [18]. But also can be obtained from the approach developed by Arbab in [19] making use of Hamilton’s quaternions, from the linearised field equations as were obtained by González Segura in [20]. Jefimenko has obtained this set of equations by postulating an analogy
between his retarded solutions for the electromagnetic field and solutions for the gravitational field.

We will compare the resulting system of equations with those quantities obtained by Jefimenko and we will establish their respective solutions as a limit. Also, we will see that the Jefimenko equations give us the Newtonian theory for the limit \(v/c \rightarrow 0\), where \(c\) is the speed of propagation of plane wave solutions of the gravitational field in the absence of sources\(^1\).

2 Second-order Jefimenko equations

Jefimenko equations for gravitation are given in \([9,10]\) by

\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{g} &= -4\pi G\rho, \\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{k} &= 0, \\
\nabla \times \mathbf{g} &= -\frac{\partial \mathbf{k}}{\partial t}, \\
\nabla \times \mathbf{k} &= -\frac{4\pi G}{c^2} \mathbf{J} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \mathbf{g}}{\partial t},
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\mathbf{g}\) is the ordinary gravitational field due to the presence of masses and that acts on masses, whether they are moving or not, \(\mathbf{k}\) is the Heaviside field (the field that is generated by the movement of masses and acts only on moving masses), \(\rho\) is the mass density, \(\mathbf{J} = \rho \mathbf{v}\) is the mass current density with \(\mathbf{v}\) the velocity of the mass, \(G\) is the universal constant of gravitation.

The analogy is not perfect, owing to that in electromagnetic theory there are two kinds of electric charges, in Jefimenko’s theory of gravitation, there is only one kind of mass. Whereas in the first the magnetic field is right-handed, in gravitational theory is left-handed. In the former, there is a flux of electrons inside a conductor, while in the gravitation, the mass current is the movement of the matter as a whole.

We will derive non-linear expressions for the Jefimenko equations from the Einstein field equations:

\[
G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi GT_{\mu\nu},
\]

where \(G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R\) is the Einstein tensor which determines the geometry of the space-time, due to the presence of the source, \(G\) is the gravitational constant, \(T_{\mu\nu}\) is the energy-momentum tensor of matter. These equations can be written as

\[
R_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G \left(T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} T\right),
\]

where \(T = T^\mu_{\mu}\) is the trace of the tensor \(T_{\mu\nu}\), \(R_{\mu\nu}\) is the Ricci tensor obtained from the contraction of the Riemann tensor \(R^\rho_{\mu\sigma\nu}\) for \(\rho = \sigma\), that is,

\[
R_{\mu\nu} = R^\rho_{\mu\rho\nu} = \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\sigma\nu} - \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu\sigma} + \Gamma^\rho_{\sigma\lambda} \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} - \Gamma^\rho_{\nu\sigma} \Gamma^\lambda_{\lambda\mu\nu},
\]

and \(\Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu}\) are the Christoffel symbols, given in terms of the metric tensor of the Riemann space-time \(g_{\mu\nu}\) as

\[
\Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\rho\sigma} (g_{\mu\sigma,\nu} + g_{\nu\sigma,\mu} - g_{\mu\nu,\sigma}).
\]

We will use the De Donder conditions

\[
\partial_\mu g^{\mu\nu} = 0,
\]

which were first introduced by Fock in \([22]\) and were considered as a privileged system of reference. He introduced these conditions when he was considering problems of the island type (also called isolated systems). Until there are not global Cartesian coordinates in Riemann space-time, the harmonic gauge conditions are valid on patches.

To solve Eqs. (6) and (9), it is necessary to construct the Riemannian manifold, which means to find the metric tensor of the Riemann space-time \(g_{\mu\nu}(x)\).

The Post-Newtonian approximation is used in relatively weak gravitational fields and in processes where the speeds are small compared with \(c\), the speed of propagation of plane wave solutions of the gravitational field in vacuum. For these reasons, Post-Newtonian formulation is enough to describe phenomena within our solar system and the experimental tests performed in it.

The parameter needed to build perturbation series is the quantity \(\epsilon = v/c\), and since we will consider \(c = 1\) for simplicity in the calculations (at last, we will reintroduce the factors with this quantity), we can see that the speeds of the bodies in our solar system \(v\) are no greater than \(\epsilon\). This means that temporal and spatial derivatives are related to the next relationship:

\[
\partial_t \sim \epsilon \partial_t,
\]

where \(i = 1, 2, 3\). This relationship implies that all temporal variations are associated with the motion of matter.

As a first step, we will expand the metric tensor \(g^{\mu\nu}\),

\[
\begin{align*}
g^{00} &= 1 + g^{00} + g^{00} + \cdots, \\
g^{ij} &= g^{ij} + g^{ij} + \cdots, \\
g^{ij} &= \eta^{ij} + g^{ij} + g^{ij} + \cdots\end{align*}
\]

where \(\eta^{ij}\) is the spatial part of the Minkowski metric \(\eta^{\mu\nu}\), and \(g^{\mu\nu}\) with \(l = 2, 3, 4, \ldots\) are terms of the order \(\epsilon^l\). If we consider the transformation \(t \rightarrow -t\), the sign of \(\epsilon\) must be changed, too, and for this reason Eqs. (11) and (13) contain only even powers of \(\epsilon\), and (12) only odd powers. Eq. (12)
does not contain $g^{ij}_{(1)}$ because in the Newtonian approximations $g^{ij}_{(2)}$ cannot be lower than the second order in $\varepsilon$.

We can use Eqs. (11)–(13) to calculate $g_{\mu\nu}$ and its determinant $g = \det g_{\mu\nu}$

$$g_{00} = 1 + g_{00} + \ldots$$

$$g_{ij} = g_{0j} + \ldots$$

$$g_{ij} = \eta_{ij} + g_{ij} + \ldots$$

where we have used the harmonic gauge for $\Pi$, to ignore the next terms of Eqs. (24) and (25).

Also, we will expand the energy-momentum tensor of matter, considering it as a perfect fluid, namely,

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \left[ p + \rho(1 + \Pi) \right] u^\mu u^\nu - pg^{\mu\nu},$$

where $p$ is the specific isotropic pressure, $\rho$ is the ideal liquid’s density, $\Pi$ is the specific self energy, also called internal energy per particle and is the general name for all energies with the exception of the rest mass, and $u^\mu$ is the four-velocity. The definition of $\Pi$ is given by $B.\ Schutz$ in [23]

$$\Pi = \frac{\rho}{n} - m, \quad \Rightarrow \quad \rho = n(m + \Pi),$$

where $n$ is the number density, the number of particles per unit volume in a momentarily co-moving reference frame.

Tensor (26) must satisfy covariant conservation law given by

$$\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} + \Gamma^\mu_{\nu\lambda} T^{\lambda\nu} + \Gamma^\nu_{\mu\lambda} T^{\lambda\mu} = 0.$$  

(27)

The density of the perfect fluid is invariant and must satisfy the covariant continuity equation, that is,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \left( \sqrt{-g} \rho v^\nu \right)_\nu = 0.$$  

(28)

We will expand $T^{\mu\nu}$ in the small parameter $\varepsilon$

$$T^{00} = T_0^{(0)} + T_0^{(2)} + T_0^{(4)} + \ldots.$$  

(29)

$$T^{ij} = T^{(2)} + T^{(4)} + \ldots.$$  

(30)

If gravitational forces are ignored, that is, in Newtonian approximation, the components temporal and spacial of $v^\mu$ are

$$v^0 = 1 + O(\varepsilon^2)\quad\text{and}\quad v^i = v^i(1 + O(\varepsilon^2)).$$  

(32)

If we substitute Eqs. (29)–(32) in Eq. (26), we find

$$T_0^{(0)} = \rho,$$  

(33)

$$T_0^{(2)} = \rho v^j,$$  

(34)

$$T_0^{(4)} = 0.$$  

(35)

The expanded Einstein field equations (6) are

$$\eta^{ij}_{(2)} g_{00,i,j} = -8\pi G T_0^{(0)},$$  

(36)

$$\eta^{ij}_{(2)} g_{00,i,j} + g_{00,00} - \eta^{kl}_{(2)} g_{00,k,l} - \eta^{ij}_{(2)} g_{00,j} + \ldots.$$  

(37)
\[ \eta^{ij} g_{0i,j} = -16\pi G \eta_{ij} T^{(1)}_{ij}, \]
\[ \eta^{kl} g_{l,j,k} = 8\pi G \eta_{ij} T^{(0)}_{ij}. \]

This set of equations allows us to write the tensor of the Riemann space-time in Newtonian and post-Newtonian approximations.

If we denote \( \phi \) as the Newtonian potential, we can suppose that
\[ (2) \ g_{00} = -2\phi, \]
then Eq. (36) can be written as
\[ \Delta \phi = -4\pi G T^{(0)}_{00}. \]

which is the Poisson equation and \( \Delta = \nabla^2 \) is the Laplacian operator. We consider \( \phi \) null at infinity, therefore, our solution is,
\[ \phi = G \int \frac{T^{(0)}_{ij}(x', t)}{r} d^3x', \]

where \( r = |x - x'| \) is the distance between the source point and observation point (field point).

From Eqs. (38) and (39), we get
\[ (3) \ g_{0i} = -4G \eta_{ij} \int \frac{T^{(1)}_{ij}(x', t)}{r} d^3x', \]
\[ (2) \ g_{ij} = 2G \eta_{ij} \int \frac{T^{(0)}_{ij}(x', t)}{r} d^3x' = 2\eta_{ij}\phi. \]

If we substitute Eqs. (40), (41) and (44) in Eq. (37), we have
\[ \Delta \left( g^{(0)}_{00} - 2\phi^2 \right) = -2\phi_{,00} + 8\pi G \left( T^{(0)}_{00} - \eta_{ij} T^{(2)}_{ij} \right). \]

Also, \( g^{(4)}_{00} \) must tend to zero at infinity, therefore from Eq. (45) we obtain
\[ \frac{1}{4} \eta^{ij} g^{(3)}_{0i,j} = \phi^{(2)} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \int \frac{\phi(x', t)}{r} d^3x' \]
\[ -2G \int \frac{T^{(0)}_{ij} - \eta_{ij} T^{(2)}_{ij}}{r} d^3x'. \]

Eqs. (19) and (44) can be related by
\[ \phi_{,0} = \frac{1}{4} \eta^{ij} g^{(3)}_{0i,j}. \]

Substituting the expanded components of the energy-momentum tensor of matter given by Eqs. (33) and (34) in Eqs. (42) and (43), we get
\[ (2) \ g_{00} = -2\phi, \quad (3) \ g_{0j} = 4\eta_{ij} q^i, \quad (2) \ g_{ij} = 2\eta_{ij}\phi, \]

where
\[ \phi = G \int \frac{\rho(x', t)}{r} d^3x' \]
and
\[ q^i = -G \int \frac{\rho(x', t) v^i}{r} d^3x'. \]

In the lowest order of approximation, the metric coefficients of the Riemann space-time are
\[ g^{(0)}_{00} = 1 - 2\phi, \quad g^{(0)}_{0j} = 4\eta_{ij} q^i, \quad g^{(0)}_{ij} = \eta_{ij}(1 + 2\phi). \]

We can use our last results in the covariant conservation law (27) and the covariant continuity equation (28) to find the next approximation for the components of the energy-momentum tensor of matter. We need for this task the Christoffel symbols \( \Gamma^a_{\beta\gamma}, \sqrt{-g} \) and \( u^0 \) in the zero-order approximation,
\[ \sqrt{-g} = 1 + 2\phi, \]
\[ u^0 = 1 + \phi - \frac{1}{2} v_j v^j, \]
\[ \Gamma^0_{00} = -\phi_{,0}, \quad \Gamma^0_{0i} = -\phi_{,i}, \quad \Gamma^0_{ij} = \eta_{ij}\phi_{,0} + 2(\eta_{ik} q^k + \eta_{kq} q^k), \]
\[ \Gamma^i_{0j} = 2q^i_{,j} + \delta^i_{j0}\phi_{,0} - 2\eta_{ik} q^k_{,j}, \]
\[ \Gamma^i_{jk} = \delta^i_{j0}\phi_{,k} + \delta^i_{k0}\phi_{,j} - \eta^{il}\eta_{jk}\phi_{,l}. \]

Thus, covariant conservation law stays as
\[ T^{(2)}_{00} + T^{(3)}_{0j} = \rho\phi_{,0} - 2\rho v^i\phi_{,i} = O(\epsilon^5), \]
\[ T^{(2)}_{ij} + (\rho v^j)_{,0} + \eta^{ij}\rho\phi_{,j} = O(\epsilon^4), \]

the covariant continuity equation will be
\[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \left( \rho + 3\phi\rho + \frac{1}{2} \rho v^i v^i + \frac{1}{2} \rho v^j v^j \right) \right) = O(\epsilon^4) \]

and the equation of motion of an ideal liquid is given by
\[ \hat{\rho}(u^j_{,0} + v^j v^i_{,i}) = \eta^{ij}(-\hat{\phi} + P_{,j}), \]
\[ \hat{\rho}(\Pi_{0} + v^i \Pi_{,i}) = -P v^i, \]

where \( \hat{\rho} = \sqrt{-g} \rho u^0 \), which is the conserved mass density, which can be written as follows in our approximation
\[ \hat{\rho} = \rho \left( 1 + 3\phi - \frac{1}{2} v_j v^j \right), \]

therefore we can replace \( \hat{\rho} \) by \( \rho \) which is invariant density.

So, we have the solutions for energy-momentum tensor of matter in the required expansion expressed as
\[ T^{(2)}_{00} = \rho(2\phi + \Pi - v_i v^i), \]

\[ T^{(2)}_{ij} = \rho(2\phi + \Pi - v_i v^i), \]
where we have explicitly written the dependence of inverse factors on $c$, and we have used the identity

$$
\frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{\phi}{r} d^3x' = -G \int \rho(x', t) r d^3x',
$$

(64)
demonstrated in [24].

We can write our system of Eqs. (36)–(39) as follows

$$
\Delta \phi = -4\pi G \rho, \tag{65}
$$

$$
\Delta q_i = -4\pi G \rho v^i, \tag{66}
$$

$$
\Delta \Psi = -2 \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial t^2} + 8\pi G \rho \left(2\phi + \Pi + 2v^2 + \frac{3p}{\rho}\right), \tag{67}
$$

where $\Psi = \psi(0) - 2\phi^2$ and $\psi = g_{00}$.

The Heaviside vector potential whose components are given by Eq. (50) is written in vector form as follows

$$
q(x, t) = -G \int \rho(x', t) \mathbf{v} d^3x'. \tag{70}
$$

We define the potentials $\Xi$ and $\chi$ to write a simplified solution to Eq. (67)

$$
\Xi = G \int \rho(x', t) \left(2\phi + \Pi + 2v^2 + \frac{3p}{\rho}\right) d^3x', \tag{71}
$$

and

$$
\chi = G \int \rho(x', t) r d^3x', \tag{72}
$$

therefore the solution to Eq. (67) is

$$
\psi = -2\Xi - \frac{3}{2} \chi, \tag{73}
$$

We define the total contribution of the scalar and vector potentials $\phi$ and $q_i$ as

$$
\phi_t = -\frac{1}{2} (-1 + g_{00}) = \phi + \left(\phi^2 - \Theta_{0} + O\left(\epsilon^4\right)\right), \tag{74}
$$

where, for convenience, we have introduced $\Theta = \frac{1}{2} \psi$ and

$$
q_{t} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial q_{i}}{\partial t} + O\left(\epsilon^4\right) = \frac{3}{2} \phi_{t} + O\left(\epsilon^4\right). \tag{75}
$$

In both equations, we have reduced the order of the potentials because we have multiplied Eq. (74) by $c^2$ and Eq. (75) by $c$ in the given definitions. Then, we can define the ordinary gravitational vector $g$ and the Heaviside field vector $k$ as functions of the scalar and vector potentials $\phi_t$ and $q_t$ as

$$
g = -\nabla \phi_t - \frac{\partial q_t}{\partial t} = -\nabla \phi(0) + \nabla \phi(2) - \nabla \phi(2) - \frac{1}{3} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial t^2} \nabla \phi(2), \tag{76}
$$

and

$$
k = \nabla \times q_t. \tag{77}
$$

So, in this way, we can obtain the second-order Maxwell-like formulation of the gravitational field equations, once we have reintroduced the factors $c^{-2}$,

$$
\nabla \cdot g = -4\pi G \rho \left(1 - \frac{2}{c^2} \left(2\phi + \Pi + 2v^2 + \frac{3p}{\rho}\right)\right).
$$
that Eq. (80) does not contain terms of orders greater or equal
both amounts, after using vector identities from [10], we find
that
\[ \epsilon \kappa = \text{(79)}, \]
we can establish that the system obtained by Jefimenko
tion, in Eq. (75) is of the order \( \epsilon^2 \), this factor disappears in Eq. (80) because when we calcu-
late the curl of \( g \) and the time derivative of \( k \) and equalise both amounts, after using vector identities from [10], we find
that Eq. (80) does not contain terms of orders greater or equal
than \( \epsilon^2 \).

The analogous of the Lorentz force is given if we use
\( \kappa = 1 + 2\phi \), the coefficient of the spatial part of the post-
Newtonian metric and \( v^0 \) from Eq. (53) in the geodesic equa-
tion,
\[ \frac{d}{dt}(\kappa v^0 v) = v^0 (g + v \times k + v^2 \nabla \kappa) + O(\epsilon^4), \]
after substituting \( \kappa \) and \( u^0 \) we have
\[ \frac{d}{dt}(1 + 3\phi - \frac{1}{2}v^2) v = (1 + \phi - \frac{1}{2}v^2)(g + v \times k) + O(\epsilon^4). \]
Also, we can establish the zero-order of approximation, which gives us the Newtonian theory, formulated as a force
field theory in the following way
\[ \nabla \cdot g = -4\pi G \rho \]
and
\[ \nabla \times g = 0. \]
Equation (84) leads us to the Laplace equation for the scalar
potential \( \phi \) and Eq. (85) indicates us that the ordinary gravi-
tational field is conservative.

3 Conclusions

Jefimenko obtained his analogous of the Maxwell equa-
tions for gravitation, by postulating an analogy between
his retarded solutions for electromagnetic field and retarded
solutions for the gravitational field, and assuming the exist-
ence of a second field analogous to the magnetic field in
electrodynamics. This set of solutions given in [9,10] led to
Jefimenko equations analogous to Maxwell equations (1)–
(4).

From the set of second-order Jefimenko equations (78),
(79), we can establish that the system obtained by Jefimenko
is correct because the limit in the first order of our non-linear
gravitational equations gives us the system obtained origi-
nally by Jefimenko for the fields \( g \) and \( k \). The idea of Jefi-
menko related to establishing the electromagnetic analogy of
the gravitational field is true, and it was worked by various
authors [19, 20] and by ourselves, in [25–27], but we want to
cite again the first time when this analogy was postulated, we
refer to the work of Oliver Heaviside published more than a
century ago in [1], work eclipsed by the appearance of the
general relativity theory in 1915 in his paper titled Feldglei-
chungen der Gravitation [5].

The set of second-order gravitational equations (78), (79)
can be obtained also from the Logunov’s equations gotten
in the relativistic theory of gravitation, but in this theory
gravitation is considered as a tensor field in the Minkowski
space-time, which means that Logunov considered the metric
of the Riemann space-time as the contribution of a gravi-
tational tensor field with a Minkowski background. Whereas
in general relativity the metric of the Riemann space-time
for weak gravitational fields is considered as the sum of the
Minkowski metric plus a perturbation. In Logunov’s theory
is considered the effective Riemann space-time as the contri-
bution of the gravitational field tensor \( \Phi^{\mu\nu} \) which depends on
the coordinates of Minkowski space-time, whereas in gen-
eral relativity the metric tensor of Riemann space-time \( g_{\mu\nu} \)
is the field itself. Both theories give us the same predictions
for weak gravitational fields, which means that using Post-
Newtonian approximation we obtain the same experimental
results using the first or the last. We have already seen that
contrary to the general belief Einstein saw as the most impor-
tant achievement of his general relativity theory the unifica-
tion of gravity and inertia instead of geometrizing gravity. On
the other hand, Logunov’s theory makes a clear distinction
between gravity and inertia.

We have found that the limit of the non-linear expres-
sions (78)–(81) called second-order Jefimenko equations are
the Jefimenko equations given by (1)–(4) as a first order of
approximation. Whereas in regions where matter can be con-
sidered at rest (or in uniform movement) in the respective
inertial reference system, this system leads us to the zero-
order of approximation, called Newtonian theory and given
by Eqs. (84), (85).
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