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Abstract: Food by-products contain a remarkable source of bioactive molecules with many benefits for humans; therefore, their exploitation can be an excellent opportunity for the food sector. Moreover, the valorization of these by-products to produce value-added compounds is considered pivotal for sustainable growth based on a circular economy. Traditional extraction technologies have several drawbacks mainly related to the consumption of hazardous organic solvents, and the high temperatures maintained for long extraction periods which cause the degradation of thermolabile compounds as well as a low extraction efficiency of desired compounds. In this context, supercritical fluid extraction (SFE) has been explored as a suitable green technology for the recovery of a broad range of bioactive compounds from different types of agri-food wastes. This review describes the working principle and development of SFE technology to valorize by-products from different origin (marine, fruit, vegetable, nuts, and other plants). In addition, the potential effects of the extracted active substances on human health were also approached.
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1. Introduction

During the production and processing of aquatic products, fruit, vegetables, and cereals, a huge amount of by-products are generated in the food industry. Although these by-products are rich in high-added-value biologically active substances, including proteins, oils, vitamins, and polyphenols, they are usually only employed for feed processing, biological fertilizers, etc., and even a considerable part is directly discarded [1]. This not only reduces the use value of these by-products, but also causes severe pollution problems for the environment [1,2].

For the purpose of recycling waste and protecting the environment, researchers have evaluated the suitability of by-products generated during the food industry’s production process to recover their biologically active ingredients by various extraction methods [2,3]. Extracting nutrients and bioactive compounds from agricultural and sideline raw materials is an area of interest in the scientific community.
materials and processing them into different products is an important part of food production, whereas extraction technology is the key factor to determine the quality of the extracted compounds. Due to the shortcomings of traditional extraction technologies, such as low extraction efficiency, high temperatures, which can promote the degradation of thermolabile compounds, and residual toxic reagents, researchers are continuously explored the application of new extraction technologies to recover by-products from the agri-food industry [4].

Among the green technologies to extract nutrients and bioactive compounds, different studies have shown that supercritical fluid extraction (SFE) technology is simple to operate, has low extraction temperature, and is non-polluting [5]. SFE has been widely applied in the extraction of different nutrients and bioactive compounds from several types of food processing by-products, such as polyunsaturated fatty acids from marine fish by-products [6], carotenoids from vegetable wastes and marine microalgae [7,8], as well as polyphenol antioxidant bioactive substances from fruit processing by-products [9]. In addition to being used alone, SFE can be also combined with other extraction technologies, such as mechanical expression, to extract phenolic substances and oils from olive kernels, and improve the extraction efficiency, further protecting the biological activity of the extracted substances [10].

Besides the physical and chemical properties of recovered extracts, it is necessary to take into account their positive impact on human health, since one of the main objectives of their extraction is their incorporation into functional foods. Precisely, the feasibility of SFE technology is not only reflected in improving the extraction efficiency, but also has the effect of preserving the bioactivity of the recovered substances as well as to improve the functional characteristics of the extract. The low-temperature extraction conditions of supercritical fluids are very suitable for the recovery of biologically active compounds from agri-food by-products, allowing the recovery of thermolable or easily oxidizable molecules [11]. In this sense, a recent study showed the superiority of supercritical CO₂ extraction to obtain highly bioaccessible α-mangostin from mangosteen pericarp extract in comparison with conventional extraction using ethanol (91% vs. 30%) [12]. Other research also demonstrated that the application of SFE technology can enhance the extraction efficiency of fish oil, also preserving its antioxidant activity [13].

At this time of fast technological development, the relationship between SFE technology and the food industry will become closer in the future. From the perspective of social economic benefits, consumer’s requirements regarding the biological activity of extracted products from natural resources and their impact on human health is increasing. This review aims to summarize the application of supercritical fluid extraction technology to recover natural active substances from food processing by-products and underutilized biological resources, as well as their beneficial effects on human health. The main aspects reported in this review are shown in Figure 1.
Figure 1. Application of supercritical fluid extraction (SFE) in the recovery of natural active substances from food processing by-products and their beneficial effects on human health.

2. General Aspects of SFE Process

Supercritical fluid (SF) is defined as any substance that presents properties of both gas and liquid above its critical temperature and pressure (Figure 2). Under these conditions, SF has lower viscosity and higher diffusivity than traditional solvents, which allows increasing of the penetration of the solvent through the solid matrix and, therefore, enhancing of the extraction yields of a broad spectrum of biocompounds [3,14]. Another important characteristic of this type of fluids is the possibility of modifying its density by changing its pressure and/or temperature in a manner, thus facilitating the extraction of the desired compounds [12]. Various solvents can be used as SF; however, when selecting the most suitable solvent for a particular application, aspects such as the critical conditions of pressure and temperature, toxicity, cost, and solvation power should be considered [15]. Carbon dioxide (CO\textsubscript{2}) has become the most commonly used gas in SFE due to its multiple advantages such as its innocuous nature to human health, environmentally friendly, inexpensive, non-corrosive, readily available, and its reusability [16]. CO\textsubscript{2} also has interesting physicochemical properties, since it is an inert, nonpolar, nonflammable, odorless, and tasteless gas. Moreover, it has low-value critical parameters (temperature of 31.1 °C and pressure of 73.8 bar). Its moderate critical temperature makes it especially suitable for the extraction of thermolabile bioactive molecules [12,17]. Another notable advantage of CO\textsubscript{2} is that it is gaseous at room temperature and pressure, so once the extraction is finished and by decompression of the system, the total removal of CO\textsubscript{2} is achieved, obtaining a solvent-free extract without the need for further expensive purification treatments [18].

Nevertheless, the low polarity of CO\textsubscript{2} makes it less effective in extracting more polar phytochemicals from natural sources. In order to overcome this limitation, co-solvents (also called modifiers or entrainers) are used and added in small quantities that can improve the solubilizing capacity of CO\textsubscript{2} and increase the extraction of more polar compounds [12,16]. Ethanol is commonly used as a modifier due to its low toxicity and enhanced extraction capacity of polar compounds such as polyphenols [3].

The extraction of phytochemicals from several natural sources by SFE is a complex process that is influenced by several operating variables: process parameters (temperature and/or pressure), extraction time, factors related to mass transfer (solvent to solid ratio
and particle size), percentage of modifiers, and solvent flow rate [19]. Therefore, proper optimization of all of them is necessary in order to improve the extraction yields and selectivity of the extracted biomolecules. In fact, a great number of reviews and original works have focused on the optimization of the SFE process to recover valuable compounds from plant matrices [20–23]. The next sections discuss the more relevant information derived from these studies.

Figure 2. Schematic diagram of the supercritical fluid phase transition curve.

3. Use of SFE Technology for Aquatic By-Products

3.1. Current Status of Consumption of Aquatic Products and Utilization of the By-Products

Aquaculture is the fastest growing food production sector, representing about 50% of the fish that is used as food worldwide [24]. According to a recent report by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), world fish production increased from 19 million metric tons (MMT) in 1950 to 171 MMT in 2016 [24]. In per capita terms, fish consumption has also risen from 9.0 kg in 1961 to 20.2 kg in 2015 [25]. As a consequence of industrial fish processing, a great amount of waste is generated each year, which can represent up to 75% of the total weight of the catch, although it differs according to the species, size, or the processing type [26]. The fishery by-products include skin, scales, bones, thorns, and internal organs, among others [27]. As shown in Figure 3, these marine by-products are generally discarded without trying to take advantage of them, causing serious environmental problems. However, several studies have indicated that they are a magnificent source of different active biomolecules with interesting health-promoting properties [1,27].
3.2. Main Biologically Active Ingredients in Aquatic By-Products

According to the characteristics of SFE, by-product extracts from aquatic industries are mainly non-polar components. Unsaturated fatty acids rich in omega-3, which is one of the main extracted compounds from aquatic fish and shrimp by-products, are recognized for their outstanding human health benefits [28]. Omega-3 fatty acids, mainly containing two types of fatty acids—eicosapentaenoic (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acids (DHA)—present multiple biological activities on lipoprotein, blood pressure, heart function, cancer, endothelial function, vascular reactivity, and cardiac electrophysiology, as well as effective anti-inflammatory effects [29]. Recently, Bettadahalli et al. demonstrated the retinoprotective potential of oleic acid and EPA + DHA in hyperlipidemia-induced retinal dysfunction [30]. Some authors have also reported that omega-3 PUFAs supplementation has a positive impact on the prevention and/or treatment of neurological diseases, mainly depression and anxiety [31]. An epidemiological study conducted by Kim et al. demonstrated that the consumption of long-chain omega-3 PUFAs had beneficial effects in preventing distal large bowel cancer [32]. More recently, Zheng et al. reported that Antarctic krill oil rich in EPA and DHA exhibited a notable inhibitory effect on the growth of several tumor cells (U937, K562, SMMC-7721, PC-3, MDA-MB-231, HL60, and MCF-7) [29]. The role of omega-3 on the gut microbiota has also been studied by many researchers. For instance, omega-3 acids have been evaluated by their capacity to attenuate clinical colitis and colonic immunopathology in animal models through decreasing proinflammatory cytokine synthesis and improving epithelial barrier function [33]. In different clinical studies, it has been shown that supplementation with omega-3 PUFAs led to a remarkable increase in *Bifidobacterium*, *Roseburia*, and *Lactobacillus* and decreases in *Firmicutes*/*Bacteroidetes* ratio as well as levels of *Coprococcus* and *Faecalibacterium* [34,35].

Astaxanthin, a biologically active substance that can be obtained from shrimp and crab by-products, has a very strong antioxidant capacity, even 6000 times higher than vitamin C [36]. Astaxanthin has a high potential and promising applications in nutrition as it presents multiple beneficial effects on human health. This compound is effective to treat chronic inflammation, cardiovascular disease, diabetes, metabolic syndrome, neurodegenerative diseases, cancer, and skin and eyes diseases [37]. Hence, the valorization of this waste to obtain high-added-value compounds is an interesting strategy, since it satisfies environmental concerns and improves the viability of the fishing industry.
3.3. Application of SFE in Extraction of Aquatic By-Products

Up to now, most of the research studies that have assessed the potential of SFE to recover valuable molecules from fish wastes have mainly brought into focus the extraction of polyunsaturated fatty acids and antioxidants compounds [27,38,39]. Some relevant works based on SFE for the isolation of bioactive compounds from these by-products are summarized in Table 1 and discussed below.

Table 1. Application of supercritical fluid extraction (SFE) in aquatic by-products or unexploited resources.

| Aquatic By-Products/Unexploited Resources | Optimal Extract Conditions | Extracts/Effects | Ref. |
|------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-----|
| Carp (Cyprinus carpio L.) caviar and viscera | 400 bars, 60 °C | MUFA and PUFA | [6] |
| Hake (Merluccius capensis–Merluccius paradoxus) skin with some stuck muscle | 25 MPa, 10 kg CO2/h, 40 °C | 96 wt.% fish oil (6% EPA, 14% DHA) | [40] |
| Indian mackerel skin | 35 MPa and 75 °C | Fish oil 52.3/100 g sample; more PUFA than Soxhlet methods | [41] |
| Thunnus tonggol head | 40 MPa, 65 °C, and 3 mL/min CO2 flow rate | 41.6% total saturated; 24.7% monounsaturated acids; 26.8% PUFA; 22.3% omega-3 fatty acids | [42] |
| Sardine (Sardina pilchardus) muscle | 18.0 MPa, 321 K and solvent density of 821 kg/m³ | A slight increase in PUFA content | [43] |
| Cod liver | 12% ethanol; 333 K; 300 bars | Increased the oil and squalene content | [44] |
| Northern shrimp (head, shell and tail) | 35 MPa and 40 °C | Deep red oil, rich in ω-3 PUFA; 7.8 ± 0.06% EPA and 8.0 ± 0.07% DHA | [45] |
| Pink shrimp (head, carapace, and tail) | 300 bar, 333.15 K and 13.3 g/min CO2 flow rate | high yield of carotenoids, astaxanthin, cryptoxanthin, and valuable flavonoid components | [46] |
| redspotted shrimp waste (head, shell and tail) | 43 °C/370 bars | High astaxanthin extraction yield | [38] |
| Aurantiocytrium sp. | 40 °C/300 bars | 39.3 wt.% DHA; AOC = 1.4 mg TEAC g⁻¹ extract; TPC = 2.24 mg TEAC g⁻¹ extract | [47] |
| Freshwater green algae and 11.4% ethanol as co-solvent | 40 °C/300 bars | Chara fragilis extracts were the richest in total carotenoids and total phenols (24.90 mg fucoxanthin equivalents g⁻¹ extract and 30.20 mg gallic acid equivalents g⁻¹ extract, respectively); Ulva flexuosa possessed the highest antioxidant activity (0.944 mmol Trolox equivalents g⁻¹ extract). | [11] |
| Cyanobacteria | 42.5 MPa, 55 °C and 120 min | α-linolenic acid (27% of total fatty acids) and α-tocopherol (293 μg/g extract) | [48] |
| Microalga Chlorella vulgaris | 40 °C and 300 bars | The pigment recovery rate was 69%, with strong antioxidant capacity and stable properties during storage | [49] |
| Scallop Pecten maximus | 45 °C | Increased the phospholipids yield | [50] |
A survey of the literature showed that the efficiency of the SFE process depends on several operating variables (type of supercritical fluid and CO₂ pressure, temperature, time, entrainer, and flow rate) that need to be optimized to reach high extraction rates as well as to ensure the quality of the recovered compounds. For instance, Ferdosh et al. evaluated the effects of pressure (20–40 MPa), temperature (45–65 °C), and flow rate (1–3 mL/min) on the efficient recovery of oil from tuna head using a central composite rotatable design [42]. The SFE process at the combined conditions of 65 °C, 40 MPa, and 3 mL/min resulted in an optimal oil yield of 35.5%. The authors also reported that the fatty acid profile was formed by 41.6, 24.7, and 26.8% of saturated, monounsaturated, and polysaturated fatty acids (PUFAs), respectively. Among PUFAs, 22.3% corresponded to omega-3 fatty acids. Lisichkov et al. also applied an experimental design based on response surface methodology to study the effect of some working parameters (pressure: 200, 300, 350, and 400 bars; temperature: 40, 50, and 60 °C; mass flow of CO₂: 0.194, 0.274, and 0.354 kg/h; and time: 30, 60, 120, and 180 min) on the extraction of PUFAs from common carp (Cyprinus carpio L.) viscera. Analysis of the 3D response surfaces revealed that the increase in pressure, CO₂ flow rate, and extraction time had a positive impact on the extraction yield; however, the temperature displaying different behaviors relied upon the operating pressure values at isobaric conditions. SFE using 400 bar, 60 °C, at a CO₂ flow rate of 0.354 kg/h for 180 min resulted in an optimal yield of PUFAs (25.24%) [51].

Rubio-Rodríguez et al. assessed the efficiency of SFE to recover omega-3 rich oil from hake (Merluccius capensis - Merluccius paradoxus) by-products in comparison with conventional solvent [40]. Under optimal extraction conditions (25 MPa, 10 kg CO₂/h, and 40 °C), more than 96% of the total oil present in the raw material (determined by Soxhlet extraction) was extracted after 3 h. The results indicated that the use of SFE at low temperature resulted in a higher content of total fatty acids, mainly unsaturated, than the oil extracted with hexane. This behavior can be attributed to the fact that the Soxhlet extraction is carried out at high temperatures (69 °C), which can lead to thermal degradation of fatty acids. In another work, Sahena et al. compared various SFE techniques (co-solvent extraction, soaking, and pressure swing), using different pressures (20–35 MPa) and temperatures (45–75 °C) for oil extraction from ground skin of Indian mackerel (Rastrelliger kanagurta) [41]. The results indicated that the oil extractability raised with pressure and temperature, achieving for all extraction modes values between 52.3 and 53.2% at 35 MPa and 75 °C, which are very close to those obtained with the Soxhlet method (53.6%). In this study, the authors also stated that the addition of small amounts of an entrainer like ethanol to CO₂ increased the solubility of the oil, improving the extraction of relatively more polar unsaturated triglycerides.

Compared with traditional methods, SFE shows extraordinary advantages in fish oil extraction [52]. It was found that SFE under mild conditions (25 MPa and 40 °C) is a suitable technology for obtaining fish oil with a high content of omega-3 PUFAs, whether compared with conventional extraction methods (cold extraction, wet reduction, enzymatic extraction). The results revealed that SFE prevented lipid oxidation and considerably reduced the levels of certain pollutants like arsenic. Similar findings were also reported by Taati et al. who indicated that SFE led to high oil extraction yields and reduced the damage of PUFAs and vitamins, resulting in a better quality product [53]. The authors attributed these results to the absence of atmospheric oxygen in the SFE process and the mild temperatures used during extraction. Kuvendziev et al. [6] proved that SFE (400 bar, 60 °C, and CO₂ flow rate of 0.194 kg/h) was more selective to isolate mono- and polyunsaturated fatty acids from carp (Cyprinus carpio L.) by-products (viscera) than solid–liquid extraction by Soxhlet.

In addition to fish, industrial shrimp processing also produces large amounts of waste (in particular heads, shells, and tails) that correspond with approximately 50–60% of the catch [45]. Several studies have reported that these by-products are an excellent source of omega-3 PUFAs, carotenoids, and astaxanthin that can be extracted by SFE.
In this context, Treyvaud Amiguet et al. applied SFE at moderate conditions (35 MPa, 40 °C) to extract deep red oil rich in omega-3 PUFAs from these by-products [54]. In this research, conventional extraction resulted in higher oil extraction yields (206 mg oil/g for acetone and 178 mg oil/g for hexane) than SFE (137 mg oil/g). However, the extract obtained by SFE had a higher content of total fatty acids (TFA: 795 mg/g) including eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA: 78 mg/g) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA: 79.7 mg/g), as compared to extractions with acetone (TFA: 627 mg/g; EPA: 69.9 mg/g; DHA: 66.8 mg/g) and hexane (TFA: 705 mg/g; EPA: 72 mg/g; DHA: 69.7 mg/g). In addition, SFE presented two important advantages over Soxhlet extraction: reduction in extraction time and avoidance of additional solvent removal. Similarly, Nguyen et al. also highlighted the potential of SFE to recover lipids with a high level of PUFAs and low concentration of heavy metals from the livers of Australian Rock Lobsters (*Jasus edwardsii*) compared with Soxhlet extraction [55]. More interestingly, Mezzomo et al. analyzed the cost of the SFE to extract carotenoids from pink shrimp (*Penaeus brasiliensis* and *P. paulensis*) by-products and their report showed this technology is suitable for the valorization of pink shrimp wastes, since it allows obtaining of an extract with high yield and quality, and with a low cost of the target product [46].

In summary, we can suggest that SFE is a suitable technology to develop sustainable and efficient extraction processes compared to conventional extraction not only for waste management from the aquatic industries but also to satisfy the high demand for valuable compounds such as PUFAs.

4. Use of SFE Technology for Fruits and Vegetables By-Products

4.1. Current Status of Consumption of Fruits and Vegetables and Utilization of the By-Products

Fruit demand has raised significantly during the last decade, mostly due to several epidemiological studies that have linked the dietary intake of fruits with a reduction in the incidence of cancer and cardiovascular disease mortality [2,56]. According to FAO data, the global production of fruits consists of 27.4 MMT of melon, 86.1 MMT of apples, 115.7 MMT of bananas, 138.6 MMT of citrus (including oranges, lemons, limes, grapefruit, and tangerines and mandarins), 79.12 MMT of grapes, 55.38 MMT of mangoes, mango-steens, and guavas, and 28.25 MMT of pineapples [24]. In industrial fruit processing, an important amount of waste is generated as skins, pulp, seeds, stones, and pomace, which accounts for 10% to 35% of processed material [57]. Vegetable processing also produces a large amount of waste that is an exceptional natural source of phytochemicals, including phenolic compounds, flavonoids, carotenoids, and vitamins [56]. For example, tomato is one of the most consumed worldwide, and its processing to produce ketchup and sauce generates great amounts of by-products including peels, pulp, and seeds, which corresponds to 40% of the net weight of tomatoes [58]. Tomatoes present a high content of carotenoids (5.1–6.3 mg/100 g), lycopene being the main component (accounting for 70–80% of the total carotenoids) and it is responsible for the deep-red color of ripe fruit [59]. It is important to note that the discarded tomato peel contains up to five times more lycopene than pulp [58]. Onion is also an important crop worldwide, and its annual production in Europe is estimated at about 6 MMT, generating each year approximately 500,000 tons of waste. These by-products are a high-quality source of active biomolecules such as flavonoids, phenolic acids, anthocyanins, and thiolsulfinates, among others [1,60]. As shown in Figure 4, there is also a huge waste of other fruit and vegetable by-products. These by-products lack value-added industrial applications and are often discarded, causing not only serious environmental problems due to their high biodegradability, but also important economic loss.
4.2. Main Biologically Active Ingredients in Fruit and Vegetable By-Products

At present, SFE has been applied in the extraction of fruits and vegetables by-products. There are some reported bioactive components with added value for human health, such as catechin, α-tocopherol, resveratrol, and various natural pigments. α-tocopherol is the substance with the strongest antioxidant capacity among the eight forms of vitamin E, and is widely used in the treatment of illness related to human oxidative damage [61]. Besides its antioxidant properties, recent studies have confirmed the important role of α-tocopherol in other health problems, such as obesity [62], fatty liver [63], heart disease [64], lung function [65], inter alia. In addition, the results of some animal studies have shown that α-tocopherol reverses neurodegeneration by reducing oxidative stress, which promotes brain health [66]. Some studies have also revealed that α-tocopherol intake reduces the risk of colon, pancreatic, and bladder cancer [67]. Catechins are present in several fruits and herbs including tea, apples, cacaos, grapes, and berries. Catechins exhibit a plethora of pharmacological effects that have been demonstrated by both epidemiological and in vitro studies, and including antioxidants, anti-inflammatory, antibacterial, neuroprotective, cardiac protection, and anticancer activities [68]. For instance, Alshatwi stated apoptotic effects of catechin on MCF-7 breast cancer cells and Shahid et al. reported its protective effect in the lungs against toxins such as benzo (a) pyrene [69,70]. Due to their high antioxidant potential, catechins also have shown promising results in reducing neurotoxicity and oxidative stress in neurodegenerative disorders as in Alzheimer’s disease (AD) [71] and Parkinson’s disease (PD) [72]. Resveratrol (3,5,40-trihydroxy-trans-stilbene) is another important biomolecule that can be obtained from fruit by-products. Evidence in vitro, in vivo, and even clinical trials have shown that resveratrol possesses numerous therapeutic benefits, namely antioxidant, neuroprotective, cardioprotective, anti-inflammatory, anticancer, and antidiabetic properties [73]. Related research results show that resveratrol protects different organs from ischemia-reperfusion injury by up-regulating antioxidant enzymes, reducing cell death induced by hypoxia and oxidative stress [74,75]. However, a recent study revealed that the continuous administration of resveratrol (1000 mg/day or higher) in overweight older adults increases the levels of cardiovascular risk, which indicated high doses of resveratrol may also have a negative impact on human health [76].

Natural pigments, including liposoluble pigments such as β-carotene, astaxanthin, lycopene, and lutein, and water-soluble pigments such as anthocyanins are also present...
in several by-products. β-carotene is a natural pigment abundant in plants and fruits responsible for the yellow and orange colorations of these products. β-carotene is the major carotenoid in the human diet and is the main precursor of Vitamin A [77]. Several epidemiological studies have confirmed the myriad of health benefits related to the consumption of this compound including antioxidant activity, prevention of some types of cancer, cardiovascular disease, age-related macular degeneration, cataracts, and enhancement of immunological function [77]. Unlike β-carotene, lycopene is less stable because it is extremely sensitive to oxidation reactions. However, the antioxidant capacity of lycopene is much higher than other carotenoids and has superior physiological functions. Some epidemiological studies have indicated an inverse relationship between lycopene consumption and the risk of certain types of cancer [78]. Lycopene reduces the aggression of prostate tumors and prevents lung tumorigenesis [79,80]. Lycopene also has a key role in preventing cardiovascular diseases and arteriosclerosis, strengthening the human immune system, delaying aging, and can reverse neurobehavioral deficits. Besides its health-promoting properties, lycopene has been widely used in the food industry as a natural colorant due to its strong red color and non-toxicity [78].

Lutein is present in leafy green vegetables and brightly colored fruits, presenting an antioxidant activity 10 times more powerful than β-carotene and 15 times stronger than that of lycopene. Studies have shown that lutein has a positive effect on protecting eyesight, inhibiting oxygen free radical activity in the body, preventing tumor angiogenesis and cell proliferation, slowing the development of early arteriosclerosis, and decreasing the risk of diabetes [81]. The daily intake of lutein is well below the recommended dose (1.7 vs. 6–14 mg/dL) necessary to exert its health-promoting effects. Therefore, the development of foods enriched in lutein recovered from natural sources can be an interesting strategy for the modern food industry.

4.3. Application of SFE in Fruit By-Products

SFE is recognized as an efficient extraction method to selectively isolate valuable molecules from fruit by-products, mainly phenolic compounds and essential oils, such as in grape by-products. Casas et al. evaluated the impact of SFE on the isolation of resveratrol from grape pomace, an industrial residue from the wine process, consisting of grape seeds, skin, and stems [20]. In this case, the examined parameters were pressure (10–40 MPa) and temperature (35–55 °C), using 5% (v/v) of ethanol as the modifier. The authors proved that the addition of co-solvent improved the solubility of resveratrol, and hence increased the extraction performance. On the other hand, Marqués et al. applied SFE to extract antioxidants (catechins, epicatechin, gallic acid, and resveratrol) from grape seeds [82]. The results showed that operating under optimal conditions (15 MPa, 40 °C, and a molar fraction of CO2 of 0.98) from 1 kg of seeds, 51 mg of gallic acid, 49 mg of catechin, 53 mg of epicatechin, and 667 mg of resveratrol were recovered. In addition, the solids obtained after extraction showed remarkable values of total polyphenol content (TPC), ranging from 15.60 to 22.56 g equivalent gallic acid (GAE)/kg seed. This solid could be used as a source of antioxidant dietary fiber for the formulation of innovative foods, such as functional meat products. Furthermore, Farias-Campomanes et al. compared conventional extraction and supercritical CO2 to recover phenolic compounds, including syringic acid, vanillic acid, gallic acid, and p-hydroxybenzene formic acid, protocatechuic acid, p-coumaric acid, and quercetin, from grape bagasse [83]. Although the classic Soxhlet extraction led to a higher extraction yield (10.4%) than the SFE process (5.5%), analysis of the extracts’ composition evidenced that SFE containing 10% ethanol (w/w) at 40 °C and 20 MPa was more efficient to extract the target compounds (23 vs. 1.8 g/kg of extract). In addition, the authors carried out an economic analysis of the process, indicating that it is possible to design an industrial plant based on SFE to recover antioxidant compounds from grape bagasse. With the purpose to enhance the recovery of desired compounds
from grape waste, some researchers have proposed the simultaneous combination of supercritical fluids with other extraction methods. Passos et al. [84] evaluated the effect of an enzymatic pre-treatment, containing cellulase, protease, xylanase, and pectinase, on the extraction yield of grape seed oil using supercritical CO2. The results indicated that total extraction rate augmented in the pre-treated seeds, attaining 16.5%, as compared 11.5% with untreated seeds, which represents a rise of 44%. These better results are due to the enzymatic action that causes the degradation of the cellular structure improving the subsequent extraction of the oil by supercritical CO2. Porto et al. applied a combined process based on ultrasound-assisted extraction followed by supercritical CO2 to isolate polyphenols from grape marc. The finding of this study revealed that this strategy allows for an increase in the recovery of polyphenols and total antioxidant activity by 28% and 62%, respectively, in comparison with extraction using only SFE [85].

Orange processing by-products have also been widely used to recover biologically active compounds by SFE. Benelli et al. compared Soxhlet, ultrasonic-assisted extraction, hydrodistillation, and SFE to obtain bioactive extracts of orange pomace [86]. The results showed that although the ultrasonic and Soxhlet extraction provided the highest yield, the extract obtained by SFE (20 MPa and 50 °C) exhibited better antioxidant and antibacterial properties. In a more recent study, Espinosa-Pardo et al. also investigated the extraction efficiency of SFE versus conventional Soxhlet extraction to isolate phenolic compounds from orange pomace [87]. It was found that despite the SFE enabling the acquisition of only half of the phenolic compounds obtained by Soxhlet, it is more cost-effective in terms of energy, time, and solvent consumption, since it requires 78% less time and 10 times less ethanol than Soxhlet.

Other fruits that are consumed in large quantities, such as apples, mangoes, and passion fruits, generate by-products that have also been valorized by supercritical extraction, and the main isolated biomolecules include polyphenols, tocopherols, and carotene, among others [38,88,89]. Hatami et al. [89] proposed, for the first time, a process based on the integration of SFE and supercritical adsorption (SESA) to obtain toccols from passion fruit by-products. Under optimized extraction conditions (35 MPa and 40 °C), SESA led to a yield of 19.1 g oil/100 g feed. In addition, the authors carried out the economic analysis and the results indicated that in order to obtain 1 kg of the extract, the cost of production would range from 35 to 54 USD, suggesting that the cost of raw materials (by-products and solvents) is the main factor that influences these costs. In another study, Ferrentino et al. applied SFE for the extraction of phenolics compounds from freeze-dried apple pomace [88]. According to the authors, SFE operating under optimized conditions (30 MPa and 45 °C) resulted in extracts with an antioxidant activity approximately 2.74 times greater than the Soxhlet extraction in a much shorter time (2 vs. 6 h). Sánchez-Camargo et al. optimized the SFE conditions for the isolation of carotenoids from mango peel using a Box–Behnken design [90]. SFE at 25.0 MPa, 60 °C, and 15% w/w ethanol resulted in an optimal carotenoids yield of 1.9 mg/g dry sample. In this study, the authors also highlighted the potential of this extract to protect the sunflower oil against lipid oxidation, suggesting that this application could be an interesting alternative for mango peel valorization. Valuable lipophilic constituents extraction from elderberry juice processing by-products was optimized by Kitryte et al. and they reported a recovery of this fraction of 14.05 g/100 g pomace when SFE was performed under optimal conditions (35 MPa, 53 °C, 45 min) [91]. In addition, this extract presented health-beneficial polyunsaturated linoleic (42.0%) and α-linolenic (34.1%) fatty acids. The authors also reported that the efficiency of SFE-CO2, in terms of time and of extraction yields, was higher compared to conventional Soxhlet and maceration (up to 4% higher yield in an 8-fold shorter time). However, compared to UAE, SFE turned out to be a less efficient technique (up to 29% lower yield in 3-fold longer time). Similarly, the use of SFE to recover bioactive compounds, mainly carotenoids and unsaturated fatty acids from raspberry, rowanberry, elderberry, and cranberry, has also been proposed. Table 2 details more studies about SFE for the recovery of several value-added molecules from fruit by-products.
Table 2. Application of supercritical fluid extraction (SFE) in fruit by-products.

| Fruit by-products                | Optimal Extract Conditions                                      | Extracts/Effects                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Ref.    |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Seeds                           | 15 MPa and 40 °C                                                 | 151 mg (gallic acid), 49 mg (catechin), 53 mg (epicatechin) and 667 mg (resveratrol)/kg of seeds; TPC ranged from 15.60 to 22.56 g GAE/kg seed                                                                 | [82]    |
| Seeds                           | 8 MPa, 6 kg/h carbon dioxide, and 20 w/w% entrainer            | The highest extraction efficiency of polyphenol observed (7132 mg GAE/100 g DM)                                                                                                                               | [21]    |
| Pomace                          | 20 MPa, 40 °C, 180 min, and supercritical CO2 containing 10% ethanol (w/w) | Syringic acid, vanillic acid, gallic acid, p-hydroxybenzene formic acid, protocatechuic acid, p-coumaric acid, and quercetin obtained.                                                                     | [83]    |
| Pre-treated ground grape seeds  | 16–20 MPa, 40 °C, solvent flow rate $1.7 \times 10^{-4}$ kg s$^{-1}$ | The maximum extraction yield was 16.5%, which was 44% higher than the 11.5% yield obtained with untreated seeds                                                                                                     | [84]    |
| Grape marc                      | Ultrasound (80 °C, 4 min) pre-treat grape waste combined with SFE| Polyphenols (3493 mg GAE/100 g DM) and antioxidant activity (7503 mg α-tocopherol/100 g DM)                                                                                                          | [85]    |
| Pomace of Palomino Fino grapes  | 40 MPa, 35 °C, and 5% ethanol                                   | Increased the yield of resveratrol                                                                                                                                                            | [20]    |
| Grape (Vitis vinifera) pomace   | 30 MPa, 50–60 °C                                                | The SFE extracts presented the highest antimicrobial effectiveness compared to the other grape pomace extracts due to the presence of antimicrobial active compounds                                      | [92]    |
| Grape seeds                     | 50 MPa and 50 °C                                                | Oil yields from SFE resulted in the range 10.9–15.0%                                                                                                                                                | [93]    |
| Grape marc                      | 8 MPa, 40 °C, 6 kg/h CO$_2$ flow rate and 10% ethanol–water mixture (57%, v/v) as co-solvent | The highest extraction yields were obtained at 4 kg/h CO$_2$–7.5% EtW flow rate (2600 mg GAE/100 g DM) and 6 kg/h CO$_2$–10% EtW (2527 mg GAE/100 g DM)                                          | [94]    |
| Peels                           | 17 MPa, 120 min and 2.7 kg/h                                   | Obtained fatty acid esters (FAE), phenols, coumarin derivatives, terpene derivatives (the thickest osthole), isogeijerin, hexadecane, and squalene                                                                 | [22]    |
| Orange peel                     | 15–35 MPa, 40–60 °C, pure ethanol and ethanol:water (9:1 v/v) as co-solvents | The phenolic compounds ranged from 2.01 to 2.62%, and TPC (18–21.8 mg GAE/g dry extract)                                                                                                           | [87]    |
| Pomace                          | 10–30 MPa, 40–50 °C                                            | The main extracts were l-limonene, palmitic and oleic acids, n-butyl benzenesulfonamide, and β-sitosterol                                                                                                    | [86]    |
| Passion fruit Discarded seeds, pulp | 35 MPa and 40 °C                                             | Oil obtained presented an estimation of 30% of the triacylglycerols,                                                                                                                                               | [89]    |
| Passion fruit Passiflora edulis Sims seed | 25 MPa and 50 °C                                           | Oil obtained presented an estimation of 30% of the triacylglycerols,                                                                                                                                               | [95]    |
| Apples Peels                    | 25 MPa and 50 °C using CO$_2$ and ethanol (96%) in 75:25 mol ratio | The highest phenolics yield was 800 mg/100 g dry peels; the antioxidant capacity values up to 5–6 mg equivalent ascorbic acid/g extract                                                                 | [96]    |
| Apples Pomace                   | 30 MPa, 45 °C, 2 h, and ethanol (5%)                           | A higher antioxidant activity (5.63 ± 0.10 mg TEAC/g of extract) than                                                                                                                                          | [88]    |
### 4.4. Application of SFE in Vegetables By-Products

Several studies have applied SFE to obtain lycopene from tomato by-product. Kehili et al. extracted lycopene from tomato peels at a temperature of 50–80 °C, pressure of 30–50 MPa, and flow rate of 3–5 g CO₂/min for 105 min [23]. The extraction performance of

| Material          | Conditions                          | Extraction Method                  | Solubility or Activity                                                                 |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Seeds             | 130 MPa and 63 °C                   | Soxhlet with ethanol (2.05 ± 0.21 mg TEA/g of extract) and boiling water maceration (1.14 ± 0.01 mg TEA/g of extract) | The maximum solubility achieved was ∼191 g extract/kg CO₂ [97] |
| Mango Peel        | 25.0 MPa, 60 °C, and 15% w/w ethanol| The carotenoids extraction yield was 1.9 mg all-trans-β-carotene equivalent/g dried mango peel | The extracts with an antioxidant activity of 851.9 mol TE/g and a half inhibition concentration of DPPH radical of 90 g/mL [98] |
| Mango Peel        | 10 MPa and 40 °C                    |                                    |                                                                                        |
| Leaves            | 30 MPa and 50 °C                    | Alkaloids, flavonoids and terpenoids recovered                                      |                                                                                        |
| Guava Seed        | 30 MPa, 40 °C and 30 min            | Applying SFE and UAE as a combined process is a promising and useful tool to selectively recover hydrophilic (phenolic-rich fraction) and lipophilic compounds (oil-rich fraction) from umbu seeds | High content of PUFAs [100] |
| Umbu Seed         | 15–30 MPa and 40 °C                 |                                    |                                                                                        |
| Blackcurrant Pomace| 45 MPa, 60 °C, 120 min             | Lipophilic extracts were rich in PUFAs (linoleic 46.89%, γ-linolenic 14.02%) and tocopherols (2468 μg/g oil) |                                                                                      |
| Raspberry seed    | 35 MPa, 40 °C, 0.4 kg/h             | The highest initial mass transfer rate was 0.11779                                   |                                                                                      |
| Rowanberry pomace | 45 MPa, 60 °C, and 180 min          | The recovery of total carotenoids was up to 49.7% of the amount determined by hexane extraction; linoleic (59%), oleic (27%), and palmitic (9%) fatty acids were dominating in the extracted oil |                                                                                        |
| Blueberry         | 40 °C, 20 MPa, and 10 mL/min        | The highest antioxidant activities and phenolic contents were found in the extracts obtained with pure ethanol and ethanol + water |                                                                                      |
| Blackberry        | 15 MPa, 60 °C, and CO₂ flow rate of 2.77 × 10⁻⁴ kg/s | 3-O-glucoside, 3-O-rutinoside, 3-O-(6''-dioxalyl-glucoside), and 3-O-(6''-malonyl-glucoside) were identified |                                                                                      |
| Cranberry pomace  | 42.4 MPa, 53 °C, and 158 min        | Linoleic (36.58%), linolenic (32.44%), oleic (21.79%), and palmitic (4.36%) acids were obtained |                                                                                      |
| Bilberry seed     | 20 MPa and 60 °C                    | The extracted bilberry seed oils exhibited high contents of vitamin E and PUFAs and a low ω6/ω3 ratio |                                                                                      |
| Elderberry pomace | 53 °C, 35 MPa, 45 min               | 14.05 g of the lipophilic fraction was recovered from 100 g of pomace, containing health beneficial polysaturated linoleic (42.0%) and α-linolenic (34.1%) fatty acids |                                                                                      |
lycopen and carotene was between 32.0–60.9% and 28.4–58.8%, respectively, and the results suggested that only the temperature had a significant influence on the extraction process. Moreover, SFE was compared to conventional maceration extraction using different solvents (hexane, ethyl acetate, and ethanol). The authors found that SFE (40 MPa, 80 °C, and 4 g CO2/min) led to a higher lycopene recovery (728.98 mg/kg of dry tomato peels) compared to conventional extraction (hexane: 608.94 mg/kg; ethyl acetate: 320.35 mg/kg; and ethanol: 284.53 mg/kg of dry tomato peels). In a previous study, Vági et al. evaluated the effect of the temperature and pressure on the recovery of lycopene from tomato by-products [59]. The extraction efficiency was higher at a temperature of 80 °C and a pressure of 46 MPa, obtaining an extract with a high concentration of lycopene (90.1%). In this study, the authors also studied the effect of different storage conditions on supercritical extraction of carotenoids from tomato by-products. The results revealed that the recovery of carotenoids from deep-frozen tomato waste was ten-fold higher in comparison with those of air-dried samples, indicating that the deep-frozen storage is the most suitable choice to guarantee a greater recovery of valuable biocompounds from tomato waste.

Extensive research has also been conducted on SFE from onion by-products. Devani et al. evaluated the effects of pressure (15–45 MPa), temperature (50–90 °C), time (30–150 min), and particle size (0.4–1.2 mm) on oleoresin extraction yield, sulfur content, and pyruvate content from rotten onion waste through a central composite rotatable design [109]. Under optimized extraction conditions (40 MPa, 80 °C, particle size 0.53 mm for 60 min) 1.012% oleoresin, 31 g of sulfur/kg of oleoresin, and 10.41 μmol pyruvate/g fresh weight of onion were recovered. Furthermore, the authors also compared the efficiency of SFE with conventional extraction using hexane as the solvent. The results displayed that SFE permitted the yield of twice the sulfur content (responsible for the characteristics of the flavor of onion and some of the bioactivities associated with its consumption) in the oleoresin if compared to Soxhlet extraction. In another study, Campone et al. applied SFE to recover flavonoids from brown onion peels [60]. The extract obtained under optimized conditions (10 MPa, 40 °C and 85% ethanol) presented high content of quercetin and quercetin derivatives. The SFE extract exhibited stronger antioxidant capacity (measured by DPPH and ABTS) than that obtained using ultrasound-assisted extraction. Table 3 collects more studies about the extraction of valuable compounds from other vegetable processing by-products using SFE.

| Vegetables/Beans By-Products | Optimal Extract Conditions | Extracts/Effects | Ref. |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|-----|
| Tomato peels                | 30–50 MPa, 50–80 °C, and 3–5 g CO2/min. | The final extraction rate of lycopene and carotene was between 32.0–60.9% and 28.4–58.8%, respectively | [23] |
| Tomato                      | 46 MPa and 80 °C, 77.4 ± 13.7 °C, 37.3 °C, 11 ± 2.5 kg CO2/h, and 211 ± 10.3 min | The lycopene content in extracts was 90.1% | [59] |
| Tomato pomace               | ± 7.0 MPa, 11 ± 2.5 kg CO2/h, and 211 ± 10.3 min | SFE acts as an efficacious system to increase fiber biodegradability (+64%) | [110] |
| Tomato peel                 | 40 MPa and 90 °C, 56% of lycopene was extracted | The final onion oleoresin extraction rate was 1.012%, 31 g sulfur/kg oil, and 10.41 μmol pyruvate/g fresh onion | [58] |
| Rotten onions               | 40 MPa, 80 °C, particle size 0.53 mm, and 60 min | The SFE extracts showed stronger antioxidant capacity than traditional extraction methods | [109] |
| Brown onion peels           | 10 MPa, 40 °C | | [60] |
Spinach waste | 39 MPa, 56 °C, 3.6 h, and 10% ethanol | 72% lutein and 50% chlorophyll recovered [111]
---|---|---
Red pepper seeds, skin leftovers and stems | 24 MPa, 60 °C, and 0.2–0.5 mm particle size | High-yield red pepper oil obtained [112]
---|---|---
Pumpkin | 25 MPa and 80 °C | Recovered carotene from pumpkin waste [113]
---|---|---
Beetroot | 25 MPa, 40 °C, 0.5 kg CO₂/h, and 4 h | A certain proportion of ethanol/water mixture increased the extraction efficiency of polyphenols [114]
---|---|---
Carrot peel | 30.6 MPa, 58.5 °C, and 14.3% ethanol; 34.9 MPa, 59.0 °C, and 15.5% ethanol | Obtained the maximum mass yield (5.31%) at 58.5 °C, 306 bar, and 14.3% ethanol and the highest carotene extraction rate (86.1%) at 59.0 °C, 349 bar, and 15.5% ethanol [115]
---|---|---
Soybean residue | 40 MPa and 35 °C | Total phenol content of 10.6 and 16.0 mg GAE/100 g d.m; carotenoid content of 65.0 and 31.3 QE/100 g d.m; DPPH values of 9.7 and 12.0 μmol TE/100 g d.m, respectively [116]
---|---|---
Soybean oil by-products | 35 MPa and 60–80 °C | Improved extraction of acetylglucoside and aglycone [117]
---|---|---
Soybean oil | 16 MPa/40–75 °C | Natural tocopherols (>50%) obtained with a high recovery rate (>80%) [118]
---|---|---
Defatted soy hypocotyls | 35 MPa, 45°C, 2 h, and 5 L/min CO₂ | β-glycosides, glycitin, daidzin, and genistin accounted for about 83.7% of the total isoflavones [119]
---|---|---
Lentinus edodes | 15–30 MPa, 50 °C and 40 min | The SFE method effectively improved the color quality of the soybean oil [120]

Beans

Soybean is one of the most important leguminous crops worldwide, and nowadays, it is mainly used for the production of vegetable oil [60]. During soybean oil processing, a residue called soybean cake is generated, which is used as animal feed due to its high protein content. However, important amounts of polyphenols and other biologically active ingredients remain in the solid residue after oil extraction [116]. Several studies have shown that SFE is a good technique for the recovery of active substances from soybean by-products. Kao et al. compared the extraction efficiency of isoflavones from soybean cake using SFE and conventional solvent extraction [117]. The results showed that solvent extraction enabled a higher yield of malonylglucoside and glucoside from soybean cake, while SFE operating at 350 bar and 80 °C led to a higher amount of acetylglucoside and aglycone using this same by-product. In another study, Fang et al. used SFE to concentrate tocopherols from methyl esterified oil deodorizer distillate (ME-DOD) [121]. The authors found that a pressure of 20 MPa led to an extract with a high content of tocopherols (>50%) and with high recovery (>80%). Taking these results into account, the authors concluded that SFE fractionation is suitable for concentrating natural tocopherols from by-products of the soybean oil refining process. Recently, Alvarez et al. studied the effectiveness of SFE and the use of ethanol as a co-solvent for the recovery of phytochemicals with antioxidant capacity from soybean oil extraction by-products (soybean expellers) [116]. The best results in terms of yield, maximum extraction of polyphenols and flavonoids, and maximum antioxidant activity were achieved using CO₂ as solvent (5 kg CO₂/kg expeller) at 40 MPa and 35 °C using ethanol (25% w/w expeller), as modifier. The authors concluded that SFE is a safe and green technology to recover phytochemicals from soybean expellers.

As shown in this section, the use of the SFE process presents a lot of potential for the recovery of a wide range of valuable compounds from vegetable by-products. However, optimization of extraction parameters is key not only to maximize extraction performance.
but also to achieve greater selectivity of the target compounds isolated from this food waste.

5. Use of SFE Technology for Nuts and Other Plant By-Products Industries

Edible nuts have excellent effects on human and development, enhance physical fitness, and prevent diseases. In some nut processing industries, a huge amount of by-products is also produced. For example, tiger nuts, in the process of making “horchata”, generate waste rich in oleic acid, polyunsaturated fatty acids (linoleic acid and linolenic acid), vitamins C and E (especially α-tocopherol), and minerals (such as potassium, calcium, and magnesium) [122,123]. Therefore, the recovery of these heat-sensitive substances by SFE is an interesting alternative, since the oxidation potential of the extracted solutes is reduced.

In this context, Roselló-Soto et al. investigated the effect of SFE pressure (10–40 MPa) on the recovery of oil from “horchata” by-products [123]. Through component analysis, it was found that monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA) were the main compounds in the by-products of “horchata”, accounting for about 70% of total fatty acids. The content of saturated fatty acids (SFA) and polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) was higher and MUFA was lower in the oil extracted at 10 MPa compared to that obtained at 20, 30, or 40 MPa. The results showed that the oil yield increased from 0.61 g to 7.36 g per 100 g of “horchata” by-products applying 10 and 40 MPa, respectively. In addition, in contrast with conventional extraction, the content of α-tocopherol after SC-CO2 treatment was significantly higher than that of the traditional method, regardless of the pressure applied. The level of polyphenols and total antioxidant activity raised with the increase in SC-CO2 extraction pressure, and the data showed a linear correlation. Furthermore, they analyzed the phenolic profile of oils, and the results revealed that the predominant compound in the oil extracted by SC-CO2 was isohydroxymatairesinol, especially at 30 and 40 MPa, while 3-vinylphenol was the main phenolic compound in the oil recovered by traditional method. Overall, the increase in SC-CO2 extraction pressure improved both the recovery of phenolic compounds as well as the antioxidant potential and oxidation quality of the extracted oil [122].

Recently, Salinas et al. optimized SFE to extract oil from chañar almonds, a residue from “arrope” production [124]. In this study, the influence of pressure (20, 30, and 40 MPa) and temperature (40 and 60 °C) on the extraction yield and oil composition was tested. The results indicated that the best conditions to obtain a high oil yield (40%) from chañar almonds were 60 °C and 40 MPa. With these conditions, high amounts of MUFAs (363 mg/g oil) and PUFAs (468 mg/g oil) were recovered. More recently, Mazzutti et al. combined SFE with the pressurized liquid extraction (PLE) method to extract antioxidant compounds from cocoa bean hulls [125]. Compared with single separation method extracts, PLE extracted from SC-CO2 residue had higher total phenol content and antioxidant properties. TPC values ranged from 35 to 51 mg GAE/g, and EC50 (concentration that gives half-maximal response) determined by DPPH values range from 115 to 177 μg/mL. The combined application of SC-CO2 and PLE could selectively recover biologically active extracts from cocoa bean shells, which represented a green method that was promising and could provide consumables for the cosmetics and food industries. Table 4 collects more studies about the extraction of valuable compounds from nuts and other food processing by-products using SFE.

| Nuts By-Products and other Plants. | Optimal Extract Conditions | Extracts/Effects | Ref. |
|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----|
| Horchata by-products    | 10–40 MPa, 40 °C, 2 h, and CO2 flow 20 g/min with 30 mL ethanol | The main phenolic compound obtained by SC-CO2 was isohydroxymatairesinol, especially at 30 and 40 MPa | [122] |
The content of α-tocopherol after SC-CO₂ treatment was significantly higher than that of traditional extraction methods [123].

High amounts of monounsaturated fatty acids and PUFAs, being 363 ± 4 and 468 ± 13 mg/g oil, respectively [124].

The optimal oil yield was 8.9%, and the main fatty acids identified were palmitic acid (46.1%), linoleic acid (32.9%), oleic acid (8.0%), stearic acid (6.6%), and arachidic acid (1.9%) [126].

Pressurized liquid extraction extracted from SC-CO₂ residue had higher total phenol content and antioxidant properties, providing TPC values from 35 to 51 mg GAE/g and EC₅₀ values from 115 to 177 μg/mL, respectively [125].

High amount of antioxidant compounds [127].

Antioxidant capacity increased from 12.18 ± 1.01 to 20.91 ± 1.66 μmol TE/g; and saponins from 19.05 ± 1.67 to 61.59 ± 1.99 μg/g when used SFE + Ultrasound [128].

Extraction more efficient [129].

Extract rich in anthocyanins and heat resistant phenolic compounds [130].

### 6. Conclusions and Some Technical Considerations

This review paper summarizes the application of supercritical fluid technology in the extraction of valuable compounds with potential effects on human health from comprehensive agricultural food processing by-products. The rational use of agricultural and sideline products, using green advanced technologies, could allow for the implementation of a circular economy in the food industry in the future. New green extraction technologies are indispensable tools in the food industry nowadays. The extraction technology represented by SFE not only improved the efficiency of food processing, but also saved energy and reduced pollution.

Despite the advantages reported in the literature for the extraction of bioactive molecules from agricultural food processing by-products using SFE, there are still some challenges to overcome. The first is related to the scaling up of this technology and a better understanding of the kinetic mechanisms involved in the extraction process to improve the process yield of the bioactive compounds. The second is regarding a deeper assessment of the interaction of these molecules with food components if they are incorporated into a food matrix as well as their bioavailability in the human body and the main mechanisms employed to exert their beneficial effect on health. Third, the solvent commonly used in supercritical fluid technology is carbon dioxide fluid, and the corresponding target substances are mainly non-polar components. Therefore, how to efficiently match the entrainer to extract more polar substances is still a topic worth discussing. Fourth, due to the density of the fluid itself, the extraction of macromolecular substances from by-prod-
ucts, such as proteins, is still restricted. Therefore, whether it is possible to extract substances with relatively large molecular masses through supercritical fluid technology in the future is also a challenge.
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