A Primary Barrett’s Adenocarcinoma with a Squamous Cell Carcinoma Component
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Abstract:
The present report describes an extremely rare case of Barrett’s adenocarcinoma (BAC) with a squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) component. A 55-year-old man was diagnosed with esophageal adenocarcinoma on Barrett’s esophagus. The patient underwent endoscopic submucosal dissection, but the pathology revealed deep submucosal invasive, moderately differentiated tubular adenocarcinoma and focal SCC with vascular invasion. In addition, morphological transition between adenocarcinoma and SCC components was confirmed. The patient underwent additional surgery, which revealed lymph node metastasis, and then received S-1 adjuvant chemotherapy. Based on the pathological findings, the transdifferentiation process may have a role in the histogenesis of this tumor.
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Introduction
In Western countries, the rate of esophageal adenocarcinoma, most cases of which arise in a Barrett’s esophagus, has been increasing for the past few decades, accounting for approximately 60% of esophageal cancer cases; however, in Japan, squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) occurs in most esophageal cancer patients, and the incidence of esophageal adenocarcinoma remains below 10% (1). Therefore, in Japan, Barrett’s adenocarcinoma (BAC) has remained a relatively rare neoplasm. Since most Barrett’s cancers are adenocarcinomas that develop through the esophagitis-metaplasia-dysplasia-carcinoma sequence, esophageal neoplasms that contain elements of SCC and adenocarcinoma in a background of Barrett’s esophagus are extremely rare.

We herein report a rare case of BAC with an SCC component.

Case Report
A 55-year-old man without any symptoms underwent an X-ray barium examination as part of an annual medical checkup, and an irregular indentation was identified at the esophagogastric junction. Esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) at a hospital indicated a depressed lesion on Barrett’s esophagus. A biopsy specimen taken from the lesion revealed well-differentiated adenocarcinoma on Barrett’s esophagus. A biopsy specimen taken from the lesion revealed well-differentiated adenocarcinoma. The patient was then referred to our institution for a further examination and treatment of the esophageal lesion.

The patient showed no relevant medical history. He had a habit of smoking (1 pack/day, 35 years) and drinking 350 mL of beer and 180 mL of shochu daily, containing 50 g of ethanol. A clinical examination and laboratory studies showed unremarkable results. EGD repeated at our institution revealed a whitish, depressed lesion with an elevation of its epicenter (Fig. 1a and b) on Barrett’s esophagus, which was classified as C3M6 according to the Prague C &
M criteria (2). The oral side of the elevated region was covered with squamous epithelium, suggesting subsquamous carcinoma extension. The anal margin of this tumor was clearly demarcated on magnifying endoscopy with narrow-band imaging (NBI) (Fig. 1c). Endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS) clearly demarcated on magnifying endoscopy with narrow-band carcinoma extension. The anal margin of this tumor was covered with squamous epithelium, suggesting subsquamous M criteria (2). The oral side of the elevated region was covered with squamous epithelium, suggesting subsquamous carcinoma extension. The anal margin of this tumor was clearly demarcated on magnifying endoscopy with narrow-band imaging (NBI) (Fig. 1c). Endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS) showed a hypoechoic mass and irregular narrowing of the third sonographic layer (Fig. 1d), which was diagnosed as deep submucosal invasion. There was no evidence of lymph nodes or distant metastasis on computed tomography or positron emission tomography. Based on these findings, the preoperative diagnosis of this tumor was Barrett’s adenocarcinoma with submucosal invasion (T1b, N0, M0, stage IB). According to the Japanese guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of carcinoma of the esophagus (3), radical surgery was recommended for the patient. However, he selected endoscopic resection as the initial treatment method.

The patient underwent endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) without any major complications. The pathology revealed deep submucosal invasion (2,090 μm from the deep muscularis mucosa), moderately differentiated tubular adenocarcinoma and focal SCC with venous invasion but no lymphatic invasion and a maximal size of 4.5 cm. The horizontal margin was negative, but the vertical margin was unclear. Barrett’s mucosa was identified at the anal side of the tumor (Fig. 2). The SCC component occupied only about 10% of the lesion (Fig. 3), and morphological transition between adenocarcinoma and SCC components was confirmed by Hematoxylin and Eosin (H & E) staining and immunostaining (Fig. 4). In addition, all of the SCC component was covered by the adenocarcinoma component (Fig. 5). According to the guidelines for clinical and pathological studies of carcinomas of the esophagus established by the Japan Esophageal Society (4), the final pathological diagnosis of the tumor was BAC with an SCC component. Because of the risk of lymph node metastasis (LNM) and residual tumor, additional radical surgery was strongly recommended for the patient, and thoracoscopic esophagectomy followed by gastric tube reconstruction was performed. The resected specimen showed no residual tumor; however, 2/15 lymph nodes contained metastases of adenocarcinoma. The pathological staging of this tumor was therefore T1b, N1, M0, stage IIB. Subsequently, the patient received S-1 adjuvant chemotherapy. No recurrence was noted during 12 months of follow-up.
Barrett’s esophagus. At the anal side of the tumor, the pathology showed duplication of the muscularis mucosa and esophageal glands (yellow arrow) on Hematoxylin and Eosin staining (a) and desmin staining (b), corresponding to Barrett’s esophagus. Bar=200 µm

Figure 3. ESD specimen and reconstructive images based on the pathology in the specimen stained by Hematoxylin and Eosin staining and endoscopy. A whitish lesion was shown in the resected specimen (a). Adenocarcinoma (yellow lines) appeared in the whole area of the lesion, but SCC (blue lines) occupied only about 10% of the lesion (b, c). ESD: endoscopic submucosal dissection, SCC: squamous cell carcinoma

Discussion

Esophageal adenosquamous carcinoma is a rare tumor, accounting for only 0.37-1.0% of all esophageal cancers (5). In addition, carcinoma containing coexisting elements of infiltrating adenocarcinoma and SCC in the background of Barrett’s mucosa is extremely rare. Thus far, only six cases of BAC containing elements of SCC have been reported (Table) (6-11), making the present case the seventh case of such carcinoma. According to the guidelines for clinical and pathological studies of carcinomas of the esophagus established by the Japan Esophageal Society (4), adenosquamous carcinoma of the esophagus is defined as a lesion comprising ≥20% of SCC and adenocarcinoma features on a routine microscopic examination using H & E staining. However,
the WHO classification defines adenosquamous carcinoma as having elements of both SCC and adenocarcinoma without providing a reference concerning the ratio of these components (12). The present case mainly consisted of an adenocarcinoma component, with the SCC component representing about 10% of the lesion. It was therefore defined as BAC with an SCC component according to the Japanese classification and Barrett’s adenosquamous carcinoma according to the WHO classification. Thus far, only one other case of BAC with an SCC component has been reported (11). However, that case report did not mention the ratio of the SCC component, and based on the reconstructed pictures of the report, the tumor appears to have been over 20% SCC. Therefore, this is the first case with a precise diagnosis of BAC with a SCC component. The presence of such a small SCC component in the lesion may be key to understanding the histogenesis of BAC containing elements of SCC.

Two possible histogeneses of coexisting elements of adenocarcinoma and SCC have been proposed. One involves the collision between adenocarcinoma and SCC. Previous studies hypothesized that two individual stem cells may have simultaneously and independently undergone malignant transformation (5, 13-15), and another report suggested that SCC in Barrett’s esophagus may have arisen from an islet of squamous epithelium in Barrett’s esophagus (16). The other potential suggested histogenesis involves monoclonal origin. In their molecular analysis, van Rees et al. showed that both the adenocarcinoma and SCC components originated from Barrett’s mucosa that surrounded the cancer, and the divergence of these components presumably occurred as a late event of histogenesis (9). Although we did not conduct a molecular analysis in the present study, a morphological transition between adenocarcinoma and SCC was clearly shown in this case. In addition, the SCC component did not appear on the surface of the tumor, and the whole SCC component in the lamina propria mucosa and muscularis mucosa was covered by the adenocarcinoma component. These findings support the histogenesis suggested by van Rees et al. The tumor in our case likely first developed as BAC, and then SCC differentiation occurred within the tumor cell populations after adenocarcinoma invaded the lamina propria mucosa.

No cases treated by endoscopic resection for cancers containing both elements of adenocarcinoma and SCC in the background of Barrett’s mucosa have yet been reported. Although the present patient underwent ESD for his tumor, additional radical surgery was required, which revealed LNM. These findings suggest that it may be difficult to curatively treat esophageal cancers containing both elements of adenocarcinoma and SCC by endoscopic resection. In addition, based on the present and previous findings, the rate of LNM in T1 BAC containing elements of SCC appears to be 75% (3/4), which is much higher than that in T1b BAC (17.5-
31.0%) (17-21). Although the small number of cases prevents us from drawing any definitive conclusions, BAC containing elements of SCC may have a more aggressive behavior than BAC. We herein report an extremely rare case of BAC with an SCC component that showed morphological transition between adenocarcinoma and SCC. The present findings suggest that a transdifferentiation process may play a role in the histogenesis of this tumor. The authors state that they have no Conflict of Interest (COI).
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