To compare the effectiveness of conservative and surgical approach in the management of scaphoid fracture: A prospective tertiary care centre clinical study
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Abstract

Aim: The aim of the present study was to evaluate the role of conservative vs operative treatment for acute scaphoid fractures.

Material and Methods: This prospective comparative research was conducted in the Department of Orthopaedics, Maharishi Markandeshwar Medical College and Hospital, Solan for the period of 1 year, after obtaining the permission of the protocol review committee and institutional ethics committee. Total 50 acute scaphoid fractures (< 3 weeks) irrespective of the location and all scaphoid fractures as a component of other acute injuries including peri-lunate instabilities were included in this research.

Results: During the research period, there were 50 instances of acute scaphoid fracture (< = 3 weeks) 37.5 was the average age at which a patient presented to us in our research. Road traffic accidents were the most prevalent cause of injury. Sport injuries, workplace injuries, home-related injuries and assault injuries were all common causes of injury. The most frequent site of fracture was the waist, followed by distal oblique fractures, in that order (7 cases). CRIF/ORIF with K-Wire / Herbert screw were used to treat 16 cases, whereas 34 patients were handled conservatively. Every patient's Mayo wrist score was determined as part of the follow-up process.  A 12-month follow-up duration was the average. Nine patients had poor outcome (< 65), 3 patients had moderate results (65-79), 14 patients had good results (80-89), and 8 patients had outstanding results (>/=90) out of 34 conservatively treated patients. Patients who underwent surgical management; 2 patients had poor outcome, 3 patients had moderate results, 5 patients had good results and 6 patients had great results. After operational treatment (ORIF/per cutaneous - screw or k-wire fixation), all fractures were successfully repaired. With conservative management, there were eight incidents of non-union.

Conclusion: We've come to the conclusion that each patient's treatment options should be discussed and weighed against one another before a decision is made about undergoing surgery or not.
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Introduction

Scaphoid fractures that are undisplaced and stable are often given short or longarm casts. Immobilization in a cast requires at least 12 weeks of immobilization [1], although it has been shown that union is possible in more than 90% of those who are impacted by this treatment [2]. A lack of typical connective tissue properties, which ordinarily enable tendons to glide and the joint capsule to extend, occurs when collagen homeostasis is disrupted by prolonged immobility [3]. Studies in the literature show that prolonged immobilisation delays recovery, which is clearly a risk associated with this therapy approach [1, 4]. An early internal fixation is advantageous in principle since it allows for a quicker return to work or sports and avoids the need of a plaster cast [3]. The fact that surgical therapy is effective, safe, and has positive outcomes has been shown in several studies [5, 6]. There has been a lot of discussion over the best way to treat scaphoid fractures that aren't dislocated or very slightly dislocated [3]. Randomized controlled trials (RCT) have recently been published that compare the effectiveness of surgical vs non-surgical therapy in the treatment of acutely undisplaced or mildly dislocated scaphoid fractures.
However, since each published research had such a small sample (n=25-88), the findings were left vague and unresolved. Clinical trials have recently been used to examine the efficacy of surgical and non-surgical therapy for acutely undisplaced or mildly displaced fractures of the scaphoid [8, 9]. A meta-analysis, which only included data on complications, range of motion, and grip strength, yielded findings that were not comprehensive in nature [10]. When it comes to treating acute scaphoid fractures, the current research was designed to compare the effectiveness of conservative and surgical approach in the management of scaphoid fractures.

Material and Methods
This prospective comparative study was carried out in the Department of Orthopaedics, Maharishi Markandeshwar Medical College and Hospital, Solan for the period of 1 year, after taking the approval of the protocol review committee and institutional ethics committee. Total 50 acute scaphoid fractures (< 3 weeks) irrespective of the location and all scaphoid fractures as a part of other acute injuries like perilunate instabilities were included in this study.

Methodology
The clinical examinations consisted of three diagnostic tests: (A) tenderness in the anatomical snuffbox (ASB); (B) scaphoid tubercle tenderness (STT); and (C) pain on longitudinal compression of the thumb (LTC). If any of these tests were positive, the patients were referred for a radiographic investigation of the wrist. The initial radiologic examination of the wrist included posteroanterior, lateral view, scaphoid view, oblique (45 deg. Pronation) view of the wrist. If the radiology reveals no fracture, wrist was immobilized with below elbow slab & was instructed to review after 15 days. The same series of x-ray was repeated after 2 weeks. All patients were thoroughly counselled & instructed regarding participation in the study. If the patient cooperative, they were enrolled in a prospective database, that included a patient questionnaire that sought information on sex, age, activity when the injury occurred (sport, traffic, work, or other), type of injury (fall, blow, or other), pathomechanism (extension, flexion, or other), high-energy trauma (defined as a fall from > 1 m of height) and nature of previous treatment received & past medical history etc. The preoperative radiograph, range of motion, intra operative photographs, immediate post-operative radiographs, and range of motion & X-Ray in subsequent follow up were collected.

In case of a clinically suspected scaphoid fracture without radiological signs of a fracture, early functional treatment was started using a below elbow slab. Patients with persistent clinical suspicion of a scaphoid fracture were repeated with radiological evaluation after 2 weeks of the trauma to evaluate the current treatment strategy and to potentially adjust the treatment strategy as a result based on the radiographic findings. Displaced fracture is the one with > 2 mm gap at fracture site. Several authors have reported that scaphoid fractures not visible on initial x-ray films are “incomplete” or otherwise minor and will heal regardless of treatment. We advised for primary rigid fixation for all acute scaphoid fractures with consent of the patient. Those patients who did not agreed for operative procedure were managed with scaphoid cast (below elbow POP thumb-spica cast in glass holding manner sparing the IP joint of thumb with thumb in palmar abduction and the wrist in neutral or slight extension). Post operatively, a posterior POP cast was used to support the wrist for the first two weeks and then, after the removal of sutures, limb was immobilized for 4 weeks in case of fresh fractures & for 6 weeks in case of non-union. After 6 weeks removable splint was applied for 6 weeks & supervised physiotherapy was started gradually. Up to 12 weeks after surgery, patients were advised to avoid full loading of the wrist and to refrain from contact sports.

All patients were asked to attend for routine review at two and six weeks, three months, 6 month and one-year, additional visits being scheduled as required.

Standard Scaphoid series radiographs were taken at each visit and a full clinical assessment was recorded. Modified MAYO Wrist score was used to assess functional out-come of individual patient after treatment. Radiological results were more rigorously defined and fractures were recorded as united, only if cross-trabeulation was present and the fracture line was no longer visible on any of the four standard views.

Results
A total of 50 cases of acute scaphoid fracture (<= 3 weeks) were seen during study period. The average age of presentation in our study was 37.5 years. The average delay in presentation was 1 week. This may be due to the fact that Scaphoid fracture is usually missed in initial standard AP & Lateral X-Ray following an acute wrist injury or may be due to negligence of patients in seeking medical consultation or both. Most common mode of injury was Road traffic accident. Other causes of injuries were sports injury, work place injuries, house hold injuries, assault injury. Most common location of fracture was wrist fracture (19 cases-3 incomplete & 16 complete) followed by distal oblique fracture (7 cases). 34 patients were managed conservatively & 16 patients were treated with operative procedure (ORIF/ORIF with K-Wire / Herbert screw).

Mayo wrist score was calculated for all patients in follow up. Mean follow up period was 12 months. Out of 34 patients managed conservatively 9 patients had poor results (<65), 3 patient had fair results (65-79), 14 patients had good results (80-89), 8 patients had excellent results (>/>90). In patients managed operatively poor results were seen in 2 patient, fair result were seen in 3 patients, good result were seen in 5 cases & excellent result were seen in 6 cases. All fractures managed by operative procedure (ORIF/per cutaneous - Screw or k-wire fixation) united eventually. But there were 8 cases of non-union with conservative management.

| Table 1: Gender distribution of patients |
|----------------------------------------|
| Right | Left | Total |
| Male  | 17(34%) | 19(38%) | 36(72%) |
| Female | 9(18%) | 5(10%) | 14(28%) |
| Total | 26(52%) | 24(48%) | 50 |

| Table 2: Distribution of patients with Herbert classification |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Herbert Classification | Male=36 | Female=14 | Total |
| A1 | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| A2 | 4 | 3 | 7 |
| B1 | 5 | 6 | 11 |
| B2 | 12 | 2 | 14 |
| B3 | 5 | 3 | 8 |
| B4 | 4 | 0 | 4 |

| Table 3: Distribution of patients in conservative and Operative methods |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Conservative | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent | Total |
|----------------|-------|------|------|-----------|-------|
| Operative      | 2     | 3    | 5    | 6         | 16    |
| Total          | 11    | 6    | 19   | 14        | 50    |

| Table 4: Union and non-union distribution |
|-------------------------------------------|
| Conservative | Operative | Total |
| Union        | 26       | 16     | 42 |
| Non-union    | 8        | 0      | 8  |
| Total        | 34       | 16     | 50 |

% Age of non-union 23.53% 0%
Case 1: 26 year old medical student presenting with displaced waist fracture managed conservatively

Case 2: 31 year old male presenting with a displaced waist fracture managed with ORIF with Herbert screw fixation

Discussion
To put it simply, orthopaedicians face difficulties in diagnosing and treating scaphoid fractures, which are commonly misdiagnosed due to their inability to be clearly visible on the first examination of discomfort in the wrist joint, as well as a lack of consensus on effective treatment protocols. There is a wide range of scaphoid fracture incidence reported in the literature, ranging from 1.47 to 121 per 100,000 people per year. In many studies, poor capture rates, short population
sample sizes, absence of a defined captive population and inability to discriminate between real and suspected fractures are likely to be the reasons for this discrepancy. The average age of presentation in our study was 37.5 years (17-71yrs). 30-40 year old were the most prevalent age group to be participating (32 percent). The tendency decreases as the age distribution gets closer to the extremes. In a review study conducted by Duckworth et al. [11], Low- energy falls from a standing height were most common (40.4%) cause of scaphoid fracture. Contact sports comprised the next largest group (n=35, 23.5%), with football injuries being the most common (n=24, 68.5%).

The classical clinical tests described for patients with post traumatic radial wrist pain anatomical snuffbox tenderness, scaphoid tubercle tenderness and pain at longitudinal compression of the thumb & in a combined manner, they have better specificity 97% & sensitivity 98%. Singh & Dias [12] described ASB tenderness, Effusion in USG, Scaphoid tubercle tenderness & Scaphoid compression test for diagnosing scaphoid fracture. Chen [13] has described the Scaphoid Compression Test, which is intended to discriminate scaphoid fracture from other causes of snuffbox tenderness. In his series of 52 traumatized wrists with snuffbox tenderness, he reports very high sensitivity and specificity of this test for scaphoid fracture. Others have not found such high specificity. The test is, at least, sensitive for fracture on the radial side of the wrist and thus provides an aid to diagnosis. The absence of scaphoid tubercle tenderness makes a diagnosis of scaphoid fracture unlikely. The absence of snuffbox and scaphoid tubercle tenderness virtually excludes a diagnosis of scaphoid fracture. We too allocated the patients depending on these initial three clinical tests at the time when the patient attended the Emergency Department/OPD. Most common location of fracture reported by us was waist followed by distal oblique. Leslie & Dickson [14] also reported similar results. First-line of radiologic investigation in patients with a suspected scaphoid fracture is wrist radiographs which are described to be good at ruling out a fracture (high specificity) [15, 16]. All our patients had four scaphoid views (PA, Lateral, Scaphoid view & Oblique (45 deg. Pronation) view) In addition to the standard PA and lateral radiographs used in the diagnosis of a scaphoid fracture, the additional views described in different literatures are the radial oblique view (proximal pole fractures), the ulnar oblique view (waist and tubercle fractures), and Ziter’s view (waist fractures). Duckworth et al. [11] acknowledged that there is an intra and inter observer error associated with interpreting the radiographs for the presence of a fracture, and classifying according to the Herbert classification, although this is unavoidable.

Clay et al. [17] & Bohlet et al. [18] demonstrated that leaving the thumb free clearly allows patients greater hand function. Thus, we used a below elbow POP thumb-spica cast in glass holding manner sparing the IP joint of thumb with thumb in palmar abduction and the wrist in neutral or slight extension for patients managed conservatively.

Schubert opined that immobilization in the cast should continue until there are clinical and radiographic signs of bony union. These signs are absence of tenderness, appearance on x-ray films of bony trabeculae crossing the fracture line, a sclerotic band at the fracture site, or cortical continuity. The time varies directly with patients’ age.

It is a common belief that the majority of scaphoid fractures will unite when treated in a cast for sufficient time [18-22]. The average age of presentation in our study was 37.5 years. As most of the patients were active persons, the traditional treatment in a cast for several months may have inherent compliance problems, a substantial impact on daily living and a socioeconomic burden for society. Mink van der Molen et al. reported the time off work in carpal injuries to be 155 days in a cohort of 447 patients treated conservatively (98%). Most patients were young men with manual work [23]. The development of minimal invasive techniques in combination with an increasing demand from professional athletes of a quick functional recovery has evolved wrist surgeons globally toward offering percutaneous screw fixation of even undisplaced waist fractures to avoid plaster immobilization [2, 24]. Operative treatment for scaphoid fracture ensures two advantages [26-28]. Firstly, patients will have an immediate fracture stabilization which is beneficial for healing and which allows for early return to normal activity. Secondly, preoperative assessment is insufficient for diagnosing the true nature of the fracture. Displacement and instability that are strongly associated with poor outcome are most safely diagnosed in the operating theatre.

S. L. Filan, T. J. Herbert [29] in 1996 stated in his review that apart from one case of screw protrusion, they do not attribute any late non-unions to failure of fixation. Several of our patients sustained further injuries to their wrist within three months of surgery, but in none of these outcome was affected adversely. They therefore questioned the relevance of recent work which has compared the mechanical properties of different fixation devices in cadaver or foam model scaphoids. Their review has shown that a correctly inserted Herbert screw provides adequate fixation to allow early movement. While the same may be true for other devices, they believed that the challenge for the future is to secure adequate fixation with the least possible trauma to the bone and the surrounding joints and soft tissues.

Davis et al. [30] calculated that open reduction and internal fixation would be cost saving compared to casting from a societal perspective. They also found that the late consequences in the form of secondary arthritis were actually less in the operated group than in the conservatively treated group. In this study 34 patients were managed conservatively & 16 patients were treated with operative procedure (CRIF/ORIF with K-Wire / Herbert screw). Mayo wrist score was calculated for all patients in follow up. Mean follow up period was 12 months. Out of 34 patients managed conservatively 9 patients had poor results (<65), 3 patient had fair results (65-79), 14 patients had good results (80-89), 8 patients had excellent results (>/>=90). In patients managed operatively poor results was obtained in 2 patient, fair result was obtained in 3 patients, good result was seen in 5 cases & excellent result was obtained in 6 cases. In a Swiss study Fusseneti et al. [31] reported that 34% of the individuals treated conservatively could resume work with the wrist immobilized in a cast. Furthermore, Papaloizos et al. [32] reported that operative treatment using a minimally invasive technique was initially more expensive than conservative treatment, but markedly decreased the work compensation cost and was less expensive overall compared to treatment in plaster.

In randomized clinical trials comparing the conservatively and surgically treated patients Bond and Saedén with co-workers found a significantly shorter period of sick leave in patients treated by percutaneous osteosynthesis. Differences in grip strength compared to the uninjured wrist between both groups were statistically insignificant with a better outcome in the surgically treated patients. Adolfson [33] reported 13% mean loss of range of wrist motion in the conservatively
treated group and 6% in the operated group. Our results confirm that internal fixation leads to better functional results & union of fracture than conservative treatment. We found more complain-free patients in the surgically treated group and less patients with resting pain and pain during sports and physical activities at the time of check-up which signifies a marked improvement in functional status with operative management.

The only disadvantage of Herbert screw fixation encountered by us was its technical difficulty. The operation requires skill and practice, poor surgery leads to poor results. While doing per-cutaneous fixation, with the wrist in neutral position, the trapezium blocks the scaphoid bone. Thus, we prefer to give a per-cutaneous fixation, with the wrist in neutral position, the disadvantage was unavailability of different size of screw and practice, poor surgery leads to poor results. While doing

Conclusion

We've come to the conclusion that each patient's treatment options should be discussed and weighed against one another before a decision is made about undergoing surgery or not.
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