Heterogeneity and chaos in the Peyrard-Bishop-Dauxois DNA model
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We discuss the effect of heterogeneity on the chaotic properties of the Peyrard-Bishop-Dauxois nonlinear model of DNA. Results are presented for the maximum Lyapunov exponent and the deviation vector distribution. Different compositions of adenine-thymine (AT) and guanine-cytosine (GC) base pairs are examined for various energies up to the melting point of the corresponding sequence. We also consider the effect of the alternation index, which measures the heterogeneity of the DNA chain through the number of alternations between different types (AT or GC) of base pairs, on the chaotic behavior of the system.

I. INTRODUCTION

There exists a large number of models devoted to studying the dynamics of base pairs in DNA (see for example [1] and references therein). Among them, the Peyrard-Bishop-Dauxois (PBD) model [2] has been extensively used to account for a number of experimental observations related to base pair openings in DNA. These include the denaturation transition of short oligonucleotides [3] and of peculiar periodic sequences [4], the multi-step melting of heterogeneous DNA segments [5], the formation of bubbles in the premelting regime [6], as well as large openings due to thermal fluctuations in gene promoters of various organisms at positions related to transcriptionally relevant sites [7,15].

The PBD model is an one-dimensional coarse-grained lattice model at the base pair level, considering a continuous variable at each site which describes the stretching of individual base pairs along the DNA sequence. The model is an extension of an earlier version [16–18], where a nonlinear stacking interaction term has been incorporated to mimic entropic effects, resulting in a sharp denaturation transition [19]. Exact numerical results regarding the partition function and thermodynamic functions of the PBD model have been obtained through the transfer integral operator [19]. Statistical distributions of bubble lengths for various temperatures and different guanine-cytosine content of the DNA chain have been presented using Monte Carlo simulations [20,21]. Bubble length distributions and their equilibrium properties have been also discussed in detail for homogeneous DNA chains [22].

Apart from various statistical properties, dynamical aspects of the PBD model have been also explored. The dynamic structure factor of a bacteriophage regulatory protein-induced DNA bubbles evolved according to the PBD model [23]. Concerning intrinsic localized modes, a detailed study on the earlier version of the model has led to estimates of their characteristic size and lifetime in a thermalized homogeneous system [26]. Sub-harmonic discrete breathers have been discussed in the driven PBD model, arising from the anti-continuous limit, even for driving frequencies above the linear frequency of the Morse on-site potential where usual breather solutions do not exist [27]. In another context, the spontaneous formation of vibrational hot spots in homogeneous PBD lattices [28] affects macroscopic transport parameters of a charge carrier coupled to DNA structural dynamics [29,30], while, in reverse, electric current is able to form bubbles by exciting the base pairs [31].

There are not many studies regarding calculations of the Lyapunov exponents or other indicators of chaos in the PBD model. An early work investigated the behavior of the maximum Lyapunov exponent (mLE) of a homogeneous DNA sequence [32]. Though the focus of that work was the former version of the model, with a linear stacking interaction and a smoother denaturation transition, where accurate analytical estimates were presented for the mLE through a combination of a Riemannian geometry approach with the transfer integral operator method, the dependence of the mLE on the energy density was also presented for the PBD model. Moreover it was pointed out by the authors that the mLE, showing an abrupt change at the critical point, could serve as a dynamical order parameter indicating a phase transition.
To the best of our knowledge there exist no investigations of the effects of the sequence heterogeneity, which is always present in actual DNA molecules, on the chaotic behavior of the PBD model. This is the subject of the present work.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. [II] we outline the PBD model and lay out the numerical techniques we use in our study, presenting also the quantities we examine. Sect. [III] contains the numerical results of our investigations and a discussion thereof. Finally, in Sect. [IV] we summarize our findings and present our conclusions.

II. DNA MODEL AND NUMERICAL TECHNIQUES

The Hamiltonian function of the PBD model, which describes the displacements from equilibrium \( y_i \) of the bases forming the \( i \)th base pair in a DNA sequence of \( n \) base pairs, is given by [2]

\[
H = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{1}{2m} p_i^2 + D_i(e^{-a_i y_i} - 1)^2 \right] + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + pe^{-\delta(y_i+y_{i-1})} \right)(y_i - y_{i-1})^2 \right].
\]

The Hamiltonian consists of three terms: the kinetic energy part with \( p_i \) denoting the conjugate momentum of \( y_i \), a Morse potential to model the effective interaction energy of the complementary bases within the base pair at the \( i \)th site, and an anharmonic coupling term between first neighbors to account for the effect of stacking interaction.

To model the inhogeneous nature of an actual DNA chain, different parameters are used in the Morse potential for adenine-thymine (AT) and guanine-cytosine (GC) base pairs. As the first sum in Hamiltonian [1] runs over each base pair the use of different parameters for each pair represents the disordered behavior of the DNA sequence. The parameter values we use in our study are \( m = 300 \text{ amu} \) for the effective mass of base pairs, for the Morse potential we have \( D_{GC} = 0.075 \text{ eV} \), \( a_{GC} = 6.9 \text{ Å}^{-1} \) for GC base pairs and \( D_{AT} = 0.05 \text{ eV} \), \( a_{AT} = 4.2 \text{ Å}^{-1} \) for AT base pairs, while for the stacking interaction we set \( K = 0.025 \text{ eV/Å}^{-2} \), \( \rho = 2 \), and \( b = 0.35 \text{ Å}^{-1} \). These parameters were fitted in [3] to accurately model the melting curves of short DNA sequences and subsequently used in a number of studies.

The PBD model is numerically integrated using the fourth order symplectic Runge-Kutta-Nystroem method [38]. Symplectic integrators are a class of numerical integration methods devised particularly for Hamiltonian systems. One of their main advantages is the ability to accurately integrate Hamiltonian systems keeping their energy \( E \) (i.e. the value of their Hamiltonian function) bounded for very long times (see e.g. Chapt. VI of [34] and references therein). In our simulations the time unit is set to 1 ps and an integration time step \( \tau = 0.011 \text{ ps} \) kept the relative energy error \( |E(t) - E(0)|/E(0) \) smaller than \( 10^{-6} \).

In all our simulations the initial conditions for the position coordinates are at equilibrium, i.e. \( y_i = 0 \), \( i = 1,2,\ldots,n \) and the momentum coordinates \( p_i \), \( i = 1,2,\ldots,n \) are chosen randomly from a standard normal distribution with a zero mean and unit variance and then scaled to obtain the desired total energy \( E \) (or equivalently the energy density \( E_n = E/n \)). Lattices of \( n = 100 \) sites are considered for all simulations and periodic boundary conditions are imposed, i.e. \( p_0 = p_n, y_0 = y_n \), and \( p_{n+1} = p_1, y_{n+1} = y_1 \).

In order to investigate the chaoticity of the PBD model the mLE, \( \chi_1 \), is calculated by following the so-called standard method [35, 36]. The mLE can be used to discriminate between regular and chaotic motions as \( \chi_1 = 0 \) for regular orbits and \( \chi_1 > 0 \) for chaotic orbits. The magnitude of the mLE can also be used as a measure of the chaoticity: larger mLE values correspond to more chaotic behaviors. In practice we estimate \( \chi_1 \) by computing the finite time mLE

\[
\chi = \frac{1}{t} \ln \left| \frac{|w(t)|}{|w(0)|} \right|,
\]

where \( w(0) \) and \( w(t) \) are deviation vectors from the studied orbit in the system’s phase space at times \( t = 0 \) and \( t > 0 \) respectively, and \( || \cdot || \) denotes the usual Euclidean vector norm. Then the mLE \( \chi_1 \) is \( \chi_1 = \lim_{t \to \infty} \chi \). As can be easily seen from Eq. (2) the mLE is measured in inverse time units. Thus, in our study the \( \chi \) is measured in ps\(^{-1}\).

To efficiently and accurately follow the evolution of the deviation vector \( w(t) \) we numerically integrate the so-called variational equations [37], which govern the vector’s dynamics. The Hamilton equations of motion and the variational ones are evolved alongside each other using the tangent map method outlined in [38, 40]. In this way we obtain a numerical estimation of the mLE \( \chi_1 \) after long enough integration times, which are typically of the order of \( 10^5 \) ps. The initial choice of deviation vector is a normalized vector whose random coordinates are uniformly chosen from the interval \([-1, 1]\).

As a further investigation of the system’s chaotic behavior, the normalized deviation vector distribution (DVD)

\[
\xi_i = \frac{\delta y_i^2 + \delta p_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\delta y_i^2 + \delta p_i^2)}
\]

is examined. Here, the \( \delta y_i \) and \( \delta p_i \) are the position and momentum coordinates of the deviation vector \( w(t) \) respectively, i.e. \( w(t) = (\delta y_1(t), \ldots, \delta y_n(t), \delta p_1(t), \ldots, \delta p_n(t)) \). The DVD gives a measure of the sensitivity of a certain region of the chain to small variations of initial conditions, and provides some idea of the ‘strength of the nonlinearity’ at each site as the system evolves [31, 32].

To investigate the effect of the chain’s heterogeneity on its chaoticity, two quantities are considered. First, the AT/GC composition of the chain, quantified by the percentage of AT base pairs \( P_{AT} \), where \( P_{AT} = 0\% \) means a
pure GC chain, and \( P_{AT} = 100\% \) means a pure AT chain. The second measure is the alternation index \( \alpha \) [33], which measures the chain’s heterogeneity by counting the number of times that the base pair type alternates (from AT to GC or from GC to AT) along the DNA chain. As such, a large alternation index corresponds to a ‘well-mixed’ chain, which in some respect may be considered quite homogeneous. A small alternation index corresponds to a ‘chunky’ chain, considered more heterogeneous. The effects of both these measures on the chaoticity of the system are discussed in Sect. III.

### III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

#### A. Chaotic behavior of the PBD model

In order to investigate the chaoticity of the PBD model we calculated the dependence of the finite time mLE \( \chi \) on the percentage of AT base pairs, \( P_{AT} \), and on the energy density \( E_n \). In particular, for each \( P_{AT} \) and \( E_n \) value considered we estimate the corresponding mLE \( \chi_1 \) by performing statistical averages over 100 different simulations. First, 10 random disorder realizations, i.e. arrangements of AT and GC base pairs satisfying the considered \( P_{AT} \) requirement, are chosen and then, for each one of these different realizations 10 random initial conditions are evolved in time. The 100 evolutions of \( \chi(t) \) created from these simulations are then used to provide an average \( \langle \chi(t) \rangle \) value. In cases where there are not 10 distinct realizations (i.e. for the homogeneous cases of pure AT and pure GC, where \( P_{AT} = 100\% \) and \( P_{AT} = 0\% \) respectively) then 100 random initial conditions are considered.

The process mentioned above for the calculation of \( \langle \chi(t) \rangle \) is illustrated in Fig. 1(a), where the particular case of \( P_{AT} = 30\% \) and \( E_n = 0.0475 \) eV is presented. In Fig. 1(a) the time evolution of \( \chi \) for a particular disorder realization compatible with the value \( P_{AT} = 30\% \) and an initial condition satisfying \( E_n = 0.0475 \) eV is shown. It is seen that after some initial fluctuations \( \chi(t) \) shows the tendency to stabilize at a positive value \( \chi \approx 0.47 \) ps\(^{-1}\). In Fig. 1(b) the same tendency is seen for the evolution of \( \chi \) for 10 different initial conditions (all of which set \( E_n = 0.0475 \) eV) for the same disorder realization as that of Fig. 1(a). This behavior clearly indicates that the limiting value of \( \chi \) does not depend on the particular initial condition. Furthermore, this value seems to not depend much on the particular random disorder realization as the computed finite time mLEs for all the 100 initial conditions considered for the 10 different disorder realizations in the \( P_{AT} = 30\% \), \( E_n = 0.0475 \) eV case (gray curves in Fig. 1(c)) tend to roughly the same positive value, exhibiting only relatively small differences.

The results of Fig. 1 indicate that the chaotic behavior of the PBD model, for a particular choice of the \( P_{AT} \) and \( E_n \) parameters, is predominantly characterized by a single mLE value \( \chi_1 \), except for some extreme cases discussed in Sect. III.C below. A reasonable estimation of this value can be obtained by the average \( \langle \chi \rangle \) of the final \( \chi \) values, while the corresponding uncertainty is simply taken as the standard deviation of these values.

Repeating the procedure of Fig. 1 for several values of \( P_{AT} \) and \( E_n \), we can find the behavior of the system’s mLE as the energy density and the content of AT base pairs change. The results of this process are presented in Fig. 2 where each curve corresponds to a particular \( P_{AT} \) value. The estimates of \( \chi_1 \) are calculated up to the chain’s melting point for each \( P_{AT} \). According to figure 2(b) of Ref. [21] the melting temperature \( T_m \) (in K) is related to \( P_{AT} \) through the equation

\[
T_m = 365 - 0.4 P_{AT}.
\]

Note that the slope of 0.4 degrees per 1% percentage of AT or GC, indicated in Eq. (4), is in agreement with experimental measurements over a large number of different DNA samples [44]. In our simulations the temperature \( T \) is estimated as \( T = 2E_{kin}^n / k_B \), where \( E_{kin}^n = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^2 / 2m \right) / n \) is the mean kinetic energy per base pair and \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant. In Fig. 2, we present results only up to \( E_n \) values which ensure that the temperature of all considered simulations is below...
the denaturation transition defined in Eq. (4). The melting of chains having more GC pairs (with the extreme situation being the \( P_{AT} = 0\% \) case) happens at larger \( E_n \) values. This is due to the fact that a GC base pair contains three hydrogen bonds, in contrast to AT pairs which have only two such bonds, and consequently more energy is needed for GC bonds to break. This situation is reflected in the parameters of the model. For this reason curves of smaller \( P_{AT} \) extend to higher \( E_n \) values in Fig. 2.

For all percentages, the same trend is clearly visible in Fig. 2: the \( \chi_1 \) values (and consequently the system’s chaoticity) increases with energy density, with a minor flattening out at larger \( E_n \) values. This behavior is in agreement with the results presented in [22] for the homogeneous PBD model, but for different parameter values from the ones used here. From Fig. 2 we observe slightly different behaviors at lower and higher energies for different values of \( P_{AT} \). At lower energy densities, \( E_n \lesssim 0.025 \) eV, there is a tendency for the chains with higher \( AT \) content to be more chaotic, as indicated by the higher values of the estimated mLEs. In this energy region it is evident that the curve of the homogeneous \( AT \) chain (\( P_{AT} = 100\% \)) is always at higher values than all other cases. However, in this energy range the \( P_{AT} = 0\% \) case is not always the least chaotic one; there is some crossing point, at about \( E_n \approx 0.015eV \), below which the \( P_{AT} = 10\% \) and \( P_{AT} = 30\% \) cases are less chaotic than the pure GC case. In the middle region, \( 0.025 \) eV \( \lesssim E_n \lesssim 0.035 \) eV, the composition of the chain appears to have little effect on the system’s chaoticity. All \( P_{AT} \) curves show very similar behaviors until \( E_n \approx 0.035 \) eV, whereafter the chains with more GC content seem to become somewhat more chaotic, up to the melting point. In particular, for \( E_n \gtrsim 0.035 \) eV the curve which corresponds to a pure GC chain (\( P_{AT} = 0\% \)) is always at higher \( \chi_1 \) values than the other curves.

The existence of these three different dynamical regimes in the chaotic behavior of the PBD system is consistent with the easier breaking of AT bonds. For relatively small energies it is expected that the stronger GC bonds lead to smaller oscillations, resulting to less chaotic behavior. Thus, chains with more AT base pairs show larger \( \chi_1 \) values and behave more chaotically in this regime as these base pairs explore in larger extent the nonlinear part of the corresponding Morse potential. On the other hand, larger energies (but not large enough to lead to the breaking of base pairs and to melting) correspond to higher energy levels for each base pair. These high energy levels in the narrower well of the GC Morse potential (with respect to the AT pair) lead to more chaos for the GC pairs than the AT ones. In the case of AT base pairs these high energy levels are closer to the rim of their Morse potential, allowing larger \( y_n \) values, which in turn make their behavior more linear. Thus, in that energy regime lattices with small \( P_{AT} \) values become more chaotic. The smooth increase of \( \chi_1 \) curves between these two energy regimes results in an intermediate region (\( 0.025 \) eV \( \lesssim E_n \lesssim 0.035 \) eV) where the value of \( P_{AT} \) practically does not influence the system’s mLE. It is also expected that for very small \( E_n \) values (i.e. \( E_n \to 0 \) eV) the nonlinear effects in Eq. (1) should become negligible and the system will become less chaotic, irrespective of its content of GC and AT base pairs. This tendency is present in Fig. 2 as the \( \chi_1 \) estimates are reduced when \( E_n \) becomes very small, attaining values, for all \( P_{AT} \), which are closer to each other.
Above melting the base pair stretchings $y_n$ are boundless in the framework of the PBD model and the exponential terms in the Hamiltonian of Eq. (1) drop off to zero, leading to effectively integrable behavior. The evolution of the finite time mLE in this case is shown in Fig. 3. It can be seen the after some time $\chi$ starts going to zero with a slope of $-1$ in a log-log scale, as is expected for a regular orbit (see for example [36] and references therein).

B. Deviation Vector Distributions

Based on the fact that deviation vectors eventually are aligned to the direction defined by the mLE, DVDs have already been used to visualize the motion of chaotic seeds, i.e. regions of high $\xi_i$ (Eq. 5) values, in chaotic, nonlinear lattices [11, 41]. In this section we examine the spatiotemporal evolution of such DVDs in conjunction with the displacements of the system.

Looking at the time evolution of individual DVDs we can see a correlation between regions of relatively large displacements and the concentration of the DVD. This correlation has been observed for different AT percentages and various energy densities that we have examined. A typical result is shown in Fig. 4. The DVD is always quite localized and appears to jump, with no apparent pattern, between sites next to a relatively large displacement. However, it is always localized close to sites exhibiting base pair openings. From this we can infer that in sites nearby to relatively larger base pair stretchings in the DNA chain the behavior is particularly nonlinear, in the sense that the DVD is concentrated in these regions. There seems however to be no particular favor shown to the size of the openings, with the DVD at different times localized around relatively larger or smaller openings and not in the highest opening. It does however appear to completely avoid non-excited regions.

At low energies, looking at the averaged DVD and displacement patterns, over several initial conditions, for a particular disorder realization, one can clearly distinguish the influence of the base pair distribution along the DNA sequence. The displacements are larger on AT base pairs and especially in AT-rich regions, as expected. The DVD tends to be concentrated in the larger homogeneous islands, i.e. in regions containing the larger number of consecutive sites of the same type of base pair. This is demonstrated in Fig. 5 where the averaged DVD and displacement patterns are shown for a hundred different initial conditions of energy density $E_n = 0.005$ eV, corresponding to the same disorder realization with $P_{AT} = 30\%$. While the displacements are larger at the AT sites, the DVD has a clear trend towards being concentrated at the larger homogeneous islands, regardless of the base pair type of the island. This is a typical situation for any AT percentage at lower energies. However at higher energies (e.g. at $E_n = 0.03$ eV), while the displacements are always larger at the AT base pairs, the averaged DVD loses its coherence with the larger homogeneous islands and is no longer concentrated there.

C. Effect of the alternation index

In order to further investigate the effect of heterogeneity on the chaoticity of the system, following the influence of the AT/GC composition, we now examine how the estimate of $\chi_1$ changes with the alternation index $\alpha$. In [33] it was shown that the probability distribution function of $\alpha$ can be well approximated by a rather narrow Gaussian distribution. Thus, in our analysis we consider the most probable value of $\alpha$, along with the extreme cases of very large $\alpha$, corresponding to a well-mixed disorder realization which can be thought to be effectively homogeneous, as well as the case of very small $\alpha$, corresponding to a very unevenly distributed or heterogeneous disorder realization. Particularly, we take the smallest possible value of $\alpha$ ($\alpha = 2$, where the AT and GC base pairs are completely separated in two distinct parts along
the DNA chain), another small value $\alpha = 6$, and similarly the maximum value of $\alpha$ (where the minority base pairs, AT or GC, are all isolated in between base pairs of the other type, the majority one) along with another large $\alpha$ value. We note that the maximum possible value of $\alpha$ depends on $P_{AT}$.[43].

The dependence of the limiting value of the finite time mLE on the energy density for all these cases of the alternation index is depicted in Fig. 6(a), when the AT percentage is $P_{AT} = 50\%$. The effects of $\alpha$ can be clearly seen at low energies, below $E_n \approx 0.03$ eV: The effectively homogeneous cases ($\alpha=100$ and 96) show lower chaoticity than the most probable case ($\alpha=50$), while the more heterogeneous cases ($\alpha=2$ and 6) are noticeably more chaotic. As the energy is increased to the melting point, the effect of $\alpha$ becomes negligible, but the overall picture of the homogeneous cases being less chaotic remains slightly apparent.

We find that unsurprisingly the effect of $\alpha$ on the system is most noticeable in the $P_{AT} = 50\%$ case, where there is an equal number of the two different types of base pairs. In systems dominated by a single base pair type, the effect of the few other base pairs is minimal regardless of the disorder arrangement. This can be seen in Figs. 6(b) and 6(c), demonstrating similar results as in Fig. 6(a) for $P_{AT} = 70\%$ and 90\%, respectively. As compared to the $P_{AT} = 50\%$ case, the effect of the value of the alternation index $\alpha$ is smaller at $P_{AT} = 70\%$.

FIG. 5: (Color online) (Top): Evolution of the DVD at low energy density, averaged over 100 initial conditions for a single disorder realization. The light regions are where the DVD is concentrated, according to the color bar on the top of the figure. (Bottom): Evolution of base pairs displacements averaged over the same 100 initial conditions. Light colors signify maximum values and dark no significant displacement, according to the color bar on the top of the figure. The bars on the right hand side indicate the DNA sequence, showing the positions of AT (white) and GC (black) base pairs. $E_n = 0.005$ eV, $P_{AT} = 30\%$.

FIG. 6: (Color online) Estimate of the mLE $\chi_1$ as a function of the energy density $E_n$ for different values of the alternation index $\alpha$, for AT percentages (a) $P_{AT} = 50\%$, (b) $P_{AT} = 70\%$ and (c) $P_{AT} = 90\%$. In (a) the extremely heterogeneous cases ($\alpha = 2, 6$) are more chaotic at lower energies than either the most probable case ($\alpha = 50$), or the effectively homogeneous cases ($\alpha = 96, 100$). This effect is less noticeable in (b) and it is not observed in (c).
IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have calculated the finite time maximum Lyapunov exponent $\chi$ of the Peyrard-Bishop-Dauxois DNA model, for different base pair compositions across the whole energy spectrum up to the melting point. Chaoticity increases with energy for any composition of AT/GC base pairs, including the homogeneous cases of pure AT or pure GC chains. Three distinct regions of chaotic behavior have been found: (i) at lower energy densities ($E_n \lesssim 0.025$ eV), DNA segments with more AT base pairs are more chaotic, (ii) in a middle energy region the composition of the chain has little impact on the chaoticity, and (iii) at higher energy densities ($E_n \gtrsim 0.035$ eV) sequences with more GC base pairs appear to be slightly more chaotic.

The deviation vector distribution, which can identify regions of more intense chaotic behavior, has been found in individual realizations to be localized in regions along the DNA chain close to relatively large displacements. The numerical simulations show that the DVD jumps between such regions nearby to a large displacement, with no particular preference to the sites of highest displacement. In addition, for relatively low energies a tendency of the DVDs to be localized in extended regions containing the same type of base pairs was found.

Another measure of heterogeneity, the alternation index $\alpha$, appears to have some effect on the maximum Lyapunov exponent in cases where there is not a predominance of one type of base pair, especially at low energies. In particular, our findings show that in these cases effectively homogeneous segments (large values of $\alpha$) are generally less chaotic than more heterogeneous segments (where $\alpha$ is small).
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