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Abstract

Terrestrial planarians found in a plant nursery in Spain in 2012 are described as a new species, Caenoplana decolorata. Dorsally they are mahogany brown with a cream median line. Ventrally they are pastel turquoise fading to brown laterally. Molecular data indicate that they are a member of the genus Caenoplana, but that they differ from other Caenoplana species found in Europe. One mature specimen has been partially sectioned, and the musculature and copulatory apparatus is described, confirming the generic placement but distinguishing the species from other members of the genus. It is probable that the species originates from Australia.
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Introduction

Álvarez-Presas et al. (2014) recorded several terrestrial planarian species from Spain, some considered native to Europe, others introduced from other continents. Some species were identifiable on the basis of external features such as colour and shape, on anatomical characters and comparative molecular analysis. Molecular results suggested that further species were found but at the time they could not be certainly identified to species, though perhaps to genus. This paper describes specimens (Fig. 1, a-d) listed as “Caenoplana Ca2” by Álvarez-Presas et al. (2014). Molecular data (Figure 12 of Álvarez-Presas et al., 2014) indicate that these specimens are of the genus Caenoplana Moseley, 1877, but distinct from other Caenoplana species. One mature specimen has been partially sectioned, and the musculature and copulatory apparatus is described. It has the characters of the genus Caenoplana Moseley, 1877, as amended by Ogren and Kawakatsu (1991) and by Winsor (1991) but differs from other described species of that genus both in external characteristics and anatomy. Neither do the specimens resemble any species described only on external features such as shape and colour and currently placed in the genus Australopacifica Ogren and Kawakatsu 1991, a collective genus containing species “not classifiable into the present taxonomic genera because of insufficient morphological information; geographical distribution largely in Australasia and Indo-Pacific Islands. A collective group for species inquirendae and nomina dubia”. It is described as Caenoplana decolorata sp. nov.

Methods

Sampling

Specimens were collected by E. Mateos from a plant nursery named “vivers casa Paraire” in Bordils municipality (Girona province, Spain, WGS84, position: 42.0348°N; 2.8986°E). All were collected by hand from beneath pots (Fig. 1e, f) that contained the plants on 12 January 2012 (five specimens: PT426, PT427, PT428, PT430, PT431) and 22 October 2012 (four specimens: PT655, PT657-1, 2 and 3) (Table 1).

Specimens from 12-January-2012 and specimens PT655 and PT657-3 were preserved in absolute ethanol for further molecular analyses. Specimens PT657-1 and 2 were killed with boiling water, fixed with 10% formalin and preserved in 70% ethanol. Specimens PT426 and PT657-1 were photographed alive (Fig. 1).

Molecular methods
All the sequences used in the present work were obtained in previous studies with the exception of some Cytochrome Oxidase I (herein Cox1) sequences that were obtained from individuals collected at the Real Jardín Botánico de Córdoba (Spain) by Mónica López (Table 1). In all cases, a small section of the anterior end of specimens preserved in absolute ethanol was used for DNA extraction. The new sequences were obtained following the same protocol as in Álvarez-Presas et al. (2014).

A nucleotide alignment was obtained for the Cox1 sequences based on the AA translation as a guide using BioEdit software (Hall, 1999) and the echinoderm mitochondrial genetic code (9). A Maximum Likelihood (ML) phylogeny was inferred using the IQtree software (Nguyen et al., 2015) with the MFP+MERGE implementation and 10000 replicates for ultrafast bootstrap search (-bb option). Then two single locus molecular species delimitation methods were applied in order to check the validity of the new species presented here and the ones already described and included in the phylogeny. Automatic Barcode Gap Discovery (ABGD) (Puillandre et al., 2012) was the first method performed, implemented in the webpage: http://wwwabi.snv.jussieu.fr/public/abgd/abgdweb.html. The default parameters were used, selecting initial partitions as they are supposed to be more stable and generally give as a result a closer number of groups described by taxonomists than recursive partitions. The second method applied was the multi-rate Poisson Tree Process (mPTP) analysis (Kapli et al., 2017). The newick tree obtained in the ML phylogenetic inference was used as input in the website http://mptp.h-its.org/#/tree.

Anatomical methods

Specimens PT657-1 and 2 were sent to HDJ and are deposited in the Natural History Museum London, accession numbers NHMUK.2014.5.13.12-13. The larger specimen had a visible gonopore, was assumed to be mature and selected for partial sectioning. It was divided into four portions: anterior portion about 2 cm long not sectioned, in alcohol; pre-pharyngeal section, TS, five slides, two at 15 µm, three at 10 µm; posterior portion including pharynx and copulatory apparatus, LS, 16 slides (pharynx separated, HLS) at 15 µm. Sectioned portions were dehydrated in ethanol and embedded in paraffin wax. Slides were stained in Harris’s haematoxylin and eosin and mounted in Canada balsam. The second specimen, about 3.4 cm long, had no visible gonopore and remains in alcohol.

Colours are expressed as RAL colours (www.ralcolor.com).

The electronic version of this article in Portable Document Format (PDF) will represent a published work according to the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN), and hence the new names contained in the electronic version are effectively published under that Code from the electronic edition alone. This published work and the nomenclatural acts it contains have been registered in ZooBank, the online registration system for the ICZN. The ZooBank LSIDs (Life Science Identifiers) can be resolved and the associated information viewed through any standard web browser by appending the LSID to the prefix http://zoobank.org/. The LSID for this publication is: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:B2636DF8-4372-405C-8A8C-4FBEC7396276. The LSID for the new species described is: Caenoplana decolorata sp. nov. urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:C0CEE92F-A51E-4EDD-B18B-E7F021338667. The online version of this work is archived and available from the following digital repositories: PeerJ, PubMed Central and CLOCKSS.
Results

Molecular results

The final dataset comprises 43 Cox1 sequences (including 3 outgroups, Table 1), with a final length of 822 bp. The resulting ML tree (Fig. 2) shows monophyletic groups comprising 7 putative *Caenoplan* species. Although the bootstrap values (bb) are not high enough to give support to the relationships between these clades, the monophyly of the new species described here, *C. decolorata*, harbor maximum support. The results of the molecular species delimitation analyses (both mPTP and ABGD) match the same clades present in the phylogeny (Fig. 2) giving rise to 7 putative *Caenoplan* species. Among them, we find the subject of this study, *Caenoplan* decolorata.

Taxonomic section

Order Tricladida Lang, 1884

Suborder Continenticola Carranza, Littlewood, Clough, Ruiz-Trillo, Baguñà and Riutort, 1998

Family Geoplanidae Stimpson, 1857

Subfamily Rhynchodeminae Graff, 1896

Tribe Caenoplaninae Ogren and Kawakatsu, 1991

Genus *Caenoplan* Moseley, 1877

*Caenoplan* decolorata new species.

*Caenoplan* Ca2 Álvarez-Presas et al., 2014.

Etymology: “decolorata” indicating that live specimens resemble *C. coerulea* but are comparatively pale and discolored.

NHMUK.2014.5.13.12-13

E. Mateos collection code PT657-1 and PT657-2. Locality: Bordils (Girona, Spain), position N42.0348049 E2.8986153, date 22 October 2012.

Preserved dimensions: holotype (PT657-1): length 46 mm; width 2 mm; height 1 mm; anterior to mouth 28 mm (61% of body length); anterior to gonopore 39 mm (85% of body length); paratype (PT657-2): length 34 mm; width 2.1 mm; anterior to mouth 17 mm (50%); apparently immature.

All other specimens (with a small section of the anterior end removed) are deposited in the collection of M. Riutort at the University of Barcelona.

External characters

Live specimens are “mahogany brown” (RAL 8106) with a narrow “cream” (RAL 9001) mid-line dorsally, merging to “beige brown” (RAL 8024) laterally. The anterior end is “copper brown” (RAL 8004). The ventral mid-line is “pastel turquoise” (RAL 6034) merging into the lateral “beige brown”.
Eyes in a sparse uniserial row round the anterior end, biserial for a short distance behind the anterior end and sparse staggered uniserial to the posterior end. Sole nearly the whole of the ventral surface.

**Anatomy**

Transverse sections (Fig. 3a) are about 1.3 mm high and 2 mm wide. The ciliated creeping sole is about 80% of the width. The cilia are about 5 µm long. The ventral epidermis is a monolayer about 30 µm thick and has few rhabdites. Ventral sub-epidermal muscle consists of a layer of circular muscle fibres about 10 µm thick and longitudinal muscle in bundles about 30 µm thick. Dorsal to the longitudinal muscle bundles is a ventral nerve plexus. There is a distinct, compact layer of parenchymal longitudinal muscle ventrally, 40-50 µm thick, 150 µm in from ventral surface. Ventral nerve cords are about 750 µm centre to centre, about 120 µm in diameter, with transverse commissures. Laterally and dorsally the parenchymal longitudinal muscle is less compact and 10-20 µm thick. Dorsal epidermis is 45 µm thick, non-ciliated and has numerous rhabdites. Dorsal and lateral sub-epidermal circular muscle is about 10 µm thick, and longitudinal muscle in bundles about 35 µm thick. Rhabdites are numerous dorsally and laterally ental to the sub-epidermal muscle, but in the mid-dorsal region, the rhabdites layer is slightly deeper (Fig. 3a, b), presumed to be coincident with the pale midline visible in the living animal.

The retracted cylindrical pharynx occupies the whole length of the pharyngeal pouch and is about 2.5 mm long, 0.9 mm in diameter. The pouch is 5.4% of body length. The pharyngeal aperture is about half way along the pharyngeal pouch. Pharyngeal musculature consists of an outer layer of circular muscle about 10 µm thick, a layer of mixed longitudinal and radial muscle about 360 µm thick and an inner layer of circular muscle about 30 µm thick.

The anterior portion containing the ovaries has not been sectioned. Ovovitelline ducts are about 500 µm apart on the inner dorsal surface of the ventral nerve cords (Fig. 3a, d). Vitellaria are not distinguishable with certainty. Their outer and inner diameters are about 25 µm and 7 µm respectively. They run to about 800 µm behind the gonopore, turn dorsally and open into the common female duct about 800 µm long which extends forwards with little differentiation to open into the common antrum above the gonopore (Fig. 4a, c, e). There is little or no shell gland tissue surrounding the common female duct.

Testes are numerous, ventral, ovate, about 200 µm wide and 300 µm high (Fig. 4a, d, e) and run almost to the copulatory apparatus. The sperm ducts cannot be distinguished with certainty in transverse sections. They enter the anterior end of the muscular bulb of the eversible penis, widen slightly and contain small amounts of stored sperm (Fig. 4b). They separately enter the anterior end of the ejaculatory duct which is complex, long and sinuous, about 1.5 mm from its anterior end to the gonopore (Fig. 4a, b, d, f). It has several regions, for ease of reference they are here arbitrarily numbered 1-7 from anterior to posterior as follows. 1, a small chamber (seminal vesicle) which extends transversely through 10 x 15 µm sections, thus about 150 µm wide, the two sperm ducts entering on either lateral extremity. 2, a narrow duct extending posteriorly and turning ventrally and opening into, 3, a sinus-like duct wide laterally, 23 x 15 µm thus 345 µm wide, but only 35 µm in the antero-posterior direction. This duct initially turns ventrally then narrows and curves posteriorly to be almost U-shaped (second arm shorter). The ejaculatory duct continues into, 4, a narrow sinus-like lumen surrounded by strongly eosinophilic cells forming a structure roughly spherical in outline about 400 µm in diameter. The cells of this region appear to be elongate with nuclei mostly adjacent to the lumen (Fig. 4g). This in turn opens into, 5, a portion about 400 µm long with sinuous margins, which in turn opens via, 6, a
small papilla-like opening into 7, a longer and wider duct about 600 µm long with sinuous walls
which can be considered to be the male antrum. This in turn opens to the common antrum above
the gonopore.

**Discussion**

The previous molecular results (Álvarez-Presas et al., 2014) analyzing only *Caenoplana*
sequences (and an outgroup) indicated that *C. decolorata* specimens are closely related to
*Caenoplana variegata* (Fletcher and Hamilton, 1888) (named as *C. bicolor* (Graff, 1899) in that
work, see Jones et al., 2020) although without support. In the present work, the tree shows a
closer relationship between *C. decolorata* and *C. coerulea*, while *C. variegata* is sister to the
clade formed by these two species (plus some putative unknown species), which will be an
expected result having into account the more similar external coloration pattern of the first two
species. However, the bb values do not support the relationships among species in the present
work neither and make impossible to validate this hypothesis.

The sectioned specimen has multiple eyes, ventral testes, a layer of parenchymal
longitudinal muscle, stronger ventrally, a long and fairly elaborate copulatory apparatus, the
ejaculatory duct particularly so, and other anatomical characters of the genus *Caenoplana*
Moseley, 1877 as amended by Ogren and Kawakatsu (1991) and by Winsor (1991). Thus we are
confident of the generic placement.

However, comparison with other *Caenoplana* species is problematic. Ogren and
Kawakatsu (1991) list 11 species of *Caenoplana* each with an anatomical description. Winsor
(1991) lists 19 species, seven “provisionally placed”, within *Caenoplana*. None of those has a
similar external coloration to the present specimens, and the ejaculatory duct of the present
specimens is distinctly different to that of any of those 11. They also differ from *C. variegata*
(Fletcher and Hamilton, 1888) (synonymous with *C. bicolor* (Graff, 1899), see Jones et al.,
(2020)).

Winsor (1997) lists a further six numbered, unnamed, *Caenoplana* species in addition to
two named species, *C. coerulea coerulea* (Moseley, 1877) and *C. bicolor* (Graff, 1899). Winsor
(1998) states that 22 *Caenoplana* species were present in Australia, with no other details.
Presumably this total includes the six numbered, unnamed, species above. Álvarez-Presas et al.
(2014) list two further unnamed *Caenoplana* species, one the subject of this paper. Whether
either of these is similar to any of Winsor’s (1997) unnamed species is unknown.

In comparing this species to other *Caenoplana* species or to species placed in the
collective genus *Australopacifica*, particular attention should be made to those with a broadly
similar pigment distribution, that is those with, dorsally, a narrow mid-dorsal pale line on an
otherwise uniform dark colour (any dark colour) and ventrally with a more or less uniform, but
different, colour. The only two species with such a distribution are *C. coerulea* Moseley, 1877
and *C. purpurea* (Dendy, 1895).

*Caeconplana coerulea* Moseley, 1877, originally found in New South Wales, Australia,
was described as follows: “Entire body of a dark Prussian blue colour, somewhat lighter on the
under surface … with a narrow, mesial, dorsal, longitudinal stripe of white”; 5 cm long. Hyman
(1943, 1954) and Ogren (1989) have described the anatomy of similar specimens found in the
USA. This species has distinctly different coloration from the present specimens and the
ejaculatory duct has a different structure (Ogren, 1989). It has subsequently been found in New
Zealand (Dendy, 1895), several European countries (Álvarez-Presas et al., 2014) and North and
South America (Ogren, 1989; Luis-Negrete et al., 2011).
Geoplana purpurea Dendy, 1895, originally from South Island, New Zealand, was described as follows: “dorsal surface rather dark reddish-purple ... a very narrow median band of nearly white”, “ventral surface paler purple, under a lens appearing very finely mottled in two shades”; 3.5 cm long. Dendy (1895) comments: “It is perhaps doubtful whether this species ought to be separated from the Australian G. coerulea, from which it differs only in colour”. But in the same paper Dendy also records C. coerulea. Geoplana purpurea was placed by Ogren and Kawakatsu (1991) in the collective genus Australopacifica, with the note that “this probably belongs to Caenoplana on basis of external similarities to Caenoplana coerulea”. Winsor (1991) “provisionally placed” it within Caenoplana. There has been no anatomical description of specimens under that species name. However, the coloration is different to the specimens from Spain and it seems unlikely that the latter are of the same species.

None of the other species listed by Ogren and Kawakatsu (1991) under Australopacifica has a colouration similar to the present species.

Thus the specimens do not match the description of any species previously described and are described as a new species, Caenoplana decolorata.

One possible confusing factor is that the colour of some species has been shown to vary over time and between individuals due to feeding (Jones et al., 2020; McDonald and Jones, 2007). Only prolonged observations on live animals before and after feeding could clarify if that might be the case with this species. Such observations would also indicate its preferred prey.

The ejaculatory duct of the new species is distinctive. The structure here numbered 4 is unlike anything present in any other described species of Caenoplana or for that matter any other terrestrial planarian. The function of this structure is not obvious; it does not appear to be either glandular or muscular.

Since at least one of the specimens was mature, it is presumed that this species reproduces by sexual reproduction, though it is entirely possible that it may also reproduce by partial fission, as in C. variegata (see Jones at al., 2020) and several other land planarian species.

This species almost certainly originates from Australia since most Caenoplana species are from there. It is presumed that it has been inadvertently transported to Spain in the course of the trade in horticultural products.

Acknowledgements

We thank Mónica López, from the Real Jardín Botánico de Córdoba (Spain), for collecting and supplying some flatworm specimens from Córdoba. HDJ would like to thank The School of Biological Sciences, University of Manchester and Peter Walker of the histology laboratory, for access to facilities.

References

Álvarez-Presas M, Mateos E, Tudó À, Jones HD, Riutort M. 2014. Diversity of introduced terrestrial flatworms in the Iberian Peninsula: a cautionary tale, PeerJ 2:e430; DOI 10.7717/peerj.430. 35 pp.

Carranza S, Littlewood DTJ, Clough KA, Ruiz-Trillo I, Baguñà J, Riutort M. 1998. A robust molecular phylogeny of the Tricladida (Platyhelminthes: Seriata) with a discussion on morphological synapomorphies. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Series B 265:631—640.
Dendy A. 1895. Additions to the cryptozoic fauna of New Zealand. *Annals and Magazine of Natural History Series 6* 14:393–401.

Fletcher JJ, Hamilton AG. 1888. Notes on Australian land-planarians, with descriptions of some new species. Part 1. *Proceedings of the Linnean Society of New South Wales* 2:349—374.

Graff L von. 1896. Über die Morphologie des Geschlechtsapparates der Landplanarien. *Verhandlungen der Deutschen Zoologischen Gesellschaft* 6:75—93.

Graff L von. 1899. *Monographie der Turbellarien. II. Tricladia Terricola (Landplanarien).* W. Engelmann, Leipzig, 574p, + Atlas, taf. I-LVIII.

Hall TA. 1999. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucleic Acids Symposium Series* 41:95–98. https://doi.org/10.14601/Phytopathol_Mediterr-14998u1.29.

Hyman LH. 1943. Endemic and exotic land planarians in the United States with a discussion of necessary changes of names in the Rhynchodemidae. *American Museum Novitates* 1241:1–21.

Hyman LH. 1954. Some land planarians of the United States and Europe, with remarks on nomenclature. *American Museum Novitates* 1667:1–20.

Jones HD, Mateos E, Riutort M, Álvarez-Presas M. 2020. The identity of the invasive yellow-striped terrestrial planarian found recently in Europe: *Caenoplana variegata* (Fletcher and Hamilton, 1888) or *Caenoplana bicolor* (Graff, 1899)? *Zootaxa* 4731:193-222. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4731.2.2.

Kapli P, Lutteropp S, Zhang J, Kobert K, Pavlidis P, Stamatakis A, Flouri T. 2017. Multirate Poisson tree processes for single-locus species delimitation under maximum likelihood and Markov chain Monte Carlo. *Bioinformatics* 33(11):1630–1638. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx025.

Lang A. 1884. Die Polycladen (Seeplanarien) des Golfes von Neapel und der angrenzenden Meeresabschnitte. Eine Monographie. *Fauna und Flora des Golfes von Neapel* 11, R. Friedlander and Sohn, Leipzig, 688 pp. + pls. I—XXXIX.

Luis-Negrete LH, Brusa F, Winsor L. 2011. The blue land planarian *Caenoplana coerulae*, an invader in Argentina. *Revista Mexicana de Biodiversidad* 82:287–291.

McDonald JC, Jones HD. 2007. Abundance, reproduction, and feeding of three species of British terrestrial planarians: Observations over 4 years. *Journal of Natural History* 41:293–312. doi: 10.1080/00222930701219149.
Moseley HN. 1877. Notes on the structure of several forms of land planarians, with a description of two new genera and several new species, and a list of all species at present known. 
Quarterly Journal of Microscopical Science 17:273–292.

Nguyen LT, Schmidt HA, Von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. 2015. IQ-TREE: A fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. Molecular Biology and Evolution 32(1):268–274. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu300.

Ogren RE. 1989. Redescription and a new name for the blue land planarian Geoplana vaga Hyman now considered conspecific with Caenoplana coerulea Moseley from Australia (Turbellaria: Tricladida: Geoplanidae). Journal of the Pennsylvania Academy of Science 63:135–142.

Ogren RE, Kawakatsu M. 1991. Index to the species of the family (Turbellaria, Tricladida, Terricola) Part II: Caenoplaninae and Pelmatoplaninae. Bulletin of the Fuji Women’s College No. 29, Ser. II:25–102.

Puillandre N, Lambert A, Brouillet S, Achaz G. 2012. ABGD, Automatic Barcode Gap Discovery for primary species delimitation. Molecular Ecology 21(8):1864–1877. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05239.x.

Stimpson W. 1857. Prodromus descriptiones animalium evertebratum quae in Expeditione ad Oceanum, Pacificum Septentrionalem a Republica Federata missa, Johnne Rodgers Duce, observavit et descripsit. Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences, Philadelphia 9:19–31.

Winsor L. 1991. A provisional classification of Australian terrestrial geoplanid flatworms (Tricladida: Terricola: Geoplanidae). The Victorian Naturalist 108:42–49.

Winsor L. 1997. The biodiversity of terrestrial flatworms (Tricladida; Terricola; Terricola) in Queensland: a preliminary report. Memoirs of the Museum of Victoria 56 (2):575–579.

Winsor L. 1998. The Australian terrestrial flatworm fauna (Tricladida: Terricola). Pedobiologia 42:457–463.
**Table 1 (on next page)**

List of samples used in the molecular analysis with GenBank accession numbers

*Sequences obtained in this study*
Table 1. List of samples used in the molecular analysis with GenBank accession numbers.

| Species/Morphotype          | Locality                        | GenBank Code |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|
| **Family Geoplanidae**      |                                 |              |
| **Subfamily Rhynchodeminae**|                                 |              |
| **Tribe Caenoplanini**      |                                 |              |
| *Artioposthia* sp.          | Australia                       | MN990642     |
| *Arthurdendyus testaceus*   | -                               | MN990643     |
| *Caenoplana coerulea*       | New Zealand                     | DQ665961     |
|                            | Menorca (Spain)                 | JQ514564     |
|                            | Liverpool, UK                   | DQ666030     |
|                            | El Prat de Llobregat (Barcelona, Spain) | KJ659617 |
|                            | Vall de’n Bas (Girona, Spain)   | KJ659618     |
|                            |                                 | KJ659619     |
|                            |                                 | KJ659620     |
|                            |                                 | KJ659622     |
|                            |                                 | KJ659623     |
|                            |                                 | KJ659624     |
|                            |                                 | KJ659626     |
|                            | Badalona (Barcelona, Spain)     | KJ659633     |
|                            |                                 | KJ659634     |
|                            | Adelaide (Australia)            | KJ659642     |
|                            | -                               | KJ659645     |
|                            | Granollers (Barcelona, Spain)   | KJ659647     |
| PT1304                     | Real Jardín Botánico de Córdoba (Córdoba, Spain) | MT727076* |
| PT1305                     |                                 | MT727077*    |
| PT1307                     |                                 | MT727078*    |
| PT1310                     |                                 | MT727079*    |
| *Caenoplana* sp. 1         |                                 | DQ666031     |
| *Caenoplana* sp. 2         | Tallaganda (Australia)          | DQ227621     |
|                            |                                 | DQ227625     |
|                            |                                 | DQ227627     |
|                            |                                 | DQ227634     |
| *Caenoplana* sp. 3         | Victoria (Australia)            | DQ465372     |
| *Caenoplana* sp. 4         |                                 | DQ666032     |
| *Caenoplana variegata*     | Bordils (Girona, Spain)         | KJ659648     |
|                            | Southampton, UK                 | MN990646     |
|                            | Cardiff, UK                     | MN990647     |
|                            |                                 | MN990648     |
| *Caenoplana decolorata* sp. nov. | Bordils (Girona, Spain)  | KJ659628     |
|                            |                                 | KJ659629     |
|                            |                                 | KJ659630     |
|                            |                                 | KJ659631     |
|                            |                                 | KJ659632     |
|                            |                                 | MN990644     |
|                            |                                 | KJ659649     |
| **OUTGROUP: Tribe Rhynchodemini** |                                 |              |
| *Dolichoplana* sp.         |                                 | DQ666037     |
| *D. striata*               | Igreginha (Brazil)              | KC608226     |
| *Rhynchodemus sylvaticus*  | Canyamars (Barcelona, Spain)    | FJ969946     |

*Sequences obtained in this study
Figure 1

Caenoplanas decolorata sp. nov.

(a–d) Photographs of live specimens, anterior to the right. (a) Dorsal view of specimen PT426 showing the “mahogany brown” colour and “cream” median line. Scale bar 10 mm. (b) A twisted specimen PT657-1 showing the “pastel turquoise” ventral surface. Scale bar 10 mm. (c) Specimen PT657-1 and (d) specimen PT426, anterior end showing anterior “copper brown” colour and the eyes (the two white lines in (d) are reflections from the lighting). Scale bars 4 mm. (e & f) pots under which the specimens were found, in a greenhouse (e) and outdoors (f).
Figure 2

Maximum Likelihood (ML) phylogeny inferred with Cox 1 sequences.

Values at nodes correspond to ultrafast bootstrap replicates (bb) obtained with IQtree software. Vertical bars to the right of the phylogeny correspond to the molecular species delimitation methods results (mPTP, left bar and ABGD, right bar). Scale bar represents number of substitutions per site. Photograph of specimen PT426 in dorsal view (anterior to the right).
Figure 3

*Caenoplana decolorata* specimen PT657-1 (NHMUK2014.5.13.12).

(a) Entire transverse section (* * indicate the width of the ventral creeping sole; scale line 1 mm). (b) Enlarged mid-dorsal (scale line 100 µm). (c) Enlarged mid-ventral (scale line 100 µm). (d) The testis, ventral nerve cord and ovovitelline duct on one side (scale line 200 µm). (e) Longitudinal section showing several testes (scale line 250 µm).
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Figure 4

*Caenoplana decolorata* specimen PT657-1 (NHMUK2014.5.13.12).

(a) Reconstruction diagram and (d–g) longitudinal sections of the copulatory apparatus (anterior to the left); a, b and c are to the same scale. Micrographs: (b & c) Mid-sections through the male and female portions respectively (both folded sections) (scale lines 1000 μm). (d & f) Further sections through the proximal portion of the male ducts (scale lines 500 μm). (e) Section showing the approach of an ovovitelline duct to the common female duct (scale line 500 μm). (g) Enlargement of region 4 of the male duct (scale line 200 μm). The nuclei (cyanophil) are mostly adjacent to the lumen.
