Surviving the Urban Jungle: Anthropogenic Threats, Wildlife-Conflicts, and Management Recommendations for African Crowned Eagles
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Larger carnivores often trigger human-wildlife conflicts that arise from perceived threats to humans and domestic animals’ safety, which generate the need for management and removal strategies. These issues become especially challenging when humans and wildlife coexist close to one another, for example, in urban landscapes. African Crowned Eagles (Stephanoaetus coronatus) are powerful forest raptors that breed within the metropolitan green-space system of Durban and Pietermaritzburg, South Africa. Negative human-wildlife interactions can occur because eagles occasionally predate on pets, such as cats (Felis catus) and small dogs (Canis lupus familiaris), and provisioning domestic stock to nest sites has previously been quantified. Here, wildlife management becomes critical, usually aimed at reducing or eliminating causes of economic or social harm, but have to be balanced against conservation goals regarding threatened species. In this study, we (i) identified causes of harm or loss of Crowned Eagles because of injuries (n = 53 incidents; 31 mortalities); and (ii) describe interactions with negative perceptions to human livelihoods, particularly concerning predation on pets and livestock. Anthropogenic causes of mortality were more likely to be reported than remote natural deaths, which provides important opportunities for mitigation measures. Most avoidable are electrocution on utility poles, persecution via gunshot wounds and poisoning (targeted or secondary), while collisions with anthropogenic structures, such as glass panes, vehicles and fence wires, are more challenging to mitigate. Out of 44 verified Crowned Eagle vs. pets and livestock conflicts, we documented 19 dog attacks (2012–2020), with detrimental impacts on social perception and acceptance of urban eagles. Pet and livestock conflicts were primarily associated with juveniles and immature eagles (83%). Of these, 19% occurred during September alone, which marks the end of the post-fledging dependency period; 70% occurred outside the breeding season. We provide management recommendations regarding various categories of Crowned Eagle human-wildlife interactions. For example, activities such as rehabilitation and falconry
can coordinate to achieve a high standard of public support and conservation outcomes for Crowned Eagles. Finally, we discuss using different management intervention strategies, including rehabilitation, falconry, re-wilding processes, and lethal control of specific “problem” individuals toward achieving the goal of sustainable, healthy Crowned Eagle populations that coexist with humans in urban landscapes.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Wildlife management is primarily a human response to reduce or eliminate causes of economic or social damage caused by wildlife (Treves et al., 2006). As humans transform landscapes on a global scale, interactions between wildlife and human livelihood and economic systems increase (Distefano, 2005). Conservation goals are becoming an important part of wildlife management, and negative interactions (hereafter termed conflicts) with stakeholders often arise (Redpath et al., 2013). Damage to food crops, livestock, and managed game are widespread causes of economic and social impact in ex-urban landscapes, involving farmers, game managers, and other interest groups (Redpath et al., 2004).

In urban landscapes, the public stakeholders have a strong bearing on perceptions of wildlife species that pose a perceived or real threat to human safety, and children in particular (Treves et al., 2006; Gehrt et al., 2010). This perception is extended to pets and companion animals (Gehrt et al., 2010; Poessel et al., 2012). While traditional responses were to hunt or otherwise target harmful species, in the case of large carnivores, this is often illegal through conservation regulations, or socially unacceptable on animal welfare grounds (Treves et al., 2006). In some cases, lethal control or removal of specific individuals can help to alleviate public fear and drive artificial selection in the population (Treves and Nauton-Treves, 2005). Increasingly in urban mosaic landscapes where wildlife persists, there are human–wildlife interactions and the need to turning conflict into coexistence (Patterson et al., 2018; Widdows and Downs, 2018; Frank et al., 2019; Streicher et al., 2021).

It is not just humans *per se*, but also anthropogenic structures that can pose threats to urban wildlife: vehicles, electrical networks, fences, windows, and mirroring surfaces, are some of the novel elements that can cause injuries or death, which can have severe impacts not only on individuals but also at the population level (Chace and Walsh, 2006; Sumasgutner et al., 2021). Most birds of prey rely on agility and fitness to actively hunt prey. Injuries that incapacitate hunting aptitude often result in starvation, illness, infection, and death. Typical injuries include trauma-associated cuts and abrasions, burns from electrocution, barbed wire and leghold trap ensnarement, broken bones, and puncture and gunshot wounds (Burke et al., 2002; Thompson et al., 2013; Maphalala et al., 2021). Individuals with these types of injuries could heal and recover if able to safely rest and obtain food without stress. However, rehabilitation and release procedures (e.g., hard-release of long-term admissions) of raptors may be met with poor post-release survival (Holz et al., 2006; Monadjem et al., 2014). Falconry, a centuries-old cultural heritage (UNESCO, 2012), is “taking quarry in its natural state using trained birds of prey” (IAF, 2015). The use of falconry techniques in rehabilitation and release has been successfully demonstrated (Holz et al., 2006; IAF, 2013), and rehabilitated raptors have a significant influence on the benefit of that individuals’ life, and endangered species populations. Furthermore, many birds of prey conservation, education, and research programs have been initiated or led by falconers (Hartley, 1991; Cade and Temple, 1995; Kenward, 2009; Lombard, 2010; Dixon et al., 2011; IAF, 2015), and raptor display centers became important for conservation education and outreach in many parts of the world.

African Crowned Eagles (*Stephanoaetus coronatus*, hereafter Crowned Eagles) are a threatened forest species distributed across sub-Saharan Africa (IUCN, 2014). These large raptors uniquely persist in southern KwaZulu-Natal (KZN), South Africa, within residential green space mosaic landscapes in relatively high nesting densities compared with forest (Malan et al., 2001; McPherson et al., 2016a,b; Muller et al., 2020). This is an unusual phenomenon, the occurrence of a large raptor in an urban landscape (Amar et al., 2018), leading to several challenges for these eagles. One challenge for breeding pairs is the removal of alien trees, such as eucalyptus trees (*Eucalyptus saligna, E. camaldulensis*), by ring-barking/ girdling. In the course of a nationwide management scheme called “Working for Water,” the government aims primarily to provide poverty-relief by employing task forces to control invasive vegetation and restore indigenous hydrological systems (Forstyth et al., 2004; Turpie et al., 2008). These dead trees stand defoliated and decaying for many years, resulting in a less sheltered nest site that will ultimately disappear (Reynolds et al., 2019). Another challenge is the likely increase in human-eagle interactions in the region regarding harm to livelihoods via pet and livestock attacks and eagles because of anthropogenic mortality. Crowned Eagles have occasionally been documented as a conflict species preying on livestock and pets (Boshoff, 1990; Boshoff et al., 1994; McPherson et al., 2016b; Reynolds et al., 2021) and, in rare circumstances, predatory attacks on children (Steyn, 1983; Thomsett, 2011).

Our objective was to identify and classify threats to breeding eagles and causes of nest failures, as well as the livelihood threats and harmful incidents regarding negative human–Crowned Eagle interactions (conflicts). Although the numbers and density of urban Crowned Eagles are relatively high compared with the rest of Africa (McPherson et al., 2016a,b; Muller et al., 2020), we hypothesized that their persistence in urban areas is dependent on nest site protection and reduced human-eagle conflict. Our
results formed the foundation for a series of discussions with local wildlife officials and stakeholders. These discussions resulted in a management strategy that is presented to improve future human-wildlife interactions and facilitate Crowned Eagle conservation in an increasingly urbanized world.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

We used two different data sets. Our breeding data were systematically collected and used to infer causes of nest failure were collected from April 2012 to April 2017 in southern KZN, South Africa (Figure 1), while our incident reports on livelihood threats and harm to Crowned Eagles spanned April 2012 until December 2020. The urban areas are described in detail in McPherson et al. (2016a; 2016b) and covers a range of a total of 92 different breeding territories (Muller et al., 2020). The long-term data collection included an annual breeding monitoring between August and December, whereby territories were visited regularly, at least twice per month, to assess nest progress (see Muller et al., 2020 for details). Breeding attempts were monitored until conclusion to provide information on breeding success, and eaglets were fitted with a G-ring and an alpha-numeric color ring when 70 ± 5 days old) in accordance with the SAFRING user manual (de Beer et al., 2001). Additionally, in 2012 and 2013, 11 nest camera-traps were installed at territories with high urban cover and historical reports of pet predation (see McPherson et al., 2016b for details). Another trail camera-trap was installed in 2014 to monitor the first Crowned Eagle nest located on anthropogenic infrastructure (Supplementary Figure 1).

Our data on human-eagle interactions were categorized into three groups: (1) nest site threats, (2) harm to Crowned Eagles from injury and mortality, and (3) negative interactions with pets (Table 1). While nest success was monitored systematically (as described above), other interactions were incidental reports. Regional awareness of the research and community science involvement was developed via outreach to existing formal and informal conservation networks, public seminars (n = 52; conservancies, bird clubs, eco-estates), a Facebook community page with 5,291 followers ([30.09.2021]), 1 articles in local newspapers (Supplementary List 1), and field activities in residential areas. Most incidents were recorded via feedback from concerned citizens, the public, rehabilitation organizations, Natal Falconry Club (NFC), Ezemvelo KZN Wildlife officers (EKZNW), eThekwini municipality, and the Durban Natural Science Museum. Incident reports were responded to personally (EKZNW), eThekwini municipality, and the Durban Natural

| Threats | Description |
|----------|-------------|
| Nest site threats | Feeding patch or tree with active nest |
| Exotic tree removal | Nest-failing patch | Defoliating nest tree, reduced cover |
| Ring-barking exotic trees | Recreational activities, construction work near nest |
| Proximity disturbance | Nest collapse | Natural occurrence easily countered |
| Collision | Injury and mortality | Phase-phase | Ground |
| Poisoning | Targeted or secondary |
| Persecution | Shot, snared |
| Natural death | Natural death |
| Natural death | Natural death |
| Natural death | Natural death |
| Natural death | Natural death |
| Natural death | Natural death |
| Natural death | Natural death |
| Other | Natural death |
| Pet and livestock attacks | Types of incidents | Natural death |
| Identify breeds, locations, frequency | Biological context | Natural death |
| Dispersal ecology, prey imprinting | Social context | Natural death |
| Perceptions/subsidiary feeding | Social context | Natural death |

1www.facebook.com/CrownedEagleResearch

**RESULTS**

**Nest Site Threats**

Of the 239 Crowned Eagle breeding attempts recorded, 162 produced a nestling (67.8%; annual mean (±SE) = 68.7 ± 13.3%; lowest breeding success in 2015: 48.7%; highest breeding success in 2014: 81.6%; these breeding data are published in Muller et al., 2020). Of the 11 nest camera-traps installed for a diet study in 2012 and 2013, all recorded breeding attempts successfully fledged a nestling. Approximately half of the known nest failures over 5 years (n = 77) occurred during incubation and half during nestling rearing. If possible, causes of nest failures were identified on-site, and classified in the following three categories.

**Alien Tree Management**

To date, 104 used (current and historical) individual nests of Crowned Eagles were documented in the southern KZN study area and located in 92 different territories (Figure 1). Of these nests, 55 (52.9%) were built in non-indigenous trees (blue gum E. saligna n = 45; red river gum E. camaldulensis n = 4; Pinus spp. n = 4, syringa Melia azedarach n = 1; camphor Cinnamomum camphora n = 1). Some invasive tree management practices have been detrimental to Crowned Eagle nest sites. From 2011 to 2015, eight nest trees were subject to girdling (five have now collapsed). The resultant defoliating and decaying usually last for 5–15 years (pers. obs. SCM). A further two nest trees were killed because of fire. Crowned Eagles frequently reused traditional nest sites, and some continued to nest in defoliated...
trees. It is implied but not exactly known if the eagle’s site fidelity on a tree that has been defoliated leads to higher breeding failure because of higher exposure to the elements (extreme summer heat and sunlight, and wind, rain, hail and lightning). On 14 Feb 2017, a gum tree, girdled many (unknown) years ago, was extensively decaying, collapsed with an eagle nestling near fledging age which survived the incident and could flap short distances to avoid capture. The parent birds continued to drop food to the nestling over the following days, and one week later, the nestling was seen perched high in a nearby tree (pers. obs. SCM). Another six nest trees of Crowned Eagle in the study stand fully foliaged, but isolated and exposed after the other large trees in the patch were felled and removed. Most nest trees have thus far been protected from felling; however, one in a more remote non-urban location was felled between breeding seasons.

**Nest Disturbance**

Crowned Eagle nest site disturbance is likely site-specific and could be based on local human activity, habitat, topology, and possibly also individual variation in sensitivity of the breeding pair. While most individuals showed signs of disturbance at 100–150 m, some pairs were habituated to daily residential and recreational activity within 30 m of the nest tree. Recently fledged Crowned Eagle offspring at these sites are generally inquisitive and habituate readily to benign human activities (pers. obs.).

One Crowned Eagle nest in Durban was positioned on a wastewater pipeline bridge (Supplementary Figure 1). The setting is reasonably remote and occasionally accessed by maintenance staff and contractors via a gated footbridge. The bridge’s single pylon resembles a large tree trunk supporting the structure, and the nest is placed directly above this pillar on the maintenance footpath. This nest was first identified in 1989, with incomplete breeding records, including a failed attempt in 2008 when human disturbances were suspected (pers. comm. N. Leidenberg 2012). This nest site was not used for several subsequent years until we recorded the sporadic presence of sub-adults and adults between 2012 and 2015. In 2014, while being monitored by a trail camera-trap, this nest successfully fledged an eaglet. While many raptor species are known to nest on artificial structures (Cade et al., 1994; Machange et al., 2005; Sumasgutner et al., 2020), this is the only Crowned Eagle nest to date known to occur naturally on an artificial structure.

**Nest Site Collapses and Collapse Mitigation**

Crowned Eagles show high site fidelity, and fresh nesting material is added annually to rebuilding size and shape as old strata decay. This process sometimes leads to imbalance and eventually partial or complete collapse of the nest structure. This is particularly likely to occur during the violence of summer thunderstorms, affecting nestlings and recently fledged juveniles. In an emergency situation, a wooden pallet frame attached within 5 m of the fallen nest (Supplementary Figure 2) can serve as a platform to return a fallen eaglet (Hoffman and Hoffman, 2009). With foresight, a longer-term solution can be prepared...
for unstable nests in advance of the nesting season. Platforms constructed of treated timber beams and interwoven branches, placed in the immediate vicinity of a previously collapsed nest, can be used as solid nest foundations for some eagles. At Victoria Country Club Estate nest site, Pietermaritzburg, such a platform was installed, and the pair immediately started building a nest on top (Supplementary Figure 3). This platform was used to successfully fledge a nestling in 2012. It remained unoccupied for the following season, where it was re-fitted with more concealed and natural-looking timber. The platform supported a larger nest in 2014, which also saw an eaglet successfully fledge, and again in 2016 without interim maintenance. At another site, a platform was installed 1 m lower than the collapsed nest's original position. This platform was ignored as the pair built a new nest from scratch in the original position on a natural fork.

Injury and Mortality of Crowned Eagles
Fifty-three separate incidents of harm to Crowned Eagles were documented during this study (Figure 2). Of these, 41 (77.4%) occurred from 2012 to the end of 2018, while six were reliable historical accounts before 2012 [pers. comm.; D. Brighton, M. Neethling, E. Rasmussen, and (Anonymous Reporter, 1997)]. Five were from 2019 to January 2021, where Covid-19 related travel restrictions have limited the P.I.

Of all documented Crowned Eagle deaths, electrocutions accounted for 21%, gunshot 15%, and vehicle collisions 13%. All forms of collisions accounted for 31% of all incidents (Table 2). It is unknown what fraction of Crowned Eagle mortality is reported, and we suspect relatively high numbers of electrocutions and gunshot deaths are not found nor reported. This is why post-fledging Crowned Eagle telemetry studies to gain quantitative knowledge of mortality are urgently needed. Furthermore, reported electrocution cases were 100% fatal, and it is unknown to what extent minor electrocutions can influence avoidance behaviour of electrical infrastructure.

Crowned Eagle juveniles can become habituated relatively easily in the first three months post-fledging if given positive close encounters with humans—especially regarding food. This makes them trusting and susceptible to harmful persecution in surrounding areas. For example, in a historical incident of supplementary feeding of a fledged juvenile (pers. comm. D. Brighton 2012), the individual was frequently provided portions of red meat over several weeks. It habituated to a point where it could be fed from a gloved hand. At eight months of age, it was also spending time close to other houses in the neighborhood and thereafter was shot in a resident's yard.

The 2012 Crowned Eagle juvenile from Zimbali Coastal Resort (ID: N2) also became habituated by being forced by natural events to feed on prey dropped to the forest floor below the nest. This location was 15 m from the footpath to a lodge restaurant. This eagle eventually allowed humans to approach within 1 m, calmly perched on a footpath handrail (Supplementary Figure 4). This eagle injured people walking or jogging on the footpath on two documented occasions by grasping at the head or shoulders during a daring flyby (Table 3). Although considered "play" or practice rather than hunting attempts, the ~60 mm long talons and great grip strength make these potentially serious incidents. In situ human-aversion training was given to increase the eagles' flight-distance. No other human-attacks (except toward researchers climbing to nests where one individual female made contact with the climber and several others performed close fly-byes) were recorded during our study period.

Pet and Livestock Attacks
A total of 66% of verified attacks (n = 29/44) on pets reported during our study were by juvenile and immature Crowned Eagles. Awareness of the research and reports of verified incidents appeared to be high in some neighborhoods, seemingly facilitated by a few key contacts and active neighborhood watch or social media groups.

The first significant "problem eagle" during the research occurred in winter 2012. A juvenile Crowned Eagle was identified in three dog attacks and implicated in more than 12 domestic cat disappearances within one neighborhood from May to November 2012. Postscript information came to light that recently vacated tenants in the neighborhood had been offering processed sausages to the juvenile eagle on their property. This likely resulted in the individuals' food search patterns being directed toward human habitations. Various threats on the eagle's life were received, and intervention (translocation) was intended; however, capture attempts failed and shortly thereafter, in October 2012, the last sighting was recorded.

Domestic dog attacks by Crowned Eagles appeared much more likely to be reported than domestic cat attacks. Perhaps this is because of predatory tactics (rapid removal of a cat from the attack location), socio-demographic factors, and differing perceptions of conflict issues. For example, five individual eagles could potentially be attributed to the non-validated reported disappearance of 35 cats from 2012 to 2015, whereas 13 dogs were injured or killed. Dog breeds included in this sample are Jack Russell, Chihuahua, Daschund, miniature Doberman Pincher, Yorkshire Terrier and unattended puppies of various breeds. These breeds, especially brown-coated types, are superficially similar in size and shape to one of their preferred prey, rock hyrax Procavia capensis (McPherson et al., 2016b).

Non-mortal attacks on pets were typically addressed by local veterinary clinics. The range of injuries observed could be caused by Crowned Eagles, as well as dogs and vervet monkeys (Chlorocebus pygerythrus). Therefore, reliable identification of taphonomic signs is required for accurate documentation. Seasonal differences in pet and livestock conflicts, along with the demographics of juvenile and immature birds, revealed that 19% occurred during September alone (Table 3B), which marks the end of the post-fledging dependency period. Overall, 70% occurred outside the breeding season and were primarily associated with juveniles and immature eagles (83%; Table 3C).

DISCUSSION
Globally, the growth of urban environments is one of the most significant factors affecting wildlife and human-wildlife
interactions (Soulsbury and White, 2016; Frank et al., 2019). Despite the many challenges of urban environments, there has been an increasing tendency for some herp, avian and mammalian species to persist in the urban habitats of KwaZulu-Natal (Downs et al., 2021). In our study area, the maintenance of green spaces (especially natural habitats and human-managed green spaces) and connectivity within an urban matrix increase biodiversity and provide conservation value (Roberts, 1994; Downs et al., 2021). The Crowned Eagle is one of these species persisting in this urban mosaic landscape, but it faces anthropogenic threats.

Urban Crowned Eagles’ main threats are nest failures because of nest disturbance and extreme weather, incidents with anthropogenic infrastructure, or direct human-eagle interactions that cause injuries and death (McPherson et al., 2016a,b; Muller et al., 2020; Downs et al., 2021). Approx. 30% of the observed annual breeding failure rate (Muller et al., 2020) could be allocated to nest disturbance and heavy rainfall events, specifically affecting girdled eucalyptus trees. More difficult to quantify are injury and death after fledging, which often appear to be human-related. As humans are directly and indirectly responsible for large scale ecosystem disruption and animal mortality, we become increasingly aware of compensating for such negative impacts. Mitigation measures should make quantifiable offsets to enhance the conservation of target species and habitats. The most direct mitigated threat is

---

**FIGURE 2** Typical injuries of African Crowned Eagles. Print of feather dust after a collision with a mirrored window by an adult male Crowned Eagle (©Jacques Sellshop). Immature Crowned Eagle “V4” electrocuted while alighting on an electricity pole while carrying prey (©Ben Hoffman). Adult colliding with wire fence while in pursuit of domestic chicken (©Adeline McCarter). X-Ray of Crowned Eagle “H9” admitted with a fractured ulna; also apparent is a calcification on tibiotarsus and a.177 airgun pellet in throat/crop area (©Oliver Tatham).
eagle persecution, which generally can be best influenced by awareness campaigns and stakeholder empowerment via engaging management plans (Distefano, 2005). Presently various urban conservancies make a valuable contribution to awareness and increasing wildlife persistence in the study area (e.g., Kloof Conservancy etc.).

**Threats to Urban Crowned Eagles**

**Nest Site Threats**

Nest site protection is important for urban Crowned Eagles. They prefer to nest in tall trees, usually located in green belts in the urban mosaic (McPherson et al., 2016b), and frequently reuse traditional nest sites. Eucalyptus trees are included in the government funded “Working for Water” management scheme (Working for Water, 2012). Through this practice, the traditional nest site experiences increased exposure to weather extremes, which potentially reduces nest success. These eucalyptus trees provide not only nesting sites for Crowned Eagles (McPherson et al., 2016a, 2021), but also Black Sparrowhawks (Accipiter melanoleucus; Malan and Robinson, 2001; Malan and Shultz, 2002; Sumagutner et al., 2016; Wreford et al., 2017), African Fish Eagles (Haliaeetus vocifer; Welz and Jenkins, 2005), and Woolly-necked Storks (Ciconia episcopus; Thabethe et al., 2021). Considering our results about the successful protection of nest trees and the multi-year nest-site fidelity in Crowned Eagles, identified nest trees should remain protected for at least 3 years after a breeding event. Protection should extend to the immediate trees around the nest tree (4–10 trees with DBH > 500 mm) to provide shelter and seclusion. In addition, if preferred Crowned Eagle nest sites are limiting, nest-site collapse mitigation should be implemented or artificial platforms provided.

**Injury and Mortality of Crowned Eagles**

We documented numerous intentional human harm incidents to Crowned Eagles during our study, with most involving juvenile and immature Crowned Eagles, especially in autumn (post-fledging). Learned tolerance and the founder effect may increase Crowned Eagles’ ability to persist locally and expand into urban forests elsewhere. Crowned eagle collisions with anthropogenic structures, and gunshot wounds, accounted for most Crowned Eagle deaths in our study.

There is growing international and national awareness of the impact of electricity networks on raptor electrocution and collision with wires (Lehman et al., 2007; Jenkins et al., 2010). The South African national electricity provider ESKOM has partnered with the Endangered Wildlife Trust and developed reactive mitigation responses for raptor electrocutions (Van Rooyen, 1999; Hoogstad and Chetty, 2015). Crowned Eagle electrocutions were documented and reported, and follow up visits confirmed insulation strips were fitted within the three months of reporting. Electrocution can have significant population-level effects (Harness et al., 2008; Fox and Wynn, 2010). The issue is a legacy of a nationwide systemic design in South Africa, and we urge the inception of statutory requirements for the rapid, proactive replacement of unsafe designs.

**Pet and Livestock Attacks**

Most verified Crowned Eagle attacks (n = 29) on pets were by juveniles or immatures in our study. Generally, domestic pets contribute less than 1% of their breeding diet (McPherson et al., 2016b). However, the isolated cases of juvenile Crowned Eagles taking pets cause negative perceptions about these raptors (McPherson unpubl. data). Developing awareness in veterinary clinics with an easy reporting scheme would provide reliable data on the quantity and distribution of pet-eagle interactions and improve awareness and management efficacy.

Negative experiences (e.g., frightened during a hunting attempt or kill, lack of food reward from hunting attempt) provide an opportunity for an individual eagle to modify behavior and reduce interest and intent on domestic animals, which would otherwise generate negative public attitudes. Where an individual eagle becomes habitual in foraging for pets or stock, removing the individual could prevent a wider public reaction toward the Crowned Eagle population. Exercising non-lethal measures by removing or translocation of specific individuals could protect both the individual and the species reputation (Treves and Nauton-Treves, 2005), and allows for low impact and sustainable use of these individuals.

---

**TABLE 2** Categories and outcome of incident types harming African Crowned Eagles in southern KwaZulu-Natal (“at risk” = confirmed report but no recorded injury/death; “non-fatal” injuries with unknown long-term outcome and confirmed “mortalities”).

| Collisions | At risk | Non-fatal | Mortality |
|------------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| Vehicle    | 7       | 1         | 1         |
| Airstrike  | 1       | 2         | 3         |
| Wall       | 1       | 3         | 1         |
| Window     | 3       | 1         | 1         |
| Wire/Fence | 4       | 1         | 1         |
| Natural obstacle | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Electrocation | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Poison     | 11      | 2         | 1         |
| Scare      | 2       | 4         | 8         |
| Trapped/or/engaged | 8 | 5 | 1 |
| Gunshot    | 5       | 1         |           |
| Threat of persecution | 1 | 1 | |
| Injured while hunting | 1 | 1 | |
| Intraspecific combat | 1 | 1 | |

---

1https://conservancieskzn.org.za

2https://www.kloofconservancy.org.za
### TABLE 3 | Pet and livestock attacks by African Crowned Eagles in southern KwaZulu-Natal by (A) prey type; (B) seasonal occurrence; and, (C) age structure.

| Conflict category | Habituated, threatening, suspected | Non-fatal attack on pet | Pet/stock depredation | Description |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| (A) Incidents by prey-type | | | | |
| Dog | 11 | 8 | | Dog numbers are fairly reliable to total individuals reported |
| Cat | 6 | 5 | | Often a single confirmed kill is accompanied by accusations of several missing cats in neighborhood |
| Chickens | 5 | | | Fowl incidents nearly always involve multiple casualties |
| Ducks | 3 | | | Many kills from each report, one bird killed 2 ducks per day for a month |
| Captive duiker | 1 | 2 | | Top-threatened and protected species and of high value for hunting industry |
| Guineafowl | 2 | | | Multiple kills in a flock |
| Rabbits piglets | 1 | | | Many taken from one incident as an eagle took up residence at a petting zoo |
| Death threat to eagle | 4 | | | These threats are not linked to confirmed attacks but are very likely attributed to the suspicions from pets lost in area |
| Habituated human threat | 5 | | | Overly habituated individuals sometime injuring visitors to lodge and residents jogging trails |
| Total | 9 | 18 | 26 | Nestling of the year fledged, still completely dependent on food provisions by parents. Biennial nests, the immature is often dispersed. |
| (B) Incidents by month (2012-2020) | | | | |
| Jan | 1 | 1 | 2 | The juvenile is still largely reliant on provisioning and will start self-hunting. Biennial or failed previous year nests, pair are active nest building and courtship. |
| Feb | | 1 | 4 | Incubation period. At biennial nests, the juvenile is more independent but when unsuccessful, its presence and begging suppress the pairs breeding. At annual breeding pairs the juvenile may be forced into early independence. |
| Mar | | 2 | 2 | Active nests, nesting in nest. At biennial nests the immature becomes much more independent, many food resources more available (hadeia ibis nestlings, etc.) and makes dispersal movements. |
| Apr | 2 | 3 | 1 | |
| May | 3 | 1 | 2 | |
| Jun | 2 | 2 | | |
| Jul | 1 | 4 | | |
| Aug | 1 | 2 | 3 | |
| Sept | 2 | 3 | 5 | |
| Oct | | | 1 | |
| Nov | 2 | | | |
| Dec | 2 | | 2 | |
| (C) Incidents by Crowned Eagle age structure | | | | |
| Adult | 1 | 3 | | |
| Subadult | 2 | 2 | | |
| Immature | 3 | 4 | 8 | |
| Juvenile | 6 | 8 | 9 | |
| Unknown | 3 | 4 | | |
| Total | 9 | 18 | 26 | |

**Bold text denotes total numbers.**

**Management Implications**

**Mortality, Mitigation, and Rehabilitation**

Despite our qualitative summary of Crowned Eagle mortality, there remains a gap in our knowledge about this mortality’s quantitative, population-level effects. Ongoing research of breeding success and mark re-sighting data will be used to model the population dynamics to inform recruitment, dispersal, and population stability. Future research should focus on the quantitative identification of sub-adult mortality. To better understand the post-release behavior, and outcomes of...
management intervention, the use of modern telemetry is affordable and scientifically valuable. A suggested voluntary requirement of the sustainable use of “problem” Crowned Eagles for falconry and falconry-based re-wilding efforts is the sponsorship and fitting of post-release telemetry—preferably multi-year lifespan, mortality alert system, and PTT or GPS with CTT, GSM, or Argos transmission in the southern KZN region.

Incidents of Crowned Eagle persecution should be investigated further. Wildlife crimes investigations should be pursued to raise awareness and reservations for further illegal persecution. In particular, surveys into the local “muthi” markets were not included during our research. Raptor trade for such belief-based use (and also for bushmeat) is concentrated in West and Central Africa (Buij et al., 2016), and hunting pressure linked to the trade is currently on the rise (Bates et al., 2021; Sumasgutner et al., 2021). To quantify such practices in other parts of Africa would be a valuable addition to understand potential population impacts on Crowned Eagles in peri-urban and rural areas. The impacts of electrocution and collisions should be a primary focus of this further research as these could be readily mitigated (Dwyer and Mannan, 2007; Dwyer et al., 2014; Jenkins and Benn, 1998).

Presently there is good engagement and collective effort for Crowned Eagle conservation in the study area. This is demonstrated with the involvement of various Non-Government Organizations (RR, SAFA, NFC, CROW, Free-me, Birdlife South Africa's affiliated regional clubs), conservancies and EKZNW. These collaborations are valuable foundations for the success of a management strategy, but also rely heavily on the awareness, reporting networks, and goodwill of the local communities.

Reducing Pet-Wildlife Interactions
The first step in reducing threat and harm to domestic pets from urban Crowned Eagles is to encourage pet owners to have an outdoor enclosure for their pets. Catio (wordplay on patio) enclosures are becoming popular and commercially available (CatioSpaces, 2015). We highly recommend the development of the pet enclosure ethos in Durban and Pietermaritzburg. This type of enclosure benefits the health of the pet and of the indigenous fauna: the enclosure isolates the cat or dog from wild animals and zoonosis such as rabies, toxoplasmosis, mange, and disease vectors such as fleas (Garrett, 1994; Bradley and Altizer, 2007; Lepczyk et al., 2015). It protects the garden wildlife from recreational hunting by domestic cats, which has a vast impact on wildlife (Loss et al., 2013; Seymour et al., 2020), and an enclosure protects the cat or small dog from being attacked by large wild animals, including Crowned Eagle and wandering dogs. Without an enclosure, dogs over 10 kg as pack companions to small dogs reduce opportunities for Crowned Eagles to attack.

![Decision flow chart of management actions regarding human-wildlife conflicts with the African Crowned Eagle in southern KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa.](image-url)
Procedural Management
Aktively managing Crowned Eagle wildlife conflict will benefit the community and the urban breeding population’s persistence. A decision flow chart (Figure 3) provides procedural information for documentation, site evaluation, and management actions to implement this. This was developed over successive meetings and correspondence with EKZNW, eThekwini Municipality, RR, and NFC.

Through the course of our study, proactive awareness mainly included the development of public awareness of Crowned Eagles has developed through social media, seminar series, posters, newsletter articles, and especially, site visits. These methods have empowered citizens to be involved in the research. An annual press release in local newspapers and interest club magazines would aid in the better reporting and data collection of incidents and attacks. Attacks on pets by Crowned Eagles most frequently occurred in autumn and winter; therefore, the information is best distributed in April.

In contrast, reactive responses were undertaken following incidents when a pet was killed or seriously injured, or an eagle was threatening and confiding to humans, and management action then helped mitigate the social and ecological impact of the identified culprit. A site visit from an individual from the collaborating organizations can inform of the management options available. Necessary ID, location and report information is documented on the EKZNW/University of KwaZulu-Natal (UKZN) research database. If a further conflict occurs or there is strong community support for management action, actions might include a direct translocation (ca. 1–6 h in capture and transit), or admissions to rehabilitation, soft hack, and falconry re-wilding (one month to four years). The effectiveness of falconry-based rewilding is presently being trialed.

CONCLUSION
In conclusion, the African Crowned Eagle is a large raptor that has successfully moved into urban landscapes in several major cities in South Africa (McPherson et al., 2021). Inevitably, the presence of such a species generates human-wildlife interactions as well as many significant risks to the birds imposed by human infrastructure and activity. We have attempted to summarize a range of issues and concerns that relate to the persistence of this species in urban areas and to provide the foundation for developing potential strategies for its management and conservation. In particular, it is hoped that this facilitates people of various socio-economic and cultural backgrounds to coexist with their urban eagles. With continued increased urbanization globally, there is a need for human-wildlife interactions, especially conflict, to be turned into coexistence (Frank et al., 2019) for species persistence in urban mosaic landscapes (Downs et al., 2021).

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT
The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

ETHICS STATEMENT
The animal study was reviewed and approved by the University of KwaZulu-Natal Ethics Committee.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS
SM, CD, and MB conceptualized the study and sought funding. SM, BH, BP, MB, PS, and TC collected data. SM collated and analyzed data, drafted the manuscript, and the other authors made editorial inputs. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
We greatly appreciate the stakeholder input throughout the study area. Discussions with many participants shaped the results and recommendations in this manuscript. In particular we thank; B. Coverdale, J. Vermeulen, A. Marchant, B. Howells, G. Nichols, A. Skene, L. McLough, G. Berry, R. Kramm, E. Douwes, S. O’Donoghue, N. Liedenberg, D. Allan, P. Candotti, S. Redpath, and G. Victor. We want to thank S. Kleindorfer for her input on the structure of the manuscript. We are grateful to the National Research Foundation (ZA, Grant 98404), the University of KwaZulu-Natal (ZA) and Ethekwini Municipality (ZA) for funding. We thank the Ford Wildlife Foundation (ZA) for vehicle support. We thank the reviewers whose constructive comments improved the manuscript.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL
The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fevo.2021.662623/full#supplementary-material

REFERENCES
Amar, A., Buij, R., Suri, J., Sumasgutner, P., and Virani, M. Z. (2018). “Conservation and ecology of African raptors,” in Birds of Prey: Biology and Conservation in the XXI Century, eds J. H. Sarasola, J. M. Grande, and J. J. Negro (Cham: Springer Nature), 419–455. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-73745-4_18
Anonymous Reporter (1997). Rescued eagle dies of injuries, The Witness.
Bates, A. E., Primack, R. B., PAN-Environment Working Group, and Duarte, C. M. (2021). Global COVID-19 lockdown highlights humans as both threats and custodians of the environment. Biol. Conserv. 109175. doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2021.109175
Boshoff, A. F. (1990). On hungry eagles and domestic pets. Birding South Afr. 42, 3.
Boshoff, A. F., Palmer, N. G., Vernon, C. J., and Avery, G. (1994). Comparison of the diet of Crowned Eagles in the savanna and forest biomes of south-eastern South Africa. South Afr. J. Wildl. Res. 24, 26–31.
Bradley, C. A., and Altizer, S. (2007). Urbanization and the ecology of wildlife diseases. TREE 22, 95–102. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2006.11.001

Boshoff, A. F. (1990). On hungry eagles and domestic pets. Birding South Afr. 42, 3.
Boshoff, A. F., Palmer, N. G., Vernon, C. J., and Avery, G. (1994). Comparison of the diet of Crowned Eagles in the savanna and forest biomes of south-eastern South Africa. South Afr. J. Wildl. Res. 24, 26–31.
Bradley, C. A., and Altizer, S. (2007). Urbanization and the ecology of wildlife diseases. TREE 22, 95–102. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2006.11.001

Amar, A., Buij, R., Suri, J., Sumasgutner, P., and Virani, M. Z. (2018). “Conservation and ecology of African raptors,” in Birds of Prey: Biology and Conservation in the XXI Century, eds J. H. Sarasola, J. M. Grande, and J. J. Negro (Cham: Springer Nature), 419–455. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-73745-4_18
Anonymous Reporter (1997). Rescued eagle dies of injuries, The Witness.
Fox, N. C., and Wynn, C. (2010). The impact of electrocution on the New Zealand Peregrine falcons in urban North America. J. Raptor Res. 28, 45–46.

CatioSpaces (2015). CatioSpaces TM. (Accessed November 2015).

Chace, J. F., and Walsh, J. J. (2006). Urban effects on native avifauna. Landsc. Urban Plan. 74, 46–69. doi: 10.1016/j.landurbplan.2004.08.007

de Beer, S., Lockwood, G., Rajimakers, J., Scott, W., Oschadleus, H., and Underhill, L. (2001). Safering Bird Ringing Manual (Vol. ADU Guide 5). Cape Town: Animal Demography Unit, University of Cape Town.

Distefano, E. (2005). Human Wildlife Conflict Worldwide: Collection Of Case Studies, Analysis Of Management Strategies And Good Practices. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations.

Dixon, A., Batbayar, N., Purev-Ochir, G., and Fox, N. (2011). “Developing a sustainable harvest of Saker Falcons (Falco cherrug) for falconry in Mongolia,” in Gyrfalcons and Ptarmigan in a Changing World, eds R. T. Watson, T. J. Cade, M. Fuller, G. Hunt, and E. Popatov (Boise, ID: The Peregrine Fundation).

Downs, C. T., Alexander, J., Brown, M., Chibesa, M., Ehlers Smith, Y. C., Gumede, S. T., et al. (2021). Modification of the third phase in the framework for vertebrate species persistence in connected urban environments based on a review of case studies from KwaZulu-Natal Province. South Afr. Ambio 50, 1866–1878.

Dwyer, J. F., and Mannan, R. W. (2007). Preventing raptor electrocutions in an urban environment. J. Raptor Res. 41, 259–267. doi: 10.3356/0892-1016(2007)41[259:preiau]2.0.co;2

Dwyer, J. F., Harness, R. E., and Donohue, K. (2014). Predictive model of avian electrocution risk on overhead power lines. Conserv. Biol. 28, 159–168. doi: 10.1111/cobi.12145

Forstyh, G. G., Richardson, D. M., Brown, P. J., and Van Wilgen, B. W. (2004). A rapid assessment of the invasive status of Eucalyptus species in two South African provinces: working for water. South Afr. J. Science. 100, 75–77.

Fox, N. C., and Wynn, C. (2010). The impact of electrocution on the New Zealand falcon (Falco novaeseelandiae). Notornis 57, 71–74.

Frank, B., Glikman, J. A., and Marchini, S. (2019). Human-Wildlife Interactions: Turning Conflcit Into Coexistence, Vol. 23. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Garrett, L. (1994). The Coming Plague: Newly Emerging Diseases In A World Out Of Balance. New York, NY: Farrar, Strauss and Giroux.

Gehrt, S. D., Riley, S. P. D., and Cypher, B. L. (eds) (2010). Urban Carnivores.

Ghosh, S. P., and Temple, S. A. (1995). Management of threatened bird species: evaluation of the hands-on approach. IBIS 137, S161–S172.

Jenkins, A. R., and Benn, G. A. (1998). Home range size and habitat requirements of peregrine falcons on the Cape Peninsula. South Afr. J. Raptor Res. 32, 90–97.

Jenkins, A. R., Smallie, J. J., and Diamond, M. (2010). Avian collisions with power lines: a global review of causes and mitigation with a South African perspective. Bird Conserv. Int. 20, 263–278. doi: 10.1017/s0959270910000122

Kenward, R. E. (2009). “Conservation values from falconry,” in Recreational Hunting: Conservation and Rural Livelihoods: Science and Practice, 1st Edn, eds R. Dickson, J. Hutton, and B. Adams (London: Blackwell Publishing).

Klopper, C. A., Lohr, C. A., and Duff, D. C. (2015). A review of cat behaviour in relation to disease risk and management options. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 173, 29–39. doi: 10.1016/j.applanim.2015.07.002

Lombard, A. (2010). Falconry in Southern Africa. Johannesburg: Endangered Wildlife Trust Birds of Prey Programme.

Loss, S. R., Will, T., and Marra, P. P. (2013). The impact of free-ranging domestic cats on wildlife of the United States. Nature Comm. 4, 1–7. doi: 10.1038/10781181884058.ch1

Machange, R. W., Jenkins, A. R., and Navarro, R. A. (2005). Eagles as indicators of ecosystem health: Is the distribution of martial eagle nests in the Karoo, South Africa, influenced by variations in land-use and rangeland quality? J. Arid Environ. 63, 223–243. doi: 10.1016/j.jaridenv.2005.03.031

Malan, G., Allan, D. G., and Edmond, D. (2001). Crowned eagles in the Durban Metropolitan area. Albatross 34, 27–28.

Malan, G., and Robinson, E. R. (2001). Nest-site selection by black sparrowhawks accipiter melanoleucus: implications for managing exotic pulpwoud and sawlog forests in South Africa. Environ. Manage. 28, 196–205.

Malan, G., and Shultz, S. (2002). Nest-site selection of the crowned hawk-eagle in the forests of KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa, and Tai, Ivory Coast. J. Raptor Res. 36, 300–308.

Maphalala, M., Monadjem, A., Bildstein, K., Hoffmann, B., and Downs, C. T. (2021). Causes of admission to a raptor rehabilitation centre and factors that can be used to predict the likelihood of release. Afr. J. Ecol. 59, 510–517. doi: 10.1111/aje.12851

McPherson, S. C., Brown, M., and Downs, C. T. (2016b). Diet of the crowned eagle (Stephanoaetus coronatus) in an urban landscape: potential for human-wildlife conflict? Urban Ecosys, 19, 389–396. doi: 10.1111/upec.12152

McPherson, S. C., Brown, M., and Downs, C. T. (2016a). Crowned eagle nest sites in an urban landscape: requirements of a large eagle in the durban metropolitan open space system. Landsc. Urban Plan 146, 43–50. doi: 10.1016/j.landurbplan.2015.10.004

McPherson, S. C., Sumasgutner, P., and Downs, C. T. (2021). South African raptors in urban landscapes: a review. Ostrich 92, 41–57. doi: 10.2989/00306525.2021.1900942

Monadjem, A., Wolter, K., Nesar, W., and Kane, A. (2014). Effect of rehabilitation on survival rates of Cape vultures. Anim. Conserv. 17, 52–60. doi: 10.1111/acv.12054

Muller, R., Amar, A., Sumasgutner, P., McPherson, S. C., and Downs, C. T. (2020). Urbanization is associated with increased breeding rate, but decreased breeding success, in an urban population of near-threatened African Crowned Eagles. Condor 122, 1–11.

Patterson, L., Kalle, R., and Downs, C. T. (2018). Factors affecting presence of vervet monkey troops in a suburban matrix in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. Landsc. Urban Plan. 169, 220–228. doi: 10.1016/j.landurbplan.2017.09.016

Poessl, S. A., Breek, S. W., Teel, T. L., Shiwiff, S., Crooks, K. R., and Angeloni, L. (2012). Patterns of human–coyote conflicts in the Denver metropolitan area. J. Wildl. Manage. 77, 297–305. doi: 10.1002/jwmg.454

Redpath, S. M., Arroyo, B. E., Leckie, F. M., Bacon, P., Bayfield, N., and Gutierrez, R. J. (2004). Using decision modeling with stakeholders to reduce human-wildlife conflict: a raptor-grouse case study. Conserv. Prac. 18, 350–359. doi: 10.1111/j.1523-1739.2004.00421.x

Redpath, S. M., Young, T., Everly, A., Adams, W. M., Sutherland, W. J., Whitehouse, A., et al. (2013). Understanding and managing conservation conflicts. Tree 28, 100–109.

Raynolds, C., Byrnes, M. J., Chamberlain, D. E., Howes, C. G., Seymour, C. L., Sumasgutner, P. et al. (2021). “Urban animal diversity ("including functional diversity & invasive species," in Urban Ecology in the Global South, eds C. Shackleton, S. Cilliers, E. Davoren, and M. du Toit (Cham: Springer Nature), 169–202. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-67650-6
Reynolds, J. S., Ibáñez-Alamo, J. D., Sumasgutner, P., and Mainwaring, M. C. (2019). Urbanisation and nest building in birds: a review of threats and opportunities. J. Ornithol. 160, 841–860. doi: 10.1007/s10336-019-01657-8

Roberts, D. C. (1994) The design of an urban open space network for the city of Durban (South Africa). Environ. Conserv. 21, 11–17. doi: 10.1017/S0376892900024024

Seymour, C. L., Simmons, R. E., Morling, F., George, S. T., Peters, K., and O’Riain, N., et al. (2021). Raptor research during the COVID-19 pandemic provides invaluable opportunities for conservation biology. Biol. Conserv. 23:e01198. doi: 10.1016/j.bioccon.2021.109149

Thabethe, V., Ehlers Smith, D., and Downs, C. T. (2021). Nest site selection of African woolly-necked storks in suburban areas of KwaZulu-Natal South Africa and implications for its requirements. Ostrich Provisionally

Thomas, L., Hoffman, B., and Brown, M. (2013). Causes of admissions to a raptor rehabilitation centre in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. Afr. Zool. 48, 359–366. doi: 10.33777/004.048.0220

Thomsett, S. (2011). Simon Thomsett on the African Crowned Eagle. Available online at: http://www.africanraptors.org/simon-thomsett-on-the-african-crowned-eagle-part-3/ (accessed November 1, 2015).

Treves, A., and Nauton-Treves, L. (2005). “Evaluating lethal control in the management of human-wildlife conflict,” in People and Wildlife: Conflict or Coexistence, eds R. Woodroffe, S. Thirgood, and A. Rabinowitz (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press).

Treves, A., Wallace, R. B., Naughton-Treves, L., and Morales, A. (2006). Co-managing human–wildlife conflicts: a review. Hum. Dim. Wildl. 11, 383–396. doi: 10.1080/1087120600994265

Turpie, J. K., Marais, C., and Bignaut, J. N. (2008). The working for water programme: evolution of payments for ecosystem services mechanism that addresses both poverty and ecosystem service delivery in South Africa. Ecol. Econ. 65, 788–798. doi: 10.1016/j.ecolet.2007.12.024

UNESCO. (2012). Falconry, a Living Human Heritage. Paris: UNESCO.

Van Rooyen, C. (1999). Eskom-EWT Strategic Partnership. Johannesburg: Endangered Wildlife Trust.

Welz, A., and Jenkins, A. (2005). How green is the valley? Monitoring fish eagles along the Breede River. Afr. Birds Birding 10, 30–33.

Widows, C., and Downs, C. T. (2018). Genets in the city: community observations and perceptions of large-spotted genets in an urban environment. Urban Ecosyst. 21, 357–367.

Working for Water (2012). Department of Water Affairs. (accessed November 1, 2015).

Wreford, E. P., Hart, L. T., Brown, M., and Downs, C. T. (2017). Black Sparrowhawk (Accipiter melanoleucus) breeding behaviour and reproductive success in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. Ostrich 88, 287–290. doi: 10.2989/00306525.2017.1307875

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher’s Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 McPherson, Sumasgutner, Hoffman, Padbury, Brown, Caine and Downs. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.