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Summary
Background and aims: SARS-CoV-2 has primary pulmonary impairment, but other organs such as the liver can also be affected. This implies a worsening of patient’s prognosis and an increase in morbidity and mortality. The metabolic pathways and molecular factors involved in the genesis of this injury are still unknown. Therefore, we aimed to carry out an integrative review about the pathophysiology and possible molecular mechanisms of liver injury by COVID-19.

Methods: We carried out an integrative literature review in the following databases: PubMed, Scopus, and Embase from December 2020 to March 2021 using the following descriptors: # 1 “COVID-19” (MeSH) AND / OR # 2 “Liver injury” (MeSH) AND / OR # 3 “Pathophysiology” (Mesh).

Results: The data were extracted and divided into two main themes, for heuristic purposes: “Hepatotropism and SARS-CoV-2”, and “Pathophysiological hypotheses for liver injury associated with SARS-CoV-2”.

Conclusions: The virus seems to promote liver damage through five mechanisms: direct injury, humoral and cellular inflammatory response, hypoxemia caused by a decrease in the effective circulating volume, re-infection through the portal system, and use of drugs in the treatment.

Abbreviations: SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; GGT, gamma-glutamyl transferase; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; TBIL, total bilirubin; ACE2, angiotensin-converting enzyme II; TMPRSS2, transmembrane serine protease 2; L-SIGN, liver/lymph node-specific intercellular adhesion molecule-3-grabbing integrin; IL-6R, interleukin 6 receptor; BECs, biliary epithelial cells; RAS, renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system; CRP, C-reactive protein; CRS, cytokine release syndrome; AT1R, angiotensin receptor type 1; ADAM17, metalloprotease 17; ADE, antibody-dependent enhancement; shHLH, hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis; PAMPs, pathogen-associated molecular patterns; DAMPs, damage-associated molecular patterns; MAS, macrophage activation syndrome; MERS-CoV, Middle East Respiratory Syndrome; NETs, neutrophil extracellular traps; ARDS, acute respiratory distress syndrome; SIRS, systemic inflammatory response syndrome; MOF, multiple organ failure; MODS, multiple organ dysfunction syndrome; CLD, chronic liver disease.
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Discussion

Hepatotropism and SARS-CoV-2

Histopathological analyses have identified the expression of angiotensin-converting enzyme II (ACE2) and transmembrane serine protease 2 (TMPRSS2) receptors in hepatocytes (low expression) and in cholangiocyte (high expression). However, in metabolic stress, up-regulation of these receptors was observed in hepatocytes. These receptors are fundamental for the entry of the virus in human cells and, therefore, for viral hepatotropism [2,3].

Viral tropism for a specific tissue is determined by the availability of viral receptors on the cell surface [9]. The entry of SARS-CoV-2 into human cells is mediated by the viral protein Spike (S), which interacts with the ACE2 and TMPRSS2 receptors [10] (Figs. 1 and 2). There is an expres-

sion of ACE2 receptors in hepatocytes at small amounts. In contrast, the incidence of these receptors in the epithelium of the bile duct proved to be similar to that of alveolar cells in the lung [11]. These data are corroborated by experimen-

tal studies and computational informatics, in which it is pos-

sible to infer the number of ACE2 and TMPRSS2 receptor popula-

ations [12–15] (Figs. 1 and 2).

Interestingly, the increase in AST/ALT is greater in patients with COVID-19 compared to gamma-glutamyltrans-

ferase (GGT) and alkaline phosphatase (AP). A Chinese study

with 156 patients with COVID-19 showed that 41% had AST/

ALT elevation [13–15]. The most severe cases are associated with lower levels of albumin, high levels of circulating B and T lymphocytes, higher levels of protein Spikes (S) in the cytoplasm of hepatocytes and dysfunction of organelles such as mitochondria and endoplasmic reticulum [15]. Three possi-

bilities stand out to explain this phenomenon: (I) cell dys-

function due to direct aggression to the virus or/and

systemic inflammation; (II) the hepatotoxic potential of the medications used in the treatment for COVID-19 — more explored in the following sessions; (III) other membrane
proteins that have not yet been mapped or co-stimulators that influence the binding between ACE2 and S.

In this context, recent study systematically compared differential cell tropism, viral replication kinetics, and cell damage profiles of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV. The liver cell line Huh7 was the third most susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 replication \((p = 0.012)\), preceded by Calu3 pulmonary cells \((p = 0.0003)\) and Caco2 intestinal cells \((p = 0.0009)\) [13]. Up to 43% of patients with COVID-19 and 44% of patients with SARS developed liver dysfunction. Patients with COVID-19 who needed intensive care had significantly higher amounts of elevated liver necrosis markers. They presented more than three times the upper limit within the normal range when compared with those who did not need intensive care [14,15].

There is also the possibility of alternative pathways to ACE2 receptors for liver infection with SARS-CoV-2 [16]. The liver/lymph node-specific intercellular adhesion molecule-3-grabbing integrin (L-SIGN) receptor is a liver-specific capture receptor for viral infection and immunity [17]. The SARS-CoV glycoprotein can use both ACE2 and L-SIGN in the infection and pathogenesis of the virus [18]. CD147 is another possible receptor for SARS-CoV-2. It is highly expressed in tumor and inflamed tissues, as well as in pathogen-infected cells [19] (Fig. 2). Some indications cite that its binding to protein S may be a new route of entry for SARS-CoV-2 [20].

Pathophysiological hypotheses for liver injury associated with SARS-CoV-2

Direct cytopathic effects

SARS-CoV-2 promotes intracellular cytotoxic actions directly to hepatocytes (Fig. 1), such as destruction of cell membranes and diffuse edema in structures such as rough endoplasmic reticulum and mitochondria. This decreases protein production and compromises hepatocyte ATP biosynthesis [13,21]. However, due to the large population of receptors, especially ACE2 and TMPRSS2 in the cells of the bile ducts, liver damage may start through the bile duct [22]. Alternatively, it is hypothesized that some hepatocytes regenerated after external insults, such as the viral infection itself or previous liver damage, have an important increase in the expression of ACE2 in their membranes, due to compensatory hyperplasia and, therefore, would be more susceptible to reinfection/destruction [23].

Preliminary analyses indicate that SARS-CoV-2 induces decreased mitochondrial activity and oxidative stress in the endoplasmic reticulum [24–28]. At the same time, the virus seems to have the capacity of inducing mitochondrial \(\beta\)-oxidation defects, promoting direct interference in hepatic lipogenesis [24–26]. Therefore, both can be caused by direct cytopathic effects of the new coronavirus, contributing to steatohepatitis secondary to the virus and deteriorating the hepatic metabolic condition, thus aggravating comorbidities such as non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) [10].

The target of rapamycin in mammals (mTOR), an intracytoplasmic enzyme that acts on cell growth, maturation, and proliferation, is also an inducer of lipogenesis and a regulator of autophagy [29,30]. Studies have shown that SARS-CoV-2 restricts autophagy in a manner similar to the mTOR-dependent mechanisms that had already been observed in SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV [31]. In addition, the increase in interleukin-6 (IL-6) promoted by the inflammatory cascade in the virus presence is responsible for activating the mTOR pathway [32]. Hence, direct hepatocyte infection or
cytokine storm can stimulate hyperactivation of hepatic mTOR signaling and, therefore, hepatic steatosis in patients affected by COVID-19 [10].

Effects of cytokine release syndrome and immune response
Active replication and virus release by infected cells culminate in the phenomenon of pyroptosis, which is responsible for releasing molecular patterns associated with damage (ATP, nucleic acids). When endothelial cells and alveolar macrophages recognize such cellular products, there is a trigger of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines, mainly. IL-6, macrophage inflammatory protein 1α (MIP1α), MIP1β and MCP 1 (Fig. 3).

The release attracts monocytes, macrophages and T cells to the site, increasing inflammation. The accumulation of immune cells and, therefore, proinflammatory cytokines, constitutes the ‘cytokine storm’ or ‘cytokine release syndrome’ (CRS) (Figs. 1 and 3), damaging the pulmonary structure and afflicting in a multisystemic way to the other organs [33]. IL-6 is involved not only in the acute inflammatory response, but also in liver regeneration and metabolic function of the liver. Molecular signaling of IL-6 occurs through signaling, classical cis or trans signaling pathways. In both signals, IL-6 binds to IL-6R forming a complex with the gp130 dimer. In the classic cis path, the dimerization of the complex formed by IL-6R and gp130 activates the JAK-STAT signaling cascade, contributing to CRS. Hepatocytes can be responsive to IL-6, as some express IL-6R [34,35] (Fig. 3). In the trans signaling pathway, the molecular cascades described before are activated in cells that do not express IL-6R, thus expanding the cell types affected by the ‘cytokine storm’ [36].

In contrast, there is a negative regulation of ACE 2, resulting in the accumulation of angiotensin II (Ang II), in the viral complex endocytosis. Ang II also acts as a proinflammatory cytokine via the AT1R-metalloprotease 17 (ADAM17) axis. ADAM17 can cleave the membrane form of IL-6Ra, thereby generating soluble IL-6R, which binds to IL-6 and subsequently activates STAT signaling. Trans signaling stimulates the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, including IL-6. Therefore, IL-6 can act as an amplifier for CRS activation [23].

The direct correlation between systemic inflammation and liver injuries, mainly indicated by IL-6, C-reactive protein (CRP) and ferritin, has been reported in the literature [37]. IL-6, ferritin and CRP levels were correlated with a significant increase in AST (p < 0.001), in patients hospitalized in and out of the intensive care treatment. Interestingly, higher levels of CRP were found in patients with liver dysfunction without criteria for intensive care. Despite the limitation of a cross-sectional design of this study, it was proposed that the systemic inflammatory response to infection by SARS-CoV-2 in patients with COVID-19 should serve as an incentive for liver injury [38].

Effects of liver ischemic injury or ischemic hepatitis
Changes in body hemodynamics and oxygen supply were also shown to be possible contributors to liver injury [39] (Fig. 1). Experimental studies suggest that reduced oxygen levels and accumulation of lipids in hepatocytes during hypoxemia secondary to CRS can lead to cell death [40]. Thus, the hypoxia-reperfusion injury contributes to liver failure to the extent that it involves a dynamic process of cell injury, which encompasses a dual system comprised of an inflammatory phase and an inflammatory response induced by reperfusion [41].

The interruption of adequate blood supply triggers a series of cellular metabolic disorders, leading to a subsequent increase in the reactive oxygen species (ROS) and its peroxidation products [42]. Then, there is activation of transcription factors sensitive to oxidation, amplifying the release of several pro-inflammatory factors (IL-1, IL-6, TNF-alpha) and promoting immune activation of TCD4+ and TCD8+ lymphocytes and macrophages that produce colony stimulating factors (GM-CSF and IFN gamma) in the liver after reperfusion.

Thus, this process involves immune cells of peripheral circulation and several types of non-parenchymal cells, which is a possible generator of hepatocellular lesions [23]. It is understood that in patients with COVID-19 at severe conditions, ischemic hepatitis is an important factor in secondary liver damage. It can be perceived in the laboratory through the marked increase in aminotransferases in the context of respiratory failure, shock, or heart failure [39].

Pre-existing chronic liver disease as a factor of worse prognosis in patients with COVID-19
The effects of pre-existing chronic liver disease (CLD) on the severity of COVID-19 are well documented in the literature as risk factors for more severe forms of COVID-19. A US cohort with 21 centers and 867 patients demonstrated that decompensated cirrhosis, alcohol-reports liver disease (ADL) and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) were isolated factors associated with high mortality rates in individuals with CDL [43]. Similar findings were found in the study by Hashimi et al (2020) [44] in which CDL was an isolated risk factor for increasing mortality. Including, data survey carried out between March and April 2020 demonstrates higher mortality by COVID-19 in patients with greater Child-Pugh class. The Child-Pugh class C is up to 3 times more likely to have worse outcomes (death) when compared to the Child-Pugh class A [45]. The US cohort carried out in August 2020 also evaluated 2,780 patients with and without liver disease. The patient with CDL presents higher risk of hospitalization and 4.6 relative risk (RR) for death, compared to controls [46]. However, some early studies lacked this well-documented evidence [47].

Another point to be raised is that in previous studies, CLD is accompanied by other comorbidities such as systemic arterial hypertension, diabetes mellitus, pulmonary disorders, cardiac diseases, drug use such as alcohol and obesity [43 – 46]. In this context, subgroup analyzes are essential to understand the real impact of CDL in patients with COVID-19. However, this is the clinical reality of most patients.

Really, in theory, due to the systemic immunocompromise state, patients with CLD may be more susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 infection. Patients that present decompensated cirrhosis and comorbidities, such as diabetes and obesity, may have a more severe and progressive acute liver damage [48]. Considering the association with other pre-existing comorbidities, early isolation, intensive surveillance, and timely diagnosis become essential for these patients [23].
Similar mechanisms between gastrointestinal and hepatic systems

Liver and gastrointestinal manifestations have appeared more frequently in the severe forms of COVID-19 infections [49]. A recent study found that patients with gastrointestinal symptoms are more likely to have liver damage than those without these symptoms [50].

Due the various possible clinical scenarios in the context of liver disease, the hypothesis that viruses could enter the portal circulation to reach the liver has proved to be plausible considering that ACE2 is highly expressed on the brush border of small intestine enterocytes. Assuming there is a rapid viral replication in the intestine, Kupffer's liver cells would attempt to eliminate the virus and initiate an inflammatory response, or mediators of inflammatory bowel diseases would enter the portal system and sinusoidal [39]. This statement is corroborated by a meta-analysis developed by Cheung et al. (2020) [51], in which the viral load of SARS-CoV-2 was tested in about 48% of patients and the nucleocapsid SARS-CoV-2 was detected in the cytoplasm of intestinal biopsies, even with negative respiratory samples. On the other hand, Nardo et al. (2020) [10] considered that the gastrointestinal tract may be a primary site of COVID-19 infection and SARS-CoV-2 infection may spread through the hepatobiliary system since the biliary tract provides a direct link between the liver and the intestine. Thus, SARS-CoV-2 could reach and infect the intestine through bile, causing, in turn, a second wave of infection.

Another possibility suggested is the rupture of the intestinal barrier or dysbiosis taking SARS-CoV-2 to the systemic and Portal circulations. Some authors argue that “gut - liver axis disruption” would increase antigenic translocation and activate the immune system [52]. This would occur due to factors such as: (I) local endothelial injury leading to the destruction of tight junctions (TJ) by TNF alpha increase [53]; (II) decrease in native microbiota and increase in opportunistic bacteria (eg.: Rothia spp., Streptococcus spp., Actinomyces spp and Veillonella spp.) in patients with COVID-19 compared to control groups [54]; (III) alteration of ACE2 expression in enterocytes secondary to dysbiosis [55]; and (IV) the presence of the “gut-lung axis”, in which the inflammatory process of COVID-19 would cause mucosal ischemia and bacterial translocation. While translocation would feed back the inflammatory process [56].

Therefore, even though the authors have not reached a consensus about the path of the SARS-CoV-2 virus in the portal circulation yet, there is a strong relationship between the gastrointestinal and hepatic systems, especially with regard to the several forms of COVID-19 infection and greater chances of virus survival, with worse overall outcome in patients who manifest liver and intestinal symptoms in SARS-CoV-2 infection [10,51].

Drug-induced liver injury

Finally, it is noteworthy that the use of drugs for clinical or off-label tests during the COVID-19 pandemic, in addition to self-medication are factors that contribute to liver injury in patients with COVID-19. This type of injury, called drug-induced liver injury (DILI), is an adverse reaction to drugs or other xenobiotics that occurs as a predictable event when an individual is exposed to toxic doses of some compounds or as an unpredictable event with many drugs of common use [57].

Known hepatotoxic medications have already been used on a large scale, such as antipyretics (acetaminophen), antivirals (remdesivir, lopinavir/ritonavir), antibiotics (macrolides), anti-malarials (hydroxychloroquine) and immunomodulators (corticosteroids, tocilizumab).

A hepatotoxic potential has already been confirmed in in vitro/in vivo experiments and in their respective registry studies for most of these drugs (e.g., ritonavir or remdesivir) [10,58]. In this context, several mechanisms have been proposed for DILI. Five highlight here: (I) increased intracellular oxidative stress due to damage to intracytoplasmic organelles; (II) apoptosis measured by Fas pathways to TNF alpha; (III) neutrophil-mediated and T-Lymphocyte-mediated liver destruction [59]; (IV) inhibition of the bile salt export pump (BSEP); (V) mitotoxicity and hepatocyte cytolothality [60]; (V) potential effects of COVID-19 in P450 Cytochrome (CYP-450). Some theories suggest that COVID-19 by CRS, due to the treatment used (eg. glucocorticoids or antiviral drugs) and previous use of other medications (eg. such as antihypertensives, statins and oral hypoglycemic agents). This situation would overload CYP-450, compromising protein metabolism and going through direct liver toxicity [61,62]. Nevertheless, others, with hepatotoxic potential that has not been well recognized yet, seem to have reports in literature, such as tocilizumab [63], whose hepatic metabolism and interference in the IL-6 pathway related to liver regeneration is the most likely etiology for its hepatotoxic effect [64].

Importantly, these mechanisms can be agonists in liver destruction in synergism with dysfunction caused by SARS-CoV-2, CRS caused by COVID-19, ischemia due to septic shock and susceptibility caused by membrane receptors (e.g. CD147, L-SING, ACE2, TMPRSS6).

Conclusion

Therefore, the likely pathophysiological mechanisms for SARS-CoV-2 hepatotropism appear to be closely correlated with the susceptibility of the Huh7 lineage hepatocyte and the presence of the membrane proteins CD147 and L-SIGN to the SARS-CoV-2 protein, which amplify viral invasion and cell dysfunction.

Regarding the pathophysiological mechanisms of the hepatocellular injury of SARS-CoV-2, they are mainly related to the direct cytopathic effects of a probable viral invasion; the increase in populations of ACE2 and TMPRSS6 receptors in bile duct cells, leading to bile duct injury as the genesis of liver disease; hyperactivation of intracytoplasmic mTOR signaling; and CRS with high levels of IL-6. In addition, we highlight not only the role of injury caused by reperfusion injury in the context of circulatory system failure that leads to ischemic hepatitis, but also the use of hepatotoxic drugs in the management of hospitalized or non-hospitalized patients. However, the relationship between gastrointestinal and hepatic symptoms is not a consensus.

Finally, other studies should perform multicenter, observational, and animal-based clinical studies in order to understand and to improve the dynamics of the immune system, the host-parasite process and the inflammatory response in COVID-19, besides to learn how it interferes with liver function.
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