De novo testicular tissue generation from non-testicular cell lines, biologic and synthetic scaffolds: Current findings and future translational applications
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In recent decades, reproductive science has revolutionized the options for biological parenthood for the 20–50% of infertility cases affected by male factors. However, current solutions exclude those who are infertile due to absent testicular tissue. This includes anorchic 46, XY individuals due to trauma or congenital factors and transgender men with a 46, XX genotype. There is a clinical need for methods to restore testicular function independent of pre-existing testicular tissue. This mini-review analyzes studies that have applied non-testicular cell lines to generate germline and non-germline testicular parenchymal components. While only 46, XY cell lines have been evaluated in this context to date, the potential for future application of cell lines from 46, XX individuals is also included. Additionally, the role of varied culture methods, media supplementation, and biologic and synthetic scaffolds to further support testicular parenchyma generation are critiqued. De novo testicular tissue generation in this manner will require a focus on both cellular and environmental aspects of tissue engineering. Put together, these studies highlight the future potential for expanded clinical, reproductive, and
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Introduction

Infertility impacts nearly 50 million couples globally. Male factor infertility is identified as the primary cause in 20–30% and as a contributing factor in 50% of total heterosexual infertile couples (Agarwal et al., 2015). Assisted reproductive techniques (ART) have revolutionized infertility care, but there are limitations. This includes methods that require the presence of germ cells from testicular tissue. Therefore, lack of functional testicular tissue in anorchic patients and transgender males excludes these individuals from reproductive techniques yielding biological children consistent with male gender identity. To address this limitation, the objective of this mini-review is to highlight areas of progress and opportunity surrounding the current state of testicular parenchymal generation using non-testicular cell lines and varied biologic or hybrid microenvironments.

History of testicular tissue engineering

Researchers have been incrementally developing the capacity for in vitro spermatogenesis for more than a century. One of the earliest described methods (Champy, 1920) yielded early meiotic spermatocytes by culturing adult rabbit testis fragments in plasma. For decades, researchers preserved cell composition, microenvironment, and spatial arrangement of the testis by utilizing tissue fragments. Later in the 20th century, studies using cells from dissociated testes were published, still preserving the cell composition of testes, but aiming to replicate the microenvironment without testicular tissue. Since the 1990s, further co-culture has been evaluated by combining isolated germline cells and/or spermatogonial stem cell (SSC) with somatic cell lines. More recently, the seeding of either isolated germline cells or co-culture methods on biocompatible scaffolds has been explored to achieve in vitro spermatogenesis (Bhaskar et al., 2022), including further focus on the importance of not only the scaffold structure but also the culture methods and media conditions comprising the cellular microenvironment. All methods described above applied testis-derived cells to a wide array of culture conditions and have successfully yielded haploid cells. This review explores studies that in the past decade have taken these historic methods of testicular tissue engineering a step further by aiming to replicate the cell composition and/or microenvironment of the testis without the requirement of native testicular tissue or autologous testicular cell lines.

De novo generation of testicular parenchymal cells

Introduction to primary testicular cell lines

The testis serves two primary roles: reproductive and endocrine. In the reproductive context, spermatogenesis occurs in the seminiferous tubules and represents a continuum from SSC through spermatocytes, spermatids, and spermatozoa. Sertoli cells provide structural and metabolic support to the differentiating spermatogenic cells and are responsive to follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) secreted by the pituitary gland. In the endocrine context, the hypothalamic-pituitary-testicular axis includes Leydig cells and operates via a feedback loop comprising luteinizing hormone (LH) and testosterone to maintain androgenic homeostasis.

In this section, the most successful studies in the derivation of testicular cell lines from non-testicular progenitors and the varied microenvironments and culture conditions applied to accomplish this task are described. Study details are included in Table 1.

Induced pluripotent and embryonic stem cells (iPSC, ESC)

iPSC cell lines are derived from adult tissues that, after genetic manipulation with a core set of genes, can be expanded and differentiated into organ-specific cell lines (Doss and Sachinidis, 2019). Given their versatility, iPSCs are one of the most common cell lines applied to date for testicular parenchymal tissue generation from progenitor cell lines.

Culturing iPSCs has demonstrated the importance of culture method and conditions to generate both germ cell and non-germ cell lines. In 2-dimensional (2D) co-culture conditions, human iPSC and ESC cell lines were cultured in SSC conditions with GDNF (Glial cell line-derived Neurotrophic Factor). Differentiation was demonstrated by presence of germ cell...
### TABLE 1: Studies generating testicular parenchymal cells de novo using progenitors.

| Article | In vitro Methods | In vivo methods |
|---------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **1st Author, Cell Line(s)** | **Culture Method** | **Culture conditions** | **Primary Endpoints** | **Key Markers, Terminal Differentiation** | **Animal model** | **Cells and substrate** | **END point** | **Key pathologic results** |
| Easley, 2012 Human | Co-culture: plate/2D, matrigel | ISC culture GDNF-condition media was required for differentiation | 7–15 Days | VASA and DAZL-sperm cells, subset progressed to post-mitotic (haploid), internal positive differentiation | - | - | - | - |
| Cai, 2013 Mouse-iPSC, nESC,iPSC | Embryoid body formation, hanging drop method 1: 2D culture with MEF feeder layer | mPSC/iPSC culture medium with FGF, RA, SCF | 1.5–10 Days Up to 3 months | Germine complement established of cell line (VASA, C-kit, SCP3+), PGC-like status with AP activity | Donor ICR neonatal Mice (testis). Recipient h_NI mus/mus, dorsal site | - | 2 to 10 weeks | Seminiferous tubule structures containing spermatagonat cells, verified miPSC-4.1 origin of MVH+ cells |
| Yang, 2012 Mouse Tg-EGFP-iPSC-111 | Embryoid body formation, hanging drop method | Importance of RA demonstrated For germ cell differentiation | 5–7 days Without LIF, 5–7 days With vs without RA | PGCs were derived at greatest concentration from re-treated sb, terminal differentiation: spermatogonia (VAS, C-kit, SCP3+) | Donor testes, recipient adult mice without endogenous spermatogenesis, site | - | 4 to 12 weeks | Seminiferous tubules containing germ cells (VASA, SCF3), 12-week with spermatogenesis |
| Ishikura, 2021 Epiblast-like cells (Epiblast) From mESC | 1. EpiLC from mESC, 2D culture 2. mPGCLC with fetal testes into seminiferous tubules of W/W mice | 1) n/a 2) 4–6 days 3/7 days 4–8 weeks | Proceeded from PSC differentiation into germline stem cells (germ reprogramming) to spermatogonia/SGer to spermatogenesis, haploid round spermatids contributes to fertile offspring via ICSI | Donor testes, recipient adult mice without endogenous spermatogenesis, site | GSCLC suspension in GSC medium | 8 to 10 weeks | GSCLCs proceeded to spermatogonat setting following transplantation into testes |
| Robinson, 2021 Human iPSCs | 1. EpiLC for individual cell line differentiation 2. Co-culture plating overnight, followed by suspension organoid culture | 1. Derived Sertoli, Leydig, endothelial, parabryal, myoid, and SGCs, cell specific methods/media. 2. StemPro-34 (FSH, LH, testosterone, BMP4, SCF, RA, EGF, IGF) | 12 days (organoid culture) | Derived testicular cell lines self-organized into tubular structures with post-mitotic sperm cells and mature Leydig and Sertoli cells in organoid culture | - | - | - | - |
| Amend, 2014 Mouse YSEL | - | - | - | - | Basalphan-treated Sprague mice (native YSELs permitted) | Syngeneic sertoli Cells and bone Marrow derived MSCs | 2 months | Restored spermatogenesis and testicular formation occurred only in testes with transplantation of Sertoli/MSCs, sperm with motility and attachment to oocytes in hamster IVF. |
| Shikada, 2017 Human Umbilical cord FTM and PVCs | Expanded in 2D culture in 5-step differentiation process; steps 4 – 5 co-culture with Sertoli and epididymal cell, Collagen 1/IV substrate | Key supplements by step: 1. RA, 2. LIF, GDNF 3. Pancreatin 4-5. Testosterone, FSH | 5 weeks total differentiation | Rounded spermatid cells (DAZL, VASA, PRM1, ACR and DDF1+) and sertoli-like cells (PNT1A+), undifferentiated HUCPVCs, activated key factors regulating spermatogenesis | Basalphan-treated NDU SCID mice | Step 3 Fm HUCPVCs (labeled), injected into testes | 6 weeks | Intracellular cells DAZL+ near basement membrane, other cells localized to submucosal space |
| Dissanayake, 2018 Human Umbilical cord MSC | 2D culture | DMEM, FBS, L-glutamine, streptomycin, penicillin, Sertoli cell conditioned medium, adult | 5 weeks | Str/hsp, ac, pzp and acrosome-like structures, elongating spermatids | - | - | - | - |
| Calvis, 2013 Rat adipose MSC | - | - | - | - | Basalphan-treated male wistar rats | Gil-rat adipose derived mac sequences, unlabeled | 12 weeks | Full recovery of spermatogenesis, and continuous generations of offspring were obtained via mating |
| Hou, 2015 Human Bone Marrow MSC | 2D culture | HMG/L1H, HCG, PDGF, IL-1α conditioned media | 8–13 days | Leydig cell differentiation with increased markers noted in control in vitro, 18-had expression and testosterone excretion | - | - | - | - |
markers followed by a subset differentiated to round spermatids (Easley IV et al., 2012). Small animal model cell lines have likewise demonstrated iPSC differentiation potential. In another study, cell lines were extracted from embryoid bodies cultured by hanging drop method and derived from iPSCs and mouse ESCs. Subsequently, an iPSC-matrigel suspension was dorsally injected in immunodeficient mice. The resultant grafts displayed ectopic seminiferous tubule formation and differentiation to post-meiotic germ cells (Cai et al., 2013). In a similar study initiating culture via embryoid bodies followed by transplantation of cells with testicular tissue into host mice, seminiferous tubule-like structures were likewise observed (Yang et al., 2012).

Two more recent iPSC applications have advanced further toward the ultimate objective of deriving an effective testicular environment. In one of these studies, various testicular cell types including spermatogonia, Leydig, peritubular myoid, and endothelial cells were derived from human iPSCs using cell-line specific 2D culture media conditions. Resulting cells were then co-cultured as organoids, leading to formation of tubular structures, mature somatic cell, and post-meiotic gametes (Robinson et al., 2021). Ultimately the promise of restored fertility has also occurred with the application of iPSCs in an animal model. In this study, mouse PGCLCs (Primordial Germ Cell-like Cells) were differentiated, expanded, and co-cultured with reconstituted testes (single cell layer of neonatal somatic testicular cells), followed by transplantation of derived Gonadal Stem Cell-like Cells (GSCLCs) into the testes of male mice lacking endogenous spermatogenesis. This subsequently resulted in haploid round spermatids and led to viable offspring using intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) (Shishkina et al., 2021).

The above-mentioned studies affirm the differentiation potential of iPSCs to generate haploid gametes as well as somatic cells. Future studies that confirm the genetic stability, safety of implantation, and efficacy of gametes in producing progeny are needed to improve understanding of iPSC translational potential.

**Very small embryonic-like stem cells (VSEL)**

Another potential cell line for clinical translation are VSELS, a relatively rare cell population in the gonads. VSELS are regarded as a pluripotent and quiescent (during steady state) subpopulation among SSCs, comprising ~0.03% of testicular cells and sharing numerous PGCLC markers (Ratajczak et al., 2019). In addition to the testes, VSELS can be found in bone marrow and other adult tissues, entering the cell cycle during times of stress (Kassmer and Krause, 2013).

After induction of azoospermia in mice via injection of the alkylating agent busulphan, the VSEL population persists within the testes. However, VSELS did not spontaneously differentiate until allogeneic Sertoli cells were transplanted, suggesting that paracrine signaling between Sertoli cells and the VSEL population may be key for resumption of spermatogenesis. These findings emphasize that supporting cell lines are critical components of a microenvironment in which VSELS can differentiate into germ cell lines capable of in vitro fertilization (IVF) (Anand et al., 2014). VSELS are a potentially valuable autologous source of progenitor cells for spermatogenesis resumption requiring further evaluation.

**Mesenchymal stem/progenitor cells (MSC)**

MSCs derived from multiple sources have generated germline and non-germline testicular cells, supporting reproductive and hormonal function while providing microenvironment mediation. Several studies have demonstrated the potential for targeted MSC differentiation to germ cell lines; like iPSCs the importance of the culture method and conditions cannot be overstated. However, unlike iPSCs most studies noted the use of 2D culture methods. Umbilical cord derived MSCs have been differentiated using varied 2D culture methods. In vitro, cell differentiation to small round cell morphology expressing a range of pre, meiotic, and post-meiotic markers has been observed. When injected into the rete testis of azoospermic mice, transplanted cells migrated to intratubular spaces and differentiated to germline cells. (Shlush et al., 2017; Dissanayake et al., 2018). Adipose derived MSCs have also demonstrated germline differentiation when injected into the rete testis of rats with azoospermia. Particularly promising for future translation, restoration of male rat fertility was demonstrated by production of live offspring (Cakici et al., 2013).

Additional progress has been made in deriving non-germline cell types from MSCs. In a study using umbilical cord derived MSCs to produce male gametes, cells expressing Sertoli-specific markers were observed during in vitro differentiation (Shlush et al., 2017). Additionally, in vitro culture of bone marrow derived MSCs in a cell-specific media resulted in significant expression of 3β-hydroxysteroid, a Leydig cell specific antigen, in differentiated cells (Hou et al., 2016).

Taken together, these studies establish the feasibility of differentiating MSCs into a range of testicular cell types, with the potential to develop both reproductive and hormonal function in infertile animal models. However, only a single study restored fertility using these methods. Recapitulating the testicular microenvironment is critical in establishing the reproductive potential of MSCs for individuals lacking testicular tissue.

**Generation of testicular cell lines from 46, XX individuals**

In the process of fetal development, primordial gonads were classically described as bi-potential (Adams and McLaren, 2002).
In the determination process of gonadal differentiation, the SRY (Sex Determining Region Y) gene plays a major role in testicular development. In fact, manipulating genes within the SRY pathway can result in 46, XX primordial gonads that develop a testis-like structure (Ottolenghi et al., 2007). A more recent study examined whether testicular tissue development and spermatogenesis could be induced in mice without the presence of a Y chromosome. Transgenic modifications of SRY, SOX9 (SRY-Box Transcription Factor), and Eif2s3x (eukaryotic initiation factor), homologs for SRY on the X chromosome resulted in mice with evidence of spermatogenesis despite absence of the Y chromosome. One of the modifications yielded spermatids that enabled production of live offspring (Yamauchi et al., 2016), indicating the potential of genetic modifications to change the fate of PGCs from 46, XX individuals.

While there have been no such studies using human tissues, investigation of genetic mechanisms in individuals with conditions resulting in differences in sexual development likewise indicate the importance of SRY and downstream effector genes on testicular parenchyma development (Wein, et al., 2012). SOX10 overexpression (Polanco et al., 2010) and R-spondin (Rspo1) frameshift mutation (Parma et al., 2006) are examples of such genes observed as drivers of male phenotype in 46, XX individuals.

Recreating the testicular microenvironment: Biologic and structural factors

Introduction to the use of scaffolds in testicular tissue engineering using non-testicular cell lines

A potential regenerative option for those lacking functional native spermatogenesis is to populate a 3-dimensional (3D) construct consisting of either a biologic or synthetic scaffold with select cell lines. In the first section the outcomes of recellularization of biologic scaffolds with stem cells will be discussed; the subsequent section will discuss advancements in synthetic scaffold engineering. Study details are included in Table 2.

The role of the extracellular matrix (ECM) as a culture substrate

As in other areas of tissue engineering, one strategy to create an optimal microenvironment has been to use testicular ECM with or without additional scaffolding, thereby maintaining its critical growth factors and additional protein components present (Siu and Cheng, 2004). When applied in vitro, human and porcine SSCs cultured on decellularized human ECM indeed provided a microenvironment that successfully maintained human germline progenitor cells (Murdock et al., 2019).

Not only does the ECM affect maintenance of spermatogonial cell lines; it also influences cellular differentiation and maturation. In a study comparing the culture of human SSCs on a decellularized sheep testis membrane versus 2D culture media, cells cultured on the biologic scaffold expressed higher levels of pre-meiotic, meiotic, and post-meiotic differentiation markers than cell lines maintained in culture media alone (Movassagh et al., 2020). In addition to growth and differentiation of SSCs, researchers have studied attachment and proliferation of Sertoli cells using decellularized testicular ECM. In this setting, Sertoli cells maintained viability on decellularized porcine testicular ECM and demonstrated attachment, proliferation, and orthotopic organization on the biologic scaffold. A decellularized animal model such as this one may be an attractive option for translational use, as it does not require human donor organs (Vermeulen et al., 2018). Further studies are needed to optimize ECM decellularization and to improve understanding of the organization and cellular behavior of each component of the healthy testis in an in vitro environment.

To demonstrate biocompatibility and feasibility of biologic scaffolds for in vivo implantation, decellularized rat testicular ECM was cultured with bone marrow derived MSCs followed by transplantation to several different anatomic locations within the rat (renal subcapsular, subcutaneous tissue, liver, and mesentery). Constructs implanted into liver and mesentery remained intact with demonstrated biocompatibility. Additionally, vascularized constructs contained multiple classes of non-germ-line testicular cells. This supports the potential to use in vivo models as functional bioreactors to achieve cellular differentiation of non-germ-line testicular cells (Kargar-Abarghouei et al., 2018).

De novo synthetic scaffolds in generation of testicular parenchymal components

While decellularized tissue matrices can provide a microenvironment conducive to differentiation and propagation of testicular germline and non-germline cells, future clinical implementation of decellularized tissue is limited by the challenge of scaling this technology for high volume tissue production. An alternative approach is to develop and apply de novo synthetic, polymer-based biomaterial scaffolds to support select cell lines. The inherent reproducibility and scalability make synthetic scaffold technology an attractive alternative.

An example of a hybrid biomaterial synthesized scaffold developed to support testosterone delivery was created by seeding a poly-1-lactic acid (PLLA) coated polyglycolic acid (PGA) polymer with chondrocytes to support cartilage development. Following an initial culture period, the scaffold
| Article | In vitro methods | In vivo methods |
|---------|-----------------|----------------|
| **Movassagh, 2020**<br>Human SSC (brain death donors, testes) | 1) 2D gelatin coated dishes 2) 3D decellularized sheep testis matrix (1% SDS) | Significantly higher SSC proliferation and differentiation to pre-meiotic (OCT4, PLZF), meiotic (SCP3, Boule), and post-meiotic (Creml, Post2) in 3D vs 2D cohort |
| **Vermeulen, 2018**<br>Human Sertoli cell | 1) 2D alone 2) indirect (2D) and 3) direct seeding (3D) of decellularized porcine prepubertal testicular matrix (SDS, Triton and Trypsin, varied concentrations and combinations) | Sertoli cells demonstrated greatest proliferation at d1 and SCF secretion at d18 with vs without presence of scaffold, round-shaped structures at d18 in certain specimens may represent seminiferous cord-like structure formation |
| **Kargar-Abarghouei, 2018**<br>Rat bone marrow MSC | 1) 2D monolayer 2) 3D decellularized rat testicular matrix (1% Triton–1% SDS-1% Dnase) | DAZL-negative cell proliferation in seminiferous tubules and interstitium, with site-specific ECM cell phenotypic differences (round or oval in STs vs fibroblast-like in interstitium) |
| **Raya-Rivera, 2008**<br>Bovine chondrocyte | 3D seeding, followed by rotating flask bioreactor; synthetic testicular shaped poly-L-lactide-co-glycolide (50:50) coated polyglycolic acid scaffold | Physiologic testosterone levels maintained in testosterone loaded scaffold cohort up to 40 weeks |
| **Dores and Dobrinski, 2014**<br>Porcine neonatal testicular cell lines | - | De novo tubule formation observed across cohorts; increased number of tubules containing spermagonia (proliferation) without increased neovascularization with VEGF165 |
| **Sun, 2018**<br>Human SSC and Sertoli cell lines, men with obstructive azospermia | 2D and 3D culture methods, SSC alone vs coculture with Sertoli cells, with vs without matrigel and media containing defined factors | - |
was loaded with testosterone enanthate. The scaffold sustained hormonal elution in vitro and in vivo, thereby demonstrating the potential to apply scaffolds to retain physiologic levels of intratesticular testosterone. Such hormonal-structural microenvironments will be critical to increase functionality and efficiency of spermatogenesis, (Raya-Rivera et al., 2008).

Another study aimed to investigate the role of a growth factor during testicular tissue formation and spermatogenesis in a synthetic scaffold. Varied concentrations of germline cells were seeded onto a Matrigel scaffold with and without added vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF-165), followed by subsequent implantation into castrate immunocompromised mice. Due to increased tubules with spermatogonia in growth factor containing constructs, this experiment led the authors to conclude that VEGF may have a protective role against transient hypoxia during testicular tissue formation and spermatogenesis (Dores and Dobrinski, 2014).

Further studies using Matrigel-based scaffolds indicated that these findings are not isolated to animal cell lines. Human SSCs differentiated into spermatids when cultured on a 3D scaffold. These spermatids then fertilized isolated mouse oocytes via ICSI (Sun et al., 2018). Taken together, synthetic scaffolds can significantly enhance the function of hybrid constructs and may play a role in future restoration of both endocrine and reproductive function for individuals lacking native functional testicular tissue.

**Discussion**

*In vitro* spermatogenesis has been a topic of growing interest in the field of stem cell biology. As in many areas of tissue engineering, success in early studies of induced spermatogenesis have been defined by their recapitulation of key components of the structure and biology of the testicular microenvironment. However, re-creating the *in vivo* milieu using synthetic approaches is a considerable challenge. The research studies evaluated in this mini-review focused on progress toward establishment of the testicular niche, resulting in differentiated germline and non-germline testicular cell lines derived from non-testicular cells. The ultimate goal of this work is to provide a new option for individuals who desire...
fertility consistent with a male gender identity without the requirement of testicular tissue.

The studies presented vary in key components that can inform future directions in testicular tissue engineering. One is their use of 46, XY progenitor cell line selection. iPSCs have been extensively studied and demonstrate particular promise, and this is anticipated regardless of 46, XX versus 46, XY source. Varied sources may however have divergent differentiation potential and this does require further evaluation. VSELs have high differentiation potential but are limited by relative scarcity and uncertainty regarding their reproductive potential. MSCs are an attractive option due to their ease of isolation and ubiquitous presence in adults. However, further evaluation of relative cell line source does require evaluation. Although future studies are needed, MSCs may be a feasible and optimal translational candidate, particularly as genetic alterations required by iPSCs may not be required in these cell lines. Future studies are needed to evaluate the use of 46, XX cell lines and the genetic alterations that may be required to generate each component of testicular parenchyma in an autologous manner using these sources.

Another critical aspect by which these studies varied was in cell and tissue culture practices, including their structural and biologic environments. Key areas of variation included the use of 2D or 3D culture, culture methods (e.g. air-liquid interface, drop culture, organoids), media selection and steps in its use, and the role that cell-cell interactions and the secretome of each cell in culture may have had in co-culture studies. Additionally, the epigenome of cells impacts the yield of their differentiation to higher levels and using pharmaco-epigenetic agents, the differentiation yield can be significantly influenced (Ishikura et al., 2021). Finally, it is important that the substrate and/or scaffold on which cells are differentiated recapitulates key components and structure of ECM, with multiple aspects requiring future research into their independent and combined effects (Smith and Ma, 2004).

As demonstrated in Figure 1, an ideal future prospect would be to combine the best performing cell line and scaffold-based culture in a manner that supports prolonged biologic function following cellular differentiation. The priority will be to achieve reproducible, efficient in vitro generation of fully differentiated haploid gametes from a non-testicular progenitor cell line derived from 46, XX or 46, XY individuals. To achieve this, seeding in a 3D environment using a scaffold that emulates key components of the healthy testis microenvironment and structure will be invaluable, including promotion of cell-cell interactions. The resulting structure can be adapted for auto-transplantation, thereby supporting gametogenesis and endocrine functions of a healthy testis in an autologous, biocompatible manner. While this prospect is currently out of reach, several key independent functions of the ultimate structure have been demonstrated, including maintenance of testosterone physiological concentration, cell differentiation of progenitor cells into both germine and non-germinal cells using a biological scaffold, and testis-like structural support generation for progenitor cells transplanted into host animals. As this work proceeds, it will be key to evaluate each step for its potential short- and long-term effects on epigenetic and genetic stability and transmission for effective and safe biologic reproduction for all individuals, regardless of gonadal presence or gender identity.
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