Germline mutation rates and the long-term phenotypic effects of mutation accumulation in wild-type laboratory mice and mutator mice
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The germline mutation rate is an important parameter that affects the amount of genetic variation and the rate of evolution. However, neither the rate of germline mutations in laboratory mice nor the biological significance of the mutation rate in mammalian populations is clear. Here we studied genome-wide mutation rates and the long-term effects of mutation accumulation on phenotype in more than 20 generations of wild-type C57BL/6 mice and mutator mice, which have high DNA replication error rates. We estimated the base-substitution mutation rate to be $5.4 \times 10^{-9}$ (95% confidence interval = $4.6 \times 10^{-9}$–$6.5 \times 10^{-9}$) per nucleotide per generation in C57BL/6 laboratory mice, about half the rate reported in humans. The mutation rate in mutator mice was 17 times that in wild-type mice. Abnormal phenotypes were 4.1-fold more frequent in the mutator lines than in the wild-type lines. After several generations, the mutator mice reproduced at substantially lower rates than the controls, exhibiting low pregnancy rates, lower survival rates, and smaller litter sizes, and many of the breeding lines died out. These results provide fundamental information about mouse genetics and reveal the impact of germline mutation rates on phenotypes in a mammalian population.

[Supplemental material is available for this article.]

Germline mutations are the ultimate source of congenital diseases, individual phenotypic variations, and evolutionary phenotypic changes. The per generation de novo mutation rate affects genetic variability and the speed of evolution (Kimura 1983; Drake et al. 1998). Advancements in high-throughput sequencing have made it possible to determine the mutation rates in various organisms. For example, the mean germline base-substitution mutation rate is calculated at $1.2 \times 10^{-8}$ per nucleotide per generation for humans (Conrad et al. 2011; Kong et al. 2012; Campbell and Eichler 2013), $1.2 \times 10^{-8}$ for chimpanzees (Venn et al. 2014), 2.8 $\times 10^{-8}$ for Drosophila melanogaster (Keightley et al. 2014), and 2.7 $\times 10^{-9}$ for Caenorhabditis elegans (Denver et al. 2009). The per generation mutation rate varies between species (Lynch 2010a) and within them; for example, the rate in humans varies several-fold between individuals, and is influenced by age, sex, and other genetic or environmental factors (Conrad et al. 2011; Kong et al. 2012; Campbell and Eichler 2013).

More recently, the importance of understanding the risks and effects of germline mutagens, such as environmental chemicals and radiation, on the future health of animal populations, including humans, has become clear (Yauk et al. 2015). However, only limited information about the long-term effects of mutation-rate differences is currently available. For example, we know that an increased mutation rate will increase the frequency of congenital disease. However, the overall phenotypic effects of accumulated mutations on future populations living under higher mutation-rate conditions are largely unknown. Furthermore, the range of germline mutation rates that will permit the long-term survival of mammalian populations is unclear. Thus, here we established a new experimental model for assessing germline mutation rates and their phenotypic effects on future populations living under higher mutation-rate conditions.

For this model, we raised two lines of mice, wild-type C57BL/6 mice (control mice) and homozygous Pold1<sup>exo/exo</sup> mice (mutator mice), for more than 20 generations with phenotypic inspection, and established a set of mutation accumulation (MA) lines. Pold1<sup>exo/exo</sup> mice lack the 3’-5’ exonuclease activity of DNA polymerase delta (of which a catalytic subunit is encoded by the Pold1 gene) on a C57BL/6 background (Uchimura et al. 2009) and have a high rate of DNA replication errors. DNA polymerase delta, a major enzyme in DNA replication and genome maintenance, contributes to faithful DNA synthesis, mainly lagging-strand synthesis, through its intrinsic 3’-5’ exonuclease proofreading activity (Burgers 2009; Prindle and Loeb 2012). Disrupting its exonuclease
activity increases the spontaneous mutation (mainly base-substitution) rates in yeast (Simon et al. 1991) and mouse somatic cells (Albertson et al. 2009) and increases tumor susceptibility in mice (Goldsby et al. 2002) and humans (Palles et al. 2013). In the current study, ~30% of the mutator mice died from thymic lymphoma at 3–8 mo of age, but the tumor rate did not change over the generations studied. The mice were bred by one-to-one natural mating between siblings, without artificial selection. At the time of this writing, we had studied up to 24 generations of these breeding lines (Fig. 1).

For the current study, we performed whole-genome sequencing of the mouse MA lines and estimated the per generation mutation rate in the control and mutator mice. This is the first application of high-throughput sequencing to determine the spontaneous germline mutation rate of wild-type mice and provides the first direct estimate of a genome-wide germline mutation rate in laboratory mice. We also recorded detailed phenotypic data through several generations and found that the different germline mutation rates between the mutator and control mice had a significant impact on the health of their descendants. Our findings indicate that the combination of genome sequencing and MA analyses in mice is a promising tool for understanding the biological significance of mutation rate and de novo mutations in a population at the whole-genome level.

Results

Detection of de novo mutations in breeding lines

To determine the per generation mutation rate and nature of the de novo mutations, we detected de novo mutations in four MA lines (two control lines: conA and conB; two mutator lines: mutC and mutD), where “de novo” mutations are those that arose during our breeding experiment. First, we sequenced the whole genome for seven mice at a >40x average coverage, using an Illumina sequencer, and mapped the data to the C57BL/6J mm10 database as a reference. The seven mice included one male from each of the four MA lines (conA, conB, mutC, and mutD), the original male and female pair (see Fig. 1, Adam/Eve) for the mutC and mutD lines, and one male from the mutator MA line mutE, which was derived from a different original pair of mutator mice than mutC and mutD (shown in Fig. 1). The mutE mouse was used to evaluate the frequency of recurrent genetic alterations, including single-nucleotide variant mutations (SNVs) and small insertion/deletion-type variant mutations (indels), resulting from a nonrandom mutagenesis process in the mutator mice (shown in the Supplemental Information).

To obtain credible comparisons between mutator and control mice, we analyzed high-quality sequenced autosomal effective whole-genome coverage (EWC) regions (see Methods) of the two Adam/Eve mice and the four mice from the conA, conB, mutC, and mutD lines. The SNVs and indels were analyzed in two different EWC regions, because conditions required to accurately detect indels are more stringent than for SNVs. These regions covered 61.6% (for SNVs) and 39.1% (for indels) of the total autosomal region and 71.6% (for SNVs) and 70.4% (for indels) of the autosomal coding sequences in the reference genome (details in Supplemental Table S1).

We detected SNVs and indels using SAMtools/BCFtools (Li et al. 2009). Candidate de novo mutations in the mutator lines were selected by comparing variant calls in mutC or mutD with those in the ancestral Adam/Eve pair; this eliminated artifacts caused by misalignment to the reference sequence, as well as unique ancestral variants (details in Supplemental Table S2). We also filtered variants called in the conA and conB lines using those from the mutator Adam and Eve, rather than the ancestral pair of the control lines. The initial variants derived from the control ancestral mice that were not completely removed by this filter were subsequently assessed by Sanger sequencing using the genomes of the original male–female pair (generation 0) for conA and conB.

We found considerably fewer candidate de novo SNVs in the control lines (211 and 235 homozygous and 105 and 103 heterozygous variants in lines conA and conB, respectively, which is expected to include some initial variants from the original mice in these lines) than in the mutator lines (1304 and 1472 homozygous and 1944 and 1633 heterozygous variants in lines mutC and mutD, respectively) within the EWC region, and these were distributed throughout the autosomal chromosomes (Supplemental Fig. S1). To estimate the rate of true de novo mutations other than false positives due to miscalls and the initial variants from the original mice in conA and conB, a total of 165 de novo SNV candidates (to validate 10 homozygous and 30 heterozygous candidates per sequenced individual) were randomly selected for Sanger sequencing (Supplemental Table S3). Although five candidates failed to be sequenced due to poor PCR amplification or sequencing analysis conditions, the other 160 candidates were successfully sequenced and confirmed in the sequenced individuals, indicating that
our high-throughput sequencing analysis was very reliable.

To detect de novo SNVs without missing true mutations, we assessed the false-negative rate by a synthetic point mutation approach, in which mutations were simulated by altering the sequence read data (Keightley et al. 2014). This analysis indicated that the rate of false negatives was very low in our pipeline: Of a total of 4504 homozygous synthetic mutations, none was missing, and of 4504 heterozygous mutations generated by binomial distribution in the EWC region, only two were missing (0.04%; for details, see Supplemental Information). In addition, considering that the frequency distribution of the called de novo mutations (Supplemental Fig. S2) was clearly different between homozygotes and heterozygotes and that the frequency of the heterozygous variants was symmetrical with respect to 50%, similar to the theoretically expected binomial distribution, the miscalling and false-negative rates in our pipeline appeared to be very low.

Our detection of de novo variants with few sequencing errors and few missing variants was highly accurate compared with previous reports (Keane et al. 2011; Simon et al. 2013) in which the whole genome of C57BL/6N mice was sequenced. Our higher accuracy might have been due to our better analysis conditions—100-bp (or 150-bp) paired-end sequencing with greater coverage; an updated reference sequence (mm10 database); the choice of more sequence-amenable regions (EWC regions) for analysis, which were strictly selected; and more efficient filtering out of sequencing errors using the Adam/Eve samples—compared with those used in previous reports (for details, see Supplemental Information).

Similarly, de novo indels were also examined in the EWC region. There were fewer indel than SNV candidates. As with SNVs, fewer candidate variants were called in the control lines (10 and 12 homozygous and five and three heterozygous variants in lines conA and conB, respectively, of which the homozygous variants is expected to include some initial variants from the original mice) than in the mutator lines (28 and 21 homozygous and 28 and 37 heterozygous variants in lines mutC and mutD, respectively). Randomly selected variant validation by Sanger sequencing identified three initial variants in a total of 14 tested variants in conA and conB, as well as only one sequencing error in a total of 26 checked variants (Supplemental Table S3).

### Estimation of germline mutation rates

We estimated the per generation mutation rate from the number of accumulated homozygous or heterozygous de novo mutations, using the expected coalescent time for two alleles in a sequenced individual (Supplemental Fig. S3). In the control mice, there was close agreement among the four SNV mutation rate estimates based on the number of heterozygous and homozygous variants in the conA and conB lines, although some variability was observed in the de novo homozygous-derived mutation rate (Table 1). This variability may have been due to the small number of variants checked in the base population. By use of the mean of the two heterozygous-derived mutation rates, we estimated the base-substitution mutation rate to be $5.4 \times 10^{-9}$ (95% confidence interval [CI] = $4.6 \times 10^{-9}$–$6.5 \times 10^{-9}$) per nucleotide per generation. For the de novo indel mutations, the estimated per generation indel rate in wild-type mice was $3.1 \times 10^{-10}$ (95% CI = $1.2 \times 10^{-10}$–$6.4 \times 10^{-10}$), which was 5.7% of the SNV rate; note that there were differences in the EWC regions used for SNV and indel, and the estimation of the indel rate was based on fewer de novo variants than that of the SNV rate (Table 1).

Our estimated SNV mutation rate in control mice was 15% of the previous estimate for laboratory mice, $3.7 \times 10^{-9}$, which was

### Table 1. Estimated per generation mutation rates in mice

|          | Homozygous | Heterozygous | Final generation | Overall |
|----------|------------|--------------|------------------|---------|
|          | No. | Rate ($\times 10^{-9}$) (95% CI) | No. | Rate ($\times 10^{-9}$) (95% CI) | Rate ($\times 10^{-9}$) | Rate (95% CI) |
| SNV      |     |               |                 |         |                       |               |
| conA     | 63.3a| 3.4 (2.6–4.4)a | 101.5          | 5.7 (4.4–7.3) | 6.9                  | 5.4 $\times 10^{-9}$ (4.6–6.5, $\times 10^{-9}$) |
| conB     | 117.5a| 5.1 (4.3–6.2)a | 92.7           | 5.2 (4.0–6.7)  | 6.8                  |               |
| mutC     | 1304 | 84.3 (76.1–94.5) | 1944          | 110.6 (94.0–132.5) | 150                | 9.4 $\times 10^{-9}$ (9.0–9.8, $\times 10^{-9}$) |
| mutD     | 1472 | 86.9 (79.1–96.6) | 1633          | 92.3 (78.6–110.5) | 90                  |               |
| Indel    |     |               |                 |         |                       |               |
| conA     | 6.7a | 0.57 (0.22–1.20)a | 4             | 0.35 (0.10–0.91) | —                   | 3.1 $\times 10^{-10}$ (1.2–6.4, $\times 10^{-10}$) |
| conB     | 4a   | 0.28 (0.08–0.71)a | 3             | 0.36 (0.05–0.77) | —                   |               |
| mutC     | 28   | 2.9 (1.9–4.1)   | 28            | 2.5 (1.7–3.6)   | —                   | 2.7 $\times 10^{-9}$ (2.2–3.2, $\times 10^{-9}$) |
| mutD     | 21   | 2.0 (1.2–3.0)   | 37            | 3.3 (2.3–4.5)   | —                   |               |

Mutation rates per nucleotide per generation were estimated using the number of homozygous or heterozygous de novo mutations in conA, conB, mutC, and mutD. The estimates for SNVs were validated by counting newly arisen mutations in the final generation. The number of de novo mutations in conA and conB was partly adjusted for the frequency of true de novo variants; 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated by computer simulation or Poisson distribution error analysis of the number of mutations (details in Supplemental Methods).

*aNote that homozygous variant numbers in control lines were uncertain due to the low ability to discriminate between de novo and initial variants; these values were not used in the estimates for the overall rate.
obtained using a specific locus test (SLT) and in vivo reporter transgenic mouse approaches (Lynch 2010a). Taking the indel mutation rate into consideration, our estimate was still 16% of the previous one. This rate difference was probably due to the different genomic regions analyzed; the loci targeted by SLT and the transgenic mouse approaches may have up-wardly biased the mutation rates compared with the rate for the whole genome. On the other hand, the base-substitution rate was slightly higher than a previously reported phylogenetic estimate of ∼3.1 × 10⁻⁹ (at non-CpG sites) in rodents (Eory et al. 2010).

Data from the mutator mice yielded four estimates that were in substantial agreement. The overall mutation rate was 9.4 × 10⁻⁸ (95% CI = 9.0 × 10⁻⁸–9.8 × 10⁻⁸) for SNV and 2.7 × 10⁻⁹ (95% CI = 2.2 × 10⁻⁹–3.2 × 10⁻⁹) for indel. These rates were 17.2-fold (for SNV) and 8.6-fold (for indel) higher than the mutation rates in the control mice. These differences were smaller than the 60-fold increased revertant mutation rate reported in cultured mouse Pold1exo/EXO fibroblasts and higher than the threefold increased mutant frequency obtained by in vivo cII reporter transgene assay in Pold1exo/EXO mouse somatic tissues (Albertson et al. 2009). The per generation mutator mouse SNV mutation rate was intermediate between the rate (5.4 × 10⁻⁸) of wild-type mice and the known rate (8.0 × 10⁻⁷) of mice treated with the widely used chemical mut-agen N-ethyl-N-nitrosourea (ENU) (Table 2; Keays et al. 2006; Gondo et al. 2009).

Our estimates presupposed unbiased chromosomal segregation and the absence of any selective pressure on each mutation during the serial inbreeding term. However, according to our data (see next section), some portion of the mutations was suspected to be subject to purifying selection, which could have caused the mutation rate to be underestimated. To evaluate such effects on our estimated mutation rates, we determined the rates using another rough approach, a final-generation approach, that did not require the above assumptions. In this approach, we counted the number of SNVs that arose during the final generation of the 30 heterozygous de novo variants analyzed in the validation experiment, extrapolated the residual variants, and estimated the per generation mutation rate (Supplemental Table S3). The mutation-rate estimates of wild-type and mutator mice using this approach were in similar ranges as the original estimates (Table 1). Although a somewhat higher mutation rate (1.5 × 10⁻⁷) was obtained using the final-generation approach for the mutator heterozygous mutations than the other estimates in mutator mice, it could be explained by the relatively long generation span (264 days) of the parents of the whole-genome-sequenced mutC individual (Supplemental Table S4). The per generation mutation rate is known to increase with parental age, possibly as a result of increased cell division (DNA replication) in the paternal germline (Crow 2000; Kong et al. 2012). In any case, the high consistency of the estimates generated by the two approaches indicated that the mutation rate was nearly constant throughout the breeding term and that most of the de novo mutations were neutral. These findings also indicated that our estimates of the per generation mutation rates in mice were accurate, although we could not rule out some effects of selective pressure and biased chromosomal segregation.

The spectrum of de novo SNVs observed is shown in Supplemental Table S5. In control mice, nucleotide transitions occurred approximately 2.1 times more frequently than transversions. As CpG-containing sites are known mutational hot spots in mam-mals, due to the oxidative deamination of methylated cytosines, the rate of total CpG-site substitutions was 16.8-times higher than that of non-CpG substitutions. The rate of change from strong (G:C) to weak (A:T) base pairs was 3.9-times higher than in the opposite direction, indicating that the GC content (≈42.4% in the EWC region) might not be in equilibrium in wild-type mice or that GC-biased gene conversion may play an important role in maintaining the equilibrium (Duret and Galtier 2009). These tendencies are similar to those reported for human de novo mutations (Kong et al. 2012). In the mutator mice lacking the proofreading activity of replicational DNA synthesis by DNA polymerase delta, all of the base-substitution types of mutations occurred with greater frequency than in control mice, and the transversion rate and non-CpG-site mutation rate, which are kept low in wild-type mice, were markedly increased. The increased transversion rate was consistent with previous results using Pold1exo/EXO and wild-type mouse somatic cells (Albertson et al. 2009). No G:C to A:T bias was found in the mutator mice; instead, there was a slight increase in the opposite direction. For indels, many of the de novo mutations in both the control and mutator MA lines were detected at sites with repetitive sequence elements, and de novo insertions of A:T base pairs were markedly increased in the mutator mice (Supplemental Table S6).

Although the relatively large number of accumulated de novo mutations and the highly accurate detection of them supported our estimates, the actual per generation mutation rate for the entire genome may be higher. This is because the EWC regions are practical sites for whole-genome sequencing analysis, and the rest of the genome includes complex regions that are difficult for DNA polymerases to access and are presumably more mutable. The indel mutation rate in particular may have been underestimat-ed, since more repeat sequences, which are mutable regions for

| Table 2. Mammalian mutation rates and their effects on survival |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Mutation rate (×10⁻⁹/bp) | Total mutations (/diploid) | Deleterious mutations (/diploid) | Required genetic death ratio |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Human                    | 1.2 (Kong et al. 2012)      | 68                              | 2.1                         | 0.88                        |
| Chimpanzee               | 1.2 (Venn et al. 2014)      | –68                             | –2.1                        | –0.88                       |
| Mouse                    |                             |                                 |                             |                             |
| Wild type                | 0.54                        | 28                              | 0.96                        | 0.62                        |
| Pold1exo/EXO             | 9.4                         | 489                             | 16.7                        | ≈1.00 (1–6 × 10⁻⁸)          |
| TOY-KO                   | 20 (Ohno et al. 2014)       | 1046                            | 35.7                        | ≈1.00 (1–3 × 10⁻⁸)          |
| ENU-treated              | 80 (Gondo et al. 2009)      | 2105                            | 71.9                        | ≈1.00 (1–6 × 10⁻³)          |

Mutation rates are per nucleotide per generation. The number of per generation de novo mutations was calculated from whole genomes per diploid; in ENU-treated mice, de novo mutations were expected to be induced in the male germline and to occur at the normal rate in the female germline. The estimated number of deleterious mutations per diploid genome per generation (U) was from related studies (Mouse Genome Sequencing Consortium et al. 2002; Watanabe et al. 2004; Eory et al. 2010; Lesecque et al. 2012). Required genetic death ratios (L) were calculated under conditions in which mutational effects occurred in a multiplicative manner (L = 1 – e⁻L) (Kimura and Maruyama 1966).
indels, were excluded from our analysis of the EWC region for indels than for SNVs.

Assuming that mutations are inherited in a neutral fashion, the number of accumulated de novo SNVs in a genome is predicted to increase with the number of generations, as shown in Supplemental Fig. S4. In our study, mutator mice bred for 20 generations gave rise to 6335 SNVs (the sum of the numbers of heterozygous and homozygous variants). This is much higher than the estimated number of mutations induced by ENU treatment (2105 SNVs) (Gondo et al. 2009) or the number of de novo SNVs predicted to distinguish the original C57 mouse stock (Russell 1978) from the C57BL/6J strain currently distributed by Jackson Laboratory (3292 SNVs, calculated using our estimated mutation rate and the known generation number, F226). Considering that our comparison of the variant data between the mutC/mutD lines and the mutE line indicated that the frequency of recurrent mutations in the mutator mice was low (details in Supplemental Information), the long-term breeding of mutator mice is a promising method for enhancing mutagenesis across the entire genome.

**Long-term phenotypic effects of mutation rates**

The difference in mutation rates between the wild-type and mutator mice had several long-term effects on phenotypes. First, there were 4.1 times more visible abnormalities in the mutator lines (11.0%, n = 6229) than in the control lines (2.7%, n = 1649; Fisher’s exact test; \( P = 9.2 \times 10^{-12} \)). Phenotypic variations included mutants with human-audible vocalizations (Supplemental Movie S1), shortened limbs and tail, or dilut ed coat color (Fig. 1; Supplemental Fig. S5; Supplemental Table S7). The frequency of anomalies in the mutator line (particularly hydrocephaly and minor color-variation phenotypes) increased at a rate of 0.68% per generation (95% CI = 0.55%–0.81%; \( P = 1.1 \times 10^{-16} \)) (Fig. 2), suggesting some relationship between increased anomalies and the accumulation of mutations (Supplemental Fig. S4). The frequency of abnormal phenotypes varied between independent mutator breeding lines, suggesting that breeding line-specific mutations were present (Supplemental Table S8). While these abnormal phenotypes did not always exhibit clear Mendelian-like inheritance, we observed several inheritance patterns. For example, we identified a causative recessive base-substitution mutation in the human-audible vocalizer mutant mouse (data not shown). Mutations causing coat-color dilution and syndactylyism both exhibited recessive trait inheritance. Although mutations causing priapism and a shortened tail and limbs appeared only in closely related populations, these traits did not follow simple Mendelian-like inheritance; these may be multifactorial or low-penetrance mutations. Since mice with abnormal phenotypes often reproduced at a low rate, some de novo mutations may have been removed by purifying selection during the breeding process.

After several generations of breeding, mutation rates can be reflected in body weight, which is a polygenic trait. Although there was no specific artificial selection for body weight in the breeding, we found several statistically significant differences in the average weight (8-wk-old mice) between the breeding lines (Fig. 3A, Supplemental Fig. S6A). The mean body weight of all the measured mutator mice tended to decrease as the number of generations rose (\( P = 1.1 \times 10^{-14} \) in males, and \( P = 4.1 \times 10^{-18} \) in females) (Fig. 3B; Supplemental Fig. S6B), with an estimated loss of 0.115 g in males (95% CI = 0.086–0.144) and 0.090 g in females (95% CI = 0.070–0.110) per generation. In contrast, the weight was fairly stable from generation to generation in the control mice (\( P = 0.14 \), per generation weight loss = 0.032 g [95% CI = −0.010–0.075] in males, and \( P = 0.092 \), per generation weight loss = 0.028 g [95% CI = −0.004–0.061] in females; note that the investigated generation number was smaller than that of the mutator lines). Related to this weight-decreasing tendency, many developmental delays were observed among the mutator mice, particularly in lines with high numbers of generations (Fig. 3C).

The most striking phenotypic difference between mutator and control mice was in their reproductive capacity. Although the ability of control mice to reproduce remained almost constant through the generations (\( P = 0.84 \), with a linear fit), the ability of mutator mice decreased markedly with generation number (\( P = 4.3 \times 10^{-4} \), with a linear fit), with the average number of offspring per mating decreasing by 0.042 (95% CI = 0.014–0.071) per generation (Fig. 4A). Notably, this tendency was nonlinear: It was most evident in the first few breeding generations, indicating the importance of the effects of recessive mutations. We tested one of the simplest models, the recessive lethal mutation model proposed by Dr. Lyon (Lyon 1959), which considers only the effect of lethal mutations, the results suggested that the reduced reproductive ability of control mice to reproduce remained almost constant through the generations (\( P = 0.84 \), with a linear fit), the ability of mutator mice decreased markedly with generation number (\( P = 4.3 \times 10^{-4} \), with a linear fit), with the average number of offspring per mating decreasing by 0.042 (95% CI = 0.014–0.071) per generation (Fig. 4A). Notably, this tendency was nonlinear: It was most evident in the first few breeding generations, indicating the importance of the effects of recessive mutations. We tested one of the simplest models, the recessive lethal mutation model proposed by Dr. Lyon (Lyon 1959), which considers only the effect of completely lethal de novo recessive mutations. This model fit our data better (Akaike information criterion [AIC] = 3790.3) (Akaike 1974) than a simple linear model (AIC = 3803.3) and suggested that 1.98 lethal mutations occurred per generation per diploid genome (95% CI = 1.14–2.81; \( P = 5.1 \times 10^{-17} \)) in the mutator lines. Although there are many possible causes besides the effect of lethal mutations, the results suggested that the reduced reproductive ability was caused by deleterious de novo mutations that were mostly recessive. These results also indicated that after the first several generations of mutator mouse breeding, an equilibrium between the occurrence of deleterious de novo mutations and a purifying selection against such mutations was established.

Reproductive ability was decreased in the mutator lines due to lower birth rates per mating, smaller litter sizes at post-natal day 0 (PD), and a higher rate of post-natal death (Supplemental Table S9). Consistent with these findings, we observed many cases of oligozoospermia and pre- and post-natal death (including pups neglect ed by their mother) in the mutator breeding lines (data not shown). These findings suggested that the effects of deleterious mutations that accumulated in the mutator MA lines included not only the pre- and post-natal lethality of individuals but also...
sterility and impaired rearing ability in the parental mice. As with the abnormal phenotypes and body weight, the extent of the reproductive phenotype varied among the mutator breeding lines (Supplemental Table S10).

Accumulated mutations and phenotypes in the breeding lines

In the MA lines, whole-genome sequencing analysis showed many functionally suspected de novo mutations that accumulated in coding regions, noncoding RNAs, and untranslated regions (Supplemental Table S11). Of these mutations, all of the de novo nonsynonymous mutations observed in the EWC region are shown in Supplemental Table S12. About 80% of them occurred at sites that are conserved among species (80% [64/80] in mutator lines, 78% [7/9] in control lines). Protein variation effect analyzer (PROVEAN) predictions (Choi et al. 2012) indicated that 60% of these mutations had deleterious effects on protein function (54% [43/80] in mutator lines, 67% [6/9] in control lines). Notably, we found more than 10 suspected deleterious amino acid substitutions in genes whose knockouts are associated with embryonic or post-natal lethality, sterility, or developmental retardation, accumulated in a single mouse from one of the mutator MA lines.

Among the variants, we focused on three significant mutations observed as heterozygous SNVs in the mutD line, and investigated their inheritance history: two stop-gain SNVs, one in the integrin alpha 8 (Itga8) gene and the other in the T-cell activation inhibitor mitochondrial (Tcaim) gene, and one SNV abolishing the start codon in the parathyroid hormone 2 (Pth2) gene (resulting in the deletion of five amino acids from Pth2) (Fig. 4B; Supplemental Fig. S7). In the descendants of the sequenced individual in line mutD, those with homozygous mutations in Tcaim and Pth2 appeared normal and were fertile, indicating that such mutations did not have serious deleterious effects. In contrast, the mutation in Itga8 may have been partially responsible for the mutD line’s poor ability to reproduce (Supplemental Table S10), since no homozygotes of this mutation were observed among 26 offspring obtained from the heterozygous intercross (Fig. 4B). The lack of homozygous Itga8 individuals is consistent with the post-natal lethal phenotype of Itga8 KO mice (Muller et al. 1997), and this example suggests that certain recessive lethal mutations are associated with reduced reproductive ability.

In addition to the de novo mutations examined here, other types of mutations or environmental effects may have contributed to the phenotypes observed in the MA lines. Although we could not show them clearly due to the lack of a validation experiment, many candidate de novo SNVs and indels were also called in chromosomal regions, including sex chromosomes, outside of the EWC region. A single candidate de novo heteroplasmic SNV was also identified in the mitochondrial genome in the mutC line. We used BreakDancer software (Chen et al. 2009) to look for de novo deletion-type structural variants, and found two such deletions (204 bp and 1013 bp) in the mutD line (Supplemental Table S13). Karyotype analyses did not reveal any chromosomal abnormalities in either the control or mutator MA lines (Supplemental Fig. S8). Although we could not completely rule out the possibility that phenotypic changes in the mutator mice resulted from genetic variations in the base populations, it is more likely that most of the phenotypic changes were due to a higher mutation rate (Supplemental Information).

Mice in the mutator lines often did not care well for their pups, and this behavior was associated with a decrease in the pups’ survival rate (the percentage of pups reaching 8 wk of age) (Supplemental Table S9). The major phenotypic changes in body weight and reproductive capacity observed in mutator mice are known to be affected by environmental factors, especially maternal effects and litter size (Falconer and Mackay 1996). Thus, many of the phenotypic changes described here may have resulted from complex interactions between accumulated genetic variations and environmental factors.

Discussion

The germline mutation rate is a fundamental parameter in genetics and evolutionary biology studies. However, information is still
lacking about this rate and the resultant phenotypic effects of MA on individuals in future populations living under higher mutation-rate conditions. Here, we determined the spontaneous germ-line mutation rate and its spectrum in wild-type laboratory (C57BL/6) and Pold1<sup>exo/exo</sup> mouse by the whole-genome sequencing of MA lines, and we examined the phenotypes of these mice over several generations.

To date, whole-genome sequencing in mammals has been used only to determine the germline base-substitution mutation rates for humans (Conrad et al. 2011; Kong et al. 2012; Campbell and Eichler 2013) and chimpanzees (Venn et al. 2014). The mutation spectra exhibit similar features (e.g., transition type substitutions occur dominantly over transversion ones, CpG sites are mutable, G:C-to-A:T mutation bias is present) in humans, chimpanzees, and the mice presented here, indicating that the molecular mechanisms of germline mutagenesis are conserved among mammals. However, the mutation rate in mice was lower than in the other two species (Table 2). This lower rate may be partly explained by the shorter generation times and/or smaller number of germ cell divisions per generation in rodents versus apes (Drost and Lee 1995) or by the greater effect of selective pressure on the mutation rate of rodents living in a natural environment, due to their larger effective population size compared with that of apes (Lynch 2010a).

Our findings that the mutator MA lines showed a 17.2-fold increase in the SNV rate and 8.6-fold increase in the indel rate compared with the control lines illuminated the significance of the spontaneous germline mutation rate in wild-type mice. Although a higher per generation mutation rate is expected to generate more frequent phenotypic anomalies and a greater mutational load (Lyon 1959), as well as quantitative phenotypic variances (including body weight and bone length) (Casellas 2011), we actually found that in the *Pold1<sup>exo/exo</sup>* mutator MA lines (1) abnormal phenotypes appeared 4.1 times more frequently than in control lines, (2) quantitative traits changed on a larger scale between and within each line, and (3) the reproductive capacity was considerably reduced. The decrease of the reproductive ability made it difficult to maintain the inbred strains. The mean number of offspring in the mutator MA lines after several generations fell to 36% of that of the starting population (generations 0–2) (Supplemental Table S9). Furthermore, only a small fraction (18% on average) of the sister-brother matings in the MA lines could produce litters including both sexes. In addition, since mice with early death phenotypes (hydrocephaly, tumors, or other pathological phenotypes, which often caused death after 8 wk of age) were excluded from our analysis of reproductive capability, the condition of the mutator breeding colony as a whole was worse than the above description. Indeed, this low reproductive capacity caused many of the lines, including the mutC line used for sequencing analysis, to become extinct (Fig. 1), and we had limited success in maintaining the mutator MA lines for 24 or more generations, at the time of this writing. These findings suggest that the mutation rate of the mutator mice was close to the upper limit for the practical maintenance of an inbred laboratory mouse population by natural full-sib mating. This value is supported by the finding that TOY-KO mice, which lack three genes involved in repairing oxidative DNA damage and preventing mutations, have a base-substitution mutation rate that is approximately twice that of the *Pold1<sup>exo/exo</sup>* mice (Table 2) and become extinct within eight generations due to progressively decreasing fitness (Ohno et al. 2014). On the other hand, cell population analysis suggested that a 10,000-fold increase in the mutation rate is the upper limit for cell proliferation in diploid yeast and, presumably, in mouse cells (Herr et al. 2011, 2014). Our findings suggest that a much lower mutation rate is the permissible maximum value for maintaining an animal population compared with a cell population.

The tolerable range of mutation rates for human populations is a matter of ongoing discussion. The mutational load theory (Muller 1950; Kimura and Maruyama 1966) proposed that each de novo deleterious allele would ultimately be extinguished from populations as one “genetic death,” irrespective of the mutation’s fitness effects, if the human population were to be sustainable. According to this theory, recent estimates of the per generation mutation rate (1.2 × 10<sup>-8</sup>) and of the frequency of deleterious alleles in human mutations mean that an individual would have
a reproduction failure rate of at least 88% due to “genetic death” (Table 2), which seems inconsistent with the low rate of human reproduction (Kondrashov and Crow 1993; Eyre-Walker and Keightley 1999). Several theoretical models—such as selection prior to birth (including neonatal death and prezygotic selection) (Reed and Aquadro 2006); synergistic epistasis (Kimura and Maruyama 1966); and truncation selection, a more efficient form of directional selection (Crow and Kimura 1979)—have been proposed as mechanisms for removing deleterious mutations. In addition, a recent study proposed that the relative fitness model resolves such a mutational load problem in humans (Lesecque et al. 2012). However, since we still have limited knowledge about the distribution of the fitness effects of each de novo mutation and how natural selection against deleterious mutations actually works in our population, it is difficult to foresee the net phenotypic consequence of high mutation rates in humans (Lynch 2010b). In our presented study, the extremely small population size (n = 2) for inbred laboratory mice means that natural selection is largely inoperative, which also limits meaningful comparisons between humans and mice. However, our observation that a mutation rate eight times that of the mean human rate caused deleterious effects in a laboratory mouse population after several generations highlights the importance of assessing the risk of germline mutagenesis in human populations.

Our estimated mutation rates from highly accurate whole-genome sequencing provide useful information for further studies on germline mutagenesis and on mammalian genetics. The baseline spontaneous mutation rate in laboratory mice is important for maintaining genetically stable inbred mouse strains in genetic studies and for assessing the mutagenic effects of environmental chemicals and irradiation. On the other hand, our established mutator mouse MA lines, in addition to revealing the capacity of laboratory mice to accumulate mutations, provide a new mutant mouse resource. Our results also showed that whole-genome sequencing analysis combined with mouse MA line studies, including mutator MA lines, is an effective new tool with which to study the physiological functions and maintenance mechanisms of de novo mutations in a population (Supplemental Fig. S9). These studies may help elucidate the future risk of mutagens in mammalian germline and the mechanisms of evolutionary processes in mammals.

**Methods**

**Animals**

C57BL/6j mice (JAX mice from Charles River) were used as wild-type control mice and as the background for generating the mutator PoldI<sup>exo/exo</sup> line (details in Supplemental Information) (Uchimura et al. 2009). The mice were maintained at a constant temperature (22 ± 1°C) in a 12-h:12-h light/dark cycle, with ad libitum food and water access. The housing environment and diet were constant throughout the experiment. All experiments were approved by the Animal Committee of Osaka University and were performed in accordance with the 1996 National Institutes of Health guidelines.

**Mouse breeding and initial phenotypic screening**

At 4 wk of age, pups were weaned and assessed for any visible phenotypic anomalies. At 8 wk of age, pups were weighed and assessed again for phenotypic anomalies, and tail tips were collected and preserved at −80°C for genomic DNA analysis. All capable females were bred in a one-to-one natural mating with a male sibling over a 1-wk period, and mating was repeated as necessary to obtain the required number of mice. Sibling males were selected arbitrarily. Mice with prostration (possibly due to thymic lymphoma or hydrocephaly) were not used. Details about phenotypic analysis, treating abnormalities, body weight, and reproductive ability are shown in the Supplemental Methods.

In each mutator line, the first homozygous PoldI<sup>exo/exo</sup> mating pair was defined as the 0th generation. Lines that were derived from different origins, or separated from the previous breeding line by more than 10 generations, were defined as distinct breeding lines. To maintain the mutE line, we performed a one-time exceptional breeding between the fourth and sixth generations (its resultant populations were treated as the sixth generation, as shown in Fig. 1) because we were missing a male–female mating pair within the littermates in August 2009.

**Whole-genome sequencing analysis**

**Sequencing reactions, mapping, and variant calling**

DNA for whole-genome sequencing was extracted from tail tissue using the Qiagen DNeasy blood and tissue kit. Paired-end libraries were prepared using the Illumina TruSeq sample prep kit according to the Illumina user manual. The Adam, Eve, and mutC sequencing libraries were amplified by PCR (eight cycles), and the mutD, mutE, conA, and conB libraries were constructed without PCR amplification. The libraries were applied to an Illumina HiSeq 2500 sequencer with a 100-bp read length or to a HiSeq 2500 with a 150-bp read length.

Sequence reads were mapped to the mouse reference genome (UCSC mm10) by BWA v0.6.2 (Li and Durbin 2009) using the default parameters. Although sex chromosomes were not analyzed in the present study, all of the chromosomes were compared to the reference genome to avoid misalignment. PCR or optical duplicates were removed using Picard v1.77, and base quality recalibration and indel realignment were performed by GATK v2.3.5 (McKenna et al. 2010; DePristo et al. 2011). We recalibrated the base quality using dbSNP build 132 on mm10.

**EWC regions**

To estimate the de novo mutation rate with high confidence, we defined the EWC regions as follows: For SNVs, (1) the lower bound coverage was set to 50% and the upper bound coverage to 3× the peak coverage of the MQ60 reads; (2) the minimum ratio of MQ60 reads to all mapped reads on each site was set to 80%; and (3) mutation calls required both forward and reverse strand coverage. For indels, in addition to the criteria for SNVs, (4) repeat sequences detected by RepeatMasker and Tandem Repeats Finder (derived from UCSC) were removed, as were (5) homopolymers (≥8 bp) and di-/tri-/tetra nucleotide repeats (≥10 bp). The minimum base quality for a base to be considered was 13. The EWC region was defined for each sample (mutC, mutD, conA, conB, Adam, and Eve), and then the common EWC region was used for analysis. Supplemental Table S1 shows a breakdown of the common EWC regions.

**Variant calls and de novo SNVs/indels**

Variants were called with SAMtools/BCFtools v0.1.18 (Li et al. 2009) using highly reliable reads with mapping qualities ≥60. The parameters “mpileup -B -F 0.25 -m 3” and “view -vcg” were used for SAMtools and BCFtools, respectively. The raw variants were then subjected to the following custom filters: (1) The lower bound of the alternate allele frequency was set to 25%, and (2)
alternative allele identification required both forward- and reverse-strand coverage. In both filters, the minimum base quality for a base to be considered was 13.

To identify de novo variants in mmuC and mmuD, we focused on variants with an alternative allele frequency that was present in <10% of the original population (i.e., Adam/Eve). This threshold was determined in part by validation by Sanger sequencing. When investigating mutations in conA and conB, we also focused on variants with an alternative allele frequency of <10% in Adam and Eve; this removed sequencing artifacts and some initial variants present in the C57BL/6J mating pair but did not completely remove the initial variants present in the base conA and conB breeding pairs. A validation experiment, in which randomly selected candidate de novo variants in conA, conB, and the ancestral mating pair were subjected to Sanger sequencing, partially compensated for the imperfect filtering. We modified the de novo variant numbers based on the validation results, and used these values to estimate the mutation rates.

For the validation experiment, randomly selected candidate de novo variants were subjected to Sanger sequencing. For the PCR amplifications and subsequent Sanger sequencing, we tried several primers (up to three primers were tested for each forward and reverse site) and PCR conditions (including a buffer for GC-rich regions and a nested PCR assay) to obtain good results. The details for the PCR and Sanger sequencing analyses are provided in the Supplemental Material (Excel file).

Per generation germline mutation rates

The per generation per nucleotide mutation rate ($\mu$) is estimated by the following formula,

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{M}{G \times L},$$

where $M$ is the number of accumulated mutations, $G$ base pairs is the analyzed genome size, and $L$ is the number of generations in which de novo mutations occurred. We calculated $M$ based on the validation results by the Sanger sequencing (Supplemental Table S3). We also calculated $M$ by analyzing 30 validated heterozygous de novo SNVs to determine the number of mutations occurring in the last generation ($L = 1, G = 2x$ the size of the EWC region). For estimations using the accumulated de novo heterozygous or homozygous variant number, the size of the EWC region was used as $G$, and $L$ was calculated from the number of generations of inbreeding and the probability of coalescence in each generation, as shown below (and in Supplemental Fig S3):

$P_k$ represents the probability that two autosomal alleles in an individual in the preceding generation will coalesce under full-sibling mating conditions.

$$P_k = \frac{P_{k-1}}{2} + \frac{P_{k-2}}{4} \quad \text{(if } k > 2, P_1 = 0, P_2 = 1/4\text{)}$$

$L_n$ represents the expected number of generations, from the 0th generation to an investigated individual (at the $n$th generation), in which the accumulated de novo heterozygous or homozygous mutations occur.

$$L_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} kp_k + n \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k \right) \quad \text{(for a heterozygous mutation number)}$$

$$L_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (n-k)p_k \quad \text{(for a homozygous mutation number)}$$

Detailed information about the estimates of overall mutation rates and CIs for $\mu$, including conservative CIs, is presented in the Supplemental Methods. To predict the accumulated number of mutations (assumed to be inherited in a neutral fashion) at a given generation number with a specific mutation rate, we used the formula $M = \mu \times G \times L$. We used $2.73 \times 10^5$ bp as the mouse genome size ($G$), and estimated the number of accumulated mutations at each breeding generation (Supplemental Fig S4).

Data access

The nucleotide sequence data have been submitted to the DDBJ Sequence Read Archive (DRA; http://trace.ddbj.nig.ac.jp/dra/index_e.shtml) under accession number DRA002606.
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