Ultrasound guided tube cystotomy in buffalo calves
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Abstract

The present study was undertaken to evaluate the efficacy of ultrasound guided tube cystotomy in male buffalo calves with intact and turgid urinary bladder. All these calves have pulsating urethra. Frequent micturition posture along with twitching of urethra observed from two to three days. Tube cystotomy was done by minimum stab incision under sonographic examination. Early recovery with minimum complication was noticed in most of buffalo calves by this technique. This study concluded that ultrasonography guided tube cystotomy is safe and reliable technique for treatment of retention of urine in buffalo calves with intact urinary bladder.
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Introduction

Urinary obstruction is a major complication in growing calves during or just after end of winter season due to less water intake (Amarpal et al., 2004) [1]. It is a multi factorial clinical condition in which sex, age, season, water, breed, soil, hormone, urinary tract infections (Udall and Chow, 1969) [22] and minerals, particularly excessive or imbalanced intake of calcium, phosphorus and magnesium (McIntosh 1978) [12] plays important role in the pathogenesis. Both sexes seems to be equally affected however due to anatomical difference of urinary tract, it is primary problem of males (Larson, 1996; Radostits et al., 2000) [7, 19]. Briefly, the disease is characterized by restlessness, inappetence to anorexia, pulsating urethra, frequent attempt to urinate and maintaining urinating posture (Mangotra et al., 2016) [9]. Multiple surgical options are available including urethroscopy and laser lithotripsy (Halland et al., 2002) [3], tube cystostomy (Rakestraw et al., 1995; Ewoldt et al., 2006) [17, 4], urethrotomy (Singh et al., 2010) [18], perineal urethrostomy (Van Weeren et al., 1987) [20] and bladder marsupialisation (May et al., 1998) [11]. Tube cystotomy is a fast and easier procedure particularly in intact urinary bladder where Foley’s catheter could be inserted bluntly into the urinary bladder (Kuswaha et al., 2014; Singh et al., 2014) [6, 19]. Ultrasonography serves as a useful diagnostic aid in assessing urinary bladder, especially in calves and small ruminants (Braun et al., 1992; Singh et al., 2014) [2, 19]. In dogs ultrasound-guided placement of a pigtail cystostomy tube may be beneficial as it is not technically challenging, can be performed rapidly, and may avoid the need for general anaesthesia (Culler et al., 2019) [3]. With this background the present study was carried out to evaluate the efficacy of ultrasound guided tube cystotomy in buffalo calves.

Materials and methods

Buffalo calves of age between 2-4 months were included in this study that has history of urine retention from 2-3 days. All the calves were dull, depressed, having inappetence to anorexia, pulsating urethra with frequent contraction and relaxation of preputial orifice, micturition posture and dehydrated condition. After shaving of left caudo-ventral area ultrasonographic examination was done using Siemens Acuson S2000 machine with 3-4.5 MHz transducer to evaluate the shape and size of the urinary bladder, uroliths in urinary bladder, if any. During ultrasonographic examination in only ten calves, urinary bladder was found intact and fully turgid. These ten cases were selected for tube cystotomy under sonographic examination. All calves were sedated using xylazine @0.05mg/kg body weight intravenously. Surgical site was prepared by using 2% chlorhexidine scrub, followed by 70% isopropyl alcohol and ultrasonography probe was also cleaned with isopropyl alcohol before surgery. Sonography was done again to find a locus at which urinary bladder lies very close to abdominal wall without interposition of intestine between them (Fig. 1b). Cases where intestines found in between abdominal wall and urinary bladder (Fig. 1a) a gentle pressure was Applied by using probe to facilitating escape of intestine in between urinary bladder and abdominal wall.
After locating point of insertion, 3 to 4 ml of local anaesthetic (2% lignocaine) was injected, then a stab incision was given just enough to puncture abdominal wall keeping the probe static. Blunt dissection was done to enlarge incision site to facilitate smooth entry of Foley’s catheter. In three cases rectus abdominis was grasped and lifted by thumb forceps and incision was made with scissor. Then Foley’s catheter no. 18 mounted on artificial insemination gun plunger acting as stylet (Fig. 2b), was made to penetrate the urinary bladder (Fig.3a). After ensuring the position of catheter ultrasonographically in the lumen of urinary bladder, balloon was inflated using 20 ml of normal saline solution to fix catheter inside bladder (Fig. 3b). Catheter was pulled towards the insertion point as balloon seals the rent to prevent urine seepage in to abdominal cavity. One horizontal mattress suture including catheter lumen was applied to the stab incision site and free length of catheter was fixed with skin using two simple interrupted sutures.

Post operatively antibiotic ceftriaxone @ 10 mg/kg body weight (Maradiya et al., 2010) \[10\], analgesic melonex @ 0.5mg/kg body weight (Papich, 2011) \[14\] for five days and ammonium chloride @ 500 mg/kg PO, q 12 h) for 20 days (Mangotra et al., 2016) \[9\] was administered along with antiseptic dressing for 10 days prescribed. Owners were advised to flush catheter with diluted betadine solution to remove debris from Foley’s catheter and to block urine channel of catheter for 6-12 hours daily after three days to observe dribbling through urethra. Once dribbling was noticed the period of occlusion was increased till free flow of urine observed. After attaining normal urination, catheter was removed in between 9-22 days after surgery. Suture was removed after 10-12 days after surgery.

**Fig 1:** Showing interposed viscera (white arrow) (b) Fully turgid urinary bladder in close contact with abdominal wall

**Fig 2:** Foley’s catheter and AI Gun plunger (as Stylet) (b) Assembled Foley’s catheter and AI gun plunger

**Fig 3:** Ultrasound guided insertion of Foley’s catheter (b) balloons inside urinary bladder
**Result and Discussion**

In the present study animals were dull and depressed, have Inappetence to anorectic condition and pulsating urethra which is in accordance with the findings of Mangotra et al. (2016) [9]. In all the cases no complication during surgery was observed and tube cystotomy was found safe, reliable technique for retention of urine in buffalo calves. Tube cystotomy include simple procedure, preservation of the reproductive function of the animal and less tendency of recurrences of retention of urine (May et al., 1998) [11]. In all selected cases bladder was intact. Hence frequent micturition posture, presence of pulsating and twitching of urethra were reliable subjective methods to conclude presence of intact urinary bladder which was in agreement with findings of Sharma et al. (2007) [20]. In two calves, blockage of Foley’s catheter was observed within 7 post-operative days that also seen in conventional method of tube cystotomy (Mangotra et al., 2016) [9] may be due to improper flushing of catheter by the owner sometimes. Catheter blockade and catheter dislodgement were common post-operative complications following tube cystotomy in ruminants (Singh et al., 2014) [19]. Both these cases later on operated using conventional method of tube cystotomy and in both cases no evidence of visceral adhesions observed, as there were no/ little manipulations inside abdominal cavity was done by this technique.

Infection was observed in two cases at entry point of catheter. Subcutaneous tunnelling of catheter also leads to infection in nearly 25% cases in tube cystotomy technique (Mangotra et al., 2016) [9]. The mean time of urine dribbling was 7 days (3– 14 days) and for free flow of urine from urethra was 11 days (6–20 days). The mean time for removal of Foley’s catheter after recovery was 13.5 days (9–22 days) which co-related with the finding of Kushwaha et al. (2014) [2]. Tube cystostomy was found to be a simple, useful technique in the management of obstructive urolithiasis in calves and small ruminants with overall success rate of 73.33% (Mahajan et al., 2017) [8]. The majority of abdominal surgery has abdominal adhesions, and yet only a small number will ever develop subsequent adhesive obstruction (Menzies and Ellis, 1990) [13]. Approximately 85% of adhesions that cause intestinal obstruction are post-surgical, 10% are inflammatory and 5% are congenital (Raf, 1969) [10].

Small surgical incision in abdominal cavity always leads to minimal complication then conventional surgical technique. Laparoscopic assisted cystotomy with subsequent tube cystotomy can be helpful in male small ruminants suffering from obstructive urolithiasis for removal of uroliths and debris from the urinary bladder (Streeter et al., 2002) [21].

**Conclusion**

Ultrasonographic guided tube cystotomy is safe, reliable, less invasive technique with minimal complications for surgical management of retention of urine in male buffalo calves. Now a day ultrasonography machine is available at various field hospitals and not much expertise is required to locate urinary bladder and adopt this technique.
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