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Abstract

The aim of this work is to give a pedagogical derivation of polarization observables for the annihilation reaction $e^+ + e^- \rightarrow N + \bar{N}$. The reaction mechanism is one photon + two photon exchange, the last is described by axial parametrization. After deriving the general expressions for the cross section of a binary process, the matrix element is written in terms of three complex amplitudes. The method to derive polarization observables is detailed and all expressions are given in terms of generalized form factors. The strategy for determining physical form factors in annihilation reactions in presence of two photon exchange is suggested, on the basis of model independent properties of the relevant observables.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The reactions $e^\pm+p \to e^\pm+p$ and the crossed channel $e^++e^- \to p+\bar{p}$ and $p+\bar{p} \to e^++e^-$ are studied since many decades, as they are considered the simplest reactions which contain information on the nucleon structure.

Following the developments of the experimental possibilities: high intensity accelerators, polarized beams and targets, high resolution spectrometers, electron and hadron polarimeters, it has been only recently possible to measure polarization observables in space-like region, and to measure the annihilation cross section in a wide kinematical range in the time-like region. The physics goal is a precise extraction of hadron form factors in the full kinematical region. Evidently a comprehension of the reaction mechanism, including precise radiative corrections is necessary.

The aim of this work is to give a detailed description of a model independent formalism very well adapted to the extraction of cross section and polarization observables. The present results are focused on the reaction $e^++e^- \to p+\bar{p}$, as its experimental study is very actual.

Model independent expressions and statements are derived for the interesting experimental observables, when the reactions occur through the exchange of one and two photons.

II. DIFFERENTIAL CROSS SECTION

Let us define the cross section $\sigma$ for a binary process

$$a(p_1) + b(p_2) \to c(p_3) + d(p_4),$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where the momenta of the particles are indicated in parenthesis. The cross section $\sigma$ characterizes the probability that a given process occurs. The number of final particles issued from a definite reaction is proportional to the number of incident particles $N_B$, the number of the target particles $N_T$ and the constant of proportionality is the cross section:

$$N_F = \sigma N_B \times N_T.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The cross section can be viewed as an ‘effective area’ over which the incident particle reacts. Therefore, its dimension is cm$^2$, but more often barn (1 barn=$10^{-28}$ m$^2$), or fm$^2$ (1 fm=$10^{-15}$ m).

An useful quantity is the luminosity $L$, defined as $L = N_B [s^{-1}]N_T[cm^{-2}]$. For simple counting estimations, $N_f = \sigma L$. This is an operative definition, which is used in experimental physics.

On the other hand $\sigma$ needs to be calculated theoretically for every type of process. The present derivation is done in a relativistic approach. This means that

1. The kinematics is relativistic;

2. The matrix element $\mathcal{M}$, which contains the dynamics of the reaction is a relativistic invariant. In general it is function of kinematical variables, also relativistic $\mathcal{M} = f(s,t,u)$;

3. $\sigma$ has to be written in a relativistic invariant form;

The starting point is the following expression for the cross section

$$d\sigma = \frac{|\mathcal{M}|^2}{\mathcal{J}} (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p_1 + p_2 - p_3 - p_4) d\mathcal{P},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

which is composed by four terms:

1. The matrix element $\mathcal{M}$, which contains the dynamics of the reaction, and it is calculated following a model:

2. The flux of colliding particles $\mathcal{J}$;

3. The phase space for the final particles, $d\mathcal{P}$;
4. A term which insures the conservation of the four-momentum \( \delta^{(4)}(p_1 + p_2 - p_3 - p_4) \) which is the product of four \( \delta \) functions, because each component has to be conserved separately.

Let us calculate in detail each term.

A. Definition of flux

The flux is defined through the relative velocity of incoming and target particles:

\[
\mathcal{I} = n_B n_T v_{rel},
\]

\[
\mathcal{I} = 4 \sqrt{(p_1 \cdot p_2)^2 - M_1^2 M_2^2},
\]

where \( M_1(M_2) \) is the mass of the beam (target) particle, \( v_{rel} \) is the relative velocity between beam and target particles and the densities of the beam and target particles \( n_B, n_T \) are proportional to their energies as \( n_i = 2E_i \).

Let us prove that the two expressions (4a) and (4b) are equivalent. It is more convenient to calculate \( \mathcal{I} \) (Eq. 4) in the laboratory frame where the target is at rest:

\[
p_1 = (E_1, \vec{p}_1), \quad p_2 = (M_2, 0), \quad |v_{rel}| = |\vec{v}_1 - \vec{v}_2| = \frac{|\vec{p}_1|}{E_1} \Rightarrow n_B = 2E_1, \quad n_T = 2M_2.
\]

Replacing the equalities (5) in Eq. (4a):

\[
\mathcal{I} = 2E_1 2M_2 \frac{|\vec{p}_1|}{E_1} = 4M_2 |\vec{p}_1|
\]

and in Eq. (4b):

\[
(p_1 \cdot p_2)^2 - M_1^2 M_2^2 = M_2^2 E_1^2 - M_1^2 M_2^2 = M_2^2 (E_1^2 - M_1^2) = M_2^2 |\vec{p}_1|^2, \quad \rightarrow \mathcal{I} = 4M_2 |\vec{p}_1|
\]

and the equalities (4) are proved. Moreover, we prove also that the flux does not depend on the reference frame, because it can be written in a Lorentz invariant form.

Let us consider the center of mass system (CMS):

\[
p_1 = (E_1, \vec{k}), \quad p_2 = (E_2, -\vec{k}), \quad p_1 \cdot p_2 = E_1 E_2 + |\vec{k}|^2, \quad M_1^2 = E_1^2 - |\vec{k}|^2, \quad M_2^2 = E_2^2 - |\vec{k}|^2
\]

and

\[
(p_1 \cdot p_2)^2 - M_1^2 M_2^2 = E_1^2 E_2^2 + 2E_1 E_2 |\vec{k}|^2 + |\vec{k}|^4 - E_1^2 E_2^2 + |\vec{k}|^2 (E_1^2 + E_2^2) - |\vec{k}|^4
\]

\[
= |\vec{k}|^2 (E_1 + E_2)^2 = |\vec{k}|^2 W^2.
\]

5
The flux, $\mathcal{I}$, can be written as

$$I = 4|\vec{k}|W,$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where $W = E_1 + E_2$ is the initial energy of the system in CMS.

### B. Phase space

The phase space for a particle of energy $E$, mass $M$ and four–momentum $p$ (the number of states in the unit volume) can be written from quantum mechanics in an invariant form:

$$d\mathcal{P} = \int \frac{d^4 p \, \delta(p^2 - M^2)}{(2\pi)^3} \Theta(E)$$

where the $\delta$ function insures that the particle is on mass shell and the step function $\Theta(E)$ insures that only the solution with positive energy is taken into account. Note that the wave functions of all particles entering in the matrix element must be normalized to one particle per unit volume. In this case all these wave functions contain the factor $1/\sqrt{2\varepsilon}$, where $\varepsilon$ is the particle energy. Usually these factors are explicitly taken into account in the expression for the cross section, we insert them into the phase space.

Extracting the term which depends on energy:

$$d^4 p \, \delta(p^2 - M^2) = \delta^3 \vec{p} dE \delta(E^2 - \vec{p}^2 - M^2).$$

and using the property of the $\delta$ function

$$\int \delta[f(x)]dx = \sum \frac{1}{|f'(x_i)|},$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

($x_i$ are the roots of $f(x)$), with $f(E) = E^2 - \vec{p}^2 - M^2$, and $f'(E) = 2E$ one finds:

$$\int dE \delta(E^2 - \vec{p}^2 - M^2) \Theta(E) = \frac{1}{2E}$$

For the considered reaction:

$$d\mathcal{P} = \frac{d^3 \vec{p}_3}{(2\pi)^3 2E_3} \frac{d^3 \vec{p}_4}{(2\pi)^3 2E_4}.$$  

### C. Calculation of the cross section

The total cross section can be written as:

$$\sigma = \frac{(2\pi)^4}{\mathcal{I}} \int |\mathcal{M}|^2 \delta^{(4)}(p_1 + p_2 - p_3 - p_4) \frac{d^3 \vec{p}_3}{(2\pi)^3 2E_3} \frac{d^3 \vec{p}_4}{(2\pi)^3 2E_4}. $$  \hspace{1cm} (9)
One can see that it corresponds to a six-fold differential, but four $\delta$ functions are equivalent to four integrations. So finally, for a binary process one is left with two independent variables, $(E, \theta)$ or $(s, t)$. For three particles, one has nine differentials, four integrations, i.e., five independent variables.

The term $\delta^{(4)}(p_1 + p_2 - p_3 - p_4)$ can be split into an energy and a space part: $\delta^{(4)}(p_1 + p_2 - p_3 - p_4) = \delta(E_1 + E_2 - E_3 - E_4)\delta^{(3)}(\vec{p}_1 + \vec{p}_2 - \vec{p}_3 - \vec{p}_4)$.

Note that

$$\int \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p}_1 + \vec{p}_2 - \vec{p}_3 - \vec{p}_4)d^{3}\vec{p}_4 = 1$$

in any reference frame.

Let us use spherical coordinates in CMS ($p_3 = (E_3, \vec{p}), p_4 = (E_4, -\vec{p})$, $d^{3}\vec{p} = |\vec{p}|^2 d\Omega dp$) and consider the quantity $J$:

$$J = \delta(E_1 + E_2 - E_3 - E_4)\frac{d^{3}\vec{p}_3}{4E_3E_4} = \delta(W - E_3 - E_4)\frac{|\vec{p}|^2 d\Omega dp}{4E_3E_4},$$

where

$$E_3^2 = M_3^2 + |\vec{p}|^2, E_4^2 = M_4^2 + |\vec{p}|^2 \rightarrow E_3 dE_3 = E_4 dE_4 = |\vec{p}| dp.$$

After integration, using the property (8):

$$J = \int \delta(W - E_3 - E_4)\frac{dE_3|\vec{p}| d\Omega}{4E_4} = \frac{|\vec{p}| d\Omega}{4E_4} \frac{1}{\left| \frac{d}{dE_3}(W - E_3 - E_4) \right|},$$

where

$$\frac{d}{dE_3}(W - E_3 - E_4) = -1 - \frac{dE_4}{dE_3} = -1 - \frac{E_3}{E_4} = -W \frac{E_3}{E_4}$$

and therefore

$$J = \frac{|\vec{p}| d\Omega}{4W}.$$

Substituting Eqs. (7, 14) in Eq. (9) we find the general expression for the differential cross section of a binary process, in CMS:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{|\mathcal{M}|^2 |\vec{p}|}{64\pi^2 W^2 |\vec{k}|},$$

and for the total cross section:

$$\sigma = \int \frac{|\mathcal{M}|^2 |\vec{p}|}{64\pi^2 W^2 |\vec{k}|} d\Omega.$$
FIG. 1: Annihilation $e^- + e^+ \rightarrow \bar{N} + N$ in CMS system.

In case of elastic scattering, $|\vec{k}| = |\vec{p}|$, therefore:

$$\frac{d\sigma_{el}}{d\Omega} = \frac{|\mathcal{M}|^2}{64\pi^2 W^2} = |\mathcal{F}_{el}|^2$$

with the elastic amplitude $\mathcal{F}_{el} = \frac{|\mathcal{M}|}{8\pi W}$.

For the annihilation reaction considered here, $e^+ + e^- \rightarrow N + \bar{N}$, neglecting the mass of the electron, one has:

$$|\vec{k}| = \frac{W}{2}, |\vec{p}| = \sqrt{E^2 - M^2} = E\sqrt{1 - M^2/E^2} = \frac{W}{2}\beta,$$

and

$$\frac{d\sigma_{ann}}{d\Omega} = \frac{|\mathcal{M}|^2\beta}{64\pi^2 q^2},$$

where $\beta = \sqrt{1 - 4M^2/q^2}$ and $q^2 = s = (p_1 + p_2)^2$.

III. AXIAL PARAMETRIZATION OF THE MATRIX ELEMENT

In presence of two photon exchange (TPE), the matrix element of the reaction $e^-(k_1) + e^+(k_2) \rightarrow \bar{N}(p_1) + N(p_2)$, can be parametrized by three complex amplitudes. In the present derivation we will use the following expression for the matrix element of this reaction, taking into account the TPE contribution,

$$\mathcal{M} = -\frac{e^2}{q^2} \left\{ \bar{u}(-k_2)\gamma_\mu u(k_1)\bar{u}(p_2) \right\} F_1(q^2, t) \gamma_\mu - \frac{F_2(q^2, t)}{2m} \sigma_{\mu\nu} q_\nu \right\} u(-p_1)$$

$$+\bar{u}(-k_2)\gamma_\mu \gamma_5 u(k_1)\bar{u}(p_2)\gamma_\mu \gamma_5 u(-p_1) A_2(q^2, t) \right\},$$

$$\text{(19)}$$
where \( m \) is nucleon mass, \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) are electron and positron four-momenta, \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \) are antinucleon and nucleon four-momenta, \( q \) is the four momentum of the virtual photon and \( t \) is the Mandelstam variable, the momentum transfer: 
\[
q = k_1 + k_2 = p_1 + p_2 \quad \text{and} \quad t = (k_1 - p_1)^2.
\]
The first two amplitudes contain the contributions of \( \gamma \otimes 2\gamma \) exchange, whereas the third amplitude is fully induced by \( 2\gamma \) exchange. \( A_{2\gamma}(q^2, t) \) can be parametrized in different but equivalent ways. Here we use the axial parametrization that describes the exchange of a \( 1^+ \) particle. The spin and parity of the transition induced by TPE can be any, but the C-parity must be positive (whereas it is negative for \( \gamma \) exchange).

The three complex amplitudes, \( F_{1,2}(q^2, t) \) and \( A_{2\gamma}(q^2, t) \), which generally are functions of two independent kinematical variables, \( q^2 \) and \( t \), fully describe the spin structure of the matrix element for the reaction \( e^+ + e^- \to N + \bar{N} \) - for any number of exchanged virtual photons, because they contain C-odd and C-even terms.

This expression (19) holds under assumption of the P–invariance of the electromagnetic interaction and conservation of lepton helicity, which is correct for standard QED at the high energy, i.e., in zero electron mass limit. Note, however, that expression (19) is one of the many equivalent representations of the \( e^+ + e^- \to N + \bar{N} \) reaction matrix element.

In the Born (\( \gamma \) exchange) approximation these amplitudes reduce to:
\[
F_{1,2}^{\text{Born}}(q^2, t) = F_1(q^2), \quad F_{2,2}^{\text{Born}}(q^2, t) = F_2(q^2), \quad A_{2\gamma}^{\text{Born}}(q^2, t) = 0,
\]
where \( F_1(q^2) \) and \( F_2(q^2) \) are the Dirac and Pauli nucleon electromagnetic form factors (FFs), respectively, and they are complex functions of the variable \( q^2 \). The complexity of FFs arises from the final–state strong interaction of the produced \( N\bar{N} \) pair. In the following we use the standard magnetic \( G_M(q^2) \) and charge \( G_E(q^2) \) nucleon FFs which are related to FFs \( F_1(q^2) \) and \( F_2(q^2) \) as follows
\[
G_M = F_1 + F_2, \quad G_E = F_1 + \tau F_2, \quad \tau = \frac{q^2}{4m^2} > 0.
\]

By analogy with these relations, let us introduce a linear combinations of the \( F_{1,2}(q^2, t) \) amplitudes which in the Born approximation correspond to the Sachs FFs \( G_M \) and \( G_E \):
\[
\tilde{G}_M(q^2, t) = F_1(q^2, t) + F_2(q^2, t),
\]
\[
\tilde{G}_E(q^2, t) = F_1(q^2, t) + \tau F_2(q^2, t).
\]

The matrix element (19) can be rewritten in terms of vector and axial electromagnetic
the TPE contribution, which is of the order of \(\alpha\) currents:

\[
\mathcal{M} = -\frac{e^2}{q^2} \left( j_{\mu}^{(v)} J_{\mu}^{(v)} + j_{\mu}^{(a)} J_{\mu}^{(a)} \right),
\]

(23)

where \(j_{\mu}^{(v)}, j_{\mu}^{(a)}\) are vector and axial lepton currents and \(J_{\mu}^{(v)}, J_{\mu}^{(a)}\) are vector and axial nucleon currents:

\[
j^{(v)}_{\mu} = \bar{u}(-k_2)\gamma_{\mu}u(k_1), \quad J^{(v)}_{\mu} = \bar{u}(p_2) \left[ F_1(q^2, t)\gamma_{\mu} - \frac{F_2(q^2, t)}{2m}\sigma_{\mu\nu}q_{\nu} \right] u(-p_1),
\]

\[
j^{(a)}_{\mu} = \bar{u}(-k_2)\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5u(k_1), \quad J^{(a)}_{\mu} = \bar{u}(p_2)\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5u(-p_1)A_{2\gamma}(q^2, t), \quad \sigma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}[\gamma_{\mu}, \gamma_{\nu}].
\]

(24)

Then the differential cross section of the reaction \(e^- + e^+ \rightarrow \bar{N} + N\) in CMS according to \(^{18}\) can be written as

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{\alpha^2\beta}{4q^6} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) (j_{\mu}^{(v)} J_{\mu}^{(v)} + j_{\mu}^{(a)} J_{\mu}^{(a)}) \left( j_{\nu}^{(v)} J_{\nu}^{(v)} + j_{\nu}^{(a)} J_{\nu}^{(a)} \right)^*.
\]

where we neglected terms proportional to \(A_{2\gamma}^2\) (since the amplitude \(A_{2\gamma}\) is entirely due to the TPE contribution, which is of the order of \(\alpha\)). The 'vector' (v) and 'interference' (i) leptonic/hadronic tensors are defined as

\[
L^{(v)}_{\mu\nu} = j_{\mu}^{(v)} j_{\nu}^{(v)*}, L^{(i)}_{\mu\nu} = j_{\mu}^{(a)} j_{\nu}^{(v)*}, H^{(v)}_{\mu\nu} = J_{\mu}^{(v)} J_{\nu}^{(v)*}, H^{(i)}_{\mu\nu} = J_{\mu}^{(a)} J_{\nu}^{(v)*}.
\]

(25)

Note that the term proportional to the Dirac FF, \(F_1\), in the expression for the nucleon vector current, \(J_{\mu}^{(v)}, \) \(^{24}\), is gauge invariant, when both particles (\(N, \bar{N}\)) are on mass shell. The second term proportional to the Pauli FF, \(F_2\), is always gauge invariant:

\[
(\sigma_{\mu\nu}q_{\nu})q_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu} - \gamma_{\nu}\gamma_{\mu})q_{\nu}q_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{q}\hat{q} - \hat{q}\hat{q}) = 0.
\]

It is possible to find other forms of the nucleon vector current \(J_{\mu}^{(v)}\), which are equivalent only for on-shell particles. In our case nucleons are the final particles, therefore they are on-shell.

Let us show that for on-shell nucleons the expression for the \(J_{\mu}^{(v)}, \) \(^{24}\) can be simplified by using Dirac equations\(^1\) for particles (nucleon - \(p_2\)) and antiparticles (antinucleon - \(p_1\))

\[
\bar{u}(p_2)(\hat{p}_2 - m) = 0 \Rightarrow \bar{u}(p_2)\hat{p}_2 = \bar{u}(p_2)m
\]

\[
(\hat{p}_1 + m)u(-p_1) = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{p}_1 u(-p_1) = -u(-p_1)m
\]

\(^1\) It is correct only when nucleon and antinucleon are on mass shell (real particles), i.e., they satisfy the Dirac equation.
and the properties of Dirac matrices: \( \{\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu\} = 2g_{\mu\nu} \), where \( g_{\mu\nu} \) is the metric tensor of the Minkowski spacetime, \( \hat{a}\hat{b} + \hat{b}\hat{a} = 2ab \), \( \hat{a}\gamma_\mu + \gamma_\mu\hat{a} = 2a_\mu \), where \( a \) and \( b \) are four vectors.

Let us develop the term accompanying \( F_2 \):

\[
\bar{u}(p_2)\sigma_{\mu\nu}q_\nu u(-p_1) = \frac{1}{2}\bar{u}(p_2) (\gamma_\mu\gamma_\nu - \gamma_\nu\gamma_\mu) q_\nu u(-p_1) = \frac{1}{2}\bar{u}(p_2) (\gamma_\mu\hat{q} - \hat{q}\gamma_\mu) u(-p_1) \\
= \frac{1}{2}\bar{u}(p_2) [\gamma_\mu(\hat{p}_1 + \hat{p}_2) - (\hat{p}_1 + \hat{p}_2)\gamma_\mu] u(-p_1) \\
= \frac{1}{2}\bar{u}(p_2) [\gamma_\mu(-m + \hat{p}_2) - (\hat{p}_1 + m)\gamma_\mu] u(-p_1) \\
= \frac{1}{2}\bar{u}(p_2) [-2m\gamma_\mu + (\gamma_\mu\hat{p}_2 - \hat{p}_1\gamma_\mu)] u(-p_1) \\
= \frac{1}{2}\bar{u}(p_2) [-2m\gamma_\mu + (2p_2 - \hat{p}_2)\gamma_\mu - 2p_1\gamma_\mu] u(-p_1) \\
= \frac{1}{2}\bar{u}(p_2) [-4m\gamma_\mu + 2(p_2 - p_1)\gamma_\mu] u(-p_1). \tag{26}
\]

Replacing in the expression for \( J_\mu^{(v)} \), Eq. (24):

\[
J_\mu^{(v)} = \bar{u}(p_2) \left[ (F_1 + F_2)\gamma_\mu - \frac{F_2}{2m} (p_2 - p_1)_\mu \right] u(-p_1) = \bar{u}(p_2) \left[ (F_1 + F_2)\gamma_\mu - \frac{F_2}{m} P_\mu \right] u(-p_1), \tag{27}
\]

where \( P = (p_2 - p_1)/2 \) and \( F_1, F_2 \) can be substituted by generalized magnetic and charge nucleon FFs, Eq. (22):

\[
J_\mu^{(v)} = \bar{u}(p_2) \left[ \tilde{G}_M(q^2, t)\gamma_\mu - \frac{\tilde{G}_M(q^2, t) - \tilde{G}_E(q^2, t)}{m(1 - \tau)} P_\mu \right] u(-p_1). \tag{28}
\]

For simplicity, we will use in our calculations:

\[
\frac{\tilde{G}_M - \tilde{G}_E}{m(1 - \tau)} = G_2. \tag{29}
\]

IV. LEPTON AND HADRON TENSORS

We give a detailed derivation of the tensors, in particular of the lepton tensor and of the matrix components.

A. Lepton tensors

The calculation of the leptonic tensors leads to the calculation of a trace. Let us give the explicit derivation. From Eqs. (24,25), the expression for the 'vector part' of the leptonic
tensor is:

\[ L_{\mu\nu}^{(u)} = \bar{u}(-k_2)\gamma_\mu u(k_1) \left[ \bar{u}(-k_2)\gamma_\nu u(k_1) \right]^* . \]  

(30)

Using the definition \( \bar{u}(-k_2) = u^t(-k_2)\gamma_4 = u^*(k_2)\gamma_4 \) and the following properties of the \( \gamma \) matrices: \( \gamma_4^* = \gamma_4, (\gamma_4)_{ij} = (\gamma_4)_{ji}, (\gamma_4)_{kl}(\gamma_4)_{lm} = \delta_{km}, \) the complex conjugated term can be written as

\[ [\bar{u}(-k_2)\gamma_\nu u(k_1)]^* = [u^*(k_2)\gamma_4\gamma_\nu u(k_1)]^* = u(-k_2)\gamma_4^*\gamma_\nu^* u^*(k_1). \]  

(31)

In component form (with spinor indices):

\[ u_i(-k_2)(\gamma_4^*)_{ij}(\gamma_\nu^*)_{jk} u^*(k_1)_k = u(-k_2)i(\gamma_4)_{ij}(\gamma_\nu^*)_{jk}\delta_{km} u^*(k_1)_m \]

\[ = u(-k_2)i(\gamma_4)_{ij}(\gamma_\nu^*)_{jk}(\gamma_4)_{kl} u^*(k_1)_m \]

\[ = u^*_m(k_1)(\gamma_4)_{ml}(\gamma_\nu^*)_{kj}(\gamma_4)_{ji} u_i(-k_2) \]

\[ = \bar{u}(k_1)\gamma_4^*\gamma_\nu^* u(-k_2) = \bar{u}(k_1)\gamma_\nu u(-k_2). \]

Therefore

\[ [\bar{u}(-k_2)\gamma_\nu u(k_1)]^* = \bar{u}(k_1)\gamma_\nu u(-k_2). \]  

(32)

This result will be used all along the paper, with other terms between bispinors \((\gamma_\nu, \gamma_\nu\gamma_5, P_\nu)\).

Let us write the tensor \((30)\) in component form

\[ L_{\mu\nu}^{(u)} = \bar{u}_i(-k_2)(\gamma_\mu)_{ij} u(k_1)_{j}\bar{u}_a(k_1)(\gamma_\nu)_{ab} u_b(-k_2) = u_b(-k_2)\bar{u}_i(-k_2)(\gamma_\mu)_{ij} u_j(k_1) \bar{u}_a(k_1)(\gamma_\nu)_{ab} \]

\[ = (\rho_2)_{ia}(\gamma_\mu)_{ij}(\rho_1)_{ja}(\gamma_\nu)_{ab} = Tr[u(-k_2)\bar{u}(k_1)\gamma_\mu u(k_1)\bar{u}(k_1)\gamma_\nu], \]  

(33)

where we applied the property that a product of matrices is a matrix and the first and last indices coincide: \( TrA = \sum_b A_{bb} \). The density matrices \( \rho = u(p)\bar{u}(p) \) for polarized and unpolarized particles and antiparticles are given in the Table \[1\]

|                | particle          | antiparticle    |
|----------------|-------------------|----------------|
| unpolarized    | \( \hat{p} + m \) | \( \hat{p} - m \) |
| polarized      | \( (\hat{p} + m)^{1/2}(1 - \gamma_5 \hat{s}) \) | \( (\hat{p} - m)^{1/2}(1 - \gamma_5 \hat{s}) \) |

**TABLE I**: The density matrices for polarized/unpolarized particles and antiparticles.

The polarization four-vector \( s \) is related to the unit vector along polarization of the particle in its rest system, \( \vec{\xi} \) by

\[ s_0 = \frac{1}{m} \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\xi}; \quad \vec{s} = \vec{\xi} + \frac{\vec{p}(\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\xi})}{m(m + E)}. \]  

(34)
Let us consider firstly unpolarized incoming positron and longitudinally polarized incoming electron. In this case the leptonic vector tensor, can be written as

\[ L^{(v)}_{\mu\nu} = Tr \left[ (\hat{k}_2 - m_e)\gamma_\mu(\hat{k}_1 + m_e)\frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma_5\hat{s})\gamma_\nu \right] = L^{(v)}_{\mu\nu}(0) + L^{(v)}_{\mu\nu}(S) \]  

and expanded as a sum over polarization states.

1. **The unpolarized lepton tensor :** \( L^{(v)}_{\mu\nu}(0) \)

Let us extract the part of the leptonic vector tensor which does not depend on polarization:

\[ L^{(v)}_{\mu\nu}(0) = \frac{1}{2} Tr \left[ (\hat{k}_2 - m_e)\gamma_\mu(\hat{k}_1 + m_e)\gamma_\nu \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ Tr(\hat{k}_2\gamma_\mu\hat{k}_1\gamma_\nu) - m_e^2 Tr(\gamma_\mu\gamma_\nu) \right]. \]

Using the rules for calculating the traces of Dirac matrices: \( Tr\gamma_\mu\gamma_\nu = 4g_{\mu\nu} \) and \( Tr\gamma_\mu\gamma_\nu\gamma_\sigma\gamma_\nu = 4(g_{\rho\mu}g_{\sigma\nu} + g_{\rho\sigma}g_{\mu\nu} - g_{\rho\mu}g_{\sigma\nu}) \) one finds:

\[ L^{(v)}_{\mu\nu}(0) = 2(\hat{k}_1\nu\hat{k}_2\mu + \hat{k}_1\mu\hat{k}_2\nu - \hat{k}_1.\hat{k}_2 g_{\mu\nu}) = -q^2 g_{\mu\nu} + 2(\hat{k}_1\nu\hat{k}_2\mu + \hat{k}_1\mu\hat{k}_2\nu), \]  

where we used the identity

\[ q^2 = (k_1 + k_2)^2 = k_1^2 + 2k_1k_2 + k_2^2 = 2(m_e^2 + k_1k_2) \Rightarrow k_1k_2 + m_e^2 = \frac{q^2}{2}. \]  

The tensor describing unpolarized electrons is symmetric.

2. **The polarized lepton tensor :** \( L^{(v)}_{\mu\nu}(S) \)

For the polarized part of the lepton tensor one has

\[ L^{(v)}_{\mu\nu}(S) = -\frac{1}{2} Tr \left[ (\hat{k}_2 - m_e)\gamma_\mu(\hat{k}_1 + m_e)\gamma_5\hat{s}\gamma_\nu \right] = -\frac{1}{2} m_e \left\{ Tr \left[ \gamma_5\hat{k}_2\gamma_\mu\hat{s}\gamma_\nu \right] - Tr \left[ \gamma_5\gamma_\mu\hat{k}_1\hat{s}\gamma_\nu \right] \right\} 
\]

\[ = 2m_e i \langle k_2\mu\nu \rangle - 2m_e i \langle \mu k_1\nu \rangle = 2m_e i \langle \mu\nu sq \rangle, \]  

where we used the notation

\[ Tr \gamma_5\gamma_\mu\gamma_\nu\gamma_\rho\gamma_\sigma = -4i\varepsilon_{\mu\rho\sigma} = -4i \langle \mu\nu\rho\sigma \rangle, \]

and the properties of permutations of Dirac matrices. The Greek letters \( \mu, \nu,... \) are used for the non contracted indices of the antisymmetric tensor \( \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \).
One can check that the tensor $L^{(v)}_{\mu\nu}(S)$ (38) has the following property, which follows from current conservation:

$$q_\mu \cdot L^{(v)}_{\mu\nu}(S) = \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\sigma\rho} s_\sigma q_\rho = 0$$

as it is the product of an antisymmetric tensor ($\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\sigma\rho}$) times a symmetric tensor $q_\rho q_\mu$.

When the electron is longitudinally polarized ($\vec{\xi} \parallel \vec{k}_1 \rightarrow \vec{\xi} \cdot \vec{k}_1 = |\vec{k}_1| = \sqrt{E^2 - m_e^2} \approx E$), the components of the polarization vector $s_\mu$ (Eq. 34) become

$$s_0 = \frac{E}{m_e}; \quad \vec{s} = \vec{\xi} \left( 1 + \frac{|\vec{k}_1|^2}{m_e(m_e + E)} \right) = \vec{\xi} \left( 1 + \frac{E^2 - m_e^2}{m_e(E + m_e)} \right) = \frac{\xi E}{m_e}, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad s_\mu = \lambda_e \frac{k_{1\mu}}{m_e},$$

where the helicity $\lambda_e$ takes the values $= \pm 1$ if $\vec{\xi}$ is parallel or antiparallel to $\vec{k}_1$. One can see that the longitudinally polarized part of 'vector' lepton tensor (38) is not suppressed by the electron mass and it can be written as:

$$L^{(v)}_{\mu\nu}(S) = 2i \lambda_e \langle \mu\nu k_1 q \rangle .$$

Notice that the transversal component of the vector polarization remains unchanged and should be evaluated from (38).

3. The unpolarized lepton tensor : $L^{(i)}_{\mu\nu}(0)$

According to (24) and (25)

$$L^{(i)}_{\mu\nu} = \bar{u}(-k_2)\gamma_\mu\gamma_5 u(k_1) \left[ \bar{u}(-k_2)\gamma_\nu u(k_1) \right]^* = \bar{u}(-k_2)\gamma_\mu\gamma_5 u(k_1)\bar{u}(k_1)\gamma_\nu u(-k_2),$$

resp.

$$L^{(i)}_{\mu\nu} = Tr \left[ u(-k_2)\bar{u}(-k_2)\gamma_\mu\gamma_5 u(k_1)\bar{u}(k_1)\gamma_\nu \right] = Tr \left[ \hat{k}_2 - m_e \right] \gamma_\mu\gamma_5 \left( \hat{k}_1 + m_e \right) \frac{1}{2} (1 - \gamma_5 \hat{s}) \gamma_\nu .$$

Again it can be divided to polarized and unpolarized part. For the unpolarized part

$$L^{(i)}_{\mu\nu}(0) = \frac{1}{2} Tr \left[ \hat{k}_2 - m_e \right] \gamma_\mu\gamma_5 \left( \hat{k}_1 + m_e \right) \gamma_\nu = \frac{1}{2} Tr \left[ \gamma_5 \hat{k}_2 \gamma_\mu \hat{k}_1 \gamma_\nu \right],$$

which can be expressed as

$$L^{(i)}_{\mu\nu}(0) = \frac{1}{2} (-4i) \varepsilon_{\mu\rho\sigma} k_{2\rho} k_{1\sigma} = 2i \langle \mu\nu k_2 k_1 \rangle .$$
4. The polarized lepton tensor: $L_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}(S)$

The polarized part of $L_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}$ is written as:

$$L_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}(S) = -\frac{1}{2} Tr \left[ (\hat{k}_2 - m_e)\gamma_\mu \gamma_5 (\hat{k}_1 + m_e)\gamma_5 \hat{s} \gamma_\nu \right]$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} Tr \left[ (\hat{k}_2 - m_e)\gamma_\mu m_e \hat{s} \gamma_\nu \right] + \frac{1}{2} Tr \left[ (\hat{k}_2 - m_e)\gamma_\mu \hat{k}_1 \hat{s} \gamma_\nu \right]$$,

where we used $\gamma_5^2 = 1$. Eq. (44) can be simplified to

$$L_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}(S) = -\frac{m_e}{2} \left[ Tr(\hat{k}_2\gamma_\mu \hat{s} \gamma_\nu) - Tr(\gamma_\mu \hat{k}_1 \hat{s} \gamma_\nu) \right]$$

$$= -2m_e \left[ k_2 s_\nu + k_2 s_\mu - k_2 \cdot s_{\mu\nu} - k_1 s_\nu + k_1 s_\mu - k_1 \cdot s_{\mu\nu} \right]$$.

In case of longitudinally polarized electron beam, with the help of Eq. (39), this expression simplifies to:

$$L_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}(S) = \lambda_e [q^2 g_{\mu\nu} - 2(k_{2\mu} k_{1\nu} + k_{2\nu} k_{1\mu})]. \quad (45)$$

5. Lepton tensor summary

The leptonic tensors for the case of longitudinally polarized electrons

$$L_{\mu\nu}^{(v)} = -q^2 g_{\mu\nu} + 2(k_{1\mu} k_{2\nu} + k_{1\nu} k_{2\mu}) + 2i \lambda_e \langle \mu\nu k_1 q \rangle$$

$$L_{\mu\nu}^{(i)} = 2i \langle \mu\nu k_2 k_1 \rangle + \lambda_e [q^2 g_{\mu\nu} - 2(k_{1\mu} k_{2\nu} + k_{1\nu} k_{2\mu})], \quad (46)$$

where $\lambda_e$ is the degree of the electron longitudinal polarization. We will consider that the lepton is fully polarized, i.e., $|\lambda_e| = 1$, but it shows explicitly which part of the leptonic tensor depends on polarization of the incoming electron.

B. Hadron tensors

According to the definitions (25) and (28), $H_{\mu\nu}^{(v)}$ can be expressed as

$$H_{\mu\nu}^{(v)} = \bar{u}(p_2) \left[ \hat{G}_M \gamma_\mu - G_2 P_\mu \right] u(-p_1) \left[ \bar{u}(p_2) \left[ \hat{G}_M \gamma_\nu - G_2 P_\nu \right] u(-p_1) \right]^*$$

$$= \bar{u}(p_2) \left[ \hat{G}_M \gamma_\mu - G_2 P_\mu \right] u(-p_1) u(-p_1) \left[ \hat{G}_M \gamma_\nu - G_2 P_\nu \right] u(p_2)$$

$$= Tr \left[ u(p_2) \bar{u}(p_2) \left[ \hat{G}_M \gamma_\mu - G_2 P_\mu \right] u(-p_1) \bar{u}(-p_1) \left[ \hat{G}_M \gamma_\nu - G_2 P_\nu \right] \right]. \quad (47)$$
Generally, taking into account the polarization states of the produced nucleon and antinucleon, the hadronic tensor can be written as the sum of three contributions

\[ H_{\mu\nu} = H_{\mu\nu}(0) + H_{\mu\nu}(s_1) + H_{\mu\nu}(s_1, s_2), \]  

(48)

where the tensor \( H_{\mu\nu}(0) \) describes the production of unpolarized particles, the tensor \( H_{\mu\nu}(s_1) \) describes the production of polarized nucleon or antinucleon and the tensor \( H_{\mu\nu}(s_1, s_2) \) corresponds to the production of both polarized particles (\( N \) and \( \bar{N} \)).

According to this notation and with the help of the expressions of the density matrices from Table I, Eq. (47) can be written as:

\[ H^{(e)}_{\mu\nu} = Tr \left\{ (\hat{p}_2 + m) \left[ \hat{G}_M \gamma_\mu - G_2 P_\mu \right] (\hat{p}_1 - m) \frac{1}{2} (1 - \gamma_5 \hat{s}_1) \left[ \hat{G}_s^* \gamma_\nu - G_2^* P_\nu \right] \right\}, \]  

(49)

which can be considered as a sum of polarized and unpolarized parts (similarly to the leptonic tensor), \( s_{1\mu} \) is the polarization four-vector of the antinucleon.

1. **The unpolarized hadron tensor:** \( H^{(e)}_{\mu\nu}(0) \)

The unpolarized part of \( H^{(e)}_{\mu\nu} \) can be extracted from (49)

\[
H^{(e)}_{\mu\nu}(0) = \frac{1}{2} Tr \left\{ (\hat{p}_2 + m) \left[ \hat{G}_M \gamma_\mu - G_2 P_\mu \right] (\hat{p}_1 - m) \left[ \hat{G}_s^* \gamma_\nu - G_2^* P_\nu \right] \right\} 
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \hat{G}_M \hat{G}_s^* Tr(\hat{p}_2 \gamma_\mu \hat{p}_1 \gamma_\nu) + m\hat{G}_M G_2^* P_\nu Tr(\hat{p}_2 \gamma_\mu) + G_2 G_2^* P_\mu P_\nu Tr(\hat{p}_2 \hat{p}_1) 
+ m\hat{G}_s^* G_2 P_\nu Tr(\hat{p}_2 \gamma_\nu) - m\hat{G}_M G_2^* P_\nu Tr(\gamma_\mu \hat{p}_1) - m^2 \hat{G}_M \hat{G}_s^* Tr(\gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu) 
- m\hat{G}_s^* G_2 P_\mu Tr(\hat{p}_1 \gamma_\nu) - m^2 G_2 G_2^* P_\mu P_\nu \hat{G}_s^* \right] 
\]

where we omit the terms containing an odd number of \( \gamma \) matrices, since their trace vanishes, and further simplify as:

\[
H^{(e)}_{\mu\nu}(0) = 2 \left[ |G_M|^2 (p_{1\mu} p_{2\nu} + p_{1\nu} p_{2\mu} - (p_1 p_2 + m^2) g_{\mu\nu}) 
+ P_\mu P_\nu \left( |G_2|^2 (p_1 p_2 - m^2) + 4 m \Re G_M G_2^* \right) \right]. 
\]

Now we can apply following identities

\[
p_1 p_2 + m^2 = \frac{q^2}{2}; \ p_1 p_2 - m^2 = 2 m^2 (\tau - 1); \ p_{1\mu} p_{2\nu} + p_{1\nu} p_{2\mu} = \frac{q_\mu q_\nu}{2} - 2 P_\mu P_\nu \]  

(50)

to obtain

\[
H^{(e)}_{\mu\nu}(0) = H_1 \hat{g}_{\mu\nu} + H_2 P_\mu P_\nu, \]  

(51)
where \( \tilde{g}_{\mu \nu} = g_{\mu \nu} - q_\mu q_\nu / q^2 \) and

\[
H_1 = -q^2 |\tilde{G}_M|^2 \\
H_2 = 4 \left[ m^2 |G_2|^2 (\tau - 1) - |\tilde{G}_M|^2 + 2m Re(\tilde{G}_M G_2^*) \right].
\]

The formula for \( H_2 \) can be rewritten in terms of \( G_M \) and \( G_E \)

\[
H_2 = \frac{4}{\tau - 1} \left[ |\tilde{G}_E|^2 - \tau |\tilde{G}_M|^2 \right].
\]

2. The polarized hadron tensor: \( H_{\mu \nu}^{(v)}(s_1) \)

The polarized part of \( H_{\mu \nu}^{(v)} \) (also from \([49]\))

\[
H_{\mu \nu}^{(v)}(s_1) = -\frac{1}{2} Tr \left[ (\hat{p}_2 + m) \left( \tilde{G}_M \gamma_\mu - G_2 P_\mu \right) (\hat{p}_1 - m) \gamma_5 \hat{s}_1 \left( \tilde{G}_M^* \gamma_\nu - G_2^* P_\nu \right) \right]
= \frac{1}{2} \left[ Tr(\hat{p}_2 \tilde{G}_M \gamma_\mu \hat{p}_1 \gamma_5 \hat{s}_1 G_2^* P_\nu) + Tr(\hat{p}_2 \tilde{G}_M \gamma_\mu m \gamma_5 \hat{s}_1 G_2^* \gamma_\nu) + Tr(\hat{p}_2 G_2 P_\mu \hat{p}_1 \gamma_5 \hat{s}_1 \tilde{G}_M^* \gamma_\nu) - Tr(m \tilde{G}_M \gamma_\mu \hat{p}_1 \gamma_5 \hat{s}_1 \tilde{G}_M^* \gamma_\nu) \right]
= \frac{1}{2} \left[ -\tilde{G}_M G_2^* P_\nu Tr(\gamma_5 \hat{p}_2 \gamma_\mu \hat{p}_1 \hat{s}_1) + m|\tilde{G}_M|^2 Tr(\gamma_5 \hat{p}_2 \gamma_\mu \hat{s}_1 \gamma_\nu) + G_2^* G_2 P_\nu Tr(\gamma_5 \hat{p}_2 \hat{p}_1 \gamma_\nu) - m|\tilde{G}_M|^2 Tr(\gamma_5 \gamma_\mu \hat{p}_1 \hat{s}_1 \gamma_\nu) \right]
\]

can be simplified

\[
H_{\mu \nu}^{(v)}(s_1) = 2i \left[ \tilde{G}_M G_2^* P_\nu \langle p_2 \mu p_1 s_1 \rangle + m|\tilde{G}_M|^2 (\langle p_2 \mu s_1 \rangle - \langle p_2 \mu s_1 \rangle) \right. \\
- \tilde{G}_M^* G_2 P_\mu \langle p_2 p_1 s_1 \rangle \left. \right]
= 2i \left[ (\tilde{G}_M G_2^* P_\nu \langle \nu p_2 p_1 s_1 \rangle - \tilde{G}_M G_2^* P_\nu \langle \mu p_2 p_1 s_1 \rangle) + m|\tilde{G}_M|^2 \langle \mu \nu s_1 \rangle \right],
\]

or alternatively in terms of \( \tilde{G}_M \) and \( \tilde{G}_E \)

\[
H_{\mu \nu}^{(v)}(s_1) = \frac{2}{m(\tau - 1)} \left[ im^2 (\tau - 1) |\tilde{G}_M|^2 \langle \mu \nu s_1 \rangle \right. \\
+ iRe(\tilde{G}_M (\tilde{G}_E - \tilde{G}_M)^*)(P_\mu \langle \nu p_2 p_1 s_1 \rangle - P_\nu \langle \mu p_2 p_1 s_1 \rangle) \left. \right]
+ Im(\tilde{G}_M \tilde{G}_E^*)(P_\mu \langle p_2 p_1 s_1 \rangle + P_\nu \langle p_2 p_1 s_1 \rangle) \right],
\]

where we used \( Im|G_M|^2 = 0 \) and identity

\[
Re(A)(P_\mu Q_\nu - P_\nu Q_\mu) - iIm(A)(P_\mu Q_\nu + P_\nu Q_\mu) = A^* P_\mu Q_\nu - A P_\nu Q_\mu,
\]

which can be easily proved. Notice, that the first 2 terms in equation (54) are antisymmetric and the third (last) term is symmetric with respect to the exchange \( \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \).
where by applying the rules for calculating the traces we get

\[ H^{(i)}_{\mu\nu} = \tilde{u}(p_2)\gamma_\mu\gamma_5u(-p_1)A_{2\gamma}\left[\tilde{u}(p_2)(\tilde{G}_M\gamma_\nu - G_2^aP_\nu)u(-p_1)\right]^* \]

\[ = Tr\left[(\hat{p}_2 + m)\gamma_\mu\gamma_5A_{2\gamma}(\hat{p}_1 - m)\frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma_5\hat{s}_1)(\tilde{G}_M^*\gamma_\nu - G_2^aP_\nu)\right], \tag{55} \]

which gives for the unpolarized part

\[ H^{(i)}_{\mu\nu}(0) = \frac{1}{2}Tr\left[(\hat{p}_2 + m)\gamma_\mu\gamma_5A_{2\gamma}(\hat{p}_1 - m)(\tilde{G}_M^*\gamma_\nu - G_2^aP_\nu)\right] \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2}A_{2\gamma}\tilde{G}_M^*Tr[\gamma_5\hat{p}_2\gamma_\mu\hat{p}_1\gamma_\nu] = 2iA_{2\gamma}\tilde{G}_M^*\langle \mu\nu p_2p_1 \rangle. \tag{56} \]

4. The polarized hadron tensor : \( H^{(i)}_{\mu\nu}(s_1) \)

The polarized part of \( H^{(i)}_{\mu\nu} \) follows from Eq. (55)

\[ H^{(i)}_{\mu\nu}(s_1) = \frac{1}{2}Tr\left[(\hat{p}_2 + m)\gamma_\mu\gamma_5A_{2\gamma}(\hat{p}_1 - m)\gamma_5\hat{s}_1(\tilde{G}_M^*\gamma_\nu - G_2^aP_\nu)\right] \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2}A_{2\gamma}\left[Tr[(\hat{p}_2 + m)\gamma_\mu m\hat{s}_1(\tilde{G}_M^*\gamma_\nu - G_2^aP_\nu)] \right. \]

\[ \left. + Tr[(\hat{p}_2 + m)\gamma_\mu\hat{p}_1\hat{s}_1(\tilde{G}_M^*\gamma_\nu - G_2^aP_\nu)]\right] \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2}A_{2\gamma}\left[-m^2G^a_2P_\nu Tr[\gamma_5\hat{s}_1] + mG^a_2M Tr[\gamma_\mu\hat{p}_1\hat{s}_1\gamma_\nu] \right. \]

\[ \left. -G^a_2P_\nu Tr[\gamma_\mu\hat{p}_1\hat{s}_1\gamma_\nu] + mG^a_2M Tr[\gamma_\mu\hat{p}_1\hat{s}_1\gamma_\nu]\right] \tag{57} \]

and by applying the rules for calculating the traces we get

\[ H^{(i)}_{\mu\nu}(s_1) = 2A_{2\gamma}\left[-m^2G^a_2P_\nu s_1s_\mu - G^a_2P_\nu(p_{2\mu}p_1 \cdot s_1 + p_{1\mu}p_2 \cdot s_1 - p_1 \cdot p_2 s_1s_\mu)\right. \]

\[ + m\tilde{G}_M^*(p_{2\mu}s_1s_\nu + p_{2\nu}s_1s_\mu - p_2 \cdot s_1g_\mu - p_{1\mu}s_1s_\nu - p_1s_1s_\mu + p_1 \cdot s_1g_\mu)\right], \tag{58} \]

where

\[ s_1 \cdot p_1 = 0; \; s_1 \cdot p_2 = s_1 \cdot q, \]

while \( s_1s_\mu \) is polarization four-vector of the antinucleon. Using Eq. (56), expression (58) can be simplified to

\[ H^{(i)}_{\mu\nu}(s_1) = 2A_{2\gamma}\left[2m^2(\tau - 1)G^a_2P_\nu s_1s_\mu - G^a_2P_\nu p_{1\mu}s_1 \cdot q - m\tilde{G}_M^*q \cdot s_1g_\mu \right. \]

\[ \left. + m\tilde{G}_M^*(p_{2\mu}s_1s_\nu + p_{2\nu}s_1s_\mu + p_{1\mu}s_1s_\nu - p_1s_1s_\mu)\right], \tag{59} \]
which can be rewritten in terms of the generalized Sachs FFs as

\[ H_{\mu \nu}^{(i)}(s_1) = m A_2 \left[ -2 q \cdot s_1 \tilde{G}_M g_{\mu \nu} - \frac{2 q \cdot s_1}{m^2(1 - \tau)} (\tilde{G}_M - \tilde{G}_E)^* p_{1 \mu} p_{\nu} \right] \] (60)

\[ + (\tilde{G}_M + \tilde{G}_E)^* (s_{1 \mu} p_{2 \nu} + s_{1 \nu} p_{2 \mu}) + (\tilde{G}_M - \tilde{G}_E)^* (s_{1 \mu} p_{1 \nu} + s_{1 \nu} p_{1 \mu}) \]

\[ - (\tilde{G}_M + \tilde{G}_E)^* (s_{1 \mu} p_{1 \nu} - s_{1 \nu} p_{1 \mu}) - (\tilde{G}_M - \tilde{G}_E)^* (s_{1 \mu} p_{2 \nu} - s_{1 \nu} p_{2 \mu}) \],

where we can distinguish two antisymmetric terms, three symmetric terms and the term proportional to \( p_{1 \mu} p_{\nu} \) (\( 2 p_{1 \mu} p_{\nu} = p_{1 \mu} p_{\nu} + p_{1 \nu} p_{\mu} + p_{1 \mu} p_{\nu} - p_{1 \nu} p_{\mu} \)).

V. DIFFERENTIAL CROSS SECTION

The differential cross section can be written as the sum of unpolarized and polarized terms, corresponding to the different polarization states and polarization direction of the incident and scattered particles. In our case we consider just polarization of the outgoing antinucleon and longitudinal polarization of the incoming electron (with the degree of polarization \( \lambda_e \)).

\[ \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{d\sigma_{un}}{d\Omega} \left[ 1 + P_y \xi_y + \lambda_e P_x \xi_x + \lambda_e P_z \xi_z \right]. \] (61)

A. Unpolarized differential cross section

The unpolarized differential cross section can be written as

\[ \frac{d\sigma_{un}}{d\Omega} = \frac{\alpha^2 \beta}{4 q^6} \left[ L_{\mu \nu}^{(v)}(0) H_{\mu \nu}^{(v)}(0) + 2 Re(L_{\mu \nu}^{(i)}(0) H_{\mu \nu}^{(i)}(0)) \right] = \frac{\alpha^2 \beta}{4 q^2} D, \]

where \( \beta = \sqrt{1 - 4 m^2/q^2} \) is nucleon velocity in CMS and

\[ D = \frac{1}{q^4} \left[ L_{\mu \nu}^{(v)}(0) H_{\mu \nu}^{(v)}(0) + 2 Re(L_{\mu \nu}^{(i)}(0) H_{\mu \nu}^{(i)}(0)) \right]. \] (62)

Let us calculate the first term of \( D \). According to Eqs. (36) and (51)

\[ L_{\mu \nu}^{(v)}(0) H_{\mu \nu}^{(v)}(0) = \left[ -q^2 g_{\mu \nu} + 2 (k_1 \nu k_2 + k_1 k_2 \nu) \right] \times [H_1 g_{\mu \nu} + H_2 P_{\mu} P_{\nu}] \]

\[ = -H_1 q^2 (4 - \frac{q^2}{q^2}) - H_2 q^2 P^2 + 4 H_1 \left( k_1 \cdot k_2 - \frac{(k_1 \cdot q)(k_2 \cdot q)}{q^2} \right) \]

\[ + 4 H_2 (k_1 \cdot P)(k_2 \cdot P), \] (63)

where \( k_1 \cdot k_2 = k_1 \cdot q = k_2 \cdot q = q^2/2 \) and

\[ p^2 = \frac{(m^2 - p_1 \cdot p_2)^2}{2} = \frac{m^2 - (E^2 - \vec{p}_1 \cdot \vec{p}_2)^2}{2} = m^2 - E^2 = m^2 (1 - \tau), \] (64)
where $E^2 = q^2/4$ and $m^2 = E^2 - \vec{p}_1^2$.

Let us define a coordinate frame in CMS of the reaction $e^+ e^- \rightarrow N + \bar{N}$ in such a way that the $z$ axis is directed along the three-momentum of the antinucleon $(\vec{p}_1)$. Therefore, the components of four-momenta can be written as

$$ p_1 = (E, 0, 0, |\vec{p}_1|) \quad ; \quad k_1 = (E, -|\vec{k}_1| \sin \theta, 0, |\vec{k}_1| \cos \theta) $$

$$ p_2 = (E, 0, 0, -|\vec{p}_1|) \quad ; \quad k_2 = (E, |\vec{k}_1| \sin \theta, 0, -|\vec{k}_1| \cos \theta) $$

$$ q = (2E, 0, 0, 0) \quad ; \quad P = (0, 0, 0, -|\vec{p}_1|), \quad (65) $$

where $|\vec{k}_1| = E = m\sqrt{\tau}$, $|\vec{p}_1| = \sqrt{E^2 - m^2} = m\sqrt{\tau - 1}$ and $\theta$ is the angle between electron and detected antinucleon momenta. These identities and definitions lead to

$$ L^{(w)}_{\mu\nu}(0)H^{(w)}_{\mu\nu}(0) = 2q^4 |\bar{G}_M|^2 + 4m^2 q^2 (|\bar{G}_E|^2 - \tau |\bar{G}_M|^2) $$

$$ -\frac{16}{\tau - 1} (|\bar{G}_E|^2 - \tau |\bar{G}_M|^2) \bar{k}_1^2 |\vec{p}_1|^2 \cos^2 \theta $$

$$ = q^4 \left[ |\bar{G}_M|^2 + \frac{1}{\tau} |\bar{G}_E|^2 - \frac{1}{\tau} (|\bar{G}_E|^2 - \tau |\bar{G}_M|^2) \cos^2 \theta \right] $$

$$ = q^4 \left[ |\bar{G}_M|^2 (1 + \cos^2 \theta) + \frac{1}{\tau} |\bar{G}_E|^2 \sin^2 \theta \right]. \quad (66) $$

The second term of $D$ can be written according to Eqs. (43) and (56) as

$$ L^{(i)}_{\mu\nu}(0)H^{(i)}_{\mu\nu}(0) = 2i \langle \mu \nu | k_2 k_1 \rangle \times 2i A_2 \bar{G}_M^* \langle \mu \nu | p_2 p_1 \rangle, $$

which can be written as (see appendix)

$$ L^{(i)}_{\mu\nu}(0)H^{(i)}_{\mu\nu}(0) = -4A_2 \bar{G}_M^* \left( (k_2 \cdot p_1)(k_1 \cdot p_2) - (k_2 \cdot p_2)(k_1 \cdot p_1) \right) $$

$$ = q^4 \left[ -2A_2 \bar{G}_M^* \frac{1}{\tau} \sqrt{\tau (\tau - 1)} \cos \theta \right]. \quad (67) $$

Finally we get the following expression for $D$

$$ D = |\bar{G}_M|^2 (1 + \cos^2 \theta) + \frac{1}{\tau} |\bar{G}_E|^2 \sin^2 \theta - \frac{4}{\tau} \sqrt{\tau (\tau - 1)} \cos \theta Re \bar{G}_M A_2^*. \quad (68) $$

1. $2\gamma$ mechanism and the unpolarized cross section

To separate the effects due to the Born and TPE contributions, let us single out the dominant contribution and define the following decompositions of the amplitudes

$$ \bar{G}_M(q^2, t) = G_M(q^2) + \Delta G_M(q^2, t), $$

$$ \bar{G}_E(q^2, t) = G_E(q^2) + \Delta G_E(q^2, t). \quad (69) $$
\[ \Delta G_M(q^2, t), \Delta G_E(q^2, t), \text{ and } A_{2\gamma}(q^2, t) \] are of the order of \( \sim \alpha \), while \( G_M(q^2) \) and \( G_E(q^2) \) are of the order of \( \sim \alpha^0 \).

Symmetry properties of the amplitudes with respect to the \( \cos \theta \rightarrow -\cos \theta \) transformation can be derived in model independent way, from the \( C \) invariance of the \( 1\gamma \otimes 2\gamma \) mechanism.

To prove this, let us consider, in addition to \( C \)-invariance, crossing symmetry, which allows to connect the matrix elements for the cross-channels: \( e^-(k_1) + N(p_1) \rightarrow e^-(k_2) + N(p_2) \), in \( s \)-channel, and \( e^+ + e^- \rightarrow N + \overline{N} \), in \( t \)-channel. The transformation from \( s \)- to \( t \)-channel can be realized by the following substitution:

\[ k_2 \rightarrow -k_2, \quad p_1 \rightarrow -p_1. \]

and for the invariant variables:

\[ s = (k_1 + p_1)^2 \rightarrow (k_1 - p_1)^2, \quad Q^2 = -(k_1 - k_2)^2 \rightarrow -(k_1 + k_2)^2 = -t. \]

Crossing symmetry states that the same three amplitudes \( \tilde{G}_E(s, Q^2) \), \( \tilde{G}_M(s, Q^2) \), and \( A_{2\gamma}(s, Q^2) \) describe the two channels, when the variables \( s \) and \( Q^2 \) scan the physical region of the corresponding channels. So, if \( t \geq 4m^2 \) and \(-1 \leq \cos \theta \leq 1 \) (\( \theta \) is the angle of the proton production with respect to the electron three-momentum, in the center of mass \( \text{(CMS)} \) for \( e^+ + e^- \rightarrow N + \overline{N} \)), the amplitudes \( \tilde{G}_E(t, \cos \theta) \), \( \tilde{G}_M(t, \cos \theta) \), and \( A_{2\gamma}(t, \cos \theta) \), describe the process \( e^+ + e^- \rightarrow p + \overline{p} \).

The \( C \)-invariance of the electromagnetic hadron interaction and the corresponding selection rules can be applied to the annihilation channel and this allows to find specific properties for one and two photon exchanges. Moreover, on the basis of the crossing symmetry, it is possible to transform in a transparent way these properties for the different observables in \( eN \)-elastic scattering.

To illustrate this, let us consider firstly the one-photon mechanism for \( e^+ + e^- \rightarrow p + \overline{p} \). The conservation of the total angular momentum \( J \) allows one value, \( J = 1 \), and the quantum numbers of the photon: \( J^P = 1^- \), \( C = -1 \). The selection rules with respect to the \( C \) and \( P \)-invariance allow two states for \( e^+e^- \) (and \( p\overline{p} \)):

\[ S = 1, \quad \ell = 0 \text{ and } S = 1, \quad \ell = 2 \text{ with } J^P = 1^-, \quad (70) \]

where \( S \) is the total spin and \( \ell \) is the orbital angular momentum. As a result the \( \theta \)-dependence of the cross section for \( e^+ + e^- \rightarrow p + \overline{p} \), in the one-photon exchange mechanism
is:
\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(e^+ + e^- \rightarrow p + \overline{p}) \simeq a(t) + b(t) \cos^2 \theta,
\]
(71)
where \(a(t)\) and \(b(t)\) are definite quadratic contributions of \(G_{Ep}(t)\) and \(G_{Mp}(t)\), \(a(t), \ b(t) \geq 0\) at \(t \geq 4m^2\).

Using the kinematical relations:
\[
\cos^2 \theta = \frac{1 + \epsilon}{1 - \epsilon} = \frac{\cot^2 \theta_e/2 + 1}{\csc^2 \theta_e/2 + 1},
\]
(72)
between the variables in the CMS of \(e^+ + e^- \rightarrow p + \overline{p}\) and in the LAB system for \(e^- + p \rightarrow e^- + p\), it appears clearly that the one-photon mechanism generates a linear \(\epsilon\)-dependence (or \(\cot^2 \theta_e/2\)) of the Rosenbluth differential cross section for elastic \(eN\)-scattering in Lab system.

Let us consider now the \(\cos \theta\)-dependence of the \(1\gamma \otimes 2\gamma\)-interference contribution to the differential cross section of \(e^+ + e^- \rightarrow p + \overline{p}\). The spin and parity of the \(2\gamma\)-states is not fixed, in general, but only a positive value of C-parity, \(C(2\gamma) = +1\), is allowed. An infinite number of states with different quantum numbers can contribute, and their relative role is determined by the dynamics of the process \(\gamma^* + \gamma^* \rightarrow p + \overline{p}\), with both virtual photons.

But the \(\cos \theta\)-dependence of the contribution to the differential cross section for the \(1\gamma \otimes 2\gamma\)-interference can be predicted on the basis of its C-odd nature:
\[
\frac{d\sigma^{(\text{int})}}{d\Omega}(e^+ + e^- \rightarrow p + \overline{p}) = \cos \theta[c_0(t) + c_1(t) \cos^2 \theta + c_2(t) \cos^4 \theta + \ldots],
\]
(73)
where \(c_i(t), i = 0, 1, \ldots\) are real coefficients, which are functions of \(t\), only. This odd \(\cos \theta\)-dependence is essentially different from the even \(\cos \theta\)-dependence of the cross section for the one-photon approximation. It is therefore incorrect to approximate the interference contribution to the differential cross section \((73)\) by a linear function in \(\cos^2 \theta\), because it is in contradiction with the C-invariance of hadronic electromagnetic interaction. Such approximation can be done only when all coefficients \(c_i(t)\) vanish, i.e. in absence of \(1\gamma \otimes 2\gamma\)-interference!

Therefore C-invariance predict the following symmetry of the two-photon contribution to the amplitudes in \(e^+e^-\) annihilation:
\[
\Delta G_{M,E}(\cos \theta) = -\Delta G_{M,E}(-\cos \theta), \ A_{2\gamma}(\cos \theta) = A_{2\gamma}(-\cos \theta).
\]
(74)
Let us consider the situation when the experimental apparatus does not distinguish the nucleon from the antinucleon. Then we measure the following sum of the differential cross sections
\[ \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(\cos \theta) + \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(-\cos \theta). \]

We can stress, using the properties (74), that this quantity does not depend on the TPE terms.

Note also that the TPE terms do not contribute to the total cross section of the reaction \( e^+ e^- \rightarrow N + \bar{N} \), which can be written as
\[ \sigma_t(q^2) = \frac{4\pi \alpha^2 \beta}{3q^2} \left[ |G_M(q^2)|^2 + \frac{1}{2\tau} |G_E(q^2)|^2 \right]. \quad (75) \]

On the other hand, the relative contribution of TPE mechanism is enhanced in the following angular asymmetry
\[ A_2(\gamma, q^2, \theta_0) = \frac{\sigma(q^2, \theta_0) - \sigma(q^2, \pi - \theta_0)}{\sigma(q^2, \theta_0) + \sigma(q^2, \pi - \theta_0)}, \quad (76) \]

where the quantities \( \sigma(q^2, \theta_0) \) and \( \sigma(q^2, \pi - \theta_0) \) are defined as follows
\[ \sigma(q^2, \theta_0) = \int_{\theta_0}^{\pi} \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(q^2, \theta) d\Omega, \quad \sigma(q^2, \pi - \theta_0) = \int_{\pi - \theta_0}^{\pi} \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(q^2, \theta) d\Omega. \]

Using the symmetry relations (74) one can obtain for the asymmetry \( A_2(\gamma, q^2, \theta_0) \) the following expression
\[ A_2(\gamma, q^2, \theta_0) = \frac{2}{d} \int_0^{\theta_0} d\cos \theta \left[ (1 + \cos^2 \theta) Re G_M(q^2) \Delta G_M^*(q^2, \cos \theta) \right. \]
\[ + \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\tau} Re G_E(q^2) \Delta G_E^*(q^2, \cos \theta) \]
\[ \left. - \frac{2}{\tau} \sqrt{\tau(\tau - 1)} \cos \theta Re G_M(q^2) A^*(q^2, \cos \theta) \right], \quad (77) \]

where the quantity \( d \) is
\[ d = \frac{1 - x_0}{3} \left[ (4 + x_0 + x_0^2)|G_M|^2 + \frac{1}{\tau}(2 - x_0 - x_0^2)|G_E|^2 \right], \quad x_0 = \cos \theta_0. \]

The TPE contributions can be removed considering the sum of the quantities \( \sigma(q^2, \theta_0) \) and \( \sigma(q^2, \pi - \theta_0) \). As a result we have
\[ \Sigma(q^2, \theta_0) = \sigma(q^2, \theta_0) + \sigma(q^2, \pi - \theta_0) = \frac{\pi \alpha^2}{q^2} \beta d \quad (78) \]
always neglecting the terms of the order of \( \alpha^2 \) with respect to the leading ones.
B. Single spin polarization observables, antiproton polarization \( P_y \)

\( P_y \) is a single-spin polarization observable, which appears in the Born approximation in the \( e^- + e^+ \rightarrow N + \bar{N} \) process with one polarized particle - the antinucleon (\( \bar{N} \)), which is polarized along the \( y \)-axis. It is shown below, that this observable doesn’t depend on polarization of electron. Polarization of antinucleon along \( y \)-axis means, that its polarization unit vector \( \vec{\xi} \) has only \( y \)-component (\( \vec{\xi} = (0, 1, 0) \)). This leads to following properties of antinucleon \( s_{1y} \) [43], [65]

\[
\vec{p}_1 \cdot \vec{\xi} = 0 \Rightarrow s_{10} = 0; \quad \vec{s}_{1y} = \vec{\xi} = (0, 1, 0). \tag{79}
\]

The general expression for \( P_y \) is

\[
P_y = \frac{\alpha^2 \beta}{4q^6} \left[ L^{(v)}_{\mu \nu} H^{(i)}_{\mu \nu} (s_{1y}) + 2 Re(L^{(i)}_{\mu \nu} H^{(i)}_{\mu \nu} (s_{1y})) \right] \int \frac{d\sigma_{un}}{d\Omega}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{Dq^4} \left[ L^{(v)}_{\mu \nu} H^{(v)}_{\mu \nu} (s_{1y}) + 2 Re(L^{(i)}_{\mu \nu} H^{(i)}_{\mu \nu} (s_{1y})) \right], \tag{80}
\]

which can be divided into two parts - with unpolarized electron and with polarized electron

\[
P_y = \frac{1}{Dq^4} \left[ L^{(v)}_{\mu \nu} (0) H^{(v)}_{\mu \nu} (s_{1y}) + 2 Re(L^{(i)}_{\mu \nu} (0) H^{(i)}_{\mu \nu} (s_{1y})) \right]
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{Dq^4} \left[ L^{(v)}_{\mu \nu} (S) H^{(v)}_{\mu \nu} (s_{1y}) + 2 Re(L^{(i)}_{\mu \nu} (S) H^{(i)}_{\mu \nu} (s_{1y})) \right].
\]

Firstly we will prove that longitudinally polarized electron doesn’t contribute to the polarization observable \( P_y \). The first term of the polarized electron part equals [40], [54] for \( \lambda_e = 1 \):

\[
L^{(v)}_{\mu \nu} (S) H^{(v)}_{\mu \nu} (s_{1y}) = 2i \langle \mu \nu k_1 q \rangle \times \frac{2}{m(\tau - 1)} \left[ i m^2 (\tau - 1)|\vec{G}_M|^2 \langle \mu \nu q s_{1y} \rangle 
\]

\[
+ i Re(\vec{G}_M (\vec{G}_E - \bar{\vec{G}}_M^*) P_\mu \langle \nu p_2 p_1 s_{1y} \rangle - P_\nu \langle \mu p_2 p_1 s_{1y} \rangle)
\]

\[
+ i m(\vec{G}_M \bar{\vec{G}}_M^*) (P_\mu \langle \nu p_2 p_1 s_{1y} \rangle + P_\nu \langle \mu p_2 p_1 s_{1y} \rangle). \tag{81}
\]

The lepton tensor is antisymmetric, therefore its product with the third (symmetric) part of the hadron tensor vanishes. The first product is proportional to

\[
\langle \mu \nu k_1 q \rangle \times \langle \mu \nu q s_{1y} \rangle = 2(k_1 \cdot s_{1y} q^2 - k_1 \cdot q s_{1y} \cdot q) = 0,
\]

where we used [65] [79] \( k_1 \cdot s_{1y} = s_{1y} \cdot q = 0 \). The second product is proportional to

\[
\langle \mu \nu k_1 q \rangle \times (P_\mu \langle \nu p_2 p_1 s_{1y} \rangle - P_\nu \langle \mu p_2 p_1 s_{1y} \rangle) = -2 \langle \nu P k_1 q \rangle \langle \nu p_2 p_1 s_{1y} \rangle
\]

\[
= -2 \left[ P \cdot p_2 (k_1 \cdot s_{1y} q \cdot p_1 - k_1 \cdot p_1 q \cdot s_{1y}) + P \cdot p_1 (k_1 \cdot p_2 q \cdot s_{1y} - k_1 \cdot s_{1y} q \cdot p_2)
\]

\[
+ P \cdot s_{1y} (k_1 \cdot p_1 q \cdot p_2 - k_1 \cdot p_2 q \cdot p_1) \right] = 0, \tag{82}
\]

\[
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\]
where again Eqs. \[55, 79\] was used ($P \cdot s_{1y} = q \cdot s_{1y} = k_1 \cdot s_{1y} = 0$).

The second term of the polarized electron part of $P_y$ \[45, 60\]

\[
L_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}(S)H_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}(s_{1y}) = \left[q^2 g_{\mu\nu} - 2(k_{2\mu}k_{1\nu} + k_{2\nu}k_{1\mu})\right] \times \\
\times m A_2 \left[ -2q \cdot s_{1y} \tilde{G}_M^* g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{2q \cdot s_{1y}}{m^2(1 - \tau)}(\tilde{G}_M - \tilde{G}_E)^* p_{1\mu} P_{1\nu} \right. \\
+ (\tilde{G}_M + \tilde{G}_E)^*(s_{1y\mu} p_{2\nu} + s_{1y\nu} p_{2\mu}) + (\tilde{G}_M - \tilde{G}_E)^*(s_{1y\mu} p_{1\nu} + s_{1y\nu} p_{1\mu}) \\
- (\tilde{G}_M + \tilde{G}_E)^*(s_{1y\mu} p_{1\nu} - s_{1y\nu} p_{1\mu}) - (\tilde{G}_M - \tilde{G}_E)^*(s_{1y\mu} p_{2\nu} - s_{1y\nu} p_{2\mu}) \right],
\]

where taking into account that $q \cdot s_{1y} = 0$ and that the product of a symmetric tensor ($L_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}$) and an antisymmetric tensor is zero leads to

\[
L_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}(S)H_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}(s_{1y}) = 2q^2 m A_2 \left[ (\tilde{G}_M + \tilde{G}_E)^* s_{1y} \cdot p_2 + (\tilde{G}_M - \tilde{G}_E)^* s_{1y} \cdot p_1 \right] \\
- 4m A_2 ((\tilde{G}_M + \tilde{G}_E)^* (k_2 \cdot s_{1y} k_1 \cdot p_2 + k_1 \cdot s_{1y} k_2 \cdot p_2) \\
+ (\tilde{G}_M - \tilde{G}_E)^* (k_2 s_{1y} k_1 \cdot p_1 + k_1 \cdot s_{1y} k_2 \cdot p_1)) = 0, \quad (83)
\]

while $k_2 \cdot s_{1y} = k_1 \cdot s_{1y} = p_1 \cdot s_{1y} = p_2 \cdot s_{1y} = 0$.

Therefore, the polarization observable $P_y$ depends only on the unpolarized part

\[
P_y = \frac{1}{Dq^4} \left[ L_{\mu\nu}^{(v)}(0)H_{\mu\nu}^{(v)}(s_{1y}) + 2Re(L_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}(0)H_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}(s_{1y})) \right]. \quad (84)
\]

With the help of Eqs. \[36, 54\], the first term is equal to

\[
L_{\mu\nu}^{(v)}(0)H_{\mu\nu}^{(v)}(s_{1y}) = \left[ -q^2 g_{\mu\nu} + 2(k_{1\nu} k_{2\mu} + k_{1\mu} k_{2\nu}) \right] \\
\times \frac{2}{m(\tau - 1)} \left[ Im \langle \tilde{G}_M \rangle^2 \langle \mu\nu q s_{1y} \rangle \right. \\
+ iRe(\tilde{G}_M^* \tilde{G}_E - \tilde{G}_M^*)(P_\mu \langle \nu p_2 s_{1y} \rangle - P_\nu \langle \mu p_2 s_{1y} \rangle) \\
+ Im(\tilde{G}_M \tilde{G}_E^*) (P_\mu \langle \nu p_2 s_{1y} \rangle + P_\nu \langle \mu p_2 s_{1y} \rangle) \right] .
\]

As $L_{\mu\nu}^{(v)}(0)$ is a symmetric tensor it gives non-zero product only with the last (symmetric) part of $H_{\mu\nu}^{(v)}(s_{1y})$

\[
L_{\mu\nu}^{(v)}(0)H_{\mu\nu}^{(v)}(s_{1y}) = \left[ -q^2 g_{\mu\nu} + 2(k_{1\nu} k_{2\mu} + k_{1\mu} k_{2\nu}) \right] \\
\times \frac{2}{m(\tau - 1)} \left[ Im(\tilde{G}_M \tilde{G}_E^*)(P_\mu \langle \nu p_2 s_{1y} \rangle + P_\nu \langle \mu p_2 s_{1y} \rangle) \right] \\
= \frac{2Im(\tilde{G}_M \tilde{G}_E^*)}{m(\tau - 1)} \left[ -q^2 \langle p_2 p_2 s_{1y} \rangle + q^2 \langle p_1 p_2 s_{1y} \rangle \\
+ 4k_1 \cdot P \langle k_2 p_2 s_{1y} \rangle + 4k_2 \cdot P \langle k_1 p_2 s_{1y} \rangle \right] ,
\]
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where \( \langle p_2 p_2 p_1 s_{1y} \rangle = \langle p_1 p_2 p_1 s_{1y} \rangle = 0 \), because they are antisymmetric with two equal components.

The computation of \( \langle k_2 p_2 p_1 s_{1y} \rangle \) and \( \langle k_1 p_2 p_1 s_{1y} \rangle \) is more complicated and for the first time we will make it in detail. Let us recall the definition of

\[
\langle k_2 p_2 p_1 s_{1y} \rangle = \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} k_{2\mu} p_{2\nu} p_{1\rho} s_{1y\sigma}, \quad \mu, \nu, \rho, \sigma = 0...3. \tag{85}
\]

We get non-zero result only if indices \( \mu, \nu, \rho, \sigma \) are different from each other (due to antisymmetric \( \varepsilon \)-tensor property) and components \( k_{2\mu}, p_{2\nu}, p_{1\rho}, s_{1y\sigma} \) are non-zero for the given index. Notice, that in case of \( P_y \) polarization four-vector \( s_{1y} \) has only one \( (y) \) non-zero component \( \{79\} \), so in the equation \( \langle 85 \rangle \) \( \sigma = 2 \). On the other hand four-momentum \( k_2 \) is the only one with non-zero \( x \)-component and therefore \( \mu = 1 \). At last \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \) have two nonzero components, what leads into (with \( \varepsilon_{1230} = 1 \))

\[
\varepsilon_{1\nu\nu\tau} k_{2x} p_{2x} p_{1\rho} s_{1y} = -\varepsilon_{1032} k_{2x} p_{20} p_{1z} - \varepsilon_{1302} k_{2x} p_{2z} p_{10} = k_{2x} p_{20} p_{1z} - k_{2x} p_{2z} p_{10} = 2E|\vec{k}_1||\vec{p}_1| \sin \theta = \frac{q^2}{2} m\sqrt{\tau - 1} \sin \theta. \tag{86}
\]

For the \( \langle k_1 p_2 p_1 s_{1y} \rangle \) we obtain a similar result

\[
\langle k_1 p_2 p_1 s_{1y} \rangle = -\frac{q^2}{2} m\sqrt{\tau - 1} \sin \theta
\]

and according to Eq. \( \langle 85 \rangle \) \( k_1 \cdot P = -k_2 \cdot P = m^2 \sqrt{\tau(\tau - 1)} \cos \theta \), which all together give a result

\[
L^{(v)}(0) H^{(v)}(s_{1y}) = \frac{8}{m(\tau - 1)} Im(\tilde{G}_M \tilde{G}_E^*) q^2 m^3 (\tau - 1) \sqrt{\tau} \sin \theta \cos \theta = 8m^2 q^2 Im(\tilde{G}_M \tilde{G}_E^*) \sqrt{\tau} \sin \theta \cos \theta. \tag{87}
\]

With the help of Eqs. \( \{13, 60\} \), the second term of Eq. \( \langle 84 \rangle \) is equal to

\[
L^{(i)}(0) H^{(i)}(s_{1y}) = 2i \langle \mu \nu k_2 k_1 \rangle m A_{2\gamma} \left[ -2q \cdot s_{1y} \tilde{G}_M^* g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{2q \cdot s_{1y}}{m^2(1 - \tau)} (\tilde{G}_M - \tilde{G}_E^*) p_{1\mu} p_{1\nu} \right. \\
+ (\tilde{G}_M + \tilde{G}_E^*) (s_{1\mu} p_{2\nu} + s_{1\nu} p_{2\mu}) + (\tilde{G}_M - \tilde{G}_E^*) (s_{1\mu} p_{1\nu} + s_{1\nu} p_{1\mu}) \\
- (\tilde{G}_M + \tilde{G}_E^*) (s_{1\mu} p_{1\nu} - s_{1\nu} p_{1\mu}) - (\tilde{G}_M - \tilde{G}_E^*) (s_{1\mu} p_{2\nu} - s_{1\nu} p_{2\mu}) \left. \right],
\]

where \( q \cdot s_{1y} = 0 \) and the product of the antisymmetric \( L^{(i)}(0) \) with the symmetric parts of
\[ H^{(i)}_{\mu\nu}(s_{1y}) \text{ vanishes:} \]
\[
L^{(i)}_{\mu\nu}(0)H^{(i)}_{\mu\nu}(s_{1y})
= -2imA_2\gamma \langle \mu\nu k_2k_1 \rangle \left[ (\hat{G}_M + \hat{G}_E)^*(s_{1y}\mu p_{1\nu} - s_{1y}\nu p_{1\mu}) + (\hat{G}_M - \hat{G}_E)^*(s_{1y}\mu p_{2\nu} - s_{1y}\nu p_{2\mu}) \right]
= -4imA_2\gamma \left[ \hat{G}^*_M((s_{1y}\mu p_1k_2k_1) + (s_{1y}\nu p_2k_2k_1)) + \hat{G}^*_E((s_{1y}\mu p_1k_2k_1) - (s_{1y}\nu p_2k_2k_1)) \right]
\]
and
\[
\langle s_{1y}\mu p_1k_2k_1 \rangle + \langle s_{1y}\nu p_2k_2k_1 \rangle = \langle s_{1y}\mu qk_2k_1 \rangle = 0
\]
\[
\langle s_{1y}\mu p_1k_2k_1 \rangle - \langle s_{1y}\nu p_2k_2k_1 \rangle = -2\langle s_{1y}\mu Pk_2k_1 \rangle.
\]
Therefore
\[
L^{(i)}_{\mu\nu}(0)H^{(i)}_{\mu\nu}(s_{1y}) = 8imA_2\gamma \hat{G}^*_E \langle s_{1y}\mu Pk_2k_1 \rangle,
\]
where (similar to previous derivation)
\[
\varepsilon_{23\mu\nu}s_{yy}P_zk_2k_1 = -\varepsilon_{2310}(\vec{p}_1)v_{20}k_2v_{10} - \varepsilon_{2310}(\vec{p}_1)v_{20}k_2v_{10} = 2m^3\sqrt{\tau - 1}\sin\theta.
\]
So the second term of Eq. (84) is
\[
L^{(i)}_{\mu\nu}(0)H^{(i)}_{\mu\nu}(s_{1y}) = 16im^4A_2\gamma \hat{G}^*_E \sqrt{\tau - 1}\sin\theta
\]
and finally for \( P_y \) (87 88) we get
\[
P_y = \frac{2\sin\theta}{D\sqrt{\tau}} \left[ \text{Im}(\hat{G}_M\hat{G}^*_E)\cos\theta + \sqrt{\frac{\tau - 1}{\tau}}\text{Re}[iA_2\gamma\hat{G}^*_E] \right]
\]
\[
= \frac{2\sin\theta}{D\sqrt{\tau}} \left[ \text{Im}(\hat{G}_M\hat{G}^*_E)\cos\theta + \sqrt{\frac{\tau - 1}{\tau}}\text{Im}[A_2\gamma\hat{G}^*_E] \right].
\]
The polarization of the outgoing antinucleon in this case is determined by the polarization component which is perpendicular to the reaction plane.

1. 2\( \gamma \) mechanism and the single spin polarization \( P_y \)

The polarization \( P_y \), being T–odd quantity, does not vanish even in the one–photon–exchange approximation due to the complexity of the nucleon FFs in the TL region (to say
more exactly, due to the non–zero difference of the phases of these FFs). This is principal
difference with the elastic electron–nucleon scattering.

In the Born approximation this polarization becomes equal to zero at the scattering angle
θ = 90° (as well at θ = 0° and 180°). The presence of the TPE contributions leads to a
non–zero value of the polarization at this angle and it is determined by a simple expression

\[ P_y(90°) = 2\sqrt{\frac{\tau - 1}{\tau D}} ImG_E A_{2y}^*, \quad D = D(\theta = 90°). \]

Here the function \( A_{2y} \) is also calculated at the value \( \theta = 90° \). This quantity expected to
be small due to the fact that it is determined by the interference of the one–photon and
two–photon exchange amplitudes and should be of the order of \( \alpha \). The measurement of this
polarization at \( \theta = 90° \) contains information about the TPE contribution and its behavior
as a function of \( q^2 \).

In the threshold region we can conclude that in the Born approximation this polariza-
tion vanishes, due to the relation \( G_E = G_M \) which is valid at the threshold. The TPE
contributions induces a non zero polarization, which is determined by a simple formula

\[ P_{yth}(\theta) = \sin 2\theta Dth ImG_N(\Delta G_E - \Delta G_M)^*. \]

Note that, at threshold, this polarization can still vanish if \( \Delta G_E = \Delta G_M \). In this case the
differential cross section does not contain any explicit dependence on the angular variable \( \theta \).
In the general case, the amplitudes \( \Delta G_{E,M} \) depend on the \( \theta \) variable. The effect of the TPE
contributions for the polarization at an arbitrary scattering angle is expected to increase as
\( q^2 \) increase, as the TPE amplitudes decrease more slowly with \( q^2 \) in comparison with the
nucleon FFs.

Using the properties of the TPE amplitudes with respect to the \( \cos \theta \rightarrow -\cos \theta \) transfor-
mation, one can remove the contributions of the TPE effects by constructing the following
quantities. Let us introduce the terms \( P_y(q^2, \theta_0) \) and \( P_y(q^2, \pi - \theta_0) \), which are integrals
of the polarization \( P_y(q^2, \theta) \) over the angular regions connected by the above mentioned
transformation

\[ P_y(q^2, \theta_0) = \int_0^{\theta_0} P_y(q^2, \theta) d\Omega, \quad P_y(q^2, \pi - \theta_0) = \int_{\pi - \theta_0}^{\pi} P_y(q^2, \theta) d\Omega. \]

Let us calculate the sum and the difference of these two quantities. At the first order of the
coupling constant $\alpha$, we obtain

$$D^P(q^2, \theta_0) = P_y(q^2, \theta_0) - P_y(q^2, \pi - \theta_0) =$$

$$= -\frac{8\pi R}{\sqrt{\tau}}(1 - \frac{R^2}{\tau})^{(3/2)} \sin(\delta_M - \delta_E) \left[ \sqrt{z} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \ln \left| \frac{\sqrt{z} - \sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{z} + \sqrt{2}} \right| \right],$$

(90)

where

$$R = \frac{|G_E|}{|G_M|}, \quad z = (1 - x_0^2)(1 - \frac{R^2}{\tau}),$$

and $\delta_M(\delta_E)$ is the phase of the complex FF $G_M(G_E)$. We can see that, in this approximation, the quantity $D^P$ does not depend on the TPE contribution. So, the phase difference of FFs can be correctly determined from this quantity, if the ratio $R$ is known.

Let us consider the ratio of the function $\Sigma(q^2, \theta_0)$, Eq. (78), calculated at two values of $\theta_0$:

$$\frac{\Sigma(q^2, \theta_1)}{\Sigma(q^2, \theta_2)} = \frac{1 - x_1}{1 - x_2} \cdot \frac{4 + x_1 + x_1^2 + \frac{1}{\tau}(2 - x_1 - x_1^2)R^2}{4 + x_2 + x_2^2 + \frac{1}{\tau}(2 - x_2 - x_2^2)R^2}, \quad x_i = \cos \theta_i, \ i = 1, 2$$

This ratio allows to determine $R$, minimizing systematic errors.

The magnitude of the TPE contribution to the polarization $P_y$, integrated over the considered angular region, can be obtained from the sum of the quantities introduced above

$$\Sigma^P(q^2, \theta_0) = P_y(q^2, \theta_0) + P_y(q^2, \pi - \theta_0)$$

$$= \frac{8\pi}{\sqrt{\tau}} \int_0^{\theta_0} d\cos \theta \frac{\sin \theta}{D_B} \left\{ \cos \theta \Im\left( G_M \Delta G^*_E - G_E \Delta G^*_M \right) \right.$$}

$$-2\cos \theta \frac{\Im G_M G^*_E}{D_B} \left[ (1 + \cos^2 \theta) \Re G_M \Delta G^*_M + \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\tau} \Re G_E \Delta G^*_E \right]$$

$$-\sqrt{\frac{\tau - 1}{\tau}} \left[ \Im G_E A^*_2, + 4\cos^2 \theta \frac{\Im G_M G^*_E}{D_B} \Re G_M A^*_N \right] \right\},$$

(91)

where

$$D_B = (1 + \cos^2 \theta)|G_M|^2 + \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\tau}|G_E|^2.$$

VI. DOUBLE SPIN POLARIZATION OBSERVABLES

A. The component $P_x$

$P_x$ is a double-spin polarization observable: the polarization of the incoming electron is necessary, in order to obtain a polarization of the outgoing antinucleon along the $x$-axis.
The definition of the polarization observable $P_x$ is similar to $P_y$:

$$P_x = \frac{1}{Dq^4} \left[ L_{\mu\nu}^{(i)} H_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}(s_{1x}) + 2Re(L_{\mu\nu}^{(i)} H_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}(s_{1x})) \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (92)

where, according to definition (34), the four-vector $s_{1x}$ is

$$\vec{\xi} = (1, 0, 0) \Rightarrow s_{x0} = 0 ; \vec{s}_{1x} = (1, 0, 0).$$  \hspace{1cm} (93)

For the derivation of $P_x$ we can use the same arguments as for $P_y$, with the following specificities:

- $k_1, k_2$ are not perpendicular to $s_{1x}$ and $k_1 \cdot s_{1x} = -k_2 \cdot s_{1x} = |\vec{k}_1| \sin \theta$.

- the fully contracted terms $\langle ..., \rangle$, which contain only $s, k_1, k_2, p_1, p_2, q, P$ are vanishing, because these four-vectors have zero $y$-component.

The first property can be used in steps (81, 82, 83) and, as a consequence, the polarized electron part of $P_x$ is not vanishing. The second property can be used in the derivation of unpolarized electron part of $P_x$, where similarly to $P_y$, the only 'non-zero' terms are proportional to $\langle ..., \rangle$ terms, which are zero for $P_x$. Therefore unpolarized electron process doesn’t contribute to $P_x$.

Let us repeat steps (81, 82) for $P_x$:

$$\langle \mu \nu k_1 q \rangle \times \langle \mu \nu q s_{1x} \rangle = 2(k_1 \cdot s_{1x} q^2 - k_1 \cdot q s_{1x} \cdot q) = 2k_1 \cdot s_{1x} q^2 = 2m\sqrt{\tau}q^2 \sin \theta,$$

where $s_{1x} \cdot q = 0$. And

$$\langle \mu \nu k_1 q \rangle \times (P_\mu \langle \nu p_2 p_1 s_{1x} \rangle - P_\nu \langle \mu p_2 p_1 s_{1x} \rangle) = -2[P \cdot p_2(k_1 \cdot s_{1x} q \cdot p_1 - k_1 \cdot p_1 q \cdot s_{1x}) + P \cdot p_1(k_1 \cdot p_2 q \cdot s_{1x} - k_1 \cdot s_{1x} q \cdot p_2) + P \cdot s_{1x}(k_1 \cdot p_1 q \cdot p_2 - k_1 \cdot p_2 q \cdot p_1)]$$

$$= 2[P \cdot p_1 k_1 \cdot s_{1x} q \cdot p_2 - P \cdot p_2 k_1 \cdot s_{1x} q \cdot p_1] = 2q^2 m^3(\tau - 1)\sqrt{\tau} \sin \theta,$$

where $s_{1x} \cdot q = s_{1x} \cdot P = 0$. These differences lead to

$$L_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}(S) H_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}(s_{1x}) = -8m^2 q^2 \sqrt{\tau} \sin \theta [\tilde{G}_M^2 + Re(\tilde{G}_M(\tilde{G}_E - \tilde{G}_M)^*)]$$

$$= -8m^2 q^2 \sqrt{\tau} \sin \theta Re(\tilde{G}_M \tilde{G}_E^*)$$  \hspace{1cm} (94)
And step $^83$

$$L^{(i)}_{\mu\nu}(S)H^{(i)}_{\mu\nu}(s_{1z}) = 2q^2mA_{2\gamma}((\tilde{G}_M + \tilde{G}_E)^*s_{1x} \cdot p_2 + (\tilde{G}_M - \tilde{G}_E)^*s_{1x} \cdot p_1)$$

$$-4mA_{2\gamma}[(\tilde{G}_M + \tilde{G}_E)^*(k_2 \cdot s_{1x} k_1 \cdot p_2 + k_1 \cdot s_{1x} k_2 \cdot p_2)$$

$$+ (\tilde{G}_M - \tilde{G}_E)^*(k_2 \cdot s_{1x} k_1 \cdot p_1 + k_1 \cdot s_{1x} k_2 \cdot p_1)]$$

$$= 0 - 4mA_{2\gamma}[(\tilde{G}_M + \tilde{G}_E)^*k_1 \cdot s_{1x} p_2 \cdot (k_2 - k_1)$$

$$+ (\tilde{G}_M - \tilde{G}_E)^*k_1 \cdot s_{1x} p_1 \cdot (k_2 - k_1)]$$

$$= 16m^4\sqrt{\tau - 1}\cos\theta \sin\theta A_{2\gamma}\tilde{G}_E^*.$$  \hfill (95)

The results $^{94}95$ lead to final formula for $P_z$

$$P_z = -\frac{2\sin\theta}{D\sqrt{\tau}} \left[ Re(\tilde{G}_M\tilde{G}_E^*) - \sqrt{\frac{\tau - 1}{\tau}} \cos\theta Re(A_{2\gamma}\tilde{G}_E^*) \right].$$  \hfill (96)

**B. The component $P_z$**

$P_z$ is the polarization of the outgoing antinucleon along the $z$-axis. It is a double spin polarization observable, induced by the polarization of incoming electron. The definition of $P_z$ is (similarly to $P_y$):

$$P_z = \frac{1}{Dq^4} \left[ L^{(v)}_{\mu\nu}(S)H^{(v)}_{\mu\nu}(s_{1z}) + 2Re(L^{(i)}_{\mu\nu}(S)H^{(i)}_{\mu\nu}(s_{1z})) \right],$$

where $s_{1z}$ is the polarization four-vector with components (similar to longitudinal polarization of electron, Eq. $^{39}$)

$$\vec{\xi} = (0, 0, 1) \Rightarrow s_{z_0} = \frac{|\vec{p}_1|}{m} = \sqrt{\tau - 1}; \; \vec{s}_{1z} = (0, 0, \frac{E}{m}) = (0, 0, \sqrt{\tau}).$$  \hfill (97)

As we can see $s_{1z}$ doesn’t have $y$-component, what implies (similar as for $P_z$) that the unpolarized electron part doesn’t contribute to $P_z$

$$P_z = \frac{1}{Dq^4} \left[ L^{(v)}_{\mu\nu}(S)H^{(v)}_{\mu\nu}(s_{1z}) + 2Re(L^{(i)}_{\mu\nu}(S)H^{(i)}_{\mu\nu}(s_{1z})) \right].$$  \hfill (98)

The first part of Eq. $^{98}$ comes from Eqs. $^{40}54$

$$L^{(v)}_{\mu\nu}(S)H^{(v)}_{\mu\nu}(s_{1z}) = 2i \langle \mu\nu k_1 q \rangle \times \frac{2}{m(\tau - 1)} \left[ im^2(\tau - 1)|\tilde{G}_M|^2 \langle \mu\nu s_{1z} \rangle \right.$$\hfill +iRe($\tilde{G}_M(\tilde{G}_E - \tilde{G}_M)^*)(P_{\mu} \langle \nu p_2 p_1 s_{1z} \rangle - P_{\nu} \langle \mu p_2 p_1 s_{1z} \rangle)$$

$$+ i m(\tilde{G}_M\tilde{G}_E^*)(P_{\mu} \langle \nu p_2 p_1 s_{1z} \rangle + P_{\nu} \langle \mu p_2 p_1 s_{1z} \rangle \right].$$
where antisymmetric leptonic tensor gives vanish with symmetric parts of hadronic tensor

\[ L^{(\nu)}_{\mu\nu}(S)H^{(\nu)}_{\mu\nu}(s_{1z}) = 2i \langle \mu \nu k_1 q \rangle \times \frac{2}{m(\tau - 1)} \left[ im^2(\tau - 1)|\tilde{G}_M|^2 \langle \mu \nu q s_{1z} \rangle \right. \]

\[ + iRe(\tilde{G}_M(\tilde{G}_E - \tilde{G}_M)^*)(P_{\mu} \langle \nu p_2 p_1 s_{1z} \rangle - P_{\nu} \langle \mu p_2 p_1 s_{1z} \rangle) \], \tag{99} \]

where

\[ \langle \mu \nu k_1 q \rangle \times \langle \mu \nu q s_{1z} \rangle = 2(k_1 \cdot s_{1z} q^2 - q \cdot s_{1z} k_1 \cdot q) = -2m^2 q^2 \cos \theta \tag{100} \]

and

\[ \langle \mu \nu k_1 q \rangle \times (P_{\mu} \langle \nu p_2 p_1 s_{1z} \rangle - P_{\nu} \langle \mu p_2 p_1 s_{1z} \rangle) = -2 \langle \mu P k_1 q \rangle \times \langle \mu p_2 p_1 s_{1z} \rangle \]

\[ = -2[P \cdot p_2 (k_1 \cdot s_{1z} q \cdot p_1 - k_1 \cdot p_1 q \cdot s_{1z}) + P \cdot p_1 (k_1 \cdot p_2 q \cdot s_{1z} - k_1 \cdot s_{1z} q \cdot p_2) \]

\[ + P \cdot s_{1z} (k_1 \cdot p_1 q \cdot p_2 - k_1 \cdot p_2 q \cdot p_1)] = 0, \tag{101} \]

where we used notations (65) and (97). Now we can use Eqs. (100, 101) in Eq. (99)

\[ L^{(\nu)}_{\mu\nu}(S)H^{(\nu)}_{\mu\nu}(s_{1z}) = 2q^4 |\tilde{G}_M|^2 \cos \theta \tag{102} \]

The second part of (98) is according to (45, 60)

\[ L^{(i)}_{\mu\nu}(S)H^{(i)}_{\mu\nu}(s_{1z}) = [q^2 g_{\mu\nu} - 2(k_{2\mu} k_{1\nu} + k_{2\nu} k_{1\mu})] m_{A_2} \left[ -2q \cdot s_{1z} \tilde{G}^*_M g_{\mu\nu} \right. \]

\[ - \frac{2q \cdot s_{1z}}{m^2(1 - \tau)} (\tilde{G}_M - \tilde{G}_E)^* p_{1\mu} P_{\nu} \]

\[ + (\tilde{G}_M + \tilde{G}_E)^*(s_{1z\mu p_{2\nu}} + s_{1z\nu p_{2\mu}}) + (\tilde{G}_M - \tilde{G}_E)^*(s_{1z\mu p_{1\nu}} + s_{1z\nu p_{1\mu}}) \]

\[ - (\tilde{G}_M + \tilde{G}_E)^*(s_{1z\mu p_{1\nu}} - s_{1z\nu p_{1\mu}}) - (\tilde{G}_M - \tilde{G}_E)^*(s_{1z\mu p_{2\nu}} - s_{1z\nu p_{2\mu}}) \], \tag{103} \]

where the symmetric leptonic tensor vanish when multiplied with the antisymmetric part of hadronic tensor

\[ L^{(i)}_{\mu\nu}(S)H^{(i)}_{\mu\nu}(s_{1z}) = [q^2 g_{\mu\nu} - 2(k_{2\mu} k_{1\nu} + k_{2\nu} k_{1\mu})] \]

\[ \times m_{A_2} \left[ -2q \cdot s_{1z} \tilde{G}^*_M g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{2q \cdot s_{1z} (\tilde{G}_M - \tilde{G}_E)^*}{m^2(1 - \tau)} p_{1\mu} P_{\nu} \right. \]

\[ + (\tilde{G}_M + \tilde{G}_E)^*(s_{1z\mu p_{2\nu}} + s_{1z\nu p_{2\mu}}) + (\tilde{G}_M - \tilde{G}_E)^*(s_{1z\mu p_{1\nu}} + s_{1z\nu p_{1\mu}}) \]
and after multiplication we get
\[
L_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}(S)H_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}(s_{1z}) = 2q^2 m A_2\gamma \left[-3q \cdot s_{1z} \tilde{G}_M^* \frac{q \cdot s_{1z}(\tilde{G}_M - \tilde{G}_E)^*}{m^2(1 - \tau)} p_1 \cdot P + 2\tilde{G}_E s_{1z} \cdot P \right] \\
+ 4m A_2\gamma \left[2q \cdot s_{1z} \tilde{G}_M^* k_1 \cdot k_2 + \frac{q \cdot s_{1z}(\tilde{G}_M - \tilde{G}_E)^*}{m^2(1 - \tau)} (p_1 \cdot k_1 P \cdot k_2 + p_1 \cdot k_2 P \cdot k_1) \right. \\
- (\tilde{G}_M + \tilde{G}_E)^*(s_{1z} \cdot k_2 p_2 \cdot k_1 + s_{1z} \cdot k_1 p_2 \cdot k_2) \\
- (\tilde{G}_M - \tilde{G}_E)^*(s_{1z} \cdot k_2 p_1 \cdot k_1 + s_{1z} \cdot k_1 p_1 \cdot k_2) \right].
\] 

(104)

Inserting Eqs. (65) and (97) the following expression is obtained:
\[
L_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}(S)H_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}(s_{1z}) = -q^4 A_2\gamma \tilde{G}_M^* \sqrt{\tau - 1 \over \tau} (1 + \cos^2 \theta).
\] 

(105)

Substituting Eqs. (102, 105) into Eq. (98), the final formula for \( P_z \) is:
\[
P_z = \frac{2}{D} \left[|\tilde{G}_M|^2 \cos \theta - \text{Re}(A_2\gamma \tilde{G}_M^*) \sqrt{\tau - 1 \over \tau} (1 + \cos^2 \theta) \right].
\]

(106)

Transversally polarized electron beams lead to antinucleon polarization, which is a factor \((m_e/m)\) smaller than in case of longitudinal polarization.

The polarization component \( P_z \) vanishes when the proton is emitted at an angle \( \theta = 90^0 \) in the Born approximation. But the presence of the TPE term \( A_2\gamma \) in the electromagnetic hadron current may lead to non–zero value of this quantity if the amplitude \( A_2\gamma(\theta = 90^0) \) is not zero, since the value of this component is determined by the term \( \text{Re}A_2\gamma \tilde{G}_M^* \).

\[\text{VII. SPIN CORRELATIONS}\]

Let us consider the case when the produced antinucleon and nucleon are both polarized. For convenience, let us write the vector part of the hadronic current in the following form
\[
J_{\mu}^{(v)} = \bar{u}(p_2) \left[\tilde{G}_M \gamma_{\mu} + G_2 P_\mu \right] u(-p_1),
\]

(107)

where we introduce the following notation:
\[
G_2 = -\frac{\tilde{G}_M - \tilde{G}_E}{m(1 - \tau)},
\]

(108)
which differs by sign for the corresponding Eqs. (28,29). Then, according to the definition, the hadronic tensor \( H^{(v)}_{\mu\nu} \) is

\[
H^{(v)}_{\mu\nu} = J^{(v)}_{\mu} J^{(v)*}_{\nu} = \bar{u}(p_2) \left[ \tilde{G}_M \gamma_\mu + G_2 P_\mu \right] u(-p_1) \bar{u}(-p_1) \gamma_4 \left[ \tilde{G}_M \gamma_\nu + G_2 P_\nu \right] + \gamma_4 u(p_2)
\]

\[
= Tr \Lambda(p_2) \left[ \tilde{G}_M \gamma_\mu + G_2 P_\mu \right] \Lambda(-p_1) \left[ \tilde{G}_M^{*} \gamma_\nu + G_2^{*} P_\nu \right]
\]

\[
= Tr \frac{1}{2} (\hat{p}_2 + m)(1 - \gamma_5 \hat{s}_2) \left[ \tilde{G}_M \gamma_\mu + G_2 P_\mu \right] \frac{1}{2} (\hat{p}_1 - m)(1 - \gamma_5 \hat{s}_1) \left[ \tilde{G}_M^{*} \gamma_\nu + G_2^{*} P_\nu \right].
\]

(109)

where \( \Lambda(p_2)(\Lambda(-p_1)) \) is the spin–density matrix of the nucleon (antinucleon).

Retaining here the contribution proportional to the final particle polarizations we obtain for the \( H^{(v)}_{\mu\nu}(s_1, s_2) \) tensor the following expression

\[
H^{(v)}_{\mu\nu}(s_1, s_2) = \left( -\frac{1}{2} \right) \left( -\frac{1}{2} \right) Tr (\hat{p}_2 + m) \gamma_5 \hat{s}_2 \left[ \tilde{G}_M \gamma_\mu + G_2 P_\mu \right] (\hat{p}_1 - m) \gamma_5 \hat{s}_1 \left[ \tilde{G}_M^{*} \gamma_\nu + G_2^{*} P_\nu \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{4} Tr (\hat{p}_2 + m) \hat{s}_2 \left[ -\tilde{G}_M \gamma_\mu + G_2 P_\mu \right] (\hat{p}_1 + m) \hat{s}_1 \left[ \tilde{G}_M^{*} \gamma_\nu + G_2^{*} P_\nu \right].
\]

(110)

\( \gamma_5 \) was eliminated using the following rules: \( \gamma_5^2 = 1 \) and \( \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu + \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 = 0 \). So, the expression for this tensor can be written as

\[
H^{(v)}_{\mu\nu}(s_1, s_2) = \frac{1}{4} Tr \left[ G_2 P_\mu (m \hat{s}_2 + \hat{p}_2 \hat{s}_2) - m \tilde{G}_M \hat{s}_2 \gamma_\mu - \tilde{G}_M \hat{p}_2 \hat{s}_2 \gamma_\mu \right]
\]

\[
\left[ G_2^{*} P_\nu (m \hat{s}_1 + \hat{p}_1 \hat{s}_1) + m \tilde{G}_M^{*} \hat{s}_1 \gamma_\nu + \tilde{G}_M \hat{p}_1 \hat{s}_1 \gamma_\nu \right].
\]

(111)

Only the terms with even number of gamma matrices do not vanish:

\[
H^{(v)}_{\mu\nu}(s_1, s_2) =
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{4} Tr \left[ m^2 |G_2|^2 \tilde{P}_\mu P_\nu \hat{s}_2 \hat{s}_1 - m \tilde{G}_M \tilde{G}_M^{*} \tilde{P}_\mu \tilde{P}_\nu \hat{s}_2 \gamma_\mu \hat{s}_1 \right.
\]

\[
+ |G_2|^2 \tilde{P}_\mu \tilde{P}_\nu \hat{s}_2 \hat{s}_1 \hat{p}_1 \hat{s}_1 - m \tilde{G}_M \tilde{G}_M^{*} \tilde{P}_\mu \tilde{P}_\nu \hat{s}_2 \gamma_\mu \hat{p}_1 \hat{s}_1 - m^2 |\tilde{G}_M|^2 \hat{s}_2 \gamma_\mu \hat{s}_1 \gamma_\nu
\]

\[
+ m \tilde{G}_M^{*} G_2 P_\mu \tilde{P}_\nu \hat{s}_2 \hat{s}_1 \gamma_\mu + m \tilde{G}_M \tilde{G}_2^{*} P_\mu \tilde{P}_\nu \hat{s}_2 \hat{p}_1 \hat{s}_1 \gamma_\mu - |\tilde{G}_M|^2 \hat{p}_2 \hat{s}_2 \gamma_\mu \hat{p}_1 \hat{s}_1 \gamma_\nu
\]

\[
= m^2 |G_2|^2 \tilde{P}_\mu P_\nu (p_1 \cdot s_1 p_2 \cdot s_2 + p_1 \cdot s_2 p_2 \cdot s_1 - p_1 \cdot p_2 s_1 \cdot s_2)
\]

\[
- m \tilde{G}_M \tilde{G}_2^{*} P_\nu (p_2 \cdot s_2 s_1 + p_2 \cdot s_1 s_2 - s_1 \cdot s_2 p_2 + p_1 \cdot s_2 p_1 + s_1 \cdot s_2 p_1 + s_1 \cdot s_2 p_1 - p_1 \cdot p_2 s_1 \mu)
\]

\[
- m^2 |\tilde{G}_M|^2 (s_1 s_2 + s_2 s_1 - s_2 g_{\mu\nu}) + m \tilde{G}_M \tilde{G}_2 P_\mu (p_2 \cdot s_2 s_1 + s_1 \cdot s_2 p_1 + p_1 \cdot s_2 p_1 - p_1 \cdot s_2 s_1)
\]

\[
- p_2 \cdot s_2 s_1 + s_1 \cdot s_2 + p_1 \cdot s_2 + p_2 \cdot s_1 + p_1 \cdot s_2)
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{4} |\tilde{G}_M|^2 X_{\mu\nu}.
\]

(112)

where \( X_{\mu\nu} = Tr \hat{p}_2 \hat{s}_2 \gamma_\mu \hat{p}_1 \hat{s}_1 \gamma_\nu \) (we calculate this trace separately). To simplify this expression let us remind that the four–vectors \( s_{i\mu}(i = 1, 2) \) satisfy to the conditions \( p_1 \cdot s_1 = p_2 \cdot s_2 = 0 \).
Applying these relations we obtain

\[ q \cdot s_1 = (p_1 + p_2) \cdot s_1 = p_1 \cdot s_1 + p_2 \cdot s_1 = p_2 \cdot s_1, \quad (113a) \]

\[ q \cdot s_2 = (p_1 + p_2) \cdot s_2 = p_1 \cdot s_2 + p_2 \cdot s_2 = p_1 \cdot s_2. \quad (113b) \]

Taking into account that

\[ P_\mu = \frac{1}{2}(p_2 - p_1)_\mu, \quad m^2 - p_1 \cdot p_2 = 2m^2(1 - \tau) \]

the expression for the \( H^{(v)}_{\mu\nu}(s_1, s_2) \) tensor can be written in the following form

\[
H^{(v)}_{\mu\nu}(s_1, s_2) = |G_2|^2P_\mu P_\nu[q \cdot s_1 q \cdot s_2 + 2m^2(1 - \tau)s_1 \cdot s_2] \\
- m^2\tilde{G}_M^2(s_1^2 s_2^\nu + s_1^\nu s_2^\mu - s_1 \cdot s_2 g_{\mu\nu}) \\
- m\tilde{G}_MG_2^*P_\nu(q \cdot s_1 s_2^\mu - q \cdot s_2 s_1^\nu - 2s_1 \cdot s_2 P_\mu) \\
+ m\tilde{G}_MG_2P_\mu(q \cdot s_2 s_1^\nu - q \cdot s_1 s_2^\nu + 2s_1 \cdot s_2 P_\nu) - \frac{1}{4}\tilde{G}_M^2 X_{\mu\nu}. \quad (114) 
\]

Let us calculate the \( X_{\mu\nu} \) tensor, which can be written in the following form

\[
X_{\mu\nu} = \text{Tr} \hat{p}_2 \hat{s}_2 \hat{\gamma}_{\mu} \hat{p}_1 \hat{s}_1 \hat{\gamma}_{\nu} = \text{Tr}\left(\gamma_i \gamma_k \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\nu} \gamma_m \gamma_n\gamma_{\nu}\right)p_2s_2p_1s_1. 
\]

The trace of six gamma matrices can be written as

\[
\text{Tr}\gamma_i \gamma_k \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\nu} \gamma_m \gamma_n = g_{ik}\{\mu\nu\} - g_{i\mu}\{km\nu\} + g_{im}\{k\mu\nu\} - g_{im}\{k\mu\nu\} + g_{i\nu}\{k\mu\nu\},
\]

where we introduce the notation \( \{iklm\} = 4(g_{ik}g_{lm} - g_{il}g_{km} + g_{im}g_{kl}) \). So, the \( X_{\mu\nu} \) tensor becomes:

\[
X_{\mu\nu} = \begin{align*}
p_2 \cdot s_2\{\mu\nu\}p_1s_1 - \{km\nu\}p_2s_2p_1s_1 + p_1 \cdot p_2\{\mu\nu\}s_2s_1 \\
- s_1 \cdot p_2\{k\mu\nu\}s_2p_1s_1 + \{k\mu\nu\}p_2s_2p_1s_1 \\
= - p_2\{p_2s_1 + s_1s_2 - s_1 \cdot s_2 p_1 \nu\} + p_1 \cdot p_2\{s_1s_2 - s_1 \cdot s_2 p_1 \nu\} \\
= - s_1 \cdot p_2\{s_2p_1 + s_2p_1 - p_1 \cdot s_2 g_{\mu\nu}\} + p_2\{s_2p_1 + p_1 \cdot s_2 g_{\mu\nu}\} \\
= 4[p_1 \cdot p_2(s_1s_2 - s_1 \cdot s_2 p_1 \nu) - q \cdot s_2(s_1p_2 + s_1p_2) + q \cdot s_1 q \cdot s_2 g_{\mu\nu} \\
- q \cdot s_1(s_2p_1 + s_2p_1 + s_1s_2p_1) + s_1 \cdot s_2(p_1p_2 + p_1p_2)]. \quad (115)
\end{align*}
\]
So, using the relations $p_{1\nu} = q_\nu/2 - P_\nu$, $p_{2\nu} = q_\nu/2 + P_\nu$, we have for the $H^{(g)}_{\mu\nu}(s_1, s_2)$ tensor\(^2\)

\[
H^{(g)}_{\mu\nu}(s_1, s_2) = |G_M|^2 \left[ q \cdot s_1 q \cdot s_2 + 2m^2(1 - \tau)s_1 \cdot s_2 \right] - m^2 |\tilde{G}_M|^2 (s_{1\mu}s_{2\nu} + s_{1\nu}s_{2\mu})
- s_1 \cdot s_2 g_{\mu\nu} + 4ms_1 \cdot s_2 Re G_2 \tilde{G}_M^{*\mu} P_\mu P_\nu + mq \cdot s_2 (G_2 \tilde{G}_M^{*\mu} P_{1\mu}s_{1\nu} + G_2 \tilde{G}_M P_{1\mu} s_{1\nu})
- mq \cdot s_1 (G_2 \tilde{G}_M^{*\mu} P_{s_2\nu} + G_2 \tilde{G}_M P_{s_2\nu} s_{1\mu}) - |\tilde{G}_M|^2 |p_1 \cdot p_2 (s_{1\mu}s_{2\nu} + s_{1\nu}s_{2\mu})
- s_1 \cdot s_2 g_{\mu\nu} - q \cdot s_2 (s_{1\mu}P_\mu + s_{1\nu}P_\nu) + q \cdot s_1 (s_{2\nu}P_\mu + s_{2\mu}P_\nu)
+ q \cdot s_1 q \cdot s_2 g_{\mu\nu} - 2s_1 \cdot s_2 P_\mu P_\nu \right].
\]

(116)

Using the relation $\psi a b_j + \psi^* a b_j = Re \psi(a_i b_j + a_j b_i) + iIm \psi(a_i b_j - a_j b_i)$ we can write Eq. (116) in the following general form

\[
H^{(g)}_{\mu\nu}(s_1, s_2) = C_1 g_{\mu\nu} + C_2 P_\mu P_\nu + C_3 (P_\mu s_{1\nu} + P_\nu s_{1\mu}) + C_4 (P_\mu s_{2\nu} + P_\nu s_{2\mu})
+ C_5 (s_{1\mu} s_{2\nu} + s_{1\nu} s_{2\mu}) + iC_6 (P_\mu s_{1\nu} - P_\nu s_{1\mu}) + iC_7 (P_\mu s_{2\nu} - P_\nu s_{2\mu}).
\]

(117)

The structure functions $C_i$ have the following form

\[
C_1 = \frac{1}{2} (q^2 s_1 \cdot s_2 - 2q \cdot s_1 q \cdot s_2) |\tilde{G}_M|^2;
\]

\[
C_2 = \frac{2}{(\tau - 1)^2} \left[ |\tilde{G}_M - \tilde{G}_E|^2 s_1 \cdot s_2 + \frac{1}{4m^2} (2q \cdot s_1 q \cdot s_2 - q^2 s_1 \cdot s_2) |\tilde{G}_E - \tilde{G}_M|^2 \right],
\]

\[
C_3 = Re E_1, \quad C_4 = Re E_2, \quad C_5 = -\frac{q^2}{2} |\tilde{G}_M|^2, \quad C_6 = Im E_1, \quad C_7 = Im E_2,
\]

\[
E_1 = \frac{q \cdot s_2}{\tau - 1} (|\tilde{G}_M|^2 - \tilde{G}_E \tilde{G}_M^*), \quad E_2 = -\frac{q \cdot s_1}{\tau - 1} (|\tilde{G}_M|^2 - \tilde{G}_E \tilde{G}_M^*),
\]

(118)

Now let us calculate the hadronic tensor $H^{(g)}_{\mu\nu}(s_1, s_2)$. According to the definition one has

\[
H^{(g)}_{\mu\nu}(s_1, s_2) = J^{(a)}_{\mu} J^{(a)*}_{\nu} = \bar{u}(p_2) \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 u(-p_1) A_2 \bar{u}(-p_1) \left[ \tilde{G}_M^{*\mu} \gamma_\nu + G_2^{*\mu} P_\nu \right] u(p_2)
= A_2 Tr (p_2 \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \Lambda (-p_1) \left[ \tilde{G}_M^{*\mu} \gamma_\nu + G_2^{*\mu} P_\nu \right]
= A_2 Tr \frac{1}{2}(\bar{p}_2 + m)(1 - \gamma_5 \bar{s}_2) \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \frac{1}{2}(\bar{p}_1 - m)(1 - \gamma_5 \bar{s}_1) \left[ \tilde{G}_M^{*\mu} \gamma_\nu + G_2^{*\mu} P_\nu \right].
\]

(119)

Retaining here the contribution proportional to the final particle polarizations we obtain for the $H^{(g)}_{\mu\nu}(s_1, s_2)$ tensor the following expression

\[
H^{(g)}_{\mu\nu}(s_1, s_2) = (-\frac{1}{2})(-\frac{1}{2}) A_2 Tr (\bar{p}_2 + m) \gamma_5 \bar{s}_2 \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 (\bar{p}_1 - m) \gamma_5 \bar{s}_1 \left[ \tilde{G}_M^{*\mu} \gamma_\nu + G_2^{*\mu} P_\nu \right]
= \frac{1}{4} A_2 Tr (\bar{p}_2 + m) \bar{s}_2 \gamma_\mu (\bar{p}_1 - m) \gamma_5 \bar{s}_1 \left[ \tilde{G}_M^{*\mu} \gamma_\nu + G_2^{*\mu} P_\nu \right],
\]

(120)

\(^2\) We omitted the terms proportional to $q_\mu$ or $q_\nu$, since they do not contribute to the cross section and to the polarization observables due to the conservation of the leptonic current.
where the following properties were applied: \( \gamma_5 \hat{s}_2 \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 = -\hat{s}_2 \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 = \hat{s}_2 \gamma_\mu \gamma_5^2 = \hat{s}_2 \gamma_\mu \) and \( TrABC = TrBCA \). Therefore:

\[
H^{(i)}_{\mu \nu}(s_1, s_2) = \frac{1}{4} A_2 \gamma Tr\gamma_5 \left[ \tilde{G}^*_M \hat{s}_1 \gamma_\nu + \tilde{G}^*_M \hat{s}_2 \gamma_\mu \right] \left[ -m^2 \hat{s}_2 \gamma_\mu - m \hat{p}_2 \hat{s}_2 \gamma_\mu + m \hat{s}_2 \gamma_\mu \hat{p}_1 + \hat{p}_2 \hat{s}_2 \gamma_\mu \hat{p}_1 \right] \\
= \frac{1}{4} A_2 \gamma Tr\gamma_5 \left[ m G^*_2 P_\nu (\hat{s}_1 \hat{s}_2 \gamma_\mu \hat{p}_1 - \hat{s}_1 \hat{p}_2 \hat{s}_2 \gamma_\mu) - m^2 \tilde{G}^*_M \hat{s}_1 \gamma_\nu \hat{s}_2 \gamma_\mu + \tilde{G}^*_M \hat{s}_1 \gamma_\nu \hat{p}_2 \hat{s}_2 \gamma_\mu \hat{p}_1 \right] \\
= \frac{1}{4} A_2 \gamma (-4i) \left[ m G^*_2 P_\nu (<s_1 \nu \nu_2 \mu_1 > - <s_1 \nu \nu_2 \mu_2 >) - m^2 \tilde{G}^*_M <s_1 \nu \nu_2 \mu > \right] \\
+ \frac{1}{4} A_2 \gamma \tilde{G}^*_M Y_{\mu \nu}, \tag{121}
\]

where \( Y_{\mu \nu} = Tr\gamma_5 \hat{s}_1 \gamma_\nu \hat{p}_2 \hat{s}_2 \gamma_\mu \hat{p}_1 \). In order to calculate this trace let us write the following identity:

\[
\gamma_\alpha \gamma_\beta \gamma_\mu = g_{\alpha \beta} \gamma_\mu + g_{\beta \mu} \gamma_\alpha - g_{\alpha \mu} \gamma_\beta + i <\alpha \beta \mu > \gamma_\nu \gamma_5.
\]

So, one finds:

\[
Y_{\mu \nu} = Tr\gamma_5 \hat{s}_1 \gamma_\nu \hat{p}_2 \hat{s}_2 \gamma_\mu \hat{p}_1 = Tr\gamma_5 \gamma_\nu \gamma_\beta \hat{s}_2 \gamma_\mu \hat{p}_1 s_1 \alpha \nu 2 \beta \\
= Tr\gamma_5 [g_{\alpha \nu} \gamma_\beta + g_{\beta \mu} \gamma_\alpha - g_{\alpha \mu} \gamma_\beta + i <\alpha \beta \mu > \gamma_\nu \gamma_5] \hat{s}_2 \gamma_\mu \hat{p}_1 s_1 \alpha \nu 2 \beta \\
= Tr\gamma_5 [s_1 \nu \hat{p}_2 + p_2 \hat{s}_1 - p_2 \cdot s_1 \gamma_\nu + i <s_1 \nu \nu_2 \mu > \gamma_\nu \gamma_5] \hat{s}_2 \gamma_\mu \hat{p}_1 \\
= -4i (s_1 \nu <p_2 s_2 \mu_1 > + p_2 \nu <s_1 s_2 \mu_1 > - p_2 \cdot s_1 <\nu s_2 \mu_1 >) \\
-4i <s_1 \nu \nu_2 \mu > (s_2 \nu s_1 \mu + s_2 s_1 \mu \nu_2 - s_1 \nu s_2 \mu_1) \\
= -4i (s_1 \nu <p_2 s_2 \mu_1 > + p_2 \nu <s_1 s_2 \mu_1 > - p_2 \cdot s_1 <\nu s_2 \mu_1 > + s_2 \mu <s_1 \nu s_2 \mu_1 > \\
+ p_1 \mu <s_1 \nu s_2 \mu > - s_1 \nu <s_1 \nu \nu_2 >).
\]

Then, the expression for the \( H^{(i)}_{\mu \nu}(s_1, s_2) \) tensor can be written as

\[
H^{(i)}_{\mu \nu}(s_1, s_2) = -i A_2 \gamma \left[ -2m G^*_2 P_\nu <s_1 s_2 P > - m^2 \tilde{G}^*_M <\mu s_1 s_2 > \\
+ \tilde{G}^*_M (-q \cdot s_1 <\mu s_2 p_1 > - q \cdot s_2 <\mu s_1 p_2 > + p_1 \mu <\nu s_1 s_2 p_2 > \\
+ p_2 \nu <\mu s_1 s_2 p_1 > + s_2 \mu <\nu s_2 s_1 p_1 > + s_1 \nu <\mu p_2 s_2 p_1 >) \right] \\
= i A_2 \gamma \left[ \frac{2}{\tau - 1} (G_M - G_E)^* P_\nu <s_1 s_2 P > + G^*_M (m^2 <\mu s_1 s_2 > \\
+ q \cdot s_1 <\mu s_2 p_1 > + q \cdot s_2 <\mu s_1 p_2 > - p_1 \mu <\nu s_1 s_2 p_2 > \\
- p_2 \nu <\mu s_1 s_2 p_1 > - s_2 \mu <\nu s_2 s_1 p_1 > - s_1 \nu <\mu p_2 s_2 p_1 >) \right]. \tag{122}
\]

This tensor does not contain the terms proportional to \( \Delta G_M \) and \( \Delta G_E \) since it is proportional to the TPE term \( A_2 \gamma \) (terms of the order of \( \alpha^2 \) compared to the dominant (Born) terms.
were neglected). The antisymmetrical parts of the $H^{(v)}_{\mu\nu}(s_1, s_2)$ and $H_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}(s_1, s_2)$ tensors (with respect to the $\mu$ and $\nu$ indices) arise due to the fact that nucleon FFs in the TL region of the momentum transfer are complex quantities.

A. Spin correlations: unpolarized electron beam

Let us calculate the components of the polarization correlation tensor $P_{ik}, (i, k = x, y, z)$, of the antinucleon and nucleon in presence of TPE mechanism. Let us consider firstly the case of unpolarized lepton beams. The contribution of the vector part of the hadronic current is determined by the following expression

$$S^{(v)}(s_1, s_2) = L^{(v)}_{\mu\nu}(0)H^{(v)}_{\mu\nu}(s_1, s_2)$$

$$= \left[-q^2 g_{\mu\nu} + 2(k_{1\mu}k_{2\nu} + k_{1\nu}k_{2\mu})\right] [C_1 g_{\mu\nu} + C_2 P_{\mu}P_{\nu} + C_3 (P_{\mu}s_{1\nu} + P_{\nu}s_{1\mu})$$

$$+ C_4 (P_{\mu}s_{2\nu} + P_{\nu}s_{2\mu}) + C_5 (s_{1\mu}s_{2\nu} + s_{1\nu}s_{2\mu}) + iC_6 (P_{\mu}s_{1\nu} - P_{\nu}s_{1\mu})$$

$$+ iC_7 (P_{\mu}s_{2\nu} - P_{\nu}s_{2\mu})]$$

$$= -2q^2 C_1 + (4P \cdot k_1 P \cdot k_2 - q^2 P^2) C_2 + 2 [2(P \cdot k_1 s_1 \cdot k_2 + P \cdot k_2 s_1 \cdot k_1)$$

$$- q^2 P \cdot s_1] C_3 + 2 [2(P \cdot k_1 s_1 \cdot k_2 + P \cdot k_2 s_1 \cdot k_1) - q^2 P \cdot s_2] C_4$$

$$+ 2 [2(s_1 \cdot k_1 s_2 \cdot k_2 + s_2 \cdot k_1 s_1 \cdot k_2) - q^2 s_1 \cdot s_2] C_5. \quad (123)$$

Note that the convolution of the symmetrical lepton tensor and antisymmetrical parts of the hadronic tensor (with respect to $\mu$ and $\nu$), which is proportional to the structure functions $C_6$ and $C_7$, is equal to zero. Let us give some necessary relations among the kinematical variables:

$$P \cdot s_1 = \frac{1}{2}(p_2 - p_1) \cdot s_1 = \frac{1}{2}p_2 \cdot s_1 = \frac{1}{2}q \cdot s_1,$$

$$P \cdot s_2 = \frac{1}{2}(p_2 - p_1) \cdot s_2 = \frac{1}{2}p_1 \cdot s_2 = \frac{1}{2}q \cdot s_2,$$

$$P^2 = \frac{1}{4}(2m^2 - 2p_1 \cdot p_2) = \frac{1}{4} \left[2m^2 - (q^2 - 2m^2)\right] = m^2(1 - \tau),$$

$$P \cdot k_2 + P \cdot k_1 = P \cdot (k_1 + k_2) = P \cdot q = \frac{1}{2}(p_2 - p_1) \cdot (p_2 + p_1) = 0 \rightarrow P \cdot k_2 = -P \cdot k_1.$$  \quad (124)

With the help of relations (124), Eq. (123) can be simplified as:

$$S^{(v)}(s_1, s_2) = -2q^2 C_1 - [4(P \cdot k_1)^2 + (1 - \tau)m^2 q^2] C_2 + [4P \cdot k_1 s_1 \cdot (k_2 - k_1) - q^2 q \cdot s_1] C_3$$

$$+ [4P \cdot k_1 s_2 \cdot (k_2 - k_1) + q^2 q \cdot s_2] C_4$$

$$+ 2 [2(s_1 \cdot k_1 s_2 \cdot k_2 + s_1 \cdot k_2 s_1 \cdot k_1) - q^2 s_1 \cdot s_2] C_5. \quad (125)$$
Substituting the expressions (118) for the structure functions $C_i$ into Eq. (125) one finds:

$$S^{(v)}(s_1, s_2) = -\frac{2}{\tau - 1} \left[ \tau |\tilde{G}_M|^2 - |\tilde{G}_E|^2 \right] \left[ 4 (P \cdot k_1)^2 + (1 - \tau) m^2 q^2 \right] s_1 \cdot s_2$$

$$+ \left\{ \frac{2q^2}{\tau - 1} \left[ \Re \tilde{G}_M \tilde{G}_E^* - |\tilde{G}_M|^2 \right] - \left[ 4 (P \cdot k_1)^2 + (1 - \tau) m^2 q^2 \right] |G_2|^2 \right\} q \cdot s_1 q \cdot s_2$$

$$+ 4\frac{P \cdot k_1}{\tau - 1} \left[ \tau |\tilde{G}_M|^2 - \Re \tilde{G}_M \tilde{G}_E^* \right] [s_1 \cdot (k_2 - k_1) q \cdot s_2 - s_2 \cdot (k_2 - k_1) q \cdot s_1]$$

$$- 2q^2 |\tilde{G}_M|^2 (s_1 \cdot k_1 s_2 \cdot k_2 + s_1 \cdot k_2 s_2 \cdot k_1).$$

(126)

The scalar products of various four–vectors in the chosen coordinate system is:

$$P \cdot k_1 = \vec{k} \cdot \vec{p} = Ep \cos \theta, \quad 4 (P \cdot k_1)^2 + (1 - \tau) m^2 q^2 = -p^2 q^2 \sin^2 \theta, \quad \tau - 1 = \frac{p^2}{m^2},$$

$$q \cdot s_1 = 2Es_{10}, \quad q \cdot s_2 = 2Es_{20}, \quad (k_2 - k_1) \cdot s_1 = 2\vec{k} \cdot \vec{s}_1, \quad (k_2 - k_1) \cdot s_2 = 2\vec{k} \cdot \vec{s}_2.$$

The time and space components of the antinucleon (nucleon) polarization four–vectors $s_1, s_2, s_1, s_2$ can be related to the unit polarization vector $\vec{\xi}_1(\vec{\xi}_2)$ in its rest frame. The following relations hold:

$$s_{10} = \frac{1}{m} \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\xi}_1 = \frac{p}{m} \xi_{1z}, \quad \vec{s}_1 = \vec{\xi}_1 + \frac{\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\xi}_1 \vec{p}}{m(E + m)}, \quad s_{1x} = \xi_{1x}, \quad s_{1y} = \xi_{1y}, \quad s_{1z} = \frac{E}{m} \xi_{1z},$$

$$s_{20} = -\frac{1}{m} \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\xi}_2 = -\frac{p}{m} \xi_{2z}, \quad \vec{s}_2 = \vec{\xi}_2 + \frac{\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\xi}_2 \vec{p}}{m(E + m)}, \quad s_{2x} = \xi_{2x}, \quad s_{2y} = \xi_{2y}, \quad s_{2z} = \frac{E}{m} \xi_{2z}.$$
Eq. (126) can be expressed in terms of the polarization unit vectors $\tilde{\xi}_1$ and $\tilde{\xi}_2$ as:

$$S^{(\nu)}(s_1, s_2) = 2m^2q^2 \sin^2 \theta \left[ |\tilde{G}_M|^2 - |\tilde{G}_E|^2 \right] s_1 \cdot s_2$$

$$+ \frac{q^2}{p^2} \left\{ 2m^2 \left[ \text{Re} \tilde{G}_E \tilde{G}_M^* - |\tilde{G}_M|^2 \right] + p^4 \sin^2 \theta |G_N|^2 \right\} q \cdot s_1 q \cdot s_2$$

$$+ 4m^2 \frac{E}{p} \cos \theta \left[ |\tilde{G}_M|^2 - \text{Re} \tilde{G}_E \tilde{G}_M^* \right] [(k_2 - k_1) \cdot s_1 q \cdot s_2 - (k_2 - k_1) \cdot s_2 q \cdot s_1]$$

$$- 2q^2 |\tilde{G}_M|^2 (s_1 \cdot k_1 s_2 \cdot k_2 + s_1 \cdot k_2 s_2 \cdot k_1)$$

$$= -2m^2q^2 \sin^2 \theta \left[ |\tilde{G}_M|^2 - |\tilde{G}_E|^2 \right] (\xi_{1x}\xi_{2x} + \xi_{1y}\xi_{2y} + \frac{E^2 + p^2}{m^2} \xi_{1x}\xi_{2z})$$

$$- \frac{q^4}{m^2} \left\{ 2m^2 \left[ \text{Re} \tilde{G}_E \tilde{G}_M^* - |\tilde{G}_M|^2 \right] + p^4 \sin^2 \theta |G_2|^2 \right\} \xi_{1z}\xi_{2z}$$

$$- 4mEq^2 \cos \theta \left[ |\tilde{G}_M|^2 - \text{Re} \tilde{G}_E \tilde{G}_M^* \right] \left[ \left( \frac{E}{m} \cos \theta \xi_{2z} - \sin \theta \xi_{2x} \right) \xi_{1z} \right.$$  

$$+ \left( \frac{E}{m} \cos \theta \xi_{1z} - \sin \theta \xi_{1x} \right) \xi_{2z} \right]$$

$$+ q^4 |\tilde{G}_M|^2 \left[ \sin^2 \theta \xi_{1x}\xi_{2x} - \cos \theta \sin \theta \frac{E}{m} \left( \xi_{1x}\xi_{2z} + \xi_{2x}\xi_{1z} \right) + \frac{1}{m^2} \left( p^2 + E^2 \cos^2 \theta \right) \xi_{1z}\xi_{2z} \right]$$

$$= A_1\xi_{1x}\xi_{2x} + A_2\xi_{1y}\xi_{2y} + A_3\xi_{1z}\xi_{2z} + A_4\xi_{1x}\xi_{2x} + A_5\xi_{2x}\xi_{1z}, \quad (127)$$

where the coefficients $A_i$, $(i = 1 - 5)$, are

$$A_1 = \frac{1}{2\tau} q^4 \sin^2 \theta \left[ |\tilde{G}_E|^2 + \tau |\tilde{G}_M|^2 \right],$$

$$A_2 = \frac{1}{2\tau} q^4 \sin^2 \theta \left[ |\tilde{G}_E|^2 - \tau |\tilde{G}_M|^2 \right],$$

$$A_3 = \frac{1}{2\tau} q^4 \sin^2 \theta \left[ (1 + \cos^2 \theta) \tau |\tilde{G}_M|^2 - |\tilde{G}_E|^2 \right],$$

$$A_4 = A_5 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} q^4 \sin \theta \cos \theta \text{Re} \tilde{G}_E \tilde{G}_M^*. \quad (128)$$

Now let us consider the contribution of the axial part of the hadronic current. The contraction of the unpolarized interference lepton tensor and interference hadronic tensor is

$$S^{(\nu)}(s_1, s_2) = \mathcal{L}_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}(0) \mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}(s_1, s_2)$$

$$= -2i < \mu \nu k_1 k_2 > i A_{2 \gamma} \left[ \frac{2}{\tau - 1} (G_M - G_E)^* P_\nu < \mu s_1 s_2 P > ight.$$  

$$+ G_M^* (m^2 < \mu s_1 s_2 > + q \cdot s_1 < \mu \nu s_2 p_1 > + q \cdot s_2 < \mu \nu s_1 p_2 >$$

$$- p_1 < \nu s_1 s_2 p_2 > - p_2 < \nu s_1 s_2 p_1 > - s_2 < \nu p_2 s_1 p_1 > - s_1 < \mu p_2 s_1 p_1 >)]$$

$$= 2A_{2 \gamma} \left[ \frac{2}{\tau - 1} (G_M - G_E)^* B_1 + G_M^* (m^2 B_2 + q \cdot s_1 B_3 + q \cdot s_2 B_4$$

$$+ B_5 + B_6 + B_7 + B_8) \right], \quad (129)$$

40
where we introduce the notations for the contractions of the tensors

\[ B_1 = \langle \mu Pk_1 k_2 \rangle \langle \mu s_1 s_2 P \rangle, \quad B_2 = \langle \mu \nu k_1 k_2 \rangle \langle \mu \nu s_1 s_2 \rangle, \]
\[ B_3 = \langle \mu \nu k_1 k_2 \rangle \langle \mu \nu s_2 p_1 \rangle, \quad B_4 = \langle \mu \nu k_1 k_2 \rangle \langle \mu \nu s_1 p_2 \rangle, \]
\[ B_5 = \langle \mu p_1 k_1 k_2 \rangle \langle \mu s_1 s_2 p_2 \rangle, \quad B_6 = -\langle \mu p_2 k_1 k_2 \rangle \langle \mu s_1 s_2 p_1 \rangle, \]
\[ B_7 = \langle \mu s_2 k_1 k_2 \rangle \langle \mu p_2 s_1 p_1 \rangle, \quad B_8 = -\langle \mu s_1 k_1 k_2 \rangle \langle \mu p_2 s_2 p_1 \rangle. \quad (130) \]

Moreover

\[ B_6 + B_6 = B_5 - \langle \mu p_2 k_1 k_2 \rangle \langle \mu s_1 s_2 (q - p_2) \rangle \\
= B_5 - \langle \mu p_2 k_1 k_2 \rangle (\langle \mu s_1 s_2 q \rangle - \langle \mu s_1 s_2 p_2 \rangle) \\
= \langle \mu p_1 k_1 k_2 \rangle \langle \mu s_1 s_2 p_2 \rangle + \langle \mu p_2 k_1 k_2 \rangle \langle \mu s_1 s_2 p_2 \rangle - \langle \mu p_2 k_1 k_2 \rangle \langle \mu s_1 s_2 q \rangle \\
= \langle \mu s_1 s_2 p_2 \rangle \langle \mu (p_1 + p_2) k_1 k_2 \rangle - \langle \mu p_2 k_1 k_2 \rangle \langle \mu s_1 s_2 q \rangle \\
= -\langle \mu p_2 k_1 k_2 \rangle \langle \mu s_1 s_2 q \rangle. \quad (131) \]

The following relations are used to calculate the quantities \( B_i \):

\[ \langle \mu \nu ab \rangle \langle \mu \nu cd \rangle = 2(a \cdot db \cdot c - a \cdot cb \cdot d), \]
\[ \langle \mu abc \rangle \langle \mu def \rangle = a \cdot d(b \cdot fc \cdot e - b \cdot ec \cdot f) + a \cdot e(b \cdot dc \cdot f - b \cdot fc \cdot d) + a \cdot f(b \cdot ec \cdot d - b \cdot dc \cdot e), \quad (132) \]

which results in

\[ B_1 = P \cdot s_1(P \cdot k_1 k_2 \cdot s_2 - P \cdot k_2 k_1 \cdot s_2) + P \cdot s_2(P \cdot k_2 k_1 \cdot s_1 - P \cdot k_1 k_2 \cdot s_1) \\
+ P^2(k_1 \cdot s_2 k_2 \cdot s_1 - k_1 \cdot s_1 k_2 \cdot s_2), \]
\[ B_2 = 2(k_1 \cdot s_2 k_2 \cdot s_1 - k_1 \cdot s_1 k_2 \cdot s_2), \quad B_3 = 2(k_1 \cdot p_1 k_2 \cdot s_2 - k_1 \cdot s_2 k_2 \cdot p_1), \]
\[ B_4 = 2(k_1 \cdot p_2 k_2 \cdot s_1 - k_1 \cdot s_1 k_2 \cdot p_2), \]
\[ B_5 + B_6 = -p_2 \cdot s_1(q \cdot k_1 k_2 \cdot s_2 - q \cdot k_2 k_1 \cdot s_2) - p_2 \cdot s_2(q \cdot k_2 k_1 \cdot s_1 - q \cdot k_1 k_2 \cdot s_1) \\
- q \cdot p_2(k_1 \cdot s_2 k_2 \cdot s_1 - k_1 \cdot s_1 k_2 \cdot s_2), \]
\[ B_7 = p_2 \cdot s_2(p_1 \cdot k_1 k_2 \cdot s_1 - p_1 \cdot k_2 k_1 \cdot s_1) + s_1 \cdot s_2(k_1 \cdot p_2 k_2 \cdot p_1 - p_1 \cdot k_1 k_2 \cdot p_2) \\
+ p_1 \cdot s_2(k_1 \cdot s_1 k_2 \cdot p_2 - k_1 \cdot p_2 k_2 \cdot s_1), \]
\[ B_8 = -p_2 \cdot s_1(p_1 \cdot k_1 k_2 \cdot s_2 - p_1 \cdot k_2 k_1 \cdot s_2) - s_1 \cdot s_2(k_1 \cdot p_2 k_2 \cdot p_1 - p_1 \cdot k_1 k_2 \cdot p_2) \\
- p_1 \cdot s_1(k_1 \cdot s_2 k_2 \cdot p_2 - k_1 \cdot p_2 k_2 \cdot s_2). \quad (133) \]
which can be further simplified:

\[B_1 = P \cdot k_1 q \cdot s_1 q \cdot s_2 + m^2(1 - \tau)(k_1 \cdot s_2 k_2 \cdot s_1 - k_1 \cdot s_1 k_2 \cdot s_2),\]

\[B_5 + B_6 = -\frac{q^2}{2} q \cdot s_1(k_2 - k_1) \cdot s_2 - \frac{q^2}{2}(k_1 \cdot s_2 k_2 \cdot s_1 - k_1 \cdot s_1 k_2 \cdot s_2),\]

\[B_7 = s_1 \cdot s_2[(p_1 \cdot k_2)^2 - (p_1 \cdot k_1)^2] + q \cdot s_2(p_1 \cdot k_1 k_1 \cdot s_1 - p_1 \cdot k_2 k_2 \cdot s_1),\]

\[B_8 = -q \cdot s_1(k_1 \cdot p_1 k_2 \cdot s_2 - k_1 \cdot s_2 p_1 \cdot k_2) - s_1 \cdot s_2[(p_1 \cdot k_2)^2 - (p_1 \cdot k_1)^2]. \tag{134}\]

Therefore:

\[m^2 B_2 + q \cdot s_1 B_3 + q \cdot s_2 B_4 + B_5 + B_6 + B_7 + B_8 =\]

\[= 2m^2(1 - \tau)(k_1 \cdot s_2 k_2 \cdot s_1 - k_1 \cdot s_1 k_2 \cdot s_2) + q \cdot s_2(p_1 \cdot k_2 k_2 \cdot s_1 - p_1 \cdot k_1 k_1 \cdot s_1)\]

\[+ q \cdot s_1(p_1 \cdot k_1 k_2 \cdot s_2 - p_1 \cdot k_2 k_1 \cdot s_2) - \frac{q^2}{2} q \cdot s_1(k_2 - k_1) \cdot s_2. \tag{135}\]

So, Eq. (129) for \(S^{(i)}(s_1, s_2)\) takes the form

\[S^{(i)}(s_1, s_2) = 4A_{27} \left[ m^2 G_E^*(k_1 \cdot s_2 k_2 \cdot s_1 - k_1 \cdot s_1 k_2 \cdot s_2) + \frac{1}{\tau - 1}(G_M - G_E)^* P \cdot k_1 q \cdot s_1 q \cdot s_2 \right], \tag{136}\]

where the following equalities were applied:

\[q \cdot s_2 k_2 \cdot s_1 - q \cdot s_1 k_1 \cdot s_2 = q \cdot s_1 k_2 \cdot s_2 - q \cdot s_2 k_1 \cdot s_1,\]

\[q \cdot s_1 k_1 \cdot s_2 - q \cdot s_2 k_1 \cdot s_1 = k_2 \cdot s_1 k_1 \cdot s_2 - k_1 \cdot s_1 k_2 \cdot s_2.\]

Let us calculate the following relation:

\[s_1 \cdot k_2 s_2 \cdot k_1 - s_1 \cdot k_1 s_2 \cdot k_2 =\]

\[= (E s_{10} + \vec{k}s_1)(E s_{20} - \vec{k}s_2) - (E s_{10} - \vec{k}s_1)(E s_{20} + \vec{k}s_2) = 2E(s_{20}\vec{k}s_1 - s_{10}\vec{k}s_1)\]

\[= -\frac{q^2}{2} \frac{p}{m} \left[ \left( \frac{E}{m} \cos \theta_{12} - \sin \theta_{12} \right) \xi_{2} + \left( \frac{E}{m} \cos \theta_{22} - \sin \theta_{22} \right) \xi_{22} \right]. \tag{137}\]

Then the contraction Eq. (136) can be expressed in terms of the polarization unit vectors \(\vec{\xi}_1\) and \(\vec{\xi}_2\) as follows

\[S^{(i)}(s_1, s_2) = -4A_{27}pq^2 \left[ -\frac{m}{2} \sin \theta G_E^*(\xi_{1z}\xi_{2z} + \xi_{2z}\xi_{1z}) + E \cos \theta G_M^* \xi_{1z}\xi_{2z} \right]. \tag{138}\]

The part of the differential cross section proportional to the polarization correlation can be written as

\[\frac{d\sigma(s_1, s_2)}{d\Omega} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\alpha^2 \beta}{4q^6} \left( S^{(o)}(s_1, s_2) + 2ReS^{(i)}(s_1, s_2) \right) \right], \tag{139}\]
where the factor 1/2 results from averaging over the positron polarizations. The factor corresponding to the average over the electron polarization states is already taken into account in the $L^{(v)}_{\mu\nu}(0)$ tensors. Eq. (139) can be written as a function of the polarization of the final hadrons as:

$$
\frac{d\sigma(s_1, s_2)}{d\Omega} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{d\sigma_{un}}{d\Omega} [P_{xx}\xi_1 \xi_2 + P_{yy}\xi_1 \xi_2 + P_{zz}\xi_1 \xi_2 + P_{xz}\xi_1 \xi_2],
$$

where the components of the polarization correlation tensor $P_{ik}$ are

$$
P_{xx} = \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\tau D} \left[ \tau(|G_M|^2 + 2ReG_M\Delta G_M^*) + |G_E|^2 + 2ReG_E\Delta G_E^* \right],
$$

$$
P_{yy} = \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\tau D} \left[ |G_E|^2 + 2ReG_E\Delta G_E^* - \tau(|G_M|^2 + 2ReG_M\Delta G_M^*) \right],
$$

$$
P_{zz} = \frac{1}{\tau D} \left[ \tau(1 + \cos^2 \theta)(|G_M|^2 + 2ReG_M\Delta G_M^*) - \sin^2 \theta(|G_E|^2 + 2ReG_E\Delta G_E^*) - 4\sqrt{\tau(\tau - 1)} \cos \theta ReG_M A_{2\gamma}^* \right],
$$

$$
P_{xz} = P_{zx} = -2\frac{\sin \theta}{\sqrt{\tau D}} \left[ \cos \theta Re(G_M G_E^* + G_M \Delta G_E^* + G_E \Delta G_M^*) - \sqrt{\frac{\tau - 1}{\tau}} ReG_E A_{2\gamma}^* \right].
$$

**B. Spin correlations: longitudinally polarized electron beam**

Let us consider now the case of longitudinally polarized electron beam, while the positron beam is unpolarized.

Then the contraction of the vector parts of the leptonic and hadronic tensors can be written as

$$
S^{(v)}(s_1, s_2, \lambda_e) = L^{(v)}_{\mu\nu}(\lambda_e) H^{(v)}_{\mu\nu}(s_1, s_2) =
$$

$$
= 2i\lambda_e < \mu \nu qk_2 > [C_1 g_{\mu\nu} + C_2 P_{\mu} P_{\nu} + C_3 (P_{\mu} s_{1\nu} + P_{\nu} s_{1\mu}) + C_4 (P_{\mu} s_{2\nu} + P_{\nu} s_{2\mu}) + C_5 (s_{1\mu} s_{2\nu} + s_{1\nu} s_{2\mu}) + iC_6 (P_{\mu} s_{1\nu} - P_{\nu} s_{1\mu}) + iC_7 (P_{\mu} s_{2\nu} - P_{\nu} s_{2\mu})]
$$

$$
= -4\lambda_e (C_6 < P s_1 qk_2 > + C_7 < P s_2 qk_2 >),
$$

where we took into account the fact that the contractions of the antisymmetrical leptonic tensor with the symmetrical parts of the hadronic tensor, which are proportional to the structure functions $C_1 - C_5$, vanish.
As an example, let us calculate the contraction \(< Paqk_2 >\) where \(a_\mu\) is an arbitrary four–vector:

\[
< Paqk_2 > = 2E < Pa4k_2 > = -2Ep_i < ia4k_2 > = 2Ep < za4k_2 > = -2Epk_2i < za4i > = 2Epk_i < za4i > = -2EpE \sin \theta < za4x > = 2E^2p \sin \theta < zy4x > a_y = 2E^2p \sin \theta a_y.
\]

Using this result one can easily obtain that

\[
< Ps_1qk_2 > = 2E^2p \sin \theta \xi_{1y}, \quad < Ps_2qk_2 > = 2E^2p \sin \theta \xi_{2y}.
\]

Substituting the expressions for the structure functions \(C_6\) and \(C_7\), Eq. (113):

\[
S^{(v)}(s_1, s_2, \lambda_e) = -4\lambda_e p \sin \theta \frac{q^2}{2} \frac{1}{\tau - 1} Im G_M G^*_E (q \cdot s_2 \xi_{1y} - q \cdot s_1 \xi_{2y})
\]

\[
= -2\lambda_e p \sin \theta \frac{q^2}{\tau - 1} Im G_M G^*_E (-2E \frac{p}{m} \xi_{1y} \xi_{2z} - 2E \frac{p}{m} \xi_{1z} \xi_{2y})
\]

\[
= \lambda_e \sin \theta \frac{q^4}{\sqrt{\tau}} Im G_M G^*_E (\xi_{1y} \xi_{2z} + \xi_{1z} \xi_{2y}).
\]

The contraction of the interference parts of the leptonic and hadronic tensors can be written as

\[
S^{(i)}(s_1, s_2, \lambda_e) = L^{(i)}_{\mu \nu}(\lambda_e) H^{(i)}_{\mu \nu}(s_1, s_2)
\]

\[
= \lambda_e \left[ q^2 g_{\mu \nu} - 2(k_{1\mu} k_{2\nu} + k_{1\nu} k_{2\mu}) \right] iA_{2\gamma} \left[ \frac{2}{\tau - 1} (G_M - G_E)^* P_{\nu} < \mu s_1 s_2 P > + G_M^*(m^2 < \mu s_1 s_2 > + q \cdot s_1 < \mu s_2 p_1 > + q \cdot s_2 < \mu s_1 p_2 > - p_{1\mu} < \nu s_1 s_2 p_2 > - p_{2\nu} < \mu s_1 s_2 p_1 > - s_{2\mu} < \nu p_{2s1p1} > - s_{1\nu} < \mu p_{2s2p1} > \right]
\]

The contractions of the symmetrical unpolarized lepton tensor and antisymmetrical parts of the interference hadronic tensor are equal to zero. As a result we have

\[
S^{(i)}(s_1, s_2, \lambda_e) = -i\lambda_e A_{2\gamma} \left\{ \frac{4}{\tau - 1} (G_M - G_E)^* \left[ -q^2 < Ps_1 s_2 P > + P \cdot k_1 < k_2 s_1 s_2 P > + P \cdot k_2 < k_1 s_1 s_2 P > \right] + G_M^* \left[ q^2 < p_1 s_1 s_2 p_2 > -2(p_1 \cdot k_1 < k_2 s_1 s_2 p_2 > + p_1 \cdot k_2 < k_1 s_1 s_2 p_2 >) + q^2 < p_2 s_1 s_2 p_1 > -2(p_2 \cdot k_2 < k_1 s_1 s_2 p_1 > + p_2 \cdot k_1 < k_2 s_1 s_2 p_1 >) + q^2 < s_2 p_2 s_1 p_1 > -2(k_1 \cdot s_2 < k_2 p_2 s_1 p_1 > + k_2 \cdot s_2 < k_1 p_2 s_1 p_1 >) + q^2 < s_1 p_2 s_2 p_1 > -2(k_2 \cdot s_1 < k_1 p_2 s_2 p_1 > + k_1 \cdot s_1 < k_2 p_2 s_2 p_1 >) \right\}.
\]
The term \(< Ps_1s_2P >\) vanishes, since it is the product of the antisymmetrical tensor \(\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\) and the symmetrical tensor \(P_\mu P_\nu\). To simplify this expression one can use the following property of \(< abcd >\): any permutation of the neighboring variables gives factor \((-1)\), for example, \(< abcd > = - < acbd >\). Four terms, proportional to \(q^2\), are canceled out. Using the conservation of four–momenta in the reaction, \(k_1 + k_2 = p_1 + p_2\), one can prove that

\[
p_1 \cdot k_1 = p_2 \cdot k_2 \quad \text{and} \quad p_1 \cdot k_2 = p_2 \cdot k_1.
\]

Taking into account these relations, we can rewrite Eq. (146) in the form:

\[
S^{(i)}(s_1, s_2, \lambda_e) = -i\lambda_e A_{2\gamma} \left\{ \frac{4 P \cdot k_1}{\tau - 1} \left[ < k_2 - k_1 > s_1s_2P > (G_M - G_E)^* - 2G_M^* p_1 \cdot k_1(< s_1s_2k_1p_1 > + < s_1s_2k_2p_2 >) + p_1 \cdot k_2(< s_1s_2k_1p_2 > + < s_1s_2k_2p_1 >) + k_1 \cdot s_1 < s_2k_2p_1p_2 > + k_2 \cdot s_2 < s_1k_1p_2p_1 > + k_1 \cdot s_2 < s_1k_2p_2p_1 > \right] \right\}.
\]

One can also obtain the following relations

\[
\begin{align*}
< s_2k_1p_2p_1 > &= < s_2(q - k_2)p_2p_1 > = < s_2qp_2p_1 > - < s_2k_2p_2p_1 > = - < s_2k_2p_2p_1 >, \\
< s_1k_2p_2p_1 > &= < s_1(q - k_1)p_2p_1 > = < s_1qp_2p_1 > - < s_1k_1p_2p_1 > = - < s_1k_1p_2p_1 >, \\
< s_1s_2k_1p_2 > &= < s_1s_2(q - k_2)p_2 > = < s_1s_2qp_2 > - < s_1s_2k_2p_2 >, \\
< s_1s_2k_2p_1 > &= < s_1s_2(q - k_1)p_1 > = < s_1s_2qp_1 > - < s_1s_2k_1p_1 >.
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore

\[
\begin{align*}
k_1 \cdot s_1 < s_2k_2p_2p_1 > + k_2 \cdot s_1 < s_2k_1p_2p_1 > + k_2 \cdot s_2 < s_1k_1p_2p_1 > + k_1 \cdot s_2 < s_1k_2p_2p_1 > \\
&= (k_1 - k_2) \cdot s_1 < s_2k_2p_2p_1 > +(k_2 - k_1) \cdot s_2 < s_1k_1p_2p_1 >, \\
p_1 \cdot k_1(< s_1s_2k_1p_1 > + < s_1s_2k_2p_2 >) + p_1 \cdot k_2(< s_1s_2k_1p_2 > + < s_1s_2k_2p_1 >) \\
&= p_1 \cdot k_1(< s_1s_2k_1p_1 > + < s_1s_2k_2p_2 >) + p_1 \cdot k_2(< s_1s_2qp_2 > \\
&\quad - < s_1s_2k_2p_2 > + < s_1s_2qp_1 > - < s_1s_2k_1p_1 >) \\
&= p_1 \cdot (k_1 - k_2)(< s_1s_2k_1p_1 > + < s_1s_2k_2p_2 >) + p_1 \cdot k_2 < s_1s_2q(p_2 + p_1) > \\
&= p_1 \cdot (k_1 - k_2)(< s_1s_2k_1p_1 > + < s_1s_2k_2p_2 >) + p_1 \cdot k_2 < s_1s_2qq > \\
&= p_1 \cdot (k_1 - k_2)(< s_1s_2k_1p_1 > + < s_1s_2k_2p_2 >).
\end{align*}
\]
Eq. (147) for $S^{(i)}(s_1, s_2, \lambda_e)$ can be simplified to

$$
S^{(i)}(s_1, s_2, \lambda_e) = -i\lambda_e A_{2\gamma} \left\{ \frac{P \cdot k_1}{7 - 1} < (k_2 - k_1) s_1 s_2 P > (G_M - G_E)^* - 2G_M^* [p_1 \cdot (k_1 - k_2)(< s_1 s_2 k_1 p_1 > + < s_1 s_2 k_2 p_2 >) + (k_1 - k_2) \cdot s_1 < s_2 k_2 p_2 p_1 > + (k_2 - k_1) \cdot s_2 < s_1 k_1 p_2 p_1 >] \right\}. \quad (150)
$$

The following equalities hold:

$$
< s_1 k_1 p_2 p_1 > = < s_1 k_1 (q - p_1) p_1 > = < s_1 k_1 q p_1 > = < q s_1 k_1 p_1 >, \\
< s_2 k_2 p_2 p_1 > = < s_2 k_2 (q - p_1) p_1 > = < s_2 k_2 q p_1 > = < s_2 (q - k_1) q p_1 > = - < q s_2 k_1 p_1 >.
$$

It is convenient to calculate some auxiliary terms

$$
< q \lambda k_1 p_1 > = 2E < 4ak_1 p_1 > = -2E < 4ak_1 i > p_i = -2E p < 4ak_1 z > \\
= 2Ep < 4ai z > k_i = -2EpE \sin \theta < 4ax z > \\
= 2E^2 p \sin \theta < 4y x z > a_y = 2E^2 p \sin \theta a_y, \\
< s_1 s_2 P a > = a_0 p (s_{1x} s_{2y} - s_{1y} s_{2x}) - p a_x (s_{10} s_{2y} - s_{1y} s_{20}). \quad (151)
$$

The last relation is obtained assuming that $a_y = 0$. Other useful relations are:

$$
< s_1 s_2 k_1 p_1 > + < s_1 s_2 k_2 p_2 > = < s_1 s_2 k_1 p_1 > + < s_1 s_2 (q - k_1) p_2 > \\
= < s_1 s_2 q p_2 > + < s_1 s_2 k_1 (p_1 - p_2) > = < s_1 s_2 q p_2 > + 2 < s_1 s_2 P k_1 >, \\
< s_1 s_2 q p_2 > = 2E < s_1 s_2 4p_2 > = 2Ep < s_1 s_2 4z > = 2Ep(s_{1y} s_{2z} - s_{1z} s_{2y}), \\
< s_1 s_2 P k_1 > = Ep(s_{1x} s_{2y} - s_{1y} s_{2x}) + pE \sin \theta (s_{10} s_{2y} - s_{1y} s_{20}), \\
< s_1 s_2 P k_2 > = Ep(s_{1x} s_{2y} - s_{1y} s_{2x}) - pE \sin \theta (s_{10} s_{2y} - s_{1y} s_{20}). \quad (152)
$$

Inserting (151, 152) in (147), one finds:

$$
S^{(i)}(s_1, s_2, \lambda_e) = -i\lambda_e A_{2\gamma} \left\{ 2mpq^2 \sin \theta \cos \theta (G_M - G_E)^* (\xi_{1y} \xi_{2z} + \xi_{1z} \xi_{2y}) - 2G_M^* \left[ E pq^2 \sin \theta \left( \frac{E}{m} \cos \theta \xi_{2z} - \sin \theta \xi_{2x} \right) \xi_{1y} + E p q^2 \sin \theta \left( \frac{E}{m} \cos \theta \xi_{1z} - \sin \theta \xi_{1x} \right) \xi_{2y} - p^2 q^2 \frac{P}{m} \sin \theta \cos \theta (\xi_{1y} \xi_{2z} + \xi_{1z} \xi_{2y}) \right] \right\} \\
= -2i\lambda_e pq^2 \sin \theta A_{2\gamma} \left[ E \sin \theta G_M^* (\xi_{1x} \xi_{2y} + \xi_{1y} \xi_{2x}) - m \cos \theta G_E^* (\xi_{1y} \xi_{2z} + \xi_{1z} \xi_{2y}) \right]. \quad (153)
$$

Then the part of the differential cross section, proportional to the polarization correlation and to the longitudinal polarization of the electron beam, can be written as

$$
\frac{d\sigma(s_1, s_2, \lambda_e)}{d\Omega} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha^2 \beta}{2A q^6} \left[ S^{(w)}(s_1, s_2, \lambda_e) + 2Re S^{(i)}(s_1, s_2, \lambda_e) \right], \quad (154)
$$
where extra factor 1/2 comes from averaging only over the positron polarizations since the electron beam is polarized.

The correlation polarization tensor, for the case of the longitudinally polarized electron beam, is defined as follows

\[
\frac{d\sigma(s_1, s_2, \lambda_e)}{d\Omega} = \frac{\lambda_e}{2} \frac{d\sigma_{un}}{d\Omega} \left[ P_{xy} \xi_1 \xi_2 y + P_{yx} \xi_1 y \xi_2 x + P_{yz} \xi_1 y \xi_2 z + P_{zy} \xi_1 z \xi_2 y \right],
\]

where the components of the polarization correlation tensor \( P_{ik} \) are

\[
P_{xy} = P_{yx} = -\frac{1}{D} \sqrt{\frac{\tau - 1}{\tau}} \sin^2 \theta \text{Im} G_M A_{2\gamma}^*;
\]

\[
P_{zy} = P_{yz} = \frac{\sin \theta}{\sqrt{\tau D}} \text{Im}(G_M G_E^* + G_M \Delta G_E^* - G_E \Delta G_M^* + \sqrt{\frac{\tau - 1}{\tau}} \cos \theta G_E A_{2\gamma}^*),
\]

and we used the relation \( \text{Re}(iA) = \text{Im} A^* \).

One can easily verify that the following relation holds:

\[
P_{xx} + P_{yy} + P_{zz} = 1.
\]

The components of the tensor describing the polarization correlations \( P_{xx}, P_{yy}, P_{zz}, P_{xz}, \) and \( P_{zx} \) are T–even observables, whereas the components \( P_{xy}, P_{yx}, P_{yz}, \) and \( P_{zy} \) are T–odd ones.

In the Born approximation the expressions for the T–odd polarization correlations coincide with the corresponding components of the polarization correlation tensor of baryon \( B \) and antibaryon \( \bar{B} \) created by the one–photon–exchange mechanism in the \( e^+ e^- \rightarrow B\bar{B} \) process: Let us write explicitly these expressions:

\[
P_{xx} = \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\tau D} \left[ \tau |G_M|^2 + |G_E|^2 \right],
\]

\[
P_{yy} = \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\tau D} \left[ |G_E|^2 - \tau |G_M|^2 \right],
\]

\[
P_{zz} = \frac{1}{\tau D} \left[ \tau (1 + \cos^2 \theta) |G_M|^2 - \sin^2 \theta |G_E|^2 \right],
\]

\[
P_{xz} = P_{zx} = -\frac{\sin 2\theta}{\sqrt{\tau D}} \text{Re}[G_M G_E^*],
\]

\[
P_{xy} = P_{yx} = 0,
\]

\[
P_{zy} = P_{yz} = \frac{\sin \theta}{\sqrt{\tau D}} \text{Im}(G_M G_E^*).
\]
1. **2γ mechanism and double spin polarization coefficients**

The relative contribution of the interference terms (between one- and two-photon-exchange terms) in these observables will increase as the value $q^2$ becomes larger since it is expected that the TPE amplitudes decrease more slowly with $q^2$ compared with the nucleon FFs.

At the reaction threshold, the polarization correlation tensor components have some specific properties:

- All correlation coefficients (both T–odd and T–even) do not depend on the function $A_{2\gamma}$.
- In the Born approximation the $P_{yy}$ observable is zero, but the presence of the TPE term leads to a non–zero value, determined by the quantity $2Re(G_E\Delta G_E^* - G_M\Delta G_M^*)$.
- At the scattering angle $\theta = 90^0$ the relation $P_{yy} + P_{zz} = 0$ holds.
- The $P_{xy}$ and $P_{yx}$ observables are zero, and $P_{yz}$ and $P_{zy}$ observables are determined by the TPE term only, namely by the quantity $ImG_M(\Delta G_E - \Delta G_M)^*$.

**VIII. CONCLUSIONS**

A detailed model independent derivation of experimental observables in $e^+e^- \rightarrow N + \bar{N}$ is given here with a specific pedagogical aim toward students at PhD level.

The present analysis is also a guideline for the experimental investigation of the TL nucleon FFs planned in near future at Laboratories where electron and positron beams are available.

The short bibliography given below contains more discussion and results, based on similar ideas and formalism can be found, for this reaction and all crossed reactions as well. A wide literature exist on this subject, since the first studies, in the fifties. The reader is invited to consult references contained in the quoted paper, to access other related works, experimental or theoretical.

This formalism has been extended to spin one hadrons.
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