Investigation of brain tumors using \(^{18}\)F-fluorobutyl ethacrynic amide and its metabolite with positron emission tomography
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Abstract: To date, imaging of malignant glioma remains challenging. In positron emission tomography-related diagnostic imaging, differential tumor uptake of \(^3\)′-deoxy-\(^3\)′-\(^{18}\)F-fluorothymidine (\(^{18}\)FFLT) has been shown to reflect the levels of cell proliferation and DNA synthesis. However, additional biomarkers for tumors are urgently required. Aberrant levels of glutathione transferase (GST) activity have been hypothesized to constitute such a novel diagnostic marker. Here, a C6 rat glioma tumor model was used to assess the ability of the positron emission tomography tracers, \(^{18}\)FFLT and \(^{18}\)F-fluorobutyl ethacrynic amide (\(^{18}\)FFBuEA), to indicate reactive oxygen species-induced stress responses as well as detoxification-related processes in tumors. Using a GST activity assay, we were able to demonstrate that FBuEA is more readily catalyzed by GST-\(\alpha\) than by GST-\(\tau\). Furthermore, we showed that FBuEA-GS, a metabolite of FBuEA, elicits greater cytotoxicity in tumor cells than in normal fibroblast cells. Finally, in vitro and in vivo investigation of radiotracer distribution of \(^{18}\)FFBuEA and \(^{18}\)FFBuEA-GS revealed preferential accumulation in C6 glioma tumor cells over normal fibroblast cells for \(^{18}\)FFBuEA-GS but not for \(^{18}\)FFBuEA.
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Introduction

Per the predictions of the Annual Report to the Nation on the Status of Cancer concerning brain and other nervous system tumors for 1975–2007, the incidence rates for five of the 15 most prevalent cancers among men has significantly decreased.\(^1\) These reductions in cancer incidence indicate real progress in cancer control, reflecting a rise in the combination of primary prevention, early detection, and treatment success. Brain and other nervous system tumors have been particularly difficult to diagnose pathologically because they are often heterogeneous histologically, genetically, and therapeutically. Nevertheless, progress in understanding the molecular pathogenesis of malignant gliomas is increasingly leading to better classifications of these tumors.

Current magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) techniques are inaccurate for tumor grading, and evaluations of tumor prognosis remain challenging.\(^2\)\(^,\)\(^3\) Especially when the tumor progresses into the neighboring tissue, the proliferation zone can generally not be distinguished from edema or necrosis by morphological imaging modalities such as computed tomography or MRI. Fluorine-18-labeled compounds have been extensively used in imaging of tumors\(^4\)\(^,\)\(^5\) and brain diseases.\(^6\) Fluorine atoms are often used as hydrogen atom or hydroxyl group substitutes due to their similarity in van der Waals radius and molecular size.\(^7\)\(^,\)\(^8\) For instance, the positron-emitting radioisotope...
18F has a relatively short physical half-life of 110 minutes and emits two coincident γ-rays at 180° to each other. The resulting signal eliminates the need for detector collimation, and in contrast with single-photon emission tomography, which is based on a planar or pinhole approach, permits accurate corrections of γ-ray attenuation yielding a unique image.\(^9\)

Among the radiotracers studied, fluorine-18-labeled \(2′\)-[\(^{18}\)F]fluoro-2′-deoxyglucose ([\(^{18}\)F]FDG) is one of the most widely used positron emission tomography (PET) tracers to date. Using [\(^{18}\)F]FDG, tumor lesions can be easily localized because of their high glucose demand.\(^10\) However, the non-biomarker-driven uptake and the resulting elevated levels of radioactivity within the normal brain call for the development of new radiopharmaceuticals such as 3′-deoxy-3′-[\(^{18}\)F]fluorothymidine ([\(^{18}\)F]FLT, Figure 1).\(^11\) [\(^{18}\)F]FLT has been used for probing thymidine kinase (TK) expression. TK-targeted [\(^{18}\)F]FLT accumulation in the tumor and decreased levels in the healthy brain ensures a lower background. Moreover, a larger tumor mass could be detected using [\(^{18}\)F]FLT and [\(^{12}\)C]methionine as a PET tracer than with gadolinium-enhanced MRI. Upregulation of amino acid transporters can carry these tracers into tumor tissue even if the blood–brain barrier is largely intact. Other amino acid analogs such as \(^{18}\)F-fluoro-ethyl-tyrosine have been used in the evaluation of tumor progression, cell proliferation and DNA synthesis, this mechanism may be exploited for diagnostic purposes. Although [\(^{18}\)F]FLT is not incorporated into DNA itself, in vitro and in vivo studies have shown that [\(^{18}\)F]FLT uptake reflects TK1 activity and DNA synthesis levels.\(^20,21\) Consequently, differential [\(^{18}\)F]FLT

Figure 1 Structures of fluorine-18-labeled positron emission tomography tracers, ie, [\(^{18}\)F]FLT, [\(^{18}\)F]BuEA, and [\(^{18}\)F]BuEA-GS.

Abbreviations: FLT, fluorothymidine; BuEA, fluorobutylyl ethacrynic amide; BuEA-GS, metabolite of fluorobutylyl ethacrynic amide.
uptake may be used to grade tumors. The PET tracer, $^{18}$F-fluorobutyl ethacrynic amide ($[^{18}F]$FBuEA), was initially prepared to study GST activity, which is overexpressed in many tumors and contributes to multidrug resistance. Hence, aberrant GST activity levels may constitute a diagnostic tumor marker since tumors not only need to protect themselves from ROS-induced stress, but also require rescuing from impaired detoxification processes (Figure 2). Thus, comparison of imaging data of the syngeneic rat C6 glioma model obtained with the PET probes $[^{18}F]$FLT and $[^{18}F]$FBuEA as well as its metabolite $[^{18}F]$FBuEA-GS (Figure 1) may provide further insight into the relationship between tumor growth and related antioxidant and detoxification processes.

**Materials and methods**

**General**

$[^{18}F]$FLT was prepared as reported previously. Recombinant human glutathione S-transferase alpha-1 (GSTA1 human, 50 µg) and Pi-1 (GSTP1 human, 25 µg) were purchased from Pro Spec-Tany TechnoGene Ltd (East Brunswick, NJ, USA) and Alpha Diagnostic International Inc (San Antonio, TX, USA), respectively.

PET scans were performed with a microPET R4 system (Concorde Microsystems Inc, Siemens Medical Solutions, Knoxville, TN, USA) at the Nuclear Energy Research Institute. Measurement of ultraviolet-visible absorbance was performed using a Chameleon™ multilabel detection platform (Hidex Oy, Turku, Finland). GraphPad Prism 5.0 software was used to generate dose–response curves (IC$_{50}$ [half maximal inhibitory concentration] values).

**GST activity assay**

Inhibitors at various concentrations were assessed for their ability to compete with chlorderinitrobenzene (CDNB) for GST-catalyzed conjugation to GSH using a GST assay kit (Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. In brief, assay conditions were 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer solution (pH 6.8, double-distilled H$_2$O, 1 M Na$_2$HPO$_4$, 1 M NaH$_2$PO$_4$) and GSTA1 or GSTP1 (5 µg/mL). GSH and CDNB were added from stock solutions of 2.5 mM and 7.5 mM, respectively. Stock solutions of ethacrynic acid (EA, 10 mM) and FBuEA (10 mM) were prepared in ethyl alcohol. Uridine stock (10 mM) was prepared in double-distilled H$_2$O. Samples with concentrations of $10^{-2}$, $10^{-1}$, 1, 10, and 100 µM were obtained via serial dilution. After 1–5 minutes of incubation, absorption was measured at 355 nm. Inhibition of CDNB–GSH formation was quantified by measuring absorption at 355 nm (ABS$_{max}$ 340 nm). All measurements were adjusted by subtracting non-enzymatic conjugation of CDNB. The experiment was performed in triplicate.

**Cell viability assay**

**Preparation of cell lines and reagents**

The cell lines were purchased from the American Type Tissue Collection (Manassas, VA, USA). The rat glioma cell line C6 was grown in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (Gibco), 100 U/mL of penicillin, and 0.1 mg/mL streptomycin. The cell lines were cultured at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO$_2$ atmosphere. Fibroblast cells (3T3) derived from a non-tumorigenic cell line were used as a control.
Performing the assay

Cells (5×10⁴) were seeded onto 24-well cell culture plates in medium containing FBuEA at varying concentrations (0.01–100 µM). Dimethyl sulfoxide (0.1%, 10 µM) was used as a vehicle. 3-(4,5-dimethyl-thiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide (MTT, 5 mg/mL) was added after 24, 48, and 72 hours and incubated for 4 hours. After removal of the medium, 100 µL of dimethyl sulfoxide was added to each well to dissolve the formazan crystals. Optical absorbance at 570 nm was determined by an Infinite M200 plate reader (Tecan US Inc, Morrisville, NC, USA). Each measurement was carried out in triplicate. Survival ratios for both C6 and 3T3 cells treated with FBuEA or FBuEA-GS are expressed as bar diagrams. All measurements were adjusted for background absorption of medium alone.

Cellular uptake of [¹⁸F]FLT

Freshly prepared [¹⁸F]FLT was diluted with medium (Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium, 5% fetal bovine serum) to a concentration of 10 µCi/50 mL in a centrifuge tube. When the cells were grown for 24 hours, the growth medium was replaced with diluted [¹⁸F]FLT (500 µL) at 0.25, 0.5, 1, and 2 hours for each well followed by incubation at 37°C. Addition of tracer was temporally staggered such that the samples could be harvested at the same time point. Cell supernatant for each well was harvested. Following rinsing with phosphate-buffered saline (500 µL) twice, the supernatant together with the rinses (1.5 mL) were combined to provide extracellular radioactivity counts. Subsequently, the cells were lysed with 0.25% trypsin-ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (30 µL) followed by rinsing with phosphate-buffered saline twice. Both the lysates and rinses (1.5 mL) were combined to provide intracellular radioactivity counts. All the samples were adjusted to the same volume, thereby diminishing geometric disturbances during measurement of radioactivity. Measurement was performed using a gamma scintillation counter (Packard 5000, Packard Instrument Co, Meriden, CT, USA) taking the decay into account. Experiments for each time point were performed in triplicate. The uptake ratio was calculated according to the following formula:

\[
\text{Uptake \%} = \frac{\text{Intracellular counts}}{\text{Extracellular counts} + \text{Intracellular counts}} \times 100\%
\]

Rat model

All in vivo experiments were conducted in compliance with the National Health and Medical Research Council Taiwan Code of Practice for the care and use of animals for scientific purposes. An affidavit of approval of Animal Use Protocol Chang Gung Memorial Hospital (2013092702 and CGU12-055) was granted before performing the assessment. Sprague–Dawley rats (8 weeks of age) were obtained from Biolasco Taiwan Co Ltd. The rats were housed under constant environmental conditions and had access to food and water ad libitum throughout the experimental period. The rats were anesthetized using inhaled isoflurane (Forthane, Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL, USA) in 200 mL/min oxygen before imaging. One healthy rat and one tumor-bearing rat were used for the PET scanning study.

Two weeks prior to imaging, healthy male rats were stereotactically inoculated in the right hemisphere with 1.0×10⁵ C6 glioma cells. Immediately before imaging, all rats were fastened with venous and arterial catheters. Syngeneic gliomas were grown for 2 weeks after transplantation. When tumors reached 3–5 mm in diameter and were well demarcated from normal brain tissue, the PET study was performed.

Regular oral feeding was continued once the animals had recovered from anesthesia. The animals were carefully monitored on a regular basis with respect to feeding quality, response upon stimulation, and symptoms of dystrophy. The animal care unit checked for abnormalities such as a feeding intake rate of less than 50% in 72 hours, hind leg paraparesis, or weight loss greater than 20%. In case one of the above conditions was met, the animal was sedated with ketamine and xylazine hydrochloric acid followed by euthanasia by CO₂ and intravenous xylcocaine (200 mg).

Results

Effects of test compounds on GST-catalyzed GSH conjugation

GSH-related enzymes have been shown to be involved in control of the cell cycle. In particular, it was hypothesized that tumors may display aberrant GST activity, which could be used for tumor diagnostics. To evaluate the ability of the test compounds to compete with CDNB for GST-α-catalyzed or GST-π-catalyzed conjugation to GSH, a GST assay was performed (Figures 3 and 4). The IC₅₀ values for FBuEA in the presence of GST-α and GST-π were 17.5 µM and 6.0 µM, respectively (Table 1). FBuEA is more readily catalyzed by GST-π than by GST-α. The effects of GST class were not as clear for EA, with comparatively low IC₅₀ values of 1.1 µM and 0.5 µM, respectively (Table 1).
Effects of test compounds on in vitro cell viability

The cell viability assay can be used to evaluate both the biological end-point effects and indirectly the incorporation efficiency of investigated substances. In this regard, the tested compounds with concentrations ranging from 0.001 μM to 1 μM were assessed for their cytotoxicity against C6 glioma and fibroblast cell lines (Figure 5). The cytotoxicity of FBuEA in C6 glioma cells was prominent only after 72 hours of exposure. FBuEA-GS, the metabolite of FBuEA, demonstrated a slightly greater cytotoxic effect in C6 glioma cells rather than in normal fibroblasts (survival ratio, 1:1.08, 24 hours). This bioactivity profile was not altered during the span of 48 hours.

In vitro cellular uptake of test compounds

Accumulation of [18F]FLT in C6 glioma tumor cells was, as expected, higher than in normal fibroblast cells (Figure 6).

In vivo tissue uptake of test compounds

Before acquiring PET scans, tumor loci were confirmed by MRI (Figure 7A). In addition, the tumor sites were targeted by [18F]FLT by means of their elevated levels of DNA synthesis (Figure 7B and C). The relatively lower background of normal rat might be due to the losing dose during injection. However, similar to the in vitro cellular uptake analysis, [18F]FBuEA did not preferentially accumulate in the C6 glioma tumor loci (Figure 8). Subtle radioactivity accumulation in the tumor site was noted, with most of the radioactivity found in the bone region. Nevertheless, the PET scans showing a differential accumulation of [18F]FBuEA-GS should be attributable to the metabolism of [18F]FBuEA. One of the reasons for the contradictory results could involve the function of the liver. It is possible that, in the liver, the transporter that most likely couples with GST, and removes the metabolite [18F]FBuEA-GS immediately after detoxifying [18F]FBuEA catalyzed by GST-α. In contrast, [18F]FBuEA-GS administered intravenously bypasses the liver and therefore the majority of the dose can be delivered continually.

Discussion

In comparison with EA, the diminished conjugation activity of FBuEA may be explained by the additional aliphatic chain, which interferes with the molecular recognition.30

The differential toxicity of FBuEA-GS noted between the two cell lines is probably due to the higher demand for the antioxidant GSH by the tumor cells. It has been documented that the toxicity of EA analogs may be mediated via both apoptotic and necrotic pathways.31 Additionally, the hydrophobicity of FBuEA may serve to increase cellular penetration, thereby promoting toxicity. The lack of significant toxicity shown for FBuEA may be due to either the general insensitivity of tumor cells owing to downregulation of GST enzymes or to very low concentrations of GSH available for detoxification.

Table 1 IC50 values for inhibition of formation of the CDNB-GSH conjugate in the presence of GST

|        | GST-α IC50 | GST-π IC50 |
|--------|------------|------------|
| FBuEA  | 17.5* μM   | 6.0* μM    |
| EA     | 1.1* μM    | 0.5* μM    |
| Uridine| >1,000* μM | >1,000* μM |

**Note:** Concentrations given in μM.

**Abbreviations:** CDNB, chlorodinitrobenzene; GSH, glutathione; EA, ethacrynic amide; FBuEA, fluorobutyl ethacrynic amide; GST, glutathione transferase; IC50, half maximal inhibitory concentration.
For our purpose of in vivo PET imaging, differential degrees of accumulation of $[^{18}F]$FBuEA in tumors were crucial. Interestingly, the cellular uptake results showed a contradictory trend, ie, greater accumulation in normal fibroblast cells. The lower uptake of $[^{18}F]$FBuEA in C6 glioma tumor cells is probably the result of the restricted observation period available due to the short half-life of F-18. Alternatively, it is possible that tumors may have
In contrast, the normal fibroblasts still combat toxicity-induced and ROS-induced stress via regular defense mechanisms. Thus, antioxidant and detoxification processes are impaired in the tumor environment. However, it is not clear how the tumor decreases its susceptibility to toxins when GSH is still required to reduce oxidative stress.

The present findings were further compared with in vivo PET imaging data, and the reasonable stability of both \(^{18}\text{F}\)FBuEA and its metabolite \(^{18}\text{F}\)FBuEA-GS, with half-lives of 46 minutes and 60 minutes, respectively, provided the tracer compounds with moderate distribution times. According to the in vivo half-life, a significant amount of both intact radiotracers, \(^{18}\text{F}\)FBuEA and \(^{18}\text{F}\)FBuEA-GS should be able to reach the brain. However, the blood–brain barrier is probably more accessible by \(^{18}\text{F}\)FBuEA than by the more hydrophilic \(^{18}\text{F}\)FBuEA-GS. Interestingly, both tracers representing a toxin and an antioxidant are precisely pumped out of and into the tumor lesions, respectively. It seems that tumor cells have a shortage of nucleophilic cofactors, such as GSH, carrying thiol groups for detoxification. This may partly explain why electrophilic chemical reagents such as temozolomide, a chemotherapeutic agent used to treat brain tumors, exert DNA toxicity through alkylating amino groups on purine bases without encountering serious neutralization by GSH before coming in contact with DNA molecules.

The in vitro and in vivo distribution of the three radiotracers, ie, \(^{18}\text{F}\)FLT, \(^{18}\text{F}\)FBuEA, and \(^{18}\text{F}\)FBuEA-GS, has been described previously in part and in greater detail in this study. Correlation of the oxidation and toxicity status of tumors with the uptake of fluorine-18-labeled compounds have revealed the impaired defense system of the tumor. Hopefully, either a combination of drugs using a “cocktail” approach or a drug with dual function will be effective in the future treatment of brain tumors and related neural disorders.
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