Prevalence of sarcopenia in idiopathic dropped head syndrome patients is similar to healthy volunteers
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Dropped head syndrome (DHS) exhibits cervical deformity due to weakness of the cervical extensor group, and sarcopenia is characterized by progressive and systemic reduction in skeletal muscle mass. These clinical findings are associated with reduced activity of daily living, reduced quality of life, and increased risk of mortality. We collected and reviewed prospective registry data for 16 patients with idiopathic DHS continuously collected without dropping out and 32 healthy individuals who matched their gender and age. The prevalence of sarcopenia and body composition data were compared. There were no differences in the prevalence of sarcopenia, appendicular muscle mass, and leg muscle mass between DHS patients and the healthy elderly. Trunk muscle mass in DHS patients was significantly lower than that in healthy individuals. A significant correlation was found between appendicular muscle mass and trunk muscle mass in healthy subjects but not in DHS patients. Sarcopenia was not associated with the onset of idiopathic DHS. The prevalence of sarcopenia was not high in patients with idiopathic DHS due to the preservation of their appendicular skeletal muscle mass. Patients with DHS were characterized by a significant loss of trunk muscle mass that may be related to the disease but not aging.

DHS is a rare condition in which weakness of the cervical extensor muscles causes horizontal gaze disorder, gait disturbance, and dysphagia1. While muscle atrophy also develops in neuromuscular and muscular disorders, idiopathic DHS caused by an unexplained cervical extensor weakness is a problem for many older patients1–4. With the aging of society, the prevalence of the disease may increase further. Although there are some reports on muscle degeneration in DHS, many aspects of the disease are still unknown1,2,5,6. Sarcopenia, an age-related loss of skeletal muscle and strength, is widely accepted as a geriatric disease that can exacerbate motor dysfunction and increase the risk of adverse consequences such as falls and disabilities in patients with DHS. Sarcopenia, a term proposed by Rosenberg in 19897,8, was initially focused solely on skeletal muscle mass. The disease now includes muscle strength and/or physical dysfunction in addition to the loss of skeletal muscle mass that is often seen in old age9–12. The clinical finding of sarcopenia is associated with reduced activity and quality of life and increased risk of death13. Akune et al.14 reported that its prevalence, based on the Asia Working Group for Sarcopenia (AWGS) consensus15, was 13.8% for older men and 12.4% for women. There are very few reports on the prevalence of sarcopenia in patients with DHS16,17. As the muscle strength and physical function were not evaluated in these studies, the prevalence may be overestimated. Lin et al.18 used electromyographic analysis to demonstrate abnormal muscle activities in the neck and trunk muscles such as the trapezius, levator scapulae, and sternocleidomastoid muscles in patients with DHS. However, the relationship between DHS and muscle mass, including the trunk muscles, is unknown. The prevalence of sarcopenia can exacerbate the disability of
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DHS patients, and the investigation of muscle mass and physical function are important for understanding the pathophysiology of these patients.

Therefore, we aimed to clarify (1) the prevalence of sarcopenia in patients with DHS, including muscle strength and physical performance in accordance to the revised 2019 AWGS standard and (2) the relationship between the decrease in skeletal muscle mass between the limbs and trunk of DHS patients.

Materials and methods

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of International University of Health and Welfare (IRB#5-17-7, 5-19-20, 18-Io-158-2). All participants provided written informed consent. All procedures conducted in this study were in accordance with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

Participants. This cross-sectional study examined DHS patients and healthy volunteers living in the community at a single institution in the Kanto region of Japan. The subjects were female patients with idiopathic DHS were continuously collected from April to December 2019. The diagnostic criteria for DHS was defined as the presence of weakness of the cervical extensor muscles and difficulty in horizontal gaze in the standing position. Those with depression and post-traumatic DHS, as well as those with autoimmune disease, neurological disease, endocrine disease, history of cervical spine surgery, malignant tumor, severe organ failure, gait disturbance, and metal implanted in the body were excluded. Gait disturbance was defined as having difficulty walking 10 m independently. Sixteen DHS patients who met the eligibility criteria were included in this study (mean age 75.4 years, range 64–88) (Fig. 1). From January 2019 to February 2020, 32 of the 96 age and gender-matched healthy volunteers (27 males and 69 females, mean age 76.4 years, range 60–94) living in Kanto region of Japan were selected as control groups. Healthy subjects were recruited by the public relations department of the city and voluntarily participated in a care prevention project sponsored by the city. Each participant signed a written consent form. The study complied with the declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Ethics Review Committee (approval number: 5-17-7, 5-19-20, 18-Io-158-2).

Figure 1. Flowchart of patient enrollment. DHS dropped head syndrome.
Prevalence of sarcopenia. There was no significant difference in age and height between the two groups, while the body weight and BMI in the DHS group were significantly lower than those in the healthy group (p < 0.001, p < 0.001) (Table 1). No patients in the DHS group had 3.0 g/dl ≥ serum albumin or 150 mg/dl ≥ total cholesterol. The average chin-brow vertical angle (CBV A) and C2-7 sagittal vertical axis (SVA) in the DHS group were 66.0 ± 15.6 (28.0–87.0) and 76.4 ± 12.4 (49.0–97.0), respectively. No patients in the DHS group had a negative CBV A or SVA. The mean age of the 32 healthy volunteers was 75.4 (range 63–89, 32 females). No one had a history of malignant tumor and diabetes in both groups. There was no missing data in this study.

Table 1. Demographic data of the participants. Data are presented as the mean ± SD (range). Bold figures indicate statistical significance with p < 0.05. DHS dropped head syndrome; BMI body mass index; CBVA chin–brow vertical angle; SVA sagittal vertical axis. *Positive value indicates lordosis.

|                | DHS group                  | Healthy group              | p value |
|----------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------|
| Age, years     | 75.4 ± 7.4 (64–88)         | 75.4 ± 7.3 (63–89)         | 1.000   |
| Height, m      | 1.48 ± 0.08 (1.36–1.63)    | 1.50 ± 0.07 (1.36–1.65)    | 0.325   |
| Mass, kg       | 43.4 ± 6.5 (31.3–53.4)     | 53.2 ± 9.3 (37.7–73.5)     | < 0.001 |
| BMI, kg/m²     | 19.7 ± 2.1 (15.5–25.0)     | 23.5 ± 3.7 (17.1–30.0)     | < 0.001 |
| Serum albumin, g/dl | 4.1 ± 0.4 (3.3–4.9) | –                          | –       |
| Total cholesterol, mg/dl | 227.6 ± 33.0 (180.0–306.0) | –                       | –       |
| Apex, cervical/thoracic | 7/9                       | –                          | –       |
| CBVA, degrees  | 66.0 ± 15.6 (28.0–87.0)    | –                          | –       |
| C2-7 angle, degrees* | – 35.0 ± 20.2 (~75.0 to 8.0) | –                    | –       |
| C2-7 SVA, mm   | 76.4 ± 12.4 (49.0–97.0)    | –                          | –       |

Data collection. The body composition, handgrip strength, and walking speed were measured before the exercise and at least 3 h after eating. Body composition was measured by multifrequency bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) using a body composition analyzer (MC780, Tanita Inc., Tokyo, Japan). Handgrip strength was measured by gripping the digital grip dynamometer with maximum effort (T.K.K.5401, Takei Scientific Instrument, Niigata, Japan). The measurement was performed twice on each side, and the maximum value among them was used as the representative value14. Walking speed was measured once at the participant’s usual speed20. Measurements were also obtained for body mass, body mass index, body fat mass, lean body mass, arm muscle mass, leg muscle mass, and trunk muscle mass. The skeletal muscle mass index (SMI) was then calculated by dividing the appendicular skeletal muscle mass by the square of the participant’s height. Sarcopenia was assessed based on the criteria of the AWGS 2019 algorithm15. Participants who met the criteria of both low handgrip strength and/or low gait speed and low muscle mass were considered to have sarcopenia15. The fat mass index (FMI) and fat-free mass index (FFMI) were calculated by dividing the body fat mass and lean body mass by the square of the participant’s height, respectively. In addition, the FFMI to FMI (FFMI/FMI) ratio was measured to eliminate the influence of physique and to investigate the relationship between lean body mass and fat mass.
were 66.0 degrees (range 28–87) and 76.4 mm (range 49–97), respectively, and the heads of patients were markedly drooping. Three of 16 patients (18.8%) with idiopathic DHS had SMI < 5.7 kg/m², one of the diagnostic criteria for sarcopenia. All three were diagnosed with sarcopenia due to their grip strength and/or lower walking speed compared to criteria values (Table 2). Of the 32 healthy subjects, 6 of 7 patients with SMI < 5.7 kg/m² were diagnosed with sarcopenia. One patient had no sarcopenia because both grip strength and walking speed were higher than criteria values. The prevalence of sarcopenia was not significantly different between the two groups (p = 1.000).

### Trunk and appendicular muscle mass.
Trunk muscle mass and FMI in the DHS group were significantly lower than those in the healthy group: trunk muscle mass was 7.92 ± 0.53 kg/m² in the DHS group versus 8.51 ± 0.71 kg/m² in controls (mean difference − 0.59 [95% CI − 1.00 to − 0.19]; p = 0.005), and FMI was 4.73 ± 1.66 kg/m² in the DHS group versus 8.01 ± 2.87 kg/m² in controls (mean difference − 3.28 [95% CI − 4.85 to − 1.72]; p < 0.001). The FFMI-FMI ratio, which accounted for the physique, was significantly higher in the DHS group than in the control group (mean difference 1.31 [95% CI 0.72 to 1.89]; p < 0.001) (Table 2). No significant correlation was found between the SMI and trunk muscle mass in the DHS group, while a significant correlation was found between them in the healthy group (p < 0.05, r = 0.45) (Figs. 2, 3). No significant correlation was found between the leg muscle mass and walking speed in the DHS group, whereas a significant correlation was found in the healthy group (p < 0.05, r = 0.35).

### Discussion
The purpose of this study was to assess the prevalence of sarcopenia in patients with idiopathic DHS and whether loss of appendicular muscle mass is associated with loss of trunk muscle mass. Our data showed that sarcopenia was not associated with the onset of idiopathic DHS. This is the first report to accurately measure the prevalence of sarcopenia in DHS patients using the results of muscle strength and physical performance as well as muscle mass. The prevalence of sarcopenia in our control group showed a similar rate to the prevalence of the disease in the Asian population (5.5–25.7%)^{15}, which support the validity of our findings. Considering the blood parameters including serum albumin and total cholesterol in DHS patients, they were never undernourished. Based on our

| Diagnosis of sarcopenia, number (%) | DHS (n = 16) | Healthy (n = 32) | Mean diff | 95% CI (lower) | 95% CI (upper) | p value |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------|---------------|---------------|---------|
| SMI, kg/m² | 6.08 ± 0.44 | 6.17 ± 0.70 | − 0.08 | − 0.47 | 0.33 | 0.669 |
| Grip strength, kg | 18.4 ± 3.0 | 21.7 ± 5.0 | − 3.3 | − 6.1 | − 0.6 | 0.019 |
| Usual gait speed, m/s | 0.8 ± 0.2 | 1.3 ± 0.3 | − 0.5 | − 0.6 | − 0.3 | < 0.001 |
| Arm muscle mass, kg/m² | 1.21 ± 0.12 | 1.37 ± 0.21 | − 0.16 | − 0.27 | − 0.04 | 0.008 |
| Leg muscle mass, kg/m² | 4.89 ± 0.34 | 4.79 ± 0.57 | 0.10 | − 0.21 | 0.41 | 0.526 |
| Trunk muscle mass, kg/m² | 7.92 ± 0.53 | 8.51 ± 0.71 | − 0.59 | − 1.00 | − 0.19 | 0.005 |
| FMI, kg/m² | 4.73 ± 1.66 | 8.01 ± 2.87 | − 3.28 | − 4.85 | − 1.72 | < 0.001 |
| FFMI, kg/m² | 14.89 ± 0.69 | 15.52 ± 1.30 | − 0.63 | − 1.34 | 0.07 | 0.076 |
| FFMI/FMI ratio | 3.50 ± 1.17 | 2.19 ± 0.81 | 1.31 | 0.72 | 1.89 | < 0.001 |

Table 2. Comparison of prevalence and clinical outcome between groups. Data are presented as mean ± SD. Bold figures indicate statistical significance with p < 0.05. DHS dropped head syndrome; SMI skeletal muscle mass index; FMI fat mass index; FFMI fat-free mass index.

Figure 2. Correlation between SMI and trunk muscle mass in the DHS group. DHS Dropped head syndrome; SMI skeletal muscle mass index.
results, the prevalence of sarcopenia in DHS patients was not different from that in healthy individuals, while grip strength and walking speed were lower than in healthy individuals of the same age.

The AWGS 2019 algorithm is the most commonly used diagnostic criteria in recent studies of sarcopenia in the Asian population. In this algorithm, the decreased SMI is a prerequisite for sarcopenia, and both the grip strength for muscle strength evaluation and the walking speed for physical function evaluation are used as diagnostic criteria. Although the DHS group exhibited decreased muscle strength and physical function, we believe the low 20% prevalence of sarcopenia in this study was due to the lack of decrease in SMI. Our prevalence rate is considerably different from a previous study by Eguchi et al. that reported 70%. Comparing the DHS patients between the two studies, there were no significant differences in race, height, weight, or BMI, and cervical malalignment. In 2010, The European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People (EWGSOP) defined elderly people with reduced SMI but without reduced walking speed or muscle strength as pre-sarcopenia. An algorithm was developed specifically for the Asian population in 2019, and pre-sarcopenia was redefined as non-sarcopenia. The prevalence of sarcopenia in patients with DHS in the previous study may be overdiagnosis, as it did not adopt the criteria of the AWGS 2019 algorithm.

We found that the decreased trunk muscle mass (mean value: 7.92 ± 0.53 kg/m²) was characteristic of DHS patients compared to healthy subjects. There was a significant correlation between SMI and trunk muscle mass in healthy subjects (r = 0.45, p < 0.05), but not in DHS patients (p = 0.55). The results show that DHS patients had low trunk muscle mass, even in those with high SMI. The musculoskeletal characteristics of DHS patients revealed a remarkable decrease in trunk muscle mass without the decrease in appendicular muscle mass that is characteristic of sarcopenia. Decreased trunk muscle mass has been reported to be associated with pain, spinal malalignment, and quality of life in patients with spinal disorders, and we believe that decreased trunk muscle mass is associated with the development of idiopathic DHS. We have previously reported that exercise interventions focused on the lower trunk and cervical muscles in patients with DHS yield good outcomes. From our findings, a focus on trunk muscle mass could be an option for conservative treatment of DHS patients. Although walking speed is correlated significantly with leg muscle strength, DHS patients did not demonstrate a significant correlation between leg muscle mass and walking speed. It is also interesting to note that the results of this study showed no significant difference in leg muscle mass between the healthy elderly and patients with DHS. It has been reported that maintaining the elevated position of the head is essential for optimizing input from the visual, vestibular, and somatosensory systems and maintaining systemic balance during exercise. In DHS patients, dropped head or reduced trunk function may contribute to decreased walking ability, regardless of leg muscle strength. Strength exercises aimed at increasing muscle mass in the lower extremities may not be effective in improving the reduced walking ability in patients with DHS.

Reports by Kyle et al. and Bahadori et al. show standard values for FFMI and FMI in non-Japanese populations, and these values for the elderly Japanese were examined in a cohort study of 4,500 people. An increasing FMI with aging represented by sarcopenic obesity is regarded as one of the problems in an aging society. There are no reports of FFMI and FMI in patients with orthopedic diseases, including DHS. Patients with DHS exhibited reduced FMI as opposed to age-related changes. FMI of female patients with DHS obtained in this study (mean 4.7 ± 1.7 kg/m²) was remarkably lower than that of healthy subjects in the previous study (mean 7.4 ± 2.6 kg/m²). Furthermore, the FFMI-FMI ratio in the DHS group was significantly higher than that in control groups. Therefore, we believe that reduced body fat mass is an indicator of DHS. Adipose tissue, along with skeletal muscle, is depleted by various chronic inflammations. Fat loss in patients with DHS was not accompanied by reduced appendicular muscle mass. Although it is unclear why our findings differ slightly from the general patterns of age-related changes in body composition, trunk muscle and fat mass loss may occur in advance of DHS. We believe that DHS patients should be treated by focusing not only on muscle but also on fat. Adipose tissue has a rich composition of immune cells, and cytokines are important regulators of lipolysis. Further investigation into the association between body composition of DHS patients and inflammatory markers is warranted.

Our research has some limitations. Firstly, patients with idiopathic DHS only included those who visited a single institution; therefore, their external validity is low, and it is difficult to generalize the results of this study.
A multicenter research is needed to consider regional characteristics. Secondly, there was an admission rate bias, because the DHS group only included patients who visited the hospital. The prevalence of sarcopenia in DHS might be underestimated. Thirdly, the BIA method was used to evaluate body composition in this study. The most reliable tool for assessing body composition is the dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) method. The BIA method has a slightly lower accuracy in evaluating muscle mass. However, the BIA method provides a portable and inexpensive means for assessment without radiation exposure, which was the most suitable and practical method when considering the ethical aspect of radiation exposure to the control group. In the future, the comparative validity of DXA and BIA should be verified. Moreover, using MRI to examine the fat in the muscles of the trunk and neck at each spine level may be useful information for future treatment. Finally, the prevalence of sarcopenia and fat mass may be underestimated in this study due to the extremely small sample size. Utilizing a larger sample may have revealed a statistically significant difference between patients and the control. In future research, verification should be carried out by increasing the number of people to be measured. However, since DHS is a rare disease, our findings valuable basic research data.

Conclusions
This study evaluated muscle mass, strength, and physical performance in female patients with idiopathic DHS and investigated the prevalence of sarcopenia according to the criteria of the AWGS 2019 algorithm. Subsequently, sarcopenia was not associated with the onset of idiopathic DHS. The prevalence of sarcopenia in patients with DHS was approximately 20%, which was similar to that of age- and gender-matched healthy subjects. Our findings suggested that a decreased trunk muscle and fat mass was associated with female patients with idiopathic DHS, rather than sarcopenia and/or SMI.

Received: 8 May 2021; Accepted: 12 July 2021
Published online: 10 August 2021

References
1. Brodell, J. D. J. et al. Dropped head syndrome: An update on etiology and surgical management. JBJS Rev. 8, 80688 (2020).
2. Katz, J. S. et al. Isolated neck extensor myopathy: A common cause of dropped head syndrome. Neurology 46, 917–921 (1996).
3. Petheram, T. G., Hourigan, P. G., Emran, I. M. & Weatherley, C. R. Dropped head syndrome: A case series and literature review. Spine 33, 47–51 (2008).
4. Sharan, A. D., Kaye, D., Charles Malveaux, W. M. & Riew, K. D. Dropped head syndrome: Etiology and management. J. Am. Acad. Orthop. Surg. 20, 766–774 (2012).
5. Ahdab, R., Creange, A., Benaderette, S. & Lefaucheur, J. P. Cervical spondylotic amyotrophy presenting as dropped head syndrome. Clin. Neurol. Neurosurg. 111, 874–876 (2009).
6. Funao, H., Isoagi, N. & Ishii, K. The potential efficacy of serotonin noradrenaline reuptake inhibitor duloxetine in dropped head syndrome: A case report and review of the literature. Helyon 6, e04774 (2020).
7. Rosenberg, I. H. Sarcopenia: Origins and clinical relevance. J Nutr. 127, 9905S–9915S (1997).
8. Rosenberg, I. H. Summary comments: Epidemiological and methodological problems in determining nutritional status of older persons. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 50, 1231–1233 (1989).
9. Cruz-Jentoft, A. J. et al. Sarcopenia: Revised European consensus on definition and diagnosis. Age Ageing. 48, 16–31 (2019).
10. Fielding, R. A. et al. Sarcopenia: An undiagnosed condition in older adults. Current consensus definition: Prevalence, etiology, and consequences. International working group on sarcopenia. J. Am. Med. Dir. Assoc. 12, 249–256 (2011).
11. Morley, J. E. et al. Sarcopenia with limited mobility: An international consensus. J. Am. Med. Dir. Assoc. 12, 403–409 (2011).
12. Morley, J. E., Baumgartner, R. N., Roubenoff, R., Mayer, J. & Nair, K. S. Sarcopenia. J. Lab. Clin. Med. 137, 231–243 (2001).
13. Beaudart, C., Zaaaria, M., Pasleau, F., Regnier, J. Y. & Bruyere, O. Health outcomes of Sarcopenia: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS ONE 12, e0169548 (2017).
14. Akune, T. et al. Exercise habits during middle age are associated with lower prevalence of sarcopenia: The ROAD study. Osteoporos Int. 25, 1081–1088 (2014).
15. Chen, L. K. et al. Asian Working Group for Sarcopenia: 2019 Consensus update on sarcopenia diagnosis and treatment. J. Am. Med. Dir. Assoc. 21, 300–307 (2020).
16. Eguchi, Y. et al. Elevated levels of serum pentosidine are associated with dropped head syndrome in older women. Asian Spine J. 13, 155–162 (2019).
17. Eguchi, Y. et al. The influence of sarcopenia in dropped head syndrome in older women. Scoliosis Spinal Disorders. 12, 5 (2017).
18. Lin, H. N., Nagaoka, M., Hayashi, Y. & Yonezawa, I. Pathophysiological analysis of dropped head syndrome caused by various diagnoses: Based on surface EMG findings and responses to physiotherapy. Rinsho Shinkeigaku 53, 430–438 (2013).
19. Arai, H. Preface. Geriatr. Gerontol. Int. 18, 5–6 (2018).
20. Cruz-Jentoft, A. J. et al. Sarcopenia: European consensus on definition and diagnosis: Report of the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People. Age Ageing. 39, 412–423 (2010).
21. Hori, Y. et al. ISSLS PRIZE IN CLINICAL SCIENCE 2019: Clinical importance of trunk muscle mass for low back pain, spinal balance, and quality of life-a multicenter cross-sectional study. Eur. Spine J. 28, 916–921 (2019).
22. Igawa, T. et al. Establishment of a novel rehabilitation program for patients with dropped head syndrome: Short and intensive rehabilitation (SHAIR) program. J. Clin. Neurosci. 73, 57–61 (2020).
23. Bohannon, R. W. Comfortable and maximum walking speed of adults aged 20–79 years: Reference values and determinants. Age Ageing. 26, 15–19 (1997).
24. Kavanagh, J. J., Barrett, R. S. & Morrison, S. Age-related differences in head and trunk coordination during walking. Hum. Mov. Sci. 24, 574–587 (2005).
25. Pozzo, T., Berthoz, A. & Lefort, L. Head stabilization during various locomotor tasks in humans I. Normal subjects. Exp. Brain Res. 82, 97–106 (1990).
26. Kyle, U. G., Schutz, Y., Duputel, Y. M. & Pichard, C. Body composition interpretation. Nutrition 19, 597–604 (2003).
27. Bahadori, B. et al. Body composition: The fat-free mass index (FFMI) and the body fat mass index (BFMI) distribution among the adult Austrian population: Results of a cross-sectional pilot study. Int. J. Body Compos. Res. 4, 123–128 (2006).
28. Seino, S. et al. Reference values and age differences in body composition of community-dwelling older Japanese men and women: A pooled analysis of four cohort studies. PLoS ONE 10, e0131975 (2015).
29. Ramirez Torres, M., Ruiz Valenzuela, R. E., Espana-Romero, J., Lopez Teros, M. T. & Aleman-Mateo, H. The fat mass index, not the fat-free mass index, is associated with impaired physical performance in older adult subjects: Evidence from a cross-sectional study. Clin. Nutr. 38, 877–882 (2019).
30. Baracos, V. E., Martin, L., Korc, M., Guttridge, D. C. & Fearon, K. C. H. Cancer-associated cachexia. Nat. Rev. Dis. Primers 4, 17105 (2018).
31. Grant, R. W. & Stephens, J. M. Fat in flames: Influence of cytokines and pattern recognition receptors on adipocyte lipolysis. Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab. 309, E205-213 (2015).

Author contributions
T.I., N.I, H.F. & K.I designed the experiment. T.I., N.I, & K.I curated the data and performed formal analysis. T.I., N.I, H.F. & K.I wrote the manuscript. All authors performed the investigations, provided technical support, and read and approved the final draft.

Competing interests
The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information
Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to T.I., K.I. or H.F.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2021