INFLUENCE OF SURGICAL TRAUMA ON NITRIC OXIDE AND NITROTYROSINE SERUM LEVELS IN PATIENTS UNDERGOING LAPAROSCOPIC OR CONVENTIONAL CHOLECYSTECTOMY

UTICAJ HIRURŠKE TRAUME NA NIVO AZOT-MONOKSIDA I NITROTIROZINA U SERUMU KOD PACIJENATA PODVRGNUTIH LAPAROSKPSKOJ ILI KONVENCIONALNOJ HOLECISTEKTONIJI
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Summary

Background: Oxidative stress represents tissue damage caused by reactive forms of oxygen and nitrogen due to the inability of antioxidant mechanisms to reduce reactive forms into more stable ones. The aim of the study was to evaluate the influence of surgical trauma on nitric oxide (NO) and nitrotyrosine (NT) values in patients undergoing conventional and laparoscopic cholecystectomy.

Methods: A prospective study included sixty patients from the Department of Emergency Surgery, Clinical Centre of Serbia who were operated for gallstone related chronic cholecystitis. All the patients enrolled in the study underwent cholecystectomy; the first group was operated conventionally (30 patients – control group), while the second group was operated laparoscopically (30 patients – treatment group).

Results: There were no statistically significant differences in the values of NO and its postoperative changes in both groups, the conventionally operated group (p=0.943) and the laparoscopically operated group (p=0.393). We found an increase in NT values 24 hours postoperatively (p=0.000) in the conventionally operated patients, while in the group operated laparoscopically we didn’t find statistically significant changes in the values of NT (conventionally operated group (p=0.943) and laparoscopically operated group (p=0.393)).
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Conclusions: In our study, we found a significant increase in NT values 24 hours postoperatively in conventionally operated patients i.e. the control group, vs. the treatment group. Further randomized studies are needed for a better understanding of the impact of surgical trauma on oxidative stress response.
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Introduction

Cholecystectomy is only a curative solution in patients suffering from gallstones. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy today represents a gold standard in place of open cholecystectomy due to lesser surgical trauma, shorter hospital stay and cosmetic effects. It was shown in several studies that beside the previously named advantages it causes weaker inflammatory and oxidative stress responses in contrast to open cholecystectomy (1–3).

It is known that nitric oxide (NO) is significant both as a cellular signalization mediator but also as a strong oxidative reagent (4, 5), especially in reactions with lipids (6), and its free radical derivative NO2 is one of the most important lipid peroxidation inductors (7). Nitric oxide associated oxidative stress creates several byproducts although many of them have short presence in serum so currently it is challenging to determine their serum values. One of its products which has a longer half-life in serum is nitrotyrosine or 3 nitrotyrosine. Values of NT are increased in many diseases and due to its stability and presence in body fluids such as plasma, bronchus aspirate or its metabolite in urine NHPA (3-nitro-4-hydroxyphenylacetic acid) it can be useful in the determination of nitric oxide associated oxidative stress damage.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the influence of surgical trauma on the values of nitric oxide (NO) and nitrotyrosine (NT) in patients undergoing conventional and laparoscopic cholecystectomy.

Material and Methods

This study included sixty patients from the Department of Emergency Surgery, Clinical Centre of Serbia who were operated for gallstone related chronic cholecystitis (30 patients – control group), while a second group was operated laparoscopically (30 patients – treatment group).

This study was designed as prospective. All the patients enrolled in the study underwent cholecystectomy, the first group conventional while the second group was operated laparoscopically. Patient age was confirmed gallstones without ultrasonographic signs of perforation, distention or stratification of gallbladder wall. Laboratory inflammation markers were within their reference values and preoperative risk was ASA 1 according to the Classification of the American Society of Anesthesiology. Conventional cholecystectomy was performed through a right subcostal incision, while a four-port technique was used for laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Serum samples were taken preoperatively, 24 and 72 hours postoperatively and were stored at –80°C degrees and analyzed using Nitrotyrosine ELISA Kit (ab113848) and Nitric Oxide Assay Kit (ab65328) (both kits were produced by Abcam plc). The first kit used for measuring NT values is based on colorimetric examination of samples. Microplates which were provided in the kit were coated with NT containing antigen and if no NT antigens were present in a sample, all of the NT antibodies bonded on present antigens in microplates. After preparation, samples were added with HRP detector antibodies after which samples were incubated at room temperature for 2 hours and then analyzed using colorimetric examination at 600 nm for 15 minutes. Components of the kit used for NT were stored at +4°C degrees and included 10x blocking buffer, 1x HRP development solution, 20x wash buffer, 3-nitrotyrosine BSA standard, extraction buffer, HRP conjugated 5nt detector antibody (1000x), nitrotyrosine coated 96-well solid plate and plate seals. Values of NT range from 10–2000 ng/mL. As for the NO kit, samples used for analysis were first deproteinized by centrifuge at 13 000 for 2 min at 4°C after which superantant centrifuged again at the same rate for 15 minutes at 4°C after which samples were ready for examination. Ranges of NO values in these sets were 0.1 nmol/mL – 10 nmol/mL and were examined colorimetrically at 540 nm according to the principle of examining nitrite and nitrate values in serum since most of NO in serum is oxidized in nitrite and nitrate. Components of the NO kit were stored at –20°C and included assay buffer, enhancer (lyophilized), enzyme cofactor (lyophilized), Griess reagent R1 and R2, microtiter plate and plate cover, nitrate reductase (lyophilized), nitrate standard (lyophilized), nitrite standard (lyophilized).

Results

Demographic data about patients are shown in Table I. We divided patients who participated in our study in two groups. The first group was operated
laparoscopically and the second was operated conventionally (open). Mean age in the first group was 60.43±11.68, and in the second 55.43±12.47 years. Mean lasting time of conventional cholecystectomy was 112.53±12.99 and of laparoscopic 84.20±28.72. We didn’t find a statistically significant difference in age of the patients (p=0.114) but we found a statistically significant difference in the length of operation (p=0.000*).

Table I Demographic data of patients (aχ²-test; b t-test; c Mann Whitney test)

| Evaluated parameters                  | Operative technique | Significance |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| n (%)/(x±SD; (Med; min-max))          | Conventional        | Laparoscopic |
| Number of patients                    | 30                  | 30           |
| Sex                                   | Female              | 15 (50%)     |
|                                       | Male                | 15 (50%)     |
|                                       |                     | 21 (70%)     |
|                                       |                      | 9 (30%)      |
| Age                                   | 60.43±11.68         | 55.43±12.47  |
|                                       | (62.5; 29–75)       | (56.5; 36–78)|
| Length of operation                   | 112.53±12.99        | 84.20±28.72  |
|                                       | (113.5; 95–138)     | (93; 25–125) |

Table II NO and p values obtained using a Mann Whitney test; b Friedman test and c intergroup comparison.

| Observed parameter                  | Operative technique | Significance |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| (x±SD; (Med; min-max))              | Conventional        | Laparoscopic |
| NO (nmol/mL)                        | 0h                  | 4.66±2.37 (4.06; 41.96–11.74) | 3.58±1.06 (3.33; 2.24–6.45) | a p=0.128 |
|                                     | 24h                 | 4.56±1.80 (4.03; 2.14–9.01)  | 3.95±1.27 (3.79; 1.94–6.83) | a p=0.209 |
|                                     | 72h                 | 4.19±1.98 (4.6; 0–9.77)     | 3.67±2.41 (4.33; 0–9.77)   | a p=0.407 |
| Significancec                        |                      | b p=0.943                 | b p=0.393                  |

Figure 1 Time trend of NO values.
Laparoscopic cholecystectomy experienced significant development in the last years of the 20th century and has become a guide for elective treatment of choledolithiasis. Elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy has become a »gold standard« for symptomatic choledolithiasis (8). Accordingly, laparoscopic cholecystectomy is the most common minimally invasive surgical procedure, while elective cholecystectomy is used in several special cases (9).

Some authors have also examined the clinical and financial advantages of laparoscopic cholecystectomy in contrast to open cholecystectomy. A study done by Schiertoma et al. (10) examined the number of hospital days, pain, postoperative monitoring and returning to normal activities. It was proved in this study that patients who were operated laparoscopically had shorter duration of hospital stay, lesser pain and faster time of returning to normal activities.

Beside these advantages, Zolfikaroglu et al. (11) proved in their study that laparoscopic cholecystectomy causes a lesser oxidative stress response.

Patients who participated in our study were not previously surgically treated. We examined two markers of nitrogen associated oxidative stress, nitric oxide (NO) and nitrotyrosine (NT) in patients who were operated laparoscopically and by open cholecystectomy with an aim to examine the influence of choice of operative technique and change of values of these parameters. Nitric oxide (NO) is a free radical gas which is produced in the vascular endothelium by nitric oxide synthase (NOS) by using the amino acid l-arginine as substrate. Currently, two isoforms of this enzyme are known, inducible NOS (iNOS) which is induced in macrophages and hepatocytes by cytokines and endotoxins; it is a calcium independent enzyme, unlike constitutive NOS (cNOS) which is calcium and calmodulin dependant (1). Reactive nature of NO causes it to undergo numerous chemical reactions, of which nitrosative and oxidative lead to the formation of reactive oxygen forms (12). It is known that the parameters which are correlated with metabolic reaction during operative treatment or in trauma return to normal values in short time. In one study which examined oxidative stress markers value changes in patients operated laparoscopically and by open cholecystectomy, it was concluded that the values of nitric oxide (NO) increased in both groups but returned to normal values on the first postoperative day (1).

In our study, we did not find statistically significant differences in values of NO in both groups pre- and post-operatively which may suggest that the duration of operation might correlate with changes in the values of oxidative stress parameters. Similar results were published by Zolfikaroglu et al. (11) who did not find statistically significant difference in value changes in patients who were operated laparoscopically and by open cholecystectomy.

Bukan et al. (1) examined the effects of open and laparoscopic cholecystectomy on oxidative stress.

### Table III  NT and p values obtained using Mann Whitney test\( ^a \); Friedman test\( ^b \) and intergroup comparison\( ^c \).

| Observed parameter | Operative technique | Significance |
|-------------------|--------------------|-------------|
|                   | Conventional       | Laparoscopic |            |
| NT (ng/mL)        | 0h                 | 4.31+2.86 (3.68; 0.65–13.0) | 3.38+2.21 (3.46; 0.38–9.98) | \( ^a p=0.188 \) |
|                   | 24h                | 15.31+11.57 (11.98; 2.54–62.23) | 3.28+11.62 (3.09; 0.95–6.92) | \( ^a p=0.000^* \) |
|                   | 72h                | 6.05+5.10 (5.175; 0–25.2) | 3.04+2.38 (2.615; 0–7.89) | \( ^a p=0.007^* \) |
| Significance \( ^c \) | \( ^b p=0.000^* \) | \( ^b p=0.291 \) |

**Figure 2** Time trend of NT values.
They concluded that the oxidative stress markers (nitrate+nitrite) increased in both groups, but the increase was significantly lesser in the group operated laparoscopically. Some of the hypotheses concerning increase or decrease in oxidative stress response suggest that these may be the effects of operative treatment as a stressor and impact of intraabdominal pressure in patients undergoing laparoscopic operation. Polat et al. (13) examined the effects of intraabdominal pressure increase on lipid peroxidation and protein oxidation in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy who were divided in a group which was operated on 10 mmHg pressure and another on 15 mmHg. Despite an increase in oxidative stress markers in the 15 mmHg group there was no statistically significant difference between the two groups (12). Similar results in correlation with more intensive oxidative stress in patients undergoing open cholecystectomy were shown by Stipancic et al. (14). One of the factors which also might be correlated with higher oxidative stress is the length of operation. Ray et al. (15) examined the impact of length of operation in patients undergoing laparoscopic and open cholecystectomy on oxidative stress response, and they found higher increase of TBARS (thiobarbituric acid reacting substances) and decreasing levels of TAS (total antioxidant status) in patients who had longer operative time. Decreasing levels of TAS might correlate with progressive antioxidant system depletion caused by surgical trauma but further studies are needed (15). There are however also studies in which length of operating time did not correlate with increased oxidative stress markers. Aktimur et al. (16) examined the difference in the values of total oxidant status (TOS), total antioxidant status (TAS) and oxidative stress index (OSI) in patients who were operated for acute appendicitis by open and laparoscopic techniques. Length of operation was higher in patients undergoing open appendectomy. Previously mentioned markers values were determined preoperatively and 24 hours postoperatively. Their results showed that patients undergoing laparoscopic appendectomy had significantly higher values of TOS and OSI, but TAS values remained unchanged. Preoperative values were not significantly different in both groups (16). Increased values of previously mentioned markers (TOS and TAS) were also seen in patients who were operated for acute appendicitis in contrast with control group which was composed of healthy individuals (16). Beside in appendectomies and cholecystectomies, values of oxidative stress markers were determined in some other major surgical procedures such as colorectal operations. In one double-blind randomized study, values of 8-isoprostanates (8-epiPGF2α), protein carbonyls (PC), 8-hydroxyguanosine (8-OHG), and 3-nitrotyrosine (3-NT) were determined in patients undergoing laparoscopic and open operations. Values of these markers were significantly lower in patients undergoing laparoscopic operation which might also correlate with smaller trauma to the abdominal wall caused by the laparoscopic technique (18). A systematic review of 197 papers examined the values of oxidative stress markers in different operations, whether open, laparoscopic or the impact of pneumoperitoneum of stress oxidative markers. A majority of the studies reviewed showed higher oxidative stress immediately following open surgery. In the majority of studies, there were no discernable differences between open and laparoscopic surgery after 24 h. There were no attempts to determine the clinical significance of alteration in the oxidative stress markers. Despite the numerous studies that have been published, consensus has still not been achieved as to the respective effects of different surgical approaches (19).

In our study we found a significant increase in NT values 24 hours postoperatively in the conventionally operated control group, vs. the treatment group. This increase of nitrotyrosine values (NT) in patients who were operated by open cholecystectomy is correlated with duration of operation, and it also might be related with type of surgical technique. The analysis of NO values showed no significant differences in both groups. Additional randomized and multicentric studies are needed for further evaluation of the influence of surgical treatment and changes which happen in oxidative stress metabolism. Increased training and shorter length of operation might be beneficial in reducing systematic response to surgical treatment.

**Study limitation:** Number of patients. This is a type of »small study« but our results likely represent a large number of cases. To generalize the results, it is necessary to conduct the same research over a few centers to obtain stronger conclusions.
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