FGF15/19 is required for adipose tissue plasticity in response to thermogenic adaptations
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the role of enterokine FGF15/19 in adipose tissue thermogenic adaptations.

Methods: Circulating FGF19 and gene expression (qRT-PCR) levels were assessed in subcutaneous adipose tissue from obese human patients. Effects of experimentally increased FGF15 and FGF19 levels in vivo were determined in mice using adenoviral and adeno-associated vectors. Adipose tissues were characterized in FGF15-null mice under distinct cold-related thermogenic challenges. The analyses spanned metabolic profiling, tissue characterization, histology, gene expression, and immunoblot assays.

Results: In humans, FGF15 and FGF19 levels are directly associated with UCP1 gene expression in subcutaneous adipose tissue. Experimental increases in FGF15 or FGF19 induced white fat browning in mice as demonstrated by the appearance of multilocular beige cells and markers indicative of a beige phenotype, including increased UCP1 protein levels. Mice lacking FGF15 showed markedly impaired white adipose tissue browning and a mild reduction in parameters indicative of BAT activity in response to cold-induced environmental thermogenic challenges. This was concomitant with signs of altered systemic metabolism, such as reduced glucose tolerance and impaired cold-induced insulin sensitization.

Conclusions: Enterokine FGF15/19 is a key factor required for adipose tissue plasticity in response to thermogenic adaptations.
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1. INTRODUCTION

FGF15 (and its human orthologue, FGF19) are members of the FGF protein family; they are produced by ileal enterocytes and belong to the subfamily of endocrine FGFs, together with FGF21 and FGF23. FGF15/19 synthesis in ileal enterocytes is stimulated by bile acids that, through FXR, induce transcription of the FGF15/19 genes. FGF15/19 acts on target cells through FGF receptors, mostly FGFR4, that are predominantly expressed in the liver [1]. Similar to FGF21, the action of FGF15/19 requires the interaction of FGFRs with the co-receptor β-Klotho (KLB). The main recognized function of FGF15/19 is to regulate bile acid homeostasis through its ability to inhibit the expression of CYP7A1, which is the key enzyme in the hepatic biosynthesis of bile acids from cholesterol [2]. FGF15/19 also participates in regulating hepatic metabolism, and in the liver, FGF19 reportedly triggers effects that resemble the action of insulin, such as enhanced glycogenesis and protein synthesis [3]. FGF19 has also been shown to promote hepatocarcinogenesis [4] and favor fatty liver regeneration [5]. Brown adipose tissue (BAT) activation and the acquisition of brown fat-like properties by white adipose tissue (WAT; that is, the so-called “browning” of WAT) are associated with enhanced energy expenditure and protection against obesity and damaging metabolic conditions such as hyperglycemia and hyperlipidemia [6]. High thermogenic requirements, such as those experienced under cold environment exposure or diet-originating stimuli, induce BAT activity and WAT browning. Although the sympathetic nervous system is considered to be the main driver of thermogenic BAT activation and WAT browning, growing evidence indicates that non-sympathetic regulators are also involved [7]. Among them, FGF21 has been identified as being involved in eliciting BAT activity and WAT browning, although its relative contribution to the cold- or diet-induced thermogenic activation of adipose tissue remains a matter of debate and ongoing research [8].
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Several observations support the idea that there is cross-talk between the intestine and thermogenic activation of adipose tissues. Manipulation of the intestinal microbiota has been reported to influence BAT activity and WAT browning in distinct experimental settings [9, 10, 11, 12]. The endocrine factor GLP-1 originating in the intestine is known to induce BAT thermogenic activity and browning, mostly through an indirect hypothalamus-mediated mechanism [13]. The gut hormone secretin was recently shown to target BAT and elicit satiation signaling [14]. Bariatric surgery is known to rapidly improve patients’ glucose/insulin homeostasis [15] and cause BAT activation [16], possibly due to gut-originating signals that are not yet fully understood. The induction of FG15/19 has been proposed to contribute to such an association [4].

2.2.2. Overexpression of FG15 and FG19 in vivo
Three-month-old C57BL/6J mice received a single retro-orbital injection with $2 \times 10^{15}$ VP/mouse of Ad5-control or Ad5-FG15 ($n = 5$) as previously described [16] or a single retro-orbital injection with $1 \times 10^{15}$ VP/mouse of AAV8-control or AAV8-FG19 ($n = 6$) as previously described [4]. The mice were analyzed 1 week or 3 weeks post-injection, respectively.

2.2.3. Studies involving Fgf15-null mice
The Fgf15-null mice and WT littermate controls were on a mixed C57BL/6J x 129/Sv strain background as previously described [18]. Three-month-old WT and Fgf15-null mice ($n = 6$) were housed under standard conditions (21 °C) or transferred to a cold chamber or a temperature-controlled animal contention unit (UAN-I, TDI, Madrid, Spain) and maintained at 4 °C or 30 °C, respectively, for 1 week.

2.2.4. Thermography
Surface temperatures were recorded using a T335 infrared digital thermal imaging camera (FLIR Systems, Wilsonville, OR, USA). The mice were shaved at the measurement sites 48 h before thermographic measurements. The resulting images were analyzed using FLIR Quick Report 1.2 software (FLIR Systems). The maximal temperature from the interscapular area corresponding to iBAT sites was retrieved. The core temperature was determined with rectal temperature measurement using a KM-1420 temperature recorder (Kane-May Measuring Instruments, Hertfordshire, UK).

2.2.5. Mouse sampling
The mice were killed by decapitation. Their blood was collected in heparinized tubes for plasma preparation. The liver, subcutaneous (inguinal depot), and visceral (epididymal depot) WAT and interscapular BAT were removed, weighed, immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80 °C until processing. For histological analysis, adipose tissues were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 24 h and stored in 70% ethanol until paraffin blocking preparation. Paraffin-embedded tissue sections (3 µm thick) were generated, air dried, further dried overnight at 60 °C, and subjected to hematoxylin and eosin staining. Lipid droplet areas, brown adipocyte count, and iWAT adipocyte areas were measured using open-source ImageJ software with the Adipose plugin as described in [19]. For immunohistofluorescence staining, paraffin-embedded tissue sections were deparaffinized and rehydrated and heat-induced antigen retrieval was performed in sodium citrate buffer. Slides were washed in TBS 1× with 0.025% Triton X-100, blocked in 10% normal serum with 1% BSA in TBS for 2 h at room temperature (RT), and incubated with primary rabbit anti-UCP1 antibody 1/5000 (ab10983; Abcam plc, Cambridge, UK) in TBS with 1% BSA overnight at 4 °C. After rinsing the slides in TBS 0.025% Triton X-100, the slides were incubated with goat anti-rabbit Alexa 488 secondary antibody (A27034; Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Rockford, IL, USA) 1/2000 in TBS with 1% BSA for 1 h at RT, rinsed with TBS, stained with 50 µg/ml of propidium iodide and maintained in a temperature-controlled environment on a 12/12 h light–dark cycle. Energy intake was calculated by weighing their food every week and assuming an energy density of 13 kJ/g. All experiments involving animals were conducted in accordance with European Community Council Directive 86/609/EEC and the National Institutes of Health guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals. All experimental procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Barcelona.
solution (P4864; Sigma—Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO) for 15 min, and mounted. Fluorescent images were obtained using a Leica DFC 360FX camera coupled to a Leica DMIRE2 microscope.

2.2.6. Mouse measurements of circulating parameters
Blood glucose was quantified using the Accutrend System (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany). Circulating metabolites and hormones were determined from mouse plasma using commercially available kits against the following: triglycerides (TR0100; Sigma—Aldrich), non-esterified fatty acids (434-91795 and 436-91995; Wako Chemicals GmbH, Neuss, Germany), total bile acids (80470; Crystal Chem Inc., Elk Grove Village, IL, USA), insulin (10-1247-01; Mercodia AB, Uppsala, Sweden), FGF21 (RD291108200R; Biovendor), and CXCL14/BRAK (SEB607Mu; Cloud-Clone Corp., Katy, TX, USA). For glucose tolerance tests, glucose in aqueous solution was administered intraperitoneally (2.5 g glucose/kg) to overnight-fasted mice, blood was obtained from the tail 0, 15, 30, 45, 60, 90, 120, and 150 min after glucose injection, and glycemia was measured.

2.3. Western blotting
Frozen BAT and subcutaneous WAT were homogenized in RIPA buffer (50 mM of Tris HCl pH 7.4, 150 mM of NaCl, 1 mM of EDTA, 1% v/v Trition X-100, and 0.1% SDS) containing a protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) and phosphatase inhibitors (2 mM of sodium orthovanadate, 1 mM of sodium pyrophosphate, and 10 mM of sodium fluoride). Lysates were centrifuged at 16,000 g at 4 °C for 10 min. The protein concentration was measured using a bicinchoninic acid (BCA) protein assay as specified by the manufacturer (Pierce, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), and the samples were stored at −80 °C until they were used. The samples were resolved by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. The membranes were blocked for 1 h at RT in TBST (10 mM of Tris pH 7.4, 140 mM of sodium chloride, and 0.1% Tween20) containing 3% BSA. Primary antibodies were applied overnight at 4 °C in TBST-3% BSA. After incubation with HRP-labeled secondary antibodies for 1 h at RT in TBST-3% BSA, the membranes were developed with an ECL system and their luminescence was detected using a Fujifilm LAS-3000 CCD imaging system (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA). For quantification, images were acquired and quantified using Multi Gauge V3.0 (Fujifilm). The primary antibodies utilized and their dilutions were as follows: rabbit anti-UCP1 1/1000 (ab10983; Abcam), rabbit anti-tyrosine hydroxylase 1/1000 (AB152; EMD Millipore), and mouse anti-β-actin 1/1000 (A1978; Sigma—Aldrich).

2.4. RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis, and real-time PCR
Dissected tissues from mice (liver, BAT, and WAT) or human subcutaneous adipose tissue were homogenized using a Tissue Lyser LT (Qiagen, Germany). Total RNA from homogenized tissues was isolated using a column affinity-based method (NucleoSpin RNA II; Macherey—Nagel, Düren, Germany). Total RNA (500 ng) was transcribed into cDNA using TaqMan reverse-transcription reagents (Applied Biosystems/Life Technologies, Foster City, CA, USA). For quantitative analysis of mRNA expression, a TaqMan quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) was performed on a 7500 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) using the specific primer pair/probe sets presented in Supplemental Table 12. Relative mRNA levels of target genes were normalized with respect to that of mouse Pdha (Mm02342430_g1) or human PPIA (Hs04194521_s1) using the comparative (2−ΔΔCT) method. Transcript levels were considered undetectable in cases when the CT value was >40 under our experimental conditions.

2.5. Statistical analysis
Statistical analyses were conducted using GraphPad Prism software version 5.03 (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). Student’s t-test, Mann—Whitney test, and two-way ANOVA with Tukey or Dunnett post hoc corrections were applied. Correlation was established based on linear regression analysis. Differences at P ≤ 0.05 were considered significant. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, and ***P ≤ 0.001.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Plasma FGF19 levels positively correlate with the expression of the brown/beige marker gene UCP1 in human subcutaneous adipose tissue
FGF19 levels were assessed in a cohort of individuals (n = 98) spanning a wide range of BMI from morbid obesity to normal weight (for anthropometrical data, see Supplemental Table 1). Our data indicated that there was a significant inverse correlation of FGF19 levels with BMI and fat mass (Figure 1A) in agreement with previous reports [20]. These correlations were age- and gender-independent (Supplemental Table 2). Biopsies of subcutaneous adipose tissue were obtained in a subset of individuals (n = 50). The expression levels of marker genes for distinct processes associated with adipose tissue physiopathology were analyzed and their correlations with FGF19 levels were determined. Interestingly, the transcript levels of UCP1, which is a marker gene for the brown/beige adipose tissue phenotype, showed a statistically significant positive correlation with circulating FGF19 levels (Figure 1B). Another marker gene of the BAT/beige phenotype, such as Dio2, also showed a (non-significant) trend toward positive correlation, whereas the transcript levels of genes encoding general adipogenesis-related actors, such as adiponectin, Pparγ, Fasn, or Plin1 (Figure 1B) did not correlate with circulating FGF19 levels. The association between circulating FGF19 and UCP1 mRNA levels in SAT lost statistical significance when adjusted for BMI, suggesting that this association was closely related to the status of individuals in relation to obesity condition.

3.2. Overexpression of FGF15 and FGF19 causes browning of WAT
The previously described findings prompted us to directly determine the potential effects of FGF15/19 on adipose tissues in relation to browning and thermogenesis-related adaptations in a mouse model. Considering the marked differences between murine FGF15 and human FGF19 orthologues [21], we used a totally species-homologous experimental approach to directly determine whether FGF15 can promote browning of adipose tissues in mice. In the absence of a commercially available recombinant FGF15 protein, we injected a single retro-orbital dose of an adenosyl vector to deliver a full-length FGF15-encoding construct (Ad-FGF15) whose hepatic expression and delivery is a commonly used tool to increase specific protein levels in plasma [22]. At 1 week post-injection, transcript analysis revealed that the mRNA level of Fgf15 was induced more than 100-fold in the liver over the almost undetectable basal level (Supplemental Table 3). Moreover, the expression of the hepatic FGF15 target gene Oyp7a1 was strongly repressed, demonstrating that the injection increased the biological action of FGF15. Injection with Ad-FGF15 did not significantly modify body weight, adipose depot size, biochemical parameters such as glycemia, insulinemia, or triglyceridemia, or the hepatic expression of Fgf21 (Supplemental Table 3).

The experimental increase in FGF15 did not trigger any alterations in BAT in terms of histological morphology (that is, the lipid droplet size and number of adipocytes) (Figure 2A), the profile of thermogenesis-related gene expression (Figure 2B and Supplemental Table 3),
Figure 1: Circulating FGF19 protein levels positively correlate with the expression of the browning marker gene UCP1 in subcutaneous adipose tissue of humans. Correlation between FGF19 protein levels in plasma from obese patients, (A) BMI and fat mass (n = 98), and (B) mRNA levels of UCP1, Dio2, Adipoq, Pparγ, Fasn, and Plin1 in subcutaneous adipose tissue (n = 50). All correlations were analyzed using Spearman’s correlation except for BMI, which was analyzed by Pearson’s correlation (n = 38).
Figure 2: Overexpression of FGF15 promotes browning of subcutaneous white adipose tissue in mice. All data were obtained from 3-month-old C57BL/6J mice subjected to adenovirus-mediated overexpression of FGF15 for 1 week. Representative hematoxylin and eosin-stained histological sections of (A) brown (BAT) and (B) subcutaneous white (iWAT) adipose tissues. Scale: 100 μm. mRNA levels of Ucp1, Dio2, and Ppargc1a in (C) BAT and (D) iWAT. Representative image of UCP1 protein immunodetection and protein levels of UCP1 per depot in (E) BAT and (F) iWAT. Actin immunodetection was used as a loading control. (G) UCP1 (green) and nucleus (red) immunohistofluorescence staining in iWAT. Bars indicate mean ± SEM (n = 6). *P ≤ 0.05 and **P ≤ 0.01 denote statistical significance for comparisons between Ad-control and Ad-FGF15 analyzed by Student’s t-test.
Figure 3: Overexpression of human FGF19 promotes browning of subcutaneous white adipose tissue in mice. All data correspond to 3-month-old C57BL/6J mice subjected to adeno-associated virus-mediated FGF19 overexpression for 3 weeks. Representative hematoxylin and eosin-stained histological sections of (A) BAT and quantification of lipid droplet areas and BAT density, (D) iWAT, and quantification of adipocyte areas. Scale: 100 μm. mRNA levels of Ucp1, Dio2, and Ppargc1a in (B) BAT and (E) iWAT. Representative pictures of UCP1 protein immunodetection and protein levels of UCP1 per depot in (C) BAT and (F) iWAT. Actin immunodetection was used as a loading control. (G) UCP1 (green) and nucleus (red) immunohistochemistry staining in iWAT. Bars indicate mean ± SEM (n = 6). *P ≤ 0.05 denotes statistical significance for comparisons between AAV8-control and AAV8-FGF19 analyzed by Student’s t-test.
Figure 4: The lack of FGF15 reduces browning of subcutaneous VAT in mice. All data corresponded to 3-month-old WT or Fgf15-null mice housed at 22 °C. (A) Glucose tolerance test (GTT) profile (left) and area under the GTT curve (right). (B) CXCL14 levels in plasma. Representative hematoxylin and eosin-stained histological sections of (C) BAT and (E) VAT and quantification of lipid droplet areas and BAT density, and (G) VAT and quantification of adipocyte areas. Scale 100 μm mRNA levels of Ucp1, Dio2, Ppargc1a, leptin, and Cxcl14 in (D) BAT and (F) VAT. (G) UCP1 (green) and nucleus (red) immunofluorescence staining in VAT. Bars indicate mean ± SEM (n = 6). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.001 denote statistical significance for comparisons between WT and Fgf15-null mice analyzed by Student’s t-test.
protein level of UCP1 (Figure 2C), and tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) protein abundance, indicative of the extent of sympathetic innervation. However, we observed a marked increase in subcutaneous iWAT browning as reflected by an augmented number of multicellular adipocytes (Figure 2D), increased expression of thermogenesis- and beige-related marker genes such as Ucp1, Dio2, and Ppardca1a (Figure 2E), and strong induction of UCP1 protein levels (Figure 2F). The levels of TH protein also tended to increase in iWAT in response to increased FGF15 (Figure 2F). Increased FGF15 also led to a high UCP1-expressing adipocyte mass in iWAT, as assessed by immunohistofluorescence (Figure 2G).

To complement the previously described study, we used a similar approach to analyze the effects of adeno-associated virus serotype 8 (AAV8)-mediated overexpression of human FGF19 in mouse liver and its subsequent secretion over a 3-week period. In this case, the availability of reliable commercial FGF19 antibodies allowed us to determine that the injection of AAV8-FGF19 in the mice yielded a plasma concentration of FGF19 of 170 ± 18 pg/ml, which was in range of that observed in healthy humans (296 ± 36 pg/ml). This expression of FGF19 was associated with some reduction in the sizes of iBAT and WAT depots without any major change in body weight (Supplemental Table 4). Glycemia and triglyceridemia were also unaltered, whereas insulin levels trended lower in the AAV8-FGF19-injected mice. Similar to the results described above, hepatic Cyp7a1 was massively repressed in the AAV8-FGF19-injected mice, demonstrating that the expected biological action of FGF15 was mimicked at this level by overexpression of human FGF19. Hepatic Fgfr21 expression and the circulating level of FGF21 were not altered by FGF19 overexpression (Supplemental Table 4).

AAV8-FGF19 injection did not significantly modify iBAT in terms of its histological appearance (Figure 3A), gene expression levels of Ucp1, Dio2, Ppardca1a (Figure 3B), and Cxcl14 (Supplemental Table 4), or protein level of UCP1 and TH (Figure 3C). In contrast, subcutaneous WAT experienced a dramatic “browning” response to FGF19 overexpression, with a marked appearance of smaller and typical multicellular beige adipocytes (Figure 3D), marked induction of Ucp1, Dio2, and Ppardca1a expression (Figure 3E), a significant upregulation of the UCP1 protein level (Figure 3F) and the abundance of UCP1-expressing adipocytes (Figure 3G), and a trend to increased TH levels (Figure 3F) in iWAT. Together, these findings indicate that increase in the protein levels of FGF15 and FGF19 promotes the browning of subcutaneous WAT.

3.3. Impaired WAT browning in Fgf15-null mice

The results described above prompted us to investigate the role of the FGF15/19 system on brown and beige adipose tissue biology using the Fgf15-null mouse model, which had not previously been used for this purpose. The initial report on the Fgf15-null mice indicated that they showed mildly reduced adiposity (Figure 2C), although the latter showed a nonsignificant tendency to be upregulated in the Fgf15-null mice (P = 0.06) (Supplemental Table 5). Circulating levels of CXCL14 were also reduced in the FGF15-null mice (Figure 4B).

Hepatic gene expression analysis indicated that the Fgf15-null mice exhibited an intense induction of the Cyp7a1 gene transcript (WT, 42.0 ± 8.2 vs. Fgf15-null, 170.0 ± 42.0, and P < 0.01). This was consistent with the reported ability of FGF15 to repress this key gene of bile acid synthesis [18] and verified the biological effectiveness of FGF15 loss of function in the Fgf15-null mouse model. However, the circulating total bile acid levels were not significantly altered (Supplemental Table 5).

Histological analysis of BAT from the Fgf15-null mice did not reveal any major changes (Figure 4C) regarding lipid droplet size or the number of cells, and the transcript levels for key genes related to BAT-mediated thermogenesis, such as Ucp1, Dio2, and Ppardca1a, were not significantly modified (Figure 4D). The transcript level of leptin (an indirect marker of adiposity) was also unchanged in the BAT of the Fgf15-null mice. Assessment of heat production at the interscapular BAT site by infrared thermography did not reveal any significant difference between the Fgf15-null and WT mice (Supplemental Fig. S1A). However, the expression of the batakeine Cxcl14 was dramatically downregulated in BAT from the Fgf15-null mice (Figure 4D).

In contrast, histological examination of subcutaneous iWAT revealed that the Fgf15-null mice were characterized by a larger adipocyte size (Figure 4E) and a more prominent thermogenic response when compared to the WT mice (Figure 4F). Moreover, immunohistofluorescence-based assessment of UCP1-expressing adipocyte mass revealed a reduction in iBAT from the Fgf15-null mice (Figure 4G). Thus, the Fgf15-null mice housed under a mild thermogenic challenge (21 °C) showed a reduced extent of browning in iWAT.

3.4. Impaired adaptive plasticity of WAT to thermogenic challenges in Fgf15-null mice

In light of the altered morphology and signs of impaired WAT browning in the Fgf15-null mice under basal and 21 °C-housed conditions, we analyzed the responsiveness of mice lacking FGF15 to adaptive thermogenic challenges. For this purpose, we exposed the mice to a 1-week adaptation at an environmental temperature of 4 °C, which confers a high requirement for thermogenesis. Both the WT and Fgf15-null mice could adapt to this cold challenge without any compromise in their viability or overt sign of hypothermia. Of note, cold exposure in the WT mice did not alter FGF15 expression levels in the ileum (Supplemental Table 6). After the 1-week cold challenge, the Fgf15-null mice showed a non-significant trend toward maintaining larger masses of iWAT and eWAT relative to the WT mice (Supplemental Table 6). Whereas glyceremia was not altered by the lack of FGF15 in the cold-exposed mice, insulinemia was much higher in the cold-exposed Fgf15-null mice than the cold-exposed WT mice (Supplemental Table 6). In fact, while insulin sensitization is known to occur in response to cold [24], the Fgf15-null mice were far less able than the WT mice to reduce insulin levels in response to 4 °C.

We analyzed the capacity of the mice maintained at 21 °C to adapt to thermoneutrality (30 °C) as a second reciprocal model of adaptive adipose tissue plasticity (in this case, suppression of thermogenic activity) [25,26]. The body weight was not altered in the Fgf15-null mice relative to the WT mice after both groups were exposed to 30 °C, although the masses of the various WAT depots were significantly higher in the Fgf15-null mice, showing statistical significance for eWAT (Supplemental Table 6). The IBAT mass was also higher,
Figure 5: Fgf15-null mice exhibit impaired browning of subcutaneous WAT in response to cold. All data corresponded to 3-month-old WT or Fgf15-null mice housed at 4 °C or 30 °C for 1 week. Representative hematoxylin and eosin-stained histological sections of (A) BAT (20 ×) and (D) iWAT (10 ×). Scale 100 µm. mRNA levels of Ucp1, Dio2, Pparγ1a, Fgf21, Bmp8b, Scc2a1, and Cc141 in (B) BAT and (E) iWAT. Representative images of UCP1 protein immunodetection and protein levels of UCP1 per depot in (C) BAT and (F) iWAT. Ponceau staining was used as a loading control. Bars indicate mean ± SEM (n = 6). *P ≤ 0.05, **P ≤ 0.01, and ***P ≤ 0.001 denote statistical significance for comparisons between WT and Fgf15-null mice analyzed by two-way ANOVA test with Tukey’s post hoc correction.
likely due to increased fat mass. Regarding systemic parameters, there was no significant difference in the levels of triglycerides, but a reduction in FGF21 levels in the Fgf15-null mice vs the WT mice was found when both groups were adapted to thermoneutrality (Supplemental Table 6). Although there were no significant alterations in the histological morphology (Figure 5A), the capacity of BAT to respond to cold (4 °C) showed some signs of impairment in the Fgf15-null mice as demonstrated by reduced transcript levels of Dio2, Pparγ1a, and Slc2a1 (Figure 5B). However, the levels of Ucp1 transcript (Figure 5B), UCP1 protein, and TH protein (Figure 5C) in the cold-exposed Fgf15-null mice relative to the cold-exposed WT mice did not significantly change. The heat production at the interscapular BAT site, which was assessed by infrared thermography, was not significantly different in the 4°C-exposed Fgf15-null mice vs the 4°C-exposed WT mice (Supplemental Fig. S1B). However, the expression of Cxcl14 was markedly impaired in BAT from the Fgf15-null mice maintained at 4 °C (Figure 5B).

In the mice exposed to thermoneutral conditions (30 °C), the histological analysis and thermogenesis-related gene expression profiles supported the idea that only minor changes occurred in the absence of FGF15 in BAT. Among the tested markers, significant decreases were seen solely in Slc2a1 and Cxcl14 (Figure 5B).

In contrast, iWAT experienced much more dramatic changes due to thermogenic challenges in the Fgf15-null vs WT mice. Histological analysis revealed a massive “browning” (appearance of multilocular beige-type adipocytes) in iWAT from the WT mice exposed to 4 °C, whereas much less browning appeared in the Fgf15-null mice exposed to cold (Figure 5D).

The inductions of Ucp1, Dio2, and Pparγ1a were significantly impaired in iWAT from the cold-exposed Fgf15-null mice (Figure 5E). Relative UCP1 protein levels and absolute UCP1 protein levels per iWAT depot were significantly reduced in iWAT from the Fgf15-null mice (Figure 5F), confirming that adaptive browning of iWAT was impaired in the Fgf15-null mice. TH levels were also reduced in the Fgf15-null mice relative to the WT mice in response to cold, indicating that the enhanced sympathetic innervation associated with BAT warming was impaired due to the lack of FGF15. The expression levels of genes involved in adaptive thermogenesis (for example, Ucp1, Dio2, and Bmp8b) were almost undetectable in iWAT from the 30°C-exposed WT and Fgf15-null mice (Figure 5E), while Slc2a1 and Cxcl14 were detectable but did not show any difference due to the absence of FGF15 at the mice maintained at 30 °C.

Similar observations were obtained for eWAT, a visceral BAT depot that is less prone to browning than subcutaneous BAT. Although 1-week exposure to a 4 °C ambient temperature did not yield any overt signs of morphological browning of eWAT in the WT or Fgf15-null mice (Supplemental Fig. S2A), gene expression analysis revealed that the mRNAs for Ucp1 and Dio2 were significantly induced in the WT mice but not the Fgf15-null mice (Supplemental Fig. S2B). On the basis of our results, we conclude that experimentally induced suppression of FGF15 in mice impairs the capacity to induce BAT warming. We determined whether the expression of cellular components of the FGF15 responsiveness machinery in adipose tissues was affected by thermal stress and/or FGF15 gene invalidation. Cold exposure (4 °C) resulted in a much higher expression of KLB (but not FGF1R or FGF4) in iWAT from the WT and Fgf15-null mice. No such effect of cold was found in BAT.

As complementary data, we determined the expression of a panel of marker genes of an M1 pro-inflammatory phenotype (Nos2, Tnfα, Il6, and Ccl2) and an alternative M2-type phenotype (Arg1, Mrc1, Il10, and Arg1/Nos2 ratio) of infiltrating macrophages in mouse adipose depots in the distinct gain- and loss-of-function experimental models (Supplemental Tables 8–11). Despite minor significant changes, no systematic patterns of alteration were found in response to FGF15/19-related experimental manipulations, thus indicating that modulation of pro- or anti-inflammatory status with adipose depots was not a key component of the FGF15-mediated effects.

4. DISCUSSION

In this study, we found that the level of FGF19 was positively associated with the expression of UCP1 (a key marker gene of thermogenic activity and browning of adipose tissue) in a human cohort spanning a wide range of body weights. This prompted us to use mouse models to study the role of FGF15/19 as a potential mediator of intestine-originating signaling to control the browning of adipose tissues. There are important limitations when studying FGF15/19's role in energy metabolism using rodent models. For example, there is a lack of tools for antibody-based quantification of FGF15 in rodents; only complex methods involving capture by anti-peptide antibodies combined with selected reactions monitoring mass spectrometry have achieved such quantification [27]. Other limitations include the lack of recombinant FGF15 and the high mortality observed in the first mouse models of FGF15 gene invalidation [23]. These issues have dampened experimental studies attempting to establish FGF15's actual physiological role in adipose tissue plasticity. Herein, we describe the first study using a FGF15-null mouse model and FGF15 gain-of-function approaches to show that FGF15 plays a key role in adaptive WAT browning.

Previous studies have shown that overexpression or treatment of mice with high levels of human FGF19 can improve metabolism, increase energy expenditure, cause mild effects on BAT activity [28,29], and promote WAT browning [30]. However, the heterologous nature of these studies and the large divergence between human FGF19 and mouse FGF15 made it difficult to appreciate the actual physiological role of the FGF19/15 system in adipose tissue plasticity. In this study, we established the presence of these effects in a totally specified homologous system based on overexpressing FGF15 in mice. However, this pharmacological approach based on high levels of overexpression requires further studies to determine the actual physiological role of the FGF19/15 system in relation to adipose tissue plasticity.

Our findings indicated that the absence of FGF15 severely impaired the capacity of WAT to undertake browning in response to thermogenic challenges, whereas it impacted BAT activity in a more moderate manner. This pattern of alterations mirrored the findings in mice subjected to an experimentally induced increase in FGF15. The preferential targeting of WAT also involved the modulation of sympathetic innervation as demonstrated by TH data. The reasons for the preferential sensitivity of WAT-versus BAT to FGF15/19-mediated effects were unclear, but data on increased expression of KLB in WAT (but not BAT) in response to cold may contribute to sensitization to FGF15/19-mediated signaling. Of note, a previous report using a heterologous model of FGF19 treatment of mice [30] showed increased energy expenditure associated with an intense induction of UCP1 expression in WAT, indicative of browning, which was concordant with our findings. Interestingly, the induction of UCP1 was found to be required for the thermogenic action of FGF19 treatment but not for FGF15-mediated obesity protection. These findings highlight the importance of the FGF19/15 pathway in the regulation of energy metabolism and the complex mechanisms involved, ranging from the control of adipose
tissue plasticity to impaired intestinal energy absorption elicited by altered bile acid homeostasis [30].

Our current study did not allow us to fully establish the mechanisms by which FGF15 controls WAT browning. Direct effects of FGF15 on brown/beige adipocytes or pre-adipocytes cannot be ruled out, although the existing evidence suggests that indirect effects are more important. Some reports have suggested that FGF1 receptors present on adipose cells can mediate the cellular action of FGF15/19 [31]. However, FGFR4, which is the main receptor accounting for the effects of FGF15/19 [32], is poorly expressed in brown/beige adipocytes and pre-adipocytes [1]. We found that browning-susceptible human pre-adipocyte cells were unable to acquire a browning phenotype in response to FGF19 in vitro (unpublished data). Thus, distinct indirect mechanisms are likely to account for the effects of FGF15/19 on WAT browning.

Bile acids are known to activate BAT, but suppression of FGF15 either failed to alter (this study) or increase [18] the level of bile acids, which is consistent with FGF15’s role as a negative regulator of bile acid biosynthesis [2]. Thus, bile acid-mediated alterations are not likely to account for the positive relationship between FGF15 and WAT browning. However, studies based on the direct administration of FGF19 to distinct brain regions that express FGFR1 and KLB revealed positive effects on metabolism, including improved glucose tolerance, increased energy expenditure, and BAT activation [33]. Thus, it cannot be ruled out that the action of FGF15 in promoting WAT browning may involve indirect centrally mediated mechanisms through the control of sympathetic activity upon adipose tissue, which would be consistent with our data on altered TH expression in WAT depots. However, one of the most affected genes in BAT from FGF15-null mice is CXCL14, a cytokine that contributes to WAT browning when it is secreted by BAT following a thermogenic stimulus [34]. CXCL14 blood levels were concomitantly reduced in several of our experimental models in FGF15-null mice. However, gain-of-function experiments did not trigger increased CXCL14 expression parallel to the induction of WAT browning, which may indicate that basal physiological CXCL14 expression is permissive for FGF15/19’s effects. However, we did not find evidence that modulation of pro- or anti-inflammatory status within adipose depots as expected for CXCL14 action [34] was a key component of the FGF15-mediated effects. In any case, future research is warranted to determine the precise involvement of CXCL14 in the FGF15-mediated browning of WAT.

Multiple reports in humans have revealed a negative correlation between FGF19 levels and obesity and type II diabetes [20,35]. Considering that WAT browning protects against metabolic diseases in rodent models [36,37] and possibly in humans [38,39,40,41], our findings suggest that FGF15/19 may contribute to a mechanism for intestine-to-adipose tissue communication, thereby promoting energy expenditure and metabolic health. In fact, the systemic effects of our loss- and gain-of-function manipulations of FGF15 in mice (i.e., reduced glucose tolerance and impaired cold-induced insulin sensitization observed in the FGF15-null mice) support this notion. Further research is needed to ascertain how the positive actions of FGF15 on the browning of WAT could contribute to disease prevention and metabolic health improvement in clinical settings and experimental models.

5. CONCLUSIONS

Our study reports that the circulating level of FGF19 correlates with the levels of the brown/beige gene marker UCP1 in subcutaneous adipose tissue of humans. In addition, a deficit in FGF15 impairs browning of subcutaneous WAT after cold challenges (4 °C and 21 °C), while overexpression of FGF15 and FGF19 promotes browning in mice. FGF15/19 constitutes an intestine-originating signaling component that is involved in controlling adipose tissue plasticity during thermogenic adaptations.
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