Penicillin Acylase from *Streptomyces lavendulae* and Aculeacin A Acylase from *Actinoplanes utahensis*: Two Versatile Enzymes as Useful Tools for Quorum Quenching Processes
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Abstract: Many Gram-negative bacteria produce N-acyl-homoserine lactones (AHLs), quorum sensing (QS) molecules that can be enzymatically inactivated by quorum quenching (QQ) processes; this approach is considered an emerging antimicrobial alternative. In this study, kinetic parameters of several AHLs hydrolyzed by penicillin acylase from *Streptomyces lavendulae* (SlPA) and aculeacin A acylase from *Actinoplanes utahensis* (AuAAC) have been determined. Both enzymes catalyze efficiently the amide bond hydrolysis in AHLs with different acyl chain moieties (with or without 3-oxo modification) and exhibit a clear preference for AHLs with long acyl chains (C12-HSL > C14-HSL > C10-HSL > C8-HSL for SlPA, whereas C14-HSL > C12-HSL > C10-HSL > C8-HSL for AuAAC). Involvement of SlPA and AuAAC in QQ processes was demonstrated by *Chromobacterium violaceum* CV026-based bioassays and inhibition of biofilm formation by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, a process controlled by QS molecules, suggesting the application of these multifunctional enzymes as quorum quenching agents, this being the first time that quorum quenching activity was shown by an aculeacin A acylase. In addition, a phylogenetic study suggests that SlPA and AuAAC could be part of a new family of actinomycete acylases, with a preference for substrates with long aliphatic acyl chains, and likely involved in QQ processes.
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1. Introduction

Quorum sensing (QS) is a bacterial cell-to-cell communication mechanism that allows bacteria to regulate a high diversity of biological functions such as bioluminescence, production of virulence factors, antibiotics and other secondary metabolites, and biofilm formation by releasing, detecting and responding to small, diffusible signal molecules called autoinducers [1,2]. The disruption of QS signaling, a process known as quorum quenching (QQ), is a promising alternative for controlling bacterial infections in human, animals or plants and anti-biofouling in membrane bioreactor systems [3–5]. Among the best-characterized QS molecules, N-acyl-homoserine lactones (AHLs) are the most commonly used by Gram-negative proteobacteria [6–9]. AHLs each consist of an acyl chain linked to a homoserine lactone core (HSL) by an amide bond [6]. Generally, AHL inactivation is accomplished by lactonases or acylases, although the inactivation by oxidoreductases acting on the C3 substituent in AHL acyl chain has also been reported [10]. Lactonases inactivate AHLs by hydrolyzing their lactone rings, but lactonolysis is irreversible at acidic pH, producing an active form [11,12], limiting the use of these enzymes, whereas acylases are more advantageous as QQ agents since they catalyze the irreversible hydrolysis of the amide bond [12]. Although numerous AHL acylases have been characterized, the link between QQ and the ability to gain a competitive advantage due to the production of these enzymes has not conclusively been demonstrated [13]. Recently, it has been described that certain beta-lactam antibiotic resistant bacteria show quorum quenching activity as well [14]. However, whether the molecules involved in both processes are the same is yet unknown [14]. Thus, due to the large number of putative bacterial enzymes that might be involved in QQ processes, further investigation is needed to gain insight into the roles of such enzymes in both environmental issues and biotechnological applications [5,15,16].

The Ntn-hydrolase superfamily contains many enzymes with diverse activities, including beta-lactam acylases, AHL acylases and proteasomes, among others [17]. Many of these enzymes have been classified according to their respective first reported activities, although this classification is not always necessarily in agreement with their true biological role [18]. Over the years, AHL acylase activity has been described for some penicillin acylases from Gram-negative bacteria, such as the penicillin G acylase from Klebsiella pneumoniae [19] and the penicillin V acylases (PVAs) from Pectobacterium atrosepticum and Agrobacterium tumefaciens [20]. Nevertheless, AHL degradation by penicillin acylases from filamentous Gram-positive bacteria has not been fully demonstrated so far.

Penicillin acylase from Streptomyces lavendulae ATCC 13664 (SlPA, formerly abbreviated as SIPVA in our previous reports) (EC 3.5.1.11) and aculeacin A acylase from Actinoplanes utahensis NRRL 12052 (AuAAC) (EC 3.5.1.70) are the unique described penicillin and echinocandin acylases, respectively, capable of efficiently hydrolyzing phenoxymethyl penicillin (penicillin V), several natural aliphatic penicillins (such as penicillin K, penicillin F and penicillin dihydro F) and aculeacin A [21–24]. Astoundingly, both show very similar substrate specificity, with a marked preference for amides bearing long hydrophobic acyl moieties [21,22,24]. Moreover, these extracellular heterodimeric (\(\alpha\beta\)) Ntn-hydrolases present interesting properties to be applied in the industrial production of semi-synthetic antibiotic and antifungal compounds in enzymatic bioreactors [25–30]. It is interesting that these enzymes are structurally related to the acyl-homoserine lactone acylase from Streptomyces sp. M664 [22], the only one characterized AHL acylase from filamentous bacteria [18,31], in contrast to the majority of QQ enzymes that belong to unicellular bacteria.

In the present study, we report the newly discovered AHL acylase activities of both SlPA and AuAAC and suggest their possible involvement in QS interference since they can inhibit formation of biofilms by Pseudomonas aeruginosa. In addition, high identities between both enzymes and AHL quorum quenching acylases were revealed by comparative sequence analysis.
2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Substrate specificity of SlPA and AuAAC towards different AHLs

The substrate specificities of SlPA and AuAAC were analyzed by measuring initial rates and calculating kinetic parameters for the hydrolysis of several enantiopure L-AHLs (Tables 1 and 2, respectively).

Table 1. Kinetic parameters for SlPA using different AHLs *.

| Substrate  | $K_m$ (mM) | $k_{cat}$ (s$^{-1}$) | $k_{cat}/K_m$ (mM$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) |
|------------|------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| C$_8$-HSL  | 1.19 ± 0.16 | 22.9 ± 1.2           | 19.2                               |
| 3-oxo-C$_8$-HSL | 0.93 ± 0.14 | 7.34 ± 0.42           | 7.9                                |
| C$_{10}$-HSL | 0.25 ± 0.04 | 21.9 ± 1.1           | 87.6                               |
| 3-oxo-C$_{10}$-HSL | 0.40 ± 0.07 | 14.8 ± 1.0           | 37.0                               |
| C$_{12}$-HSL | 0.13 ± 0.02 | 19.3 ± 1.1           | 148.5                              |
| 3-oxo-C$_{12}$-HSL | 0.22 ± 0.03 | 20.4 ± 1.3           | 92.7                               |
| C$_{14}$-HSL | 0.039 ± 0.007 | 3.80 ± 0.25       | 97.4                               |
| 3-oxo-C$_{14}$-HSL | 0.137 ± 0.032 | 10.8 ± 1.9         | 78.8                               |

* Reaction conditions: phosphate pH 8.0 containing DMSO 20% (v/v) at 45 °C.

Table 2. Kinetic parameters for AuAAC using different AHLs *.

| Substrate  | $K_m$ (mM) | $k_{cat}$ (s$^{-1}$) | $k_{cat}/K_m$ (mM$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) |
|------------|------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| C$_8$-HSL  | 2.70 ± 0.76 | 24.7 ± 3.6           | 9.2                                |
| 3-oxo-C$_8$-HSL | 0.45 ± 0.14 | 2.05 ± 0.20           | 4.6                                |
| C$_{10}$-HSL | 0.19 ± 0.03 | 13.6 ± 0.8           | 71.6                               |
| 3-oxo-C$_{10}$-HSL | 0.47 ± 0.10 | 4.45 ± 0.39           | 9.5                                |
| C$_{12}$-HSL | 0.10 ± 0.02 | 8.15 ± 0.56           | 81.5                               |
| 3-oxo-C$_{12}$-HSL | 0.17 ± 0.03 | 7.95 ± 0.57           | 46.8                               |
| C$_{14}$-HSL | 0.013 ± 0.003 | 1.19 ± 0.11       | 91.5                               |
| 3-oxo-C$_{14}$-HSL | 0.023 ± 0.006 | 1.79 ± 0.23         | 77.8                               |

* Reaction conditions: phosphate pH 8.0 containing DMSO 20% (v/v) at 45 °C.

As shown in Table 1, SlPA efficiently hydrolyzed several AHLs and their 3-oxo-derivatives. Although the highest catalytic constant ($k_{cat}$) was found with C$_8$-HSL (22.9 s$^{-1}$), the enzyme was more efficient with substrates containing longer acyl chains. In fact, its catalytic efficiency ($k_{cat}/K_m$) was 4-fold higher with C$_{10}$-HSL (87.6 mM$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) or C$_{14}$-HSL (97.4 mM$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) and almost 8-fold higher when C$_{12}$-HSL was used (148.5 mM$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$). The presence of a 3-oxo group had a negative impact on catalytic efficiency, but this effect was less pronounced as the length of the acyl chain increased. These data suggest that the amide group and the ketone at the C3’ position of the acyl chain of AHLs could be important for enzyme-substrate interaction. Among the 3-oxo derivatives, the highest catalytic efficiency value corresponded to 3-oxo-C$_{12}$-HSL (92.7 mM$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) > 3-oxo-C$_{14}$-HSL > 3-oxo-C$_{10}$-HSL > 3-oxo-C$_8$-HSL. SlPA did not show detectable activity on C$_4$-HSL, and its activity against C$_6$-HSL was poor. Likewise, the kinetic parameters for the AuAAC-catalyzed hydrolysis of different AHLs and their 3-oxo derivatives were also determined (Table 2), whose values were quite similar to those obtained using SlPA (Table 1).

Like SlPA, AuAAC exhibited hydrolytic activity towards C$_8$-HSL > C$_{10}$-HSL > C$_{12}$-HSL > C$_{14}$-HSL, C$_8$-HSL being the best substrate according to the observed catalytic constant (24.7 s$^{-1}$). This preference for a C$_8$-acyl chain is in agreement with previous kinetic studies employing various aliphatic penicillins as substrates. In this sense, both enzymes were reported to display the highest catalytic constant with penicillin K (22.7 s$^{-1}$ for SlPA and 33.3 s$^{-1}$ for AuAAC, respectively) [21,24], a penicillin derivative which bears a C$_8$-acyl chain attached to 6-amino penicillanic acid (6-APA). In addition, $K_m$ values for AHLs with acyl chains longer than eight carbons were generally lower than those reported for aliphatic penicillins in both SlPA and AuAAC [21,24], pointing at the possible role of these enzymes in QQ processes. Although the activity towards 3-oxo derivatives was also detected, the highest
catalytic efficiency value for AuAAC corresponded to 3-oxo-C$_{14}$-HSL (77.8 mM$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) > 3-oxo-C$_{12}$-HSL > 3-oxo-C$_{10}$-HSL > 3-oxo-C$_{8}$-HSL, showing lower values than those observed for SlPVA. Among all the substrates included in this study, the best catalytic efficiency was observed with C$_{14}$-HSL (91.5 mM$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$). This preference of SlPVA and AuAAC for unsubstituted AHLs in C3’ position has been also observed in AHL acylases from Shewanella sp. MIB015 (AaC) [32] and Acinetobacter sp. Ooi24 (AmiE) [33]. Broad substrate specificity towards different AHLs and β-lactam antibiotics has also been described for other enzymes, besides SlPVA and AuAAC. For instance, the extracellular AHL acylase from Stenotrophomonas sp. M664 (AhIM) efficiently hydrolyzes C$_8$-HSL, C$_6$-HSL and 3-oxo-C$_{12}$-HSL, whereas its deacylation activity towards short-acyl chain AHLs, C$_6$-HSL and 3-oxo-C$_{6}$-HSL was relatively low, and was negligible using C$_4$-HSL as the substrate [31], as is the case for SlPVA and AuAAC. In addition, AhIM also shows acylase activity towards penicillin G, expanding its substrate specificity to different structures such as β-lactam antibiotics.

It was believed that AHL acylase from Acidovorax sp. MR-S7 (MacQ) shows the broadest substrate specificity, since it can deacylate various AHLs, ranging from C$_6$ to C$_{14}$ in length, and different β-lactams antibiotics, including penicillin derivatives (penicillin G, ampicillin, amoxicillin, and carbenicillin) and cephalosporin derivatives (cephalexin and cefadroxil) [34]. Nevertheless, no echinocandin acylase assays were reported for this enzyme.

It is important to point out that there is no report of kinetic parameters for AHLs by using AhIM and MacQ acylases. The activities of AhIM and MacQ acylases were measured by an AHL assay (Agrobacterium tumefaciens such as pH, temperature, buffer concentration and DMSO concentration) were employed in every reaction that allowed total solubilization of higher concentrations of 3-oxo-C$_{12}$-HSL, whereas its deacylation activity towards short-acyl chain AHLs, C$_6$-HSL and 3-oxo-C$_{6}$-HSL was relatively low, and was negligible using C$_4$-HSL as the substrate [31], as is the case for SlPVA and AuAAC. In addition, AhIM also shows acylase activity towards penicillin G, expanding its substrate specificity to different structures such as β-lactam antibiotics.

To the best of our knowledge, the kinetics of enzyme reactions catalyzed by AHL acylases have not been studied in detail, and only a few reports indicate catalytic efficiency values for different AHLs in order to deep into substrate specificity of these enzymes. In this sense, the HSL-OPA method was used to carry out kinetic studies of AHL acylases from P. aeruginosa PA01 (PA0305 and PvdQ) [36,37], Pseudomonas syringae B728a (HacA and HacB) [38], Anabaena sp. PCC7120 (AiiC) [39], Shewanella sp. MIB015 (AaC) [32], Deinococcus radiodurans R1 (QqaR) [40] and K. citrophila DSM 2660 (KcPGA) [19].

Using 3-oxo-C$_{12}$-HSL as the substrate, $k_{cat}/K_m$ values for PaPVA (135 mM$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$), AiiPVA (26.8 mM$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$), PA0305 (78 mM$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) and PvdQ (5.8 mM$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) could be compared to those observed for SlPVA (92.7 mM$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) and AuAAC (46.8 mM$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) (Tables 1 and 2), although different reaction conditions (such as pH, temperature, buffer concentration and DMSO concentration) were employed in every case. The kinetic data support the strong activities of both SlPVA and AuAAC towards 3-oxo-C$_{12}$-HSL. In the case of PaPVA and AiiPVA, the plot of the reaction velocity (v) as a function of 3-oxo-C$_{12}$-HSL concentration followed a sigmoidal pattern, and an allosteric behavior was attributed to the low substrate solubility in the reaction medium that did not allow one to reach substrate saturation [20], even though DMSO at 0.8% (v/v) was used to enhance 3-oxo-C$_{12}$-HSL solubility. In contrast, a hyperbolic behavior was observed in the case of SlPVA and AuAAC, likely due to the presence of DMSO at 20% (v/v) in the reaction that allowed total solubilization of higher concentrations of 3-oxo-C$_{12}$-HSL during the kinetic study (Figure S1). It is worth mentioning that activities of SlPVA and AuAAC were not affected in the presence of 20% DMSO.
Finally, using C\textsubscript{12}-HSL as the substrate, the $k_{\text{cat}}/K_m$ value for PA0305 [41] at pH 7.5 and 30 °C in the absence of DMSO (1.4 mM\textsuperscript{-1} s\textsuperscript{-1}) was significantly lower than the ones described for SlPA (148.5 mM\textsuperscript{-1} s\textsuperscript{-1}) and AuAAC (81.5 mM\textsuperscript{-1} s\textsuperscript{-1}) at pH 8.0 and 45 °C in the presence of DMSO at 20% (v/v) (Tables 1 and 2). Although kinetic constants have been reported for KcPGA employing C\textsubscript{8}-HSL and 3-oxo-C\textsubscript{6}-HSL, enzymatic activity of SlPA and AuAAC with these substrates was too low to perform an adequate kinetic characterization. In fact, a low catalytic activity ($k_{\text{cat}}$) was also observed in the case of KcPGA using C\textsubscript{6}-HSL (0.03 s\textsuperscript{-1}) and 3-oxo-C\textsubscript{6}-HSL (0.06 s\textsuperscript{-1}) [19].

2.2. Quorum Quenching Role of SlPA and AuAAC

The AHL acylase activities of both SlPA and AuAAC suggests that these enzymes may be involved in QQ processes. To demonstrate this hypothesis two different bioassays were used. The first one exploits QS-reporting violacein production by Chromobacterium violaceum CV026, and the second one monitors biofilm formation by P. aeruginosa.

Results of the forward bioassay carried out with C. violaceum CV026 employing C\textsubscript{6}-HSL and C\textsubscript{8}-HSL as inducers are shown in Figure 1. C. violaceum CV026 produced violacein only when C\textsubscript{6}-HSL (or C\textsubscript{8}-HSL) was added to the agar plate (Figure 1B vs. Figure 1A) and such production was slightly inhibited in the presence of SlPA (Figure 1C). The inhibition of violacein production was more evident when the AHL was previously incubated with SlPA (for 24 h at 40 °C) and further added to the medium (Figure 1D). This inhibitory effect was higher with C\textsubscript{8}-HSL as expected due the higher catalytic efficiency of SlPA on this compound (Table 1). Similar results were observed for AuAAC in the forward bioassay of C\textsubscript{8}-HSL hydrolysis (data not shown). Furthermore, the effect of both enzymes in violacein production by enzymatic hydrolysis of long-chain AHLs was detected by reverse bioassays (data not shown).

![Figure 1](image-url) CV026-based forward bioassay of AHL hydrolysis by SlPA. C\textsubscript{6}-HSL and C\textsubscript{8}-HSL were used as inducers of violacein production. (A) C. violaceum CV026 without inductor; (B) C. violaceum CV026 grown in the presence of AHL; (C) C. violaceum CV026 grown in the presence of a mixture of AHL and SlPA (in vivo assay); (D) C. violaceum CV026 grown in the presence of a pre-incubated reaction mixture of AHL and SlPA (in vitro assay). C\textsubscript{6}-HSL concentration was 20 mM, whereas C\textsubscript{8}-HSL concentration was 5 mM.
QS interference by the wild-type strains producing SlPA and AuAAC was confirmed using 
*C. violaceum* CV026-based forward bioassay (Figure 2B,C). Previously, 
*C. violaceum* CV026 was assessed to produce violacein when C8-HSL was added to the agar plate (Figure 2A), and this production was not affected by the presence of wild-type *S. lividans* (Figure 2D). Finally, AHL acylase cleavage was also demonstrated employing the recombinant strains of *Streptomyces lividans* CECT 3376 and CECT 3377, expressing SlPA and AuAAC respectively (Figure 2E,F), demonstrating that both enzymes can interfere in quorum sensing signaling in vivo.

On the other hand, SlPA was tested for its ability to disrupt formation of biofilms by *P. aeruginosa*, a QS controlled process (Figure 3). When SlPA was added to the culture in its soluble form, disruption of biofilm formation was high (Figure 3C1), whereas it was only moderate when the enzyme was immobilized to silanized slides (Figure 3D1). In this sense, slide coating with SlPA was confirmed by immunodetection (Figures 3B2 and 4D2). On the contrary, biofilm formation was not affected in the absence of SlPA (Figure 3A1) or the presence of the heat-inactivated enzyme (Figure 3B1). Similar results were obtained when AuAAC was employed in the same experiment (data not shown).

![Figure 2. Detection of AHL degradation by recombinant *S. lividans* strains expressing SlPA and AuAAC. CV026-based bioassay was used to monitor C8-HSL cleavage. (A) *C. violaceum* CV026 control (AHL non-degrading); (B) *Streptomyces lavendulae*; (C) *Actinoplanes utahensis*; (D) *Streptomyces lividans* (AHL non-degrading control); (E) recombinant *Streptomyces lividans* expressing SlPA (CECT 3376); and (F) recombinant *Streptomyces lividans* expressing AuAAC (CECT 3377).](image-url)

These results suggest that these acylases can be used to combat the formation of biofilms by *P. aeruginosa*. Corneal, lung and burn wound infections caused by *P. aeruginosa*, and the production of virulence factors (and the biofilm differentiation) of this opportunistic pathogen, are regulated by two QQ signals such as 3-oxo-C12-HSL and C4-HSL [1,42,43]. Besides, 3-oxo-C6-HSL has demonstrated to increase cell-growth rate during the formation of *P. aeruginosa* biofilm on ultra-filtration membranes for advanced wastewater treatment [44]. In the present work, the presence of SlPA and AuAAC has significantly reduced *P. aeruginosa* biofilm formation, likely due to hydrolysis of different AHLs and 3-oxo-AHLS with long acyl-chains, and this effect suggests potential clinical and environmental applications. In fact, the role of the AHL-degrading enzymes to prevent biofilm formation in wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) is under study, since the inhibition of biofilms on ultrafiltration membranes of membrane bioreactors (MBR) could solve biofouling problems and increase the useful lifespan of filtration membranes minimizing operational costs [5]. Further experiments are warranted to gain a better understanding on the practicality of this advanced technology for biofouling control in MBR.
systems. Additional studies on the QQ acylase activity of SlPA and AuAAC are currently in progress in order to assess their inhibitory capacity of biofilm formation by bacteria inhabiting WWTPs.

**Figure 3.** Disruption of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm development on glass slides. Images acquired after 24 h in the following conditions: **(A1):** No SlPA addition. **(B1):** Heat-inactivated SlPA bound to silanized slides. **(C1):** SlPA addition in culture media. **(D1):** SlPA bound to silanized slides. SlPA attachment to slides was determined by using an Alexa Fluor 488 dye goat anti-rabbit whole antibody conjugate. **(A2):** No SlPA addition. **(B2):** Heat-inactivated SlPA bound to silanized slides. **(C2):** SlPA addition in culture media. **(D2):** SlPA bound to silanized slides. Microphotographs were obtained using a differential interference contrast (DIC) microscopy (A1–D1) at ×1000 total magnification, or an Olympus BX61 epifluorescence microscope (A2–D2) at ×400 total magnification.
Figure 4. Molecular phylogenetic analysis of SlPA and AuAAC in the context of the Ntn-hydrolase superfamily (accession numbers in the NCBI server). Ntn-hydrolases with reported AHL acylase activity: AiiD, from *Ralstonia* sp. XJ12B (AAO41113); PvdQ, from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1 (AAG05773); AhIM, from *Streptomyces* sp. M664 (AAT68473); QuiP, from *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 (AAG04421); HacA, from *Pseudomonas syringae* B728a (AAY37014); HacB, from *P. syringae* B728a (AAY39885); AiiC, from *Anabaena* sp. strain PCC7120 (BAB75623); Aac, from *Shewanella* sp. strain MIB015 (BAF94155); QqaR, from *Deinococcus radiodurans* K1 (WP_010889514); MacQ, from *Acidovorax* sp. MR-S7 (BAV56778); PfmA, *Pseudoalteromonas* flavipulchra JG1 (ASS36259); AmiE, *Acinetobacter* sp. Ooi24 (BAP18758); AibP, from *Brucella melitensis* (AAL53453); Aac, from *Ralstonia solanacearum* GMI1000 (WP_011002462); SlPA, from *Streptomyces lavendulae* ATCC 13664 (AAU09670); AuAAC, from *Actinoplanes utahensis* NRRL 12052 (WP_043523659). Ntn-hydrolases with reported penicillin G acylase activity: EcPGA, from *Escherichia coli* ATCC 11105 (P06875); KcPGA, from *Kluyvera citrophila* DSM 2660 (P07941); AcPGA, from *Achromobacter* sp. strain CCM 4824 (AAY25991); BmPGA, from *Bacillus megaterium* ATCC 14945 (Q60136); AfPGA, from *Alcaligenes faecalis* ATCC 19018 (AAB71221); AvPGA, from *Arthrobacter viscosus* ATCC 15294 (P31956); and PrPGA, from *Providencia rettgeri* (AAP86197). Ntn-hydrolases with reported penicillin V acylase activity: SmPVA, from *Streptomyces mobaraensis* (BAF51977); PaPVA, from *Pectobacterium atrosepticum* (4WL2); and BspPVA, from *Bacillus sphaericus* (3PVA). Ntn-hydrolases with reported bile salt hydrolase activity: BkB, from *Bifidobacterium longum* (2HF0); and E/BSH, from *Enterococcus faecalis* (4WL3). Ntn-hydrolases with reported cyclic lipopeptide acylase activity were as follows: SsCLA, from *Streptomyces* sp. FERM-BP5809 (BAD07205). Bifunctional acylases (with both penicillin acylase and AHL acylase activities) are indicated by asterisks, but among them only SlPA and AuAAC present aculeacin A acylase activity as well. The evolutionary history was inferred using the neighbor-joining (NJ) method within the 3DM and MEGA X packages. The optimal tree with the sum of branch length = 8.7801801 is shown. This analysis involved 31 amino acid sequences. All ambiguous positions were removed for each sequence pair (pairwise deletion option). There was a total of 965 positions in the final dataset.
2.3. Phylogenetic Analysis of SIPA and AuAAC Acylases

To gain further knowledge on the role of the SIPA and AuAAC through the analysis of their evolution, the amino acid sequences of SIPA and AuAAC were compared in the context of the Ntn-hydrolases superfamily using 3DM a molecular class-specific information system built by multiple structure alignment and automated literature mining [45,46]. The 3DM system contained 12,567 sequences grouped into 13 subfamilies based on 179 available structures. The largest subfamily was “4HSTA” (glutaryl-7-ACA-alpha chain, containing 7155 sequences) (Figure S2). In contrast, both SIPA and AuAAC were included in subfamily “5C9IA” (protein related to penicillin acylase, containing 955 sequences). The model protein of subfamily 5C9IA is the aforementioned MacQ, an AHL acylase from Acidovorax sp. MR-S7, active towards both AHLs and β-lactam antibiotics [47]. In addition, a phylogenetic study was performed using MEGA X based on the amino acid sequences of 31 Ntn-hydrolases with reported amidase activities (Figure 4). The results showed that SIPA, AHL acylase from Streptomyces sp. M664 (AhlM) [31], cyclic lipopeptide acylase from Streptomyces sp. FERM BP-5809 (SsCLA) [48] and penicillin V acylase from Streptomyces mobaraensis (SmPVA) [49] are very close together in the phylogenetic tree, and these three enzymes are also near AuAAC. In this sense, there is moderate sequence identity of AuAAC with SmPVA (42.1%), SIPA (42.3%), AhlM (42.7%) and SsCLA (42.9%), whereas SIPA showed high identity with SmPVA (66.3%), SsCLA (85.7%) and AhlM (86.5%). In contrast, both SIPA and AuAAC are very distant from those enzymes belonging to the group of penicillin G acylases (PGA group) and penicillin V acylases (PVA group) (Figure 4). In fact, our phylogenetic tree is quite similar to the one described recently by Kusada et al. who reported that Ntn-hydrolase family could be divided into three main groups: a β-lactam acylase group (that would correspond to our PGA group) and two AHL acylase groups (A and B) [34]. Our results are in agreement with this affirmation, and furthermore we have identified new members within each group (Figure 4). In this sense, HacB [38], QuiP [37], AibP [50], PfmA [51] and AiiC [39] would belong to the AHL acylase group B, whereas Aac from Shewanella sp. [32], MacQ [34], PvdQ [52], HacA [38], AiiD [35], Aac from Ralstonia solanacearum [53], QqaR [40], SmPVA [49], AhlM [31], SsCLA [48], SIPA and AuAAC would belong to the AHL acylase group A.

In addition, we have identified two new groups in the phylogenetic tree: an amidase group that includes the AHL acylase from Acinetobacter sp. Ooi24 [33], and a cholylglycine hydrolase (CGH) group that includes PVAs from different bacteria such as A. tumefaciens (AtPVA), P. atrosepticum (PaPVA) [20], Bacillus sphaericus (BspPVA) [54] and Bacillus subtilis (BsPVA) [55]. Furthermore, members of this PVA group differ in their catalytic N-terminal nucleophile residue (cysteine) and subunit composition (homotetramers) compared to some members of the AHL acylase group A that displayed PVA activity (such as SmPVA, SIPA, and AuAAC) which are heterodimers with a catalytic serine at the N-terminal end of their β-subunit. Moreover, genuine PVAs were proposed to be evolutionary related to bile salt hydrodrolases (BSHs) [56] like BSH from Bifidobacterium longum [57] and BSH from Enterococcus faecalis [58], forming altogether part of the CGH group of enzymes, and this relationship was confirmed in our phylogenetic tree. Some of the enzymes throughout the phylogenetic tree have been reported to display both penicillin acylase and AHL acylase activities (Figure 4, see enzymes with asterisks), although they belong to different groups. In this sense, Kusada et al. [34] had already suggested that those enzymes with bifunctional QQ and antibiotic-acylase activities might be broadly distributed among the phylogeny, and therefore such bifunctionality could be conserved in other acylases of a wide type of microorganisms. Such assumption has been recently confirmed with new reported enzymes such as PfmA (AHL acylase from Pseudoalteromonas flavigula, able to degrade ampicillin but not penicillin G) [51], and AtPVA and PaPVA that are able to hydrolyze both AHLs and penicillin V [20]. Our study demonstrates that two other enzymes from Gram-positive bacteria, such as SIPA and AuAAC, also present this feature, showing not only penicillin acylase and AHL acylase activities, but also aculeacin A acylase activity [22,24].

Taking into account these results, it should be proved whether other members of the phylogenetic tree (apparently those belonging to the AHL acylase group A) could be able to recognize aliphatic
penicillins and/or aculeacin A as substrates. In this sense, some reports might support such hypothesis; for instance, PVA from *S. mobaraensis* is able to catalyze the synthesis of aliphatic penicillins and N-fatty-acylated amino compounds [59], whereas cyclic lipopeptide acylase from *Streptomyces* sp. FERM BP-5809 can deacylate aculeacin A and echinocandin B [48]. On the contrary, Aac from *R. solanacearum* is not able to degrade ampicillin and aculeacin A, although this enzyme was initially identified as a probable aculeacin A acylase transmembrane protein [53].

Furthermore, the combination of structure-guided multiple alignment and literature mining by 3DM enabled confirmation of previously determined residue functions and identification of new potential ones. Using the correlated mutations analysis provided by 3DM, we analyzed the 100 most similar sequences to SIPA and identified clusters of residues which have mutated in a coordinated manner within the subset, pointing to their potential involvement in substrate specificity. The heatmap of mutational correlations generated by 3DM (Figure S3) predicted two of residues at the substrate-binding pocket of SIPA (3DM residue numbers 139 and 148 in Table S1). Interestingly, such residues (identified as Tyrβ24 and Trpβ33, respectively) had been previously suggested to be positioned at the substrate-binding pocket of SIPA [22]. The other seven residues that showed strong mutational correlations (Table S1: 3DM residue numbers 5, 27, 239, 257, 310, 351 and 595 that correspond in SIPA to Tyrα10, Trpα32, Trpβ120, Proβ150, Glnβ203, Proβ266 and Argβ544 respectively) have never been proposed to be located in the substrate-binding pocket of SIPA and may be putatively involved in substrate specificity according to 3DM. Sequence alignment by Clustal Omega allowed to identify the same residues at the equivalent positions in penicillin V acylase from *S. mobaraensis*, AHL acylase from *Streptomyces* sp. M664, and cyclic lipopeptide acylase from *Streptomyces* sp FERM BP-5809 (Figure S4). However, different residues were identified at such positions for other members of the AHL acylase group A, and this result could explain their substrate preference towards AHLs which may differ depending on their acyl-chain length and the presence of the 3-oxo substitution, and their ability to recognize aculeacin A and other echinocandins as substrates. These putative residues might be considered as potential targets for site-directed mutagenesis of this group of enzymes in order to improve their substrate specificity towards different AHLs. Certainly, that would be very useful for some enzymes that show weak activity towards shorter AHLs, such as C₆-HSL and C₄-HSL, QS molecules produced by *Burkholderia* and *Yersinia* [36]. Similarly, mutant variants of these enzymes could be designed with the help of this information and docking simulations in order to more efficiently aculeacin A acylases, an approach that has already allowed to prepare mutant cephalosporin acylases that recognize aculeacin A as substrate [60]. Indeed, a similar approach has enabled the obtention of a PvdQ variant with increased C₆-HSL activity that could reduce virulence of the human pathogen *Burkholderia cenocepacia* [61].

In addition, sequence alignment of members of the AHL acylase group A confirmed the presence of a stretch of hydrophobic amino acids encoding a signal peptide, and the conserved glycine, serine, asparagine, histidine, tyrosine and valine residues (Figure S4) that have been demonstrated to be of importance to both autoproteolytic processing and catalysis in Ntn-hydrolases [62–65]. In this sense, Ntn-hydrolases undergo a post-translational processing resulting in a primary pro-peptide that is transformed into an active two-subunit form after the cleavage of signal and spacer peptides [17,63,64,66,67]. Essential to this post-translational modification of the pro-peptide, a conserved glycine–serine pair was clearly located in all members of group A of AHL acylases. In this sense, enzymatic activities of both SIPA and AuAAC were abolished when Serβ1 was replaced with cysteine, aspartic acid, histidine or lysine by site-directed mutagenesis [22]. In addition, we have also observed in our enzymes the presence of those reported residues that explained the unusual increased size of the hydrophobic pocket in the crystal structure of PvdQ (Leuα146, Asnβ57, Trpβ186) [52]. These conserved residues would correspond to Valα141, Asnβ61 and Trpβ185 for SIPA, and Leuα136, Asnβ61 and Trpβ181 for AuAAC, respectively. All these observations suggest a similar build-up of the substrate-binding site in all members of the AHL acylase group A that would explain their unique substrate preference for long acyl-chains. Nevertheless, 3DM has allowed for the identification of
several putative residues (Figure S4) that might explain the reason why some enzymes of this particular group are able to recognize not only long aliphatic acyl chains present in some AHLs and penicillins, but the palmitoyl chain of aculeacin A (such as SlPA and AuAAC) or the linoleoyl chain of echinocandin B (such as SsCLA), in comparison to other enzymes that show different amino acid residues in the equivalent positions and cannot deacylate echinocandins (such as Aac from R. solanacearum). Additional studies would allow one to determine which residues are actually involved in substrate recognition of different echinocandins, paving the way towards the design of new biocatalysts for the enzymatic production of antifungal compounds.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Materials and Bacterial Strains

Unless otherwise specified, all chemical reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Cell culture media were from Difco (Detroit, MI, USA). Streptomyces lividans CECT 3376 and CECT 3377, overexpressing SlPA and AuAAC, respectively, and Chromobacterium violaceum CV026 (CECT 5999), were obtained from the Spanish Cell Culture Collection (Valencia, Spain). For sporulation, S. lividans CECT 3376 and CECT 3377 were cultured on agar plates containing SFM (Mannitol Soya Flour) medium at 30 °C for 72–96 h. For enzyme production, recombinant S. lividans cells were cultured aerobically under submerged conditions in Triptone Soy Broth (TSB) liquid medium at 30 °C and 250 rpm [68]. C. violaceum CV026 cells (CECT 5999) were grown in Luria-Bertani (LB) agar plates at 37 °C for bioassays.

3.2. Synthesis of N-Acyl-Homoserine Lactones

Pure L-enantiomers of C4-HSL, C6-HSL, C8-HSL, C10-HSL, C12-HSL and C14-HSL were obtained by chemical synthesis (Thomas et al., 2005), with several modifications: 10 mmol of L-HSL hydrochloride were dissolved in 25 mL of dimethylformamide (DMF), and then 23 mmol of ice-cold triethylamine were added. Later, 14 mmol of corresponding acid chloride was added dropwise with agitation at 4 °C. The mixture was incubated for 2 h at room temperature and the solvent was evaporated. The product was dissolved in dichloromethane and washed first with 1 M Na2SO4 and then with a saturated solution of NaCl. Water was eliminated from organic phase by addition of anhydrous MgSO4 and the organic solvent was evaporated. Isolation and purification of the synthesized AHL was carried out by the combination of thin layer chromatography and a silicagel 60 column chromatography (Merck). The elution was performed with an n-hexane-EtOAc gradient system. Compounds were visualized in thin layer chromatography by spraying an aqueous solution of potassium permanganate (1% w/v KMnO4, 6.67% w/v Na2CO3 and 0.083% w/v NaOH) briefly heating. Structures were elucidated by 1H and 13C NMR analysis.

3.3. Determination of Kinetic Parameters

The substrate specificity of AuAAC and SlPA, which were expressed and purified as previously described [22,24], was studied using a wide panel of L-enantiopure AHLs including 3-oxo substituted AHLs: N-butyryl-L-homoserine lactone (C4-HSL), N-hexanoyl-L-homoserine lactone (C6-HSL), N-octanoyl-L-homoserine lactone (C8-HSL), N-decanoyl-L-homoserine lactone (C10-HSL), N-docanoyl-L-homoserine lactone (C12-HSL), N-tetradecanoyl-L-homoserine lactone (C14-HSL), N-(β-ketocaproyl)-L-homoserine lactone (oxo-C6-HSL), N-(3-oxooctanoyl)-L-homoserine lactone (oxo-C8-HSL), N-(3-oxodecanoyl)-L-homoserine lactone (oxo-C10-HSL), N-(3-oxododecanoyl)-L-homoserine lactone (oxo-C12-HSL) and N-(3-oxotetradecanoyl)-L-homoserine lactone (oxo-C14-HSL). The methodology employed to detect primary amines released over the course of reactions was similar to that reported in literature [19,41,69]. Kinetic studies were performed at pH 8.0 and 45 °C, and all reactions were carried out in triplicate in 100 µL of final reaction mixture (10 µL of enzymatic solution, 70 µL of 1 M phosphate buffer and 20 µL of substrate dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide, abbreviated as
DMSO). In order to minimize non-enzymatic conversions, mixtures were kept on ice before initiation and after termination of the reaction. The extent of reactions was then quantified by development with o-phthalaldehyde (OPA). In mild alkaline conditions, OPA is stable and reacts readily with primary amines above their isoelectric point in the presence of β-mercaptoethanol to form intensely fluorescent derivatives [70]. Thus, each reaction mixture was mixed directly with 100 µL of OPA solution (Sigma-Aldrich), which stops the reaction and allows quantification of the acylase activity. The resulting mixture was then incubated for 2 min at 25 °C to ensure signal development before reading fluorescence intensity using a FLUOstar Omega (BMG Labtech). Readouts were taken at 25 °C with 355 nm and 460 nm as excitation and emission wavelengths, respectively, with positioning delay of 0.2 s and 5 flashes per well. This signal, which is proportional to the amount of HSL released during the reaction, was interpolated in a calibration curve of pure HSL to enable expression of reaction rates in non-arbitrary units. The range of substrate concentrations was different for each AHL: from 1 to 25 mM for C₄-HSL, from 0.02 to 10.04 mM for C₆-HSL, from 0.02 to 5.02 mM for C₈-HSL, from 0.002 to 2.040 mM for C₁₀-HSL, from 0.002 to 1.000 mM for C₁₂-HSL and from 0.002 to 0.500 mM for C₁₄-HSL; from 0.02 to 1.64 mM for oxo-C₆-HSL, from 0.02 to 8.00 mM for oxo-C₈-HSL, from 0.02 to 1.45 mM for oxo-C₁₀-HSL, from 0.006 to 0.726 mM for oxo-C₁₂-HSL and from 0.002 to 0.080 mM for oxo-C₁₄-HSL. All reactions were catalyzed by 0.19 and 0.23 µg of SJP and AuAAC, respectively, as these amounts were deemed enough for the hydrolysis of both aliphatic and 3-oxo substituted AHLs. Kinetic parameters were determined by nonlinear regression using Hyper32 program (available on http://homepage.ntlworld.com/john.easterby/hyper32.html). All experiments were performed in triplicate.

3.4. Inhibition of Violacein Production by Chromobacterium Violaceum CV026

C. violaceum, a Gram-negative bacterium commonly found in soil and water, produces a characteristic purple pigment called violacein in response to an AHL-mediated QS mechanism [71]. Since C. violaceum CV026 is unable to produce C₆-HSL, this mutant strain has been traditionally considered an excellent AHL-biosensor taking into account that violacein synthesis may be induced by AHLs with acyl chains from C₄ to C₆ (“forward bioassay”). Although pigment production is not induced if acyl-chains are longer (from C₁₀ to C₁₄), these AHLs can antagonize the common inducers of violacein production (“reverse bioassay”) [71]. For the forward assay, C. violaceum CV026 was cultured overnight in LB medium, and then 50 µL of culture were used to inoculate the surface of LB agar plates prepared in Petri dishes. Then, 10 µL of reaction mixture containing the enzyme (approximately 1 µg) and the AHLs (20 mM C₆-HSL or 5 mM C₈-HSL) in buffer A (potassium phosphate buffer 0.1 M, pH 8.0 with 40% DMSO) was placed in the center of the plate (forward in vivo assay). Alternatively, said mixture could be previously incubated for 24 h at 40 °C or 45 °C depending on the enzyme (SJP or AuAAC, respectively), and then placed in the center of the plate (forward in vitro assay). Positive (C₆-HSL or C₈-HSL in buffer A) and negative (buffer A) controls were included in each assay plate. All plates were incubated in the upright position overnight at 30 °C and then examined for the stimulation of violacein synthesis, as indicated by blue/purple pigmentation of the bacterial lawn. The reverse assays for antagonists were carried out identically, except for the fact that a stimulator (5 µM C₆-HSL or oxo-C₆-HSL) was added to soft agar together with the CV026 strain. In this case, inhibition of violacein synthesis was reported by the presence of white haloes in a purple background. Forward and reverse assays were optimized by checking the detection limits for each AHL. In this sense, serial dilutions of each AHL were tested and detection limits were defined as the lowest quantity of AHL that produced a visible activation or inhibition of violacein synthesis.

The QS interference by AHL degradation of recombinant S. lividans strains was tested qualitatively using CV026 strain. Firstly, wild type and recombinant strains of S. lividans were grown in agar plates containing TSB broth [68]. Tioestreptone (5 µg/mL) was added to the plates used for recombinant S. lividans. Wild type S. lavendulae was grown in agar plates containing SYCC broth [68]. Wild type A. utahensis was grown in agar plates containing sucrose (3.0 g/L), soy peptone (0.5 g/L), K₂HPO₄
(1.0 g/L), KCl (0.5 g/L), MgSO$_4$·7H$_2$O (0.5 g/L), FeSO$_4$·7H$_2$O (0.002 g/L) pH 6.5 [23]. All actinomycetes culture plates were incubated for 3–4 days at 30 °C. Afterwards, 1 μL of 1 mM C$_6$-HSL solution was added in the growth borderline and then a 15% soft LB agar inoculated with CV026 was layered on top of actinomycetes cultures. After solidification, plates were incubated for 24 h at 30 °C. QS interference activity was observed through violacein inhibition.

3.5. Inhibition of Biofilm Formation by Pseudomonas Aeruginosa on Glass Slides

SIPA immobilization was achieved by using 3-APTS ((3-aminopropil)-triethoxysilane) and glutaraldehyde. In this study, the method was followed as described elsewhere [72]. Glass slides preparation. Lab-Tek slides (Lab-Tek II Chamber Slide system, Thermo Fisher) were washed by stirring in methanol (1 mL/well) to remove any organic contaminant, washed 5 times with distilled water and then 2 mL 5 N NaOH was added to the cleaned slides, rinsed 5 times with excess water until NaOH was removed and the water reached pH 7.0. Slides were incubated with 1 mL of freshly prepared 3-APTS 10% (v/v) in water during 2 h and then washed thoroughly with abundant water to remove 3-APTS molecules not linked to the surface of support. The following steps were done: Soak derivatized slides in freshly prepared 10% (v/v) glutaraldehyde in deionized water during 4 h at 25 °C. Rinse 5 times with distilled water to remove any adsorbed cross-linker. Dry the activated slides in the air. The free terminal aldehyde groups must be subsequently cross-linked to amines groups on the enzyme surface through Schiff’s base formation by incubating support in the enzyme solutions. Enzyme immobilization. Treated slides were used for immobilization of 0.36 IU/mL SIPA (1 mL/well at room temperature/overnight) in phosphate buffer 0.1 M, pH 7.0. One international activity unit (IU) was defined as the amount of enzyme producing 1 μmol/min of 6-APA using penicillin V as substrate under the assay conditions described elsewhere [28]. Control slides were filled with 1 mL phosphate buffer 0.01 M, pH 7.0 overnight at room temperature. After incubation, slides were rinsed 5 times with 3 mL phosphate buffer 0.1 M, pH 7.0 per well and incubated in 2 mL/well 100 mM glycine dissolved in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.0 for 30 min to block any unreacted aldehyde groups during 30 min. Slides were soaked 5 times with 3 mL/well 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.0. The resulting immobilized enzymes can be held at 4 °C prior to use. The amount of immobilized vs. non immobilized enzymes on slides was determined by measuring penicillin V acylase activity [28]. Only a 33% of the initial acylase activity was recovered. Chambered slides were used for *P. aeruginosa* biofilm development by using Luria Bertani broth (LB) as culture media at 28 °C on a rotary bed shaker (100 rpm). Chambered slides were prepared in four different ways as described before: non-treated; treated with SIPA bound to silanized slides; treated with heat inactivated SIPA (70 °C, 10 min) bound to silanized slides; and SIPA incorporated to LB medium and added to untreated slides. *P. aeruginosa* was spotted on LB agar and grown overnight at 37 °C. Then, a cellular suspension of 0.1 O.D. (λ600nm) was prepared and inoculated (100 μL/chamber) containing 2 mL LB. Chambered slides with fitted lids were incubated at 28 °C for 24 h in a closed, humidified container. The slides were then carefully washed to remove planktonic organisms, the chamber was removed and the biofilm development was microscopically followed by DIC microscopy (Nikon Eclipse 80i microscope with a Nikon Digital Sight camera). Evaluation of enzyme immobilization by epifluorescence microscopy. This procedure was carried out according to Hormigo et al. [28] with slight variations. Slide-immobilized enzyme was incubated with 5 mL of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (8 g of NaCl, 0.2 g of KCl, 0.2 g of KH$_2$PO$_4$ and 1.41 g of Na$_2$HPO$_4$ /2H$_2$O in 1 L of water, pH 7.4) containing 1% (w/v) BSA for 30 min. Then, the glass slide was washed three times with 5 mL of PBS containing 0.1% (w/v) BSA and incubated for 2 h at 37 °C with 5 mL of an antibody to SIPA solution prepared in PBS with 0.1% (w/v) BSA. Next, the biocatalysts were washed again with 5 mL of PBS containing 0.1% (w/v) BSA, and incubated for 2 h at 25 °C with 5 mL of Alexa Fluor 488 dye goat anti-rabbit whole antibody conjugate prepared in PBS with 0.1% (w/v) BSA at a final concentration of 5 mg antibody conjugate/mL. Negative control experiments were carried out to check for non-specific binding of the secondary antibody to the support. Immobilized derivatives were washed three times with 5 mL of PBS containing 0.01% (w/v) BSA for 10 min, followed by three
washings with 5 mL of PBS for 10 min and finally three washings with 5 mL of deionized water for 5 min. The degree of SlPA immobilized on glass slides was then analyzed using an Olympus BX61 epifluorescence microscope at ×400 total magnification. Activity was also determined in silanized glass chambers.

3.6. Protein Sequence Alignments

The evolutionary history was inferred using the neighbor-joining method [73]. The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (1000 replicates) was shown next to the branches [74]. The tree was drawn to scale, with branch lengths in the same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree. The evolutionary distances were computed using the p-distance method [75] and were in the units of the number of amino acid differences per site. All ambiguous positions were removed for each sequence pair (pairwise deletion option). Evolutionary analyses were conducted in 3DM [45,46] and MEGA X [76], whereas multiple sequence alignment of several enzymes was carried out with Clustal Omega. Optimal global alignment of two sequences were performed using the Needleman–Wunsch algorithm (within Clustal Omega) in order to calculate sequence identity.

4. Conclusions

We have demonstrated that reported enzymes, penicillin acylase from Streptomyces lavendulae and aculeacin A acylase from Actinoplanes utahensis, are an interesting extension of hydrolytic (Ntn) enzymes, with potential for biocatalytic applications. They hydrolyze aliphatic penicillins and the antifungal aculeacin A, and are also able to efficiently hydrolyze the amide bonds of several N-acyl-homoserine lactones (AHLs), quorum sensing molecules from Gram-negative bacteria. Furthermore, both enzymes inhibit the production of violacein by Chromobacterium violaceum CV026, and the formation of biofilms by Pseudomonas aeruginosa. In addition, the comparative sequence analysis has revealed high identities between both enzymes and AHL quorum quenching acylases.

These results indicate that penicillin acylase from Streptomyces lavendulae and aculeacin A acylase from Actinoplanes utahensis are involved in QQ processes and both of them could be used for biofouling control in MBR systems and in antimicrobial therapy to prevent colonization of biological surfaces by pathogenic Gram-negative bacteria.

Finally, these enzymes could be considered as versatile biocatalysts, able to hydrolyze the amide bond between an aliphatic acyl side chain and a nucleus containing an amino group, which are present in many substrates (e.g., aliphatic penicillins, aculeacin A and N-acyl-homoserine lactones), in addition to catalyzing the acylation to obtain new semi-synthetic β-lactam antibiotic and echinocandins antifungals. Moreover, the phylogenetic study suggests that SlPA and AuAAC could be part of a new family of actinomycete acylases, with a preference towards substrates with long chain aliphatic acyl groups that are involved in QQ processes.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2073-4344/10/7/730/s1. Figure S1: Hyperbolic regression of the activity at different concentrations of several AHLs. Figure S2: Structure-guided phylogenetic analysis of the Ntn-hydrolases superfamily as analyzed from 3DM database. Figure S3: Correlated mutations matrix for the 5C9IA subfamily. Figure S4: Sequence alignment of SlPA and AuAAC with other homologues from the AHL acylase group A. Table S1: Amino acids residues at different positions selected in SlPA according to the structure-based alignment from the 3DM database.
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