Characterization of a glucose-tolerant β-glucosidase from Anoxybacillus sp. DT3-1
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Abstract

Background: In general, biofuel production involves biomass pretreatment and enzymatic saccharification, followed by the subsequent sugar conversion to biofuel via fermentation. The crucial step in the production of biofuel from biomass is the enzymatic saccharification. Many of the commercial cellulase enzyme cocktails, such as Spezyme® CP (Genencor), Acellerase™ 1000 (Genencor), and Celluclast® 1.5L (Novozymes), are ineffectively to release free glucose from the pretreated biomass without additional β-glucosidase.

Results: In this study, for the first time, a β-glucosidase DT-Bgl gene (1359 bp) was identified in the genome of Anoxybacillus sp. DT3-1, and cloned and heterologously expressed in Escherichia coli BL21. Phylogenetic analysis indicated that DT-Bgl belonged to glycosyl hydrolase (GH) family 1. The recombinant DT-Bgl was highly active on cellulose and hemicellulosic fractions of plant biomass, while auxilary activities (AA) involving in plant cell wall degradation. Both GH and AA families have been divided into 135 and 13 groups, respectively, and classified by substrate specificity or sequence identity [2]. Enzymes that deconstruct cellulose and cellobiose are indexed in GH1, GH3, GH5, GH8, GH9, GH44, GH45, AA3_1, AA9, and AA10 [3, 4]. Hemicellulose, of which the main subunits are xylan, mannans, and galactan, is structurally more complex than cellulose. Enzymes categorised as GH3, GH10, GH11, GH39, GH43, and GH52 act on xylan or xylobiose to yield their monomers [3]. Enzymes belonging to GH26 and GH38 specifically hydrolyse dimers or longer mannans to mannose units. Monomeric galactose is generated by the activities of GH2, GH16, GH35, GH41, and GH53 enzymes acting on the galactan polymer [3].

Conclusions: Anoxybacillus DT-Bgl is a novel thermostable β-glucosidase with low glucose inhibition, and converts long-chain cellobextrins to cellobiose, and further hydrolyse cellobiose to glucose. Results suggest that DT-Bgl could be useful in the development of a bioprocess for the efficient saccharification of lignocellulosic biomass.
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Background

Lignocellulosic agricultural and forestry wastes are regarded as abundant renewable resources and considered ideal feedstocks for biofuel production. Crop residues are produced worldwide at about 2802 × 10⁶ mega grams/year (Mg/year) for cereal crops, 3107 × 10⁶ Mg/year for 17 cereals and legumes, and 3758 × 10⁶ for 27 food crops [1]. Lignocellulosic wastes are mainly composed of cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin. Typically, cellulose and hemicellulose comprise up to two-thirds of lignocellulosic wastes. Glycoside hydrolases (GHs) hydrolyse cellulose and hemicellulose fractions of plant biomass, while auxiliary activities (AA) involves in plant cell wall degradation. Both GH and AA families have been divided into 135 and 13 groups, respectively, and classified by substrate specificity or sequence identity [2]. Enzymes that deconstruct cellulose and cellobiose are indexed in GH1, GH3, GH5, GH8, GH9, GH44, GH45, AA3_1, AA9, and AA10 [3, 4]. Hemicellulose, of which the main subunits are xylan, mannans, and galactan, is structurally more complex than cellulose. Enzymes categorised as GH3, GH10, GH11, GH39, GH43, and GH52 act on xylan or xylobiose to yield their monomers [3]. Enzymes belonging to GH26 and GH38 specifically hydrolyse dimers or longer mannans to mannose units. Monomeric galactose is generated by the activities of GH2, GH16, GH35, GH41, and GH53 enzymes acting on the galactan polymer [3].
While most members of auxiliary group act on lignin which holds hemicellulose and cellulose together [4], AA3_1 (cellobiose dehydrogenase), AA9 (lytic polysaccharide monoxygenase, previously known as GH61), and AA10 (lytic polysaccharide monoxygenase, formerly those enzymes with carbohydrate-binding module family CBM33) are able to act on polysaccharides by oxidative reactions [5–10]. To enhance the efficiency of biomass deconstruction, the presence of AA (e.g., laccase, manganese peroxidase, cellobiose dehydrogenases, and alcohol oxidases) is important.

Cellulose from forestry and agricultural wastes can be degraded to cellobextrin and cellobiose or glucose. This last step in cellulose hydrolysis involves the use of β-glucosidase (BGL) [11]. BGL is known as a bottleneck step in cellulose hydrolysis process. Most commercial cellulases have low activity at pH 5.5 and temperatures (>50 °C). In addition, glucose inhibition is a common problem for several BGL enzymes [12]. To maximize the overall biofuel production efficiency, harnessing thermostable and active BGL with high glucose tolerance is needed [13].

Currently, five complete genomes of *Anoxybacillus* spp. are available. Genome description for *Anoxybacillus flavithermus* WK1 (CP000922.1) and *Anoxybacillus genseis* G2T (CP012152.1) has been reported [14, 15], while genome data for *Anoxybacillus amylolyticus* DSM 15939T (chromosome CP015438.1, plasmid pDSM15939_1 CP015439.1, and plasmid pDSM15939_2 CP015440.1), *Anoxybacillus* sp. B2M1 (CP015431.1), and *Anoxybacillus* sp. B7M1 (chromosome CP015436.1 and plasmid CP015437.1) are available in NCBI database. The genome of *A. flavithermus* WK1 contains nine genes encoding for GH13 enzymes, two genes for GH32, and one gene for each of the families GH1, GH23, GH31, GH43, GH51, and GH65 [15]. Biochemical and bioinformatics analyses have revealed that enzymes from *Anoxybacillus* spp. are important for starch industrial processes [16] and biomass deconstruction [17].

*Anoxybacillus* sp. DT3-1 (DSM 28778) is a thermophilic bacterium which was isolated from a Malaysian hot spring of a temperature of 75 °C [18], where its genome has been sequenced. A putative BGL (GH1) identified (UniProtKB M5QUM2) in *Anoxybacillus* sp. DT3-1 as well as its homologues annotated in other genomes of *Anoxybacillus* spp. have not been biochemically investigated. The purpose of this work was to characterize DT-Bgl from *Anoxybacillus* sp. DT3-1 and to evaluate its potential use in the conversion of cello-oligosaccharides to glucose.

**Results and discussion**

**Protein sequence analysis**

The draft genome of *Anoxybacillus* sp. DT3-1 was sequenced as previously reported [19]. An open reading frame for BGL (1362 bp) was identified in the genome sequence of *Anoxybacillus* sp. DT3-1. The gene for DT-Bgl encodes a protein of 453 amino acids, and is expressed intracellularly inside *Anoxybacillus* sp. DT3-1 due to the lack of a signal peptide. Multiple sequence alignments of DT-Bgl with six GH1 BGL enzymes revealed highly conserved sequence elements and its active sites (Fig. 1). DT-Bgl contains the characteristic NEPW (amino acid 163–166) and TENG (353–356) motifs of the GH1 BGL. The two conserved carboxylic acid catalytic residues (Glu164 and Glu354), as shown by highlighted in yellow (Fig. 1), are located at β-strands 4 and 10, and act as the catalytic acid–base and nucleophile, respectively (Fig. 1) [20].

The overall three-dimensional predicted structure of DT-Bgl resembles the typical (β/α)₆-barrel structure observed in other structurally characterized GH1 family member, with the active site pocket located at the barrel (Fig. 2).

The protein sequence showed 92–96 % identity to homologous sequences present in the genomes of *A. ayderensis* [21], *A. thermarum* [22], *A. flavithermus* [23], and other *Anoxybacillus* spp. None of these homologous proteins have been characterized so far. The phylogenetic tree shown in Fig. 3 was constructed by comparing the protein sequence of DT-Bgl with other BGL sequences present in database. β-glucosidases from *Anoxybacillus* spp. clustered together and formed Clade II with BGL from *Tetrasaccaribacter malaysiensis*, *Bacillus*, *Tunecbacillus*, *Alicycl bacillus*, *Thermoaerobacterium*, and *Thermoaerobacter* spp. The similarity between DT-Bgl and the neighboring taxa other than *Anoxybacillus* spp. was in the range of 51–56 %. A previous whole-genome comparison of four *Anoxybacillus* strains and several *Geobacillus* spp. elucidated that proteins from these two genera are closely related [19]. Nevertheless, DT-Bgl exhibited low-protein sequence identity of 42 % to a BGL from *Geobacillus kaustophilus* strain HTA426. Therefore, it is believed that DT-Bgl is a BGL uniquely affiliated to *Anoxybacillus*.

Clade II has been previously described as a group of enzymes constituted of GH1 thermostable β-glucosidases [12]. It is clear from Fig. 3 that Clade II is probably not restricted to enzymes obtained from thermophilic genera, since *Tunecbacillus* [24] and *Tetrasaccaribacter* [25] are mesophiles. Clade II is phylogenetically closer to Clade III, which is well represented by *Streptomyces* spp. and other mesophilic bacteria, such as *Paenibacillus panacisoli*. Members in Clade I are GH1 β-glucosidases from fungi. Clade IV and Clade V are constituted of enzymes classified in the GH3 family, sourced from fungi and bacteria, respectively.

**Purification of the recombinant DT-Bgl**

DT-Bgl was overexpressed in *E. coli* using the expression vector pET28a. Under current experimental
Fig. 1  Multiple amino acid sequence alignment of DT-Bgl and GH1 BGL enzymes. The numbers flanking the sequences represent amino acid positions of each sequence, and the black-highlighted areas are associated with high similarity conserved regions. The two putative catalytic residues are indicated as yellow highlights in the alignment.
conditions, the recombinant DT-Bgl was produced as a soluble protein in large quantity. In contrast to this, some β-glucosidases from other thermophiles, for instance, Tt-BGL from *Thermotoga thermarum* DSM 5069T formed inclusion body in *E. coli* host [26].

The purified fractions of DT-Bgl eluted from an Ni–NTA column were pooled and analyzed by SDS-PAGE. A single band corresponding to a molecular mass of approximately 53 kDa was observed (Fig. 4a) with a specific activity of 1158 U/mg using pNPG as the substrate.

**Effects of temperature and pH optimum and stability on DT-Bgl**

The biochemical properties of DT-Bgl were determined using the purified recombinant DT-Bgl. When using pNPG as substrate, the purified DT-Bgl exhibited maximum activity at 70 °C and pH 8.5, while its relative activity was higher than 70 % of the maximum activity at the temperature range from 60 to 70 °C and pH range from 6.5 to 9.0 (Fig. 4b, c). The optimum temperature of DT-Bgl is comparable or higher than BGLs from *Nasutitermes takasagoensis*, *Thermoanaerobacterium aotearoense*, and *T. thermosaccharolyticum* DSM 571 (Table 1), but DT-Bgl exhibits a much higher optimum pH value. DT-Bgl was stable at pH 6–11, and a negligible reduction in activity was observed when it was incubated at temperatures lower than 60 °C (Fig. 4b, c). The activity half-life of DT-Bgl at 60 °C was 24 h (Fig. 4d). The hydrolysis of cellulose is usually performed under mild conditions at 45–50 °C [27]. Due to the feature of stability at elevated temperature and pH, DT-Bgl is a potential β-glucosidase candidate for wide industrial saccharification processes, including textiles, pulp and paper, and waste treatment for cellulose-degrading function [28].

Despite the optimum temperature of DT-Bgl was 70 °C, its stability was drastically reduced over time. DT-Bgl lost its activity completely after pre-incubated in the absence of pNPG substrate for 30 min at 70 °C (Fig. 4b, d). This indicated that pNPG substrate may stabilize DT-Bgl at high temperatures. Therefore, for subsequent analyses, the reaction was conducted at 60 °C instead of 70 °C, since the enzyme is more stable at 60 °C.

**Effect of metal ions and reagents on purified DT-Bgl**

The influence of various metal ions (2 or 5 mM) on DT-Bgl activity was investigated (Table 2). None of the tested metal ions significantly elevated the activity of DT-Bgl, suggesting that the enzyme does not require any of these metals as co-factors. Similar observation was noticed for BGL of *T. thermarum* DSM 5069T [26]. However, CaCl2 did not influence the catalytic efficiency of DT-Bgl. Salts, such as NaCl and KCl, showed little effect on its activity; however, DT-Bgl was sensitive to Fe3+, Ni2+, Co2+, Zn2+, and Mn2+ ions (Table 2). Nevertheless, Mn2+ was known to be an activator for BGL from *T. thermosaccharolyticum* DSM 571 [12, 26]. The addition of 2 or 5 mM urea had no significant effect on its activity. DT-Bgl retained approximately 90 % of its original activity in the same molarity of EDTA indicating that DT-Bgl is not a metalloprotein. Furthermore, DT-Bgl tolerated a variety of chemical reagents, including 1 % (v/v) Tween-20, 1 % (v/v) Tween-40, DMSO, or Triton-100; however, it lost its activity in 5 % (v/v) Tween-20, 5 % (v/v) Tween-40, or 5 % (v/v) Tween-80.

**Kinetic parameters**

Using pNPG as substrate, DT-Bgl showed *Km* value of 0.22 mM, *Vmax* of 923.7 U/mg, and a *kcat* value of 812.7 s⁻¹ using Michaelis–Menten function (Fig. 5). The comparison of these values to closest taxa shown in Fig. 3 could not be performed because of lack of information on these BGLs and the homologues. *Km* of DT-Bgl was found to be lower than the *Km* values reported for the BGLs of other microbial species, including *T. thermosaccharolyticum* and *T. thermarum* (Table 1).

**Substrate specificity**

DT-Bgl showed broad substrate-cleaving activity (Table 3). Despite cellobiose is the main substrate for β-glucosidase, DT-Bgl was unable to hydrolyse completely the dimeric compound to glucose monomer using 1 U of purified DT-Bgl at 60 °C for 15 min (Table 3). Using
the identical experimental setup, the enzyme showed >90% substrate depletion activity against sophorose and salicin and completely hydrolysed laminaribiose (Table 3). This emphasizes the importance of DT-Bgl in the hydrolysis of biomass by containing the activities of both laminaribiase and cellobiase. It also showed low hydrolysing activity towards β-gentiobiose (21%) and lactose (33%). Negligible hydrolysis was detected when maltose and sucrose were used as substrates. Interestingly, DT-Bgl also showed high hydrolysis efficiencies on cellotriose, cellotetraose, cellopentaose, and cellobiohexaose (Table 3). These data suggested that DT-Bgl was able to convert these celloextrins to glucose efficiently, where this finding is in contrast to the hydrolysis preference of BGL obtained from *Aspergillus niger* [33], *Pichia pastoris* [31], and *Trichoderma koningiopasis* [34], where the enzyme efficiency decreased as the length of cello-oligosaccharides increased [31]. It is not clear the maximum length of substrate (degree of polymerisation) for DT-Bgl, since we have not reacted the enzyme with any
linear cello-oligosaccharides greater than cellohexaose. Similar to most β-glucosidases, DT-Bgl was not reactive towards complex substrates, including carboxymethylcellulose sodium salt (CMC-Na), Avicel® PH-101, Sigma-cellulose 101, α-cellulose, and xylan. No sugars were liberated from these complexes even when the reaction time was increased to 24 h. Based on substrates specificity, β-glucosidases are divided into three groups: (i) broad range β-glucosidase, (ii) cellobiase, and (iii) aryl-β-glucosidase. Collectively, according to the hydrolysis
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**Table 1** Characteristics of DT-Bgl compared with BGL from other organisms

| Source                               | MM (kDa) | T_{opt} (°C) | pH_{opt} | Thermostability | K_{m}^a (mM) | V_{max} (U^b/mg) | Reference |
|--------------------------------------|----------|--------------|----------|-----------------|--------------|----------------|-----------|
| Anoxybacillus sp. DT3-1              | 53       | 70           | 8.5      | 60 °C for 24 h^c | 0.22         | 923.7          | This study |
| Thermoanaerobacterium aotearoense    | 46       | 60           | 6.0      | 50 °C           | 0.66         | 180.6          | [29]      |
| Thermoanaerobacterium thermosaccharolyticum DSM 571 | 52       | 70           | 6.4      | 60 °C           | 0.62         | 64             | [12]      |
| Dictyoglomus thermophilum DSM 3960   | 52       | 90           | 7.0      | 90 °C for 5 h^c | 1.15         | –              | [30]      |
| Thermotogathermarum DSM 5069T        | 55       | 90           | 4.8      | 90 °C           | 0.59         | 142            | [26]      |
| Nasutitermes takasagoensis           | 60       | 65           | 5.5      | 60 °C           | 0.67         | 8              | [31]      |
| Rhizomucor miehei NRRL 5282          | 82       | 70           | 5.0      | 70 °C for 35 min^c | 0.12         | 468.2          | [32]      |

^a Determined by measuring the rate of hydrolysis using pNPG as substrate

^b One unit of enzyme activity is defined as the amount of enzyme necessary to liberate 1 μmol of p-nitrophenol per min under the assay conditions

^c Half-life activity of the enzyme
ability of DT-Bgl, the enzyme can be assumed to belong to the first group “broad range β-glucosidase”.

Effect of glucose on DT-Bgl activity

DT-Bgl activity was not affected by glucose up to 5 M when pNPG was used as a substrate. The enzyme retained 93 and 43 % relative activity in 10 and 15 M glucose, respectively (Fig. 6). Glucose inhibition in DT-Bgl is lower than β-glucosidase from *T. thermosaccharolyticum* DSM 571, which was known to be one of the glucose-tolerant β-glucosidases [12]. When cellobiose was used as substrate, DT-Bgl retained 76 and 54 % relative activity in 0.2 and 0.4 M glucose, respectively. DT-Bgl was fully inhibited in 3 M glucose (Fig. 6).

### Conclusions

To our knowledge, this is the first study of the heterologous expression of the GH1 β-glucosidase gene from *Anoxybacillus* genus. The DT-Bgl was found to be stable and active in a broad range of pH and temperatures, and it did not require co-factors for activation. DT-Bgl efficiently hydrolyses cellobiose, cellotriose, cellotetraose, cellopentaose, and cellohexaose, and was defined as a “broad range β-glucosidase”. Notably, DT-Bgl has high resistant to glucose up to 5 M. Thus, this study provides a useful novel BGL, which may be used to improve the enzymatic conversion of cellulosic biomass to glucose.

### Methods

**Bioinformatic analyses**

Multiple amino acid sequence alignment of DT-Bgl and other selected GH1 BGL enzymes was carried out using the Clustal Omega (Fig. 1). The protein sequences used for the alignment were retrieved from UniProt (http://www.uniprot.org/), with the following accession numbers: DT-Bgl: *Anoxybacillus* sp. DT3-1 (M5QUM2), *Thermoanaerobacter ethanolicus* (D3Y2V4), *Thermoaerobacterium thermosaccharolyticum* (D9TR57), *Streptomyces scabiei* (UPI0002DFA86F), *Streptomyces coelicoflavus* (H1Q7A1), *Phanerochaete chrysosporium* (Q25BW5), and *Aspergillus niger* CBS 513.88 (A2QGL6). Putative catalytic residues for DT-Bgl were inferred from the sequence alignment. The position of secondary structures of DT-Bgl was predicted with ESPript [35].

Phylogenetic tree among DT-Bgl and other β-glucosidases was constructed using the neighbor-joining

#### Table 2 Effects of metal ions and chemicals on DT-Bgl activity

| Metal Ion | 2 mM (Relative activity, %) | 5 mM (Relative activity, %) | 1 % (v/v) (Relative activity, %) | 5 % (v/v) (Relative activity, %) |
|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Control   | 100.00 ± 0.01               | 100.00 ± 0.01               | 100.00 ± 0.01                   | 100.00 ± 0.01                    |
| CaCl₂     | 79.33 ± 0.02                | 70.58 ± 0.01                | 91.62 ± 0.02                    | 1.61 ± 0.00                      |
| NaCl      | 96.08 ± 0.01                | 91.13 ± 0.03                | 106.40 ± 0.01                   | 0.67 ± 0.00                      |
| KCl       | 96.14 ± 0.01                | 94.19 ± 0.02                | 61.98 ± 0.01                    | 0.25 ± 0.01                      |
| MgCl₂     | 84.48 ± 0.01                | 80.17 ± 0.01                | 99.26 ± 0.01                    | 90.01 ± 0.02                     |
| FeCl₃     | 7.07 ± 0.04                 | 0.03 ± 0.00                 | 104.20 ± 0.01                   | 92.29 ± 0.03                     |
| NiCl₂     | 68.06 ± 0.00                | 3.56 ± 0.00                 | 106.40 ± 0.01                   | 0.67 ± 0.00                      |
| CoCl₂     | 87.69 ± 0.00                | 9.32 ± 0.01                 | 106.40 ± 0.01                   | 0.67 ± 0.00                      |
| NH₄Cl     | 91.79 ± 0.03                | 88.18 ± 0.01                | 106.40 ± 0.01                   | 0.67 ± 0.00                      |
| ZnCl₂     | 10.21 ± 0.00                | 2.27 ± 0.00                 | 106.40 ± 0.01                   | 0.67 ± 0.00                      |
| MnCl₂     | 46.38 ± 0.04                | 34.81 ± 0.00                | 106.40 ± 0.01                   | 0.67 ± 0.00                      |
| Urea      | 100.62 ± 0.01               | 98.59 ± 0.01                | 106.40 ± 0.01                   | 0.67 ± 0.00                      |
| SDS       | 72.39 ± 0.03                | 16.70 ± 0.01                | 106.40 ± 0.01                   | 0.67 ± 0.00                      |
| EDTA      | 90.53 ± 0.02                | 89.94 ± 0.00                | 106.40 ± 0.01                   | 0.67 ± 0.00                      |

**Fig. 5** Nonlinear Michaelis–Menten plot of purified DT-Bgl using pNPG as substrate
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method. The results were evaluated with 1000 bootstrap replicates. All the methods above were conducted in the Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis 6.0 software (MEGA, Version 6.0) [36], and the phylogenetic tree was redrawn using FigTree (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/). The tertiary model of DT-Bgl was predicted by CPHmodels 3.2 Server [37], using BGL of halothermophile *Halothermotrix orenii* (PDB id: 3TA9; 54.2 % sequence identity) as template [38].

**Cloning and expression of DT-Bgl**

Stock culture of *Anoxybacillus* sp. DT3-1 was grown on solid (15 g/L agar) or liquid media containing 4.0 g/L of peptone, 4.0 g/L of tryptone, 4.0 g/L of yeast extract, 2.0 g/L of NaCl, and 1.0 g/L of MgSO4, as reported previously [18]. Genomic DNA was purified using the Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega, Madison, USA). The DT-Bgl ORF was PCR-amplified using F5′-ACG CCC GGA TCC ATG TTT CAG TTT CC-3′ and R5′-CAC CTC GAG TTT GTC GTT TAC TTG C-3′ containing the BamHI and XhoI (underlined sequences) restriction sites, respectively. The gene was cloned into pET-28a, and transformed into *Escherichia coli* BL21 (DE3) for its expression. The recombinant *E. coli* was cultured overnight in LB medium supplemented with 50 μg/mL kanamycin at 37 °C with shaking (200 rpm). Two milliliters of culture were inoculated into 200 mL of fresh LB/kanamycin and incubated at 37 °C with shaking at 200 rpm. When OD_{600} reached to 0.6, protein expression was induced by adding filtered sterilised 0.4 mM isopropyl-β-d-thiogalactopyranoside, and the culture was incubated at 37 °C under shaking condition (200 rpm) for 3 h.

**Purification of recombinant DT-Bgl**

The induced *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) cells were lysed using the B-PER® Bacterial Protein Extraction Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientif...
Scientific, Waltham, USA). The crude enzyme was dialyzed against sodium phosphate buffer (20 mM, pH 7.4) overnight at 4 °C and then loaded onto an Ni–NTA Superflow column (Qiagen, Venlo, Netherlands) using an ÄKTATM start system (GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden). The column was equilibrated with binding buffer (20 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, containing 500 mM NaCl and 60 mM imidazole). The resin was washed with binding buffer, and bound protein was eluted with elution buffer (20 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, containing 400 mM NaCl and 350 mM imidazole). The purified enzyme was dialyzed against glycine-NaOH buffer (100 mM, pH 8.5) overnight at 4 °C.

Enzyme assay
β-glucosidase activity was determined by measuring the hydrolysis of pNPG (Calbiochem, Darmstadt, Germany). The reaction mixture contained 1.0 mL of 20 mM pNPG in 100 mM glycine-NaOH (pH 8.5) and 100 μL DT-Bgl. After incubation at 60 °C for 15 min, the reaction was stopped by adding 1.0 mL ice-cold 1 M Na₂CO₃. The released p-nitrophenol was measured using a spectrophotometer at 405 nm. The enzyme assays were performed in triplicates. Enzyme- and substrate-free controls were also incubated and measured under the same conditions. One unit (U) of DT-Bgl activity was defined as the amount of enzyme liberating 1 µmol of p-nitrophenol per min per mL under the assay conditions.

Effect of temperature and pH on DT-Bgl
The optimum temperature and pH of DT-Bgl were determined by reacting the enzyme with pNPG for 15 min in the ranges of 30–100 °C and pH 4.0–11.0, respectively. The effects of pH on β-glucosidase activity and stability were tested using 100 mM sodium acetate buffer (pH 4.0–5.5), sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.0–8.0), or glycine-NaOH buffer (pH 8.5–11.0) at 60 °C. The enzyme activity obtained at the optimum temperature or pH was used to calculate the relative percentage of enzyme activity at varying temperature and pH values. The temperature stability was determined by incubating the enzyme for 30 min without pNPG at 60 °C and measuring the residual activity.

Determination of kinetic parameters
The apparent Michaelis–Menten constant (Kₘ), maximum velocity (Vmax), and turnover number (kcat) for the purified DT-Bgl were assessed by measuring the rate of hydrolysis of pNPG at various concentrations (0.01–3.0 mM) at 60 °C for 15 min in glycine-NaOH buffer (100 mM, pH 8.5). The enzymatic kinetic parameters were determined from the Michaelis–Menten function using the SigmaPlot software version 12.0 (Systat Software, Chicago, USA).

Effect of metal ions and chemical reagents on DT-Bgl
The activity of DT-Bgl was evaluated by reacting the enzyme under standard assay conditions with pNPG supplemented with various metal ions (CaCl₂, NaCl, KCl, MgCl₂, FeCl₃, NiCl₂, CoCl₂, NH₄Cl, ZnCl₂, and MnCl₂) or chemical reagents (urea, dimethyl sulfoxide–DMSO, Tween-20, Tween-40, and Tween-80, Triton X-100, sodium dodecyl sulfate, and EDTA) (Table 2).

Substrates hydrolysis by DT-Bgl
To test the ability of DT-Bgl to degrade various substrates (Table 3), 100 μL 1 % (w/v) of these compounds was reacted with 10 μL 1 U of purified DT-Bgl. All reactions were carried out in glycine-NaOH buffer (100 mM, pH 8.5), at 60 °C for 15 min, unless specified. Reactions were stopped by boiling for 5 min, and the samples were analyzed on the HPLC 1260 system (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, USA), using a 7.8 × 300 mm Rezex RPM-Monosaccharide Pb+2 column (Phenomenex Inc, Torrance, USA) fitted with a 7.8 × 50 mm LC guard column filled with the same stationary phase. An Agilent 385-Evaporative Light Scattering Detector was used, and the nebulizer and evaporator were kept at 30 °C with a nitrogen flow rate of 1.6 slm (standard liters per min). Nanopure water, filtered through a nylon membrane filter (0.45 µm pore size, Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany) and degassed, was used as the mobile phase. All the insoluble particles were filtered through a polytetrafluoroethylene syringe filter membrane (0.45 µm pore size) prior to injection into the column. All substrates and standards used were of high purity grade.

Effect of glucose on DT-Bgl
The effect of glucose on purified DT-Bgl was evaluated by reacting pNPG or cellobiose in the presence of glucose at various concentrations using the standard assay procedure and HPLC analysis, respectively. The relative activity (%) was defined as the relative value to the activity of a control without glucose.
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