Ribosomal Protein S3 Negatively Regulates Unwinding Activity of RecQ-like Helicase 4 through Their Physical Interaction

Human RecQ-like helicase 4 (RECQL4) plays crucial roles in replication initiation and DNA repair; however, the contextual regulation of its unwinding activity is not fully described. Mutations in RECQL4 have been linked to three diseases including Rothmund-Thomson syndrome, which is characterized by osteoskeletal deformities, photosensitivity, and increased osteosarcoma susceptibility. Understanding regulation of RECQL4 helicase activity by interaction partners will allow deciphering its role as an enzyme and a signaling cofactor in different cellular contexts. We became interested in studying the interaction of RECQL4 with ribosomal protein S3 (RPS3) because previous studies have shown that RPS3 activity is sometimes associated with phenotypes mimicking those of mutated RECQL4. RPS3 is a small ribosomal protein that also has extraribosomal functions, including apurinic-apyrimidinic endonuclease-like activity suggested to be important during DNA repair. Here, we report a functional and physical interaction between RPS3 and RECQL4 and show that this interaction may be enhanced during cellular stress. We show that RPS3 inhibits ATPase, DNA binding, and helicase activities of RECQL4 through their direct interaction. Further domain analysis shows that N-terminal 1–320 amino acids of RECQL4 directly interact with the C-terminal 94–244 amino acids of RPS3 (C-RPS3). Biochemical analysis of C-RPS3 revealed that it comprises a standalone apurinic-apyrimidinic endonuclease-like domain. We used U2OS cells to show that oxidative stress and UV exposure could enhance the interaction between nuclear RPS3 and RECQL4. Regulation of RECQL4 biochemical activities by RPS3 along with nuclear interaction during UV and oxidative stress may serve to modulate active DNA repair.

The RecQ class of helicases function at various stages of DNA metabolism. The presence of at least one homolog in each species evidences their crucial role in maintaining genomic stability. In humans, three of five RecQ helicases have been associated to different genetic disorders. WRN and BLM have been linked with Werner’s syndrome and Bloom’s syndrome, respectively, and RECQL4 has been linked with Rothmund-Thomson syndrome (RTS),2 Baller-Gerald syndrome, and RAPADILINO syndrome. Of these, RECQL4 is unique on the basis of its domain structure and function. The N terminus of this protein represents the only human homolog of Saccharomyces cerevisiae essential replication initiation protein Sld2 (1, 2). The helicase domain is conserved across the RecQ class of helicases. Initial reports suggested RECQL4 lacked of helicase activity (3), but annealing, strand exchange and helicase activities of RECQL4 were later demonstrated (4–7). Human RECQL4 was shown to unwind up to 22-bp double-stranded regions through its helicase activity (6, 7). ATPase and annealing activities of human RECQL4 have also been extensively studied (7, 8). Robust helicase and annealing activities on substrates of various lengths have been reported for Drosophila melanogaster RecQ4 (DmRecQ4) (4). There is a significant difference in the N-terminal regions of human RECQL4 and DmRecQ4 that might contribute to the stronger helicase activity of DmRecQ4 and its functional necessity (9). Recent studies analyzing domain specific substrate binding preferences (8) and new structural features within known domains (10) of RECQL4 also emphasize its wide substrate range.

Studying the primary functions of a pleiotropic enzyme like RECQL4 requires systematic analysis of its enzymatic properties, assessment of its functional binding partners, and analyzing how these binding partners influence activity in relevant biological contexts. The role of RECQL4 in replication initiation process has been well characterized by assessing some of its important interaction partners such as MCM10 and TOPBP1 (11, 12). However, its role in repair processes like double-stranded break repair (13), base excision repair (14), and nucleotide excision repair (15) warrants more analysis. Characteriza-
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tion of its functional interactions with repair proteins will likely reveal its particular roles in these processes and delineate the contributions of RECQL4 as a signaling cofactor and as an active enzyme.

Extraribosomal functions of ribosomal proteins have been a topic of intense interest in recent years. Ribosomal protein S3 (RPS3) is a component of the 40 S ribosomal subunit (16). However, nuclear localization of RPS3, with or without different stimulations (including hydrogen peroxide, methyl methane sulfonate, and TNF) has been reported (17–19). A mass spectrometry study revealed RPS3 as an interaction partner of WRN (20). Various moonlighting functions have been reported for RPS3. Its activity as an AP endonuclease (21, 22) was shown to play an important role in xeroderma pigmentosum group D individuals. These patients also show increased sensitivity to UV light, which is also characteristics of RTS patients (22, 23). Drosophila RPS3 exhibits 8-oxoguanine glycosylase activity along with potent AP endonuclease activity (24). Other accessory roles of RPS3 include acting as a NF-xB complex subunit (25) and serving as an assistant for microtubules (26).

RTS patient cell lines and fibroblasts depleted of RECQL4 exhibit defects in the oxidative DNA damage repair (14). In contrast, knockdown of RPS3 enhances survival of cells treated with hydrogen peroxide (27). The common features of RTS, Baller-Gerald syndrome, and RAPADILLINO syndrome include multiple skin abnormalities, osteoskeletal deformities, and osteosarcoma (9). Increased RPS3 expression has been linked with enhanced invasion and migration of GLI2-mediated osteosarcoma cells (28). RPS3 is also overexpressed in melanoma, and its knockdown suppresses cell growth and induces apoptosis in melanoma cells (29).

In this study, we characterize the interaction between RPS3 and RECQL4. We show functional interaction of an active AP endonuclease domain of human RPS3 (C-RPS3, 94–244 aa) with N-terminal (N-RECQL4, 1–320 aa) portion of human RECQL4 and reveal how this interaction affects ATPase, helicase, and DNA binding activities of RECQL4. Furthermore, we evaluate this novel association in the context of UV and hydrogen peroxide exposure.

Results

Purification of Human RECQL4 and Helicase-dead K508N-RECQL4 Using a Baculovirus System—Purification of human RECQL4 using bacterial and baculovirus expression systems has been reported previously (3, 5–7). We used a baculovirus expression system for purification of RECQL4 and helicase-dead K508N-RECQL4 mutant. We expressed full-length human RECQL4 or the K508N-RECQL4 mutant with an N-terminal GST tag and a C-terminal His$_{10}$ tag (Fig. 1A) in SF9 cells. We purified full-length human RECQL4 and its helicase-dead mutant K508N-RECQL4 using a baculovirus expression system and a simple three-step strategy modified from (4) (Fig. 1B). Cells expressing RECQL4 or K508N-RECQL4 mutant constructs after respective recombinant baculovirus infections were lysed and used for purification of RECQL4 helicase (Fig. 1C) or K508N-RECQL4 (Fig. 1D). The GST tag was removed by PreScission protease cleavage. This step effectively removed most of the lysate impurities, whereas the subsequent nickel column step allowed concentration of the protein (Fig. 1C).

Human RECQL4 Exhibits DNA Stimulated ATPase Activity and Robust Helicase Activity—Different substrates with varying ratios of single-stranded and double-stranded regions including fork, bubble, circular substrates, and duplexes were used to analyze the helicase activity of human RECQL4. However, robust ATP-dependent helicase activity for human RECQL4 has not been demonstrated for substrates with double-stranded regions longer than 22 bp (3, 5–7, 30). Typically demonstration of strand exchange activity has been reported for substrates with longer double-stranded regions in the presence of excess single-stranded traps. Here we assayed the ATPase activity of purified RECQL4 and the K508N-RECQL4 mutant and observed hydrolysis of [γ$^{32}$P]ATP by incubating it with RECQL4. The presence of DNA stimulated the ATPase activity of human RECQL4 (Fig. 1F). No ATP hydrolysis activity was observed from the K508N mutant (Fig. 1F), confirming that the ATP hydrolysis activity is mainly driven by Walker A motif in the helicase domain.

We demonstrated robust helicase activity of human RECQL4 enzyme on the 3’ overhang substrate with 30 bp of double-stranded region (Fig. 1G, lanes 2 and 3). We confirmed that the observed helicase activity was attributable to the RECQL4 helicase and not to other minor contaminant proteins by assaying the known helicase-ATPase-dead mutant, K508N-RECQL4 (7), which did not exhibit helicase activity (Fig. 1G, lanes 4 and 5). Thus we demonstrated DNA-stimulated ATPase activity and robust helicase activity of human RECQL4, which can unwind 3’ overhang substrate with a 30-bp double-stranded region and a 30-nucleotide-long single-stranded tail.

Human RECQL4 Helicase Physically Interacts with Human RPS3—To investigate the functional interaction of RECQL4 and RPS3, we used nuclear lysates of 293 cell lines stably expressing control vector or full-length RECQL4 with a V5 and a histidine tag at its C terminus. Immunoprecipitation (IP) of nuclear lysates using V5 agarose beads followed by Western blotting analysis demonstrated interaction between RPS3 and RECQL4 (Fig. 2A). We then purified full-length RPS3 with an N-terminal GST tag (Fig. 2B). To evaluate whether RPS3 physically interacts with RECQL4 helicase, we carried out a GST pulldown assay. Incubation of GST-RPS3 protein or GST-only protein with RECQL4-his protein and subsequent Western blotting analysis revealed a direct physical interaction between RPS3 and RECQL4 helicase (Fig. 2C). To investigate probable context of this interaction, we immunostained U2OS cells with anti-RPS3 and anti-RECQL4 antibodies followed by confocal imaging of immunostained cells. We observed limited level of colocalization in these two proteins mostly in the nuclear compartment (Fig. 2D). Nuclear localization of RPS3 has been reported previously (18, 19, 31).

RPS3 Inhibits Helicase and ATPase Activity of RECQL4—To establish the functionality of this interaction, we investigated whether it affects the biochemical activities of RECQL4 helicase. We conducted a RECQL4 helicase activity assay in the presence of differing concentrations of RPS3 protein. RPS3 inhibited helicase activity of RECQL4 protein in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 3A). Helicase activity of RECQL4 is mediated
through ATP hydrolysis. Hence we inspected whether RPS3 directly inhibits the ATPase activity of RECQL4. We performed an ATPase time course activity assay for RECQL4 helicase in the presence or absence of RPS3. RECQL4 ATPase activity was decreased consistently in the presence of RPS3 along the reaction time course (Fig. 3B). These results suggest that physical interaction with RPS3 negatively regulates the ATPase and helicase activities of RECQL4. However, RPS3-mediated inhibition of RECQL4 helicase activity is much more pronounced compared with inhibition of RECQL4 ATPase activity. Thus we tested whether RPS3 also affects RECQL4 binding with the substrate by depleting RECQL4 from the reaction through their direct interaction. An electrophoretic mobility shift assay showed that increasing concentration of RPS3 inhibits binding of RECQL4 with single-stranded substrate in a dose-dependent manner (supplemental Fig. S3). Together these results suggest that inhibition of RECQL4 unwinding activity results from RPS3 inhibition of both RECQL4-DNA binding and ATPase activity.

The N-terminal 1–320 aa of RECQL4 (N-RECQL4) Interacts with the C-terminal 94–244 aa of RPS3 (C-RPS3)—We investigated domain interactions between these two proteins to further characterize enzymatic interaction between RPS3 and RECQL4. N-terminal GST-tagged FL-RECQL4 (1–1208 aa), N-RECQL4 (1–320 aa), and C-RECQL4 (314–1208 aa) (Fig. 4C and supplemental Fig. S1B) with GST-FL-RPS3 (1–244 aa) (Fig. 4C and supplemental Fig. S1A). Subsequent V5 pulldown followed by immunoblotting with anti-RPS3 and anti-V5 antibodies revealed that N-RECQL4 (1–320 aa) and FL-RECQL4 (1–1208 aa) interact with C-RPS3 (94–244 aa) (Fig. 4B).
aa) interact with FL-RPS3 (1–244 aa) (Fig. 4D). Of note, the truncated RPS3 proteins used here and in the following activity assays were purified using a bacterial expression system (supplemental Fig. S1A). Truncated and full-length RECQL4 proteins with C-terminal V5 and histidine tags—FL-RECQL4, N-RECQL4, and C-RECQL4—were purified from lysates of 293 cell lines stably expressing respective proteins (supplemental Fig. S1B).

We confirmed the in vivo interaction of these domains by using an IP approach. We transfected N-terminal HA-tagged RPS3 protein constructs HA-N-RPS3 (1–94 aa), HA-C-RPS3 (94–244 aa) and HA-FL-RPS3 (1–244 aa) into 293 cell lines stably expressing FL-RECQL4-V5-his, N-RECQL4-V5-his and control vector (V5-his only). With V5, IP of whole cell lysates showed that HA-C-RPS3 (94–244 aa) and HA-FL-RPS3 (1–244 aa) interact with N-RECQL4-V5 his and FL-RECQL4-V5 his and control vector (V5-his only). With V5, IP of whole cell lysates showed that HA-C-RPS3 (94–244 aa) and HA-FL-RPS3 (1–244 aa) interact with N-RECQL4-V5 his and FL-RECQL4-V5 his (Fig. 5, A and B). Together, these data confirmed that the N-terminal 1–320 aa of RECQL4 (N-RECQL4) and the C-terminal 94–244 aa of RPS3 (C-RPS3) are the main interaction domains. Human N-RECQL4 (1–320 aa) is known to have a Sld2 homologous region (9), whereas the C-RPS3 (94–244 aa) domain has a putative hairpin-helix-hairpin (HhH) motif known for AP endonuclease activity (24).

The RECQL4 Interaction Domain of RPS3 (94–244 aa) Is Sufficient to Inhibit RECQL4 Helicase Activity—We investigated whether C-RPS3 alone is sufficient to inhibit RECQL4 helicase activity. We titrated various concentrations of FL-RPS3 (Fig. 6A), C-RPS3 (Fig. 6B), and N-RPS3 (Fig. 6C) in a RECQL4 helicase reaction. C-RPS3 did indeed inhibit RECQL4 helicase activity (Fig. 6B). However, the level of inhibition was not highly comparable with that of full-length protein (FL-RPS3) (Fig. 6D). Helicase activity of RECQL4 against 20 nM of the 3’ overhang substrate was almost completely inhibited in presence of 400 nM FL-RPS3 (Fig. 6A), whereas 400 nM C-RPS3 partially inhibited RECQL4 helicase activity (Fig. 6B). There was no significant effect of N-RPS3 on the helicase activity of RECQL4 (Fig. 6C). Quantitative illustration of these helicase assays using various concentrations of FL-RPS3, C-RPS3, and N-RPS3 is shown in Fig. 6D. Additionally, C-RPS3 demonstrated RECQL4 ATPase activity inhibition, but N-RPS3 did not (supplemental Fig. S2). Again, inhibition of RECQL4 ATPase activity by C-RPS3 was less than that of FL-RPS3. Although the effect of RPS3 on RECQL4 ATP hydrolysis activity was not as pronounced as that for its helicase inhibition, the former was still significant according to a Student’s t test of triplicate experiments (supplemental Fig. S2). Direct inhibition of RECQL4 ATPase activity by RPS3 suggests enzymatic inhibition of RECQL4 rather than mere competitive substrate inhibition.

The C-terminal of RPS3 Shows AP Endonuclease Activity on Circular Double-stranded Substrates—C-RPS3 (94–244 aa) is soluble and can be stably purified (supplemental Fig. S1A). After revealing this domain as the major RECQL4 interaction

FIGURE 2. RECQL4 physically interacts with RPS3. A, nuclear lysates of 293 cell lines stably expressing RECQL4-V5-his or control plasmid are used for V5 pulldown followed by anti-RPS3, anti-V5 and anti-Lamin B immunoblotting. B, Coomassie Blue-stained SDS-PAGE depicting final purified protein fraction of GST-FL-RPS3 after Biorex™70 and glutathione-Sepharose purification steps. C, GST pulldown assay. Anti-RECQL4 and anti-GST blots show input and pulldown fractions of GST pulldown assay carried out by incubating RECQL4-his with GST-RPS3 or GST only proteins. D, confocal images of representative U2OS cells immunostained by anti-RECQL4, anti-RPS3 antibodies, and DAPI. The plot shows nuclear RPS3 (%) and nuclear colocalization of RPS3-RECQL4 (%) quantified from three-dimensional reconstructions of Z section confocal images, using Imaris software. The data are shown as the means ± S.D. of triplicate experiments.
domain responsible for helicase activity inhibition, we studied whether the C-RPS3 is responsible for AP endonuclease activity of human RPS3. This domain was previously reported to have homology with HhH motif of the *Escherichia coli* AP endonuclease, endonuclease III (24). We assessed the AP endonuclease activity of purified FL-RPS3, N-RPS3, and C-RPS3 on circular double-stranded depurinated substrates using a plasmid nicking assay. Depurination of plasmid substrates under acidic conditions (pH 4.5) results in generation of AP sites, and this approach has been used to assay the AP endonuclease activity of various enzymes including yeast and human RPS3 (31, 32). In this assay AP sites on the substrate molecules are converted into single-stranded breaks or nicks by active AP endonucleases. Non-depurinated substrates used as negative controls (Fig. 7A, lanes 1–14) confirmed selective AP site generation on depurinated substrates (Fig. 7A, lanes 1–14). Depurinated supercoiled plasmid substrates showed a dose-dependent increase in nicked form DNA after incubation with increasing concentrations of FL-RPS3 (Fig. 7A, lanes 1–6). Human APE1, an AP-endonuclease, was used as the positive control to confirm generation of AP sites on depurinated substrates (Fig. 7A, lanes 1 and 16). We analyzed the AP endonuclease activity of N-RPS3 and C-RPS3 in a similar way. C-RPS3 exhibited a level of AP endonuclease activity comparable with that of FL-RPS3 (Fig. 7A, lanes 11–14 and 19). N-RPS3 did not show any significant AP endonuclease activity (Fig. 7A, lanes 7–10). Fig. 7B illustrates quantification of triplicate plasmid nicking assays with FL-RPS3, N-RPS3, and C-RPS3.

To reveal whether RECQL4 has any effect on this enzymatic property of RPS3, we titrated various concentrations of FL-RECQL4 along with FL-RPS3 in a plasmid nicking assay (Fig. 7C). There was no significant difference in the AP endonuclease activity of RPS3 in the presence of RECQL4 (Fig. 7C, lanes 5–8). Oxidative Stress and UV Exposure Increases Nuclear RPS3-RECQL4 Interaction in a Dose-dependent Manner—The helicase domain of RECQL4 has been shown to be important for various DNA repair functions (13–15), and this domain is frequently mutated in RTS, Bailer-Gerald Syndrome, and RAPA-DILLINO syndrome patients (23). RTS patients are more prone to osteoskeletal deformities and osteosarcoma (23), and some studies have shown them to have increased sensitivity to UV (15) and oxidative stress (14, 33). RPS3 is also important for the
progression of osteosarcoma (28). We used U2OS cells to evaluate the effect of hydrogen peroxide- and UV-induced DNA damage on the RECQL4-RPS3 interaction. Both treatments induced a dose-dependent increase in nuclear RPS3 as well as an increase in overlapping immunostaining signals of RPS3-RECQL4 inside the nuclear compartment (quantified using imaris software) (Fig. 8). The effect of hydrogen peroxide-induced DNA damage on nuclear localization of RPS3 was more pronounced than that of UV-induced DNA damage (Fig. 8).

Together, our results hint at a possible role of the RPS3-RECQL4 interaction in regulating the DNA repair induced by similar genotoxic stresses (28, 34).

Discussion

In this study we identify RPS3 as a novel physical and functional interaction partner of RECQL4 helicase and suggest that this interaction may have relevance for RECQL4-mediated DNA repair. RECQL4 is associated with DNA replication and repair based on its unique domain structure. The conserved RecQ type helicase domain of RECQL4 is important for DNA repair function and aging (35, 36). Mutations in RTS patients (23) are particularly concentrated in this domain (34). Because the N-terminal Sld2-like domain is sufficient to partially rescue lethal replication defects (1, 2), RECQL4 helicase activity is likely to be more important for its DNA repair function.

We purified human RECQL4 protein and showed its robust helicase activity on a 3’ overhang substrate with a 30-bp-long double-stranded region, by far the longest double-stranded region yet shown to be unwound by human RECQL4 by virtue of its helicase activity. Loss of helicase and ATPase activities by the K508N-RECQL4 mutant protein expressed and purified in identical way proves that those activities are mostly attributed to the intact Walker A motif of the helicase domain. These results also show that human RECQL4 can serve as an independent helicase potentially acting on substrates like intermediates formed during DNA repair and other processes. We also take into consideration the probable role of the RPS3-RECQL4 interaction in regulating the DNA repair induced by similar genotoxic stresses (28, 34).
Various extraribosomal functions of nuclear RPS3 have been reported such as its role as an NF-κB subunit in apoptosis and as an AP endonuclease in base excision repair (19, 24). RPS3 localizes to nucleus, both with or without various stimulations (25, 27, 31). Our finding of a dose-dependent increase in nuclear localization of RPS3 under conditions of oxidative and UV stress (Fig. 8) is consistent with previous report (17). We did not observe discrete foci formation between RPS3 and RECQL4 possibly because of homogenous RECQL4 expression. Therefore, we used Imaris software to quantify the signal overlap between these two proteins inside the nuclear compartment using three-dimensional reconstruction of cells obtained from Z sections of confocal images (Imaris 8.3.1). Such quantification showed dose-dependent accumulation of RPS3 in the nucleus, providing opportunities to enhance interaction but also revealed portion of nuclear RPS3 that does not interact with RECQL4. Thus our results together with previous reports suggest that RPS3 could play multiple roles inside the nucleus under stress conditions. We show here that the C-RPS3 (94–244 aa, containing a region homologous to E. coli endonuclease III) directly interacts with the N-RECQL4 domain. This C-RPS3 domain also hosts other key interactions related to DNA repair, for example mediating interactions with MDM2 and p53 (37). Similarly, the N-RECQL4-Sld2-like domain is well known for its interaction with proteins such as TOPBP1 and MCM10 (11, 12). It would be intriguing to analyze how RPS3 inhibits the helicase activity of RECQL4 when its major interaction is via N-RECQL4 (1–320 aa) and not via the helicase domain. Interestingly another important interaction partner of RECQL4, MCM10 is reported to inhibit RECQL4 helicase activity through its interaction with RECQL4’s N-terminal 1–240 aa (11). Hence this evidence suggests that the N-terminal domain of RECQL4 may act as a scaffold for various interactions that allows helicase domain to perform its unwinding function. This gives a glimpse of how unique structural features of RECQL4 allow it to perform diverse duties in various biological processes. Further structural studies will be helpful to determine how these interaction partners interfere with the ATPase and helicase activity of RECQL4.

Mouse models devoid of active RECQL4 helicase domain have similar symptomatic profiles to RTS patients and yet they manage to survive (38). This suggests that helicase activity of RECQL4 has major role in DNA repair and maintenance of genome stability, but it may not be essential for replication role of RECQL4. We have shown that RPS3 inhibits DNA binding and ATPase and helicase activities of RECQL4, and furthermore, C-RPS3 itself has AP endonuclease activity. Hence, RPS3-RECQL4 association is more likely to be important to its
role in DNA repair. We suggest two different mechanisms by which RPS3 can influence biological role of RECQL4 unwinding activity. First, RPS3 constrains unwinding of AP sites containing double-stranded substrates by inhibiting the helicase activity of RECQL4, thereby preventing further processing of unrepaired AP site containing double strand substrates. Thus RPS3 may repair the AP site lesions by its standalone activity. The human AP endonuclease APE1 reportedly inhibits helicase activity of WRN in similar way (39). Second, RECQL4 has been reported to stimulate enzymatic activity of base excision repair proteins like APE1, FEN1, and DNA polymerase β (14). RPS3 can compete with APE1 to occupy AP site substrates. Because RPS3 interacts with RECQL4 via its active AP endonuclease domain, it is possible that the presence of RECQL4 directly stimulates APE1 catalytic activity and simultaneously reduces competitive inhibition of APE1 by directly engaging free RPS3 through physical interaction and thus keeping it away from AP sites.

The AP endonuclease activity of RPS3 is not affected by RECQL4 (Fig. 7C). We cannot rule out inefficient RECQL4 helicase activity on such circular double-stranded substrate, because there is no continuous stretch of single-stranded DNA available for its activity. The C-RPS3 domain primarily contributes to the AP endonuclease activity of RPS3, in agreement with reports showing the presence of putative HhH motif homologous to E. coli endonuclease III (24). Our findings together with previous studies also suggest that the AP endonuclease activity of RPS3 could be different from that of APE1 in terms of substrate binding, processing, and enzyme potency (Fig. 7A) (40, 41). Because AP sites can be generated after the removal of a variety of modified bases by various DNA glycosylases, it is also possible that RPS3 may work as a standalone AP endonuclease in some cases. Alternatively, because AP sites are structurally unstable, binding of human RPS3 with weak endonuclease activity may serve to protect against further mutagenic effects. Reports of a high affinity of RPS3 for AP sites support this hypothesis (42). Thus we also cannot rule out a role for RPS3 as a negative regulator at the early stage of the base excision repair. DNA repair may be directly inhibited by occupying substrates like AP sites or indirectly by occupying RECQL4, which acts as positive regulator for early stage base excision repair proteins (14) or nucleotide excision repair proteins like XPA (15). Our results showing increased RECQL4-RPS3 association under conditions of hydrogen peroxide and UV-induced nuclear stress together with reported observations of improved protection against genotoxic stress in RPS3 knockdown cells (27, 29) support this model. Our results in U2OS cells indicate that this catalytic collaboration could particularly affect skeletal tissues. Increased sensitivity of RTS patients with skeletal deformities to UV and oxidative exposure is well

**FIGURE 6. C-RPS3 (94–244 aa), but not N-RPS3 (1–94 aa), contributes to RECQL4 helicase activity inhibition.** All helicase reactions contain 50 nM RECQL4 protein and 20 nM 5’ FAM-labeled 3’ overhang substrate. Substrate and product structures are illustrated on the right side of the gels. * represents position of FAM label on the substrate. A, representative PAGE showing the RECQL4 helicase assay performed in the presence or absence of indicated concentrations of full-length FL-RPS3 (1–244 aa). B, representative PAGE showing the RECQL4 helicase assay performed in the presence or absence of indicated concentrations of C-RPS3 (94–244 aa). C, representative PAGE showing RECQL4 helicase assay performed in the presence or absence of indicated concentrations of N-RPS3 (1–94 aa). D, graph depicting percentage unwinding by RECQL4 plotted against final concentration of FL-RPS3, N-RPS3, or C-RPS3 in RECQL4 helicase reaction. The data points shown are the means of triplicate experiments with S.D. indicated as error bars.
described (23). Furthermore, the AP endonuclease activity of 
RPS3 on UV-irradiated DNA was one of the initial lines of evi-
dence for its role in DNA repair (22). These reports, along with 
our results, hint toward a probable involvement of the RPS3-
RECQL4 interaction in maintaining the genome stability in 
skeletal tissue and osteosarcoma progression. In conclusion, we 
illustrate how physical interaction of catalytically active RPS3 
with RECQL4 helicase alters biochemical properties of the heli-
case without interfering with the independent repair functions 
of the ribosomal protein (RPS3).

Experimental Procedures

Cells and DNA

Stable cell lines expressing either control vector or N-
RECQL4-V5-his, C-RECQL4-V5-his, and FL-RECQL4-V5-
his were prepared using the Flp-In™-293 cell line from Invit-
rogen as per the manufacturer’s instructions. Cell lines 293 and 
U2OS cells were maintained in DMEM with 10% fetal bovine 
serum and 1% penicillin streptomycin. SF9 insect cells were 
maintained in SF9-ESF serum-free medium.

For the purification of human RECQL4, using a Bac-to-Bac 
baculovirus expression system (Invitrogen), human RECQL4 
cDNA was cloned into pFastBac1 vector with an N-terminal 
GST tag (separated from the RECQL4 sequence by the PreScis-
sion protease cleavage site sequence) and a C-terminal 10 his-
tidine tag (His10) (Fig. 1A). RECQL4-K508N mutant was pre-
pared by site-directed mutagenesis of pFastBac1-RECQL4 
plasmid. For the expression of full-length RPS3 (1–244 aa), the 
full-length cDNA sequence of human RPS3 was cloned into 
modified pET41a vector (Novagen) with an N-terminal GST 
tag (separated from the RPS3 sequence by the PreScission 
protease cleavage site sequence) and a C-terminal 10 his-
tidine tag (His10) (Fig. 1A). RECQL4-K508N mutant was pre-
pared by site-directed mutagenesis of pFastBac1-RECQL4 
plasmid. For the expression of truncated N-RPS3 and C-RPS3, 
cDNA sequences of 1–94 and 94–244 aa of human RPS3 were
cloned into pET41a vector (Novagen) with an N-terminal GST tag and a C-terminal six-histidine tag and modified pGW1 vector with an N-terminal HA tag.

**Purification of Human RECQL4 Using a Baculovirus Expression System**

Preparation of recombinant RECQL4 or RECQL4-K508N baculovirus stocks and their amplification was carried out using SF9 cells as per the manufacturer’s instructions (Invitrogen). SF9 suspension cell culture (1 × 10^6 cells/ml) was infected with multiplicity of infection of 5 by virus stocks of RECQL4 or RECQL4-K508N. The culture was incubated at 27 °C for 72 h. The cells were pelleted, snap frozen, and stored at −80 °C. The cell pellet was lysed in 10 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.8, 20 mM KCl, 2 mM EDTA, and 1× protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). After lysis, NaCl and glycerol were slowly added to a final concentrations of 350 mM and 25%, respectively, and constantly stirred at 4 °C. Thereafter, the steps were performed at 4 °C unless otherwise specified. The lysate was clarified at 13,000 × g for 30 min. The supernatant was applied to glutathione-Sepharose (GE Healthcare) for 6 h followed by three wash steps with washing buffer (50 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.8, 10% glycerol, 0.02% Triton X-100, 1 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, and protease inhibitor cocktail). The Sepharose was resuspended in 150 mM NaCl washing

![Nuclear localization of RPS3](image)

**FIGURE 8.** Nuclear RECQL4-RPS3 interaction is enhanced under hydrogen peroxide- and UV-induced genotoxic stress in U2OS cells. U2OS cells subjected to mock, hydrogen peroxide (200 or 500 μM), or UV (20 or 40 J/m²) treatment were immunostained with anti-RECQL4 and anti-RPS3 antibodies. Representative confocal images of cells after treatments are shown in the top panels. Plots at the bottom show quantification of nuclear RPS3 (%) and nuclear colocalization of RECQL4-RPS3 (%) carried out using Imaris 8.3.1 software on z sections of confocal images taken from immunostained U2OS cells. The data points shown are the means of triplicate experiments with S.D. indicated as error bars.
buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.8, 10% glycerol, 0.02% Triton X-100 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, and protease inhibitor cocktail) and incubated with 40 units of PreScission protease (GE Healthcare)/liter of culture for 14 h. The flowthrough of the glutathione column was used as input for a 1-ml equilibrated nickel column (GE Healthcare). After one washing step with buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.8, 350 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 0.02% Triton X-100, and protease inhibitor cocktail), RECQL4 was eluted in a single step elution using nickel elution buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.8, 150 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 400 mM imidazole, 0.02% Triton X-100, and protease inhibitor cocktail). Elution fractions were snap frozen and stored at −80 °C.

**Purification of Full-length and Truncated Human RPS3 Proteins**

*E. coli* (Rosetta 2, DE3, pLysS) cultures expressing pET41a-GST-FL-RPS3 (1–244 aa) or pET41a-GST-N-RPS3-his (1–94 aa) or pET41a-GST-C-RPS3-his (94–244 aa) were grown to an optical density of 0.6 at 37 °C and induced using 1 mM isopropyl β-D-thiogalactopyranoside at 18 h for 14 h. Induced cultures were harvested and snap frozen. Clarified lysates were fractionated as described below.

Purification of FL-RPS3 was carried out in two steps using BioReX™70 beads (Bio-Rad) and glutathione-Sepharose (GE Healthcare). The harvested cell pellet of the FL-RPS3 culture was resuspended in lysis buffer (50 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.2, 150 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 0.2% Triton X-100, 2.5 mM β-mercaptoethanol (2ME), 1 mM PMSF, and protease inhibitor cocktail) and lysed by sonication. The lysate was clarified by centrifugation (20,000 g, 30 min), and the supernatant of the cell lysate was applied to equilibrated BioReX™70 column. Elution of protein fractions was carried out using a 130–800 mM linear NaCl gradient. Peak fractions of elution were pooled and applied to equilibrated glutathione column. Elution was carried out using reduced glutathione elution buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl, 20 mM reduced glutathione, and protease inhibitor cocktail). The elution fraction was dialyzed in 50% (v/v) glycerol, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM PMSF, and 2.5 mM 2ME before storing at −20 °C.

Purification of truncated RPS3 proteins N-RPS3 (1–94 aa) and C-RPS3 (94–244 aa) was done in two steps using nickel and glutathione-Sepharose. Induced cell pellets were lysed in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 0.2% Triton X-100, 2.5 mM 2ME, 1 mM PMSF, and protease inhibitor cocktail). Clarified lysates were applied to equilibrated nickel-Sepharose. Washing was conducted using 50 mM imidazole buffer, and elution was performed using 400 mM imidazole buffer. Peak elution fractions were dialyzed in 50% (v/v) glycerol, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM PMSF, and 2.5 mM 2ME and stored at −20 °C.

**Plasmid Nicking Assay**

Depurinated circular DNA substrates were prepared by incubating pH624 plasmid in depurination buffer (10 mM sodium citrate, pH 4.5, and 100 mM NaCl) at 70 °C for 5 min. The pH of reactions was neutralized to 8.0 by adding Tris-HCl, pH 8.0. Non-depurinated substrates were processed similarly to depurinated substrates using non-depurination buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, and 50 ng/μl pH624 plasmid DNA) instead of depurination buffer. The same volume of Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, as that for the depurination reaction was added to non-depurination reactions.

For the assay, 4 nM of depurinated or non-depurinated substrates were incubated with indicated concentrations of RPS3 or APE1 in 40 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 35 mM KCl, 40 mM MgCl₂ in the absence or presence (for RPS3 + RECQL4) of 2 mM ATP at 37 °C for 30 min. The reactions were stopped by adding 0.2% SDS, 5% sucrose, 10 mM EDTA, 0.1 mg/ml bromphenol blue, and 1 mg/ml proteinase K before incubating at 45 °C for an additional 30 min. The reactions were resolved on 1.5% agarose gels with 10 μg/ml ethidium bromide at 100 V for 2 h. Imaging was carried out using ImageQuant LAS4000 (GE Healthcare). APE1 enzyme was purchased from NEB (M0282).

**Helicase and ATPase Assay**

For the helicase assay, 20 nM 5’ end-labeled 3’ overhang substrate (A, FAM-CAAG GCCAT GATTG CGCAC TGAAT CATC CTGCC CTGTG ATTAA TTACG TTATC TTACG; B, GATGT ATTTA GTGGG CAACT ATGCG CTTGC) was incubated with indicated concentrations of RECQL4 and/or RPS3 in 20 μl reaction volume (2.5 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 2.5 mM KCl, 4 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM ATP, and 100 μg/ml BSA) for 30 min at 37 °C. The reaction was stopped by adding 0.2% SDS, 5% sucrose, 10 mM EDTA, and 0.1 mg/ml bromphenol blue at final concentration. The reactions were analyzed on 16% polyacrylamide gel in 1X Tris borate buffer. Imaging was carried out using Syb-R-Green filter on ImageQuant LAS4000 (GE Healthcare).

For the ATPase assay, the indicated concentrations of RECQL4 in the absence or presence of RPS3 proteins were incubated with 1 mM ATP (3.4 nM [γ-32P]ATP) for the indicated time points at 37 °C in 2.5 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 2.5 mM KCl, 4 mM MgCl₂, 50 μg/ml single-stranded circular DNA, and 100 μg/ml BSA. At the indicated time points, 1 μl of the reaction was spotted on Polygram CEL 300 PEI thin layer chromatography plates (Macherey-Nagel) that were prerun in distilled water. The plates were dried, and phosphorimaging was performed using Typhoon™FLA7000 system (GE Healthcare).

**Immunostaining and Cell Imaging**

U2OS cells were seeded (10,000 cells/well) and grown for 6–8 h in 8-well chamber slides. The cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde. Fixed cells were immunostained by mouse anti-RPS3 antibody (sc-376098) and rabbit anti-RECQL4 antibody. Fluorophore-conjugated secondary antibodies used are Alexa 488 anti-mouse (Invitrogen) and Alexa 647 anti-rabbit (Invitrogen). Coverslips were mounted on stained slides using ProLongGold antifade reagent with DAPI (Life Technologies). Confocal images were collected on a TCS-SP5-AOBS-MP microscope (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) using a 63× oil lens. Quantification of nuclear RPS3 (%) and nuclear colocalization of RPS3-RECQL4 (%) was carried out on three-dimensional images from reconstituted Z sections using Imaris 8.3.1 (Bitplane) software.
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**Immunoprecipitation**

For whole cell lysates, the cells were lysed in 20 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.4, 300 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 1 mM EDTA, 0.2% Nonidet P-40, 1X protease inhibitor mix (Complete-Roche), and 1X phosphatase inhibitor mix (PhosStop-Roche) by sonication using a Bioruptor® Picosonication device (Diagenode). Cell lysates were centrifuged at 13,000 x g for 30 min, and from the clarified lysate, 1 mg of total protein was used for V5-IP by using equilibrated Anti-V5 conjugated beads (Sigma). IP and input fractions were analyzed by Western blotting using anti-RPS3 (sc-376098), anti-RECQL4, and anti-GAPDH ( GTX28245) antibodies.

For the preparation of nuclear cell lysates, cell pellets were first lysed by cytoplasmic lysis buffer (20 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgCl2, and 1X protease-phosphatase inhibitor mix) and centrifuged at 1000 x g for 5 min to pellet down the nuclear fraction. The nuclear pellet was then extracted in 20 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.4, 400 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 0.5% Nonidet P-40, 1X phosphatase, and protease inhibitor mix) after washing the pellet once with cytoplasmic buffer. Extracted nuclear lysate was centrifuged at 16,000 x g for 30 min, and supernatant nuclear fractions were diluted to 200 mM NaCl final concentrations for IP using V5 antibody-conjugated beads (Sigma). The beads were incubated with lysates for 12 h in the presence of 100 μg/ml ethidium bromide followed by three washes with nuclear extraction buffer before being eluted in 1X sample loading buffer. All the IP and input fractions were resolved on 10% SDS-PAGE and analyzed by Western blot using anti-RPS3 (sc-376098), anti-RECQL4, and anti-lamin B (sc-6216) antibodies.

**Pulldown Assays**

**GST Pulldown Assay—RECQL4-His** was incubated with GST-FL-RPS3 or GST-N-RPS3 or GST-C-RPS3 or GST only proteins in binding buffer (10 mM disodium phosphate, 1.8 mM monopotassium phosphate, 300 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM potassium phosphate, 0.3% Nonidet P-40, and 1X protease inhibitor mix) in a final reaction volume of 500 μl. The protein complexes were immobilized on equilibrated glutathione beads (GE Healthcare) for 6 h. The beads were washed three times with 1 ml of washing buffer (10 mM disodium phosphate, 1.8 mM monopotassium phosphate, 450 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM potassium phosphate, 0.5% Nonidet P-40, and 1X protease inhibitor mix). Input and pulldown fractions were analyzed by anti-RECQL4 and anti-GST Western blots.

**V5 Pulldown Assay—GST-FL-RPS3** was incubated with FL-RECQL4-V5-his or N-RECQL4-V5-his or C-RECQL4-V5-his proteins in binding buffer (10 mM disodium phosphate, 1.8 mM monopotassium phosphate, 300 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM potassium phosphate, 0.3% Nonidet P-40, and protease inhibitors) in a final volume of 500 μl. The complexes of proteins were immobilized on V5 beads (Sigma) for 6 h. The beads were washed three times with 1 ml of washing buffer (10 mM disodium phosphate, 1.8 mM monopotassium phosphate, 450 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM potassium phosphate, 0.5% Nonidet P-40, and 1X protease inhibitor mix). Input and pulldown fractions were analyzed by anti-V5 and anti-GST Western blots.

**Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay**

For the EMSA, 50 nM 5’ end-labeled single-stranded DNA substrate (A, FAM-5’GAAG GGCAT GATTG CGCAC TGAAT ACATC) was incubated with indicated concentrations of RPS3 and/or RECQL4 in 10 μl reaction volume (2.5 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 2.5 mM KCl, 4 mM MgCl2, 2 mM ATP, and 100 μg/ml BSA) for 5 min at 37°C. The reactions were stopped by adding 5% sucrose and 0.1 mg/ml bromophenol blue. The reactions were analyzed on 6% polyacrylamide gel in 1X Tris borate buffer. Imaging was carried out using a Sybr-Green filter on ImageQuant LAS4000 (GE Healthcare).
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