Giant thermopower and figure of merit in single-molecule devices
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We present a study of the thermopower \( S \) and the dimensionless figure of merit \( ZT \) in molecules sandwiched between gold electrodes. We show that for molecules with side groups, the shape of the transmission coefficient can be dramatically modified by Fano resonances near the Fermi energy, which can be tuned to produce huge increases in \( S \) and \( ZT \). This shows that molecules exhibiting Fano resonances have a high efficiency of thermoelectric cooling which is not present for conventional ungated molecules with only delocalized states along their backbone.

Recent advances in single-molecule electronics have been underpinned by considerable improvements in electrical contacting techniques, which have allowed the identification of a range of fundamental properties including switching, rectification, memory, and sensing. Single-molecule devices offer a potential route to sub-10-nm electronics. For the example of the sign of the thermopower it is possible to deduce the conduction mechanism or relative position of the Fermi energy, with a positive sign indicating that the Fermi energy is lying closer to the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) level. The thermopower can also exhibit very interesting behaviors, such as a linear increase with the molecular length. Other theoretical studies have shown the possibility of changing both the sign and magnitude of the thermopower by electrically gating a molecular wire, which moves the Fermi energy across a transmission resonance and thus changes its sign.

However, when the Fermi energy lies within the HOMO–lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) gap, thermopower is expected to be low. Indeed recently, Reddy et al. measured the room-temperature thermopower of 1,4-biphenyldithiol (BPDT), using a modified scanning tunnel microscope. They found the thermopower to be +12.9 \( \mu V K^{-1} \). This low value was attributed to the Fermi energy sitting within the molecule’s HOMO-LUMO gap where both the density of states (DOS) and transmission coefficients are relatively flat.

In this Brief Report we show that much higher values can be obtained from molecules exhibiting Fano resonances. We investigate the thermoelectric properties of two different molecules, the BPDT and CSW-470-bipyridine (CSW-479), as a function of the rotation angles of some of the molecular groups. Both exhibit Breit-Wigner-type resonances in the transmission coefficient \( T(E) \) near the Fermi level corresponding to the HOMO and LUMO levels. However, CSW-479 also possesses states associated with the bipyridine side group, which are weakly coupled to the backbone and produce an additional Fano resonance in the electron transmission coefficients. The position of the Fano resonance can be tuned via a variety of mechanisms, including ring rotation of the side group and gating by nearby polar molecules such as water, whereas Breit-Wigner resonances are relatively insensitive to such effects. In what follows, we demonstrate that changing the conformation of the side group in CSW-479 moves the Fano resonance close to the Fermi energy and creates huge changes in the magnitudes of the thermopower and can even change its sign, whereas only small changes occur when the conformation the BPDT molecule is changed.

Thermoelectric properties can therefore be utilized in either charge-driven cooling devices or heat-driven current generators. In conventional devices the maximum efficiency of either heat transfer or current generation is proportional to the dimensionless thermoelectric figure of merit \( ZT \). Some of the highest measured and predicted values of \( S \) and \( ZT \) are found in materials that have sharp DOSs (Refs. 20 and 21) such as bismuth nanowires or heavily doped semiconductors. It is therefore expected that similar high values could be obtained in molecular devices since molecules also have sharp DOS close to their molecular levels.

The thermoelectric coefficients \( S, G, \) and \( \kappa \) can be computed by extending the Landauer-Büttiker formalism to include both charge and heat currents. In the linear temperature and bias regime the three required thermoelectric coefficients can be expressed in terms of the moments of the transmission coefficient via the following equations.

\[
S = -\frac{1}{eT} \frac{L_1}{L_0},
\]

PACS number(s): 85.65.+h, 72.15.Jf, 73.63.–b
\[ G = \frac{2e^2}{\hbar} L_0, \]  
\[ \kappa = \frac{2}{\hbar} \left( L_2 - \frac{L_1^2}{L_0} \right), \]  
\[ ZT = \frac{1}{L_0 L_2 - 1}, \]  
\[ L_n = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (E - E_f)^n T(E) \frac{\partial f(E)}{\partial E} dE. \]

If \( T(E) \) is a slowly varying function of \( E \), then at low temperatures, these expressions can be simplified by expanding \( T(E) \) about \( E = E_F \). In the present case, where \( T(E) \) varies rapidly when a Fano resonance is present, we calculate the full integrals rather than taking the low-temperature limit.

To calculate thermoelectric properties from first principles, we use the density-functional theory code SIESTA (Ref. 26) which employs norm-conserving pseudopotentials to remove the core electrons\(^{27}\) and a local atomic-orbital basis set. In particular we use a double-zeta polarized basis set for all atoms and the local-density approximation for the exchange and correlation functional as parametrized by Ceperley and Alder.\(^{28}\) The Hamiltonian and overlap matrices are calculated on a real-space grid defined by a plane-wave cut-off of 200 Ry. Each molecule is relaxed into the optimum geometry until the forces on the atoms are smaller than 0.02 eV/Å and then sections of the molecules are rotated to the desired angles. The contact atoms are attached to the hollow site of a gold (111) surface consisting of 6 layers with 25 atoms/layer. The transmission coefficients are found by using the nonequilibrium Green’s-function formalism.\(^{30}\)

The large values of \( S \) and \( ZT \) predicted below rely only on the ability to move the Fano resonance close to the Fermi energy and are independent of the physical mechanism used to produce this shift. Furthermore, even though DFT may not predict the exact position of the Breit-Wigner and Fano resonances, the fact that the side groups are also aromatic groups and have energy levels close to the energy levels of the backbone, ensures the presence of Fano resonances near the Fermi level. Therefore an adjustment to the environment of the side group will generate the required shift. In what follows we examine the effect of rotating either the phenyl rings in BPDT or the side group in CSW-479, which could be induced by tilting the molecule using an STM tip\(^3\) or via steric hindrance.\(^{30–33}\)

The sections of the molecules to rotate are chosen so that in BPDT the coupling along the backbone and in CSW-479 the coupling to the side group (see Fig. 1) is changed. For BPDT the molecule is first relaxed and then the torsion angle between the phenyl rings is artificially increased from 0° to 90°, where 0° corresponds to the case where the rings are planar. For CSW-479 the side group is rotated from 0° to 180° about the C-C bond that couples it to the backbone.

\[ FIG. 1. \] (Color online) Compositions of the studied molecules (a) BPDT and (b) CSW-479.

\[ FIG. 2. \] (Color online) Transmission coefficient for the (a) BPDT and (b) CSW-479 molecules for twist angles of 0° (continuous line), 30° (dotted line), and 60° (dashed line).

where 0° corresponds to when the bipyridine side group is approximately in line with the molecular backbone.

Figure 2 shows the transmission coefficients for (a) BPDT and (b) CSW-479 as a function of energy for different twist angles when the molecules are connected to the hollow site on the gold surfaces. As the phenyl rings are rotated from 0° to 60° in BPDT, the conjugation of the molecular backbone is reduced, which moves the LUMO level up in energy and reduces the transmission at the Fermi energy, following a known \( \cos^2(\theta) \) law.\(^{31–33}\) However the overall shape of the transmission remains almost unchanged. In contrast the CSW-479 molecule possesses a Fano resonance near the Fermi energy and as the side group is rotated, the Fano resonance moves to a lower energy, leading to a significant change in the slope of \( T(E) \) near \( E = E_F \).

For each twist angle, Fig. 3 shows the thermopower for (a) CSW-479 and (b) BPDT, obtained by using the transmission coefficients shown in Fig. 2, to evaluate Eqs. (2) and (6). The thermopower in the BPDT system increases smoothly with rotation angle at high temperatures and remains positive. This is expected since around the Fermi energy the transmission coefficient decays slowly for all angles and therefore the asymmetric term \( L_1 \) in Eq. (2) is always negative. The thermopower for the optimized geometry with \( \theta = 35^\circ \) is calculated to be 6.49 \( \mu \text{V K}^{-1} \), which is close to the value measured in Ref. 15. The discrepancy could be attributed to differences in the contact geometry. In fact, we know from previous calculations that by altering the contact configuration or by varying the distance between the leads and the molecule,\(^{34,35}\) the transmission coefficients can change dramatically, which modifies the slope near the Fermi
level. Predicted thermopowers can also differ from experimental values even when the contact configuration is correct, due to approximations built into DFT.

In contrast to BPDT, the CSW-479 molecule produces large magnitudes for the thermopower when the Fano resonance is within $k_BT$ of the Fermi energy. At low temperatures ($T<50$ K), the largest value of $S$ is found to be approximately 200 $\mu$V K$^{-1}$ and at higher temperatures of approximately 670 $\mu$V K$^{-1}$. Furthermore the thermopower becomes negative as the angle increases and the Fano resonance approaches the Fermi energy from above. This suggests that thermoelectric properties can be tuned by modifying side groups and their coupling to the backbone. In this case it is also possible to obtain a negative thermopower at high temperatures even though the Fermi energy is still inside the HOMO-LUMO gap. The sign change in the thermopower arises from a combination of the asymmetric term $L_1$ and the intrinsic asymmetric shape of the Fano resonance. Therefore, the idea that the hole or electronlike character of conduction is determined by the sign of the thermopower is ambiguous for systems with Fano resonances close to the Fermi energy.

As a demonstration of the generic nature of these predictions, we repeated the above calculations for molecules connected to a gold atom on top of a gold electrode. The results were qualitatively similar, even though the details changed, such as the position of the Fermi energy, which shifted slightly closer to the HOMO level due to the reduction in the charge transfer in the top configuration.

Figure 4 shows the calculated dimensionless figures of merit for (a) CSW-479 and (b) BPDT. Due to the low thermopower and conductance near the Fermi level the figure of merit for BPDT is low for all rotation angles, and therefore this molecule has a low efficiency of thermoelectric heat transfer. This is not the case for CSW-479, where even at low temperatures a figure of merit $ZT>1$ can be achieved for rotation angles where the Fano resonance is close to the Fermi energy. This demonstrates that the temperature difference can be mechanically tuned by adjusting the coupling...
between the localized states and the backbone. Such large figures of merit have been predicted for semiconducting carbon nanotubes. However to date this is the largest predicted figure of merit value for an ungated single molecular device.

We have shown there that is a huge difference in the thermoelectric properties of molecules with and without side groups. In contrast with electrical properties, the thermoelectric properties of a molecular device depend on the shape of the transmission profile about the Fermi energy rather than its absolute magnitude. By moving a Fano resonance through the Fermi energy, the shape of the transmission can be dramatically altered to produce both huge thermopower and figures of merit, corresponding to a high-efficiency thermoelectric cooling device. In practice, at high temperatures, this value could be limited by the contribution to the thermal conductance from phonons, which acts in parallel to the electronic contribution to the thermal conductance and is not included here. Electron transport through quasi-one-dimensional wires is also sensitive to disorder, which could arise under ambient conditions, due to the presence of polar water molecules.
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