Assessment of the effect of betaine on p16 and c-myc DNA methylation and mRNA expression in a chemical induced rat liver cancer model
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Abstract

Background: The development and progression of liver cancer may involve abnormal changes in DNA methylation, which lead to the activation of certain proto-oncogenes, such as c-myc, as well as the inactivation of certain tumor suppressors, such as p16. Betaine, as an active methyl-donor, maintains normal DNA methylation patterns. However, there are few investigations on the protective effect of betaine in hepatocarcinogenesis.

Methods: Four groups of rats were given diethylinitrosamine (DEN) and fed with AIN-93G diets supplemented with 0, 10, 20 or 40 g betaine/kg (model, 1%, 2%, and 4% betaine, respectively), while the control group, received no DEN, fed with AIN-93G diet. Eight or 15 weeks later, the expression of p16 and c-myc mRNA was examined by Real-time PCR (Q-PCR). The DNA methylation status within the p16 and c-myc promoter was analyzed using methylation-specific PCR.

Results: Compared with the model group, numbers and areas of glutathione S-transferase placental form (GST-p)-positive foci were decreased in the livers of the rats treated with betaine (P < 0.05). Although the frequency of p16 promoter methylation in livers of the four DEN-fed groups appeared to increase, there is no difference among these groups after 8 or 15 weeks (P > 0.05). Betaine supplementation attenuated the down-regulation of p16 and inhibited the up-regulation of c-myc induced by DEN in a dose-dependent manner (P < 0.01). Meanwhile, increases in levels of malondialdehyde (MDA) and glutathione S-transferase (GST) in model, 2% and 4% betaine groups were observed (P < 0.05). Finally, enhanced antioxidative capacity (T-AOC) was observed in both the 2% and 4% betaine groups.

Conclusion: Our data suggest that betaine attenuates DEN-induced damage in rat liver and reverses DEN-induced changes in mRNA levels.
Background
Liver cancer is one of the most common types of malignancy, especially in Asia and Africa, and it is the second most common cause of cancer death in China [1,2]. Because of the very poor prognosis, the number of death remains stubbornly high [1]. Despite the effort in advancing the treatment of this disease in recent decades, long-term therapeutic outcome in liver cancer remains poor, and the prognosis is dire. Thus, prevention appears to be the best strategy for reducing the current prevalence of the disease [3].

The development of liver cancer is a multistep process of genetic alterations, involving the activation of proto-oncogenes and the inactivation of tumor suppressors [4-6], which lead to a continuous increase of uncontrolled cellular proliferation. Therefore, abnormal expression of related genes plays a crucial role in carcinogenesis. The c-myc proto-oncogene encodes a transcription factor involved in regulating cell proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis [5,7-9]. A few studies have shown that hypomethylation of the c-myc gene promoter results in its over-expression, especially in the methyl-absent conditions [10,11]. C-myc over-expression is associated with liver cancer development by causing inappropriate gene expression which results in autonomous cellular proliferation [8,9,12]. The p16 tumor suppressor is an inhibitor of cyclin D-dependent protein kinases, and it halts the cell cycle in the G1 phase [13]. Several recent studies have revealed that extensive DNA methylation is the primary cause of p16 inactivation in liver cancer patients, and that hypermethylation of p16 occurs not only in the liver cancer but also in the pre-neoplastic liver tissue as well [14-16]. Abnormal changes in DNA methylation and mRNA expression of c-myc and p16 are, therefore, the two important stimulatory factors in the development of liver cancer [14-16].

There is a growing body of evidence which supports that chemoprevention is the cornerstone for precluding liver cancer [3,17]. Betaine, found particularly in wheat, spinach, and sugar beets, is an active methyl-donor. The main biochemical function of betaine is to transfer one-carbon moieties to maintain normal DNA methylation pattern in the body [18-21]. Thus, it is reasonable to propose that betaine may help to prevent liver cancer through regulating the expression of proto-oncogenes and tumor suppressors by stabilizing their mythylation patterns. Although some studies have suggested the role of betaine in preventing alcoholic liver diseases [18,20], the effect of betaine supplementation in preventing liver cancer has not yet to be investigated. The purpose of this study is to determine whether betaine supplementation attenuates the development of liver cancer in a well established rat model induced by diethylnitrosamine (DEN) treatment [3,17], as well as the exploration of mechanisms underlying the attenuation effect.

Methods

Animals and dietary intervention
Seventy pathogen-free, weanling male Sprague-Dawley rats (150 ± 10 g) were purchased from the Animal Center of Guangdong Province, and were kept in metabolism cages with controlled temperature (23 ± 2°C) and humidity (55% ± 5%). All rats were acclimated for one week on a standard AIN-93G diet, supplying 187 g/kg protein, 70 g/kg fat, 50 g/kg fiber, and 3970 kcal/kg energy [22]. After a week of acclimatization, rats were divided into five groups according to their body weights. The control group was provided with distilled water and AIN-93G diet. The four experimental groups were given 0.01% diethylnitrosamine solution (DEN; Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO) and fed AIN-93G diets supplemented with 0, 10, 20, or 40 g betaine/kg. They were designated as the model, 1%, 2%, and 4% betaine group, respectively. Rats were housed individually and had free access to food and water throughout the 15-week period. Body weight and food consumption were recorded weekly. Betaine was purchased from Cultor Ltd. (Finnsugar Bioproducts, Finland). All the animal work procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Sun Yat-sen University.

Assay of serum marker enzymes
Five rats from each group were sacrificed by exsanguination under anesthesia after receiving the experiment diet for 8 or 15 weeks. Blood was collected by femoral artery puncture. Serum levels of alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alkaline phosphatase (ALP) and Gamma glutamyl transferase (GGT) were measured by HITACHI autoanalyzer according to the standard procedures using commercially available diagnostic kits (Sigma).

Assay of hepatic antioxidant and lipid peroxidation
The liver tissue were rapidly removed, washed in 0.9% NaCl, kept on ice, and homogenized in ice cold isotonic NaCl, after centrifugation, the supernatant was collected. Levels of hepatic antioxidant and lipid peroxidation, including MDA, SOD, GSH, GST, and T-AOC, were measured using corresponding diagnostic kits (Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute, Jiangsu province, China). Protein concentrations were determined by Coomassie Blue Staining method (Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute, Jiangsu province, China).

Histopathology and immunohistochemistry
The livers were removed and 3 slices were taken from each sublobe and fixed in 10% buffered formalin for histopathological examination. Two sections from each slice...
embedded in paraffin were prepared for the histopathological and immunohistochemical examinations. One section was stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and was examined under light microscopy for diagnosing liver lesions. Another section was used for Immunohistochemical staining of GST-p. The deparaffinized sections were incubated with a rabbit polyclonal anti-GST-p antibody (1:1,000 dilution, MBL, Nagoya, Japan) overnight in a humidified chamber at 4°C. The sections were then washed with PBS, and incubated with a correspondent secondary antibody. Subsequently, the sections were reacted with diaminobenzidine and hydrogen peroxide for 10 min. Control sections, in which the primary antibody was omitted, were treated in the same way and showed no immunostaining. Slides were examined by light microscopy, and the numbers and areas of GST-p-positive foci (>0.2 mm diameter) and the total areas of the liver sections were quantified using Scion Image (Scion Corp., Frederick, MD, USA).

**Methylation-specific PCR (MSP)**

Genomic DNA was extracted from frozen tissues using a DNeasy tissue kit (Promega, Madison, WI, America) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Methylation status of the CpG islands in the promoter region of c-myc and p16 was determined by bisulfite modification and subsequent methylation-specific PCR, as previously described with some modifications [12]. Briefly, 2 μg of genomic DNA was used for bisulfite modification. MSP was performed using methyl-specific primers (Forward and reverse primer for c-myc were 5'-AAA CGA TAA GAG GCG GAT ATA TAA C-3' and 5'-ATT TCC CAA TTC AAA AAT CTA ATC G-3'. Forward and reverse primer for P16 were 5'-TAG TAT TGT ATT AGG TAG GGG CGC-3' and 5'-TAT CGA TAA CCC GAA AAA CTT CTA CCC G-3'). and unmethyl-specific primers (U forward and reverse for c-myc were 5'-ATG ATA AGA GGT GGA TAG ATA TAA C-3' and 5'-ITT TCC AAC ATA AAA TCA TCA C-3'). U forward and reverse primer for P16 were 5'-AGT ATT GTA GCT GGG GAG TTG G-3' and 5'-ACC TAT CAA TAA CCC AAA AAA CAT'T-3'). The PCR conditions were as follows: one cycle at 94°C for 5 min, 40 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, an annealing step for 30 s at the appropriate temperature (59.5°C and 53.0°C for primers to methylated and unmethylated c-myc, respectively, and 62.0°C and 60.0°C for primers to methylated and unmethylated p16), extension at 72°C for 30 s, and a final extension step at 72°C for 10 min. Final PCR products were separated by electrophoresis in a 2% agarose gel and visualized under UV illumination.

**Real time PCR (Q-PCR) for c-myc and p16**

Total RNA was extracted from liver tissues using RNAiso Reagent (Takara Bio Co., Ltd., Kyoto, Japan). Complementary DNA (cDNA) was synthesized from 1 μg of purified total RNA using the first strand cDNA synthesis Kit (ToYoBo, Osaka, Japan). The c-myc and p16 genes were co-amplified with a fragment of the glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) gene, which served as an internal standard. Primer sequences for the p16 gene (GenBank accession AL81167) were: 5'-TGC AGA TAG ACT AGC CAG GCC-3' (Forward Primer) and 5'-TCG GAC ACC AGT TTG GCA G-3' (Reverse Primer). Primer sequences for the c-myc gene (GenBank accession AF679730) were: 5'-GCT CTC CGT CCT ATG TGT CG-3' (Forward Primer) and 5'-TCG GAG ACC AGT TTG GCA G-3' (Reverse Primer). Primers for the GAPDH gene (GenBank accession AF106860) were: 5'-ACC TGC TTA GCC CCC C-3' (Forward Primer) and 5'-GCA TGT CAG ATC CAC AAC GG-3' (Reverse Primer). Specification of each pair of primers was tested by randomly sequencing three clones, and further confirmed by the melting curve analysis using Q-PCR [23]. The amplification efficiency of each pair of primers was tested by constructing corresponding plasmid. Only primers with similar amplification efficiency were used in this experiment. Q-PCR was conducted by amplifying 1.0 μl of diluted cDNA with the SYBR Green Real-time PCR Master Mix kit (TOYOBO Company, Japan) on the ABI 7500 sequence detection system (Perkin-Elmer/PE Applied Biosystems). The cycling conditions of forty cycles of PCR were 94°C/20 s, 58°C/20 s, 72°C/20 s. The amount of specific mRNA was quantified by determining the point at which the fluorescence accumulation entered the exponential phase (Ct), and the Ct ratio of the target gene to GAPDH was calculated for each sample. Each sample was run in triplet repeat and all the PCR data were analyzed with the ABI 7500 system software 4.0 version.

**Statistical analysis**

All mean values are reported as the mean ± SD. Data were analyzed using a one-way analysis of variance, followed by least significant difference (LSD) test. Chi Square test and Fisher’s exact test were used to compare the incidence of irregular DNA methylation. The level of significance was set at P < 0.05 in all cases. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software (Version 11.0) (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL).

**Results**

**General observations**

Rats in the control group grew well throughout the entire experiment period. Although rats in other four groups showed fluffy fur, glassy eyes and decreased movement, the food intake and weight gain showed no significant difference among the groups (data not shown). Two rats of the 2% betaine group died during the 4th week. In the 4% betaine group, one rat died during the 5th week and another one during the 6th week. One rat died in the 1% betaine group during the 15th week.
Histopathological Changes and immunohistochemical expression of GST-P in Rat Livers

In the 8th week, apomorphosis, necrosis, and accremention were apparent in the liver slices of the model, 1%, 2%, and 4% betaine groups (date not shown). In the 15th week, widespread malignant cells were observed in the four groups receiving DEN, whereas the model group showed most severely malignancy (Fig. 1).

Since the abnormalities in the expression of GST-p, a cell proliferation biomarker, play a critical role in the development of liver cancer, we assessed the expression of GST-p in liver cells. Numbers and areas of GST-p-positive foci in the mode group were significantly increased when compared with the control group (Fig. 2). Although there was not statistical difference among the four betaine-treated groups ($P > 0.05$), betaine supplementation was shown to decrease numbers and areas of GST-p-positive foci in the developing of liver cancer induced by DEN ($P < 0.05$) (Fig. 3). These observations collectively suggest that betaine decreases the process of hepatocellular carcinoma in rats induced by DEN.

Contents of ALT, AST, ALP, GGT

We observed marked increases in the levels of ALT, AST, ALP and GGT in the four groups received DEN ($P < 0.001$). However, AST and ALT levels after 15 weeks in the 1% betaine group were shown to be deceased compared with that in the model group ($P < 0.05$) (Table 1).

Hepatic Antioxidase and Lipid Peroxidation

After 8 week, both MDA and GST levels increased in the model, 2% and 4% betaine groups ($P < 0.05$), but decreased in the 1% betaine group when compared with the model group ($P < 0.05$). T-AOC levels were also shown to be increased in the 2% and 4% betaine groups ($P < 0.05$) (Table 2) after 8 week.

DNA methylation status of c-myc

All rats of each group were evaluated for aberrant promoter methylation of the c-myc gene using MSP. Methylated and unmethylated c-myc alleles were shown in Fig 4. There was no statistically significant difference among the groups after 8 ($P > 0.05$) and 15 weeks ($P > 0.05$) (Table 3).

P16 Methylation

Fig 5 shows the results of p16 promoter DNA methylation by MSP. Although the frequency of promoter methylation for p16 in livers of the four DEN-fed groups appeared to increase, we didn’t see a difference among these four groups after 8 or 15 weeks ($P > 0.05$) (Table 4).

Effects of betaine on c-myc and p16 mRNA expression

Using Q-PCR, gene-specific mRNA expression was quantified in the livers from rats taking DEN solution (model, 1%, 2%, and 4% of betaine) for 15 weeks and results were expressed relative to the number of GAPDH transcripts. The mRNA levels of p16 and c-myc in livers of the control group were set at 1.00, and mRNA expressions of the four experimental groups were evaluated by its relative ratio. As shown in Fig 6 and Fig 7, DEN treatment enhanced the expression of c-myc after both 8 and 15 weeks, while betaine supplementation decreased the stimulatory effect on c-myc expression by DEN in a dose-dependent manner after 15 weeks ($P < 0.01$). On the contrary, betaine supplementation enhanced the down-regulation of p16 expression induced by DEN in a dose-dependent manner ($P < 0.01$).

Discussion

Diethylnitrosamine is a powerful hepatocarcinogen known to induce cancer in experimental animals [3,17]. In our study, all rats given DEN developed the liver cancer, demonstrating that Sprague-Dawley rat is a suitable model in studying DEN induced liver cancer. DEN treatment was shown to significantly increase both numbers and areas of GST-p-positive foci (model group), while betaine supplementation (in all the three experimental groups) attenuated the effect of DEN. Although there was no statistical difference among the betaine treatment groups, betaine supplementation decreased the increase of GST-p in the developing of liver cancer induced by DEN. Collectively, these observations suggested that

Figure 1

Histopathological changes in rat livers. Livers of rats in model, 1%, 2%, and 4% betaine groups: widespread malignant cells were observed, the model group showed most severe malignancy. Original magnification: 10 × 40. A: Control; B: Model; C: 1% betaine; D: 2% betaine; E: 4% betaine.
betaine can decrease the process of hepatocellular carcinoma in rats induced by DEN.

There is a strong correlation between oxidative stress and the occurrence of liver cancer [24,25]. Enhanced lipid peroxidation and/or a dysregulated antioxidant system have been associated with liver cancer in both experimental animal models and in humans [24,25]. Betaine acts as a methyl donor by participating in the generation of methionine from homocysteine through the catalytic action of betaine-homocysteine methyltransferase. Previous studies have shown that betaine is effective at preventing a variety of toxic injuries to the liver, such as those induced by niacin, CCl4, and hyperosmolarity [26-28]. Betaine appears to confer this protection by reducing peroxidation in the liver [28]. In our study, we found that MDA and GST levels decreased in the 1% betaine group when compared with the model group. Although the increase in the dosage of betaine did not increase the antioxidant activity in a dose-dependent manner, our observations are consistent with the suggestion by Hayes et al. that betaine is safe and nontoxic [29]. Betaine metabolism occurs predominantly in the liver [20], and DEN is able to generate acute damages in the rat liver [30]. We found that T-AOC level was higher in the 2% and 4% betaine groups than that in either the control or the model group. These observations suggest that betaine may increase the antioxidation activity of the rat hepatocytes.

Carcinogenesis is a multi-stage process characterized by continuous changes in genotypes and phenotypes [4,5,7-14]. In the same way, hepatocarcinogenesis is a multistep process involving genetic and epigenetic alterations of various oncogenes, proto-oncogenes, and growth factors, as well as tumor suppressors [4,5,7-14]. DNA methylation is a fundamental epigenetic process that regulates not only gene transcription but also histone acetylation and chromosomal stability [11,13,14,31]. DNA methylation, primarily at the C5 position of cytosine, affects gene expression during many biological processes, such as differentiation, genomic imprinting, DNA mutation, and DNA repair [11,13,14,31].

Aberrant methylation of cytosine residues at CpG dinucleotides in DNA is one of the most common epigenetic changes observed in the development and progression of

---

**Figure 2**
**Immunohistochemical staining of GST-p.** Control liver tissue: with weakly positive GST-p; Model liver tissue: with strongly positive GST-p expression. 1%, 2%, and 4% betaine groups showed liver tissues with positive GST-p expression. Original magnification: 10 × 40. A: Control; B: Model; C: 1% betaine; D: 2% betaine; E: 4% betaine.

---

**Figure 3**
**The numbers and areas of GST-p-positive foci in different groups of rat livers.** Data are means ± SD. Different letter (such as "a" versus "b") on the top of each bar indicates P < 0.05 between them. A: Numbers; B: Areas.
human cancers, including that of the liver [31]. Shen et al. have shown that environmental factors may influence the frequency and concomitant degree of hypermethylation in multiple genes in liver cancer [32]. They have also demonstrated that oxidative damage can directly affect DNA methylation status [32].

DNA methylation has been recognized as an important factor in the inactivation of tumor suppressors, such as p16, and in the activation of proto-oncogenes such as c-myc. DNA hypermethylation, usually occurring at CpG islands in promoters, is a major epigenetic mechanism in the silencing of gene expression [15,16]. Aberrant methylation of CpG islands in the promoter region of p16 is associated with transcriptional inactivation of p16 itself. Methylation at CpG islands may inhibit gene expression, either directly by interrupting the binding of transcription activators to the promoter, or indirectly through methyl-binding proteins (MBPs) [15,16,32]. MBPs bind preferentially to methylated DNA sequences of a promoter and thereby silence its transcription by either competing with transcription activators for the binding sites, or by promoting histone deacetylation and chromatin remodeling that prevent transcription factors from binding to the DNA [32]. C5 cytosine methylation at CpG sites not only significantly increases carcinogen-DNA adduct formation at CpG sites, but also affects carcinogen-DNA adduct formation at surrounding sequences [33].

The p16 gene encodes an inhibitor of cyclin D-dependent protein kinases. It reduces enzymatic activity of cyclin/cdk complexes, leading to aberrant phosphorylation of another tumor suppressor Rb, which, in turn, accelerates cell proliferation [34,35]. When hypermethylation occurs in the CpG islands within the 5’ flanking region of p16, its transcription is inhibited, which is a common marker of the early carcinogenic event [34,35]. We found that compared with the rats in the control group, the rats in all the four DEN treated groups showed higher rates of hypermethylation and decreased mRNA levels of p16. This indicates that p16 hypermethylation induces p16 silencing in the rat liver cells, correlating with the development of liver cancer.

C-myc is an important regulator of various cellular processes, and has been shown to drive quiescent cells into the

### Table 1: Levels Of ALT, AST, ALT and GGT

| Week | group | ALT(U/L) | AST(U/L) | ALP(U/L) | GGT(U/L) |
|------|-------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 8 w  | control | 24.00 ± 2.12 | 197.60 ± 12.09 | 120.80 ± 10.47 | 7.40 ± 1.58 |
|      | model  | 37.50 ± 1.91* | 247.00 ± 15.16* | 219.50 ± 22.28* | 27.10 ± 7.25* |
|      | 1%betaine | 38.00 ± 4.32 | 196.00 ± 11.87* | 210.60 ± 19.60* | 23.30 ± 9.90* |
|      | 2%betaine | 38.20 ± 3.44* | 214.40 ± 5.98* | 216.60 ± 30.01* | 38.10 ± 11.21* |
|      | 4%betaine | 32.20 ± 4.91* | 206.00 ± 7.78* | 234.00 ± 27.51* | 14.80 ± 7.94 |
| 15 w | control | 28.50 ± 3.08 | 215.17 ± 17.88 | 126.67 ± 15.86 | 11.42 ± 5.92 |
|      | model  | 60.14 ± 11.47* | 291.00 ± 24.48* | 232.86 ± 14.33* | 41.57 ± 14.38* |
|      | 1%betaine | 41.33 ± 4.55* | 235.33 ± 19.12* | 233.67 ± 19.15* | 32.67 ± 13.74* |
|      | 2%betaine | 51.14 ± 9.80* | 248.43 ± 19.03* | 254.33 ± 41.02* | 43.29 ± 8.59* |
|      | 4%betaine | 57.57 ± 7.99* | 253.00 ± 22.53* | 246.17 ± 25.09* | 42.50 ± 10.75* |

*Compared with the control group, p < 0.05. ▲Compared with the model group, p < 0.05.

### Table 2: Hepatic antioxidase and lipid peroxidation

| week | GSH mgGSH/gprot | GST U/mgprot | MDA nmol/mgprot | SOD U/mgprot | T-AOC U/mgprot |
|------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------|
| 8 w  | control | 35.77 ± 3.39 | 27.37 ± 2.63 | 0.166 ± 0.055 | 170.09 ± 33.93 | 0.269 ± 0.089 |
|      | model  | 42.45 ± 6.87 | 38.62 ± 2.55* | 0.357 ± 0.152* | 164.98 ± 56.91 | 0.609 ± 0.248 |
|      | 1%betaine | 39.05 ± 6.77 | 31.36 ± 3.10 | 0.145 ± 0.068* | 190.86 ± 55.32 | 0.516 ± 0.201 |
|      | 2%betaine | 41.23 ± 2.59 | 35.14 ± 3.29* | 0.361 ± 0.116* | 178.75 ± 37.26 | 1.152 ± 0.407* |
|      | 4%betaine | 41.34 ± 5.21 | 35.23 ± 4.22* | 0.334 ± 0.124* | 183.74 ± 83.19 | 0.755 ± 0.361* |
| 15 w | control | 38.21 ± 6.47 | 27.79 ± 6.73 | 0.236 ± 0.088 | 167.70 ± 44.01 | 0.363 ± 0.096 |
|      | model  | 38.22 ± 9.11 | 29.29 ± 4.23 | 0.299 ± 0.087 | 150.44 ± 57.59 | 0.912 ± 0.290 |
|      | 1%betaine | 40.19 ± 4.96 | 26.41 ± 3.46 | 0.222 ± 0.032 | 161.79 ± 40.13 | 0.564 ± 0.184 |
|      | 2%betaine | 39.99 ± 6.07 | 32.04 ± 6.89 | 0.231 ± 0.062 | 184.92 ± 38.38 | 0.942 ± 0.183 |
|      | 4%betaine | 36.13 ± 4.29 | 25.99 ± 5.07 | 0.307 ± 0.076 | 152.49 ± 45.54 | 0.777 ± 0.184 |

*Compared with the control group, p < 0.05. ▲Compared with the model group, p < 0.05.
S phase in the absence of other mitogenic signals [5,7,12]. Diverse cellular functions of the c-myc oncogene are closely tied to its ability to either activate or repress gene transcription. Recently, a few studies have indicated that hypomethylation of the c-myc gene results in its over-expression in hepatocarcinogenesis, especially in the methyl-absent conditions [10,11].

As a methyl donor, betaine is proposed to play a role in homocysteine metabolism [36], and provides methyl groups for the synthesis of S-adenosylmethionine (SAM) [18,19,37]. The requirement of SAM for cellular metabolism normally exceeds what mammals obtain through their diets. Insufficiency in SAM can be prevented through the methionine cycle that metabolizes 5'-methyltetrahydrofolate (5'-methyl-THF) and betaine [38]. In the methionine cycle, both an increase in S-adenosyl-l-homocysteine (SAH) level and a decrease in the SAM:SAH ratio are known to reduce DNA methyltransferase activity and inhibit transmethylation reactions [18,19,37,39,42,43]. Betaine is effective at increasing the SAM:SAH ratio and supposed to maintain normal DNA methylation patterns [40].

In the present study, we have, however, found that betaine had no effect on methylation of p16 or c-myc by MSP and there were no differences in c-myc methylation among the five groups of rats. It may be necessary to use more sensitive methods to quantify methylation levels. It is also possible that betaine plays a key role in maintaining complete organism-wide methylation, not the methylation of a few, specific genes. This requires further investigations.

There are two re-methylation pathways utilized by betaine and 5'-methyl-THF, which are interrelated in the methionine cycle. The limitation of one pathway increases re-methylation via the other pathway [41]. Folic acid is the precursor of 5'-methyl-THF, and it also takes part in certain important procedures like the synthesis and repairation of DNA and RNA in addition to its effects on regulating DNA methylation. Having efficient methyl groups with three active methyls, betaine may reduce the consumption of folic acid in the methionine cycle, and it may play some part in maintaining DNA stability [42,43].

After metabolism, betaine molecules are degraded to N5, N10-methenyl-tetra-hydrofolic acid and N5, N10-methylene-tetra-hydrofolic acid, which can be reused in the synthesis of purine and thymine. These actions can regulate the mRNA expression.

### Table 3: The methylation status of c-myc in the 8th and 15th week

| group     | 8 w (M/U) | 15 w (M/U) |
|-----------|-----------|------------|
| control   | 5(0)      | 9(0)       |
| model     | 4(1)      | 8(1)       |
| 1%betaine | 5(0)      | 7(1)       |
| 2%betaine | 4(1)      | 7(0)       |
| 4%betaine | 5(0)      | 6(1)       |

M: methylated; U: unmethylated. The methylation status of c-myc in each group didn’t show statistically significant differences after 8 weeks ($P > 0.05$) and 15 weeks ($P > 0.05$).

### Table 4: The methylation status of p16 in the 8th and 15th week

| group     | 8 w (M/U) | 15 w (M/U) |
|-----------|-----------|------------|
| control   | 1(4)      | 3(6)       |
| model     | 4(1)      | 7(2)       |
| 1%betaine | 3(2)      | 6(2)       |
| 2%betaine | 3(2)      | 6(1)       |
| 4%betaine | 4(1)      | 7(0)       |

M: methylated; U: unmethylated. The methylation status of p16 in each group didn’t show statistically significant differences after 8 weeks ($P > 0.05$) and 15 weeks ($P > 0.05$).

---

**Figure 4**
DNA methylation status of the c-myc promoter region. U: unmethylated, 103 bp; M: methylated, 100 bp.

**Figure 5**
DNA methylation status of the p16 gene promoter region. U: unmethylated, 151 bp; M: methylated, 153 bp.
results suggest that betaine regulates transcription of c-myc and p16, which leads to the stability of the two genes in the body and attenuate the carcinogenic effects of DEN.

Conclusion
DEN induces disequilibrium in the lipid peroxidation and antioxidation systems of the rat liver, and alters mRNA expression levels of both p16 and c-myc. Betaine supplementation attenuates carcinogenic effects of DEN in rat livers and reverses DEN-induced changes in p16 and c-myc mRNA expression.
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