Introduction

Although the nickel-based superalloys (NBSAs) have excellent mechanical properties at high temperatures, they are limited by their maximum application temperature, which is dictated by the melting point of the nickel solid-solution matrix. Currently, the maximum temperature at which NBSAs operate is about 1100°C, which is approximately 90% of their melting temperature (Reed, 2004). Although thermal-barrier coatings can be used to increase the application temperature, the component is still restricted by the melting point of the substrate, and for safety reasons the maximum attainable temperatures are still limited (Goward, 1998). This has led to the development of new materials with higher melting points, which have the potential to improve the efficiency of turbine engines, enabling greater thrust, improved fuel efficiency and thus reduced pollution.

There is increasing interest in using different alloys system with a matrix and a fine dispersion of small, preferably coherent, and hence stable, precipitates. Face-centred cubic (fcc) structures are advantageous because, being close-packed, they can resist deformation even at low temperatures (Briant, 1994). Platinum group metals (platinum, iridium, and rhodium) were targeted because they have high melting points, good environmental resistance, and a mostly fcc structure (Yamabe et al., 1996; Wolff and Hill, 2000). Platinum group metals (platinum, iridium, and rhodium) have been considered because of their high-temperature strengths, but the inherent room-temperature brittleness of these materials remains problematic (Wolff and Sauthoff, 1996). One solution would be to base the new materials on alloys with high melting points and use the naturally-occurring precipitates of that system. This should also help keep the processing cost to a minimum. These systems would be similar in structure to the NBSAs with a matrix and a fine dispersion of small, preferably coherent, and hence stable, precipitates. Face-centred cubic (fcc) structures are advantageous because, being close-packed, they are more creep resistant. Refractory metals (e.g. Nb, Mo, and W) have been considered because of their high melting points (2477°C, 2623°C, and 3422°C respectively), but their more open body-centred cubic structures are more susceptible to creep, as well as being prone to rapid oxidation, even at relatively low temperatures (Briant, 1994).

The best addition of V was ~15 at.%; higher additions resulted in brittle intermetallic phases of the Pt-V system. The effect of Nb could not be ascertained because of its high losses.

Keywords
high-order Pt-based alloys, scanning electron microscopy, X-ray diffraction, microhardness.
High-order additions to platinum-based alloys for high-temperature applications

Platinum-based alloys are being developed to have microstructures very similar to nickel-based superalloys for potential for high-temperature applications in aggressive environments (Wolff and Hill, 2000; Cornish et al., 2009). Since the chemistries of nickel and platinum are similar, Pt-based alloys can be made with gamma prime ~Pt3Al precipitates in a gamma (Pt) matrix. Although similar (Massalski, 1990), the Pt-Al phase diagram is more complicated than that of Ni-Al in that Pt3Al has at least three variants, depending on the temperature, whereas Ni-Al has only one, the L12 phase, which is ordered fcc. In Pt-Al, the L12 phase is the highest temperature ~Pt3Al phase, whereas the lower temperature ~Pt3Al phases are tetragonal, and so less desirable for components subjected to ranges of temperature, although they can be stabilized by various additions. Currently, the Pt-Al-Cr-Ru system is one of the bases for developing Pt-based alloys, where Al allows the formation of the Pt3Al precipitate and also gives protection by forming alumina scale, Cr provides oxidation resistance and stabilization for the L12 ~Pt3Al phase, and Ru provides solid solution strengthening in the (Pt) matrix. Other researchers (Wenderoth et al., 2005; Völkl et al., 2009) have developed alloys based on Pt-Al-Cr-Ni.

In an investigation of some Pt-Al-Cr-Ru alloys, it was found that both Ru and Cr partition preferentially to the (Pt). The solubility ranges of Ru and Cr were found to be 0.5 to 0.7 at. % Ru and 1.3 to 1.8 at. % Cr in the precipitates, and between 1.2 to 2.4 at. % Ru and 2.7 to 4.6 at. % Cr for the (Pt) phase (Shongwe et al., 2008, 2010). The best quaternary alloy in terms of high precipitate volume, microstructure, and hardness to date is ~Pt2Al12Cr4Ru2 (at. %) (Shongwe et al., 2008, 2010). It was postulated that a quinary addition to the optimum Pt-Al-Cr-Ru quaternary alloy could improve the melting temperature and stability and increase the volume fraction of ~Pt3Al. This would improve mechanical properties, such as hardness and strength, at high temperatures while still retaining the oxidation and corrosion resistance in these aggressive environments.

Experimental procedure

Alloy buttons weighing ~2 g each were prepared for compositions chosen (Tables I and II) from previous work (Ndlovu, 2006; Shongwe et al., 2008, 2010; Mulaudzi, 2009), as well as Pt-Al-Nb (Ndlovu, 2006; Samal and Cornish, 2010) and Pt-Cr-Nb (Mulaudzi, 2009), as well as Pt-Al-V (Odera et al., 2012a; Odera, 2013a) and Pt-Cr-V (Odera et al., 2012b; Odera, 2013a). The results indicate how the additions affect the microstructure and give an idea of the maximum additions without losing the two-phase structure, or forming other phases.

Table I
Composition analyses (at.%) for the as-cast alloys

| Alloy                  | Pt       | Al       | Cr       | Ru       | V        | Nb       | Phase     |
|-----------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| Pt63.2:Al12.9:Cr4.0:Ru0.7:V19.0:Nb0.6 | 62.7±0.9 | 12.2±0.7 | 4.1±0.2  | 1.0±0.4  | 23.5±0.7 | -         | -         |
| Pt69.5:Al11.5:Cr4.2:Ru0.6:V14.2      | 65.2±0.7 | 5.0±1.1  | 4.4±0.3  | 0.7±0.4  | 21.5±0.3 | -         | -         |
| Pt71.7:Al12.8:Cr4.9:Ru1.1:V9.9:Nb0.3 | 66.9±1.6 | 22.5±3.7 | 3.2±0.3  | 0.6±0.4  | 7.5±2.1  | -         | -         |
| Pt75.2:Al11.2:Cr4.0:Ru0.6:V9.5      | 69.5±0.5 | 11.5±0.5 | 4.2±0.3  | 0.6±0.3  | 14.2±0.5 | -         | -         |
| Pt78.7:Al12.2:Cr3.8:Ru0.6:V5.2      | 70.2±1.4 | 8.4±1.1  | 4.4±0.3  | 1.0±0.6  | 18.1±1.1 | -         | -         |
| Pt81.3:Al12.0:Cr3.7:Ru0.6:V5.3      | 71.5±0.3 | 12.5±1.8 | 4.9±0.4  | 1.1±0.8  | 9.9±0.5  | -         | -         |
| Pt84.5:Al11.7:Cr3.9:Ru0.6:V5.2      | 73.8±1.6 | 8.8±2.0  | 4.5±0.4  | 1.0±0.8  | 10.9±1.3 | -         | -         |

It is well recognized (Cornish et al., 2009) that Pt-based alloys have serious disadvantages due to their price and their density. Attempts are ongoing to substitute some of the Pt with another element, which is less expensive and less dense, but still retaining the high-temperature capabilities and the required microstructure. Addition of niobium to Pt-based alloys resulted in elevated strengths at high temperatures through precipitation-hardening (Wenderoth et al., 2008), and since vanadium is near Nb in the periodic table and has a smaller atomic radius than Nb, it may also act as a precipitation strengthenener in addition to solid solution strengthening. The binary phase diagrams of Nb-Pt and Pt-V have the melting point of (Pt) increasing with increasing additions of Nb and V respectively (Massalski, 1990). This could counteract the effect of the Al-Pt eutectic with the decreasing (Pt) melting point. In order to predict the effect of Nb and V on the Pt-based alloys, phase diagrams were studied for (which had not been reported before): Pt-Al-Nb (Ndlovu, 2006; Samal and Cornish, 2010) and Pt-Cr-Nb (Mulaudzi, 2009), as well as Pt-Al-V (Odera et al., 2012a; Odera, 2013a) and Pt-Cr-V (Odera et al., 2012b; Odera, 2013a). The results indicate how the additions affect the microstructure and give an idea of the maximum additions without losing the two-phase structure, or forming other phases.
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Table II
Composition analyses (at.%) for the alloys heat treated at 1000°C for 1500h

| Alloy | Pt  | Al  | Cr  | Ru  | V   | Nb  | Phase          |
|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----------------|
| 1H    | 61.8±0.7 | 13.9±0.9 | 4.1±0.3 | 0.6±0.2 | 19.6±0.4 | - | - |
| Pt45.2:Al12.2:Cr1.5:Ru0.5:V12.4 (at.%) | 61.8±1.3 | 7.1±1.3 | 4.7±0.2 | 1.4±0.4 | 25.0±1.4 | - | PtAlV |
| 2H    | 57.9±0.4 | 37.9±0.8 | 1.8±0.3 | 0 | 2.7±0.4 | - | PtAlV |
| Pt57.9:Al17.3:Cr1.8:Ru1.0:V0.5 (at.%) | 68.6±1.3 | 11.4±1.0 | 4.4±0.2 | 0.5±0.4 | 14.1±0.7 | - | PtAlV |
| 3H    | 76.4±1.0 | 10.1±0.3 | 3.7±0.6 | 0.8±0.1 | 9.0±0.4 | - | - |
| Pt57.9:Al12.2:Cr1.5:Ru0.5:V0.5 (at.%) | 75.8±1.0 | 10.4±0.6 | 4.3±0.3 | 0.8±0.2 | 8.7±0.3 | - | PtAlV |
| 4H    | 82.6±0.7 | 8.7±0.6 | 4.1±0.4 | 0.8 | 4.3±0.6 | - | - |
| Pt57.9:Al12.2:Cr1.5:Ru0.5:V0.5 (at.%) | 82.6±2.0 | 10.3±1.4 | 4.2±0.5 | 0.6±0.1 | 9.0±0.4 | - | PtAlV |
| 5H    | 80.4±2.0 | 10.1±1.7 | 3.9±0.4 | 1.2±0.8 | 4.6±0.5 | - | PtAlV |
| Pt57.9:Al12.2:Cr1.5:Ru0.5:V1.5:Sn1.0 (at.%) | 53.4±1.8 | 18.0±1.4 | 4.3±0.3 | 1.4±0.9 | 21.9±1.3 | 10.0±0.8 | - |
| 6H    | 56.4±1.6 | 8.1±1.9 | 5.1±0.5 | 2.2±0.6 | 26.9±0.3 | 1.3±0.8 | PtAlV |
| Pt57.3±1.3 | 24.8±1.8 | 2.4±0.3 | 0.2 | 14.8±1.7 | 0.5±0.1 | - | PtAlV |
| 7H    | 47.1±1.9 | 7.7±2.4 | 7.6±0.8 | 2.6±0.1 | 34.3±3.4 | 0 | PtAlV |
| Pt55.6±0.3 | 17.0±1.3 | 4.6±0.6 | 1.3±0.3 | 21.6±0.3 | 0 | PtAlV + PtAlV |
| 8H    | 73.9±0.6 | 11.5±0.6 | 4.6±0.3 | 0.5±0.2 | 9.3±0.3 | 0.3±0.1 | PtAlV |
| Pt57.7:Al11.5:Cr4.2:Ru0.6:V14.2 (at.%) | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Achieve homogeneity. The buttons were halved, and one half was prepared metallographically in the as-cast condition, with the other half being sealed in an evacuated ampoule, then annealed at 1000°C for 1500 hours and quenched in water, then similarly prepared.

The microstructures of all the alloys were analysed using a scanning electron microscope (SEM) model HR-NovaNano SEM200, using both secondary (SE) and backscattered electron (BSE) modes. An accelerating voltage of 20.0 kV was used with a load of 300 g, and at least five different measurements in at least five different places. The overall area from which composition measurements were taken was 1000 μm². The grain boundaries were irregular (Figure 3a). Most of the V went into solution in the (Pt). After heat treatment, the alloy became mostly single-phase (PtAl) with 0.1 at.% Ru lower content and 0.7 at.% V higher content (although the limit of the detector was ±1 at.%). The phase transformation was confirmed by XRD, as shown in Figure 2.

Microhardness tests were performed after etching in a solution of 10 g NaCl in 100 cm³ HCl (32% vol. concentration), using a DC power supply and a voltage range of 9 V to 12 V (Odera et al., 2012c). A Vickers microhardness tester was used with a load of 300 g, and at least five measurements taken.

Results
There were losses during melting, especially of Ru (up to 1.5 at.% and Nb (up to 1.7 at.), even higher than before (Cornish et al., 2009). The EDX results of the as-cast alloys are shown in Table I, whereas those of the heat-treated alloys are given in Table II. The last columns of Tables I and II show the phases that were confirmed by XRD analysis. Table III is a summary of the data from Rietveld analysis, while Table IV contains the hardness data.

Pt57.9:Al12.2:Cr1.5:Ru0.5:V12.4 (at.%) (alloys 1 and 1H)
The as-cast Pt57.9:Al12.2:Cr1.5:Ru0.5:V12.4 (at.%) alloy (Figure 1a) had dendrites of (Pt) in a matrix of ~Pt3Al. The expected eutectic was not visible, but it could have been too fine to resolve. Vanadium partitioned preferentially to the (Pt) at ~23.5 at.% V compared to ~7.8 at.% V in ~Pt3Al. After heat treatment, the (Pt) had transformed to ~Pt2V (Figure 1b) and the overall composition changed slightly, with 0.1 at.% Ru lower content and 0.7 at.% V higher content (although the limit of the detector was ±1 at.%). The phase transformation was confirmed by XRD, as shown in Figure 2.

Pt57.9:Al11.5:Cr4.2:Ru0.6:V14.2 (at.%) (alloys 2 and 2H)
The microstructure of as-cast Pt57.9:Al11.5:Cr4.2:Ru0.6:V14.2 (at.%) was very similar to the previous alloy, and the grain boundaries were irregular (Figure 3a). Most of the V went into solution in the (Pt). After heat treatment, the alloy became mostly single-phase ~Pt2Al, with very little change in overall composition, with Ru and V decreasing by 0.1 at.% as measured by EDX (Tables I and II), and the grain boundaries had become much smoother, indicating that the heat treatment temperature and duration had an effect on the microstructure (Figure 3b).

Pt57.9:Al11.5:Cr4.2:Ru0.6:V14.2 (at.%) (alloys 3 and 3H)
The as-cast Pt57.9:Al11.5:Cr4.2:Ru0.6:V14.2 (at.%) alloy differed from the other as-cast alloys in that it was single-phase ~Pt2Al, which was confirmed by XRD. After heat treatment, the alloy was still single-phase and the grain boundaries had also become more regular and shorter.
Table III
Rietveld analyses of selected samples

| Alloy                  | Phase     | Proportion, % | Space group | Lattice parameter, Å |
|-----------------------|-----------|---------------|-------------|----------------------|
| 1P t 3Al              | PtAl      | 53.5          | Pm 3m       | $a = 3.86255$       |
| Pt(1 - x)Al$_x$Cr$_y$Ru$_z$V$_{18.3}$ | (Pt)      | 0.1           | Pm 3m       | $a = 3.96781$       |
| Pt$_3$V               | 46.4      | Pm 3m         | $a = 3.67000$|
| RuAl                  | 0.2       | Pm 3m         | $a = 3.01516$|
| 1H                    | PtAl      | 59.5          | Pm 3m       | $a = 3.86742$       |
| Pt(1 - x)Al$_x$Cr$_y$Ru$_z$V$_{18.3}$ | PtV       | 36.2          | Pm 3m       | $a = 3.67000$       |
|                       | Pt         | (1 - x)Al$_x$Cr$_y$Ru$_z$V$_{18.3}$ | 4.3        | $a = 4.85507$       |
| 2                     | PtAl      | 79.7          | Pm 3m       | $a = 3.86255$       |
| Pt(1 - x)Al$_x$Cr$_y$Ru$_z$V$_{18.3}$ | (Pt)      | 0.1           | Pm 3m       | $a = 3.96796$       |
| Pt$_3$V               | 19.5      | Pm 3m         | $a = 3.67000$|
| RuAl                  | 0.7       | Pm 3m         | $a = 3.01639$|
| 2H                    | PtAl      | 66.6          | Pm 3m       | $a = 3.86362$       |
| Pt(1 - x)Al$_x$Cr$_y$Ru$_z$V$_{18.3}$ | PtV       | 18.6          | Pm 3m       | $a = 3.81112$       |
|                       | Cr         | 14.8          | Pm 3m       | $a = 3.87362$       |
| 4                     | PtAl      | 90.28         | Pm 3m       | $a = 3.87750$       |
| 5                     | PtAl      | 95.7          | P4/mmb      | $a = 5.47216$       |
| Pt(1 - x)Al$_x$Cr$_y$Ru$_z$V$_{18.3}$ | (Pt)      | 1.4           | Pm 3m       | $a = 7.74843$       |
| Pt$_3$V               | 2.1       | I4/mmm        | $a = 3.67182$|
| RuAl                  | 0.8       | Pm 3m         | $a = 8.06972$|
| 5H                    | PtAl      | 62.0          | P4/mmb      | $a = 5.39536$       |
| Pt(1 - x)Al$_x$Cr$_y$Ru$_z$V$_{18.3}$ | PtV       | 34.8          | Pnma        | $a = 8.12855$       |
|                        | Pt         | 3.2           | Pm 3m       | $a = 3.41466$       |
|                        | (1 - x)Al$_x$Cr$_y$Ru$_z$V$_{18.3}$ | 4.6        | $b = 2.70016$|
|                        | Ru         | 2.7           | Pm 3m       | $a = 2.71709$       |
| 6                     | PtAl      | 94.1          | Pm 3m       | $a = 3.88863$       |
| Pt(1 - x)Al$_x$Cr$_y$Ru$_z$V$_{18.3}$ | PtV       | 2.7           | Pm 3m       | $a = 3.89561$       |
|                        | Ru         | 3.2           | Pm 3m       | $a = 3.03984$       |

Figure 1a—SEM-BSE image of as-cast Pt$_{63.9}$Al$_{12.2}$Cr$_{4.3}$Ru$_{0.7}$V$_{18.3}$ (at.%), showing dark (Pt) and light ~Pt$_3$Al

Figure 1b—SEM-BSE image of annealed Pt$_{61.7}$Al$_{13.9}$Cr$_{4.1}$Ru$_{0.6}$V$_{18.3}$ (at.%), showing a two-phase structure of dark ~Pt$_3$V and light ~PtAl
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**Pt\textsubscript{78.7}Al\textsubscript{12.9}Cr\textsubscript{4.0}Ru\textsubscript{0.6}V\textsubscript{19.0} (at.%) (alloys 4 and 4H)**

Similar to the previous alloy, Figure 4a shows that as-cast Pt\textsubscript{78.7}Al\textsubscript{12.9}Cr\textsubscript{4.0}Ru\textsubscript{0.6}V\textsubscript{19.0} (at.%) was single-phase ~Pt\textsubscript{3}Al, as confirmed by XRD. The different contrasts are due to orientation (Cornish et al., 2008), since the analyses were within 2 at.% of each other. After heat treatment, the alloy had lost some Cr and V and was two-phase, with each phase containing precipitates of the other phase, which brought the analysed compositions closer together (Figure 4b). However, the low contrast between the phases made the microstructure difficult to discern. The (Pt) phase had higher Pt, Ru, and V with lower Al content, and unlike the other samples, (Pt) was the lighter phase because it contained less V. The solid-state precipitations indicated retreating solvi of ~Pt\textsubscript{3}Al and (Pt) with decreasing temperature.

**Pt\textsubscript{71.7}Al\textsubscript{12.8}Cr\textsubscript{4.9}Ru\textsubscript{1.1}V\textsubscript{9.9} (at.%) (alloys 6 and 6H)**

Figure 7a shows the two-phase microstructure of as-cast Pt\textsubscript{71.7}Al\textsubscript{12.8}Cr\textsubscript{4.9}Ru\textsubscript{1.1}V\textsubscript{9.9} (at.%) (Figure 7a) was similar to that of the ternary alloy of average composition Pt\textsubscript{59.1}Al\textsubscript{23.1}V\textsubscript{17.8} (at.%) (reported as alloy 2 (Odera, 2013)), with the dendrites being (Pt) of higher Pt, Ru, and V and lower Al content, with a ~Pt\textsubscript{3}Al + (Pt) eutectic. Although the targeted Nb content was 5 at.%, Nb was lost during melting, resulting in an average content of only 0.6 at.%. After annealing, the structure was much more complex (Figure 7b), and the composition was also slightly different. The (Pt) phase had transformed to ~Pt\textsubscript{2}V and ~PtV (although its composition was fairly similar to the r1 ternary phase of Pt-Al-V (Odera, 2013). These phases were interpreted as a coarsened eutectoid. The XRD patterns of the as-cast and annealed samples are shown in Figure 6, and Table III contains the data for Rietveld refinement. The pattern after Rietveld refinement is shown in Figure 6c.
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Figure 4a—SEM-BSE image of as-cast Pt63.2:Al12.9:Cr4.0:Ru0.7:V19.0:Nb0.6 (at.%), showing dark (Pt) dendrites and a eutectic of ~Pt3Al + (Pt)

Figure 4b—SEM-BSE image of annealed Pt53.9:Al18.0:Cr4.3:Ru1.4:V21.9:Nb1.0 (at.%), showing medium ~Pt2V with a light solid-state precipitate, dark ~PtV, coarsened eutectoid of ~PtV + ~Pt2V

Figure 5a—SEM-BSE image of as-cast Pt78.7:Al12.2:Cr3.8:Ru0.6:V5.2 (at.%), showing single-phase ~Pt3Al, with irregular grains at different orientations

Figure 5b—SEM-BSE image of annealed Pt83.0:Al8.7:Cr3.7:Ru0.8:V4.3 (at.%), showing light (Pt) and dark ~Pt3Al

Figure 6a—XRD pattern of Pt63.2:Al12.9:Cr4.0:Ru0.7:V19.0:Nb0.6 (at.%), showing peaks for (Pt) and ~Pt3Al

Figure 6b—XRD pattern of annealed Pt53.9:Al18.0:Cr4.3:Ru1.4:V21.9:Nb1.0 (at.%), showing peaks for Pt3Al, PtV, and Pt2V
Rietveld Analyses

Due to the complexity of the XRD spectra and the difficulty in resolving peaks of the different phases, which were very close, Rietveld analysis was done. The phases identified by XRD are shown in Tables I and II, and the Rietveld analysis data is given in Table III. Obviously, the Rietveld data was more accurate (even though alloy 4 contained only one phase, and this was not 100%), and sometimes detected phases that had been overlooked by XRD. This was particularly useful for the lower temperature phases that form from (Pt) and have similar peaks.

In alloy 1, XRD analysis identified ~Pt3Al and (Pt). After Rietveld refinement, the phases identified were ~Pt3Al, ~Pt3V, and traces of (Pt) and RuAl. The solid solution must have gone through a solid-state transformation to form ~Pt3V, which could not be identified by XRD.

In alloy 1H, both XRD and Rietveld analyses identified both ~Pt3Al and ~Pt3V. However, Rietveld also indicated the presence of a small amount of CrPt.

In alloy 2, XRD analysis identified ~Pt3Al and (Pt) while Rietveld analysis identified ~Pt3Al, ~Pt3V with only traces of (Pt) and RuAl. The (Pt) identified by XRD must have gone through solid-state transformation to form ~Pt3V.

In alloy 2H, XRD analysis identified only ~Pt3Al peaks. Rietveld analysis confirmed the presence of ~Pt3Al and also identified smaller amounts of ~Pt3V and ~Cr3Pt.

In alloy 4, XRD identified ~Pt3Al and this was confirmed by Rietveld analysis. There were smaller amounts of other phases, since Rietveld analysis gave the proportion of ~Pt3Al as 90.28%.

In alloy 5, XRD analysis identified ~Pt3Al and (Pt). Rietveld analysis confirmed the presence of ~Pt3Al and also identified smaller amounts of ~Pt3V and (Pt). This shows that the alloy was predominantly ~Pt3Al and some of the (Pt) went through solid-state transformation to form ~Pt3V.

In alloy 5H, XRD analysis identified ~Pt3Al, ~PtV, and ~Pt2V, and the same phases were found by Rietveld analysis. In alloy 6, XRD analysis identified ~Pt3Al and (Pt) while Rietveld analysis found that the alloy was predominantly ~Pt3Al (94.1%) with small amounts of ~Pt3V (2.7%) and RuAl (3.2%). The solid solution identified by XRD analysis must have gone through solid-state transformation to form ~Pt3V.
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Figure 6c—The pattern after Rietveld refinement for annealed alloy 5H, Pt53.9:Al18.0:Cr4.3:Ru1.4:V21.9:Nb1.0 (at.%), confirming the presence of ~Pt3Al, ~PtV, and ~Pt2V

Figure 7a—SEM-BSE image of as-cast Pt71.7:Al12.8:Cr4.9:Ru1.1:V9.9:Nb0.3 (at.%) showing dark ~Pt3Al and light (Pt), with variations in contrast also due to orientation

Figure 7b—SEM-BSE image of annealed Pt74.1:Al11.5:Cr4.5:Ru0.5:V9.3:Nb0.3 (at.%), showing single-phase ~Pt3Al with grains at different orientations
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**Hardness**

There were no cracks or noticeable slip lines around the edges of the indentations (probably due to the low load of 300 g), although pincushioning was observed for as-cast alloys 2 and 5. Pincushioning results from sinking of the metal around the flat faces of the pyramid indenter, and gives an overestimate of the diagonal length, hence a lower hardness value. Alloy 1H had slight pincushioning in the annealed condition and again, there were no cracks or noticeable slip lines around the edges of the indentations. The hardness values are given in Table IV.

Half of the as-cast alloys had hardnesses above 500 HV0.3. As-cast alloys with –Pt3Al and (Pt) were generally harder than single-phase –Pt3Al, and these had higher V contents. The higher hardnesses could not be attributed to (Pt), which is softer, and pure platinum has a hardness of only ~50 HV (Murakami et al., 2008). Only the alloys with higher V content had a two-phase structure of (Pt) and –Pt3Al. Thus, for the alloys studied, higher V content was the major contributing factor to higher hardness.

Figure 8 shows the variation of the hardnesses with vanadium content, indicating a general trend of increased hardness with vanadium content, for the four alloy compositions (four as-cast and three annealed alloys). Also, the hardnesses for the annealed alloys were generally higher than the as-cast alloys.

**Discussion**

As-cast alloys 1 and 2 both contained (Pt) dendrites and –Pt3Al, and V partitioned preferentially to the (Pt), with alloy 1 having ~23.5 at.% V in (Pt) compared to ~7.8 at.% V in –Pt3Al, and alloy 2 having ~16 at.% V in (Pt) compared to ~10 at.% V in –Pt3Al. Alloy 6 also contained (Pt) dendrites and –Pt3Al, with the V partitioned preferentially to (Pt). There was ~11 at.% V in (Pt) and ~3.5 at.% V in –Pt3Al. All of the Nb went into solution in (Pt). Alloy 5 had (Pt) dendrites and a –Pt3Al + (Pt) eutectic, with ~23 at.% V in the (Pt) dendrites and ~9 at.% V in the eutectic, and again, all of the Nb was in solution in the (Pt). Alloys 3 and 4 were both single-phase –Pt3Al, with all the vanadium being in solution in –Pt3Al (~10 at.% V in alloy 3 and ~5 at.% V in alloy 4). One reason why V was selected as an addition to Pt-Al-based alloys was its high solubility in the solid-solution (Pt). It was hoped that this would increase the solid solution strengthening, and this occurred, since the hardnesses were higher than for the ternary alloys with the (Pt) and –Pt3Al phases (Hill, 2001; Süss, 2007; Odera, 2013).

The alloy compositions were chosen to target two-phase microstructure analogues to NBSAs, although some of the results were unexpected. The (Pt) phase in as-cast alloy 1 transformed to –Pt2V during heat treatment (alloy 1H). Alloy 2H was two-phase (Pt) + –Pt3Al in the as-cast state (alloy 2), but became single-phase –Pt3Al after heat treatment.

Alloy 3H remained single-phase –Pt3Al after heat treatment, while alloy 4H changed from single-phase –Pt3Al (alloy 4) in the as-cast condition to two-phase –Pt3Al and (Pt), both with solid-state precipitation of the other phase, indicating sloping solvi for both phases. This would be beneficial for precipitation strengthening because it would potentially allow more precipitation and a higher volume fraction of precipitate to form.

The structure of alloy 5H changed substantially from that in the as-cast condition. The solid-solution (Pt), which had been part of a eutectic in the as-cast condition, transformed to two phases, –PtV and –Pt2V, during heat treatment. There was also solid-state precipitation in the –Pt3Al dendrites. The morphology of the –Pt3Al component of the eutectic also became more rounded.

Alloy 6H, which had a two-phase structure in the as-cast condition, became single-phase –Pt3Al after heat treatment. The required microstructure of fine precipitates of –Pt3Al

---

**Table IV**

| Alloy no. | Average composition (at.%) | As-cast hardness (HV0.3) | Annealed hardness (HV0.3) | Phases present in the as-cast alloys | Phases present in the annealed alloys |
|----------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1 & 1H   | Pt63.2Al12.9Cr4.0Ru0.7V18.9 | 537±13                   | 700±20                    | –Pt3Al, (Pt)                        | –Pt3Al, –Pt2V                       |
| 2 & 2H   | Pt68.5Al12.2Cr4.2Ru0.6V14.2 | 428±11                   | 482±24                    | –Pt3Al, (Pt)                        | –Pt3Al                             |
| 3 & 3H   | Pt75.2Al4.1Cr2.0Ru0.6V9.5   | 377±8                    | 359±9                     | –Pt3Al                             | –Pt3Al                             |
| 4 & 4H   | Pt73.7Al12.1Cr4.6Ru0.6V2.9  | 422±9                    | -                         | –Pt3Al                             | –Pt3Al                             |
| 5 & 5H   | Pt63.2Al12.9Cr4.0Ru0.7V18.9Nb0.6 | 603±21                 | 821±32                    | –Pt3Al, (Pt)                        | –Pt3Al, –PtV, –Pt3Al                |
| 6 & 6H   | Pt71.7Al12.2Cr4.6Ru0.6V5.3Nb0.3 | 545±12                 | 535±15                    | –Pt3Al, (Pt)                        | –Pt3Al                             |
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in a matrix of (Pt) is obtained only when an alloy solidifies to (Pt), and solid-state precipitation of –Pt3Al follows upon cooling. If the alloy is then in the single-phase region, due to the sloping (Pt) solvus. Any other phases, especially a eutectic, that could also be associated with shrinkage porosity, could be detrimental, leading to reduced strength and toughness (Cornish et al., 2009). All the alloys solidified with either (Pt) dendrites or single-phase –Pt3Al, and annealing did not always produce the required (Pt) + –Pt3Al precipitates. Thus, the compositions and/or the annealing procedure were unsuitable, because single-phase (Pt) was not formed on casting, although it might be expected that some eutectic would form because of the high cooling rates from arc-melting.

Alloy 1 had the highest V content, 19 at.%, and although it retained a two-phase structure after annealing, the (Pt) transformed to –PtV2, which is not desirable. The V content was targeted to be higher than in alloy 2. The next higher V content was alloy 27, at ~15 at.%. This was also two-phase, with –Pt3Al dendrites and (Pt), but transformed to single-phase –Pt3Al on annealing, showing that the composition was too close to –Pt3Al. It is possible that a V content around ~15 at.% would produce the targeted microstructure. For the alloys that had single-phase –Pt3Al, rather than (Pt) dendrites, it was apparent that V additions effectively moved the overall alloy composition to the –Pt3Al liquidus surface, so that future higher order alloys should have lower Al contents to position them on the (Pt) liquidus surface.

The six alloys were based on the quaternary alloy Pt82:Al12:Cr4:Ru2 (at.%), and both V and Nb were added to replace Pt, while the contents of the other elements were meant to remain constant. However, because of losses during melting, the alloy compositions were not necessarily those targeted (hence the actual average compositions were used to designate the alloys). After heat treatment at 1500°C for 18 hours followed by water quenching, then further heat treatment at 1100°C for 12 hours followed by air cooling, the hardness of alloy Pt82:Al12:Cr4:Ru2 (at.%) was 578 HV10 with a 10±5% precipitate volume (Shongwe et al., 2008, 2010) (measured by the grid method on enlarged micrographs). This shows that the addition of V, and in the case of alloys 5 and 6, V and Nb, substantially increased the hardness and possibly the precipitate volume fraction, even in the as-cast condition.

There was a general increase in the hardnesses after annealing, except for alloy 6H, which had the same value statistically, and alloy 3H, the hardness of which decreased marginally. The hardness of alloy 1 increased from 472 HV0.3 to 700 HV0.3 (alloy 1H), which was expected since the (Pt) solid solution in the as-cast alloy transformed to –PtV during annealing. As-cast alloy 2 was two-phase –Pt3Al and (Pt), but became single-phase –Pt3Al on annealing (alloy 2H), and the hardness increased from 428 HV0.3 to 482 HV0.3. As-cast alloy 5 was single-phase –Pt3Al and remained single-phase after annealing (alloy 3H), with the hardness remaining the same. As-cast alloy 4 was single-phase –Pt3Al but became two-phase –Pt3Al and (Pt) after annealing and disintegrated on further metallographic preparation, indicating brittleness and probable associated increased hardness. Alloy 5 had two phases, –Pt3Al and (Pt), in the as-cast condition but during annealing (alloy 3H), (Pt) transformed to –PtV and –Pt3V. Consequently, the hardness increased from 603 HV0.3 to 821 HV0.3, because of the two Pt-V intermetallic compounds. The as-cast alloy 6 had two phases, –Pt3Al and (Pt), which changed to single-phase –Pt3Al during annealing, although the hardness remained the same, taking the errors in the hardnesses into account (Table IV).

The as-cast alloys did not have the expected microstructure, although they were generally harder than the quaternary alloys (Shongwe et al., 2008, 2010; Odera, 2013), where the hardest alloy was Pt84:Al11:Cr3:Ru2 (at.%) with a hardness of 472 HV10. They were also harder than the eight ternary Pt-Al based alloys investigated by Hill (2001), where the hardest alloy had a Vickers hardness of 530 HV. However, Pt-Al-V (Odera et al., 2012a; Odera, 2013) and Pt-Cr-V alloys (Odera et al., 2012b; Odera, 2012) and Pt-Al-Cr alloys (Süss, 2007) were generally much harder than the current as-cast alloys, because many of the Pt-Al-V and Pt-Cr-V alloys contained the hard Pt-V intermetallic phases. The high hardness of some of the Pt-Al-Cr alloys was attributed to –PtAl2, –PAl, and –Pt2Al3. The Pt-Cr-Ru system (Süss, 2004) exhibited hardnesses between 225±13 for (Pt) and 1013±68 for an alloy with A15 Cr3Pt. The latter sample showed cracking around the indentations, which is detrimental – however, this was not seen in the current alloys. Thus, although the current alloys did not all attain the desired –Pt3Al - (Pt) microstructure, the phases were such that the alloys had reasonably high hardnesses without being brittle. El-Bagoury (2011) measured hardness of aged experimental NBSAs, solution-treated at 1120°C and 1180°C then aged at 845°C for 24 hours; the Vickers hardness for the specimens solution treated at 1120°C was 472 HV and for those at 1180°C were 450 HV. These values compare very well with those of the current alloys.

The heat treatment at 1000°C for 1500 hours did not transform the higher order alloys to the intended structure of fine –Pt3Al precipitates in a matrix of (Pt), and in some cases full homogenization was not achieved, as shown by the large errors in the EDX analyses. It is suggested that a heat treatment similar to that of Wenderoth et al. (2005) be used, with two stages (under flowing argon). Thus, homogenization would be more achievable at a higher temperature of 1500°C for a shorter period of 12 hours, followed by water quenching. The second step would result in precipitation: 1000°C for 120 hours, also followed by water quenching.

Conclusions

There were some losses of Ru and Nb, so not all of the targeted compositions were made. It is possible to obtain two-phase structures of (Pt) and –Pt3Al with additions of V and Nb, although the microstructures still need to be optimized. The optimum addition of V is ~15 at.%; higher additions would result in the formation of the brittle intermetallic phases of the Pt-V system, but the effect of Nb could not be evaluated since the losses were too high. The hardnesses of the alloys investigated were higher than those of the quaternary Pt-based alloys previously investigated. The alloys therefore show promise in terms of both microstructure and hardness, and future work on alloys of different compositions would be beneficial.
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Recommendations
It is recommended that the Al content be reduced with addition of V to the higher order alloys to achieve the required microstructure of ~Pt3Al precipitates within (Pt).

A two-step heat treatment, with a shorter time at 1500°C for solution treatment, and a longer time at 1000°C, is recommended.
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