Prognostic Signatures Based on Ferroptosis- and Immune-Related Genes for Cervical Squamous Cell Carcinoma and Endocervical Adenocarcinoma
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Cervical squamous cell carcinoma and endocervical adenocarcinoma (CESC) are among the most common malignancies of the female genital tract. Ferroptosis and immunity regulate each other and play important roles in the progression of CESC. The present study aimed to screen ferroptosis- and immune-related differentially expressed genes (FI-DEGs) to identify suitable prognostic signatures for patients with CESC. We downloaded the RNAseq count data and corresponding clinical information of CESC patients from The Cancer Genome Atlas database; obtained recognized ferroptosis- and immune-related genes from the FerrDb and ImmPort databases, respectively; and screened for suitable prognostic signatures using a series of bioinformatics analyses. We identified eight FI-DEGs (CALCRL, CHIT1, DES, DUOX1, FLT1, HELLS, SCD, and SDC1) that were independently correlated with the overall survival of patients with CESC. The prediction model constructed using these eight FI-DEGs was also independently correlated with overall survival. Both the sensitivity and specificity of the prediction model constructed using these eight signatures were over 60%. The comprehensive index of ferroptosis and immune status was significantly correlated with the immunity of patients with CESC. In conclusion, the risk assessment model constructed with these eight FI-DEGs predicted the CESC outcomes. Therefore, these eight FI-DEGs could serve as prognostic signatures for CESC.
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INTRODUCTION

Cervical cancer is the fourth most frequently diagnosed cancer and the fourth leading cause of cancer-related death in women (1). There were almost 600,000 new cases and 340,000 deaths worldwide in 2020 (1). The risk of death from cervical cancer in women in developing countries is 0.9%, which is higher than that in developed countries (0.3%) (2). Despite a series of advances in the
prevention, screening, and treatment of cervical cancer, there has been no significant improvement in outcome (3, 4). The main treatments for patients with cervical cancer include surgery or postoperative concurrent chemoradiotherapy, such as T-cell therapy and checkpoint inhibitors (5). However, once local invasion and distant metastasis occur, the survival rate is significantly reduced, and complications significantly increase, which means that the efficacy of radiotherapy is diminished. Patients with metastatic or recurrent cervical cancer have a poor prognosis, with a 5-year overall survival (OS) rate of only 17% (2). Cervical squamous cell carcinoma and endocervical adenocarcinoma (CESC) account for approximately 15% of cancer deaths in women, with the second-highest mortality rate (6). Therefore, it is necessary to identify suitable signatures to predict the outcomes of patients with CESC.

Ferroptosis is a novel type of cell death that was first proposed by Dixon in 2012 (7). Ferroptosis can be activated by diverse physiological conditions and pathological stress (8). Numerous studies have demonstrated that dysregulation of ferroptosis is involved in several cancers, including CESC (9–12). Immunotherapy is a new type of cancer treatment that boosts the immune system. Immunotherapy has shown promising advantages in terms of treatment efficiency and long-term patient survival (13). Previous studies have documented that immune cells and immune-related factors can regulate ferroptosis, thus achieving the antitumor effects of immunotherapy. The lipid metabolites released by ferroptotic cells can exert immunomodulatory effects on adjacent immune cells and induce an immune response (14). Therefore, to improve cancer diagnosis and prognosis, it is necessary to investigate the comprehensive network of ferroptosis- and immune-related genes.

Based on the currently known ferroptosis- and immune-related genes, and open public databases like The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA), it is hypothesized that prognostic signatures associated with ferroptosis and immune response might help to distinguish subgroups of CESC patients with distinct ferroptosis and immune phenotypes and survival profiles.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Data Acquisition and Processing**

RNAseq counts data (3 controls and 304 cancers) and the corresponding clinical information (Supplementary Table 1) was obtained from TCGA database. DESeq2 package in R 3.6.2 was used to screen the differentially expressed genes (DEGs). We utilized the specific criteria as following padj < 0.05, |logFC| ≥ 2.0, and base mean > 500 to filter the DEGs.

**Generation of Ferroptosis-Related Differentially Expressed Genes and Immune-Related Differentially Expressed Genes**

Recognized lists of ferroptosis and immune-related genes were obtained FerrDb (http://zhounan.org/ferrdb) and ImmPort database (http://immport.org), respectively. There were 259 ferroptosis-related genes (FR-Genes), including 108 ferroptosis driver genes, 69 ferroptosis suppressor genes, and 111 ferroptosis marker genes. There were 1,793 immune-related (IR-Genes).

**Development of the Comprehensive Index of Ferroptosis and Immune Status**

The ferroptosis and/or immune-related DEGs were first subjected to univariate Cox regression. The genes significantly related to the OS of patients with CESC were filtered by least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) Cox regression analysis. Then, the candidate DEGs were then filtered by multivariate Cox regression analysis. The filtered candidate signatures were used for the risk assessment model construction, as follows: Risk score = βgene1 * Exp gene1 + β gene2 * Exp gene2 + ··· + β gene n * Exp gene n (15). The β value was obtained from the multivariate Cox regression analysis. The expression values were obtained by DESeq2 analysis. The comprehensive index of ferroptosis and immune status (CIFI) value of each patient was calculated by using the risk score of the patient subtracted by the minimum risk score of the cohort, which was then divided by the maximum risk score of the cohort, namely, CIFI = (Risk score – Min)/Max (15).

**Immune Profile Analysis**

The ESTIMATE package in R software (3.6.2) was performed to calculate the stromal score, immune score, tumor purity, and ESTIMATE scores by using the genes expression data. We downloaded the calculated data of immune cell infiltration of patients with CESC from the Tumor Immune Estimation Resource (TIMER) and carried out correlation analysis for the ESTIMATE value and candidate prognosis signatures.

**Principal Component Analysis**

Principal component analysis (PCA) was conducted to reduce dimension and visualize the CESC patients with different CIFI values by 3 ferroptosis-related DEGs (FR-DEGs), 6 immune-related DEGs (IR-DEGs), and 8 ferroptosis- and immune-related DEGs (FI-DEGs) filtered by univariate Cox, LASSO analysis, and multivariate Cox analysis.

**Statistical Analysis**

A repeated-measures ANOVA followed by unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-test was used as indicated. All results are expressed as mean ± SEM.
RESULTS

Identification of Candidate Prognostic Signatures

A total of 307 TCGA samples were included in the present study. The DESeq2 package in R 3.6.2 was used to screen the DEGs. There were 1,295 DEGs (969 upregulated and 326 downregulated) (Figure 1A). Of these, 25 were FR-DEGs (21 upregulated and 4 downregulated) (Figure 1B), and 129 were IR-DEGs (83 upregulated and 46 downregulated) (Figure 1C). Through univariate Cox regression and LASSO analysis, five FR-DEGs were significantly related to the OS of patients with CESC (Figure 1D and Supplementary Figures 1A, B). Then, multivariate Cox regression analysis of the five FR-DEGs was performed, and three FR-DEGs were determined to be independently related to the OS of patients with CESC (Figures 1E, F and Supplementary Figures 1C, D).

Additionally, we performed a comprehensive combined analysis of the 25 FR-DEGs and 129 IR-DEGs and found that 8 out of 18 FI-DEGs were independently related to the OS of patients with CESC (Figures 1H, I and Supplementary Figures 1E, F). The expression of these candidate prognostic signatures is shown in Supplementary Figure 2.

Construction of the Comprehensive Index of Ferroptosis and Immune Status Classifier

The risk assessment scores were calculated by multiplying the gene expression of independent prognostic signatures and their corresponding coefficients, which were obtained by multivariate Cox regression analysis. A comprehensive index of ferroptosis and immune status (CIFI) values was calculated using the formula mentioned in the Materials and Methods section. The optimal cutoff value (Youden’s index) was used to regroup CESC patients (Figures 2A, E, I).

In the CIFI classifier constructed using the three FR-DEGs, 169 and 135 patients were classified as having high and low CIFI values, respectively. The CIFI values and survival time of the 304 patients in the model based on three FR-DEGs are displayed in Figure 2B. CESC patients with low CIFI values exhibited significantly better OS (Figure 2C). PCA indicated that CESC patients with low CIFI values could be clearly distinguished from those with high CIFI values (Figure 2D). We also constructed two more CIFI classifiers using the six IR-DEGs or eight FI-DEGs and performed the same analysis. Similar results were obtained for these two CIFI classifiers (Figures 2E–L).

We then plotted receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves and found that the area under the curve (AUC) values
of the two CIFI models constructed using the six IR-DEGs or eight FI-DEGs were comparable with each other and higher than those of the CIFI model constructed using the three FR-DEGs (Figures 3A–C). All AUC values of the three prognosis models at 1, 3, 5, and 10 years were over 0.6 (Figures 3A–C).

We compared the expression of candidate signatures between the high and low CIFI groups in the corresponding models (Figures 3D–F). The correlation analysis indicated that three FR-DEGs (DUOX1, HELLs, and SCD) were significantly correlated with the CIFI value of the FR model (Figure 3G), two IR-DEGs (FLT1 and DUOX1) were significantly correlated with the CIFI value of the IR model (Figure 3H), and two FI-DEGs (DUOX1 and SCD) were significantly correlated with the CIFI value of the FI model (Figure 3I).

Independent Prognostic Value of the Comprehensive Index of Ferroptosis and Immune Status Classifier

To determine whether these CIFI models could serve as independent prognosis models for patients with CESC, clinical features (including age and pathological TNM) and the three CIFI models were used to perform univariate Cox regression analysis. As illustrated in Figures 4A–C, the clinical features and three CIFI models were significantly correlated with the OS of CESC patients (Figures 4A–C). Then, multivariate Cox regression analysis was performed, and the results indicated that the models based on six IR-DEGs or eight FI-DEGs were still correlated with OS (Figures 4D–F).

Moreover, the AUC values of the CIFI models based on six IR-DEGs or eight FI-DEGs were higher than those of the model based on clinical features (Figures 3B, C, 4G–J). The AUC values for the three CIFI models were 0.6226, 0.7008, and 0.7006, with sensitivities of 0.6250, 0.7917, and 0.6667 and specificities of 0.6121, 0.5603, and 0.6767, respectively (Table 1). The sensitivity and specificity of the model based on eight FI-DEGs were higher than those of the model based on three FR-DEGs. The sensitivity of the model based on six IR-DEGs was the highest, but the specificity was less than 0.6. Comparatively, the CIFI model based on the eight FI-DEGs may be the most suitable. Therefore, we used only this model in the following analysis.
We investigated how different clinical features were associated with the expression of candidate prognostic signatures and the CIFI values of the FI-DEG model. As illustrated in Figure 5, SDC1 expression was significantly different between N0 and N1 patients with CESC. The CIFI values were significantly different between the T1+2 and T3+4 groups.

**Association Between Tumor Immune Infiltration and Comprehensive Index of Ferroptosis and Immune Status**

To characterize the relationship between the CIFI value and tumor immune infiltration, we utilized the ESTIMATE package in R 3.6.2 to calculate the stromal score, immune score, and tumor purity. As illustrated in Figures 6A–D, the stromal score, tumor purity, and ESTIMATE score were significantly different between the normal group and the patients with CESC. Moreover, the stromal score, immune score, and tumor purity were significantly correlated with the ESTIMATE score (Figure 7A).

Compared with CESC patients with low CIFI values, those with high CIFI values exhibited significantly decreased stromal scores, immune scores, and ESTIMATE scores, as well as significantly increased tumor purity (Figures 6E–H). The CIFI value was significantly correlated with the immune score, tumor purity, and ESTIMATE score (Figure 7B).

Since we initially examined the DEGs correlated with ferroptosis and immunity, we then investigated the correlation between CIFI and levels of tumor-infiltrating immune cells and immune-related factors. We found that the levels of 26 tumor-infiltrating immune cells and immune-related factors were different between the normal group and CESC patients (Supplementary Table 2), and 12 of the 26 were different between CESC patients with high and low CIFI values (Figure 6I). We found that the microenvironment score_XCELL was significantly correlated with CIFI (Figure 7C).
DISCUSSION

Cervical cancer is the fourth most frequently diagnosed cancer and the fourth leading cause of cancer-related deaths in women (1). Despite a series of advances in the prevention, screening, and treatment of cervical cancer, there has been no significant improvement in the improvement of cervical cancer outcomes (3, 4). Patients with metastatic or recurrent cervical cancer have a poor prognosis, with a 5-year OS rate of only 17% (2). Almost 15% of cervical cancers are CESC (6). Therefore, it is important to find suitable biomarkers that can predict the occurrence or prognosis of CESC. Previous studies have demonstrated that the dysregulation of ferroptosis and immunity could play an important role in the progression of CESC. In the present study, we aimed to screen FI-DEGs to identify suitable prognostic biomarkers for CESC. The prognosis model constructed using eight FI-DEGs performed better than the models constructed using three FR-DEGs or six IR-DEGs. The

| Table 1 | Prognosis models for distinguishing patients with CESC from alive patients. |
|---------|---------------------------------|
| FR-DEG CIFI model | IR-DEG CIFI model | FI-DEG CIFI model |
| Predicted death | Real death | Real alive | Real death | Real alive | Real death | Real alive |
| Predicted alive | 27 | 142 | 15 | 130 | 24 | 157 |
| Total | 72 | 232 | 72 | 232 | 72 | 232 |
| Correct | 65 | 142 | 67 | 130 | 48 | 157 |
| Sensitivity | 0.6250 | 0.7917 | 0.6667 | 0.5603 | 0.6667 | 0.6767 |
| Specificity | 0.6121 | 0.7917 |

CESC, cervical squamous cell carcinoma and endocervical adenocarcinoma; FR-DEG, ferroptosis-related differentially expressed gene; CIFI, comprehensive index of ferroptosis and immune status; IR-DEG, immune-related differentially expressed gene; FI-DEG, ferroptosis- and immune-related differentially expressed gene.
FI-DEG prognosis model independently predicted the outcome of CESC.

In CESC patients, the expression of CHIT1, DUOX1, HELLS, SCD, and SDC1 was increased significantly, while that of CALCRL, DES, and FLT1 was decreased significantly, compared with that in the normal group. Chitotriosidase (CHIT1), encoded by CHIT1 gene, is a member of the chitinase family. Li et al. found that the variations rs61745299 and rs35920428 within the CDS region of CHIT1 were associated with the risk of colorectal cancer (CRC). These two variations significantly increased the expression of CHIT1 and were associated with CRC (16).

Dual oxidase 1 (DUOX1) is a member of the protein family of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate oxidases. Previous evidence has shown that DUOX1 is frequently downregulated in lung and liver cancers. However, Zhang et al. found that knockdown of DUOX2 inhibited the invasion and migration of CRC cells by affecting the ubiquitination status of the ribosomal protein uL3 (17). In the present study, we found that DUOX1 expression was increased in patients with CESC, which is consistent with Cho’s previous report (18). These results suggest that DUOX1 may play different roles in different cancers, and it is also possible that the abnormal DUOX1 expression was only the result of CESC, rather than the cause.

Helicase, lymphoid-specific (HELLS) is a chromatin remodeling enzyme. Overexpression of HELLS was correlated with more aggressive clinical-pathological features and poorer prognosis (19). In retinoblastoma, Zocchi et al. found that downregulation of HELLS could drastically reduce the ectopic division of differentiating cells in Rb1/p107-null retinae, significantly decrease the incidence of retinoblastoma, delay tumor progression, and increase OS (20). However, in pancreatic cancer, Hou found that HELLS is upregulated; downregulation leads to tumor growth arrest and increased sensitivity to cisplatin (21). Additionally, Zhu et al. found that HELLS levels are increased in lung cancer. Overexpression of HELLS is correlated with the prognosis of lung cancer, indicating that HELLS may be a potential diagnostic biomarker for lung cancer (22). In the present study, we found that HELLS expression was upregulated. Patients with high HELLS expression displayed a better OS. These results indicate that HELLS plays different roles in the progression of different cancers.

Stearoyl-CoA desaturase (SCD) is an enzyme that catalyzes the rate-limiting step in monounsaturated fatty acid synthesis. SCD is highly expressed in ovarian cancer tissues and cell lines and inhibits SCD-induced cell death (23). The results from the study by Tesfay indicated that SCD inhibition could be an effective component of antitumor therapy (23). Other studies have also shown that SCD can promote cancer cell proliferation, migration, and metastasis (24, 25).

SDC1 is a transmembrane heparan sulfate proteoglycan and a member of the syndecan proteoglycan family. Previous studies have demonstrated that SDC1 has different effects in different cancers. For example, reduced expression of SDC1 could lead to advanced stages of gastric cancer and CRC, while increased expression could promote the growth and proliferation of pancreatic and breast cancers (26). Regardless of its inhibitory or activating effects, these studies indicate that SDC1 plays a pivotal role in cancer. Moreover, SDC1 could serve as a prognostic biomarker for various cancers, such as hepatocellular carcinoma, gastric cancer, laryngeal cancer, and squamous cell lung carcinoma (27–29). In the present study, we found that SDC1 was upregulated in CESC patients, and those with high expression of SDC1 displayed worse OS. The correlation between SDC1 expression and the OS of CESC patients was independent. Our results indicated that SDC1 could be a diagnostic and prognostic biomarker for CESC.
Calcitonin receptor-like (CALCRL) is a G-protein-coupled neuropeptide receptor. CALCRL was increased in some tumor types, such as acute myeloid leukemia, Kaposi’s sarcoma, and Ewing sarcoma (30–32). High expression of CALCRL has been shown to be associated with poor prognosis in acute myeloid leukemia. Angenendt et al. found that knockout of CALCRL significantly impaired colony formation in human myeloid leukemia cell lines, indicating that CALCRL may be a potential therapeutic target in AML (33). Additionally, Larrue et al. found that CALCRL depletion reduces leukemic stem cell frequency in relapse-initiating cells post-chemotherapy in vivo (34). These results indicate that CALCRL is closely associated with cancer. In the present study, we found that CALCRL expression was decreased in CESC patients, although those with low CALCRL expression displayed a better OS. Although the results of our study are inconsistent with those of previous studies of other cancers, they further support a close relationship between CALCRL and cancer. Our results also suggest that CALCRL may play different roles in different cancers.

FLT-1, also known as vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 1 (VEGFR-1), is a high-affinity tyrosine kinase receptor for VEGF. Previous studies have demonstrated that FLT1 expression is significantly correlated with OS in several cancers, including CRC, cholangiocarcinoma, and esophageal cancer (35–37). Additionally, Han et al. found that FLT1-rs9513111 was associated with a decreased risk of cervical
cancer (38). Lv et al. found that the expression of FLT1 was significantly correlated with the proliferation and invasion of trophoblast cells (39). FLT1 can inhibit proliferation, migration, and invasion of several cell types, such as CRC cells and trophoblast cells (39, 40). In the present study, we found that FLT1 expression was independently correlated with the OS of CESC patients and could be used as a biomarker for CESC. Our present study reinforced that FLT1 may play an important role in the progression of CESC.

Arentz found that desmin (DES) expression was significantly increased in stage I and III tumors. In pancreatobiliary studies, Shin et al. found that DES could be used as a prognostic biomarker. We found that DES was downregulated in CESC patients. CESC patients with low DES expression had poorer survival than those with high expression.

CONCLUSION

We found that the expression of eight FI-DEGs was independently correlated with the OS of CESC patients. The model constructed using these eight FI-DEGs was correlated with the OS of CESC patients and effectively predicted outcomes. These results indicated that the eight FI-DEGs may serve as prognostic and diagnostic biomarkers for CESC. However, further research is needed to determine how these genes affect CESC progression by ferroptosis and immunity regulation and whether they can be used for clinical prognosis.
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