Selenium and mercury in the hair of raccoons (*Procyon lotor*) and European wildcats (*Felis s. silvestris*) from Germany and Luxembourg
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**Abstract**
This study examined the concentration of total mercury (THg) and selenium (Se), as well as the molar ratio of Se:THg in hair samples of terrestrial animals. THg and Se concentrations were measured from the hair of raccoons (*Procyon lotor*) and European wildcats (*Felis s. silvestris*) from Germany and Luxembourg. Median THg concentrations in hair from raccoons and wildcats were 0.369 and 0.273 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dry weight (dw), respectively. Se concentrations were higher in the hair of raccoons than of wildcats (0.851 and 0.641 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dw, respectively). Total mercury concentration in hair of raccoons from Luxembourg was almost 5× higher that found in hair of raccoons from Germany; however, Se concentration was similar. Thus, molar ratio of Se:THg was ~4× higher in the hair of raccoons from Germany than those from Luxembourg. Significant negative correlation was found between THg concentration and Se:THg molar ratio in both wildcats and raccoons.
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**Introduction**
Mercury (Hg) is a chemical element with well-established toxic effects on humans and other terrestrial mammals, reaches its highest concentrations in predatory fish, piscivorous birds, and mammals (Eisler 2000; Scheuhammer et al. 2007; Wiener et al. 2003). Terrestrial vertebrates can absorb Hg through their skin, respiratory, and digestive systems (Graeme and Pollack 1998). Mercury accumulates in internal organs, especially the brain, causing neurotoxicity and impairing development (Castoldi et al. 2001; Davis et al. 1994; Graeme and Pollack 1998). Selenium (Se) is an essential micronutrient for humans and animals (Fordyce 2013; Mao et al. 2016; Thomson 2004). It has numerous important biological functions, serving as the active center of selenoenzymes and selenoproteins, playing an integral role in energy metabolism and gene expression, and functioning in multiple antioxidant, immunoregulatory, and antagonistic roles (Izquierdo et al. 2010; Roman et al. 2014). Selenium has long been recognized for its potential in reducing the toxicity of Hg compounds and has been postulated as a core element in the in vivo demethylation
pathway of methylmercury (Bjørklund et al. 2017; Khan and Wang 2010). In animals, interactions between Hg and Se depend mainly on the chemical form and concentration of both elements in the environment duration of exposure, and the species in question (Bjerrregaard et al. 2011; Cuvin-Aralar and Furness 1991). A possible pathway for the in vivo demethylation of methylmercury (MeHg) involving Se compounds has been observed, producing the Hg-Se complex as its final degradation product (Khan and Wang 2010). The Hg-Se complex has been observed in the liver, kidney, and brain of marine mammals indicating that this pathway may be active (Lailson-Brito et al. 2012). The Hg:Se molar ratio in animal tissues is an intensively investigated parameter in laboratory and field studies (Cuvin-Aralar and Furness 1991; Raymond and Ralston 2004). Although numerous studies indicate that Se protects against Hg toxicity, studies have also documented that Hg exposure reduced the activity of Se-dependent enzymes and suggested a role of Hg–Se interactions in developmental pathophysiology (Ohlendorf and Heinz 2011; Raymond and Ralston 2004). Nonetheless, this ratio is commonly used to evaluate the susceptibility of animals to Hg toxicity.

Most studies have measured Hg and Se concentrations in internal mammalian tissues, such as the liver and kidney, but there exist effective non-invasive methods for bioindication studies utilizing cutaneous tissues, such as hair, fur and wool. It has been shown that total Hg concentration (THg) in hair is highly correlated with THg in blood and is thought to be marker of THg levels in circulation (Castellini et al. 2012; Lieske et al. 2011; Rea et al. 2013).

Similar relationships are observed in the case of Se. For this reason, some researchers indicate that Se concentration in animal hair may be successfully used to diagnose both deficiency and a high level of this element in the organism (Clark et al. 1989, Pilarczyk et al. 2019). In the available literature there are a lot of works indicating that hair is useful non-invasive matrix for Se biomonitoring in animal and human body. For example, Górski et al. (2018) found that increase oral intake of Se caused a significant increase (p ≤ 0.05) in the Se concentration in the hair of animals. In turn, Cho and Yang (2018), based on their observation, suggested that hair better reflects Se status in organism than serum and therefore they recommend use of hair, as one of matrixes, to full analysis of selenium status. In addition, significant correlations between Se and Hg in hair was reported (Soares de Campos et al. 2002).

Many medium sized mammals widely distributed in forest, agricultural and urban landscapes of the central Europe, with same species introduced into areas beyond their natural occurrence (Kalisinska 2019). Raccoons Procyon lotor (Linnaeus, 1758) are bioindicators of environmental contamination, including THg, due to their omnivorous diets and dependence on both aquatic and terrestrial systems for food, making them susceptible to THg biomagnification from consumption of contaminated lower-trophic-level organisms (Bigler et al. 1975; Herbert and Peterle 1990; Lord et al. 2002). The raccoon is a Central and North American carnivore that was introduced into Germany around 70 years ago (Stubbe 1993). The role of the European wildcat (Felis silvestris Schreber, 1777), feeds animal prey, in bioindication has not yet been researched. The distribution area of the European wildcat, a medium-sized carnivore is composed of disconnected populations extending from the Iberian Peninsula over southern and central Europe into eastern Europe (Herrmann et al. 2007; Klar et al. 2008). The wildcat is one of the most endangered carnivore species in Europe. The population density declined and the distribution area became fragmented over the last century due loss of habitat, illegal hunting and road kills (Sahl and Artois 1994). The wildcat is a solitary and territorial species and home areas are quite big, range from 175 ha to more than 2000 ha, higher for males (Biró et al. 2004). Fragmentation of the natural habitat can influence migration and possibilities of finding new areas for young individuals. Some treat for young cats are also larger predators, such as foxes, wolves, other cats, and large birds of prey, such as owls and hawks, however adults are secretive and agile and can protect themselves from animals larger than themselves (Nowak 1997). Another treat for wild living populations is mixing with domestic cats and wildcat is facing similar threats across its range in mainland Europe (Pierpaoli et al. 2003). Such genetic mixing may result in individuals that are less well adapted (Rhymer and Simberloff 1996).

The size of the animal population depends on their reproductive success, and it depends on many factors, including exposure to harmful compounds or mineral deficiencies. Excessive Hg and Se concentration in animals results in disturbances in reproduction. In case of Hg, the negative relationship between Hg concentrations and the propensity and ability of adult females to reproduce was reported (Beau et al. 2019). These disorders are explained by endocrine disruptive properties of Hg. In turn Silva et al. (2019) reported that even environmental levels of Hg affects spermatozoa function and fertility capacity in sperm. Also, Se deficiency is related to the problem of reproduction in both males (Ahsan et al. 2014) and females (Qazi et al. 2018).

Considering that Se is capable of metabolic interaction with Hg, it seems to be interesting to assess molar concentrations of THg in relation to Se concentration in raccoons (omnivores) and wildcats (carnivores). Though well-studied in piscivorous animals, reports on the hair levels of THg and Se and the interactions between them in raccoons and wildcats are currently missing from European literature. Therefore, the aim of the study was to investigate the
concentration of THg and Se, and Se:THg molar ratio in hair samples taken from raccoons and wildcats.

Material and methods

Areas of study

Samples were collected from three areas in Germany (Hesse, Saxony and Baden-Württemberg) and one area in Luxembourg (Fig. 1). The first of the areas studied corresponded to the city of Kassel (106.78 km²), the largest city in the northern state of Hesse (51° 18′N, 9°29′E). The city is located in an extended valley on the Fulda cap River due to its geographical location in the valley, the Fulda often floods into Kassel and adjacent urban areas. Almost half of this area is cultivated (construction area 35% and transport 13%) and 32% of the area is forest, park and green areas. The expansion of the city in the 20th century saw the settlement and expansion of heavy industry, mechanical and automotive engineering, and the arms industry.

The second investigation area, Mücka, is a small Upper Lusatian municipality in the Saxony district of Görlitz (51° 18′N, 14°42′E). Mücka is located in the center of the large 300 km² forest and pond-rich landscape of the biosphere reserve Oberlausitzer Heide- und Teichlandschaft. This is the most pond-rich area in Germany with about 50% forest and 27.5 km² comprised of ponds, streams and other waters. This area is characterized by riparian forests, moor, pagan, and dune landscapes. Mücka is located 15 km southeast of the Boxberg power plant, a brown coal power plant in the Lusatian brown coal mining district, which, according to the 2016 reporting year, had mercury emissions of 512 kg per year (www.thru.de).

The small town of Geislingen an der Steige (75.83 km²) is located on the edge of the Middle Swabian Jura in the district of Göppingen, in Baden-Württemberg (48°37′N, 9°49′E). The city is located in the Fils River valley, where they experience occasional flooding. The study area is mainly characterized by agricultural land (52%) and forest (33%). The settlement area covers about 7% and water <1% of the area.

Luxembourg is one of the smallest European countries with an area of 2,586 km². The north of the country (Oesling) is a part of the Ardennes and is characterized by wooded mountains, hills, and deep river valleys. In the south and center of the country is the Gutland region, comprising 68% of the Luxembourg territory. Forests and agricultural areas cover 85% of the total land. Most industry in Luxembourg is found in Gutland. In addition to a large iron mill in Differdange, there are also companies producing chemical goods (such as car tires, plastics (synthetic materials), synthetic fibers, glass, ceramics). An investigation of four fish species from seven rivers (Syraabach, Sûre, Our, Wark, Wiltz, Troine, Clervie) in northern Luxembourg (Oesling) showed mercury levels of 10.3 to 534.5 ng g⁻¹ wet weight (wt) (Boscher et al. 1996).

Animal hair sample preparation

This study was performed on the carcasses of adult raccoons from Germany (n = 18) and Luxembourg (n = 10) and wildcats from Luxembourg (n = 15) collected between 2015 and 2016. The wildcats had been killed in traffic and the raccoons were legally hunted.

Samples of hair were collected from the back near the shoulder blades. Approximately 4 g of hair were collected from each animal. Samples were stored in polyvinyl bags until further processing. Hair samples weighing 0.5 g each were washed according to the International Atomic Energy Agency recommended procedure (IAEA 1978) with acetone, then deionised water, and then again with acetone to remove adhered dirt and organic materials. Hair samples were then dried in an oven at 110 °C for 12 h (Curi et al. 2012).

Hair THg and Se analysis

Total mercury concentrations were determined using atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS). The assays were run in an AMA 254 mercury analyzer (Altach Ltd, Czech Republic) in accordance with the procedure described by Lanocha et al. (2014). The detection limit for this device is 0.01 ng THg. The analytical procedure was checked by determining THg concentrations in samples of two reference materials: DOLT-4 (Dogfish Liver Certified Reference Material for Trace Metals, Canadian Irradiation Centre, Laval, Quebec; n = 3) and 8414 NIST (Bovine Muscle Powder National Institute of Standards and Technology NIST, Canada; n = 5). The recovery rates were 99.2% and 108% for DOLT-4 and 8414 NIST, respectively.

Selenium concentrations were measured using spectrofluorimetry. Samples were digested in HNO₃ at 230 °C for 180 min and in HClO₄ at 310 °C for 20 min. Then, 9% HCl was added to reduce Se⁶⁺ to Se⁴⁺. Selenium was determined by reaction with 2,3-diaminonaphthalene (Sigma Aldrich) under controlled pH conditions (pH 1–2) producing selenodiazole complex, which was then extracted into cyclohexane. More details of the analytical procedures are given by Pilarczyk et al. (2010). Selenium concentration was determined fluorometrically using a RF-5001 PC Shimadzu spectrophotofluorometer. The excitation wavelength was 376 nm and the fluorescence emission wavelength was 518 nm. The detection limit of Se was 0.003 μg g⁻¹. The procedure was validated with certified reference material.
NCS ZC 71001 (bovine liver, China National Analysis Center for Iron and Steel, Beijing, China; \( n = 5 \)). The mean Se concentration was 90% of the reference value. Measured Se and THg concentrations were expressed as mg kg\(^{-1}\) dry weight (dw).

**Se:THg molar ratio calculation**

Dry weight measurements were converted to molar concentrations by the following formula: molar concentration (nmol g\(^{-1}\)) = concentration (nmol g\(^{-1}\)) \times 1000/atomic weight (g mol\(^{-1}\)). Atomic weights of Se and THg are 78.96 g mol\(^{-1}\) and 200.59 g mol\(^{-1}\), respectively. The calculated Se and THg concentrations were used to calculate Se:THg molar ratio (Kalisinska et al. 2017).

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using Stat Soft Statistica 12.0 and Microsoft Excel 2016. Arithmetic means (AM), standard deviations of the AM (SD), median (Med), and minimum/maximum (ranges) were calculated. Distribution of empirical data on THg and Se concentrations in the hair of the studied animals diverged from the expected normal distribution, as shown by the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test with Lilliefors correction. Therefore, in comparisons of
mean values of THg and Se concentration, nonparametric Mann–Whitney U tests were used. In addition, Spearman’s rank correlation coefficients \( R \) were calculated for the relations between THg levels, Se levels, and Se:THg molar ratio in the collected hair, and weight of the animals, as well as between the analyzed elements. Statistical significance was determined at \( p < 0.05 \).

**Results**

The concentrations of THg and Se, and Se:THg molar ratio in the hair of the raccoons and wildcats are presented in Table 1. The total mercury levels were higher in hair from raccoons, but the difference was not statistically significant. The selenium concentrations were higher in the hair of raccoons than of wildcats. The THg concentration in the hair of raccoons from Luxembourg was almost 5× higher than those from Germany. Se concentration was similar in animals from both countries. The molar ratio of Se:THg was ~4× higher in the hair of the raccoons from Germany than those from Luxembourg.

Measured concentrations of analyzed elements and Se:THg molar ratio with respect to animal sex are presented in Table 2. The total mercury in hair of female wildcats was ~1.4× higher than in male wildcats, and more than 2× higher in male raccoons than in female raccoons, but these differences were not statistically significant. Se concentration was similar in the hair of male and female wildcats as well as between male and female raccoons.

Significant negative correlation coefficients were found for the relationship between THg and Se:THg molar ratio in wildcats \( (R = -0.97; p < 0.05) \) and raccoons \( (R = -0.93; p < 0.05) \). There were no correlations in either wildcats or raccoons for Se-THg or Se-Se:THg.

**Discussion**

Hair is a keratinaceous substance, rich in sulphydryl-containing amino acids that avidly bind certain trace elements. Mercury and selenium, in particular, have an affinity for the sulfur-rich amino acids in hair, and tend to be found in high concentrations there (Burger et al. 1994). Hair is commonly used to monitor trace element concentrations because it can easily be collected from both live and dead animals (Lord et al. 2002). Moreover, changes in trace elements in skin formations may reflect age-related changes in metabolic profiles across the life span and thus, may also be used to predict risk of age-related chronic diseases (Ambeskovic et al. 2013). Some researchers have found that hair THg levels are correlated with THg concentrations in the kidney and liver (Cumbie 1975; Halbrook et al. 1994); however, reports also exist to the contrary (Lord et al. 2002). Many studies have focused on measuring Hg concentration in the hair of piscivorous mammals such as the mink and otter (Table 3). In wild animal hair Hg occurs mainly as methylmercury and organic mercury comprising 80% and 20%, respectively. There, MeHg is incorporated into the cornified epithelium during hair growth where it is not biologically available, but remains an indicator of previous levels of exposure (Wang et al. 2014).

Smaller mammals, such as mink, cats, otters, and raccoons appear to be more resistant to Hg than larger animals,

| Table 1 | The total mercury (THg) and selenium (Se) concentrations and Se:THg molar ratio in the hair of raccoons and wildcats from Germany and Luxembourg (AM arithmetic mean, Med median, SD standard deviation, U–Mann–Whitney U test, \( p \)-level of significance, NS non-significant difference, THg and Se concentration are expressed in mg kg\(^{-1}\) dw, molar concentration in brackets) |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
|          | THg                | Se                | Se:THg                |
| Raccoons | Total \( (n = 28) \) | AM ± SD           | 1.512 ± 1.731 (0.006 ± 0.009) | 0.840 ± 0.276 (0.012 ± 0.003) | 6.600 ± 5.908 |
|          |                    | Med               | 0.369 (0.002)         | 0.851 (0.011)        | 5.324        |
|          |                    | Range             | 0.098–7.461 (0.000–0.037) | 0.386–1.498 (0.005–0.019) | 0.351–24.160 |
|          | Germany \( (n = 18) \) | AM ± SD           | 0.483 ± 0.704 (0.002 ± 0.004) | 0.802 ± 0.321 (0.013 ± 0.004) | 8.936 ± 6.080 |
|          |                    | Med               | 0.221 (0.001)         | 0.747 (0.009)        | 7.630        |
|          |                    | Range             | 0.098–2.805 (0.000–0.014) | 0.386–1.498 (0.005–0.019) | 0.416–24.160 |
|          | Luxembourg \( (n = 10) \) | AM ± SD           | 2.358 ± 2.353 (0.012 ± 0.012) | 0.930 ± 0.147 (0.012 ± 0.002) | 2.395 ± 2.076 |
|          |                    | Med               | 1.508 (0.008)         | 0.922 (0.012)        | 1.967        |
|          |                    | Range             | 0.394–7.461 (0.002–0.037) | 0.674–1.120 (0.009–0.014) | 0.351–6.233 |
| Wildcats | Luxembourg \( (n = 15) \) | AM ± SD           | 0.685 ± 1.007 (0.003 ± 0.005) | 0.678 ± 0.189 (0.009 ± 0.002) | 10.979 ± 14.743 |
|          |                    | Med               | 0.273 (0.001)         | 0.641 (0.008)        | 4.037        |
|          |                    | Range             | 0.035–3.669 (0.000–0.018) | 0.338–1.026 (0.004–0.013) | 0.427–49.735 |
| Raccoon vs. wildcats | | NS | | | |
| Raccoon: Germany vs. Luxembourg | | U = 17 \( p < 0.001 \) | | | |
| Wildcat: Germany vs. Luxembourg | | U = 24 \( p < 0.001 \) | | | |
likely due to differences in the rate of metabolism and a higher rate of detoxification (Eisler 2006; Lanocha et al. 2014). It has been estimated that THg concentrations ranging from 1 to 5 mg kg\(^{-1}\) in the hair of piscivorous mammals represent normal background levels (Sheffy and St. Amant 1982). Based on a literature review, the US Fish and Wildlife Service proposed that a level of 1.1 mg kg\(^{-1}\) ww (5.5 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dw) in hair and organs with central roles in detoxification “should be considered as presumptive evidence of an environmental mercury problem” (Eisler 2000; Lanocha et al. 2014). Moreover, hair THg levels of 30 mg kg\(^{-1}\) have been identified as the lowest observed adverse effect level (LOAEL) for terrestrial mammalian wildlife (Basu et al. 2007; Crowley et al. 2018; Evers et al. 2007).

Ecotoxicological studies with raccoons, conducted mainly in North America, have examined mainly the liver, kidney, and, less frequently, the hair (Lanocha et al. 2014; Lord et al. 2002; Porcella et al. 2004; Souza et al. 2013). Literature shows hair THg levels in piscivorous mammals, such as the raccoon, ranging from ~0.3 to ~13 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dw (Table 3). In this study, average THg concentration in raccoons was 1.5 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dw, similar to that reported by Lord et al. (2002) in the hair of raccoons from the U.S. Department of Energy’s Savannah River Site Steel Creek delta, an area heavily polluted with Hg compounds. In our study, the highest THg levels (~7.5 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dw) were found in raccoons from the forested and agricultural areas near Jüngrinster, Luxembourg. Increased concentrations of various elements in the fields, including organic forms of Hg, may possibly lead to changes in animal organ function, thus increasing tissue Hg levels (Strickman and Mitchell 2017).

We have not found existing reports on levels of trace elements in the hair of European wildcats. Measured THg in felids in non-contaminated areas does not exceed 30 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dw (May Júnior et al. 2017), while in contaminated areas it ranges from 46 to 673 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dw (Kitamura 1968; May Júnior et al. 2017). In this study, average THg concentration in European wildcats was 0.73 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dw, about 40x lower than in the hair of jaguars from a reference area in Brazil. The highest observed THg content in feline hair was recorded in jaguars from a region affected by gold mining and Hg contamination (May Júnior et al. 2017).

Although Se plays an important role in hair growth, little is known about its levels in skin formations. Hair, similar to bone tissue, may reflect the long-term Se level in the organism. Selenium-deficient rat pups display a slower growth rate and sparse hair growth (Bates et al. 2000). Sengupta et al. (2010) credit selenoproteins for the protective roles of selenium in skin and establish that deficiencies in selenoproteins beget most skin abnormalities associated with selenium deficiency. In raccoon hair, Se may be excreted at a higher rate than in other animals (Souza et al. 2013). In our study, hair median Se levels in the raccoon and European wildcat were 0.851 and 0.641 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dw, respectively, a statistically significant difference. Higher Se concentration in raccoon hair was likely a result of higher absorption of plant Se, resulting from a diet containing a significant amount of plants.

Selenium concentration similar to that found in the present study was noted by Clark et al. (1989) in the hair of raccoons from and area known to have a low Se concentration (Volta Wildlife Area, California, USA). They
Table 3 Comparison of mercury (Hg) and selenium (Se) concentration in hair of raccoons (*Procyon lotor*), Eurasian otter (*Lutra lutra*), river otter (*Lontra canadensis*), giant otter (*Pteronura brasiliensis*), mink (*Neovison vison*), muskrat (*Ondatra zibethicus*), jaguar (*Panthera onca*), cats (*Felis s. catus*), golden jackal (*Canis aureus*), red fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) and arctic fox (*Vulpes lagopus*) collected in different regions of the world (*n* number of samples, *dw* dry weight, *ww* wet weight, *ad* adults, *imm* immature, *M* male, *F* female)

| Species                      | n  | Diet                                                                 | Localization                                      | Hg concentration | Se concentration | References                  |
|------------------------------|----|----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------------------|
| **Terrestrial and omnivorous** |    |                                                                     |                                                   |                   |                  |                              |
| Raccoons (*Procyon lotor*)   | 10 | ~44% mammals, 26% fish and frogs, 12% invertebrates, 2% plant material (Drygala et al. 2014, Goszczynski et al. 2000) | Emory River embayment of Watts Bar Reservoir in east Tennessee, USA unexposed to coal fly ash | 0.3 mg kg⁻¹ ww    | 2.85 mg kg⁻¹ ww  | Souza et al. 2013           |
|                              | 10 | Exposed to coal fly ash in 2009                                     |                                                   | 0.36 mg kg⁻¹ ww   | 3.25 mg kg⁻¹ ww  |                              |
|                              | 10 | Exposed to coal fly ash in 2010                                     |                                                   | 0.47 mg kg⁻¹ ww   | 4.55 mg kg⁻¹ ww  |                              |
|                              | 8  | Kesterson Reservoir, California, USA (Se contaminated irrigation drainwater) |                                                   | 28.3 mg kg⁻¹ dw   |                  | Clark et al. 1989           |
|                              | 4  | Volta Wildlife Area, California, USA                                |                                                   | 0.93 mg kg⁻¹ dw    |                  |                              |
|                              | 14 | U.S. Department of Energy’s Savannah River Site Steel Creek delta  |                                                   | 1.65 mg kg⁻¹ dw    |                  | Lord et al. 2002            |
|                              | 18 | Upper three runs                                                   |                                                   | 1.49 mg kg⁻¹ dw    |                  |                              |
|                              | 20 | Pond B                                                             |                                                   | 0.89 mg kg⁻¹ dw    |                  |                              |
|                              | 16 | Ash basins                                                         |                                                   | 1.00 mg kg⁻¹ dw    |                  |                              |
|                              | 24 | Offsite                                                            |                                                   | 0.65 mg kg⁻¹ dw    |                  |                              |
|                              | 11 | South Florida, USA                                                 |                                                   | 10.6 mg kg⁻¹ ww    |                  | Porcella et al. 2004        |
| **Semiaquatic and piscivorous** |    |                                                                     |                                                   |                   |                  |                              |
| Eurasian otter (*Lutra lutra*) | 36 | Seasonally up to 98% fish, 1.2% amphibians (Krawczyk et al. 2011; Sidorovich et al. 1998) | UK                                                | 18.75 mg kg⁻¹ dw  |                  | Mason et al. 1986           |
| River otter (*Lontra canadensis*) | 27 | Fish, crayfish, frogs, crabs, birds, eggs (Day et al. 2015)         | Wisconsin Northern zone                           | 7.051 µg g⁻¹ ww   |                  | Strom 2008                  |
|                              | 35 |                                                                     | Central zone                                      | 6.041 µg g⁻¹ ww   |                  |                              |
|                              | 20 |                                                                     | Southern zone                                     | 3.401 µg g⁻¹ ww   |                  |                              |
|                              | 41 | South-Central Ontario, Canada                                      |                                                    | 78.6 mg kg⁻¹ ww   |                  | Evans et al. 2000           |
|                              | 18 | Herschel                                                           |                                                    | 10.674 mg g⁻¹ dw  |                  | Evans et al. 1998           |
|                              | 9  | Harcourt                                                           |                                                    | 9202 ng g⁻¹ dw    |                  |                              |
|                              | 12 | Cardiff                                                            |                                                    | 8866 ng g⁻¹ dw    |                  |                              |
|                              | 13 | Wallbridge                                                         |                                                    | 9718 ng g⁻¹ dw    |                  |                              |
|                              | 55 | Ware Co.                                                           |                                                    | 25.55 mg kg⁻¹ ww  |                  | Halbrook et al. 1994        |
|                              | 36 | Echols Co.                                                         |                                                    | 22.95 mg kg⁻¹ ww  |                  |                              |
|                              | 34 | Piedmont                                                           |                                                    | 15.24 mg kg⁻¹ ww  |                  |                              |
|                              | 3  | Piedmont                                                           |                                                    | 15.9 mg kg⁻¹ dw   |                  | Cumbie 1975                 |
|                              | 6  | Lower Coastal Plain of Georgia                                     |                                                    | 37.6 mg kg⁻¹ dw   |                  | Wilkie et al. 2018          |
|                              | 33 | Central Saskatchewan, Canada                                       |                                                    | 9.68 mg kg⁻¹ ww   |                  |                              |
|                              | 71 | Ontario, Canada                                                    |                                                    | 7.9 mg kg⁻¹ dw    |                  | Klenavac et al. 2008        |
|                              | 80 | Quebec, Canada                                                    |                                                    | 16.0 mg kg⁻¹ dw   |                  |                              |
|                              | 48 | Nova Scotia, Canada                                                |                                                    | 38.0 mg kg⁻¹ dw   |                  |                              |
| Giant otter (*Pteronura brasiliensis*) | 2 | Manly fish, rarely mammals, amphibians, reptiles, birds, crustaceans (Carter and Rosas 1997) | Rio Negro River, Brasil                           | 2.94–3.68 mg kg⁻¹ dw |                  | Dias Fonseca et al. 2005   |
When we start to analyze the results of individual species in the table and their several degrees of contamination with heavy metals we can recognize distinct differences as well relationships. One of them is variance in Hg level between raccoon, which is an omnivorous species and semiaquatic species, like otter or grand otter. The results show clearly, that the bigger share of fish and water animals in the food is connected to higher percentage of Hg deposition in tissues of several predators. Also the results measured by cats in Japan preying on fish confirm the assumption. Raccoon, as omnivorous species in lower risk to be highly contaminated, but also this depends from the specific place. And so we can observe, that in areas with ash basins and coal fly ash even terrestrial individuals or animals hunting in high percentage on mammals can be laden with heavy metals. Similar results were observed in jaguar in Pantanal, what stays in connection to gold mining. When we also consider the results from Mednyi Island we can see several examples of contamination with Hg. The island belongs to Kamchatka, which main industries is although fishing and forestry, but also coal and other raw materials are extracted. It could be an explanation for the evidence of partial contamination in foxes, which avoid fish but despite this show in their foraging similarities to raccoons, and proofs that in Hg contaminated areas also terrestrial organism can be higher laden with this element. Some percentage of Hg contamination we can see also in Iceland. Although Iceland is not very industry country, but carnivores living there prey on birds eating fish and on this way can Hg contained in water get to into their bodies. Island lays in the straight line about 1200 km from Greenland, where iron, uranium, aluminium, nickel, platinum, tungsten, titanium and copper are mined (Nordic Labour Journal 2011; Guidelines for the Evaluation of Petroleum Reserves and Resources 2013).

Due to lack of Se results by other species we can observe similar relationships on example of raccoon. Also in this case higher tissues contamination can be connected to higher environmental and water pollution, like in Kesterson Reservoir, California,. Due to several investigations raccoons prey frequently in ¼ on fish, in which bodies heavy metals can be deposited.

### Table 3 (continued)

| Species | n | Diet | Localization | Hg concentration | Se concentration | References |
|---------|---|------|--------------|------------------|------------------|------------|
| **Mink** (*Neovison vison*) | 1 | Seasonally up to 62% fish, 56% mammals, 4-16% birds (Barrat et al. 2010, Bartoszewicz and Zalewski 2008) | South Saskatchewan River, Canada | 34.9 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dw | 34.9 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dw | Wobeser and Swift 1976 |
| 19 | South-Central Ontario, Canada | 75.0 mg kg\(^{-1}\) ww | Evans et al. 2000 |
| 25 | Ontario, Canada | 7.4 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dw | Klenavic et al. 2008 |
| 54 | Quebec, Canada | 24.0 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dw | |
| 65 | Nova Scotia, Canada | 24.0 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dw | |
| 1 | U.S. Department of Energy Oak Ridge Reservation, USA | 104.0 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dw | Stevens et al. 1997 |
| 3 | Bear Creek | 11.0 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dw | |
| 1 | White Oak Creek | 8.8 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dw | |
| 7 | Reference sites | 5.15 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dw | |
| 5 | Piedmont | 10.7 mg kg\(^{-1}\) dw | |
found much higher Se levels (28.3 mg kg$^{-1}$ dw) in raccoon hair from an Se-contaminated irrigation drain water zone (Kesterson Reservoir, California, USA). The lower Se concentrations in the raccoons and wildcats in our study may result from naturally low soil Se levels in Germany and Luxembourg (Reimann et al. 2014).

Levels of toxic elements, including Hg, in the hair, may be affected by biotic and abiotic factors including age, sex, sampling season, and feeding strategy (Treu et al. 2018). In the present study, no differences were found in THg and Se concentrations between male and female raccoons or European wildcats. This confirms the results of previous studies showing no sex-dependence of Hg concentration in the hair of cats (Sakai et al. 1995) and mink (Stevens et al. 1997). In contrast, a sex-related difference was observed in previous studies on the raccoon (Porcella et al. 2004) and panther (Newman et al. 2005).

Concentrations of elements in hair also depend on the physiological, hormonal, and individual characteristics of the species. The binding of trace elements to hair-forming proteins depends on the amount of protein, carbohydrates, and lipids supplied, as well as the penetration of these elements into cells through diet and their use in metabolic processes (Baumgartner et al. 1981). The raccoon diet consists mainly of a variety of molluscs, fish, amphibians, insects, birds, vegetables, and fruits, with some carrion and garbage (Bartoszewicz et al. 2008). The European wildcat is a medium-sized carnivore, preying on rodents, insectivores, lagomorphs, reptiles, insects, and eating some plants (Lozano et al. 2006; Moleon and Gil-Sanchez 2003; Sarmiento 1996). These dietary differences are likely responsible for the observed discrepancy between the concentrations of the investigated elements in the hair of these two animals.

Many reports stress the value of not only determining concentrations of Hg and Se, but also examining their molar ratio in wildlife. Tissue Se:THg molar ratios of <1 may be connected with a potential increase in Hg toxicity. In warm-blooded animals (specifically marine species), an important detoxification mechanism relies on the antagonistic impact of Se on Hg. Kalisinska et al. (2017) suggested that piscivores in Se-deficient areas are more exposed to Hg than fish and omnivores, further exacerbating the effect of this deficiency. Moreover, in the raccoons from Warta Mouth National Park (Poland), an area with moderate Hg pollution, it was found that Se:THg molar ratios in muscle spanned a wide range, from 0.73 to ~66. Data on Hg, Se, and Se:THg ratios in terrestrial mammals are limited. In the present study, Se:THg ratio in raccoon hair (Luxembourg and Germany) ranged from 0.351 to 24.16, and in wildcats (Luxembourg) it ranged from 0.427 to 41.11. In some individual specimens, the hair Se:THg molar ratio was <1, which could enhance THg toxicity. However, in most cases these values exceeded 1, thus likely effectively protecting against the adverse effects of Hg.

Some authors suggest that the molar ratio of Se:Hg in warm-blooded vertebrate tissues depends on the trophic group and species of the animal, the type of tissue being examined, and the area from which the animals came (Burger and Gochfeld 2013). In the presented study, statistically significant differences were found in Se:THg ratio between raccoon hair from Germany and from Luxembourg. The highest exposure to Hg is recorded in piscivorous animals, with Se:THg molar ratio in the organs of otters and minks not exceeding 1 (Kalisinska et al. 2017; Wren 1984). Our study suggests that omnivorous raccoons and predatory wildcats are less exposed to the toxic effects of Hg, likely due to a smaller share of fish in their diet, the main source of mercury for these animals, the rate of Hg absorption, and considerable intake of easily digestible Se from plants (50%) or meat (35%). It should be noted however, that regardless of species, Hg-polluted and Se-deficient areas may influence the Se:Hg ratio, possibly leading to toxic effects in wild mammals.

Conclusions

Analysis of the concentration of THg, Se and Se:THg molar ratio in the hair of raccoons (Procyon lotor) and European wildcats (Felis s. silvestris) from Germany and Luxembourg showed that: THg concentration was almost 5× higher in raccoons from Luxembourg than from Germany. Selenium concentration was significantly higher in raccoons than in wildcats. Sex does not influence the concentration of THg and Se in the hair of raccoons or European wildcats. Average Se:THg ratio was >1 in studied animals, which indicates that omnivorous raccoons and predatory wildcats are less exposed to the toxic effects of THg than piscivorous animals.
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