Impact of the Ultrasound-Guided Serratus Anterior Plane Block on Post-Mastectomy Pain: A Randomised Clinical Study
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Objective: A variety of methods, including neuraxial and regional blocks, have been used to manage post-mastectomy pain. This study evaluates the effect of serratus anterior plane block on acute pain after mastectomy.

Methods: A total of 60 patients who were candidates for mastectomy under general anaesthesia were divided in this trial into two groups. After entering the recovery room, the first-experimental-group (SAB) underwent the ultrasound-guided serratus anterior block, but the second-control-group (CTL) received no block intervention. An intravenous patient-controlled analgesia (PCA) device was used to deliver fentanyl in both groups. Using the Visual Analog Scale (VAS), the patients’ pain was assessed at intervals of 1, 6, 12 and 24 hours after entering the recovery room. Intravenous acetaminophen was administered as a rescue analgesic if the pain exceeded 3 on the VAS. A total amount of fentanyl consumed, the time to first PCA request, a total amount of acetaminophen consumption and possible adverse effects were evaluated.

Results: The pain scores assessed at the time intervals were not significantly different between the two groups (p>0.5). The total consumption of fentanyl was significantly lower in the SAB group than in the CTL group (p=0.0001). Duration of the time to first PCA request was remarkably longer in the SAB group than in the CTL group (p=0.0001). The total amount of acetaminophen consumed was notably higher in the CTL group than in the SAB group (p=0.001). None of the patients experienced side effects.

Conclusion: Undertaking serratus anterior block following mastectomy can reduce pain scores and lower opioid usage.
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Introduction

There are several ways to manage pain after mastectomy (1). Common systemic medications, particularly opioids, have different side effects, such as itching, nausea, vomiting and respiratory repression. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs are associated with impaired renal function and haemorrhagic disorders (2-6). Despite producing desirable analgesic effect, a thoracic epidural block may result in haemodynamic changes, including hypotension and decreased tidal volume (7). Regional blocks have led to a decreased need for analgesics, maintenance of haemodynamic stability, early ambulation and discharge of patients, and lower hospital costs (8-10). The post-mastectomy pain management can be performed using regional block techniques, for example, thoracic and intercostal blocks, which may be associated with potential complications of pneumothorax and nerve damage, however (11, 12). In some studies, the serratus anterior block has shown to cause chest wall analgesia lasting for 12 hours following breast surgery. It was also an effective and low-risk method to ameliorate post-thoracotomy acute pain (13-15). The ultrasound-guided serratus anterior block can increase the safety and speed up the procedure (16, 17). Considering limited studies on this technique, the authors decided to investigate the effect of ultrasound-guided serratus anterior block on post-mastectomy acute pain.

Methods

This study was conducted after obtaining an approval from the ethics committee of the Iran University of Medical Sciences with the reference number IR.IUMS.REC.1395.28929, and registering the trial online on the Irani-
an Registry of Clinical Trials’ website with the registry code IRCT2016011810599N6. Considering the alpha cut-off of 0.05 and power of 80% and \((d=\mu_1-\mu_2=1.2)\), \(Z_{\alpha/2}\) is dependent to level of significance which for 5% this is 1.96, \(Z_{\beta}\) is dependent on power and for 80% this is 0.84; so 30 patients in each group was calculated.

\[
n = \frac{(Z_{1-\alpha/2} + Z_{1-\beta})^2(s_1^2 + s_2^2)}{d^2}
\]

Having obtained informed written consent, 60 patients who were initially considered candidates for modified radical mastectomy, were assigned in the study. To define the target population, the inclusion criteria were as follows: women, aged 20-60 years, who were candidates for unilateral modified radical mastectomy under general anaesthesia with one surgeon and who gave informed consent to participate in the study. The exclusion criteria consisted of the American Society of Anesthesiologists’ class greater than 3, emergency surgery, coagulation disorders, addiction to narcotics or psychoactive drugs, and mood and psychological disorders.

All patients underwent a general anaesthesia induction with the same anaesthetic protocol, and after entering the recovery room and performing standard monitoring, the patients were divided into two groups based on the block randomisation. They were randomly assigned using a computerised random number table.

The first group received an ultrasound-guided serratus anterior block (SAB) (Micromax, Sonosite, USA) in the lateral decubitus position, managed by the same researcher. The block was performed using a high-frequency linear probe placed in the infra-clavicular region. The probe was then moved latero-caudally towards the mid-axillary line so that the serratus anterior muscle could be observed just as a thin layer on the ribs, at the level of the fifth rib. After identifying the appropriate place, bupivacaine 0.3 mL kg\(^{-1}\) (Marcaine, AstraZeneca, UK) at a concentration of 0.2% (6 mL normal saline solution was added to 4 mL bupivacaine 0.5% for each 10 ml syringe) was injected in-plane under ultrasound guidance with a sonovisible 21-gauge needle (PAJUNK, Germany) (Figure 1a, b). The control group received no blockade. Further evaluations were carried out by a different investigator who was unaware of the patients’ grouping.

For both groups, an intravenous patient-controlled analgesia (PCA) device (Foures SA, France) was programmed to give a loading dose of fentanyl 7.5 µg mL\(^{-1}\) (42.5 mL normal saline solution was added to 7.5 mL (375 µg) fentanyl) followed by bolus injection of 2 mL fentanyl, with a lock-out interval of 15 minutes.

Patients’ characteristics (age, body mass index, and side of the surgery) were assessed and recorded on the questionnaire. Patients’ pain scores were evaluated in the recovery room prior to blockade and PCA attachment, and then at 1-, 6-, 12- and 24-hour intervals using a visual analogue scale (VAS) (0 for no pain and 10 for the most severe pain). If the VAS exceeded 3, acetaminophen (Apotel, Unipharma, EU) 1 gr iv in 100 mL of normal saline solution was injected within 15 minutes. The VAS, the fentanyl consumption (µg) at 1, 6, 12 and 24 hrs, the initial duration of the PCA attachment (min), the amount of acetaminophen administered (gr) and the potential complications were recorded on the questionnaire.

**Statistical analysis**

The quantitative and qualitative data were expressed as the mean and standard deviation (mean±SD) and as percentage, respectively. Quantitative variables were compared using the t-test for those normally distributed or the Mann-Whitney U test in case of abnormal distribution. Qualitative variables were compared using a chi-squared test or Fisher’s exact test.
The correlation between quantitative variables was examined using Pearson’s correlation coefficient. The data collected were analysed using the IBM Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (IBM SPSS Corp.; Armonk, NY, USA) version 21, and the level of significance was set at p<0.05.

Results
As shown in Table 1, there was no statistically significant difference in patients’ characteristics between the two groups (p>0.5). No significant difference was found in the pain scores between the two groups at the time intervals stated (p>0.5). The amount of fentanyl consumed was notably lower in the SAB group than in the CTL group (p=0.0001). The time to first PCA request was remarkably longer in the SAB group than in the CTL group (p=0.0001). The acetaminophen consumption was significantly lower in the SAB group than in the CTL group (p=0.001). These findings are presented in Table 2. During the intervention and follow-up period, no adverse effects were observed in the patients.

Discussion
This study showed that the serratus anterior block relieved post-mastectomy acute pain and reduced the amount of opioid required.

The serratus anterior muscle is located in the antero-lateral side of the chest, extending between the first and ninth ribs. Performing a serratus anterior block causes the lateral cutaneous branches of thoracic intercostal, thoracodorsal and thoracic longus nerves to be anesthetised, resulting in analgesia in the antero-lateral and somewhat posterior aspect of the chest (18-20). In fact, this block selectively affects thoracic nerves (14).

Employing serratus anterior block to control pain during and after surgery, and even to manage chronic pain, has been considered for several years. However, although the studies conducted were few in number and were mostly case studies, they have confirmed the effectiveness of the block (21, 22).

In their study, Blanco et al, (23) performed the serratus anterior block using levobupivacaine to relieve post-thoracoscopy pain in 2 patients, which led to a desired pain management, and the result obtained was consistent with the present study.

In a morbidly obese patient suffering from rib fractures, Kunhabdulla et al. (24) implemented a serratus anterior block using lidocaine to achieve analgesia during the operation, and then employed continuous infusion of bupivacaine for a week, which resulted in an appropriate analgesic effect. In another study, Madabushi et al. (20) could manage post-thoracotomy acute pain by performing a serratus anterior block with lidocaine. In our study, which was conducted using bupivacaine, a similar result was obtained, and we found less need for an analgesic and longer analgesia after performing SAB.

In a morbidly obese patient considered a candidate for breast surgery, the serratus anterior block with ropivacaine was effective to provide analgesia during the operation (25). The effect of a serratus anterior block accompanied by pectoral block to control chronic pain after mastectomy was examined in a study, which indicated a successful pain management (26). In the current study, only one block was performed and adding more ultrasound-guided blocks in the pectoral region following thoracic surgery may be a good solution for a better analgesia.

Studies on the effects of SAB in chronic pain conditions are rare. In 8 patients suffering from chronic pain syndrome after mastectomy, Zocca et al. (22) investigated the effect of serratus anterior block with bupivacaine and methyl prednisolone, which resulted in a good pain relief even up to 2 weeks in all patients. Serratus anterior plane block is considered to

| Table 1. Comparison of patients’ characteristics in the two groups |
|-----------------|-----------------|--------|
| SAB Group       | CTL Group       | p      |
| Age             | 49.3±7.2        | 50.2±7.8 | 0.3  |
| Body mass index | 23.5±3.2        | 23.8±2.9 | 0.4  |
| Side of surgery |                 |        |
| Right           | 17              | 19     | 0.1  |
| Left            | 13              | 11     |      |

| Table 2. Comparison of patients’ pain score, amount of consumed opioid, time to first PCA request, and amount of acetaminophen consumption in the two groups |
|-----------------|-----------------|--------|
| VAS scores      |                 |        |
| Start point in recovery | 5.2±0.7 | 5.3±0.6 | 0.7 |
| 1 hr             | 2.4±0.4         | 2.5±0.5 | 0.3 |
| 6 hr             | 2.3±0.3         | 2.6±0.6 | 0.2 |
| 12 hr            | 2.2±0.3         | 2.5±0.5 | 0.1 |
| 24 hr            | 2.1±0.3         | 2.3±0.3 | 0.1 |
| Fentanyl consumption dose (µg) |                 |        |
| 1 hr             | 3.5±0.2         | 35.6±4.5 | 0.0001 |
| 6 hr             | 9.5±1.2         | 224.7±26.3 | 0.0001 |
| 12 hr            | 104.2±21.4      | 480.6±26.5 | 0.0001 |
| Time to first PCA request (min) | 323.5±49.7 | 16.6±1 | 0.0001 |
| Acetaminophen consumption (gr) | 0 | 1.3±0.4 | 0.001 |
| Number of patients who needed acetaminophen | 0 | 6 | 0.001 |
be safe and effective during the S-ICD implantation procedure as well (27).

A study of the effect of ultrasound-guided SAB on managing acute and chronic pain existing in the thoracic area demonstrates that the procedure is easy to perform, is effective in pain management, and has a low number of side effects (20-24).

**Conclusion**

Our study had some limitations such as lack of a control group receiving placebo injection; a small sample size; and limited timeframe for patients’ assessment. As a few case control studies have examined the effect of SAB on the post-mastectomy pain management, to improve the power of results by this block, larger studies are recommended to be done using other drugs and doses, infusion through a catheter insertion, with even larger multicentric sample sizes. Comparison of the efficacy of this method with other regional blocks on acute and chronic pain management is also recommended.

Based on the results of this study, the serratus anterior block after mastectomy can reduce the need for opiates and is associated with fewer side effects.
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