Cellular localization of ROS and NO in olive reproductive tissues during flower development
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Abstract

Background: Recent studies have shown that reactive oxygen species (ROS) and nitric oxide (NO) are involved in the signalling processes taking place during the interactions pollen-pistil in several plants. The olive tree (Olea europaea L.) is an important crop in Mediterranean countries. It is a dicotyledonous species, with a certain level of self-incompatibility, fertilisation preferentially allogamous, and with an incompatibility system of the gametophytic type not well determined yet. The purpose of the present study was to determine whether relevant ROS and NO are present in the stigmatic surface and other reproductive tissues in the olive over different key developmental stages of the reproductive process. This is a first approach to find out the putative function of these signalling molecules in the regulation of the interaction pollen-stigma.

Results: The presence of ROS and NO was analyzed in the olive floral organs throughout five developmental stages by using histochemical analysis at light microscopy, as well as different fluorochromes, ROS and NO scavengers and a NO donor by confocal laser scanning microscopy. The “green bud” stage and the period including the end of the “recently opened flower” and the “dehiscent anther” stages displayed higher concentrations of the mentioned chemical species. The stigmatic surface (particularly the papillae and the stigma exudate), the anther tissues and the pollen grains and pollen tubes were the tissues accumulating most ROS and NO. The mature pollen grains emitted NO through the apertural regions and the pollen tubes. In contrast, none of these species were detected in the style or the ovary.

Conclusion: The results obtained clearly demonstrate that both ROS and NO are produced in the olive reproductive organs in a stage- and tissue-specific manner. The biological significance of the presence of these products may differ between early flowering stages (defence functions) and stages where there is an intense interaction between pollen and pistil which may determine the presence of a receptive phase in the stigma. The study confirms the enhanced production of NO by pollen grains and tubes during the receptive phase, and the decrease in the presence of ROS when NO is actively produced.

Background

Both reactive oxygen species (ROS) and nitric oxide (NO) are involved in numerous cell signalling processes in plants, where they regulate aspects of plant cell growth, the hypersensitive response, the closure of stomata, and also have defence functions [1-5]. In A. thaliana stigmas, ROS/H$_2$O$_2$ accumulation is confined to stigmatic papillae and could be involved in signalling networks that promote pollen germination and/or pollen tube growth on the stigma [6]. In addition, the putative presence of ROS in the stigma exudate could be a defence mechanism against microbe attack, similar to the secretion of nectar [6,7]. Several studies have implicated ROS and NO as signalling molecules involved in plant reproductive processes such as pollen tube growth and pollen germination [8-11] and pollen-stigma interactions [6,12]. Low levels of NO was detected by these authors in stigmas, whereas NO was observed at high levels in pollen. An interesting suggestion to explain the biological function of ROS/H$_2$O$_2$ in stigmas and NO in pollen was proposed by Hiscock and Allen [13], who observed a reduction of these molecules in the stigmatic surface when either pollen grains of NO were artificially added. They propose that the main function of stigmatic
ROS/H₂O₂ can be defence against pathogens, whereas pollen NO may cause a localized reduction of these molecules, then breaching this defence system. Evidence for the connections between Ca²⁺ and NO signalling pathways is also beginning to emerge [14-18]. Although there are diverse modes of NO production in plants [19,4], not all of them are regulated by calcium ions.

The presence of numerous specific ROS-related activities (catalases, superoxide dismutases, ascorbate peroxidase, monodehydroascorbate reductase and GSH-dependent dehydroascorbate reductase, peroxidases, glutathione S-transferases) has been characterized in pollen grains [20,21]. Recently, NADPH oxidase activity has been shown to be present at the tip of the pollen tube [10]. However, less is known about these enzymes in the stigma, where only a specific stigma peroxidase has been detected up to date [22]. Most of these studies have been carried out in model species like Lilium, Arabidopsis and Petunia, and in the UK-invading species Senecio squalidus. More effort is needed to determine whether the presence of these molecules throughout the reproductive tissues is a general feature of all Angiosperms.

The olive tree (Olea europaea L.) has a high economical and social importance in the Mediterranean area. Although several studies are beginning to uncover the details of the reproductive biology in this plant [23,24], much is still unknown. Olive pollination is mainly anemophilous. Paternity tests have revealed a certain degree of self-incompatibility (SI) in several olive cultivars [25,26]. The pistil of the olive tree (O. europaea L. c.v. Picual) is composed of a two-lobed wet stigma, a solid style and a two-loculus ovary with four ovules. The exu-date of the olive stigmatic receptive surface is heterogeneous, including carbohydrates, lipids and proteins in its composition [23,24]. All these structural and cytochemical features of the pistil in olive are in good agreement with the presence of a SI mechanism of the gametophytic type in this plant, in accordance with general consensus and previous observations carried out in olive and other Oleaceae species [23,24,27-29].

The purpose of this study was to first approach the possible implications of ROS and NO during flower development and the pollen-pistil interactions in the olive. For this purpose, several of these molecules have been precisely localized in the stigma and the pollen during the main developmental stages of flowering.

Results

Developmental stages of olive flowering

Five major developmental stages were established to better scrutinize flower development in the olive (Figure 1). Very early stages were omitted, as olive flower buds were completely covered by solid trichomes which made dissection very difficult without compromising the integrity of anthers and gynoecium, and therefore altering the presence of ROS/NO. Flower buds at the “green bud” stage (stage 1) had an average size of 2.5 ± 0.2 mm length × 1.7 ± 0.1 mm width. All flower organs were green coloured. This stage lasted for 8 days on the average. At the “white bud” stage (stage 2), the floral buds were 3.3 ± 0.1 mm length × 2.7 ± 0.7 mm width on the average. Petals have changed from green to whitish colour although they were still wrapping the remaining organs into the unopened flower. This stage lasted an average of 4 days. At the “recently opened flower” stage (stage 3), of two days of duration, the four white petals turned out to be separated, leaving the remaining floral structures visible: the anthers coloured in yellow, and the stigma, style and ovary which remained in green colour. At the “dehiscent anther stage” (stage 4), two days long, one or the two anthers became dehiscent, releasing the pollen grains, which also covered the stigma. In the last developmental step (stage 5), anthers and petals were abscised. The apex of the stigma appeared clearly brown-coloured. Only the two first days of this stage were considered.

Light Microscopy detection of H₂O₂

Light microscopy (LM) detection of H₂O₂ with TMB (3,5,3’,5’-tetramethylbenzidine-HCl) solution was assayed in olive flowers during different stages of its development (Figure 2). Once the chemical was added, a progressive change of colour was observed in both the stigmas and the anthers, as the result of the presence of a dark purple

Figure 1 Developmental stages of the olive flower. Stage 1: “green bud”. Stage 2: “white bud”. Stage 3: “recently opened flower”. Stage 4: “dehiscent anther”. Stage 5: “abscised anthers and petals”.
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precipitate. Neither the style nor the ovary tissues were coloured. The appearance and localization of H$_2$O$_2$ was not homogenous in all the developmental stages studied: during stage 1, the precipitate started to accumulate at the very distal part of the stigma shortly after the beginning of the treatment, spreading throughout the borders of the stigma until covering almost all its surface. Anthers showed no change of colour at the green bud stage. White buds stigmas (stage 2) also started to be coloured in the distal part of the stigma. However, the progressive appearance of the precipitate was relatively slower and finally covered less area of the stigma and showed lower intensity than in stage 1, becoming limited to the peripheral regions of the stigma. As in stage 1, no H$_2$O$_2$ was detected in the anthers in this stage. The stigmas of the newly opened flowers (stage 3) started to be coloured soon after the initiation of the histochemical staining. In this case, the presence of the purple precipitate was restricted to the distal part of the stigma and to some small spots on the remaining stigma surface.

At stage 4, the distribution of the coloured precipitate over the stigma was even more limited, focusing into the stigma two-lobed apex only. At this stage we detected an intense purple coloration corresponding to the massive presence of H$_2$O$_2$ in the dehiscent anthers even after 5 minutes of treatment. Finally, over the last stage (stage 5), very little purple colour appeared in the stigma, even after long periods of incubation with the reagent. As described above, anthers are absent at this stage.

Confocal Laser Scanning Microscopy detection of ROS
The DCFH$_2$-DA (2',7'-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate) fluorochrome was used to detect ROS by Confocal Laser Scanning Microscopy (CLSM). Low magnification CLSM allowed the observation of both stigmas and anthers at stages 1, 2 and 3 whereas they were observed separately at stage 4 (Figure 3A). The presence of these chemicals produced a green fluorescence in the stigma and the anthers, which showed different degrees of
intensity depending on the stages analyzed (Figure 3B, C). Although the fluorescence was present all through the stigma surface, it was slightly more intense at the distal side of the stigma (the apex of both stigma lobules) than in the basal region of the stigma. The tissue situated between both stigma lobules frequently appeared unlabelled. No fluorescence over the background or the control experiments was detected in the tissues of the ovary or the style at any of the stages analyzed. Autofluorescence of the floral tissues was recorded in red. Stigmas at the stage 1 exhibited the greatest relative intensity of fluorescence per area analysed, in comparison with other developmental stages (Figure 3A, B; additional file 1). High magnification CLSM images of the stigma at the same stage showed the fluorescence to localize in association with the stigmatic papillae present throughout the stigma surface. (Figure 4A).

At stages 2 and 3, stigma size was considerably larger than at the previous stage. Although the distribution of fluorescence was similar to the previous stage, a dramatic decrease in the fluorescence intensity detected on the stigmatic surface was measured (Figure 3B; additional files 2 and 3). Similarly to stage 1, fluorescence
concentrated in the stigmatic papillae at these stages (Figure 4B). The stage 4 was characterized by the presence of the stigmatic exudate, which was particularly visible when high magnification observations were carried out. This stigmatic exudate resulted to be intensely fluorescent (Figures 4C and 4D). Pollen grains over the surface of the stigma were observed from stage 3 onwards, and were easily identified even at low magnification (Figure 3A), due to their high levels of fluorescence. At high magnification, fluorescence was in some cases located in small individualised organelles clearly visible inside the pollen grains when observed in single optical sections by CLSM (additional file 4). At this stage, the dehiscent anthers which until now had remained practically free of fluorescence became intensely stained (Figures 3A,B, 4E; additional file 5). Finally, the fluorescence became restricted to the pollen grains over the surface of the stigma at stage 5 (Figure 4F).

The incubation of the samples with the H₂O₂ scavenger Na-pyruvate, prior to the treatment with the fluorochrome [6], resulted in a substantially lower intensity of the fluorescence in all the stages and the floral organs assayed (Figure 3A). A similar reduction in the overall levels of fluorescence intensity was observed when the samples were treated with SNP (sodium nitroprusside), a NO donor (Figure 3A). In both cases, the intensities of the residual fluorescence were practically identical to those of the untreated -control- samples (Figures 3A and 3B).

**CLSM detection of O₂^-**

The incubation of the samples with the DHE (dihydroethidium) fluorophore produced green fluorescence in the presence of O₂^- when compared to the control samples (Figures 5A, B). Autofluorescence of both the anthers and the gynoecium was recorded in red. The fluorescence was located in the stigma, mainly at stages 2 to 5, with a maximum of intensity at stage 3 (Figure 5A, B; additional files 6, 7). In this case, the fluorescence was centred at the basal and central region of the stigma, with the apex of both stigma lobules practically unlabelled. The equivalent samples previously incubated with the O₂^- scavenger TMP (4-hydroxy-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine-1-oxy) [30] displayed much reduced fluorescence intensity all over the stigma (Figure 5A). No relevant fluorescence was detected in either the ovary or the style. The anthers presented high levels of fluorescence, particularly at stage 4 (Figure 5C).

Images at higher magnification allowed us to determine that fluorescence was particularly evident in particular areas of the anther corresponding to the stomium (Figure 6F; additional file 8). The observation of the samples at high magnification also allowed us to allocate the signal in
the stigma mainly to the stigmatic papillae (Figures 6A, E), the exudate and the pollen grains and pollen tubes (additional file 9). Conspicuous differences in the exudate texture and fluorescence intensity were detected between the distal area of the stigma (Figure 6B), and the basal/central area (figure 6C). The pollen grains attached to the stigma exhibited intensely labelled particles or organelles frequently grouped in clusters in the pollen cytoplasm (Figure 6D). Pollen tubes on the surface of the stigma also showed a weak labelling in their cytoplasm, which increased in intensity in the area of the pollen tube in close contact with the stigmatic papillae and the exudates (Figure 6E).

**CLSM detection of NO**
The presence of NO in the olive floral organs was examined by using the DAF-2 DA (2',7'-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate) fluorochrome by CLSM. As it also happened with the DCFH$_2$-DA and DHE fluorophores, fluorescence was not observed to occur over the background or the control experiments in the tissues of the ovary or the style at any of the stages analyzed (Figure 7A). Autofluorescence in these tissues was documented in red. Fluorescence was practically negligible over the developmental stages 1, 2 and most of the stage 3, to rise at stage 4, coincidentally with the presence of numerous pollen grains over the stigma surface (Figure 7A, B). At this “dehiscent anther” stage, fluorescence accumulated for the most part at both tips of the two-lobed stigma. The samples treated with cPTIO (2-(4-carboxyphenyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethylimidazoline-1-oxyl-3-oxide) prior to the incubation with NO showed comparatively reduced levels of fluorescence in all stages studied (Figure 7A). Detailed localization at higher magnification showed that NO started in fact to accumulate at the very
end of stage 3, partially in the stigmatic papillae, and mainly in both the apertural regions and the pollen tubes of the scarce pollen grains landed on the stigma surface at this stage (Figure 8A–C; additional files 10, 11). It is at stage 4 when NO was extensively localized in the stigmatic papillae, the pollen tubes and apertures of the numerous pollen grains settled on the stigma. The stigmatic exudate, when present, was also intensely fluorescent. (Figure 8D; additional files 12, 13). The anthers only displayed relevant labelling at stage 4 (Figure 7C), in the form of high levels of autofluorescence and signal co-localization at the stomium. The pollen grains inside the sacs were also fluorescent (Figure 8E; additional file 14). Finally, at stage 5, only residual fluorescence was detected in association with the remaining pollen grains (Figure 8F).

Discussion

The present study confirms that the olive tree shares several features with other Angiosperms, as regard to the presence of ROS and NO in reproductive tissues. The first of these features is that H$_2$O$_2$ is the most prominent ROS in the olive stigma, at least in early stages (1–3). This conclusion is the result of the application of the same criteria already described by [6], mainly the reduction in DCFH$_2$-DA fluorescence after the application of the scavenger sodium pyruvate, the strong reaction of the stigmas to TMB (with a practically identical distribution of the labelling by TMB and DCFH$_2$-DA), and the relative low presence of other ROS and NO in these stages (as showed by the DHE and DAF-2 DA fluorophores) (Figure 9). The average level of DCFH$_2$-DA fluorescence in olive stigmas slightly decreases at stages 3–4, where pollen grains adhere and emit pollen tubes over the stigma. DCFH$_2$-DA fluorescence is also notoriously reduced after the addition of SNP, a NO donor. This observation is similar to those described for Senecio squalidus [6]. Although olive pollen and pollen tubes are clearly demonstrated in this paper to be major
sources of NO, our results do not provide a causal link between NO generated by pollen and this decrease in H$_2$O$_2$ levels. This and some other possibilities of signaling cross-talk between pollen and stigma have yet to be investigated. This NO production by pollen has now being reported in a number of plant species [8-11,31], and has been connected with the regulation of the rate and orientation of pollen tube growth at the pollen tube tip. Moreover, a possible link between production of NO and nitrite to pollen-induced allergic responses has been proposed [31]. In the case of olive pollen, (a highly allergenic source in Mediterranean countries), further investigation regarding the putative interaction between pollen-produced NO and the immune system is also needed.

The present study is the first to report the presence and distribution of ROS and NO in plant reproductive tissues in a developmental manner. The differential presence of ROS/NO throughout stages 1-5 is likely to correspond to different physiological scenarios. The massive presence of ROS/H$_2$O$_2$ in the stigma at early stages of flower development (stages 1 and 2) will doubtfully reflect the presence of a receptive phase in the stigma, as flowers at these stages are still unopened, and temporally far from pollen interaction. In this context, some other hypotheses should be taken into account: high levels of ROS/H$_2$O$_2$ may be generated as the result of the high metabolic activity of the stigmatic papillae and the surrounding tissues, which start to accumulate starch and lipid materials as well as pectins, arabino-galactan proteins and many other components integrating not only the stigma tissues, but also the stigma exudate and a clearly distinguishable cuticle [23,24]. Major differences in starch content have been
recently described between staminate and hermaphro-
dite flowers in the olive tree. Differences in pistil devel-
opment between these two types of flowers have been
related to differences in their sink strength [32]. ROS
are likely required for cell expansion during the mor-
phogenesis of the stigma, as has been widely reported
for other organs such as roots and leaves [33]. H2O2 is
likely to participate in the peroxidation reactions driven
to the formation of the cell walls and many other meta-
\[305x279\]"n\]\| to several pathogens which may include bacteria, fungi
and even insects, in a similar manner than in nectar (as
widely reviewed by [6,12]).

Once we progress into flower development, different
types of interactions start to occur: when the receptive
phase of the stigma is reached, high levels of ROS/H2O2
may harm the pollen grains/pollen tubes growing at the
stigma surface. Numerous studies have reported to date
the presence of enhanced levels of peroxidase activity in
Angiosperm stigmas at maturity [34-37]. Providing that
olive stigmas behave similarly, a putative increase in per-
oxidase activity is therefore likely to take place in olive
stigmas at stages 3-4. Peroxidases reduce H2O2 to water
while oxidizing a variety of substrates including glu-
thione, ascorbate and others. Therefore, they are
important enzymatic components of the ROS-scaven-
ging pathways of plants [33]. These high levels of perox-
idase activity would be responsible for the observed
decrease in the levels of ROS/H2O2 occurred at the later

---

**Figure 8** High-magnification CLSM detection of NO with DAF-2 DA at different developmental stages of the olive flower. A: projection of section stacks of the stigma surface at stage 3. The fluorescence localizes in association with the stigmatic papillae. B and C: projection of section stacks –and an enlarged view- of the stigmatic surface at the end of stage 3. Green fluorescence labels the stigmatic papillae and the pollen surface, mainly the apertural region and the emerging pollen tube. D and E: optical section –and an enlarged view- of the stigmatic surface at stage 4. NO extensively accumulates in the stigmatic papillae, and in the pollen grains, the pollen tubes and the exudate. F: projection of section stacks of the dehiscent anther surface at stage 4. NO labelling occurs in the dehiscent loculi, associated to the numerous pollen grains. Ap: aperture; Ex: exudate; P: papillae; Pg: pollen grain; Pt: pollen tube.
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stage, coincidentally with the enhanced receptivity of the stigma to pollen. A forthcoming step in this research is therefore to determine whether this described reduction in the levels of ROS/H₂O₂ at the receptive phase is a general feature of Angiosperm stigmas.

Much is still to learn about the source of the described ROS/H₂O₂ and NO in the plant reproductive tissues, as showed in this paper. In pollen, plasma membrane-localized NADPH oxidase (NOX) has been described as an active source of superoxide, needed to sustain the normal rate of pollen tube growth in Nicotiana [10]. This O₂⁻ readily forms other ROS including H₂O₂ and HO• either spontaneously or by the intermediation of other enzymes involved in oxygen metabolism. In the olive pollen, different isoforms of superoxide dismutase (SOD), with extracellular and cytosolic localization have been described [38], and there is clear evidence of the presence of NOX activity (Jiménez-Quesada et al., unpublished observations). However data regarding the stigma tissues are still lacking. In the olive leaves, the presence of different SOD forms has been described [39]. In these tissues, recycling of NADPH by different enzymes, including glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase, isocitrate dehydrogenase, malic enzyme and ferredoxin-NADP reductase seems to have an important role in controlling oxidative stress caused by high-salt conditions in olive somatic tissues [40]. As regards to NO production, both NO synthase (NOS) and nitrate reductase activities are considered putative enzymatic sources for NO in pollen, although the presence of other enzymatic sources cannot be excluded [41]. Even though the presence of L-arginine- dependant NOS activity in plant tissues is widely accepted, the identification of the enzyme responsible for this nitric oxide generation is still a matter of controversy [42]. Therefore, much effort is still necessary to characterize these systems in the reproductive tissues of the olive and other Angiosperms. In addition, many of the ROS and NO can be generated in multiple cellular localizations. Peroxisomes have been described as subcellular organelles particularly active in the generation of these signal molecules [43,44]. Further research in order to characterize these organelles in the olive reproductive tissues should be carried out. The extreme ability of these molecules to diffuse may lead to the localization of ROS and NO in some areas as described here, for example, the stigmatic exudate.

The superoxide anion (O₂⁻) is the only detected ROS having a slight increase over the stages 3/4 in the stigma (Figure 9). The rise in the levels of this species can be attributed to the massive presence of pollen grains and growing pollen tubes over the surface of the stigma at these stages, with putatively high rates of NOX activity.
were obtained from adult olive trees growing at the reproductive tissues [51]. In addition, a reduction in the activity of SOD forms can also occur.

The occurrence of ROS/NO at stage 5 of the stigma is coincident with the presence of morphological features indicating senescence of this structure. Decay in plant antioxidant capacity has been described at the terminal phase of senescence for different plant organs, which is frequently coincident with increased release of ROS [45,46]. In Arabidopsis flowers, senescence has been connected with low levels of ascorbic acid and therefore alterations of the endogenous levels of both gibberellic and abscisic acid [47]. In addition to hormonal imbalance, numerous modifications in the expression of senescence associated genes (SAGs) have been described [48]. Many of these gene products include antioxidant barriers, and thus an increase of the ROS present in the senescent floral organs is likely to occur. Whether this can be considered a mechanism for apoptosis or programmed cell death (PCD) is still a matter of controversy [47-49].

ROS/NO maintain steady low levels in the anther tissues until stage 4, in which a rapid increase takes place (Figure 9B). At this stage, release of mature pollen is produced by breakdown of the anther cells at the stomium, a specialized structure situated at the side of the anthers. Dehiscence of the anther involves a number of PCD mechanisms involving degeneration of the endothecium and the surrounding connective tissues, and selective cytotoxic ablation of the stomium [50]. These changes lead to massive ROS release at this stage, whereas NO is mainly produced by the mature pollen grains.

**Conclusion**

Conspicuous changes in the distribution and the proportion of different ROS/NO occur in the reproductive tissues of the olive throughout flower development. These changes correspond to different physiological circumstances (defence, metabolism, signalling...) and reveal the complex interrelationships taking place between the plethora of enzymatic activities involved in their production, the high number of potential substrates and products involved in their metabolism, and the presence of complex signalling pathways. Most changes in ROS occur at stages 3-4, coincidentally with the presence of high levels of NO. Therefore, special attention has to be addressed in the future to the different ROS/NO-signalling pathways present in plant reproductive tissues [51].

**Methods**

**Plant material**

*Olea europaea* flowers (cv. Picual) at different stages were obtained from adult olive trees growing at the Estación Experimental del Zaidín (Granada, Spain) over the blooming period (fifteen-twenty days throughout the months of May-June). Five different stages were differentiated attending to macroscopic differences. Flowers at the developmental stages 3 to 5 were directly used for ROS and NO determinations. However, flower buds (stages 1 and 2) were dissected by gently removing one of the anthers and the associated petals in order to gain visual access and to allow the contact of chemicals with the gynoecium and the remaining anther.

**Light microscopy**

H$_2$O$_2$ was detected by using the H$_2$O$_2$ indicator dye TMB (Sigma). Dissected buds or complete flowers at different stages were soaked in a solution containing 0.42 mM TMB in Tris-acetate, pH 5.0 buffer [52]. The appearance of blue colour was monitored at different times after the initiation of the incubation in a multipurpose microscope Multizoom AZ-100 (Nikon Instruments Company). Images were gathered with a Nikon Coolpix 4500 digital camera with a resolution of 2272 x 1704 dpi after 15 minutes of incubation (no substantial changes were further observed after that time).

**Confocal Laser Scanning Microscopy**

ROS were detected using the fluorescent indicator dye DCFH$_2$-DA (Calbiochem). Dissected floral buds or complete flowers were immersed in 50 μM DCFH$_2$-DA in MES (2-[N-morpholino]ethanesulfonic acid)-KCl buffer (5 μM KCl, 50 μM CaCl$_2$, 10 mM MES, pH 6.15) for 10 minutes followed by a wash step in fresh buffer for 15 minutes and then observed at the confocal microscope. Parallel sets of floral buds/complete flowers at equivalent stages were treated with a) 1 M sodium pyruvate (Sigma-Aldrich) in MES-KCl buffer for 30 min, or b) 500 μM SNP (Sigma-Aldrich) in MES-KCl buffer prior to the treatment with DCFH$_2$-DA as above. Negative controls were treated with MES-KCl buffer only [6].

The presence of the superoxide anion (O$_2^-$) was analysed as above by incubating the samples 30 minutes in a 20 μM solution of the fluorophore DHE (Sigma) in Tris-HCl buffer (10 mM, pH 7.4). Equivalent samples were treated with the O$_2^-$ scavenger TMP (Calbiochem) in Tris-HCl buffer (10 mM, pH 7.4) for 60 minutes, prior to the treatment with DHE (modified from [30]).

The NO indicator dye DAF-2 DA (Calbiochem) was used to detect NO in flowers. Dissected buds or complete flowers were immersed in MES/KCl pH 6.15 for 10 min, transferred to 10 μM DAF-2 DA for 10 min, followed by a wash step (with MES/KCl buffer) for 15 min and then observed in the microscope [6]. Parallel sets of samples were treated the same, although they were previously incubated for 1 hour with the NO-scavenger cPTIO...
(Sigma) in a concentration of 400 μM in Tris-HCl 10 mM, pH 7.4 [30]. Negative controls were treated with MES-KCl buffer only instead of DAF-2 DA.

Observations were carried out in a Nikon C1 confocal microscope using an Ar-488 laser source and different levels of magnification (20× to 60×). Small pinhole sizes (30 μm) were used even in combination with low-magnification, dry-objectives. Multiple optical sections were captured and processed to generate 3-D reconstructions of the whole stigma surface. 3-D reconstructions of small areas of the stigma surface were also generated from high-magnification immersion-objects. The fluorescent signal was obtained exclusively in the range of the 515-560 nm emission wavelengths with both fluorochromes, and was recorded in green colour. Auto-fluorescence (mainly due to the presence of chlorophyll and other pigments and secondary metabolites) was isolated and displayed in red. For each fluorochrome, identical settings were used for image capture in both control/test experiments in order to ensure reproducibility and accurate quantification.

**Colour and fluorescence quantification**

The intensity of both the dark purple-coloured precipitate and the green fluorescence was quantified for each organ at the different stages studied by using the Nikon EZ-C1 viewer (3.30) software. Both average and standard deviation were calculated after measurement of a minimum of nine images corresponding to three independent experiments.

For quantification of the dark purple-coloured precipitate, an independent subtraction of the background was performed for each sample. For this purpose, images of the samples were also captured prior to the addition of the chemicals.

### Additional files

**Additional file 1:** Animated 3-D reconstruction of CLSM detection of ROS in a flower at stage 1 with DCFH2-DA at low magnification. Click here for file ([http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2229-10-36-S1.AVI](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2229-10-36-S1.AVI))

**Additional file 2:** Animated 3-D reconstruction of CLSM detection of ROS in a flower at stage 2 with DCFH2-DA at low magnification. Click here for file ([http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2229-10-36-S2.AVI](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2229-10-36-S2.AVI))

**Additional file 3:** Animated 3-D reconstruction of CLSM detection of ROS in a flower at stage 3 with DCFH2-DA at low magnification. Click here for file ([http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2229-10-36-S3.AVI](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2229-10-36-S3.AVI))

**Additional file 4:** z-Animated 3-D reconstruction of CLSM detection of ROS in pollen on olive stigma stage 4 with DCFH2-DA at high magnification. Click here for file ([http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2229-10-36-S4.WMV](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2229-10-36-S4.WMV))

**Additional file 5:** 3-D reconstruction of CLSM detection of ROS in olive anther at stage 4 with DCFH2-DA at low magnification. Click here for file ([http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2229-10-36-S5.AVI](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2229-10-36-S5.AVI))

**Additional file 6:** 3-D reconstruction of CLSM detection of superoxide anion in olive flower at stage 3 with DHE at low magnification. Click here for file ([http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2229-10-36-S6.AVI](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2229-10-36-S6.AVI))

**Additional file 7:** 3-D reconstruction of CLSM detection of superoxide anion in olive stigma at stage 4 with DHE at low magnification. Click here for file ([http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2229-10-36-S7.AVI](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2229-10-36-S7.AVI))

**Additional file 8:** 3-D reconstruction of CLSM detection of superoxide in olive anther at stage 4 with DAF-2 DA at low magnification. Click here for file ([http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2229-10-36-S8.AVI](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2229-10-36-S8.AVI))

**Additional file 9:** z-Animated 3-D reconstruction of CLSM detection of superoxide in pollen on olive stigma at stage 4 with DHE at high magnification. Click here for file ([http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2229-10-36-S9.WMV](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2229-10-36-S9.WMV))
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### Abbreviations

AU: arbitrary units; CLSM: confocal laser scanning microscopy; cPTIO: 2-(4-carboxyphenyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethylimidazoline-1-oxyl-3-oxide; DAF-2 DA: diaminofluorescein diacetate; DCFH2-DA: 2′,7′-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate; DHE: dihydroethidium; L.M.: light microscopy; MES: 2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid; NOX: nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate-oxidase; PCD: programmed cell death; ROS: reactive oxygen species; SAG: senescence associated gene; SI: self-incompatibility; SNP: sodium nitroprusside; TMB: 3,5,3′-tetramethylbenzidine-HCl; TMB: 4-hydroxy-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine-1-oxy.
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