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Preface to previous CORE 2.1 edition

The CORE 2.1 (COmpendium of RElations, Version 2.1) contains various formulas and relations used in the practical calculations in the Standard Model.

The properties of the Pauli, Dirac, and Gell–Mann matrices, widely used in calculations in the Standard Model, are considered in details. Properties of the wave functions of free fermions and gauge bosons are also discussed.

We present the full Lagrangian of the Standard Model and the corresponding Feynman rules. The method of the evaluation of the Feynman (loop) integrals and calculations in non-covariant gauges is considered.

We discuss in brief the relativistic kinematics and present a large number of the matrix elements of the various processes in the Standard Model.

Almost all of the presented relations and formulas can be found in literature. However, one can find different definitions, different normalizations, not widely used notations, etc. We try to collect various expressions in one place and make the notations and normalizations consistent.

We hope that the present CORE 2.1 will be useful for practical calculations in the Standard Model, especially for post–graduates and young physicists.

We wish to thank A.V. Razumov for multiple and useful discussions.

Protvino, 1995

Comment to previous CORE 3.1 edition

The CORE 3.1 (COmpendium of RElations, Version 3.1) is included new topics and corrections for the first edition.

Protvino, 2016

Comment to this CORE 3.2 edition

The present CORE 3.2 (COmpendium of RElations, Version 3.2) is included new topic and several corrections to previous editions.

Protvino, 2022
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1 NOTATION AND DEFINITIONS

• Everywhere the repeated indexes imply the summation.

\[ \delta_{ik} = \delta_{ik} = \delta^k_i = \delta^i_k \quad (i, k = 1, \ldots, n) \] is Kronecker symbol,

\[ \delta_{ik} = 0 \quad i \neq k, \quad \delta_{11} = \delta_{22} = \ldots = \delta_{nn} = 1. \]

Metric tensor in Minkowski space \( g^{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} \) \((\mu, \nu = 0, 1, \ldots, n)\) equals:

\[ g_{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad \mu \neq \nu, \quad g_{00} = 1, \quad g_{11} = g_{22} = \ldots = g_{nn} = -1. \]

The tensor \( g^{\mu\nu} \) is used for raising and lowering of the Lorentz subscripts and superscripts.

• As a rule, 3-vector (or vector in Euclidean space) is denoted by the “bold” symbol \( \mathbf{p} \equiv \vec{p} \).

• The scalar products of any two \( p \) and \( q \) vectors in Minkowski space is denoted as follows:

\[ p \cdot q \quad \text{or} \quad (pq), \quad \text{i.e.} \quad p \cdot q = (pq). \]

The scalar products of any two \( p \) and \( q \) Euclidean vectors would be also denoted as:

\[ pq = (pq) = \vec{p} \vec{q} \]

• 4–vector \( p^\mu \) in Minkowski space is given by

\[ p^\mu \equiv (E, \mathbf{p}) = (p_0, p_1, p_2, p_3), \quad p_\mu = g_{\mu\nu}p^\nu = (E, -\mathbf{p}). \]

The scalar product of any two vectors \( p \) and \( q \) in Minkowski space is given by

\[ p^\mu g_{\mu\nu}q^\nu = p^\mu q_\mu = p_0q_0 = p_1q_1 - p_2q_2 - p_3q_3. \]

The products of the 4–vector \( p^\mu \) with Dirac \( \gamma^\mu \) matrix denotes as usual

\[ \hat{p} \equiv p^\mu \gamma^\mu = p^\mu \gamma_\mu = p_\mu \gamma^\mu. \]

• Totally antisymmetric tensor \( \varepsilon^{AB \cdots N} \).

• \( \varepsilon \)-symbol in two dimensions: \( \varepsilon^{AB} \) \((A, B = 1, 2)\):

\[ \varepsilon^{12} = \varepsilon^{12} = 1; \quad \varepsilon^{21} = \varepsilon^{21} = -1; \quad \varepsilon_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \]

\[ \varepsilon^{AB} = \varepsilon_{AB}, \quad \varepsilon_{BA} = -\varepsilon_{AB}, \quad \varepsilon_{ABC}^{AB} = 2; \quad \varepsilon_{AB} \varepsilon_{BC} = -\delta^C_A; \]

\[ \varepsilon_{AB} \varepsilon_{CD} + \varepsilon_{AC} \varepsilon_{DB} + \varepsilon_{AD} \varepsilon_{BC} = 0. \]

\( \varepsilon^{AB} \)-symbol is used for rising and lowering of the spinor indexes (see Subsection 2.5).

• \( \varepsilon \)-symbol in three dimensions: \( \varepsilon^{ijk} \) \((i, j, k = 1, 2, 3)\):

\[ \varepsilon^{123} = \varepsilon_{123} = 1, \quad \varepsilon^{ijk} = \varepsilon_{ijk}, \quad \varepsilon_{ijk} \varepsilon^{lmn} = \begin{vmatrix} \delta^i_l & \delta^i_j & \delta^i_k \\ \delta^i_l & \delta^i_j & \delta^i_k \\ \delta^i_l & \delta^i_j & \delta^i_k \end{vmatrix} \]

1
\[ \varepsilon_{ijk} \varepsilon_{lmn} = \delta^i_{(m} \delta^n_{j)} - \delta^i_{(n} \delta^m_{j)} \quad \varepsilon_{ijk} \varepsilon_{jik} = 2 \delta_i^i \quad \varepsilon_{ijk} \varepsilon_{jik} = 6. \]

**Schouten identity.** For any 3–vector \( p^i \) one has:

\[ p_{i1} \varepsilon_{i234} - p_{i2} \varepsilon_{i134} + p_{i3} \varepsilon_{i124} - p_{i4} \varepsilon_{i123} = 0. \]

- **\(\varepsilon\)-symbol in four-dimensional Minkowski space:** \( \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \) (\( \alpha, \ldots, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3 \)):

\[
\varepsilon^{0123} = -\varepsilon_{0123} = 1.
\]

\[
\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \varepsilon^{\lambda\rho\sigma\tau} = -\begin{vmatrix} \delta^\lambda_\mu & \delta^\lambda_\nu & \delta^\lambda_\alpha & \delta^\lambda_\beta \\ \delta_\mu^\rho & \delta_\nu^\rho & \delta_\alpha^\rho & \delta_\beta^\rho \\ \delta_\mu^\sigma & \delta_\nu^\sigma & \delta_\alpha^\sigma & \delta_\beta^\sigma \\ \delta_\mu^\tau & \delta_\nu^\tau & \delta_\alpha^\tau & \delta_\beta^\tau \end{vmatrix}, \quad \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \varepsilon^{\lambda\rho\sigma\tau} = -\begin{vmatrix} \delta^\lambda_\mu & \delta^\lambda_\nu & \delta^\lambda_\alpha & \delta^\lambda_\beta \\ \delta_\mu^\rho & \delta_\nu^\rho & \delta_\alpha^\rho & \delta_\beta^\rho \\ \delta_\mu^\sigma & \delta_\nu^\sigma & \delta_\alpha^\sigma & \delta_\beta^\sigma \\ \delta_\mu^\tau & \delta_\nu^\tau & \delta_\alpha^\tau & \delta_\beta^\tau \end{vmatrix}, \]

\[
\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \varepsilon^{\lambda\rho\sigma\beta} = -2(\delta_\mu^\lambda \delta_\nu^\rho - \delta_\mu^\rho \delta_\nu^\lambda), \quad \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \varepsilon^{\lambda\rho\alpha\beta} = -6\delta^\lambda_\mu, \quad \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = -24.
\]

**Schouten identity.** For any 4–vector \( p_\mu \) one has:

\[ p_{\mu1} \varepsilon_{\mu2345} + p_{\mu2} \varepsilon_{\mu3451} + p_{\mu3} \varepsilon_{\mu4512} + p_{\mu4} \varepsilon_{\mu5123} + p_{\mu5} \varepsilon_{\mu1234} = 0. \]

- **Generalized Kronecker deltas**

Sometimes one can make no difference between a vector and index. For example, one can write:

\[ \varepsilon^{p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4} \text{ or } \varepsilon(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \quad \text{instead of } \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} p_\mu^1 p_\nu^2 p_\rho^3 p_\sigma^4. \]

These notation can be used in operations with generalized Kronecker deltas:

\[
\delta_{j_1 \ldots j_n}^{i_1 \ldots i_n} \equiv \begin{vmatrix} \delta_{i_1}^{j_1} & \ldots & \delta_{i_n}^{j_1} \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ \delta_{i_1}^{j_n} & \ldots & \delta_{i_n}^{j_n} \end{vmatrix}, \quad \text{or } \delta_{p_1 \ldots p_n}^{q_1 \ldots q_n} \equiv \begin{vmatrix} p_1 \cdot q_1 & \ldots & p_n \cdot q_1 \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ p_1 \cdot q_n & \ldots & p_n \cdot q_n \end{vmatrix}.
\]

In \( n \)-dimensional Euclidean space one has:

\[
\delta_{p_1 \ldots p_m}^{q_1 \ldots q_m} = \frac{1}{(m - n)!} \varepsilon_{q_1 \ldots q_m a_{m+1} \ldots a_n} \varepsilon_{p_1 \ldots p_m a_{m+1} \ldots a_n}.
\]

In Minkowski space the minus sign appears:

\[
\delta_{p_1 p_2 p_3}^{q_1 q_2 q_3} = -\varepsilon_{p_1 q_2 p_3} \varepsilon_{q_1 q_2 q_3} \quad \delta_{p_1 p_2}^{q_1 q_2} = -\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{p_1 q_2 p_3} \varepsilon_{q_1 q_2}.
\]

- **Matrices**

For any matrix \( A = (a_{ik}) \quad (i, k = 1, \ldots, n) \) we use the following notation:

\( I \) is the unit matrix, i.e. \( I = \delta_{ik} \) (sometimes, the unit matrix will be denote just 1);

\( A^{-1} \) is the inverse matrix, i.e. \( A^{-1} A = A A^{-1} = I \);

\( A^\top \) is the transposed matrix, i.e. \( a_{ik}^\top = a_{ki} \);

\( A^* \) is the complex conjugated matrix, i.e. \( (A^*)_{ik} = (a_{ik})^* \);

\( A^\dagger \) is the Hermitian conjugated matrix, i.e. \( a_{ik}^\dagger = a_{ki}^\dagger \);

\( H \) – Hermitian and \( U \) – unitary matrices should satisfy the following conditions:

\[ H^\dagger = H, \quad U = (U^\dagger)^{-1}, \quad \text{hence } U^\dagger = U^{-1}, \quad U U^\dagger = U^\dagger U = I. \]
det $A$ is the determinant of matrix $A$

$$\det A = \varepsilon_{i_1i_2\ldots i_n}a_{i_11}a_{i_22}\cdots a_{i_nn} = \frac{1}{n!}\varepsilon_{i_1i_2\ldots i_n}\varepsilon^{k_1k_2\ldots k_n}a_{i_1k_1}a_{i_2k_2}\cdots a_{i nk_n}.$$  

Tr$A$ is the trace of matrix $A$ : $\text{Tr}A = a_{ii} (= \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ii})$. The chief properties of the trace are as follows (below $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are parameters):

$$\text{Tr}(\lambda A + \mu B) = \lambda \text{Tr}A + \mu \text{Tr}B,$$

$$\text{Tr}A^\top = \text{Tr}A, \quad \text{Tr}A^* = \text{Tr}A^\dagger = (\text{Tr}A)^*, \quad \text{Tr}I = n,$$

$$\text{Tr}(AB) = \text{Tr}(BA), \quad \det(e^A) = e^{\text{Tr}A}.$$  

For any two matrices $A$ and $B$ the commutator $[A,B]$ and anticommutator $\{A,B\}$ are denoted as usual:

$$[A,B] \equiv AB - BA, \quad \{A,B\} \equiv AB + BA.$$  

- Natural units

Throughout this article the “natural” unit system is used, where the constants $c$ and $\hbar$ are given specified values 1: $c = \hbar = 1$. Below is the conversion of eV (or GeV) to other ”physical” units:

$$1 \text{ eV} = 1.602 \times 10^{-19} \text{ joule} = 11604.525 \text{ kelvin, K}$$

$$1 \text{ GeV} = 1.7827 \times 10^{-27} \text{ kg}$$

$$\frac{1}{\text{GeV}} = 0.19733 \times 10^{-15} \text{ m} = 6.5822 \times 10^{-25} \text{ c}$$

$$\frac{1}{\text{GeV}^2} = 0.38939 \text{ mb}$$
2 PAULI MATRICES

2.1 Main Properties

The Pauli matrices \( \sigma_i \) \( (i = 1, 2, 3) \) are generators of the group \( SU(2) \). The \( \sigma_i \) are equal [1–4]:

\[
\sigma_1 = \sigma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \sigma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

The main properties of \( \sigma_i \) are as follows:

\[
\sigma_i^\dagger = \sigma_i, \quad \text{Tr}(\sigma_i) = 0, \quad \det(\sigma_i) = -1, \quad \sigma_i \sigma_k = i \varepsilon_{ikj} \sigma_j + \delta_{ik}.
\]

Using relation (2.1), one gets:

\[
\sigma_i^2 = I, \quad [\sigma_i, \sigma_k] = 2i \varepsilon_{ikj} \sigma_j, \quad \{\sigma_i, \sigma_k\} = 2 \delta_{ik},
\]

\[
\sigma_i \sigma_k \sigma_l = i \varepsilon_{ikl} I + \delta_{ik} \sigma_l - \delta_{il} \sigma_k + \delta_{kl} \sigma_i, \quad \text{Tr}(\sigma_i \sigma_k) = 2 \delta_{ik}, \quad \text{Tr}(\sigma_i \sigma_k \sigma_l) = 2(\delta_{ik} \delta_{lm} + \delta_{im} \delta_{kl} - \delta_{il} \delta_{km}).
\]

2.2 Fiertz Identities

The Fiertz identities for the Pauli matrices have the form:

\[
\sigma^i_{AB} \sigma^i_{CD} = 2 \delta_{AD} \delta_{CB} - \delta_{AB} \delta_{CD}, \quad (2.2)
\]

\[
\sigma^i_{AB} \sigma^i_{CD} = 3 \frac{1}{2} \delta_{AD} \delta_{CB} - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^i_{AD} \sigma^i_{CB}, \quad (2.3)
\]

Using (2.2), one can obtain the following relations:

\[
\delta_{AB} \sigma^i_{CD} = \frac{1}{2} [\delta_{AD} \sigma^i_{CB} + \sigma^i_{AD} \delta_{CB} + i \varepsilon^{ikl} \sigma^i_{AD} \sigma^i_{CB}],
\]

\[
\sigma^i_{AB} \delta_{CD} = \frac{1}{2} [\delta_{AD} \sigma^i_{CB} + \sigma^i_{AD} \delta_{CB} - i \varepsilon^{ikl} \sigma^i_{AD} \sigma^i_{CB}],
\]

\[
\delta_{AB} \sigma^i_{CD} + \sigma^i_{AB} \delta_{CD} = \sigma^i_{AD} \delta_{CB} + \delta_{AD} \sigma^i_{CB},
\]

\[
\sigma^i_{AB} \sigma^k_{CD} = \frac{1}{2} [\sigma^i_{AD} \sigma^k_{CB} + \delta^{ikj} \delta_{AD} \delta_{CB} - \delta^{ik} \sigma^j_{AD} \sigma^k_{CB} + i \varepsilon^{ikl} \sigma^j_{AD} \sigma^k_{CB} - i \varepsilon^{ikl} \delta_{AD} \sigma^i_{CB}].
\]

2.3 \( \sigma_+ \) and \( \sigma_- \) Matrices

The \( \sigma_+ \) and \( \sigma_- \) matrices are defined as follows:

\[
\sigma_+ = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1 + i \sigma_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_- = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1 - i \sigma_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
The relations for these matrices are given by

\[(\sigma_{\pm})^\dagger = \sigma_{\mp}, \quad \text{Tr}\sigma_{\pm} = 0, \quad \det \sigma_{\pm} = 0,\]
\[\sigma_{\pm}, \sigma_1 = \pm \sigma_3, \quad [\sigma_{\pm}, \sigma_2] = i\sigma_3, \quad [\sigma_{\pm}, \sigma_3] = \mp 2\sigma_\pm, \quad [\sigma_+, \sigma_-] = \sigma_3,\]
\[\{\sigma_{\pm}, \sigma_1\} = I, \quad \{\sigma_{\pm}, \sigma_2\} = \pm iI, \quad \{\sigma_{\pm}, \sigma_3\} = 0, \quad \{\sigma_+, \sigma_-\} = I,\]
\[\sigma_+^2 = \sigma_-^2 = 0, \quad \sigma_+\sigma_3 = \sigma_-\sigma_+ = \sigma_3,\]
\[\sigma_+ + \sigma_- = \frac{1}{2}(I + \sigma_3), \quad \sigma_-\sigma_+ = \frac{1}{2}(I - \sigma_3),\]
\[(\sigma_+\sigma_-)^n = \sigma_+\sigma_-, \quad (\sigma_-\sigma_+)^n = \sigma_-\sigma_+.
\]

For any parameter \(\xi\) one gets:

\[\exp(\xi \sigma_3/2)\sigma_{\pm}\exp(-\xi \sigma_3/2) = \sigma_{\pm}\exp(\pm\xi).\]

If \(f(\sigma_+\sigma_-)\) (or \(f(\sigma_-\sigma_+)\)) is an arbitrary function of \(\sigma_+\sigma_-\) (or of \(\sigma_-\sigma_+)\), and this function can be expanded into power series with respect to \(\sigma_+\sigma_-\) (or with respect to \(\sigma_-\sigma_+)\), then

\[f(\sigma_+\sigma_-) = f(0) + [f(1) - f(0)]\sigma_+\sigma_-,\]
\[f(\sigma_-\sigma_+) = f(0) + [f(1) - f(0)]\sigma_-\sigma_+.
\]

### 2.4 Various Relations

Any \(2 \times 2\) matrix \(A\) can be expanded over the set \(\{I, \sigma_i\}\):

\[A = a_0 I + a_i \sigma_i,\]

where \(a_0 = \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}A\), and \(a_i = \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}(\sigma_iA)\).

Let \(\xi_i\) be the 3-vector \(\xi\). Then

\[\exp(\xi_i \sigma_i) = \cosh \sqrt{\xi^2} + \frac{\sinh \sqrt{\xi^2}}{\sqrt{\xi^2}}(\xi_i \sigma_i) = p_0 + p_i \sigma_i.\]  

(2.4)

The components of the 4-vector \(p^\mu\) equal:

\[p_0 = \cosh \sqrt{\xi^2}, \quad p_i = \frac{\sinh \sqrt{\xi^2}}{\sqrt{\xi^2}}\xi_i, \quad p_0^2 - p^2 = p^2 = 1,\]

(2.5)

and we have

\[\xi_i = \frac{p_i}{\sqrt{p^2}} \ln(p_0 + \sqrt{p^2}).\]  

(2.6)

Let \(p\) and \(q\) be two 4–vectors, and \(p^2 = q^2 = 1\), then

\[(p_0 + p_i \sigma_i)(q_0 + q_k \sigma_k) = a_0 + a_i \sigma_i = e^{\beta_i \sigma_i},\]  

(2.7)

where \(a_0 = p_0 q_0 + (pq)\), \(a_j = p_0 q_j + p_j q_0 + i\varepsilon^{jkl} p_k q_l\), and the 3–vector \(\beta_i\) in the relation (2.7) is expressed through \(a_0\) and \(a\) as in (2.6).
2.5 4-dimensional $\sigma^\mu$ Matrices

Here we present the various properties of $2 \times 2$ matrices $\sigma^\mu$ and $\bar{\sigma}^\mu$ ($\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$):

$$\sigma^\mu_{AB} \equiv (I, -\sigma_i); \quad \bar{\sigma}^\mu{}_{\dot{A}\dot{B}} \equiv (I, \sigma_i), \quad \mu = 0, 1, 2, 3,$$

where $\sigma_i$ are Pauli matrices.

With the help of $\sigma^\mu$–matrices any tensor in Minkowski space can be unambiguously rewritten in spinorial form. In order to deal only with Lorentz–covariant expressions one should clearly distinguish between dot and undot, lower and upper Weyl indices. The $\varepsilon$–symbol (see Section 1) used here for rising and lowering indices.

The main properties of the $\sigma^\mu$ matrices are as follows:

$$\bar{\sigma}^\mu{}^A \varepsilon_A^B \varepsilon_B^\mu, \quad \sigma^\mu_{AA} = \varepsilon_{AB} \varepsilon_{AB} \bar{\sigma}^\mu{}^B,$$

$$(\sigma^\mu)^\dagger = \sigma^\mu, \quad (\bar{\sigma}^\mu)^\dagger = \bar{\sigma}^\mu, \quad \det \sigma^\mu = \det \bar{\sigma}^\mu = 1(-1), \text{ for } \mu = 0(1, 2, 3).$$

For any 4–vector $p^\mu$ one has:

$$\det p_\mu \sigma^\mu = \det p_\mu \bar{\sigma}^\mu = p^2.$$

Various products of $\sigma^\mu$ matrices have the form:

$$\sigma^\mu_{AC} \sigma^\nu_{CB} + \sigma^\nu_{AC} \sigma^\mu_{CB} = 2 g^\mu{}^\nu \delta_A{}^B, \quad \bar{\sigma}^\mu_{AC} \sigma^\nu_{CB} + \bar{\sigma}^\nu_{AC} \sigma^\mu_{CB} = 2 g^{\mu\nu} \delta_A{}^B,$$

$$\sigma^\mu_{AC} \bar{\sigma}_{CB} = 4 \delta_A{}^B, \quad \bar{\sigma}^\mu_{AC} \sigma_{CB} = 4 \delta_A{}^B,$$

$$\sigma^\mu_{AA} \sigma_{BB} \varepsilon_{AB} \varepsilon_{AB} = 4 \varepsilon_{AB}, \quad \sigma^\mu_{AA} \sigma_{BB} \varepsilon_{AB} \varepsilon_{AB} = 4 \varepsilon_{AB},$$

$$\bar{\sigma}^\mu_{AA} \bar{\sigma}_{BB} \varepsilon_{AB} \varepsilon_{AB} = 4 \varepsilon_{AB}, \quad \bar{\sigma}^\mu_{AA} \bar{\sigma}_{BB} \varepsilon_{AB} \varepsilon_{AB} = 4 \varepsilon_{AB},$$

$$\sigma^\mu_{AA} \sigma^\nu_{BB} \varepsilon_{AB} \varepsilon_{AB} = \sigma^\mu_{AA} \sigma^\nu_{BB} \varepsilon_{AB} \varepsilon_{AB} = 2 g^{\mu\nu},$$

$$\sigma^\mu \delta^\lambda \sigma^\nu = g^{\mu\lambda} \delta^\nu + g^{\nu\lambda} \sigma^\mu - g^{\mu\nu} \delta^\lambda + i \varepsilon^{\mu\lambda\nu} \sigma^\rho,$$

$$\bar{\sigma}^\mu \delta^\lambda \sigma^\nu = g^{\mu\lambda} \delta^\nu + g^{\nu\lambda} \sigma^\mu - g^{\mu\nu} \delta^\lambda + i \varepsilon^{\mu\lambda\nu} \sigma^\rho,$$

$$\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} = i \sigma^\mu_{AA} \sigma^\nu_{BB} \sigma^\rho_{CC} \sigma^\lambda_{DD} (\varepsilon_{AC} \varepsilon_{BD} \varepsilon_{AD} \varepsilon_{BC} - \varepsilon_{AD} \varepsilon_{BC} \varepsilon_{AC} \varepsilon_{BD}).$$

The commutators of $\sigma^\mu$ and $\bar{\sigma}^\mu$ matrices have the special notation:

$$\sigma^\mu_{AB} \equiv \frac{1}{4} \left( \sigma^\mu_{AC} \varepsilon_{CB} - \sigma^\nu_{AC} \bar{\sigma}^\mu_{CB} \right), \quad \bar{\sigma}^\mu_{\dot{A}B} \equiv \frac{1}{4} \left( \bar{\sigma}^\mu_{\dot{A}C} \sigma^\nu_{CB} - \bar{\sigma}^\nu_{\dot{A}C} \sigma^\mu_{CB} \right).$$

The main properties of $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$ are as follows:

$$\sigma^0 = \frac{1}{2} \sigma^i, \quad \sigma^i = -i \varepsilon^{ikl} \sigma^l, \quad \bar{\sigma}^0 = -\frac{1}{2} \sigma^i, \quad \bar{\sigma}^i = \sigma^i,$$

$$\sigma^{\mu\nu} = -\sigma^{\nu\mu}, \quad \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} = -\bar{\sigma}^{\nu\mu},$$

$$(\sigma^{\mu\nu})^\dagger_A^B = g^{\mu\nu} \delta_A^B + 2 \sigma^{\mu\nu}_A, \quad (\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu})_{\dot{A}}^B = g^{\mu\nu} \delta_A^B + 2 \sigma^{\mu\nu}_A,$$

$$\sigma^\mu_{AB} \varepsilon_{KC} = \sigma^\mu_{BC} \varepsilon_{KA}, \quad \bar{\sigma}^\mu_{\dot{A}\dot{B}} \varepsilon_{\dot{K}C} = \bar{\sigma}^\mu_{\dot{B}\dot{C}} \varepsilon_{\dot{K}A},$$

$$\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \sigma_{\lambda\rho} = -2 i \sigma^{\mu\nu}, \quad \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \bar{\sigma}_{\lambda\rho} = 2 i \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}. $$
2.6 Traces of $\sigma^\mu$ Matrices

$$\text{Tr} \sigma^\mu = \text{Tr} \bar{\sigma}^\mu = 2(0) \quad \text{for} \quad \mu = 0, 1, 2, 3,$$

$$\text{Tr} \sigma^{\mu \nu} = \text{Tr} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu \nu} = 0, \quad \text{Tr}(\sigma^\mu \bar{\sigma}^\nu) = \text{Tr}(\bar{\sigma}^\mu \sigma^\nu) = 2g^{\mu \nu},$$

$$\text{Tr}(\sigma^\mu \bar{\sigma}^\nu \sigma^\lambda \bar{\sigma}^\rho) = 2(g^{\mu \nu} g^{\lambda \rho} + g^{\mu \rho} g^{\nu \lambda} - g^{\mu \lambda} g^{\nu \rho} - i\epsilon^{\mu \nu \lambda \rho}),$$

$$\text{Tr}(\sigma^{\mu \nu} \sigma^{\lambda \rho}) = \text{Tr}(\bar{\sigma}^{\mu \nu} \bar{\sigma}^{\lambda \rho}) = \frac{1}{2}(g^{\mu \rho} g^{\nu \lambda} - g^{\mu \lambda} g^{\nu \rho} - i\epsilon^{\mu \nu \lambda \rho}).$$

2.7 Fiertz Identities for $\sigma^\mu$ Matrices

The Fiertz identities for $\sigma^\mu$ equal:

$$\sigma^\mu_{\ A A} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu B} = 2\delta^B_A \delta^A_B, \quad \sigma^\mu_{\ A A} \sigma^\mu_{\ B B} = 2\varepsilon_{AB} \varepsilon_{AB}, \quad \bar{\sigma}^{\mu A A \bar{\sigma}^{\mu B B}} = 2\varepsilon^{AB} \varepsilon_{AB}. \quad (2.9)$$

From the relations (2.9) one gets:

$$\sigma^\mu_{\ A A} \bar{\sigma}^{\nu B B} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu \nu} \delta^B_A \delta^A_B - \delta^B_A \delta^A_B \bar{\sigma}^{\mu B A} + \sigma^\mu_{\ A A} \delta^B_A \delta^A_B + 2\sigma^\nu_{\ A B} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu \lambda B A},$$

$$\sigma^\mu_{\ A A} \bar{\sigma}^{\nu B B} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu \nu} \varepsilon_{AB} \varepsilon_{AB} + \varepsilon_{AB} (\varepsilon_{AC} \sigma^\mu_{\ C B} + (\sigma^\mu_{\ A C} \varepsilon_{CB}) \varepsilon_{AB}$$

$$-2(\sigma^\mu_{\ A C} \varepsilon_{CB} (\varepsilon_{AC} \sigma^\nu_{\ C B}),$$

$$\bar{\sigma}^{\mu A A \bar{\sigma}^{\nu B B}} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu \nu} \varepsilon_{AB} \varepsilon_{AB} + \varepsilon_{AB} (\varepsilon_{AC} \sigma^\mu_{\ C B} + \varepsilon_{AB} (\sigma^\nu_{\ A C} \varepsilon_{CB})$$

$$-2(\varepsilon_{AC} \sigma^\nu_{\ B C}) \bar{\sigma}^{\mu \lambda A} \varepsilon_{A B},$$

$$(\varepsilon_{AC} \sigma^\mu_{\ B C})(\bar{\sigma}^{\nu \lambda A} \varepsilon_{A B}) = (\varepsilon_{AC} \sigma^\mu_{\ B C})(\bar{\sigma}^{\nu \lambda A} \varepsilon_{A B}).$$
3 DIRAC MATRICES

3.1 Main Properties

The main properties of the Dirac $\gamma$-matrices are as follows [1–5]:

$$\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu = 2g^{\mu\nu}, \quad (\gamma^0)^2 = I, \quad (\gamma^i)^2 = -I, \quad (\gamma^0)^\dagger = \gamma^0, \quad (\gamma^i)^\dagger = -\gamma^i. \quad (3.1)$$

$$\sigma^{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu - \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu), \quad \sigma^{\mu\nu} = -\sigma^{\nu\mu} \quad (3.2)$$

The definition of the $\gamma^5$ matrix and its properties are as follows:

$$\gamma^5 \equiv i\gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 = -\frac{i}{4!}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu. \quad (3.3)$$

Note, that

$$\gamma^0 = \gamma_0, \quad \gamma^i = -\gamma_i, \quad (\gamma^\mu)^\dagger = \gamma^0 \gamma^\mu \gamma^0 = \gamma^\mu.$$ 

The Dirac conjugation of any $4 \times 4$–matrix $A$ is defined as follows:

$$\bar{A} \equiv \gamma^0 A^\dagger \gamma^0. \quad (3.5)$$

From (3.5) one gets:

$$\bar{\gamma}^\mu = \gamma^\mu, \quad \bar{\gamma}^5 = -\gamma^5, \quad \bar{\gamma}^{\alpha\beta\cdots \gamma^\lambda} = \gamma^\lambda \cdots \gamma^\alpha, \quad \bar{\gamma}^{\alpha\beta\cdots \gamma^m \gamma^5 \cdots \gamma^\lambda} = \gamma^\lambda \cdots (-\gamma^5) \gamma^m \cdots \gamma^\beta \gamma^\alpha = \gamma^\lambda \cdots \gamma^m \gamma^5 \cdots \gamma^\beta \gamma^\alpha.$$ 

In this Section for the string of the $\gamma$–matrices we shall use the special notation:

$$S = S^n \equiv \gamma^{\alpha_1} \gamma^{\alpha_2} \cdots \gamma^{\alpha_n}, \quad S_R = S^n_R \equiv \gamma^{\alpha_n} \cdots \gamma^{\alpha_2} \gamma^{\alpha_1}. \quad (3.6)$$

Odd– and even–numbered string of $\gamma$–matrices will be denoted as follows:

$$S^{\text{odd}} \equiv \gamma^{\alpha_1} \gamma^{\alpha_2} \cdots \gamma^{\alpha_{2k-1}}, \quad S^{\text{even}} \equiv \gamma^{\alpha_1} \gamma^{\alpha_2} \cdots \gamma^{\alpha_{2k}}. \quad (3.7)$$

3.2 Representations of the Dirac Matrices

The non–singular transformation $\gamma \rightarrow U\gamma U^\dagger$ connects the different representations of the $\gamma$–matrices (Pauli lemma). Here we present three representations of the Dirac matrices.

**Dirac (standard) representation**

$$\gamma_D^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_D^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_D^5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.8)$$

**Chiral (spinorial) representation**

$$\gamma_C^0 = U_C \gamma_D^0 U_C^\dagger, \quad U_C = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 + \gamma_D^5) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\gamma_C^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_C^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_C^5 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$P_R = \gamma_R = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \gamma^5) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_L = \gamma_L = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \gamma^5) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.9)$$
• Majorana representation

\[ \gamma^\mu_M = U_M \gamma^\mu_D U_M^\dagger, \quad U_M = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sigma_2 \\ \sigma_2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \]

\[ \gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_2 \\ \sigma_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} i\sigma_3 & 0 \\ 0 & i\sigma_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sigma_2 \\ \sigma_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \]

\[ \gamma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} -i\sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & i\sigma_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^5 = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma_2 \end{pmatrix}. \]

3.3 Expansion of \(4 \times 4\) Matrices

The following 16 matrices \(\Gamma_A\) \((A = 1, \ldots, 16)\)

\[ I, \quad \gamma^5, \quad \gamma^\mu, \quad \gamma^5\gamma^\mu, \quad \sigma^{\mu\nu} \] (3.8)

are the full set of \(4 \times 4\)-matrices.

The main properties of \(\Gamma_A\) are as follows:

\[ \text{Tr}I = 4, \quad \text{Tr}\gamma^5 = \text{Tr}\gamma^\mu = \text{Tr}\gamma^5\gamma^\mu = \text{Tr}\sigma^{\mu\nu} = 0 \] (3.9)

Any \(4 \times 4\)-matrix \(A\) can be expanded over set of the \(\Gamma_A\)-matrices:

\[ A = a_0I + a_5\gamma^5 + v^\mu\gamma^\mu + a_\mu\gamma^5\gamma^\mu + T^{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\mu\nu}, \] (3.10)

where the coefficients could be found from the following relations:

\[ a_0 = \frac{1}{4}\text{Tr}A, \quad a_5 = \frac{1}{4}\text{Tr}(\gamma^5A), \quad v^\mu = \frac{1}{4}\text{Tr}(\gamma^\mu A), \]

\[ a^\mu = -\frac{1}{4}\text{Tr}(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu A), \quad T^{\mu\nu} = -T^{\nu\mu} = -\frac{1}{8}\text{Tr}(\sigma^{\mu\nu} A). \]

For the expansion of a matrix \(A\) one can use another set of \(\Gamma'_A\) \((\Gamma'_A = X, Y, U^\mu, V^\mu, \sigma^{\mu\nu})\):

\[ X = I + \gamma^5, \quad Y = I - \gamma^5, \quad U^\mu = (I + \gamma^5)\gamma^\mu, \quad V^\mu = (I - \gamma^5)\gamma^\mu, \]

\[ X^2 = 2X, \quad Y^2 = 2Y. \]

These matrices have the following properties:

\[ U^2 = V^2 = XY = YX = XU^\mu = YV^\mu = 0, \]

\[ \text{Tr}X = \text{Tr}Y = 4, \quad \text{Tr}U^\mu = \text{Tr}U^\mu = \text{Tr}\sigma^{\mu\nu} = 0. \]

The expansion of any \(4 \times 4\)-matrix \(A\) over set of \(\Gamma'_A\)-matrices has the form:

\[ A = a_xX + a_yY + b^\mu U^\mu + c^\mu V^\mu + T^{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\mu\nu}, \]

where

\[ a_x = \frac{1}{8}\text{Tr}(XA), \quad a_y = \frac{1}{8}\text{Tr}(YA), \quad b^\mu = \frac{1}{8}\text{Tr}(V^\mu A), \quad c^\mu = \frac{1}{8}\text{Tr}(U^\mu A), \]

\[ T^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{8}\text{Tr}(\sigma^{\mu\nu} A). \]
3.4 Products of the Dirac Matrices

\[ \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu = g^{\mu\nu} + \sigma^{\mu\nu}, \quad \gamma^5 \gamma^\mu = \sigma^{\mu5} + g^{\mu5}, \]
\[ \gamma^5 \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu = g^{\mu\nu} \gamma^5 + \frac{i}{2} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \sigma_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \gamma^5 \sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \sigma_{\alpha\beta}; \]
\[ \gamma^\lambda \sigma^{\mu\nu} = (g^{\mu\lambda} \gamma^\nu - g^{\nu\lambda} \gamma^\mu) - i \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \gamma^5 \gamma^\alpha, \]
\[ \sigma^{\mu\nu} \gamma^\lambda = - (g^{\mu\lambda} \gamma^\nu - g^{\nu\lambda} \gamma^\mu) - i \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \gamma^5 \gamma^\alpha, \]
\[ \gamma^5 \gamma^\lambda \sigma^{\mu\nu} = (g^{\mu\lambda} \gamma^5 \gamma^\nu - g^{\nu\lambda} \gamma^5 \gamma^\mu) - i \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \gamma^5 \gamma^\alpha, \]
\[ \sigma^{\alpha\beta} \sigma^{\mu\nu} = g^{\alpha\nu} g^{\beta\mu} - g^{\alpha\mu} g^{\beta\nu} - i \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \gamma^5, \]
\[ \sigma^{\alpha\beta} \sigma^{\mu\nu} + \sigma^{\mu\nu} \sigma^{\alpha\beta} = 2 (g^{\alpha\nu} g^{\beta\mu} - g^{\alpha\mu} g^{\beta\nu} - i \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \gamma^5). \]

The totally antisymmetric tensor \( \gamma^{\mu\nu\lambda} \) is defined as follows:

\[ \gamma^{\mu\nu\lambda} \equiv \frac{1}{6} (\gamma^{\mu\nu} \gamma^\lambda + \gamma^{\nu\lambda} \gamma^\mu + \gamma^{\lambda\mu} \gamma^\nu - \gamma^{\mu\nu} \gamma^\lambda - \gamma^{\nu\lambda} \gamma^\mu - \gamma^{\lambda\mu} \gamma^\nu), \]
\[ \gamma^{\mu\nu} \gamma^\lambda = \gamma^{\mu\nu} \gamma^{\lambda\alpha} \gamma_{\alpha} + \gamma^{\nu\lambda} \gamma^{\alpha\mu} \gamma_{\alpha} - \gamma^{\lambda\mu} \gamma^{\nu\alpha} \gamma_{\alpha}, \]
\[ \gamma^{\mu\nu} = - i \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\alpha} \gamma^5 \gamma^\alpha, \quad \gamma^5 \gamma^\alpha = \frac{i}{6} \varepsilon^{\alpha\nu\mu\lambda} \gamma^{\mu\nu\lambda}. \]

The products of the type \( \sum_{A=1}^{16} \Gamma^A B^A \Gamma^A \) are presented in the Table 3.1.

Table 3.1.

| \( \Gamma^B \) | \( \gamma^B \) | \( \gamma^B \gamma^\nu \) | \( \gamma^B \gamma^\nu \gamma^\alpha \) | \( \gamma^B \gamma^\nu \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta \) | \( \sigma^{\mu\nu} \gamma^\alpha \) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| \( I \) | \( I \) | \( 4 \) | \( -4 \) | \( -1 \) | \( \) |
| \( \gamma^\beta \) | \( \gamma^5 \) | \( -4 \gamma^5 \) | \( -4 \gamma^5 \) | \( -12 \gamma^5 \) | \( \) |
| \( \gamma^\alpha \) | \( -\gamma^\alpha \) | \( -2 \gamma^\alpha \) | \( -2 \gamma^\alpha \) | \( 0 \) | \( \) |
| \( \gamma^\beta \gamma^\alpha \) | \( - \gamma^\beta \gamma^\alpha \) | \( 2 \gamma^5 \gamma^\alpha \) | \( -2 \gamma^3 \gamma^\alpha \) | \( 0 \) | \( \) |
| \( \sigma^{\alpha\beta} \) | \( \sigma^{\alpha\beta} \) | \( 0 \) | \( 0 \) | \( 4 \sigma^{\alpha\beta} \) | \( \) |

So-called Chisholm identities are given by [5]:

\[ \gamma^\mu S^{\text{odd}} \gamma^\mu = - 2 S^{\text{odd}} R, \]
\[ \gamma^\mu S^{\text{even}} \gamma^\mu = \gamma^\lambda S^{\text{odd}} \gamma^\mu = 2 \gamma^\lambda S^{\text{odd}} + 2 S^{\text{odd}} \gamma^\lambda, \]

where in the last relation \( S^{\text{even}} = \gamma^\lambda S^{\text{odd}} \).

Using the relations (3.11) and (3.12), one gets:

\[ \gamma^\mu S^{\text{even}} \gamma^\mu = \text{Tr}(S^{\text{even}}) I - \text{Tr}(\gamma^5 S^{\text{even}}) \gamma^5, \]
\[ \hat{p} S^{\text{even}} \hat{p} = - p^2 S^R + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\hat{p} \gamma^\alpha S^{\text{even}} \gamma^\alpha) \gamma^5 \hat{p}, \]
\[ \hat{p} S^{\text{odd}} \hat{p} = - \hat{p} S^{\text{odd}} \hat{p}, \quad \text{for} \quad p^2 = 0, \]
\[ \gamma^\mu S^{\text{odd}} \gamma^\mu = - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\gamma^\alpha S^{\text{odd}} \gamma^\alpha) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\gamma^5 \gamma^\alpha S^{\text{odd}} \gamma^5 \gamma^\alpha), \]
\[ \hat{p} S^{\text{odd}} \hat{p} = - p^2 S^R + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\hat{p} S^{\text{odd}} \hat{p}) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\gamma^5 \gamma^\alpha S^{\text{odd}} \gamma^5 \gamma^\alpha), \]
\[ S^R = \frac{1}{4} \text{Tr}(\gamma^\alpha S^{\text{odd}} \gamma^\alpha) + \frac{1}{4} \text{Tr}(\gamma^5 \gamma^\alpha S^{\text{odd}} \gamma^5 \gamma^\alpha), \]
\[ S^{\text{odd}} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\gamma^\alpha S^{\text{odd}} \gamma^\alpha). \]
Using (3.11) and (3.12), one can write also the well known relations for $S^1$, $S^2$, $S^3$, $S^4$:

$$\gamma^\mu \gamma^\alpha \gamma_\mu = -2\gamma^\alpha, \quad \gamma^\mu \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta \gamma_\mu = -2\gamma^\delta \gamma^\beta \gamma^\alpha,$$

$$\gamma^\mu \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta \gamma_\mu = 4g^{\alpha\beta},$$

$$\gamma^\mu \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta \gamma_\mu = 2(\gamma^\alpha \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\alpha + \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\alpha),$$

$$\gamma^\mu \sigma^{\alpha\beta} \gamma_\mu = 0, \quad \gamma^\mu \sigma^{\alpha\beta} \gamma^\delta \gamma_\mu = 2\gamma^\delta \sigma^{\alpha\beta}, \quad \gamma^\mu \sigma^{\alpha\beta} \gamma^\delta \gamma_\mu = 2\sigma^{\alpha\beta} \gamma^\delta.$$

### 3.5 Fiertz Identities

Fiertz identities for $\gamma$–matrices could be obtained from the basic formula:

$$\delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} = \frac{1}{4}[\delta_{il} \delta_{kj} + \gamma^5_{il} \gamma^5_{kj} + \gamma^\mu_{il} \gamma^\mu_{kj} - (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{il} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{kj} - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{\mu\nu}_{il} (\sigma_{\mu\nu})_{kj}]. \quad (3.13)$$

Using relation (3.13) one gets:

$$(1 \pm \gamma^5)_{ij} \delta_{kl} =$$

$$\frac{1}{8}[2(1 \pm \gamma^5)_{il} (1 \pm \gamma^5)_{kj} + 2((1 \pm \gamma^5) \gamma^\mu)_{il} ((1 \mp \gamma^5) \gamma^\mu)_{kj} - ((1 \pm \gamma^5) \sigma^{\mu\nu})_{il} \sigma^{\mu\nu}_{kj}],$$

$$\delta_{ij} (1 \pm \gamma^5)_{kl} =$$

$$\frac{1}{8}[2(1 \pm \gamma^5)_{il} (1 \pm \gamma^5)_{kj} + 2((1 \mp \gamma^5) \gamma^\mu)_{il} ((1 \pm \gamma^5) \gamma^\mu)_{kj} - ((1 \pm \gamma^5) \sigma^{\mu\nu})_{il} \sigma^{\mu\nu}_{kj}],$$

$$(1 \pm \gamma^5)_{ij} (1 \pm \gamma^5)_{kl} = \frac{1}{4}[2(1 \pm \gamma^5)_{il} (1 \pm \gamma^5)_{kj} - ((1 \pm \gamma^5) \sigma^{\mu\nu})_{il} \sigma^{\mu\nu}_{kj}] =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[(1 \pm \gamma^5)_{il} (1 \pm \gamma^5)_{kj} - \frac{1}{2} ((1 \pm \gamma^5) \sigma^{\mu\nu})_{il} (1 \pm \gamma^5) \sigma^{\mu\nu}_{kj}\right]$$

$$(1 \pm \gamma^5)_{ij} (1 \mp \gamma^5)_{kl} = \frac{1}{2}((1 \pm \gamma^5) \gamma^\mu_{il} [(1 \mp \gamma^5) \gamma^\mu_{kj}],$$

$$[(1 \pm \gamma^5) \gamma^\mu]_{ij} [(1 \mp \gamma^5) \gamma^\mu]_{kl} = -[(1 \mp \gamma^5) \gamma^\mu_{il} [(1 \pm \gamma^5) \gamma^\mu_{kj}],$$

$$[(1 \pm \gamma^5) \gamma^\mu]_{ij} [(1 \pm \gamma^5) \gamma^\mu]_{kl} = 2(1 \pm \gamma^5)_{il} (1 \mp \gamma^5)_{kj}],$$

$$(\gamma^\mu)_{ij} (\gamma^\mu_{kl} + (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ij} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{kl} = -[(\gamma^\mu)_{il} (\gamma^\mu)_{kj} + (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{ij} (\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu)_{kl}].$$

### 3.6 Traces of the $\gamma$–matrices

The trace of any odd–numbered string of $\gamma$–matrices (including any number of $\gamma^5$ matrices) and trace of the $\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu$ product are equal to zero:

$$\text{Tr} S^{\text{odd}} = \text{Tr}(S^{\text{odd}}(\ldots \gamma^5 \ldots)) = \text{Tr}(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu) = 0.$$

In this Subsection we use the following notation:

$$T^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \ldots \mu_n} = \frac{1}{4} \text{Tr}(\gamma^{\mu_1} \gamma^{\mu_2} \ldots \gamma^{\mu_n}).$$
Then

\[ T^{\mu \nu} = g^{\mu \nu}, \quad T^{\alpha \beta \delta \sigma} = g^{\alpha \beta} g^{\delta \sigma} + g^{\alpha \sigma} g^{\delta \beta} - g^{\alpha \delta} g^{\beta \sigma}, \]

\[ T^{\alpha \beta \lambda \rho \sigma} = g^{\alpha \beta} T^{\lambda \rho \sigma} - g^{\alpha \lambda} T^{\beta \rho \sigma} + g^{\alpha \rho} T^{\beta \lambda \sigma} + g^{\alpha \sigma} T^{\beta \lambda \rho}, \]

\[ \text{Tr}(\gamma^5) = 0, \quad \text{Tr}(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu) = 0, \quad \text{Tr}(\gamma^5 \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta \gamma^\lambda \gamma^\delta) = -4i \varepsilon^{\alpha \beta \delta \lambda}, \]

\[ \text{Tr}(\sigma^{\alpha \beta} \sigma^{\mu \nu}) = 4(g^{\alpha \nu} g^{\beta \mu} - g^{\alpha \mu} g^{\beta \nu}). \]

Using the relation (3.13), one can rewrite the trace of the product of two $4 \times 4$ matrices $A$ and $B$ as follows:

\[ 4 \text{Tr}(AB) = \text{Tr}(A) \text{Tr}(B) + \text{Tr}(\gamma^5 A) \text{Tr}(\gamma^5 B) + \text{Tr}(\gamma^\mu A) \text{Tr}(\gamma_\mu B) \]

\[ - \text{Tr}(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu A) \text{Tr}(\gamma^5 \gamma_\mu B) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\sigma^{\mu \nu} A) \text{Tr}(\sigma_{\mu \nu} B). \]

The additional equation can be obtained using the Chisholm identities (3.11) and (3.12):

\[ \text{Tr}(A \gamma^\mu B) \text{Tr}(\gamma_\mu \gamma^{\text{odd}}) = 2 \left[ \text{Tr}(A \gamma^{\text{odd}} B) + \text{Tr}(A S_{R}^{\text{odd}} B) \right]. \]

### 3.7 Dirac Matrices Algebra in $n$-dimensions

In the framework of dimensional regularization one gets:

\[ \text{Tr} I = f(n), \quad f(4) = 4, \quad g^{\mu \nu} g_{\mu \nu} = n, \]

\[ \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu = 2g^{\mu \nu}, \]

\[ \gamma_\mu \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\mu = (2 - n) \gamma^\alpha, \]

\[ \gamma_\mu \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta \gamma^\mu = 4g^{\alpha \beta} + (n - 4) \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta, \]

\[ \gamma_\mu \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta \gamma^\delta \gamma^\mu = -2\delta \gamma^\beta \gamma^\alpha + (4 - n) \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta \gamma^\delta. \]
4 GELL–MANN MATRICES

4.1 The main properties

The Gell-Mann $3 \times 3$ matrices $\lambda_i(i = 1, \ldots, 8)$ are generators of the group $SU(3)$. Their properties were presented elsewhere [3,4,6–8]. Usually in QCD instead of $\lambda_i$ one deals with matrices $t_i$:

$$t_i = \frac{1}{2} \lambda_i.$$

Eight $\lambda_i$ matrices equal:

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\lambda_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\lambda_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\lambda_4 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\lambda_5 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\lambda_6 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\lambda_7 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\lambda_8 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{align*}
$$

The main properties of $t_i$ (or $\lambda_i$) are as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
t_i^\dagger &= t_i, \\
det t_i &= 0, \quad (i = 1, \ldots, 7), \\
det t_8 &= -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}, \\
[t^a, t^b] &= i f^{abc} t^c, \\
\{t^a, t^b\} &= \frac{1}{3} \delta^{ab} + d^{abc} t^c,
\end{align*}
$$

where $d^{abc}(f^{abc})$ is totally symmetric (anti-symmetric) tensor. The non-zero elements of $f^{abc}$ and $d^{abc}$ are equal to:

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{123} &= 1, f_{147} = -f_{156} = f_{246} = f_{257} = f_{345} = -f_{367} = \frac{1}{2}, f_{458} = f_{678} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \\
d_{146} &= d_{157} = -d_{247} = d_{256} = d_{344} = d_{355} = -d_{366} = -d_{377} = \frac{1}{2}, \\
d_{118} &= d_{228} = d_{338} = -d_{888} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, d_{448} = d_{558} = d_{668} = d_{778} = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}.
\end{align*}
$$

Throughout this Section we use two additional notations:

$$
\begin{align*}
h^{abc} &= d^{abc} + i f^{abc}, \\
h^{abc} &= h^{bca} = h^{cab}, \\
h^{a0b} &= 0, \\
S(a_1 a_2 \ldots a_n) &\equiv t^{a_1} t^{a_2} \ldots t^{a_n}, \\
S_R(a_1 a_2 \ldots a_n) &\equiv t^{a_n} \ldots t^{a_2} t^{a_1}.
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, from (4.1) one has:

$$
\begin{align*}
t^a t^b &= \frac{1}{6} \delta^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} (d^{abk} + i f^{abk}) t^k = \frac{1}{6} \delta^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} h^{abk} t^k.
\end{align*}
$$
4.2 Traces of the $t^a$–matrices

Trace of any string of $t^a$ matrices can be evaluated recursively using the relation (4.2):

$$\text{Tr}(a_1 a_2 \ldots a_n) = \frac{1}{6} \delta^{a_{n-1} a_n} \text{Tr}(a_1 \ldots a_{n-2}) + \frac{1}{2} h^{a_{n-1} a_n k} \text{Tr}(a_1 \ldots a_{n-2} k)$$  (4.3)

Using (4.1) and (4.3) one gets:

$$\text{Tr}(t^a) = 0, \quad \text{Tr}(t^a t^b) = 1 \left( \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} h^{ab k} h^{kcd} \right),$$

$$\text{Tr}(t^a t^b t^c) = 1 \left( \frac{1}{6} \delta^{abc} h^{cde} + \frac{1}{24} \delta^{abc} h^{cde} + \frac{1}{16} h^{ab n} h^{n c k} h^{kde} \right).$$

4.3 Fiertz Identity

The Fiertz identity for $t^a$ has the form:

$$t^a_{\alpha i} t^a_{\beta j} = \frac{1}{2} (\delta_{\alpha j} \delta_{\beta k} - \frac{1}{3} \delta_{\alpha k} \delta_{\beta j}).$$  (4.4)

Any $3 \times 3$ matrix $A$ can be expanded over set $\{I, t^a\}$:

$$A = a_0 I + a^i t^i, \quad \text{where} \quad a_0 = \frac{1}{3} \text{Tr} A, \quad a^i = 2 \text{Tr}(t^i A).$$

Decomposition of the two $u_i$ and $\bar{u}_i$ color spinors products into color–singlet and color–octet parts has the form:

$$u_i \bar{u}_j = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{\sqrt{3}} + \sqrt{2} \varepsilon^k t_{ij}, \quad \varepsilon^k \varepsilon^l = \delta^{kl}.$$

4.4 Products of the $t^a$–matrices

The product of $n$ matrices $t^a$ could be written in the form $a_0 + a^i t^i$ using the following relations (see (4.2)):

$$S(a_1 a_2 \ldots a_n) = \frac{1}{6} \delta^{a_{n-1} a_n} S(a_1 a_2 \ldots a_{n-2}) + \frac{1}{2} h^{a_{n-1} a_n k} S(a_1 a_2 \ldots a_{n-2} k)$$  (4.5)

Thus, the products of two, three, and four matrices equal:

$$t^a t^b = \frac{1}{6} \delta^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} (d^{ab k} + i f^{ab k}) t^k = \frac{1}{6} \delta^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} h^{ab k} t^k,$$

$$t^a t^b t^c = \frac{1}{6} \delta^{abc} t^c + \frac{1}{12} h^{abc} h^{k de} t^k + \frac{1}{24} [h^{ab k} \delta^{cde} + \delta^{abc} h^{cde} t^k] + \frac{1}{8} h^{ab n} h^{cde} h^{kde}.$$
The products of the type \( t^k S t^k \) have the form:

\[
\begin{align*}
    t^k S t^k &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(S) - \frac{1}{6} S, \\
    t^k t^k &= \frac{4}{3} I, \quad t^k t^k = -\frac{1}{6} t^a, \quad t^k t^k t^k &= \frac{1}{4} \delta^{ab} - \frac{1}{6} t^a t^b, \quad t^k t^b t^c t^k &= \frac{1}{8} h^{abc} - \frac{1}{6} t^a t^b t^c, \\
    t^k t^b t^c t^d t^k &= -\frac{1}{6} t^a t^b t^c t^d + \frac{1}{24} \delta^{ab} \delta^{cd} + \frac{1}{16} h^{abc} h^{bcd}.
\end{align*}
\]

The products of the type \( SS^\Pi \) (here \( S^\Pi \) is denoted any permutation of the \( t^a \)-matrices) are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
    t^1 t^2 \ldots t^n t^n \ldots t^2 t^1 &= \left( \frac{4}{3} \right)^n, \\
    t^a t^b t^a &= -\frac{2}{9} I, \quad t^a t^b t^c = \frac{16}{9} I.
\end{align*}
\]

The products of the \( S(abc)S^\Pi(abc) \) and \( S(abcd)S^\Pi(abcd) \) are presented on the following tables (in these tables symbol \( (abc) \) stands for \( t^a t^b t^c \), etc).

**Table 4.1.** The products of the \( (abc) \) on the \( (abc)^\Pi \). All products are contain the common factor \( \frac{1}{27} I \).

| \( (abc) \) | \( (abc)^\Pi \) |
|---|---|
| 10 | 1 |
| 1 | -8 |
| (acb) | (bca) |
| 1 | -8 |
| (cba) | 64 |

**Table 4.2.** The products of the \( (abcd) \) on the \( (abcd)^\Pi \). All products are contain the common factor \( \frac{1}{81} I \).

| \( (abcd) \) | \( (abcd)^\Pi \) |
|---|---|
| -14 | +31 |
| 31 | -5 |
| 5 | +4 |
| 1 | -32 |
| -32 | +256 |
| -12 | +4 |
| -12 | -32 |
| -4 | -32 |
| 4 | -32 |

**4.5 Convolutions of \( d_{abc} \) and \( f_{abc} \) with \( t^a \)**

The convolutions of the coefficients \( d_{abc} \) and \( f_{abc} \) with the \( t^a \)-matrices equal:

\[
\begin{align*}
    d_{abc} t^c &= t^a t^b + t^b t^a - \frac{1}{3} \delta^{ab}, \\
    f_{abc} t^c &= i(t^b t^a - t^a t^b), \\
    h_{abc} t^c &= 2t^a t^b - \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ab}.
\end{align*}
\]
The Jacobi identities for the coefficients $f_{abk}$ and $d_{abc}$ equal:

$$f_{abk} f_{kcl} + f_{bek} f_{kal} + f_{cak} f_{kbil} = 0,$$
$$d_{abk} f_{kcl} + d_{bek} f_{kal} + d_{cak} f_{kbil} = 0.$$

The various relations of a such type were presented in [7]:

$$d_{abc} = d_{bac} = d_{cab} f_{abm} = 0.$$

$$f_{akt} f_{bkl} = 3 \delta_{ab}, \quad d_{akl} d_{bkl} = \frac{5}{3} \delta_{ab},$$
$$f_{pak} f_{kbl} f_{tcp} = -\frac{3}{2} f_{abc}, \quad d_{pak} f_{kbl} f_{tcp} = -\frac{3}{2} d_{abc},$$
$$d_{pak} d_{kbl} f_{tcp} = \frac{5}{6} f_{abc}, \quad d_{pak} d_{kbl} d_{tcp} = -\frac{1}{2} d_{abc},$$
$$d_{pqk} d_{qjm} d_{mkil} d_{tip} = \frac{1}{36} (13\delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} - 7\delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} + 13\delta_{il} \delta_{jk} - d_{ikm} d_{mjl}),$$
$$d_{pqk} d_{qjm} d_{mkil} f_{tip} = \frac{1}{12} (-7d_{ijm} f_{mkil} + d_{ikm} f_{mjil} + 9d_{ilm} f_{mjk}),$$
$$d_{pqk} d_{qjm} d_{mkil} d_{tip} = \frac{1}{36} (-21\delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} + 19\delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} - \delta_{il} \delta_{jk})$$
$$+ \frac{1}{6} (d_{ikm} d_{mjil} - d_{ilm} d_{mkj}),$$
$$d_{pqk} f_{qjm} d_{mkil} d_{tip} = \frac{3}{4} (d_{ikm} f_{mil} + d_{ilm} f_{mkj}),$$
$$f_{pqk} f_{qjm} d_{mkil} f_{tip} = \frac{1}{4} (5\delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} + \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} + 5\delta_{il} \delta_{jk} - 6d_{ikm} d_{mjil}).$$

$$d_{abc} = 40/3, \quad f_{abc} f_{abc} = 24,$$
$$h_{abc} h_{abc} = -32/3, \quad h_{abc} h_{bac} = 112/3,$$
$$d_{abk} f_{kbl} f_{pml} = -20/3, \quad d_{abc} d_{pkl} f_{pml} f_{nla} = 20,$$
$$d_{abk} f_{kbl} f_{pml} f_{nla} = 0, \quad h_{abc} d_{pkl} f_{pml} f_{nla} = -20,$$
$$h_{abc} h_{pkl} f_{pml} f_{nla} = -32/3.$$
4.6 Gell-Mann Matrices in \( n \)-dimensions

The generators for an arbitrary \( SU(n) \) group are:

\[
t^a, \quad a = 1, 2, ..., N, \quad N = n^2 - 1
\]  

(4.6)

with the properties as follows:

\[
(t^a)^\dagger = t^a, \quad Tr t^a = 0,
\]

(4.7)

\[
[t^a, t^b] = i f^{abc} t^c
\]

(4.8)

\[
\{ t^a, t^b \} = d^{abc} t^c + \frac{1}{n} \delta^{ab}
\]

(4.9)

\[
[t^a, t^b] \equiv t^a t^b - t^b t^a, \quad \{ t^a, t^b \} \equiv t^a t^b + t^b t^a,
\]

The constants \( f^{abc} (d^{abc}) \) is totally antisymmetric (symmetric) tensor. \( SU(n) \) group has the invariants as follows:

\[
f^{a kl} f^{b kl} = C_A \delta^{ab}, \quad d^{a kl} d^{b kl} = C_D \delta^{ab}, \quad t^a t^a = C_F I, \quad Tr t^a t^b = T_F \delta^{ab}
\]

(4.10)

with

\[
C_A = n, \quad C_F = \frac{n^2 - 1}{2n}, \quad C_D = \frac{n^2 - 4}{n}, \quad T_F = \frac{1}{2}
\]

(4.11)

The Jacobi identities have the form:

\[
f^{ab K} f^{K cl} + f^{bc K} f^{K al} + f^{ca K} f^{K bl} = 0
\]

\[
d^{ab K} f^{K cl} + d^{bc K} f^{K al} + d^{ca K} f^{K bl} = 0
\]
5 VECTOR ALGEBRA

Let \( \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\} \) be some basis and scalar products \( p_i \cdot p_j \) define a matrix \( M: M_{ij} = p_i \cdot p_j \). The dual basis is the set of vectors \( \{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n\} \), which satisfy the conditions:

\[
\xi_i \cdot p_j = \delta_{ij}, \quad \xi_i \cdot \xi_j = (M^{-1})_{ij}.
\]

Then

\[
\xi_i^a = \delta_{p_1 \cdots p_{n-1} a p_{n+1} \cdots p_n}/\Delta_n,
\]

where \( \Delta_n = \delta_{p_1 \cdots p_n} \). Sometimes one needs to represent some vector \( Q \) in the form [9]:

\[
Q_\alpha = P_\alpha + V_\alpha,
\]

where \( P_\alpha \) is a linear combination of \( p_1, \ldots, p_m \) \((m < n)\), and \( V \cdot p_i = 0 \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, m \).

\[
m = 1 \quad P_\alpha = \frac{p_1 Q}{p_1 p_1} p_1, \quad V_\alpha = \frac{1}{p_1 p_1} \delta_{p_1}^a
\]

\[
m = 2 \quad P_\alpha = \frac{1}{\Delta_2} \delta_{p_1 p_2} Q_{\mu} \delta_{\mu a} = \frac{1}{\Delta_2} \left( \delta_{p_1 p_2} Q_{\mu} p_{1a} + \delta_{p_1 p_2} Q_{2a} \right)
\]

\[
m = 3 \quad P_\alpha = \frac{1}{\Delta_3} \delta_{p_1 p_2 p_3} Q_{1\mu} \delta_{\mu a} = \frac{1}{\Delta_3} \left( \delta_{p_1 p_2 p_3} Q_{1a} + \delta_{p_1 p_2 p_3} Q_{2a} + \delta_{p_1 p_2 p_3} Q_{3a} \right)
\]

\[
V_\alpha = \frac{1}{\Delta_3} \delta_{p_1 p_2 p_3} Q_0.
\]

5.1 Representation of 3–dimensional Vectors, Reflections and Rotations Using the Pauli Matrices

• Vectors

Any vector \( \vec{x} \) in 3–dimensional Euclidean space can be represented in the matrix form:

\[
\hat{x} = \vec{x} \cdot \vec{\sigma} = x^i \sigma^i = \begin{pmatrix} x^3 & x^1 - ix^2 \\ x^1 + ix^2 & -x^3 \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
\det \hat{x} = -\vec{x} \cdot \vec{x} = -(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2),
\]

where \( \sigma^i \) is the Pauli matrices (see Section 2).

The fundamental property of this representation is

\[
(\hat{x})^2 = \vec{x} \cdot \vec{x} I, \quad \text{hence} \quad \hat{x} \gamma + \gamma \hat{x} = 2 (\vec{x} \cdot \vec{\gamma}) I.
\]

One should also note that

\[
\hat{x} \gamma - \gamma \hat{x} = 2i \vec{x} \times \vec{\gamma}.
\]

If components of \( \vec{x} \) are real, then \( \hat{x}^\dagger = \hat{x} \). However, in some practically important cases \( (\hat{x})^2 = 0 \) and, hence, \( \vec{x} \cdot \vec{x} = 0 \), components of \( \vec{x} \) are complex, say, \( x^2 = ix^0 \). Then the matrix

\[
\hat{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x^3 & x^1 + x^0 \\ x^1 - x^0 & -x^3 \end{pmatrix}
\]

represents a vector from 3–dimensional space–time, in that case \( \hat{x}^\dagger \neq \hat{x} \).
• **Reflections**

Let $\vec{x}$ be an arbitrary vector and $S$ be the plane orthogonal to some unit vector $\vec{s}$. Then, vector $\vec{x}'$ which results from $\vec{x}$ after the reflection in the plane $S$ is equal to:

$$\vec{x}' = \vec{x} - 2(\vec{x} \cdot \vec{s})\vec{s},$$

or, in the considered matrix representation

$$\hat{x}' = -\hat{s}\hat{x}\hat{s}.$$ 

• **Rotations**

Let $\vec{p}$ and $\vec{q}$ be the two unit vectors with the angle $\theta/2$ between them:

$$\vec{p}^2 = \vec{q}^2 = 1, \quad (\vec{p} \cdot \vec{q}) = \cos(\theta/2).$$

Since any spatial rotation is a composition of two reflections, the rotation by the angle $\theta$ in the direction from $\vec{p}$ to $\vec{q}$ is given by the matrix

$$M = \hat{q}\hat{p},$$

i.e. an arbitrary vector $\vec{x}$ transforms as follows:

$$\hat{x}' = M\hat{x}M^{-1} = \hat{q}\hat{x}\hat{p}\hat{q}.$$ 

The matrix $M$ can be rewritten in widely used form:

$$M = \hat{q}\hat{p} = \vec{q} \cdot \vec{p}I + i\varepsilon_{qpr}\hat{r} = \cos(\theta/2)I - i n\vec{r} \sin(\theta/2), \quad (5.2)$$

where $\vec{n} \sin(\theta/2) = \vec{p} \times \vec{q}$, $-\pi < \theta < \pi$, positive values of $\theta$ correspond to counterclockwise rotations if one sees from the head of vector $\vec{n}$.

So, we get the two forms of representation of a spatial rotation:

- The rotation by angle $\theta$ about a unit vector $\vec{n}$ is given by

$$M = \cos(\theta/2)I - i \vec{n}\vec{r} \sin(\theta/2).$$

- The rotation in the plane of unit vectors $\vec{p}$ and $\vec{q}$ which transforms $\vec{p}$ into $\vec{q}$ is represented by

$$M = \frac{I + \hat{q}\hat{p}}{\sqrt{2(1 + \vec{q} \cdot \vec{p})}}.$$ 

### 5.2 Representation of 4-dimensional Vectors, Reflections and Rotations Using the Dirac Matrices

• **Vectors**

$4 \times 4$ matrix $\hat{x}$, which represents the 4-vector $x^\mu$ in Minkowski space looks as follows:

$$\hat{x} \equiv x^\mu \gamma_\mu = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & -x^0 - x^3 & -x^1 + ix^2 \\
0 & 0 & -x^1 - ix^2 & -x^0 + x^3 \\
-x^0 + x^3 & x^1 - ix^2 & 0 & 0 \\
x^1 + ix^2 & -x^0 - x^3 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.$$
This matrix satisfies the fundamental property
\[
(\hat{x})^2 = x \cdot x I \Rightarrow \hat{x}\hat{y} + \hat{y}\hat{x} = 2x \cdot y.
\] (5.3)

• Reflections
Using the relation (5.3) one can easily derive formulas for the reflections in 3–hyperplanes. Let \( x^\mu \) be an arbitrary vector and \( S \) be the 3-hyperplane orthogonal to some unit vector \( s \). Then, vector \( x' \) which results from \( x \) after the reflection in the hyperplane \( S \) is equal to
\[
x' = x - 2x \cdot s s,
\]
or, in the considered matrix representation
\[
\hat{x}' = -\hat{s}\hat{x}\hat{s}.
\]

• Lorentz transformations
Let \( p \) and \( q \) be the two unit time-like vectors:
\[
p \cdot p = q \cdot q = 1.
\]
Lorentz transformation, which is a composition of the reflections in 3-hyperplanes determined by the vectors \( p \) and \( q \) is given by the matrix:
\[
M = \hat{q}\hat{p},
\]
i.e. an arbitrary vector \( x \) transforms as follows:
\[
\hat{x}' = M\hat{x}M^{-1} = \hat{q}\hat{p}\hat{x}\hat{p}\hat{q}.
\]
The Lorentz transformation in the 2-plane (defined by the vectors \( p \) and \( q \)), which transforms \( p \) into \( q \), is represented by
\[
M = \frac{I + \hat{q}\hat{p}}{\sqrt{2(1 + q \cdot p)}}.
\]
For space-like unit vectors \( p \) and \( q \) we arrive at
\[
M = \frac{-I + \hat{q}\hat{p}}{\sqrt{2(1 - q \cdot p)}}.
\]
Let \( \Lambda^\mu_\nu \) be the matrix of Lorentz boost that moves the vector \( me_0^\nu = (m, 0, 0, 0) \) to \( p^\mu = (p^0, p^1, p^2, p^3) \),
\[
p^\mu = \Lambda^\mu_\nu me_0^\nu,
\]
and leaves all vectors orthogonal to \( p \) and \( e_0 \) invariant. Then
\[
\hat{p} = S(\Lambda) m\gamma^0 S^{-1}(\Lambda),
\]
where
\[
S(\Lambda) = \frac{\hat{p}\gamma^0 + m}{\sqrt{2m(m + p_0)}}
\]
This being so,

\[ \Lambda^\mu_\nu = \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{Tr} \gamma^\mu S(\Lambda) \gamma^\nu S^{-1}(\Lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{p^0}{m} & \frac{p^i}{m} \\ \frac{p^i}{m} & \delta^{ij} + \frac{p^i p^j}{m(p^0 + m)} \end{pmatrix}. \]

The reference frame where the momentum of the reference body is equal to \( p \) is usually referred to as the laboratory frame \( \text{Lab} \)-frame; the frame where the it is equal to \( m e_0 \) is the rest frame \( \text{R} \)-frame;

Let \( k^\mu \) be some 4-vector defined in the \( \text{Lab} \)-frame and \( k^\ast_\mu \) be the same 4-vector in the \( \text{R} \)-frame; \( k^\mu = \Lambda^\mu_\nu k^*^\nu \) and \( k^\ast_\mu = (\Lambda^{-1})^{\mu}_\nu k^\nu \).

The Lorentz transformations form \( \text{R}(\text{est}) \)-frame to \( \text{Lab} \)-frame and vice versa can also be represented in the form

\[
\begin{align*}
R \rightarrow \text{Lab} : & \quad \begin{cases} 
k_0 &= \frac{k^*_0 p_0 + (k^*_* p)}{m} \\
k &= k^* + \lambda p \end{cases} \\
\text{Lab} \rightarrow R : & \quad \begin{cases} 
k_*^0 &= \frac{(p k)}{m} \\
k_* &= k - \lambda p \end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[ \lambda = \frac{k^*_0 + k_0}{p_0 + m} \]
6 DIRAC SPINORS

6.1 General Properties

Dirac spinors $u(p, s)$ and $v(p, s)$ describe the solutions of the Dirac equation with positive and negative energy:

\begin{align}
(\hat{p} - m) u(p, s) &= 0, \\
(\hat{p} + m) v(p, s) &= 0 \\
\bar{u}(p, n)(\hat{p} - m) &= 0, \\
\bar{v}(p, n)(\hat{p} + m) &= 0
\end{align}

(6.1)

where The conjugated spinors are defined as follows:

$$\bar{u} = u^\dagger \gamma^0, \quad \bar{v} = v^\dagger \gamma^0,$$

These spinors are the functions of 4-momentum $p^\mu$ on the mass shell: $p^0 = \sqrt{m^2 + p^2}$.

The normalization conditions are as follows:

$$\bar{u}(p, s)u(p, s) = +2m, \quad \bar{v}(p, s)v(p, s) = -2m.$$

Symbol $s$ stands for the polarization of the fermion. The axial–vector $s^\mu$ of the fermion spin is defined by the relations:

$$\bar{u}(p, s)\gamma^\mu \gamma^5 u(p, s) = m s^\mu, \quad (s s) = -1, \quad (s p) = 0.$$

It is helpful to clear up the relation between $n$ and the Pauli–Lubanski pseudovector

$$W_\mu = \varepsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\nu} M^{\alpha\beta} P^\nu,$$

where $M^{\alpha\beta}$ and $P^\nu$ are the generators of Lorentz transformations and translations; it acts on the fermion fields as follows:

$$W_\mu \psi(x) = \frac{i}{2} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma^\nu \partial_\nu \psi(x), \quad \gamma^5 \hat{s} = -\frac{2}{m} s \cdot W$$

To make a bridge between the above formulas and nonrelativistic concept of spin it is well to recollect that the operator of spin of the fermion at rest is given by

$$\Sigma^k = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^k & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^k \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{1}{2} \gamma^5 \gamma^k \gamma^0$$

For the fermion of momentum $p$, the spin has the form:

$$\Sigma^\mu = \frac{1}{2} \gamma^5 \gamma^\mu \frac{\hat{p}}{m}$$

Its projection on the direction defined by an arbitrary 4-vector $s$ such that $(s p) = 0$ and $(s s) = -1$ is given by the formula:

$$\Sigma \cdot s = \frac{1}{2} \gamma^5 \hat{s} \frac{\hat{p}}{m}.$$
Thus, the spin is the Pauli–Lubanski pseudovector times the sign of energy:

$$\Sigma = W \frac{\hat{p}}{m},$$

That is why the spin of the fermions \( u(p, s) \) and \( v(p, -s) \) is \( \Sigma = \frac{s}{2} \) and the spin of the fermions \( u(p, -s) \) and \( v(p, s) \) is \( \Sigma = -\frac{s}{2} \).

Note that the axial vector \( s \) describing the spin of the fermion has only spatial non-zero components in its rest frame and transforms together with the vector \( p \) under Lorentz transformations.

The spinor \( u(p, s) \) describes a fermion with momentum \( p \) and the vector of spin \( n \). The spinor \( v(p, s) \) describes an antifermion with momentum \( p \) and the vector of spin \( -s \). (One should note, that axial vector \( s \) describing spin of a fermion has only spatial non-zero components in the rest frame of this fermion. However, it transforms together with the vector \( p \) under Lorentz transformations.)

Spinors \( u(p, s) \) and \( v(p, s) \) satisfy the following relations:

$$u(p, s) \bar{u}(p, s) = \frac{(\hat{p} + m)(1 + \gamma^5 \hat{s})}{2},$$

$$v(p, s) \bar{v}(p, s) = \frac{(\hat{p} - m)(1 + \gamma^5 \hat{s})}{2},$$

$$\hat{s} \gamma^5 u(p, s) = u(p, s), \quad \hat{s} \gamma^5 v(p, s) = v(p, s),$$

as well as the Gordon identities:

$$\bar{u}(p_1, s_1) \gamma^\mu u(p_2, s_2) = \frac{1}{2m} \bar{u}(p_1, s_1) [(p_1 + p_2)\gamma^\mu + \sigma^{\mu\nu}(p_1 - p_2)_\nu] u(p_2, s_2),$$

$$\bar{u}(p_1, s_1) \gamma^5 u(p_2, s_2) = \frac{1}{2m} \bar{u}(p_1, s_1) [(p_1 - p_2)^5 + \sigma^{\mu\nu}(p_1 + p_2)_\nu \gamma^5] u(p_2, s_2),$$

Both \((p^\mu + mn^\mu)\) and \((p^\mu - mn^\mu)\) are light-like vectors.

### 6.2 Bispinors in the Dirac representation of the \( \gamma \)-matrices

For the massive fermion with the mass \( m \) and 4-momentum \( p_\mu \)

$$p^\mu = (p^0, p^1, p^2, p^3) = (p^0, p), \quad p^0 = \sqrt{m^2 + \vec{p}^2}$$

We define two reference frames, namely, \( R \)-frame (the fermion rest-frame) and \( L \)-frame (where the fermion momentum \( p^\mu \) defined above):

- **R-frame**: \( p^\mu = (m, 0) \)
- **L-frame**: \( p^\mu = (p^0, p^1, p^2, p^3) \)

In the fermion rest-frame we introduce four orts as follows:

$$\varepsilon_0^0 = (1, 0, 0, 0), \quad \varepsilon_1^0 = (0, 1, 0, 0), \quad \varepsilon_2^0 = (0, 0, 1, 0), \quad \varepsilon_3^0 = (0, 0, 0, 1)$$

$$\varepsilon_i^0 = 1, \quad \varepsilon_i^j = -1, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad (\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j) = 0, i, j = 0, 1, 2, 3, \quad i \neq j$$
In the $L$-frame the spatial orts have the form:

$$e_i^\mu = \varepsilon_i^\mu + \frac{p_i}{m} V^\mu; \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad V^\mu = \left(1; \frac{p}{m + p_0}\right); \quad V^2 = \frac{2m}{m + p_0}$$

$$(e_i e_j) = -\delta_{ij}, \quad (e_i p) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

The standard spinors $u$ and $v$ are most conveniently defined in the fermion Rest-frame,

$$u_1^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2m} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{u}_1^{(0)} = \left(\sqrt{2m}, \quad 0, \quad 0, \quad 0\right),$$

$$u_2^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2m} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{u}_2^{(0)} = \left(0, \quad \sqrt{2m}, \quad 0, \quad 0\right),$$

$$v_1^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \sqrt{2m} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{v}_1^{(0)} = \left(0, \quad 0, \quad -\sqrt{2m}, \quad 0\right),$$

$$v_2^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \sqrt{2m} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{v}_2^{(0)} = \left(0, \quad 0, \quad 0, \quad -\sqrt{2m}\right)$$

These spinors $u_1$ and $v_2$ describe the positive polarization along the $Z$ axis, while $u_2$ and $v_1$ correspond to the negative polarization.

Then, the standard spinors in the $L$-frame of the fermion can be obtained by making the Lorentz boost $S_1$:

$$\hat{p} = S_1 \left( m \varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_3 \right) S_1^{-1} = S_1 \left( m \gamma^0 \right) S_1^{-1}$$

$$S_1 = \frac{\hat{p} \gamma^0 + m}{\sqrt{2m(m + p_0)}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m(m + p_0)}} \begin{pmatrix} (p^0 + m)I & -\sigma^i p^i \\ \sigma^i p^i & (p^0 + m)I \end{pmatrix};$$

$$S_1^{-1} = \frac{\gamma^0 \hat{p} + m}{\sqrt{2m(m + p_0)}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m(m + p_0)}} \begin{pmatrix} (p^0 + m)I & \sigma^i p^i \\ -\sigma^i p^i & (p^0 + m)I \end{pmatrix};$$

$$u_r(p, z) = S_1 u_r(m \varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_3), \quad v_r(p, z) = S_1 v_r(m \varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_3), \quad r = 1, 2$$
Thus, in the \( L \)-frame one has:

\[
\begin{align*}
    u_1(p) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{m + p^0}} \begin{pmatrix} m + p^0 \\ 0 \\ p^3 \\ p_+ \end{pmatrix}, \\
    \bar{u}_1(p) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{m + p^0}} \begin{pmatrix} m + p^0, & 0, & -p^3, & -p_- \end{pmatrix}, \\
    u_2(p) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{m + p_0}} \begin{pmatrix} m + p^0 \\ 0 \\ p^- \\ -p^3 \end{pmatrix}, \\
    \bar{u}_2(p) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{m + p^0}} \begin{pmatrix} 0, & m + p^0, & -p_+, & p^3 \end{pmatrix}, \\
    v_1(p) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{m + p^0}} \begin{pmatrix} p^3 \\ p_+ \\ m + p^0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
    \bar{v}_1(p) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{m + p^0}} \begin{pmatrix} p^3, & p_-, & -(m + p^0), & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
    v_2(p) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{m + p^0}} \begin{pmatrix} p^- \\ -p^3 \\ 0 \\ m + p^0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
    \bar{v}_2(p) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{m + p^0}} \begin{pmatrix} p_+, & -p^3, & 0, & -(m + p^0) \end{pmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
p_{\pm} = p^1 \pm ip^2
\]

These spinors have the properties as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
    (\hat{p} - m)u_i &= 0; \ i = 1, 2; \quad \bar{u}_1u_1 = \bar{u}_2u_2 = 2m; \quad \bar{u}_1u_2 = \bar{u}_2u_1 = 0 \\
    (\hat{p} + m)v_i &= 0; \ i = 1, 2; \quad \bar{v}_1v_1 = \bar{v}_2v_2 = -2m; \quad \bar{v}_1v_2 = \bar{v}_2v_1 = 0 \\
    u_1\bar{u}_1 + u_2\bar{u}_2 &= \hat{p} + m; \quad v_1\bar{v}_1 + v_2\bar{v}_2 = \hat{p} - m
\end{align*}
\]

In the both frames there are the following relations:

- \( R \)-frame : \( \leftrightarrow \ \varepsilon_i^\mu, \ u^{(0)}, \ v^{(0)} \)
- \( L \)-frame : \( \leftrightarrow \ \varepsilon_i^\mu, \ u(p), \ v(p) \)

\( U \)-spinors

\[
\begin{align*}
    \gamma^5\hat{e}_1u_1 &= u_2, \quad \gamma^5\hat{e}_1u_2 = u_1, \quad \bar{u}_1\gamma^5\hat{e}_1 = \bar{u}_2, \quad \bar{u}_2\gamma^5\hat{e}_1 = \bar{u}_1 \\
    \gamma^5\hat{e}_2u_1 &= -iu_2, \quad \gamma^5\hat{e}_2u_2 = -iu_1, \quad \bar{u}_1\gamma^5\hat{e}_2 = -i\bar{u}_2, \quad \bar{u}_2\gamma^5\hat{e}_2 = i\bar{u}_1 \\
    \gamma^5\hat{e}_3u_1 &= u_1, \quad \gamma^5\hat{e}_3u_2 = -u_2, \quad \bar{u}_1\gamma^5\hat{e}_3 = \bar{u}_1, \quad \bar{u}_2\gamma^5\hat{e}_3 = -\bar{u}_2
\end{align*}
\]

\( V \)-spinors

\[
\begin{align*}
    \gamma^5\hat{e}_1v_1 &= v_2, \quad \gamma^5\hat{e}_1v_2 = v_1, \quad \bar{v}_1\gamma^5\hat{e}_1 = \bar{v}_2, \quad \bar{v}_2\gamma^5\hat{e}_1 = \bar{v}_1 \\
    \gamma^5\hat{e}_2v_1 &= -iv_2, \quad \gamma^5\hat{e}_2v_2 = -iv_1, \quad \bar{v}_1\gamma^5\hat{e}_2 = -i\bar{v}_2, \quad \bar{v}_2\gamma^5\hat{e}_2 = iv_1 \\
    \gamma^5\hat{e}_3v_1 &= v_1, \quad \gamma^5\hat{e}_3v_2 = -v_2, \quad \bar{v}_1\gamma^5\hat{e}_3 = \bar{v}_1, \quad \bar{v}_2\gamma^5\hat{e}_3 = -\bar{v}_2
\end{align*}
\]

The polarization vector \( z \) is defined by the relation

\[
\hat{z} = S_1 \hat{e}_3 S_1^{-1} = S ( -\gamma^3 ) S_1^{-1}
\]

It has the form

\[
z^\mu = \frac{1}{m(p^0 + m)} \left( p^0(p^0 + m), \ p_1^3, \ p_2^3, \ (p^3)^2 + m(p^0 + m) \right).
\]

25
Since \( z^2 = -1 \) and \( z \cdot p = 0 \), any polarization vector \( n \) of the fermion of momentum \( p \) can be obtained by the Lorentz transformation \( S_2 \) from the Wigner little group of the momentum \( p \),
\[
\hat{s} = S_2 \hat{z} S_2^{-1}, \quad S_2 = \frac{\hat{s} \hat{z} + 1}{\sqrt{2(1 + z \cdot s)}}.
\]
Thus we arrive at the explicit expressions for the spinors \( u(p, s) \) and \( v(p, s) \):
\[
\begin{align*}
u_r(p, s) &= S_2 u_r(p, z), & \nu_r(p, s) &= S_2 \nu_r(p, z); \\
to put it differently, the spinors \( u_1(p, s), u_2(p, s), v_1(p, s), \) and \( v_2(p, s) \) are the columns of the matrix
\[
\sqrt{2mS_2} = \frac{\hat{s} \hat{z} + 1}{\sqrt{2(1 + z \cdot s)}} \hat{p} \gamma^0 + m \sqrt{(m + p_0)},
\]
where
\[
\hat{z} = -\frac{(\hat{p} \gamma^0 + m) \gamma^3 (\gamma^0 \hat{p} + m)}{2m(m + p_0)}.
\]

The case when the spatial component \( s_\mu \) of the polarization vector \( s^\mu \) is directed along the 3-momentum \( \hat{p} \) is of particular interest. In this case, \( u_r \) and \( \nu_r \) describe states with definite helicity.

Given \( p = (p^0, p^1, p^2, p^3) = (E, |p| \cos \theta \cos \varphi, |p| \cos \theta \sin \varphi, |p| \sin \theta) \), we obtain in the both (\( R \) and \( L \)) frames
\[
\begin{align*}
R : s^{(0)} \mu &= \left( 0, \frac{\hat{p}}{|p|} \right), & L f : s^\mu &= \frac{1}{m |p|} (|p|^2, p^0 \hat{p}) \quad (6.7)
\end{align*}
\]
As a result we can obtain the so-called "helicity" spinors with the properties as follows:
\[
\begin{align*}
\gamma^5 \hat{s} u_R &= u_R & \gamma^5 \hat{s} u_L &= -u_L \\
\gamma^5 \hat{s} v_R &= -v_R & \gamma^5 \hat{s} v_L &= v_L
\end{align*}
\]
For the massless fermions, these spinors have the well-known projectors
\[
\begin{align*}
\left\{ \begin{array}{c}
P_R u_R = u_R & P_L u_R = 0 \\
P_R v_R = v_R & P_L v_R = 0
\end{array} \right. & \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
P_R u_L = 0 & P_L u_L = u_L \\
P_R v_L = 0 & P_L v_L = v_L
\end{array} \right.; & \quad P_{R/L} = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 \pm \gamma^5 \right) \quad (6.9)
\end{align*}
\]
The explicit expressions for these spinors (in the Dirac representation of the \( \gamma \)-matrices) are as follows:
\[
\begin{align*}
u_R &= \left( \begin{array}{c} \chi_1 \\ \chi_2 \end{array} \right), & \bar{u}_R &= \left( \begin{array}{c} \chi_1^\dagger, -\chi_2^\dagger \end{array} \right) \\
u_L &= \left( \begin{array}{c} \chi_3 \\ \chi_4 \end{array} \right), & \bar{u}_L &= \left( \begin{array}{c} \chi_3^\dagger, -\chi_4^\dagger \end{array} \right) \\
u_R &= \left( \begin{array}{c} \chi_2 \\ \chi_1 \end{array} \right), & \bar{v}_R &= \left( \begin{array}{c} \chi_2^\dagger, -\chi_1^\dagger \end{array} \right) \\
u_L &= \left( \begin{array}{c} \chi_4 \\ \chi_3 \end{array} \right), & \bar{v}_L &= \left( \begin{array}{c} \chi_4^\dagger, -\chi_3^\dagger \end{array} \right)
\end{align*}
\]
where

\[
\chi_1 = \left( \frac{\sqrt{E + m \cos \frac{\theta}{2}}}{\sqrt{E + m \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\varphi}}} \right) = \sqrt{\frac{p_0 + m}{2|\mathbf{p}|(\mathbf{p} + p_3)}} \left( \begin{array}{c} |\mathbf{p}| + p^3 \\ p^+ \end{array} \right)
\]

\[
\chi_2 = \left( \frac{\sqrt{E - m \cos \frac{\theta}{2}}}{\sqrt{E - m \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\varphi}}} \right) = \sqrt{\frac{p_0 - m}{2|\mathbf{p}|(\mathbf{p} + p_3)}} \left( \begin{array}{c} |\mathbf{p}| + p^3 \\ p^+ \end{array} \right)
\]

\[
\chi_3 = \left( \frac{-\sqrt{E - m \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\varphi}}}{\sqrt{E - m \cos \frac{\theta}{2}}} \right) = \sqrt{\frac{p_0 - m}{2|\mathbf{p}|(\mathbf{p} + p_3)}} \left( \begin{array}{c} |\mathbf{p}| + p^3 \\ p^- \end{array} \right)
\]

\[
\chi_4 = \left( \frac{\sqrt{E + m \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\varphi}}}{-\sqrt{E + m \cos \frac{\theta}{2}}} \right) = \sqrt{\frac{p_0 + m}{2|\mathbf{p}|(\mathbf{p} + p_3)}} \left( \begin{array}{c} |\mathbf{p}| + p^3 \\ p^- \end{array} \right)
\]

Note, that

\[
v_R = \gamma^5 u_R, \quad v_L = \gamma^5 u_L
\]

6.3 Bispinors in the spinorial representation of the \(\gamma\)-matrices

The Dirac spinors in the spinorial representation of the \(\gamma\)-matrices have the form as follows:

\[
u_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(m + p_0)}} \begin{pmatrix} m + p_m \\ -p_+ \\ m + p_p \\ p_+ \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{u}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(m + p_0)}} \begin{pmatrix} m + p_p & p_- & m + p_m & -p_- \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
u_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(m + p_0)}} \begin{pmatrix} -p_- \\ m + p_p \\ p_- \\ m + p_m \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{u}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(m + p_0)}} \begin{pmatrix} p_+ & m + p_m & -p_+ & m + p_p \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
v_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(m + p_0)}} \begin{pmatrix} -(m + p_m) \\ p_+ \\ m + p_p \\ p_+ \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{v}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(m + p_0)}} \begin{pmatrix} m + p_p & p_- & -(m + p_m) & p_- \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
v_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(m + p_0)}} \begin{pmatrix} p_- \\ -(m + p_p) \\ p_- \\ m + p_m \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{v}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(m + p_0)}} \begin{pmatrix} p_+ & m + p_m & p_+ & -(m + p_p) \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
v_i = \gamma^5 u_i \quad \bar{v}_i = -\bar{u}_i \gamma^5
\]

where

\[
p_p = p_0 + p_3, \quad p_m = p_0 - p_3, \quad p_+ = p_1 + ip_2, \quad p_- = p_1 - ip_2
\]
7 STANDARD MODEL LAGRANGIAN

In this Section we present the basic Lagrangian of the Standard Model (SM), corresponding to the $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ local gauge symmetry (see, for example, [3, 4, 10]). The algebra of the semisimple group $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ is generated by Gell-Mann matrices $t^a = \frac{1}{2} \lambda^a$ ($a = 1,\ldots,8$) (Section 4), Pauli matrices $\tau^i = \sigma^i/2$ (Section 2) and hypercharge $Y$ with the following commutation relations

$$
[t^a, t^b] = i f^{abc} t^c ,
$$

$$
[\tau^i, \tau^j] = i \epsilon^{ijk} \tau^k ,
$$

$$
[\tau^i, Y] = [t^a, \tau^j] = [t^a, Y] = 0.
$$

The full SM Lagrangian has the form [3, 4]:

$$
\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_G + \mathcal{L}_F + \mathcal{L}_H + \mathcal{L}_M + \mathcal{L}_{GF} + \mathcal{L}_{FP} .
$$

(7.1)

Here $\mathcal{L}_G$ is the Yang-Mills Lagrangian without matter fields

$$
\mathcal{L}_G = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}(W) F^{\mu\nu}(W) - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}(W^0) F^{\mu\nu}(W^0) - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^a(G) F^{\mu\nu}_a(G) ,
$$

(7.2)

where $F_{\mu\nu}^i(W), F_{\mu\nu}^a(G), F_{\mu\nu}(W^0)$ are given by

$$
F_{\mu\nu}^i(W) = \partial_\mu W^i_\nu - \partial_\nu W^i_\mu + g \epsilon^{ijk} W^j_\mu W^k_\nu ,
$$

$$
F_{\mu\nu}(W^0) = \partial_\mu W^0_\nu - \partial_\nu W^0_\mu ,
$$

$$
F_{\mu\nu}^a(G) = \partial_\mu G^a_\nu - \partial_\nu G^a_\mu + g_s f^{abc} G^b_\mu G^c_\nu ,
$$

with $W^i, W^0$ the $SU(2) \times U(1)$ original gauge fields and $G^a_\mu$ the gluon fields. The infinitesimal gauge transformations of these fields are given by

$$
\delta W^0_\mu = \partial_\mu \theta(x) ,
$$

$$
\delta W^i_\mu = \partial_\mu \theta^i - g \epsilon^{ijk} \theta^j W^k_\mu = \mathcal{D}^{ij}_\mu(W) \theta^j
$$

$$
\delta G^a_\mu = \partial_\mu \epsilon^a - g_s f^{abc} G^b_\mu = \mathcal{D}^{ab}_\mu(G) \epsilon^b
$$

Here $\mathcal{D}^{ij}_\mu(W)$ and $\mathcal{D}^{ab}_\mu(G)$ stand for the covariant derivatives, $g_s$ and $g$ are the $SU(3)$ and $SU(2)$ gauge coupling constants, respectively, $\epsilon$ and $\theta^{a(i)}$ are an arbitrary functions depending on the space-time coordinates. It can be easily checked that Lagrangian (7.2) is invariant under these gauge transformations.

Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_F$ describes coupling of fermions with gauge fields. For simplicity we shall consider one lepton generation, say $e^-$ and $\nu_e$, and three quark generations. Fermions constitute only doublets and singlets in $SU(2) \times U(1)$

$$
R = e_R^- , \quad L = \left( \begin{array}{c} \nu_L \\ e_L^- \end{array} \right)
$$

$$
R_I = (q_I)_R , \quad R_i = (q_i)_R , \quad L_I = \left( \begin{array}{c} q_I \\ V_{1i} q_i \end{array} \right)
$$
where $L$ and $R$ denote left- and right-handed components of the spinors, respectively:

$$e_{R,L} = \frac{1 \pm \gamma_5}{2} e.$$ 

The neutrino is assumed to be left-handed, while right-handed components of both up- and down-quarks enter in the $\mathcal{L}_F$. Indices $I$ and $i$ numerate three quark generations: $I, i = 1, 2, 3,$ and $I(i)$ refers to the up (down) quarks. A possible mixing of quark generations was taken into account by introduction of Kobayashi-Maskava matrix $V_{Ii}$ (see, for example, [4, 11] for details). The infinitesimal gauge transformations of fermion fields looks as follows:

$$\delta \psi_{lep} = \left( i g' \frac{Y}{2} \theta(x) + i g \frac{\sigma^i}{2} \theta^i(x) \right) \psi_{lep},$$

$$\delta \psi_{quark} = \left( i g' \frac{Y}{2} \theta(x) + i g \frac{\sigma^i}{2} \theta^i(x) + i g_s t^a \theta^a(x) \right) \psi_{quark},$$

where $g'$ is $U(1)$ gauge coupling constant. Obviously, lepton and quark fields belong to the fundamental representation of the $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$. Under the requirements of the $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ local gauge symmetry and renormalizability of the theory, the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_F$ acquires the following expression

$$\mathcal{L}_F = i \bar{\psi} \not{D}_{\mu} \psi + i \bar{\psi}  \not{D}_{\mu} \psi + i \sum_l \left( \bar{L}_l \not{D}_{\mu} L_l + \bar{R}_l \not{D}_{\mu} R_l \right) + i \sum_i \bar{R}_i \not{D}_{\mu} R_i,$$

where covariant derivatives are given by

$$D_{L,\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - ig' \frac{Y}{2} W^0_\mu - ig \frac{\sigma^i}{2} W^i_\mu,$$

$$D_{R,\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - ig' \frac{Y}{2} W^0_\mu,$$

$$D^i_{L,\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - ig' \frac{Y}{2} W^0_\mu - ig \frac{\sigma^i}{2} W^i_\mu - ig_s t^a G^a_\mu,$$

$$D^i_{R,\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - ig' \frac{Y}{2} W^0_\mu - ig_s t^a G^a_\mu.$$ 

We remind that the value of hypercharge $Y$ is determined by the following relation $Q = \tau_3 + Y/2$ with $Q$ being the charge operator.

Both the gauge fields and fermion ones described above have zero mass, while in the reality all charged fermions are massive and intermediate bosons are known to be very heavy. To make the weak bosons massive one can use Higgs mechanism of spontaneous breakdown of the $SU(2) \times U(1)$ symmetry to the $U(1)$ symmetry. The widely accepted way to do that consists in the introduction of the Higgs $SU(2)$ doublet $\Phi$ (with $Y = 1$). This doublet acquires the nonzero vacuum expectation value:

$$\langle \Phi \rangle = \left( \frac{0}{v} \right)$$

The potential term $V(\Phi)$, which can give rise to the symmetry violation, reads

$$V(\Phi) = -\mu^2 \Phi^+ \Phi + \lambda (\Phi^+ \Phi)^2.$$
One can easily verify that the vacuum expectation value satisfies to the conditions:
\[
\tau^i < \Phi > = \frac{1}{2} \sigma^i < \Phi > \neq 0,
\]
\[
Q < \Phi > = \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_3 + Y) = 0.
\]

It means, that only the symmetry generated by \( Q \) is not broken on this vacuum. Let us choose the Lagrangian for the Higgs field interaction with gauge fields in the form:
\[
\mathcal{L}_H = (D_{L\mu} \Phi)^+ (D^{\mu}_{L} \Phi) - V(\Phi). \tag{7.4}
\]

Then one finds that only gauge boson coupling to \( Q \) (i.e. photon) remains massless, while other bosons acquire masses. Diagonalization of the mass matrix gives
\[
W^\pm_{\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (W^1_{\mu} \mp iW^2_{\mu}), \quad M_W = \frac{1}{2} g v, \tag{7.5}
\]
\[
Z_{\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} (gW^3_{\mu} - g'W^0_{\mu}), \quad M_Z = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{g^2 + g'^2} v, \tag{7.6}
\]
\[
A_{\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} (g'W^3_{\mu} + gW^0_{\mu}), \quad M_A = 0, \tag{7.7}
\]

where \( W^\pm_{\mu}, Z_{\mu} \) are charged and neutral weak bosons, \( A_{\mu} \) is the photon. It is suitable to introduce rotation angle \( \vartheta_W \) between \((W^3, W^0)\) and \((Z, A)\), which is called the Weinberg angle
\[
\sin \vartheta_W \equiv g'/\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}. \tag{7.8}
\]

The relation of constants \( g, g' \) with electromagnetic coupling constants \( e \) follows from (7.3). Since the photon coupling with charged particles is proportional to \( gg'/\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2} \), we should identify this quantity with the electric charge \( e \):
\[
e = \frac{gg'}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}. \tag{7.9}
\]

In order to find mass spectrum in the Higgs sector, let us express doublet \( \Phi \) in the form
\[
\Phi = \left( \begin{array}{c} i \omega^+ \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (v + H - iz) \end{array} \right).
\]

One can verify that Nambu-Goldstone bosons \( \omega^\pm, z \) have zero masses and may be cancelled away by suitable choice of the \( SU(2) \times U(1) \) rotation. The only physical component of the Higgs doublet is \( H \), which acquires mass
\[
m_H = \sqrt{2} \mu.
\]

The Lagrangian \( \mathcal{L}_M \) generates fermion mass terms. Supposing the neutrinos to be massless, we write the Yukawa interaction of the fermions with Higgs doublet in the form
\[
\mathcal{L}_M = -\bar{f}_i \tilde{L}_i R - \sum_i f_i \bar{L}_i \Phi R_i - \sum_I f_I \bar{L}_I (i \sigma^2 \Phi^*) R_I + h.c. \tag{7.10}
\]
Here we introduced doublet \( L_i \) related with \( L_I \) by
\[
L_i = V_{iI}^\dagger L_I,
\]
and \( f_{I,i} \) are the Yukawa coupling constants. Then the masses of fermions in the tree approximation are given by
\[
m_{I,i} = \frac{f_{I,i} v}{\sqrt{2}}.
\] (7.11)

It is well known that quantization of dynamical systems is governed by Lagrangians having local gauge symmetry requires an additional care. Freedom of redefining gauge and matter fields without changing the Lagrangians leads to the vanishing of some components of the momenta, canonically conjugate to the gauge fields, say
\[
\frac{\delta L}{\delta \partial_0 A_\mu} = -F^0_\mu = 0 \quad (\text{for} \quad \mu = 0).
\]

To perform the quantization procedure, one should add to the Lagrangian a gauge fixing terms, breaking explicitly the local symmetry. In the functional integral formulation it leads, in the case of non-Abelian gauge symmetry, to modification of the path integral measure [12]. As a result, the measure of the path integral will be multiplied by functional determinant \( \Delta(W_\mu^a) \). In order to apply the well known methods of perturbation theory, one may exponentiate \( \Delta(W_\mu^a) \) and redefine the initial Lagrangian. It can be made by introducing auxiliary fields \( c^a \) and \( \bar{c}^a \) which are scalar fields anticommuting with themselves and belonging to the adjoint representation of the Lie algebra. The fields \( c^a \) and \( \bar{c}^a \) are called Faddeev-Popov ghosts (FP ghosts).

The gauge fixing terms are usually chosen in the form
\[
L_{GF} = B^a F^a(W) + \frac{\xi}{2} (B^a)^2,
\]
where \( B^a \) are auxiliary fields introduced to linearize this expression, \( \xi \) is the gauge parameter, \( F^a = \partial^\mu W_\mu^a \). Then FP ghosts enter in the Lagrangian in the following way
\[
L_{FP} = -\bar{c}^a \frac{\partial F^a}{\partial W_\mu^c} D^{\mu c}(W) c^b.
\] (7.12)

As it was pointed above, these additional terms violate local gauge invariance, but the final Lagrangian becomes invariant under the global transformations mixing the gauge fields and FP ghosts. This symmetry, found by Becchi, Rouet, and Stora, was called BRS symmetry. The BRS infinitesimal transformations are defined by the following relations
\[
\delta^{BRS} \psi(x) = i \beta g c^a(x) \psi(x), \quad \delta^{BRS} W_\mu^a(x) = \beta D_\mu^b c^b(x),
\]
\[
\delta^{BRS} c^a(x) = \beta B^a(x), \quad \delta^{BRS} \bar{c}^a(x) = -\frac{\beta}{2} g f^{abc} c^b(x) c^c(x),
\]
\[
\delta^{BRS} B^a(x) = 0.
\]

Here \( \psi \) denotes any matter field, the parameter \( \beta \) does not depend on \( x \) and anticommutes with \( c^a \) and \( \bar{c}^a \), as well as with all fermion fields. Using these relations, the formula (7.12) can be written in the brief form:
\[
L_{GF} = \frac{\delta}{\delta \beta} \left( c^a \delta^{BRS} \left( \partial^\mu W_\mu^a \right) \right),
\] (7.13)
where $\delta/\delta \beta$ means left differentiation.

In our case we choose the gauge fixing part of the Lagrangian in the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{GF} = B^+(\partial^\mu W^- + \xi_W M_W \omega^-) + B^-(\partial^\mu W^+_\mu + \xi_W M_W \omega^+$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.14)

$$+ B^Z(\partial^\mu Z_\mu + \xi_Z M_Z z) + B^A(\partial^\mu A_\mu) + B^a(\partial^\mu G^a_\mu)$$

then F_P-ghost Lagrangian looks as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{FP} = \frac{\delta}{\delta \beta} \left\{ \delta^{BRS} B^{BRS} (\partial^\mu W^- + \xi_W M_W \omega^-) + \delta^{BRS} (\partial^\mu W^+_\mu + \xi_W M_W \omega^+)$$

$$+ \bar{c}^Z \delta^{BRS} (\partial^\mu Z_\mu + \xi_Z M_Z z) + \bar{c}^A \delta^{BRS} (\partial^\mu A_\mu) + \bar{c}^a \delta^{BRS} (\partial^\mu G^a_\mu) \right\} ,$$

where the fields $c^A, c^Z$ are constructed from original ghosts $c^0, c^3$ just like the bosons $Z_\mu, A_\mu$ from initial fields $W^0, W^3$.

The total Lagrangian of the Standard Model

Now, we are ready to present the total Lagrangian of the Standard Model rewritten in the terms of physical fields [10].

$$\mathcal{L}_G = -\frac{1}{2} F^+_{\mu \nu} F^-_{\mu \nu} - \frac{1}{4} (F^Z_{\mu \nu})^2 - \frac{1}{4} (F^A_{\mu \nu})^2 - \frac{1}{4} (F^a_{\mu \nu})^2 \hspace{1cm} (7.16)

+ ie \cot \vartheta_W \left( g^{\alpha \gamma} g^{\beta \delta} - g^{\alpha \delta} g^{\beta \gamma} \right) \left( W^-_\gamma Z_{\delta \alpha} W^+_\beta + Z_{\gamma \delta} W^+_\alpha \partial_\alpha W^-_\beta \right)$$

$$+ W^+_{\gamma \delta} \partial_\alpha W^-_\beta + W^+_{\gamma \delta} \partial_\alpha W^-_\beta + W^+_{\gamma \delta} \partial_\alpha W^-_\beta - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu \nu} f^{abc} G^a_\mu G^b_\nu \partial^\mu G^c_\nu$$

$$+ e^2 \left( g^{\alpha \gamma} g^{\beta \delta} - g^{\alpha \delta} g^{\beta \gamma} \right) W^+_{\alpha \beta} W^-_\delta A^-_\alpha A^-_\delta$$

$$+ e^2 \cot^2 \vartheta_W \left( g^{\alpha \gamma} g^{\beta \delta} - g^{\alpha \delta} g^{\beta \gamma} \right) W^+_{\alpha \beta} W^-_\delta Z_{\alpha \delta}$$

$$+ e^2 \cot^2 \vartheta_W \left( g^{\alpha \gamma} g^{\beta \delta} + g^{\alpha \delta} g^{\beta \gamma} - 2 g^{\alpha \delta} g^{\beta \gamma} \right) W^+_{\alpha \beta} W^-_\delta A^-_\alpha Z_{\alpha \delta}$$

$$+ \frac{e^2}{2 \sin^2 \vartheta_W} \left( g^{\alpha \beta} g^{\gamma \delta} - g^{\alpha \gamma} g^{\beta \delta} \right) W^+_{\alpha \beta} W^-_\delta$$

$$- \frac{1}{4} g_s f^{abc} f^{abc} G^a_\mu G^b_\nu G^c_\mu G^d_\nu ,$$

where the field strengthes $G^a_\mu$, $F^+_\mu$, ... are given by

$$F^+_{\mu \nu} = \partial_\mu W^+_\nu - \partial_\nu W^+_\mu$$

$$G^a_\mu = \partial_\mu G^a_\nu - \partial_\nu G^a_\mu$$.

...
\[ \mathcal{L}_F = i\dot{\bar{e}}e + i\nu_L \dot{\nu}_L + i \sum_n \bar{q}_n \dot{q}_n + e \sum_n \frac{1}{\sin 2\vartheta_W} \left( \bar{\nu}_L \dot{W}^+ e_L + \nu_L \dot{W}^+ \bar{e}_L \right) + \frac{e}{\sin 2\vartheta_W} \bar{\nu}_L \dot{Z} \nu_L \]

\[ + e \sum_{i,i} \left( \bar{q}_i \dot{W}^+ q_i L V_{1i} + \bar{q}_i \dot{W}^- q_i L V_{1i} \right) \]

\[ + e \sum_{i} \left( \bar{q}_i \dot{Z}(1 - \gamma_5) - 2Q_i \nu^2 \vartheta_W q_i \right) \]

\[ + e \sum_{n} Q_n \bar{q}_n A q_n + g_s \sum_{n} \bar{q}_n C_{\mu}^{\alpha} \varphi^{\mu} q \]

\[ \mathcal{L}_H = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} H)^2 - \frac{m_H^2}{2} H^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} z)^2 + \partial_{\mu} \omega^+ \partial_{\mu} \omega^- \]

\[ + M_W^2 W^+ W^- + \frac{1}{2} M_Z^2 z^2 - M_W (W^- \partial_{\mu} \omega^+ + W^+ \partial_{\mu} \omega^-) \]

\[ - M_Z \partial_{\mu}^2 z + \frac{eM_W}{\sin \vartheta_W} H W^+ W^- + \frac{eM_Z}{\sin \vartheta_W} H Z^2 \]

\[ + \frac{e}{2 \sin \vartheta_W} W^+ \omega^+ (H - i z) + \frac{e}{2 \sin \vartheta_W} W^- \omega^- (H + iz) \]

\[ + ie(A^\mu + \text{cot} 2\theta W Z^\mu) (\omega^- \partial_{\mu} (H + iz)) + \frac{e}{2 \sin \vartheta_W} Z^\mu (z \partial_{\mu} H) \]

\[ + ieM_W \sin \vartheta_W Z^\mu (W^+_\mu \omega^- - W^-_\mu \omega^+) + ieM_W A^\mu (W^-_\mu \omega^+ - W^+_\mu \omega^-) \]

\[ + \frac{e^2}{4 \sin^2 \vartheta_W} H^2 (W^+ W^- + 2 Z^2) + \frac{e^2}{2 \cos \vartheta_W} H Z^\mu (W^+_\mu \omega^- - W^-_\mu \omega^+) \]

\[ + \frac{e^2}{2 \sin \vartheta_W} H A^\mu (W^-_\mu \omega^- - W^+_\mu \omega^-) + \frac{e^2}{4 \sin^2 \vartheta_W} z^2 (W^+_\mu W^-_\mu + 2 Z^2) \]

\[ + \frac{e^2}{2 \cos \vartheta_W} z Z^\mu (W^+_\mu \omega^+ + W^-_\mu \omega^-) - \frac{e^2}{2 \sin \vartheta_W} A^\mu (W^+_\mu \omega^- + W^-_\mu \omega^+) \]

\[ + \frac{e^2}{2 \sin \vartheta_W} \omega^+ \omega^- W^+_\mu W^-_\mu + e^2 \text{cot}^2 2\theta W \omega^+ \omega^- Z^2 + e^2 \omega^+ \omega^- A^\mu \]

\[ + 2e^2 \text{cot}(2\theta) \omega^+ \omega^- A^\mu Z^\mu - \frac{em_H^2}{4 M_W \sin \vartheta_W} H^3 - \frac{em_H^2}{2 M_W \sin \vartheta_W} \omega^+ \omega^- H \]

\[ - \frac{em_H^2}{4 M_W \sin \vartheta_W} z^2 H - \frac{e^2 m_H^2}{32 M_W^2 \sin^2 \vartheta_W} H^4 - \frac{e^2 m_H^2}{32 M_W^2 \sin^2 \vartheta_W} z^4 \]

\[ - \frac{e^2 m_H^2}{8 M_W^2 \sin^2 \vartheta_W} (\omega^+ \omega^- (H^2 + z^2) - \frac{e^2 m_H^2}{16 M_W^2 \sin^2 \vartheta_W} z^2 H^2 \]

\[ - \frac{e^2 m_H^2}{8 M_W^2 \sin^2 \vartheta_W} (\omega^+ \omega^-)^2 \]
Here symbol $f \partial_{\mu} g$ is used as usual: $f \partial_{\mu} g \equiv f \partial_{\mu} g - (\partial_{\mu} f) g$.

\[
\mathcal{L}_M = -\frac{e m_e}{M_Z \sin 2 \vartheta_W} H \bar{e} e - \frac{e}{M_Z \sin 2 \vartheta_W} \sum_n m_n H \bar{q}_n q_n \\
+ \frac{ie \sqrt{2} m_e}{M_Z \sin 2 \vartheta_W} (\omega^- \bar{e} \nu_L - \omega^+ \bar{\nu}_L e) + \frac{ie m_e}{M_Z \sin 2 \vartheta_W} z \bar{e} \gamma_5 e
\]

\[
\mathcal{L}_{FP} = -\bar{c}^+ (\partial^2 + \xi_W M_W^2) c^- - \bar{c}^- (\partial^2 + \xi_W M_W^2) c^+ - \bar{c}^A \partial^2 c^A
\]

\[
- \bar{c}^Z (\partial^2 + \xi_Z M_Z^2) c^- - \bar{c}^a \partial^2 c^a + ie \cot \vartheta_W W^+ \mu (\partial_{\mu} \bar{c}^- c^Z - \partial_{\mu} \bar{c}^Z c^-)
\]

\[
+ ie W^+ \mu (\partial_{\mu} \bar{c}^- c^Z - \partial_{\mu} \bar{c}^Z c^-) - ie \cot \vartheta_W W^- \mu (\partial_{\mu} \bar{c}^+ c^Z - \partial_{\mu} \bar{c}^Z c^-)
\]

\[
- ie W^- \mu (\partial_{\mu} \bar{c}^+ c^Z - \partial_{\mu} \bar{c}^Z c^-) + ie \cot \vartheta_W Z^\mu (\partial_{\mu} \bar{c}^+ c^Z - \partial_{\mu} \bar{c}^- c^-)
\]

\[
+ ie A^\mu (\partial_{\mu} \bar{c}^+ c^- - \partial_{\mu} \bar{c}^- c^+)
\]

\[
+ i \omega^+ \left( -\xi_W e M_W \cot 2 \vartheta_W \bar{c}^- c^Z - \xi_W e M_W \bar{c}^- c^A + \frac{\xi z e}{2 \sin \vartheta_W} M_Z \bar{c}^Z c^- \right)
\]

\[
+ i \omega^- \left( \xi_W e M_W \cot 2 \vartheta_W \bar{c}^+ c^Z + \xi_W e M_W \bar{c}^+ c^A - \frac{\xi z e}{2 \sin \vartheta_W} M_Z \bar{c}^Z c^+ \right)
\]

\[
+ \frac{i \xi_W e}{2} M_Z \cot \vartheta_W \left( \bar{c}^- c^A + \bar{c}^+ c^- \right) - \frac{\xi_W e}{2 \sin \vartheta_W} M_W H \left( \bar{c}^- c^A + \bar{c}^+ c^- \right)
\]

\[
- \frac{\xi z e}{\sin 2 \vartheta_W} M_Z \bar{H} \bar{c}^Z c^Z
\]
8 FEYNMAN RULES

8.1 General Remarks

This Section presents the complete list of Feynman rules corresponding to the Lagrangian of SM (see (7.16 – 7.20)).

First of all we define the propagators by the relation

$$\Delta_{ij}(k) = i \int d^4x \, e^{-ikx} < 0 | T(\phi_i(x)\phi_j(0)|0 >,$$  

(8.1)

where \(\phi_i\) presents any field. Curly, wavy and zigzag lines denote gluons, photons and weak bosons respectively, while full, dashed and dot lines stand for fermions (leptons and quarks), Higgs particles and ghosts fields, respectively. Arrows on the propagator lines show: for the \(W^+\) and \(\omega^+\) fields the flow of the positive charge, for the fermion that of the fermion number, and for the ghost that of the ghost number.

The vertices are derived using \(L_I\), instead of usual usage of \(i L_I\). All the momenta of the particles are supposed to flow in. The only exception was made for the ghost fields, where direction of momentum coincides with the direction of ghost number flow. This convention permits to minimize the number of times when the imaginary unit \(i\) appears.

It should be noted ones more, that all fields can be "divided" into two parts:

- **physical fields**: \(A\) (photon), \(W^\pm\), \(Z\), \(G\) (gluon), \(\psi\), \(H\) (Higgs).
- **non–physical fields**: \(\omega^\pm\), \(z\) (pseudogoldstones), \(c^\pm\), \(c^z\), \(c^A\), \(c^a\) (ghosts).

Charged fermions have the electric charges (in the positron charge \(e\) units):

\[
\begin{align*}
Q(e^-) = Q(\mu^-) = Q(\tau^-) &= -e, \\
Q(e^+) = Q(\mu^+) = Q(\tau^+) &= +e, \\
Q(u) = Q(c) = Q(t) &= +\frac{2}{3} e, \\
Q(d) = Q(s) = Q(b) &= -\frac{1}{3} e.
\end{align*}
\]

The electric charge \(e\) (or strong coupling constant \(g_s\) in QCD) is related to the fine structure constant \(\alpha\) (or \(\alpha_s\) in QCD) as follows:

\[
\alpha_{QED} \equiv \alpha = \frac{e^2}{4\pi}, \quad \alpha_{QCD} \equiv \alpha_s = \frac{g_s^2}{4\pi}.
\]

The electric charge, the \(\sin \vartheta_W\), and Fermi constant \(G_F\) are related as follows:

\[
\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{e}{\sqrt{2\sin \vartheta_W}} = M_W \sqrt{2\sqrt{2}G_F}.
\]

(8.2)

Finally, every loop integration is performed by the rule

\[
\int \frac{d^d k}{i (2\pi)^d},
\]

and with every fermion or ghost loop we associate extra factor \((-1)\).
8.2 Propagators

\[
\begin{align*}
W^+_{\mu} & = \frac{1}{k^2 - M^2_W + i\varepsilon} \left( g_{\mu\nu} - (1 - \xi_W) \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{k^2 - \xi_W M^2_W + i\varepsilon} \right) \\
W^-_{\nu} & = k^2 - M^2_W + i\varepsilon \\
Z_\mu & = \frac{1}{k^2 - M^2_Z + i\varepsilon} \left( g_{\mu\nu} - (1 - \xi_Z) \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{k^2 - \xi_Z M^2_Z + i\varepsilon} \right) \\
Z_\nu & = k^2 - M^2_Z + i\varepsilon \\
A_\mu & = \frac{1}{k^2 + i\varepsilon} \left( g_{\mu\nu} - (1 - \xi_A) \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{k^2 + i\varepsilon} \right) \\
A_\nu & = k^2 + i\varepsilon \\
G^{a}_{\mu} & = \frac{\delta^{ab}}{k^2 + i\varepsilon} \left( g_{\mu\nu} - (1 - \xi_G) \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{k^2 + i\varepsilon} \right) \\
G^{b}_{\nu} & = k^2 + i\varepsilon \\
\bar{\psi} & = \frac{\dot{k} + m}{k^2 - m^2 + i\varepsilon} = \frac{\dot{k} + m}{m^2 - k^2 - i\varepsilon} \\
\psi & = 0 \\
H & = \frac{1}{k^2 - M^2_H + i\varepsilon} \\
\omega^+ & = \frac{1}{k^2 - \xi_W m^2_W + i\varepsilon} \\
\omega^- & = k^2 - \xi_W m^2_W + i\varepsilon \\
c^+ & = \frac{1}{k^2 - \xi_W m^2_W + i\varepsilon} \\
c^- & = k^2 - \xi_W m^2_W + i\varepsilon \\
c^Z & = \frac{1}{k^2 - \xi_Z m^2_Z + i\varepsilon} \\
c^A & = k^2 + i\varepsilon \\
c_a & = \frac{\delta_{ab}}{k^2 + i\varepsilon} \\
c_b & = k^2 + i\varepsilon \\
\bar{c}^A & = \frac{1}{k^2 + i\varepsilon} \\
\bar{c}^Z & = k^2 + i\varepsilon \\
\end{align*}
\]

8.3 Some Popular Gauges

Here we discuss the explicit forms of the propagators for some popular gauges. Let us consider a theory with free boson Lagrangian:

\[
\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F^2_{\mu\nu}, \quad F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu.
\]

One can fix a gauge in one of three ways \[3, 13\]:

- \(i\) to impose a gauge condition,
- \(ii\) to add a Gauge Fixing Term (GFT) to the Lagrangian
- \(iii\) to fix a form of the Hamiltonian.
In a rigorous theory one should impose two gauge conditions. However, as it is usually accepted, we write only one condition. It should be considered rather as a symbol which denotes acceptable for a given gauge procedure of quantization, described somewhere in literature.

In practical calculations one needs an explicit form of a propagator with satisfactory prescription for poles (which plays a key role in the loop calculations). For this purposes it is sufficient to fix a gauge as mentioned in ii and iii. Polarization vectors of physical bosons and ghosts should be chosen in accordance with a detailed quantization procedure applicable for a given gauge.

**Covariant gauges.**

1. **Generalized Lorentz gauge.**
   - Notation: \( \partial^\mu A^\mu(x) = B(x) \);
   - GFT: \( L_{GF} = -\frac{1}{2\xi} (\partial^\mu A^\mu)^2 \)
   - Propagator
     \[
     D^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{k^2 + i\varepsilon} \left[ g^{\mu\nu} - (1 - \xi) \frac{k^\mu k^\nu}{k^2 + i\varepsilon} \right].
     \]
   - Comment: \( \xi = 1 \) is Feynman gauge, while \( \xi = 0 \) is Landau gauge. For the photon (gluon) propagator one should write \( \xi_G(\xi_A) \) (see Subsection 8.2).

2. ‘t Hooft gauges \((R_\xi\text{-gauges})\).  
   - Notation \( \partial^\mu A^a_\mu(x) - i\xi(v, \tau^a \phi) = B^a(x) \)
   - GFT \( L_{GF} = -\frac{1}{2\xi} (\partial^\mu A^a_\mu)^2 \)
   - Propagator
     \[
     D^{ab}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\delta^{ab}}{k^2 - M^2 + i\varepsilon} \left[ g^{\mu\nu} - (1 - \xi) \frac{k^\mu k^\nu}{k^2 - \xi M^2 + i\varepsilon} \right].
     \]
   - Comment: The gauge parameter \( \xi = \xi_W(\xi_Z) \) for the case of \( W(Z) \) boson (see Subsection 8.2), \( v/\sqrt{2} \) is the vacuum expectation value of the gauge field, \( \tau^a \) are generators, \( M \) is the vector boson mass. \( \xi = 1 \) is ‘t Hooft–Feynman gauge, \( \xi = 0 \) is Landau gauge, \( \xi \to \infty \) corresponds to unitary gauge. Non–physical gauge bosons should also be taken into account in loop calculations. They also have gauge–dependent propagator, see Subsection 8.2.

**Non-covariant gauges**

3. **Coulomb gauge.**
   - Notation \( \partial A = \delta A = 0, \ k = 1, 2, 3. \)
The general axial gauge.

Light–cone gauge:

Proper axial gauge:

Temporal gauge:

\[ (\xi k^2 k_\mu g_\mu) \]

\[ \frac{\xi k^2 k_\mu g_\mu}{|k|^4} \]

Comment: The proper Coulomb gauge corresponds to the case \( \xi = 0 \).

4. The general axial gauge.

Notation \( n^\mu A_\mu(x) = B(x) \).

GTF \( L_{GF} = -\frac{1}{4\xi} [n^* \cdot \partial n \cdot A]^2 \).

Propagator

\[ D_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{k^2 + i\varepsilon} \left[ g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{k_\mu k_\nu + k_\mu n_\nu}{n \cdot k} n^* \cdot k + i\varepsilon \right] - \frac{\xi k^2 k_\mu g_\mu}{|k|^4} \]

Comment: Feynman rules in this gauge usually do not contain ghosts. As it has been shown in [13] one has to consider an additional gauge vector \( n^\mu \) in order to have a correct prescription for poles. The quantization in this gauge was considered, for example, in [14, 15].

The gauge vector \( n^\mu \) has the form:

\[ n^\mu = (n_0; n) = (n_0; n_\perp, n_3) = (n_0; n_1, n_2, n_3) \]

The explicit form of the component structure of \( n^\mu \) and \( n^\mu \) should be considered separately in the cases \( n^2 > 0 \), \( n^2 = 0 \) and \( n^2 < 0 \). The following widely used gauges are obtained in the limit \( \xi = 0 \).

4a. Temporal gauge: \( n^2 > 0 \).

\[ D_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{k^2 + i\varepsilon} \left[ g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{(n_\mu k_\nu + k_\mu n_\nu)}{n \cdot k} n^* \cdot k + i\varepsilon \right] + \frac{n^2 (n^* \cdot k)^2}{(n \cdot k n^* \cdot k + i\varepsilon)^2} k_\mu k_\nu \]

\[ n^\mu = (n_0; n_\perp, -i|n_\perp|); \quad n^* \mu = (n_0; n_\perp, i|n_\perp|) \]

4b. Light–cone gauge: \( n^2 = 0 \).

\[ D_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{k^2 + i\varepsilon} \left[ g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{(n_\mu k_\nu + k_\mu n_\nu)}{n \cdot k} n^* \cdot k \right] \]

\[ n^\mu = (|n|; n); \quad n^* \mu = (|n|; -n) \]

4c. Proper axial gauge: \( n^2 < 0 \).

\[ D_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{k^2 + i\varepsilon} \left[ g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{(n_\mu k_\nu + k_\mu n_\nu)}{n \cdot k} n^* \cdot k + i\varepsilon \right] + \frac{n^2 (n^* \cdot k)^2}{(n \cdot k n^* \cdot k + i\varepsilon)^2} k_\mu k_\nu \]

\[ n^\mu = (|n_\perp|; n); \quad n^* \mu = (|n_\perp|; -n) \]
5. **Planar gauge.**

- Notation \( n^\mu A_\mu (x) = B(x), \ n^2 \neq 0. \)
- GFT \( L_{GF} = \frac{1}{2n^2} [\partial_\mu (n \cdot A)]^2. \)
- Propagator

\[
D_{\mu \nu} = \frac{1}{k^2 + i\varepsilon} \left[ g_{\mu \nu} - \frac{(n_\mu k_\nu + k_\mu n_\nu) n^\ast \cdot k}{n \cdot k \ n^\ast \cdot k + i\varepsilon} \right],
\]

\( n^\mu = (n_0; \ n_\perp, -i|\overrightarrow{n_\perp}|), \ n^{\ast \mu} = (n_0; \ n_\perp, i|\overrightarrow{n_\perp}|), \) if \( n^2 > 0; \)

\( n_\mu = (|\overrightarrow{n_\perp}|; \ n), \ n^{\ast \mu} = (|\overrightarrow{n_\perp}|; -\overrightarrow{n}) \) if \( n^2 < 0. \)

- **Comment:** Yang-Mills theory is not multiplicatively renormalizable in this gauge. Quantization in this gauge is also poorly understood. This gauge has the same denotation as the axial gauge, that is not suitable. However, that should not lead to confusion (see the beginning of this Subsection).

### 8.4 Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix

The charged-current \( W^\pm \)-boson interactions with the physical \( u_L j \) and \( d_L k \) quarks with couplings given by [11]:

\[
\hat{O} = \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \overline{u_L} \gamma^\mu W_\mu^+ V_{CKM} \left( \begin{array}{c} d_L \\ s_L \\ b_L \end{array} \right) \right) + \text{h.c.} \quad (8.4)
\]

where \( g \) is weak coupling (8.2). Here \( V_{CKM} \) is Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix [16,17]. This is a \( 3 \times 3 \) unitary matrix:

\[
V_{CKM} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix} \quad (8.5)
\]

with the main properties:

\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i=1}^3 V_{ij} V_{kj}^\dagger &= \sum_{i=1}^3 V_{ij} V_{ik}^\dagger = \delta_{jk} \\
\sum_{i=1}^3 V_{ji} V_{ik}^\dagger &= \sum_{i=1}^3 V_{ji} V_{ki}^\dagger = \delta_{jk} \\
V_{ud} V_{ub}^\ast + V_{cd} V_{cb}^\ast + V_{td} V_{tb}^\ast &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

(8.6)

The CKM matrix depends on four independent parameters and can be parameterized by three mixing angles and the CP-violating phase:

\[
V_{CKM} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12} c_{13} & s_{12} c_{13} & s_{13} e^{-i\delta} \\ -s_{12} c_{23} - c_{12} s_{23} s_{13} e^{i\delta} & c_{12} c_{23} - s_{12} s_{23} s_{13} e^{i\delta} & s_{23} c_{13} \\ s_{12} s_{23} - c_{12} c_{23} s_{13} e^{i\delta} & -c_{12} s_{23} - s_{12} c_{23} s_{13} e^{i\delta} & c_{23} s_{13} \end{pmatrix} \quad (8.7)
\]
where $s_{ij} = \sin \theta_{ij}$, $c_{ij} = \cos \theta_{ij}$, and $\delta$ is the phase responsible for all CP-violating phenomena in flavor-changing processes in the SM. The angles $\theta_{ij}$ can be chosen to lie in the first quadrant, so $s_{ij}, c_{ij} \geq 0$. The fit results for the magnitudes of all nine CKM elements are [11]:

$$\sin \theta_{12} = 0.22650 \pm 0.00048, \quad \sin \theta_{13} = 0.00361^{+0.00011}_{-0.00009},$$
$$\sin \theta_{23} = 0.04053^{+0.00083}_{-0.00061}, \quad \delta = 1.196^{+0.045}_{-0.043}$$ \hspace{1cm} (8.8)

Note, that

$$|V_{ud}| \approx |V_{cs}| \approx |V_{tb}| \approx 1,$$
$$|V_{us}| \approx |V_{cd}| \approx 0.2,$$
$$|V_{cb}| \approx |V_{ts}| \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-2}),$$
$$|V_{ub}| \sim |V_{td}| = \mathcal{O}(10^{-3}).$$
8.5 Vertices

We remind, that the indices \( I \) and \( i \) refers to the up and down quarks, while \( H \) refers to a physical Higgs.

- **Gauge–boson–fermion vertices**

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{\psi}_I \psi_i W^+ & \sim W^+_\alpha e \\
& \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2} \sin \theta_W} V_{1I} \gamma^\alpha (1-\gamma^5) \\
\bar{\psi}_I \psi_i W^- & \sim W^-_\alpha e \\
& \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2} \sin \theta_W} V'^{1I}_I \gamma^\alpha (1-\gamma^5)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{\psi}_I \psi_i Z & \sim Z_\alpha e \\
& \frac{1}{\sin 2\theta_W} \gamma^\alpha \left( \frac{1}{2} (1-\gamma^5) - 2Q_I \sin^2 \theta_W \right) \\
\bar{\psi}_I \psi_i A & \sim A_\alpha e Q_n \gamma^\alpha (n = i, I)
\end{align*}
\]

- **Higgs–boson–fermion vertices**

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{\psi}_n \psi_n H & \sim H e \frac{m_n}{\sin 2\theta_W M_Z} \\
\bar{\psi}_I \psi_i \omega^+ & \sim \omega^+ \frac{i e}{\sqrt{2} \sin 2\theta_W M_Z} V_{1I} \left( (m_i - m_I) + (m_i + m_I) \gamma^5 \right) \\
\bar{\psi}_I \psi_i \omega^- & \sim \omega^- \frac{i e}{\sqrt{2} \sin 2\theta_W M_Z} V'^{1I}_I \left( (m_I - m_i) + (m_i + m_I) \gamma^5 \right)
\end{align*}
\]
• Gauge boson three–vertices

\[
\begin{align*}
Z_W^+ W^- & : \quad e \cot \vartheta_W \left( (k-p)^\gamma g^{\alpha \beta} + (p-q)^\alpha g^{\beta \gamma} + (q-k)^\beta g^{\gamma \alpha} \right) \\
A_W^+ W^- & : \quad e \left( (k-p)^\gamma g^{\alpha \beta} + (p-q)^\alpha g^{\beta \gamma} + (q-k)^\beta g^{\gamma \alpha} \right) \\
G_G & : \quad i g_s f^{abc} \left( (p-k)^\gamma g^{\alpha \beta} + (q-p)^\alpha g^{\beta \gamma} + (k-q)^\beta g^{\gamma \alpha} \right)
\end{align*}
\]

• Gauge boson four–vertices

\[
\begin{align*}
AAW^+ W^- & : \quad e^2 \cot^2 \vartheta_W \left( g^{\alpha \gamma} g^{\beta \delta} + g^{\alpha \delta} g^{\beta \gamma} - 2 g^{\alpha \beta} g^{\gamma \delta} \right) \\
AZW^+ W^- & : \quad e^2 \cot \vartheta_W \left( g^{\alpha \gamma} g^{\beta \delta} + g^{\alpha \delta} g^{\beta \gamma} - 2 g^{\alpha \beta} g^{\gamma \delta} \right) \\
ZZW^+ W^- & : \quad e^2 \cot^2 \vartheta_W \left( g^{\alpha \gamma} g^{\beta \delta} + g^{\alpha \delta} g^{\beta \gamma} - 2 g^{\alpha \beta} g^{\gamma \delta} \right) \\
W^+ W^- W^+ W^- & : \quad - \frac{e^2}{\sin^2 \vartheta_W} \left( g^{\alpha \gamma} g^{\beta \delta} + g^{\alpha \delta} g^{\beta \gamma} - 2 g^{\alpha \beta} g^{\gamma \delta} \right)
\end{align*}
\]
\[-g_s^2 \left[ f_{\alpha\beta\gamma} f_{\gamma\delta\beta} (g_{\alpha\delta} g_{\beta\gamma} - g_{\alpha\beta} g_{\gamma\delta}) + f_{\alpha\beta\gamma} f_{\gamma\delta\beta} (g_{\alpha\delta} g_{\beta\gamma} - g_{\alpha\beta} g_{\gamma\delta}) + f_{\alpha\beta\gamma} f_{\gamma\delta\beta} (g_{\alpha\delta} g_{\beta\gamma} - g_{\alpha\beta} g_{\gamma\delta}) \right] \]

- **Gauge–boson–Higgs three–vertices**

\[
\begin{align*}
H & \quad W^+ W^- \quad H \\
W_+ & \quad W_- \\
\varepsilon \cot \theta_W & M_Z g_{\alpha\beta} \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
H & \quad \omega^+ W^- \quad \omega^- W^+ \\
\omega^- & \quad \omega^+ \\
\bar{p} & \quad i e (p - q)^\alpha \\
2 \sin \theta_W & \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega^+ & \quad \omega^- Z \\
\omega^- & \quad \omega^+ \\
\bar{p} & \quad -e \cot 2 \theta_W (p - q)^\alpha \\
2 \sin 2 \theta_W & \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
H & \quad Z Z \\
Z_\alpha & \quad Z_\beta \\
\sin 2 \theta_W & M_Z g_{\alpha\beta} \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega^+ & \quad \omega^- A \\
\omega^- & \quad \omega^+ \\
\bar{p} & \quad -e (p - q)^\alpha q \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega^- & \quad W^+ Z \\
\bar{p} & \quad i e \sin \theta_W M_Z g_{\alpha\beta} \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega^- & \quad W^+ A \\
W_+ & \quad A_\beta \\
-i e M_W g_{\alpha\beta} & \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega^+ & \quad W^- Z \\
W_- & \quad Z_\beta \\
-i e \sin \theta_W M_Z g_{\alpha\beta} & \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega^+ & \quad W^- A \\
W_- & \quad A_\beta \\
i e M_W g_{\alpha\beta} & \\
\end{align*}
\]
- Gauge–boson–Higgs four–vertices

\[
\begin{align*}
&\frac{e^2}{2\sin^2 \vartheta_W} g^{\alpha \beta} \\
&\frac{2 e^2}{\sin^2 2\vartheta_W} g^{\alpha \beta} \\
&\frac{i e^2}{2 \cos \vartheta_W} g^{\alpha \beta} \\
&\frac{i e^2}{2 \sin \vartheta_W} g^{\alpha \beta} \\
&\frac{e^2}{2 \cos \vartheta_W} g^{\alpha \beta} \\
&\frac{e^2}{2 \sin \vartheta_W} g^{\alpha \beta}
\end{align*}
\]
\[ \omega^+ \omega^- W^+ W^- \]

\[ \omega^+ \omega^- Z Z \]

\[ \omega^+ \omega^- A A \]

\[ \frac{e^2}{2 \sin^2 \theta_W} g^{\alpha\beta} \]

\[ 2 e^2 \cot^2 2 \theta_W \ g^{\alpha\beta} \]

\[ 2 e^2 \ g^{\alpha\beta} \]

- **Higgs three-vertices**

\[ H H H \]

\[ z z H \]

\[ \omega^+ \omega^- H \]

\[ \frac{3 e}{ \sin 2 \theta_W M_Z} m_H^2 \]

\[ \frac{e}{ \sin 2 \theta_W M_Z} m_H^2 \]

\[ \frac{e}{ \sin 2 \theta_W M_Z} m_H^2 \]

- **Higgs four-vertices**

\[ H H H H \]

\[ z z z z \]

\[ \omega^+ \omega^- H H \]

\[ \frac{3 e^2}{ \sin^2 2 \theta_W M_Z^2} m_H^2 \]

\[ \frac{3 e^2}{ \sin^2 2 \theta_W M_Z^2} m_H^2 \]

\[ \frac{e^2}{ \sin^2 2 \theta_W M_Z^2} m_H^2 \]

\[ \omega^+ \omega^- z z \]

\[ \frac{e^2}{ \sin^2 2 \theta_W M_Z^2} m_H^2 \]

\[ \frac{e^2}{ \sin^2 2 \theta_W M_Z^2} m_H^2 \]

\[ \frac{2 e^2}{ \sin^2 2 \theta_W M_Z^2} m_H^2 \]
• Gauge–boson–ghost vertices

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{c}^- c^Z W^+ & & \bar{c}^Z c^- W^+ & & \bar{c}^- c^A W^+ \\
\bar{c}^- & \rightarrow & e \cot \vartheta_W p^a & & e \cot \vartheta_W p^a & & e \cot \vartheta_W p^a \\
\bar{c}^Z & \rightarrow & c^- & & c^- & & c^- \\
\bar{c}^A & \rightarrow & c^+ & & c^+ & & c^+ \\
\bar{c} - cZ & \rightarrow & \bar{c} - cA & & \bar{c} - cA & & \bar{c} - cA \\
\bar{c} + cZ & \rightarrow & \bar{c} + cA & & \bar{c} + cA & & \bar{c} + cA \\
\bar{c} - cA & \rightarrow & \bar{c} - cZ & & \bar{c} - cZ & & \bar{c} - cZ \\
\bar{c} + cA & \rightarrow & \bar{c} + cZ & & \bar{c} + cZ & & \bar{c} + cZ \\
\bar{c} & \rightarrow & G^c & & G^c & & G^c \\
\bar{c}_a & \rightarrow & c_b & & c_b & & c_b \\
\bar{p} & \rightarrow & -ig_{s} f_{abc} p^a & & -ig_{s} f_{abc} p^a & & -ig_{s} f_{abc} p^a 
\end{align*}
\]

• Higgs–ghost vertices

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{c}^- c^Z \omega^+ & & \bar{c}^- c^A \omega^+ & & \bar{c}^- c^- \omega^+ \\
\bar{c}^- & \rightarrow & e \cot \vartheta_W M_Z & & -i \xi_W e M_W & & i \xi_Z e M_Z \\
\bar{c}^Z & \rightarrow & c^- & & c^- & & c^- \\
\bar{c}^A & \rightarrow & c^+ & & c^+ & & c^+ \\
\bar{c} - cZ & \rightarrow & \bar{c} - cA & & \bar{c} - cA & & \bar{c} - cA \\
\bar{c} + cZ & \rightarrow & \bar{c} + cA & & \bar{c} + cA & & \bar{c} + cA \\
\bar{c} - cA & \rightarrow & \bar{c} - cZ & & \bar{c} - cZ & & \bar{c} - cZ \\
\bar{c} + cA & \rightarrow & \bar{c} + cZ & & \bar{c} + cZ & & \bar{c} + cZ \\
\bar{c} & \rightarrow & \omega^+ & & \omega^+ & & \omega^+ \\
i \xi_W e \cos \vartheta_W M_Z & & -i \xi_W e M_W & & i \xi_Z e M_Z & & -i \xi_Z e M_Z \\
i \xi_W e \cos \vartheta_W M_Z & & i \xi_W e M_W & & -i \xi_Z e M_Z & & i \xi_Z e M_Z 
\end{align*}
\]
9 INTEGRATION IN N-DIMENSIONS

9.1 Dimensional Regularization

A powerful method of the evaluation of the loop integrals (which very often are divergent) is Dimensional Regularization (DR) [18]. The idea of DR is to consider the loop integral as an analytic function of \( n \) — number of dimensions. Then one can calculate this integral in that region of the complex \( n \) plane, where this function is convergent.

A typical loop integral looks as follows:

\[
\int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{P(q'_i, m_i, p'^i)}{\prod_{i=1}^l (m_i^2 - (p - k_i)^2)},
\]

where \( q_i \), \( m_i \) are 4-momenta (masses) of external particles; \( P(q'_i, m_i, p'^i) \) is a function of masses \( m_i \) and momenta \( q_i \) and \( p \).

To use the DR method one needs to transform the product of denominators into expression such as: \( p^2 + (pk) + M^2 \), where \( k^\nu \) is the linear combination of \( q_i \) momenta and \( M \) is a combination of \( q_i^2 \), \((q_iq_j)\), and \( m_i^2 \). That can be done by using of Feynman parameterization:

\[
\frac{1}{a^n b^\beta} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^1 dx \frac{x^{\alpha-1}(1-x)^{\beta-1}}{[ax + b(1-x)]^{\alpha+\beta}},
\]

\[
\frac{1}{a^n - 1} = \int_0^1 \frac{n(a-b)dx}{[(a-b)x+b]^{n+1}},
\]

\[
\frac{1}{b_1^{a_1}b_2^{a_2}\ldots b_m^{a_m}} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \ldots + \alpha_m)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)\Gamma(\alpha_2)\ldots \Gamma(\alpha_m)} \int_0^1 dx_1 \int_0^{x_1} dx_2 \ldots \int_0^{x_{m-2}} dx_{m-1} \frac{x_1^{\alpha_1-1}(x_m - x_{m-1})^{\alpha_2-1}\ldots (1-x_1)^{\alpha_{m-1}}}{b_1(x_m - x_{m-1}) + b_2(x_{m-2} - x_{m-1}) + \ldots + b_m(1-x_1)^{\alpha_1+\ldots+\alpha_m}},
\]

where \( \Gamma(z) \) is the Euler Gamma function.

Using the Wick rotation \( p_0 \rightarrow ip_0 \) and replacement \( 4 \rightarrow n \), one can obtain a typical integral in \( n \)-dimensional Euclidean space:

\[
J = \int d^n p \frac{P(q'_i, m_i, p'^i)}{(p^2 + 2(pk) + M^2)^\alpha}, \quad \text{Re} \alpha > 0.
\]

The differential \( d^n p \) has the form:

\[
d^n p = p^{n-1} dp \, d\Omega_n, \quad \int d\Omega_n = \Omega_n = 2\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} / \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right), \quad (9.1)
\]

\[
d\Omega_n = (\sin^{n-2} \vartheta_{n-1} d\vartheta_{n-1}) d\Omega_{n-1} = (\sin^{n-2} \vartheta_{n-1} d\vartheta_{n-1})(\sin^{n-3} \vartheta_{n-2} d\vartheta_{n-2}) \ldots d\vartheta_1, \quad (9.2)
\]

where \( 0 \leq \vartheta_i \leq \pi, \quad 0 \leq \vartheta_1 \leq 2\pi \). (The last equality in (9.2) obeys for the integer \( n \).)
9.2 Integrals

Let us introduce the following notation:

\[ \hat{J} f(p) = \int d^p p \frac{1}{(p^2 + 2(pk) + M^2)^\alpha} f(p), \]  
\[ J_0 = \frac{i\pi^{n/2} i^n}{(M^2 - k^2)^{\alpha - n/2} \Gamma(\alpha)}. \]  

Then:

\[ I_0 = \hat{J} 1 = \frac{i\pi^{n/2} \Gamma(\alpha - n/2) \Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} = \Gamma(\alpha - n/2) J_0, \]
\[ I^\mu = \hat{J} p^\mu = (-k^\mu) I_0, \]
\[ I_2 = \hat{J} p^2 = J_0 \{ k^2 \Gamma(\alpha - n/2) + \frac{n}{2} \Gamma(\alpha - 1 - n/2) (M^2 - k^2) \}, \]
\[ I^{\mu\nu} = \hat{J} p^\mu p^\nu = J_0 \{ k^\mu k^\nu \Gamma(\alpha - n/2) + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma(\alpha - 1 - n/2) g^{\mu\nu} (M^2 - k^2) \}, \]
\[ I^{\mu\nu\lambda} = \hat{J} p^\mu p^\nu p^\lambda = J_0 \{ -k^\mu k^\nu k^\lambda \Gamma(\alpha - n/2) \]
\[ - \frac{1}{2} \Gamma(\alpha - 1 - n/2) (M^2 - k^2) (g^{\mu\nu} k^\lambda + g^{\mu\lambda} k^\nu + g^{\nu\lambda} k^\mu) \}, \]
\[ I_2^\mu = \hat{J} p^2 p^\mu = -J_0 k^\mu \{ k^2 \Gamma(\alpha - n/2) + \frac{n + 2}{2} \Gamma(\alpha - 1 - n/2) (M^2 - k^2) \}. \]

For calculation of the basic integral \( I_0 \) one can use the well-known relation [19]:

\[ \int_0^\infty \frac{x^\beta}{(x^2 + M^2)^\alpha} dx = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\beta + 1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2\alpha - \beta - 1}{2}\right)}{2 \Gamma(\alpha) (M^2)^{\alpha - \frac{\beta + 1}{2}}}. \]

9.3 Spence Integral (Dilogarithm)

As a rule the final expressions for the loop integrals include so-called Spence integral or Euler dilogarithm [19–21]:

\[ \text{Li}_2(z) = \text{Li}(z) \equiv -\int_0^z \frac{\ln(1 - t)}{t} dt = \int_1^1 \frac{\ln t}{t - 1} dt \quad [\text{arg}(1 - z) < \pi]. \]  

Dilogarithm is a special case of the polylogarithm [19–21]:

\[ \text{Li}_\nu(z) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k^\nu} \quad ||z| < 1, \quad \text{or } |z| = 1, \text{ Re} \nu > 1. \]  
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The main properties of \( \text{Li}(z) \) are as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Li}_n(z) + \text{Li}_n(-z) &= 2^{1-n} \text{Li}_n(z^2), \\
\text{Li}_n(iz) + \text{Li}_n(-iz) &= 4^{1-n} \text{Li}_n(z^4) - 2^{1-n} \text{Li}_n(z^2), \\
\text{Li}_n(iz) - \text{Li}_n(-iz) &= 2i \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k z^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)^n} \quad |z| < 1, \\
\text{Li}_n(z) &= \int_0^z \frac{\text{Li}_{n-1}(t)}{t} \, dt \quad (n = 1, 2, \ldots), \\
\text{Li}_0(z) &= \frac{z}{1-z}, \quad \text{Li}_1(z) = -\ln(1-z).
\end{align*}
\]

The Riemann sheet of the \( \text{Li}_2(z) \) has a cut along the real axes when \( z > 1 \), and

\[
\text{Im} \, \text{Li}_2(z \pm i \varepsilon) = \pm \pi \Theta(z - 1) \ln(z),
\]

where the \( \Theta(x) \) is the step function (see Subsection 14.1). The equation \( \text{Re} \, \text{Li}_2(z) = 0 \) has two solutions on the real axes

\[
z_1 = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad z_2 \approx 12.6.
\]

\( \text{Re} \, \text{Li}_2(z) \) achieves its maximum at \( z = 2 \):

\[
\text{Li}_2(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{4},
\]

and at this point the \( \text{Li}_2(z) \) has the expansion as follows \([9]\):

\[
\text{Li}_2(2 - \delta) = \frac{\pi^2}{4} - \frac{\delta^2}{4} - \frac{\delta^3}{6} - \frac{5\delta^4}{48} - \frac{\delta^5}{15} - \ldots
\]

One easily gets:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Li}_2(0) &= 0, \quad \text{Li}_2(1) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}, \quad \text{Li}_2(-1) = -\frac{\pi^2}{12}, \\
\text{Li}_2(\frac{1}{2}) &= \frac{\pi^2}{12} - \frac{1}{2} \ln^2 2, \\
\text{Li}_2(\pm i) &= -\frac{\pi^2}{48} \pm i G, \quad G = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(2k+1)^2} = 0.915965594\ldots
\end{align*}
\]

The various relations with \( \text{Li}_2 \) are as follows \([19–21]\):

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Li}_2(z) &= -\text{Li}_2(1 - z) + \frac{\pi^2}{6} - \ln z \ln(1-z) \quad |\text{arg}z|, |\text{arg}(1-z)| < \pi], \\
\text{Li}_2(z) &= -\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \ln^2 z + i \pi \ln z + \frac{\pi^2}{3} \quad |\text{arg}(-z)| < \pi], \\
\text{Li}_2(z) &= \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \ln^2(1-z) - \ln(-z) \ln(1-z) - \frac{\pi^2}{6} \quad |\text{arg}(-z)| < \pi].
\end{align*}
\]
The Hill identity has the form [9, 20]:

\[
\text{Li}_2(\omega z) = \text{Li}_2(\omega) + \text{Li}_2(z) - \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{\omega - \omega z}{1 - \omega z}\right) - \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{z - \omega z}{1 - \omega z}\right)
\]

where the function \(\eta\) compensates for the cut in the Riemann sheet of the logarithm [9]:

\[
\ln xy = \ln x + \ln y + \eta(x, y).
\]

A typical integral, which can be expressed via the dilogarithm, is, for example:

\[
\int_a^b \frac{\ln(p + qt)}{t} dt = \ln p \ln \frac{b}{a} - \text{Li}_2(-b q p) + \text{Li}_2(-a q p).
\]

The Euler Gamma function \(\Gamma(z)\) is given by the integral representation [19]:

\[
\Gamma(z) \equiv \int_0^\infty dt \, t^{z-1} e^{-t}, \quad \text{Re } z > 0.
\]

The main properties of the \(\Gamma(z)\) are as follows [19]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Gamma(1 + z) &= z\Gamma(z), \quad \Gamma(n + 1) = n!, \\
\Gamma(z)\Gamma(-z) &= -\frac{\pi}{z \sin(\pi z)}, \quad \Gamma(z)\Gamma(1 - z) = \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi z)}, \\
\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + z\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - z\right) &= \frac{\pi}{\cos(\pi z)}, \quad \Gamma(2z) = \frac{2^{(2z-1)}}{\sqrt{\pi}}\Gamma(z)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + z\right), \\
\Gamma(1) &= \Gamma(2) = 1, \quad \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \sqrt{\pi}, \\
\Gamma(z)|_{z \to 0} &\simeq \frac{1}{z} + \Gamma'(1); \quad \Gamma'(1) = \Gamma(1)\Psi(1) = \Psi(1) = -\gamma = -0.57721 56649 \ldots,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\gamma\) is Euler constant.
10 KINEMATICS

The nice book by E. Byckling and K. Kajantie [22] contains a lot of information about relativistic kinematics. Here we present a brief description of relativistic kinematics following the Review of Particle Properties [11].

10.1 Lorentz transformation

Let \( p^\mu \) be some four-momentum of the massive particle with the mass \( M \):

\[
p^\mu = (p_0, \mathbf{p}); \quad \mathbf{p} = (p_x, p_y, p_z), \quad p_0 = \sqrt{M^2 + \mathbf{p}^2}
\]  

(10.1)

The reference frame where this \( p^\mu \)-vector is defined is usually referred as the laboratory frame (\( L \)-frame). This momentum in its rest frame (\( R \)-frame) is as follows:

\[
R_f : \quad p^\mu = (M, 0)
\]  

(10.2)

Let \( k^\mu \) be some 4-vector (4-momentum) defined in the \( Lab \)-frame and \( k^\ast \mu \) be the same 4-vector in the \( R \)-frame. The Lorentz transformations form \( R(\text{est}) \)-frame to \( Lab \)-frame and vice versa can be written in the form as follows:

\[
k^\ast \rightarrow k \quad R \rightarrow Lab : \quad \begin{cases} 
  k_0 = k^\ast_0 + (k^\ast \cdot \mathbf{p})/M \\
  k = k^\ast + \lambda \mathbf{p}
\end{cases}
\]

\[
k \rightarrow k^\ast \quad Lab \rightarrow R : \quad \begin{cases} 
  k^\ast_0 = (pk)/M \\
  k^\ast = k - \lambda \mathbf{p}
\end{cases}
\]  

(5.4)

where

\[
\lambda = \frac{k^\ast_0 + k_0}{p_0 + M}
\]

10.2 Variables

Initial (final) particles total momentum (energy) squared will be denoted by:

\[
s \equiv \left( \sum_{\text{initial}} p_i \right)^2 = \left( \sum_{\text{final}} p_j \right)^2.
\]  

(10.3)

Let \( E \) and \( \mathbf{p} \) be energy and momentum of a particle. The energy and momentum of this particle \((E', \mathbf{p}')\) in the frame moving with the velocity \( \beta \) are given by the Lorentz transformation:

\[
E' = \gamma(E + \beta p_\parallel), \quad p'_\parallel = \gamma(p_\parallel + \beta E), \quad \mathbf{p}'_\perp = \mathbf{p}_\perp,
\]  

(10.4)

where \( \gamma = 1/\sqrt{1 - \beta^2} \) and \( \mathbf{p}_\perp(p_\parallel) \) are the components of \( \mathbf{p} \) perpendicular (parallel) to \( \beta \). The beam direction choose along the \( z \)-axes. 4–momentum of a particle \( p^\mu = (E, \mathbf{p}) \) can be written as:

\[
E = p_0, \quad \mathbf{p}_\perp = (p_x, p_y), \quad \mathbf{p}_z = \sqrt{p^2 - p_0^2}.
\]

\[
p_x = |\mathbf{p}| \cos \phi \sin \vartheta, \quad p_y = |\mathbf{p}| \sin \phi \sin \vartheta, \quad p_z = |\mathbf{p}| \cos \vartheta
\]  

(10.5)
where $\phi$ is the azimuthal angle ($0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi$); $\vartheta$ is the polar angle ($0 \leq \vartheta \leq \pi$).

Another parameterization of $p^\mu$ looks as follows:
\[
E = m_\perp \cosh y, \quad p_x, \quad p_y, \quad p_z = m_\perp \sinh y,
\]
(10.6)

where $m_\perp^2 = m^2 + p_\perp^2$ is the transverse mass ("old" definition), $y$ is the rapidity. Rapidity $y$ is defined by
\[
y \equiv \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{E + p_z}{E - p_z} \right) = \ln \left( \frac{E + p_z}{m_\perp} \right) = \tanh^{-1} \left( \frac{p_\perp}{E} \right).
\]
(10.7)

Under a boost along $z$-direction to a frame with velocity $\beta$,
\[
y \rightarrow y + \tanh^{-1} \beta.
\]

Pseudorapidity $\eta$ is defined by:
\[
\eta \equiv -\ln(\tan(\vartheta/2)),
\]
(10.8)
\[
\sinh \eta = \cot \vartheta, \quad \cosh \eta = \frac{1}{\sin \vartheta}, \quad \tanh \eta = \cos \vartheta.
\]

For $p \gg m$ and $\vartheta \gg 1/\gamma$ one has: $\eta \approx y$.

Feynman’s $x_F = x$ variable is given by
\[
x = \frac{p_\perp}{p_{\perp \max}} \approx \frac{(E + p_z)}{(E + p_z)_{\max}}, \text{ in cms } x = \frac{2p_z}{\sqrt{s}}.
\]
(10.9)

The last equation is valid for two particles collisions, and here $s$ is total energy squared (see (10.3)).

In the collider’s experiments the following additional variables are used:
\[
E_\perp = E \sin \vartheta \quad \text{transverse energy,}
\]
\[
p_{\perp \text{mis}} = -(\Sigma p_\perp) \quad \text{missing transverse momentum,}
\]
\[
E_{\perp \text{mis}} = -(\Sigma E_\perp) \quad \text{missing transverse energy}
\]

where sum is performed over all detected particles.

The "distance" in $(\eta, \phi)$–plane between two particles (clusters) 1 and 2 is given by
\[
\Delta R \equiv \sqrt{(\Delta \phi)^2 + (\Delta \eta)^2}, \quad \Delta \phi = \phi_1 - \phi_2, \quad \Delta \eta = \eta_1 - \eta_2.
\]

The "transverse" mass of the particle (cluster) $c$ with momentum $p_c$ and the "missing" transverse momentum (energy) $p_{\perp \text{mis}}$ ($E_{\perp \text{mis}}$) is given by:
\[
M_\perp^2(c, p_{\perp \text{mis}}) \equiv \left( \sqrt{m_c^2 + p_{\perp c}^2 + p_{\perp \text{mis}}^2} - (p_{\perp c} + p_{\perp \text{mis}}) \right)^2.
\]

### 10.3 Event Shape Variables

In this Subsection we describe in brief event shape variables for $n$–particle final state (for details, see, for example [23]). None of the variables presented in this Subsection are Lorentz invariant.
• **Sphericity**

The *sphericity* tensor is defined as \[ [23, 24] \]

\[
S^{ab} \equiv \frac{\sum_i p_i^a p_i^b}{\sum_i |p_i|^2}, \tag{10.10}
\]

where \(a, b = 1, 2, 3\) corresponds to the \(x, y\) and \(z\) components. By standard diagonalization of \(S^{ab}\) one can find three eigenvalues

\[
\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_3, \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 1.
\]

Then, the *sphericity* is defined as:

\[
S \equiv \frac{3}{2} (\lambda_2 + \lambda_3), \quad 0 \leq S \leq 1. \tag{10.11}
\]

Eigenvectors \(s_i\) can be found that correspond to the three eigenvalues \(\lambda_i\). The \(s_1\) eigenvector is called the *sphericity axes*, while the *sphericity event plane* is spanned by \(s_1\) and \(s_2\).

Sphericity is essentially a measure of the summed \(p_T\) with respect to sphericity axes. So, one can use another definition of the sphericity:

\[
S = \frac{3}{2} \min \sum_i |p_{T,i}^2| \sum_i |p_i|^2, \tag{10.12}
\]

where \(p_{T,i}\) is a component of \(p_i\) perpendicular to \(n\). So, the sphericity axes \(s_i\) given (10.12) by the \(n\) vector for which minimum is attained. A 2–jet event corresponds to \(S \approx 0\) and isotropic event to \(S \approx 1\).

Sphericity is not an infrared safe quality in QCD perturbation theory. Sometimes one can use the generalization of the sphericity tensor, given by

\[
S^{(r)ab} \equiv \frac{\sum_i |p_i|^r - 2 p_i^a p_i^b}{\sum_i |p_i|^r}, \tag{10.13}
\]

• **Aplanarity**

The *aplanarity* \(A\) is define as \([23, 25]\):

\[
A \equiv 3 \lambda_2, \quad 0 \leq A \leq \frac{1}{2}. \tag{10.14}
\]

The aplanarity measures the transverse momentum component out of the event plane. A planar event has \(A \approx 0\) and isotropic one \(A \approx \frac{1}{2}\).

• **Thrust**

The *thrust* \(T\) is given by \([23]\)

\[
T \equiv \max_{|n| = 1} \frac{\sum_i |(np_i)|}{\sum_i |p_i|}, \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq T \leq 1. \tag{10.15}
\]

and the *thrust axes* \(t_i\) is given by the \(n\) vector for which maximum is attained. 2–jet event corresponds to \(T \approx 1\) and isotropic event to \(T \approx \frac{1}{2}\).
• Major and minor values
In the plane perpendicular to the thrust axes, a major axes $m_a$ and major value $M_a$ may be defined in just the same fashion as thrust [23], i.e.

$$M_a \equiv \max_{|n|=1, (nt_i)=0} \frac{\sum_i |(np_i)|}{\sum_i |p_i|}. \quad (10.16)$$

Finally, a third axes, the minor axes, is defined perpendicular to the thrust ($t_1$) and major ($m_a$) axes. The minor value $M_i$ is calculated just as thrust and major values.

• Oblatness
The oblatness $O$ is given by [23]

$$O \equiv M_a - M_i.$$

In general, $O \approx 0$, corresponds to an event symmetrical around the thrust axes $t_1$ and high $O$ to aplanar event.

• Fox–Wolfram moments
The Fox–Wolfram moments $H_l$, $l = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, are defined by [23,26]:

$$H_l \equiv \sum_{i,j=1} \frac{|p_i||p_j|}{E_{vis}^2} P_l(\cos \vartheta_{ij}), \quad (10.17)$$

where $\vartheta_{ij}$ is the opening angle between hadron $i$ and $j$, and $E_{vis}$ is the total visible energy of the event. $P_l(z)$ are the Legendre polynomials [19]:

$$P_0(z) = 1, \quad P_1(z) = z, \quad P_2(z) = \frac{1}{2}(3z^2 - 1), \ldots$$

$$P_k(z) = \frac{1}{k} [(2k - 1)zP_{k-1}(z) - (k - 1)P_{k-2}(z)].$$

Neglecting the masses of all the particles, one gets $H_0 = 1$. If momentum is balanced, then $H_1 = 0$. 2–jet events tend to give $H_l \approx 1$ for $l$ even and $H_l \approx 0$ for $l$ odd.

The summary of the discussed quantities are presented in Table 10.1.

| $S$ | $A$ | $T$ | $O$ | $H_0$ \ all $m_i = 0$ | $H_l$ |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|----------------|-------|
| isotropic | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | - | - |
| 2–jet | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 1 \ $H_1 = 0$ \ $H_{2k} \approx 1$, $H_{2k+1} \approx 0$ |
| planar | - | 0 | - | $\gg 0$ | 1 | - |

10.4 Two–body Final State
In the collision of two particles of mass $m_1$ and $m_2$ and momenta $p_1$ and $p_2$

$$s = (p_1 + p_2)^2 = m_1^2 + m_2^2 + 2E_{1\text{Lab}}m_2,$$

where the last equation is valid in the frame, where one particle (second one) is at rest (Lab frame).
The energies and momenta of the particles 1 and 2 in their center–of–mass system (cms) are equal to:

\[ E_1^* = \frac{s + m_1^2 - m_2^2}{2\sqrt{s}}, \quad E_2^* = \frac{s - m_1^2 + m_2^2}{2\sqrt{s}}, \tag{10.18} \]

\[ \mathbf{p}_1^* = -\mathbf{p}_2^*, \quad |\mathbf{p}_1^*| = \frac{\sqrt{|s - (m_1 + m_2)^2||s - (m_1 - m_2)^2|}}{2\sqrt{s}}, \tag{10.19} \]

or

\[ |\mathbf{p}_1^*| = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{s}} \lambda^{1/2}(s, m_1^2, m_2^2), \]

where \(\lambda(x, y, z)\) is the so–called kinematical function [22]:

\[ \lambda(x, y, z) \equiv (x - y - z)^2 - 4yz \]
\[ = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 2xy - 2yz - 2zx \]
\[ = \begin{cases} \{x - (\sqrt{y} + \sqrt{z})\}^2 \{x - (\sqrt{y} - \sqrt{z})^2 \}. \end{cases} \tag{10.20} \]

Let us now consider the two–body reaction (4–momenta of the particles are presented in the parentheses):

\[ a(p_a) + b(p_b) \rightarrow 1(p_1) + 2(p_2) \]
\[ p_a + p_b = p_1 + p_2 \]

The Lorentz–invariant Mandelstam variables for reaction 2 → 2 are defined by:

\[ s = (p_a + p_b)^2 = (p_1 + p_2)^2, \quad t = (p_a - p_1)^2 = (p_b - p_2)^2, \tag{10.22} \]

\[ u = (p_a - p_2)^2 = (p_b - p_1)^2, \]

and they satisfy

\[ s + t + u = m_a^2 + m_b^2 + m_1^2 + m_2^2. \]

Two limits of \(t\) (corresponding to \(\vartheta_{cm} = 0\) and \(\pi\)) equal:

\[ t_\pm = m_a^2 + m_b^2 - 2E_aE_1^* \pm 2|\mathbf{p}_1^*||\mathbf{p}_1^*| = \]
\[ = m_a^2 + m_1^2 - \frac{1}{2s}(s + m_a^2 - m_b^2)(s + m_1^2 - m_2^2) \]
\[ \pm \frac{1}{2s} \lambda^{1/2}(s, m_a^2, m_b^2)\lambda^{1/2}(s, m_1^2, m_2^2). \tag{10.23} \]

### 10.5 Three–body Final State

Let us consider three–body decay of particle \(a\) with mass \(M\)

\[ a(P) \rightarrow 1(p_1) + 2(p_2) + 3(p_3). \]

Defining

\[ p_{ij} \equiv p_i + p_j, \quad m_{ij}^2 \equiv p_{ij}^2, \tag{10.24} \]
where

\[ m_{12}^2 + m_{23}^2 + m_{13}^2 = M^2 + m_1^2 + m_2^2 + m_3^2, \]

and

\[ m_{ij}^2 = (P - p_i)P - p_k)^2 = M^2 + m_k^2 - 2ME_k. \]

The 1 → 3 decay is described by two variables (for example, \(m_{12}\) and \(m_{13}\)). If \(m_{12}\) is fixed, then limits of \(m_{13}^2\) variation are equal to:

\[
\left( m_{13}^2 \right)_\pm = m_1^2 + m_3^2 - \frac{1}{2m_{12}^2} (m_{12}^2 - M^2 + m_3^2)(m_{12}^2 + m_1^2 - m_2^2)
\]

\[
\pm \frac{1}{2m_{12}^2} \lambda^{1/2}(m_{12}^2, M^2, m_3^2)\lambda^{1/2}(m_{12}^2, m_1^2, m_2^2) = (E_1^* - E_3^*)^2 - (\sqrt{E_1^* - m_1^2} \pm \sqrt{E_3^* - m_3^2})^2,
\]

where \(E_1^* = \frac{m_{12}^2 + m_1^2 - m_2^2}{2m_{12}^2}\) and \(E_3^* = \frac{m_2^2 - m_{12}^2 - m_3^2}{2m_{12}^2}\).

2 → 3 scattering is described by five independent variables. For example,

\[
s = (p_a + p_b)^2, \quad m_{12}^2, \quad m_{23}^2, \quad t_1 = (p_q - p_1)^2, \quad t_2 = (p_b - p_3)^2.
\]

### 10.6 Lorentz Invariant Phase Space

Lorentz invariant phase space (LIPS) of \(n\) particles with 4-momenta \(p_j\) (\(j = 1, 2, \ldots n\)) and the total momentum \(P = \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j\) is given by:

\[
dR_n(P; p_1, p_2, \ldots p_n) \equiv \delta^{(4)}(P - \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{d^3p_j}{(2\pi)^32E_j}. \tag{10.25}
\]

Through of this Subsection we use the following notation:

\[ s \equiv P^2. \]

This LIPS can be generated recursively as follows [11, 22]:

\[
dR_n = dR_2(P; p_a, q)(2\pi)^3dq^2 dR_{n-1}(q; p_1, \ldots p_{n-1}), \tag{10.26}
\]

where \(q = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_i\) and \((m_1 + m_2 + \ldots + m_{n-1})^2 \leq q^2 \leq (\sqrt{P^2} - m_n)^2\), or:

\[
dR_n = dR_{n-j+1}(P; q, p_{j+1}, \ldots p_n)(2\pi)^3dq^2 dR_j(q; p_1, \ldots p_j), \tag{10.27}
\]

here \(q = \sum_{i=1}^{j} p_i\) and

\[
(m_1 + \ldots + m_j)^2 \leq q^2 \leq (\sqrt{P^2} - \sum_{l=j+1}^{n} m_l)^2.
\]

The integrated LIPS for \(m_1 = m_2 = \ldots = m_n = 0\) equals:

\[
R_n(0) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \frac{(\pi/2)^{n-1}}{(n-1)! (n-2)!} (P^2)^{n-2}.
\]
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Two–particle LIPS equals:

\[ R_2 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^6} \frac{p_1^*}{4\sqrt{P^2}} \int d\Omega_1^* = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^6} \frac{\pi p_1^*}{\sqrt{P^2}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^6} \frac{\pi p_1^*}{\sqrt{s}}, \]

where \( p_1^* \) is momentum of first (second) particle in cms (see (10.19)).

Different choice of \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) leads to:

\[
R_2 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^6} \frac{\pi \sqrt{[s - (m_1 + m_2)^2][s - (m_1 - m_2)^2]}}{2s}, \quad (m_1 + m_2) \leq \sqrt{s},
\]

\[
R_2 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^6} \frac{\pi}{2} \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m^2}{s}}, \quad m_1 = m_2 = m,
\]

\[
R_2 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^6} \frac{\pi}{2} (1 - \frac{m_1^2}{s}), \quad m_2 = 0,
\]

\[
R_2 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^6} \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad m_1 = m_2 = 0.
\]

Three body decay final state LIPS equals:

\[
dR_3 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^9} \frac{\pi^2}{4s} dm_{12}^2 dm_{13}^2 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^9} \pi^2 dE_1 dE_2,
\]

where \( m_{12} \) and \( m_{13} \) are defined in (10.24), \( E_1 (E_2) \) is the energy of the first (second) particle in \( P \) rest frame. This is the standard form of the Dalitz plot.

### 10.7 Width and Cross Section

The partial decay rate (partial width) of a particle of mass \( M \) into \( n \) bodies in its rest frame is given in terms of the Lorentz–invariant matrix element \( M_{fi} \) by:

\[
d\Gamma = \frac{(2\pi)^4}{2M} |M_{fi}|^2 dR_n(P; \ p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n).
\]

(10.28)

The differential cross section of the reaction \( a + b \rightarrow 1 + 2 + \ldots + n \ (p_a + p_b \equiv P) \) is given by:

\[
d\sigma = \frac{(2\pi)^4}{2I} |M_{fi}|^2 dR_n(P; \ p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n),
\]

\[
I^2 = [s - (m_a + m_b)^2][s - (m_a - m_b)^2] = 4[(p_ap_b)^2 - m_a^2m_b^2].
\]

(10.29)
11 DECAYS

11.1 Standard Model Higgs Decays Rates

Standard Model Higgs was discovered by ATLAS and CMS experiments at CERN [27,28] with the mass equals 125 GeV. The SM Higgs decay rates, calculated without radiative corrections are as follows (see [29] and references therein):

\[ H \rightarrow f \bar{f}, \quad \Gamma = \frac{N_c G_F m_f^2}{4\sqrt{2}\pi} m_H \beta^3, \]

where \( \beta = \sqrt{1 - 4m_f^2/m_H^2} \) and \( N_c = 1(3) \) for \( f \) = lepton (quark).

\[ H \rightarrow W^+W^-(ZZ), \quad \Gamma = \frac{G_F^2 M^2 m_H}{8\sqrt{2}\pi} \sqrt{1 - \frac{x}{x^2}} (3x^2 - 4x + 4), \]

where \( x = 4M^2/m_H^2 \), \( M \) is \( W^\pm(Z) \)–boson mass. Higgs decay into two photons or two gluons proceeds via loops. Its decay rates are equal [30–34]:

\[ H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma, \quad \Gamma = \frac{\alpha^2 G_F m_H^3 |I|^2}{8\sqrt{2}\pi}, \]

where \( I = I_{\text{lepton}} + I_{\text{hadron}} + I_W + \ldots \), and \( |I| \approx O(1) \).

\[ H \rightarrow gg, \quad \Gamma = \frac{\alpha^2 G_F m_H^3 |N|^2}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^3}, \]

where \( N \equiv 3 \sum_j N_j \) is the sum of the quark’s contributions \( j = 1, 2, \ldots \), given by [35]:

\[ N_j = \int_0^1 dx \int_0^{1-x} dy \frac{1 - 4xy}{1 - xy \frac{m_f^2}{m_j^2} - i\epsilon} = 2\lambda_j + \lambda_j (4\lambda_j - 1) G(\lambda_j), \]

where \( \lambda_j \equiv m_j^2/m_H^2 \), and

\begin{align*}
G(z) &= -2[\arcsin(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{z}})]^2, \quad z \geq \frac{1}{4}, \\
G(z) &= \frac{1}{2} \ln^2 \left[ \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4z}}{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4z}} \right] - \frac{\pi^2}{2} + i\pi \ln \left[ \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4z}}{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4z}} \right], \quad z \leq \frac{1}{4}.
\end{align*}

\( N_q \) vanishes for \( m_q \ll m_H \) and \( N_q \rightarrow 1/3 \) for \( m_q \gg m_H \).

\[ H \rightarrow W^\pm f \bar{f}', \quad \Gamma = \frac{g^4 m_H}{307\pi^3} F(\epsilon), \quad \epsilon = \frac{m_W}{m_H}, \]
\[ H \rightarrow W^\pm \sum f \bar{f}', \quad \text{(except } W^+ \rightarrow t \bar{b}) \]

\[ \Gamma = \frac{3g^4m_H}{512\pi^3} F(\epsilon), \quad \epsilon = \frac{m_W}{m_H}, \]

\[ H \rightarrow Z \sum f \bar{f}, \quad \Gamma = \frac{g^4m_H}{2048\pi^3\cos^4 \theta_W} \]
\[ \times (7 - \frac{40}{3} \sin^2 \theta_W + \frac{160}{9} \sin^4 \theta_W) F(\epsilon'), \quad \epsilon' = \frac{m_Z}{m_H}, \]

\[ F(z) = \frac{3(1 - 8z^2 + 20z^4)}{\sqrt{4z^2 - 1}} \arccos\left(\frac{3z^2 - 1}{2z^3}\right) \]
\[ - (1 - z^2)(\frac{27}{2} \epsilon^2 - \frac{13}{2} + \frac{1}{z^2}) - 3(1 - 6z^2 + 4z^4) \ln z. \]

### 11.2 W and Z Decays

The partial decay widths for gauge bosons to decay into massless fermions \( f_1 \bar{f}_2 \) are equal to \([4,11]\):

\[ W^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e, \quad \Gamma = \frac{G_FM_W^3}{6\sqrt{2}\pi} \approx 227 \pm 1 \text{ MeV}, \]

\[ W^+ \rightarrow u_i \bar{d}_i, \quad \Gamma = C \frac{G_FM_W^3}{6\sqrt{2}\pi} |V_{ij}|^2 \approx (707 \pm 3)|V_{ij}|^2 \text{ MeV}, \]

\[ Z \rightarrow \psi_i \bar{\psi}_i, \quad \Gamma = C \frac{G_FM_Z^3}{6\sqrt{2}\pi} [g_{IV}^2 + g_{IA}^2] \approx \]
\[ = \begin{cases} 
167.1 \pm 0.3 \text{ MeV } (\nu \bar{\nu}), & 83.9 \pm 0.2 \text{ MeV } (e^+ e^-), \\
298.0 \pm 0.6 \text{ MeV } (u \bar{u}), & 384.5 \pm 0.8 \text{ MeV } (d \bar{d}), \\
375.2 \pm 0.4 \text{ MeV } (b \bar{b}), & 
\end{cases} \]

For lepton \( C = 1 \), while for quarks \( C = 3(1 + \frac{\alpha(M_W)}{1.409}\frac{2\pi}{\alpha_m} - 12.77\alpha_3\frac{2\pi}{\alpha_m}) \), where 3 is due to color and the factor in parentheses is a QCD correction \([36]\).

### 11.3 Muon Decay

In the SM the total muon decay width is equal (up to 100% accuracy) to the width of the decay

\[ \mu^- \rightarrow e^- \nu_e \nu_\mu. \]

The matrix element squared for this decay equals \([4]\):

\[ |M|^2 = 128G_f^2(p_\mu p_{\nu_e})(p_e p_{\nu_\mu}). \]

Then the total muon width is given by \([37]\):

\[ \Gamma_{\mu}^{\text{tot}} = \frac{G_f^2m_\mu^5}{192\pi^3} F\left(\frac{m_\mu^2}{m_e^2}\right)(1 + \frac{3m_\mu^2}{5M_W^2})[1 + \frac{\alpha(m_\mu)}{2\pi}(\frac{25}{4} - \pi^2)], \quad (11.1) \]

where \( F(x) = 1 - 8x + 8x^3 - x^4 - 12x^2 \ln x \), and

\[ \alpha(m_\mu)^{-1} = \alpha^{-1} - \frac{2}{3\pi} \ln\left(\frac{m_\mu}{m_e}\right) + \frac{1}{6\pi} \approx 136. \]
For pure $V - A$ coupling (and neglecting of the electron mass) in the rest frame of the polarized muon ($\mu^\mp$) the differential decay rate is:

$$d\Gamma(\mu^\mp) = \frac{G_F^2 m_\mu^5}{192\pi^3} \left[3 - 2x \pm (1 - 2x) \cos \vartheta \right] x^2 d\vartheta (\cos \vartheta),$$

where $\vartheta$ is the angle between the $e^\pm$ momentum and the $\mu$ spin, and $x = 2E_\mu/m_\mu$.

### 11.4 Charged Meson Decay

The decay constant $f_P$ for pseudoscalar meson $P$ is defined by [11]

$$<0|A_{\mu}(0)|P(k) > = i f_P k_{\mu}.$$  

The state vector is normalized by $<P(k)|P(k')>$.

The annihilation rate of the $P(q_1\bar{q}_2) \rightarrow f\bar{f}'$ decay is given by

$$\Gamma(P \rightarrow f\bar{f}') = C G_F^2 \frac{|V_Q q_2|^2 |V_{q_1}\bar{q}_4|^2}{8\pi} f_P m_f^2 M_P (1 - \frac{m_f^2}{M_P^2}), \quad (11.2)$$

where $C = 1$ for $P \rightarrow l\nu$ decay and $C = (3|V_{q_3}\bar{q}_4|^2)$ for $P \rightarrow q_3\bar{q}_4$ one, and $m_f$ is the heaviest final fermion mass.

### 11.5 Quark Decay

In the region $m_q \ll M_W$ the total quark width is given by [4]:

$$\Gamma(Q \rightarrow q_2 q_3\bar{q}_4) = \frac{G_F^2 m_Q^5}{64\pi^3} |V_{q_2}|^2 |V_{q_3}\bar{q}_4|^2. \quad (11.3)$$

For the case of $m_Q \gg m_W + m_q$ the width of the heavy quark decay $Q \rightarrow W + q$ equals [38]:

$$\Gamma(Q \rightarrow W + q) = \frac{G_F m_Q^3}{8\pi \sqrt{2}} |V_{q_2}|^2 \frac{2k}{m_Q} f_Q \left(\frac{m_W^2}{m_Q^2}, \frac{m_Q^2}{m_W^2}\right) \approx 180 \text{ (MeV)} \quad |V_{q_2}|^2 \left(\frac{m_Q}{m_W}\right)^3,$$

where

$$f_Q(x, y) = (1 - x)^2 + (1 + x)y - 2y^2, \quad k = \frac{1}{2m_Q} \sqrt{[m_Q^2 - (m_W + m_q)^2][m_Q^2 - (m_W - m_q)^2]},$$

here $k$ is $W$ (or $q$) momentum in the $Q$–quark rest frame.

The width of the heavy $Q$ decay

$$Q \rightarrow q + W(\rightarrow l\nu)$$

is given by [38]:

$$\Gamma(Q \rightarrow q + W(\rightarrow l\nu)) = \frac{G_F^2 m_Q^3}{192\pi^3} |V_{q_2}|^2 F \left(\frac{m_W^2}{m_Q^2}, \frac{m_Q^2}{m_W^2}, \frac{\Gamma_W^2}{m_W^2}\right).$$
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where
\[ F(a, b, c) = 2 \int_0^{(1-\sqrt{b})^2} dt \frac{f_Q(b,t)\sqrt{1+b^2+t^2-2(b+bt+t)}}{[(1-at)^2+c]}, \]
\[ F(a, 0, c) = \frac{2}{a^2} [c - 3(1-a)]A + 2a(1-a) - a[3(2-a)c - (2+a)(1-a)^2]B, \]
\[ A = \ln \frac{c+1}{c+(1-a)^2}, \quad B = \frac{1}{a\sqrt{c}} [\arctan \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{c}} \right) - \arctan \left( 1-a \sqrt{c} \right)]. \]

11.6 Heavy Quarkonia (QQ) Decays

Suppose that the matrix element of the vector state \( V \) decay \( V \to l^+l^- \) is given by
\[ M = g_V \varepsilon_V^\nu \bar{u}(l^+) \gamma^\nu \bar{u}(-l^-). \]
Then
\[ \Gamma(V \to l^+l^-) = \frac{g_V^2}{12\pi} M_V, \quad g_V = \sqrt{\frac{12\pi \Gamma(V \to l^+l^-)}{M_V}}. \]
Denote \( R_0^2 \equiv 4\pi|\psi(0)|^2 \), where \( \psi(0) \) is bound state wave function in the origin.
The width of the decay of the quark antiquark vector state \( 1^- \) equals:
\[ \Gamma(1^- \to l^+l^-) = N_c \frac{4\alpha^2 Q_q^2 M^2 R_0^2}{3M^2}. \]
where \( N_c = 1(3) \) for colorless (color) quarks, \( Q_q \) is the effective charge:
\[ \rho = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (u\bar{u} - d\bar{d}) \Rightarrow Q_q^2 = \frac{1}{2}, \]
\[ \omega = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (u\bar{u} + d\bar{d}) \Rightarrow Q_q^2 = \frac{1}{18}, \]
\[ \phi = s\bar{s} \Rightarrow Q_q^2 = \frac{1}{2}, \]
\[ J/\psi = c\bar{c} \Rightarrow Q_q^2 = \frac{1}{9}, \]
\[ \Upsilon = b\bar{b} \Rightarrow Q_q^2 = \frac{1}{9}. \]
For positron annihilation (with \( Q_e = 1 \) one has:
\[ \Gamma(0^- \to \gamma\gamma) = \frac{4\alpha^2}{M^2} R_0^2, \]
\[ \Gamma(1^- \to \gamma\gamma) = 16 \frac{\alpha^3}{9\pi} \frac{(\pi^2 - 9)}{M^2} R_0^2. \]
For quarkonia annihilation one gets:
\[ \Gamma(0^- \to \gamma\gamma) = 12 \frac{\alpha^2 Q_q^4}{M^2} R_0^2, \]
For the two (three) gluon annihilation one need to change : \( \alpha^2 Q_q^4 \to 2\alpha_s^2/9 \) (\( \alpha^3 \to 5\alpha_s^3/18 \)):
\[ \Gamma(0^- \to gg) = \frac{8\alpha_s^2}{3M^2} R_0^2, \]
\[ \Gamma(1^- \to gg) = \frac{40}{81\pi} (\pi^2 - 9) \frac{\alpha_s^3}{M^2} R_0^2. \]
12 CROSS SECTIONS

12.1 $e^+e^-$ Annihilation

For pointlike spin $\frac{1}{2}$ fermions the differential cross section in the cms for $e^+e^- \rightarrow f\bar{f}$ via single photon and $Z$–boson (with mass $M_Z$ and total width $\Gamma_Z$) is given by [11,39]:

$$
\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = N_c \frac{\alpha^2}{4s^2} \beta \left[ Q_f^2 \left[ 1 + \cos^2 \vartheta + (1 - \beta^2) \sin^2 \vartheta \right] \right]
+ \chi_2 \left[ V_f^2 (1 + V^2) \left[ 1 + \cos^2 \vartheta + (1 - \beta^2) \sin^2 \vartheta \right]
+ \beta^2 a_f^2 (1 + V^2) \left[ 1 + \cos^2 \vartheta \right] - 8 \beta V V_f a_f \cos \vartheta \right]
- 2Q_f \chi_1 \left[ \sqrt{V^2} \left[ 1 + \cos^2 \vartheta + (1 - \beta^2) \sin^2 \vartheta \right] - 2a_f \beta \cos \vartheta \right] \right],
$$

(12.1)

where $N_c = 1$ if $f$ is a lepton and $N_c = 3$ if $f$ is a quark; $\beta = \sqrt{1 - 4m_f^2/s}$ is the velocity of the final state fermion in the center of mass; $Q_f$ is the charge of the fermion in units of the proton charge,

$$
\chi_1 = \frac{1}{16 \sin^2 \vartheta_W \cos^2 \vartheta_W \left( s - M_Z^2 \right)^2 + \Gamma_Z^2 M_Z^2},
\chi_2 = \frac{1}{256 \sin^4 \vartheta_W \cos^4 \vartheta_W \left( s - M_Z^2 \right)^2 + \Gamma_Z^2 M_Z^2},
V = -1 + 4 \sin^2 \vartheta_W, \quad V_f = 2T_{3f} - 4Q_f \sin^2 \vartheta_W, \quad a_f = 2T_{3f},
$$

here the subscript $f$ refers to the particular fermion and

$$
T_3 = \frac{1}{2} \text{ for } \nu,u,c,t, \text{ and } T_3 = -\frac{1}{2} \text{ for } \ell^-,d,s,b.
$$

The first, second, and third terms in (12.1) correspond to the $e^+e^- \rightarrow f\bar{f}$ process via single photon annihilation, via $Z$–boson exchange, and photon – $Z$–boson interference, respectively. For $s \gg m_f^2$ (i.e. $\beta \rightarrow 1$) the annihilation via single photon exchange (the first term in (12.1)) tends to:

$$
\sigma = \frac{4\pi \alpha^2 N_c}{3s} Q_f^2 \approx \frac{86.3 N_c Q_f^2}{s (\text{GeV}^2)} \text{ nb.}
$$

(12.2)

12.2 Two–photon Process at $e^+e^-$ Collisions

When an $e^+$ and $e^-$ collide with energies $E_1$ and $E_2$, they emit $d\nu_1$ and $d\nu_2$ virtual photons with energies $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$ and 4–momenta $q_1$ and $q_2$. In the equivalent photon approximation (EPA) [40], the cross section for the reaction

$$
e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-X
$$

is related to the cross section for $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow X$ by:

$$
\frac{d\sigma_{EPA}(s)}{d\Omega} \equiv \frac{d\sigma_{e^+e^-\rightarrow e^+e^-X}(s)}{d\Omega} = d\nu_1 d\nu_2 d\sigma_{\gamma\gamma\rightarrow X}(W^2),
$$

(12.4)
where \( s = 4E_1E_2 \), \( W^2 = 4\omega_1\omega_2 \) and

\[
\frac{dn_i}{d\omega_i dq_i^2} = \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \left[ 1 - \frac{\omega_i}{E_i} + \frac{\omega_i^2}{2E_i^2} \right] \frac{d\omega_i dq_i^2}{\omega_i q_i^2}.
\]

After integration (including that over \( q_i^2 \) in the region \( m^2_i\omega_i^2/E_i \leq -q_i^2 \leq (-q_i^2)_{\text{max}} \)), the cross section is

\[
\sigma_{EPA}(s) = \frac{\alpha^2}{\pi^2} \int_{z_{\text{th}}}^1 \frac{dz}{z} \left[ f(z) \left( \ln \left( \frac{-q^2_{\text{max}}}{m^2} \right) - 1 \right)^2 - \frac{\ln^3 z}{3} \right] \sigma_{\gamma\gamma \rightarrow X}(zs),
\]

where \( z = W^2/s \), and

\[
f(z) = (1 + \frac{z}{2})^2 \ln \frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{2} (1 - z) (3 + z).
\]

The value \((-q^2)_{\text{max}}\) depends on properties of the produced system \( X \). For example, \((-q^2)_{\text{max}} \sim m^2_\rho\) for hadron production (\( X = h \)), and \((-q^2)_{\text{max}} \sim M^2_\rho\) for the lepton pair production (\( X = l^+_l l^-_l \)).

For the production of a resonance of mass \( M_R \) and spin \( J \neq 1 \) one has:

\[
\sigma_{EPA}(s) = (2J + 1) \frac{8\alpha^2 \Gamma(R \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)}{M^2_R} \times \left[ f\left(\frac{M^2_R}{s}\right) \left( \ln \frac{sM^2_0}{m^2 R^2} - 1 \right)^2 - \frac{1}{3} \left( \ln \frac{s}{M^2_R} \right)^3 \right],
\]

where \( M_0 \) is the mass that enters into the from factor of the \( \gamma\gamma \rightarrow R \) transition: \( M_0 \sim m_\rho \) for \( R = \pi^0, \rho^0, \omega, \phi, \ldots \) and \( M_0 \sim M_R \) for \( R = c\bar{c} \) or \( b\bar{b} \) resonances.

### 12.3 \( l h \) Reactions

The reaction of the lepton hadron deep inelastic scattering (DIS)

\[
l(k, m_l) h(P, M) \rightarrow l'(k', m_{l'}) X,
\]

is described by the following invariant kinematic variables (the 4–momenta and masses of the particles are denoted in the parentheses) [11]:

\( q = k - k' \) is four–momentum transferred by exchanged particle (\( \gamma, Z \), or \( W^\pm \)) to the target,

\( \nu = \frac{q \cdot P}{M} = E - E' \) is the lepton’s energy loss in the lab frame, \( E \) and \( E' \) are the initial and final lepton energies in the lab,

\( Q^2 = -q^2 = 2(EE' - (kk')) - m^2_l - m^2_{l'} \), if \( EE' \sin^2(\vartheta/2) \gg m^2_l, m^2_{l'} \), then \( Q^2 \approx 4EE' \sin^2(\vartheta/2) \), where \( \vartheta \) is the lepton’s scattering angle in the lab,

\( x = \frac{Q^2}{2m_{l'}} = \frac{Q^2}{2m_{l}P} \), in the parton model, \( x \) is the fraction of the target hadron’s momentum carried by the struck quark,
\[ y = \frac{q \cdot P}{k \cdot P} = \frac{q}{P}, \] is the fraction of the lepton’s energy lost in the lab,

\[ W^2 = (P + q)^2 = M^2 + 2M\nu - Q^2, \] is the mass squared of the system recoiling against the lepton,

\[ s = (P + k)^2 = M^2 + \frac{Q^2}{xy}. \]

The differential cross section of the reaction (12.7) as a function of the different variables is given by

\[ \frac{d^2\sigma}{dx dy} = \nu(s-M^2) \frac{d^2\sigma}{dvdQ^2} = \frac{2\pi M\nu}{E'} \frac{d^2\sigma}{d\Omega_{lab} dE'} = x(s-M^2) \frac{d^2\sigma}{dxdQ^2}. \]

Parity conserving neutral current process, \( l^\pm h \rightarrow l^\pm X \), can be written in terms of two structure functions \( F_{1NC}(x, Q^2) \) and \( F_{2NC}(x, Q^2) \):

\[ \frac{d^2\sigma}{dx dy} = \frac{4\pi \alpha^2 (s-M^2)}{Q^4} \times \left[ (1-y)F_{2NC} + y^2xF_{1NC} - \frac{M^2}{(s-M^2)}xyF_{2NC} \right]. \]

Parity violating charged current processes, \( lh \rightarrow \nu X \) and \( \nu h \rightarrow lX \), can be written in terms of three structure functions \( F_{1CC}(x, Q^2) \), \( F_{2CC}(x, Q^2) \), and \( F_{3CC}(x, Q^2) \):

\[ \frac{d^2\sigma}{dx dy} = \frac{G_F^2(s-M^2)}{2\pi} \frac{M_W^4}{(Q^2 + M_W^2)^2} \times \left\{ \left[ (1-y) - \frac{M^2xy}{(s-M^2)} \right] F_{2CC} + y^2xF_{1CC} \pm \left( y - \frac{y^2}{2} \right) xF_{3CC} \right\}, \]

where the last term is positive for \( l^- \) and \( \nu \) reactions and negative for \( l^+ \) and \( \bar{\nu} \) reaction.

### 12.4 Cross Sections in the Parton Model

In the parton model framework the reaction

\[ h_1 \ h_2 \rightarrow C \ X, \quad (12.10) \]

where \( C \) is a particle (or group of the particles) with large mass (invariant mass) or with high \( p_T \) can be considered as a result of the hard interaction of the one \( i \)–parton from \( h_1 \) hadron with \( j \)–parton from \( h_2 \) hadron. Then the cross section of the reaction (12.10) can be written as follows:

\[ \sigma(h_1h_2 \rightarrow CX) = \sum_{ij} \int f_i^{h_1}(x_1, Q^2)f_j^{h_2}(x_2, Q^2)\hat{\sigma}(ij \rightarrow C)dx_1 dx_2, \quad (12.11) \]

where sum is performed over all partons, participating in the subprocess \( ij \rightarrow C \); \( f_i^h(x, Q^2) \) is parton distribution in \( h \)–hadron; \( Q \) is a typical momentum transfer in partonic process \( ij \rightarrow C \) and \( \hat{\sigma} \) is partonic cross section.
12.5 Vector Boson Polarization Vectors

Let us consider a vector boson with mass $m$ and 4–momentum $k^\mu$ ($k^2 = m^2$). Three polarization vectors of this boson can expressed in terms of $k^\mu$, 

$$k^\mu = (E, k_x, k_y, k_z), \quad k_\tau = \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2}$$

as follows [41]:

$$\begin{align*}
\epsilon^\mu(k, \lambda = 2) & = \frac{1}{k_\tau}(0, k_y, -k_x, 0), \\
\epsilon^\mu(k, \lambda = 1) & = \frac{1}{|k|k_\tau}(0, k_x k_z, k_y k_z, -k_\tau^2), \\
\epsilon^\mu(k, \lambda = 3) & = \frac{E}{m|k|}rac{k^2}{E, k_x, k_y, k_z}.
\end{align*} \tag{12.12}$$

It is easy to verify that

$$p^\mu \epsilon_\mu(k, \lambda) = 0, \quad \epsilon^\mu(k, \lambda) \epsilon_\mu(k, \lambda') = -\delta^{\lambda\lambda'}.$$ \tag{12.13}

For $k_\tau = 0$ (i.e. $k^\mu = (E, 0, 0, k)$) these polarization vectors can be chosen as follows:

$$\begin{align*}
\epsilon^\mu(k, \lambda = 1) & = (0, 0, 1, 0), \\
\epsilon^\mu(k, \lambda = 2) & = (0, 1, 0, 0), \\
\epsilon^\mu(k, \lambda = 3) & = \frac{1}{m}(k, 0, 0, E).
\end{align*} \tag{12.14}$$

Gluon is the massless vector boson. Any massless vector boson has only two polarization states, $\lambda = 1$ and 2, on its mass-shell.

The gluon density matrix (in the axial gauge) has the form (see Subsection 8.3):

$$\rho^{\mu\nu} = -g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{k^\mu n^\nu + k^\nu n^\mu}{k \cdot n} - \frac{n^2 k^\mu k^\nu}{(k \cdot n)^2} = \epsilon_1^\mu \epsilon_1^\nu + \epsilon_2^\mu \epsilon_2^\nu, \tag{12.15}$$

where $n$ is axial gauge fixing vector and $\epsilon_i^\mu$ are the gluon polarization vectors. In the axial gauge there appears an additional condition (see Subsection 8.3):

$$\epsilon_i^\mu n^\mu = 0, \quad i = 1, 2$$

For this case polarization vectors $\epsilon_1^\mu(p, \lambda = 1, 2)$ can be chosen as follows:

$$\epsilon_1^\mu(p, \lambda) = \epsilon^\mu(p, \lambda) - \frac{\epsilon(p, \lambda) \cdot n}{p \cdot n} p^\mu, \tag{12.16}$$

where $\epsilon^\mu(p, \lambda)$ are given in (12.12) or (12.14).

For numerical calculations it is convenient to set

$$n^\mu = (1, 0) \tag{12.17}$$

As a result the first two vectors from (12.12) can be used:

$$\begin{align*}
\epsilon_1^\mu & = \frac{1}{k_\tau}(0, k_y, -k_x, 0), & k_\tau > 0; \\
\epsilon_2^\mu & = \frac{1}{|k|k_\tau}(0, k_x k_z, k_y k_z, -k_\tau^2), & k_\tau = 0
\end{align*} \tag{12.18}$$
For gluon being off-shell we should introduce third “polarization” vector:

\[ \rho^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon_1^\mu \epsilon_1^\nu + \epsilon_2^\mu \epsilon_2^\nu + \epsilon_3^\mu \epsilon_3^\nu, \quad \epsilon_3^\mu \cdot \epsilon_3^\nu = -\frac{k^2}{k_0^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (12.19)

where one has:

\[ k^2 > 0 : \epsilon_3^\mu = \frac{\sqrt{k^2}}{k_0|\mathbf{k}|} (0, \mathbf{k}), \]  \hspace{1cm} (12.20)

\[ k^2 < 0 : \epsilon_3^\mu = i \frac{\sqrt{-k^2}}{|k_0||\mathbf{k}|} (0, \mathbf{k}) \]

Note, that for space-like momentum third vector becomes a complex one.

**Two Photons (Gluons) System**

For the system of two photons (gluons) with momenta \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \) the polarization vectors \( \epsilon_1^{\mu(2)} \) can be written in the explicitly covariant form:

\[ \epsilon_1^{\mu(2)}(\pm) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\Delta_3}} [(p_1 p_2)q^\mu - (q p_1)p_1^{\mu} - (q p_2)p_2^{\mu} \pm i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} q^\nu p_{1\alpha} p_{2\beta}]. \]  \hspace{1cm} (12.21)

where sign \( +(-) \) corresponds to positive (negative) helicity, \( q \) is any arbitrary vector, which is independent on \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \) (it may be a momentum of some particle), and

\[ \Delta_3 = \delta_{q p_1 p_2}^{\mu\nu} = (p_1 p_2) (2 (q p_1) (q p_2) - q^2 (p_1 p_2)). \]

Projectors on various combinations of the helicity states look as follows:

\[ \frac{1}{2} (\epsilon_1^{\mu(+)} \epsilon_2^{\nu(-)}(+) + \epsilon_1^{\mu(-)} \epsilon_2^{\nu(+)}(+)) = \frac{1}{2(p_1 p_2)} (p_1^{\mu} p_2^{\nu} - (p_1 p_2) g^{\mu\nu}), \]

\[ \frac{1}{2} (\epsilon_1^{\mu(+)} \epsilon_2^{\nu(-)}(-) - \epsilon_1^{\mu(-)} \epsilon_2^{\nu(+)}(-)) = -\frac{i}{2(p_1 p_2)} \epsilon^{p_1 p_2 \mu \nu}, \]

\[ \frac{1}{2} (\epsilon_1^{\mu(+)} \epsilon_2^{\nu(+)}(+) + \epsilon_1^{\mu(-)} \epsilon_2^{\nu(-)}(-)) = \frac{1}{2\Delta_3} \{2((p_1 p_2)(q p_1)(q p_2) g^{\mu\nu} + q^\mu q^\nu (p_1 p_2)^2 - (p_1 p_2)((q p_1) p_2^\mu q^\nu + (q p_2) p_1^\mu q^\nu)] + q^2 (p_1 p_2)(p_1^\mu p_2^\nu - (p_1 p_2) g^{\mu\nu})\}, \]

\[ \frac{1}{2} (\epsilon_1^{\mu(+)} \epsilon_2^{\nu(+)}(+)) - \epsilon_1^{\mu(-)} \epsilon_2^{\nu(-)}(-)) = \frac{i}{2\Delta_3} \{(p_1 p_2)(q^\mu - (q p_1) p_2^\mu) \epsilon^{\nu q p_1 p_2} + ((p_1 p_2) q^\nu - (q p_2) p_1^\nu) \epsilon^{\mu q p_1 p_2}\}, \]

\[ = \frac{i}{2\Delta_3} (p_1 p_2) (q^\mu \epsilon^{\nu q p_1 p_2} + q^\nu \epsilon^{\mu q p_1 p_2} (q p_1) \epsilon^{p_2 q \mu \nu} + (q p_2) \epsilon^{p_1 q \mu \nu}). \]


13 MATRIX ELEMENTS

13.1 General Remarks

In this Section we present the matrix elements squared $|M|^2$ for various processes in the Standard Model. Almost all of these $|M|^2$ were presented in the book by R. Gastmans and Tai Tsun Wu [6]. The symbol $|M|^2$ is used to denote the square of the absolute value of the matrix element $M$ summed over the initial and final degrees of freedom (polarization and color), but without averaging over the initial state degrees of freedom.

So, one can use the well–known crossing relations to obtain $|M|^2$ for processes differing from each other by repositioning the final and/or initial particles. The averaged over the initial state degrees of freedom matrix element squared $|M|^2$ can be obtained from $|M|^2$ by trivial procedure:

$$e^+e^-, e^\pm\gamma, \gamma\gamma : \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot (\text{spin}) \Rightarrow |M|^2 = \frac{1}{4} |M|^2,$$

$$q\bar{q}, qq, \bar{q}\bar{q} : \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot (\text{spin}) \cdot \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \cdot (\text{color}) \Rightarrow |M|^2 = \frac{1}{36} |M|^2,$$

$$gg : \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot (\text{spin}) \cdot \frac{1}{8} \cdot \frac{1}{8} \cdot (\text{color}) \Rightarrow |M|^2 = \frac{1}{256} |M|^2.$$

For the $2 \rightarrow 2$ processes the differential cross section is related to the $|M|^2$ as follows:

$$\frac{d\sigma(2 \rightarrow 2)}{dt} = \frac{|M|^2}{16\pi I^2}, \quad I^2 \approx s^2, \quad (13.1)$$

where $t$ and $I$ are defined in (10.22) and (10.29).

The notations, used through of this Section, are the same as in Section 8:

- $e$ is the electric charge of the positron, $\alpha_{QED} \equiv \alpha = \frac{e^2}{4\pi} \approx \frac{1}{137}$,

- $Q_f$ is the charge of the quark in units of the positron charge,

- $g_s$ is the QCD coupling constant, $\alpha_{QCD} \equiv \alpha_s = g_s^2 / 4\pi$,

- $G_F$ is the Fermi constant.

As in Section 10 for the reaction $2 \rightarrow 2$

$$a(p_1) + b(p_2) \rightarrow 1(q_1) + 2(q_2)$$

$$p_1 + p_2 = q_1 + q_2$$

the Lorentz–invariant Mandelstam variables for reaction are given by

$$s = (p_1 + p_2)^2 = (q_1 + q_2)^2, \quad t = (p_1 - q_1)^2 = (p_2 - q_2)^2,$$

$$u = (p_1 - q_2)^2 = (p_2 - q_1)^2,$$

$$s + t + u = m_a^2 + m_b^2 + m_1^2 + m_2^2.$$
13.2 Matrix Elements

13.2.1 \( e^+ e^- \rightarrow f \bar{f} \) (no Z–boson exchange)

- \( e^+ e^- \rightarrow t^+ l^- \) \((l \neq e, l = \mu, \tau)\).

\[
|M_e|^2 = 8e^4 \frac{1}{s^2} [t^2 + u^2 + 2 (m_e^2 + m_l^2)(2s - m_e^2 - m_l^2)], \quad (13.2)
\]

\[
|M_e|^2 = 8e^4 \frac{t^2 + u^2}{s^2}, \quad \text{for } m_e = m_l = 0
\]

- \( e^+ e^- \rightarrow q \bar{q} \)

\[
|M_q|^2 = 3Q_q^2 |M_e|^2.
\]

The detailed description of the process \( e^+ e^- \rightarrow f \bar{f} \) with Z–boson exchange is presented in Subsection 12.1.

13.2.2 \( e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \) (no Z–boson exchange)

\[
|M|^2 = 8e^4 \left\{ \frac{1}{s^2} [t^2 + u^2 + 8m^2(s - m^2)] + \frac{2}{st} (u - 2m^2)(u - 6m^2) \right\}, \quad (13.3)
\]

\[
|M|^2 = 8e^4 \frac{s^4 + t^4 + u^4}{s^2 t^2}, \quad \text{for } m = 0
\]

13.2.3 \( e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \gamma \)

- \( e^+(p_1) + e^-(p_2) \rightarrow \gamma(k_1) + \gamma(k_2) + \gamma(k_3), \quad m_e = 0 \).

\[
|M|^2 = 8e^6 \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{(p_1 k_i)(p_2 k_i) \left[(p_1 k_i)^2 + (p_2 k_i)^2\right]}{\prod_{i=1}^{3} (p_1 k_i)(p_2 k_i)}.
\]

- \( e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \gamma, \quad m_e = m \neq 0 \).

For the case of \( s = (p_{e^+} + p_{e^-})^2 \rightarrow 4m^2 \), i.e. in the limit \( p_{e^+} = p_{e^-} = (m, 0) \), the \(|M|^2\) is given by [2]:

\[
|M|^2 = 64e^6 \left[ \left( \frac{m - \omega_1}{\omega_2 \omega_3} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{m - \omega_2}{\omega_1 \omega_3} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{m - \omega_3}{\omega_1 \omega_2} \right)^2 \right], \quad (13.4)
\]

where \( \omega_i \) is \( i \)–photon energy in cms.

13.2.4 \( e^+ e^- \rightarrow l^+ l^- \gamma \)

\( e^+(p_1) + e^-(p_2) \rightarrow l^+(q_1) + l^-(q_2) + \gamma(k), \quad m_e = m_l = 0 \).

Invariants:

\[
s = 2(p_1 p_2), \quad t = -2(p_1 q_1), \quad u = -2(p_1 q_2),
\]

\[
s' = 2(q_1 q_2), \quad t' = -2(p_2 q_2), \quad u' = -2(p_2 q_1).
\]
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\( l \neq e \), for example, \( e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma \)

\[
|M|^2 = -4e^6(v_p - v_q)^2 \frac{tt' + u^2 + u'^2}{ss'}.
\] (13.6)

\( l = e \), i.e. \( e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma \)

\[
|M|^2 = -4e^6(v_p - v_q)^2 \frac{ss'(s^2 + s'^2) + tt'(t^2 + t'^2) + uu'(u^2 + u'^2)}{ss'tt'}.
\] (13.7)

where in (13.6) and (13.7) we use:

\[
v_\mu^p \equiv \frac{p_\mu^1}{(p_1k)} - \frac{p_\mu^2}{(p_2k)}, \quad v_\mu^q \equiv \frac{q_\mu^1}{(q_1k)} - \frac{q_\mu^2}{(q_2k)}.
\] (13.8)

13.2.5 \( e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}g \)

For this reaction the invariants are the same as in (13.5).

\[
|M|^2 = 16e^4Q_f^g s \frac{t^2 + t'^2 + u^2 + u'^2}{s(q_1k)(q_2k)}.
\] (13.9)

13.2.6 \( e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}\gamma \)

For this reaction the invariants are the same as in (13.5).

\[
|M|^2 = -12e^6(v_p + Q_f v_q)^2 \frac{t^2 + t'^2 + u^2 + u'^2}{ss'},
\] (13.10)

where \( v_p \) and \( v_q \) are defined in (13.8).

13.2.7 \( gg \rightarrow q\bar{q}, m_q = m \neq 0 \)

The final \( q\bar{q} \)-pair can be in color singlet or color octet final states.

\[
|\text{M}_{\text{singl}}|^2 = 16g_s^4\chi_0 \left[ \frac{1}{3} \right], \quad |\text{M}_{\text{octl}}|^2 = 16g_s^4\chi_0 \left[ \frac{7}{3} - 6\chi_1 \right],
\]

\[
|\text{M}_{\text{tot}}|^2 = |\text{M}_{\text{singl}}|^2 + |\text{M}_{\text{octl}}|^2 = 16g_s^4\chi_0 \left[ \frac{8}{3} - 6\chi_1 \right],
\] (13.11)

where

\[
\chi_0 = \frac{m^2 - t + m^2 - u + 4 \left( \frac{m^2}{m^2 - t} + \frac{m^2}{m^2 - u} \right) - 4 \left( \frac{m^2}{m^2 - t} + \frac{m^2}{m^2 - u} \right)^2}{s^2}
\] (13.12)

\[
\chi_1 = \frac{(m^2 - t)(m^2 - u)}{s^2}
\] (13.13)

For \( m_q = 0 \),

\[
\chi_0 = \frac{t^2 + u^2}{ut}, \quad \chi_1 = \frac{ut}{s^2}.
\]
13.2.8 $\gamma g(\gamma\gamma) \to f\bar{f}$
- $g\gamma \to q\bar{q}$: $|M|^2 = 32g_s^2e^2Q_f^2\chi_0$.
- $\gamma\gamma \to q\bar{q}$: $|M|^2 = 24e^4Q_f^4\chi_0$.
- $\gamma\gamma \to e^+e^-$: $|M|^2 = 8e^4\chi_0$.

13.2.9 $q\bar{q} \to Q\bar{Q}$, $m_q = 0$, $m_\bar{Q} = m \neq 0$

$$|M|^2 = 16g_s^4\frac{t^2 + u^2 + 2m^2(2s - m^2)}{s^2}. \tag{13.14}$$

13.2.10 $qq \to qq$, $m_q = 0$

$$|M|^2 = 16g_s^4\left[\frac{s^4 + t^4 + u^4}{t^2u^2} - \frac{8s^2}{3tu}\right]. \tag{13.15}$$

13.2.11 $q\bar{q} \to q\bar{q}$, $m_q = 0$

$$|M|^2 = 16g_s^4\frac{1}{s^2}t^2[s^4 + t^4 + u^4 - \frac{8}{3}stu]. \tag{13.16}$$

13.2.12 $gg \to gg$

$$|M|^2 = 288g_s^4\frac{(s^4 + t^4 + u^4)(s^2 + t^2 + u^2)}{s^2t^2u^2}. \tag{13.17}$$

13.2.13 $f_1\bar{f}_2 \to W^* \to f_3\bar{f}_4$

$$f_1(p_1) + \bar{f}_2(p_2) \to f_3(p_3) + \bar{f}_4(p_4), \quad m_{1,2,3,4} \neq 0.$$ 

$$|M|^2 = C128G_F^2M_W^4\frac{(p_1p_4)(p_2p_3)}{(s - M_W^2)^2 + \Gamma_W^2M_W^2}, \tag{13.18}$$

where $C = 1$ for $l^-\bar{\nu} \to l^-\bar{\nu}'$, $C = 3$ for $l^-\bar{\nu} \to q\bar{q}'(q\bar{q}' \to l^-\bar{\nu})$, and $C = 9$ for $q_1\bar{q}_2 \to q_3\bar{q}_4$. $M_W$ and $\Gamma_W$ are the mass and total width of the $W$-boson.

13.2.14 $l^-\bar{\nu} \to \bar{d}\bar{u}g$

$$l^- (p_1) + \bar{\nu} (p_2) \to d (p_3) + \bar{u} (p_4) + g (k), \quad m_{d,u} \neq 0.$$ 

$$|M|^2 = \begin{align*}
256G_F^2M_W^4g_s^2\frac{A_1 - A_2 - A_3}{(s - M_W^2)^2 + \Gamma_W^2M_W^2}, \tag{13.19}
A_1 &= \frac{1}{(kp_3)(kp_4)}\left\{s[(p_3p_4)^2 + (p_2p_3)^2]
- \left(m_n^2 + m_w^2\right)(p_3p_4 + p_2p_3) - (p_1p_3)(p_2p_3) - (p_1p_4)(p_2p_3)\right\},
A_2 &= \frac{2m_n^2}{(kp_4)^2}(p_2p_3)(p_1k) + (p_1p_4), \quad A_3 = \frac{2m_d^2}{(kp_3)^2}(p_1p_4)(p_2k) + (p_2p_3). 
\end{align*}$$
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14 MISCELLANEA

14.1 Miscellanea

• Let us consider the recursion $A_n = aA_{n-1} + bA_{n-2}$ for given $A_0$ and $A_1$. Then

$$A_n = \alpha z_1^n + \beta z_2^n, \ z_{1,2} = \frac{a}{2} \left[ 1 \pm \sqrt{1 + 4b/a} \right], \quad \alpha = \frac{A_1 - z_2A_0}{z_1 - z_2}, \quad \beta = \frac{z_1A_0 - A_1}{z_1 - z_2}.$$  

• Various representations of the Dirac $\delta$–function:

$$\delta(x) \equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ixt} dt,$$

$$\delta(x, \alpha) = \frac{\alpha}{\pi(\alpha^2x^2 + 1)}, \quad \alpha \to \infty; \quad \delta(x, \beta) = \frac{\beta}{\pi(x^2 + \beta^2)}, \quad \beta \to 0,$$

$$\delta(x, \alpha) = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\alpha^2x^2}, \quad \alpha \to \infty, \quad \delta(x, \alpha) = \frac{\alpha \sin(\alpha x)}{\pi (\alpha x)}, \quad \alpha \to \infty,$$

$$\frac{1}{x \pm i\varepsilon} = \mathcal{P} \frac{1}{x} \mp i\pi \delta(x).$$

• Step–functions $\Theta(x)$ and $\varepsilon(x)$

$$\Theta(x) \equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ixt} dt = \begin{cases} 1, & x > 0 \\ 0, & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\varepsilon(x) \equiv \frac{1}{(i\pi)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ixt} dt = \begin{cases} 1, & x > 0 \\ -1, & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

•

$$\frac{1}{(a - i\varepsilon)^k} = \frac{i^k}{\Gamma(k)} \int_0^\infty e^{ia(-a+i\varepsilon)} \alpha^{k-1} d\alpha, \quad k \geq 0,$$

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{(e^{ita} - e^{ibt})}{t} dt = \ln\left( \frac{b + i\varepsilon}{a + i\varepsilon} \right).$$

14.2 Properties of Operators

The various properties of the operators can be found, for example, in [5, 42, 43]. Let $f(A)$ be any function from the operator (matrix) $A$, which can expanded into series with respect to operators (matrices) $A^n$:

$$f(A) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n A^n.$$  

• Let $\xi$ be a parameter, then:

$$e^{\xi A} e^{-\xi A} = 1, \quad e^{\xi A} A e^{-\xi A} = A, \quad e^{\xi A} A^n e^{-\xi A} = A^n, \quad e^{\xi A} f(A) e^{-\xi A} = f(A).$$

• Let $A$ and $B$ be noncommuting operators, $\xi$ and $n$ be parameters ($n$ integer). Then:

$$e^{\xi A} B^n e^{-\xi A} = (e^{\xi A} B e^{-\xi A})^n,$$

$$e^{\xi A} F(B) e^{-\xi A} = F(e^{\xi A} B e^{-\xi A}),$$

$$e^{\xi A} B e^{-\xi A} = B + \xi[A, B] + \frac{\xi^2}{2!}[A, [A, B]] + \frac{\xi^3}{3!}[A, [A, [A, B]]] + \cdots.$$
• Let $A$ be an operator and there exists the inverse operator $A^{-1}$. Then for any integer $n$:

$$AB^nA^{-1} = (ABA^{-1})^n, \quad Af(B)A^{-1} = f(ABA^{-1}).$$

• Let $A(x)$ be an operator, depending on the scalar variable $x$, then

$$\frac{dA^{-1}(x)}{dx} = -A^{-1}(x)\frac{dA(x)}{dx}A^{-1}(x), \quad \frac{de^{A(x)}}{dx} = \int_0^1 e^{(1-t)A(t)}\frac{dA(t)}{dt}e^{tA(t)}dt.$$

14.3 The Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff Formula

Let $A$ and $B$ be non–commuting operators, then:

$$e^A e^B = e^{\sum_{i=1}^\infty Z_i}, \quad (14.1)$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_1 &= A + B; \\
Z_2 &= \frac{1}{2} [A, B]; \\
Z_3 &= \frac{1}{12} [A, [A, B]] + \frac{1}{12} [[A, B], B]; \\
Z_4 &= \frac{1}{48} [A, [[A, B], B]] + \frac{1}{48} [[A, [A, B]], B]; \\
Z_5 &= \frac{1}{120} \left[ A, [[A, B], B] \right], B \right] + \frac{1}{120} \left[ A, [[A, [A, B]], B] \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{360} \left[ A, [[A, B], B] \right], B \right] - \frac{1}{360} \left[ [A, [A, B]], B \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{720} \left[ A, [[A, B], B] \right] \right] - \frac{1}{720} \left[ [[A, B], B], B \right], B \right], \ldots.
\end{align*}
$$

The other terms can be evaluated from the relation (see [5,43]):

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+1)!} [Z^k, Z'] = A + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \xi^j \frac{[A^j, B]}{j!}, \quad (14.7)
$$

where $e^Z = e^{\xi A} e^{\xi B}$; $Z = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi^n Z_n$; $Z' = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\xi^{n-1}Z_n$. The repeated commutator bracket is defined as follows

$$[A^0, B] = B, \quad [A^{n+1}, B] = [A, [A^n, B]].$$

Since relation (14.7) must be satisfied identically in $\xi$, one can equate the coefficients of $\xi^j$ on the two sides of this relation. In particular, $j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ gives (14.2, 14.3, 14.4, 14.5, 14.6), respectively.
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