Short-term nutrition and growth indicators in 6-month- to 6-year-old children are improved following implementation of a multidisciplinary community-based programme in a chronic conflict setting
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Abstract

Objectives: We investigated short- and long-term indicators of malnutrition and diet before and after the community-based ‘Breaking the Cycle of Poverty’ multidisciplinary intervention.

Design: A historically and geographically controlled study using data collected in 2013 and 2016. We compared the prevalence of short-term indicators (anaemia, breast-feeding duration and minimum dietary diversity) and long-term indicators (stunting and wasting) in exposed communities at two time points. We then compared these factors in geographic areas exposed or not exposed to intervention. We conducted logistic regression analyses on the 2016 sample to measure associations between living in intervention communities and child growth indicators.

Setting: Berd region, a chronic conflict zone near the north-eastern border of Armenia and Azerbaijan.

Participants: Children aged 6 months to 6 years.

Results: Analyses included data from 2013 comprising 382 children, and data from 2016 comprising 348 children living in communities where the programme was implemented, and 635 children from unexposed communities. Anaemia prevalence in exposed communities was significantly lower in 2016 v. 2013 (10·9 v. 19·1 %, P < 0·01). Minimum dietary diversity (79·0 v. 68·1 %, P < 0·001) and breast-feeding duration (13·0 v. 11·5 months, P < 0·002) were significantly improved in exposed communities. Prevalences of stunting (11·5 v. 10·2 %, P = 0·57) and wasting (4·8 v. 2·0 %, P = 0·07) were not significantly different. Odds of anaemia were significantly lower (OR = 0·24, 95 % CI 0·16, 0·36) in intervention communities.

Conclusions: Exposure to a community-based multidisciplinary intervention reduced the rate of anaemia and improved dietary indicators.
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The Sustainable Development Goals have global targets of reducing the number of children under 5 years of age who are stunted by 40 % and of reducing and maintaining childhood wasting to less than 5 % by 2025. For these goals and targets to be met by all nations and for all segments of society, it is imperative to first reach those who are the furthest behind, thus ‘leaving no one behind’ (1).

Malnutrition continues to be a matter of global concern, as rates of stunting are declining very slowly and wasting still affects many children (2,3). The global prevalence of anaemia, another indicator of malnutrition and poor health, has decreased by only 12 % between 1995 and 2011 (4). Child malnutrition remains a persistent challenge, especially in conflict zones, where children are more prone to adverse health conditions (5). Studies conducted in Africa, the Middle East and Mexico show that living in a conflict zone...
can severely affect child health, resulting in acute and chronic malnutrition and leading to disease and death through various mechanisms including insufficient food security, problems with sanitation, poor health care, diarrhoeal diseases, and internal displacement of people which deprives them of opportunities for farming. Food insecurity can manifest as low dietary diversity, which is associated with stunting. Several other factors, such as breast-feeding duration, are assumed to be determinants of malnutrition. A study conducted in Ukraine has found that mothers have ceased breast-feeding their children as a result of stress from the ongoing conflict.

For more than 20 years Armenia has been in a situation of 'chronic frozen conflict' with neighbouring Azerbaijan, situated to the east of Armenia. The communities of Tavush Province have been affected by this conflict as a result of their proximity to the conflict region and the conflict has negatively impacted all aspects of community development in the area. The Berd region of Tavush is one of the areas closest to the line of contact and thus one of the regions that suffers most from the intermittent skirmishes.

In 2013, the Fund for Armenian Relief (FAR), a humanitarian non-governmental organization, initiated a programme called ‘Breaking the Cycle of Poverty’ (BCPP). BCPP is a multidisciplinary community development programme implemented in the Berd region. The programme mainly targets children with the goal of promoting their overall growth and development. FAR planned the multidisciplinary intervention based on the findings of a baseline survey conducted in 2013 in the target communities. BCPP includes food supplementation and capacity building at the community level, as well as other components aimed at improving child growth and development.

In the present study, we assessed the effect of this multidisciplinary programme on growth indicators among children.
Box 1 Description of the community-based multidisciplinary programme implemented in Tavush Province, Armenia

In 2013, the Fund for Armenian Relief (FAR) implemented a comprehensive community-based programme in Tavush Province, Armenia. The primary focus of this multidisciplinary programme was the health of pre-school children. The programme included four main components:

1. improving the health of pre-school children and women of reproductive age;
2. social and psychological support for vulnerable families;
3. financial and technical assistance to local small businesses; and
4. providing scholarships to youth and developing local educational facilities.

One principal goal of the programme’s multidimensional health-care component was improving the health of 6-month to 6-year-old children in the targeted areas. This component included:

1. Continuing professional development for health-care providers, including on- and off-site opportunities for continuing medical education and training.
2. Establishment of community-based parental rooms where continuous community training on child health and nutrition was offered.
3. Improvement and strengthening of community health-care facilities, including renovations and provision of new medical equipment and supplies.
4. Improvement of pre-school facilities, including renovation and provision of kitchen supplies and appliances, as well as training for the cooks.
5. Provision of balanced food for pre-schools, including provision of fresh vegetables, fruits, dairy and meat to kitchens at kindergartens. Pre-school menus were developed in cooperation with specialists from the Armenian Ministry of Health and included necessary energy, protein, fat and carbohydrate contents. The children ate three meals per day in the pre-school setting.
6. Health education programmes targeting mothers of the children offered through pre-school facilities in the intervention communities. Topics included but were not limited to prenatal feeding, breast-feeding, complementary feeding, sanitary practices and appropriate hygiene.
7. Treatment of children diagnosed with anaemia and parasitic diseases by provision of iron supplements and antiparasitic medications.

Methods

Study design

This historically controlled study included data collected from a 2013 baseline survey as well as follow-up data collected in 2016. Participants were children aged 6 months to 6 years. Measurements included Hb, height and weight, as well as a self-administered survey given to children’s mothers.

Study setting

The 2013 baseline study was conducted in the Berd region, namely the city of Berd and seven surrounding rural communities: Nerkin Karmiraghbyur, Aygepar, Tavush, Chinchin, Verin Caghkavan, Varagavan and Paravakar villages. In 2016, nine new rural communities were included in the FAR programme; however, three communities dropped out of the programme due to a lack of interest from the local administration and so were not included in the current assessment. The final study sample includes children living in the fourteen communities remaining in the programme in 2016: Berd city, Nerkin Karmiraghbyur, Aygepar, Tavush, Chinchin, Movses, Verin Karmiraghbyur, Choratan, Norashen, Navur, Itsakar, Artsvaberd, Chinari and Aygedzor. To compare child growth and feeding indicators before and after the intervention, we used baseline data collected in 2013 from Berd city and four rural areas (Nerkin Karmiraghbyur, Aygepar, Chinchin and Tavush) as a historical control group (Fig. 1).

Sampling frame, strategy and sample size

The sampling frame in 2013 included all children aged 6 months to 6 years living in Berd city and seven surrounding rural communities. In 2016, we constructed a new sampling frame, which included all eligible children in the same age range from Berd city and thirteen surrounding...
rural communities. The three communities that were excluded from the programme were not included in the sampling frame in 2016. A representative sample of children from Berd city (n 184) and all children from the rural communities (n 799) were included in the study, for a total of 983 sampled participants in 2016 (Fig. 2). Study participants were chosen from the list of children registered in Tavush Province’s administrative records. Children from the nine communities unexposed to the intervention served as geographic controls for our analysis.

We selected the children from Berd using a stratified random sampling strategy, with stratification by age group (years). The number of children to be sampled within each age group was calculated to estimate the population prevalence of stunting and anaemia, using the ‘proc power’ procedure in the statistical software package SAS version 9.4 (with α = 0.05 and β = 0.2), and to detect differences between the groups of children who were exposed to the intervention in the period 2013–2016 in Berd and those in the historical comparison group. Based on the baseline survey, we assumed that the prevalence of stunting would be 10% in the unexposed group and 5% in the group exposed to the intervention.

**Intervention**

The BCPP included financial and social support for families, improvements to schools and training for human resources in the Berd region. The health-care component of the programme targeted to improve the health status of children and their mothers (Box 1). The health-care intervention had several components. In the nutrition subcomponent, we distributed balanced and high-energy foods including fresh dairy, meat, vegetables and fruits to the kindergartens three times daily. As the children spend about 9 h/d at kindergarten, the food consumed there would account for most of their daily food intake. We trained cooks in the kindergartens to prepare meals based on menus developed in collaboration...

---

**Fig. 2** Flowchart of study participant selection in 2013 and 2016 with inclusion and exclusion criteria. We included the data collected from 382 participants of the baseline survey conducted in 2013 in the current study. In 2016, 983 children and their caregivers from fourteen communities participated in the current study (FAR, Fund for Armenian Relief)
with nutritional experts at the Ministry of Health of the Republic of Armenia. The pre-school facilities were used to identify the mothers of children and include them in community health education classes focusing on prenatal, infant and child nutrition, breast-feeding, complementary feeding, water and sanitation.

**Measurements**
The methods of measuring the main variables were similar in the 2013 baseline study and the 2016 data collection. Briefly, children’s Hb levels were measured using the HemoCue® HB 301, a device designed for quick analysis of capillary blood Hb. Each child’s weight and height (recumbent length for children younger than 24 months) were measured using electronic scales and measuring boards or stadiometers by physicians at each study site.

We administered the same questionnaire in 2016 as in the 2013 baseline study with minor modifications intended to better capture the family’s socio-economic status. The baseline study used an adapted and modified version of the questionnaire used in the 2010 Armenia Demographic and Health Survey, which was itself based on the Infant and Young Child Feeding Practices questionnaire recommended by the WHO and UNICEF to assess feeding practices, as well as maternal and child characteristics. Children’s caregivers participated in a self-administered survey after the collection of blood samples and anthropometric measurements.

**Study variables**
We hypothesized that living in the communities where FAR had implemented its multidisciplinary programme would expose study participants to the benefits of the programme. Thus, the primary exposure variable was considered positive if the participant lived in the FAR implementation communities from 2013 to 2016 (five communities, Fig. 1).

Our outcome variables were short- and long-term indicators of malnutrition. The short-term indicators were breast-feeding duration, minimum dietary diversity (an indicator of food diversity) and anaemia. Information regarding breast-feeding practices and duration, as well as minimum dietary diversity, was collected from the caregivers’ survey. Minimum dietary diversity was considered positive if the child had consumed foods from at least four of seven food groups (grains; legumes; dairy; meat; eggs; vitamin A-rich foods; other fruits and vegetables) in the past 24 h. The long-term indicators included stunting and wasting, which were calculated based on children’s anthropometric measurements (Box 2).

Other information collected from the caregiver survey included sociodemographic variables such as child gender, weight and length at birth, parental heights, specific questions related to dietary practices within the 24 h preceding the survey, being exposed to printed public health materials and participating in community trainings.

**Operational definitions of the main dietary and growth indicators**

| Number | Definition                                                                 |
|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1.     | Malnutrition: deficiencies, excesses or imbalances in a person’s intake of energy and nutrients. |
| 2.     | Anaemia among children aged 6–59 months: Hb level <110 g/l.               |
| 3.     | Stunting: height-for-age at least 2 SD below the median height-for-age of the WHO child growth standards (low height-for-age). |
| 4.     | Wasting: weight-for-height at least 2 SD below the median weight-for-height of the WHO child growth standards (low weight-for-height). |

**Statistical analysis**
We performed non-parametric *t* tests to compare means and *χ²* or non-parametric Fisher’s exact tests, as appropriate, to compare proportions. We selected a sample of study participants in 2016 living in the four communities where the FAR programme was implemented to compare baseline characteristics and main outcome measures with corresponding characteristics available from the 2013 baseline study in the same communities (historical control). We used univariate logistic regression using data collected from the entire study population in 2016 to measure associations between living in a community exposed to the intervention and child growth indicators (stunting and anaemia) compared with geographic controls (data from areas in which the programme was not implemented).

Adjustment for confounding was carried out in two steps. First, we adjusted for all the variables associated with both exposure and outcome at *P* < 0.2 in univariate analyses. In the second step, we added all the clinically and conceptually significant variables to the model. The potential confounding variables were anaemia status (entered for the stunting model), minimum dietary diversity, mother and father’s employment status, presence of a sewage system at the household, diarrhoea reported by caregiver, age by year, weight at birth, length at birth, mother’s height, father’s height, child’s BMI and mother’s education level. We imputed missing data for the following covariates using multiple imputation methods with the fully conditional specification procedure: length at birth (*n* 72); whether child had ever had diarrhoea (*n* 21); father’s employment status (*n* 15); mother’s education level (*n* 12); mother’s employment (*n* 5); and child’s BMI (*n* 3). All analyses using the imputed database and complete cases were conducted using SAS version 9.4, with statistical significance set at *α* = 0.05. The descriptive analysis was performed using the EasySTAT online statistical application.
### Table 1: Comparison of characteristics of study participants in communities receiving and not receiving the community-based Fund for Armenian Relief multidisciplinary intervention: children aged 6 months to 6 years from Berd region, Armenia (a chronic conflict setting), 2016

| Variable                                      | Total (n=983) | Intervention (n=347) | No intervention (n=636) | P value‡ |
|------------------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------|
|                                               | Mean or n SD or % | Mean or n SD or % | Mean or n SD or % |          |
| **Hb (g/l)**                                  | 118·38 13·34 | 122·97 11·30 | 115·87 13·70 | 0·001   |
| **Weight at birth (g)**                      | 3124·32 474·56 | 3141·61 473·72 | 3115·02 475·13 | 0·41    |
| **Length at birth (cm)**                     | 49·61 2·11 | 49·68 2·01 | 49·57 2·16 | 0·46    |
| **Mother’s height (cm)**                     | 159·98 6·36 | 160·35 6·53 | 159·78 6·25 | 0·18    |
| **Father’s height (cm)**                     | 169·94 7·04 | 170·74 6·57 | 169·55 7·23 | 0·02    |
| **Child’s current age (months)**             | 39·46 19·10 | 39·98 18·77 | 39·17 19·28 | 0·52    |
| **Child’s current BMI (kg/m²)**               | 15·88 2·21 | 16·17 2·19 | 15·72 2·21 | 0·001   |
| **Gender**                                    |               |                      |                         |          |
| Female                                        | 515 52·39 | 177 51·01 | 338 53·14 | 0·52    |
| Male                                          | 468 47·61 | 170 48·99 | 298 46·86 |          |
| **Kindergarten attendance**                   | 10 1·02 | 4 1·15 | 6 0·94 |          |
| No                                            | 469 47·71 | 165 47·55 | 304 47·80 | 0·96    |
| Yes                                           | 504 51·27 | 178 51·30 | 326 51·26 |          |
| **Child’s history of sleeping hungry reported by caregiver** | 3 0·31 | 1 0·29 | 2 0·31 | 0·76 |
| Always                                        | 10 1·02 | 4 1·15 | 6 0·94 |          |
| Never                                         | 877 89·22 | 313 90·20 | 564 88·68 |          |
| Often                                         | 5 0·51 | 1 0·29 | 4 0·63 |          |
| Sometimes                                     | 88 8·95 | 28 8·07 | 60 9·43 |          |
| **Mother’s education**                        | 12 1·22 | 3 0·86 | 9 1·42 | 0·0001  |
| Higher education                              | 142 14·45 | 42 11·77 | 100 15·64 |          |
| Incomplete secondary school                   | 109 11·09 | 25 7·20 | 84 13·21 |          |
| Secondary school                              | 464 47·20 | 131 37·75 | 333 52·36 |          |
| Vocational secondary school                   | 256 26·04 | 126 36·31 | 130 20·44 |          |
| **Mother’s employment**                       |               |                      |                         |          |
| Missing                                       | 5 0·51 | 3 0·86 | 2 0·31 | 0·0001  |
| Maternity leave                               | 56 5·70 | 25 7·20 | 31 4·87 |          |
| No                                            | 758 77·11 | 256 73·78 | 502 78·93 |          |
| Yes                                           | 164 16·68 | 63 18·16 | 101 15·88 |          |
| **Father’s employment**                       |               |                      |                         |          |
| Missing                                       | 15 1·53 | 11 3·17 | 4 0·63 | 0·0001  |
| Maternity leave                               | 349 35·50 | 83 23·92 | 266 41·82 |          |
| No                                            | 16 1·63 | 5 1·44 | 11 1·73 |          |
| Yes                                           | 603 61·34 | 248 71·47 | 355 55·82 |          |
| **Monthly expenditures (AMD)**                | 18 1·83 | 6 1·73 | 12 1·89 |          |
| <50 000                                       | 265 26·96 | 74 21·33 | 191 30·03 | 0·01    |
| 51 000–100 000                                | 392 39·88 | 161 46·40 | 231 36·32 |          |
| 101 000–200 000                               | 251 25·53 | 85 24·50 | 166 26·10 |          |
| 201 000–300 000                               | 45 4·58 | 18 5·19 | 27 4·25 |          |
| >301 000                                      | 12 1·22 | 3 0·86 | 9 1·42 |          |
| **Presence of sewage system at residence**    | 15 1·53 | 9 2·59 | 6 0·94 |          |
| No                                            | 390 39·67 | 123 35·45 | 267 41·98 | 0·07    |
| Yes                                           | 578 58·30 | 215 61·96 | 363 57·08 |          |
| **Participating in community training**       | 4 0·41 | 3 0·86 | 1 0·16 |          |
| No                                            | 397 40·39 | 120 34·58 | 277 43·55 | 0·008   |
| Yes                                           | 582 59·61 | 224 65·42 | 358 56·45 |          |
| **Receiving printed materials**               | 6 0·61 | 3 0·86 | 3 0·47 | 0·83    |
| No                                            | 249 25·33 | 89 25·65 | 160 25·16 |          |
| Yes                                           | 728 74·67 | 255 74·39 | 473 74·37 |          |
| **Minimum dietary diversity**                 |               |                      |                         |          |
| No                                            | 154 15·67 | 73 21·04 | 81 12·74 | <0·001  |
| Yes                                           | 829 84·33 | 274 78·96 | 555 87·26 |          |
| **Anaemia status**                            |               |                      |                         |          |
| Anaemic                                       | 237 24·11 | 37 10·66 | 200 31·45 | <0·001  |
| Non-anaemic                                   | 746 75·89 | 310 89·34 | 436 68·55 |          |
| **Stunting status**                           |               |                      |                         |          |
| Not stunted                                   | 894 90·95 | 307 88·47 | 587 92·30 | 0·04    |
| Stunted                                       | 89 9·05 | 40 11·53 | 49 7·70 |          |
| **Wasting status**                            |               |                      |                         |          |
| Wasted                                        | 39 3·97 | 33 3·66 | 6 3·11 | 0·69    |
| Not wasted                                    | 943 95·93 | 756 95·70 | 187 96·89 |          |

AMD, Armenian Drams (currency).
†Continuous variables are presented as mean and standard deviation; categorical variables are presented as number and percentage.
‡For continuous variables, the t test was used. For categorical variables, Pearson’s χ² test was performed if all cell counts were >5. Otherwise, Fisher’s exact test was performed. P values are derived from the t test for equality of means for continuous variables and the χ² test of equality of proportions for categorical variables between the groups exposed/not exposed to the intervention 2013–2016.
In total, 843 children were selected to participate in the 2013 baseline survey and 1300 in the 2016 survey. The overall response rates were 80% in 2013 and 85% in 2016 (Fig. 2). Logistic regression analyses were conducted with all 983 participants who participated in 2016, including the 348 from communities that received the intervention and 635 children from unexposed communities. The sociodemographic characteristics of study participants in 2016 are presented in Table 1.

Table 2 shows a comparison of demographic characteristics and study outcomes between study participants residing in the communities where FAR had implemented its multidisciplinary programme in 2016 (n=348) and those who did not.

The children living in the communities that were not exposed to the FAR programme from 2013 to 2016 had a lower prevalence of stunting (7.70% vs. 11.53%), a higher prevalence of anaemia (31.45% vs. 10.66%); higher maternal educational attainment (52.36% vs. 37.75% completed secondary school); and a higher prevalence of paternal unemployment (41.82% vs. 23.92%).

Table 2 Comparison of characteristics of study participants in communities before (2013) and after the community-based Fund for Armenian Relief multidisciplinary intervention (2016): children aged 6 months to 6 years from Berd region, Armenia (a chronic conflict setting)

| Variable† | Before intervention‡ (n=382) | After intervention‡ (n=348) | P value§ |
|-----------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|
| BMI (kg/m²) | 16.76 2.41 | 16.17 2.18 | 0.0001 |
| Breast-feeding duration (months) | 11.47 6.91 | 12.98 6.52 | 0.002 |
| Mother’s height (cm) | 159.90 5.92 | 160.36 6.52 | 0.32 |
| Father’s height (cm) | 169.90 6.87 | 170.80 6.56 | 0.10 |
| Length at birth (cm) | 49.73 2.23 | 49.67 2.01 | 0.73 |
| Weight at birth (g) | 3151.40 481.20 | 3141.19 473.08 | 0.77 |
| Gender | | | |
| Female | 188 49.21 | 178 51.15 | 0.60 |
| Male | 194 50.79 | 170 48.95 | |
| Age | | | |
| <24 months | 112 29.32 | 86 24.71 | 0.16 |
| ≥24 months | 270 70.68 | 362 75.29 | |
| Residence | | | |
| Rural | 174 45.55 | 163 46.84 | 0.73 |
| Urban | 208 54.45 | 185 53.16 | |
| Mother’s education | | | |
| Incomplete secondary | 14 3.66 | 25 7.18 | 1 |
| Secondary | 181 47.38 | 131 37.64 | 1 |
| Vocational | 147 38.48 | 126 36.21 | 0.65 |
| Higher | 38 9.95 | 63 18.10 | 0.67 |
| Minimum dietary diversity | | | |
| No | 122 31.94 | 73 20.98 | 0.001 |
| Yes | 260 68.06 | 275 79.02 | |
| Child’s history of sleeping hungry reported by the caregiver | | | |
| Never | 297 77.75 | 314 90.49 | 0.0001 |
| Ever | 78 20.42 | 33 9.51 | |
| Caregiver reported diarrhoea | | | |
| No | 310 81.15 | 295 84.77 | |
| Yes | 67 17.54 | 48 13.79 | |
| Participating in community training | | | |
| No | 313 81.94 | 121 34.77 | 0.0001 |
| Yes | 64 16.75 | 224 64.37 | |
| Receiving printed materials | | | |
| No | 273 71.47 | 8 25.57 | 0.0001 |
| Yes | 102 26.70 | 256 73.56 | |
| Stunting | | | |
| Not stunted | 348 89.79 | 308 88.51 | 0.57 |
| Stunted | 39 10.21 | 40 11.49 | |
| Anaemia | | | |
| Non-anaemic | 308 80.84 | 310 89.08 | 0.002 |
| Anaemic | 73 19.16 | 38 10.92 | |
| Wasting | | | |
| Not wasted | 288 97.96 | 259 95.22 | 0.07 |
| Wasted | 6 2.04 | 13 4.78 | |

†Continuous variables are presented as mean and standard deviation; categorical variables are presented as number and percentage.
‡The communities compared before and after the intervention are Tavush, Aygepar, Nerkin Karmiraghbyur, Berd and Chinchin.
§P values are derived from the t test for equality of means for continuous variables and the χ² test of equality of proportions for categorical variables between the groups before/after the intervention 2013–2016.
Living in the same communities during the baseline assessment in 2013 (n=382). Demographic characteristics were similar in the 2013 and 2016 samples.

Anaemia and short-term dietary indicators

The prevalence of anaemia was significantly lower in 2016 than in 2013 (19.1% in 2013 vs. 10.9% in 2016, P=0.002). Compared with children from the baseline assessment in 2013, more children included in the 2016 sample had consumed at least four different food groups in the past 24 h (minimum dietary diversity; 79.0 vs. 68.1%, P<0.001).

The duration of breast-feeding was also significantly higher in 2016 compared with 2013 (13.0 vs. 11.5 months, P<0.002) and the percentage of mothers reporting their children had gone to sleep hungry was significantly lower (9.5 vs. 20.4%, P<0.0001; Table 2).

The anaemic children were more likely to have slightly higher BMI and to have been breast-fed for a slightly shorter period than non-anaemic children. The prevalence of anaemia was significantly higher in rural than in urban areas (26.28 vs. 14.67%, P<0.001; see online supplementary material, Supplemental Table S1). The other social and demographic characteristics examined did not differ significantly between anaemic and non-anaemic children.

In crude logistic regression analyses, the odds of anaemia were 74% lower in intervention communities compared with communities not receiving the intervention (OR=0.26; 95% CI 0.18, 0.38). These effect estimates were not affected after adjusting for potential social and demographic confounders (OR=0.24; 95% CI 0.16, 0.36; Table 3, model E).

Long-term indicators of malnutrition

The prevalence of stunting and wasting did not differ significantly between the two samples (10.2% in 2013 vs. 11.5% in 2016, P=0.57 for stunting; 2.0 vs. 4.8%, P=0.07 for wasting; Table 2). However, there were significant differences in BMI, breast-feeding duration, weight and height at birth, and mean maternal and paternal heights in stunted vs. not stunted children (see online supplementary material, Supplemental Table S2). In crude logistic regression analyses, the odds of being stunted were 74% lower in intervention communities compared with communities not receiving the intervention (OR=0.26; 95% CI 0.18, 0.38). These effect estimates were not affected after adjusting for potential social and demographic confounders (OR=0.24; 95% CI 0.16, 0.36; Table 3, model E).
Discussion

Our study found that communities receiving a multidisciplinary intervention experienced significant changes in short-term indicators of nutrition and child growth including anaemia, breast-feeding practices, minimum dietary diversity and reports of going to sleep hungry. Our findings are in line with the observed effects of other interventions around the globe aimed at fighting malnutrition. For example, the prevalence of anaemia decreased significantly following implementation of a community-level fortification programme in Costa Rica. Hb concentration was increased by 2.0 g/l in a recent cluster-randomized trial among children who received small food packages as an intervention. A meta-analysis of the effect of dietary interventions on iron-deficiency anaemia also found a positive impact for such interventions.

In multivariable logistic regression analyses, we found that the odds of being anaemic were lower in communities exposed to the intervention than in unexposed communities. We found a higher proportion of children with positive minimum dietary diversity (consumption from at least four food groups in the past 24 h) as well as longer breast-feeding duration in communities exposed to the intervention.

The improvement in these short-term indicators of nutrition status could itself have resulted in the reduction of the prevalence of anaemia. The increase in the proportion of children meeting minimum dietary diversity could indicate an improvement in the overall socio-economic status of communities included in the intervention. Similarly, the increase in the proportion of the children who never slept hungry (as reported by the caregiver) could be considered another indicator of improved socio-economic status. Higher socio-economic status has been linked with higher dietary diversity and breast-feeding status in several lower-middle-income countries.

The BCPP intervention trained mothers about the significance of breast-feeding and complementary feeding, and also included distribution of balanced, high-energy nutrition in kindergartens. The distributed food included at least four food groups, meeting the requirements for minimum dietary diversity. Since breast milk alone is not sufficient to support the nutritional needs of the growing child after 6 months of age, timely introduction of complementary feeding with breast milk is crucial for further growth and development of children.

We found a non-significantly higher prevalence of stunting in the subset of the 2016 sample compared with data from 2013 (historical controls). This finding was confirmed in parametric logistic regression analysis of the entire study population in 2016, comparing children in the intervention communities with those in communities that were not included in the programme in 2013 (geographic controls). The higher odds of being stunted in intervention communities persisted after the model was adjusted for potential confounders.

Our finding of no significant change in the prevalence of stunting was in agreement with those from previous studies conducted in South Africa, Brazil and Palestine, as well as a meta-analysis finding that food distribution programmes had little or no effect on improving height-for-age over similar time frames.

Similar findings were also reported when the effects of interventions were assessed over a shorter time span. A study in Indonesia evaluating the effect of fortified infant foods found an increase in the prevalence of stunting at 12 months compared with 6 months. Studies in Bhutan, Nepal, Kenya and Bangladesh found that the prevalence of stunting was decreased in response to micronutrient powder distribution programmes. However, the duration of these studies was longer than our study. Moreover, the difference in the type of intervention (i.e. micronutrient powders vs. a pre-school feeding programme) could be responsible for the discrepancy in results.

We found higher odds of being stunted among children living in the FAR intervention communities compared with unexposed communities in 2016. This finding may partially stem from surveillance bias among physicians residing in communities served by the programme: physicians in the intervention communities may have performed a more rigorous examination of children’s growth indicators in 2016 than in 2013. Surveillance bias may also be due to the extensive training physicians received during implementation of the multidisciplinary programme, which may have led to more accurate readings in 2016 than at baseline. In contrast, the Hb measurement was performed with a standardized machine, which would minimize the risk of subjective or biased measurements in all communities.

Interestingly, the geographic controls that were not exposed to the nutrition programme during 2013–2016 tended to have a lower prevalence of stunting and a lower proportion of children who slept hungry at night. The lower prevalence of stunting among this group could imply that the children in these communities were initially generally healthier than those in the intervention communities. However, a lack of baseline data from 2013 on geographic control communities limits our ability to interpret the reasons for this difference.

Another possible explanation for the higher prevalence of stunting observed in the intervention communities is that stunting is an indicator of chronic malnutrition, which is likely more difficult to modify than the other outcomes we measured and may not be sensitive to interventions within a short time span. However, it has been shown that multidisciplinary programmes can combat stunting over a longer time period. This finding was confirmed in studies in Malawi, Ethiopia and Haiti evaluating a community-based programme addressing stunting over a period of 5–8 years.
**Strengths**
To our knowledge, the present study was the first to evaluate the effects of a multidisciplinary community-based programme addressing child malnutrition in a frozen conflict setting. The principal strength of the study is the use of a census approach to cover all children in rural communities aged 6 months to 6 years. Although the communities were situated in a conflict area, we presume that very little or no migration took place over the study period, as the programme was improving living conditions and reducing the burden of feeding children. These low levels of migration add to the comparability of the 2013 and 2016 study populations. While the two populations sampled were essentially different, our sampling strategy led the two study groups to be comparable and representative of the same source population, as illustrated by their demographic characteristics.

**Limitations**
Our study had a number of limitations. First, although the local study coordinators underwent extensive training on performing anthropometric measurements, the possibility of measurement error cannot be ruled out. Moreover, as described earlier, the measures could be subject to surveillance bias by the study coordinators who were included in the programme from 2013. Second, the study instrument was not validated. Although we utilized the questionnaire used by the Armenian Demographic and Health Survey and the National Statistics Center of Armenia (with minor changes), we did not evaluate the psychometric properties of this questionnaire. This resulted in incomplete information regarding important socio-economic variables including type of employment and household composition. However, we think that such inaccuracies would be similar between the stunted and not-stunted children. Third, the samples collected in 2016 and 2013 were essentially different individuals and three communities dropped out of the intervention. While we acknowledge that there is a possibility of selection bias, the nature of the intervention was such that identical communities were selected. All communities included in the study were very similar to each other in terms of sociodemographic characteristics such as their ethnicity, culture, beliefs, socio-economic status and practices, which may have otherwise had the potential to introduce bias. However, a lack of randomized intervention allocation, as well as temporal follow-up of the study participants, limits the causal interpretation of the changes in the prevalence of stunting and its attribution to the intervention. Finally, information collected about children’s feeding practices and health indicators, such as diarrhoea, was reported by caregivers and is subject to recall bias and caregivers’ perception of their children’s health.

**Conclusion**
Short-term nutritional and child growth outcomes improved in response to a multidisciplinary intervention programme implemented in a frozen conflict setting. This programme may serve as a model for replication in similar conflict zone settings, providing guidance for effective collaboration with government and local health-care professionals to improve children’s dietary status and growth. However, understanding the long-term impact and effectiveness of such programmes will require future studies of other developmental measures, including cognitive, motor, emotional and behavioural milestones.
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