Mast cell activation is associated with post-acute COVID-19 syndrome

To the Editor,

As of July 2021, over 30-million Americans have recovered from documented COVID-19 infection, and prevalence studies suggest up to twice as many may be undocumented. For the survivors of COVID-19, chronic morbidity is common, with reports of fatigue, brain fog, body aches, and loss of smell lasting for months following acute symptoms. These persistent symptoms have been referred to as post-acute sequelae of COVID-19 (PASC), post-acute COVID-19 syndrome, long-COVID, and “long-hauler syndrome.” The etiopathogenesis of PASC remains unclear, but inflammation may play a role along with metabolic disturbances and autoantibodies.

Recently, our group and others identified evidence of mast cell (MC) activation in sera and lung tissue in patients with acute COVID-19 infection. Whether this activation is persistent and contributes to the morbidity associated with PASC is unknown. To

TABLE 1 Patient Characteristics

| Patient characteristics          | PASC\(^a\) | PAAC\(^b\) | Healthy controls | P-value\(^c\) |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------|--------------|
| Age, median (range)              | 49 (24–73)| 48 (22–59)| 36 (18–51)       | 0.5670       |
| Female sex, n (%)                | 12 (92%)  | 11 (85%)  | 6 (30%)          | 1.0000       |
| Race                             |           |           |                  |              |
| Black, n (%)                     | 1 (8%)    | 0 (0%)    | 0 (0%)           | 1.0000       |
| Caucasian/non-Hispanic, n (%)    | 12 (92%)  | 11 (85%)  | 20 (100%)        | 1.0000       |
| Hispanic, n (%)                  | 0 (0%)    | 2 (15%)   | 0 (0%)           | 1.0000       |
| SARS-CoV-2 ELISA IgG, median (range) | 4.3 (0.3–11.7) | 3.8 (1.2–6.7) | N/A\(^d\)      | 0.2434       |
| Days between positive PCR test and serum sample collection, median (range) | 62 (39–305) | 34 (22–322) | N/A\(^d\) | 0.1851 |
| Self-reported long-term symptom, n (%) | 13 (100%) | 0 (0%) | N/A\(^d\) | N/A |
| Fatigue                          | 11 (85%)  | 0 (0%)    |                  |              |
| Body aches                       | 6 (46%)   | 0 (0%)    |                  |              |
| Change or loss in taste/smell    | 6 (46%)   | 0 (0%)    |                  |              |
| Anxiety                          | 4 (31%)   | 0 (0%)    |                  |              |
| Shortness of breath              | 4 (31%)   | 0 (0%)    |                  |              |
| Brain fog                        | 3 (23%)   | 0 (0%)    |                  |              |
| Headaches                        | 3 (23%)   | 0 (0%)    |                  |              |
| Sore throat                      | 2 (15%)   | 0 (0%)    |                  |              |
| Tachycardia                      | 2 (15%)   | 0 (0%)    |                  |              |
| Anemic                           | 1 (8%)    | 0 (0%)    |                  |              |
| Dyspnea                          | 1 (8%)    | 0 (0%)    |                  |              |
| Joint pain                       | 1 (8%)    | 0 (0%)    |                  |              |
| Insomnia                         | 1 (8%)    | 0 (0%)    |                  |              |

Abbreviations: PAAC, post-acute asymptomatic of COVID-19; PASC, post-acute sequelae of COVID-19.
\(^a\)PASC patients were defined as SARS-CoV-2 negative with persistent symptoms for at least ~1 month after confirmed positive infection.
\(^b\)PAAC patients were defined as SARS-CoV-2 negative with no symptoms after confirmed positive infection.
\(^c\)Unpaired two-tailed t test between PASC vs PAAC performed on numerical values; Fisher’s exact test performed on proportions.
\(^d\)Data are not collected as part of study.
evaluate systemic inflammation and MC activation in PASC, we obtained serum from 13 adults with symptomatic PASC and a history of positive RT-PCR for SARS-CoV-2 between 39 and 305 days prior to collection (Table 1). The most reported symptoms among PASC patients were fatigue (84%), body aches (46%), loss of taste/smell (46%), anxiety (31%), shortness of breath (31%), brain fog (23%), and headaches (23%). To control for inflammatory mediators attributable to acute SARS-CoV-2 infection, we obtained serum from age-matched post-acute asymptomatic COVID-19 (PAAC) patients with a history of positive RT-PCR for SARS-CoV-2 between 22 and 322 days prior to collection, as well as healthy controls (Table 1). We evaluated 11 mediators previously implicated in COVID-19 systemic

FIGURE 1  PASC patient sera display a distinct profile of elevated inflammatory cytokines and mast cell-derived proteases. (A–B) Cytokines, chemokines, or mast cell-derived proteases in sera from symptomatic PASC (dark blue; n = 13), PAAC (light blue; n = 13), or control (gray; n = 19–20) groups. (C) Spearman correlations for levels of active tryptase, IL-6 and CXCL1 from panels A, B. (D) ROC curves for active tryptase, CPA3, and IL-6 using PASC versus PAAC and controls. (E–G) Levels of cytokines and mast cell-derived proteases in sera from PASC patients (light blue; n = 13), acute COVID-19 patients (red; n = 19), or controls (gray; n = 19–20). *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001; ****P < 0.0001; ns=not significant; PAAC, post-acute asymptomatic COVID-19; PASC, post-acute sequelae of COVID-19.
Among the screened mediators, IL-6 and CXCL1 were significantly elevated in PASC sera compared to controls, whereas no significant difference was detected in PAAC sera relative to controls (Figure 1A). Notably, IL-6 levels were also significantly higher in sera from the PASC cohort compared to PAAC (Figure 1A). The following mediators showed no difference between PASC, PAAC, and control: IL-8, TNF, CCL2, CCL3, IL-17A, IL-33, and VEGF (Figure S1A–B). Next, we assessed levels of MC-derived proteases to evaluate MC activation. Active tryptase levels were significantly elevated in PASC sera compared to PAAC and healthy controls, highly suggestive of systemic MC activation (Figure 1B). Carboxypeptidase A3 (CPA3) levels were significantly elevated in PASC, but not PAAC sera compared to healthy controls (Figure 1B). In contrast, chymase levels were not significantly different across these populations (Figure S1C). To determine whether the MC activation was associated with elevated IL-6 and CXCL1, we performed correlation analyses using sera levels of MC proteases from PASC, PAAC, and controls. Active tryptase levels showed a weak but significant correlation with both IL-6 and CXCL1 levels, whereas CPA3 levels demonstrated modest association (Figure 1C, Figure S1D). To assess the utility of serum IL-6 along with MC proteases as a diagnostic test for PASC, we assessed receiver operating characteristics for the outcome of PASC vs. PAAC + control. Notably, the active tryptase level was superior with an area under the curve of 0.84 (Figure 1D).

To further characterize the inflammatory profile identified in PASC patient sera, we compared cytokine, chemokine, and MC protease levels against sera from a previously published cohort of acute COVID-19 patients.7 Sera from symptomatic PASC patients displayed significantly reduced inflammatory cytokines and chemokines compared to sera from acute COVID-19 patients (Figure 1E, Figure S2). Levels of active tryptase and chymase were also significantly lower in PASC patients compared to acute COVID-19 patients (Figure 1F). Notably, CPA3 levels in the serum were not significantly different between PASC and acute COVID-19 patients (Figure 1G), suggesting CPA3 levels may remain similarly elevated post-acute infection.

Taken together, our findings support a potential role for immune dysfunction associated with MC activation in a subgroup of patients with PASC. Findings from this study also suggest that MCs are differentially activated in acute SARS-CoV-2 infection compared to PASC. Additional studies are needed to determine if these differences are based on distinct populations of activated MCs or local environmental cues. Interestingly, IL-6 has been shown to increase MC proliferation and induce a more reactive phenotype providing a possible link between elevated IL-6 levels and MC activation in PASC. While it remains unclear if MC activation is causative in PASC or simply a consequence, larger longitudinal studies to validate our findings and assess the natural history are critical. Additional limitations of our study include the use of unmatched healthy controls and lack of medical history from PASC and PAAC patients. Importantly, our findings highlight MCs as potential therapeutic targets for patients with PASC, which could be targeted with agents that (1) reduce MC-derived mediators,2 (2) engage inhibitory receptors,11 or (3) attenuate inflammation.12
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IgE-sensitization predicts threshold but not anaphylaxis during oral food challenges to cow’s milk

To the Editor,

There are increasing data relating to predicting the outcomes of oral food challenges (FC) to peanut, specifically severity of reaction and eliciting dose.1 However, data are more limited for other allergens such as cow’s milk (CM) protein, particularly in older children and teenagers with persisting allergy to CM. Given that CM is a major cause of severe and even fatal allergic reactions,1 this is a significant knowledge gap. We therefore analysed the predictors of severity and eliciting dose in young people undergoing double-blind placebo-controlled food challenges (DBPCFC) to CM in the SOCMA study (Clinicaltrials.gov NCT02216175).

We recruited children and young people aged 6–18 years with a clinical history of CM-allergy, presenting for clinical review in our hospital. Skin prick testing (SPT) of CM and casein was performed according to international guidelines using ALK lancets and commercial extracts (ALK-Abello) with 1% histamine as a positive control, and the mean wheal diameter was noted. Blood samples were collected from participants prior to FC, and specific IgE to CM and casein was measured by ImmunoCAP (ThermoFisher Scientific). The exclusion criteria were medically unfit for challenge (eg high fever or intercurrent illness), acute wheeze or poorly controlled asthma, oral corticosteroids within 14 days of FC, anaphylaxis in 4 weeks prior to FC (to exclude patients in an anergic state) and antihistamines within 5 days of FC. Subjects with a history of prior anaphylaxis were not excluded. The study was approved by the NHS Human Research Authority (reference 18/LO/1070) and the Hospital Infantil Universitario Niño Jesús Ethics Committee (reference R0003/17). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

98 participants (median age 10 years) were screened, of whom 93 underwent DBPCFC. The first challenge dose was 0.5 mg CM protein (or placebo), until stopping criteria (PRACTALL) were met. Eliciting doses were given every 20–30 min, according to the following schedule: 3 mg, 10 mg, 30 mg, 100 mg, 300 mg, 1000 mg and 3000 mg of CM protein (or placebo), until stopping criteria (PRACTALL) were met. Eliciting dose was defined as the lowest observed adverse effect level (LOAEL) triggering symptoms.2 83 subjects (89%) reacted with objective symptoms at challenge, of whom 16 (19%) had anaphylaxis (WAO 2020 criteria) (Table S1). The median cumulative eliciting dose (cumED) was 143.5 mg (IQR 43.5–443.5 mg) CM protein.

Baseline markers of sensitization and other relevant information are shown in Table 1. We did not identify any significant predictors for the occurrence of anaphylaxis at OFC. There was a moderate and significant correlation between specific IgE to CM protein/casein (both SPT and serum IgE) and LOAEL (p < .0001). At multivariate analysis, both SPT and serum IgE to casein were predictive of LOAEL (p = .007 and p = .018, respectively; Table S2). Population dose-distributions were determined as previously described3 using interval-censoring survival analysis (ICSA) approach in R (v4.1.2, survival package v3.2-13). The cumulative eliciting dose predicted to provoke reaction in 5% of the population at (ED5) was 2.5 mg (95% CI 1.1–6.0) and 2.7 mg (95% CI 1.2–6.1) CM protein, estimated using...