Acute Immunotoxic Effects of Perfluorononanoic Acid (PFNA) in C57BL/6 Mice
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Abstract

Organic perfluorochemicals (PFCs) have become an environmental concern due to widespread detection in human blood and experimental evidence for immune, developmental, and liver toxicity. Whereas the blood concentrations of many PFCs are declining, blood levels of Perfluorononanoic Acid (PFNA) are rising in the United States. The purpose of the present studies was to ascertain the effect of PFNA on lymphoid organs and immune cells of C57BL/6 mice. The present study demonstrates that PFNA produces immunotoxic effects in both male and female C57BL/6 mice as evidenced by splenic atrophy, decreased splenocyte numbers, and a marked reduction in thymocyte viability. The present studies also demonstrate that the effects of PFNA on different leukocyte populations are not uniform. The CD4⁺/CD8⁺ double-positive thymocytes were particularly sensitive to PFNA in which the proportion of this population was 95% decreased relative to the entire CD4⁺ thymocyte population in PFNA-treated mice. Interestingly, PFNA also markedly increased serum levels of TNFα in response to LPS in mice. Collectively, the present studies demonstrate that PFNA decreases lymphocyte viability and alters the immune response to LPS in C57BL/6 mice.

Introduction

Organic perfluorochemicals (PFCs) are widely used in industry for a variety of applications, including food packaging, flame retardants, waterproof coatings, surfactants as well as in many other products [1-3]. Dozens of PFCs are used in manufacturing, among these are perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA), perfluorooctane sulfonic acid (PFOS), perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA) and the ammonium salts of these compounds [4]. PFCs have been manufactured since the 1950's, have low volatility, and are persistent in the environment [1,4]. The elimination half-lives of PFOA and PFOS in humans are estimated to be from 3 to 5 years [1,5]. Whereas the investigation of PFOA and PFOS has been a major area of toxicological research, other PFCs such as PFNA, are not well characterized in this regard. Thus, in general, the sources, toxicokinetic and potential pathophysiological effects of PFNA in humans and animals necessitate further investigation.

Although most of the PFCs have not been extensively studied, evidence thus far suggests many of these compounds may cause immunological, developmental and liver toxicity [3,5,6]. With respect to PFNA, studies have demonstrated that prenatal exposure of mice to PFNA negatively impacts survival and development of pups [7]. PFNA administration also causes male-specific reproductive toxicity in rats, which is attributed to a defect in the secretory function of Sertoli cells [8,9]. In vitro studies indicate that PFNA causes only modest DNA damage at high concentrations, suggesting that genotoxicity is not a major concern [10]. Epidemiological data indicate a positive association between human serum levels of PFNA and total cholesterol, suggesting that PFNA exposure may alter cholesterol metabolism [11]. Of relevance to the present studies, daily administration of PFNA over 14 days causes apoptosis in spleens of BALB/c mice, an experimental mouse model of Th2-skewed immune responses [12].

The purpose of the present studies was to ascertain the effect of a single dose of PFNA (0.1 mmol/kg) on lymphoid organs and immune cell populations of C57BL/6 mice. The present studies are particularly important given that the immunotoxic effects of PFCs appear to be highly dependent upon mouse strain [6]. Whereas administration of 30 mg/kg/day of PFOA daily for 15 days causes a marked decrease in weight of lymphoid organs in C57BL/6 mice, Sv/129 mice appear to be relatively insensitive to this effect. With respect to the current study, we are particularly interested in the C57BL/6 strain because it represents an experimental model that is not Th2-skewed and is also the most commonly-used mouse model in research.

Materials and Methods

Materials

PFNA (free acid form; 97% purity; M.W. 464 g/mol) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. (St Louis, MO).

PFNA and LPS administration to mice

Eight-week-old adult male and female C57BL/6 mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, Maine), and housed according to AAALAC (Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care) guidelines. Adult C57BL/6 male (n=5) and female (n=4) mice were administered a single i.p. dose of PFNA (0.1 mmol/kg of body weight), and control mice were treated i.p. with the vehicle, propylene glycol:water (1:1, v/v). After two weeks, the spleen, thymus, kidney, and liver were collected from each mouse. Lymph nodes were undetectable (or at least very difficult to distinguish) in PFNA-treated mice. For studies with LPS, LPS was administered two weeks after PFNA exposure. The mice were given a single i.p. dose of LPS or saline (1 mg/kg of body weight, diluted in saline). One hour and thirty minutes after LPS administration, blood was collected. The animal studies were conducted in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals [12].
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the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals as adopted by the National Institutes of Health, and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees (IACUC) at the University of Kansas Medical Center and/or Michigan State University.

Cell isolation

Spleens and thymuses were pulverized, made into single cell suspensions and filtered through nylon mesh. Leukocytes and red blood cells (RBCs) were counted using a hemacytometer (cells were counted in the presence and absence of a RBC-lysing agent).

Flow cytometry

Freshly-isolated splenocytes were washed and resuspended in FACS buffer (PBS, 1% FCS). The cells were then incubated with anti-CD4/PerCP, anti-CD8/APC, anti-CD19/PE, and/or anti-CD14/FITC for 30 min at 4°C, after which the cells were washed and fixed with BD Cytofix fixation buffer (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA). The fluorescence was then detected and quantified with a BD™ FACS Calibration flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA). The data were analyzed using FlowJo 7.5 software (Tree Star, Inc., Ashland, OR).

TNFα ELISA

TNFα levels in serum were quantified using a commercially available mouse TNF-α ELISA kit according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Ebioscience, San Diego CA). The relative absorbance of each sample was quantified on a Bio-Tek µQuant microplate reader (Highland Park, VT). The TNF-α concentrations were calculated using a 4-parameter standard curve.

Statistical analysis

The mean ± standard error was determined for each treatment in the individual experiments. Homogeneous data were evaluated by two-way parametric analysis of variance. When significant differences were observed, the Holm-Sidak post-hoc test was used to compare treatment groups to the vehicle (VH) control using SigmaStat 3.01a software from Systat Software, Inc. (Chicago, IL).

Results

Splenic atrophy by PFNA

The immunotoxic effects of PFOA and PFOS have been described in previous studies [6]. To determine the acute effects of PFNA on the immune system, C57BL/6 mice were administered a single dose of PFNA (0.1 mmol/kg). Effects on lymphoid organs and immune cells were assessed two weeks later. PFNA caused a substantial decrease in body weight in both male (31%) and female (38%) mice (Figure 1A). Among the organs examined, the spleen was particularly sensitive to PFNA (with a 60-70% decrease in spleen to body weight ratio), whereas the decrease in kidney size was proportional to the decrease in overall body weight and thus no difference in the ratio of kidney to body weight was observed with PFNA treatment (Figure 1B and 1C). In contrast, PFNA administration resulted in increased liver size (≈300%, Figure 1D).

PFNA decreases leukocyte viability

In correlation with the decrease in spleen size, a marked decrease in splenic leukocyte counts (~87.5% in males, and ~93% in females) and RBC counts (~95% in males, ~89% in females, Figure 2) was also observed. Altered forward vs. side scatter dot plots were observed in the thymocyte population from PFNA-treated mice (Figure 3). The decrease in forward scatter coupled with the increase in side scatter indicates the emergence of a population of apoptotic cells, which are smaller and denser than untreated lymphocytes.

Figure 1: Body and organ weights of mice two weeks after PFNA administration. (A) Body weight and the ratios of (B) spleen, (C) kidney, and (D) liver to body weight were measured two weeks after PFNA administration. The data are presented as the mean ± SE. * denotes p<0.05 as compared to the vehicle (VH) control for the same gender.
**Figure 2:** PFNA markedly decreases leukocyte and RBC counts in spleen. Cells were diluted and counted in the presence and absence of an RBC-lysing agent. The data are presented as the mean ± SE. * denotes p<0.05 as compared to the vehicle (VH) control for the same gender.

**Figure 3:** PFNA decreases thymocyte viability as assessed by forward vs. side scatter. Forward vs. side scatter was assessed in (A) male and (B) female thymocytes by flow cytometry. (C) Live cells were quantified and graphed. The data are presented as the mean ± SE. * denotes p<0.05 as compared to the vehicle (VH) control for the same gender.
Effect of PFNA on splenic T Cells

To determine the sensitivity of various leukocyte populations to PFNA, we conducted immunophenotyping analysis by flow cytometry. With respect to T cells, PFNA increased the ratios of both CD4+ and CD8+ cells relative to the entire splenocyte population in female mice (Figure 4). In male mice, PFNA increased the ratio of CD8+ cells and caused a trend toward an increase of CD4+ cells with respect to the entire splenocyte population. Overall, the data suggest T cells may be slightly less sensitive to the immunotoxic effects of PFNA compared to other splenocyte populations.

PFNA alters immature T Cell populations

The effect of PFNA on immature T cells in the thymus was also determined. In addition to the marked decrease in viable cells in thymus noted in Figure 3, substantial differences in thymic subpopulations were also observed (Figure 5A and 5B). Notably, the CD4+CD8+ double-positive population is largely absent in thymus from both male and female mice treated with PFNA (Figure 5C). With the decrease in the CD4+CD8+ double-positive population, there was a concurrent increase in the ratios of the CD4+ and CD8+ single-positive populations as well as the CD4 CD8+ double-negative population (Figure 5D - 5F). Collectively, the data suggest that although there is a decrease in overall thymocyte viability with PFNA treatment, the CD4+CD8+ double-positive population is particularly sensitive to PFNA.

Effects of PFNA on CD19+ and CD14+ Cells

In addition to CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, the effect of PFNA on CD19+ and CD14+ cells was also evaluated. CD19 is a coreceptor that associates with the B cell receptor and is thus, a commonly-used B cell marker.
Figure 5: Loss of the CD4+CD8+ double-positive T cell population in thymus of PFNA-treated mice. Unfractionated thymocytes were washed, incubated with fluorochrome-conjugated antibodies and analyzed by flow cytometry. Representative dot plots of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells are depicted from VH-treated and PFNA-treated (A) male and (B) female mice. Graphical representation of (C) CD4+CD8+ double-positive (DP), (D) CD8+ single-positive (SP), (E) CD4+ SP, (F) CD4-CD8- double-negative (DN) T cell percentages with respect to the whole splenocyte population. The data are presented as mean ± SE. * denotes p<0.05 as compared to the vehicle (VH) control for the same gender. † denotes p<0.05 as compared between genders for the same treatment group.
CD14 is the LPS co-receptor and is used as a marker of phagocytes, such as macrophages and dendritic cells. The data demonstrate that PFNA decreases the ratio of CD19+ cells within the splenocyte population in female mice (Figure 6). Although not statistically significant, PFNA tended to decrease the ratio of CD19+ cells in male mice as well. In addition, PFNA decreased the ratio of CD14+ cells within the splenocyte population in both male and female mice (Figure 7).

**PFNA increases TNFα in response to LPS**

Because CD14+ cells in spleen appeared to be particularly sensitive to PFNA as shown in Figure 7, we investigated the effect of PFNA on induction of TNFα in LPS-treated mice, a response mediated in large part by CD14+ cells. Interestingly, PFNA administration caused a marked increase in TNFα production in LPS-treated mice, which was an unexpected finding (Figure 8).

**Discussion**

The present studies are the first to demonstrate that acute exposure to PFNA results in severe immunotoxicity in both male and female C57BL/6 mice. Specifically, the current studies show that a single dose of PFNA (0.1 mmol/kg) substantially decreases spleen size in male and female mice, which correlates with markedly decreased numbers of RBCs and leukocytes in spleen. Furthermore, the sensitivity of various leukocyte populations to PFNA is not uniform. In thymus, PFNA toxicity is most evident in the CD4+CD8+ double-positive population, which represents the most rapidly dividing population in thymus.

---

**Figure 6:** Decrease in the percentage of CD19+ cells in spleen of female PFNA-treated mice. Unfractionated splenocytes were washed, incubated with fluorochrome-conjugated antibodies and analyzed by flow cytometry. A representative histogram of CD19+ B cells is depicted from VH-treated (shown in thin gray line) and PFNA-treated (shown in heavy black line) (A) male and (B) female mice. (C) Graphical representation of CD19+ B cell percentage with respect to the whole splenocyte population. The cells were gated on those that were CD4-CD8-. The data are presented as mean ± SE. * denotes p<0.05 as compared to the vehicle (VH) control for the same gender. † denotes p<0.05 as compared between genders for the same treatment group.
Interestingly, a marked increase in TNFα production in response to LPS was observed in PFNA-treated mice compared to vehicle controls. Overall, the current studies demonstrate decreased leukocyte viability in spleen and thymus as well as enhanced response to LPS by a single dose of PFNA in C57BL/6 mice.

The pronounced decrease in lymphoid organ weight and leukocyte numbers by PFNA is strikingly similar to the effects of other PFCs, such as PFOA. Like PFNA, PFOA administration causes considerable splenic atrophy and a concomitant decrease in the number of splenocytes [13]. In addition, the CD4−CD8+ double-positive population in thymus is more sensitive to PFOA than other thymic populations, which is consistent with the effects of PFNA (Figure 5). PFOA also diminishes immune function as assessed by IgM production in the plaque-forming colony assay [14,15]. In contrast to PFNA and PFOA, PFOS does not decrease spleen and thymus weights in Sprague-Dawley rats or B6C3F1 mice [16,17]. The current studies in male and female C57BL/6 mice are consistent with previous observations of toxicity in lymphoid organs by PFNA in male Sprague-Dawley rats and male BALB/c mice [12,18,19]. Collectively, the present studies indicate that PFNA-mediated immunotoxicity resembles that of other PFCs, in particular, PFOA.

Previous studies indicate that a number of PFCs, including PFOA and PFOS, cause peroxisome proliferation in hepatocytes, which is associated with activation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor

Figure 7: Decrease in the percentage of CD14+ cells in spleen of male and female PFNA-treated mice. Unfractionated splenocytes were washed, incubated with fluorochrome-conjugated antibodies and analyzed by flow cytometry. The cells are gated on the CD4−CD8−CD19− population. A representative histogram of CD14+ cells is depicted from VH-treated (shown in thin gray line) and PFNA-treated (shown in heavy black line) (A) male and (B) female mice. (C) Graphical representation of CD14+ cell percentage with respect to the whole splenocyte population. The cells were gated on those that were CD4−CD8−CD19−. The data are presented as mean ± SE. * denotes p<0.05 as compared to the vehicle (VH) control for the same gender. † denotes p<0.05 as compared between genders for the same treatment group.
by PFNA suggests that PFNA treatment primes CD14+ phagocytes for an inflammatory response. This may due to the marked decrease in the rate of leukocyte apoptosis involving the Fas death receptor signaling pathway in rat testis. Toxicol Lett 190: 224-230.
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The average serum concentration of PFNA in the U.S. population is approximately 1.5 ng/ml [24,25]. However, it is important to note that there is significant interindividual variability in PFNA serum levels, ranging from 5 to 50 times higher than the mean, due to numerous factors, such as age, race and gender, among other factors [24,26]. It has also been reported that populations living near PFC manufacturing facilities have significantly higher PFC blood concentrations than the national mean in the U.S., which reach as high as 300 times greater than average in certain communities [27]. Occupational exposure of workers within the manufacturing facility is potentially even higher. Although an epidemiological study on the effects of occupational PFNA exposure on blood lipids and liver enzyme activity has been published, the blood concentrations of PFNA in exposed workers was not reported in this study [28]. Given that PFNA blood concentrations have recently doubled over the course of 6 years in the U.S., all of these factors are of potential concern [29]. Indeed, given that PFNA blood concentrations in other industrialized countries are reported to be higher than in the U.S., PFNA is a matter of global concern [30].
acid-induced immunomodulation in adult C57BL/6J or C57BL/6N female mice. Environ Health Perspect 116: 644-650.

16. Peden-Adams MM, Keller JM, Eudaly JG, Berger J, Gilkeson GS, et al. (2008) Suppression of humoral immunity in mice following exposure to perfluorocarbonsulfonate. Toxicol Sci 104: 144-154.

17. DE Lefebvre, I Curran, C Armstrong, L Coady, M Parenteau, et al. (2008) Immunomodulatory effects of dietary potassium perfluorocarbon sulfonate (PFOS) exposure in adult Sprague-Dawley rats. J Toxicol Environ Health A 71: 1516-1525.

18. Fang X, Feng Y, Shi Z, Dai J (2009) Alterations of cytokines and MAPK signaling pathways are related to the immunotoxic effect of perfluorooctanoic acid. Toxicol Sci 108: 367-376.

19. Fang X, Feng Y, Wang J, Dai J (2010) Perfluorooctanoic acid-induced apoptosis in rat spleen involves oxidative stress and the activation of caspase-independent death pathway. Toxicology 267: 54-59.

20. Intrasuksri U, Rangwala SM, O'Brien M, Noonan DJ, Felter DR (1998) Mechanisms of peroxisome proliferation by perfluorooctanoic acid and endogenous fatty acids. Gen Pharmacol 31: 187-197.

21. Vanden Heuvel JP, Thompson JT, Frame SR, Gilles PJ (2006) Differential activation of nuclear receptors by perfluorinated fatty acid analogs and natural fatty acids: a comparison of human, mouse, and rat peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-alpha, -beta, and -gamma, liver X receptor-beta, and retinoid X receptor-alpha. Toxicol Sci 92: 476-489.

22. Berthaumeand J, KB Wallace (2002) Perfluorooctanoate, perfluorocarbonsulfonate, and N-ethyl perfluorocarbonsulfonamidemethanol: peroxisome proliferation and mitochondrial biogenesis. Toxicol Lett 129: 23-32.

23. Yang Q, Xie Y, Alexson SE, Nelson BD, DePierre JW (2002) Involvement of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha in the immunomodulation caused by peroxisome proliferators in mice. Biochem Pharmacol 63: 1893-1900.

24. CDC (2012) The Fourth National Report on Human Exposure to Environmental Chemicals, National Report on Human Exposure to Environmental Chemicals, Centers of Disease Control, Department of Health and Human Services.

25. CDC (2009) The Fourth National Report on Human Exposure to Environmental Chemicals, National Report on Human Exposure to Environmental Chemicals, CDC, Department of Health and Human Services.

26. Schecter A, Malik-Bass N, Calafat AM, Kato K, Colacino JA, et al. (2012) Polyfluoroalkyl compounds in Texas children from birth through 12 years of age. Environ Health Perspect 120: 590-594.

27. Frisbee SJ, Brooks AP Jr, Maher A, Flensborg P, Arnold S, et al. (2009) The C8 health project: design, methods, and participants. Environ Health Perspect 117: 1873-1882.

28. Mundt DJ, Mundt KA, Luippold RS, Schmidt MD, Farr CH (2007) Clinical epidemiological study of employees exposed to surfactant blend containing perfluorooctanoic acid. Occup Environ Med 64: 589-594.

29. Calafat AM, Wong LY, Kuklenyik Z, Reidy JA, Needham LL (2007) Polyfluoroalkylchemicals in the U.S. population: data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2003-2004 and comparisons with NHANES 1999-2000. Environ Health Perspect 115: 1596-1602.

30. Takagi S, Yoshida J, Adachi F (2012) Concentrations of Perfluorinated Compounds in Tap Water and Human Serum from Osaka, Japan. Interdisciplinary Studies on Environmental Chemistry-Environmental Pollution and Ecotoxicology 6: 249-251.