Effectiveness of depuration of Pacific Oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*): removal of bioaccumulated *Vibrio vulnificus* by UV-treatment
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**Abstract** The present study aimed to evaluate the efficacy of a depuration system equipped with UV-irradiation to control *Vibrio vulnificus* infection such as septicemia (or sepsis) using alive oysters. After 6 h of bioaccumulation of *V. vulnificus*, Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* were found to be contaminated by > 8.0 log MPN/g of *V. vulnificus* cells. After 60 h of depuration, the *V. vulnificus* cell number significantly decreased to < 4.0 log MPN/g. The present depuration process meets the standard effectiveness in reducing *V. vulnificus* cells by > 3.5 log and < 30 MPN/g as recommended by the National Shellfish Sanitization Procedure Molluscan Shellfish Control guidelines. Furthermore, no significant changes in pH value and glycogen content indicate that the depuration process did not affect the freshness and quality of the oyster samples. The present study could help control any potential infection associated with the consumption of raw oysters without losing their quality.
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**Introduction**

Shellfishes mainly growing in the coastal areas are easily prone to microbial contamination by foodborne pathogens growing in various decomposed and fecal matters originated from residential areas, livestock farms, wildlife waste, and rainfall events (Oliveira et al., 2011). As a result of cultivation or harvesting the shellfish in the polluted region (polluted by partially treated or non-treated human effluent), there is a high risk of contamination by several pathogenic microbes (Copat et al., 2013). Consumption of microbially contaminated shellfish is known to be associated with many foodborne diseases. Due to the shellfish
filter feeder characteristic, there is a high chance of concentration of pathogenic microorganisms in their intestine (Lees et al., 2000). Furthermore, the traditional ways of raw oysters consumption can contribute to the major causes of foodborne diseases (Robert et al., 1997). Several strategies have been proposed to prevent the foodborne pathogenic infection by intake of microbially contaminated oysters such as (i) control of sanitation in the shellfish growing area as well as in the production stages as recommended by National Shellfish Sanitation Programs (NSSP), (ii) relaying contaminated shellfish in the clean area to meet guideline or regulation after harvesting (U.S. FDA., 2019), and (iii) depuration of contaminated shellfish using clean water (Lees et al., 2010). If the shellfish exceeds the reference values of microbial load, the natural relaying or depuration process would be carried out to fit the reference values (McLeod et al., 2017). Sterilized seawater has been widely used in many harvesting fields such as oysters and bivalves for depuration (Phuvasate et al., 2012).

Furthermore, NSSP recommends that fecal microorganisms such as coliform should not be detected in water used for depuration. And in the NSSP, for the validation of post-harvest processing, the initial reduction of Vibrio Vulnificus was recommended to be 3.52 log and 30 MPN/g (NSSP, 2019). Thus, it is cleared that several countries have been reported to apply the depuration process for maintaining hygienically safe seafood products (Lee et al., 2008). However, in the Republic of Korea, there are no such specific regulations and guidelines systems available for the application of the relaying and depuration process of the microbially contaminated shellfish.

A variety of foodborne pathogenic bacteria are detected commonly from seafood or shellfish products; however, Vibrio vulnificus is one of the marine bacterium associated with foodborne diseases (Oliveira et al., 2011). This bacterium causes foodborne illnesses with symptoms such as vomiting, diarrhea, and abdominal pain resulting from eating contaminated fish or seafood. Reports also showed that V. vulnificus infection often causes fatal diseases such as sepsis in those suffering from chronic liver disease or weak immune systems, or wounded skin. In severe V. vulnificus, sepsis cases might be lead to death (Horsemann et al., 2011). Also, V. parahaemolyticus is less hazardous than V. vulnificus, because symptoms caused by V. parahaemolyticus are milder than V. vulnificus infection. Hence, to prevent the foodborne diseases associated with microbially contaminated oyster consumption, there is an urgent need to develop approaches or methods to reduce or depurate the oyster sample contaminated by V. vulnificus. Thupila et al. (2011) and various studies have used shellfish samples homogenized into minced or paste form to control and reduce microbiological contamination in shellfish. However, minced or pasted oysters are not suitable as a model to evaluate the real behaviors of microbes in shellfish samples during the depuration process. Besides, few reports on the depuration of alive oysters samples were microbially contaminated, especially with V. vulnificus (Corrêa et al., 2007; Croci et al., 2002; Oh et al., 2012; Ramos et al., 2012). Therefore, the present study aims to develop a strategy of in vivo bioaccumulation of V. vulnificus in the live oyster samples and investigating the effectiveness of the depuration process equipped with ultraviolet (UV)-irradiation.

Materials and methods

Bacterial cultures and mediums

The bacterial strain used in this study was V. vulnificus KCCM 41665, obtained from the Korean Culture Center of Microorganisms (KCCM, Seoul, Korea). This strain was cultivated in Luria–Bertani (LB; Difco Laboratories Inc., Detroit, USA) broth supplemented with 1.5% NaCl and 35 °C temperature for the growth.

Bioaccumulation and depuration of oyster samples

Alive Pacific oysters Crassostrea gigas were purchased from the aquaculture farm located at Tong-Yeong, Gyeong-sang-namdo, and the Republic of Korea. Oysters with a weight of 49.3 ± 5.4 g, length of 9.7 ± 1.2 cm, and a width of 4.9 ± 2.4 cm were sampled from November 2018 to February 2019 and transported under keeping it at less than 10 °C within 4 h. Oysters samples were washed briefly with tap water to remove mud from the shell and placed in a rectangular high-density polyethylene (HDPE) tank (50 × 55 × 60 cm) containing 100 L of artificial seawater (ASW; salinity, 35 psu). Sixty oysters were then placed in a perforated plastic basket placed in the tank 90 mm above from the bottom (Fig. 1). ASW was prepared by dissolving 1:1 (w/w) of KENT artificial salt (KENT Marine, CA, USA) and sea salt (Hanju Salt., Co., Ltd, Ulsan, Korea) in tap water. The tank’s temperature was maintained at 15 °C for 14–16 h before contaminating it with V. vulnificus. This temperature was kept constant using a water cooler circulated with a flow of 8 L/min using a pump. For the inoculation of V. vulnificus into the tank, 1 L of the pre-cultured medium was centrifuged at 10,000 × g for 30 min and removed supernatant. After that, the pellet was resuspension in 100 mL of artificial seawater, and inoculation was performed into the tank. Finally, V. vulnificus cell was inoculated into the tank with a final level of approximately 10⁶ CFU/mL. The tank was
equipped with a circulation pump, therefore, the inoculated *V. vulnificus* spread evenly.

The spray bar was used to keep dissolved oxygen (DO) levels to survive oysters and feed intake. After the bioaccumulation, oysters were removed from the tank and placed in the depuration tank containing 100 L of filtered and UV-sterilized ASW. In parallel, a similar experiment was mimicked free from contamination with *V. vulnificus* as a control.

In the present study, the depuration process was carried out at a small-scale shellfish purification described by Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) with a slight modification (Lee et al., 2008). ASW for depuration was recirculating with a flow rate of 8 L/min to ensure sufficient DO level in the water as described above. The UV-sterilized systems consist of a UV-tube (ø 4 cm, length 35 cm, thickness 0.2 cm, HANJIN QUARTZ CO., Seoul, Korea) housed inside a quartz sleeve. Low-pressure mercury vapour UV-lamps (AS ONE Corporation, Osaka, Japan) produce 85% of their light in the UV-C range at 253.7 nm, which was the wavelength at which the highest germicidal activity reported (Ashrae et al., 2016). The total dose of UV irradiation applied for the irradiation was calculated to be 13.00 mJ/cm². The total dose of UV-lamp irradiation was calculated considering the light source value of 15.8 mW, irradiation length is 2 cm, and irradiation time is 3.29 s. The irradiation time was calculated using the water flow rate (8 L/min) and the area of the pipe including the UV-lamp (439.6 cm²). ASW is passed parallel to the tube within a jacket of stainless steel or polyvinyl chloride (PVC). The cell population of *V. vulnificus* in oysters was analyzed (detailed described below) during the bioaccumulation and depuration process.

**Microbiological analysis**

After the bioaccumulation and depuration process, harvested oysters were rinsed and then opened aseptically using a sterile oyster-shucking knife. Six oyster meats, each with 50 g, were aseptically transferred to sterile bags containing 450 mL of 0.1 M phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; pH 7.0 containing 2% NaCl). The homogenization of the oyster meat was carried out using a stomacher (Bag Mixer 400VW; Interscience Inc., Saint Nom, France) for...
2 min. The viable cell count was determined by a standard plate count (SPC) method and the most probable number (MPN) method combined with polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (Luan et al., 2008). For determining the total viable cell count using the SPC method, the homogenized samples were serially diluted with 0.1 M PBS and spread plated on LB-Na agar. All agar plates were incubated at 35 °C for 18 to 24 h. Similarly, in the MPN-PCR method, the population of V. vulnificus in oysters was analyzed by a slightly modified method as reported in the Bacteriological Analytical Manual, U.S. Food and Drug Administration (Jang et al., 2018; U.S. FDA, 2019). The homogenized and serially diluted samples were individually inoculated into 3-tubes containing alkaline peptone water (APW; pH 8.4, Difco Laboratories Inc.) and incubated at 35 °C for 12 ± 2 h. One loopful of enriched APW culture from a turbid tube was streaked onto individual thiosulfate citrate bile salts-sucrose agar (TCBS; Difco Laboratories Inc.) for the detection of V. vulnificus colonies. All plates were incubated at 35 °C for 18–24 h. Colonies that appeared round and green on TCBS were considered as positive for the V. vulnificus. Next, colony PCR was performed to identify V. vulnificus joyous bands, and the cell population of V. vulnificus was then determined using an MPN sheet (U.S. FDA, 2019). Primer designs and PCR conditions for specific V. vulnificus amplification were performed according to the previous report (Panicker et al., 2004). The specific primers were modified from V. vulnificus vvh gene, which is as follows (Wang et al., 2003): sense: 5'-CAGCCCCGACGTCGTCAATTTTG-3'; antisense: 5'-ATGAGTAAGCGTCGACGCGT-3'. PCR was performed at the condition as an initial denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min, denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s, annealing at 60 °C for 30 s, elongation at 72 °C for 30 s, and final elongation at 72 °C for 10 min.

**Freshness analysis of oysters**

Shucked oysters were mixed and homogenized with distilled water at a ratio of 1:10 (w/v). The pH value was measured by pH meter (Orion 3 star; Thermo Scientific Inc., Fort Collins, CO). Glycogen content was determined according to the method described by Cicik and Engin (Cicik et al., 2005). Briefly, shucked oyster samples (0.5 g) were mixed with 5 mL of 30% KOH, sequentially boiled at 95 °C for 20 min. After boiling, 0.5 mL of saturated Na2SO3 solution and 5 mL of ethanol was added and boiled for 15 min at 95 °C. After centrifugation (1259 × g for 10 min), the precipitate was added with 2 mL of deionized water and 2.5 mL of ethanol and further centrifuged at 1259 × g for 10 min. Additionally, to completely dissolve the precipitate, 2 mL of 5 M HCl was added and eventually neutralized with 0.5 M NaOH. The final neutralized samples (10 mL) were mixed with 5 mL of 0.2% anthrone-sulfate solution (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA). The mixture was then heated at 95 °C for 10 min, and the absorbance was measured at 620 nm using the spectrophotometer (UV mini-1240; Shimadzu, Tokyo, Japan). Glycogen content was determined by calibration curves using different concentration of glucose as the standard material and multiplied by the glycogen conversion factor of 0.9.

**Statistical analysis**

All the experiments were performed in triplicates. Data were averaged, and the standard deviation was determined. Multiple comparisons were evaluated by two-way analysis of variance using SPSS ver. 25.0 statistical software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA). Significant differences between means were determined using Duncan’s multiple range tests (Duncan et al., 1955). The statistical significance differences of each data were verified at a significance level of 5% (p < 0.05).

**Results and discussion**

**Preparation of alive oyster model samples bioaccumulated by V. vulnificus**

As shown in Fig. 2A, the cell populations of V. vulnificus bioaccumulated in the oysters rapidly increased and reached a maximum value of > 8.0 log MPN/g after 6 h, and this population level of the cell was found to be constant until 12 h. There was no indigenous population of V. vulnificus in the collected samples of alive oysters before the start of bioaccumulation (Fig. 2B). Chae et al. (2009) reported that Vibrio spp. rarely can be detected in seawater, which was maintained below 15 °C temperatures. The collected oyster samples were not naturally contaminated by V. vulnificus since the seawater temperature was below 15 °C as observed during the harvesting season (average temperature was ranged in 8–10 °C from November 2018 to February 2019 in South Korea). Thus, V. vulnificus is successfully bioaccumulated inside the oyster, and the cell population tends to be maintained thereafter. These results were consistent with the previous reports that bacterial cells were accumulated in shellfish by the bioaccumulation process (Correa et al., 2007; Kwon et al., 2011). Dore et al. (1995) also reported that the initial bacterial population rapidly increased and was continuously maintained without employing the relaying or depuration process.
Reduction of bioaccumulated *V. vulnificus* by depuration equipped with UV-irradiation

After 1 h of the depuration process, the viable cell count of *V. vulnificus* was found to be 8.91 log MPN/g in the bioaccumulated oysters, and values were found to be significantly decreasing during the long period of the depuration process. After 60 h depuration, the viable cell count of *V. vulnificus* was reduced by 3.8 log MPN/g in the bioaccumulated oysters (Fig. 2B). However, after 60 h depuration, a significant reduction of viable cell count has not occurred. According to the National Shellfish Sanitation Program (NSSP) guide for the Control of Molluscan Shellfish, the reduction of > 3.52 log is an initial required value of post-harvest validation (NSSP, 2019). Thus, the reduction of viable cell count of *V. vulnificus* in the present study qualifies the standard guideline proposed by NSSP. The previous report showed that the UV-irradiation based depuration was effective in the reduction (98% within 48 h) of *Escherichia coli* from the bioaccumulated oysters and mussels (Dore et al., 1995). Besides, several studies also reported similar depuration effectiveness that can eliminate the harmful fecal bacteria such as *E. coli*, although less effective for *Vibrio* spp. (Croci et al., 2002; Sorio et al., 2017).

Previous reports showed that the total viable cell counts in bioaccumulated oysters decreased progressively during the depuration (Otwell et al., 2018). Hence, we also checked the total viable cell counts in the bioaccumulated oysters. The lowest viable cell count was observed after 72 h of depuration with a value of 3.43 log CFU/g in bioaccumulated oyster samples (Fig. 2B). However, above 72 h of depuration, no significant changes were seen as the viable cell count range from 3.43 to 3.67 log CFU/g. A similar result was observed in the non-bioaccumulated oyster with total viable cell counts range from 3.04 to 4.59 CFU/g were found over the periods of depuration (Fig. 2C). The total viable cell counts in oysters were found almost similar to the MPN results of *V. vulnificus* during the depuration. These results strongly suggest that no cross-contamination has occurred during depuration, which indicates that UV-irradiation effectively sterilized the water supply for the depuration in the tank system (Sorio et al., 2017). According to the present study, results show that live bioaccumulated oysters were continuously depurated in the circulation-tank. This tank has a continuous circulation system and this circulation process includes a sterilization process using UV-light. Therefore, *V. vulnificus* contaminated artificial seawater is effectively sterilized by UV-lights, and the sterilized artificial seawater is continuously provided to the live oysters. In addition, oysters have characteristics of the filter-feeder, which influences the reducing cell population of bioaccumulated *V. vulnificus* in the oyster.

Changes in oyster freshness factors (pH and glycogen) during depuration

Various complex factors such as physiological, individual differences, seawater turbidity, salinity, pH, temperature, immersion depth, and dissolved oxygen level are all influential during the depuration process of shellfish (Lees et al., 2010). Besides, according to Otwell et al. (2018), the depuration process also tends to decrease the qualities of
oysters due to the impact of stress forces on the shellfish. Hence, the present study also investigated the effect of depuration on the quality of oysters, especially freshness factors such as pH and glycogen. pH is an essential factor used as an indicator to determine the freshness of oysters (Pottinger et al., 1948). Oysters contain a high amount of glycogen that undergoes glycolysis and results in lactate production which may decrease the pH after harvesting and during the time of storage and distribution (Cao et al., 2009; Park et al., 2006). As shown in Fig. 3A, no significant pH changes were observed in the bioaccumulated (6.51–6.62) and non-bioaccumulated (6.47–6.66) oyster samples during the depuration. Thus the pH level of the oysters was found to be constant regardless of the bioaccumulation and depuration process of V. vulnificus. According to the previous study, the pH range of fresh oysters was found in the range of 6.3–6.5 (Jeong et al., 2015; Son et al., 2014). It has also been reported that the pH level of the oyster can qualify its freshness such as; 6.3 or higher was “Very good,” 6.2–5.9 was “good,” 5.8 was “off,” 5.7–5.5 was “musty,” and 5.2 or less was judged as “sour” or “putrid” (Pottinger et al., 1948; Son et al., 2014). Considering the above results, it is concluded that the freshness of oysters in the present study was not affected by the bioaccumulation and depuration process.

As described above, glycogen is the most abundant carbohydrate in oysters and is considered another important factor in determining the freshness and food quality of oysters (Son et al., 2014). Hence, during the depuration process, the changes in glycogen contents were also determined. As shown in Fig. 3B, no significant changes in glycogen content were observed in both bioaccumulated (1.08–1.12 g/100 g) and non-bioaccumulated (1.08–1.09 g/100 g) oyster during the depuration process. Thus, no changes in glycogen content were found to be similar to the no change of pH levels in the oysters during the depuration process, as expected. These results were consistent with the previous report which described that the depuration process did not affect the glycogen content of oysters (Huntley et al., 1971). These results strongly suggested that the bioaccumulation of V. vulnificus to the oysters and the depuration process do not affect the freshness and quality of oysters.

In conclusion, the present study showed the development of V. vulnificus bioaccumulated shellfish model using alive oysters. The bioaccumulated live oysters were depurated in an HDPE-tank equipped with UV-irradiation. A significant cell number of V. vulnificus in oysters was found to reduce during the depuration process, which satisfied the reduction point of depuration efficiency suggested by the NSSP guideline. Furthermore, no significant changes in pH and glycogen contents were observed, indicating that the freshness and quality of the oyster were unaffected during the depuration process. These results strongly suggest that the depuration process using UV-irradiation is very useful to purify oysters that have been bioaccumulated or contaminated by V. vulnificus without losing its freshness and quality. This finding may also contribute to developing a shellfish purification system that considers the effects of environmental factors such as temperature, salinity, and pH.
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