An introduction of a new generation of Proticles
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Introduction

MicroRNAs (miRs) used as active ingredients were put more often into spotlight these days. In their application field, of course, there have to be some obstacles to overcome: On one hand there is the low bioavailability and cellular uptake and on the other hand affinity for enzymatic degradation (Blanco et al., 2015). Therefore, protamine-oligonucleotide-nanoparticles, so-called Proticles, basically consisting of miRs and protamine, a cationic peptide, represent a new generation of particulate (NP) Drug Delivery Systems (DDS) to deal with that challenges (Scheicher et al., 2015). Proticles are formed by a self-assembling mechanism due to electrostatic interactions between the positively charged protamine and the negatively charged miR (Junghans et al., 2000). To mediate the miR release profile and decrease the strength of the electrostatic interactions the strategy of functionalizing NPs with citric acid (CA) was investigated.

Materials and methods

Materials

miR miRIDIAN™ microRNA mimic negative control was purchased from Dharmacon (Lafayette, Colorado), protamine (free base) from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, Missouri) and citric acid from Caesar & Loretz GmbH (Hilden, Germany).

Nanoparticle preparation

All NPs were prepared in aqueous solutions by mixing miR and protamine, final miR concentration was 50 µg/mL. The mass ratio of miR and protamine (1:3) was determined experimentally (data not shown). Aqueous CA solution was added to the protamine solution in molar ratios from 1:1 to 1:20 before it was put to the miR solution.

Nanoparticle characterization

By using photon correlation spectroscopy (PCS) (Zetasure Nano Series, Malvern Instruments, Herrenberg, Germany) the physicochemical properties of the different NP formulations were determined. Results are expressed as hydrodynamic particle diameter (Z-average), polydispersity index (PDI), in correlation to particle size distribution (PSD), and the surface charge as Zetapotential, which is an indicator for NP stability.

For further stability studies we developed a stability assay to determine the decrease in stability by adding 50 µL 0.01 M NaOH to the NP solutions and detect the total number of remaining NPs afterwards with PCS. The Proticle dispersions were prepared as described before and afterwards treated with 0.01 M NaOH and incubated for 1 h in a thermomixer (60 °C; 1000 rpm) before the assay.

Drug loading

The drug loading efficiencies were determined by an indirect quantification method using reversed
phase high performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC; Agilent 1260 Infinity, Agilent technologies, Santa Clara, California). An Agilent PLRP-S 50 x 2.1 mm column (3 µm, 300 Å) was used at 80 °C. Mobile phase A was 0.1 M Triethylammonium acetate buffer (TEAA), whereas mobile phase B was acetonitrile. A gradient was used with a flowrate of 0.2 mL/min. It was starting with 5% B for 1 min and increased to 35% B in 7 min afterwards. For detection a diode array UV absorption detector at 254 nm was used. The NP dispersions were centrifuged for two hours at 4 °C and 20 000 rcf, 10 µL of the supernatant was injected for quantification. Bound miR was calculated as the difference between the amount of used miR and the detected miR in percentage.

**Results and discussion**

**Nanoparticle characterization**

The addition of CA to the Proticles had no influence on their size (~110 nm) except sample 1:5. It is believed that this molar ratio totally neutralizes the positive functions of protamine which lead to a kind of steady state. However, the PDIs (<0.2) represent monodisperse NP populations as well as stable DDSs. The Zetapotential of the NPs without any CA was 31.32±1.32 mV. By increasing the CA content, a decreasing trend was observed, which correlates with a decrease in stability. Interestingly, the result of the twentyfold surplus is increasing again which can be explained by rising protonation of the system. The detected derived count rate (dCR) of NP dispersions is expressed as percentage of remaining NPs after the treatment with 0.01 M NaOH. As a 100% reference the dCR of Proticles without any CA and an addition of H₂O instead of NaOH was taken. The results highlight a significant decrease (p<0.05) in number of NPs which correlates with a decrease in stability. These formulations, which contain a ten- or twentyfold surplus of CA represent the lowest results and therefore the biggest lost in stability (~94% loss). One possible explanation for this observation could be protonation. Based on high proton concentrations due to acid addition the NPs started to repulse each other and therefore the interaction between the NPs is lower than for NPs with less acid functions.

**Drug loading**

With a RP-HPLC system the drug load capacity of the Proticles was visualized and determined. Therefore, all tested formulations presented a drug load of >92%, no differences due to CA ratios could be found. These results give us important information about the preparation procedure – which seems to be well working - and the possible capacity of the advanced Proticles concerning drug load and transport efficacy. High binding affinities may help to improve a successful and sufficient dissociation rate of miR in further experiments.

**Conclusion**

The aim of this work was to characterize advanced Proticles, which basically consist of the positively charged protamine and a negatively charged miR supplemented with CA. The formation of NPs occurs immediately by mixing the protamine solution and the miR solution due to electrostatic interaction between the two components. We observed no difference in particle size, except NPs with a fivefold surplus of CA. A monodisperse PSD over all formulations was found. Due to increasing CA ratios the Zetapotential was decreasing, which lead to the assumption that higher CA contents destabilize the Proticles. These findings could be supported with the results of the self-developed NaOH assay. By applying NaOH to the NPs a statistically significant (p<0.05) stability reduction (up to ~94 %) was observed due to addition of CA.

A miR binding capacity of >92 % independent from CA ratios has been found, which demonstrated a well working and robust preparing procedure. All in all, this new approach of DDSs constitutes a very promising candidate to deal with the difficulties of miR drug delivery and cellular drug release.
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