Thin and thick cloud top height retrieval algorithm with the Infrared Camera and LIDAR of the JEM-EUSO Space Mission
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Abstract. The origin of cosmic rays have remained a mystery for more than a century. JEM-EUSO is a pioneer space-based telescope that will be located at the International Space Station (ISS) and its aim is to detect Ultra High Energy Cosmic Rays (UHECR) and Extremely High Energy Cosmic Rays (EHECR) by observing the atmosphere. Unlike ground-based telescopes, JEM-EUSO will observe from upwards, and therefore, for a properly UHECR reconstruction under cloudy conditions, a key element of JEM-EUSO is an Atmospheric Monitoring System (AMS). This AMS consists of a space qualified bi-spectral Infrared Camera, that will provide the cloud coverage and cloud top height in the JEM-EUSO Field of View (FoV) and a LIDAR, that will measure the atmospheric optical depth in the direction it has been shot. In this paper we will explain the effects of clouds for the determination of the UHECR arrival direction. Moreover, since the cloud top height retrieval is crucial to analyze the UHECR and EHECR events under cloudy conditions, the retrieval algorithm that fulfills the technical requirements of the Infrared Camera of JEM-EUSO to reconstruct the cloud top height is presently reported.

1 Introduction

Although cosmic rays have been discovered over one century ago, still their origin remains unknown. JEM-EUSO (Extreme Universe Space Observatory on-board the Japanese Experiment Module) is a pioneer space-based experiment meant to detect Ultra High Energy Cosmic Rays (UHECR) and Extremely High Energy Cosmic Rays (EHECR). It will observe the Extensive Air Showers (EAS) produced in the atmosphere when the UHECR and EHECR interact with the atmospheric nuclei.

To estimate the physical properties of the UHECR such as energy, arrival direction and its composition, the EAS fluorescence light profile and the EAS Cherenkov light profile has to be measured. Since JEM-EUSO will cover a very large observation area in the atmosphere (~ 10^5 km²), a properly monitoring of the atmospheric conditions is mandatory. The Atmospheric Monitoring System (AMS) [1] of JEM-EUSO will consist of a bi-spectral and space qualified Infrared Camera and a LIDAR (LIght Detection And Ranging). The bi-spectral IR camera gives the cloud coverage in the FoV of the main telescope. With the difference in the brightness temperature in the IR bands and a radiative retrieval model, the clouds altitude is provided. Thanks to the laser back-scatter signal, the optical depth (τ) profiles of the atmosphere in selected directions are measured (detecting aerosol and cloud layers).

To increase the statistics of the detected EAS, JEM-EUSO may use certain triggered events observed in cloudy scenarios [2]. The main criteria to classify cloudy events as "reconstructible events" is the detection of the depth of maximum development. The shower maximum will be observable in cloudy conditions if τ < 1 (the cloud is optically thin) or if H_{max} > H_c (i.e., the altitude of the shower maximum is higher than the cloud top height) [3], [4].

In this work we focus into two main features of the EAS reconstruction in cloudy conditions: the EAS geometry analysis and the reconstruction of the cloud top height.

2 Shower geometry in cloudy conditions

EAS signal is detected as a spot moving on the focal surface of the JEM-EUSO main telescope. To analyse the direction of the incoming shower, the direction can be understood as the composition of two projections: the azimuthal angle, contained in the focal plane, and the zenith angle, contained in the track detector plane (the plane which contains the shower track and the detector). The former is calculated with the projection of the image of the shower in the main telescope. With the difference in the brightness temperature in the IR bands and a radiative retrieval model, the clouds altitude is provided. Thanks to the laser back-scatter signal, the optical depth (τ) profiles of the atmosphere in selected directions are measured (detecting aerosol and cloud layers).
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be calculated thanks to this timing fit (Figure 1).

**Figure 1.** Scheme of the geometrical relations used for the shower geometry.

where $D_{JE}$ is the distance from JEM-EUSO to the ground, $D_1$ is the distance between the beginning of the shower to the telescope, $D_2$ is the distance from the telescope to the EAS core, $L$ is the shower length, $\gamma$ is the angular distance between the center of the FoV and the EAS core, and $t_i$ is the time at which the photons have been created. From the scheme it is clear that:

$$D_2 = \frac{D_{JE} \cos(\gamma)}{c}$$

(1)

For simplicity we assume that the first EAS photon is emitted at $t_1 = 0$ seconds. Then, the relation between the time and the zenith angle will be given by:

$$(\zeta_1 - \zeta_2) = \frac{D_2 + l - D_1}{c}$$

(2)

Which can be expressed as a function of $\gamma$, $\alpha$ and $\theta$:

$$(\zeta_1 - \zeta_2) = \frac{1}{c} \cdot \frac{D_{JE}}{\cos(\gamma)} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{\sin(\alpha) - \sin(\gamma + \theta)}{\sin(\alpha + \gamma + \theta)}\right)$$

(3)

For showers landing on the center of the FoV ($\gamma = 0$), this equation is simplified to Eq. [4].

$$(\zeta_1 - \zeta_2) = \frac{D_{JE}}{c} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{\sin(\alpha) - \sin(\theta)}{\sin(\alpha + \theta)}\right)$$

(4)

In Figure 2 it has been represented the viewing angle as a function of time for a proton induced shower with a zenith angle of $60^\circ$ and an azimuthal angle of $45^\circ$ landing on the center of the FoV in three different cases: in clear atmosphere (upper panel), in presence of an optically thin cloud (intermediate panel), and in presence of an optically thick cloud whose cloud top height is slightly below the shower maximum (lower panel). The signal has been fitted with the model [4]. For the optically thin cloud case, even if some few photons have been scattered due to the cloud and their trajectory has changed, the track of the shower is still well defined. On the other hand, in the case of the optically thick cloud the signal after the cloud has been lost. However, a good fitting can be achieved with the part of the signal from above the cloud.

In Figure 3 it has been represented the projection in the focal plane has been represented. To obtain the value of the azimuthal angle, one needs just to calculate the arctangent of the slope of this projection. As in the previous case, for the optically thin cloud case (intermediate panel), even if some few photons have been scattered, shower track projection is still well defined. On the other hand, in the case of the optically thick cloud (lower panel) the signal after the cloud has been vanished. However, a good fitting can be achieved with projection of the signal from above the cloud.

Thus, we can assume that angular reconstruction is feasible for the previously called "reconstructible" cases (i.e., the cloud is optically thin with $\tau < 1$, or the alti-
3 Cloud Top Height Retrieval algorithm

We can parametrize the total extinction coefficient ($\epsilon$) in terms of the Ice Water Content (IWC) and on the fixed ice size distribution [7]. Being $\beta_{ground}$ the irradiance of the ground at a certain temperature, and $\beta_{cloud}$, the irradiance of the cloud at another temperature (following an atmospheric temperature profile), we can calculate the detected irradiance by the IR-Camera as:

$$\beta = \beta_{ground} \cdot (1 - \epsilon) + \beta_{cloud} \cdot \epsilon$$

(5)

In Figure 4 it has been plotted, for a cloud of 500 m thickness at 3 km altitude, how the integrated extinction coefficient in the near infrared varies with the ice water content of the cloud. Another cloud, has been modeled at 5 km, and the reconstruction performance is calculated for both cases. In this paper, we have used an US-STD atmosphere temperature profile.

3.1 LIDAR simulation

For the same ice water content and the same fixed ice size distribution, we have simulated the extinction coefficient for the LIDAR wavelength, and the backscattered signal that JEM-EUSO would detect to understand the capability of the LIDAR to measure the cloud optical depth [6]. Figure 5 shows an example of the simulated backscatter LIDAR signal, at different IWC concentrations for a cloud of 3 km altitude and a physical thickness of 500 m. In our simulation, the LIDAR resolution would be ±2GTUs, which corresponds to an altitude of 1500 m for a LIDAR signal that has been shot vertically. A more comprehensive simulation and analysis of both LIDAR, and Infrared Camera is under development.

To model the atmosphere we have considered an hydrostatic approximation expressed in equation [6]:

$$\frac{dp}{dz} = -\frac{\rho}{g}$$

(6)

Where $\rho$ is the density, $z$ is the height and $g$ is the gravity constant. If we integrate last equation, and replace $\rho$ with the ideal gas law definition $p = \rho \times \frac{R}{M} \times T$, the pressure can be written as:

$$p = p_0 \times e^{\frac{Mz}{R}}$$

(7)
As stated in the previous section, the LIDAR will provide us the altitude of the cloud area seen by a certain pixel, where the LIDAR has been shot. Then we use this information, together with the temperature of the cloud retrieved by the Infrared Camera, to calculate the adiabatic constant that we need in our model. To find out the altitude of the cloud seen by the rest of the IR camera pixels, we apply the adiabatic model with the obtained adiabatic constant and we use the temperature of the different pixels. In the results presented in this paper, we have simulated a LIDAR shoot at an IWC=100mg/m³, then calculate the adiabatic constant and reconstruct the rest of the cloud.

4 Summary and conclusions

JEM-EUSO is an space based telescope which will use the atmosphere to detect the Extensive Air Showers (EAS) produced by the Ultra High Energy Cosmic Rays (UHECR) when they interact with atmospheric particles. Since UHECR have a very low flux, it is important to increase the exposure of the telescope as much as possible. In this paper we prove how the arrival direction for events in presence of optically thin clouds is similar to that of the same event occurred in a clear atmosphere. Also, it is explained why it is possible to obtain the arrival direction of an EAS in presence of an optically thick cloud, if the shower maximum is visible, with a slightly worse resolution than the one we would get for the same event in a clear atmosphere.

To use events in cloudy conditions for our analysis, it is extremely important to measure the properties of the clouds in the FoV of JEM-EUSO. Moreover the radiometric retrieval algorithm that fulfills the technical requirement of the IR Camera of JEM-EUSO to obtain the top cloud height from the temperature measured by the IR camera, using a single LIDAR shot for calibration is reported. However, as showed in this analysis, it is clear that the adiabatic method approximation is far more accurate, than the use of the brightness temperature and the atmosphere profile.
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