Pain-related fear and functional recovery in sciatica: results from a 2-year observational study

OBJECTIVES: The purpose of this study was to explore the associations between pain-related fear, pain disability, and self-perceived recovery among patients with sciatica and disk herniation followed up for 2 years.

PATIENTS AND METHODS: Pain-related fear was measured by the Tampa Scale for Kinesiophobia (TSK) and the Fear-Avoidance Beliefs Questionnaire—Physical Activity (FABQ-PA) subscale. Disability was measured by the Maine–Seattle Back Questionnaire. At 2 years, patients reported their sciatica/back problem on a global change scale ranging from completely gone to much worse. No specific interventions regarding pain-related fear were provided.

RESULTS: Complete data were obtained for 372 patients. During follow-up, most patients improved. In those who at 2 years were fully recovered (n = 66), pain-related fear decreased substantially. In those who did not improve (n = 50), pain-related fear remained high. Baseline levels of pain-related fear did not differ significantly between those who were fully recovered and the rest of the cohort. In the total cohort, the correlation coefficients between the 0–2-year change in disability and the changes in the TSK and the FABQ-PA were 0.33 and 0.38, respectively. In the adjusted regression models, the 0–2-year change in pain-related disability explained 15% of the variance in the change in both questionnaires.

CONCLUSION: Pain-related fear decreased substantially in patients who recovered from sciatica and remained high in those who did not improve. Generally, the TSK and the FABQ-PA yielded similar results. To our knowledge, this is the first study that has assessed pain-related fear in patients who recover from sciatica.
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INTRODUCTION

The fear-avoidance model is a theoretical framework proposed to explain why some patients develop persistent disability following an experience of acute low back or sciatic pain. A central concept of this framework is fear of pain. It suggests that pain-related fear may lead to avoidance of physical activity or movements that aggravate or provoke the pain, trapping patients into a downward spiral of increasing disability and dysfunction. In contrast, if the pain experience is perceived in a nonthreatening manner, patients will confront and deal with it adaptively, thereby leading to recovery. However, the fear-avoidance model does not fully explain which mechanisms are involved when patients recover and exactly what forms of confrontation might be adaptive. Little attention has been given to what happens to pain-related fear in patients who recover from pain disability.
From a clinical standpoint, it is reasonable to expect that when pain disability improves, either spontaneously or due to effective treatment, pain-related fear will diminish. However, few studies have explored pain-related fear over time, and almost no data exist on patients who recover. Grotle et al followed an acute (<3 weeks) and a chronic (>3 months) cohort of patients with low back pain who reported similar levels of pain-related fear at baseline. In the acute cohort, in which pain and disability rapidly improved, pain-related fear diminished. In the chronic cohort, levels of pain and disability remained high, and pain-related fear did not diminish. Generally, pain-related fear has been shown to be more strongly related to self-reported disability than to pain intensity.

Among patients with low back pain, those with radiating pain in the leg, ie, sciatica, report more disability than those without radiating pain.6,7 Prospective studies indicate that a substantial number of patients with sciatica will improve within 1–2 years. Therefore, sciatica might be a useful condition to study the associations of pain-related fear with disability and self-perceived recovery. To our knowledge, no longitudinal studies on pain-related fear have been performed in sciatica.

A challenge in investigating the mechanisms involved in the fear-avoidance model is how to assess pain-related fear. Several questionnaires exist, all measuring somewhat different aspects, but it is not known whether one is more suitable than the others.12 The two most commonly used are the Tampa Scale for Kinesiophobia (TSK) and the Fear-Avoidance Beliefs Questionnaire (FABQ). The TSK aims to measure kinesiophobia, meaning fear of movement and (re)injury; the FABQ includes one subscale to measure fear regarding physical activity (FABQ-PA).

The purpose of the present study was to follow up patients with sciatica for 2 years in order to explore how pain-related fear evolves over time and its relationship with both pain disability and self-perceived recovery. We hypothesized that pain-related fear would decrease in patients improving from their sciatica and remain high or would increase in those who did not improve. Due to the uncertainty as to measuring pain-related fear, we applied both the FABQ-PA and the TSK.

**Patients and methods**

**Study population**

This was a 2-year follow-up study of patients with sciatica and disk herniation who had been referred to a back clinic in four hospitals in southeast Norway. Details have been presented elsewhere.15,16 The inclusion criteria were age >18 years and radiating pain in the leg below the knee or paresis caused by a magnetic resonance imaging- or computed tomography-verified disk herniation at the corresponding level and side. The exclusion criteria included pregnancy, tumor, infection, prior surgery at the affected disk level, and inability to communicate in written Norwegian.

**Procedures**

Patients were invited to participate in the study by the clinical staff and received treatment as usual. The consultation included information about the condition and general advice to stay active and use pain medication if necessary. No specific interventions regarding pain-related fear were given. In patients with severe symptoms, surgery was performed at the discretion of the individual surgeon. At inclusion, patients completed a comprehensive questionnaire. Patients were followed up by questionnaires sent by mail at 1 year and 2 years.

**Measurements**

Pain-related fear was measured using the TSK and the FABQ-PA. A validated Norwegian TSK version containing 13 items and four response categories (strongly disagree, disagree, agree, and strongly agree) was used.17 The sum score ranges from 13 to 52; higher scores indicate a greater degree of kinesiophobia. In this study, we subtracted 13 from the sum giving a total score range of 0–39. The FABQ-PA comprises four items, each of which is rated on a 7-point scale using verbal responses ranging from completely disagree (0) to completely agree (6). This gives a possible score of 0–24; a higher score indicates more fear-avoidance beliefs.

Pain-related disability was measured by the Maine–Seattle Back Questionnaire. The Maine–Seattle Back Questionnaire is an abbreviated version of the Patrick-modified 23-item Roland–Morris Disability Questionnaire designed for patients with sciatica and lumbar spinal stenosis. It contains 12 items of impairment and activity limitations due to leg or back pain within the same day. Each item is scored as yes (1) or no (0), yielding a possible score of 0–12; higher scores indicate greater disability. At 2 years, patients reported their sciatica/back problem on a global change scale of seven possible verbal responses (completely gone, much better, better, a little better, no change, a little worse, and much worse). Those who reported completely gone were categorized as recovered, and those who reported no change, a little worse, and much worse were categorized as no change/worse.
Analyses
The associations between pain-related fear and disability were analyzed by standardized response means (SRMs), correlation (Pearson’s r), and multivariate linear regression. The change scores of the variables were calculated as the scores at 2 years subtracted from the scores at baseline. SRMs were calculated by dividing the change scores by the SD of the change.21 Linear regression models were performed using changes in the TSK and the FABQ-PA as dependent variables and change in disability as the independent variable. The models were adjusted for age, sex, smoking, educational level, baseline pain-related fear, and baseline disability. Collinearity was assessed by the variance inflation factor; values <2.5 were considered acceptable.22 Differences in pain-related fear at baseline were analyzed by the Mann–Whitney U test; P-values <0.05 were considered significant. Missing items in the questionnaires were substituted with the arithmetic mean of values from the available items.23 All data analyses were performed using SPSS version 20.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA).

Ethics
The study protocol was approved by the Regional Committee for Medical Research Ethics, Oslo, Norway, and the Ombudsman for Privacy in Research at the Norwegian Social Science Data Services. All patients received both written and oral information about the study before participation and gave informed signed consent.

Results
In total, 466 patients were included in the study, of whom 86 (18.5%) patients did not respond at 2 years. Another three patients did not complete the TSK, and five patients did not complete the FABQ at baseline or at 2 years, giving complete data for 372 patients. Compared with those who completed the 2-year follow-up, the group of nonresponders was significantly younger, including more current smokers and fewer persons who were married/cohabitants. The baseline characteristics are shown in Table 1. In total, 117 (31%) patients received disk surgery.

Generally, disability decreased substantially during follow-up. Pain-related fear also decreased but to a lesser degree (Figure 1 and Table 1). Table 2 shows the scores for pain-related fear and disability at baseline, 1 year, and 2 years, according to perceived global change at 2 years. In total, 66 patients reported their sciatica/back problem to be completely gone and were categorized as recovered. A total of 50 patients were categorized as no change/worse: no change (n=31), a little worse (n=11), and much worse (n=8). In the patients who were recovered, the baseline scores on the TSK and the FABQ-PA did not differ significantly from the rest of the cohort. At 2 years, 16 (24%) of the recovered patients reported the lowest possible score on the TSK and 33 (50%) patients reported the lowest possible score on the FABQ-PA. In the no change/worse

Table I Demographic and clinical characteristics at baseline, 1 year, and 2 years

| Characteristic            | Baseline | 1 year | 2 years |
|---------------------------|----------|--------|---------|
| Males, n (%)              | 209 (56) | –      | –       |
| Age, years                | 44.6 (11.3) | –      | –       |
| Education, years          | 13 (3)   | –      | –       |
| Current smoker, n (%)     | 150 (41) | –      | –       |
| Married/cohabitant, n (%) | 280 (76) | –      | –       |
| Working full time, no sick leave, n (%) | 76 (20) | –      | –       |
| First sciatica episode, n (%) | 169 (46) | –      | –       |
| Duration current episode <3 months, n (%) | 160 (43) | –      | –       |
| Duration back problems >1 year, n (%) | 259 (70) | –      | –       |
| Leg paina                 | 63 (28)  | 26 (28) | 23 (27) |
| Back paina                | 42 (30)  | 28 (27) | 25 (24) |
| Pain-related disabilityb  | 8 (3)    | 4 (3)  | 4 (3)   |
| TSK                       | 14 (7)   | 11 (7) | 11 (8)  |
| FABQ-PA                   | 12 (5)   | 9 (6)  | 8 (6)   |

Notes: Values are mean (SD) if not stated otherwise. aVisual analog scale (0–100). bMaine–Seattle back questionnaire (0–24); TSK, Tampa Scale for Kinesiophobia (0–19); SD, standard deviation; –, data not available.

Abbreviations: FABQ-PA, Fear-Avoidance Beliefs Questionnaire-Physical Activity (0–24); TSK, Tampa Scale for Kinesiophobia (0–19); SD, standard deviation; –, data not available.

Figure 1 Pain-related fear and disability during 2 years follow-up.
Notes: Values are expressed as a percentage of the original scale. Error bars represent 95% CI.
Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; FABQ-PA, Fear-Avoidance Beliefs Questionnaire-Physical Activity; TSK, Tampa Scale for Kinesiophobia.
group, the pain-related fear scores were about the same at 2 years as at baseline.

The correlation coefficients between the 0–2-year change in disability and the changes in the TSK and the FABQ-PA were 0.33 and 0.38, respectively. Figures 2 and 3 indicates a linear relationship between disability and the two measures of pain-related fear.

Results of the multivariate regression analyses using the change in TSK and the change in FABQ-PA as dependent variables are shown in Tables 3 and 4. In both models, the change in disability was significantly associated with the change in pain-related fear. Adding the change in disability increased the explained variance ($R^2$) of the TSK change model from 13.8% to 29% and the FABQ-PA change model from 24.3% to 39.7%. Age, sex, or smoking status was significantly associated with the outcomes. Education was significantly associated with the change in the TSK but not in the FABQ-PA. The correlation coefficient of the change in the

| Characteristic | Baseline | 1 year | 2 years | 0–2 year change | 0–2 year SRM |
|----------------|----------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------------|
| **Pain-related fear** |          |        |         |                 |              |
| TSK                                                                 |
| Recovered (n=66) | 12.7 (5.6) | 6.2 (5.8) | 5.9 (5.8) | 6.7 (6.0) | 1.12          |
| Much better (n=151) | 13.6 (6.9) | 10.5 (6.9) | 10.5 (6.9) | 3.1 (5.8) | 0.54          |
| Better (n=57) | 13.6 (6.3) | 11.4 (6.5) | 11.2 (7.4) | 2.4 (6.8) | 0.35          |
| A little better (n=48) | 16.9 (7.6) | 14.2 (8.5) | 14.5 (7.7) | 2.4 (5.8) | 0.41          |
| No change/worse (n=50) | 15.2 (8.0) | 14.4 (7.8) | 14.6 (8.0) | 0.7 (5.6) | 0.12          |
| FABQ-PA                                                             |
| Recovered (n=66) | 11.9 (5.4) | 5.1 (5.5) | 3.6 (4.8) | 8.3 (5.9) | 1.41          |
| Much better (n=151) | 11.9 (5.0) | 8.4 (5.7) | 7.3 (5.3) | 4.5 (5.8) | 0.77          |
| Better (n=57) | 11.6 (5.4) | 8.4 (4.6) | 9.0 (5.6) | 2.6 (5.9) | 0.44          |
| A little better (n=48) | 12.4 (5.9) | 10.7 (6.5) | 10.1 (6.0) | 2.3 (5.3) | 0.42          |
| No change/worse (n=50) | 12.6 (6.5) | 11.7 (5.9) | 11.9 (6.3) | 0.7 (5.2) | 0.14          |

| Pain-related disability |          |        |         |                 |              |
|-------------------------|----------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------------|
| Recovered (n=66) | 7.9 (2.5) | 1.6 (2.7) | 0.6 (1.2) | 7.3 (2.6) | 2.76          |
| Much better (n=151) | 7.9 (2.5) | 3.1 (2.7) | 2.6 (2.2) | 5.3 (2.8) | 1.86          |
| Better (n=57) | 8.4 (2.7) | 5.5 (2.8) | 5.2 (2.8) | 3.2 (3.4) | 0.94          |
| A little better (n=48) | 8.6 (2.9) | 6.5 (3.2) | 6.4 (2.7) | 2.2 (2.3) | 0.94          |
| No change/worse (n=50) | 8.3 (2.5) | 6.7 (2.8) | 7.5 (2.7) | 0.8 (2.5) | 0.32          |

| Abbreviations: | FABQ-PA, Fear-Avoidance Beliefs Questionnaire-Physical Activity (0–24); SD, standard deviation; SRM, standardized response mean; TSK, Tampa Scale for Kinesiophobia (0–39). |

---

**Figure 2** 0–2-year change in the FABQ-PA according to change in pain-related disability.
**Abbreviation:** FABQ-PA, Fear-Avoidance Beliefs Questionnaire-Physical Activity.

**Figure 3** 0–2-year change in the TSK according to change in pain-related disability.
**Abbreviation:** TSK, Tampa Scale for Kinesiophobia.
Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; TSK, Tampa Scale of Kinesiophobia; FABQ-PA, Fear-Avoidance Beliefs Questionnaire-Physical Activity.

Table 3 Linear regression on the 0–2-year change in FABQ-PA

| Variables                     | B    | 95% CI     | P    |
|-------------------------------|------|------------|------|
| Age                           | −0.04| −0.08, 0.01| 0.11 |
| Female sex                    | 0.67 | −0.36, 1.69| 0.20 |
| Current smoking               | −0.14| −1.20, 0.92| 0.79 |
| Education (years)             | 0.08 | −0.10, 0.26| 0.37 |
| Baseline FABQ-PA (0–24)       | 0.59 | 0.49, 0.69 | <0.001|
| Baseline pain-related disabilitya (0–12) | −0.63 | −0.86, −0.40 | <0.001|
| 0–2-year change pain-related disabilitya | 0.79 | 0.63, 0.95 | <0.001|

Note: aMaine–Seattle Back Questionnaire.

Table 4 Linear regression on the 0–2-year change in TSK

| Variables                     | B    | 95% CI     | P    |
|-------------------------------|------|------------|------|
| Age                           | 0.00 | −0.05, 0.05| 0.88 |
| Female sex                    | 0.83 | −0.29, 1.96| 0.15 |
| Current smoking               | 1.01 | −0.16, 2.18| 0.09 |
| Education, years              | 0.20 | 0.01, 0.40 | 0.04 |
| Baseline TSK (0–39)           | 0.37 | 0.29, 0.45 | <0.001|
| Baseline pain-related disabilitya (0–12) | −0.52 | −0.77, −0.26 | <0.001|
| 0–2-year change pain-related disabilitya | 0.80 | 0.62, 0.99 | <0.001|

Note: aMaine–Seattle Back Questionnaire.

Discussion

This study shows that pain-related fear decreased substantially in patients who at 2 years of follow-up recovered from sciatica. In those who did not improve, pain-related fear remained high but did not increase, compared with the levels at baseline. In adjusted regression models, the change in pain-related disability explained only 15% of the variance in the changes of both the TSK and the FABQ-PA. To our knowledge, this is the first study that has assessed pain-related fear longitudinally in patients with sciatica.

The patients who were fully recovered at 2 years reported very low levels of pain-related fear even below the corresponding values in the acute cohort in the study of Grotle et al. In the general population, pain-related fear has been assessed by rephrased items of the FABQ-PA and the TSK in order to make it possible for people without pain to complete them. Although different settings and questionnaire versions hamper direct comparisons, pain-free subjects in the general population seem to report less pain-related fear than those who fully recovered from sciatica in the present study. In a recent Finnish population study using the original wordings of the TSK, a subsample of healthy subjects also reported more pain-related fear than the recovered patients in our cohort.

One may speculate whether the reduction in pain-related fear was simply a consequence of patients recovering from their sciatica. The observational design of this study precludes any inferences either of causality or of the direction of pathways in the fear-avoidance model. It is also possible that recovery was a result of improved coping strategies or confrontational attitudes as hypothesized in the model. However, it has been questioned whether the proposed directionality of pathways, especially the sequencing of pain severity in the cycle, is always true. Using path analyses in two prospective studies, Gheldof et al reported that pain-related fear may be better conceived of as a consequence of pain severity, rather than as an antecedent. In their study, pain severity at baseline strongly predicted both pain-related fear and disability at follow-up. Though no certain conclusions can be drawn, we would suggest that the improvements in pain-related fear in the present study were mainly a product of excellent clinical outcome results, supporting Gheldof et al’s assumption. Interestingly, baseline levels of pain-related fear did not differ significantly between those who were recovered at 2 years and the rest of the cohort. Thus, it is unlikely that the recovered group consisted of individuals inherently low in the fear of pain.

In the regression models, the change in disability explained relatively little of the variance in the two pain-related fear measures. For patients who improved from their sciatica, the amount of change in the FABQ-PA, assessed by the SRM, was somewhat larger than that of the TSK; however, overall, the two measures yielded similar results. In a recent review, Wertli et al did not find evidence for recommending the use of the FABQ or the TSK over the other when used as a predictor of nonspecific low back pain. One challenge in the use of the TSK is the existence of several versions, which are not directly comparable. In the present study, we used the Norwegian validated 13-item version without the original four negatively phrased items. To improve readability, we modified the total score range from 13–52 to 0–39.

In contrast to the present study on sciatica and disk herniation, previous research on pain-related fear has focused on painful conditions of unknown cause, such as nonspecific low back pain and fibromyalgia. Regarding longitudinal associations, our results suggest that it does not seem to matter whether the condition can be biomedically explained or not. The correlations observed between the changes in pain-related fear and the changes in disability in the present study are in-line with previous findings on nonspecific low back pain.
back pain. Grotle et al\textsuperscript{5} found correlation coefficients of 0.39 and 0.41 between the 1-year change in the FABQ-QI and disability, as assessed by the Oswestry Disability Index, in patients with acute and chronic low back pain, respectively. Costa et al\textsuperscript{35} reported a correlation coefficient of 0.40 between the 1-year change in the TSK and the 1-year change in the Roland–Morris Disability Questionnaire in chronic low back pain. In a meta-analysis of cross-sectional studies, the average sample size-weighted correlation between pain-related fear and disability was found to be 0.42.\textsuperscript{31} Lundberg et al\textsuperscript{32} found no difference in the levels of TSK between patients with specific and nonspecific low back pain.

In line with the guidelines for low back pain and sciatica,\textsuperscript{3,34} the patients in the present study were encouraged to stay active, but no standardized cognitive interventions or exercises were provided. For treatment of low back pain, there is some evidence that health care providers with a biomedically orientated may be more likely to advise patients to limit their work and physical activities.\textsuperscript{35,36} Because all patients in the present study had radicular pain corresponding to a disk herniation, the physicians and the physiotherapists may have focused more on a biomedical than a biopsychosocial explanatory model.

**Strengths and Limitations**

The main strengths of the present study were the large sample size, a high rate of follow-up, and the multicenter design enhancing the external validity of the results. During follow-up, most patients improved, providing an adequate setting for studying pain-related fear and recovery over time. However, the application of strict inclusion criteria renders the results valid only for patients who fulfill those criteria, ie, sciatic pain below the knee and paresis caused by a disk herniation at the corresponding level and side.

The limitations of this study are that we do not know about the information or other treatment suggestions that patients may have received during the study period. We also do not know whether sources external to this study might have influenced the participants’ pain-related fear. We did not evaluate or measure pain-related fear in the clinical staff and health professionals involved in the study. The use of other instruments to measure pain-related fear, such as the Pain Anxiety Symptoms Scale\textsuperscript{37} and the Fear of Pain Questionnaire,\textsuperscript{38} might have given different results.\textsuperscript{12} The dropout rate at 2 years was 18.5%, with nonresponders being significantly younger, more likely to be current smokers and less likely to be married/cohabitating than those who completed the 2-year follow-up. We cannot know how the loss to follow-up may have influenced the results, but in the regression analyses (Tables 3 and 4), age and smoking status were not significantly associated with the pain-related fear outcomes. Thus, we believe the effects of nonresponse are limited.

As of yet, the results of the present study have no direct implications for clinical practice. Further research should focus on disentangling the causal pathways of pain-related fear. So far, it has been considered an antecedent to pain disability and an obstacle to recovery. However, it may be equally possible that the causal chain runs in the opposite direction, ie, that pain-related fear is simply a consequence of pain severity. A clarification of these potentially conflicting explanations would be of major interest.

**Conclusion**

Our results demonstrate that pain-related fear, assessed by the TSK and the FABQ-QI, decreased substantially in patients who recovered from sciatica and remained high in those who did not improve. Generally, the two measures of pain-related fear yielded similar results.
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