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A B S T R A C T

The studies carried out on field survey and estimation of residual toxicity of insecticides used in mulberry growing area of Karnataka. The field survey among 120 farmers in villages of Ramanagaram, Chikkaballapur and Kolar districts revealed that the maximum toxicity of pesticides was due to the drift effect (66 farmers) followed by direct effect (38 farmers) and about 16 farmers were without any pesticide problem. Among 120 farmers 39 farmers preferred Chlorantraniliprole followed by Flubendamide (25 farmers), Dichlorvos (16 farmers), Imidacloprid (12 farmers), Carbaryl (6 farmers), Dimethoate (3 farmers) and Chlorpyrifos (3 farmers). The widely used pesticide is subjected for residue analysis. Results of analysis of fifteen mulberry leaf and soil samples collected from different sericulture farmer fields in Kolar and Chikkaballapura district for insecticides residue. Showed that out of fifteen samples, six samples had detectable level of pesticides residue highest residual toxicity effect is due to Chlorantraniliprole insecticide is came to know from this research.
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Introduction

The fabulous sericulture industry was originated in China at Chin-Tong Province during 3000 B.C. Silk, the “Queen of textiles”, unchallenged so far by other fibres, is a nature’s gift for mankind. Silk with its fineness, evenness, durability and luster had fascinated the woman folk. Sericulture is an important and highly remunerative agro-based industry. Mulberry is infested by several pests. These pests affect the growth of mulberry and cause considerable damage to the plant and loss in leaf yield. The insecticides applied for the control of mulberry pests in turn have a greater impact on silkworm. Chandrashekhara (2007) Apart from this, mulberry is grown adjacent to the commercial crops. The plant protection measures taken on the commercial crops may drift to the mulberry garden and thus, affect the growth, development, fecundity and survival of Bombyx mori L. (Roxelle et al., 2013).

Materials and Methods

Personal interview method was for collection of data. The field survey was conducted in Mailandahalli, Jodi hosalli villages of Chikkaballapura district. Mullahalli, Guttahalli of Kolara District, Shivanasandra,
Shingrigowdaraapaalya, Togachagere, Udavegere, Nallahalii Doddamuddigere, Chunchikaaloni of Ramanagara district to record the types of insecticides used by the farmers (120) in mulberry growing areas with the help of pre structured schedule. Similarly, the practices followed by the farmers to overcome the insecticide toxicity in mulberry growing areas were documented. The most widely used insecticides to control pest infestation in inter crop is selected for further experimentation. The field survey conducted during August 2016.

Pesticides residue level in soil and mulberry leaves was quantitatively measured by using Quech ERS Multi-residue method developed by Anastassiades and Lehotay in 2003. For estimation of pesticide residue level, 10gm soil was taken in centrifuge tube, 4 drops of diluted ammonia was added and 15ml of acetonitrile, 2-3gm of NACL, 1.2gm of MgSO\textsubscript{4} were added and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 30 min.

Eight ml of supernatant was taken in another centrifuge tube, to which 0.2gm PSA and 1.2gm MgSO\textsubscript{4} were added centrifuged for 30 min at 4000 rpm and 2ml of supernatant was taken for injection into GC/LC(Gass/liquid chromatography). The same procedure was followed for estimation of pesticide residues in leaf, but here fresh leaves were dried to make 15gm of powdered mulberry leaves.

**Results and Discussion**

The field survey conducted among 120 farmers in villages of Ramanagara, Chikkaballapur and Kolar districts revealed that the maximum toxicity of pesticides was due to the drift effect (66 farmers) followed by direct effect (38 farmers) and about 16 farmers were without any pesticide problem (Table 1). It was clear from the survey that Chlorantraniliprole, Flubendiamide, Imidacloprid, Dichlorovas. Insecticides were most commonly used in mulberry growing areas to manage the pests of tomato, mango, rose, pigeon pea and other crops. The drifting of the insecticide on to mulberry leaves has resulted in poor cocoon production. Similar observation was made by (Roxelle et al., 2013) with respect to the insecticide coragen. Silkworms constructed thin shelled cocoons and matured worms vomited yellow coloured liquid, which was due to insecticide contaminated mulberry leaves fed to the silkworms. The toxicity hazardous was mainly due to lack of knowledge about the use of insecticides and injudicious application of pesticides. Similar reports were made by earlier workers (Jyothi et al., 2013).

The survey revealed that the farmers in the Kolar and Chikkaballapura Districts are losing cocoons even after the adoption of recommended technologies for silkworm rearing, mulberry and silkworm pests and diseases management. In most of these cases, crop loss was not because of silkworm diseases, but due to toxicity or poisoning Avramova et al., (2012). The study revealed that chlorantraniliprole insecticide was most commonly used in these areas to manage the pests of tomato was reported by Kumutha et al., (2013).

Similarly farmers of these districts were extensively using the pesticides viz,, dichlorvos (2 ml/litre), imidaclorpid (0.5-1 ml/litre), chlorantraniliprole (0.2-0.5 ml/litre), Flubendiamide (0.5-1 ml/litre), Chlorpyrifos (1-3 ml/litre) above the recommended dosage to control pests and diseases of tomato, mango and other crops. Among them about 39 farmers preferred Chlorantraniliprole followed by Flubendamide (25 farmers), Dichlorvos (16 farmers), Imidacloprid (12 farmers), Carbaryl (6 farmers), Dimethoate (3 farmers) and Chlorpyrifos (3 farmers) (Table 2).
**Table 1** Status of insecticide toxicity in mulberry growing area of Kolar, Chikkaballapur and Ramanagara Districts

| Districts/Taluk          | Villages                  | No. of farmers with pesticide toxicity | No. of farmers free from toxicity | Total |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------|
| Raamanagara District     |                           |                                        |                                  |       |
| (Maagadi Taluk)          | Shivanasandra             | 3                                      | 5                                | 2     | 10   |
|                          | Shingrigowdaraparanya     | 2                                      | 4                                | 0     | 6    |
|                          | Togachagere               | 5                                      | 2                                | 3     | 10   |
|                          | Udavegere                 | 3                                      | 7                                | 3     | 13   |
|                          | Nallahalii                | 4                                      | 6                                | 0     | 10   |
| (Raamanagara Taluk)      | Doddamuddigere            | 5                                      | 6                                | 2     | 13   |
|                          | Chunchikaaloni            | 3                                      | 5                                | 0     | 8    |
| Kolar District           |                           |                                        |                                  |       |
| (Kolar Taluk)            | Mullahalli                | 3                                      | 5                                | 1     | 9    |
|                          | Arehalli                  | 3                                      | 5                                | 0     | 8    |
|                          | Guttahalli                | 4                                      | 6                                | 3     | 13   |
| Chikkaballapura District | (Chintamani Taluk)        | Mailandahalli                          | 1                                | 9     | 0     | 10   |
|                          | Jodi hosalli              | 2                                      | 6                                | 2     | 10   |
|                          |                           |                                        |                                  |       | 38   | 66   | 16   | Grandtotal = 120 |

**Table 2** Insecticide usage in mulberry growing areas in Ramanagara, Kolar and Chikkaballapura Districts

| Insecticides used       | Recommended Dosage (ml/liter) | Used dosage to control pest (ml/liter) | Crop              | No of farmers |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Dichlorvos              | 0.5 ml/lit                    | 1-2 ml/lit                             | Mulberry.         | 16            |
| Imidacloprid            | 0.5 ml/lit                    | 0.5-1 ml/lit                           | Mulberry, Rose.   | 12            |
| Chlorantraniliprole     | 0.03 ml/lit                   | 0.2-0.5 ml/lit                         | Mango, tomato.    | 39            |
| Malathion               | 2 ml/lit                      | 2-4 ml/lit                             | Mango, Vegetables.| 6             |
| Flubendiamide           | 0.05 ml/lit                   | 0.5-1 ml/lit                           | Pigeonpea, Tomato.| 25            |
| Dimethoate              | 2 ml/lit                      | 2 ml/lit                               | Cotton.           | 3             |
| Chlorpyrifose           | 2 ml/lit                      | 4-5 ml/lit                             | Paddy.            | 3             |
|                         |                               |                                        |                   | Total = 104   |
Table 3 Pesticide residue in soil samples collected from mulberry fields in Chikkaballapura and Kolar Districts

| Sample code | Name and village                     | Detected insecticide | Level of pesticide residue (ppm) | FAO/WHO Recommended MRL (ppm) |
|-------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| F1 K        | Bhairegowda, Mallandahally           | PD.DDE               | 2.68                            | 3.5                           |
| F2 K        | Vishwanath, Chikkakalahalli         | Metalaxyl            | 0.19                            | 0.05                          |
| F3 K        | Gouramma, Mullahalli                 | ND                   | ND                              | ND                            |
| F4 K        | Anjinappa, Guttahalli                | Cypermethrin         | 0.55                            | 0.5                           |
| F5 K        | Ravi, Horakere                       | ND                   | ND                              | ND                            |
| F6 K        | C.M. Venkatappa, Channapura          | Malathion            | 1.44                            | 4                             |
| F7 K        | Naranaswamy, Gangasandra             | Imidacloprid         | 0.001                           | 1                             |
| F8 K        | Venkateshappa, Kallur                | ND                   | ND                              | ND                            |
| F9 C        | Bhairappa, Mungalalahalli            | Profenfos            | 0.05                            | 2                             |
| F10 C       | Manjunatha, Yerramaranahalli         | Chloropyrophos       | 0.485                           | 0.5                           |
| F11 C       | Ramachandrappa, Jonnalakunte         | ND                   | ND                              | ND                            |
| F12 C       | Munivenkatappa, Kachakadatha         | ND                   | ND                              | ND                            |
| F13 C       | Nagaraj, Doddihally                  | Malathion            | 1.5                             | 4                             |
| F14 C       | Suresh, Bommenahalli                 | Dieldrin             | 0.002                           | 0.01                          |
| F15 C       | Ayyappa, Angatta                     | ND                   | ND                              | ND                            |

F: Farmer  K: Kolar  C: Chikkaballapura  ND: Not detected

Table 4 Pesticide residue in mulberry leaf sample collected from farmers’ field of Chikkaballapura and Kolar Districts

| Sample code | Name and village                     | Detected insecticide | Level of pesticide residue (ppm) | FAO/WHO Recommended MRL (ppm) |
|-------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| F1 K        | Bhairegowda, Mallandahally           | Chlorantraniliprole  | Traces                          | 2                             |
| F2 K        | Vishwanath, Chikkakalahalli         | Dimethoate           | 0.068                           | 2                             |
| F3 K        | Gouramma, Mullahalli                 | ND                   | ND                              | ND                            |
| F4 K        | Anjinappa, Guttahalli                | ND                   | ND                              | ND                            |
| F5 K        | Ravi, Horakere                       | ND                   | ND                              | ND                            |
| F6 K        | C.M. Venkatappa, Channapura          | ND                   | ND                              | ND                            |
| F7 K        | Naranaswamy, Gangasandra             | Chlorantraniliprole  | Traces                          | 2                             |
| F8 K        | Venkateshappa, Kallur                | ND                   | ND                              | ND                            |
| F9 C        | Bhairappa, Mungalalahalli            | ND                   | ND                              | ND                            |
| F10 C       | Manjunatha, Yerramaranahalli         | Chlorantraniliprole  | 0.05                            | 2                             |
| F11 C       | Ramachandrappa, Jonnalakunte         | ND                   | ND                              | ND                            |
| F12 C       | Munivenkatappa, Kachakadatha         | Chlorantraniliprole  | 0.29                            | 2                             |
| F13 C       | Nagaraj, Doddihally                  | ND                   | ND                              | ND                            |
| F14 C       | Suresh, Bommenahalli                 | Glycel               | 0.03                            | 2                             |
| F15 C       | Ayyappa, Angatta                     | ND                   | ND                              | ND                            |

F: Farmer  K: Kolar  C: Chikkaballapura  ND: Not detected
Analysis of fifteen soil samples collected from different sericulture farmers’ fields in Kolar and Chikkaballapura district for insecticides residue. Showed that out of fifteen samples, nine samples had detectable level of pesticides residue and those were PD.DDE (2.68ppm), Metalaxyl (0.19ppm), Cypermethrin (0.55ppm), Malathion (1.44ppm), Imidacloprid (0.001ppm), Profenofos (0.05ppm), Chloropyriphos (0.485ppm), Malathion (1.5ppm) and Dieldrin (0.002ppm) in F1 K, F2 K, F4 K, F6 K, F7 K, F9 C, F10 C, F13 C and F14 C respectively. Out of these, only one sample (F4K) contained residue of Cypermethrin (0.55ppm) above MRL (0.01ppm) (Table 3). This might be due to accumulation of pesticides in soil from time to time. The results are in conformity with Fatema et al., (2013) and Charles (2009).

Results of analysis of fifteen mulberry leaf samples collected from different sericulture farmer fields in Kolar and Chikkaballapura district for insecticides residue. Showed that out of fifteen samples, six samples had detectable level of pesticides residue and those were Chlorantraniliprole (traces), Dimethoate (0.068ppm), Chlorantraniliprole (traces), Chlorantraniliprole (0.05ppm), Chlorantraniliprole (0.29ppm) and Glycel (0.03ppm) in F1 K, F2 K, F7 K, F10 C, F12 C and F14 C respectively. Out of these, only one sample (F12 C) contained residue of Chlorantraniliprole (0.29ppm) above MRL (0.29ppm) (Table 4). This might be due to direct application of pesticide onto the plants, where by the leaves having a greater surface area absorbed high level of pesticides and resulted in residual toxicity. This is in conformity with Andrea et al., (2009). They reported that the residue of Chlorantraniliprole was effective upto 30days after spraying, at 22days after spraying the residual toxicity were above MRL.

Fifteen samples of soil and mulberry leaves were analysed for pesticide residue. Among them only nine samples had detectable level of pesticide residue in soil, out of this only one sample (F4K) contained residue of cypermethrin (0.55ppm) above MRL (0.01ppm). Similarly in mulberry leaves only one sample (F12C) contained residue of Chlorantraniliprole (0.29ppm) on par with (0.29ppm).

From the field survey in villages of Ramanagara, Chikkaballapur and Kolar Districts revealed that the maximum toxicity of pesticides was due to the drift effect (66 farmers) followed by direct effect (38 farmers). Majority farmers preferred Chlorantraniliprole followed by Flubendamide (25 farmers), to manage pest of intercrop grown in mulberry. And from fifteen samples of soil and mulberry leaves were analysed for pesticide residue. Among them only nine samples had detectable level of pesticide residue in soil, out of this only one sample (F4K) contained residue of cypermethrin (0.55ppm) above MRL (0.01ppm). Similarly in mulberry leaves only one sample (F12C) contained residue of Chlorantraniliprole (0.29ppm).
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