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Abstract

Background: To delineate the adaptive mechanisms operative under salinity stress, it is essential to study plant responses at the very early stages of stress which are very crucial for governing plant survival and adaptation. We believe that it is the initial perception and response phase which sets the foundation for stress adaptation in rice seedlings where plants can be considered to be in a state of osmotic shock and ion buildup.

Results: An isobaric Tags for Relative and Absolute Quantitation (iTRAQ) approach was used to analyze the pre-existing differences as well as the very early salt shock responsive changes in the proteome of seedlings of contrasting rice genotypes, viz salt-sensitive IR64 and salt-tolerant Pokkali. In response to a quick salt shock, shoots of IR64 exhibited hyperaccumulation of Na⁺, whereas in Pokkali, these ions accumulated more in roots. Interestingly, we could find 86 proteins to be differentially expressed in shoots of Pokkali seedlings under non-stress conditions whereas under stress, 63 proteins were differentially expressed in Pokkali shoots in comparison to IR64. However, only, 40 proteins under non-stress and eight proteins under stress were differentially expressed in Pokkali roots. A higher abundance of proteins involved in photosynthesis (such as, oxygen evolving enhancer proteins OEE1 & OEE3, PsbP) and stress tolerance (such as, ascorbate peroxidase, superoxide dismutase, peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerases and glyoxalase II), was observed in shoots of Pokkali in comparison to IR64. In response to salinity, selected proteins such as, ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase activase, remained elevated in Pokkali shoots. Glutamate dehydrogenase - an enzyme which serves as an important link between Krebs cycle and metabolism of amino acids was found to be highly induced in Pokkali in response to stress. Similarly, other enzymes such as peroxidases and triose phosphate isomerase (TPI) were also altered in roots in response to stress.

Conclusion: We conclude that Pokkali rice seedlings are primed to face stress conditions where the proteins otherwise induced under stress in IR64, are naturally expressed in high abundance. Through specific alterations in its proteome, this proactive stress machinery contributes towards the observed salinity tolerance in this wild rice germplasm.
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Background

Soil salinity is one of the major hurdles faced by agricultural scientists throughout the world as it severely limits crop productivity and yield (Pareek et al. 2010; Joshi et al. 2018). Excessive accumulation of Na⁺ in the soil inhibits absorption of moisture and mineral nutrients, resulting in buildup of toxic ions and reactive oxygen species (ROS) in plants (Kim et al. 2008). These toxic byproducts can diminish enzyme activity or even degrade cellular proteins. To overcome this, plants have acquired dynamic responses at various levels to facilitate their survival under stress (Munns and Tester 2008). In plants, salinity triggers the expression of genes that function in both salt response and in increasing salt tolerance. Though all plants try to adjust to these unforeseen situations, but it is the timely and well-coordinated response acquired in selected genotypes which leads to their successful adaptation and hence, survival under stress (Lakra et al. 2018). On the other hand, susceptible species succumb to stress due to their inability to efficiently channelize resources towards stress management. An understanding of the behavior of both tolerant and susceptible species is thus, worth investigating to identify differences at molecular, physiological and biochemical levels under stress conditions which can then be employed to engineer stress tolerance in plants.

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is a major cereal crop and is a key source of dietary starch for about half of the population (Fageria 2007). Its growth and productivity is significantly affected by salinity conditions and thus, rice is considered to be salt-sensitive with degree of its sensitivity varying during different growth phases (Moradi and Ismail 2007). The cultivation practice for rice known as SRI (System of Rice Intensification), involves transplantation of young seedlings (8–12 days old; with 2–3 leaf stage) to the field so as to preserve their potential for tilling and rooting ability. Thus, this seedling stage is considered to be very important for governing the fate of successful crop production.

IR64 is a semi-dwarf and high yielding, variety of *indica* rice which is moderately sensitive to salinity whereas Pokkali, another *indica* rice having a high protein content, medicinal property, high amylase content (good for the diabetic patients) and peculiar taste (Agriculture Department, Government of Kerala; http://sites.cdit.org/wto/index.php/pokkali-rice), is a wild landrace with unique salinity potential (2016). It is cultivated in an organic way accompanied by integrated farming with prawn culture in the water logged coastal regions of Kerala in Southern India inundated with saline sea water in the field (Vijayan 2016). These two genotypes, owing to differences in their response to salt stress, have been extensively used as research material by plant scientists. Gene expression studies have revealed that salt tolerance of Pokkali may be due to constitutively high expression of several genes that contribute to salinity tolerance, such as CaMBP, glutathione peroxidases, late embryogenesis abundant proteins, V-ATPase, OSAP1 zinc finger protein and HBP1B transcription factor (TF) but these are stress inducible in IR64 (Kumari et al. 2009; Soda et al. 2013). Interestingly, recent RNA seq-based transcriptome studies in IR64 (salt sensitive), Pokkali (salt-tolerant) and N22 (drought-tolerant) genotype have revealed that a total of 801 transcripts in N22 and 507 in Pokkali to be exclusively differentially expressed under stress conditions (Shankar et al. 2016). Gene ontology studies further suggested an enrichment of transcripts involved in abiotic stress response and regulation of gene expression in these stress-tolerant rice cultivars. Specifically, members of bHLH and C_{2}H_{2} transcription families in Pokkali exhibited differential regulation under salinity and desiccation stresses, respectively and transcripts involved in wax and terpenoid metabolism were also found to be up-regulated. However, at proteome level, not much is still known about the differential response of these genotypes. Proteome studies in other rice varieties though have been carried out. For instance, Xu et al. (2015) have studied changes in protein profiles in *Japonica* rice cultivar Zhonghua11 (ZH11) after 24 h of salinity stress and identified 56 proteins to be differentially regulated with 16 of them being enriched in antioxidant pathways, oxidative phosphorylation and photosynthesis. Further, comparative proteome analysis of two contrasting African rice genotypes has also been carried out indicating proteins involved in redox homeostasis, stress, and signaling categories to be differentially responsive in the sensitive and tolerant genotypes (Damaris et al. 2016).

Recently, we have reported a comparative temporal proteome analysis of Pokkali and IR64 genotypes in response to salinity stress using 2D-DIGE (Lakra et al. 2018). Our findings suggested that Pokkali proteome exhibits increased expression of photosynthesis-related proteins after 15 min and 2 h of salinity stress in contrast to IR64 which shows greater perturbations in metabolism-related proteins during this phase. However, at later stages viz. 24–72 h, stress acclimation response is induced in Pokkali, and at this time, proteins which were found to be early induced in Pokkali can be seen to be induced in IR64, suggesting a late induction of stress response in IR64. The study thus indicated towards important differences in the cellular machinery of these genotypes and suggested that early stress response forms an important component of plant stress adaptation machinery which needs to be studied in details to decipher the basis of stress tolerance in plants. Therefore, in the present work, we have studied the response of rice seedlings to very early stress, a state where plants can be considered to be in a state of osmotic shock in response to stress. The stress-tolerant Pokkali and stress-sensitive IR64 rice genotypes were
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subjected to 200 mM NaCl treatment for 2 h, and proteome profile was elucidated using the isobaric Tags for Relative and Absolute Quantitation (iTRAQ) approach (Fig. 1). iTRAQ which is a non-gel-based quantitative proteomics technique overcomes some of the drawbacks otherwise observed with 2-DE (Zieske 2006) and can be used to relatively quantify peptides at a global level (Ghosh et al. 2013). Proteome profiles of shoot and root tissues of both genotypes were studied separately to dissect primary and secondary signals generated by plants upon sensing salt stress. Roots serve as the primary site for salinity perception which then communicates these signals to shoots, the energy source of the developing seedlings. We specifically selected 2 h duration of stress treatment since we believe that it is the initial crucial phase of stress response which sets the foundation for stress adaptation in selected genotypes. Gaining an understanding of early triggering response is essential to unravel the basis of stress tolerance in plants. Our findings suggested that Pokkali proteome has an abundance of stress-responsive proteins under non-stress conditions especially the photosynthesis-related proteins. Importantly, these proteins exhibit higher expression in Pokkali than IR64 even under stress thereby contributing towards better adaptation of the latter towards stress.

Results
Determination of physiological perturbations in Pokkali and IR64 seedlings in response to salinity stress
Ten-day old Pokkali and IR64 seedlings were treated for 2 h with 200 mM NaCl and Na⁺ accumulation was measured

---

Fig. 1 Flowchart depicting the experimental steps involved in the present study. Ten-day old rice seedlings of Pokkali and IR64 were subjected to salinity stress (200 mM NaCl) for a period of 2 h. To understand the mechanism of tolerance/sensitivity, shoot and root tissues were used for physio-chemical and proteome studies under control and salinity conditions. For the proteome studies, the protein extracts were trypsin digested and peptides were labeled with isobaric tags for iTRAQ reagents. For the peptide labelling, different iTRAQ labels (represented as 114 and 117; reporters with balance group 31 and 28 respectively) were used in this study. Labeled peptides were fractionated by strong cation exchange chromatography (SCX) and analyzed using LC-MS/MS (Liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry). POK represents Pokkali genotype and R1, R2 and R3 represent the replicates 1, 2 and 3 respectively.
in the shoot and root tissues. Under control conditions, Na⁺ levels were similar in both the genotypes (both roots and shoots). However, after 2 h of salinity treatment, Na⁺ levels increased by 6-folds (950.8 to 5674.3 ppm) and by 3.38-folds (777.6 to 2629.6 ppm) in shoots of IR64 and Pokkali respectively as compared to their untreated controls. Overall, sodium accumulation was 2.2-fold higher in IR64 shoots (5674.3 ppm) in comparison to Pokkali (2629.6 ppm) after 2 h of stress (Fig. 2a).

By contrast, whereas Na⁺ levels increased by 28-folds in roots of IR64 seedlings (2038.41 to 57,426.71 ppm) after 2 h of the NaCl treatment, Pokkali roots exhibited a much higher increase (~31-folds) in Na⁺ levels (1919.71 to 59,426.71 ppm) under similar conditions (Fig. 2a). Change in Na⁺/K⁺ ratio was found to be 2.63-fold higher in IR64 shoots but 2.4-fold lower in roots of IR64 seedlings as compared to corresponding tissues of Pokkali (Fig. 2a). Further, change in K⁺/Na⁺ ratio was found to be 2.63-fold higher in Pokkali shoots but 2.15-fold lower in roots of Pokkali seedlings as compared to corresponding tissues of IR64 (Fig. 2b).

However, percent change in electrolyte leakage (relative ion leakage) was ~ 1.5-fold higher in IR64 seedlings then Pokkali after 2 h of salinity stress (Fig. 2c). Further, CoroNa dye staining under control and stress conditions indicated higher Na accumulation in Pokkali roots at 2 h of stress in comparison to IR64 (Fig. 2d).

We also measured root
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Fig. 2 Determination of physiological parameters in Pokkali and IR64 rice seedlings in response to salinity stress. Ten-day old rice seedlings were subjected to salinity stress (200 mM NaCl) over a period of 2 h and changes were observed in shoot and root (a) Na⁺ and Na⁺/K⁺ ratio and (b) K⁺/Na⁺ ratio. (c) Determination of relative ion leakage (%) in shoot tissues under salinity stress and root cell death. (d) CoroNa dye staining of Pokkali and IR64 roots (e) DAB staining in roots under control and salinity stress (2 h) conditions. Significant differences as determined by student’s t-test (p-values <0.05) are represented by an asterisk (*)
cell death and found that the uptake of the Evan blue dye (indication of dead cells) was more in IR64 than Pokkali (Additional file 1: Figure S1). Specifically, the root cell death rate was 1.5-fold pronounced in IR64 then Pokkali under salinity stress (Fig. 2c).

**Determination of reactive oxygen species (ROS) levels in response to salinity stress**

Since stress is almost always accompanied by the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), we checked the levels of H$_2$O$_2$ in both IR64 and Pokkali roots using DAB (3,3-diaminobenzidine) stain which indicates the levels of H$_2$O$_2$ through development of a dark brown color. Interestingly, we observed a higher DAB staining in Pokkali roots as compared to IR64 indicating higher H$_2$O$_2$ levels in Pokkali in response to salinity stress (Fig. 2e and Additional file 2: Figure S2). Taken together the present study reveals that within 2 h of salinity stress enough Na$^+$ is build up in tissues which are affecting in turn, differentially affects the various physiological parameters between IR64 and Pokkali.

**iTRAQ based proteome analysis in seedlings of Pokkali and IR64 under control and salinity stress conditions**

Our previous study on comparative temporal proteomics of Pokkali and IR64 rice seedlings has revealed some important physiological and proteome changes occurring in Pokkali under stress conditions (Lakra et al. 2018). However, in this work, changes in Pokkali and IR64 proteomes at early duration of stress were not investigated in detail and also changes in proteomes of two genotypes under non-stress conditions were not explored. Hence, to elucidate variations in the proteome of Pokkali and IR64 under control conditions as well as under stress, we carried out an iTRAQ-based proteome analysis and determined the proteins being differentially expressed in Pokkali in comparison to IR64 under normal and stress conditions. The proteins were detected at greater than 95% confidence with ProtScore cut off $> 1.3$. A total of 422 proteins under non-stress and 445 proteins under stress conditions were detected in the shoot tissues of Pokkali. 183 proteins were only detected under control conditions, 206 under only salt stress and 239 under both the conditions (Fig. 3a). Whereas in roots, of the 330 proteins detected under control (non-stress) conditions and 287 proteins detected under salinity stress, 153 were common to both (Fig. 3a). Further, peptide sequence coverage which indicates the percentage of the protein sequence covered by the identified peptides, was determined for the proteins. Maximum number of proteins showed 10–40% sequence coverage (Fig. 3b). Further, around 100–150 proteins in each sample were identified using only a single peptide. About 74–108 proteins had two peptide hits corresponding to the identified proteins and only 2–37 proteins had more than 11 identified peptides (Fig. 3c).

The differentially expressed proteins obtained from iTRAQ analysis were then quantitatively analyzed using appropriate selection criteria. The $p$-value threshold was kept 0.05. Fold change of greater than one in protein expression of Pokkali vs IR64 proteins was considered to be upregulated and less than one was taken to be downregulated. Based on these filters, we could find 86 proteins to be differentially expressed in shoots of Pokkali seedlings under non-stress conditions whereas under stress, 63 proteins were differentially expressed in Pokkali shoots in comparison to IR64 (Table 1, a-d). In roots, however lower number of proteins were obtained. Forty proteins under non-stress and eight proteins under stress were differentially expressed in Pokkali roots (Table 2, a-d). Interestingly, none of these identified root proteins were common between control and stressed proteomes of Pokkali. The differentially expressed proteins in both root and shoot tissues of Pokkali under control and salinity stress conditions have been listed in Tables 1 and 2.

**Functional classification of differentially abundant proteins in seedlings of Pokkali under control and stress conditions**

In order to analyze the differential response of Pokkali and IR64, differentially expressed proteins were classified into functional categories. Eighteen major categories of proteins were observed in the shoot proteome of Pokkali whereas root proteome comprised of 17 major functional categories (Fig. 4a, b). Under non-stress conditions, proteins belonging to the protein metabolic process-related and photosynthesis/ETC/Calvin/light reaction-related categories were found to be most enriched; comprising 22% and 13% of the total differential shoot proteome, respectively. The third major category was of stress-responsive proteins and those involved in cellular organization/cell cycle, both comprising 8% of the differential shoots proteome under control conditions. Interestingly, these categories remained enriched even after 2 h of stress (Fig. 4a).

Inspection of differential root proteome of Pokkali under non-stress conditions revealed an abundance of metabolic process-related proteins which formed 13% of the total differential proteome followed by 'function not assigned' category (Fig. 4b). The other major category being differentially regulated was found to be of peroxidase (11%) which was followed by category of stress-responsive and amino acid metabolism related proteins (8.5%). However, in the stressed root proteome of Pokkali, functional categories such as, peroxidase family proteins, PS.calvin cycle.TPI and signaling proteins were found to be selectively enriched (Fig. 4b).
Proteins with very high abundance in Pokkali proteome under non-stress conditions

Considering the fact that the unstressed proteome of Pokkali had higher abundance of many proteins belonging to different categories in comparison to IR64, we specifically analyzed those proteins which differed markedly in their abundance in the two genotypes and thus, used > 60-fold change criteria for selection of those proteins in the differential proteome. Among the shoot proteins with > 60-fold change expression in Pokkali, are several abiotic and biotic stress responsive candidates such as glyoxalase II, superoxide dismutase, peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase and dirigent protein 22 (Additional file 3: Table S1). Furthermore, a ferredoxin-dependent glutamate synthase protein showed 64-fold increase in Pokkali in comparison to IR64 under control conditions.

In contrast to shoot proteome, which had 27 proteins with greater than 60-fold expression, root proteome had only five such proteins, which included, adenine phosphoribosyltransferase 1, peroxidase, chaperonin and L-asparaginase (Additional file 3: Table S1). Peroxidases and chaperonins are known to be the key players in stress response. Thus, Pokkali proteome appeared to possess huge differences in abundance of some proteins.
| Protein identity                  | % coverage | Peptides | POK/IR64 | pvalue  | MSU ID               | Protein function                                                                 |
|----------------------------------|------------|----------|----------|---------|----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Oxygen-evolving enhancer protein 1, chloroplast | 70         | 60       | 8.5507   | 0.0022  | LOC_Os01g31690.1     | PS.lightreaction.photosystem II.PSII polypeptide subunits’                       |
| PsbP                             | 73.6       | 48       | 6.0813   | 0.01    | LOC_Os07g04840.1     | PS.lightreaction.photosystem II.PSII polypeptide subunits’                       |
| Inorganic pyrophosphatase, putative | 61.5       | 26       | 2.421    | 0.0041  | LOC_Os02g52940.2     | ‘nucleotide metabolism.phosphotransfer and pyrophosphatases.misc’               |
| Salt stress root protein RS1      | 76.5       | 19       | 6.6069   | 0.0013  | LOC_Os01g13210.2     | ‘stress.abiotic.drought/salt’                                                    |
| Expressed protein                 | 71.5       | 13       | 1.4588   | 0.0226  | LOC_Os10g18340.2     | ‘not assigned.unknown’                                                           |
| Thioredoxin, putative            | 41.3       | 22       | 6.1376   | 0.0349  | LOC_Os12g08730.1     | ‘redox.thioredoxin’                                                              |
| Superoxide dismutase [Cu-Zn]      | 62.6       | 19       | 99.0832  | 0.0032  | LOC_Os08g44770.1     | ‘redox.dismutases and catalases’                                                 |
| Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase | 46.4       | 10       | 5.1051   | 0.0327  | LOC_Os05g01270.1     | ‘cell.cycle.peptidylprolyl isomerase’                                            |
| Thylakoid lumenal protein, putative | 54.7     | 8        | 1.3932   | 0.0174  | LOC_Os10g35810.1     | biological process                                                              |
| NAD dependent epimerase/dehydratase | 45.9       | 7        | 16.4437  | 0.0008  | LOC_Os05g01970.5     | ‘protein.degradation’                                                            |
| ATP-dependent Clp protease ATP-binding subunit | 14.5     | 6        | 3.8019   | 0.0046  | LOC_Os04g32560.1     | ‘protein.degradation.serine protease’                                            |
| Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase | 31.7       | 5        | 20.3236  | 0.0225  | LOC_Os06g45340.1     | ‘protein.folding’                                                                |
| RAD23 DNA repair protein, putative | 24.9       | 4        | 99.0832  | 0.0265  | LOC_Os06g15360.1     | ‘DNA.repair’                                                                    |
| Thylakoid lumenal 16.5 kDa protein | 19.3       | 5        | 1.0666   | 0.0043  | LOC_Os06g49160.1     | Biological process                                                              |
| Calvin cycle protein CP12, putative, | 44.4       | 5        | 7.8705   | 0.0334  | LOC_Os01g19740.1     | ‘PS.calvin cycle’                                                               |
| Elongation factor protein         | 38.9       | 8        | 10.2802  | 0.0371  | LOC_Os07g42300.1     | ‘protein.synthesis.elongation’                                                  |
| 70 kDa heat shock protein         | 31.1       | 14       | 2.5351   | 0.0029  | LOC_Os12g14070.1     | ‘stress.abiotic.heat’                                                            |
| Remorin, putative, expressed      | 38.4       | 3        | 1.6596   | 0.0182  | LOC_Os04g45070.1     | ‘RNA.regulation of transcription.putative transcription regulator’              |
| Proteasome subunit alpha type     | 31.7       | 3        | 3.0479   | 0.0444  | LOC_Os11g40140.1     | ‘protein.degradation.ubiquitin.proteasom’                                       |
| Oryzain alpha                     | 10.3       | 4        | 1.4588   | 0.0025  | LOC_Os04g55650.1     | ‘protein.degradation.cysteine protease’                                         |
| acyl CoA binding protein, putative | 79.1       | 5        | 1.1588   | 0.0204  | LOC_Os06g02490.1     | ‘lipid metabolism.FA synthesis and FA elongation.acyl-CoA binding protein’     |
| Lipid transfer protein-like       | 14.5       | 3        | 13.9316  | 0.033   | LOC_Os08g24040.1     | ‘lipid metabolism.lipid transfer proteins etc’                                   |
| Uridyltransferase-related         | 23.9       | 3        | 1.2942   | 0.0067  | LOC_Os08g14440.2     | ‘amino acid metabolism’                                                         |
| Nucleoside diphosphate kinase 1   | 34.2       | 2        | 99.0832  | 0.0265  | LOC_Os07g30970.1     | ‘nucleotide metabolism.phosphotransfer and pyrophosphatases.nucleoside diphosphate kinase’ |
| DnaK family protein               | 16.5       | 3        | 3.767    | 0.0049  | LOC_Os02g53420.1     | ‘stress.abiotic.heat’                                                            |
| Hydroxacylglutathione hydrolase   | 14.3       | 2        | 99.0832  | 0.019   | OC_Os03g21460.1      | ‘Biodegradation of Xenobiotics. hydroxacylglutathione hydrolase’                 |
| H0801D08.11 protein               | 14.3       | 2        | 1.7701   | 0.0191  | LOC_Os05g46480.1     | reproduction, post-embryonic development, embryo development                    |
| Kinase, pfkB family               | 22         | 2        | 2.884    | 0.0377  | LOC_Os08g02120.1     | ‘major CHO metabolism.degradation.sucrose.fructokinase’                           |
| Histone H2B                       | 16.5       | 2        | 1.1803   | 0.0033  | LOC_Os05g49860.1     | ‘DNA.synthesis/chromatin structure. histone’                                     |
| Putative group 3 LEA protein       | 32         | 2        | 1.7701   | 0.0191  | LOC_Os05g46480.1     | reproduction, post-embryonic development, embryo development                    |
| Protein identity | % coverage | Peptides | POK/IR64 pvalue | MSU ID | Protein function |
|------------------|------------|----------|----------------|--------|-----------------|
| Putative Ras-GTPase-activating protein binding protein 1 | 6.5 | 2 | 0.0182 | LOC_Os02g29480.1 | 'protein.targeting.nucleus' |
| Coproporphyrinogen III oxidase, chloroplast | 16.8 | 1 | 0.0189 | LOC_Os04g52130.1 | 'tetrapyrrole synthesis.coproporphyrinogen III oxidase' |
| OsAPx6 - Stromal Ascorbate Peroxidase | 25.6 | 5 | 0.0366 | LOC_Os12g07820.1 | 'redox.ascorbate and glutathione.ascorbate' |
| Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase, putative | 26.8 | 1 | 0.0192 | LOC_Os02g52290.1 | 'protein.folding' |
| Methionyl-tRNA synthetase, putative | 23 | 1 | 0.0185 | LOC_Os04g23820.1 | 'protein.aa activation' |
| Dihydroorotate dihydrogenase protein | 9.4 | 1 | 0.1065 | LOC_Os02g50350.1 | 'nucleotide metabolism.degradation.pyrimidine.dihydrouracil dehydrogenase' |
| Thylakoid lumenal protein | 24.6 | 4 | 0.0049 | LOC_Os02g42960.1 | Biological process |
| cDNA clone:001–039-F07 | 24.5 | 3 | 0.0054 | LOC_Os12g03702.1 | 'secondary metabolism.flavonoids.chalcones' |
| Putative uncharacterized protein | 23.8 | 3 | 0.0145 | LOC_Os01g34700.1 | Biological process/metallic process |
| Non-specific lipid-transfer protein | 27.1 | 3 | 0.0145 | LOC_Os11g02369.1 | LTPL7 - Protease inhibitor/seed storage/LTP family protein precursor |
| EF hand family protein | 27.9 | 3 | 0.0145 | LOC_Os03g22614.1 | 'signalling.calcium' |
| Os05g0291700 protein | 25.8 | 2 | 0.0145 | LOC_Os05g22614.1 | metabolic process/biological process |
| ATP synthase subunit alpha | 15.3 | 2 | 0.0145 | LOC_Os09g08910.1 | 'mitochondrial electron transport / ATP synthesis.F1-ATPase' |
| High mobility group protein | 17.8 | 2 | 0.0145 | LOC_Os06g51220.1 | cellular component organization |
| stress responsive protein | 8.3 | 2 | 0.0145 | LOC_Os03g21040.1 | Biological process |
| phytoxyan-related protein Pn14 | 10.4 | 2 | 0.0145 | LOC_Os08g17160.1 | 'misc.plastocyanin-like' |
| Putative uncharacterized protein/aaminotransferase | 3.9 | 2 | 0.0145 | LOC_Os04g52440.1 | 'amino acid metabolism.synthesis.central amino acid metabolism.GABA.GABA transaminase' |
| PREDICTED: DNA-binding protein MBN1B | 43.4 | 3 | 0.0145 | LOC_Os03g22614.1 | 'mitochondrial electron transport / ATP synthesis.F1-ATPase' |
| xyloglucan endotransglycosylase/hydrolase protein 8 | 15.9 | 2 | 0.0145 | LOC_Os08g13920.1 | 'cell wall modification' |
| ribosomal protein/LOC_Os02g33140.1 | 20.2 | 1 | 0.0145 | LOC_Os02g33140.1 | 'protein.synthesis.ribosomal protein.eukaryotic.40S subunit.S14' |
| Putative Photosystem I reaction center subunit IV | 12.8 | 1 | 0.0145 | LOC_Os07g25430.1 | 'PS.lightreaction.photosystem I.PSI polypeptide subunits' |
| Actin-1 | 15.9 | 1 | 0.0145 | LOC_Os03g50885.1 | cellular component organization |
| Putative peptidyl-prolycis-trans isomerase protein | 6.7 | 1 | 0.0145 | LOC_Os07g37830.1 | 'cell.cycle.peptidylprolyl isomerase' |
| HMG protein | 31.8 | 1 | 0.0145 | LOC_Os04g47690.1 | Biological process (DNA binding) |
| Putative uncharacterized protein | 5.3 | 1 | 0.0145 | LOC_Os12g15470.1 | 'protein.degradation.serine protease' |
| ferredoxin-dependent glutamate synthase, | 2.1 | 1 | 0.0145 | LOC_Os07g46600.1 | 'N.metabolism.ammonia metabolism.glutamate synthase' |
| Os07g0585000 protein | 8.1 | 1 | 0.0145 | LOC_Os07g39620.1 | 'stress.abiotic.cold' |
| putative CR9/Light-regulated protein | 22.7 | 1 | 0.0145 | LOC_Os01g01340.1 | vacuolar transport |
| GDP-mannose 3,5-epimerase 1 | 5.6 | 1 | 0.0145 | LOC_Os10g28200.1 | 'redox.ascorbate and glutathione.ascorbate.GME' |
| Lipid transfer protein-like | 4.8 | 1 | 0.0145 | LOC_Os07g09970.1 | 'misc.protease inhibitor/seed storage/lipid transfer protein (LTP) family protein' |
| TAP protein-like | 1.5 | 1 | 0.0145 | LOC_Os01g47430.2 | DUF1296 domain containing protein |
| Os01g0763650 protein | 7.9 | 1 | 0.0145 | LOC_Os01g0763700 | lipid metabolic process |
| Protein identity                          | % coverage | Peptides | POK/IR64 | pvalue   | MSU ID               | Protein function                                                                 |
|------------------------------------------|------------|----------|----------|----------|----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| dirigent protein 22                      | 6.3        | 1        | 99.0832  | 0.0189   | LOC_Os11g07670.1     | ‘stress.biotic.PR-proteins’                                                       |
| KE2 family protein                       | 5.4        | 1        | 99.0832  | 0.0186   | LOC_Os12g30060.2     | protein metabolic process                                                         |
| Glucan endo-1,3-beta-gluco-            | 5.5        | 1        | 99.0832  | 0.0198   | LOC_Os11g36940.1     | ‘misc.beta 1,3 glucan hydrolases.glucan endo-1,3-beta-gluco-’                     |
| Os08g0459300 protein                     | 5.8        | 1        | 85.5067  | 0.019    | LOC_Os08g35710.1     | ‘PS.lightreaction.other electron carrier (ox/red).ferredoxin’                     |
| LOC_Os03g45340.1/hsp20/alpha crystallin | 15.6       | 1        | 25.3513  | 0.019    | LOC_Os03g45340.1     | response to abiotic stimulus                                                      |
| Os08g0530200/Putative ribosomal protein L17 | 15.8   | 2        | 6.3096   | 0.0441   | LOC_Os08g41810.1     | protein.synthesis.ribosomal protein.eukaryotic.60S subunit.L17’                  |
| HPL1 protein, putative                  | 6.1        | 1        | 15.1356  | 0.0188   | LOC_Os12g44230.1     | ‘cell.organisation’                                                              |
| (B) Control Down                        |            |          |          |          |                      |                                                                                  |
| T-complex protein, putative, beta       | 48.3       | 29       | 0.1585   | 0        | LOC_Os12g17910.1     | ‘protein.folding’                                                                |
| ATP synthase subunit beta               | 46.4       | 18       | 0.4786   | 0.026    | LOC_Os10g21266.1     | ‘mitochondrial electron transport / ATP synthesis.F1-ATPase’                     |
| Putative transketolase                  | 28         | 10       | 0.0692   | 0.0002   | LOC_Os06g04270.1     | ‘PS.calvin cycle.transketolase’                                                  |
| Dehydroascorbate reductase              | 65.3       | 9        | 0.0929   | 0.0485   | LOC_Os05g02530.1     | ‘redox.ascorbate and glutathione.ascorbate’                                      |
| Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase     | 61.1       | 10       | 0.092   | 0.0103   | LOC_Os02g02890.1     | ‘cell.cycle.peptidyl/prolyl isomerase’                                           |
| Glyoxalase                              | 26.5       | 9        | 0.1472   | 0.0436   | LOC_Os08g09250.2     | amino acid metabolism.degradation. aspartate family.threonine’                    |
| peroxiredoxin, putative                | 35.6       | 4        | 0.038    | 0.0465   | LOC_Os02g09940.1     | ‘redox.peroxiredoxin’                                                            |
| T-complex protein, putative              | 14.3       | 4        | 0.3373   | 0.0418   | LOC_Os06g02380.2     | ‘protein.folding’                                                                |
| Carbonic anhydrase                      | 32.7       | 5        | 0.5395   | 0.0208   | LOC_Os01g45274.1     | ‘TCA / org. Transformation.carbonic anhydrases’                                  |
| glycine-rich protein 2, putative       | 47.2       | 3        | 0.138    | 0.014    | LOC_Os01g36570.1     | ‘stress.abiotic.cold’                                                            |
| plasminogen activator inhibitor RNA-binding protein | 30.5 | 4        | 0.5445   | 0.0414   | LOC_Os05g45660.2     | ‘RNA.RNA binding’                                                                |
| NAD dependent epimerase/dehydratase     | 8.4        | 1        | 0.278    | 0.0448   | LOC_Os07g11110.1     | ‘RNA.regulation of transcription.unclassified’                                   |
| 60 kDa chaperonin alpha subunit         | 39.8       | 17       | 0.0871   | 0.0445   | LOC_Os11g47970.1     | AAA-type ATPase family protein                                                   |
| Ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase small chain | 57.7 | 14       | 0.1169   | 0.0039   | LOC_Os12g17600.1     | ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase small chain                                    |
| Putative uncharacterized protein        | 34.9       | 3        | 0.1614   | 0.0324   | LOC_Os03g08800.1     | CutA, chloroplast precursor, putative                                            |
| Protein CutA, chloroplast,              | 20.4       | 1        | 0.9204   | 0.043    | LOC_Os04g50110.1     | RNA recognition motif containing protein,                                         |
| Putative uncharacterized protein        | 8.3        | 2        | 0.0111   | 0.0444   | LOC_Os03g20630.2     | histidine triad family protein                                                   |
| (C) Stress UP                           |            |          |          |          |                      |                                                                                  |
| Oxygen-evolving enhancer protein 1, chloroplast | 58  | 43       | 15.7036  | 0.0028   | LOC_Os01g31690.1     | ‘PS.lightreaction.photosystem II.PSII polypeptide subunits’                      |
| Ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase large chain 1 | 53.5 | 41       | 5.4954   | 0.0126   | LOC_Os10g21268.1     | ‘PS.calvin cycle.rubisco large subunit’                                          |
| PsbP                                     | 71.3       | 32       | 19.0546  | 0.0129   | LOC_Os07g04840.1     | ‘PS.lightreaction.photosystem II.PSII polypeptide subunits’                      |
| Putative inorganic pyrophosphatase      | 65.4       | 22       | 4.7424   | 0.0126   | LOC_Os02g52940.2     | ‘nucleotide metabolism.phosphotransfer and pyrophosphatasemisc’                   |
| Catalase                                | 36.2       | 16       | 3.2509   | 0.0041   | LOC_Os02g02400.1     | ‘redox.dismutases and catalases’                                                 |
| protein ribosome recycling factor, putative | 49.6 | 18       | 3.1623   | 0.0001   | LOC_Os07g38300.1     | ‘cell.division’                                                                  |
| Phosphoribulokinase                     | 45.2       | 16       | 2.5119   | 0.0214   | LOC_Os02g47020.1     | ‘PS.calvin cycle.PRK’                                                            |

Lakra et al. Rice (2019) 12:3
| Protein identity                                                                 | % coverage | Peptides | POK/IR64 | pvalue   | MSU ID                      | Protein function                                                                 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| protein|salt stress root protein RS1                                                      | 71.6       | 13       | 7.5858   | 0.0005 LOC_Os01g13210.2    | ‘stress,abiotic+drought/salt’                                                   |
| Carbonic anhydrase                                                              | 53.3       | 16       | 8.1658   | 0.0016   | LOC_Os01g45274.1           | ‘TCA / org. Transformation,carbonic anhydrases’                                 |
| RNA recognition motif containing protein                                        | 49         | 12       | 5.4954   | 0.002    | LOC_Os09g10760.1           | ‘RNA.RNA binding’                                                               |
| ABA/WDS induced protein, protein|expressed protein                                                        | 58         | 10       | 4.8306   | 0.0302 LOC_Os11g06720.1    | abscisic stress-ripening                                                        |
| 30S ribosomal protein S1, chloroplast                                          | 23.7       | 6        | 2.0893   | 0.0321   | LOC_Os03g20100.1           | ‘protein.synthesis,ribosomal protein.prokaryotic.unknown organellar.30S subunit.S1’ |
| 30S ribosomal protein S31, chloroplast precursor,                              | 37.3       | 5        | 3.1046   | 0.001    | LOC_Os05g09400.3           | translation                                                                     |
| Putative group 3 LEA protein                                                    | 31.5       | 3        | 5.445    | 0.001    | LOC_Os03g63480.1           | reproduction, post-embryonic development, embryo development                    |
| Ankyrin repeat domain protein 2,                                                | 15.1       | 3        | 1.5136   | 0.0012   | LOC_Os03g63480.1           | ‘RNA.regulation of transcription,AtSR Transcription Factor family’             |
| protein|OsCML7 - Calmodulin-related calcium sensor protein                               | 32.4       | 3        | 1.6596   | 0.0248 LOC_Os08g02420.1    | ‘signalling,calcium’                                                            |
| Class III peroxidase 125                                                        | 13.1       | 3        | 6.2517   | 0.0012   | LOC_Os10g02040.1           | ‘misc.peroxidases’                                                              |
| linker histone H1 and H5 family protein                                         | 20.2       | 5        | 52.9663  | 0.0181   | LOC_Os08g33190.1           | ‘DNA.synthesis/chromatin structure.histone’                                     |
| Lipid transfer protein-like                                                     | 9.5        | 2        | 83.1764  | 0.0179   | LOC_Os08g42040.1           | ‘lipid metabolism,lipid transfer proteins etc’                                  |
| 1,2-dihydroxy-3-keto-5-methylthiopentene dioxygenase protein                   | 26.3       | 1        | 1.028    | 0.0176   | LOC_Os03g06620.1           | ‘metal handling.regulation’                                                     |
| oxygen evolving enhancer protein 3                                              | 66.8       | 47       | 11.1686  | 0.01     | LOC_Os07g36080.1           | ‘PS.lightreaction,photosystem ILPSII polypeptide subunits’                      |
| Ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase activase,                           | 57.2       | 38       | 3.4995   | 0.0003   | LOC_Os11g47970.1           | ‘PS.calvin cycle.rubisco interacting’                                           |
| ferredoxin-dependent glutamate synthase                                         | 13         | 9        | 2.6546   | 0.0018   | LOC_Os07g46460.1           | ‘N-metabolism.ammonia metabolism. glutamate synthase’                           |
| Putative uncharacterized protein                                                | 40.5       | 9        | 9.3756   | 0.0202   | XP_015634836.1             | probable peroxosomal membrane protein PEX13                                     |
| Os01g0326000 protein/peroxidase 1                                               | 19.9       | 5        | 2.0137   | 0.0473   | LOC_Os01g22230.1           | ‘misc.peroxidases’                                                              |
| CDGSH iron-sulfur domain-containing protein NEET                                 | 52.3       | 4        | 10.3753  | 0.0159   | LOC_Os07g28400.1           | biological_process                                                              |
| Os04g0445200 protein                                                            | 56.5       | 3        | 3.4995   | 0.0045   | LOC_Os04g36760.1           | NO                                                                              |
| Q8LQN2|Q8LQN2_ORYSJ                                                                     | 24.6       | 5        | 1.3932   | 0.0463   | LOC_Os01g57570.1           | NADPH-dependent FMN reductase domain containing protein                         |
| BTI7 - Bowman-Birk type bran trypsin inhibitor precursor                        | 15.1       | 2        | 2.2491   | 0.0023   | LOC_Os01g03390.1           | ‘RNA.RNA binding’                                                               |
| OSINBa0086C0622 protein/31 kDa ribonucleoprotein                               | 10.7       | 3        | 1.9588   | 0.0013   | LOC_Os04g50110.1           | ‘RNA.RNA binding’                                                               |
| Os06g0671900 protein/QODA75|QODA75_ORYSJ                                                                      | 13.6       | 2        | 1.2246   | 0.0378   | LOC_Os06g46000.1           | ‘tubulin/FtsZ domain containing protein                                         |
| LTPL52 - Protease inhibitor/seed storage                                         | 21.8       | 2        | 2.3768   | 0.0009   | LOC_Os03g26820.1           | transport                                                                      |
| Putative SHOOT1 protein                                                         | 18.8       | 1        | 5.2966   | 0.0319   | LOC_Os07g07540.1           | response to stress                                                              |
| Glutamate dehydrogenase                                                        | 7.8        | 1        | 99.0832  | 0.019    | LOC_Os03g58040.2           | ‘N-metabolism,N-degradation.glutamate dehydrogenase’                            |
Table 1 Differentially expressed proteins in shoot tissues of pokkali w.r.t IR64 (Continued)

| Protein identity                  | % coverage | Peptides | POK/IR64 pvalue | MSU ID            | Protein function                                                                 |
|-----------------------------------|------------|----------|----------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Alpha-tubulin                     | 9.1        | 1        | 0.0184         | LOC_Os11g14220.1  | cell organisation/response to abiotic stimulus                                   |
| (D) Stress Down                   |            |          |                |                   |                                                                                 |
| enolase, putative                 | 37.1       | 9        | 0.0065         | LOC_Os10g08550.1  | ‘glycolysis, cytosolic branch.enolase’                                          |
| ubiquitin fusion protein, putative| 61.2       | 13       | 0.0313         | LOC_Os09g39500.1  | ‘protein degradation.ubiquitin.ubiquitin’                                       |
| Glyoxalase                        | 33.7       | 10       | 0.0121         | LOC_Os08g09250.2  | amino acid metabolism.degradation.aspartate family.threonine’                   |
| Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate        | 37.1       | 10       | 0.0005         | LOC_Os04g40950.1  | ‘glycolysis, cytosolic branch.glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAP-DH)’|
| Malate dehydrogenase              | 37.4       | 7        | 0.0326         | LOC_Os10g33800.1  | ‘TCA / org. Transformation.other organic acid transformations.cyt MDH’         |
| Nucleoside diphosphate kinase     | 48.3       | 5        | 0.0283         | LOC_Os10g41410.2  | ‘nucleotide metabolism.phosphotransfer and pyrophosphatases.nucleoside diphosphate kinase’ |
| Stress responsive protein         | 20.3       | 3        | 0.0276         | LOC_Os01g01450.1  | Stress responsive protein                                                        |
| L11 domain containing ribosomal protein | 31.3   | 3        | 0.0081         | LOC_Os02g47140.1  | ‘protein.synthesis.ribosomal protein. eukaryotic 60S subunit’                    |
| Class III peroxidase 6S           | 21.6       | 6        | 0.0181         | LOC_Os05g04380.1  | ‘misc. peroxidases’                                                              |
| RNase S-like protein              | 30.2       | 3        | 0.0067         | LOC_Os09g36680.1  | ‘RNA-processing.ribonucleases’                                                   |
| Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase       | 13         | 3        | 0.0162         | LOC_Os02g41630.2  | ‘secondary metabolism,phenylpropanoids. lignin biosynthesis.PAL’                 |
| Probable aldo-keto reductase 2    | 19.1       | 2        | 0.016          | LOC_Os04g26910.1  | ‘hormone metabolism.auxin.induced-regulated-responsive-activated’               |
| ranBP1 domain containing protein  | 5.7        | 2        | 0.0075         | LOC_Os05g29180.1  | ‘signalling.G-proteins’                                                          |
| Putative Ras-GTPase-activating protein binding protein 1 | 13.1 | 1 | 0.0451 | LOC_Os02g29480.1 | ‘protein.targeting.nucleus’ |
| Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase    | 30.2       | 8        | 0.0041         | LOC_Os01g06780.1  | ‘PS.calvin cycle.aldolase’                                                       |
| enoyl-acyl-carrier-protein reductase NADH | 22.6 | 5 | 0.0133 | LOC_Os08g23810.1 | ‘lipid metabolism.FA synthesis and FA elongation.enoyl ACP reductase’            |
| dehydrogenase, putative           | 27.2       | 3        | 0.0169         | LOC_Os08g29170.1  | ‘misc. oxidases - copper, flavone etc.’                                          |
| SOR/SNZ family protein            | 22.7       | 4        | 0.0257         | LOC_Os10g01080.1  | ‘Co-factor and vitamine metabolism’                                              |
| ketol-acid reductoisomerase       | 10.3       | 3        | 0.0359         | LOC_Os05g49800.1  | ‘amino acid metabolism.synthesis.branched chain group.common’                   |
| NAD dependent epimerase/ dehydratase family | 10.6 | 3 | 0.0056 | LOC_Os03g16980.1 | ‘cell wall precursor synthesis.UXS’                                              |
| erythronate-4-phosphate dehydrogenase domain containing transaldolase | 22.6 | 3 | 0.0119 | LOC_Os06g29180.1 | ‘C1 metabolism’                                                                 |
| peptidase, T1 family              | 12.3       | 2        | 0.0146         | LOC_Os01g70170.1  | ‘OPP.non-reductive PP.transaldolase’                                             |
| actin, putative                   | 11.5       | 2        | 0.04          | LOC_Os02g4330.2   | ‘protein degradation.ubiquitin.proteasom’                                        |
| RNA recognition motif containing protein | 21     | 2 | 0.048  | LOC_Os11g06390.4 | ‘cell organisation’                                                             |
| osmotin, putative                 | 11.6       | 2        | 0.0451         | LOC_Os12g38170.1  | ‘stress.abiotic’                                                                 |
| NADPH-dependent FMN reductase domain containing protein | 19.2 | 3 | 0.0492 | LOC_Os08g0460.1 | ‘lipid metabolism."exotics"(steroids, squalene etc)’ |
Table 2: Differentially expressed proteins in root tissues of pokkali w.r.t IR64

| Protein identity | % coverage | Peptides | POK/IR64 | pvalue | MSU ID | Protein function |
|------------------|------------|----------|----------|--------|--------|------------------|
| Os10g0191300 protein | 62.5 | 43 | 2.208 | 0.0038 | LOC_Os10g11500.1 | ‘stress.biotic’ |
| Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase | 37.4 | 32 | 1.5417 | 0.012 | LOC_Os02g41630.2 | ‘secondary metabolism,phenylpropanoids,lignin biosynthesis,PAL’ |
| Class III peroxidase 86 | 48.2 | 21 | 1.977 | 0.0032 | LOC_Os06g35520.1 | ‘misc.peroxidases’ |
| Putative r40c1 protein-rice | 41.6 | 14 | 1.8707 | 0.0498 | LOC_Os03g21040.2 | Stress responsive protein |
| Os03g07127000 protein | 28.2 | 10 | 2.5823 | 0.0167 | LOC_Os03g50480.1 | ‘not assigned,unknown’ |
| Malate dehydrogenase | 41.6 | 10 | 17.2187 | 0.0011 | LOC_Os10g33800.1 | ‘TCA / Org. Transformation,other organic acid transformations,cyt MDH’ |
| Methylnalalate semi-aldehyde dehydrogenase | 23.6 | 11 | 2.0137 | 0.0305 | LOC_Os07g09060.1 | ‘amino acid metabolism,degradation,branched-chain group,Valine’ |
| UTP--glucose-1-phosphate uridylyltransferase, putative | 31.5 | 10 | 5.5976 | 0.0071 | LOC_Os09g38030.1 | biological process |
| Beta-1,3-glucanase (Fragment) | 30.4 | 10 | 2.2909 | 0.0032 | LOC_Os05g1570.1 | ‘misc.beta 1,3 glucan hydrolases,glucan endo-1,3-beta-glucosidase’ |
| peroxidase precursor, putative, | 24 | 7 | 5.5976 | 0.0038 | LOC_Os12g18700.1 | ‘misc.peroxidases’ |
| leucine aminopeptidase, chloroplast precursor | 10 | 4 | 3.6644 | 0.0036 | LOC_Os03g21040.2 | ‘protein degradation’ |
| 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase, decarboxylating | 20.4 | 4 | 2.1806 | 0.0291 | LOC_Os09g2144.1 | ‘OPP,oxygenative PP,6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase’ |
| succinyl-CoA ligase beta-chain, mitochondrial precursor | 14.7 | 3 | 3.0761 | 0.0114 | LOC_Os10g40830.1 | ‘TCA / Org. Transformation,TCA,succinyl-CoA ligase’ |
| Os02g0582900 protein | 37.2 | 8 | 16.293 | 0.0013 | LOC_Os02g0582900.1 | ‘NOT’ |
| Adenine phosphoribosyltransferase 1, putative, | 22.1 | 3 | 99.0832 | 0.0004 | LOC_Os12g18700.1 | ‘nucleotide metabolism,salvage,phosphoribosyltransferases,aprt’ |
| Superoxide dismutase | 30.4 | 7 | 2.5351 | 0.0012 | LOC_Os02g0582900.1 | ‘redox.dismutases and catalases’ |
| Putative isomerase | 15.3 | 2 | 2.9087 | 0.0085 | LOC_Os09g13302.2 | ‘amino acid metabolism,degradation,aromatic aa,tyrosine’ |
| Proteasome subunit alpha type | 22.4 | 2 | 1.888 | 0.0031 | LOC_Os11g40140.1 | ‘protein degradation,ubiquitin,proteasom’ |
| Sucrose synthase 2 | 45 | 1 | 2.3768 | 0.0195 | LOC_Os09g29450.3 | ‘major CHO metabolism,degradation,sucrose,Susy’ |
| 60 kDa chaperonin | 9.2 | 1 | 71.1214 | 0.0188 | LOC_Os10g32550.1 | ‘protein folding’ |
| Os02g0583700 protein/hypothetical protein | 37.7 | 9 | 38.7258 | 0.0055 | LOC_Os02g0582900.1 | ‘protein folding’ |
| Malic enzyme (Fragment) OS=Oryza sativa subsp. japonica GN=Os01g0723400 PE = 3 SV = 1 | 26.2 | 11 | 2.5351 | 0.0012 | LOC_Os01g21040.1 | ‘TCA / Org. Transformation,other organic acid transformations,malic’ |
| Aldehyde dehydrogenase OS=Oryza sativa GN = Adh PE = 2 SV = 1 | 20.8 | 7 | 3.4674 | 0.0014 | LOC_Os06g15990.1 | ‘fermentation,aldehyde dehydrogenase’ |
| Os02g0196800 protein OS=Oryza sativa subsp. japonica GN=OJ1524_D018.1 PE = 2 SV = 1 | 16.3 | 2 | 7.656 | 0.038 | LOC_Os02g10310.1 | ‘amino acid metabolism,degradation,aromatic aa,tyrosine’ |
| Putative chaperonin 21 OS=Oryza sativa subsp. japonica GN=B1172G12.2 PE = 3 SV = 1 | 30.1 | 2 | 1.6144 | 0.0032 | LOC_Os06g09688.1 | ‘protein folding’ |
| Class III peroxidase 70 OS=Oryza sativa subsp. japonica GN = prx70 PE = 3 SV = 1 | 16.7 | 3 | 99.0832 | 0.0187 | LOC_Os05g4490.1 | ‘misc.peroxidases’ |
Table 2  Differentially expressed proteins in root tissues of pokkali w.r.t IR64 (Continued)

| Protein identity | % coverage | Peptides | POK/IR64 | p-value | MSU ID | Protein function |
|------------------|------------|----------|----------|---------|--------|------------------|
| endo-1,3;1,4-beta-D-glucanase precursor | 15.5 | 1 | 8.2414 | 0.0397 | LOC_Os05g33100.1 | response to abiotic stimulus |
| Class III peroxidase OS=Oryza sativa subsp. japonica GN=OsJ118_B06.10 PE = 3 SV = 1 | 24.2 | 1 | 8.9536 | 0.0364 | LOC_Os09g02490.1 | 'misc.peroxidases' |
| MPI, putative OS=Oryza sativa subsp. japonica GN=LOC_Os12g36220 PE = 4 SV = 2 | 28.8 | 2 | 87.9023 | 0.0168 | LOC_Os12g36220.1 | negative regulation of endopeptidase activity, response to stress |
| similar to oxygen evolving enhancer protein 3 domain containing protein, Ferredoxin-NADP reductase binding protein | 12 | 1 | 54.9541 | 0.0184 | LOC_Os07g36080.1 | protein modification process |
| Putative L-asparaginase OS=Oryza sativa subsp. japonica GN=OSJNBa0087M10.11 PE = 4 SV = 1 | 7.1 | 1 | 99.0832 | 0.0175 | LOC_Os03g04070.1 | 'amino acid metabolism, degradation, aspartate family, asparagine, L-asparaginase' |
| **(B) Control Down** | | | | | | |
| Acidic PR-1 type pathogenesis-related protein PR-1a OS=Oryza sativa subsp. japonica GN=PR-1a PE = 2 SV = 1 | 46.4 | 7 | 0.5702 | 0.0429 | LOC_Os07g03710.1 | 'stress.biotic' |
| Os08g0162800 protein OS=Oryza sativa subsp. japonica GN=P0577B11.140 PE = 4 SV = 1 | 65.9 | 9 | 0.2679 | 0.0035 | LOC_Os08g06550.1 | 'lipid metabolism, FA synthesis and FA elongation, acyl-CoA binding protein' |
| Ascorbate peroxidase OS=Oryza sativa subsp. japonica GN=Os07g0694700 PE = 2 SV = 1 | 37.1 | 5 | 0.4246 | 0.028 | LOC_Os07g04900.1 | 'redox, ascorbate and glutathione, ascorbate' |
| Triosephosphate isomerase OS=Oryza sativa subsp. japonica GN=P0569E11.2 | 16.8 | 4 | 0.0855 | 0.0233 | LOC_Os09g02650.1 | 'PS.calvin cycle, TPI' |
| peroxidase precursor, | 26.5 | 7 | 0.1406 | 0.0115 | LOC_Os04g59190.1 | 'misc.peroxidases' |
| fructose-bisphosphate aldolase isozyme | 10.7 | 2 | 0.1127 | 0.0165 | LOC_Os11g07200.1 | carbohydrate metabolic process |
| Ubiquitin family domain containing protein | 23.1 | 3 | 0.6252 | 0.0184 | LOC_Os02g02150.1 | 'protein degradation, ubiquitin, ubiquitin' |
| RNA recognition motif containing protein | 15.3 | 1 | 0.0111 | 0.0487 | LOC_Os01g068790.2 | 'RNA.RNA binding' |
| **(C) Stress UP** | | | | | | |
| Os01g0326000/ peroxidase | 26.3 | 6 | 23.1206 | 0.0005 | LOC_Os01g02230.1 | 'misc.peroxidases' |
| triosephosphate isomerase cytosolic | 14.5 | 2 | 2.3335 | 0.0502 | LOC_Os01g05490.1 | 'PS.calvin cycle, TPI' |
| calreticulin precursor protein | 25.7 | 6 | 4.1305 | 0.0682 | LOC_Os07g14270.3 | 'signalling, calcium' |
| Os02g0167300/tubulin beta-5 chain | 78 | 3 | 2.6792 | 0.0341 | LOC_Os02g07060.1 | 'cellorganisation' |
| **(D) Stress Down** | | | | | | |
| 5-methyltetrahydropteroylglutamate-homocysteine methyltransferase, 5-methyltetrahydropteroylglutamate-homocysteine methyltransferase, 5-methyltetrahydropteroylglutamate-homocysteine methyltransferase | 19.3 | 4 | 0.5248 | 0.0053 | LOC_Os01g02876.1 | 'amino acid metabolism, synthesis, aspartate family, methionine' |
| BBTI4 - Bowman-Birk type bran trypsin inhibitor precursor | 19.5 | 2 | 0.2988 | 0.0454 | LOC_Os01g02340.1 | NO |
| retrotransposon protein, putative, Tyl-copia subclass | 31.6 | 2 | 0.3251 | 0.0044 | LOC_Os08g02352.0 | 'stress, abiotic cold' |
| thiol protease SENT02 precursor | 19 | 4 | 0.4831 | 0.0067 | LOC_Os09g03907.0 | 'protein degradation, cysteine protease' |
involved in stress response and adaptation in comparison to IR64. However, it should be noted that there are other stress-responsive proteins in the Pokkali proteome as well, which though are more abundant than in IR64 but have not been considered here (present in higher amount with a little change in their abundance) and hence, need low increment in their abundance for appropriately performing their functions.

Hierarchical clustering of differentially expressed proteins in Pokkali vs IR64

Hierarchical clustering analysis was performed to understand the pattern of protein expression in Pokkali vs IR64 under control and stress conditions. For this, proteins common between control and stressed proteome of Pokkali and IR64 were taken for analysis. Twenty three proteins grouped as cluster one, were found to be highly expressed in Pokkali under control conditions in comparison to IR64 but under salinity stress, their expression pattern reversed where these proteins exhibited higher expression levels in IR64 than Pokkali. These proteins included four prolyl peptidyl cis-trans isomerases (PPiases), a superoxide dismutase protein, a metallo-beta lactamase protein, DnaK protein, OsAPX6, coproporphyrinogen oxidase and Calvin cycle protein CP12 (Fig. 5a). However, in this cluster, there were few proteins which were though highly expressed under control conditions in Pokkali but little change under stress conditions such as peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerases (Os02g52290.1 and Os06g45340.1), peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase FKBP type, elongation factor and DnaK family protein (Os12g14070.1 and Os02g53420.1) (Listed in Additional file 4: Table S2). Further, few proteins grouped in cluster 2, such as, OsCML7 and a histone protein, exhibited a minimal change in their expression pattern under stress when compared to the differential control proteome of Pokkali. The third type of cluster was composed of proteins which exhibited low expression in Pokkali viz. IR64 under non-stress conditions but showed a significant increase in Pokkali under stress. This category included various proteins such as, ankyrin repeat domain protein 2, catalase, 30S ribosomal proteins, thylakoid luminal protein, LEA protein, ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase and phosphoribulokinase (Cluster 3; Fig. 5a). Cluster 4, which comprised of proteins with increased expression in Pokkali with respect to IR64 under both control and stress conditions were also observed. This group comprised proteins such as oxygen evolving enhancer proteins (OEE), thioredoxin, Class III peroxidase, and lipid transfer proteins (Fig. 5a).

Similarly, the differentially expressed proteins in root proteome of Pokkali were also found to be clustered into various groups (Fig. 5b). A number of proteins like malate dehydrogenase, peroxidase, 60 kDa chaperonin protein,
adenine phosphoribosyltransferase 1 (APRT1), methylmalonate semi-aldehyde (MMSDH), 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase (6PGDH), Succinyl-CoA ligase (SCoAL), and leucine aminopeptidase were found to be highly abundant under non-stress conditions in Pokkali then to IR64 but under stress, levels of these proteins either increased in IR64 with respect to Pokkali or decreased with respect to their control levels in Pokkali (Cluster 1; Fig. 5b). Another group comprised of proteins whose expression levels remained low in control as well as in stress conditions in Pokkali with respect to IR64 and included proteins such as, thiol protease, acidic PR-1 type pathogenesis related protein, and sucrose synthase (Cluster 2; Fig. 5b). The third group comprised of proteins like calreticulin and triose phosphate isomerase which were either low in expression or exhibited only marginally higher expression in Pokkali with respect to IR64 under control conditions but their expression ratio (Pokkali vs. IR64) increased under stress conditions (Cluster 3; Fig. 5b).

Transcript abundance of selected genes using qRT-PCR
In order to investigate the correlation between the transcript and protein profiles of differentially expressed proteins, we measured the transcript levels of few selected proteins under both control and stress conditions in Pokkali and IR64. Twelve proteins obtained from shoot proteome and four...
from root proteome were selected for this analysis. Proteins selected from shoot proteome primarily belonged to three major functional categories viz. protein metabolic process, photosynthesis and stress response as shown in Fig. 4a. Proteins such as, oxygen-evolving enhancer protein (OEE) 1, (PsbP), lipid transfer protein (LTP) - Protease inhibitor/seeds storage, salt stress root protein RS1 and Ribosomal L9 (Rib L9) exhibited enhanced transcript levels under control as well as stress conditions in Pokkali with respect to IR64, much like their protein levels (Fig. 6 a-l). Other proteins such as, Calvin cycle protein CP12 (chloroplast protein), oxygen-evolving enhancer protein (OEE3) and ribosomal protein (RibP), exhibited an increase in their transcript levels in Pokkali under control conditions in correlation with their protein levels but under stress, though relative expression levels of these proteins remained higher in Pokkali vs. IR64, their transcript profile indicated that transcript accumulation was more in IR64 than Pokkali at 2 h of stress (Fig. 6 d,g,j). Ascorbate peroxidase (APX) was found to be the only protein whose transcript and protein levels showed positive correlation in their expression pattern at both control and stress conditions. Further, glutamate dehydrogenase (GluDH) protein levels though were not detectable under control conditions but transcript profile showed higher accumulation in Pokkali under control conditions. Under stress, both protein and transcript abundance of GluDH was more in Pokkali viz. IR64. Another protein, ribosomal protein (Rib L9) was is detected only under control conditions at protein level but transcripts accumulated under both control and stress conditions in Pokkali. A carbonic anhydrase (CA), was found to show increased transcript accumulation under both control as well as stress conditions in Pokkali with respect to IR64 but by contrast, its protein levels were less in Pokkali than IR64 under control conditions (Fig. 6 a-l).

Four proteins including, two triose phosphate isomerases (TPI), a sucrose synthase (Susy) and a peroxidase, obtained from the root proteome analysis were also studied for their transcript alterations in Pokkali and IR64. The transcript accumulation pattern of TPI matched its protein expression profiles under both control and stress conditions, however sucrose synthase exhibited an opposite transcript accumulation pattern in comparison to its protein profile under control conditions in Pokkali. Under salinity stress, however both transcript and protein levels of sucrose synthase were found to increase in Pokkali in comparison to IR64 (Fig. 6 m-p). Another candidate, peroxidase, showed an increase in transcript levels under both control and stress.

![Fig. 6 Salinity-regulated transcript profile of genes encoding selected proteins obtained from the proteome study. Histograms show fold change in expression in shoot (a-l) and root tissues (m-p) obtained using qRT-PCR. Genes used for the analysis were identified through iTRAQ proteome studies. Expression values have been calculated relative to non-stressed controls of IR64, taken as 1.](image)
conditions in Pokkali even though its protein levels could not be detected under control conditions (Fig. 6 m-p). Overall, we could observe the differential pattern of gene expression with some genes showing correlations between their protein and transcript profiles.

**Protein-protein interaction network among differentially expressed proteins of Pokkali**

To predict the relationship among the differentially expressed proteins, two protein-protein interaction (PPI) networks were created using the differential Pokkali proteome which comprised of both root and shoot proteins. The analysis was carried out using STRING web tool and provided an overview of the differential protein networks operating in Pokkali w.r.t IR64 under control and stress conditions (Fig. 7 a, b). Of the 126 proteins differentially expressed under control conditions in Pokkali root and shoot tissues, 54 proteins were depicted in the network which showed interactions with each other and formed major clusters (Fig. 7a). The photosynthetic protein cluster comprising OEE1, OEE3, PsbP, thylakoid lumen protein and RuBisCo formed a major module which interacted with the network of metabolic enzymes involved in TCA/calvin cycle such as, transketolase and FBP aldolase (Fig. 7a). Further, stress-responsive genes such as superoxide dismutase, peroxidase, thioredoxin, and glyoxalase were also included in this interaction module, being connected with the above two networks of proteins. Notably, a chaperone protein network was also enriched under control conditions however, under stress, out of the 71 differentially expressed proteins in Pokkali shoot and root tissues, 32 were depicted in the network (Fig. 7b). The protein-protein interaction network of stressed Pokkali proteome retained the photosynthesis-related protein module and included glycolysis/TCA cycle protein network as well (Fig. 7b). In addition to this, proteins involved in RNA binding and translation formed another module, which was not seen under control conditions.

**Discussion**

Salinity stress is an important constraint for agriculture affecting food production worldwide. So far, many studies have been undertaken to decipher the intricate processes operating under stress but still more efforts are needed to elucidate the components of stress response and adaptation. In the present work, we have studied the proteomes of Pokkali and IR64 under non-stress conditions as well as in response to short-term salinity stress using iTRAQ. We specifically chose an early, short duration stress (2 h) for our study, as we believe that some important changes needed for stress adaptation occur during this initial phase and it is during this time that the fate of plant survival is decided. However, it should not mean that later stages of salinity stress are not crucial for plant survival as recovery responses also need to be very efficient to help plants emerge from the stress. In the present study, our focus was to investigate initial phase of salinity response, which is in fact, a state of osmotic shock for the plants wherein, plants suffer more from the detrimental effects of changes in osmolarity rather than the accumulation of Na⁺ ions. Na⁺ accumulation contributes to ion toxicity at much later stages of the salinity response. Further, we have also investigated non-stress conditions to get insights into the pre-existing differences in the proteome of the two genotypes.

Our initial experiments demonstrated that Na⁺ accumulated in the shoot and root of Pokkali and IR64 seedlings after 2 h of salinity treatment as an indication towards the initiation of the salinity stress, but the increase was found to be more in shoots in IR64 whereas Pokkali showed more Na⁺ accumulation in roots. The capacity to exclude sodium from the shoot is usually an important determinant of salt tolerance in plants (Garthwaite et al. 2005; Kumari et al. 2009). In this context, Na⁺ accumulation pattern in potato varieties suggested a correlation between Na⁺ accumulation and stress tolerance, where the salt-sensitive potato variety Mozart was found to show higher sodium accumulation in leaves than roots and stem than the tolerant Desiree variety (Jaarsma et al. 2013). Though ion toxicity builds up during later stages of salinity stress, but even at 2 h, we could observe a similar pattern of Na⁺ accumulation in the genotypes, being higher in the shoot of salt-sensitive IR64 rice as compared to salt-tolerant Pokkali. Further, we detected higher levels of H₂O₂ in roots of Pokkali as compared to IR64 under stress conditions. Higher ROS levels in the system are usually an indicator of oxidative stress but higher levels may also confer ability for the constitutive activation of defense pathways that in turn keeps the tolerant cultivars prepared for adaptation to salt stress conditions (Kaur et al. 2016). On similar lines, a study conducted in salt-sensitive and salt-tolerant varieties of rice have revealed higher H₂O₂ and lower superoxide levels in the salt-tolerant varieties as compared to the sensitive ones (Kaur et al. 2016).

Pokkali and IR64 protein profiles revealed the expression-related differences in the two genotypes even under non-stress conditions. Eighty-six proteins were found to be significantly different in their expression pattern in Pokkali shoot tissues with respect to IR64 under control conditions. In roots, around 40 such proteins were identified to be possessing different expression levels in Pokkali and IR64. Importantly, these proteins were enriched in photosynthetic and protein metabolism-related functions in the shoot. For instance, photosynthesis related proteins such as Oxygen-evolving enhancer protein 1, PsbP, thylakoid lumenal 16.5 kDa
proteins were present at higher levels in Pokkali than IR64 under non-stress conditions. In agreement, we have previously shown that Pokkali possesses higher photosynthetic rate than IR64 under non-stress conditions (Lakra et al. 2018). Further, proteins related to stress response such as, superoxide dismutase, ascorbate peroxidase, peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerases, glyoxalase II and thioredoxin were also found to be enriched in Pokkali under non-stress conditions. This is in agreement with the previously reported higher activity of antioxidant machinery in Pokkali in comparison to IR64 under non-stress conditions such as, of superoxide dismutase, glutathione peroxidase, glutathione reductase, glyoxalases and catalase (El-Shabrawi et al. 2010; Lee et al. 2013). In addition, other proteins such as LTPs (Lipid transfer proteins), coproporphyrinogen III oxidase, phytochyanin related protein Pn14, NDPK1, HMG (high mobility group) protein and ferredoxin-dependent
glutamate synthase also showed a higher expression in Pokkali under control conditions. NDPKs, which are among the oldest known proteins, mainly function in maintaining the metabolic balance between NTPs and NDPs in cells, signal transduction, elongation of rice coleoptile and plant stress response (Hasunuma et al. 2003; Ryu et al. 2005; Dorion et al. 2006). Interestingly, we found around 27 proteins in the shoot proteome of Pokkali to be > 60-fold higher in expression as compared to IR64 which included several stress responsive candidates.

In root proteome, proteins related to metabolism were enriched under normal conditions indicating high metabolic activity in roots of Pokkali. Proteins such as, triose phosphate isomerase, malate dehydrogenase, succinyl-CoA ligase beta-chain, sucrose synthase and malic enzyme were present at higher levels in Pokkali in comparison to IR64 which may result in the production of higher levels of sugar in roots. In this context, it has been reported that the accumulation of total soluble sugars and sucrose occurs to higher levels in the leaves of salt-sensitive rice variety, Khao Dawk Mali 105 in comparison to salt-tolerant Pokkali both under non-stress and stress conditions (Pattanagul and Thitisaksakul 2008). However, authors did not measure sugar level in roots. Further, Pokkali accumulates starch instead of sucrose in response to stress which may be a way of partitioning sugars to avoid metabolic alterations in response to salinity stress (Pattanagul and Thitisaksakul 2008). Around 5 proteins in root proteome of Pokkali had > 60-fold abundance when compared to IR64.

Under salinity stress, levels of proteins related to photosynthesis such as, oxygen-evolving enhancer protein 1, PsbP and oxygen evolving enhancer protein 3 remained elevated in the shoots of Pokkali. Further, proteins such as, Ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase large chain 1 and Ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase activase, were also increased under stress, in agreement with the observed increased photosynthetic activity in Pokkali under stress (Lakra et al. 2018). In addition, other proteins such as ankyrin repeat domain protein 2, LEA, OsCML7, ABA/WDS induced protein, inorganic pyrophosphatase, carbonic anhydrase and LTP were also found to be highly expressed under stress. The ankyrin repeat domain is present in some inward rectifying channels in plants which are involved in the low-affinity K⁺ transport (Fox and Guerinot 1998). Further, LTPs transport cutin or wax to the plasma membrane as a protection against water loss. Cutin is in fact, one of the main components of the plant cuticle which functions as a barrier against water loss.

Notably, a glutamate dehydrogenase protein was also highly elevated in Pokkali under stress. This enzyme acts as an important link between TCA cycle and amino acids metabolism and appears to have a significant role in the provision of carbon skeleton under conditions of carbon limitation (Athwal et al. 1997). A similar increase in the levels of this protein has also been reported in salt-tolerant rice varieties, CSR-1 and CSR-3 in comparison to salt-sensitive Ratna and Jaya varieties (Kumar et al. 2000). Another protein CDGSH iron sulfur protein (also referred to as mitoNEET) was found to be higher under stress in pokkali. CDGSH iron sulfur domains are generally located in the mitochondrial membranes and serve as transport channels for electron gradient regulation and iron transport (Lin et al. 2011). These proteins play a key role in modulating maximal capacity for electron transport and oxidative phosphorylation and are even involved in Fe-S cluster shuttling and in redox reactions.

Furthermore, a ferredoxin-dependent glutamate synthase protein showed 64-fold increase in Pokkali in comparison to IR64 under control conditions. It is known to be involved in glutamate biosynthesis in leaf and is also required for the re-assimilation of ammonium ions generated during photorespiration. In addition, we also found proteins such as, coproporphyrinogen III oxidase, lipid transfer protein (LTP), clathrin protein, RAD23, HMG transcription factor and ribosomal protein S11, to be highly expressed in Pokkali. The coproporphyrinogen III oxidase is involved in heme and chlorophyll biosynthesis and high mobility group (HMG) proteins play key functions in replication, transcription and nucleosome assembly.

In roots, a few proteins which showed altered levels under stress included peroxidases and TPI. Both these proteins have been known to be important for stress response. In fact, TPI has been shown to be regulated by methylglyoxal (MG), a toxic byproduct of glycolysis whose levels increase under stress (Sharma et al. 2012). MG induces TPI activity, which by metabolizing triose sugars prevents accumulation of MG in the system. Hence, TPI plays an important role in stress alleviation in plants by limiting MG levels (Sharma et al. 2012). Expression pattern of few proteins obtained from this analysis correlated well with those of our previous study. Proteins such as, oxygen-evolving enhancer protein 1, ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase large chain precursor, PsbP, ribose-5-phosphate isomerase A, superoxide dismutase, etc. were found through both iTRAQ (present study) and two-dimensional gel electrophoresis (Lakra et al. 2018), to be induced at 2 h in response to salinity stress. Determination of transcript levels of few proteins obtained from the present analysis revealed correlation in the transcript and protein expression pattern of some proteins.

Overall through this study, we could get some important insights into the differences in proteomes of salt-tolerant Pokkali and salt-sensitive IR64 under both normal and stress conditions. To summarize, Additional file 5: Figure S3 provides an overview of the differentially
expressed proteins of Pokkali under both control and stressed conditions. The results indicated that most of these proteins were engaged in light reaction, redox related processes and stress responsive process. Our results suggest that Pokkali maintains a high activity of vital pathways such as, photosynthesis and of stress-responsive proteins, even under non-stress conditions which allow its survival and better adaptation under stress.

Conclusions
Salinity stress poses a major risk to agriculture and hence, elucidating response mechanisms of plants to stress becomes necessary for understanding the stress adaptation dynamics and for raising tolerant crops to minimize the “yield gap”. To this end, we studied the response of salt-tolerant and salt-sensitive rice genotypes viz. Pokkali and IR64 to short-term salinity stress. Our studies conclude that Pokkali showed well preparedness to face stress conditions as the proteins otherwise, induced in response to stress in IR64, are naturally highly expressed in Pokkali even under control conditions, and upon encountering stress conditions, this pro-active stress machinery combats adverse conditions in a more efficient manner as compared to IR64.

Material and methods

Plant material and growth conditions
Seeds of Rice (O. sativa L. cv. IR64 and Pokkali) were surface sterilized and germinated for 48 h at 28 °C under hydroponic setup in a growth chamber as described earlier (Lakra et al. 2018). After 10 days of growth, seedlings were divided into two sets, of which one set was transferred to Yoshida medium containing 200 mM NaCl while the other set was used as control and so remained in the Yoshida medium (1972). After 2 h of NaCl treatment, root and shoot tissues of 20 seedlings of each group were harvested for proteome analysis, qRT-PCR analysis, and physiological studies.

Determination of Na⁺ and K⁺ content
One hundred milligrams tissue (roots or shoots) harvested from control and NaCl treated plants, was digested in 0.1% HNO₃ and the concentration of Na⁺ and K⁺ was recorded by AAS (atomic absorption spectroscopy) as described earlier (Kumar et al. 2009).

Relative ion leakage
Ion leakage was measured as described by Bajji et al. (2002). Briefly, leaf tissues harvested from the control and NaCl treated plants were first washed with distilled water to remove any ions adhering to the surface. One hundred milligrams tissue was then dipped into the de-ionized water and incubated at 37 °C for 2 h, following which, electrical conductivity (E₁) of the solution was measured using a conductivity meter (ELEINS, Inc., India). After measuring E₁, samples were autoclaved for 15 min. Total conductivity (E₂) was measured once the samples cooled down to room temperature. Relative electrical conductivity was calculated using the formula:

\[ \text{Ion leakage percentage} = \frac{E_1}{E_2} \times 100. \]

Cell viability test
Cell viability of root tissues was determined as described earlier by Sanevas et al. (2007). Fresh root samples were first stained with Evans Blue dye (0.25%) for 15 min at room temperature followed by washing in distilled water for 45 min to remove any surface-bound dye. Next, Evans Blue stain taken up by the dead cells was extracted at 55 °C for 1 h using 1% (w/v) SDS. Finally, absorbance was measured at 600 nm to determine the amount of Evans Blue uptake by the roots.

Detection of hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) by DAB staining
For the detection of H₂O₂, roots of seedlings were incubated in 3,3-diaminobenzidine (DAB; 1 mg/ml concentration) staining solution for 2–4 h under the dark conditions followed by washing to remove the extra dye before viewing under microscope (Daudi and O’Brien 2012).

Confocal microscopy for detection of sodium ions using CoroNa green dye
Root samples were visualized using CoroNa Green dye as described by Gupta et al. (2018). A confocal laser scanning microscope (Fluoview FV300; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) with a 488-nm excitation and 505–525 nm emission wavelength was used. Equal photomultiplier tube (PMT) settings were used to visualize images which prevented artifacts for each sample set. Average fluorescence intensity was measured by subtracting the background of corresponding image for each sample.

iTRAQ labeling, strong cation exchange fractionation and reverse phase nanoLC
Protein samples were processed for iTRAQ using the iTRAQ Reagents Multiplex kit (Applied Biosystems/MDS Sciex, Foster City, CA, USA). Twenty milligrams protein samples of IR64 and Pokkali obtained from either control or NaCl (200 mM) treated plants were labeled with different iTRAQ reagents. IR64 samples (control and NaCl-treated) were labeled with 114 and Pokkali (control and NaCl-treated) by 117 iTRAQ reagents. IR64 samples (control and NaCl-treated) were followed by vacuum-drying and ultimately samples were subjected to strong cation exchange (SCX) fractionation on the Agilent 1100 HPLC system using a PolySulfoethyl column (4.6 × 100 mm, 5 µm, 300 Å). However, before SCX fractionation, labelled peptides were first desalted using a
were loaded onto a C18 PepMap100 column, a 3 μm column (LC Packing) running on an Ultimate 3000 nano HPLC system (Dionex). Peptides were resolved with a gradient of 10–40% acetonitrile (prepared in 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid) and fractions were spotted using a ProBot robotic spotter (LC Packings) on the AnchorChip MALDI plates. The spots were analysed by 5800 MALDI TOF/TOF Analyzer.

Statistical analysis
All the data (from three replicates) from physio-chemical analysis, were subjected to ANOVA (analysis of variance) using the GraphPad InStat3 software. For iTRAQ, differentially expressed proteins with at least 1.5-fold change and p value < 0.05 were selected.
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