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1. Introduction

Anterior cervical spine surgery is frequently performed on patients with cervical spondylosis, radiculopathy, myelopathy, and trauma to the cervical spine [1]. This surgery has been performed safely and effectively for decades, although some complications may occur, which include dysphagia, C5 palsy, graft or hardware failure, hematoma, and esophageal perforation [2]. Among these complications, tracheal perforation is very rare but challenging, and it can be fatal if not rapidly recognized and cured [3–5]. To date, a search of the PubMed database revealed the presence of only 2 related case reports [3, 4]. The purposes of this article were (1) to report two cases of the very rare complication of tracheal perforation following anterior cervical spine surgery and (2) to introduce our experience on the treatment of this rare complication.

2. Case Presentation

2.1. Case 1. A 54-year-old woman was involved in a car accident that caused the fracture of the C7 vertebra and the anterior dislocation of the C6 (Figure 1(a)); thus, she suffered from a complete cervical cord injury according to the American Spinal Injury Association (ASIA) impairment scale, grade A (Figure 1(b)). She underwent anterior cervical corpectomy and fusion (ACCF) of the C7 on the second day after the accident. She stayed intubated for seven days as a result of impaired respiratory function due to the complete injury of the cervical cord, and tracheotomy was performed on the post-operative day (POD) 8. CT scan on POD 18 revealed the implants displacement and loss of reduction of C6 dislocation (Figures 1(c) and 1(d)). She underwent posterior fracture reduction, fixation, and fusion on POD 35. Alignment of the cervical spine was restored, but the
Figure 1: Continued.
Figure 1: Images of case 1. (a) Preoperative sagittal CT reconstruction showing the dislocation of C6 and fracture of C7; (b) T2-weighted sagittal MR showing the disruption of the discoligamentous elements from the anterior longitudinal ligament to the nuchal ligament and spinal cord injury (as shown by the extensive high signal intensity of the spinal cord); (c) postoperative sagittal CT reconstruction revealing the dislocation of the C6 and the failure of the instrumentation; (d) postoperative axial CT scan showing the back out of the screws and the encroaching of the plate on the trachea. The white arrow indicates the esophagus, with the gastric tube inside, and the red arrow indicates the trachea, with the endotracheal tube inside; (e) after posterior revision, sagittal CT reconstruction was performed, showing the restoration of the cervical alignment, but the anterior implant failure was more significant; (f) axial CT scan after posterior revision showing the encroachment of the plate on the trachea, with the plate in contact with the endotracheal intubation (white arrow); (g) flexible bronchoscopy after posterior revision showing the perforation of the posterior tracheal wall with the screws/plate penetrating into the lumen of the trachea (black arrow); (h) sagittal CT reconstruction after the anterior revision showing the strut bone graft between C6 and T1 and a more distal tracheostomy tube; and (i) sagittal CT reconstruction showing the maintained alignment of the cervicothoracic junction and the solid fusion between C6 and T1 16 months after the anterior revision procedure.
Figure 2: Images of case 2. (a) Preoperative sagittal CT reconstruction showing the anterior dislocation of C7; (b) postoperative sagittal CT reconstruction showing the failure of the instrumentation and the penetration of the distal end of the plate into the posterior tracheal wall (white arrow); (c) axial CT scan showing the penetration of the plate into the lumen of the trachea, with the plate in contact with the endotracheal intubation (white arrow); (d) sagittal CT reconstruction following the revision procedures demonstrating the restoration of the alignment of the cervicothoracic junction; (e) sagittal CT reconstruction following the revision procedures showing the posterior reduction and fixation from C5 to T2; and (f) sagittal CT reconstruction 24 months after the revision procedures showing the good maintenance of the alignment of the cervicothoracic junction.
Table 1: Patients with tracheal perforation after anterior cervical spine surgery.

| Author                  | Age/gender | Initial lesion                      | Initial surgery | Time until the diagnosis of tracheal perforation | Revision surgery | Management of the tracheal perforation | Clinical outcome          | Period of follow-up |
|-------------------------|------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| Kuo and Levine [3]      | 58/F       | Cervical radiculopathy              | C4-C7 ACDF     | 10 years                                      | Removal of the implants and reparation of the pharyngoesophageal defect | Unrepaired, with the placement of a nasogastric tube | Successful healing   | 3 months            |
| Pariyadath et al. [4]   | 34/F       | Epidural abscess of the cervical spine | Drainage of abscess and ACDF | Early period after surgery | Removal of the implants and realization of the posterior fusion in two stages | Reparation of the tracheal injury with a muscle flap and placement of a tracheotomy tube | Successful healing   | Few days             |
| Our cases               | 54/F       | C7 fracture and C6 dislocation      | C7 ACCF, C6-T1 dislocation | 35 days                                      | Removal of the implants and realization of the posterior fixation in two stages | Unrepaired, with the placement of a tracheotomy tube | Successful healing   | 16 months           |
|                         | 50/M       | C7 dislocation                      | C6-C7 partial ACCF, C5-T1 fixation | 13 days                                      | Removal of the implants and realization of the posterior fixation in one stage | Unrepaired, with the placement of a tracheotomy tube | Successful healing   | Two years            |

was left unrepaired (Figure 2(d)), and the tracheal perforation was not repaired because of the difficulty and danger in the exposure of the posterior perforation. Then, we performed posterior instrumentation, stabilization, and fusion (Figure 2(e)). A tracheostomy tube was reinserted after surgery, with the cuff distal to the tracheal perforation. Primary wound healing occurred in both incisions. By the last follow-up (24 months), the patient could breathe normally, and the CT scan showed an intact trachea and a good cervical alignment and solid bone fusion (Figure 2(f)). Informed consent was obtained from each patient.

### 3. Discussion

Anterior cervical plates have increasingly been used for ACDF (anterior cervical discectomy and fusion) and ACCF to increase the stability of the cervical spine [1]. The most frequent hardware complication is a broken or loosened screw or plate, with an incidence of 2.1% [2]. Most spine surgeons are aware of the potential danger for the esophagus by displaced implants, but they ignore the possibility of tracheal injury. The esophageal perforation rate after anterior cervical spine surgery is 0.2% [2]. However, the rate of tracheal injury should be much lower than that of the esophagus due to the anatomical position of the trachea in front of the esophagus. Only two studies reported perforation of the trachea in two patients as a complication of the anterior cervical instrumentation failure (Table 1).

To our knowledge, this is the first report describing two patients with tracheal perforation after cervical spine trauma surgery. The mechanism of the erosion of an anterior cervical plate into the trachea is not well understood. In the patient described by Kuo et al., the loosened anterior cervical plate eroded into the pharyngoesophageal junction, leading...
to the development of a pharyngotracheal fistula at 10 years after primary ACDF. Therefore, the authors hypothesized that the chronic contact between the pharyngo-esophageal junction and the adjacent cervical plate caused pressure necrosis and a reaction against a foreign body, with the subsequent erosion of the plate into the lumen [3]. Unlike the above patient, the perforation observed in our two patients occurred at an early period after surgery. A potential mechanism was hypothesized as follows. First, the anterior fixation alone could not be strong enough to maintain the reduction of the traumatic cervical spine dislocation, which could lead to an early screw loosening and backed out. The relatively sharp edge of a loosened screw or plate abutting the trachea could be responsible for the erosion. Second, both patients were subjected to tracheostomy intubation, and the tracheal posterior wall was compressed between the tube and the loosened plate. The contraction of the trachea during swallowing could accentuate the compression between the tube and the plate, which might accelerate the erosion of the trachea. Third, the iatrogenic injury of the trachea caused by endotracheal intubation might represent another risk factor. The reported incidence of airway injury after endotracheal intubations is estimated as 0.005% [5]. In case of cervical spine dislocation and soft tissue swelling, the risk of tracheal injury might increase during endotracheal intubation. Thus, all the above factors might have contributed to the tracheal perforation in our two patients.

There have been many reports about esophageal perforation after anterior cervical spine surgery [2]. Theoretically, the esophagus is more likely to have complications rather than tracheal perforation due to the anatomical characteristics. Due to the critical condition of our two patients, esophagography or esophagoscopy was unable to be performed, and CT scan showed no abnormalities such as fluid accumulation around the esophagus. During revision surgery, intraoperative adhesions made it difficult for clear exposure of the esophagus, but we found no significant esophageal injury. In case, the patients were treated with gastric tube for gastrointestinal nutrition and were with normal swallowing at discharge. Based on the above, there should be no esophageal perforation. The possible reasons may be as follows. Because of anatomic factors, trauma, or the primary cervical spine surgery, the esophagus is pushed to the left front of the vertebral body rather than directly in front, resulting in contact of implants with the trachea. As can be seen from Figure 1(d), the esophagus is in left front of the vertebral body (the white arrow indicates the esophagus, with the gastric tube inside, and the red arrow indicates the trachea, with the endotracheal tube inside), while the trachea is in contact with the implants directly in front of the vertebral body. That might explain why the trachea was perforated while the esophagus was not.

The clinical manifestations of tracheal injury can be nonspecific and may include coughing, dyspnea, laryngopharyngeal polyp, subcutaneous emphysema, pneumomediastinum, and even acute respiratory failure [3, 5, 6]. This report actually demonstrated that patients with tracheal perforation could be asymptomatic and therefore diagnosis might be delayed. Bronchoscopy is the “gold standard” in the diagnosis of tracheal injury [5]. However, CT scan was also crucial in the diagnosis and evaluation of this condition in these two patients (Figures 1(f) and 2(c)).

Tracheal perforation in most instances needs an individualized treatment based on the patient’s comorbidities, clinical presentation, and anatomy [5]. According to the literature, the degree of tracheal wall injury in both our patients was level II (perforation of the posterior tracheal wall less than 2 cm), and a nonsurgical management should be considered, with the diversion of the airflow through a tracheostomy tube placed more distally [5, 7, 8]. The surgical repair of the tracheal perforation using muscle flap is reported in a previous study, but the size of the perforation was not described [4]. In our two patients, anterior implant removal, thorough debridement and irrigation, multilevel reliable posterior fracture reduction, fixation, and fusion (which could be procedures performed by one-stage surgery or multiple-stage surgeries) were necessary, and the anterior vertebral defect was either reconstructed with autologous iliac graft or left unrepaired. The endotracheal intubation should be performed with care before the revision procedure to avoid further injury of the posterior tracheal wall, and the use of a fiber-optic bronchoscopy is recommended. A tracheostomy tube should be reinserted after surgery to enhance pulmonary hygiene and the healing of the tracheal perforation.

In conclusion, this work described two patients with perforation of the trachea following anterior cervical spine surgery due to the displacement of the implants. Although very rare and challenging, this complication could be managed by posterior cervical instrumentation and fusion, anterior implant removal and debridement, and the use of a distal bypassing tracheostomy tube.
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