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ABSTRACT: A detailed velocity-resolved kinetics study of NH₃ thermal desorption rates from p(2 × 2) O/Pt(111) is presented. We find a large reduction in the NH₃ desorption rate due to adsorption of O-atoms on Pt(111). A physical model describing the interactions between adsorbed NH₃ and O-atoms explains these observations. By fitting the model to the derived desorption rate constants, we find an NH₃ stabilization on p(2 × 2) O/Pt(111) of 0.14 ± 0.02 eV compared to Pt(111) and a rotational barrier of 0.084 ± 0.02 eV, which is not present on Pt(111). The model also quantitatively predicts the steric hindrance of NH₃ diffusion on Pt(111) due to co-adsorbed O-atoms. The derived diffusion barrier of NH₃ on p(2 × 2) O/Pt(111) is 1.10 ± 0.04 eV, which is 0.39 ± 0.04 eV higher than that on pristine Pt(111). We find that Perdew Burke Ernzerhof (PBE) and revised Perdew Burke Ernzerhof (RPBE) exchange–correlation functionals are unable to reproduce the experimentally observed NH₃–O adsorbate–adsorbate interactions and NH₃ binding energies at Pt(111) and p(2 × 2) O/Pt(111), which indicates the importance of dispersion interactions for both systems.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of enzymes has provided us many of the prototypical concepts of catalysis, including the idea that a catalyst lowers the barrier to reaction and thereby accelerates the approach to chemical equilibrium. Enzymes are able to bind reagents to the active site directing them into an energetically stabilized structure that resembles the reaction’s transition state.¹⁻³ This catalytic mechanism is the result of millions of years of evolution that produced steric effects, originally described by a “lock and key” model.⁴ These steric effects successfully accelerate reactions at the moderate temperatures of living beings. By contrast, reactions on man-made industrial catalysts using metal surfaces often deviate strongly from this elegant picture. Industrial catalysts typically operate at elevated temperatures enhancing the influence of desorption. Furthermore, there are no lock-and-key properties that bring the reactants to the active site. Instead, surface diffusion accomplishes this function; thus, a reactant’s ability to diffuse on the catalyst surface to the active site while competing against thermal desorption may determine the catalyst’s activity. This points out the importance of accurate determination of thermal diffusion coefficients and desorption rate constants under realistic reaction conditions on catalytic surfaces.

The high coverages produced under industrial high-pressure conditions often lead to complex steady-state surface structures involving interactions between different adsorbates.⁵⁻⁸ As a result, the potential energy landscape for diffusion of one reactant may depend strongly on the presence (or absence) of the other.⁹,¹⁰ Determining this energy landscape and how it depends on surface structure and adsorbate concentration represents a fascinating problem in physical chemistry of great relevance to understanding catalytic behavior. Unfortunately, disentangling the diffusional influences on catalytic activity from the conventional barrier-reducing effects can be quite challenging, since these normally occur in parallel. This is the reason why for many important catalytic reactions, little is known about the impact of co-adsorbate interactions on reactant and transition state energies and entropies. The situation is not made easier by the fact that state-of-the-art electronic structure theory—density functional theory (DFT) at the level of generalized gradient approximation (GGA)—is
The kinetic traces of NH$_3$ desorbing from p(2 × 2) O/Pt(111) (red circles: experiment; red line: fit to eq 1) and from pristine Pt(111) (black crosses: experiment; black lines: fit to eq 1) at four surface temperatures. The temporal resolution of the experiment is ~40 μs. All kinetic traces are obtained at constant laser power and beam flux allowing comparison of desorption yields directly—see the text. (b) Arrhenius plot of experimental NH$_3$ desorption rate constants: from p(2 × 2) O/Pt(111) and pristine Pt(111) as obtained from data in panel (a). The dashed lines are the corresponding Arrhenius fits to the experimental rate constants. The insets show the parameter distributions (black: Pt(111); red: p(2 × 2) O/Pt(111)) for the activation energy $E_a$ (upper right) and the decadic logarithm of the prefactor $A$ (lower left).

Specifically, NH$_3$ exhibits a barrier of 0.084$^{+0.049}_{-0.022}$ eV for rotation about its N–Pt bond (no barrier on Pt(111)), an increased diffusion barrier of 1.10$^{+0.22}_{-0.14}$ (0.71 ± 0.04 eV on Pt(111)), and an increased binding energy 1.28$^{+0.03}_{-0.02}$ eV (1.13 ± 0.02 on Pt(111)). These results provide critical benchmarks for first-principles calculations of nonreactive interactions between NH$_3$ and O on Pt(111). Comparing these quantities to DFT predictions reveals that dispersion corrections are crucial for obtaining a chemically accurate description of the energetic landscape. These findings set a strong basis for a comprehensive kinetic modeling study of ammonia oxidation for the Ostwald process.

2. RESULTS

The methods used in this work are described in detail in Section 5.1. Briefly, the surface is dosed with a short molecular beam pulse of NH$_3$ seeded in He (1%, 5 × 10$^{-4}$ ML/pulse) and the flux of thermally desorbing molecules is obtained using spatial ion imaging. The desorption flux vs residence time is then recorded at a variety of temperatures between 473 and 573 K. The p(2 × 2) O/Pt(111) surface is prepared by dosing O$_2$ from a molecular beam with a flux of 1.5 ± 0.5 ML/s for 30 min at 573 K. To ensure that O-atoms do not desorb from or diffuse out of the dosing region of the NH$_3$ beam, the O$_2$ dosing is continued during the transient NH$_3$ desorption experiments. These conditions have been shown previously to ensure the formation of the p(2 × 2) O/Pt(111) structure.

We also conducted DFT calculations of NH$_3$ desorption and diffusion pathways on Pt(111) and p(2 × 2) O/Pt(111) using the Perdew Burke Ernzerhof (PBE) and revised Perdew Burke Ernzerhof (RPBE) functionals with and without the inclusion of D3 correction for dispersion forces—see Section 5.2.

Figure 1a compares typical kinetic traces of ammonia desorbing from p(2 × 2) O/Pt(111) and pristine Pt(111). The kinetic traces exhibit two components—temperature-independent direct scattering (DS) and temperature-depend-
ent trapping desorption (TD). The DS component peaks at zero residence time and becomes more evident with decreasing surface temperature. This is because the TD component becomes temporally diluted due to an increased average residence time on the surface. The TD component is an exponential decay in both systems, and the VRK measurements show that NH$_3$ desorption is 3–6 times slower on p(2 $\times$ 2) O/Pt(111), compared to the oxygen-free Pt(111). Ammonia decomposition and oxidation products (e.g., NO, N$_2$, H$_2$, N$_2$O, and H$_2$O) are absent in our experiments both for pristine and oxygen-covered Pt(111). Furthermore, we observe no variation in the ammonia desorption rate over a long exposure time, indicating that buildup of decomposition products on the surface does not take place. We conclude that the differences in NH$_3$ desorption rates for the two surfaces are exclusively due to adsorbate–adsorbate interactions at Pt(111) terraces.

The kinetic traces of ammonia desorption were fit by the following expression:

$$f(t) = a \times DS(t) + b \times TD(t, k_d).$$

(1)

Here, the temperature-independent DS component follows the temporal profile of the molecular beam, with the parameter $a$ describing its amplitude. The surface temperature-dependent TD component is characterized by the amplitude $b$ and desorption rate constant $k_d$ emerging from thermally desorbing NH$_3$ molecules that have been adsorbed and thermalized at the surface. We also obtain the NH$_3$ desorption yield−velocity and time integrated TD contribution ($a/b/k_d$ from eq 1). We find that between 473 and 573 K the desorption yield from the oxygen-covered surface amounts to 93 ± 15% of the pristine Pt(111), indicating that NH$_3$’s sticking coefficient is almost unaffected by the presence of the p(2 $\times$ 2) O-atom overlayer. This conclusion is further supported by the indistinguishable, subthermal speed distributions of desorbing NH$_3$ molecules from p(2 $\times$ 2) O/Pt and clean Pt(111). From the principle of detailed balance, this indicates that the initial sticking probability has the same translational energy dependence in both cases—see ref 17—and is not affected by adsorbed O-atoms. Interestingly, these results are consistent with the observations of King and co-workers for NH$_3$ sticking at O-covered Pt(100).24

By fitting the Arrhenius expression to the derived desorption rate constants (Figure 1b), we find that O-atom adsorption to the Pt(111) surface alters the prefactor and the activation energy, $A$ and $E_a$, for desorption from clean Pt(111)−$E_a = 1.08 \pm 0.02$ eV and $A = 10^{14.8 \pm 0.2}$ s$^{-1}$ (compared to oxygen-covered Pt(111)−$E_a = 1.21 \pm 0.02$ eV and $A = 10^{15.3 \pm 0.2}$ s$^{-1}$. The higher activation energy of NH$_3$ desorption from p(2 $\times$ 2) O/Pt(111) indicates that it binds more strongly to the surface due to the presence of co-adsorbed O-atoms. The higher prefactor indicates that the O-atom overlayer reduces the entropy of the NH$_3$ adsorbate. It is important to realize that activation energies for desorption are equal to adsorption enthalpies, which are strictly temperature-dependent quantities. By modeling the desorption prefactor, which provides the adsorbate entropy, it becomes straightforward to convert the adsorption enthalpy to a binding energy, which can be directly used to evaluate the accuracy of electronic structure methods. Surprisingly, DFT calculations employing PBE and RPBE functionals were not able to reproduce the O-induced difference in activation energies observed in the experiment. This motivated us to implement a semiempirical co-adsorbate interaction potential from which the stabilization energy and the adsorbate entropy of NH$_3$ could be determined.

The main idea behind our approach to the co-adsorbate interaction potential is to describe the change in the NH$_3$−Pt(111) energy landscape induced by the p(2 $\times$ 2) O-atom overlayer assuming that oxygen coverage does not alter the covalent NH$_3$−Pt bond from what it is on clean Pt(111). This means that the changes to the NH$_3$ binding energy at the surface emerge fully from attraction and repulsion by O-atoms. The covalent NH$_3$−Pt(111) energies have been determined with DFT calculations of diffusion pathways using the PBE functional. We justify the use of PBE, over any other functional, because it provided almost exact agreement with experimentally derived diffusion barriers, which are crucial for a good description of the adsorbate entropy. To avoid errors introduced by the DFT-PBE-derived NH$_3$−Pt(111) binding energy, we use instead the experimentally derived value in the following rate constant modeling. We assumed that noncovalent interactions between NH$_3$ and O can be treated additively. The interaction of NH$_3$ with adsorbed O-atoms is described by a semiempirical pair-potential which includes electrostatic, dispersive, and repulsive contributions. We refer to this noncovalent energy contribution as the NC-AIM.

The NC-AIM is explained in detail in Supporting Information (SI) Section S1; here, we provide only a brief description. In Figure 2, the underlying adsorbate structures and key coordinates of the NC-AIM are shown. In the NC-AIM, the electrostatic contributions to the NH$_3$−O interactions are described by Coulomb interactions between point charges, placed at the positions of each atom of the adsorbates as well as their induced image charge positions within the metal—see also Figure S1. The effective atomic charges are parametrized using the dipole moment of gas-phase NH$_3$ together with work function measurements of NH$_3$ and O-covered Pt(111). The dispersion forces between two atoms are estimated from London theory using atomic ionization potentials and polarizability volumes of gas-phase species. To account for Pauli repulsion between two atoms at
short distances, we included the \( r^{-12} \) term, as used in the Lennard-Jones potential. The parameters of the repulsion term were estimated using van der Waals radii of gas-phase atoms.\(^{27}\)

The NC-AIM model describes the observed decrease of NH\(_3\) adsorbate entropy on oxygen-covered Pt(111) as a result of rotational and translational hindrance\(^{15}\) of the molecule due to interactions with O-atoms. Note that this is consistent with electron-stimulated H\(^+\) ion angular distributions (H\(^+\)-ESIAD), data of Netzer and Madey that provide direct evidence for NH\(_3\) rotational hindrance on O-covered Ni(111).\(^{28}\) Therefore, for accurate treatment of co-adsorbate interactions in the NC-AIM, we accounted for NH\(_3\) in-plane displacement \((x, y)\) and its rotation around the \( C_3 \)-axis \((\varphi)\)—see Figure 2.

Based on the comprehensive diffusional potential energy landscape for NH\(_3\) on Pt(111) constructed from DFT, we used the NC-AIM to formulate the thermal desorption rate constant of NH\(_3\) from \( p(2 \times 2) \) O/Pt(111).\(^{29}\) Here, the desorption rate constant is formulated as a product of the adsorption rate constant and the equilibrium constant between adsorbed and gas phases—see also ref 30. For NH\(_3\) desorption from \( p(2 \times 2) \) O/Pt(111), it is given by

\[
k_d^{O/Pt}(T) = \langle S_0 \rangle \frac{k_B T}{2 \pi m_{NH_3}} \frac{Q_{p(2 \times 2)O^*-NH_3^*}}{Q_{p(2 \times 2)O^*-NH_3^*}} \exp \left( -\frac{E_0}{k_B T} \right)
\]

Here, \( \langle S_0 \rangle \) and \( E_0 \) are the NH\(_3\) thermal sticking coefficient and the binding energy at the surface, respectively. Asterisks denote adsorbates. The quotient of partition functions \( Q \) is crucial for accurate description of the prefactor and includes contributions from the gas-phase molecule \( (Q_{NH_3}(0)) \), the \( p(2 \times 2) \) O-atom overlayer in the absence of ammonia \( (Q_{p(2 \times 2)O^*}(0)) \), and the \( p(2 \times 2) \) O-atom overlayer with adsorbed ammonia \( (Q_{p(2 \times 2)O^*-NH_3^*}) \).

In general, modeling desorption rate constants has in the past ignored the adsorbate-induced changes of the surface phonon spectrum.\(^{13,31}\) We also employ this assumption to model our experiment, as the influence of NH\(_3\) adsorption, the phonon spectrum of Pt(111) is expected to be small, due to the large mass difference between Pt and NH\(_3\) which results in good separability between NH\(_3\)’s degrees of freedom (which we take into account) and Pt vibrations (which cancel out in eq 2). Furthermore, phonons are collective properties, which will remain unaffected by the low coverages of NH\(_3\) \((\leq 3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ ML})\) present in our experiment. Note that changes of the density of states associated with the O-atom overlayer are taken into account—O-atoms in close coordination to NH\(_3\) exhibit altered vibrational frequencies\(^{12}\)—see the SI, Section S2 for details.

The analysis is simplified by modeling of the ratio of NH\(_3\) thermal desorption rate constants from \( p(2 \times 2) \) O/Pt(111) and pristine Pt(111). The simplification arises as some factors appearing in eq 2, for example, sticking coefficients, cancel out when the ratio is taken. The ratio of thermal rate constants is given by eq 3.

\[
k_d^{O/Pt}/k_d^{Pt} = \frac{Q_{p(2 \times 2)O^*-NH_3^*}}{Q_{p(2 \times 2)O^*-NH_3^*}} \exp \left( -\frac{\Delta E_c}{k_B T} \right)
\]

Here, \( \Delta E_c \) is the complexation energy which represents the energetic stabilization of NH\(_3\) induced by co-adsorbed O atoms. Of course, this rate constant ratio requires knowledge of the partition function for NH\(_3\)\(^*\) on clean Pt(111), denoted as \( Q_{NH_3^*}(0) \). When computing the partition functions, all O\(^*\) degrees of freedom are approximated as harmonic oscillators, which is justified by the restricted O\(^*\) diffusion inside the \( p(2 \times 2) \) structure. The required harmonic frequencies for NH\(_3\)\(^*\), O\(^*\), and the \((\text{NH}_3-O)^*\) complex on Pt(111) are adopted from the DFT calculations of Offermans et al.\(^{32}\) with scaling corrections employed to better reproduce experimentally available vibrational spectra of NH\(_3\)\(^*\) and O\(^*\) on Pt(111)\(^{33-35}\)—see the SI, Section S2 for details. The NH\(_3\)\(^*\) translational partition function on \( p(2 \times 2) \) O/Pt(111) is determined using NH\(_3\)-Pt(111) interaction energies from
DFT calculations\textsuperscript{17} and the NC-AIM with the C\textsubscript{T}-axis rotation coupled to the in-plane displacement coordinates—see SI Section S2.

The rate constant ratio model (eq 3) is compared to experimental results in Figure 3a. Even when using the NC-AIM prediction based on crudely estimated parameters (blue dotted line in Figure 3a), the agreement with the experiment is good. Since the estimation of dispersive and repulsive interactions was highly simplified, we optimized the associated interaction parameters to better fit the experimental rate constant ratios (red dashed line in Figure 3a). Here, we scaled the dispersion coefficient and the repulsion coefficient of the surface work function.

\textsuperscript{25,36} The optimized NC-AIM parameters yield excellent agreement with the rate constant ratios and reproduce the temperature dependence of the measured desorption rates—see Figure 3b,c.

3. DISCUSSION

The excellent agreement with the experiment clearly shows that the optimized NC-AIM yields both an accurate adsorbate entropy and binding energy for NH\textsubscript{3} on P(2 × 2) O/Pt(111). Looking deeper into the implications of this success, we find that the NC-AIM yields a complexation energy $\Delta E_c$ of 147 meV and a rotational barrier of 84 meV. That is, the eclipsed configuration of NH\textsubscript{3}, where the H atoms of the molecule are oriented toward the three nearest O neighbors, is stabilized by 84 meV in comparison to the staggered configuration. Both the complexation energy and the rotational barrier are dominated by the dispersion forces in the NC-AIM; when dispersion forces are neglected, both $\Delta E_c$ and the rotational barrier are reduced in magnitude by 80%. The importance of dispersion forces suggested by the NC-AIM motivated us to carry out DFT calculations to describe the NH\textsubscript{3}–O interactions in this system, with and without dispersion corrections. To obtain results comparable to the low coverages found in our experiments, we used a (4 × 4) unit cell. The results of our calculations are presented in Table 1.

DFT-GGA results that neglect dispersion interactions, i.e., PBE and RPBE functionals, give complexation energies $\Delta E_c$ of only 22 and 43 meV, respectively; this is inconsistent with the experiment, $\Delta E_c = 147$\textsuperscript{13} meV. These values are however remarkably close to the electrostatic contribution to the NH\textsubscript{3} stabilization obtained from the NC-AIM—22 meV. Analogous DFT-GGA calculations using the D3 van der Waals correction\textsuperscript{37} to PBE and RPBE functionals gave $\Delta E_c = -265$ and 146 meV, respectively. Results of PBE-D3 are even in qualitative disagreement with the experiment. This finding is consistent with previous work, which found that PBE-D3 has poor performance when it comes to the description of noncovalent interactions at metal surfaces.\textsuperscript{37} RPBE-D3 results compare well with the experiment, supporting the conclusions from the NC-AIM that dispersion forces are critical to describing the NH\textsubscript{3}–O interactions for NH\textsubscript{3} adsorbed on P(2 × 2) O/Pt(111).

It is also interesting to compare the performance of the chosen exchange–correlation functionals for the description of similar systems. Ammonia on Cu(100) is a rare example for an experimental binding energy for this molecule on a transition metal surface, determined with chemical accuracy.\textsuperscript{38} Here, the adsorption enthalpy (0.61 ± 0.02 eV) was reported at $\sim230$ K, a temperature that is low enough that the binding energy is likely to be within ~0.02 eV of this value. Calculations with PBE and RPBE both under-bind NH\textsubscript{3} to Cu by 0.18 and 0.40 eV, respectively.\textsuperscript{39} The under-binding errors are quite similar for NH\textsubscript{3}/Pt(111)—0.16 eV for PBE and 0.51 eV for RPBE shown in Table 1. These comparisons suggest further that the VRK-derived binding energies for NH\textsubscript{3} on Pt are within chemical accuracy. Finally, we point out that RPBE-D3 calculations for NH\textsubscript{3}/Cu(100) gave a binding energy within 1 kcal/mol of the experiment.\textsuperscript{40} Our calculations show that NH\textsubscript{3} binding to both Pt(111) and P(2 × 2) O/Pt(111) is also predicted accurately with this functional.

The success of the NC-AIM encouraged us get a deeper look at the diffusion potential energy surface for NH\textsubscript{3} on O-covered Pt(111). In Figure 4, the energies of the NH\textsubscript{3}...
molecule are shown for various in-plane positions on clean Pt(111)—panel (a)—and for the same positions on p(2 × 2) O/Pt(111)—panel (b). From the NC-AIM, we deduced a strong repulsion between N and O (see also Figure S2 in SI Section S1.2). This repulsion stems from the fact that O is coordinated to three Pt atoms, when bound at the fcc hollow sites of Pt(111); this reduces the propensity of those three Pt atoms to form Pt–N bonds with NH₃. The white circles in Figure 4b show these N–O repulsive regions. This effectively means that a single O* blocks three out of four on-top binding sites that would have been available to NH₃ on Pt(111). Of course, this lowers the configurational entropy of NH₃ compared to the Pt(111) surface.

The elimination of binding sites goes hand in hand with a strong steric hindrance of site-to-site hopping pathways, previously available for NH₃* on clean Pt(111). The same N–O repulsive regions, indicated by the white circles in Figure 4b, prevent the molecules from passing over the nearest bridge site, a pathway preferred on clean Pt(111) (black dashed arrow in Figure 4a). This force hopping to occur through highly coordinated sites, where NH₃* binding to the surface is substantially weakened. A hopping pathway on p(2 × 2) O/Pt(111) tends to involve a top-fcc-bri-hcp-bri-fcc-top sequence (see black dashed arrows in Figure 4b). Traveling along this path traverses a barrier of ~1.1 eV, which is almost 0.4 eV higher than the diffusion barrier found for NH₃ on clean Pt(111).\(^{17}\)

Despite an underestimated binding energy, PBE predicts diffusion barriers in good agreement with the experiment—see Table 1. This finding is reasonable since repulsive interactions between NH₃ and O dominate the increased diffusion barrier on p(2 × 2) O/Pt(111), which can be well captured even without inclusion of dispersion forces. Interestingly, RPBE-D3 yields not only the correct binding energies of NH₃* at Pt(111) and p(2 × 2) O/Pt(111) but also predicts the diffusion pathway and diffusion barriers for O/Pt(111) of 0.97 eV, in good agreement with the value derived from the experiment, using the NC-AIM. However, the diffusion barrier on clean Pt(111) is substantially overestimated compared to the experiment, indicating that subtle error compensation is involved in many apparently accurate DFT results.

We close the discussion with a word of caution about the NC-AIM model. While we find that the optimized NC-AIM parameters agree quite well with the range of reported N–O and H–O interaction parameters known from the literature (see Table S1 and Section S1.3 in the SI), we do not claim to provide the best dispersion coefficients or universally applicable interaction parameters. In fact, the exact values of the interaction parameters are quite uncertain from our fit and suffer from large correlation errors between the attractive and repulsive coefficients. Nonetheless, the derived energetic parameters—binding energy, rotational barrier, and diffusion barrier—are much less affected by these uncertainties—see Table 1. This is because the rate constant ratios are much more closely related to energy differences, which profit from error cancelation between attractive and repulsive terms of the interaction potential.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we have reported transient desorption rates of ammonia from p(2 × 2) O/Pt(111) between 473 and 573 K. We found that the adsorption of O-atoms on Pt(111) reduces the NH₃ desorption rate. The absence of NH₃ reactions offered us the opportunity to exclusively characterize the nonreactive interaction between O* and NH₃*. The thermal desorption rates clearly indicated an energetic stabilization of NH₃* and a reduced adsorbate entropy on p(2 × 2) O/Pt(111) compared to Pt(111). We developed an NC-AIM of attractive and repulsive interactions between NH₃* and O* which gave an accurate fit to the experimental results. The NC-AIM allowed the determination of valuable benchmark data reported in Table 1, but most importantly, the solid basis of the NC-AIM allowed us to quantify the steric hindrance of O-atoms on the diffusion of NH₃. Compared to clean Pt(111) which already exhibits a large diffusion barrier, the NH₃ diffusion barrier is increased further by 0.39±0.14 eV on p(2 × 2) O/Pt(111). Additionally, from the NC-AIM, we find that attractive interactions between NH₃ and O-atoms are dominated by dispersion forces, a result which we could confirm with DFT calculations. Specifically, the RPBE-D3 functional appears to be the best choice for the nonreactive description of NH₃–O interaction on Pt(111). It definitely should be considered for microkinetic modeling NH₃ oxidation.

![Figure 4](https://example.com/figure4.png)

**Figure 4.** (a) Potential energy surface (PES) of NH₃ on clean Pt(111) derived from DFT calculations from ref 17. The preferred diffusion pathway is indicated by the black dashed arrow and proceed as *top*-bri-*top*. The diffusion barrier is 0.71 ± 0.04 eV. (b) PES of NH₃ on p(2 × 2) O/Pt(111) resulting from the sum of DFT energies (see panel (a)) and the NC-AIM with optimized parameters. The large red circles indicate the position of the oxygen atoms with white circles indicating regions around each O atom with strong O–NH₃ repulsion. The diffusion (indicated by the black dashed arrows) follows the *top*-fcc(2)-bri(3)-hcp(4)-bri(3)-fcc(2)-top(1) pathway with a barrier of 1.10±0.15 eV. The energies of relevant binding sites are indicated in the color bar. The energies in each panel are set relatively to the minimum energy of the corresponding PES, and the binding energies are indicated in the color bar as *Eₙ*.
on Pt, required for the description of the Ostwald process. The findings reported here provide a solid foundation for a detailed kinetics study of NH₃ oxidation on stepped Pt surfaces.

5. METHODS

5.1. Experimental Section. The experimental apparatus has been described earlier in detail.13,14,42 A supersonic molecular beam of NH₃ (∼1% NH₃ in He, 6 bar backing pressure) was generated with a piezo-electrically driven pulsed valve at 25 Hz. The beam passed through two differentially pumped stages and entered the surface-scattering chamber, held at a base pressure of 2 × 10⁻¹⁰ mbar, impinging the Pt(111) surface (MaTeck GmbH) at an incidence angle of 30° from the surface normal. The temporal duration of the pulsed beam had a full width at half maximum of ∼40 μs. The mean kinetic energy of NH₃ in the beam was ∼0.35 eV. The surface was prepared by sputtering with Ar⁺ (3 keV) for 10 min and subsequent annealing at 1300 K for 20 min. The cleanliness of the sample was verified with Auger electron spectroscopy. The step density of the Pt(111) crystal was measured to be 0.1% NH₃.

The step density of the Pt(111) crystal was measured to be 0.1% NH₃. The step density of the Pt(111) crystal was measured to be 0.1% NH₃.

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at
https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.2c10458.

Formalism and construction of NC-AIM, parametrization of point charges, parametrization of dispersive and repulsive contributions, uncertainty estimation of NC-AIM-derived energies, details on the modeling of rate constant ratios, modeling of hopping rate constants with harmonic TST, and relationship between hopping and diffusion coefficients (PDF)
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