The Rates of Cement Leakage Following Vertebroplasty in Osteoporotic versus Metastatic Disease

Ahmed Saad1 Rajesh Botchu2 Steven James2

1 Department of Orthopedics, Royal Orthopaedic Hospital, Birmingham, United Kingdom
2 Department of Musculoskeletal Imaging, Royal Orthopaedic Hospital, Birmingham, United Kingdom

Indian J Radiol Imaging 2022;32:46–50.

Introduction

Percutaneous vertebroplasty (PVP), first described in 1987,1 is an effective procedure for the treatment of symptomatic osteoporotic vertebral fractures.2,3 It is known to provide adequate pain relief to 70 to 100% of patients, as well as aiding in spinal stability.4,5 More recently, this procedure has also been utilized in the treatment of osteolytic lesions.6,7 The procedure of the PVP involves injecting polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) (bone cement) directly into the vertebra, providing a structural reinforcement to the compressed fracture (►Fig. 1). However, this procedure is not without its drawbacks, and cement leakage accounts for most of the symptomatic complications, reported in the literature in-between 11 and 73% of cases.4,5,8 In light of an aging population, fractures of osteoporotic and metastatic nature are expected to increase, potentially leading to an increased burden in procedural complications9. The aim of this study was to determine the differences in rates of cement leakage in osteoporotic versus metastatic vertebral fractures.
following vertebroplasty, to identify potential risk factors in the development of this complication.

**Methods**

We performed a retrospective search using our electronic spinal database software, picture archiving and communication system, and pathology database, as well as our paper patient medical records (in the absence of electronic data), to identify all vertebroplasty procedures over a 5 year period. Data were collected to identify the specific indications for the procedures and rates of post-operative cement leakage (POCL). All the images were reviewed by a consultant musculoskeletal radiologist with over 15 years of experience within our department. All procedures were performed by one interventional musculoskeletal radiologist. Patients who underwent cementoplasty at other sites, e.g., sacrum and pelvis, were excluded from the study. All procedures were performed using CONFIDENCE System (DePuy Spine). This study was approved by our institutional review board.

**Results**

We identified 211 patients who underwent a vertebroplasty procedure. Of this cohort, there were 107 metastatic and 104 osteoporotic vertebral collapses. A total of 23.2% (n = 49) cases developed a POCL and were included in our study. Of them, our data went on to show a female predominance, with a ratio of 1.5 to 1 males and an average age of 74 years (range 40–101).

The primary sites of metastasis included breast carcinoma (n = 11), multiple myeloma (n = 8), cholangiocarcinoma (n = 1), vascular leiomyosarcoma (n = 1), endometrial cancer (n = 1), renal cell cancer (n = 1), and four cases of carcinomas with an unknown primary (Table 2).

**Cement Leakage Rates**

Of the total 49 cases that went on to develop POCL, there was a higher predominance in the neoplastic group (n = 37, 75.5%) in comparison to the osteoporotic cohort (n = 12, 24.5%), and this was statistically significant (Fisher’s test, p-value 0.042). As a whole, a greater number of leaks were found involving the thoracic (n = 25) and lumbar (n = 23) vertebrae (Table 3).

Overall, the most common type of vertebral leaks identified was discal, constituting approximately 48.9% (n = 24) of all leaks, of which 17 were found in metastatic lesions and seven in osteoporotic fractures. Inferior leaks were identified in 38.8% (n = 19) of cases, of which the majority (n = 15) were found in metastatic lesions. Posterior leaks were found to be the clinically most significant, likely due to the proximity of the spinal cord and respective nerve roots, and constituted 10.2% (n = 5) of all cases, of which four were identified in metastatic lesions (Figs. 2 and 3).

Less commonly identified was a lateral leak (n = 1) included in the metastatic cohort (Fig. 4) (Tables 4 and 5). Only one case of leak required subsequent surgical intervention (surgical removal of cement).

**Table 1** Total number of leaks, osteoporotic, versus metastatic

| Groups            | Total |
|-------------------|-------|
| Cancer cases total| 37    |
| Osteoporotic cases total| 12    |
| Total             | 49    |

**Table 2** Metastatic vertebral fractures primaries

| Cancer type               | n  |
|---------------------------|----|
| Breast cancer             | 10 |
| Lung cancer               | 2  |
| Cholangiocarcinoma        | 1  |
| Prostate cancer           | 3  |
| Thymic cancer             | 1  |
| Vascular leiomyosarcoma   | 1  |
| MM                        | 8  |
| Bladder cancer            | 1  |
| Lymphoma                  | 1  |
| Endometri al              | 1  |
| Seminoma                  | 1  |
| Unknown primary           | 4  |
| Renal cell cancer         | 3  |
| Total                     | 37 |

Abbreviation: MM, multiple myeloma.

**Table 3** Spinal level of leak

| Total level   | n  |
|---------------|----|
| Cervical spine| 1  |
| Thoracic      | 25 |
| Lumbar        | 23 |
| Total         | 49 |

---

Fig. 1 Osteoporotic fracture with vertebroplasty and no cement leak.
Vertebral compression fractures (VCFs) are the most common fragility fractures reported in the literature, affecting approximately 1.4 million patients globally.\(^{10}\) They occasionally present with severe physical limitations and back pain with the subsequent effect on the patients’ quality of life. Moreover, when present in multiple adjacent locations, they can lead to progressive kyphosis in the thoracic spine, degrading the pulmonary function and increasing the morbidity and mortality in such patients.\(^{11,12}\)

There are many different causes of VCFs; however, osteoporosis accounts for the majority of cases due to the decreased bone density in such patients. Another important cause includes spinal metastasis, which presents in at least 75% of all bony metastasis.\(^{13,14}\) Metastatic spread is commonly observed in relation to breast, prostate, and lung cancers\(^ {15}\) and most commonly involves the thoracic (60–80%), followed by the lumbar vertebrae (20%), correlating well with our study.

The management of patients with osteoporotic vertebral fractures varies and usually involves a multidisciplinary approach with the targeted treatment of osteoporosis with the use of pharmacological agents such as calcium, vitamin D, and vitamin D analogs, as well as analgesics to reduce pain, accompanied by bed rest and external bracing. On the contrary, the treatment of spinal metastases is more challenging, requiring local and systemic therapies, such as radiotherapy, surgical stabilization, or in more advanced cases, palliation.

In both instances, PVP has been shown to clinically improve acute and chronic pains and, additionally, is considered successful in providing spinal stabilization in VCFs. It is usually indicated in patients who have shown failure to respond to medical therapy within a 4-to-6-week course. In some neuro-oncology centers, PVP is included in treatment algorithms for the acute management of spinal metastases.\(^ ^{2,16}\)

PVP is a minimal-invasive procedure that involves the injection of PMMA into the fracture site under continuous radiological (fluoroscopic) guidance, with the aim to fuse the fragments, thereby providing strength to the vertebrae along with instant pain relief. Most of the complications following PVP are considered rare with documented values of less than 10% in the literature, and these may include infection, bleeding, or spinal stenosis. Another complication of PVP, which is typically asymptomatic, is the extravasation of cement into adjacent structures (cement leakage) occurring in approximately 30 to 80% of all cases. When symptomatic, patients with cement leakage most commonly present with pain, due to cement compression (transient radiculopathy) or, more acutely, pain directly from the exothermic

### Table 4 Type of leak following PVP in metastatic fractures

| Leaks cancer | n |
|--------------|---|
| Discal       | 17 |
| Inferior     | 15 |
| Lateral      | 1  |
| Posterior    | 4  |
| Total        | 37 |

Abbreviation: PVP, percutaneous vertebroplasty.
heat related to the cement polymerization, on adjacent nerves. More serious fatal complications can manifest as pulmonary embolization, from the extension of cement into the nearby epidual and vertebral veins. Extravasation of cement can occur from vertebral body deficiencies, fractures of specific parts to the cortex, or through the vertebral venous system, each of which may differ in clinical presentation and severity. Studies have shown that the rates of leakage associated with the presence of cortical fractures are higher than with intervertebral clefs (IVC), with or without fractures. Reasons for this are numerous and may stem from the characteristics of the vertebral venous system. Study has shown that in a fractured vertebra with IVC secondary occlusion via thrombosis or embolization of the venous system may occur. Moreover, often there is more controlled cement filling when compared with cortical fractures, with less amount of cement required to stabilize the vertebrae in IVC, furthermore reducing the risk of venous cement leakage. It is, therefore, important for clinicians to be aware of the defects within the vertebral cortex preoperatively, to oversee the potential risks of cement leakage.

The difference in leak rates and site of leak between metastatic and osteoporotic fractures is sparse in the literature and was studied in this cohort to show a higher incidence of cement leakage in metastatic disease. This is due to the presence of increased incidence of defect in the posterior wall in neoplastic cases. Moreover, our study has shown that the most common site of cement leakage is anterior to the vertebral body de and the presence of increased incidence of defect in the anterior parts of the vertebra, and utilizing highly viscous cement or allowing the cement to harden prior to injection, with use of low pressure, decrease the risk and incidence of cement leakage.
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