Direct observation of the cell-wall remodeling in adhering Staphylococcus aureus 27217: An AFM study supported by SEM and TEM
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ABSTRACT
We took benefit from Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) in the force spectroscopy mode to describe the time evolution – over 24 h – of the surface nanotopography and mechanical properties of the strain Staphylococcus aureus 27217 from bacterial adhesion to the first stage of biofilm genesis. In addition, Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) and Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) experiments allowed identifying two types of self-adhering subpopulations (the so-called “bald” and “hairy” cells) and revealed changes in their relative populations with the bacterial culture age and the protocol of preparation. We indeed observed a dramatic evanescing of the “hairy” subpopulation for samples that underwent centrifugation and resuspension processes. When examined by AFM, the “hairy” cell surface resembled to a herringbone structure characterized by upper structural units with lateral dimensions of ~70 nm and a high Young modulus value (~2.3 MPa), a mean depth of the trough between them of ~15 nm and a resulting roughness of ~5 nm. By contrast, the “bald” cells appeared much softer (~0.35 MPa) with a roughness one order of magnitude lower. We observed too the gradual detachment of the herringbone patterns from the “hairy” bacterial envelope of cell harvested from a 16 h old culture and their progressive accumulation between the bacteria in the form of globular clusters. The secretion of a soft extracellular polymeric substance was also identified that, in addition to the globular clusters, may contribute to the initiation of the biofilm spatial organization.

1. Introduction

Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus) is a Gram-positive pathogen implicated in a wide range of hospital-acquired infections and often associated with biofilm formation on medical implants (Costerton et al., 1999). Biofilm growth involves bacterial adhesion to (a) biotic surfaces, followed by cell-cell interactions leading to microcolonies and further mature 3D structure, encaised in a self-secreted exopolymERIC matrix (Costerton et al., 1981). Initial bacterial adhesion is associated with changes in cellular physiology, enabling bacteria to cope with antimicrobials aggression and thus rendering associated infections very difficult to treat (Otto, 2008).

Among the key factors promoting bacterial adhesion, cell wall constituents (proteinaceous adhesins, capsular polysaccharides, ...) and cell heterogeneity fulfill an important role in substrate and intercellular interactions (Costerton et al., 1999, 1981; Otto, 2008; Foster et al., 2014; Chan et al., 2014; Paharik and Horswill, 2016). Numerous studies in the literature provided interesting information on S. aureus cell wall morphology, morphogenesis and degradation in connection with treatments, resistance and virulence by the use of electron microscopies (Scanning Electron Microscopy, SEM and Transmission Electron Microscopy, TEM) (Giesbrecht et al., 1998; O’Riordan and Lee, 2004). The development of cymethods permitted, for this specie, better ultrastructural imaging of, for instance, the extracellular matrix (Wu et al., 2014) and the identification of news structures, e.g. those in the cell external layers during division (Matias and Beveridge, 2007). Atomic Force Microscopy allowed improving this exploration by giving access, at the nanoscale, to both morphogenesis and mechanical properties of adhering living bacteria in their native liquid environment (Dufrène, 2008; Müller and Dufrène, 2011; Meyer, 2010; Dufrène, 2017; Formosa, 2012; Formosa et al., 2015; Longo, 2013a,b; Longo and Kasas, 2014; Scocchi et al., 2016; Dover et al., 2015; Turner, 2010). The
force spectroscopy mode associated or not with biospecific probes has proved to be very useful for the quantification of subcellular chemical heterogeneities, but also for the characterization of bacterial interactions with each other, with immune cells or with specific molecules such as lectins, antimicrobials, antibodies, ... (Francius, 2008; Formosa-Dague, 2016; Chapot-Chartier, 2010; El-Kirat-Chatel et al., 2014; Gilbert, 2007) Notably, recent studies evaluated some of S. aureus surface decorations that mediate cell-cell interactions (PIA, SraP, SdrC, ...)

Fig. 1. Observation by SEM and TEM of S. aureus ATCC 27217 bacterial strain. Evidence of two types of self-adhering subpopulations: the so-called “bald” and “hairy” cells. Scale bars: (A) 6 µm; (H.1 and B.1) 600 nm; (H.3 and B.3) 200 nm; (H.2, H.4, B.2 and B.4) 100 nm.
Glutaraldehyde is a specific protein fixator (Hayat, 1970) that creates relatively rapid (around 0.5 mm/h rate of penetration at room temperature) intra and intermolecular irreversible crosslinking between the amino groups of proteins. This results in the structure stabilization of the cell membrane (by the preservation of the membrane proteins) and its surface appendages by the preservation of the proteinaceous and glyco-proteinaceous compounds of the cell wall (Chao and Zhang, 2011).

A 40 μL drop of fixed cells was deposited onto a sterile aluminum coupon (10-mm diameter, sterilized just before use by sonication in ethanol and dried during UV exposure) placed into one well of a 24 well polystyrene plate. Sedimentation of bacteria lasted 1.5 h at room temperature. Samples were fixed again via careful immersion in a 0.10 M cacodylate buffer containing 2.5% (v/v) glutaraldehyde (pH 7.2) for 10 min at room temperature, followed by overnight waiting time at 4°C. Samples were then washed three times for 5 min with 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer. Thereafter cells were dehydrated in an ethanol series (30%, 50%, 70%, 90% v/v with distilled water, and 3 times with 100% ethanol, 10 min for each step). Samples were critical-point dried (Quorum Technologies K850, Elexience, France) at 70 bar and 37°C temperature. Samples were fixed again via careful immersion in a 0.10 M cacodylate buffer, contrasted during 1h with 0.5% OTE in 0.10 M cacodylate buffer, and then washed one time during 5 min with 0.10 M cacodylate buffer.

Observations were performed in a field-emission SEM (Hitachi S4500, Japan) in high vacuum, with a secondary electron low detector, at 2 kV and 16 nm working distance, at the MIMA2 imaging platform (INRA Jouy-en-Josas, www6.jouy.inra.fr/mima2/).

2.3. SEM image treatment

We developed a systematic approach to extract from SEM images the ratio of the "hairy" to the "bald" bacteria (see below in the "results and discussion" section) for the different samples. The bacterial surface structures, if present, are numerically amplified and quantified by a home-made Matlab program (Marlière, 2018) (see Fig. S1 and its caption for more details) that automatically counts the ratio of the ‘on’ pixels, where these surface structures are revealed, to the total number of pixels for each bacterium. When this "pixel-ratio" is lower (respectively higher) than 0.5, the case with few surface decoration, the bacterium is said to be of the "bald" ("hairy" resp.) type. As shown below, the value for this chosen threshold (0.5) is fully justified by the fact that the distribution of bacteria is bimodal with maxima centered at pixel-ratios of around 0.30 and 0.75. It must be emphasized that the image processing code -- and the different thresholds we used -- was kept invariant throughout all the treated SEM images (at a magnification of 10105 and 20105).

2.4. Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM).

"Centrifuged" and "non-centrifuged" bacteria were prepared and fixed as described previously for SEM observations. Fixed bacteria were kept overnight at 4°C in a 0.10 M cacodylate and 0.20 M sucrose buffer. They were then washed one time during 5 min with 0.10 M cacodylate buffer, contrasted during 1 h with 0.5% OTE in 0.10 M cacodylate buffer, and washed 2 times during 5 min with 0.10 M cacodylate buffer. Samples were post-fixed for 1 h at room temperature in 0.10 M cacodylate buffer containing 1% (v/v) osmium tetroxide with 1.5% potassium cyanoferrate, and washed twice for 5 min with distilled water. Thereafter cells were dehydrated in an ethanol series (30%, 50%, 70%, 90% v/v with distilled water, and 3 times with 100% ethanol, 10 min for each step, except overnight for 70%). A 10 min intermediate bath in propylene oxide was performed. Then, bacteria were impregnated at room temperature in successive mixes of propylene oxide and epon (2:1; 1:1 and 1:2, for 2 h each step), then in pure epon overnight and

---

**Fig. 2.** Variation of the number of bacteria with the value of ratio – the “pixel-ratio” – of the ‘on’ pixels, where the “hairy” surface structures are revealed by SEM experiments, to the total number of pixels for the considered bacterium: influence of the culture age and centrifugation process (see main text for more detailed information).

---
finally in vacuum conditions. A final inclusion bath with pure epon and DMAE (accelerator) was performed and polymerization was allowed by incubating for 48 h at 60°C. Ultrathin sections of 70 nm were cut with an ultramicrotome (UC6, Leica, Germany) and deposited on 200 mesh copper platinum grids. Sections were stained for 2 min in Reynolds lead citrate and rinsed in distilled water. Observations were performed using an HT7700 transmission electron microscope (Hitachi, Japan) equipped with an 8 million pixels format CCD camera driven by the image capture engine software AMT, version 6.02, at the MIMA2 imaging platform (INRA Jouy-en-Josas, www6.jouy.inra.fr/mima2/). Images were made at 80 kV in high contrast mode with an objective aperture adjusted for each sample and magnification.

2.5. AFM experiments

2.5.1. Bacterial self-immobilization

One fundamental requirement when using AFM is to avoid the sweeping away of bacteria from the scanned region by the AFM tip because of its lateral interactions with the poorly adhered bacteria. To cope with this limitation, several approaches have been employed to immobilize or fix cells (mechanical trapping into membrane pores, chemical coating of substrates,...) (Rizzello, 2011; Herman-Bausier, 2018; Vitry, 2017). Unfortunately, such strategies may induce stressful conditions but, more importantly, alter the bacterial cell physiology and bias the observations. To obtain the most realistic representation,
we therefore imaged living bacteria spontaneously adhering on the substratum (RBS and CaCO3-cleaned Indium-Tin Oxide (ITO) glass slides). The harvested planktonic bacterial suspensions were used as such (“non-centrifuged”) or after being centrifuged as previously described. 500µL portions of the “centrifuged” or “non-centrifuged” bacterial cultures were deposited on the cleaned ITO for 1h30 at 37°C. Samples were then both rinsed and refilled with sterile aqueous NaCl (9g/L) solution supplemented with \(\text{CaCl}_2\cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}\) (50mg/L). All experiments were performed with, at least, ten cells from three different bacterial cultures.

2.5.2. AFM data

Atomic force microscopy studies were carried out using a Nanowizard III (JPK Instruments AG, Berlin, Germany) and its electrochemical cell (ECCell® from JPK). The AFM head was working on a commercial inverted microscope (Axio Observer.Z1, Carl Zeiss, Göttingen, Germany). This combined AFM/optical microscope was placed on an isolation vibration table. AFM measurements were performed using a fast-speed approach/retract mode (Quantitative Imaging® (QI) mode, JPK) giving the ability of performing local mechanical properties of a sample (Young’s moduli) in the so-called force spectroscopy mode. Force curves were acquired over 128 pixels × 128 pixels images, with a maximum applied force of 1.2 nN for all conditions at a constant approach/retract speed of 150 µm/s (z-range of 500 nm). Standard beam AFM probes (CSC38 MikroMasch, NanoAndMore GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany) were used with a nominal value of stiffness around 0.03 N m⁻¹ (precisely measured by thermal noise). This rather low value was chosen to be fitted to the mechanical characteristics of the cell wall and surface appendices. The sensitivity of detection of the vertical deflection thanks to the photodiode system was measured during the approach to a clean glass substrate. Raw data treatment was then performed using home-made Matlab programs and Origin Pro software. Young’s moduli were calculated using the Hertz model (Dimitriadis et al., 2002; Boulbitch, 1998, 2000).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Ultrastructure of S. aureus cell wall by combining SEM and TEM

For SEM and TEM images, S. aureus bacteria were harvested after 3 h of culture (exponential growing phase) or 18 h (stationary phase). For both centrifuged and non-centrifuged samples, and whatever the bacterial growing time, two types of cell surfaces topography were observed: one showing superficial rough structure (so called “hairy” cell) and the other one displaying regular and smooth surface (so called “bald cell”) (Fig. 1). We developed a systematic study of the influence of the culture age and centrifugation on the ratio of the hairy to the bald bacteria from the SEM images (see “material and methods” section).

The related four curves are plotted in Fig. 2. One common feature is that the distribution is bimodal with maxima centred at a pixel-ratio of 0.30 ± 0.07 (corresponding to the “bald” bacteria) and 0.75 ± 0.07 (“hairy” bacteria) respectively. The first important result is that centrifuged populations (blue and black curves in Fig. 2) are mainly from the “bald” type: the hairy/bald ratio is around 0.2 whatever the harvesting time. By contrast, for non-centrifuged samples, this ratio is inversed and depends on the harvesting time: the hairy/bald ratio is around 2 for a harvesting time of 3 h and it decreases to 0.8 after 18 h of culture. It must be noted that hairy and bald bacteria are both observed.
for cells in or out of the division process. Example of the former case is clearly visible in the SEM images at a much higher magnification of the zone around the septal ring when in formation (Fig. 1H1, B1) or in a slightly higher degree of separation (Fig. S12; non-centrifuged cells: left column, centrifuged cells: right column). In this case we note the presence, along the septal line, of structures similar to “holes” as those corresponding elasticity maps for height images in (b) and (D) the corresponding Young’s modulus distribution histograms. Similar results were obtained for at least four cells from different cultures. Blue arrows in (b-c.2) indicate the holes (the “the murosomes Giesbrecht et al., 1998-mediated punching of holes into the peripheral wall for cell” Giesbrecht et al., 1998) formed at the bacterial surface. Blue rectangle in images b-c.3 indicates the ‘zipper-like’ pattern (see main text for more details). (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Fig. 6. Secretion of the extracellular polymeric material: white plume in (a) and (b). (b) Is a magnification of the zone in the black square in (a). The successive positions of the diffusion front are visible in (c). From that it is possible to estimate a diffusion/secretion coefficient for the extracellular material secreted by S. aureus bacteria (d).

isolates, widely used for antibiotic testings, namely the methicillin sensitive S. aureus strain Newman and the methicillin resistant S. aureus strain JE2 (also named USA 300), did not reveal any “hairy” populations in the same experimental conditions and visualisation protocols (data not shown).

These two important observations, firstly, the removal of bacterial surface decorations with a pelleting treatment and, secondly, the evolution of the repartition between both populations of hairy or bald bacteria with the maturation of bacterial culture have been confirmed and enriched by real-time and in-situ AFM experiments.
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Fig. 5. AFM multiparametric imaging reveals the nanoscale structure and mechanical properties of S. aureus cell surface depending on its growth phase for non-centrifuged samples. For each condition (3–16 to 18–24 h of growth phase), are represented: (A) height images at low magnification (scale bar: 1 μm), (B.1–4) (0.4) 2 μm² height images corrected from curvature radii at respective black squares drawn at the top of bacteria in (a); (B.5) (0.3) 2 μm² height images corrected from curvature radii at red square drawn in (a.4) corresponding to the globular cluster structure. Two examples of such structure are drawn at the heads of red arrows; (C) corresponding elasticity maps for height images in (b) and (D) the corresponding Young’s moduli distribution histograms. Similar results were obtained for at least four cells from different cultures. For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
3.2. Direct observation of *S. aureus* bacterial surface by in-situ AFM

For samples harvested at 3 h culture, AFM images at a high magnification (~0.4 × 0.4 μm²) scanning areas ~100×100 μm show the hairy/bald ratio with culture age, already highlighted by SEM study, was further analyzed by AFM. The evolution of the hairy/bald ratio with culture age, already highlighted by SEM study, was further analyzed by AFM. The authors interpreted these perforations as “points of mechanical failure that could initiate a propagating crack” which would be responsible of a “popping effect” for the generation of two daughter cells. For the advanced phase cells growth (24 h in culture), bacteria were no longer surrounded by the 2.3 MPa stiff decorations, as observed on the top of the cells (Fig. 3d.4). Instead, consistently with earlier AFM studies on *S. aureus* (Formosa-Dague, 2016; Perry et al., 2009), they displayed a homogenously smooth (Fig. 5b.4) and soft cell surface (Fig. 5c.4).

The Young’s moduli histograms (areas under peak for each of the three Gaussian peaks) depicted in Fig. 5c.1 and d.1, confirm that the contribution of the stiffer component corresponding to the herring-bone patterns drastically decreases for cell wall action from 3–20h (~2MPa, Fig. 5c.1 and d.1). In addition, the softest component (0.4 MPa), likely related to the naked *S. aureus* cell wall (the peptidoglycan network without its native surface structure), significantly increases from 17 to 90%. These lower values for Young’s modulus were the only one we measured for centrifuged bacteria independently of the growth phase (see Fig. 3b-c.2). The intermediate softer value (centred on 0.8 MPa) also disappears along time and corresponds to an intermediate zone of the cell surface between the herringbone pattern and the naked *S. aureus* cell wall. These two peaks at lower values for Young’s modulus are in agreement with previous data reported in literature (Francius et al., 2008). Taking together these electron microscopy and AFM results, we demonstrated that the surface structures of the hairy bacteria were loosely attached to the cell wall as

![Image](image_url)
they are removed by centrifugation generating a shaved “bald” bacterial population. It must be emphasized the tendency for *S. aureus* cells to lose their surface decorations – the herringbone motifs - with the age of the culture: the ratio of “haired” to “bald” bacteria significantly decreases from the early growth to the late ones. This likely explains why this high value of Young’s modulus (~2.3 MPa) has not been previously reported in studies where the bacterial samples were systematically centrifuged or studied in late stationary phase (Formosa-Dague et al., 2016; Francius et al., 2008): (Young’s modulus ~ 0.35–0.8 MPa).

Another interesting feature was that, besides of the classical round-shape bacteria, globular clusters have been evidence in this late phase (Fig. 5a.4, red arrows). Strikingly, we found by focusing on such globular clusters (Fig. 5b-c.5) that they revealed similar structural and mechanical properties to those of the surface of hairy bacteria at 3 h of growth phase: herringbone patterns (~15-nm high) with a roughness of 2.6 nm RMS for an 300 nm² area (Fig. 5b.5), highly stiff (major peak in histogram – Fig. 5d.5 – of Young’s moduli centered at 2.68 ± 0.17 MPa, Fig. 5c.5). In view of these results, it is then tempting to speculate that during aging, bacteria get rid of their stiff envelope layer, which further accumulates into globular clusters between cells, likely favouring cell-cell adhesion and further biofilm formation. The question arises on the nature of this stiff component. As discussed above, its mechanical properties discard a soft material like extracellular polysaccharides. A preliminary proteomic analysis (Boudjemaa et al., 2019) of supernatants from centrifugations of bacterial suspensions at different cell growth allowed to identify several candidate proteins known to promote cell-cell interaction during biofilm development, including fibrinogen-binding proteins, immunoglobulin-binding proteins, peptidoglycan-binding proteins, serine-rich proteins or penicillin-binding protein (Herman-Bausier et al., 2017; Askarian, 2016; Foster, 2016; Weidenmaier and Lee, 2017; Alexander, 2018). To support the hypothesis of a proteinaceous nature of these decorations we can refer to the role of glutaraldehyde used for SEM experiments, as it acts specifically on the cell surface proteins (see Materials and Methods section). However, the formal identification of these decorations will require further extensive genetic and biochemical analysis.

In addition to this stiff envelope, at 24 h, a plume of an extracellular material was shown to be slowly secreted by bacteria as it can be seen in Fig. 5a.3 (the bright region inside the blue circle). Such a secretion process was investigated thanks to successive AFM images at a higher magnification (Fig. 6a-b). The time evolution of the contour of the plume (color lines in Fig. 6c) leads to a rough estimation of the diffusion/secretion coefficient of 20 ± 5 nm²/s (Fig. 6d). Elsewhere, the Young’s modulus of this extracellular material was estimated to 50 ± 10 kPa, the signature of a very soft material. In a previous AFM study (Formosa-Dague, 2016), it has been shown that *S. aureus* can produce an extracellular soft layer that drastically increases the softness of the cell surface (~45 kPa vs. ~500 kPa); a finding attributed to the secretion of Polysaccharide Intercellular Adhesin (PIA), a biofilm matrix component. We hypothesize that the observed plume could be related to the secretion of such an extracellular polymeric substance that is also contributing to the completion of the biofilm spatial organization.

4. Conclusion

The results of this study can be resumed by the scheme of Fig. 7. In the exponential growth phase, the cell surface of “haired” bacteria is surrounded by a stiff extracellular layer resembling herring-bone patterns. Along with phase aging, these patterns get gradually removed by patches, firstly forming holes, secondly zipper-like patterns and finally accumulate into globular clusters sticking between bacteria. During this late stage, bacteria also secrete a very soft extracellular polymeric material, probably contributing to the matrix construction.

Our soft mode of bacterial preparation (without initial centrifugation) to conduct experiments combining SEM, TEM and AFM in the force spectroscopy mode, enabled to analyze in real time the evolution of the structural and mechanical properties of the strain *S. aureus* 27217 in different physiological states. We report for early stage cell population a stiff, 15 nm in thickness, extracellular layer covering the cells, probably of protein composition that progressively detaches with time (form 3 h to 18 h cell growth) to finally (20 h) agglomerates into globular deposits sticking between the cells. Furthermore, it was also revealed the appearance at about 20–24 h, of a soft material as expected for polysaccharide substances, cementing cell agglomerates. Both these stiff and soft structures likely play a crucial role in intercellular adhesion and aggregation and subsequent biofilm formation, a specific character of the *S. aureus* ATCC 27217 bacterial strain. The prevalence of such cell wall decoration within *S. aureus* isolates, as well as their precise nature and function will require extensive additional work.
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