INTRODUCTION

Plastic surgery has advanced significantly over the past few decades. There is an increasing demand for noninvasive cosmetic plastic surgery because it causes less pain and scarring, speeds recovery, and reduces the incidence of post-surgical complications, such as adhesions and wound rupture.

Several noninvasive cosmetic plastic surgery procedures, including botulinum toxin injections, dermal fillers, cog threads, ultrasounds, and lasers, are performed increasingly frequently. For example, the therapeutic range of dermal fillers has been gradually expanding as new materials, methods, and applications are continuously being introduced. The materials currently used for dermal fillers include biodegradable hyaluronic acid (HA) and biodegradable collagen stimulators, such as calcium-hydroxyapatite, poly-L-lactic acid, and polycaprolactone (PCL). Additionally, nonbiodegradable products such as polymethylmethacrylate are also being used. Biodegradable collagen stimulators are the most recently in-
Dermal fillers are used for soft tissue augmentation and restoration of deep wrinkles. Recently, a tendency has emerged to use fillers to improve skin texture by inducing skin moisturization, revascularization, and collagen synthesis [4-6].

Currently, PCL-based dermal fillers are popular among polymer fillers [7]. PCL dermal filler is sphere-shaped, with an average particle size of 25–50 μm, and consists of 70% carboxymethyl carbonate (CMC) and 30% PCL. PCL is a non-toxic biocompatible and biodegradable polymer that has been widely used in bone engineering, medical devices, and drug delivery systems [8-10].

All currently used fillers are composed of microspheres ranging from 25 μm to 50 μm in size. At this particle size, the microspheres or particulates avoid being phagocytosed by macrophages, enabling them to exert a persistent effect [11]. However, this particle size can induce many side effects, including interruption of blood flow and formation of nodules in the surrounding tissues, due to vascular obstruction or mass effects [12,13]. The size also makes it difficult to inject fillers into the dermal layer of a dense tissue.

For this reason, we hypothesized that reducing the size of the particle to the nano-sized biocompatible and biodegradable copolymer hydrogel developed by Rose Lab would reduce the disadvantages of larger fillers. Biodegradable copolymers are cost-effective, do not evoke a strong immune response, and remain in the dermal tissue for a longer period than HA fillers [14].

Therefore, in this study, a PCL copolymer nanoparticle hydrogel (PCL-C-NH) was prepared and tested in vivo for its safety, sustainability, and effects as a collagen stimulator.

**METHODS**

This study was carried out in the animal laboratory (Hallym-2010-78) of the Burn Research Center, Hangang Sacred Heart Hospital by the Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Gangdong Sacred Heart Hospital in cooperation with Rose Plastic Surgery Clinic and Rose Lab.

Conventional PCL-based dermal fillers usually consist of about 30% (by weight) of PCL microspheres, and the fillers are homogeneously suspended in aqueous-based CMC gel. PCL-C-NH was the material used in this experiment. It has an average particle size of 200 nm and is spherical and hydrophilic (Fig. 1). PCL-C-NH is a PCL-based polymer that has a small particle size with the property of resisting phagocytosis by aggregation of particles in vivo using sol-gel transition. The interpretation of the final results of cytotoxicity testing using the agar diffusion method was carried out according to the Ministry of Food and Drug Safety Notice No. 2014-115 and Common Standards and Specifications for Biological Safety of Medical Devices, ISO 10993-5 (Table 1). No discoloration zone was observed in the middle layer of the cells to which the three test substances were administered, and no degree of cytolysis was ob-
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**Fig. 1.** Scanning electron microscopy image of the nano-sized polycaprolactone dermal filler preparation for the experiment [×100,000]. The average particle size is 200 nm, and the particles are spherical.

---

**Table 1.** Degrees of cytotoxicity in the agar diffusion test

| Degree | Reactivity | Description of reactivity zone |
|--------|------------|--------------------------------|
| 0      | None       | No detectable zone around or under specimen |
| 1      | Slight     | Some malformed or degenerated cells under specimen |
| 2      | Mild       | Zone limited to area under specimen |
| 3      | Moderate   | Zone extending from specimen, with a size up to 1.0 cm |
| 4      | Severe     | Zone extending farther than 1.0 cm beyond specimen |

**Table 2.** Results of the cytotoxicity (agar) test

| Test                  | Percent lysis | Reactivity | Grade |
|-----------------------|---------------|------------|-------|
| Test sample           |               |            |       |
| (1)                   | 0             | None       | 0     |
| (2)                   | 0             | None       | 0     |
| (3)                   | 0             | None       | 0     |
| (4)                   | 0             | None       | 0     |
| Negative control<sup>a</sup> | 0           | None       | 0     |
| PCL-C-HN (1)          | 0             | None       | 0     |
| PCL-C-HN (2)          | 0             | None       | 0     |
| Positive control<sup>b</sup> |            |            |       |
| Physiological saline (1) | 100       | Moderate   | 3     |
| Physiological saline (2) |            |            | 3     |

<sup>a</sup>Negative control: with polycaprolactone copolymer nanoparticle hydrogel (PCL-C-NH) as the specimen, 30 μg of N-methyl-N-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine (NTG) was immersed per sheet of round filter paper (diameter: 8 mm); <sup>b</sup>Positive control: physiological saline was immersed in NTG filter paper.
served in the lower part of the specimen. Therefore, the test substance can be regarded as having no reactivity to cultured cells in this test environment. A reactivity zone was not observed in the middle layer of the solvent control group or negative control group, and a reactivity zone of 0.5 cm or more was observed in the positive control group. Under the test conditions, the PCL nanoparticle hydrogel did not induce dissolution or toxicity in mouse fibroblasts (Table 2).

The in vivo experiment was carried out on 36 Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats. Each animal weighed roughly 194.2 g and was an average of 6 weeks old at the beginning of the experiment. Animals were individually numbered on the tail and housed in plastic cages placed in ventilated racks at 24°C and 56% moisture in a 12-hour light-dark cycle.

The SD rats were divided into three groups for the experiment. Group A (n = 12) was injected with normal saline (JW Pharma, Seoul, Korea). Group B (n = 12) was injected with PCL dermal filler (Ellanse M; JW Pharma). Group C (n = 12) was injected with PCL-C-NH (Rose Lab, Seoul, Korea).

Before the injections, each rat was anesthetized with isoflurane gas (Hana Pharm. Co., Ltd., Hwaseong, Korea). The animal was placed on a surgical table in the prone position, and its paws were tied with elastic strips. A 2 × 1-cm surface of the rat’s scalp was depilated.

The fanning technique was used to inject 0.1 mL of material into the scalp dermal layer with uniform pressure using a disposable insulin syringe (26 gauge) (Fig. 2).

Four SD rats in each group (A, B, and C) were sacrificed at week 4, week 8, and week 12. Blood samples were obtained and used for a complete blood count (CBC) analysis and studies of liver and kidney function. For the histological examination, the liver and kidney tissue were fixed in 4% formaldehyde, embedded in frozen section media (Surgipath FSC22 clear; Leica Biosystems, Wetzlar, Germany).

![Injection site](image1.png)

**Fig. 2.** Sprague-Dawley rat scalp after polycaprolactone copolymer nanoparticle hydrogel (PCL-C-NH) injection. Arrows indicate the injection site. (A) Left view. (B) Upper view.

![Liver histology](image2.png)

**Fig. 3.** Photographs of the rat liver and kidneys at 12 weeks (H&E, ×400). No tissue infiltration was found in either the group injected with the polycaprolactone (PCL) dermal filler or the group injected with the PCL copolymer nanoparticle dermal hydrogel (PCL-C-NH), when compared to the control group injected with saline (scale bars=100 μm). (A) Saline, (B) PCL dermal filler, and (C) PCL-C-NH.
Germany), and processed for hematoxylin and eosin staining (×400). Five randomly selected fields from each slide were viewed by an observer to assess microvessel formation, cystic degeneration, fibrotic cicatrization, and infiltration of inflammatory cells.

For the collagen formation examinations, the dermal tissue of the scalp at the injection site was resected, including the marginal non-injected site, and was fixed in 4% formaldehyde, embedded in frozen section media, and processed for Masson trichrome staining. In order to quantify the collagen formation induced by the injection of the test materials, the tissue at the site of the injection was isolated and accurately weighed. The tissue was then placed in a 0.5 M acetic acid solution, at a 1/10 ratio of weight to volume, and stirred at 4°C for 18 hours. Next, the samples were centrifuged at 15,000 × g for 60 minutes, and 100 μL of supernatant was collected. After adding 1 mL of the Sircol dye reagent, the collagen sample

Fig. 4. Gross findings of the Sprague-Dawley rat scalps at 12 weeks. (A) Existing polycaprolactone (PCL) dermal filler (microspheres) and (B) PCL copolymer nanoparticle hydrogel (PCL-C-NH). Lump formation was observed in (A) the conventional dermal filler, while no lump formation was observed in (B) the scalp tissues injected with PCL-C-NH.

Fig. 5. Masson trichrome staining of Sprague-Dawley rat scalps at 12 weeks (×100). (A) Saline, (B) PCL dermal filler, and (C) PCL-C-NH. No collagen formation was observed after (A) injection with saline, whereas injections with (B) the PCL dermal filler and (C) PCL-C-NH induced collagen fiber formation, as shown by the arrows in enlarged cross-sections (scale bars=100 μm). PCL-C-NH, polycaprolactone copolymer nanoparticle hydrogel.
and reagents were mixed and centrifuged at 10,000 × g for 10 minutes. One milliliter of the alkali reagent was then added to the pellet, and the collagen amount in each tissue was quantitatively measured using the soluble collagen assay kit (Sircol; Biocolor Ltd., Belfast, Ireland), which measures absorbance at 540 nm.

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 22.0 for Windows (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). The results of the three groups were compared using the Kruskal-Wallis test. A P-value of < 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

RESULTS

Tissue infiltration of the particles was not observed in group B or group C, as compared with group A (Fig. 3). The gross findings of scalp dermal tissue in group A and group C (PCL-C-NH) were similar and were spread widely around the particles (Fig. 5).

Liver and kidney toxicity

Table 4. Complete blood count analysis

| Group       | WBC (10^3/mm^3) | RBC (10^12/mm^3) | Hb (g/dL) | Hct (%) | MCV (µm^3) | MCH (pg) | MCHC (g/dL) | PLT (10^3/mm^3) |
|-------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------|---------|------------|----------|-------------|-----------------|
| A (saline)  | 6.2±1.09        | 8.8±0.16         | 15.2±0.8  | 48.6±0.5| 54.7±1.3   | 17.1±0.5 | 31.2±2.7    | 1,022±8.08      |
| B (microsphere filler) | 6.7±1.02 | 8.1±0.24         | 14.7±0.7  | 44.8±0.6| 55.1±1.1   | 17.4±0.4 | 31.6±2.4    | 966±8.87        |
| C (PCL-C-NH) | 6.8±1.01        | 7.9±0.29         | 13.5±1.1  | 41.9±1.2| 53.0±1.4   | 17.1±0.5 | 32.2±2.7    | 1,001±8.46      |

Normal range: WBC, 6.0–14.0 ×10^3/mm^3; RBC, 7.5–8.4 ×10^12/mm^3; Hb, 13–16 g/dL; Hct, 40%–50%; MCV, 52–64 µm^3; MCH, 17–21 pg; MCHC, 30–36 g/dL; PLT, 900–1,200 ×10^3/mm^3. No significant differences were observed in the results of the Kruskal-Wallis test (P>0.05).

Liver and kidney toxicity

Table 4. Liver and kidney toxicity

| Group       | OT (UI/L) | PT (UI/L) | BUN (mg/dL) | Cr (mg/dL) |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|------------|
| A (saline)  | 37±4.28   | 111±27.94 | 12.7±2.51   | 0.21±0.04  |
| B (microsphere filler) | 33±2.94 | 108±18.98 | 17.1±2.27   | 0.35±0.03  |
| C (PCL-C-NH) | 32±2.77  | 165±21.95 | 14.7±2.68   | 0.28±0.05  |

Normal range: OT, 20–40 UI/L; PT, 80–200 UI/L; BUN, 11–16 mg/dL; Cr, 0.2–0.4 mg/dL. No significant differences were observed in the results of the Kruskal-Wallis test (P>0.05).

DISCUSSION

PCL is known to be a biodegradable collagen stimulator that promotes neocollagenesis. In this study, nano-sized PCL copolymers were prepared in hydrogels to reduce the side effects caused by size...

Fig. 6. Collagen quantification via the soluble collagen assay kit at 4, 8, and 12 weeks. Group A showed almost no change in collagen formation over time. Collagen formation in group B slightly increased from 0.51 mg/g at week 4 to 0.6 mg/g at week 8, with a small decrease from week 8 to week 12. Meanwhile, collagen in group C slightly increased by 0.34 mg/g, from 0.88 mg/g at week 4 to 1.22 mg/g at week 12. Group A, saline; group B, polycaprolactone (PCL) dermal filler; and group C, PCL copolymer nanoparticle hydrogel (PCL-C-NH).
and to stimulate collagen synthesis. The reason for this approach is that particles with a reduced size are easily injected, without any adverse effects, into the papillary dermis where relatively many fibroblasts are present.

Our results from animal experiments indicated that the material did not show any toxicity or tissue infiltration. Additionally, nanoparticles were aggregated with each other at the injection site, promoting collagen formation around them.

The assessment of collagen formation in the scalp tissues of SD rats through Masson trichrome staining indicated formation of collagen fibers around the tissues of the scalp skin in the PCL-C-NH group. We observed more collagen formation in the PCL-C-NH group than in the micro-sized PCL group.

Various fillers, such as HA or polymethylmethacrylate filler, have previously been found to be safe and effective [16, 17]. Research on PCL dermal fillers is becoming more common. Some pilot studies have shown that PCL-based dermal fillers function as collagen stimulators, unlike conventional fillers. Additionally, PCL-based fillers have been shown to be safe, and patient satisfaction is high [7].

CMC molecules in the PCL dermal filler do not cross-link with each other, and the gel plays an important role in obtaining thinning properties [18]. These properties make the PCL-based dermal filler smooth, with an acceptable extrusion form during application. After injecting the filler, the CMC gel carrier is gradually absorbed by macrophages within several weeks, during which time the PCL microphases promote neocollagenesis. Therefore, PCL-based dermal filler has almost the same elastic modulus as the dermis after neocollagenesis, but provides a better cosmetic result than HA dermal filler. Furthermore, type I collagen is synthesized, which, unlike other synthetic materials, produces a natural result that is not significantly different from physiologically occurring textures [7,18].

It has been reported that when PCL-C-NH is produced into hydrogel, a sol-gel transition occurs under various conditions (pH, temperature, etc.) [19]. Although PCL-C-NH is maintained in sol form at room temperature, it changes to gel form when it is injected into the body. Because of this characteristic, nanoparticles vulnerable to phagocytosis gradually become coagulated in response to temperature changes during injection into the body, where they are resistant to phagocytosis.

In this study, some properties of the biodegradable polymers were investigated. However, the stability of the substances used in the experiment has not yet been established, which could lead to problems in clinical applications; therefore, this issue needs to be addressed in the future.

Due to limitations in the use of the experimental animals, only four animal results for each experimental case were analyzed using the Kruskal-Wallis test. Hence, P-values were only available for the blood analysis test. The rat tissue collagen analysis in this experiment did not include a statistical analysis. Although the sample size was small, and the follow-up period was short, we believe that PCL-C-NH can be a next-generation material with fewer side effects and better efficacy than conventional fillers.

In conclusion, PCL-C-NH maintained biocompatibility and biodegradable properties in common with conventional PCL dermal fillers. The nanoparticle size reduced the adverse effects, such as nodule formation, caused by conventional microphrases with a larger particle size. PCL-C-NH can be easily injected into the dermal layer and is considered to promote neocollagenesis. If neocollagenesis occurs well with PCL-C-NH, as we hypothesize it will, it may help to enhance skin texture. Patients desire enhanced skin texture as much as volume augmentation, which is a typical indication for fillers. Hence, many attempts have been made to achieve both enhanced skin texture and volume augmentation. We experimented with a polymer that had not been previously used in fillers, to test the possibility of attaining enhanced skin texture; however, additional research on various other agents is needed.
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