Locating ergostar models in parameter space
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Recently, we have shown that dynamically stable ergostar solutions (equilibrium neutron stars that contain an ergoregion) with a compressible and causal equation of state exist [A. Tsokaros, M. Ruiz, L. Sun, S. L. Shapiro, and K. Uryū, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 231103 (2019)]. These stars are hypermassive, differentially rotating, and highly compact. In this work, we make a systematic study of equilibrium models in order to locate the position of ergostars in parameter space. We adopt four equations of state that differ in the matching density of a maximally stiff core. By constructing a large number of models both with uniform and differential rotation of different degrees, we identify the parameters for which ergostars appear. We find that the most favorable conditions for the appearance of dynamically stable ergostars are a significant finite density close to the surface of the star (i.e., similar to self-bound quark stars) and a small degree of differential rotation.

I. INTRODUCTION

One important open question in modern astrophysics is the mechanism that powers relativistic jets in short gamma-ray bursts like the one accompanying event GW170817 [1–3]. Within the membrane paradigm, these highly energetic phenomena are typically attributed to the black hole horizon [4]. On the other hand, according to more recent studies [5–7], it is the ergosphere and its threading by magnetic field lines that is chiefly responsible for the jet’s existence, while a black hole horizon is not necessary. An ergostar is a star that contains an ergoregion, i.e., a region where there are no timelike static observers and all trajectories (timelike or null) must rotate in the direction of rotation of the star (frame dragging). For an ergostar, the question relevant to jet formation is whether the ergoregion is preserved in the presence of a dissipative mechanism, such as viscosity or a turbulent magnetic field. In particular, when an ergostar is threaded by a magnetic field, is stability maintained over many Alfvén timescales, or does the turbulent magnetic viscosity destabilize the star before a jet can be launched?

The question regarding the dynamical stability of an ergostar with a causal and compressible equation of state (EOS) was answered positively in [8]. There, the ALF2cc EOS was adopted to create ergostars that evolved stably for \(\sim 150\) dynamical times or \(\sim 30\) rotation periods. This equilibria, when perturbed in a radial or nonaxisymmetric way, showed no significant mode growth, while their shape and ergoregion remained intact. At the same time, polytropic models of ergostars presented in [9] proved to be unstable to radial collapse. The secular evolution of stars containing ergoregions is governed by the fact that the timelike Killing vector associated with the stationarity of the spacetime becomes spacelike inside the ergoregion, which implies a negative energy with respect to an asymptotic observer for a freely moving particle there. As a consequence, a nonaxisymmetric perturbation that radiates positive energy at infinity will make the negative energy in the ergoregion even more negative, leading to the so-called Friedman instability [10, 11]. The timescale for this instability was initially considered to be longer than the Hubble time [12], but more recently, it was found that it can be quite small [13, 14] (for small mode numbers).

On the other hand, since the original work of Wilson [15], it seems that differential rotation plays a crucial role in the appearance of an ergoregion (see also the models of [9, 16, 17]). In the presence of magnetic fields, this differential rotation is eventually suppressed due to magnetic winding and the magnetorotational instability [18, 19], which in turn implies that jet formation (if dependent on the existence of the ergoregion) may be inhibited. Given the fact that we were able to construct dynamically stable, differentially rotating ergostars, the answer regarding the ergosphere hypothesis on jet formation depends crucially on whether a dissipative mechanism will affect the structure of the ergostar sufficiently to remove the ergoregion before powering a jet. Alternatively, they may drive an ergostar, if hypermassive, to collapse to a black hole. If the ergosphere is indeed responsible for the formation of a jet, then in this case, its lifetime may be different from the case where a black hole is the power source.

In order to probe the possible scenarios described above, one needs to know the most favorable equilibria that contain ergoregions. Is it possible to have ergostars that are uniformly rotating (with a compressible and causal EOS)? Is it possible to have supramassive [20] ergostars, i.e., uniformly rotating ergostars with mass larger than the maximum Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff (TOV) limit, but less than the maximum mass at the mass-shedding (Kepler) limit? To answer such questions, we perform in this work a parameter study probing the existence of ergostars. Using four EOSs and different degrees of differential rotation, we map the location of the ergostars on mass vs central density diagrams. Our EOS strategy is similar to the one employed in [8]. This time, we start with the SLy EOS [21] and construct a large number of uniformly and differentially rotating models using 5 degrees of differential rotation. Then we construct three additional EOSs based on the SLy one where we progressively substitute an inner core at matching densities \(\rho_{\text{nucc}}\), \(2\rho_{\text{nucc}}\), \(4\rho_{\text{nucc}}\) with the maximally stiff EOS, which has the speed of sound equal to the speed of light [see Eq. (4)]. Here, \(\rho_{\text{nucc}} = 2.7 \times 10^{14} \text{ g/cm}^3\) is nuclear matter density. Sequences of
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constant angular momentum and constant rest mass are constructed and stability questions are addressed.

II. NUMERICAL METHODS

Our equilibria are constructed with the Cook-Shapiro-Teukolsky (CST) code [20], which solves the Einstein equations for rotating equilibria under the assumptions of stationarity and axisymmetry. The spacetime element (units of $G = c = 1$) is in the form of

$$ds^2 = -c^2 dt^2 + a^2 (dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2) + e^{2\alpha} r^2 \sin^2 \theta (d\phi - \omega dt)^2,$$

where $\gamma, \rho, \alpha, \omega$ are all functions of $r$ and $\theta$ only, while the stress-energy tensor is written as

$$T_{\mu\nu} = (\rho_0 + \rho_i + P) u_\mu u_\nu + P g_{\mu\nu},$$

where $\rho_0$ is the rest-mass density, $\rho_i$ the internal energy density, and $P$ the pressure at the rest frame of the fluid. Here, $u^\mu$ is the fluid four-velocity that for a circular flow considered here may be written as $u^\mu = u^t (t^\mu + \Omega \phi^\mu)$, where $t^\mu$ is the timelike Killing vector that defines stationarity, and $\phi^\mu$ is the azimuthal spacelike Killing vector that defines axisymmetry. The angular velocity $\Omega$ is constant for uniform rotation but a function of $r$ and $\theta$ when differential rotation is considered. The vanishing divergence of the stress-energy tensor, together with the assumptions of stationarity and axisymmetry, lead to the Euler equation of hydrostatic equilibrium [20]. In the case of uniform rotation, the Euler equation can be directly integrated, while in the case of differential rotation, it can be integrated when the specific angular momentum $j = u^t u_\phi$ is a function of $\Omega$ itself [20, 22]. In this work, we will consider either uniform rotation or differential rotation described by the Komatsu-Eriguchi-Hachisu law [9, 23] $j(\Omega) = A^2 (\Omega_c - \Omega)$, where $\Omega_c$ is the angular velocity at the center of the star, and $A$ is a parameter that controls the amount of differential rotation. To probe for the existence of the ergosphere, we examine at every point the sign of the norm of the vector $\mu^\nu$, and in particular, we identify where the condition

$$\mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{t} = g_{tt} = e^{\gamma - \rho} (\omega^2 r^2 \sin^2 \theta - e^{2\rho}) > 0$$

is satisfied.

The first EOS that we consider here uses the SLy EOS [21] in the form of a piecewise representation $P = K_0 \rho_0^\Gamma_i$.

TABLE I. The SLy EOS. In the first column are the dividing rest-mass densities in g/cm$^3$, while in the second column are the polytropic indices.

| $\rho_0$ | $\Gamma_i$ |
|---------|-------------|
| $1.00000 \times 10^{15}$ | 2.85100 |
| $5.01187 \times 10^{14}$ | 3.00500 |
| $1.46220 \times 10^{14}$ | 1.35692 |

TABLE II. The four EOSs employed here. The columns are the maximum spherical mass $M_{\text{max}}^{\text{Tov}}$ in units of $M_\odot$, the maximum mass of the uniformly rotating models $M_{\text{max}}^{\text{Kep}}$, and the corresponding rest-mass densities in g/cm$^3$.

| EOS     | $M_{\text{max}}^{\text{Tov}}$ | $M_{\text{max}}^{\text{Kep}}$ | $\rho_{\text{max}}^{\text{Tov}}$ | $\rho_{\text{max}}^{\text{Kep}}$ |
|---------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| SLy     | 2.061                      | 2.488                      | 1.999 $\times 10^{15}$     | 1.771 $\times 10^{15}$     |
| SLycc1  | 4.067                      | 5.280                      | 5.979 $\times 10^{14}$     | 5.175 $\times 10^{14}$     |
| SLycc2  | 2.917                      | 3.656                      | 1.139 $\times 10^{15}$     | 1.022 $\times 10^{15}$     |
| SLycc4  | 2.222                      | 2.681                      | 1.852 $\times 10^{15}$     | 1.721 $\times 10^{15}$     |

[24]. The matching rest-mass densities $\rho_0$, as well as the polytropic indices $\Gamma_i$, are shown in Table I. A polytropic constant is calculated from the reference values of pressure ($2.42103 \times 10^{14}$ dyne/cm$^2$) and density ($5.01187 \times 10^{14}$ g/cm$^3$), while the rest of the polytropic constants are calculated from the equality of pressure at the dividing densities of Table I. The other three EOSs (SLycc1, SLycc2, and SLycc4) are based on the SLy one where we progressively substitute an inner core at matching densities $\rho_{\text{muc}}, 2\rho_{\text{muc}},$ and $4\rho_{\text{muc}}$, with the maximally stiff EOS [25].

$$P = \sigma (\epsilon - \epsilon_s) + P_s.$$  \hfill (4)
three EOSs, since $4\rho_{\text{max}}$ is less than this value, we always have $c_s \leq c$.

Figure 1 is devoted to the SLy EOS. The top and middle rows depict the position of ergostars (green crosses) in a mass vs central rest-mass density diagram.⁴ Every panel corresponds to a different degree of differential rotation starting from uniform rotation in the top left panel where $\dot{A}^{-1} = 0$ and progressing to a higher degree of differential rotation in the right middle panel where $\dot{A}^{-1} = 1$. In each plot, we show the spherical solutions (TOV black curve), the mass-shedding limit of uniformly rotating stars (red curve), sequences of constant rest mass $M_0$ (orange dashed curves), sequences of constant angular momentum $J$ (brown dashed curves), and the curve that joins the maximum mass points (turning points) on every $J = \text{const}$ sequence (blue dashed curve). In a typical calculation, for every $\dot{A}$ (i.e., for every panel) we divide a range of densities starting from a low density up to the limiting point $2.0 \times 10^{15} \text{ g/cm}^3$ into 60 intervals, and using the CST code we compute 60 constant rest-mass density sequences from the spherical limit (black curve) all the way up into more massive models that have small ratios $R_p/R_e$ until the code fails to converge. Here, $R_e$ is the polar radius. The last points, i.e., the points with the smaller value of $R_p/R_e$, on every sequence are connected with a dashed red curve in the panels of the top and middle row in Fig. 1. As we can see, there are no ergostars for uniformly rotating models or small differential rotation $\dot{A}^{-1} = 0.2$ for the SLy EOS. On the other hand, the largest number of ergostars appear when $\dot{A}^{-1}$ is approximately in the range $0.4 - 0.6$, while for larger degrees of differential rotation, they tend to diminish again.

One important line in these plots is the blue dashed line which separates the secularly unstable/stable models against axisymmetric perturbations. For the uniformly rotating case, it is denoted as the turning point line due to “turning point theorem” of Friedman, et al. [27]: Along a sequence of uniformly rotating stars with fixed angular momentum and increasing central density, the configuration of maximum mass marks the onset of secular instability. The turning point line is also commonly taken to be the criterion for distinguishing dynamical stability. Although the analysis of Takami et al. [28] implies that the loci of secular, dynamical, and turning point lines are more subtle, they clearly are close to each other. For differential rotation, there is no analogous theorem, but there is significant evidence that again the locus of dynamical stability is very close to the turning point on $J = \text{const}$ curves [29–31]. According to [28, 31], the dynamical instability typically sets in at central densities slightly below the one that corresponds to the turning point. In particular, as one moves along a $J = \text{const}$ sequence toward increasing densities, one encounters the secular instability first, then the dynamical, and finally the turning point. Given that all three points are very close together and given the lack of any general theorem, we will assume here that the turning points mark the beginning of the dynamically unstable region, although the reader should be aware of the differences discussed above. We also note here that in the cases with differential rotation, the CST code can go to large deformations (i.e., small ratios of $R_p/R_e$) that correspond to toroidal configurations. On the other hand, in some cases, especially for large masses and smaller densities, we were not able to find a turning point. Typically, for those cases a fixed angular momentum sequence is a monotonically increasing function of mass as one moves to larger densities. For our present purposes, we tacitly assume that the last points in those sequences signify the dynamical instability limit, although in reality that limit should be on the left at higher densities.²

This implies that all ergostars on and to the right of the blue dashed lines are dynamically unstable. For the SLy EOS, Fig. 1, this criterion rules out most of the ergostars, at least for a mild degree of differential rotation ($\dot{A}^{-1} = 0.4, 0.6$). For larger differential rotation, the ergostars tend to accumulate close to the turning point line (or more precisely, close to the last model we were able to calculate), and given the discussion above, the dynamical stability of these models is questionable, as a full evolution will be needed for a diagnosis. We also note here that as differential rotation becomes larger, the turning point line becomes straighter and rotates counterclockwise with respect to the maximum spherical point. This also implies that all models on and to the right of the uniformly rotating turning point line are dynamically unstable irrespective of the degree of differential rotation. In addition, this is true for supramassive as well as hypermassive stars.

To get a better understanding of the qualitative features of the SLy ergostars, we plot in the bottom row of Fig. 1 the deformation parameter $R_p/R_e$ as well as the rotational kinetic over the gravitational potential energy $T/W$ for three representative cases of differential rotation. The vertical black line corresponds to the density of the maximum spherical mass, while the red one corresponds to the density of the maximum uniformly rotating mass. We find that all models with $\dot{A}^{-1} = 0.4, 0.6, 0.8$ are toroidal (i.e., the maximum density is not at the center), and the larger the differential rotation, the more toroidal shapes we were able to compute. Note that according to recent studies [34], extreme toroidal configurations are dynamically unstable. In the $T/W$ panels, we draw with a horizontal dashed-dot line the $T/W = 0.25$ benchmark, which in many cases provides a crude criterion for the onset of dynamical instability to nonaxisymmetric (bar) modes [35, 36]. Blue crosses correspond to ergostars on the left of the turning point line, while red crosses correspond to ergostars on the right of the turning point line.

Figure 2 is similar to Fig. 1, but it corresponds to the SLycc1 EOS. The effect of the large causal core is immediately seen even for the uniformly rotating models: Supramassive ergostars now appear, but they all lie in the dynamical unstable part of the parameter space. We also note here that the

¹ Here we use the notation of the CST code [20] where $A/R_e$, $R_e$ being the equatorial radius.

² It is possible that more fine-tuned codes like [32, 33] can go beyond our calculated models and refine the position of the turning point in the very high mass differentially rotating regime.
maximum mass of the spherical solutions, as well as the maximum mass at the mass-shedding limit, increase considerably from the SLy EOS (by factors of 1.97 and 2.12, respectively). This has already been seen with the ALF2cc EOS employed in [8, 37]. Given the fact that the SLycc1 and ALF2cc EOSs only differ in the crust (i.e., for $\rho_0 \leq \rho_{nuc}$), it is not surprising that the differences in the TOV and Kepler lines are minute. In addition, comparing SLycc1 vs SLy, we see that the densities where the maximum mass for the spherical mass-shedding sequence reduce significantly (by factors of 3.34 and 3.42, respectively; see Table II). Although this may seem contradictory, it is related to the fact that the density profile along an axis is quite different from models using a typical EOS (like SLy or ALF2) without a large causal core. Instead of a

FIG. 1. SLy EOS. Top and middle row depict the location of the ergostars (green cross) in a mass vs central rest-mass density diagrams for different degrees of differential rotation $A$. The panel with $A^{-1} = 0$ (top left) corresponds to uniform rotation. Bottom row shows the deformation ($R_p/R_e$) and $T/W$ for three differential rotation cases. Blue crosses correspond to ergostars on the left of the turning point line, while red crosses correspond to the ones on the right.
parabolic type, the profile with SLycc1 starts from a smaller central density, diminishes somewhat all the way to the surface of the star, where it abruptly reduces to zero (see Fig. 1 in [37]). In this respect, stars with the SLycc1 or ALF2cc EOS resemble quark stars that have a finite surface density.

When differential rotation is considered, the general trends are (1) the turning point line moves up and turns counterclockwise with respect to the maximum TOV mass point, as in Fig. 1. (2) The ergostars move toward smaller densities well beyond the turning point line, toward the stable part of the parameter space. (3) For a larger degree of differential rotation, the ergostars tend to accumulate toward the turning point line and also the number of them tends to decrease. When differential rotation is large enough, the ergostars almost disappear.

The fact that a very mild differential rotation moved the ergostars from the unstable regime at high densities on the right of the turning point line to the left at lower central densities enabled us to find dynamically stable models [8]. Although these models used a different EOS (ALF2cc), they do not differ significantly from the models of Fig. 2 since apart
FIG. 3. Same as Fig. 1 but for the SLycc2 (first and second rows) and SLycc4 (third and fourth rows) EOSs.
from a small crust, the rest of the star has the same (causal) EOS. In particular, the featured model in Fig. 1 of [8] had $A^{-1} = 0.2$, a central density $\rho_0 = 4.52 \times 10^{14} \text{g/cm}^3$, and mass $M = 5.709 M_\odot$. Looking at the right panel of the top row in Fig. 2, we can see that indeed such a model lies within the dynamically stable regime.

From the bottom row panels of Fig. 2, where differential rotation is small ($A^{-1} = 0.1$) all ergostars are spheroidal for the SLycc EOS, and they progressively become toroidal with higher differential rotation (this is in contrast with the SLy EOS where almost all ergostars found had toroidal topology). Also, $T/W$ for the spheroidal models is below the benchmark value of 0.25, while it becomes larger and reaches the 0.3 value as differential rotation is increased. We note here that for $A^{-1} = 0.1$, the ergostars to the right of the blue line (which are the majority of them) should be unstable to axisymmetric perturbations. For the small number of ergostars to the left of the red line the possibility of dynamical stability is significant. For $A^{-1} = 0.2$, all models with density larger than approximately $\rho_0 \approx 5 \times 10^{14} \text{g/cm}^3$ should also be unstable to axisymmetric perturbations, but the ones with less central density can be stable even with respect to nonaxisymmetric modes (there are many models with $T/W < 0.25$ and even some with $T/W > 0.25$ can be stable). Similar arguments can be made for $A^{-1} = 0.4$.

When the causal core is assumed at $2\rho_{\text{nuc}}$, ergostars almost disappear from the uniformly rotating regime (Fig. 3 top left panel). Similar to the SLycc EOS, small differential rotation ($A^{-1} = 0.2$) brings ergostars into the stable side of the turning point line and, according to Fig. 3 second row left panel, these are possibly stable against nonaxisymmetric perturbations. As the degree of differential rotation increases, the turning point line turns counterclockwise with respect to the maximum spherical mass point, and the ergostars accumulate toward the end point of our convergence regime. The middle and right panels in the second row of Fig. 3 show the deformation and $T/W$ when $A^{-1} = 0.4, 0.6$ for the SLycc2 EOS. Full simulations will be needed to probe the fate of these equilibria. The bottom two rows in Fig. 3 depict the ergostars when the causal core shifts at $4\rho_{\text{nuc}}$. Here, the EOS is very close to the original SLy, apart from the very high density regime; thus, the position of the ergostars resembles the one found in Fig. 1.

**IV. DISCUSSION**

It has recently been proposed [5, 6] that the mechanism behind the launching of relativistic jets from compact objects is the ergosphere and not a black hole horizon. In [7], the authors tested a simplified version of this scenario by performing a force-free numerical simulation of a homogeneous ergostar using the Cowling approximation. They confirmed that the Blandford-Znajek mechanism is not directly related to the horizon of the black hole by showing that (a) the magnetic field collimation, (b) the induced charged density and poloidal currents, and (c) the electromagnetic luminosity that are produced by a rotating ergostar are similar to those observed in a rotating black hole spacetime. Their use of an incompressible EOS together with their freezing of the gravitational field, raises doubts regarding the stability of ergostars in a realistic evolutionary scenario. As in [38–40], we define an incipient jet based on the following three characteristics: (1) a collimated, tightly wound magnetic field, (2) a mildly relativistic outflow ($T_L > 1.2$), and (3) the outflow is confined by a funnel containing a (nearly) force-free magnetic field $b^2/(2\rho_0) > 1$. Here, $b^2 = B^2/(4\pi)$, and $B$ is the magnetic field at the poles. In the case of [7], the absence of all matter does not permit conditions (2) and (3) to be checked, which motivates our efforts to explore the parameter space of dynamically stable ergostars with a compressible and causal EOS.

Regarding the Friedman instability, it was shown that the $m = 2$ bar mode of a homogeneous ergostar having a period $T = 27 M$ has a growth time $\tau_\gamma \approx 10^5 M$ [13]. Larger values of $m$ have even larger $\tau_\gamma$. On the other hand, the Alfvén timescale is

$$\frac{\tau_A}{M} = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi \epsilon}{B}} \left( \frac{R}{M} \right).$$  (8)

For typical ergostars and $B \sim 10^{12}$ G, one gets $\tau_A/M \sim 10^6 \sim \tau_\gamma/100$. Therefore, it is improbable that the Friedman instability will have any effect on the possible formation of a jet. On the other hand, large magnetic fields ($\gtrsim 10^{15}$ G) are needed to bring the Alfvén timescale on levels that can be currently simulated ($\lesssim 10^3 M$) but sufficiently small that they are not dynamically significant initially.

In this work, we constructed more than $\sim 30,000$ uniformly and differentially rotating equilibria using four EOSs in order to probe the parameter space and identify the parameters under which ergostars appear. The most favorable parameters will be adopted in the future for full magnetohydrodynamical simulations. Using the SLy EOS as a basis, we constructed three other EOSs by imposing a causal core at $\rho_{\text{nuc}}$, $2\rho_{\text{nuc}}$, and $4\rho_{\text{nuc}}$. We expect that similar behavior will be found when any other EOS is used instead of the SLy one. The differential rotation law that we explored is the so-called “$j$-const” law, and it will be interesting in the future to see how robust our findings are when other differential rotating laws are employed, like those presented in [41] that model more accurately the rotation profile of a neutron star merger remnant. In all cases considered, we calculated the turning point line [27] and commented on the stability properties of the ergostars that we found. For a regular EOS like the SLy, most ergostars appear on the unstable side of the turning point line, but for small differential rotation, models on the stable side also appear. These stars typically are highly hypermas- sive and very close to the limits of convergence for the CST code. Their stability will have to be probed by full general relativistic simulations as in [8]. For an EOS like SLycc1, ergostars appear more frequently for a mild degree of differential rotation. Here, dynamically stable models exist, as shown in [8]. Given the fact that the stars of this EOS resemble quark stars, we conjecture that stable ergostars of quark or strange matter will have more favorable possibilities for exis-
tence. When a causal core is found deep in the high density regime of a neutron star, the number of ergostars that we were able to construct diminished.
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