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The possibility for the Jacobi equation to admit, in some cases, general solutions that
are polynomials has been recently highlighted by Calogero and Yi, who termed them
para-Jacobi polynomials. Such polynomials are used here to build seed functions
of a Darboux-Bäcklund transformation for the trigonometric Darboux-Pöschl-Teller
potential. As a result, one-step regular rational extensions of the latter depending
both on an integer index \( n \) and on a continuously varying parameter \( \lambda \) are con-
structed. For each \( n \) value, the eigenstates of these extended potentials are asso-
ciated with a novel family of \( \lambda \)-dependent polynomials, which are orthogonal on
\([-1,1]\].

I. INTRODUCTION

The construction of new solvable systems is an interesting problem by itself in mathemat-
ical physics. In the quantum framework, the techniques of Supersymmetric Quantum
Mechanics (SUSY QM)¹−³ have proved to be one of the simplest and efficient tools to achieve this goal.
From the analytical point of view, these basically correspond to a single or multi-step Darboux
transformations.⁴,⁵ Applying such transformations to a class of known solvable systems that are
guided by primary translationally shape invariant potentials (TSIPs),¹−³,⁶,⁷ we can generate chains of
new potentials, called extensions, which are (quasi)isospectral to the original potential and whose
eigenfunctions are entirely determined in an explicit way.

Of particular interest are the rational extended potentials, i.e., extensions which are rational in
an appropriate variable. It appears that those obtained from the three confining TSIPs (namely, the
harmonic oscillator, isotonic oscillator, and trigonometric Darboux-Pöschl-Teller (TDPT) poten-
tials) have eigenfunctions which coincide (up to a gauge factor) with the exceptional orthogonal
polynomials recently discovered by Gómez-Ullate, Kamran, and Milson.⁸−¹² During the last five
years, this topic has been the subject of an intense research activity (see Ref. ¹³ and references
therein).

In general, for a given primary TSIP, the possible rational extensions are characterized by one
or two multi-indices, which define in a unique way the corresponding extended potentials.¹⁴−¹⁸
Then, until now, the rationality condition appears quite restrictive, by excluding the possibility
to generate families of potentials depending on one or several continuous parameters, which is a very
interesting feature of SUSY QM.¹⁹,²⁰ The one (\( n \))-parameter isospectral families are in particular
closely connected to one (multi-)soliton solutions of some nonlinear evolution equations.
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In this paper, we show that for the TDPT potential with some specific underlying parameters, it is nevertheless possible to generate one-parameter families of rational extensions via one-step state-adding Darboux transformations. To achieve this goal, we use seed functions based on para-Jacobi polynomials. Although noticed by Szegö in his classical treatise, the possibility for the Jacobi equation to admit in some cases a general solution that is a polynomial has not been considered in the other standard treatises on orthogonal polynomials. It has been highlighted only recently by Calogero and Yi, who introduced the so-called para-Jacobi polynomials. This result has been now extended by Calogero, who has considered in particular a more general second-order linear differential equation, featuring an arbitrary number of free parameters, for which all the solutions are polynomials, and has also defined the concept of generalized hypergeometric polynomials.

The paper is organized as follows. We start by recalling the essential features of Darboux transformations and of the TDPT in Secs. II and III, respectively. In Sec. IV, we introduce the para-Jacobi polynomials and specify the domain of values of the free parameter for which they are nodeless on the considered interval. In Sec. V, we then use the corresponding eigenfunctions as seed functions for state-adding Darboux-Bäcklund transformations to build a set of extensions of the TDPT potentials, which are regular, rational, and dependent on one continuous parameter. In addition, we determine explicitly the eigenstates of these extended potentials and give plots for several values of the parameter in the first non-trivial case of the extension. Finally, Sec. VI contains the conclusion.

II. DARBOUX TRANSFORMATIONS AND EXTENDED SOLVABLE POTENTIALS

A formal eigenfunction \( \psi_E(x) \) for the eigenvalue \( E \) of the one-dimensional Hamiltonian \( \hat{H} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x) \), \( x \in I \subset \mathbb{R} \), is a solution of the Schrödinger equation

\[
\psi''_E(x) + (E - V(x)) \psi_E(x) = 0. \tag{2.1}
\]

In the following, we simply call eigenfunctions such formal eigenfunctions, employing eigenstates for the eigenfunctions satisfying given Dirichlet boundary conditions at the limits of the definition interval \( I \). With such Dirichlet boundary conditions on \( I \), \( \hat{H} \) is supposed to admit a discrete spectrum of energies and eigenstates \( (E_n, \psi_n)_{n \in \{0, \ldots, n_{\text{max}}\} \subset \mathbb{N}} \) where, without loss of generality, we can always take the ground level of \( \hat{H} \) at zero \( (E_0 = 0) \). We suppose also that in the considered domain of eigenvalues, the eigenfunctions can be indexed by a real spectral parameter \( \mu (E \to E_\mu, \psi_E \to \psi_\mu(x)) \) which takes integer values \( \mu = n \in \mathbb{N} \) when \( E_n \) is a bound-state energy.

Starting from an eigenfunction \( \psi_\nu(x) \) of \( \hat{H} \), we define the first-order operator \( \tilde{A}(w_\nu) \) by

\[
\tilde{A}(w_\nu) = \frac{d}{dx} + w_\nu(x), \tag{2.2}
\]

where \( w_\nu(x) = -\psi'_\nu(x)/\psi_\nu(x) \). Then, if \( W(y_1, \ldots, y_m | x) \) denotes the Wronskian of the functions \( y_1, \ldots, y_m \),

\[
W(y_1, \ldots, y_m | x) = \begin{vmatrix}
    y_1(x) & \ldots & y_m(x) \\
    \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    y_1^{(m-1)}(x) & \ldots & y_m^{(m-1)}(x)
\end{vmatrix}, \tag{2.3}
\]

for \( \mu \neq \nu \), the function defined via the so-called Darboux-Crum formula

\[
\psi_\mu^{(\nu)} = \tilde{A}(w_\nu) \psi_\mu(x) = \frac{W(\psi_\nu, \psi_\mu | x)}{\psi_\nu(x)}, \tag{2.4}
\]

is a solution of the Schrödinger equation

\[
\psi_\mu^{(\nu)''}(x) + (E_\mu - V^{(\nu)}(x)) \psi_\mu^{(\nu)}(x) = 0, \tag{2.5}
\]

with the same energy \( E_\mu \) as in Eq. (2.1), but with a modified potential

\[
V^{(\nu)}(x) = V(x) + 2w_\nu'(x). \tag{2.6}
\]
We call \( V^{(\nu)}(x) \) an extension of \( V(x) \) and the correspondence

\[
\begin{pmatrix} V(x) \\ \psi_{\mu}(x) \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{A(w_{\nu})} \begin{pmatrix} V^{(\nu)}(x) \\ \psi_{(\nu)}^{(\nu)}(x) \end{pmatrix}
\] (2.7)

is called a Darboux-Bäcklund Transformation (DBT). The eigenfunction \( \psi_{\nu} \) is the seed function of the DBT \( A(w_{\nu}) \).

Note that \( A(w_{\nu}) \) annihilates \( \psi_{\nu} \) and, consequently, Eq. (2.4) is not valid for \( \mu = \nu \). Nevertheless, we can readily verify that \( 1/\psi_{\nu}(x) \) is an eigenfunction of \( V^{(\nu)}(x) \) for the eigenvalue \( E_{\nu} \). Consequently, by extension, we define the “image” by \( A(w_{\nu}) \) of the seed eigenfunction \( \psi_{\nu} \) itself as

\[
\psi_{(\nu)}^{(\nu)}(x) \sim 1/\psi_{\nu}(x).
\] (2.8)

In general, the transformed potential \( V^{(\nu)}(x) \) is singular at the nodes of \( \psi_{\nu}(x) \) and for integer values \( n \) of \( \nu \), \( V^{(n)}(x) \) is regular only when \( n = 0 \), that is, when the seed function is the ground state of \( \tilde{H} \), which corresponds exactly to the usual SUSY partnership in quantum mechanics.\(^\text{1-3}\)

We can nevertheless envisage to use as seed function an eigenfunction associated to an eigenvalue in the disconjugacy sector of Eq. (2.1), here a negative eigenvalue.\(^\text{15}\) Indeed, in this sector, every solution of this equation has at most one (simple) zero on \( I^{\text{26,27}} \) and, moreover, the existence of nodeless solutions of this equation is ensured.

To prove that a given solution \( \psi_{\nu}(x) \) belongs to this category, it is sufficient to determine its signs at the boundaries of \( I \). If they are identical, then \( \psi_{\nu} \) is nodeless and if they are opposite, then \( \psi_{\nu} \) presents a unique zero on \( I \). In the first case, \( V^{(\nu)}(x) \) constitutes then a regular (quasi)isospectral extension of \( V(x) \).

### III. TDPT POTENTIAL

The TDPT potential (with zero ground-state energy) is defined on \( x \in [0, \pi/2] \) by

\[
V(x; \alpha, \beta) = \frac{(\alpha + 1/2)(\alpha - 1/2)}{\sin^2 x} + \frac{(\beta + 1/2)(\beta - 1/2)}{\cos^2 x} - (\alpha + \beta + 1)^2
\] (3.1)

and is a confining potential for \( |\alpha|, |\beta| > 1/2 \), i.e., in the region bounded by the singularities of \( V(x; \alpha, \beta) \) at \( x = 0 \) and \( \pi/2 \). Only in the case \( |\alpha| = |\beta| \), the potential hole is symmetrical.

Consider a solution \( \psi_{E}(x; \alpha, \beta) \) of the Schrödinger equation associated to this potential

\[
\left( \tilde{H}(x; \alpha, \beta) - E \right) \psi_{E}(x; \alpha, \beta) = 0,
\] (3.2)

where

\[
\tilde{H}(x; \alpha, \beta) = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x; \alpha, \beta).
\] (3.3)

Introducing the gauge transformation

\[
\psi_{E}(x; \alpha, \beta) = \psi_{0}(x; \alpha, \beta) y_{E}(x; \alpha, \beta),
\] (3.4)

with

\[
\psi_{0}(x; \alpha, \beta) = (\sin x)^{\alpha+1/2}(\cos x)^{\beta+1/2},
\] (3.5)

which is nonzero on \( [0, \pi/2] \) and satisfies the Schrödinger equation at “zero energy”

\[
\tilde{H}(x; \alpha, \beta) \psi_{0}(x; \alpha, \beta) = 0,
\] (3.6)

we obtain for \( y_{E}(x; \alpha, \beta) \) the following second-order linear ordinary differential equation (ODE):

\[
y_{E}''(x; \alpha, \beta) + 2(\log \psi_{0}(x; \alpha, \beta))' y_{E}'(x; \alpha, \beta) + E y_{E}(x; \alpha, \beta) = 0,
\] (3.7)

where the prime denotes a derivative with respect to \( x \).
Using the change of variable \( z = \cos 2x, z \in [-1, 1] \), the above equation becomes (with a dot denoting a derivative with respect to \( z \))

\[
(1 - z^2) \ddot{y}_E (z; \alpha, \beta) - [(\alpha + \beta + 2) z + (\alpha - \beta)] \dot{y}_E (z; \alpha, \beta) + \frac{E}{4} y_E (z; \alpha, \beta) = 0,
\]

(3.8)

in which we recognize a hypergeometric equation.28

Writing \( E = E_\nu = 4\nu (\nu + \alpha + \beta + 1), \) we arrive at

\[
(1 - z^2) \ddot{y}_E (z; \alpha, \beta) - [(\alpha + \beta + 2) z + (\alpha - \beta)] \dot{y}_E (z; \alpha, \beta) + \nu (\nu + \alpha + \beta + 1) y_E (z; \alpha, \beta) = 0,
\]

(3.9)

which, for integer values of the spectral parameter \( \nu = n \) and values of the \( \alpha, \beta \) parameters in the interval \([-1, +\infty[ \), admits the Jacobi polynomial22,28

\[
P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)} (z) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n+\alpha}{k} \binom{n+\beta}{n-k} (1-z)^{n-k} (1+z)^k
\]

(3.10)

\[
= \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}{n! \Gamma(n+\alpha+\beta+1)} \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+\beta+1+k)}{2^k \Gamma(\alpha+1+k)} (1-z)^k
\]

\[
= \frac{(-1)^n \Gamma(n+\beta+1)}{n! \Gamma(n+\alpha+\beta+1)} \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+\beta+1+k)}{2^k \Gamma(\beta+1+k)} (1+z)^k
\]

as a solution analytic at the origin \( z = 0 \).

Note that the standard Gauss form for the hypergeometric equation28 is obtained from Eq. (3.8) via the second change of variable \( w = (1 - z)/2, w \in ]0, 1[, \)

\[
\left\{ w (1-w) \frac{d^2}{dw^2} + [(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta + 2) w] \frac{d}{dw} + \nu (\nu + \alpha + \beta + 1) \right\} y_E (w; \alpha, \beta) = 0.
\]

(3.11)

From the preceding results, we deduce that, for \(|\alpha|, |\beta| > 1/2\), the physical spectrum of the TDPT potential associated to the asymptotic Dirichlet boundary conditions

\[
\psi (0^+; \alpha, \beta) = 0 = \psi \left( \frac{\pi}{2} ; \alpha, \beta \right)
\]

(3.12)

is given in terms of Jacobi polynomials \( P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)} \) by

\[
E_n (\alpha, \beta) = (\alpha_n + \beta_n + 1)^2 - (\alpha + \beta + 1)^2 = 4n(\alpha + \beta + 1 + n)
\]

(3.13)

\[
\psi_n (x; \alpha, \beta) = \psi_0 (x; \alpha, \beta) P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)} (\cos 2x)
\]

with \((\alpha_n, \beta_n) = (\alpha + n, \beta + n)\).

The dispersion relation, \( E_\nu \) as a function of \( \nu \), is a convex parabola with zeros at \( \nu = 0 \) and \( \nu = - (\alpha + \beta + 1) \) and the disconjugacy sector corresponds to the values of \( \nu \) in between these two boundaries.

From the point of view of pure parameters’ transformations, we have three possible discrete symmetries for \( V(x; \alpha, \beta) \), which are given by

\((\alpha, \beta) \stackrel{\Gamma_\nu}{\longrightarrow} (-\alpha, \beta)\),

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
V(x; \alpha, \beta) \stackrel{\Gamma_\nu}{\longrightarrow} V(x; \alpha, \beta) + 4\alpha (\beta + 1), \\
\psi_n (x; \alpha, \beta) \stackrel{\Gamma_\nu}{\longrightarrow} \phi_n (-x; \alpha, \beta) = \psi_n (x; -\alpha, \beta),
\end{array} \right.
\]

(3.14)

\((\alpha, \beta) \stackrel{\Gamma_\nu}{\longrightarrow} (\alpha, -\beta)\),

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
V(x; \alpha, \beta) \stackrel{\Gamma_\nu}{\longrightarrow} V(x; \alpha, \beta) + 4\beta (\alpha + 1), \\
\psi_n (x; \alpha, \beta) \stackrel{\Gamma_\nu}{\longrightarrow} \phi_n (x; \alpha, \beta) = \psi_n (x; \alpha, -\beta),
\end{array} \right.
\]

(3.15)
(c) \[ (\alpha, \beta) \xrightarrow{\Gamma_3=\Gamma_+ \circ \Gamma_-} (-\alpha, -\beta), \]
\[
\begin{align*}
V(x; \alpha, \beta) & \xrightarrow{\Gamma_3} V(x; \alpha, \beta) + 4(\alpha + \beta), \\
\psi_n(x; \alpha, \beta) & \xrightarrow{\Gamma_3} \phi_{n,3}(x; \alpha, \beta) = \psi_n(x; -\alpha, -\beta).
\end{align*}
\] (3.16)

In the \((\alpha, \beta)\) plane, \(\Gamma_+\) and \(\Gamma_-\) correspond, respectively, to the reflections with respect to the axes \(\alpha = 0\) and \(\beta = 0\). Combining the transformation of coordinates to the parameters’ transformations, we have a supplementary symmetry given by
\[
(x; \alpha, \beta) \xrightarrow{\Omega=S \circ P} (\xi; \beta, \alpha),
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
V(x; \alpha, \beta) & \xrightarrow{\Omega} V(x; \alpha, \beta), \\
\phi_{n,+}(x; \alpha, \beta) & \xrightarrow{\Omega} \phi_{n,+}(\xi; \beta, \alpha) = (-1)^n \phi_{n,-}(x; \alpha, \beta),
\end{align*}
\] (3.17)

where
\[
x \in [0, \pi/2] \xrightarrow{S} \xi = \frac{\pi}{2} - x \in [0, \pi/2]
\] (3.18)
is the reflection on the coordinate axis with respect to the point \(\frac{\pi}{4} (\frac{\pi}{4} - x \xrightarrow{S} x - \frac{\pi}{4})\), and
\[
(x; \alpha, \beta) \xrightarrow{P} (\beta, \alpha)
\] (3.19)
is the reflection with respect to the principal diagonal in the \((\alpha, \beta)\) parameters’ plane.

The functions \(\phi_{n,i}, i = +, - , 3\), satisfy the respective equations
\[
\tilde{H}(\alpha, \beta) \phi_{n,i}(x; \alpha, \beta) = E_{n,i}(\alpha, \beta) \phi_{n,i}(x; \alpha, \beta),
\] (3.20)
with
\[
\begin{align*}
E_{n,+}(\alpha, \beta) &= E_{n,-}(\alpha, \beta) = 4(n - \alpha)(n + \beta + 1), \\
E_{n,-}(\alpha, \beta) &= E_{n,-}(\alpha, \beta) = E_{n,+}(\beta, \alpha), \\
E_{n,3}(\alpha, \beta) &= E_{-(n+1)}(\alpha, \beta) = -4(n + 1)(\alpha + \beta - n).
\end{align*}
\] (3.21)

The eigenvalue \(E_{n,3}\) is negative for \(n < \alpha + \beta\), while for \(i = +\) and \(i = -\), the disconjugacy condition \(E_{n,i}(\alpha, \beta) \leq 0\) imposes that the constraints \(\alpha > n\) and \(\beta > n\) be satisfied, respectively.

**IV. DISCONJUGATED SEED FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED TO PARA-JACOBI POLYNOMIALS**

Suppose that \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) are two positive integers,
\[
\alpha = N \in \mathbb{N}^*, \quad \beta = M \in \mathbb{N}^*,
\] (4.1)
and apply the \(\Gamma_3\) symmetry. The function \(\phi_{n,3}(x; N, M) = \psi_n(x; -N, -M)\) is a solution of the Schrödinger equation
\[
\left( -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x; N, M) - E_{n,3}(N, M) \right) \phi_{n,3}(x; N, M) = 0,
\] (4.2)
where
\[
E_{n,3}(N, M) = E_{-(n+1)}(N, M) < 0,
\] (4.3)
if \(n < N + M\).

But \(\phi_{n,3}(x; N, M) = \psi_n(x; -N, -M)\) can also be written as
\[
\phi_{n,3}(x; N, M) = \psi_0(z; -N, -M) y_n(z; -N, -M),
\] (4.4)
where, up to a constant factor,
\[
\psi_0(z; -N, -M) = (1 - z)^{(-N+1)/2}(1 + z)^{(-M+1)/2}
\] (4.5)
and
\[(1 - z^2) \frac{d^2 y_n(z; -N, -M)}{dz^2} + [(-N - M + 2) z + (N + M)] \frac{dy_n(z; -N, -M)}{dz} + n(n - N - M + 1) y_n(z; -N, -M) = 0. \tag{4.6}\]

In this case, as noticed by Szegő\textsuperscript{22} and emphasized by Calogero and Y1,\textsuperscript{21} for values of \(n\) such that
\[\frac{N + M}{2} \leq n < N + M, \tag{4.7}\]
the general solution of the equation for \(y_n(z; -N, -M)\) is a polynomial, called \textbf{para-Jacobi polynomial}, which has the (monic) form\textsuperscript{31}
\[p_n^{(-N, -M)}(z; \lambda) = \frac{(-2)^n(n - M)!n!}{(2n - M - N)!} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{(-1)^n (2n - M - N - k)!}{k!(n - M - k)!} \left( \frac{1 + z}{2} \right)^n \]
\[+ \lambda \frac{(-2)^n(2n - M - N + 1)!(M + N - n - 1)!}{(n - N)!} \sum_{k=2n-M-N+1}^{n} \frac{(-1)^n k!}{k!(n + M - 2n - 1)!} \left( \frac{1 + z}{2} \right)^n, \tag{4.8}\]
\(\lambda\) being an arbitrary real parameter.

In other words, we have a basis of two polynomial eigenfunctions
\[\Theta^{(-N, -M)}_{n,1}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \frac{(-1)^n (n - M - N + k)!}{2^n k!(k - M)!} \frac{1}{(n - k)!} (1 + z)^k, \tag{4.9}\]
\(\Theta^{(-N, -M)}_{n,2}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{N+M-n-1} \frac{(-1)^n (M - 1 - k)!}{2^n k!(N + M - n - 1 - k)!} \frac{1}{(n - k)!} (1 + z)^k, \tag{4.10}\)
with \(N, M > 0\) and
\[\Theta^{(-N, -M)}_{n,1}(-1) = (1 - M)! \left( \frac{1}{(N + M - n - 1)!} \right) > 0. \tag{4.10}\]

It results that the general solution of Eq. (4.2) can be written as \(z = \cos 2x\)
\[
\psi_n(x; -N, -M; \lambda) = \psi_0(x; -N, -M) p_n^{(-N, -M)}(z; \lambda), \tag{4.11}\]
with
\[p_n^{(-N, -M)}(z; \lambda) = \frac{(-2)^n(n - M)!n!}{(2n - M - N)!} \Theta^{(-N, -M)}_{n,1}(z) \]
\[+ \lambda \frac{(-2)^n(2n - M - N + 1)!(M + N - n - 1)!}{(n - N)!} \Theta^{(-N, -M)}_{n,2}(z). \tag{4.12}\]

Moreover, from Eq. (4.9), we have
\[\Theta^{(-N, -M)}_{n,1}(z) = \sum_{j=M-1}^{N+1} \frac{(-1)^{j+1} (n - M - N + j + 1)!}{2^{j+1} j!(j + 1 - M)!} \frac{1}{(n - j)!} (1 + z)^j \tag{4.13}\]
\[= -\frac{1}{2} \Theta^{(-N-1, -(M-1))}_{n-1,1}(z). \tag{4.13}\]
More generally, for \(i = 1, 2, \)
\[\Theta^{(-N, -M)}_{n,i}(z) = -\frac{1}{2} \Theta^{(-N+1, -(M+1))}_{n-1,i}(z), \tag{4.14}\]
which gives
\[p_n^{(-N, -M)}(z; \lambda) = np_n^{(-N+1, -(M+1))}(z; \lambda'). \tag{4.15}\]
where
\[ \lambda' = \frac{M + N - n - 1}{n} \lambda. \] (4.16)

For \( \frac{N+M}{2} \leq n < N + M \), \( \psi_n(x; -N, -M; \lambda) \) is disconjugated on \( z \in [-1, 1] \) and consequently admits at most one zero on this interval. To ensure the absence of node, we have to verify that the sign of \( \psi_n(x; -N, -M; \lambda) \) at the boundaries of the interval is the same. This is the case if
\[
\text{sign} \left( p_n^{(-N,-M)}(1; \lambda) \right) = \text{sign} \left( p_n^{(-N,-M)}(-1; \lambda) \right). \] (4.17)

But due to the symmetry given in Eq. (3.19), we have \(^{21}\)
\[
p_n^{(-N,-M)}(-z; \lambda) = (-1)^n p_n^{(-M,-N)}(z; \tilde{\lambda}), \] (4.18)

where
\[
\tilde{\lambda} = (-1)^{2n-N-M+1} \lambda
\]
\[+ (-1)^n -M \frac{n! (n-M)! (n-N)!}{(2n-N-M)! (2n-N-M+1)!(N+M-n-1)!}. \] (4.19)

This implies
\[
p_n^{(-N,-M)}(-1; \lambda) = \lambda \frac{(-2)^n (2n - N - M + 1)! (M-1)!}{(n-M)! n!}, \] (4.20)

and
\[
p_n^{(-N,-M)}(1; \lambda) = (-1)^n p_n^{(-M,-N)}(1; \tilde{\lambda}) \]
\[= (-1)^n \tilde{\lambda} \frac{(-2)^n (2n - N - M + 1)! (N-1)!}{(n-M)! n!}. \] (4.21)

The absence of node is then obtained if \( \lambda \) and \((-1)^n \tilde{\lambda}\) have the same sign. In the following, we note that
\[
\tilde{\lambda} = \frac{n! (n-M)! (n-N)!}{(2n-N-M)! (2n-N-M+1)!(N+M-n-1)!} > 0. \] (4.22)

**Case (i)**

Suppose, first, that we take \( \lambda > 0 \). We must then have
\[
(-1)^n \tilde{\lambda} = (-1)^{n-N-M+1} \lambda + (-1)^M \tilde{\lambda} > 0, \] (4.23)

that is, if \( n - N - M \) is odd,
\[
\lambda > (-1)^{M+1} \tilde{\lambda}. \] (4.24)

This is always achieved when \( M \) is even \( (n - N \) is odd) and it necessitates to take
\[
\lambda > \lambda^{(-N,-M)}_n. \] (4.25)

when \( M \) is odd \( (n - N \) is even). In the case where \( n - N - M \) is even, we deduce in the same way that the condition of equality of signs can never be reached if \( M \) is odd \( (n - N \) is odd) and imposes to take
\[
0 < \lambda < \lambda^{(-N,-M)}_n \] (4.26)

when \( M \) is even \( (n - N \) is even).

**Case (ii)**

If we take \( \lambda < 0 \), we must have
\[
(-1)^n \tilde{\lambda} = (-1)^{n-N-M+1} \lambda + (-1)^M \tilde{\lambda} < 0, \] (4.27)

that is, if \( n - N - M \) is odd,
\[
\lambda < (-1)^{M+1} \tilde{\lambda}. \] (4.28)
This is always achieved when $M$ is odd ($n - N$ is even) and it necessitates to take

$$|\lambda| > \lambda_n^{(-N,-M)}$$

(4.29)

when $M$ is even ($n - N$ is odd). In the case where $n - N - M$ is even, we deduce in the same way that the condition of equality of signs can never be reached if $M$ is even ($n - N$ is even) and imposes to take

$$|\lambda| < \lambda_n^{(-N,-M)}$$

(4.30)

when $M$ is odd ($n - N$ is odd).

To summarize, $\psi_n (x; -N, -M; \lambda)$ has no node in the four following cases:

(i) $M$ is even, $n - N$ is odd, $\lambda < -\lambda_n^{(-N,-M)}$ or $\lambda > 0$;

(ii) $M$ is even, $n - N$ is even, $0 < \lambda < \lambda_n^{(-N,-M)}$;

(iii) $M$ is odd, $n - N$ is even, $\lambda < 0$ or $\lambda > \lambda_n^{(-N,-M)}$;

(iv) $M$ is odd, $n - N$ is odd, $-\lambda_n^{(-N,-M)} < \lambda < 0$.

V. REGULAR RATIONAL EXTENSIONS

Suppose $\lambda$ satisfies the conditions mentioned above, then $\psi_n (x; -N, -M; \lambda)$ can be used as seed function to build a state-adding DBT, which generates a regular rational extension of $V(x; N - 1, M - 1)$. It is given by (see Eqs. (2.6) and (4.11))

$$\tilde{V}(n)(x; N, M; \lambda) = V(x; N, M) - 2(\log (\phi_{n,3} (x; N, M)))^r$$

$$\quad = V(x; N, M) - 2(\log (\psi_n (x; -N, -M; \lambda)))^r$$

$$\quad = V(x; N - 1, M - 1) - 4(N + M) - 2(\log (p_n^{(-N,-M)} (z; \lambda)))^r,$$

(5.1)

since

$$\tilde{V}(0)(x; N, M) = V(x; N, M) - 2(\log (\psi_0 (x; -N, -M)))^r$$

$$\quad = V(x; N - 1, M - 1) + E_{-1} (N, M).$$

(5.2)

On taking into account of relations

$$\frac{d}{dx} = -2\sqrt{1 - z^2} \frac{d}{dz}, \quad \frac{d^2}{dx^2} = 4(1 - z^2) \frac{d^2}{dz^2} - 4z \frac{d}{dz},$$

(5.3)

and Eqs. (4.15) and (4.16), we arrive at

$$\tilde{V}(n)(x; N, M; \lambda)$$

$$\quad = V(x; N - 1, M - 1) - 4(N + M) - 8n(n - 1)(1 - z^2) \frac{p_{n+2}^{(-N+2,-M+2)} (z; \lambda)}{p_n^{(-N,-M)} (z; \lambda)}$$

$$\quad + 8n^2 (1 - z^2) \left( \frac{p_{n+1}^{(-N+1,-M+1)} (z; \lambda)}{p_n^{(-N,-M)} (z; \lambda)} \right)^2 + 8nz \frac{p_{n+1}^{(-N+1,-M+1)} (z; \lambda)}{p_n^{(-N,-M)} (z; \lambda)},$$

(5.4)

where

$$\lambda'' = \frac{(M + N - n - 1)(M + N - n - 2)}{n(n - 1)} \lambda.$$

(5.5)

For $\tilde{V}(n)(x; N, M; \lambda)$ to be a confining potential on $[0, \pi/2]$, we need to impose that $V(x; N - 1, M - 1)$ has this property, which is achieved for $N, M > 3/2$, thence $N, M \geq 2$. For such $N, M$ values, we note that $V(n)(x; N, M; \lambda)$ is actually strongly repulsive in both $0$ and $\pi/2$, since the singularities are there of the type $g/\sqrt{x^2}$ ($g \geq 3/4$) and $g/(\pi/2 - x)^2$ ($g \geq 3/4$), respectively. This means that at each extremity, only one basis solution is quadratically integrable. This choice limits
(see Eq. (4.7)) the possible values of \(n\) to \(n \geq 2\), but in this case, the corresponding Hamiltonian \(\tilde{H}(x; N, M; \lambda)\) is essentially self-adjoint.\(^{29,30}\) The simplest example corresponds to \(n = N = M = 2\), in which case
\[
p^{(-2,-2)}_2(z; \lambda) = z^2 + 2(1 - \lambda)z + 1, \tag{5.6}
\]
with \(0 < \lambda < \lambda^{(-2,-2)}_2 = 2\), which is example (6b) in Ref. 21. The initial potential is
\[
V(x; 2, 2) = \frac{15}{1-z^2} - 25 \tag{5.7}
\]
and the partner potential reads
\[
\tilde{V}^{(2)}(x; 2, 2; \lambda) = \frac{3}{1-z^2} - 16 \frac{(\lambda - 1)z + 2\lambda^2 - 4\lambda - 1}{z^2 + 2(1 - \lambda)z + 1} \frac{(1 - \lambda)z + 1}{[z^2 + 2(1 - \lambda)z + 1]^2} - 25. \tag{5.8}
\]
The ground-state energy of the latter is equal to \(E_{-3}(2, 2) = -24\). In Fig. 1, the corresponding potentials are plotted for different values of the \(\lambda\) parameter.

Using the standard properties of Wronskians\(^{25}\)
\[
\begin{align*}
W(u_1, \ldots, u_m | x) &= u(x)^m W(y_1, \ldots, y_m | x), \\
W(y_1, \ldots, y_m | x) &= \left(\frac{dz}{dx}\right)^{m(m-1)/2} W(y_1, \ldots, y_m | z),
\end{align*} \tag{5.9}
\]
the eigenstates of \(\tilde{V}^{(n)}(x; N, M; \lambda)\) are given by \((k \geq 0)\)
\[ \tilde{\psi}^{(n)}_k(x; N, M; \lambda) = \frac{\psi_0(x; N-1, M-1)}{\psi_n(x; N, M; \lambda)} \cdot \frac{W(\psi_n(x; N, M; \lambda), \psi_k(x; N, M) | x)}{W(p_n(-N, -M; \lambda), (1-z)^n(1+z)^M p_k^{(N,M)}(z) | z)}, \]

that is, on using derivation properties of Jacobi polynomials\(^{22,28}\) and Eq. (4.15)

\[ \tilde{\psi}^{(n)}_k(x; N, M; \lambda) \propto \frac{\psi_0(x; N-1, M-1)}{p_n(-N, -M; \lambda)} Q^{(n)}_k(z; N, M; \lambda), \]

where

\[ Q^{(n)}_k(z; N, M; \lambda) = \left(1 - z^2\right)^{(k + M + N + 1)/2} p_{k-1}^{(N+1, M+1)}(z) p_n(-N, -M; \lambda) \]

\[ - n p_{n-1}^{(-N-1, -M-1)}(z; \lambda^*) p_k^{(N, M)}(z) \]

\[ - ((N + M) z + N - M) p_k^{(N, M)}(z) p_n(-N, -M; \lambda). \]

Moreover, since

\[ \tilde{\psi}^{(n)}_{-(n+1)}(x; N, M; \lambda) = \frac{1}{\psi_n(x; N, M; \lambda)} = \frac{\psi_0(x; N-1, M-1)}{p_n(-N, -M; \lambda)}, \]

satisfies the Dirichlet boundary conditions and the square integrability one, it is an eigenstate of \( \tilde{V}^{(n)}(x; N, M; \lambda) \) with an energy \( E_{-(n+1)}(N, M) \) and the DBT \( \hat{A}(w_n, 3) \) is state-adding. To summarize, the spectrum of the extended potential is \( \{(-(n+1), 0, 1, \ldots)\} \)

\[ \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
E_k(N, M),

\tilde{\psi}^{(n)}_k(x; N, M; \lambda) \propto \frac{\psi_0(x; N-1, M-1)}{p_n(-N, -M; \lambda)} Q^{(n)}_k(z; N, M; \lambda),
\end{array} \right. \]

where \( Q^{(n)}_{-1}(z; N, M; \lambda) = 1 \) and where the \( Q^{(n)}_{k \geq 0} \) are given in Eq. (5.12).

Due to the orthogonality properties of the \( \tilde{\psi}^{(n)}_k \), we deduce that the \( Q^{(n)}_k(z; N, M; \lambda) \) are orthogonal polynomials on \([-1, 1]\) with respect to the measure

\[ \mu_{n}^{(-N, -M)}(z; \lambda) = \frac{(1-z)^N(1+z)^{M-1}}{p_n(-N, -M; \lambda)^2}. \]

VI. CONCLUSION

In this article, we have shown that it is possible to build one-step regular rational extensions of the TDPT potential depending both on an integer index \( n \) and on a continuously varying parameter \( \lambda \). This is achieved by using, for the underlying Darboux transformations, seed functions which are associated to the para-Jacobi polynomials of Calogero and Yi. To each value of \( n \) corresponds then a novel family of \( \lambda \)-dependent polynomials which are orthogonal on \([-1, 1]\).

Note that some continuously parametrized rationally extended potentials can also be obtained by using an extension scheme based on confluent DBT.\(^{31}\)

The multistep version of the results presented here is in progress and will be the subject of a forthcoming paper.
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