Prevalence and coprevalence of modifiable risk factors for upper digestive tract cancer among residents aged 40–69 years in Yangzhong city, China: a cross-sectional study
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ABSTRACT

Objectives To describe the prevalence of modifiable risk factors for upper digestive tract cancer (UDTC) and its coprevalence, and investigate relevant influencing factors of modifiable UDTC risk factors coprevalence among residents aged 40–69 years in Yangzhong, China.

Design Cross-sectional study.

Participants A total of 21 175 participants aged 40–69 years were enrolled in the study. 1962 subjects were excluded due to missing age, marital status or some other selected information. Eventually, 19 213 participants were available for the present analysis.

Main outcomes measures Prevalence and coprevalence of eight modifiable UDTC risk factors (overweight or obesity, current smoking, excessive alcohol consumption, insufficient vegetables intake, insufficient fruit intake and the consumption of pickled, fried and hot food) were analysed.

Results The prevalence of overweight/obesity, current smoking, excessive alcohol consumption, insufficient vegetables intake, insufficient fruit intake and the consumption of pickled, fried and hot food in this study was 45.3%, 24.1%, 16.2%, 66.1%, 94.5%, 68.1%, 36.0% and 88.4%, respectively. Nearly all (99.9%) participants showed one or more UDTC risk factors, 98.6% of the participants showed at least two risk factors, 92.2% of the participants had at least three risk factors and 69.7% of the participants had four or more risk factors. Multivariate logistic regression analysis revealed that men, younger age, single, higher education, higher annual family income and smaller household size were more likely to present modifiable UDTC risk factors coprevalence.

Conclusions The prevalence and coprevalence of modifiable UDTC risk factors are high among participants in Yangzhong city. Extra attention must be paid to these groups who are susceptible to risk factors coprevalence during screening progress. Relative departments also need to make significant public health programmes that aim to decrease modifiable UDTC risk factors coprevalence among residents aged 40–69 years from high-risk areas of UDTC.

INTRODUCTION

According to WHO, more than 70% of the total deaths worldwide were related to non-communicable diseases (NCDs) in 2016.1 Cancer is the second cause of NCDs, accounting for 22% of total global deaths related to NCDs. Globally, in 2018, an estimated 18.1 million people were diagnosed with an NCD, and 18.5 million NCD-related deaths occurred, accounting for 48% of all deaths.2

In China, the prevalence of cancer has also significantly increased. Recently, according to the National Cancer Incidence and Mortality Surveillance System (NCISMS), the proportions of cancer incidence and mortality in men and women were 51.6% and 28.7% in 2016, respectively.3 Cancer is recognized as a significant source of morbidity and mortality for a large population, and the treatment cost is high.4

...
related to cancer. According to the National Cancer Center, OC has been the sixth most common cancer and the fourth most common cancer cause of cancer-related death. SC has been the second most common cancer and the third most common cancer cause of cancer-related death. The incidence rate of OC and SC was 17.87/100 000 and 29.31/100 000, the mortality rate of them was 13.68/100 000 and 21.16/100 000, respectively, in China in 2015. Hence, UDTC has become a major public health challenge in China, and the disease burden of it is also considerable. Due to the population health-seeking behaviour and the diseases’ character, UDTC is mostly diagnosed at a late stage, which is leading to a low survival rate. It was estimated that its 5-year survival rate was less than 20% if diagnosed at an advanced stage but is as high as 95% if detected at an earlier stage.

Although the cause of UDTC is not clear, it is believed by researchers that the epidemic of UDTC in China is attributed to the multiplicity of demographic factors, diet, lifestyle, family health, environment, gastrointestinal history and genetic factors. It is well known that tobacco, alcohol consumption, overweight or obesity, thermal irritation (drinking scalding liquids) and insufficient intake of vegetables and fruit, consumption of pickled and fried food are eight risk factors that can be altered by a tangible action for UDTC control.

Although parts of these risk factors have decreased because of a set of interventions implemented by the Chinese government, the others have increased and will continue to grow in the next decades because of the rapid transition of urbanisation, industrialisation and ageing. Furthermore, several studies have indicated that these risk factors coprevalence was common in the population which would further increase the risk of UDTC. A comprehensive assessment of the distribution and the status of UDTC risk factors coprevalence is significant for cancer prevention and control. Once we have such data, interventions can be planned and implemented efficiently to minimise these modifiable risk factors, thereby minimising the health risks of increasing UDTC-related morbidity and mortality.

The Yangzhong city of Jiangsu Province is one of the high-risk areas of UDTC, especially in rural areas. In 2015, the incidence rate of OC was 69.2/100 000, the mortality rate of OC and SC was 70.24/100 000 and 81.89/100 0000, respectively, in Yangzhong city, which is higher compared with the average of the nation. Hence, Yangzhong city had been one of the project sites of the Upper Digestive Tract Cancer Early Diagnosis and Treatment (UDTCEDAT) since the 2006. Many studies have estimated the risk factors for UDTC in different areas worldwide. The results reveal that risk factors for UDTC are widespread. The modifiable risk factors are significantly crucial for the prevention and control of UDTC because these factors can be changed by some healthy education or other interventions implemented by doctors and government and improved with the increase of personal health awareness. However, the evidence on the coprevalence of these modifiable risk factors in high-risk areas is still limited. Thus, we aimed to report the prevalence and coprevalence of modifiable UDTC risk factors and analyse the relevant factors influencing modifiable UDTC risk factor coprevalence among residents aged 40–69 years in Yangzhong city, which is a high-risk area of UDTC.

METHODS

Study population

For the present study, we used secondary data collected from the screening of UDTC, focusing on the early diagnosis and treatment of UDTC among high-risk populations (aged 40–69 years) in Yangzhong city, China, from 2006 to 2017. We use the method of multistage stratified cluster sampling to select the study sample. In the first stage, we stratified Yangzhong city into six regions (Sanmao, Baqiao, Youfang, Xinglong, Xilai and Xinba), covering the whole Yangzhong. In the second stage, we randomly selected clusters of three regions (Baqiao, Youfang and Xinglong) by region distribution and economic level based on Yangzhong Yearbook data. In the third stage, administration villages or neighbourhood communities in each chosen regions were randomly selected with probability proportional to size. In the fourth stage, each resident group or village group was selected from chosen administration villages or neighbourhood communities. In the fifth stage, all man or woman eligible from each household in the sites mentioned above were invited for cancer screening, unless they met the following exclusion criteria: (1) history of UDTC or mental disorder; (2) contraindications for endoscopic examinations and (3) inability to complete the whole interview or informed consent. Inclusion criteria for participants were as following: (1) aged 40–69 years; (2) permanent residents in Yangzhong City and (3) willing to accept endoscopic examination.

Before the screening, we obtained written informed consent from all participants after informing them about the backgrounds, objectives, procedures, benefits, confidentiality agreement of personal information and possible consequences of the whole programme. Then questionnaire-based interview, physical examinations, laboratory tests were performed by professional investigators. At last, the endoscopic examinations, pathological diagnosis and necessary therapy for participants were conducted by well-trained doctors in People’s Hospital of Yangzhong city. The screening procedure follows China’s cancer screening and early diagnosis and treatment technology programme strictly. The data used in this study derived mainly from the questionnaire and physical examinations. Finally, a total of 21 175 individuals were surveyed, with a response rate of 60.9% (21 175/34 743), 1962 residents were excluded due to missing age, marital status or some other factors, leaving 19 213 participants available for the present survey. The sample size accounted for about 17.3% of the total target population.
of Yangzhong city. We provided health education about UDTC and the potential role of modifiable risk factors related to UDTC to all eligible participants after collecting information relating to risk factors with the questionnaire. Besides, we combined active and passive follow-ups to collect outcome information for participants diagnosed with UDTC or precancerous lesions. We also performed a regular re-examination for patients according to the diagnosis.

**Questionnaire data collection**

Before implementing data collection, training sessions organised by the expert group on UDTCEDAT were provided for all staff. The aim of this study, the standard measurement methods, how to perform questionnaires properly and the concrete study procedure were included in the training contents. At the end of the training sessions, all staff participated in the assessment and proved to be qualified.

We use uniformly structured questionnaires to collect information through face-to-face interviews. Each questionnaire took approximately 25 mins to complete. The questionnaire information included demographic factors (gender, birthday, address, ID, marital status and household size), socioeconomic characteristic (education and annual family income), behavioural factors (excessive alcohol consumption, current smoking), dietary habits (insufficient fresh vegetables intake and insufficient fruit intake, consumption of pickled, fried and hot food) and body mass index (BMI).

**Physical examination**

Physical examination included height and weight. Height and weight measurements were taken by height scale and digital weight, respectively, with the help of trained examiners based on a standardised programme. All subjects were asked to remove any footwear, hats, and heavy clothing before height and weight were measured. Height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm, while weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg. BMI was calculated by dividing body weight (in kilograms) by the square of height (in metres).

**Assessment criteria**

Definitions of UDTC modifiable risk factors and the coprevalence of these risk factors

Eight modifiable UDTC risk factors were defined based on current national guidelines or related references. Overweight/obesity was defined as BMI ≥24.0 kg/m². Current smoking was defined as self-reported having used any tobacco products, including cigarettes, cigars or pipes daily continuously. Excessive alcohol consumption, insufficient fresh vegetables and fruit intake were defined according to the Dietary Guidelines for Chinese residents (2016). Accordingly, excessive alcohol consumption was defined as consumption of more than 25 g (for males) or 15 g (for females) alcohol drinks per day after calculating pure alcohol based on the type of alcohol they chose, insufficient vegetables intake as self-reported consumption of vegetables less than seven times per week and insufficient fruit intake as self-reported consumption of fruit less than seven times per week. Besides, self-reported consumption of pickled, fried or hot food at least once a week were classified as ‘yes’ in dietary habits, respectively.

Based on considering the literature and the average number of risk factors in the research population, coprevalence of modifiable UDTC risk factors was defined as presenting at least four related risk factors in one individual.

**Covariates**

Covariates included in this study were demographic and socioeconomic information ascertained by questionnaire, including age (40–44 years, 45–49 years, 50–54 years, 55–59 years, 60–64 years, 65–69 years), gender (male and female), marital status (single, currently married, divorced/widowed/separated), educational status (no institutional education, primary school, junior high school, senior high school and higher), household size (0–3, 4–5, ≥6) and annual family income (tertiles: lower, middle and higher).

**Statistical analysis**

Descriptive statistics were applied to describe the sociodemographic characteristics of the sampled population. The difference in continuous variables was analysed by student’s t-test and by χ² test to assess the differentials in the prevalence values among categorical variables. Differences in men and women, the prevalence of each modifiable UDTC risk factor and the distribution of modifiable UDTC risk factors coprevalence (0, 1, 2, 3 and ≥4) in sociodemographic and other characteristics were described in the overall population, respectively. Multiple logistic regression models were adopted to explore the association between relevant characteristics and UDTC risk factors coprevalence. Only the variables that we found statistically significant at p<0.05 in the univariate analysis were included in the multiple logistic regression models. The result of multiple logistic regression analyses was presented in terms of adjusted ORs and their respective 95% CIs. All statistical analyses were performed by SPSS software V.17.0. A two-sided p<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Sociodemographic and other characteristics of participants**

The description of sociodemographic and other characteristics of 19 213 participants are presented in table 1.
Of the participants (40–69 years, mean age 53.2±7.8 years) surveyed, 57.0% were women, with a mean age of 52.9±7.8 years. More than 95.0% (man 95.6%, woman 94.8%) of participants were married, nearly half (44.3%) (man 41.6%, woman 46.4%) had an education level of primary school, 44.7% (man 44.6%, woman 44.7%) had a lower level of annual family income and the mean of household size and BMI were 4.0±1.4 (man 4.0±1.4, woman 4.0±1.4) and 23.8±3.0 kg/m² (man 23.9±3.0, woman 23.7±3.0), respectively. The differences between men and women in age, marriage, education, household size and BMI were significant (all p<0.01) (table 1). In addition, of the cancer cases diagnosed in this study, the majority of the oesophagus was squamous (54/57), while adenocarcinoma predominated in gastric (29/33) and cardia (35/36) cancers.

Prevalence of modifiable UDTC risk factors
The prevalence of overweight or obesity, current smoking and excessive alcohol consumption in this study was 45.3%, 24.1% and 16.2%, respectively. The prevalence of current smoking and excessive alcohol consumption in men was significantly higher than in women (all p<0.001). In addition, insufficient vegetables intake, insufficient fruit intake and the consumption of pickled, fried and hot food in participants accounted for 66.1%, 94.5%, 68.1%, 36.0% and 88.4%, respectively. The prevalence of consumption of pickled and hot food was higher in women than in men (all p<0.05) (table 2). As shown in table 3, there were significant differences in age, marriage status, education level, and annual family income in the eight UDTC risk factors (all p<0.05). The prevalence of these eight modifiable UDTC risk factors tends to be higher in single participants, except for overweight or obesity (all p<0.001). Moreover, the prevalence of excessive alcohol consumption, insufficient vegetables intake, insufficient fruit intake and the consumption of pickled, fried and hot food varied significantly with the household size (all p<0.001) (table 3).

Coprevalence of modifiable UDTC risk factors
The prevalence of zero to eight modifiable UDTC risk factors participants had simultaneously in the study (overweight/obesity, current smoking, excessive alcohol consumption, insufficient vegetables intake, insufficient fruit intake, the consumption of pickled, fried and hot

| Table 1  | Sociodemographic and socioeconomic characteristics of participants in Yangzhong city, China |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Category | Total (n=19213) | Men (n=8268) | Women (n=10945) | t/χ² value | P value |
| No, n(%) | 19213 (100.0) | 8268 (43.0) | 10945 (57.0) |          |        |
| Age, years, mean (SD) | 53.2±7.8 | 53.5±7.8 | 52.9±7.8 | 5.61 | <0.001 |
| Age group, n (%) | | | | 33.29 | <0.001 |
| 40–44 | 3142 (16.4) | 1270 (15.4) | 1872 (17.1) |          |        |
| 45–49 | 3937 (20.5) | 1642 (19.9) | 2295 (21.0) |          |        |
| 50–54 | 3743 (19.5) | 1560 (18.9) | 2183 (19.9) |          |        |
| 55–59 | 3571 (18.6) | 1582 (19.1) | 1989 (18.2) |          |        |
| 60–64 | 3088 (16.1) | 1416 (17.1) | 1672 (15.3) |          |        |
| 65–69 | 1732 (9.0) | 798 (9.7) | 934 (8.5) |          |        |
| Marriage, n (%) | | | | 93.41 | <0.001 |
| Single | 203 (1.1) | 137 (1.7) | 66 (0.6) |          |        |
| Currently married | 18285 (95.2) | 7907 (95.6) | 10378 (94.8) |          |        |
| Divorced, widowed or separated | 725 (3.8) | 224 (2.7) | 501 (4.6) |          |        |
| Education, n (%) | | | | 886.879 | <0.001 |
| No institutional education | 1594 (8.3) | 228 (2.8) | 1366 (12.5) |          |        |
| Primary school | 8510 (44.3) | 3436 (41.6) | 5074 (46.4) |          |        |
| Junior high school | 7591 (39.5) | 3647 (41.1) | 3944 (36.0) |          |        |
| Senior high school and higher | 1518 (7.9) | 957 (11.6) | 561 (5.1) |          |        |
| Annual family income, n (%) | | | | 0.04 | 0.981 |
| lower | 8585 (44.7) | 3689 (44.6) | 4896 (44.7) |          |        |
| Middle | 5420 (28.2) | 2338 (28.3) | 3082 (28.2) |          |        |
| Higher | 5208 (27.1) | 2241 (27.1) | 2967 (27.1) |          |        |
| Household size, n, mean (SD) | 4.0±1.4 | 4.0±1.4 | 4.0±1.4 | -3.17 | 0.002 |
| BMI, kg/m², mean (SD) | 23.8±3.0 | 23.9±3.0 | 23.7±3.0 | 3.47 | 0.001 |

BMI, body mass index.
food) was 0.1%, 1.3%, 6.4%, 22.6%, 23.2%, 22.4%, 17.5%, 5.5% and 1.0%, respectively (data shown partly in table 4). Among them, nearly all (99.9%) participants showed one or more modifiable UDTC risk factors, 98.6% showed two or more modifiable UDTC risk factors, 92.2% had three or more modifiable UDTC risk factors and 69.7% presented at least four modifiable UDTC risk factors. The average count of modifiable UDTC risk factors per participant in this study is 4.39. The prevalence of coprevalence of modifiable UDTC risk factors was higher in men than in women (p<0.001). The prevalence of coprevalence of modifiable UDTC risk factors was the highest in the age group 50–54 and among single participants (all p<0.001). There was an increasing trend towards modifiable UDTC risk factors coprevalence with increasing education and annual family income (all p<0.001). Moreover, modifiable UDTC risk factors coprevalence was the highest among those participants who had 0–3 family members (p<0.001) (table 4).

The multivariable logistic regression analysis revealed that men were more likely to have four or more modifiable UDTC risk factors compared with women (OR 2.302, 95% CI 2.145 to 2.471). The prevalence of modifiable UDTC risk factors coprevalence increased with age and then decreased, peaking at 50–54 (OR 2.044, 95% CI 1.825 to 2.288). Participants who were married (OR 0.511, 95% CI 0.330 to 0.792) were less likely to have four or more modifiable UDTC risk factors than those who were single. In addition, we divided the education into four groups, which showed that increasing the level of education was a risk factor for modifiable UDTC risk factors coprevalence. Compared with participants who had a higher level of annual family income, those who had middle (OR 0.218, 95% CI 0.197 to 0.241) and lower (OR 0.223, 95% CI 0.201 to 0.247) level of annual family income were less likely to have four or more modifiable UDTC risk factors. Modifiable UDTC risk factors coprevalence were less common among participants who had more than six family members than those who had less than three ones (OR 0.598, 95% CI 0.527 to 0.678) (table 5).

**DISCUSSION**

As far as we know, this is the first large population-based survey investigated the prevalence and coprevalence of eight modifiable UDTC risk factors and described the sociodemographic and socioeconomic factors associated

---

**Table 2** Prevalence of modifiable UDTC risk factors in Yangzhong city adults aged 40–69

| Factor                                | Total (n=19,213) | Men (n=8,268) | Women (n=10,945) | $\chi^2$ value | P value |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|----------------|---------|
| BMI, n (%)                             |                  |              |                  | 2.62           | 0.106   |
| Overweight or obesity                 | 8695 (45.3)      | 3797 (45.9)  | 4898 (44.8)      |                |         |
| Normal weight or underweight          | 10,518 (54.7)    | 4471 (54.1)  | 6047 (55.2)      |                |         |
| Current smoking, n (%)                |                  |              |                  | 6093.25        | <0.001  |
| No                                    | 14,589 (75.9)    | 3988 (48.2)  | 10,601 (96.9)    |                |         |
| Yes                                   | 4624 (24.1)      | 4280 (51.8)  | 344 (3.1)        |                |         |
| Excessive alcohol consumption, n (%)  |                  |              |                  | 3197.31        | <0.001  |
| No                                    | 16,109 (83.8)    | 5504 (66.6)  | 10,605 (96.9)    |                |         |
| Yes                                   | 3104 (16.2)      | 2764 (33.4)  | 340 (3.1)        |                |         |
| Insufficient vegetables intake, n (%) |                  |              |                  | 0.32           | 0.572   |
| No                                    | 6519 (33.9)      | 2787 (33.7)  | 3732 (34.1)      |                |         |
| Yes                                   | 12,694 (66.1)    | 5481 (66.3)  | 7213 (65.9)      |                |         |
| Insufficient fruit intake, n (%)      |                  |              |                  | 0.10           | 0.749   |
| No                                    | 1055 (5.5)       | 459 (5.6)    | 596 (5.4)        |                |         |
| Yes                                   | 18,158 (94.5)    | 7809 (94.4)  | 10,349 (94.6)    |                |         |
| Pickled food consumption, n (%)       |                  |              |                  | 8.38           | <0.05   |
| No                                    | 6138 (31.9)      | 2734 (33.1)  | 3404 (31.1)      |                |         |
| Yes                                   | 13,075 (68.1)    | 5534 (66.9)  | 7541 (68.9)      |                |         |
| Fried food consumption, n (%)         |                  |              |                  | 1.00           | 0.318   |
| No                                    | 12,293 (64.0)    | 5323 (64.4)  | 6970 (63.7)      |                |         |
| Yes                                   | 6920 (36.0)      | 2945 (35.6)  | 3975 (36.3)      |                |         |
| Hot food consumption, n (%)           |                  |              |                  | 27.10          | <0.001  |
| No                                    | 2221 (11.6)      | 1070 (12.9)  | 1151 (10.5)      |                |         |
| Yes                                   | 16,992 (88.4)    | 7198 (87.1)  | 9794 (89.5)      |                |         |

BMI, body mass index; UDTC, upper digestive tract cancer.
with these among Yangzhong city residents aged 40–69 from southeast China. The present study revealed that the prevalence and coprevalence of overweight or obesity, current smoking, excessive alcohol consumption, insufficient vegetables intake, insufficient fruit intake and consumption of pickled, fried and hot food were high, which implied the health risk of UDTC residents have in Yangzhong city. We found that the prevalence of insufficient fruit intake and hot and pickled food consumption were the top three modifiable UDTC risk factors in the population surveyed. Besides, 69.7% of the participants presented at least four UDTC risk factors.

Table 3  Prevalence of modifiable UDTC risk factors by relevant characters in Yangzhong city adults aged 40–69

| Category                        | Overweight or obesity | Smoking | Drinking | Vegetables | Fruit | Pickled food | Fried food | Hot food |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|----------|------------|-------|--------------|------------|----------|
| Age group, years, n (%)         |                       |         |          |            |       |              |            |          |
| 40–44                           | 1492 (47.5)           | 533 (17.0) | 348 (11.1)| 1888 (60.1)| 2902 (92.4) | 2033 (64.7) | 782 (24.9) | 2971 (94.6) |
| 45–49                           | 1953 (49.6)           | 827 (21.0) | 540 (13.7)| 2648 (67.3)| 3735 (94.9) | 2594 (65.9) | 1451 (36.9) | 3894 (98.9) |
| 50–54                           | 1729 (46.2)           | 1068 (28.5) | 723 (19.3)| 2506 (67.0)| 3538 (94.5) | 2699 (71.3) | 1325 (35.4) | 3723 (99.5) |
| 55–59                           | 1607 (45.0)           | 987 (27.6) | 695 (19.5)| 2314 (64.8)| 3380 (94.7) | 2366 (66.3) | 1312 (36.7) | 3464 (97.0) |
| 60–64                           | 1271 (41.2)           | 796 (25.8) | 537 (17.4)| 2131 (69.0)| 2949 (95.5) | 2158 (69.9) | 1285 (41.6) | 2343 (75.9) |
| 65–69                           | 643 (37.1)            | 413 (23.8) | 261 (15.1)| 1207 (69.7)| 1654 (95.5) | 1255 (72.5) | 765 (44.2)  | 597 (34.5)  |
| P value                         | <0.001                | <0.001  | <0.001   | <0.001     | <0.001 | <0.001       | <0.001     | <0.001    |
| Marriage, n (%)                 |                       |         |          |            |       |              |            |          |
| Single                          | 77 (37.9)             | 73 (36.0)| 43 (21.2)| 177 (87.2)| 200 (98.5) | 169 (83.3)  | 143 (70.4) | 186 (91.6) |
| Currently married               | 8334 (45.6)           | 4439 (24.3)| 2979 (16.3)| 12087 (66.1)| 17253 (94.4)| 12424 (67.9)| 6518 (35.6)| 16304 (89.2)|
| Divorced, widowed or separated  | 284 (39.2)            | 112 (15.4)| 82 (11.3)| 430 (59.3) | 705 (97.2) | 482 (66.5)  | 259 (35.7) | 502 (69.2) |
| P value                         | <0.001                | <0.001  | <0.001   | <0.001     | <0.001 | <0.001       | <0.001     | <0.001    |
| Education, n (%)                |                       |         |          |            |       |              |            |          |
| No institutional education      | 692 (43.4)            | 75 (4.7) | 76 (4.8) | 781 (49.0)| 1479 (92.8)| 1286 (80.7) | 675 (42.3) | 1198 (75.2) |
| Primary school                  | 3699 (43.5)           | 2030 (23.9)| 1328 (15.6)| 5898 (69.3)| 8149 (95.8)| 4785 (56.2) | 2205 (25.9)| 7194 (84.5) |
| Junior high school              | 3521 (46.4)           | 2045 (26.9)| 1373 (18.1)| 4878 (64.3)| 7087 (93.4)| 5819 (76.7) | 3146 (41.4)| 7187 (94.7) |
| Senior high school and higher   | 783 (51.6)            | 474 (31.2)| 327 (21.5)| 1137 (74.9)| 1443 (95.1)| 1185 (78.1) | 894 (58.9) | 1413 (93.1) |
| P value                         | <0.001                | <0.001  | <0.001   | <0.001     | <0.001 | <0.001       | <0.001     | <0.001    |
| Annual family income, n(%)      |                       |         |          |            |       |              |            |          |
| Lower                           | 3711 (43.2)           | 2128 (24.8)| 1551 (18.1)| 4844 (56.4)| 8234 (95.9)| 5535 (64.5)| 1300 (15.1)| 7168 (83.5) |
| Middle                          | 2458 (45.4)           | 1303 (24.0)| 857 (15.8)| 3566 (65.8)| 4953 (91.4)| 2923 (53.9)| 1521 (28.1)| 4876 (90.0) |
| Higher                          | 2526 (48.5)           | 1193 (22.9)| 696 (13.4)| 4284 (82.3)| 4971 (95.4)| 4617 (88.7)| 4099 (78.7)| 4948 (95.0) |
| P value                         | <0.001                | <0.05   | <0.001   | <0.001     | <0.001 | <0.001       | <0.001     | <0.001    |
| Household size, n (%)           |                       |         |          |            |       |              |            |          |
| 0–3                            | 3583 (45.6)           | 1916 (24.4)| 1381 (17.6)| 5137 (65.4)| 7384 (94.1)| 5512 (70.2)| 2989 (38.1)| 7051 (89.8) |
| 4–5                            | 4285 (44.6)           | 2285 (23.8)| 1428 (14.8)| 6574 (68.4)| 9159 (95.2)| 6216 (64.6)| 3153 (32.8)| 8467 (88.0) |
| ≥6                             | 827 (47.4)            | 423 (24.2)| 295 (16.9)| 983 (56.3) | 1615 (92.5)| 1347 (77.1)| 778 (44.6) | 1474 (84.4) |
| P value                         | 0.064                 | 0.601   | <0.001   | <0.001     | <0.001 | <0.001       | <0.001     | <0.001    |

Smoking, current smoking; drinking, excessive alcohol consumption; vegetables, insufficient vegetables intake; fruit, insufficient fruit intake; pickled food, the consumption of pickled food; fried food, the consumption of fried food; hot food, the consumption of hot food. UDTC, upper digestive tract cancer.
The prevalence of overweight or obesity (45.3%) in our study was higher than that observed in Nanjing (35.6%), and nationwide population (42.0%), but our findings were closer to that observed in some other regions. The prevalence of current smoking in this population (24.1%) was much higher than that in a cross-sectional study in Shenzhen (10.5%) and Barbados (9.2%), which was consistent with a survey in Nanjing (24.5%). However, the rate was not as high as reported (28.1%) in the China national nutrition and chronic disease survey (2015). Our findings showed a high prevalence of excessive alcohol consumption in the Yangzhong population.

Table 4: The different number and coprevalence of modifiable UDTC risk factors in residents by relevant characters in Yangzhong city adults aged 40–69

| Category | None (0) | Single (1) | Two (2) | Three (3) | Non-coprevalence(≤3) | Coprevalence(≥4) | χ² value | P value |
|----------|----------|------------|---------|-----------|----------------------|-----------------|----------|---------|
| Total    | 14 (0.1) | 244 (1.3)  | 1235 (6.4) | 4336 (22.6) | 5829 (30.3) | 13384 (69.7) |          |         |
| Gender, n (%) |          |            |          |            |                     |                 |          |         |
| men      | 2 (0.0)  | 64 (0.8)   | 356 (4.3) | 1293 (15.6) | 1715 (20.7) | 6553 (79.3) |          | <0.001  |
| women    | 12 (0.1) | 180 (1.6)  | 879 (8.0) | 3043 (27.8) | 4114 (37.6) | 6831 (62.4) |          |         |
| Age group, years, n (%) |          |            |          |            |                     |                 |          | <0.001  |
| 40–44    | 1 (0.0)  | 66 (2.1)   | 193 (6.1) | 891 (28.4)  | 1151 (36.6) | 1991 (63.4) |          |         |
| 45–54    | 0 (0.0)  | 26 (0.7)   | 165 (4.2) | 857 (21.8)  | 1048 (26.6) | 2889 (73.4) |          |         |
| 50–54    | 0 (0.0)  | 15 (0.4)   | 112 (3.0) | 759 (20.3)  | 886 (23.7)  | 2857 (76.3) |          |         |
| 55–59    | 0 (0.0)  | 48 (1.3)   | 175 (4.9) | 724 (20.3)  | 947 (26.5)  | 2624 (73.5) |          |         |
| 60–64    | 0 (0.0)  | 33 (1.1)   | 273 (8.8) | 712 (23.1)  | 1018 (33.0) | 2070 (67.0) |          |         |
| 65–69    | 13 (0.8) | 56 (3.2)   | 317 (18.3) | 393 (22.7)  | 779 (45.0)  | 953 (55.0)  |          |         |
| Marry, n (%) |          |            |          |            |                     |                 |          | <0.001  |
| Single   | 0 (0.0)  | 0 (0.0)    | 9 (4.4)  | 16 (7.9)   | 25 (12.3)   | 178 (87.7)   |          |         |
| Currently married | 12 (0.1) | 201 (1.1)  | 1130 (6.2) | 4167 (22.8) | 5510 (30.1) | 12775 (69.9) |          |         |
| Divorced, widowed or separated | 2 (0.3)  | 43 (5.9)   | 96 (13.2) | 153 (21.1)  | 294 (40.6)  | 431 (59.4)   |          |         |
| Education, n (%) |          |            |          |            |                     |                 |          | <0.001  |
| No institutional education | 4 (0.3)  | 56 (3.5)   | 241 (15.1) | 379 (23.8)  | 680 (42.7)  | 914 (57.3)   |          |         |
| Primary school | 8 (0.1)  | 71 (0.8)   | 503 (5.9) | 2396 (28.2) | 2978 (35.0) | 5532 (65.0)  |          |         |
| Junior high school | 2 (0.0)  | 107 (1.4)  | 428 (5.6) | 1367 (18.0) | 1904 (25.1) | 5687 (74.9)  |          |         |
| Senior high school and higher | 0 (0.0)  | 10 (0.7)   | 63 (4.2)  | 194 (12.8)  | 267 (17.6)  | 1251 (82.4)  |          |         |
| Annual family income, n (%) |          |            |          |            |                     |                 |          | <0.001  |
| Lower    | 6 (0.1)  | 102 (1.2)  | 588 (6.8) | 2500 (29.1) | 3196 (37.2) | 5389 (62.8)  |          |         |
| Middle   | 5 (0.1)  | 105 (1.9)  | 470 (8.7) | 1403 (25.9) | 1983 (36.6) | 3437 (63.4)  |          |         |
| Higher   | 3 (0.1)  | 37 (0.7)   | 177 (3.4) | 433 (8.3)   | 650 (12.5)  | 4558 (87.5)  |          |         |
| Family member, n (%) |          |            |          |            |                     |                 |          | <0.001  |
| 0–3      | 5 (0.1)  | 165 (2.1)  | 438 (5.6) | 1642 (20.9) | 2250 (28.7) | 5600 (71.3)  |          |         |
| 4–5      | 7 (0.1)  | 68 (0.7)   | 627 (6.5) | 2318 (24.1) | 3020 (31.4) | 6597 (68.6)  |          |         |
| ≥6       | 2 (0.1)  | 11 (0.6)   | 170 (9.7) | 376 (21.5)  | 559 (32.0)  | 1187 (68.0)  |          |         |

UDTC, upper digestive tract cancer.
(16.2%) relative to the national average of 11.1% in men and 2.0% in women. The rate of excessive alcohol consumption we found was similar to Barbados, Nanbu and the prospective study of China Kadoorie Biobank, where excessive alcohol consumption rates were around 14.5%, 16.7% and 14.9%, respectively. We found higher levels of insufficient intake of vegetables (66.1%) in this population than those observed in the Tanzania and Hubei Province where the insufficient intake of vegetables or fruit is 55.8% and 29.7%, respectively, while the levels of insufficient intake of fruit (94.5%) in our study were also much higher than that observed in the region mentioned above. Moreover, the proportion of the Yangzhong population had dietary habits of consumption of pickled, fried and hot food were greater than the levels in Huaian (22.7%, 7.1%, and 10.9%), as well as in Nanbu (28.63%, 1.95%, and 6.11%), both of these region mentioned above are high-risk areas of UDTC in China.

The co-prevalence of risk factors for chronic diseases is widespread. Several previous studies reported the co-prevalence of chronic diseases in the Chinese population. For example, among 49,247 Chinese aged 15–69 years from the 2007 China Chronic Disease and Risk Factor Surveillance, the prevalence of having zero, one, two and at least three chronic disease risk factors was 9.1%, 33.9%, 32.4% and 24.6%, respectively. Also, other regional studies have examined the co-prevalence of some specific chronic diseases in residents. Hong et al reported that 30.1% and 35.2% of the Nanjing population presented one and at least two cardiovascular diseases (CVD) risk factors. Conversely, a much higher rate of CVD risk factors co-prevalence was noticed by Nia in Shenzhen city.

Table 5  The multivariable logistic regression analysis of modifiable UDTC risk factors coprevalence

| Category                      | Wald  | P value | β      | SE   | OR   | 95% CI          |
|-------------------------------|-------|---------|--------|------|------|-----------------|
| Gender, n(%)                  |       |         |        |      |      |                 |
| Women                         | -     | -       | -      | -    | 1.00 |                 |
| Men                           | 533.13| <0.001  | 0.834  | 0.036| 2.302| 2.145 to 2.471  |
| Age group, years, n (%)       |       |         |        |      |      |                 |
| 40–44                         | -     | -       | -      | -    | 1.00 |                 |
| 45–49                         | 48.302| <0.001  | 0.381  | 0.055| 1.464| 1.315 to 1.631  |
| 50–54                         | 153.543| <0.001 | 0.715  | 0.058| 2.044| 1.825 to 2.288  |
| 55–59                         | 143.780| <0.001 | 0.710  | 0.059| 2.033| 1.811 to 2.283  |
| 60–64                         | 32.963| <0.001  | 0.351  | 0.061| 1.421| 1.260 to 1.602  |
| 65–69                         | 10.685| <0.001  | −0.229 | 0.070| 0.796| 0.694 to 0.913  |
| Marriage, n (%)               |       |         |        |      |      |                 |
| Single                        | -     | -       | -      | -    | 1.00 |                 |
| Currently married             | 9.054 | 0.003   | −0.671 | 0.223| 0.511| 0.330 to 0.792  |
| Divorced, widowed or separated| 10.813| 0.001   | −0.777 | 0.236| 0.460| 0.289 to 0.731  |
| Education, n (%)              |       |         |        |      |      |                 |
| No institutional education    | -     | -       | -      | -    | 1.00 |                 |
| Primary school                | 17.448| <0.001  | 0.256  | 0.061| 1.291| 1.145 to 1.456  |
| Junior high school            | 51.436| <0.001  | 0.485  | 0.068| 1.624| 1.423 to 1.855  |
| Senior high school and higher | 40.562| <0.001  | 0.604  | 0.095| 1.829| 1.519 to 2.202  |
| Annual family income, n (%)   |       |         |        |      |      |                 |
| Higher                        | -     | -       | -      | -    | 1.00 |                 |
| Middle                        | 874.464| <0.001 | −1.524 | 0.052| 0.218| 0.197 to 0.241  |
| Lower                         | 799.154| <0.001 | −1.502 | 0.053| 0.223| 0.201 to 0.247  |
| Household size, n (%)         |       |         |        |      |      |                 |
| 0–3                           | -     | -       | -      | -    | 1.00 |                 |
| 4–5                           | 82.359| 0.429   | −0.334 | 0.037| 0.716| 0.666 to 0.770  |
| ≥6                            | 64.364| <0.001  | −0.514 | 0.064| 0.598| 0.527 to 0.678  |
| Constant                      | 64.447| <0.001  | 1.878  | 0.234| –    | –               |

UDTC, upper digestive tract cancer.
In our present study, it was observed that 0.1%, 1.3%, 6.4%, 22.6% and 69.7% of participants had zero, one, two, three and at least four modified UDTC risk factors, respectively, among residents aged 40–69 years. The modified UDTC risk factors coprevalence was prevalent considerably in Yangzhong city. Different estimates of the risk factors coprevalence for UDTC were found in the literature. He et al showed that among residents aged 40–69 years in UDTC high-risk areas, 33.08%, 35.99%, 16.76% and 11.93% of participants had one, two, three and at least four OC risk factors, respectively. In another case-control study of 2,266 Chinese adults, 32.5% and 41.1% of the participants presented three and four or more risk factors, respectively, for OC or SC. Compared with these two studies mentioned above, a much higher coprevalence of risk factors was noticed in our present study. The variations could change likely due to the difference in diagnostic criteria, the number and kind of risk factors included in the research and the participants’ age group. Overall, there are some other national, and worldwide studies on the coprevalence of some common or specific chronic diseases risk factors. Still, the study on modifiable UDTC risk factors is limited.

The factors associated with modifiable UDTC risk factors coprevalence included gender, age, marriage status, education, annual family income and household size. We found the prevalence of modifiable UDTC risk factors coprevalence was lower in women compared with men, which was consistent with findings from other settings. The possible reason could be Chinese men are less aware of self-protect for chronic diseases and have worse health-seeking behaviour, and may also attend more social occasions, tend to consume more tobacco/cigarette high-salt, high-fat and high-calorie food compared with women.

In addition, this study revealed that the prevalence of modifiable UDTC risk factors coprevalence was increased with age, which was consistent with previous studies. Studies showed that in Nanbu, China, the coprevalence of OC risk factors increased with age may attribute to the lower level of awareness, practice and willingness for health among the elder. Meanwhile, it is also shown in table 5 that being over 65 protects against having more than four risk factors. A possible reason for this difference is as follows: with the ageing of the body and the deterioration of organ function, an elder individual possesses a higher risk of health disorder and has a greater demand for medical care. As a result, this creates more opportunities to get diagnosed with some health screening, including UDTC. Correspondingly, the elderly have more chance to get a healthy education from physicians than the younger. Our study also showed that single participants had more prevalence of modifiable UDTC risk factors coprevalence compared with participants who were currently married, following a previous study. A possible explanation is that being a single older resident comes with its own economic and emotional challenges, contributing to the unhealthy habit of lifestyle and diet.

Our study demonstrated that the level of socio-economic status (SES, education, annual family income) was positively associated with modifiable UDTC risk factors coprevalence, which was inconsistent with other reports. Residents with a higher level of SES are more aware of control and prevention of chronic disease and have better health-seeking behaviour compared with those with a lower level of SES. Moreover, the poor or lower education participants may have relatively more inaccessibility and unaffordability to medical services. This paradox may be due to most of the participants enrolled in our study were from rural areas, and their SES was generally low. However, it may also imply that the higher income may contribute to unhealthy lifestyles, and knowledge alone may not be sufficient to change unhealthy lifestyles. Therefore, the level of education and income are two essential SES factors for modifiable UDTC risk factors coprevalence.

It is, however, important to note that participants who have more than six family members had a lower prevalence of modifiable UDTC risk factors coprevalence compared with those who have less than three ones. Changes in household size are bound to affect the adjustment of the family diet. As the household size increase, it is more likely to increase dietary diversity (eg, fruits, vegetables and milk) every day. Besides, the affection, information and economic support among family members will also increase significantly, which can adjust and correct the unhealthy lifestyle of individuals.

Our study explored the prevalence and influencing factors of modifiable UDTC risk factors coprevalence in the UDTC high-risk area, Yangzhong City, based on the community-based project for UDTC screening with a large sample size. Additionally, the physical measurement and the data collection implemented by trained interviewers strictly according to standard protocol and instrument increase the validity of our results. In order to reduce the prevalence and coprevalence rate of UTDC risk factors, the screening teams should focus on individuals with coprevalence of risk factors in screening and improve their unhealthy lifestyles continually through a range of methods such as post-screening health education, personalised interventions and disease follow-up. The social impact of screening should be expanded to improve the compliance of high-risk groups, thereby increasing the output of screening health benefits. Meanwhile, the government should also help high-risk groups (especially the older and male groups) to improve their health literacy and awareness of UTDC prevention through diversified education, motivation and publicity methods, such as health education, health talks and mass media campaigns. By guiding the culture of smoking, drinking and other food culture, promote high-cultural groups to transform their cognitive and economic advantages into advantages in UTDC prevention and healthcare, and effectively change unhealthy habits. Besides, the government should focus on single and residents with small household size in the high-risk groups in the
process of health education and the development of prevention strategies. The findings may also provide the reference for departments in charge of the prevention and control of UDTC in Yangzhong city, Jiangsu province and relevant departments in other UDTC high-risk areas (eg, Linzhou, Feicheng, Yanting).

There were also several possible limitations to our study. First, a cross-section study cannot exam the causality or temporal relationship between the coprevalence of modifiable UDTC risk factors and its influencing factors. Second, the modifiable UDTC risk factors included in our study were self-reported by participants, which may contribute to recall and reporting bias, except BMI. Our study results were from Yangzhong city only, and cannot be generalised to the other high-risk areas and the whole of southeast China. Additionally, the study response rate was relatively low, particularly among males, which may affect the results’ representativeness. Finally, our study only focused on the eight modifiable UDTC risk factors, but there are far more than eight risk factors for UDTC. Hence, further studies are needed.

CONCLUSION

In summary, this cross-sectional study shows that the prevalence and coprevalence of modifiable UDTC risk factors are high among participants in Yangzhong city. Our analyses indicate that men, younger adults, single adults and participants with higher SES or smaller household size are susceptible to modifiable UDTC risk factors coprevalence. Policies to prevent UDTC have already been developed in the strategic plan and operational plan, however, the accuracy and validity of implementing the undertaken policies are still insufficient. Consequently, extra attention is required to pay to these high-risk groups during the progress of screening. Relative departments also need to make effective public health programmes targeting modifiable UDTC risk factors that aim to decrease UDTC risk factors coprevalence in high-risk groups from high-risk areas of UDTC.
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