Enhancing retention and efficacy of cardiosphere-derived cells administered after myocardial infarction using a hyaluronan-gelatin hydrogel
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Cardiosphere-derived cells (CDCs) are under clinical development and are currently being tested in a clinical trial enrolling patients who have undergone a myocardial infarction. CDCs are presently administered via infusion into the infarct-related artery and have been shown in early clinical trials to be effective agents of myocardial regeneration. This review describes the administration of CDCs in a hyaluronan-gelatin hydrogel via myocardial injection and the subsequent improvements in therapeutic benefit seen in animal models. Development of a next generation therapy involving the combination of CDCs and hydrogel is discussed.

Cardiosphere-derived cells (CDCs) have been under clinical development since 2009. The ongoing ALLSTAR trial (NCT01458405) is examining the safety and efficacy of allogeneic CDCs administered by intracoronary infusion in patients who have suffered a myocardial infarction (MI). Findings from the CADUCEUS trial, in which autologous CDCs were administered to post-MI patients, have already foreshadowed the potential clinical utility of CDCs in this patient population. Both cell therapies are believed to act via the same mechanisms, to stimulate endogenous regeneration and attenuate fibrosis, and do so without eliciting an immune response, in the case of allogeneic CDCs.2 The effects manifest preclinically as a decrease in cardiomyocyte apoptosis, recruitment of cardiac stem cells, stimulation of cardiomyocyte proliferation, increase in blood vessel density and decrease in collagen deposition; clinically as a reduction in infarct size, accumulation of viable myocardium and attenuation of left ventricular remodeling.3,5 CDCs will experience a nearly 50% reduction in infarct size over the course of a year, commensurate with the addition of new myocardial mass.3

Despite the sizeable observed and expected benefits of CDC therapy in clinical studies, preclinical studies have shown that no more than 5% of cells survive longer than 24 h after intracoronary delivery in either saline or a cryopreservation solution containing DMSO (dimethyl sulfoxide).4,6 Presumably, poor cell retention and engraftment can be attributed to multiple factors, such as: the use of a minimally-invasive delivery approach, intracoronary infusion, which is not as effective as intramyocardial injection, the harsh ischemic microenvironment making transplanted cells susceptible to apoptosis, and the lack of space and anchorage sites available for transplanted cells making them susceptible to interstitial clearance by the lymphatic system. Furthermore, these retention and engraftment issues are common to most cell therapies, not specific to CDCs, although solutions may need to be tailored to cell type. While many possible solutions do exist, in the case of CDCs, intramyocardial injection in a hyaluronan-gelatin hydrogel has been shown to meaningfully improve retention, engraftment and efficacy in preclinical studies.7 A next generation therapy for MI patients may involve the combination of CDCs and hydrogel.

The current status of cell therapy for MI is summarized herein along with the preclinical data supporting the use of a CDC-hydrogel combination therapy. Plans to move that combination product toward the clinic are described as well.

Cell Therapy for Myocardial Infarction

This year 1.3 million Americans will have a new or recurrent MI.8 Only 15% of MI sufferers will die as an immediate result,8 a mortality rate that has declined in recent years thanks to advances in the acute management of MI.9 However, 36% of MI survivors will develop heart failure (HF),10 and will consequently be at increased risk for death.11 Following an MI, ejection fraction (EF), end-systolic and end-diastolic volumes (ESV and EDV), and to a greater extent infarct size have been shown to predict subsequent HF development, adverse left ventricular (LV) remodeling, MACE (major adverse cardiac events), and
all-cause mortality for patients. Infarct size alone is a rigorous, independent predictor of MACE-free survival that can be used to classify patients as at-risk (e.g., infarct size \( \geq 18.5\% \)) or not at-risk. Even with maximum medical care, however, once an infarct is established its size does not change. While long-term adverse neurohormonal responses can be countered with \( \beta \) blockers and ACE-inhibitors and the likelihood of recurrent ischemic events can be decreased with aggressive secondary prevention, no therapy currently available can reduce the size of an established infarct.

Cell therapy aims to alter this fixed trajectory for MI survivors: to intervene in the process of adverse LV remodeling, to reduce infarct size and to actually regenerate viable myocardial tissue in its place. The field to date has focused primarily on when to administer cells and what cells to administer, while relying on minimally-invasive delivery approaches (i.e., intracoronary infusion) that could also be readily and widely adopted by clinicians. More novel delivery approaches (i.e., transcatheter injection) have begun to establish a decent clinical safety profile, but seem to offer marginal added efficacy benefits. The result of all attempts to date has been partial restoration of cardiac structure and function. On the whole (in a meta-analysis considering 50 studies enrolling 2625 patients) autologous bone marrow cells, by far the cell type most extensively studied clinically, have led to a 4.0% increase in EF, an 8.9 mL reduction in ESV, a 5.2 mL reduction in EDV, and a 4.0% reduction in infarct size compared with control. These primary efficacy data can be termed marginally positive at best. Although one of the first and most positive studies is now reporting unanticipated benefits on long-term clinical endpoints (e.g., death, recurrent MI, HF development, revascularization), room for improvement undeniably still exists.

**Clinical Use of Cardiosphere-Derived Cells**

Cardiosphere-derived cells have yet undergone limited clinical use, but may have come the closest to achieving the goals of cell therapy, including viable tissue regeneration. The CADUCEUS (CArdiosphere-Derived AUtologous Stem CElls to Reverse VenticUlar DySfunction) trial demonstrated the safety and efficacy of autologous CDC administration via intracoronary infusion in patients with LV dysfunction post-MI. In the randomized, controlled, dose-escalating Phase I trial, autologous CDCs manufactured from endomyocardial biopsy specimens were infused into the infarct-related artery in 17 patients. Eight patients were followed as standard-of-care controls. In >12 months of follow-up, safety endpoints were equivalent. Contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) revealed reductions of infarct size (scar mass normalized to total LV mass) in CDC-treated patients (-7.7 \( \pm \) 4.8\%), but not in controls (+0.3 \( \pm \) 5.4\%) over a period of 6 mo. The treatment effect in CDC patients nearly doubled at 12 mo (-12.3 \( \pm \) 5.0\%), amounting to a 46% relative reduction of infarct size (from a baseline of 24\%), but remained unchanged in controls (-2.2 \( \pm \) 7.1\%). In comparison to the overall effect reported for bone marrow cells on infarct size, CDCs elicited much larger reductions. Theoretically, tissue regeneration should be manifested not only by scar shrinkage but also by an increase in viable tissue (measured independently by MRI). Accordingly, while changes in scar mass mirrored changes in infarct size, viable tissue mass increased in CDC-treated patients (+13.0 \( \pm \) 11.4 g at 6 mo), but not in controls (+0.9 \( \pm \) 6.2 g at 6 mo), and the correlation between scar shrinkage and increased viability was highly significant (\( r = -0.59, p = 0.0007 \)). This novel finding indicates that CDCs may in fact be truly regenerative.

Following the discovery that autologous and allogeneic CDCs act via the same mechanisms of action, and furthermore, that allogeneic CDCs could be safely administered in the setting of MI without eliciting an immune response, the ALLSTAR (ALLogeneic Heart STem Cells to Achieve Myocardial Regeneration) is a Phase I/II randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled safety and efficacy study. The ongoing study is evaluating intracoronary infusion of allogeneic CDCs or placebo in 248 patients with LV dysfunction post-MI. Allogeneic CDCs are manufactured from a single donor for use in many recipients, and several donors will be utilized during the course of ALLSTAR, so as to demonstrate product comparability. The study will carefully monitor patients...
is a hyaluronan-based hydrogel crosslinked using thiol-reactive poly(ethylene glycol) diacrylate and covalently linked to thio-lated collagen to aid cell attachment. The base product is chemically-defined and nonimmunogenic and the collagen is porcine derived. Hyaluronan is a glycosaminoglycan component of the extracellular matrix of all connective tissues, making it an attractive vehicle for cell delivery. ²⁹ Hyaluronan-based hydrogels can be formulated with varying gelation times depending on the concentrations of the individual monomers, making them suitable for catheter delivery and in situ polymerization. Collagen is a major component of the heart’s natural extracellular matrix. Furthermore, hyaluronan-gelatin hydrogels biodegrade in vivo over the course of four to eight weeks due to the action of hyaluronidases and collagenases produced naturally by cells. ³⁰ It has been demonstrated that Hystem™ promotes tissue repair in various organ systems, ³¹ but our study represents its first use in the heart. ⁷

The CDC-hydrogel combination therapy was intended to: (1) reduce cell loss due to leakage by virtue of hydrogel viscosity and by acting as a substrate to which CDCs can anchor; (2) bolster cell survival by reducing the level of apoptosis following transplantation by offering an environment in which CDCs are temporarily protected from the in vivo elements; (3) allow for the gradual migration of CDCs out of the hydrogel, concurrent with its degradation, and into the myocardium where they can form new cardiomyocytes and endothelial cells; and (4) improve cardiac function beyond the level seen with cells delivered in a saline vehicle due to improved cell engraftment and prolonged paracrine effects.

**Approaches to Enhance Efficacy of Cell Therapy**

CDCs, much like other cell types, are retained in the heart more effectively when intramyocardial injection as opposed to intracoronary infusion is employed for cell administration. In a clinically-relevant preclinical model, use of a minimally-invasive catheter-based transendocardial injection system resulted in ~15% engraftment 24 h after delivery, ²³ as opposed to the ~5% achievable with intracoronary infusion. ⁴⁶ Prior preclinical studies have shown also that preventing mechanical loss and washout of CDCs from the contracting, perfused myocardium (by completely arresting the heart), can lead to a further 4-fold increase in 24 h engraftment. ²⁴ Ultimately, efficacy scales with engraftment, and novel approaches aimed at reducing or preventing mechanical loss while enhancing cell survival and subsequent engraftment could contribute greatly to the efficacy of CDC therapy.

One such approach combines CDCs with an in situ polymerizable hydrogel (Hystem™, BioTime Inc.) that can be delivered intramyocardially, either by direct surgical injection or by a transendocardial catheter. Multiple hydrogels alone have demonstrated a capacity for improving cardiac function in preclinical models ²⁵ and at least one ²⁶ is undergoing clinical testing in a post-MI patient population (NCT01226563). Cell-hydrogel combinations of various sorts have also been characterized preclinically as therapies for myocardial repair. ²⁷ A hyaluronan-gelatin hydrogel not dissimilar to that selected for use with CDCs has been shown to be particularly well-suited for withstanding the contractile forces of the heart. ²⁸ Hystem-CTM is a hyaluronan-based hydrogel crosslinked using thiol-reactive poly(ethylene glycol) diacrylate and covalently linked to thio-lated collagen to aid cell attachment. The base product is chemically-defined and nonimmunogenic and the collagen is porcine derived. Hyaluronan is a glycosaminoglycan component of the extracellular matrix of all connective tissues, making it an attractive vehicle for cell delivery. ²⁹ Hyaluronan-based hydrogels can be formulated with varying gelation times depending on the concentrations of the individual monomers, making them suitable for catheter delivery and in situ polymerization. Collagen is a major component of the heart’s natural extracellular matrix. Furthermore, hyaluronan-gelatin hydrogels biodegrade in vivo over the course of four to eight weeks due to the action of hyaluronidases and collagenases produced naturally by cells. ³⁰ It has been demonstrated that Hystem-CTM promotes tissue repair in various organ systems, ³¹ but our study represents its first use in the heart. ⁷

The CDC-hydrogel combination therapy was intended to:

---

**Figure 2.** CDC survival in the hydrogel. (A and B) Representative fluorescent micrographs showing live (Calcien-aM: green) and dead (EthD: red) staining of CDCs cultured in Hystem™ and Hystem-C™ for 7 d. (C) CCK-8 assay quantifying cell survival rates in Hystem™ (black bars) or Hystem-C™ (green bars) (n = 3). *indicates p < 0.05 when compared with Hystem™.

**Figure 3.** Enhanced cell engraftment by delivering CDCs in Hystem-C™. (A and B) Representative confocal images showing engraftment of Dil-labeled human CDCs (red) 24 h after injection into post-MI mouse hearts. (C) Quantitative PCR analysis of cell engraftment rates in the mouse hearts 3 weeks post injection (n = 3). * indicates p < 0.05 when compared with CDC in PBS.
A mouse model of MI was next employed to investigate the combination product in vivo. CDCs were incorporated within Hystem-C™, Hystem™ or PBS (phosphate-buffered saline) and delivered as an aqueous solution (such that gelation occurred in situ), using a needle and syringe, intramyocardially in mice. Cell retention 24 h after delivery was dramatically increased in the Hystem-C™ condition (Fig. 3B), by more than 7-fold compared with both the Hystem™ and PBS conditions (Fig. 3A), resulting in an average retention of ~35% of the total cells delivered. Long-term cell engraftment (3 weeks after delivery) was significantly increased for the Hystem-C™ group compared with the PBS group (Fig. 3C), though expectedly reduced compared with 24 h. The results in terms of cardiac function and structure revealed improvements in left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF; Fig. 4A) and additions of viable myocardial mass (Fig. 4B) for the Hystem-C™ group that exceeded the effects seen in all other groups (p < 0.05 vs. all other groups). The two other treatment groups included for comparison, Hystem-C™ alone (no cells) and CDCs in PBS, showed a preservation of LVEF over the study period, as opposed to the clear improvement seen in the CDCs in Hystem-C™ group, while the PBS only control group deteriorated. Severe

**Figure 4.** Cardiac function and heart morphometry. (A) Changes of left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) measured by echocardiography from baseline to 3 weeks in each group. (B) Quantitative analysis and LV morphometric parameters of Masson’s trichrome images (n = 3–5 mice per group). * indicates p < 0.05 when compared with Control. **indicates p < 0.05 when compared with any other group.

**Figure 5.** Promotion of angiogenesis by CDC/hydrogel transplantation. (A) Representative confocal images showing α smooth muscle actin-positive vasculature in the hearts receiving various treatment products. (B) Quantitation of α smooth muscle actin-positive vasculature in various groups (n = 5 mice per group). * indicates p < 0.05 when compared with Control. ** indicates p < 0.05 when compared with any other group. Bar = 200 µm.

CDCs, which express multiple collagen-binding integrins (α1, α2, α3; Fig. 1A–C) as well as the receptor for hyaluronic acid (CD44; Fig. 1D), were found to be highly compatible with Hystem-C™ when incorporated within the hydrogel and cultured for up to one week. Cells loaded with viable and dead cell fluorescent indicators, allowed for the visualization of CDC morphology and the quantitative assessment of viability over time. CDCs adopted a spread morphology, typical of that seen in culture, in Hystem-C™ (Fig. 2B), while CDCs in Hystem™ (the base product without collagen; Fig. 2A) remained rounded. Furthermore, more than 80% of CDCs embedded in Hystem-C™ remained viable for one week, while more than 50% of CDCs embedded in Hystem™ were dead within the week (Fig. 2C). Additionally, in vitro migratory capacity of the CDCs was greatest when Hystem-C™ was the material from which they migrated, with Hystem™ acting no differently than culture media alone in terms of a migration platform. These data indicated that CDCs could survive embedded in Hystem-C™ short-term, for the amount of time it may take for the hydrogels to begin to biodegrade in vivo, and that Hystem-C™ as a delivery vehicle may in fact stimulate CDC migration into the surrounding myocardium in vivo at such a time when environmental cues are favorable.
adverse remodeling (chamber dilatation and infarct wall thinning) and a limited amount of viable mass were observed in the control group. The treatment groups showed significantly reduced degrees of adverse remodeling and significantly greater amounts of viable mass, with benefits increasing across the Hystem-C™ alone, CDCs in PBS and CDCs in Hystem-C™ groups. An analysis of CDC differentiation capacity revealed that delivery in Hystem-C™ did not impair their ability to form new cardiomyocytes or endothelial cells, that more differentiation occurred as a consequence of greater engraftment. An analysis of the angiogenic effect, one of several manifestations of the paracrine effects of CDC treatment, demonstrated that neovascularization was improved when Hystem-C™ was used for CDC delivery (Fig. 5). These data illustrated that Hystem-C™ as a delivery vehicle could in fact improve both short-term retention and long-term engraftment of CDCs in the setting of MI, and could also lead to improvements in treatment efficacy as assessed by cardiac function and cell activity in vivo. These data in total serve as compelling proof-of-concept for the CDC-hydrogel combination therapy.
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