Concerted epigenetic signatures inheritance at PcG targets through replication
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Polycomb group of proteins (PcG), by controlling gene silencing transcriptional programs through cell cycle, lock cell identity and memory. Recent chromatin genome-wide studies indicate that PcG targets sites are bivalent domains with overlapping repressive H3K27me3 and active H3K4me3 mark domains. During S phase, the stability of epigenetic signatures is challenged by the replication fork passage. Hence, specific mechanisms of epigenetic inheritance might be provided to preserve epigenome structures. Recently, we have identified a critical time window before replication, during which high levels of PcG binding and histone marks on BX-C PRE target sites set the stage for subsequent dilution of epigenomic components, allowing proper transmission of epigenetic signatures to the next generation. Here, we extended this analysis to promoter elements, showing the same mechanism of inheritance. Furthermore, to gain insight into the inheritance of PREs bivalent marks, we analyzed dynamics of H3K4me3 deposition, a mark that correlates with transcriptionally active chromatin. Likewise, we found an early S-phase enrichment of H3K4me3 mark preceding the replication-dependent dilution. This evidence suggests that all epigenetic marks are inherited simultaneously to ensure their correct propagation through replication and to protect the “bivalency” of PREs.

Introduction

Polycomb group of proteins (PcG) are critical regulators of development and cell differentiation that act through repression of gene transcription.1 They reside in two main complexes, termed Polycomb repressive complexes 1 and 2 (PRC1 and PRC2). PRC2 contains a histone methyl transferase activity (HMTase), which catalyzes histone H3 lysine 27 tri-methylation (H3K27me3).2-5 This chromatin mark is specifically recognized by PRC1 complex,2 which exerts several catalytic functions believed to be important for transcriptional repression.5,7 In Drosophila, PcG function is mediated by specialized epigenetic DNA modules called Polycomb response elements (PREs).4 Similarly, a few cis-elements with “PRE properties” were recently reported in mammals,9,10 although their identification on a large scale in that system remains to be completed. While PcG functions in transcriptional repression via histone methylation, and higher order structures formation1,11-14 has been extensively demonstrated, the mechanisms by which PcG-mediated signatures are inherited during cell cycle remain elusive. Studies in mammalian cells suggest that all three core components of PRC2 form a combined binding surface that can insert and recognize the H3K27me3 modification, thus generating a positive feedback loop that helps to propagate H3K27me3 mark through DNA replication.15,16 Taking into consideration that in Drosophila, chromatin histone proteins may be loaded on DNA not only during S phase,17 the ability of PcG proteins to bind their own mark, occurring during all phases of cell cycle and reinforcing the epigenetic repressed status of target genes, could partially explain the stability...
replication of the same regions. This in early S phase occurring before DNA replication. PREs are restricted to a brief interval and characteristic H3K27me3 histone mark deposition on repressed late replicating PREs are restricted to a brief interval in early S phase occurring before DNA replication of the same regions. This suggested a model in which the correct transmission of epigenetic information is achieved by preventing the replication-dependent dilution of epigenetic signatures on daughter strands. Interestingly, in such a model the PcG-dependent H3K27me3 mark would be inherited by dilution and not by de novo methylation occurring at the time of replication. Here, we performed cell cycle-dependent analysis of epigenetic signatures at the BX-C PcG-bound promoter, extending our model to another class of PcG targets. In line with our previous data, we found that PcG proteins and H3K27me3 mark are enriched at the repressed and late-replicating abdA promoter during early S phase and subsequently diluted, suggesting a common mechanism of inheritance for all PcG binding sites.

Further, increasing evidence suggests that Polycomb (PcG) and trithorax-group (TrxG) proteins with their associated histone modifications are critical for the plasticity of the pluripotent state, for the dynamic changes in gene expression that accompany cell differentiation and for subsequent maintenance of lineage-specific gene expression programs. Indeed, a feature of pluripotent cells is a high representation of genomic regions consisting of overlapping PcG-dependent repressive H3K27me3 and TrxG-dependent active H3K4me3 marks, termed bivalent domains. These play a key role in keeping developmental regulators “poised” for alternate fates. Upon cell differentiation, most bivalent promoters resolve to a “univalent” state. Induced genes become further enriched for H3K4me3 and lose H3K27me3, while many non-induced genes retain H3K27me3 but lose H3K4me3. Recent studies suggest that Polycomb binding sites, like bivalent domains, carry not only the repressive H3K27me3 modifications, but are also enriched for the activating, trxG-associated H3K4me3 mark. Studies in Drosophila confirm these findings, showing that repressive and active mark can co-exist on PcG target genes. Moreover, PcG and TrxG complexes colocalize and are dynamically bound to their target sites during embryogenesis.

Although accumulated evidences clarified some aspects of genome inheritance during replication, other features, such as the inheritance of bivalent domains during S phase, remain unexplored. To address this issue, we followed the H3K4me3 active mark at PREs through replication. We found that the low levels of H3K4me3 present at PREs show the same dynamics of enrichment before replication, indicating that all epigenetic signatures controlling the current PRE transcriptional state and its potential are inherited simultaneously.

Results and Discussion

PcG proteins and repressive mark H3K27me3 are enriched at abdA promoter before replication. In Drosophila, PcG complexes exert their function both at PREs and transcription start sites of their target genes. Combining data from replication timing analysis and ChIP assays, we recently reported that PcG complex’s engagement and histone repressive mark deposition is uncoupled from and precedes PREs replication. Here, we asked if this S-phase dynamic of epigenetic signatures also takes place on PcG-bound promoters. To this aim, we performed epigenetic analysis on the BX-C-repressed abdA promoter. To measure the replication timing, asynchronous S2 cells were labeled with bromodeoxyuridine triphosphate (BrdU) and FACS (fluorescence-activated cell sorting) sorted into two S-phase fractions representative of early and late S phase according to DNA content. BrdU-labeled DNA was immunoprecipitated from these S-phase fractions to enrich for genomic sequences that replicate during the labeling period. Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) with primers specific for abdA promoter and control regions was performed to measure the relative amount of analyzed regions. Ratios between the amounts of amplified products in early and late S phase showed that abdA promoter replicates during late S phase (Fig. 1A). We confirmed this result on early and late S-phase fractions of S2 cells collected after HU synchronization (data not shown). We then performed chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) in HU synchronized S2 cells to measure the occupancy of PcG proteins on the abdA promoter during S phase. Chromatin collected from G1/S, early and late S phase (ES and LS, respectively) was immunoprecipitated with antibodies against PHO, PC and Ez (Fig. 1B), which are members of PhoRC, PRC1 and PRC2 complexes, respectively. As observed for BX-C PREs, we found that the amount of PcG proteins bound on abdA promoter varied over S-phase progression, following the same dynamics. In particular, we observed an increase in early S phase followed by a drop in PcG binding in late S phase, returning to G1/S basal levels. To analyze PcG-dependent HMTase function on chromatin, we measured the levels of histone lysine methylation during S phase with antibodies that recognize total H3 and H3K27me3. As expected, the ratio between H3K27me3 and H3 peaked in early S phase (Fig. 1B, reviewed in ref. 18) following PcG protein loading onto PREs, ensuring the correct epigenetic signatures propagation through replication.

Inheritance of bivalent domains through replication. In Drosophila, genome-wide ChIP-seq and transcriptional analysis, in parallel with the detection of transcription start sites (TSS), revealed new features of Polycomb distribution along the Drosophila genome. One particularly clear feature is that Polycomb often targets TSSs with a stalled RNAPolII. These sites are also enriched in H3K4me1/me2, and these specific signatures at TSSs might serve transcriptional pausing of key developmental genes. Although the H3K27me3 and H3K4me3 marks do not generally coexist in Drosophila, these transcriptionally paused promoters could be functionally considered as the fly analogs of the “bivalent domains” in mammals, which represent...
Conservation of the epigenetic structures through DNA replication and is necessary for the inheritance of the epigenome.

Materials and Methods

Culture cell growth. Drosophila embryonic S2 cells were grown at 25°C in serum-free insect culture medium (HyQ SFX; Hyclone).

Replication timing analysis and chromatin immunoprecipitation. The replication timing analysis and ChIP were performed as previously described in reference 18. Primer sequences: CG3436-f 5’-ATC GCT AAC AGC CAT GTC GG-3’, CG3436-r 5’-CTT ACC GAT TCA AGG AGC GC-3’; Grim-f 5’-TTC CCG AGT CTC TCA CCG C-3’, Grim-r 5’-ACA GGA ACC CAC ACC ACT GAC-3’; abdApr-f 5’-TTG AGT CAG GGA GTG AGC C-3’, abdApr-r 5’-CGC TTT GAG TCG TTG GAG AC-3’.

Antibodies. Antibodies against PC were kindly provided by R. Paro and antibodies against Pho and E(z) by J. Muller. Commercial rabbit polyclonal antibodies against methylated Lysine 27

Conclusion

Our findings determine the early S phase as the critical time point for the Polycomb cell memory system integrating recent observations. We suggest that PC complex’s binding and enrichment for all repressive and active histone marks that determine the “epigenetic bivalency” of PC bound elements are uncoupled from and precede PC targets replication, when epigenetic signatures are redistributed on daughter strands. This time-dependent dynamics would allow the local conservation of the epigenetic structures through DNA replication and is necessary for the inheritance of the epigenome.

Materials and Methods

Culture cell growth. Drosophila embryonic S2 cells were grown at 25°C in serum-free insect culture medium (HyQ SFX; Hyclone).

Replication timing analysis and chromatin immunoprecipitation. The replication timing analysis and ChIP were performed as previously described in reference 18. Primer sequences: CG3436-f 5’-ATC GCT AAC AGC CAT GTC GG-3’, CG3436-r 5’-CTT ACC GAT TCA AGG AGC GC-3’; Grim-f 5’-TTC CCG AGT CTC TCA CCG C-3’, Grim-r 5’-ACA GGA ACC CAC ACC ACT GAC-3’; abdApr-f 5’-TTG AGT CAG GGA GTG AGC C-3’, abdApr-r 5’-CGC TTT GAG TCG TTG GAG AC-3’.

Antibodies. Antibodies against PC were kindly provided by R. Paro and antibodies against Pho and E(z) by J. Muller. Commercial rabbit polyclonal antibodies against methylated Lysine 27
of histone H3 (Upstate, 07-449), methylated Lysine 9 of histone H3 (Abcam, ab8898), methylated Lysine 4 of histone H3 (Abcam, ab8580) and histone H3 (Abcam, ab1791) were used.
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