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Due to the importance of Yosida approximation operator, we generalized the variational inequality problem and its equivalent problems by using Yosida approximation operator. The aim of this work is to introduce and study a Yosida complementarity problem, a Yosida variational inequality problem, and a Yosida proximal operator equation involving XOR-operation. We prove an existence result together with convergence analysis for Yosida proximal operator equation involving XOR-operation. For this purpose, we establish an algorithm based on fixed point formulation. Our approach is based on a proximal operator technique involving a subdifferential operator. As an application of our main result, we provide a numerical example using the MATLAB program R2018a. Comparing different iterations, a computational table is assembled and some graphs are plotted to show the convergence of iterative sequences for different initial values.

1. Introduction

Stampacchia [1] and Ficchera [2] originated the study of variational inequalities, separately. Variational inequalities are mathematical models for many problems occurring in physics, engineering sciences, transportation planning, financial problems, and in many industrial strategies, etc. (see, for example, [3–11]). In 1968, Cottle and Dantzig [12] proposed linear complementarity problem which appear continually in computational mechanics. It is interesting to note that finding the solution of linear complementarity problem is associated with minimizing some quadratic function. However, in 1964, Cottle [13] in his Ph. D thesis introduced nonlinear complementarity problem which is closely related to Hartman and Stampacchia variational inequality problem. The proximal operator technique is useful to establish equivalence between variational inequalities and proximal operator equations. The proximal operator equation approach is used to solve variational inequalities and related optimization problems.

XOR is a logical operation and represents the inequality function, that is, the output is true if the inputs are not alike; otherwise, the output is false. An easy way to remember XOR is "must have one or the other but not both." It is important to note that XOR does not leak information about the original plain text. The inner XOR is the encryption and the outer XOR is the decryption, that is, the exact XOR function can be used for both encryption and decryption. Consider a string of binary digits 10101 and XOR the string 10111 with it to get 00010. That is, the original string is encoded and the second string becomes key; if we XOR our key with our encoded string, we get our original string back. XOR allows
to easily encrypt and decrypt a string; the other logical operations do not.

The possible strategy of solving stochastic notion of multivalued differential equation in finite dimensional space is based on Yosida approximation approach. The existence of multivalued stochastic differential equation in finite dimensional space with a time-independent, deterministic maximal monotone operator through Yosida approximation approach was first discussed by Petterson [14]. Yosida approximation operators are used to solve wave equations, heat equations, etc. For more details and recent past developments about complementarity problems, variational inequalities, proximal operator equations, Yosida approximation operator, and related topics, we refer to [15–28] and references therein.

Motivated by all the above discussed concepts, in this paper, we consider and study a Yosida complementarity problem, a Yosida variational inequality problem, and a Yosida proximal operator equation involving XOR-operation. Some equivalence results are proved. To obtain the solution of Yosida proximal operator equation involving XOR-operation, we define an algorithm based on fixed point formulation. Convergence criteria are also discussed. In support of our main result, an example is provided using MATLAB program R2018a. A comparison of different iterations is assembled in the form of a computational table, and the convergence of the iterative sequences is shown by some graphs for different initial values.

2. Preliminaries and Basic Results

We suppose that $H$ is a real ordered positive Hilbert Space with its norm $\| \cdot \|$ and inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, $\mathcal{C} \subseteq H$ is a closed convex pointed cone, $d$ is the metric induced by the norm $\| \cdot \|$, $CB(H)$ is the family of nonempty, closed, and bounded subsets of $H$, and $D(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the Hausdorff metric on $CB(H)$.

The following definitions, concepts, and results are required for the presentation of this paper.

Definition 1. A convex cone is a subset of a vector space over an ordered field that is closed under linear combinations with positive coefficients.

Definition 2. Two elements $x$ and $y$ of a set $X$ are said to be comparable with respect to a binary operation $\leq$, if at least one of $x \leq y$ or $y \leq x$ is true. Comparable elements $x$ and $y$ are denoted by $x \preceq y$.

Definition 3. A partial order is any binary relation which is reflexive, antisymmetric, and transitive.

Definition 4. Suppose $\text{lub}\{x, y\}$ and $\text{glb}\{x, y\}$ for the set $\{x, y\}$ exist; then, XOR and XNOR operations denoted by $\oplus$ and $\circledcirc$ are defined as follows:

(i) $x \oplus y = (x - y) \lor (y - x)
(ii) x \circledcirc y = (x - y) \land (y - x)$, where $x \lor y = \text{lub}\{x, y\}$,

$x \land y = \text{glb}\{x, y\}$, lub means the least upper bound,

and glb means the greatest lower bound.

Proposition 1 (see [29]). Let $\oplus$ be an XOR-operation and $\circledcirc$ be an XNOR operation. Then, the following axioms are true:

(i) $x \circledcirc y = 0, x \circledcirc y = y \circledcirc x = -(x \circledcirc y) = -y \circledcirc x$

(ii) $x \circledcirc x = 0, x \circledcirc y = y \circledcirc x, 0 \leq x \circledcirc y$

(iii) $x \circledcirc 0 = x$

(iv) $0 \leq x \circledcirc y$, if $x \preceq y$

(v) If $x \preceq y$, then $x \circledcirc y = 0$ if and only if $x = y$

(vi) $\|0 \circledcirc 0\| = 0$

(vii) $\|x \circledcirc y\| \leq \|x - y\|

(viii) If $x \preceq y$, then $\|x \circledcirc y\| = \|x - y\|

Definition 5. Let $N: H \times H \times H \rightarrow H$ be a single-valued mapping and $A: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow CB(H)$ be a multivalued mapping. Then

(i) $N$ is said to be Lipschitz continuous in the first argument if there exists a constant $\lambda_{N_{1}} > 0$ such that

$$\forall x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathcal{C}, u_{1} \in A(x_{1}) \text{ and } u_{2} \in A(x_{2}),$$

$$\|N(u_{1}, \cdot, \cdot) - N(u_{2}, \cdot, \cdot)\| \leq \lambda_{N_{1}} \|u_{1} - u_{2}\|,$$

(ii) $N$ is said to be Lipschitz continuous in the second argument if there exists a constant $\lambda_{N_{2}} > 0$ such that

$$\forall x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathcal{C}, u_{1} \in A(x_{1}) \text{ and } u_{2} \in A(x_{2}),$$

$$\|N(\cdot, u_{1}, \cdot) - N(\cdot, u_{2}, \cdot)\| \leq \lambda_{N_{2}} \|u_{1} - u_{2}\|.$$
**Definition 6.** A multivalued mapping $A : C \rightarrow CB(H)$ is said to be $D$-Lipschitz continuous if for any $x, y \in C$, there exists a constant $\lambda_{D_x} > 0$ such that

$$D(A(x), A(y)) \leq \lambda_{D_x} \|x - y\|. \quad (3)$$

**Definition 7 (see [30]).** Let $\psi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a proper convex functional. A vector $w \in H$ is called subgradient of $\psi$ at $x \in dom \psi$, if

$$\langle w, y - x \rangle \leq \psi(y) - \psi(x), \quad \forall y \in H. \quad (4)$$

The set of all subgradients of $\psi$ at $x$ is denoted by $\partial \psi(x)$. The mapping $\partial \psi : H \rightarrow 2^H$ defined by

$$\partial \psi(x) = \{w \in H : \langle w, y - x \rangle \leq \psi(y) - \psi(x), \forall y \in H\} \quad (5)$$

is called subdifferential of $\psi$.

**Definition 8.** Let $P : C \rightarrow C$ be a mapping and $\psi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a proper convex functional. The proximal operator $J^{\psi}_{\rho} : C \rightarrow C$ is defined by

$$J^{\psi}_{\rho}(x) = [P + \rho \partial \psi]^{-1}(x), \quad \forall x \in C, \quad (6)$$

where $\rho > 0$ is a constant.

**Definition 9.** The Yosida approximation operator of $\psi$ is defined by

$$Y^{\psi}_{\rho}(x) = \frac{1}{\rho} [I - J^{\psi}_{\rho}]^{-1}(x), \quad \forall x \in C, \quad (7)$$

where $\rho > 0$ is a constant.

Furthermore, we prove some propositions related to proximal operator and Yosida approximation operator.

**Proposition 2.** Let $P : C \rightarrow C$ and $\psi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be linear mappings, then the proximal operator $J^{\psi}_{\rho}$ is linear. That is

$$\alpha J^{\psi}_{\rho}(x) = J^{\psi}_{\rho}(\alpha x), \quad (8)$$

provided

$$\left(J^{\psi}_{\rho}\right)^{-1}(J^{\psi}_{\rho}(x)) = \left(J^{\psi}_{\rho}\right)^{-1}(J^{\psi}_{\rho}(x)) = x, \quad \forall x \in C \text{ and } \alpha > 0. \quad (9)$$

**Proof.** Using the definition of $J^{\psi}_{\rho}$, linearity of $P$ and $\psi$, and Theorem 1.48 and Theorem 1.49 of [31], we have...

$$a J^{\psi}_{\rho}(x) = J^{\psi}_{\rho}(J^{\psi}_{\rho}(a J^{\psi}_{\rho}(x))), \quad (10)$$

$$= J^{\psi}_{\rho}(P + \rho \partial \psi)[J^{\psi}_{\rho}(a J^{\psi}_{\rho}(x))], \quad (11)$$

$$= J^{\psi}_{\rho}(P + \rho \partial \psi)(J^{\psi}_{\rho}(a J^{\psi}_{\rho}(x))), \quad (12)$$

$$= J^{\psi}_{\rho}(P + \rho \partial \psi)(a J^{\psi}_{\rho}(x)), \quad (13)$$

$$= J^{\psi}_{\rho}(a J^{\psi}_{\rho}(x)), \quad (14)$$

### Proposition 3. The Yosida approximation operator $Y^{\psi}_{\rho} : C \rightarrow C$ is linear, that is,

$$Y^{\psi}_{\rho}(ax) = a Y^{\psi}_{\rho}(x), \quad \forall x \in C. \quad (15)$$

**Proof.** Using the definition of $Y^{\psi}_{\rho}$ and Proposition 2, we have...

$$Y^{\psi}_{\rho}(ax) = \frac{1}{\rho} [I - J^{\psi}_{\rho}]^{-1}(ax) \quad (16)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\rho} \left[a J^{\psi}_{\rho}(x) - J^{\psi}_{\rho}(ax)\right] \quad (17)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\rho} \left[a J^{\psi}_{\rho}(x) - a J^{\psi}_{\rho}(x)\right] \quad (18)$$

$$= a Y^{\psi}_{\rho}(x), \quad \forall x \in C. \quad (19)$$

### Proposition 4. The proximal operator $J^{\psi}_{\rho} : C \rightarrow C$ is Lipschitz continuous, provided $P : C \rightarrow C$ is strongly monotone with respect to $J^{\psi}_{\rho}$, with constant $\mu > 0$, $\psi$ is strongly convex with modulus $\lambda > 0$, and $J^{\psi}_{\rho}$ is strongly monotone with constant $\sigma > 0$, where $\sigma = 2\lambda$.

**Proof.** Let $x, y \in C$, then...

$$J^{\psi}_{\rho}(x) = [P + \rho \partial \psi]^{-1}(x), \quad (20)$$

$$J^{\psi}_{\rho}(y) = [P + \rho \partial \psi]^{-1}(y). \quad (21)$$

The proof follows from the properties of the proximal operator and the Yosida approximation operator.
Thus,
\[
\frac{1}{\rho} [x - P(f^\psi_{\rho}(x))] \in \partial \psi(f^\psi_{\rho}(x)), \\
\frac{1}{\rho} [y - P(f^\psi_{\rho}(y))] \in \partial \psi(f^\psi_{\rho}(y)).
\]  \hspace{1cm} (15)

As \( \psi \) is strongly convex with modulus \( \lambda > 0 \), then the proximal operator \( f^\psi_{\rho} \) is strongly monotone with constant \( \sigma > 0 \), where \( \sigma = 2\lambda \) (see [31]). Therefore,
\[
\|f^\psi_{\rho}(x) - f^\psi_{\rho}(y)\| \leq \frac{1}{\rho} \left( \|x - y\|^2 - \sigma \|f^\psi_{\rho}(x) - f^\psi_{\rho}(y)\|^2 \right). \\
\]  \hspace{1cm} (16)

Since \( P \) is strongly monotone with respect to \( f^\psi_{\rho} \) with constant \( \mu > 0 \), we have
\[
\|f^\psi_{\rho}(x) - f^\psi_{\rho}(y)\| \leq \frac{1}{\rho} \left( \|x - y\|^2 - \mu \|f^\psi_{\rho}(x) - f^\psi_{\rho}(y)\|^2 \right). \\
\]  \hspace{1cm} (17)

which implies that
\[
\|f^\psi_{\rho}(x) - f^\psi_{\rho}(y)\| \leq \theta \|x - y\|, \quad \text{where} \quad \theta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma \rho + \mu}}. \\
\]  \hspace{1cm} (18)

That is, \( f^\psi_{\rho} \) is Lipschitz continuous.

**Proposition 5.** The Yosida approximation operator is strongly monotone if all the conditions of Proposition 4 hold.

**Proof.** Using the Lipschitz continuity of proximal operator \( f^\psi_{\rho} \), we have
\[
\langle Y^\psi_{\rho}(x) - Y^\psi_{\rho}(y), x - y \rangle = \frac{1}{\rho} \left[ \langle I - f^\psi_{\rho} \rangle (x) - \langle I - f^\psi_{\rho} \rangle (y), x - y \rangle \right] \\
= \frac{1}{\rho} \left[ \langle x - y, x - y \rangle - \langle f^\psi_{\rho}(x) - f^\psi_{\rho}(y), x - y \rangle \right] \\
\geq \frac{1}{\rho} \left[ \|x - y\|^2 - \|f^\psi_{\rho}(x) - f^\psi_{\rho}(y)\|^2 \right] \\
\geq \frac{1}{\rho} \left[ \|x - y\|^2 - \theta \|x - y\|^2 \right] \\
= \left( \frac{1 - \theta}{\rho} \right) \|x - y\|^2, \quad \text{where} \quad \delta_y = \left( \frac{1 - \theta}{\rho} \right). \\
\]  \hspace{1cm} (19)

3. **Description of the Problems and Equivalence Lemmas**

Let \( H \) be a real ordered positive Hilbert space and \( \mathcal{C} \subset H \) be a closed convex pointed cone. Let \( A, B, C : \mathcal{C} \to \overline{CB}(H) \) be the multivalued mappings and \( N : H \times H \to H \) be a single-valued mapping. Suppose \( \psi : \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\} \) is a proper, convex functional and \( Y^\psi_{\rho} : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C} \) is the Yosida approximation operator. We consider the following Yosida complementarity problem involving XOR-operation.
Find $x \in \mathcal{C}, u \in A(x), v \in B(x), w \in C(x)$ such that
\[
\langle N(u, v, w), Y_{\rho}^{\text{Y}}(x) \rangle \oplus \psi(Y_{\rho}^{\text{Y}}(x)) = 0,
\]
(21)
\[
\langle N(u, v, w), Y_{\rho}^{\text{Y}}(y) \rangle \oplus \psi(Y_{\rho}^{\text{Y}}(y)) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in \mathcal{C}.
\]

From problem (21), one can easily obtain the complementarity problems studied by Huang et al. [32], Yin and Xu [33], Flores-Bazán and López [34], Isac [35, 36] and Farajzadeh and Harandi [37], etc.

In connection with Yosida complementarity problem involving XOR-operation (21), we mention the following Yosida variational inequality problem involving XOR-operation.

Find $x \in \mathcal{C}, u \in A(x), v \in B(x), w \in C(x)$ such that
\[
\langle N(u, v, w), Y_{\rho}^{\text{Y}}(y) - Y_{\rho}^{\text{Y}}(x) \rangle \oplus \psi(Y_{\rho}^{\text{Y}}(y) - \psi(Y_{\rho}^{\text{Y}}(x))) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in \mathcal{C}.
\]
(22)

In acquaintance with Yosida variational inequality problem involving XOR-operation (22), we mention the following Yosida proximal operator equation involving XOR-operation.

\[
\langle N(u, v, w), Y_{\rho}^{\text{Y}}(x) \rangle \oplus \psi(Y_{\rho}^{\text{Y}}(x)) = 0,
\]
\[
\langle N(u, v, w), Y_{\rho}^{\text{Y}}(y) \rangle \oplus \psi(Y_{\rho}^{\text{Y}}(y)) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in \mathcal{C}.
\]
(23)

Since $\langle N(u, v, w), Y_{\rho}^{\text{Y}}(x) \rangle \propto \psi(Y_{\rho}^{\text{Y}}(x))$, using (v) of Proposition 1, we have
\[
\langle N(u, v, w), Y_{\rho}^{\text{Y}}(x) \rangle = \psi(Y_{\rho}^{\text{Y}}(x)).
\]
(24)

Also, $\langle N(u, v, w), Y_{\rho}^{\text{Y}}(y) \rangle \oplus \psi(Y_{\rho}^{\text{Y}}(y)) \geq 0$, we have
\[
\langle N(u, v, w), Y_{\rho}^{\text{Y}}(y) \rangle \oplus \psi(Y_{\rho}^{\text{Y}}(y)) \geq \psi(Y_{\rho}^{\text{Y}}(y)).
\]
(25)

By (ii) and (iii) of Proposition 1, we have
\[
\langle N(u, v, w), Y_{\rho}^{\text{Y}}(y) - Y_{\rho}^{\text{Y}}(x) \rangle \geq \psi(Y_{\rho}^{\text{Y}}(y)) - \psi(Y_{\rho}^{\text{Y}}(x)),
\]
\[
\langle N(u, v, w), Y_{\rho}^{\text{Y}}(y) - Y_{\rho}^{\text{Y}}(x) \rangle \oplus \psi(Y_{\rho}^{\text{Y}}(y)) - \psi(Y_{\rho}^{\text{Y}}(x)) \geq 0.
\]
(26)

Applying (25) and (27), (28) becomes
\[
\langle N(u, v, w), Y_{\rho}^{\text{Y}}(y) - Y_{\rho}^{\text{Y}}(x) \rangle \oplus \psi(Y_{\rho}^{\text{Y}}(y)) - \psi(Y_{\rho}^{\text{Y}}(x)) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in \mathcal{C}.
\]
(29)

which is the Yosida variational inequality problem involving XOR-operation (22).

On the other hand, let the Yosida variational inequality problem (22) holds. That is, $x \in \mathcal{C}, u \in A(x), v \in B(x), w \in C(x)$ such that
\[
\langle N(u, v, w), Y_{\rho}^{\text{Y}}(y) - Y_{\rho}^{\text{Y}}(x) \rangle \oplus \psi(Y_{\rho}^{\text{Y}}(y)) - \psi(Y_{\rho}^{\text{Y}}(x)) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in \mathcal{C}.
\]
(30)
As \( C \) is a closed convex pointed cone, \( y = 2x \in C \) as well as \( y = (1/2)x \in C \). Putting \( y = 2x \) and \( y = (1/2)x \) and using linearity of \( \psi \) and Proposition 3, we have

\[
\langle N(u,v,w), Y_{\rho}^{2y}(2x) - Y_{\rho}^{2y}(x) \rangle \oplus \langle Y_{\rho}^{2y}(2x) - Y_{\rho}^{2y}(x) \rangle \geq 0
\]

\[
\langle N(u,v,w), 2Y_{\rho}^{2y}(x) - Y_{\rho}^{2y}(x) \rangle \oplus \langle 2Y_{\rho}^{2y}(x) - Y_{\rho}^{2y}(x) \rangle \geq 0
\]

\[
\langle N(u,v,w), Y_{\rho}^{2y}(x) \rangle \oplus \langle 2\psi(Y_{\rho}^{2y}(x)) - \psi(Y_{\rho}^{2y}(x)) \rangle \geq 0
\]

\[
\langle N(u,v,w), Y_{\rho}^{2y}(x) \rangle \oplus \psi(Y_{\rho}^{2y}(x)) \geq 0,
\]

(31)

Adding (31) and (32), we have

\[
\langle N(u,v,w), Y_{\rho}^{2y}(x) \rangle \oplus \psi(Y_{\rho}^{2y}(x)) = 0.
\]

(33)

Since

\[
\langle N(u,v,w), Y_{\rho}^{2y}(y) - Y_{\rho}^{2y}(x) \rangle \oplus \langle \psi(Y_{\rho}^{2y}(y)) - \psi(Y_{\rho}^{2y}(x)) \rangle \geq 0,
\]

we have

\[
\langle N(u,v,w), Y_{\rho}^{2y}(y) \rangle - \langle N(u,v,w), Y_{\rho}^{2y}(x) \rangle \geq \psi(Y_{\rho}^{2y}(y)) - \psi(Y_{\rho}^{2y}(x)).
\]

(34)

Using (25), from the above inequality, we have

\[
\langle N(u,v,w), Y_{\rho}^{2y}(y) \rangle - \psi(Y_{\rho}^{2y}(x)) \geq \psi(Y_{\rho}^{2y}(y)) - \psi(Y_{\rho}^{2y}(x)),
\]

(36)

it follows that

\[
\langle N(u,v,w), Y_{\rho}^{2y}(y) \rangle \geq \psi(Y_{\rho}^{2y}(y)).
\]

(37)

Using (ii) of Proposition 1, we have

\[
\langle N(u,v,w), Y_{\rho}^{2y}(y) \rangle \oplus \psi(Y_{\rho}^{2y}(y)) \geq 0
\]

\[
\langle N(u,v,w), Y_{\rho}^{2y}(y) \rangle \oplus \psi(Y_{\rho}^{2y}(y)) \geq 0
\]

\[
\langle N(u,v,w), Y_{\rho}^{2y}(y) \rangle \oplus \psi(Y_{\rho}^{2y}(y)) \geq 0.
\]

(38)

(39)

Combination of (33) and (39) is the required Yosida complementarity problem involving XOR-operation (21).

\( \square \)

The following Lemma guarantees the equivalence between the Yosida variational inequality problem involving XOR-operation (22) and a fixed point equation.

**Lemma 2.** Let \( P: C \longrightarrow C \) be a mapping, then the Yosida variational inequality problem involving XOR-operation (22) has a solution \( x \in C, u \in A(x), v \in B(x), w \in C(x) \), if and only if it satisfies the equation:

\[
Y_{\rho}^{2y}(x) = Y_{\rho}^{2y}[P(Y_{\rho}^{2y}(x)) + \rho N(u,v,w)],
\]

(40)

where \( \rho > 0 \) is a constant.

**Proof.** Let \( x \in C, u \in A(x), v \in B(x), w \in C(x) \) satisfy equation (40), that is,

\[
Y_{\rho}^{2y}(x) = Y_{\rho}^{2y}[P(Y_{\rho}^{2y}(x)) + \rho N(u,v,w)].
\]

(41)

Using the definition of the proximal operator \( P_{\rho}^{y} \) and from the above equation, we have
\[ Y_{\rho}^{\psi}(x) = [P + \rho \nabla \psi]^{-1} \left[ P(Y_{\rho}^{\psi}(x)) + \rho N(u, v, w) \right] \]

\[ P(Y_{\rho}^{\psi}(x)) + \rho \nabla \psi(Y_{\rho}^{\psi}(x)) = P(Y_{\rho}^{\psi}(x)) + \rho N(u, v, w) \quad \text{(that is,)} \]

\[ N(u, v, w) \in \partial \psi(Y_{\rho}^{\psi}(x)). \quad \text{(which gives us)} \]

Applying the definition of subdifferential operator, the above inclusion holds if and only if

\[ \psi(Y_{\rho}^{\psi}(y)) - \psi(Y_{\rho}^{\psi}(x)) \geq \langle N(u, v, w), \psi(Y_{\rho}^{\psi}(y)) - \psi(Y_{\rho}^{\psi}(x)) \rangle. \]

(43)

It follows that

\[ \langle N(u, v, w), Y_{\rho}^{\psi}(y) - Y_{\rho}^{\psi}(x) \rangle + \left( \psi(Y_{\rho}^{\psi}(y)) - \psi(Y_{\rho}^{\psi}(x)) \right) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in \mathcal{C}, \]

(45)

which is the required Yosida variational inequality problem involving XOR-operation (22).

The Lemma mentioned below ensures the equivalence between the Yosida variational inequality problem involving XOR-operation (22) and the Yosida proximal operator equation involving XOR-operation (23).

**Lemma 3.** Suppose \( N(u, v, w) \cap R_{\rho}^{\psi}(z) \) and \( P: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \) is a one-one mapping. Then \( x \in \mathcal{C}, u \in A(x), v \in B(x), w \in C(x) \) is the solution of the Yosida variational inequality problem involving XOR-operation (22) if and only if \( x, z \in \mathcal{C}, u \in A(x), v \in B(x), w \in C(x) \) satisfy the Yosida proximal operator equation involving XOR-operation (23), where \( R_{\rho}^{\psi} = [I - P(f_{\rho}^{\psi})] \), in which \( f_{\rho}^{\psi} \) is the proximal operator and \( P(f_{\rho}^{\psi}(z)) = P(f_{\rho}^{\psi}(z)). \)

**Proof.** Let \( x \in \mathcal{C}, u \in A(x), v \in B(x), w \in C(x) \) be the solution of the Yosida variational inequality problem involving XOR-operation (22). Then by Lemma 2, it satisfies the equation:

\[ Y_{\rho}^{\psi}(x) = f_{\rho}^{\psi} \left[ P(Y_{\rho}^{\psi}(x)) + \rho N(u, v, w) \right]. \]

(46)

Let \( z = P(Y_{\rho}^{\psi}(x)) + \rho N(u, v, w) \), then

\[ \rho N(u, v, w) = R_{\rho}^{\psi}(z) = [I - P(f_{\rho}^{\psi})](z) \]

\[ = z - P(f_{\rho}^{\psi})(z) \]

\[ = P(Y_{\rho}^{\psi}(x)) + \rho N(u, v, w) - P(f_{\rho}^{\psi}(P(Y_{\rho}^{\psi}(x)) + \rho N(u, v, w))). \]

(51)

Using (ii) of Proposition 1, we have

\[ Y_{\rho}^{\psi}(x) = f_{\rho}^{\psi} (z), \]

As \( z = P(f_{\rho}^{\psi}(z)) + \rho N(u, v, w) \)

\[ z - P(f_{\rho}^{\psi}(z)) = \rho N(u, v, w) \]

\[ (I - P(f_{\rho}^{\psi}))(z) = \rho N(u, v, w) \]

\[ R_{\rho}^{\psi}(z) = \rho N(u, v, w) \]

\[ \rho^{-1} R_{\rho}^{\psi}(z) = N(u, v, w), \text{ where } P(f_{\rho}^{\psi}(z)) = P(f_{\rho}^{\psi}(z)). \]

(47)

Using (ii) of Proposition 1, we have

\[ N(u, v, w) \oplus \rho^{-1} R_{\rho}^{\psi}(z) = N(u, v, w) \oplus N(u, v, w) = 0. \]

(48)

Thus, we have

\[ N(u, v, w) \oplus \rho^{-1} R_{\rho}^{\psi}(z) = 0, \]

which is the required Yosida proximal operator equation involving XOR-operation (23).

Conversely, let \( x, z \in \mathcal{C}, u \in A(x), v \in B(x), w \in C(x) \) be the solution of Yosida proximal operator equation involving XOR-operation (23).

That is, we have

\[ N(u, v, w) \oplus \rho^{-1} R_{\rho}^{\psi}(z) = 0. \]

(50)

Using (v) of Proposition 1, definition of \( R_{\rho}^{\psi} \) and comparability of \( N(u, v, w) \) with \( R_{\rho}^{\psi}(z) \), we obtain
From above, we have
\[ P(Y^\psi_\rho (x)) = P\left( f^\psi_\rho \left( P\left( Y^\psi_\rho (x) \right) + \rho N(u, v, w) \right) \right). \]  \hfill (52)

Since \( P \) is a one-one mapping, we obtain
\[ Y^\psi_\rho (x) = f^\psi_\rho \left[ P\left( Y^\psi_\rho (x) \right) + \rho N(u, v, w) \right]. \]  \hfill (53)

Applying Lemma 2, we conclude that \( x \in \mathcal{C}, u \in A(x), v \in B(x), w \in C(x) \) is the solution of Yosida variational inequality problem involving XOR-operation (22).

\[ \square \]

4. Algorithm and Existence Results

Invoking Lemmas 2 and 3, we suggest the following algorithm for solving Yosida proximal operator equation involving XOR-operation (23).

**Algorithm 1.** For any \( x_0, z_0 \in \mathcal{C}, u_0 \in A(x_0), v_0 \in B(x_0), w_0 \in C(x_0) \), we let
\[ z_1 = P\left( Y^\psi_\rho (x_0) \right) + \rho N(u_0, v_0, w_0). \]  \hfill (54)

Take any \( x_1 \in \mathcal{C} \) such that
\[ Y^\psi_\rho (x_1) = f^\psi_\rho (z_1), \]
\[ u_n \in A(x_n), u_{n+1} \in A(x_{n+1}) \text{ such that } u_n \preceq u_{n+1}, \]
\[ \| u_n \otimes u_{n+1} \| = \| u_n - u_{n+1} \| \leq \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n+1} \right) \| A\left( Y^\psi_\rho (x_n) \right), A\left( Y^\psi_\rho (x_{n+1}) \right) \|, \]
\[ v_n \in B(x_n), v_{n+1} \in B(x_{n+1}) \text{ such that } v_n \preceq v_{n+1}, \]
\[ \| v_n \otimes v_{n+1} \| = \| v_n - v_{n+1} \| \leq \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n+1} \right) \| B\left( Y^\psi_\rho (x_n) \right), B\left( Y^\psi_\rho (x_{n+1}) \right) \|, \]
\[ w_n \in C(x_n), w_{n+1} \in C(x_{n+1}) \text{ such that } w_n \preceq w_{n+1}, \]
\[ \| w_n \otimes w_{n+1} \| = \| w_n - w_{n+1} \| \leq \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n+1} \right) \| C\left( Y^\psi_\rho (x_n) \right), C\left( Y^\psi_\rho (x_{n+1}) \right) \|, \]
\[ z_{n+1} = P\left( Y^\psi_\rho (x_n) \right) + \rho N(u_n, v_n, w_n), \]

where \( \rho > 0 \) is a constant and \( n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots \).

**Theorem 1.** Let \( H \) be a real ordered positive Hilbert Space and \( \mathcal{C} \subseteq H \) be a closed convex pointed cone. Let \( A, B, C : \mathcal{C} \to CB(H) \) be the D-Lipschitz continuous mappings with constants \( \lambda_{DA}, \lambda_{DB}, \lambda_{DC} \), respectively. Let \( N : H \times H \to H \) be a single-valued mapping such that \( N \) is Lipschitz continuous in first, second, and third arguments with constants \( \lambda_N, \lambda_{DN}, \) and \( \lambda_{DN} \), respectively. Let \( Y^\psi_\rho : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C} \) be the Yosida approximation operator such that \( Y^\psi_\rho \) is strongly monotone with constant \( \delta_\rho \) and \( f^\psi_\rho : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C} \) be the proximal operator such that \( f^\psi_\rho \) is Lipschitz continuous with constant \( \theta \). Suppose \( P : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C} \) be a Lipschitz continuous mapping with constant \( \lambda_P \), strongly monotone with respect to \( f^\psi_\rho \) with constant \( \mu \) and \( \psi : H \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{ +\infty \} \) be a strongly convex, subdifferentiable, proper functional satisfying \( Y^\psi_\rho (x) \in dom(\partial \psi) \). Suppose that \( z_{n+1} \preceq z_n \) for \( n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \) and if the following condition is satisfied:
\[ \theta < 2\delta_\rho, \xi(\theta) = \frac{1 - \lambda_P \theta}{\rho \theta}, \text{ where } \xi(\theta) \]
\[ \lambda_N, \lambda_{DN}, \lambda_{DN}, \lambda_{DN} \text{ and } \theta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho \delta + \mu}} \]
then there exists \( x, z \in \mathcal{C}, u \in A(x), v \in B(x), w \in C(x) \) satisfying the Yosida proximal operator equation involving XOR-operation (23) and the sequences \( \{ x_n \}, \{ z_n \}, \{ u_n \}, \{ v_n \} \).
and \( \{w_n\} \) generated by Algorithm 1 converge strongly to \( x, z, u, v, \) and \( w \), respectively.

Proof: Using (x) of Algorithm 1 and (ii) of Proposition 1, we have

\[
0 \leq z_{n+1} \oplus z_n = [P(Y^\rho_\varphi(x_n)) + \rho N(u_n, v_n, w_n)] \oplus [P(Y^\rho_\varphi(x_n)) + \rho N(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}, w_{n-1})]\
\]

\[
= [P(Y^\rho_\varphi(x_n)) \oplus P(Y^\rho_\varphi(x_{n-1}))] + \rho [N(u_n, v_n, w_n) \oplus N(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}, w_{n-1})].\
\]

It follows from (60) that

\[
\|z_{n+1} \oplus z_n\| \leq \|P(Y^\rho_\varphi(x_n)) \oplus P(Y^\rho_\varphi(x_{n-1}))\| + \rho \|N(u_n, v_n, w_n) \oplus N(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}, w_{n-1})\|.\
\]  

(61)

Since \( z_{n+1} \preceq z_n \) and using (vii) and (viii) of Proposition 1, from (61), we obtain

\[
\|N(u_n, v_n, w_n) - N(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}, w_{n-1})\| \leq \|N(u_n, v_n, w_n) - N(u_{n-1}, v_n, w_n) + N(u_{n-1}, v_{n}, w_n) - N(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}, w_{n-1})\|
\]

\[
- N(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}, w_n) + N(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}, w_{n-1})\| 
\]

\[
\leq \lambda_N \|u_n - u_{n-1}\| + \lambda_N \|v_n - v_{n-1}\| + \lambda_N \|w_n - w_{n-1}\| 
\]

\[
\leq \lambda_N \left\| \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) D(A(Y^\rho_\varphi(x_n), A(Y^\rho_\varphi(x_{n-1}))) \right\| 
\]

\[
+ \lambda_N \left\| \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) D(B(Y^\rho_\varphi(x_n), B(Y^\rho_\varphi(x_{n-1}))) \right\|
\]

\[
+ \lambda_N \left\| \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) D(C(Y^\rho_\varphi(x_n), C(Y^\rho_\varphi(x_{n-1}))) \right\|
\]

\[
(63)
\]

Using strong monotonicity of the Yosida approximation operator \( Y^\rho_\varphi \) with constant \( \delta_Y \) and Lipschitz continuity of the proximal operator \( f^\rho_\varphi \) with constant \( \rho \), we have

\[
\|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^2 = \|f^\rho_\varphi(z_n) - f^\rho_\varphi(z_{n-1}) - [Y^\rho_\varphi(x_n) - x_n - (Y^\rho_\varphi(x_{n-1}) - x_{n-1})]\|^2 
\]

\[
\leq \|f^\rho_\varphi(z_n) - f^\rho_\varphi(z_{n-1})\|^2 - 2 \gamma \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^2 
\]

\[
\leq \theta^2 \|z_n - z_{n-1}\|^2 - 2 \delta_x \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^2 
\]

(64)
It follows that
\[2\delta_y \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| \leq \theta^2 \|z_n - z_{n-1}\|,\]
\[\|x_n - x_{n-1}\| \leq \frac{\theta^2}{\sqrt{2\delta_y}} \|z_n - z_{n-1}\|,\] (65)
\[\|x_n - x_{n-1}\| \leq \xi(y) \|z_n - z_{n-1}\|,\] (66)
\[\|z_{n+1} - z_n\| \leq \lambda_p \left( Y_{\rho}^{\psi} (x_n) - Y_{\rho}^{\psi} (x_n) \right) + \rho \left( \lambda_n \lambda D_A + \lambda N \lambda D_B + \lambda N \lambda D_C \right) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) \|y - y_{\rho}^{\psi}(x) - Y_{\rho}^{\psi}(x_{n-1})\|,\] (67)
\[\|z_{n+1} - z_n\| \leq \left[ \lambda_p + \rho \xi_n (\theta) \right] \|y - y_{\rho}^{\psi}(x) - Y_{\rho}^{\psi}(x_{n-1})\| \leq \left[ \lambda_p + \rho \xi_n (\theta) \right] \|y - y_{\rho}^{\psi}(z_n) - Y_{\rho}^{\psi}(z_{n-1})\| \leq \left[ \lambda_p + \rho \xi_n (\theta) \right] \|z_n - z_{n-1}\| \leq S_n (\theta) \|z_n - z_{n-1}\|,\]
where \( \xi(y) = \theta^2 / \sqrt{2\delta_y} \).

Combining (62) and (63), using Lipschitz continuity of \( P, f_{y_{\rho}^{\psi}} \) and (vi) of Algorithm 1, we have

where \( \xi(y) = \theta^2 / \sqrt{2\delta_y} \).

Since \( \psi''(x) = 2 > 0 \). Hence, \( \psi \) is strongly convex with modulus \( \lambda = 2 \).

For \( \rho = 1 \), the proximal operator \( f_{y_{\rho}^{\psi}} \) is given by
\[ f_{y_{\rho}^{\psi}} = [P + \rho \partial \psi]^{-1}(x) = \frac{2x}{5}, \] where \( [P + \rho \partial \psi](x) = \frac{5x}{2} \). (69)

It is simple to see that \( P \) is Lipschitz continuous with constant \( \lambda_P = (11/10) \), strongly monotone with respect to \( f_{y_{\rho}^{\psi}} \) with constant \( \mu = 1/3 \), and \( f_{y_{\rho}^{\psi}} \) is Lipschitz continuous with constant \( \theta = \sqrt{2}/3 \).

In view of proximal operator calculated above, the Yosida approximation operator is given by
\[ Y_{\rho}^{\psi}(x) = \frac{1}{\rho} \left( I - f_{y_{\rho}^{\psi}} \right)(x) = \frac{3x}{5}, \] (70)

Also,
\[ \langle Y_{\rho}^{\psi}(x) - Y_{\rho}^{\psi}(y), x - y \rangle = \langle \frac{3x}{5} - \frac{3y}{5}, x - y \rangle = \langle \frac{3}{5} (x - y), x - y \rangle \]
\[ = \langle \frac{3}{5} (x - y), x - y \rangle = \frac{3}{5} \|x - y\|^2 \]
\[ \geq \frac{2}{5} \|x - y\|^2. \] (71)

Hence, \( Y_{\rho}^{\psi} \) is strongly monotone with constant \( \delta_y = (2/5) \).
Let us consider the mappings $N: H \times H \times H \to H$ and $A, B, C: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}B(H)$ such that
\[
A(x) = \left\{ \frac{x}{7} \right\},
\]
\[
B(x) = \left\{ \frac{x}{5} \right\},
\]
\[
C(x) = \left\{ \frac{x}{6} \right\},
\] (72)
\[
N(u, v, w) = \frac{u}{2} + \frac{v}{2} + \frac{w}{2}.
\]

Hence,
\[
\text{Clearly, } D(A(x), A(y)) = \max \{ \sup_{x \in A(x)} d(x, F(y)), \sup_{y \in F(y)} d(F(x), y) \}
\leq \max \left\{ \|x - y\|, \|y - x\| \right\}
= \frac{1}{7} \max \{ \|x - y\|, \|y - x\| \}
\leq \frac{1}{5} ||x - y||,
\] (73)

that is, $D(A(x), A(y)) \leq (1/5)||x - y||$.

Thus, $A$ is $D$-Lipschitz continuous with constant $\lambda_{D_A} = (1/5)$. Similarly, we can obtain that $B$ and $C$ are $D$-Lipschitz continuous with constants $\lambda_{D_B} = (1/3)$ and $\lambda_{D_C} = (1/3)$, respectively.

$N$ is Lipschitz continuous in all the three arguments with constants $\lambda_{N_1} = \lambda_{N_2} = \lambda_{N_3} = 1$.

Then, $N(u, v, w) = \frac{x}{14} + \frac{x}{10} + \frac{x}{12} = \frac{107x}{420}$

Since $z = P(Y_{\rho}^{\psi}(x)) + \rho N(u, v, w)$
\[
= \frac{Y_{\rho}^{\psi}(x)}{\rho} + \frac{3x}{5} + N(u, v, w),
\] (74)
\[
z = \frac{3x}{10} + N(u, v, w),
\]
\[
j_{\rho}^{\psi}(z) = \frac{6x}{50} + \frac{2N(u, v, w)}{5}.
\]

Hence,
\[
R_{\rho}^{\psi}(z) = \left[ I - j_{\rho}^{\psi} \right] (z) = \left[ I - \frac{6x}{50} - \frac{2N(u, v, w)}{5} \right].
\] (75)

Below we show that condition (59) is satisfied.

For $\lambda_p = 11/10, \rho = 1, \delta_p = 2/5, \mu = 1/3, \lambda = 2, \sigma = 2\lambda = 4, \theta = \sqrt{2}/3, \lambda_{N_1} = \lambda_{N_2} = \lambda_{N_3} = 1, \lambda_{D_A} = 1/5, \lambda_{D_B} = 1/3, \lambda_{D_C} = 1/3, \xi(\theta) = \lambda_{N_1}^{\lambda_{D_A}} + \lambda_{N_2}^{\lambda_{D_B}} + \lambda_{N_3}^{\lambda_{D_C}} = 0.86$ and $(1 - \lambda_p \theta)/\rho \theta = 1.021$. Hence, $\theta < 2\delta_p$ and $\xi(\theta) < (1 - \lambda_p \theta)/\rho \theta$. That is, condition (59) is satisfied.

For $x = 0$, the Yosida proximal operator equation involving XOR-operator (23) is fulfilled.

That is, $N(u, v, w) \oplus \rho^{-1} R_{\rho}^{\psi}(z)$
\[
= N(u, v, w) \oplus \frac{3x}{5} + N(u, v, w) = 0.
\] (76)

Furthermore, we obtain the sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ generated by iterative Algorithm 1 as
\[
z_{n+1} = P(Y_{\rho}^{\psi}(x_n)) + \rho N(u_n, v_n, w_n)
= \frac{Y_{\rho}^{\psi}(x_n)}{2} + \frac{107x_n}{420}
= \frac{1}{2} \left[ 3x_n + \frac{107x_n}{420} \right]
= \frac{3x_n + 107x_n}{10 + 420},
\] (77)
also, $Y_{\rho}^{\psi}(x_n) = j_{\rho}^{\psi}(z_n)$
\[
\frac{3x_n}{5} = \frac{2z_n}{5}
\]
\[
x_n = \frac{2z_n}{5}.
\]
Figure 1: Case I: for the initial value $z_0 = -5$.

Figure 2: Case II: for the initial value $z_0 = 2.5$.

Figure 3: Case III: for the initial value $z_0 = 5$. 
From (77) and (78), we have

\[ z_{n+1} = \left( \frac{3}{10} + \frac{107}{420} \right) \frac{2z_n}{5}, \]

\[ z_{n+1} = \frac{233}{1050}z_n. \]

Clearly, the sequence \( \{z_n\} \) converges to 0, and consequently, the sequence \( \{x_n\} \) also converges to 0.

It is shown in Figures 1–3 that, for initial values \( z_0 = -5, 2.5, \) and 5, the sequence \( \{z_n\} \) converges to 0. A consolidated graph using Figures 1–3 is provided in Figure 4. In Table 1, comparing different initial values of \( \{z_n\} \) and for different iterations, it is obtained that the sequence \( \{z_n\} \) converges to 0.

5. Conclusion

In this work, we introduce and study three new problems, that is, a Yosida complementarity problem, a Yosida variational inequality problem, and a Yosida proximal operator equation involving XOR-operation. It is shown that Yosida complementarity problem involving XOR-operation is equivalent to a Yosida variational inequality problem involving XOR-operation and Yosida variational inequality problem involving XOR-operation is equivalent to a Yosida proximal operator equation involving XOR-operation. An algorithm is established to obtain the solution of Yosida proximal operator equation involving XOR-operation. Finally, an existence and convergence result is proved. A numerical example is given in support of our main result.

It is still an open and interesting problem that how to establish equivalence between Yosida complementarity problem involving XOR-operation and Yosida proximal operator equation problem involving XOR-operation.
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