CHARACTERIZATION OF MYASTHENIA GRAVIS USING CLINICAL CLASSIFICATION AND REPETITIVE NERVE STIMULATION
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ABSTRACT

Introduction. Nerve stimulation tests are crucial in the early diagnosis and monitoring of neuromuscular disease.

The objective of the study was to clinically characterize and validate repetitive nerve stimulation (RNS) testing in patients with the chronic autoimmune neuromuscular disease, myasthenia gravis (MG).

Material and methods. Clinical assessment and RNS testing were performed in 30 myasthenic patients. Clinical assessments were classified using the Myasthenia Gravis Foundation of America (MGFA) clinical classification.

Results. 30 myasthenic patients were recruited, with a mean age of 48.57±2.4 years and a female/male ratio of 2/1. MGFA classification placed 7 patients in group I (23.3%), 7 in group IIa (23.3%), 7 in group IIb (23.3%), 6 in group IIIa (20%), 2 in group IIIb (6.7%), and 1 in group IVa (3.3%). Additionally, 73.3% of patients had positive acetylcholine receptor (AChR) antibodies, and 20% had been diagnosed with thymoma. The RNS

RéSUMÉ

Introduction. Les tests de stimulation nerveuse sont essentiels pour le diagnostic précoce et le suivi des maladies neuromusculaires.

L’objectif de l’étude était de caractériser et de valider cliniquement les tests de stimulation nerveuse répétitive (RNS) chez des patients atteints de la maladie neuromusculaire auto-immune chronique, la myasthénie grave (MG).

Matériels et méthodes. Une évaluation clinique et des tests RNS ont été réalisés chez 30 patients myasténiques. Les évaluations cliniques ont été classées selon la classification clinique de la Myasthenia Gravis Foundation of America (MGFA).

Résultats. 30 patients myasténiques ont été sélectionnés, avec un âge moyen de 48.57±2.4 ans et un rapport femme/homme de 2/1. La classification MGFA
INTRODUCTION

Myasthenia gravis (MG), a chronic autoimmune neuromuscular disease, is characterized by diurnal fluctuating skeletal muscle fatigability, leading to skeletal muscle weakness. The Myasthenia Gravis Foundation of America (MGFA) categorizes MG into groups based on the clinical characteristics of the disease, namely involvement limited only to the orbicularis oculi muscles (group I) had a positive RNS test rate of 42.9%, whereas this rate increased to 64.2% in patients where the limbs and truncal muscles were affected (“a” groups: IIa, IIIa, IVa). Where respiratory and pharyngeal muscles were affected (“b” groups: IIb, IIIb), patients had a 100% positive RNS test rate. A statistically significant association was found between RNS test results and AChR antibody levels ($p = 0.0041$).

Conclusions. These findings are of interest for physicians treating MG patients and administering RNS testing and suggest an alternative method for the diagnosis and monitoring of MG, in cases where AChR antibody quantitation is not appropriate.
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The objective of the study was to clinically characterize and validate RNS test results, together with their associations, in MG patients. A descriptive analysis was conducted in 30 MG patients in Can Tho city, Vietnam. Their characteristics were recorded, and relationships between RNS test results and associated variables were examined.

With orbicularis oculi muscle and body muscle weaknesses, and typically exhibit more severe symptoms than younger patients. Together with serum autoantibody concentration tests, nerve stimulation tests are important in the early diagnosis and monitoring of neuromuscular diseases. To this end, the repetitive nerve stimulation (RNS) test and single fibre electromyography (SF EMG) have long been used in MG diagnosis. SF EMG has a sensitivity of as high as 98% or 100% in the diagnosis of MG. Clinically, the non-invasive RNS test is generally recommended in MG treatment guidelines. However, RNS has been shown to have sensitivities of only 32-46% in orbicularis oculi muscle and 84% for body muscle.

The objective of the study was to clinically characterize and validate RNS test results, together with their associations, in MG patients. A descriptive analysis was conducted in 30 MG patients in Can Tho city, Vietnam. Their characteristics were recorded, and relationships between RNS test results and associated variables were examined.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects

The sample comprised 30 MG patients presenting at Can Tho General Hospital from April 2019 to August 2020. The sample size was calculated based on a 95% confidence level and \( p = 0.98 \) (the sensitivity of the SF EMG measure\(^8\)).

Inclusion criteria followed the standard guidelines for MG diagnosis\(^5\)\(^,\)\(^13\): (1) history of MG diagnosis, (2) clinical symptoms of muscle weakness (e.g., ptosis, dysphagia, dysarthria) that improved with anticholinesterase agents, (3) a positive AChR test, and (4) a positive RNS test. The exclusion criteria were the presence of neuronal damage and any other condition involving muscle weakness.

Analysis

For each patient in the sample, their (1) gender (male, female), (2) age at MG onset (< 50 and ≥ 50 years old)\(^2\)\(^,\)\(^14\), (3) clinical symptoms, (4) MG category (based on MGFA classification, see Table 1 for details), (5) AChRs test results (a positive test being signified by a concentration of ≥ 0.52 nmol/L), and (6) RNS test results were recorded.

RNS testing was conducted following the procedure outlined by the American Association of Neuromuscular & Electrodiagnostic Medicine. Briefly, 10 bilateral repetitive stimulations were performed using an electrodiagnostic system (Nicolet VikingQuest EMG/NCS/EPSystem, Natus Neurology, USA). Stimulation was administered with a frequency of 3 Hz, in 3 main nerve-muscle groups: the facial nerve and frontalis muscle, the accessory nerve and trapezius, and the ulnar nerve and abductor digitii minimi\(^16\). All patients ceased using anticholinesterase agents for 12 h prior to testing. To obtain compound muscle action potentials (CMAPs) from the frontalis, the active recording electrode was placed 2 cm above the eyebrow, and the reference electrode was placed between the eyebrows. For the trapezius and abductor digitii minimi measurement, the surface recording electrodes were positioned in a belly-tendon montage. The facial, accessory, and ulnar nerves were stimulated at the temporomandibular joint, behind the sternocleidomastoid muscle, and at the wrist, respectively. A positive (abnormal) was characterized by a decrease in CMAP amplitude of more than 10% between the first and fourth or fifth stimuli in at least 2 muscles\(^17\).

The study was approved by the ethical committee of Hanoi Medical University (IRB00003121, reference NCS04/DHYHN-HDDD) on the 29th of March 2019. All participants gave informed consent prior to participation in the study.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS 22.0 software (IBM, USA). An ANOVA was used to compare predictor variables across groups, and a Chi-square test (or Fisher’s exact test) was used to calculate comparisons between groups. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Table 1. Myasthenia Gravis Foundation of America (MGFA) Clinical Classification\(^15\)

| Class | Clinical symptoms |
|-------|-------------------|
| I     | Any ocular weakness |
| II    | Mild Weakness. May also have ocular muscle weakness of any severity |
| IIa   | Predominantly affecting limb, axial muscles, or both. May also have lesser involvement of oropharyngeal, respiratory muscles or both |
| IIb   | Predominantly affecting oropharyngeal, respiratory muscles, or both. May also have lesser or equal involvement of limb, axial muscles or both |
| III   | Moderate weakness affecting other than ocular muscles. May also have ocular muscle weakness of any severity |
| IIIa  | Predominantly affecting limb, axial muscles, or both. May also have lesser involvement of oropharyngeal, respiratory muscles or both |
| IIIb  | Predominantly affecting oropharyngeal, respiratory muscles, or both. May also have lesser or equal involvement of limb, axial muscles or both |
| IV    | Severe weakness affecting other than ocular muscles. May also have ocular muscle weakness of any severity |
| IVa   | Predominantly affecting limb, axial muscles, or both. May also have lesser involvement of oropharyngeal, respiratory muscles or both |
| IVb   | Predominantly affecting oropharyngeal, respiratory muscles, or both. May also have lesser or equal involvement of limb, axial muscles or both |
| V     | Defined by intubation, with or without mechanical ventilation, except when employed during routine postoperative management |
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RESULTS

Patients’ characteristics

In the sample of 30 patients diagnosed with MG, 20 were female (66.7%), 10 were male (33.3%), and the sample had a mean age of 48.57±2.4 years (range: 21-69 years). Of these, 17 patients had an early onset (< 50 years, 56.7%) and 13 participants a late onset (43.3%). No association was found between gender and age at onset of MG (p = 0.794).

Clinically, 26 patients had ptosis (83.3%), 21 had diplopia (70%), 21 had limb weakness (69%), 15 had been diagnosed with dysphagia (50.0%), and 10 had dysarthria (33.3%). Further, 25 patients had symptoms that became more severe with movement (83.3%). All patients’ symptoms were alleviated by anticholinesterase agents. Based on the MGFA classification, 14 patients were in the mild groups (I, IIa) (46.6%), and 16 were in the more severe groups (IIb to IV) (54%). Additionally, 8 patients reported a history of thymus surgery (26.6%) and 22 patients had a positive AChR antibody test (73.3%) (Table 2).

Table 2. Participants’ characteristics (n = 30).

| MGFA Classification | n (%) | Gender (Male:Female) | Age (mean ± SD) | Positive AChRs antibody | Thymus thymoma |
|---------------------|------|----------------------|----------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| I                   | 7 (23.3%) | 5 : 2               | 34.5 ± 8.6     | 3                       | 2              |
| IIa                 | 7 (23.3%) | 1 : 6               | 46.2 ± 11.6    | 4                       | 2              |
| IIb                 | 7 (23.3%) | 4 : 3               | 57.5 ± 6.7     | 7                       | 1              |
| IIa                 | 6 (20.0%) | 0 : 6               | 50.3 ± 11.1    | 6                       | 2              |
| IIIb                | 2 (6.7%)  | 0 : 2               | 64.5 ± 6.3     | 2                       | 1              |
| IVa                 | 1 (3.3%)  | 0 : 1               | 48 ± 0.0       | 0                       | 0              |
| Total               | 30 (100%) | 10 : 20             | 48.57 ± 2.4    | 22                      | 8              |

Legend: MGFA – Myasthenia Gravis Foundation of America, AChRs – acetylcholine receptors.

Table 3. CMAP amplitude decrease (%) between the first and fourth or fifth stimuli

|                  | Frontalis muscle | Trapezius | Abductor digiti minimi |
|------------------|------------------|-----------|-------------------------|
|                  | Right            | Left      | Right                  | Left      | Right     | Left      |
| Group 1 (n = 7)  | 7.7 ± 1.8        | 7.7 ± 2.3 | 7.2 ± 0.6              | 7.5 ± 0.6 | 5.5 ± 1.1 | 3.3 ± 0.9 |
| Group a (IIa, IIIa, IVa) (n = 14) | 9.7 ± 7.1        | 8.1 ± 8.4 | 10.3 ± 6.2             | 9.2 ± 4.9 | 4.7 ± 4.0 | 3.9 ± 6.4 |
| Group b (IIb, IIIb) (n = 9)       | 15.3 ± 5.9       | 11.4 ± 4.8 | 11.1 ± 2.0             | 13.1 ± 4.0 | 4.0 ± 5.9 | 5.5 ± 3.9 |
| Total             | 10.0 ± 7.6       | 9.9 ± 5.3 | 9.6 ± 5.8              | 10.0 ± 4.5 | 4.6 ± 4.3 | 4.3 ± 5.0 |

Legend: CMAP – Compound muscle action potential.

RNS test

21 patients had a positive RNS test result (70%). Patients in MGFA groups I a (IIa, IIIa, and IVa), and b (IIb, IIIb) received 42.9%, 64.2%, and 100% of the positive RNS test results, respectively. Decreases in CMAP amplitude between the first and fourth or fifth stimuli were significantly different between the right and left frontalis muscle (p = 0.041), as well as between the right and left trapezius muscle (p = 0.031). There was no significant difference in the abductor digiti minimi (p > 0.05). Patients in group b had the greatest CMAP differences (Table 3).

The trapezius was the most affected muscle in patients with a positive RNS test (n = 18, 85.7%), which was significantly different from other groups ($\chi^2 = 18.09, p = 0.03$). Conversely, the abductor digiti minimi only accounted for 7 of the patients receiving positive RNS tests (33.3%), which significantly differed between patient groups ($\chi^2 = 14.56, p = 0.012$). Lastly, the frontalis muscle result did not show any significant differences between patient groups ($\chi^2 = 4.7, p = 0.452$).

Associations between clinical symptoms and RNS test results

Table 4 shows that patients in MGFA group II or higher received the highest number of positive RNS test results (78.3%). There was a significant relationship between the presence of AChR antibodies and a positive RNS test result (p = 0.0041; OR = 19; CI 95% of 2.54-141.93).
DISCUSSION

This study investigated the associations between clinical and presenting characteristics of MG patients and MG patients were more likely to be female than male (female/male ratio of 2/1), which was in agreement with previously published work by Gilhaus and Tzartos. This was reported to be due to the effect of the hormone estrogen in inducing MG, especially women under the age of 50 years. Furthermore, the appearance of autoimmune antibodies such as AChR antibodies has been strongly associated with MG. Our results demonstrated that 73.3% patients tested positive for AChR antibodies and 20% had received thymus surgery (i.e., thymoma), whereas previous studies have reported 80% of patients being positive for AChR antibodies and 10-15% having thymoma. These differences may be attributed to population variance, sample size, and socio-demographic differences between samples. Another study conducted in Vietnam by Phan Le Hieu et al. (2014) also reported similar results, with a female/male ratio of 1.96/1, and 91.3% of MG patients testing positive for AChR antibodies and 10-15% having thymoma.

MGFA classification grouped patients according to their disease characteristics into groups I, IIa, IIb, IIIa, IIIb, and IVa. Within our sample of 30 patients, 23.3%, 46.6%, 26.7%, and 3.3% were classified in groups I, II, III, and IV, respectively. This trend differs slightly from that found by Poppur and Bolding, who reported 29%, 43%, 26%, and 9% of patients classified into groups I, II, III, and IV, respectively. While these numbers are small, there may well also be differences in the research design and patient socio-demographic factors. In the present study, the number of patients in each MGFA subgroup (I, IIa, IIb, IIIa, IIIb, and IVa) was small, with only one patient in group IVa. Therefore, we divided patients into 3 sub-groups according to the predominant signs and symptoms at the time of the neurophysiologic investigation: group I (ocular); group a (axial, limb-girdle, including those with mild, moderate and severe disease), and group b (bulbar, including those with mild, moderate, and severe disease). This re-classification was conducted with reference to a similar study by Costa et al.

The American Association of Neuromuscular & Electromdiagnostic Medicine has recommended utilizing the non-invasive RNS test for MG diagnosis. The test has been demonstrated to provide a sensitivity of between 30 and 70%. Our results demonstrated that 70% of the MG patients had positive RNS test result, which was in agreement with reports by Joao Costa (75%) and Bou Ali (82%). Similarly, our finding of significant CMAP differences in the frontalis and trapezius muscle groups, which were associated with MGFA classification groups is also in line with previous work.

Finally, with a high incidence of the presence of AChR antibodies in MG patients, this antibody test is considered the gold standard in the diagnosis of MG. A significant association was found between RNS outcomes and AChR antibody levels (p = 0.0041). Therefore, in cases where patients are unable to undergo AChR antibody quantitation, RNS test may serve as an effective and accurate method for diagnosing and monitoring MG. In contrast, a study by Jin Yian et al. found no such association between RNS responses and AChR antibody levels (p > 0.05). The association is likely to be influenced by the epidemiological features of the research population, and as such needs further investigation to explore other correlated factors influencing these two measures. Therefore, the diagnostic use of RNS in cases of suspected MG should be approached with careful consideration.

Limitations of the study

This study has some limitations. Firstly, the study sample size was small. MG is a rare autoimmune disease, with a low incidence of the disease in community. According to data published over the past 50 years by Phillips, the prevalence of MG is 20.4 per 100,000 population, with a new case rate of 5-30
cases per 1,000,000 population each year. Secondly, our study design included conducting RNS in only three muscle groups (frontalis, trapezius, and abductor digiti minimi), whereas it has been suggested that the sensitivity of the technique can be improved.

**Conclusions**

The findings of this study will be of interest for physicians investigating the pathophysiological mechanisms of MG, to advance diagnostic and monitoring methods, including the utilization of the RNS test. RNS still shows promise when used in combination with classification measures such as the MGFA in providing an alternative method for the diagnosis and monitoring of MG, particularly in cases where AChR antibody quantitation is not appropriate. These findings may be useful for the future application of electroneurology diagnostic techniques in neuromuscular diseases. Further studies are needed to assess the diagnostic usefulness of RNS of the lower limb muscle groups in investigating MG.
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