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Abstract

Let $F$ be a totally real field of even degree in which $p$ splits completely. Let $\varphi : G_F \to \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{F}_p)$ be a modular Galois representation unramified at all finite places away from $p$ and upper-triangular, maximally nonsplit, and of parallel weight at places dividing $p$. Fix a place $w$ dividing $p$. Assuming certain genericity conditions and Taylor–Wiles assumptions, we prove that the $\text{GSp}_4(F_w)$-action on the corresponding Hecke-isotypic part of the space of mod $p$ automorphic forms on a compact mod center form of $\text{GSp}_4$ with infinite level at $w$ determines $\varphi|_{G_{F_w}}$.

1 Introduction

The mod $p$ local Langlands correspondence for the group $G_{\text{L}2}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ gives a tight connection between continuous Galois representations $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ and admissible smooth $\mathbb{F}_p$-representations of $G_{\mathbb{L}2}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ (see \cite{CDP14,CEG+18}) It is hoped that this result generalizes in some form to the group $G_{\mathbb{L}n}(\mathbb{K})$ for any finite extension $\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q}_p$. However, mod $p$ representations of $G_{\mathbb{L}n}(\mathbb{K})$ remain poorly understood and the eventual form of the correspondence (should one exist) is still mysterious outside the cases $G_{\mathbb{L}2}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ or $n = 1$.

Going further still, in accordance with the general Langlands philosophy one may speculate about the relationship between continuous Galois representations $G_{\mathbb{K}} \to L_\mathbb{F}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ (suitably interpreted) and admissible $\mathbb{F}_p$-representations of $G(\mathbb{K})$ for any reductive group $G$ over $\mathbb{K}$. This paper establishes a concrete connection between certain special classes of these objects when $G = \text{GSp}_4$ and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}_p$.

If $F$ is a number field having $\mathbb{K}$ as one of its $p$-adic completions and $G$ is defined over $F$, then generally one hopes that the correspondence should be compatible with the association of Galois representations of $G_F$ to automorphic representations of $G(\mathbb{A}_F)$ – that is, mod $p$ local-global compatibility. More concretely, if for example $\bar{\varphi} : G_K \to G_{\mathbb{L}n}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is a local component of a modular Galois representation $\bar{\varphi} : G_F \to G_{\mathbb{L}n}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ then using spaces of mod $p$ automorphic forms (or étale cohomology) it is possible to construct admissible $\mathbb{F}_p$-representations $\Pi(\bar{\varphi})$ of $G_{\mathbb{L}n}(\mathbb{K})$ naturally associated with $\bar{\varphi}$. The question of mod $p$ local-global compatibility is then to study the relationship between $\bar{\varphi}$ and $\Pi(\bar{\varphi})$. In particular one could ask whether $\Pi(\bar{\varphi})$ only depends on $\bar{\varphi}$ as opposed to all of $\bar{\varphi}$. This question has been answered in the affirmative for $G_{\mathbb{L}2}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ by \cite{Eme} using the mod $p$ local Langlands correspondence for $G_{\mathbb{L}2}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, but in no other cases are there results to this effect. Indeed the question is closely related to the existence of a mod $p$ local Langlands correspondence.

Instead, one can ask the opposite question of whether $\Pi(\bar{\varphi})$ uniquely determines $\bar{\varphi}$. This is what we do in this paper for $G_{\text{GSp}_4}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Previous work on this question has considered the cases $G_{\mathbb{L}2}(\mathbb{Q}_p')$ ([BD14] and [DL21]), $G_{\mathbb{L}2}(\mathbb{Q}_p')$ ([HLM17] and [LMP18] for $f = 1$, [Enn18] for $f > 1$), $G_{\mathbb{L}n}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ ([PQ]), and recently $G_{\mathbb{L}n}(\mathbb{Q}_p')$ ([LHM+]). Except in the most recent work [LHM+], which was not yet available at the time this paper was developed, one considers a family of representations $\bar{\varphi}$ which are upper-triangular with fixed diagonal characters in the Fontaine-Laffaille range (or similar families). One then gives a very explicit recipe using certain group algebra operators in $\mathbb{F}_p[G(\mathbb{K})]$ acting on $\Pi(\bar{\varphi})$ which pick out the isomorphism class of $\bar{\varphi}$ within its family. This knowledge gives us clues as to how the elusive mod $p$ local Langlands correspondence should behave.

The main idea used in previous work (except [LHM+]) is that $\Pi(\bar{\varphi})$ admits a characteristic 0 lift $\bar{\Pi}$, which is closely related to automorphic representations of $G(\mathbb{A}_F)$. The $p$-adic Galois representations associated to
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these automorphic representations lift \( \tilde{\rho} \) by construction and one uses their \( p \)-adic Hodge-theoretic properties at the completion \( K \) in order to show that the generic fibre of \( \tilde{\Pi} \) recovers \( \tilde{\rho} \). More specifically, one locates the parameters of \( \tilde{\rho} \) within potentially crystalline deformation rings of \( \tilde{\rho} \) of various prescribed types and then uses classical local-global compatibility of automorphic representations to transfer this data to the generic fibre of \( \tilde{\Pi} \). Crucially, one must then show that this information can be “reduced mod \( p \)” and is not lost in \( \tilde{\Pi} \). This typically involves some form of Taylor-Wiles patching and some subtle modular representation theory with group algebra operators.

In this paper, we work with a version of the patched module \( M_\infty \) first constructed for \( \text{GL}_n(K) \) in [CEG+16] instead of \( \Pi \). This is an upgrade of \( \Pi(\tilde{r}) \) in the sense that it is an \( R_\tilde{p}^{\infty}(G(K)) \)-module, where \( R_\tilde{p}^{\infty} \) is the universal lifting ring of \( \tilde{\rho} \), which determines \( \Pi(\tilde{r}) \) modulo the maximal ideal of \( R_\tilde{p}^{\infty} \). The combined \( R_\tilde{p}^{\infty} \)- and \( G(K) \)-actions essentially allow us to axiomatize the relationship between deformations of \( \tilde{\rho} \) and classical local-global compatibility described in the previous paragraph. This makes \( M_\infty \) convenient for expressing local-global compatibility. As a result, \( \tilde{\rho} \) can be recovered by the eigenvalues of certain “normalized Hecke operators” acting on the generic fibre of \( M_\infty \). In particular, we avoid the complicated arguments with group algebra operators. An optimistic hope is that \( M_\infty \) actually realizes the mod \( p \) and \( p \)-adic local Langlands correspondence in general (see [CEG+16, §6]), so its use here is completely natural.

By taking quotient modulo the maximal ideal of \( R_\tilde{p}^{\infty} \), we recover \( \tilde{\rho} \) from the eigenvalues of “normalized Hecke operators” acting on a certain Iwahori eigenspace in \( \Pi(\tilde{r}) \). The most subtle part of our argument is proving the non-triviality of the operator. Namely, we need to show that the Iwahori eigenspace is non-zero and the “normalized Hecke operator” acts on it non-trivially. In the case of \( \text{GL}_n \), the former follows from a standard argument using Taylor–Wiles patching and combinatorics between types and weights. Its naive generalization does not work for \( \text{GSp}_4 \), due to the fixed similitude character in the patching argument. Instead, we construct a congruent pair of patched modules, a pair of patched modules with different similitude characters that are congruent modulo \( p \). This allows us to perform the usual patching argument in our case.

Another tool we introduce is the Jantzen filtration. The Jantzen filtration arises to compute \( p \)-divisibility of the image of Carter–Lusztig intertwiners. We use it to describe the image of the mod \( p \) reduction of “normalized Hecke operators” and thus to determine when the image is non-zero.

To state our main Theorem, we explain our global setup. We let \( \mathcal{O} \) be a sufficiently large finite extension of \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) and \( \mathbb{F} \) be the residue field of \( \mathcal{O} \). Let \( F \) be a totally real field in which \( p \) splits completely, \( \mathfrak{p} : G_F \to \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{F}) \) be a continuous representation, and \( \chi \) be a Hecke character. We write \( \omega \) for the mod \( p \) cyclotomic character of \( G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \) and \( \text{nr}_\xi \) for the unramified character sending geometric Frobenius to \( \xi \in \mathbb{F}^\times \). We assume that \( \mathfrak{p} \) is unramified at all finite places away from \( p \), and upper triangular, maximally nonsplit, and generic at places above \( p \). We also assume that \( (\tilde{r}, \chi) \) is potentially diagonally automorphic (Definition 4.2.5). Other technical assumptions on \( F, \mathfrak{p}, \) and \( \chi \), including the usual Taylor–Wiles conditions, can be found in Definition 4.4.1 (also see Remark 4.4.2 for comments on the assumptions). The assumptions at places \( v|p \) imply that

\[
\mathfrak{p}|_{G_{F_v}} \simeq \begin{pmatrix}
\omega^{a_3} \text{nr}_{\xi_3} & * & * & * \\
\omega^{a_2} \text{nr}_{\xi_2} & * & * & * \\
\omega^{a_1} \text{nr}_{\xi_1} & * & * & * \\
\omega^{a_0} \text{nr}_{\xi_0} & & & *
\end{pmatrix}
\]

where the extensions \( *_1, *_2, *_3 \) are nonsplit. We call \((a_3, a_2, a_1, a_0)\) the weight of \( \mathfrak{p}|_{G_{F_v}} \). We choose the weight \((a_3, a_2, a_1, a_0)\) independent of \( v|p \). We denote by \( S_p \) the set of places of \( F \) dividing \( p \). Fix a place \( w \in S_p \) and write \( \tilde{\rho} = \mathfrak{p}|_{G_{F_w}} \). We parameterize all such \( \tilde{\rho} \) using Fontaine-Laffaille theory, giving rise to a family of representations depending on two “Fontaine-Laffaille parameters” which lie in \( \mathbb{F} \). Similarly to previous work, we only consider the “most generic” elements of this family. Thus, as in [HLM17], our \( \tilde{\rho} \) is assumed to be maximally nonsplit with Fontaine-Laffaille invariants lying outside certain special loci. Our precise genericity assumption on \( \tilde{\rho} \), which we call strong genericity, can be found in Definition 2.3.7.

Let \( \mathcal{G} \) be an inner form of \( \text{GSp}_4 \) over \( F \) that is compact mod center at infinity and splits at all finite places. Let \( \sigma \) be an \( \mathcal{O} \)-module with smooth \( \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Z}_p) \)-action. We view \( \sigma \) as an \( \mathcal{O}[\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{F_v})] \)-module for \( v|p \) via a chosen isomorphism \( \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{F_v}) \simeq \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Z}_p) \) and \( \sigma^{S_p \setminus \{w\}}(\mu) := \prod_{v \in S_p \setminus \{w\}} \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_v) \sigma \) as a smooth representation of \( \prod_{v \in S_p \setminus \{w\}} \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_v) \). For a dominant weight \( \mu \), we let \( V(\mu) \) be the algebraic representation of \( \prod_{v \in S_p \setminus \{w\}} \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_v) \)
with highest weight \( \mu \). We choose a level \( U_w \) away from \( w \) that is sufficiently small and unramified at all places \( v \in S_p \setminus \{ w \} \). Given \( \sigma, \mu \) and a Hecke character \( \chi' \), we consider the space of mod \( p \) automorphic forms
\[
S_{\chi', \mu, \sigma}(U^w, F) := \lim_{U_w \leq \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}_F)} S_{\chi'}(U^w U_w, V(\mu) \otimes \sigma^{S_\chi \setminus \{ w \}} \otimes \mathcal{O} F).
\]

This is an admissible smooth \( \text{GSp}_4(F_w) \)-module with an action of the abstract Hecke algebra \( T^p \) generated by Hecke operators at places away from a finite set \( P \) containing \( S_p \). Let \( \mathfrak{m}_p \) be the maximal ideal of \( T^p \) determined by \( \mathfrak{p} \). Our assumptions on \( \mathfrak{p} \) implies that \( S_{\chi', \mu, \sigma}(U^w, F)[\mathfrak{m}_p] \neq 0 \) for a certain choice of \( \chi', \mu, \sigma \). We take \( \chi' = \chi_{p, \text{cris}} \) (defined in §4.4), \( \mu = 0 \), and \( \sigma = \sigma(\tau_0) \) where \( \tau_0 \) is carefully chosen tame principal series type (Definition 2.3.6) and \( \sigma(\tau_0) \) denotes the smooth representation of \( \text{GSp}_4(\mathcal{O}_F) \) corresponding to \( \tau_0 \) under the inertial local Langlands correspondence for principal series representation (Lemma 2.2.4). Our main result is the following.

**Theorem** (Theorem 4.5.1). Following the above notations, the Fontaine–Laffaille invariants of \( \tilde{\rho} \) can be recovered from the admissible smooth \( \mathbb{F}[\text{GSp}_4(F_w)] \)-module \( \Pi(\mathfrak{p}) := S_{\chi', 0, \sigma(\tau_0)}(U^w, F)[\mathfrak{m}_p] \).

We now give a more precise description of the contents of this article. Let \( \tilde{\rho} : \text{GSp}_4 \rightarrow \text{GSp}_4(F) \) be a continuous upper triangular, maximally nonsplit, and strongly generic representation. The first part of the argument is to find Fontaine–Laffaille parameters of \( \tilde{\rho} \) inside certain symplectic potentially crystalline deformation rings of \( \rho \) of type \( (\eta, \tau) \), where \( \eta = (3, 2, 1, 0) \) is a Hodge type and \( \tau \) a tame inertial type. In fact, it will suffice for us to consider the single tame principal series type \( \tau_0 \). We begin in §2.1 by giving an explicit description of these deformation rings by adapting the work of [LLHLM18] and [LLHLM19] (for \( \text{GL}_n \)) to the case of \( \text{GSp}_4 \) using some ideas of [KM] about duality for Kisin modules. Using this, we prove that the strong genericity of \( \tilde{\rho} \) implies \( R_{\tilde{\rho}, \tau_0} \) is formally smooth (Theorem 2.3.10). (We actually work with a fixed similitude character as well.)

The Fontaine–Laffaille parameters of \( \tilde{\rho} \) can be found as the reduction modulo the maximal ideal of certain “universal Frobenius eigenvalues” in \( R_{\tilde{\rho}, \tau_0} \). We make this completely explicit in Theorem 2.3.10. In order to apply this result in the setting of the patched module \( M_\infty \), we express these universal eigenvalues in terms of a morphism \( \Theta_{\tilde{\rho}, \tau_0} : \mathcal{H}(\sigma(\tau_0)) \rightarrow R_{\tilde{\rho}, \tau_0}[1/p] \) which interpolates the local Langlands correspondence for \( \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_p) \) in the Bernstein block corresponding to \( \tau_0 \). Here \( \mathcal{H}(\sigma(\tau_0)) \) is the Hecke algebra of the \( K \)-type corresponding to the inertial type \( \tau_0 \). This follows ideas of [CEG16] and is described in §2.2.

In §3.3 we prove an abstract local-global compatibility result (Theorem 3.3.8) assuming the existence of a congruent pair of patched modules \( (M_\infty, M_\infty^{\text{cris}}) \) satisfying certain axioms (PM1)–(PM6). This result shows how the Fontaine–Laffaille invariants of \( \tilde{\rho} \) may be recovered from the admissible smooth \( \mathbb{F}[\text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_p)] \)-representation \( \pi \) related to \( M_\infty \). See §3.3 for precise construction of \( \pi \) using \( M_\infty \). One of the axioms (which follows from classical local-global compatibility of automorphic representations in the construction of \( M_\infty \)) states that the universal Frobenius eigenvalues may be recovered as the eigenvalue of a certain “\( U_p \)-operator” \( T_{\lambda} \) in the pro-\( p \) Iwahori–Hecke algebra acting on well-chosen Iwahori eigenspaces of (the dual of) \( M_\infty \), up to powers of \( p \). One therefore needs to pick out the leading term of these eigenvalues modulo \( p \). To do this, one writes the action of \( T_{\lambda} \) on \( M_\infty \) as the composite of a Carter–Lusztig intertwiner and an Iwahori normalizing element in \( \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_p) \) (see (3.3.6)). The power of \( p \) by which the Carter–Lusztig intertwiner is divisible is described by the Jantzen filtration of principal series representations of \( \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{F}_p) \). We show in Theorem 3.3.8 that the Carter–Lusztig intertwiner is divisible by the “correct” power of \( p \) on these Iwahori eigenspaces and consequently that the Fontaine–Laffaille invariant can be recovered from \( \pi \). To do this, we show that there is a unique modular Serre weight occurring in \( \mathcal{J}_H(\sigma(\tau_0)) \). This requires the combinatorics between types and weights using patching argument. On the Galois side, this requires both potentially crystalline and crystalline lifts of \( \tilde{\rho} \). However, it is necessary to fix the similitude character in the patching argument. That is why we have the second patched module \( M_\infty^{\text{cris}} \) designed for the crystalline setting, and the congruence between the two patched modules (PM5) allows us to prove the uniqueness of the modular Serre weight. Finally, we show that the modular Serre weight appears in the correct layer of the Jantzen filtration. This requires a somewhat tedious calculation with the Jantzen sum formula. We also interpret this theorem in terms of group algebra operators in Remark 3.10. All this is contained in §3.3. The computation with the Jantzen filtration is contained in §3.1 and §3.2.
The goal of §4 is to show the existence of a congruent pair of patched modules \((M_\infty, M_\text{cris})\) obeying the axioms of §3.3. We accomplish this under certain conditions by ultrapatching spaces of \(p\)-adic automorphic forms on a compact mod centre form \(\mathcal{G}\) of \(\text{GSp}_4\) over a totally real field \(F\), essentially following [CEG+16] but with ideas from [BCGP21] for the symplectic case. The congruence between patched modules is obtained by choosing the same Taylor–Wiles datum and ultrapilter. In order to attach Galois representations to a regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representative of \(\mathcal{G}\), we need to apply Jacquet–Langlands for \(\text{GSp}_4\) proven in [Sor09] under stable and tempered assumptions. In Lemma 4.1.7, we show that automorphic representations of \(\mathcal{G}\) of our concern are indeed stable and tempered by using various results on Arthur multiplicity formula [Art13, Tai19, GT19].

In §4.4, we show that \(\tilde{\rho}\) is a local component of a Galois representation \(\pi : G_F \to \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{F})\) modular with respect to \(\mathcal{G}\) satisfying several assumptions (A1)–(A5) including standard Taylor–Wiles type assumptions, but also an unramifiedness away from \(p\) assumption that is made mainly for simplicity. In Corollary 4.4.7, we prove the modularity of the obvious weight (PM6) by the change of weight argument using the main result of [PT21]. We also show the existence of such globalization \(F\) and \(\pi\) for given \(\tilde{\rho}\) by following [EG14]; see Corollary 4.4.6. Then our main result showing that the Fontaine–Laffaille invariants can be recovered from \(\Pi(\bar{\rho})\) is Theorem 4.5.1.

We expect that most of this article should generalize with the same methods to \(\mathbb{Q}_p^{ab}\) for any \(f \geq 1\). However, for simplicity we have tended to state results only in the generality that we need.

Recently, [LHM+] proved mod \(p\) local-global compatibility for \(\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p^{ab})\) and generic Fontaine–Laffaille (but not necessarily upper-triangular) \(\tilde{\rho}\) using a more geometric argument. We expect that one can adapt this idea to \(\text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_p^{ab})\) and prove mod \(p\) local-global compatibility in a similar generality. We plan to investigate this further in our future work.
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### 1.2 Notation and preliminaries

Throughout we fix a prime \(p > 2\). Most of our results require \(p\) to be larger than this due to genericity assumptions.

We let \(E\) denote a finite extension of \(\mathbb{Q}_p\) which will serves as a field of coefficients. We always assume that \(E\) is sufficiently large. Write \(O\) and \(\mathbb{F}\) for its ring of integers and residue field. We write \(\mathbb{Q}_p\) for a choice of algebraic closure of \(\mathbb{Q}_p\). Let \(C_O\) denote the category of artinian local \(O\)-algebras with residue field \(\mathbb{F}\) and \(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}\) the category of complete noetherian local \(O\)-algebras with residue field \(\mathbb{F}\).

We sometimes write \(K\) instead of \(\mathbb{Q}_p\). Let \((p_n)_{n \geq 0}\) denote a compatible choice of \(p^\text{th}\) roots of \(-p\), with \(p_0 = -p\) and define \(K_\infty = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Q}_p(p_n)\). Let \(e := p - 1\) and choose roots \((\pi_n)_{n \geq 0}\) where \(\pi_n^e = p_n\) and \(\pi_n^{e+1} = \pi_n\). Define \(L = \mathbb{Q}_p(\pi_1)\) and \(L_\infty = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Q}_p(\pi_n)\). Let \(\Delta := \text{Gal}(L/K) \cong \text{Gal}(L_\infty/K_\infty)\). Let \(\epsilon : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \mathbb{Z}_p^e\) denote the \(p\)-adic cyclotomic character and write \(\omega\) for its reduction mod \(p\), which factors through \(\Delta\). Let \(\varpi\) denote the Teichmüller lift of \(\omega\).

The symbol \(F\) will denote a number field. If \(F_u\) is a completion at a finite place we write \(\text{Frob}_v\) for geometric Frobenius. Write \(\text{Art}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} : \mathbb{Q}_p^e \to G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\), for the Artin reciprocity map of local class field theory, normalized so that uniformizers correspond to geometric Frobenii. We write \(n_\xi\) for the unramified character of \(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\), sending geometric Frobenius \(\text{Frob}_v \mapsto \xi\). We choose the convention on Hodge-Tate weights whereby \(e\) has Hodge-Tate weight \(+1\).

Write \(B_4\) and \(T_4\) for the upper-triangular Borel and diagonal maximal torus of \(\text{GL}_4\) respectively. Let \(S_4\) be the group of permutations of \(\{0,1,2,3\}\). We identify \(X^*(T_4) \cong \mathbb{Z}^4\) in the usual way and identify the Weyl group of \(\text{GL}_4\) with \(S_4\) via the embedding \(S_4 \to \text{GL}_4\) which takes \(\sigma \in S_4\) to the monomial matrix \(M_\sigma\) such that \((M_\sigma)_{ij} = A_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)}\) for all \(A \in \text{GL}_4\). Let \(w_0 \in S_4\) denote the longest element. Let \(W_4\) denote the
identifies with the set of continuous homomorphisms $\Gamma \to (V, \iota, \delta)$. In Section 2.1 we will also implicitly work with the setoid of triples $(R)$, where $\eta = (3, 2, 1, 0) \in X_*(T_4)$, except in §2.1, where it is allowed to be a more general cocharacter.

Let $GSp_4$ denote the reductive group over $\mathbb{Z}$ defined by

$$GSp_4(R) = \{ A \in GL_4(R) \mid A^T J A = \nu J \text{ for some } \nu \in R^\times \}$$

for any commutative ring $R$, where

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

Write $\nu_{\text{sim}} : GSp_4 \to GL_5$ for the similitude character taking $A \mapsto \nu$. We also write $\text{std} : GSp_4 \to GL_4$ for the standard representation and $\text{std}' : GSp_4 \to GL_5$ for the composition of the projection $GSp_4 \to SO_5$ with the standard representation of $SO_5$. Let $T \subset GSp_4$ be the torus of diagonal matrices and $B \subset GSp_4$ the Borel subgroup of upper-triangular matrices. We identify $X^*(T)$ with $\{(a, b; c) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \mid c \equiv a + b \mod 2\}$, where $(a, b; c)$ is the character

$$\begin{pmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \\ \nu t_2^{-1} \\ \nu t_1^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto t_1^a t_2^b \nu^c.$$

There is an isomorphism

$$\text{spin} : X^*(T) \xrightarrow{\sim} X_*(T)$$

$$\mu = (a, b; c) \mapsto \bar{\mu}$$

where

$$\bar{\mu}(t) := \begin{pmatrix} t^{a+b} \\ t^c \\ t^{c-a-b} \\ t^{-c} \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

The system of positive roots determined by $B$ consists of $R^+ = \{ \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1, 2\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \}$, where $\alpha_0 = (1, -1; 0)$ and $\alpha_1 = (0, 2; 0)$. Let $W$ denote the Weyl group and $s_i \in W$ the simple reflection corresponding to root $\alpha_i$ for $i = 0, 1$. Then $W = \langle s_0, s_1 \rangle$ has size 8, with the longest element being $(s_0 s_1)^2$.

We implicitly identify $X_*(T)$ with a subgroup of $X_*(T_4)$, in which case we also identify $W$ with the subgroup of $S_4$ of permutations $s$ obeying $s_0 s_1 = s$. We fix the following elements which form a basis of $X_*(T)$:

$$\beta_0 = (1, 1, 1, 1)$$

$$\beta_1 = (1, 1, 0, 0)$$

$$\beta_2 = (2, 1, 1, 0).$$

Let $\Gamma$ be any topological group and $R$ any topological ring. Fix a continuous character $\psi : \Gamma \to R^\times$. By $\text{Rep}_R^\psi(\Gamma)$ we mean the groupoid of pairs $(V, \iota)$ where $V$ is a finite free $R$-module of rank 4 having a continuous $R$-linear $\Gamma$-action, and $\iota : V \xrightarrow{\sim} V^\vee$ is a which obeys the condition that

$$[(\iota^* \rho) \otimes \psi] \circ \iota = -\iota.$$ 

In Section 2.1 we will also implicitly work with the setoid of triples $(V, \iota, \delta)$, where $\delta$ is a morphism from $(V, \iota)$ to $R^4$ with the standard symplectic pairing. The set of equivalence classes of this setoid naturally identifies with the set of continuous homomorphisms $\Gamma \to GSp_4(R)$.

The following lemma is useful in §4.2.
Lemma 1.2.1. Let $\Gamma$ be a compact group and $\rho : \Gamma \to \GL_4(E)$ a continuous homomorphism. Let $\bar{\rho}$ be the semisimple mod $p$ reduction of $\rho$. If $\bar{\rho}$ is irreducible and $\mathbb{F}$ is sufficiently large then there exists a $\GL_4(E)$-conjugate $\rho^*$ of $\rho$ which is valued in $\GL_4(O)$ and has the same similitude character as $\rho$. The resulting (irreducible) representation $\bar{\rho}^* : \Gamma \to \GL_4(\mathbb{F})$ does not depend on the choice of $\rho^*$ up to $\GL_4(\mathbb{F})$-conjugation. \hfill $\square$

We recall some aspects of the local Langlands correspondence for $\GL_4(F_v)$. The symbol $\text{rec}_{\Gamma^T}$ denotes the finite-to-one map from isomorphism classes of irreducible smooth $\mathbb{C}$-representations of $\GL_4(F_v)$ to equivalence classes of admissible $L$-parameters constructed in [GT11]. Fix an isomorphism of fields $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p \simto \mathbb{C}$. This induces a correspondence $\text{rec}_{\Gamma^T,\iota}$ over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$. We define a normalized version of the correspondence by

$$\text{rec}_{\Gamma^T,p}(\pi) := \text{rec}_{\Gamma^T,\iota}(\pi \otimes |\nu_{\text{sim}}|^{3/2}).$$

It should be true that $\text{rec}_{\Gamma^T,p}$ depends only on a choice of square root of $p$ in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, but we don’t need to use this.

We use $\text{Ind}$ to denote the unnormalized parabolic induction functor for $\GL_4(F_v)$ and $\text{ind}$ for compact induction.

If $M$ is a topological $O$-module then $M^\vee = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}^\times}(M, E/O)$ denotes its Pontryagin dual. We also use Schikof duality $M \mapsto M^d$ which is described in the Notation section of [CEG+16].

## 2 Symplectic Galois deformations

Let $\bar{\rho} : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \GL_4(\mathbb{F})$ be a continuous representation. In this section we study symplectic deformations of $\bar{\rho}$ with a fixed similitude character $\psi : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to O^\times$. Let $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\square,\psi}$ denote the universal lifting ring for lifts $\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \GL_4(O)$ such that $\nu_{\text{sim}} \circ \rho = \psi$. In §2.1 we adapt techniques of [LLHLM18] and [KM] to give an explicit description of potentially crystalline quotients of $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\square,\psi}$ with Hodge type $\eta$ and generic tame principal series inertial type. Using this, in §2.2 we construct an interpolation of the characteristic 0 tame principal series local Langlands correspondence for $\GL_4(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, which is an ingredient in the axioms for our patched module. In §2.3 we define a family of representations $\bar{\rho}$ using Fontaine-Laffaille theory and prove the main result Theorem 2.3.10. This theorem shows where to find the data of $\bar{\rho}$ inside certain of the aforementioned deformation rings. In §2.4 we study crystalline quotients of $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\square,\psi}$ with Hodge-Tate weights in the Fontaine-Laffaille range when $\bar{\rho}$ is ordinary.

### 2.1 Some potentially crystalline deformation rings

If $\tau : I_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \GL_4(O)$ is an inertial type and $\eta \in X_c(T_4)$ a Hodge type, we let $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\eta,\tau,\psi}$ denote the unique $p$-torsion free quotient of $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\square,\psi}$ such that for any local finite $E$-algebra $B$, a morphism of $O$-algebras $\zeta : R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\square,\psi} \to B$ factors through $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\square,\psi}$ iff $\zeta \circ \rho_{\text{univ}}$ (considered as a $\GL_4(B)$-valued representation) is potentially crystalline of Hodge type $\eta$ and inertial type $\tau$. This quotient exists by Corollary 2.7.7 of [Kis08].

Lemma 2.1.1. If $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\eta,\tau,\psi}[1/p]$ is nonzero then it is formally smooth over $E$ of dimension 14.

Proof. This can be proved using the argument of [GG12, Proposition 7.2.1]. \hfill $\square$

In this section we give an explicit description of $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{(3,2,1,0),\tau,\psi}$ when $\tau$ is a generic tame principal series type. For now let $\eta$ be arbitrary. We assume that $\eta$ is contained in $[0, h]$ for some $h \geq 0$, and not contained in $[0, h')$ for any $h' < h$. In order for $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\eta,\tau,\psi}$ to be nonzero we must have $\psi = e^{h} \omega^{b} \nu_{\xi}$ for some $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\xi \in O^\times$, so we assume this for the rest of §2.1. In particular $\nu_{\text{sim}} \circ \bar{\rho} = \omega^{h} \nu_{\xi}.$

The strategy is to relate $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\eta,\tau,\psi}$ to deformations of Kisin modules, adapting methods of [LLHLM18] and [KM] to the symplectic case. We begin by recalling some notation and results from [LLHL19] concerning Kisin modules with tame descent data over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$. 
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Remark 2.1.2. Although we restrict attention to the case of tame principal series types (as this is all we need), everything in this section can be generalized to generic higher niveau tame types over an arbitrary unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, as in [LLHL18].

If $\lambda = (b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3) \in X^*(T_4)$, we write

$$\tau(1, \lambda) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^3 \bar{\omega}^j : I_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to T_4(\mathcal{O})$$

for the associated tame principal series type (the notation comes from [LLHL19]).

**Definition 2.1.3** (cf. Definition 2.2.5 in [LLHL19]). Let $\delta \geq 0$. We say that a tame principal series type $\tau : I_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to T_4(\mathcal{O})$ is $\delta$-generic if it is isomorphic to $\tau(1, \lambda)$ where $\delta < b_i - b_j < p - \delta$ for each $0 \leq i < j \leq 3$.

A lowest alcove presentation of $\tau$ is a choice of $\lambda$ obeying this condition with $\delta = 0$ such that $\tau \cong \tau(1, \lambda)$.

A lowest alcove presentation exists precisely when $\tau$ is 0-generic. A necessary condition for $R^0_{\beta} : \tau, \psi$ to be nonzero is that its lowest alcove presentation $\lambda = (b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3)$ obeys $b_0 + b_3 \equiv b_1 + b_2 \equiv 0 \mod e$, so without loss of generality we can assume

$$b_0 + b_3 = b_1 + b_2 = b.$$ (2.1.4)

From now on we let $\tau$ denote a 1-generic tame principal series type with lowest alcove presentation $\lambda$ satisfying (2.1.4).

Let $R$ denote a complete noetherian local $O$-algebra with residue field $\mathbb{F}$ which is a finite extension of $\mathbb{F}$. The group $\Delta$ has an action on $R[[u]]$ by ring automorphisms uniquely determined by the formula $\gamma ru^r = \omega(\gamma)ru^r$ for $\gamma \in \Delta, r \in R$. Set $v = u^r$ and $P(v) = v + p \in R[[u]]$. Note that $R[[u]]^{\Delta = 1} = R[[v]]$. Let $\varphi : R[[u]] \to R[[u]]$ denote the $R$-linear ring morphism sending $u \mapsto u^p$.

**Definition 2.1.5.** We let $Y^{[0, h], \tau}(R)$ denote the groupoid of rank 4 Kisin modules over $R$ of height $\leq h$ and descent data of type $\tau$. Objects are free $R[[u]]$-modules $M$ of rank 4 with a $\varphi$-semilinear endomorphism $\varphi_M : M \to M$ such that $P(v)^{1/3}$ kills the cokernel of the linearization of $\varphi_M$. Moreover, $M$ has a semilinear $\Delta$-action which commutes with $\varphi_M$ such that $M/\varphi_M \cong \tau^{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} R$ as an $R[\Delta]$-module (note the minus sign). Morphisms in this category are the obvious ones.

**Definition 2.1.6.** If $M \in Y^{[0, h], \tau}(R)$ an eigenbasis of $M$ is an $R[[u]]$-basis $\beta = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)$ such that $\Delta$ acts on $\beta_i$ by $\bar{\omega}^{-b_i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq 3$. Eigenbases always exist.

**Definition 2.1.7.** Let $M \in Y^{[0, h], \tau}(R)$ with eigenbasis $\beta$. Let $C_{\beta} \in \text{Mat}_4(R[[u]]) \cap \text{GL}_4(R((u)))$ denote the matrix such that

$$\varphi_M(\beta) = \beta \cdot C_{\beta}.$$

Let $A_{\beta} \in \text{Mat}_4(R[[v]]) \cap \text{GL}_4(R((v)))$ denote the matrix defined in Proposition 3.2.9 of [LLHL19].

We have

$$A_{\beta} = D^{-1} C_{\beta} D$$

where $D = \text{Diag}(u^{b_0-b_3}, u^{b_1-b_3}, u^{b_2-b_3}, 1)$. Note that $A_{\beta}$ is upper triangular mod $v$.

**Definition 2.1.9** (cf. Definition 5.1.1 in [KM]). If $M \in Y^{[0, h], \tau}(R)$ we define a new object $M^v \in Y^{[0, h], \tau}(R)$ by setting

$$M^v = \text{Hom}_{R[[u]]}(M, R[[u]])$$

and defining $\varphi_{M^v} : M^v \to M^v$ by

$$\varphi_{M^v}(f)(m) = \xi \cdot \varphi(f(\varphi_M^{-1}(P(v)^h m)))$$

for all $f \in M^v, m \in M$. This definition makes sense because $\varphi_M$ is automatically injective, by an argument similar to Lemma 1.2.2(1) in [Kis99].

We endow $M^v$ with descent data by defining $(g \cdot f)(m) = \bar{\omega}(g)^{-b} g \cdot f(g^{-1} \cdot m)$ for $g \in \Delta, f \in M^v, m \in M$. One checks that this defines an involutive functor $Y^{[0, h], \tau}(R) \to Y^{[0, h], \tau}(R)$. 


Lemma 2.1.10. Let \( M \in Y^{[0,h],\tau}(R) \). If \( \beta \) is an eigenbasis of \( M \) then \( \beta^\vee w_0 \) and \( \beta^\vee J \) are eigenbasis of \( M^\vee \). We have \( A_{\beta^\vee \cdot w_0} = \xi \cdot P(v)^h w_0 A_{\beta^\vee \cdot w_0} \) and \( A_{\beta^\vee J} = \xi \cdot P(v)^h J A^{-1}_{\beta^\vee J} \).

Proof. This follows from a simple computation. □

Let \( \mathcal{I}(R) \) denote the subgroup of \( \text{GL}_4(R[[v]]) \) consisting of matrices that are upper triangular mod \( v \). In what follows we identify \( \bar{W}_4 \) with a subgroup of \( \text{GL}_4(R((v))) \) by sending \( t_{\lambda,s} \mapsto v^\lambda s \) for \( \lambda \in X_4(T_4) \) and \( s \in S_4 \). Thus \( \text{GL}_4(F((v))) \) is the disjoint union of \( \mathcal{I}(F')\bar{w}\mathcal{I}(F') \) for \( \bar{w} \in \bar{W}_4 \).

Definition 2.1.11 (cf. Definition 3.2.11 in [LLHL19]). If \( M \in Y^{[0,h],\tau}(F') \) and \( \beta \) is an eigenbasis we the shape of \( \beta \) to be the unique \( \bar{w} \in \bar{W}_4 \) such that \( A_{\beta} \in \mathcal{I}(F')\bar{w}\mathcal{I}(F') \). The 1-genericity of \( \tau \) ensures that the shape of \( \beta \) is independent of \( \beta \), so we call \( \bar{w} \) the shape of \( M \).

If \( \bar{w} \in \bar{W}_4 \) we define the set of matrices \( U_{\bar{w}}(F') \subset \text{GL}_4(F'((v))) \) as in the paragraphs before Definition 3.2.23 in [LLHL19], and we say that \( \beta \) is a gauge basis of \( M \) if it is an eigenbasis such that \( A_{\beta} \in \bar{w}U_{\bar{w}}(F') \).

Lemma 2.1.12. Assume that \( \tau \) is 4-generic. Let \( M \in Y^{[0,h],\tau}(F') \). The set of gauge bases of \( M \) is a torsor for \( T_4(F') \).

Proof. The existence of a gauge basis follows immediately from the definition. The remark after Example 3.2.24 in [LLHL19] shows that since \( \tau \) is 4-generic, gauge bases are unique up to scaling by diagonal matrices. □

Definition 2.1.13. Let \( M \in Y^{[0,h],\tau}(R) \) and suppose that \( M \mod \mathfrak{m}_R \) is of shape \( \bar{w} \). We say that an eigenbasis \( \beta \) of \( M \) is a gauge basis if

- \( \beta \mod \mathfrak{m}_R \) is a gauge basis of \( M \mod \mathfrak{m}_R \) in the sense above, and
- \( A_{\beta} \in \mathcal{I}^-(R)\bar{w}\mathcal{I}(R) \), where \( \mathcal{I}^-(R) \) is defined to be the subgroup of \( \text{GL}_n(R[v^{-1}]) \) consisting of matrices that are lower-triangular mod \( v^{-1} \).

The second condition is equivalent to asking that \( A_{\beta} \) satisfies the degree bounds in Proposition 3.4.3 of [LLHL19].

Proposition 2.1.14 (cf. Proposition 3.4.3 of [LLHL19]). Assume that \( \tau \) is 4-generic. Let \( M \in Y^{[0,h],\tau}(R) \) and fix a gauge basis \( \bar{\beta} \) of \( M/\mathfrak{m}_R \). Then there exists a gauge basis \( \beta \) of \( M \) lifting \( \bar{\beta} \), and \( \beta \) is unique up to multiplication by an element of \( \ker(T_4(R) \to T_4(F')) \).

Proof. This is a straightforward generalization of the argument of [LLHL18, §4] from \( \text{GL}_3 \) to \( \text{GL}_4 \). □

Lemma 2.1.15. If \( M \in Y^{[0,h],\tau}(F') \) has shape \( \bar{w} \) then \( M^\vee \) has shape \( v^hw_0\bar{w}^{-1}w_0 \). If \( \beta \) is a gauge basis of \( M \in Y^{[0,h],\tau}(R) \) then \( \beta^\vee J \) is a gauge basis of \( M^\vee \).

Proof. The first statement follows from a computation using Lemma 2.1.10. The proof of the second statement then follows in the case \( R = F' \) by a computation with affine root groups (and the definition of \( U_{\bar{w}}(F') \)). Given this case of general \( R \) now follows from Lemma 2.1.10 and the definition of gauge basis. □

If \( M \) is a Kisin module with descent data we let \( \mathcal{M} = M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[v]]} \mathcal{O}_{E,L} \) denote the associated étale \( \varphi \)-module with descent data defined in §2.3 of [LLHL18]. We have the contravariant functor to \( G_{K_\infty} \)-representations (loc. cit.)

\[
T_{dd}^*: Y^{[0,h],\tau}(R) \to \text{Rep}_R(G_{K_\infty}).
\]

given by \( T_{dd}^*(M) := V_{dd}^*(\mathcal{M}) := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p,\mathcal{O}_{E,L}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_{E_{\infty,K}}) \) given a \( G_{K_\infty} \)-action via \( g \cdot f = g \circ f \circ \bar{g}^{-1} \). Here \( \bar{g} \) denotes the image of \( g \) in \( \text{Gal}(L_\infty/K_\infty) \cong \Delta \).

Proposition 2.1.16. If \( M \in Y^{[0,h],\tau}(R) \) there is a canonical isomorphism of \( G_{K_\infty} \)-representations

\[
\text{can} : T_{dd}^*(M^\vee) \to T_{dd}(M)^\vee \otimes_R \psi|G_{K_\infty}
\]

where the dual on the right hand side is the \( R \)-linear dual. Moreover, if \( f : M \to N \) is a morphism of Kisin modules then under the identification above we have \( T_{dd}(f^\vee) = T_{dd}(f)^\vee \otimes_R \psi|G_{K_\infty} \).
This can be shown similar to Proposition 3.4.1.7 of [Bro08] (cf. [KM, Proposition 5.2]).

**Definition 2.1.17.** (i) We define $Y^{[0,h],\tau,\psi}(R)$ to be the groupoid of pairs $(M, \alpha)$ where $M \in Y^{[0,h],\tau}(R)$ and $\alpha : M \to M^\vee$ is an isomorphism of Kisin modules such that

$$(\alpha^\vee)^{-1} \circ \alpha = -\text{id}_M.$$ 

This equation will be referred to as the alternating condition. Morphisms in this category are the obvious ones.

(ii) We say that $(M, \alpha) \in Y^{[0,h],\tau,\psi}(F)$ has shape $\bar{w} \in \bar{W}_4^\vee$ if $M$ has shape $\bar{w}$.

(iii) If $(M, \alpha) \in Y^{[0,h],\tau,\psi}(R)$, we define an eigenbasis (resp. a gauge basis) of $(M, \alpha)$ to be an eigenbasis (resp. gauge basis) $\beta$ of $M$ such that

$$\alpha(\beta) = \beta^\vee J.$$ 

\(\text{(2.1.18)}\)

**Remark 2.1.19.** (i) If $(M, \alpha) \in Y^{[0,h],\tau,\psi}(F)$ has shape $\bar{w}$ then we must have $\bar{w} = v^3w_0\bar{w}^{-1}w_0$ by Lemma 2.1.15(i).

(ii) The existence of a basis satisfying (2.1.18) implies that $\alpha$ satisfies the alternating condition.

**Lemma 2.1.20.** Assume that $\tau$ is 4-generic.

(i) Let $(M, \alpha) \in Y^{[0,h],\tau,\psi}(F)$. The set of gauge bases of $(M, \alpha)$ is nonempty and is a torsor for $T'(F')$, where $T'$ is the diagonal torus of $Sp_4$.

(ii) Let $(M, \alpha) \in Y^{[0,h],\tau,\psi}(R)$ and fix a gauge basis $\bar{\beta}$ of $M/\mathfrak{m}_R$. The set of gauge bases of $(M, \alpha)$ lifting $\bar{\beta}$ is nonempty and is a torsor for $T'(R) \to T'(F')$.

**Proof.** (i) Let $\beta$ be any gauge basis of $M$. Then $\alpha(\beta)$ is a gauge basis of $M^\vee$. By Lemma 2.1.12 and Lemma 2.1.15(ii) we have $\alpha(\beta) = \beta^\vee Jt^{-1}$ for some $t \in T'_4(F)$. The alternating condition implies that $tJt^{-1} = J$. An arbitrary gauge basis of $M$ is of the form $\beta c^{-1}$ for some $c \in T_4(F')$ by Lemma 2.1.12. Replacing $\beta$ by $\beta c^{-1}$, the condition (2.1.18) becomes equivalent to $cJc = Jt^{-1}$, which can be solved in $T_4(F')$ by the condition on $t$ above. This proves the existence of gauge bases.

If $\beta$ and $\beta c^{-1}$ are both gauge bases of $(M, \alpha)$ for some $c \in T_4(F')$ then (2.1.18) implies $cJc = J$, i.e. $c \in T'(F')$. This proves the uniqueness.

(ii) The proof follows the same strategy as (i), but appealing to Proposition 2.1.14.

We define a contravariant functor

$$T^*_\text{dd} : Y^{[0,h],\tau,\psi}(R) \to \text{Rep}_R^\psi(G_{K_\infty})$$ 

\(\text{(2.1.21)}\)

by sending $(M, \alpha)$ to $(T^*_\text{dd}(M), \text{can} \circ T^*_\text{dd}(\alpha)^{-1})$.

We now put Hodge type $\eta$ conditions on our Kisin modules. Recall from [LLHLM18] the subgroupoid $Y^{\eta,\tau}(R) \subseteq Y^{[0,h],\tau}(R)$.

**Definition 2.1.22.** We define the groupoid $Y^{\eta,\tau,\psi}(R) \subseteq Y^{[0,h],\tau,\psi}(R)$ to consist of the pairs $(M, \alpha)$ with $M \in Y^{\eta,\tau}(R)$.

Finally we can establish the connection between Kisin modules and potentially crystalline lifting rings.

**Notation 2.1.23.** In what follows, if $R$ is a symplectic lifting ring (perhaps with various super- and subscripts) then $R'$ (with the same decorations minus the fixed similitude character) denotes the corresponding $\text{GL}_4$-valued lifting ring. For example, $R^\psi_{\eta,\tau}$ having been defined at the beginning of this section, we write $R^\eta_{\tau}$ for the $\text{GL}_4$-valued potentially crystalline lifting ring of $\hat{\rho}$ of type $(\eta, \tau)$.

**Proposition 2.1.24.** Assume that $\tau$ is 4-generic.

(i) If $R_{\eta,\tau}^\psi \neq 0$ there exists a unique $\overline{M} \in Y^{\eta,\tau}(F)$ up to isomorphism such that $T^*_\text{dd}(\overline{M}) \cong \hat{\rho}|_{G_{K_\infty}}$. 
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(ii) Assume that \( R_\rho^{\eta,\tau} \neq 0 \). Fix a choice of \( \overline{M} \) as in (i) and an isomorphism \( \bar{\delta} : T_{\text{dd}}^* (\overline{M}) \to \rho|_{G_{K_{\infty}}} \). There exists a unique map \( \bar{\alpha} : \overline{M} \to \overline{M}' \) making \( (\overline{M}, \bar{\alpha}) \) an object of \( Y^{\eta,\tau,\psi}(F) \) such that \( T_{\text{dd}}^* (\overline{M}, \bar{\alpha}) \) identifies with the symplectic \( G_{K_{\infty}} \)-representation \( \rho|_{G_{K_{\infty}}} \) under \( \bar{\delta} \).

**Proof.** (i) The existence of \( \overline{M} \) follows from Corollary 5.18 in [CL18]. The uniqueness follows from a straightforward generalization of Theorem 3.2 of [LLHLM18] (triviality of the Kisin variety).

(ii) Let \( \overline{M} \) denote the étale \( \varphi \)-module associated with \( M \). By full faithfulness of \( V^*_{\text{dd}} \), the given isomorphism \( T_{\text{dd}}(\overline{M}) \to T_{\text{dd}}^*(\overline{M}) \otimes \psi \xrightarrow{\text{can}} T_{\text{dd}}^*(\overline{M}') \) induces an alternating isomorphism \( \alpha : \overline{M} \to \overline{M}' \). Both \( \alpha(\overline{M}) \) and \( \overline{M}' \) are lattices in \( \overline{M}' \) belonging to \( Y^{[0,\eta,\tau]}(F) \). By triviality of the Kisin variety we deduce that \( \alpha(\overline{M}) = \overline{M}' \). This proves existence. If there were two such maps \( \alpha_1 \) and \( \alpha_2 \) then by faithfulness of \( V^*_{\text{dd}} \), \( \text{im}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \) would be a submodule of \( \overline{M}' \) which vanishes upon tensoring with \( O_{\xi,L} \), hence must be 0.

**Definition 2.1.25.** If \( R_\rho^{\eta,\tau} \neq 0 \) we define the shape of \( (\bar{\rho}, \tau) \) to be the shape of \( (\text{the unique}) \overline{M} \) in Proposition 2.1.24(i).

**Situation 2.1.26.** From now on specialize to the case \( \eta = (3, 2, 1, 0) \) (and \( h = 3 \)). Let \( \bar{\rho} \) and \( \psi \) be as at the beginning of §2.1 and let \( \tau \) be a 4-generic tame principal series type such that \( R_\rho^{\eta,\tau} \neq 0 \). Fix data \( (\overline{M}, \bar{\alpha}), \bar{\delta} \) as in Proposition 2.1.24(ii), as well as a gauge basis \( \bar{\beta} \) of \( (\overline{M}, \bar{\alpha}) \). Let \( \bar{w} \) denote the shape of \( (\bar{\rho}, \tau) \). We also assume that \( \text{ad}(\bar{\rho}) \) is cyclotomic-free in the sense of [LLHLM18, §3.3].

Cyclotomic-freeness holds if \( \bar{\rho} \) is sufficiently generic and in particular holds in our applications.

We now define some deformation problems. All data in the following is assumed to be compatible with \( \bar{\rho}, (\overline{M}, \bar{\alpha}), \bar{\beta}, \bar{\delta} \). For \( A \in C_{\mathcal{O}} \):

- \( D_\rho^{\eta,\tau,\psi} \) is the deformation problem represented by \( R_\rho^{\eta,\tau,\psi} \).
- \( D_\rho^{\eta,\tau,\psi} : (\overline{M}, \bar{\alpha}) \to (\overline{M}, \bar{\alpha}) \) is the set of tuples \( (M, \alpha, \rho, \delta) \) where \( (M, \alpha) \in Y^{\eta,\tau,\psi}(A) \), \( \rho \in D_\rho^{\eta,\tau,\psi}(A) \), and \( \delta : T_{\text{dd}}^*(M, \alpha) \xrightarrow{\text{can}} \rho|_{G_{K_{\infty}}} \) is a symplectic isomorphism.
- \( D_\rho^{\eta,\tau,\psi} : (\overline{M}, \bar{\alpha}), \bar{\beta} \) is the set of tuples \( (M, \alpha, \beta, \rho, \delta) \) where \( (M, \alpha, \rho, \delta) \) is as above and \( \beta \) is a gauge basis of \( (M, \alpha) \).
- \( D_\rho^{\eta,\tau,\psi} : (\overline{M}, \bar{\alpha}), \bar{\beta}, \bar{\delta} \) is the set of tuples \( (M, \alpha, \beta, \beta') \) as above, where \( \beta' \) is a symplectic basis of \( T_{\text{dd}}^*(M, \alpha) \) making \( T_{\text{dd}}^*(M, \alpha), \beta' \) a symplectic framed deformation of \( \rho|_{G_{K_{\infty}}} \).
- \( D_\rho^{\eta,\tau,\psi} : (\overline{M}, \bar{\alpha}) \) is the set of tuples \( (M, \alpha, \beta) \) as above.

**Proposition 2.1.27.** The map \( D_\rho^{\eta,\tau,\psi} : (\overline{M}, \bar{\alpha}), \bar{\beta} \to D_\rho^{\eta,\tau,\psi} \) taking \( (M, \alpha, \rho, \delta) \mapsto \rho \) is an isomorphism. In particular, \( D_\rho^{\eta,\tau,\psi} \) is representable by \( R_\rho^{\eta,\tau,\psi} \).

**Proof.** By Corollary 3.6 of [LLHLM18] (actually its natural generalization to \( \text{GL}_4 \)) says that since \( \tau \) is 4-generic the map \( D_\rho^{\eta,\tau,\psi} : (\overline{M}, \bar{\alpha}) \to D_\rho^{\eta,\tau,\psi} \) taking \( (M, \alpha, \rho, \delta) \mapsto \rho \) is an isomorphism. It follows that the deformation problem \( D_\rho^{\eta,\tau,\psi} \) of tuples \( (M, \rho, \delta) \) as above but with \( \rho \in D_\rho^{\eta,\tau,\psi}(A) \) is isomorphic to \( D_\rho^{\eta,\tau,\psi} \) via the same map \( (M, \rho, \delta) \mapsto \rho \). To conclude the proof, we need to show the following statement: given \( (M, \rho, \delta) \in D_\rho^{\eta,\tau,\psi}(A) \), there exists a unique map \( \alpha : M \to M' \) making \( (M, \alpha) \) an object of \( Y^{\eta,\tau,\psi}(A) \) lifting \( (\overline{M}, \bar{\alpha}) \) such that \( \delta \) induces a symplectic isomorphism \( T_{\text{dd}}^*(M, \alpha) \cong \rho|_{G_{K_{\infty}}} \). This now follows from an argument similar to the proof of Proposition 2.1.24.

We next give an explicit description of the universal symplectic lift of \( (\overline{M}, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}) \) of type \( (\eta, \tau) \).
Definition 2.1.28. Let \( \tilde{A} \) denote a matrix belonging to \( \tilde{u}U_{\tilde{a}}(F) \). The deformation problem which assigns to \( A \in C_{\tilde{a}} \) the set of matrices in \( \text{Mat}_4(A[v]) \) lifting \( \tilde{A} \) modulo \( m_{\tilde{a}} \) and satisfying the degree conditions in Definition 2.1.13 is representable by a power series ring \( R_{\tilde{a},\tilde{A}} \). Let \( A^{univ} \in \text{Mat}_4(R_{\tilde{a},\tilde{A}}[v]) \) denote the universal lift.

Let \( I \leq \eta \leq R_{\tilde{a},\tilde{A}} \) denote the collection of polynomial equations resulting from the following Hodge type conditions on \( I^{univ} \):

- all \( 2 \times 2 \) minors of \( A^{univ} \) are divisible by \( P(v) \), and
- all \( 3 \times 3 \) minors are divisible by \( P(v)^3 \), and
- \( \det(A^{univ}) \) is a unit times \( P(v)^6 \).

Let \( I^\psi \leq R_{\tilde{a},\tilde{A}} \) denote the collection of polynomial equations resulting from imposing the following symplecticity condition on \( A^{univ} \):

- \( (A^{univ})^t J A^{univ} = \xi P(v)^3 J \).

Lemma 2.1.29. \( D^{\eta,\tau,\psi}_{(M,\alpha),\beta} \) is representable by an object \( R^{\eta,\tau,\psi}_{(M,\alpha),\beta} \) of \( \tilde{C}_R \) isomorphic to \( ((R_{\tilde{a},\tilde{A}}/I^{\leq \eta})^{p,flat,red})/I^\psi \).

Proof. Let \( D^{\eta,\tau,\psi}_{(M,\alpha),\beta} \) denote the deformation problem of pairs \( (M,\beta) \). Proposition 3.4.7 of [LLHL19] shows that it is representable by

\[
R^{\eta,\tau,\psi}_{(M,\alpha),\beta} := (R_{\tilde{a},\tilde{A}}/I^{\leq \eta})^{p,flat,red}.
\]

The condition for \( (M,\beta) \in D^{\eta,\tau,\psi}_{(M,\alpha),\beta}(A) \) to belong to \( D^{\eta,\tau,\psi}_{(M,\alpha),\beta}(A) \) is for there to exist an isomorphism \( \alpha : M \rightarrow M^\psi \) such that \( \alpha(\beta) = \beta^\psi J \). Such an isomorphism is clearly unique and by Lemma 2.1.10 exists iff \( A_\beta^t J A_\beta = \xi P(v)^3 J \). The claim follows. \( \square \)

Remark 2.1.30. It follows that the remaining deformation problems defined above are representable. To see this note that the natural map \( D^{\eta,\tau,\psi,\square}_{(M,\alpha),\beta} \rightarrow D^{\eta,\tau,\psi}_{(M,\alpha),\beta} \) which forgets the gauge basis is formally smooth of relative dimension 2 by Lemma 2.1.20(ii), and the natural map \( D^{\eta,\tau,\psi,\square}_{(M,\alpha),\beta} \rightarrow D^{\eta,\tau,\psi}_{(M,\alpha),\beta} \) which forgets the symplectic basis on \( T_{\text{dd}}(M,\alpha) \) is formally smooth of relative dimension 10 by elementary considerations. In each case, we denote the representing ring by \( R \) with the appropriate decorations.

Monodromy. We recall the monodromy condition on \( R^{\eta,\tau,\psi}_{(M,\alpha),\beta} \) defined in [LLHL19, §3.4] (cf. [LLHM18, §5] for background), which cuts out the locus of \( \text{Spec}(R^{\eta,\tau,\psi}_{(M,\alpha),\beta}[1/p]) \) whose closed points correspond to Kisin modules that arise via restriction to \( G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \)-representations having Hodge-Tate weights \( \leq \eta \) (which are necessarily potentially crystalline of inertial type \( \tau \)). Let \( (M^{univ},\beta^{univ}) \) be the universal object over \( R^{\eta,\tau,\psi}_{(M,\alpha),\beta} \) and write \( A := A_{\beta^{univ}} \). Let

\[
P_N(A) := \left( -ev \frac{dv}{dv} A - [\text{Diag}(b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3), A] \right) P(v)^3 A^{-1} \in \text{Mat}_4(R^{\eta,\tau,\psi}_{(M,\alpha),\beta}[v]).
\]

The monodromy condition can be expressed as

\[
-P_N(A) + M \bigg|_{v=-p} = \frac{d}{dv} (-P_N(A) + M) \bigg|_{v=-p} = 0 \tag{2.1.31}
\]

where \( M \in \text{Mat}_4(C^{\text{rig}}_{R^{\eta,\tau,\psi}_{(M,\alpha),\beta}}) \) is an “error term” such that

\[
M \bigg|_{v=-p} = \frac{d}{dv} M \bigg|_{v=-p} \in p^4 \text{Mat}_4(R^{\eta,\tau,\psi}_{(M,\alpha),\beta}).
\]
For this, see the proof of Proposition 3.4.12 in [LLHL19]. Let $I^{\text{mon}}$ denote the ideal of $R_{(M,\beta)}^{n,\tau}$ corresponding to the 32 equations in (2.1.31), and let $R_{(M,\beta)}^{n,\tau,\nabla}$ be the corresponding $p$-flat and reduced quotient. Moreover, define

$$R_{(M,\beta)}^{n,\tau,\nabla} := R_{(M,\beta)}^{n,\tau,\square} \otimes_{R_{(\mathbb{M},\beta)}^{n,\tau,\square}} R_{(\mathbb{M},\beta)}^{n,\tau,\nabla}.$$ 

This allows us to define two more symplectic deformation problems which take into account the monodromy condition.

- We define $R_{(M,\alpha,\beta,\rho,\delta)}^{n,\tau,\psi,\nabla} = R_{(M,\alpha,\beta)}^{n,\tau,\psi} \otimes_{R_{(M,\beta)}^{n,\tau,\square}} R_{(M,\beta)}^{n,\tau,\nabla}$.
- Similarly, $R_{(M,\alpha,\beta,\rho,\delta)}^{n,\tau,\psi,\square} = R_{(M,\alpha,\beta)}^{n,\tau,\psi} \otimes_{R_{(M,\beta)}^{n,\tau,\square}} R_{(M,\beta)}^{n,\tau,\square}$.

The following diagram relates all the symplectic deformation problems defined above. It is analogous to [LLHLM18, Diagram (5.9)]. Hooked arrows denote closed immersions, and f.s. stands for a formally smooth map. The square is cartesian by definition.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Spf } R_{(M,\alpha,\beta)}^{n,\tau,\psi,\nabla} & \xrightarrow{\text{f.s.}} & \text{Spf } R_{(M,\alpha,\beta)}^{n,\tau,\psi,\nabla} \\
\gamma & \circlearrowright & \gamma \\
\text{Spf } R_{(M,\alpha,\beta,\rho,\delta)}^{n,\tau,\psi,\square} & \xrightarrow{\text{f.s.}} & \text{Spf } R_{(M,\alpha,\beta)}^{n,\tau,\psi,\square} \\
\xrightarrow{\text{f.s.}} & \text{Spf } R_{(M,\alpha,\beta,\rho,\delta)}^{n,\tau,\psi,\square}
\end{array}$$

(2.1.32)

The only map which has yet to be discussed is $\xi$. It is defined to take $(M,\alpha,\beta,\rho,\delta)$ to $(M,\alpha,\beta,\beta')$ where $\beta'$ is the symplectic basis of $T_{\text{dd}}(M,\alpha)$ induced by the isomorphism $\delta$. The assumption that $\text{ad}(\bar{\rho})$ is cyclotomic-free implies that $\xi$ is a closed immersion, by appealing to Proposition 3.12 of [LLHLM18].

**Proposition 2.1.33.** In Situation 2.1.26, the map $\xi$ above induces a closed immersion $\text{Spf}(R_{(M,\alpha,\beta,\rho,\delta)}^{n,\tau,\psi,\square}) \hookrightarrow \text{Spf}(R_{(M,\alpha,\beta,\rho,\delta)}^{n,\tau,\psi,\square})$, which is an isomorphism if every $\mathbb{Q}_p$-point of the latter corresponds to a potentially crystalline lift of Hodge-Tate weights exactly $\eta$.

**Proof.** Since $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{n,\tau,\psi}$ is $p$-flat and reduced (by Lemma 2.1.1), it follows that $\xi$ factors through a map $\text{Spf}(R_{(M,\alpha,\beta,\rho,\delta)}^{n,\tau,\psi,\square}) \hookrightarrow \text{Spf}(R_{(M,\alpha,\beta,\rho,\delta)}^{n,\tau,\psi,\square})$. Since both these rings are $p$-flat and reduced, it suffices to show factorization at the level of $\mathbb{Q}_p$-points. But this follows from the argument in the proof of Theorem 5.12 of [LLHLM18].

To see that the induced map is, by the same argument it suffices to show that for every finite extension $\mathcal{O}'/\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{O}'$-point $(M,\alpha,\beta,\beta')$ of $\text{Spf}(R_{(M,\alpha,\beta,\rho,\delta)}^{n,\tau,\psi,\square})$, $\rho_1 := T_{\text{dd}}(M,\alpha)[1/p] : G_{K_{\infty}} \rightarrow \text{GSp}_4(E')$ extends to a symplectic potentially crystalline representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ of type $(\eta,\tau)$. By the condition on Hodge–Tate weights, it only remains to show the symplecticity. This follows from the isomorphism between $\rho_1$ and $\rho_\psi \oplus \psi$ and the uniqueness of the extension ([LLHLM18, Corollary 3.6]).

**Definition 2.1.34.** We say that $(\bar{\rho},\tau)$ is **good** if the condition on the Hodge-Tate weights in the previous proposition holds.

In practice, goodness can be read off the shape of $(\bar{\rho},\tau)$ after applying the Hodge type $\leq \eta$ conditions to the universal Kisin module. In this situation, Proposition 2.1.33 and (2.1.32) furnish our desired description of $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{n,\tau,\psi}$.

**Corollary 2.1.35.** In Situation 2.1.26, if $(\bar{\rho},\tau)$ is good then there is an isomorphism

$$R_{\bar{\rho}}^{n,\tau,\psi}[[x_1, x_2]] \cong (R_{\bar{\psi}, \lambda, \beta}/(I_{\leq \eta} + I^\psi + I^{\text{mon}}))^{p\text{-flat,red}}[[y_1, \ldots, y_{10}]].$$

\[\square\]
For the remainder of the section we discuss universal Frobenius eigenvalues. By definition, a closed point \(x \in \text{Spec}(R^\eta_{\beta,\psi}^\Delta)\) with residue field \(E_x\) gives rise to a representation \(\rho_x : G\mathbb{Q}_p \to \text{GSp}_4(E_x)\) such that \(\text{WD}(\rho_x)|_{I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \cong \tau\). Write \(r_x := \text{WD}(\rho_x)\). For \(0 \leq i \leq 3\), let \(t_{x,i} \in E_x\) be the eigenvalue of \(r_x(\text{Frob})\) on the \(I_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = \omega^{b^3}\) eigenspace. By symplecticity we have \(t_{x,0}t_{x,3} = t_{x,1}t_{x,2} = \text{WD}(\psi)(\text{Frob}) = \xi p^3\).

**Definition 2.1.36.** For \(0 \leq i \leq 3\) we define the universal Frobenius eigenvalue \(\Lambda_i \in R^\eta_{\beta,\psi}(\mathbb{M},\alpha,\beta)\) to be the image of \((A^{\text{univ}})_{ii} \mod v \in R_{\mathbb{A}_3}\) under the quotient of Lemma 2.1.29.

The next proposition explains this terminology.

**Proposition 2.1.37.** For \(0 \leq i \leq 3\), let \(\theta_i \in R^\eta_{\beta,\psi}(\mathbb{M},\alpha,\beta,\beta)\) denote the image of \(\Lambda_i\) under the composite morphism in (2.1.32). Then

(i) \(\theta_i\) lies in the subalgebra \(R^\eta_{\beta,\psi}(\mathbb{M},\alpha,\beta)\).

(ii) For any closed point \(x \in \text{Spec} R^\eta_{\beta,\psi}(1/p)\), the image of \(\theta_i\) in \(E_x\) is equal to \(t_{x,i}\).

**Proof.** The map \(R^\eta_{\beta,\psi}(\mathbb{M},\alpha,\beta) \to R^\eta_{\beta,\psi}(\mathbb{M},\alpha,\beta)\) which forgets the gauge basis \(\beta\) is formally smooth (Remark 2.1.30). As \(\theta_i\) is clearly independent of the choice of \(\beta\), it lies in the subalgebra \(R^\eta_{\beta,\psi}(\mathbb{M},\alpha,\beta)\).

Observe that if \(x \in \text{Spec}(R^\eta_{\beta,\psi}(\mathbb{M},\alpha,\beta,\beta,\beta,\beta)\) is a closed point with residue field \(E_x\) then there is a canonical \(\varphi\)- and \(\Delta\)-equivariant isomorphism of \(E_x\) modules (cf. [Kis08, §2.5(1)])

\[
(M_x/uM_x)[1/p] \cong D^\ast \left( T_{\text{dR}}(M_x)[1/p] |_{GL_2} \right).
\]

It follows that \(D^\ast(\rho_x)\) has an eigenbasis \(e = (e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3)\) such that \(\Delta\) acts on \(e_i\) by \(\omega^{b^3}\) and \(\varphi(e_i) = ((A_{\beta_i})_{ii}) \mod v\)\(^{-1}e_i\). The second claim now follows from the definition of \(\text{WD}(\rho_x)\). \(\square\)

**Remark 2.1.38.** Note that the symplecticity condition says \(\theta_0\theta_3 = \theta_1\theta_2 = \xi p^3\). In particular, the \(\theta_i\) are units in \(R^\eta_{\beta,\psi}(1/p)\).

### 2.2 Hecke algebras and deformation rings

In this section \(G\) denotes \(\text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_p)\) and \(K = \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Z}_p)\). Let \(\tilde{\rho} : G\mathbb{Q}_p \to \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{F})\) be a continuous representation, \(\psi = c^\beta b^{-3} m_{\xi} : G\mathbb{Q}_p \to \mathcal{O}^\times\) a fixed similitude character, and \(\tau : I_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \text{GSp}_4(\mathcal{O})\) a generic tame principal series inertial type. In this section we reformulate Proposition 2.1.37 as the existence of a morphism of \(\mathcal{O}\)-algebras \(\Theta_{\tilde{\rho},\tau} : \mathcal{H}(\sigma(\tau)) \to R^\eta_{\beta,\psi}(1/p)\) which interpolates the local Langlands correspondence for \(G\) in the Bernstein block corresponding to \(\tau\). Here \(\mathcal{H}(\sigma(\tau))\) is a Hecke algebra over \(E\) which is defined below. This morphism will be used to express classical local-global compatibility in §3.3.

**Remark 2.2.1.** In [CEG+16, §3], given any inertial type \(\tau\) for \(\text{GL}_n(F)\), the authors show the existence of a “K-type” \(\sigma(\tau)\) for the Bernstein block corresponding to \(\tau\) which detects irreducible smooth representations \(\pi\) whose corresponding \(L\)-parameter has trivial monodromy operator. In §4 they show the existence of a morphism (there denoted \(\eta\)) analogous to \(\Theta_{\tilde{\rho},\tau}\). The case we are concerned with here is more concrete, and in fact we make it completely explicit.

**Definition 2.2.2.** We say that \(\mu = (x, y, z) \in X^*(T)\) is regular if its \(W\)-orbit has size \(|W| = 8\). We say that it is irreducible if none of \(x, y, x \pm y\) is equal to \(\pm 1\).

In this section let \(\mu = (x, y, z) \in X^*(T)\) be a regular and irreducible weight. Let \(\tau = \tau(id, \tilde{\mu}) : I_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \text{GSp}_4(\mathcal{O})\); this is a lowest alcove presentation. If \(\chi = \chi_x \times \chi_y \times \chi_z : T(\mathbb{Q}_p) \to \mathbb{T}_\mathbb{Q}_p^\times\) is a smooth character such that \(\chi_{i|_{\mathbb{Z}_p}} = \omega^{x,y}\circ \text{Art}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\) for \(i \in \{x, y\}\) and \(\chi_{i|_{\mathbb{Z}_p}} = \omega^{(x-y)/2}\circ \text{Art}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\) the irreducibility of \(\mu\) guarantees that the principal series representation \(\pi = \text{Ind}_{B_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}^{\text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(\chi)\) is irreducible (see [BCGP21, Proposition 2.4.6]). These \(\pi\) form the set of irreducible representations belonging to a Bernstein block which we denote \(\Omega_{\tau}\).
Lemma 2.2.3. For any principal series representation $\pi = \text{Ind}_{B(F_\mathfrak{p})}^{GSp_4(Q_\mathfrak{p})}(\chi)$ as above,

(i) $\text{rec}_{GT, p}(\pi) = (\rho, 0)$ where

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix}
\chi x y z | \cdot |^{-3} & \chi x y z | \cdot |^{-2} & \chi y z | \cdot |^{-1} \\
1 & 1 & 1 \\
x y z & 1 & 1
\end{pmatrix} \circ \text{Art}_{Q_\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}.$$

(ii) The inverse image of $(\rho, 0)$ under $\text{rec}_{GT, p}$ is equal to $\{\pi\}$.

Proof. See the references in [BCGP21, Proposition 2.4.6].

If $R$ is any $\mathcal{O}$-algebra we write $\bar{\mu}_R$ for the character

$$\text{Iw} \rightarrow B(F_\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow T(F_\mathfrak{p}) \xrightarrow{\mu} F_\mathfrak{p}^\times \rightarrow R^\times$$

where the final map is the Teichmüller lift. We define

$$\sigma(\tau) := \text{Ind}_{\text{Iw}}^{K}(\bar{\mu})_E.$$

This is an irreducible $E$-representation of $K$ because $\mu$ is regular. The next lemma expresses the fact that $\sigma(\tau)$ is a $K$-type for $\Omega_\tau$.

Lemma 2.2.4. If $\pi$ is an irreducible smooth $\overline{G}_p$-representation of $G$ then $\text{Hom}_K(\sigma(\tau), \pi) \neq 0$ if and only if $\pi \in \Omega_\tau$. In this case $\text{Hom}_K(\sigma(\tau), \pi)$ is 1-dimensional.

Proof. The first claim follows from Theorem 7.7 of [Roc98]. The second claim follows from Frobenius reciprocity and the Iwasawa decomposition $G(Q_\mathfrak{p}) = B(Q_\mathfrak{p})K$.

We define the associated Hecke algebra

$$\mathcal{H}(\sigma(\tau)) := \text{End}_{E\otimes G}(\text{ind}_{\text{Iw}}^K(\sigma(\tau))).$$

We will describe the structure of $\mathcal{H}(\sigma(\tau))$ in terms of the integral pro-$p$ Iwahori-Hecke algebra

$$\mathcal{H}_1 := \text{End}_{E\otimes G}(\text{ind}_{\text{Iw}}^G(1_{\mathcal{O}})).$$

For $\bar{w} \in \overline{W}^\vee(1)$ let $T_{\bar{w}} = [\text{Iw}_1 \bar{w} \text{Iw}_1]$. The set $(T_{\bar{w}})_{\bar{w} \in \overline{W}^\vee(1)}$ forms an $\mathcal{O}$-basis of $\mathcal{H}_1$ (the Iwahori-Matsumoto basis) satisfying the braid and quadratic relations (cf. [Vig95]). If $t \in T(Z_\mathfrak{p})/T(Z_\mathfrak{p})$, then we have $T_i T_{\bar{w}} = T_{\bar{w}T_i}$ and $T_{\bar{w}} T_i = T_{\bar{w}T_i}$, so for each $\theta : T(F_\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\times$ there is an idempotent $\epsilon_\theta = \frac{1}{|T(F_\mathfrak{p})|} \sum_{t \in T(Z_\mathfrak{p})/T(Z_\mathfrak{p})} \theta(t)^{-1} T_i \in \mathcal{H}_1$ such that $\mathcal{H}_1^\chi := \epsilon_\theta \mathcal{H}_1 \epsilon_\theta$ identifies with $\text{End}_{E\otimes G}(\text{ind}_{\text{Iw}}^G(\theta))$.

Let $X_\ast(T)^+ \subset X_\ast(T)$ be the submonoid of dominant cocharacters. There is a homomorphism

$$E[X_\ast(T)] \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1[1/p]$$

$$\lambda = xy^{-1} \mapsto \delta_B^{1/2}(x(p)) T_x(p) (\delta_B^{1/2}(x(p)) T_y(p))^{-1}$$

for $\lambda \in X_\ast(T)$, $x, y \in X_\ast(T)^+$. Let $\pi$ be as in Lemma 2.2.3. By [BCGP21, Proposition 2.4.3, 2.4.4], there is an isomorphism of $E[X_\ast(T)]$-modules

$$\pi^\text{Iw}_1 \simeq \bigoplus_{w \in W} E(w \cdot \delta_B^{1/2} \chi^{-1})$$

$$\pi^\text{Iw} \simeq E(w \cdot \delta_B^{1/2} \chi^{-1}).$$

For the second isomorphism, we can view $\pi^\text{Iw} = \pi^\text{Iw}_1$ as $E[X_\ast(T)]$-module by a $\mathcal{O}$-monoid map $\mathcal{O}[X_\ast(T)^+] \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1^w$ which sends $\lambda \in X_\ast(T)^+$ to $\delta_B^{1/2}(\lambda(p)) \epsilon_{w T} T_{\lambda(p)}$. When $w = \text{id}$, this extends to an isomorphism

$$E[X_\ast(T)] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}(\sigma(\tau))$$

by §5 of [Roc98].
Remark 2.2.7. Following [Vig04], we use the right action of $\mathcal{H}_1$ on $\pi^{Iw_1}$. In particular, this is different from the Hecke algebra action in [BCGP21], which is defined as the left action. If $f \in \mathcal{H}_1$, viewed as an $Iw_1$-equivariant function on $G$, right action by $f$ on $\pi^{Iw_1}$ is the same as the left action by $f$ defined as a function on $G$ sending $g \in G$ to $f(g^{-1})$. In particular, the right action by $T_\lambda$ on $\pi^{Iw_1}$ is the same as the left action by $T_{-\lambda}$. This explains the difference between (2.2.5) and [BCGP21, Proposition 2.4.4].

Now fix a continuous representation $\rho : G_{Q_p} \to \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{F})$ and a similitude character $\psi : G_{Q_p} \to \mathcal{O}^\times$. Assume $R^{\rho, \tau, \psi}_\wp \neq 0$. If $x \in \text{Spec}(R^{\rho, \tau, \psi}_\wp[1/p])$ is a closed point with residue field $E_x$, let $\rho_x : G_{Q_p} \to \text{GSp}_4(E_x)$ denote the corresponding lift of $\rho$. Since WD($\rho_x$)|$I_{Q_p} \cong \tau$ is regular, by Lemma 2.2.3(ii) the $L$-packet of $(\text{WD}(\rho_x), 0)$ under rec$_{GT,p}$ consists of a unique principal series representation $\pi_x \in \Omega_\tau$ defined over $E_x$.

**Proposition 2.2.8.** Assume that $\tau$ is $4$-generic. There exists a unique map of $E$-algebras $\Theta_{\tilde{\rho}, \tau} : \mathcal{H}(\sigma(\tau)) \to R^{\rho, \tau, \psi}_\wp[1/p]$ characterized by the property that for any closed point $x \in \text{Spec}(R^{\rho, \tau, \psi}_\wp[1/p])$, the tautological action of $\mathcal{H}(\sigma(\tau))$ on $\text{Hom}_{E[\mathcal{K}]}(\sigma(\tau), \pi_x)$ is given by the character

$$\mathcal{H}(\sigma(\tau)) \xrightarrow{\Theta_{\tilde{\rho}, \tau}} R^{\rho, \tau, \psi}_\wp[1/p] \xrightarrow{ev_x} E_x.$$  

**Proof.** Given (2.2.5) and (2.2.6), this statement is just a reformulation of Proposition 2.1.37. By Lemma 2.2.3, $\Theta_{\tilde{\rho}, \tau}$ must take $\beta_0 \mapsto \xi^{-1}$, $\beta_1 \mapsto p^3 \theta_0^{-1}$ and $\beta_2 \mapsto p^5 \theta_0^{-1} \theta_1^{-1}$. This gives a well defined map because of Remark 2.1.38. Uniqueness follows from the fact that $R^{\rho, \tau, \psi}_\wp[1/p]$ is a reduced and Jacobson ring.  

**Remark 2.2.9.** The statement of this proposition is modeled after Theorem 4.1 of [CEG+16]. We could prove more general results by using their method, but the situation at hand is rather concrete so we gave an explicit proof.

If $w \in W$, note that $\sigma(\tau)^w := \text{Ind}_K^G(w \mu_o)$ is isomorphic to a $K$-equivariant $\mathcal{O}$-lattice in $\sigma(\tau)$. Hence $\mathcal{H}(\sigma(\tau)^w) := \text{End}_{O[G]}(\text{Ind}_K^G(\sigma(\tau)^w)) \cong H^{\mu, \tau}_1$ is isomorphic to an $\mathcal{O}$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}(\sigma(\tau))$. It follows from the definition of $\Theta_{\tilde{\rho}, \tau}$ that for each closed $x \in \text{Spec}(R^{\rho, \tau, \psi}_\wp[1/p])$ the composite map

$$\mathcal{H}^{\mu, \tau}_1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}(\sigma(\tau)) \xrightarrow{\Theta_{\tilde{\rho}, \tau}} R^{\rho, \tau, \psi}_\wp[1/p]$$

when composed with $ev_x$ gives the natural action of $\mathcal{H}^{\mu, \tau}_1$ on $\pi^{Iw_1=w\tilde{\rho}}$. We write the composition map (2.2.10) by $\Theta^w$.

**Corollary 2.2.11.** Let $\lambda = (0, 0, -1, -1) \in X_*(T)$. Under the map (2.2.10), the image of $T_\lambda w\tilde{\rho}$ is given by

| $w$  | image in $R^{\rho, \tau, \psi}_\wp[1/p]$ |
|------|-------------------------------------|
| id, $s_0$ | $\theta_3$ |
| $s_1, s_0 s_1$ | $\theta_2$ |
| $s_1 s_0, s_0 s_1 s_0$ | $\theta_1$ |
| $s_1 s_0 s_1, (s_0 s_1)^2$ | $\theta_0$ |

**Proof.** This calculation is similar to the previous one.  

### 2.3 Frobenius eigenvalues and extension classes

Let $\psi$ be as in §2.2. We now specify a family of mod $p$ Galois representations. Let $\tilde{\rho} : G_{Q_p} \to \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{F})$ be a continuous representation of the form

$$\tilde{\rho} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \omega^{a_3 \text{nr} \xi_3} & * & * & * \\ * & \omega^{a_2 \text{nr} \xi_2} & * & * \\ * & * & \omega^{a_1 \text{nr} \xi_1} & * \\ * & * & * & \omega^{a_0 \text{nr} \xi_0} \end{pmatrix}$$

(2.3.1)
Lemma 2.3.3. Proof. If $\phi$ is maximally non-split if the off-diagonal extension classes are nonzero. For an integer $\delta \geq 0$ we say that $\bar{\rho}$ is inertially $\delta$-generic if

$$\delta < a_i - a_j < p - \delta \quad \forall i > j.$$ 

This implies that $p > 2\delta$. From now on, assume that $\bar{\rho}$ is maximally non-split and inertially 2-generic. As in §2.1 of [HLM17], this implies that $\bar{\rho}$ is Fontaine-Laffaille with filtration jumps at $a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3$. Moreover, if $M_0$ is a Fontaine-Laffaille module such that $T_{\text{cris}}^*(M_0) \cong \bar{\rho}$, there exists a basis $\underline{e}$ unique up to scalar multiple such that

$$\text{Mat}_F(\phi_{M_0}) = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_0 & 1 & x_{02} & x_{03} \\ \xi_1 & 1 & x_{13} \\ \xi_2 & 0 & 1 \\ \xi_3 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$ (2.3.2)

for some $x_{ij} \in F$.

Let $M_0^\vee$ be the (b-twisted) dual Fontaine–Laffaille module of $M_0$ (cf. [Boo19, Definition 4.5]). It is defined in terms of the following data:

- $M_0^\vee = \text{Hom}_F(M_0, F)$,
- $\text{Fil}^i M_0^\vee = \{ \phi \in M_0^\vee \mid |\phi|_{\text{Fil}^b i M_0} = 0 \}$,
- $f \in \text{Fil}^i M_0^\vee$ and $x \in \text{Fil}^j M_0$, $\varphi_{M_0^\vee,i}(f)$ is the unique element satisfying

$$\varphi_{M_0^\vee,i}(f)(\varphi_{M_0,j}(x)) = \begin{cases} \varphi(f(x)) \quad &\text{if } i + j = b \\ 0 \quad &\text{if } i + j \neq b \end{cases}.$$ (Here, $\varphi$ denotes the absolute Frobenius.)

**Lemma 2.3.3.** We have $T_{\text{cris}}^*(M_0^\vee) \simeq \bar{\rho}^\vee \otimes \omega^b$.

**Proof.** This can be proven using the analogous statement for Breuil modules. Let $\overline{\mathcal{S}} = \mathbb{F}[u]/u^p$ and $\mathcal{M}_0 = \mathcal{F}_b(M_0) := M_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \overline{\mathcal{S}}$ be a Breuil module associated to $M_0$ ([HLM17, Appendix A]). Recall the dual Breuil module $\mathcal{M}_0^*$ from [EGH13, Definition 3.2.8] (we take $r$ in loc. cit. as $b$). Since $T_{\text{cris}}^*(M_0^\vee) \simeq T_{\text{st}}(\mathcal{F}_b(M_0^\vee))$ (see, the proof of Proposition 2.2.1 in [HLM17]) and $T_{\text{st}}^*(\mathcal{M}_0^*) \simeq T_{\text{st}}^*(\mathcal{M}_0)^\vee(b)$ ([EGH13, Definition 3.2.8]), it suffices to show that $\mathcal{F}_b(M_0^\vee) \simeq \mathcal{F}_b(M_0)^*$.

One can identify underlying modules

$$\mathcal{F}_b(M_0^\vee) = \text{Hom}_\mathbb{F}(M_0, \mathbb{F}) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \overline{\mathcal{S}} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(M_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \overline{\mathcal{S}}, \overline{\mathcal{S}}) = \mathcal{F}_b(M_0)^*.$$ Using $\text{Fil}^i \overline{\mathcal{S}} = u^i \overline{\mathcal{S}}$ for $0 \leq i \leq b$, we have

$$\text{Fil}^b \mathcal{F}_b(M_0^\vee) = \text{Fil}^b M_0^\vee \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \overline{\mathcal{S}} + \text{Fil}^{b-1} M_0^\vee \otimes u \overline{\mathcal{S}} + \cdots + M_0^\vee \otimes u^b \overline{\mathcal{S}}$$

$$\text{Fil}^b \mathcal{F}_b(M_0)^* = \{ f \in \mathcal{F}_b(M_0)^* \mid \forall 0 \leq i \leq b, f(\text{Fil}^i M_0 \otimes u^b \overline{\mathcal{S}}) \subset u^i \overline{\mathcal{S}} \}.$$ Noting that $\text{Fil}^i M_0^\vee \otimes u^b \overline{\mathcal{S}} = \{ u^{b-i} f \mid f \in \mathcal{F}_b(M_0)^* \}$, one easily see that $\text{Fil}^b \mathcal{F}_b(M_0^\vee) \subset \text{Fil}^b \mathcal{F}_b(M_0)^*$. Conversely, note that if $f \in \text{Fil}^b \mathcal{F}_b(M_0)^*$ satisfies

$$f|_{\text{Fil}^{b-1} M_0} = 0, f|_{\text{Fil}^{b-1} M_0} \neq 0,$$

there exists $f' \in \text{Fil}^i M_0^\vee \otimes u^{b-i} \overline{\mathcal{S}}$ such that $f - f'|_{\text{Fil}^{b-1} M_0} = 0$. Using this argument inductively, we can show that $\text{Fil}^b \mathcal{F}_b(M_0^\vee) \subset \text{Fil}^b \mathcal{F}_b(M_0)^*$.

Taking $s u^{b-i} \otimes f \in \text{Fil}^{b-i} \overline{\mathcal{S}} \otimes \text{Fil}^i M_0^\vee$ and $s' u^{b-j} \otimes m \in \text{Fil}^{b-j} \overline{\mathcal{S}} \otimes \text{Fil}^j M_0$, one can explicitly check that Frobenius $\varphi_b$ of both $\mathcal{F}_b(M_0^\vee)$ and $\mathcal{F}_b(M_0)^*$ satisfies

$$\varphi_b(s u^{b-i} \otimes f)(\varphi_b(s' u^{b-j} \otimes m)) = \begin{cases} \varphi(s s' f(m)) \quad &\text{if } i + j = b \\ 0 \quad &\text{if } i + j \neq b \end{cases},$$

and $\varphi_b$ is uniquely characterized by this property. (Here, $c$ is defined in [EGH13, §3.2]) \qed
By the symplecticity of \( \bar{\rho} \), we have \( M_0 \simeq M_0^\vee \otimes F(\xi) \) where \( F(\xi) \) is a rank 1 Fontaine–Laffaille module with \( \varphi = \xi \) and filtration jump at 0. Let \( \xi^\vee \) be the dual basis of \( \xi \). A simple computation tells us that

\[
\xi \text{Mat}_{\xi^\vee w_0}(\varphi M_0) = \xi w_0 \text{Mat}_{\xi}(\varphi M_0)^{-1} w_0
\]

is equivalent to

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\xi_0 & -\xi_1 \xi_3^{-1} & \xi_3^{-1}(1 - \xi_2 x_{13}) & -x_{03} + \xi_1^{-1} x_{13} + \xi_2^{-1} x_{02} - \xi^{-1} \\
\xi_1 & 1 & \xi_1^{-1}(1 - \xi_2 x_{13}) & x_{03} - \xi_1^{-1} x_{13} - \xi_2^{-1} x_{02} + \xi^{-1} \\
\xi_2 & 1 & \xi_2^{-1}(1 - \xi_1 x_{02}) & 1 \\
\xi_3 & 1 & & \xi_3
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Conjugating this by \( \text{Diag}(-1, \xi_1 \xi_3^{-1}, -\xi_1 \xi_3^{-1}, 1) \), we can show that \( M_0^\vee \otimes F(\xi) \) has a basis with associated Frobenius matrix given by

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\xi_0 & 1 & \xi_1^{-1}(1 - \xi_2 x_{13}) & x_{03} - \xi_1^{-1} x_{13} - \xi_2^{-1} x_{02} + \xi^{-1} \\
\xi_1 & 1 & \xi_2^{-1}(1 - \xi_1 x_{02}) & 1 \\
\xi_2 & 1 & & \xi_3
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

We can conclude that the symplecticity of \( \bar{\rho} \) is equivalent to

\[
\xi_1 x_{02} + \xi_2 x_{13} = 1. \tag{2.3.4}
\]

**Remark 2.3.5.** The isomorphism class of \( \bar{\rho} \) is therefore uniquely determined by its semisimplification as well as the parameters \( x_{02}, x_{03} \).

**Definition 2.3.6.** Associated with \( \bar{\rho} \) as above, we define the symplectic inertial type \( \tau_0 = \tau(\text{id}, \overline{\rho} \otimes \phi) \) where \( \mu_0 = (a_2 - a_0, a_1 - a_0 + 1; a_0 + a_3 - 3) \). This is a lowest alcove presentation.

We now introduce the genericity assumptions on the extension classes of \( \bar{\rho} \) that we will use.

**Definition 2.3.7.**

(i) A continuous representation \( \bar{\rho} \) as in (2.3.1) is **weakly \( \delta \)-generic (of weight \((a_3, a_2, a_1, a_0)\)**) if it is maximally nonsplit, inertially \( \delta \)-generic, and in (2.3.2) we have

\[
x_{03} \neq 0, \\
\xi_1 x_{03} - x_{13} \neq 0.
\]

(ii) A continuous representation \( \bar{\rho} \) as in (2.3.1) is called **strongly \( \delta \)-generic (of weight \((a_3, a_2, a_1, a_0)\)**) if it is weakly \( \delta \)-generic and furthermore

\[
(a_3 - a_0)x_{03} - (a_2 - a_1)x_{02} \neq 0.
\]

**Proposition 2.3.8.** Assume that \( \bar{\rho} \) is weakly \( 4 \)-generic and \( R_\bar{\rho}^{\tau_0, \psi} \neq 0 \). Then

\[
\tilde{w}(\bar{\rho}, \tau_0) = \tilde{w} := 
\begin{pmatrix}
\nu^2 \\
\nu
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and if \((\overline{M}, \tilde{\alpha}) \in \text{Y}^{\nu, \tau_0, \psi}(\mathbb{F})\) and \( \tilde{\delta} \) are as in Proposition 2.1.24(ii), then \((\overline{M}, \tilde{\alpha})\) has a gauge basis \( \tilde{\beta} \) such that

\[
A_{\tilde{\beta}} = 
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{x_{03}}{\xi} v^2 & 0 & -\frac{x_{03}}{\xi} v^2 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{x_{03}}{\xi (1 - x_{13} x_{03})} v^2 & 0 \\
x_{03} v & -\frac{x_{03}}{\xi} (1 - x_{13} x_{03}) v^2 & \frac{x_{03}}{\xi} v & \frac{x_{03}}{\xi} v^2
\end{pmatrix}.
\tag{2.3.9}
\]
Proof. Let $F$ denote the matrix in (2.3.2). Let $F$ denote the functor from Fontaine-Laffaille modules to étale $\varphi$-modules constructed in [HLM17], Appendix A.1. Let $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M} \otimes \mathbb{F}((u))$ denote the étale $\varphi$-module with descent data associated with $\mathfrak{M}$. By Proposition 2.2.1 of [HLM17], we must have

$$\mathfrak{M}^{\Delta = 1} \cong F(M_0).$$

It now follows from Lemma 2.2.7 of [HLM17] that $\mathfrak{M}^{\Delta = 1}$ has a basis $\bar{\beta}''$ such that $\varphi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\bar{\beta}'') = \bar{\beta}'$.$*$Diag$(u^{a_0}, v^{a_1}, v^{a_2}, v^{a_3})$. $F$. Now observe that

$$\bar{\beta}' := \bar{\beta}'' \cdot F^{-1}w_0 \begin{pmatrix} u^{-a_3+1} & u^{-a_2+2} & u^{-a_1+1} & u^{-a_0+2} \end{pmatrix}$$

is an eigenbasis of $\mathfrak{M}$ such that

$$\varphi_{\mathfrak{M}}(\bar{\beta}') = \bar{\beta}' \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \xi_3 u^e & u^{a_3+a_2-1} & 0 & 0 \\ u^{a_3+a_2-1} & u^{2e} & u^{a_2+a_1+1} & 0 \\ x_{13} u^{e-a_3+a_1} & u^{2e-a_2+a_1+1} & u^{a_1+a_0-1} & 0 \\ x_{03} u^{e-a_3+a_0-1} & x_{02} u^{2e-a_2+a_0} & u^{e-a_1+a_0-1} & \xi_0 u^{2e} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows from triviality of the Kisin variety that $\bar{\beta}'$ is an eigenbasis of $M$. We compute

$$A_{\bar{\beta}'} = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_3 v & \xi_2 v^2 & \xi_1 v & \xi_0 v^2 \\ x_{13} v & x_{03} v^2 & x_{02} v^2 & \xi_1 v \\ x_{13} v & x_{03} v^2 & x_{02} v^2 & \xi_1 v \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using (2.3.4) and weak genericity, one computes that $A_{\bar{\beta}'} \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{F}) \bar{w} \bar{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{F})$, which proves the claim about the shape of $(\bar{\rho}, \tau_0)$. We compute that there exists $X \in \mathcal{I}_1(\mathbb{F})$ and $t \in T_1(\mathbb{F})$ such that $t^{-1} XA_{\bar{\beta}'} t$ is equal to (2.3.9). By [LLHLM18, Lemma 2.20] this implies that $M$ has an eigenbasis $\bar{\beta}$ obeying (2.3.9). Since $A_{\bar{\beta}}$ obeys the symplecticity condition the proof is complete.

We can now prove our main theorem on the Galois side. As in the previous section we write $\lambda = (0, 0, -1, -1) \in X_*(T)$.

**Theorem 2.3.10.** Assume that $\bar{\rho}$ is strongly 4-generic and $R^\eta_{\bar{\rho}} \neq 0$. Then $R^\eta_{\bar{\rho}}$ is formally smooth over $\mathcal{O}$, and for $w \in W$ the image of $T_{\lambda} e_{w, \bar{\mu}_0}$ under the morphism (2.2.10) is equal to $p^{k_w} r_w$, where $k_w \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r_w \in R^\eta_{\bar{\rho}}$ is a unit whose reduction modulo the maximal ideal is given by the following table.

| $w$ | $k_w$ | $r_w \mod m_{R^\eta_{\bar{\rho}}} \in \mathbb{F}^\times$ |
|-----|-------|----------------------------------|
| $\lambda_1 s_0$ | 2 | $\zeta_1$ |
| $s_1, s_0 s_1$ | 1 | $\zeta_2$ |
| $s_1 s_0, s_0 s_1 s_0$ | 2 | $\xi \zeta_2^{-1}$ |
| $s_1 s_0 s_1, (s_0 s_1)^2$ | 1 | $\xi \zeta_1^{-1}$ |

where

$$\zeta_1 := \frac{1}{\xi (a_3 - a_0 + 2)} \left[ (a_3 - a_0) \xi_0 - (a_2 - a_1) \frac{\xi_0 x_{02}}{\xi_2 x_{03}} \right]$$

$$\zeta_2 := \xi_1 - \frac{x_{13}}{x_{03}}.$$

**Remark 2.3.11.** Observe that given the diagonal characters of $\bar{\rho}$, $\zeta_1$ and $\zeta_2$ uniquely determine $\bar{\rho}$ by Remark 2.3.5.
Proof. Fix \((\bar{M}, \bar{a}, \bar{\delta}, \bar{\beta})\) as in Proposition 2.3.8. Let \(A^{univ}\) denote the universal object of \(R_{\bar{w}, A_{\bar{\beta}}}\) as in Definition 2.1.28. We may write

\[
A^{univ} = \begin{pmatrix}
  c_{00} + c_{01} P(v) & c_{02} & c_{03} + \frac{c_{03} P(v)}{\xi} \\
  0 & c_{11} + c_{11} P(v) & c_{12} \\
  0 & c_{12} & c_{13} + c_{13} P(v)
\end{pmatrix}
\]

with \(c_{ij} \in R_{\bar{w}, A_{\bar{\beta}}}, \) reducing modulo the maximal ideal to (2.3.9) (the superscript * indicates a unit). We now apply the monodromy equation (2.1.31) to this matrix. The result is 32 equations (some empty)

\[
c''_{11} = c_{12} = c''_{13} = c'_{21} = c''_{22} = c'_{23} = 0.
\]

Then applying the 3 \(\times\) 3 minor condition on the \((0, 3)\)- and \((1, 1)\)-minors immediately gives

\[
c'_{11} = c'_{13} = 0.
\]

With \(A^{univ}\) simplified in this way, we may apply the remainder of the Hodge and symplectic equations to it. After simplifying the resulting numerous equations (using \(p\)-flatness), there are 10 variables \(c_{00}, c_{13}, c_{21}, c_{22}, c_{01}, c_{31}, c_{33}, c_{33}, c_{33}\) remaining and \(A^{univ}\) becomes the matrix

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  c_{00} & \frac{c_{00} c_{33} + c_{31} P(v)}{\xi} & c_{02} \\
  0 & c_{21} v P(v) & c_{22} \\
  c_{30} v & c_{31} v P(v) & c_{33}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

satisfying the single equation

\[
c_{00} (c''_{33} + p c'_{33} + p^2 c_{33}) = \xi p^3. \quad (2.3.12)
\]

We now apply the monodromy equation (2.1.31) to this matrix. The result is 32 equations (some empty) each consisting of a polynomial part, which come from the remaining of \(P_N (A^{univ})\) and \(d/dv)P_N (A^{univ})\) at \(v = -p\), together with an error term which is \(O(p^4)\) by genericity.

A tedious calculation shows that after simplification (using \(p\)-flatness), the effect of applying the monodromy equations and (2.3.12) is that \(c'_{31}, c'_{33}\) and \(c'_{33}\) can be solved for in terms of the other variables, leaving 7 variables satisfying the single equation

\[
c_{00} \left[ \frac{e + a_1 - a_2 + 1}{e + a_0 - a_3 - 1} c_{13} c_{31} c_{22} + \frac{a_0 - a_3 - 1 - e}{e + a_0 - a_3 - 1} \xi c_{33} \right] = \xi^2 p + O(p^2) \quad (2.3.13)
\]

The strong genericity condition ensures that the expression in square brackets is a unit. We can therefore use this equation to eliminate \(c_{00}\), leaving 6 free variables. From the form of the universal Kisin module it is now clear that \((\bar{\rho}, \tau)\) is good so by Corollary 2.1.35 it follows that \(R_{\delta \tau}^{\omega} v\) is formally smooth (of relative dimension 14) over \(\mathcal{O}\).

For the second claim, note first that \(\theta_1 = (\xi/c_{22}) p^2\) and \(\theta_2 = c_{22} p\). Moreover, (2.3.13) makes it clear that \(\theta_0 = c_{00}\) is equal to \(p\) times a unit whose reduction modulo the maximal ideal is equal to

\[
\xi (a_3 - a_0 + 2) \left[ (a_3 - a_0) \xi_0 - (a_2 - a_1) \frac{\xi_0 x_{02}}{\xi_2 x_{03}} \right]^{-1}.
\]

The claim now follows from Corollary 2.2.11. \(\square\)
2.4 Crystalline deformation rings

In this section we show that certain symplectic crystalline deformation rings in the Fontaine-Laffaille range are formally smooth. While this result should hold in general, we make the assumption that the residual Galois representation is ordinary and generic in order to use an ad hoc argument.

Let \( \lambda = (a_3, a_2, a_1, a_0) \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \) and let \( \tilde{\rho} : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \mathrm{GSp}_4(\mathbb{F}) \) be as in (2.3.1). Assume that \( a_{i+1} - a_i \geq 2 \) for \( i = 0, 1, 2 \) and \( a_3 - a_0 \leq p - 2 \). Write \( \mathbb{F}^4 = \text{Fil}^0 \supset \text{Fil}^1 \supset \cdots \supset \text{Fil}^3 \supset 0 \) for the unique full flag preserved by \( \tilde{\rho} \). Fix a character \( \psi = \epsilon^{|r|} \xi : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \mathcal{O}^\times \) lifting the similitude character of \( \tilde{\rho} \). We define a deformation functor \( \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{\rho}}^\lambda \) by sending \( A \in \mathcal{C}_\mathbb{C} \) to the set of continuous homomorphisms \( \rho : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \mathrm{GSp}_4(A) \) lifting \( \tilde{\rho} \) of similitude character \( \psi \) such that there exists a filtration \( A^4 = \text{Fil}^0 \supset \text{Fil}^1 \supset \cdots \supset \text{Fil}^3 \supset 0 \) by \( A \)-direct summands which is preserved by \( \rho \) such that \( \text{Fil}^i \otimes_A \mathbb{F} = \text{Fil}^i \) and \( (\text{Fil}^i / \text{Fil}^{i+1})|_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = A(\epsilon^{a_i}) \) for \( 0 \leq i \leq 3 \). Note that if such a filtration exists it is unique by [CHT08, Lemma 2.4.6]. It follows that \( \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{\rho}}^\lambda \) is representable by an object \( R_{\tilde{\rho}}^\lambda \in \mathcal{C}_\mathbb{C} \).

**Lemma 2.4.1.** \( R_{\tilde{\rho}}^\lambda \) is formally smooth of dimension 15.

**Proof.** This follows from arguments similar to those of Lemmas 2.4.7 and 2.4.8 of [CHT08]. \( \square \)

Similar to §2.1, we let \( R_{\tilde{\rho}}^{\lambda, \psi} \) denote the crystalline symplectic deformation ring of Hodge type \( \lambda \). By Lemma 3.1.4 of [GG12], \( R_{\tilde{\rho}}^\lambda \) is a quotient of \( R_{\tilde{\rho}}^{\lambda, \psi} \).

**Proposition 2.4.2.** Under the conditions on \( \lambda \) above, the map \( R_{\tilde{\rho}}^{\lambda, \psi} \to R_{\tilde{\rho}}^\lambda \) is an isomorphism. In particular \( R_{\tilde{\rho}}^{\lambda, \psi} \) is formally smooth of dimension 15.

**Proof.** We deduce this from the corresponding fact for \( \mathrm{GL}_4 \). Let \( R_{\tilde{\rho}}^{\lambda, \psi} \) and \( R_{\tilde{\rho}}^\lambda \) denote the corresponding \( \mathrm{GL}_4 \)-valued deformation rings. Then \( R_{\tilde{\rho}}^{\lambda, \psi} \) is known to be formally smooth of dimension 22 by [CHT08, Lemma 2.4.1]. On the other hand \( R_{\tilde{\rho}}^\lambda \) is also formally smooth of dimension 22 by Lemmas 2.4.7 and 2.4.8 of [CHT08]. Hence they are equal and the corresponding result for \( \mathrm{GSp}_4 \) follows. \( \square \)

3 Abstract mod \( p \) local-global compatibility

3.1 The Jantzen filtration for finite reductive groups

In this section we recall some results from [Jan84]. Let \( G \) denote a connected reductive group containing a Borel subgroup \( B = TU \) with maximal torus \( T \) all defined over \( \mathbb{F}_p \). Let \( R^+ \subset R \) denote the corresponding system of positive roots. Assume that the derived subgroup of \( G \) splits over \( \mathbb{F}_p \). Let \( W = N_G(T)/T \cong N_G(T)(\mathbb{F}_p)/T(\mathbb{F}_p) \) denote the Weyl group. For \( w \in W \) set

\[ R^+_w := \{ \alpha \in R^+ \mid w(\alpha) \in -R^+ \} . \]

A character \( \lambda \in X^*(T) \) defines a map \( \tilde{\lambda} : T(\mathbb{F}_p) \to \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \) via the Teichmüller lift. If \( A \) is any \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-algebra, we define the induced left \( A[G(\mathbb{F}_p)] \)-module

\[ M(\lambda)_A := A[G(\mathbb{F}_p)]/J_\lambda \cong \text{Ind}_{B(\mathbb{F}_p)}^{G(\mathbb{F}_p)}(\tilde{\lambda}_A), \]

where \( J_\lambda \) is the left ideal of \( A[G(\mathbb{F}_p)] \) generated by the elements \( \{ b - \tilde{\lambda}_A(b) \cdot 1 \mid b \in B(\mathbb{F}_p) \} \). Note that \( M(\lambda)_A \) is a free \( A \)-module, and if \( A \to B \) is a morphism of \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-algebras then \( M(\lambda)_A \otimes B = M(\lambda)_B \).

Let \( \mathcal{H}_{1, A}^f \) denote the Hecke algebra \( \text{End}(\text{Ind}_{U(\mathbb{F}_p)}^{G(\mathbb{F}_p)}(1_A)) \). Identifying \( \mathcal{H}_{1, A}^f \) with the algebra of \( U(\mathbb{F}_p) \)-biinvariant functions \( G(\mathbb{F}_p) \to \mathbb{A} \) under convolution, we let \( T_w \in \mathcal{H}_{1, A}^f \) denote the characteristic function of
\[ U(\mathbb{F}_p)\dot{w}U(\mathbb{F}_p) \text{ for any } w \in W \text{ with lift } \dot{w} \in N_G(T)(\mathbb{F}_p). \] Then \( T_\dot{w} \) induces an intertwining homomorphism \( M(\lambda)_A \to M(w\lambda)_A \) which we denote by the same letter. Explicitly for \( S \in A(G(\mathbb{F}_p))/J_\lambda \) we have
\[
T_{\dot{w}}(S) = S \cdot \sum_{g \in U(\mathbb{F}_p)\dot{w}U(\mathbb{F}_p)} g^{-1}.
\]

**Remark 3.1.1.** If \( G \) is the base change of a split reductive group over \( \mathbb{Z}_p \), there is a natural inclusion of \( A \)-algebras \( H_{1,A}^I \hookrightarrow H_{1,A} \) into the pro-\( p \) Iwahori-Hecke algebra of \( G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \) which identifies it with the subalgebra generated by the elements \( (T_w)_{w \in W} \) and \( (T_t)_{t \in T(\mathbb{F}_p)} \). In particular, the element \( T_\dot{w} \in H_{1,A}^I \) defined above and the Iwahori-Matsumoto basis element \( T_\dot{w} \in H_{1,A} \) defined in §2.2 are identified under this inclusion. We use this without comment later on.

Given \( \lambda \in X^*(T) \) and \( w \in W \), Jantzen in [Jan84] defines a filtration \( M(\lambda)_{\mathbb{Z}_p} = M(\lambda)_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(w,0) \supseteq M(\lambda)_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(w,1) \supseteq \cdots \) of subrepresentations by setting
\[
M(\lambda)_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(w,i) = \{ m \in M(\lambda)_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mid T_{\dot{w}}(m) \in p^i M(\lambda)_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \}
\]
for \( i \geq 0 \) (it does not depend on the choice of \( \dot{w} \)). Let \( M(\lambda)_{\mathbb{F}_p}(w,i) \) denote the image of \( M(\lambda)_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(w,i) \) in \( M(\lambda)_{\mathbb{F}_p} \). We get an induced filtration
\[
M(\lambda)_{\mathbb{F}_p} = M(\lambda)_{\mathbb{F}_p}(w,0) \supseteq M(\lambda)_{\mathbb{F}_p}(w,1) \supseteq \cdots .
\]

**Theorem 3.1.2** (Satz 4.4 of [Jan84], \( q = p \) case). \( t \) Let \( \lambda \in X(T) \) and \( w \in W \). We have \( M(\lambda)_{\mathbb{F}_p}(w,i) = 0 \) iff \( i > \ell(w) \).

Jantzen’s main result concerning this filtration is an explicit “sum formula” for
\[
\nu(\lambda, w) := \sum_{i \geq 0} M(\lambda)_{\mathbb{F}_p}(w,i)
\]
in terms of the reduction mod \( p \) of Deligne-Lusztig characters. For \( (\sigma, \mu) \in W \times X^*(T) \) let \( R_\sigma(\mu) \) denote the (virtual) Deligne-Lusztig character over \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) corresponding to it in [Jan81] and let \( \overline{R}_\sigma(\mu) \) denote its reduction mod \( p \).

**Theorem 3.1.3** (Sum formula). Let \( \lambda \in X^*(T) \). For all \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \) let \( r_\alpha, m_\alpha \in \mathbb{N} \) be such that
\[
\langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle = r_\alpha + m_\alpha(p - 1), \quad 0 < r_\alpha \leq p - 1.
\]
Then for all \( w \in W \),
\[
\nu(\lambda, w) = \sum_{\alpha \in R_+^W} \left[ \overline{R}_{s_\alpha}(\lambda + m_\alpha \alpha) \right. \\
\left. - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{r_\alpha - 1} (\overline{R}_1(\lambda - j\alpha) - \overline{R}_{s_\alpha}(\lambda - (j - m_\alpha)\alpha)) \right].
\]

**Proof.** This is the special case \( q = p \) of Satz 4.2 in [Jan84].

**Remark 3.1.4.** Jantzen uses only \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-coefficients in his paper, but if we define
\[
M(\lambda)_{\mathcal{O}}(w,i) = \{ m \in M(\lambda)_{\mathcal{O}} \mid T_{\dot{w}}(m) \in p^i M(\lambda)_{\mathcal{O}} \}
\]
and let \( M(\lambda)_{\mathbb{F}}(w,i) \) be the image of \( M(\lambda)_{\mathcal{O}}(w,i) \) in \( M(\lambda)_{\mathbb{F}} \), then \( M(\lambda)_{\mathcal{O}}(w,i) = M(\lambda)_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(w,i) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O} \) and \( M(\lambda)_{\mathbb{F}}(w,i) = M(\lambda)_{\mathbb{F}_p}(w,i) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \mathbb{F} \) and the sum formula continues to hold over \( \mathbb{F} \).
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3.2 Representation theory of $\text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{F}_p)$

The goal of this section is to compute one of the Jantzen filtrations for the group $\text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{F}_p)$, for generic principal series. The method is based on the one used by Jantzen in [Jan84, §5] for $\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{F}_p)$, but the computations in our case are more tedious. Specialize the notation of the previous section to $G = \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{F}_p)$ and let $B$ and $T$ the standard upper-triangular Borel and diagonal torus respectively. We use the notation of §1.2 for roots and the Weyl group. Let $\rho' := (2, 1; 1) \in \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} \alpha + X^0(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. We make use of the dot action of $W$ on $X^*(T)$ with respect to $\rho'$.

We refer to [Jan03] for background concerning the representation theory of $G$ like the notion of $p$-alcoves and linkage. Let $X_1(T)$ denote the set of $p$-restricted weights, and $X^0(T)$ the set of $W$-invariant weights.

**Definition 3.2.1.** We use the notations $C_\bullet, D_\bullet, E_\bullet$ for the various dominant $p$-alcoves defined in Table A.1 and depicted in Figure A.2. In particular $C_0, C_1, C_2, C_3$ comprise all the $p$-restricted dominant alcoves, with $C_0$ being the lowest alcove.

**Definition 3.2.2.** We say that $\lambda \in X^*(T)$ lies $\delta$-deep in its alcove if

$$\langle \lambda + \rho', \alpha^\vee \rangle \in (\delta, p - \delta) \mod p$$

for each $\alpha \in R^+$.

**Definition 3.2.3.** A Serre weight is an irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p$-representation of $G(\mathbb{F}_p)$. All Serre weights are defined over $\mathbb{F}_p$.

**Definition 3.2.4.** If $\lambda \in X^*(T)$ we write $\chi(\lambda)$ for the $G$-character defined in [Jan03, II.5.7] given by the Weyl character formula. A useful property is that

$$\chi(w \cdot \lambda) = (-1)^{f(w)} \chi(\lambda)$$

for all $w \in W, \lambda \in X^*(T)$. If $\lambda \in X(T)^+$, we write $\chi_p(\lambda)$ for the character of the unique irreducible $G$-representation $L(\lambda)$ of highest weight $\lambda$. If $\lambda \in X_1(T)$, we write $F(\lambda)$ for the restriction of $L(\lambda)$ to a $G(\mathbb{F}_p)$-representation. It is irreducible, and in fact the map $\lambda \mapsto F(\lambda)$ induces a bijection ([GHS18, Lemma 9.2.4])

$$X_1(T)/(p - 1)X^0(T) \leftrightarrow \{ \text{Serre weights} \}.$$

We now wish to recall a sequence of well-known results in the representation theory of $G$ and $G(\mathbb{F}_p)$ that allow us to compute the right hand side of the sum formula as an explicit sum of Serre weights. The first is Jantzen’s decomposition formula which expresses the mod $p$ reductions of Deligne-Lusztig characters in terms of Weyl characters $\chi(-)$: for any $\sigma \in W$ and $\mu \in X^*(T)$ we have

$$R_\sigma(\mu) = \sum_{w_1, w_2 \in W} \gamma_{w_1, w_2}^\prime(w_1(\mu - \sigma \epsilon_{(w_1, 0)})w_2) + p\rho_{w_1} - \rho').$$

(3.2.6)

See [Her09, §5.1] for this result, and [HT13, p14+15] for the $\gamma_{w_1, w_2}^\prime$ in the case $G = \text{GSp}_4$ at hand. Using this formula as well as (3.2.5) we can write the right hand side of the sum formula as a sum of Weyl characters of dominant highest weight lying inside the alcoves of Definition 3.2.1.

Second is the decomposition of $\chi(\lambda)$ into irreducible $G$-representations when $\lambda$ lies in the alcoves of Definition 3.2.1. These formulas are easy to deduce inductively using Jantzen’s filtration of Weyl modules ([Jan03, II.8.19]) and its corresponding sum formula.

**Lemma 3.2.7.** For $\lambda$ lying inside the stated alcoves, $\chi(\lambda)$ decomposes into irreducibles $\chi_p(-)$ as in Table A.3.

The third and final ingredient is the method for decomposing $\chi_p(\lambda)$ as a $G(\mathbb{F}_p)$-representation when $\lambda \in X^*(T)^+$ lies sufficiently deep inside an alcove. If $\lambda \in X_1(T)$, the result is $F(\lambda)$ as explained above. In general, write $\lambda = \lambda_0 + p\lambda_1 + \cdots + p^n\lambda_n$ with $\lambda_i \in X_1(T)$. Then it follows from Steinberg’s theorem
(\[Jan03, \text{II.3.17}\]) that \(\chi_p(\lambda) = \prod_{i=0}^{n} \chi_p(\lambda_i)\) as a \(G(\mathbb{F}_p)\)-character. This product can be simplified by the tensor product theorem (cf. \[Hum06, \text{Proposition 6.4}\]) in certain cases. In fact, we will only need to consider the case when \(n = 1\), \(\lambda_0\) is sufficiently deep inside a \(p\)-restricted alcove \(C_\bullet\), and \(\lambda_1\) is a “small weight”. Then the tensor product says

\[
\chi_p(\lambda) = \sum_{\nu \in \chi_p(\lambda_1)} F(\lambda_0 + \nu). \tag{3.2.8}
\]

The notation means that \(\nu \in X^*(T)\) runs over all weights occurring in \(\chi_p(\lambda_1)\). We illustrate this technique with an example.

**Example 3.2.9.** Suppose that \(\lambda = (x, y, z)\) lies 1-deep in alcove \(E_0\). Then Steinberg’s theorem implies that \(L(\lambda) \cong L(x - p, y; z + p) \otimes L(1, 0; -1)\) as a \(G(\mathbb{F}_p)\)-representation. Since \(L(1, 0; -1)\) is 4-dimensional, having weights \(\nu = (1, 0; -1), (0, 1; -1), (0, -1; -1), (-1, 0; -1)\), and each weight \((x - p, y; z + p) + \nu\) lies in \(C_0\), the tensor product theorem implies that \(L(x - p, y; z + p) \otimes L(1, 0; -1) \cong \otimes_{p} L((x - p, y; z + p) + \nu)\) as a \(G\)-representation. We deduce that \(\chi_p(\lambda) = \sum_{\nu \in \chi_p(\lambda_1)} F((x - p, y; z + p) + \nu)\) as a \(G(\mathbb{F}_p)\)-representation, \(\nu\) running over the four weights above.

**Lemma 3.2.10.** Assume that \(\lambda = (x, y; z)\) is 7-deep inside a \(p\)-restricted alcove \(C_\bullet\). Then the principal series representation \(\overline{\pi}_1(\lambda)\) has 20 distinct Jordan-Hölder factors each occurring with multiplicity 1. These factors are listed in Table A.4.

**Proof.** The list of 20 Jordan-Hölder factors is easily deduced from Jantzen’s decomposition theorem (3.2.6) and Lemma 3.2.7. The fact that they each occur with multiplicity 1 can be established by a dimension count.

**Proposition 3.2.11.** Assume that \(\lambda = (x, y; z)\) is 7-deep inside a \(p\)-restricted alcove \(C_\bullet\). Then the characters of the graded pieces of \(M(\lambda)(s_1 s_0 s_1, \bullet)\) are as in Table A.4.

**Proof.** Since the principal series representation is multiplicity 1 by Lemma 3.2.10, the graded piece of the filtration to which a given Jordan-Hölder factor belongs is uniquely determined by its coefficient in \(\nu(\lambda, s_1 s_0 s_1)\). This can be evaluated explicitly using the method described above via (3.2.6), Lemma 3.2.7, and (3.2.8), the latter holding by the assumption of deepness.

One can obviously use this method to compute the graded pieces of \(M(\lambda)(w, \bullet)\) for any \(w \in W\), but we only will need to use the case \(w = s_1 s_0 s_1\).

### 3.3 Abstract mod \(p\) local-global compatibility

In this section, let \(G\) and \(K\) denote \(\text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_p)\) and the maximal compact subgroup \(\text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Z}_p)\) respectively and \(G^\mathcal{J} = \prod_G G, K^\mathcal{J} = \prod_G K\) for some finite set \(\mathcal{J}\). For each \(j \in \mathcal{J}\), fix continuous representation \(\bar{\rho}_j : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{F})\) of weight \((a_3, a_2, a_1, a_0)\) and similitude character \(\psi_{j, p\text{-cris}} = \bar{\psi}^{b-3} e^3 m_n \xi_j\) as in §2.3 so that \(R_{\bar{\rho}_j, p\text{-cris}} \neq 0\). Also we need a crystalline character \(\psi_{j, \text{cris}} = e^{b \eta_n} \xi_j\) as in §2.4. We can and do choose \(\xi_j\) as the Teichmüller lift of \(\nu_{\text{sim}}(\bar{\rho}_j)(\text{Pro mod}) \in \mathbb{F}^\times\) (this is necessary for our global setup; see Lemma 4.4.3).

Let \(R_{\infty}(\text{resp. } R_{\infty\text{cris}})\) denote \(\otimes_{j \in \mathcal{J}} R_{\bar{\rho}_j, p\text{-cris}}[[x_1, \ldots, x_h]]\) (resp. \(\otimes_{j \in \mathcal{J}} R_{\bar{\rho}_j, \text{cris}}[[x_1, \ldots, x_h]]\)) for some \(h \geq 0\) and write \(m_{\infty}\) (resp. \(m_{\infty\text{cris}}\)) for its maximal ideal. Let \(\bullet \in \{0, \text{cris}\}\), so that for example \(R_{\infty} = R_{\infty}(\text{resp. } R_{\infty\text{cris}})\).

**Definition 3.3.1.** We say that a pair of a \(R_{\infty}\)-module and a \(R_{\infty\text{cris}}\)-module \((M_{\infty}, M_{\text{cris}})\) is a congruent pair of patched modules for \((\bar{\rho}_j, \psi_{j, p\text{-cris}}, \psi_{j, \text{cris}})_{j \in \mathcal{J}}\) if it satisfies axioms (PM1)–(PM6) below.

1. **(PM1)** \(M_{\infty}\) is a finitely generated \(R_{\infty}[[K^\mathcal{J}]]\)-module with a compatible \(R_{\infty}[G^\mathcal{J}]\)-action.
2. **(PM2)** \(M_{\infty}\) is a projective profinite \(\mathcal{O}[[K^\mathcal{J}]]\)-module.
If $V$ is a finitely generated $O$-module with a continuous $K^\mathcal{J}$-action, we define the $R^*_\infty$-module $M^*_\infty(V) = \text{Hom}_{C[G,K]}^\mathcal{J}(V,(M^*_\infty)^\vee)$. By (PM2) $M^*_\infty(-)$ is an exact functor. Observe that there is a tautological right action of $\mathcal{H}(V) := \text{End}_{O^\mathcal{J}}(\text{ind}_{K^\mathcal{J}}^G(V))$ on $M^*_\infty(V)$. If $V$ is $O$-torsion free, then there is a canonical isomorphism

$$M^*_\infty(V) \cong \text{Hom}_{C[G,K]}^\mathcal{J}(V,(M^*_\infty)^d)^d$$

([CEG+16, Lemma 4.14]); in particular $M^*_\infty(V)$ is also $O$-torsion free.

**Definition 3.3.2.** If $\tau$ is a regular principal series type we set $R^\infty_{\tau}(\sigma(\tau)) := (\otimes_{j \in \mathcal{J}} R^\infty_{\rho_j,\tau,\psi_j,\Theta_j}^{\tau,\psi_j,\Theta_j})[x_1, \ldots, x_h].$ If $\mu \in X^*(T)^+$ and $\sigma = V(\mu)_{\mathfrak{Q}_p} \otimes E|_K$ is the restriction to $K$ of a Weyl module for $G$ of highest weight $\mu$ with $E$-coefficients then we define $R^\infty_{\tau}(\sigma) := (\otimes_{j \in \mathcal{J}} R^\infty_{\rho_j,\tau,\psi_j,\Theta_j}^{\tau,\psi_j,\Theta_j})[x_1, \ldots, x_h].$

For any $K$-representation $\sigma$, we write $\sigma^\mathcal{J}$ for the $K^\mathcal{J}$-representation $\otimes_\mathcal{J} \sigma$.

**PM3** Let $\sigma = \sigma(\tau)$ and $\sigma^{\text{cris}} = V(\mu)_{\mathfrak{Q}_p} \otimes E|_K$. Let $(\sigma^{\mathcal{J}})^\circ \subset \sigma^{\mathcal{J}}$ be a $K^\mathcal{J}$-equivariant $O$-lattice. If $M^*_\infty((\sigma^{\mathcal{J}})^\circ) \neq 0$ then the action of $R^\infty_{\sigma^{\mathcal{J}}}$ on $M^*_\infty((\sigma^{\mathcal{J}})^\circ)$ is maximal Cohen-Macaulay over $R^\infty_{\sigma^{\mathcal{J}}}$. Furthermore $M^*_\infty(\sigma^{\mathcal{J}}) := M^*_\infty((\sigma^{\mathcal{J}})^\circ)[1/p]$ is projective of (constant) rank $d \geq 1$ over $R^\infty_{\sigma^{\mathcal{J}}}[1/p]$.

**PM4** Let $\tau$ be a regular principal series type and $w_j \in W$ for each $j \in \mathcal{J}$. The tautological action of $\mathcal{H}((\sigma(\tau))^{w_j})_{\mathcal{J}} := (\otimes_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \mathcal{H}(\sigma(\tau))^{w_j})$ on $M^*_\infty(\sigma(\tau)^{\mathcal{J}})$ is through

$$\otimes_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \mathcal{H}(\sigma(\tau))^{w_j} \otimes_\mathcal{J} R^\infty_{\rho_j,\tau,\psi_j,\Theta_j}[1/p] \longrightarrow R^\infty_{\sigma(\tau)}[1/p].$$

**PM5** There is a canonical isomorphism between $R^\infty_{\infty}/\mathfrak{w} = R^\infty_{\infty}/\mathfrak{w}$-modules $M^*_\infty/\mathfrak{w} \cong M^*_\infty/\mathfrak{w}$.

Fix an element $w \in \mathcal{J}$ and write $\rho := \rho_w, \psi := \psi_w$. We write $M^*_{\infty,w} = \text{Hom}_{C[\prod_{j \in \mathcal{J} \setminus \{w\}} K]}(M^*_\infty,(\sigma(\tau))^{\mathcal{J}\setminus\{w\}})^d, R^\infty_{\infty,w} = R^\infty_{\rho,\psi,w}^\mathcal{J}[x_1, \ldots, x_h]$, and $m^*_{\infty,w}$ its maximal ideal. Note that $R^\infty_{\tau}$ is a $R^\infty_{\infty,w}$-algebra and $M^*_\infty,w$ is $R^\infty_{\infty,w}$-module in an obvious way. From (PM1) and (PM2) we see that

$$\pi := (M^*_{\infty,w}/m^*_{\infty,w})^\vee$$

is a smooth admissible $F[G^\mathcal{J}]$-module with $G$-action induced by $w$ component in $G^\mathcal{J}$. Our abstract mod $p$ local-global compatibility result says that one can recover (the Fontaine-Laffaille invariants of) $\rho$ from the $G$-action on $\pi$.

**Definition 3.3.4.** We define $W(M^*_\infty)$ to be the set of irreducible $F[K^\mathcal{J}]$-representations (Serre weights) $F$ such that $M^*_\infty(F) \neq 0$.

Axioms (PM1)-(PM5) make sense for any $\rho$, but the next axiom is specialized to our particular choice.

**PM6** Let $\mu_{\rho} := (a_2 - a_0 - 2, a_1 - a_0 - 1; a_0 + a_3 - 3) \in X^*(T)^+$. We assume $F(\mu_{\rho})^\mathcal{J} \in W(M^*_\infty).$

**Remark 3.3.5.** (i) The existence of a congruent pair of patched modules for $(\rho, \psi, \psi_{\text{cris}})$ is proved in §4 using the Taylor-Wiles patching method for two different setups under certain hypotheses. The variables $x_1, \ldots, x_h$, which play no role in the argument in this section, come from the patching construction.

(ii) In [CEG+16], analogues of (PM3) and (PM4) hold for all locally algebraic types $\sigma$. We have decided to work only in the generality that we need.

In the next theorem we write

$$\Pi := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ p & p \end{pmatrix} \in G.$$
It normalizes $I_w$ and $Iw_1$. Fix $\tau_0$ and $\lambda = (0,0,-1,-1) \in X_4(T)$ as in §2.3. The action of $\Pi^{-1}$ on a space of $Iw_1$-invariants is the same as that of the element $T_{w_1} \in \mathcal{H}_1$, where $T_{w_1} := t_{-\lambda}w_1 \in \overline{W}^{w_1}$, $w_1 := s_1s_0s_1 \in W$. Since $T_{w_1}$ has length zero, we have

$$T_{w_1} = T_{w_1}$$

inside $\mathcal{H}_1$ by the braid relations (cf. example [Vig05]). If $w \in W$, we have the notation $\sigma(\tau_0)^w = \text{Ind}_{w_1}^W(w_1w_0)\sigma$ from §2.2 and we write $\sigma(\tau_0)^w;i$ for what was denoted $M(w_1w_0)(w_1;i)$ in §3.1 so we have a natural inclusion $\alpha : \sigma(\tau_0)^w;i \to \sigma(\tau_0)^w$. Note these are isomorphic to $K$-equivariant $O$-lattices in $\sigma(\tau_0)$. Write $w' := w_1w$ so we have the morphism of $O[K]$-modules $T_{w_1} : \sigma(\tau_0)^w \to \sigma(\tau_0)^w$. If $M$ is any $O$-module we write $\overline{M} := M \otimes O F$. We will use the diagram of $F[K]$-modules

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\sigma(\tau_0)^{w;i} & \xrightarrow{\alpha \otimes F} & \sigma(\tau_0)^w \\
T_{w_1} & \downarrow & \\
\sigma(\tau_0)^{w'}
\end{array}$$

(3.3.7)

where $T' : \sigma(\tau_0)^{w;i} \to \sigma(\tau_0)^{w'}$ is equal to $\frac{1}{\lambda_0} T_{w_1} \circ \alpha$.

**Theorem 3.3.8.** Let $(\bar{\rho}_j, \psi_{j,p,cris}, \psi_{j,cris})_{j \in J}$ be as above and $(M_\infty, M_\infty^{\text{cris}})$ be a congruent pair of patched modules for $(\bar{\rho}_j, \psi_{j,p,cris}, \psi_{j,cris})_{j \in J}$. Suppose that $\bar{\rho}_j$ is strongly $T$-generic for each $j \in J$. Then with notation as in the above paragraph,

(1) $W(M_\infty) \cap JH(\sigma(\tau_0)^J) = \{ F(\mu_\rho)^J \}$, and

(2) Let $w \in \{ id, s_0, s_1s_0, s_0s_1s_0 \}$ and set $k_{w'}, r_{w'}$ as in Theorem 2.3.10. Taking $i = k_{w'}$, both maps in (3.3.7) become isomorphisms after applying $\text{Hom}_K(-, \pi)$. Moreover the resulting composite isomorphism $\pi^{w=\mu_\rho} \to \pi^{w=\mu_\rho}$ (by Frobenius reciprocity) is equal to $r_{w'} \Pi^{-1}$.

**Remark 3.3.9.** In particular the scalars $r_{w'} \in F^\times$ for $w \in \{ id, s_0, s_1s_0, s_0s_1s_0 \}$ are uniquely determined by the action of $G$ on $\pi$. By Remark 2.3.11, these scalars (together with the diagonal characters of $\bar{\rho}$) uniquely determine $\bar{\rho}$.

**Proof.** By Theorem 2.3.10, $R_\infty(\sigma(\tau_0))$ is formally smooth over $O$. Let $\sigma, \sigma^{\text{cris}}$ be as in (PM3) with $\tau = \tau_0$ and $\mu = \mu_\rho$. It follows from (PM3), (PM6), and the Auslander-Buchsbaum formula that $M_\infty((\sigma^{\text{cris}})^\circ)$ is free of rank $d$ over $R_\infty(\sigma(\tau_0))$. Similarly by Proposition 2.4.2, $M_\infty^{\text{cris}}((\sigma^{\text{cris}}, J)^\circ)$ is free of rank $d$ over $R_\infty^{\text{cris}}(\sigma^{\text{cris}})$. Hence by Lemma 3.2.7, $\dim \frac{M_\infty^{\text{cris}}(F(\mu_\rho)^J) \otimes m_\infty}{d} = d$. By (PM5), we have $M_\infty(F(\mu_\rho)^J) \sim M_\infty^{\text{cris}}(F(\mu_\rho)^J)$ as modules over $R_\infty/\mathfrak{m}_\infty = R_\infty^{\text{cris}}/\mathfrak{m}_\infty$.

We can choose $\sigma(\tau_0)^\circ$ so that its reduction modulo $\mathfrak{m}$ has either socle or cosocle isomorphic to $F(\mu_\rho)$ by [EGS15, Lemma 4.1.1], giving rise to maps $M_\infty(F(\mu_\rho)^J) \to M_\infty((\sigma^{\text{cris}})^\circ)$ and $M_\infty((\sigma^{\text{cris}})^\circ) \to M_\infty(F(\mu_\rho)^J)$ respectively. The former choice together with the paragraph above shows that $M_\infty(F(\mu_\rho)^J)$ is free of rank $d$ over $R_\infty(\sigma(\tau_0))/\mathfrak{m}$ and the latter choice now implies that $W(M_\infty) \cap JH(\sigma(\tau_0)^J) = \{ F(\mu_\rho)^J \}$ as claimed.

Now applying the functor $M_\infty((\sigma^{J\setminus \{w\}})^\circ \otimes (-))$ to (3.3.7) taking $i = k_{w'}$, by (1), $\alpha \otimes F$ will become an isomorphism if and only if $F(\mu_\rho)$ is a constituent of its image, which is to say a constituent of $\overline{M}(w_1w_0)(w_1, k_{w'})$. This follows when $w \in \{ id, s_0, s_1s_0, s_0s_1s_0 \}$ by Proposition 3.2.11.

On the other hand, by Theorem 3.10, (PM4), and (3.3.6) we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
M_\infty((\sigma^{J\setminus \{w\}})^\circ \otimes \sigma(\tau_0)^{w,k_{w'}}) & \xrightarrow{\alpha \otimes F} & M_\infty((\sigma^{J\setminus \{w\}})^\circ \otimes \sigma(\tau_0)^w) \\
| T' & \downarrow & \\
M_\infty((\sigma^{J\setminus \{w\}})^\circ \otimes \sigma(\tau_0)^w) & \xrightarrow{r_{w'} \Pi^{-1}} & M_\infty((\sigma^{J\setminus \{\overline{w'}\}})^\circ \otimes \sigma(\tau_0)^w).
\end{array}$$

In particular $T' \otimes F$ is also an isomorphism. Claim (2) follows now by reducing this diagram modulo $m_\infty,w$ and applying Pontryagin duality. $\square$
Remark 3.3.10. The statement of Theorem 3.3.8(2) can be interpreted more concretely. It says that for any $v \in \pi^{l_{w} = \mu_{0}^{-1}}$ there exist (many) $S \in M(w\mu_{0})_{\mathbb{O}}(w_{1}, k_{w})$ such that $S'v \neq 0$ and there is an equality

$$S_{\mathbb{I}}^{-1}v = r_{w}^{-1}S'v$$

where $S' \in M(w'\mu_{0})_{\mathbb{O}}$ is given by $T'(\tilde{S}) \mod \varpi$, with $\tilde{S} \in M(w\mu_{0})_{\mathbb{O}}$ being the Teichmüller lift of $S$. In fact, by (1) we may choose an $S$ that works for all $v$ simultaneously: choose any $S$ whose image in the unique quotient of $M(w\mu_{0})_{\mathbb{O}}$ of socle $F(\mu_{\bar{r}})$ is nonzero.

4 Existence of patched modules

In this section, we prove in some circumstances the existence of a congruent pair of patched modules $(\mathcal{M}_{\infty}, \mathcal{M}_{\infty}^{\text{cris}})$ satisfying the axioms of §3.3, by patching spaces of automorphic forms on a compact mod centre form of $\text{GSp}_{4}$. Consequently we obtain a mod $p$ local-global compatibility theorem for this group.

4.1 Some automorphic representations

Let $F$ be a totally real number field of even degree over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the $F$-group $\text{GU}_{2}(D)$ where $D$ is a quaternion algebra over $F$ ramified at all infinite places and split at all finite places (such a $D$ exists because $|F : \mathbb{Q}|$ is even). Then $\mathcal{G}$ is an inner form of $\text{GSp}_{4}$ which is compact mod centre at infinity and split at all finite places. The centre is isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_{2}$. Choosing a maximal order $\mathcal{O}_{D}$ defines an $\mathcal{O}_{D}$-structure on $\mathcal{G}$; to each finite place $v$ we fix an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{D,v} \cong \mathcal{M}_{2}(\mathcal{O}_{F,v})$. This determines an isomorphism $\iota_{v} : \mathcal{G}_{F_{v}} \to \text{GSp}_{4/F_{v}}$ which restricts to an isomorphism $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{F_{v}}) \cong \text{GSp}_{4}(\mathcal{O}_{F_{v}}).$ For each infinite place $v$ we also fix an isomorphism $\iota_{v} : \mathcal{G} \otimes_{F,v} \mathbb{C} \to \text{GSp}_{4/F_{v}}$. Let $S_{\infty}$ denote the set of infinite places of $F$.

If $v \in S_{\infty}$, equivalence classes of discrete $L$-parameters $W_{F_{v}} \to \text{GSp}_{4}(\mathbb{C})$ are in bijection with a triple $(w_{v}; k_{v}; l_{v}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}$ such that $k_{v} > l_{v} \geq 0$ and $k_{v} + l_{v} \equiv w_{v} + 1 \mod 2$ (cf. [Mok14, §3.1]). Explicitly, if we write $W_{F_{v}} = \mathbb{C}^{\infty} \cup \mathbb{C}^{\infty}j$, the discrete $L$-parameter $\phi((w_{v}; k_{v}; l_{v}))$ corresponding to $(w_{v}; k_{v}; l_{v})$ is given by

$$r \in \iota_{v} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix}
e^{-i\theta(k_{v} + l_{v})} & e^{i\theta(k_{v} - l_{v})} & e^{i\theta(-k_{v} + l_{v})} & e^{i\theta(-k_{v} - l_{v})} \\ e^{-i\theta(k_{v} + l_{v})} & e^{i\theta(k_{v} - l_{v})} & e^{i\theta(-k_{v} + l_{v})} & e^{i\theta(-k_{v} - l_{v})} \\ e^{-i\theta(k_{v} + l_{v})} & e^{i\theta(k_{v} - l_{v})} & e^{i\theta(-k_{v} + l_{v})} & e^{i\theta(-k_{v} - l_{v})} \\ e^{-i\theta(k_{v} + l_{v})} & e^{i\theta(k_{v} - l_{v})} & e^{i\theta(-k_{v} + l_{v})} & e^{i\theta(-k_{v} - l_{v})} \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$j \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$ Note the similitude character takes $z \mapsto |z|^{-2w_{v}}$ and $j \mapsto (-1)^{w_{v}}$.

For a dominant weight $\mu_{v} = (a_{v}, b_{v}; c_{v}) \in X^{+}(T)^{+}$, we let $\xi_{\mu_{v}}$ be the irreducible $\mathbb{C}$-representation of $\mathcal{G}(F_{v})$ corresponding to the $L$-parameter $\phi((-c_{v}, -a_{v}, -b_{v}, -c_{v} - a_{v}, -b_{v} + 1))$. If $\mu \in (X^{+}(T)^{+})^{S_{\infty}}$ we define $\xi_{\mu} = \bigotimes_{v \in S_{\infty}} \xi_{\mu_{v}}$. On the other hand, the $L$-packet of $\mu_{v}$ for $\text{GSp}_{4}(F_{v})$ contains two representations, one of which is holomorphic and the other generic. We write $\Pi_{\mu}^{h_{v}}$ and $\Pi_{\mu}^{g_{v}}$ for these, respectively.

Fix a Hecke character $\chi : \mathbb{A}_{F}^{\times} \to \mathbb{C}_{\times}$, serving as a central character in all that follows. We always assume that our $\infty$-types $\mu$ are compatible with $\chi$, which is to say that $\chi_{v} = (a \mapsto |a|^{-\frac{c_{v} + a}{2}})$ for all $v \in S_{\infty}$.

Let $\mathcal{A}_{\chi}$ denote the space of automorphic forms on $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{A}_{F})$ of central character $\chi$, and let $\mathcal{A}_{\chi, \mu}$ denote the $\xi_{\mu}$-isotypic part. Since $\mathcal{G}(F_{\infty})$ is compact mod centre, $\mathcal{A}_{\chi} = \bigoplus_{\mu} \mathcal{A}_{\chi, \mu}$ and

$$\mathcal{A}_{\chi, \mu} = \bigoplus_{\pi} m_{\pi} \cdot \pi$$
for some finite multiplicity $m_\pi \geq 1$, the sum running over automorphic representations $\pi$ of $G$ of central character $\chi$ such that $\pi_\infty \cong \xi_\mu$.

A multiplicity-preserving Jacquet-Langlands transfer from automorphic representations of $G$ to $\text{GSp}_4$ was proven by Sorensen in [Sor09, Theorem B] for stable and tempered representations. (See [Sor09, §1] for the definition of stable and tempered.)

Arthur described a classification of the discrete spectrum of $\text{GSp}_4$ with central character $\chi$ in [Art04]. This classification was proven in [GT19], conditional on unpublished results of Arthur, Moeglin and Waldspurger. We freely use consequences of the classification below.

**Corollary 4.1.1.** Stable and tempered automorphic representations of $G$ occur with multiplicity one in the discrete spectrum.

**Proof.** Automorphic representations of $\text{GSp}_4$ of general type (meaning its Arthur parameter is a $\chi$-self dual cuspidal automorphic representation of $\text{GL}_4$ of symplectic type - equivalently II is non-CAP and non-endoscopic) occur with multiplicity one in the discrete spectrum by Arthur’s multiplicity theorem (cf. [GT19] or [BCGP21, Theorem 2.9.3]). It follows from Arthur’s classification that an automorphic representation of $\text{GSp}_4$ being of general type is equivalent to being stable and tempered. Now the claim follows from [Sor09, Theorem B].

From now on we assume that there exists $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that
\[
  c_v = w \quad \text{for each infinite place } v. \tag{4.1.2}
\]

This assumption is necessary because any algebraic Hecke character over totally real field has a constant weight.

**Definition 4.1.3** (cf. Definition 7.6.2 of [BCGP21]). If $r : G_F \to \text{GSp}_4(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ is a continuous homomorphism, we say that $r$ is odd if for each infinite place $v$ and corresponding choice of complex conjugation $c_v \in G_F$ we have $\nu_{\text{sim}}(r(c_v)) = -1$.

**Theorem 4.1.4.** Assume that $\chi$ satisfies (4.1.2), and let $\pi$ be a stable and tempered automorphic representation of $G$ that contributes to $A_{\chi,\mu}$. For any prime $\ell$ and choice of isomorphism $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \cong \mathbb{C}$ there exists a (unique up to isomorphism) continuous semisimple representation
\[
  r_{\pi,\ell,\iota} : G_F \to \text{GSp}_4(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)
\]
satisfying:

(i) $r_{\pi,\ell,\iota}$ is de Rham at places dividing $\ell$.

(ii) $r_{\pi,\ell,\iota}$ obeys local-global compatibility
\[
  \iota \circ \text{WD}(r_{\pi,\ell,\iota}|_{G_{F_v}})^{F = s} \cong \text{rec}_{\text{GT}}(\pi_v \otimes |\nu_{\text{sim}}|^{-3/2})
\]
for each finite place $v$ of $F$. If $v | \ell$, the Hodge-Tate weights of $r_{\pi,\ell,\iota}|_{G_{F_v}}$ are given by $\tilde{\mu}_v + (3, 2, 1, 0)$.

(iii) $r_{\pi,\ell,\iota}$ has similitude character $\chi_{\ell,\iota} c^{-3}$ (where $\chi_{\ell,\iota}$ is the $\ell$-adic realization of $\chi$ through $\iota$).

**Proof.** The existence of $r_{\pi,\ell,\iota}$ satisfying local-global compatibility with the stated Hodge-Tate weights follows from [Sor09, Theorem B] together with Theorem 3.5 of [Mok14]. The symplecticity and similitude character in (i) follow from Corollary 1.3 of [BC11] (cf. [Mok14, Remark 3.3(3)]).}

For $\pi$ a regular cuspidal automorphic representation of $\text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}_F)$ with central character $\chi$, we also write $r_{\pi,\ell,\iota}$ for a continuous semisimple representation
\[
  r_{\pi,\ell,\iota} : G_F \to \text{GSp}_4(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)
\]
satisfying items (i)-(iii) above constructed by [Mok14, Theorem 3.5].
Remark 4.1.5. (i) One expects $r_{\tau,t,a}$ to always be irreducible if $\pi$ is of general type. This is known for $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and $l \geq 5$ ([Weil, Theorem 1.1]) and $F$ totally real and sufficiently large $l$ ([Weia, Theorem A]).

(ii) The existence of these Galois representations is compatible with the conjectures of [BG14]: the automorphic representation of $\text{GSp}_4$ corresponding to $\pi$ is $C$-algebraic and one twists this into an $L$-algebraic automorphic representation (see [BG14, §5]).

(iii) It follows immediately from the theorem that $r_{\tau,t,a} : G_F \to \text{GSp}_4(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ is odd in the sense of Definition 4.1.3.

We finish this subsection by proving that all automorphic representations of $\mathcal{G}(A_F)$ of our interest are stable and tempered.

Let $\pi$ be a regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation of $\text{GSp}_4(A_F)$ or $\mathcal{G}(A_F)$. We say that a continuous representation $\bar{\pi} : G_F \to \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{F})$ is attached to $\pi$ if for almost all finite places $v$ at which $\pi$ and $\bar{\pi}$ are unramified, we have

$$\iota \circ \text{WD}(\bar{\pi}|_{G_{F_v}}) \simeq \text{rec}_{\text{GT}}(\pi_v \otimes |\nu_{\sim}|^{-3/2}) \mod \varpi.$$

Lemma 4.1.6. Let $\pi'$ be a regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathcal{G}(A_F)$. Suppose that there exists a continuous semisimple representation $\bar{\pi} : G_F \to \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{F})$ attached to $\pi'$. Then there exists a regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation $\pi$ of $\text{GSp}_4(A_F)$ which is a weak Jacquet–Langlands transfer of $\pi'$. In other words, we have $\pi_v \simeq \pi_v'$ for almost all finite places $v$ of $F$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{H} := G^{\text{der}}$ be the derived subgroup of $G$. Then $\mathcal{H}$ is an inner form of $\text{Sp}_4$ which splits at all finite places. By a Theorem of Hiraga–Saito ([GT19, Theorem 5.1.2]), $\pi'|_{\mathcal{H}(F)}$ contains a regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathcal{H}(A_F)$ which we denote by $\pi''$. By [Tai19, Theorem 4.0.1], there exists a self-dual regular algebraic discrete automorphic representation $\Pi$ of $GL_5(A_F)$ which is a transfer of $\pi''$. It is moreover cuspidal by [Ram08, Theorem A]. Then by [Art13, Theorem 1.4.1], there exists a regular algebraic discrete automorphic representation $\tilde{\pi}$ of $\text{Sp}_4(A_F)$ whose transfer to $GL_5(A_F)$ is $\Pi$. Since $\Pi$ is algebraic, $\Pi_{\sim}$ is essentially tempered by [Clo90, Lemma 4.9], and $\pi_{\sim}$ is also essentially tempered, so that $\tilde{\pi}$ is cuspidal by [Wal84, Theorem 4.3]. Note that at all finite places $v$, $\pi_v''$ and $\tilde{\pi}_v$ have the same $L$-parameters (these $L$-parameters are constructed in [Art13]).

By [Pat19, Proposition 3.1.4], there exists a cuspidal automorphic representation $\pi$ of $\text{GSp}_4(A_F)$ lifting $\tilde{\pi}$ whose central character is equal to the central character of $\pi''$. For any finite place $v$ of $F$, $\text{std}(\bar{\pi})_v$ and $\text{std}(\bar{\pi})_v$ are isomorphic, as they are mod $p$ reduction of $L$-parameters attached to $\tilde{\pi}_v$ and $\tilde{\pi}_v$ respectively (here, we use the compatibility between the local Langlands correspondence for $\text{GSp}_4$ and $\text{Sp}_4$ upon restriction; see [GT10, Main Theorem (v)]). By Brauer–Nesbitt Theorem, we have $\text{std}(\bar{\pi}) \simeq \text{std}(\bar{\pi})_v$. Since $\pi_{\pi,p,a}$ and $\bar{\pi}$ also have the same similitude character, we have $\pi \simeq \pi_{\pi,p,a} \otimes \theta$ for some quadratic character $\theta : G_F \to \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$. By twisting $\pi$ by $\theta^{-1}$, we can ensure that $\pi_{\pi,p,a} \simeq \bar{\pi}$. Let $v$ be a finite place of $F$ at which $\pi$ and $\pi'$ are unramified. Then $\text{rec}_{\text{GT}}(\pi_v \otimes |\nu_{\sim}|^{-3/2})$ and $\text{rec}_{\text{GT}}(\pi'_v \otimes |\nu_{\sim}|^{-3/2})$ have the same similitude character and are isomorphic after composed with $\text{std}'$ (again, by using the local Langlands for $\text{Sp}_4$). Thus, $\text{rec}_{\text{GT}}(\pi_v \otimes |\nu_{\sim}|^{-3/2}) \simeq \text{rec}_{\text{GT}}(\pi'_v \otimes |\nu_{\sim}|^{-3/2}) \otimes \theta_v$ for some quadratic character $\theta_v : W_{F_v} \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$. However, by the previous paragraph, we have $\text{rec}_{\text{GT}}(\pi_v \otimes |\nu_{\sim}|^{-3/2}) \simeq \text{rec}_{\text{GT}}(\pi'_v \otimes |\nu_{\sim}|^{-3/2}) \mod \varpi$, which means $\theta_v$ is trivial modulo $\varpi$. Since $\theta_v$ has order at most 2 and $p > 2$, this implies that $\theta_v$ is trivial and $\text{rec}_{\text{GT}}(\pi_v \otimes |\nu_{\sim}|^{-3/2}) \simeq \text{rec}_{\text{GT}}(\pi'_v \otimes |\nu_{\sim}|^{-3/2})$. Since the $L$-packet of an unramified $L$-parameter valued in $\text{GSp}_4$ is a singleton, we have $\pi_v \simeq \pi'_v$. $\square$

Lemma 4.1.7. Let $\pi$ be a regular cuspidal automorphic representation of $G(A_F)$ where $G = \text{GSp}_4$ or $G = \mathcal{G}$. Suppose that there exists a continuous irreducible representation $\bar{\pi} : G_F \to \text{GSp}_4(A_F)$ attached to $\pi$, then $\pi$ is stable and tempered.

Proof. If $G = \mathcal{G}$, then we can apply Lemma 4.1.6 to replace $\pi$ by a regular cuspidal automorphic representation of $\text{GSp}_4(A_F)$ to which $\bar{\pi}$ is attached. Since being stable and tempered is characterized by components at almost all finite places, it suffices to prove the claim for $G = \text{GSp}_4$, and $\bar{\pi} \simeq \bar{\pi}_{\pi,p,a}$ in this case. By [BCG21, Theorem 2.9.3], the irreducibility of $\bar{\pi}$ implies that $\pi$ is of general type, which implies that $\pi$ is stable and tempered by Arthur’s classification (see item (a)-(f) at the end of [Art04]).
To be more precise, suppose that \( \pi \) is not stable, i.e. \( \pi \) is either CAP or endoscopic (again, in the sense of [Sor09]). If \( \pi \) is CAP, then by the results of Piatetski-Shapiro and Soudry [PS83, Sou88], \( \pi \) can be realized as a theta lift (such \( \pi \) corresponds to either Soudry type or Saito–Kurokawa type in [Art04]). Using this, one can easily see that \( \bar{r} \) has to be reducible. If \( \pi \) is endoscopic, it corresponds to Yoshida type in loc. cit., and \( \bar{r} \) in this case has to be reducible as well.

To show that \( \pi \) is tempered, note that the transfer \( \Pi \) to \( \text{GL}_4 \) is cuspidal. By Ramanujan conjecture (proven in [Shi11] in this case), \( \Pi_v \) is tempered for all places \( v \). This implies that the \( L \)-parameter attached to \( \Pi_v \) has bounded image. By [GT11, Main Theorem (vii)], the \( L \)-packet containing \( \pi_v \) has a unique generic tempered element. Then for any finite place \( v \) at which \( \pi \) is unramified, \( \pi_v \) is generic tempered because the \( L \)-packet containing it is a singleton. \( \square \)

### 4.2 \( p \)-adic automorphic forms

We now define integral models of spaces of automorphic forms on \( \mathcal{G} \) over \( \mathcal{O} \). Fix a choice of isomorphism \( \iota: \mathbb{T}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \), and let \( \psi := \chi_{p,t}^{-3} \). All the constructions in this section depend on \( \iota \), so we omit it from the notation. If \( V \) is an \( \mathcal{O} \)-module with a linear action of \( \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{F_v}) \) and \( U \leq \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{A}_F^{\infty,p}) \times \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{F_v}) \) is a compact open subgroup, we define \( S_\chi(U,V) \) to be the \( \mathcal{O} \)-module of functions \( f: \mathcal{G}(F) \backslash \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{A}_F^{\infty}) \rightarrow V \) such that \( f(zg) = \iota^{-1}(\chi(z))f(g) \) and \( f(gu) = u^{-1}f(g) \) for \( z \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{A}_F^{\infty}), g \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{A}_F^{\infty}) \) and \( u \in U \). Note this space is zero unless \( V \) has central character equal to \( \iota^{-1} \circ \chi^{-1}|_{\mathcal{O}_{F_v}^*} \).

**Definition 4.2.1.** We say that a compact open subgroup \( U \) as above is sufficiently small if its projection to \( \mathcal{G}(F_v) \) contains no element of exact order \( p \) for some finite place \( v \).

We say that \( U \) is unramified at a finite place \( v \) if \( U = U_vU^v \) where \( U_v = \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{F_v}) \).

By finiteness of class numbers, \( S_\chi(U,V) \) is finitely generated (resp. free) over \( \mathcal{O} \) whenever \( V \) is, and if \( U \) is sufficiently small then \( S_\chi(U,V) \otimes \mathbb{A} A = S_\chi(U,V) \otimes_\mathcal{O} A \) for any \( \mathcal{O} \)-module \( A \) with trivial \( \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{F_v}) \)-action.

Let \( P_U \) denote the finite set of finite places at which \( U \) is ramified. Let \( S_p \) denote the set of places of \( F \) dividing \( p \). If \( P \supseteq P_U \cup S_p \) is any finite set of finite places we let \( \mathbb{T}_p^{\text{univ}} \) denote the polynomial \( \mathcal{O} \)-algebra generated by variables \( S_{v_1}, T_{v,1}, T_{v,2} \) for each \( v \notin P \). Then \( \mathbb{T}_p^{\text{univ}} \) has a natural action on \( S_\chi(U,V) \), where the elements above act through the double coset operators of \( \beta_0(\varpi_v), \beta_1(\varpi_v), \beta_2(\varpi_v) \) in the spherical Hecke algebra \( \mathcal{O}[\text{GSp}_4(F_v) \backslash \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{F_v})] \) respectively.

For simplicity we now assume that \( p \) splits completely in \( F \). Then we can identify places above \( p \) with \( \mathbb{Q} \)-embeddings \( F \rightarrow \mathbb{E} \) and \( \iota \) induces a bijections \( v \rightarrow \iota(v) : S_p \rightarrow S_\infty \). For \( \lambda \in X^+(T)^+ \), let \( V_\lambda \) denote the Weyl module of \( \text{GSp}_4 \) over \( \mathcal{O}_F \) of highest weight \( \lambda \). So \( V_\lambda \) is a finite free \( \mathcal{O}_F \)-module with an action of \( \text{GSp}_4(\mathcal{O}_F) \). Now if \( \mu = (\mu_v)_{v|p} \in (X^+(T)^+)^{\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(F_v,\mathbb{C})} \) we let \( V(\mu) \) denote the \( \mathcal{O}[\text{GSp}_4(\mathcal{O}_{F,p})]-\text{-module} \)

\[
V(\mu) = \bigotimes_{v \in S_p} V_{\mu_v} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F_v}} \mathcal{O}
\]

which we regard an \( \mathcal{O}[\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{F,p})] \)-module. Then \( V(\mu) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F_v}} \mathbb{C} \) has a natural action of \( \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_F) \) making it isomorphic to \( \xi_{\iota(\mu)} \). Moreover, \( \iota \) induces an isomorphism of \( \mathcal{O}[\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{A}_F^{\infty,p})]\)-modules

\[
\lim_U S_\chi(U,V(\mu)) \cong \mathcal{A}_{\chi,\iota(\mu)} \otimes_{\mathbb{C},\iota^{-1}} \mathcal{T}_p,
\]

where \( U \) runs over all compact open subgroups of \( \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{A}_F^{\infty,p}) \times \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{F,p}) \). See for example the proof of Proposition 3.3.2 in [CHT08]. We define

\[
S_{\chi,\mu}(U,W) := S_\chi(U,V(\mu) \otimes W)
\]

for any \( \mathcal{O}[\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{F_v})] \)-module \( W \) and level \( U \leq \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{A}_F^{\infty,p}) \times \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{F,p}) \).

Suppose that \( \bar{r} : \mathcal{G}_F \rightarrow \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{F}) \) is an absolutely irreducible continuous representation. Let \( P_\mathbb{F} \) denote the set of finite places either dividing \( p \) or at which \( \bar{r} \) is ramified. For any finite set of finite places \( P \supseteq P_\mathbb{F} \) we define a maximal ideal \( \mathfrak{m}^P_{\chi,\mu} \leq \mathbb{T}_p^{\text{univ}} \) with residue field \( \mathbb{F} \) by demanding that for each \( v \notin P \),

\[
S_v \mod \mathfrak{m}^P_{\chi,\mu} = \tilde{\psi}(\text{Frob}_v),
\]
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and the characteristic polynomial \( r(F_{\text{Frob}_v}) \) in \( \mathbb{F}[X] \) is given by
\[ X^4 - T_v,1X^3 + (q_v T_{v,2} + (q_v^3 + q_v)S_v)X^2 - q_v^3 T_v,1S_vX + q_v^6 S_v^2 \mod m_{\bar{r},\chi}^P \]
(cf. [BCGP21, §2.4.7]; note \( m_{\bar{r},\chi}^F \) is well-defined by symplecticity of \( \bar{r} \)).

**Definition 4.2.3.** We say that a pair \( (\bar{r}, \chi) \) as above is modular of weight \( \mu \) (resp. weight \( F(\mu) \)) and level \( U \) if there exists a weight \( \mu \in (X^*(T))^S_F \) (resp. \( \mu \in (X(1)(T))^S_F \) and \( F(\mu) := \otimes_v S_F F(\mu_v) \)), a level \( U \leq \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{A}_F \otimes F) \times \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_F) \), and a finite set of finite places \( P \) containing \( P_U \cup P_F \) such that \( S_{\chi,\mu}(U, \mathcal{O}) m_{\bar{r},\chi}^F \neq 0 \) (resp. \( S_{\chi}(U, F(\mu)) m_{\bar{r},\chi}^F \neq 0 \)).

**Remark 4.2.4.** A pair \( (\bar{r}, \chi) \) being modular is equivalent to asking that there exists a regular cuspidal automorphic representation \( \pi' \) of \( \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{A}_F) \) to which \( \bar{r} \) is attached such that \( \pi'^U \neq 0 \) and \( \ell^{-1}(\pi) \) contributes to \((2.2)\). As such we are free to shrink \( U \) and enlarge \( P \). Moreover, such \( \pi \) is necessarily stable and tempered by Lemma 4.1.7, and we can apply Theorem 4.1.4 to obtain
\[ r_{\pi, p, \chi} : G_F \to \text{GSp}_4(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \]
such that \( \nu_{\text{sim}}(r_{\pi, p, \chi}) = \chi_p m \ell^{-3} \) and the reduction \( \mod \mathfrak{p} \) of \( r_{\pi, p, \chi} \) is \( \text{GL}_4(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \)-conjugate to \( \bar{r} \).

Also, by applying [Sor09, Theorem B], \( (\bar{r}, \chi) \) being modular is in turn equivalent to asking that there exists a regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation \( \pi \) of \( \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}_F) \) with central character \( \chi \) such that \( \bar{r}_{\pi, p, \chi} \simeq \bar{r} \).

**Definition 4.2.5.** We say that a pair \( (\bar{r}, \chi) \) is potentially diagonalizably automorphic if it is modular and \( \pi \) as above can be taken so that \( \text{std}(r_{\pi, p, \chi}) \mid_{G_{F_v}} \) is potentially diagonalizable (in the sense of [BLGKT14, §1.4]) for all \( v \mid p \).

For any finite set of finite places \( P \supseteq P_U \) let \( T_{\chi, \mu}^P(U) \) denote the image of \( T_{\chi, \mu}^P \) inside \( \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(S_{\chi, \mu}(U, \mathcal{O})) \). Observe that \( T_{\chi, \mu}^P(U, \mathcal{O}) m_{\bar{r}, \chi}^F \) is an object of \( \mathcal{C}_\mathcal{O} \).

**Lemma 4.2.6.** The ring \( T_{\chi, \mu}^P(U) m_{\bar{r}, \chi}^F \) is reduced.

**Proof.** By commutative algebra the semisimplicity of \( S_{\chi, \mu}(U, \mathcal{O}) \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p \), which follows from \((2.2)\), shows that \( T_{\chi, \mu}^P(U) \) is reduced. The claim follows.

**Proposition 4.2.7.** Assume that \( \bar{r} : G_F \to \text{GSp}_4(F) \) is absolutely irreducible and \( (\bar{r}, \chi) \) is modular of weight \( \mu \) and level \( U \). Then for any finite set \( P \supseteq P_U \) there exists a unique continuous homomorphism
\[ r_{\chi, \mu}^P(U) : G_F \to \text{GSp}_4(T_{\chi, \mu}^P(U) m_{\bar{r}, \chi}^F) \]
lifting \( \bar{r} \) such that
\begin{itemize}
  \item[(i)] \( \nu_{\text{sim}} \circ r_{\chi, \mu}^P(U) = \psi \),
  \item[(ii)] if \( v \notin P \) then \( r_{\chi, \mu}^P(U) \) is unramified and the characteristic polynomial of \( r_{\chi, \mu}^P(U)(\text{Frob}_v) \) in \( T_{\chi, \mu}^P(U) m_{\bar{r}, \chi}^F, [X] \)

\[ X^4 - T_v,1X^3 + (q_v T_{v,2} + (q_v^3 + q_v)\chi_v(\varpi_v))X^2 - q_v^3 T_v,1\chi_v(\varpi_v)X + q_v^6 \chi_v(\varpi_v)^2, \]

\item[(iii)] and for every \( \mathcal{O} \)-algebra homomorphism \( \zeta : T_{\chi, \mu}^P(U) m_{\bar{r}, \chi}^F \to E' \) where \( E' \) is a finite extension of \( E \), the representation \( \zeta \circ r_{\chi, \mu}^P(U) \mid_{G_{F_v}} \) is de Rham of Hodge-Tate weights \( \mu_v + (3, 2, 1, 0) \) for all \( v \in S_p \).
\end{itemize}

**Proof.** By Lemma 4.1.7 and the irreducibility of \( \bar{r} \), we know that the automorphic representation associated with Hecke eigensystem \( T_{\chi, \mu}^P(U) m_{\bar{r}, \chi}^F \) is stable and tempered. Then the Proposition can be shown by using Theorem 4.1.4 and a standard argument using Lemma 4.2.6; see for example the proof of [CHT08, Proposition 3.4.4]. In order to ensure the resulting representation is symplectic, one applies Lemma 1.2.1. Item (ii) follows from [BCGP21, §2.4.7].
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From now on, we fix a preferred place $w|p$. If $W$ is any $O[\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{F_w})]$-module and $\sigma$ is a finite $O$-module with smooth $\text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Z}_p)$-action, we define

$$S_{X,\mu,\sigma}(U^w, W) := \lim_{U_w \leq G(F_w)} S_X(U^w U_w, V(\mu') \otimes_{O} \sigma^{S_p \setminus \{w\}} \otimes_{O} W)$$

where $\mu'$ is equal to $\mu$ but with $\mu_w$ replaced by $(0, 0; 0)$, and $\sigma^{S_p \setminus \{w\}}$ is viewed as smooth $O[\prod_{v \in S_p \setminus \{w\}} \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{F_v})]$-module by identifying $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{F_w}) \simeq \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Note that the functor $W \mapsto S_{X,\mu,\sigma}(U^w, W)$ is exact if $U^w$ is sufficiently small.

By definition $S_{X,\mu,\sigma}(U^w, F)$ is an admissible representation of $\mathcal{G}(F_w) \cong \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ over $F$ with central character $\text{id}^{-1} \circ \chi_w$. Suppose that $\pi : G_F \rightarrow \text{GSp}_4(F)$ is modular of some weight and level. We will show that $S_{X,\mu,\sigma}(U^w, F)$ is nonzero for certain $\mu$ and $\sigma$. We are interested in studying the relationship between the $F[\mathcal{G}(F_w)]$-module $S_{X,\mu,\sigma}(U^w, F)[\mathfrak{m}_{F,\chi}]$ and the local Galois representation $\overline{\rho}_{G_{F_w}}$. The main tool for doing this is the patching method described in the next two sections.

### 4.3 A patching lemma

In order to clarify the patching method used in the next section, we present here a formalized version. In this section $G$ denotes a locally profinite group having a countable fundamental system of open neighbourhoods at the identity.

**Definition 4.3.1.** Let $A$ be a commutative ring and $j = \{i_1 \supseteq i_2 \supseteq \cdots\}$ a system of ideals. A $(G, A, j)$-inverse system is an inverse system $\mathfrak{M} = \{M_r(H)\}_{r \geq 1, H \leq c.o. G}$ of finite $A$-modules together with a collection of morphisms of inverse systems $g_* : M_r(H) \rightarrow M_r(gHg^{-1})$ for each $g \in G$ satisfying $(gh)_* = g_* h_*$ and $\text{id}_* = \text{id}$. We assume that the transition maps have the property that they induce isomorphisms

$$M_{r+1}(H)/j_r \xrightarrow{\sim} M_r(H)$$

and

$$M_r(H')/_{H} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_r(H)$$

for all $r \geq 1$, $H \leq c.o. G$, and any open normal subgroup $H' \subseteq H$. (In particular, all transition maps are surjective.) These objects form a category in an obvious way.

We say that $\mathfrak{M}$ is projective if $M_r(H')$ is a projective $(A/j_r)[H/H']$-module whenever $H' \subseteq H$ is an open normal subgroup.

**Remark 4.3.2.** If $b = \{b_1 \supseteq b_2 \supseteq \cdots\}$ is another system of ideals of $A$ such that $b_r \supseteq j_r$ then $(M_r(K)/b_r)_{r,K}$ is a (projective) $(G, A, b)$-inverse system.

**Definition 4.3.3** (cf. [Eme10]). Let $A$ be a complete local noetherian $O$-algebra with finite residue field. We let $\text{Mod}_G^{\text{pro aug}}(A)$ denote the abelian category of left $A[G]$-modules $M$ equipped with a profinite topology such that for any compact open $H \subseteq G$, the $A[H]$-action extends to an action of $A[[H]]$ making $M$ into a linear topological $A[[H]]$-module for the pro-finite topology on $A[[H]]$.

**Lemma 4.3.4.** Let $A$ be a complete local noetherian $O$-algebra with finite residue field, and suppose that the topology determined by $j$ is the max-adic one. The functor

$$\mathfrak{M} \mapsto \mathfrak{M}_\infty := \lim_{r,H} M_r(H)$$

is an equivalence of categories between the category of $(G, A, j)$-inverse systems and $\text{Mod}_G^{\text{pro aug}}(A)$. Moreover, if $\mathfrak{M}$ is projective then $\mathfrak{M}_\infty$ is a finitely generated projective $A[[H]]$-module for any compact open $H \subseteq G$.

**Proof.** The equivalence of categories follows from [Eme10, Lemma 2.2.6]. In particular, for any open subgroup $H \subseteq G$ we have $(\mathfrak{M}_\infty)_H/j_r = M_r(H)$. Using this, the topological Nakayama lemma for $A[[H]]$ shows that $\mathfrak{M}_\infty$ is finitely generated over $A[[H]]$. The argument in the proof of [CEG+16, Proposition 2.10] now shows that $\mathfrak{M}_\infty$ is a projective $A[[H]]$-module if $\mathfrak{M}$ is projective. 
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Definition 4.3.5. A $G$-patching datum over $\mathcal{O}$ is a tuple
\[(S_\infty, R_\infty, (R_n, \varphi_n, \mathfrak{M}_n, \alpha_n)_{n \geq 1}, \mathfrak{M}_0)\]
where
- $S_\infty$ is a power series ring over $\mathcal{O}$ with augmentation ideal $a_\infty = \ker(S_\infty \to \mathcal{O})$,
- $R_\infty$ is a complete local $\mathcal{O}$-algebra with finite residue field,
- $R_n$ is a local $S_\infty$-algebra for $n \geq 1$,
- $\varphi_n : R_\infty \rightarrow R_n$ is a local $\mathcal{O}$-algebra surjection for $n \geq 1$,
- $\mathfrak{M}_n = \{ M_r(H)_n \}_{r \geq 1, H \leq_n G}$ is a $(G, R_n, (\varpi^r)_{r \geq 1})$-inverse system for $n \geq 0$ with $\mathfrak{M}_0$ projective (where we define $R_0 := \mathcal{O}$),
- and $\alpha_n : \mathfrak{M}_n/a_\infty \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_0$ is an isomorphism of $(G, S_\infty, (\varpi^r)_{r \geq 1})$-inverse systems for $n \geq 1$.

This data is assumed to satisfy the following axioms:

1. For all $r, n \geq 1$ the $S_\infty$-module $M_r(H)_n$ is free over a quotient $S_\infty/I_{r,n}$ (independent of $H$) such that $I_{r,n} \subseteq (\varpi^r, a_\infty)$ and for any open ideal $b \subseteq S_\infty$, $I_{r,n} \subseteq (\varpi^r, b)$ for almost all $n \geq 1$. (4.3.6)

2. Whenever $H' \subseteq H$ is an open normal subgroup, $M_r(H')_n$ is a projective $S_\infty/I_{r,n}[H/H']$-module for all $r, n \geq 1$. Also $M_r(H')_0$ is a projective $(\mathcal{O}/\varpi^r)[H/H']$-module.

One can visualize a $G$-patching datum via the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
S_\infty & \xrightarrow{\varphi_n} & R_n \\
\downarrow \quad \quad \quad & & \downarrow \quad \quad \quad \\
R_\infty & \xrightarrow{\varphi_n} & R_n/\varpi^r \\
& & \sim M_r(H)_n.
\end{array}
\]

The dotted arrow exists for each $n \geq 1$ because $S_\infty$ is a power series ring.

Definition 4.3.8 (cf. [Man]). Let $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^\aleph_1$ be a nonprincipal ultrafilter. If $S$ is a ring and $Q = (Q_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence of left $S$-modules we let $U_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)$ denote their ultraproduct over $\mathcal{F}$. The properties of this construction that we will use are

1. $U_{\mathcal{F}}(-)$ is an exact functor from the category of sequences of $S$-modules with $\mathcal{F}$-morphisms, and
2. if $S$ is finite and the cardinalities of the $Q_n$ are uniformly bounded, then $U_{\mathcal{F}}(Q) \cong Q_i$ for $\mathcal{F}$-many $i \geq 1$.

Lemma/Definition 4.3.9. Fix a nonprincipal ultrafilter $\mathcal{F}$. Given a $G$-patching datum as in Definition 4.3.5 we define the associated patched module to be
\[M_\infty := \varprojlim_{r,H} U_{\mathcal{F}}(\{(M_r(H)_n \otimes_{S_\infty} S_\infty/\mathfrak{m}_\infty^r)_{n \geq 1}\}).\]

It is a finitely generated projective object in $\text{Mod}_{G}^{\text{pro-aug}}(S_\infty)$ such that $M_\infty/a_\infty \cong (\mathfrak{M}_0)_\infty$. It also has a compatible $R_\infty[G]$-module structure via a local $\mathcal{O}$-algebra map $S_\infty \rightarrow R_\infty$.

Proof. For each $r, H$, the isomorphisms $\alpha_n$ imply that $M_r(H)_n \otimes_{S_\infty} S_\infty/\mathfrak{m}_\infty^r$ is of uniformly bounded cardinality, so the ultraproduct is well behaved. Axioms (1) and (2) together with the properties of $U_{\mathcal{F}}$ above imply that $U_{\mathcal{F}}(\{(M_r(H)_n \otimes_{S_\infty} S_\infty/\mathfrak{m}_\infty^r)_{n \geq 1}\})_{r \geq 1, H \leq_n G}$ is a projective $(G, S_\infty, (\mathfrak{m}_\infty^r)_{r \geq 1})$-inverse system whose reduction mod $a_\infty$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{M}_0$. The first claim now follows from Lemma 4.3.4.

For the second claim, at each finite level $n \geq 1$ the $S_\infty$ action on $\mathfrak{M}_n$ factors through the local map $S_\infty \rightarrow R_\infty$ in (4.3.7). Since this map is independent of $r$ and $H$ the same is true of $M_\infty$. \hfill \Box
4.4 Patching

We give the precise conditions of the global Galois representations to which our main result applies.

**Definition 4.4.1.** A triple $(F, \tau, \chi)$ of a totally real field $F$, a continuous representation $\tau : G_F \to \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{F})$, and a Hecke character $\chi : \mathbb{A}_F^\times / F^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ is called suitable of weight $(a_3, a_2, a_1, a_0)$ if it satisfies the followings:

(A1) $F$ has even degree over $\mathbb{Q}$,

(A2) $\tau$ is even,

(A3) $\tau$ is vast and tidy in the sense of [BCGP21, §7.5] (this implies that $\tilde{r}$ is absolutely irreducible),

(A4) $(\tau, \chi)$ is potentially diagonally automorphic of some weight $\xi'$ and level unramified away from $p$,

(A5) $\tau|_{G_{F_v}}$ is strongly 7-generic of weight $(a_3, a_2, a_1, a_0)$ as in Definition 2.3.7(ii) for each $v|p$.

Let $\tilde{\rho} : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{F})$ be strongly 7-generic of weight $(a_3, a_2, a_1, a_0)$. We say that a triple $(F, \tau, \chi)$ is a suitable globalization of $\rho$ if it is suitable of weight $(a_3, a_2, a_1, a_0)$, and there is a place $w|p$ of $F$ such that $\tau|_{G_{F_w}} \simeq \tilde{\rho}$.

**Remark 4.4.2.** In (A4), we assume $(\tilde{r}, \chi)$ to be potentially diagonally automorphic as opposed to being modular. This is used to show that $(\tilde{r}, \chi)$ is modular of the “obvious” Serre weight $F(\mu)$ in Corollary 4.4.7 by applying the automorphy lifting result for potentially diagonalizable lifts ([BLGGT14, 4.2.1]). The unramifiedness in (A4) is assumed for simplicity in the construction of patched modules. Assumption (A5) is not important for the construction of patching modules.

For the following series of Lemmas, we let $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ be a finite unramified extension. We only need the case $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ for this article.

The first Lemma provides a lift of global residual character with prescribed local lifts. This must be well-known but we give the proof as we could not find it in the literature.

**Lemma 4.4.3.** Let $F$ be a totally real field and $S$ be a finite set of places of $F$ containing all places dividing $p$. Suppose we have a continuous character $\overline{\psi} : G_F \to \mathbb{F}^\times$ and $\psi_v : G_{F_v} \to O_v^\times$ lifting $\overline{\psi}|_{G_{F_v}}$ for all $v \in S$.

We further assume that for all $v|p$, $\psi_v$ is de Rham with Hodge-Tate weight $c$ and $\psi_v e^{-c}$ has finite image. Then there is a continuous character $\psi : G_F \to O_v^\times$ lifting $\overline{\psi}$ and $\psi|_{G_{F_v}} = \psi_v$ for all $v \in S$.

**Proof.** Twisting by a power of cyclotomic character, we can assume $c = 0$. Also, we use class field theory to consider $\psi$ and $\psi_v$ as characters of $\mathbb{A}_F^\times / F^\times$ and $F_v^\times$ respectively. Following the argument in [CHT08, Lemma 4.1.1], we let $U \subset (\mathbb{A}_F^\times / F^\times)$ be the open compact subgroup such that $\overline{\psi}$ is trivial on $U$, and $\psi_v$ is trivial on $U \cap F_v^\times$. We define $\psi : U \prod_{v \in S} F_v^\times / (U \cap F_v^\times )$ by setting trivial on $U$ and $\psi_v$ on $F_v$. Since the quotient $(\mathbb{A}_F^\times / F^\times)/(U \prod_{v \in S} F_v^\times / (U \cap F_v^\times ))$ is finite abelian group, we can choose a sequence of subgroups

$$U \prod_{v \in S} F_v^\times / (U \cap F_v^\times ) = U_0 \subsetneq U_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq U_r = \mathbb{A}_F^\times / F^\times$$

where each quotient $U_i / U_{i-1}$ is a finite cyclic group. We can inductively extend $\psi$ from $U_{i-1}$ to $U_i$ while ensuring that $\psi$ lifts $\psi|_{U_i}$ at each step. \qed

The following Lemma shows that the modularity lifting for potentially diagonalizable representations for $\text{GSp}_4$ follows from that for $\text{GL}_4$.

**Lemma 4.4.4.** Let $r : G_F \to \text{GSp}_4(E)$ be a continuous representation. Let $\chi : \mathbb{A}_F^\times / F^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a Hecke character such that $\chi_{p, \psi} e^{-3} = \nu_{\text{sim}}(r)$. Suppose that

1. for all $v|p$, $\text{std}(r)|_{G_{F_v}}$ is potentially diagonalizable with distinct Hodge–Tate weights;

2. $\tilde{r}$ is vast;
3. \((\bar{r}, \chi)\) is potentially diagonalizable automorphic for some \(\chi : \mathbb{A}^\times_F / F^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times\).

Then there exists a regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation \(\pi\) of \(\text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}_F)\) such that \(r \simeq r_{\pi, p, \iota}\).

**Proof.** By [GT19, Theorem 7.4.1], \(\text{std}(\bar{r})\) is potentially diagonalizable automorphic in the sense of [BLGGT14]. Thus, Theorem 4.2.1 in loc. cit. implies that there exists a regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation \(\Pi\) of \(\text{GL}_4(\mathbb{A}_F)\) such that a continuous representation \(r_{\Pi, p, \iota}\) (see Theorem 2.1.1 in loc. cit.) is isomorphic to \(\text{std}(\bar{r})\). Such \(\Pi\) is then \(\chi\)-self dual. Again by applying [GT19, Theorem 7.4.1] (also see Theorem 2.9.3 in [BCGP21]), this proves the existence of \(\pi\) such that \(r \simeq r_{\pi, p, \iota}\). \(\square\)

We now prove the existence of a suitable globalizing of \(\bar{r}\) following the strategy of [EG14, Appendix A].

**Lemma 4.4.5.** Assume that \(p > 7\). Let \(\bar{\rho} : G_K \rightarrow \text{GSp}_4(F)\) be a continuous representation. Suppose there is a lift \(\rho : G_K \rightarrow \text{GSp}_4(E)\) such that \(\text{std}(\rho)\) is potentially diagonalizable. Then there is a totally real field \(F\) of even degree over \(\mathbb{Q}\) such that \(F_v \simeq K\) for all \(v|p\) and a continuous representation \(r : G_F \rightarrow \text{GSp}_4(E)\), with its reduction modulo \(\varpi\) denoted by \(\bar{r}\), satisfying that

1. \(\varpi\) is odd,
2. \(\varpi\) is vast and tidy,
3. \(\varpi|_{G_{F_v}} \simeq \bar{\rho}\) for all \(v|p\),
4. \(\varpi\) is unramified at all finite places not dividing \(p\),
5. \(\varpi|_{G_{F_v}}\) and \(\rho\) correspond to points contained in the same component of a potentially crystalline deformation ring for all \(v|p\) (in particular, \(\text{std}(\varpi|_{G_{F_v}})\) is potentially diagonalizable), and
6. there exists a regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation \(\pi\) of \(\text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}_F)\) such that \(r \simeq r_{\pi, p, \iota}\).

**Proof.** In this proof, we let \(S_{p, L}\) (resp. \(S_{\infty, L}\)) be the set of places of a number field \(L\) dividing \(p\) (resp. \(\infty\)).

Let \(L\) be a totally real field of even degree over \(\mathbb{Q}\) satisfying \(L_v \simeq K\) for all \(v|p\). We apply [Cal12, Proposition 3.2]. In the notation of loc. cit., we set \(G := \text{GSp}_4(F), E := L, S := S_{p, L} \cup S_{\infty, L}, F := L(\zeta_p)\), \(H_v := \mathbb{L}_{v}^{\text{ker} \bar{\rho}}\) and \(\psi_v\) given by \(\bar{\rho}\) with image \(D_v\) and the isomorphism \(L_v \simeq K\) for \(v \in S_{p, L}\), and \(c_v\) to be a Chevalley involution for all \(v \in S_{\infty, L}\). Then there exists extensions of totally real fields \(L''/L'/L\) such that

- all places in \(S\) split completely in \(L'\),
- \(L''/L\) is linearly disjoint from \(L(\zeta_p)/L\),
- \(L''/L\) is Galois and \(\text{Gal}(L''/L) \simeq \text{GSp}_4(F)\),
- for all places \(w\) of \(L'\) above \(v \in S_{p, L}\), the isomorphism \(\text{Gal}(L''/L) \simeq \text{GSp}_4(F)\) induces \(\text{Gal}(L''_w/L'_w) \simeq D_v\).

We write \(\bar{r}' : G_{L'} \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L''/L) \tilde{\rightarrow} \text{GSp}_4(F)\). Then \(\bar{r}'\) satisfies conditions (1) and (3) above. Moreover, such \(\bar{r}'\) is tidy by [BCGP21, Lemma 7.5.12]. By Lemma 7.5.15 of loc. cit., \(\bar{r}'\) is vast if

\[
\text{Sp}_4(F) \subset \varpi'(G_{L'(\zeta_p^n)}))
\]

for all \(N \geq 1\). For \(N = 1\), this is true because \(L''/L\) is linearly disjoint from \(L(\zeta_p)/L\). Then this holds for all \(N \geq 1\) because \(\text{Sp}_4(F)\) has no \(p\)-power order quotient. Moreover, using the argument explained in the last two paragraph of the proof of [EG14, Proposition A.2], we can and do replace \(L'\) by its totally real extension such that \(\varpi'\) further satisfies (4).

Using Lemma 4.4.3, choose an odd continuous character \(\psi : G_{L'} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\times\) lifting \(\nu_{\text{sim}}(\varpi)\) and \(\psi|_{G_{L''_v}} \simeq \nu_{\text{sim}}(\rho)\) for all \(v \in S_{p, L'}\). Then [PT21, Theorem 3.4] provides a lift \(\bar{r}' : G_{L'} \rightarrow \text{GSp}_4(E)\) of \(\varpi'\) such that \(\bar{r}'|_{G_{L''_v}}\) and \(\rho_v\)
lie on the same component of potentially crystalline deformation ring of $r|_{G_{F_v}}$ for all $v \in S_{p, L}$. By [EG14, Proposition A.6] (which is based on [BLGGT14, Theorem 4.5.1]), there exists a finite extension $F/L'$ of totally real fields in which $p$ splits completely and a regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation $\pi$ of $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ such that $r_{11,p,\omega} \simeq r'|_{G_{F'}}$. Let $\chi : \mathbb{A}_F^\times / F^\times$ be a Hecke character such that $\chi_{p,\varepsilon^{-3}} \simeq \nu_{\text{sim}}(r')|_{G_{F'}}$. Then $\pi$ is $\varepsilon$-self-dual, and it descends to a regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation $\pi$ of $GSp_4(\mathbb{A}_F)$. Thus, the representation $r := r'|_{G_{F'}}$ satisfies all desired properties.

**Corollary 4.4.6.** Suppose $\tilde{\rho} : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to GSp_4(\mathbb{F})$ is 7-generic of weight $(a_3, a_2, a_2, a_0)$. Then there is a suitable globalization $(F, \pi, \chi_{\varepsilon^{-3}})$ of $\tilde{\rho}$ such that $(\chi_{\varepsilon^{-3}} \otimes \varepsilon^{-3})|_{G_{F_v}} = \varepsilon^b \nu_{\varepsilon^{-3}}$ for all $v|p$.

**Proof.** By [GG12, Lemma 7.6.7], $\tilde{\rho}$ has an ordinary crystalline symplectic lift $\rho$ of weight $\overline{\pi}_{p,v} + \eta$. Twisting by unramified character, we can assume that $\nu_{\text{sim}}(\rho) = \varepsilon^{b+3} \nu_{\varepsilon^{-3}}$ with finite order $\nu_{\varepsilon^{-3}}$. Then $\text{std}(\rho)$ is potentially diagonalizable by [BLGGT14, Lemma 1.4.3]. The claim follows from Lemma 4.4.5 and Remark 4.2.4 with $\chi_{\varepsilon^{-3}, \varepsilon^{-3}} = \nu_{\text{sim}}(r) \otimes \varepsilon^3$.

**Corollary 4.4.7.** For any $(F, \pi, \chi)$ of weight $(a_3, a_2, a_1, a_0)$, there is a Hecke character $\chi_{\varepsilon^{-3}}$ such that $(\chi_{\varepsilon^{-3}} \otimes \varepsilon^{-3})|_{G_{F_v}} = \varepsilon^b \nu_{\varepsilon^{-3}}$ for all $v|p$, and $(\pi, \chi_{\varepsilon^{-3}})$ is modular of weight $F(\mu)$ and level unramified outside $p$ where $\mu_v = \mu_{p,v}$ for $v|p$.

**Proof.** As in the proof of Lemma 4.4.5, we can apply [PT21, Theorem 3.4] to construct a lift $r$ of $\pi$ such that $r|_{G_{F_v}}$ is crystalline of weight $\overline{\pi}_{p,v} + \eta$ for each $v|p$ and unramified outside $p$, and $\chi_{\varepsilon^{-3}} = \nu_{\text{sim}}(r) \otimes \varepsilon^3$. By Lemma 4.4.5, and [Sor09, Theorem B], there exists an automorphic representation $\pi$ of $GSp_4(\mathbb{A}_F)$ such that $r \simeq r_{p,v}$. Moreover, such $\pi$ arise from $A_{\chi_{\varepsilon^{-3}}, \varepsilon^{-3}}$. Since $\mu_v$ is a lowest alcove weight the claim follows from Lemma 3.2.7.

From now on, we let $(F, \pi, \chi_{\varepsilon^{-3}})$ be suitable of weight $(a_3, a_2, a_1, a_0)$ as in Corollary 4.4.7 and $G$ be as in §4.1. We write $\psi_{\varepsilon^{-3}} = \chi_{\varepsilon^{-3}} \varepsilon^{-3} : G_F \to \mathbb{O}^\times$ and $\psi_{\varepsilon^{-3}} := \psi|_{G_{F_v}} = \varepsilon^b \nu_{\varepsilon^{-3}}$ for all $v|p$. We also write $\psi_{v, \varepsilon^{-3}} := \varepsilon^b \nu_{\varepsilon^{-3}}$ for all $v|p$. By Lemma 4.4.3, there exists $\psi_{p, \varepsilon^{-3}} : G_{F_p} \to \mathbb{O}^\times$ lifting $\nu_{\text{sim}}(\overline{r})$ such that $(\psi_{p, \varepsilon^{-3}})|_{G_{F_v}} \simeq \psi_{v, \varepsilon^{-3}}$ for all $v|p$. Finally, we set $\chi = \chi_{p, \varepsilon^{-3}} := \psi_{p, \varepsilon^{-3}} \otimes \varepsilon^3$. Let $S_p$ be the set of places of $F$ dividing $p$. Fix a choice of preferred place $w|p$. Since $\pi$ is vast and tidy, we can find a finite place $v_0 \notin S_p$ such that $q_{v_0} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, no two eigenvalues of $\overline{r}(\text{Frob}_{v_0})$ have ratio $q_{v_0}$, and the residue characteristic of $v_0$ is $> 5$ ([BCGP21, §7.7]).

Let $S$ be a finite set of finite places of $F$ containing $S_p \cup \{v_0\}$. For $v \neq v_0$, we set $U_v := \mathcal{G}(O_{F_v})$, and we also define $U_{v_0} := Iw_1(v_0)$. Then set $U^p := \prod_{v|p} U_v$ and $U_p := \prod_{v|p} U_v$. Corollary 4.4.7 ensures that $S_{\chi_{\varepsilon^{-3}}}((U^p U_p, F(\mu)) | m^S_{\chi_{\varepsilon^{-3}}}) \neq 0$. The choice of $v_0$ ensures that $U^p U_p$ is sufficiently small.

Let $T$ be a subset of $S$. For each $v \in S$, if $\varnothing \neq D_v$ (resp. $D_v^{\text{irr}}$) denotes a deformation problem on symplectic lifts of $\overline{r}|_{G_{F_v}}$ and $R_v$ (resp. $R_v^{\text{irr}}$) is the corresponding quotient of the universal symplectic lifting ring, the tuples

$$(S, T, \{D_v\}_{v \in S}, \psi_{\varepsilon^{-3}}, S_{\chi_{\varepsilon^{-3}}}) = (S, T, \{D_v^{\text{irr}}\}_{v \in S}, \psi_{\varepsilon^{-3}}, S_{\chi_{\varepsilon^{-3}}})$$

are global deformation problems and we write $D_S^T$ (resp. $D_{S_{\chi_{\varepsilon^{-3}}}}^T$) for the the functor of $T$-framed symplectic deformations of type $S$ (resp $S_{\chi_{\varepsilon^{-3}}}$). To simplify the notations, we write $\star \in \{\emptyset, \text{cris}\}$. Since $\overline{r}$ is absolutely irreducible, $D_S^T$ is representable by a ring $R_S^T$. When $T = \emptyset$, we omit the superscript $T$. The natural map $R_S \to R_S^T$ is formally smooth of relative dimension $11|T| - 1$. Write $T := O[[y_1, \ldots, y_{11}|T| - 1]]$. The choice of a universal lift $r_T : G_F \to GSp_4(R_S)$ determines a canonical isomorphism $R_S^T \cong R_S \otimes OT$. Define $R_S^{\text{loc}} := \widehat{\otimes}_{v \in T} R_v$. There is a natural map $R_S^{\text{loc}} \to R_S^T$.
Given a Taylor–Wiles datum we define the augmented deformation problem $S_Q = (S \cup Q, \{D_v\}_{v \in S} \cup \{D_v^\text{tor} \}_{v \in Q, p\text{-cris}})$ and similarly for $\text{cris}_Q$. For $v \in Q$ let $\Delta_v = k_v^*(p)$ where $k_v^*(p)$ is the maximal $p$-power quotient of $k_v^*$. Set $\Delta_Q := \prod_{v \in Q} \Delta_v$. There is a canonical map $O[\Delta_Q] \to R_T^T$ for any subset $T \subseteq S$ such that the natural surjection $R_T^R \to R_T^T$ has kernel $a_Q R_T^T$. Here $a_Q \leq O[\Delta_Q]$ denotes the augmentation ideal.

**Proposition 4.4.9.** Let $q \geq h^1(F_S/F, \text{ad}(\bar{r})(1))$. For every $n \geq 1$ there exists a choice of Taylor–Wiles datum $Q_n$ such that

1. $|Q_n| = q^r$;
2. $q_v = 1 \mod p^n$ for each $v \in Q_n$;
3. $R^S_{S_S,Q_n}$ is a quotient of a power series ring over $R^S_{S_S,Q_n} = R^S_{S_S,Q_n}$ in

$$g := 2q - 4|F : Q| + |S| - 1$$

variables.

**Proof.** This follows immediately from Corollary 7.6.3 of [BCGP21] because $\bar{r}$ is odd with large image. The assumption that $\psi = \epsilon^{-1}$ in this reference is not necessary for the proof.

**Remark 4.4.10.** Note that we can and do choose the same Taylor–Wiles datum $Q_n$ for both $\ast = 0$ and $\ast = \text{cris}$.

From now on, we take $S = S_p \cup \{v_0\}$, $D_v = D_v^\text{tor}$ (resp. $D_v^\text{cris} = D_v^\text{tor}$) for $v \in S$. Write $R^*_n := R^S_{S_S,Q_n}$. Let $R^*_n := R^S_{S_S,Q_n}$. By Proposition 4.4.9(3) we may choose surjections $\varphi^*_n : R^*_n \to R^*_n$ for each $n \geq 1$. Define $S_{\infty} := \mathcal{O}[[\mathbb{Z}_p^2]] \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}} T$. For each $n \geq 1$, choose a surjection $S_{\infty} \to \mathcal{O}[\Delta_{Q_n}] \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} T$. This makes $R^*_n$ into an $S_{\infty}$ algebra. Note that if we let $I_{r,n} \leq S_{\infty}$ be the ideal generated by the kernel of this map together with $\varphi^*_n$ then the collection $\{I_{r,n}\}$ obeys (4.3.6) by Proposition 4.4.9(2).

**Lemma 4.4.11.** Suppose that $\sigma = \sigma(\tau)$ for a regular principal series $\tau$ and $\sigma^\text{cris} = V(\mu_p) \otimes E|_K$, then we have $\dim(S_{\infty}) = \dim(R^*_n(\sigma^*))$ for $\ast \in \{0, \text{cris}\}$.

**Proof.** This follows from Lemma 2.1.1 and Proposition 2.4.2.

For each $n \geq 1$ we now define $U^p_n(Q_n)$ to be $\prod_{v \in Q_n} U_1(Q_n)$, where $U_1(Q_n) = U_1$ if $v \notin Q_n \cup S_p$ and $U_1(Q_n) = U_1(v)$ if $v \in Q_n$. Let $G := G(F_v), G_p := \prod_{v \in Q_n} G(F_v)$, and $K_p := \prod_{v \in Q_n} G(O_{F_v})$. For $n \geq 1$ and $H \leq c.a. G_p$, we define

$$M^*_n(H)_n = [S_{\chi}, (H \cdot U^p_n(Q_n), O/\varphi^r)]_{m_{\rho,\chi,n}}^{\rho,\text{cris}_Q} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_T} R^*_n,$$

where $m_{\rho,\chi,n}^{\text{cris}_Q}$ is the image of $m_{\rho,\chi,n}^{\text{cris}_Q}$ inside $T^\text{cris}_Q(H \cdot U^p_n(Q_n), O/\varphi^r)$ and $m_{\rho,\chi,n}^{\text{cris}_Q}$ is the maximal ideal of $\otimes_{v \in Q_n} \mathcal{O}(T(O_{F_v}, T(O_{F_v}, \varphi^r)))$ containing $\otimes_{v \in Q_n} \otimes_{T(O_{F_v}, T(O_{F_v}, \varphi^r))}$ such that $\beta_0(\varphi^r) = \beta_1(\varphi^r) = \alpha_v, 1$ and $\beta_2(\varphi^r) = \alpha_v, 2$ mod $m_{\rho,\chi,n}^{\text{cris}_Q}$ (cf. [BCGP21, §2.4.29]). Note that $S_{\chi, (H \cdot U^p_n(Q_n), O/\varphi^r)]_{m_{\rho,\chi,n}}^{\rho,\text{cris}_Q}$ is a $R^*_n$-module by the natural map

$$R^*_n \to T^\text{cris}_Q(H \cdot U^p_n(Q_n), O/\varphi^r)$$

(4.4.12)

which exists by Proposition 4.2.7, and the action of $O[\Delta_{Q_n}]$ on $M^*_n(H)_n$ via $O[\Delta_{Q_n}] \to R^*_n$ agrees with the canonical action via the surjection $U^p_n/Q_n \cong \Delta_{Q_n}$. Note that (4.4.12) is surjective by Proposition 4.2.7(iii) (since $\chi_\ast$ is fixed). Moreover we define

$$M^*_n(H)_0 = [S_{\chi, (H \cdot U^p, O/\varphi^r)]_{m_{\rho,\chi,n}}^{\rho,\text{cris}_Q}}.$$ 

It follows from [BCGP21, §2.4.29] that there is an isomorphism

$$\alpha^*_n : M^*_n(H)_n/\alpha_\infty \cong M^*_n(H)_0$$

compatible among different $r \geq 1$ and $H \leq c.a. G_p$.
Lemma 4.4.13. The data
\[(S_\infty, R_\infty^*, (R_n^*, \varphi_n^*, \{M_n^\ast(H)_n\}_{r \geq 1, H \leq \infty, G}, \alpha_n^*)_{n \geq 1}, \{M_r^\ast(H)_0\}_{r \geq 1, H \leq \infty, G})\]
defined in the paragraphs above forms a $G_p$-patching datum for both $\ast \in \{\emptyset, \text{cris}\}$.

Proof. Axiom (1) follows from the remarks above and axiom (2) holds because $H \cdot U^p$ is sufficiently small.

Hence we may form the patched module $M_\ast^*$ corresponding to this $G$-patching datum in Lemma 4.3.9.

We now take $J = S_p$ and choose an isomorphism $K^J \simeq K_p$. The following is the main result of this section.

Lemma 4.4.14. The pair $(M_\infty, M_\infty^\text{cris})$ is a congruent patched module pair for $(\tilde{\rho}|_{GF_p}, \psi_{v, p-\text{cris}}, \psi_{v, \text{cris}})_{v \in S_p}$ satisfying (PM1)–(PM6), and we have $d = |W| = 8$ in (PM5).

Proof. (PM1) and (PM2) follow already from Lemma 4.3.9. Axiom (PM3) follows from Proposition 2.2.3, 2.2.4 (which can be seen as the inertial local Langlands for principal series inertial type), Proposition 4.2.7(iii) and Lemma 4.4.11 by the standard commutative algebra argument; see the proof of Lemma 4.18 of [CEG+16]. We may take $d = |W|$ because this is the dimension of the space of pro-$p$ Iwahori invariants in a principal series representation. Axiom (PM4) follows also from Proposition 4.2.7(iii) similar to the proof of Lemma 4.17 of [CEG+16]. (PM5) follows from the fact that $M_r^\ast(H)_n$ in Lemma 4.4.13 for $\ast \in \{\emptyset, \text{cris}\}$ are congruent modulo $\varpi$ together with Lemma 4.3.4. Finally, (PM6) follows from Corollary 4.4.7.

Fix a place $w$ of $F$ dividing $p$. We write $U^w = \prod_{v \neq w} U_v$. Recall that
\[
M_\infty,w = \text{Hom}_O[I_{v \in S_p \setminus \{w\}} \varphi(O_{F_v})] (M_\infty, (\sigma(\tau_0))_{v \setminus \{w\}}^d)
\]
\[R_\infty,w = H[I_{G_{F_w}} [[x_1, \ldots, x_h]]]\]
and $m_\infty,w$ the maximal ideal of $R_\infty,w$. By Theorem 3.3.8(1), we know that $M_\infty,w$ is non-zero.

Lemma 4.4.15. We have
\[(M_\infty,w/m_\infty,w)^\vee \cong S_{\lambda_0, \sigma(\tau_0)}(U^w, F)[m_{F, \chi}^S].\]

Proof. By Lemma 4.3.9 there is a natural inclusion $(M_\infty,w/m_\infty,w)^\vee \hookrightarrow S_{\lambda_0, \sigma(\tau_0)}(U^w, F)[m_{F, \chi}^S]$. It is surjective because we have arranged the map (4.4.12) to be surjective.

Remark 4.4.16. The $R_\infty,w$-module $M_\infty,w$ is the patched module constructed by [CEG+16], adapted to the group $GSp_4$, using the space of automorphic forms with infinite level at $w$. In contrast, the construction of the $R_\infty$-module $M_\infty$ uses the space of mod $p$ automorphic forms with infinite level at all places dividing $p$. We find it is more convenient to make a comparison between $M_\infty$ and $M_\infty^\text{cris}$ rather than between $M_\infty,w$ and its crystalline version to prove Theorem 3.3.8(1). However, it is plausible that one can obtain the same result using $M_\infty,w$ and its crystalline version.

4.5 Mod $p$ local-global compatibility

We can now prove the main result.

Theorem 4.5.1. Let $(F, \overline{\pi}, \chi)$ be suitable of weight $(a_3, a_2, a_1, a_0)$ with $\chi = \chi_{p-\text{cris}}$ as in §4.4, and $\mathcal{G}$ be as in §4.1. Let $w$ be a place of $F$ dividing $p$. Then the Fontaine-Laffaille parameters of $\overline{\pi}|_{GF_w}$ can be recovered from the admissible $F[GSp_4(F_w)]$-module $S_{\lambda_0, \sigma(\tau_0)}(U^w, F)[m_{F, \chi}^S]$ by the recipe described in Remark 3.3.10.

Proof. This follows from Lemmas 4.4.14 and 4.4.15 and Theorem 3.3.8.
A Tables and Figures

| $\lambda \in \text{Alcove}$ | $\langle \lambda + \rho', \alpha_0^\vee \rangle$ | $\langle \lambda + \rho', \alpha_1^\vee \rangle$ | $\langle \lambda + \rho', (2\alpha_0 + \alpha_1)^\vee \rangle$ | $\langle \lambda + \rho', (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1)^\vee \rangle$ |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| $C_0$                       | (0, $p$)                         | (0, $p$)                         | (0, $p$)                                        | (0, $p$)                                        |
| $C_1$                       | (0, $p$)                         | (0, $p$)                         | (0, $p$)                                        | (p, 2$p$)                                       |
| $C_2$                       | (0, $p$)                         | (0, $p$)                         | ($p$, 2$p$)                                      | (p, 2$p$)                                       |
| $C_3$                       | (0, $p$)                         | (0, $p$)                         | ($p$, 2$p$)                                      | (2$p$, 3$p$)                                    |
| $D_0$                       | (0, $p$)                         | ($p$, 2$p$)                      | ($p$, 2$p$)                                      | (2$p$, 3$p$)                                    |
| $D_1$                       | (0, $p$)                         | ($p$, 2$p$)                      | ($p$, 2$p$)                                      | (3$p$, 4$p$)                                    |
| $E_0$                       | ($p$, 2$p$)                      | (0, $p$)                         | ($p$, 2$p$)                                      | (p, 2$p$)                                       |
| $E_1$                       | ($p$, 2$p$)                      | (0, $p$)                         | ($p$, 2$p$)                                      | (2$p$, 3$p$)                                    |
| $E_2$                       | ($p$, 2$p$)                      | (0, $p$)                         | ($2p$, 3$p$)                                     | (2$p$, 3$p$)                                    |
| $E_3$                       | (2$p$, 3$p$)                     | (0, $p$)                         | (2$p$, 3$p$)                                     | (2$p$, 3$p$)                                    |

Table A.1: List of some $p$-alcoves for $\text{GSp}_4$.

Figure A.2: A picture of the dominant Weyl chamber with labelled alcoves and reflection axes under the dot action.
| $\lambda \in \text{Alcove}$ | $\chi(\lambda) =$ |
|-----------------|------------------|
| $C_0$           | $\chi_p(\lambda)$ |
| $C_1$           | $\chi_p(\lambda) + \chi_p(C_0)$ |
| $C_2$           | $\chi_p(\lambda) + \chi_p(C_1)$ |
| $C_3$           | $\chi_p(\lambda) + \chi_p(C_2)$ |
| $D_0$           | $\chi_p(\lambda) + \chi_p(C_3)$ |
| $D_1$           | $\chi_p(\lambda) + \chi_p(D_0) + \chi_p(E_1) + \chi_p(C_3)$ |
| $E_0$           | $\chi_p(\lambda) + \chi_p(C_2)$ |
| $E_1$           | $\chi_p(\lambda) + \chi_p(E_0) + \chi_p(C_3) + \chi_p(C_2) + \chi_p(C_1)$ |
| $E_2$           | $\chi_p(\lambda) + \chi_p(E_1) + \chi_p(D_0) + \chi_p(C_3) + \chi_p(C_2) + \chi_p(C_1) + \chi_p(C_0)$ |
| $E_3$           | $\chi_p(\lambda) + \chi_p(E_2) + \chi_p(C_2)$ |

Table A.3: This table gives the Jordan-Hölder factors of Weyl modules for $\text{GSp}_4$. In it, we write $\chi_p(A)$ instead of $\chi_p(\lambda_A)$, where $\lambda_A$ is the unique weight linked to $\lambda$ in alcove $A$. 
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| $\lambda \in C_0$ | $\text{gr}^i$ | $F(x, y)_0 + F'(y + p - 1, x)_2$ |
|---------------|-------|----------------------------------|
| $\text{gr}^1$ | $F(x - 2, y)_0 + F'(x - 1, y - 1)_0 + F'(p - 3 - y, x)_1 + F(y + p - 1, p - 1 - x)_2 + F(x + p - 1, p - 1 - y)_3$ |
| $\text{gr}^2$ | $F'(p - 2 - x, y - 1)_0 + F(x - 2, y - 2)_0 + F(p - 1 - x, y)_0 + F'(p - 3 - x, y - 2)_0 + F'(p - 3 - x, y)_0 + F'(p - 2 - y, x - 1)_1 + F(p - 3 - y, p - 1 - x)_1 + F'(p - 1 - y, x)_1 + F(p - 2 - y, p - 2 - x)_1 + F'(y + p - 2, x - 1)_2$ |
| $\text{gr}^3$ | $F(p - 1 - y, p - 1 - x)_1 + F(2p - 2 - x, p - 1 - y)_3$ |

| $\lambda \in C_1$ | $\text{gr}^i$ | $F(x, y)_1 + F'(y + p - 1, x)_3$ |
|---------------|-------|----------------------------------|
| $\text{gr}^1$ | $F(y - 2, p - 1 - x)_0 + F'(y, p - 1 - x)_0 + F(p - 3 - y, p - 3 - x)_0 + F'(y - 1, p - 2 - x)_0 + F'(y - 2, p - 3 - x)_0 + F(x - 2, y)_1 + F(x - 1, y - 1)_1 + F'(x - 1, p - 2 - y)_1 + F'(x - 1, p - 1 - y)_1 + F'(2p - 3 - x, p - 2 - y)_2$ |
| $\text{gr}^2$ | $F(p - 3 - y, p - 1 - x)_0 + F'(p - 2 - y, p - 2 - x)_0 + F'(x - 2, p - 1 - y)_1 + F(2p - 2 - x, y)_2 + F(2p - 3 - x, y - 1)_2 + F(2p - 2 - y, x)_3$ |
| $\text{gr}^3$ | $F(p - 1 - y, p - 1 - x)_0 + F'(2p - 2 - x, p - 1 - y)_2$ |

| $\lambda \in C_2$ | $\text{gr}^i$ | $F'(y, x - p + 1)_0 + F(x, y)_2$ |
|---------------|-------|----------------------------------|
| $\text{gr}^1$ | $F'(y - 1, x - p)_0 + F'(y - 2, x - p + 1)_0 + F'(2p - 4 - x, y)_1 + F'(x - 1, p - 2 - y)_0 + F'(x, p - 1 - y)_2 + F'(y + p - 1, 2p - 2 - x)_3 + F'(y - 2, x - p)_0 + F'(y - 2, x - p + 1)_0 + F'(p - 3 - y, x - p - 1)_0 + F'(p - 3 - y, x - p + 1)_0 + F'(p - 1 - y, x - p - 1)_0 + F'(2p - 3 - x, y - 1)_1 + F'(2p + 2 - x, y)_1 + F'(2p - 3 - x, p - 2 - y)_1 + F'(2p - 4 - x, p - 1 - y)_1 + F'(x - 1, y - 1)_2$ |
| $\text{gr}^2$ | $F'(2p - 2 - x, p - 1 - y)_1 + F'(2p - 2 - y, p - 2 - x)_3$ |

| $\lambda \in C_3$ | $\text{gr}^i$ | $F'(y, x - p + 1)_1 + F(x, y)_3$ |
|---------------|-------|----------------------------------|
| $\text{gr}^1$ | $F(x - p - 1, p - 1 - y)_0 + F'(x - p - 1, p - 3 - y)_0 + F(x - p, p - 2 - y)_0 + F'(2p - 4 - x, p - 3 - y)_0 + F(x - p - 1, p - 1 - y)_0 + F'(x - p - 1, p - 1 - y)_0 + F'(y - 1, x - p)_1 + F'(y - 1, 2p - 3 - x)_1 + F'(y - 2, x - p + 1)_1 + F'(2p - 2 - y, 2p - 2 - x)_2 + F'(2p - 3 - y, 2p - 3 - x)_2$ |
| $\text{gr}^2$ | $F'(2p - 3 - x, p - 2 - y)_0 + F'(2p - 4 - x, p - 1 - y)_0 + F'(y - 2, 2p - 2 - x)_1 + F'(2p - 2 - y, x - p + 1)_1 + F'(3p - 3 - x, y)_3$ |
| $\text{gr}^3$ | $F'(2p - 2 - x, p - 1 - y)_0$ |

Table A.4: For $\lambda = (x, y; z)$ 7-deep inside a $p$-restricted alcove, this table gives the characters of $\text{gr}^i := M(\lambda)_{\mathbb{F}(s_1 s_0 s_1, i)}/M(\lambda)_{\mathbb{F}(s_1 s_0 s_1, i + 1)}$ (we have $\text{gr}^i = 0$ for $i \geq 4$ by Theorem 3.1.2). We use the shorthand $F(a, b)_i := F(a, b; z)$ and $F'(a, b)_i := F(a, b; z + p - 1)$, the subscript $i$ referring to the alcove $C_i$ in which the Serre weight lies.
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