Constraint of a ruthenium-carbon triple bond to a five-membered ring
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The incorporation of a metal-carbon triple bond into a ring system is challenging because of the linear nature of triple bonds. To date, the synthesis of these complexes has been limited to those containing third-row transition metal centers, namely, osmium and rhenium. We report the synthesis and full characterization of the first cyclic metal carbyne complex with a second-row transition metal center, ruthenapentalyne. It shows a bond angle of 130.2(3)° around the sp-hybridized carbyne carbon, which represents the recorded smallest angle of second-row transition metal carbyne complexes, as it deviates nearly 50° from the original angle (180°). Density functional theory calculations suggest that the inherent aromatic nature of these metallacycles with bent Ru≡C–C moieties enhances their stability. Reactivity studies showed striking observations, such as ambiphilic reactivity, a metal-carbon triple bond shift, and a [2 + 2] cycloaddition reaction with alkyne and cascade cyclization reactions with ambident nucleophiles.

INTRODUCTION

Transition metal complexes containing metal-carbon triple bonds (namely, metal carbyne or metal alkylidyne complexes) hold an important place in chemistry because they stimulate fundamental investigations of metal-carbon interactions and are valuable in synthetic chemistry as homogeneous catalysts or precursors for interesting organometallic compounds (1–4). Accordingly, the chemistry of the metal-carbon triple bond has been an area of intense interest and has attracted tremendous attention in both industry and academia since the seminal work by Fischer et al. (5). Although a wide variety of metal carbyne complexes are known, most documented examples feature acyclic structures. The linear geometry of the sp-hybridized carbyne carbon makes the synthesis of cyclic metal carbyne complexes particularly difficult. Thus, cyclic metal carbyne complexes remained elusive until the pioneering works by Wen et al. (6) (Fig. 1). Until now, well-defined cyclic metal carbynes were only known for third-row transition metal centers osmium and rhenium, namely, osmabenzenes (7–9), rhenabenzenes (10), osmapyridynes (11), osmapentalynes (12, 13), and dirhenadenahydro[12]annulenes (14), whereas these complexes with non–third-row transition metals have not yet been accomplished.

The lack of non–third-row transition metal carbynes is not surprising. Traditionally, the strength of transition metal–carbon bonds decreases with the ascending of a column in the periodic table (15), which results in relative lability of the first- and second-row organometallic compounds in comparison with their third-row analogs (16, 17). In particular, cyclic metal carbyne complexes with first- and second-row transition metals are considerably less stable than their third-row counterparts both thermodynamically and kinetically, as demonstrated by computational analysis (18, 19). Thus, intrinsic instability of the non–third-row transition metal carbynes is responsible for their absence, which greatly restrained their synthesis and further exploration.

During our recent studies on the chemistry of metallacyclic complexes, we found that typically unstable species could show enhanced stability owing to metalla-aromaticity, which yielded a number of exceptional organometallic complexes with osmium and ruthenium centers (12, 20, 21). These results prompted us to explore the construction of cyclic metal carbyne complexes with second-row transition metal centers using our synthetic strategies for metalla-aromatics. Herein, we report the synthesis and characterization of unprecedented ruthenium-based cyclic carbyne complexes, that is, rutherapentalynes. These rutherapentalynes exhibit good stability in the solid state, although the bent Ru≡C–C moiety shows a bond angle of only 130.2(3)°, which deviates considerably from the linear nature of the sp-hybridized carbyne carbon. Experimental and computational studies revealed the inherent aromaticity of these cyclic ruthenium carbyne complexes. Synergistic interplay of aromaticity and ring strain results in the unique reactivities of rutherapentalyne, providing access to a series of novel polycyclic aromatic molecules with a second-row transition metal.

RESULTS

Synthesis and characterization of rutherapentalynes

We recently established an efficient aromaticity-driven method for the construction of cyclic osmium carbyne complexes based on multifunctional osmapentalynes (22, 23). However, these
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chemical shifts are significantly low field-shifted in comparison to those of sp-hybridized carbon atoms in closely related complexes, such as cyclic metal vinylidene complexes (24) and cyclic allenes and their metal complexes reported by Bertrand and colleagues (25, 26).

Single-crystal x-ray diffraction analysis determined the solid-state structure of 2a (Fig. 2B). The structure of 2a contains two fused five-membered metallacycles with good planarity, as reflected by the small mean deviation (0.015 Å) from the least-squares plane through Ru and C1–C7. The carbon–carbon bond distances within the metallacycles [1.360(7) to 1.412(6) Å] lie between typical single- and double-bond distances. The corresponding carbon–carbon bond lengths, together with the planarity of the metallabicycle, indicate extensive electronic delocalization within the ruthenapentalyne ring in 2a. Consistent with other cyclic metal carbyne complexes (6–13), ruthenapentalyne 2a could be represented by two resonance structures, namely, 2A with a Ru≡C unit and 2B with a Ru=C=C unit (Fig. 2A). Because of the extensive electronic delocalization within the metallacycles, as well as the ring strain of small-sized cyclic metal carbyne, the Ru–C1 bond length [1.833(4) Å] in 2a is longer than those of terminal ruthenium carbynes (1.660 to 1.766 Å) (bond length ranges in this article are all based on a search of the Cambridge Structural Database, version 5.39 in November 2017), whereas it is comparable to the Os≡C triple bond length of the first osmabenzyne [1.815(4) Å] (6) and osmapentalyne [1.845(3) Å] (12) with aromaticity. The comparability of Os≡C and Ru≡C bond lengths within similar overall structures is explained by the similar atom radii of ruthenium (1.34 Å) and osmium (1.35 Å) atoms (27) and exemplified by the identical metal–carbon triple bond lengths of cationic carbyne complex [Cp*(PEt3)2Ru≡C≡CPh]2+ (1.765 Å) (28) and [Cp(PPh3)2Os≡C≡CPh]2+ (1.764 Å) (29). The Ru–C4 [2.104(4) Å] and Ru–C7 [2.036(4) Å] bond distances in 2a are within the range of the distances of typical Ru–C(vinyl) bonds (1.973 to 2.202 Å), with the Ru–C7 bond length slightly shorter than that of Ru–C4. Notably, the bond angle at the sp-hybridized carbon atom in 2a is only 130.2(3)°, which, to the best of our knowledge, is far smaller than the previously reported smallest bond angle for a carbyne carbon bonded with a second-row transition metal [152.3(3)°] (30). Thus, ruthenapentalyne 2a can be regarded as the first example of a cyclic metal carbyne complex with a second-row transition metal center, and its exceptional bond angle is the smallest on record for second-row transition metal carbyne complexes.

Fig. 1. Development of transition metal carbyne complexes. L, ligand.

Fig. 2. The synthesis, structure, and aromaticity of ruthenapentalynes 2. (A) Synthesis of ruthenapentalynes 2 from carbolongs 1. DCM, dichloromethane. (B) X-ray molecular structure for the cation of ruthenapentalyne 2a (the ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level; phenyl groups and ester groups are omitted for clarity; the detailed structure is presented in fig. S1). (C) AIE evaluation of the aromaticity of ruthenapentalyne 2a. (D) Nucleus-independent chemical shift (NICS(1)zz evaluations of aromaticity of model complex 2a‘). (E) AICD plot of model complex 2a‘ with an isosurface value of 0.03. The magnetic field vector is orthogonal to the ring plane and points upward (aromatic species exhibit clockwise diatropic circulations).
Evaluation of the aromaticity of ruthenapentalyne 2a by density functional theory calculations

Formation of small rings containing sp-hybridized carbon atoms is often disfavored because of strain. However, in this case, ruthenapentalyne 2a exhibits good thermal stability (the solid sample is persistent in air at 60°C for at least 3 hours). We surmised that the inherent aromaticity of 2a significantly enhances the stabilization of the five-membered ring with the Ru≡C unit. We performed density functional theory (DFT) computations to evaluate the aromaticity of ruthenapentalyne 2a. As shown in Fig. 2C, the aromatic stabilization energy (ASE) of 2a (27.8 kcal/mol) is comparable to those of other reported metalla-aromatics (31). We also calculated the nucleus-independent chemical shift along the z axis at 1 Å above the ring critical point [NICS(1)zz] (32) (we used the average value when the environments above and below the ring centers were not equivalent) of model compound 2a′, which was simplified by replacing the PPh3 groups with PH3 groups (Fig. 2D). Both the considerable ASE value and negative nucleus-independent chemical shift (NICS) values suggest that the metallacycles in ruthenapentalyne 2a are aromatic. In addition, the anisotropy of the induced current density (AICD) analysis confirmed the aromaticity of 2a (33). The obvious diatropic ring current (clockwise vectors) demonstrated the aromatic character, within the metallabicyclic moiety of model ruthenapentalyne 2a′ (Fig. 2E).

Considering the tremendous progress in metalla-aromatic chemistry, it is quite peculiar that a great number of metalla-aromatic species contain third- and second-row transition metal centers (34). Although a series of typical electrophiles, including MeI, MeOTf, EtOTf, Me2OBF4, and Et2OBF4, cannot react with ruthenium carbyne moieties of ruthenapentalynes 2, we attempted to seek other electrophilic reactions. The metal-carbon triple bond of 2 could shift from the original ring to the other fused ring in the presence of acid. Such an extraordinary metal carbyne bond shift reaction has been observed only in osmium species (21). As shown in Fig. 3A, treatment of ruthenapentalyne 2b with dichloroacetic acid at 0°C for 20 min formed new ruthenapentalyne 5, which was characterized in situ.

Ruthenapentalynes are also capable of reacting with copper(I) halides. As shown in Fig. 3A, ruthenapentalynes 2 readily reacted with CuCl to afford bimetallic complexes 4, which were isolated in moderate yield (4a, 87%; 4b, 81%). The NMR spectra and solid-state structure established 4 as bimetallacycloprenylene derivatives. Taking 4a as an example, the C2H and C3H signals observed at 13.01 and 7.54 ppm compare well with those in the 1H NMR spectroscopy of the parent complex 2a (13.06 and 7.32 ppm), whereas the resonance of C1 (325.76 ppm) is significantly upshifted in comparison with that of 2a (355.69 ppm), as determined by 13C NMR spectroscopy. We also characterized complex 4a using single-crystal x-ray diffraction (Fig. 3C). The Ru–C1 bond length [1.907(2) Å] is 0.07 Å longer than that in the parent complex 2a [1.833(4) Å], and the Ru–Cu1 bond length of 2.533(3) Å is shorter than the sum of the covalent radii of Ru and Cu atoms (2.62 Å), revealing the contribution from two resonance forms, A (with a bimetallacycloprenylene unit) and B (with the ruthenacarbyne coordinated to the copper center), as shown in Fig. S13. The deviation from the least-squares plane through Cu1, Ru, and C1–C7 is only 0.023 Å, indicating that the Cu atom exists in the same plane as the five-membered metallabicyclic moiety of model ruthenapentalyne 2a′ (Fig. 2E).

Ambiphilic reactivity and [2 + 2] cycloaddition reactions with alkenes

Although ruthenapentalynes 2 are stable in the solid state, the metal carbyne moieties of 2 are highly reactive toward both nucleophiles and electrophiles in solution. As shown in Fig. 3A, the reaction of 2 with sodium thiophenoxide under an atmosphere of CO led to the formation of the nucleophilic addition product ruthenapentalenes 3, which were characterized by NMR spectroscopy. The 1H NMR spectra showed signals for the metallacycles at 10.87 and 7.83 ppm for 3a and 10.92 and 7.67 ppm for 3b. All the NMR shifts are in the typical region for metalla-aromatics, illustrating the aromaticity of 3. Single-crystal x-ray analysis confirmed the structure of 3b and disclosed the electronic delocalization within the metallacycles (Fig. 3B). The crystal structure revealed the planarity of the metallabicycles (the mean deviation from the least-squares plane of Ru and C1–C7 is 0.033 Å). The bond lengths of Ru–C1 [2.089(3) Å] and Ru–C7 [2.087(3) Å] are identical, both of them falling within the same range as those of previous reported ruthenabenzenes (1.910 to 2.110 Å) (20, 36). Complexes 3 also show good stability toward air, water, and heat. We evaluated the aromaticity of 3 using DFT calculations (figs. S9 to S11). Despite the great recent interest in such aromatic species, the metalapentalene examples reported are limited to only osmapentalenes (21). The synthesis of 3 demonstrates that the incorporation of metals other than osmium into pentalenalene rings is feasible.

As suggested by the reactions of 2 with sodium thiophenoxide, CuCl, and acids (Cl2CHOOH and CF3COOH), ruthenapentalynes are ambiphilic. In general, metal carbyne complexes are either nucleophilic or electrophilic: Only a few of them can react with both nucleophiles and electrophiles (12, 42, 43). We assumed that the ambiphilic character...
of 2 should be attributed to their extremely distorted Ru≡C–C moieties, which may result in a strong tendency to relieve the ring strain by transformation of the sp-hybridized carbyne carbons.

Since the thermal lability of 5 precluded its crystallographic characterization, we carried out the reactivity studies to further confirm its identity. Treatment of in situ prepared 5 with HC≡COEt in dichloromethane at RT for 1 hour afforded complex 6 (Fig. 3A). Complex 6 is stable enough to undergo NMR spectroscopy and single-crystal x-ray diffraction analysis. The core of 6 contains a four-membered ruthenacyclobutadiene ring (Fig. 3C), which is achieved via the [2 + 2] cycloaddition of the alkyne with the metal carbyne unit of 5. Four Ru–C bonds are located in the equatorial plane in 4, among which Ru–C1 [2.101(4) Å] and Ru–C7 [2.099(4) Å] are comparable and within the range of those of previous reported ruthenabenzenes (1.910 to 2.110 Å) (20, 36), whereas the other two [Ru–C4, 2.143(4) Å; Ru–C9, 2.191(4) Å] are much longer. The carbon–carbon lengths of the metallatricycle fall within the range of 1.332(6) to 1.433(6) Å with slight alternation between C–C single and C=C double bonds.

The ruthenacyclobutadiene moiety of 6 supports the assignment of the location of the metal-carbon triple bond in ruthenapentalyne 5. Although ruthenacyclobutadiene complexes have fascinated theoretical chemists (44, 45), only one example has been achieved experimentally, which was prepared by tetramerization of phenylacetylide residues at ruthenium centers (46). For [2 + 2] cycloadditions of alkynes with metal carbyne complexes, there is only one previously reported example for the late–transition metal carbynes (47), although examples of early–transition metal carbyne complexes have been well documented (48–50). The formation of complex 6 represents the
first [2 + 2] cycloaddition reaction of a ruthenium carbyne complex with an alkyne.

**Cascade cyclization reactions with ambident nucleophiles**

Since the above investigation underlined the peculiarities of the metal carbyne moieties in ruthenapentalyne 2, we next examined the reactions with ambident substrates to obtain more insight into their reactivities and properties. Accordingly, we first reacted 2 with cyanates. As shown in Fig. 4A, treatment of 2a with excess NaOCN led to annulation complex 7, which contained two molecules of the cyanate ion.

X-ray crystallographic analysis of 7 showed that the ruthenium center adopts a distorted pentagonal bipyramidal geometry with the equatorial plane vertexes occupied by four carbon atoms and one oxygen atom (Fig. 4B). The carbon–carbon bond lengths within the two five-membered metallacycles range from 1.353(6) to 1.452(6) Å. In the six-membered azametallacycle, the 1.285(6) Å C8–N1 and 1.313(6) Å C1–N2 distances are in the double-bond range and are significantly shorter than C9–N1 [1.391(6) Å] and C9–N2 [1.385(6) Å]. The exocyclic C9–O2 bond [1.239(6) Å] is a typical C=O double bond and shorter than C8–O1 [1.249(5) Å] within the three-membered ring. The Ru–C8 bond [2.040(4) Å] is the shortest Ru–C bond in 7, which suggests a strong interaction between the metal centers and the OCN ligand. In comparison, the other three Ru–C bond lengths in 7 are 2.122(4), 2.087(4), and 2.141(4) Å for Ru–C1, Ru–C4, and Ru–C7, respectively. The structural parameters demonstrate an electron-localized structure of 7, which can be represented by two resonance structures of 7A and 7B (Fig. 4A).

\[
[\text{Ru}] = \text{Ru}(\text{PPh}_3)_2, \ X = \text{C(COOMe)}_2
\]

**Fig. 4. Cascade cyclization reactions of ruthenapentalyne 2a.** (A) Cascade cyclization reactions of 2a with ambident nucleophiles. (B) X-ray molecular structures for complexes 7 and 8 (the ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level; phenyl groups and ester groups are omitted for clarity; the detailed structures are presented in figs. S5 and S6 for 7 and 8, respectively). (C) Proposed mechanism for the formation of 7.
We outlined a proposed cascade cyclization mechanism in Fig. 4C. Initially, a nucleophilic attack of a cyanate ion at the carbyne carbon of 2a would presumably lead to the formation of ruthenapentalene intermediate I, which can be further attacked by a second molecule of cyanate ion to yield intermediate II. The following coordination of the OCN group to the metal center, accompanied by the dissociation of the Cl group, could result in the final polycyclic complex 7.

The rich reactivities of ruthenapentalyne 2 allow access to a series of unusual polycyclic ruthenacycles, with aromatic stability and structural complexity. We summarized the ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) absorption spectra of polycyclic ruthenacycles 2 to 4 and 6 to 8 in Fig. 5. All of them exhibit efficient absorption ranging from the UV-vis region. When the π-conjugated framework is extended, the characteristic energy absorption bands are relatively red-shifted. The broad absorption, unique structures, and remarkable stability could facilitate further application of these polycyclic ruthenacycles.

CONCLUSION

In summary, we have synthesized the first cyclic carbyne complexes with a second-row transition metal center, namely, ruthenapentalynes, by one-pot reactions of carbolongs with commercially available RuCl2(PPh3)3. The carbyne carbon atom of the ruthenapentalylene shows a bond angle of only 130.2(3)°, which is greatly reduced compared to the previous record of the smallest angle within a second-row transition metal carbyne complex. Our experimental observations, together with theoretical calculations, revealed that the inherent aromaticity plays a key role in the stabilization of ruthenapentalynes. We demonstrated that because of the rich and unique reactivity, the ruthenapentalynes could serve as precursors to a family of ruthenacyclic complexes with diverse structural features and distinct properties. This work provides a valuable supplement to the previous paucity of transition metal carbyne complexes and may promote better understanding of the chemistry of cyclic metal carbyne complexes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

All syntheses were performed under an inert atmosphere (N2) using standard Schlenk techniques, unless otherwise stated. Solvents were distilled from sodium/benzophenone (hexane and diethyl ether) or calcium hydride (dichloromethane) under N2 before use. The starting materials 1a and 1b were synthesized according to previously published procedures (13). Other reagents were as received from commercial sources without further purification. Column chromatography was performed on silica gel (200 to 300 mesh) in air. NMR spectroscopy was performed using a Bruker Avance II 300 spectrometer, a Bruker Advance II 400 spectrometer, or a Bruker Advance III 500 spectrometer, or a Bruker Ascend II 600 spectrometer at RT or 0°C. The 1H and 13C NMR chemical shifts (δ) are relative to tetramethylsilane, and the 31P NMR chemical shifts are relative to 85% H3PO4. The absolute values of the coupling constants are given in hertz. The theoretical molecular ion peak was calculated by Compass Isotope Pattern software supplied by Bruker Company. HRMS was conducted using a Bruker Daltonics Apex Ultra 7.0 T FTMS instrument. EA was performed on a vario EL III elemental analyzer. Absorption spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu UV2550 UV-vis spectrophotometer.

Representative syntheses are given below. Further details for the syntheses of complexes 2b, 3b, 4b, and 5′ are given in the Supplementary Materials.

Complex 2a

A dichloromethane solution (5 ml) of carbolong 1a (1.65 g, 6.29 mmol) was added slowly to a red solution of RuCl2(PPh3)3 (3.54 g, 3.69 mmol) and PPh3 (5.02 g, 19.1 mmol) in dichloromethane (150 ml). The reaction mixture was stirred at RT for 3 hours to yield a red solution. The solution was evaporated under vacuum to a volume of approximately 15 ml and then washed with hexane (3 × 200 ml) to afford a red solid. The solid was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (eluent, 20:1 dichloromethane/methanol) to yield complex 2a as a red solid. Yield: 2.89 g, 65%. 1H NMR (600.1 MHz, CD2Cl2): δ 13.06 ppm (s, 1H, C7H), 7.32 ppm [1H, C4H, determined by heteronuclear single-quantum coherence (HSQC)], 7.00 to 7.78 ppm (45H of Ph and 1H of C3H mentioned above), 3.63 ppm (s, 6H, COOCPh3), 2.94 ppm [t, 3J(HP) = 3.82 Hz, 2H, C10H], and 2.74 ppm (s, 2H, C8H). 31P NMR (242.9 MHz, CD2Cl2): δ 29.62 ppm [d, 3J(PP) = 5.89 Hz, RuPPh3] and 6.35 ppm [t, 3J(PP) = 5.89 Hz, CPHP3]. 13C NMR (150.9 MHz, CD2Cl2, plus 13C DEPT-135, 1H-13C HSQC, 1H-31P heteronuclear multiple-bond correlation (HMBC)); δ 355.69 ppm [dt, apparent q, 3J(CP) = 14.92 Hz, 7J(CP) = 14.92 Hz, C8], 189.01 ppm [dt, 3J(CP) = 25.58 Hz, 7J(CP) = 5.09 Hz, C4], 179.74 ppm (s, C5), 170.53 ppm (s, COOCH3), 163.33 ppm.

Fig. 5. UV-vis absorption spectra of 2a, 3a, 4a, 6, 7, and 8. Measured in CH2Cl2 at RT (1.0 × 10−4 M).
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Complex 3a
A mixture of 2a (300 mg, 0.249 mmol) and NaPh (99 mg, 0.75 mmol) in dichloromethane (10 ml) was stirred at RT for 10 min under an atmosphere of CO to yield a red solution. The solid was removed by filtration. The filtrate was evaporated under vacuum to approximately 2 ml and then purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluent: 15:1 dichloromethane/methanol) to afford 3a as a red solid. Yield: 244 mg, 75%. 1H NMR (500.2 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$): δ 10.87 ppm (s, 1H, C'H), 7.83 ppm (s, δ[HP] = 5.45 Hz, 1H, C'H), 7.04 to 7.68 ppm (45H, Ph), 6.88 ppm [t, δ(HH) = 7.40 Hz, 1H, Ph], 6.52 ppm [t, δ(HH) = 7.40 Hz, 2H, Ph], 5.99 ppm [t, δ(HH) = 7.40 Hz, 2H, Ph], 3.52 ppm (s, 6H, COOCH$_3$), 2.55 ppm (s, 2H, C'H$_2$), and 2.09 ppm (s, 2H, C'H$_3$). 31P NMR (202.5 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$): δ 36.79 ppm (s, RuPPh$_3$) and 7.28 ppm (s, CPPh$_3$). 13C NMR (125.8 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$, plus 13C-dept 135, 1H, 13C HSQC, and 1H-13C HMBC): δ 249.56 ppm (br, C', 2), 238.51 ppm [t, δ(CP) = 17.74 Hz, C'], 203.96 ppm [t, δ(CP) = 15.06 Hz, RuCO], 197.80 ppm [dt, δ(HP) = 27.45 Hz, δ(CP) = 7.71 Hz, C', 180.96 ppm (s, c), 171.71 ppm (s, COOCH$_3$), 169.85 ppm (s, C', 161.86 ppm [d, δ(CP) = 24.53 Hz, C', 139.00 ppm (s, Ph), 128.29 to 135.33 ppm (Ph), 122.35 ppm [d, δ(CP) = 86.85 ppm (s, Ph), 121.97 ppm [q, δ(CP) = 59.36 Hz, C', 64.08 ppm (s, C', 53.32 ppm (s, COOCH$_3$), 38.38 ppm (s, C'$_3$), and 37.62 ppm (s, C'). Anal. calculated (%) for C$_{75}$H$_{62}$O$_3$P$_3$RuS$_4$: C, 69.04; H, 4.79. Found: C, 68.92; H, 4.85. HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for [C$_{75}$H$_{62}$O$_3$P$_3$RuS$_4$]$^+$, 1269.2589 [M$^+$]; found, 1269.2588.

Complex 4a
A mixture of complex 2a (300 mg, 0.249 mmol) and CuCl (51 mg, 0.52 mmol) in dichloromethane (10 ml) was stirred for 30 min at RT to yield an orange solution. Excess CuCl was removed by filtration. The filtrate was evaporated under vacuum to approximately 3 ml and washed with diethyl ether (3 × 10 ml) to afford 4a as an orange solid. Yield: 303 mg, 87%. 1H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$): δ 13.01 ppm [t, δ(HP) = 4.55 Hz, 1H, C'H], 7.54 ppm (1H, C'H, determined by HSQC), 7.04 to 7.81 ppm (45H of Ph and 1H of C'H above mentioned above), 3.64 ppm (s, 6H, COOCH$_3$), 2.86 ppm [t, δ(HP) = 3.76 Hz, 2H, C'H$_2$], and 2.83 ppm (2H, C'H$_3$). 31P NMR (161.9 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$): δ 22.84 ppm [d, δ(PP) = 4.15 Hz, RuPPPh$_3$] and 6.65 ppm [t, δ(PP) = 4.15 Hz, CuPP$_3$]. 13C NMR (100.6 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$, plus 13C-dept 135, 1H-13C HSQC, and 1H-13C HMBC): δ 325.76 ppm (br, C'), 250.58 ppm [t, δ(2JP) = 13.02 Hz, C'$_2$], 194.40 ppm [dt, δ(2JP) = 22.93 Hz, δ(3JP) = 4.55 Hz, C'$_2$], 184.57 ppm (C, C'), 171.01 ppm (s, COOCH$_3$), 166.52 ppm (s, C'), 158.41 ppm [d, δ(3JP) = 15.32 Hz, C', 128.58 to 135.45 ppm (Ph), 127.93 ppm [dt, δ(2JP) = 87.05 Hz, δ(3JP) = 3.81 Hz, C', 118.10 ppm [d, δ(3JP) = 90.36 Hz, Ph], 63.98 ppm (s, C'), 53.34 ppm (s, COOCH$_3$), 39.34 ppm (s, C'), and 36.79 ppm (s, C'). Anal. calculated (%) for C$_{68}$H$_{57}$Cl$_2$O$_4$P$_3$Ru: C, 58.29; H, 4.10. Found: C, 58.22; H, 4.47. HRMS (ESI): m/z calculated for [C$_{68}$H$_{57}$Cl$_2$O$_4$P$_3$Ru]$^+$, 1267.1185 [M$^+$]; found, 1267.1187.

Complex 5
Cl$_2$CHCOOH (58 µl, 0.703 mmol) was added to a solution of 2b (300 mg, 0.275 mmol) in dichloromethane (15 ml). The reaction mixture was stirred at 0°C for 20 min to yield a red solution of 5 (in ca. 90% yield based on 1H and 31P NMR), which was characterized by in situ NMR and HRMS (ESI). 1H NMR (600.1 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$): δ 10.47 ppm [d, δ(PP) = 10.47 ppm (s, 1H, C'H), 7.57 ppm (s, 1H, C'H), 6.77 to 7.55 ppm (45H, Ph), 3.51 ppm (s, 6H, COOCH$_3$), 2.66 ppm (s, 2H, C'H$_2$), and 2.42 ppm (s, 2H, C'H$_3$). 31P NMR (242.9 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$): δ 29.89 ppm (s, RuPPPh$_3$) and 12.24 ppm (s, CPPh$_3$). 13C
NMR (150.9 MHz, CD2Cl2, plus 13C-dept 135, 1H, 13C HSQC, and 1H, 13C HMBC): δ 239.75 ppm (br, C7), 207.71 ppm [t (J(CP) = 14.89 Hz, C7)], 193.32 ppm [t (J(CP) = 4.59 Hz, C6)], 181.46 ppm [dt (J(CP) = 29.91 Hz, J(CP) = 7.44 Hz, C5)], 173.36 ppm (s, C4), 172.31 ppm (s, COOCH3), 167.49 ppm (s, C3), 162.04 ppm [d (J(CP) = 19.22 Hz, C2)], 156.03 ppm (s, C1), 127.19 to 134.67 ppm (Ph), 123.18 ppm [d, 1H-13C HMBC)], 52.61 ppm (s, COOCH3), 38.10 ppm (s, C1), and 37.71 ppm (s, Cl). Anal. calculated (%) for C43H26ClO4P3Ru: N, 2.26; C, 68.81; H, 5.09. HRMS (ESI): m/z = 616.03 ppm (s, C6), 127.19 to 134.67 ppm (Ph), 123.18 ppm [d, 1H-13C HMBC)], 52.61 ppm (s, COOCH3), 38.10 ppm (s, C1), and 37.71 ppm (s, Cl).

Complex 8
A mixture of complex 2a (300 mg, 0.249 mmol) and sodium dicyanamide (133 mg, 1.49 mmol) in dichloromethane (10 mL) was stirred for 12 hours at RT to yield a navy blue solution. Excess sodium salt was removed by filtration. The filtrate was evaporated under vacuum to approximate 3 mL. Then the residue was washed with diethyl ether (3 × 20 mL) to afford 8 as a green solid. Yield: 268 mg, 85%. 1H NMR (400.1 MHz, CDCl3): δ 11.26 ppm (s, 1H, C7H), 7.62 ppm (s, 1H, C3H), 6.89 to 7.70 ppm (45Ph of Ph and 1H of C7H mentioned above), 3.46 ppm (s, 6H, COOC6H5), 2.74 ppm (s, 2H, C14H), and 2.62 ppm (s, 2H, C13H). 13C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl3) [CP] = 19.22 Hz, 1C]7, 1H, 13C HMBC): δ 131.74 ppm (s, RuPPh3) and 10.47 ppm (s, CPPh3). Unfortunately, the poor solubility of 8 prevented its 13C NMR characterization. Anal. calculated (%) for C43H26ClO4P3Ru N, 2.30; C, 69.13; H, 5.26; Cl, 13.51; P, 5.79; Ru, 3.55. HRMS (ESI): m/z = 816.03 ppm (s, C6), 127.19 to 134.67 ppm (Ph), 123.18 ppm [d, 1H-13C HMBC)], 52.61 ppm (s, COOCH3), 38.10 ppm (s, C1), and 37.71 ppm (s, Cl).
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