PATHS IN HYPERGRAPHS: A RESCALING PHENOMENON

TOMASZ LUCZAK AND JOANNA POLCYN

Abstract. Let $P^k_\ell$ denote the loose $k$-path of length $\ell$ and let define $f_k^\ell(n,m)$ as the minimum value of $\Delta(H)$ over all $P^k_\ell$-free $k$-graphs $H$ with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges. In the paper we study the behavior of $f_2^2(n,m)$ and $f_3^3(n,m)$ and characterize the structure of extremal hypergraphs. In particular, it is shown that when $m \sim n^2/8$ the value of each of these functions drops down from $\Theta(n^2)$ to $\Theta(n)$.

1. Introduction

In extremal graph theory we often study functions which emerge when we appropriately scale the extremal parameters of graphs. A typical example is the minimum number of triangles, scaled by $(\binom{n}{3})$, in graphs on $n$ vertices and density $p$. The celebrated result of Razborov [6] gives a full description of this function as a function of $p$; in particular he showed it is smooth everywhere except at the points $1 - 1/t$ for integer $t$ (for a similar result on cliques of larger size see Reiher [7]). Thus, at these points a kind of the continuous phase transition takes place, which is related to the structural changes of the graph on which the minimum is attained.

It is not too hard to construct examples which exhibits a much more rapid, discontinuous change of the structure. In this paper however we give examples of two functions where not only such a transition is discontinuous but the studied function rapidly drops to zero and so requires another rescaling.

In order to state our result we need a few definitions. By a $k$-uniform hypergraph $H = (V,E)$ on $n$ vertices or, briefly, $k$-graph, we mean the family of $k$-element subsets (called edges) of a set of vertices of $H$. Let $P^k_\ell$ denote the loose $k$-uniform path of length $\ell$, i.e. the connected linear $k$-graph with $\ell$ edges and $k\ell - \ell + 1$ vertices. Our aim is to exhibit a ‘rescaling phenomenon’ for the maximum degree in 4-graphs which contains no loose paths of length two and 3-graphs without loose paths.
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of length three. In particular we prove the following two results (for more precise statements see Theorems 4, 18 below).

**Theorem 1.** There exists \( n_1 \) such that for every \( P_2^4 \)-free 4-graph \( H \) with \( n \geq n_1 \) vertices and \( m \geq \binom{n/2}{2} + 1 \) edges we have \( \Delta(H) \geq n^2/32 - n \).

On the other hand, for every \( n \geq 4 \) there exists a \( P_2^4 \)-free 4-graph \( H_0 \) with \( m = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor \) edges and \( \Delta(H) = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1 \).

**Theorem 2.** There exists \( n_2 \) such that for every \( P_3^3 \)-free 3-graph \( H \) with \( n \geq n_2 \) vertices and \( m \geq n^2/8 + 1 \) edges we have \( \Delta(H) \geq n^2/32 - n \).

On the other hand, for every \( n \geq 4 \) there exists a \( P_3^3 \)-free 3-graph \( H_0 \) with \( m = \lfloor n^2/8 \rfloor \) edges and \( \Delta(H) \leq \lceil n/2 \rceil \).

### 2. Paths of length two in 4-graphs

In this section we study the maximum degree of hypergraphs which contains no paths of length two. For 2-graphs the problem is trivial since each graph without paths of length two clearly consists of isolated edges. For 3-graphs the problem is also not very exciting. It is easy to see that every component of 3-graph without \( P_3^3 \) is either a 2-star, i.e. consists of edges which contain two given vertices, or is a subgraph of the complete 3-graph on four vertices. Since the latter graph is denser, 3-graph without paths of length two on \( n \) vertices contains at most \( \lfloor (n + 1)/4 \rfloor + 3 \lfloor n/4 \rfloor \) edges and this maximum number is achieved, for instance, for the 3-graph which consists of disjoint cliques of size four and, perhaps, one isolated edge (in the case \( n \equiv 3 \, (\text{mod} \, 4) \)). Hence the minimum maximum degree of any \( P_2^4 \)-free graph is three.

For 4-graphs the problem starts to be interesting. Indeed, let us recall that, at least for large \( n \), the maximum number of edges in \( P_2^4 \)-free graph on \( n \) vertices is \( \binom{n-2}{2} \) and it is achieved only for 2-stars in which there is a vertex which is contained in every edge of 4-graph; more precisely the following result was proved by Keevash, Mubayi, and Wilson [4].

**Theorem 3.** If \( h(n) \) denote the maximum number of edges in a \( P_2^4 \)-free 4-graph on \( n \) vertices, then

\[
h(n) = \begin{cases} 
\binom{n}{2} & \text{for } n = 4, 5, 6, \\
15 & \text{for } n = 7, \\
17 & \text{for } n = 8, \\
\binom{n-2}{2} & \text{for } n \geq 9.
\end{cases}
\]

In order to state our result precisely we introduce some notation. For \( n \) large enough and \( m \leq \binom{n-2}{2} \) let function \( f_2(n, m) \) be defined as

\[
f_2(n, m) = \min\{\Delta(H) : H = (V, E) \text{ is a 4-graph such that} \}.
\]

\[
|V| = n, |E| = m, \text{ and } H \not\supset P_2^4,\}
\]
where here and below $\Delta(H)$ denotes the maximum degree of $H$. By $\mathcal{F}_2^4(n, m)$ we denote the ‘extremal’ family of $P_2$-free 4-graphs on $n$ vertices and $m$ edges such that $\Delta(H) = f_2^4(n, m)$. By $\tilde{K}_n^4$ we mean the thick $n$-clique, i.e. the graph on $n$ vertices (almost) partitioned into $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ ‘dubletons’ such that any pair of dubletons form an edge of $\tilde{K}_n^4$.

The main theorem of these section can be stated as follows.

**Theorem 4.** There exists $\tilde{n}_1$ such that for every $n \geq \tilde{n}_1$ and

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \lfloor n/2 \rfloor \\ 2 \end{array}\right) - \frac{n}{5} \leq m \leq \left(\begin{array}{c} \lfloor n/2 \rfloor \\ 2 \end{array}\right)$$

each graph from $\mathcal{F}_2^4(n, m)$ is a subgraph of a thick clique.

Moreover, there exist $\tilde{n}_1$ such that for every $n \geq \tilde{n}_1$ and all $m \geq \left(\begin{array}{c} \lfloor n/2 \rfloor \\ 2 \end{array}\right) + 1$ each graph from $\mathcal{F}_2^4(n, m)$ has the maximum degree at least $n^2/32 - n$ and one can delete from it at most 470 edges to obtain a union of at most four 2-stars and some number of isolated vertices.

Clearly, Theorem 1 follows from Theorem 4. Before we present its proof let us mention few of its other consequences. It is easy to see that if we want to minimize the maximum degree in union of $r$ stars for a given $n, m$ and $r$, we need to make the $r - 1$ largest stars roughly as equal as possible. On the other hand subgraphs of a thick clique can be made almost regular, so for small $m$ the function $f_2^4(n, m)$ decreases linearly with $m$. This observations lead directly to the following result.

**Corollary 5.** For every $x \in [0, 1/4) \cup (1/4, 1]$ the limit

$$f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f_2^4(n, x\left(\begin{array}{c} n-2 \\ 2 \end{array}\right))}{\left(\begin{array}{c} n-2 \\ 2 \end{array}\right)}$$

exists and

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{for } 0 \leq x < 1/4, \\
(1 + 2x + \sqrt{12x - 3})/24 & \text{for } 1/4 < x < 1/3, \\
(1 + 3x + 2\sqrt{6x - 2})/18 & \text{for } 1/3 < x < 1/2, \\
(x + \sqrt{2x - 1})/2 & \text{for } 1/2 < x \leq 1.
\end{cases}$$

Moreover, for every $m \leq \left(\begin{array}{c} \lfloor n/2 \rfloor \\ 2 \end{array}\right)$ we have

$$\left\lfloor \frac{4m}{n - 1} \right\rfloor \leq f_2^4(n, m) \leq \left\lceil \frac{4m}{n} \right\rceil.$$  \(\square\)

We note also that once the function $f_2^4(n, m)$ drops from $\Theta(n^2)$ to $\Theta(n)$ it becomes ‘more stable’, i.e. the following result holds.

**Corollary 6.** For large enough $n$ the following holds.

(i) If $\left(\begin{array}{c} \lfloor n/2 \rfloor \\ 2 \end{array}\right) + 4 \leq m \leq \left(\begin{array}{c} n-2 \\ 2 \end{array}\right)$, then $f_2^4(n, m - 4) < f_2^4(n, m)$.

(ii) If $\left(\begin{array}{c} \lfloor n/2 \rfloor \\ 2 \end{array}\right) - \frac{n}{10} \leq m \leq \left(\begin{array}{c} \lfloor n/2 \rfloor \\ 2 \end{array}\right)$, then $f_2^4(n, m) = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1$.  \(\square\)
The main ingredient of our argument is the following decomposition lemma which is true for all $P_2^4$-free 4-graphs no matter what are their density.

**Lemma 7.** For any $P_2^4$-free 4-graph $H$ there exists a partition of its set of vertices $V = R \cup S \cup T$, such that subhypergraphs of $H$ defined as $H_R = \{h \in H : h \cap R \neq \emptyset\}$, $H_S = H[S]$ and $H_T = H \setminus (H_R \cap H_S) = \{h \in H[V \setminus R] : h \cap T \neq \emptyset\}$ satisfy:

(i) $|H_R| \leq 10|R|$,  
(ii) $H_S$ is a subgraph of a thick clique, and so $|H_S| \leq \left(\frac{|S|}{2}\right)$,  
(iii) $H_T$ is a family of disjoint 2-stars such that centers of these stars are in $S$ whereas all other vertices are in $T$. In particular, $|H_T| \leq \binom{|T|}{2}$.

**Proof.** Let $H$ be a $P_2^4$-free 4-graph with the set of vertices $V$, $|V| = n$, and the set of edges $E$, $|E| = m$. We start with defining the set of exceptional vertices $R \subset V$. We put into $R$ vertices of degree at most ten one by one, until only vertices of degree at least eleven remain. Then, clearly,

\[(1) \quad |H_R| \leq 10|R|,\]

Let us consider the 4-graph $\hat{H} = H[V \setminus R] = (\hat{V}, \hat{E})$. For a set $S \subset \hat{V}$ by its signature $sg(S)$ we mean the projection of the edges of $\hat{H}$ into $S$, i.e.

$$sg(S) = \{S \cap e : e \in \hat{E}\}.$$  

Our argument is based on the number of facts on signatures of $e \in \hat{E}$.

**Claim 8.** The signature of each edge $e$ of $\hat{H}$ contains no singletons and at least one dubleton. Moreover, each vertex of $e$ is contained in at least one element of the signature.

**Proof.** The first part of the statement follows from the fact that $\hat{H}$ is $P_2^4$-free. Now take $e \in \hat{E}$. Since the degree of each vertex $v \in E$ is at least eleven, so it must be contained in at least one set from $sg(e)$. Finally, if $sg(e)$ contains no dubletons, then each edge $e'$ intersecting $e$ must share with it precisely three elements. But then, for $v' = e' \setminus e$, the vertex $v' \in \hat{V}$ has degree at most four, contradicting our assumption on $\hat{H}$. \hfill $\square$

**Claim 9.** If the signature of an edge $e$ from $\hat{H}$ contains two dubletons they are disjoint.

**Proof.** Let $e_1 = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\} \in \hat{H}$ and let $\{x_1, x_2\}, \{x_2, x_3\} \in sg(e_1)$. Then, there exist in $\hat{H}$ two other edges, $e_2 = \{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2\}$ and $e_3 = \{x_2, x_3, y_2, y_3\}$, where $y_1, y_2, y_3 \notin e_1$. Set $V_1 = e_1 \cup e_2 \cup e_3$. We argue
that at least one of vertices in the component of \( \hat{H} \) containing \( V_1 \) has degree at most 10 contradicting the definition of \( \hat{H} \).

Let us first consider the case where \( y_1 \neq y_3 \). Since \( H \) is \( P_4^2 \)-free, the signature of \( V_1 \) contains no singletons, but one can easily verify that it cannot contain dubletons either. Note also that there exists an edge \( e' \) not contained in \( V_1 \) but intersecting it, since otherwise, because of the degree restriction, \( V_1 \) would contain at least \( 11 \cdot 7/4 > 19 \) edges, contradicting Theorem 3. Furthermore, one can check that to avoid \( P_4^2 \), any edge \( e' \) not contained in \( V_1 \) can intersect \( V_1 \) on one of ten possible triples. But this means that the vertex \( v = e' \setminus V_1 \) has degree at most ten, contradicting the choice of \( \hat{H} \).

Now let us assume that \( y_1 = y_3 \). Note that to avoid \( P_4^2 \) any edge containing \( x_4 \) not contained in \( V_1 \) must be of type \( \{v, y_i, x_2, x_4\} \). Since the degree of \( x_4 \) is at least eleven and it belongs to at most \( \binom{5}{3} = 10 \) edges contained in \( V_1 \) such an edge, say, \( e_4 = \{v, y_1, x_2, x_4\} \) exists. But now any edge which intersect set \( V_1 \) on two vertices and does not contain \( v \) creates a copy of \( P_4^2 \) and there are only five triples which added to \( v' \notin V_1 \cup \{v\} \) create no copy of \( P_4^2 \). Since \( V_1 \cup \{v\} \) cannot be a component of \( \hat{H} \) (by the degree restriction such a component would contain more than 15 edges contradicting Theorem 3), the assertion follows.

Claim 10. The signature of no edge of \( \hat{H} \) contains a triple and a dubleton which intersect on one vertex.

Proof. If the signature of \( e_1 = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\} \in \hat{H} \) contains a triple \( \{x_1, x_2, x_3\} \) and a dubleton \( \{x_3, x_4\} \), then there exist in \( \hat{H} \) two edges, \( e_2 = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1\} \) and \( e_3 = \{x_3, x_4, y_1, y_2\} \), with \( y_1, y_2 \notin e_1 \). But then the signature of \( e_3 \) contains two dubletons sharing exactly one vertex contradicting Claim 9.

Claim 11. Signature of each edge of \( \hat{H} \) consists either of two disjoint dubletons or one dubleton and two triples intersecting on this dubleton.

Proof. It is a straightforward consequence of Claims 8, 10. □

Now we are ready to show Lemma 7. We call a pair of vertices \( \{x, y\} \subset \hat{V} \) a twin if there is no edge \( e \in \hat{E} \) such that \( |\{x, y\} \cap e| = 1 \). In other words, each edge of \( \hat{E} \) either contains both vertices \( x \) and \( y \), or none of them. Now let the set \( S \subset \hat{V} \) be the union of all twins in \( \hat{H} \), \( |S| = s \), and \( T = \hat{V} \setminus S \). Observe that due to Claim 11 an edge \( e \in \hat{E} \) is contained in \( S \) (and thus belongs to \( H_S \)) if and only if its signature consists of two disjoint dubletons. Consequently, \( H_S \) is a subgraph of a thick clique, and so \( |H_S| \leq (s/2)^2 \). Moreover, it is easy to see that if \( e \in \hat{E} \) contains two triples intersecting on a dubleton, then the dubleton must be contained in \( S \) while two other vertices of
e, which can be separated by some edge, lie outside $S$, i.e. they belong to $T$. \hfill \Box

Proof of Theorem 4. Let $H \in \mathcal{F}_2^{\downarrow}(n, m)$, $m \geq n^2/8 - 2n/3$, and let a partition $V = R \cup S \cup T$ and subgraphs $H_R$, $H_S$ and $H_T$ of $H$ be defined as in Lemma 7. Set $|R| = r$, $|S| = s$ and $|T| = t$. By Lemma 7,

$$2|H_T| \geq 2(m - |H_R| - |H_S|) \geq 2m - 10r - s^2/8 \geq 2m/n,$$

where the last inequality follows by the facts that $m \geq n^2/8 - 2n/3$ and $r+s \leq n-T \leq n-12$. Since any graph with average degree $d$ contains a component of at least $(d+1)d/2$ edges, the assertion follows. \hfill \Box

As an immediate consequence of the above fact we get the following result.

Claim 12. If $T \neq \emptyset$ then there exists in $H_T$ a 2-star with at least $2m^2/n^2 + m/n$ edges.

Proof. Let us define a 2-graph $G_T = (T, E_T)$ on the set of vertices $T$ putting $E_T = \{h \cap T : h \in H_T\}$. Note that $\delta(H) \geq 11$ and so $|T| \geq 12$. Then the average degree of the graph $G_T$ is bounded below by

$$\frac{2|H_T|}{|T|} = \frac{2(m - |H_R| - |H_S|)}{n - r - s} \geq \frac{2m - 10r - s^2/8}{n - r - s} = \frac{2m}{n} + \frac{(2m/n)(r+s) - 20r - s^2/4}{n - r - s} \geq \frac{2m}{n},$$

where the last inequality follows by the facts that $m \geq n^2/8 - 2n/3$ and $r+s \leq n - |T| \leq n - 12$. Since any graph with average degree $d$ contains a component of at least $(d+1)d/2$ edges, the assertion follows. \hfill \Box

As an immediate consequence of the above fact we get the following result.

Claim 13. If $n^2/9 \leq m \leq \binom{n/2}{2}$, then $T = \emptyset$.

Proof. Note that a thick clique on $n$ vertices has $\binom{n/2}{2}$ edges and the maximum degree $\Delta \leq n/2$. As a consequence, if for $n^2/9 \leq m \leq \binom{n/2}{2}$, $H \in \mathcal{F}_2^{\downarrow}(n, m)$, then $\Delta(H) \leq n/2 < 0.02n^2 < 2m^2/n^2$. Hence, by Claim 12 $T = \emptyset$. \hfill \Box

Claim 14. If $H_T = \emptyset$ then $m \leq \binom{n/2}{2}$, Furthermore, if in addition $H_R \neq \emptyset$, then $m \leq \binom{n/2}{2} - n/5$.

Proof. Let $H \in \mathcal{F}_2^{\downarrow}(n, m)$ be such that $H_T = \emptyset$. Then the vertex set of $H$ can be partitioned into sets $S$ and $R$, where $|S| = s$, $s$ is even, and $|R| = r = n - s$. The number of edges $m$ in such graph is bounded from above by $s^2/8 + 10r$. It is easy to see that if $r \geq 2$ and $n$ is large enough this number is smaller than $\binom{n/2}{2} - n/5$. Let us consider now the case when $R$ consists of just one vertex $v$; note that in this case $n$ is odd. Suppose that $v$ belongs to an edge $e$. Then $e$ must separate one twin $\{w, w'\}$ in $S$. But then each edge $e'$ of $H_S$ which contains the twin $\{w, w'\}$ must intersect $e$ at least one more vertex and consequently
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$w$, as well as $w'$, can be contained in at most two edges of $H_S$. Since $\deg(v) \leq 10$ so, very crudely, $\deg(w), \deg(w') \leq 12$. But then

$$m \leq \left( \frac{(n-3)/2}{2} \right) + 10 + 26 = \left( \frac{(n-1)/2}{2} \right) - \frac{n-3}{2} + 36$$

$$\leq \left( \frac{(n-1)/2}{2} \right) - \frac{n}{5}. \Box$$

Note that Claim 14 immediately implies the first part of Theorem 4.

To consider the second part let us assume that $H \in \mathcal{F}_2^4(n, m)$, where $m > \left( \frac{n}{2} \right)$. Then, by Claim 14, $T \neq \emptyset$. Consequently, by Claim 12 there exists in $H_T$ a 2-star with at least

$$\frac{2m^2}{n^2} + \frac{m}{n} > \frac{2m - 4}{n} + \frac{m}{8} = \frac{m}{4} > \frac{n^2}{32} - \frac{n}{8}$$

edges implying that $\Delta(H) > n^2/32 - n$ and $H_T$ contains at most three largest 2-stars.

Claim 15. $H_T$ consists of at most seven disjoint 2-stars.

Proof. Assume for a contradiction, that $H_T$ consists of at least eight disjoint 2-stars. Denote them by $S_i, i \geq 1$, where $\deg_H(v_i) \geq \deg(v_j)$, for $i < j$, and $\{v_i, v'_i\}$ stands for a center of a 2-star $S_i$. Note that by (3),

$$\deg_H(v_7) + \deg_H(v_8) < \frac{2}{7} \cdot \left( m - \frac{m}{4} \right) = \frac{3}{14} m < \Delta(H) - 3.$$ 

But then we can modify $H$ by switching edges of $S_8$ so they form one 2-star with $S_7$, removing one edge from each of the 2-stars, $S_1, S_2, S_3$ and add three edges to $S_7$ (note that since $\delta(H) \geq 11$, both $S_7$ and $S_8$ has at least twelve vertices each). Clearly, the modified graph $H'$ is $P_4$-free, has $n$ vertices, $m$ edges but the maximum degree of $H'$ is smaller than the maximum degree of $H$, contradicting the fact, that $H \in \mathcal{F}_2^4(n, m)$. \Box

Claim 16. One can delete from $H$ at most 470 edges and get a union of at most 7 disjoint stars and some isolated vertices.

Proof. First we observe that $r + s < 48$. Indeed, if this is not the case we can modify $H$ by removing $\bar{m} = |H_R| + |H_S| \leq 10r + s^2/8 - s/4$ edges of $H_R \cup H_S$, delete one edge from each of the three largest 2-stars of $H_T$ and on the remaining $r + s - 14$ vertices disjoint from the centers of 2-stars build a new 2-star (or three 2-stars if $r + s > m/4$) with $\bar{m} + 3$ edges. The $P_4$-free graph obtained in this way would have the same number of edges but the maximum degree smaller than $H$, contradicting the fact that $H \in \mathcal{F}_2^4(n, m)$.

Since $r + s \leq 47$ we have $|H_R \cup H_S| \leq 10r + s^2/8 - s/4 \leq 470$ and therefore, by Claim 15 one can delete from a graph $H$ at most 470
edges of $H_S \cup H_R$ to get a graph which is an union of at most seven disjoint 2-stars and some isolated vertices.

To complete the proof of Theorem 4 we need to reduce the number of 2-stars from seven to four. Let $S_1$ be a 2-star with the largest number of edges in $H_T$. By (3), it has $t_1 > n^2/32 - n/8$ edges and therefore $n_1 \geq n/4 + 2$ vertices. Note that there are no place on four such stars in the graph of $n$ vertices. In fact, the fourth 2-star must be build on at most $n/4 - 1$ vertices and consequently have $t_4 \leq n^2/32 - 7n/8 + 6 \leq t_1 - 3n/4 + 6$ edges.

Now suppose that a graph $H_T$ has at least 5 disjoint 2-stars. By $n_4$ and $n_5$ we denote the number of vertices in the forth and the fifth largest 2-stars of $H_T$. Without loss of generality $n_4 \geq n_5$. Then we have $n_5 < n/5$, so one can modify $H$ by removing from each of $S_1$, $S_2$, $S_3$ one edge, choosing two vertices of the smallest degree in $S_5$, removing $m' < 2n/5$ edges incident to them, and joining them to $S_4$ increasing the number of edges in $S_4$ to at most $t_1 - 3n/4 + 6 + 2n/5 + 3 < t_1 - n/3$. The resulting graph $H'$ has the maximum degree smaller than $\Delta(H)$, which contradict the assumption that $H \in \mathcal{F}_2^3(n, m)$. Consequently, removing 470 edges results in a graph which contains at most four 2-stars and the assertion follows.

3. Paths of length three

In this section we study the maximum degree of dense $P_3$-free 3-graphs. As we see soon, both the results and their proofs are surprisingly similar to that presented in the previous section.

For 2-graphs the problem is again an easy exercise – a graph whose components are cycles of length three (except, perhaps, one isolated edge if $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$) is the largest $P_3$-free graph on $n$ vertices and has the maximum degree two. Here, we concentrate on the first non-trivial case when we study the maximum degree of $P_3$-free 3-graphs.

The maximum number of edges in a $P_3$-free 3-graph on $n$ vertices for all $n$ was found by Jackowska, Polcyn and Ruciński in [2].

**Theorem 17.** Let $\hat{h}(n)$ denote the maximum number of edges in a $P_3$-free 3-graph on $n$ vertices. Then

$$\hat{h}(n) = \begin{cases} \binom{n}{3} & \text{for } n = 3, 4, 5, 6, \\ 20 & \text{for } n = 7, \\ \binom{n-1}{2} & \text{for } n \geq 8. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$f_3^3(n, m) = \min\{\Delta(H) : H = (V, E) \text{ is a } 3\text{-graph such that} \}
\begin{align*}
|V| = n, |E| = m, \text{ and } H \not\supset P_3^3, \}
\end{align*}$$
and let $\mathcal{F}_3(n, m)$ denote the ‘extremal’ family of $P_3$-free 3-graphs on $n$ vertices and $m$ edges such that $\Delta(H) = f_3(n, m)$. Moreover, let us call a 3-graph $H$ quasi-bipartite if one can partition its set of vertices into three sets: $X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_s\}$, $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_s\}$, and $Z = \{z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_t\}$ in such a way that all the edges of $H$ are of type $\{x_i, y_j, z_k\}$ for some $i = 1, 2, \ldots, s$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, t$. Finally, by a star with center $v$ we denote a 3-graph in which each edge contains $v$. Then the following holds.

**Theorem 18.** There exists $\bar{n}_2$ such that for every $n \geq \bar{n}_2$, and 

$$n^2/8 - \frac{n}{5} \leq m \leq n^2/8,$$

each graph from $\mathcal{F}_3(n, m)$ is quasi-bipartite. Moreover, there exists $\tilde{n}_2$ such that for every $n \geq \tilde{n}_2$ and 

$$n^2/8 < m \leq \left(\frac{n - 1}{2}\right),$$

each graph from $\mathcal{F}_3(n, m)$ has the maximum degree at least $n^2/32$ and we can delete from it at most 144 edges and get a union of at most four stars and some number of isolated vertices.

Observe that Theorem 2 follows directly from Theorems 18. Another immediate consequence of the above two statements is the following result (note that the function $f(x)$ below is the same as the one defined in Corollary 5).

**Corollary 19.** For every $x \in [0, 1/4) \cup (1/4, 1]$ the limit 

$$f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f_3(n, x\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right))}{\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)}$$

exists and 

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{for } 0 \leq x < 1/4, \\
\frac{1 + 2x + \sqrt{12x - 3}}{24} & \text{for } 1/4 < x < 1/3, \\
\frac{1 + 3x + 2\sqrt{6x - 2}}{18} & \text{for } 1/3 < x < 1/2, \\
\frac{x + 2\sqrt{2x - 1}}{2} & \text{for } 1/2 < x \leq 1. 
\end{cases}$$

□

The proof of Theorems 18 follows closely the way we proved Theorem 4. Thus, as before, we start with the following decomposition lemma.

**Lemma 20.** For any $P_3$-free 3-graph $H$ there exists a partition of its set of vertices $V = R \cup S \cup T$, such that subhypergraphs of $H$ defined as $H_R = \{h \in H : h \cap R \neq \emptyset\}$, $H_S = H[S]$ and $H_T = H \setminus (H_R \cap H_S) = \{h \in H[V \setminus R] : h \cap T \neq \emptyset\}$ satisfy:

(i) $|H_R| \leq 6|R|$, 
(ii) $H_S$ is quasi-bipartite, and so $|H_S| \leq |S|^2/8$, 
(iii) $|H_T| \leq |T|^2/8$. 
□
(iii) \( H_T \) is a family of disjoint stars such that centers of these stars are in \( S \) whereas all other vertices are in \( T \), and so \( |H_T| \leq \binom{|T|}{2} \).

Proof. Let \( H = (V, E) \) be a \( P^3_3 \)-free 3-graph with \( |V| = n \) and \( |E| = m \). We start with defining the set of ‘exceptional’ vertices \( R \subseteq V \). By a triangle \( C \) we mean linear 3-graph with six vertices and three edges. First we include in \( R \) all the components of \( H \) which contain \( C \). Then, from the remaining graph we move to \( R \) vertices of degree at most six one by one, until we end up with a graph \( \hat{H} \) of minimum degree at least seven. Then we set \( H_R = \{h \in H : h \cap R \neq \emptyset \} \) and define a graph \( \hat{H} = (\hat{V}, \hat{E}) \) by putting \( \hat{V} = V \setminus R, \hat{E} = E \setminus H_R \).

In order to estimate the number of edges in \( H_R \) we need the following simple fact from [3].

Claim 21. If \( H \) is a connected \( P^3_3 \)-free 3-graph on \( n \) vertices containing \( C \), then \( |E(H)| \leq 4n \).

Thus, the required bound \( 6|R| \) for the number of edges in \( H_R \) follows.

The main tool in proving Lemma 20 is, again, an analysis of possible signatures of edges in a 3-graph \( \hat{H} \), where as before, the signature of \( e \in \hat{E} \) is defined as the projection of \( \hat{E} \) onto \( e \).

Claim 22. Every vertex of \( e \in \hat{E} \) is covered by at least one set of the signature of \( e \).

Proof. It follows from the fact that \( \delta(\hat{H}) \geq 7 > 1 \). \qed

Claim 23. The signature of none of the edges of \( \hat{H} \) contains two singletons.

Proof. Assume that an edge \( e = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\} \in \hat{E} \) contains two singletons, say \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \). Since \( \hat{H} \) is \( \{P^3_3, C\} \)-free, two edges that intersects \( e \) on \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) must share two points, say \( y_1 \) and \( y_2 \).

Set \( X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2\} \). Since the degree of \( x_3 \) is at least seven it must belong to an edge \( e' \) which is not contained in \( X \). If \( |e' \cap X| = 1 \) it would lead to a \( P^3_3 \), if \( e' \cap X = \{x_3, y_i\} \) it would create \( C \). Hence, \( e' \) must consists of \( v \notin X \) and one of the vertices \( x_1, x_2 \). Let us assume that \( e' = \{v, x_1, x_3\} \). Now consider possible candidates for edges \( e'' \) which contain \( v \). If for such an edge \( |e'' \cap X| \leq 1 \) then it leads to \( P^3_3 \), whereas if \( e'' = \{v, x_i, y_j\} \) for some \( i = 1, 2, 3, j = 1, 2 \), it creates a triangle \( C \). Thus, the only candidates for \( e'' \) are triples \( \{v, y_1, y_2\} \), and \( \{v, x_i, x_j\} \) for \( 1 \leq i < j \leq 3 \). But it means that the degree of \( v \) is at most four, while \( \delta(\hat{H}) \geq 7 \). A contradiction. \qed

Claim 24. If the signature of an edge \( e \in \hat{H} \) contains two dubletons, then their intersection is a singleton of \( e \).

Proof. Let \( e = \{x, y, z\} \in \hat{H} \) and let \( f = \{x, y, v_x\} \) and \( f' = \{y, z, v_z\} \), denote two edges containing two dubletons \( \{x, y\}, \{y, z\} \in \text{sg}(e) \). Suppose that \( y \) is not a singleton of \( \text{sg}(e) \). By Claim 23 we may assume
that $x$ is not a singleton either. We first argue that then there exists $f'' = \{x, y, v\}$ such that $v \neq v_z$. If $v_x \neq v_z$, then we can take just $f'' = f$, so let $v_x = v_z$. Note that $x$ is not a singleton in $\text{sg}(e)$ so each edges containing it must contain some other vertex of $e$. Moreover, any edge $e' = \{w, x, z\}$ with $w \neq v_z$ is prohibited since it contains two singletons $x$ and $z$. Thus, the existence of $f''$ follows from the fact that $\deg_H(x) \geq 7 > 3$. Now consider possible candidates for edges $e'$ containing $v$. If $e' \cap e = \emptyset$ then it leads to either $P_3^3$ or $C$. If $|e' \cap e| = 1$ then it creates either singletons $x$ or $y$ in $e$, or the second (next to $y$) singleton $v$ in $f''$. Thus, all edges containing $v$ are contained in $e \cup \{v\}$, contradicting the fact that $\deg(v) \geq 7$. □

Claim 25. The signature of no edge from $\hat{H}$ contains three dubletons.

Proof. It follows from Claims 23 and 24. □

Claim 26. The signature of an edge from $\hat{H}$ consists either of disjoint singleton and dubleton, or of two dubletons intersecting on a singleton.

Proof. It is a direct consequence of Claims 22-25. □

Now we can describe the partition of $\hat{V}$ into $S$ and $T$. We call a pair of vertices $\{x, y\} \subset \hat{V}$ a twin if it cannot be separated by an edge $e \in \hat{E}$, i.e. for no such edge $|\{x, y\} \cap e| = 1$. By singletons we mean all one-element sets which belong to a signature of some edge of $E$.

Now let $S \subset \hat{V}$ consists of all twins and singletons of $\hat{H}$, $|S| = s$, and $T = \hat{V} \setminus S$. It is easy to see that an edge of $\hat{H}$ is contained in $S$ if and only if it has signature which consists of disjoint dubleton and singleton. All other edges belong to $H_T$. Note that each edge of $H_T$ contains a singleton which belong to $S$.

Finally, note that any quasi-bipartite 3-graph on $s$ vertices contains at most

$$m \leq \max\{s'(s - 2s') : s' \leq s\} \leq s^2/8,$$

edges, so $|H_S| \leq s^2/8$. □

Proof of Theorem 18. Since the argument is almost identical to the one from the proof of Theorem 4 we skip some technical details. Let $H \in \mathcal{F}_3^3(n, m)$, $m \geq n^2/8 - n/5$, and let a partition $\hat{V} = R \cup S \cup T$ and subgraphs $H_R$, $H_S$ and $H_T$ of $H$ be as defined in Lemma 20. Set $|R| = r$, $|S| = s$ and $|T| = t$. By Lemma 20

$$|H| = |H_R| + |H_S| + |H_T| \leq 4r + s^2/8 + t^2/2. \tag{4}$$

We start with the following claim.

Claim 27. If $T \neq \emptyset$ then there exists in $H_T$ a star with at least $2m^2/n^2 + m/n$ edges.
Proof. Indeed, then the 2-graph $G_T = (T, E_T)$ defined on the set of vertices $T$ by taking $E_T = \{h \cap T : h \in H_T\}$ has the average degree bounded from below by

$$\frac{2|H_T|}{|T|} = \frac{2(m - |H_R| - |H_S|)}{n - r - s} \geq \frac{2(m - 6r - s^2/8)}{n - r - s} = \frac{2m}{n} + \frac{(2m/n)(r + s) - 12r - s^2/4}{n - r - s} \geq \frac{2m}{n},$$

and so contains a component of at least $2m^2/n^2 + in/n$ edges. □

Since there exists a $P_3^3$-free quasi-bipartite graph with $n$ vertices and $\lfloor n^2/8 \rfloor$ edges, the above result immediately implies the following fact.

Claim 28. If $\lfloor n^2/9 \rfloor \leq m \leq \lfloor n^2/8 \rfloor$, then $T = \emptyset$. □

On the other hand, since $H_R$ is sparse, it turns out that when $H_T = \emptyset$ the number of edges $H$ is bounded from below by $\lfloor n^2/8 \rfloor$ and this maximum is achieved only when $H_R = \emptyset$.

Claim 29. If $H_T = \emptyset$ then $m \leq n^2/8$. Furthermore, if in addition $H_R \neq \emptyset$, then $m \leq n^2/8 - n/5$. □

Now the first part of Theorem 18 follows directly from Claims 28 and 29. In order to show the second part of the assertion we can repeat, almost verbatim, the argument used in the proof of Theorem 4. Thus, from Claims 27 and 29 it follows that if $m > n^2/8$ then $H_T$ contains a star with more than $n^2/32 + n/8$ edges. Consequently, $H$ contains at most three vertices with maximum degree. Then we infer that $H_T$ consists of at most six disjoint stars since otherwise we could decrease the maximum degree of three largest ones by merging the sixth and seventh into one and add to them three edges taken from the biggest stars.

Since $H_T$ consists of only few stars the sets $S$ and $R$ must be quite small (simple calculations show that $r + s < 25$) since otherwise we could remove all $\bar{m}$ edges inside it, take three edges from the largest stars, and on the set of $r + s - 6$ vertices, where we excluded the centers of stars of $H_T$, build a star with $\bar{m} + 3$ edges. Then, the $P_3^3$-free graph constructed in this way would have the same number of edges as $H$ but smaller maximum degree, contradicting the fact that $H \in F_3^3(n, m)$. Since $r + s < 25$, we have $|H_R \cup H_S| \leq 6r + s^2/8 < 144$, i.e. we can remove from $H$ at most 144 edges and get a forest of at most 6 stars and, perhaps, some isolated vertices.

Finally, to complete the proof, it is enough to show that in fact $H_T$ consists of at most four stars. Indeed, otherwise we could modify a graph accordingly (by decreasing by one three largest stars and incorporate these three edges to small stars by shuffling their vertices) so we could keep its number of edges and $P_3^3$-freeness but decrease by one its maximum degree. □
It is easy to see that the constant 470 in Theorem 4 is far from being optimal. The reader can easily rewrite the proof to replace it by, say, 30. However finding the smallest possible value of this constant requires more work and studying quite a few cases of small 4-graphs. Since it is not crucial for the main result, we just give the examples of the extremal 4-graphs we have found.

Let $H_1^4$ be a 4-graph on $3k + 8$ vertices, $k \geq 100$, which consists of three complete disjoint 2-stars on $k$ vertices each, three edges joining centers of these stars, and a copy of the unique $P_2^4$-free graph $F_{1, 3}^4$ on the vertex set $\{x_1, \ldots, x_8\}$ with 17 edges found in [4] whose set of 4-edges consists of all 4-element subsets of $\{x_1, \ldots, x_8\}$ which have at least three elements in $\{x_1, \ldots, x_4\}$. Then, to make $H_1^4$ a union of disjoint 2-stars, we need to remove three edges joining the centers of three large 2-stars and at least eight edges from $F_{1, 3}^4$. It seems that one can always delete at most eleven 4-edges from a dense enough $P_2^4$-free graph to get a union of at most 4-stars (and, perhaps, some number of isolated vertices), so the graph $H_1^4$ defined above is in a way extremal. It is however not unique – one can modify it removing from each 2-star the same number $i \leq k/10$ of edges to get another extremal example.

On the other hand, if we want to get a union of four stars instead of four 2-stars, it is enough to remove from $H_1^4$ only seven edges. However, $H_1^4$ is not extremal for the variant of this problem. A 4-graph $H_2^4$ on $3k + 4$ which consists of a thick clique on 10 vertices and three equal complete 2-stars rooted on its vertices needs at least eight edges to be deleted to become a union of at most four stars. The same is true for a 4-graph $H_3^4$ on $3k + 6$ vertices which consists of three complete stars on $k$ vertices each, three edges joining their centers, and the complete clique on six vertices.

In a similar way one can try to improve the constant 144 in Theorem 18. Since the structure of $P_3^3$-free 3-graphs is well studied, one can use Theorem 18 to replace 144 by just 10, and the extremal graph consists of three equal stars and the clique on six vertices.

Another, much more interesting question, is whether a similar rescaling phenomenon can be observed for other extremal problems. There is a number of candidates for such a behaviour, we just mention two possible directions which follow the line of research initiated by this work. The first one concerns linear 3-paths $P_3^3$ of length $\ell$, for $\ell \geq 3$. It is known [5] that the largest number of edges in a $P_3^3$-free graph on $n$ vertices is $(1/2 + o(1))n^2$ and the extremal graph contains vertices of degree $\Omega(n^2)$. Thus, since a thick clique is $P_3^3$-free, one can expect that this maximum degree drops to $O(n)$ at $m \sim n^2/8$.

It is also conceivable that one can generalize of our result on $P_2^4$-free 4-graphs in the following direction. For $r \geq 1$ let $F_{2}^{r}(4r; n, m)$ be a...
family of \((4r)\)-graphs on \(n\) vertices and \(m\) edges in which no two edges share precisely \(2r - 1\) points. Frankl and Füredi [1] proved that to maximize the number of edges in such a graph one needs to take the family of all sets which contain a given set on \(2r\) vertices. Clearly, in such a graph the maximum degree is \(m = \Theta(n^{2r})\). On the other hand a thick \((4r)\)-clique on \(n\) vertices, where we first partition vertex set into pairs and then choose \(2r\) of them to form an edge, has \(\binom{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}{2r-1}\) edges but its maximum degree is just \(\Theta(n^{2r-1})\). Thus, one expect a rapid change of the (minimum) maximum degree at \(m = \binom{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}{2r}\).
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