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Abstract: Among different depollution methods, photocatalysis activated by solar light is promising for terrestrial outdoor applications. However, its use in underground structures and/or microgravity environments (e.g., extraterrestrial structures) is forbidden. In these cases, there are issues related to the energy emitted from the indoor lighting system because it is not high enough to promote the photocatalytic mechanism. Moreover, microgravity does not allow the recovery of the photocatalytic slurry from the depolluted solution. In this work, the synthesis of a filmable nanocomposite based on semiconductor nanoparticles supported by photosensitized copolypacrylates was performed through a bulk in situ radical copolymerization involving a photosensitizer macromonomer. The macromonomer and the nanocomposites were characterized through UV-Vis, fluorescence and NMR spectroscopies, gel permeation chromatography and thermogravimetric analysis. The photocatalytic activity of the sensitized nanocomposites was studied through photodegradation tests of common dyes and recalcitrant xenobiotic pollutants, employing UV-Vis and visible range (λ > 390 nm) light radiations. The sensitized nanocomposite photocatalytic performances increased about two times that of the unsensitized nanocomposite and that of visible range light radiation alone (>390 nm). The experimental data have shown that these new systems, applied as thin films, have the potential for use in indoor deep underground and extraterrestrial structures.
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1. Introduction

In the last few decades, xenobiotic pollutants that are particularly refractory to biodegradation have been released into the environment, especially in water [1,2], causing severe repercussions on the environment and human health [3]. Additional risks arise from emerging anthropogenic pollutants, such as pesticides, fertilizers, personal care products, and pharmaceuticals, as well as their metabolites or their degradation products [4], whose impact on humans and the environment is not fully clear yet [5].

Recently, increasing interests have been focused on indoor pollution emitted from furniture and human activities within closed environments, representing a health risk, especially for those organisms subjected to long-term exposure. This occurs both in conventional (homes, offices, malls, etc.) and unconventional environments, such as terrestrial deep underground laboratories (DULs) or extraterrestrial and low Earth orbit (LEO) structures. As an example, indoor pollutants in the International Space Station (ISS) [6–11] represent a non-negligible problem due to the scarceness of primary resources such as water and air.
Thus, their purification and recycling are crucial aspects [12–14] and must be conducted through energy-saving methods.

Such aspects become fundamental, especially considering the future space missions that have the intention for humans to settle on the Moon (Artemis project by NASA) and, subsequently, to reach the surface of Mars, which would oblige the crew in long travel within a closed vehicle.

In particular, advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) allow the degradation of pollutants through the production of highly reactive species, such as hydroxyl radicals [15], capable of oxidizing (reduction potential $E = +2.80$ V) and degrading many organic pollutants in aqueous solutions, attacking C-H and C-C bonds in a non-selective way [16].

Among the AOPs, photocatalysis has already shown its efficiency in on-Earth applications and appears very promising for the abatement of organic pollutants [17,18], exploiting solar radiation as a renewable and infinite energy source to produce reactive oxygen species [19,20]. Titanium dioxide nanoparticles (TiO$_2$ NPs) are the most diffused semiconductor-based photocatalysts, because they are cheap, easy to find, non-toxic [21] and efficient against xenobiotic pollutants. Nevertheless, there are two main issues surrounding their applications, regarding both their efficiency and practicality.

The first issue relates to their large bandgap (3.2 eV), making them inoperable for indoor applications because the energy range emitted from the indoor illuminations systems would not be sufficient to promote the photocatalytic mechanism [22]. The resolution of this issue is also necessary for applications in sites that cannot take advantage of solar lighting (both underground constructions [23,24] and extraterrestrial/low Earth orbit structures [25] or, in the future, lunar shelters). An archetype of these kinds of structures is represented by the ISS, where the old illumination system has been recently replaced with low-energy light sources, which do not emit in the light region <390 nm [26,27].

To overtake this issue and extend the photo-response towards the visible light region, different approaches have been proposed [28–32]. Some examples are represented by the doping of the semiconductors with metal or non-metal atoms [33–35] or the coupling with other semiconductors [36–39]. A promising alternative that was recently suggested is represented by organic dyes sensitization [40].

In particular, various semiconductors have been photosensitized with numerous dyes [41], such as xanthene derivatives (Rose bengal, Eosin, etc.) [42], transition metal complexes [43], phthalocyanines [44] and porphyrinoids [45,46]. In particular, porphyrinoids are well-known for their high molar extinction coefficients in the visible range and their light-harvesting ability [47,48]. Their versatility is exploited in multi-faceted applications [48], such as for the preparation of sensors [49–53] or theranostic agents [54–57].

The photocatalyst sensitization could be performed through different methods: physical or chemical adsorption, covalent linkage, sol-gel method or by mixing the two components [58–60]. Photocatalysts based on porphyrin-sensitized TiO$_2$ take advantage of light radiation within the visible range to efficiently perform the photodegradation of common model dyes (such as methylene blue) [58] and real xenobiotic pollutants such as antibiotics [61], but also the reduction of CO$_2$ to CO [62]. This represents a promising approach, especially for indoor application, thanks to the possibility to tune the working wavelength of the sensitized photocatalyst.

The other fundamental issue regarding TiO$_2$ (also photosensitized) arises from their use as a suspended nanopowder in wastewater treatment, leading to the need to separate the semiconductor NPs from the depolluted water [28,63]. For unconventional living environments, these kinds of operations appear to be difficult for different reasons [64,65], especially in the case of structures kept in microgravity [66]. To avoid these issues, polymers could be exploited as support for photocatalyst nanoparticles. Among the several methods proposed to obtain polymer-supported photocatalysts [67–70], the in situ polymerization approach has recently shown its capability to enhance the photodegradation efficiency of TiO$_2$ NPs, thanks to a local waveguide effect which takes places among the different moieties of the nanocomposite itself [71,72]. Polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) might be
considered as the ideal support since it is transparent to UV-Vis radiation, chemically and mechanically stable and it is usable in thin film form [73].

Based on what was discussed before, the development of thermoplastic co-polymeric nanocomposites, having optimized photocatalytic activity in the visible light range, seems a potential solution to provide energy-saving auxiliary systems, lengthening the time of use of the recycling and purification systems already employed in both on-Earth and out-of-Earth indoor environments.

To this aim, here we focused on the design of sensitized photocatalytic PMMA-based nanocomposites containing TiO$_2$ as the active photocatalyst, through a synthetic approach specifically designed to ensure the intimate contact between photosensitizer and photocatalyst nanoparticles. A physical blend between the polymer matrix, the photosensitizer and the photocatalyst could lead to different issues, i.e., the migration inside the polymer matrix of both the photocatalyst and photosensitizer, resulting in aggregation phenomena, decrease in photocatalytic performances and the leak of photocatalytic materials.

A suitable acrylic macromonomer, named MAP, containing the photosensitizing unit as a side-group, was synthesized by Steglich reaction between the methacrylic acid (MAA) and the 5-(4-aminophenyl)-10,15,20-triphenyl porphyrin (PNH$_2$). Co-polyacrylates-based nanocomposites were synthesized through a bulk in situ radical co-polymerization, involving methyl methacrylate (MMA) and MAP as co-monomers and TiO$_2$ nanoparticles as photocatalyst. The obtained products and their precursors were characterized through MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry, NMR, UV-Vis and fluorescence spectroscopies, gel permeation chromatography and thermogravimetric analysis.

The photocatalytic performances of all the sensitized nanosystems were investigated by using them in the form of thin films within tubular glass reactors. Preliminary photocatalytic tests were performed using methylene blue (MB) and rhodamine B (RB) as model probes [74], and common herbicides and drugs (paraquat and acetaminophen, respectively) were used to verify the effectiveness against xenobiotic recalcitrant pollutants found in wastewater (and also foreseeable in future extraterrestrial settlements). The efficiency of the in situ obtained nanosystem was also compared with that of a suitably prepared physical blend between an MMA-MAP copolymer and TiO$_2$ nanoparticles.

Finally, the photocatalysts’ performances were verified both under UV-Vis radiation and with UV-shielded light, confirming the boosted activity of the photosensitized nanosystems.

This study demonstrated that this approach increases up to two times the photocatalytic performance of the sensitized nanocomposite compared to that of the un-sensitized one, when irradiated by UV-Vis light. To our knowledge, this is the first case reported about a thermoplastic photocatalyst suitable for working at $\lambda > 390$ nm.

2. Materials and Methods

Methyl methacrylate (MMA) and AIBN were purchased from Fluka. 5-(4-aminophenyl)-10,15,20-triphenyl porphyrin (PNH$_2$) was purchased from Frontier Scientific and Aerioxide® P25 from Acros Organics (21 nm primary particle size (TEM), 35–65 m$^2$ / g (BET)).

1-methyl-5-(p-toluoyl)-pyrrole-2-acetic acid sodium salt dihydrate, $N,N'$-dicyclohexyl-carbodiimide (DCC), $N,N$-dimethylpyridin-4-amine (DMAP), methacrylic acid (MAA), tetrahydrofuran (THF), n-hexane, ethanol (EtOH), water (LC-MS grade), anhydrous dichlorometane (CH$_2$Cl$_2$), chloroform (CHCl$_3$), deuterated chloroform (CDCl$_3$) and triethylamine (TEA) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA.

2.1. Syntheses

2.1.1. Synthesis of the 5-(4-Methacrylamide)-10,15,20 Triphenyl Porphyrin (MAP)

The macromonomer was synthesized through Steglich amidation reaction between 5-(4-aminophenyl)-10,15,20-tri phenyl porphyrin (PNH$_2$) and methacrylic acid (Scheme 1, Step 1).
2.2. Photocatalytic Experiments

Photocatalytic experiments were carried out inside a homemade apparatus (Figure 1a) composed of a 150 mL glass test tube (from here called reactor) kept at a fixed distance of 25 cm from a solar lamp (OSRAM ultra vitalux, Wattage 300 W, OSRAM Opto Semiconductors GmbH, Regensburg, Germany). To avoid any influence of light radiation from both natural and artificial sources, this apparatus was installed into a blacked-out fume hood.

To carry out photocatalytic tests excluding UV radiations, an appropriate chemical filter (500 μM 1-methyl-5-(p-toluoyl)-pyrrole-2-acetic acid sodium salt dihydrate aqueous solution) capable of shielding radiations lower than about 400 nm was used. Then, the reactor was plunged inside the aqueous solution containing the chemical UV filter (Figure 1b).

For each nanocomposite, about 15 mg of sample were dissolved in 1.5 mL of THF and deposited on the inner wall of the glass reactor, taking care of homogeneously covering only 180° of the circumference (the covered surface area was about 55 cm²), and left to dry at 50 °C for 12 h.

For each experiment, 100 mL of an aqueous solution of the chosen pollutant was prepared at a specific concentration (absorption spectra are reported in Figure S1).

Scheme 1. Simplified synthesis schemes of the MAP monomer (step 1) and of the photosensitized nanocomposites (step 2).

Briefly, 100 mg (160 μmol) of PNH₂, 41 mg (475 μmol) of methacrylic acid, 150 mg (700 μmol) of DCC and 43.5 mg (360 μmol) were solubilized, respectively, in 5 mL of anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ each. Firstly, methacrylic acid and DCC were mixed, working in an anhydrous nitrogen atmosphere, and stirred in an ice bath. After 15 min, DMAP and PNH₂ were added to the mixture. The reaction was carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere and at room temperature for 23 h. Then, the mixture was dried with a nitrogen stream and the reaction products were separated via chromatography using a silica-packed column with a mixture of CHCl₃/EtOH/TEA (99.4:0.5:0.1) as eluent. The macromonomer MAP was the second colored product eluted from the column (yield of about 55%).

1H-NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): 8.85 ppm (m, 8H, C-H pyrrole protons in 2,3,7,8,12,13,17,18 positions); 8.21 ppm (m, 8H, C-H phenyl protons, a, a'); 7.96 ppm (m, 1H, N-H amide proton, c); 7.75 ppm (m, 9H, C-H phenyl protons, b); 5.97 ppm (s, 1H, C-H vinyl proton, d); 5.60 ppm (s, 1H, C-H vinyl proton, e); 2.21 ppm (s, 3H, C-H methyl protons, f); −2.78 ppm (s, 2H, N-H pyrrole protons, g). Calculated mass C₄₈H₃₅N₅O 697.82 Da; experimental, MALDI-TOF: 698.9 Da (detected as MH⁺ species).

2.1.2. Synthesis of the Nanocomposites and the BlendP-TiO₂

To obtain the photoactive nanocomposites, a bulk in situ radical copolymerization was carried out (Scheme 1, Step 2). Briefly, MAP (in variable quantities depending on the
desired loading weight %), TiO$_2$, AIBN and MMA were put in a vial and homogenized in an ultrasonic bath for 30 min to guarantee the adsorption of the two co-monomers inside the pores of TiO$_2$ NPs. Then, the vial was put inside a silicone oil bath at 55 °C for 23 h and at 125 °C for 2 h. Next, the obtained product was recovered with THF (5 mL) and precipitated in n-hexane. Finally, the nanocomposite was dried in a vacuum oven at 50 °C for 24 h. The same procedure was adopted to produce also the photosensitizer-free nanocomposites, by a bulk in situ polymerization involving methyl methacrylate and TiO$_2$, obtaining the nanocomposite (NC).

Through the same reaction steps, a copolymer made of MMA and MAP (copolyMMA-MAP) was produced and used to prepare the BlendP-TiO$_2$. Briefly, 15.18 mg of the copolymer was solubilized in THF and mixed with a THF suspension of TiO$_2$ NPs (0.5 mL, 1.5 mg/mL). The mixture was homogenized in an ultrasonic bath for 15 min and subsequently deposited via solvent casting onto the inner walls of the glass test tube (see the photocatalytic experiments section).

2.2. Photocatalytic Experiments

Photocatalytic experiments were carried out inside a homemade apparatus (Figure 1a) composed of a 150 mL glass test tube (from here called reactor) kept at a fixed distance of 25 cm from a solar lamp (OSRAM ultra vitalux, Wattage 300 W, OSRAM Opto Semiconductors GmbH, Regensburg, Germany). To avoid any influence of light radiation from both natural and artificial sources, this apparatus was installed into a blacked-out fume hood.

To avoid any overestimation of the photodegradation performance due to pollutant physisorption phenomena, the systems were subjected to a dark storage time before the lamp was turned on (t$_0$, C$_0$).

![Figure 1. Experimental setup for photocatalytic tests without (a) or with (b) filter (cut-off ≤390 nm).](image)

For each nanocomposite, about 15 mg of sample were dissolved in 1.5 mL of THF and deposited on the inner wall of the glass reactor, taking care of homogeneously covering only 180° of the circumference (the covered surface area was about 55 cm$^2$), and left to dry at 50 °C for 12 h.

For each experiment, 100 mL of an aqueous solution of the chosen pollutant was prepared at a specific concentration (absorption spectra are reported in Figure S1).

To avoid any overestimation of the photodegradation performance due to pollutant physisorption phenomena, the systems were subjected to a dark storage time before the lamp was turned on (t$_0$, C$_0$).
To quantify the magnitude of the photo-oxidation due to the presence of the photocatalytic film, the variation of the concentration ($C/C_0$) during the light exposure time was monitored through UV-Vis spectroscopy, analyzing solution aliquots. Considering that the used pollutants follow pseudo-first-order degradation kinetics, the linear-fit slope of the $\ln(C/C_0)$ vs. time plot represents the photodegradation kinetic constant ($k$) of the pollutant under study. Finally, the $k$ was normalized with respect to the weight of TiO$_2$ loaded inside the nanocomposite (norm.$k$).

2.3. Instruments

MALDI-TOF mass spectra were acquired with a Voyager DE (PerSeptive Biosystem, Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA), detecting the positive ions in linear mode by using the delay extraction device (25 kV applied after 2600 ns, a potential gradient of 454 V mm$^{-1}$ and a wire voltage of 25 V) [75,76]. The samples were prepared using trans-2-[3-(4-tert-butylphenyl)-2-methyl-2-propenylidene] malononitrile (DCTB) as a matrix. The mass spectrometer was calibrated as previously described [77]. The molecular weights were determined through Grams/386 software (Version 3.04, Galactic Industries Corp, Salem, NH, USA) [78] and the m/z value reported indicates the molecular ion, taking into account the most abundant isotope of each element in the molecule.

$^1$H-NMR spectra were acquired using a UNITY INOVA instrument (Varian, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) operating at 500 MHz ($^1$H), setting the sample temperature at 27 °C. Spectra acquisition and processing were performed using VnmrJ software (Version 2.2C, Varian, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The sample was dissolved in deuterated chloroform and the chemical shifts were expressed in ppm (compared to the TMS signal).

Gel permeation chromatography (GPC) experiments were performed using a PL-GPC 110 (Polymer Laboratories, Agilent Technologies Deutschland GmbH, Böblingen, Germany) thermostated system, equipped with two Mixed-D and one Mixed-E PL-gel 5 µm columns joined in series. The instrument is interfaced with a differential refractometer (DR) connected in parallel with a UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Hewlett Packard series 1050, Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany), and a DAWN multi-angle laser light scattering (Wyatt Technology, Santa Barbara, CA, USA) detector, connected in series. The analyses were performed at 35 ± 0.1 °C using tetrahydrofuran (THF) as an eluent at a flow rate of 1 mL/min. The acquired data were analyzed with ASTRA software (version 6.0.1.10, Wyatt Technology, Santa Barbara, CA, USA) [79].

Thermogravimetric analyses were performed in the range from 50 to 800 °C (thermal ramp of 10 °C min$^{-1}$) and air atmosphere (60 mL min$^{-1}$) with a Perkin-Elmer TGA 7 equipped with a TAC 7/DX (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA).

UV-Vis spectra were acquired using quartz cuvettes (1 cm path length) and THF or water as solvents (T = 25.0 ± 0.1 °C) using a Cary60 UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). UV-Vis-diffuse reflectance (UV–Vis DRS) spectra, in the range of 200–800 nm, were recorded on TiO$_2$, NC and NCP$_A$ as powders and the bandgap energies ($E_g$) of the samples were estimated by plotting the modified Kubelka–Munk function [71], using a Jasco V-670 spectrophotometer equipped with a Jasco ISV-722 integrating sphere (Jasco Corporation, Tokyo, Japan).

Fluorescence spectra were acquired with a FP-8200 spectrofluorimeter (Jasco Corporation, Tokyo, Japan), in quartz cells (1 cm path length), using THF as a solvent (T = 25.0 ± 0.1 °C).

The UV-Vis and fluorescence spectra were processed using the Spectragryph optical spectroscopy software (version 1.2.11, Dr. F. Menges, Oberstdorf, Germany, 2019) [80].

3. Results and Discussion

To improve the performance of TiO$_2$ nanophotocatalyst exploiting only visible light radiation, a suitable organic photosensitizer working as a light-harvesting antenna was chosen. To provide an effective contact between the photosensitizer and the photocatalyst, the nanocomposites were synthesized by a one-pot reaction through a bulk in situ radical
co-polymerization of MMA and MAP in the presence of TiO$_2$ nanoparticles (Scheme 1, Step 2).

In particular, the photosensitizer acrylic monomer MAP was obtained by Steglich amidation of PNH$_2$ with methacrylic acid in a CH$_2$Cl$_2$ anhydrous solution (Scheme 1, Step 1). The chemical structure of MAP was confirmed through MALDI-TOF MS and NMR spectroscopy. The MALDI-TOF mass spectrum of MAP macromonomer (Figure 2) shows a molecular peak at $m/z = 698.9$ Da, attributed to the [M]H$^+$ species.

The chemical structure of MAP was confirmed through NMR experiments. The $^1$H-NMR spectrum (CDCl$_3$, 500 MHz, Figure 3) shows a multiplet at 8.85 ppm (8H, C-H pyrrole protons in 2,3,7,8,12,13,17,18 positions); two unresolved multiplets at 8.21 ppm (8H, C-H phenyl protons, a, a'); a multiplet at 7.96 ppm (2H, C-H phenyl protons, b'); a singlet at 7.85 ppm (1H, N-H amide proton, c); a multiplet at 7.75 ppm (9H, C-H phenyl protons, b); a singlet at 5.97 ppm (1H, C-H vinyl proton, d); a singlet at 5.60 ppm (1H, C-H vinyl proton, e); a singlet at 2.21 ppm (3H, C-H methyl protons, f); a singlet at $-2.78$ ppm (2H, N-H pyrrole protons, g).

The confirmation of those attributions was obtained by $^1$H-$^1$H COSY experiment, which shows the correlations: a'-b'; a-b (Figure S2).

The UV-Vis spectrum of PNH$_2$ (Figure 4a) shows the Soret band at $\lambda = 418$ nm, and the Q-bands at about 517, 557, 592 and 652 nm. According to Gouterman’s four orbitals model [81,82], the Soret band originates from the $S_0$-$S_2$ electronic transition, while the Q-bands are due to the $S_0$-$S_1$ electronic transitions [48].

In the case of the MAP spectrum, the Soret band is centered at $\lambda = 416$ nm and the Q-bands at 513, 548, 591 and 648 nm, respectively, revealing a blue shift, with respect to PNH$_2$, phenomenon probably due to the amidation of the porphyrin. The MAP molar extinction coefficient in the THF solution was experimentally determined ($\epsilon_{416nm} = 381,440$ M$^{-1}$ × cm$^{-1}$ ± 2%).

As a confirmation of the porphyrin functionalization, as shown in Figure 4b, due to the influences of the methacrylate group towards the porphyrin HOMO-LUMO orbitals, the fluorescence emission of MAP ($\lambda = 650$ nm, $\lambda_{exc} = 416$ nm) exhibited a blue shift with respect to PNH$_2$ ($\lambda = 656$ nm, $\lambda_{exc} = 418$ nm).
Figure 3. $^1$H-NMR spectrum of the MAP macromonomer. In the inset, the singlet of the N-H pyrrole protons, and the MAP structure.

Figure 4. UV-Vis (a) and fluorescence (b) spectra of the MAP (blue lines) and PNH$_2$ (green lines).

The photocatalytic nanocomposite was obtained through a bulk in situ radical polymerization reaction (Scheme 1, Step 2). Briefly, MMA and suitable amounts of MAP were mixed with TiO$_2$ NPs and sonicated to ensure the adsorption of the sensitizer and MMA onto the photocatalyst. Then, the bulk in situ radical polymerization was initiated through a thermal initiator (AIBN). In this way, three different sensitized photocatalytic nanocomposites, named NCP$_A$, NCP$_B$ and NCP$_C$, each containing a fixed amount of photocatalyst (about 5%w) and an increasing MAP amount (0.5%w, 1.7%w and 7.9%w, respectively) were produced.

To determine the TiO$_2$ NP content in the nanocomposites, thermogravimetric analyses (Figure 5) were conducted in an air atmosphere (60 mL/min). Because of the TiO$_2$ presence,
the nanocomposites exhibited a higher degradation temperature than that of the PMMA (T_{onset} = 279 °C). In particular, NCP_A (red line), NCP_B (blue line) and NCP_C (green line) showed a T_{onset} of 346 °C, 338 °C and 317 °C, respectively. The TiO_2 content of the nanocomposites obtained by TGA revealed that NCP_{A,B,C} contain 4.3%, 6.2% and 5% (w) of TiO_2 NPs, respectively (see inset in Figure 5).

![Figure 5. TGA traces of PMMA (black line), NC (magenta line), NCP_A (red line), NCP_B (blue line) and NCP_C (green line). In the inset: the content of polymer matrix, photosensitizer (PS) and photocatalyst (PC) in each nanocomposite.](image)

The amount of MAP in the nanocomposite was determined through UV-Vis spectroscopy by analyzing the supernatant resulting from the nanocomposite purification (see inset in Figure 5). The quantitative determination of the photosensitizer content into the nanocomposites is important to shed light on the photosensitizer influences on the photocatalytic efficiency of the nanocomposite.

To verify the presence of MAP in the polymeric chain, a GPC acquisition was performed using both RI and UV-Vis (set at λ = 416 nm) detectors (Figure 6, NCP_B GPC traces). The chromatographic traces showing the overlap of the detectors’ signals confirmed the covalent bond of the photosensitizer within the polymer chains. GPC analyses performed on the other nanocomposites have shown similar results.

![Figure 6. GPC traces of the soluble fraction (in THF) of the nanocomposite NCP_B, acquired with UV-Vis (set at 416 nm, blue line) and RI (red line) detectors connected in parallel.](image)

To investigate the effects of the in situ approach and the synergistic interactions between photosensitizer and photocatalyst within the nanocomposites, and their correlation...
with the photodegradation performances, an opposite counterpart physical blend model was developed, by mixing a THF solution of copoly MMA-MAP with TiO$_2$ NPs (5%w). The photocatalytic performances of the sensitized nanocomposites were determined by studying the photodegradation of methylene blue (MB) and rhodamine B (RB) in aqueous solutions, using a solar-radiation-mimicking lamp and using the nanosystems deposited in the form of thin films (see Materials and Methods for details).

Taking advantage of the pseudo-first-order kinetic photodegradation of MB and RB, the degradation kinetics (k) were obtained from the linear fit slope of the ln(C/C$_0$) vs. time plot.

To highlight the performances of the thin films, considering the active photocatalyst content, the degradation kinetics were also normalized (norm.k) by the weight of the photocatalyst embedded in the nanocomposite. The photolysis phenomenon of the dyes under light radiation was previously verified to avoid any overestimation of the nanocomposites’ performances (Figure 7, blue line).

![Figure 7. Photodegradation kinetics of MB (a) and RB (b) in the presence of thin films of nanocomposites and blend.](image)

Despite the comparable content of TiO$_2$ NPs inside the NCP nanosystems, the presence of the photosensitizer led to an increase of the photodegradation performances, for both methylene blue and rhodamine B. The comparison of the degradation kinetics obtained with NCP$_A$ and BlendP-TiO$_2$, having similar content of both photosensitizer and photocatalyst, revealed the higher efficiency of the first than the BlendP-TiO$_2$, both in the case of methylene blue (NCP$_A$ norm.k = 4.3 min$^{-1}$×g$^{-1}$; BlendP-TiO$_2$ norm.k = 3.1 min$^{-1}$×g$^{-1}$) and rhodamine B (NCP$_A$ norm.k = 3.5 min$^{-1}$×g$^{-1}$; BlendP-TiO$_2$ norm.k = 1.4 min$^{-1}$×g$^{-1}$). This behavior could be attributed to the intimate contact between the photocatalyst and the photosensitizer when the latter and the MMA can penetrate inside the porosities of the TiO$_2$ before the polymerization. Instead, for what concerns the physical blend, the copolymeric matrix, due to its high hydrodynamic radius, could not enter inside the TiO$_2$ pores.

Nevertheless, the photocatalytic efficiency of NCP$_A$ (having low photosensitizer content) was only slightly higher than that of the sensitizer-free nanocomposite NC (see Table 1), which suggests the photosensitizer concentration dependency of the photocatalytic process. Indeed, the photodegradation kinetics of NCP$_B$ and NCP$_C$ revealed a considerable improvement with respect to NCP$_A$ (Figure 7 and Table 1, red and yellow lines, respectively).
Given that the porphyrinic photosensitizer can act as a light-harvesting antenna, was able to induce photodegradation of 25% of acetaminophen and 15% of paraquat, while wastewater xenobiotic contaminants, such as paraquat (a herbicide) and acetaminophen, was investigated. In Figure 8, the norm.k in presence of methylene blue (a) and rhodamine B (b) are reported. It is possible to see a clear linear correlation between the content of the photosensitizer and the photocatalytic performance of the nanocomposites.

| Polymer (%)w | Sensitizer (%)w | TiO₂ (%)w | k × 10⁻³ min⁻¹ | TiO₂ (mg/100 mL) | norm.k (min⁻¹ × g⁻¹) |
|--------------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| NC           | 95.3           | /         | 4.7             | 2.9             | 0.72                | 4.1 ± 0.20          |
| NCP_A        | 95.2           | 0.5       | 4.3             | 2.8             | 0.65                | 4.3 ± 0.22          |
| NCP_B        | 92.1           | 1.7       | 6.2             | 4.9             | 0.96                | 5.1 ± 0.26          |
| NCP_C        | 87.1           | 7.9       | 5               | 5.6             | 0.7                 | 8 ± 0.4             |
| BlendP-TiO₂  | 94.5           | 0.5       | 5               | 2.5             | 0.79                | 3.1 ± 0.16          |

| Photosensitizer content %w in the nanocomposite; TiO₂ content %w in the nanocomposite; normalized degradation kinetics with respect to TiO₂ NPs amount. |

Hence, to understand the influence of the photosensitizer concentration on the nanocomposites’ photodegradation efficacy, the variation of the norm.k as a function of the photosensitizer content (%w) into the nanocomposites was investigated. In Figure 8, the norm.k in presence of methylene blue (a) and rhodamine B (b) are reported. It is possible to see a clear linear correlation between the content of the photosensitizer and the photocatalytic performance of the nanocomposites.

The efficiency of the nanocomposites was also investigated in the presence of actual wastewater xenobiotic contaminants, such as paraquat (a herbicide) and acetaminophen (a drug). The experiments were performed under light irradiation until 300 min of exposition time and using a solar-mimicking lamp. In particular, the NCP_B (Figure 9a,b, red line) was able to induce photodegradation of 25% of acetaminophen and 15% of paraquat, while for the unsensitized NC, only the 16% of acetaminophen and 5% of paraquat was induced, confirming the improved efficiency of the sensitized nanocomposite.
Figure 9. Photodegradation kinetics of acetaminophen (a) and paraquat (b) in the presence of thin films of NC (cyan line) and NCPB (red line) and their photolysis (blue line).

Given that the porphyrinic photosensitizer can act as a light-harvesting antenna, catching the visible range of solar radiation [45], it is necessary to shed light on the mechanisms that enhance photocatalytic performances. In particular, two mechanisms are potentially supposed to take place in presence of the photosensitizer: (i) the photosensitizer acts as a doping system on the semiconductor, decreasing its bandgap and shifting it in the visible range (Figure 10a); (ii) the photosensitizer acts as a light-harvesting system, catching the visible light and generating an electronic promotion from HOMO to LUMO. In this case, if the photosensitizer is near enough to the photocatalyst, the electron transfer process from the LUMO of the photosensitizer to the conduction band of the photocatalyst can take place by direct injection [83] (Figure 10b), avoiding the charge recombination phenomenon (which is a deactivation mechanism of the photocatalyst).

Both phenomena can determine an increase in photo-oxidative performance in the presence of both broad-spectrum solar radiation (with the contribution of both UV and visible light) and source emitting in the visible radiation range (>390 nm).

Figure 10. Simplified photocatalytic mechanisms in the presence of a photosensitizer. (a) The photosensitizer acts as a dopant, reducing the bandgap. (b) The photosensitizer acts as a light-harvesting system by injecting photo-excited electrons into the CB of TiO$_2$. 

![Diagram](image-url)
To simulate the artificial illumination systems employed inside places that cannot exploit the direct solar light, such as underground or out-of-Earth orbit structures [26,27], and to investigate the photochemical effects taking place within the photosensitized nanocomposites during photocatalytic processes, photocatalytic experiments were performed shielding UV radiations (see Figure 11) through a filtering solution of 1-methyl-5-(p-toluoyl)-pyrrole-2-acetic acid sodium salt, placed between the lamp and the test tube containing the pollutant solution. In this way, it was possible to evaluate the photocatalytic activity in methylene blue degradation induced by visible light radiation.

The photocatalytic tests performed using light irradiation in the visible range (Figure 12a) showed that in the presence of either NC, NCP_A or BlendP-TiO_2, the photodegradation kinetics were comparable. However, raising the content (%w) of photosensitizer inside the nanocomposite, as happens for NCP_B and NCP_C, the degradation rate (k) increased (Table 2).

![Figure 11. UV-Vis spectra of the reactor glass (blue line), optical filter (green line), PMMA (red line), NC (magenta line) and NCP_B (cyan line).](image11)

![Figure 12. (a) Photodegradation kinetics of MB in the presence of thin films of nanocomposites and blend, using only visible range light irradiation. (b) Correlation between the norm.k and the photosensitizer content (%w) obtained by the photodegradation of MB (in presence of the chemical filter).](image12)
Table 2. Kinetic degradation of MB employing different thin films under visible irradiation.

| Polymer           | a Sensitizer (%w) | b TiO₂ (%w) | k (×10⁻³ min⁻¹) | TiO₂ (mg/100 mL) | c norm.k (min⁻¹ × g⁻¹) |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| TiO₂ slurry       | 0                 | /           | 100             | 2.35            | 1.16                 | 2.02 ± 0.1 |
| NC                | 95.3              | 0           | 4.7             | 0.85            | 0.72                 | 1.18 ± 0.06 |
| NCPₐ              | 95.2              | 0.5         | 4.3             | 0.78            | 0.65                 | 1.2 ± 0.06 |
| NCPₘ              | 92.1              | 1.7         | 6.2             | 1.41            | 0.96                 | 1.47 ± 0.07 |
| NCPₐ              | 87.1              | 7.9         | 5               | 1.61            | 0.7                  | 2.30 ± 0.1 |
| BlendP-TiO₂       | 94.5              | 0.5         | 5               | 0.76            | 0.79                 | 1 ± 0.05   |

a Photosensitizer content %w in the nanocomposite; b TiO₂ content %w in the nanocomposite; c normalized degradation kinetics with respect to TiO₂ NPs amount.

Apparently, a higher photodegradation kinetic was obtained when TiO₂ in the slurry form was employed as the photocatalyst, probably due to the higher surface area directly exposed to the pollutant solution.

Nevertheless, considering the efficiency of the photocatalyst expressed as norm.k, the NCPₐ exhibited higher efficiency than that of the TiO₂ slurry (2.3 and 2.02 min⁻¹ g⁻¹, respectively). Moreover, as expected, a linear correlation between the photosensitizer content and the kinetics is evident in photodegradation experiments performed with NCPₐ (Figure 12a, red line) and NCPₐ (Figure 12a, yellow line), showing the norm.k variations as a function of the content (%w) of photosensitizer inside the nanocomposites (Figure 12b).

To evaluate the possible effect of the porphyrin acting as a doping agent, diffuse reflectance spectra of the sensitized and unsensitized nanocomposites and TiO₂ NPs were recorded and used to obtain a Tauc Plot [84]. By applying the Kubelka–Munk transformation on the diffuse reflectance signal, it was possible to approximate it to the absorption coefficient (α) and use the obtained values to plot (αhν)¹/r vs. the photon energy (hν), where r is an index related to the nature of the transition.

Considering only the indirectly allowed transitions for both TiO₂ and the nanocomposites (r = 2) [71,85], the calculated value of the bandgaps were 3.26 eV for TiO₂ NPs, 3.24 eV for NC and 2.66 eV for NCPₐ. For TiO₂ NPs and NC, the values were in accordance with those in the literature [71,86–88], while the band gap of the NCPₐ was 20% lower with respect to both TiO₂ NPs and NC. Nevertheless, the photosensitizer could also work through light-activated electron injection from photosensitizer LUMO to TiO₂ conduction band, taking advantage of the photosensitizer absorption band (λ = 416 nm), which falls within the visible radiation range. Thus, it was not possible to quantitatively discriminate which mechanism (band gap variation or electron transfer phenomena) had a major influence when exploiting the visible light range as an energy source.

4. Conclusions

Through the in situ polymerization approach, it was possible to fine-tune the content of the photosensitizer inside a nanocomposite by using ad hoc synthesized macromonomers.

The data shown point out the strength of the in situ approach in the production of the photocatalytic nanocomposites containing the photosensitizer, compared to the systems produced using solvent-mediated physical blends. In particular, the in situ approach improves the photocatalytic performance of the photocatalyst, ensuring more intimate contact between the photocatalyst and the photosensitizer, which is essential for the electron transfer process from the photosensitizer to the semiconductor. Moreover, this approach, by exploiting the waveguide property of PMMA, leads to an optimal transport of light inside the porosities of the catalyst.

The measurements carried out demonstrated the usefulness of the photosensitizer inside the nanocomposite in increasing the efficiency of the photocatalyst in the presence of light in the visible range, overcoming the activity problems related to the high band...
The gap value of the TiO$_2$. Indeed, the photosensitizer can act as a light-harvesting system, triggering an enhanced photocatalytic mechanism.

The excitation of the electrons probably first takes place in the porphyrinic dye, which has higher light absorption ability and a smaller bandgap [89–91]. Then, the excited electrons are injected into the conduction band of the photocatalyst.

This study demonstrated the usefulness of this approach in building up a new kind of co-polymeric photosensitizer, which is suitable to increase up to two times the photocatalytic performances of traditional semiconductors by only adding a small amount of chromophore when used with both UV and visible light radiation. Moreover, these new co-polymeric photosensitizers are also able to broaden the operativity of semiconductors towards visible light regions in a way that could also exploit lights coming from normal indoor illuminations. Finally, this study could pave the way for a new class of co-polymeric photosensitizers, suitable to be used with different photocatalysts in the form of thin films to aid the normal depolluting systems, both in terrestrial indoor applications and underground or low Earth orbit laboratories, or potentially in lunar shelters.
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