Recent advances in synthetic biology of cyanobacteria for improved chemicals production
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ABSTRACT
Cyanobacteria are Gram-negative photoautotrophic prokaryotes and have shown great importance to the Earth’s ecology. Based on their capability in oxygenic photosynthesis and genetic merits, they can be engineered as microbial chassis for direct conversion of carbon dioxide to value-added biofuels and chemicals. In the last decades, attempts have given to the application of synthetic biology tools and approaches in the development of cyanobacterial cell factories. Despite the successful proof-of-principle studies, large-scale application is still a technical challenge due to low yields of bioproducts. Therefore, recent efforts are underway to characterize and develop genetic regulatory parts and strategies for the synthetic biology applications in cyanobacteria. In this review, we present the recent advancements and application in cyanobacterial synthetic biology toolboxes. We also discuss the limitations and future perspectives for using such novel tools in cyanobacterial biotechnology.

1. Introduction
Cyanobacteria are a group of diverse and ubiquitous Gram-negative photoautotrophic prokaryotes. They can perform oxygenic photosynthesis using sunlight as energy source to transform carbon dioxide into biomass. On the one hand, cyanobacteria have been contributing to the rise of oxygen in Earth’s atmosphere since a billion years ago [1]. On the other hand, they also play essential roles in carbon and nitrogen cycling in the oligotrophic oxygen-deficient environments [2]. In comparison with other photosynthetic organisms (e.g., plants and algae), cyanobacteria are often fast-growing with higher production rates [3,4]. Moreover, the amenability to genetic manipulations and high metabolic plasticity make some of the cyanobacteria species attractive targets for photosynthesis studies and potential hosts for light-powered biotechnological applications.

Over the last decades, cyanobacteria have been successfully engineered as chassis for the production of a variety of valuable chemicals, such as fatty acids [5], ethylene [6], ethanol [7], 1-butanol [8], sucrose [9], shinorine [10], terpenoids [11], etc.
However, the yield of the products in most of the cases have been low compared to the reported counterparts from the more conventional hosts, such as *Escherichia coli* and yeast. One of the most important reasons for such a low productivity with cyanobacteria is the lack of fine-tunable genetic regulatory elements and enabling technologies, which are major limitations to achieve their full potential.

The crux of synthetic biology promotes a bottom-up approach to redesign biological platforms with recombination of defined parts, modules or artificial regulatory circuits [12]. In the past, synthetic biology strategies have been used in the development of both *E. coli* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* as prokaryotic and eukaryotic production hosts, resulting in tremendous successes [13,14]. Similar approaches may also be used in cyanobacteria to refine photosynthetic yield and carbon flux toward the product of interest, thus unlocking the full power of cyanobacteria for microbial cell factories [15]. The therefore engineered cyanobacterial hosts possessing modulated metabolisms will facilitate generation of desired outputs such as chemicals and proteins [16].

Although efforts have given to the development of cyanobacterial cell factories for decades [17], the use of synthetic biology strategies in such applications is still in its infancy [18]. To bridge the gap between the requirements for enhanced titers of the products that are aimed toward commercial applications and limited number of advanced cyanobacteria synthetic biology tools, studies have recently focused on the following three aspects: 1) characterizing native and synthetic promoters and ribosome binding sites (RBS); 2) using ribosomes, selectable markers, suitable vectors for stable chromosome integration and dynamic regulation of gene expression; and 3) using genome-wide editing and regulating tools.

In this review, we have summarized the recent developments and applications in cyanobacterial synthetic biology toolboxes. In addition, we also carefully discussed the limitations and potential future directions for using these new tools in cyanobacterial biotechnology. This review aims to provide not only the state-of-the-art advancements but also insights into the current challenges and future perspectives in development of cyanobacterial synthetic biology strategies and engineering novel cyanobacterial genetic tools.

2. Advances in synthetic biology toolboxes for cyanobacteria

2.1. Promoters

Promoters are key synthetic biology tools that have been studied for diverse cyanobacterial species. In general, the commonly characterized promoters can be classified into two groups according to their functional differences, including inducible and constitutive promoters. A list of recently characterized promoters are summarized in Table 1, for the ease of reference.

2.1.1. Inducible promoters

The development of synthetic biology hosts often involves introduction of genetic pathways that exert heavy metabolic loads or having generated metabolites harmful to the cells [24]. In such cases, inducible promoters are especially crucial to ensure the successful application of genetic modifications and, consequently, the development of new cultivars resistant to stress. In early studies, the most standard inducible promoter systems used in cyanobacteria are derived from *E. coli*. For example, the isopropy-β-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG)-induced Ptrc promoter and its variants are based on the lac-operon in *E. coli* [25,26]. These promoters were characterized as poorly responsible in different cyanobacterial species, which limits their applications [27–29]. In contrast, the L03 promoter, derived from *E. coli*-derived induction system of the tetracycline-resistance operon TN10, induced by anhydrotetracycline (aTc) were able to function in several cyanobacterial hosts [29], including *Synechococcus elongatus* pcc 7942 (hereafter *Synechococcus* 7942), *Synechococcus* sp. PCC 7002 (hereafter *Synechococcus* 7002) and *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803 (hereafter *Synechocystis* 6803) [30–32]. Despite its wide applicability in cyanobacterial synthetic biology, the inherent light-degradation property of aTc makes this kind of promoters difficult to control in the photoautotrophic hosts, particularly when stable and sustained induction is desired [33]. Recently, attempts were given to the combination of aTc- or IPTG-based
induction systems with strong constitutive promoters for the development of inducible promoters with high strength. Several promoters were thus generated showing moderate expression and tight control of induction, including PEZtet with combination of the PcpcB and two tet operators [33] and PcptOO-cLac143 containing Pcpt-lac operator hybrids [34].

A third set of inducible promoters, including PBAD, PrhaBAD and Pvan, are based on the xylose-metabolic pathway or the glucose-tolerance properties upon the mixotrophic cultivation of cyanobacteria. The arabinose-inducible PBAD promoter was first characterized in Synechococcus 7942 with a relatively high strength and can be well-repressed in the absence of the inducer [35,36]. Similar findings were observed when the rhamnose-induced and RhaS-regulated promoter PrhaBAD was used in Synechocystis 6803, where a moderate activity and tight repression were observed [37]. This promoter was thus recognized as one of the most robust inducible promoter systems for the applications in Synechocystis 6803 [38]. The Pvan promoter is suppressed and induced by Corynebacterium glutamicum VanR and vanillate, respectively. Previously, this promoter was characterized to be only functional in Synechococcus 7942 with tight control but low strength, resulting in a 50-fold dynamic range [39]. Very recently, the vanR/PvanCC promoter system from Caulobacter crescentus was further optimized for its application in Synechocystis 6803, showing a tightly controlled linear dose-response to vanillate with a 16-fold dynamic range [38].

Given the essential role of photosynthesis in the metabolism of cyanobacteria, it is reasonable that the light/dark-induced and O2-dependent promoters are also applied in heterologous expression systems in cyanobacteria. Previously, the most recognized light-responsive promoters are the PpsbA derived from plant Amaranthus hybridus [40] and its variants [41]. Recently, Immethun

| Name | Tested host | Description | Reference |
|------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| **Inducible** | | | |
| PL03 | Synechocystis 6803, Anabaena 7120 | Anhydrotetracycline-inducible promoter. The induction range was 200-fold in *Synechocystis* 6803. When tested in *Anabaena* 7120, the PL03-driving expression of GFP reached 7% of the total protein. | [19,29] |
| Psca6-2 | Synechocystis 6803 | A variant based on *E. coli* Ptac with approximately 10-fold induction ratios. | [20] |
| PO2 | Synechocystis 6803 | Dark or anaerobic activation promoter. | [44] |
| PEZtet | Synechococcus 7002 | Hybrid of PpcpB and two tet operators with a 32-fold dynamic range. | [33] |
| PcptOO-cLac143 | Synechococcus 7002 | IPTG-inducible promoter with 48-fold dynamic range. | [34] |
| PompC | Synechocystis 6803 | Dark activation promoter. | [42] |
| Pvan | Synechococcus 7942 | Vanillate-inducible promoter. | [39] |
| PBAD | Synechocystis 6803 | L-arabinose activation promoter. | [35,42] |
| PrhaBAD | Synechocystis 6803 | Rhamnose-activation promoter. | [37] |
| PvanCC | Synechocystis 6803 | Vanillate-inducible promoter with a 16-fold dynamic range. | [38] |
| **Constitutive** | | | |
| PpsbA | Synechocystis 6803, Synechococcus 7942 | The activity of limonene synthase was enhanced by 100-fold under PpsbA than that under Ptc in *Synechococcus* 7942. | [6,21] |
| Ppcp560 | Synechocystis 6803 | Pcp560-driving expression of proteins results in about 15% of the total soluble proteins. | [56] |
| PR-PS | Synechococcus 7942 | Proteins generating by PR-PS could account for about 12% of the total extracted proteins. | [22] |
| Ptc | Synechocystis 6803, Synechococcus 2973 | A *E. coli*-derived promoter that was used to drive the expression of yfp. | [23,61] |
| Psca3-2 | Synechocystis 6803 | A Ptac-variant promoter with moderate activity. | [20] |
| Plac | Synechococcus 2973 | A *E. coli*-derived promoter that was used to drive the expression of cscB for sucrose production. | [9] |
| PpsbA25 | Synechocystis 6803 | A derivative of PpsbA2 promoter with shorter sequence. It shows 4-fold higher strength when compared to its original version. | [67] |
| PA2520 | Synechococcus 7002 | PA2520 showed about 8-fold higher strength than Prbc of *Synechococcus* 7002. | [57] |
| PA2579 | Synechococcus 7002 | PA2579 showed about 8-fold higher strength than Prbc of *Synechococcus* 7002. | [57] |
and colleagues have reported a novel darkness-induced promoter system consists of the hybrids of native light sensor protein Cph1 from *Synechocystis* 6803 and the kinase EnvZ from *E. coli* [42]. In response to the darkness, Cph1 phosphorylates its linked histidine kinase domain (EnvZ). The latter then further phosphorylates the *E. coli*-derived transcription factor OmpR, leading to activation of the promoter PomP-C. Although a low productivity has been reported for this system, the promoter could be particularly useful in the control of processes that require darkness, such as the butanol production in *Synechococcus* 7942 [42, 43]. Meanwhile, the same research group has developed an oxygen-responsive promoter PO$_2$ that can be induced by the FNR (fumarate nitrate reductase) under anaerobic conditions in the dark [44]. This promoter was applied in *Synechocystis* 6803 resulting in a moderate expression level of the flavin-binding fluorescent protein (FbFP), under low O$_2$ conditions [44].

Besides the above-discussed promoters that are originated from heterologous elements, potential inducible promoters could also be obtained from the native cyanobacterial genomes. Indeed, a number of native promoters that have previously been characterized to be responsible for heavy metals, light, salt, nutrition starvation and so on are already applied successfully in various cyanobacterial research and biotechnology applications. In terms of the fact that over 400 cyanobacterial genomes are available in public databases to date [45], together with the large amount of cyanobacterial transcriptomic and proteomic data under diverse stresses [46–53], provide tremendous potential for screening of inducible promoters.

### 2.1.2. Constitutive promoters

Constitutive promoters are used to drive stable and continuous expression of target genes or to fine-tune synthetic biology pathways where the regulated expression is not necessary. A collection of the most commonly used constitutive promoters are discovered natively from cyanobacteria, such as PpcpB and PpsbA2. These promoters play essential roles in driving the expression of key components in the photosynthetic pathways and thus are highly efficient and present in most if not all cyanobacterial species [54, 55]. Recently, variants of PpcpB promoter, including Ppcp560 and Pcpt, were characterized and used in *Synechocystis* 6803 and *Synechococcus* 7002, showing moderate to high product yields [10, 33, 34, 56].

Another inherent promoter commonly used in cyanobacterial host development is the RubisCO promoter PrbcL [57]. Its variants were characterized from several cyanobacterial species, such as *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7120 (hereafter *Anabaena* 7120) [40], *Synechocystis* 6803 [26] and *Synechococcus* 7942 [58]. Very recently, a group of inducer-free promoters has been generated through error-prone PCR of PrbcL and PpcpB, resulting in 48 novel promoters with a dynamic range of 2 orders of magnitude [Sen59]. Most importantly, these engineered promoters have shown diverse activities when tested in three cyanobacterial strains, thus expands the potential of using these cyanobacteria in the synthetic biology applications.

In some applications, continuous but weak gene expression may be required, where the constitutive promoters with low activity will be particularly useful. One example is the well-characterized constitutive promoter PrnPB from *Synechocystis* 6803, which initiates expression of the gene encoding the RNA subunit of ribonuclease P. Due to the low strength of this promoter, it may not be appreciable for overexpression of enzymes in biosynthetic pathways, however, can be used for expression of repressors that sometimes required for trace activity in regulatory circuits [27].

Although some of the promoters used for driving consistent expression of genes, they may not be truly constitutive due to their inducible activities under certain conditions. For example, the PpsbA is actually a light-inducible promoter, but has been commonly used in constitutive expression pathways under constant light conditions [60]. On the other hand, many previous mentioned inducible promoters can also support constitutive expression in the absence of their specific regulators. The orthogonal promoters such as Ptrc or Ptrc2O have been successfully used for high yield expression of proteins in constitutive expression systems as they are highly efficient in the absence of repressor [26, 32, 61].
2.2. Ribosome binding sites

Apart from the promoter, RBS can also determine the level of gene expression by mediating the rate of ribosome recruitment for translation. It has long been recognized that the sequence and position of given RBS significantly affect translational efficiency [62,63]. Previously, methods were developed for predicting and controlling translation initiation and protein expression in E. coli [64–66]. Until recently, such effort has not been extended toward development of RBS libraries for the control of gene expression in cyanobacteria. Early studies reported characterization of BioBrick registered RBSs in Synechocystis 6803 [67,68]. Another recent study has investigated 20 native RBS elements in the same cyanobacterial strain [69]. Early application of RBS regulation of translational efficiency was in Synechococcus 7942, where four E. coli RBSs with different dynamics were used to mediate expression of heterogeneous pathway genes for production of 2,3-butanediol, resulting in a significant enhancement of yield of product [70]. With recently increased number of researches in the construction of cyanobacterial RBS libraries, it can be anticipated that the use of RBS will soon become another important appliance to expand the cyanobacterial synthetic biology toolbox.

2.3. Riboswitches

Riboswitches are cis-activating or cis-repressing regulatory elements most likely present in the 5′ untranslated regions of mRNAs [71,72]. They usually impose a secondary-structural conformation on the mRNA to control translational efficiency of the transcript [73]. They have a widespread distribution of taxonomy and are capable of regulating highly conserved metabolic pathways, indicating their long history of gene regulatory mechanism [74]. To date, up to 50 classes of riboswitch are investigated, which involved in a variety of crucial biochemical pathways including co-enzymes, nucleobases, amino acids and single ions [74,75]. In cyanobacteria, only a few riboswitches are studied for their application in regulation of gene expression. Previously, a modified theophylline-dependent riboswitch was tested in Synechococcus 7942, resulting in a strictly controlled protein expression system with a maximum 190-fold of dynamic range [76]. Recently, this riboswitch has been employed in several other cyanobacteria stains, including Synechocystis 6803, Leptolyngbya sp. BL0902 (hereafter Leptolyngbya BL0902), Anabaena 7120 and Synechocystis sp. strain WHSyn (hereafter Synechocystis WHSyn) [77–79]. In another recent attempt, Taton et al. have used theophylline-dependent synthetic riboswitches to control transcriptional repressors, thus downregulating gene expression in five strains of cyanobacteria (i.e., Anabaena 7120, Synechocystis 6803, Synechococcus 7942, Leptolyngbya BL0902 and Synechocystis WHSyn) [39]. Most recently, a theophylline-responsive riboswitch has been hybridized with the rhamnose-induced PPrhBAD promoter to initiate the expression of a CRISPR interference (CRISPRi) mechanism that represses photosystem II activity and thus restrain the growth of Synechocystis 6803 under nutrient limitation conditions [80]. Importantly, this combined system is reversible by removing the inducers rhamnose and theophylline. This design may provide a new approach for applying combination of various regulatory mechanisms in fine-tuning expression of target genes.

2.4. CRISPR-based genome editing and transcriptional regulation

Conventionally, genome modification in cyanobacteria is entirely based on homologous recombination through natural transformation or conjugation of plasmids or linear DNA fragments into the cells. Although homology recombination has proven to be an efficient approach for generating gene deletion, insertion, and nucleotide substitution in cyanobacteria model strains, simultaneous large-scale genome editing is still challenging. In addition, many cyanobacteria are oligoploid or polyploid [81], to obtain homoyzygous mutant strains a segregation step is often required to ensure all chromosome copies carry the same targeted mutation, however, this procedure involves many rounds of antibiotics selection and can be time consuming. The CRISPR-Cas system is well-recognized for its application in genome editing and has been successfully used in
cyanobacterial genome modifications [82]. This machinery can cause double-strand DNA cleavage in the cyanobacterial chromosome, a homologous recombination event will subsequently take place to repair the DNA damage, thus facilitating genome manipulation (Figure 1(a)). Most importantly, the high efficiency of CRISPR-Cas system can significantly improve the frequency of genome editing and accelerate the segregation process [83,84]. Previously, the CRISPR-Cas9 mediated markerless deletion strategy has been developed in Synechococcus elongatus UTEX 2973 (hereafter Synechococcus 2973) for repairing the mutant nblA gene (an essential gene for phycobilisome degradation) into wild-type nblA [84]. This study provided a proof of concept work for the introducing a CRISPR-Cas9 system in cyanobacteria with successful removal of the edited exconjugants. Latterly, the CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing approach was employed in Synechococcus 7942 for metabolic engineering application, where the carbon flux has been redirected from glycogen to succinate synthesis pathway for improvement of the product titer [83]. Despite a few numbers of initial successes, it was also revealed that the accumulation of Cas9 protein could cause toxicity to the cell, thus limits its applications in engineering cyanobacterial genome [84]. To overcome such a restriction, a new CRISPR-Cas12a system has been developed showing less toxicity when compared to Cas9 in cyanobacteria [82,85]. This alternative system was successfully used in several cyanobacteria strains, including Synechococcus 2973, Anabena 7120 and Synechocystis 6803, which demonstrated determination of cell lethality and the markerless gene replacement strategy [86,87].

Besides applications in genome editing, CRISPR-Cas systems are also used for CRISPRi to regulate gene expression in cyanobacteria. This was achieved by using deactivated Cas proteins (dCas) that have disabled for cleavage of target...
genes but are capable of interrupting transcriptional process (Figure 1(b)) [88]. Recently, both CRISPR-dCas9 and -dCas12a systems have demonstrated successful applications in several model cyanobacteria, such as *Synechocystis* 6803 [32,89,90], *Synechococcus* 7942 [91], *Anabaena* 7120 [92,93] and *Synechococcus* 2973 [86]. In these applications, CRISPRi was successfully employed for the dynamic up/down regulation of the target genes in various synthetic pathways for improved productivity of biofuels (e.g., fatty acids and fatty alcohols) and other important metabolites (e.g., amino acids, succinate, lactate and pyruvate). It is well expected that such technologies (i.e., CRISPR-Cas-based genome editing and CRISPRi) could provide more opportunity in development of cyanobacterial synthetic biology platform for building efficient bio-solar cell factories.

### 2.5. Other genetic tools for cyanobacteria

Finding a suitable selectable marker is a prerequisite for the selection of genetically engineered strains. In the past, antibiotics have been the most commonly used selectable markers in cyanobacteria, including chloramphenicol [94], erythromycin [95], kanamycin [94], spectinomycin [96], neomycin [97], streptomycin [98], spectinomycin [99], zeocin [100] and gentamicin [99]. Recently, new selectable markers were established by breaking down specific insertion sites leading to readily observable phenotypes. For example, in a study on the development of counter-selection system for cyanobacteria, a genetic insertion at the *acsA* (acetyl-CoA ligase) locus led to a selectable phenotype that is tolerant to acrylate [101]. More recently, Wendt et al. also reported that breakdown of *nblA* (phycobilisome degradation protein-coding gene) resulted in an obvious non-bleaching phenotype of *Synechococcus* 2973 under nitrogen starvation and can be used as a selectable marker [84]. Another alternative approach was latterly investigated in *Synechococcus* 7002, where expression of a heterologous phosphite dehydrogenase encoding gene in the absence of its cognate phosphite transporter allowed growth of the cyanobacterium on phosphite, thereby enabling the selection of mutant strain [102].

Another important topic of synthetic biology is to efficiently deliver the DNA of interest into the host cell. Plasmid vectors are designed to carry the genetic materials and have been used in transformation of cyanobacteria. The currently available cyanobacteria-specific vectors can be categorized into two groups, i.e. integrative and replicative vectors [103]. The former group is mainly used to deliver DNA cargo at genetic loci of interest in the host genome, thus allowing gene knockout or knock-in. The knock-in of heterologous DNA material usually requires an appropriate neutral site that can be disrupted without affecting cellular viability or cause any distinguishable phenotype [104]. The recent development of SyneBrick integrative vectors facilitates integration at three neutral sites [31]. It also contains set of three inducible promoter systems. The replicative vectors, on the other hand, are mainly employed for transient expression of target genes. The most commonly used replicative vectors are previously derived from a broad-host vector RSF1010, including pSL1211, pPMQAK1 and pFC1 [15,17,26]. Recent attempts have given to the modification of RSF1010-based vectors for improved transmissibility, increased copy numbers and ease of cloning [105,106]. The optimized vectors may therefore serve as more efficient synthetic biology tools in the development of cyanobacteria as chassis for light-driven biotechnology.

### 3. Applications of synthetic biology in cyanobacteria production of chemicals

Considering the aforementioned inherent merits of cyanobacteria, they are one of the promising candidates for the sustainable production of biofuels and high-value chemicals. Recent advances of synthetic biology tools and strategies have significantly improved photosynthetic efficiency in cyanobacterial cell factories by remodeling cyanobacterial metabolism and physiology, and thereby enhancing the titer of the desired products. Such approaches have been applied to improve productivity of chemicals from both cyanobacterial primary and secondary metabolic pathways. In one recent study, Gupta and colleagues introduced a strong light-inducible promoter, PrbcL2A, and a strong RBS sequence in
Synechococcus 7002 for an overexpression of two Na⁺-dependent carbon transporters, SbtA and BicA, resulting 50% increase of glycogen production from this strain [107]. In cyanobacteria, glycogen is used as a source for the production of many sugars and carbohydrates in response to osmotic stress [108]. However, the accumulation of those products can be harmful to the cell due to the lack of sugar transporters in cyanobacteria [109]. Therefore, synthetic biology was also applied to introduce sugar transporters facilitating the export of the hydrophilic metabolites, thus keeping a healthier cell factory and in the meantime, allowing extracellular accumulation of carbohydrates for further biotechnological applications [109,110]. Polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) have shown exert anti-inflammatory and cardioprotective activities in cardiovascular disease and several inflammatory diseases. They have latterly been produced in Anabaena 7120, Synechococcus 7002 and Leptolyngbya BL0902, by expressing Acyl-lipid desaturases and Vipp1 in these cyanobacteria [111]. In addition, terpenoids are the largest group of plant secondary metabolites, which have been engineered as primary metabolic pathway products in cyanobacteria strains. Several previous review articles have well summarized a number of successful efforts in development of cyanobacterial platforms for production of terpenoids [11,112,113]. Recently, attempts were further given to the optimization of yield of the heterologous production of terpenoids in the engineered cyanobacterial strains. For example, in order to overcome the low expression levels of the key enzymes in the β-phellandrene biosynthetic pathways in Synechocystis 6803, fusion constructs technologies were introduced to increase enzyme production and catalytic efficiency, and resulting in elevation of the productivity of β-phellandrene by up to 4 and 8-fold in separate studies [114,115].

4. Challenges and future perspectives

Despite the foregoing successful proof-of-principle studies, wide application of cyanobacterial cell factories is still a technical challenge due to low yields of bioproducts [116]. One important limitation could be the relatively small number of sophisticated genetic regulatory components for achieving specific and tunable control of introduced genes and pathways in cyanobacteria [117]. Although a wide variety of well-established regulatory parts have been developed in other heterotrophic counterparts (e.g., E. coli and Saccharomyces cerevisiae), they may not always transferrable to cyanobacteria, leading to the delay in their advancement as industrial hosts [12,26]. Many recent attempts have been given to the characterization of standardized modular parts in cyanobacteria. Nevertheless, characterization of such parts could be significantly advanced by the establishment of robust and modular expression libraries [118]. In addition, the expansion of the genomic, expression and mutation libraries may also offer new insights into complex physiology of cyanobacteria, therefore facilitating metabolic engineering of model strains. On the other hand, CRISPR-based genome editing and interference approaches have proven to be potent and efficient tools in the regulation of gene expression by targeting multiple loci in parallel. However, the toxicity and off-target effects of the CRISPR nuclease still largely restricting its application in cyanobacteria genome modification [82]. Such an issue has been partially addressed by controlling the expression of CRISPR nucleases after introducing into cyanobacteria. Considering the current achievements in development of CRISPR-Cas systems in cyanobacteria, an invaluable toolkit can be anticipated for strain engineering in the future.

5. Concluding remarks

Cyanobacteria synthetic biology toolkits have been advancing rapidly in the last decade, offering tremendous opportunities for engineering cyanobacteria as feasible photosynthetic chassis for the solar manufacturing of commodity chemicals. Although extraordinary progresses have been implemented for using such genetic tools in development of cyanobacteria toward photosynthetic microbial cell factories, more efforts are still required for the construction of novel genetic circuits for fine-tuned dynamic control. This review outlined the recently developed cyanobacteria synthetic biology tools and strategies with a discussion of the obstacles and solutions for better using such new
techniques in further development of cyanobacteria toward photosynthetic microbial cell factories.

Acknowledgements

We sincerely thank Dr. Yi Sheng, Chao Xie for helpful discussion.

Highlights

- Synthetic biology tools are developed advancing cyanobacterial biotechnology.
- Riboswitches are used for dynamic control of protein expression in cyanobacteria.
- Crispr-cas systems are applied for genome editing and transcriptional regulation.
- Synthetic biology strategies improved chemicals production in cyanobacteria.

Disclosure statement

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Declaration of the authors

All authors have reviewed the manuscript and have agreed to the order of the authorship.

References

[1] Shih PM. Cyanobacterial evolution: fresh insight into ancient questions. Curr Biol. 2015;25(5):R192–R193.
[2] Fuchsum CA, Palevsky HI, Widner B, et al. Cyanobacteria and cyanophage contributions to carbon and nitrogen cycling in an oligotrophic oxygen-deficient zone. Isme J. 2019;13(11):2714–2726.
[3] Lewis NS, Nocera DG. Powering the planet: chemical challenges in solar energy utilization. Proc Nat Acad Sci. 2006;103:15729–15735.
[4] Melis A. Solar energy conversion efficiencies in photosynthesis: minimizing the chlorophyll antennae to maximize efficiency. Plant Sci. 2009;177(4):272–280.
[5] Liu X, Sheng J, Curtiss R III. Fatty acid production in genetically modified cyanobacteria. Proc Nat Acad Sci. 2011;108(17):6899–6904.
[6] Ungerer J, Tao L, Davis M, et al. Sustained photosynthetic conversion of CO 2 to ethylene in recombinant cyanobacterium Synechocystis 6803. Energy Environ Sci. 2012;5(10):8998–9006.
[7] Gao Z, Zhao H, Li Z, et al. Photosynthetic production of ethanol from carbon dioxide in genetically engineered cyanobacteria. Energy Environ Sci. 2012;5:9857–9865.
[8] Shabestary K, Hudson EP. Computational metabolic engineering strategies for growth-coupled biofuel production by Synechocystis. Metab Eng Commun. 2016;3:216–226.
[9] Song K, Tan X, Liang Y, et al. The potential of Synechococcus elongatus UTEX 2973 for sugar feedstock production. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2016;100(18):7865–7875.
[10] Yang G, Cozad MA, Holland DA, et al. Photosynthetic production of sunscreen shinorine using an engineered cyanobacterium. ACS Synth Biol. 2018;7(2):664–671.
[11] Lin P-C, Pakrasi HB. Engineering cyanobacteria for production of terpenoids. Planta. 2019;249(1):145–154.
[12] Sengupta A, Pakrasi HB, Wangikar PP. Recent advances in synthetic biology of cyanobacteria. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2018;102(13):5457–5471.
[13] Becker J, Wittmann C. Systems metabolic engineering of Escherichia coli for the heterologous production of high value molecules—a veteran at new shores. Curr Opin Biotechnol. 2016;42:178–188.
[14] Li M, Borodina I. Application of synthetic biology for production of chemicals in yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. FEMS Yeast Res. 2015;15:1–12.
[15] Berla BM, Saha R, Immethun CM, et al. Synthetic biology of cyanobacteria: unique challenges and opportunities. Front Microbiol. 2013;4:246.
[16] Jagadevan S, Banerjee A, Banerjee C, et al. Recent developments in synthetic biology and metabolic engineering in microalgae towards biofuel production. Biotechnol Biofuels. 2018;11:185.
[17] Wang B, Wang J, Zhang W, et al. Application of synthetic biology in cyanobacteria and algae. Front Microbiol. 2012a;3:344.
[18] Xia P-F, Ling H, Foo JL, et al. Synthetic biology toolkits for metabolic engineering of cyanobacteria. Biotechnol J. 2019;14(6):1800496.
[19] Higo A, Isu A, Fukaya Y, et al. Efficient gene induction and endogenous gene repression systems for the filamentous cyanobacterium Anabaena sp. PCC 7120. Plant Cell Physiol. 2016;57(2):387–396.
[20] Albers SC 2016. Metabolic engineering of the cyanobacterium Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803 for the production of astaxanthin. 2000-2019-CSU Theses and Dissertations.
[21] Wang X, Liu W, Xin C, et al. Enhanced limonene production in cyanobacteria reveals photosynthesis limitations. Proc Nat Acad Sci. 2016;113(50):14225–14230.
[22] Chumjatupornchai W, Fa-Aroonsawat S. The rRNA promoter as a tool for the improved expression of heterologous genes in cyanobacteria. Microbiol Res. 2014;169(5–6):361–368.
[23] Yu J, Liberton M, Clffen PF, et al. Synechococcus elongatus UTEX 2973, a fast growing cyanobacterial chassis for biosynthesis using light and CO 2. Sci Rep. 2015;5(1):8132.

[24] Sun T, Li S, Song X, et al. Toolboxes for cyanobacteria: recent advances and future direction. Biotechnol Adv. 2018;36(4):1293–1307.

[25] Atsumi S, Higashide W, Liao JC. Direct photosynthetic recycling of carbon dioxide to isobutyraldehyde. Nat Biotechnol. 2009;27(12):1177–1180.

[26] Huang -H-H, Camsund D, Lindblad P, et al. Design and characterization of molecular tools for a synthetic biology approach towards developing cyanobacterial biotechnology. Nucleic Acids Res. 2010;38 (8):2577–2593.

[27] Camsund D, Heidorn T, Lindblad P. Design and analysis of LacI-repressed promoters and DNA-looping in a cyanobacterium. J Biol Eng. 2014;8(1):4.

[28] Guerrero F, Carbonell V, Cossu M, et al. Ethylene synthesis and regulated expression of recombinant protein in Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803. PloS One. 2012;7 (11):e50470.

[29] Huang -H-H, Lindblad P. Wide-dynamic-range promoters engineered for cyanobacteria. J Biol Eng. 2013;7 (1):10.

[30] Gordon GC, Korosh TC, Cameron JC, et al. CRISPR interference as a titratable, trans-acting regulatory tool for metabolic engineering in the cyanobacterium Synechococcus sp. strain PCC 7002. Metab Eng. 2016;38:170–179.

[31] Kim WJ, Lee S-M, Um Y, et al. Development of SyneBrick vectors as a synthetic biology platform for gene expression in Synechococcus elongatus PCC 7942. Front Plant Sci. 2017;8:293.

[32] Yao L, Cengic I, Anfelt J, et al. Multiple gene repression in cyanobacteria using CRISPRi. ACS Synth Biol. 2016;5(3):207–212.

[33] Zess EK, Begemann MB, Pfleger BF. Construction of new synthetic biology tools for the control of gene expression in the cyanobacterium Synechococcus sp. strain PCC 7002. Biotechnol Bioeng. 2016;113 (2):424–432.

[34] Markley AL, Begemann MB, Clarke RE, et al. Synthetic biology toolbox for controlling gene expression in the cyanobacterium Synechococcus sp. strain PCC 7002. ACS Synth Biol. 2015;7(5):595–603.

[35] Cao Y-Q, Li Q, Xia P-F, et al. AraBAD based toolkit for gene expression and metabolic robustness improvement in Synechococcus elongatus. Sci Rep. 2017;7 (1):1–10.

[36] Huang C-H, Shen CR, Li H, et al. CRISPR interference (CRISPRi) for gene regulation and succinate production in cyanobacterium S. elongatus PCC 7942. Microb Cell Fact. 2016;15(1):1–11.

[37] Kelly CL, Taylor GM, Hitchcock A, et al. A rhamnose-inducible system for precise and temporal control of gene expression in cyanobacteria. ACS Synth Biol. 2018;7(4):1056–1066.

[38] Behle A, Saake P, Germann AT, et al. Comparative dose–response analysis of inducible promoters in Cyanobacteria. ACS Synth Biol. 2020;9(4):843–855.

[39] Taton A, Ma AT, Ota M, et al. NOT gate genetic circuits to control gene expression in cyanobacteria. ACS Synth Biol. 2017;6(12):2175–2182.

[40] Elhai J. Strong and regulated promoters in the cyanobacterium Anabaena PCC 7120. FEBS Microbiol Lett. 1993;114(2):179–184.

[41] Wang B, Eckert C, Maness P-C, et al. A genetic toolbox for modulating the expression of heterologous genes in the cyanobacterium Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803. ACS Synth Biol. 2018;7(1):276–286.

[42] Immethun CM, Delorenzo DM, Focht CM, et al. Physical, chemical, and metabolic state sensors expand the synthetic biology toolbox for Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803. Biotechnol Bioeng. 2017;114(7):1561–1569.

[43] Lan EI, Liao JC. Metabolic engineering of cyanobacteria for 1-butanol production from carbon dioxide. Metab Eng. 2011;13(4):353–363.

[44] Immethun CM, Ng KM, Delorenzo DM, et al. Oxygen-responsive genetic circuits constructed in Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803. Biotechnol Bioeng. 2016;113:433–442.

[45] Alvarenga DO, Fiore MF, Varani AM. A metagenomic approach to cyanobacterial genomics. Front Microbiol. 2017;8:809.

[46] Ludwig M, Bryant DA. Synechococcus sp. strain PCC 7002 transcriptome: acclimation to temperature, salinity, oxidative stress, and mixotrophic growth conditions. Front Microbiol. 2012;3:354.

[47] Mishra Y, Chaurasia N, Rai LC. Heat pretreatment alleviates UV-B toxicity in the cyanobacterium anabaena doliolium: a proteomic analysis of cross tolerance. Photochem Photobiol. 2009;85(3):824–833.

[48] Qiao J, Huang S, Te R, et al. Integrated proteomic and transcriptomic analysis reveals novel genes and regulatory mechanisms involved in salt stress responses in Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2013;97(18):8253–8264.

[49] Rai S, Agrawal C, Shrivastava AK, et al. Comparative proteomics unveils cross species variations in Anabaena under salt stress. J Proteomics. 2014;59:254–270.

[50] Shrivastava AK, Chatterjee A, Yadav S, et al. UV-B stress induced metabolic rearrangements explored with comparative proteomics in three Anabaena species. J Proteomics. 2015;127:122–133.

[51] Wang J, Chen L, Huang S, et al. RNA-seq based identification and mutant validation of gene targets related to ethanol resistance in cyanobacterial Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803. Biotechnol Biofuels. 2012b;5(1):89.

[52] Wu J, Zhao F, Wang S, et al. cTFbase: a database for comparative genomics of transcription factors in cyanobacteria. BMC Genomics. 2007;8(1):1–7.
[53] Zhu H, Ren X, Wang J, et al. Integrated OMICS guided engineering of biofuel butanol-tolerance in photosynthetic Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803. Biotechnol Biofuels. 2013;6(1):106.

[54] Johnson TR, Haynes J, Wealand J, et al. Structure and regulation of genes encoding phycocyanin and allophycocyanin from Anabaena variabilis ATCC 29413. J Bacteriol. 1988;170(4):1858–1865.

[55] Mohamed A, Jansson C. Influence of light on accumulation of photosynthesis-specific transcripts in the cyanobacterium Synechocystis 6803. Plant Mol Biol. 1989;13(6):693–700.

[56] Zhou J, Zhang H, Meng H, et al. Discovery of a super-strong promoter enables efficient production of heterologous proteins in cyanobacteria. Sci Rep. 2014;4(1):4500.

[57] Ruffing AM, Jensen TJ, Strickland LM. Genetic tools for advancement of Synechococcus sp. PCC 7002 as a cyanobacterial chassis. Microb Cell Fact. 2016;15(1):190.

[58] Deng M-D, Coleman JR. Ethanol synthesis by genetic engineering in cyanobacteria. Appl Environ Microbiol. 1999;65(2):523–528.

[59] Sengupta A, Madhu S, Wangikar PP. A library of tunable, portable, and inducer-free promoters derived from cyanobacteria. ACS Synth Biol. 2020;9(7):1790–1801.

[60] Stensjö K, Vavitsas K, Tyyystjärvi T. Harnessing transcription for bioproduction in cyanobacteria. Physiol Plant. 2018;162(2):148–155.

[61] Yang G, Zhang Y, Lee NK, et al. Cyanobacterial Sfp-type phosphopantetheinyl transferases functionalize carrier proteins of diverse biosynthetic pathways. Sci Rep. 2017;7:1–9.

[62] Kierzek AM, Zaim J, Zielenkiewicz P. The effect of transcription and translation initiation frequencies on the stochastic fluctuations in prokaryotic gene expression. J Biol Chem. 2001;276(11):8165–8172.

[63] Vellanelowth RL, Rabinowitz JC. The influence of ribosome-binding-site elements on translational efficiency in Bacillus subtilis and Escherichia coli in vivo. Mol Microbiol. 1992;6(9):1105–1114.

[64] Na D, Lee D. RBSDesigner: software for designing synthetic ribosome binding sites that yields a desired level of protein expression. Bioinformatics. 2010;26(20):2633–2634.

[65] Reeve B, Hardest T, Gilbert C, et al. Predicting translation initiation rates for designing synthetic biology. Front Bioeng Biotechnol. 2014;2:1.

[66] Salis HM. The ribosome binding site calculator. Methods Enzymol. 2011;498:19–42.

[67] Englund E, Liang F, Lindberg P. Evaluation of promoters and ribosome binding sites for biotechnological applications in the unicellular cyanobacterium Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803. Sci Rep. 2016;6(1):36640.

[68] Heidorn T, Camsund D, Huang -H-H, et al. Synthetic biology in cyanobacteria: engineering and analyzing novel functions. Methods Enzymol. 2011;497:539–79

[69] Liu D, Pakrasi HB. Exploring native genetic elements as plug-in tools for synthetic biology in the cyanobacterium Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803. Microb Cell Fact. 2018;17(1):1–8.

[70] Oliver JW, Machado IM, Yoneda H, et al. Cyanobacterial conversion of carbon dioxide to 2,3-butanediol. Proc Nat Acad Sci. 2013;110(4):1249–1254.

[71] Roth A, Breaker RR. The structural and functional diversity of metabolite-binding riboswitches. Annu Rev Biochem. 2009;7(1):305–334.

[72] Serganov A, Nudler E. A decade of riboswitches. Cell. 2013;152(1–2):17–24.

[73] Nudler E. Flipping riboswitches. Cell. 2006;126(1):19–22.

[74] Vitreschak AG, Rodionov DA, Mironov AA, et al. Riboswitches: the oldest mechanism for the regulation of gene expression? Trends Genet. 2004;20(1):44–50.

[75] Huang L, Wang J, Watkins AM, et al. Structure and ligand binding of the glutamine-II riboswitch. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019;47(14):7666–7675.

[76] Nakahira Y, Ogawa A, Asano H, et al. Theophylline-dependent riboswitch as a novel genetic tool for strict regulation of protein expression in cyanobacterium Synechococcus elongatus PCC 7942. Plant Cell Physiol. 2013;54(10):1724–1735.

[77] Armshaw P, Carey D, Sheahan C, et al. Utilising the native plasmid, pCA2. 4, from the cyanobacterium Synechocystis sp. strain PCC6803 as a cloning site for enhanced product production. Biotechnol Biofuels. 2015;8(1):1–10.

[78] Ma AT, Schmidt CM, Golden JW. Regulation of gene expression in diverse cyanobacterial species by using theophylline-responsive riboswitches. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2014;80(21):6704–6713.

[79] Ohbayashi R, Akai H, Yoshikawa H, et al. A tightly inducible riboswitch system in Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803. J Gen Appl Microbiol. 2016;62(3):154–159.

[80] Liu D, Johnson VM, Pakrasi HB. A reversibly induced CRISPRi system targeting photosystem II in the cyanobacterium synechocystis sp. PCC 6803. ACS Synth Biol. 2020;9(6):1441–1449.

[81] Griese M, Lange C, Soppa J. Ploidy in cyanobacteria. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 2011;323(2):124–131.

[82] Behler J, Vijay D, Hess WR, et al. CRISPR-based technologies for metabolic engineering in cyanobacteria. Trends Biotechnol. 2018;36(10):996–1010.

[83] Li H, Shen CR, Huang C-H, et al. CRISPR-Cas9 for the genome engineering of cyanobacteria and succinate metabolism. Metab Eng. 2016;38:293–302.

[84] Wendt KE, Ungerer J, Cobb RE, et al. CRISPR/Cas9 mediated targeted mutagenesis of the fast growing cyanobacterium Synechococcus elongatus UTEX 2973. Microb Cell Fact. 2016;15(1):115.

[85] Pattharaprachayakul N, Lee M, Incharoensakdi A, et al. Current understanding of the cyanobacterial
CRISPR-Cas systems and development of the synthetic CRISPR-Cas systems for cyanobacteria. Enzyme Microb Technol. 2020;140:109619.

[86] Knoot CJ, Biswas S, Pakrasi HB. Tunable repression of key photosynthetic processes using Cas12a CRISPR interference in the fast-growing cyanobacterium Synechococcus sp. UTEX 2973. ACS Synth Biol. 2019;9(1):132–143.

[87] Ungerer J, Pakrasi HB. Cpf1 is a versatile tool for CRISPR genome editing across diverse species of cyanobacteria. Sci Rep. 2016;6(1):1–9.

[88] Zheng Y, Su T, Qi Q. Microbial CRISPRi and CRISPRa systems for metabolic engineering. Biotechnol Bioprocess Eng. 2019;24:579–591.

[89] Kaczmarzyk D, Cengic I, Yao L, et al. Diversion of the long-chain acyl-ACP pool in Synechocystis to fatty alcohols through CRISPRi repression of the essential phosphate acyltransferase PtsX. Metab Eng. 2018;45:59–66.

[90] Shabestary K, Anfelt J, Ljungqvist E, et al. Targeted repression of essential genes to arrest carbon production and increase carbon partitioning and biofuel titers in cyanobacteria. ACS Synth Biol. 2018;7(7):1669–1675.

[91] Choi SY, Woo HM. CRISPRi-dCas12a: A dCas12a-mediated CRISPR interference for repression of multiple genes and metabolic engineering in cyanobacteria. ACS Synth Biol. 2020;9(9):2351–2361.

[92] Higo A, Ehira S. Spatiotemporal gene repression system in the heterocyst-forming multicellular cyanobacterium Anabaena sp. PCC 7120. ACS Synth Biol. 2019;8(4):641–646.

[93] Higo A, Isu A, Fukaya Y, et al. Application of CRISPR interference for metabolic engineering of the heterocyst-forming multicellular cyanobacterium Anabaena sp. PCC 7120. Plant Cell Physiol. 2018;59(1):119–127.

[94] Rouhiainen L, Paulin L, Suomalainen S, et al. Genes encoding synthetases of cyclic depsipeptides, anabaneopeptilides, in Anabaena strain 90. Mol Microbiol. 2000;114(1):156–167.

[95] Vermaas WF. [20] Gene modifications and mutation mapping to study the function of photosystem II. In: Methods in enzymology. Elsevier; 1998.

[96] Golden SS, Brusslan J, Haselkorn R. [12] Genetic engineering of the cyanobacterial chromosome. Methods Enzymol. 1987;153:215–231.

[97] Wolk CP, Vonshak A, Kehoe P, et al. Construction of shuttle vectors capable of conjugative transfer from Escherichia coli to nitrogen-fixing filamentous cyanobacteria. Proc Nat Acad Sci. 1984;81(5):1561–1565.

[98] Dunlop MJ, Dossani ZY, Szmidi HL, et al. Engineering microbial biofuel tolerance and export using efflux pumps. Mol Syst Biol. 2011;7(1):487.

[99] Ng W-O, Pakrasi H. DNA photolyase homologs are the major UV resistance factors in the cyanobacterium Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803. Mol Gen Genet MGG. 2001;264(6):924–931.

[100] Xu H, Vavilin D, Funk C, et al. Multiple deletions of small cab-like proteins in the cyanobacterium Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803 consequences for pigment biosynthesis and accumulation. J Biol Chem. 2004;279(27):27971–27979.

[101] Begemann MB, Zess EK, Walters EM, et al. An organic acid based counter selection system for cyanobacteria. Plos One. 2013;8(10):e76594.

[102] Selao TT, Włodarczyk A, Nixon PJ, et al. Growth and selection of the cyanobacterium Synechococcus sp. PCC 7002 using alternative nitrogen and phosphorus sources. Metab Eng. 2019;54:255–263.

[103] Kokcharova O, Wolk C. Genetic tools for cyanobacteria. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2002;58(2):123–137.

[104] Clerico EM, Ditty JL, Golden SS. Specialized techniques for site-directed mutagenesis in cyanobacteria. In: Circad Rhythm. Springer; 2007;362:155–171.

[105] Bishé B, Taton A, Golden JW. Modification of RSFI010-based broad-host-range plasmids for improved conjugation and cyanobacterial bioprospecting. Iscience. 2019;20:216–228.

[106] Taton A, Unglaub F, Wright NE, et al. Broad-host-range vector system for synthetic biology and biotechnology in cyanobacteria. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014;42(17):e136–e136.

[107] Gupta JK, Rai P, Jain KK, et al. Overexpression of bicarbonate transporters in the marine cyanobacterium Synechococcus sp. PCC 7002 increases growth rate and glycogen accumulation. Biotechnol Biofuels. 2020;13:1–12.

[108] Hays SG, Ducat DC. Engineering cyanobacteria as photosynthetic feedstock factories. Photosynth Res. 2015;123(3):285–295.

[109] Niederholtmeyer H, Wolfstätter BT, Savage DF, et al. Engineering cyanobacteria to synthesize and export hydrophilic products. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2010;76(11):3462–3466.

[110] Lin P-C, Zhang F, Pakrasi HB. Enhanced production of sucrose in the fast-growing cyanobacterium Synechococcus elongatus UTEX 2973. Sci Rep. 2020;10:1–8.

[111] Poole LB, Parsonage D, Sergeant S, et al. Acyl-lipid desaturases and Vip1l cooperate in cyanobacteria to produce novel omega-3 PUFA-containing glycolipids. Biotechnol Biofuels. 2020;13(1):1–15.

[112] Chaves JE, Melis A. Engineering isoprene synthesis in cyanobacteria. FEBS Lett. 2018;592(12):2059–2069.

[113] Pattanaik B, Lindberg P. Terpenoids and their biosynthesis in cyanobacteria. Life. 2015;5(1):269–293.
[114] Betterle N, Melis A. Photosynthetic generation of heterologous terpenoids in cyanobacteria. Biotechnol Bioeng. 2019;116(8):2041–2051.

[115] Valsami E-A, Psychogyiou ME, Pateraki A, et al. Fusion constructs enhance heterologous β-phellandrene production in Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803. J Appl Phycol. 2020;32:2889–2902.

[116] Khan AZ, Bilal M, Mehmood S, et al. State-of-the-art genetic modalities to engineer cyanobacteria for sustainable biosynthesis of biofuel and fine-chemicals to meet bio–economy challenges. Life. 2019;9(3):54.

[117] Jones PR. Genetic instability in cyanobacteria–an elephant in the room? Front Bioeng Biotechnol. 2014;2:12.

[118] Santos-Merino M, Singh AK, Ducat DC. New applications of synthetic biology tools for cyanobacterial metabolic engineering. Front Bioeng Biotechnol. 2019;7:33.