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ABSTRACT

We used the Navy Precision Optical Interferometer to measure the limb-darkened angular diameter of the exoplanet host star $\kappa$ CrB and obtained a value of $1.543 \pm 0.009\text{ mas}$. We calculated its physical radius ($0.06 \pm 0.04\text{ R}_\odot$) and used photometric measurements from the literature with our diameter to determine $\kappa$ CrB’s effective temperature ($4788 \pm 17\text{ K}$) and luminosity ($12.13 \pm 0.09\text{ L}_\odot$). We then placed the star on an Hertzsprung–Russell diagram to ascertain the star’s age ($3.49^{+0.32}_{-0.25}\text{ Gyr}$) and mass ($1.47 \pm 0.04\text{ M}_\odot$) using a metallicity of $[\text{Fe/H}] = +0.15$. With this mass, we calculated the system’s mass function with the orbital elements from a variety of sources, which produced a range of planetary masses: $m_p\sin i = 1.61–1.88\text{ M}_\text{Jup}$. We also updated the extent of the habitable zone for the system using our new temperature.
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1. INTRODUCTION

$\kappa$ Coronae Borealis ($\kappa$ CrB, HD 142091, HR 5901, FK5 1414, HIP 77655) is a “retired A star,” otherwise known as a former A-type dwarf that is now a K-type subgiant. A planetary companion was discovered by Johnson et al. (2008) with a minimum mass $m_p\sin i = 1.8\text{ M}_\text{Jup}$, a separation $a = 2.7\text{ AU}$, an orbital eccentricity $e = 0.146 \pm 0.08$, and a period $P = 1208 \pm 30\text{ days}$. Johnson et al. used Girardi et al. (2002) theoretical mass tracks to determine the star’s mass and age: $M_* = 1.80 \pm 0.11\text{ M}_\odot$ and age = 2.5 \pm 1.0\text{ Gyr}$. Johnson et al. also used spectral analysis to determine that $\kappa$ CrB is metal-rich ($[\text{Fe/H}] = +0.15 \pm 0.05$), has an effective temperature $T_{\text{eff}}$ of 4970 \pm 70 K, and has a surface gravity log $g$ of 3.47 \pm 0.09 cm s$^{-2}$. Finally, they estimated the luminosity $L$ and radius $R$ to be 12.3 \pm 0.04\text{ L}_\odot$ and 4.71 \pm 0.08\text{ R}_\odot$, respectively.

More recently, Bonsor et al. (2013) showed spatially resolved images of the dust belt or belts around $\kappa$ CrB with two possible configurations: a single wide belt spanning 20–220 AU or two narrow belts at \sim 40 AU and 165 AU. They also announced the presence of a second companion, though the nature of that companion is not yet determined. If the companion resides between the wide belt and the host star, it has a mass $> 5\text{ M}_\text{Jup}$ and is therefore an exoplanet. If the companion resides between the two narrow belts, it has a larger mass of $> 13\text{ M}_\text{Jup}$ and is a brown dwarf instead.

In order to more fully characterize the star and its known exoplanet, we measured the angular diameter of $\kappa$ CrB using the Navy Precision Optical Interferometer (NPOI). We calculated its radius and $T_{\text{eff}}$ and used these values with a stellar model to place the star on an Hertzsprung–Russell (H-R) diagram so we could determine its mass and age. We calculated the mass function using the orbital elements from a number of sources to ascertain the planet’s mass. Section 2 discusses our observing process; Section 3 describes the visibility measurements and how we calculated stellar parameters such as angular diameter, effective temperature, physical radius, and luminosity; Section 4 explores the extent of $\kappa$ CrB’s habitable zone (HZ) as well as the mass and age of the star and its planet; and Section 5 summarizes our findings.

2. INTERFEROMETRIC OBSERVATIONS

We observed $\kappa$ CrB using the NPOI, an interferometer located on Anderson Mesa, Arizona (Armstrong et al. 1998). The NPOI consists of two nested arrays: the four stations of the astrometric array (astrometric center, east, west, and north) and the six stations of the imaging array, of which two stations (E6 and W7) are currently in operation and three more will be coming online in the near future (E7, E10, and W10). The current baselines, i.e., the distance between the stations, range from 16 to 79 m, though our maximum baseline will be 432 m when the E10 and W10 stations are completed within the next year. We use the central 12 cm of the 50 cm siderostats and observe in 16 spectral channels spanning 550–850 nm simultaneously.

Our observing procedure and data reduction process are described in detail in Hummel et al. (2003). To summarize, each observation consisted of a scan on the fringe (a coherent scan) where the fringe contrast was measured every 2 ms paired with an off the fringe scan (an incoherent scan) subsequently used to estimate the biases affecting our measurements. We obtained scans on three baselines at a time. Each coherent scan was averaged to 1 s data points and then to a 30 s average. The internal errors were estimated using the dispersion of the 1 s data points.

We observed $\kappa$ CrB for three nights in 2013 March using baseline lengths from 19 to 53 m. We interleaved data scans of $\kappa$ CrB with two calibrators—HD 143894 and HD 147394—and then converted the instrumental target and calibrator visibilities to calibrated visibilities for the target. We chose HD 143894 and HD 147394 as calibrator stars because they are nearly unresolved on the baselines used and therefore mimic point sources. This minimizes the error introduced into the calibrated data that arise from uncertainties in the calibrator stars’ angular diameters, and the errors in those diameter estimates are taken into account in the calibration process.

We estimated the calibrator stars’ sizes by constructing their spectral energy distribution (SED) fits using photometric values published in Ljunggren & Oja (1965), McClure & Forrester (1981), Olsen (1993), Jasevicius et al. (1990), Golay (1972), Håggkvist & Oja (1970), Kornilov et al. (1991), Eggen (1968), and McClure & Forrester (1981).
Figure 1. κ CrB θLD fit. The solid line represents the theoretical visibility curve for the best-fit θLD, the dashed lines are the 1σ error limits of the diameter fit, the open circles are the calibrated visibilities, and the vertical lines are the measurement errors.

Johnson et al. (1966), Cutri et al. (2003), and Gezari et al. (1993) as well as spectrophotometry from Glushneva et al. (1983, 1998) and Kharitonov et al. (1997) obtained via the interface created by Mermilliod et al. (1997). The assigned uncertainties for the Two Micron All Sky Survey infrared measurements are as reported in Cutri et al. (2003), and an error of 0.05 mag was assigned to the optical measurements. We determined the best-fit stellar spectral template to the photometry from the flux-calibrated stellar spectral atlas of Pickles (1998) using the χ2 minimization technique. The resulting diameter estimates are 0.39 ± 0.02 mas for HD 143894 and 0.36 ± 0.08 mas for HD 147394.

Another parameter that can affect interferometric measurements is calibrator star oblateness. In this case, the effects are negligible. HD 143894 has a v sin i of 115–138 km s−1 (Abt & Morrell 1995; Erspamer & North 2003) that may produce some oblateness on the order of 3%–4%. However, because the star is small, the effect of even a 4% variation across the projected disk radius does not significantly affect the data calibration. It changes the measured diameter by less than 1%, and even the 4% variation is within the error bars of the calibrator size. HD 147394 with a v sin i of 46 km s−1 at most shows even less oblateness at 1% (Royer et al. 2002).

3. RESULTS

3.1. Angular Diameter Measurement

Interferometric diameter measurements use V2, the square of the fringe visibility. For a point source, V2 is unity, while for a uniformly illuminated disk (UD), V2 = [2J1(λ)/λ]2, where J1 is the Bessel function of the first order, x = πBθUDλ−1, B is the projected baseline toward the star’s position, θUD is the apparent UD angular diameter of the star, and λ is the effective wavelength of the observation (Shao & Colavita 1992). We obtained θUD = 1.449 ± 0.009 mas. Our data files in OIFITS format are available upon request.

A more realistic model of a star’s disk includes limb darkening (LD). If a linear LD coefficient μλ is used,

\[ V^2 = \left(1 - \frac{\mu_\lambda}{2} + \frac{\mu_3}{3}\right)^{-1} \times \left(1 - \mu_\lambda\right) \frac{J_1(x_{\lambda \text{LD}})}{x_{\lambda \text{LD}}} + \mu_\lambda \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{1/2} \frac{J_{3/2}(x_{\lambda \text{LD}})}{x_{\lambda \text{LD}}^{3/2}} \right], \]

where x_{LD} = \frac{πBθ_{LD}λ}{2} (Hanbury Brown et al. 1974). To estimate μ_\lambda, we made initial estimates, T_{\text{eff}} = 4796 K and log g = 3.29 cm s−2, from averaging over the 20 citations listed on VizieR, and then used Claret & Bloemen (2011) to obtain μ_\lambda = 0.68. Our resulting value for θ_{LD} is 1.543 ± 0.009 mas. Figure 1 shows the θ_{LD} fit to V2 for κ CrB.

The error for the LD diameter fit was derived using the method described in Tycner et al. (2010). Tycner et al. demonstrated that a non-linear least-squares method does not adequately take into account the atmospheric effects on timescales briefer than the minutes between the target and calibrator observations. They instead propose a bootstrap Monte Carlo method that retains the inherent structure of the observations, i.e., groups of data points, instead treating them as individual data points. This is because the NPOI scans across 16 channels simultaneously and collects data in groups. Tycner et al. found that when the data points were analyzed individually, the deviation of a single scan from the overall trend had a significant impact on the resulting diameter and error calculation. But when they used scans of 16 channels
as a group instead of as single data points, the uncertainty on the angular diameter determination was larger and more realistic than methods that rely solely on formal errors.

3.2. Stellar Radius, Luminosity, and Effective Temperature

κ CrB has a parallax of 32.79 ± 0.21 mas (van Leeuwen 2007), which translates to a distance of 30.50 ± 0.20 pc. We combined this with our measured \( \theta_{\text{LD}} \) to calculate the physical radius of the star: 5.06 ± 0.04 \( r_\odot \).

In order to determine the \( L \) and \( T_{\text{eff}} \) of κ CrB, we constructed its SED using the sources and technique of fitting spectral templates to observed photometry as described in Section 2. The resulting SED gave us the bolometric flux (\( F_{\text{BOL}} \)) and allowed for extinction \( A_V \) with the wavelength-dependent reddening relations of Cardelli et al. (1989). The K0.5 III template provided the best fit with a \( F_{\text{BOL}} \) of 4.17 ± 0.04 × 10\(^{-7}\) erg s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\) and \( A_V \) of 0.03 ± 0.01 mag.

We combined our \( F_{\text{BOL}} \) with κ CrB’s distance to estimate its luminosity using \( L = 4\pi d^2 F_{\text{BOL}} \), which produced a value of 12.13 ± 0.19 \( L_\odot \). We also combined the \( F_{\text{BOL}} \) with \( \theta_{\text{LD}} \) to determine κ CrB’s effective temperature by inverting the relation:

\[
F_{\text{BOL}} = \frac{1}{4} \theta_{\text{LD}}^2 \sigma T_{\text{eff}}^4,
\]

where \( \sigma \) is the Stefan–Bolzmann constant (5.6704 × 10\(^{-5}\) erg cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) deg\(^{-2}\)) and \( \theta_{\text{LD}} \) is in radians. This produces an effective temperature of 4788 ± 17 K. Because \( \mu_\lambda \) is chosen based on a given \( T_{\text{eff}} \), we checked to see if \( \mu_\lambda \) would change based on our new \( T_{\text{eff}} \). \( \mu_\lambda \) remained the same, as the temperature difference between the literature’s average and our value was only 8 K. All of these results are listed in Table 1.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Habitable Zone of κ CrB

κ CrB is currently the only subgiant star with resolved images of a dust disk and is an unusual case because it is an intermediate-mass star hosting both planets and planetesimal belts (Bonsor et al. 2013). We wanted to determine the HZ of κ CrB to see if it overlapped either of the dust disks or either companion. We used the following equations from Jones et al. (2006):

\[
S_{b,i}(T_{\text{eff}}) = (4.19 \times 10^{-8} T_{\text{eff}}^2)
- (2.13 \times 10^{-4} T_{\text{eff}}) + 1.296
\]

and

\[
S_{b,o}(T_{\text{eff}}) = (6.19 \times 10^{-9} T_{\text{eff}}^2)
- (1.319 \times 10^{-5} T_{\text{eff}}) + 0.2341,
\]

where \( S_{b,i}(T_{\text{eff}}) \) and \( S_{b,o}(T_{\text{eff}}) \) are the critical fluxes at the inner and outer boundaries of the HZ in units of the solar constant. The inner and outer physical boundaries \( r_{i,o} \) in AU were then calculated using

\[
ri = \sqrt{\frac{L/L_\odot}{S_{b,i}(T_{\text{eff}})}}, \quad \text{and} \quad ro = \sqrt{\frac{L/L_\odot}{S_{b,o}(T_{\text{eff}})}}. \quad (5)
\]

We obtained HZ boundaries of 3.05 AU and 6.06 AU. κ CrB’s inner planet has semimajor axis of 2.80 AU (Bowler et al. 2010), just inside the inner boundary. The outer companion is beyond the outer limit even if the configuration with the smallest separation of 7.2 AU from Bonsor et al. holds true.

4.2. Mass and Age of κ CrB and its Planet

We used our newly calculated \( T_{\text{eff}} \) and \( R \) to place the star on an H-R diagram using stellar evolutionary models from Yi et al. (2001) to determine its mass and age. Our best-fit mass is 1.47 ± 0.04 \( M_\odot \), which is an uncertainty of 3% (see Figure 2). This corresponds to an age of 3.42 ± 0.25 Gyr, which is older than the age determined by Johnson et al. (2008) of 2.5 ± 1.0 Gyr, though technically still within the errors. Our stellar mass is lower than Johnson et al.’s, who listed a mass of 1.80 ± 0.11 \( M_\odot \), though it agrees with \( M_\odot \) determined by other groups, namely 1.51 \( M_\odot \) (Takeda et al. 2008; Sato et al. 2012).

The literature also includes a range of metallicities [Fe/H] that span −0.10 (Schiavon 2007) to +0.20 (Soubiran et al. 2010). This range had a substantial effect on the resulting stellar masses: \( M_\star = 1.15 M_\odot \) for the lowest [Fe/H] and \( M_\star = 1.51 M_\odot \) for the highest. For our final \( M_\star \), we used Johnson et al.’s [Fe/H] of +0.15 because their work was our primary source of comparison for κ CrB’s mass and age.

We used our best-fit mass and the orbital elements from the four different sources listed in Table 2 to calculate the mass function,

\[
f(m) = \frac{(m_p \sin i)^3}{(M_\star + m_p)^2} = \frac{P^3}{2\pi G}(K\sqrt{1 - e^2})^3,\]

where \( G \) is the gravitational constant, and then calculated the planet’s mass. This produced a range of \( m_p \sin i \) from 1.61 ± 0.10 to 1.88 ± 0.09 \( M_{\oplus} \), depending on which orbital elements were used, and all masses converged in two iterations. The values are listed in Table 2.
star and the environment in which the planet(s) and dust ring(s) reside.

We determined a variety of fundamental parameters for the retired A star κ CrB: the limb-darkened angular diameter (1.543 ± 0.009 mas), the physical size (5.06 ± 0.04 $R_\odot$), the effective temperature (4788 ± 17 K), the luminosity (12.13 ± 0.19 $L_\odot$), the mass (1.47 ± 0.04 $M_\odot$), age (3.42±0.22 Gyr), and HZ range (3.05–6.06 AU). We combined our mass with the orbital parameters from four sources to calculate the planet’s mass and have a range of $m_p \sin i = 1.61–1.88 M_{\text{Jup}}$.

The Navy Precision Optical Interferometer is a joint project of the Naval Research Laboratory and the U.S. Naval Observatory, in cooperation with Lowell Observatory, and is funded by the Office of Naval Research and the Oceanographer of the Navy. This research has made use of the SIMBAD database, operated at CDS, Strasbourg, France. This publication makes use of data products from the Two Micron All Sky Survey, which is a joint project of the University of Massachusetts and the Infrared Processing and Analysis Center/California Institute of Technology, funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and the National Science Foundation.

### Table 2

| Parameter | $P$ (days) | $e$ | $K$ (m s$^{-1}$) | $a$ (AU) | $m_p \sin i$ ($M_{\text{Jup}}$) | $m_p$ ($M_{\text{Jup}}$) |
|-----------|------------|-----|----------------|---------|-----------------|----------------|
| $\kappa$ CrB Orbital Elements and $M_{\text{planet}}$ |            |     |                |         |                 |               |
| J08       | 1208 ± 30  | 0.146 ± 0.08 | 24.0 ± 1      | 2.7     | 1.61 ± 0.10     | 1.86          |
| B10       | 1261.94±23.97 | 0.044 (<0.123) | 25.17±1.15   | 2.80±0.07 | 1.73 ± 0.04     | 2.00          |
| S12       | 1251 ± 15  | 0.073 ± 0.049 | 23.6 ± 1.1   | 2.6     | 1.61 ± 0.12     | 1.86          |
| B13       | 1300 ± 15  | 0.125 ± 0.049 | 27.3 ± 1.3   | 2.8 ± 0.1 | 1.88 ± 0.09     | 2.17          |

**Note.** This is the planet’s mass assuming the dust disk and planet share the same inclination angle $i_{\text{disk}} = 60^\circ$ (Bonsor et al. 2013).
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