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SUMMARY: Laryngoceles are most frequently treated surgically, but if the patient’s airway is compromised by mass effect, general anesthesia may be risky. We describe a technique for percutaneous drainage of postoperative laryngoceles and the outcome in a small series of patients.

Technique

Patients were selected for CT-guided percutaneous drainage on the basis of a history of supraglottic laryngectomy, clinical presentation of a narrowed glottic airway, and evidence of bilateral laryngoceles on CT. We have performed this procedure on 3 such patients. The minimum dimension of the patients’ glottic airway before the procedure varied from 1 to 5 mm. All 3 patients were men, with ages ranging from 48 to 65 years. Time since surgery ranged from 3 to 25 months, and no patient had endoscopic evidence of residual tumor in the portions of the upper aerodigestive tract that were accessible endoscopically. All 3 patients tolerated the procedure well, with substantial reduction in the radiologic size of the laryngoceles, and in all cases, the patient was rendered an appropriate candidate for formal surgical treatment of the laryngoceles.

The patient is positioned supine in the CT scanner, and a 2% lidocaine solution is used for a local anesthetic. Given the risk of airway collapse, conscious sedation or other intravenous anesthetics or analgesics should be avoided. Under CT fluoroscopic guidance, a 14-ga Tuohy needle is inserted from an anterolateral approach, avoiding the neurovascular sheath. In our experience, no dilator or introducer is required. Compared with the smaller bore needles used for fine-needle aspiration, a larger bore needle is necessary because of the high viscosity of the entrapped mucus in the laryngoceles. After CT confirmation that the first laryngocele has been adequately reduced, the other side is drained in the same fashion. The mucus drained from the laryngoceles should undergo cytologic examination to exclude recurrence of malignancy.

An otolaryngologist (or other physician familiar with placement of an emergency airway) must be present in the CT suite throughout the procedure in case an emergent airway becomes necessary. Post-procedural endoscopic evaluation of the larynx was available in the event that symptoms failed to improve or worsened during the procedure, but this was not required for any of our patients, so endoscopic evaluation was deferred until the patient’s next scheduled clinical follow-up appointment.

Case Presentation

A 65-year-old man with squamous cell carcinoma of the larynx underwent definitive treatment with supraglottic laryngectomy with epiglottopexy. He presented 2 years after surgery with a high-pitched voice, progressive sleep apnea, and shortness of breath. Severe biphasic stridor was noted clinically. Contrast-enhanced neck CT showed symmetric cystic masses on the lateral sides of the remaining larynx, reducing the glottic airway to approximately 1 mm in diameter (Fig 1). The patient was considered by the anesthesiology team to be at very high risk of airway collapse during intubation, so the patient’s otolaryngologists were uneasy about traditional surgical treatment of the lesions.

An otolaryngologist was invited to join the radiology team in the CT suite, in case an emergent airway was needed; then the patient was
positioned in the CT scanner and given a local anesthetic. Initially, a 25-ga spinal needle was inserted into the left laryngocele via an anterolateral approach. No material could be aspirated, so progressively larger spinal needles were inserted, until a 14-ga Tuohy needle from a lumbar drain kit was needed, at which point 15 mL of thick yellow mucous was drained (Fig 2). (When the procedure was performed on other patients, we began with the 14-ga needle; it required no introducer or dilator.) After drainage of the first laryngocele, the patient had complete resolution of voice changes as well as shortness of breath and stridor, and in fact, the patient immediately fell asleep in the CT scanner due to chronic fatigue from sleep apnea. The rightsided lesion was then drained uneventfully. Radiologic follow-up 2 weeks after the procedure showed partial drainage of both laryngoceles, with an improved epiglottic and glottic airway (Fig 3).

The original plan for this patient called for definitive surgical treatment of the laryngoceles, but his clinical improvement was so dramatic that he refused immediate surgery. He was asymptomatic for 18 months after the procedure but then had recurrence of clinical symptoms. The patient is now considering whether to undergo repeat percutaneous drainage or definitive surgery.

**Discussion**

Laryngoceles are uncommon, with an estimated incidence of 1 per 2.5 million people per year. Laryngoceles are unilateral 85% of the time, so bilateral laryngoceles are an especially rare occurrence. There is a strong male preponderance, with rates in men 5–7 times greater than rates in women.

Laryngoceles are classified as internal, external, or mixed. Internal laryngoceles stay within the confines of the thyrohyoid membrane, while external laryngoceles extend beyond the cartilaginous boundaries of the larynx and maintain only a thin connection to their site of origin. Combined or mixed laryngoceles have substantial components both inside and outside the larynx.

The term laryngocele is generally used if the mass is air-filled or has an air-fluid level demonstrating an open communication with the laryngeal lumen. The term “saccular cyst” is used by some authors to refer to masses that are completely filled with fluid or mucous and thus presumably lack such a communication. Other authors use the term laryngocele regardless of the contents of the lesion.

The use of the term “laryngocele” in reference to patients who have undergone supraglottic laryngectomy is somewhat problematic, in that the upper surface of the laryngeal ventricle has been surgically removed. Thus, these lesions do not form in the same manner as most laryngoceles. Nevertheless, the radiographic appearance of the lesions and their histologic contents are the same as those of other laryngoceles, so we have continued the practice of applying this term. Some authors may prefer the more general term “mucocele,” but this term is also problematic because it is usually applied to enlarged air cells.

In our limited series, CT-guided percutaneous drainage of postoperative laryngoceles is a safe and effective procedure. On the basis of our experience, this procedure can act as a bridge to more definitive surgical treatment and safely secures an otherwise dangerously narrow airway, so that in the future,
direct laryngoscopy and general anesthesia can be administered with less risk of airway collapse. The procedure is also effective enough at relieving airway compression that it can stand alone as a treatment in patients in whom surgery would be unadvisable. However, we do not have enough clinical evidence and follow-up to speak to the rate of recurrence or whether percutaneous drainage will be effective as a sole treatment technique in the long term.

Another advantage of percutaneous drainage is that it acts as a diagnostic as well as a therapeutic procedure. The drained mucous can undergo cytologic analysis to test for recurrent malignancy, which is a concern in any patient with a history of laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma. In particular, there should be a high level of concern in patients who develop a laryngocele years after therapy and in those in whom a concomitant enhancing mass is seen on CT.

In conclusion, CT-guided percutaneous drainage of postoperative laryngoceles can be a safe and effective procedure, both as a definitive treatment and as a means to secure the patient’s airway before surgical excision, even in the setting of severe airway compromise.
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