Longitudinal study of occupational noise exposure and joint effects with job strain and risk for coronary heart disease and stroke in Swedish men
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ABSTRACT

Objectives The aims were to investigate whether occupational noise increased the risk for coronary heart disease (CHD) and stroke and to elucidate interactions with stressful working conditions in a cohort of Swedish men.

Design This is a prospective cohort study on CHD and stroke in Swedish men followed until death, hospital discharge or until 75 years of age, using Swedish national registers on cause of death and hospital discharges. Baseline data on occupation from 1974 to 1977 were used for classification of levels of occupational noise and job demand-control. Cox regression was used to analyse HRs for CHD and stroke.

Setting Swedish men born in 1915–1925.

Primary and secondary outcome measures CHD and stroke.

Participants The participants of the study were men from the Primary Prevention Study, a random sample of 10 000 men born in 1915–1925 in Gothenburg. Subjects with CHD or stroke at baseline or who were not employed were excluded. The remaining subjects with complete baseline data on occupation, weight, height, hypertension, diabetes, serum cholesterol and smoking constituted the study sample (5753 men).

Results There was an increased risk for CHD in relation to noise levels 75–85 dB(A) and >85 dB(A) compared with <75 dB(A) (HR 1.15, 95% CI 1.01 to 1.31, and HR 1.27, 95% CI 0.99 to 1.63, respectively). Exposure to noise peaks also increased the risk for CHD (HR 1.19, 95% CI 1.03 to 1.38). Among those with high strain (high demands and low control) combined with noise >75 dB(A), the risk for CHD further increased (HR 1.89, 95% CI 1.19 to 2.73). There was no significantly increased risk for stroke in any noise category.

Conclusions Exposure to occupational noise was associated with an increased risk for CHD and the risk further increased among those with concomitant exposure to high strain. None of the analysed variables were related to increased risk for stroke.

INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular diseases are common diseases in Sweden as in most countries. In 2016, 25 700 persons suffered from acute myocardial infarction and 25% of these died within 28 days.1 In the same year, stroke occurred in 26 500 persons, and of these 26% died within 28 days.2

Exposure to noise is frequent in many workplaces, and health effects especially hearing disorders have been investigated for decades.3 In addition to effects on hearing, there are studies indicating that occupational exposure to noise may increase the risk for cardiovascular diseases, such as hypertension, coronary heart disease and stroke.4–7 The evidence is, however, rather weak, especially on the association with stroke, where there is a conspicuous lack of prospective studies.8 Regarding coronary heart disease and occupational exposure to noise, the few available longitudinal studies seem to favour an association. In a Canadian study of 30 000 lumber mill workers, there was an increased risk of fatal acute myocardial infarction both in relation to the duration of employment and to noise levels.9 A Finnish study showed an increased risk for coronary heart disease in relation to continuous noise exposure exceeding 85 dB(A); impulse noise also showed an increased risk.10 There are few studies and there is conflicting evidence whether occupational exposure to noise increases the risk for
stroke. A Japanese study showed an increased HR for intracerebral bleeding but not for ischaemic stroke. A Danish study of more than 200,000 workers did not show an increased risk for stroke in relation to occupational noise exposure. In an Australian study of 2942 subjects, there was a significant association between the incidence of stroke and those exposed to very high levels of noise.

In a study from 2016 based on noise exposure and occurrence of stroke in the US general population, there was no statistically significant association between exposure to noise and stroke after adjusting for sociodemographics, lifestyle and comorbidity. The mechanisms regarding occupational noise exposure and risk for cardiovascular disease are not clear. Environmental noise and the mechanisms behind the increased risk for cardiovascular disease have been studied to a larger extent. Noise exposure activates the autonomic and endocrine systems, and the blood pressure increases, the heart rate is altered and stress hormones are released. Chronic noise exposure can affect blood pressure, blood glucose, blood lipids and viscosity, leading to an increased risk for cardiovascular disease.

Exposure to workplace stress is often evaluated according to the job demand-control model. Throughout the literature, high strain, the combination of high demands and low control, has been linked to ill-health, primarily coronary heart disease. A recent meta-analysis of 13 studies concluded that the association with coronary heart disease was rather small but consistent (HR 1.23). However, there seems to be an interaction between job strain and occupational noise.

The aims of the present study were to investigate whether occupational exposure to noise increased the risk for coronary heart disease and stroke, and to elucidate potential interactions with stressful psychosocial work conditions based on the job demand-control model in a longitudinal general population study.

METHODS

Study population

The Primary Prevention Study is a cohort study obtained from a general population sample, as previously described. The source population comprised 10,000 men, a random third of all men living in Gothenburg born between 1915 and 1925, of whom 7494 participated in screening examinations between January 1970 and March 1973. Three years later, 1974–1977, a clinical follow-up investigation was performed, where 7133 men participated. In the present study we used the follow-up data as our baseline since they include occupational data and information about age, body mass index (BMI) (BMI is the weight in kilograms divided by the square of the body height in metres), serum cholesterol level, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, physician-diagnosed diabetes (yes/no), physician-diagnosed hypertension (yes/no), coronary heart disease (yes/no) or stroke (yes/no), and smoking, as previously described.

Subjects with coronary heart disease or stroke at baseline (n=329) and subjects not employed (n=750) were excluded, leaving 6074. The remaining subjects with complete baseline data on occupation, weight, height, hypertension, diabetes, serum cholesterol and smoking constituted the study sample (n=5753), with an age range of 50–59 years (table 1). All participants gave their informed consent to participate in the study.

To assess occupational noise exposure, a previously developed job-exposure matrix (JEM) on noise was applied. The noise JEM is based on 145 measurement reports and a total of 569 measurements on 129 unique job families. It classifies 321 occupations based on the Nordisk yrkesklassificering (NYK) ~85/90 according to noise levels and peak levels. The noise JEM classification covers the period from 1970 to 2004 in 5-year intervals.

The noise levels were categorised into three different levels in the JEM: low, <75 dB(A); medium, 75–85 dB(A); and high, >85 dB(A). There was also an assessment of whether there was a high risk of peak level noise exposure and the categories: ‘Noise peaks likely’ (‘Yes, for sure’ combined with ‘Yes, probably’) and ‘Noise peaks maybe’ were compared with the category ‘Noise peaks unlikely’.

To assess the psychosocial workplace exposure, we used a previously published and used JEM. This psychosocial JEM provides separate estimates of job demand and control for 261 occupations separated into gender and age (25–44 and 45–74), as previously described. Job demands and decision latitude were explored with four items each, and all subjects were assigned a certain score based on occupation and age in this psychosocial JEM. Using the median of the distribution as cut-off, which is standard procedure, demand and control were dichotomised as high or low. The participants were then allocated into four categories: high strain (high demand-low control), active (high demand-high control), passive (low demand-low control) and low strain (low demand-high control).

Based on Sweden’s unique personal identification numbers, participants were followed from the date of their baseline examination until death, until hospital discharge or until 75 years of age, using the Swedish national register on cause of death and the Swedish hospital discharge register.

All discharges from Gothenburg hospitals have been entered into the national register since 1970, with the exception of 1976. The outcomes were classified according to the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-8) code until 1986, ICD-9 was used from 1987 to 1996, and ICD-10 was used from 1997 onwards. Coronary heart disease was defined as 410–414 (ICD-8, ICD-9) and as I20–I25 (ICD-10) from the death register and as acute myocardial infarction 410 and I21 from the discharge register, respectively. Stroke events, including both ischaemic stroke and intracerebral bleeding, were defined as death or hospitalisation with ICD codes 431–438 (ICD-8, ICD-9) and 161–169 (ICD-10). Each type of event was
treated separately and only the first event of each type was used in the analysis.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics are presented as percentages or mean values with SD. All analyses were performed using the SAS V.9.3 statistical package and R V.3.0.1. The material was analysed with Cox regression models using SAS (the PHREG procedure). The proportional hazards assumptions were investigated using tests and plots based on weighted residuals using the R package Survival. Proportional hazards assumptions were found reasonable except for the analysis of smoking and serum cholesterol, which we stratified for in the risk factor-adjusted models. Hospital care or mortality (whatever came first) from coronary heart disease or stroke was considered events, and time was measured as months since baseline. The observation period stopped at the age of 75. Analyses were also performed restricted to subjects younger than 65 years. In the crude models, HRs and 95% CI were calculated using the occupational noise exposure and age as explanatory variables. Tests for trend were performed by including the covariate as a continuous variable.

In the risk factor-adjusted models, we adjusted for ever-smoking (yes/no), cholesterol (quartiles), history of diabetes (yes/no), hypertension (yes/no) and BMI (<18.5, 25 to <30 and ≥30 compared with 18.5 to <25). The interaction between occupational noise exposure and high strain was analysed separately; here the noise exposure was aggregated into <75 and >75 dB(A) to gain power. The population was divided into two groups—subjects exposed to high strain versus others not exposed to high strain—and HRs were calculated. Wald test was used to test the interaction.

A sensitivity analysis was performed restricted to the subjects without hypertension and diabetes, potential mediators for coronary heart disease.

RESULTS

During the follow-up period of a total of 94,222 person-years (mean years per person: 16.4), there were 1004 events of coronary heart disease (table 2). The Cox regression models adjusted for age showed an increased HR for coronary heart disease in relation to medium levels (HR 1.15, 95% CI 1.01 to 1.31) and high levels (HR 1.27, 95% CI 0.99 to 1.63) of occupational noise exposure (table 2) and a positive trend.

Exposure to noise peaks also increased the risk for coronary heart disease (HR 1.19, 95% CI 1.03 to 1.38). In the risk factor-adjusted models, all estimates were slightly diminished, but on noise peaks the statistical significance remained. When the risk for coronary heart disease was restricted to subjects younger than 65 years, the risk estimates increased, but due to lack of power the CIs turned wider and included unity.

When analysing the cohort without the subjects with hypertension and diabetes at baseline (n=4400), the
HR for coronary heart disease was 1.20 (95% CI 1.03 to 1.41) in relation to a medium level of noise exposure and 1.49 (95% CI 1.11 to 1.99) in relation to a high level of noise exposure, and for the subjects with likely exposure to noise peaks the HR was 1.30 (95% CI 1.09 to 1.55).

In the follow-up period, there were 517 stroke events. There was no increased risk for stroke in any of the
exposure strata, medium levels, high levels or peaks of noise exposure (Table 2).

In Table 3, risk estimates for occupational noise exposure are outlined in the different groups of high strain and not high strain. Among those who were classified as having high strain (high demands and low control) and occupational noise exposure >75 dB(A), the risk for coronary heart disease further increased (HR 1.80, 95% CI 1.19 to 2.73, age-adjusted and risk factor-adjusted: HR 1.73, 95% CI 1.14 to 2.61). The interaction analyses on stroke were negative.

**DISCUSSION**

The present study suggests an increased risk for coronary heart disease in relation to both continuous noise and peaks of occupational exposure to noise; concomitant exposure to high strain further increased the risk of coronary heart disease. There was no increased risk for stroke in relation to occupational noise exposure.

This study has several advantages, such as its external validity because a general population sample with a long period of follow-up was available with near-complete follow-up. The use of a national mortality register and hospital discharge register with high coverage further increased the validity of the results.

However, there are also several limitations. On stroke outcome, the restricted number of cases and lack of CT during early follow-up did not allow for analyses of subtypes of stroke, so there may be a misclassification. Stroke comprises different subtypes such as ischaemic stroke and intracerebral bleeding, and sometimes also subarachnoidal bleeding is included in the stroke concept. All those clinical subtypes of stroke may be related to different risk factors. In a Japanese study comprising 14568 subjects, the HR for intracerebral bleeding was 2.1 (1.01 to 4.4) and the HR for ischaemic stroke was 1.7 (0.7 to 4.1). The noise levels were self-reported and the outcome was based on population registries.

There were no individual measurements of noise levels for the participants; instead the assigned exposure was estimated from average levels in similar workplaces using a previously developed noise JEM.
case–control study where the subjects’ occupational noise exposure was classified using the same JEM as in our study, there was an increased OR for myocardial infarction for occupational noise exceeding 75 dB(A), but with adjustments for age, sex, smoking, socioeconomic status and air pollution the risk decreased and became insignificant. The assessment of noise exposure and job strain based on job exposure matrices may display less bias than self-reports. But there may be a considerable non-differential misclassification of the exposure estimates causing attenuation of the risk estimates.

We did not have information on individual use of hearing protection, but most of the men in the cohort retired from work at the latest at the end of the 1980s, and in Sweden the use of hearing protection became commonly used first at that time. It is not likely that there has been a frequent use of hearing protection among the subjects. In addition, we did not have information on coexposures such as dust, fumes or residential noise. Of importance is also that the study comprises only men, which limits its external validity.

We had access to individual baseline data on smoking habits, BMI, diabetes, hypertension and cholesterol; this made it possible to have control of interactions and confounding. An 18-year follow-up of 6005 men from the Helsinki Heart Study, where they also had access to register-based outcome and individual data on smoking, BMI and blood pressure, showed an increased risk (1.48, 95% CI 1.28 to 1.71) of coronary heart disease in relation to continuous noise exposure exceeding 85 dB(A); exposure to impulse noise showed similar risk estimates.

We present risk factor-adjusted models, but we also present simple only age-adjusted models, since risk factors can be mediators. A sensitivity analysis was also performed, and a significantly increased risk of coronary heart disease remained when excluding the subjects with baseline hypertension and diabetes. We did not have socioeconomic status and adjusting for it could imply an overadjustment; the exposure was based on occupations, which usually comprise the socioeconomic position.

We used the job held at the age of 50 years, which probably in most cases reflects the longest held job. We also analysed the risk below 65 years and found higher risks in working age. This may reflect that the risk of coronary heart disease is dependent on current noise exposure and that the risk may decrease after termination of the work, as observed in a Canadian study where the highest risk was found among those who were currently working and had been employed 20 years or more, with relative risks between 2.0 and 4.0.

Our results showed an interaction between noise and high strain. However there are also reported interactions between job strain and lifestyle factors, such as smoking, being obese or reporting low physical activity. We have adjusted for smoking and BMI, but not physical activity, as we do not have this information.

Our results on coronary heart disease corroborate earlier studies, giving further evidence for a causal relation between occupational noise exposure and increased risk for coronary heart disease. We also support the findings by Selander et al. that high strain (high demand/low control) further increased the risk for coronary heart disease. The results from the present study indicate that exposure to occupational noise does not increase the risk for stroke, in accordance with previous studies. This could be due to power, suboptimal classification of the different subtypes of stroke or perhaps that stroke has a different pattern of occupational risk factors compared with coronary heart disease.

CONCLUSIONS

Exposure to occupational noise increased the risk of coronary heart disease. There was no increased risk for stroke in any of the noise exposure categories. There were indications of an interaction between noise exposure and work-related strain, and further studies are needed to elucidate patterns of interactions between different occupational risk factors.
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