Photosynthesis, Chlorophyll Fluorescence, and Yield of Peanut in Response to Biochar Application
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The effect of biochar application on photosynthetic traits and yield in peanut (Arachis hypogaea L.) is not well understood. A 2-year field experiment was conducted in Northwest Liaoning, China to evaluate the effect of biochar application [0, 10, 20, and 40 t ha⁻¹ (B0, B10, B20, and B40)] on leaf gas exchange parameters, chlorophyll fluorescence parameters, and yield of peanut. B10 improved photochemical quenching at flowering and pod set and reduced non-photochemical quenching at pod set, relative to B0. B10 and B20 increased actual photochemical efficiency and decreased regulated energy dissipated at pod set, relative to B0. B10 significantly increased net photosynthetic rate, transpiration rate, stomatal conductance, and water use efficiency at flowering and pod set, relative to B0. Compared with B0, B10 significantly improved peanut yield (14.6 and 13.7%) and kernel yield (20.2 and 14.4%). Biochar application increased leaf nitrogen content. B10 and B20 significantly increased plant nitrogen accumulation, as compared to B0. The net photosynthetic rate of peanut leaves had a linear correlation with plant nitrogen accumulation and peanut yield. The application of 10 t ha⁻¹ biochar produced the highest peanut yield by enhancing leaf photosynthetic capacity, and is thus a promising strategy for peanut production in Northwest Liaoning, China.
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INTRODUCTION

Peanut (Arachis hypogaea L.) is an annual legume crop. Global peanut consumption is increasing at a rate of around 3% per annum. China produces 40% of the world’s peanuts (FAOSTAT, 2018). Liaoning Province, is one of the main areas for peanut production in China and the primary export base of high-quality peanut. The Northwest Liaoning is a competitive producing area for peanut with a typical characteristic of sand and wind in semi-arid regions of Northeast China. However, peanut production in this area is limited by poor soil water and nutrient holding capacities, and water deficiency (Bai et al., 2014). Hence, the incorporation of plastic film mulching and supplemental irrigation have been studied as an extremely effective strategy with potential for decreasing soil evaporation, and enhancing crop growth, yield, and water use efficiency.
Experimental sites and materials

The field experiment was carried out at the Scientific Observation Experimental Station in Fuxin (42.11° N, 121.65° E), Liaoning Province, China, during the 2018 and 2019 growing seasons (May to October). This area with typical sand and wind conditions of semi-arid regions in Northeast China, has cold, dry winters and hot summers according to the Köppen-Geiger climate classification (Peel et al., 2007). Average annual rainfall is about 400 mm (60% from June to August), with average annual evaporation greater than 1,800 mm. Droughts are frequent. The daily weather data during the 2018 and 2019 peanut growing seasons are shown in Figure 1. The soil texture was sandy loam with pH 5.96, 1.44 g cm−3 bulk density, 19.5% (w/w) field capacity (FC), 0.62 g kg−1 total nitrogen, 142 mg kg−1 available potassium, and 18.1 mg kg−1 available phosphorus. The biochar was derived from maize straw pyrolyzed at 600°C with pH 8.14, 18.9%, carbon content, 0.58% nitrogen content, 4.76 g kg−1 available potassium, and 0.33 g kg−1 available phosphorus.

Experimental Design, Establishment and Maintenance

The experiment was a randomized complete block design comprising four biochar application rates (0, 10, 20, and 40 t ha−1; B0, B10, B20, and B40) and three replicates (plots). Supplemental irrigation via a plastic mulched drip system was applied during the flowering and pod setting stages when peanut growth is more sensitive to water deficit than other stages. The field was irrigated up to 90% FC when the soil moisture content dropped to ≤55% FC. The biochar was fully mixed with the upper 0–20 cm soil layer by rotary before sowing in 2018. No additional biochar was applied in the second year. Basal fertilizers were applied at the rate of 50 kg ha−1 N, 170 kg ha−1 P2O5, and 156 kg ha−1 K2O.

Peanut cultivar Baisha 1016 origing in Guangdong Province was sown on 16 May 2018 and 19 May 2019 and harvested on 21 September 2018 and 23 September 2019. A trapezoidal ridge with a width of 0.7 m was formed by plough. Two rows were sown on the ridge of each hill (167,000 hills ha−1). The ridges were covered with white plastic film (0.008 mm thick) immediately after sowing. Each plot was 1 × 7.5 m². Groundwater was used, with the irrigation amount determined by monitoring the volumetric water meter equipped in each plot. Other field management, including weeds, insects, and diseases control, were in line with local farmer practices.

Sampling and Measurements

Chlorophyll Fluorescence Parameters

Chlorophyll fluorescence parameters of peanut were measured using the LI-6800 (LI-COR, Lincoln, NE, United States) photosynthesis measurement system with multiphase flash fluorescence (6800–01) at flowering (19 July 2018 and 16 July 2019) and pod set (8 August 2018 and 9 August 2019) on clear and cloudless days. To avoid influence of the changes in CO2 concentration in the air, the CO2 inlet of the instrument was connected to a CO2 cartridge (400 μmol mol−1). The third fully expanded leaf on the main stem were wrapped in aluminum foil. After remaining in complete darkness overnight, we measured minimal fluorescence yield (F0) using a measuring light (0.005 μmol m−2 s−1). Maximal fluorescence yield (Fm) was measured using a 1 s saturating pulse at 8,000 μmol m−2 s−1 in dark-adapted leaves. The leaves were continuously illuminated for 20 min with an actinic light (1,400 μmol m−2 s−1) to record the steady-state yield of fluorescence (Fv). Maximal light-adapted
fluorescence yield ($F_m'$) was determined by 8,000 $\mu$mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. The actinic light was turned off, and minimal fluorescence yield ($F_o'$) in light-adapted state was determined after 5 s of far-red illumination. The difference between the measured values of $F_m$ and $F_o$ is the variable fluorescence ($F_v$). The chlorophyll fluorescence parameters were calculated using the following formulas (Kooten and Snel, 1990; Maxwell and Johnson, 2000; Kramer et al., 2004):

\[
\frac{F_v}{F_m} = \frac{(F_m - F_o)}{F_m}
\]

\[
\Phi_{PSII} = \frac{(F_m' - F_s)}{F_m'}
\]

\[
\Phi_{NPQ} = \frac{F_s}{F_m'} - \frac{F_s}{F_m}
\]

\[
\Phi_{NO} = \frac{F_s}{F_m}
\]

\[
q_P = \frac{(F_m' - F_s)}{(F_m' - F_o')}
\]

\[
NPQ = \frac{F_m}{F_m'} - 1
\]

where $F_v/F_m$ is maximal photochemical efficiency of photosystem II (PSII), $\Phi_{PSII}$ is actual photochemical efficiency of PSII, $\Phi_{NPQ}$ is quantum yield for energy dissipated via $\Delta pH$ and xanthophyll-regulated processes, $\Phi_{NO}$ is quantum yield of non-regulated energy dissipated in PSII, and $q_P$ and NPQ are photochemical and non-photochemical quenching, respectively.

**Gas Exchange Parameters, Leaf Nitrogen Content and Plant Nitrogen Accumulation**

Gas exchange parameters were measured on the same dates and same leaves as those for chlorophyll fluorescence parameters measurements. Net photosynthesis rate ($P_n$), transpiration rate ($T_r$), stomatal conductance ($G_s$), intercellular CO$_2$ concentration ($C_i$), and ambient CO$_2$ concentration ($C_a$) were measured with LI-6800 (LI-COR, Lincoln, NE, United States) photosynthesis measurement system. The stomatal limitation value ($L_s$) was calculated as $1-C_i/C_a$, and WUE was calculated as $P_n/T_r$ (Fang et al., 2018).

After the determination of gas exchange parameters, the third fully expanded leaf on the main stem of 20 plants in each pot was collected. Plant samples were collected at flowering and pod set, and were separated into various parts: roots, stems, leaves, and pods. All the samples were oven-dried at 105°C for 30 min and then at 80°C to constant weight. After
Yielding, these samples were ground into powder for measuring nitrogen content. The full-automatic KjelFlex K-360 analyzer (BUCHIK, Switzerland) was used to determine nitrogen content. Plant nitrogen accumulation was calculated by multiplying total nitrogen concentration in roots, stems, leaves, and pods with respective dry matter at flowering and pod set stages.

Yield and Yield Components
Peanuts were harvested from 1 m² in the center of each plot. The pods were air-dried for about 1 week before being measured for peanut yield, kernel yield, 100-pod weight, and 100-kernel weight (Tan et al., 2018). The shelling percentage was calculated as (kernel weight/pod weight) × 100% (Luo et al., 2017).

Statistical Analysis
SPSS 19.0 statistic software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, United States) was used to perform the statistical analysis. Year and biochar application were assumed to be fixed factor and the replicates were assumed to be random factors. Error bars in the figures represent standard errors of the mean. Least significant differences were used to separate treatment means at the 5% probability level. Regression analysis was used to evaluate the relationships between leaf nitrogen content and net photosynthetic rate, net photosynthetic rate and peanut yield. The responses of chlorophyll fluorescence parameters, gas exchange parameters, leaf nitrogen content, yield, and yield components to biochar application were further analyzed with the principal component analysis in R studio version 1.1.442 using the Factoextra package (Kassambara, 2015).

RESULTS
Chlorophyll Fluorescence Parameters
Year, biochar application, and Y × B interaction had no significant effects on Fv/Fm at flowering or pod set (Table 1 and Figures 2A,G). Biochar application had a significant effect on ΦPSII at flowering and pod set, but there were no significant differences for year or Y × B interaction (Table 1). B10 increased ΦPSII at flowering by 7.1 in 2018 and 8.8% in 2019, relative to B0 (Figure 2B). At pod set, B10 increased ΦPSII by 13.0 in 2018 and 14.9% in 2019, and B20 increased ΦPSII by 13.0 in 2018 and 12.8% in 2019, relative to B0 (Figure 2H). Among the four biochar treatments, B10 had the highest ΦPSII values at flowering and pod set each year.

Biochar application had a significant effect on ΦNPQ at pod set but not at flowering (Table 1 and Figures 2C,I). Year and Y × B interaction had no significant effect on ΦNPQ at flowering or pod set. There were no significant effects of biochar application, year, or Y × B interaction on ΦNO at flowering or pod set (Table 1 and Figures 2D,J). At pod set, ΦNPQ decreased with increasing biochar application rate to B10 and then increased. B10 and B20 decreased ΦNPQ by 30.0 and 26.7% in 2018, and 27.6 and 24.1% in 2019, respectively, as compared to B0.

Biochar application had a significant effect on qP at flowering and pod set, but there were no significant differences for year or Y × B interaction (Table 1). At flowering, B10 enhanced qP by 11.7 and 7.6% in 2018 and 2019, respectively, relative to B0 (Figure 2E). At pod set, B10, B20, and B40 enhanced qP by 8.7, 7.2, and 5.8% in 2018, respectively, as compared to B0, but there were no significant differences between these treatments (Figure 2K). In 2019, B10 enhanced qP by 10.3%, relative to B0. Biochar application had a significant effect on NPQ at pod set but not at flowering. No significant differences were observed for year or Y × B interaction of NPQ at flowering or pod set (Table 1 and Figure 2F). At pod set, B10 and B20 decreased NPQ by up to 31.1% in 2018 and B10 decreased NPQ by 27.4% in 2019, as compared to B0 (Figure 2L).

Gas Exchange Parameters and Leaf Nitrogen Content
Biochar application had a significant effect on Pn at flowering and pod set, but there were no significant effects for year or Y × B interaction (Table 1). B10 increased Pn at flowering by 16.1% in 2018, relative to B0 (Figure 3A). B10 and B20 increased Pn at

| Table 1 | Leaf chlorophyll fluorescence parameters and gas exchange parameters at the flowering and pod set in peanut with four rates of biochar in the 2018 and 2019 growing seasons. |
|---------|----------------------------------|
|         | Flowering | Pod set |
|         | Fv/Fm | ΦPSII | ΦNPQ | ΦNO | qP | NPQ | Fv/Fm | ΦPSII | ΦNPQ | ΦNO | qP | NPQ |
| ANOVA   |        |       |      |      |    |     |       |       |      |      |    |     |
| Y       | ns     | ns    | ns   | ns   | ns | ns  | ns     | ns    | ns   | ns   | ns | ns  |
| B       | **     | ns    | ns   | ns   | ** | ns  | ns     | ns    | ns   | ns   | ** | ns  |
| Y × B   | ns     | ns    | ns   | ns   | ns | ns  | ns     | ns    | ns   | ns   | ns | ns  |
| Pn      |        |       |      |      |    |     |       |       |      |      |    |     |
| ANOVA   |        |       |      |      |    |     |       |       |      |      |    |     |
| Y       | ns     | ns    | ns   | ns   | ns | ns  | ns     | ns    | ns   | ns   | ns | ns  |
| B       | **     | **    | ns   | ns   | ** | ns  | **     | **    | **   | **   | ** | **  |
| Y × B   | ns     | ns    | ns   | ns   | ns | ns  | ns     | ns    | ns   | ns   | ns | ns  |
| Tr      |        |       |      |      |    |     |       |       |      |      |    |     |
| ANOVA   |        |       |      |      |    |     |       |       |      |      |    |     |
| Y       | ns     | ns    | ns   | ns   | ns | ns  | ns     | ns    | ns   | ns   | ns | ns  |
| B       | **     | **    | ns   | ns   | ** | ns  | **     | **    | **   | **   | ** | **  |
| Y × B   | ns     | ns    | ns   | ns   | ns | ns  | ns     | ns    | ns   | ns   | ns | ns  |
| Gs      |        |       |      |      |    |     |       |       |      |      |    |     |
| ANOVA   |        |       |      |      |    |     |       |       |      |      |    |     |
| Y       | ns     | ns    | ns   | ns   | ns | ns  | ns     | ns    | ns   | ns   | ns | ns  |
| B       | **     | **    | ns   | ns   | ** | ns  | **     | **    | **   | **   | ** | **  |
| Y × B   | ns     | ns    | ns   | ns   | ns | ns  | ns     | ns    | ns   | ns   | ns | ns  |
| Ls      |        |       |      |      |    |     |       |       |      |      |    |     |
| ANOVA   |        |       |      |      |    |     |       |       |      |      |    |     |
| Y       | ns     | ns    | ns   | ns   | ns | ns  | ns     | ns    | ns   | ns   | ns | ns  |
| B       | **     | **    | ns   | ns   | ** | ns  | **     | **    | **   | **   | ** | **  |
| Y × B   | ns     | ns    | ns   | ns   | ns | ns  | ns     | ns    | ns   | ns   | ns | ns  |
| WUE     |        |       |      |      |    |     |       |       |      |      |    |     |
| ANOVA   |        |       |      |      |    |     |       |       |      |      |    |     |
| Y       | ns     | ns    | ns   | ns   | ns | ns  | ns     | ns    | ns   | ns   | ns | ns  |
| B       | **     | **    | ns   | ns   | ** | ns  | **     | **    | **   | **   | ** | **  |
| Y × B   | ns     | ns    | ns   | ns   | ns | ns  | ns     | ns    | ns   | ns   | ns | ns  |
| LNC     |        |       |      |      |    |     |       |       |      |      |    |     |
| ANOVA   |        |       |      |      |    |     |       |       |      |      |    |     |
| Y       | ns     | ns    | ns   | ns   | ns | ns  | ns     | ns    | ns   | ns   | ns | ns  |
| B       | **     | **    | ns   | ns   | ** | ns  | **     | **    | **   | **   | ** | **  |
| Y × B   | ns     | ns    | ns   | ns   | ns | ns  | ns     | ns    | ns   | ns   | ns | ns  |

Y and B represent year and biochar application, respectively. Fv/Fm, maximal efficiency of PSII photochemistry after dark adaptation; ΦPSII, actual efficiency of PSII photochemistry after light adaptation; ΦNPQ, quantum yield for energy dissipated via ΔpH and xanthophyll-regulated processes; ΦNO, quantum yield of non-regulated energy loss in PSII; qP, photochemical quenching; NPQ, non-photochemical quenching; Pn, net photosynthetic rate; Tr, transpiration rate; Gs, stomatal conductance; Ls, stomatal limitation; WUE, water-use efficiency; and LNC, leaf nitrogen content. ** denote significance at the 0.01 probability level. ns denotes non-significance.
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FIGURE 2 | Chlorophyll fluorescence parameters at the flowering (A–F) and pod set (G–L) in peanut with four rates of biochar in the 2018 and 2019 growing seasons. Fv/Fm, maximal efficiency of PSII photochemistry after dark adaptation; ΦPSII, actual efficiency of PSII photochemistry after light adaptation; ΦNO, quantum yield for energy dissipated via ΔpH and xanthophyll-regulated processes; ΦNO, quantum yield of non-regulated energy loss in PSII; qP, photochemical quenching; and NPQ, non-photochemical quenching. B0, B10, B20, and B40 represent biochar application rates at 0, 10, 20, and 40 t ha$^{-1}$, respectively. For each parameter in each year, mean data with different letters denote significant difference among treatments at $P < 0.05$. 
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**FIGURE 3** | Gas exchange parameters at flowering (A–F) and pod set (G–L) in peanut applied with four biochar rates in 2018 and 2019 growing seasons. Y and B represent year and biochar application, respectively. $P_n$, net photosynthetic rate; $T_r$, transpiration rate; $G_s$, stomatal conductance; $L_s$, stomatal limitation; WUE, water-use efficiency; and LNC, leaf nitrogen content. B0, B10, B20, and B40 represent biochar application rates of 0, 10, 20, and 40 t ha$^{-1}$, respectively. For each parameter in each year, mean data with different letters denote significant differences among treatments at $P < 0.05$. 
flowering by up to 16.7% in 2019, as compared to B0. At pod set, B10 increased P_n by 19.6% in 2018 and 25.8% in 2019, relative to B0 (Figure 3G). B10 had the highest P_n at flowering and pod set in both years.

Application of biochar had significant effect on T_s at flowering and pod set. There were no significant effects of year or Y × B interaction on T_s during these two stages (Table 1). Compared with B0, B10 increased T_s at flowering by 6.1% in 2018. B10 and B20 increased T_s at flowering by up to 6.1% in 2019, relative to B0 (Figure 3B). B10 increased P_n at pod set by 12.5% in 2018 and 17.5% in 2019, relative to B0 (Figure 3H). Among the four biochar treatments, B10 had the highest T_s at flowering and pod set in both years.

The G_s was significantly affected by biochar application at both flowering and pod set stages. No significant differences in year or Y × B interaction of G_s were observed at both stages (Table 1). At flowering, B10 and B20 increased G_s by up to 20.8% in 2018 and 21.6% in 2019, relative to B0 (Figure 3C). B10 increased G_s at pod set by 19.2% in 2018 and 23.6% in 2019, as compared to B0 (Figure 3I). Among the four biochar treatments, B10 had the highest G_s at flowering and pod set in both years.

Application of biochar had a significant effect on L_s at flowering and pod set. There were no significant differences in year or Y × B interaction of L_s were observed at both stages (Table 1). At flowering, B10 and B20 increased L_s by flowering by 17.5% in 2018 and 18.6% in 2019, and at pod set by 23.8% in 2018 and 25.8% in 2019 (Figures 3D,J). The highest value of L_s at flowering and pod set in both years were appeared in B10.

Biochar application significantly affected WUE at flowering and pod set, but there were no significant effects for year or Y × B interaction (Table 1). At flowering, B10 and B20 increased WUE by up to 9.4% in 2018, as compared to B0 (Figure 3E). B10 increased WUE by 10.0% in 2019, as compared to B0. At pod set, B10 increased WUE by 6.3% in 2018 and 7.1% in 2019, relative to B0 (Figure 3K). B10 had the highest mean value of WUE at flowering and pod set in both years.

The LNC was significantly affected by biochar application at flowering and pod set. No significant differences in year or Y × B interaction were observed (Table 1). At flowering and pod set, with increasing biochar application rates, LNC increased to B10 and then decreased (Figures 3E,L). Among the four biochar treatments, B10 had the highest LNC at flowering and pod set in both years.

### Nitrogen Accumulation and Distribution

The effects of biochar application on root, stem, leaf, and total nitrogen accumulation were significant at flowering, but there were no significant effects for year or Y × B interaction (Table 2). B10 and B20 improved total nitrogen accumulation by 22.5 and 18.6% in 2018, 24.6 and 23.6% in 2019, relative to B0. Compared with B0, B10 and B20 improved root nitrogen accumulation by up to 25.6% in 2018 and 30.8% in 2019. The stem nitrogen accumulation in B10 improved by 21.6% in 2018, relative to B0. B10 and B20 improved stem nitrogen accumulation by up to 28.5% in 2019, as compared to B0. B10 improved leaf nitrogen accumulation by 26.4% in 2018 and 29.0% in 2019.

The root, stem, leaf, pod and total nitrogen accumulation were significantly affected by biochar application at pod set. No significant differences in year or Y × B interaction of root, stem, leaf, pod, and total nitrogen accumulation were observed at pod set (Table 3). The total nitrogen accumulation for B10 and B20 were higher than that of B0 by 25.0 and 15.3% in 2018, 23.7 and 20.3% in 2019, respectively, as compared to B0. B10 improved root nitrogen accumulation by 30.4% in 2018, relative to B0. Compared with B0, B10, and B20 improved root nitrogen accumulation by up to 30.0% in 2019. The stem nitrogen accumulation for B10 was 21.0% in 2018 and 17.8% in 2019 higher than that of B0. B10 and B20 improved leaf nitrogen accumulation by up to 24.0% in 2018 and 24.3% in 2019.

| Year | Treatment | Total kg ha⁻¹ | Root | Stem | Leaf | Pod |
|------|-----------|---------------|------|------|------|-----|
|      |           | kg ha⁻¹ | %    | kg ha⁻¹ | %    | kg ha⁻¹ | %    | kg ha⁻¹ | %    |
| 2018 | B0        | 64.9 ± 5.12b | 2.89 ± 0.27c | 4.47 | 18.5 ± 1.10b | 28.5 | 36.7 ± 3.37b | 56.5 | 6.84 ± 0.74a | 10.5 |
|      | B10       | 79.5 ± 2.11a | 3.63 ± 0.28a | 4.57 | 22.5 ± 0.87a | 28.4 | 46.4 ± 2.36a | 58.4 | 6.86 ± 0.91a | 8.65 |
|      | B20       | 77.0 ± 1.75a | 3.61 ± 0.17a | 4.69 | 21.9 ± 1.81ab | 28.4 | 44.5 ± 1.64ab | 57.8 | 6.99 ± 0.73a | 9.09 |
|      | B40       | 70.4 ± 2.94ab | 2.93 ± 0.20b | 4.16 | 20.7 ± 1.12ab | 29.4 | 39.7 ± 1.16ab | 56.5 | 7.00 ± 0.92a | 9.93 |
| 2019 | B0        | 61.9 ± 2.54b | 2.73 ± 0.26b | 4.43 | 17.2 ± 0.90b | 27.8 | 35.2 ± 1.56c | 56.9 | 6.78 ± 0.31a | 11.0 |
|      | B10       | 77.1 ± 6.54a | 3.51 ± 0.34a | 4.56 | 21.4 ± 1.56a | 27.8 | 45.4 ± 5.39a | 58.8 | 6.81 ± 0.64a | 8.90 |
|      | B20       | 76.5 ± 3.88a | 3.57 ± 0.48a | 4.68 | 22.1 ± 1.64a | 28.9 | 44.0 ± 4.02ab | 57.5 | 6.82 ± 0.70a | 8.95 |
|      | B40       | 69.4 ± 1.05ab | 3.02 ± 0.27b | 4.35 | 19.2 ± 1.80ab | 27.6 | 40.4 ± 1.44abc | 58.2 | 6.81 ± 0.35a | 9.82 |

ANOVA

Y and B represent year and biochar application, respectively. B0, B10, B20, and B40 represent biochar application rates at 0, 10, 20, and 40 t ha⁻¹, respectively. For each parameter in each year, mean data with different letters denote significant difference among treatments at P < 0.05. **denote significance at the 0.01 probability level. ns denotes non-significance.
TABLE 3 | Nitrogen accumulation and distribution at the pod set in peanut with four rates of biochar in the 2018 and 2019 growing seasons.

| Year | Treatment | Total kg ha\(^{-1}\) | Root kg ha\(^{-1}\) | % | Stem kg ha\(^{-1}\) | % | Leaf kg ha\(^{-1}\) | % | Pod kg ha\(^{-1}\) | % |
|------|-----------|-----------------------|-------------------|---|-----------------|---|-----------------|---|-----------------|---|
| 2018 | B0        | 124 ± 2.8b            | 3.16 ± 0.28b      | 2.54 | 27.1 ± 1.53b  | 21.8 | 36.6 ± 1.72b  | 29.4 | 57.5 ± 0.92b  | 46.2 |
|      | B10       | 155 ± 7.6a            | 4.12 ± 0.25a      | 2.66 | 32.8 ± 1.04a  | 21.1 | 45.4 ± 2.40a  | 29.3 | 73.0 ± 4.75a  | 47.0 |
|      | B20       | 143 ± 8.3a            | 3.89 ± 0.18ab     | 2.73 | 30.8 ± 1.25ab | 21.6 | 44.0 ± 2.57a  | 30.8 | 64.2 ± 5.87ab | 44.8 |
|      | B40       | 130 ± 2.0b            | 3.35 ± 0.19b      | 2.58 | 28.4 ± 1.18b  | 21.9 | 38.4 ± 2.10b  | 29.6 | 59.5 ± 3.15b  | 45.9 |
| 2019 | B0        | 118 ± 6.0b            | 3.00 ± 0.18b      | 2.55 | 25.9 ± 0.83b  | 22.0 | 35.0 ± 2.96b  | 29.7 | 54.0 ± 3.68b  | 45.8 |
|      | B10       | 146 ± 2.2a            | 3.90 ± 0.26a      | 2.67 | 30.5 ± 1.87a  | 20.9 | 43.5 ± 1.17a  | 29.8 | 68.2 ± 2.65a  | 46.7 |
|      | B20       | 142 ± 8.4a            | 3.75 ± 0.28a      | 2.65 | 30.0 ± 2.07ab | 21.1 | 43.2 ± 2.78a  | 30.4 | 65.1 ± 3.79a  | 45.8 |
|      | B40       | 129 ± 3.5b            | 3.46 ± 0.10ab     | 2.69 | 28.0 ± 1.90ab | 21.8 | 38.8 ± 3.70ab | 30.0 | 58.7 ± 1.28ab | 45.6 |

ANOVA

Y and B represent year and biochar application, respectively. B0, B10, B20, and B40 represent biochar application rates at 0, 10, 20, and 40 t ha\(^{-1}\), respectively. For each parameter in each year, mean data with different letters denote significant difference among treatments at P < 0.05. ** and * denote significance at the 0.01 and 0.05 probability levels, respectively. ns denotes non-significance.

TABLE 4 | Yield and yield components of peanut applied with four rates of biochar in the 2018 and 2019 growing seasons.

| Year | Treatment | Yield (t ha\(^{-1}\)) | Kernel yield (t ha\(^{-1}\)) | 100-pod weight (g) | 100-kernel weight (g) | Shelling percentage (%) |
|------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| 2018 | B0        | 5.68 ± 0.17b          | 3.91 ± 0.14b                | 198 ± 7.8a         | 86 ± 3.0a             | 68.8 ± 0.91b           |
|      | B10       | 6.51 ± 0.14a          | 4.70 ± 0.13a                | 200 ± 6.4a         | 87 ± 2.7a             | 73.3 ± 0.62a           |
|      | B20       | 6.29 ± 0.19a          | 4.30 ± 0.17ab               | 196 ± 3.7a         | 86 ± 2.7a             | 68.4 ± 0.58b           |
|      | B40       | 5.69 ± 0.18b          | 3.95 ± 0.15b                | 194 ± 8.1a         | 85 ± 1.5a             | 69.4 ± 0.72b           |
| 2019 | B0        | 5.48 ± 0.22b          | 3.76 ± 0.15bc               | 187 ± 5.2a         | 78 ± 2.4a             | 68.6 ± 0.35ab          |
|      | B10       | 6.23 ± 0.10a          | 4.30 ± 0.13a                | 190 ± 10.1a        | 80 ± 2.8a             | 69.1 ± 1.00a           |
|      | B20       | 6.10 ± 0.10a          | 4.06 ± 0.11ab               | 190 ± 10.6a        | 82 ± 3.7a             | 66.6 ± 0.92bc          |
|      | B40       | 5.49 ± 0.17b          | 3.60 ± 0.12c                | 179 ± 7.5a         | 77 ± 5.6a             | 65.6 ± 0.65c           |

ANOVA

Y and B represent year and biochar application, respectively. B0, B10, B20, and B40 represent biochar application rates of 0, 10, 20, and 40 t ha\(^{-1}\), respectively. For each parameter in each year, mean data with different letters denote significant differences among treatments at P < 0.05. ** and * denote significance at the 0.01 and 0.05 probability levels, respectively. ns denotes non-significance.

2019, relative to B0. Compared with B0, B10 improved pod nitrogen accumulation 27.0% in 2018, B10 and B20 improved pod nitrogen accumulation by 26.3% and 20.6% in 2019, as compared to B0.

Yield and Yield Components

Peanut yield, kernel yield and shelling percentage were significantly affected by year and biochar application (Table 4). There was a significant Y × B interaction for shelling percentage, but not for peanut yield or kernel yield. B10 and B20 increased peanut yield by 14.6 and 10.7% in 2018, 13.7 and 11.3% in 2019, respectively, relative to B0. B10 increased kernel yield by 20.2% in 2018 and 14.4% in 2019, relative to B0. B10 and B20 had similar shelling percentages to B0, while B40 had 4.4% lower shelling percentage than B0. Among the four biochar treatments, B10 had the highest peanut yield in both years. No significant differences occurred between years, biochar application, or Y × B interaction for 100-pod weight or 100-kernel weight.

Relationship Between Net Photosynthetic Rate, Leaf Nitrogen Content, and Peanut Yield

The regression analysis indicated that P\(_n\) had a significant linear correlation with plant nitrogen accumulation at flowering and pod set in 2018 and 2019 (Figures 4A,B). Plant nitrogen accumulation explained 57.1 and 59.5% of the variation in P\(_n\) at flowering and pod set in 2018, and 60.2 and 70.3% in 2019, respectively. Positive correlations occurred between P\(_n\) at flower and pod set and peanut yield in both years (Figures 4C,D), explaining 74.3 and 86.7% of the variation in peanut yield in 2018, and 71.5 and 85.3% in 2019, respectively.

PCA Analysis for Yield and Photosynthetic Traits of Peanut

The PCA results show that PC1 and PC2 explain 95.9% of the variation in functional traits (Table 5). PC1 explains 83.6% of
FIGURE 4 | Relationship between plant nitrogen accumulation and photosynthetic rate, and yield and photosynthetic rate at flowering (A,C) and pod set (B,D) in peanut applied with four rates of biochar in the 2018 and 2019 growing seasons. B0, B10, B20, and B40 represent biochar application rates at 0, 10, 20, and 40 t ha⁻¹, respectively. Pn, net photosynthetic rate; **represents significant correlations at the P < 0.01 level.

the variability, and accounted mainly for chlorophyll fluorescence parameters (Fv/Fm, ΦPSII, ΦNPQ, ΦNO, qP, and NPQ), gas exchange parameters (Pn, Tr, Gs, Ls, and WUE), LNC, plant nitrogen accumulation, yield and yield components (kernel yield, 100-pod weight, and 100-kernel weight; Figure 5). PC2 explains 12.3% of the variability and accounts for shelling percentage. The loadings for qP, ΦPSII, gas exchange parameters, LNC, plant nitrogen accumulation, yield, and yield components are in quadrant I and IV, and ΦNPQ, ΦNO, and NPQ are in quadrants II and III, and ΦNPQ, ΦNO, and NPQ represent limitations in photosynthetic capacity. ΦPSII, ΦNPQ, and ΦNO are distributed in different quadrants, indicating compensation effects of photochemical efficiency for dissipation by regulated and non-regulated energy losses. B10 and B20 are located in quadrants I and IV, which have a significant effect on peanut productivity, while B40 and B0 are in quadrant II and III, where absorbed light energy is lost by heat dissipation. The loading arrow of B10 is longer than that of B20. Thus, B10 in quadrant IV is an appropriate biochar application rate for relatively high photosynthetic capacity and peanut productivity.

DISCUSSION

Effect of Biochar on Gas Exchange Parameters of Peanut

Peanut is a C3 crop with high potential for photosynthetic capacity. Therefore, exploring the photosynthetic capacity of peanut is an effective method for improving its productivity (Zelitch, 1982). Some studies have shown that biochar might improve the photosynthetic capacity of crop leaves (Rehman et al., 2016, 2019; Abbas et al., 2017). Biochar application improved leaf photosynthetic rate, which was due to the amelioration of soil physicochemical properties that ultimately increased nitrogen accumulation, and consequently enhanced photosynthetic rate (Liu et al., 2018; Huang et al., 2019; He...
dry matter production may have decreased leaf nitrogen due to reduction at pod set (reproductive growth), with more nitrogen increase in LNC at pod set was modest and may be due to flowering (vegetative growth) by up to 6.6%. The significant with LNC (Evans, 1989). In this study, B10 improved LNC at

The quantum yield of non-regulated energy loss in PSII; qP, photochemical quenching; NPQ, non-photochemical quenching; Pn, net photosynthetic rate; Tr, transpiration rate; Go, stomatal conductance; Ls, stomatal limitation; and WUE, water use efficiency. For each parameter, the largest variable loading scores in the two components are in bold.

did be associated with the increased soil water holding capacity, which might be resulting from the porous physical structure of biochar (Laghari et al., 2015; He et al., 2020). Additionally, some evidences suggested that biochar benefited root morphological development, including increased root volume, surface area and root density, to acquire more nutrients and water for enhancing photosynthesis (Bruun et al., 2014; Xiang et al., 2017). In fact, our study observed that 10 t ha⁻¹ biochar promoted root morphology of peanut (Xia et al., 2021b). Hence, 10 t ha⁻¹ biochar improved the nitrogen accumulation and photosynthetic rate, and consequently peanut yield.

**Effect of Biochar on Chlorophyll Fluorescence Parameters of Peanut**

Chlorophyll fluorescence is an important photosynthetic parameter that reflects the absorption and utilization of light energy in PSII. \( F_v/F_m \) represents the conversion efficiency of primary light energy in the PSII reaction center. Decreases in \( F_v/F_m \) are often observed when plants are exposed to abiotic and biotic stresses in the light (Baker, 2008). In our study, \( F_v/F_m \) did

![FIGURE 3](Image)

**FIGURE 3** | Principal component analyses of chlorophyll fluorescence parameters, gas exchange parameters, leaf nitrogen content, yield, and yield components of peanut in response to four biochar application rates. Means for flowering and pod set are for 2 years. \( F_v/F_m \), maximal efficiency of PSII photochemistry after dark adaptation; \( \Phi_{PSII} \), actual efficiency of PSII photochemistry after light adaptation; \( \Phi_{NPQ} \), quantum yield for energy dissipated via \( \Delta \) pH and xanthophyll-regulated processes; \( \Phi_{NO} \). The quantum yield of non-regulated energy loss in PSII; \( qP \), photochemical quenching; NPQ, non-photochemical quenching; \( P_n \), net photosynthetic rate; \( T_r \), transpiration rate; \( G_o \), stomatal conductance; \( L_s \), stomatal limitation; and WUE, water use efficiency; and LNC, leaf nitrogen content; B0, B10, B20, and B40 represent biochar application rates of 0, 10, 20, and 40 t ha⁻¹, respectively.

| Traits | PC1 | PC2 |
|--------|-----|-----|
| Chlorophyll fluorescence parameters | | |
| \( F_v/F_m \) | 0.95 | -0.26 |
| \( \Phi_{PSII} \) | 0.99 | -0.02 |
| \( \Phi_{NPQ} \) | -0.97 | -0.17 |
| \( \Phi_{NO} \) | -0.81 | 0.56 |
| \( qP \) | 0.82 | 0.52 |
| NPQ | -0.90 | -0.38 |
| Gas exchange parameters, leaf nitrogen content and plant nitrogen accumulation | | |
| \( P_n \) | 0.99 | 0.06 |
| \( T_r \) | 0.99 | 0.03 |
| \( G_o \) | 0.98 | 0.15 |
| \( L_s \) | 0.99 | 0.04 |
| WUE | 0.99 | 0.13 |
| Leaf nitrogen content | 0.82 | 0.51 |
| Plant nitrogen accumulation | 0.97 | 0.22 |
| Yield and yield components | | |
| Yield | 0.99 | -0.01 |
| Kernel yield | 0.97 | -0.19 |
| 100-pod weight | 0.70 | -0.64 |
| 100-kernel weight | 0.86 | -0.30 |
| Shelling percentage | 0.54 | -0.66 |
| Eigenvale | 15.1 | 2.2 |
| Variance (%) | 83.6 | 12.3 |
| Cumulative variance (%) | 83.6 | 95.9 |

\( F_v/F_m \), maximal efficiency of PSII photochemistry after dark adaptation; \( \Phi_{PSII} \), actual efficiency of PSII photochemistry after light adaptation; \( \Phi_{NPQ} \), quantum yield for energy dissipated via \( \Delta \) pH and xanthophyll-regulated processes; \( \Phi_{NO} \), The quantum yield of nonregulated energy loss in PSII; \( qP \), photochemical quenching; NPQ, non-photochemical quenching; \( P_n \), net photosynthetic rate; \( T_r \), transpiration rate; \( G_o \), stomatal conductance; \( L_s \), stomatal limitation; and WUE, water use efficiency; and LNC, leaf nitrogen content; B0, B10, B20, and B40 represent biochar application rates of 0, 10, 20, and 40 t ha⁻¹.
not significantly differ between treatments at flowering and pod set in either year (Figures 2A,G), which is consistent with Marks et al. (2016). \( \Phi_{PSII} \) is an indicator of the electron transport rate in leaves, and higher \( \Phi_{PSII} \) indicates a higher capacity of leaves to convert photon energy into chemical energy (Li et al., 2010). \( \Phi_{NPQ} \) is an important indicator of photo-protection energy dissipation, and higher \( \Phi_{NPQ} \) value shows a higher capacity to eliminate redundancy light energy by regulatory heat dissipation mechanism. \( \Phi_{NO} \) is the combined pathway of radiative and non-radiative deexcitation reactions, and higher \( \Phi_{NO} \) indicates that the absorbed light energy cannot be consumed completely through photochemical energy conversion and protective regulation mechanisms (Kramer et al., 2004; Klughammer and Schreiber, 2008; Chen et al., 2017). In this study, no significant difference in \( \Phi_{NO} \) occurred between treatments at flowering or pod set in either year (Figures 2D,J). In terms of energy distribution, B10 promoted photosynthetic activity in peanut leaves, significantly increasing \( \Phi_{PSII} \) and \( \Phi_{NPQ} \) and \( \Phi_{NO} \) at flowering and pod set in both years (Figure 2). B0 and B40 decreased \( \Phi_{PSII} \) and increased \( \Phi_{NPQ} \), indicating that an increase in regulated heat dissipation could protect the photosynthetic apparatus. \( qP \) represents the proportion of open PSII reaction centers (Hazarati et al., 2016). \( NPQ \) mainly comprises regulated and non-regulated energy dissipation and indicates that the light energy absorbed by PSII antenna pigments cannot be used for photochemical electron transfer, which dissipates as heat (Long et al., 2013; Perkins et al., 2018). Tang et al. (2020) reported that biochar pyrolyzed at 600°C increased \( qP \) and decreased \( NPQ \), relative to the no-biochar treatment. Our results showed that B10 and B20 improved \( qP \) at flowering and pod set, and reduced \( NPQ \) at pod set in both years (Figure 2). It shown that 10 and 20 t ha\(^{-1} \) biochar enhanced the proportion of open PSII reaction centers and photosynthetic electron transfer rates in peanut leaves and reduced heat dissipation, which enable full use of the light energy absorbed in leaves for photosynthesis, and increased peanut yield. Our results are in agreement with those of Ali et al. (2020), who reported that appropriate rate of biochar increased \( qP \) and decreased \( NPQ \) at maturity stage. Biochar application improved nitrogen uptake from the soil (Sadaf et al., 2017), and a higher nitrogen concentration increased \( \Phi_{PSII} \), \( qP \) and decreased \( NPQ \) (Lin et al., 2013). Additionally, it’s probably because biochar application enhanced leaf chlorophyll content (Feng et al., 2021), which ensured the synthesis of various enzymes and electron transporter in photosynthetic carbon assimilation, and consequently ameliorate photosynthetic function in leaves (Hou et al., 2021). Thus, the light energy absorbed by leaf was more used in photochemical processes, which led to the increase of \( qP \) and decrease of \( NPQ \). In Summary, these results confirmed the potential of biochar for improving chlorophyll fluorescence traits. The internal mechanisms for biochar improving chlorophyll fluorescence traits merit further investigation.

**Effect of Biochar on Peanut Yield**

Significant differences of pod yield were observed at least 20–40 t ha\(^{-1} \) biochar application in pot experiment (Xu et al., 2015). In our study, 10 t ha\(^{-1} \) biochar produced the maximum peanut yield (and kernel yield and shelling percentage; Table 4), as reported by Ye et al. (2019). Yamato et al. (2006) reported that 10 t ha\(^{-1} \) biochar application combined with fertilizer in infertile soil increased peanut yield by 50%. Similarly, the biochar application rate of 10 t ha\(^{-1} \) significantly increased peanut pod yield by 23% compared to the inorganic fertilizer only treatment (Agegnehu et al., 2015). In another study, rice husk and cottonseed husk biochar applications at 50 t ha\(^{-1} \) increased peanut yields by 16.8 and 14.4%, respectively, relative to the no-biochar amendment treatment (Tan et al., 2018). In this study, B40 decreased peanut yield, relative to B10 (Table 4). Some studies have reported that high rates of biochar can cause nitrogen immobilization and decrease nitrogen accumulation due to the high C/N ratio, reducing yield (Lehmann et al., 2002; Asai et al., 2009; Li et al., 2018; Yan et al., 2019). Despite the variation between studies, legumes generally respond better to biochar than other crops. For example, biochar application increased the yields of legumes, wheat, maize, and rice by about 30, 11, 8, and 7%, respectively, Liu et al. (2013). Biochar has strong potential to improve crop productivity, especially in drought and poor soils (Batool et al., 2015; Haider et al., 2017; Hussain et al., 2017). The large interannual variability in rainfall is the main climatic factor during pod formation period, causing fluctuations in peanut yield (Craufurd et al., 2006). High soil moisture content is conducive to pod filling in peanut. In our study, August 2019 had more rainfall than August 2018 (Figure 1), and the peanut yields differed accordingly.

The pod setting stage is critical for peanut yield formation. In our study, B10 significantly improved the photosynthetic capacity of peanut at pod set (Figure 4), ensuring reproductive growth during the critical growth period and increasing peanut yield. The regression coefficient between \( P_n \) and peanut yield was higher at pod set than at flowering in both years (Figure 4), indicating that photosynthetic capacity at pod set had a positive effect on yield. Overall, the increased yield at 10 t ha\(^{-1} \) biochar might be due to an enhanced photosynthetic capacity of functional leaves (Figure 5).

**CONCLUSION**

Biochar application had a significant positive effect on photosynthetic capacity and yield in peanut. Maximum photochemical efficiency, actual photochemical efficiency, photochemical quenching, gas exchange parameters, leaf nitrogen content, plant nitrogen accumulation, yield, and yield components of peanut with increasing biochar application rate to 10 t ha\(^{-1} \) (B10). B10 significantly enhanced \( \Phi_{PSII} \) and \( qP \) in functional leaves of peanut due to the transfer of more absorbed energy to photochemical reactions, ensuring a higher photosynthetic capacity at flowering and pod set and higher peanut yield than the other biochar rates. These results are in agreement with our hypothesis. Therefore, 10 t ha\(^{-1} \) biochar is recommended for increasing peanut yield in Northwest Liaoning, China. The results from this study enhances our understanding of the effects of biochar application on peanut photosynthesis and yield.
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