Abstract: The present study elaborates on the thermal distribution and efficiency of a longitudinal rectangular fin with exponentially varying temperature-dependent thermal conductivity and heat transfer coefficient concerning internal heat generation. Also, the thermal distribution of a fin is comparatively studied for both exponentially varying temperature-dependent thermal conductivity and linearly varying temperature-dependent thermal conductivity. Further, the thermal distribution of a longitudinal fin is examined by using ANSYS software with different fin materials. Many physical mechanisms can be explained by ordinary differential equations (ODEs) with symmetrical behavior, the significance of which varies based on the perspective. The governing equation of the considered problem is reduced to a non-linear ODE with the assistance of dimensionless terms. The resultant equation is solved analytically using the DTM-Pade approximant and is also solved numerically using Runge-Kutta Fehlberg’s fourth-fifth (RKF-45) order method. The features of dimensionless parameters influencing the fin efficiency and temperature profile are discussed through graphical representation for exponentially and linearly varying temperature-dependent thermal conductivity. This study ensures that the temperature field enhances for the higher magnitude of thermal conductivity parameter, whereas it diminishes for diverse values of the thermo-geometric parameter. Also, greater values of heat generation and heat transfer parameters enhance the temperature profile. Highlight: Thermal distribution through a rectangular profiled straight fin is examined. Linear and non-linear thermal properties are considered. The combined impact of conduction, convection, and internal heat generation is taken for modeling the energy equation of the fin. Thermal simulation is performed for Aluminum Alloy 6061 (AA 6061) and Cast Iron using ANSYS.
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1. Introduction

The heat transfer process is significant when the temperature of different bodies or parts of the same body varies. Convection of fluids, conduction in solids, and radiation are the three modes of heat transfer. A higher heat transfer rate is generally essential in industrial manufacturing processes. Traditional heat transfer methods are ineffective in generating the essential heat transfer rate for industrial applications. The use of cooling fluid, nanofluid, and hybrid nanofluids in the industrial sector is one of the techniques for achieving a high heat transfer rate. Numerous investigations explained the role of these kinds of liquids in the heat transfer process [1–7]. Usage of extended surfaces in various mechanical and engineering applications seems to be another approach to enhance
heat transfer rate. Extended surfaces or fins are frequently used in various engineering systems to expand the heat transference area, dissipate heat generated inside the system, and extend the system’s functioning. Fins are used in oil-carrying pipelines, computer processor cooling, air conditioning, refrigeration, and air-cooled craft engines. Fins also play a prominent role in solidification augmentation in the energy storage system [8,9]. Fins are divided into longitudinal, radial, and pin fins. To improve heat dissipation from a heated main surface, a longitudinal fin of different profiles with a constant cross-sectional area is extensively utilized in practice. This fin is most effective when used in a natural convection setting with a low convection heat transfer coefficient. Motivated by these properties, several researchers examined the heat transfer properties of longitudinal fins with several influencing factors. Kundu [10] elaborated the comparative and unified research on the longitudinal fin and discussed the thermal analysis with optimal design. For a fin having a constant area with temperature variance, internal heat production, and thermal conductivity, Aziz and Bouaziz [11] derived the analytically approximate with very accurate solutions for temperature thermal distribution, fin efficiency, and optimal fin parameter. Najafabadi et al. [12] investigated analytical solutions for the non-linear differential equation (NLDE) model of a longitudinal fin with thermal conductivity and heat production. In the presence of internal heat generation, Sowmya et al. [13,14] conferred the thermal properties of longitudinal fin and moving rod owing to natural convection and radiation.

On the other hand, due to differences in thickness, the longitudinal fin has variable profiles depending on the shape. There are two techniques for solving fin optimization issues. The initial step in solving an optimization issue is to choose a basic profile (triangular or rectangular) and to calculate the fin dimensions. In reality, the rectangular profile is commonly utilized in the heat exchanger system due to its ease of production. As a result, additional research is being done to find the best size for these sorts. Recently, numerous researchers deliberated the heat transference analysis via different fins with rectangular profiles [15–19]. The study of fins for improving heat transmission has piqued the interest of many scholars during the last decade. When a fin’s cross-section is tiny, the temperature variation in the cross-section is correspondingly minimal. Then there is just a considerable temperature variation in the direction of the fin’s length. Put another way, the temperature distribution in the fin is a one-dimensional temperature field running parallel to the fin’s length. Several researchers explored thermal distribution analysis in past decades by considering different fins. Tarobi et al. [20] inspected the aspects of thermal distribution in a radiative-convective fin having various profiles by employing the methodology of DTM and elaborated on the fin’s efficiency. In recent years, many investigators have manifested significant reports to discuss the features of temperature distribution through different kinds of fins [21–25].

The traditional fin analysis assumes constant conductivity and homogeneous convective heat transfer coefficient over the fin surface. But in some instances, the fin creates internal heat due to the presence of an electric current, such as in an electric filament, or as a result of a chemical or atomic reaction that can be observed in an atomic reactor. The internal heat generation may be invariable, but it is a function of temperature in a more realistic situation. Two non-linear factors are included in the governing equation for a fin in which both thermal conductivity and internal heat production are temperature dependent. Even with one non-linear factor, the effective analytical solution for this framed differential equation (DE) cannot be obtained easily. As a result, the non-linear fin equation has been solved numerically or semi-analytically. Initially, Minkler and Rouleau [26] inspected a convective fin with homogeneous internal heat production and established mathematical explanations for the thermal distribution. Later, numerous researchers explored the numerical solutions for heat transfer analysis of different fins with internal heat generation [27–30]. Several researchers have recently derived more feature representations of real-world problems using newly designed simulation studies. Symmetry is recognized as such a method, and researchers obtained the symmetrical features of such a model.
utilizing mathematical norms. As a result, many investigators presented new operators and models. Moreover, numerous physical processes in fluid dynamics, bio-modeling, and physics are described using symmetrical ordinary differential equations (ODEs). The DTM is an analytic approach used for solving the considered ODEs. In this technique, the solution is expressed in Taylor’s series form. As a unique feature, this procedure can be used effectively to the problem without requiring any linearization, perturbation, or discretization. In addition, this technique delivers better accurate or precise responses. In electric circuit analysis, Zhou [31] presented the DTM as a technique for addressing both linear and non-linear initial value problems. Kundu and Lee [32] employed DTM to assess the excessive heat transfer aspects of annular fins when radiation effects were considered. Moradi et al. [33] used the fundamental algorithm of DTM to investigate the thermal performance of triangular fin with radiation and convection phenomenon. Several studies have recently used DTM to investigate the heat transfer aspects of various liquids through various geometries [34,35]. The DTM approach generates an analytical solution in a power series for differential equations. Moreover, for high values of a space variable, power series are typically insufficient. Pade approximants [36,37] are widely recognized for manipulating polynomial approximation into rational polynomial functions. Several researchers have recently used this DTM-Pade approximant method to solve different fluid flow models [38–40].

According to the abovementioned literature, DTM is an effective methodology for solving non-linear fin problems. The constant term in the boundary condition that appears after the transformation is specified and determined by employing boundary conditions in most research articles. In the present investigation, the Pade approximant technique is used to achieve this value, and the DTM-Pade approximant technique offers desirable solutions to heat transfer problems. The novelty of this investigation is to examine the temperature distribution in a longitudinal rectangular fin with internal heat generation for linearly and nonlinearly varying temperature-dependent thermal conductivity using the technique of DTM-Pade approximant. Moreover, exponentially varying temperature-dependent thermal conductivity and linearly temperature-dependent thermal conductivity are taken into account in this inspection for analyzing the nature of temperature distribution within a straight fin. Also, the thermal distribution through a fin of material Aluminum Alloy 6061 (AA 6061) and Cast Iron is examined using ANSYS software.

2. Mathematical Formulation

A rectangular straight fin of length $L$, width $W$, thickness $t$ with temperature-dependent internal heat generation $q^* (T)$, thermal conductivity $k^* (T)$ whose faces are subjected to convection heat transfer of coefficient $h^* (T)$ at temperature $T_\infty$ is considered in this analysis as shown in Figure 1. Since the cross-section’s temperature distribution is negligible and changes significantly in the longitudinal direction, the nature of the current problem is steady-state heat transfer. The thickness of a longitudinal fin $t$ is comparatively lesser than its width $W$ and length $L$, so it is presumed that the thermal attribute over the fin’s thickness and heat transference from its boundaries is insignificant compared to the heat-dissipation at its adjacent region.

With all these norms, the governing equation for the thermal distribution of a fin is given as (Aziz and Bouaziz [11]):

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left[ k^* (T) A^* \frac{dT}{dx} \right] - h^* (T) P(T - T_\infty) + A^* T Q^* (T) = 0$$

(1)

The corresponding boundary conditions are specified as:

$$x = 0; \quad \frac{dT}{dx} = 0,$$

$$T = T_b; \quad x = L.$$  

(2)
The temperature dispersion through a fin is investigated in this study by determining two scenarios:

1. \( k'(T) \) and \( h'(T) \) are taken to be exponentially varying with temperature change.
2. \( k'(T) \) varies linearly with temperature and \( h'(T) \) exponentially varies with temperature change.

**Case 1: Fin with exponential temperature-dependent \( k'(T) \)**

Here, \( k'(T) \) and \( h'(T) \) depend exponentially on the temperature change and are mathematically expressed as (see Moradi and Ahmadikia [41]):

\[
\begin{align*}
k'(T) &= k_0 e^{a_0 T} \quad \text{and} \quad h'(T) = h_0 e^{b_0 T},
\end{align*}
\]

and \( q^*(T) \) is signified as:

\[
q^*(T) = q_a [1 + \psi(T - T_\infty)]
\]

For the sake of ease, the following non-dimensional terms are used.

\[
\begin{align*}
\theta &= \frac{T - T_\infty}{T_b - T_\infty}, \quad \alpha^2 = \frac{P h_b L^2}{k_a A_{cr}^2}, \quad \gamma = \psi(T_b - T_\infty), \quad X = \frac{x}{L}, \\
Q &= \frac{q_a A_{cr}}{P h_b (T_b - T_\infty)}, \quad \beta = \kappa(T_b - T_\infty).
\end{align*}
\]

And Equation (3) is indicated as:

\[
k'(T) = k_a e^a \quad \text{and} \quad h'(T) = h_b e^b.
\]

where,

\[
\begin{align*}
a &= a_0(T_b - T_\infty), \quad k_a = k_0 e^{a_0 T_\infty}, \\
b &= b_0(T_b - T_\infty), \quad h_b = h_0 e^{b_0 T_\infty}.
\end{align*}
\]

Using the above Equation from (3) to (7), Equation (1) together with the boundary conditions (2) can be derived as:

\[
\frac{d}{dX} \left[ e^{a \theta} \frac{d\theta}{dX} \right] - \alpha^2 e^{b \theta} \frac{d^2 \theta}{dX^2} + \alpha^2 Q(1 + \gamma \theta) = 0,
\]

Subjected to

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d\theta}{dX}(0) &= 0, \\
\theta(1) &= 1.
\end{align*}
\]

**Case 2: Fin with linear temperature-dependent \( k'(T) \)**

Here, the thermal conductivity \( k'(T) \) depends linearly on the temperature change, and the \( h'(T) \) depends exponentially on the temperature change and are mathematically expressed as:

\[
k'(T) = k_a [1 + \kappa(T - T_\infty)] \quad \text{and} \quad h'(T) = h_0 e^{b_0 T}.
\]

And Equation (10) is indicated as:

\[
h'(T) = h_b e^b
\]

where,

\[
\begin{align*}
b &= b_0(T_b - T_\infty), \quad h_b = h_0 e^{b_0 T_\infty}.
\end{align*}
\]

Using the above Equation from (10) to (12) with Equation (5), Equation (1) together with the boundary conditions (2) can be derived as:

\[
\frac{d^2 \theta}{dX^2} + \beta \theta \frac{d^2 \theta}{dX^2} + \beta \left( \frac{d\theta}{dX} \right)^2 - \alpha^2 e^{b \theta} + \alpha^2 Q(1 + \gamma \theta) = 0
\]

(13)
Subjected to
\[ \frac{d\theta}{dX}(0) = 0, \quad \theta(1) = 1. \] (14)

3. The Fundamental Concept of DTM-Pade Approximant

Zhou [31] introduced and applied the idea of DTM to solve linear and non-linear initial value problems in electric circuit investigation. This technique was later implemented to achieve analytical solutions to the partial differential equation (PDE), ODE, and other kinds of equations (see Moradi and Ahmadikia [41], Jawad [42]). Therefore, to derive an analytical solution for the prescribed differential equation, the basic definitions and preliminary results of DTM and Pade approximants are required and are found in the literature Wang et al. [18], Boyd [43], Rashidi et al. [38], and Ismail et al. [44].

4. Solution Procedure with DTM-Pade Approximant

Case 1: Fin with Exponential \( k^*(T) \)

Equation (8) is transformed using the DTM technique as:

\[
\sum_{s=0}^{K} F[s](K - s + 1)(K - s + 2) \Theta[K - s + 2] + a \sum_{s=0}^{K} (s + 1) \Theta[s + 1] \sum_{l=0}^{K-s} F[l](K - s - l + 1) \Theta[K - s - l + 1] - \]

\[ a^2 \sum_{s=0}^{K} G[s] \Theta[K - s] + a^2 Q(\Delta[K] + \gamma \Theta[K]) = 0 \] (15)

The transformed function \( F[s], F[l] \) and \( G[s] \) of \( e^{i\theta} \) and \( e^{i\theta} \) in the Equation (15) are evaluated using the basic properties of DTM (see Moradi and Ahmadikia [41]) and \( \Theta[K] \) is the differential transform of \( \theta(X) \).

After applying DTM to Equation (9), the transformed relation is given as;

\[
\Theta[0] = A, \quad \Theta[1] = 0, \quad \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \Theta[r] = 1 \] (16)
Substituting Equation (16) and \( K = 0, 1, 2, 3 \ldots \) in Equation (15), the consecutive approximants are derived as follows:

\[
\Theta[2] = \frac{1}{5} \alpha^2 \left( -A \gamma Q + A e^{b A} - Q \right)
\]
\[
\Theta[3] = 0
\]
\[
\Theta[4] = -\frac{1}{24(e^{b A})^2} \alpha^4 \left( 3 A^2 \gamma^2 Q^2 a - 6 A^2 \gamma e^{b A} Q a + A^2 \gamma e^{b A} Q b + 3 A^2 \left( e^{b A} \right)^2 a - A^2 \left( e^{b A} \right)^2 b - A \gamma^2 Q^2 + 6 A \gamma Q^2 a + 2 A \gamma e^{b A} Q - 6 A e^{b A} Q a + A e^{b A} Q b - A \left( e^{b A} \right)^2 - \gamma Q^2 + 3 Q^2 a + e^{b A} Q \right)
\]
\[
\Theta[5] = 0
\]
\[
\Theta[6] = -\frac{1}{720(e^{b A})^5} \alpha^6 \left( -90 A^2 \gamma^2 e^{b A} Q^2 a^2 - 3 A^2 \gamma^2 e^{b A} Q^2 b^2 + 90 A^3 \gamma \left( e^{b A} \right)^2 Q^2 a^2 + 7 A^3 \gamma \left( e^{b A} \right)^2 Q^2 b^2 + 54 A^2 \gamma e^{b A} Q^2 a^2 - 8 A^2 \gamma e^{b A} Q^2 b^2 - 180 A^2 \gamma e^{b A} Q^2 b^2 - 6 A^2 \gamma e^{b A} Q^2 b^2 - 54 A^2 \gamma \left( e^{b A} \right)^2 Q a^2 + 16 A^2 \gamma \left( e^{b A} \right)^2 Q b^2 - 36 A^2 \left( e^{b A} \right)^2 Q a b + 72 A \gamma e^{b A} Q^2 a - 144 \gamma e^{b A} Q^2 b + 18 \gamma e^{b A} Q^2 a^2 + 90 A \gamma^2 Q a^2 - 3 A \gamma e^{b A} Q^2 a^2 - 90 A \gamma e^{b A} Q^2 b^2 + 3 A \gamma e^{b A} Q^2 b^2 - 36 \gamma \left( e^{b A} \right)^2 Q a - 36 \gamma \left( e^{b A} \right)^2 Q b + 30 Q^2 a^2 + 7 \gamma^2 Q^2 - A \left( e^{b A} \right)^3 + \left( e^{b A} \right)^2 - 30 A^3 \left( e^{b A} \right)^3 a^2 - 4 A^3 \left( e^{b A} \right)^3 b^2 + A^2 \gamma Q^2 + 18 A^2 \left( e^{b A} \right)^3 b - 8 A^2 \left( e^{b A} \right)^3 b - 18 A \gamma^2 Q^2 a + 18 \gamma e^{b A} Q^2 a - 6 \gamma e^{b A} Q^2 a^2 + 30 \gamma e^{b A} Q^2 a + 90 A \gamma^2 Q^2 a^2 + 18 A^3 \left( e^{b A} \right)^3 a b + 90 A^2 \left( e^{b A} \right)^2 Q a^2 + 7 A^2 \left( e^{b A} \right)^2 Q b^2 - 36 A e^{b A} Q^2 a^2 \right)
\]

And so on.

Where the constant \( A \), which has to be evaluated by employing Equation (9). We have developed a power series of order 6 utilizing Equations (16)–(20), which is expressed as:

\[
\Theta(X) = A + \frac{1}{24(e^{b A})} \alpha^2 \left( -A \gamma Q + A e^{b A} - Q \right) e^x \left( 3 A^2 \gamma^2 Q^2 a - 6 A^2 \gamma e^{b A} Q a + A^2 \gamma e^{b A} Q b + 3 A^2 \left( e^{b A} \right)^2 a - A^2 \left( e^{b A} \right)^2 b - A \gamma^2 Q^2 + 6 A \gamma Q^2 a + 2 A \gamma e^{b A} Q - 6 A e^{b A} Q a + A e^{b A} Q b - A \left( e^{b A} \right)^2 - \gamma Q^2 + 3 Q^2 a + e^{b A} Q \right) e^x
\]

The \( A \) value is extracted by applying the Padé approximant to Equation (21) with Equation (9). The constant value \( A = 0.9954320629, Q = 0.2, a = 1, \alpha = 0.1, b = 1, \gamma = 0.1 \) are substituted in the series, we get

\[
\Theta(X) = 0.9954320629 + 0.004570809020 X^2 - 0.0000002873685247 X^4 + 5.745191892 \times 10^{-9} X^6 + \ldots
\]

Case 2: Fin with Linear \( k'(T) \)

Equation (13) is transformed using the DTM technique as:

\[
(K + 1)(K + 2) \Theta[K + 2] + \beta \sum_{s=0}^{K} (s + 1) \Theta[s + 1] (K - s + 1) \Theta[K - s + 1] + \beta \sum_{s=0}^{K} \Theta[K - s] (s + 1)(s + 2) \Theta[s + 2] = 0
\]

\[
a^2 \sum_{s=0}^{K} G[s] \Theta[K - s] + a^2 Q \left( \Theta[K] + \Delta[K] \right) = 0
\]
applying DTM to Equation (14) yields
\[ \Theta[0] = B, \Theta[1] = 0, \]
\[ \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \Theta[r] = 1 \] (24)

Substituting Equation (24) and \( K = 0, 1, 2, 3 \ldots \) in Equation (23), The consecutive approximants are derived as follows:
\[ \Theta[2] = \frac{1}{2(B\beta + 1)}a^2\left(-B\gamma Q + Be^{\delta B} - Q\right) \] (25)
\[ \Theta[3] = 0 \] (26)
\[ \Theta[4] = \frac{1}{24(B\beta + 1)^3}\left[a^4\left(-B\gamma Q + Be^{\delta B} - Q\right)\left(B^2e^{\delta B}b\beta + 2B\gamma Qb\beta + Be^{\delta B}b - 2Be^{\delta B}b - Q_T + 3Qb + e^{\delta B}\right)\right] \] (27)
\[ \Theta[5] = 0 \] (28)
\[ \Theta[6] = \frac{1}{720(B\beta + 1)^4}\left[a^6\left(B\gamma Q - Be^{\delta B} + Q\right)\right] \] (29)

And so on.

Where the constant \( B \), which has to be evaluated by employing Equation (14). We have developed a power series of order 6 utilizing Equations (24)–(29), which is expressed as:
\[ \theta(X) = A + \frac{1}{248(8\beta + 1)^2}\left[a^2\left(-B\gamma Q + Be^{\delta B} - Q\right)\left(B^2e^{\delta B}b\beta + 2B\gamma Qb\beta + Be^{\delta B}b - 2Be^{\delta B}b - Q_T + 3Qb + e^{\delta B}\right)\right]X^2 + \frac{1}{720(8\beta + 1)^3}\left[a^4\left(-B\gamma Q + Be^{\delta B} - Q\right)\left(B^2e^{\delta B}b\beta + 2B\gamma Qb\beta + Be^{\delta B}b - 2Be^{\delta B}b - Q_T + 3Qb + e^{\delta B}\right)\right]X^4 + \frac{1}{720(8\beta + 1)^4}\left[a^6\left(B\gamma Q - Be^{\delta B} + Q\right)\right]X^6 \ldots \] (30)

The \( B \) value is extracted by applying the Pade approximant to Equation (30) with Equation (14). The constant value \( B = 0.988654429, Q = 0.2, \beta = 0.1, \alpha = 0.1, b = 1, \gamma = 0.1 \) are substituted in the series, we get
\[ \theta(X) = 0.9888654429 + 0.01109520280X^2 + 0.00003921518999X^4 + 1.73779481310^{-7}X^6 + \ldots \] (31)

5. Fin Efficiency

Fin efficiency is stated as the ratio of the fin heat transfer rate to the rate that it would be if the complete fin were maintained at the base temperature and is mathematically expressed as:
\[ \eta = \frac{k^* A^* \frac{dT}{dx} L(T_b - T_{\infty})}{\rho h^* (T_b - T_{\infty})} \] (32)
The dimensionless form of fin efficiency is expressed as:

\[ \eta = \frac{e^{a-b\theta'}}{\alpha^2} \]  

(33)

6. Result and Discussion

The significant consequence of several dimensionless variables, namely thermal conductivity parameter \( \beta \), thermo-geometric parameter \( \alpha \), internal heat generation variable \( \gamma \), and heat transfer parameter \( Q \) on the thermal dispersal of a longitudinal rectangular fin with exponential temperature-dependent heat transfer coefficient is examined by considering two cases of thermal conductivity. In the first case, it is taken to be exponential temperature-dependent, whereas in the other case, it is linearly dependent on temperature. The significance of these pertinent parameters on the non-dimensional temperature profile \( \theta \) is explained in this section via graphical representation for both the aforementioned cases by using the DTM-Pade and RKF-45 methods.

Also, the effect of parameters on fin efficiency \( \eta \) is debriefed graphically. Table 1 is developed to compare the results of the current DTM-Pade solution for temperature profile with the existing literature (Languri et al. [45]) to authenticate the correctness of the solution. As per the tabulated result, there is an appropriate consistency between the result of the present work and those in Languri et al. [45], signifying that the solution obtained by the proposed technique is accurate. Tables 2 and 3 are constructed to endorse the results achieved from the DTM-Pade and RKF-45 schemes for exponential temperature-dependent and linearly temperature-dependent thermal conductivity, respectively, and are close to each other. The present work is validated graphically with the existing work (Sun and Li [46]) as exhibited in Figure 2 by considering the values of the parameters \( a = -1, b = 0, \alpha = 1.6, Q = \gamma = 0 \) and the graph shows the excellent agreement with the existing work.

Table 1. Comparison of \( \theta (X) \) obtained by DTM-Pade with existing literature (Languri et al. [45]) with constant values of \( \beta = 0, Q = 0, \alpha = 0.5, b = 0, \gamma = 0 \).

| X | HPM (Languri et al. [45]) | VIM (Languri et al. [45]) | DTM-Pade | Error |
|---|----------------|----------------|---------|-------|
| 0  | 0.886819 | 0.886819 | 0.886818 | 0.000001 |
| 0.2 | 0.891257 | 0.891257 | 0.891256 | 0.000001 |
| 0.4 | 0.904614 | 0.904614 | 0.904614 | 0.000000 |
| 0.6 | 0.927026 | 0.927026 | 0.927026 | 0.000000 |
| 0.8 | 0.958715 | 0.958715 | 0.958715 | 0.000000 |
| 1.0 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.000000 |

Table 2. Comparison of \( \theta (X) \) for \( a = -1, a = 0 \) and \( a = 1 \) with constant values of \( Q = 0.8, \alpha = 1, b = 1, \gamma = 0.5 \) obtained by RKF-45 and DTM-Pade.

| X | \( a = -1 \) | \( a = 0 \) | \( a = 1 \) |
|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | RKF-45 Present Result | %Error | RKF-45 Present Result | %Error | RKF-45 Present Result | %Error |
| 0 | 0.651268 | 0.647021 | 0.4247 | 0.726859 | 0.724623 | 0.2236 | 0.825904 | 0.825302 | 0.0602 |
| 0.1 | 0.653087 | 0.648719 | 0.4368 | 0.728928 | 0.726658 | 0.2270 | 0.827560 | 0.826954 | 0.0606 |
| 0.2 | 0.658660 | 0.653921 | 0.4739 | 0.735203 | 0.732824 | 0.2379 | 0.832539 | 0.831920 | 0.0619 |
| 0.3 | 0.668357 | 0.662962 | 0.5395 | 0.745885 | 0.743321 | 0.2564 | 0.840872 | 0.840234 | 0.0638 |
| 0.4 | 0.682846 | 0.676443 | 0.6403 | 0.761322 | 0.758489 | 0.2833 | 0.852614 | 0.851948 | 0.0666 |
| 0.5 | 0.703190 | 0.695290 | 0.7900 | 0.782032 | 0.778828 | 0.3204 | 0.867838 | 0.867136 | 0.0702 |
Table 3. Comparison of $\theta(X)$ for $b = -1$, $b = 0$ and $b = 1$ with constant values of $Q = 0.8$, $\alpha = 1$, $\gamma = 0.5$, $\beta = 0.1$ obtained by RKF-45 and DTM-Pade.

| X   | $b = -1$ | Present Result | %Error | RKF-45 | Present Result | %Error | RKF-45 | Present Result | %Error |
|-----|----------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|--------|--------|----------------|--------|
| 0   | 1.445973 | 1.445763       | 0.0210 | 1.073794 | 1.073862       | 0.0068 | 0.735737 | 0.733681       | 0.2056 |
| 0.1 | 1.441440 | 1.441231       | 0.0209 | 1.073090 | 1.073158       | 0.0068 | 0.737796 | 0.735710       | 0.2086 |
| 0.2 | 1.427851 | 1.427642       | 0.0209 | 1.070976 | 1.071045       | 0.0069 | 0.744036 | 0.741857       | 0.2179 |
| 0.3 | 1.405233 | 1.405026       | 0.0207 | 1.067438 | 1.067508       | 0.0070 | 0.754636 | 0.752300       | 0.2336 |
| 0.4 | 1.373631 | 1.373427       | 0.0204 | 1.062457 | 1.062528       | 0.0071 | 0.769912 | 0.767346       | 0.2566 |
| 0.5 | 1.333109 | 1.332909       | 0.0200 | 1.056002 | 1.056075       | 0.0073 | 0.790323 | 0.787444       | 0.2879 |

Figure 2. Validation of the present analysis with existing work (Sun and Li [46]) for $a = -1$, $b = 0$, $\alpha = 1.6$, $Q = \gamma = 0$.

Figure 3 illustrates the nature of thermal distribution $\theta$ for diverse $\alpha$ (1, 1.1, 1.2, 1.3) values. This figure manifests that $\theta$ declines significantly with the impact of various $\alpha$ values. When the magnitude of $\alpha$ upsurges, the convective heat transferal through the fin escalates, causing the fin temperature to drop. This results in a high heat transmission rate at the base due to the augmentation in the heat transfer ratio of convection to conduction ($h_b/k_b$). As an impact, the temperature through the fin decreases as $\alpha$ augments. Thus, when the fin convective heat transfer improves, more heat transfer occurs by conduction through the fin, and as a result, the rate of heat transfer is augmented. The thermo-geometric parameter $\alpha$ is substantial in assessing the amount of heat transmission from the fin since it accounted for the consequences of temperature reduction on heat transfer. The impact of dimensionless parameters $\gamma$ (0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7) on thermal distribution $\theta$ is portrayed in Figure 4. Here, $\theta$ enhances gradually for enhanced values of $\gamma$ in the case of exponential temperature-dependent thermal conductivity. As these variable upsurges, the heat generation develops as a stronger function of the local fin temperature, resulting in an elevated temperature in the fin. Figure 5 exemplifies the behavior of $\theta$ with an increase of dimensionless heat transfer parameter $Q$ (0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8) values. It is noted from this figure that enrichment in the scale of $Q$ upsurges the thermal distribution $\theta$. 
Figure 3 illustrates the nature of thermal distribution $\theta$ for diverse $(1,1.1,1.2,1.3)\alpha$ values. This figure manifests that $\theta$ declines significantly with the impact of various $\alpha$ values. When the magnitude of $\alpha$ upsurges, the convective heat transferal through the fin escalates, causing the fin temperature to drop. This results in a high heat transmission rate at the base due to the augmentation in the heat transfer ratio of convection to conduction ($b_{hk}$). As an impact, the temperature through the fin decreases as $\alpha$ augments. Thus, when the fin convective heat transfer improves, more heat transfer occurs by conduction through the fin, and as a result, the rate of heat transfer is augmented. The thermo-geometric parameter $\alpha$ is substantial in assessing the amount of heat transmission from the fin since it accounted for the consequences of temperature reduction on heat transfer. 

The impact of dimensionless parameters $(0.1,0.3,0.5,0.7)\gamma$ on thermal distribution $\theta$ is portrayed in Figure 4. Here, $\theta$ enhances gradually for enhanced values of $\gamma$ in the case of exponential temperature-dependent thermal conductivity. As these variable upsurges, the heat generation develops as a stronger function of the local fin temperature, resulting in an elevated temperature in the fin. Figure 5 exemplifies the behavior of $\theta$ with an increase of dimensionless heat transfer parameter $(0.2,0.4,0.6,0.8)Q$ values. It is noted from this figure that enrichment in the scale of $Q$ upsurges the thermal distribution $\theta$.

Case 1: Exponential thermal conductivity and Exponential heat transfer coefficient.

Since the fin must disperse a large quantity of heat to the ambient fluid, excess heat production causes an improvement in the non-dimensional temperature. As demonstrated by the equations derived in this research, the consequence of internal heating on the heat transfer features of fins is substantially determined by the generation number $Q$, with the lower the generation number signifying higher heat transfer. Figure 6 manifests the deviation in the thermal distribution $\theta$ for diverse values of $(2,1,0,1)a$. As the $(2,1,0,1)a$ increases, $\theta$ enhances remarkably for exponential $(*)kT$. Figure 7
Case 1: Exponential thermal conductivity and Exponential heat transfer coefficient.

Since the fin must disperse a large quantity of heat to the ambient fluid, excess heat production causes an improvement in the non-dimensional temperature. As demonstrated by the equations derived in this research, the consequence of internal heating on the heat transfer features of fins is substantially determined by the generation number $Q$, with the lower the generation number signifying higher heat transfer. Figure 6 manifests the deviation in the thermal distribution $\theta$ for diverse values of $a (-2, -1, 0, 1)$. As the $a (-2, -1, 0, 1)$ increases, $\theta$ enhances remarkably for exponential $k^\prime (T)$. Figure 7 displays the thermal dispersal deviations with the impact of $b (-1, 0, 1, 2)$. The temperature distribution decreases for higher values of $b (-1, 0, 1, 2)$. Physically, with the increase of $b (-2, -1, 0, 1)$, the heat conduction coefficient of the fin upsurges, and consequently, the thermal resistance in the fin declines. Thus, even for the small temperature gradient, the large heat flow is generated by a fin. The features of non-dimensional parameters and their impact on fin efficiency $\eta$ are represented via Figures 8–10. The significant values of the fin efficiency show the performance of the heat exchanger, and better values of fin efficiency represent the better-quality performance of the fin. The major impact of $\alpha (0.6, 0.7, 0.8)$ and $Q$ on $\eta$ is shown in Figure 8. Here, the efficiency of fin diminutions with an upsurge of $a$ with increased $Q$ values in the case of exponential temperature-dependent thermal conductivity. Figure 9 reveals the consequence of $Q (0.70, 0.75, 0.80)$ on $\eta$ for increased values of $a$. In this figure, fin efficiency enriches for the enhanced magnitude of $Q (0.70, 0.75, 0.80)$. Figure 10 shows the influence of $b (-1, 0, 1)$ on $\eta$ with diverse values of $Q$. Fin efficiency enhances for the greater magnitude of $Q$, and it decreases for different upsurge values of $b (-1, 0, 1)$. Figures 11–15 elucidate the behavior of the temperature field with the significant influence of several non-dimensionless coefficients for linearly temperature-dependent thermal conductivity. Figure 11 is plotted to describe the impact of $\alpha (0.8, 1.0, 1.2, 1.4)$ on $\theta$. Here, higher $\alpha$ values decline $\theta$ for linearly temperature-dependent thermal conductivity. As the value of $\alpha$ increases, the convective heat transfer through the fin rises monotonically, while the temperature of the fin declines. As a result, the base possesses a high rate of heat transmission. The heat transfer ratio of convection to conduction ($h_0/k_0$) improves towards the fin base. Consequently, when the fin convective heat transfer improves, more heat is transferred by conduction in the fin, boosting the rate of heat transmission. The aspect of thermal distribution $\theta$ for enhancing values of dimensionless internal heat generation parameter $\gamma (0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6)$ is depicted in Figure 12. As the magnitude of $\gamma$ heightens, $\theta$ of the fin improves remarkably. The effect of dimensionless heat transfer parameter $Q$ on the behavior of $\theta$ is illustrated via Figure 13. Here, the thermal distribution $\theta$ upsurges for improved values of $Q (0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7)$ in the case of linearly temperature-dependent $k^\prime (T)$. Furthermore, the lower generation number causes the higher heat transfer. Figure 14 reveals the deviation in the thermal distribution $\theta$ of the fin with the influence of the thermal conductivity parameter $\beta$. This figure denotes that rising values of $\beta (0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6)$ is accountable for the augmentation of $\theta$. Enhancement in $\beta$ enriches heat conduction from the fin base, and the temperature inside the fin increases. Physically, the tendency of the fin to conduct heat significantly upsurge as this parameter intensifies so does the local fin temperature. The thermal distribution deviation for increasing magnitude of $b (-1, 0, 1, 2)$ is exhibited in Figure 15. As the $b (-1, 0, 1, 2)$ values increase, the temperature inside the fin decreases for linearly temperature-dependent $k^\prime (T)$. Figure 16 portrays the comparison of temperature distribution in a longitudinal fin for exponential temperature-dependent and linearly temperature-dependent thermal conductivity with the impact of $Q (0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5)$. Thermal distribution is more in a fin with exponential temperature-dependent compared to a fin with linearly temperature-dependent $k^\prime (T)$ for a higher magnitude of $Q (0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5)$.

Case 2: Linear thermal conductivity and Exponential heat transfer coefficient.
Figure 6. Impact of $a$ on $\theta$.

Figure 7. Impact of $b$ on $\theta$.

Figure 8. Influence of $\alpha$ on fin efficiency.
Figure 8. Influence of $\alpha$ on fin efficiency.

Figure 9. Impact of $Q$ on fin efficiency.

Figure 10. Impact of $b$ on fin efficiency.

Figure 11. Impact of $\alpha$ on $\theta$. 

Figure 12. Comparison of DTM-Pade and Numerical solutions.
Figure 12. Impact of $\gamma$ on $\theta$.

Figure 13. Impact of $Q$ on $\theta$.

Figure 14. Impact of $\beta$ on $\theta$. 
Figure 15. Impact of $b$ on $\theta$.

Figure 16. Comparing the temperature distribution of a fin with exponential temperature-dependent and linearly temperature-dependent thermal conductivity.

7. Inspecting the Thermal Behavior of a Longitudinal Fin Using ANSYS

ANSYS is a general-purpose software package that affords a cost-effective approach to inspecting the better performance of mechanical and fluid models or processes in a virtual environment. This software implements governing equations for corresponding problems to study the behavior of problems and solves them efficiently. The obtained results are presented in tabular or graphical forms.

To inspect the thermal behavior, a longitudinal fin is modeled with the following assumptions:

- Since aluminum is an excellent thermal and electrical conductor, Aluminum Alloy 6061 (AA 6061) and Cast Iron with constant thermal conductivity 300 W/m K and 55 W/m K are taken as fin materials.
- One-dimensional heat conduction is considered along the longitudinal direction.
- The convective heat transfer coefficient (39.9 W/m K) is considered over the complete fin surface.
- The temperature at the fin base is 550 K, and the ambient temperature is 283 K.
The temperature distribution of a longitudinal fin made up of AA 6061, and Cast Iron can be assessed with an ANSYS workbench. For the longitudinal fin made up of AA 6061, the maximum temperature at the prime surface is 550 K. By contrast, the minimum temperature is 545.58 K observed at the end of the fin, and 548.9 K is the average temperature. From this analysis, it is found that the extreme temperature of 550 K is at the source, and the minimum temperature of 527.28 K will be at the end of the fin for the fin of material Cast Iron. It is also noticed that the average temperature through the fin is 544.33 K. The temperature gradually decreases from one end to another end, that is from base to tip end based on the given heat transfer coefficient as shown in Figures 17–20.

Figure 17. Temperature distribution for Aluminum Alloy 6061.

Figure 18. Temperature varying along the length of the fin for Aluminum Alloy 6061.
The temperature dispersal of a longitudinal rectangular fin with exponential temperature-dependent heat transfer coefficient and internal heat generation is inspected by considering exponential temperature-dependent $k(T)$ with the aid of the DTM-Pade approximant. The significant results of the present scrutiny are as follows:

- Enhancement in the scale of thermo-geometric parameters reduces temperature dispersal in a fin for both cases.
- Temperature distribution enriches for a larger magnitude of thermal conductivity parameter in the case of linear temperature-dependent thermal conductivity.
• Larger values of the internal heat generation and heat transfer parameter upsurge the thermal distribution in both cases.
• The efficiency of a fin varies with prescribed non-dimensional thermal parameters under internal heat generation.
• The thermal distribution of a longitudinal fin is studied using ANSYS software by considering the material of the fin body as AA 6061 and Cast Iron. The temperature is higher at the base, decreasing monotonically towards the fin tip.
• The analytical solution and numerical results obtained by the DTM-Pade approximant afford higher accuracy than other techniques.
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Nomenclature

\( t \) Fin’s thickness (m)
\( X \) Length (dimensionless)
\( A^* \) Fin’s cross-sectional area (m^2)
\( k_a \) Thermal conductivity at ambient temperature \((W \text{ m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1})\)
\( Q \) Dimensionless heat transfer
\( b_0 \) Exponential indexes of convection heat transfer coefficient
\( \beta \) Variable thermal conductivity\( (\text{dimensionless}) \)
\( h^* \) Convective heat transfer coefficient \((W \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-1})\)
\( \alpha \) Thermo-geometric parameter
\( \theta \) Non-dimensional temperature
\( a_0 \) Exponential indexes of thermal conductivity
\( x \) Fin axial distance (m)
\( \kappa \) Thermal conductivity variation parameter \((K^{-1})\)
\( T_b \) Base temperature (K)
\( W \) Width (m)
\( L \) Length (m)
\( T_\infty \) Ambient temperature (K)
\( q^*(T) \) Uniform internal heat generation \((W \text{ m}^{-3})\)
\( h_b \) Heat transfer coefficient at the fin’s base \((W \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-1})\)
\( \eta \) Fin efficiency
\( k^*(T) \) Thermal conductivity \((W \text{ m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1})\)
\( h_0 \) Reference value of convection heat transfer coefficient \((W \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-1})\)
\( \gamma \) Dimensionless internal heat generation parameter
\( k_0 \) Reference values of thermal Conductivity \((W \text{ m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1})\)
\( P \) Perimeter (m)
\( T \) Temperature (K)
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