Static and dynamic performances of refrigerant-lubricated foil bearings
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Abstract. Gas bearings are successfully used over a large panel of turbo-machineries. Some of these systems run in controlled environments such as refrigerating gas. We present in this paper a theoretical and numerical model which consider the vapor/liquid lubricant transition, the laminar/turbulent flow transition and both temperature and viscosity 3D variations in the fluid and the solids for both static and dynamic situations. The foil deflection is considered using the Heshmat’s approach. This model involves: the resolution of the generalized Reynolds equation for compressible fluids with 3D variable viscosity, the description of the turbulence effects by the phenomenological approach of Elrod, using a 3D eddy viscosity field, the resolution of a non-linear equation of state for the lubricant, able to describe the vapor/liquid transition and a local thermal approach to obtain a 3D estimation of the fluid temperature, thanks to the thin-film energy equation and an actualisation of the film thickness. The thermal effects in solids are also taken into account. Both static and dynamic behaviours of GFBs are analysed.

1. Introduction
Over the last 20 years, a significant number of studies have shown GFBs were the best options for a consequent range of applications, such as oil-free turbomachinery [2].

However, there are still problems when one tries to implement GFBs into new systems, particularly in refrigerant environments. Studies in this domain already exist but they are either experimental [3] or analytical but without specific lubricant behaviour analysis [4]. Refrigerant lubricated GFBs require a specific ThermoHydroDynamic (THD) theoretical and numerical model [5].

In this paper, static and dynamic GFBs’ behavior are investigated when running in refrigerating gas.

2. Hydrodynamic lubrication
We use a non-linear EoS able to describe the density variation as a function of pressure and temperature, as well as the vapor/liquid transition.

Density and pressure are strongly coupled and both the GRE and the EoS have to be solved simultaneously. Viscosity is also linked to the temperature and to the fraction of liquid in the fluid. We choose a modified Peng-Robinson EoS [6].

3. The vapor/liquid transition issue
Some of the lubricant might change from vapor to liquid phase. We choose to use a vapor/liquid transition model which is not directly linked to the enthalpy calculation in order to compute the local fraction of liquid in the lubricant in two-phase flow scenario [5].

4. Generalized Reynolds equation
The Generalized Reynolds Equation (GRE) [10] describes the pressure field in the lubricant under thin-film assumption. The pressure field in GFBs is obtained by solving the steady-state GRE for turbulent, compressible fluids with variable viscosity across the film thickness. Boundary conditions for pressure are: a given pressure at both ends and in the supply groove (optional). Concerning the vapor/liquid transition, there is a clear transition for physical values such as density and viscosity, but our model ensures their continuity. We also take into account in this GRE the turbulent flow effects thanks to an equivalent viscosity term which combines the molecular viscosity and the “eddy” viscosity due to turbulent flow.

5. Energy equation
This section talks about how we take into account the THD effects in our model and is related to lubricant thermodynamic behavior. We particularly insist on the radial dimension where strong temperature gradients appear. We solve a steady-state 3D turbulent thin-film energy equation to obtain a local thermal field (and local temperature-dependent molecular viscosity) [11]. The thermal model accounts for circumferential, radial and axial temperature variations. Radial (cross-film) direction is fundamental in order to understand the heating process inside the bearing. The assumption of ideal gas is valid for several reasons in that case: the ideal gas law gives very good approximation far from the vapor pressure value. Besides, when close to the transition and in the transition the compressibility terms become less important in magnitude, since it tends to a liquid behavior.

6. Transition from laminar to turbulent flow
The transition between the laminar and turbulent regime is a complex phenomenon. Different regimes can exist simultaneously at different locations inside the bearing. Basically, a bearing can be described as a rotating cylinder (the shaft) inside a hollow cylinder (the housing). The theory says that for two cylinders like this, Taylor vortices develop when the local Taylor number \( T_{a_l} \) reaches the value \( T_{a_c} \), the limit between the laminar and Taylor vortices regimes. When \( T_{a_l} \) reaches \( 2 T_{a_c} \), the transition between the Taylor vortexes regime and the turbulent regime, the flow becomes turbulent.

7. 3D eddy viscosity model
We need a 0-equation turbulent model which gives the eddy viscosity as a 3D function of local fluid parameters, and can be used as so in a THD model. We choose a 3D eddy viscosity model in which the influence of the turbulence is calculated through a modified version of the Reichardt empirical law [12]. The empirical coefficients are based on shear stress and velocity measurements in a pipe flow [12] and adapted to fit the experimental data [13].

8. Thermal problem in the solids
The temperature generated in the fluid flows through the solids. In agreement with experimental results [15], it can be assumed that the temperature \( T_r \) of the fast rotating shaft is independent of the coordinate angle \( \theta \). The temperature boundary conditions are given in Figure 1.
At the entry of the film
There is a mixing flow process at the entry of each sector (Figure 2). Thus the temperature at the entry is given by:

$$\bar{T}(\theta^*_1, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) = \lambda \frac{Q^*_1}{Q^*_E} (\bar{T}(\theta^*_1, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) - 1) + 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

where $\lambda$ is a mixing coefficient depending on the running conditions [18].

Figure 2. Heat fluxes across the boundaries of the groove region.

9. Viscosity variations
Temperature variations have a direct impact on lubricant viscosity. For gas, we used an explicit formulation which gives the molecular viscosity as a function of the temperature [19].

10. Bearing geometry
A sketch of the bearing in consideration is given in figure 3. The dimensionless film thickness is:

$$\tilde{h} = 1 + \varepsilon_t \cos \theta$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

Foil deflection, Heshmat model.
The variation of the film thickness, $h$, is due to the eccentricity ratio $\varepsilon_t$ and the deflection of the foil $\tilde{\omega}_t$ under the imposed hydrodynamic pressures developed between the bearing clearance and it is given by:

$$\tilde{h} = 1 + \varepsilon_t \cos \theta + \tilde{\omega}_t$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

where $\tilde{\omega}_t$ is the dimensionless elastic deformation of the foil structure under the imposed hydrodynamic pressure. This deformation $\tilde{\omega}_t$ [20] depends on the bump dimensionless compliance $\tilde{a}_t$ and the average pressure across the bearing width (figure 4),
The compliance $\tilde{\alpha}_t$ (the deflection of foil structure per unit load acting per unit area on the foil structure) [2] is given by:

$$\tilde{\alpha}_t = \frac{2 p_0 S}{C_L E} \left( \frac{l}{t_h} \right)^3 (1 - \nu^2)$$

11. Dynamic coefficients
The expression of fluid film forces in the radial and tangential directions ($r_i, t_i$) are given in reference [23]. From these forces and for each sector the stiffness and damping coefficient can be obtained:

$$[\tilde{k}_l] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \tilde{W}_r}{\partial \tilde{e}_i} & \frac{\partial \tilde{W}_r}{\partial \tilde{e}_i} \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{W}_t}{\partial \tilde{e}_i} & \frac{\partial \tilde{W}_t}{\partial \tilde{e}_i} \end{bmatrix}, \quad [\tilde{c}_l] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \tilde{W}_r}{\partial (\tilde{e}_i / \Omega)} & -2 \frac{\tilde{W}_r}{\tilde{e}_i} \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{W}_t}{\partial (\tilde{e}_i / \Omega)} & -2 \frac{\tilde{W}_t}{\tilde{e}_i} \end{bmatrix}$$

The first matrix describes the lubricant film response to shaft perturbation in displacement and is the (non-dimensional) stiffness matrix $[\tilde{k}_l]$. The second matrix describes the response to shaft velocity perturbation and is the (non-dimensional) damping matrix $[\tilde{c}_l]$.

12. Stability threshold
The components of stiffness and damping coefficients, critical mass, and critical whirl ratio are important parameters that characterize the bearing stability. The critical mass and whirl frequency ratio are defined as follows [11]:

$$\gamma^2_c = \frac{(\tilde{K}_{xx} - \tilde{K}_{eq})(\tilde{K}_{yy} - \tilde{K}_{eq}) - \tilde{K}_{xy} \tilde{K}_{yx}}{\tilde{C}_{xx} \tilde{C}_{yy} - \tilde{C}_{xy} \tilde{C}_{yx}}$$

$$\bar{M}_c = \frac{\tilde{K}_{eq}}{\gamma^2_c}, \quad \bar{M}_c = \frac{M_c \Omega^2}{W}$$

where

$$\tilde{K}_{eq} = \frac{\tilde{K}_{xx} \tilde{C}_{yy} + \tilde{K}_{yy} \tilde{C}_{xx} - \tilde{K}_{xy} \tilde{C}_{yx} - \tilde{K}_{yx} \tilde{C}_{xy}}{\tilde{C}_{xx} \tilde{C}_{yy}}$$

The critical mass represents the maximum mass of the rotor, which leads to a stable behavior of the bearing.
13. Finite Difference Method
FDM has been one of the first methods used for solving hydrodynamic lubrication problems. The FDM theory is based on simple principles but it can solve rather complex TEHD problems in an efficient and accurate way. Therefore, a lot of recent studies use FDM to solve hydrodynamic or TEHD problems [21, 22]. Besides, there is no doubt that FDM is very convenient when working with simple geometries such as the plain journal bearing or GFB profiles.

14. Results and discussion: 3D THD analysis
We use a GFB which characteristics and running conditions are described in Figure 3 and Table 1.

| Table 1 | Bearing characteristics and running conditions [5, 23]. |
|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| **Characteristics** | **G.F.B (1)** | **G.F.B (2)** |
| **Bearing** | | |
| Length, \( L \) (mm) | 27 | 50 |
| Shaft diameter, \( 2R_s \) (mm) | 28 | 40 |
| Clearance, \( C_b \) (\( \mu m \)) | 90 | 90 |
| Number of lobes | 3 | 3 |
| Eccentricity ratio, \( e_b \) (-) | 0.1-0.9 | 0.1-0.9 |
| Shaft speed, \( \Omega \) (r.p.m) | 40000- | 40000- |
| Pre-load, \( m \) (-) | 180000 | 180000 |
| Amplitude of groove, \( \gamma_i \) | 0.1-0.9 | 0.1-0.9 |
| Global coefficient of exchange, \( h_s, h_b \) (\( W.m^{-1}.K^{-1} \)) | 10 | 10 |
| Thermal conductivity, \( k_s, k_b \) (\( W.m^{-1}.K^{-1} \)) | 80 | 80 |
| Bump thickness, \( t_b \) (m) | 0.1016 | 0.1016 |
| Bump radius, \( l \) (m) | 1.778 | 1.778 |
| Bump pitch, \( S \) (m) | 4.572 | 4.572 |
| Young’s modulus, \( E \) (GPa) | 200 | 200 |
| Poisson’s ratio, \( \nu \) (-) | 0.31 | 0.31 |
| **Lubricant** | | |
| Pressure (Bar) | 2 |
| Temperature (\(^{\circ}K\)) | 293.15 |
| Name | R245fa |
| Viscosity (\( \mu Pa.s \)) | 12.3 |
| Molar mass, \( M \) (g.mol\(^{-1}\)) | 134.05 |
| Heat capacity (\( J.kg^{-1}.K^{-1} \)) | 976.9 |
| Thermal conductivity (\( W.m^{-1}.K^{-1} \)) | 0.012 |
| Critical pressure (Bar) | 36.51 |
| Critical temperature (\(^{\circ}K\)) | 427.16 |

**Pressure fields**
The pressure field (Figure 5) is clearly depending on the axial location and high pressure area is centered on the mid-length location in this case at second lobe. In the high pressure area, in almost one fourth of the zone at the bearing edges, the pressure increase is at least 20% smaller than at mid-length. Figure 5 depicts the steady-state pressure fields calculated for a loaded journal bearing operating at 15N, shaft speed 120000 R.P.M and pre-load 0.2. It is observed that the effect of bump-foil elasticity leads to a spreading of the pressure distribution in the circumferential and axial direction of the bearing over a greater area and to a slight reduction of the peak pressure inducing an increase of running eccentricity. The decreasing of the fluid-film thickness over the whole bearing area explains the pressure drop as the load is fixed.
Figure 5. Pressure field G.F.B (1). Bearing load 15 N, Shaft speed 120000 R.P.M, m=0.2. 
\( \varepsilon=0.85, \phi=14.79^\circ \), \( \varepsilon=0.90, \phi=12.28^\circ \)

Temperature fields
A temperature field of the gas film of the foil bearing GFB (1) under the operation condition for two load 5 N and 15 N, rotational speed 120000 R.P.M and preload 0.2 is shown in the following. Figure 6 presents the temperature fields at mid film gas thickness for two loads. The temperature of the gas film increases from the inlet along the circumference, and the maximum value occurs in the vicinity of the minimum film thickness. Then, the ambient air is drawn into bearing to mix with the recirculating flow due to the sub-ambient pressure; so, the temperature is cooled down correspondingly, as shown in the following two figures near point 120 in circumferential direction. We can notice that increasing the load increases the temperature.

Figure 6. Temperature at mid-film thickness (Heshmat), G.F.B (1), Shaft speed 120000 R.P.M, m=0.2.

Minimum film thickness
Figure 7 shows the film thickness evolution for different foil bearing configuration (rigid and compliant) as a function of rotation speed. It has been noted that the minimum film thickness is continuously increasing with speed.

Figure 7. Minimum film thickness versus shaft speed, pre-load 0.2.
Friction torque
Friction torque increases with increasing rotational speed and load, as shown in Figure 8. This is due to the increase of lubricant viscosity in the film by increasing temperature, thus increasing lubricant shear rate. We note that there is no difference between the rigid and flexible bearing because the viscosity increase is almost negligible. The friction torque at bush is greater than at shaft because at the close shaft surface, the Couette flow prevails.

![Friction torque graphs](image1)

(a) G.F.B (1), Bearing load 10 N.  (b) G.F.B (1) Bearing load 15 N.

**Figure 8.** Shaft Friction torque versus shaft speed, pre-load 0.2.

Dynamics coefficients
The dynamic coefficients and critical mass were calculated for the two different bearing geometries. For comparison, the calculations were made for both rigid and flexible models.

The dynamic coefficients and critical mass as a function of the rotational speed are presented in Figures 9, 10 and 11. It can be seen that there is no obvious difference between the two models.

Stiffness coefficients

![Stiffness coefficients graphs](image2)

**Figure 9.** Stiffness coefficients of the gas bearing G.F.B (1) as functions of eccentricity ratio, Shaft speed 80000 R.P.M, pre-load 0.4.
14. Conclusion
In order to extend the field of applications for GFBs as well as their reliability, we studied their behavior in refrigerating gas in static and linear dynamic conditions. Two cases namely rigid and flexible have been investigated. As these bearings run at very high rotation speeds thermal and dynamic aspects have to be considered. In this study, we showed the importance of an accurate description of the bearing. Non-linear phenomena have been coupled and mainly the influence of temperature and foil distortion have been investigated. For the tested cases we have found that temperature can have a noticeable impact while the structure deformation has a weak influence on bearing performances both in static and dynamic configurations.
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