Material Selection for Constructing an Intraoral Stent Used in Radiotherapy: Analysis of Density and Structure
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ABSTRACT

Neck and head cancer is a very common disease. Radiotherapy is one of the treatments, and its side effects affect the patient’s quality of life because the radiation targets both neoplastic and healthy tissues. This study aimed to select material that exhibited the greatest number of...
characteristics that are biocompatible with human tissue and that are strong enough to construct an intraoral stent. The stent would be used to mechanically isolate the palate, tongue, and mouth floor to prevent radiation in head and neck cancer cases requiring radiotherapy, thereby aiming to decrease the treatment’s side effects. The following materials were selected to be submitted to a first analysis by computed tomography: polyacetal (white and black), polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA), polyurethane, and polyvinyl chloride. By observing the density through Hounsfield unit (HU) analysis, the materials with HU values closest to that of water (HU = 0) were selected for the structural analysis after the radiotherapeutic protocol through micro Raman spectroscopy. After undergoing radiation, PMMA with HUs of 177 and 179 without structural modification had the best density results; this was verified by micro Raman spectroscopy. PMMA seems to be a promising material due to its density and structural integrity after the radiotherapeutic protocol.

**Clinical Significance:** The material with the HU value that is most compatible with the oral tissues does not interfere with the action of x-ray beams or with the main function of mechanically isolating the oral tissues, thus reducing the side effects from radiotherapy, improving the patient’s quality of life after the treatment.
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### 1. INTRODUCTION

Head and neck cancer, particularly mouth cancer, is significantly prevalent worldwide. According to data from the National Cancer Institute of Brazil, in 2014, 11,280 new cases of mouth cancer were diagnosed in Brazil alone. The people most affected by mouth cancer are alcoholics, smokers, those infected by human papillomavirus, and those who have been exposed to excessive ultraviolet A [1].

The main treatments for head and neck cancer have been surgery, chemotherapy, and radiotherapy (with local effect). Although radiotherapy has the fundamental function of containing the tumor’s development and decreasing its extent, this therapy causes many side effects for the patient, such as radiodermatitis, oral mucositis, candidiasis, xerostomia, radiation caries, osteoradionecrosis, trismus, and loss of taste [2]. These side effects compromise the patient’s quality of life during and after the cancer treatment.

The most common side effect of radiotherapy for head and neck cancer is oral mucositis—ulcerations on the oral mucosa—which causes severe discomfort during feeding, directly and markedly affecting the patient’s quality of life [3].

Radiotherapy affects both neoplastic and healthy tissue because the tumor is very close to the surrounding (sound) tissue. Attempting to reduce the treatment’s side effects on healthy tissue, researchers have conducted studies to develop an intraoral stent [4-6]. The main characteristic of an intraoral stent is the mechanical separation of the intraoral tissues: palate, tongue, and mouth floor [2,4,5].

Use of an intraoral stent eases treatment planning through the use of computerized tomography, decreases the extent of oral mucositis acquired during the treatment, and decreases the damage to the surrounding muscle tissues, taste buds, and salivary glands. During radiotherapy appointments, the oral tissue is immobilized, enabling more accurate dosimetry of the neoplastic tissue [4].

The material for intraoral stent construction should neither block the radiation nor irritate the oral mucosa. It should also be nontoxic, inert, thermomechanically resistant (because it requires sterilization by moist heat), and easy to handle (since the patient will use it many times) [4]. Moreover, cost is an important factor in making the intraoral stent affordable for most patients who require radiotherapy.

To prevent radiation blockage, the material should exhibit a density as close as possible to that of water. The radiation interacts differently depending on the characteristics of each medium; ideally, the material should interfere significantly with neither the absorption nor the scattering. Hounsfield units (HUs) are used in measuring the electronic density of the medium, which is related to the medium’s atomic number. Depending on the atomic number and the radiation energy, the type of interaction varies [7].

This study aimed to evaluate the density and molecular arrangement of different materials,
which were submitted to a protocol similar to that of the oral radiotherapy used to treat head and neck cancer.

**2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Four materials were selected: polyacetal (white and black), polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA), polyurethane, and polyvinyl chloride (PVC). Firstly, the materials were submitted to computerized tomography to verify the HUs and compare them with that of water (HU = 0). The tomographic phases were performed at a centre for cancer treatment. The statistical analysis used in this research is qualitative and descriptive of the results obtained. These four materials were chosen due to their common use in dentistry, their resistance, and their biological compatibility with patients’ mouths. Even today, no studies in the area have described the most suitable material for making intraoral stents.

**2.1 Preanalysis of Density**

Cylinders measuring 2 cm in diameter and 1 cm in thickness of each material polyacetal (white and black), PMMA, polyurethane, and PVC (n=2) were used. The specimens were placed over a 1-cm wax lamina to obtain a sufficient height for the radiation reach its maximum peak at the centre of the samples during the computerized tomography (Activion, Toshiba, Japan), which oscillated with a mean build-up of 1.5 cm (the build-up is the region prior to the point of maximum dose absorbed by the medium). When the ionizing radiation enters any medium, it interacts such that its point of maximum absorbed dose varies with the radiation energy; thus, a higher energy results in a deeper maximum dose [7]. The specimens were individually irradiated at 6 MV power inside a standard field of 10 × 10 cm with a dose rate of 500 UM/min (monitor unit) (Activion, Toshiba, Japan). The software (Eclipse, Varian, California, USA) read the images to obtain the density measurements and to plan the radiotherapy.

**2.2 Radiotherapeutic Protocol**

The radiotherapeutic protocol was applied at the maximum dose for the head and neck areas (7000 cGy) with a dose rate of 300 cGy/min for 23.3 minutes. Next, the samples were stored in an incubator (Marconi, Piracicaba, SP, Brazil) at relative humidity and at 37ºC until the spectroscopy was performed.

**2.3 Micro Raman Spectroscopy**

The studied material, PMMA (n=6) was divided according to the groups assigned in Figs. 1 and 2.

Five (micrometre-scale) measurements were executed using a Raman spectroscope consisting of a triple monochromator (Jobin Yvon model T64000) with 64 cm of focal distance. The first two monochromators were used as the laser line filter, and the third monochromator was used as the spectrographic stage. The Raman sign excitation was carried out using a laser beam with a wavelength of 488.0 nm and a power of about 10 mW (Laser Spectra-Physic model Stabilite 2070). To eliminate the laser plasma lines, an interferometer filter (Newport) was used. A configuration known as retro-scattering, in which the incident and scattered laser beams are in the same direction (with the sample surface forming a 90º angle), was used. To focus the laser beam, a microscope (Olympus model BX40) was used with ×50 lenses, enabling a beam of diameter of approximately 10 µm. The detection of the Raman sign was executed using a CCD camera (Jobin Yvon model SpectraOne) refrigerated with liquid nitrogen. The entrance slit 500 µm, and the device resolution was approximately 5 cm⁻¹.

---

C: indicates the control group (no treatment); T: computerized tomography; T+R: computerized tomography + radiotherapy

![Fig. 1. Experimental conditions for each material](image-url)

C: indicates the control group (no treatment); T: computerized tomography; T+R: computerized tomography + radiotherapy
Fig. 2. Sample PMMA specimens for three
groups
C indicates the control group (no treatment); T,
computerized tomography; T+R, computerized
tomography + radiotherapy

The micro Raman spectroscopy created a light
beam, which passed through a semitransparent
mirror, scattering part of the light to a
microscope, where the beam was focused on the
sample (horizontal axis). The scattered light
beam (Raman sign) came back through the
microscope and was reflected by the
semitransparent mirror and scattered towards the
monochromator (vertical axis). Because part of
the incident laser beam was also reflected to the
monochromator through the semitransparent
mirror, this was mixed with the Raman sign.
Accordingly, monochromators 1 and 2 were used
to filter the laser beam to produce the Raman
sign at the spectrographic stage. Next, the
Raman sign was registered by the CCD camera.

This research was conducted in 2014 at three
research centres: University of São Paulo, São
Paulo State University and the Prof. Nair Araújo
Antunes Oncologic Center - all in São Paulo,
Brazil.

3. RESULTS

The results obtained at the first phase of the
study through the measurement of the samples'
HU values indicated that the highest values were
found for PMMA, which had values closest to
that of water (HU = 0).

Table 1. The density of each material, as
indicated by HU (n=2)

| Material               | Material density (HU value) sample 1 and 2 |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Polymethylmethacrylate | 177 and 179                                  |
| Polycetal (white)      | 385 and 487                                  |
| Polycetal (black)      | 441 and 444                                  |
| Polyurethane           | 765 and 771                                  |
| PVC                    | 1167 and 1215                                |

3.1 Micro Raman Spectroscopy

The Raman spectroscopy exhibited a peak
series corresponding to the number of the waves
in the characteristic bands of the PMMA polymer,
with main values of 366, 497, 606, 818, 978,
1127, 1195, 1464, and 1733 cm\(^{-1}\) (landmarks).
No statistical differences were seen among
groups C (control without treatment), T
(tomography), and T+R (tomography followed by
radiotherapy). By analysing the quality of the
bands in relation to the bandwidth and the
appearance of new bands, no statistically
significant differences were found, suggesting
that the radiotherapeutic treatment after
computed tomography did not provoke
alterations in the polymer's molecular
arrangement (Fig. 3).

Fig. 3. Spectra of polymethylmethacrylate
according to the treatments. The x-axis
shows the waves with 366, 497, 606, 818, 978,
1127, 1195, 1464, and 1733 cm\(^{-1}\), which are
related to the band characteristics of the
polymer identified by the Raman sign. The y-
axis shows the intensity (an arbitrary
number)

4. DISCUSSION

The density of the materials should be as close
as possible to that of water because the intraoral
stent should interfere with neither radiation
absorption nor scattering. This feature was only
verified for one of the four materials tested:
PMMA.

PMMA has minimum expansion at angstrom
(atomic) range according to its molecular
configuration and the temperature to which it is
submitted. For temperatures in the range of
50°C, the mean expansion of the material was
30 angstroms. The temperature to which the
PMMA stent will be submitted inside the mouth is
37°C on average, with small variations that will
lead to insignificant density variation over the treatment period [8].

PMMA presents as a powder (containing acrylic polymers or copolymers, benzoyl peroxide, pigments [TiO$_2$], colour opacifiers, plasticizers, and coloured organic fibres) or as a liquid (containing methyl methacrylate, hydroquinone, accelerators, plasticizers, and ethylene glycol dimethacrylate). The material has few residual contaminants and is of low cost [8]. Structurally, it is a semicrystalline material, which characterizes a certain periodicity of its molecular arrangement, as identified by Raman sign (Willis et al., 1968; Smit et al. [9]). The materials can be identified by examining the peaks, resulting in the corresponding number of waves. The number of waves for each peak band was attributed to the PMMA spectrum by Willis et al. (1968) using a comparison with infrared spectroscopy:

- $602^a$ $\nu$(C–COO), $\nu_S$ (C–C–O); $853^a$ $\nu$(CH$_2$); $925^b$ $\nu$(CH$_2$); $999^a$ O–CH$_3$; $1081^a$ $\nu$(C–C); $1264^a$ $\nu$(C–O), $\nu$(C–COO); $1460^a$ $\delta_d$(C–H) of&-CH$_3$, $\delta_d$(C–H) of O–CH$_3$. There are 1,648 combinations of bands involving $\nu$(C=C) and $\nu$(C–COO); $1736^a$ $\nu$(C=O) of (C–COO). Also in that study, the authors classified the identified bands as strong, medium, weak, polarized, or nonpolarized signs. The number of waves for the peaks identified in the present study demonstrated similar values to those of the peaks reported in the literature: 366, 497, 606, 818, 978, 1127, 1195, 1464, and 1733 cm$^{-1}$. In Raman spectroscopy, the number of waves does not need to be exact, as small variations can occur without mischaracterizing the signs.

The qualitative characteristics of the bands can be analyzed by the superposition, intensity, shape, and standard inside each spectrum [9]. In the analysis of the band characteristics of PMMA, identified by the number of waves and peaks, there were no qualitative differences among the spectra of each group, suggesting that the radiotherapeutic treatment did not provoke modifications in the polymer’s molecular arrangement polymer. The semicrystalline structure means that the atoms are arranged at certain periodicities inside the molecules (that is, the monomers). On the other hand, the monomers are linked by the so-called polymerization process, which results in rigidity and enables the periodicity to be detected by the number of waves of the peaks, as was previously identified by Willis et al. (1968) and Smit et al. [9]. Conversely, a modification strong enough to change the PMMA band characteristics occurs, as in the present study (radiotherapeutic protocol: 7000 cGy with a dose rate of 300 cGy/min for 23.3 minutes), the differences can be detected [9,10].

To obtain good quality in PMMA polymerization, it is necessary that the polymer and monomer be mixed at the specific ratio described by the manufacturer to avoid internal and external porosity in the stent, which will lead to a less mechanically resistant device. Avoiding temperatures above the boiling point of the liquid during the polymerization process decreases the material’s porosity, which suggests further challenges when PMMA stents require sterilization.

PMMA material has enough features to be used in intraoral stents that patients will use in planning and in all radiotherapy treatment sessions. PMMA is inert and tough, and it neither blocks radiation nor causes harm to patients during radiotherapy. Thus, healthy tissue from around the tumour region will not be affected, reducing the side effects of radiotherapy.

5. CONCLUSIONS

PMMA showed the ideal density for use in intraoral stents because, after the radiotherapeutic protocol, it did not exhibit structural alterations.
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