Propagation of vector-meson spectral-functions in a BUU type transport model: Application to di-electron production
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Abstract

The time evolution of vector-meson spectral-functions is studied within a kinetic theory approach. We implement this formalism in a BUU type transport model. Applications focus on \( \rho \) and \( \omega \) mesons being important pieces for the interpretation of the di-electron invariant mass spectrum measured by the HADES collaboration for the reaction C + C at 2 AGeV bombarding energy. Since the evolution of the spectral functions is driven by the local density, the in-medium modifications are tiny for small collision systems within this approach.

1 Introduction

Di-electrons serve as direct probes of dense and hot nuclear matter stages during the course of heavy-ion collisions [1 2]. The superposition of various sources, however, requires a deconvolution of the spectra by means of models. Of essential interest are the contributions of the light vector mesons \( \rho \) and \( \omega \). The spectral functions of both mesons are expected to be modified in a strongly interacting environment in accordance with chiral dynamics, QCD sum rules etc. [1 3 4 5]. After the first pioneering experiments with the Dilepton Spectrometer DLS [6] now improved measurements with the High-Acceptance Di-Electron Spectrometer HADES [7 8 9] start to explore systematically the baryon-dense region accessible in fixed-target heavy-ion experiments at beam energies in the 1 - 2 AGeV region. The invariant mass spectra of di-electrons for the reaction C + C at 1 and 2 AGeV are now available [6 8 9] allowing us to hunt for interesting many-body effects.
There are several approaches for describing the emission of real and virtual photons off excited nuclear matter:

(i) A piece of matter in thermal equilibrium at temperature $T$ emits $e^+e^-$ pairs with total momentum $q$ at a rate $dN/dx d^4q = \alpha^2/(M^2\pi^3)f_B Im\Pi_{em}$, where $f_B$ is the bosonic thermal distribution function and $\Pi_{em}$ denotes the electromagnetic current-current correlator, $\Pi_{em} = -i \int d^4x e^{i q x} \langle \langle T j^\mu(x) j^\mu(0) \rangle \rangle$, the imaginary part of which determines directly the thermal emission rate. Here, $\alpha$ stands for the electromagnetic fine structure constant, $M$ means the invariant mass of the di-electron, $T$ is the operator for time ordering which acts on the electromagnetic current operator $j^\mu$. This rate may be combined with a global dynamic model which provides us the space averaged quantities as a function of time [10] or even adopting also a time average [11].

(ii) Some sophistication can be achieved by employing a detailed model for the space-time evolution of baryon density and temperature, e.g., as delivered by hydrodynamics. One may also extract from transport models such parameters, where, however, local off-equilibrium and/or anisotropic momentum distributions hamper a reliable definition of density and temperature. Nevertheless, once the rate is given one has a very concise approach, as realized, e.g., in [12]. A similar approach has been presented in [13].

(iii) Microscopic or kinetic transport models do not require isotropic momentum distributions or local equilibrium. Once general principles are implemented, transport models also provide a detailed treatment of the emission of electromagnetic radiation in heavy-ion collisions.

Our approach belongs to item (iii). The time evolution of single particle distribution functions of various hadrons are evaluated within the framework of a kinetic theory. We focus on the vector mesons $\rho$ and $\omega$. The $\rho$ meson is already a broad resonance in vacuum, while the $\omega$ meson may acquire a noticeable width in nuclear matter [14]. Therefore, we are forced to treat dynamically these resonances and their decays into di-electrons. Resorting to consider only pole-mass dynamics is clearly insufficient in a microscopic approach [15]. Instead, one has to propagate properly the spectral functions of the $\rho$ and $\omega$ mesons. This is the main goal of our paper. We consider our work as being on an explorative level, not yet as a firm and deep theoretically founded prescription of dealing with di-electron emission from excitations with quantum numbers of $\rho$ and $\omega$ mesons off excited nuclear matter.

Our paper is organized as follows. Essential features of our transport model are outlined in section 2. In Subsection 2.1 we describe how the mean field potentials enter in the relativistic transport equation. Subsection 2.2 introduces the dynamics of broad resonances and its implementation in the test-particle method. The crucial quantities for the spectral functions are the self-energies dealt with in subsection 2.3. Subsections 2.4 and 2.5 are devoted to particle production and di-electron emission, respectively. Numerical results of our simulations and a tentative comparison with published HADES data are presented in sections 3 (2 AGeV) and 4 (1 AGeV). Discussion and summary can be found in section 5.

The present analysis supersedes [16]. Further analyses of HADES data have been performed in [17] [18] [19] [20].
2 Treatment of heavy-ion collisions

2.1 The standard BUU treatment

The employed BRoBUU computer code for heavy-ion collisions developed by a Budapest-Rossendorf cooperation solves a set of coupled Boltzmann-Ühling-Uhlenbeck (BUU) equations in the quasi-particle limit [21]

\[
\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial p} = \sum_j C_{ij}, \quad H = \sqrt{(m_i + U(p, x))^2 + p^2}
\]  

(1)

for the one-body distribution functions \( F_i(x, p, t) \) of the various hadron species \( i \), each with rest mass \( m_i \), in a momentum and density dependent mean field \( U \). The scalar mean field \( U \) is chosen in such a manner that the Hamiltonian \( H \) equals \( H = \sqrt{m_i^2 + p^2 + U_{nr}^i} \) with a potential \( U_{nr}^i \) calculated in the local rest frame as

\[
U_{nr}^i = A \frac{n}{n_0} + B \left( \frac{n}{n_0} \right)^\tau + C \frac{2}{n_0} \int \frac{d^3p'}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{F_N(x, p')}{1 + (\frac{p-p'}{\Lambda})^2},
\]

(2)

where the parameters \( A, B, C, \tau, \Lambda \) define special types of potentials, while \( n, n_0 \) and \( F_N \) stand for the baryon number density, saturation density and nucleon distribution function. We use the momentum dependent soft potential defined by \( A = -0.120 \text{ GeV}, B = 0.151 \text{ GeV}, \tau = 1.23, \quad C = -0.0645 \text{ GeV}, \quad \Lambda = 2.167 \text{ GeV} \). The BRoBUU code propagates in the baryon sector the nucleons and 24 \( \Delta \) and \( N^* \) resonances and additionally \( \pi, \eta, \sigma, \omega \) and \( \rho \) mesons. Different particle species are coupled by the collision integral \( C_{ij} \) which also contains the Ühling-Uhlenbeck terms responsible for Pauli blocking of spin-1/2 hadrons in the collision as well as particle creation and annihilation processes.

The set of coupled BUU equations is solved by using the parallel-ensemble test-particle method [22, 23], where we introduce a number of parallel ensembles. In each ensemble a test particle represents a real particle (nucleon, resonance, pion etc.); collisions happen only within the same ensemble. On the other hand, when calculating such quantities as densities, Pauli blocking factors etc. we average over the ensembles in each time step. This method transforms the partial differential-integro equations [11] into a set of ordinary differential equations (looking like equations of motion) for a number of test particles. A default version of the code has been applied to strangeness dynamics [24].

2.2 Off-shell transport of broad resonances

Recently theoretical progress has been made in describing the in-medium properties of particles starting from the Kadanoff-Baym equations [25] for the Green functions of particles. Applying first-order gradient expansion after a Wigner transformation one arrives at a transport equation for the retarded Green function [26, 27]. In the medium, particles acquire a self-energy \( \Sigma(x, p) \) which depends on position and momentum as well as the local properties of the surrounding medium. They have a finite life time which is
described by the width \( \Gamma \) related to the imaginary part of the self-energy. Their properties are described by the spectral function being the imaginary part of the retarded propagator \( \mathcal{A}(p) = -2\text{Im}G^{ret}(x, p) \). For bosons the spectral function is related to the self-energy via

\[
\mathcal{A}(p) = \frac{\hat{\Gamma}(x, p)}{(E^2 - \vec{p}^2 - m_0^2 - \text{Re}\Sigma^{ret}(x, p))^2 + \frac{1}{4}\hat{\Gamma}(x, p)^2},
\]

where the resonance widths \( \Gamma \) and \( \hat{\Gamma} \) obey \( \hat{\Gamma}(x, p) = -2\text{Im}\Sigma^{ret} \approx 2m_0\Gamma \), and \( m_0 \) is the vacuum pole mass of the respective particle. The spectral function (3) is normalized as \( \int dp^2 \mathcal{A} = 2\pi \). (We omit here the label denoting the particle type for simplicity reasons.)

To solve numerically the Kadanoff-Baym equations one may exploit the above test-particle ansatz for a modified retarded Green function (see Refs. [26, 27]). This function can be interpreted as a product of particle number density multiplied with the spectral function \( \mathcal{A} \). The spectral function can significantly change in the course of the heavy-ion collision process. Therefore, the standard test-particle method, where the test-particle mass is a constant of motion, must be extended by treating the energy \( E = p^0 \) of the four-momentum \( p \) as an independent variable.

Equations of motion for test particles follow from the transport equation. We use the relativistic version of the equations which have been derived in Ref. [26]:

\[
\frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} = \frac{1}{1 - C} \frac{1}{2E} \left( 2\vec{p} + \vec{\partial}_E \Sigma^{ret} + \frac{m^2 - m_0^2 - \text{Re}\Sigma^{ret}}{\Gamma} \vec{\partial}_E \hat{\Gamma} \right),
\]

\[
\frac{d\vec{p}}{dt} = -\frac{1}{1 - C} \frac{1}{2E} \left( \vec{\partial}_E \Sigma^{ret} + \frac{m^2 - m_0^2 - \text{Re}\Sigma^{ret}}{\hat{\Gamma}} \vec{\partial}_E \hat{\Gamma} \right),
\]

\[
\frac{dE}{dt} = \frac{1}{1 - C} \frac{1}{2E} \left( \partial_t \Sigma^{ret} + \frac{m^2 - m_0^2 - \text{Re}\Sigma^{ret}}{\hat{\Gamma}} \partial_t \hat{\Gamma} \right),
\]

with the renormalization factor reads

\[
C = \frac{1}{2E} \left( \partial_E \Sigma^{ret} + \frac{m_n^2 - m_0^2 - \text{Re}\Sigma^{ret}}{\hat{\Gamma}} \partial_E \hat{\Gamma} \right).
\]

In the above, \( m = \sqrt{E^2 - \vec{p}^2} \) is the mass of an individual test-particle number of a given hadron specie. The self-energy \( \Sigma^{ret} \) is considered to be a function of density \( n \), energy \( E \), and momentum \( \vec{p} \); thus the dependence on time and position comes only from its density dependence. Partial derivatives with respect to any of the four variables, \( t, \vec{x}, E, \vec{p} \), are understood taking the three other ones fixed. The quantity \( 1 - C \) has been introduced to ensure that the test particles describe a conserved quantity [27].

The change of the test-particle mass \( m \) can be more clearly seen combining Eqs. (5) and (6) to

\[
\frac{dm^2}{dt} = \frac{1}{1 - C} \left( \frac{d}{dt} \Sigma^{ret} + \frac{m^2 - m_0^2 - \text{Re}\Sigma^{ret}}{\hat{\Gamma}} \frac{d}{dt} \hat{\Gamma} \right)
\]

with the comoving derivative \( d/dt \equiv \partial_t + \vec{p}/E\vec{\partial}_x \). This equation means that the square of the particle mass tends to reach a value shifted by the real part of the self-energy
within a range of the value of $\hat{r}$. Thus, the vacuum spectral function is recovered when the particle leaves the medium. This ensures the smooth transition from the in-medium behavior to the vacuum properties.

The equation of motions of the test particles have to be supplemented by a collision term which couples the equations for the different particle species. It can be shown [27] that this collision term has the same form as in the standard BUU treatment.

The off-shell transport has been implemented in simulations for the propagation of $\rho$ and $\omega$ mesons to study the di-electron productions in $\gamma A$ and $pA$ reactions. Early approaches [28, 29] did not automatically provide the correct asymptotic behavior of the spectral function and an auxiliary potential were introduced to cure this problem. The above equations of motion, which do not have this deficit, were applied to di-electron production in $pA$ collisions in [30] and for $AA$ collisions in [17].

2.3 Self-energies

To solve the Eqs. (4-6) one needs the knowledge of the self-energies. Here one faces the need to decide which effects to take into account in the expression for the retarded self-energy $\Sigma_{\text{ret}}^V$ in the medium. That is because the BUU transport equations themselves already contain some part of in-medium effects that usually are considered in theoretical models in local density and local equilibrium approximation [31, 32, 33]. For instance models for in-medium effects of $\rho$ mesons usually take into account the $N(1520)$–nucleon-hole loop for the self-energy, the corresponding vertices are accounted for in BUU via $\rho$-nucleon scattering and absorption through the $N(1520)$ resonance.

In our calculations we employ a simple schematic form of the self-energy of a vector meson $V$:

$$\text{Re}\Sigma_{\text{ret}}^V = 2m_V \Delta m_V \frac{n}{n_0},$$

$$\text{Im}\Sigma_{\text{ret}}^V = m_V (\Gamma_{\text{vac}}^V + \frac{n v \sigma_V}{\sqrt{1 - v^2}}).$$

Equation (9) causes a "mass shift" $\Delta m = \sqrt{m_V^2 + \text{Re}\Sigma_{\text{ret}}^V} - m_V$ characterized by $\Delta m_V$ and being roughly proportionally to the density $n$ of the surrounding matter. The imaginary part contains the vacuum width $\Gamma_{\text{vac}}^V$ the energy dependence of which is described by a form factor [34]. The second term in Eq. (10) results from the collision broadening which depends on density, relative velocity $v$ and the cross section $\sigma_V$ of the vector meson in matter. This cross section $\sigma_V$ is calculated via the Breit-Wigner formula

$$\sigma_V = \frac{4\pi}{q_{in}^2} \sum_R \frac{2J_R + 1}{3(2J_i + 1)} \frac{s \Gamma_{V,R} \Gamma_{R}^{\text{tot}}}{(s - m_R^2)^2 + s(\Gamma_{R}^{\text{tot}})^2}$$

for forming resonances with masses $m_R$, angular momenta $J_R$, partial widths $\Gamma_{V,R}$, total widths $\Gamma_{R}^{\text{tot}}$ with energy $\sqrt{s}$ and relative momentum $q_{in}$ in the entrance channel. In vacuum the baryon density $n$ vanishes and the resulting spectral function $A_{\text{vac}}$ is solely determined by the energy dependent width $\Gamma_{V}^{\text{vac}}$. We remark that the decay of a test
particle is determined by its vacuum width. The life time of a test particle is, furthermore, reduced by the absorption during the two-particle collisions which characterizes the total width $\text{Im} \Sigma_V^{ret}$.

In our actual numerical implementation we assume that the spectral function of the $\rho$ ($\omega$) meson vanishes below two (three) times the pion mass, respectively. (For a discussion of this issue see, for instance, [35].) If a $\rho$ meson is generated at normal nuclear matter density $n_0$ its mass is distributed in accordance with the spectral function (see Eq. (10) below). If the meson propagates into a region of higher density then the mass will be lowered according to the action of $\text{Re} \Sigma^{ret}$ in Eq. (8). However if the meson comes near the threshold the width $\hat{\Gamma}$ becomes small and the second term of the right hand side of Eq. (8) dominates and reverses this trend leading to an increase of the mass.

The life time of unstable particles is also accessible in the framework of the transport equations for resonances. As it was shown in Ref. [36] this description leads to a life time $\tau = d\delta/dE$, where $\delta$ is the energy dependent scattering phase in the formation or the respective decay of the resonance. Although this relation is known for a long time [37] it was introduced only recently in the context of a BUU transport treatment [38]. This prescription is very different from the commonly used formula $\tau = h/\Gamma$. Especially if the resonance is a $p$ wave resonance the life time tends to small values near the threshold in the former case, while it approaches large values in the latter one. If the resonance decays into several channels, the total width is the relevant quantity which describes the phase of the amplitude common to all decay channels:

$$\tan \delta = -\frac{\hat{\Gamma}}{p^2 - m_0^2 - \text{Re} \Sigma^{ret}}. \quad (12)$$

Therefore, the decay rate into a special channel $c$ is given by the partial width $\Gamma_c = b_c \Gamma^{tot}$ according to

$$\tau^{-1} = b_c \Gamma^{tot} = b_c (d\delta/dE)^{-1} \quad (13)$$

with $b_c$ being the branching ratio of the decay into channel $c$. If we do not mention otherwise, we use the standard prescription for the life time, but in some cases we study the effect of using Eq. (13), as well.

## 2.4 Particle production

In most instances, a vector meson $V$ is created by the decay of a baryon resonance $R$ in the BRoBUU code. Thus, mesons are created in two-step processes like $NN \leftrightarrow NR$ with subsequent decay $R \leftrightarrow VN$. As mentioned above the BRoBUU model includes 24 non-strange baryon resonances. Their parameters (mass, width and branching ratios) are determined by a global fit to pion-nucleon scattering data, while resonance production cross sections are fitted to inelastic nucleon-nucleon scattering cross sections [34]. Since there are very few $np \rightarrow RN$ data we assume that

$$\sigma_{np \rightarrow RN} = a \sigma_{pp \rightarrow RN}; \quad (14)$$
where $a$ is a channel independent (except one, see below) constant, and its value $a = 1.34$ is obtained from a fit to the few existing data. We use that prescription for all resonances (R) except for $N(1535)$, the main source of $\eta$ meson, where experimental data indicate a much higher value of $a_{N(1535)} = 5$.

Our approach is in contrast to other ones where individual elementary hadron reaction channels are parameterized independently from one another. Using such coupled channel approach could allow us to obtain cross section for not or poorly measured channels.

The in-medium spectral functions of $\omega$ and $\rho$ mesons also have to be taken into account when their test particles are created. In the resonance decay the mass distribution of the generated test particles for mesons results from an interplay of phase-space effects and the in-medium spectral functions $A$ of the created meson. For the decay of a resonance of mass $m_R$ in a meson of mass $m$ and a baryon of mass $m_N$ we use the phase space distribution in the final state with a constant matrix element squared $|\mathcal{M}|^2$

$$\Gamma = \mathcal{N} \int d^4 p_N \delta(p_N^2 - m^2) \int d^4 p_V \frac{1}{2\pi^2} A(p_V) |\mathcal{M}|^2$$

(15)

from which the distribution

$$\frac{dN^{R\rightarrow NV}}{dm_V} = \mathcal{N} m_V \lambda^{1/2}(m_R^2, m_N^2, m_V^2) A(m_V)$$

(16)

results, where $\lambda$ is the triangle function $\lambda(a^2, b^2, c^2) = (c^2 - a^2 - b^2)^2 - (2ab)^2$. $\mathcal{N}$ is an appropriate normalization factor.

We also include meson emission during a transition $R \rightarrow R'V$ from a resonance state $R$ to another resonance $R'$ with $R' = \Delta(1232), N(1440), N(1520), N(1535)$.

![Figure 1: Production cross sections of $\omega$ (left), $\rho^0$ (middle) and $\eta$ (right) mesons in pp collisions as a function of the excess energy in comparison with data [39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47].](image)
In Fig. 1 we compare the cross section calculated with our parameter set with data measured by the collaborations SATURN, COSY and DISTO [39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47]. The relevant range region for collisions in the 1 - 2 AGeV region is at excess energies below 0.5 GeV. We recognize that the $\omega$ production in $pp$ collisions is well reproduced by our model parameters. The $pn \to pn\omega$ cross sections are about 1.5 times larger than the $pp$ cross sections. The one-boson exchange model in [48] predict even a ratio of two.

For the $\rho^0$ production near threshold there are not many $pp$ measurements near threshold. Measurements are hampered by the large $\rho$ width which make it difficult to discriminate $\rho$ mesons from sequential two pion emission. At an excess energy of 0.33 GeV a $\rho^0$ cross section of $23\pm9 \mu b$ [43] has been measured, where $\rho^0$ mesons were identified by pion pairs with with masses above 0.6 GeV. The here employed global fit including many elementary channels overestimates this cross section by a factor of 2.3. As a consequence we will reduce the $\rho$ production by this factor in the following.

With respect of $\eta$ production our model describes well the production in $pn$ collision, however seems to underestimate the production in $pp$ collisions. Since the cross sections in $pp$ collisions are anyhow smaller than those of $pn$ collisions, this fact will not seriously affect our final results.

Furthermore the $\rho$ mesons can also be created in pion annihilation processes $\pi^+\pi^- \to \rho$ (see below).

### 2.5 Di-electron production

The di-electron production from direct vector meson decays $V \to e^+e^-$ is calculated by integrating the local decay probabilities along their trajectories in accordance with Eq. (13). The branching ratios $b_c$ of the vector mesons are taken from experimental data at their pole masses. The mass dependence of this branching ratio is assumed to behave proportional to $m_V^{-3}$ in accordance with the vector meson dominance model.

The subleading so-called direct channel $\pi\pi \to \rho \to e^+e^-$ is treated with the $\rho$ meson formation cross section

$$\sigma(M) = \frac{\pi}{3p^2} 2 m^0_\rho \Gamma(p) A_\rho$$

with $m_\rho$ being the pole mass of the $\rho$ meson and $\Gamma_\rho(p)$ the vacuum width of the $\rho$ resonance. The in-medium effects are encoded in the spectral function $A$.

The $\rho$ meson produces di-electrons with a rate of

$$\frac{dN_{e^+e^-}}{dt} = \left(\frac{m^0_\rho}{m_\rho}\right)^3 b_c \Gamma(p).$$

We also include into our simulations a bremsstrahlung contribution which is guided by a one-boson exchange model adjusted to $pp$ virtual bremsstrahlung and transferred to $pn$ virtual bremsstrahlung [49]. Actually, we use

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dM} = \frac{\sigma_\perp}{M} \frac{\alpha^2}{6\pi^3} \int \frac{d^3q}{q^3_0} \frac{R_2(s)}{R_2(\bar{s})}.$$
Here $M$ is again the $e^+e^-$ invariant mass, $R_2$ denotes the two-particle phase space volume, $\sqrt{s}$ stands for the c.m.s. energy, $\bar{s}$ is the reduced energy squared after the di-electron emission, and $\sigma_{\perp}(s)$ is the transverse cross section. Equation (19) can be approximated:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dM} = \frac{\alpha^2}{3\pi^2} \frac{\sigma_{\text{tot}}}{M} \frac{s - (m_1 + m_2)^2}{e_{\text{cm}}^2} \left[ \ln\left(\frac{q_{\text{max}} + q_{0\text{max}}}{M}\right) - \frac{q_{\text{max}}}{q_{0\text{max}}} \right].$$

(20)

This approximation is applied to $pn$ and $\pi N$ collisions using the respective corresponding total cross sections $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$; $e_{\text{cm}}$ stands for the energy of the charged particle in the rest system of the colliding particles with masses $m_1$ and $m_2$, $q_{0\text{max}} = (s + M^2 - (m_1 + m_2)^2)/2\sqrt{s}$ is the maximum di-electron energy, and $q_{\text{max}} = \sqrt{q_{0\text{max}} - M^2}$ denotes the maximum di-electron momentum. It should be noted, however, that this cross section is still rather uncertain.

An essential di-electron contribution comes from the Dalitz decays of $\pi^0$, $\eta$, $\omega$ mesons and the excited baryon resonances emitting a di-electron together with a photon or nucleon. The decay rate $\Gamma_{\text{Dal}}$ for a di-electron of mass $M$ for mesons can quite generally brought into the form

$$\frac{d\Gamma_{\text{Dal}}}{dM} = \frac{4\alpha}{3\pi M} \Gamma_{\gamma} \left(1 - \frac{M^2}{m_V^2}\right)^3 F(M)^2. $$

(21)

The Dalitz decay rates are assumed to be given by the photon partial $\Gamma_{\gamma}$ width which have been taken from experiment [50]. The relevant form factors $F(M)$ for the mesons being considered are summarized in [51].

![Figure 2: Dalitz-decay of $\Delta (1232)$ for two energies (masses) $m_\Delta$: 1.232 GeV and 1.85 GeV with the description from above [23, 52, 53, 51] (left panel) and the spectral function with two different cut-off prescriptions (right panel). See text for further details.](image)

The Dalitz decay of the baryon resonances is treated as in [52]. The most important contribution to the di-electron spectra of these come from the $\Delta (1232)$ resonance. There
are also other models \[51,23,53\] for the Dalitz-decay. As can be seen on the left panel of Fig. 2, these models agree very well for resonance decays from the peak mass, however they differ substantially for \(\Delta\) resonances with energies (masses) relevant for studying the vector meson region. There is another uncertainty concerning these high-energy (mass) \(\Delta(1232)\) resonances. The width and consequently the spectrum of these resonances are sensitive on the cut-off for high masses. Here we show two possible parameterizations: one from Moniz \[54\] and the other one from Manley used in the Particle Data Book \[50\].

The number of \(\Delta(1232)\) resonances at energy (mass) around 1.85 GeV may depend on the cut-off prescription by a factor of 3. Their Dalitz decay (see left of Fig. 2) panel may differ by a factor of 4. So the Dalitz decay contribution of the \(\Delta(1232)\) resonance is uncertain by more than an order of magnitude in the vector meson region. This uncertainty may only be clarified by a detailed comparison of the calculation for \(pp \rightarrow ppe^+e^-\) with forthcoming experimental data. Using their angular dependence one can localize the different channels and then fix their magnitude. Here we would like to mention that different groups use different prescription for that channel which is one reason why the predictions, especially for the \(\Delta(1232)\) Dalitz decay contribution, are different.

### 3 Results for 2 AGeV

#### 3.1 Spectral function dynamics

We employ the above described code for the reaction C(2 AGeV) + C, where data from HADES are at our disposal \[8\]. In the present explorative study we are going to contrast simulations with and without medium modifications of \(\rho\) and \(\omega\) mesons to elucidate

![Figure 3](image_url)

*Figure 3: Influence of medium effects on the spectral functions for \(\rho\) (left panel) and \(\omega\) (right panel) mesons at various nuclear matter densities and velocities in matter.*
to which degree medium effects may become visible in the light collision system under consideration. In doing so we use fairly schematic medium effects (to be considered as an upper limit) condensed in a ”mass shift” described by the above parameter $\Delta m_\omega = -50$ MeV in Eq. (9) for the $\omega$ meson. Previous CB-TAPS data [55] suggested indeed such a $m_\omega$ mass shift. (See, however, [56] for a critical discussion of this data.) This problem is also investigated experimentally [57] and theoretically [31, 58, 59] in calculating the $\omega$ spectral function. The use of QCD sum rules [60] then can be utilized to translate this shift into a significantly larger shift for the $\rho$ meson (dictated essentially by the Landau damping term); we use here $\Delta m_\rho = -100$ MeV. We are aware of experiments as reported in Ref. [61] which do not observe a noticeable shift of the $\rho$ meson excitation strength. Nevertheless, several theoretical attempts are made to predict a possible $\rho$ ”mass shift” during the last decade. Many of them predict a fairly large shift of strength of $\rho$ excitation to lower energy [3, 4], see also [32, 33, 35, 62, 63, 64, 65]. Thus, we keep this (presumably too large a) value to illustrate whether it would have a significant imprint on the observed spectra.

The spectral function for $\rho$ and $\omega$ mesons are shown in Fig. 3 at two different densities of nuclear matter and two meson velocities in comparison with the vacuum spectral function. We would like to emphasize the strong velocity dependence of the widths, in particular for the $\omega$ mesons. Despite the excitations of various baryon resonances the spectral functions appear as relatively smooth distributions.

![Figure 4](image-url)  
Figure 4: Time evolution of the masses (upper panels) of about 100 test particles of $\omega$ mesons in a $C + C$ collision at 2 AGeV kinetic beam energy at an impact parameter of 1 fm. The red open circles indicate the time instant when test particles are annihilated due to either pionic decay or resonance absorption. The lower part displays the corresponding local densities for the same test particles. The left (without mass shift) and right (with mass shift) panels indicate the effect of the spectral function and decay properties.
Let us now consider the effect of the mass evolution of the $\omega$ and $\rho$ vector mesons given by the equations of motion Eqs. (4-6). The ensemble of test particles of mesons is generated in dense matter where their masses are distributed in accordance with their broadened and mass shifted spectral function. In Fig. 4 we show the time evolution of a small ensemble of $\omega$ test particles. In the calculations the nuclei touch each other at a time of 2.5 fm/c while the density peaks at about 6 fm/c and drops at 8 fm/c below saturation density (see lower part of the figure). At maximum density most of the vector mesons are created, afterwards the mass distribution gets narrower. Only a few of the $\omega$ mesons decay in the dense phase where their masses deviate strongly from the pole mass value. If a low density is reached the vacuum spectral function dominates the di-electron decays which leads to a sharp peak at the pole mass. The right hand part of Fig. 4 shows the test particles with a spectral function with both the mentioned mass shift and collision broadening, while the distribution on the left hand part is calculated with collision broadening only.

![Figure 4: Time evolution of the masses of about 400 test particles of $\omega$ mesons in a central $C + C$ collision at 2 AGeV kinetic beam energy at an impact parameter of 1 fm. The particles in the left panel are calculated with a spectral function without a mass shift while the middle and the right ones the indicated mass shift were used. Note the different prescription for the life time indicated in the legends.](image)

Figure 5 displays the analog behavior of the test particles of $\rho$ mesons. In the high density stage one recognizes the large spread of the $\rho$ masses of about 300 MeV due to the imaginary part of the self-energy. Most of the $\rho$ mesons decay rapidly (life time $\tau < 2$ fm/c). If we use the relation $\tau = 1/\Gamma$ for the life time then essentially the high-mass particles with their large width decay rapidly and, hence, have little chances to radiate di-electrons during their short life time. They look like flashes occurring only in a narrow time interval, thus not causing a longer paths of adjacent points in the mass vs. time...
plot. New mesons are readily created at later times and lower densities. A few low-mass $\rho$ mesons survive these periods and can still be found at 25 fm/c. Therefore, one expects a shift of the di-electron spectra to lower masses. Quite a different picture shows the right hand panel where the life time is calculated accordingly to Eq. (13). Here the low mass particles have a shorter life time than the more massive ones.

In Fig. 6 we exhibit the resulting di-electron spectra from $\rho$ and $\omega$ decays for the different prescriptions of the life time. The dashed line shows the $\rho$ and $\omega$ spectra if the masses of the test particles are kept fixed to the values at the instant of creation (green dash-dotted line). In this case the di-electron spectrum reflects the initial mass distribution which contains the high density spectral function. The effect is best visible for $\omega$ mesons near the pole mass: here, the peak at the pole mass would nearly disappear when disregarding the mass evolution. The time evolution of the off-shell propagation pushes the resulting di-electron spectra towards their vacuum spectral function. If the life time of the vector mesons follows the standard expression $\tau = \hbar/\Gamma$ then the low-mass vector mesons have sufficient time to reach their pole mass. This behavior is also clearly seen (solid line) for the $\omega$ meson. However, if Eq. (13) controls the decay this shift is hindered by the earlier decay of the low mass mesons (compare solid and dotted lines).

Figure 6: Di-electron spectra from direct decay of $\omega$ (left panel) and $\rho$ (right panel) mesons calculated with different assumptions for the dynamics and the spectral functions. "vacuum": vacuum spectral function, "matter": collision broadening and mass shifts with two different assumption of the life time, "static": in-medium spectral function while the mass evolution of the mesons is switched off.

Finally we investigate the distribution of the emitted di-electrons as function of the density of the emitting region. We consider the effect of the $\omega$ mesons in three different density regions: (i) the density $n < n_0/3$, (ii) $n_0/3 < n < n_0$, and (iii) $n > n_0$. For the light $CC$ system di-electrons from all region have similar masses and can therefore hardly disentangled in experiment, see Fig. 7.
Figure 7: Contribution to the di-electron yield from $\omega$ mesons in various density regions compared with the total yield (thick solid line labelled by "total"). The left picture is calculated with the standard life time for $\omega$ mesons, while the right panel shows the effect when using the life time $\tau = d\delta/dE$.

3.2 Comparison with HADES data

While Figs. 4, 5 and 6 refer to the central point of our work, we now look how the evolving spectral functions compare with data. In doing so the other di-electron sources have to
Figure 9: As Fig. 8 but with vacuum spectral function (collision broadening is included). The contribution from $\omega$ and $\rho$ mesons are indicated. The violet dashed line shows the spectra calculated with the in-medium spectral function from Fig. 8.

be included. The obtained di-electron spectra are represented in Figs. 8 and 9. In Fig. 8 the results are exhibited obtained by including the above described pole-mass shifts as an additional medium modification of $\rho$ and $\omega$ mesons. In Fig. 9 only the collision broadening is employed which modifies the imaginary part of the spectral function. For comparison with the data, the HADES filter has been applied [66] accounting for the geometrical acceptance, momentum cuts and pair kinematics. The filter causes a reduction of the strength and a smearing of the invariant masses of the di-electrons. The result of this filtering is always shown on the right hand panel of the figures.

In these figures we show various contributions to the di-electron rate. Important low-mass di-electron sources are $\pi^0$ and $\eta$ Dalitz decays which are proportional to the multiplicities of their parents. The TAPS collaboration has measured [67] the $\pi^0$ and $\eta$ production cross sections of $707\pm 72$ mb and $25\pm 4$ mb which have to be compared to our calculations of 870 mb and 23 mb in the same reaction at the same energy. While the values for pion production are overestimated the $\eta$ production is quite in agreement with the data. (Note that the presently employed cross sections rely on a global fit of many elementary reactions which is not optimized for special channel.) The Dalitz decays of $\rho$ and $\omega$ mesons and nucleon resonances do not contribute noticeably.

Comparing Figs. 8 and 9 the mass shifts of the vector mesons do not have a noticeable effect on the overall shape of the di-electron spectra although the peak position of the $\rho$ mesons is clearly shifted from 0.7 to 0.5 GeV. However, the large contribution of the cocktail of the other sources cover the effect of the $\rho$ mesons. Furthermore most of the $\omega$ mesons decay outside the dense zone and are therefore not very sensitive for medium effects. Since the fine structure ($\omega$ peak) is not yet resolved in the data a conclusive decision cannot be made.
Progress could be made if the di-electron mass resolution is improved to identify the $\omega$ peak. However, our calculations do not point to the possibility of a two-peak structure (resulting from a superposition of vacuum decays and in-medium decays) or a substantial smearing of the $\omega$ peak due to a density dependent shift analog to the consideration of the $\phi$ meson (see [68]).

The present set-up provides a reasonable description of the HADES data [7] for di-electron masses below 0.6 GeV. In the higher mass region some overestimation of the data is recognized. With respect to the uncertainties of the cross section $pp \rightarrow ppp\rho$ at threshold and generally the $pn$ channel as well as the role of the resonance channels one could try to improve the agreement by rescaling the $\rho$ and $\omega$ contributions. In doing so we assume that the spectral shapes are unaltered. To get a better agreement with data one needs to decrease artificially the cross sections for vector meson production by factors 0.2 for $\omega$ and 0.8 for $\rho$. Figure 10 exhibits this "optimized" comparison with data.

The transverse momentum spectra for three invariant mass bins are exhibited in Fig. 11. One recognizes a good agreement with these multi-differential data.

### 3.3 Effects in larger collision systems

The C + C system is rather light and a consequence of it is that the maximum density is about 2.5 $n_0$ (see Fig. 4). A heavy system has a longer living high-density stage reaching densities of about 3.5 $n_0$. In Fig. 12 we display the result of our calculations for a collision of Au + Au at 2 AGeV. Comparing the left and the right hand part one recognizes the larger effect of the assumed pole mass shifts of the mesons in contrast to the C + C case shown in Figs. 8 and 9. Furthermore, it is clearly seen that the amount of di-electrons coming from $\rho$ mesons is relatively larger than for light systems. This can be understood by the fact that during the longer collision time $\rho$ mesons can rapidly decay.

![Figure 10](image)

**Figure 10:** Comparison of the HADES di-electron spectrum with a calculation where the $\omega$ ($\rho$) cross section is scaled down by a factor 0.2 (0.8).
and regenerate

The effects discussed with respect to Fig. 6 are more clearly seen for a larger collision system. Figure 13 shows the dramatic change of the di-electron spectrum emitted from $\rho$ and $\omega$ mesons in central collisions Au + Au. The vacuum spectral function of the $\rho$ meson (right panel) still shows the peak near the pole mass despite the $m_\rho^{-3}$ dependence. In case of medium modification the shape differs strongly from the vacuum one.

Repeating the same analysis as in Fig. 7 for central Au + Au collisions system we find that di-electrons from the dense region (dot-dashed lines) have low masses around 600 MeV and contribute roughly 10% to the total $\omega$ yield (see Fig. 14). There is a remarkable difference between the outcome of the standard life time expression and Eq. (13).
4 Results at 1 AGeV

Recently new HADES data are available also for C + C collisions at a bombarding energy of 1 GeV per nucleon [9]. The excess energy is about 450 MeV, thus only low energy tails of the $\rho$ and $\omega$ mesons play a role. Nevertheless the $\rho$ mesons contribute essentially to the di-electron spectrum above an invariant mass of 500 MeV since other sources are even much smaller, see Figs. 15 and 16.

We obtain a reasonable agreement with the measured HADES [9] (Fig. 15) and DLS [6] (Fig. 16) data, but the data at an invariant mass around 400 MeV are underestimated. The shoulder in the data could only be explained by a higher contribution of di-electrons coming from the Dalitz decay of the $\eta$ mesons. We calculate a production cross section $\sigma_\eta = 1.8$ mb which has to be compared to the value $\sigma_{\pi^0} = 450$ mb. The experimental values measured by [67], $\sigma_\eta = 1.5 \pm 0.4$ mb and $\sigma_{\pi^0} = 287 \pm 21$ mb, give a ratio which
is a factor of 1.3 larger than our calculated value. Such an small increase of the $\eta$ yield could hardly improve the total di-electron spectra and explain the shoulder at 400 MeV.

Figure 17 exhibits the transverse momentum spectra for three invariant mass bins. A good agreement with available data can be stated.

Remarkable is the following scaling property. Comparing the normalized di-electron spectra for the reaction $n + p$ at 1.25 GeV and $C + C$ at 1 GeV (see Fig. 18 one

Figure 15: Di-electron invariant mass spectrum for C(1 AGeV) + C calculated with in-medium spectral functions. Left panel: Spectrum in full phase space. Right panel: Comparison with HADES data [9].

Figure 16: Comparison of the di-electron spectrum (line codes as in Fig. 15) calculated with the matter spectral functions with the the measurements of the DLS collaboration [6]. The filter described on the DLS web page [70] was used.
recognizes that the spectra agree to a large extent despite of the different systems and beam energies.

Figure 18: Comparison of invariant mass spectra for $n + p$ at 1.25 GeV (left panel, line codes as in right panel) and $C + C$ at 1 AGeV (right panel).

5 Summary

In summary we have considered the propagation of broad resonances within a kinetic theory (transport) approach to heavy-ion collisions. Vector mesons are described by
spectral functions and these are evolved in space and time by a test-particle method. The motivation for this work is a new generation of data on di-electrons. The corresponding experiments are aimed at seeking for imprints of chiral symmetry restoration as particular aspect of in-medium modifications of hadrons. This lets us focus on the treatment of $\rho$ and $\omega$ mesons. The wildly wide-spread predictions call for an experimental clarification, but still heavy-ion data need often the comparison with models to extract the wanted information from data.

We have utilized here the transport equations from Ref. [26] which are approximations of the much more involved Kadanoff-Baym equations [72]. Compared to an approach wherein the spectral function is frozen in after creation the present framework let the spectral functions evolve towards the vacuum spectral functions. Therefore, the in-medium modifications are washed out, in particular, for the $\omega$ meson. In contrast to earlier expectations the $\omega$ peak does not suffer a significant modification, even when assuming a strong hypothetical shift of the peak position. Within the employed framework, medium modifications of $\rho$ and $\omega$ mesons are hardly seen in the di-electron spectra of small collision systems, even when using fairly strong and schematic assumptions for them. Only heavy collision systems seem to allow still to identify the wanted medium modifications. The elementary channels which contribute to the overall yields need better control to arrive at firm conclusions on interesting many-body effects.
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