Asymptotic Symmetries and Weinberg’s Soft Photon Theorem in Mink$_{d+2}$
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Abstract: We show that Weinberg’s leading soft photon theorem in massless abelian gauge theories implies the existence of an infinite-dimensional large gauge symmetry which acts non-trivially on the null boundaries $\mathcal{I}^\pm$ of $(d+2)$-dimensional Minkowski spacetime. These symmetries are parameterized by an arbitrary function $\varepsilon(x)$ of the $d$-dimensional celestial sphere living at $\mathcal{I}^\pm$. This extends the previously established equivalence between Weinberg’s leading soft theorem and asymptotic symmetries from four and higher even dimensions to all higher dimensions.
1 Introduction

Soft theorems are equations that describe how scattering amplitudes in Minkowski spacetime involving one or many low energy, or soft, massless particles factorizes into a term
involving the soft gauge particles (known as the soft factor) and one involving the amplitude without the soft particles, i.e.

$$\mathcal{A}_n \xrightarrow{m \text{ soft particles}} S_m \mathcal{A}_{n-m},$$

(1.1)

where $S_m$ is the soft factor involving $m$ soft particles. Such theorems were established over half a century ago in the works of Bloch, Nordseick, Low, Yennie, Frautschi, Suura [1–6] and in a more modern language by Weinberg [7, 8]. A particularly interesting feature of all the soft theorems is that the soft factor is universal, i.e. it does not depend on the details of the theory under consideration. Rather, it depends only on the quantum numbers (linear momenta, angular momenta and charges) of the external particles involved in the scattering amplitude. Such universality naturally suggests that perhaps the soft theorems arise from some underlying symmetry, and indeed, it was relatively recently discovered that these theorems are precisely the Ward identities associated to the asymptotic symmetries of scattering amplitudes (see [9] for a review and a comprehensive list of references).

Largely in part due to this discovery, in the last several years, there has been a resurgence of activity in the study of soft theorems, and many interesting directions have been explored. The equivalence was originally conceived for four-dimensional theories where it has been established to hold for gauge theories [10–16], gravity [17–19], as well as their supersymmetric counterparts [20]. The equivalence has proved useful in the exploration of not just the original soft theorems, but also new subleading and sub-subleading soft theorems [21–28]. Some of these were discovered by studying known asymptotic symmetries [29–31] while others led to the discovery of new asymptotic symmetries [32–38]. Finally, this equivalence has also been extended to theories living in higher even dimensions [39–41], which is certainly relevant in the context of string theory.\footnote{More generally, soft theorems and asymptotic symmetries are also equivalent to memory effects which have been explored in [42–51], but this will be beyond the scope of this paper (see [9] for a review).}

The plethora of successes in these endeavors has given confidence that perhaps every soft theorem in Minkowski spacetime can be interpreted as the Ward identity for some asymptotic symmetry. However, there is a noticeable class of theories in which the bridge between soft theorems and asymptotic symmetries is missing – these are theories in odd spacetime dimensions. Soft theorems are true and have the same universal form in both even and odd dimensions.\footnote{The standard derivation of these theorems in perturbation theory relies largely on the fact that the free field propagator has the form $p^{-2}$, which is true in any dimension.} Yet, to our knowledge, there has been no successful attempt in showing the equivalence of soft theorems and asymptotic symmetries in odd dimensional theories. The
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1More generally, soft theorems and asymptotic symmetries are also equivalent to memory effects which have been explored in [42–51], but this will be beyond the scope of this paper (see [9] for a review).

2The standard derivation of these theorems in perturbation theory relies largely on the fact that the free field propagator has the form $p^{-2}$, which is true in any dimension.
fundamental issue lies in the qualitatively different properties of massless waves in even and odd dimensions. For instance, the massless Green’s function is supported entirely on the light cone in even dimensions, whereas in odd dimensions it is supported everywhere inside the lightcone [52, 53]. This implies that for a complete understanding of waves in odd dimensions, one needs to study timelike infinity $i^\pm$ as well as null infinity $\mathcal{I}^\pm$. Another symptom of the same feature is that the near $\mathcal{I}^\pm$ expansions of massless fields is analytic in even dimensions (i.e. admits a Taylor series expansion in $r^{-1}$ where $r$ is the radius of the transverse sphere) and non-analytic in odd dimensions. These issues make the discussion of asymptotic symmetries particularly difficult in odd dimensions. Despite this, it would be very strange if no such relationship exists, implying that soft theorems in odd dimensions have a wholly different origin than those in even dimensions.

In this paper, we resolve the issues discussed in the previous paragraph and show that, at least in the simple example of a $U(1)$ gauge theory with massless charged matter, the leading soft photon theorem is the Ward identity associated to large gauge symmetry in all dimensions, thereby unifying and generalizing the previous discussions from four and higher even dimensions to all dimensions. We organize the paper so that in §2, we study $U(1)$ gauge theories in $d+2$ dimensions and discuss asymptotic fall-offs of both free and interacting gauge fields. In §3, we impose a matching condition across spatial infinity $i^0$ and demonstrate that the resulting Ward identity implies the leading soft photon theorem. Finally, in §4, we show that the matching condition implies conservation of a charge which generates large gauge transformations on the fields at $\mathcal{I}^\pm$.

2 $U(1)$ Gauge Theories in $d + 2$ Dimensions

In this section, we introduce the conventions used in this paper and discuss the necessary background information regarding the equations of motion and the asymptotics of the $U(1)$ gauge field.

2.1 Classical Equations

We work in flat null coordinates $x^\mu = (u, r, x^a)$, $a = 1, \ldots, d$ which are related to Cartesian coordinates $X^A$ by\footnote{These coordinates were utilized in the case of four dimensions in [20] and in [54] in higher dimensions.}

\[
X^A = \frac{r}{2} \left( 1 + x^2 + \frac{u}{r}, 2x^a, 1 - x^2 - \frac{u}{r} \right), \quad x^2 = \delta_{ab} x^a x^b. \tag{2.1}
\]
Lowercase Latin indices are raised and lowered by the Kronecker delta $\delta^{ab}$ and $\delta_{ab}$, respectively. Using these coordinates, the metric of Minkowski spacetime becomes

$$ds^2 = \eta_{AB}dX^AdX^B = -dudr + r^2\delta_{ab}dx^adx^b,$$

(2.2)

where $\eta_{AB} = (-1, 1, \ldots, 1)$ is the standard Minkowski metric in Cartesian coordinates. The null boundaries $\mathcal{I}^{\pm}$ are located at $r \to \pm\infty$ while keeping $(u, x^a)$ fixed. On this hypersurface, $x^a$ is the stereographic coordinate on the celestial sphere. The point labeled by $x^a$ on $\mathcal{I}^+$ is antipodal to the point with the same label on $\mathcal{I}^-$. The boundaries of $\mathcal{I}^+$ ($\mathcal{I}^-$) are at $u \to \pm\infty$ and are denoted by $\mathcal{I}^\pm$ ($\mathcal{I}^\pm$). In Appendix A.1, we provide more details about this coordinate system.

A $U(1)$ gauge theory is described by a field strength $F_{\mu\nu}$ and matter fields $\Psi_i$. The matter fields couple to the field strength via a conserved $U(1)$ current $J_\mu$. Dynamics of the field strength is described by Maxwell’s equations and the Bianchi identity, given respectively by

$$e^2 J_\mu = \nabla^\mu F_{\mu\nu},$$

$$0 = \partial_\alpha F_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\nu F_{\alpha\mu} + \partial_\mu F_{\nu\alpha}.$$  

(2.3)

(2.4)

In flat null coordinates, (2.3) takes the form

$$e^2 J_u = 2\partial_u F_{ur} - \frac{1}{r^2}\partial^b F_{ua}$$

$$e^2 J_r = -2\partial_r F_{ur} - \frac{1}{r^2}\partial^a F_{ra} - \frac{2d}{r} F_{ur}$$

$$e^2 J_a = -2\partial_r F_{ua} - 2\partial_a F_{ra} - \frac{2(d-2)}{r} F_{ua} - \frac{1}{r^2}\partial^b F_{ab}.$$  

(2.5)

Furthermore, the Bianchi identity (2.4) is solved by

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu, \quad A_\mu \sim A_\mu + \partial_\mu \varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon \sim \varepsilon + 2\pi.$$  

(2.6)

Note that the theory is invariant under gauge transformations

$$A_\mu \to A_\mu + \partial_\mu \varepsilon, \quad \Psi_i \to e^{iQ_i \varepsilon} \Psi_i,$$  

(2.7)

where $Q_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the $U(1)$ charge of the field $\Psi_i$. Gauge transformations that vanish at infinity correspond to redundant descriptions of the same physical state and can be eliminated by a choice of gauge.
2.2 Asymptotics

In this section, we study the structure of the field strength near \( I^{\pm} \). The general solution to (2.3) and (2.4) has the form

\[
F_{\mu\nu} = F^{(R)}_{\mu\nu} + F^{(C)}_{\mu\nu}. \tag{2.8}
\]

The radiative field \( F^{(R)}_{\mu\nu} \) satisfies the homogeneous Maxwell’s equations \( (J_\nu = 0) \) and \( F^{(C)}_{\mu\nu} \) satisfies (2.3). The separation of the field strength into a radiative part and a Coulombic part is fixed by either choosing a Green’s function or by imposing boundary conditions on the fields. We will be interested in incoming \((-\)) and outgoing \((+\)) solutions to Maxwell’s equations, whose radiative and Coulombic parts are denoted respectively by \( F^{(R\pm)}_{\mu\nu} \) and \( F^{(C\pm)}_{\mu\nu} \). These fields have the following large \( r \) fall-offs near \( I^{\pm} \):

\[
\begin{align*}
F^{(R\pm)}_{ur} &= O \left( |r|^{-\frac{d}{2}+1} \right) + O \left( |r|^{-d} \right), \\
F^{(R\pm)}_{ra} &= O \left( |r|^{-\frac{d}{2}} \right) + O \left( |r|^{-d} \right), \\
F^{(R\pm)}_{ua} &= O \left( |r|^{-\frac{d}{2}+1} \right) + O \left( |r|^{-d+1} \right), \\
F^{(R\pm)}_{ab} &= O \left( |r|^{-\frac{d}{2}+1} \right) + O \left( |r|^{-d+1} \right), \\
F^{(C\pm)}_{ur} &= O \left( |r|^{-d} \right), \\
F^{(C\pm)}_{ra} &= O \left( |r|^{-d} \right), \\
F^{(C\pm)}_{ua} &= O \left( |r|^{-d+1} \right), \\
F^{(C\pm)}_{ab} &= O \left( |r|^{-d+1} \right). 
\end{align*} \tag{2.9}
\]

The leading fall-offs for the radiative part are determined by noting that the electromagnetic stress tensor components \( T_{uu} \) and \( T_{ua} \) must fall off as \( |r|^{-d} \) in order to have finite energy-momentum and angular-momentum flux through \( I^{\pm} \). Then, using the fact that \( T_{uu} \sim r^{-2}F_{ua}F^a_u \) and \( T_{ua} \sim r^{-2}F_{ab}F^b_u \), we can determine the fall-offs for \( F_{ua} \) and \( F_{ab} \). The remaining ones are then determined by Lorentz invariance. Similarly, the fall-offs for the Coulombic part are determined by Gauss’s law, which also determines the fall-offs for the matter current

\[
J_u = O(|r|^{-d}), \quad J_a = O(|r|^{-d}), \quad J_r = O(|r|^{-d-2}). \tag{2.10}
\]

We would now like to determine the precise large \( r \) expansion for both the radiative and the Coulombic fields.

\[\text{As we shall see in (2.23)}, \text{ in odd dimensions, the radiative field has an expansion in both integer and half-integer powers of } |r|, \text{ as indicated in the first column of (2.9). In even dimensions, we obtain later in (2.27) that the radiative field has an expansion in integer powers of } |r|, \text{ though non-analytic terms of the form } \log r \text{ are also present (not shown in (2.9)).}\]
2.2.1 Radiative Field

The radiative gauge field can be decomposed into creation and annihilation operators $\mathcal{O}_{a}^{(\pm)}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{a}^{(\pm)^\dagger}$ as follows:

$$F_{AB}^{(\pm)}(X) = \frac{1}{2q^0} \int \frac{d^{d+1}q}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \left[ \varepsilon_{AB}(\vec{q}) \mathcal{O}_{a}^{(\pm)}(\vec{q}) e^{i q \cdot X} + \varepsilon_{AB}^{*}(\vec{q})^{*} \mathcal{O}_{a}^{(\pm)^\dagger}(\vec{q}) e^{-i q \cdot X} \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.11)

where $q^0 = |\vec{q}|$ and $\varepsilon_{AB}(\vec{q})$ are the d polarization tensors labeled by $a$ and defined via

$$\varepsilon_{AB}(\vec{q}) = i [q_A \varepsilon_{B}(\vec{q}) - q_B \varepsilon_{A}(\vec{q})], \quad q^A \varepsilon_{A}(\vec{q}) = 0, \quad \eta^{AB} \varepsilon_{A}(\vec{q}) \varepsilon_{B}(\vec{q})^{*} = \delta^{ab}. \hspace{1cm} (2.12)$$

In a quantum theory, the creation and annihilation modes satisfy the canonical commutation relation

$$[\mathcal{O}_{a}^{(\pm)}(\vec{q}), \mathcal{O}_{b}^{(\pm)^\dagger}(\vec{q}')] = (2q^0) \delta_{ab} (2\pi)^{d+1} \delta^{(d+1)}(\vec{q} - \vec{q}'). \hspace{1cm} (2.13)$$

The equations in (2.12) remain invariant under $\varepsilon_{A}(\vec{q}) \rightarrow \varepsilon_{A}(\vec{q}) + f^{a} \varepsilon_{A}(\vec{q})q_A$ for any arbitrary functions $f^{a}$. We use this to parameterize the on-shell photon momentum and polarization as

$$q^{A} = \omega q^{A}, \quad \hat{q}^{A} = \left( \frac{1 + y^2}{2}, y^{a}, \frac{1 - y^2}{2} \right), \quad \varepsilon_{A}(\vec{q}) = \partial^{a} \hat{q}^{A} = (-y^{a}, \delta_{b}^{a}, -y^{a}). \hspace{1cm} (2.14)$$

Substituting (2.14) into (2.11) and writing the integral over flat null coordinates, we obtain

$$F_{ur}^{(\pm)}(u, r, x) = \frac{e}{4(2\pi)^{d+1} r} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int d^{d}y \omega^{d-1} \left[ \partial^{a} \mathcal{O}_{a}^{(\pm)}(\omega, x + y) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \omega u - \frac{1}{2} \omega r y^2} + \text{c.c.} \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.15)

To determine the asymptotic expansion of (2.15) at large $|u|$ and $|r|$, we assume that the creation and annihilation modes admit a soft expansion of the form

$$\partial^{a} \mathcal{O}_{a}^{(\pm)}(\omega, x + y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \omega^{n-1} \partial^{a} \mathcal{O}_{a}^{(\pm, n)}(x + y) \quad \text{as} \quad \omega \rightarrow 0^+. \hspace{1cm} (2.16)$$

This soft expansion allows for a simple pole in $\omega$ which is consistent with Weinberg’s soft photon theorem. The corresponding “soft photon operator” is $\mathcal{O}_{a}^{(\pm, 0)}(x)$. It will play a central role in the following section.

---

5 We discuss a more general parameterization of off-shell momenta in Appendix A.2.

6 Similar mode expansions exist for the other components of the field strength, but we won’t need them here.

7 This operator was denoted by $S_{a}(x)$ in [54].
We further assume that the coefficients admit a Fourier transform

$$\partial^a \mathcal{O}_a^{(\pm,n)}(x+y) = \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} e^{ik \cdot (x+y)} \mathcal{O}_a^{(\pm)}(k)$$

and

$$\mathcal{O}_a^{(\pm)}(k) = \int d^d y e^{-ik \cdot y} \partial^a \mathcal{O}_a^{(\pm,n)}(y).$$

(2.17)

Substituting (2.16) and (2.17) into (2.15), we find

$$F_{ur}^{(R\pm)}(u, r, x) = \frac{e}{2(2\pi)^{d/2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} k^{2\nu_n} \left[ \frac{ie^{ik \cdot x}}{(ir)^{d+n}} \mathcal{O}_n^{(\pm)}(k) (kz)^{-\nu_n} K_{\nu_n}(kz) + c.c. \right].$$

(2.18)

where

$$z = \sqrt{iu \sqrt{ir}}, \quad \nu_n = \frac{d}{2} - 1 + n,$$

(2.19)

and $K_{\nu}$ is the modified Bessel function of the second kind. We now consider the large $|r|$ expansion of the field strength, or equivalently the small $z$ limit. This takes a qualitatively different form depending on whether $d$ is odd or even, so we consider the two cases separately.

Odd Dimensions

When $d \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$, the order of the Bessel function is $\nu_n = \frac{d}{2} - 1 + n \notin \mathbb{Z}$. For non-integer order, the asymptotic expansion near $z = 0$ of the Bessel function is

$$K_{\nu}(z) = \frac{\pi}{2} \csc(\pi \nu) \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(s+1)} \left[ \frac{(z/2)^{2s+\nu}}{\Gamma(1+s-\nu) \Gamma(1+s+\nu)} \right], \quad \text{as} \quad z \to 0.$$  

(2.20)

Substituting (2.20) into (2.18), we find

$$F_{ur}^{(R\pm)}(u, r, x) = \frac{e}{8(2\pi)^{d/2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{\csc(\pi \nu_n)}{2^{2s-\nu_n} \Gamma(s+1) \Gamma(1+s-\nu_n)} \left[ \frac{i(\nu_n)^s}{(ir)^{d+s+n} \Gamma(1+s) \Gamma(1+s+\nu_n)} \right] \times \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} k^{2s+\nu_n} \mathcal{O}_n^{(\pm)}(k) e^{ik \cdot x} + c.c.]$$

(2.21)
We can now use the inverse Fourier transform (2.17) to go back to the momentum space variables. Evaluating

\[
\int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} k^{2\kappa} \Phi_n^{(\pm)}(k)e^{ik \cdot x} = \begin{cases} 
(-\partial^2)^\kappa \partial^\nu \mathcal{O}_a^{(\pm,n)}(x) & \kappa \in \mathbb{Z} \\
\frac{4^{\nu_n-2s}}{\pi^\frac{d}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}+\nu_n\right) \int d^d y \frac{\partial^\nu \mathcal{O}_a^{(\pm,n)}(y)}{(x-y)^\frac{d}{2}+\nu} & \kappa \notin \mathbb{Z}
\end{cases}
\]  

(2.22)

where \(\partial^2 = \partial^\nu \partial_n\), and substituting into (2.21), we obtain

\[
F_{ur}^{(R\pm)}(u, r, x) = \frac{e}{8(2\pi)^\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{\nu_n-2s}}{\Gamma(s+1)\Gamma(1+s-\nu_n)}
\times \left[ \frac{i(\nu_n)^s}{ir} \left(\frac{d}{2}+1+s\right) \right] \left(-\partial^2\right)^s \partial^\nu \mathcal{O}_a^{(\pm,n)}(x) + \text{c.c.} \right]
\]

\[
+ \frac{e}{2\frac{d}{2}+3} \pi^{d+1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{s} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}+\nu_n+s\right)}{2^{-\nu_n} \Gamma(s+1)} \left[ \frac{i(\nu_n)^s}{ir} \int d^d y \frac{\partial^\nu \mathcal{O}_a^{(\pm,n)}(y)}{(x-y)^\frac{d}{2}+\nu+s} + \text{c.c.} \right].
\]

(2.23)

### Even Dimensions

When \(d \in 2\mathbb{Z}\), the order of the Bessel function is \(\nu_n = \frac{d}{2} - 1 + n \in \mathbb{Z}\). For integer order, the asymptotic expansion near \(z = 0\) of the Bessel function is given by

\[
K_\nu(z) = \sum_{s=0}^{\nu-1} \frac{(-1)^s \Gamma(\nu-s) \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2s-\nu}}{2\Gamma(s+1)} + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\nu-1} \left[ \log\left(\frac{z}{2}\sqrt{\pi}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(H_s + H_{s+\nu}\right) \right] \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2s+\nu}}{\Gamma(s+1)\Gamma(s+\nu+1)},
\]

(2.24)

where \(\gamma_E\) is the Euler-Mascheroni constant and \(H_n\) is the \(n\)-th harmonic number (we define \(H_0 = 0\)). Substituting (2.24) into (2.18), we find

\[
F_{ur}^{(R\pm)}(u, r, x) = \frac{e}{2(2\pi)^\frac{d}{2}+1} \sum_{n=0}^{\nu_n-1} \sum_{s=0}^{\nu_n-1} \frac{(-1)^s \Gamma(\nu_n-s)}{2^{2s-\nu_n+1} \Gamma(s+1)} \left[ \frac{i(\nu_n)^s}{ir} \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} k^{2\nu_n} \Theta_n^{(\pm)}(k)e^{ik \cdot x} + \text{c.c.} \right] 
\]

\[
+ \frac{e}{2(2\pi)^\frac{d}{2}+1} \sum_{n=0}^{\nu_n-1} \sum_{s=0}^{\nu_n-1} \frac{2^{-2s-\nu_n}(-1)^{\nu_n-1}}{\Gamma(s+1)\Gamma(s+\nu_n+1)} \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} k^{2(s+\nu_n)} 
\times \left[ \frac{i(\nu_n)^s}{ir} \left[ \log\left(\frac{\sqrt{\pi}v}{\sqrt{v}}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(H_s + H_{s+\nu_n}\right) \right] \Theta_n^{(\pm)}(k)e^{ik \cdot x} + \text{c.c.} \right].
\]

(2.25)
Again, we want to use the inverse Fourier transform (2.17) to go back to the momentum space variables. Evaluating for $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$
\int \frac{d^dk}{(2\pi)^d} k^{2\kappa} \log k \mathcal{G}_n^{(\pm)}(k) e^{ikx} = \frac{(4\pi)^{d/2} \Gamma(\kappa + 1) \Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} + \kappa \right)}{(-1)^{\kappa+1} 2^{1-2\kappa}} \int d^dy \frac{\partial^a \mathcal{O}_a^{(\pm,n)}(y)}{[(x-y)^2]^{\frac{d}{2}+\kappa}},
$$

we get upon substituting (2.22) and (2.26) into (2.25)

$$
F_{ur}^{(R\pm)}(u, r, x)
= \frac{e}{2(2\pi)^{d/2+1}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\nu_n-1} \frac{(-1)^s \Gamma(\nu_n - s)}{2^{2s-\nu_n+1} \Gamma(s+1)} \left[ \frac{i(au)^{s-\nu_n}}{(ir)^{d/2+1+s}} (-\partial^2)^s \partial^a \mathcal{O}_a^{(\pm,n)}(x) + \text{c.c.} \right]
+ \frac{e}{8\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^s 2^{2s+\nu_n} \Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} + s + \nu_n \right)}{\Gamma(s+1)} \left[ \frac{i(au)^s}{(ir)^{d+s+n}} \int d^dy \frac{\partial^a \mathcal{O}_a^{(\pm,n)}(y)}{[(x-y)^2]^{\frac{d}{2}+\nu_n+s}} + \text{c.c.} \right]
+ \frac{e}{2(2\pi)^{d/2+1}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{-2s-\nu_n}(-1)^{\nu_n-1}}{\Gamma(s+1) \Gamma(s+\nu_n+1)}
\times \left[ \frac{i(au)^s}{(ir)^{d+s+n}} \log \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sqrt{\nu_n} \epsilon^\kappa}{\sqrt{r}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left( H_s + H_{s+\nu_n} \right) \right] \left( -\partial^2 \right)^{s+\nu_n} \partial^a \mathcal{O}_a^{(\pm,n)}(x) + \text{c.c.} \right].
$$

### 2.2.2 Coulombic Field

Having performed the asymptotic expansion for the radiative field, we now turn to the Coulombic field. The large $r$ expansion for this can be performed in two ways. First, we could follow strategy used in the previous section and start by writing the explicit solution for the Coulombic field using the massless scalar Green’s function as

$$
F_{AB}^{(C\pm)}(X) = e^2 \int d^{d+2}Y \mathfrak{G}^{(\pm)}(X-Y) [\partial_A J_B(Y) - \partial_B J_A(Y)],
$$

where $\mathfrak{G}^{(\pm)}(X)$ is the retarded (−) or advanced (+) massless scalar Green’s function satisfying $\partial^2 \mathfrak{G}^{(\pm)}(X) = \delta^{(d+2)}(X)$. Explicitly,

$$
\mathfrak{G}^{(\pm)}(X) = 2\theta(\mp X^0) \text{Re} [f_d(X)], \quad f_d(X) = \frac{(-i)^{d+1} \Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} \right)}{4\pi^{d/2+1}} \left( X^0 \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \left| [X^0 - i\epsilon] \right|^\frac{d}{2}.
$$

Then, assuming an appropriate asymptotic expansion (near $x^\pm$) for the conserved current (along with some other assumptions regarding the behaviour of the current near $i^\pm$), we can use (2.28) and (2.29) to determine the large $r$ expansion of the Coulombic field.
While possible, this is a tedious exercise and it is more convenient to determine the large \( r \) expansion of the Coulombic field as follows. We start by assuming that the Coulombic field admits a Taylor expansion of the following form near \( \mathcal{I}^{\pm} \) (consistent with (2.9)):

\[
F_{ur}^{(C \pm),(d+n)}(u, r, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{F_{ur}^{(C \pm),(d+n)}(u, x)}{|r|^{d+n}}, \quad F_{ua}^{(C \pm),(u, r, x)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{F_{ua}^{(C \pm),(d+n)}(u, x)}{|r|^{d-n}}, \quad F_{ra}^{(C \pm),(u, r, x)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{F_{ra}^{(C \pm),(d+n)}(u, x)}{|r|^{d+n}}, \quad F_{ab}^{(C \pm),(u, r, x)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{F_{ab}^{(C \pm),(d+n)}(u, x)}{|r|^{d-n}}.
\]

Similarly, consistent with (2.10), we also assume the expansion

\[
J_{u}(u, r, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{J_{u}^{(\pm,d+n)}(u, x)}{|r|^{d+n}}, \quad J_{a}(u, r, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{J_{a}^{(\pm,d+n)}(u, x)}{|r|^{d+n}}, \quad J_{r}(u, r, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{J_{r}^{(\pm,d+2+n)}(u, x)}{|r|^{d+n}}.
\]

Substituting (2.30) and (2.31) into (2.5), we can obtain equations order by order in the large \( r \) expansion. Solving these yields the full asymptotic expansion for the Coulombic field strength, though for our purposes, it suffices to obtain just the following leading order constraint equation (obtained from the \( u \) component of Maxwell’s equations):

\[
2 \partial_u F_{ur}^{(C \pm, d)} = e^2 J_{u}^{(\pm,d)}. \tag{2.32}
\]

3 Weinberg’s Soft Photon Theorem

3.1 Matching Condition

As in four dimensions, we impose a matching condition on the radial electric field\(^8\)

\[
F_{ur}^{(+,d)} \big|_{\mathcal{I}^{+}} = - F_{ur}^{(-,d)} \big|_{\mathcal{I}^{-}}. \tag{3.1}
\]

\(^8\)The unusual sign is due to the coordinate choice. To see that this is correct, one can verify it for the Liénard-Wiechert potential for a static charge, given by \( A_0(\vec{X}) = c|\vec{X}|^{1-d} \) and \( \vec{A}(\vec{X}) = 0 \). Moving to flat null coordinates, we find

\[
F_{ur} = \frac{e}{2} \left[ (1 + x^2) \partial_u - \partial_r \right] |\vec{X}|^{1-d} = \frac{2^{d-1} c(d-1)(r + rx^2 - u)}{u^2 - 2ur(1 - x^2) + r^2(1 + x^2)^2}. \tag{3.2}
\]

This implies \( \lim_{r \to \pm \infty} (|r|^d F_{ur}) = \pm 2^{d-1} c(d-1)(1 + x^2)^{-d} \). For more extensive discussions on the antipodal matching condition, we refer the reader to [55, 56].
Recall that coordinate $x$ labels antipodal points on the celestial spheres on $\mathcal{I}^+$ and $\mathcal{I}^-$, so the above condition is an antipodal matching condition. To massage this matching condition into a more useful form, we define the “charge”

$$Q^\pm_\varepsilon = \pm \frac{2}{e^2} \int_{\mathcal{I}^\pm} d^d x \varepsilon F_{ur}^{(\pm,d)},$$

(3.2)

where $\varepsilon \equiv \varepsilon(x)$ is a function defined on the $d$-dimensional celestial sphere at $\mathcal{I}^\pm$. As we will show in §4 using the covariant phase space formalism, $Q^\pm_\varepsilon$ is the charge that generates large gauge transformations on $\mathcal{I}^\pm$. We can therefore think of $Q^\pm_\varepsilon$ as measuring the local $U(1)$ charge of the in and out state respectively. For $\varepsilon = 1$, this measures the total global $U(1)$ charge. These charges can be determined in experiment by measuring the electromagnetic memory [44, 46, 57].

The antipodal matching condition (3.1) immediately implies

$$Q^+_\varepsilon = Q^-_{-\varepsilon}.$$  

(3.3)

Breaking up the field strength into radiative and Coulombic pieces, we can write the charges as

$$Q^\pm_\varepsilon = Q^{\pm S}_\varepsilon + Q^{\pm H}_\varepsilon,$$

(3.4)

where

$$Q^{\pm S}_\varepsilon = \pm \frac{2}{e^2} \int_{\mathcal{I}^\pm} d^d x \varepsilon F_{ur}^{(R\pm,d)} , \quad Q^{\pm H}_\varepsilon = \pm \frac{2}{e^2} \int_{\mathcal{I}^\pm} d^d x \varepsilon F_{ur}^{(C\pm,d)}.$$  

(3.5)

$Q^{\pm S}_\varepsilon$ are the incoming and outgoing soft charges and $Q^{\pm H}_\varepsilon$ are the incoming and outgoing hard charges. Using (2.32), the hard charges can be written as

$$Q^{\pm H}_\varepsilon = -\int_{\mathcal{I}^\pm} dud^d x \varepsilon J_{u}^{(\pm,d)} + \frac{2}{e^2} \int_{\mathcal{I}^\pm} d^d x \varepsilon F_{ur}^{(C\pm,d)}.$$  

(3.6)

The second term above is the Coulombic field in the far past or far future and only receives contributions from stable massive particles. In this paper, we assume that our theory contains only stable massless states so this contribution vanishes. Thus, the hard charges become

$$Q^{\pm H}_\varepsilon = -\int_{\mathcal{I}^\pm} dud^d x \varepsilon J_{u}^{(\pm,d)}.$$  

(3.7)

To determine the soft charge in odd and even dimensions, we extract the coefficient of $|r|^{-d}$ in (2.23) and (2.27), respectively. In odd dimensions, we get

$$F_{ur}^{(R\pm,d)} = \pm \frac{(-1)^{d+1}}{16\pi^d} \frac{\Gamma (d-1)}{(d-1)} \int d^d y \frac{\partial^a \mathcal{O}_a^{(\pm,0)} (y) + \partial^a \mathcal{O}_a^{(\pm,0)} (y)^\dagger (y)}{(x-y)^{d-1}}.$$  

(3.8)
and for even dimensions, we get

\[
F^{(R \pm ,d)}_{ur} = -\frac{e}{(4\pi)^{\frac{d}{2} + 1} \Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} \right)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^n \Gamma(n) \left[ i(iu)^{-n} \left( -\partial^2 \right)^{\frac{d}{2} - 1} \partial^n \mathcal{O}_a^{(\pm,n)}(x) + \text{c.c.} \right] + i e \left( -1 \right)^{\frac{d}{2} \Gamma \left( d - 1 \right)} \int d^d y \frac{\partial^n \mathcal{O}_a^{(\pm,0)}(y) - \partial^n \mathcal{O}_a^{(\pm,0)\dagger}(y)}{(x-y)^{d-1}} \right]
\]

\[
+ \frac{ie}{(4\pi)^{\frac{d}{2} + 1} \Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} \right)} \left( \log \left( \frac{|u|e^{2\pi i}}{4\pi} \right) - H \right)
\left( -\partial^2 \right)^{\frac{d}{2} - 1} \left[ \partial^n \mathcal{O}_a^{(\pm,0)}(x) - \partial^n \mathcal{O}_a^{(\pm,0)\dagger}(x) \right]
\]

\[
+ \frac{ie}{8(4\pi)^{\frac{d}{2} \Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} \right)}} \left( -\partial^2 \right)^{\frac{d}{2} - 1} \left[ \partial^n \mathcal{O}_a^{(\pm,0)}(x) + \partial^n \mathcal{O}_a^{(\pm,0)\dagger}(x) \right].
\]

Notice that in even dimensions this coefficient has a logarithmic divergence, which means the soft charge is potentially ill-defined in even dimensions. To cancel this divergence, we impose\(^9\)

\[
\partial^n \mathcal{O}_a^{(\pm,0)}(x) = \partial^n \mathcal{O}_a^{(\pm,0)\dagger}(x).
\]

We remark that this constraint implies that in even dimensions \(F^{(R \pm ,d)}_{ur} \big|_{\mathcal{F}_\pm} = 0\), an assumption that was made previously in [10]. The soft charge now takes the form

\[
Q_{\varepsilon}^{\pm} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{(4\pi)^{\frac{d}{2} \Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} \right)}} \int d^d x \varepsilon(x)(-\partial^2)^{\frac{d}{2} - 1} \partial^n \mathcal{O}_a^{(\pm,0)}(x) & d \in 2\mathbb{Z} \\
\frac{(-1)^{\frac{d}{2} - 1} \Gamma(d-1)}{4\pi^{\frac{d}{2} \Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} \right)} e} \int d^d x \varepsilon(x) \int d^d y \partial^n \mathcal{O}_a^{(\pm,0)}(y) \left[ (x-y)^{d-1} \right] & d \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1.
\end{array} \right.
\]

We conclude this section by bringing the soft charge into the same form for both odd and even dimensions by judiciously choosing \(\varepsilon\) for the remainder of the paper to be

\[
\varepsilon(z) = f_x(z) = (-\partial^2) \log \left[ (x-z)^2 \right].
\]

Then, using the fact that in even dimensions

\[
(-\partial^2)^{\frac{d}{2} - 1} f_x(z) = (-\partial^2)^{\frac{d}{2}} \log \left[ (x-z)^2 \right] = -(4\pi)^{\frac{d}{2} \Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} \right)} \delta^{(d)}(x-z),
\]

\(^9\)Note that (3.10) also implies that we can no longer think of \(\mathcal{O}_a^{(\pm,0)\dagger}\) as a creation operator. Rather, it is an operator that shifts the vacuum. From the perspective of the path integral, this condition is equivalent to continuity of the S-matrix at \(\omega = 0\), i.e. the zero energy limit of the operators does not depend on whether we approach zero from the positive or negative real axis (see [12]).
and in odd dimensions
\[
\int d^d z \frac{f_x(z)}{[(z - y)^2]^{d-1}} = -2(d - 2) \int d^d z \frac{1}{[(z - y)^2]^{d-1}(x - z)^2}
= -\frac{4(-1)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}{{\pi^{d+1}}} \frac{\delta^{(d)}(x - y)}{\Gamma(d - 1)},
\]
(3.14)

the soft charge becomes for all spacetime dimensions\(^\text{10}\)
\[
Q_{f_x}^{\pm} = -\frac{1}{2e} \left( \partial^a O^{(\pm,0)}_{a}(x) + \partial^a O^{(\pm,0)\dagger}_{a}(x) \right) = -\frac{1}{e} \partial^a O_{a}^{(\pm,0)}(x).
\]
(3.15)

### 3.2 Ward Identity

Thus far, our discussion of fields, matching conditions, and charges has been entirely classical. We now extend the discussion to a semi-classical theory and derive a Ward identity. In such a theory, the quantity of interest is the scattering amplitude, which is the overlap between the \textit{in} state (living on \(i^- \cup J^-\)) and the \textit{out} state (living on \(i^+ \cup J^+\)), i.e.
\[
\mathcal{A}_n = \langle \text{out} | \text{in} \rangle,
\]
(3.16)

where \(n\) is the total number of particles in the \textit{in} and \textit{out} state. The classical matching condition (3.3) then translates to
\[
\langle \text{out} | (Q^+_\varepsilon - Q^-_\varepsilon) | \text{in} \rangle = 0.
\]
(3.17)

Using (3.4), we can rewrite this as
\[
\langle \text{out} | (Q^{+S}_{\varepsilon} - Q^{-S}_{\varepsilon}) | \text{in} \rangle = -\langle \text{out} | (Q^{+H}_{\varepsilon} - Q^{-H}_{\varepsilon}) | \text{in} \rangle.
\]
(3.18)

To simplify this, we evaluate the action of the hard charge on one-particle states, i.e. the right-hand-side of (3.18). Let \(|\Psi_i, \vec{p}_i, s_i\rangle\) be a massless one-particle state with charge \(Q_i\), momentum \(\vec{p}_i\), and spin \(s_i\). We may parameterize the momentum as
\[
\vec{p}_i^A = \omega_i \left( \frac{1 + x_i^2}{2}, x_i^a, \frac{1 - x_i^2}{2} \right).
\]
(3.19)

\(^{10}\)Although we picked \(\varepsilon = f_x\) in (3.12), we can recover the soft charge \(Q^{\pm S}_{\varepsilon}\) for general \(\varepsilon\) from (3.15) by using (3.11) to write \(Q^{\pm S}_{\varepsilon}\) as an appropriate linear combination of \(Q^{\pm S}_{f_x} \sim \partial^a O_{a}^{(\pm,0)}\). This means we didn’t lose any generality by choosing \(\varepsilon = f_x\), and the Ward identity we derive below is also completely general.
The action of the conserved current on the bra and ket states is (see Appendix B for an explicit calculation for scalar fields)

\[
\int_{\mathcal{D}} du J_u^{(-d)}(u,x) \langle \Psi_i, \vec{p}_i, s_i | Q_i \delta^{(d)}(x-x_i) \rangle = \langle \Psi_i, \vec{p}_i, s_i | Q_i \delta^{(d)}(x-x_i) \rangle.
\]

(3.20)

It follows using (3.7) that

\[
Q_i^{-H} \langle \Psi_i, \vec{p}_i, s_i | = -Q_i \varepsilon(x_i) \langle \Psi_i, \vec{p}_i, s_i |, \quad \langle \Psi_i, \vec{p}_i | Q_i^{+H} = -Q_i \varepsilon(x_i) \langle \Psi_i, \vec{p}_i, s_i |.
\]

(3.21)

Note that this is simply an infinitesimal large gauge transformation of the state. Setting \(\varepsilon = f_x\) in (3.18) and using (3.15) and (3.21), we derive the Ward identity

\[
\langle \text{out} | \left[\partial^a O_a^{(+0)}(x) - \partial^a O_a^{(-0)}(x)\right] | \text{in} \rangle = e \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i Q_i \partial^2 \log [(x-x_i)^2] \langle \text{out} | \text{in} \rangle.
\]

(3.22)

Here, \(\eta_i = +1\) for outgoing particles and \(\eta_i = -1\) for incoming particles.

### 3.3 Soft Theorem

Finally, in this section we will show that the Ward identity (3.22) is implied by Weinberg’s leading soft photon theorem, which in standard momentum space variables reads

\[
A_{n+1}^{\text{out}}(\vec{p}_\gamma, \varepsilon_a; p_1, \cdots, p_n) = e \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i Q_i \varepsilon_a(p_\gamma) \frac{p_i \cdot p_\gamma}{p_i \cdot p_\gamma} A_n(p_1, \cdots, p_n) + O((p_\gamma)^0),
\]

(3.23)

where \(\varepsilon_a\) is the polarization vector (not to be confused with the function \(\varepsilon(z)\) in (3.12)). Note that the leading order term in the soft expansion is a simple pole in photon momentum \(p_\gamma\), and this is known as the Weinberg pole.\(^{11}\) We want to parametrize (3.23) using the momentum space parameterization employed in the previous sections (see §A.2 for details), i.e.

\[
p^A_\gamma = \omega \left( \frac{1 + x^2}{2}, x^a, \frac{1 - x^2}{2} \right),
\]

\[
p^A_i = \omega_i \left( \frac{1 + x^2_i}{2}, x^a_i, \frac{1 - x^2_i}{2} \right),
\]

\[
\varepsilon^A_a(p_\gamma) = \left( x_a, \delta^b_a, -x_a \right).
\]

\(^{11}\)The first subleading term in the soft expansion is also universal and is related to an asymptotic symmetry, but this is beyond the scope of this paper.
In this parametrization, the soft factor corresponding to polarization $a$ is
\[
ee^{-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \eta_i Q_i \frac{p_i \cdot \varepsilon_a(p_{\gamma})}{p_i \cdot p_{\gamma}}} = e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \eta_i Q_i \partial_a \log \left[(x-x_i)^2\right]}.
\] (3.25)

The last step is to recast the scattering amplitudes in (3.23) in terms of the $in$ and $out$ states. The $n$-point amplitude on the right-hand-side is simply $\langle out | in \rangle$. On the other hand, an outgoing photon corresponds to the insertion of the operator $\mathcal{O}_a^{(+)}(\omega, x) - \mathcal{O}_a^{(-)}(\omega, x)$ (see Appendix C for details). The coefficient of $\omega^{-1}$ in (3.25) is extracted by first multiplying by $\omega$ and then taking a $\omega \to 0$ limit. Using (2.16), we find that this operator is
\[
\lim_{\omega \to 0} \left[ \omega \mathcal{O}_a^{(+)}(\omega, x) - \omega \mathcal{O}_a^{(-)}(\omega, x) \right] = \mathcal{O}_a^{(+,0)}(x) - \mathcal{O}_a^{(-,0)}(x).
\] (3.26)

Substituting this into an $S$-matrix element gives precisely the left-hand-side of (3.23), and using (3.25), we see that the soft theorem can be written as
\[
\langle out | \left( \mathcal{O}_a^{(+,0)}(x) - \mathcal{O}_a^{(-,0)}(x) \right) | in \rangle = e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \eta_i Q_i \partial_a \log \left[(x-x_i)^2\right]} \langle out | in \rangle.
\] (3.27)

Acting on both sides with $\partial_a$ and using (3.10), we immediately reproduce the Ward identity (3.22), completing our demonstration that Weinberg’s leading soft photon theorem implies the Ward identity.

We conclude this section with a few comments.

- We have shown above that Weinberg’s leading soft photon theorem for an outgoing soft photon implies the Ward identity. However, we could have just as well chosen to work with an incoming soft photon, in which case the soft theorem reads
\[
\mathcal{A}_{n+1}^{in}(\vec{p}_{\gamma}, \varepsilon_a; p_1, \cdots, p_n) = -e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \eta_i Q_i \frac{p_i \cdot \varepsilon_a(p_{\gamma})}{p_i \cdot p_{\gamma}}} \mathcal{A}_n(p_1, \cdots, p_n) + O((p_{\gamma})^0),
\] (3.28)

and differs from (3.23) by only a relative sign. The left-hand-side of the above equation corresponds to the insertion of $\mathcal{O}_a^{(-,0)}(\omega, x) - \mathcal{O}_a^{(+,0)}(\omega, x)$. Multiplying by $\omega$, taking the $\omega \to 0$ limit, and using (3.10), we immediately find that the outgoing soft photon theorem (3.23) implies the incoming soft photon theorem, and vice versa.

- We have not yet demonstrated the equivalence between the Ward identity and Weinberg’s leading soft photon theorem. Instead, we have only established the fact that the soft theorem implies the Ward identity. To prove the converse, we need to use the additional constraint that
\[
\partial_a \mathcal{O}_b^{(\pm,0)}(x) - \partial_b \mathcal{O}_a^{(\pm,0)}(x) = 0.
\] (3.29)
This constraint is obviously true from the standpoint of the soft theorem (3.27), and is solved by

\[ \mathcal{O}_a^{(\pm,0)}(x) = \partial_a \mathcal{S}^{(\pm)}(x). \]  

(3.30)

We can then derive the Ward identity for the insertion of \( \mathcal{S}^{(\pm)}(x) \), which is given by

\[ \langle \text{out} | \left[ \mathcal{S}^{(+)}(x) - \mathcal{S}^{(-)}(x) \right] | \text{in} \rangle = e \sum_{i=1}^{n} \eta_i Q_i \log \left[ (x - x_i)^2 \right] \langle \text{out} | \text{in} \rangle. \]  

(3.31)

Acting on both sides with \( \partial_a \) and using (3.30), we immediately reproduce the soft theorem (3.27).

4 Large Gauge Symmetry

In the previous section, we have shown that the Ward identity corresponding to the charge \( Q_{\pm}^z \) is equivalent to Weinberg’s soft photon theorem. We now show that this charge generates large gauge transformations on \( \mathscr{S}^\pm \) using the covariant phase space formalism [58]. We have already done this for the hard charge, so it remains to show that the soft charge (i.e. the radiative field) acts on the gauge field to generate large gauge transformations. For this purpose, we can focus simply on pure \( U(1) \) gauge theory. This is described by the action

\[ S[A] = -\frac{1}{2e^2} \int_M F \wedge *F, \quad F = dA, \quad A \sim A + d\varepsilon. \]  

(4.1)

Varying this, we find

\[ \delta S = -\frac{1}{e^2} \int_M \delta A \wedge (d * F) - \frac{1}{e^2} \int_{\partial M} \delta A \wedge *F. \]  

(4.2)

The bulk term gives us the equations of motion \( d * F = 0 \). From the boundary term we can read off the canonical one-form on a Cauchy surface \( \Sigma \) as

\[ \Theta_{\Sigma}(\delta) = \frac{1}{e^2} \int_\Sigma \delta A \wedge *F. \]  

(4.3)

\(^{12}\)This can be derived from a careful study of the symplectic form, which will be done in detail in forthcoming work. This constraint was also discussed in [9].

\(^{13}\)To do this, we choose \( \varepsilon(z) = \log (|x - z|^2) \) in the Ward identity (3.18).

\(^{14}\)A recent analysis of the charge generating large gauge transformations at spatial infinity in all dimensions \( d \geq 4 \) is given in [59].
If we restrict $A$ to satisfy the equations of motion and $\delta A$ to satisfy the linearized equations of motion, then $\Theta$ can be understood as a one-form at the point $A$ in the phase space $\Gamma$. The presymplectic form on $\Sigma$ is

$$\Omega_{\Sigma}(\delta, \delta') = \delta \Theta_{\Sigma}(\delta') - \delta' \Theta_{\Sigma}(\delta) = \frac{1}{e^2} \int_{\Sigma} \left[ \delta' A \wedge * \delta F - \delta A \wedge * \delta' F \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.4)

At this point, we recall that the gauge field $A$ is determined only up to a gauge choice (4.1). To keep track of this, we separate the gauge field into two pieces

$$A = \tilde{A} + d\psi,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.5)

where $\tilde{A}$ satisfies a chosen gauge-fixing condition,\footnote{In our work, it is often convenient to choose $f[\tilde{A}] = \tilde{A}_u$.} \hspace{1cm}  \hspace{1cm} (4.6)

and gauge transformations simply involve

$$\psi \rightarrow \psi + \varepsilon.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.7)

It follows that the symplectic form is

$$\Omega_{\Sigma}(\delta, \delta') = \frac{1}{e^2} \int_{\Sigma} \left[ \delta' \tilde{A} \wedge * \delta F - \delta \tilde{A} \wedge * \delta' F \right] + \frac{1}{e^2} \int_{\partial \Sigma} \left[ \delta' \psi \wedge \delta F - \delta \psi \wedge \delta' F \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.8)

We note that modes for which $\psi|_{\partial \Sigma} = 0$ cannot appear in the symplectic form and need to be fixed in order to have a non-degenerate symplectic form. We assume that this has been done, and so the remaining boundary value of $\psi$ symplectically couples to the boundary field strength.

The charge that generates a particular transformation $\Delta$ on the phase space satisfies

$$\delta Q^\Sigma_{\Delta} = \Omega_{\Sigma}(\delta, \Delta).$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.9)

In particular, for gauge transformations $\delta_{\varepsilon} \tilde{A} = \delta_{\varepsilon} F = 0$ and $\delta_{\varepsilon} \psi = \varepsilon$, we get

$$Q^\Sigma_{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{e^2} \int_{\partial \Sigma} \varepsilon \wedge F.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.10)

Note that the charge is non-zero only if $\varepsilon|_{\partial \Sigma} \neq 0$, so this means $Q^\Sigma_{\varepsilon}$ is the generator of \textit{large gauge transformations}. To determine its form on $\mathcal{I}^\pm$, we note that the directed area element on $\mathcal{I}^\pm$ is

$$dS^{\pm}_{\mu \nu} = \pm d^d x |r|^d \delta_{\mu}^\nu \delta_{\nu}^u.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.11)
The ± sign arises from the outward-pointing null vector, which on $\mathcal{I}^\pm$ is $\mp \partial_r$. Thus, we have

$$Q^\pm = \pm \frac{2}{e^2} \int_{\mathcal{I}^\pm} d^d x \varepsilon F^{(\pm, d)}_{ur},$$

which is precisely the charge (3.2).
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A Coordinate Conventions

A.1 Position Space

In this subsection, we will gain some intuition regarding the flat null coordinates utilized throughout this paper by collecting miscellaneous facts about them. Flat null coordinates $x^\mu = (u, x^a, r)$ are related to Cartesian coordinates $X^A$ via

$$X^0 = \frac{r}{2} \left( 1 + x^2 \right) + \frac{u}{2}, \quad X^a = r x^a, \quad X^{d+1} = \frac{r}{2} \left( 1 - x^2 \right) - \frac{u}{2}. \quad (A.1)$$

The inverse relation is

$$u = \frac{(X^0)^2 - X^a X_a - (X^{d+1})^2}{X^0 + X^{d+1}}, \quad x^a = \frac{X^a}{X^0 + X^{d+1}}, \quad r = X^0 + X^{d+1}. \quad (A.2)$$

Though the coordinates are ill-defined on the hypersurface $X^0 + X^{d+1} = 0$ where $r = 0$, away from this point, the metric is well defined and takes the form

$$ds^2 = \eta_{AB} dX^A dX^B = -(dX^0)^2 + dX^a dX_a + (dX^{d+1})^2
= -du dr + r^2 d^d x_a d^d x_a, \quad (A.3)$$

where $\eta_{AB} = (-1, 1, \ldots, 1)$ is the usual Minkowski metric in Cartesian coordinates. The range of the coordinates is

$$u \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x^a \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}. \quad (A.4)$$
We note
\[ \partial_u = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \partial_{X^0} - \partial_{X^{d+1}} \right] \]
\[ \partial_a = r x_a \left[ \partial_{X^0} - \partial_{X^{d+1}} \right] + r \partial_{X^a} \]
\[ \partial_r = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + x^2 \right) \partial_{X^0} + x^a \partial_{X^a} + \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - x^2 \right) \partial_{X^{d+1}}, \]

and
\[ dX^0 = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + x^2 \right) dr + \frac{1}{2} du + r x_a dx^a \]
\[ dX^a = x^a dr + r dx^a \]
\[ dX^{d+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - x^2 \right) dr - \frac{1}{2} du - r x_a dx^a. \]
\[ (A.5) \]

The volume form is
\[ \varepsilon = dX^0 \wedge dX^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dX^d \wedge dX^{d+1} = \frac{1}{2} r^d du \wedge dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^d \wedge dr. \]
\[ (A.6) \]

The nonvanishing metric components and its inverse are
\[ g_{ur} = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad g_{ab} = r^2 \delta_{ab}, \quad g^{ur} = -2, \quad g^{ab} = \frac{1}{r^2} \delta^{ab}. \]
\[ (A.7) \]

The nonvanishing Christoffel symbols are
\[ \Gamma^u_{ab}[g] = 2r \delta_{ab}, \quad \Gamma^a_{rb}[g] = \frac{1}{r} \delta^a_b. \]
\[ (A.8) \]

**A.1.1 Boundaries of Minkowski Spacetime**

To determine the location of the boundaries of Minkowski spacetime in flat null coordinates, we relate them to Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates \((U, V, \lambda, \Theta)\), which are
\[ X^0 = \frac{V + U}{2}, \quad X^a = \frac{V - U}{2} \sqrt{1 - \lambda^2} \hat{n}^a(\Theta), \quad X^{d+1} = \frac{V - U}{2} \lambda. \]
\[ (A.9) \]

Note that the radial distance is simply expressed as \( R = \sqrt{X^a X_a + (X^{d+1})^2} = \frac{V - U}{2} \). The coordinate ranges are
\[ V \geq U, \quad \lambda \in (-1, 1), \quad \Theta \in S^{d-1}. \]
\[ (A.10) \]

Together, the coordinates \((\lambda, \Theta)\) parametrize \( S^d \). \( \lambda \in (0, 1) \) is the northern hemisphere and \( \lambda \in (-1, 0) \) is the southern hemisphere. \( \lambda = 0 \) is the equator, \( \lambda = +1 \) is the north pole and \( \lambda = -1 \) is the south pole. Let \((\lambda_{ap}, \Theta_{ap})\) be the antipodal point to \((\lambda, \Theta)\). Then
\[ \hat{n}(\Theta_{ap}) = -\hat{n}(\Theta), \quad \lambda_{ap} = -\lambda. \]
\[ (A.11) \]
Furthermore, in terms of the flat null coordinates, we have

\[ u = \frac{2UV}{V(1+\lambda) + U(1-\lambda)} = \frac{(X^0)^2 - R^2}{X^0 + \lambda R} \]

\[ x^a = \frac{(V-U)\sqrt{1-\lambda^2}n^a(\Theta)}{V(1+\lambda) + U(1-\lambda)} = \frac{R\sqrt{1-\lambda^2}n^a(\Theta)}{X^0 + \lambda R} \]

\[ r = \frac{V}{2}(1+\lambda) + \frac{U}{2}(1-\lambda) = X^0 + \lambda R. \]  

(A.13)

We can now determine the boundaries of Minkowski spacetime in flat null coordinates.

1. **Future Null Infinity** \( \mathcal{I}^+ \): This is located at \( V \to +\infty \) while keeping \( (U, \lambda, \Theta) \) fixed. In this limit,

\[ u \to \frac{2U}{1+\lambda}, \quad x^a \to \sqrt{\frac{1-\lambda}{1+\lambda}} n^a(\Theta), \quad r \to \frac{V}{2}(1+\lambda). \]  

(A.14)

Thus, we find that \( \mathcal{I}^+ \) is located at \( r \to \infty \). At this point, \( x^a \) are the stereographic coordinates on \( S^d \). The north pole \( (\lambda = 1) \) is at \( |x^a| = 0 \), the south pole \( (\lambda = -1) \) is at \( |x^a| = \infty \), and the equator \( (\lambda = 0) \) is on the unit circle \( x^ax_a = 1 \). \( u \) is the null generator of this surface.

2. **Past Null Infinity** \( \mathcal{I}^- \): This is located at \( U \to -\infty \) while keeping \( (V, \lambda, \Theta) \) fixed. In this limit,

\[ u \to \frac{2V}{1+\lambda_{ap}}, \quad x^a \to \sqrt{\frac{1-\lambda_{ap}}{1+\lambda_{ap}}} n^a(\Theta_{ap}), \quad r \to -\frac{|U|}{2}(1+\lambda_{ap}). \]  

(A.15)

Thus, we find that \( \mathcal{I}^- \) is located at \( r \to -\infty \). Again, \( x^a \) are the stereographic coordinates on \( S^d \), but now with an antipodal identification. The north pole \( (\lambda = 1) \) is at \( |x^a| = \infty \), the south pole \( (\lambda = -1) \) is at \( |x^a| = 0 \), and the equator \( (\lambda = 0) \) is on the unit circle \( x^ax_a = 1 \). \( u \) is the null generator of this surface.

3. **Future Timelike Infinity** \( i^+ \): This is located at \( X^0 \to +\infty \) while keeping \( (R, \lambda, \Theta) \) fixed. In this limit,

\[ u \to X^0 - \lambda R, \quad x^a \to \frac{R\sqrt{1-\lambda^2}}{X^0} n^a(\Theta), \quad r \to X^0 + \lambda R. \]  

(A.16)

Thus, we find that \( i^+ \) located at \( u, r \to \infty \) and \( x^a \to 0 \) while keeping \( u - r \) and \( rx^a \) fixed.
4. **Past Timelike Infinity** $i^-$: This is located at $X^0 \to -\infty$ while keeping $(R, \lambda, \Theta)$ fixed. In this limit,

$$u \to -\left( |X^0| - \lambda a p R \right), \quad x^a \to \frac{R \sqrt{1 - \lambda a p}}{|X^0|} \hat{n}^a(\Theta a p), \quad r \to -|X^0| - \lambda a p R. \tag{A.17}$$

Thus, we find that $i^-$ located at $u, r \to -\infty$ and $x^a \to 0$ while keeping $u - r$ and $rx^a$ fixed.

5. **Spatial Infinity** $i^0$: This is located at $R \to +\infty$ while keeping $(X^0, \lambda, \Theta)$ fixed. In this limit,

$$u \to -\frac{R}{\lambda} + \frac{X^0}{\lambda^2}, \quad x^a \to \frac{1}{\lambda} \sqrt{1 - \lambda^2} \hat{n}^a(\Theta), \quad r = \lambda R + X^0. \tag{A.18}$$

This limit corresponds to $ur \to -\infty$ while keeping $u/r$ and $x^a$ fixed. In particular, on the northern hemisphere, we take $u \to -\infty$ and $r \to +\infty$, whereas on the southern hemisphere, we take $u \to +\infty$ and $r \to -\infty$.

### A.1.2 Isometries

The isometries of $\mathbb{R}^{1,d+1}$ are the $d + 2$ translations and the $O(1,d+1)$ rotations. The corresponding Killing vectors in flat null coordinates are

$$\xi_f = f(x)\partial_u - \frac{1}{2r} \partial^a f(x) \partial_u + \frac{1}{2d} \partial^2 f(x) \partial_r,$$

$$\zeta_Y = \psi(x) (u\partial_u - r\partial_r) + \left[ Y^a(x) - \frac{u}{2r} \partial^a \psi(x) \right] \partial_a,$$

where $\psi(x) = \frac{1}{a} \partial_a Y^a(x)$, and $f(x)$ and $Y^a(x)$ satisfy the equations

$$\partial_a \partial_b f(x) = \frac{1}{d} \delta_{ab} \partial^2 f(x), \quad \partial_a Y_b(x) + \partial_b Y_a(x) = \frac{2}{d} \delta_{ab} \partial^c Y_c(x). \tag{A.19}$$

This implies that $Y^a(x)$ is the conformal Killing vector of $\mathbb{R}^d$ (which manifests the isomorphism $SO(1,d+1) \cong \text{conf}(\mathbb{R}^d)$). The explicit solutions to this are

$$f(x) = f_0 + f_a x^a + f_{d+1} x^2, \quad Y^a(x) = \chi^a + \omega^a b x^b + \lambda x^a + \zeta^a x^2 - 2x^a \zeta \cdot x. \tag{A.20}$$

Thus, $\psi(x) = \lambda - 2\zeta \cdot x$. The $SO(d)$ rotations have $\psi(x) = 0$ (generated by $\chi^a$ and $\omega^a b$), whereas the boosts in $SO(1,d+1)$ have $\psi(x) \neq 0$ (generated by $\lambda$ and $\zeta^a$). Finally, we remark that the Lie algebra of the Killing vectors (the Poincaré algebra) takes the form

$$[\xi_f, \xi_f'] = 0, \quad [\zeta_Y, \xi_f] = \xi_{Y^a \partial_a f - f \psi}, \quad [\zeta_Y, \zeta_Y'] = \zeta_{[Y,Y']}. \tag{A.21}$$
A.2 Momentum Space

In this subsection, we observe a general parameterization of off-shell momenta and list some useful formulae.

A.2.1 Off-Shell Momentum

Following closely the relationship between flat null coordinates and Cartesian coordinates, we parameterize an off-shell momentum as

\[
q^0 = \frac{\omega}{2} \left(1 + y^2\right) + \frac{\mu}{2\omega}, \quad q^a = \omega y^a, \quad q^{d+1} = \frac{\omega}{2} \left(1 - y^2\right) - \frac{\mu}{2\omega}.
\]  

(A.23)

Inversely, \( \mu = -q^A q_A, \ y^a = q^a \left(q^0 + q^{d+1}\right)^{-1}, \) and \( \omega = q^0 + q^{d+1}. \) This parameterization is valid everywhere except when \( \omega = q^0 + q^{d+1} = 0. \) The range of these coordinates is \( \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \ y^a \in \mathbb{R}^d \) and \( \omega \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}. \) The momentum space volume form is

\[
\varepsilon = dq^0 \wedge dq^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dq^d \wedge dq^{d+1} = \frac{1}{2} \omega^{d-1} d\mu \wedge dy^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy^d \wedge d\omega.
\]  

(A.24)

This implies that the off-shell integration measure and Dirac delta function are

\[
\int \frac{d^{d+2}q}{(2\pi)^{d+2}} = \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^{d+2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\mu \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} d^d y |\omega|^{d-1} \delta^{(d+2)}(q - q') = 2|\omega|^{1-d} \delta(\mu - \mu') \delta(\omega - \omega') \delta^{(d)}(y - y'). \]  

(A.25)

A.2.2 On-Shell Momentum and Polarization Vectors

The on-shell condition on a momentum implies

\[
q^A q_A = -m^2, \quad q^0 > 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mu = m^2 \geq 0, \quad \omega > 0.
\]  

(A.26)

The on-shell integration measure and Dirac delta function are

\[
\int \frac{d^{d+2}q}{(2\pi)^{d+2}} (2\pi)\delta(q^2 + m^2) \theta(q^0) = \int \frac{d^{d+1}q}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \frac{1}{2q^0} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} d^d y \omega^{d-1} (2q^0)\delta^{(d+1)}(q - q') = 2\omega^{1-d} \delta(\omega - \omega') \delta^{(d)}(y - y').
\]  

(A.27)

The \( d + 1 \) polarizations of a massive particle are taken to be

\[
\varepsilon^A_{\alpha}(y) = (y_{\alpha}, \delta_{\alpha}, -y_{\alpha}), \quad \varepsilon^A_{\omega}(\omega, y) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + y^2 - \frac{m^2}{\omega^2}, 2y^a, 1 - y^2 + \frac{m^2}{\omega^2}\right).
\]  

(A.28)
In the massless limit $\varepsilon^A_w \to \omega^{-1} q^A$. Thus, in this limit, this is the pure gauge mode and does not contribute to physical amplitudes. For future reference, we collect the formulae

\[
q(\omega, y) \cdot q(\omega', y') = -\frac{1}{2} \omega' \left[ (y - y')^2 + \frac{m^2}{\omega^2} + \frac{m^2}{\omega'^2} \right]
\]

\[
q(\omega, y) \cdot \varepsilon_a(y') = \omega(y - y')_a
\]

\[
q(\omega, y) \cdot \varepsilon_a(\omega', y') = -\frac{\omega}{2} \left[ (y - y')^2 + \frac{m^2}{\omega^2} - \frac{m^2}{\omega'^2} \right]
\]

\[
\varepsilon_a(y) \cdot \varepsilon_b(y') = \delta_{ab}
\]

\[
\varepsilon_a(y) \cdot \varepsilon_a(\omega', y') = -(y - y')_a
\]

\[
\varepsilon_\omega(\omega, y) \cdot \varepsilon_\omega(\omega', y') = -\frac{1}{2} \left[ (y - y')^2 - \frac{m^2}{\omega^2} - \frac{m^2}{\omega'^2} \right]
\]

\[
X(u, r, x) \cdot q(\omega, y) = \frac{\omega u}{2} - \frac{\omega r}{2} \left[ (x - y)^2 + \frac{m^2}{\omega^2} \right]
\]

\[
X(u, r, x) \cdot \varepsilon_\omega(\omega, y) = r(x - y)_a
\]

\[
X(u, r, x) \cdot \varepsilon_\omega(\omega, y) = -\frac{u}{2} - \frac{r}{2} \left[ (x - y)^2 - \frac{m^2}{\omega^2} \right].
\]

We also require the components of the momentum and polarization vectors in flat null coordinates

\[
q_a(\omega, y) = -\frac{\omega}{2}
\]

\[
q_r(\omega, y) = -\frac{\omega}{2} \left[ (x - y)^2 + \frac{m^2}{\omega^2} \right]
\]

\[
q_a(\omega, y) = -r \omega(x - y)_a
\]

\[
(\varepsilon_a)_a(\omega, y) = 0
\]

\[
(\varepsilon_a)_r(\omega, y) = (x - y)_a
\]

\[
(\varepsilon_a)_b(\omega, y) = r \delta_{ab}
\]

\[
(\varepsilon_\omega)_a(\omega, y) = -\frac{1}{2}
\]

\[
(\varepsilon_\omega)_r(\omega, y) = -\frac{1}{2} \left[ (x - y)^2 - \frac{m^2}{\omega^2} \right]
\]

\[
(\varepsilon_\omega)_a(\omega, y) = -r(x - y)_a.
\]

For massless vectors, we note from the formulae above that our polarization choice is equivalent to the gauge choice $A_a = 0$. The polarization tensors for the massless field strength then has
the following components in flat null coordinates

\[
\begin{align*}
(\varepsilon_a)_{ur}(\omega, y) &= -i\frac{\omega}{2}(x - y)_a \\
(\varepsilon_a)_{rb}(\omega, y) &= -i\frac{\omega_r}{2}(x - y)^2 I_{ab}(x - y) \\
(\varepsilon_a)_{ab}(\omega, y) &= -i\frac{\omega_r}{2}(x - y) \\
(\varepsilon_c)_{ab}(\omega, y) &= -i\omega_r^2 [(x - y)_a\delta_{bc} - (x - y)_b\delta_{ac}],
\end{align*}
\]

where \( I_{ab}(x - y) \) is the conformally invariant tensor

\[
I_{ab}(x - y) = \delta_{ab} - \frac{2(x - y)_a(x - y)_b}{(x - y)^2}.
\] (A.32)

**B Action of the Hard Charge**

In this section, we show that the hard charge generates large gauge transformations on the matter states by an explicit computation for a minimally coupled scalar field \( \Phi \) with \( U(1) \) charge \( Q \in \mathbb{Z} \). The corresponding conserved current is

\[
J_\mu = iQ (\Phi^* D_\mu \Phi - (D_\mu \Phi)^*) , \quad D_\mu \Phi = \partial_\mu \Phi - iQA_\mu \Phi. \tag{B.1}
\]

The product of fields is defined via normal ordering.

The mode expansion for the outgoing/incoming scalar field is

\[
\Phi^{(\pm)}(\omega, x) = \int \frac{d^{d+1}q}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \frac{1}{2q^0} \left[ \mathcal{O}^{(\pm)}_\Phi(q)e^{iq\cdot x} + \mathcal{O}^{(\pm)\dagger}_\Phi(q)e^{-iq\cdot x} \right], \tag{B.2}
\]

where

\[
[\mathcal{O}^{(\pm)}_\Phi(q), \mathcal{O}^{(\pm)\dagger}_\Phi(q')] = (2q^0)(2\pi)^{d+1} \delta^{(d+1)}(q - q'). \tag{B.3}
\]

Moving to flat null coordinates and using (2.14), we find

\[
\Phi^{(\pm)}(u, r, x) = \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^{d+1}} \int \frac{d^d y}{r^d} \int_0^{\infty} d\omega \omega^{d-1} \left[ \mathcal{O}^{(\pm)}_\Phi(\omega, x + y)e^{-\frac{i}{2}\omega u - \frac{i}{2}\omega r y^2} + \mathcal{O}^{(\pm)\dagger}_\Phi(\omega, x + y)e^{\frac{i}{2}\omega u + \frac{i}{2}\omega r y^2} \right], \tag{B.4}
\]

where

\[
[\mathcal{O}^{(\pm)}_\Phi(\omega, x), \mathcal{O}^{(\pm)\dagger}_\Phi(\omega', x')] = [\mathcal{O}^{(\pm)}_\Phi(\omega, x), \mathcal{O}^{(\pm)\dagger}_\Phi(\omega', x')] = 2\omega^{1-d}(2\pi)^{d+1} \delta(\omega - \omega')\delta^{(d)}(x - x'). \tag{B.5}
\]
The leading order term in the large $r$ expansion of the scalar field is (this is determined following precisely the method of §2.2.1)

$$
\Phi^{(\pm)} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^{d+1}} \int_0^\infty d\omega \omega^{d/2-1} \left[ e^{-\frac{i}{2}\omega u} c^{(\pm)}(\omega, x) + e^{\frac{i}{2}\omega u} c^{(\pm)}(\omega, x) \right] + \cdots. \quad (B.6)
$$

We then determine that

$$
\int d\mu J_{\mu}^{(\pm,d)} = \frac{\pi Q}{(2\pi)^{d+2}} \int_0^\infty d\omega \omega^{d-1} \left[ c^{(\pm)}(\omega, x) c^{(\pm)}(\omega, x) - c^{(\pm)}(\omega, x) c^{(\pm)}(\omega, x) \right]. \quad (B.7)
$$

An outgoing or incoming scalar state of charge $Q$ is

$$
\langle \Phi, \omega, x | = \langle 0 | c^{(\pm)}(\omega, x), \quad \langle \Phi, \omega, x \rangle = c^{(\pm)}(\omega, x)| 0 \rangle. \quad (B.8)
$$

Using these definitions, we find

$$
\langle \Phi, \omega, x \mid \int d\mu J_{\mu}^{(\pm,d)}(u, x) = Q\delta^{(d)}(x - x')\langle \Phi, \omega, x' \mid \\
\int d\mu J_{\mu}^{(-d,d)}(u, x)| \Phi, \omega, x' \rangle = Q\delta^{(d)}(x - x')| \Phi, \omega, x' \rangle. \quad (B.9)
$$

The action of the hard charge (3.7) is then

$$
\langle \Phi, \omega, x \mid Q^H = -Q \varepsilon(x)\langle \Phi, \omega, x \mid, \quad Q^H \langle \Phi, \omega, x \rangle = -Q \varepsilon(x)\langle \Phi, \omega, x \rangle. \quad (B.10)
$$

### C Soft Photon Operator

We describe how to obtain the soft photon insertion operator in an $S$-matrix using the path integral approach. First, the $n$-point amplitude is

$$
A_n(p_1, \cdots, p_n) = (\text{out} | \text{in}). \quad (C.1)
$$

This is computed in the path integral formalism by computing off-shell momentum space correlators and taking an appropriate on-shell limit. For instance, for an outgoing scalar particle with momentum $\vec{p}$, we insert

$$
i Z_{\Phi} \lim_{\rho^0 \rightarrow \sqrt{|\rho|^2 + m^2}} \left( \rho^2 - i\epsilon \right) \int d^{d+2}X e^{-i\rho \cdot X} \Phi(X). \quad (C.2)
$$

Here, $\Phi(X)$ is an operator that creates (among other things) a scalar one-particle state, and $Z_{\Phi}$ is the corresponding wave-function renormalization factor.
The amplitude involving an extra outgoing photon, i.e. $A_{n+1}^{\text{out}}$ from (3.23), similarly corresponds to the insertion of the operator

$$
\frac{iZ}{e} \lim_{p_{\gamma} \to |p_{\gamma}| - i\epsilon} \left( p_{\gamma}^2 - i\epsilon \right) \varepsilon^A_a(p_{\gamma})^* \int d^{d+2}X e^{-ip_{\gamma} \cdot X} A_A(X). \tag{C.3}
$$

To understand what this operator is in terms of the $\text{in}$ and $\text{out}$ creation/annihilation operators, we expand the gauge field $A_A(x)$ in terms of time-dependent mode coefficients:

$$
A_A(X) = e \int \frac{d^{d+1}q}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \frac{1}{2\eta} \left[ \varepsilon^A_a(q) O_a(X^0, q) e^{iq \cdot X} + \varepsilon^A_a(q)^* O_a^\dagger(X^0, q) e^{-iq \cdot X} \right]. \tag{C.4}
$$

Using this, we find

$$
\frac{iZ}{e} \lim_{p_{\gamma} \to |p_{\gamma}| - i\epsilon} \left( p_{\gamma}^2 - i\epsilon \right) \varepsilon^A_a(p_{\gamma})^* \int d^{d+2}X e^{-ip_{\gamma} \cdot X} A_A(X) = O_a^+(\vec{p}_\gamma) - O_a^-(\vec{p}_\gamma). \tag{C.5}
$$

where $\lim_{X^0 \to \pm \infty} O_a(X^0, \vec{p}_\gamma) = Z^{-1} O_a^{(\pm)}(\vec{p}_\gamma).$\textsuperscript{16} For photons with non-zero energy, the operator $O_a^{(-)}(\vec{p}_\gamma)$ annihilates the $\text{in}$ vacuum (assuming there are no other incoming photons with the same momentum, i.e. assuming no forward scattering) so that $S$-matrices with energetic outgoing photons correspond to insertions of $O_a^{(+)}(\vec{p}_\gamma)$. On the other hand, owing to (3.10), $O_a^{(-, 0)}(x)$ no longer annihilates the vacuum; rather, it creates an incoming soft photon (to be more precise, it produces a new vacuum state). Therefore, it follows that the operator generating the soft limit must include both terms from (C.5) and is given by

$$
\lim_{\omega \to 0} \left[ \omega O_a^{(+)}(\omega, x) - \omega O_a^{(-)}(\omega, x) \right] = O_a^{(+, 0)}(x) - O_a^{(-, 0)}(x). \tag{C.6}
$$
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