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SUMMARY

The mechanisms by which intrinsically disordered proteins engage in rapid and highly selective binding is a subject of considerable interest and represents a central paradigm to nuclear pore complex (NPC) function, where nuclear transport receptors (NTRs) move through the NPC by binding disordered phenylalanine-glycine-rich nucleoporins (FG-Nups). Combining single-molecule fluorescence, molecular simulations, and nuclear magnetic resonance, we show that a rapidly fluctuating FG-Nup populates an ensemble of conformations that are prone to bind NTRs with near diffusion-limited on rates, as shown by stopped-flow kinetic measurements. This is achieved using multiple, minimalistic, low-affinity binding motifs that are in rapid exchange when engaging with the NTR, allowing the FG-Nup to maintain an unexpectedly high plasticity in its bound state. We propose that these exceptional physical characteristics enable a rapid and specific transport mechanism in the physiological context, a notion supported by single-molecule in-cell assays on intact NPCs.

INTRODUCTION

The plasticity of intrinsically disordered proteins (IDPs) is thought to be key to their highly diverse roles in the eukaryotic interactome and a variety of vital processes such as transcription, epigenetic regulation mechanisms, and transport through nuclear pore complexes (NPCs) (Dyson and Wright, 2005; Tompa and Fuxreiter, 2008). The central channel of the NPC is filled with phenylalanine-glycine-rich proteins, called FG-nucleoporins (FG-Nups) that are intrinsically disordered (Denning et al., 2003). FG-Nups build up an approximately 30-nm-thick permeability barrier through which large molecules (>40 kDa) can only be shuttled when bound to a nuclear transport receptor (NTR) with passage times as fast as 5 ms (Hoelz et al., 2011; Kubitscheck et al., 2005; Tu et al., 2013; Wahlde and Kehlenbach, 2010). Due to the intrinsic dynamics of the FG-Nups, even state-of-the-art electron tomographic studies are not able to visualize them within the central NPC channel, despite their millimolar concentrations (Bui et al., 2013). Consequently, the molecular structure of the permeability barrier and its general mode of action are widely debated (for a review see Adams and Wente, 2013).

The key to understanding the observed nucleocytoplasmic transport phenomena resides in a description of the binding mode between FG-Nups and NTRs, for which a molecular analysis of the FG-Nup-NTR interaction is a prerequisite. Our current understanding of the molecular basis of FG-Nup-NTR interactions is derived from X-ray crystallographic structures or molecular dynamics (MD) simulations of NTRs in the presence of short FG-peptides (up to ~13 amino acids in length) (Bayliss et al., 2000; Isgro and Schulten, 2005), as well as binding measurements with different NTRs or mutated NTR binding pockets (Bednenko et al., 2003; Milles and Lemke, 2014; Otsuka et al., 2008). Even for FG-Nups alone, overall chain dimensions or long-range interactions within the Nups have so far been analyzed in solution (Milles and Lemke, 2011; Yamada et al., 2010). Notably, even such fundamental binding characteristics as the equilibrium dissociation constant (Kd) between Nups and NTRs are still matter of discussion - estimates range from a few nM to several mM (Bednenko et al., 2003; Ben-Efraim and Gerace, 2001; Tetenbaum-Novatt et al., 2012; Tu et al., 2013). However, high Kd (low affinity, ~mM) values are not easily compatible with high specificity of the transport process, while low Kd values (~nM range) cannot easily explain high transport rates, since these might be expected to correlate with long
residence times whereas NTRs must encounter many FG-Nups while crossing the thick barrier.

Fast protein binding also typically requires proper orientation of the protein binding partners as well as conformational adap-
tion of the IDP to bind to a folded protein. Those can occur prior to or during binding, as described by either of the two prevalent models for protein binding namely conformational selection and induced fit (Csermely et al., 2010; Wright and Dyson, 2009). While such a conformational shift or fit can present the rate-limiting step of binding, fast binding is warranted in many biological processes. Several binding rate enhancing effects have been suggested or observed experimentally, such as maintenance of a degree of disorder (termed “fuzziness”; Tompa and Fuxreiter, 2008) by conformational funneling (Schneider et al., 2015), a large capture radius of the flexible IDPs (Shoemaker et al., 2000), and the involvement of long-range electrostatic interactions to steer (attract) proteins together (Ganguly et al., 2013).

In this work, we characterize the conformational plasticity of Nups from human and yeast in the presence of structurally and functionally diverse NTRs. A focus was a PxFG-rich domain of the Nup153 (Nup153FGPxFG) as its size permitted a combination of nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), single molecule Förster resonance energy transfer (smFRET), and molecular dynamics (MD) simulations to characterize local, residue specific, as well as long-range implications of Importin binding to Nup153FGPxFG conformation and dynamics. Additional Brownian dynamics (BD), fluorescence stopped-flow and single molecule transport experiments with functional NPCs in permeabilized cells, revealed the detailed kinetics of the complex formation between Nup and NTR. Using this molecular, integrative structural biology approach we propose a mechanism whereby Nups contribute low-affinity minimalistic binding motifs that act in concert to create a polyvalent complex. The global Nup structure and dynamics are largely unaffected by the interaction, thereby ensuring ultrafast binding and unbinding of individual motifs—a result that explains how nuclear transport can be fast yet specific, and that may have general implications for the mechanism of action of other IDPs that exhibit a multiplicity of binding motifs.

RESULTS

Nup153FGPxFG Populates a Disordered Ensemble in Solution

We initially characterized the structure and dynamics of Nup153FGPxFG using high resolution NMR (Figure 1A, sequences given in Supplemental Experimental Procedure). Complete assignment of the backbone resonances (Figure S1) allowed us to develop a multi-conformational model of the protein in solution using a combination of nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), single molecule Förster resonance energy transfer (smFRET), and molecular dynamics (MD) simulations to characterize local, residue specific, as well as long-range implications of Importin binding to Nup153FGPxFG conformation and dynamics. Additional Brownian dynamics (BD), fluorescence stopped-flow and single molecule transport experiments with functional NPCs in permeabilized cells, revealed the detailed kinetics of the complex formation between Nup and NTR. Using this molecular, integrative structural biology approach we propose a mechanism whereby Nups contribute low-affinity minimalistic binding motifs that act in concert to create a polyvalent complex. The global Nup structure and dynamics are largely unaffected by the interaction, thereby ensuring ultrafast binding and unbinding of individual motifs—a result that explains how nuclear transport can be fast yet specific, and that may have general implications for the mechanism of action of other IDPs that exhibit a multiplicity of binding motifs.
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Figure 2. Nup153FG\textsuperscript{PxFG} \cdot Importinβ Interaction Analyzed by smFRET
(A) FRET efficiency (E_{FRET}) versus fluorescence lifetime (τ) histograms of Nup153FG\textsuperscript{PxFG} in the presence and absence of Importinβ. The dotted line visualizes the center position of the FRET peak. The dashed (diagonal) lines show the static E_{FRET} relationship, on which a distribution would lie in the absence of fast dynamics.
(B) Fluorescence lifetimes (τ) of the double labeled population accumulated from single molecule data in the absence (black) and presence (green) of Importinβ. Offset from a single exponential lifetime (dashed gray curve and arrow) is a strong indicator of protein dynamics.
(C) Fluorescence correlation spectroscopy (FCS) traces retrieved from measurements of Nup153FGPxFG (black dots) reflect a slower translational motion in the presence of Importinβ (green dots).

Global Structure and Dynamics of the Nup153FG \textsuperscript{PxFG} Are Retained upon Interaction with Importinβ as Measured by smFRET
We labeled Nup153FG\textsuperscript{PxFG} with a donor (Alexa488) and acceptor dye (Alexa594) for FRET at its C- and N terminus, respectively. This allowed us to measure average distance between the dyes as well as the dynamic properties of the protein using histograms relating FRET efficiency (E_{FRET}) and donor lifetimes (τ) of single molecules (sm), a method widely used to detect even minute changes in structure and dynamics, for example when IDPs bind, fold or expand (Kalinin et al., 2010; Milles and Lemke, 2011; Schuler and Eaton, 2008).

We added unlabeled Importinβ to the FRET labeled Nup153FG\textsuperscript{PxFG} and followed the smFRET response. While the diffusion of Nup153FG\textsuperscript{PxFG} in the absence and presence of Importinβ confirmed the binding of Importinβ under single molecule conditions (Figures 2 and S2), we detected neither substantial changes in E_{FRET} nor in the width of the histograms indicating absence of significant changes in the distance distribution (Figure S2 shows an all F to all A negative control).

Indeed, the E_{FRET} populations of the unbound and bound Nup153FG\textsuperscript{PxFG} also overlay very closely with respect to τ, which indicates similarly fast dynamics of both forms (Figures 2 and S2 for detailed analysis of structure and dynamics) (Kalinin et al., 2010).

As smFRET is compatible with large proteins, we were able to repeat the same experiments for the same PxFG region within the full-length Nup153FG (601 amino acids), finding similar characteristics, and suggesting that our truncated Nup153FG\textsuperscript{PxFG} largely retains the conformational sampling from within the whole Nup153FG (Figure S2).

In order to determine the general nature of this binding mode, we repeated the experiments with two different FxFG-rich regions of Nup153FG, as well as the GLFG-rich yeast Nup49 and several different NTRs: i) transportin 1 (TRN1), a transport receptor involved in the import of proteins containing an M9 recognition sequence, ii) nuclear transport factor 2 (NTF2), the import receptor of RanGDP and iii) chromosomal region maintenance 1 (CRM1), a major exportin. While TRN1 and CRM1 have a similar molecular weight and superhelical structure as Importinβ, NTF2 is a much smaller, β sheet-rich dimer (Cook et al., 2007; Morrison et al., 2003). As detailed in Figure S3, despite the very distinct functionalities of the different NTRs, the smFRET and FCS measurements of the different Nups and NTRs indicate similar binding characteristics as for the Nup153FG \cdot Importinβ complex.

Interaction with Importinβ Influences Nup153FG\textsuperscript{PxFG} Only Locally and Transiently
To characterize the effects of Importinβ binding on Nup153FG\textsuperscript{PxFG} at atomic resolution, we titrated Importinβ into a solution of 15N labeled Nup153FG\textsuperscript{PxFG} and measured 1H-15N HSQC spectra at different molar ratios. Peak intensities, as well as 1H and 15N chemical shifts of Nup153FG\textsuperscript{PxFG}, were analyzed for each titration step (Figures 3 and S4). Resonance line broadening, associated with small changes in both 1H and 15N chemical shifts, was observed around all F’s in the Nup sequence (Figure 3A). Binding was clearly highly localized, and limited to F’s, with only F and the immediately adjacent amino acids being affected by the interaction. Interestingly, one single F, which is not associated with a G, is also involved in binding to Importinβ, showing the largest chemical shift changes in the 1H-15N HSQC spectrum during titration with Importinβ (Figure 3A and S4). 15N relaxation rates measured as a function of molar ratio of Importinβ suggest that, overall, the molecule remains flexible in the complex with the transverse relaxation (R2) increasing significantly upon Importinβ titration only around the interaction sites (Figures 3C and S4), in agreement with the above smFRET-based observations that global disorder and flexibility are not affected by Importinβ binding. Carr-Purcell-Meiboom-Gill (CPMG) relaxation dispersion experiments (Figure S4) suggested that fast exchange (< 10 μs) between the bound and unbound form of Nup153FG\textsuperscript{PxFG} gives rise to the increased R2 rates around the interaction sites, which makes it possible to estimate a residue-specific Kd,individual for each position in Nup153FG\textsuperscript{PxFG} with Importinβ (Figures 3E, 3F and S4) from the population weighted R2 measurements. Interestingly, the FG-specific affinities to Importinβ are not identical across the Nup153FG\textsuperscript{PxFG} sequence, implying a contribution of inter-FG residues to binding, although all FG-specific Kd,individual values lie in the millimolar range.
Strikingly, when studying the binding to different NTRs like TRN1 and NTF2 (Figure S4), despite exhibiting different binding preferences for FG-Nups (Cook et al., 2007; Milles and Lemke, 2014), their binding modes are remarkably similar to that of the Importinβ complex. The same regions in Nup153FGPxFG are affected by the interaction, again with very low residue specific affinities, with the Nup remaining overall flexible when bound while interacting only locally as seen from both chemical shift changes, in the case of NTF2, and remarkably similar locally elevated transverse relaxation rates in TRN1 (Figure S4). Comparison of 13C backbone chemical shifts measured in the free and NTF2-bound forms of Nup153FGPxFG demonstrates that

**Figure 3. Nup153FGPxFG-Importinβ Interaction by NMR Spectroscopy**

(A) 1H-15N HSQC spectrum of Nup153FGPxFG (red) overlayed with a spectrum of Nup153FGPxFG in the presence of Importinβ (green, Nup to NTR molar ratio of 1.14, at a Nup concentration of 240 μM).

(B) The intensity ratio of the bound and unbound form of Nup153FGPxFG was plotted under the same conditions as in (A).

(C) 15N R2 relaxation rates at 25°C and a 1H frequency of 600 MHz were measured at different concentrations of Importinβ (gray bars are without Importinβ; black, light green and dark green at Importinβ/Nup153FGPxFG molar ratios of 0.17, 0.33, and 0.72 at the constant Nup153FGPxFG concentration of 250 μM).

(D) 15N R2 of Nup153AGPxAG, F1374 in the absence (gray) and in the presence of Importinβ (red) overlayed with the rates for Nup153FGPxFG in the presence of Importinβ under the same conditions (green).

(E) For all F in the Nup153FGPxFG sequence, 15N R2 values were plotted against Importinβ concentration and fitted with a linear slope. The same analysis was performed for F1374 in Nup153AGPxAG, F1374 and compared to the same F in Nup153FGPxFG (compare red to green slope). R2 with errors greater than 20% were excluded from the analysis.

(F) Local Kd values were calculated from the slopes obtained in Figure S4. Gray bars correspond to Kd values obtained from Nup153FGPxFG, the red bar shows the local Kd of Nup153AGPxAG, F1374 binding to Importinβ. Error bars show SD.
The binding sites on Importin $\beta$ (RE) for the unbound (black) and bound (green) ensembles of Nup153FG PxFG (D) Nup153FGPxFG radius of gyration ($R_g$) as a function of end-to-end distance (Figure S4). As in the case of Nup153FG PxFG, 15N $R_2$ relaxation rates of Nup153AG PxFG, F1374 at the interaction site exhibited a linear dependence on Importin $\beta$ concentration (Figure 3E). However the effective $K_d$,individual from F1374 within Nup153AG PxFG, F1374 reveals significantly weaker binding for this interaction site than for F1374 when situated within the wild-type (WT) protein ($K_d$,individual = 7.3 mM compared to 0.8 mM, Figure 3). This result clearly shows that presenting multiple equivalent binding sites to the binding partner has a measurably positive effect on the effective affinity of the individual interaction site.

Monitoring the Nup153FG PxFG - Importin $\beta$ Binding Using All-Atom MD

We employed MD simulations to investigate the experimental observations of Nup153FG PxFG - Importin $\beta$ association from NMR and smFRET. From a broad ensemble of Nup153FG PxFG obtained from unbiased MD simulations in explicit solvent (Movie S1), we incubated different conformers with the N-terminal portion of Importin $\beta$ (from here named Importin $\beta$) (Bayliss et al., 2000) and monitored their binding for a total simulation time of 2 μs (Figures S5 and S6, and Table S1). The association of Nup153FG PxFG to Importin $\beta$ was repeatedly observed within the simulated timescale and occurred in a specific manner (Figures 4 and S5). FG-repeats docked into previously identified binding pockets on the surface of Importin $\beta$ and even formed contacts similar to those previously observed crystallographically upon interaction between Importin $\beta$ and Nsp1-derived peptides (Figures 4C and S6) (Bayliss et al., 2000). Binding was reduced and less specific for Nup153AG PxFG, F1374 within the simulated timescale and occurred in a specific manner (Figures 4 and S5, and Movie S2). FG-repeats docked into previously identified binding pockets on the surface of Importin $\beta$ and even formed contacts similar to those previously observed crystallographically upon interaction between Importin $\beta$ and Nsp1-derived peptides (Figures 4C and S6) (Bayliss et al., 2000). Binding was reduced and less specific for Nup153AG PxFG, F1374 within the simulated timescale and occurred in a specific manner (Figures 4 and S5, and Movie S2).

Co-operativity of FG-Nup-Importin $\beta$ Binding

To further quantify the action of multiple FG-repeats, we designed a Nup construct, in which all F of Nup153FG PxFG except F1374, the strongest interaction site for Importin $\beta$, were replaced by A (Figure S1). Titration of Importin $\beta$ into this Nup153AG PxAAG, F1374 mutant resulted in strongly reduced peak broadening and negligible chemical shift changes compared to Nup153FG PxFG (Figure S4). As in the case of Nup153FG PxFG, 15N $R_2$ relaxation rates of Nup153AG PxAAG, F1374 at the interaction site exhibited a linear dependence on Importin $\beta$ concentration (Figure 3E). However the effective $K_d$,individual from F1374 within Nup153AG PxAAG, F1374 reveals significantly weaker binding for this interaction site than for F1374 when situated within the wild-type (WT) protein ($K_d$,individual = 7.3 mM compared to 0.8 mM, Figure 3). This result clearly shows that presenting multiple equivalent binding sites to the binding partner has a measurably positive effect on the effective affinity of the individual interaction site.

Cooperativity of FG-Nup-Importin $\beta$ Binding: A key parameter that can be used to study binding mechanisms and measure the association rate ($k_{on}$) between proteins (Shammash et al., 2013). The binding of Importin $\beta$ to Nup153FG site-specifically labeled with Cy3B elicits detectable changes in $r$, due to slowed rotational...
motion (Milles and Lemke, 2014). Since Nup153FG-PxFG has only a very small overall binding affinity toward Importin
b, we could not detect a sufficiently strong signal change in the anisotropy measurements in the tested and experimentally feasible concentration range (Figure S7). Thus, for FSF, we used fluorescently labeled full-length Nup153FG. We performed rapid mixing experiments under pseudo-first order conditions in “physiological” transport buffer. A monoexponential function does not describe well the observed anisotropy changes in Figure 5 (Figure S7 and Table S2). This is likely a result of having multiple different binding motifs and/or the ability of multiple Importin
b to engage into binding a single Nup, which adds another level of complexity (multivalency) (Milles and Lemke, 2014; Schoch et al., 2012; Wagner et al., 2015). A biexponential equation is able to describe the kinetics, resulting in two $k_{\text{obs}}$ per Importin
b concentration (Figures 5A, 5B, and S7). The fluorescence anisotropy at the end of the reaction was used to calculate the apparent $K_{\text{d,app}}$ (Figure 5C). Remarkably, by performing experiments at multiple NTR concentrations we extracted an ultrafast $k_{\text{on,ultrafast}} = 1.5 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ (Figure 5B) for the major component (average amplitude of 70%), while the second component was still very fast, with a $k_{\text{on,fast}} = 6.1 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ at room temperature. These FSF measurements report on overall formation of the Nup153FG-Importin
b complex i.e., one or more F binding. While we provide all results and further analysis details in Figure S7 and Table S2, for later discussion we focus on the fastest measured $k_{\text{on,ultrafast}}$.

We next estimated association rates from BD simulations, which compared to MD permitted larger statistical sampling, at the cost of freezing the internal dynamics of the binding partners. Upon successful complex formation, starting from the conformations obtained from MD, the association rate was estimated (Figure S7) to be around $10^9 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ (Figure 5E), in agreement with stopped-flow measurements.

BD simulations carried out without the contribution of apolar desolvation generated a drastic decrease of the estimated $k_{\text{on,BD}}$ by around two orders of magnitude, while the absence of electrostatic interactions had a negligible effect (Figures 5E and S7, and Table S2D and S2E). These observations complement our evidence for an association mainly favored by the energetic gain of sequestering F residues from the solvent and burying them into the Importin
b binding pockets.
While desolvation effects cannot easily be tested experimentally, high ionic strength buffers can be used to shield long-range electrostatic interactions. We thus performed a salt titration ranging from 0.05 to 1 M ionic strength (using NaCl), permitting an estimate of $k_{on}$ under infinite electrostatic shielding by extrapolation using a Debye-Hückel-like approximation (Figures 5D and S7 and Table S2B) (Shammas et al., 2014).

In line with the BD simulations, we obtained a $k_{on,elect}$ of $2.9 \times 10^{8}$ M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$, i.e., binding remains very fast even under electrostatic shielding.

Additional stopped-flow measurements probing different Nup153FG regions (FxFG-, PxFG-rich) with diverse NTRs (NTF2, TRN1, Importinβ) are shown in Figure S7 and Table S2C. In all cases, we observed similar remarkably fast kinetics yielding consistent results for $k_{on} > 5 \times 10^{8}$ M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$.

Previously, solid phase binding assays indicated that the Importinβ double mutant (I178D/Y255A, termed ImportinβDA) has a more than 60-fold lower $K_d$ for binding to full-length Nup153FG as compared to Importinβ WT (Bednenko et al., 2003). $k_{on,BD}$ dropped by only 40% compared to Importinβ WT, which we confirmed by experimental FSF studies (drop of $k_{on,FSF}$ by 30%, Figure 5). However, fluorescence anisotropy measurements revealed an ImportinβDA titration curve (Figure 5C) that confirms altered binding as compared to Importinβ WT, as e.g., due to an increase in $k_{off}$.

**Single-Particle Tracking Connects Nuclear Transport of ImportinβDA and Importinβ with FG-Nup Association Rates**

The efficiency of an NTR to bring cargo across the NPC barrier can be assayed using standard NPC transport assays. In these assays, a fluorescent cargo (NLS-MBP-eGFP) recognized by the Importinβ transport machinery is incubated with permeabilized cells in the presence of a functional transport system and the resulting nuclear fluorescence is measured. In line with the previously reported lower $K_d$ of ImportinβDA, cargo accumulated slower compared to Importinβ WT measurements (Figures 6 A and 6B) which can e.g., be due to a lower barrier crossing time, a reduced docking efficiency to the NPC or cargo release from the NPC for example.

A prediction from our kinetic analysis is that the actual speed of barrier crossing, which involves several binding and unbinding steps between NTR and FG repeats should be rather similar for WT and mutant Importinβ, as changes in $k_{on}$ were small, and if at all, a higher $k_{off}$ for the mutant would make crossing even faster (see discussion).

In contrast to the “bulk” transport assay, the speed of barrier crossing (characteristic crossing time) can be measured directly using single molecule (sm) tracking assays (Figure 6C), in which individual Importinβ molecules are fluorescently labeled and tracked while they cross from one side of the NPC to the other. This yielded a typical value of 6.9 ± 0.2 ms for Importinβ and 6.1 ± 0.5 ms for ImportinβDA for barrier crossing (Figures 6D and 6E). We note that this crossing time is near the sampling limit of our technology, and thus faster crossing times cannot easily be captured.

**DISCUSSION**

The realization that many proteins are disordered has attracted considerable attention to the study of the molecular mechanisms controlling their interactions (Csermely et al., 2010; Tompa and Fuxreiter, 2008; Wright and Dyson, 2009), including the role of disorder in promoting or facilitating binding. In particular, very
little is known about the binding mechanisms involved in complex processes such as nucleocytoplasmic transport, where NTRs have to engage in multiple, specific binding and unbinding events while traversing a tens of nanometer thick permeability barrier.

In this study, we have used a multidisciplinary approach to investigate the molecular mechanism underlying the interaction process between NTRs and Nups. In general, from our three core findings a coherent view emerges on how multiple rapid, productive) can be approximated by the Einstein-Smoluchowski forces for a binary interaction system (in which all collisions are case of electrostatic shielding we found complex formation to involving proteins of the size of Nup153FG and Importinβ).

Ultra Rapid Association of the Nup153FG-Importinβ Complex

The maximal association rate in the absence of electrostatic forces for a binary interaction system (in which all collisions are productive) can be approximated by the Einstein-Smoluchowski diffusion limit, which yields a theoretical k_{on} of ~10^9 M^{-1} s^{-1} for the interaction of proteins of the size of Nup153FG and Importinβ.

Very high association rates have been observed previously in the presence of long-range electrostatic attractions (10^8-10^10 M^{-1} s^{-1}) for example for the barnase/barstar interaction (Spaar et al., 2006), as well as for small IDP complexes studied by NMR (Arai et al., 2012; Schneider et al., 2015). In the absence of electrostatic steering, this upper limit is typically never reached, as successful collisions require proper orientation of the binding partners. Consequently, most experimentally observed association rates at high salt concentrations fall into the regime of 10^4-10^6 M^{-1} s^{-1} (Shammas et al., 2013, 2014).

Our ensemble FSF kinetics (for Nup153FG) and BD simulations (for Nup153FG_{PxFG}) show a k_{on} of ~10^8 M^{-1} s^{-1} (Figure 5) supporting the aforementioned idea of a strongly reactive binding surface. We specifically observe an influence of apolar desolvation energies in the BD simulation and electrostatics are not found to play a major role in association. This applies apparently to both, Nup153FG_{PxFG}, which is uncharged and was tested in BD, as well as Nup153FG, which has several charges in the N-terminal regions (Figures 5D and S2). Even in the limiting case of electrostatic shielding we found complex formation to still have a remarkably fast k_{on,FSF} (Figures 5D, 5E and Table S2B).

While experimentally bridging the gap between our molecular-level description of the small binary Nup-NTR complex (160 kDa) in solution to the actual in vivo transport mechanisms (involving ~120 MDa NPCs) is still a challenging quest, the sm transport...
experiments (Figure 6) underline that the initially unexpected kinetic findings for the ImportinβDA mutant are in line with the finding in functional NPCs.

**Individual FG-Repeats Bind with Low Affinity and Act in Concert for Efficient Binding**

According to ensemble titration fluorescence curves, we have observed an apparent local equilibrium constant (K_{d,app}) between Nup153FG and Importinβ in the nanomolar regime (Figure 5C and Table S2). However, we report millimolar affinities per FG-motif from our NMR measurements within Nup153FG^{PSFG} (Figures 3 and S4), in line with a recent computational model (Tu et al., 2013). Our NMR studies further suggest that individual FG-motifs bind independently of each other, as the 15N R₂ rates are similar to the values of the unbound Nup between the FG-repeats. Nevertheless, the sum of FG-motifs influences the effective binding strength of individual FGs to Importinβ, as can be seen by comparing the effective Kₐ for F1374 in the WT and the Nup153AG^{PSAG}, F1374 mutant (Figure 3, S1, and S4).

While these estimates of Kₐ values (from NMR and ensemble fluorescence) were measured on different Nup constructs, they also report on two different properties: the binding of Importinβ to a larger region of Nup153FG (fluorescence anisotropy) and to a single FG-motif (NMR), and illustrate an important characteristic of the system, namely the importance of polyvalent interactions, which is exploited also by other transport receptors (Figure S4). While an individual FG-motif might be unlikely to be bound, the chances that at least one FG-motif within the Nup molecule is bound may remain high. This stabilizing effect of multivalency/polyvalency is well known, and is even used as a design principle in enhancing the affinity of ligand interactions with multi-site targets where ligands are connected in tandem via short linkers (Brabez et al., 2011; Kramer and Karpen, 1998). Stability enhancements achieved in such experiments can approach four-to-five orders of magnitude and are primarily due to substantial decreases in the global dissociation rate, i.e., in a multivalent system the molecules only separate as a result of a dissociation event if all other motifs are unbound.

To demonstrate generality of these three core findings, we performed additional smFRET, FCS (Figures S2 and S3), NMR (Figure S4), MD (Figure S5 and Movie S3), and FSF experiments (Figure S7 and Table S2C) on a variety of different Nups from human and yeast, including the most common motif in vertebrates (FxFG) and the crucial GLFG sequence in yeast, for a diverse set of NTRs (NTF2, TRN1, CRM1, Importinβ). All results are in close agreement, highlighting the universal nature of the observed mechanism.

Currently, several models are discussed on how a permeability barrier in the NPC can be built; among those are the selective phase, the brush, the reduction of dimensionality and the karyopherin centric model, etc., as well as mixtures of those (Eisele et al., 2013; Frey and Görlich, 2007; Jovanovic-Talisman et al., 2009; Lim et al., 2007; Lowe et al., 2015; Moussavi-Baygi et al., 2011; Peters, 2009; Wagner et al., 2015; Yamada et al., 2010). These models vary mainly over how FG-Nups are arranged and potentially interlinked inside the NPC to create a tight barrier. However, common to all these models is the concentration of FG-repeats of about 50 mM creates a very crowded environment, which is roughly in line with stoichiometric measurements of Nups and the overall size of the central channel (Bai et al., 2013; Ori et al., 2013). Independently of the transport model assumed, mobility of an NTR inside the barrier is thus largely limited by the k_{off} and k_{on} of the interaction between FG-Nups and NTRs. This is also the case if FGs interact with FGs inside the barrier as proposed in the selective phase model (Frey and Görlich, 2007), as long as these interactions are highly dynamic and do not pose a substantial energetic barrier or rate-limiting step to be melted. That we do not observe obvious FG-FG interactions in our studies is thus not necessarily inconsistent with such a model.

If we were to naively consider the characteristic time for a single Nup and Importinβ to separate based on commonly measured fast k_{on} and affinities (e.g., Kₐ (Nup-NTR) ~100 nM and k_{on} ~10⁶ M⁻¹s⁻¹ → unbinding time (UT) ~100 ms), it appears impossible that Importinβ could cross a 50 mM FG-filled pore within 5 ms. This is the previously described “transport paradox,” in which high specificity is somehow coupled with rapid transport (Bedenenko et al., 2003; Ben-Efraim and Gerace, 2001; Tetenbaum-Novatt et al., 2012; Tu et al., 2013).

Our work (down to picosecond and atomic resolution) is largely compatible with the existing barrier models, as it addresses on a molecular mechanistic level how an NTR could rapidly pass through a dense barrier. Using a simple model of a bivalent system, we already expect an order of magnitude difference between the dissociation rate for an individual motif and that for the whole protein (Kramer and Karpen, 1998). We have also observed extremely rapid association rates (~10⁶ M⁻¹s⁻¹) and in Supplemental Experimental Procedures (two toy models) we outline that if we consider a very rough estimate for the characteristic time for an individual motif unbinding event (UT~1 μs) for full-length Nup153 (>24 valencies), it becomes clear that the Importinβ could “creep” through the dense FG-motif plug of the pore within the short transport time. Such movement is consistent with our (Figure 6) and other NTR diffusion studies through NPCs in intact cells and various model systems (Eisele et al., 2013; Frey and Görlich, 2007; Jovanovic-Talisman et al., 2009; Moussavi-Baygi et al., 2011; Schleicher et al., 2014; Tu et al., 2013; Wagner et al., 2015).

In this case, nature has achieved a combination of high specificity with fast interaction rates. This is based on many individual low-affinity motifs paired with a binding mode that requires relatively little energy or time investment for the Nup to transit between free and bound conformations, and provides a rationale for the fast, yet specific, nuclear transport. While rapid binding can in principle be realized between proteins of single binding elements (e.g., driven by strong electrostatics), the proofreading emanating from the multiplicity and rapid repetition of many such events is what contributes to specific transport.

We note that the transport paradox goes far beyond the relevance for the transport mechanism, since transient, but targeted interactions are central to the emerging view of highly dynamic protein (and other biomolecular) interaction networks. Furthermore, FG-repeats are also present in stress and P granules (Toretsky and Wright, 2014). It seems likely that such ultrafast binding mechanisms are also important for other biological
recognition processes, where individual interaction motifs only make weak contributions, as e.g., in the recognition of glycans (Ziarek et al., 2013), or other very short linear motifs, like WG motifs in small RNA pathways (Chekulaeva et al., 2019), or binding of proteins to epigenetic marks, like many histone modifications.

In addition, ultrafast association is achieved by using the unique plasticity of multivalent disordered proteins, which is distinct from mechanisms where orientation specific binding is required for complex formation. This represents an additional biological advantage for IDPs in comparison to folded proteins, and might have further facilitated their enrichment in organisms of higher complexity.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Expression and Purification of Importinβ, TRN1, NTF2, CRM1 and Nup153FG**

The proteins were purified essentially as described in (Milles and Lemke, 2014) following routine column chromatography and then transferred into the respective buffer. Labelling of Nup153FG (amino acids 875 to 1475 of the full length Nup153; numbering with respect to the full length protein as in ‘UniProt: P49790’) was performed using routine procedures to introduce Alexa488 as a donor and Alexa594 as an acceptor dye for smFRET experiments (and analog for other dyes), as described in (Milles and Lemke, 2011).

**NMR Studies of Nup153FG**

Spectral assignments of 1H, 13C, 15N Nup153FG were obtained from a set of BEST-TROSY-type triple resonance spectra: HNCO, intra-residue HN(CA)CO, HN(CA)CA, intra-residue HN(CA)CB, and intra-residue HN(CA)CB (Solyom et al., 2013). For the measurements of RDCs, 1H, 15N Nup153FG was aligned in 12 mg/ml Pf1 phages yielding a D2O splitting of 2.16 Hz. RDCs were measured using BEST-type HNCO and HN(CO)CA experiments (Rasia et al., 2011). 15N relaxation dispersion was carried out on Nup153FG in Importinβ concentrations of 250 μM and 180 μM, respectively, applying CPMG frequencies between 25 and 1,000 Hz (Schneider et al., 2015). All experiments were performed in Na-phosphate buffer (pH 6), 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT, 5 mM MgCl2, at 25°C and at a 1H frequency of 600 MHz if not noted otherwise.

The conformational space available to disordered Nup153FG was sampled using the Flexible-meccano statistical coil description (Czene et al., 2012) and representative ensembles in agreement with experimental chemical shifts were selected using ASTEROIDS (Jensen et al., 2013) and the ensemble was subsequently cross-validation against experimental RDCs and SAXS.

**SmFRET Experiments**

SmFRET measurements of dual labeled freely diffusing proteins were performed on a confo cle geometry detecting donor and acceptor intensities (from which the FRET efficiency εFRET is calculated) as well as fluorescence lifetimes (τ) on a custom built multiparameter setup as previously described (Milles and Lemke, 2011).

**Fluorescence Stopped-Flow Experiments**

The association kinetics were monitored by following the fluorescence anisotropy change upon binding to different concentrations of NTRs, under pseudo-first order conditions. Anisotropy (r) was then subtracted. The anisotropy traces were fitted with a biexponential function to determine kobs. The different kobs were plotted against the respective NTR concentrations and were linearly fit to obtain the association constant (kja) from the slope.

The used BioLogic (Grenoble, France) stopped-flow equipment permits automatic titration and repeated technical replicates, which typically yield a small standard deviation. We derived an experimental error of ~20% in kja measurements between different biological replicates. To be conservative, we thus do not show (the typically lower) standard deviations from technical replicates.

**Transport Experiments**

Routine reconstitution of the nucleocytoplasmic transport machinery in permeabilized cells was used and fluorescence cargo (NLS-MBP-eGFP) was imaged on a confocal microscope (Leica, Mannheim) at the indicated time points.

For single molecule tracking of NTRs, the same assay was used, but Importinβ-Alexa488 at single molecule concentration was tracked with an acquisition time of 2 ms on a previously described home built imaging microscope (Ori et al., 2013).

All data analyses for FSF, FCS, smFRET and tracking were performed with custom written routines in IgorPro (Wavemetrics, OR).

**MD and BD Simulations**

The Nup153FG fragment was modeled on the basis of its sequence that also included the exogenously inserted residues used for labeling of the fragment with fluorophores. For the binding simulations, Nup153FG or Nup153/trimer were randomly placed in a box of dimensions 15 × 15 × 15 nm³ together with the N-terminal segment of Importinβ (PDB: 1F50). Brownian Dynamics (BD) simulations were performed starting from the MD complex that showed a specific association between the partners, and resembled the crystallographic binding pose as reported by ref. (Bayliss et al., 2000).
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