Immunoaffinity purification of an [U4/U6.U5] tri-snRNP from human cells
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We describe the isolation and biochemical characterization of [U4/U6.U5] tri-snRNP complexes from HeLa cells under nondenaturing conditions using a monoclonal antibody reacting with the U5-specific 100-kD protein. We show that the [U4/U6.U5] complex contains five previously unobserved proteins with molecular masses of 90, 60, 27, 20, and 15.5 kD, in addition to the core proteins, common to the U4/U6, U5, U1, and U2 snRNPs, and the U5-specific proteins, as found in 20S U5 snRNPs. With ~20 distinct snRNP proteins, the complexity of the [U4/U6.U5] tri-snRNP is surprising. One or more of the five proteins found exclusively in the 25S [U4/U6.U5] tri-snRNP appears to be involved in the assembly of the tri-snRNP complex, as, in an in vitro reconstitution assay, purified 20S U5 and 10S U4/U6 snRNPs formed stable 25S [U4/U6.U5] complexes only in the presence of the free tri-snRNP-specific proteins. The formation of the [U4/U6.U5] complex in vitro does not require ATP, and the stability of the purified tri-snRNP complex is not affected by ATP to a measurable extent. However, the native [U4/U6.U5] displays a kinase activity that is absent in isolated U5: A 52-kD protein present in both U5 and [U4/U6.U5] is phosphorylated only in the latter. The function of this phosphorylation is unclear thus far; it may be involved in the activation of [U4/U6.U5] in the spliceosome.
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Splicing of nuclear mRNA precursors [pre-mRNA] is known to take place in a two-step mechanism that requires ATP. During the splicing process, several interacting species, including the pre-mRNA, are assembled in a highly organized process to form the so-called spliceosome (for review, see Green 1986; Padgett et al. 1986; Sharp 1987; Guthrie and Patterson 1988).

The best-characterized components of the spliceosome are the small nuclear ribonucleoprotein particles [snRNPs] U1, U2, U4/U6, and U5, which are essential snRNP participants in the splicing process. The four snRNPs are distinct in both their RNA (called snRNA) and protein composition. Although U1, U2, and U5 snRNPs contain U1, U2, and U5 snRNAs, respectively, the snRNP U4/U6 contains two different RNA species, U4 and U6 (for review, see Maniatis and Reed 1987; Steitz et al. 1988).

The proteins of mammalian snRNPs can be classified into two kinds: (1) common proteins [i.e., those common to all particles], designated B, B', D1, D2, D3, E, F, and G (Bringmann and Lührmann 1986; Lehmeier et al. 1990, for review, see Lührmann 1988; Lührmann et al. 1990]; and (2) specific proteins, which are found associated with only one type of snRNP. U1 snRNPs possess the specific proteins 70K, A, and C, whereas U2 snRNPs possess the proteins A' and B''. U5 snRNPs possess at least eight specific proteins with molecular masses of 200 [a double band], 116, 102, 100, 52, 40, and 15 kD [Bach et al. 1989]. The complete U5 has a Svedberg value of 20, but a 10S form, which contains only the common snRNP proteins, can also be isolated [Bach et al. 1990]. In yeast, only one U5-specific protein has been detected thus far. It is termed PRP8 and has a molecular mass of 260 kD [Lossky et al. 1987; Jackson et al. 1988]. Although proteins specific to U4/U6 snRNPs have not yet been identified in metazoan cells, the yeast U4/U6 snRNP contains a 52-kD protein denoted PRP4 (Bjorn et al. 1989; Banroques and Abelson 1990).

Spliceosome assembly involves dynamic interactions between the different snRNPs and also between snRNPs and the pre-mRNA. The latter requires specific signal sequences, such as the 5'- and 3'-splice sites and the branchpoint region (for review, see Guthrie and Patterson 1988; Steitz et al. 1988).

U1 and U2 snRNPs bind to the 5'-splice site and the branchpoint, respectively. The interaction of U1 and U2 with the pre-mRNA is known to involve base-pairing between the snRNA and the pre-mRNA [Mount et al. 1983; Krämer et al. 1984; Zhang and Weiner 1986, 1989; Parker et al. 1987; Seraphin et al. 1988; Siliciano and Guthrie 1988; Wu and Manley 1989], supported by the interaction of specific proteins [Ruskin et al. 1988; Heinrich et al. 1990]. After the binding of U1 and U2 snRNPs, the U4/U6 and U5 snRNPs assemble onto the pre-mRNA in an ATP-dependent reaction [Bindereif and Green 1987; Ruby and Abelson 1989]. These two snRNPs appear to join the spliceosome at the same time [Bindereif and Green 1987; Cheng and Abelson 1987; Ko-
narska and Sharp 1987). However, little is known about the nature of the interaction of U4/U6 and U5 with the presplicing complex, except for some evidence that protein–RNA interactions may be involved in the interaction between U5 and the pre-mRNA substrate (Chabot et al. 1985; Gehrke and Steitz 1986; Tazi et al. 1986; Garcia-Blanco et al. 1990). Only after the integration of U4/ U6 and U5 is the splicesome functionally complete.

Only recently has information regarding the function of U4/U6 and U5 snRNPs in splicing begun to emerge. The U5 particle has been shown to enhance the first step of splicing and to be essential for the second step [Pat- ternson and Guthrie 1987; Winkelmann et al. 1989]. It appears that the U4/U6 particle may play a central role in splicing. U4 and U6 snRNA interact by extensive base-pairing [Bringmann et al. 1984; Hashimoto and Steitz 1984; Rinke et al. 1985; Brow and Guthrie 1988], in which two intermolecular helices (stems I and II), separated by an intramolecular stem–loop in U4, give rise to a Y-shaped domain that is phylogenetically highly conserved [Brow and Guthrie 1988; Guthrie and Patterson 1988; Zucker-Apripson et al. 1988]. It is probable that the transition to the active form of the splicesome is accompanied by the dissociation of U4, or at least a substantial conformational rearrangement within the U4/ U6 interaction domain [Pikielny et al. 1986; Cheng and Abelson 1987; Lamond et al. 1988; Blencowe et al. 1989]. It has been proposed that the highly conserved U6 snRNA plays a catalytic role in the splicing reaction, and the task of U4 may be to regulate this process [Brow and Guthrie 1989; Guthrie 1989; Fabrizio and Abelson 1990].

However, the participation of U4/ U6 or U5 snRNPs in the splicing process should not be considered separately. There is evidence that U4/U6 and U5 snRNPs form a tri-snRNP complex in both mammals and yeast [Cheng and Abelson 1987; Konarska and Sharp 1987]. In glycerol gradients of HeLa cell nuclear extracts or yeast cell lysates, U4, U5, and U6 cosediment with a Svedberg value of 25 [Konarska and Sharp 1987; Black and Pinto 1989; Bordonné et al. 1990]. Antibodies against the U5-specific yeast protein PRP8 precipitate both U4 and U6 [Lossky et al. 1987]. Conversely, antibodies against PRP4 (a U4/ U6-specific protein) also precipitate U5 snRNPs [Ban- roques and Abelson 1989; Bjorn et al. 1989]. In both mammalian and yeast systems, however, little is known about the structure–function relationship of this [U4/ U6.U5] complex. For example, it is unclear whether the various U5-specific proteins found in purified 20S U5 snRNPs are also present in the [U4/U6.U5] complex and, more important, whether additional proteins are needed for stable interaction between U4/U6 and U5. Another question of interest is the role of ATP in the association–dissociation equilibrium of the [U4/U6.U5] complex. Depletion of ATP from HeLa nuclear extracts appears to inhibit the formation of the [U4/U6.U5] complex [Black and Pinto 1989]. In yeast extracts, both stimulatory and inhibitory effects of ATP on the formation of the tri-snRNP complex have been reported [Lossky et al. 1987; Bordonné et al. 1990].

Here, we report the purification, under native conditions, of [U4/U6.U5] complexes from HeLa cell nuclear extracts. We show that the 25S tri-snRNP complex contains previously unobserved proteins that are stably bound at low ionic strength. These proteins appear to be necessary for the association of U5 and U4/U6 snRNPs, as purified 20S U5 and 10S U4/U6 snRNPs form stable [U4/U6.U5] tri-snRNP complexes in vitro only in the presence of the free tri-snRNP-specific proteins. We detect an ATP-dependent kinase activity in the [U4/ U6.U5] complex that selectively phosphorylates a 52-kD protein present in both U5 and [U4/U6.U5]. The association–dissociation equilibrium of the purified [U4/ U6.U5] tri-snRNP complex, however, is not affected by ATP.

Results

Purified 20S U5 snRNPs and 10S U4/U6 snRNPs are unable to form a stable [U4/U6.U5] complex

As a first step, we investigated whether U5 and U4/U6 snRNPs purified by anti-m3G immunoaffinity chromatography at high salt concentrations, and thus well defined with regard to their components, are able to form complexes with one another in vitro. Under these conditions, U5 snRNPs contain the common proteins and also eight specific proteins, whereas U4/U6 snRNPs contain only the common proteins [Bringmann et al. 1984; Bach et al. 1989]. For this purpose, we compared, by fractionation in glycerol gradients, the snRNPs in HeLa nuclear splicing extracts with isolated snRNPs that were eluted from an anti-m3G column under high salt conditions (420 mM NaCl) and then dialyzed to 150 mM KCl. Figure 1 shows the distribution of snRNA throughout such a gradient. In HeLa nuclear splicing extracts, the majority of U4/U6 and U5 snRNPs cosediment at 25S (Fig. 1A), as has been described previously [Konarska and Sharp 1987; Black and Pinto 1989]. Although a fraction of U1 and U2 snRNPs smear over the entire gradient, the majority of U2 now sediments in the 15S–18S region and U1 is found predominantly at 105–125. The sedimentation pattern of the purified snRNPs differs considerably from that observed with nuclear splicing extracts (Fig. 1B). It should be noted that a high proportion of the U5 particles (>80%) are present in the 20S form. These particles are well separated from the more abundant U1 and U2 snRNPs. At 25S, where any assembled [U4/U6.U5] complex would be expected, only trace quantities of U5 and U4/U6, as well as U1, are observed that smear over the entire gradient. If the dialyzed snRNPs are incubated with ATP before centrifugation, no difference is observed in the patterns of migration or association (data not shown). These data indicate that the U5 and U4/U6 snRNPs, after high salt anti-m3G immunoaffinity chromatography, are unable to form a [U4/U6.U5] complex efficiently. The simplest explanation for this behavior is that in addition to the known components of U5 and U4/U6, additional proteins are needed for complex formation but dissociate from the U5 and/or the U4/U6 particles under the high salt conditions of anti-m3G immunoaffinity chromatography.
The monoclonal antibody H386 cross-reacts with the U5-specific 100-kD protein and precipitates 20S U5 snRNPs as well as 25S [U4/U6.U5] tri-snRNP complexes.

The above result prompted the isolation of native [U4/U6.U5] complexes from nuclear splicing extracts to investigate whether the native tri-snRNP complex contains proteins absent from purified 20S U5 and 10S U4/U6 snRNPs. Purification of the [U4/U6.U5] tri-snRNP complex was made possible by the chance observation that the monoclonal antibody H386 not only reacts with the U1-specific 70K protein, against which it was originally raised (Reuter et al. 1986), but also strongly cross-reacts with the U5-specific 100-kD protein. This is demonstrated by the immunoblot and ELISA data shown in Figure 2. When total proteins from anti-m3G immunoaffinity-purified U1–U6 snRNPs were reacted on Western blots with mAb H386, a strong reaction was observed with a protein corresponding to ~100-kD molecular mass, in addition to the U1–70K protein [Fig. 2A]. In the 100-kD region, three proteins specific for U5 with molecular masses of 100, 102, and 116 kD are found. When an ELISA assay was carried out with purified individual U5-specific high-molecular-weight proteins, it became clear that only the 100-kD protein reacted with H386 [Fig. 2B].

H386 not only reacts with the denatured U5-specific 100-kD protein, but also when this protein is present in the isolated, complete 20S U5 snRNP particle, as shown by immunoprecipitation. Initial precipitation experiments were carried out with FPLC-purified particles, and immune-precipitated RNAs were identified by labeling the RNA 3' termini with 32pCp by T4 RNA ligase. As Figure 3 shows, H386 not only precipitates purified U1 snRNPs, but also 20S U5 snRNPs. Precipitation did not occur with 10S U5 snRNPs [Fig. 3] or with U2 snRNPs [the latter not shown]. As a control, an additional 70K-specific monoclonal antibody, H111, reacting with a 70K epitope distinct from that of H386, was also used. H111 precipitates only U1 snRNPs, and not 20S U5 snRNPs [Fig. 3]. Specific immunoprecipitation of 20S U5 snRNPs with mAb H386 occurred only when the ionic conditions of the reaction and the subsequent washing steps were carried out under low salt concentrations (150 mM) and no detergent was present.

Finally, we investigated whether H386 is also able to precipitate the native [U4/U6.U5] complex from splicing-active HeLa nuclear extract. For this purpose, splicing extracts were fractionated on glycerol gradients, as in Figure 1A, and immunoprecipitations were carried out with fractions 20–23 of the gradient that correspond to the 25S region. These fractions also contain small quantities of U1 and U2.
Figure 2. Characterization of the binding properties of mAb H386. (A) Cross-reactivity of mAb H386 with U1–70K and a US-specific protein. Blotting was carried out as described in Materials and methods. [Left lane] The pattern of snRNP proteins, separated on a 5–20% SDS–polyacrylamide gel, before transfer to nitrocellulose. Principal snRNP proteins are indicated. [H304] Control monoclonal antibody, specific for the A protein (Reuter and Lührmann 1986). [7.13] Control monoclonal antibody, specific for the D1 protein (Billings et al. 1985). [Y-12] Control monoclonal antibody, anti-Sin (Lerner et al. 1981), which reacts with B/B’ and D. [Center lane] As a negative control, mAb C383, directed against a ribosomal protein (Schwedler-Breitenreuter et al. 1986), was used. [B] Assay of reactivity of mAb H386 with individual snRNP proteins by ELISA. The microtiter ELISA with mAb H386 using various electroeluted single snRNP proteins as antigenic material, was performed as described in Materials and methods. In the histogram, the averages of eight separate phosphatase activity assays indicated by the amount of material antibodies at 405 nm are shown (S.D. ranges from 5–10%). The inset shows the separation of the high-molecular-weight snRNP proteins on a 5–8% SDS–polyacrylamide gradient gel, allowing the separation of the 100- and 102-kD proteins.

Figure 3 (lanes 8–10) shows that H386 not only precipitates U5 RNA, but also U4 and U6 RNA, from the 25S fractions. It should be noted that the relative abundance and molar ratio of snRNAs present in the immune precipitate cannot be estimated using the postlabeling procedure, owing to significant differences in the labeling efficiency of the 3’ end of the snRNAs. U6 RNA, for example, is labeled with pCp at very low efficiency as compared with the other snRNAs. The U1 snRNPs sedimenting in these fractions are also precipitated by H386. In contrast, precipitation of the U2 snRNPs, which are present in these fractions in varying amounts, is never observed. If precipitation of these fractions is carried out with the antibody H111, which reacts exclusively with U1, no U4, U5, or U6 is detected in the precipitate [Fig. 3, lane 11]. These results indicate that H386 precipitates U4, U5, and U6 as a single complex and reacts with U1 in an independent manner (see also below).

Isolation of native [U4/U6,U5] complex by immunoaffinity chromatography with H386

The efficient immunoprecipitation of [U4/U6,U5] complexes from gradient-fractionated nuclear extracts [Fig. 3] suggested that H386 could be used preparatively. Therefore, we set out to establish conditions that allow the preparation of this complex in its native form. From separate experiments on epitope mapping [Netter et al. 1991; S.-E. Behrens and R. Lührmann, unpubl.] we were already aware that H386 recognizes two independent domains on the U1-specific 70K protein that contain similar amino acid sequences (residues 373–436 and 526–557; numbering according to Theissen et al. 1986). Therefore, a polypeptide, 32 amino acids in length, whose sequence corresponds exactly to the second of these domains and thus contains an H386 epitope, was synthesized. By immunoprecipitation experiments we were able to verify that an excess of the synthetic peptide inhibits the binding, and thus also the precipitation, of both purified U5 snRNPs and [U4/U6.U5] (data not shown). These results indicated that this peptide could be used to desorb [U4/U6.U5] bound to immobilized H386 on an immunoaffinity column.

As starting material for the preparation of the 25S [U4/U6.U5] complex, the appropriate fractions from glycerol density gradients of HeLa nuclear extracts were used [e.g., see Fig. 1A, lanes 20–23]. Figure 4A shows the RNA...
analysis of a typical immunoaffinity chromatography with the H386 affinity column after elution with the synthetic peptide. Under standard conditions (150 mM KCl), the column retained U1, U4/U6, and U5 snRNPs, whereas the RNA present in the flowthrough was almost exclusively U2 or unrelated RNA (data not shown). Upon application of the peptide, >80% of the U1, U4/U6, and U5 was desorbed and emerged from the column as a sharp peak in four to five fractions (Fig. 4A, lanes 3–6). The snRNPs obtained in this way are virtually uncontaminated with RNA of higher or lower molecular weight (Fig. 4A).

To substantiate our supposition that the U4/U6 snRNP is an integral component of a [U4/U6.U5] complex when it is retained on, and eluted from, the H386 column, the peptide eluate from the H386 column was compared with respect to their ability to precipitate 20S U5 snRNPs.

Figure 3. Immunoprecipitation assay of purified snRNPs with mAb H386 and mAb H111. Immunoprecipitation assays were carried out as described in Materials and methods. An autoradiograph of the gel-fractionated [10% polyacrylamide, 8 M urea] [32pC]pCp-labeled snRNAs from the various immunoprecipitates is shown. mAb H386 and mAb H111, both directed against the Ul-specific 70K protein (Reuter and Lührmann 1986), are compared with respect to their ability to precipitate 20S U5 snRNPs or 25S [U4/U6.U5] tri-snRNPs. The non-snRNP-specific mAb C383 (see above) was used as a control. In lanes 1–7 the reactivity of the monoclonal antibodies with various snRNP monoparticles, purified by Mono-Q chromatography (2 μg was used per assay), is shown. (Lane 1) Precipitate of 20S U5 snRNPs with mAb C383; (lane 2) 10S U5 snRNPs/mAb H386; (lane 3) 20S U5 snRNPs/mAb H386; (lane 4) U1 snRNPs/mAb C383; (lane 5) U1 snRNPs/mAb H386; (lane 6) U1 snRNPs/mAb H111; (lane 7) 20S U5 snRNPs/mAb H111. In lanes 8–11 the reactivity of the monoclonal antibodies with 25S [U4/U6.U5] complexes is shown. One hundred-microliter aliquots of the 25S fractions from glycerol gradient-fractionated nuclear splicing extracts [pooled fractions 21 and 22, cf. Fig. 1A] were used for each assay. (Lane 8) The total RNA composition of a 100-μl aliquot of the pooled fractions 21 and 22, [lane 9] precipitate of mAb C383; [lane 10] precipitate of mAb C386; [lane 11] precipitate of mAb H111.

When the kinase activity was measured across the glycerol gradient, used for the final purification step of the [U4/U6.U5] complex, phosphorylation of the 52-kD protein characterized as a specific component of the 20S U5 snRNP. This is seen clearly by comparing Figure 5B with the protein patterns in Figure 5A, lanes 1–3. The phosphorylation is strictly ATP-dependent, and GTP is not accepted as a donor (Fig. 5B, lane 2). It is also interesting that the incubation of isolated 20S U5 snRNPs with ATP does not lead to the phosphorylation of the 52-kD protein (Fig. 5B, lane 4). These data demonstrate that only the [U4/U6.U5] tri-snRNP complex contains the phosphokinase activity that leads to ATP-dependent phosphorylation of the 52-kD protein in vitro.

When the kinase activity was measured across the glycerol gradient, used for the final purification step of the [U4/U6.U5] complex, phosphorylation of the 52-kD protein was only observed in the 25S region of the gradient regardless of whether purified 20S U5 snRNPs
were added to the gradient fractions as an exogeneous substrate or not (not shown). This indicates that the kinase activity cosediments with the [U4/U6.U5] tri-snRNP.

Evidence that the [U4/U6.U5] tri-snRNP-specific proteins are required for stable 25S complex formation

The presence of five proteins in the isolated 25S tri-snRNP complex, which have not been detected previously in the purified individual snRNPs, suggested that these proteins are important for the formation of the [U4/U6.U5] complex. To obtain more direct support for this supposition we investigated whether the addition of [U4/U6.U5] tri-snRNP-specific proteins to Mono-Q-purified 20S U5 and 10S U4/U6 snRNPs would bring about stable 25S [U4/U6.U5] complex formation. In addition, this assay would allow the investigation of a possible role of ATP in the in vitro assembly of the tri-snRNP complex.

Initial attempts to isolate the [U4/U6.U5]-specific proteins by the EDTA shock procedure on DEAE 53 [Walter and Blobel 1983] failed, owing to nonspecific adsorption of these proteins to the cellulose matrix. Therefore, we pursued the following strategy. Isolated [U4/U6.U5] complex was digested extensively with micrococcal nuclease (MN) such that no intact U4, U5, and U6 snRNAs remained (see Fig. 6A, lane 2). This treatment should solubilize at least a certain fraction of the [U4/U6.U5]-specific proteins. [The MN-treated [U4/U6.U5] tri-snRNP complex henceforth will be referred to as MN digest.] After inactivation of the MN by EGTA, Mono-Q-purified 20S U5 snRNPs and 10S U4/U6 snRNPs (contaminated with U1 snRNPs to varying degrees) were added to the MN digest and incubated at 37°C to allow for assembly of [U4/U6.U5] tri-snRNP complexes.

Initially we assayed the reconstitution of stable [U4/U6.U5] complexes by determining whether U4/U6 snRNPs coimmunoprecipitate with U5 snRNPs using mAb H386 [Fig. 6A]. [The immunoprecipitation assay was reliable as we could demonstrate that on Western blots, none of the five [U4/U6.U5] tri-snRNP-specific proteins reacts with H386; data not shown.] Figure 6A, lane 3, shows that in the absence of the MN digest from the reconstitution mixtures, mAb H386 precipitates predominantly U5 and U1 snRNPs. Only trace quantities of
**Purified HeLa [U4/U6.Us] tri-snRNP complexes**

Figure 5. Protein composition and kinase activity of the [U4/U6.Us] complex. (A) Protein composition of the purified [U4/U6.Us] tri-snRNP complex. The proteins were separated by 12% SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis with high TEMED concentrations to analyze the different D proteins and visualized by Coomassie blue or silver staining. (Lane M) Protein markers in kD; (lane 1) The protein composition of the [U4/U6.Us] complex (10 µg) after immunoaffinity chromatography and gradient centrifugation (Coomassie blue staining); (lane 2) same as lane 1, but proteins were silver stained; (lane 3) for comparison, 30 µg of protein isolated from 20S U5 snRNPs was separated on the same gel and silver-stained. U5 proteins were intentionally overloaded to demonstrate the absence of the five novel proteins found in the [U4/U6.Us] complex. The U5 preparation was slightly contaminated by U1 snRNPs, as evidenced by the presence of residual amounts of U1-A and U1-70K. In lane 2, bands corresponding to proteins not present in the 20S U5 snRNPs are indicated by solid circles. (B) Detection of kinase activity in [U4/U6.Us]. As described in Materials and methods, 10 µg of the purified [U4/U6.Us] complex and purified 20S U5 snRNPs were incubated together with [γ-32P]ATP or [γ-32P]GTP before electrophoresis on a protein gel under the same conditions as described above. The autoradiograph obtained is shown and can be compared directly with the corresponding protein pattern in A. (Lane 1) [U4/U6.Us] incubated with ATP; (lane 2) [U4/U6.Us] incubated with GTP; (lane 3) U5 incubated with GTP; (lane 4) U5 incubated with ATP.

U4/U6 snRNPs are observed in the immune precipitate, probably due to nonspecific adsorption of U4/U6 snRNPs to the Sepharose matrix (Fig. 6A, lane 3). However, upon incubation of the U4/U6 and U5 snRNPs with increasing amounts of the MN digest, increasing amounts of U4 RNA were observed in the H386 immune precipitate (Fig. 6A, lanes 4 and 5). A slight increase in U6 RNA in the H386 immune precipitate was also observed in the presence of the MN digest (Fig. 6A). As discussed in Figure 3, the under-representation of U6 RNA in the immune precipitate is an apparent one, owing to the inefficient labeling of this RNA with pCp. Therefore, the results indicate that some or all of the free [U4/U6.Us]-specific proteins in the MN digest interact with newly added purified U5 and U4/U6 snRNPs and, thus, bring about [U4/U6.Us] tri-snRNP formation in a concentration-dependent manner.

The above notion was further substantiated when we investigated the reconstitution of 25S [U4/U6.Us] complexes by glycerol gradient centrifugation. Figure 6B, panel I, shows the migration of the Mono-Q-purified U4/U6 and U5 snRNPs in the gradient. Although the 10S U4/U6 snRNPs peak in fractions 3 and 4, the 20S U5 snRNPs are found predominately in fractions 6 and 7 (Fig. 6B). After incubation of the purified U4/U6 and U5 snRNPs with the MN digest of isolated [U4/U6.Us] complexes, the sedimentation of the snRNPs was altered. A significant fraction of U4 RNA was shifted to higher S values and was found in fractions 8-10 of the gradient, that is, at 25S. A shift of a fraction of U5 snRNP to the 25S region was also clearly observed (cf. lanes 8-10 of panels I and II in Fig. 6B). Identification of U6 RNA in the gradient was obscured by the presence of fragments derived from U1 (at the 10S region) and U5 RNA (at the 20S region) that run at the same position as U6 in the polyacrylamide gel (Fig. 6B).

When the purified 10S U4/U6 and 20S U5 snRNPs were incubated with a MN digest of isolated 10S U4/U6 and 20S U5 snRNPs, instead of the MN digest of [U4/U6.Us] tri-snRNP complexes, the sedimentation behavior of the snRNPs remained unchanged and no 25S [U4/U6.Us] tri-snRNP particle was brought about under these conditions (Fig. 6C). This excludes the possibility that purified U4/U6 and U5 snRNP particles that come off of Mono-Q columns are made competent to associate with each other by the addition of free core or U5-specific proteins. Therefore, these results further support the idea that some or all of the [U4/U6.Us] tri-snRNP-specific proteins are required for stable interaction between purified U4/U6 and U5 snRNPs.

**Formation or stability of [U4/U6.Us] complexes is not affected by ATP**

Because the isolated [U4/U6.Us] complex contains an ATPase and kinase activity (Fig. 5B), we were interested in investigating whether ATP affects the association—dissociation equilibrium of the tri-snRNP complex. In Figure 7A we have tested whether ATP enhances the reconstitution of [U4/U6.Us] tri-snRNP complexes from purified U5 and U4/U6 snRNPs and free [U4/U6.Us] tri-snRNP-specific proteins [MN digest]. No differences could be observed in the coprecipitation of U4/U6 with

---

U4/U6 snRNPs are observed in the immune precipitate, probably due to nonspecific adsorption of U4/U6 snRNPs to the Sepharose matrix (Fig. 6A, lane 3). However, upon incubation of the U4/U6 and U5 snRNPs with increasing amounts of the MN digest, increasing amounts of U4 RNA were observed in the H386 immune precipitate (Fig. 6A, lanes 4 and 5). A slight increase in U6 RNA in the H386 immune precipitate was also observed in the presence of the MN digest (Fig. 6A). As discussed in Figure 3, the under-representation of U6 RNA in the immune precipitate is an apparent one, owing to the inefficient labeling of this RNA with pCp. Therefore, the results indicate that some or all of the free [U4/U6.Us]-specific proteins in the MN digest interact with newly added purified U5 and U4/U6 snRNPs and, thus, bring about [U4/U6.Us] tri-snRNP formation in a concentration-dependent manner.

The above notion was further substantiated when we investigated the reconstitution of 25S [U4/U6.Us] complexes by glycerol gradient centrifugation. Figure 6B, panel I, shows the migration of the Mono-Q-purified U4/U6 and U5 snRNPs in the gradient. Although the 10S U4/U6 snRNPs peak in fractions 3 and 4, the 20S U5 snRNPs are found predominately in fractions 6 and 7 (Fig. 6B). After incubation of the purified U4/U6 and U5 snRNPs with the MN digest of isolated [U4/U6.Us] complexes, the sedimentation of the snRNPs was altered. A significant fraction of U4 RNA was shifted to higher S values and was found in fractions 8-10 of the gradient, that is, at 25S. A shift of a fraction of U5 snRNP to the 25S region was also clearly observed (cf. lanes 8-10 of panels I and II in Fig. 6B). Identification of U6 RNA in the gradient was obscured by the presence of fragments derived from U1 (at the 10S region) and U5 RNA (at the 20S region) that run at the same position as U6 in the polyacrylamide gel (Fig. 6B).

When the purified 10S U4/U6 and 20S U5 snRNPs were incubated with a MN digest of isolated 10S U4/U6 and 20S U5 snRNPs, instead of the MN digest of [U4/U6.Us] tri-snRNP complexes, the sedimentation behavior of the 10S U4/U6 and 20S U5 snRNPs remained unchanged and no 25S [U4/U6.Us] tri-snRNP particle was brought about under these conditions (Fig. 6C). This excludes the possibility that purified U4/U6 and U5 snRNP particles that come off of Mono-Q columns are made competent to associate with each other by the addition of free core or U5-specific proteins. Therefore, these results further support the idea that some or all of the [U4/U6.Us] tri-snRNP-specific proteins are required for stable interaction between purified U4/U6 and U5 snRNPs.

**Formation or stability of [U4/U6.Us] complexes is not affected by ATP**

Because the isolated [U4/U6.Us] complex contains an ATPase and kinase activity (Fig. 5B), we were interested in investigating whether ATP affects the association—dissociation equilibrium of the tri-snRNP complex. In Figure 7A we have tested whether ATP enhances the reconstitution of [U4/U6.Us] tri-snRNP complexes from purified U5 and U4/U6 snRNPs and free [U4/U6.Us] tri-snRNP-specific proteins [MN digest]. No differences could be observed in the coprecipitation of U4/U6 with
Figure 6. Formation of 25S [U4/U6.U5] complexes from purified U5 and U4/U6 monoparticles and free [U4/U6.U5]-specific proteins. (A) Immunoprecipitation analysis. [U4/U6.U5] tri-snRNP complexes purified by mAb H386 affinity chromatography and gradient centrifugation (cf. Fig. 4) were digested extensively with MN [see Materials and methods] so that no intact U4, U5, and U6 snRNAs remained [cf. RNA composition before (lane 1) and after (lane 2) MN digestion]. Varying amounts of the MN digest were incubated, together with 5 μg of U4/U6 snRNPs (contaminated with U1 snRNPs) and 5 μg of 20S U5 snRNPs, both purified by Mono-Q chromatography for 30 min at 37°C [for details, see Materials and methods]. Formation of [U4/U6.U5] complexes was then assayed by immunoprecipitation with mAb H386. Immunoprecipitation assays were carried out as described in Materials and methods. An autoradiograph of the gel-fractionated [32]pCp-labeled snRNAs from the various immunoprecipitates is shown. (Lanes 1 and 2) The RNA composition of 2 μg of purified [U4/U6.U5] complexes before (lane 1) and after (lane 2) treatment with MN; (lane 3) precipitate of mAb H386 with purified U4/U6(U1) and 20S U5 snRNPs (U1 * represents a degradation product of U1 RNA lacking the 5’-terminal ~10 nucleotides); (lanes 4 and 5) precipitate of mAb H386 with purified U4/U6(U1) and U5 snRNPs after incubation with 5 μg (lane 4) or 10 μg (lane 5) of MN digest of isolated [U4/U6.U5] complexes. (B) Glycerol gradient centrifugation of reconstituted [U4/U6,U5] complexes. Ten micrograms of U4/U6 (contaminated with U1 snRNPs) and 10 μg of 20S U5 snRNPs purified by Mono-Q chromatography were incubated together with 75 μl of MN-digested [U4/U6.U5] complexes and subjected to centrifugation in a 2-ml 10-30% glycerol gradient as described in Materials and methods. The RNP particles in the various fractions were phenolized, and the RNAs were 3’-end-labeled with [32]pCp and fractionated in 10% polyacrylamide gels containing 8 M urea and autoradiographed. (I) Sedimentation of the Mono-Q-purified snRNPs in the absence of free [U4/U6.U5] tri-snRNP-specific proteins. (II) The sedimentation of U4/U6 and U5 snRNPs after incubation with the MN digest of isolated [U4/U6.U5] complexes. Sedimentation is from left to right. Triangles at bottom indicate positions of 10S snRNPs (▲), 20S U5 snRNPs (▲), and 25S [U4/U6.U5] tri-snRNPs (▲). (● at left of A, B, and C) Positions in the gels where fragments of U1 or U5 snRNAs migrate. (C) Free common snRNP proteins and U5-specific proteins do not promote assembly of [U4/U6.U5] tri-snRNPs. Ten micrograms of U4/U6 (contaminated with U1 snRNPs) and 10 μg of 20S U5 snRNPs purified by Mono-Q chromatography were incubated together with 80 μl of a mixture of MN-digested purified U4/U6 and 20S U5 snRNPs [10 μg each] and subjected to centrifugation in a 2-ml 10-30% glycerol gradient as described in Materials and methods. Sedimentation of snRNPs and analysis of snRNAs were carried out essentially as described for B [for explanation of symbols, see B, above].

In this article we describe the isolation of stable tri-snRNP [U4/U6.U5] complexes from HeLa cells under native conditions. This was accomplished with a monoclonal antibody, H386, that reacts with both the U1-specific 70K and the U5-specific 100-kD protein [Fig. 2]. Immunoprecipitation clearly demonstrated that the epitope on the 100-kD protein that is recognized by H386 is accessible for antibody binding not only in free U5 but also when U5 is part of the tri-snRNP complex, [U4/U6.U5] (Fig. 3). The fact that U5 and U4/U6 are coprecipitated by H386 demonstrates that U5 is stably associated with U4/ U6 in HeLa nuclear extract.

The intensities of the 100-kD protein observed by immunoblotting and ELISA assays employing H386 were comparable with those of the 70K protein, which suggests that the epitopes of these antigens have largely similar structures. It was found that a synthetic polypeptide, constructed on the basis of other epitope mapping studies with 70K cDNA subclones and containing the presumed linear epitope for H386, could be used for desorption of antibody-bound [U4/U6.U5] complexes from an H386 affinity column. This allowed the isolation of U5 snRNPs by mAb H386, when reconstitution was carried out either in the presence or absence of ATP (Fig. 7A, lanes 1 and 2). The stability of isolated [U4/U6.U5] complexes was also not significantly affected by the presence of ATP. This is shown in Figure 7B by glycerol gradient centrifugation of purified tri-snRNP complexes sedimented in the absence [panel I] or presence [panel II] of ATP. In both instances, the majority of U4/U6 and U5 sediments at the 25S region. The same results were obtained when the effect of ATP on the stability of [U4/ U6.U5] complexes was tested by immunoprecipitation with mAb H386 (not shown).

Discussion

In this article we describe the isolation of stable tri-snRNP [U4/U6.U5] complexes from HeLa cells under native conditions. This was accomplished with a monoclonal antibody, H386, that reacts with both the U1-specific 70K and the U5-specific 100-kD protein [Fig. 2]. Immunoprecipitation clearly demonstrated that the epitope on the 100-kD protein that is recognized by H386 is accessible for antibody binding not only in free U5 but also when U5 is part of the tri-snRNP complex, [U4/U6.U5] (Fig. 3). The fact that U5 and U4/U6 are coprecipitated by H386 demonstrates that U5 is stably associated with U4/ U6 in HeLa nuclear extract.

The intensities of the 100-kD protein observed by immunoblotting and ELISA assays employing H386 were comparable with those of the 70K protein, which suggests that the epitopes of these antigens have largely similar structures. It was found that a synthetic polypeptide, constructed on the basis of other epitope mapping studies with 70K cDNA subclones and containing the presumed linear epitope for H386, could be used for desorption of antibody-bound [U4/U6.U5] complexes from an H386 affinity column. This allowed the isolation of
Purified HeLa [U4/U6.U5] tri-snRNP complexes under native conditions (Fig. 4A). The U1 snRNPs that were also eluted from the H386 affinity column by the application of the synthetic peptide interact with H386 independent of the [U4/U6.U5] complex. This was shown by a control precipitation with H111, which recognizes the U1–70K protein alone and the successful separation of U1 from [U4/U6.U5] by subsequent glycerol density gradient centrifugation (Fig. 4B).

The procedure of isolation by immunoaffinity chromatography and subsequent separation from U1 by glycerol density gradient centrifugation not only shows that U4/U6 and U5 are desorbed from the column as a tri-snRNP complex [U4/U6.U5] but also that this complex is remarkably stable, with the sedimentation coefficient remaining at 25S throughout the purification procedure. This suggests that the tri-snRNP population is homogeneous, a supposition recently confirmed by electron microscopy data (B. Kastner, unpubl.). Another indication of the stability of the [U4/U6.U5] complex is that an identical protein composition is reproducibly observed from one preparation to the next. We observe three groups of proteins within the [U4/U6.U5] tri-snRNP:

1. Common proteins, which associate with U5 and U4 and are also present in U1 and U2 snRNPs.
2. U5-specific proteins, as found in 20S U5 snRNPs. All U5-specific proteins in the tri-snRNP complex appear to be retained during the association with U4/U6. An exception could be the 52-kD-protein, which was found in varying quantities from one preparation to the next. Interestingly, this protein was also phosphorylated in the [U4/U6.U5] complex.
3. Previously unidentified proteins with respective molecular masses of 90, 60, 27, 20, and 15.5 kD. They are present in substantial quantities and are found exclusively in the multiple snRNP complex (i.e., not in 20S U5 or in 10S U4/U6 snRNPs).

These proteins can be reproducibly detected both in the coeluate containing U1 and in the U1-free 25S peak after centrifugation (Fig. 5). We may therefore reasonably assume that these proteins are specifically associated with the [U4/U6.U5] complex. Because of the stringent isolation conditions, every protein that comigrates with the U4, U5, and U6 snRNAs may be regarded as being a genuine component of the tri-snRNP complex. In addition, these proteins are not present in 20S U5 particles isolated from nuclear extracts, indicating that they are not merely nonspecifically associated with U5 snRNA.

This group of proteins found only in purified 25S tri-snRNP particles appears to be important for the formation of the [U4/U6.U5] complex. This notion is sup-

---

**Figure 7.** Effect of ATP on the formation or stability of [U4/U6.U5] complexes. [A] Immunoprecipitation of [U4/U6.U5] complexes with H386 reconstituted from purified U4/U6 and U5 snRNPs and free [U4/U6.U5]-specific proteins in the absence [lane 1] and presence [lane 2] of ATP [1.5 mM]. Reconstitution of [U4/U6.U5] tri-snRNP complexes and immunoprecipitation with mAb H386 were carried out essentially as described for Fig. 6A, lane 5. An autoradiograph of the gel-fractionated 32pCp-labeled snRNAs from the immunoprecipitates is shown. [B] Glycerol gradient centrifugation of purified [U4/U6.U5] tri-snRNP complexes in the absence [I] or presence [II] of ATP. Twenty micrograms of purified [U4/U6.U5] complexes (peptide eluate of an H386 column, Fig. 4A) was incubated for 1 hr at 37°C in the absence [I] or presence of 1.5 mM ATP [II] and subjected to centrifugation in 2 ml of 10–30% glycerol gradients. The gradient in II also contained 1.5 mM ATP. Fractionation of the gradients and analysis of the RNA composition of the various gradient fractions were carried out as described in Figure 6B. Triangles at bottom of A and B indicate the position of 10S snRNPs (△) and 25S [U4/U6.U5] tri-snRNP complexes (▲).
reported by at least two kinds of experimental evidence. (1) snRNPs purified by anti-m_{G}G affinity chromatography under high salt conditions do not reassociate to form a stable [U4/U6.U5] complex under moderate ionic conditions comparable with those found in splicing extracts (Fig. 1). Apparently, these proteins dissociate during the nuclear extract preparation and are lost during the subsequent purification step. (2) The importance of the tri-snRNP-specific proteins for the association between U4/U6 and U5 snRNPs was demonstrated more directly by an in vitro reconstitution assay: When isolated 20S U5 and 10S U4/U6 snRNPs were incubated with a MN digest of [U4/U6.U5] complexes (i.e., with solubilized tri-snRNP-specific proteins) and subjected to immunoprecipitation with mAb H386, significant coprecipitation of U4 RNA with U5 RNP was observed (Fig. 6A). In addition, when the reconstitution mixture was subjected to sedimentation in glycerol gradients, U4 and U5 RNA comigrated at 25S, that is, to the position where native [U4/U6.U5] complexes usually migrate. This was not observed in the absence of free tri-snRNP-specific proteins (Fig. 6B,C). Although U6 was apparently under-represented, both in the H386 immunoprecipitate and in the 25S gradient fractions [Fig. 6B], this can be explained by the inefficient labeling of U6 RNA with pCp. Because there is no evidence that the free tri-snRNP-specific proteins would dissociate U4/U6 snRNPs, we may therefore assume that these proteins are required for stable [U4/U6.U5] tri-snRNP complex formation by interaction with purified 20S U5 and 10S U4/U6 snRNPs.

The question as to whether all of the five proteins, observed exclusively in the isolated 25S [U4/U6.U5] tri-snRNP, are involved in assembly of the tri-snRNP complex cannot be answered at present. It is possible that in nuclear extracts, at low salt concentrations, some of these proteins may interact directly with U4/U6 snRNPs independent of U5 snRNP (and may thus be considered as U4/U6 specific) and that, in the extreme case, only one of the five novel proteins is promoting [U4/U6.U5] tri-snRNP assembly. These questions will be answered once individually purified proteins are available for in vitro tri-snRNP reconstitution experiments.

In Saccharomyces cerevisiae, the PRP4 protein has been shown to be essential for the formation of the [U4/U6.U5] complex. The interaction between the two snRNPs, U4/U6 and U5, probably involves the conserved 5’ stem–loop of the U4 snRNA, the region where PRP4 is thought to bind (Bordonn6 et al. 1990; Xu et al. 1990). Another protein, PRP3, may also be associated with the yeast tri-snRNP, as a defective PRP4 gene can be complemented by extra copies of the PRP3 gene (Last et al. 1987). It is therefore very probable that the proteins present in the isolated human [U4/U6,U5] complex and responsible for the association of these snRNPs with one another include mammalian counterparts to PRP3 and PRP4. Once antibodies are available for the various U4/U5/U6 proteins of HeLa, a more detailed investigation of RNA–protein and protein–protein interactions in the 25S tri-snRNP complex will be possible.

It has long been known that ATP is needed both for the incorporation of U2 and U4/U5/U6 into the splicesome and for the splicing process itself. For U2, the process of branchpoint recognition appears to be coupled to the binding and/or the hydrolysis of ATP (Burgess et al. 1990). With regard to the ATP dependence of the association–dissociation equilibrium between U4/U6 and U5 snRNPs, available data in HeLa and yeast are contradictory (Cheng and Abelson 1987; Konarska and Sharp 1987; Lossky et al. 1987; Black and Pinto 1989, Bordonné et al. 1990). We have therefore investigated the influence of ATP on the association–dissociation equilibrium between U4/U6 and U5 snRNPs in the absence of nuclear extract and have observed no measurable ATP effects. 25S [U4/U6.U5] complexes were reconstituted from isolated 20S U5 and 10S U4/U6 in the presence of free tri-snRNP-specific proteins with the same efficiency in both the presence and absence of ATP (Fig. 7A). Furthermore, no significant dissociation of [U4/U6.U5] tri-snRNP complexes, purified from splicing extracts, could be detected by incubation with ATP (Fig. 7B).

Recently, Black and Pinto (1989) showed that in HeLa cell nuclear extracts the appearance of [U4/U6.U5] tri-snRNP complexes was dependent on the presence of ATP: Depletion of ATP from nuclear extracts eliminated the complex. De novo formation of [U4/U6.U5] complexes, on the other hand, was only observed in the presence of ATP (Black and Pinto 1989). Thus, it appears that additional factors absent from our purified snRNPs may act on the [U4/U6.U5] tri-snRNP complex in nuclear extracts. It could be envisaged, for example, that in nuclear extracts one or more of the tri-snRNP-specific proteins must be activated in an ATP-dependent manner, for example, by post-translational modifications to promote stable [U4/U6.U5] complex formation. In our reconstitution system we use proteins from isolated [U4/U6.U5] complexes that are therefore already active and are able to promote stable association between U4/U6 and U5 snRNPs in an ATP-independent way.

An interesting observation arising from this work is the detection of ATP kinase activity in the [U4/U6.U5] complex and the selective phosphorylation in vitro of one of the proteins in this complex. This protein has a molecular mass of 52 kD, and evidence from SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (Fig. 5) strongly suggests that it is the 52-kD U5-specific protein. The identity of the kinase activity is not yet clear. Our finding that the 52-kD protein is not phosphorylated in isolated 20S U5 particles suggests that the kinase activity is intrinsic to the [U4/U6.U5] complex. The important question whether one of the five tri-snRNP-specific proteins is the kinase or whether this activity is due to an additional not yet identified minor protein associated with isolated tri-snRNP complexes can only be answered once the individual polypeptides are purified in native form.

The functional significance of the ATPase and kinase activities is, at present, unclear. However, the data discussed above would make a role of this ATP-dependent phosphorylation in the dissociation–association equilibrium of U4/U6 and U5 snRNPs rather unlikely. In view of the evidence that a conformational rearrangement
within the U4/U6 interaction domain occurs either before or concomitant with the first step of the splicing reaction, it is tempting to speculate that ATP could play a part in the conversion of the spliceosome-integrated [U4/U6.U5] complex to its active form.

**Materials and methods**

**Preparation of HeLa nuclear extract**

HeLa cells [S3] were grown in suspension culture as described previously [Bringmann et al. 1983]. Nuclear extracts (splicing extracts) were prepared by the method of Dignam et al. [1983] with slight modifications (see below).

**Anti-m3G immunoaffinity chromatography of snRNPs**

The affinity purification of U1–U6 snRNPs was performed using mAb H-20 bound covalently to CNBr-activated Sepharose 4B (Pharmacia) as described previously [Bochign et al. 1987]. Nuclear extracts were prepared from $5 \times 10^9$ up to $1 \times 10^{10}$ cells in buffer C-5, containing 20 mM HEPES/KOH (pH 7.9), 420 mM NaCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM EDTA–NaOH (pH 8), 0.5 mM DTE, 0.5 mM PMSF, 4 µg/ml of leupeptin, and 5% glycerol, and were subsequently passed over an anti-m3G affinity column (bed volume 5 ml) that had been equilibrated in the same buffer. Washing and desorption of the antibody-bound snRNPs by elution with m3G was carried out as described previously [Bringmann et al. 1986], using buffer C-5 for all steps.

**FPLC of U1–U6 snRNPs**

Purification of U1, U2, 10S or 20S U5, and U4/6 snRNPs was accomplished by Mono-Q chromatography of U1–U6 snRNPs isolated by anti-m3G affinity chromatography. This procedure is described by Bach et al. [1989].

**Western blotting**

Total protein of U1–U6 snRNPs [100–300 µg], purified as described above, was loaded at 10–30 µg/cm onto 5–20% SDS-polyacrylamide gradient gels. After gel electrophoresis, the proteins were transferred electrophoretically onto nitrocellulose [Towbin et al. 1979]. The transfer was carried out in SLAB-4 buffer [20 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.3), 150 mM glycine, 2% SDS] in a semidrying blotting apparatus. Immunodetection with monoclonal antibodies was carried out as described by Reuter and Lührmann [1986]. Antigen-bound antibodies were visualized with a second antibody conjugated with alkaline phosphatase (Sigma) that catalyzes the color reaction of 5-bromo-4-chloro-indolyl phosphate and nitrotetrazolium blue.

**ELISA assay**

SnRNP proteins were separated on SDS–polyacrylamide gels [12% for low-molecular-mass proteins, 5–8% for high-molecular-mass proteins (>70 kDa)] and isolated from the gels by electrodialysis as described previously [Reuter et al. 1987]. Individual proteins (5 ng/well) were allowed to bind to polylysine microtiter plates [Greiner] by drying the plates for 1 hr at 37°C in Diabuffer [0.01 M NH₄HCO₃, 0.02% SDS]. A 1:400 dilution of the H836 hybridoma culture supernatant in 80 µl of PBS containing 1% BSA and 0.1% Tween 20 was added, and the mixture was incubated for 16 hr at 4°C. Blocking of nonspecific binding sites on the polylysine surface, washing procedures, and detection of antigen-bound antibodies were carried out essentially as described by Rauh et al. [1988]. Alkaline phosphatase conjugate was purchased either from Dynatec or from Sigma and was used in a 1:1000 dilution. The color reaction of p-nitrophenyl phosphate was developed for 15 min at room temperature. The absorbance at 405 nm was measured by an automated ELISA reader (Dynatech).

**Glycerol gradient sedimentation studies**

**Preparative gradient centrifugation**

Nuclear extracts or anti-m3G column snRNP eluates (snRNPs U1–U6) were dialyzed for 4 hr against 30 volumes of buffer G [20 mM HEPES (pH 7.9), 150 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM DTE, 0.5 mM PMSF, 4 µg/ml of leupeptin, 5% glycerol]. Nuclear extracts prepared under these conditions were active in спlicing at 40% dilution per assay [Winkelmann et al. 1989]. Nuclear extract or affinity column eluate (<2.5 ml) [containing <800 µg of snRNPs] was layered onto a linear, 11–ml, 10–30% (vol/vol) glycerol gradient prepared with buffer G. The gradients were centrifuged in a Beckman SW 40 Ti rotor at 29,000 rpm for 18 hr. Twenty-six 500-µ1 fractions were harvested from top to bottom and frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen. The protein and RNA content of the fractions was assayed by phenol extraction and electrophoresis of the protein and RNA products, as described previously [Bringmann et al. 1983]. When necessary, the three different D proteins were visualized by SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis using 80 µl of ammonium persulfate and 80 µl of N,N,N',N'-tetramethyl-ethylenediamine (TEMED)/20 ml of gel solution as described by Lehmeier et al. [1990].

**Analytical gradient centrifugation**

For the analysis of reconstitution experiments or the influence of ATP on the stability of isolated [U4/U6.U5] tri-snRNPs, <200-µ1 reaction volume containing ~10 µg of snRNPs was loaded on a 2-ml 10–30% (vol/vol) glycerol gradient prepared with buffer G. Centrifugation was carried out for 2.5 hr at 55,000 rpm in a Beckman TLS-55 rotor (TL-100 tabletop centrifuge). RNA was extracted from 200-µ1 fractions and 3′-end-labeled with [32P]pCp and electrophoretically analyzed (see also below).

**Immunoprecipitation**

Protein A-Sepharose (Pharmacia) was preswollen in PBS buffer [130 mM NaCl, 20 mM NaPO₄ (pH 8)]. Twenty-microliter aliquots of a 50% suspension of beads were mixed with 20 µg of purified antibody or 200 µl of hybridoma supernatant in a total volume [made up with PBS] of 500 µl. The mixture was incubated overnight at 4°C with continual longitudinal rotation of the vessel. If IgM hybridoma supernatant was used, an antibody [20 µg of goat anti-mouse IgM, purchased from Sigma] was bound to the protein A-Sepharose before incubation with the supernatant. After the beads had been washed five times with 500 µl of buffer G, the antigen was added (either 100 µl of a gradient fraction or 2–5 µg of Mono-Q-purified snRNPs in buffer G). The reaction was carried out for 500 µl of buffer G for at least 4 hr at 4°C. The protein A-Sepharose was then washed five times with buffer G and transferred to a new reaction tube. After one additional wash, the bound RNA was extracted with phenol and chloroform, precipitated with ethanol, and 3′-end-labeled with [32P]pCp (Amersham) by the method of England and Uhlenbeck [1978]. Electrophoretic analysis of labeled RNA was carried out with 10% polyacrylamide gels containing 8 M urea.
Behrens and Lührmann

Immunoadfinity chromatography with the anti-70K/100-kD mAb H386

Preparation of the affinity column  Goat anti-mouse IgM antibody (2 mg) (Sigma) was coupled to 2 ml of protein A-Sepharose (50% vol/vol beads) as described in the preceding paragraph. After washing with PBS, H386 from 30-ml hybridoma supernatant was coupled to the column. The antibodies were covalently cross-linked to one another and to the protein A-Sepharose with dimethylpimelimidate (Pierce) as described by Harlowe and Lane (1988).

The efficiency of cross-linking was monitored by heating an aliquot of the column material in Laemmli buffer [60 mM Tris-HCl (pH 6.8), 100 mM DTE, 2% SDS, 10% glycerol] at 85°C for 10 min and subjecting it to gel electrophoresis on a 12% SDS-polyacrylamide gel.

Chromatography  After equilibration of the Sepharose material with buffer G, <7 ml of collected gradient fractions was applied to the column and incubated in batch form for at least 2 hr at 4°C with longitudinal rotation. The flowthrough was collected separately, and the column was washed with 10 volumes of buffer G. Bound snRNPs were eluted with 4 volumes of buffer G containing 50 mM [0.01 ml of] a competing peptide, a 32-mer with the sequence DRDRERRRSHRSERERRRDRRDWRDEHRK, which had been synthesized on an Applied Biosystems 430A peptide synthesizer. The peptide was used directly after removal of contaminating salts by a G-25 Sephadex column. The affinity column was carefully regenerated by washing first with 10 mM NaPO₄ buffer (pH 7.2) and subsequently removing the peptide with a buffer containing 3.5 mM MgCl₂ and 10 mM Na/PO₄ (pH 7.2). After repeated washing with 20 volumes of buffer G containing 0.02% azide, the column could be reused.

Detection of kinase activity

[U4/U6/US] tri-snRNP complexes, purified by immunoadfinity chromatography with mAb H386 as described above, were subjected to centrifugation in a preparative gradient (see above) to remove contaminating U1 snRNPs particles [see Results]. To 1-ml glycerol gradient fractions containing 10 μg of pure 25S [U4/U6/US] complexes, either 100 μCi [γ-32P]ATP or 100 μCi of [γ-32P]GTP (both from Amersham; sp. act. >5000 Ci/mmol) was added. After 1 hr incubation at 37°C, proteins were extracted, fractionated by 12% SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, stained with silver or Coomassie blue, and autoradiographed. In a parallel experiment, 20 μg of purified 20S US snRNPs were subjected to the same conditions as described for the [U4/U6/US] complex.

Reconstitution assay

Forty micrograms of purified [U4/U6/US] complex (see above) was treated with 0.35 U/μl of MN in the presence of 1.7 mM CaCl₂ and 2.5 mM MgCl₂ for 10 min at 30°C in a 300-μl reaction volume. EGTA was added to a concentration of 20 mM (pH 8) to stop the reaction. Ten micrograms of Mono-Q-purified U4/U6 snRNPs (which contained varying quantities of U1 and U2 snRNPs, depending on the batch used) and 10 μg of Mono-Q-purified U5 snRNPs were then added to the indicated amount of MN digest and the volume was increased to 200 μl with buffer G. After incubation for 30 min at 37°C the reaction mixture was loaded either on an analytical gel or directly used for an immune precipitation assay with H386 (see above). In the control assays, identical concentrations of U4/U6 and U5 snRNPs were used and buffer G was added to the reaction instead of MN digest.
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