Enrichment of Secondary Wastewater Sludge for Production of Hydrogen from Crude Glycerol and Comparative Evaluation of Mono-, Co- and Mixed-Culture Systems
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Abstract: Anaerobic digestion using mixed-culture with broader choice of pretreatments for hydrogen (H2) production was investigated. Pretreatment of wastewater sludge by five methods, such as heat, acid, base, microwave and chloroform was conducted using crude glycerol (CG) as substrate. Results for heat treatment (100 °C for 15 min) showed the highest H2 production across the pretreatment methods with 15.18 ± 0.26 mmol/L of medium at 30 °C in absence of complex media and nutrient solution. The heat-pretreated inoculum eliminated H2 consuming bacteria and produced twice as much as H2 as compared to other pretreatment methods. The fermentation conditions, such as CG concentration (1.23 to 24 g/L), percentage of inoculum size (InS) (1.23% to 24% v/v) along with initial pH (2.98 to 8.02) was tested using central composite design (CCD) with H2 production as response parameter. The maximum H2 production of 29.43 ± 0.71 mmol/L obtained at optimum conditions of 20 g/L CG, 20% InS and pH 7. Symbiotic correlation of pH over CG and InS had a significant (p-value: 0.0011) contribution to H2 production. The mixed-culture possessed better natural acclimatization activity for degrading CG, at substrate inhibition concentration and provided efficient inoculum conditions in comparison to mono- and co-culture systems. The heat pretreatment step used across mixed-culture system is simple, cheap and industrially applicable in comparison to mono-/co-culture systems for H2 production.
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1. Introduction

Biodiesel and biohydrogen are considered as renewable, efficient and carbon dioxide (CO2)-free fuel of choice for the future [1,2]. Biodiesel production across the world is increasing rapidly and estimated to reach 20 billion liters in 2020 due to strong government policies and incentives across the world [3,4]. About 100 kg of crude glycerol (CG) is generated as waste by-product with every ton of biodiesel produced [5]. Sustainable production and commercialization of biodiesel depends on the demand and increased utilization of CG [3]. With presence of various impurities across CG, refining for glycerin is no longer cost-effective with decreasing market value for glycerin [4,6]. Value added utilization (valorization) of waste CG into biofuels or biochemical for additional market
value represents a promising route with several advantages [7–9]. New valorization methods for the CG are exploited as a low cost, abundant feedstock, with increased substrate conversion efficiency and decreased operating costs in comparison to other organic wastes [1,6,7].

The present hydrogen (H₂) production techniques by physico-chemical routes are fossil fuel dependent, expensive and release CO₂ [10]. Microbial conversion of CG to H₂ production is an attractive approach [6,11] and has gained advantage for high energy recovery potential [8,12]. H₂ production with utilization of waste by-product will credit CG to reduce total production costs (by 13%–14%) of biodiesel fuels [3]. The presence of various impurities in CG is the major bottleneck for the production and recovery of value-added products [13]. However, the produced H₂ can be easily separated from the fermentation media, requiring no additional purification steps and can be directly used as fuel [14], thus reducing the downstream processing cost in comparison to value-added products, such as 1,3-propanediol (1,3-PD) and ethanol requiring production at higher concentrations to minimize costly purification steps [10].

Microbial H₂ production using organic wastes can be divided into two steps; dark fermentation and the photo-fermentation process [15]. Dark fermentation in absence of light has advantages in terms of a simple reactor set-up, and economical increased H₂ production rate in comparison to photo-fermentation requiring complex set-up in the presence of a light source [15–17]. Conversion of complex organic wastes into simple low molecular weight volatile fatty acids, H₂ and CO₂ during acidogenesis/acidification step of conventional anaerobic digestion is known as dark fermentation [18,19].

Anaerobic digestion has been considered economical during treatment of complex organic wastes [18], and researchers are focused on developing the acidogenesis stage of H₂ production using mixed-culture that could be affordable and accessible [20,21]. Anaerobic digestion in the presence of mixed-culture have broader choice of organic waste feedstocks, and are easy to operate and control the growth of cultures during H₂ production [22].

Pretreatment steps to remove H₂ consuming bacteria that coexist in mixed-culture is a necessary step and carried out using media enrichment, heat-shock, acid, alkali, chloroform and ultrasonication techniques [21–24]. Five pretreatment methods across acid, chemical, wet heat-, dry heat-shock, freezing and thawing were carried out for cattle manure sludge [25]. A comparative study across acid, heat and chloroform treatment on sewage sludge was carried out to enrich H₂ producing bacteria and to eliminate methane production permanently [26]. Media enrichment is the only technique explored to date for activated sludge for H₂ production by mixed-culture using CG [1,8,12]. Highest H₂ yield by mixed-culture using vinasse (waste of sugarcane ethanol distillation columns) resulted in 3.66 mol H₂/mol sucrose [15] and using CG resulted in around 0.90–0.96 mol H₂/mol glycerol [1,8]. In this study the top five commonly appearing enrichment methods, such as heat, acid, base, microwave and chloroform were conducted to increase H₂ yield using CG.

The cattle manure sludge, reactor waste, soil types etc. are commonly used as seed inoculum across mixed-culture studies; in this study wastewater sludge was selected for H₂ production. The temperature of the wastewater sludge (30 °C) at the time of collection favors the growth of microorganisms. However, the optimum temperature for H₂ producing microorganisms is around 37 °C and around 55 °C inhibits H₂ consuming bacteria [8]. Initial experiments at different temperatures were evaluated in this study. Optimization of fermentation parameters using statistical tools such as Central Composite Design (CCD) narrow down experimental runs, suggest the optimum parameter range and identify the dominant parameter responsible for H₂ production in fewer runs [4,15]. The parameters needed for optimization are the substrate concentration, media supplements, endo-nutrients, inoculum size and the fermentation pH [8,12,27]. Each of these input parameters are considered as important and play a dominant effect in determining the H₂ production [8,12]. In this study, costly media supplements and endo-nutrients concentration was omitted to decrease the process cost by eliminating the use of expensive media components. H₂ production was carried out using minimal medium in presence of CG as substrate.
The impurities in CG derived from restaurant and meat processing waste have increased inhibition effect on pure-/co-cultures in comparison to impurities in CG derived from pure substrates [28]. The mixed-culture nullifies the inhibition effect of impurity to utilize complex CG with synergistic effects that appears advantageous over pure and co-culture system during glycerol fermentation [1,22]. Utilization of CG as substrate during glycerol fermentation for increased H\textsubscript{2} yield across pure-, co- and mixed-culture system was evaluated in this study.

Glycerol fermentation follows two possible pathways (oxidative and reductive) [10]. During the oxidative pathway glycerol is converted to H\textsubscript{2} and various organic acids/alcohols. In the case of the reductive pathway, glycerol is reduced into 1,3-PD production [10,29]. A complete shift of glycerol fermentation from a reductive to oxidative pathway with decreased 1,3-PD production will increase H\textsubscript{2} production [5,10]. Analysis of 1,3-PD as a response factor in the central composite design (CCD) will determine the behaviour of the mixed-culture for the possible pathway during glycerol fermentation.

To date, there is disagreement on the best pretreatment methods of sludge for enriching hydrogen-producing bacteria for maximum H\textsubscript{2} yield [30]. In this study, enrichment of secondary wastewater sludge using different pretreatment techniques was carried out to obtain stable consortia of mixed-culture to use CG as sole substrate for H\textsubscript{2} production. To optimize the fermentation parameters, such as CG concentration, inoculum size and fermentation pH, central composite design was used along with H\textsubscript{2} as response factor. The present study also deals with a comparative platform for H\textsubscript{2} production using pure-, co- and mixed-culture system with CG as substrate.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Hydrogen Production Using Different Pretreatment Methods

The pretreatment methods tested in this study for wastewater sludge for the inoculum enrichment for H\textsubscript{2} production are presented in the Table 1. The heat treatment resulted in increased production (15.18 ± 0.26 mmol/L) in comparison to other methods using CG as substrate. The production using heat treatment at 30 °C (15.18 ± 0.26 mmol/L) was highest in comparison to 55 °C (4.57 ± 0.53 mmol/L) and 37 °C (12.76 ± 0.50 mmol/L). The same set-up of heat treatment was tested at 25 °C, however there was no increase in H\textsubscript{2} production in comparison to 30 °C (data not shown). The fermentation temperature optimum for H\textsubscript{2} production using pretreated wastewater sludge was highest at 30 °C in comparison to other temperatures as seen in Table 1. The highest H\textsubscript{2} production of 15.18 ± 0.26 mmol/L of medium was obtained for the heat pretreatment method at 30 °C. The lowest H\textsubscript{2} production of 1.62 ± 0.91 mmol/L was observed in the case of chloroform treatment. H\textsubscript{2} production increased with a decrease in the incubation temperature across all the pretreatment methods. The highest production of 15.18 ± 0.26 mmol/L in case of heat pretreatment of wastewater sludge at 30 °C was shortlisted for further optimization experiments using CCD.

Table 1. Hydrogen production (mmol/L) for different pretreatment methods across different fermentation temperature conditions.

| Pretreatment Methods | Hydrogen Production (mmol/L) across Different Temperature Set-up |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
|                      | 55 °C | 37 °C | 30 °C       |
| Heat                 | 4.57 ± 0.53 | 12.76 ± 0.50 | 15.18 ± 0.26 |
| Acid                 | 1.94 ± 0.22 | 4.40 ± 0.51 | 7.05 ± 0.83 |
| Alkali               | 4.22 ± 0.49 | 4.67 ± 0.59 | 10.02 ± 0.22 |
| Microwave            | 3.98 ± 0.46 | 4.22 ± 0.49 | 6.09 ± 0.71 |
| Chloroform           | 1.62 ± 0.91 | 2.71 ± 0.31 | 9.91 ± 0.68 |
2.2. Hydrogen Production during Optimization Studies

The H₂ production across different CG concentrations, inoculum sizes and varying pH is represented in the Table 2. H₂ production ranged from 5.11 ± 0.71 (obtained at CG: 20, InS: 6, pH: 4) to a maximum of 29.43 ± 0.71 mmol/L (obtained at CG: 20, InS: 20, pH: 7).

Table 2. The experimental runs of central composite design across crude glycerol concentration (g/L), different inoculum size (%), varying pH and experimental runs in terms of hydrogen production (mmol/L) and 1,3-PD concentration (g/L).

| Run | Crude Glycerol (g/L) | Inoculum Size (%) | pH  | Hydrogen Production (mmol/L) | 1,3-PD (g/L) |
|-----|---------------------|-------------------|-----|-----------------------------|-------------|
| 1   | 20                  | 6                 | 4   | 5.11 ± 0.71                 | 0.77 ± 0.04 |
| 2   | 6                   | 6                 | 7   | 17.62 ± 0.84                | 3.15 ± 0.26 |
| 3   | 13                  | 13                | 5.5  | 17.28 ± 0.40               | 1.57 ± 0.23 |
| 4   | 13                  | 13                | 5.5  | 18.81 ± 0.83               | 1.45 ± 0.30 |
| 5   | 6                   | 6                 | 4   | 5.21 ± 0.55                | 1.56 ± 0.56 |
| 6   | 1.23                | 13                | 5.5  | 9.86 ± 0.88                | 0.53 ± 0.62 |
| 7   | 20                  | 20                | 7   | 29.43 ± 0.71               | 5.12 ± 0.59 |
| 8   | 13                  | 13                | 5.5  | 17.28 ± 0.52               | 1.68 ± 0.76 |
| 9   | 20                  | 6                 | 7   | 21.84 ± 0.78               | 6.02 ± 0.56 |
| 10  | 6                   | 20                | 4   | 8.06 ± 0.89                | 2.69 ± 0.75 |
| 11  | 20                  | 20                | 4   | 8.41 ± 0.21                | 1.67 ± 0.62 |
| 12  | 13                  | 13                | 5.5  | 18.44 ± 0.19               | 1.55 ± 0.66 |
| 13  | 13                  | 13                | 2.98 | 8.51 ± 0.71               | 1.28 ± 0.58 |
| 14  | 24                  | 13                | 5.5  | 10.51 ± 0.76               | 2.00 ± 0.67 |
| 15  | 13                  | 13                | 5.5  | 19.28 ± 0.71               | 1.72 ± 0.77 |
| 16  | 13                  | 1.23              | 5.5  | 9.94 ± 0.10                | 0.96 ± 0.64 |
| 17  | 6                   | 20                | 7   | 17.12 ± 0.25               | 3.07 ± 0.66 |
| 18  | 13                  | 24                | 5.5  | 12.26 ± 0.92               | 1.84 ± 0.73 |
| 19  | 13                  | 13                | 8.02 | 14.55 ± 0.54               | 6.06 ± 0.59 |
| 20  | 13                  | 13                | 5.5  | 18.68 ± 0.21               | 1.22 ± 0.62 |

The response surface quadratic model with p-value of 0.028 was significant, and pH with p-value of 0.0011 had a significant effect on H₂ production. The model ANOVA results for H₂ production are represented in the Table 3. The significant p-value of 0.0011 indicated the linear dominance of pH parameter on H₂ production in comparison to CG and inoculum size.

Table 3. The model ANOVA results for hydrogen production and 1,3-PD concentration.

| Source         | Hydrogen p-Value | 1,3-Propanediol p-Value |
|----------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| Model significant | 0.028            | <0.0001                 |
| A-crude glycerol    | 0.2701           | 0.0147                  |
| B-inoculum size     | 0.289            | 0.2138                  |
| C-pH            | 0.0011           | <0.0001                 |
| AB              | 0.4828           | 0.4875                  |
| AC              | 0.1949           | 0.0009                  |
| BC              | 0.9376           | 0.064                   |
| A2              | 0.0824           | 0.5269                  |
| B2              | 0.1334           | 0.3378                  |
| C2              | 0.166            | <0.0001                 |

The model equation that best represented the fitting data is shown below in (Equation (1)):

\[
\text{Hydrogen} = +18.18 + 1.31 \times \text{CG} + 1.26 \times \text{InS} + 5.08 \times \text{pH} + 1.07 \times \text{CG} \times \text{InS} + 2.04 \times \text{CG} \times \text{pH} + 0.12 \times \text{InS} \times \text{pH} - 2.11 \times \text{CG} \times \text{CG} - 1.78 \times \text{InS} \times \text{InS} - 1.63 \times \text{pH} \times \text{pH} \tag{1}
\]

The model ANOVA results for hydrogen production and 1,3-PD concentration.
Across Equation (1), pH (5.08) had a positive value and was higher in comparison to other input parameters, indicating the influence of pH to be greater at higher value with dominating effect on H₂ production. The H₂ production response across the input parameters: CG, inoculum size and pH using response surface curve are represented in the Figure 1. At minimum concentration of CG with increasing inoculum size, the H₂ production increased and decreased as seen in Figure 1A. The H₂ production at minimum concentration of CG (6 g/L) and InS (6%) for run:2 and 5, varied from 5.21 ± 0.55 to 17.62 ± 0.84 mmol/L. In case of increased inoculum size of 20% at minimum concentration of CG (6 g/L), the H₂ varied from 8.06 ± 0.89 to 17.12 ± 0.25 mmol/L. At maximum concentration of CG with increasing inoculum size, the H₂ production increased. This is seen upon run:1 (CG: 20, InS: 6) with 5.11 ± 0.71 mmol/L increased to 29.43 ± 0.71 mmol/L of H₂ production for run:7 at (CG: 20, InS: 20). The effect of increase in InS at maximum CG concentration had increasing effect on H₂ production as seen in the Figure 1A. The H₂ production at minimum concentration of CG (6 g/L) increased with increase in the pH as seen in the Figure 1B. This is seen in run:5 (CG: 6, pH: 4) with 5.21 ± 0.55 mmol/L increased to 17.12 ± 0.25 mmol/L of H₂ production for run:17 at (CG: 6, pH: 7). This was even true at maximum concentration of CG for run:11 (CG: 20, pH: 4) with 8.41 ± 0.21 mmol/L increased to 29.43 ± 0.71 mmol/L for the run:7 (CG: 20, pH: 7). The effect of increase in pH across CG concentrations had a similar effect with increase in H₂ production till pH nearing to optimum (pH 7.0) as seen in the Figure 1B. The relation of H₂ production between CG and pH was also similar with pH and InS as seen with the response curve in the Figure 1C. For run:1 (InS: 6, pH: 4) with 5.11 ± 0.71 mmol/L increased to 21.84 ± 0.78 mmol/L of H₂ production for run:9 at (InS: 6, pH: 7). Similar increase was true at maximum concentration of CG for run:11 (InS: 20, pH: 4) with 8.41 ± 0.21 mmol/L increased to 29.43 ± 0.71 mmol/L for the run:7 (InS: 20, pH: 7). The effect of increase in pH across inoculum size had similar effect with increase in H₂ production till pH nearing to optimum (pH 7.0) as seen in the Figure 1C. The optimum fermentation conditions are dependent on substrate concentration, working pH and inoculum size for increased H₂ production [2,5]. The fermentation pH had the dominant effect across the other input parameters and at neutral pH resulted in maximum H₂ production at maximum CG (20 g/L) with 20% inoculum size.
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**Figure 1.** Hydrogen production (mmol/L) response across the input parameters: (A) across crude glycerol (g/L) and inoculum size (%); (B) across crude glycerol (g/L) and (C) inoculum size (%) and pH.
2.3. 1,3-Propanediol Production during Optimization Studies

The response of 1,3-PD production across different CG concentrations, inoculum sizes and varying pH is represented in Table 2. 1,3-PD production ranged from minimum of 0.53 ± 0.62 (run:6, CG: 1.23, InS: 13, pH: 5.5) to a maximum of 6.06 ± 0.59 g/L (run:19, CG: 13, InS: 13, pH: 8.02). The response surface quadratic model with p-value of <0.0001 was significant. The p-value of CG (0.0147) and pH (<0.0001) both had a significant effect on 1,3-PD production. The model equation that best represented the fitting data has been shown below in Equation (2):

\[
\text{1,3-Propanediol} = +1.50 + 0.41 \times \text{CG} + 0.18 \times \text{InS} + 1.37 \times \text{pH} - 0.13 \times \text{CG} \times \text{InS} \\
+ 0.84 \times \text{CG} \times \text{pH} - 0.38 \times \text{InS} \times \text{pH} + 0.08 \times \text{CG} \times \text{CG} + 0.14 \times \text{InS} \times \text{InS} + 0.94 \times \text{pH} \times \text{pH}
\]  

(2)

Across Equation (2), akin to H2 production, the coefficient of pH (1.37) had a positive value and was higher in comparison to other input parameters. The significant p-value of <0.0011 indicated the linear dominance of pH along with CG (0.0147) parameter on 1,3-PD production as seen in Table 3.

The 1,3-PD production response across the input parameters: CG, inoculum size and pH using response surface curve are represented in Figure 2. At maximum concentration of CG with increasing inoculum size, 1,3-PD production was less than maximum response (6.06 g/L) as seen in Figure 2A. The 1,3-PD production at maximum concentration of CG (20 g/L) with increasing InS from 6%–20% for run:1 and 11, ranged between 0.77 ± 0.04 to 1.67 ± 0.62 g/L. The response of 1,3-PD increased with increase in CG concentration at every interval of InS as seen in the Figure 2A. The 1,3-PD production at maximum concentration of CG (20 g/L) increased with increase in the fermentation pH as seen in the Figure 2B. This is seen in run:1 (CG: 6, pH: 4) with 0.77 ± 0.04 g/L increased to 5.12 ± 0.59 and 6.02 ± 0.56 g/L for run:7 and 9 at (CG: 20, pH: 7). The production of 1,3-PD reached maximum in the pH range (7–8), which was also dependent on the CG concentration. The relation of 1,3-PD production between CG and pH was also similar with pH and InS as seen with the response curve in the Figure 2C. In the case of run:10 and 11 (InS: 20, pH: 4) the range was from 1.67 ± 0.62 to 2.69 ± 0.75 g/L and increased in a range from 3.07 ± 0.66 to 5.12 ± 0.59 g/L of 1,3-PD production for run:7 and 17 at (InS: 20, pH: 7). The production of 1,3-PD reached maximum in the pH range (7–8), which was also dependent on the InS. The decreased production of 1,3-PD during glycerol fermentation increased the H2 production [2,10]. The maximum H2 production of 29.43 ± 0.71 mmol/L was observed at 5.12 ± 0.59 g/L of 1,3-PD production, which was lower in comparison to maximum production of 1,3-PD (6.06 ± 0.59 g/L) resulting in decreased H2 production with 14.55 ± 0.54 mmol/L.

**Figure 2.** 1,3-PD production (g/L) response across the input parameters: (A) crude glycerol (g/L) and inoculum size (%); (B) across crude glycerol (g/L) and (C) inoculum size (%) and pH.
Enrichment methods using acid, chemical, wet heat-, dry heat-shock, freezing and thawing was carried out for cattle manure sludge, and increased H₂ production using acid pretreatment was 1.9–9.8 times greater compared to control sludge [25]. A comparative study was made across acid, heat and chloroform treatment on sewage sludge during immobilized H₂ production in a mixed-culture under anaerobic conditions. Chloroform treatment inhibited hydrogen consuming bacteria, avoided fast conversion of H₂ to acetic acid and repeated culture showed H₂ production till 15 days [26]. Heat pretreatment was the best among other pretreatments (acid and base) for enriching H₂ producing bacteria from anaerobic sludge [31]. The increased H₂ production depends on the source of the seed sludge and the pretreatment method. Heat treatment is a simple, effective and practical method in comparison to other methods for enriching H₂-producing bacteria from different seed source [30–33]. The effect of heat pretreatment depends on the seed inoculum to eliminate H₂ consumers and also on the kind of substrate used during fermentation [32]. In this study, the heat-pretreated inoculum possessed higher natural acclimatization activity for degrading CG and produced twice as much as H₂ in comparison to other pretreatment methods.

The results obtained across pretreatment methods over different fermentation temperatures, helped to narrow down the input parameters for the CCD model. The input parameters using CG concentration ranged from 1.23 to 24 g/L, inoculum size from 1.23% to 24% and pH from 2.98 to 8.02, respectively along with responses of H₂ and 1,3-PD production represented in the Table 2. The central point from CCD model at CG (13 g/L), InS (13%) and pH (5.5) resulted in the production of H₂ reaching only 18.81 ± 0.83 mmol/L in comparison to 15.18 ± 0.26 at CG (10 g/L). The increase in concentration of CG with increasing inoculum size increased H₂ production, however the effect of initial pH was dominant and had a significant effect (p-value: 0.0011) in increasing H₂ production. At maximum concentration of CG (20 g/L) for run:1 and 11, with increase in inoculum size from six to 20% resulted in marginal H₂ production from 5.11 ± 0.71 to 8.41 ± 0.21 mmol/L. However, at the same values of CG and InS, when the pH was adjusted from 4 to 7, the H₂ production increased with 29.43 ± 0.71 mmol/L. Further increase in the initial pH to 8.02 at CG (13 g/L) and InS (13%) resulted in decreased H₂ production reaching only 14.55 ± 0.54 mmol/L. Anaerobic digestion for H₂ production depends on growth limitation of methanogens, performance of H₂ production and shift from acidogenesis to solventogenesis depends on the pH condition [8]. The optimum pH for H₂ production is effective, when the methanogenic bacteria get repressed at pH 5.5–6 and also with heat-shock pretreatment (100 °C) [33]. The conditions of initial pH: 7 in presence of CG (20 g/L) and InS (20%) was optimum for the growth of H₂ producers in mixed-culture, which resulted in increased H₂ production reaching 29.43 ± 0.71 mmol/L.

The H₂ yield comparison along with seed inoculum, experimental condition, and type of design used across mixed-culture studies is represented in Table 4. The results obtained with hot air oven pretreatment for anaerobic granule sludge using CG (22.19 g/L) in presence of endo-nutrient (2.89 mL/L) resulted in H₂ production of 1.37 mmol/L h [27]. The conversion of CG into H₂ with heat-treated mixed-culture at substrate concentration of 3 g/L in presence of nutrient solutions resulted in 0.31 mol H₂/mol glycerol [32]. Enrichment of activated sludge using minimal medium in presence of CG (15 g/L) resulted in an H₂ yield ranging from 0.66 to 0.96 mol H₂/mol of glycerol [1,8]. The highest H₂ yield of 1.41 mol/mol of glycerol was obtained from enrichment of activated sludge using complex modified HM 100 medium using CG (1 g/L) [12]. The optimal condition in this study resulted in H₂ production rate of around 1.23 mmol/L h and with 72% of substrate utilization at H₂ yield of 0.82 mol/mol of glycerol. The results obtained in this study are slightly higher in presence of minimal medium at 20 g/L, and is comparable to studies (as seen in Table 4) using complex medium, nutrient solutions, and working at very low CG concentrations. The heat treatment method used in this study is simple, low cost and industrially applicable in comparison to using costly medium enrichment pretreatment methods. This study provides dual environment benefits with wastewater treatment along with utilization of CG into generation of H₂ for possible domestic renewable energy source for the biodiesel industry.
Table 4. Hydrogen yield comparison across mixed-culture studies using crude glycerol as substrate.

| Seed Inoculum | Pretreatment | Substrate | Experimental Condition | Experimental Design | \( H_2 \) Yield (mol \( H_2 \)/mol glycerol) | Ref. |
|---------------|--------------|-----------|------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|-----|
| Anaerobic granule from an upflow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) reactor | 105 °C for 2 h in hot-air oven | CG derived from fried chicken oil waste | CG at 22.19 g/L, supplement with endo-nutrients, initial pH 5.5 at 35 °C with 150 rpm | CCD on CG, sludge and endo-nutrient concentration | 0.30 | [27] |
| Wheat soil | 105 °C for 2 h | CG derived from transesterification of soybean oil | CG at 3 g/L, supplement with nutrient solution, initial pH 6.2 at 30 °C | No design | 0.31 | [32] |
| Wastewater sludge | Media enrichment | CG derived from transesterification of rapeseed, sunflower and soy | CG at 15 g/L along with Minimal medium to dilute CG with pH 6.8 at 37 °C with 120 rpm | Plackett-Burman on CG concentration, temperature and initial pH | 0.66 to 0.96 | [1,8] |
| Activated sludge | Media enrichment | CG derived from transesterification of canola oil and restaurant fats | CG at 1 g/L along with Modified HM 100 medium to dilute CG with pH 6.5 at 40 °C with 120 rpm | Plackett-Burman with five independent variables | 1.41 | [12] |
| Wastewater sludge | 100 °C for 15 min in an Isotemp Standard Lab Ovens | CG derived from transesterification of meat processing plants and used grease from restaurants | CG at 20 g/L along with Modified basal medium to dilute CG with pH 6.5 at 37 °C with 150 rpm | CCD on CG concentration, inoculum size and fermentation pH | 0.82 | This study |
2.4. Hydrogen Production across Pure-, Co- and Mixed-Culture System

To determine CG substrate inhibition effect at higher concentration, \( \text{H}_2 \) production across the mono-/co- and mixed-culture systems was carried out. The co-culture system of two defined microorganisms possesses increased substrate inhibition effect in comparison to single pure culture [4,11]. Two strains Enterobacter aerogenes and Clostridium butyricum, commonly used for \( \text{H}_2 \) production using CG [13] were selected along with mixed-culture. The optimum condition for mixed-culture (CG: 20 g/L, InS: 20%) was carried out using mono- (C. butyricum) and co-culture system comprising (E. aerogenes and C. butyricum) for \( \text{H}_2 \) production. The comparison across mono-, co- and mixed-culture system for the microorganisms used, growth media, incubation condition, incubation time along with cumulative \( \text{H}_2 \) production and yield is presented in Table 5.

Table 5. Comparison of pure-, co- and mixed-culture hydrogen production processes in terms of inoculum development, hydrogen yield and by-product (1,3-PD) concentration.

| Hydrogen Production Steps | Hydrogen Production System |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Microorganisms used       | Mono-Culture              | Co-Culture               | Mixed-Culture           |
| Glucose, casein peptone, KH\(_2\)PO\(_4\), MgSO\(_4\) \(\cdot\) 7H\(_2\)O, yeast extract and l-cysteine | Glucose, casein peptone, KH\(_2\)PO\(_4\), MgSO\(_4\) \(\cdot\) 7H\(_2\)O, yeast extract and l-cysteine | Seed wastewater sludge |
| Growth media              |                           |                           |                           |
| Incubation conditions     | 37 °C at 18 h             | 37 °C at 18 h             | 100 °C for 15 min         |
| Incubation time           | 18 h                      | 18 h                      | 15 min                    |
| Cumulative Hydrogen       | 13.92 ± 0.62              | 24.85 ± 0.92              | 29.43 ± 0.71              |
| production (mmol/L)       |                           |                           |                           |
| Hydrogen Yield (mol \( \text{H}_2 \)/mol glycerol) | 0.39                       | 0.69                       | 0.82                       |
| 1,3-PD concentration (g/L)| 6.54 ± 0.38               | 6.01 ± 0.62               | 5.12 ± 0.59               |

The media pH conditions for mono- and co-culture was around 6.5, which is optimum for \( \text{H}_2 \) production [2]. The cumulative \( \text{H}_2 \) production (mmol/L) for mono- (13.92 ± 0.62) and co-culture system (24.85 ± 0.92) was lower in comparison to mixed-culture system (29.43 ± 0.71) and was also true in case of \( \text{H}_2 \) yield (as seen in Table 5). Cost distribution in case of pure culture with techno-economic analysis using CG by Saurabh et al., suggested alternative options for reduction of process cost [14]. One such alternative option can be use of mixed-culture system over pure- and co-culture systems to minimize the process cost in terms of growth media and incubation conditions. The complex growth media components in case of pure culture require glucose, casein peptone, KH\(_2\)PO\(_4\), MgSO\(_4\) \(\cdot\) 7H\(_2\)O, yeast extract and l-cysteine. However, using a heat pretreatment approach for selecting \( \text{H}_2 \) producing organisms requires no growth media components in comparison to enrichment techniques with complex modified HM 100 medium used [12]. The incubation time for pure-/co-culture system varies from 12–18 h depending upon the microorganism selected and is also similar to time required during enrichment technique. However, in the case of the mixed-culture, the heat pretreatment option can be carried out in only 15 min. The mixed-culture system in comparison to mono- and co-culture system in terms of growth media, incubation condition and time holds an additional advantage along with increased \( \text{H}_2 \) production. In addition to increased \( \text{H}_2 \) production, the mixed-culture also possesses the ability to work at substrate inhibitor concentration, which determines glycerol degrading syntrophic \( \text{H}_2 \) producing microorganisms. The consortium of mixed-culture obtained from the wastewater sludge was able to produce maximum \( \text{H}_2 \), even at substrate inhibition concentration (20 g/L). The increase in concentration from 15 to 20 g/L for E. aerogenes and C. butyricum during
mono- and co-culture studies resulted in decreased H\(_2\) production, indicating substrate inhibition concentration at 20 g/L \[2,5,10\]. The wastewater sludge is the largest depositor of different kinds of microorganisms, possessing increased substrate inhibition along with increased glycerol degrading ability. The pure- and co-culture at substrate inhibition concentration (20 g/L) tends to produce 1,3-PD at higher concentration (6.54 ± 0.38 and 6.01 ± 0.62 g/L) with decreased H\(_2\) production (as seen in Table 5). The substrate inhibition concentration on pure- and co-culture shifts the metabolic pathway towards reductive pathway for 1,3-PD production instead of H\(_2\) production through the oxidative pathway. The higher the concentration of CG in the fermentation media, the higher is the production of 1,3-PD using pure- and co-culture systems (as seen in Table 5) \[2,10\]. The mixed-culture overcomes the substrate inhibition concentration of CG with increased H\(_2\) yield in comparison to pure- and co-culture system as seen from the Table 5. The microbial community analysis after the heat pretreatment belonged mostly to Clostridium family, and showed dominance over non-hydrogen producing microorganism \[32\]. However, to understand the population dynamics of mixed-culture system and its ability to work at higher CG concentration in the absence of complex media components are investigations for the future.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Crude Glycerol as Substrate

CG was supplied by Rothsay\textsuperscript{®} (Winnipeg, MB, Canada) that uses inedible fat containing waste from meat processing plants and used grease from restaurants for biodiesel production \[5\]. The CG contained (w/w): up to 23.6% of glycerol, 35.9% carbon and 3.2% nitrogen, 3.06% ash, 5.75% moisture and 67.56% matter organic non-glycerol \[2\]. This is the first time that CG derived from the processing of animal fat and restaurant waste was used for anaerobic digestion for H\(_2\) production using mixed-culture.

3.2. Seed Inoculum

The wastewater sludge was collected from Quebec Urban Community (QUC) wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) (Quebec, QC, Canada). The secondary sludge sample was collected in pre-cleaned high-density polyethylene (HDPE) containers and stored at 4 ± 1 °C, until further use. The characteristics of secondary sludge comprised in (g/L): total solids (TS) (9.15 ± 0.13), suspended solids (SS) (7.22 ± 0.35), total chemical oxygen demand (TCOD) (6.11 ± 1.4), total organic carbon (TOC) (411.82 ± 0.66), ammonia-nitrogen (0.21 ± 0.01) and pH (6.14 ± 0.41) as analyzed by \[34\].

3.3. Evaluation of Different Pretreatment Methods for Preparation of Seed Inoculum

Different pretreatment techniques for wastewater sludge to enhance and optimize the H\(_2\) production efficiency using CG as substrate were investigated. The top five pretreatment techniques, such as heat, acid, alkali, microwave, and chloroform use on wastewater to inhibit the H\(_2\) consuming bacteria and screen H\(_2\) producing mixed-culture were selected.

The pretreatment conditions employed were as follows: (a) Heat pretreatment (heat-shock): 50 mL of wastewater sludge was transferred into a 150 mL serum bottle, sparged with N\(_2\) gas for 4 min to create anaerobic condition, sealed with pre-inserted septa (Headspace 20 mm Crimp Seals with Septa, Thermo Scientific\textsuperscript{TM}, Pittsburgh, PA, USA) kept at 100 °C for 15 min in an Isotemp Standard Lab Ovens (Fisher Scientific\textsuperscript{TM}, Pittsburgh, PA, USA) and later cooled prior to inoculation for H\(_2\) production \[35\]; (b) Acid pretreatment: The pH of wastewater sludge (50 mL) was adjusted to 3.0 using 0.1 M HCl, kept at room temperature for 24 h, later pH was re-adjusted to 6.5 (working pH) using 0.1 M NaOH, transferred to serum bottle, sealed and sparged with N\(_2\) gas, prior to use \[35\]; (c) Alkali pretreatment: Similar to preparation steps of acid treatment, pH of wastewater sludge was adjusted to 12 using 0.1 M NaOH at room temperature 24 h and re-adjusted to working pH 6.5 \[35\]; (d) Microwave pretreatment: The wastewater sludge of around 50 mL (2 × 25 mL) was put in the microwave (MARS
microwave extractor, CEM Corporation, Matthews, NC, USA) at conditions pressure (120 psi) for 2 min at 560–600 W maintained at 90 °C, the treated wastewater was collected, sparged and sealed prior to use [36]; (e) Chloroform pretreatment: The wastewater sludge (50 mL) was mixed with chloroform (0.05% v/v), transferred to serum bottle, incubated for 24 h, sparged and sealed prior to inoculation [37].

3.4. Hydrogen Production Using Modified Basal Media

A fermentation media containing 1% (w/v) CG, 2% casein peptone, 0.2% KH$_2$PO$_4$, 0.05% MgSO$_4$·7H$_2$O and 0.05% yeast extract was maintained at a pH = 6.5 and transferred to serum bottles with a working volume of 50 mL. The headspace of the bottles was purged with pure N$_2$ gas for 4 min to create anaerobic conditions and later sealed with pre-inserted septa (headspace 20 mm crimp seals with septa, Thermo Scientific™) followed by sterilization in an autoclave (Tuttnauer 3870-Heidolph) [5]. The pretreated sludge with 5% (v/v) inoculum size was transferred into the culture broth using a sterile syringe (All-Plastic Norm-Ject™ Syringes, Thermo Scientific™) along with control experiments without any pretreatment of sludge were performed simultaneously. The serum bottles were incubated in an orbital incubator shaker (INFORS HT-multitron standard) at 150 rpm at different temperatures (30, 37 and 55 °C) for five days. All batch experiments in the study were performed in triplicates, presented values are the average of triplicates and error bars represent the standard deviation (±) values.

3.5. Investigating Process Parameters Using Statistical Model

The best pretreatment method resulting in increased H$_2$ production was later selected for optimization experiments. Central composite design (CCD) was used to investigate the effects of substrate (CG) concentration (g/L), inoculum size (InS) (%) and fermentation pH using Design-Expert 7 software (Stat-Ease Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA), which resulted in 20 set of experiments. The central composite design matrix comprising of varied CG concentration along with different inoculum size and pH is given in Table 2. Across the 20 experiments, the central point of the model with CG (13 g/L), InS (13%) and pH (5.5) appeared 6 times.

Each set of experiment was performed at exact CG concentration along with media supplement (casein polypeptide, KH$_2$PO$_4$, yeast extract and MgSO$_4$·7H$_2$O) was mixed in distilled water to make-up the required volume (final volume (50 mL) minus inoculum size). The required volume was pH adjusted, transferred to serum bottle, purged, sealed and sterilized. After inoculation, serum bottles were incubated in an orbital shaker at 150 rpm for 5 days at 30 °C. Each experimental run was carried out in triplicates. The gas sample (1 mL) for every 24 h was collected from the headspace using a gas tight syringe in vacuumed sample vials for H$_2$ analysis by gas chromatography (GC). The aqueous sample at the end of fermentation was analyzed for glycerol and end 1,3-PD concentration by GC, as described later.

In the response surface methodology (RSM), the H$_2$ production (mmol/L) and 1,3-propanediol (g/L) was chosen as the response variable. The interaction and relation between the input and the responses variables was determined by design matrix evaluation considering the significance p-value, $R^2$ values for the models tested and final model equation in terms of factors was obtained from the analysis of variance (ANOVA) [2,38].

3.6. Comparative Study of Hydrogen Production across Pure-, Co- and Mixed-Culture

The optimized conditions of mixed-culture obtained from CCD model were used for a comparative study across pure culture of Enterobacter aerogenes, Clostridium butyricum and co-culture of these two bacteria with mixed-culture for H$_2$ production. The anaerobic growth of E. aerogenes and C. butyricum were carried out in presence of basal media (minimal media) containing glucose (10 g/L), peptone (20 g/L), KH$_2$PO$_4$ (2 g/L), yeast extract (0.5 g/L), MgSO$_4$·7H$_2$O (0.5 g/L) and L-cysteine-HCl·H$_2$O (1 g/L) included in case of C. butyricum [2,5,10]. The media preparation, sterilization and incubation were the same as explained in the previous section (H$_2$ production using modified basal media).
3.7. Analytical Techniques

3.7.1. Analysis of Hydrogen by GC

The collected gas sample using gas tight syringe in vacuumed sample vials at the end of fermentation was analyzed by gas chromatography (Agilent technology, Santa Clara, CA, USA) fitted with a 3 m PoraPLOT Q® column (Agilent technology, Santa Clara, CA, USA) and equipped with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD). The GC set-up with injector, column temperature and detector temperature set at 100 °C and carrier gas nitrogen was used at a flow rate of 3.5 mL/min [10]. The volume of gas produced was calculated and converted to mmol, considering the temperature and atmospheric pressure during the experimental runs [38].

3.7.2. Analysis of End-Metabolites/by-Products by GC-FID

The concentrations of 1,3-propanediol and glycerol were analysed on ZB-WAX plus column fitted with flame ionization detector (FID) detector in a gas chromatography (GC) (7890B GC-Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) set-up. The GC condition at a flow rate of 1 mL/min using helium carrier gas at a temperature profile of 80–240 °C under 8.4 min method run time was developed [5].

4. Conclusions

The enrichment of H₂ producing bacteria using five pretreatment methods (by acid, base, heat, chloroform and microwave respectively) were evaluated for H₂ production from wastewater sludge using CG as substrate. The heat treatment resulted in eliminating H₂ consuming bacteria with highest hydrogen production (15.18 ± 0.26 mmol/L) at 30 °C across the pretreatment methods. The CCD model was significant with (p-value: 0.028 and <0.0001) to identify the optimal CG concentration (20 g/L), inoculum size (20%) and pH (7) that positively influenced for increased H₂ production (29.43 ± 0.71 mmol/L) with decreased by-product (1,3-PD: 5.12 ± 0.59 g/L) production. High correlation of pH, CG and InS resulted with model significant p-value of 0.028. The pH (p-value: 0.0011) had the highest impact on the H₂ production in comparison to other parameters (InS and CG conc.). In the present study, under optimized conditions, the mixed-culture reported maximum H₂ production and also provided efficient inoculum conditions in comparison to mono- and co-culture system. The heat pretreatment step used in this mixed-culture system is simple, cheap and industrially applicable in comparison to pure-/co-culture system for H₂ production.
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