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Viral Dynamic Surveillance in COVID-19 Patients: A Cohort Study
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Background. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is a potentially fatal pneumonia caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), a novel beta-coronavirus, in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid samples from patients [1]. SARS-CoV-2 is distinct from severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV), which arose in Guangdong, China, in 2002 [4], and led to more than 8,000 infections and 700 deaths globally from 2002 to 2003 [5], and Middle East respiratory coronavirus (MERS-CoV), which was first reported in Saudi Arabia in 2012 [6], causing infection-related mortality rates of 41% and 35% in Saudi Arabia and globally, respectively [7]. SARS-CoV-2 belongs to the orthocoronavirinae subfamily; its highest genetic similarity is to a bat coronavirus, Bat CoV RaTG13, with which it is 96% identical at the whole-genome level [8, 9]. Further investigation is needed for definitive determination of the origin of SARS-CoV-2.

COVID-19, which has a clinical profile like severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS), can result in death from underlying disease or acute respiratory distress syndrome due to lung injury [1–2, 4–5, 8]. Early evidence linked the spread to seafood markets, but human-to-human transmission has now been confirmed [2, 10]. Characterization of
Figure 1: Duration of SARS-CoV-2 infection analyzed by AST, ALT, clinical features, and disease severity. (a) The duration of different viral shedding for different aspartate aminotransferase level. (b) The duration of different viral shedding for different alanine aminotransferase level. (c) Duration of viral diversification across clinical characteristics. (d) The duration of viral divergence varies among disease severity.
Figure 2: Continued.
the high-affinity interaction between SARS-CoV-2 and angiotensin-converting enzyme-2 (ACE2), a cellular receptor for SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2, revealed that it enables efficient transmission by direct contact or via respiratory droplets [11, 12]. The presence of inframicrobes in faeces and blood has been confirmed via polymerase chain reaction (PCR) [13], indicating the danger of aerosol generation and propagation of COVID-19 in small, enclosed spaces. Notably, different SARS-CoV-2 variants defining nonsynonymous mutations have successively emerged worldwide [14–18]. SARS-CoV-2 is one of the deadliest viruses that infect both humans and animals including cats [19, 20], dogs [20, 21], and mink [22], then infected animals can transmit the virus back to humans [21, 22]. Although the mechanism of animal-to human transmission is unclear, evidence suggests that these variants exhibit superior cross-species transmission capacity. Compared with the wild-type strain Wuhan-Hu-1, recently identified variants B.1.351 (501Y.V2) in South Africa [23], B.1.1.7 (VUI-202012/01) in the United Kingdom [24], B.1.1.28.1 (P.1) in Brazil [16], B.1.429 (CAL.20C) in Southern California [17, 25], and B.1.617 in India [18] exhibit robust transmissibility owing to residue changed of these variants. These mutations, contributing to stabilize the complex structure of spike protein with ACE2 [26] and be responsible for SARS-CoV-2 immune escape [27], was the worldwide spread of a pandemic.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Design and Patients. This retrospective, descriptive study was approved by the ethics committee of The Fourth People’s Hospital of Nanning (Affiliated Infectious Disease Hospital of Nanning, Guangxi Medical University). The hospital is responsible for the treatment of patients with COVID-19, as assigned by the government. This case series was approved by The Ethics Committee of The Fourth People’s Hospital of Nanning (nos. [2020]05, [2020]2, [2020]3, and [2020]4). All data of patients who had confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection and diagnosed according to the WHO interim guidance at The Fourth People’s Hospital of Nanning from January 21, 2020, to October 21, 2021, were obtained via hospital electronic medical records. The final laboratory diagnosis of COVID-19 was completed by the Nanning Center for Disease Control and Prevention.

2.2. Procedures. Throat swabs, sputum, faeces, urine, semen, vaginal discharge, and milk specimens were collected from patients. RNA was extracted from the samples using the Nucleic Acid Isolation Kit (Magnetic Beads) (SDK60105; Jiangsu, China), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The RNA was retained after removing human nucleic acids. We detected SARS-CoV-2 using primers and probes for two envelope genes of interest, open reading frame 1ab (Orf1ab), and nucleocapsid protein (N). The nucleic acid amplification reaction buffer, enzyme mixture, Orf1ab/N reaction solution, and RNA suspension were placed into a centrifuge tube. In addition, positive and negative controls were included to verify diagnostic reliability. The real-time reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) assay was performed using a SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid detection kit according to the instructions provided by Guangzhou Daan Biotechnology Co, Ltd. The RT-PCR amplification protocol comprised reverse transcription at 50°C for 30 minutes and 95°C for 5 minutes, followed by 45 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 10 seconds, and annealing, extending, and fluorescent signal detection at 55°C for 40 seconds.

The RT-PCR assay was considered negative if the cycle threshold value (Ct-value) was >38 and positive if the Ct-value was ≤35. Samples were retested if the Ct-value was >35 and ≤38. The results were considered positive if the Ct-value remained between 35 and 38 after retesting.
Figure 3: Continued.
Figure 3: Abnormal biological variables were associated with longer viral duration. (a) Kaplan-Meier curve for CD4+ T cell profiles of patients with low and normal level. (b) Kaplan-Meier curve for CD8+ T cell profiles of patients with low and normal level. (c) Kaplan-Meier curve for PLT/LYMPH of patients with low and high ratio. (d) Kaplan-Meier curve for PLT/MONO of patients with low and high ratio. (e) Kaplan-Meier curve for AST profiles of patients with high and normal level. (f) Kaplan-Meier curve for ALT profiles of patients with high and normal level. (g) Multivariate analysis of the experimental parameters using Cox proportional hazards regression models. (h) Kaplan-Meier curve for PI profiles of patients with high and low value. (i) The duration of different viral shedding for aspartate/alanine amino transferase level. (j) Kaplan-Meier curve for AST/ALT ratio profiles of patients with high and normal level. Standard normal value for AST/ALT ratio is [0.8, 1.5].
2.3. Data Collection. We reviewed the data related to the epidemiological, clinical, laboratory, and radiological characteristics; treatment; and outcome of the patients via detail collection forms using their electronic medical records. The patient information, which included demographics, epidemiological data, comorbidities, symptoms, signs, laboratory results, chest computed tomography findings, and treatment measures, was collected and analyzed after disease onset.

2.4. Statistical Analysis. Continuous variables are presented as mean and standard deviation (SD), if normally distributed, or median and 95% confidence interval (95% CI), if not. Categorical variables are presented as count (%). We compared the means of continuous variables using independent group t-tests when the data were normally distributed. Otherwise, we used the Mann–Whitney test. The proportions of categorical variables were compared using the χ² test. Kaplan-Meier analysis was performed using the Gehan-Breslow-Wilcoxon test. Statistical analyses were conducted using GraphPad Prism software (version 8.0). A p value less than 0.05 (<0.05) was considered significant.

3. Results

3.1. Viral Duration

3.1.1. Correlation between Viral Duration in Different Disease Severity. At admission, the median duration of SARS-CoV-2 in patients with critical disease (median duration of virus: 20 days (95% CI: 18–30)) was significantly

| Table 1: Demographics and baseline characteristics of patients with COVID-19. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| (n = 118) | Symptomatic (n = 70) | Asymptomatic (n = 48) | p value |
| Age, years | Mean (IQR) | Range | Mean (IQR) | Range | Mean (IQR) | Range |<0.001 |
| Age, years | 38 (30-49) | 85 | 43 (34-57) | 85 | 33 (27-44) | 53 |<0.003 |
| Sex | | | | | | | |
| Female | 37 (31%) | | 31 (45%) | | 6 (13%) | |<0.001 |
| Male | 81 (69%) | | 39 (55%) | | 42 (87%) | |<0.001 |
| Races | | | | | | | |
| Han | 102 | | 58 | | 44 | | 0.2733 |
| Other | 16 | | 12 | | 4 | |<0.001 |
| Occupation | | | | | | | |
| Civil servant | 3 (3%) | | 3 (4%) | | 0 (0%) | | 0.121 |
| Farmer | 23 (19%) | | 12 (17%) | | 11 (23%) | | 0.376 |
| Worker | 6 (5%) | | 4 (6%) | | 2 (4%) | | 0.747 |
| Self-employed | 8 (7%) | | 6 (9%) | | 2 (4%) | | 0.250 |
| Employee | 13 (11%) | | 10 (14%) | | 3 (6%) | | 0.977 |
| Student | 9 (8%) | | 6 (9%) | | 3 (6%) | | 0.592 |
| Retired | 10 (8%) | | 9 (13%) | | 1 (2%) | | 0.005 |
| Medical staff | 3 (3%) | | 3 (4%) | | 0 (0%) | | 0.121 |
| Other | 43 (36%) | | 17 (24%) | | 26 (55%) | |<0.001 |
| Comorbidities | | | | | | | |
| Diabetes | 5 (4%) | | 4 (6%) | | 1 (2%) | | 0.279 |
| Hypertension | 17 (14%) | | 11 (16%) | | 6 (13%) | | 0.688 |
| Hyperlipidaemia | 16 (13%) | | 15 (21%) | | 1 (2%) | |<0.001 |
| Liver dysfunction | 20 (17%) | | 14 (20%) | | 6 (13%) | | 0.252 |
| Gastrointestinal complaints | 5 (4%) | | 4 (6%) | | 1 (2%) | | 0.279 |
| Bile duct stones | 4 (3%) | | 3 (4%) | | 1 (2%) | | 0.682 |
| Cardiac/cerebrovascular diseases | 6 (5%) | | 4 (6%) | | 2 (4%) | | 0.747 |
| Kidney disease | 4 (3%) | | 4 (6%) | | 0 (0%) | | 0.028 |
| Respiratory failure | 2 (2%) | | 2 (3%) | | 0 (0%) | | 0.246 |
| Anaemia | 8 (7%) | | 8 (11%) | | 0 (0%) | |<0.001 |
| Electrolyte disturbance | 10 (8%) | | 7 (10%) | | 3 (6%) | | 0.435 |
| Bacterial pneumonia | 11 (9%) | | 11 (16%) | | 0 (0%) | |<0.001 |
| Pulmonary tuberculosis | 3 (2%) | | 3 (4%) | | 1 (2%) | | 0.682 |
| Hepatitis B virus | 4 (3%) | | 2 (3%) | | 2 (4%) | |>0.999 |

Data are n (%). Some patients had multiple comorbidities. IQR is interquartile range.
longer than in patients with common disease (median duration of virus: 12 days (95% CI: 11–15), \( p = 0.0003 \)) (Figure 1(d)). Similarly, the median duration of SARS-CoV-2 in symptomatic patients (median duration of virus: 13 days (95% CI: 11–17)) was significantly longer than in asymptomatic patients (median duration of virus: 7 days (95% CI: 5–11), \( p = 0.0197 \)) (Figure 1(c)).

Of note, patients with higher alanine aminotransferase (median duration of virus: 17 days [95% CI: 9–24]) had a significantly longer duration of SARS-CoV-2 infection than patients with normal levels (median duration of virus: 11 days (95% CI: 9–12), \( p = 0.015 \)) (Figure 1(b)). Similarly, patients who had higher aspartate aminotransferase (median duration of virus: 20 days (95% CI: 5–28)) tended to have a significantly longer duration of SARS-CoV-2 infection than those with normal levels (median duration of virus: 11 days (95% CI: 9–13), \( p = 0.0197 \)) (Figure 1(a)).

3.1.2. Factors Associated with Duration of Virus. Patients with lower CD8+ T-cell counts had a significantly longer duration of SARS-CoV-2 infection than patients with higher counts (median duration of virus: 22 days (95% CI: 15–28) and 11 days (95% CI: 8–13), respectively; \( p = 0.0009 \)) (Figure 2(c)). Similarly, patients who had higher CD8+ T-cell counts tended to have a significantly shorter duration of SARS-CoV-2 infection than those with lower CD8+ T-cell counts (median duration of virus: 11 days (95% CI: 9–14) and 23 days (95% CI: 11–30), respectively; \( p = 0.0063 \)) (Figure 2(d)).

Among the patients, SARS-CoV-2 persisted markedly longer in patients with PLT/LYMPH ratio > 200 than in those \( \leq 200 \) (median duration of virus: 14 days (95% CI: 10–18) and 11 days (95% CI: 7–13), respectively; \( p = 0.045 \)) (Figure 2(a)). The duration of SARS-CoV-2 infection was longer in patients with PLT/MONO ratio \( \leq 460 \) (median duration of virus: 15 days (95% CI: 11–17)) than in those with a ratio > 460 (median duration of virus: 10 days (95% CI: 7–12), \( p = 0.0161 \)) (Figure 2(b)).

3.2. Survival Analysis

3.2.1. Kaplan-Meier and Multivariable Cox Regression Model Analysis. Kaplan-Meier curve illustrated the duration of viral RNA shedding of patients with COVID-19 during follow-up (Figures 3(a)–3(f)). The risk of the duration of viral RNA shedding was higher in patients with higher PLT/LYMPH and lower CD4+ T cells (HR, 1.16 (95% CI, 0.76–1.77) vs. 0.77 (95% CI, 0.48–1.24)); lower CD8+ T cell and higher PLT/MONO were found to be increased duration of viral shedding (HR, 0.64 (95% CI, 0.37–1.11) vs. 0.64 (95% CI, 0.43–0.94)). AST and ALT were similar predictive of duration of viral shedding (HR, 0.56 (95% CI, 0.34–0.93) vs. 0.54 (95% CI, 0.36–0.82)). Of note, patients with high PLT/LYMPH ratio had a significantly higher risk than other abnormal biological indices.

Multivariable Cox proportion hazard regression model was performed (Figure 3(g)). Prognostic index (PI) was associated with AST (RR, 0.97; 95% CI, 0.94–0.99), ALT (RR, 1.01; 95% CI, 0.99–1.02), CD4+ (RR, 0.99; 95% CI, 0.99-1.01), CD8+ (RR, 0.99; 95% CI, 0.99-1.00), PLT/LYMPH (RR, 0.99; 95% CI, 0.99-1.00), and PLT/MONO (RR, 1.00; 95% CI, 0.99-1.00). Prognostic index was shown as a function of the number of risks at diagnosis and therapy. Indeed, we confirmed that patients with high PI had a longer duration of viral shedding than those with low PI (Figure 3(h)). Therefore, despite the fact that these regular clinical examination parameters were obtained, the features and underlying the parameters were consistently and closely associated with adverse prognosis in patients with COVID-19. Hence, we have identified and validated a function capable of predicting the duration of viral shedding in patients with COVID-19.

In conclusion, we proposed a multivariable Cox proportion hazard regression model, where the prognostic index resulted from a combination of factors. Collectively, these parameters suggest the duration of viral shedding and disease progression.

4. Discussion

In the present study, we systematically compared the dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 infection and disease progression via 118 patients to characterize the factors that influence host defense against SARS-CoV-2.

Previous studies showed that cellular immune response, in addition to the humoral immune response, plays an important role in T-cell immunity-mediated virus clearance [28–30]. We further investigated the kinetic determinants of virus shedding and found that higher frequencies of CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells may be associated with better virus clearance. Clinical strategies to improve the outcomes of patients with COVID-19 who receive antiviral therapy should consider their CD8+ T-cell counts. Our findings suggest that CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells play an important role in restricting virus replication and suppressing the progression of COVID-19 and can be used to assess prognosis. Lower CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell levels were associated with an increased risk of a worse clearance of the virus.

Platelets showed important and distinct characteristics in bacterial [31], malaria [32], and virus [33] infection. Large scale clinical studies in many countries and centers suggested that thrombocytopenia was significantly associated with poor prognosis, but the mechanism was unknown [34]. We found no difference in the duration of viral

\[ PI = h(t, x) = h_0(t) \exp \left( \frac{0.005 \cdot \text{ALT} - 0.03 \cdot \text{AST} + 0.0005 \cdot \text{CD}_4^+ - 0.0008 \cdot \text{CD}_8^+ + 0.001 \cdot \frac{\text{PLT}}{\text{LYMPH}} + 0.0005 \cdot \frac{\text{PLT}}{\text{MONO}}}{\chi^2 = 13.91; \text{DF} = 6; p = 0.03} \]
sheding in those platelets less than $100 \times 10^9/L$ vs. those platelets $100 \times 10^9/L$ and more, however, we also conducted prespecified secondary analysis to elucidate the platelets were associated with risks potentially related to viral clearance. Higher PLT/LYMPH and lower PLT/MONO ratio were associated with an increased risk of elongation time for viral shedding. Six of the risk factors seemed to have much impact on the duration of viral RNA shedding, however, PLT/LYMPH and PLT/MONO ratio were two significantly high-risk factors, which may be attributed to the fact that platelets owned various immunological functions and exerted its regulatory role on the lymphocytes and monocytic cells.

Most patients with COVID-19 suffer from liver dysfunction [35], and we observed that low levels of alanine aminotransferase and aspartate aminotransferase correlate with a short duration of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Therefore, alanine aminotransferase and aspartate aminotransferase levels may be a prognostic marker in patients with COVID-19.

This study was the first to explore the potential prognostic value of these risk factors assessed during COVID-19 pandemic, SARS-CoV-2-infected patients. These risk factors considered together suggested that the prognosis for patients with COVID-19 should be regarded as an accurate prediction by the proportional hazards regression model. Consequently, it is significantly important to group by prognostic index for monitoring the changes in viral dynamics and guiding clinical therapies.

5. Patents

This was a retrospective, descriptive study, and no patients were directly involved in the study design, setting, research questions, or outcomes measures. No patients were asked to advise on interpretation or writing up of results. Demographics and baseline characteristics of the 118 patients with COVID-19 were summarized in Table 1.

Abbreviations

| Abbreviation | Description |
|--------------|-------------|
| AST           | Aspartate aminotransferase |
| ALT           | Alanine aminotransferase |
| PLT/LYMPH ratio | Platelet to lymphocyte ratio |
| PLT/MONO      | Platelet to monocyte ratio |
| PI            | Prognostic index |
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