In situ removal and purification of biosurfactants by automated surface enrichment
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Abstract A new method is described to remove and separate biosurfactants from complex mixtures by compressing and harvesting the liquid surface layer. This method was applied to *Bacillus subtilis* cultures, in which the lipopeptide antibiotic fengycin as well as the polyketide antibiotic bacillaene were produced. The automated harvesting and collection in a custom-built glass body called ‘flounder’ was repeated several hundred times. The fengycin concentration in the fractions was found to be four times higher than in the culture centrifugate. Of the overall fengycin, 50% (w/w) were recovered after 300 cycles, 95% (w/w) after 800 harvesting cycles. A separation of fengycin from the less surface-active bacillaene could be achieved due to stronger surface activity of fengycin. The ratio of partition coefficients of fengycin and bacillaene was nine times higher compared to foam fractionation. A stepwise increase of the equilibrium surface tension in the centrifugate from 29 to 33 mN/m indicated a fractionated separation of different surface-active substances. The utilization of cell containing culture broth instead of centrifugate had only slight effects on separation efficiency. These results demonstrate the possibility to separate biosurfactants directly from cultivation without the use of extraction solvents or foam formation.
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Introduction

Biosurfactants are amphiphilic surface-active substances of microbial origin. Main classes of biosurfactants are glycolipids, lipopeptides, phospholipids, and fatty acids (Banat et al. 2000). They are present on the cell surface or excreted extracellularly. Biosurfactants are of rising interest, since they are often less toxic than chemically synthesized surfactants (Makkar and Cameotra 2002). Biosurfactants are showing extraordinary strong surface activities. For example, surfactin, which is a lipopeptide synthesized by *Bacillus subtilis*, lowers the surface tension of water from 72 to 27 mN/m at a concentration of as low as 20 μM (Peypoux et al. 1999).

One limitation in biosurfactant production is their inhibitory effect on their own synthesis. It could be shown that two-phase partitioning systems with in situ extraction of the surfactant-enriched phase can accelerate biosurfactant production (Drouin and Cooper 1992; Kuboi et al. 1994). Other recovery methods that can be applied repeatedly are foam fractionation (Davis et al. 2001; Noah et al. 2002),
ultrafiltration (Sen and Swaminathan 2005), and adsorption–
desorption processes on polystyrene resins or activated
carbon (Reiling et al. 1986; Dubey et al. 2005) as well as
crystallization (Desai and Banat 1997). Discontinuous
methods are acid or ammonium sulfate precipitation as well
as organic solvent extraction. In most cases, the toxicity of
the solvents does not allow repeated operation (Kuyukina
et al. 2001; Mukherjee et al. 2006).

In this paper, we describe a method, which harvests the
liquid boundary layer containing the adsorbed surfactants
from the surface of culture broth. This surface layer of the
culture is compressed in the neck of the flask. Then it is
automatically harvested from the bottleneck and collected
for further analysis.

The shape of the glass flask which is called “flounder” is
shown in Fig. 1. In operation mode, there are two
alternative positions. The flask is fixed in a steel cage,
which automatically changes between these two positions.
In the initial state, the flask is in a horizontal position
allowing for surfactant adsorption (at the left side in Fig. 1).
The surface-active substances adsorb at the large fluid
interface. A rotating stirrer bar is supporting the mass
transfer from bulk liquid to the surface. The following
position of harvesting is shown at the right side of Fig. 1.
When the flask is turned into a vertical position, the
thickness of the layer containing the adsorbed surface-
active substances increases by decreasing the surface area.
It becomes possible to harvest this layer by sucking off the
surface area with the help of a fine capillary (Lunkenheimer
et al. 1987). The extract is collected in a storage flask. The
time for adsorption can be set by the user as well as the
time interval of suction and the number of harvesting
cycles. The storage part (at the lower right side of the flask)
ensures a constant liquid level in the absorption part over a
certain number of cycles. A cycle is finished when both the
adsorption and the harvesting state were passed.

This method was successfully applied for the removal of
surfactant contaminations in solutions (Lunkenheimer
2002; Prosser et al. 2004) and the isolation of the surface
film of human bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (Winse1 et al.
2004). In the project described in this paper, the ability to
remove efficiently the biosurfactant fengycin from cell-free
culture centrifugate as well as broth from B. subtilis
cultures is investigated.

Fengycin is a lipopeptide antibiotic produced by B.
subtilis (Stein 2005). It has a broad spectrum of antifungal
activities. Fengycin inhibits the growth of a wide range of
plant pathogens and has a great potential as food preserva-
tive and plant protection agent. Despite this fact, the
industrial use of fengycin is still limited. The main reasons
are (1) the yield of fengycin is relatively low and (2) the
purification methods are complex (Vanittanakom and
Loeffler 1986; Ongena et al. 2005; Sun et al. 2006). A
main problem is the separation of fengycin homologs and
homologs of the polyketide antibiotic bacillaene, which are
also synthesized by fengycin producing microorganisms.
The possibility to separate these surface-active by-products
using the flounder technique is described in this paper. The
results of biosurfactant removal with the presented method
are compared with results from experiments, where foam
fractionation is applied.

Materials and methods

Strain and culture conditions

The strain B. subtilis DSM 21393 was used throughout this
study. B. subtilis was maintained on an agar-solidified
medium containing 15.6 g/l peptone, 2.8 g/l yeast extract,
1 g/l glucose, and 5.6 g/l NaCl at 4 °C. Prior to
experiments, B. subtilis cultures were incubated in shake
flasks in a liquid medium containing 30 g/l malt extract and
50% v/v potato extract (made from fresh potatoes) at 24 °C
on a rotary shake at 150 rpm for 48 h (all chemicals were
purchased from Carl Roth GmbH, Karlsruhe, Germany, if
not mentioned otherwise).

Removal of surface-active substances with the flounder

The flounder (Halcyonics GmbH, Göttingen, Germany)
was operated with 440 to 480 ml of supernatant or cell
broth from flask cultures, which had entered the late
exponential growth phase. The flounder was operated with
an adsorption time of 60 s at a stirrer speed of 100 rpm and
a concentrate removal time of 480 ms. When the level of
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Fig. 1 Working principle of the “Flounder”: In the adsorption state,
surface-active substances are concentrated at the surface. In the
harvesting state, the surfactant-enriched surface layer is harvested
through a fine capillary (scheme adopted from (Lunkenheimer et al.
2004))
the liquid volume in the flounder had fallen below the tip of the suction needle, cell-free centrifugate or culture broth was refilled. This limiting state is usually reached at cycle numbers of about 400. The refill of another 40 ml of original solution results in a small increase in biosurfactant concentration. This increase leads to a slight shift backwards in the separation characteristics of the equilibrium surface tension in dependence of the harvesting cycles. The extent of this shift can be estimated comparing the separation characteristics before and after the refill. Experiments were stopped when no fengycin was detectable in the collected fractions anymore.

Foam fractionation

Cells were removed from the culture in the late exponential growth phase by centrifugation at 10,000 ×g for 20 min. Foam was obtained by strong aeration of 100 ml of cell-free centrifugate through a sinter plate at the bottom of a cylindrical flask. The foam was sucked from the top of the centrifugate through a sinter plate at the bottom of a cylindrical flask. The foam was sucked from the top of the centrifugate through a sinter plate at the bottom of a cylindrical flask. Foam was obtained by strong aeration of 100 ml of cell-free centrifugate through a sinter plate at the bottom of a cylindrical flask. Foam was sucked from the top of the centrifugate through a sinter plate at the bottom of a cylindrical flask. The foam was sucked from the top of the centrifugate through a sinter plate at the bottom of a cylindrical flask. Foam was sucked from the top of the centrifugate through a sinter plate at the bottom of a cylindrical flask.

Determination of surface tension

The surface tension was measured with the semiautomated tensiometer K10T (Krüss GmbH, Hamburg, Germany) applying the de Noüy ring method. Measurements were performed at a constant temperature of 24 °C. The sample vessel had a diameter of 75 mm, which was appropriate for the experiments (Lunkenheimer and Wantke 1981). The surface tension of concentrate was analyzed whenever a total volume of 10 ml of harvested solution was collected from the flounder. Surface tension in the flounder was measured whenever 10 ml fraction was completed. Prior to surface tension measurement, all samples were diluted one fold with double-distilled water to reach a satisfying immersion depth for the ring.

Quantification of fengycin and bacillaene

For the purification of fengycin and bacillaene, cells were removed from the culture by centrifugation at 10,000 ×g for 20 min. The surface active substances were adsorbed on an Amberlite® XAD-16 resin (Sigma-Aldrich Inc., Munich, Germany). Adsorbed substances were eluted stepwise with 40%, 60%, 80%, and 100% (v/v) methanol/water, respectively. The eluate used for further processing (80% v/v methanol) was concentrated in a rotary evaporator. The residue was redissolved in pure methanol. The substances were quantified in a HP 1090 Liquid Chromatograph System (Hewlett Packard Inc., Palo Alto, CA) equipped with a UV/visible diode array detector. As separation column, a pursuit® XRs C18 column with a size of 150×4.6 mm (Varian Deutschland GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany) was applied. The flow rate was held constant at 1 ml/min. As eluent, gradients of acetonitrile and double distilled water of 100:0 v/v for 13 min, 10:90 v/v for 13.1 min, and 10:90 v/v for 15 min were used. Formic acid of 0.1% v/v was added to the eluents for improving high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis. The detection wavelength was set to 220 nm. Peaks were integrated with the software package HP ChemStation, Revision A.05.01 (Hewlett Packard Inc., Palo Alto, CA).

Determination of adsorption parameters

In order to estimate the possibilities of the flounder to purify and/or separate the two different biosurfactants fengycin and bacillaene, it is useful to determine their adsorption parameters. The latter biosurfactants are usually derived from experimental equilibrium surface tension σ_e vs. the logarithm of the surfactant concentration c isotherms of the surfactant. To assess the adsorption parameters of the two species, their experimental σ_e vs. log c isotherms were evaluated by the Szyszkowski–Langmuir adsorption equations (Lunkenheimer and Hirte 1992):

\[ \Delta \sigma_e = RT \Gamma_\infty \times \ln(1 + c/a_L) \]  
\[ \Gamma = \Gamma_\infty/(1 + a_L/c). \]

where \( \Gamma \) and \( \Gamma_\infty \) denote the surface concentration and saturation surface concentration, respectively. The parameter \( a_L \) represents the surfactant concentration, at which the surfactant’s adsorption layer has reached the state of half saturation.

The standard free energy of adsorption \( \Delta G_0^{\text{ad}} \) was calculated as:

\[ \Delta G_0^{\text{ad}} = RT \ln a_L/c_n \]

in which \( c_n = 1 \text{ mol/dm}^3 \) is used as standard concentration.

Data treatment

Curve fitting (splines) was performed using Matlab Version 7.0.1 (Mathworks Inc., Nattick, MA). To describe the efficiency of the method, the partition coefficient \( K \) was calculated as follows:

\[ K = \frac{\text{Concentration in harvested fraction}}{\text{Concentration in bulk liquid}} \]

The partition coefficient for fengycin will be denoted \( K_F \), for bacillaene, it will be denoted \( K_B \).
Results

Adsorption parameters of fengycin and bacillaene

The resulting experimental dependences of $\sigma_e$ and $\log c$ are presented in Fig. 2. The adsorption parameters derived from Eqs. 1a and 1b as well as the free energy of adsorption derived from Eq. 2 are summarized in Table 1. Even though they are calculated from a certain section of the whole experimental $\sigma_e$ vs. $\log c$ isotherm without further surface purification, they should represent a close approximation to the exact adsorption data. The data represent values containing reasonable molecular information, since they well reflect the comparatively big molecular size of the biosurfactants.

The surface activity of fengycin is greater than that of bacillaene by almost two orders of magnitude. The ratio of $a_\ell$ is:

$$r = \frac{(a_\ell)_{\text{feng}}}{(a_\ell)_{\text{bac}}} = \frac{1.39 \times 10^{-10}}{2.76 \times 10^{-8}} \approx 1/50$$

Hence, at an equal adsorption at the air/water interface, only 1/50 of the concentration of fengycin as compared to that of bacillaene is needed. In good accordance to these findings, the free energy of adsorption is lower for fengycin ($-12.6$ kJ/mol).

Separation of biosurfactants from cell-free centrifugate

Separation of surface-active substances was studied using cell-free centrifugate from flask cultures after 93 h of growth (early stationary phase). In Fig. 3, the time course development of fengycin concentration in the fractions as well as in the remaining solution in the flounder is shown. During the first 200 harvesting cycles, $K_F$ equals 4. After

| Parameter [unit] | Fengycin | Bacillaene |
|-----------------|----------|------------|
| $a_\ell$ [mol/dm$^3$] | $1.39 \times 10^{-10}$ | $2.76 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| $\Gamma$ [mol/m$^2$] | $1.99 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.31 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| $A$ [Å$^2$/molecule] | 83.4 | 71.9 |
| $\Delta G_0$ [kJ/mol] | $-65.2$ | $-52.6$ |

350 cycles, 50% (w/w) of the original amount of fengycin within the centrifugate is collected in the harvested samples. During further harvesting, the fengycin concentration difference between fractions and centrifugate decreases to 0.003 mmol/l after 800 cycles. At this state, 95% (w/w) of the initial amount of fengycin is removed. Thus, the optimal operation mode for fengycin removal is at a bulk concentration above 0.0015 mmol/l during the first 250 harvesting cycles.

The separation of substances generally depends on their surface activity. When comparing fengycin and bacillaene, the portion of fengycin is greater in the fractions throughout the whole experiment (Fig. 4). At the onset of the experiment, substances with an even greater surface activity than fengycin and bacillaene might be adsorbed. Hence, the separation of the two substances is not accomplished at this point. The maximum separation is reached after almost 500 cycles. Bacillaene becomes unstable when fengycin and other surface-active substances are removed from the culture: Its concentration falls below 0.002 mmol/l after 475 cycles, even though samples were protected against UV radiation. At the onset of the experiment, the initial concentration of bacillaene is 0.2 mmol/l. In fractions following 475 cycles, the bacillaene concentration is nearly undetectable, while the bacillaene concentration in the solution in the flounder remains stable. Hence, the complete
Separation of fengycin from bacillaene is achieved after 475 cycles.

Separation of biosurfactants from culture broth

When fengycin is not extracted from cell-free centrifugate but from culture broth, the concentration increase in the fractions is slightly lower. While $K_F = 4$ during the first 500 cycles in the experiments with the centrifugate, $K_F = 3.5$ when using culture broth containing bacterial cells. The partition coefficient for bacillaene, $K_B$, is not severely influenced, remaining below 1 when using centrifugate or culture broth.

Change of surface tension during separation

In Fig. 5, the dependence of the equilibrium surface tension values in the fractions together with the corresponding values of the bulk solutions in the flounder is shown.

Prior to cultivation, the medium had a surface tension value of 52 mN/m, which indicates the presence of surface-active material. After cultivation, the surface tension dropped below 30 mN/m. Such a low value indicates saturation of the solution’s adsorption layer, possibly already at a bulk concentration greater than its critical concentration of micelle formation.

The dependence of $\sigma_e(j)$ of the bulk solution in the flounder reveals at least two distinct plateau values with rising number of harvesting cycles. The feature of various plateaus in the function $\sigma_e(j)$ is striking. This behavior is characteristic of the effect of surface-active trace impurities, usually observed with solutions of “as received surfactants”. The increase in $\sigma_e(j)$ up to a following plateau value is due to the successive reduction of the bulk concentration of a surface-active component. This component has the relatively greatest surface activity but a small concentration as compared to that of the main surfactant (Lunkenheimer and Miller 1987).

The removal of the adsorption layer from the surface results also in a small but detectable reduction of the trace impurity’s concentration in the bulk solution remaining in the flounder. When its concentration has become negligible and/or sufficiently small as compared to that of the main surfactant, it will no longer influence the adsorption properties in this particular processing stage. Between 220 $< j < 475$ cycles, another similar surface behavior followed consisting of an increase of the $\sigma_e$ value and amounting into the second plateau value given by $\sigma_e = 31.0$ mN/m. It is evident that a further surfactant component had been removed via adsorption during this interval. During the following processing stage, the component having the next greatest surface activity is preferentially adsorbed as long as the interval is characterized by a constant $\sigma_e$ value. This state is related to the narrow interval between $450 > j > 475$ cycles. It becomes obvious that on principle, it is possible that additional intervals with analogous constant plateau values might be observed, provided the biosurfactant solution’s boundary conditions would hold correspondingly. Within the last processing stage for $j > 475$ cycles, the most effective increase in the corresponding surface tension values occurs, characterized by the steepest slope in $d\sigma_e / d \ln c$. The surface tension of the solution in this interval does approach another constant $\sigma_e$ value of 33.4 mN/m.

The initial $\sigma_e(j)$ dependence in experiments with centrifugate and culture broth containing the same initial concentration of fengycin does not show differences to the behavior in Fig. 5. The time course of the surface tension within the bulk culture broth is decreasing during the first 300 cycles. The surface tension of the culture broth becomes lower than in the centrifugate. The difference

![Fig. 5 Surface tension $\sigma_e$ in the fractions (close circle) and in the bulk of the cell-free centrifugate in the flounder (open circle). Lines represent curve fits](image-url)
increases up to 1.5 mN/m during the experiments. From optical density measurements and microscopic observations, no cell lysis could be detected. Since the cells in the flounder are still viable, it is possible that additional surface-active substances are secreted.

Purification of surfactant-enriched fractions

Figure 6 shows the HPLC chromatograms (spectra) of following samples: (a) a centrifugate of culture broth obtained in the early stationary phase, (b) a fraction harvested with the flounder after 100 cycles, (c) a sample from the solution in the flounder after 100 cycles, and (d) the first fraction obtained using foam fractionation, respectively. All samples had been prepared for HPLC analysis as described in the “Materials and methods” section.

The chromatogram (a) shows that the first bulk sample in the flounder at the beginning of the experiment contains various substances. The peaks at 8.1 and 8.4 min are bacillaene, the peaks between 6.9 and 7.9 min are fengycin.

Fig. 6 Peaks of fengycin (open triangle) and bacillaene (close triangle) in HPLC spectra of cell-free centrifugate of culture broth at the early stationary phase (a), after a following treatment with 100 harvesting cycles (c), of the collected fraction after 100 harvesting cycles (b), and of the first foam fraction (d).
homologs, and the peaks between 4 and 6.5 min are other lipopeptides, respectively. The first fraction removed from the flounder after 100 cycles (b) contained fengycin and other lipopeptides in a range at 4 and 7 min only. The peaks of bacillaene are barely visible. Compared with the first fraction, the spectrum of the bulk solution after 100 cycles (c) shows several different peaks (similar to the spectrum a). Both bacillaene peaks are clearly visible on this spectrum.

Foam fractionation

Figure 7 shows the concentration of fengycin and bacillaene in the foam fractions obtained using foam fractionation. Seven fractions were collected using this method. The highest concentration of fengycin and bacillaene is detected in the first fraction. However, compared to Fig. 4, the fengycin portion in the first fraction is only 1.87% of the total fengycin and bacillaene amount. In the second and third fractions, the concentrations of fengycin and bacillaene are substantially lower. In the fourth and subsequent fractions, both substances are absent. Concentrations in the first fraction are higher than in the fraction obtained with the flounder. However, the separation of fengycin from bacillaene by foam fractionation is not possible. The HPLC spectrum of the first foam fraction (d) in Fig. 6 contained both fengycin and bacillaene. The number of components in the first foam fraction (d) does not differ much from that in the bulk centrifugate (a).

Discussion

The flounder can be considered as a favorable tool for the treatment prior to further downstream processing or analysis. By applying this method, it is possible to collect and concentrate fengycin from *B. subtilis* cultures at the onset of the stationary phase. A simultaneous purification with respect to bacillaene could be observed.

In order to understand the purification characteristic of the biosurfactant system under consideration, it is important to consider the concentration ratio of all components competing for adsorption together with respect to their surface activities (Eqs. 1a and 1b). Thus, it is the ratio $c_i/a_{ij}$ of each surface-active component $i$ that determines the surface behavior, but not necessarily its bulk behavior.

Generally, when solutions of “as received surfactants” are purified by the flounder technique to get the required grade of “surface-chemical purity” their purification characteristic $\sigma_c(j)$ reaches finally one plateau value $\sigma_c=\text{constant}$. In this state, there will be no longer any falsifying influence of any surface-active impurity. The adsorption properties of this solution are entirely determined by its bulk concentration (Lunkenheimer and Miller 1987). Two peculiarities have to be taken into consideration at the system described in this study. Firstly, the biosurfactants have much greater surface activities than those of usually applied synthetic surfactants, such as sodium dodecyl sulphate. Secondly, several surface-active substances are present at low concentrations. This, in turn, means that their effect on the adsorption behavior should rather be considered in terms of surface-active trace impurity effects only, without any accompanying main surfactant component of relatively much bigger bulk concentration. The initial dependence of $\sigma_c(j)$ indicated the presence of a surface-active component of possibly extreme surface activity and extremely small concentration. It had been removed from the solution during the harvesting process in the initial state between $0<j<80$ cycles. However, several other surface-active components are left in the bulk solution in the intermediate processing state between $80<j<220$ cycles. Thus, a constant $\sigma_c$ value of the solution in the latter state is related to the overall effect of all residual surface-active components still present in the bulk solution. The reason why there appears a second plateau, and maybe even further additional ones in the dependence of $\sigma_c(j)$, lies in the above mentioned peculiar boundary conditions of this biosurfactant system. As long as the solution’s $\sigma_c$ value is constant, the adsorption will be mainly determined by the surfactant of greatest surface activity and a relevant concentration. Therefore, this component will be preferentially adsorbed. The repeated removal of the adsorption layer leads to a decrease of its concentration (i.e., for $j>200$ cycles). It will finally completely lose its influence on the adsorption layer. Now, the substance with the highest surface activity and relevant concentration among the remaining components will determine the $\sigma_c$ value of the solution.

The development of $\sigma_c$ of the harvested fractions in Fig. 5 resembles that of the sucked off solutions. The
corresponding absolute surface tension values of the process’ \( \sigma_0(j) \) dependence are always a little lower. This is due to the fact that the component of greater surface activity will always preferentially be enriched in the adsorption layer. On this background, it is of extraordinary importance that the surface tension of the solution in the flounder and the harvested fractions becomes identical. At the final processing stage, there is only one single surface-active component left in the solution of the flounder as well as in the final fraction of the harvesting process. Hence, the final plateau value of \( \sigma_e=33.4 \text{ mN/m} \) does correspond to the surface chemically pure solution of the one single surfactant component left after the entire purification procedure via adsorption. This conclusion does hold generally as long as the components taking part in the competing adsorption process differ by their surface activity and/or bulk concentration.

The harvesting of the biosurfactant’s adsorption layers is an alternative approach to common extraction procedures. Since the utilization of the flounder does not include any solvents, the method can be assumed to be cost effective compared to liquid extraction systems. The partition coefficient \( K \) for lipopeptides in aqueous two-phase systems ranges between 2 and 5 (Drouin and Cooper 1992; Kuboi et al. 1994; Sinha et al. 2000). These are comparable values to flounder experiments. In contrast to two-phase systems, no phase partitioning compounds are necessary. The presence of cells does only slightly influence the separation efficiency in flounder experiments, which is in contrast to extraction procedures, where cells can halve the mass transfer (Pursell et al. 2004).

While the partition coefficient of foam fractionation is comparable to the coefficients in flounder experiments, the separation of fengycin and bacillaene can not be obtained with foaming. While the partition coefficient of fengycin \( K_F=4 \) when using centrifugate, the partition coefficient for bacillaene \( K_B=0.55 \). In the foam fractionation experiments, \( K_F=5.5 \), while \( K_B=6.7 \). Hence, the ratio of partition coefficients for fengycin and bacillaene is ninefold higher at the treatment with the flounder. The thickness of the harvested surface layer in the flounder is approximately 0.4 mm, while in our experiments 8 mm of the upper layer of a sample are needed to obtain a foam fractionation. Hence, all compounds in and near the surface layer of the liquid are separated and the method does not favor the separation between the surface-active components.

Since the presence of cells does not essentially disturb the extraction process, the removal of surfactants directly from cultures is possible. The treatment of cell containing cultures could be valuable, when the synthesis of biosurfactants is subject to product inhibition. Additionally, in screening processes, the flounder can be a valuable alternative whenever low surfactant bulk concentrations lead to difficulties in further analytical procedures.

Summarizing the application of the flounder technique, it is possible to prepare surfactant solutions in a required grade ensuring “surface-chemical purity” of their adsorption layers. However, as this technique is based on the fundamentals of adsorption applied under well-defined boundary conditions, it is sure that also the removal of the impurity components is being accomplished by following well-defined thermodynamic relationships. Hence, the favorable possibility of stepwise separating these components is only the reasonable consequence of this process.

The effectiveness of separation of different biosurfactants can be further increased by applying submicellar concentrations. Analytical identification together with relevant adsorption properties of the various surface-active substances becomes favorably possible.

Limitations of the presented method are reached, when larger volumes should be treated. So far, it is possible to remove biosurfactants from cultures in the laboratory scale using the flounder. The utilization of this principle in larger scaled processes or for continuous removal of biosurfactants will be the focus of following studies.

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.
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