Intestinal Epithelial Toll-Like Receptor 4 Signaling Affects Epithelial Function and Colonic Microbiota and Promotes a Risk for Transmissible Colitis
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Evidence obtained from gene knockout studies supports the role of Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) in intestinal inflammation and microbiota recognition. Increased epithelial TLR4 expression is observed in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. However, little is known of the effect of increased TLR4 signaling on intestinal homeostasis. Here, we examined the effect of increased TLR4 signaling on epithelial function and microbiota by using transgenic villin-TLR4 mice that overexpress TLR4 in the intestinal epithelium. Our results revealed that villin-TLR4 mice are characterized by increases in the density of mucosa-associated bacteria and bacterial translocation. Furthermore, increased epithelial TLR4 signaling was associated with an impaired epithelial barrier, altered expression of antimicrobial peptide genes, and altered epithelial cell differentiation. The composition of the colonic luminal and mucosa-associated microbiota differed between villin-TLR4 and wild-type (WT) littermates. Interestingly, WT mice cohoused with villin-TLR4 mice displayed greater susceptibility to acute colitis than singly housed WT mice did. The results of this study suggest that epithelial TLR4 expression shapes the microbiota and affects the functional properties of the epithelium. The changes in the microbiota induced by increased epithelial TLR4 signaling are transmissible and exacerbate dextran sodium sulfate-induced colitis. Together, our findings imply that host innate immune signaling can modulate intestinal bacteria and ultimately the host’s susceptibility to colitis.

Trillions of microbes coexist with mammalian cells in the gastrointestinal tract of the host in a relatively mutualistic environment (1, 2). The intestinal epithelium and its overlying mucus layer provide the physical barrier that separates the commensal microbiota from the host (3). Pattern recognition receptors, including the Toll-like receptors (TLRs), expressed by epithelial cells (ECs) recognize microbe-associated molecular patterns of the commensal bacteria and regulate the cross talk between intestinal microbes and their host (4, 5). Defects in TLR signaling and an aberrant immune response to perturbed endogenous microbiota are a few of the major factors that contribute to the perpetuation of inflammation and tissue injury in patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) (6, 7).

TLR4 recognizes lipopolysaccharide (LPS) in the cell wall of Gram-negative bacteria. Studies have shown that TLR4 expression varies by the region of the intestine and is determined largely by the bacterial composition of that region (8). Conversely, TLR4 may play an important role in maintaining the fine balance between tolerogenic and inflammatory properties of gut microbiota by regulating innate immunity (9, 10). Although several studies have demonstrated that the microbiota composition of the host is influenced by the status of TLRs and their adapter proteins (11–13), others have reported no such effect (14, 15). In the context of TLR4 knockout mice, two separate studies have reported that genetics, maternal transmission, and housing conditions, rather than the absence of TLR4, have marked effects on the stool microbiota of these mice (14, 15). However, these studies have focused on the microbiota composition of the stool rather than the mucosa-associated microbiota, which is in close proximity to the host and differs in composition from that of the luminal microbiota (16). More importantly, the relationship between epithelial innate immune signaling and the microbiota, especially in the mucosa-associated population, is not known. Thus, the role of TLRs, including TLR4, in determining the microbiota composition and associated baseline phenotypes remains ambiguous.

TLR4 is normally expressed at very low levels by various cell types of the intestine, including epithelial and lamina propria mononuclear cells (9, 17, 18). Increased expression of TLR4 is observed in epithelial and lamina propria cells of IBD patients, suggesting an important role for TLR4 signaling in inflammation (19–21). In the context of infectious enteritis, TLR4 signaling facilitates pathogen colonization and dissemination and promotes infection-induced colitis (22). Using TLR4 knockout mice, we...
and others have demonstrated that TLR4 signaling is required for protection against epithelial injury, inflammation, and bacterial invasion (23, 24). On the other hand, we have previously reported that mice expressing a constitutively active form of TLR4 in the intestinal epithelium (villin-TLR4 mice) exhibit increased susceptibility to chemically induced colitis (25). We have also shown activation of the NF-κB signaling pathway and increased expression of chemokines and proinflammatory genes at the baseline in the intestine. To our knowledge, this is the first study to find that epithelial TLR4-mediated interaction between the gut microbiota and the host is essential for protection against bacterial dissemination, epithelial injury, and maintenance of epithelial barrier function. We also show that TLR4 regulates the expression of antimicrobial genes by distinct mechanisms in different parts of the intestine. To our knowledge, this is the first study to find that enhanced TLR4 signaling promotes colonic inflammation through dysbiotic microbiota.

Because of the close proximity of the colonic microbiota to the intestinal epithelium and the critical role played by intestinal epithelial TLR4 in microbiota recognition and inflammation, the aim of our study was to determine the impact of intestinal epithelium-specific TLR4 signaling on microbial composition and the related host responses. Previously published studies by other research groups have shown that mice lacking specific components of the innate immune system such as Nod2, inflammasome genes, or Myd88 are more susceptible to chemically induced colitis and possess altered microbiota compared to their wild-type (WT) littermates (28–30). Furthermore, by using cohousing strategies, it has been demonstrated that the microbiota of Nod2 knockout mice is capable of transmitting colitogenic properties to WT mice (28). However, studies detailing the effect of increased innate immune signaling on the microbiota and inflammation have not been published. Thus, we hypothesized that intestinal epithelium-specific constitutive TLR4 signaling would affect microbial composition, epithelial function, and colitis susceptibility. By using villin-TLR4 mice as a model of excessive TLR4 signaling, we show that epithelial TLR4-mediated interaction between the gut microbiota and the host is essential for protection against bacterial dissemination, epithelial injury, and maintenance of epithelial barrier function. We also show that TLR4 regulates the expression of antimicrobial genes by distinct mechanisms in different parts of the intestine. To our knowledge, this is the first study to find that enhanced TLR4 signaling promotes colonic inflammation through dysbiotic microbiota.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice. Mice hemizygous for the villin-TLR4 transgene that constitutively expresses TLR4 in the intestinal epithelium are termed villin-TLR4 mice and were generated as described previously (26). All experiments were conducted with 7- to 9-week-old littermates generated by crossing villin-TLR4 transgenic mice with C57BL/6 WT mice and obtained from consecutive litters of the same parent pair. For cohousing and dextran sodium sulfate (DSS) exposure experiments, age- and gender-matched nonlittermate C57BL/6 and villin-TLR4 mice were housed in the same cages for the duration of the experiment. Separately housed C57BL/6 mice were kept under similar conditions but without any villin-TLR4 mice housed in their cages. All mouse experiments were performed in accordance to the institutional animal care and use committee at the University of Miami.

Bacterial culture. Spleens and mesenteric lymph nodes (MLNs) were removed aseptically, weighed, and homogenized with a handheld tissue homogenizer in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Homogenized samples were cultured on tryptic soy sheep blood agar plates and incubated under aerobic and anaerobic conditions for 24 to 48 h. Colonization was expressed as the average number of CFU on aerobic and anaerobic plates per milligram of tissue.

FITC-dextran assay. Intestinal epithelial integrity was determined by fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-dextran assay. Mice were orally gavaged with 4-kDa FITC-dextran (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) at a concentration of 60 mg/100 g of body weight. The concentration of FITC-dextran in serum was determined after 4 h with a Gemini EM fluorescence microplate reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA) at 490/525 nm.

IEC isolation and mRNA expression. Sections of small intestine and colon were excised following sacrifice. The small intestine was rinsed with cold Hanks balanced salt solution (HBSS), cut longitudinally, and transferred to 10 ml of cold HBSS and gently agitated to remove additional debris. The tissue was then cut into 1-cm pieces and transferred to cold HBSS containing 3 mM EDTA for 30 min on ice with agitation at 200 rpm on an orbital shaker. Pieces were transferred to cold HBSS and shaken vigorously for 3 to 5 min. Released ECs in the supernatant were filtered through a 70-μm filter. Cells were washed twice with 1% fetal bovine serum in HBSS to remove any residual EDTA. Colonies were processed similarly to small intestines but were incubated in 60 mM EDTA in HBSS for 1 h. Total RNA was extracted from IECs or colon tissues with RNA bee according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Tel-test, Friendswood, TX). A total of 1 μg of RNA was reverse transcribed with Transcriptor Reverse Transcriptase enzyme and random hexamers (Roche Life Science, Indianapolis, IN). Real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) was performed with SYBR Premix Ex Taq (Clontech Laboratories, Mountain View, CA) and a Roche LightCycler 480 (Roche, Indianapolis, IN). For information about the primer pairs used, see the supplemental material. The relative expression levels were calculated by the ΔΔCt method after normalization to the average of endogenous control genes for β-actin and glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) or with the gene for GAPDH only for DSS exposure experiments.

Western blot analysis. Total cell lysate from colon and small intestine ECs was obtained by using M-PER protein extraction reagent (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA) supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail set III (EMD Millipore Corp., Billerica, MA). Protein lysates were loaded into precast NuPAGE 10% Bis-Tris gels (Novex by Life Technologies, St. Louis, MO) and incubated with the respective antibody (1:500 dilution) for 1.25 h onto polyvinylidene fluoride microporous membranes. Membranes were blocked for 1 h in 5% bovine serum albumin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) and incubated with the respective antibody (1:500 dilution) overnight at 4°C. Rabbit anti-occludin and rabbit anti-claudin-3 antibodies were obtained from Life Technologies (Grand Island, NY). Membranes were washed and incubated with goat anti-rabbit antibody conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (1:10,000 dilution; Invitrogen, Boston, MA) for 30 min. An anti-β-actin antibody conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (1:10,000 dilution; Sigma, St. Louis, MO) was used as the loading control. Membranes were developed with SuperSignal West Dura Extended Duration Substrate (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA) in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions and imaged on a myECL Imager (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA).

Tissue histology and immunofluorescence microscopy. Samples from the ileum and colon were fixed in 10% neutral formalin. Paraffin sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E), periodic acid-Schiff, or immunofluorescence by standard protocols. The antibodies used were rabbit anti-muc2 and goat anti-lysozyme C (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX). After antigen retrieval with citrate buffer, immunostaining for MUC2 and lysozyme was performed by overnight staining with a 1:100 dilution of the primary antibody at 4°C, followed by staining with a 1:200 dilution of the secondary antibody conjugated with Alexa Fluor 488 (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY). The slides were mounted with SlowFade gold antifade reagent (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) after counterstaining with 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI).
Induction of colitis and colitis assessment. Acute colitis was induced in age-matched mice with 3% DSS (molecular mass of 36 to 50 kDa; MP Biomedicals, Solon, OH) in drinking water for 6 days. Body weight, stool consistency, and stool blood were recorded daily by a research technician (J.L.) blind to the study groups. Disease activity indices were calculated as described previously by averaging the scores for weight loss, stool consistency and bleeding, which were recorded on a scale of 0 to 4 (31). The histological assessment of colitis was performed by a trained pathologist (M.V.) blind to the treatment groups and scored by using the following criteria: architectural changes (0 = normal, 1 = mild focal abnormality, 2 = diffuse or multifocal mild to moderate abnormality, 3 = severe diffuse or multifocal abnormality); basal plasmacytosis and neutrophil/eosinophil infiltration (0 = none, 1 = mild, 2 = moderate, 3 = marked), crypt abscesses and crypt destruction (0 = absent, 1 = <5% of crypts involved, 2 = 5 to 50% of crypts involved, 3 = >50% of crypts involved); and epithelial erosion or ulceration (0 = no erosion or ulceration, 1 = superficial erosion with epithelial repair, 2 = moderate ulceration with epithelial repair, 3 = ulcer with granulation tissue and no epithelium).

Explant culture and IL-6 ELISA. A small segment of distal colon was washed in PBS supplemented with 50 mg/ml gentamycin. The explant was cultured in 24-well plates containing RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with penicillin and streptomycin. After 24 h, the supernatant was collected, stored at −20°C, and later analyzed for interleukin-6 (IL-6) production with a DuoSet mouse IL-6 enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN). All other cytokines were measured by customized Luminox mouse magnetic bead assay according to the manufacturer’s recommendations (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN).

Luminal and mucosal microbial analysis. Luminal samples comprised fecal pellets collected from the distal portion of the excised colon. Mucosal samples comprised the tissues from the same distal region and were collected after flushing the colon twice with PBS. Luminal material and mucosal tissues from the distal portion of the ileum were collected in the same manner. Genomic DNA was extracted from the lumen and mucosa of the distal colon and ileum with a QIAamp stool and genomic DNA isolation kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). The 16S rRNA gene copy number as an estimate of the total bacterial number and individual bacterial groups in the lumen and mucosal samples was determined by qPCR with universal and group-specific primers as described above and previously (32). Analyses for microbial richness, composition, and diversity were conducted by Second Genome Inc. (San Francisco, CA) as described in the supplemental material.

T-RF length polymorphism (T-RFLP). Genomic DNA from luminal or stool samples was amplified with broad-range forward primer 8F (labeled with 6-carboxyfluorescein dye at the 5′ end) and reverse primer 1492R with GoTaq DNA polymerase (Promega, Madison, WI). The amplified PCR product was purified and digested by theMspI restriction enzyme (Promega, Madison, WI). The restriction digestion product was mixed with aGeneScan 1200 LIZ size standard (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) and deionized formamide. The length and intensity of terminal restriction fragments (T-RF) were determined on an ABI 3730 capillary sequencer (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) and analyzed with peak scanner software (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY). The T-RF profiles were subjected to principal-component analysis (PCA) with the Excel add-in Multibase package (NumericalDynamics.Com, Tokyo, Japan).

Statistical analyses. Data were expressed as the mean ± the standard error of mean and analyzed in GraphPad Prism 6. Statistical significance was assessed with Student’s t test, unless stated otherwise. For more than two groups, analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey’s post hoc test was used. Effects of time and genotype in DSS exposure experiments were determined by two-way ANOVA and Tukey’s post hoc test. Statistical significance of microbiota sequence comparisons at various taxonomic levels was determined by Student’s t test, followed by Benjamini-Hochberg adjustment for a false-discovery rate (FDR) of 10%. The Pearson correla-
asked whether there was an increase in bacterial translocation to the MLNs or spleen. Aerobic and anaerobic bacterial cultures of MLNs and spleen showed significantly more bacterial translocation in villin-TLR4 mice than in their WT littermates (Fig. 1c and d). Our data demonstrate that intestinal epithelium-specific TLR4 signaling promotes an increase in mucosa-associated bacteria and an associated increase in the translocation of intestinal bacteria systemically.

Constitutive TLR4 signaling alters the luminal and mucosa-associated microbiota. Given that villin-TLR4 mice had increased bacterial density in the colon mucosa, as well as increased bacterial translocation in villin-TLR4 mice than in their WT littermates (Fig. 1c and d). Our data demonstrate that intestinal epithelium-specific TLR4 signaling promotes an increase in mucosa-associated bacteria and an associated increase in the translocation of intestinal bacteria systemically.

**Constitutive TLR4 signaling alters the luminal and mucosa-associated microbiota.** Given that villin-TLR4 mice had increased bacterial density in the colon mucosa, as well as increased bacterial translocation, we reasoned that villin-TLR4 mice might possess an altered microbiota in terms of the composition or relative abundance of various bacterial clades. To clearly define the effect of increased epithelial TLR4 signaling on the microbiota, we controlled for microbiota variations caused by factors such as maternal transmission, housing, and diet by using littermate mice. First, we analyzed the richness of the luminal and mucosal microbiota in the colons of villin-TLR4 and WT littermates. The overall richness of the mucosa-associated microbiota was significantly higher in the colons of villin-TLR4 mice than in those of their WT littermates (Fig. 2a). However, we did not observe any significant difference in the overall richness of the colonic luminal microbiota between the two groups (Fig. 2d). Next we wanted to identify the bacterial clades from the phylum to the genus level of taxonomy that are different either in their presence or absence or in their relative abundance in the setting of intestinal epithelium-specific constitutive TLR4 signaling. After adjustment for the FDR, several significant differences in bacterial presence and, to a lesser extent, abundance were observed between colonic luminal and mucosal samples of villin-TLR4 and WT littermates at all levels of bacterial taxonomy (Table 1). At the phylum level, significant changes in *Fusobacteria*, *Firmicutes*, and *Proteobacteria* were observed in the colonic mucosa of villin-TLR4 mice compared to that of their WT littermates. However, this change was not observed in luminal samples. The proportions of three bacterial families belonging to the phylum *Firmicutes* and one family belonging to *Actinobacteria* were significantly higher in the mucosa of villin-TLR4 mice. Three other families belonging to the phyla *Fusobacteria*, *Firmicutes*, and *Gammaproteobacteria* were present in lower proportions in the mucosa of villin-TLR4
mice. However, *Lachnospiraceae* was the only family whose increase in the mucosa-associated bacteria was also reflected in the lumen of villin-TLR4 compared with that of WT littermate mice. Of the 2,260 operational taxonomic units (OTUs) found in the mucosal samples, 92 and 177 differed significantly in their abundance and proportions, respectively, in villin-TLR4 and WT mice (see Tables S1 and S2 in the supplemental material). Similarly, the numbers of OTUs that differed between the luminal samples were 38 and 54, respectively (see Tables S3 and S4). However, very few of these OTUs passed the criterion of an FDR of 0.05; FDR, <0.1). Underlining indicates a significant difference in relative abundance between the two groups (*P* < 0.05; FDR, <0.1).

### Principal-coordinate analysis (PCoA) of the colonic mucosal microbiota

Principal-coordinate analysis (PCoA) of the colonic mucosal microbiota using weighted UniFrac distances (based on microbial abundance) did not separate the samples on the basis of genotype (see Fig. S2a in the supplemental material). Similar results were obtained with luminal samples (see Fig. S2a in the supplemental material). However, when luminal and mucosal samples were analyzed by using the unweighted UniFrac metric (based on microbial presence or absence), we observed separate clustering of villin-TLR4 and WT mice (Fig. 2b and e). Furthermore, Adonis testing based on the randomized Monte Carlo permutation test was used to determine the statistical significance of microbiota differences between the two genotypes. The Adonis test performed on bacterial presence/absence validated that both the lumen (*P* = 0.011) and the mucosa (*P* = 0.001) of villin-TLR4 mice were associated with a significant difference between their microbiota and that of their WT littermates. A greater UniFrac distance between mice with different genotypes than between mice of the same genotype indicates that the microbiota of the two genotypes are different (15, 33). Average UniFrac distances were significantly greater between WT and villin-TLR4 mice than the distances between WT and other WT mice and between villin-TLR4 and other villin-TLR4 mice (Fig. 2c and f). These results demonstrate that the microbiota of villin-TLR4 mice differs from that of their WT littermates in composition not only in the colonic mucosa but also in the lumen.

### TLR4 overexpression disrupts epithelial barrier function

We wanted next to understand the mechanism by which epithelial TLR4 altered the microbe composition and invasion. We hypothesized that compromised intestinal permeability in villin-TLR4 mice may play a role in increased bacterial dissemination. We investigated the epithelial permeability of villin-TLR4 and WT littermates by oral administration of 4-kDa FITC dextran and measured the levels of FITC-dextran in their serum after 4 h. Significantly higher levels of FITC-dextran were observed in villin-TLR4 mice than in their WT littermates (Fig. 3a). These data suggest that epithelial function is altered by increased epithelial expression of TLR4.

In order to examine the mechanism by which TLR4 might be altering epithelial permeability, we asked whether villin-TLR4 mice had altered expression of genes involved in intestinal epithelial barrier and transport functions. To address this, we isolated IECs from villin-TLR4 and WT littermate mice and used real-time RT-qPCR to examine genes involved in junctional epithelial cell-cell adhesion, junctional paracellular transport, and transcellular transport (34). Of these, the expression of epithelial cell-cell adhesion genes (35, 36), including those for junctional adhesion molecule A (*JAM-A*) and cadherin-1 (*Cdhl*), was significantly lower in colonic ECs of villin-TLR4 mice than in those of WT mice (*P* < 0.05) (Fig. 3b). Additionally, the expression of the gene for zonula occludens 1 (*ZO-1*) (37) was lower in villin-TLR4 mice, although not significantly so (Fig. 3b). However, no significant changes were observed in the genes for claudins (*Cldn3, Cldn7, and Cldn15*), which have been shown to directly regulate epithelial permeability by forming paracellular channels (38, 39) (see Fig. S3a in the supplemental material). To confirm our observations from gene expression studies, we performed Western blot analysis of EC lysates with antibodies specific for *Cldn3* and occludin. Consistent with our findings from gene expression studies, we did not observe any differences in *Cldn3* expression between colonic ECs of the villin-TLR4 and WT littermates (Fig. 3d). Similarly, the expression of the gene for occludin was not different in the colonic

### TABLE 1 Bacterial differences observed between villin-TLR4 and WT littermates at various taxonomic levels

| Phylum        | Class          | Order                  | Family                  | Genus                   |
|---------------|----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| *Firmicutes*  | *Clostridia*   | *Lactobacillales*      | *Lachnospiraceae*       | 940tu1346               |
|               |                | *Clostridales*         |                         | 940tu19924              |
|               |                |                        |                         | *Blautia*               |
| *Bacteroidetes*| *Flavobacteria*| *Flavobacteriales*     |                         | *Dialister*             |
| *Proteobacteria*| *Gamma*        | *Enterobacterales*     | *Enterobacteriaceae*    |                         |
|               | *Gamma*        | *Pseudomonadales*      |                         |                         |
|               | *Alpha*        | *Burkholderiales*      |                         |                         |
|               | *Alphaproteobacteria*| *Rhodospirillales*|                         |                         |
| *Actinobacteria*| *Coriobacteria*| *Coriobacteriales*     | *Coriobacteriaceae*     |                         |
|               | *Fusobacteria* | *Fusobacteriales*      |                         |                         |
|               | *Fusobacteria* |                        |                         |                         |
|               |                |                        |                         |                         |

The symbol † or ‡ indicates an increase or decrease in villin-TLR4 mucosa relative to WT mucosa. The symbol + or − indicates an increase or decrease in villin-TLR4 lumen relative to WT lumen. Bold indicates a significant difference in presence or absence between the two groups (*P* < 0.05; FDR, <0.1).
ECs of the two genotypes. No significant differences were observed between villin-TLR4 and WT littermate mice in the expression of the genes for any of the junctional proteins in the small intestinal ECs (see Fig. S3c in the supplemental material). However, Western blot analysis of small intestinal EC lysate indicated that Cldn3 gene expression was greater in villin-TLR4 mice than in WT mice, whereas occludin gene expression was reduced in the small intestine ECs of villin-TLR4 mice (Fig. 3g).

Transcellular transport genes were also affected. We found a very significant increase in the expression levels of ion transport gene Clca4 (chloride channel calcium activated 4), a biomarker of inflammatory bowel disease (40), in the colonic ECs of villin-TLR4 mice (see Fig. S3d in the supplemental material). We also observed a decrease in the gene for carbonic anhydrase 4 (Car4), which is important for chloride ion homeostasis (41), in villin-TLR4 mice relative to WT littermates. Similarly, we observed a significant decrease in car4 expression and an upregulation of Clca4 expression in the small intestinal ECs of villin-TLR4 mice relative to their WT littermates (see Fig. S3c in the supplemental material). Expression of aquaporin 4 (Aqp4) did not differ between villin-TLR4 and WT littermates in either region of the intestine (see Fig. S3b in the supplemental material). Taken together, the above findings suggest that epithelial barrier and transport functions of the host are regulated by intestinal epithelium-specific TLR4 signaling.

To identify whether increased bacterial invasion in the colonic mucosa of villin-TLR4 is associated with decreased epithelial barrier function, we measured intestinal mucosal permeability by measuring 4-kDa FITC-dextran levels in the serum of villin-TLR4 and WT mice. Results represent the mean ± the standard error of the mean of fold changes in FITC-dextran levels relative to the WT (seven to nine mice per group).

We also observed a significant decrease in car4 expression and an upregulation of Clca4 expression in the small intestinal ECs of villin-TLR4 mice relative to their WT littermates (see Fig. S3e in the supplemental material). Expression of aquaporin 4 (Aqp4) did not differ between villin-TLR4 and WT littermates in either region of the intestine (see Fig. S3b in the supplemental material). Taken together, the above findings suggest that epithelial barrier and transport functions are regulated by intestinal epithelium-specific TLR4 signaling.
rier formation, Pearson’s correlation analysis was performed between variables representing markers for bacterial invasion and the expression of epithelial barrier function genes. Indeed, a negative correlation was found between the bacterial CFU counts in the MLNs and spleen, mucosal richness, and relative expression levels of the genes for colonic junctional cell-cell adhesion proteins (ZO-1, JAM-A, and Cdh1, respectively) (Fig. 3e). However, the correlation coefficient was significant only for mucosal richness and JAM-A (Fig. 3c). Interestingly, the correlation between variables for bacterial invasion and claudins, the junctional paracellular transporters, was positive, with a significant coefficient of correlation between Cldn15 and bacterial CFU counts in MLNs (Fig. 3d and e). Although Cldn15 was not significantly different between the two genotypes, a higher correlation was observed because villin-TLR4 mice that had less bacterial invasion also had lower expression of Cldn15. These results suggest that increased epithelial permeability is positively associated with increased systemic bacterial dissemination.

**Constitutive TLR4 signaling alters expression of AMP in IECs.** Expression of antimicrobial peptides (AMP) is triggered by bacterial colonization, infection, and inflammation (42–44). On the basis of our findings of increased mucosa-adherent bacteria in villin-TLR4 mice, we hypothesized that these mice will display altered expression of AMP genes in the colonic epithelium. We observed a significant increase in the levels of Reg3g expression in colonic ECs of villin-TLR4 mice, and a similar trend was observed in the gene for lysozyme 2 (Lyz2). However, we found an opposite trend in the gene for angiogenin 4 (Ang4) in the colonic epithelium of villin-TLR4 mice (Fig. 4a). Our observation of increased Reg3g expression in villin-TLR4 mice is consistent with previously published studies wherein increased Reg3g expression occurred in response to LPS, the ligand for TLR4, in germfree and antibiotic-treated mice, suggesting that TLR4 regulates the expression of Reg3g (45, 46). Another possibility is that Reg3g expression increased in response to an increased bacterial load in the colonic mucosa of villin-TLR4 mice.

To determine whether the changes observed in the mucosal microbiota are associated with antimicrobial gene expression in the ECs of the colon, Pearson’s correlation analysis of these parameters was performed (for R values, see Table S5 in the supplemental material). Only bacterial clades that differed between villin-TLR4 and WT mice from Table 1 were considered for this analysis. On the basis of the Pearson correlation coefficient values obtained for each bacterial OTU and antimicrobial gene, the bacterial clades separated into three clusters by Euclidean distance (Fig. 4c). Cluster 1, which consisted of bacterial OTUs that increased in villin-TLR4 mice, correlated positively with Reg3g and Lyz2 and negatively with Ang4. Within cluster 2, which consisted of bacterial OTUs that decreased in villin-TLR4 mice, a correlation trend that was the opposite of that of cluster 1 was observed.
between OTUs and AMP genes for subclusters 2a and 2c. Subcluster 2b correlated negatively with Reg3g and Lyz2 and was unaffected by Ang4. Cluster 3 consisted of bacteria that increased or decreased in villin-TLR4 mice. No specific trend was observed in this cluster, with subcluster 3a correlating positively with Ang4 and remaining unaffected by the other two AMP genes. Subcluster 3b mostly showed an association that was the opposite of that of subcluster 3a, with five of the eight bacterial OTUs correlating negatively with Ang4. These results reinforce the notion obtained from previous studies (47) that different AMP genes may act synergistically or antagonistically to regulate the microbiota composition.

Contrary to the observations in the colonic epithelium, we found a general trend of decreased expression of AMP genes in the small intestines of villin-TLR4 mice. Primarily, the genes coding for α-defensins (defa1, Defa4) and Ang4 were significantly lower in the small intestinal ECs of villin-TLR4 mice (Fig. 4b). To determine if decreased expression of AMP genes in the small intestine has any effect on the ileum microbiota, we examined the total quantities of bacteria in the mucosa and luminal regions of the ilea of villin-TLR4 and WT littermate mice by RT-qPCR of the 16S rRNA operon. Interestingly, we did not observe any significant differences between the total bacterial loads in the lumen and mucosa of the villin-TLR4 mouse ileum and those of the WT ileum (see Fig. S4 in the supplemental material). A PCA plot generated by accounting for the relative abundance of bacterial taxonomic groups obtained by T-RFLP did not show any separation of cohoused villin-TLR4 and WT littermates (see Fig. S4 in the supplemental material).

Our results suggest that epithelial TLR4 regulates AMP expression diversely in the small intestine and colon. While AMP expression can influence the microbiota composition and abundance or vice versa in the colon, similar regulation does not appear to occur in the small intestine.

Epithelial TLR4 signaling regulates cell lineage commitment in the small intestine. TLR4 regulates the development of goblet cells in the small intestine (48). We have previously shown that constitutive epithelial TLR4 signaling affects the crypt-villus structure of the intestine (49). Given that Paneth and goblet cells are primary sources of AMP in the intestine, we asked whether constitutive expression of TLR4 affected the differentiation of these cell types in the small intestine and colon. Consistent with the decrease in α-defensin expression, we observed a significantly fewer Paneth cells (Fig. 5c) in the ilea of villin-TLR4 mice than in those of their WT littermates, as determined by H&E staining and lysozyme immunofluorescent staining (Fig. 5a and b). To examine goblet cell quantity, we stained for goblet cells with periodic acid-Schiff and immunofluorescent staining for MUC2 (Fig. 5d and e; see Fig. S5a and b in the supplemental material). We observed a small but statistically significant increase in the goblet cell count in the ilea (Fig. 5f) but not the colons of villin-TLR4 mice (see Fig. 5c in the supplemental material). Our results indicate that TLR4 signaling affects EC differentiation in the small intestine but not in the colon. These results further suggest that the increased mucosa-adherent bacteria and altered AMP expression in the colons of villin-TLR4 mice were not primarily a result of altered cell differentiation. On the other hand, altered AMP expression in the small intestine may be a result of TLR4-induced effects on Paneth and goblet cell differentiation. Our observations in this study are consistent with previously published studies wherein, by using transgenic mice ablated for Paneth cells, it was shown that although Paneth cells are required to limit bacterial translocation to MLNs and the spleen, no difference in the luminal bacterial load or distribution of bacteria along the crypt-villus axis occurs in these transgenic mice (44, 50). On the basis of these findings, we propose that the decreased AMP production in the small intestines of villin-TLR4 mice occurs primarily because of a reduced number of Paneth cells. This reduced AMP expression does not, however, appear to affect bacterial abundance or distribution in the small intestine.

Cohousing with villin-TLR4 mice increases the sensitivity of WT mice to DSS-induced colitis. We have previously shown that villin-TLR4 mice are more prone to DSS-induced colitis than their WT littermates (25). Thus, we questioned whether the altered microbiota of villin-TLR4 mice might play a role in the increased susceptibility of villin-TLR4 mice to colitis. To test this, we cohoused C57BL/6 WT mice with villin-TLR4 mice for 4 weeks and also housed C57BL/6 WT mice by themselves in a separate cage. Previously published studies have shown that 4 weeks of cohousing is sufficient for the transmission of microbiota between mice of different genotypes (29). Indeed, after cohousing, the stool microbiota of cohoused WT (CH-WT) mice was more similar to that of villin-TLR4 (CH-T) than to that of separately housed WT (SH-WT) mice (Fig. 6a). At the end of 4 weeks, acute colitis was induced in SH-WT, CH-WT, and villin-TLR4 (CH-VT) mice by the addition of 3% DSS to the drinking water for 6 days. Similar to our previous observations, we observed greater weight loss in villin-TLR4 mice than in WT mice. Interestingly, at day 3, CH-WT mice started losing more weight than SH-WT mice. This weight loss effect was more pronounced at days 5 and 6 of DSS exposure, where CH-WT mice lost significantly more weight than SH-WT mice did (Fig. 6b). A similar trend was observed in the disease activity index (DAI), wherein villin-TLR4 mice had the highest DAI score, followed by CH-WT mice, and the lowest DAI score was that of SH-WT mice, especially early after DSS introduction (Fig. 6c). We also observed that almost all of the villin-TLR4 mice (five out of six) but none of the cohoused or singly housed WT mice died at day 6 from DSS exposure. Considering our earlier observation that the luminal and mucosa-associated microorganisms of villin-TLR4 and WT littermates are different, the findings from cohousing experiments suggest that both the microbiota and host TLR4 expression contribute to the induction of severe colitis in villin-TLR4 mice after DSS exposure.

On the basis of the above findings, we hypothesized that CH-WT mice will exhibit more colonic inflammation than SH-WT mice. Histologic observations based on architectural changes, basal lamplamacytosis, neutrophil/eosinophil recruitment, acute cryptitis/crypt abscesses, crypt destruction, and epithelial erosion or ulceration showed signs of severe colonic inflammation in all of the groups. However, the colons of CH-WT mice were significantly more inflamed than those of SH-WT mice (Fig. 7a). Moreover, the expression of the gene for the inflammatory cytokine tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) was significantly greater in CH-WT mice than in SH-WT mice (Fig. 7b). Measurement of protein expression secreted by overnight culture of distal colon explants showed a notable trend toward greater IL-6, CXCL-10, and CCL2 secretion and lower IL-10 secretion in CH-WT mice than in SH-WT mice (Fig. 7c). The levels of gamma interferon, IL-12, IL-1β, and TNF-α were below the detection limit of the assay in most
of the samples. To elucidate the mechanism behind the observed increased susceptibility to DSS in CH-WT mice, we assessed whether the barrier defects observed in villin-TLR4 mice are transmissible to CH-WT mice before DSS exposure. We did not observe any difference in bacterial translocation or FITC-dextran levels in serum between SH-WT and CH-WT mice (see Fig. S6 in the supplemental material). The data from these experiments demonstrated that although the microbiota of villin-TLR4 mice is transmissible to CH-WT mice, the barrier defects were not transmissible.

**DISCUSSION**

Intestinal homeostasis depends on balanced innate immune responses and a healthy microbiota. We still do not know what constitutes a “healthy” microbiota, nor do we understand the interdependence of host signaling and cognate flora. If innate immune signaling is too weak, the host may be exposed to potential pathogens. If it is too vigorous, innate immune signaling may cause detrimental inflammation in the face of harmless commensals. In IBD, as either a cause or an effect of the disease process, epithelial expression of TLR4 is increased (19–21). In addition to IBD, TLR4 expression is increased in colitis-associated cancer and in some sporadic colon cancers (25, 49). We therefore wished to understand the impact of dysregulated epithelial TLR4 expression on the microbiota and on epithelial function.

Although *in vivo* diseases demonstrate TLR overexpression, most studies have used global knockouts or epithelial knock-
outs of innate immune receptors (or adapters) to address the role of innate immune signaling in the epithelium. In our model, we have turned up the volume of TLR signaling in the epithelium by expressing a constitutively active TLR4 gene in the epithelium. Here, by meticulously regulating confounding factors such as housing and maternal transmission commonly observed in microbiota studies, we demonstrated that the microbiota is altered in terms of quantity, composition, and richness in response to increased TLR4 signaling. The microbiota differences between villin-TLR4 and WT littermate mice were apparent in the mucosal lining and in the lumen and were transmissible. We also show that epithelial TLR4 signaling has differential, site-specific effects on EC differentiation, barrier formation, and AMP gene expression.

We found that numerous mechanisms involved in the regulation of the microbiota were impacted by TLR4 signaling. First, we found that epithelial barrier function was reduced in villin-TLR4 mice. We also found a positive correlation between lost barrier function and increased bacterial translocation. Second, we observed that Paneth cell numbers and alpha-defensin expression were decreased in the small intestines of villin-TLR4 mice. Paneth cells play a role in limiting the penetration of the mucosa by commensal and pathogenic bacteria (44). Thus, decreased Paneth cell number and function are likely to have a profound effect on bacterial invasion. Third, here we have reported that Reg3g and Lyz2 expression is increased in the colons of villin-TLR4 mice, providing evidence that epithelial TLR4 signaling may differentially regulate AMP expression in the small intestine and colon. As indicated by the altered microbiota and increased number of mucosa-associated bacteria in Reg3g-deficient mice, Reg3g can modulate the composition of the mucosal microbiota and provide a first line of defense against mucosal association by bacteria (45). Correlation studies performed herein demonstrated a relationship between bacterial translocation and targeted epithelial barrier gene expression and also between specific alterations in the microbiota and antimicrobial gene expression in the colon. Although these studies do not prove causality, they permit a new view of host-microbial interdependence.

Our findings revealed higher levels of Fusobacteria and Proteobacteria and lower levels of Firmicutes in the colonic mucosa of villin-TLR4 mice than in that of WT littermate mice. Although villin-TLR4 mice are more susceptible to colitis, the microbial changes observed in these mice are the direct opposite of what is normally observed in IBD patients (51, 52). Here, it should be noted that although numerous gut microbiota studies have used murine models to gain an insight into pathological mechanisms of various diseases, direct comparison of mouse and human microbiotas is impractical because of numerous differences that exist between the human and murine gut microbiotas (53, 54). Despite this, we observed some interesting microbiota similarities between villin-TLR4 mice and IBD patients (55–57). As in IBD patients, we observed more mucosa-associated bacteria and Gram-positive Coriobacteriia and increased intestinal permeability in villin-TLR4 mice. Another potential reason for the observed reduction in potential pathogens in villin-TLR4 mice could be that upregulated expression of AMP genes in response to bacterial invasion and increased abundance of Coriobacteria in the colons of villin-TLR4 lead to decreased numbers of microbial communities.
other potential pathogens, including Fusobacteria and Proteobacteria, in the colon mucosa but an overgrowth of other species in the phylum Firmicutes.

Cohousing promotes the transmission of colonic microbiota between mice because of coprophagia. By T-RFLP analysis, we showed that the microbiota of CH-WT mice was more similar to that of villin-TLR4 mice than to that of SH-WT mice. We also showed that C57BL/6 mice cohoused with villin-TLR4 mice develop more severe DSS colitis than singly housed C57BL/6 mice do. Recently Couturier-Maillard et al. reported that the microbiota associated with Nod2 deficiency gives rise to communicable properties of the microbiota from inflammasome-deficient mice are transferrable to CH-WT mice (29). On the basis of these findings, we believe that epithelial TLR4 signaling-induced changes in the microbiota are transmissible to WT mice and are responsible for increased susceptibility to DSS in CH-WT mice. We did not observe any signs of leaky gut in CH-WT mice. However, we observed an apparent increase in the proinflammatory chemokines CXCL-10 and CCL-2 and a decrease in the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 in distal colon explants from cohoused mice. Although these differences between cohoused and SH-WT mice were not significant, we speculate that the differences in the microbiotas of these two groups lead to differential immune cell activation and recruitment, resulting in the observed differences in DSS susceptibility and the release of cytokines and chemokines.

Our studies cannot clearly prove cause and effect—does the microbiota change epithelial function, or is altered epithelial function responsible for a change in the microbiota? The experimental manipulation in this case is epithelial TLR4 expression. We observed location-specific effects of epithelial TLR4 expression on the microbiota, EC differentiation, barrier formation, and AMP gene expression. While the effect of TLR4 signaling on barrier and transport functions was observed in both the colon and small intestine, microbial dysbiosis was observed only in the colon and altered EC differentiation occurred only in the small intestine. The effect of increased TLR4 signaling on AMP gene expression was reversed in the small intestine and colon; in the colon, the AMP genes were generally upregulated, whereas in the small intestine, the AMP genes were downregulated. Thus, it seems likely that TLR4-induced alterations in the epithelium drive changes in the colonic microbiota. Once these changes are in place, the microbiota contributes to disease pathogenesis, as witnessed by our acute colitis cohousing experiment. We have previously shown that TLR4 signaling does regulate the expression of defensins both in vitro and in vivo (58). The results of this study imply that TLR4 expression regulates defensin expression by affecting Paneth cell differentiation in the small bowel. Paneth cells play a role in the limitation of bacterial translocation. Thus, it appears that reduced Paneth cell population size, coupled with a defective epithelial barrier, played a role in systemic bacterial translocation in villin-TLR4 mice.

On the basis of our findings, we can conclude that increased epithelial TLR4 signaling has clear effects on epithelial function and the microbiota. In states like IBD, wherein TLR4 expression does not shut off, as would be the case in a self-limited colitis, changes in epithelial function and the microbiota may contribute to the perpetuation of inflammation. As we learn more about microbiota composition, we will identify patterns of pathogenic, proinflammatory flora in the hope that this can be returned to normalcy. Our data also suggest that targeting of TLR4 may have a beneficial effect by restoring epithelial function and changing the microbiota.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Pedro Salas and Rebecca Adkins, both at the University of Miami, for reviewing the manuscript. We also thank Micky and Madeleine Arison, as well as Joni Trempala, for their philanthropic support of the Crohn’s and Colitis Research Laboratory.

M.T.A. has served as a consultant to AbbVie Laboratories, Hospira Inc., Takeda, Prometheus Labs, Ferring Pharmaceuticals, Shire Pharmaceuticals, Pfizer, Sanofi Aventis, Janssen, GSK Holding Americas Inc., Eli Lilly, Mucosal Health Board, Focus Medical Communications, and UCB. M.T.A. serves on the scientific advisory board of Asana Medical Inc. and Celgene Corp. and on the board of directors for the GI Health Foundation. M.T.A. serves as a trainer or lecturer for Prova Education Inc., ECCO Congress, Pre-Med institute, LLC, and Immedex Inc. This does not alter our adherence to this journal’s policies on sharing data and materials. All other authors declare no conflict of interest.

FUNDING INFORMATION

HHS | NIH | National Cancer Institute (NCI) provided funding to Maria Abreu under grant number 5R01CA137869-05. HHS | NIH | National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIDDK) provided funding to Maria Abreu under grant number 2R01DK099076-06A1. Crohn’s and Colitis Foundation of America (CCFA) provided funding to Maria Abreu under grant number 3786-SRA. Crohn’s and Colitis Foundation of America (CCFA) provided funding to Rebeca Santana under grant number 3591-RFA.

REFERENCES

1. Bäckhed F, Ley RE, Sonnenburg JL, Peterson DA, Gordon JJ. 2005. Host-bacterial mutualism in the human intestine. Science 307:1915–1920. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1104816.
2. Hooper LV, Midvedt T, Gordon JJ. 2002. How host-microbial interac-
Role of Epithelial TLR4 in Gut Microbiota Regulation

March 2016 Volume 84 Number 3 iai.asm.org

Infection and Immunity

809

...tions shape the nutrient environment of the mammalian intestine. Annu Rev Nutr 22:283–307. http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.nutr.22.011602.092259.

3. Liév-Le Moal Y, Servin AL. 2006. The front line of enteric host defense against unwelcome intrusion of harmful microorganisms: mucins, antimicrobial peptides, and microbiota. Clin Microbiol Rev 19:315–337. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/CMR.19.2.315-337.2006.

4. Medzhitov R, Preston-Hurlburt P, Janeway CA, Jr. 1997. A human homologue of the Drosophila Toll protein signals activation of adaptive immunity. Nature 388:394–397. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/31131.

5. Janeway CA, Jr, Goodnow CC, Medzhitov R. 1996. Danger—pathogen on the premises! Immunological tolerance. Curr Biol 6:519–522.

6. Abraham C, Medzhitov R. 2011. Interactions between the host innate immune system and microbiota in inflammatory bowel disease. Gastroenterology 140:1729–1737. http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2011.02.012.

7. Jostins L, Ripke S, Weersma RK, Duerr RH, McGovern DP, Hui KY, Lee JC, Schumm LP, Sharma Y, Anderson CA, Essers J, Mitrovic M, Ning K, Cleynen I, Theatre E, Spain SL, Raychaudhuri S, Goyette P, Wei Z, Abraham C, Achkar JP, Ahmad T, Aminejad L, Ananthakrishnan AN, Andersen V, Andrews JM, Baidoo I, Balschun T, Bampton PA, Bitton A, Boucher G, Brand S, Buning C, Cohain A, Cichon S, D’Amato M, De Jong D, Devanayagam KL, Dubinsky M, Edwards C, Ellinghaus D, Ferguson LR, Franchimont D, Fransen K, Geary R, Georges M, Gieger M, Gobourne A, Viale A, Leiner I, Equinda M, Khanin M, Perez MT, Mayer L, Vanamadean AS, Lira SA, Abreu MT. 2015. Toll-like receptor-4 is required for intestinal response to epithelial injury and limiting bacterial translocation in a murine model of acute colitis. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 280:G1055–1065. http://dx.doi.org/10.1152/ajpgi.00328.2004.

8. Rackoff-Nahoum S, Paglino J, Eslami-Vazhaneh F, Edberg S, Medzhitov R. 2004. Recognition of commensal microflora by Toll-like receptors is required for intestinal homeostasis. Cell 118:229–241. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2004.07.002.

9. Fukata M, Shang L, Castoldi A, Sotolongo J, Pastori R, Cesana C, Urciolo P, Harpaz N, Cooper HS, Elson G, Kosco-Vilbois M, Ziais J, Perez MT, Mayer L, Pama V, Lara SA, Abreu MT. 2011. Constitutive activation of epithelial TLR4 augments inflammatory responses to mucosal injury and drives colitis-associated tumorigenesis. Inflamm Bowel Dis 17:1464–1473. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ibd.21527.

10. Srinivasan S, Sitaraman SV, Knight R, Ley RE, Gewirtz AT. 2009. Innate immune signaling by Toll-like receptor-4 (TLR4) shapes the inflammatory microenvironment in collagen-induced arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 61:997–1006. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/art.24880.

11. Courtouir-Mailard A, Sequin T, Schwickerath M, Maas A, Muussig M, Ammer E, Kerour C, Künzel G, Tschöpe C, Seltenreich C, Maas A, Ma C, Knodler LA, Valdez Y, Rosenberg CM, Deng W, Finlay BB, Vallance BA. Toll-like receptor 4 contributes to colitis development but not to host defense during Clostridium rodentium infection in mice. Infect Immun 74:2522–2536. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/IAI.74.5.2522-2536.2006.

12. Szebeni B, Veres G, Dèsoz A, Rasi A, Vanyi Y, Mraz M, Majorova E, Arato A. 2008. Increased expression of Toll-like receptor (TLR) 2 and TLR4 in the colonic mucosa of children with inflammatory bowel disease. Clin Exp Immunol 151:34–41.

13. Liu Y, Nusrat A, Schnell FJ, Reaves TA, Walsh S, Pochet M, Parkos CA. 2000. Human junction adhesion molecule regulates tight junction sealing in epithelia. J Cell Sci 113(Pt 13):2363–2374.

14. Hermiston ML, Gordon JI. 1995. In vivo analysis of cadherin function in
the mouse intestinal epithelium: essential roles in adhesion, maintenance of differentiation, and regulation of programmed cell death. J Cell Biol 129:489–506.

37. Itoh M, Nagafuchi A, Morei S, Tsukita S. 1997. Involvement of ZO-1 in cadherin-based cell adhesion through its direct binding to alpha catenin and actin filaments. J Cell Biol 138:181–192.

38. Colegio OR, Van Itallie C, Rahner C, Anderson JM. 2003. Claudin extracellular domains determine paracellular charge selectivity and resistance but not tight junction fibril architecture. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 284:C1346–1354. http://dx.doi.org/10.1152/ajpcell.00547.2002.

39. Turksen K, Troy TC. 2002. Permeability barrier dysfunction in transgenic mice overexpressing claudin 6. Development 129:1775–1784.

40. Cornelli EM, Lariani MC, Zawhalen MC, Fotopoulos G, Holzwarth JA, Cherbut C, Dorta G, Corthesy-Theulaz I, Grigorov M. 2009. Biomarkers of human gastrointestinal tract regions. Mamm Genome 20:516–527. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00335-009-9212-7.

41. Borenshtein D, Schlieper KA, Rickman BH, Chapman JM, Schweinfest CW, Fox JG, Schauer DB. 2009. Decreased expression of colonic Slc26a3 and carbonic anhydrase IV as a cause of fatal infectious diarrhea in mice. Infect Immun 77:3639–3650. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00225-09.

42. Natividad JM, Hayes CL, Motta JP, Jury J, Galipeau HJ, Philip V, Garcia-Rodenas CL, Kiyama H, Bercik P, Verdu EF. 2013. Differential induction of antimicrobial REGIII by the intestinal microbiota and Bifidobacterium breve NCC2950. Appl Environ Microbiol 79:7745–7754. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02470-13.

43. Ogawa H, Fukushima K, Sasaki I, Matsuno S. 2000. Identification of genes involved in mucosal defense and inflammation associated with normal enteric bacteria. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 279:G492–G499.

44. Vaishnava S, Behrendt CL, Ismail AS, Eckmann I, Hooper LV. 2008. Paneth cells directly sense gut commensals and maintain homeostasis at the intestinal host-microbial interface. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105:20858–20863. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0808723105.

45. Vaishnava S, Yamamoto M, Severson KM, Ruhn KA, Yu X, Koren O, Ley R, Wakeland EK, Hooper LV. 2011. The antibacterial lectin RegIIIgamma promotes the spatial segregation of microbiota and host in the intestine. Science 334:255–258. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1209791.

46. Brandl K, Pillas G, Mihu CN, Ubeda C, Jia T, Fleisher M, Schnabl B, DeMatteo RP, Pamer EG. 2008. Vancomycin-resistant enterococci exploit antibiotic-induced innate immune deficiencies. Nature 455:804–807. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature07250.

47. Ostaff MJ, Stange EF, Wehkamp J. 2013. Antimicrobial peptides and gut microbiota in homeostasis and pathology. EMBO Mol Med 5:1465–1483. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/emmm.201201773.

48. Sodhi CP,Neal MD,SiggersR,ShoS,MacB,BrancaMF,PrindleT,Jr, Russo AM, Afrazi A, Good M, Brower-Sinning R, Firek B, Morowitz MJ, Ozolek JA, Gittes GK, Billiar TR, Hackam DJ. 2012. Intestinal epithelial Toll-like receptor 4 regulates goblet cell development and is required for necroptosis enterocolitis in mice. Gastroenterology 143:708–718.e1–5. http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2012.05.053.

49. Santalaalla R, Sussman DA, Ruiz JR, Davies JM, Pastorini C, Espana CL, Sotolongo J, Burlingame O, Bejarano PA, Phillips A, Ahmad MM, Ko J, Dirisina R, Barrett TA, Shang L, Lira SA, Fukata M, Abreu MT. 2013. TLR4 Activates the beta-catenin pathway to cause intestinal neoplasia. PLoS One 8:e63298. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0063298.

50. Garabedian EM, Roberts LJ, McNevin MS, Gordon JJ. 1997. Examining the role of Paneth cells in the small intestine by lineage ablation in transgenic mice. J Biol Chem 272:23729–23740.

51. Strauss J, Kaplan GG, Beck PL, Rioux K, Panaccione R, Devinney R, Lynch T, Allen-Vercoe E. 2011. Invasive potential of gut mucosa-derived Fusobacterium nucleatum positively correlates with IBD status of the host. Inflamm Bowel Dis 17:1971–1978. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ibd.21606.

52. Lepage P, Hasler R, Spehlmann ME, Rehman A, Zvirbiene A, Begun A, Ott S, Kupcinckas L, Dore J, Raedler A, Schreiber S. 2011. Twin study indicates loss of interaction between microbiota and mucosa of patients with ulcerative colitis. Gastroenterology 141:227–236. http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2011.04.011.

53. Ley RE, Bäckhed F, Turnbaugh P, Lozupone CA, Knight RD, Gordon JJ. 2005. Obesity alters gut microbial ecology. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102:11070–11075. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0504978102.

54. Nguyen TL, Vieira-Silva S, Liston A, Raes J. 2015. How informative is the mouse for human gut microbiota research? Dis Model Mech 8:1–16. http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dmm.017400.

55. Kleessen B, Kroesen AJ, Buhr HJ, Blaut M. 2002. Mucosal and invading bacteria in patients with inflammatory bowel disease compared with controls. scand J Gastroenterol 37:1034–1041. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03002249978220.

56. Schultz G, Van Den Berg FM, Ten Kate FW, Tytgat GN, Dankert J. 1999. The intestinal mucus layer from patients with inflammatory bowel disease harbors high numbers of bacteria compared with controls. Gastroenterology 117:1089–1097.

57. Gerova VA, Stoynov SG, Katsaros DS, Svinarov DA. 2011. Increased intestinal permeability in inflammatory bowel diseases assessed by iohexol test. World J Gastroenterol 17:2211–2215. http://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v17.i17.

58. Vora P, Youdim A, Thomas LS, Fukata M, Tesfay SY, Lukasek K, Michelsen KS, Wada A, Hirayama T, Arditii M, Abreu MT. 2004. beta-defensin-2 expression is regulated by TLR signaling in intestinal epithelial cells. J Immunol 173:5398–5405. http://dx.doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.173.9.5398.