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Evaluation of caesarean section practices according to Robson's 10-group classification at a level two maternity ward in Conakry, Guinea
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ABSTRACT

Background: The frequency of caesarean sections (CS) increased dramatically in the world over the last twenty years. The objective of this study was to evaluate caesarean section practices based on Robson classification in an urban referral hospital in Conakry, Guinea.

Methods: We conducted a cross-sectional study of 2,266 birthing records collected at the maternity ward of the Coronthie Communal Medical Center in Conakry, from January 1st to December 31st 2016. We included in the study all women who had a caesarean section and whose medical records were complete. Robson’s classification was used to classify women into 10 groups based on maternal and fetal characteristics. The relative size of each group, its gross caesarean section rate as well as its contribution to overall caesarean section rate and the main caesarean section indications were calculated.

Results: In 2016, 769 caesarean sections were performed out of 2,266 deliveries, corresponding to a hospital section rate of 33.9%. Groups 5 (11.0%), 1 (4.8%), and 3 (4.3%) of the Robson classification were the most contributors to registered hospital caesarean section rate. The main indications for caesarean section were uterine scar in group 5 and acute fetal distress in groups 1 and 3.

Conclusions: The systematic reference to the Robson classification could help to identify and avoid the relative indications of the caesarean section in urban Guinea. Besides, increasing induction of labor and strengthening providers’ capacities in emergency obstetric and newborn care services could contribute to reduce caesarean section rates in Guinea.
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INTRODUCTION

The frequency of caesarean sections (CS) increased dramatically in the world over the last twenty years, although the World Health Organization (WHO) recommends that there is no justification for more than 10 to 15% of deliveries performed by CS in a particular geographic region.¹ Indeed, high rates of CS constitute a public health problem because of the potential maternal and perinatal risks associated with this intervention, high costs and inequalities of access to obstetric care.¹² In France, after having increased steadily since the 1970s, the rate of CS seems to be stabilizing at around 20%.³ In Africa, although the population rates of CS are generally very low because of the low financial and geographical accessibility to obstetric services offering this type of intervention, hospital rates are very high, reaching or even exceeding 20% in some countries to as much as 50% of deliveries.⁴ In Guinea, studies showed an overall CS rate of 2.7% and a hospital rate that rose from 21% in...
2008 to 36% in 2014.\textsuperscript{5,6} For controlling caesarean section rates, several methods were proposed to analyze CS rates and facilitate geographic or temporal comparisons at the service level. Nowadays, the preference seems to be to analyze CS rates by group with different levels of risk, in order to identify the groups on which efforts must be focused and to propose concrete measures to stabilize or reduce CS rates. The CS classification proposed by Robson in 2001 has advantages and ranks women in 10 categories according to their characteristics and those of the pregnancy.\textsuperscript{5,9} It furthermore makes it possible to compare practices of CS in different maternity wards of the same level per district, region and nationally.

This study aimed at assessing CS practices at a level two maternity ward in Conakry, based on the Robson classification in order to make suggestions for streamlining CS in hospital settings in Guinea.

METHODS

This study was carried out at the maternity ward of the Communal Medical Center (CMC) of Coronthie, located in the commune of Kaloum in Conakry (the capital city). It is a level two maternity ward (referral hospital). We conducted a cross-sectional study with a sample of 2,266 birthing records from January 1\textsuperscript{st} to December 31\textsuperscript{st}, 2016, using a structured questionnaire. We included in this study all women with a gestational age of 28 weeks or more, who had a CS and whose medical records were complete. All CS documented in the medical records were included. The Robson classification was used to classify women into 10 groups, according to maternal and fetal characteristics.\textsuperscript{7} The Robson classification is based on the following characteristics: parity, type of pregnancy (single or multiple), fetal presentation, mode of onset of labor, gestational age, and antecedent of CS (Table 1).

This classification can be made up of 10 or 12 groups according to whether we include both induced labor and planned CS or not, both in primiparous (Groups 2a and 2b) and in multiparous (Groups 4a and 4b). Thus, we chose the 10-group classification for its simplicity. The groups 1 to 4 typically correspond to women at low risk of CS since they were constituted of women with term pregnancy and single fetus in cephalic presentation. Then, the groups 5 to 10 correspond to women at high risk of CS.\textsuperscript{7} Data analysis allowed to determine the overall CS rate, to estimate the relative size of each of the 10 groups (number of women in the group divided by the total of women with CS) and to calculate for each group the gross rate of CS (number of women who gave birth by CS divided by the total of women in the group) and the contribution of the group to the overall rate of CS (number of women who gave birth by CS divided by the total number of women). We also classified the leading indications for CS for the groups that contributed most to overall CS rate.

Statistical analysis

Data were entered using the Excel software and analyzed using the Epidata analysis software, version 2.2. The confidentiality respect of information collected was maintained by entering and analysing data anonymously. The study was approved by the Guinea National Ethics Committee for Health Research.

RESULTS

Overall, 2,266 deliveries were realized of which 769 (33.9\%) CS were performed.

| Group | Definition of obstetric populations |
|-------|-----------------------------------|
| 1     | Nulliparous women with a single pregnancy in cephalic presentation, ≥37 weeks gestation in spontaneous labor |
| 2     | Nulliparous women with a single pregnancy in cephalic presentation, ≥37 weeks gestation who either had labor induced or were delivered by CS before labor |
| 3     | Multiparous women with a single pregnancy in cephalic presentation, ≥37 weeks gestation, without a previous uterine scar in spontaneous labor |
| 4     | Multiparous women with a single pregnancy in cephalic presentation, without a previous uterine scar, ≥37 weeks gestation who either had labor induced or were delivered by CS before labor |
| 5     | All multiparous women with at least one previous uterine scar, a single pregnancy in cephalic presentation, ≥37 weeks gestation |
| 6     | All nulliparous women with a single pregnancy in breech presentation |
| 7     | All multiparous women with a single pregnancy in breech presentation, including women with previous uterine scars |
| 8     | All women with multiple pregnancies including women with previous uterine scars |
| 9     | All women with a single pregnancy in transverse or oblique lie, including women with previous uterine scars |
| 10    | All women with a single pregnancy in cephalic presentation <37 weeks gestation, including women with previous uterine scars |

CS: Caesarean section.
Group 5 (multiparas with at least one previous caesarean, single pregnancy cephalic presentation) recorded the highest rate of CS (32.5%), followed by group 1 (nulliparas with a single pregnancy in cephalic presentation, 37 weeks gestation or more in spontaneous labor) and group 3 (multiparas with a single pregnancy in cephalic presentation, 37 weeks gestation or more, without a previous CS, in spontaneous labor) with respectively 14.1% and 12.7%.

We noted that the size of group 3 (multiparas with a single pregnancy in cephalic presentation, 37 weeks gestation or more, without a previous CS, in spontaneous labor) was the largest (31.4%).

Table 2: Distribution of groups by size, rate and contribution to the overall caesarean section rate.

| Group | Number of CS in the group (n) | Total number of women in the group (N) | Group size (%) | CS rate in each group (%) | Absolute group contribution to overall CS rate of 33.9% (%) | Relative group contribution to overall CS rate of 100% (%) |
|-------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| 1     | 109                           | 467                                   | 20.6           | 23.3                     | 4.8                                                      | 14.1                                                     |
| 2     | 69                            | 121                                   | 5.3            | 57.0                     | 3.1                                                      | 9.0                                                      |
| 3     | 98                            | 712                                   | 31.4           | 13.8                     | 4.3                                                      | 12.7                                                     |
| 4     | 53                            | 101                                   | 4.5            | 52.5                     | 2.3                                                      | 7.0                                                      |
| 5     | 250                           | 450                                   | 19.9           | 55.6                     | 11.0                                                     | 32.5                                                     |
| 6     | 36                            | 65                                    | 2.9            | 55.4                     | 1.6                                                      | 4.6                                                      |
| 7     | 63                            | 111                                   | 4.9            | 56.8                     | 2.8                                                      | 8.1                                                      |
| 8     | 30                            | 73                                    | 3.2            | 41.1                     | 1.3                                                      | 4.0                                                      |
| 9     | 38                            | 62                                    | 2.7            | 61.3                     | 1.7                                                      | 5.0                                                      |
| 10    | 23                            | 104                                   | 4.6            | 22.1                     | 1.0                                                      | 3.0                                                      |
| Total | 769                           | 2266                                  | 100.0          | 33.9                     | 33.9                                                     | 100.0                                                    |

CS: Caesarean section, 1%: Number (n) of women in the group/total Number (N) of women delivered in the setting x 100, 2%: Number (n) of CS in the group/total number (N) of women in the group x 100, 3%: Number (n) of CS in the group/total number (N) of women delivered in the setting x 100, 4%: Number (n) of CS in the group/total number (N) of CS in the setting x 100.

Table 3: Distribution of the most contributing groups according to indications for caesarean section.

| Indications                     | Groups | 1 n (%) | 3 n (%) | 5 n (%) |
|--------------------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| Acute fetal distress           | 57 (52.3) | 38 (38.6) | 21 (8.4) |
| Dystocia of the soft parts     | 8 (7.3)   | 13 (13.3)  | 8 (3.2)  |
| Fetal dystocia                 | 4 (3.7)   | 11 (11.2)  | 8 (3.2)  |
| Bone disorders                 | 17 (15.6) | 7 (7.1)   | 45 (18.0) |
| Hypertensive states of pregnancy| 7 (6.4)   | 9 (9.2)   | 9 (3.6)  |
| Infectious diseases and pregnancy| 1 (0.9)  | 1 (1.0)   | 1 (0.4)  |
| Uterine scar                   | 1 (0.9)   | 3 (3.1)   | 142 (56.8) |
| Others*                        | 14 (12.8) | 16 (16.3)  | 14 (5.6)  |

*Others: infertility, history of stillbirth, suitability.

Concerning the contribution (absolute contribution) to overall CS rate of 33.9%, our findings showed that multiparous women with at least one previous CS, a single pregnancy in cephalic presentation (Group 5) were the main contributor to overall CS rate with 11.0% followed by groups 1 (4.8%) and 3 (4.3%) (Table 2).

The main indications for CS in the groups contributing most to overall rate of CS were uterine scar in Group 5 (56.8%) and acute fetal distress in groups 1 (52.3%) and 3 (38.6%) (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

This study found an overall rate of CS (33.9%) close to that of national hospital data (36.0%) in Guinea. However, our findings are higher than those observed in level 2 maternity clinics in Senegal and France, with respectively 18.2% and 21.1% of CS rates. This could be explained, on the one hand, by the increase of obstetric care at Coronthie CMC since 2015, due to the closure of the maternity ward of Donka national hospital for renovation and which required the redeployment of its team at Coronthie CMC and on the other hand, by the
The predominance of group 5 in our study (multiparas with at least one previous CS, a single pregnancy in cephalic presentation) could be explained by the strengthening of maternity care at Coronthie CMC allowing the effective management of obstetric emergencies from Conakry and neighboring cities. Our results are contrary to that of a study conducted in France which, in a different context, found that women at low risk of CS of Group 3 (multiparous with a single pregnancy in cephalic presentation, ≥ 37 weeks gestation, no history of CS, spontaneous labor) were the more represented.11

Regarding the size of the group, our findings are similar for groups 3 and 1 to those of that study in France with, in contrast, higher rates, 31.7% and 26.6%, respectively.11

Group 5, with an absolute overall contribution of 11.0%, weighs heavily in the overall rate of CS in our study. Our results are consistent with literature data this suggests that a history of CS is one of the leading causes of CS.8,10,12-14 However, some studies reported a success rate of vaginal deliveries between 60-90% after a satisfactory CS.15,16

Thus, the management of the vaginal delivery appears as one of the most effective mechanisms for reducing the progression of the overall CS rate. Indeed, providers number and capacity building in providing quality obstetric care are required especially in sub-Saharan African settings. In addition, two groups (1 and 3) of low risk of CS populations were among the top three contributors to the overall CS rate. These findings could be explained by obstetric referrals due to, among others, the poor quality of antenatal follow-up, the poor access of the population to health facilities, the proliferation of unconventional birthing centers and care structures (underqualified staff and insufficient equipment), the insufficiency of qualified health care providers in general.

According to Main et al, the CS rate in group 1 can be considered as an indicator of the quality of obstetric care in a maternity ward.17 Improving provider’s capacities and people's access in and to emergency obstetric and neonatal care could help to effectively reduce hospital CS. So, if women have better access to the seven signal functions in their health center, unnecessary referrals could be reduced and those women who need a CS could benefit from a referral. A study carried out in Senegal revealed similar findings regarding the group contribution, but with Group 1 being the largest contributor with 34.2%.10 On the other hand, our results are consistent to those found in a study conducted in France where Group 5 was the largest contributor (6.0%) to the overall CS rate.11 However, the overall rate (33.9%) of CS we found is much higher than that found (12.7%) in level 2 maternity wards in France.11

These findings could be explained by different obstetric practices with regard to the management of women with scarred uterus and obstetric emergencies.

In our study, uterine scar was the dominant indication for caesarean section in group 5 (56.8%). Our results are closer to national data, which showed 49.0% of indications for avoiding risks and uncertainties as the most common.6 Repeated caesarean sections, for fear of complications such as uterine rupture, is recognized as the major cause of the increase of CS rates. To counteract this tendency, a uterine test might be performed after the evaluation of obstetric status and other absolute indications for CS (transverse presentation, placenta previa, forehead (front) presentation, corporal scar, and antecedent of at least two previous CS),

The practice of labor induction on a single-scar uterus should be encouraged in our context with a closer monitoring to control the risk of uterine rupture although this is very minimal. In fact, the labor induction on the scarred uterus increases the risk of uterine rupture, which can be estimated at 1% when oxytocin is used and 2% when prostaglandins are used.18

In this context, the National College of French Gynecologists and Obstetricians recommends the prudent use of oxytocin and intra-cervical or extra-amniotic balloon for the artificial labor induction in this indication, hence the interest of training obstetricians on the techniques of artificial labor induction and the regular monitoring of induced labor.19 The regular practice of artificial labor induction for single-scar uterus while conforming to required clinic protocols could help to reduce unnecessary hospital CS rates.

For the groups 1 and 3, fetal distress was the dominant indication with rates of 52.3% and 38.6%, respectively. This could be explained by the fact that it was about women belonging to groups with low-risk of CS, most often referred from peripheral health facilities after having made a long stay and received in an obstetric emergency context. It is in this context of obstetric emergency that the acute fetal distress is diagnosed clinically (alteration of the fetal heart rate with or without the greenish or meconial aspect of the amniotic fluid). In Guinea, CS are most often performed urgently in 84.9% of cases.6 Therefore, minimizing obstetric emergencies and the use of caesarean sections on "virgin" uterus in low-risk populations (Groups 1 and 3) should be a constant concern of providers. According to Haydar and
Coll, the reduction in CS in the nulliparous (Groups 1 and 2) would directly influence the number of CS in women of Group 5 (women with previous CS) in the future, hence the interest of improving emergency obstetric and newborn care at the primary level of care through information and no cost obstetric care.12

Our study has limitations including the small sample size and the mono-centric character since data concern only one maternity ward. Thus, our findings cannot be generalized to the whole Guinean population because of the diversity of practices in the country. However, we diffuse our results to encourage other maternity wards to use Robson classification to analyze their CS practices and compare them with those of other maternity wards nationally and internationally.

CONCLUSION

This study revealed that the most contributing groups to the overall CS rate were groups 5, 1 and 3. The main indications for CS were uterine scar and acute fetal distress. Hence actions such as popularizing the practice of the artificial labor induction on the single-scarr uterus, increasing induction of labor and strengthening providers’ capacities in emergency obstetric and newborn care services could contribute to reduce caesarean section rates in Guinea.
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