Maize growth promotion by inoculation with *Azospirillum brasilense* and metabolites of *Rhizobium tropici* enriched on lipo-chitooligosaccharides (LCOs)
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**Abstract**

There is an increasing interest in the development and use of inoculants carrying plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPB) in crops of agronomic interest. The great majority of the inoculants commercialized worldwide contain rhizobia for legume crops, but the use of PGPB as *Azospirillum* spp. for non-legume is expanding, as well as of inoculants combining microorganisms and microbial metabolites. In this study we evaluated the effects of inoculants containing *Azospirillum brasilense* with or without metabolites of *Rhizobium tropici* strain CIAT 899 highly enriched in lipo-chitooligosaccharides (LCOs) in six field experiments performed for three summer crop seasons in Brazil with maize (*Zea mays* L.). Inoculants and metabolites were applied either at sowing by seed inoculation, or by leaf spray at the V3 stage of plant growth. Improvement in shoot dry weight (SDW) and total N accumulated in shoots (TNS) by single, but especially by dual inoculation was observed in some of the experiments. Statistically significant increases in grain yield in relation to the non-inoculated control were observed in five out of six experiments when maize was inoculated with *Azospirillum* supplied with enriched metabolites of *R. tropici* applied by seed or leaf spray inoculation. The results give strength to the development of a new generation of inoculants carrying microorganisms and microbial molecules.
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**Introduction**

Inoculation of crops of agronomic interest with plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPB)—especially those belonging to the group of rhizobia associated with legumes—represents a biotechnological practice consolidated worldwide (Bashan et al. 2014). In Brazil, for example, about 25 million doses of inoculants are commercialized every year, 95 % of which for the soybean (*Glycine max* [L.] Merr.) crop (Hungria and Mendes 2015).

The symbiotic interaction between rhizobia and the host legumes to establish the biological nitrogen fixation process involves an intense exchange of signals between the partners. The dialogue starts with the exudation of molecules by the plant—especially flavonoids—which act as signals to the rhizobia (Hungria et al. 1992; Hungria and Phillips 1993; de Rijke et al. 2006). The interaction occurs by means of a key protein in rhizobia—NodD—launching the expression of all other bacterial nodulation genes; in the following step, rhizobia reply with the synthesis and secretion of Nod Factors (Phillips 2000). Nod Factors are lipo-chitooligosaccharides (LCOs), which may comprise up to 60 different structural arrangements (D’Haeze and Holsters 2002), depending on the bacterial species and the environmental conditions (Folch-Mallol et al. 1996; Debéllé et al. 2003; Estévez et al. 2009; del Cerro et al. 2015a, b). LCOs act directly in root colonization and cortex cell division (Spaink et al. 1998; Dardanelli et al. 2008).
Intriguingly, it has been reported that LCOs can also promote growth of non-leguminous plants, one possible explanation being because they mimic the effects of plant hormones such as cytokinins and auxins (Rélis et al. 1993), resulting in increased seed germination and resistance to pathogens (Miransari and Smith 2009). With the current knowledge about the effects of LCOs, a new generation of inoculants based on, or enriched with LCOs has proven to be very effective with legumes, and is now advancing to the use with non-legumes (Marks et al. 2013; Smith et al. 2015).

The technology of inoculation of non-legumes with non-symbiotic PGPB—whose main representative is *Azospirillum* spp.—is also being increasingly adopted in several countries, especially for crops such as maize (*Zea mays* L.) and wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) (Díaz-Zorita and Fernandez-Canigia 2009; Hartmann and Bashan 2009; Smith et al. 2015). In Brazil, inoculants containing *Azospirillum brasilense* strains Ab-V5 and Ab-V6 were exponentially employed by farmers in the past 5 years for maize and wheat (Hungria et al. 2010; Hungria 2011). More recently, co-inoculation of soybean with rhizobia and azospirilla has also been adopted as an agronomic practice by several farmers, with reported positive effects on nodulation precocity (Chibeba et al. 2015) and increases in grain yield (Hungria et al. 2013, 2015b); positive effects on common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) yield have also been reported (Hungria et al. 2013). Among the benefits of inoculation with non-symbiotic PGPB, the contributions of biological nitrogen fixation (Ashraf et al. 2011), production of phytohormones (Strzeleczk et al. 1994), phosphate solubilization (Rodríguez et al. 2004) and control of plant pathogens (Araujo et al. 2005; Wang et al. 2009) are commonly cited.

Studies of the application of LCOs associated with *Azospirillum* to cereals crops are still incipient. Our research group has previously reported that the addition of concentrated metabolites (CM) from two strains of rhizobia containing LCOs resulted in significant increases in maize grain yield (Marks et al. 2013). *Rhizobium tropici* is a very interesting species that synthesizes a wide variety of LCOs, even in the absence of plant inducers (Estévez et al. 2009; del Cerro et al. 2015a, b), making it an interesting bacterium for metabolite production. In this study, metabolites of *R. tropici* strain CIAT 899 highly enriched in LCOs were obtained and applied along with *A. brasilense* in six field experiments performed in 3 years, aiming at getting a better understanding of the combined effects *Azospirillum* and rhizobial molecules on the growth and yield of cereals.

### Materials and methods

#### Inoculant and lipo-chitooligosaccharides (LCOs) preparation

Liquid inoculants were prepared with *A. brasilense* strains CNPS0 2083 (=Ab-V5) and CNPS0 2084 (=Ab-V6). Strains are deposited in the Diazotrophic and Plant Growth Promoting Bacteria Culture Collection of Embrapa Soja (WFCC Collection #1213, WDCM Collection #1054). These two elite strains were identified in a previous selection program for the maize and wheat crops (Hungria et al. 2010; Hungria 2011) and are used in commercial inoculants in Brazil. Inoculant concentration was determined by spread-plating on NFb (Hungria and Araujo 1994; Döbereiner et al. 1995) and RC (Cassán et al. 2010) solid media and adjusted to the concentration of 2 × 10⁸ CFU (colony forming units) ML⁻¹ in all three crop seasons.

Metabolites of *R. tropici* strain CIAT 899 enriched in LCOs were produced with a combination of procedures. Bacterium growth and extraction of the supernatant *n*-butanol were performed as described by Sanjuan et al. (1992). Purification was accomplished by solid-phase chromatography, with SPE C18 Resprep, Teknokroma column, concentration and lyophilization were performed as described by Soria-Díaz et al. (2003) and Guasch-Vidal (2011). Prior to sowing, lyophilized metabolites of *R. tropici* CIAT 899 were re-suspended in a mixture of acetonitrile and water (20%). The concentration was adjusted to 0.1 mL L⁻¹, corresponding to approximately 10⁻⁹ M. The metabolites were added to the inoculant containing *Azospirillum* at the time of inoculation, either when applied to the seeds, or by spraying.

#### Field experiments

**Sites description**

Six field experiments were conducted over a 3-year period, always in the summer crop season. Two experiments were conducted in 2012/2013, in Ponta Grossa, State of Paraná (southern region) and Cachoeira Dourada, State of Goiás (central-western region), two others in 2013/2014, in Rio Verde, State of Goiás (central-western region), and Maracaju, State of São Paulo (southeastern region), and two others in the crop season of 2014/2015, in Londrina, State of Paraná (southern region) and Ponta Grossa.

Ponta Grossa (25°13'S, 50°1'W) is at 880 m of altitude and has a Köppen-Geiger climate type Cfb (temperate with mild summer). Cachoeira Dourada (18°29'S; 49°28'W) is at 450 m of altitude and has a climate type Aw (tropical with dry season in the winter). Rio Verde (17°47'S; 50°54'W) is at 730 m altitude and has a climate type Aw, Maracaju (22°36'S; 50°40'O) is at 475 m and has a climate type Cfa (tropical humid with warm summer).
and Londrina (23°11′S, 51°11′W) is at 620 m altitude and has a climate type Cfa. The trials were performed on soils classified as Latossolo Vermelho Distrófico (Brazilian classification) (Typic Hapludox, USA Soil Taxonomy) (Ponta Grossa, Cachoeira Dourada, Rio Verde, Maracaju), and Latossolo Vermelho Eutróférico (Brazilian classification) (Rhodic Eutrudox, USA Soil Taxonomy) in Londrina.

At each site, 2 months before the experiments were established twenty soil samples (0–20 cm depth) were taken to evaluate chemical properties, granulometry and biological properties. For chemical analyses, the samples were previously dried (60 °C for 48 h), sieved (2 mm), and analyzed as described before; soil granulometry was also analyzed as described before (Hungria et al. 2010; Hungria et al. 2015a). Population of free-living diazotrophic bacteria was estimated by the NMP method with dilutions in NFb semi-solid medium (Hungria and Araujo 1994; Döbereiner et al. 1995). Soil properties are shown in Table 1.

About 50 days before starting the experiment, lime was applied to alleviate acidity when necessary, based on soil pH values. The amount of lime applied was estimated for a base saturation of 50 %, to increase the pH to 5.5 or higher.

Treatments, experimental design and field management

The maize hybrids used in the experiments were DOW 2B 707 HX (Dow AgroSciences) in 2012/2013, DKB-350-PRÓ (Dekalb) in 2013/2014, and DKB-350-PRÓ2 (Dekalb) in 2014/2015. Seeds were not surface disinfected.

Two methods for the inoculation with A. brasilense strains CNPSo 2083 and CNPSo 2084 were tested. The first method consisted of seed inoculation at sowing and the second of leaf spray with the same inoculant at the V3 stage (third leaf developed).

The experiments consisted of five treatments: (1) non-inoculated control (NI); (2) seed inoculation (SI) with Azospirillum at sowing; (3) SI + metabolites enriched with LCOs applied at sowing; (4) leaf spray inoculation (LSI) with Azospirillum strains at V3 stage; (5) LSI + enriched metabolites at the V3 stage.

Seed inoculation was performed at a rate of 100 mL 20 kg⁻¹ of seeds (2 × 10⁸ CFU mL⁻¹) while the spray inoculation was applied at a rate of 200 mL ha⁻¹ (2 × 10⁸ CFU mL⁻¹) diluted in 100 L of water; 20 kg of seeds give rise to a population of about 60,000 plants ha⁻¹. Enriched metabolites were prepared at a concentration of 0.1 mL L⁻¹ and mixed with the inoculant before application at a rate of 50 mL 20 kg⁻¹ of seeds when applied to the seeds and of 100 mL ha⁻¹ when sprayed.

As mentioned above, the main objective of our study was to verify the plant growth-promoting activity of the enriched bacterial metabolites. Therefore, all treatments received the same fertilization, consisting of 300 kg ha⁻¹ of a formulation of 08-20-20 (corresponding to 24 kg of N, 60 kg of P and 60 kg of K ha⁻¹) at sowing and a top-dressing fertilization at the V4 stage (four fully developed leaves), representing 75 % of the usual dose of N-fertilizer recommended for the crop in Brazil, corresponding to 90 kg N ha⁻¹ of urea (67.5 kg N ha⁻¹).

Plots measured 4.5 m (width) × 8 m (length) (=27 m²), with rows spaced by 0.9 m and plots were separated by 2 m terraces to prevent contamination by superficial runoff containing bacteria, metabolites or fertilizers. The experiments were set in a complete randomized block design with six replicates.

Cultural and phytosanitary managements followed the technical recommendations for the maize crop (Embrapa 2011). The experiments were not irrigated.

Plant sampling, harvesting and analyses

Between 29 and 57 days after sowing (DAS), depending on the climatic conditions, five plants were randomly collected from each plot to evaluate the performance at the vegetative growth. Shoots were washed and dried to constant weight at 50 °C for evaluation of shoot dry weight (SDW). Shoots were then ground (20 mesh) and total N was determined by sulfuric digestion followed by semimicro Kjeldahl distillation method, as described before (Hungria et al. 2015a).

At the time of physiological maturity, plant height (PH) was determined based on the average of six plants, and plant population was also estimated. Grain yields (kg ha⁻¹) were determined by harvesting a 6.3 m² area (0.9 m wide × 7 m long) from the central portion of each plot. Grains were cleaned and weighed, the humidity evaluated in a grain moisture tester and the content corrected to 13 % moisture. In 2013/2014 and 2014/2015 the N content of seeds was also determined, as described for shoots.

It is worth mentioning that all field experiments were performed according to the Brazilian legislation required for the registration of commercial inoculants or technologies of inoculation for plant growth-promoting bacteria (MAPA 2011).

Statistical analyses

Data from each experiment were first submitted to tests of normality and homogeneity of variances for each variable and then to the analysis of variance (ANOVA). When significant differences were detected by the F test, Duncan’s multiple-range test at p ≤ 0.05 and 0.10 (for inoculant products the Brazilian legislation accepts p ≤ 0.10; MAPA 2011) was used as a multiple comparisons procedure.
Table 1  Soil chemical properties, granulometry and population of free-living diazotrophic bacteria at the 0–20 cm layer of the field sites before sowing

| Site         | pH (CaCl₂) | Al (cmol_c dm⁻³) | H + Al (cmol_c dm⁻³) | K (cmol_c dm⁻³) | Ca (cmol_c dm⁻³) | Mg (cmol_c dm⁻³) | P (g dm⁻³) | C (g dm⁻³) | SB (cmol_c dm⁻³) | BS % | Granulometry (%) | MPNᵇ |
|--------------|------------|------------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------|-----------------|------|
|              |            |                  |                      |                |                |                |            |            |                |      | Clay | Silt | Sand | NMP soil g⁻¹ |
| 2012/2013    |            |                  |                      |                |                |                |            |            |                |      |      |      |      |             |
| Ponta Grossa | 4.60       | 0.26             | 3.07                 | 0.37           | 3.55           | 1.73           | 1.71       | 18.55      | 5.65           | 64.79| 58.4 | 15.7 | 25.9 | 1.5 x 10⁴ |
| Cachoeira    | 5.40       | 0.00             | 7.89                 | 0.15           | 2.02           | 1.30           | 0.80       | 30.50      | 3.47           | 30.54| 57.8 | 18.2 | 24.0 | 4.5 x 10⁶ |
| Dourada      |            |                  |                      |                |                |                |            |            |                |      |      |      |      |             |
| 2013/2014    |            |                  |                      |                |                |                |            |            |                |      |      |      |      |             |
| Rio Verde    | 5.02       | 0.10             | 3.03                 | 0.17           | 3.46           | 0.94           | 2.45       | 29.43      | 4.57           | 60.13| 36.3 | 9.6  | 54.1 | 2.5 x 10⁶ |
| Maracai      | 5.42       | 0.00             | 1.12                 | 0.05           | 1.20           | 0.34           | 6.57       | 4.88       | 1.59           | 58.67| 88   | 0.8  | 90.4 | 1.5 x 10⁶ |
| 2014/2015    |            |                  |                      |                |                |                |            |            |                |      |      |      |      |             |
| Londrina     | 5.20       | 0.00             | 3.67                 | 0.50           | 3.91           | 1.75           | 11.10      | 6.16       | 62.66          | 710  | 8.2  | 20.8 | 90  | 9 x 10⁶   |
| Ponta Grossa | 4.90       | 0.07             | 4.10                 | 0.44           | 2.95           | 1.06           | 25.70      | 18.00      | 4.45           | 52.05| 238  | 3.0  | 73.2 | 4.5 x 10⁶ |

SB: sum of bases, BS: bases saturation = [K + Ca + Mg]/Tₑₑₑ × 100, where Tₑₑₑ = K + Ca + Mg + total acidity at pH 7.0 (H + Al)

ᵇ Estimated by the most probable number method by dilutions and counts in semi-solid NFb medium
**Results**

In the 2012/2013 crop season, in Ponta Grossa, grain yield of maize plants inoculated with *A. brasilense* and supplied with enriched metabolites either at sowing or at the V3 stage was significantly higher than the other treatments (Table 2). In Cachoeira Dourada, shoot dry weight (SDW) and total N accumulated in shoots (TNS) were significantly increased when *Azospirillum* was inoculated on the seeds (Table 2). The supplementation with enriched metabolites associated to seed inoculation with *Azospirillum* seemed to improve the same parameters at this site, even though this treatment did not show significantly higher SDW than the other treatments (Table 2). In addition, in Cachoeira Dourada both treatments with *Azospirillum* inoculated on seeds along with enriched metabolites, and *Azospirillum*-inoculated by leaf spray added of enriched metabolites promoted higher yield than the other treatments (Table 2).

In 2013/2014, in Rio Verde, the supplementation of both treatments that received *Azospirillum* inoculation, either on seeds or by leaf spray, with enriched metabolites resulted in significant increases in the N content of the grains (TNG, Table 3). In Maracai, the best performance was achieved again in the treatment pulverized with *A. brasilense* supplied with enriched metabolites, resulting in greater yield, values of accumulation of N in grains (NG) and TNG, in general statistically higher than all other treatments (Table 3).

In Londrina, in 2014/2015, leaf spray with *Azospirillum* resulted in significant increases in SDW relative to the non-inoculated controls, either in the presence or in absence of enriched metabolites (Table 4). Seed inoculation resulted in increased grain yield relative to the non-inoculated control, but no further increases were observed when metabolites were added; in contrast, leaf spray inoculation only resulted in yield increases when supplemented with enriched metabolites (Table 4). In Ponta Grossa, the addition of the enriched metabolites to both inoculation with *Azospirillum* by seeds or leaf spray resulted in increased grain yield when compared to the non-inoculated control and to the treatments inoculated only with *Azospirillum* (Table 4).

**Discussion**

One of the main goals of new biotechnological products is to reduce the agricultural utilization of pesticides and/or chemical fertilizers, providing higher sustainability associated with enhanced environmental quality (Hameeda et al. 2006). In this study, we observed that when maize seeds were inoculated with *A. brasilense* strains CNPSO 2083 and CNPSO 2084, there were no increases in grain yield in the leaf spray treatment. When *Azospirillum* was applied on seeds, statistically significant increases were observed in two out of six experiments. Although this percentage is lower than usually reported (Okon and Labandera-Gonzalez 1994; Díaz-Zorita and

---

**Table 2** Effect of *Azospirillum brasilense* strains CNPSO 2083 and CNPSO 2084 and of enriched metabolites of *R. tropici* strain CIAT 899 applied to the seeds at sowing or by leaf spray at the V3 stage on plant growth (shoot dry weight, SDW; plant height, PH), shoot N (content [NS] and total N accumulated in shoots [TNS]) at 57 and 51 days after sowing (DAS), and grain (yield) at the physiological maturity of maize hybrid DOW 2B 707 HX.

| Treatment | Ponta Grossa | Cachoeira Dourada |
|-----------|--------------|------------------|
|           | 57 DAS       | 51 DAS           |
|           | SDW (g pl⁻¹) | PH (cm) | NS (g kg⁻¹) | TNS (mgN pl⁻¹) | Maturity Yield (kg ha⁻¹) | SDW (g pl⁻¹) | PH (cm) | N (g kg⁻¹) | TNS (mgN pl⁻¹) | Maturity Yield (kg ha⁻¹) |
| Non-inoculated control | 56.1ns | 252ns | 23.04ns | 1292ns | 8406 b | 33.1 c | 234ns | 21.16ns | 21.16ns | 700 b | 6310 b |
| Seed inoculated (*Azospirillum*) | 58.3 | 260 | 23.86 | 1391 | 8850 b | 44.1 a | 242 | 21.00 | 926 a | 6567 b |
| Seed inoculated (*Azospirillum* + enriched metabolites) | 52.7 | 253 | 22.64 | 1193 | 9225 a | 40.8 ab | 243 | 21.94 | 895 a | 7373 a |
| Leaf spray inoculation (*Azospirillum*) | 55.0 | 258 | 22.45 | 1235 | 8567 b | 36.9 bc | 241 | 21.10 | 779 b | 6543 b |
| Leaf spray inoculation (*Azospirillum* + enriched metabolites) | 55.2 | 256 | 22.71 | 1254 | 9256 a | 36.4 bc | 238 | 21.06 | 766 b | 7286 a |

Field experiments performed in Ponta Grossa and Cachoeira Dourada, Brazil, in the summer crop season of 2012/2013. Means (n = 6) on the same column which are followed by different letters are significantly different (p ≤ 0.10, Duncan test)

n.s. statistically non-significant
Table 3  Effect of *Azospirillum brasilense* strains CNPSo 2083 and CNPSo 2084 and of enriched metabolites of *R. tropici* strain CIAT 899 enriched in LCOs applied to the seeds at sowing or by leaf spray at the V3 stage on plant growth (shoot dry weight, SDW; plant height, PH), shoot N (content [NS] and total N accumulated in shoots [TNS]) at 32 and 42 days after sowing (DAS), and grain parameters (yield, N content in grains [NG] and total N accumulated in grains [TNG]) in maize hybrid DKB-350-PRÔ.

| Treatment                                      | Rio Verde | Maracai |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------|---------|
|                                                 | 32 DAS    | 42 DAS  |
|                                                 | SDW (g pl⁻¹) | PH (cm) | NS (g kg⁻¹) | TNS (mgN pl⁻¹) | Yield (kg ha⁻¹) | NG (g kg⁻¹) | TNS (mgN pl⁻¹) | Yield (kg ha⁻¹) | NG (g kg⁻¹) | TNS (mgN pl⁻¹) |
| Non-inoculated control                         | 13.0 NS   | 176 NS  | 25.27 NS  | 328 NS        | 6465 NS | 11.77 NS  | 76 b         | 40.3 NS   | 153 NS  | 22.49 NS  | 806 b        | 14.13 bc | 44 c      |
| Seed inoculated *(Azospirillum)*               | 12.1      | 174     | 26.74     | 324           | 6758    | 11.23     | 76 b         | 40.4      | 149     | 23.41     | 946 a        | 15.41 ab | 54 b      |
| Seed inoculated *(Azospirillum + enriched metabolites)* | 11.9      | 175     | 26.81     | 320           | 6769    | 13.93     | 94 a         | 40.8      | 151     | 23.35     | 953 a        | 14.41 abc | 53 b      |
| Leaf spray inoculation *(Azospirillum)*        | 11.8      | 176     | 28.08     | 331           | 6621    | 11.12     | 74 b         | 40.7      | 150     | 23.31     | 948 a        | 13.59 c  | 44 c      |
| Leaf spray inoculation *(Azospirillum + enriched metabolites)* | 11.9      | 174     | 28.18     | 335           | 6792    | 13.92     | 94 a         | 43.7      | 149     | 23.39     | 1022 a       | 15.79 a  | 62 a      |

Field experiments performed in Rio Verde and Maracai in the summer crop season of 2013/2014. Means (n = 6) on the same column which are followed by different letters are significantly different (p ≤ 0.10, Duncan test). n.s statistically non-significant.
Table 4  Effect of *Azospirillum brasilense* strains CNPSo 2083 and CNPSo 2084 and of metabolites of *R. tropici* strain CIAT 899 enriched with LCOs applied to the seeds at sowing or by leaf spray at the V3 stage on plant growth (shoot dry weight, SDW; plant height, PH), shoot N (content [NS] and total N accumulated in shoots [TNS]) at 32 and 42 days after sowing (DAS), and grain parameters (yield, N content in grains [NG] and total N accumulated in grains [TNG]) in maize hybrid DKB-350-PRÓ2

| Treatment                                      | Londrina 29 DAS | Maturity | Ponta Grossa 53 DAS | Maturity |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------|---------------------|----------|
|                                                |                 |          |                     |          |
| Non-inoculated control                        |                 |          |                     |          |
| SDW (g pl⁻¹)                                  | 7.5 b           | 233ns    | 31.78ns             | 238ns    |
| PH (cm)                                       |                 |          |                     |          |
| NS (g kg⁻¹)                                   |                 |          |                     |          |
| TNS (mgN pl⁻¹)                                |                 |          |                     |          |
| Yield (kg ha⁻¹)                               | 8624 b          | 15.47ns  | 133 c               |          |
| NG (g kg⁻¹)                                   |                 |          |                     |          |
| TNG (mgN pl⁻¹)                                |                 |          |                     |          |
|                                              |                 |          |                     |          |
| Seed inoculated *(Azospirillum)*               |                 |          |                     |          |
| SDW (g pl⁻¹)                                  | 7.9 ab          | 236      | 31.32               | 247      |
| PH (cm)                                       |                 |          |                     |          |
| NS (g kg⁻¹)                                   |                 |          |                     |          |
| TNS (mgN pl⁻¹)                                |                 |          |                     |          |
| Yield (kg ha⁻¹)                               | 9472 a          | 15.76    | 149 abc             |          |
| NG (g kg⁻¹)                                   |                 |          |                     |          |
| TNG (mgN pl⁻¹)                                |                 |          |                     |          |
|                                              |                 |          |                     |          |
| Seed inoculated *(Azospirillum + enriched metabolites)* |                 |          |                     |          |
| SDW (g pl⁻¹)                                  | 7.8 ab          | 239      | 32.17               | 251      |
| PH (cm)                                       |                 |          |                     |          |
| NS (g kg⁻¹)                                   |                 |          |                     |          |
| TNS (mgN pl⁻¹)                                |                 |          |                     |          |
| Yield (kg ha⁻¹)                               | 9581 a          | 15.78    | 151 ab              |          |
| NG (g kg⁻¹)                                   |                 |          |                     |          |
| TNG (mgN pl⁻¹)                                |                 |          |                     |          |
|                                              |                 |          |                     |          |
| Leaf spray inoculation *(Azospirillum)*        |                 |          |                     |          |
| SDW (g pl⁻¹)                                  | 8.3 a           | 228      | 32.32               | 268      |
| PH (cm)                                       |                 |          |                     |          |
| NS (g kg⁻¹)                                   |                 |          |                     |          |
| TNS (mgN pl⁻¹)                                |                 |          |                     |          |
| Yield (kg ha⁻¹)                               | 8815 b          | 15.43    | 136 bc              |          |
| NG (g kg⁻¹)                                   |                 |          |                     |          |
| TNG (mgN pl⁻¹)                                |                 |          |                     |          |
|                                              |                 |          |                     |          |
| Leaf spray inoculation *(Azospirillum + enriched metabolites)* |                 |          |                     |          |
| SDW (g pl⁻¹)                                  | 8.3 a           | 238      | 32.43               | 269      |
| PH (cm)                                       |                 |          |                     |          |
| NS (g kg⁻¹)                                   |                 |          |                     |          |
| TNS (mgN pl⁻¹)                                |                 |          |                     |          |
| Yield (kg ha⁻¹)                               | 9426 a          | 16.50    | 156 a               |          |
| NG (g kg⁻¹)                                   |                 |          |                     |          |
| TNG (mgN pl⁻¹)                                |                 |          |                     |          |

Field experiments performed in Londrina and Ponta Grossa in the summer crop season of 2014/2015. Means (n = 6) on the same column which are followed by different letters are significantly different (p ≤ 0.10, Duncan test)

* n.s statistically non-significant
Fernandez-Canigia 2009; Hungria et al. 2010), increments in yield were observed in all trials, and when a combined analysis was performed, there was a statistically significant gain of 358 kg ha⁻¹ in relation to the non-inoculated treatment. However, when the Azospirillum inoculant was supplemented with LCO-enriched metabolites from R. tropici strain CIAT 899, either by seed inoculation or by leaf spray, statistically significant increases in grain yield in comparison to the non-inoculated control were observed in five out of six field experiments, and when compared to the single inoculation with Azospirillum, in three and five out of six experiments for seeds and leaf spray, respectively.

The beneficial relationships between PGPB such as Azospirillum, and several plant species have been previously described (Okon, and Labandera-Gonzalez 1994; Bashan and de Bashan 2010; Cassán et al. 2013). Field experiments have shown increases in grain yield ranging from 5 to 75 % (Okon and Labandera-Gonzalez 1994; Fuentes-Ramirez and Caballero-Mellado 2005; Castro-Sowinski et al. 2007; Rodrigues et al. 2008; Hungria et al. 2010). These increases are commonly attributed to root growth promotion, accomplished by phytohormones produced by the bacteria, with an emphasis on indole acetic acid, gibberellins and cytokinins (Tien et al. 1979). Moreover, it is inferred that the application of Azospirillum is also responsible for higher rates of absorption of water and minerals by the plant (Okon, and Kapulnik 1986; Dardanelli et al. 2008) and higher tolerance to abiotic stresses, such as drought and salinity (Cassán et al. 2009; Zawonski et al. 2011).

The relationship between different soil microorganisms and the role of metabolites secreted by them on growth of other surrounding microbial species and plants has been the subject of numerous studies. For example, Massoud et al. (2009) studied the effects of the combined inoculation of mycorrhizal fungi, Bacillus circulans, Rhizobium sp., Azospirillum lipoferum, Azotobacter chroococcum and mineral rocks on common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) plants. The inoculum consortium promoted higher nitrogenase activity and increased the availability of macronutrients, besides promoting plant growth, resulting in increased yield in comparison to the single inoculation (Massoud et al. 2009). The authors attributed these results at least partially to the exudation of beneficial molecules by the microorganisms (Massoud et al. 2009). The positive effects of molecules such as LCOs, exopolysaccharides (EPSs), and plant hormones on plant growth (hosts or non-hosts) may be associated with increased survival and capacity of plant infection by beneficial rhizospheric bacteria and fungi and/or with plant growth promotion (Marks et al. 2013). In a study with the legume model Medicago truncatula, application of LCOs of Sinorhizobium meliloti facilitated root infection by mycorrhizal fungi and stimulated lateral root hair development (Olah et al. 2005). It is possible that LCOs, although produced by rhizobia, have a direct influence on the rhizospheric microbial community by influencing interactions among microorganisms and promoting plant growth, including growth of non-host plants.

The LCOs secreted by rhizobia are described as responsible for several physiological modifications in the root hairs of legumes. Such changes include alterations in ion flux, membrane depolarization of root cells, intra and extracellular alkalization, synthesis of phosphatidic acid and diacylglycerol, accumulation of reactive oxygen species, root hair deformations involving changes in actin cytoskeleton, cell division activation and induction of the expression of genes involved in nodulation (Mulder et al. 2006; Cooper 2007). All these changes allow the rhizobia to invade the host plant, leading to the formation of nodule primordia (Riely et al. 2004), and therefore, LCOs would behave as mitogenic and morphogenic agents (Relic et al. 1993). However, intriguingly, LCOs have also been described as growth regulators of a wide variety of non-leguminous plants (Prithivira et al. 2003), inducing various physiological responses (Souleimanov et al. 2002), as increased seed germination, lateral root development and nutrient uptake (Smith et al. 2015). The study by Relic et al. (1993) supports the hypothesis that the LCOs may act as plant hormones when applied to non-host plants. Previous studies with cells and plants of tobacco (Nicotiana sp.) (Baier et al. 1999), tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.) (Staehelin et al. 1994) and carrot (Daucus carota L.) (De Jong et al. 1993) have shown that LCOs are activators of cell division and embryonic development of non-host plants.

Marks et al. (2013) observed, in previous field experiments, an 11.4 % increase in the grain yield of maize inoculated with the same strains of A. brasilense and supplied with concentrated metabolites of R. tropici that included LCOs. Although the mechanisms responsible for the benefits of LCOs in non-leguminous are not fully understood, the application of such molecules must somehow modify the hormone balance, affecting plant growth and development (Souleimanov et al. 2002). The most effective contribution of LCOs to non-leguminous plants might be the stimulation of root development, increasing the absorption of water and nutrients and resulting in improved plant growth and yields (Smith et al. 2015). In the field experiments performed in our study, the application of LCOs-rich rhizobial metabolites seems to have affected the N metabolism, increasing the N content of shoots and grains, and also influencing grain yield.

Our results have also shown that the application of LCOs-rich rhizobial metabolites by leaf spray resulted
in higher grain yields. Khan (2003) also observed that the leaf application of LCOs in maize stimulated photosynthesis, increased leaf area and shoot dry weight. In another study, Chen et al. (2006) applied LCOs of Bradyrhizobium japonicum to tomato leaves and observed the anticipation of flowering and fruiting and an increase in the number and weight of fruits under greenhouse conditions, as well as a 30 % increase in the number and fruit weight in a field experiment. The benefits of LCOs leaf spray can be attributed to the fact that these molecules indirectly affect the photosynthesis and accelerate growth, probably by the stimulation of mitotic activity in meristematic tissue of leaves (Khan et al. 2008). It can also be inferred that the foliar application of LCOs promotes the suppression of innate immune responses, which possibly facilitates the microbial interactions, such as the invasion and colonization by endophytic bacteria (Liang et al. 2013).

The rationale of the utilization of metabolites of R. tropici CIAT 899 enriched on LCOs was based on some interesting properties of this strain, which produces a broad variety of LCOs, even in the absence of inducing flavonoids, when subjected to abiotic stresses such as acidity (Morón et al. 2005) and salinity (Estévez et al. 2009; del Cerro et al. 2015a, b). R. tropici carries five copies of nod gene (Ormeño-Orrillo et al. 2012) and, recently, the synthesis of several LCOs structures related to nodD1, nodD2, nodD3, nodD4 and nodD5 genes has been elucidated (del Cerro et al. 2015a, b). The production of a large variety of LCOs by R. tropici CIAT 899 may represent a strategy for nodulation of several host plants under various environmental stressful conditions (Liang et al. 2013; del Cerro et al. 2015a, b). Consequently, it is possible that these LCOs also favor the systemic resistance, particularly in leaf spray, giving greater vigor to the plants, and resulting in increases in crops yields. Therefore, it is likely that LCOs have a broad spectrum of action in regulating plant growth, in addition to its primary function in nodulation of legumes.

The results of our study reveal the biotechnological potential of adding microbial metabolites, in our case rhizobial metabolites enriched with LCOs to products for leaf spray and seed inoculation of non-leguminous plants, such as maize. This knowledge can be applied to the improvement of commercial products, taking into account the need for developing a new generation of inoculants carrying microorganisms and microbial metabolites.
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