Discrimination of $\alpha$ and $\beta/\gamma$ interactions in a TeO$_2$ bolometer
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Abstract

TeO$_2$ crystals have proven to be superb bolometers for the search of neutrinoless double beta decay in many respects. However, if used alone, they do not exhibit any feature that allows to discriminate an $\alpha$ energy deposit from a $\beta/\gamma$ one. This fact limits their ability to reject the background due to natural radioactivity and eventually affects the sensitivity of the search. In this paper we show the results of a TeO$_2$ crystal where, in coincidence with its bolometric heat signal, also the luminescence light escaping the crystal is recorded. The results show that we are able to measure the light produced by $\beta/\gamma$ particles, which can be explained as due to Cerenkov emission. No light is detected from $\alpha$ particles, allowing the rejection of this background source.
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1. Introduction

Mysteries about neutrinos are several and of different nature. We know that they are neutral particles with an extraordinary little mass compared to the one of all the other particles. Although they are massive, we have not succeeded yet in measuring their mass. We do not know if the neutrino is a particle different from its antiparticle or rather, as hypothesized by Majorana [1], they are the same particle. Majorana observed that the minimal description of spin 1/2 particles involves only two degrees of freedom and that such a particle, absolutely neutral, coincides with its antiparticle. If the Majorana conjecture holds, then it can be possible to observe an extremely rare process called neutrinoless double beta decay ($0\nu$DBD) [2]. In the $0\nu$DBD a nucleus decays into another nucleus emitting two electrons and no anti-neutrino, thus violating the total lepton number. The decay is mediated by the exchange between two $\beta$ vertexes of a neutrino, which controls the decay rate through its mass ($m_{\beta\beta}$).

The signature of this process is a monochromatic line at the Q-value of the decay in the sum energy spectrum of the two electrons. The sensitivity of an experiment to the $0\nu$DBD half-life goes as:

$$S \propto \epsilon \sqrt{\frac{M t}{B \Delta E}}$$

(1)

It is clear that increasing the isotopic abundance ($a$) and the efficiency of the signal ($\epsilon$) will end up in a linear gain on the sensitivity. Detector mass ($M$) and time ($t$), on the other hand, contribute only as the square root, as well as background level ($B$) and energy resolution ($\Delta E$). The experimental investigation of this process definitely requires a large amount of DBD emitter, in ultra-low background detectors with the capability of selecting reliably the signal from the background. The bolometric technique offers several advantages and it has been demonstrated viable by the CUORICINO [3] detector in such a convincing way that a 1-ton scale experiment, CUORE [4], is now in construction. Bolometers are low-temperature-operated particle detectors which provide superior energy resolution, lower energy thresholds and broader material choice than any other conventional device. They can be thought as perfect calorimeters, able to fully thermalize the energy released by a particle. Up to now, the choice for bolometers as $0\nu$DBD detectors has fallen on natural TeO$_2$ crystals that have very good mechanical and thermal properties together with a very large content of the candidate isotope $^{130}$Te (34.2% isotopic abundance [5]). CUORE will be made of 988 TeO$_2$ bolometers of 750 g each, featuring an energy resolution $\Delta E$ of 5 keV FWHM at the Q-value of the decay, which is around 2527 keV [6].

Bolometer-based $0\nu$DBD searches require however extremely low levels of background. Even if the background arising from radioactive contaminants in the bolometers themselves is reduced drastically, there is still the problem...
of the surrounding materials. Surface contamination is of particular concern. α particles, arising from radioactive contaminations located on the surfaces of the detector or of passive elements facing them, can lose part of their energy in a few microns and deposit the rest in a detector. This produces an essentially flat background that affects also the Region of Interest (ROI) around the 0νDBD Q-value.  

The expected background in CUORE is about 0.001 counts/(keV kg y) from β/γ interactions ($B_{\beta/\gamma}$) and between 0.01 and 0.04 counts/(keV kg y) from α’s ($B_{\alpha}$). Because of the α background the 1-σ sensitivity of CUORE to 0νDBD, assuming 5 y of data taking, is limited to 

$$S_{CUORE} \sim 1 - 2 \cdot 10^{26} \text{ y}.$$  

To cover the entire space of the parameters allowed for the inverted hierarchy of neutrino masses ($m_{\beta\beta} > 20 \text{ meV}$), the sensitivity must be at least $15 \cdot 10^{26} \text{ y}$, estimate obtained by averaging the Nuclear Matrix Elements of Ref. [10]. Although TeO$_2$ crystals are extremely good bolometers, the shape of the bolometric response does not allow any discrimination of α particle signals with respect to β/γ’s ones. The natural way to discriminate this background would be to use a scintillating bolometer. In such a device the simultaneous and independent readout of the heat and the scintillation light permits to discriminate events due to β/γ, α and neutrons thanks to their different scintillation yield. For what is known to date, at bolometric temperatures (10 mK), TeO$_2$ crystals do not scintillate. The advantage, however, offered by this material in terms of bolometric performances and natural isotopic abundance are a strong motivation to pursue another, even if extremely challenging, option: the readout of the Čerenkov light emitted in β/γ interactions (and not in α ones). This possibility has been pointed out in Ref. [11] and this phenomenon may explain what has been reported in Ref. [12]. The Čerenkov light emitted in visible wavelengths is predicted to be about 350 eV at the 0νDBD Q-value (2.5 MeV). This value is extremely low compared to usual scintillating bolometers, which emit tens of keV at the same energy.  

In this paper we report the observation of light emitted by electrons in a TeO$_2$ bolometer, which allows the discrimination of β/γ interactions from α interactions. The amount of light is compatible with the Čerenkov emission, even though the scintillation hypothesis cannot be discarded. The outline of this paper is the following: in Section 2 the experimental set-up is described, in Section 3 the data analysis is presented, in Section 4 the results are given and in Section 5 their potential impact on future experiments is discussed.

2. Experimental set-up

We operated as bolometer a 3.0×2.4×2.8 cm$^3$ TeO$_2$ crystal, whose weight was 116.65 g. The crystal was doped with natural samarium, which contains 15% of $^{147}$Sm, a long living isotope ($T_{1/2} = 1.07 \times 10^{11}$ y [13]) that undergoes α decay with a Q-value of 2310±1 keV [14]. This decay allowed a direct analysis of the behavior of α’s in an energy region close to the 0νDBD. The details about the crystal growth and the doping process can be found in Ref. [15].

To detect light, we faced to the TeO$_2$ crystal a high sensitivity dark bolometer [12]. The light detector (LD) consisted of a 66 mm diameter 1 mm thick pure Ge crystal, covered with a 600 A layer of SiO$_2$ to ensure good light absorption. A reflecting foil (3M VM2002) was placed around the TeO$_2$ crystal to enhance the light collection.

The temperature sensor of the TeO$_2$ crystal was a Neutron Transmutation Doped (NTD) germanium thermistor [17] of 3×3×1 mm$^3$, thermally coupled to the crystal surface by means of 9 epoxy glue spots of about 0.6 mm diameter and 50 µm height. The LD was equipped with two NTD-Ge thermistors of 3×1.5×0.4 mm$^3$, labeled as L1 and L2. The TeO$_2$ crystal and the LD were held in a copper structure by Teflon (PTFE) supports, thermally coupled to the mixing chamber of a dilution refrigerator which kept the system at a temperature of about 10 mK. The detector was operated deep underground in the Gran Sasso National Laboratories in Italy, in the CUORE R&D cryostat. One of the purposes of the test was to assess the performances of light detectors for the LUCIFER R&D on scintillating bolometers [18].

The read-out of the thermistors was performed via a cold pre-amplifier stage, located inside the cryostat, and a second amplification stage, located on the top of the cryostat at room temperature. After the second stage, the signals were filtered by means of an anti-aliasing 6-pole active Bessel filter (120 db/decade), and then fed into a NI PXI-6284 analog-to-digital converter operating at a sampling frequency of 2 kHz. The Bessel cutoff was set at 120 Hz on L1 and L2, and at 80 Hz on the TeO$_2$. The details of the cryogenic facility and of the electronics can be found in [19, 20, 21].

The trigger was software generated on each bolometer. When it fired, waveforms 2 s long were saved on disk. If the trigger fired on the TeO$_2$, waveforms from L1 and L2 were anyhow saved, irrespective of their trigger. To maximize the signal to noise ratio, waveforms were processed offline with the optimum filter algorithm [22]. The main parameters of the bolometers are reported in Tab. [10].

3. Data collection and analysis

We collected 18.5 days of data. The detector was exposed to a $^{232}$Th source placed outside the cryostat for 7.7
in Fig. 1. The peak at 2310 keV corresponds to the data) and without the source (background data) are shown to perform the energy calibration of the TeO$_2$ crystal. As said previously, the energy released in the TeO$_2$, in fact, we measured a constant pedestal at about 350 eV.

To increase the sensitivity of the LD we developed a new method which leads to a lowering of the energy threshold by about a factor 4 with respect to the maximum search algorithm [22]. The method can be summarized as follows. Both TeO$_2$ and LD bolometers respond in milliseconds, a time which is orders of magnitude greater than that of the process of light emission. The time delay between the two signals depends only on the differences between the thermal and electronic responses of the two bolometers, and therefore is fixed. Instead of looking for a maximum, we take the value of the LD filtered waveform at a fixed time delay with respect to the signal found on the TeO$_2$. To estimate the time delay, we selected events generated by particles traversing at the same time the LD and the TeO$_2$. In these events the signals are greater than 200 keV on both bolometers, allowing an easy identification of the pulses.

### Table 1: Parameters of the bolometers. Amplitude of the signal before amplification ($A_S$), intrinsic energy resolution after the application of the optimum filter ($\sigma$), rise ($\tau_r$) and decay ($\tau_d$) times of the pulses (see text).

|          | $A_S$ [μV/MeV] | $\sigma$ [keV RMS] | $\tau_r$ [ms] | $\tau_d$ [ms] |
|----------|----------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|
| TeO$_2$:Sm | 43             | 1.31              | 15            | 116           |
| Ge (L1)  | $1.1 \times 10^3$ | 0.127             | 3             | 5             |
| Ge (L2)  | $2.3 \times 10^3$ | 0.097             | 3             | 10            |

![Energy spectrum measured with the TeO$_2$ bolometer. See description in the text.](image)

4. Results

We use the calibration data to estimate the light emitted from $\gamma$ interactions, and the background data to estimate the light from $\alpha$ interactions. The distributions of the light measured using thermistor L2 for the two peaks close to the 0νDBD energy, the $\gamma$-ray at 2615 keV and the $\alpha$ decay at 2310 keV, are shown in Fig. 2. The aver-

![Distribution of the light emitted from 2310 keV $\alpha$ decays (top) and 2615 keV $\gamma$-ray interactions (bottom), fitted with a Gaussian function $A \cdot \exp[-(E_{L2} - \text{mean})^2/2\sigma^2]$. Data from thermistor L2.](image)
age energy is 195 ± 7 eV for the γ-ray and 4 ± 4 eV for the α decay, and the resolutions are compatible with the intrinsic resolutions reported in Tab. \ref{tab:intrinsic_res}.

The results obtained using thermistor L1 in place of L2 are similar, even though the energy resolution of L1 is worse by approximately 30%. The correlation between the energies measured by the two thermistors is negligible (Fig. \ref{fig:correlation}), implying that the resolution is dominated by the noise from some component of the read-out system and not by the germanium slab. To improve the energy resolution, we combine the energies measured by the two thermistors into an unique energy estimator:

\[ E_L = \frac{w_1 E_{L1} + w_2 E_{L2}}{w_1 + w_2}, \]  

where \( w_{1,2} = 1/\sigma_{1,2}^2 \). The resolution on the α peak improves from 103 ± 4 eV to 84 ± 3 eV (Fig. \ref{fig:energy_resolution}).

The distribution of \( E_L \) versus particle energy is shown in Fig. \ref{fig:energy_spectrum}. The peaks in the background data (top) and in the calibration data (middle) are used to estimate the light emitted by γ interactions and α decays, respectively. We estimate the average light of each peak, \( < E_L > \), by means of Gaussian fits, fixing the variance of the Gaussian to the value estimated on the \(^{147}\text{Sm} \) peak, and we perform separate linear fits for α’s and γ’s to the distribution of \( < E_L > \) versus energy (bottom of the figure):

\[ < E_L > = a + b \cdot \text{Energy} \]  

Table 2: Parameters of the linear fits to the \( γ \) and α distributions in Fig. \ref{fig:energy_spectrum} (bottom). Intercept (a), slope (b), correlation between a and b (\( \rho_{ab} \)), and \( \chi^2/\text{ndf} \).

|     | a [eV] | b [eV/MeV] | \( \rho_{ab} \) [%] | \( \chi^2/\text{ndf} \) |
|-----|--------|------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| α   | -6 ± 9 | 6 ± 3      | -93                 | 1/1                       |
| γ   | -13 ± 2| 73 ± 2     | -76                 | 11/7                      |

The results of the linear fits are reported in Tab. \ref{tab:linear_fits}. The value of \( b_α \) is compatible with zero within two standard deviations, which is expected if the light we detect from γ interactions is due to Cerenkov radiation. The line interpolating the γ’s indicates that the 2615 keV DE peak, which is actually due to \( β^-β^+ \) interactions, behaves like γ peaks. From the line parameters we evaluate the threshold on the particle energy, \( E_{th} = -a_γ/b_γ \), to be 171 ± 23 keV.

Although the energy threshold for Cerenkov emission by an electron in TeO\(_2\) is about 50 keV, the value we measure is compatible with the fact that in γ interactions multiple electrons are produced. The scintillation hypothesis, however, cannot be ruled out completely, since we cannot measure the wavelength spectrum, nor the time characteristics of the light signal. Moreover the light from α’s (if any) could be even smaller than what we measure, since cross talks in the electronics cannot be excluded at this level of precision.
5. Perspectives

We study the improvements that can be obtained with an experiment like CUORE, but equipped with light detectors. The light emitted from $\alpha$’s is considered null independently of the particle energy. The light emitted from $\beta/\gamma$’s follows Eq. [4], which implies for a $\beta/\gamma$ with 0vDBD energy $< E_{\nu}^{0v} > = 173$ eV. By applying a threshold $T$ on the detected light ($E_L > T$), the $\alpha$ background is reduced by a factor $\epsilon_\alpha$. The threshold affects also the 0vDBD signal and the $\beta/\gamma$ background, reducing them by a different factor, $\epsilon_{\beta\gamma}$. The sensitivity of the experiment is then modified as follows:

$$S(T) = S_{CUORE}^{\beta\gamma}(T) \sqrt{\frac{B_\alpha + B_{\beta\gamma}}{\epsilon_\alpha(T) B_\alpha + \epsilon_{\beta\gamma}(T) B_{\beta\gamma}}}.$$  (5)

The value of $T$ which maximizes $S$, assuming $B_\alpha = 0.01$ and $B_{\beta\gamma} = 0.001$ counts/(keV kg y), is found to be 148 eV, corresponding to $S_{max} = 4.0 \cdot 10^{26}$ y.

We define the separation of the 0vDBD from $\alpha$’s as the distance of $< E_{\nu}^{0v} >$ from zero energy in units of the energy resolution. In Fig. 6 we show $S_{max}$ as a function of the separation for an experiment equal to CUORE, but equipped with light detectors, for different values of the $\alpha$ background. The separation obtained in this work is 173/84 = 2.1 $\sigma$. The sensitivity plateau is reached when the separation is greater than 4 $\sigma$, i.e. about a factor 2 higher than the present work. The sensitivity would then be from 3 to 6 times higher than in CUORE, depending on the amount $\alpha$ background that will be present. The separation can be improved by reducing the noise, which seems dominated by the read-out of the bolometer (thermistors, cables or electronics). It can also be improved by increasing the efficiency of light collection. If the Cerenkov hypothesis is confirmed, in fact, about the 45% of the light is emitted in the UV range, a region where the reflectivity of the foil we used (VM2002 from 3M) is less than 30%. Wide band reflectors, like PTFE and aluminium, should substantially increase the amount of light detected.

If this technique could be complemented with a 95% enrichment of the crystals in $^{130}$Te, an additional factor 3 could be gained, projecting the final sensitivity to the desired value of 15 · 10$^{26}$ y, capable of probing $m_{\beta\beta}$ as low as 20 meV.

6. Acknowledgments

The project LUCIFER has received funding from the European Research Council under the European Unions Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) / ERC grant agreement n. 247115.

References

[1] E. Majorana, Il Nuovo Cimento 14 171 (1937).

---

Figure 6: 1-$\sigma$ sensitivity to the 0vDBD half-life as a function of the separation between $\beta/\gamma$’s and $\alpha$’s. The $\alpha$ background is within 0.04 and 0.01 counts/(keV kg y) and we make the hypothesis that the $\beta/\gamma$ background is 0.001 counts/(keV kg y). The sensitivity of CUORE corresponds to zero separation, while the separation achieved in this work is 2.1 $\sigma$.