The general theory of secondary weak gravitational lensing
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Abstract. Weak gravitational lensing is normally assumed to have only two principle effects: a magnification of a source and a distortion of the sources shape in the form of a shear. However, further distortions are actually present owing to changes in the gravitational field across the scale of the ray bundle of light propagating to us, resulting in the familiar arcs in lensed images. This is normally called the flexion, and is approximated by Taylor expanding the shear and magnification across the image plane. However, the physical origin of this effect arises from higher-order corrections in the geodesic deviation equation governing the gravitational force between neighbouring geodesics — so involves derivatives of the Riemann tensor. We show that integrating the second-order geodesic deviation equation results in a ‘Hessian map’ for gravitational lensing, which is a higher-order addition to the Jacobi map. We derive the general form of the Hessian map in an arbitrary spacetime paying particular attention to the separate effects of local Ricci versus non-local Weyl curvature. We then specialise to the case of a perturbed FLRW model, and give the general form of the Hessian for the first time. This has a host of new contributions which could in principle be used as tests for modified gravity.
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1 Introduction and overview

Weak gravitational lensing is becoming an important cosmological probe. The usual weak gravitational lensing theory depicts that a lens induces a convergence (a spin 0 mode) and a shear (a spin 2 mode) to a source lying behind it. There are two ways to describe this for a given mass distribution: one is to calculate all the null geodesics converging at an observer, \( k^a \), and examine the output. Another is to calculate the propagation of a geodesic deviation vector, \( \xi^a \), and examine the invariant moments of the resulting image distortion. This results in the Jacobi map between a source and an image [1, 2]. The ‘weak lensing’ calculation route typically takes the second option, as it produces accurate results easily and intuitively. The computation of the convergence and shear is achieved from the geodesic deviation equation which is linear in the deviation vector:\(^1\)

\[
\ddot{\xi}^a + R^a_{\ bkk} \xi^b = 0.
\]  

Yet progressively stronger lensing events produce arcs and other more complicated distortions which cannot be captured by a simple convergence plus shear distortion. How can these be described within the weak lensing formalism?

The geodesic deviation equation is of course linear by construction: the r.h.s. of (1.1) should read \( O(\xi, \dot{\xi})^2 \), for the terms that are ignored. More complicated lensing events can therefore be described by examining this equation to higher order. Up to second-order in \( \xi, \dot{\xi} \) we have the Bazanski equation [3–6]:

\[
\dddot{\xi}^a + R^a_{\ bkk} \dot{\xi}^b + \nabla_{(k} R^a_{\ b)} c k \xi^b \xi^c + 2 R^a_{\ bck} \dot{\xi}^b \dot{\xi}^c = O(\xi, \dot{\xi})^3.
\]
Naturally, derivatives of Riemann induce higher-order changes in the deviation vector. In this paper we extend the general weak lensing formalism to include all second-order corrections in the deviation vector. We aim to give the general solution to the Bazanski equation in an arbitrary spacetime, in terms of a ‘Hessian map’ $\mathcal{H}_{ABC}$, which is the higher-order equivalent of the Jacobi map $\mathcal{J}_{AB}$:

$$\xi_A = \mathcal{J}_{AB} \xi^B + \mathcal{H}_{ABC} \xi^B \xi^C$$

(1.3)

where $\zeta^A$ is an angle between neighbouring rays at the observer, and $\xi^A$ is the same at the source. The Jacobi map is a rank 2 tensor in the image plane and, as such, has two spin 0 (a convergence from the trace and a rotation from the anti-symmetric part) and spin 2 degree of freedom (the shear from the trace-free symmetric part). The Hessian map is a rank 3 tensor in the image plane, has a symmetry on two indices, so can be irreducibly decomposed into two vectors and a symmetric trace-free rank 3 tensor. In general, these correspond to two spin 1 modes, and a spin 3 mode (each having two polarisations).

Secondary lensing effects have been considered in the past, and generically go under the name ‘flexion’, describing classic arc shaped images, though there are other effects from secondary lensing [7–26]. In these works, the flexion has been derived by Taylor expanding the amplification matrix (which is usually the linearisation of the Jacobi map about an FLRW background) across the image plane. In the notation above, this implies

$$\mathcal{H}_{ABC} \sim \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{(C} \mathcal{J}_{|A|B)} .$$

(1.4)

This gives an approximation to the full Hessian, involving screen derivatives of the convergence and shear. These are in fact the leading contributions in most situations, because they capture the changing gravitational field across the image plane, integrated along the line of sight.

The leading contribution to $\mathcal{H}_{ABC}$ in a perturbed Minkowski background can be derived easily. The leading term is the one with the largest number of screen-space derivatives, and comes from the third term in (1.2)

$$\nabla (k R^a_{bck}) \xi^c \xi^b \sim \frac{1}{2} \xi^b c \xi^c \nabla_b R^a_{ck} \sim \frac{1}{2} \xi^b c \xi^c \delta_b \delta_c \partial^a \Gamma^a_{kk} \sim -\frac{1}{4} \xi^b c \xi^c \partial_b \partial_c \partial^a \Phi ,$$

(1.5)

where all terms without 3 screen space derivatives have been ignored. Integrating and projecting everything into the screen space then gives

$$\mathcal{H}_{ABC} \sim \chi^2 \nabla_A \nabla_B \nabla_C \int_0^\chi d\chi' \frac{\chi (\chi' - \chi)}{\chi'} \Phi ,$$

(1.6)

which is the 3rd derivative of the usual lensing potential. This is the same as can be derived from (1.4). However, as we shall see there are many more contributions to the Hessian than given by this approximation.

In this paper we make the link between flexion and geodesic deviation for the first time. In doing so we derive the general form of the Hessian, valid in any spacetime, which contains many more subtle contributions beyond the approximation (1.4). We shall then specialise to the case of perturbed FLRW model.
2 Description of a null curve and the screen space

Consider a light ray with tangent vector $k^a$ and affine parameter $\lambda$ on the past light cone which is a constant phase hypersurface, $S = \text{const.}$:

$$k^a = \frac{dx^a}{d\lambda}, \quad k_a = \nabla_a S.$$  \hfill (2.1)

The tangent vector is null and geodesic:

$$k_a k^a = 0, \quad k^b \nabla_b k^a = 0,$$  \hfill (2.2)

and may be decomposed relative to an observer with 4-velocity $u^a$ into parallel and orthogonal components:

$$k^a = (-u_b k^b) (u^a - n^a) = E (u^a - n^a),$$

$$n_a n^a = 1, \quad n_a u^a = 0, \quad E = -u_b k^b.$$  \hfill (2.3)

Here $n^a$ is the unit direction vector of observation, and $k^a$ is along an incoming light ray on the past light cone of the observer (see figure 1). $E$ is the photon energy measured by $u^a$. Note that our choice of $n^a$ is opposite to the direction of photon propagation.

The screen space is orthogonal to the light ray and to the observer 4-velocity, and the tensor

$$N_{ab} = g_{ab} + u_a u_b - n_a n_b,$$  \hfill (2.4)

projects into screen space. It satisfies the following relations

$$N^a_{\quad a} = 2, \quad N_{ac} N^c_{\quad b} = N_{ab},$$

$$N_{ab} k^b = N_{ab} u^b = N_{ab} n^b = 0.$$  \hfill (2.5)

Given the 4-velocity $u^a$ we can invariantly decompose tensors into scalars, vectors and projected, symmetric and trace-free tensors [31, 32], which are all spatial. Then, For any spatial tensor $T^{a\cdots b}$, we can isolate the parts lying in the screen space and parallel to $n^a$ in a 1+1+2 decomposition [33, 34]:

$$T_{\perp}^{a\cdots b} = N^a_{\quad e} \cdots N^d_{\quad f} T^{e\cdots f}_{\quad \cdots a\cdots b},$$  \hfill (2.6)

$$T_{\parallel}^{a\cdots b} = n_a \cdots n_b T^{a\cdots b}.$$  \hfill (2.7)

For a PSTF tensor, we use $\parallel$ to represent all the indices which are projected along $n^a$. Traces in the screen space can also be removed. For example, a rank 2 PSTF tensor has the full decomposition

$$X_{ab} = X_{(ab)} = X_{\parallel} \left( n_a n_b - \frac{1}{2} N_{ab} \right) + 2 X_{\parallel (a n_b) + \left( N_{(a} c N_{b)} d - \frac{1}{2} N_{ab} N_{cd} \right) X_{cd}}.$$  \hfill (2.8)

The invariant decomposition of the covariant derivative of the photon ray vector is given by,

$$\nabla_b k^a = \frac{1}{2} \theta N_{ab} + \sigma_{ab},$$  \hfill (2.9)
\[
\theta = N^{ab} \nabla_a k_b, \quad \sigma_{ab} = N_a e N_b d \nabla_e k_d - \frac{1}{2} \theta N_{ab} .
\]  

Thus \( \theta \) describes the rate of expansion of the area of a bundle of light rays and \( \sigma_{ab} \) describes its rate of shear (the trace-free part of the derivative projected into the screen space). Note that there is no null vorticity since \( k_a = \nabla_a S \).

The covariant derivative of the 4-velocity is invariantly decomposed as:

\[
\nabla_b u_a = -A_a u_b + \frac{1}{3} \Theta (g_{ab} + u_a u_b) + \Sigma_{ab} + \Omega_{ab} ,
\]

where \( \Theta \) is the volume expansion rate of the \( u^a \) worldlines, \( A_a \) is the 4-acceleration, \( \Sigma_{ab} \) is the shear tensor and \( \Omega_{ab} \) is the vorticity tensor. In terms of these variables, the 1+1+2 decomposition of the covariant derivatives of \( E \) and \( n^a \) are:

\[
E^{-1} \nabla_a E = -A_i u_a + \frac{1}{3} \Theta n_a + \Sigma_{ia} + \Omega_{ia} ,
\]

\[
\nabla_b n_a = -A_i (u_a u_b - u_b n_a) + \frac{1}{3} \Theta (u_a n_b - n_a n_b) + (u_a - n_a) (\Sigma_{ib} + \Omega_{ib}) - u_b A_a + \left( \frac{1}{3} \Theta - \frac{1}{2} \theta \right) N_{ab} - E^{-1} \sigma_{ab} + \Sigma_{ab} + \Omega_{ab} .
\]

The Sachs propagation equations for the null shear and null expansion are found from the Ricci identities [27–30],

\[
\frac{d\theta}{d\lambda} = -\frac{1}{2} \theta^2 - \sigma_{ab} \sigma^{ab} - R_{ab} k^a k^b ,
\]

\[
\frac{D \sigma_{ab}}{d\lambda} = -\theta \sigma_{ab} + C_{acbd} k^c k^d ,
\]

where \( D/d\lambda = k^a \nabla_a \). The photon geodesic equation (2.2) reduces to the equations for the photon energy and observational direction

\[
\frac{dE}{d\lambda} = -E^2 \left[ \frac{1}{3} \Theta - A_a n^a + \Sigma_{ab} n^a n^b \right] ,
\]

\[
\frac{D n^a}{d\lambda} = E \left[ n^a (A_b n^b - \Sigma_{bc} n^b n^c) - A^a + (\Sigma_{ab} - \Omega_{ab}) n^b + u^a \left( \frac{1}{3} \Theta - A_b n^b + \Sigma_{bc} n^b n^c \right) \right] .
\]

We shall project vectors and tensors onto the screen space using the tetrad basis \( e_A^b \), \( A, B = 1, 2 \) where

\[
e_A^b u_b = e_A^b n_b = 0, \quad N_a e_A^a e_B^b = g_{ab} e_A^a e_B^b = \delta_{AB}, \quad N_a e_A^a = 0.\]

The derivatives of the tetrad (Ricci rotation coefficients) are not required here.
3 The null geodesic deviation equation to second-order

Consider a deviation vector $\xi^a$ lying in the screen space orthogonal to $k^a$. This links two neighboring geodesics, one at $x(\lambda)$ and the other at $x'(\lambda)$. The deviation vector at $x(\lambda)$ is defined as the covariant derivative of Synge’s world function, which is half the squared proper length of the unique geodesic connecting $x$ and $x'$ — see [6]. To second-order this obeys a generalisation of the GDE, known as the Bazanski equation ($\dot{\gamma} = D/d\lambda$):

\[
\ddot{\xi}^a + k^b \epsilon^c k^d R^a_{bcd} + \frac{1}{2} k^b k^c \epsilon^d \nabla_c R_{kcd}^a + \frac{1}{2} k^b k^c \epsilon^d \nabla_c R_{kcd}^a + 2 \dot{\xi}^b \epsilon^c k^d R^a_{bcd} = O(\xi, \dot{\xi})^3. \tag{3.1}
\]

An additional term $2 \frac{\ddot{\xi}}{\dot{\xi}} \dot{\xi}^b \dot{\xi}^c \dot{\xi}^d R^a_{bcd}$ would make this accurate in all powers of $\dot{\xi}$, but we shall not include this term here. Our initial aim is to give the solution to this equation.

We shall project this onto the screen space using the tetrad basis $e_b^A$. The tetrad components of $\xi^a$ in the screen space are

\[
\xi^A = e^b_A \xi_b. \tag{3.2}
\]

This has a part parallel to $k^a$ at second-order which cannot be set to zero as it can at first. This part obeys

\[
\ddot{\xi}_k = -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^A \epsilon^B \dot{R}_{AcBd} k^c k^d + 2 \dot{\xi}^A \dot{\xi}^B R_{AcBd} k^c k^d. \tag{3.3}
\]

Thus, the deviation vector is forced out of the screen space as it is transported along $k^a$.

However, since $\dot{\xi}_k$ is second-order, it can only influence the screen parts of $\xi^a$, $\xi^A$, via the linear term in the GDE — but by the symmetries of the Riemann tensor, this contribution is zero. We therefore do not consider $\xi_k$ any further.

Then, the screen-projected part of (3.1) can be written as

\[
\ddot{\xi}_A = R_{AB} \dot{\xi}^B + P_{ABC} \dot{\xi}^B \dot{\xi}^C + Q_{ABC} \dot{\xi}^B \dot{\xi}^C, \tag{3.4}
\]

where

\[
R_{AB} = -R_{ABc} k^c = R_{BA}, \tag{3.5}
\]

\[
P_{ABC} = -\frac{1}{2} \dot{R}_{BAC} - \frac{1}{2} e_A^a e_{(B} e_{C)} \nabla_c (R_{adbe} k^d k^e), \tag{3.6}
\]

\[
Q_{ABC} = \frac{1}{2} R_{ABC} - \frac{3}{2} R_{BAC}. \tag{3.7}
\]

Here we have defined the Riemann tensor with one index projected onto $k^a$ as

\[
R_{cd}^a = R_{cd}^{ab} k_b \tag{3.8}
\]

\[
= C_{cd}^{ab} k_b + \delta^a_{[c} R_{d]}^{b} k_b - k_{c} R_{d]}^{a} - \frac{1}{3} R \delta^a_{[c} k_{d]}, \tag{3.9}
\]

where $C_{cd}^{ab}$, $R_{ab}$ and $R$ are the Weyl tensor, the Ricci tensor, and Ricci scalar. Then,

\[
R_{AB} = -C_{ABc} k^c + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{AB} R_{cd} k^c k^d, \tag{3.10}
\]

\[
R_{ABC} = C_{ABc} k^c + \delta_{A[B} R_{C]}^{b} k_b. \tag{3.11}
\]

$R_{AB}$ is often called the optical tidal matrix.
Now, the first-order part of $\xi^A$ is Lie dragged along $k^a$ \cite{5}, so obeys

$$
\dot{\xi}^a = \xi^b \nabla_b k^a = \frac{1}{2} \theta \xi^a + \sigma_{ab} \xi^b \Rightarrow \dot{\xi}^A = \frac{1}{2} \theta \xi^A + \sigma_{AB} \xi^B.
$$

(3.12)

In matrix form,

$$
\dot{\xi} = S \xi \quad \text{where} \quad S_{AB} = \frac{1}{2} \theta \delta_{AB} + \sigma_{AB} = \left( \begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{2} \theta + \sigma_1 & \sigma_2 \\
\sigma_2 & \frac{1}{2} \theta - \sigma_1
\end{array} \right)
$$

(3.13)

where $\sigma_1 = \sigma_{11} = -\sigma_{22}$, $\sigma_2 = \sigma_{12} = \sigma_{21}$. $S$ is the (linear) optical deformation matrix.

Consequently, we have the alternative form for the 2nd order GDE:

$$
\ddot{\xi}^A = R_{AB} \xi^B + T_{ABC} \xi^B \xi^C,
$$

(3.14)

where

$$
T_{ABC} = \frac{1}{2} \dot{R}_{(BC)A} - \frac{1}{2} e^a_A e^b_C e^c_b \nabla_c (R_{adbe} k^d k^e) + \frac{3}{4} \theta R_{(BC)A} - \frac{1}{2} (R_{AD(B} + 3R_{B|AD}) \sigma^D_C)
$$

(3.15)

We shall call this the (optical) distortion tensor.

### 3.1 The general solution of the second-order GDE

We now turn to the solution of (3.14). We are interested in the case where the geodesic congruence converges at the observer, so that we have initial conditions

$$
\xi^A(\lambda_o) = 0, \quad \dot{\xi}^A(\lambda_o) \neq 0.
$$

(3.16)

Since (3.14) arises perturbatively in powers of $\xi$, we can solve it perturbatively in powers of $\xi$ by writing

$$
\xi(\lambda) = \epsilon \xi^{(1)}(\lambda) + \epsilon^2 \xi^{(2)}(\lambda).
$$

(3.17)

When we substitute this into (3.14) we solve in powers of $\epsilon$ in the usual perturbative manner. Note that this is a perturbation solution in the infinitesimal deviation vector — there is no assumption about the spacetime made. The function $\xi^{(1)}(\lambda)$ is the solution to the usual linear GDE, while at $O(\epsilon^2)$ we assume that $\xi^{(2)}(\lambda)$ is the solution to the second-order GDE accurate to $O(\epsilon^2)$:

$$
\ddot{\xi}^{(2)}_A = R_{AB} \xi^B + T_{ABC} \xi^B \xi^C.
$$

(3.18)

From now on we drop the $\epsilon$’s and order indicators.

The linear part is the solution of

$$
\ddot{\xi}_A = R_{AB} \xi^B
$$

(3.19)

with initial conditions at the observer

$$
\xi^A(\lambda_o) = 0, \quad \dot{\xi}^A(\lambda_o) = -\zeta_A.
$$

(3.20)

(The negative sign is because our null vector is pointing from source to observer.) The solution may be written in terms of the (linear) Jacobi map, $J_{AB}$

$$
\xi^A(\lambda) = J_{AB}(\lambda) \xi^B
$$

(3.21)
where $J_{AB}$ satisfies

$$
\ddot{J}_{AB} = R_{AC}J^C_B, \quad \text{with} \quad J_{AB}(\lambda_0) = 0, \quad \dot{J}_{AB}(\lambda_0) = -\delta_{AB}.
$$

(3.22)

The Jacobi map takes a deviation vector at the observer and maps it to the deviation vector at the source. In matrix form we have

$$
\ddot{J} = RJ,
$$

(3.23)

which we shall find convenient later. Now, the linear $\xi_1$ also obeys (3.13), because it is Lie dragged along the geodesic congruence — this relates the derivatives of $\xi$ to those of $k^a$. Writing this in terms of $J$ we have

$$
\dot{J} = SJ.
$$

(3.24)

This implies the optical deformation matrix obeys

$$
\dot{S} + SS = R.
$$

(3.25)

Thus the Jacobi map is found by integrating (3.23), and is related to the ray bundles expansion and shear through (3.25).

We shall now find the quadratic part of the non-linear GDE, assuming we know the solution to the linear part. Inserting the linear solution in terms of the Jacobi map into (3.4), $\xi^A_2$ satisfies

$$
\ddot{\xi}^A - R_{AB}\xi^B = F_A
$$

$$
= \left[ P_{ABC}\dot{J}^B_DJ^C_E + Q_{ABC}\dot{J}^B_D\dot{J}^C_E \right] \zeta^D \zeta^E
$$

$$
= T_{ABC}\dot{J}^B_DJ^C_E\zeta^D \zeta^E
$$

(3.26)

or in matrix form

$$
\ddot{\xi} - RX = F.
$$

(3.27)

We can solve this by a modified variation of parameters method. For this we need an independent solution to the homogeneous equation $\ddot{\xi} - RX = 0$ in addition to $J$. Define a reciprocal Jacobi map $K$:

$$
\ddot{K} = RK \quad \text{with} \quad K(\lambda_0) = I, \quad \dot{K}(\lambda_0) = 0.
$$

(3.28)

This matrix can be found in terms of $J$ and $S$. First, we note that since $R$ is symmetric, $R = R^T$, $K$ and $J$ must be related by

$$
\dot{J}^T K - J^T \dot{K} = -I
$$

(3.29)

which can be checked by differentiating, and by verifying the initial conditions. This implies that $K$ obeys

$$
\dot{K} = SK + (J^T)^{-1}.
$$

(3.30)

We shall assume this solution is known, in addition to $J$. Now we suppose there is a solution to (3.27) of the form

$$
\xi = K\alpha + J\beta,
$$

(3.31)

where we shall assume

$$
K\dot{\alpha} + J\dot{\beta} = 0.
$$

(3.32)
Then (3.27) becomes
\[ \dot{\mathcal{K}} \dot{\alpha} + \dot{\mathcal{J}} \dot{\beta} = F. \] (3.33)

In matrix form we are then solving
\[ \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\mathcal{K}} \\
\dot{\mathcal{J}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\alpha} \\
\dot{\beta} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} F \\
0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda} d\lambda' \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\mathcal{K}} \\
\dot{\mathcal{J}} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} F \\
0 \end{pmatrix}. \] (3.34)

The inverse of a block matrix is given by
\[ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\
C & D \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} (A - BD^{-1}C)^{-1} & -A^{-1}B(D - CA^{-1}B)^{-1} \\
-D^{-1}C(A - BD^{-1}C)^{-1} & (D - CA^{-1}B)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \] (3.35)
which implies
\[ \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\mathcal{K}} \\
\dot{\mathcal{J}} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} (\mathcal{K} - \mathcal{J} \mathcal{J}^{-1} \mathcal{K})^{-1} & -\mathcal{K}^{-1} \mathcal{J} (\mathcal{J} - \mathcal{K} \mathcal{J}^{-1} \mathcal{J})^{-1} \\
-\mathcal{J}^{-1} \mathcal{K} (\mathcal{K} - \mathcal{J} \mathcal{J}^{-1} \mathcal{K})^{-1} & (\mathcal{J} - \mathcal{K} \mathcal{J}^{-1} \mathcal{J})^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \] (3.36)

We only need the first column of this block matrix. In particular,
\[ (\mathcal{K} - \mathcal{J} \mathcal{J}^{-1} \mathcal{K})^{-1} = [S \mathcal{K} + (\mathcal{J}^T)^{-1} - S \mathcal{J} \mathcal{J}^{-1} \mathcal{K}]^{-1} = \mathcal{J}^T. \] (3.37)

Therefore we have
\[ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\
\beta \end{pmatrix} = \int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda} d\lambda' \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{J}^T F \\
-\mathcal{J}^{-1} \mathcal{K} \mathcal{J}^T F \end{pmatrix}. \] (3.38)

The second-order solution is then
\[ \xi = \int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda} d\lambda' \left[ \mathcal{K}(\lambda) - \mathcal{J}(\lambda) \mathcal{J}^{-1}(\lambda') \mathcal{K}(\lambda') \right] \mathcal{J}^T(\lambda') F(\lambda'). \] (3.39)

The full solution to the second-order GDE can be written in terms of a linear Jacobi map and a quadratic Hessian as\(^2\)
\[ \xi_A = \mathcal{J}_{AB} \zeta^B + \mathcal{H}_{ABC} \zeta^B \zeta^C \] (3.40)
where the new Hessian part is
\[ \mathcal{H}_{ABC}(\lambda) = \int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda} d\lambda' \left[ \mathcal{K}_A F(\lambda) - \mathcal{J}_A D(\lambda)(\mathcal{J}^{-1})_D E(\lambda') \mathcal{K}_E F(\lambda') \right] \mathcal{J}^G F(\lambda') \mathcal{J}^H F(\lambda') \mathcal{J}^I F(\lambda') T_{IBC}(\lambda'). \] (3.41)

One can check by differentiating that
\[ \ddot{\mathcal{H}}_{ABC} = \mathcal{R}_A D \mathcal{H}_{DBC} + \mathcal{T}_{ADE} \mathcal{J}_{B} D \mathcal{J}^C E, \] (3.42)
which gives the differential relation between the Hessian map and the optical distortion tensor.

\(^2\)Note that we could add a second-order Jacobi map part to (3.40) corresponding to the homogeneous solution of (3.27), but we assume that this is absorbed into the Jacobi map present.
3.2 Extended weak lensing formalism

We shall now outline the general procedure for finding the Hessian map in terms of the usual weak lensing variables, the convergence and the weak lensing shear (as opposed to the shear of the geodesic ray bundle).

The Jacobi map can be expanded in terms of a mean distance and an amplification matrix,

\[
\mathcal{J} = \tilde{d}_A \mathcal{A} = \tilde{d}_A \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 - \kappa - \gamma_1 \\ \gamma_2 \\ 1 - \kappa + \gamma_1 \end{array} \right) = \sqrt{\frac{\det \mathcal{J}}{\det \mathcal{A}}}. \tag{3.43}
\]

(We are now assuming that the Jacobi map is symmetric, but extending to the case with rotation is straightforward see, e.g., [30]. In the case of standard weak lensing in cosmology considered below rotation only appears at second-order.) It is normally assumed that this decomposition is around an FLRW background, by identifying

\[
\tilde{d}_A = \sqrt{\frac{\det \mathcal{J}}{\det \mathcal{A}}} \tag{3.44}
\]

as proportional to the background area distance (proportionality determined via \(\dot{\tilde{d}}_A(\lambda_o) = -1\), but really this decomposition of \(\mathcal{J}\) is completely general. Within this ‘weak lensing’ interpretation, \(\kappa\) is the convergence, and \(\gamma_{AB}\) is the trace-free shear, where \(\gamma_1 = -\gamma_{11} = +\gamma_{22}, \gamma_2 = \gamma_{12} = \gamma_{21}\).

We can expand the reciprocal Jacobi map \(\mathcal{K}\) in the same manner:

\[
\mathcal{K} = \tilde{d}_A \tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \tilde{d}_A \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 - \tilde{\kappa} - \tilde{\gamma}_1 \\ \tilde{\gamma}_2 \\ 1 - \tilde{\kappa} + \tilde{\gamma}_1 \end{array} \right) \tag{3.45}
\]

where we shall assume that \(\tilde{d}_A(\lambda)\) is determined from \(\mathcal{J}\) in the case when \(\kappa = \gamma = 0\). From (3.29), this implies

\[
\frac{\dot{\tilde{d}}_A}{d_A} = \frac{\dot{d}_A}{d_A} + \frac{1}{d_A \tilde{d}_A} \tag{3.46}
\]

with \(d_A(\lambda_o) = 0\). The background area distance and reciprocal distance are determined from the background expansion rate \(\bar{\theta}\) via

\[
\dot{\tilde{d}}_A = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\theta} \tilde{d}_A \quad \Rightarrow \quad \dot{\tilde{d}}_A = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\theta} \tilde{d}_A + \tilde{d}_A^{-1}, \tag{3.47}
\]

With this, the reciprocal weak lensing variables \(\tilde{\kappa}, \tilde{\gamma}_{AB}\) are determined from (3.30), which becomes the system of linear differential equations:

\[
\dot{\tilde{\kappa}} + \left( \frac{1}{d_A \tilde{d}_A} - \frac{1}{2} \Delta \theta \right) \tilde{\kappa} - \sigma_1 \tilde{\gamma}_1 + \sigma_2 \tilde{\gamma}_2 = \frac{1}{2} \Delta \theta + \frac{1 - (1 - \kappa)\mu}{d_A \tilde{d}_A}, \tag{3.48}
\]

\[
\dot{\tilde{\gamma}}_1 + \left( \frac{1}{d_A \tilde{d}_A} - \frac{1}{2} \Delta \theta \right) \tilde{\gamma}_1 - \sigma_1 \tilde{\kappa} = -\sigma_1 - \frac{\gamma_1 \mu}{d_A \tilde{d}_A}, \tag{3.49}
\]

\[
\dot{\tilde{\gamma}}_2 + \left( \frac{1}{d_A \tilde{d}_A} - \frac{1}{2} \Delta \theta - \sigma_1 \right) \tilde{\gamma}_2 + \sigma_2 \tilde{\kappa} - \sigma_2 \tilde{\gamma}_1 = \sigma_2 - \frac{\gamma_2 \mu}{d_A \tilde{d}_A}. \tag{3.50}
\]

We have defined \(\Delta \theta = \theta - \bar{\theta}\) for convenience — but there are no approximations made.
The weak lensing convergence and shear are found in terms of the Sachs optical scalars from (3.24), which become

\[ \dot{\kappa} - \frac{1}{2} \Delta \theta \kappa - \sigma_1 \gamma_1 + \sigma_2 \gamma_2 = -\frac{1}{2} \Delta \theta, \] (3.51)

\[ \dot{\gamma}_1 - \frac{1}{2} \Delta \theta \gamma_1 - \sigma_1 \kappa = -\sigma_1, \] (3.52)

\[ \dot{\gamma}_2 - \left( \frac{1}{2} \Delta \theta + \sigma_1 \right) \gamma_2 + \sigma_2 \kappa - \sigma_2 \gamma_1 = \sigma_2. \] (3.53)

The Sachs optical scalars are found from (3.25). The trace of (3.25) is

\[ \dot{\theta} + \frac{1}{2} \theta^2 + 2(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2) = -R_{ab} k^a k^b, \] (3.54)

while the trace-free part becomes

\[ \dot{\sigma}_{AB} + \theta \sigma_{AB} = C_{ABcd} k^c k^d, \] (3.55)

which are just the Sachs optical equations as derived from the Ricci identities, but now derived from the GDE combined with the fact that the linear deviation vector is Lie dragged along the congruence.

The Hessian of the transformation is an integrated projection of the optical distortion tensor,

\[ H_{ABC}(\lambda) = P_A D [T_{DBC}] (\lambda) \quad \text{or} \quad H_{BC}(\lambda) = P [T_{BC}] (\lambda), \] (3.56)

where we have used a matrix notation for the first index of the Hessian and distortion tensor. The projection operator \( P \) is

\[ P = \int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda} d\lambda' \left( K(\lambda) - J(\lambda) J^{-1}(\lambda') K(\lambda') \right) J^T(\lambda') J^2(\lambda') \]

\[ = \int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda} d\lambda' d_A(\lambda')^2 \left[ \tilde{d}_A(\lambda') \tilde{d}_A(\lambda') \tilde{A}(\lambda) \tilde{A}^{-1}(\lambda') - \tilde{d}_A(\lambda) \tilde{d}_A(\lambda') \tilde{A}(\lambda) \tilde{A}^{-1}(\lambda') \right] \tilde{A}(\lambda') \tilde{A}^3(\lambda'). \] (3.57)

We now have everything in place to construct the Hessian of the lensing map. The strategy is to construct \( K \) and \( J \) as follows:

1. Solve for \( \bar{\theta} \) and then \( \bar{d}_A \) and \( \tilde{d}_A \).
2. Find the Sachs optical scalars \( \theta \) and \( \sigma_{AB} \).
3. Find the components of the amplification matrix, \( \kappa \) and \( \gamma_{AB} \).
4. Find the components of the reciprocal amplification matrix, \( \tilde{\kappa} \) and \( \tilde{\gamma}_{AB} \).
5. Calculate the components of the optical distortion tensor (3.73).
6. Construct the integrated projection operator \( P \) (3.57).
7. Integrate the distortion tensor against the projection operator to calculate the Hessian from (3.56).
3.3 Influence of the Ricci vs Weyl curvature

We can expand the optical tidal and distortion tensors in terms of the general matter variables and the Weyl curvature tensor, which we shall split into its invariant electric and magnetic parts. The Ricci tensor and scalar are related to the energy momentum tensor via

\[ R_{ab} = T_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} T g_{ab} + \Lambda g_{ab} \]  

(3.58)

The stress energy tensor has the invariant decomposition given the observer velocity \( u^a \):

\[ T_{ab} = \rho u_a u_b + p h_{ab} + 2q_{(a} u_{b)} + \pi_{ab} \]  

(3.59)

Then the fluid part of the Riemann tensor is

\[ (R_{\text{fluid}})_{ab}^{cd} = \frac{2}{3} (\rho + 3\rho - 2\Lambda) u^a u^c h_b^d + \frac{2}{3} (\rho + \Lambda) h^a (e h^b_d) - 2 u^a h^b_{(c} q_{d)} - 2 u^a h^b_{(c} q_{d]} - 2 u^a u^c h^b_{(d]} + 2 h^a_{(c} h^b_{d]} \]  

(3.60)

The Weyl tensor can be decomposed into an electric and magnetic part:

\[ E_{ab} = C_{abcd} u^c u^d \quad \text{and} \quad H_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{abc} C^{bcde} u^c, \]  

(3.61)

so that the Weyl tensor is expanded as

\[ C_{ab}^{cd} = 4 u^a u^c h^b_d + 4 h^a_{(c} E^b_{d]} + 2 \varepsilon^{abc} u^c H_{d]} + 2 \varepsilon_{abc} u^a H^b_{c} \]  

The projections of these tensors we require are:

\[ R_{ab} k^a k^b = \kappa E^2 (\rho + p + 2q_{\parallel} + \pi_{\parallel}) \]  

(3.62)

\[ R_{ab}^{\rho} k^b = -\kappa E (q_{A} + \pi_{\parallel}) \]  

(3.63)

\[ C_{AcBd} k^c k^d = E^2 \left( \delta_{AB} E_{\parallel} + 2 e_{AB} - 2 \varepsilon_{C (A} H_B^{C) \parallel} \right) \]  

(3.64)

\[ C_{A_B C D} k^a k^d = E \left( 2 \delta_{A [C} E_{B]}^{\parallel} + \varepsilon_{BC} H_{1 A}^{\parallel} \right) \]  

(3.65)

so that the projected parts of Riemann which appear in the GDE are

\[ E^{-2} R_{AB} = -\frac{1}{2} \delta_{AB} (\rho + p + 2q_{\parallel} + \pi_{\parallel} + 2E_{\parallel}) - 2E_{AB} + 2 \varepsilon_{C (A} H_B^{C) \parallel} \]  

(3.66)

\[ E^{-1} R_{ABC} = -\delta_{A [B} (q_{C]} + \pi_{\parallel}) + 2 E_{C]}^{\parallel} + \varepsilon_{BC} H_{1 A}^{\parallel} \]  

(3.67)

\[ E^{-1} R_{ABC} = -\delta_{A [B} (q_{C]} + \pi_{\parallel}) + 2 E_{C]}^{\parallel} + \varepsilon_{BC} H_{1 A}^{\parallel} \]  

(3.68)

Here we are using compressed notation whereby

\[ \dot{E}_{A} = \frac{D}{d\lambda} (n^{b} E_{bA}) \]  

(3.69)
We also require the projected derivative of the optical tidal tensor:

\[ E^{-2}e_A^a e_B^b e_C^c \nabla_a (R_{bdce}k^d k^e) = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{BC} \left[ \nabla_A (\rho + p + 2q_{||} + \pi_{||} + 2E_{||}) + 2(SS_{||A} + SS_{||A}) (\rho + p + 2q_{||} + \pi_{||} + 2E_{||}) \right] \]

\[-2 \left( \frac{1}{3} \Theta \delta_{A(C} + S_{A(C} - SS_{A(C}) \right) (2E_{||B}) - \Pi_{||B}) \]

\[-2 \left( \frac{1}{2} \Theta \delta_{A(C} + S_{A(C}) \right) (q_{||B} + \pi_{||B} + 2E_{||B}) \]

\[+ 4(S_{||A} + SS_{||A}) (E_{BC} + \Pi_{BC}) \]

\[+ 2e_A^a e_B^b e_C^c \left( \nabla_a E_{bc} - \varepsilon_{d(a} \nabla_{|a|} H_{b)}^d \right). \quad (3.70) \]

We have used an over-bar to denote the reverse parity in the screen space:

\[ \bar{\mathbf{X}}_A = \varepsilon_{AB} X_B \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\mathbf{X}}_{AB} = \varepsilon_{C(A} X_{B)}^C. \quad (3.71) \]

Consequently, the optical distortion tensor may be written as sum of parts induced by Ricci curvature \( T_{ABC}^{Ricci} \), and by non-local Weyl curvature, \( T_{ABC}^{Weyl} \), where:

\[ E^{-1}T_{ABC}^{Ricci} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{A(B} \left[ - \frac{E}{2} \left( \nabla_C + 2S_{||C} + 2SS_{||C} \right) \right] \]

\[ \left( \rho + p + 2q_{||} + \pi_{||} + 1 \left( \frac{3}{4} \right) \left( q_{||C} + \pi_{||C} \right) \right) \]

\[- E \left( \frac{1}{3} \Theta - A_{||} + \Sigma_{||} - \frac{3\theta}{4E} \right) \left( q_{||C} + \pi_{||C} \right) + \sigma_{C}^D \left( q_{||D} + \pi_{||D} \right) \]

\[+ \delta_{BC} \left[ - \frac{1}{4} \left( q_{||A} + \pi_{||A} \right) + \frac{E}{4} \left( \frac{1}{3} \Theta - A_{||} + \Sigma_{||} - \frac{3\theta}{4E} \right) \left( q_{||A} + \pi_{||A} \right) \right] \]

\[+ \frac{1}{4} \sigma_{A(B} \left( q_{||C} + \pi_{||C} \right) - \frac{3}{4} \sigma_{BC} \left( q_{||A} + \pi_{||A} \right) \]

\[\left( \frac{1}{2} \Theta \delta_{C(B} + S_{C(B)} \right) \left( q_{||A} + \pi_{||A} \right) \]

\[\frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{1}{2} \Theta \delta_{B(C} + S_{B(C)} \right) \left( q_{||A} + \pi_{||A} \right) \right). \quad (3.72) \]

\[ E^{-1}T_{ABC}^{Weyl} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{A(B} \left[ \dot{E}_{(C)} - E \left( \nabla_C + 2S_{||C} + 2SS_{||C} \right) \right] \]

\[E_{||} \]

\[- E \left( \frac{1}{3} \Theta - A_{||} + \Sigma_{||} - \frac{3\theta}{2E} \right) E_{||C} + 2\sigma_{C}^D E_{||D} \]

\[+ \frac{1}{2} \delta_{BC} \left[ - \dot{E}_{||A} + E \left( \frac{1}{3} \Theta - A_{||} + \Sigma_{||} - \frac{3\theta}{2E} \right) E_{||A} \right] \]

\[+ \frac{1}{2} e_{A(B} \left[ \dot{H}_{||C} + E \left( \frac{1}{3} \Theta - A_{||} + \Sigma_{||} - \frac{3\theta}{2E} \right) H_{||C} \right] \]

\[\left[ \frac{1}{3} \Theta \delta_{C(B)} + S_{C(B)} - \Omega_{C(B)} - \frac{\theta}{4E} \delta_{C(B)} - \frac{1}{2E} \sigma_{C(B)} \right] E_{||A} \]
\begin{align*}
&+ \left[ \frac{1}{3} \Theta \delta_{C(B)} + \Sigma_{(C(B)} - \Omega_{(C(B)} \right] \mathcal{H}_{\parallel A)} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \left( \sigma_{A(B)E_{C}} - 3 \sigma_{BC} E_{A} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{BC} H_{A} + \frac{3}{2} \varepsilon_{DA} \sigma^{D}_{(C} H_{\parallel B)} \\
&- 2 E \left( \Sigma_{1(B)} + \Omega_{1(B)} \right) \left( E_{C} A + \mathcal{H}_{C} A \right) + E \varepsilon_{a}^{\alpha} \varepsilon_{(B}^{b} e_{C)}^{c} \nabla_{b} \left( - E_{a} + \varepsilon_{d} e_{H_{d}}^{d} \right).
\end{align*}

In the last term in (3.73) we have refrained from using a separate notation for the fully projected derivative to avoid possible confusion. The double symmetrisation means $2(C(B)A) = C(BA) + B(CA)$.

### 3.4 The effect of the invariant parts of the Hessian

In general, a rank 3 tensor can be invariantly decomposed into antisymmetric, and symmetric trace-free parts \[32\]. In the case of $\mathcal{H}_{ABC}$, which is a rank-3 tensor in 2 dimensions satisfying $\mathcal{H}_{ABC} = \mathcal{H}_{A(BC)}$, the invariant parts are: $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{ABC}$, which is totally trace-free, and 2 vectors $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{A}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{A}$, where

\begin{align}
\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{A} &= \frac{3}{4} \delta^{BC} \mathcal{H}_{ABC} \\
\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{A} &= \frac{2}{3} \varepsilon^{BC} \mathcal{H}_{BCA}.
\end{align}

Then the Hessian may be written

$$\mathcal{H}_{ABC} = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(A} \delta_{BC)} + \varepsilon_{A(B} \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{C)} + \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{ABC}.$$  

(3.76)

This gives a total of 6 degrees of freedom — 2 in each component. The number of indices indicates the spin level of the invariant parts — there are two spin 1 parts, $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{A}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{A}$ and one spin 3 part $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{ABC}$. These correspond to $F$ flexion, twist and $G$-flexion in the language of \[15\]. Because there are traces in each term in $\mathcal{T}_{ABC}^{\text{Ricci}}$, Ricci curvature can only induce spin 1 degrees of freedom, while (non-local) Weyl curvature can produce all 3, and is the only source of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{ABC}$.

Given an the angle at the observer between neighbouring geodesics, $\zeta^{A}$, the Jacobi and Hessian maps transform this at the source into

\begin{align}
\xi_{A} &= \mathcal{J}_{AB} \zeta^{B} + \mathcal{H}_{ABC} \zeta^{B} \zeta^{C} \\
&= \hat{d}(1 - \kappa) \zeta_{A} + \hat{d} \gamma_{AB} \zeta^{B} + \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(A} \delta_{BC)} \zeta^{B} \zeta^{C} + \varepsilon_{AB} \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{C} \zeta^{B} \zeta^{C} + \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{ABC} \zeta^{B} \zeta^{C}.
\end{align}

(3.77)

From this expression it is clear the action of the Jacobi map: the trace induces a uniform change in area of a source, while the shear induces two area preserving elliptical distortions at 45\(^\circ\) to each other. To illustrate how $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{ABC}$, $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{A}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{A}$ change the image of a source, let us examine each in turn, and their action on a circular image. First, $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{A}$:

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(A} \delta_{BC)} \zeta^{B} \zeta^{C} = \frac{1}{3} \zeta^{2} \left( \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{A} + 2 \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{B} \zeta^{B} \zeta_{A} \right) = \frac{1}{3} \zeta^{2} \left( \frac{\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{A}[2 + \cos 2\theta] + \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{y} \sin 2\theta}{\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{A} \sin 2\theta + \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{y}[2 - \cos 2\theta]} \right).$$

(3.79)
where $\zeta_A = |\zeta|\hat{\zeta}_A = |\zeta|(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$ in Cartesian coordinates in the plane. This produces a shift in the position of the image, and a change in area. There is a compression along the direction of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_A$, leading to a cusp for large distortions. Next,

$$\varepsilon_{AB}\hat{\mathcal{H}}^C_{\;B\zeta}^C = \zeta^2 \varepsilon_{AB}\hat{\mathcal{H}}^C_{\;B\zeta}^C = \frac{1}{2} \zeta^2 \left( \begin{array}{c} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{xx} \sin 2\theta + \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{yy}[1 - \cos 2\theta] \\ -\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{xx}[1 + \cos 2\theta] - \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{yy}\sin 2\theta \end{array} \right).$$

(3.80)

This produces a sagging like distortion, perpendicular to $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_A$, with the normal modes at $90^\circ$. Finally, the purely trace-free part of the Hessian also has two independent degrees of freedom, $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{xxx}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{yyy}$ (all other components are proportional to one or the other of these since $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{ABC}$ is trace-free):

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{ABC}\zeta^B\zeta^C = \zeta^2 \left( \begin{array}{c} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{xxx} \cos 2\theta - \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{yyy}\sin 2\theta \\ -\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{xxx}\sin 2\theta - \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{yyy}\cos 2\theta \end{array} \right).$$

(3.81)

This produces a triangular distortion at low amplitude, with polarisations at $30^\circ$. These curves are all trochoids of higher complexity than the circle and ellipse which appear at linear order — see figure 2. (A trochoid is the locus of points traced out by a point attached at some distance from a circle, which itself is rolling around a larger circle.) For large amplitudes, we can see cusps appearing representing the formation of caustics.

4 Perturbations about an FLRW background

We shall now linearise the Hessian around a flat FLRW model. We shall write our perturbations with respect to the Poisson gauge, where

$$ds^2 = a^2[-(1 + 2\Phi)dy^2 + (1 - 2\Psi)\gamma_{ij}dx^i dx^j],$$

where $\gamma_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ if $x^i$ are cartesian ($i, j, k, \ldots$ denote spatial indices, usually on the conformal Minkowski background when they are raised and lowered with $\gamma_{ij}$). The observer 4-velocity $u^a$ is perturbed as

$$u^0 = \frac{1}{a}(1 - \Phi), \quad u^i = \frac{1}{a}\nabla_i v, \quad u_i = a\nabla_i v$$

(4.2)
where $v$ is the first-order scalar velocity potential which obeys

$$v = -\frac{2a}{3H_0^2\Omega_m} (\Psi' + \mathcal{H} \Phi) = \frac{\Psi' + \mathcal{H} \Phi}{\mathcal{H}' - \mathcal{H}^2},$$

(4.3)

where $\mathcal{H} = a'/a$ is the conformal Hubble rate, and we also use $H = \Theta/3 = \mathcal{H}/a$ in the background, and the background density is $\bar{\rho} = 2(\mathcal{H}^2 - \mathcal{H}')/a^2$. We also have for the time and radial parts of the vector $n^a$,

$$\delta n^0 = \delta u^0 = \frac{1}{a} \partial_\chi v, \quad \delta n^\chi = \Psi,$$

(4.4)

and similarly for $k^a = E(u^a - n^a)$,

$$\delta k^0 = (1 + z)\delta E - (1 + z)^2(\Phi + \partial_\chi v),$$

$$\delta k^\chi = -(1 + z)\delta E - (1 + z)^2(\Psi - \partial_\chi v)$$

(4.5)

(4.6)

where the perturbed energy is given by (enforcing that the time component of the photon 4-vector is unperturbed at the observer)

$$\delta E = \delta z + (1 + z)(\Phi_o + \partial_\chi v_o)$$

(4.7)

with the redshift perturbation given by

$$\frac{\delta z}{1 + z} = (\partial_\chi v - \Phi)_0 + \int_0^\chi d\chi (\Phi' + \Psi').$$

(4.8)

Note that $g_{ab}k^ak^b = 0$ implies $\delta k^0 + \delta k^\chi = -(1 + z)^2(\Phi + \Psi)$, giving (4.6).

The density contrast $\delta$ is given by

$$a^2\rho \delta = 2\nabla^2(\Psi + \Phi).$$

(4.9)

For a perfect fluid in GR we have $\Psi = \Phi$. However, we will keep these potentials separate for generality. In that case we have an effective pressure and anisotropic pressure perturbation given by

$$a^2\delta p = 2\Psi'' + 2\mathcal{H}(2\Psi' + \Phi') + 2(2\mathcal{H}' + \mathcal{H}^2)\Phi - \frac{2}{3} \nabla^2(\Psi - \Phi),$$

$$\pi_{ij} = \nabla_i \nabla_j(\Psi - \Phi).$$

(4.10)

(4.11)

In the LCDM case in GR, the first leads to the Bardeen equation $\Phi'' + 3\mathcal{H}\Phi' + a^2\Lambda \Phi = 0$.

We also require the matter shear and electric Weyl tensor. These are

$$\Sigma_{ij} = a\nabla_i(\nabla_j v - a^2 \gamma_{ij} \nabla^2 v),$$

$$E_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_i(\nabla_j(\Psi + \Phi) = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_i \nabla_j(\Psi + \Phi) - \frac{1}{6} \gamma_{ij} \nabla^2(\Psi + \Phi).$$

(4.12)

(4.13)

We denote the radial co-moving coordinate as $\chi$, where

$$a n^i \nabla_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi} = \frac{d}{d\chi} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}$$

(4.14)
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in the background. Because $T_{ABC}$ is first-order we do not need the perturbation of this. The derivative $d/d\chi$ is along the null geodesic, so that $\chi$ is a conformal affine parameter from the observer to the source obeying $d\lambda/d\chi = -a^2$. Together with this we have the spatial Laplacian,

$$\nabla_i \nabla^i = h^{ab} \nabla_a \nabla_b = a^{-2} \gamma^{ij} \nabla_i \nabla_j = a^{-2} \nabla^2,$$  

(4.15)

with the notation such that $\nabla^2$ is only used to denote the co-moving spatial Laplacian (i.e., the Laplacian on a Minkowski background). This is expanded using $N_{ab}$ into a co-moving screen Laplacian $\nabla^2_\perp$ and radial parts, as

$$\nabla^2 = \nabla^2_\perp + \frac{d^2}{d\chi^2} + 2 \frac{d}{d\chi} \partial_\theta + \frac{2}{\chi} \left( \partial_\theta + \frac{d}{d\chi} \right).$$  

(4.16)

We also require a comoving tetrad in the screen-space (i.e., with the scale-factor factored out), which is the natural tetrad for the observer. We define these as

$$ae_I = a\{n, e_A\} = \hat{e}_I = \{\hat{e}_\chi, \hat{e}_A\} \quad \text{where} \quad I = \chi, A, \quad \text{and} \quad A = \vartheta, \varphi.$$  

(4.17)

With respect to a standard Cartesian basis $i, j, k$, we have

$$\hat{e}_\chi = \sin \vartheta \cos \varphi i + \sin \vartheta \sin \varphi j + \cos \vartheta k,$$  

(4.18)

$$\hat{e}_\vartheta = \cos \vartheta \cos \varphi i + \cos \vartheta \sin \varphi j - \sin \vartheta k,$$  

(4.19)

$$\hat{e}_\varphi = -\sin \varphi i + \cos \varphi j,$$  

(4.20)

and

$$\nabla = \hat{e}_\chi \partial_\chi + \frac{\hat{e}_\vartheta}{\chi} \partial_\vartheta + \frac{\hat{e}_\varphi}{\chi \sin \vartheta} \partial_\varphi.$$  

(4.21)

The Ricci rotation coefficients for this tetrad are defined by

$$\nabla_I \hat{e}_J = (\hat{e}_I \cdot \nabla) \hat{e}_J = \Gamma^K_{IJ} \hat{e}_K \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \Gamma^A_{\chi A} = -\Gamma^X_{AA} = \frac{1}{\chi} \Rightarrow \Gamma^X_{AB} = -\frac{1}{\chi} \delta_{AB} \\
\Gamma^\vartheta_{\varphi \varphi} = -\Gamma^\varphi_{\varphi \varphi} = -\cot \vartheta \Rightarrow \Gamma^{ABC} = 2\epsilon^{ABC} \delta_C \cot \vartheta \end{array} \right.$$  

(no sum implied in the expressions on the right). The tetrad alternating tensor is $\epsilon_{AB} = \delta_A^{[\vartheta} \delta_B^{\varphi]}$. We are using notation where $\nabla_I = \hat{e}^b_I \nabla_b$, a derivative which we only use on scalars or tensors projected onto the tetrad basis. In this basis the 3-d Laplacian is

$$\nabla^I \nabla_I = \delta^{IJ}(\hat{e}_I \cdot \nabla)(\hat{e}_J \cdot \nabla) - \delta^{IJ}(\hat{e}_I \cdot \nabla \hat{e}_J) \cdot \nabla = \partial^2_\chi + \nabla_A \nabla^A$$  

(4.23)

which implies that the 2-D Laplacian is,

$$\nabla^2_\perp = \nabla_A \nabla^A - \frac{2}{\chi} \partial_\chi = \nabla_A \nabla^A - \frac{2}{\chi} \left( \frac{d}{d\chi} + \partial_\theta \right),$$  

(4.24)

which in turn implies the 3d Laplacian is

$$\nabla^2 = \nabla_A \nabla^A + \left( \frac{d}{d\chi} + \partial_\theta \right)^2.$$  

(4.25)
Radial and angular derivatives commute when acting on scalars as

\[ \nabla_A \partial_\chi = \partial_\chi \nabla_A + \frac{1}{\chi} \nabla_A \partial_\chi = \frac{d}{d\chi} \nabla_A + \frac{1}{\chi} \nabla_A \partial_\eta, \quad (4.26) \]

\[ \nabla_A \frac{d}{d\chi} = \frac{d}{d\chi} \nabla_A + \frac{1}{\chi} \nabla_A, \quad (4.27) \]

\[ \nabla_A \frac{d^2}{d\chi^2} = \left( \frac{d}{d\chi} + \frac{2}{\chi} \right) \frac{d}{d\chi} \nabla_A. \quad (4.28) \]

The integral version of the second is found from \( \chi \nabla_A f / d\chi f = d/d\chi (\chi \nabla_A f) \) as

\[ \chi \nabla_A \int_0^\chi d\chi' = \int_0^\chi d\chi' \chi' \nabla_A. \quad (4.29) \]

Projecting derivatives on scalars we have:

\[ \dot{\epsilon}_A^a \dot{e}_B^b \nabla_a \nabla_b = \nabla_A \nabla_B + \frac{1}{\chi} \delta_{AB} \partial_\chi - \Gamma_{AB}^C \nabla_C, \quad (4.30) \]

\[ \dot{\epsilon}_A^a \dot{e}_B^b \dot{e}_C^c \nabla_a \nabla_b \nabla_c = \nabla_A \nabla_B \nabla_C + \frac{3}{\chi} \delta_{(AB} \nabla_{C)} \partial_\chi - \Gamma_{D}^{BA} \nabla_D \nabla_C \nabla_D - 2 \Gamma_{D}^{C(A} \nabla_{B)} \nabla_D \]

\[ + \left[ 2 \Gamma_{E}^{D} \Gamma_{E}^{B,A} - \nabla_A \Gamma_{D}^{E,C,B} \right] \nabla_D - \frac{2}{\chi} \Gamma_{(B|A|C)} \partial_\chi - \frac{2}{\chi^2} \delta_{A}(B \nabla_C). \quad (4.31) \]

Relative to the matter frame, the matter content is dust. For full generality we shall keep the effective pressure components non-zero so that our results can be used in the case of modified gravity. To linear order the magnetic Weyl tensor is zero for scalar modes. So, the distortion tensor becomes, relative to the co-moving matter frame

\[ T_{ABC}^{\text{Ricci}} = -\frac{1}{4} (1 + z)^2 \delta_{A(B} \left( \nabla_C \rho + 2 \dot{\rho} \Sigma_{\parallel C} \right) - \frac{1}{4} (1 + z) \delta_{A(B} \left( (1 + z) \nabla_C (p + \pi_{\parallel}) - \dot{\pi}_{\parallel C} + \left( -\frac{3}{2} \theta + (1 + z) H \right) \pi_{\parallel C} \right) - \frac{1}{4} \delta_{BC} \left[ \dot{\pi}_{\parallel A} - \left( -\frac{3}{2} \theta + (1 + z) H \right) \pi_{\parallel A} \right] \quad (4.32) \]

\[ T_{ABC}^{\text{Weyl}} = \frac{1}{2} (1 + z) \delta_{A(B} \left\{ -(1 + z) \nabla_C E_{\parallel A} + \dot{E}_{\parallel A} - \frac{5}{4} \theta E_{\parallel A} \right\} + \frac{1}{2} (1 + z) \left[ -\dot{E}_{\parallel A} + \left( -\frac{7}{4} \theta + 2 (1 + z) H \right) E_{\parallel A} \right] \delta_{BC} - (1 + z)^2 \epsilon_A^a \epsilon_{(B}^b \epsilon_{C)}^{c} \nabla_b E_{ca}. \quad (4.33) \]

The projected parts of \( E_{ab} \) and \( \Sigma_{ab} \) we require are:

\[ 2 a^2 E_{\parallel} = 2 \dot{e}_A^a \dot{e}_B^b E_{ab} = \left[ \partial_\chi^2 - \frac{1}{3} \nabla^2 \right] (\Phi + \Psi) = \left[ -\frac{1}{3} \nabla_A \nabla^A + \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{d}{d\chi} + \partial_\eta \right)^2 \right] (\Phi + \Psi), \quad (4.34) \]

\[ 2 a^2 E_{\parallel A} = 2 \dot{e}_A^a \dot{e}_B^b E_{ab} = \frac{d}{d\chi} \nabla_A + \nabla_A \partial_\eta (\Phi + \Psi), \quad (4.35) \]
We have presented this such that in the case of LCDM and GR, only the first line remains.

Note the notation here: on the left hand side we are using the usual tetrad $e_I$, while on the right the indices are with respect to $\hat{e}_I$. Really we should introduce new notation for objects in the tetrad $\hat{e}_I$, but we don’t need to: if an object is a $1+3$ covariant tensor used above we’re using $e_I$; if there’s $\Phi$’s then the indices represent the comoving tetrad $\hat{e}_I$. We also require

\[
\frac{a^2}{2} \nabla_A \rho = \nabla_A \nabla_B \nabla^B \Psi + \left[ \frac{d^2}{d\chi^2} + \partial_\eta^2 + 2 \left( \partial_\eta + \frac{1}{\chi} \right) \frac{d}{d\chi} + \left( \frac{2}{\chi} - 3 \mathcal{H} \right) \partial_\eta \right] \nabla_A \Psi - 3 \mathcal{H}^2 \nabla_A \Phi .
\]

We can now give the perturbed version of the distortion tensor in terms of the metric potentials. Because this is to be integrated down the past lightcone, we write it in terms of $d/d\chi$ before other derivatives using the commutation relations. The Ricci contribution to the Hessian becomes [indices on the left are with respect to the full basis, on the right the conformal one]:

\[
(1 + z)^{-5} T_{ABC}^{\text{Ricci}} =
\]

\[
-\frac{1}{2} \delta_{A(B} \left\{ \nabla_C \nabla_D \nabla^D \Psi - \mathcal{H} \left( \frac{2}{\chi} \frac{d}{d\chi} + 2 \partial_\eta + 3 \mathcal{H} \right) \nabla_C \Phi \right\}
\]

\[
+ \left[ \frac{d^2}{d\chi^2} - \partial_\eta^2 + \frac{2}{\chi} \frac{d}{d\chi} + \left( \frac{2}{\chi} - 3 \mathcal{H} \right) \partial_\eta \right] \nabla_C \Phi
\]

\[
-\frac{1}{2} \delta_{A(B} \nabla_C \left[ \Psi'' + \mathcal{H}(2 \Psi' + \Phi') + (2 \mathcal{H}' + \mathcal{H}^2) \Phi \right]
\]

\[
-\frac{1}{4} \left\{ - \delta_{A(B} \nabla_C \nabla_D \nabla^D + \delta_{A(B} \left[ \frac{d^2}{d\chi^2} + \left( -4 \mathcal{H} + \frac{3}{\chi} + \partial_\eta \right) \frac{d}{d\chi} + \left( -4 \mathcal{H} + \frac{3}{\chi} \right) \partial_\eta \right] \nabla_C \right\}
\]

\[
+ \delta_{BC} \left[ - \frac{d^2}{d\chi^2} + \left( 4 \mathcal{H} - \frac{3}{\chi} - \partial_\eta \right) \frac{d}{d\chi} + \left( 4 \mathcal{H} - \frac{3}{\chi} \right) \partial_\eta \right] \nabla_A \left( \Psi - \Phi \right) .
\]
The Weyl contribution becomes

\[
(1 + z)^{-5} T_{W}^{\text{Weyl}} = \\
\frac{1}{2} \left\{ -\nabla(B \nabla C) \nabla A + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{A(B \nabla C)} \nabla D \nabla D + \Gamma_{(BC)}^{D} \nabla A \nabla D + 2 \Gamma_{A(B \nabla C)}^{D} \nabla D \right. \\
+ \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{d\chi^2} + \left( \frac{9}{4} \mathcal{H} - \frac{5}{4} \chi \right)^2 \frac{d}{d\chi} + \left( \frac{9}{4} \mathcal{H} - \frac{5}{4} \chi \right) \frac{d}{d\chi} + \frac{1}{\chi^2} \delta_{A(B \nabla C)} \right. \\
+ \left[ +\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{d\chi^2} - \left( \frac{7}{4} \mathcal{H} - \frac{7}{4} \chi \right)^2 \frac{d}{d\chi} - \left( \frac{7}{4} \mathcal{H} - \frac{7}{4} \chi \right) \frac{d}{d\chi} + \frac{1}{\chi^2} \delta_{BC} \nabla A \right. \\
- \frac{3}{\chi} \left( \frac{d}{d\chi} + \frac{1}{\chi} \right) \frac{d}{d\chi} \left( (\Psi + \Phi) \right) \right\}.
\]

(4.43)

As the final part of the solution we construct the integral projection operator. This is simple because \( T_{ABC} \) is already first order, so we just require it in the background where it reduces to the usual lensing kernel with small modifications (post-Born corrections to flexion have been considered in [24]). The area distance in the background is given in terms of the comoving distance

\[
\bar{d}_A(\chi) = \frac{\chi}{1 + z} = \frac{1}{1 + z} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{dz'}{(1 + z') \mathcal{H}(z')} \Rightarrow \bar{\theta} = -\frac{2}{\chi} (1 + z)^2 (1 - \mathcal{H} \chi)
\]

(4.44)

from which we derive the reciprocal distance

\[
\bar{d}_A(\lambda) = \bar{d}_A(\lambda) \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \left[ \frac{1}{d_A(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)} + \int_{\lambda_0 - \epsilon}^{\lambda} \frac{d\lambda'}{d_A(\lambda')^2} \right]
\]

(4.45)

\[
= \frac{\chi}{1 + z} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \left[ \frac{1}{\epsilon} - \int_{\epsilon}^{\chi} \frac{d\lambda'}{\lambda'^2} \right] = \frac{1}{1 + z}.
\]

(4.46)

The projection operator simplifies to in FLRW,

\[
\mathcal{P} = I \int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda} d\lambda' \bar{d}_A(\lambda') \left[ \bar{d}_A(\lambda) \bar{d}_A(\lambda') - \bar{d}_A(\lambda) \bar{d}_A(\lambda') \right]
\]

(4.47)

\[
= \frac{I}{1 + z} \int_{0}^{\chi} d\chi' \chi'^2 (\chi - \chi') \frac{(1 + z(\chi'))^5}{(1 + z(\chi'))^5}.
\]

(4.48)

Finally we can give the full expression for the Hessian in the case of perturbed FLRW:

\[
\mathcal{H}_{ABC} = \frac{1}{1 + z} \int_{0}^{\chi} d\chi' \chi'^2 (\chi - \chi') \left[ T_{ABC}^{\text{Ricci}}(\chi') + T_{ABC}^{\text{Weyl}}(\chi') \right] \left[ \frac{T_{ABC}^{\text{Ricci}}(\chi') + T_{ABC}^{\text{Weyl}}(\chi')}{(1 + z(\chi'))^5} \right].
\]

(4.49)

Note that the redshift terms cancel inside the integral with all integrated terms conformal comoving ones.
4.1 Dominant contribution

The dominant contribution to the distortion tensor arises from terms with the highest number of screen-space derivatives, which are enhanced compared to radial derivatives on small scales. In this limit it is straightforward to write down the Hessian:

\[
\mathcal{H}_{ABC} = \frac{1}{2(1 + z)} \int_0^X d\chi' \chi'^2 (\chi' - \chi) \left[ \nabla_{(B} \nabla_C \nabla_A (\Phi + \Psi) \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{\chi^2}{2(1 + z)} \nabla_{(B} \nabla_C \nabla_A (\Phi + \Psi)
\]

\[
= \frac{\chi^2}{2(1 + z)} \nabla_{(B} \nabla_C \nabla_A \psi(\chi).
\]

The invariant parts for the dominant part now become

\[
\hat{\mathcal{H}}_A = \frac{3}{4} \frac{\chi^2}{2(1 + z)} \nabla_A \nabla_B \nabla_B \psi
\]

\[
\mathcal{H}_A = 0
\]

\[
\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{ABC} = \frac{\chi^2}{2(1 + z)} \nabla_{(A} \nabla_B \nabla_C \psi.
\]

Angled brackets in the last line denote the trace-free part. The spin 1 modes associated with rotation are not excited in this approximation, while the others are sourced directly by the density contrast. The spin 3 mode is sourced purely by the distortion of the electric Weyl curvature.

4.2 Modified gravity

In principle, measuring the Hessian allows us to reconstruct \( \Phi \) and \( \Psi \) separately. Equality of these two potentials is a clear test of GR. Crucially, the pure trace-free mode of the Hessian \( \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{ABC} \) depends only on \( \Phi + \Psi \), and is only induced by the Weyl distortion. However, the other two modes depend on \( \Phi + \Phi \) but also on \( \Psi \) and \( \Phi \) in different ways. The Weyl contribution always gives \( \Phi + \Psi \), but the Ricci part sources the invariant parts of \( \mathcal{T}^{\text{Ricci}}_{ABC} \) as:

\[
\frac{4}{3} (1 + z)^{-5} \hat{T}^{\text{Ricci}}_A =
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{4} \nabla_A \nabla_B \nabla_B (\Phi + \Psi)
\]

\[
+ \left[ - \frac{11}{2} \frac{d^2}{d\chi^2} + \left( 9 \mathcal{H} - \frac{31}{4} \frac{1}{\chi} \frac{d}{d\chi} + \left( \frac{19}{2} \mathcal{H} - \frac{31}{4} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right) \nabla_A \psi
\]

\[
+ \left[ \frac{9}{8} \frac{d^2}{d\chi^2} + \left( -8 \mathcal{H} - \frac{21}{4} + \frac{9}{4} \frac{1}{\chi} \frac{d}{d\chi} + \left( -\frac{17}{2} \mathcal{H} + \frac{27}{4} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} + \frac{5}{2} \mathcal{H}^2 \right) \nabla_A \Phi,
\]

\[(4.56)\]
while the rotational invariant part becomes

\[ 3(1 + z)^{-5} \mathcal{R}_A^{\text{Ricci}} = \]

\[ + \left[ -\frac{3}{2} \frac{d^2}{d\chi^2} + \left( -\frac{7}{\chi} + \frac{4}{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right) \frac{d}{d\chi} \right] \nabla_A \Psi \]

\[ + \left[ -\frac{23}{8} \frac{d^2}{d\chi^2} + \left( +\frac{8}{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right) \frac{d}{d\chi} + \left( +\frac{15}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right) \right] \nabla_A \Phi, \]

(4.57)

Consequently, careful comparison of the 3 independent modes can give new tests of modified gravity.

### 4.3 Relative size of the new terms

We can estimate the importance of the new terms in different ways. In terms of the Hessian power spectrum, we can expand in spherical harmonics, in which case each angular derivative \( \nabla_A \) contributes a factor \( \ell/\chi \). The leading corrections to the power spectrum will come then from \( \nabla\nabla\nabla\nabla\nabla\nabla\nabla\nabla\nabla\nabla\nabla\psi \) contributions, which are suppressed relative to the leading \( \nabla\nabla\nabla\nabla\nabla\nabla\nabla\nabla\nabla\nabla\nabla\nabla\nabla\nabla\nabla\nabla\psi \) terms by a factor of \( 1/\ell^2 \). Thus percent-level corrections to the flexion power spectra will arise on scales of several degrees and above. It is on these scales where we would therefore be able to probe modifications to GR.

In terms of single lenses, again counting derivatives gives us the necessary insight. Recall that (the leading contributions to) convergence and shear are \( \sim \nabla\nabla\psi \), and the leading terms in the flexion are one derivative higher, while the new terms are one derivative lower, \( \sim \nabla\psi \).

For a compact lens \( \kappa \) falls off as we move away from the lens centre; for example, for an isothermal sphere, the fall-off is \( 1/r \), where \( r \) is the distance from the centre of the lens, while for a point source we have \( 1/r^2 \). Therefore, we expect 3 lensing zones: close to the lens, \( \nabla\nabla\nabla\psi \) dominates and we have the ‘flexion zone’, further out where \( \kappa \) and \( \gamma \) are dominant is the normal weak lensing zone, while further out still new (weaker) effects will appear from \( \nabla\psi \) terms. In this region we can expect the effects of \( \mathcal{H}_A \) to show up as new features in weak lensing.

### 5 Conclusions

We have derived for the first time the general solution to the Bazanski equation, which is the extension to the geodesic deviation equation which underlies weak lensing flexion. Consequently we have given the general equations for flexion in a perturbed FLRW model. An additional mode is found which depends on radial derivatives of the potentials along the line of sight. It may be used to test for modifications of GR, as it depends on the potentials differently from the other two flexion modes.
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