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In this talk we analyse the claim that supersymmetry (SUSY) naturally accounts for the observed dark matter density. In many cases, it is necessary to tune the parameters of a SUSY model to fit the WMAP data. We provide a quantitative analysis of the degree of tuning required for different annihilation channels. Some regions are natural, requiring no tuning at all, whereas others require tuning at the 0.1% level.
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1. Introduction

A key motivation for TeV scale supersymmetry (SUSY) is that it provides a natural dark matter candidate if the lightest neutralino is the LSP. However the regions of parameter space that yield neutralino dark matter in agreement with WMAP look very restricted. We recently studied the naturalness of dark matter in [1].

Questions of fine-tuning have long been considered in the case of electroweak symmetry breaking. In many of these studies the degree of fine-tuning required was quantified through a measure of the sensitivity of $m_Z^2$ to the input parameters of the MSSM $a_{MSSM}$. We use a similar measure [2] to quantify the degree of fine-tuning required of the MSSM parameters to produce an LSP that reproduces the observed dark matter relic density:

$$\Delta_{a_{MSSM}}^\Omega = \left| \frac{\partial \ln \left( \Omega_{CDM} h^2 \right)}{\partial \ln (a_{MSSM})} \right|.$$  (1)

We take the total tuning of a point to be $\Delta^\Omega = \max \left( \Delta_{a_{MSSM}}^\Omega \right)$.

The calculation of $\Omega_{CDM} h^2$ primarily depends on $a_{MSSM}$ through their effect on the annihilation cross-section of the lightest neutralino $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$. This is
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primarily determined by the mass and composition of $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$. This in turn is
determined by diagonalising the neutralino mass matrix at low energy. The
matrix depends upon $M_1$, $M_2$, and $\mu$. If one of these is much lighter than
the others $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ will be primarily of that form. This allows us to divide up the
MSSM parameter space depending on the composition of $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$. A wino LSP
($M_2 \ll M_1$, $\mu$) or higgsino LSP ($\mu \ll M_1$, $M_2$) annihilates very efficiently,
resulting in too little dark matter, $\Omega_{CDM} h^2 \ll \Omega_{WMP} h^2$. A bino LSP
($M_2 \ll M_1$, $\mu$) annihilates primarily via t-channel slepton exchange. This
process is only efficient for light sleptons and so bino LSPs generally result
in too large a relic $\Omega_{CDM} h^2 \gg \Omega_{WMP} h^2$.

Therefore to fit the observed dark matter density we are required to move
to unusual regions of the parameter space. One possibility is to consider a
mixed LSP. If we have a bino LSP with just enough of either wino or higgsino
mixed in, we can fit $\Omega_{WMP} h^2$. This is the so called “well-tempered”
neutralino championed in \cite{3}. Alternatively we can consider a bino LSP
in which the annihilation cross-section is enhanced via some means. This
can occur in a few different ways. Firstly, if there are light sfermions, t-
channel sfermion is enhanced. Secondly if $2m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = m_{Z,A,h}$ the neutralinos
can annihilate to an on-shell boson. Finally if the NLSP is quasi-degenerate
in mass with the LSP, there will be a significant NLSP number density
at freeze out and we must factor in annihilations of the NLSP into our
calculations of the SUSY relic density. All of these effects can enhance
annihilation of a bino LSP to the extent that we fit the observed dark matter
relic density. We would expect each region to exhibit a different sensitivity to
the MSSM input parameters. To study these regions we take 4 different sets
of boundary conditions on the MSSM input parameters $a_{MSSM}$ at $m_{GUT}$,
beginning with the familiar case of the constrained minimal supersymmetric
standard model (CMSSM).

The rest of this talk is set out as follows. In section \ref{sec:2} we consider the
CMSSM, which provides us with a useful reference point against which the
subsequent non-universal cases may be compared. In section \ref{sec:3} we allow the
third family soft sfermion and Higgs mass squared to vary independently.
In section \ref{sec:4} we consider neutralino dark matter with non-universal gaugino
masses, but with a universal soft scalar mass. In section \ref{sec:5} we consider both
the effects of including an independent third family sfermion mass squared
and non-universal soft gaugino masses. Section \ref{sec:6} concludes the talk.

2. CMSSM

The CMSSM has 4 free inputs:

$$a_{CMSSM} \in \{m_0, m_{1/2}, A_0, \tan \beta \text{ and } \text{sign}(\mu)\}. \quad (2)$$
Fig. 1. The \((m_{1/2}, m_0)\) plane for the CMSSM with \(A_0 = 0\), \(\tan \beta = 10\).

\(m_0\) is a common scalar mass that sets the soft masses of the sfermion and Higgs sectors. \(m_{1/2}\) is a common gaugino mass. \(A_0\) sets the soft SUSY breaking trilinear coupling. \(\tan \beta\) is the ratio of the Higgs VEVs. Finally the requirement that the model provide radiative electroweak symmetry breaking determines the magnitude of the SUSY conserving Higgs mass \(\mu\) but leaves the sign as a free parameter.

The mass and composition of the lightest neutralino is determined by diagonalising a mass matrix that depends upon the parameters \(M_1, M_2\) and \(\mu\) at the electroweak scale. In the CMSSM \(M_1 = M_2 = m_{1/2}\) at the GUT scale. As running effects mean that \(M_1(m_Z) \approx 0.4M_1(m_{GUT})\) and \(M_2(m_Z) \approx 0.8M_2(m_{GUT})\), gaugino mass unification sets \(M_1(m_Z) \approx 0.5M_2(m_Z)\). Therefore, unless \(\mu < M_1\), the lightest neutralino will be dominantly bino.

In Fig. 1 we consider the \((m_{0}, m_{1/2})\) plane of the CMSSM parameter space with \(\tan \beta = 10\), \(A_0 = 0\). Across this parameter space \(\tilde{\chi}_1^0\) is bino. This generally results in \(\Omega_{CDM} h^2 \gg \Omega_{CDM}^{WMAP} h^2\). However at low \(m_0\) the \(\tilde{\tau}\) becomes light. In the light (green) region \(m_{\tilde{\tau}} < m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}\) and the region is ruled out as this would result in a charged LSP. Along the edge of this region \(m_{\tilde{\tau}} \approx m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}\). This means that at the time of freeze out there would have been a large number density of \(\tilde{\tau}\) alongside the \(\tilde{\chi}_1^0\), allowing many more annihilation channels than are open for neutralinos alone. This results in a significant decrease of the neutralino relic density. In the multicoloured
strip that lies alongside the $\tilde{\tau}$ LSP region, this coannihilation process results in $\Omega_{CDM} h^2 = \Omega_{CDM}^{MAP} h^2$. The varying colours of this strip represent the value of $\Delta \Omega$, defined by the colour legend on the right.

Coannihilation occurs when the LSP and NLSP are close in mass. As a result, the efficiency of coannihilation processes is highly sensitive to the mass difference $\delta m = m_{NLSP} - m_{LSP}$. If these masses are determined by separate parameters we would expect that a large degree of tuning would be required to fit the observed dark matter density. In the coannihilation strip of Fig. 1, the NLSP is the stau. The stau mass is set at the GUT scale by $m_0$ and the neutralino mass is set by $m_{1/2}$. As these are independent parameters, we would expect the $\tilde{\tau} - \tilde{\chi}^0_1$ coannihilation region to exhibit considerable fine-tuning.

The colour coding of the coannihilation strip shows a tuning of $3 - 15$, considerably lower than would be expected if $m_{\tilde{\tau}}$ and $m_{\tilde{\chi}^0_1}$ were unrelated. The smallness of the tuning comes from the fact that along the coannihilation strip $m_0 < m_{1/2}$. The running of the right handed slepton masses are strongly dependent on $M_1$. When $m_0$ is small, the dominant contribution to the low energy $\tilde{\tau}$ mass is via this running contribution from $M_1$. Thus in this region of the CMSSM $m_{\tilde{\tau}}$ depends strongly on $m_{1/2}$, resulting in a correlation of the masses of the neutralino and the stau at low energy. It is this correlation of the masses that results in the low tuning observed.

Though we do not show it here, we have also investigated the other regions of the CMSSM that fit $\Omega_{CDM}^{MAP} h^2$. For large $m_0$ $\mu$ becomes small and we have a bino/higgsino LSP. We find that such regions exhibit a tuning $\Delta \Omega = 30 - 60$, less natural than the coannihilation strip. For large $\tan \beta$ we can also access a region in which $m_A \approx 2m_{\tilde{\chi}^0_1}$. This allows for neutralino annihilation via the production of an on-shell pseudoscalar Higgs boson. We find such an annihilation channel to require a tuning $\Delta \Omega = 80 - 300$. Finally at large $\tan \beta$ the running of the $\tilde{\tau}$ mass is no longer dominated by $M_1$. This breaks the correlation between $m_{\tilde{\chi}^0_1}$ and $m_{\tilde{\tau}}$ at low energies and results in the coannihilation strip that requires a tuning $\Delta \Omega \approx 50$. This bears out our expectations that coannihilation should require significant tuning to achieve in normal circumstances.

3. Non-universal Scalars

Our first move away from the universality of the CMSSM is to relax the universality between the generations of sfermions, setting the soft masses to
Fig. 2. The \((m_{1/2}, m_0)\) plane for non-universal sfermion masses with \(m_{0,3} = 1\) TeV, \(A_0 = 0\), \(\tan \beta = 10\).

be:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  m_{\tilde{Q}}^2, & m_L^2, & m_{\tilde{u}}^2, & m_{\tilde{d}}^2, & m_{\tilde{e}}^2
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
  m_0^2 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & m_0^2 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & m_{0,3}^2
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
m_{H_u}^2 = m_{H_d}^2 = m_{0,3}^2,
\]

\[
M_{\alpha} = m_{1/2}.
\]

This allows us to vary the 3rd family sfermion and Higgs mass squareds separately from the 1st and 2nd families. This allows us to have light 1st and 2nd family sfermions without violating LEP bounds on the lightest Higgs. In such a region we will also have a normal mass hierarchy (NMH) in which the 1st family sfermions are the lightest and the 3rd family sfermions are the heaviest, in contrast to the inverted mass hierarchy found in the case of universal soft scalar masses.

In Fig. 2 we display the \((m_0, m_{1/2})\) plane for \(m_{0,3} = 1\) TeV, \(A_0 = 0\), \(\tan \beta = 10\). By increasing the soft mass of the Higgs bosons to 1 TeV the LEP bound has moved down to 200 GeV. As before we have a coannihilation strip but as \(m_{\tilde{\tau}} > m_{\tilde{e}_R, \tilde{\mu}_R}\), the coannihilation here is with selectrons and smuons rather than the stau.
As before the coannihilation strip exhibits a tuning $\Delta \Omega \approx 10$ across much of its length. This drops to $\Delta \Omega \approx 2$ for $m_{1/2} < 260$ GeV. This decrease has two causes. Firstly, for $m_{1/2} < 370$ GeV we can access $m_0 = 0$. For $m_0 \approx 0$, the mass of $\tilde{e}_R$ and $\tilde{\mu}_R$ are almost entirely determined by the running effects from $M_1$ resulting in a strong correlation between $m_{\tilde{e}_R, \tilde{\mu}_R}$ and $m_{\tilde{\chi}^0_1}$. This decreases the tuning required to provide coannihilation. Secondly, as we move to low $m_0$ and $m_{1/2}$, we decrease the mass of the sleptons themselves, enhancing neutralino annihilation via t-channel slepton exchange. Indeed at point S2 t-channel slepton exchange accounts for 60% of the annihilation. The cross-section for t-channel slepton exchange varies slowly with the mass of the exchanged slepton and is relatively insensitive to other parameters. Therefore it requires little or no tuning to achieve. By maximising annihilation via t-channel slepton exchange we minimise the required tuning.

4. Non-universal Gauginos

We now relax the constraint of universal gaugino masses. By allowing $M_1$, $M_2$ and $M_3$ to vary independently we can control the bino/wino mixture of $\tilde{\chi}^0_1$. $M_3$ also has a strong effect on the running of the Higgs masses so by keeping $M_3$ large we can avoid the LEP bound on the lightest Higgs.
In Fig. 3 we show the \((m_0, M_1)\) plane for \(M_2 = M_3 = 350\) GeV, \(A_0 = 0\) and \(\tan \beta = 10\). In contrast to previous figures there are a large number of strips that agree with \(\Omega_{CDM}^{WMAP} h^2\). The strip running from G2 to G3 is the stau coannihilation strip we have seen before. The tuning of this strip agrees with our previous findings in the case of the CMSSM. The strip that runs from G3 through G4 corresponds to a well-tempered bino/wino neutralino. As this strip exhibits a tuning of order 30 we once again find that such “well-tempered” regions are less natural than coannihilation strips. At low \(M_1\) there are two broken vertical lines. These correspond to neutralino annihilation via the production of an on-shell \(Z\) or \(h^0\). The \(h^0\) resonance stretches to \(m_0 > 500\) GeV but is too thin for this plot to resolve. This channel requires tunings \(\Delta \Omega = 10 - 1000\) and so cannot be considered natural. Finally, the yellow region that incorporates the point G1 represents annihilation solely via t-channel slepton exchange. This region requires a tuning \(\Delta \Omega < 1\) and represents supernatural dark matter.

5. Non-universal Scalars and Gauginos

Finally we relax both the universality of the gaugino masses and the universality between sfermion generations at the same time. This allows us to test the robustness of our findings in each case against further non-universality. It also allows us to study a region in which \(M_2 (m_Z) \approx \mu\) and the lightest neutralino is a “maximally-tempered” bino/wino/higgsino.

In Fig. 4 we show the \((m_0, M_1)\) plane with \(M_2 = M_3 = 350\) GeV, \(m_{0,3} = 2250\) GeV, \(A_0 = 0\) and \(\tan \beta = 10\). At low \(M_1\) we find the \(h^0\) and \(Z\) resonances as before. The new feature is the line at \(M_1 = 400\) GeV that incorporates the point SG3. Throughout this region \(\tilde{\chi}_1^0\) is a mix of bino, wino and higgsino. At point SG3 the wino and higgsino components are roughly equal resulting in a maximally-tempered neutralino. This region exhibits a low tuning \(\Delta \Omega = 4\), considerably below that required for either bino/wino or bino/higgsino neutralinos.

6. Conclusions

We have studied the naturalness of the annihilation channels that allow MSSM neutralinos to account for the observed dark matter density. Within the four different sets of GUT scale boundary conditions considered, these annihilation channels each display characteristic degrees of fine-tuning. The largest tunings \(\Delta \Omega \) up to 1000 appear for annihilation via on-shell production of Higgs bosons. Moderate tunings \(O(30 - 60)\) are required for “well-tempered” neutralinos or slepton coannihilation with uncorrelated masses. The most natural annihilation channel is annihilation via t-channel slepton exchange.
Fig. 4. The \((M_1, m_0)\) plane for non-universal gaugino and sfermion masses with \(M_2 = M_3 = 350\) GeV, \(m_{0,3} = 2250\) GeV, \(A_0 = 0\), \(\tan \beta = 10\).

exchange. We have also found that certain RGE effects can result in surprising drops in the tuning for different channels. This is clearest in the case of slepton coannihilation for low \(m_0\) and \(\tan \beta\). In this case the mass of both particles is dominated by \(M_1\) and the low energy masses are correlated. This results in almost natural coannihilation, which refutes the conclusions of [3].

These results have recently been extended to the case of a type I string inspired model [4]. In such a model the input parameters differ from those of the MSSM and the characteristic tunings of different annihilation channels can vary.
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