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A loophole-free violation of Bell inequalities is of fundamental importance for demonstrating quantum nonlocality and long-distance device-independent secure communication. However, transmission losses represent a fundamental limitation for photonic loophole-free Bell tests. A local precertification of the presence of the photons immediately before the local measurements may solve this problem. We show that local precertification is feasible by integrating three current technologies: (i) enhanced single-photon down-conversion to locally create a flag photon, (ii) nanowire-based superconducting single-photon detectors for a fast flag detection, and (iii) superconducting transition-edge sensors to close the detection loophole. We carry out a precise space-time analysis of the proposed scheme, showing its viability and feasibility.

I. INTRODUCTION

One of the greatest discoveries of modern science is that nonlocal correlations can be established between spacelike separated events\textsuperscript{1}. In the last 39 years, many experiments testing Bell inequalities have been performed\textsuperscript{2–8}. However, all of them suffer from different limitations, which open loopholes for local-hidden-variable theories. This means that it is possible to construct a local-hidden-variable theory that is able to reproduce the observed data for any of these experiments. This occurs because the experimental conditions do not fully satisfy the hypothesis underlying Bell inequalities. A experimental loophole-free violation of Bell inequalities is of fundamental importance in demonstrating quantum nonlocality, entanglement-assisted reduction of communication complexity\textsuperscript{9}, device-independent secure communication\textsuperscript{10,11}, and random-number generation certified by fundamental physical principles\textsuperscript{12}.

There are two types of loopholes: those related to the separation between the local measurements and those related to the detection efficiency. The locality loophole occurs when the separation between the local measurements is too small to prevent communication at the speed of light between one observer’s measurement choice and the other observer’s measurement result. To avoid this possibility, these two events must be spacelike separated. The detection loophole occurs when overall detection efficiency is below a certain threshold value. Under this condition, the subensemble of detected events can agree with quantum mechanics, even though the entire ensemble satisfies Bell inequalities\textsuperscript{13}. Therefore, the violation of Bell inequalities only occurs under the assumption that detected events represent the entire ensemble. $\eta$ is the ratio between detected and prepared particles, and is equal to the product of the transmission efficiency, including any losses, and the detector efficiency. For the Clauser-Horne-Shimony-Holt (CHSH)\textsuperscript{14} Bell inequality, the threshold value is $\eta \geq 67\%$ for nonmaximally entangled states\textsuperscript{15}. For a more complex Bell inequality and ququarts, the threshold is $\eta \geq 62\%$\textsuperscript{16}. Perfect visibility is assumed in both cases; a realistic test would require $\eta \geq 70\%$\textsuperscript{17}.

The main problem is that a loophole-free Bell test requires achieving two conditions which are in conflict with each other: long spatial separation and high detection efficiency. In some experiments, the locality loophole has been closed using photons\textsuperscript{3,5,8}. In other experiments, the detection loophole has been closed using ions detected with high efficiency\textsuperscript{6,7}. However, up until now, no experiment has succeeded in simultaneously close both.

At present, three different approaches are currently being pursued worldwide to achieve a loophole-free Bell test. One is based on the creation of pairs of photons entangled in polarization, free-space distribution along a distance of about 60 m, and detection with high-efficiency superconducting detectors\textsuperscript{17}. However, up until now, this approach has been unsuccessful. Current superconducting transition-edge sensors (TESs) have detection efficiencies beyond 0.97\textsuperscript{18,19}, but to achieve this efficiency the photon has to be inside the fiber ending in the detector in a cryostat operating at low temperature. The propagation of the photon through free space and its coupling with the fiber makes the actual overall photo detection efficiency insufficient for a loophole-free test. This is the so-called transmission problem. The highest reported value in a Bell configuration with (without) spacelike separation is $\eta \sim 38\%$\textsuperscript{20} ($\eta \sim 62\%$\textsuperscript{21}). Recently, different schemes have been proposed based on single photon entanglement\textsuperscript{22,24}.

Another optical approach exploits photonic entanglement in continuous variables. The first proposals\textsuperscript{25,26} used photon subtraction on squeezed states to generate quantum states with nonpositive distribution in phase space. However, the violation of the Bell inequality was too small to be observed in practical conditions. Recently, a novel approach combines homodyne measurements (quadrature variables) of high quantum efficiency with photon-number measurements, in order to produce large and, in principle, observable violations of the CHSH inequality\textsuperscript{27,28}. Very recently, hybrid local measure-
ments combining homodyne measurements and photodetection have been shown to provide violations with arbitrarily low photodetection efficiency, at the cost of a more complex state generation [30].

A third approach is based on the adoption of entangled states of two remote atoms [31]. This requires fast atom detection, which has been recently demonstrated [32]. However, the required spacelike separation between the two entangled systems still represents an experimental challenge. A further possible platform exploits entangled states between atomic and photonic systems [33, 34]. Atom-photon Bell experiments in which the atom is detected with certainty only require \( \eta \geq 50\% \) using the CHSH inequality [35] and \( \eta \geq 43\% \) using a 3-setting Bell inequality [36].

In this paper, we propose an experimental approach to achieve a loophole-free Bell test. This approach adopts a precertification technique that allows the “effective” transmission efficiency to be boosted up to one. Three current technologies will be exploited: (i) enhanced single-photon spontaneous parametric down-conversion (SPDC), (ii) fast nanowire-based superconducting single-photon detectors (SSPDs), and (iii) highly efficient superconducting TESs.

II. LOCAL PRECERTIFICATION OF PHOTON’S PRESENCE

The underlying idea is to certify the presence of a photon \( A \) in Alice’s location by splitting it into two photons 1 and 2 by adopting an enhanced single-photon down-conversion (i). Photon 2 is hence detected by a fast detector (ii), and adopted as a flag to precertify the presence of photon 1. The configuration is such that photon 1 bears the same information initially encoded in photon \( A \). Finally, photon 1 is revealed with a highly efficient detector (iii). The advantage of the present scheme is that only the events in which photon 2 is detected are involved in the Bell test. In this way, the transmission losses between the entangled source and Alice do not affect the detection efficiency. Moreover, a proper spatial and spectral selection on photon 2 can be carried out in order to prepare photon 1 in a mode highly coupled with the final detector (iii) at the cost of a reduced experiment rate, but without affecting the final detection efficiency. For two-photon Bell tests, the same conditions should be satisfied to precertify the presence of photon \( B \) in Bob’s location. For atom-photon Bell tests, this procedure shall be applied only to the photonic part.

Attention must be paid to possible loopholes due to the rejection of part of the data. Hence, a careful analysis of the space-time diagram has been carried out in Fig. 1. Precertification of photon 1 (duplication and detection of flag photon 2) in Alice’s side should not be influenced by Bob’s set of local measurements; thus, it must lie outside the light cone of Bob’s decision. In addition, to avoid postselection loopholes [37] and guarantee that the flag detection is independent of the local settings, Alice’s flag photon should be detected before Alice’s local setting is established. Therefore, high-speed random-number generators to set the local measurements should be adopted. Moreover, the basis selection should be outside the light cone of the photon duplication, otherwise precertification could influence the basis choice. Finally, photon 1 is detected with a detector with resolution time \( t_{TES} \) and the measurement process should finish before any signal coming from Bob’s location reaches Alice’s location.

Let us now address, in more detail, all the different stages introduced above.

(i) Flag photo detectors.—Current nanowire-based SSPDs [38, 39] are ideal for flagging purposes: They
are extremely fast (jitter well below 100 ps, recovery time below 10 ns), they have ultralow dark count rates \( \eta_{\text{d-SSPD}} = 0.2 \) in the visible range, up to 0.60 for certain wavelengths. While this is not good enough to use them as local-measurement detectors, it is good enough to guarantee a good fraction of precertified events.

(ii) Local-measurement photo detectors.—Optical TESs have been manufactured for wavelengths of 702 nm, 800 nm, 850 nm, 1310 nm, and 1550 nm with detection efficiencies up to \( \eta_{\text{d-TEs}} = 0.97–0.99 \) [15,16]. Each detector is built for a particular wavelength using a cavity to increase the probability of absorption in the selected wavelength. The typical jitter detection time is \( t_{\text{TES}} = 100 \text{ ns} \), but recently values up to 10 ns have been achieved [10], while the recovery time is in the 0.1–1 \( \mu \text{s} \) range.

(iii) Enhanced single-photon down-conversion.—The photon-splitting scheme introduced before can be implemented by adopting the techniques of nonlinear optics. Hereafter, we propose and analyze a scheme based on the process of SPDC. Let us first consider the single photon on mode \( A \) to be in the state \( \alpha |H\rangle_A + \beta |V\rangle_A \). The photon is injected into a waveguide nonlinear crystal with high nonlinearity. The nonlinear crystal configuration is such that the Hamiltonian of interaction reads
\[
H = i\hbar \chi \left( a_{A,H} a_{1,H}^\dagger a_{2,H}^\dagger + a_{A,V} a_{1,V}^\dagger a_{2,V}^\dagger \right) + h.c.
\] (1)

There, \( a_{i,j} \) stands for the annihilation operator associated to the mode \( i \) with polarization \( j \). Such a Hamiltonian describes the process in which a single photon is annihilated over mode \( A \) with polarization \( j \) (\( j = H, V \)), leading to the generation of a pair of photons, 1 and 2, with the same polarization. Energy conservation reads \( \nu_A = \nu_1 + \nu_2 \).

Let us now consider the evolution induced on the incoming single-photon state by the nonlinear process [11]. The corresponding unitary evolution reads \( U = \exp(-iHt/\hbar) \), with \( t \) interaction time, which is applied to the incoming state \( \{\alpha |1,0\rangle_A + \beta |0,1\rangle_A\} |0,0\rangle_1 |0,0\rangle_2 \), where \( |m,n\rangle \) stands for a state with \( m \) (\( n \)) photons with polarization \( H \) (\( V \)) on mode \( i \). After the interaction, the output state is
\[
|\Psi\rangle_{A12} = \cos(g) \left( \alpha |1,0\rangle_A + \beta |0,1\rangle_A \right) |0,0\rangle_1 |0,0\rangle_2 \\
+ \sin(g) |0,0\rangle_A \left( \alpha |1,0\rangle_1 |0,1\rangle_2 + \beta |0,1\rangle_1 |1,0\rangle_2 \right),
\] (2)

where \( g \) is the gain of the nonlinear interaction and reads \( g = \chi t \). Later, we will comment on the achievable values of \( g \) with the present technology. Let us consider the working condition \( g = \pi/2 \). The single photon at frequency \( A \) is deterministically split into two photons, 1 and 2, which, in general, can be nondegenerate in frequency.

In the following step, photons 1 and 2 are divided by using a dichroic mirror, which transmits the photon with wavelength \( \lambda_1 \) and reflects the photon with wavelength \( \lambda_2 \). Photon 2 is measured in the polarization basis \( \{\pi_+, \pi_-\} \) and detected with efficiency \( \eta \) using the nanowire-based SSPD. When the outcome \( \pi_+ \) is found, the state of polarization of photon 1 is \( \alpha |H\rangle_1 + \beta |V\rangle_1 \).

The present process does not realize a quantum cloning of the initial qubit \( A \). Indeed, while the photon 1 bears all the information initially encoded in \( A \), the measurement of photon 2 in the basis \( \{\pi_+, \pi_-\} \) does not provide any information on the coefficients \( \{\alpha, \beta\} \). The overall process can be interpreted as a quantum nondemolition measurement of the number of incoming photons. Let us now consider that the SPDC crystal is inserted after a lossy channel with transmissivity \( \eta_t \). If photon 2 is lost before the SPDC, then no twin-photon generation can occur, since there is no pumping beam exciting the crystal, and hence no photon is detected on mode 2. For the sake of completeness, we address the scenario in which \( g \) is different than \( \pi/2 \). In this case, photon 1 is split into particles 1 and 2 with probability \( \sin(g)^2 \). However, since \( A \) and 2 have different frequencies, the events in which SPDC did not occur are easily discarded by performing a spectral selection before the detector \( D_2 \). In summary, the optical scheme described above allows us to herald the presence of a photon in any polarization state after passing through a lossy channel without disturbing the polarization-encoded information.

Let us now apply the same procedure to a polarization-entangled state of two photons, \( A \) and \( B \). At the end, photons \( B \) and 1 are found in the heralded entangled state:
\[
2^{-1/2} |(H)_B |V\rangle_1 + |V\rangle_B |H\rangle_1 \).
\]

Clearly, the same nonlinear process can also be adopted on mode \( B \) by splitting the photon in two photons 3 and 4. Upon heralding by detection of photon 4, the final state is found to be \( 2^{-1/2} |(H)_3 \rangle |V\rangle_1 + |V\rangle_3 |H\rangle_1 \). We note that the above procedure can also be applied to nonmaximally entangled states that minimize the threshold detection efficiency \( \eta_t \), since it fully preserves the initial quantum states upon heralding.

Let us now estimate the quantitative characteristics of the proposed scheme. The rate at which the two photons are heralded is \( R_{\text{exp}} = R \mu_c^2 \eta_t^2 \eta_{\text{d-SSPD}}^2 \), where \( R \) is the rate of the entangled source, \( \mu_c = \sin(g)^2 \), \( \eta_t \) the coupling of the entangled source with single mode fibers, and \( \eta_{\text{d-SSPD}} \) the transmissivity up to the single-photon SPDC crystal. This expression takes into account the probability of creating a down-conversion pair both on Alice and Bob’s locations, and the probability of detecting the heralding photons on modes 2 and 4. Now the condition for a loophole-free Bell test becomes \( \eta_t \eta_{\text{d-SSPD}} > 0.76 \), with \( \eta_t \) the coupling of the certified photon with a multimode fiber connected with the TES. Clearly, an efficiency \( \eta_t < 1 \) on the final stage will decrease the final efficiency to \( \eta_{\text{TES}} \). However, the heralded signal photon can be prepared in high-purity state by properly spatially and spectrally selecting the heralding photon. At the final stage, we adopt a multimode fiber for coupling with the TES in order to relax the constraint on the
mode preparation and to increase the detection efficiency as much as possible. Previous research mainly focused on heralded photon sources that rely on photon pairs \( \chi^{(3)} \), where one of the photons is used to herald the existence of the other photon within a well-specified spectral bandwidth and spatial single mode. These requirements to have the heralded photon coupled with a single mode fiber and/or narrow bandwidth led to a \( \eta_k \) typically within 0.4–0.8 \( \chi^{(3)} \). The present scheme does not need to fulfill these constraints since the TES is connected with a multimode fiber; hence, we expect the condition \( \eta_k = 0.8–0.9 \) to be achievable. The basic idea is that, unlike traditional Bell-type experiments using TESs, we can support a lower experiment rate (due to the filtering process on the heralding photon) with the benefit of improving the final photon coupling. A nonperfect purity of single-photon heralding would not decrease the polarization correlations in the \( H, V \) basis. Hence, the heralded state will be an entangled state with colored noise for which, as shown below, the minimum detection efficiency exhibits a high robustness against noise.

To address the feasibility of the scheme, we should now estimate which rate \( R_{\text{exp}} \) can be actually achieved experimentally. A critical parameter is \( \mu_C \), which depends on the achievable gain \( g \) for the single-photon SPDC. Recently, Hübel et al. reported the observation of SPDC from a single-photon state \( \chi^{(3)} \) (see also \( \chi^{(3)} \)). In their apparatus, they adopted a waveguide periodically-poled potassium titanyl phosphate (PPKTP) nonlinear crystal with a length equal to \( L = 30 \text{ mm} \) and observed a nonlinearity \( \mu_C \approx 10^{-5} \). The adopted crystal was able to generate only pairs of photons with horizontal polarization. For realizing the Hamiltonian necessary for our purpose, a couple of orthogonal crystals should be adopted analogously to those in \( \chi^{(3)} \). Attention should be paid to obtaining complete spectral indistinguishability between the two crystals; a possible scheme could adopt a Sagnac configuration, as reported by Fedrizzi et al. for the generation of narrowband entangled photons \( \chi^{(3)} \). The second feature to be improved is the nonlinearity of the interactions: \( \mu_C \propto L^2d_{2g} \). The adoption of commercially available longer crystals and proper coating should easily provide \( \mu_C = 4 \times 10^{-4} \). To fulfill the condition \( \mu_C \) of the order of \( 10^{-3} \), a resonant cavity for the pumping photon could be adopted to improve the interaction strength. An additional factor of 10 (or even more) is feasible using already demonstrated cavity-based enhancers \( \chi^{(3)} \). Moreover, the gain increases if the bandwidth reaching the cavity is narrow; thus, the photon pairs should be selected in a narrow bandwidth first \( \chi^{(3)} \). Other approaches to be pursued could exploit highly nonlinear fibers \( \chi^{(3)} \) with a long interaction length \( L \) or adopt materials with higher nonlinear coefficients, like organic crystals \( \chi^{(3)} \).

Another possible realization could adopt materials with \( \chi^{(3)} \) nonlinearities. The \( \chi^{(2)} \) Hamiltonian described above is obtained by adopting one strong pump field. However, higher nonlinearity is achievable due to the classical pump field. Very recently, Langford et al. observed a value of \( g \) equal to \( 10^{-4} \). Higher coupling, in principle even \( \mu_C = 1 \), could be achieved by increasing the classical pumping beam power.

Let us now estimate the ultimate feasibility of the proposed scheme. We consider a source of polarization-entangled photons with wavelength of 716 nm based on a nonlinear crystal pumped by an UV pump beam at 358 nm. The generation rate is set to the value \( R = 2 \times 10^7 \text{ s}^{-1} \); this high value can be adopted since the SSPDs exhibit a very high time resolution. The transmittance up to the precertification stage has a typical value \( \eta_{\text{SSPD}} = 0.3 \) that includes spectral, spatial filtering, and losses in the channel. We consider a duplication process with efficiency in the range \( \mu_C = 2 \times 10^{-4} \). On both Alice’s and Bob’s sides, the rates of photons at wavelengths \( \lambda_1 = 1310 \text{ nm} \) and \( \lambda_2 = 1550 \text{ nm} \) reach \( 10^4 \text{ s}^{-1} \). We consider an overall detection efficiency on the flag photon \( \eta_{\text{SSPD}} \approx 0.1 \) (including spectral and spatial filtering), while the final detection efficiency is close to \( \eta_{\text{H}-\text{TES}} \approx 0.8 \). Adopting the previous values, the final number of estimated events per second is \( 0.002–0.01 \text{ s}^{-1} \), which corresponds to about 10–50 coincidence counts per hour.

Finally, we briefly analyze additional noise introduced by the precertification stages and how it may affect the feasibility of the scheme. The main source of noise can arise in the single-photon SPDC process. In particular, we could expect a residual distinguishability between the emission of photon pairs with horizontal and vertical polarizations. This noise is a so-called colored noise which transforms a nonmaximally entangled state to a nonmaximally entangled state of the form \( C|HV\rangle + S|VH\rangle \) into \( C^2|HV\rangle|HV\rangle + (1 - p)^2CS(|HV\rangle|HV\rangle + |VH\rangle|VH\rangle) + S^2|VH\rangle|VH\rangle \), where \( C = \cos(\theta) \) and \( S = \sin(\theta) \), and \( p \) is the distinguishability between \( H \) and \( V \) introduced by the single-photon SPDC. The square factor arises because we have two single-photon SPDC processes. Once the noise parameter \( p \) is characterized in the experiment, one should determine the strategy which minimize the threshold detection efficiency by properly choosing \( \theta \), the measurement to perform on Alice’s and Bob’s sides, and the Bell inequality to test \( \chi^{(3)} \). The minimum threshold detection efficiency for the CHSH inequality has been previously estimated for entangled states with white noise \( \chi^{(3)} \). Here we report preliminary results for the specific noise in our scheme \( \chi^{(3)} \). The interesting point is that this noise almost does not increase the threshold detection efficiency. For example, for a typical \( p \) in the 0.01–0.04 range, the threshold detection efficiency is in the 0.676–0.702 range. The robustness of the threshold detection efficiency for colored noise allows us to relax the requirement on the purity of the heralded single-photon states.

Recently, Gisin et al. have proposed a heralded qubit amplification to implement device-independent quantum key distribution. They introduced a heralded qubit amplifier based on single-photon sources and linear
optics that provides a possible solution to overcome the problem of channel losses in Bell tests. Such a scheme could be somewhat related to our approach. While our approach splits a photon in two and detects one photon to precertify the presence of the other one, the protocol of [50] exploits quantum teleportation to realize a heralded single-photon amplifier [64]. Hence, one could apply the previous analysis on spatiotemporal separation to perform a loophole-free test. However, the requirement of high indistinguishability for Bell state measurement and the achievement of a sufficiently large \( \eta_k \) makes the approach in [50] difficult to implement for a loophole-free Bell test. In [61], the possibility of achieving entanglement swapping process to overcome the problem of channel losses by adopting sum-frequency generation has been investigated, while [62] considered entanglement swapping with linear optics.

The previous precertification scheme considered photons transmitted over the fiber at 800 nm. The wavelength of the transmitted pair of entangled photons could be shifted to the telecom ones by adopting single-photon up-conversion at Alice’s and Bob’s sides [62]. Single-photon up-conversion can have efficiency close to 1, since the nonlinear interaction exploits a strong pumping beam [63] and can preserve the information encoded in the incoming single-photon states [64].

III. CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that local precertification of the photon’s presence is feasible by integrating three current technologies. The scheme proposed addresses the transmission problem, which is the main obstacle for photonic and atom-photon loophole-free Bell tests, and opens new perspectives for applications. The developments needed to achieve local precertification will also have applications in long-distance photonic communication beyond Bell tests.
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