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Abstract

In recent decades, numerous studies have attempted to project the impact of hypothesised anthropogenic climate change on rice production. In this study, we offer a comprehensive review of our current understanding related to temperature, CO$_2$, and water-demand parameters in rice growth models. As to future rice yield, night time temperature should be focused in the models as well as day time temperature owing to the contribution of temperature on the night time respiration. Furthermore, although CO$_2$-enhanced photosynthesis is critical for the accurate prediction of rice production in a higher CO$_2$ atmosphere, we found that recent well-developed photosynthesis-stomatal model cannot realize the variation of CO$_2$ stomatal sensitivity with humidity conditions. To estimate water stress under projected climate-change conditions, rice growth model should be required to link with water resource model, which includes natural processes and anthropogenic regulations. The understanding of abilities and limitations in the models is important not only to improve the schemes that models employ, but to also critically review the simulated results.
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Introduction

Rice is the most important staple food for a large part of the world's population, especially in East and South Asia, the Middle East, Latin America, and the West Indies (FAO, 2005). As the population increases rapidly in these regions (Coats, 2003; Bloom, 2011), the demand for rice will grow to an estimated 2000 million metric tons by 2030 (FAO, 2002). To supply to this increasing demand, the methods of rice production will require significant improvement (Ainsworth, 2008). Achieving this goal, however, is sure to be a challenge with respect to future climatic changes (Matthews et al., 1997), which will basically be characterized by current global warming trends (Fischer et al., 2005). The rise in temperatures and levels of carbon dioxide and uncertain rainfall associated with climate change may have serious adverse effects either directly or indirectly on the growth, development, and yield of rice crops (Lobell et al., 2011).

In recent decades, numerous studies have attempted to project the impact of hypothesised anthropogenic climate change on rice production (Long et al., 2005, 2006; Tubiello et al., 2007; Ziska and Bunce, 2007). Rice growth models are routinely used for assessing the impact of diverse agro-environmental changes on rice growth and yield (Spitters et al., 1989). Statistical models (e.g., Kandiannan et al., 2002; Lobell and Burke, 2008; Auffhammer et al., 2012) based on multiple regression analysis of historical yields and weather data are useful tools to estimate the impacts of climate trends upon rice yields. However, it becomes difficult to use the statistical models to examine the direct or indirect impacts of climate change in the good management of a rice paddy field (Wang et al., 1991), because the data reflected in the model contains the human effort being made to mitigate environmental shocks (negative effects) in order to maintain a high level of production. In addition, statistical models do not fully account for the physiological responses of rice to the unexpected and not-yet-experienced agri-environments that will result from dramatic climate change (Lobell and Burke, 2008). The results obtained will, thus, not enable us to fully understand how climate change will affect future food availability.

Increasing concern about the sustainable management of environmental resources and the effects of climate...
change on rice production has triggered the development of a number of sophisticated models based on physical and physiological processes (van Laar et al., 1992; de Vries FWT et al. 1989; Kropff et al. 1993; Matthews and Hunt, 1994). Process-based rice growth models of varying degrees of complexity can benefit from a comprehensive assessment of the response to likely climate changes (Lansigan et al., 1997; Bouman and Tuong, 2001). As part of an effort to mimic a complex agrosystem, knowledge from experiments in both the field and laboratory will be incorporated into process-based models, using novel parameters and improved schemes. However, although these models are currently the best method available (Bouman and Tuong, 2001), they still rely on imperfect mechanistic processes and weak assumptions. Furthermore, these problems will not be solved even if a model includes all the parameters selected for an agricultural system, because the systems we are modelling are extremely complex. However, if we can demonstrate that certain parameters and schemes in a model are insignificant, then we can omit them to create a better and simpler model for good performance. Therefore, it is critically important to know which parameters will be the most significant for estimating rice productivity in the future environment.

With the current situation of global warming under the human-induced climate change, knowledge of the effects of (1) temperature, (2) CO2, and (3) water demand on the growth and development of rice crops has become essential over the past few years. In this study, we offer a comprehensive review of our current understanding related to temperature, CO2, and water-demand parameters. Our expectation is that this will be of significant use in understanding the development of the models for the prediction of future situations.

**Effects of temperature on rice yield**

The impact of air temperature on rice growth would be location-specific because of the different sensitivity of different locations with regard to temperature. In tropical regions, the temperature increase due to the climate change is probably near or above the optimum temperature range for the physiological activities of rice (Hogan et al., 1991; Baker et al. 1992). Such warming will thus reduce rice growth. In addition, higher temperatures will cause spikelet sterility owing to heat injury during panicle emergence (Satake and Yoshida, 1978). In temperate regions, increased air temperatures should hasten rice development, thereby shortening the time from transplanting (or direct seeding) to harvesting and reducing the total time for photosynthesis yield development (Neue and Sass, 1994). Similarly, in high latitude regions, atmospheric warming may also increase the duration of the rice growing season. Therefore, a location-specific-parameterized rice model is not appropriate for modelling future environments globally (Shimono et al., 2008).

Although air temperature has conventionally been considered in the physiological processes of rice, the parameter of leaf temperature is more significant from the perspective of the energy balance on the leaf level, photosynthesis, and transpiration (Morison and Gifford 1984; Lasseigne et al., 2007). Therefore, the differences between leaf temperature and air temperature can create a significant uncertainty with regard to the season length and yield (Baker et al., 1990). For example, leaf temperatures could be warmer than the air owing to soil-surface influences, particularly in humid regions, which would result in a more rapid yield than that by air temperatures. The difference of 1°C will cause the change of leaf respiration by as much as approximately 1% of gross photosynthesis (Mebrahtu et al., 1991). However, only a few models calculate leaf temperature separately from air temperature, owing to the difficulty of parameterization of the related environmental factors. Basically, leaf temperature corresponds to air temperature (Harley et al., 1985). In addition, the temperature of an illuminated leaf is elevated by an amount proportional to the ratio of the incident radiation to a convention coefficient (e.g., humidity, wind speed, etc.) (O’Toole and Tomar, 1982). Therefore, a model requires the estimation of leaf temperature, using relevant mechanistic processes, in order to simulate the response of rice to changes in climatic variables.

Recent observation of climate variability shows an increase in the global mean surface air temperature, and a decrease in the diurnal temperature range (Easterling et al., 1997; Braganza et al., 2004; Makowski et al., 2008). This conclusion is derived from the fact that the daily minimum temperature is increasing at a faster rate than the daily maximum owing to the large specific heat of water, particularly in rice-growing areas (Welcha et al. 2010). Accordingly, in recent rice studies, attention has been directed to the effect of the daily minimum (night time) temperature. Peng et al. (2004), using field experiment data from 1979 to 2003, showed that yield might be more sensitive to the daily minimum temperature than to the daily maximum. The negative relationship between rice yield and daily minimum temperature is derived from the elevated specific dark respiration that takes place during the night time.

Indeed, the reported yields of maize, wheat, and soybeans cannot be fully understood by the relation of respiration to increased night time temperature (Peng et al., 2004). Nevertheless, the yield might be explained by their acclimation of crop dark respiration at higher temperatures, and the relationship between dark respiration and the previous daytime’s photosynthesis. The clear relationship between rice yield and daily minimum
Interactive responses to increased CO₂

Atmospheric CO₂ has been increasing at a rather steady rate, at least on the time scale of a decade. During the last 50 years it has increased exponentially at a rate of approximately 2.4% per year. For this reason, there is continued interest in how rice will respond to future increases in CO₂, since rice uses CO₂ in its photosynthesis and growth. Most agronomic models use the magnitude of CO₂ fertilization factor, which is mostly based on data from three literature reviews from the 1980s (Kimball 1983; Cure and Acock 1986; Allen et al. 1987). According to laboratory experiments, rice grown at a higher CO₂ level has more tillers than rice grown at an ambient level of CO₂. Furthermore, the suppression of rice-specific dark respiration at high CO₂ levels has been observed, despite the large variations in observed outputs (Amthor 1991; Imai et al. 1992; Poorter et al. 1992), and the physiological metabolism of nutrients in rice will become more critical under higher CO₂ conditions.

According to previous enclosure experiments that have contributed to the physiological schemes used in rice growth models, the grain yield of rice will be promoted by higher CO₂ levels. These studies, however, were probably conducted in the absence of other limiting factors. For example, Long et al. (2006) found that the photosynthesis stimulated in a rice free-air concentration enrichment (FACE) experiment is four times lower than the elevated CO₂-enhanced value that is expected in enclosure studies. Given this circumstance, the most important scientific question is which physiological behaviours and environmental factors offset the direct fertilization effect of a rise in CO₂ (Harley et al., 1985).

Indeed, positive performance under elevated CO₂ would be directly associated with four key parameters: a decreased stomatal aperture, enhanced photosynthetic activity, increased total biomass, and changed biomass partitioning. The reduced stomatal aperture would produce an increased rice canopy temperature as a result of suppressed transpiration. It can thus mediate negative feedback in a warmed atmosphere. Despite a small stomatal aperture, CO₂-enhanced photosynthesis will be produced by a rise in the intercellular CO₂ concentration under a higher level of atmospheric CO₂ (Mott 1988).

However, following long-term subjection to a higher CO₂ level, the net leaf photosynthetic rate of rice often declines from the expected value (Imai and Murata 1978; Peet 1986). This process is called the acclimation of rice photosynthesis to higher CO₂. Although this acclimation is difficult to realize in a rice growth model because its mechanism remains unclear, the acclimation scheme of an effect that is in contrast to elevated CO₂-enhanced photosynthesis might be critical for the accurate prediction of rice production in a higher CO₂ atmosphere (Makino et al., 1997). In addition, considering the increased rice biomass that is produced in a higher CO₂ atmosphere, which takes place through the increased photosynthesis and the decreased respiration, it is important for the process of biomass allocation to be accounted for in order to investigate these factors’ possible significance in a rice growth model. Previous measurement studies showed that specific leaf weight often increases in a higher CO₂ atmosphere as a result of the thicker leaves and the increased number and length of the crown roots that are produced. However, the contribution of the changed leaf area or root biomass to the rice yield remains unclear.

Although the response of rice growth to elevated CO₂ is critically associated with other environmental factors, the interactive effects of simultaneous change have not been well investigated either in modelling studies or in experimental measurements. For example, few studies have mentioned the different sensitivity of stomatal behaviour to vapour pressure deficit (VPD) in terms of elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentrations (Bunce 1998, 2001; Katul et al. 2009). Indeed, stomata, which are the strategic juncture for CO₂ and water exchange between plant and atmosphere, are strongly linked not only to CO₂ concentration, but also to the humidity as an atmospheric demand for moisture. The measurement data in Bunce (1998, 2001) shows that the ratio \( \frac{g_s_{700}}{g_s_{350}} \) of stomatal conductance at 700 μmol mol⁻¹ to that at a concentration of 350 μmol mol⁻¹ CO₂ is negatively correlated with VPD to a significant degree (see Figure 1). However, according to our sensitivity test, one current well-developed model, which was proposed by Collatz et al. (1991) and which uses the biochemical photosynthesis model of Farquhar et al. (1980) as well as the stomatal conductance model of (Ball et al. 1987), could not realize this actual behaviour (see Table 1 for formula). Basically, their coupled photosynthesis-stomatal conductance model can represent accurate stomata behaviours in response to CO₂ and VPD under controlled conditions. Therefore, it is possible that failure of the model with regards to the interactive
and humidity can cause uncertainties in transpiration and increase in photosynthesis (if the leaf temperature is more strongly correlated with transpiration than with photosynthesis). This implies that a shortage of water produces two important physiological responses: in leaf temperature and in transpiration. Water stress in combination with a warmed atmosphere will increasingly limit rice production owing to a much higher leaf temperature (Garrity and O'Toole, 1994). In addition, although water stress will simply result in stomatal closure, as in the case of elevated CO$_2$ levels, the effects and possible interaction of elevated CO$_2$ and water stress on rice stomatal behaviour are a critical issue to be considered in the current context of climate change (Turner et al., 1986). For example, a complementary acclimatization of photosynthesis in water stressed rice growth under elevated CO$_2$ conditions has been reported, despite an increased rate of net leaf photosynthesis under these same conditions (Imai and Murata 1978; Makino et al., 1997). Therefore, the calculated water stress parameter is linked to diverse other schemes in a rice growth model because the physiological processes under water stress are complex and may vary according to the presence or absence of other stresses. This means that model developers have to validate and evaluate their models' performance with regards to the effect of water stress on rice growth under a variety of environmental changes. It is critically important for us to predict rice yield for unexpected climate events.

In fact, the change in yield caused by water shortage is difficult to validate because of limited observation data for water stress. The critical parameters for water stress, such as leaf water potential and leaf temperature, are rarely investigated by in-situ measurement. However, we could indirectly predict the effect of a water deficit on field yields through the relationship between evaporation (or transpiration) and yield. Such information could be available from numerous previous studies (Simpson et al., 1992; Bouman and Tuong, 2001; Tuong et al., 2005). This relationship may or may not be linear, in part because the fraction of evaporation that does not contribute to rice growth varies throughout the rice life cycle. In addition, water-use efficiency (yield or biomass divided by evaporation) is another relevant parameter in evaluating the water demand for rice growth. This factor could be affected by climate change through changes in the irrigation water demand for rice growth. For example, increased evapotranspiration (from the water body of the paddy field and rice stomata) in a warmer atmosphere will require a greater amount of water. On the contrary, a higher temperature will result in a reduced number of irrigation days, on account of the decreased rice growth period (Simpson et al., 1992; Bouman and Tuong, 2001). Furthermore, water-use efficiency will increase at higher CO$_2$ concentration levels owing to the expected decrease in transpiration and increase in photosynthesis (if the leaf

**Figure 1** Relationship between the ratio of leaf conductance at 700 μmol mol$^{-1}$ CO$_2$ to that at a 350 μmol mol$^{-1}$ CO$_2$ carbon dioxide concentration and the leaf-to-air-water-vapour pressure difference (VPD). The solid line represents the measurement result of sorghum plants in Bunce (2001). The dashed line represents the results of the coupled photosynthesis-stomatal conductance model (Collatz et al., 1991). The dot-and-dashed line is based upon the measurement data for rice given in Morison and Gifford 1983.
| No. | Method reference | Equation | Forcing parameters | Coefficient | Function |
|-----|------------------|----------|--------------------|-------------|----------|
| 1   | Oren et al. (1999) | $g = -m \ln(VPD) + b$ | VPD | m, b | Ta, CO₂, H |
| 2   | Granier et al. (1996) | $g = \left[ a R_s + b \right] \left[ 1 - m \ln(VPD) \right]$ | $R_s$, VPD | a, b, m | Ta, CO₂, H |
| 3   | Lu et al. (2003) | $g = \left\{ \left[ R_s / (R_s + d) \right] [z + b (2^{VPD})] \right\}$ if $R_s < 200$ W m$^{-2}$ | $R_s$, VPD | a, b, d, c | Ta, CO₂, H |
| 4   | (Jarvis et al. 1976) | $g = g_{max} f_1(PAR) f_2(VPD) f_3(T) f_4(SW) f_5(Ca)$ | PAR, VPD, T, Sw, Ca | $g_{max}$ | Ta, CO₂, H |
| 5   | Massman & Kaufmann (1991) | $g = g_{min} + g_{max} f_1(PAR) f_2(VPD) f_3(T) f_4(SW) f_5(Ca)$ | PAR, VPD, T, Sw, Ca | $g_{max}, g_{min}$ | Ta, CO₂, H |
| 6   | Noilhan and Planton (1989) | $g = g_{min} LAI f_1(PAR) f_2(VPD) f_3(T) f_4(SW) f_5(Ca)$ | PAR, VPD, T, Sw, Ca, LAI | $g_{min}$ | Ta, CO₂, H |
| 7   | Avisar and Pielke (1991) | $g = g_{max} g_{min} f_1(PAR) f_2(VPD) f_3(T) f_4(SW) f_5(Ca)$ | PAR, VPD, T, Sw, Ca | $g_{max}, g_{min}, \Omega$ | Ta, CO₂, H |
| 8   | (Norman et al. 1982) | $g = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\lambda}{p}}$ | As, Cs, Ci | . | o* |
| 9   | (Jones, 1992) | $g = 1.6 \frac{\lambda}{(1 + \frac{\lambda}{p})}$ | As, Cs, Ci, p | . | o* |
| 10  | Knott (2000) | $g = \left( \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\lambda}{p}} \right) 1.6 \frac{\lambda}{(1 + \frac{\lambda}{p})}$ | As, Cs, Ci, p, VPD | $b_D$ | o* |
| 11  | Kim & Verma (1991) | $g = 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\lambda}{p}}$ | As, Cs, Ci, p, VPD | $b_D$ | o* |
| 12  | (Ball et al. 1987) | $g = m_1 h_1 + b$ | As, Cs, h₁ | m, b | o* |
| 13  | Leuning (1995) | $g = m_2 \frac{h_1}{(1 + \frac{\lambda}{p})}$ | As, Cs, h₁ | m, b, Γ | o* |
| 14  | In this study | $g = m_3 \frac{h_1}{(1 + \frac{\lambda}{p})}$ | As, Cs, h₁ | k, b, Ca, VPD_max | o* |

Note: H: humidity, g: stomatal conductance, $R_s$: incoming solar radiation, Ta: air temperature, VPD: vapor pressure deficit, Sw: soil moisture, Ca: atmospheric [CO₂], Ci: intercellular [CO₂], LAI: leaf area index, As: net photosynthesis, $g_{max}$: maximum stomatal conductance, $g_{min}$: minimum stomatal conductance, $\Omega$: leaf age function, p: air pressure of the standard atmosphere, b_D is the coefficient according to soil water status, $\Gamma$: CO₂ compensation point in photosynthesis. (o*: indirectly considered in formula, √: directly considered, ×: not considered).
temperature is constant). Therefore, in a rice growth model, the water stress scheme should not be designed in isolation from other environmental changes, such as air temperature, and CO$_2$ level. These likely interactive phenomena will affect water resource planning and management of irrigation water demand. In addition, the availability of water for rice production is dependent not only on the precipitation and environmental factors related to evapotranspiration, but also on irrigation management (Tuong et al., 2005). Indeed, the efficiency of water use for grain production is higher in a saturated soil culture than in an unsaturated soil moisture condition. In most cases, rice production is associated with flood irrigation. Although this method is simple, it also requires sound planning and servicing of the water damming and channelling. Therefore, in a rice growth model, this has to be emphasized to account for the variations of water resources, not only in terms of climate variability but also in terms of anthropogenic effects. However, the realization of terrestrial water resources is not an easy task in the design of a model because of the complex interactions among urban, industrial, agricultural, and natural water requirements. Recently, Pokhrel et al. (2012) introduced an integrated model to estimate terrestrial global water resources. The model contains four different anthropogenic water regulation modules (a crop growth module, reservoir operation module, water withdrawal module, and environmental flow requirement module; see also Hanasaki et al. 2008 for more details) that operate on the basis of a surface and sub-surface runoff process module (Stieglitz et al. 1997) a hydrological and biophysical exchange module (Takata et al. 2003), and a river routing module (Oki and Sud 1998). Although their model is not directly coordinated with a rice growth model, such modules related to water resource assessment are needed in order for us to better investigate the growth stage of a water-sensitive crops such as rice.

**Conclusion**

Rice growth models are subject to many uncertainties. The conventional way of addressing this uncertainty has been to obtain comprehensive information about the physiological and phenological responses of rice to environmental factors. Even though a given model cannot take into account all the relevant processes, we need to critically understand which parameters will make a definite contribution to obtaining the desired result, and which will not. It is important not only to improve the schemes that models employ, but to also critically review the simulated results (see Figure 2). In this review, we have examined the existing or required processes related to temperature, CO$_2$, and water stress in rice growth models because these are the three factors that are most vulnerable to climate change. It is thus obvious that we must understand the actual roles they play in the physiological processes of rice, as well as the ways these roles may be altered in order to predict rice yields in the future.

In addition, the interactive effects that result from both positive and negative factors are necessary if we are to consider uncertain climate changes. In this review, we have emphasized the importance of accounting for the interactive effects of temperature, CO$_2$, and water demand in a model. However, although our knowledge of the effects that these three factors have on the growth and development of the rice has increased in the past few years, it has remained difficult to realize these interactive effects in a model because of unclear mechanisms involved and the limited experimental data. Therefore, theoretical considerations and experiments that are designed to increase our understanding of this issue are recommended, along with validation studies. 'Semi-empirical schemes' and 'clear assumptions' based on decades of agronomic knowledge might be the best approach, particularly in light of our limited understanding. Semi-empirical schemes derived from both mechanical approaches and observed characteristics will be suitable to reasonably reflect the latest validation results, particularly under projected climate-change conditions. Showing a clear assumption in a model will improve our understanding of a model's results, and will also suggest further tasks to pursue in model development.

Fortunately, current rice growth models have been improving as a result of the kind of continuous validation and development mentioned in this review. These recent results for the projection of future rice yields will produce
meaningful information that is of great use to society. However, the impact assessment related to global future food security could have a considerable bias owing to not only limitations of a model’s performance, but also to the uncertainties of future weather inputs (Aggarwal, 1994). For example, general circulation models (GCMs) still produce uncertain predictions as to how climate variability will vary as a consequence of an increase in greenhouse gases. Nevertheless, there is continued interest in how rice will respond to future changes in temperature, CO₂, and water demand because climate change has been a consistent feature of the global climate. It is worth incorporating as the likely impacts of GCM-derived climate change scenarios into the results simulated in rice growth models. Therefore, an accurate understanding of model behaviour and details is needed in order to produce accurate and well-organized information for our society.
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