Evaluation of collapse sensitivity of loess soils and its effect on the distribution pattern of pseudokarst sinkholes at the regional scale (case study: Golestan Province, northeastern Iran)
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Abstract
The spread of loess soils in Golestan Province in northeastern Iran has caused numerous environmental issues. To evaluate the effect of loess collapse on the spatial distribution of pseudokarst sinkholes in this province, we have prepared undisturbed samples from 31 locations and characterized their physical and mechanical properties. Moreover, the collapse sensitivity in different parts of the study area has been determined by odometer tests and calculation of the collapse coefficient (Ic), the time required for 90% settlement (T90%), and initial collapse stress. Additionally, by conducting field surveying, using aerial photographs, satellite images, and drone flight, the coordinates of sinkholes were identified, and a map of their geographical distribution was prepared. The overlap of the sinkholes distribution map and the Ic changes map showed that although the amount of Ic of sandy loess soils (Zone III) is higher than that of silty loess soils (Zone II), the extent of destructive phenomena such as sinkholes is much greater in Zone II than in Zone III. The overlap of the map of sinkholes distribution with the map of changes in collapse sensitivity (Is) of loess soils showed that the density of sinkholes in Zone II (which has higher collapse sensitivity) is higher than Zone III. Also, the results show that with increasing initial collapse stress, the density of sinkholes increases. In Zone II, due to severe collapse sensitivity, the highest concentration of sinkholes is observed in a unit area, more than 0.18 per square kilometer. With a relatively severe to severe collapse sensitivity in Zone III, this concentration is 0.021 per square kilometer. Furthermore, in Zone I, the sinkholes (0.004 per square kilometer) have the least concentration.
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Introduction

Loess soils are pale yellow problematic aeolian deposits with the silt size range. These deposits are rich in quartz and carbonate cement and are found in arid and semiarid regions. High porosity, open structure, poor cementation, and unsaturation are the main features of these soils (Smalley et al. 2011). Collapsing due to increased moisture up to soil saturation is their most important feature (Delage et al. 2005; Kim and Kang 2013; Liang et al. 2018; Zhang et al. 2018).

The loess soils covered 17% of the study area in Golestan Province, northeastern Iran (Frechen et al. 2009), which causes geomorphological features such as gully, pseudokarst sinkholes, ground cracking, slide of water supply channel walls, sudden and asymmetric settlements, cracking of house walls, destruction of pastures, soil erosion, and destruction of roads and bridges. Figure 1a shows the dimensions of pseudokarst sinkholes in Cheshmehli village, and Fig. 1b presents the bending of the gas transmission pipe of houses.

Fig. 1  a A loess sinkhole developed along the Kalaleh-Maraveh Tappe road.  b A large pseudokarst sinkhole developed near the Cheshmehli village.  c Differential settlement of residential building in Feraghi City due to collapse settlement.  d Typical landslide developed on loess plateau in Golestan Province, and  e bending of gas supply pipe of houses in Feraghi City due to loess collapse.
Also, Fig. 1c illustrates the asymmetric settlement of the building in Feraghi City in the northeast of Golestan Province, and Fig. 1d shows the loess sinkholes developed along the Kalaleh-Maraveh Tappe road.

Various erosional and geomorphological forms, including pseudokarst sinkholes, gully, and hillside instabilities, have been reported in the loess soils of the province. Despite numerous studies addressing hillside instability (Arabameri et al. 2017; Arabameri et al. 2019a, b; Ghorbanzadeh et al. 2021; Mohammady et al. 2012; Pourghasemi et al. 2019; Zare et al. 2013) and gully erosion (Amiri and Pourghasemi 2020; Amiri et al. 2019; Arabameri et al. 2019a, b; Arabameri et al. 2018; Ghezelsofloo et al. 2018; Hosseinalizadeh et al. 2020; Pourghasemi et al. 2017; Soufi 2002), considerably fewer researches have been conducted to analyze the factors affecting the pseudokarst sinkholes in Golestan Province (Hosseinalizadeh et al. 2019, 2018; Kariminejad et al. 2020, 2019).

Pseudokarst sinkholes were first defined in China as piping (Richthofen 1877) features. This phenomenon also has been called subsurface erosion (Fuller 1922), ground collapse (Galarowski 1976), erosional sinkhole (Buckham and Cockfield 1950), erosional pothole (Kingsbury 1952), pseudokarst sinkholes (Kriger et al. 1983), and loess caves (Penga et al. 2017). Among these names, the present article applies the term pseudokarst sinkholes.

A review of research and field studies showed that the spatial distribution of pseudokarst sinkholes changes under the influence of a combination of natural conditions, including topography, annual rainfall, climatic conditions, and artificial factors such as land use (Hosseinalizadeh et al. 2018; Kariminejad et al. 2019; Pandey et al. 2018). Three categories of geological, geotechnical, and environmental factors affect the collapse potential of loess soils. Identifying these factors and their effects in creating new pseudokarst sinkholes in different areas of Golestan Province is of substantial importance for sustainable development planning. This study only deals with the geotechnical factors of loess soils in Golestan Province’s effect on the distribution of sinkholes.

In the previous studies regarding the effect of loess soil behavior on the occurrence of destructive phenomena such as pseudokarst sinkholes, the coefficient of collapse ($I_c$) of loess soils has been noted to be the most critical parameter in addressing the susceptibility of this phenomenon (Guan 1983; Hou et al. 2019; Jennings and Knight 1975; Salehi et al. 2015; Xie et al. 2018). $I_c$ is a function of the distribution of soil particle size, porosity, degree of saturation, percentage of initial moisture, pore size between soil particles, soil liquid limit, and percentage of calcium carbonate. However, some new studies have shown that despite having a higher $I_c$, the risk of destructive phenomena may be lower in some areas (Lutenegger 2012; Zhang et al. 2018). The concept of collapse sensitivity ($I_s$) is defined based on the intensity and rate of collapse (Zhang et al. 2018). In the present study, by conducting laboratory experiments on loess soils of Golestan Province, the values of $I_c$, collapse rate of soil ($T_{90}$%), and initial collapse stress were calculated, and the degree of collapse sensitivity was determined accordingly.

Moreover, the location of pseudokarst sinkholes was determined by conducting field surveys and using aerial photographs, satellite images, and drone flight. It is noteworthy that spatial distribution of sinkholes is preferentially restricted to arid and semiarid regions with less than 400 mm annual precipitation, gentle hillslopes (less than 20°), and altitudes below 400 m above sea level. Although extrinsic environmental factors are the subject of a forthcoming article, we would tentatively emphasize two intrinsic parameters, including collapse coefficient and collapse sensitivity, since all collapsed areas are restricted to loess soils. To more precisely evaluate the potential disasters caused by loess collapse and escape the unnecessary damage of property and losses, it is required to study the $I_s$ of loess. The concept of $I_s$ is not familiar to many engineers, and studies of the $I_s$ of loess have rarely
been reported. Due to the complication of Is, no extensively used technique presently exists to calculate it. Hence, the concept of Is must be generalized, and a new method of determining Is that is logical and rational should be developed. Lastly, the main objective of this study was to study the relationship between the collapse sensitivity of the soils of Golestan Province with wide variability in the Is and the spatial distribution of pseudokarst sinkholes to reveal the practicability and precision of the method at the regional scale.

2 Geographical and geological location of the study area

The loess plateau of northeastern Iran includes loess deposits that extend from south to north of Golestan Province between longitudes 54° 00’ E to 56° 00’ E and latitudes 36° 15’ N to 36° 30’ N (Fig. 2).

These soils are considered to be the southern part of the Eurasian loess belt (Keshavarzi 2014). Golestan Province is located in the southeast of the Caspian Sea and is part of the structural Zone of Kopet Dagh (Karimi et al. 2009). The thickness of loess soils in Golestan Province decreases from northeast to southwest of the province (30–150 m) (Frechen et al. 2009). Based on the geotechnical and sedimentological characteristics of the loess soils of the study area, from south to north, these features are divided into clayey (Zone I), silty (Zone II), and sandy loess soils (Zone III), respectively (Fig. 3).

The area of Zone I is located in the south of the province. The elevation of morphological unit is usually more than 500 m a.s.l., and the area is 501 km². The steep slope and high altitude are the main morphological features of this region. According to the arid/humid climate classification De Martonne (1920), Zone I would be classified as moist to semimoist.

![Fig. 2 Location map of the study area](image-url)
The annual rainfall in the region is between 500 and 750 mm (Table 1). Zone I loess soils have a fragile structure due to high percentage of clay (Rezaïy et al. 2011). The average percentage of clay in this area reaches 30% (Okhravi and Amini 2001). Since the soils of this region are transported from a considerable distance from their origin (Turkmenistan desert), they are finer than the loess soils of other areas. Due to wind direction, soil particle size decreases from north to south, northwest to southeast, and west to east. The cohesion of these soils is greater than that of Zones II and III.

The area of Zone II in the middle part of Golestan Province is 3258 km². The elevation of this zone is between 50 and 500 m a.s.l. This zone is morphologically composed of hills where, particularly in the northeastern region of Gonbad Kavous, the gradient of the slopes is in the range of 8–25%. Most of the loess soils in this zone are composed of silt particles and are considered silty loess. Based on the De Martonne classification, Zone II is in the semiarid category, and the average rainfall is 250–500 mm. However, in the Gonbad Kavous region, the annual rainfall varies between 500 and 750 mm (Table 1).

The area of Zone III is more than 864 km². In this zone, the soils are coarser and fall in the category of sandy loess soils. The elevation is less than 50 m a.s.l., and most of the area is relatively flat with a less than 8% gradient. In the south, the gradient increases because of the highland topography, while the general gradient decreases in the north. Annual rainfall in this zone is between 50 and 250 mm and would fall in the dry climate category (Table 1). The percentage of CaCO₃ in this zone is the highest compared to other zones (maximum 41.4%).

### 3 Materials and methods

#### 3.1 Field surveying

The location of pseudokarst sinkholes was recorded by field surveys and using Global Positioning System (GPS). The DJI Phantom 4 quadcopter with a digital camera was used to

![Fig. 3 Distribution of loess plateau in Golestan Province](image-url)
| Zone number | Location     | Sample number | Sand% | Silt% | Clay% | W%     | Sr%    | n%     | CaCO₃% | LL%  |
|-------------|--------------|---------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------|
|             | Seyed Miran  | S-M1          | 2.12  | 54.67 | 36.56 | 4.89   | 12.10  | 46.2   | 27.17  | 51.8 |
|             |              | S-M2          | 1.90  | 52.31 | 38.82 | 5.56   | 16.50  | 43.8   | 19.73  | 52.0 |
|             |              | S-M3          | 2.10  | 53.62 | 37.48 | 7.12   | 24.60  | 41.2   | 18.08  | 51.64|
| Zone I      | Saad Abad    | SA1           | 2.80  | 55.43 | 34.34 | 5.12   | 13.50  | 45.4   | 25.5   | 50.4 |
|             |              | SA2           | 2.49  | 55.00 | 35.54 | 6.68   | 16.30  | 41.72  | 18.4   | 48.7 |
|             |              | SA3           | 1.85  | 53.50 | 38.18 | 8.43   | 22.47  | 40.05  | 16.36  | 49.1 |
|             | Baraftan     | B1            | 6.35  | 65.81 | 26.61 | 9.16   | 17.60  | 47.8   | 31.34  | 26.81|
|             |              | B2            | 5.89  | 64.72 | 28.03 | 11.30  | 33.53  | 46.3   | 35.4   | 36.74|
|             |              | B3            | 6.15  | 65.53 | 27.43 | 12.16  | 21.17  | 43.9   | 34.11  | 26.85|
|             | Agh Emam     | AG-E1         | 1.11  | 78.25 | 20.67 | 12.60  | 41.90  | 42.5   | 18.24  | 34.6 |
|             |              | AG-E2         | 0.98  | 77.94 | 21.03 | 12.45  | 49.94  | 40.7   | 16.4   | 33.9 |
|             |              | AG-E3         | 1.16  | 78.48 | 20.42 | 13.48  | 55.45  | 36.3   | 22.91  | 34.8 |
|             | Agh Abad     | AG1           | 1.52  | 76.12 | 22.39 | 7.31   | 15.10  | 48.4   | 28.94  | 31.0 |
|             |              | AG2           | 0.85  | 77.30 | 21.72 | 6.61   | 14.26  | 49.8   | 36.65  | 30.7 |
|             | Gonbad-Kalaleh| G-K1          | 2.55  | 80.91 | 16.65 | 7.23   | 19.40  | 49.6   | 41.13  | 32.6 |
|             |              | G-K2          | 1.80  | 80.34 | 17.80 | 9.68   | 23.65  | 46.5   | 33.38  | 32.7 |
| Zone II     | Feraghi      | F1            | 13.2  | 27.30 | 27.35 | 7.81   | 9.31   | 39.45  | 20.4   | 29.5 |
|             |              | F2            | 7.14  | 28.93 | 28.96 | 6.30   | 7.27   | 38.4   | 21.2   | 24.3 |
|             |              | F3            | 9.21  | 26.13 | 26.12 | 8.52   | 8.92   | 39.52  | 28.7   | 31.2 |
|             | Cheshmehli   | CH1           | 12.70 | 64.15 | 23.28 | 5.24   | 6.60   | 44.4   | 24.1   | 22.1 |
|             |              | CH2           | 13.22 | 68.62 | 17.31 | 7.16   | 7.15   | 40.2   | 26.2   | 22.4 |
|             |              | CH3           | 15.10 | 68.35 | 15.81 | 8.86   | 7.85   | 40.33  | 30.1   | 19.85|
|             | Alagol       | Al1           | 33.10 | 66.96 | 0.00  | 4.37   | 6.62   | 46.4   | 35.41  | 0.00 |
|             |              | Al2           | 32.40 | 67.62 | 0.00  | 3.90   | 7.30   | 50.8   | 23.37  | 0.00 |
|             |              | Al3           | 34.60 | 65.44 | 0.00  | 5.52   | 5.36   | 42.1   | 36.65  | 0.00 |
| Zone number | Location  | Sample number | Sand% | Silt% | Clay% | W%  | Sr % | n% | CaCO3% | LL% |
|-------------|-----------|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-----|------|----|--------|-----|
| Zone III    | Tangli    | T1            | 24.90 | 62.87 | 8.14  | 4.95| 8.41 | 48.3| 36.16  | 18.03|
|             |           | T2            | 25.90 | 63.29 | 7.85  | 4.16| 9.36 | 49.7| 39.05  | 17.7 |
|             |           | T3            | 26.34 | 60.24 | 9.54  | 5.63| 11.85| 47.2| 32.33  | 18.3 |
|             | Hootan-Korand | H1       | 36.20 | 57.36 | 4.17  | 4.12| 6.13 | 49.8| 41.4   | 0.00 |
|             |           | H2            | 35.13 | 59.12 | 4.38  | 3.38| 5.50 | 51.3| 33.2   | 0.00 |
|             |           | H3            | 34.80 | 58.18 | 3.32  | 5.32| 6.74 | 44.2| 38.9   | 0.00 |

*W* Water content, *Sr* degree of saturation, *n* porosity, *LL* liquid limit
capture the sinkholes by placing the ground restraint points and preparing all the required necessities. By interpreting images of unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV) with a resolution of 50 cm and using satellite images and aerial photographs, the position of more than 697 pseudokarst sinkholes was recorded. The maximum flight duration was 30 min. The flight path was determined using Pix4Dcapture software. The drone automatically traveled the defined air routes and covered the entire area. After the flight operation, the data were processed, and the location of pseudokarst sinkholes was determined accordingly.

3.2 Laboratory tests

To evaluate the physical and mechanical parameters controlling the distribution of pseudokarst sinkholes, we prepared undisturbed block samples from 31 points in Zones I, II, and III. All samples were placed in 20 × 20 × 20 cm boxes after waxing and were transferred to the engineering geology laboratory. Some of the physical, mechanical, and chemical properties of the sample were carried out based on ASTM standards (Table 1). Also, an odometer test was performed based on ASTM (2003). Seven samples with a diameter of 75 mm and a height of 20 mm were obtained and prepared from each sampling site to determine the Ic, collapse rate, and initial stress required for collapse. These samples were subjected to pressures of 25, 50, 100, 200, 400, 800, and 1600 kPa. In the standard method, the coefficient of the collapse of the soils is to be determined at a pressure of 200 kPa. However, in the present study, the coefficient of the collapse of the soils was determined under different pressures. Loading steps were 25, 50, 100, 200, 400, and 800 kPa, respectively. The amount of deformation at each stage of loading was read at intervals of 0.1, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 6, 9, 12, 16, 20, 25, 30, 45, and 60 min. Then, it was continued at intervals of 30 min until reaching a stable state (deformation value less than 0.01 mm per hour).

4 Results and discussion

4.1 Changes in collapse intensity and initial resistance of soils against the collapse

Table 1 shows some of the physical, mechanical, and chemical properties of loess soils at sampling points. Generally, it can be claimed that the coefficient of the collapse of the loess soils decreases from the north of Golestan (sandy loess) to the south (clayey loess). Furthermore, the values of liquid limit, percentage of fine clay particles, percentage of moisture, and the degree of initial saturation of soils increase from the north of the province southwards. However, the percentage of CaCO3 decreases from north to south of the province. These findings are consistent with the results of previous research (Rezaiy et al. 2011; Salehi et al. 2015).

The collapse intensity of loess soils was determined based on calculated Ic value using Eq. (1) (ASTM D5333 2003):

\[ I_c = \frac{\Delta h}{h_0} \] (1)

where \( \Delta h \) is the vertical deformation of the soil sample after saturation under constant stress and \( h_0 \) is the initial height of the soil sample. Variations in the Ic values for samples from these three Zones are shown in Fig. 4.
As evident in Fig. 4c, for soil samples from Zone III, the $I_c$ values are generally higher than that of other zones.

In the soil samples from Hootan, Alagol, and Tange Ali, the highest value of $I_c$ was observed at a pressure of 200 kPa, and it would drop at higher vertical stresses. The oedometer test results showed that in these samples, under a stress of 200 kPa, the stability of the soil would prevent soil deformation mainly due to the cementation and the suction force between the particles in the natural moisture. However, at the same stress of 200 kPa, immediately after saturation of the soil sample, the suction force and cementation between the soil particles would vanish, and the soil sample would display the maximum deformation, and thus, the maximum value of $I_c$ would be observed. At vertical pressures greater than 200 kPa, cementation due to calcium carbonate between soil particles would disappear even at natural moisture. As a result, the soil structure and the connections between soil particles would easily break after saturation; subsequently, the soil sample could easily be compressed, and the porosity ratio of the soil sample would reduce significantly. Loess porosity was studied according to the electron microscope images with two measures of the pore diameter and grain packing density (Ghandhari et al. 2019). Loess in Zone III is highly hollow with very high porosity and is easily dissociated with small forces (Ghandhari et al. 2019). In Zone III, the mean porosity of soils and mean diameter of the pores are 47.75% (Table 1) and 20.65 µm (Ghandhari et al. 2019), respectively. The contact relationships between loess particles are mainly categorized as point contact (Rezaei 2013). Point contact describes particles that are in direct contact, and the contact surface is small. In addition to the clay film covering aggregates and salt crystal film, only a few salt crystals and viscose particles are attached to the contact. Then, inter-aggregate pores exist between sandy loess particles in Zone III, which are bracket pores, and the particle contact associated with bracket pores is mainly point contact, and the pore diameter is larger than those of the surrounding particles (Rezaei 2013). When water penetrates and weakens the connection strength, the sandy soil will lose its stability under 200 kPa, and the surrounding particles will fall into the pores, causing the collapse phenomenon. Therefore, at pressures of 400 kPa and above, the collapse due to soil saturation would decrease. As a result, $I_c$ is reduced to the stress of 1600 kPa.

The $I_c$ deviations corresponding to different vertical pressures are presented in Fig. 4b for the soil samples of Zone II. As it is evident, in Zone II, in the soils of Cheshmehli and Feraghi, $I_c$ values would increase from 25 to 800 kPa vertical stresses and from 25 to 400 kPa in Gonbad and Kalaleh soils (Fig. 4b), and then, it would drop in greater pressures. In Zone II, the mean porosity of soils and mean diameter of the pores are 43.86% (Table 1) and 17.10 µm (Ghandhari et al. 2019), respectively. In this zone, face cementation refers to the large contact area between the particles, where in addition to the salt crystal film connection, there is also a thick coating of clay flakes (Rezaei 2013). The skeletal particles of mosaic pores have a large contact area and high stability, and the skeletal pores are generally not prone to collapse. There are numerous intra-aggregate pores, and they are small in size, stable, and do not cause collapse deformation (Rezaei 2013). In general, in Zone II soils, the soil structure is more stable than in Zone III. In Cheshmehli and Feraghi soils, the porosity ratio of soil samples would slightly reduce in natural moisture under stresses up to 800 kPa. However, immediately after saturation, the values would change significantly. Therefore, the highest $I_c$ in this region was measured at a stress of 800 kPa. At pressures above 800 kPa, the soil void ratio would decrease significantly before the samples become saturated, and therefore the $I_c$ value decreases at a stress of 1600 kPa.

The clayey loess soil samples collected from Zone I were not collapsible in some areas and had a lower $I_c$ than Zones II and III. These soils usually showed the highest value of...
Fig. 4 Variation of the Ic of soil samples in the triple zones: a Zone I, b Zone II, c Zone III
Ic at 200 kPa (Fig. 4c). In Zone I, the mean porosity of soils is 42.98% (Table 1) and the mean diameter of the pores is 10.19 µm (Ghandhari et al. 2019), respectively. Also, the percentage of calcium carbonate between soil particles was low. Therefore, the amount of cementation of soil samples was negligible, and only in the Baraftan area, the amount of CaCO₃ would reach more than 30%; this was mainly in the form of calcite nodules and, therefore, would not play the role of cement between particles (Salehi et al. 2015). Then, the stress level corresponding to the maximum collapse potential is related to the stability of the intact structure, which is influenced by clay content and matric suction. According to the climate classification of Golestan Province by the De Martonne method, Zone I is semimoist, Zone II is semiarid, and Zone III is dry (Feyznia et al. 2005). Soil samples had more natural moisture; the initial degree of soil saturation was high (Table 1); as a result, in this zone, the amount of suction force between soil particles was insignificant. The percentage of clay in Zone I is more than 30%, and in Zone III is about 5%, which confirms the increase in clay minerals with the distance from Zone III and is consistent with the results obtained by other researchers (Rezaiy et al. 2011; Salehi et al. 2015). In addition, clayey loess is composed of feldspar, calcite, and quartz. Its clay minerals include kaolinite, illite, and chlorite, and only in loess of Zone I there is the mineral smectite (Salehi et al. 2015). Under the effects of loading and wetting, first, clay cementations are softened at the contact between skeletal particles and calcium carbonate as cementations leach upon inundation. Under such conditions, at a pressure of 200 kPa, the soil structure yields, and the relative movement of skeletal particles takes place. Generally, it can be claimed that the collapse intensity of loess soil would decrease from the north to the south of Golestan Province. This finding is consistent with the results of other researchers (Rezaiy et al. 2011; Salehi et al. 2015).

This research evaluated the soil susceptibility to collapse in Golestan Province by determining the initial collapse stress $\sigma_i$ for loess soil samples. Considering the Ic of 1.5% as the boundary between collapsible and non-collapsible soils, the amount of vertical stress corresponding to the coefficient of the collapse of 1.5% was defined as the initial collapse stress in Fig. 4. The values of $\sigma_i$ would vary in different zones (Zone I: from 44 to 67 kPa, Zone II: from 56.5 to 100 kPa, and Zone III: from 23 to 45 kPa). Sandy loess soils in Zone III had the lowest value of $\sigma_i$. For example, for soil sample H1, obtained from the Hootan region, the value of $\sigma_i$ was 23 kPa (Fig. 4c). However, for the CH3 soil sample, this value was about 100 kPa. Therefore, it can be claimed that more stress would be needed to start the destruction of soil in Zone II, having higher values of $\sigma_i$. Consequently, the maximum Ic in Zone II and III soils was measured at stress levels of 800 kPa and 200 kPa, respectively, as it is evident in Fig. 4.

### 4.2 Collapse rate of soil samples

The collapse rate is defined as the time required for the complete collapse of loess soils. This parameter is significant in evaluating the risks of a destructive phenomenon (Cui 2010; Guan 1983). In simple words, as the collapse rate increases, the risk of danger would grow.

To determine the collapse rate of soils in the study area, we read the amount of deformation at each loading stage after saturation of the sample at specified intervals. The deformation was continuously read until a deformation value threshold of less than 0.01 mm per hour. The required deformation rates less than 0.01 mm per hour are called stability time. Since the time required for 10% of the final settlement of collapsible soils is considerably
longer compared to the time required for 90% settlement of these soils, it has been suggested that for an accurate comparison between the collapse rates of these soils, the time required for 90% of their settlement (T90%) should be used (Zhang et al. 2018) instead of the stability time; therefore, removing the final 10% of the settlement, which requires a considerable amount of time, could help with a more effective and accurate comparison of the collapse speeds of different soils. The experimental results supported (were in favor of) this suggestion. Table 2 shows the stability time and T90% of soil samples of the triple zones.

For instance, for the soil samples of the Hootan (H1) area, the time required for complete settlement (T) was 247 min, which is equivalent to 1.83 times the time required for complete settlement of Cheshmehli sample (CH1), i.e., 135 min. However, the time required for the settlement of 90% (T90%) of the soil samples in the Hootan area (H1) was 14.2 min, equal to slightly more than four times T90% of the soil samples of Cheshmehli (CH1), i.e., 3.5 min.

As can be seen (Table 2), the lowest and highest T90% values were obtained for the CH1 sample (Cheshmehli) in Zone II (3.5 min) and the SAD1 sample (Saad Abad) in Zone I (122.7 min). The results of the odometer test showed that the T90% for Zone III would vary between 14.2 and 55.4 min. The range was between 3.5 and 76.3 min for Zone II and between 66.33 and 122.7 min for Zone I. With the exception of the central parts of Zone II, namely Gonbad-Kalaleh and Aghabad, which experience more rainfall in these areas, the time required for the settlement of 90% of the soils of Zone III was longer than that of the soil samples of Zone II. Since the soil collapse susceptibility is higher in Zone III compared to Zone II, more time would be needed to reach the complete settlement due to collapse. However, Zone II loess soils have a stronger structure, and soil saturation has less effect on the rate of sudden collapse and degradation of soil structure, and as a result, the samples display a lower Ic value. Since the value of Ic in the soils of this zone is less than the collapse coefficient of the soils of Zone III, the time required to reach 90% of the settlement due to collapse is less; and more precisely, the collapse rate in Zone II is higher than Zone III. The collapsibility deformation rate of loess is essential when assessing the potential damage caused by collapse since a rapid collapse commonly causes cracking, tilting, and the collapse of construction components (Guan 1983).

This situation in Zone I is not affected by vertical stress changes because of the presence of clay minerals despite having a lower collapse coefficient. Despite having lower settlement due to lower Ic values, Zone I soils require longer 90% settlement time because of the cohesion between soil particles due to the presence of clay matrix.

### 4.3 Determining the collapse sensitivity of the soil

Based on previous experience and experimental data, the evaluation method of collapse sensitivity of loess is proposed. The criterion of soil collapse sensitivity is presented in Table 3, based on the two parameters of collapse intensity (Ic) and collapse rate (T90%) defined by Zhang et al. (2018).

The collapse potential of loess soils was classified into the following four categories: I. strongly collapsible ($Ic > 7$), II. moderately collapsible ($3 < Ic \leq 7$), III. weakly collapsible ($1.5 < Ic \leq 3$), and IV. non-collapsible ($Ic < 1.5$), according to the China National Standards (CNS) GB-50025–2004 (Standardization Administration of China (SAC), Ministry of Construction 2004).

Also, soils were divided into four categories based on T90%:
Based on two factors, intensity ($I_c$) and time of collapse ($T_{90\%}$), soils were divided into four categories of low, medium, medium to severe, and severe collapse sensitivity ($I_s$). Due to the limited number of samples, the division of $T$ (time to complete 90\% collapsible volume) may not be perfect. In the future, it may be necessary to improve the division of this parameter. To evaluate the accuracy and efficiency of this classification, we calculated $I_c$ and $T_{90\%}$ values for 31 points in Golestan Province, and the collapse sensitivity status of loess soils in three Zones I, II, and III was determined (Table 4).

| Zone number | Location       | Sample number | $I_c$ | $T_{90\%}$ (min) | Stability (min) |
|-------------|----------------|---------------|-------|------------------|-----------------|
| Zone I      | Seyed Miran    | S-M1          | 1.44  | 91.5             | 6.15            |
|             |                | S-M2          | 10.31 | 83.2             | 5.25            |
|             |                | S-M3          | 1.78  | 88.5             | 3.58            |
|             | Saad Abad      | SA1           | 1.39  | 122.7            | 7.16            |
|             |                | SA2           | 9.82  | 116              | 5.25            |
|             |                | SA3           | 6.64  | 145              | 4.38            |
|             | Baraftan       | B1            | 2.22  | 68.5             | 7.15            |
|             |                | B2            | 1.36  | 70.6             | 6.55            |
|             |                | B3            | 6.94  | 81.2             | 7.37            |
|             | Agh Emam       | AG-E1         | 1.1   | 66.33            | 8.18            |
|             |                | AG-E2         | 0.96  | 70.1             | 5.46            |
|             |                | AG-E3         | 0.74  | 72.2             | 3.58            |
|             | Agh Abad       | AG1           | 14.95 | 76.3             | 3.25            |
|             |                | AG2           | 17.79 | 28.2             | 2.52            |
|             | Gonbad-Kalaleh | G-K1          | 7.21  | 39.3             | 2.5             |
|             |                | G-K2          | 4.39  | 8.8              | 2.25            |
| Zone II     | Feraghi        | F1            | 15.2  | 4.6              | 2.38            |
|             |                | F2            | 18.1  | 4.8              | 2.48            |
|             |                | F3            | 14.3  | 13.5             | 4.3             |
|             | Cheshmehli     | CH1           | 19.1  | 3.5              | 2.25            |
|             |                | CH2           | 21.3  | 6.2              | 3.18            |
|             |                | CH3           | 19.2  | 4.7              | 2.55            |
|             | Alagol         | A11           | 18.61 | 33.1             | 3.52            |
|             |                | A12           | 20.2  | 54.4             | 4.36            |
|             |                | A13           | 16.83 | 43.5             | 6.25            |
| Zone III    | Tangli         | T1            | 5.82  | 29.2             | 2.41            |
|             |                | T2            | 17.33 | 38.6             | 2.53            |
|             |                | T3            | 4.66  | 53.5             | 8.15            |
|             | Hootan-Korand  | H1            | 24.8  | 14.2             | 4.12            |
|             |                | H2            | 26.8  | 51.5             | 6.25            |
|             |                | H3            | 20.71 | 12.5             | 3.35            |

$I_c$ coefficient of collapse, $T_{90\%}$ collapse rate

$T_{90\%} < 5$ min, $5 < T_{90\%} \leq 15$ min, $15 < T_{90\%} \leq 60$ min, $T_{90\%} > 60$ min.
As can be seen, in Zone I, where clayey loess soils are spread, the soil sensitivity was relatively low. In Zone II, where silty loess soils are abundant, the soil sensitivity was severe and, in some places, relatively severe. However, in Zone III, the collapse sensitivity of soil was often relatively severe and rarely severe, considering the higher $I_c$ values of its soil compared to that of Zone II.

| Table 3 | Grades of collapse sensitivity in loess (Zhang et al. 2018) |
|---------|------------------------------------------------|
| $T$ (min) | $I_c$ (%) |
| $0.015 < I_c \leq 0.03$ | $0.03 < I_c \leq 0.07$ | $I_c > 0.07$ |
| 60 < $T$ | Slight | Slight | Moderate |
| 15 < $T \leq 60$ | Slight | Moderate | Moderate severe |
| 5 < $T \leq 15$ | Moderate | Moderate severe | Severe |
| $T < 5$ | Moderate severe | Severe | Severe |

As can be seen, in Zone I, where clayey loess soils are spread, the soil sensitivity was relatively low. In Zone II, where silty loess soils are abundant, the soil sensitivity was severe and, in some places, relatively severe. However, in Zone III, the collapse sensitivity of soil was often relatively severe and rarely severe, considering the higher $I_c$ values of its soil compared to that of Zone II.

| Table 4 | Grades of collapse sensitivity of loess soils in Golestan Province |
|---------|------------------------------------------------|
| Zone number | Location | Sample number | Is |
| Seyed Miran | S-M1 | Slight |
| | S-M2 | Moderate |
| | S-M3 | Slight |
| Zone I | Saad Abad | SA1 | Slight |
| | SA2 | Moderate |
| | SA3 | Slight |
| Baraftan | B1 | Slight |
| | B2 | Slight |
| | B3 | Moderate |
| Agh Emam | AG-E1 | Slight |
| | AG-E2 | Slight |
| | AG-E3 | Slight |
| Agh Abad | AG1 | Moderate |
| | AG2 | Moderately severe |
| Zone II | Gonbad-Kalaleh | G-K1 | Moderately severe |
| | G-K2 | Moderately severe |
| Feraghi | F1 | Severe |
| | F2 | Severe |
| | F3 | Severe |
| Cheshmehli | CH1 | Severe |
| | CH2 | Severe |
| | CH3 | Severe |
| Alagol | Al1 | Moderately severe |
| | Al2 | Moderately severe |
| | Al3 | Moderately severe |
| Zone III | Tangli | T1 | Moderate |
| | T2 | Moderately severe |
| | T3 | Moderately severe |
| Hootan-Korand | H1 | Severe |
| | H2 | Moderately severe |
| | H3 | Severe |
4.4 Investigating the relationship between the spatial distribution of sinkholes and Ic and collapse sensitivity of the loess soils

To investigate the relationship between the formation of pseudokarst sinkholes and changes in collapse coefficient (Ic) and collapse sensitivity (Is), we plotted the position of pseudokarst sinkholes on the scattering map of Golestan Province. Nineteen sinkholes in Zone I with an area of 501 km², 580 sinkholes in Zone II with 3258 km², and 98 sinkholes in Zone III with 864 km² were identified and recorded (Fig. 5). In this figure, the density of the sinkholes per unit area in each zone is denoted by colored symbols.

More than 83% of pseudokarst sinkholes were located in Zone II, more than 14% in Zone III, and less than 3% in Zone I. By preparing a map of soil collapse potential risk based on changes in collapse coefficient of loess soils in Golestan Province, the relationship between deviations in collapse coefficient and spatial distribution of sinkholes based on Jennings and Knight (1975) classification and its overlap with the sinkholes’ distribution map (Fig. 6) was investigated.

As can be seen, although Zone III is very intense in terms of collapse coefficient, the concentration of sinkholes in this zone (161 sinkholes equivalent to about 23%) is less than Zone II (517 sinkholes equivalent to more than 71.4%). Zone II is in a severely problematic category. Therefore, it can be claimed that having a higher Ic in Zone III does not necessarily mean a higher risk of pseudokarst sinkholes in this zone.

By preparing a map of collapse sensitivity of the soil and its overlap with the sinkholes’ distribution map (Fig. 7), the relationship between changes in the degree of collapse sensitivity and sinkholes’ distribution was investigated.

The regional developing regularities of loess sinkholes showed that more than 84.6% of the sinkholes were distributed in the region with a high degree of collapse sensitivity, more than 12.6% in the region with a relatively severe collapse sensitivity, 2% in the region with

![Fig. 5 Spatial distribution of sinkholes (n=697) in loess zones of Golestan Province, projected on a map](image-url)
a moderate collapse sensitivity, and 0.7% in the region with a low collapse sensitivity. More than 91.5% of sinkholes in Zone II have severe collapse sensitivity, and the remaining 8.5% are in the area with relatively high collapse sensitivity. However, in Zone III, more than 60% of the sinkholes are located in the area with severe collapse sensitivity, and less than 40% of the rest are located in the area with relatively severe collapse sensitivity. In Zone I, more than 73% of the sinkholes are located in the area with moderate collapse sensitivity, and the rest are in the area with low collapse sensitivity. Based on these results, it can be suggested that the application of collapse sensitivity index (Is) to investigate the risk of pseudokarst sinkholes in Golestan Province would provide a more appropriate assessment than that of Ic.

The developmental regionalization of loess sinkholes and the loess sensitivity collapse and collapse speed are closely related. The loess sinkholes are often developed in Zone II, where the collapse sensitivity is intense. Some essential characteristics of loess, such as greater silt amounts in the composition, high collapse speed, greater porosity, the disintegrability of silty loess, and overall, higher average soil CaCO₃ content, attribute to higher sinkhole formation rate in this zone, specifically in the northeastern part of Golestan Province. Regarding the regional distribution of sinkholes, it can be seen that in Zone III, the formation of the loess sinkholes is scarcer due to considerably higher proportions of sand (sandy loess). Likewise, the loess sinkholes are less developed in the south of Golestan Province with clay loess, having a strong erosion resistance, low collapsibility, and weak disintegrability and permeability.

5 Conclusions

In this research, collapse intensity, rate, and sensitivity of loess soils of Golestan Province were determined by performing laboratory tests. Afterward, maps of changes in coefficients of collapse (Ic), maps of changes in collapse sensitivity (Is), and maps of scattering of loess
The spatial distribution and density of pseudokarst sinkholes are directly related to the collapse sensitivity of loess soils in the study area. More than 84% of the sinkholes were distributed in the area with severe collapse sensitivity, 12.6% in the area with relatively severe collapse sensitivity, 2% in the area with moderate collapse sensitivity, and 0.7% in an area with low collapse sensitivity. The highest density of sinkholes per unit area occurred in Zone II, i.e., in the area with high collapse sensitivity. In Zones III and I, which have a relatively higher and lower collapse sensitivity than Zone II, the density of sinkholes per unit area was less.

The results show that higher Ic values in Zone III do not necessarily comply with a higher risk of pseudokarst sinkholes there. In Zone II, the higher collapse rate and, as a result, the severe collapse sensitivity increase the potential for pseudokarst sinkholes compared to Zone III, which has a greater Ic value. Combining the two parameters of Ic and the time required for 90% settlement due to soil collapse (T90%) can be a reasonable basis for judging the risk of pseudokarst sinkholes in the region.

The initial collapse stress ($\sigma_i$) in highly sensitive soils (Zone II) is higher than Zones III and I. In other words, the initial collapse stress is directly related to the degree of collapse sensitivity of loess soils in the region. Since few soil samples were tested and analyzed in this research, applying the initial collapse stress ($\sigma_i$) data for a more accurate classification of the collapse sensitivity of loess soils would not be possible.
• Considering the small amount of available data in this research, the findings of this study have only local value and cannot be extended to other collapsible soils.
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