Advances in the automated synthesis of 6-[18F]Fluoro-L-DOPA
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Abstract

The neurotracer 6-[18F]FDOPA has been, for many years, a powerful tool in PET imaging of neuropsychiatric diseases, movement disorders and brain malignancies. More recently, it also demonstrated good results in the diagnosis of other malignancies such as neuroendocrine tumours, pheochromocytoma or pancreatic adenocarcinoma.

The multiple clinical applications of this tracer fostered a very strong interest in the development of new and improved methods for its radiosynthesis. The no-carrier-added nucleophilic 18F-fluorination process has gained increasing attention, in recent years, due to the high molar activities obtained, when compared with the other methods although the radiochemical yield remains low (17–30%). This led to the development of several nucleophilic synthetic processes in order to obtain the product with molar activity, radiochemical yield and enantiomeric purity suitable for human PET studies.

Automation of the synthetic processes is crucial for routine clinical use and compliance with GMP requirements. Nevertheless, the complexity of the synthesis makes the production challenging, increasing the chance of failure in routine production. Thus, for large-scale clinical application and wider use of this radiopharmaceutical, progress in the automation of this complex radiosynthesis is of critical importance.

This review summarizes the most recent developments of 6-[18F]FDOPA radiosynthesis and discusses the key issues regarding its automation for routine clinical use.
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Introduction

The 18F-radiolabelled, nonproteinogenic amino acid 3,4-dihydroxy-6-[18F]fluoro-L-phenylalanine (6-[18F]FDOPA, 1, Fig. 1), has been used, in positron emission tomography (PET) of presynaptic dopaminergic system in the human brain to diagnose several central nervous system disorders such as schizophrenia (Howes et al., 2007; Bose et al., 2008) or Parkinson’s disease (Brooks et al., 2003). The compound has two different enantiomers with D or L-configuration. As the D-isomer of...
6-[\textsuperscript{18}F]FDOPA presents a lower affinity for blood-brain barrier amino acid transporters, enantiomeric purity is of great importance for PET imaging. Ideally, only the L-form should be synthesized (Oldendorf, 1973).

As DOPA is the precursor of the neurotransmitter dopamine, the accumulation of 6-[\textsuperscript{18}F]FDOPA in the brain reflects the functional integrity of the presynaptic dopaminergic synthesis and allows us to visualize the conversion of 6-[\textsuperscript{18}F]FDOPA in \([\textsuperscript{18}F]\)fluorodopamine (Pretze et al., 2014). In 1996, a malignant glioma was found incidentally with 6-[\textsuperscript{18}F]FDOPA uptake (Heiss et al., 1996). This finding led to an increased interest in the application of this tracer for oncology, namely in the diagnosis of malignant gliomas (Pretze et al., 2014), neuroendocrine tumours (Neels et al., 2008; Minn et al., 2009; Jager et al., 2008; Balogova et al., 2013; Chondrogiannis et al., 2013), pheochromocytomas (Martiniova et al., 2012; Rischke et al., 2012) and pancreatic adenocarcinomas (Koopmans et al., 2008). Uptake of 6-[\textsuperscript{18}F]FDOPA is characteristically high in neuroendocrine cells. These cells store the transported and decarboxylated amines in cytoplasmic neurosecretory granules that vary in size, shape and capacity to store peptide hormones. 6-[\textsuperscript{18}F]FDOPA is transported into the neuroendocrine cells via the sodium independent system L, mainly mediated by a large neutral amino acid transporter protein linked to the glycoprotein CD98 (Minn et al., 2009).

Due to its multiple clinical applications, synthesis of 6-[\textsuperscript{18}F]FDOPA has become an important issue in radiochemistry. The synthesis has traditionally been quite challenging and the existing processes are complex and typically present low radiochemical yields (Edwards & Wirth, 2015). Several efforts have been made in the development of synthetic processes, which have been reviewed by Wirth et al. and Wängler et al. (Pretze et al., 2014; Edwards & Wirth, 2015). This critical review outlines the recent developments in radiosynthesis of 6-[\textsuperscript{18}F]FDOPA as well as its transposition to routine production.

**Synthesis of 6-[\textsuperscript{18}F]FDOPA**

The development of a suitable automated synthetic process of 6-[\textsuperscript{18}F]FDOPA with good radiochemical yield and enantioselectivity, according to Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP), is an issue of great interest in radiochemistry and radiopharmacy. Several methods have been reported in the literature such as isotopic exchange, electrophilic or nucleophilic synthesis and their main results described in the literature so far for each methodology is presented below.
Isotopic exchange

In 1973, Firnau et al. published the first attempts to synthesize a $^{18}$F-radiolabelled DOPA derivative, via isotopic exchange (Fig. 2) (Firnau & CSG, 1973). $[^{18}F]$Fluoride was produced in a swimming pool reactor by the $^6$Li$(^4$He)$^3$H and $^{16}$O$(^3$H,$n)^{18}$F nuclear reactions in a mixture of Li$_2$CO in H$_2$SO$_4$ and H$_2$O. Then, the $[^{18}F]$fluoride was distilled twice and the diazonium fluoroborate precursor 2 was added to this solution. After the isotopic exchange reaction, water was removed, and the residue was dried over P$_2$O$_5$. Then, the residue 3 was redissolved in dioxane, filtered, and heated to 80 °C. After adding xylene, the solution was heated to 132 °C for 30 min. After solvent evaporation, HBr (48%) was added to hydrolyse 4, to the final product $^5$-$[^{18}F]$FDOPA, 5. The product was obtained with very low molar activity ($A_m$) (2.2 to 22KBq/μmol) and very low in vivo stability. In 1984, the same group published the reaction of $[^{18}F]$F$_2$ with L-DOPA in liquid hydrogen fluoride, yielding a mixture of 2-, 5- and 6-$[^{18}F]$FDOPA of which only 3% was 6-$[^{18}F]$FDOPA, 1 (Firnau et al., 1984). $[^{18}F]$F$_2$ was produced from a Ne-target by a tandem Van der Graaff accelerator.

In 2001, Tierling et al. presented the synthesis of 6-$[^{18}F]$FDOPA 1 by isotopic exchange, with 8–10% of radiochemical yield (RCY) (non-decay corrected (ndc)) and enantiomeric excess (ee) > 85%, in 70 min (Tierlinq et al., 2001). The labelling reaction is based on a carbonyl-activated nucleophilic aromatic substitution of fluorine-19 by fluorine-18, using a benzaldehyde derivative as starting material. Later, Wagner described a similar reaction for radiofluorination of a fluorine-19 precursor with tetrabutylammonium (TBA) $[^{18}F]$fluoride and obtained 6-$[^{18}F]$FDOPA 1 with $A_m$ of 1.5–2.5 GBq/μmol and RCYs of 22%, (Fig. 3) (Wagner et al., 2009).

In 2013, Martin’s group automated this method for the GE TRACERLab MX FDG. The automated synthesis resulted in 6-$[^{18}F]$FDOPA 1 with reproducible RCY’s between
8 and 12%, in 100 min of reaction, radiochemical purities > 95% and ee > 98% (Rene-Martin et al., 2013).

Electrophilic method
Later, in order to overcome the low radiochemical yield and regioselectivity of the isotopic exchange methods, the synthesis of 6-\[^{18}\text{F}\]FDOPA 1 via electrophilic substitution was proposed (Pretze et al., 2014). In this approach, electrophilic fluorination is performed by reacting the precursor with \[^{18}\text{F}\]fluorine gas. The main disadvantage of this reaction is the poor RCY and low \(A_m\) due to the use of carrier added \[^{18}\text{F}\]F\(_2\) gas (Edwards & Wirth, 2015).

Initially, the main route to produce \[^{18}\text{F}\]F\(_2\) for electrophilic fluorination reactions was from the nuclear reaction \(^{20}\text{Ne}(d, \alpha)^{18}\text{F}\) using a F\(_2\)-passivated Ni-target (Nickles et al., 1984). More recently, the \(^{18}\text{O}(p,n)^{18}\text{F}\) nuclear reaction using a \(^{18}\text{O}\) gas target is the most frequently used as more fluorine-18 is produced (Nickles et al., 1984; Operation, 1995; Hess et al., 2002).

The different approaches described in the literature for \(^{18}\text{F}\)-radiolabelling based on radiodemetalation, desilylation (Diksic & Farrokhzad, 1985), demercuration (Adam & Jivan, 1988; Luxen et al., 1990; Bishop et al., 1996; Chaly et al., 1994) and destannylation (Namavari et al., 1992; Dolle et al., 1998; Füchtner et al., 2002; Füchtner & Steinbach, 2003) are presented in Fig. 4.

Among them, demercuration and destannylation gave the best results and were adapted to automated routine production (Tredwell & Gouverneur, 2012; De Vries et al., 1999). The main route to 6-\[^{18}\text{F}\]FDOPA 1, in this approach, is the reaction of the enantiomerically pure precursors 8, 9 or 10 with the carrier-added electrophilic fluorine-18, using an automated synthesis module (De Vries et al., 1999; Luxen et al.,...
Despite the advantages over the previous methods (good ee, > 99% and low reaction times, about 50 min), these reactions present low RCYs (25% ± 3) and low Am (4 to 25 MBq/μmol) due to the use of [18F]F2 which remains the major disadvantage of the electrophilic pathway (Adam et al., 1986).

In 2008, Forsback et al. (Forsback et al., 2008) reported an alternative electrophilic synthesis of 6-[18F]FDOPA, which was synthesized in an electrical discharge chamber by a 18F/19F-exchange reaction. The 18F-source was [18F]fluoromethane, which was mixed with carrier fluorine in neon (Ne/ 0.5% F2) inside the discharge chamber. [18F]fluoromethane was produced from methyl iodide by a nucleophilic substitution reaction with K[18F]F/K222 in acetonitrile. 6-[18F]FDOPA was obtained with RCYs of 6.4 ± 1.7% (decay corrected) and Am of 3.7 ± 0.9 GBq/μmol. In 2013 Stenhagen et al. (Stenhagen et al., 2013) presented an Ag-mediated electrophilic 18F-fluorination of a protected arylboronic ester, which was transformed to a 6-Ag-DOPA derivative with silver triflate. Then, [18F]selectfluor bis(triflate) in acetone-d6 was added and 6-[18F]FDOPA was obtained with RCYs of 19 ± 12% and 2.6 ± 0.3 GBq/μmol in a 20 min reaction.

Although the use of 6-[18F]FDOPA as a neurotracer does not necessarily imply high molar activity, for its use in oncology is a very important issue (Koopmans et al., 2005; Kuik et al., 2015). Low molar activities are known to produce pharmacologic effects such as carcinoid crisis by local conversion in the tumour tissue of 6-[18F]FDOPA to noradrenaline, induced by aromatic acid decarboxylase and dopamine β-hydroxylase.
enzymes (Koopmans et al., 2005), being the major drawback of the electrophilic method.

**Nucleophilic aromatic substitution methods**

In order to overcome the limitations of the electrophilic approach, efforts were concentrated on the development of a nucleophilic incorporation of n.c.a. [18F]fluoride, which can be obtained with molar activities in order of 314–43,000 GBq/μmol (Edwards & Wirth, 2015; Füchtner et al., 2008) and several synthetic processes have been developed. The most promising processes involve the nucleophilic aromatic substitution of leaving groups such as nitro or trimethylammonium moieties in combination with electron withdrawing groups, with [18F]fluoride (Pretze et al., 2014).

The first attempts produced racemic mixtures of the D- and L- isomers and the pure L- isomer was obtained by chiral-HPLC purification, although with a significant loss of activity (Ding et al., 1990; Guillaume et al., 1990).

To avoid this drawback, two alternative approaches were developed. In the first, the reaction starts with the 18F-fluorination of an aromatic ring with standard leaving groups in combination with strong electron withdrawing groups (NO2 and aldehyde), followed by asymmetric alkylation. In the second, 18F-fluorination occurs at a chiral precursor (Pretze et al., 2014; Edwards & Wirth, 2015; Lemaire et al., 1991; Lemaire et al., 1994; Lemaire et al., 1993; Reddy et al., 1993; Najafi, 1995; Horti et al., 1995). Several multistep regioselective nucleophilic synthesis routes of 6-[18F]FDOPA have been described in the last years and some results are presented in Table 1. This complex synthesis process normally comprises 5 steps: fluorination, reduction, halogenation, alkylation and hydrolysis. In Fig. 5 we present the reaction steps of this process.

The authors (Lemaire et al., 1994) started with the 18F-fluorination of the precursor 13, trimethylammonium veratraldehyde triflate, with 40–70% RCY. This step is favoured by the trimethylammonium triflate, a quaternary salt, which allowed a time reduction by 10 min, when compared with the alternative nitro substitution reaction. The second step is a reductive iodination reaction and the third is an asymmetric inductive alkylation step, which leads to the formation of a new carbon-alpha carbon-beta bond with high diastereoselectivity, all within a total synthesis time of 90 min.

The commercially available nitroveratraldehyde, 11, was also tested as a precursor for the synthesis of 6-[18F]FDOPA 1 by Najafi and Lemaire, using chiral auxiliaries and multistep synthesis (Table 1, entries 1 and 2). However, the product was obtained with low RCY’s (5 to 13%) and the process required quite long reaction times, which is always a great drawback for radiolabelling (Lemaire et al., 1993; Najafi, 1995). Nitropiperonal, 12, was tested by Ding (Table 1, entry 3) with a similar outcome (Ding et al., 1990). The best results were reported by Lemaire et al. (Table 2, entry 4) which obtained 6-[18F]FDOPA 1 with moderate RCY (17–29%) and ee > 96% and Am > 37GBq/μmol (Lemaire et al., 1994).

The main disadvantage of this method is the low enantioselectivity as the European Pharmacopoeia (Eur. Ph.) requires the limit for the D- enantiomer of 4% (Fluorodopa (18F)(prepared by nucleophilic substitution), 2017). In order to solve this problem, Kaneko et al. (Kaneko et al., 1999) proposed an enzymatic reaction
step were $^{[18}F$fluorocatechol was converted in 6-$^{[18}F$FDOPA 1 with an ee of 100%, $A_m > 200\text{GBq/\mu mol}$ within 150 min synthesis time. However, the RCY was only 2%. This synthesis is presented in Fig. 6.

In addition, starting from the same precursors, the authors used another strategy which involves the use of chiral phase-transfer catalysts (cPTC) in the asymmetric alkylation key step. In 1997, Corey et al. described the synthesis of O(9)-ally-N-(9-anthracenylmethyl)-cinchonidinium bromide 21, a cPTC used in several asymmetric
alkylation reactions (Corey et al., 1997). Usually, the phase-transfer catalyst only allows the enantioselective construction of a new chiral carbon-carbon single bond when the reaction is performed at 0 °C (Lemaire et al., 2004). This is a limitation for the transposition of the process to automation. However, in the last years, a great number of new cPTCs were developed, but only a few of them showed high enantioselectivity at room temperature (Libert et al., 2013). In Fig. 7 we present the structure of the most commonly used cPTCs described so far in the literature and in Fig. 8 we present one example of the use of cPTC.

Table 2 summarizes the literature results for the 6-[^18F]FDOPA synthesis, starting from the usual precursors but using different catalysts and a Schiff base in the asymmetric alkylation key step.

Guillouet’s group reported the synthesis of 6-[^18F]FDOPA, using the same precursor 11 and cPTC, yielding the product with RCY of 10–15%, $A_m$ of 74–185 GBq/μmol, $ee$ of 95% in 110 min (Guillouet et al., 2001). In order to carried out
the automation process, they performed the reduction of 6-[18F]fluoro-3,4-dimethoxybenzaldehyde with NaBH4/H2O and the halogenation with gaseous HBr in a Sep-PakC18-Plus. The product was eluted from the cartridge with toluene and finally transferred to the alkylation reaction vessel. The reaction was performed at 0 °C in the presence of cPTC and a Schiff base. The acidic hydrolysis was performed at 200 °C during 20 minutes, with HI (57%) (Table 2, Entry 1).

In 2002 Zhang et al. (Zhang et al., 2002) also reported a similar multi-step procedure, using trimethylammonium veratraldehyde triflate 13 as precursor. The process involves the nucleophilic substitution, diiodosilane reductive iodination and phase-transfer catalytic alkylation with cPTC at room temperature, also followed by HI hydrolysis. The product was obtained with low RCY’s of 7–15% (decay corrected) and ee of 90% (Table 2, Entry 2).

Based on previous knowledge, Lemaire’s group (Lemaire et al., 2004) later reported an optimization of the reaction conditions. Starting with the nucleophilic 18F-fluorination of trimethylammonium veratraldehyde triflate 13, followed by the reduction and halogenation (HBr or HI) in a solid support and subsequent alkylation with a Schiff’s base and cPTC 21, they obtained 6-[18F]FDOPA with 18–30% RCY and ee > 95% (Table 2, Entry 3). Krasikova also prepared 6-[18F]FDOPA (Krasikova et al., 2004), using a combination of 22 and (S)-NOBIN as a novel substrate/catalyst pair in the alkylation step under mild conditions. 6-[18F]FDOPA was obtained with RCY’s of 16% and ee of 96% (Table 2, Entry 4). The disadvantage of this process is the complexity of the catalytic system. Shen et al. presented the synthesis of 6-[18F]FDOPA beginning with the 18F-fluorination of nitroveratraldehyde 11, in DMF, followed by the halogenation with freshly prepared diiodosilane. Asymmetric alkylation was performed using cPTC 21 and HBr (48%) or KI were used in the acidic hydrolysis step. The product was obtained with 20 ± 4% RCY and ee ≥ 95% (Table 2, Entry 5) (Shen et al., 2009). The main disadvantage of this method is
the instability of diiodosilane used in the reductive iodination of 4,5-dimethoxy-2-[18F]fluorobenzaldehyde to 4,5-dimethoxy-2-[18F]fluorobenzyliodide.

The best results were reported by Libert et al. in 2013 (Libert et al., 2013). The authors started with the 18F-fluorination of precursor 13, trimethylammonium veratraldehyde triflate. Then the 18F-fluorinated aldehyde was trapped on a tC18 SPE cartridge where the reduction of the aldehyde and halogenation occurred. Then, the column was eluted with toluene into a reactor where the enantioselective alkylation, in the presence of the cPTC and a prochiral Schiff base, took place. cPTC 23 and 24 (Fig. 8), were tested, yielding enantioselectivities greater than 97%. The last steps were again the hydrolysis with HI at 180 °C for 15 min followed by HPLC purification. The product was obtained with 36% RCY, $A_m \geq 753$ GBq/μmol and ee of 97%, in a total of 63 min synthesis time (Table 2, Entry 6). The steps of the reaction are presented in Fig. 8.

Multistep reactions, using chiral auxiliaries or cPTC, have proven to solve the problem of enantioselectivity and the best results are within the limits of the pharmacopoeia requirements. Considering the complexity of the process, the main challenge is still the

### Table 2 Synthesis of 6-[18F]FDOPA starting from different precursors using different catalysts

| Entry | Precursor | Catalyst | Time (min) | RCY (%) | $A_m$ (GBq/μmol) | ee (%) | Ref. |
|-------|-----------|----------|------------|--------|-----------------|-------|-----|
| 1     | ![11](image) | 21       | 110        | 10–15  | 74–185          | 95    | (Guillouet et al., 2001) |
| 2     | ![13](image) | 21       | 80–85      | 7–15   | n.d.            | 90    | (Zhang et al., 2002) |
| 3     | ![13](image) | 21       | 100        | 25–30  | n.d.            | > 95  | (Lemaire et al., 2004) |
| 4     | ![12](image) | 22 and (s)-NOBIN | 110–120 | 16 ± 5 | n.d.            | 96    | (Krasikova et al., 2004) |
| 5     | ![11](image) | 21       | 120        | 20 ± 4 | > 50            | ≥ 95  | (Shen et al., 2009) |
| 6     | ![13](image) | 23/24    | 63         | 33–39a | > 750           | > 97  | (Libert et al., 2013) |

Unless otherwise stated, RCYs are given non-decay corrected (ndc). *decay corrected (dc); n.d.: not determined.
automation, which still requires the development of new, simpler synthetic alternative processes.

Other fluorination methods
Several alternative approaches for the synthesis of 6-[\(^{18}\text{F}\)]FDOPA have been described. Aromatic nucleophilic substitution (\( \text{S}_2\text{Ar} \)) with \([^{18}\text{F}\])fluoride is a direct method to form the C(sp\(^2\))-\(^{18}\text{F}\) bond. For this purpose, the precursor typically contains a leaving group and an activating group (electron withdrawing) in the ortho or para position (Deng et al., 2019). Other alternative strategies have been tested including the \(^{18}\text{F}\)-fluorination of diaryliodonium salts, the \(^{18}\text{F}\)-fluorination of spirocyclic iodonium ylides or the transition-metal-mediated aromatic \(^{18}\text{F}\)-fluorination (with Ni or Cu). In this section, some of these alternative strategies are described (Deng et al., 2019).

The strategy usually involves the \(^{18}\text{F}\)-fluorination of a diaryliodonium salt by ligand exchange, followed by thermal decomposition and hydrolysis, yielding the protected 6-[\(^{18}\text{F}\)]FDOPA. The electron rich aryl groups insure a regioselective \(^{18}\text{F}\)-fluorination (Edwards & Wirth, 2015). Figure 9 shows the generic structure of this type of precursors.

In Table 3 is summarized the main results reported for 6-[\(^{18}\text{F}\)]FDOPA synthesis using iodonium salts.

DiMagno et al. (DiMagno, 2011) patented the synthesis of the diaryliodonium triflate precursor and its application. In the first step, the \([^{18}\text{F}\])iodonium fluoride is formed in dry acetonitrile by anion exchange. After removal of salt by filtration, the \(^{18}\text{F}\)-fluorination of the iodonium fluoride is carried out in a non-polar solvent. The last step is again the acid hydrolysis with HBr 48%. Ground Fluor Pharmaceuticals Inc. (Edwards & Wirth, 2015), reported a similar strategy yielding 6-[\(^{18}\text{F}\)]FDOPA in 30–40% RCY (Table 3, Entry 1). The reaction steps are presented in Fig. 10.

Another approach was based on the use of iodonium ylides for late \(^{18}\text{F}\)-fluorination stage. Recently, Liang et al. (Rotstein et al., 2014) proposed spirocyclic hypervalent iodine (III) complexes as precursors for one-step regioselective radiofluorination with \([^{18}\text{F}\])fluoride. This functionalization shows high efficiency for radiolabelling of a large range of non-activated functionalized arenes and heteroarenes, including some common radiotracers. In 2010 Barrio et al. (Satyamurthy & Barrio, 2010b) patented the \(^{18}\text{F}\)-fluorination of a functionalized iodonium ylide, yielding 6-[\(^{18}\text{F}\)]FDOPA in amounts suitable to perform human PET studies. The same authors reported the use of an iodyl precursor \(27\) that results from the oxidation of the iodine(I) compound, which after
Table 3  Synthesis of 6-[18F]FDOPA using iodonium salts as precursors

| Entry | Precursor | Time (min) | RCY (%) | $A_m$ (GBq/μmol) | ee (%) | Ref. |
|-------|-----------|------------|---------|------------------|--------|------|
| 1     | n.d.      | 30–40      | > 148   | > 98             | (Edwards & Wirth, 2015) |
| 2     | 30        | 5–10       | –       | n.d.             | (Satyamurthy & Barrio, 2010a) |
| 3     | 20        | 31 ± 3*    | 148 ± 74 | n.d.            | (Ichiishi et al., 2014) |

*RCY for protected 6-[18F]FDOPA

Fig. 10  Diaryliodonium triflate precursor for the synthesis of 6-[18F]FDOPA by anion exchange followed by thermal decomposition (Edwards & Wirth, 2015)
18F-fluorination yields 6-[18F]FDOPA in 5–10% RCY in 15–30 min (Table 3, entry 2). The explosive nature of the precursor 27 may reduce the utility of this methodology.

Another relevant synthetic approach uses transition-metal-mediated aromatic 18F-fluorination. This strategy has proven to be a promising alternative to other methods due to the high reactivity, selectivity and tolerance towards other functional groups.

As an example, Ritter et al. described a nickel-mediated nucleophilic synthesis of protected 6-[18F]fluoro-3,4-dihydroxy-L-phenylalanine via oxidative 18F-fluorination (Lee et al., 2012), Fig. 11.

The reaction of aqueous [18F]fluoride with a nickel complex precursor, in the presence of a hypervalent iodine as oxidant resulted in 15% of product in less than 1 min. The main advantage of this method is the use of aqueous fluoride, avoiding the azeotropic drying steps. However, the iodine oxidant is very unstable and the precursor requires a high complexity synthesis.

Moreover, copper-mediated aromatic radiofluorination has been widely used in aromatic 18F-fluorination. In 2014, Scott et al. (Ichiishi et al., 2014) described a strategy that uses Cu-catalysed radiofluorination of diaryliodonium salts using [18F]KF. They tested this method with several molecules, bearing different functional groups, and demonstrated that they can obtain the protected 6-[18F]FDOPA with 31 ± 3% RCY and a Am of 148 ± 74% GBq/μmol (Table 3, entry 3).

In 2016, the same group (Makaravage et al., 2016) proposed a copper-mediated nucleophilic radiofluorination of arylstannanes with [18F]KF. They tested a range of arylstannanes, including TriBoc-L-DOPA methyl ester 33 with different reactions times and conditions, including several solvents and additives.

Starting with 1 equivalent of the commercially available precursor 33 in DMA, in the presence of 2 equivalent of Cu(OTf)2 and 15 equivalent of pyridine, the 18F-protected FDOPA was obtained in 56 ± 12% yield (Fig. 12). The sensitivity to air and oxygen of this method requires the manipulation of the reagents in a glove box, which makes the automation quite challenging.

A similar approach was applied, for the synthesis of 6-[18F]FDOPA using copper-mediated 18F-fluorination, but using aryl boronic esters as precursors (Tredwell et al., 2014) (Fig. 13).

Recently, Mossine et al. (Mossine, 2019) reported the automation of a copper-mediated, one-pot, high molar activity of 6-[18F]FDOPA using a GE TRACERlab MXFN.
The advantage of these last methodologies, when compared with the ones of arylstan-
nanes, is the low toxicity of the reagents and higher tolerance to oxygen and air.

As previously stated, routine production of any radiopharmaceutical requires automa-
tion and, considering the complexity of the processes described, 6-[18F]FDOPA synthe-
sis has been one of the most challenging. Critical aspects such as low radiochemical
yields, purities and enantiomeric excesses, sensitivity of reagents and complex manipu-
lations create considerable challenges and, as a consequence, very few methods of syn-
thesis of 6-[18F]FDOPA have been successfully automated and used in commercially
available modules.

Automated synthesis
For many years, the only commercially available automated method for the synthesis of
6-[18F]FDOPA was the electrophilic destannylation (Tredwell & Gouverneur, 2012; De
Vries et al., 1999) described previously. Considering the disadvantages of this method,
several efforts have been made to develop an automated nucleophilic synthetic process.

Based on developments of Lemaire et al. the cPTC strategy (Lemaire et al., 2004;
Libert et al., 2013; Lemaire et al., 2012), was implemented by Trasis® automated module
for the synthesis of 6-[18F]FDOPA process (Date, n.d.). The multistep synthesis starts
from nucleophilic aromatic substitution of nitrobenzaldehyde with [18F]fluoride. The

![Fig. 12 Cooper-mediated synthesis of protected 6-[18F]fluoro-3,4-dihydroxy-L-phenylalanine starting from an aryl boronic derivative precursor (Tredwell et al., 2014)](image1)

![Fig. 13 Copper-mediated synthesis of protected 6-[18F]fluoro-3,4-dihydroxy-L-phenylalanine starting from an aryl boronic derivative precursor (Tredwell et al., 2014)](image2)
activating aldehyde group is then halogenated to iodide followed by enantioselective carbon-carbon bond formation with Schiff's base, in presence of a cPTC. The protected 6-[18F]FDOPA is then hydrolysed and purified by semipreparative HPLC, yielding 6-[18F]FDOPA with RCYs > 35%, $A_m$ 129,5 Gbq/μmol and ee of 97% (Edwards & Wirth, 2015). The process was performed in a Trasis (Ans, Belgium) AllInOne automatic synthesis module cassette-based and provides 6-[18F]FDOPA with reproducible results (Edwards & Wirth, 2015), (Fig. 14).

The nucleophilic based method was firstly implemented in 2013 by Martin et al. to a GE (Chicago, Illinois, United States) TRACERlab MX FDG automated module and subsequently commercialized by ABX (Radeberg, Germany) (Rene-Martin et al., 2013). An automated multistep synthesis process, based on SPE cartridges purification was implemented and expanded for other modules, such ORA Neptis® (Philippeville, Belgium)
and Siemens (Munich, Germany) Explora™ One, yielding 6-[18F]FDOPA with a reported radiochemical purity (RCP) higher than 95% and ee of 98% (Rene-Martin et al., 2013). The same process, using the non-carried precursor (ABX 1336) was also developed for an IBA (Louvain-la-neuve, Belgium) module within a set of disposable cassettes (IFP—“Integrated Fluidic Processor”). The synthesis includes, between several steps, trapping, elution and drying of the fluoride, nucleophilic 18F-fluorination, oxidation of the intermediate and hydrolysis. The purification is carried out in a set of cartridges yielding the final product formulated in citrate buffer (Fig. 15) with 20 ± 5% RCY and > 99% ee.

This method could be performed in a non-cassette-based system and avoid the semi-preparative purification, which is expensive and time consuming. The main disadvantage is the lower RCY when compared with the Trasis® method. However, both groups are able to routinely produce 6-[18F]FDOPA in an automatic synthesis modules.

In both methods, the approach is the direct nucleophilic aromatic substitution. In Trasis® method, the fluorination occurs in nitroveratraldehyde 11, followed by reduction, halogenation, alkylation, hydrolysis and semi-preparative purification. In the ABX® module, 18F-fluorination occurs at a chiral precursor 37 which encompasses an aldehyde as activating group in the para position, followed by a Baeyer-Villiger oxidation, in order to transform the aldehyde into an easily hydrolysable group. Despite the differences of the initial precursor molecules, both methods contain a multistep procedure leading to high complexities in the automated processes, with consequent low radiochemical yields.

The same method was performed in an automated model iPHASE FlexLab Module (Australia) by Ya-Yao Huang (Poniger, 2017), yielding 6-[18F]FDOPA with RCP > 99%, RCY between 5 and 7% in 110 min.

More recently, based on already commercially available synthetic methodologies, Pretze et al. (Pretze et al., 2017) evaluated the multistep synthesis based on cPTC and ABX methods using an Eckert&Ziegler (Berlin, Germany) modular-Lab Standard module. The first strategy doesn’t show applicability in this module. However, the second approach, shows better results but, not better than the original performed in a IBA (Louvain-la-neuve, Belgium) module, RCY of 20 ± 1%, A_m up to 2.2 GBq/μmol and ee > 96%.

Conclusions

The high interest of 6-[18F]FDOPA as a neurotracer for the diagnosis of central nervous system disorders led to the development of several synthetic processes aiming for the automation for routine production. For this purpose, the automated electrophilic process was implemented and is currently still used. However, when the purpose is the application in diagnosis of other malignancies such as neuroendocrine tumours, pheochromocytoma or pancreatic adenocarcinoma, higher molar activities are required. The low molar activities and radiochemical yields of the electrophilic method are still a great drawback of this process. Therefore, several alternative nucleophilic methods have been developed in the last decades. Direct nucleophilic aromatic substitution was the ideal but, the presence of a good leaving group and also an activation group in ortho or para positions are required, and only multistep synthesis have been reported so far. To overcome these problems, alternative methods have been developed like 18F-fluorination of diaryliodonium salts, spirocyclic iodonium ylides, or transition-metal-mediated 18F-fluorination. However, automation remains challenging. Until now, only multistep synthesis have been automated, by Trasis® and ABX®, that due the several steps of reaction and complexity of some
steps lead to 6-[18F]FDOPA production in low RCY’s concomitantly with time consuming procedures. In summary, we consider that the more recent findings of radiolabelling processes for 6-[18F]FDOPA production could be automated and certainly will represent a good alternative to already existent multistep automated processes.
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