Microstructural Evaluation on Shape Recovery in Stainless Fe-Mn-Si-Cr-Ni-Co SMA Processed by Wire Drawing
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The effect of the microstructure on the shape recovery in stainless Fe-8Mn-5Si-13Cr-6Ni-12Co shape memory steel (SSMS) was evaluated using tensile tests and reversion temperature of 600°C for a pre-strain of 4%. The tests were performed for a solution treated and annealed conditions at different temperatures after wire drawing of 57% area reduction. The best total shape recovery (TSR) was 83% for a sample deformed and annealed at 850°C. It was concluded that the elastic (or, superelastic) shape recovery (ESR) is high when the austenitic matrix strength is high surpassing the value of shape recovery due to memory effect (SR) and once the austenitic matrix becomes softer, the contribution of SR increases and that of ESR decreases.
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1. Introduction

The addition of some alloying elements in Fe-Mn-Si based alloys resulted in stainless shape memory steels (SSMS), especially in stainless Fe-Mn-Si-Cr-Ni-Co shape memory steel. These steels have the capacity to recover its original shape by the reverse transformation of stress induced ε martensite. Some factors that influence in the capacity of shape memory recovery have been studied, such as the amount of pre-strain, thermomechanical training, alloying elements, deformation temperature and annealing temperature.

According to Akhondzadeh et al., the annealing temperature is the more effective parameter to control the shape memory effect due to microstructural changes developed in the parent phase, influencing directly the reverse martensitic transformation. Usually, for iron based shape memory alloy, the shape recovery (SR) presented in the literature is related to the total shape recovery (TSR) and no mention to the elastic shape recovery (ESR) or superelastic shape recovery (SES) is done. As shown by Otubo et al., for a similar alloy with no cobalt addition, in a solution treated condition, the major contribution to TSR comes from shape recovery (SR) due to shape memory effect on heating. The contribution due to elastic shape recovery (ESR) was constant and well lower than that of memory effect. The authors attributed these results to a low strength of austenitic matrix with a microstructure free of complex defects and consisting of equiaxed grains. Recently, Kafer et al. studied the effect of severe plastic deformation (introduced by ECAE processing) and heat treatment on stainless Fe-Mn-Cr-Ni-Co-Ti shape memory steel, separated the TSR in two parts as ESR and SR concluding that while the matrix hardness is low the major contribution to TSR comes from SR on heating as shown previously by Otubo et al. and as the matrix hardness starts to increase, the shape recovery due to ESR also starts to increase surpassing the SR. In order to evaluate the effect of microstructure on the total shape recovery (TSR), elastic shape recovery (ESR) and shape recovery (SR) on heating, stainless Fe-8Mn-5Si-13Cr-6Ni-12Co shape memory steel was processed by wire drawing and heat treatment.

2. Experimental

Stainless Fe-8Mn-5Si-13Cr-6Ni-12Co (%wt.) shape memory steel (SSMS) used in this work was produced by vacuum induction melting. The 65×65mm ingots was hot forged and then hot rolled down to 6.75 mm in diameter, and then cold drawn to a wire of 2.38 mm in diameter. At this diameter, the wire was solution treated at 1050°C for 30 minutes, quenched in water room temperature and then cold drawn to a wire of 1.55 mm in diameter. At this diameter, the wire was solution treated at 1050°C for 30 minutes, quenched in water room temperature and then cold drawn to a wire of 1.55 mm in diameter result in 57% of area reduction (AR). The deformed samples (AR = 57%) were annealed at temperatures ranging from 350°C to 1050°C for 1 hour and cooled in air. The same heat treatments were duplicated for a solution treated samples to serve as a reference.

The microstructural characterization was performed using a Carl Zeiss CCD: SH318 optical microscope (OM) and a Hitachi (TM 3000) scanning electron microscope (SEM) equipped with an energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS) system. Vickers hardness testing was performed using a Future-Tech FM-7000 microindenter with a load of 200g/10s. The micrograph analyses and the Vickers hardness
were undertaken in longitudinal section of the samples (wire drawing direction). The constituent phases in the solution treated and deformed and annealed at 850°C samples were determined by a Rigaku Multiflex X-ray diffractometer, using Cu Kα radiation.

The shape memory capacity was analyzed by tensile testing using an Instron 5500R machine. Figure 1 shows a schematic of the tensile test. The wire specimens of 50 mm length and of 1.55 mm in diameter, were pre-strained by 4%, \( \sigma_{a,p} \), at room temperature and then unloaded down to zero stress. The amount of elastic shape recovery (ESR) was calculated by difference between the lengths of the specimens before loading and unloaded states. Whereas, the amount of shape recovery due to memory effect (SR) was determined after heating the unloaded specimens at reversion temperature of 600°C for 30 minutes, followed by a water-quenching. The total shape recovery (TSR) was estimated as \( TSR = ESR + SR \). The parameter RS is residual strain upon shape recovery heating.

3. Result and Discussion

The Figure 2 shows the microstructure of the stainless Fe-Mn-Si-Cr-Ni-Co shape memory steel at different conditions. In the solution treated condition (Figure 2a) the microstructure is essentially composed by equiaxed grains of austenite (\( \gamma \)), thermally induced martensite plates
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**Figure 1.** Schematic of the tensile test for measuring the shape recovery. The parameters are as follow: TSR (Total Shape Recovery) = ESR (Elastic Shape Recovery) + SR (Shape Recovery upon heating) and RS (Residual Strain).
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**Figure 2.** The optical micrographs of specimens in different conditions: (a) solution treated, and deformed and annealed at (b) 750°C for 1h, (c) 850°C for 1h and (d) 950°C for 1h.
(ε) and annealing twins, which are characteristic defects of these stainless steels with a low stacking fault energy (SFE)\(^1\). The effects of annealing temperature on the drawn wire with 57% of area reduction are shown in Figures 2b, 2c and 2d. The Figure 2b show the microstructure of the sample annealed at 750°C for 1h. It is observed a texture in the drawing direction (indicated by white arrow). No significant microstructural change is observed compared as drawn wire (not shown). The annealing at 750°C was not enough to eliminate crystallographic defects created during cold drawing. It has been reported\(^1,4\) that these complex defects varied with the annealing conditions and studies have indicated that the volume fractions of these defects were high when the annealing temperature was low. Figure 2c shows the microstructure after annealing at 850°C for 1 h. In this condition the texture due to wire drawing disappeared, but the grain boundaries still are difficult to be seen due to second phase precipitates. The EDS analysis revealed that these precipitates were enriched in Cr and Si (indicated by white circles in Figure 2c). Annealing at 950°C (Figure 2d) promoted the recrystallization and dissolution of second phase particles returning to initial solution treated condition, with equiaxed grains and some annealing twins.

The Figure 3 shows the X-Ray diffraction (XRD) spectra of the solution treated, then deformed and annealed at 850°C sample compared to just solution treated sample. The solution treated sample indicates the presence of austenite (γ) and martensite (ε) in the microstructure. On the other hand, the deformed and annealed sample showed the occurrence of new peaks, besides the existence of γ and ε phases. The appearance of these new peaks could be related to a second phase precipitates rich in Cr and Si as detected by EDS analysis.

The Figure 4 shows the hardness variation as a function of heat treatment temperature for solution treated samples (black square dots) and for samples that suffered a 57% area reduction after solution heat treatment (black open circle dots). For solution treated samples no hardness variation could be seen for all the heat treatment temperatures (350°C to 1050°C). The average hardness value was about 230 HV. The effect of work hardening can be seen through the hardness that jumped from about 230 HV for a solution treated state to more than 450 HV after 57% area reduction, that is, an increase of about 220 HV, that is, almost double value. The annealing effect after wire drawing can be seen following the hardness values that were about 550 HV at 350°C reaching their maximum of about 640 HV at 550°C and then decreasing for higher annealing temperature. At 950°C the hardness value is practically the value presented by solution treated sample and at 1050°C the hardness value coincides with solution treated returning to initial state.

The increase in the hardness of drawn wire specimens followed by heat treatments is attributed not only to work hardening due to deformation, but also to the precipitation of second phase particles rich in Cr and Si as seen by EDS analysis and the appearance of new peaks in XRD pattern. The hardness values demonstrate that the nucleation of this second phase particle started at lower heat treatments temperatures, let say at 350°C and at 850°C occurred the coalescence of those precipitates (Figure 2c). As shown above, no heat treatment influence could be seen on the hardness of solution treated samples. Therefore, the variation is attributed to a synergic effect of wire drawing and appropriate heat treatment temperature promoting the precipitation of second phase particles. The XRD analysis (Figure 3) corroborated with these results, showing that only γ and ε phases were presents in a solution treated samples and new peaks appeared after cold drawing and heat treatment. Summarizing, the softening observed for sample deformed and annealed at 650°C could be attributed to the beginning of decrease of crystallographic defects although deformation texture could be seen even after annealing at 750°C (Figure 2b) and at 850°C (Figure 2c) one can see a spherodized particles indicating coalescence and therefore justifying the hardness decrease. At 950°C the sample is completely recrystallized returning to solution treated state (Figure 2d).

In Figure 5 it is shown the effect of microstructure on the shape recovery capacity of stainless Fe-Mn-Si-Cr-Ni-Co shape memory steel. The contributions of elastic...
shape recovery (ESR) and shape recovery due to memory effect (SR) on the total shape recovery (TSR) are clearly seen. While the austenitic matrix hardness (therefore the mechanical strength) is high, the contribution of ESR exceeds that of SR. These results showed that the ESR is related to austenitic matrix strengthening. This parameter is higher when the annealing is performed in low temperatures, in which complex defects promoted during the deformation were not fully eliminated, as well the precipitate particles. Increasing the annealing temperature to 950°C, the ESR contribution to TSR decreases and the shape recovery due memory effect, SR increases approaching each other. In a solution treated condition, that is, at 1050°C annealing, the major contribution comes from SR and contribution of ESR to TSR is minimum. The best shape recovery is observed for the sample annealed at 850°C with a TRS of 83% for a pre-strain of 4%. This result suggests that the microstructure essentially composed of an austenitic matrix and precipitates rich in Cr and Si (Figure 2c), was beneficial for stress induced formation of ε martensite and its reversion to austenite (γ). This result is better than the shape recovery presented by others authors that obtained the same value only after training cycles. Maji et al. have shown that the better shape recovery for stainless Fe-Mn-Si-Cr-Ni shape memory steel was obtained when the austenitic matrix contained Fe₅Ni₃Si₂ type intermetallic phase precipitated at grain boundaries.

Finally is worth to state that when analyzing the TSR, the contribution of the elastic part (ESR) and shape recovery due memory effect (SR) should be taken into account. The first is high when a austenitic matrix strength is high such as for low temperature annealing and it decreases as the matrix become softer prevailing the shape recovery due to memory effect, that is, the reverse movement of Shockley partial dislocations ε(HC) ↔ γ(CFC) is facilitated or, the movement of martensite-martensite and austenite-martensite interfaces would be facilitated in structures with little defects as the solution treated samples. The correlation to the movement of perfect dislocation is valid. Cleaner the matrix, easier is the movement of perfect dislocation and vice-versa.

4. Conclusion

1. The deformation by wire drawing after appropriate heat treatment promoted the precipitation of the second phase particles rich in Cr and Si. At 850°C, occurred the coalescence of these precipitates and at 950°C it were practically dissolved with recrystallized microstructure, similar to the solution treated condition;
2. The hardness increased significantly with the deformation of stainless steel (AR = 57%) due to cumulative effect of work hardening and precipitation of the second phase particles. The maximum value obtained was of 640 HV after annealing at 550°C;
3. The better total shape recovery (TSR) of 83% was obtained for a sample annealed at 850°C;
4. The total shape recovery (TSR) is composed by two parts: elastic shape recovery (ESR) and shape recovery due to memory effect upon heating (SR). The ESR is high when the austenitic matrix hardness is high surpassing the value of SR. As the austenitic matrix becomes softer, the contribution of SR increase and that of ESR decrease. In a “clean” solution treated state, the major contribution comes from SR.
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