Effective Repulsion Between Oppositely Charged Particles in Symmetrical Multivalent Salt Solutions: Effect of Salt Valence
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Salt ions play critical roles in the assembly of polyelectrolytes such as nucleic acids and colloids since ions can regulate the effective interactions between them. In this work, we investigated the effective interactions between oppositely charged particles in symmetrical (z:z) salt solutions by Monte Carlo simulations with salt valence \( z \) ranging from 1 to 4. We found that the effective interactions between oppositely charged particles are attractive for 1:1 and low multivalent salts, while they become apparently repulsive for high multivalent salts. Moreover, such effective repulsion becomes stronger as \( z \) increases from 2 to 3, while it becomes weaker when \( z \) increases from 3 to 4. Our analyses reveal that the overall effective interactions are attributed to the interplay between ion translational entropy and electrostatic energy, and the non-monotonic salt-valence dependence of the effective repulsions is caused by the rapid decrease of attractive electrostatic energy between two oppositely charged particles with their over-condensed counterions of opposite charges when \( z \) exceeds 3. Our further MC simulations show that the involvement of local-ranged counterion–co-ion repulsions can enhance the effective repulsions through weakening the attractive electrostatic energy, especially for higher salt valence.
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INTRODUCTION

Salt ions can regulate the effective interactions among polyelectrolytes such as colloids [1–7], nucleic acids [8–22], and proteins [23–29], and consequently impact diverse physical phenomena including the structural assembly of polyelectrolytes [30], the stability of colloids [31, 32], and the collapse of nucleic acids [33–35]. Generally, a polyelectrolyte solution is often modeled through the Poisson–Boltzmann (PB) equation since the equation has a simple form and is rather successful in predicting the properties of polyelectrolytes in aqueous and monovalent salt solutions [36, 37]. However, for polyelectrolyte solution with multivalent ions, the PB theory can fail to make reliable predictions on some important physical properties due to the neglect of ion–ion correlations [38–41].

Effective interactions between polyelectrolytes in salt solutions have attracted rather attention and have been studied extensively in recent years [42–50]. The intrinsic repulsions between like-charged polyelectrolytes can be modulated into effective attractions by multivalent counterions, which is...
generally attributed to the bridging effect or the Coulomb depletion effect of condensed counterions [51–65]. For oppositely charged polyelectrolytes, the intrinsic attractive interaction can be mediated into apparent repulsions by nonsymmetrical 1:2 or 1:3 salt due to the respective under-neutralization and over-neutralization of the oppositely charged polyelectrolytes by their respective counterions [66–71]. Very recently, an unexpected apparent repulsion between oppositely charged spherical particles was observed at high symmetrical 2:2 salt [72], and such apparent repulsion is attributed to the weakening of attraction between two over-neutralized spherical particles by the release of condensed counterions when the two particles approach each other [72]. However, the effective interactions between oppositely and equally charged particles have not been explored for symmetrical salts with extensive valences.

In this work, the effective interactions between oppositely and equally charged particles in symmetrical z:z salt solutions have been investigated by extensive Monte Carlo (MC) simulations. Our simulations cover the wide ranges of salt valence, particle charge, and salt concentration. We found that the effective interactions between oppositely charged particles are attractive at 1:1 salt and low multivalent salts, while the interactions become apparent repulsions at high multivalent salts. Interestingly, such effective repulsion appears to be non-monotonically dependent on salt valence z. Such non-monotonic salt-valence dependence of the effective repulsion is attributed to the interplay between ion translational entropy and electrostatic energy associated with counterion–co-ion Coulomb attractions. The mechanism is further illustrated by our MC simulations with artificially involving local-ranged counterion–co-ion repulsions.

**MODEL SYSTEM AND MONTE CARLO SIMULATIONS**

**The Model System**

In this work, we employed a model system of two oppositely charged particles immersed in 1:1, 2:2, 3:3, and 4:4 salt solutions to investigate the effective interactions between them. In the model system, both charged particles and salt ions were represented by spheres with different radii, and the solvent was modeled as a continuous medium (see Figure 1). The interactions between charged particles and ions were simplified into Coulomb and hard-sphere repulsion interactions, and the interaction energy $u_{ij}$ between spheres $i$ and $j$ in the model system is given by [15, 41, 64]

$$u_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{q_i q_j}{4 \pi \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon} r_{ij}^{-1}, & r_{ij} \geq a_i + a_j; \\
\infty, & r_{ij} < a_i + a_j.
\end{cases}$$

(1)

Here, $a_i$ and $q_i$ represent the radius and charge of sphere $i$ (particles or ions), respectively; $r_{ij}$ is the distance between the centers of two charged spheres $i$ and $j$. $\varepsilon_0$ is the permittivity of vacuum, and $\varepsilon = 78$ is the dielectric constant of the solvent. The potentials of mean force (PMFs) were calculated to describe the effective interactions between oppositely charged particles in symmetrical $\infty$ salt solutions. Our systems cover the wide ranges of salt valence, salt concentration, and particle charge; $\infty$ salts were taken as 1:1, 2:2, 3:3, and 4:4; salt concentrations ranged from 0.03 to 0.3 M; the charges on two particles were taken as $+24$ $e$/$-24$ $e$, $+36$ $e$/$-36$ $e$, $+48$ $e$/$-48$ $e$, and $+60$ $e$/$-60$ $e$, respectively; the diameters of charged particles and ions were taken as $\sigma$ (20 Å) and 0.3 $\sigma$, respectively.

**Monte Carlo Simulations**

For the model system, the MC simulations with the Metropolis algorithm have been employed to calculate the PMFs between oppositely charged particles in symmetrical salt solutions. The simulation cell was generally taken as a rectangular box, and to diminish the boundary effect, the box size was kept larger than the center-to-center separation $x$ between oppositely charged particles by at least six Debye–Hückel lengths [62, 64]. Each MC simulation starts from an initial configuration with two particles constrained by a spring and randomly distributed ions, and the probability to accept a trial move of an ion or a particle is given by $\min[1, -\Delta U/kT]$, where $\Delta U$ is the interaction energy change associated with the trial move of the ion or particle in the simulation cell. $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, and $T$ is the absolute temperature in kelvin. The process is repeated until the system reached equilibrium, where the separation between two oppositely charged particles becomes stable [62, 64].

**Calculating Effective Potentials of Mean Forces Between Charged Particles**

In this work, we employed the pseudo-spring method to calculate effective PMFs between oppositely charged particles [15, 41, 64], and a spring with spring constant $k = 9 \text{nN/Å}$ was added to link the centers of the two particles in the MC simulations described above. Specifically, one particle remains frozen, while the other can move along $x$-axis with a constraint of the added
spring with spring constant $k$. The effective force $F(x)$ between the two particles with a center-to-center separation $x$ can be given by [15, 41, 64]:

$$F(x) = k\Delta x,$$

(2)

where $\Delta x$ is the small deviation of spring length away from the original distance $x$ at equilibrium. Therefore, the effective PMF $\Delta G(x)$ between the two particles can be calculated by the following integration [15, 41, 64]:

$$\Delta G(x) = G(x) - G(x_{\text{ref}}) = \int_{x_{\text{ref}}}^{x} F(x') dx',$n

(3)

where $x_{\text{ref}}$ is the outer reference distance, which was practically taken as a large value, 45 Å.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, we calculated the effective PMFs between equally and oppositely charged particles immersed in symmetrical $zz$ (1:1, 2:2, 3:3, and 4:4) salt solutions by MC simulations. We found that the effective interactions between oppositely charged particles are attractive at 1:1 salt and low multivalent salts, while the interactions can become apparently repulsive at high multivalent salts, and such apparent repulsion strength is non-monotonically dependent on salt valence $z$. Afterward, we analyzed the driving force for the effective repulsions and the mechanism for the non-monotonic salt-valence dependence of the effective repulsion. Finally, we performed further MC simulations with artificially involving local-ranged counterion–co-ion repulsions to illustrate the mechanism for the salt-valence dependence of the effective repulsion.

Effective Repulsion Between Oppositely Charged Particles at High 2:2, 3:3, and 4:4 Salts

As shown in Figures 2A–C, the effective $\Delta G(x)$ PMFs between oppositely charged particles in 1:1 salt solutions are always attractive, and the effective attractions become weakened with the increase of 1:1 salt concentration. For higher salt valence $z$, the effective PMFs between oppositely charged particles are still weakly attractive at low 2:2, 3:3, and 4:4 salts, although there are local maximums in the PMF profiles, and the attraction strengths are apparently weaker than those of 1:1 salt. With the increase of $zz$ salt concentration (e.g., $>0.1$ M), the effective repulsions between oppositely charged particles were observed at the separation of around one ion diameter for all multivalent $zz$ salts, and the separation where PMFs have maximum values would shift toward smaller separations for higher valence $z$. For example, for $|Z| = 36 e$, the maximum values of effective PMFs are $\sim 2 k_BT$, $\sim 3 k_BT$, and $\sim 1.5 k_BT$ at the separations of $\sim 27$, $\sim 25$, and 24 Å for 2:2, 3:3, and 4:4 salts, respectively, when salt concentration is 0.3 M, and such maximum values decrease gradually to (local) maximum ones of $\sim 0.2 k_BT$, $\sim 0 k_BT$, and $\sim 2 k_BT$ for 2:2, 3:3, and 4:4 salts when salt concentration is decreased to 0.03 M.

We also calculated the effective $\Delta G(x)$ PMFs between oppositely charged particles with different charges $|Z|$ for all multivalent $zz$ salts. As shown in Figure 3, the increase of particle
charge can visibly enhance the effective repulsion between oppositely charged particles. Specifically, when $|Z|$ is increased from 24$e$ to 60$e$, the maximum values of PMF profiles increase by $\sim 5k_BT$, $\sim 8k_BT$, and $\sim 9k_BT$ for 2:2, 3:3 and 4:4 salts, respectively, when salt concentration is 0.3 M.

We summarized the (local) maximum values in effective PMFs between oppositely charged particles for different salt concentrations, salt valences, and particle charges. As shown in Figure 4, the effective repulsion becomes more apparent with the increase of $zz$ salt concentration and with the increase of particle charge. However, such effective repulsion is non-monotonically dependent on salt valence $z$; when $z$ is increased from 2 to 3, the effective repulsion becomes stronger, while it becomes weaker when salt valence $z$ increases from 3 to 4 (see also Figures 2–4). Such finding is interesting and will be discussed in detail in the following subsections.

**Condensed Counterions Around Charged Particles for High 2:2, 3:3, and 4:4 Salts**

The ion-mediated effective interactions shown above are generally coupled to counterion condensation to the oppositely charged particles. Here, we calculated the charge fraction distribution $Q(r)$ of the ions around respective charged particles by $[41, 64, 72]$: 

$$Q(r) = -\frac{1}{Z} \int \sum_i z_i c_i(r) d^3r.$$ (4)

Here, $Z$ is the charge on the respective particles in unit of $e$, and $r$ is the radial distance around a particle. $z_i$ and $c_i(r)$ are the ion valence and concentration at $r$, respectively. Following our previous work [72], the charge fraction $f$ of the condensed counterions can be given by $f = Q(r = R_c)$, and $R_c$ is chosen as the radial distance where the charge fraction $Q(r)$ exhibits a maximum value at high salt (see also Supplementary Figure 1).

As shown in Figures 2D–F and Supplementary Figure 2, at the reference separation, with the increase of salt valence $z$ from 1 to 4, the charge fraction $f$ of condensed counterions increases significantly from an under-neutralization value ($f < 1$) for 1:1 salt to over-neutralization values ($f > 1$) when $z$ exceeds 2, and such over-neutralization becomes more pronounced for higher valence $z$, suggesting the under-condensation of monovalent counterions and over-condensation of multivalent counterions. For example, $f \sim 1.1, \sim 1.4$, and $\sim 1.8$ at the reference separation for 2:2, 3:3, and 4:4 salts.
4:4 salts, respectively, when salt concentration is 0.3 M. As salt concentration is decreased, \( f \) decreases slightly due to the larger ion binding penalty at lower salt concentration and strong counterion–particle attraction, especially for higher valence \( z \) [73]. The over-neutralization of charged particles by multivalent counterions is understandable due to the very strong attraction between charged particles and multivalent counterions and the self-organization of condensed counterions to decrease the mutual Coulomb repulsions [35].

The dependence of \( f \) on the interparticle separation \( x \) would be of great interest and can be directly coupled to the driving force for the effective repulsion between oppositely charged particles in multivalent \( zz \) salt solutions. **Figures 2D–F** and the insets of **Figure 3** show the dependence of \( f \) on the interparticle separation \( x \) for extensive salt valences, salt concentrations, and particle charges. For 1:1 salt, \( f \) monotonically decreases with the decrease of separation \( x \), suggesting the continuous release of condensed counterions when two oppositely charged particles approach each other [41]. However, when valence \( z \) increases from 2 to 4, \( f \) is almost maintained invariant at large separation \( x \), and then increases with the decrease of \( x \) until \( x \) reaches around the separation for the maximum values of effective PMFs. Such increase in \( f \) with \( x \) appears apparently more pronounced for higher \( z \).

Such increase of \( f \) with the decrease of \( x \) for \( z \geq 2 \) is somewhat surprising although it is physically understandable. As shown in **Supplementary Figures 1–3**, two oppositely charged particles are both over-neutralized by multivalent counterions at large separation \( x \), and the over-neutralization is more significant for higher \( z \). Furthermore, co-ions would also condense in the shell adjacent to the over-condensed counterions, and such effect is more pronounced for higher \( z \) due to the stronger over-neutralization and stronger counterion–co-ion Coulombic attractions (see **Supplementary Figures 1,3**). Then when two over-neutralized (oppositely charged) particles approach each other, the condensed co-ions around “over-condensed” counterions for one particle would enter the condensed counterion shell of the other particle, which would favor the counterion condensation for the other (oppositely) charged particles since the co-ions for one particle are the counterions for the other one. As shown in **Supplementary Figure 4**, as \( x \) is decreased, the increased trend of \( f \) starts around the separation where the condensed co-ion shell around one particle begins to contact with the condensed counterion shell around the other oppositely charged particle, and ends around the separation where the condensed co-ion shell around one particle begins to contact with the surface of the other oppositely charged particle. This suggests that the condensed co-ions (around the over-condensed counterions) for a particle become the condensed counterions for another oppositely charged one when two particles approach. Such effect is naturally more pronounced for higher \( z \) due to the stronger counterion over-condensation and stronger counterion–co-ion Coulombic attractions. The increase in \( f \) is nearly independent on salt concentration due to strong counterion–particle attraction, especially for higher valence \( z \). At small separation \( x \) (< one ion diameter), \( f \) decreases with the decrease of \( x \) due to the weakening of electrostatic field and the volume exclusion effect for counterions between oppositely charged particles.

### Driving Force for Effective Repulsions Between Oppositely Charged Particles at High \( zz \) Salts

To understand the effective repulsions between oppositely charged particles in \( zz \) salt solutions, we split the effective \( \Delta G(z) \) PMFs into the contribution of electrostatic energy \( \Delta G_E(z) \) through \( G_E(x) = \langle 
\sum_i N_i q_i q_j \rangle \) and that of ion translational entropy through \( \Delta G_S(z) = \Delta G(z) - \Delta G_E(z) \) [72], where \( \langle \cdots \rangle \) stands for the average over configuration ensemble in equilibrium and \( N \) is the number of charged spheres (particles and ions). As shown in **Figure 5**, it is very interesting that with the increase of salt valence \( z \), \( \Delta G_E(x) \) decreases from a repulsive one to an attractive one, while \( \Delta G_S(z) \) increases from an attractive one to a repulsive one, in the separation range of the overall effective repulsions. Thus, the overall effective interactions for different \( zz \) salts are attributable to the interplay of \( \Delta G_E(z) \) and \( \Delta G_S(z) \). For 1:1 salt, the very attractive \( \Delta G_E(z) \) is overwhelming the repulsive \( \Delta G_S(z) \), and consequently, the overall \( \Delta G(z) \) is apparently attractive [74]. For 2:2 salt, the repulsive \( \Delta G_E(z) \) is slightly stronger than a weakly attractive \( \Delta G_S(z) \), causing an overall effective repulsion at high 2:2 salt [72]. However, for high 3:3 and 4:4 salts, \( \Delta G_E(z) \) becomes attractive, while \( \Delta G_S(z) \) becomes apparently more repulsive, causing the overall effective repulsions. Therefore, when \( z \) is increased from 2 to 4, the driving force for the overall effective repulsion between oppositely charged particles transmits from the repulsive electrostatic energy to the repulsive contribution of ion translational entropy.

The separation \( x \)-dependences of \( \Delta G_E(z) \) and \( \Delta G_S(z) \) shown above for different salt valence \( z \) values are interesting and understandable, which is directly coupled to the \( x \)-dependence of charge fraction \( f \) of condensed counterions (see **Figures 2D–F,5**). Furthermore, to explore the separation \( x \)-dependence of \( \Delta G_E(z) \) for different \( zz \) salts, as illustrated in **Figures 6B–H**, we also split the electrostatic energy \( \Delta G_E(z) \) into three components: i) the interaction energy \( \Delta G_{ion-ion} \) between two particles with their respective condensed counterions; ii) the interaction energy \( \Delta G_{ion-ion} \) associated with diffusive ions including the interaction energy \( \Delta G_{ion-ion} \) between diffusive ions and the interaction energy \( \Delta G_{lab-ion} \) between condensed shells \((a \text{ and } b)\) and diffusive ions; and iii) the interaction energy \( \Delta G_{self} \) within the condensed shells \((a \text{ and } b)\) including the interaction energy \( \Delta G_{cc} \) between condensed counterions and the interaction energy \( \Delta G_{pp} \) between charged particles and their respective condensed counterions.

For 1:1 salt, the approaching of two oppositely charged particles with under-condensed counterions would cause the decrease in \( f \) due to the weakening of electric field between the particles, causing the increase of the translational entropy of counterions and the significant loss of electrostatic attraction between condensed counterions and particles, causing attractive \( \Delta G_E(z) \) and repulsive \( \Delta G_S(z) \) [74] (see **Figures 2D–F,5**).
6A,B,C,F. Such effect becomes more pronounced for lower 1:1 salt due to more release of ion translational entropy and more loss of electrostatic attraction between condensed counterions and charged particles with lower ion neutralization, causing more attractive $\Delta G_a(x)$ and more repulsive $\Delta G_f(x)$ (see Figures 5, 6F,G). Because of certain compensation between strongly attractive $\Delta G_{a,b}(x)$ and strongly repulsive $\Delta G_{self}$, the repulsive $\Delta G_f(x)$ is relatively weaker than the attractive $\Delta G_a(x)$, causing the overall attractive PMFs for the covered 1:1 salts.

For 2:2 salt, two oppositely charged particles are only very slightly over-neutralized by condensed counterions, the increase in $f$ with the decrease of separation $x$ is very slight, and $f$ will decrease for closer separation, causing very weakly repulsive $\Delta G_a(x)$ and repulsive $\Delta G_f(x)$ in the range of the overall effective repulsion. As shown in Figures 5, 6, such repulsive $\Delta G_f(x)$ is driven by the loss of the electrostatic attraction between charged particles and condensed counterions (see $\Delta G_{self}$ in Figures 6F–H). When $z \geq 3$, due to the apparent increase of $f$ with the decrease of separation $x$ in the separation range of effective repulsions, $\Delta G_a(x)$ becomes apparently repulsive due to the significant decrease of translational entropy of condensed counterions, and $\Delta G_f(x)$ becomes visibly attractive due to the enhancement of electrostatic attraction $\Delta G_{a,b}(x)$ between two more strongly over-neutralized (oppositely) charged particles (with over-condensed counterions) (see Figure 6B). Such effect is more pronounced for $z = 4$, causing much more attractive $\Delta G_{a,b}(x)$ and $\Delta G_f(x)$, and consequently a weaker overall effective repulsion, as compared with $z = 3$. Here, we note that $\Delta G_{a,b}(x)$ mainly comes from the electrostatic attractions between “over-condensed” counterions belonging to two respective oppositely charged particles, that is, counterion–co-ion attractions, and consequently, such counterion–co-ion attractions should be responsible for the non-monotonic salt-valence dependence of the effective repulsion.

Therefore, when salt valence $z$ is increased from 2 to 4, the driving force for the overall effective repulsion between oppositely charged particles transits from the repulsive electrostatic energy due to the release of condensed counterions and the consequent decrease of the condensed counterion–particle Columbic attraction, to the repulsive contribution of ion translational entropy due to the apparently more condensed counterions upon the approaching of particles at high 3:3 and 4:4 salts. The weaker effective repulsion for 4:4 salt than that for 3:3 salt is attributed to the apparently stronger attractive interaction between two oppositely charged particles with their respective “over-condensed” counterions which should be coupled to stronger counterion–co-ion attractions.

As described above and in the section of Introduction, the mechanism of the effective repulsion between oppositely charged particles for symmetrical salts is different from that for nonsymmetrical salts (e.g., 1:2 and 1:3 salts). In 1:2 and 1:3 salt solutions, two oppositely charged particles can electrostatically repel each other when they are under-neutralized and over-neutralized by their respective monovalent and multivalent counterions [75].

**Involvement of Local-Ranged Counterion–Co-Ion Repulsion Apparently Enhances the Effective Repulsions**

As discussed above, the apparent increase of $f$ with the decrease of separation $x$ for $z \geq 2$ is attributed to the Columbic attraction between “over-condensed” counterions for one particle and their co-ions which become the condensed counterions for the other particle, and the
weaker overall effective repulsion for 4:4 salt than that for 3:3 salt is attributed to the stronger Columbic attractions between counterions and co-ions for 4:4 salt. Here, to further illustrate the non-monotonic salt-valence dependence of the effective repulsions, we performed the additional MC simulations through artificially involving local-ranged repulsions between counterions and co-ions to locally weaken counterion–co-ion Columbic attractions. In the MC simulations, we added a local-ranged repulsion potential to counteract the strong Columbic attraction between counterions and co-ions when they are very close to each other, and the $u_{ij}$ interactions between counterion $i$ and co-ion $j$ are composed of hard-sphere repulsion, artificial local-ranged repulsion, and Coulomb interaction

$$
u_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{q_i q_j}{4 \pi \varepsilon_0 r_{ij}} & r_{ij} \geq a_i + a_j + \Delta; \\ 0, & r_{ij} < a_i + a_j + \Delta. \end{cases}$$

Here, $a_i$ is the ion radius and $q_i$ represents the charge of ion $i$. $r_{ij}$ is the distance between the centers of counterion $i$ and co-ion $j$. $\Delta$ is the range of the added local-ranged repulsion between counterions and co-ions, and was taken as $\Delta = 0.5 \text{ Å}$ and $\Delta = 2 \text{ Å}$ in practice, respectively. The interactions other than those between counterions and co-ions are still given by Eq. 1.

As shown in Figures 7A,D, the involvement of local-ranged counterion–co-ion repulsions apparently enhances the effective repulsions between oppositely charged particles, and such effect is more pronounced for higher salt valence $z$ and for larger $\Delta$. It is also interesting that with the increase of $z$ from 2 to 4, the effective repulsions become more apparent; that is, the salt-valence dependence of the effective repulsions becomes monotonic. Through calculating the charge fraction $f$ of condensed counterions, we found that the involvement of local-ranged counterion–co-ion repulsions decreases $f$ at large separation and weakens the increase in $f(\Delta f)$ with the decrease of $\chi$, and such weakening effect for $\Delta f$ is more pronounced for higher salt valence $z$ (see the insets of Figures 7A,D). Such decrease in $\Delta f$ comes from the decreased number of co-ions condensed adjacent to over-condensed counterions of a particle and the consequently decreased number of the co-ions entering the condensed counterion shell for the other particle (see Supplementary Figure 5). Similar to the analyses performed
above, we split the overall effective $\Delta G(x)$ PMFs into the contributions from electrostatic energy $\Delta G_E(x)$ and ion translational entropy $\Delta G_S(x)$.

As shown in Figures 7B,C,E,F, as we expected above, although the involvement of local-ranged counterion–co-ion repulsions causes less repulsive $\Delta G_S(x)$ due to the deceased $\Delta f$, $\Delta G_E(x)$ becomes much less attractive and even becomes repulsive for $\Delta = 2$ Å, resulting in the stronger overall effective repulsions. Such effect is more pronounced for higher salt valence $z$ due to the stronger weakening in counterion–co-ion attractions for higher $z$.

Therefore, our additional MC simulations further confirm our above analyses and indicate that the counterion–co-ion Coulombic attractions cause the non-monotonic salt-valence dependence of the effective repulsions for multivalent $z\times z$ salts.

CONCLUSION

In summary, in the present work, we investigated the effective interactions between equally and oppositely charged particles in symmetrical multivalent salt solutions by extensive MC simulations. Our simulations cover the extensive ranges of salt valence, salt concentration, and particle change. The major conclusions are listed as follows:

1. The effective interactions between oppositely charged particles are attractive for low multivalent salts and for 1:1 salt, while the interactions become apparent repulsions for high 2:2, 3:3, and 4:4 salts. Such apparent repulsion would become more pronounced for higher salt concentration and higher particle charge.

2. The driving force for the effective repulsion between oppositely charged particles transits from the repulsive electrostatic energy due to the release of condensed counterions and the consequent loss of counterion–particle Columbic attractions for high 2:2 salt, and to the repulsive entropic contribution of counterions due to the apparent increase of condensed counterions in the separation range of effective repulsion for high 3:3 and 4:4 salts.

3. The effective repulsion between oppositely charged particles becomes stronger for salt valence increases from 2 to 3, while it becomes weakened when salt valence exceeds 3 to 4. The non-monotonic salt-valence dependence of the effective repulsions is attributed to the interplay between the contributions of ion translational entropy and electrostatic energy. Specifically, the significantly stronger counterion–co-ion attractions are responsible for the weaker effective attraction for 4:4 salt than for 3:3 salt.

The effective repulsions between oppositely charged particles by symmetrical multivalent salts were predicted from the MC simulations, and are still required to be probed by experiments. For example, such effective repulsion can be probed by the atomic force microscopy which has been previously employed to probe the effective repulsion between oppositely charged particles by nonsymmetrical multivalent salts [76]. Moreover, the effective repulsions by high symmetrical multivalent salts were predicted for two oppositely charged spherical particles, while it is expected that such effective repulsions may also exist for two oppositely charged surfaces in symmetrical multivalent salt solutions, since the systems of
two charged particles and of two charged surfaces are usually qualitatively similar [75, 77].

Our present work also involved some important simplifications. First, the model system with solvent and particles was modeled as uniform dielectric medium of high dielectric constant, and consequently, the dielectric boundary between particles and solvent was ignored [78–80]. Second, the charges of particles were placed at the centers of particle spheres, and the discreteness of charges on the particle surface was not involved in the work. Third, the solvent was implicitly modeled as a medium with high dielectric constant, and thus, the effect of solvent was naturally involved in electrostatic energy for analyzing the major driving force for the overall interactions [70]. Such simplifications may cause our model system deviating away from the realistic ones, and consequently, our obtained conclusions are more qualitative rather than quantitative. Although those simplifications were often used in previous modeling for ion–polyelectrolyte interactions, more realistic and accurate treatments are still required for more quantitative descriptions for realistic systems in the future works. Nevertheless, our findings and analyses can be very helpful for understanding the ion-mediated effective interactions between oppositely charged particles and the assembly of oppositely charged particles.
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