How activating mutations affect MEK1 regulation and function

The MEK1 kinase directly phosphorylates ERK2, after the activation loop of MEK1 is itself phosphorylated by Raf. Studies over the past decade have revealed a large number of disease-related mutations in the MEK1 gene that lead to tumorigenesis and abnormal development. Several of these mutations result in MEK1 constitutive activity, but how they affect MEK1 regulation and function remains largely unknown. Here, we address these questions focusing on two pathogenic variants of the Phe-53 residue, which maps to the well-characterized negative regulatory region of MEK1. We found that these variants are phosphorylated by Raf faster than the wild-type enzyme, and this phosphorylation further increases their enzymatic activity. However, the maximal activities of fully phosphorylated wild-type and mutant enzymes are indistinguishable. On the basis of available structural information, we propose that the activating substitutions destabilize the inactive conformation of MEK1, resulting in its constitutive activity and making it more prone to Raf-mediated phosphorylation. Experiments in zebrafish revealed that the effects of activating variants on embryonic development reflect the joint control of the negative regulatory region and activating phosphorylation. Our results underscore the complexity of the effects of activating mutations on signaling systems, even at the level of a single protein.

The Ras pathway is a highly conserved series of protein–protein interactions that is activated by extracellular signals binding to receptors at the cell surface, and it results in the activation of ERK (1, 2). Mutations in the components of the Ras pathway are found in both developmental abnormalities and cancers (3). The discovery of mutations proceeds at an ever increasing pace, motivating mechanistic studies of the emerging phenotypes at multiple levels of biological organization, from molecules to the organism (4). One of the challenges in achieving the understanding of how phenotypes emerge is that the affected components are involved in multiple interactions both upstream and downstream, each of which can be affected by the same mutation.

MEK1 is a core component of the pathway, playing a key role in transmitting signals from active Ras to ERK (5). Wild-type MEK1 (WT–MEK1) is activated when it is dually phosphorylated by an upstream kinase (e.g. Raf) on two specific serine residues within its activation sequence. Active MEK1 dually phosphorylates ERK2 on specific tyrosine and threonine residues within the activation loop. Both of these double-phosphorylation reactions are ordered, which is important for both the chemical aspects of catalysis and for systems-level properties of the signaling cascade (6–9). In addition to regulation by the activation loop, a region in the N terminus, helix A, has been demonstrated to negatively regulate MEK1 activity. Either deletion of the N terminus or phosphomimetic substitutions within the activation loop result in partial activation of MEK1 (10, 11). Activity is further enhanced when both of these modifications are combined, implying that the N terminus and the activation loop work synergistically to activate MEK1 (10, 11). Indeed, the crystal structure of MEK1 demonstrated that helix AL stabilizes the inactive configuration of the N-terminal domain and helix C of the kinase (Fig. 1, A and B) (12). The emerging picture is that the equilibrium between the low- and high-activity states of MEK1 can be shifted toward the active form by either phosphorylation of the activation loop or perturbations to the N terminus.

Dozens of disease-related mutations in MEK1 have already been found in somatic tumors and developmental abnormalities, and many more are likely to be discovered by the sequencing of affected individuals and large-scale mutagenesis screens, but it is still unclear how these mutations affect regulation and function at the protein level (13, 14). Importantly, several of the disease-related mutations map to helix A or the adjacent parts of the structure, suggesting that they destabilize the inactive conformation and work in a manner similar to the N-terminal deletions (12). Here, we examine the effects of mutations of the commonly mutated Phe-53 residue of MEK1. Phe-53 forms part of helix A and as such might be predicted to contribute toward maintaining MEK1 in the inactive conformation. We
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Results

Similar to the N-terminal deletion mutants, the MEK1/F53L and MEK1/F53S mutants are active in the absence of phosphorylation by Raf (15, 16). Importantly, their constitutive activity is not accompanied by phosphorylation of the activation loop of MEK1, as observed by mass spectrometry (supplemental Fig. S1). Fig. 1B (top) suggests the reason for constitutive activity caused by Phe-53 variants as follows: Phe-53 in helix A directly stabilizes helix C in the inactive structure of WT–MEK1, and the inactive configuration of helix C is further stabilized by helix AL, which houses the activating phosphorylation sites. A model for the active configuration is available from other protein kinases, and the expected rearrangement of the helices is shown in Fig. 1, B, bottom, and C. Apparently, the Phe-53 missense mutations in helix A destabilize inactive MEK1 and lead to its increased activity, even in the absence of phosphorylation within the activation loop. Consistent with the idea that the F53L and F53S mutations are destabilizing, we found that their thermal stability is reduced, to an extent that is even greater than that caused by the deletion of 60 N-terminal residues (Fig. 1D).

Based on the fact that phosphomimetic mutants act synergistically with the N-terminal deletion mutants to enhance activity (10), we anticipated that constitutive activity of point mutants should be further increased by their phosphorylation. Indeed, using in vitro assays, we found that phosphorylation of MEK1 by Raf leads to a significant increase of kinase activity.
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toward ERK2. Notably, even though the activities of the unphosphorylated wild-type and mutant MEK1 proteins are clearly different, they become indistinguishable after phosphorylation by Raf, at least on the time scale of our experiments (Fig. 1, E and F). These results are consistent with the model in which the MEK1 enzyme exists in an equilibrium between active and inactive states. Apparently, the equilibrium is fully shifted toward the active form when MEK1 is phosphorylated by Raf, independently of mutations within the negative regulatory region. A tentative model of how MEK1 rearranges from the inactive to the active form can be obtained with an assumption that it resembles PKA in its active state. This model shows how the D-motif in the N terminus of active MEK1 can fit into the D-docking site of ERK2 (supplemental Fig. S2) (17, 18). Once in the active conformation, the activities of the wild-type and mutant forms of the MEK1 kinase become indistinguishable. Consistent with this model, we found using mass spectrometry that the mechanism of ERK2 phosphorylation by the MEK1 mutants is the same as that for the dually-phosphorylated WT–MEK1, i.e. ordered, with the tyrosine phosphorylated before threonine (data not shown).

The crystal structure of the MEK1–B-Raf complex reveals that the inactive conformation of helix AL is maintained even in the complex and must melt in order for the active site of B-Raf to gain access to the phosphorylation sites within the activation site of MEK1 (Fig. 2A) (19). Based on this, we reasoned that if helix AL is already destabilized in the MEK1 variants, they should be better substrates for active Raf. Accordingly, we found that the MEK1/F53S and MEK1/F53L variants are phosphorylated faster than the WT–MEK1 protein for two different MEK1 concentrations (Fig. 2, B and C, and supplemental Fig. S3). In all of these cases, the mechanism of MEK1 phosphorylation by Raf follows the strictly ordered mechanism established for the wild-type protein (supplemental Fig. S4). Thus, mutations in MEK1 result in its constitutive activity and at the same time affect the rate with which the MEK1 variants are phosphorylated by Raf.

What are the differential contributions of mutations within the negative regulatory region and phosphorylation of the active site for MEK1 activity in vivo? This question can be addressed in the early zebrafish embryo, which provides a convenient model for quantifying the effects of activating mutations in the Ras pathway components (20, 21). Earlier work established that injection of mRNA encoding MEK1 variants into the one-cell-stage embryos leads to an oval shape of the embryo at 11 h post-fertilization, resulting from defects in convergence and extension cell movements during gastrulation (21–24). The aspect ratio of the yolk can be used to compare the severities of different mutations (supplemental Fig. S6A) (21, 25).

To assess the relative contributions of upstream activation and mutations within helix A, we examined embryos injected with mRNA encoding either a Phe-53 variant or the variant that combines the Phe-53 mutation and the double-alanine substitution of the two serines within the activation loop. The unphosphorylatable variant should be capable of only constitutive activity, whereas the activity of the Phe-53 variant should be further increased by phosphorylation.

As expected, we found that the MEK1/F53L and MEK1/F53L/S218A/S222A variants are indistinguishable in their ability to phosphorylate ERK2 in vivo (Fig. 3A, and supplemental Fig. S5). However, their effects in vitro are remarkably different. The MEK1/F53L and MEK1/F53S variants lead to severe oval embryo phenotypes, whereas the aspect ratios of embryos injected with the MEK1/F53L/S218A/S222A and MEK1/F53S/S218A/S222A variants are indistinguishable from the uninjected embryos (Fig. 3, B and C, and supplemental Fig. S6). Apparently, constitutive activity of the F53L variant is insufficient for causing the oval embryo phenotype.

As a further test of the idea that the oval embryo phenotype induced by the F53L variant depends on its upstream phosphorylation, we tested whether this phenotype may be counteracted
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Discussion

Taken together with previous structural and functional studies, our results can be summarized using the following analogy: the wild-type MEK1 can be seen as a faucet with two settings: “off,” corresponding to the unphosphorylated state, and “on,” corresponding to the active dually-phosphorylated state. Activating mutations destabilize the off state, favoring the active state, making the faucet “drip.” At the same time, the faucet becomes easier to “open”, which corresponds to the increased rate within which these variants are phosphorylated by Raf. Once the faucet is on, the flow rate is the same as for the properly functioning unit, corresponding to the indistinguishable activities of the dually phosphorylated wild-type and mutant forms. The activating mutations simultaneously cause MEK1 to drip and make it “easier to open.” Both of these effects are consequences of the destabilization of helix AL.

Our model emphasizes the close linkage between helix A and helix AL through helix C. This model suggests that Lys-57 should also be activating, and indeed Lys-57 mutants have appeared in melanoma (28). Future tests of this model require structural studies of the various mutant MEK1–ERK2 complexes and a more resolved analysis of the effects of activating mutations on the molecular dynamics of the MEK1 protein.

Overall, we find that the mechanisms of MEK1 activation by Raf and ERK2 activation by MEK1 are not affected by the activating mutations. Both of these reactions proceed through the same steps, but with increased rates. This scenario is certainly not the only one possible; one can imagine that a mutant enzyme could be activated (or act on its substrates) following a completely different mechanism. For instance, the ordered nature of dual phosphorylation can be disrupted and can become random or even reversed. Interestingly enough, we found evidence of a change in the mechanism of MEK1 phosphorylation by MEKK1 using mass spectrometry; the order of phosphorylations was completely reversed between the wild-type and mutant proteins (supplemental Fig. S7). Although the functional significance of this change is unclear, it underscores the complexity of the effects of activating mutations on the dynamics of signaling networks, already at the level of a single protein.

Going forward, it will be important to investigate whether other activating mutations in MEK1 fall in the same category as the F53L and F53S mutants analyzed in this paper: whether they go through the same mechanism with altered rates or follow a completely different mechanism. These studies may reveal new

---

by inhibiting upstream signaling. This can be accomplished using an inhibitor of the kinase activity of the fibroblast growth factor receptor 1 (FGFR1), which acts upstream of MEK1 in the early embryo and other stages of zebrafish development (21, 26, 27). If the normalizing effect of the unphosphorylatable F53L variant reflects its inability to respond to upstream signals, it should be mimicked by inhibiting FGFR signaling. Consistent with this prediction, we found that pharmacological inhibition of FGFR signaling in embryos injected with the F53L variant results in a dramatic reduction of the oval embryo phenotype (Fig. 3D). This demonstrates that in vivo, the effects of activating mutations in MEK1 reflect its joint control by the negative regulatory region and phosphorylation within the activation loop.
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mechanisms associated with activating mutations and explain the quantitative differences in the severities of the effects caused by different activating mutations in the organism (25). This information is critical for providing rational guidelines for the personalized treatments of diseases associated with the activating mutations in MEK1 and may provide a framework for the mechanistic analysis of mutations in other components of the Ras pathway.

Materials and methods

Plasmid construction, protein expression, and protein purification for in vitro reactions

Plasmids encoding N-terminally His$_6$-tagged ERK and N-terminally His$_6$-tagged MEK mutants were constructed as described previously (15). To express the MEK variants, pET28 plasmids encoding different mutations were transformed into BL21(DE3)-competent Escherichia coli. 5-ml overnight cultures were grown in LB medium supplemented with a 1:1000 dilution of 50 mg/ml kanamycin overnight. The culture was then split into three 1-liter portions of Terrific Broth medium with a 1:1000 dilution of 50 mg/ml kanamycin and grown at 37 °C with agitation at 220 rpm until an $A_{600}$ of 0.9–1.1 was reached. Protein expression was induced by adding isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) to a final concentration of 0.5 mM, and cultures were grown overnight at 25 °C with agitation at 180 rpm. Cells were collected by centrifugation, and the pellets were stored at −20 °C.

To express ERK2, the pQE80 plasmid with His$_6$-tagged ERK2 was transformed into BL21(DE3)-competent E. coli. The overnight culture was sub-cultured into 1 liter of LB medium with 100 µg/ml ampicillin to a starting $A_{600}$ of 0.02, and cultures were grown at 37 °C with agitation at 250 rpm until an $A_{600}$ of 1.0 was reached. Then, protein expression was induced with 1 mM IPTG, and the cultures were grown at 22 °C with agitation at 250 rpm for 6 h. After centrifugation, the cell pellets were stored at −20 °C.

To purify the protein of interest, the cell pellets were resuspended in 40 ml of a solution of 10 mM imidazole, 300 mM NaCl, 50 mM NaH$_2$PO$_4$, pH 8.0, lysed with lysozyme, and sonicated while on ice. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation, and the supernatant was filtered through a 45-µm sterile filter. The lysate was then further purified with nickel-nitriilotriacetic acid-agarose resin (Qiagen) following the manufacturer’s instructions, and the elution buffer exchange into a solution made with 50 mM HEPES, 100 mM NaCl, 20 mM MgCl$_2$, 10% glycerol, pH 7.4, using PD-10 desalting columns (Bio-Rad). The protein was concentrated using the Vivaspin 20, 30-kDa concentrator (Sartorius), until a final concentration of 0.5 mM for MEK variants and a concentration of 1.5 mM for ERK2. Aliquots of 25–50 µl were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored in −80 °C until use.

In vitro phosphorylation reactions

The Raf–MEK phosphorylation reactions were carried out in phosphorylation buffer composed of 50 mM HEPES, 100 mM NaCl, 20 mM MgCl$_2$, 10% glycerol, pH 8.0, in a 30 °C water bath. For Fig. 2, B and C, the reaction is initiated by the addition of 3.25 µM MEK to a master mix containing the phosphorylation buffer, 1 mM ATP, and 0.015 µM Raf (c-Raf 14-325-D, Millipore) and run for 30 min. For supplemental Fig. S3, the reaction was initiated by the addition of 2.5 µM MEK to a master mix containing the phosphorylation buffer, 1 mM ATP, and 0.05 µM Raf (c-Raf 14-325-D, Millipore) and run for 90 min. The reaction was quenched by diluting 4-fold in 8 M urea. Similarly, in Fig. 1, E and F, MEK–ERK and ppMEK–ERK reactions were performed in the same conditions but contained 1 µM MEK/ ppMEK and 10 µM ERK and were run for 60 min.

For Fig. 3 and supplemental S5, MEK–ERK phosphorylation reactions were performed in kinase buffer containing 25 mM HEPES, 100 mM NaCl, 20 mM MgCl$_2$, pH 8.0, in a 30 °C water bath. The reaction was initiated by addition of a 1 mM final concentration of ATP to a master mix containing 2 µM MEK and 10 µM ERK. The reaction was quenched by diluting 4-fold in 8 M urea.

Immunoblotting

4 × SDS loading buffer was added to the reaction samples and then immunoblotted to detect the phosphorylated forms and silver-stained to detect total proteins. Immunoblotting was performed using standard techniques onto LF PVDF membrane. Primary anti-dpMEK antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, 9121S) and anti-ERK T*Y* antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, 4370S) were used to track the formation of MEK S*S* and ERK T*Y*, respectively. Alexa Fluor conjugates (1:2000 dilution, Invitrogen) were used as the secondary antibodies. The presence of total MEK and total ERK was tracked using standard silver-staining techniques and developed to the desired exposure. Membranes and gels were imaged using the Bio-Rad ChemiDoc MP Imaging System.

Differential scanning fluorimetry analysis of thermal denaturation

MEK proteins (5 µM) were incubated with 10 mM Tris, pH 8.0, SYPRO® Orange (diluted 5000× from Thermo Fisher Scientific reagent) in 20-µl reaction volumes loaded onto a 96-well clear-bottom plate. The plate was centrifuged at 800 × g to remove bubbles. The temperature in each well was increased from 4 to 80 °C using 0.5 °C increments in a Bio-Rad CFX96 real-time PCR machine. The fluorescence intensity of SYPRO Orange was probed using the fluorescein amidite channel of the PCR instrument. The denaturation temperature ($T_m$) was taken at the point of greatest dRFU/dT during differential scanning fluorimetry, where RFU is relative fluorescence units (29).

Protein digestion and HPLC peptide separation

MEK1 proteins were derivatized with iodoacetamide (Sigma A3221) for 1 h at 30 °C and then quenched with excess diithothreitol as described by the manufacturer. Trypsin protease reaction mix (50 mM NH$_4$HCO$_3$, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, and sequencing grade trypsin (Promega)) was used to dilute out the urea to 1 M and digest substrate proteins. Proteinolysis reactions were conducted at 80:1 to 50:1 protein/protease molar ratio. Activation loop peptides were resolved by RP-C18 chromatography using an Agilent 1100 series LC system (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA) and RP-C18 microbore HPLC column (Phenomenex Aeris Widepore 150 × 2.1 mm, 3-µm particle size, 200-Å pore diameter). Peptides were eluted using a water/
acetonitrile gradient with 0.1% formic acid. MEK1 peptides of interest were eluted at ~24–26% acetonitrile.

**LC-MS/MS analysis**

HPLC-MS/MS analysis was performed on an LCQ DECA XP ion-trap mass spectrometer (Thermo Finnigan, San Jose, CA) in which the HPLC was coupled in line to an orthogonal electrospray ionization source. Integration under ion traces corresponds to mass ranges for activation loop peptides was used to acquire raw MS detector responses using Xcalibur software (Thermo Finnigan). Responses for activation loop peptides were summed and then scaled to indicate percent abundance for each peptide species. Time courses following peptide phosphorylation were conducted either singly or as independent assays in triplicate. MS/MS spectra were acquired in a data-dependent mode (Thermo Finnigan). MASCOT software (Matrix Science Ltd., London, UK) (30) or Mass Matrix (31) was used for identifying peptides from their MS spectrum.

**Zebrafish experiments**

Mutant MEK1–mCherry plasmids were synthesized using site-directed mutagenesis on the original MEK1–mCherry construct (25). mRNA (55 pg) encoding human MEK1 tagged with mCherry with either the Phe-53 mutation or the Phe-53 mutation in combination with the S218A and S222A mutations was injected into the yolk at the one-cell stage. PWT (32) and Tg(mylb<sub>1</sub>:EGFP) adults were bred to generate embryos for mRNA injection and were subsequently screened for mCherry fluorescence to confirm injection success. Embryos were imaged on their side at 11 hpf, and the aspect ratio was calculated (length/width) using ImageJ as described previously (25). For the drug treatments, embryos collected from PWT and Tg(mylb<sub>1</sub>:memGFP) adults were injected with mRNA as above. Injected embryos between 4.5 and 5.5 hpf were washed off, and the embryos were returned to blue water until they were imaged at 11 hpf. Three separate clutches were injected in three separate sessions. The averages and standard deviations were based on the mean aspect ratios for each clutch. Established zebrafish protocols were adhered to in accordance with the Princetion University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.
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