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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Alcohol use among young people is a major health concern in Australia and internationally. Research elucidating social norms influencing alcohol use supports the desire to conform to peers. However, there is a lack of evidence on how social norms are transmitted from the community to young people and between family members and peers, as previous studies are limited to mainly school and university environments. This article describes the proposed process to investigate common alcohol-related norms held by 14-year-olds to 17-year-olds in Perth, Western Australia, and to clarify the process and pathways through which proalcohol norms are transmitted to adolescents.

Methods and analysis: This cross-sectional quantitative study will use respondent-driven sampling (RDS) to recruit a sample of 672 adolescents from sporting groups, youth programmes and the community in Perth. Data will be collected with a previously developed and validated multidimensional online survey instrument. A variety of strategies will be explored to aid participation including face-to-face recruitment and survey administration, web-based RDS and a ‘mature minor’ consent assessment protocol. Data analysis will include descriptive statistics of demographic characteristics, as well as social network and dyadic analyses, to explore the connections between shared understanding of norms and behaviours among individuals and how these translate into reported practices.

Ethics and dissemination: This research is expected to extend our understanding of normative development pathways to inform future interventions, and will be widely disseminated through conference presentations, peer-reviewed papers, media channels and community seminars. A study reference group of key health industry stakeholders will be established to encourage integration of study findings into policy and practice, and results will guide the development of community interventions. The Curtin University Human Research Ethics Committee has granted approval for this research.

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Strengths and limitations of this study

- Respondent-driven sampling enables examination of the influence of social connections on drinking behaviour at a group level.
- Methodology allows randomisation during sampling, resulting in a representative sample of populations through contacts between individuals, and the potential to access hard-to-recruit groups.
- Inherent challenges in the recruitment of adolescents and logistics of continuation of the referral chain to the desired number of recruitment waves.

INTRODUCTION

Alcohol consumption among young people and adults in Australia1,2 and other countries3 is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality. While many people consume alcohol at low-risk levels, short-term occasional consumption of alcohol at harmful levels is common in the Australian and other cultures, especially for young people.2,5 The rate of drinking at harmful levels by young Australians has doubled over the last two decades, with evidence that social expectations and associations may influence risky drinking.6–9 This group currently experiences the highest occurrence of negative impacts from harmful drinking including road trauma, violence, sexual coercion, falls, drowning and suicide.10–11 Comparable data have been published on young people’s drinking behaviour in Europe and the USA.12–14

Drinking culture is influenced by a complex range of social influences including norms, habits, customs and images.2,5 Alcohol plays a central role on many social occasions, particularly celebrations symbolising for some a ‘rite of passage’.12 As such, there are considerable challenges when attempting to clarify the relative influence of these determinants on adolescent drinking behaviours. A large body of research highlights the role of peers in
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shaping the normative beliefs and behaviours associated with drinking. A social norm is an expectation about acceptable behaviour that is shared by a group of people. Research investigating alcohol-related norms and interventions using a social norms approach has been school-based, college-based or university-based and typically has only addressed myths associated with descriptive (perceived alcohol consumption prevalence) and injunctive norms (perceived approval), leaving gaps in the understanding of how social norms are transmitted to the community to individuals and between friends. Further limitations of the literature reviewed include a lack of understanding of when these norms first manifest in the beliefs and attitudes of individuals, the social contexts or networks which contribute most significantly, and the potential for some networks to be protective against risky alcohol use. In addition, the processes by which youth act on contradictory norms perceived in their environment and the social consequences of non-compliance remain to be understood. Finally, it is not clear how youth with different patterns of attachment to significant referents perceive alcohol-related norms, how connectedness to different elements of a young person’s community affects behavioural norms, and how norms transpose within and across different social groups. This study of youth alcohol social norms in Australia will use respondent-driven sampling (RDS) as this methodology allows us to examine the interconnections and interactions between individuals and their social networks. The study’s four key hypotheses are:

1. Risky drinking behaviours of individual adolescents are influenced by the behaviours, attitudes and norms of parents, peers and significant others with whom they interact; thus, the nature of connections and type of interactions between individuals will have an effect on the overall levels of risky drinking behaviours.
2. Individuals will perceive that the drinking attitudes and behaviour of their peers were closely related to their own drinking attitudes and behaviour. Thus, those who are identified as having high levels of alcohol consumption will report higher levels of alcohol consumption among their peers compared to individuals who report lower levels of alcohol consumption.
3. Adolescents with lower degrees of attachment to community will report conflicting injunctive norms (those of the reference group compared to the generalised population) and higher levels of alcohol use.
4. Adolescents with strong associations to others who are drinking at risky levels will report conflicting injunctive norms (those of the reference group compared to the generalised population).

**METHODS AND ANALYSIS**

**Study objectives**

The objectives of this research are to (1) identify common alcohol-related norms held by adolescents aged 14–17 years; (2) test hypotheses 1–4; (3) clarify the process and pathways through which proalcohol norms are transmitted to adolescents aged 14–17 years; (4) identify the influence of exposure to environmental factors (eg, proalcohol messages in the media) on adolescent norm development and (5) describe the characteristics of social networks that contribute to the transmission of alcohol norms.

**Conceptual framework**

The international literature highlights the central role of social processes in alcohol consumption practices. To address the limitations of the research literature as identified previously, a more comprehensive view of the adolescent social context is required which encompasses a range of reciprocal influences between an individual and their environment on subsequent behaviour. Understanding of the development of drinking behaviours and identification of potential for behavioural change is facilitated by a consideration of social theories.

Social Cognitive Theory guided the design of a data collection instrument developed and validated for this study (discussed elsewhere). It will guide the RDS study by providing an underpinning conceptual framework, generating the research hypotheses and identifying the social cognitive interactive elements to be examined.

**Methodology**

Using an instrument developed by the research team, this study will examine the social interaction on drinking behaviour at the group level, taking into account the influence of varying social connections and associated norms and sanctions. This study will utilise RDS, a peer referral method, to recruit a cross-sectional cohort of adolescents. In RDS, recruitment is initiated with a small number of primary participants (‘seeds’) while continued recruitment relies on a coupon referral system, whereby each participant refers a limited number of members of their social networks to the study, creating ‘referral chains’ or ‘waves’. These ‘waves’ allow an analysis of the characteristics of connections between participants and how they influence the formation of knowledge, beliefs, attitudes and behaviours. The initial sampling frame is often constructed by identifying existing community groups or organisations which have access to the target populations and selecting the primary participants in a non-random manner. The strengths of RDS include the ability to capture representative samples of populations through contacts between individuals, including the potential to access hard-to-access/recruit groups. A mathematical model is used to compensate for non-random recruitment, to assist in eliminating bias that purposeful selection of seeds could introduce. RDS allows sampling randomisation, validity and reliability of results, and compared to other recruitment methods, better performance when the activity being studied can be associated with stigma. This methodology has been
increasingly and successfully used to investigate young people’s risk-taking behaviour including sexual beha
viour, drug use, driving behaviour and exploitation in a number of countries and settings. Limitations of RDS include the potentially high rate of data collection requiring substantial resources in terms of costs and personnel as well as difficulty in engaging young people in the research.

Research setting

The research will within the Perth metropolitan region, Western Australia. The study area accounts for approximately 78% of the Western Australian population and 11% of Australia’s overall population. We will recruit participants in the general community (e.g., public locations, shopping centres, skate parks, sports/leisure centres, public events) and through local community-based youth programmes and sports clubs. The selection of out-of-school settings for this study creates an opportunity to capture data in a range of locations with the potential for greater diversity of referrals and allowing access points to young people clustering in their friendship groups beyond school. In addition, there are conflicting results published on the nature of connection to sports groups in terms of their protective or risk-enhancing potential. Recruiting participants from these sites will allow further clarification of the role of community youth sports clubs and programmes in promoting or preventing involvement in risky drinking.

Research team

A reference group convened for this project will consist of researchers and key health industry stakeholders, the latter representing alcohol-related and youth-related governmental and non-governmental services, including senior officials from the health, education and police departments as well as the sports federation. Group members will provide expertise in the form of research direction, ensuring rigour, management of ethical considerations and assistance in identifying sources of potential seeds and the dissemination of results. At the conclusion of the study, the reference group will be instrumental in integrating the study findings into policy, practice and education. Final year undergraduate and graduate students with public health-related degrees who will receive training as data collection officers will recruit the participants and administer the survey.

Sample selection

Those eligible to participate in this study are adolescents aged between 14 and 17 years, who reside in Perth, Western Australia, are in possession of a valid referral coupon, have not previously participated in the study, and are able to provide informed consent to participate as determined by an assessment of the maturity screening protocol further described in the Recruitment section.

Sample size

Previous data indicate that the minimum prevalence of underage drinking at risky levels is approximately 30%. Participant recruitment will continue until at least 672 participants are recruited from the target group. This sample size will allow for 95% CI in estimating the prevalence of risky drinking, with an accuracy of ±5% and a recommended design effect of two. Beginning with 32 seeds, if each study participant successfully recruits on average two respondents, the desired sample size of 672 will be reached in about five consecutive waves, which is expected to be achieved within 12 months.

Recruitment

Sample frame. Evidence from population and household surveys by the Australian Bureau of Statistics will be used to estimate the total population of youth. A review of these data will include age, distribution across different suburbs and participation in sports and out-of-school activities. In addition, a list of existing youth sports groups and community programmes, locations and events where youth can be accessed in the community will be compiled for seed recruitment. Details of each youth programme and sports group, such as a contact person, location, point of access and the number of possible seeds, will be compiled and followed up. Further to this, prioritisation based on potential to provide the required quota of characteristics and number of seeds, while representing a wide spread of sociodemographic and programme types will take place.

Seed selection and referral recruitment. Initial recruitment will be through 32 seeds recruited by the study staff. Selected seeds will be well-connected members of a sporting group, youth programme or the community, ensuring their capacity to make initial referrals of their peers to the study as part of their own participation. Seed selection will occur purposively, and interested youth will be recruited as primary participants if they fill a vacant spot in the seed distribution matrix (see table 1) by meeting the specific gender, age and classification criteria (e.g., involvement in a community sports club or a youth programme). Multiple strategies will be used to recruit seeds including presentations at youth programmes and sports clubs to introduce the study and encourage participation; newsletter advertising; word-of-mouth and intercept surveys at shopping centres and local youth-focused community events. A new seed will be engaged to relaunch recruitment when a recruitment chain ceases before the desired five waves are reached.

Each person recruited and enrolled in the study will meet with a staff member at a time and public place convenient to the participant, at which they will complete an online survey on a tablet device. Following survey completion, they will receive three referral coupons with unique identifying numbers to recruit their peers into the study. Referral coupons are an essential part of the study design as each uniquely number-coded coupon...
will be the only means to link participants within referral networks. Participants will use the coupons to recruit up to three of their peers, defined as friends or relatives aged 14–17 years and living in the Perth metropolitan area, into the study. Referred participants will then contact the study team and be enrolled if eligible. Distribution of coupons will occur within 2 weeks, with a follow-up contact over the telephone to monitor this process and enquire about reasons for not distributing coupons or coupon refusals.

RDS depends on a referral process; hence, an immediacy of response is desirable for this method to be successful and removing barriers to participation is critical. One major barrier envisioned in this study is the requirement for parental consent for minors. Thus, to ensure wider participation and to achieve a broader and more representative sample, this study has received a waiver for parental consent for ‘mature minors’. In accordance with the National Statement on Ethical Conduct in Human Research guidelines, only adolescents aged 14–17 years who exhibit cognitive maturity and capacity to give informed voluntary consent will be interviewed for this study. Similar techniques of cognitive pretesting have been reported in the health literature.

Initial face-to-face survey administration will serve the purpose of trialing the RDS process and resources. Depending on the rate of data collection and feasibility of the mature minor screening assessment, other data collection strategies will also be explored to increase reach and interactions with youth. This may include web-based RDS whereby participants will be able to make referrals online through email, text messaging and their social networking sites (eg, Facebook and Twitter).

According to previous research, incentives are an essential and ethically supportable way of maximising referrals for data collection efficiency. A system of double incentives will be employed; participation reimbursement in the form of a $15 electronic store gift voucher awarded after completion of the interview, as well as referral incentives of a $10 electronic store gift voucher awarded to the participant after a referral’s survey completion. The incentives provided are modest and lower than what have been provided in other RDS studies with youth.

**Data analysis**

Data will be collected for each participant on sociodemographics, drinking behaviours, experience of alcohol-related harms, drinking intentions and attitudes, alcohol expectancies and access, descriptive and injunctive norms, perceived social sanctions, alcohol promotion through media, social connectedness and group identity. Analyses will explore the connections between individuals, their shared understanding of norms and behaviours, and how these shared understandings are translated into reported practices. Data analysis will include descriptive statistics of demographic characteristics, social network analysis and dyadic analyses. The analyses will be carried out in two directions, the first of which is individual-level indicators of alcohol use. RDS data analysis allows the estimation of population indicators that describe a broader population. Analysis of the social network will access male and female adolescents from the two different age groups (14–15 and 16–17 years) to determine if and when changes in attitudes, beliefs and social norms occur. Second, dyadic analyses will be conducted, whereby each dyad (participant and their referral) will provide information about both adolescents’ perceptions of behavioural norms. The extent of concordance in perceived behavioural norms will be further analysed with respect to the type of dyadic connections. In the case of similar characteristic dyads, for example sporting focused adolescents, we will also measure the relationship between the concordance of behavioural norms and reported alcohol practices beliefs and values. As a measure of diffusion of norms through the referral chains, the agreement in perceived behavioural norms will also be explored according to the degree of distance (direct connection, separated by one, two or more persons between participants within the chain of referral).

**ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION**

This research has received approval from the Curtin University Human Research Ethics Committee, including a waiver of the requirement for parental consent by ensuring that only participants who are assessed as ‘mature minors’ will be included in the study (reference HR-25/2012). Core principles of national research ethics have been addressed, whereby the safety, welfare and confidentiality of participants will be ensured. The RDS method employs stringent confidentiality and ethical safeguards, such as no undue influence by researchers, peer initiated recruitment, informed consent, coded identification of participants and modest incentives. Confidentiality procedures will include

| Organisation      | Characteristics and number of seeds | 14 years | 15 years | 16 years | 17 years |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
|                   | Male  | Female | Male  | Female | Male  | Female | Male  | Female | Male  | Female |
| Sports clubs      | 1     | 1      | 1     | 1      | 1     | 1      | 1     | 1      | 1     | 1      |
| Youth programmes  | 1     | 1      | 1     | 1      | 1     | 1      | 1     | 1      | 1     | 1      |
| Community         | 2     | 2      | 2     | 2      | 2     | 2      | 2     | 2      | 2     | 2      |
delinked data collection; direct entry of deidentified data into the computer system; interview locations selected with particular care for participants’ privacy and safety; and encrypted data storage on secure computers. Project personnel will be trained by the Research Manager to administer the questionnaire through a standardised protocol that will include participant eligibility, confidentiality, ethical consent, interview administration, referral process, administering incentives and reporting practices. Training will be delivered face-to-face in groups prior to the data collection as well as on an ongoing basis.

Each participant will be informed of the voluntary nature of the study, as well as their right to withdraw at any time and maintenance of anonymity of the data. A letter explaining the nature of the study and the associated ethical considerations and rights will be sent to the recruits’ parents through the subject on request. All dealings with participants will be undertaken at an age-appropriate level of communication, ensured through piloting of the questionnaire instrument and training of interviewers. In addition, an adverse response protocol will be developed to manage mental health concerns in the form of distress or anxiety during an interview.

To our knowledge, this will be the first study to investigate the development and transmission of alcohol-related norms among adolescents in the community as opposed to an isolated school or university context. As such, evidence gained clarifying the characteristics of social networks that contribute to alcohol use is expected to facilitate development of effective intervention strategies to reduce risky drinking behaviours among youth in out-of-school settings. Further, it is anticipated that awareness raised and key points of interest revealed through the explorations that this study undertakes will result in continued and enhanced health outcomes for young people.

The dissemination of results will involve several stages and strategies: (1) dissemination of study findings to health professionals, academics, reference group and other stakeholders through local, national and international conference presentations, and peer-reviewed papers; (2) integration of the findings through the reference group and other stakeholders, for example, through agency workshops and community seminars to encourage integration of study findings into policy, practice and education; (3) dissemination of the survey instrument developed as part of this study for use by other researchers and (4) utilisation of the instrument to evaluate community and organisation assessments of youth alcohol norms and to assist with the development of tailored interventions.

Acknowledgements. The authors acknowledge the contributions of Laurissa Tielman who assisted with the drafting of this paper and are grateful to the key health industry stakeholders for supporting this research: the Drug and Alcohol Office, Department of Education and Training, Department of Sport and Recreation, Western Australian Sports Federation and Western Australia Police.

Contributors BM, PH, SB, SD, SA and RL designed the study. JH led the design of the study implementation protocol and drafted the manuscript. SD was responsible for the sample size and power calculations and development of the proposed statistical analyses. All authors critically revised the manuscript and approved the final version.

Funding This work is supported by a Healthway (the Western Australian Health Promotion Foundation) research project grant (grant number: 20331).

Competing interests None.

Ethics approval Curtin University Human Research Ethics Committee (approval number: HR-25/2012).

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

Data sharing statement No data are available for sharing at this time. Once all data have been collected and the results published, data arising from this study shall be made available for public use by other researchers.

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 3.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/

REFERENCES

1. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare [AIHW]. 2010 National Drug Strategy Household Survey Report. Drug Statistics Series No. 25. Canberra: AIHW, 2011.

2. National Preventative Health Taskforce. Technical Report No 3, Preventing Alcohol-related Harm in Australia: A window of opportunity. Canberra: Commonwealth of Australia, 2009.

3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC]. Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance—United States, 2011. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, Surveillance Summaries. U.S. Department of Human Services, 2012.

4. European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction. Summary 2011 ESPAD Report, Substance use among students in 36 European countries. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union, 2012.

5. Cortese G. Identities, subjectivities and language in juvenile alcohol issues. Int J Child Rights 2010;18:233–52.

6. Zufferey A, Michaud P, Jeannin A, et al. Cumulative risk factors for adolescent alcohol misuse and its perceived consequences among 16 to 20 year old adolescents in Switzerland. Prev Med 2007;45:233–9.

7. Stappenbeck CA, Quinn PD, Wetherill RR, et al. Perceived norms for drinking in the transition from high school to college and beyond. J Stud Alcohol Drugs 2010;71:895–903.

8. Svensson M. Alcohol use and social interactions among adolescents in Sweden: do peer effects exist within and/or between the majority population and immigrants? Soc Sci Med 2010;70:1858–64.

9. Corton WR, Iwamoto DK, Fromme K. Broad social motives, alcohol use, and related problems: mechanisms of risk from high school through college. Addict Behav 2011;36:222–30.

10. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare [AIHW]. 2007 National drug strategy household survey: detailed findings. Cat. No. PHE 107. Canberra: AIHW, 2008.

11. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare [AIHW]. Young Australians: their health and wellbeing 2011. Canberra: AIHW, 2011.

12. Fry M, Dann L. Social drinking and social norms: alcohol consumption and drink driving among young adults in Australia. The La Londe Conference in Marketing Communications and Consumer Behaviour, 7–10 June 2005. La Londe les Maures, France: Aix Graduate School of Business, 2005:29–39.

13. Bot S, Engels R, Knibbe R, et al. Friend’s drinking behaviour and adolescent alcohol consumption: the moderating role of friendship characteristics. Addict Behav 2005;30:305–17.

14. Liefbroer AC, Billari FC. Bringing norms back in: a theoretical and empirical discussion of their importance for understanding demographic behaviour. Popul Space Place 2010;16:287–305.

15. Cleveland MJ, Hultgren B, Vanli-Weld L, et al. Moderation of a parent-based intervention on transitions in drinking: examining the role of normative perceptions and attitudes among high- and low-risk first-year college students. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 2013;37:1587–94.
16. Moreira MT, Oskrochi R, Foxcroft DR. Personalised normative feedback for preventing alcohol misuse in university students: Solomon three-group randomised controlled trial. PLoS ONE 2012;7:e44120.

17. Moore GF, Williams A, Moore L, et al. An exploratory cluster randomised trial of a university halls of residence based social norms marketing campaign to reduce alcohol consumption among 1st year students. Subst Abuse Treat Prev Policy 2013;8:15.

18. Brooks-Russell A, Simons-Morton B, Haynie D, et al. Longitudinal relationship between drinking with peers, descriptive norms, and adolescent alcohol use. Prev Sci 2013;(Epub ahead of print 7 April 2013).

19. DeMartini KS, Prince MA, Carey KB. Identification of trajectories of social network composition change and the relationship to alcohol consumption and norms. Drug Alcohol Depen 2013;132:309–15.

20. Borsari B, Carey KB. Descriptive and injunctive norms in college drinking: a meta-analytic integration. J Stud Alcohol 2000;61:649–56.

21. Reed MB, Lange JE, Ketchie JM, et al. The relationship between social identity, normative information, and college student drinking. Soc Influence 2007;2:269–94.

22. Lewis MA, Neighbors C. Social norms approaches using descriptive drinking norms to assess the impact of social media on personalized normative feedback. J Am Coll Health 2006;54:213–18.

23. Lewis MA, Neighbors C. Gender-specific misperceptions of college student drinking norms. Psychol Addict Behav 2004;18:334–9.

24. Lewis MA, Neighbors C. Optimizing personalized normative feedback: the use of gender-specific references. J Stud Alcohol Drugs 2007;68:228–37.

25. Urberg KA, Luo Q, Pilgrim C, et al. A two-stage model of peer influence in adolescent substance use: individual and relationship-specific differences in susceptibility to influence. Addict Behav 2005;30:551–60.

26. Dams-O’Connor K, Martin JL, Martens MP. Social norms and alcohol consumption among intercollegiate athletes: the role of athlete and nonathlete reference groups. Addict Behav 2007;32:265–66.

27. Pedersen E, Neighbors C, LaBrie JW. College students watching and drinking: athletes and nonathlete reference groups. J Stud Alcohol Drugs 2011;72:438–43.

28. Prins JC, Donovan JE, Molina BS. Parent-child divergence in the development of alcohol use norms from middle childhood into middle adolescence. J Stud Alcohol Drugs 2011;72:438–43.

29. Kobus K, Henry D. Interplay of network position and peer substance use in early adolescent cigarette, alcohol, and marijuana use. J Early Adolescence 2009;30:225–45.

30. Semaan S, Santibanez S, Garfein RS, et al. Ethical and regulatory considerations in HIV prevention studies employing respondent-driven sampling. Int J Drug Policy 2009;20:14–27.

31. Johnston L, Sabin K. Sampling hard-to-reach populations with respondent driven sampling. Methodol Innovations Online 2010;5:38–48.

32. Arbour-Nicolaouos KP, Kwan MYW, Lowe D, et al. Social norms of alcohol, smoking, and marijuana use within a Canadian university setting. J Am Coll Health 2011;59:191–6.

33. Bewick BM, West R, Gill J, et al. Providing web-based feedback and social norms information to reduce student alcohol intake: a multisite investigation. J Med Internet Res 2010;12:e59.

34. Cail J, LaBrie JW. Disparity between the perceived alcohol-related attitudes of parents and peers increases alcohol risk in college students. Addict Behav 2010;35:135–9.

35. Dal Cin SD, Worth KA, Gerrard M, et al. Watching and drinking: expectancies, prototypes, and friends’ alcohol use mediate the effect of exposure to alcohol use in movies on adolescent drinking. Health Psychol 2009;28:473–83.

36. Bandura A. Social foundations of thought and action: a social cognitive theory. Prentice Hall, 1986.

37. Hildebrand J, Maycock B, Burns S, et al. Design of an instrument to measure alcohol-related psychosocial influences in the development of norms among 13-year-old to 17-year-old adolescents. BMJ Open 2013;3:e003571.

38. Hathaway A, Hyshka E, Erickson PG, et al. Whither RDS? An investigation of respondent driven sampling as a method of recruiting mainstream marijuana users. Harm Reduct J 2010;7:15.

39. Semaan S. Time-space sampling and respondent-driven sampling with hard-to-reach populations. Methodol Innovations Online 2010;5:60–75.

40. Shahmanesh M, Welay S, Cowan F, et al. Suicidal behavior among female sex workers in Goa, India: the silent epidemic. Am J Public Health 2009;99:1239–46.

41. Abdul-Quader A, Heckathorn D, Sabin K, et al. Implementation and analysis of respondent driven sampling: lessons learned from the field. J Urban Health 2010;87:657–65.

42. Liu HJ, Liu H, Cai YM, et al. Money boys, HIV risks, and the associations between norms and safer sex: a respondent-driven sampling study in Shenzhen, China. AIDS Behav 2009;13:562–62.

43. Lomba L, Apóstolo J, Mendes F. Drugs and alcohol consumption and sexual behaviours in night recreational settings in Portugal. Adicciones 2009;4:4.

44. Blay N, Calafat A, Juan M, et al. Violence in nightlife environments and its relation with the consumption of alcohol and drugs among young Spaniards. Psicothema 2010;22:396–402.

45. Bjørkhaug I, Hatlov A. Utilization of respondent-driven sampling among a population of child workers in the diamond-mining sector of Sierra Leone. Global Public Health 2009;4:96–109.

46. Owczarz MV, Wandel CM, Quiles R, et al. CDC HIV testing guidelines and the rapid and conventional testing practices of homeless youth. AIDS Educ Prev 2010;22:312–27.

47. Baueimeister JA, Zimmerman MA, Johns MM, et al. Innovative recruitment using online networks: lessons learned from an online study of alcohol use and alcohol use among young Spaniards. Interviewing as a tool for improving the quality of data collection. BMJ Open 2013;3:e003870.

48. Australian Bureau of Statistics. Regional Population Growth, Australia, 2011–12. Cat. No. 3218.0. Canberra: ABS, 2013.

49. Kingsland M, Wollenden L, Rowland BC, et al. A cluster randomised controlled trial of a comprehensive accreditation intervention to reduce alcohol consumption at community sports clubs: study protocol. BMJ Open 2011;1:1–9.

50. Lisha NE, Sussman S. Relationship of high school and college sports participation with alcohol, tobacco, and illicit drug use: a review. Addict Behav 2011;36:399–407.

51. McGee R, Williams S, Howden-Chapman P, et al. Participation in clubs and groups from childhood to adolescence and its effects on attachment and self-esteem. J Adolescence 2006;29:1–17.

52. Griffiths P, Kalic R, Gunnell A. Australian School Survey 2008: Western Australian Results. Perth: Drug and Alcohol Office, 2009.

53. Salganik MJ. Variance estimation, design effects, and sample size calculations for respondent-driven sampling. J Urban Health 2006;83(7 Suppl):98–112.

54. Salganik MJ, Heckathorn DD. Sampling and estimation in hidden populations using respondent-driven sampling. Sociol Methodol 2004;34:193–239.

55. National Health and Medical Research Council. National Statement on Ethical Conduct in Human Research. Canberra: Australian Government, 2007.

56. Arora A, Rajagopalan S, Shafiq N, et al. Development of tool for the assessment of comprehension of informed consent form in healthy volunteers participating in first-in-human studies. Contemp Clin Trials 2011;32:814–17.

57. Brody JL, Waldron HB. Ethical issues in research on the treatment of adolescent substance abuse disorders. Addict Behav 2000;25:217–28.

58. Willis G. Cognitive interviewing as a tool for improving the informed consent process. J Empir Res Hum Res Ethics 2006;1:9–24.