Why does Gojek fail to maintain the Top Brand Award?

Maaz Ud Din¹, Ana Kadarningsih², Nenny Ariani³

Ph.D candidate at Swabi University, Pakistan¹
Management Department, Universitas Dian Nuswantoro, Indonesia²
Accounting Department, STIE AMM Mataram, Indonesia³
Ph.D candidate at Universitas Diponegoro, Indonesia³

Received: 19-02-2020 | Revision: 02-04-2020 | Accepted: 28-08-2020
DOI: https://doi.org/10.22219/jiko.v5i03.11343

Abstract
This study aims to understand the factors that cause Gojek to fail to maintain the Top Brand Award status. To answer the research objectives, the study build a conceptual framework with brand images as a mediating variable of service quality, product, promotion, and price on purchasing decisions. The research sample was 100 respondents. Test of research instruments using factor analysis for validity, and Cronbach Alpha for reliability and use multiple linear regression for data analysis. The result of this study found that the failure of Gojek to maintain the status of the Top Brand Award was caused by the company's lack of strengthening the driving variables. The statement is based on the finding that only price having a significant positive effect on brand images, while service quality, product, and promotion are not significant. The result makes the total impact of exogenous variables less optimal in increasing purchasing decisions.
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Introduction
Gojek rating has declined compared to its competitors in 2017 and 2018⁴. The result of previous research also found that Grab as Gojek competitor is the most favorite online transportation being chosen by customers (Burhanuddin, G., & Tulung, 2018). The increasingly fierce business competition, especially competition from similar companies, makes companies increasingly demanded to move faster in terms of attracting consumers. So, companies that apply marketing concepts need to pay close attention to consumer behavior and factors that influence purchasing decisions in marketing efforts for a product that is carried out. One way to achieve company goals is to know what the needs and desires of consumers or the target market and to provide expected satisfaction more effectively and efficiently than competitors (Kotler, 2012).

Research at the chain stores shows that service quality has a positive and significant impact on purchasing decision. In addition, this study also revealed that the private brand images had a positive and significant impact on purchasing decision.
Meanwhile, research in the field of airlines gives the result that service quality has a direct relationship on purchasing decision. Furthermore, it was also found that customer satisfaction and brand image mediated service quality and purchasing decision (Abid Saleem, Zahra, & Yaseen, 2017).

Beside, (Soltani et al., 2016) who revealed that brand image have a direct influence on purchasing decisions. P. C. Wu et al., (2011) also revealed the store image that has a direct and positive effect on the purchase intention. Some similar studies also show the same results with slightly different characteristics (Jahanzeb, Fatima, & Butt, 2013); (C.-C. Wu, 2011); (Saleem & Raja, 2014); (Khan, Aabdean, Salman, Nadeem, & Rizwan, 2016)

Literature shows that service quality and brand images have a significant effect on purchasing decision. However, there are three other things which also cannot be ignored, namely product quality, promotion, and price. Product quality has impact significantly in purchasing decision Girsang, Rini, & Gultom (2020); Febriati & Respati (2020); Darojat (2020); Amron (2018); Waluya & Iqbal (2019); and Santoso (2016). Product quality also can moderate significantly between brand image and brand equity Ansary, M, & Hashim (2017). Another research also shows that the product quality has a positive and significant effect on brand image (Febriati & Respati, 2020); (Khan et al., 2016); (Chinomona & Maziriri, 2017); (Pranata, Syah, & Anindita, 2020). Brand image also is influenced by product quality positively (Kirca, Randhawa, Talay, & Akdeniz, 2019).

Meanwhile, major research shows that promotion has a positive influence on brand image (Buil, de Chematony, & Martinez, 2013). Promotion has positive and significant influence on purchasing decision (Leksono & Herwin, 2017); (Astut, 2019); (Ariatmaja & Rastini, 2017). Another research also indicates that promotion has relationship with brand image positively and significantly (Chakraborty & Bhat, 2018); (Kumar & Patra, 2017); (Tadesse, 2019); (Schivinski, Bruno, & Dabrowski, 2016); (Bilgin, 2018); (Marcel, 2009); (Yaman, 2018); (Seo & Park, 2018). How about price? (Amron, 2018); (Ariatmaja & Rastini, 2017); (Leksono & Herwin, 2017); (Santoso, 2016); (Burhanuddin et al., 2018) revealed that price has a positive and significant influence on purchasing decision. In addition, price also has a significant relationship with brand image (Anselmsson, Bondesson, & Johansson, 2014). The statement also support by (Popp & Woratschek, 2017); (Marcel, 2009); (Leksono & Herwin, 2017); (Almendros & Garcia, 2014).

Based on several literature that have been described, in general, this paper builds a simple hypothetical framework that Gojek's declining performance can be resolved by increasing service quality, product quality, promotion, and price to create a brand image that ultimately improves sales performance. This research contributes to understanding the causes of the decline in Gojek's performance, which failed to maintain the Top Brand award. These findings can be used by academics as well as practitioners as literature to emphasize the importance of service quality, product, promotion, and price to reinforce brand image and finally level up the purchasing decision.
Research Method

Population of this study is all customer in Semarang City that use GOJEK services. 100 samples were taken with purposive sampling techniques with criterion that ask before giving questionnaire such as domiciled in Semarang city, age of respondent at least 17 years old and at least have used the Gojek application 3 times a week. A total of 125 questionnaires were spread out and the feedback rate was 86.4% which 108 questionnaires received but 8 questionnaires incomplete filled. The questionnaire adopted uses a five-point Likert scale, from 1 = strongly disagree to 5 = strongly agree. Techniques of collected data were used to fulfill according to data to the problem so that normal distribution was used in quantitative data in this study.

This study used four independent variables namely service quality, product, promotion, and price. Service quality variables were reflected in 5 indicators such as physical evidence, reliability, responsiveness, assurance, empathy. Product variables were measured from 5 indicators: product expectations, privileges, abilities, conformity, impressions. While the promotion variable has 4 indicators that is a lot of information, interesting endorsers, easy to remember, messages are easy to understand and price variables are reflected in 3 indicators which are pricing or low fares, suitability of the rates with the benefits of the services provided, the prices set are in accordance with competitors. The intervening variable in this study is brand image that reflected by 4 indicators such as product attribute and benefits, people and relationships, values and programs, company credibility. And dependent variable is purchase decision and reflected by 4 indicators which are confidence to make purchases, purchases as needed, planning the right purchase and information search about the product. The research framework can be seen in Fig 1. Test research instruments use factor analysis for validity and alpha Cronbach for reliability. Analysis tools use multiple linear regression with SPSS.

Result and Discussion

The results of the regression output in Table 1 show that the significance value of the service quality variable is 0.092; product quality variable 0.279; promotion variable 0.175 and price variable 0.010, so that only the price has a direct influence on brand image with a significance value of 0.010. R Square value in the model summary of 0.654 indicates that service quality, product, promotion and price variables can

---

For more detail about validity and reliability, please see at https://stats.idre.ucla.edu/?s=cronbach+alpha
explain brand image variable by 65.4% and 34.6% are influenced by other variable outside the model being tested. The result contradicts some literature that shows service quality Amron (2018); Darojat (2020); Febriati & Respati (2020); Girsang, Rini, & Gultom (2020); and Waluya & Iqbal (2019), product (Chinomona & Maziriri, 2017); (Febriati & Respati, 2020); and (Khan et al., 2016), and promotion (Ariatmaja & Rastini, 2017); (Astut, 2019); and (Leksono & Herwin, 2017) has a significant positive relationship with brand images.

Table 1. Model structural 1

| Variable | R Square | Beta | Significant |
|----------|----------|------|-------------|
| SQ       |          | .654 |             |
| PROD     |          | .238 | .092        |
| PROM     |          | .143 | .279        |
| PR       |          | .200 | .175        |
| BI (Dependent Variable) | | | |

Note: SQ: Service Quality; PROD: Product; PROM: Promotion; PR: Price; BI: Brand Image

Table 2. Model structural 2

| Variable | R Square | Beta | Significant |
|----------|----------|------|-------------|
| SQ       |          | .258 | .011        |
| PROD     |          | .190 | .043        |
| PROM     |          | .227 | .030        |
| PR       |          | .172 | .036        |
| BI       |          | .148 | .042        |
| PD (Dependent Variable) | | | |

Note: SQ: Service Quality; PROD: Product; PROM: Promotion; PR: Price; BI: Brand Image; PD: Purchasing Decision

Based on the results of data analysis in this study, Gojek’s brand image should be improved by optimizing service quality, product, and promotion. However, research conducted in Semarang showed that the three variables were not significant. Therefore, we assume that the service quality and products offered by Gojek must be more innovative. For promotion, this paper suggests that development in each region can pay attention to the cultural characteristics of each region so that promotion is more psychologically touching to the community; this is important to be one of the deviation strategies.

The direct influence of services quality on purchasing decision is 0.258, while the indirect influence of services quality through brand images on purchasing decision is 0.035. It means that the value of the direct influence of service quality on purchasing decision is greater than the influence of services quality through brand images. The total effect of services quality on purchasing decision directly and indirectly becomes 0.273. The direct influence of the product on purchasing decision is 0.190, while the indirect influence of the product through brand images on purchasing decision is 0.021. The total effect of the product on purchasing decision directly and indirectly is

---

6 For more detail about cultural marketing please see at https://www.columnfivemedia.com/5-culture-marketing-examples
Meanwhile, direct effect of promotion on purchasing decision is 0.227, while the indirect effect of promotion through brand images on purchasing decision is 0.052. The total effect of promotion on purchasing decision directly and indirectly is 0.252. The direct effect of price on purchasing decision is 0.172, while the indirect effect of price through brand images is 0.044.

Table 3. Results of structural model path analysis

| Variable | Direct Influence | Indirect Influence | Totally of Influence |
|----------|------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| SQ       | .258             | .035               | .273                 |
| PROD     | .190             | .021               | .164                 |
| PROM     | .227             | .052               | .252                 |
| PR       | .172             | .044               | .338                 |
| BI       | .148             |                    |                      |

PD (Dependent Variable)

Note: SQ: Service Quality; PROD: Product; PROM: Promotion; PR: Price; BI: Brand Image; PD: Purchasing Decision

Data analysis and discussion indicate that Gojek still needs to improve its brand images. Commonly, when Gojek can optimize the role of service quality, product quality, and promotion in increasing brand image or making service quality, product and promotion have a significant positive effect (see Table 1). The total effect of each independent variable, as in Table 3, will automatically increase. Therefore, based on the conceptual framework, this study has answered the research question that was proposed. Gojek failed to maintain the Top Brand award because the company did not optimize service quality, product, and promotion in encouraging purchasing decisions through brand images.

Conclusions

The findings of this study indicate that the hypothesis regarding Gojek’s lack of optimism in strengthening several variables that affect the performance of the highest service quality, product, and promotion. This conclusion is supported by the finding that only the price variable significantly influences brand images. Therefore, this paper provides simple suggestions Gojek must be improve service quality, product diversification, and promotion of materials that are more touching to the consumers.
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