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ABSTRACT

The development of mechanism-based, multiscale pharmacokinetic–pharmacodynamic (PK-PD) models for chimeric antigen receptor (CAR)-T cells is needed to enable investigation of in vitro and in vivo correlation of CAR-T cell responses and to facilitate preclinical-to-clinical translation. Toward this goal, we first developed a cell-level in vitro PD model that quantitatively characterized CAR-T cell-induced target cell depletion, CAR-T cell expansion and cytokine release. The model accounted for key drug-specific (CAR-affinity, CAR-densities) and system-specific (antigen densities, E:T ratios) variables and was able to characterize comprehensive in vitro datasets from multiple affinity variants of anti-EGFR and anti-HER2 CAR-T cells. Next, a physiologically based PK (PBPK) model was developed to simultaneously characterize the biodistribution of untransduced T-cells, anti-EGFR CAR-T and anti-CD19 CAR-T cells in xenograft-mouse models. The proposed model accounted for the engagement of CAR-T cells with tumor cells at the site of action. Finally, an integrated PBPK-PD relationship was established to simultaneously characterize expansion of CAR-T cells and tumor burden. The proposed model framework will be further examined with the clinical PK and PD data, and the learnings can be used to inform design and development of future CAR-T therapies.

Introduction

Adoptive cell therapy has been revolutionized with the recent development and emergence of chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cells.1 These are engineered T cells with a single-chain variable fragment (scFv) on the cell membrane, which recognizes the tumor antigen and can promote antitumor activities.2 A typical first-generation CAR construct consists of scFv fused with a transmembrane domain and an intracellular signaling unit (CD3 zeta chain), which enables tumor-specific epitope recognition and T-cell activation without dependence on the major histocompatibility complex molecules.3 More recently, the field has transitioned to next-generation CAR constructs that incorporate additional costimulatory domains within the CAR-design to enhance T-cell activation pathway.4 Evolution of this technology has produced sustained antitumor responses and unprecedented outcomes for the treatment of hematological malignancies.5 With the initial clinical success of anti-CD19 CAR-T cells, and regulatory approvals of KYMRIAH™ (tisagenlecleucel)6 and YESCARTA™ (axicabtagene ciloleucel),7 the clinical pipeline for CAR-T cells has increased substantially, with ≥90 CAR-T cell therapy candidates now being investigated in different clinical trials.8 Although initial clinical investigations were limited to hematological malignancies, the field is rapidly progressing toward potential use in solid tumor targets.9

Tumor antigen recognition and engagement by the CARs results in activation of CAR-T cells, cytolysis of tumor cells and release of cytokines. The cytokines subsequently promote rapid expansion of CAR-T cells, followed by their memory differentiation.10 Although there has been a general understanding of the mechanism of action for CAR-T cells, the quantitative impact of underlying key determinants influencing the rate and extent of CAR-T cell activity remains poorly understood.
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Characterization of pharmacokinetic–pharmacodynamic (PK-PD) relationships of CAR-T cells presents many challenges and unique opportunities due to the self-replicating and long-term persistence capabilities in vivo. A typical multiphasic disposition profile of CAR-T cells consists of a rapid distribution phase leading to a time-restricted expansion phase, followed by contraction and prolonged persistence phases. Although mathematical models have been used recently to characterize the distinct PK profiles of CAR-T cells, the empirical models cannot be leveraged to understand how drug- and system-specific parameters contribute to this unique PK behavior. Therefore, development of mechanism-based translational PK-PD models, which integrate key drug-specific and system-specific parameters into a quantitative framework, can be invaluable in understanding the key PK-PD determinants of CAR-T cells. Such models can then: (1) facilitate the design and development of lead CAR-con structs, (2) triage lead CAR-candidates in preclinical settings, and (3) enable effective preclinical-to-clinical translation.

Here, we adopted a step-wise approach to develop a multiscale, mechanistic PK-PD model to quantitatively describe the CAR-T cell activities in in vitro and in vivo preclinical models using a comprehensive set of literature data reported for multiple CAR constructs. In Step 1, a cell-level PD model was developed to quantitatively characterize the impact of drug-specific (e.g., CAR-affinity and CAR density) and system-specific (e.g., antigen density, tumor burden) parameters on in vitro CAR-T cell activities, including tumor cell depletion, CAR-T cell expansion and cytokine release. In Step 2, a physiologically based pharmacokinetic (PBPK) model was developed to characterize in vivo biodistribution of CAR-T cells in xenograft mouse models. Finally, in Step 3, a PBPK-PD model was established to simultaneously characterize in vivo CAR-T expansion and tumor cell depletion in xenograft mouse models. The in vitro potencies were then compared with the in vivo estimated values to establish an in vitro and in vivo correlation (IVIVC). The developed PBPK-PD model was used to perform simulations to understand CAR-T cell PK-PD behavior upon changes in CAR-T dose-levels and tumor burdens. The translational model we present here is expected to provide a better framework to explain clinical PK-PD behavior of CAR-T cells in the future.

Results

Cell-level PD model to characterize in vitro CAR-T cell activity

A mathematical model (Figure 1a, described in the methods section) was developed to characterize the relationship between CAR-binding affinity, antigen expression on target cells, CAR expression on ‘T’ cells and different effector cell: target cell (E:T) ratios to quantitatively describe in vitro target-cell depletion, cytokine release and T-cell expansion simultaneously. To develop this in vitro model, a comprehensive dataset was used, comprising two different CAR constructs, i.e., anti-epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and anti-human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) CAR-T cells (as described in Table 1). The three quantitative outcomes characterized using this model included: (1) target cell depletion, (2) CAR-T cell proliferation, and (3) release of cytokines (e.g., interferon (IFN)-γ).

Anti-EGFR CAR-T cells

The cell-level model was used to fit the in vitro datasets for anti-EGFR CAR-T cells reported by Caruso et al. Figure 2 describes the observed datasets and model fitted profiles for EGFR-expressing U87 tumor cell line depletion (Figure 2a), anti-EGFR CAR-T cell proliferation (Figure 2b) and percentage of cytokine release with respect to baseline levels (Figure 2c) in an in vitro system of EGFR+U87 cell lines with varying antigen densities (30,899–628,265 receptors/cell, CAR-affinities (Cetuximab versus Nimotuzumab CAR-T) and E:T ratios (1:25:1–20:1) (described in detail in Table 1).

The model was able to simultaneously capture the differential tumor cell-killing potential of low-affinity Nimotuzumab CAR-T (profiles in blue), in comparison to high-affinity Cetuximab CAR-T (profiles in red), in U87 cell lines with varying EGFR densities, by estimating the key efficacy parameters (see Methods section). The model uses the formation of the ‘number of CAR-Target Complexes/tumor cells’ (see Methods Section), which would increase with increasing affinity and antigen-densities due to higher target engagement. The estimated potency parameter values (KC_{CAR-T}, Table 2) suggested that ~1.45 CAR-Target complexes/tumor cells were required to induce 50% of the maximum killing rate exhibited by CAR-T cells.

Figure 2b highlights the expansion of Cetuximab CAR+ T cells in comparison to untransduced (CAR-) T cells after coculture with EGFR-expressing U87 cell lines. The model was able to capture the activation-induced enhanced proliferative potential of CAR+ T cells, when cocultured with antigen-positive tumor cells. Based on the structural model depicted in equations 4 and 11 (Methods Section), the estimated parameters (Table 2) reflected that ~4.3 CAR-Target complexes per tumor cell were required to get 50% of the maximum reduction in CAR-T cells doubling time, whereas the maximum saturable reduction in CAR-T cells doubling time (Imax_{Growth}) was estimated to be 44.5% within the studied in vitro system.

Figure 2c depicts the model fits overlaid with observed data for percentage increase in IFN-γ (in comparison to baseline) upon interaction of two different affinity variants of CAR-T cells with different EGFR expressing cell lines. The model was able to simultaneously characterize a comprehensive dataset for saturable increase in IFN-γ in an in vitro coculture as a function of time, EGFR receptor densities and CAR-affinities. The associated model parameters (eq. 12, Table 2) reflected that ~0.1 CAR-Target complexes/tumor cells were able to induce 50% of the maximum rate of activation-induced cytokine release in the studied in vitro system.

Anti-HER2 CAR-T cells

The cell-level PD model (Figure 1a) was also used to characterize the in vitro datasets for affinity-variant anti-HER2 CAR-T cells reported by Liu et al. Figure 3 describes the observed datasets and model-fitted profiles for HER2-expressing tumor-cell depletion...
(Figure 3a), anti-HER2 CAR-T cell proliferation (Figure 3b) and induction of absolute concentrations of IFN-γ (in pg/mL, Figure 3c), in a comprehensive in vitro experiment with varying CAR-affinities, HER2 receptor densities, CAR-densities and E:T ratios (described in detail in Table 1).

Figure 3A depicts simultaneous model-fitted profiles overlaid with observed dataset for an 8 h in vitro cytotoxicity experiment, where four different affinity-variant (K_d ranging in 3.9 µM–0.58 nM) of CAR-T cells are cocultured with Nalm-6-CBG cell lines, transfected with different levels of HER2 mRNA. The model was able to account for different system-specific determinants (e.g., CAR-affinity, HER2 densities) to effectively capture the saturable killing curves, and a common set of in vitro efficacy parameters were estimated. The model-estimated potency (K_CAR^–T, Table 2) reflected that ~0.05 CAR-Target complexes/tumor cells were required to induce 50% of the maximum killing rate exhibited by CAR-T cells.

Figure 3b describes the model-fitted profiles overlaid with observed dataset for the fold improvement in the expansion of different affinity-variant anti-HER2 CAR+ T cells in comparison to untransduced T cells, when cocultured with tumor cells with varying antigen-densities after 7-d. Simultaneous characterization of the dataset enabled the identification of rate and saturable extent of CAR-T cell expansion in the presence of key underlying determinants, such as CAR-affinities and antigen-densities. The parameter estimation (Table 2, eq. 4 and 11 (Methods Section)) revealed that ~0.06 CAR-Target Complexes/tumor cells are required to achieve 50% of the maximum reduction in
### Preclinical in-vitro and in-vivo datasets used to develop the proposed translational PK-PD model.

#### In vitro Functional Assays

| Name                | Affinity and Radiolabel | Animal Model                          | Dosing and Administration                  | Investigated Tissues | Source |
|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------|--------|
| Anti-EGFR CAR-T     | Kd = 40 nM              | Xenograft model of U87-EGFRvIII cells in female SCID mice | Intravenous administration of CAR-T or untransduced T cells at a dose level of 20 million cells | Liver, Spleen, Tumor, Lymph Node, Kidney | 13      |
| Anti-CD19 CAR-T     | Kd = 5 nM               | Xenograft model of Raji cells in NSG mice | Intravenous administration of CAR-T cells at dose level of 1.3, 5.6 and 17 million cells | Tumor, Liver, Lung   | 15      |
| Anti-HER2 CAR-T     | Kd = 0.58 nM            | Xenograft model of HER2 expressing SKOV3 (1 million/cell) and PC3 (25,000/cell) cells simultaneously injected in two separate S. C. flanks of female NSG mice | Dose levels of 3 and 10 million CAR-T cells injected on Day 23 | Intravenous | 14      |

#### In vivo Biodistribution Studies

| Name                | Affinity and Radiolabel | Animal Model                          | Dosing and Administration                  | Investigated Tissues | Source |
|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------|--------|
| Anti-EGFR CAR-T     | Kd = 40 nM              | Xenograft model of BCMA-expressing RPMI-8226 MM cells (12,590/cell) in female NSG mice | 10 million CAR-T cells administered at Day 1 | Intraocular         | 16      |
| Anti-CD19 CAR-T     | Kd = 5 nM               | Xenograft model of CD19-transfected HeLa cells (50,000/cell) in male NSG mice | 10 million CAR-T cells administered at Day 8 and 14 | Intravenous | 17      |
| Anti-CD19 CAR-T     | Kd = 5 nM               | Xenograft model of CD19 expressing NC-H929 cells (50,000/cell) in female NSG mice | 1 million CAR-T cells administered at Day 20 | Intravenous | 18      |
| Anti-HER2 CAR-T     | Kd = 1.1 µM             | Xenograft model of HER2 expressing SKOV3 (1 million/cell) and PC3 (25,000/cell) cells simultaneously injected in two separate S. C. flanks of female NSG mice | Dose levels of 3 and 10 million CAR-T cells injected on Day 23 | Intravenous | 14      |
| Anti-EGFR CAR-T     | Kd = 0.19 nM/h          | Intracranial xenograft model of EGF expressing U87med (340,000/cell) cells in female NSG mice | 1 million CAR-T cells (cetuximab or Nimotuzumab) administered Q1WX3 starting at Day 4 | Intratumoral (IT)   | 13      |

#### Additional Notes

- **Anti-EGFR CAR-T**
  - **Nimotuzumab CAR-T**
    - Kin = 0.19 1/nM/h
    - Koff = 3.96 1/h
    - Kd = 21.2 nM
  - **Cetuximab CAR-T**
    - Kin = 11.2 1/nM/h
    - Koff = 2.09 1/h
    - Kd = 0.186 nM

- **Anti-HER2 CAR-T**
  - **4D5 CAR-T**
    - Kd = 0.58 nM
  - **4D5-7 CAR-T**
    - Kd = 3.2 nM
  - **4D5-5 CAR-T**
    - Kd = 1.1 µM
  - **4D5-3 CAR-T**
    - Kd = 1.1 µM

- **In vivo Tumor Growth Inhibition Studies**

| Name                | Affinity | Animal Model                          | Dosing and Administration                  | Route of Administration | Source |
|---------------------|----------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------|
| Anti-BCMA CAR-T     | Kd = 10 nM | Xenograft model of BCMA-expressing RPMI-8226 MM cells (12,590/cell) in female NSG mice | 10 million CAR-T cells administered at Day 1 | Intravenous | 16      |
| Anti-CD19 CAR-T     | Kd = 5 nM | Xenograft model of CD19-transfected HeLa cells (50,000/cell) in male NSG mice | 10 million CAR-T cells administered at Day 8 and 14 | Intravenous | 17      |
| Anti-CD19 CAR-T     | Kd = 5 nM | Xenograft model of CD19 expressing NC-H929 cells (50,000/cell) in female NSG mice | 1 million CAR-T cells administered at Day 20 | Intravenous | 18      |
| Anti-HER2 CAR-T     | Kd = 1.1 µM | Xenograft model of HER2 expressing SKOV3 (1 million/cell) and PC3 (25,000/cell) cells simultaneously injected in two separate S. C. flanks of female NSG mice | Dose levels of 3 and 10 million CAR-T cells injected on Day 23 | Intravenous | 14      |
| Anti-EGFR CAR-T     | Kd = 0.19 nM/h | Intracranial xenograft model of EGF expressing U87med (340,000/cell) cells in female NSG mice | 1 million CAR-T cells (cetuximab or Nimotuzumab) administered Q1WX3 starting at Day 4 | Intratumoral (IT)   | 13      |
Figure 2. Observed and model fitted profiles for affinity variant anti-EGFR CAR-T cells activity in an in-vitro system. (A) Target cell killing: Observed (in dots) and model-generated (solid lines) profiles of viability of EGFR expressing parental U87 cell lines with varying antigen-densities (30,899–628,265 receptors/cell), upon incubation with either low affinity nimotuzumab CAR-T (in blue) or high affinity cetuximab CAR-T (in red). Figures A1 and A2 describes the cell-viability as a function of time, whereas figures A3-A6 describes the viability as a function of different E:T ratios after incubation of CAR-T cells at 4h. (B) CAR-T cell proliferation: Observed (in dots) and model-generated (solid lines) profiles of untransduced (in black) or high affinity cetuximab CAR-T cells (in red) growth kinetics, when cocultured with EGFR expressing U87 (30,899 receptors/cell) cells at an E:T ratio of 1:2. (C) Cytokine release: Observed (in dots) and model-generated (solid lines) profiles of % IFN-γ release after coculture of high affinity cetuximab CAR-T (in red) or low affinity nimotuzumab CAR-T cells (in blue) with EGFR-expressing U87 parental cell lines with varying antigen densities (30,899–628,265 receptors/cell). Figure C1 describes the extent of % INF-γ release as a function of antigen-density, whereas figures C2 and C3 describes the time-course of %IFN-γ release as a function of time.
Table 2. Parameters, either fixed or estimated, used to build the PBPK-PD model for CAR-Ts.

### Parameters Associated with Cell-Level Pharmacodynamic Model

| Parameter Name | Description (Units) | Estimate (mean/CV%) | Source |
|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------|
| DT<sub>Tumor</sub> | The doubling time of tumor cells (h) | EGFR+ tumor: 19 h | HER2+ tumor: 36 h | 13,14 |
| DT<sub>CAR-T</sub> | The doubling time of CAR-T cells (h) | EGFR CAR-T: 70.71 h | HER2 CAR-T: 72 h | 13,14 |
| Ag<sub>Tumor</sub> | Overall density of TAA on different tumor cell lines (numbers/cell) | EGFR+ tumor cells: U87 = 30,899/cell | HER2+ tumor cells: U87<sub>low</sub> = 134,691/cell | Estimated |
| Ag<sub>CAR</sub> | Overall density of CARs on CAR-T cells (numbers/CAR-T cell) | EGFR CAR-T: 5000/cell | HER2 CAR-T: 2 µg = 500/cell | Estimated |

| Parameter Name | Description (Units) | Estimate (mean/CV%) | Source |
|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------|
| K<sub>Max</sub>| The 1<sup>st</sup> order maximum rate of killing of tumor cells by CAR-T cells (1/h) | EGFR CAR-T: 1.456 (9.85%) | HER2 CAR-T: 0.09 (7.2%) 1/h | Estimated |
| K<sub>CAR-T/CoT</sub> | The number of 'CAR-Target Complexes per tumor cell' required to achieve 50% of the maximum killing rate (number/cell) | EGFR CAR-T: 1.456 (9.85%) | HER2 CAR-T: 0.09 (7.2%) 1/h | Internal dataset |
| I<sub>max</sub>| The maximum fractional inhibition in the doubling time of CAR-T cells after antigen presentation (unitless) | EGFR CAR-T: 0.0445 (12.9%) | HER2 CAR-T: 0.595 (1.5%) | Estimated |
| IC<sub>50</sub>| The number of 'CAR-Target Complexes per tumor cell' required to achieve 50% of the maximum inhibition in CAR-T doubling time (number/cell) | EGFR CAR-T: 0.0445 (12.9%) | HER2 CAR-T: 0.595 (1.5%) | Estimated |
| γ<sub>Growth</sub>| The Sigmoidicity factor associated with the proliferation of CAR-T cells after binding to tumor cells (unitless) | EGFR CAR-T: 1.1 (18%) | HER2 CAR-T: 3.6 (23.6%) | Estimated |
| K<sub>Cytokine max</sub>| The 1<sup>st</sup> order maximum rate of cytokine release (1/h) | EGFR CAR-T: 52.24 (18.3%) | HER2 CAR-T: 260.1 (19.3%) | Estimated |
| KCyt<sub>Tumor</sub> | The number of 'CAR-Target Complexes per tumor cell' required to achieve 50% of the maximum rate of cytokine release (number/cell) | EGFR CAR-T: 52.24 (18.3%) | HER2 CAR-T: 260.1 (19.3%) | Estimated |
| Cyt<sub>Tumor Max</sub> | The maximum extent (%) of cytokine release | EGFR CAR-T: 58.28 (9.68%) | – | Estimated |

### Parameters Associated with PBPK Model

| Parameter Name | Description (Units) | Estimate (mean/CV%) | Source |
|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------|
| J<sub>Lung</sub>| The 1<sup>st</sup> order rate of vascular to interstitial transmigration rate of CAR-Ts in Lungs (1/h) | 4705.13 (24%) | Estimated |
| J<sub>Spleen</sub>| The 1<sup>st</sup> order rate of vascular to interstitial transmigration rate of CAR-Ts in Spleen (1/h) | 1799.76 (47.1%) | Estimated |
| J<sub>Renal</sub> | The 1<sup>st</sup> order rate of vascular to interstitial transmigration rate of CAR-Ts in Renal (1/h) | 716.49 (46.1%) | Estimated |
| J<sub>Kidney</sub> | The 1<sup>st</sup> order rate of vascular to interstitial transmigration rate of CAR-Ts in Kidney (1/h) | 296.75 (31.1%) | Estimated |
| J<sub>Tumor</sub> | The 1<sup>st</sup> order rate of vascular to interstitial transmigration rate of CAR-Ts in Tumor (1/h) | 10.35 (32.2%) | Estimated |
| J<sub>Others</sub> | The 1<sup>st</sup> order rate of vascular to interstitial transmigration rate of CAR-Ts in Others (1/h) | 86.8 (Fixed) | 19 |
| J<sub>Brain</sub> | The 1<sup>st</sup> order rate of vascular to interstitial transmigration rate of CAR-Ts in Brain (1/h) | 1.4 (Fixed) | 19 |
| J<sub>GI</sub> | The 1<sup>st</sup> order rate of vascular to interstitial transmigration rate of CAR-Ts in GI tract (1/h) | 9.05 (Fixed) | 19 |
| J<sub>Others</sub> | The 1<sup>st</sup> order rate of depletion of CAR-T cells from the Liver (1/h) | 0.029 (15.1%) | Estimated |

### Parameters Associated with PBPK-PD Model

| Parameter Name | Description (Units) | Estimate (mean/CV%) | Source |
|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------|
| K<sub>Tumor Exp</sub> | The 1<sup>st</sup> order exponential growth rate of tumors (1/h) | 0.000305 (12.7%) | SKOV3: 0.0039 (13.1%) | Estimated |
| K<sub>Tumor Lin</sub> | The zero-order linear growth rate of tumors (mm<sup>3</sup>/h) | – | SKOV3: 0.00128 (14.9%) | Estimated |

(Continued)
Table 2. Expansion of CAR-T cells after tumor dissemination to the rest of the tissues (e.g., brain, gastrointestinal [GI] tract, other) where no biodistribution data were available, the biodistribution of CAR-T cells was available (e.g., flow rates, organ volumes), as well as the drug- and system-specific parameters (e.g., CAR-affinity, tumor volume, antigen-densities) associated with each case study, were fixed to the literature reported values, as listed in Table 1. A schematic diagram of the model structure is shown in Figure 1b, and the detailed model description is provided in the Methods section.

Figure 4 describes the model-fitted profiles and observed data for production of IFN-γ (in absolute concentrations, pg/mL) after coculture with K562 cells, transiently transfected with different levels of HER2, with affinity-variant anti-HER2 CAR-T cells transduced with varying amounts of CAR mRNA (detailed in Table 1). The proposed model structure was able to use the estimated number of CAR-Target complexes (CplxCe) to simultaneously characterize the rate and extent of cytokine release across different datasets. The modeling results revealed that ~0.1 CAR-Target complexes per tumor cell were required to achieve 50% of maximum rate of cytokine release. Additionally, consistent with the anti-EGFR case study, a very fast maximum rate (K$_{\text{Max}}^{\text{Cytokine}}$) of cytokine release was estimated within the studied in vitro system.

**Physiologically based PK model to characterize biodistribution of CAR-T cells**

A PBPK model was developed to characterize the PK and biodistribution of CAR-T cells in xenograft mouse models. The developed model was used to characterize the biodistribution datasets from anti-EGFR CAR-T cells$^{21}$ and anti-CD19 CAR-T cells.$^{15}$ The detailed information on the CAR constructs, CAR affinities, intravenous (IV) dose levels, radiolabels used and investigated tissues associated with each study are listed in Table 1. A schematic diagram of the model structure is shown in Figure 1b, and the detailed model description is provided in the Methods section.

**Table 2** Parameters Associated with Cell-Level Pharmacodynamic Model

| Parameter | Description (Units) | Source |
|-----------|---------------------|--------|
| K$_{\text{Max}}$ | Maximum achievable tumor burden value (mm$^3$) | Estimated |
| T$_{\text{TGI}}$ | CAR-T cell doubling time, whereas the maximum saturable inhibition in CAR-T cells doubling time (I$_{\text{Max}}^{\text{CAR-T cell doubling time}}$) was estimated to be 59.5% within the in vitro system. |
| K$_{\text{D}}$ | The maximum saturable inhibition in CAR-T cells doubling time (I$_{\text{Max}}^{\text{CAR-T cell doubling time}}$) was estimated to be 59.5% within the in vitro system. |}

Figure 3c describes the model-fitted profiles, overlaid with the observed data for production of IFN-γ (in absolute concentrations, pg/mL) after coculture with K562 cells, transiently transfected with different levels of HER2, with affinity-variant anti-HER2 CAR-T cells transduced with varying amounts of CAR mRNA (detailed in Table 1). The proposed model structure was able to use the estimated number of CAR-Target complexes (CplxCe) to simultaneously characterize the rate and extent of cytokine release across different datasets. The modeling results revealed that ~0.1 CAR-Target complexes per tumor cell were required to achieve 50% of maximum rate of cytokine release. Additionally, consistent with the anti-EGFR case study, a very fast maximum rate (K$_{\text{Max}}^{\text{Cytokine}}$) of cytokine release was estimated within the studied in vitro system.

**Physiologically based PK model to characterize biodistribution of CAR-T cells**

A PBPK model was developed to characterize the PK and tissue distribution of CAR-T cells in xenograft mouse models. The developed model was used to characterize the biodistribution datasets from anti-EGFR CAR-T cells$^{21}$ and anti-CD19 CAR-T cells.$^{15}$ The detailed information on the CAR constructs, CAR affinities, intravenous (IV) dose levels, radiolabels used and investigated tissues associated with each study are listed in Table 1. A schematic diagram of the model structure is shown in Figure 1b, and the detailed model description is provided in the Methods section.

**Table 2** Parameters Associated with Cell-Level Pharmacodynamic Model

| Parameter | Description (Units) | Source |
|-----------|---------------------|--------|
| K$_{\text{Max}}$ | Maximum achievable tumor burden value (mm$^3$) | Estimated |
| T$_{\text{TGI}}$ | CAR-T cell doubling time, whereas the maximum saturable inhibition in CAR-T cells doubling time (I$_{\text{Max}}^{\text{CAR-T cell doubling time}}$) was estimated to be 59.5% within the in vitro system. |}

Figure 3c describes the model-fitted profiles, overlaid with the observed data for production of IFN-γ (in absolute concentrations, pg/mL) after coculture with K562 cells, transiently transfected with different levels of HER2, with affinity-variant anti-HER2 CAR-T cells transduced with varying amounts of CAR mRNA (detailed in Table 1). The proposed model structure was able to use the estimated number of CAR-Target complexes (CplxCe) to simultaneously characterize the rate and extent of cytokine release across different datasets. The modeling results revealed that ~0.1 CAR-Target complexes per tumor cell were required to achieve 50% of maximum rate of cytokine release. Additionally, consistent with the anti-EGFR case study, a very fast maximum rate (K$_{\text{Max}}^{\text{Cytokine}}$) of cytokine release was estimated within the studied in vitro system.
transmigration rates \( J_{\text{organ}} \) were fixed to the values reported by Khot et al.\(^{19} \). Additionally, a 1st order elimination rate of CAR-T cells \( K_{\text{Liver}} \) of the liver compartment, based on multiple reports on liver elimination of CAR-T cells.\(^{23-25} \) Since the model-estimated transmigration rates \( J_{\text{organ}} \) were reflective of the distributional rates among different tissues, it was observed that the maximum distribution of T cells was in lungs, spleen and liver. The model-estimated elimination of CAR-T cells from the liver extravascular space \( V_{\text{EVLiver}} \) was 0.029 1/h (~ 1-d half-life).

Figure 4a and 4b represents the observed data and model-fitted profiles of untransduced T cells and anti-EGFR CAR-T cells (CAR affinity: Kd of 40 nM) in the investigated tissues for a U87-bearing xenograft mouse model.\(^{21} \) Although the proposed model accounted for the formation of CAR-Target complexes, there was no apparent difference in the model-predicted biodistribution profiles within the tumor for CAR-T cells (Figure 4b) in comparison to untransduced T cells (Figure 4a). One of the possible reasons could be because CAR-T expansion was not incorporated after target engagement in the tumor. Of note, the %ID/g was very consistent across the three case studies (when comparing Figure 4a-c). Additionally, based on the biodistribution results from anti-CD19 CAR-T, where three different doses (i.e., 1.5, 5.6 and 17 million CAR+ Cells) were administered, it was observed that the kinetics of CAR-T cell levels were approximately dose-proportional across the dose range. Hence, a first order linear rate of elimination from the liver extravascular space \( V_{\text{EVLiver}} \) was used in characterizing the disposition of CAR-T cells.

Development of a PBPK-PD model to characterize in vivo CAR-T cell expansion and tumor growth inhibition in xenograft mouse model

The developed PBPK model was expanded to have a PD modeling component, which used the 'number of CAR-Target
complexes per tumor cell’ as a driver for tumor growth inhibition (TGI) and CAR-T cell expansion. A schematic diagram of the model structure is shown in Figure 1c, and the detailed model description is provided in the Methods section.

**Anti-BCMA CAR-T (bb2121) cells**

Figure 5a describes simultaneous characterization of TGI and CAR-T cell expansion in B-cell maturation antigen (BCMA)-expressing RPMI-8226-bearing xenograft mice, after a single IV administration of $5 \times 10^6$ anti-BCMA (bb2121) CAR+ T cells/mouse. As described in detail in the Methods Section, a dynamic (with growth and killing functions) tumor compartment (Figure 1C) was incorporated into the PBPK model, where ‘number of CAR-Target complexes per tumor cell’ was used to drive efficacy and expansion of total CAR-T cells (unbound and tumor cell bound) in the tumor extravascular (VEV)Tumor/C0/C1 space. With the longer duration and more frequent sampling in this PK-PD study (up to 28 d), CAR-T cells expansion in the blood compartment was observed (Figure 5A), in contrast to earlier described biodistribution studies used for PBPK model development.

Upon IV administration of CAR+ T cells in blood, no detectable level of CAR-T cells in blood was observed at early time, highlighting a rapid distribution/margination phase. The model was able to capture this unique profile, as evident in Figure 5A (profiles in green). Upon interaction with the tumor cells within the tumor extravascular space ($V_{Tumor}^{EV}$), there was expansion of CAR-T cells, which eventually circulated back (via lymph flow) to the blood (profiles in green, Figure 5A) as projected by the PBPK model in Figure 1B. This phenomenon likely contributes toward an apparent delay within the induction of TGI (profiles in red, Figure 5A) and apparent expansion of CAR-T cells in blood compartment (profiles in green, Figure 5A). Upon the death of tumor cells by day 14, the ‘CAR-Target Complex’ driven signal is reduced, leading to a rapid contraction phase, where CAR-T cells rapidly decline, eventually conforming to their baseline exposure levels.

Interestingly, the model-estimated maximum killing rate ($K_{Kill}^{Max}$) of tumor cells (~0.05 1/h) and the ‘number of CAR-Target complexes per tumor cell’ ($K_{CAR}^{Max}$) required (9.94 complexes/tumor cell) to achieve 50% of maximum rate of tumor depletion were similar to the in vitro estimates of anti-HER2 and anti-EGFR CAR-T cells (Table 2). The model-estimated maximum rate ($T_{Act}^{Max}$) and ‘number of CAR-Target complexes per tumor cell’ required ($EC_{50}^{Act}$) to induce expansion of activated CAR-T cells were 0.09 (1/h) and 5.18 (complexes/tumor cell), respectively. Observed T-cell expansion potency estimate ($EC_{50}^{Act}$) in vivo were also very similar to prior in vitro
expansion of CAR-T cells and CAR-T induced tumor growth inhibition. (A) Anti-BCMA CAR-T cells
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intravenous (IV) administration (day 0) of vehicle control (in blue) or 5 million anti-BCMA (bb2121) CAR-T cells/mice (plots in red). Figure A1 describes the observed (in dots) and model-generated (in lines) profiles of TGI induced in BCMA-expressing RPMI-8226 bearing xenograft mice after intravenous (IV) administration (day 0) of vehicle control (in blue) or 5 million anti-BCMA (bb2121) CAR-T cells/mice (plots in red).

Figure 5. Observed and model fitted profiles for in vivo expansion of CAR-T cells and CAR-T induced tumor growth inhibition. (A) Anti-BCMA CAR-T cells: Figure A1 describes the observed (in dots) and model-generated (in lines) profiles of TGI induced in BCMA-expressing RPMI-8226 bearing xenograft mice after intravenous (IV) administration (day 0) of vehicle control (in blue) or 5 million anti-BCMA (bb2121) CAR-T cells/mice (plots in red). Figure A2 describes the observed (in dots) and model-generated (in lines) simultaneous profiles of CAR-T induced TGI (plots in red, Y1-axis) and apparent expansion of anti-BCMA CAR-T cells (profiles in green, Y2-axis) in blood.

Anti-HER2 CAR-T cells

Figure 5B describes the TGI profiles (observed and model fitted) for affinity-variant (4D5 [high affinity] and 4D5-5 [low affinity]) anti-HER2 CAR-T cells in xenograft mice, subcutaneously inoculated with both HER2-high SKOV3 and HER2-low PC3 tumor cells in different flanks using the model described in Figure 1C (see Methods section). Simultaneous characterization of all the dataset (at low and high doses) was conducted using the proposed PBPK-PD model. All the drug-specific (CAR-affinity and CAR-density) and system-specific (antigen densities of SKOV3 and PC3) parameters were fixed to known values, whereas parameters associated with tumor growth and killing were estimated (Table 2). Parameters associated with in vivo expansion of CAR-T cells were fixed to estimates from the anti-BCMA (bb2121) study, due to the lack of such data within the current case study. The ability of the model to simultaneously characterize the TGIIs in HER2-high SKOV3 and HER2-low PC3 TGI supported that the quantitative relationship between CAR-affinity, target abundance and CAR-T cell activity can be translated into an in vivo setting, where a low-affinity and high-affinity CAR-T cells have differential relative efficacy in a high-expressing SKOV3 tumor in comparison to low-expressing PC3 tumor. Due to the lower binding affinity of 4D5-5 (blue profiles), there is expected to be less ‘CAR-Target Complexes per tumor cell’ formation in the low-HER2 PC3 xenografts in comparison to high-HER2 SKOV3 xenografts, which eventually resulted in differentiation of TGI.

Anti-CD19 CAR-T cells

Figure 6 represents the pooled observed data and model-fitted profiles from two different TGI experiments in xenograft mouse models inoculated with either CD19-expressing HeLa or H929 cells. Further details on the receptor densities of two cell lines and dosing regimens are described in Table 1. The proposed PBPK-PD model (Figure 1C) was able to simultaneously capture the tumor growth profiles for animals treated with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) control, untransduced (CAR-) T cells and anti-CD19 CAR-T cells, upon single and multiple dosing regimens. Due to the lack of CAR-T cell counts within this study, the parameters associated with the ‘CAR-Target complex’-driven in vivo expansion of CAR-T cells were fixed to the values estimated from the anti-BCMA (bb2121) case study (Table 2). The model was able to simultaneously characterize the pooled dataset from different studies, xenograft models and dosing regimens. The model-estimated maximum rate of in vivo tumor cell depletion ($K_{Kill}$) and potency ($K_{50}^{C_{CAR}^-}$) were estimated to be 0.093 (1/h) and 18.2 (number of CAR-Target complexes/tumor cell), respectively (listed in Table 2).

Anti-EGFR CAR-T cells

Figure 7 describes the observed dataset and model-fitted TGI profiles for individual animals in an orthotopic mouse model of glioblastoma, inoculated with EGFR-expressing U87 cells. The animals were treated with PBS control, or $1 \times 10^6$ CAR+ cells of either high-affinity Cetuximab CAR-T or low-affinity Nimotuzumab CAR-T, administered in a Q1WX3 dosing regimen via intratumoral (IT) injection. Within the developed PBPK model, the bolus dose of CAR-T cells was described as dosed within the extravascular space of the tumor.
Simultaneous characterization of TGI profiles using the PBPK-PD model enabled the characterization of the differential efficacy of CAR-T cells due to the impact of EGFR binding affinity on CAR-T cells, confirming the quantitative impact of EGFR binding affinity on CAR-T cell activities in an in vivo setting. The model-estimated maximum rate of in-vivo tumor cell depletion $K_{\text{Kill}}$ and potency $K_{\text{CAR}}$ were estimated to be 0.032 (1/h) and 12.4 (number of CAR-Target complexes/tumor cell), respectively (listed in Table 2).

Model-based simulations to investigate the effect of dose and tumor burden on tumor dynamics, target-engagement and CAR-T cell expansion

To further evaluate the in vivo relevance of our developed PBPK-PD model, model-based simulations were conducted to examine the impact of dose and tumor burden, and the results were compared to those observed in the clinic. Figure 8 describes the model simulations for TGI profiles (Figures 8A and 8D), the number of CAR-Target Complexes per tumor cell (Figures 8B and 8E) in the tumor extravascular space, the concentration of CAR-T cells (number/µL) in the blood compartment (Figures 8C and 8E) based on the model-estimated parameters for bb2121 case study. As described below, the simulation results revealed a few interesting trends.

Effect of dose

The simulation results suggested that, in comparison to control (profiles in black), no significant TGI was observed until the CAR-Ts reached a critical dose level. Beyond this critical dose level, there is a range of doses with steep dose-exposure relationships, and then the impact of dose on exposure plateaued (Figure 8A). The result was consistent with the simulated ‘number of CAR-Target Complexes per tumor cell’ (Figure 8B), i.e., at low dose-levels (profiles in red and blue), there is little formation of CAR-target complexes per tumor cells. At the higher dose levels, however, the number of CAR-Target Complexes ($E_{\text{Exp}} = 5.18$ complexes/tumor cell) increases rapidly, which initiate simultaneous tumor killing (Figure 8A) and expansion of CAR-T cells (Figure 8C). As the dose levels are further increased, the rate of formation of CAR-Target complexes (Figure 8B), as well as the rate of TGI (Figure 8A), increases. This phenomenon leads to rapid decline in tumor burden (Figure 8A), and hence the overall decline in antigen abundance. Following the decline in tumor burden, the total number of CAR-Target Complexes also rapidly decline due to the absence of antigen interaction within the tumor space, leading to reduction in CAR-T proliferation.

Figure 8C illustrates the anticipated CAR-T kinetics within the blood compartment. At lower dose levels, the PK profile is limited to (profiles in blue and red) rapid initial distribution (to tissues) followed by a sustained elimination phase (Figure 8C). However, at higher dose levels, a dose-dependent rate of expansion of CAR-T cells is anticipated. Simulations suggest that the overall extent (Cmax) of CAR-T cell expansion is not expected to change above certain CAR-T dose-levels, whereas the time to Cmax (Tmax) could decrease as the dose is increased. Later, upon rapid decrease in CAR-Target Complexes after antigen depletion, there is a rapid contraction phase of the blood PK of CAR-T cells, followed by sustained phase with first order elimination.

Effect of tumor burden

The potential impact of baseline tumor burden was also evaluated on tumor dynamics (Figure 8D), formation of CAR-Target Complexes per tumor cells (Figure 8E) and CAR-T cell
expansion in the blood (Figure 8F). Interestingly, the model simulation suggested that despite the differences in initial tumor load, CAR-T cells can achieve tumor elimination in a similar time frame. This is because higher tumor burden led to faster but saturable formation of ‘CAR-Target complexes per tumor cells’ (Figure 8E), which inherently led to increased rate (lower Tmax) and extent (Cmax) of CAR-T expansion (Figure 8F) and faster killing of tumor cells (Figure 8D).

Figure 7. Observed and individual model fitted profiles for anti-EGFR CAR-T induced tumor growth inhibition. Intracranial xenograft mice, inoculated with EGFR-expressing U87 cells (Day 0), were treated with intratumoral (IT) administration of (1) PBS vehicle control (profiles in black), (2) 1 million high-affinity cetuximab CAR-T cells (profiles in red) and (3) 1 million low-affinity nimotuzumab CAR-T cells (profiles in blue) in a Q1WX3 dosing regimen, starting at day 4.
Global sensitivity analysis on the CAR-T cell PBPK-PD model

Figure 9 describes the global sensitivity analysis (GSA) on the PBPK-PD model developed for anti-BCMA (BB2121) CAR-T cell (Figure 5A, Table 2) on the blood CAR-T cell expansion (Figure 9A) and overall tumor volume (Figure 9B). We observed that parameters associated with formation of 'CAR-Target complexes' were predominantly sensitive toward the expansion phase of CAR-T cells (Figure 9A). Parameters such as CAR-affinity (Kon and Koff), CAR density and antigen density were positively correlated with the extent of expansion. Results from GSA on the effects of initial tumor burden and dose on CAR-T cell expansion were very similar to our previous results, shown in Figure 8, where higher tumor burden leads to higher Cmax, whereas higher CAR-T dose leads to shorter Tmax. Figure 9B describes the sensitivities of different parameters toward tumor volume. The majority of the parameters leading to higher 'CAR-Target complex' formation (such as CAR-affinity, CAR-density and antigen density) were negatively correlated with overall tumor volume.

Discussion

Adoptive cell transfer of T cells transduced with CARs has revolutionized the field of clinical immunotherapy. These 'self-replicating agents' have demonstrated remarkable clinical efficacy and long-term persistence upon administration to patients with hematological malignancies. The promising attributes of these agents have been recognized by regulatory agencies, and, in 2017, two CAR-T cell therapies were approved by the US Food and Drug Administration. Despite tremendous success, the quantitative impact of key drug-specific and system-specific determinants associated with CAR-T cell activity in the clinic are not well understood. It is challenging to establish PK-PD relationships for CAR-T cells, and there are no established paradigms or guidelines to predict safe and efficacious dose-levels for CAR-T cells in humans. Development of multiscale systems PK-PD models could be a highly beneficial first step to identify key determinants associated with the kinetics and activities of these agents.

The first report on characterization of clinical PK of CAR-T cells was recently presented by Stein et al., using Phase 2 datasets from tisagenlecleucel (anti-CD19) clinical trials. The model divided the CAR-T cell kinetics within blood circulation into three distinct phases: (1) an initial time-restricted exponential expansion phase, followed by (2) a rapid contraction phase, and then (3) a sustained persistence phase. The model successfully captured the unique CAR-T kinetic profile in humans and estimated the slopes of each distinct phase of CAR-T kinetics. However, the descriptive nature of the model limits extrapolation to other CAR-T cell therapies and alternative dose levels. Recently, a more systems approach to characterize clinical CAR-T cell PK and cytokine release kinetics was adopted by Hardiansyah et al., where they used tumor dynamics to drive CAR-T cell expansion and inter-conversion between effector and memory T-cell phenotypes. The model provides several insights toward the underlying mechanism for the distinct CAR-T cell distribution.
kinetics and highlights the integrated nature of CAR-T kinetics and PD. Even though their initial data-analysis was limited to only two patients, for which large inter-subject variability was observed in parameter estimates, it demonstrated the feasibility of using a mechanism-based model to capture CAR-T cell kinetics, i.e., time-dependent expansion, rapid contraction and prolonged persistence.

Here, we used a mechanism-based bottom-up approach toward quantifying the impact of key drug- and system-specific parameters associated with CAR-T cell activity and distinctive CAR-T cell PK behavior. The first step within our modeling approach involved development of a cell-level PD model (Figure 1A), which takes into consideration the effects of CAR-affinity, CAR-densities, antigen densities, and E:T ratios to compute the ‘number of CAR-target complexes per tumor cell’, which consequently determines the rate and extent of saturable tumor cell killing, CAR-T expansion and cytokine release. Using reported data from a comprehensive set of in vitro experiments (described in Table 1), the model accounts for the dynamic E:T ratios (due to T-cell expansion), key drug-specific (e.g., CAR-affinity and CAR-density), as well as system-specific (e.g., antigen density) determinants, while estimating the key potency parameters. The developed cell-level model was demonstrated to quantitatively capture the impact of some drug-specific parameters, such as CAR-affinity and CAR-density, on the overall CAR-T cell activities in vitro. In fact, recent clinical observations on CD19 CAR-Ts, CAT19, which have lower affinity (faster Koff rates) in comparison to tisagenlecleucel (FMC63), and hence better in vitro mobility, have demonstrated better tolerability (i.e., lower cytokine levels) in comparison to tisagenlecleucel (KYMRIAH™) in the CARPALL clinical trial, while conserving the extent of clinical efficacy. Such clinical observations further reinforce our approach of incorporating cell-level information, when developing translational in vivo PK-PD relationships for CAR-T cells. We envision that such cell-level models will be used in the future to identify optimal CAR-T characteristics (i.e., CAR-affinity and CAR-density) and to facilitate lead CAR-T candidate selection at the discovery stage.

The second step in our modeling analysis was to develop a PBPK model to characterize whole-body disposition of CAR-T cells. Development of a PBPK model for CAR-Ts is paramount because of many literature reports suggesting that only a fraction (~2%) of the total lymphocytic population is
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expected to be present in the peripheral blood. Since the majority of antigen abundance (tumor load) for CAR-T cells in development are generally in either bone marrow, spleen, lymph nodes (hematological targets) or solid tumors, it is essential to have a robust physiological framework to characterize the blood: tissue relationship for CAR-T cells. The proposed PBPK model (Figure 1B) structure, which is based on the work published by Baxter et al. and Khot et al., was used to characterize the biodistribution of CAR-T cells. The model assumed that: (1) the T-cell eliminating organ was liver based on multiple literature reports supporting this notion, and (2) CARs and tumor antigens only interact within the tumor compartment of the xenograft mouse model. The PBPK model was able to simultaneously characterize the biodistribution of untransduced T cells, anti-EGFR CAR-T cells, and anti-CD19 CAR-T cells in all major tissues of interest. Spleen, liver and lungs were identified as the organs with maximum biodistribution of CAR-T cells (when comparing $J_{\text{organ}}$ values), which was consistent with many previous studies in xenograft mice and patients.

The third and final step of our modeling analysis was to develop an integrated PBPK-PD relationship, which simultaneously accounts for expansion of tumor-bound and bystander unbound CAR-T cells, as well as antitumor responses in vivo (Figure 1C). The model was able to characterize the rapid expansion phase of anti-BCMA CAR-T cells in blood and observed TGI (Figure 5A). The model was later used to characterize the TGI datasets for: (1) affinity variant anti-HER2 CAR-T (Figure 5B), (2) anti-CD19 CAR-T (Figure 6), and (3) anti-EGFR CAR-T (Figure 7) cells. Our translational modeling framework could be used to establish an in vitro–in vivo correlation, when triaging lead CAR constructs within the preclinical settings. Based on the overall comparison of potency parameters across different CAR constructs (listed in Table 1), we observed that the rank ordering of in vitro potency values can be translated into in vivo settings, and the in vitro potency values were consistently ~10 to 20 fold higher (KC$^{\text{CAR-T}}$ being lower, Table 2), than the in vivo potency values. This observation could result from the higher likelihood that CAR-T cells will come into contact with tumor cells within static in vitro coculture settings in comparison to dynamic in vivo scenarios.

Finally, the in vivo relevance of the developed PBPK-PD model was examined by assessing the impact of: (1) dose and (2) initial tumor burden on formation of CAR-Target complexes, antitumor responses and CAR-T cell expansion in blood (Figure 8) and comparing the simulations with the reported CAR-T profiles in humans. The model simulation suggested that, upon formation of threshold CAR-Target complexes per tumor cell, there is accelerated expansion of CAR-T cells (Figures 8C and 8F), which leads to accelerated eradication of tumor cells (Figures 8A and 8D). A similar pattern has been observed in the clinical settings with multiple CAR-T programs (e.g., anti-CD19 and anti-BCMA CAR-Ts), where upon expansion of CAR-T cells, fast tumor depletion is observed within 2–3 months, with high rates of complete response. The model simulations for blood PK (Figures 8C and 8F) also revealed that, upon IV administration of CAR-T cells, there is a rapid initial decline in blood PK, presumably due to distribution to other tissues (rapid distribution phase). However, once CAR-T cells are expanded within the site-of-action (biophase), they recirculate back to the blood stream. This delay in the onset of the CAR-T cell expansion phase in the blood stream is prevalent in all clinical trial reports (e.g., tisagenlecleucel), especially where PK data are not reported early time points (e.g., 1–2 months). Simulations also suggest that, upon depletion of overall tumor burden, there is reduction in the number of CAR-Target complex formation (Figures 8B and 8E), which leads to a rapid contraction phase within the blood PK of CAR-Ts (Figures 8C and 8F), before the remaining CAR-T cells enter a prolonged persistence phase with first order elimination. This set of simulations (Figures 8C and 8F) agree with the typical trends of CAR-T cell kinetics in the clinic.

Our model simulations also suggested a very steep CAR-T dose-exposure relationship (Figure 8C), which is followed by a plateau. The observed plateau is limited by saturable formation of number of CAR-Target complexes per tumor cells (Figures 8B and 8E). This observation is consistent with many clinical PK datasets, where doses above a certain level no longer correlated with observed Cmax. Recent clinical PK datasets from anti-BCMA (bb2121) Phase 1 study also revealed a steep dose-exposure relationship, where beyond a threshold dose (150 million CAR-T cells), all subsequent higher dose levels generated very similar Cmax levels. Within the study, the occurrence cytokine release syndrome also correlated with the extent of exposure, and hence was higher beyond the threshold dose. However, the rate of expansion to Cmax increases (Figure 6B) as the dose level increases. This is observed in some reported clinical PK data for anti-CD19 and anti-BCMA CAR-T cells, where patients infused with higher doses of CAR-T cells achieve faster expansion to Cmax (i.e., lower Tmax). The model simulations have also revealed the effects of patient tumor burden, which can lead to substantial variability in the exposures (including Cmax) of CAR-T cells. This is also consistent with many clinical reports (for anti-CD19 CAR-T) , where higher tumor burden leads to higher Cmax, and hence more cytokine release.

To summarize, we describe here a mechanism-based model developed to characterize the PK-PD of CAR-T cells. Although a further evolved model will be necessary to describe the clinical behavior of CAR-T cell by incorporating other pertinent components, such as the kinetics of CAR-T cell memory differentiation, effect of CD4/CD8 ratios and cytokine environment toward in vivo expansion of CAR-T cells, our multiscale translational PBPK-PD model integrated drug- and system-specific parameters and was able to characterize CAR-T cell activity in vitro and in vivo. Availability of flow cytometry-based measurements associated with different subsets (CD4+ versus CD8+) and phenotypes (stem cell memory [Tscm] versus effector [Te]l) of CAR-T cells will enable further evolution of our base model, to account for differential expansion capabilities and potency of different CAR-T cell phenotypes in vivo. Nonetheless, our current model is expected to provide a framework for understanding the clinical behavior of CAR-T cells, and therefore facilitate future design and development of CAR-T cell therapy.
Methods

Cell-level PD model to characterize CAR-T cell activity

Figure 1A describes the schematic of the in-vitro PD model to characterize CAR-T cell activity. The model accounts for a dynamic population of CAR-T cells and tumor cells growing with their individual growth rates within a coculture setting. Upon interaction, CAR-Target complexes form, which mediates the killing of target (tumor) cells, further expansion of CAR-T cells and induction of cytokine release. The model schematic also illustrates that upon formation of an excessive threshold (\( \theta \)) ‘CAR-Target Complexes’, there is an accelerated elimination (\( K^T_{\text{CAR-Target}} \)) of CAR-T cells due to exhaustion, a phenomenon that has been observed for high affinity CAR-T cells.

The following set of equations was used to describe the interaction between CAR-T cells and tumor cells:

\[
\frac{d(\text{Cmplx})}{dt} = K^{\text{CAR}}_{\text{on}} \cdot (\text{Ag}^{\text{CAR}} \cdot \text{Cmplx}) \cdot (\text{Ag}^{\text{Tumor}} \cdot \text{Cmplx}) - K^{\text{CAR}}_{\text{off}} \cdot \text{Cmplx} \cdot \text{IC} = 0
\] (1)

where, the overall concentrations of antigen (\( \text{Ag}^{\text{Tumor}} \)) and CAR receptors (\( \text{Ag}^{\text{CAR}} \)) was derived by calculating the overall receptor densities (for either antigen or CAR) within a well, using a dynamic population of tumor cells ((\( N_t \)), eq. 6-10) and CAR-T cells ((\( N_{\text{T}} \)), eq. 11) and transforming them into nanomolar concentration (nM) units using the scaling factor ‘SF’, described as \( 10^6 \cdot 8.023 \times 10^{-16} \).

The number of ‘CAR-Target complexes per tumor cell’ (eq. 2) were used as the driver of tumor cell killing, CAR-T cell expansion and release of cytokines. The set of equations below list the expression used for calculation of ‘CAR-Target complexes per tumor cell’ in a media volume (MV) of 100 \( \mu \)L and the hill functions associated with killing of tumor cells (eq. 3), expansion of CAR-T cells (eq. 4) and release of cytokines (eq. 5).

\[
\text{CmplxCell} = \frac{(\text{Cmplx} \cdot \text{MV})}{\text{SF} \cdot \text{NT}}
\] (2)

\[
\text{Kill} = \left( \frac{K^{\text{Max}}_{\text{Kill}} \cdot \text{CmplxCell}}{K^{\text{CAR}}_{\text{Growth}} + \text{CmplxCell}} \right)
\] (3)

\[
\text{Hill}^{\text{Exp}} = \left( \frac{I^{\text{Max}}_{\text{CAR-T}} \cdot (\text{CmplxCell})^{I^{\text{CAR-T}}_{\text{Growth}}}}{(IC^{\text{50}}_{\text{CAR-T}})^{I^{\text{CAR-T}}_{\text{Growth}}} + (\text{CmplxCell})^{I^{\text{CAR-T}}_{\text{Growth}}}} \right)
\] (4)

\[
\text{Hill}^{\text{Cyt}} = \left( \frac{\text{Cytokine}^{\text{Max}} \cdot \text{CmplxCell}}{K^{\text{Cytokine}}_{\text{Growth}} + \text{CmplxCell}} \right)
\] (5)

The equations listed below describe the dynamics of tumor cells, expansion of CAR-T cells and release of cytokines in the media:

\[
\frac{d(N^T_i)}{dt} = \frac{\text{Ln}2}{DT^{\text{Tumor}}} \cdot N_i^T \cdot \text{Kill} \cdot N_i^T \quad \text{IC} = N_i^T(0)
\] (6)

\[
\frac{d(N^2_i)}{dt} = \text{Kill} \cdot N_i^2 - \frac{1}{r} \cdot N_i^2 \quad \text{IC} = 0
\] (7)

\[
\frac{d(N^3_i)}{dt} = \frac{1}{r} \cdot (N_i^3 - N_i^3) \quad \text{IC} = 0
\] (8)

\[
\frac{d(N^4_i)}{dt} = \frac{1}{r} \cdot (N_i^4 - N_i^4) \quad \text{IC} = 0
\] (9)

\[
\text{d(N^E)} = \left[ \frac{\text{Ln2}}{DT^{\text{CAR-T}} \cdot (1 - \text{Hill}^{\text{Exp}})} \right] \cdot N^E
\] (10)

\[
\text{IC} = N^E(0)
\] (11)

\[
\frac{\text{d(Cyt)}}{dt} = \text{Hill}^{\text{Cyt}} \cdot \left( 1 - \frac{\text{Cyt}}{\text{Cyt}\text{Max}} \right) \quad \text{IC} = 0
\] (12)

Physiologically based PK model to characterize biodistribution of CAR-T cells

A PBPK model was developed to characterize the biodistribution of CAR-T cells in a tumor-bearing mouse model. Datasets involved in development of this model included biodistribution of untransduced T cells, anti-EGFR CAR-T cells\(^{21}\) and anti-CD19 CAR-T cells\(^{15}\) (as listed in Table 1) in key T-cell distributional organs, such as blood, liver, spleen, kidney, lymph node, lung and tumor. Figure 1B describes the schematics of the PBPK model, where all different tissues are anatomically arranged with blood and lymphatic flows. Additionally, each organ is anatomically separated into vascular and extravascular compartments. The system-specific parameters associated with the blood flows, lymphatic flows and organ volumes are listed in supplementary Table 1.\(^{39}\) It was assumed that, upon IV administration, CAR-T cells are distributed to the vascular space of each organ using organ-specific blood flows (\( Q_{\text{Tissue}} \)), upon which the CAR-T cells can extravasate into extravascular space using first order transmigration rate (\( J_{\text{Tissue}} \)). Upon transfer to the extravascular space, CAR-T cells can either interact with antigen-presenting tumor cells (e.g., in the tumor compartment) or leave the tissues using lymphatic circulation using organ-specific lymph flows (\( L_{\text{Tissue}} \), eventually
merging into blood circulation via lymph node. Within the tumor compartment, the overall number of CAR receptors interact with the total antigen receptors using second order association rates (K_{\text{C}^\text{AR}_{\text{ON}}}, 1/\text{cells/mL/h}) and first order dissociation rates (K_{\text{C}^\text{AR}_{\text{OFF}}}, 1/h) respectively, where only the unbound CAR-T cells were allowed to leave the extravascular space of a solid tumor using lymphatic flow.\textsuperscript{22}

Additionally, a first order rate of CAR-T cell elimination (K_{\text{L}}) has been characterized from the liver extravascular compartment, based on the suggested reports of T cells elimination within liver.\textsuperscript{23-25} The following set of equations listed below, describe the whole-body disposition of CAR-T cells in a tumor-bearing xenograft mouse model. The state variables depicted with ‘C\textsubscript{tissue}’ represent concentrations in units of ‘number of cells per mL’ whereas state variables depicted with ‘A\textsubscript{tissue}’ represent amounts in units of ‘number of cells’.

**Blood compartment**

\[
\frac{d}{dt} C_{\text{Blood}} = \left[ - (Q_{\text{L}} + L_{\text{L}}) \cdot C_{\text{Blood}} + (Q_{\text{GI}} - L_{\text{GI}} + Q_{\text{Spleen}} - L_{\text{Spleen}} + Q_{\text{Liver}} - L_{\text{Liver}}) \cdot C_{\text{Liver}} + (Q_{\text{Kidney}} - L_{\text{Kidney}}) \cdot C_{\text{Brain}} + (Q_{\text{Other}} - L_{\text{Other}}) \cdot C_{\text{Other}} + (Q_{\text{Tumor}} - L_{\text{Tumor}}) \cdot C_{\text{Tumor}} + L_{\text{LN}} \cdot C_{\text{LN}} \right] / V_{\text{Blood}}
\]

**Lung compartment**

Vascular Space
\[
\frac{d}{dt} C_{\text{V}}^{\text{L}} = \left[ (Q_{\text{L}} + L_{\text{L}}) \cdot C_{\text{Blood}} - J_{\text{L}} \cdot C_{\text{L}}^{\text{V}} - V_{\text{L}} \cdot Q_{\text{L}} \cdot C_{\text{L}}^{\text{V}} \right] / V_{\text{L}}
\]

Extravascular Space
\[
\frac{d}{dt} C_{\text{EV}}^{\text{L}} = \left[ J_{\text{L}} \cdot C_{\text{L}}^{\text{V}} - L_{\text{L}} \cdot C_{\text{L}}^{\text{EV}} \right] / V_{\text{L}}
\]

**Liver compartment**

Vascular Space
\[
\frac{d}{dt} C_{\text{L}}^{\text{V}} = \left[ Q_{\text{Liver}} \cdot C_{\text{L}}^{\text{V}} + (Q_{\text{GI}} - L_{\text{GI}}) \cdot C_{\text{GI}}^{\text{V}} + (Q_{\text{Spleen}} - L_{\text{Spleen}}) \cdot C_{\text{Spleen}} - J_{\text{Liver}} \cdot C_{\text{Liver}} - V_{\text{L}} \cdot L_{\text{Liver}} \cdot C_{\text{Liver}} - L_{\text{Spleen}} \cdot (Q_{\text{GI}} - L_{\text{GI}} + Q_{\text{Spleen}} - L_{\text{Liver}}) \cdot C_{\text{Liver}} \right] / V_{\text{L}}
\]

Extravascular Space
\[
\frac{d}{dt} C_{\text{L}}^{\text{EV}} = \left[ J_{\text{Liver}} \cdot C_{\text{Liver}}^{\text{V}} - L_{\text{Liver}} \cdot C_{\text{Liver}}^{\text{EV}} - K_{\text{el}} \cdot C_{\text{Liver}}^{\text{EV}} \right] / V_{\text{L}}
\]

**Tumor compartment**

Vascular Space
\[
\frac{d}{dt} C_{\text{V}}^{\text{Tumor}} = \left[ Q_{\text{Tumor}} \cdot C_{\text{Liver}}^{\text{V}} - J_{\text{Tumor}} \cdot C_{\text{Tumor}}^{\text{V}} - V_{\text{Tumor}} \cdot L_{\text{Tumor}} \cdot C_{\text{Tumor}}^{\text{V}} \right] / V_{\text{Tumor}}
\]

Extravascular Space
\[
\frac{d}{dt} C_{\text{EV}}^{\text{Tumor}} = \left[ J_{\text{Tumor}} \cdot C_{\text{Tumor}}^{\text{V}} - L_{\text{Tumor}} \cdot \left( \frac{C_{\text{Tumor}}^{\text{EV}}}{V_{\text{EV}}^{\text{Tumor}}} - \frac{C_{\text{TE}}}{\text{Density}_{\text{CAR}}} \right) \right] / V_{\text{Tumor}}
\]

**Typical tissues (spleen, kidney, GI tract, brain, other)**

Vascular Space
\[
\frac{d}{dt} C_{\text{Tissue}} = \left[ Q_{\text{Tissue}} \cdot C_{\text{Tissue}}^{\text{V}} - J_{\text{Tissue}} \cdot C_{\text{Tissue}}^{\text{V}} - V_{\text{Tissue}} \cdot L_{\text{Tissue}} \cdot C_{\text{Tissue}}^{\text{V}} \right] / V_{\text{Tissue}}
\]

Extravascular Space
\[
\frac{d}{dt} C_{\text{EV}}^{\text{Tissue}} = \left[ J_{\text{Tissue}} \cdot C_{\text{Tissue}}^{\text{V}} - L_{\text{Tissue}} \cdot C_{\text{Tissue}}^{\text{EV}} \right] / V_{\text{Tissue}}
\]

**Lymph node**

\[
\frac{d}{dt} C_{\text{LN}} = \left[ L_{\text{L}} \cdot C_{\text{LN}}^{\text{V}} + L_{\text{Liver}} \cdot C_{\text{Liver}}^{\text{V}} + L_{\text{GI}} \cdot C_{\text{GI}}^{\text{V}} + L_{\text{Spleen}} \cdot C_{\text{Spleen}}^{\text{V}} + L_{\text{Brain}} \cdot C_{\text{Brain}}^{\text{V}} + L_{\text{Kidney}} \cdot C_{\text{Kidney}}^{\text{V}} + L_{\text{Tissue}} \cdot C_{\text{Tissue}}^{\text{EV}} + L_{\text{Other}} \cdot C_{\text{Other}}^{\text{V}} - L_{\text{Tissue}} \cdot C_{\text{Tissue}}^{\text{EV}} \right] / V_{\text{LN}}
\]

In cases of IV administration, the initial condition for eq. 13 was $\frac{C_{\text{C}^\text{AR}_{\text{T}}}}{V_{\text{Blood}}}$, whereas the initial conditions for eq. 14–23 were set to 0.

In cases of intratumoral (IT) administration, the initial condition for eq. 19 was (CART\text{Dose}), whereas the rest of initial conditions for eq. 13–23 were set to 0.

**Establishing a PBPK-PD relationship to characterize in vivo CAR-T cell expansion and tumor growth inhibition in xenograft mouse model**

The validated PBPK model, as described in the earlier step, was used to simulate the intratumoral exposure of 'number of
CAR-T-Target complexes per tumor cell’ (CplxCell), which was later used as a driver to simultaneously induce tumor growth regression and CAR-T cell expansion (Figure 1C).

Hence, the tumor growth and killing functions, as well as expansion of activated CAR-T cells, is as listed below:

\[
K_g = \frac{K_{Ex}^g \cdot \left(1 - \frac{TV_{Tumor}}{TV_{max}}\right)}{1 + \left(K_{Ex}^g \cdot TV_{Tot}\right)^\gamma} \tag{24}
\]

\[
Kill = \frac{K_{max} \cdot \left(CplxCell\right)^\gamma}{\left(KC_{50}\right)^\gamma + \left(CplxCell\right)^\gamma} \tag{25}
\]

\[
Hill^{Exp} = \left(\frac{\frac{\text{Tmax} \cdot \left(CplxCell\right)^\gamma}{\text{Act} \cdot (\text{CplxCell})^\gamma}}{\left(E_{\text{Cmax}_{50}}\right)^\gamma + \left(CplxCell\right)^\gamma}\right) \tag{26}
\]

While developing the final PBPK-PD model, it was assumed that the tumor volume is dynamic and is hence regulated by tumor growth rates (Kg) and CAR-T-induced TGI (Kill). The growth of the tumor was modeled (as previously described in Ref. 36) to follow an exponential growth rate \(K_{Ex}^g\), which eventually switches to a linear growth rate \(K_{Lin}^g\) before reaching the saturable total tumor capacity (TV_{max}). Within a scenario of hematological malignancies, the overall assumption of having a dynamic tumor burden component within the PBPK-PD model will still hold true. However, the population of tumor cells will be modeled to be present in the blood compartment. Additionally, the growth pattern of hematological malignancies may be different than that of a solid tumor.

Additionally, it was also assumed that vascular fraction \(V_{\text{Tumor}}^V\) constitutes for 11.3% of the total tumor volume, whereas the rest (88.7%) is extravascular \(V_{\text{Tumor}}^E\) fraction.\(^{22}\)

Consequently, as per the model structure (Figure 1C), upon interaction of target cells with CAR-T cells within the extravascular space \(V_{\text{Tumor}}^E\) of the tumor, there is expansion of both tumor-bound as well as unbound (total) CAR-T cells, due to bystander effect in the presence of released cytokines.\(^{40}\)

Hence, the updated equations within the final PBPK-PD model, associated with the concentration (\# cells/mL) of CAR-T cells in the extravascular space \(V_{\text{Tumor}}^E\) of the tumor as well as dynamic tumor volumes \(V_{\text{Tumor}}\) due to CAR-T-induced TGI, are listed below:

\[
\frac{d}{dt}V_{\text{Tumor}}^T = \left(K_g - K_4\right) \cdot TV_{\text{Tot}} \tag{32}
\]

\[
V_{\text{Tumor}}^E = 0.113 \cdot TV_{\text{Tot}} \tag{33}
\]

Model-based simulations to investigate the (1) effect of dose and (2) tumor burden on tumor dynamics, target-engagement and CAR-T cell expansion

The fixed and model-estimated parameters of the PBPK-PD model (in the context of anti-BCMA (bb2121) CAR-T\(^{16}\)) developed in the previous step were used to simultaneously simulate the effects of: (1) different IV CAR-T cell dose levels; and (2) different initial tumor burdens on overall TGI, formation of CAR-Target complexes within the extravascular space of tumor tissue \((V_{\text{Tumor}}^E)\) and the overall CAR-T cell expansion in the systemic circulation. To determine the effect of dose, single IV dose levels of 0.1, 1, 5, 10 and 50 million CAR+ T cells were investigated in a xenograft mouse model with 150 mm\(^3\) initial tumor burden. To determine the effect of the initial tumor burden, single IV dose of 5 million CAR+ T cells were investigated in a xenograft mouse model with varying initial tumor burden values ranging from 50 to 1000 mm\(^3\). The time course of CAR-T cell-induced TGI, formation of total number of CAR-Target complexes per tumor cell’ and the overall CAR-T cell expansion in blood was simulated for 28 d post dose.

Global sensitivity analysis on the CAR-T cell PBPK-PD model

Considering the complexity of the underlying system, a GSA was performed where pertinent drug and system specific parameters of the developed PBPK-PD model (Figure 1C) for BB2121 (anti-BCMA CAR-T, Table 2) were simultaneously varied to deduce the overall uncertainty described in the two model outputs, i.e., (1) blood CAR-T cell concentration and (2) overall tumor volume.
Partial Rank Correlation Coefficient (PRCC) method was implemented in R software package, where the following parameters were simultaneously perturbed with varying lower and upper bounds, i.e., $A_g^{\text{Tumor}}$ (± 2-fold), $A_g^{\text{CAR}}$ (+ 2-fold), CAR-T Dose (± 2-fold), initial tumor burden (−2-fold, +5-fold), $K_{\text{off}}^{\text{CAR}}$ (± 2-fold) and $K_{\text{on}}^{\text{CAR}}$ (± 2-fold), with a sampling size of 5000. The limits for lower and upper bounds of these parameters were based on the physiologically plausible values. PRCC-based sensitivity indexes were simulated as a time course for up to 35 d. PRCC describes the relative importance of a parameter along with its positive/negative correlation on the desirable model output.

Parameter estimation, model fitting and simulation

Cell-level PD model for CAR-T cells

Data-fitting and parameter estimation was conducted in two sequential steps, using the proposed model schematics (Figure 1A). Within step 1, datasets associated with the CAR-T cell expansion and tumor cell depletion were fitted simultaneously, to account for dynamic changes in the E:T ratios, which eventually affect the extent of target-cell depletion. In the second step, datasets associated with the release of cytokines were characterized as a function of ‘number of CAR-Target complexes per tumor cell’ (CplxCell). All the system-specific parameters, such as affinities, receptor densities, and doubling times, in each estimation step were fixed to known values (listed in Table 2), whereas the potency parameters associated with tumor cell depletion, CAR-T cell expansion and cytokine release were estimated. Since CAR-T cell exhaustion was not observed among the in-vitro datasets used to develop this model, which was over a relatively short period of assay time, accelerated CAR-T cell elimination ($K_{\text{ex}}^{\text{CAR}}$, Figure 1A) was not incorporated within the final model equations.

PBPK model for CAR-T cells

The datasets associated with the biodistribution of untransduced T cells (devoid of any CAR receptors), anti-CD19 CAR-T cells and anti-EGFR CAR-T cells were simultaneously characterized using the proposed PBPK model in Figure 1B. The common system-specific parameter associated with the blood flows ($Q_{\text{Organ}}$), lymph flows ($L_{\text{Organ}}$), and organ volumes ($V_{\text{Organ}}$) were fixed to a physiological value reported for a 25 g mouse. Some of the other fixed parameter values, which were varied between each case study, included the initial tumor burdens and target receptor densities for different xenograft models, as well as doses/affinities of different CAR-T (anti-EGFR21 or anti-CD1915) constructs. All these values have been reported within Table 2. Simultaneous fitting of different biodistribution datasets facilitated estimation of first order transmigration rates ($l_{\text{Tissue}}$) for all relevant organs where measurements were available. Additionally, a nonspecific first order elimination rate ($K_{\text{el}}^{\text{Liver}}$) was also estimated from the extravascular space of the liver tissue (Table 2).

Integrated PBPK-PD model for CAR-T cells

The developed PBPK model for CAR-T cell therapy in the earlier step was leveraged to establish a preclinical PK-PD relationship (Figure 1C). All the parameters associated with the PBPK were fixed to the estimated values in the earlier step, whereas parameters associated with CAR-T cell activity (i.e., expansion and tumor killing) were estimated here. In cases where both the measurements (CAR-T expansion and TGI) were unavailable, the parameters associated with the in vivo expansion of CAR-T cells were fixed to the estimated values of the anti-BCMA CAR-T (bb212116) case study, while characterizing the TGI datasets for other case studies, such as anti-HER2, anti-CD19 and anti-EGFR CAR-T cells.

While characterizing the TGI datasets associated with two different affinity-variant anti-HER2 CAR-T cells14 in xeno-graft mouse models subcutaneously inoculated with HER2-high SK-OV3 and HER2-low PC3 cell lines in different flanks, two tumor compartments were incorporated within the PBPK-PD model structure (described earlier), with similar blood/lymphatic flows and different antigen densities. The mass balance was achieved within the PBPK model, by decreasing the fractional blood flow received by the ‘Other’ compartment. The TGI dataset for each tumor type for affinity-variant CAR-T cells were simultaneously characterized to obtain common efficacy parameters.

While characterizing the TGI datasets for the two affinity variants (cetuximab and nimotuzumab) anti-EGFR CAR-Ts13 in glioblastoma mouse models after intratumoral (IT) injection, the bolus dose of CAR-T cells was injected within the tumor extravascular space ($V_{\text{Tumor}}^{\text{EV}}$) of the proposed PBPK model. Datasets associated with control, cetuximab CAR-T treated and nimotuzumab CAR-T-treated animals was simultaneously fitted to the proposed PBPK-PD model to obtain common set of efficacy parameters associated with the CAR-T cell activity.

Modeling software

All the model fittings were performed using Stochastic Approximation Expectation Maximization (SAEM) algorithm of Monolix version 8 (Lixoft41, where a log-normal distribution was assumed for IIV for selected parameters if required, as listed in Table 2.
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