Association between lymphocyte count and neurological outcomes in post-cardiac arrest patients treated with mild therapeutic hypothermia
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Aim: To examine lymphocyte counts as a predictive prognostic marker in patients with coma after cardiac arrest.

Methods: We retrospectively evaluated patients with coma after cardiac arrest admitted to the intensive care unit of Shiga University of Medical Science (Otsu, Japan). Lymphocyte counts were measured for 6 days from admission. Neurological outcome was assessed as favorable or unfavorable using cerebral performance categories. Associations between lymphocyte count and prognosis were investigated using multivariate logistic regression analysis and receiver operating characteristic curves.

Results: Forty-six patients were assessed from February 2012 to December 2016. Survivors had significantly higher lymphocyte counts than non-survivors on days 2 and 5. Multivariate analysis showed that lymphocyte count was not associated with 90-day mortality. Patients with favorable neurological outcome at discharge had significantly higher lymphocyte counts on days 2–6 than patients with unfavorable outcomes. Multivariate logistic regression analysis, including possible confounders, showed that lymphocyte counts on days 2–4 and 6 were associated with neurological outcome (day 2: odds ratio [OR] = 0.75, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 0.58–0.97, P = 0.029; day 3: OR = 0.68, 95% CI = 0.47–0.98, P = 0.04; day 4: OR = 0.4, 95% CI = 0.16–1.00, P = 0.05; day 6: OR = 0.69, 95% CI = 0.48–0.99, P = 0.046). Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis indicated high accuracy for predicting neurological outcome for each lymphocyte count on days 2–6 using the area under the curve, day 4 values being most accurate (day 2: 0.776, day 3: 0.787, day 4: 0.909, day 5: 0.774, day 6: 0.839).

Conclusion: Lymphocyte counts on days 2–4 and 6 after cardiac arrest are associated with neurological outcome; counts on day 4 most accurately predict neurological outcome.
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INTRODUCTION

Globally, out-of-hospital cardiac arrest occurs in approximately 20–140 people per 100,000 population per year.1 Despite advances in the resuscitation and management of patients in the post-cardiac arrest period, many patients, including those who are initially resuscitated, die before discharge from the hospital, or are discharged with poor neurological status due to development of post-cardiac arrest syndrome (PCAS).2 However, predicting patient prognosis, including neurological outcome, both of which are required to determine mild hypothermia (MHT) strategies following PCAS, is difficult.

Although neuron-specific enolase is known to be a predictive marker of neurological outcome in PCAS patients and shows high accuracy in predicting neurological outcome on day 4,3 the number of facilities that can immediately measure neuron-specific enolase are limited.

Recently, the relationship between lymphopenia in the acute phase and prognosis of PCAS patients was reported. One study showed that the neutrophil–lymphocyte ratio (NLR) is associated with mortality in cardiac arrest patients at admission.4,5 Another study showed that lymphopenia occurs in PCAS patients at admission and is associated with neurological recovery in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest patients.6 However, the association between lymphocyte
count and prognosis in the subacute phase (after day 2) is not well known.

In PCAS, whole-body ischemia and reperfusion is associated with the development of systemic inflammatory response syndrome and elevation of plasma cytokine levels.7

This phenomenon is considered to share features with sepsis, which causes elevation of plasma cytokine levels, and with stroke, which causes ischemia and reperfusion of the brain. Clinically, in patients with sepsis, lymphopenia on day 4, but not day 1, due to apoptosis of lymphocytes can be used as a predictor of mortality;8,9 in stroke patients, lymphopenia at admission and day 4 is reportedly associated with stroke area.10,11 Given the similarities of PCAS with stroke and sepsis in terms of their association with lymphopenia, lymphocyte count in both the acute and subacute phase might be associated with mortality and neurological outcome in PCAS patients.

Here, we retrospectively examined the association between lymphocyte count in the first 6 days after cardiac arrest and mortality or neurologic outcomes in PCAS patients treated with MHT.

**METHODS**

**Study design and setting**

We retrospectively investigated patients (>18 years old) at Shiga University of Medical Science (Otsu, Japan) who were successfully resuscitated following cardiac arrest and in whom MHT was induced between February 2011 and December 2016.

All patients developed unconsciousness (Glasgow Coma Scale [GCS] score < 8) after return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC). Exclusion criteria for MHT were aortic dissection, hemorrhagic disease and pregnancy, and exclusion criteria for study participation were death within 72 h, stroke, and hematological and autoimmune diseases, which would prevent evaluation of neurological outcome and can cause lymphopenia, respectively.

| Table 1. Comparison of baseline characteristics between survivors and non-survivors among Japanese patients with coma after cardiac arrest (n = 46) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Total (n = 46) | Survivors (n = 33) | Non-survivors (n = 13) | P-value |
| Age, years | 62.0 (48.5–71.3) | 59.0 (39.0–71.0) | 64.0 (60.5–73.0) | 0.113 |
| Sex, male | 38 (83) | 26 (79) | 11 (85) | 0.182 |
| BMI | 22.2 (18.4–24.2) | 21.7 (17.9–23.5) | 23.2 (20.2–28.1) | 0.054 |
| Initial rhythm of VT/VF | 26 (57) | 19 (58) | 7 (54) | 0.818 |
| Time from collapse to ROSC, min | 30.5 (18.0–50.0) | 24.0 (14.0–45.0) | 47.5 (28.3–57.0) | 0.018 |
| Witnessed cardiac arrest | 43 (94) | 30 (91) | 13 (100) | 0.261 |
| Adrenaline dose at resuscitation, mg | 3 (0.0–4.0) | 1 (0.0–3.5) | 4 (3.0–5.0) | 0.004 |
| Provision of defibrillation | 25 (54) | 19 (58) | 6 (46) | 0.484 |
| Prehospital ROSC | 14 (30) | 13 (39) | 1 (8) | 0.035 |
| In-hospital cardiac arrest | 11 (24) | 6 (18) | 5 (38) | 0.147 |
| Bystander CPR | 19 (41) | 23 (70) | 5 (38) | 0.051 |
| Cardiac origin of arrest | 32 (70) | 23 (70) | 9 (69) | 0.975 |
| Coronary disease | 15 (33) | 9 (27) | 6 (46) | 0.206 |
| CAI | | | | |
| Day 1 | 5.00 (2.00–12.48) | 5.00 (1.00–12.00) | 8.00 (2.00–21.25) | 0.087 |
| Day 2 | 4.50 (1.23–9.25) | 3.70 (5.00–8.00) | 6.00 (2.50–12.50) | 0.053 |
| Day 3 | 3.00 (0.25–8.00) | 2.50 (0.00–7.50) | 8.00 (1.50–8.00) | 0.053 |
| Day 4 | 2.00 (0.00–5.63) | 2.00 (0.00–5.00) | 4.00 (0.00–8.00) | 0.269 |
| Day 5 | 1.10 (0.00–4.13) | 0.00 (0.00–3.00) | 4.00 (0.00–11.50) | 0.025 |
| Day 6 | 0.00 (0.00–3.00) | 0.00 (0.00–1.65) | 3.00 (0.00–9.50) | 0.022 |

Data are shown as median (range) or n (%). Catecholamine index (CAI) = hourly doses [µg/kg/min] of dopamine + dobutamine + (adrenaline + noradrenaline) × 100 (µg/kg/min). CAI of each day was calculated at the highest point of the day. BMI, body mass index; CPR, cardiopulmonary resuscitation; ROSC, return of spontaneous circulation; VF, ventricular fibrillation; VT, ventricular tachycardia.
All patients received standardized medical treatment along with MHT to 35°C for 48 h and were rewarmed over 24 h, according to our local treatment guidelines.

Repeated neurological examinations were undertaken at least 48 h after cardiac arrest, after rewarming the patient to a core temperature of >36°C and when the patient was off sedation. This study was approved by the institutional review board of Shiga University of Medical Science (29-042) and carried out in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki (amended in 2013). We publicized the study by posting a summary of the protocol on the website of the Shiga University of Medical Science and the notice clearly informed patients of their right to refuse participation.

**Measurements and data collection**

We collected patient demographic data and information on other baseline characteristics, including age, sex, body mass index, cardiopulmonary resuscitation (initial cardiac rhythm, time to ROSC, witness report, provision of bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation, etiology of cardiac arrest, provision of defibrillation, total adrenaline dose, and prehospital ROSC), and Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score for the first 6 days (excluding the GCS score). Based on previous studies, we estimated catecholamine doses, as the catecholamine index (CAI), hourly for each of the first 6 days using the formula: CAI = hourly doses (µg/kg/min) of dopamine + dobutamine + (adrenaline + noradrenaline) × 100 (µg/kg/min). The CAI of each day was calculated at the highest point of the day. Ninety-day mortality, laboratory results, and neurological outcome were assessed on the basis of the cerebral performance categories (CPC) score. The CPC score was retrospectively determined from the patients’ discharge charts. We defined CPC 1-2 as favorable outcomes and CPC 3–5 as unfavorable outcomes.

Blood samples were collected daily for the first 6 days in all patients. Blood sampling on day 1 was defined as the value measured for the first 24 h after admission to the intensive care unit.

**Outcomes**

The primary outcomes evaluated were 90-day mortality and neurological status at 90 days.

**Fig. 1.** Comparison of white blood cell count, C-reactive protein levels, lymphocyte count, neutrophil count, neutrophil–lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) scores between survivor (solid line) and non-survivor (broken line) outcome groups of Japanese patients with coma after cardiac arrest (n = 46). The values of each point are shown below the graphs. The average of survivors is shown in the upper line of values; the average of non-survivors is shown in the lower line of values. Whiskers in the graphs indicate standard deviation. SOFA score excluded Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score. *P < 0.05 compared with survivors.
Data analysis

Statistical analyses were carried out with the IBM SPSS Statistics 22 software package (IBM Japan, Tokyo, Japan). Variables are expressed as the median and interquartile range for continuous variables and proportion for categorical variables. Demographic and clinical differences between groups were assessed using Student’s t-test or the Mann–Whitney U-test, as appropriate. Lymphocyte counts, neutrophil counts, white blood cell (WBC) counts, C-reactive protein (CRP) levels and NLR, which are related to inflammation, SOFA score (excluding GCS) and CAI were compared between favorable and unfavorable neurological outcome groups, and between survivors and non-survivors, using repeated-measures ANOVA. Logistic regression analysis was carried out to assess the correlations between neurological outcomes and survival with lymphocyte count on days 1–6 and other candidate risk factors, such as age, time to ROSC, SOFA score without GCS on day 1, and shockable rhythm. All variables and risk factors initially considered in the univariate logistic analysis to be significantly associated with mortality or neurological outcome \( P < 0.10 \) were included in multivariate logistic regression analysis. For the final model, we calculated the adjusted odds ratio and 95% confidence intervals for each variable. \( P < 0.05 \) was considered significant. The predictive accuracy of lymphocyte counts and NLR on each day for neurological outcome was calculated using analysis of the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve.

Univariate linear analysis was carried out to evaluate the relationship between the lymphocyte count on each day and candidate variables, such as age, time to ROSC, SOFA score on day 1 and catecholamine index on each day using univariate linear regression. We also undertook multivariate linear regression analysis to evaluate the relationship between lymphocytes and combinations of risk factors. We included all risk factors that were significantly associated with lymphocyte counts \( P < 0.10 \) in the univariate analysis.

Table 2. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses of mortality among Japanese patients with coma after cardiac arrest \( (n=46) \)

| Lymphocyte count, 100/μL | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
|                          | Odds ratio | 95% CI | P-value | Odds ratio | 95% CI | P-value |
| Day 1                    | 0.910      | 0.746–1.111 | 0.355 | Model 1     | Lymphocyte count on day 2 | 0.874 | 0.677–1.128 | 0.301 |
| Day 2                    | 0.840      | 0.689–1.025 | 0.087 | SOFA score without GCS on day 1 | 1.064 | 0.719–1.575 | 0.756 |
| Day 3                    | 0.923      | 0.750–1.137 | 0.453 | Age         | 1.071 | 0.973–1.179 | 0.161 |
| Day 4                    | 0.789      | 0.530–1.176 | 0.245 | Time from collapse to ROSC (min) | 1.032 | 0.966–1.101 | 0.349 |
| Day 5                    | 0.768      | 0.592–0.995 | 0.046 | Model 2     | Lymphocyte count on day 5 | 0.957 | 0.699–1.309 | 0.782 |
| Day 6                    | 0.798      | 0.612–1.040 | 0.095 | SOFA score without GCS on day 1 | 1.275 | 0.879–1.849 | 0.201 |
| SOFA score without GCS on day 1 | 1.437  | 1.121–1.842 | 0.004 | Age         | 1.033 | 0.945–1.130 | 0.476 |
| Age                      | 1.047      | 0.998–1.100 | 0.063 | Time from collapse to ROSC (min) | 1.054 | 0.985–1.128 | 0.129 |
| Time from collapse to ROSC (min) | 1.035 | 0.999–1.072 | 0.059 | Model 3     | Lymphocyte count on day 6 | 0.908 | 0.659–1.253 | 0.557 |
| Initial rhythm of VT/VF | 0.860      | 0.236–3.125 | 0.818 | SOFA score without GCS on day 1 | 1.172 | 0.843–1.629 | 0.345 |
|                          |           |         |        | Age         | 1.022 | 0.944–1.107 | 0.591 |
|                          |           |         |        | Time from collapse to ROSC (min) | 1.025 | 0.986–1.065 | 0.218 |

Sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA) score without Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) on day 1 was measured as the worst data within the first 24 h, excluding GCS score.

Model 1 included lymphocyte count on day 2, SOFA score on day 1, age and time from collapse to return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC), for which the P-values were < 0.1. Model 2 included lymphocyte count on day 5, SOFA score on day 1, age and time from collapse to ROSC, for which the P-values were < 0.1. Model 3 included lymphocyte count on day 6, SOFA score on day 1, age and time from collapse to ROSC, for which the P-values were < 0.1.

CI, confidence interval.
RESULTS

A total of 46 cardiac arrest patients, all of whom received MHT and survived for more than 72 h, were enrolled in this study. There were no mortalities from days 3 to 6 in this study. The main characteristics of survivors and non-survivors are shown in Table 1. Overall survival was seen in 27 patients. In survivors, the time to ROSC was shorter, dosage of adrenaline at resuscitation was lower, proportion of prehospital ROSC was higher, SOFA score without GCS on day 1 was lower, and CAI on days 5 and 6 were lower than in non-survivors. There were no significant differences in the proportion of shockable rhythms between survivors and non-survivors (Table 1).

Survivors had a significantly higher WBC count on day 2 and lymphocyte counts on days 2 and 5, and lower CRP on days 4–6, NLR on day 6, neutrophil counts on day 1 and SOFA scores on days 1–6, compared with non-survivors (Fig. 1). Univariate analysis of mortality found the following additional variables to be statistically significantly related to survival: lymphocyte count on days 2, 5 and 6, age, SOFA score without GCS on day 1, and time to ROSC. Multivariate logistic analysis of each lymphocyte count revealed that none of these variables was associated with mortality (Table 2).

The main characteristics of patients with favorable and unfavorable neurological outcomes are shown in Table 3. Overall favorable neurological outcome was seen in 19 patients. In patients with favorable neurological outcomes, the time to ROSC was shorter, and CAI on day 1 was lower than those in patients with unfavorable neurological outcomes. There were no significant differences in the proportion of shockable rhythms between patients with favorable and unfavorable neurological outcomes.

There were no significant differences in WBC count or CRP between patients in the favorable and unfavorable neurological outcome groups. Patients who had a favorable neurological outcome had significantly higher lymphocyte

Table 3. Comparison of baseline characteristics among Japanese patients with coma after cardiac arrest (n = 46), according to favorable and unfavorable outcomes

|                              | Total (n = 46) | Favorable outcome (n = 19) | Unfavorable outcome (n = 27) | P-value |
|------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Age, years                   | 62.0 (48.5–71.3) | 51.0 (34.0–68.0) | 67.0 (59.0–74.0) | 0.016  |
| Sex, male                    | 38 (83) | 16 (84) | 22 (82) | 0.810  |
| BMI                          | 22.2 (18.4–24.2) | 22.8 (19.4–24.5) | 21.7 (18.4–23.3) | 0.292  |
| Initial rhythm of VT/VF      | 26 (57) | 12 (63) | 14 (52) | 0.446  |
| Time from collapse to ROSC, min | 30.5 (18.0–50.0) | 24.0 (15.0–41.0) | 45.0 (27.0–54.0) | 0.018  |
| Witnessed cardiac arrest     | 43 (94) | 19 (100) | 24 (89) | 0.133  |
| Adrenaline dose at resuscitation, mg | 3.0 (0.0–4.0) | 1.0 (0.0–4.0) | 3.0 (1.0–5.0) | 0.072  |
| Provision of defibrillation  | 25 (54) | 11 (58) | 14 (52) | 0.685  |
| Prehospital ROSC             | 14 (30) | 8 (42) | 6 (22) | 0.149  |
| In-hospital cardiac arrest   | 11 (24) | 4 (21) | 7 (26) | 0.703  |
| Bystander CPR                | 19 (41) | 11 (58) | 8 (30) | 0.055  |
| Cardiac origin of arrest     | 32 (70) | 15 (79) | 17 (63) | 0.246  |
| Coronary disease             | 15 (33) | 7 (37) | 8 (30) | 0.607  |
| CAI                          |               |               |               |         |
| Day 1                        | 5.00 (2.00–12.48) | 4.00 (0.00–12.00) | 8.00 (2.00–16.00) | 0.042  |
| Day 2                        | 4.50 (1.23–9.25) | 2.50 (0.10–8.00) | 5.00 (1.00–11.00) | 0.533  |
| Day 3                        | 3.00 (0.25–8.00) | 4.00 (0.90–8.00) | 3.00 (0.30–9.00) | 0.796  |
| Day 4                        | 2.00 (0.00–5.63) | 2.00 (0.90–5.00) | 2.00 (0.00–6.00) | 0.697  |
| Day 5                        | 1.10 (0.00–4.13) | 0.00 (0.00–4.00) | 2.00 (0.00–5.00) | 0.173  |
| Day 6                        | 0.00 (0.00–3.00) | 0.00 (0.00–2.00) | 0.00 (0.00–4.00) | 0.163  |
| Survival                     | 33 (72) | 18 (95) | 15 (56) | 0.004  |

Data are shown as median (range) or n (%).
Favorable outcome = cerebral performance category score 1–2; unfavorable outcome = score 3–5.
Catecholamine index (CAI) = hourly doses (µg/kg/min) of dopamine + dobutamine + (adrenaline + noradrenaline) × 100 (µg/kg/min).
CAI of each day was calculated at the highest point of the day.
BMI, body mass index; CPR, cardiopulmonary resuscitation; ROSC, return of spontaneous circulation; VF, ventricular fibrillation; VT, ventricular tachycardia.
count on days 2–6, lower SOFA score on days 4–6, higher neutrophil count on day 1, lower neutrophil count on day 6 and lower NLR on day 6 than patients with unfavorable neurological outcomes (Fig. 2). Univariate analysis of neurological outcomes found the following factors to be statistically significant: lymphocyte count on days 2–6, age, SOFA score without GCS on day 1, and time to ROSC. Multivariate analysis of individual lymphocyte counts on days 2–6, age, SOFA score without GCS at admission and time to ROSC showed that each of the lymphocyte counts on days 2–4 and 6 were associated with neurological outcome, whereas lymphocyte counts on day 5 were not associated with neurological outcome (Table 4).

Receiver operating characteristic curves showed a high accuracy for each lymphocyte count and neutrophil–lymphocyte ratio on days 2–6 to predict neurological outcome (Table 5).

On days 2–4, lymphocyte count showed higher accuracy for prediction of neurological outcome than NLR. On days 5 and 6, NLR showed higher accuracy for prediction of neurological outcome than lymphocyte count. In univariate and multivariate linear regression analyses, SOFA score on day 1 was independently associated with lymphocyte count on days 1, 2, 5 and 6. In univariate linear regression analysis, CAI on day 4 was associated with lymphocyte count on day 4. The CAI on day 5 and time to ROSC were associated with lymphocyte count on day 5, although neither variable was associated with lymphocyte count in multivariate linear regression analysis (Table 6).

**DISCUSSION**

In this retrospective study, we showed that lymphocyte count on days 2-4 and 6 were associated with neurological outcome, but not with mortality, in PCAS patients. Receiver operating characteristic curves of lymphocyte count on days 2–6 were able to predict neurological outcome, with lymphocyte count on day 4 showing the highest accuracy to predict neurological outcome.

Lymphopenia might be caused by lymphocytic apoptosis. Lymphopenia sometimes occurs in patients with sepsis and stroke, which have similar features to PCAS. Lymphocytic apoptosis is a possible mechanism to explain the lymphopenia in both sepsis and stroke. Lymphocytic apoptosis is a possible mechanism to explain the lymphopenia in both sepsis and stroke. Lymphocytic apoptosis is a possible mechanism to explain the lymphopenia in both sepsis and stroke.
apoptosis could also occur pathophysiologically in PCAS. In fact, lymphocyte apoptosis in the spleen has been identified in an animal PCAS model. Therefore, apoptosis of lymphocytes might be the reason for the lymphopenia observed in the PCAS patients in our study.

Catecholamines might influence lymphocyte count in PCAS patients. One study reported that catecholamines are involved in proliferation of lymphocytes, whereas another study reported that catecholamines are involved in apoptosis of lymphocytes. In this study, extrinsic catecholamines were not independently associated with neurological outcome or lymphocyte count. However, it has been previously reported that intrinsic catecholamines are elevated in PCAS patients. Evaluation of the association between plasma catecholamine concentration and lymphocyte counts might reveal the mechanism of lymphopenia in PCAS patients.

### Table 4. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses of neurological outcome among Japanese patients with coma after cardiac arrest (n = 46)

| Lymphocyte count, 100/μL | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
|                          | Odds ratio          | 95% CI               | P-value |
|                          |                     |                      |         |
| Day 1                    | 0.877               | 0.727–1.059          | 0.172   |
| Day 2                    | 0.824               | 0.693–0.980          | 0.029   |
| Day 3                    | 0.770               | 0.593–1.000          | 0.050   |
| Day 4                    | 0.432               | 0.200–0.935          | 0.033   |
| Day 5                    | 0.769               | 0.618–0.957          | 0.019   |
| Day 6                    | 0.683               | 0.510–0.914          | 0.010   |
| SOFA score without GCS on day 1 | 1.224     | 1.011–1.482          | 0.039   |
| Age                      | 1.047               | 1.008–1.087          | 0.019   |
| Time from collapse to ROSC (min) | 1.044     | 1.005–1.084          | 0.025   |
| Initial rhythm of VT/VF  | 0.628               | 0.189–2.085          | 0.447   |

Sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA) score without Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) on day 1 was measured as the worst data within the first 24 h, excluding GCS score.

Model 1 included lymphocyte count on day 2, SOFA score on day 1, age and time from collapse to return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC), for which the P-values were < 0.1. Model 2 included lymphocyte count on day 3, SOFA score on day 1, age and time from collapse to ROSC, for which the P-values were < 0.1. Model 3 included lymphocyte count on day 4, SOFA score on day 1, age and time from collapse to ROSC, for which the P-values were < 0.1. Model 4 included lymphocyte count on day 5, SOFA score on day 1, age and time from collapse to ROSC, for which the P-values were < 0.1. Model 5 included lymphocyte count on day 6, SOFA score on day 1, age and time from collapse to ROSC, for which the P-values were < 0.1.

CI, confidence interval.
An association between lymphopenia and mortality in sepsis patients was also observed in a previous study. However, in the current study, lymphopenia was not associated with mortality in PCAS patients, despite the PCAS-induced cytokinemia, as is seen in sepsis. This suggests that immunosuppression accompanied by lymphopenia might be fatal in sepsis, which is related to infection, but is not fatal in PCAS, which is not related to infection at admission.

In our study, lymphocyte count on days 2–6 showed higher accuracy in predicting neurological outcome than that on day 1. There were five patients who had unfavorable neurological outcomes and a higher lymphocyte count than 675/μL (the median value for all patients) on day 1. Lymphocyte count in the subacute phase tended to decrease in four of these patients. This phenomenon might be one of the reasons lymphocyte count on day 4 showed a higher accuracy to predict neurological outcome than that on day 1.

In our study, NLR showed the highest accuracy of days 2–6 to predict neurological outcome, despite the small sample size. Third, our hospital is a tertiary care hospital. Hence, it is possible that only patients who are expected to have a favorable outcome with more intensive treatment are transported to our hospital for intensive care. This could be a reason for the more favorable neurological outcome in non-ventricular fibrillation patients in our study compared with previous studies, and for the absence of association between neurological outcomes and initial cardiac rhythm. Finally, as our facility can measure neutrophil and lymphocyte counts only during the daytime, we missed several data points, and day 1 data were not always measured immediately after admission. This could be a reason why we could not find an association between lymphocyte and neutrophil counts on day 1 and mortality, as was shown in a previous study. Prospective multicenter studies are required to resolve these issues.

**CONCLUSION**

Lymphopenia on days 2–4 and 6 after cardiac arrest is associated with poor neurological outcomes, but not mortality. Lymphocyte count on day 4 showed the highest accuracy of days 1–6 to predict neurological outcome in patients with coma after cardiac arrest.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We are grateful to all the physicians who participated in this study for their contribution to its successful completion.
Table 6. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses of lymphocyte count among Japanese patients with coma after cardiac arrest (n = 46)

| Analysis of lymphocyte count on day 1 | Univariate linear regression | Multivariate linear regression |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| CAI on day 1                         | -0.097 0.434 -0.154 to 0.348 | -0.236 0.132 -0.551 to 0.079 |
| Age                                  | -0.013 0.822 -0.135 to 0.108  | -0.161 0.379 -0.530 to 0.209 |
| Time from collapse to ROSC (min)     | -0.035 0.306 -0.104 to 0.034  | -0.015 0.714 -0.096 to 0.066 |
| SOFA score without GCS on day 1      | -0.521 0.016 -0.934 to -0.107 | -0.439 0.041 -0.860 to -0.019 |

| Analysis of lymphocyte count on day 2 | CAI on day 2 0.012 | Age -0.012 | Time from collapse to ROSC (min) -0.022 | SOFA score without GCS on day 1 -0.403 |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| CAI on day 2                         | 0.126 0.565 -0.319 to 0.571 | Age -0.075 | Time from collapse to ROSC (min) -0.012 | SOFA score without GCS on day 1 -0.403 |
| Age                                  | -0.021 0.724 -0.139 to 0.098  | Time from collapse to ROSC (min) -0.036 | SOFA score without GCS on day 1 -0.629 |
| Time from collapse to ROSC (min)     | -0.036 0.566 -0.165 to 0.093  | SOFA score without GCS on day 1 -0.629 |
| SOFA score without GCS on day 1      | -0.629 0.024 -1.168 to -0.090 |  |

| Analysis of lymphocyte count on day 3 | CAI on day 3 0.124 | Age -0.012 | Time from collapse to ROSC (min) -0.022 | SOFA score without GCS on day 1 -0.403 |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| CAI on day 3                         | 0.214 0.386 -0.289 to 0.714 | Age -0.075 | Time from collapse to ROSC (min) -0.022 | SOFA score without GCS on day 1 -0.403 |
| Age                                  | -0.012 0.831 -0.132 to 0.107  | Time from collapse to ROSC (min) -0.022 | SOFA score without GCS on day 1 -0.403 |
| SOFA score without GCS on day 1      | -0.403 0.355 -1.291 to 0.481 |  |

| Analysis of lymphocyte count on day 4 | CAI on day 4 -0.293 | Time from collapse to ROSC (min) -0.044 | Age -0.075 | SOFA score without GCS on day 1 -0.087 |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|
| CAI on day 4                         | -0.293 0.081 -0.612 to 0.039 | Time from collapse to ROSC (min) -0.044 | Age -0.075 | SOFA score without GCS on day 1 -0.087 |
| Time from collapse to ROSC (min)     | -0.044 0.133 -0.103 to 0.015  | Age -0.075 | SOFA score without GCS on day 1 -0.087 |
| Age                                  | -0.075 0.052 -0.150 to 0.001  | SOFA score without GCS on day 1 -0.087 |
| SOFA score without GCS on day 1      | -0.087 0.764 -0.684 to 0.510 |  |

| Analysis of lymphocyte count on day 5 | CAI on day 5 -0.293 | Time from collapse to ROSC (min) -0.057 | Age -0.076 | SOFA score without GCS on day 1 -0.528 |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|
| CAI on day 5                         | -0.293 0.066 -0.607 to 0.021 | Time from collapse to ROSC (min) -0.057 | Age -0.076 | SOFA score without GCS on day 1 -0.528 |
| Time from collapse to ROSC (min)     | -0.057 0.100 -0.126 to 0.012  | Age -0.076 | SOFA score without GCS on day 1 -0.528 |
| Age                                  | -0.076 0.103 -0.169 to 0.016  | SOFA score without GCS on day 1 -0.528 |
| SOFA score without GCS on day 1      | -0.528 0.010 -0.921 to -0.134 |  |

| Analysis of lymphocyte count on day 6 | CAI on day 6 -0.253 | Time from collapse to ROSC (min) -0.039 | Age -0.076 | SOFA score without GCS on day 1 -0.528 |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|
| CAI on day 6                         | -0.253 0.166 -0.618 to 0.111 | Time from collapse to ROSC (min) -0.039 | Age -0.076 | SOFA score without GCS on day 1 -0.528 |
| Time from collapse to ROSC (min)     | -0.039 0.262 -0.108 to 0.030  | Age -0.076 | SOFA score without GCS on day 1 -0.528 |
| Age                                  | -0.076 0.078 -0.162 to 0.009  | SOFA score without GCS on day 1 -0.528 |
| SOFA score without GCS on day 1      | -0.528 0.013 -0.935 to -0.120 |  |

Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score without Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) on day 1 was measured as the worst data within the first 24 h, excluding GCS score.

Catecholamine index (CAI) = hourly doses (µg/kg/min) of dopamine + dobutamine + (adrenaline + noradrenaline) ∗ 100 (µg/kg/min).

CAI of each day was calculated at the highest point of the day.

B, partial regression coefficient; CI, confidence interval.
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