Differential Phosphoproteomics of Fibroblast Growth Factor Signaling: Identification of Src Family Kinase-Mediated Phosphorylation Events
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Introduction

The fibroblast growth factor (FGF) family of ligands and receptors executes a wide range of biological functions in development, tissue repair, angiogenesis and cellular homoeostasis.1–3 Dysregulation of the FGF signaling axis by a diversity of mechanisms is a frequent feature of a range of different tumor cell types4,5 and, as a result, the FGF pathway is the target for the development of small molecule kinase inhibitors and other forms of therapeutic intervention.2 However, to better inform the development of therapeutic interventions in the FGF pathway, and analyze their molecular actions, a complete understanding of the architecture of the downstream processes activated by FGF signaling is required. In this study we apply a differential phosphoproteomics approach to the identification of phosphorylation events mediated during activation of FGFR signaling.

Current understanding of the FGF signaling pathway holds that, following receptor dimerization by ligand engagement, activation of the intrinsic receptor tyrosine kinase leads to phosphorylation of key tyrosine residues on the receptor itself and the receptor-associated docking protein FRS2.6,7 These phosphorylation events provide the basis for recruitment of effector proteins bearing sequence-specific phosphotyrosine recognition domains, which leads to further recruitment of downstream effectors and activation of intracellular signaling processes. The most prominent pathways associated with this mechanism are activation of the Ras/Raf/ERK pathway via recruitment of the adaptor protein Grb2 and the RasGEF SOS8,9 activation of the PI3 kinase/PDK/Akt pathway via recruitment of the adaptor protein Gab110,11 and activation of phospholipase C via direct recruitment, via its SH2 domain, to the receptor.12 Recent studies have provided further elaboration of this core pathway. A targeted mass spectrometric analysis of proteins that interact with activated FGFRs13 identified a plethora of proteins associated with intracellular vesicular trafficking. One such partner, the small GTPase Rab5, has been shown to be crucial for sustaining propagation of the Ras/Raf/ERK, but not the PI3K/PDK/Akt signal. Additionally the non receptor tyrosine kinase c-Src has been shown to be rapidly recruited to the activated receptor complex, and Src kinase activity is required for both the initiation of FGF signaling and termination of the Ras/Raf/ERK pathway but not the PI3K/PDK/Akt pathway.14 These findings point to the existence of further, as yet uncharacterized, mediators of FGF signaling that are targets for Src kinase-mediated phosphorylation and functionally implicated in FGF trafficking of activated FGFRs.
During recent years, phosphoproteomic studies have successfully identified large numbers of phosphorylation sites. This success is due in large part to efficient enrichment techniques for phosphopeptides and improvements in mass spectrometric instrumentation. To obtain more biologically informative results from these types of studies, some form of quantitation can be applied. In particular, stable isotope labeling with amino acids in cell culture (SILAC) is capable of quantifying even relatively subtle changes in protein levels. Recently, software has been designed specifically to both improve SILAC data analysis and to cope with the large data sets generated from these experiments.

In this study, we set out to identify further direct and indirect phosphorylation events on protein targets for Src family kinases (SFKs) downstream of FGFR using ultrahigh resolution mass spectrometry techniques. Previous proteomic studies of the FGFR tyrosine kinase pathway have relied on overexpression of FGFR. Rather than overexpress FGFR, we chose to combine FGF stimulation with pervanadate treatment to maximize the levels of tyrosine phosphorylated substrates. A similar approach using pervanadate treatment has been used in previous phosphoproteomics studies. We applied SILAC combined with chemical inhibition of SFK activity to search for phosphorylation events that are dependent on SFK activity in FGF stimulated cells. SILAC has previously been used to study Src-substrates in cells overexpressing Src, and in Src-transformed cells, and using chemical inhibition of SFK activity downstream of the PDGF receptor. However, much of the data obtained identifies proteins as tyrosine phosphorylated without localizing the exact site of phosphorylation, and information regarding regulation on specific tyrosine phosphorylation sites of Src substrates is lacking.

Here, we identify 80 SFK-dependent phosphorylation events on 40 target proteins, including known Src substrates, downstream kinases and adaptor proteins. To illustrate further application of SILAC techniques to characterization of specific SFK-mediated phosphorylation events, we used a more targeted approach to carry out high coverage phosphopeptide mapping of one Src substrate protein, the multifunctional adaptor Dok1, and to identify SFK-dependent Dok1 binding partners. Collectively, these results significantly expand the range of proteins implicated in the FGF signaling pathway and reveal potential new targets for therapeutic intervention in FGF and Src signaling.

**Experimental Procedures**

**Cell Culture.** Mouse NIH 3T3s cells were cultured at 37 °C, 5% CO2 in DMEM containing 2 mM l-Glutamine (Lonza), supplemented with 0.1 mg/mL streptomycin, 0.2 U/mL penicillin (Sigma), and 10% v/v donor bovine serum (Labtech International). Human embryonic kidney epithelial 293T cells were cultured as above; however, donor bovine serum was substituted for 10% v/v fetal calf serum (Labtech International).

**SILAC Labeling.** For SILAC labeling, NIH 3T3 cells were cultured in amino acid deficient DMEM (Thermo) supplemented with either 0.1 mg/mL isotopically normal l-Lysine and l-Arginine (Sigma) or “heavy” 13C6 l-Lysine and 13C6 15N4 l-Arginine (Goss Scientific), 10% dialyzed FBS (Thermo), 2 mM l-Glutamine, 0.1 mg/mL streptomycin, and 0.2 U/mL penicillin. 293T cells were cultured as above, with the addition of 0.5 mg/mL proline (Sigma) in the media.

**Immunoprecipitation.** For the phosphotyrosine immunoprecipitation (IP), agarose-conjugated antiphosphotyrosine (clone 4G10) antibody (Upstate) was used. Whole cell lysates (WCL) were initially precleared with protein A agarose beads for 30 min at 4 °C (25 mg/100 µL beads) before mixing with antibody-conjugated beads (25 mg WCL/100 µL beads). Following overnight incubation at 4 °C, beads were washed six times in a 100-fold excess of ice-cold PBS. To address reproducibility, four replicates of the SILAC phosphotyrosine IPs were carried out. For Myc-Dok1 IPs, Myc-Dok1 antibody 9E10 (Roche) was conjugated to Protein G Dynabeads, as per manufacturers’ instructions (Invitrogen; 10 µg Ab/25 µL Dynabeads), prior to addition of cell lysate. WCLs (10 mg) from the heavy and light cell populations were immunoprecipitated separately. WCLs were mixed at 4 °C with conjugated beads (10 mg/170 µL conjugated beads) for 1 h and beads were washed twice in a 20-fold excess of lysis buffer. Beads from both “heavy” and “light” IPs were then mixed and washed a further three times, again in a 20-fold excess of lysis buffer. Following addition of reduced sample buffer, protein samples were run on 4–12% Bis-Tris gels (Invitrogen) and Coomassie

**Cloning and Transfection.** The human open reading frame for Dok1 was supplied in a Gateway (Invitrogen) pDONR vector from Open Biosystems. The insert encoding Dok1 was cloned into the Gateway compatible mammalian expression vector, Myc-PRK5 (gift from Laura Machesky) using Gateway cloning. Cells were transfected using Genejuice (Novagen) according to manufacturers’ instructions and allowed to overexpress transfected protein for 48 h.

**Cell Treatment and Cell Lysis.** Following overnight serum starvation in media containing 0.1% serum, cells were either pretreated with 25 µM SU6656 for 1 h, followed by addition of 2 mM sodium pervanadate for 20 min, and then 20 ng/mL FGF2 for 30 min, or treated as above in the absence of SU6656. Prior to lysis, cells were washed twice in cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and then lysed at 4 °C in 1 mL lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100 (w/v), 1 mM NaVO4, 50 mM NaF, 25 mM β-glycerophosphate and 1 tablet of protease inhibitor mixture (Roche Molecular Diagnostics) per 10 mL of buffer) per 175-cm2 flask of cells. After incubation on ice for 30 min, the lysates were centrifuged at 15 000 × g at 4 °C for 20 min. Total protein concentrations of the cleared lysates were then determined using the Coomassie (Bradford) Protein Assay Kit (Pierce Biotechnology Inc.), according to the manufacturers’ instructions.

**Western Blotting.** Whole cell lysates were run on 4–12% Bis-Tris gels (Invitrogen). Protein was transferred to FL polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Millipore Corp.) at 100 V for 1 h 15 min. To block the membranes they were washed in methanol and allowed to dry. Primary antibodies were incubated with the membrane overnight at 4 °C in Odyssey Blocking Buffer (Licor Biosciences) containing 0.1% Tween-20. The blot was washed three times for 15 min in PBS/0.1% Tween-20 (PBS-T) and probed with the IRDye conjugated secondary antibody (Licor Biosciences) diluted in Odyssey Blocking Buffer/0.1% Tween-20/0.01% SDS for 1 h at room temperature, in the dark. The membrane was washed three times in PBS-T, followed by a final wash in PBS (no Tween 20). Membranes were visualized using fluorescence detection on the Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (Licor Biosciences). Primary antibodies used in this study were obtained from Santa Cruz (FRS2, ERK, ERK pY204) and Cell Signaling Technology (FGFR1 pY537/pY563, FRS2 pY196, Src, Src pY416, AKT, and AKT pT380).

**Supplementary Material**

- **Figure S1:** Western blot showing the expression of Dok1 in 3T3 cells transfected with empty vector or Dok1
- **Figure S2:** Western blot showing the expression of Dok1 in 293T cells transfected with empty vector or Dok1
- **Table S1:** Summary of protein expression levels for Dok1
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stained. Two replicates of each Myc-Dok1 IP were carried out and samples from each IP were analyzed in duplicate.

**Trypsin Digestion and Phosphopeptide Enrichment of Samples.** Following the phosphotyrosine IPs, the agaro-conjugated beads were resuspended in 8 M urea, 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate. The beads were then heated at 95 °C for 5 min and eluted proteins were removed in the supernatant after centrifugation. The protein mixtures were diluted to 1 M urea, reduced (4 mM DTT) and alkylated (8 mM iodoacetamide) in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate prior to overnight in-gel trypsin digestion (1:100 enzyme:protein; Trypsin Gold; Promega, Madison, WI).

Following the Myc-Dok1 IPs, excised bands from Coomassie-stained gels were destained, reduced (10 mM DTT) and alkylated (55 mM iodoacetamide) in 25 mM ammonium bicarbonate prior to overnight in-gel trypsin digestion (12.5 ng/µL; Trypsin Gold; Promega, Madison, WI).

Digested samples were acidified by addition of trifluoroacetic acid (0.5% final volume). Peptides from the anti-pY IPs were desalted (Peptide concentration and desalting Macrotrap; Michrom Bioresources, Pleasanton, CA) and dried by vacuum centrifugation. Phosphopeptides were enriched using TiO2 as described.23 The resulting peptide mixtures were analyzed by liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC–MS/MS).

**Mass Spectrometry.** Online liquid chromatography was performed by use of a Micro AS autosampler and Surveyor MS pump (Thermo Electron, Bremen, Germany). Peptides were loaded onto a 75 µm (internal diameter) Integrafrit (New Objective, Woburn, MA) C8 resolving column (length 10 cm) and separated over a 40 min gradient from 0% to 40% acetonitrile (Baker, Holland). Peptides eluted directly (~300 nL/min) via a Triversa nanospray source (Advion Biosciences, Ithaca, NY) into a 7 T LTQ FT mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The mass spectrometer alternated between a full FT-MS scan (m/z 395–1600), subsequent CID MS/MS scans of the five most abundant ions, and, if a neutral loss of 98 Da from the precursor ion was observed in the CID mass spectrum, an MS3 scan of the neutral loss ion. Survey scans were acquired in the ICR cell with a resolution of 100 000 at m/z 400. Precursor ions were isolated and subjected to CID in the linear ion trap. Isolation width was 3 Th. Only multiply charged precursor ions were selected for MS/MS. CID was performed with helium gas at a normalized collision energy of 35%. Precursor ions were activated for 30 ms. Data acquisition was controlled by Xcalibur 2.0 software.

**Identification and Quantification of Peptide and Proteins.** Mass spectra were processed using the MaxQuant software (version 1.0.12.31).22,32 Data were searched, using MASCOT version 2.2 (Matrix Science), against a concatenated database consisting of the mouse or human IPI database supplemented with common contaminants (including keratins, trypsin, BSA) and the reversed-sequence version of the same database. The mouse database contained 111 130 protein entries (55 565 of which were reversed-sequence versions). The human database contained 148 380 protein entries (74 190 of which were reversed-sequence versions). The search parameters were: minimum peptide length 6, peptide tolerance 30 ppm, mass tolerance 0.5 Da, cleavage enzyme trypsin/P, and a total of 2 missed cleavages were allowed. Carbamidomethyl (C) was set as a fixed modification and oxidation (M) and acetylation (Protein N-term) were set as variable modifications. When searching for phosphopeptides, Phospho (ST) and Phospho (Y) were also set as variable modifications. The appropriate SILAC labels were selected and the maximum labeled amino acids was set to 3.

For identification of phosphorylation sites, all experiments (phosphotyrosine IP and Myc-Dok1 IP) were filtered to have a peptide false-discovery rate (FDR) below 1%. The Myc-Dok1 IP experiments were further required to have a protein FDR below 1%. Within the MaxQuant output, phosphorylation sites were considered to be localized correctly if the localization score (PTM score) was at least 0.80 (80%). Further parameters and settings are detailed in the Supplementary Methods.

**Phosphorylation Site Localization using SloMo.** DTA files were created from the raw data using Bioworks 3.3.1 (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.). The DTA files were searched using Mascot with the search parameters as described above. Mascot search results were exported as a pepxml file and this file was analyzed using the SloMo software.33,34

**Results and Discussion**

**Identification of Src Family Kinase-Mediated Phosphorylation Events, in the Presence of FGF2.** Activation of fibroblast growth factor receptors (FGFRs) by FGF2 initiates a cascade of reversible phosphorylation events on both the receptor and on downstream effector proteins.6 Although the core signaling events during FGF signaling are well established, relatively little has been published on the global analysis of changes in protein levels and phosphorylation events.13,24,25 Our aim was to generate a data set of tyrosine phosphorylated proteins that could be mapped to the FGF signaling pathway.

We have chosen to focus specifically on a network of proteins that may be involved in FGFR trafficking and endocytosis: the Src-mediated network. Src family kinases (SFKs) have long been implicated in signaling by a variety of receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs), including FGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor (IGF-1R), and hepatocyte growth factor (HGF).34 In FGF signaling, upon activation of receptor by FGF2, Src is recruited via the adaptor protein, FRS2 and also becomes activated.14,35 Active Src then plays a role in regulation of the activation and transport of FGFR from and to the plasma membrane via the Src-regulated endosomal-actin pathway.14,36 Considering the role of Src in trafficking of FGFRs, we decided to further characterize SFK-mediated phosphorylation events that may be downstream of FGF2, using a SILAC quantitative phosphoproteomics approach coupled with chemical inhibition of SFK activity.

Prior to FGF2 stimulation, cells were treated with sodium pervanadate to inhibit tyrosine phosphatase activity, thereby increasing the levels of transiently phosphorylated phosphotyrosine proteins.27 As phosphorylation events are the result of a balance between kinase and phosphatase activities, inhibition of phosphatase activity will allow a history of kinase-mediated phosphorylation events to remain during the course of the experiment. Activation of FGFR, as measured by phosphorylation on residues 653 and 654, is rapid in response to FGF2 and peaks between 3 and 10 min (Figure 1). Phosphorylation of well-characterized downstream target proteins of FGF, such as FRS2, Src, AKT and ERK peaks between 10 and 30 min. We chose to stimulate NIH 3T3 cells with FGF2 for 30 min, in the presence or absence of the selective Src family kinase inhibitor, SU6656.37 in order to investigate phosphorylation events downstream of FGFR-dependent phosphorylation of SFKs. This was followed by quantitative analysis of the SFK-dependent phosphorylation events. Without overexpressing...
FGFR, as has been done previously to study the phospho-proteome of FGF signaling, and in the absence of pervanadate treatment, levels of phosphopeptides remained too low for mass spectrometric detection (data not shown).

We performed four independent experiments, three utilizing both heavy arginine and lysine SILAC labels and one utilizing only heavy lysine. From these experiments we identified 711 (redundant) peptides, including 430 (redundant) phosphotyrosine peptides (Supplementary Table S1, Supporting Information). Representative mass spectra for two of the identified phosphopeptides are shown in Figure 2 (see Supporting Information for additional spectra). Given the complexity of the starting sample (mouse whole cell lysate) and the variability introduced during the phosphotyrosine IP and phosphopeptide enrichment steps, we expected considerable variation between experiments. The overlap between the four experiments is shown in Figure S1 (Supporting Information): 50% of the phosphotyrosine peptides were identified in 2 or more experiments, while 30% were identified in 3 or more experiments. A total of 131 unique tyrosine phosphorylation sites were identified from 63 distinct proteins. Of the total 131 phosphotyrosine sites, 6 (5%) are novel, unpublished sites according to the PhosphoSitePlus database at www.phosphosite.org.

Of the peptides identified, a total of 506 were assigned a SILAC ratio in MaxQuant, the remainder were not, due to peptide levels being undetectable in one of the cell populations or due to the peptide not containing a lysine (singly labeled experiment). The three experiments utilizing both arginine and lysine SILAC labels gave a total of 433 phosphopeptide identifications, of which 303 were tyrosine phosphorylated and were assigned a SILAC ratio (Supplementary Table S2, Supporting Information). The overlap between these three experiments for the 303 phosphotyrosine peptides (139 nonredundant phosphotyrosine peptides) is shown in Figure S2 (Supporting Information): again, 50% of the phosphotyrosine peptides were identified in two or more experiments and 25% were identified in all three experiments.

A total of 80 tyrosine phosphorylation sites show a significant (p < 0.05) increase or decrease in abundance in the phosphotyrosine immunoprecipitates due to the presence of the SFK inhibitor (Table 1). Several of the SFK-dependent tyrosine phosphorylation sites identified are on proteins known to be regulated by Src, including BAII2, ZO1, paxillin, caveolin, Dok1, EGF, Eps8, STAM2, cortactin, FAK1, PDGFRRa, p130Cas, p120 catenin, tensin, PLCγ, and SHIP2. This validates the strength of our approach for identifying Src-targets. For many of these proteins, the specific site(s) of tyrosine phosphorylation have not been previously identified as SFK phosphorylation sites according to the Human Protein Reference Database.

Furthermore, our data agrees with previous phosphoproteomic studies that have examined the phosphotyrosine peptide profile in 3T3 mouse fibroblasts expressing a constitutively active Src mutant, SrcY529D in that we identify several Src-dependent phosphotyrosine sites that were also frequently identified in these Src-transformed cells. These include: p120 catenin pY96, pY221, pY228, pY257, pY280; p130Cas pY132; Dok1 pY295; cortactin pY215, and ZO1 pY1164, pY1177.

Ab1, CDV3, afadin, and LPP have been identified as possible Src substrates in a previous phosphoproteomic study using SILAC to compare tyrosine phosphorylated proteins in SW60-Src with those in SW60 cells. Our data provides further evidence that these proteins are Src substrates and also maps the specific phosphorylation sites on these proteins that are regulated by Src.

In addition, a large percentage (>30%) of the Src-dependent phosphotyrosine sites were found in proteins not previously reported to be regulated by Src. These include the proteins ABM1, CASL, Cadherin-1, Drebri-like protein, Emerin, PARD3, PEAR1, RBM3, and TERA.

We have not used overexpression of FGF2 in these studies; therefore, we do not expect complete overlap with previous phospho-proteomic studies of FGF signaling that have relied on overexpressed FGF. Ten FGR1-associated tyrosine phosphorylated proteins were identified in these overexpression studies of which four were identified here as SFK-substrates (cortactin, p130Cas, PLCγ and paxillin). Tyrosine phosphorylation of these proteins, therefore, appears to be both downstream of FGF receptor and SFK activation. An additional 20 proteins were shown to be enriched in a phosphotyrosine IP as a consequence of FGF stimulation, however, tyrosine phosphorylation sites were not identified. Of these additional proteins, we identify both FAK1 and SHIP2 as SFK-substrates, and also RSK2.

**Src Family Kinase-Dependent Dok1 Phosphorylation Sites.** Although the global SFK-dependent phosphorylation data set provides evidence for SFK-mediated tyrosine phosphorylation on a large number of proteins, it provides limited mapping of phosphorylation sites on individual proteins. This is not unexpected, given the limited dynamic range of proteomic experiments and can be addressed through targeted analysis of particular proteins, enabling identification of lower stoichiometry sites of phosphorylation. We apply this further step to localize additional sites of phosphorylation on the protein Dok1. We note, however, that this step in our approach can be used to further characterize any of the proteins showing SFK-dependency that we have identified in our global analysis.

We chose to study Dok1 based on its reported interaction and regulation by Src and also its role in tyrosine kinase receptor mediated signaling. Dok1 is an adaptor protein involved in protein complex formation during cell signaling and is a substrate for many protein tyrosine kinases. Dok1 is known to be phosphorylated by activated Src and also by activated EGF receptor and insulin receptor. Upon tyrosine phosphorylation, Dok1 recruits a variety of SH2-contain-
ing proteins and is therefore an attractive candidate for mediation of FGF signaling.

To map phosphorylation sites of Dok1 and determine which of these phosphorylation events are SFK-dependent, we carried out a SILAC experiment in which Myc-Dok1 was overexpressed and immunoprecipitated in 293T cells. Heavy and light populations were stimulated with sodium pervanadate and FGF2 (SU6656, as described above. Phosphopeptide enrichment was carried out on the immunoprecipitated Dok1.

The potential for distinct phosphorylation sites with distinct patterns of regulation on the same tryptic peptide is an important factor to take into account when analyzing data such as these. Initial examination of the MaxQuant output revealed several identical peptides with a number of possible phosphorylation sites. In one particular case, the peptide, LPSPPGPQELDSSPYAEPLDLSLR was separately identified as being phosphorylated on the first serine, the second serine, or the tyrosine residue. The SILAC ratios were highly variable between peptides where the phospho-group had been allocated the same localization, and after averaging ratios for each modification the data suggested that the SILAC ratios were all unchanged in the presence of SU6656. These data prompted us to use the SLoMo software to reanalyze the site-localization on these enriched Dok1 phosphopeptides. Analysis of the SLoMo output reveals that the three phosphopeptides are indeed present and are partially coeluting. Further manual inspection of the spectra reveals that only the phosphotyrosine version is differentially regulated in the presence of SU6656 (Figure 3).

A total of 12 distinct sites of phosphorylation (4 serines, and 8 tyrosines) on Dok1 were identified. According to the PhosphositePlus database, all but one has been published

Figure 2. Representative mass spectra for identification and site localization of tyrosine phosphorylation. (a) Afadin is phosphorylated at pY203. (b) Catenin delta-1 is phosphorylated at pY865. Peptides are labeled with 13C(6),15N(4) Arg and 13C(6) Lys. pY indicates phosphotyrosine.
| Protein | Phosphopeptide | Phosphosite(s) | SILAC ratio | % variability |
|---------|---------------|----------------|-------------|---------------|
| ABI1    | NTPyKTLEPVKPTVNDpYMTSPAR | pY197, pY212 | 0.083       | 56.942        |
|         | TLEPVKPTVNDpYMTSPAR         |               | 0.561       | 79.405        |
| ABLM1   | STQSGINSPyVpYSR             |               | 0.195       | 85.353        |
| AFAD    | LAAEpyKDMpETSFTR            |               | 0.239       | 85.353        |
|         | EpyYTFpPAK                  |               | 0.482       | 41.237        |
| AHNK    | SQEEREKypYpQLER             |               | 0.202       | 43.666        |
| BAIP2   | VKGEpYpDVTPMK              |               | 0.316       |               |
|         | pY197, pY212                |               | 0.561       | 79.405        |
|         | pY212                       |               | 0.561       | 79.405        |
|         | LSP5p1HSNTLPVLR             |               | 0.164       |               |
|         | PQLQGApGPNpQFQSPPAK         |               | 0.223       |               |
|         | GLSSSHPyVpYDVPVSPK          |               | 0.310       | 57.77         |
|         | RPPGpLypYpIDVPV             |               | 0.326       | 23.664        |
| CASL    | LpYpYPNVpSQASR              |               | 0.352       | 38.488        |
| CDH11   | YVDSEGEpLpH7pYpIDVPV        |               | 0.347       | 3.108         |
|         | KDPKepYpYMQR                |               | 0.265       | 15.350        |
| CDV3    | KTPGpPpLypYSDTQLpSQSTAK     |               | 0.143       | 33.332        |
|         | LQLNDpYpFAVLNQP             |               | 0.162       |               |
| CTND1   | LpNGpDHLPpYSTIPR            |               | 0.950       | 32.209        |
| DOK1    | TYppVpQPpDLPGLpSpYpAPL1pYpAPLSLpYSL | pY295 | 0.579 | 19.218 |
|         | E41LP3                      |               | 0.353       | 26.578        |
|         | FpYFTFPASK                  |               | 0.482       | 41.237        |
|         | SQEELREEKVpYQLER            |               | 0.202       | 43.666        |
|         | YRPSMEpYGR                 |               | 0.259       | 85.353        |
|         | QDVpYGPQPQV                 |               | 0.254       | 30.310        |
|         | FHPEpYGLEDQQR               |               | 0.289       | 60.708        |
|         | QQSSHSpYDSTLFPLIDR          |               | 0.116       | 45.682        |
|         | SLDDNypYpSTLNERGHDHR        |               | 0.429       | 35.851        |
|         | ESTSFQpDVQpAVGPSvpYQK       |               | 0.092       | 18.622        |
|         | QLTQpETqSpYGREPTAPVS         |               | 0.044       | 53.280        |
|         | pHNFpYPDGpGYHGYpHDyGPpGSDNpYpGLSR | pY221, pY228 | 0.173 | 23.705 |
|         | HYEDyGPpGSDNpYpGLSR         |               | 3.003       | 21.770        |
|         | YRBMSeppYGR                |               | 0.155       | 44.702        |
|         | QDVpYGPQPQV                 |               | 0.254       | 30.310        |
|         | FHPEpYGLEDQQR               |               | 0.289       | 60.708        |
|         | QQSSHSpYDSTLFPLIDR          |               | 0.116       | 45.682        |
|         | SLDDNypYpSTLNERGHDHR        |               | 0.429       | 35.851        |
|         | ESTSFQpDVQpAVGPSvpYQK       |               | 0.092       | 18.622        |
|         | QLTQpETqSpYGREPTAPVS         |               | 0.044       | 53.280        |
|         | pHNFpYPDGpGYHGYpHDyGPpGSDNpYpGLSR | pY221, pY228 | 0.173 | 23.705 |
|         | HYEDyGPpGSDNpYpGLSR         |               | 3.003       | 21.770        |
|         | YRBMSeppYGR                |               | 0.155       | 44.702        |
|         | QDVpYGPQPQV                 |               | 0.254       | 30.310        |
|         | FHPEpYGLEDQQR               |               | 0.289       | 60.708        |
|         | QQSSHSpYDSTLFPLIDR          |               | 0.116       | 45.682        |
|         | SLDDNypYpSTLNERGHDHR        |               | 0.429       | 35.851        |
|         | ESTSFQpDVQpAVGPSvpYQK       |               | 0.092       | 18.622        |
|         | QLTQpETqSpYGREPTAPVS         |               | 0.044       | 53.280        |
|         | pHNFpYPDGpGYHGYpHDyGPpGSDNpYpGLSR | pY221, pY228 | 0.173 | 23.705 |
|         | HYEDyGPpGSDNpYpGLSR         |               | 3.003       | 21.770        |
|         | YRBMSeppYGR                |               | 0.155       | 44.702        |
|         | QDVpYGPQPQV                 |               | 0.254       | 30.310        |
|         | FHPEpYGLEDQQR               |               | 0.289       | 60.708        |
|         | QQSSHSpYDSTLFPLIDR          |               | 0.116       | 45.682        |
|         | SLDDNypYpSTLNERGHDHR        |               | 0.429       | 35.851        |
|         | ESTSFQpDVQpAVGPSvpYQK       |               | 0.092       | 18.622        |
|         | QLTQpETqSpYGREPTAPVS         |               | 0.044       | 53.280        |
|         | pHNFpYPDGpGYHGYpHDyGPpGSDNpYpGLSR | pY221, pY228 | 0.173 | 23.705 |
|         | HYEDyGPpGSDNpYpGLSR         |               | 3.003       | 21.770        |
|         | YRBMSeppYGR                |               | 0.155       | 44.702        |
|         | QDVpYGPQPQV                 |               | 0.254       | 30.310        |
|         | FHPEpYGLEDQQR               |               | 0.289       | 60.708        |
|         | QQSSHSpYDSTLFPLIDR          |               | 0.116       | 45.682        |
|         | SLDDNypYpSTLNERGHDHR        |               | 0.429       | 35.851        |
|         | ESTSFQpDVQpAVGPSvpYQK       |               | 0.092       | 18.622        |
|         | QLTQpETqSpYGREPTAPVS         |               | 0.044       | 53.280        |
|         | pHNFpYPDGpGYHGYpHDyGPpGSDNpYpGLSR | pY221, pY228 | 0.173 | 23.705 |
|         | HYEDyGPpGSDNpYpGLSR         |               | 3.003       | 21.770        |
|         | YRBMSeppYGR                |               | 0.155       | 44.702        |

**a** Variability (%) was calculated using the standard deviation of the natural logarithms of the SILAC ratios, multiplied by 100.
previously, the exception being Ser48. Out of the 12 identified phosphosites, 9 (Ser48, Tyr296, Tyr337, Tyr341, Tyr362, Tyr377, Tyr398, Tyr409, Tyr449) had a significantly reduced SILAC ratio ($p < 0.05$; Table 2), indicating that these particular phosphorylation sites within Dok1 are regulated via Src family kinases.

Although many sites of phosphorylation have been previously identified on Dok1, only three tyrosine residues (Y296, Y362, and Y449) have been reported to be direct sites of Src-mediated phosphorylation, with residue 362 described as a binding site for Src.\textsuperscript{46,50} We have identified these 3 tyrosine phosphorylation sites, together with a further 5 tyrosine residues whose phosphorylation is decreased in the presence of SU6656. In addition, the novel phosphoserine 48 residue that we have identified also shows SFK-dependency. Both Src-dependent phosphorylation sites of Dok1, and sites not previously identified as Src-dependent phosphorylation sites, have been implicated in recruitment of proteins.

![Figure 3. Partial co-elution of isobaric phosphopeptides. The Dok1 peptide LPSPPGQELLDSLPAAYEPLDLSLR is present in three different singly phosphorylated forms, with phosphorylation occurring at Ser281, Ser291, and Tyr296. The selected-ion chromatogram for the [M + 3H]$^+$ light precursor is shown. Comparison of the heavy/light ratios for the three versions indicates that only Tyr296 is Src-dependent.](image)

| Phosphosite(s) | Modified Peptide(s) | Localization Score (SLoMo) | Ratio H/L Count | Ratio H/L | % Variability |
|----------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|----------|---------------|
| Ser48          | LEFFDHKGSpSSGGGR     | 25.6                        | 2              | 0.377    | 3.308         |
| Tyr296         | LPSPPGQELLDSLPAAYEPLDLSLR | 75                          | 3              | 0.136    | 108.796       |
| Tyr337         | KKLpYWDLYEHAQQQLK     | 62.1                        | 2              | 0.033    | 38.622        |
| Tyr341         | KKLPLYWDLPYEAQQQLK    | 100.4                       | 10             | 0.018    | 76.261        |
| Tyr362         | EDP1YDPEGLAVPQGLVYDLPR | 142.5                       | 9              | 0.198    | 16.081        |
| Tyr377         | EDP1YDPEGLAVPQGLVYDLPR | 185.5                       | 5              | 0.099    | 142.837       |
| Tyr398         | VKEEGpYELPNATDDpYAEPVRPR | 30.6                       | 1              | 0.084    | 1              |
| Tyr409         | EEYELPNATDDpYAEPVRPR  | 79.6                        | 20             | 0.142    | 43.88         |
| Tyr449         | SSNAPspYSPQQKK        | 72.1                        | 21             | 0.167    | 118.322       |
| Tyr337;Tyr341  | KLpYWDLPYEAQQQLK      | One possibility             | 1              | 0.071    | 998           |
| Tyr362;Tyr377  | LTDPKEDLPYDEPEGLAVPQGLPVDLPR | 18.6                       | 4              | 0.030    | 60.981        |
| Tyr398;Tyr409  | VKEEGpYELPNATDDpYAEPVRPR | 34.1                       | 3              | 0.024    | 23.142        |

Peptides with unchanged SILAC ratios in the presence of SU6656

| Phosphosite(s) | Modified Peptide(s) | Localization Score (SLoMo) | Ratio H/L Count | Ratio H/L | % Variability |
|----------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|----------|---------------|
| Ser269         | ADpSHEGEVAEGKAGQGGHDLRAdpSHEGEVAEGK | One possibility             | 11             | 0.880    | 15.969        |
| Ser281         | LPSPPGQELLDSLPAAYEPLDLSLR | 39.7                        | 5              | 0.772    | 30.703        |
| Ser291         | LPSPPGQELLDSLPAAYEPLDLSLR | 84.2                        | 8              | 0.899    | 33.448        |

*SLoMo score = −10 × (log($p$). A score of 19 corresponds to a localization confidence with $p = 0.0126$.\textsuperscript{33}
of residue 362 is involved in Nck recruitment,48 and phosphorylation of Tyr296, Tyr315, Tyr362, Tyr398, and Tyr409, has been implicated in binding to Ras-GAP.48,51,52

Src Family Kinase-Dependent Dok1 Binding Partners. Using this targeted, quantitative SILAC method, not only have we identified SFK-dependent Dok1 phosphorylation sites, but, using the same IP sample we can also identify the SFK-dependency of Dok1 interactions with binding partners. In order to identify specific binding partners of Dok1 and to determine which proteins are present as a consequence of nonspecific interactions, we carried out a parallel SILAC experiment comparing untransfected cells with cells in which Myc-Dok1 was overexpressed. All cells were treated with sodium pervanadate and FGF2 as described above.

A total of 329 proteins were identified from the IP in which Myc-Dok1 transfected cells were compared to untransfected cells (Supplementary Table S3, Supporting Information). The false-discovery rate was controlled at below 1%. MaxQuant calculated SILAC ratios for 185 of these proteins. Proteins with SILAC ratios significantly different from the median, as calculated using MaxQuant (p < 0.05), were considered as enriched proteins in either cell population. Those proteins present equally in the transfected and untransfected samples or enriched in the untransfected cell population were considered as contaminants in further IP experiments. Table 3 shows the 25 proteins that were enriched in the 293T cells transfected with Dok1. Csk and NCK2, proteins known to interact with Dok1,53,54 showed a significantly increased abundance in the 293T-Dok1 cells, as measured by their SILAC ratio. In addition, Grb2, RasGAP, and PLCγ1, all known Dok1 binding partners,44 were enriched in the 293T-Dok1 cell population, as measured by the peak intensity. Calculation of SILAC ratios was not possible as no peptides from these proteins were identified in the untransfected cell population.

Of the identified proteins enriched in the 293T-Dok1 cells (~40%) are nuclear proteins that are involved in events such as transcription, splicing, DNA replication and nucleic acid metabolism. Although Dok1 is predominantly a cytoplasmic/membrane protein, it has also been shown that a proportion of it is found in the nucleus.50 The function of Dok1 in the nucleus has not yet been determined, but it may be that these nuclear proteins are linked to its nuclear function. Alternatively, these nuclear proteins may be binding nonspecifically to overexpressed Dok1.

Dok1 is known to function as an adaptor protein in insulin signaling and has recently been shown to have a role in energy metabolism, mediating adipocyte hypertrophy and obesity through modulation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ (PPAR-γ) phosphorylation.55 PPAR-γ is a nuclear receptor that mediates the metabolism of fatty acids and induces genes related to fatty acid metabolism. Antagonising PPAR-γ has been shown to result in a decrease in peroxiredoxin.56 Interestingly, we identified enriched fatty acid synthase (FAS), peroxiredoxin-1 (PRDX-1) and peroxiredoxin-2 (PRDX-2) as putative Dok1 interactors. It is tempting to speculate that these proteins may link Dok1 to PPAR-γ and their role in energy metabolism.

The Dok1 SILAC experiment in the presence and absence of SU6656, allowed us to identify Dok1 binding partners whose interaction with Dok1 was regulated by SFKs. A total of 341 proteins were identified (<1% FDR) (Supplementary Table S4, Supporting Information). The Dok1 protein itself had a SILAC ratio of 1.05 (heavy/light, where heavy was SU6656-treated). Of the known binding partners of Dok1, PLCγ1 and NCK2 were significantly enriched in the SU6656-treated cells, with SILAC ratios of 2.04 and 2.30, respectively (Table 4). The enrichment of PLCγ1 and NCK2 in the SU6656 treated cells indicates that the inhibition of SFK activity, and thus phosphorylation events mediated by SFKs, has caused an increase in the amount of these proteins bound to Dok1. RasGAP and Csk were not significantly enriched in either cell population (with SILAC ratios of 0.82 and 1.24, respectively), suggesting that these interactions are not SFK-dependent.

For each significant protein hit, their presence in the myc-Dok1 vs untransfected SILAC experiment was checked in order to see whether they may be a contaminant. Where a protein was not significantly enriched in the myc-Dok1 vs untransfected IP (Table 3), the protein was considered a contaminant
and removed from the final list (nine proteins). Table 4 shows the remaining 14 proteins that were significantly enriched in the SU6656 treated cells and the 4 proteins that were enriched in the non-SU6656 treated cells. A number of proteins are absent in the myc-Dok1 vs untransfected SILAC experiment (Table 4). In these cases, the possibility remains that the protein may not be a true Dok1 interacting partner, an important consideration when selecting proteins for further biological analysis.

The shuttling of Dok1 between the nucleus and cytoplasm has been shown to be dependent on Src-mediated tyrosine phosphorylation.50 Phosphorylation of Dok1 by Src causes accumulation of Dok1 in the cytoplasm, assumed to be caused by an inhibition of nuclear import.50 Interestingly, our data shows that, in the presence of the SFK inhibitor, SU6656, Dok1 bound to more nuclear proteins than in the absence of SU6656. The inhibition of nuclear import due to Src-phosphorylation is presumably due to a lack of interaction with nuclear import transporters. Our data shows an increased amount of Dok1-bound transportin-1, a nuclear import protein, in the absence of Src-induced phosphorylation, consistent with Src-dependent inhibition of nuclear import. However, we note that transportin-1 was not identified as a Dok1-binding protein in the previous experiment; therefore, we cannot be certain that this is a true Dok1 interactor.

IRS4 and SHIP2 were also found enriched in SU6656 treated cells, suggesting that they may bind to Dok1 directly or indirectly in a SFK-dependent manner. Dok1 has not previously been linked to either protein, although all three proteins play a role in insulin signaling47,48,57,58 and both IRS4 and SHIP2 play a role in FGF/FGFR signaling.24 Although a direct link between Dok1 and IRS4 has not been previously reported, IRS4 is known to interact with CRKL, which is also a known binding partner of Dok1.59 The quantitative proteomics approach described here has not only identified additional putative, binding partners of Dok1 but has also provided information about the regulation of these interactions by SFKs. Future studies will be needed to investigate the role of the novel SFK-dependent phosphorylation sites in these interactions.

Conclusions

We have implemented a differential phosphoproteomics approach for dissection of the SFK-mediated interaction network within the FGF signaling pathway. We have focused on those phosphorylation sites which are dependent on activation of SFK activity and then on Dok1, with the identification of both SFK-dependent and independent sites of phosphorylation, and SFK-dependent Dok1 binding partners. The study has revealed the phosphorylation of multiple downstream kinases and adaptor/scaffolding proteins and has identified a significant number of proteins potentially involved in FGF signaling for further functional scrutiny. We note that the final protein-targeted step in our approach can be used to further characterize any of the proteins showing SFK-dependency that we have identified in our global analysis. Indeed, data from such experiments could be combined to provide a detailed tyrosine phosphorylation map of events occurring within the Src-mediated node of FGFR signaling. The experimental approach employed in this study is readily applicable to deeper analysis of both the FGF and other receptor tyrosine kinases.

Supplementary Methods

Peptide and Protein Identification and Quantitation. Antiphosphotyrosine IP (SILAC). Fifteen raw files were analyzed by MaxQuant, 4 were following phosphopeptide enrichment (and therefore searched allowing phosphorylation as a variable modification). Eleven were either flow-throughs from enrichments or unenriched controls (therefore searched without allowing phosphorylation). Three experiments (13 raw files) employed Lys6, Arg10 labels, while one experiment employed only Lys6 label (2 raw files). All 15 files were combined in the ‘Identify’ step. An experimental design template was used to mark raw files as being from experiment 1, 2, 3, or 4 and also to indicate that the labeling in experiment 3 was inverted. Identifications were filtered using a peptide FDR of 0.01 and a protein FDR of 1.00 (no filtering at the protein level). Supplementary Table S1 (Supporting Information) was created from the evidence.txt output for all four experiments, by sorting according to phospho (Y) site ID. Supplementary Table S2
Supporting Information Available: Supplementary Table S1, identification of phosphotyrosine peptides from SILAC ± SU6656. Supplementary Table S2, quantitation of phosphotyrosine peptides from SILAC ± SU6656. Supplementary Table S3, proteins identified in the myc-Dok1 vs untransfected SILAC experiment. Supplementary Table S4, proteins identified in the myc-Dok1 ± SU6656 SILAC experiment. Supplementary Figure S1, Overlap of phosphotyrosine peptide identification in four SILAC repeat experiments. Supplementary Figure S2, Overlap of phosphotyrosine peptide identification in three SILAC repeat experiments. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.
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