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Abstract: The great increase in livestock production in some European areas makes it necessary to recycle organic slurries and manures and to integrate them in crop production. In Northeast Spain, the application of pig slurry (PS) is being extended to alternative crops such as rice due to the great increase in pig production. However, there is a lack of information of the effect of substitution of synthetic fertilizers with pig slurry on greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions in rice crop, and this information is key for the sustainability of these agricultural systems. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of the substitution of mineral fertilizers by PS on GHG emissions in Mediterranean flooded rice cultivation conditions under optimal nitrogen (N) fertilization. Two field experiments were carried out in two different (contrasting) soil types with different land management. Site 1 had been cultivated for rice in the previous three years with no puddling practices. Site 2 had been cultivated for rice for more than 15 years with puddling tillage practices and had higher organic matter content than site 1. The cumulative nitrous oxide emissions during the crop season were negative at both sites, corroborating that under flooded conditions, methane is the main contributor to global warming potential rather than nitrous oxide. The substitution of mineral fertilizer with PS before seeding at the same N rate did not increase emissions in both sites. However, at site 1 (soil with lower organic matter content), the higher PS rate applied before seeding (170 kg N ha⁻¹) increased methane emissions compared to the treatments with lower PS rate and mineral fertilizer before seeding (120 kg N ha⁻¹) and complemented with topdressing mineral N. Thus, a sustainable strategy for inclusion of PS in rice fertilization is the application of moderate PS rates before seeding (≈120 kg N ha⁻¹) complemented with mineral N topdressing.
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1. Introduction

Agriculture contributes to approximately 10%–12% of the total anthropogenic greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions [1] and accounts for 60% and 59% of the total anthropogenic emissions of methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O), respectively [2]. Rice paddies are considered to be responsible for 11% of the methane anthropogenic emissions [2]. Although rice paddies also emit N₂O, methane emissions contribute to almost 90% of the global warming potential (GWP) in flooded rice systems [3]. Despite the low contribution of N₂O to GWP, both gases have to be considered together when mitigation practices are developed, since the mitigation practices that focus on CH₄ emission reduction tend to increase N₂O emissions [4–6].
The emission of nitrous oxide ($N_2O$) into the atmosphere from agricultural soils is mainly related to two biological processes, nitrification and denitrification [7,8]. Methane emission is a result of two opposite mechanisms, production (methanogenesis) and oxidation (methanotrophy) [9,10].

Agricultural soils are also a source of carbon dioxide ($CO_2$), which is emitted as a result of the decomposition of organic matter [11] and respiration processes. However, only agricultural non-$CO_2$ sources are considered as anthropogenic GHG emissions because the $CO_2$ emitted is considered neutral due to annual cycles of carbon fixation and oxidation [1]. Despite of that, practices to increase the soil organic carbon diminish the atmospheric $CO_2$ concentration and thus, mitigate climate change, as well as increase fertility and health of soils [12].

Rice flooded systems are different from other cropping systems because in flooded conditions soil processes are dominated by the anaerobic conditions created under flooding [13,14] and thus, denitrification and methanogenesis are two of the main processes taking place.

Fertilization is essential to obtain high rice yields, but fertilization may affect GHG emission [15]. In addition, when mineral fertilizers are replaced by organic amendments, the additional carbon (C) source could enhance soil processes such as denitrification and methanogenesis [8,16] and hence, the application of these products could imply higher GHG emissions in comparison with mineral fertilizers.

Farmers in Northeast Spain have traditionally applied mineral fertilizers (urea and ammonium sulfate) to rice crop, but in the last few years, they have started to include pig slurry (PS) in the fertilization plans, initially due to the cost of mineral fertilizers and later because of the pressure to recycle the high amount of PS produced.

Studies focusing on the influence of organic fertilizers on GHG emissions under flooded rice systems have been carried out in different regions for evaluating products such as straw [6,17,18], green manure [19,20], pig manure [21], pig slurry and chicken manure [22], and anaerobically digested pig slurry (ADPS) [23]. The most consistent result found in the literature is that the incorporation of crop residues increases methane emissions due to the additional C input [6,17,24]. However, the effect of incorporation of crop residues on $N_2O$ emissions is not clear, although it might be the opposite [18,25]. Nevertheless, pig slurry (PS) composition is very different than straw; the straw C content is about 30% [26], while C content in PS is below 5% [27], thus the effect of PS fertilization on GHG emissions is expected to be different compared to the effect of crop residue incorporation.

There are a few studies that focused on the effect of PS application to rice, as a substitute for mineral fertilizers, on GHG emissions and results are not consistent. In Asia, Sasada et al. [28] found no significant differences in $CH_4$ and $N_2O$ emissions between plots fertilized with a chemical fertilizer and plots fertilized with anaerobically digested pig slurry (ADPS). Win et al. [23] reported that cumulative methane emissions for the growing season were 1.6 times higher for ADPS-fertilized plots than for plots with chemical fertilization, but with no significant differences, while no differences in $N_2O$ fluxes were found between the two types of fertilizers. Huang et al. [29] found significant increases in $CH_4$ emissions by applying ADPS. Under Mediterranean rice conditions, only Maris et al. [22] studied the application of PS to flooded rice, and their results showed no significant differences in GHG emissions and GWP for PS fertilization compared to ammonium fertilization. Thus, more studies focusing on the substitution of mineral fertilizers with organic fertilizers to evaluate the effect on GHG emissions are needed in the framework of a more sustainable agriculture.

Our objective was to generate information on the modification of GHG emissions due to the substitution of mineral fertilizers with PS in Mediterranean flooded rice cultivation conditions under optimal N fertilization. To achieve this objective, $CH_4$, $N_2O$, and $CO_2$ emissions from the soil were quantified during the whole crop season in two different (contrasting) soil types with different land management in Northeast Spain. We hypothesize that, due to the low organic C content of PS, greenhouse gas cumulative emissions during the crop season under PS fertilization will not be higher than that under mineral fertilization.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sites Description and Experimental Design

The study was carried out in two flooded rice fields in Northeast Spain (Figure 1) with different soil characteristics and crop management practices.

Figure 1. Locations and experimental designs of the two experiments at Villanueva de Sigena and Grañén.
Site 1, located at Villanueva de Sigena, was sampled in 2013 and site 2, located at Grañén (40 km from site 1), was sampled in 2014. The climate of the two experimental fields is semiarid continental Mediterranean, with high temperatures during the summer and low precipitation. The main climatic characteristics for both sites are detailed in Table 1.

| Site and Soil Characteristics | Site 1 Villanueva de Sigena | Site 2 Grañén |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
| Previous years growing rice   | 3                           | >15          |
| Puddling                      | No                          | Yes          |
| Latitude                      | 41° 45’ 31.87” N            | 41° 57’ 29.97” N |
| Longitude                     | 0° 2’ 18.16” W             | 0° 22’ 37.56” W |
| Elevation (m)                 | 250                         | 332          |
| Annual precipitation (mm) †   | 347                         | 334          |
| Mean annual air temperature (°C) † | 14.6                       | 13.5         |
| Annual ET₀ (mm) †             | 1201                        | 1194         |
| pH (1:2.5, water extract)     | 8.5                         | 8.3          |
| Electrical conductivity of saturated paste extract (ECₑₑ, dS m⁻¹) | 0.8                         | 4.9          |
| Organic matter (Walkley–Black; % dry matter) | 1.01                        | 2.06         |
| Calcium carbonate eq. (% dry matter) | 29                         | 24           |
| NO₃⁻ (potassium chloride extract; mg kg⁻¹ dry soil) | 11.79                       | 14.99        |
| NH₄⁺ (potassium chloride extract; mg kg⁻¹ dry soil) | 6.07                        | 10.87        |
| Olsen P (mg kg⁻¹ dry soil)    | 8                           | 38.2         |
| K (ammonium acetate extract; mg kg⁻¹ dry soil) | 81                          | 224          |
| Particle size distribution (%) |                             |              |
| Sand (2000–50 μm)             | 13.4                        | 16.4         |
| Silt (50–2 μm)                | 66.2                        | 54.1         |
| Clay (<2 μm)                  | 20.4                        | 29.5         |
| USDA textural class           | Silty loam                  | Silty clay loam |

† Climatic data are average values over the last ten years

Site 1 had been cultivated for rice in the previous three years with no puddling practices. Site 2 had been cultivated for rice for more than 15 years with puddling tillage practices. In puddling, plowing and harrowing are carried out at high soil water content with straw incorporation in order to destroy soil aggregates and create an impermeable layer. There were also differences in soil properties between the two sites; organic matter content, salinity (ECₑₑ), and the main nutrients’ content (N, P, and K) were higher in site 2 than in site 1 (Table 1), and soil clay content in site 2 was also higher than in site 1.

The samplings in site 1 were carried out in the experimental field (Figure 1) described in Moreno-García et al. [30]. Four fertilization treatments were selected to evaluate the effect of PS versus mineral fertilization on GHG emissions (Table 2): control (C) with no N fertilization; M120M60 (mineral treatment) with a rate of 120 kg N ha⁻¹ (ammonium sulfate) before seeding complemented with 60 kg N ha⁻¹ (ammonium sulfate) at topdressing; and two PS strategies, PS120M60 with a rate of PS equivalent to 120 kg NH₄⁺-N ha⁻¹ before seeding complemented with 60 kg N ha⁻¹ (ammonium sulfate) at topdressing, and PS170M0 with a rate of PS equivalent to 170 kg NH₄⁺-N ha⁻¹ before seeding and no topdressing N. In PS120M60, mineral N before seeding was replaced by PS, while in PS170M0, the crop N total requirements were covered by PS.
Table 2. N fertilization treatments in the two experimental sites. N rates for pig slurry (PS) treatments are the actual N rates applied in the field.

| Site | Treatments | NH$_4^+$-N Before Seeding | Organic N Before Seeding | Organic C Before Seeding | NH$_4^+$-N Topdressing | Organic N Topdressing | Organic C Topdressing | NH$_4^+$-N Growing Season | Organic N Growing Season | Organic C Growing Season | Total N Growing Season |
|------|------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1    | Control (C) | 0                          | 0                        | 0                        | 0                      | 0                     | 0                     | 0                        | 0                        | 0                        | 0                       |
|      | M120M60    | 120 †                      | 0                        | 0                        | 60 †                   | 0                     | 0                     | 180                      | 0                        | 0                        | 180                     |
|      | PS120M60   | 109 ‡                      | 92                       | 663                      | 60 †                   | 60                    | 663                   | 169                      | 261                      | 261                      | 261                     |
|      | PS170M0    | 165 ‡                      | 140                      | 1007                     | 165                    | 165                   | 1007                  | 305                      | 305                      | 305                      | 305                     |
| 2    | Control (C) | 0                          | 0                        | 0                        | 0                      | 0                     | 0                     | 0                        | 0                        | 0                        | 0                       |
|      | M170M0     | 170 ¥                      | 0                        | 0                        | 0                      | 0                     | 0                     | 170                      | 170                      | 170                      | 170                     |
|      | PS170M0    | 171 ‡                      | 45                       | 824                      | 171                    | 171                   | 824                   | 216                      | 216                      | 216                      | 216                     |

† Ammonium sulfate, ‡ pig slurry, ¥ urea

Small plots specific for GHG emission measurements were established out of the main experimental design, in order not to disturb the experimental plots during GHG sampling (Figure 1). The experiment was arranged as a randomized block design with four replications and the plot size was 6 m x 6 m for PS plots and 6 m x 3 m for the control and mineral plots.

In site 2, GHG emissions were evaluated in three different N treatments of an agronomic experiment comparing PS and mineral fertilization. Selected treatments were (Table 2): control (C) with no N fertilization, PS170M0 with a rate of PS equivalent to 170 kg NH$_4^+$-N ha$^{-1}$ before seeding and no topdressing N, and M170M0 (mineral treatment) with a rate of 170 kg N ha$^{-1}$ (urea) before seeding and no topdressing N. Similar to site 1, small plots specific for GHG emission measurements were established out of the main experimental design in site 2, in order not to disturb the experimental plots during GHG sampling (Figure 1). The experiment was arranged as a randomized block design with three replications and the plot size was 2 m x 1 m.

In both experiments, the selected treatments (except the control) were considered to be optimum N treatments [30] (Table S1). In site 1, significant differences between yield values were only observed between the control treatment and the three fertilization treatments. However, in site 2, the mineral treatment had a lower yield compared with the PS treatment due to a fungal infection during grain filling. This fungal infection decreased grain yield, but rice growth and biomass values were similar between PS and mineral plots.

Pig slurry was collected from the closest fattening farm to each experimental field. PS application rates were established according to PS ammonium N concentration measured in situ by Quantofix® N-volumeter (Terraflor GmbH, Iserlohn, Germany) [31] and conductimetry [32]. Pig slurry was band spread on the soil surface. Although machinery was calibrated before application in order to apply target rates, the slurry tank was weighed before and after application to know the actual PS rates applied (Table 2). Slurry samples were collected at the two sites for laboratory characterization (Table 3).

On the same day of PS application, basal mineral N was applied to the mineral treatments together with P (100 kg P$_2$O$_5$ ha$^{-1}$) and K (100 kg K$_2$O ha$^{-1}$) to ensure that these two nutrients were not limiting since in site 1, P and K levels were suboptimal (Table 1). Slurry and mineral fertilizers were incorporated into the soil in the afternoon of the same day.
Table 3. Physicochemical characteristics of the PS applied at each site.

|                | Site 1 Villanueva de Sigena | Site 2 Grañén |
|----------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Specific weight (g L\(^{-1}\)) | 1045                        | 1021          |
| Dry matter (kg mg\(^{-1}\))     | 94                          | 23            |
| Organic C (kg mg\(^{-1}\))      | 18.58 †                     | 9.13          |
| Ammonium N (kg mg\(^{-1}\))     | 3.05                        | 1.89          |
| Total N (Kjeldahl, kg mg\(^{-1}\)) | 5.63                      | 2.39          |
| P\(_2\)O\(_5\) (acid extraction, kg mg\(^{-1}\)) | 4.09                      | 0.3           |
| K\(_2\)O (acid extraction, kg mg\(^{-1}\)) | 3.57                      | 1.96          |

† Organic C in site 1 was not measured and was estimated based on the average C/N ratio, from Yagüe et al. [27] for fattening farms, equal to 3.3.

Table S2 shows the amounts of N, P\(_2\)O\(_5\) (Olsen), and K\(_2\)O (ammonium acetate) in the first 0–0.3 m of the soil at the beginning of the experiment in each site, together with the amount of the nutrients applied as a fertilizer in each treatment.

For both experimental fields, typical land preparation was carried out by the farmer in April 2013 and 2014 before fertilization, seeding, and flooding. In both sites, rice straw and stubbles from the previous crop were incorporated into the soil during puddling in site 2 and with ploughing on dry soil in site 1. Rice (Oryza sativa L. spp. japonica cv. Guadiamar) was broadcast seeded in both fields once they were flooded at a rate of 180 kg ha\(^{-1}\). Water was applied at the top of the field and cascaded down the paddies through levee gates. A water layer of 5 cm was maintained during the first few days to improve rice germination; after that, a water layer of 10–15 cm was maintained until approximately one month before harvest, when fields were drained. Moreover, the fields were briefly drained for several days for the application of herbicides, pesticides, and fungicides, according to habitual practices in the area (usually twice during the flooded period). Topdressing N was applied on the water at the end of the tillering stage in site 1.

2.2. Greenhouse Gas Measurements and Analyses

The emissions of N\(_2\)O, CH\(_4\), and CO\(_2\) from the soil into the atmosphere were measured using the static non-vented chamber method.

At the beginning of each experiment, one (site 1) or two (site 2) polyvinyl chloride collars (19.5 cm inner diameter) were inserted in each plot into the soil to a depth of 13 cm. Chambers (37 cm height) were fitted into the collars at the time of sampling.

Gas sampling occurred between 09:00 and 12:00. Samples were taken through a three-way valve placed on the top of the chamber and adjusted with a metal fitting. Gas samplings were performed every 7–10 days or more frequently when fields were eventually drained. Air samples were obtained, through a Teflon® (Chemours, Wilmington, Delaware, USA) tube connected to the three-way valve and into a 100 mL propylene syringe adapted with a valve, at 0, 15, 30, and 45 min after closing the chamber. The air inside the chamber was mixed by filling and emptying the syringe three times before withdrawing the sample. Once the sample was taken, the valve connected to the syringe was closed [22].

In site 1, 100 mL of air samples was taken from the individual chamber installed in each plot. In site 2 (two chambers per plot), syringes were filled with 50 mL of air samples from each of the two chambers in each plot (composite sample) [33]; for doing that, the tygon tube connected to the syringe allowed the system to be closed, while moving between chambers. In both sites, 100 mL syringe duplicates were taken in each plot per sampling time. Samples were transported to the laboratory and N\(_2\)O, CH\(_4\), and CO\(_2\) concentrations were quantified using the photoacoustic technique (Innova 1412i Photoacoustic Multigas Monitor, LumaSense Technologies, Ballerup, Denmark). Average GHG concentrations in duplicates were used for the mass flux calculations.
Soil temperature in the uppermost 0.05 m and floodwater depth (to quantify chamber headspace volume) were measured at each sampling date.

Emissions fluxes were calculated using the linear increase/decrease in the concentration inside the chamber over time, considering the headspace volume of the chamber. Figure S1 shows an example of the linear regression for N$_2$O and CH$_4$ on 6 August 2014 for a replicate of the PS170M0 treatment in site 2.

2.3. Soil Sampling and Analysis

Soil (0–0.1 m) was sampled in 80% of GHG sampling dates, and moisture content and nitrate and ammonium concentration were determined. Soil extracts were prepared using 10 g of fresh soil and 30 mL of KCl 2N solution. Nitrate [34] and ammonium [35] concentrations were determined by colorimetry with a continuous flow analyzer (AutoAnalyzer 3, Bran+Luebbe, Norderstedt, Germany).

2.4. Calculations and Statistical Analysis

The cumulative emissions of N$_2$O, CH$_4$, and CO$_2$ for the studied period were quantified by integrating the emissions over time. For doing that, the average gas fluxes between dates were multiplied by the time interval between sampling dates.

The effects of treatments and sampling dates on GHG fluxes were evaluated by repeated measures of analysis of variance (ANOVA). The effect of treatments on GHG cumulative emissions was evaluated by analysis of variance. When the analysis was significant, a comparison among the treatment means was performed using Tukey’s multiple range test at $p = 0.05$ (SAS 9.4 software, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, North Caroline, USA). When necessary, data were log transformed prior to analysis in order to fulfill ANOVA assumptions (homogeneity of variance and normality).

3. Results

3.1. Meteorological Conditions and Drainage of the Plots

Rainfall and air temperature during the sampling period (Figures 2a and 3a) were obtained from the closest meteorological station to the experimental site (Red SIAR (Sistema de Información Agroclimática para el Regadío), site 1 Alcolea de Cinca Station and site 2 Grañén Station). Both sites showed similar meteorological conditions, with low precipitation during the summer and high temperatures. The average air temperature in August was 23.9 °C in site 1 (2013) and 22.9 °C in site 2 (2014). The soil temperatures measured at the sampling dates were similar to the daily average air temperatures. In both sites, high rainfall events during fall were registered.

Puddling was conducted only in site 2, not in site 1. When puddling is conducted, an impermeable layer (plough pan) to stop percolation is created. This plough pan controls vertical water losses toward the subsoil and it has been found to be less permeable for the older and more developed paddy soils compared to young paddy fields [36,37]. This fact combined with the different soil particle size distribution and the higher clay percentage of site 2 compared with site 1 (Table 1) were responsible for the differences in the drainage speed once irrigation stopped (final drainage before harvest). Site 1 (Figure 2a) drained rapidly, while site 2 (Figure 3a) remained flooded much longer after irrigation was stopped. In site 1, water inflow was closed on 14 September 2013, the floodwater disappeared one week later and soil started to dry up. However, in site 2, the water inflow was closed on 1 October 2014 and the field remained flooded for almost one month; when the floodwater disappeared, the soil remained always saturated and a very thin water layer was always present over the soil surface. In addition, a 40 mm rainfall event on 29 November 2014 flooded the field again.
Figure 2. Site 1 (Villanueva de Sigena) year 2013. (a) Soil and air temperature, rainfall, and floodwater depth during the studied period; and (b) N\textsubscript{2}O, (c) CH\textsubscript{4}, and (d) CO\textsubscript{2} emissions as affected by the fertilization treatment. Vertical arrows indicate the dates of fertilization applications (F) and harvest (H). The grey shaded areas represent the periods in which the water inflow to the field was open. Note that once water was stopped, the field remained flooded for several days.
Figure 3. Site 2 (Granén) year 2014. (a) Soil and air temperature, rainfall, and floodwater depth during the studied period; and (b) N\textsubscript{2}O, (c) CH\textsubscript{4}, and (d) CO\textsubscript{2} emissions as affected by the fertilization treatments. Vertical arrows indicate the dates of fertilization applications (F) and harvest (H). The grey shaded areas represent the periods in which the water inflow to the field was open. Note that once water was stopped, the field remained flooded for several days.
3.2. Nitrous Oxide Fluxes and Cumulative Emissions

At site 1, N$_2$O fluxes ranged from $-27.5$ to $44.4$ g N$_2$O-N ha$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ and the different N treatments showed similar patterns (Figure 2b). No differences between fertilization treatments were noted in the mean N$_2$O fluxes (Table 4). The fluxes were negative or close to 0 until the final drainage of the plot, when N$_2$O emissions increased and became positive in some cases (Figure 2b).

When integrating all the sampling periods, cumulative emissions were not significantly different between fertilization treatments (Table 4).

At site 2, N$_2$O fluxes ranged between $-14.7$ and $6.3$ g N$_2$O-N ha$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$, the N treatments showed similar patterns (Figure 3b), and average fluxes were not affected by treatments (Table 5). Although an increase in N$_2$O fluxes was observed after the final drainage before harvest (the fluxes were negative, but lower in absolute values, i.e., consumption of N$_2$O was lower than that previously observed), the fluxes remained negative for the whole studied period unlike site 1 (Figure 3b).

At site 2, nitrous oxide cumulative emissions in the study period showed no effect of the treatments in the same manner as at site 1 (Table 5).

Table 4. Site 1 (Villanueva de Sigena, 2013). Average fluxes of N$_2$O, CH$_4$, and CO$_2$ and cumulative N$_2$O, CH$_4$, and CO$_2$ emissions during the studied period, for the different N fertilization treatments, indicating the effects of treatment (T), date of sampling (D), and their interaction (T × D).

| Gas Fluxes Cumulative Emissions | N$_2$O-N g ha$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ | CH$_4$-C kg ha$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ | CO$_2$-C kg N ha$^{-1}$ | N$_2$O-N g ha$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ | CH$_4$-C kg ha$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ | CO$_2$-C kg C ha$^{-1}$ |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Treatments (T) n.s. n.s. n.s.   | n.s.                          | n.s.                        | n.s.                     | n.s.                          | n.s.                        | n.s.                     |
| Control (C)                     | $-3.92$                       | $2.47$ b                    | $6.76$                   | $-0.71$                       | $549.1$                     | $1571.0$                 |
| M120M60                         | $-2.33$                       | $2.99$ b                    | $7.87$                   | $-0.45$                       | $665.1$                     | $1959.1$                 |
| PS120M60                        | $-0.45$                       | $3.52$ b                    | $6.52$                   | $-0.04$                       | $869.9$                     | $1619.6$                 |
| PS170M0                         | $-3.24$                       | $6.31$ a                    | $9.15$                   | $-0.51$                       | $1336.4$                    | $2036.1$                 |
| Date (D) ***                    | ***                          | ***                         | ***                      | ***                          | ***                         | ***                      |
| T * D n.s.                      | n.s.                          | n.s.                        | n.s.                     | n.s.                          | n.s.                        | n.s.                     |

Note: n.s., not significant; *** p < 0.001. Different letters in the same column indicate significant differences between treatments.

Table 5. Site 2 (Grañén, 2014). Average fluxes of N$_2$O, CH$_4$, and CO$_2$ and cumulative N$_2$O, CH$_4$, and CO$_2$ emissions during the studied period, for the different N fertilization treatments, indicating the effects of treatment (T), date of sampling (D), and their interaction (T × D).

| Gas Fluxes Cumulative Emissions | N$_2$O-N g ha$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ | CH$_4$-C kg ha$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ | CO$_2$-C kg N ha$^{-1}$ | N$_2$O-N g ha$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ | CH$_4$-C kg ha$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ | CO$_2$-C kg C ha$^{-1}$ |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Treatments (T) n.s. n.s. n.s.   | n.s.                          | n.s.                        | n.s.                     | n.s.                          | n.s.                        | n.s.                     |
| Control (C)                     | $-5.45$                       | $18.81$                     | $7.04$                   | $-1.20$                       | $3986.8$                    | $1329.1$                 |
| M170M0                          | $-5.20$                       | $16.43$                     | $6.68$                   | $-1.09$                       | $3701.9$                    | $1330.7$                 |
| PS170M0                         | $-5.05$                       | $18.92$                     | $6.45$                   | $-1.10$                       | $4326.3$                    | $1254.1$                 |
| Date (D) ***                    | ***                          | ***                         | ***                      | ***                          | ***                         | ***                      |
| T * D n.s.                      | n.s.                          | n.s.                        | n.s.                     | n.s.                          | n.s.                        | n.s.                     |

Note: n.s., not significant; *** p < 0.001.
3.3. Methane Fluxes and Cumulative Emissions

At site 1, CH$_4$ fluxes varied from $-0.1$ to $26.6$ kg CH$_4$-C ha$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ (Figure 2c). Nitrogen fertilization affected the average CH$_4$ fluxes for the studied period, with a greater mean CH$_4$ flux for the PS170M0 treatment (Table 4). However, no differences in CH$_4$ fluxes between M120M60 and PS120M60 (treatments with equivalent N rates before seeding) were found.

Overall, the highest emissions were observed at the end of August (at heading stage), but for the PS170M0 treatment, high emissions were also observed at the beginning of the season (with a peak on 19 June 2013). An emission peak also occurred in all treatments during a short drainage of the field for an herbicide treatment (1 July 2013). Once the field was drained, CH$_4$ emissions decreased dramatically, reaching values lower than $100$ g CH$_4$-C ha$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ (Figure 2c).

Methane cumulative emissions in the study period in site 1 did not show significant differences among the fertilization treatments (Table 4), but the PS170M0 treatment showed higher (although not significant) CH$_4$ emission than the other three treatments.

At site 2, CH$_4$ fluxes were higher than those at site 1. The values ranged from $0.01$ to $57.8$ kg CH$_4$-C ha$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ (Figure 3c). The CH$_4$ fluxes increased over time during the growing season, except during a brief drainage episode (25 July 2014). Maximum CH$_4$ fluxes were reached in late September 2014, during the rice ripening phase and just before the plot was drained. CH$_4$ emissions decreased after the beginning of final drainage; however, in contrast to site 1, the decrease in CH$_4$ fluxes was slower and did not reach values lower than $1$ kg CH$_4$-C ha$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$. In contrast to site 1, treatments had no significant effect on the average CH$_4$ fluxes (Table 5) and cumulative CH$_4$ emission during the sampling period (Table 5).

3.4. Carbon Dioxide Fluxes and Cumulative Emissions

At site 1, CO$_2$ fluxes ranged between $-0.10$ and $40$ kg CO$_2$-C ha$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ (Figure 2d). The average CO$_2$ fluxes did not show differences among N fertilization treatments; however, a higher (but non-significant) average flux was observed in the PS170M0 treatment (Table 4). The evolution of CO$_2$ over time (Figure 2d) showed CO$_2$ emissions lower than $5$ kg CO$_2$-C ha$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ until the plot was drained to harvest (with the exception of an emission peak on 1 July 2013 during a short drainage). Once the field was drained in mid-September 2013, CO$_2$ emissions increased rapidly.

At site 2, CO$_2$ emissions varied from $-0.10$ to $16.7$ kg CO$_2$-C ha$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ (Figure 3d) and N fertilization treatments did not affect the average CO$_2$ flux (Table 5). During the flooded period, a small emission peak during a short drainage period was observed. Once the field was drained for harvest, CO$_2$ fluxes decreased slightly in site 2 in contraposition to site 1 where CO$_2$ fluxes increased rapidly after drainage started.

Carbon dioxide cumulative emissions for the studied period did not show significant differences among fertilization treatments either in site 1 (Table 4) or in site 2 (Table 5).

3.5. Soil Ammonium and Nitrate Concentration

At site 1, soil (0–0.1 m) nitrate concentration ranged from $0.1$ to $18.4$ mg NO$_3$–N kg dry soil$^{-1}$ (Figure 4a). At the beginning of the experiment, immediately after the field was flooded, the nitrate content decreased, reaching values lower than $1$ mg kg$^{-1}$. In early June 2013, there was an increment in all treatments associated with shallow floodwater depth (Figure 2a), but immediately, the nitrate content lowered again. After that, the values remained below $1$ mg NO$_3$–N kg dry soil$^{-1}$ until the field was drained (14 September 2013), then nitrate content started to increase steadily (Figure 4a).

At site 1, soil ammonium concentration (0–0.1 m) ranged between $4.1$ and $25.4$ mg NH$_4$–N kg dry soil$^{-1}$ (Figure 4b). Soil ammonium concentration was high in the first sampling dates in treatments with N application (M120M60, PS120M60, and PS170M0), then decreased in early June 2013 (Figure 4b) at the same time that nitrate concentration increased (Figure 4a) and after that, increased again at the same time that nitrate decreased. From July 2013 until the plot was drained, ammonium concentration...
decreased gradually. Once the field was drained, a slight increase was observed, but again NH$_4$ lowered (Figure 4b), matching with an increase in nitrate concentration (Figure 4a).

At site 2, soil nitrate and ammonium concentrations (data not shown) were high at the beginning of the experiment (Table 1) with small oscillations along the crop season and similar for the different treatments, even for the control treatment, and they did not provide useful information to be related to gas emissions.

**Figure 4.** Site 1 (Villanueva de Sigena) year 2013. Soil (0–0.1 m) (a) nitrate and (b) ammonium concentration during the studied period as affected by the fertilization treatment. Vertical arrows indicate the dates of fertilization applications (F) and harvest (H). The grey shaded areas represent the periods in which the water inflow to the plot was open.

4. Discussion

4.1. Nitrous Oxide Fluxes and Cumulative Emissions

Nitrous oxide emissions ranged from -27.5 to 44.4 g N$_2$O-N ha$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ in site 1 (Figure 2b) and from -14.7 to 6.3 g N$_2$O-N ha$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ in site 2 (Figure 3b).

In site 1, there was N$_2$O consumption during the crop season until the field was drained (Figure 2b). Many researchers have reported the consumption of N$_2$O in rice under flooded conditions [23,38,39] and the reason is that, although nitrate is usually the starting point of denitrification, other nitrogen oxides (NO$_2^-$, NO, and N$_2$O) can serve as terminal electron acceptors for denitrifying bacteria due to a lack of nitrate [8,40] (Equation 1).

\[
2 \text{NO}_3^- \rightarrow 2 \text{NO}_2^- \rightarrow 2 \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{N}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{N}_2 \quad (1)
\]

Once the field was drained (14 September 2013), N$_2$O emissions increased rapidly and reached positive values (Figure 2b). The formation of N$_2$O in the soil is due to both nitrification [7,41] and
denitrification processes. When soil starts to dry and O\textsubscript{2} penetrates into the soil, the denitrification process can stop with N\textsubscript{2}O (third step of reaction in Equation 1), since the enzymes that operate in the early part of the reaction are less sensitive to the availability of O\textsubscript{2} than the reductase enzyme that operates in the last step (N\textsubscript{2}O to N\textsubscript{2}) [42]. In addition, the N\textsubscript{2}O emissions following soil drainage could be due to the release of dissolved and entrapped N\textsubscript{2}O formed before drainage [4,13]. An increase in N\textsubscript{2}O fluxes after draining plots is well-known and it has been reported by many other authors [4,5,25,43].

The N\textsubscript{2}O flux pattern in site 1 was related to changes in soil nitrate and ammonium concentrations (Figure 4a,b). During the flooded period, soil nitrate was very low, with the exception of a short period in June 2013. Denitrifying organisms used N\textsubscript{2}O as an electron acceptor because of the lack of nitrate, resulting in N\textsubscript{2}O consumption. After the field was drained, the nitrate concentration increased steadily due to nitrification and denitrification processes. The increase in nitrate concentration in June 2013 was associated with a reduction in floodwater depth to improve rice seedling growth (Figure 2a), which promoted O\textsubscript{2} diffusion to the soil and hence, nitrification. This matched the decrease in soil ammonium concentration, at the same time that nitrate increased (Figure 4a,b). After that, nitrate concentration dramatically dropped, probably because of denitrifying process, and the ammonium concentration increased. The cause of the increment in soil ammonium concentration might be the release of ammonium previously fixed in the clay minerals. Anaerobic conditions promote the temporary fixation of NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} in the interlayers of clay minerals [44–46] because of a net increase in the negative surface charge of the clay [47]. Then, that fixed ammonium can be released, influenced by the concentration of ammonium in the soil solution [44,48]. At the end of the crop season, when the plot was drained, an increase in soil ammonium content was observed, probably due to ammonification, but the ammonium content rapidly decreased at the same time that the nitrate increased, verifying that nitrification took place.

In site 2, the N\textsubscript{2}O fluxes were negative for the whole period, but values were less negative (close to 0) after the plot was drained (Figure 3b). In site 2, the field was kept flooded for more days than in site 1 (Figures 2a and 3a) and the floodwater only disappeared for a few days, but the soil was kept saturated and a very thin water layer (several millimeters) remained over the soil surface. These findings agree with the study reported by Iida et al. [49], who found that N\textsubscript{2}O emission can be mitigated considerably by even a thin film of floodwater on paddy fields.

In both sites, the cumulative N\textsubscript{2}O emissions were negative ranging between −0.04 and −1.10 kg N ha\textsuperscript{−1} season\textsuperscript{−1} (Tables 4 and 5). Simmonds et al. [50] also found negative values of cumulative N\textsubscript{2}O emissions in a field study conducted in California with the lowest value of −0.19 kg N ha\textsuperscript{−1} season\textsuperscript{−1}.

Cumulative N\textsubscript{2}O emissions in both sites were not significantly different between the PS and mineral treatments, thus, in this study, PS fertilization did not increase N\textsubscript{2}O emissions compared to inorganic fertilization. Other researchers have reported lower N\textsubscript{2}O emissions from plots fertilized with straw or green manure than those observed in plots fertilized with mineral fertilizers due to the addition of C substrates, which may enhance the final reduction of N\textsubscript{2}O to N\textsubscript{2} by the denitrification process [18,19,25,51]. However, PS has a low C content and thus, its application would be not expected to have a strong influence on N\textsubscript{2}O emissions in comparison to synthetic N. Indeed, in our study, significant differences in the mean values of N\textsubscript{2}O fluxes or in the cumulative N\textsubscript{2}O emissions were not found between treatments with the chemical fertilizer and PS (with low C content). Sasada et al. [28] and Win et al. [23] found similar results in rice experiments with anaerobically digested pig slurry (ADPS) in Japan, where no differences in N\textsubscript{2}O emissions were found between ADPS and chemical fertilizers.

4.2. Methane Fluxes and Cumulative Emissions

Methane emissions ranged between −0.1 and 26.6 kg CH\textsubscript{4}-C ha\textsuperscript{−1} d\textsuperscript{−1} and from 0.01 to 57.8 kg CH\textsubscript{4}-C ha\textsuperscript{−1} d\textsuperscript{−1} in site 1 and site 2, respectively (Figures 2c and 3c).
Organic matter applied to the fields such as rice straw, soil organic matter (SOM), and organic matter from rice plants (exudates and sloughed tissues) are the carbon sources for CH\textsubscript{4} emissions [52,53]. Likewise, organic C contained in PS (Table 3) is an additional source of carbon.

In the present study, at site 1, the average CH\textsubscript{4} flux was significantly higher for the PS170M0 treatment (Table 4). This treatment showed an emission peak during the first few weeks after flooding; moreover, another peak was observed in all treatments later in the season. The results suggested that the additional C source applied in the PS170M0 plots promoted higher emissions early in the season. Our results agree with those of Wassmann et al. [54] and Neue et al. [16] in rice field experiments. They found that early in the season, organic amendments provide substrates for methanogenesis, while root exudates become more important at the later growth stages. However, in our study, the PS120M60 treatment did not show higher CH\textsubscript{4} emissions than M120M60 (Table 4), even though an additional C source was applied to the soil (Table 2); thus, organic C applied at moderate rates of PS did not seem to be enough to increase the CH\textsubscript{4} emissions.

After the final drainage of the plot, methane emission decreased rapidly, as soil started to dry up and oxygen promoted aerobic decomposition of organic matter to carbon dioxide and less methane. Methane emissions were higher in site 2 than in site 1. Puddling was performed in site 2, but not in site 1. Puddling disperses soil colloids and increases the water-to-soil ratio, resulting in very low bulk densities, promoting reduction. In contrast, high soil bulk density from less intense field preparation retards organic matter decomposition and reduces the speed of potential redox changes as well as CH\textsubscript{4} formation [55]. Moreover, SOM in site 2 was higher than that in site 1 (Table 1). Puddling and a higher SOM content could explain the higher CH\textsubscript{4} emissions in site 2.

At site 2, methane fluxes were not significantly affected by N fertilization (Table 5), despite the additional C source (Table 2) in the PS treatment compared to the mineral treatment. Although organic amendments may increase CH\textsubscript{4} production by providing readily mineralizable carbon sources, these changes are more pronounced when organic substrates are added to soils with low organic matter content [23,55]. Soil at site 1 had lower organic matter content than soil at site 2 (Table 1); therefore, organic C contained in the PS had a stronger influence at site 1 because of the lower SOM content compared to site 2. The results suggested that, in site 2, emissions were more influenced by SOM and land management (puddling) than by C content of fertilizers. These results are in agreement with those reported in the studies by Sasada et al. [28] and Win et al. [23], where differences in the effects of anaerobically digested pig slurry (ADPS) on methane emissions were attributed to the differences in soil C content, suggesting that the application of ADPS might have a higher stimulating effect on CH\textsubscript{4} emissions when soil C content is lower.

In contrast to site 1, CH\textsubscript{4} fluxes did not decrease immediately after the drainage of the plot, but the emissions decreased slowly (Figure 3c) and the reason was the difference in the drying speed. The field at site 2 remained flooded for more days than that at site 1 (Figures 2a and 3a) and hence, favorable conditions for methanogenesis were maintained.

The mean daily CH\textsubscript{4} fluxes ranging between 2.5 and 6.3 kg CH\textsubscript{4}-C ha\textsuperscript{-1} d\textsuperscript{-1} and the maximum flux (27 kg CH\textsubscript{4}-C ha\textsuperscript{-1} d\textsuperscript{-1}) reported at site 1 were similar to those reported in the literature for paddy rice fields [24,28,56]. The cumulative emissions for the season are also in accordance with the values in the review by Sanchis et al. [57]. However, the mean daily CH\textsubscript{4} fluxes at site 2, ranging between 16.4 and 18.9 kg CH\textsubscript{4}-C ha\textsuperscript{-1} d\textsuperscript{-1} were higher than those in site 1 and higher than those reported in the literature, and hence, cumulative CH\textsubscript{4} losses were higher than expected. The reason for these high values could be the absence of the methane oxidation process. Methane emission is a result of two opposite mechanisms, production and oxidation [9,10]. Aerobic oxidation of methane takes place in the soil–water interface of the submerged paddy soil and in the rhizosphere where oxygen is available in a shallow layer around the rice roots [9]. Some studies have reported significant methane oxidation rates during the crop maximum development stages [9,58,59]. In our experiments, plants were cut inside the chambers since the purpose was to measure soil emissions. Thus, methane oxidation in the rhizosphere inside the collars was reduced, increasing methane emissions. Another reason could be the
technique used for the quantification of fluxes (photoacoustic spectroscopy—PAS). While some authors reported good results when comparing PAS with gas chromatography (GC) [60,61], other authors found a large effect of water vapor on PAS CH\textsubscript{4} readings, concluding that manufacturer calibration for moisture was not sufficient [62,63]. Although we are aware that the absolute CH\textsubscript{4} values could be overestimated, we consider that the comparative between PS and mineral treatments, which was the main objective of this study, is reliable.

As expected, the comparative analysis showed that PS applied before seeding at the same rates as the mineral fertilizer (PS120M60 vs. M120M60 in site 1, PS170M0 vs. M170M0 in site 2; Tables 4 and 5) did not increase methane cumulative emissions.

Other studies have also found no differences in methane emissions when mineral fertilizers are replaced by PS [22] or ADPS [28] at the same N rates. However, opposite results were reported by Huang et al. [29] who found significant CH\textsubscript{4} increases when mineral fertilizer was replaced by ADPS and the application was fractioned (40% base, 25% tillering, and 35% heading), but no differences were found when ADPS was applied in a unique application (base fertilization).

4.3. Carbon Dioxide Fluxes and Cumulative Emissions

Carbon dioxide emissions varied from 0.10 to 40 kg CO\textsubscript{2}-C ha\textsuperscript{-1} d\textsuperscript{-1} and between −0.10 and 16.7 kg CO\textsubscript{2}-C ha\textsuperscript{-1} d\textsuperscript{-1} (Figures 2d and 3d) in site 1 and site 2, respectively, and followed an opposite pattern compared to methane emissions.

At site 1, low emissions were recorded during the flooded period and higher emissions were recorded immediately after the drainage of the plot (Figure 2d), which were clearly associated with the aerobic mineralization of organic matter. Unlike CH\textsubscript{4} emissions, significant differences between treatments in the mean CO\textsubscript{2} flux were not observed; nevertheless, the mean CO\textsubscript{2} flux for the PS170M0 treatment was higher (not significant) than that observed for the rest of the treatments (Table 4); and similar to CH\textsubscript{4} emissions, the reason was the addition of C with PS.

At site 2, similar to CH\textsubscript{4} emissions, the pattern of the CO\textsubscript{2} fluxes after the drainage for harvest was totally different than that observed in site 1. While CO\textsubscript{2} emissions increased at site 1 (Figure 2d), CO\textsubscript{2} fluxes decreased slowly at site 2 (Figure 3d). We consider the soil moisture content to be responsible for this fact, as the soil did not dry up after drainage at site 2, O\textsubscript{2} diffusion was restricted, and hence, aerobic decomposition.

It is important to mention that in the present study, emissions were measured only during the crop season and one month after harvesting, thus, further studies including measurements during the whole year would be necessary to evaluate whether there are differences between fertilization treatments in the intercrop period.

5. Conclusions

The characteristics of the soil and land management have a strong influence on GHG emissions, as methane fluxes are higher in paddy fields with higher organic matter content or with continuous puddling tillage practices.

The cumulative nitrous oxide emissions during the crop season were negative at both sites, corroborating that under flooded conditions, methane was the main contributor to GWP rather than nitrous oxide. GHG emissions were not affected by the application of pig slurry at the same N rate as the mineral fertilizer. However, application of high PS rates before seeding to a soil with low SOM increased methane emissions in comparison to mineral fertilization. Therefore, application of PS before seeding at rates to cover about 70% of crop N needs and N topdressing to complement crop requirements are recommended in order not to increase methane emissions. Taking into account this consideration, PS might be an excellent fertilizer for replacing synthetic fertilizers without jeopardizing the sustainability of rice systems.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2073-4395/10/4/493/s1, Table S1: Grain yield (14% moisture) for the different N fertilization treatments in site 1 (Villanueva de Sigena) and
site 2 (Grañén). Table S2: \( N, P_2O_5 \) (Olsen), and \( K_2O \) (ammonium acetate) amounts in the 0–0.3 m soil depth at the beginning of each experiment and the nutrient amounts applied with the fertilizers (PS or mineral). Figure S1: (a) \( N_2O \) and (b) \( CH_4 \) concentrations over time on 6 August 2014 for a replicate of the PS170M0 treatment in site 2 (Grañén).
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