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An exact expression is derived for the electron Green function in two-channel Kondo models with one and two impurities, describing the crossover from non-Fermi liquid (NFL) behavior at intermediate temperatures to standard Fermi liquid (FL) physics at low temperatures. Symmetry-breaking perturbations generically present in experiment ensure the standard low-energy FL description, but the full crossover is wholly characteristic of the unstable NFL state. Distinctive conductance line shapes in quantum dot devices should result. We exploit a connection between this crossover and one occurring in a classical boundary Ising model to calculate real-space electron densities at finite temperature. The single universal finite-temperature Green function is then extracted by inverting the integral transformation relating these Friedel oscillations to the $t$ matrix. Excellent agreement is demonstrated between exact results and full numerical renormalization group calculations.
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I. INTRODUCTION AND PHYSICAL PICTURE

The full power of the renormalization group (RG) concept is perhaps most clearly seen in its application to quantum impurity systems. The classic paradigm is the Kondo model, being perhaps most clearly seen in its application to quantum impurity chains and quantum box systems. CK physics can arise in odd-membered quantum dot rings and in quantum box systems. But RG analysis in the vicinity of the free fermion, NFL behavior arises in the two-impurity Kondo (2IK) model. The tendency to form a trivial local singlet state is favored by an exchange coupling acting directly between the impurities, while the coupling of each impurity to its own conduction channel favors separate single-channel Kondo screening. The resulting competition gives rise to a critical point that is identical to that of the 2CK model with additional potential scattering. 2IK physics is also expected to appear in certain double quantum dot systems, and other even-impurity chains.

A description of the NFL FPs of such two-channel models in terms of an effective boundary conformal field theory (CFT) shows that the operator controlling the FP has an anomalous scaling dimension. This implies unconventional energy/temperature dependencies of physical quantities such as conductance $G(V,T)$, measured as a function of bias voltage $V$ and temperature $T$. In the 2CK device of Ref. 24, corrections to $G$ to $V/T^2$ and $T/T_K$ up to $\sqrt{V/T_K}$ and $\sqrt{T/T_K}$ were directly observed in experiment. Such signatures are expected in the channel-asymmetric 2IK model; although leading linear behavior emerges in the symmetric 2IK. This behavior is of course in marked contrast to the FL FP whose conductance scale is set by $G(V,T)$.

The NFL FP itself (and the crossover to it) has now been rather well studied. However, NFL physics is extremely delicate: various symmetry-breaking perturbations destabilize the NFL FP and generate a new crossover scale. At $T = 0$, the impurities are thus completely screened and all residual entropy is quenched. Indeed, regular FL behavior, including the standard $G$ and $T/T_K$ corrections to conductance, must appear at low temperatures $T \ll T^*$ and energies $V \ll T^*$. Therefore no evidence of nascent NFL physics can manifest in the immediate vicinity of the FL FP. Only on fine tuning the perturbation strength $\delta \rightarrow 0$ to the critical point so that $T^* \rightarrow 0$ does one obtain NFL physics on the lowest energy scales.

But RG analysis in the vicinity of the free fermion, NFL and FL FPs implies two successive crossovers, with $T_K$ setting the energy scale for flow to the NFL FP, and $T^*$ characterizing flow away from it. Even in the FL phase away from the critical
point (which is the generic case relevant to experiment), NFL behavior can be observed at higher temperatures and energies, provided there is good scale separation $T^* \ll T_K$ (see Fig. 1 for a schematic phase diagram). In this case, conductance $G_s(V,T)$ through the 2CK quantum dot device of Ref. 24, or proposed 2IK devices,$^{18,19}$ should exhibit a clean NFL to FL crossover.

In Ref. 26, we considered this conductance crossover at $T = 0$ as a function of bias $V$, corresponding to the crossover labeled by arrow (a) in Fig. 1 (and $V$ here playing the role of the external energy scale $\omega$). It was shown$^{20}$ that the full crossover is wholly characteristic of the high-energy NFL state. The $T = 0$ crossover is expected to describe the behavior at very low temperatures. From a scaling perspective, RG flow is cut off on the energy scale $V \sim T$, so $G_s(V,T) \approx G_s(V,0)$ for $T \ll T^*$ since there are no further crossovers below $T^*$.

By contrast, at higher temperatures $T \gg T^* (\ll T_K)$, no evidence of the NFL to FL crossover will be observed (see Fig. 1), and only the NFL FP is probed. Indeed, this is the likely scenario in the experiment of Ref. 24: rather than tuning to the critical point $\delta = 0$, signatures of the true FL ground state are simply washed out by temperature. But the behavior as a full function of temperature $T$ and energy scale $\omega$ for finite perturbation strength $\delta$ is much more subtle, and naturally strengths connection to experiment. Exploring the third temperature axis in Fig. 1, and considering the resultant NFL to FL crossover [e.g., arrow (b)], is thus the focus of the present work.

In this paper we combine Abelian bosonization methods$^{17,27,28}$ with the powerful machinery of CFT$^{22,23}$ to obtain an exact description of the NFL to FL crossover in two-channel Kondo models. In particular, we calculate the full electron Green function at finite temperature, from which conductance follows.$^{29,30}$ The field-theoretic description links the 2IK model with a classical Ising model on a semi-infinite plane.$^{23}$ Application of a boundary magnetic field $h$ in this boundary Ising model (BIM) results in RG flow from an unstable FP with free boundary condition $h \rightarrow \pm \infty$.$^{31,32}$ This RG flow due to $h$ is identical to that occurring between NFL and FL FPs in the 2IK model due to a small perturbation $\delta$.$^{23}$ Indeed, an emergent symmetry of the NFL FP in the 2IK model,$^{23}$ together with the common CFT description of 2IK and 2CK models,$^{19,22,23}$ implies the existence of a single universal NFL to FL crossover function for both models, resulting from any combination of relevant perturbations.$^{26}$ Exact results$^{33,34}$ for the BIM are the source of our solution, which becomes exact when there is good scale separation $T^* \ll T_K$, as sought experimentally.

The exact crossover Green function at $T = 0$ was calculated in Ref. 26 by exploiting the above connection. However, ambiguities appear at finite temperature that prevent straightforward generalization of those results. Thus we take a different route here: the BIM solution is used to calculate real-space Friedel oscillations around the impurities at finite temperature, which are themselves related by integral transformation$^{35}$ to the Green function. The problematic analytic continuation is avoided in this way.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we introduce the 2CK and 2IK models, together with representative symmetry-breaking perturbations that generate the NFL to FL crossover. We then present and discuss our main results for the exact finite-temperature Green function along the crossover. The corresponding conductance crossover for quantum dot systems that might realize 2CK or 2IK physics is then calculated. In Secs. III–VI, we derive the analytic results. First, we consider the 2IK model at $T = 0$ with a single detuning perturbation. In Sec. III, we calculate the resulting crossover Green function, exploiting the analogy to the BIM. In Sec. IV, we extend the calculation to finite temperatures, extracting the desired $t$ matrix from Friedel oscillations. The results are generalized to the 2CK model in Sec. V and to an arbitrary combination of perturbations in Sec. VI. Exact results are compared with finite-temperature NRG calculations in Sec. VII. Other quantities showing the crossover such as entropy and nonequilibrium transport are then briefly considered in Sec. VIII. The paper concludes with a general discussion in Sec. IX, and details of certain calculations can be found in the appendixes.

II. MODELS AND RESULTS

We consider the standard 2CK and 2IK models,

$$H_{2CK} = H_0 + J \sum s_{\sigma} \langle \sigma \rangle s_{\sigma} \langle \sigma \rangle + \delta H_{2CK},$$

where $\delta H_{2CK} = 0$, the NFL ground state of $H_{2CK}$ is described by the NFL FP. Likewise, a critical interimpurity coupling $K$ can be found such that the ground state of $H_{2IK}$ is again a NFL, and is similarly described by the 2CK FP.

Relevant perturbations to the above models (embodied by $\delta H_{2CK}$ and $\delta H_{2IK}$) are those that destabilize the NFL FP, and result in a FL ground state. A new scale $T^*$ is thus generated, characterizing RG flow from NFL to FL FPs. The relevance
of such perturbations can be traced to the breaking of certain symmetries, such as parity or particle-hole symmetries. In fact, there are many possible perturbations to the 2CK and 2IK models; but two perturbations may be considered “equivalent” if they break the same underlying symmetry—and their effect on the low-energy physics will be identical.

For concreteness, we consider now the simplest perturbations that exemplify such symmetry breaking and that in combination generate all possible NFL to FL crossovers at low energies/temperatures. Specifically,

$$\delta H_{2CK} = \sum_{\ell=x,y,z} \Delta_\ell \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \sum_{\alpha',\sigma} \psi_{\alpha',\beta}^\dagger \left( \frac{1}{2} \bar{\sigma}_{\alpha' \sigma} t_{\alpha' \alpha}^\ell \right) \psi_{\alpha \sigma} \cdot \vec{S} + \vec{B} \cdot \vec{S},$$

(3)
describes $L/R$ channel asymmetry in the 2CK model for $\Delta_\ell \neq 0$, while charge transfer between the leads is embodied in the $\Delta_\ell$, and $\Delta_t$ components of the first term [here, $\vec{S}(\sigma)$ are the Pauli matrices in the channel (spin) sector]. The second term describes a magnetic field acting on the impurity. For the 2IK model, the critical point is destabilized by finite ($K_c \sim K$), and also through

$$\delta H_{2IK} = \sum_\sigma (V_{LR} \psi_{0\sigma L}^\dagger \psi_{0\sigma R} + \text{H.c.}) + \vec{B}_t \cdot (\vec{S}_L - \vec{S}_R),$$

(4)
where $V_{LR}$ describes electron tunneling between the leads and $\vec{B}_t$ the application of a staggered magnetic field. Channel anisotropy could also be included in the 2IK model, but the critical point can always be recovered on retuning $K$. Similarly, spin-assisted tunneling between channels $\sum_\sigma (\psi_{0\alpha L}^\dagger \psi_{0\alpha R} \vec{S}_L \cdot \vec{S}_R + \text{H.c.})$ (as arises in a two-impurity Anderson model) is expected to have the same destabilizing effect as the $V_{LR}$ term in Eq. (4), since they both have the same symmetry at the NFL FP (although the resulting crossover energy scales may themselves be different). Thus we do not consider such perturbations explicitly here.

A. Quantities of interest

Signatures of the NFL to FL crossover on the scale of $T^*$ should appear in all physical quantities. In 2CK or 2IK quantum dot devices that could access this physics, the quantity of interest is the $dI/dV$ conductance $G_{\alpha}^c(V,T) \equiv (2e^2/h) G_{\alpha}^c(V,T)$ through channel $\alpha = L$ or $R$. Here, $G_{\alpha}^c = 4t_{\alpha}^c \Gamma_{\alpha}^c/(\Gamma_{\alpha}^c + \Gamma_{\alpha}^c)^2$ describes the relative strength of coupling to source and drain leads (see Fig. 2 for an illustration of the setup). At zero bias $V = 0$, the conductance is given exactly by

$$G_{\alpha}^c(0,T) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_\sigma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega - \frac{\partial f(\omega,T)}{\partial \omega} t_{\sigma\alpha}(\omega,T),$$

(5)
where $f(\omega,T) = (e^{\omega/T} + 1)^{-1}$ is the Fermi function, and $t_{\sigma\alpha}(\omega,T)$ is the energy-resolved local density of states (or “spectrum”),

$$t_{\sigma\alpha}(\omega,T) = -\pi \mathrm{Im} \mathcal{I}_{\sigma\alpha}(\omega,T),$$

(6)
which itself related to the $t$ matrix $T_{\sigma\alpha\sigma'}(\omega,T)$, describing scattering of an electron within channel $\alpha = L$ or $R$, with bare lead density of states per spin $\nu$. Note that for equal hybridization to source and drain leads, $\Gamma_{\alpha}^c = \Gamma_{\alpha}^c$, $G_{\alpha}^c = 1$ is maximal, while in the asymmetric limit $\Gamma_{\alpha}^c \ll \Gamma_{\alpha}^c$, $G_{\alpha}^c \ll 1$. In the latter case, the weakly coupled source lead probes the system perturbatively, so the system remains near equilibrium, even at finite $V > 0$. The resulting conductance is then simply,

$$G_{\alpha}^c(V,T) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_\sigma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega - \frac{\partial f(\omega - V,T)}{\partial \omega} t_{\sigma\alpha}(\omega,T),$$

(7)
where $t_{\sigma\alpha}$ is the equilibrium (zero-bias) spectrum.

The $t$ matrix itself must show signatures of the NFL to FL crossover since scattering is purely inelastic at the NFL FP, but inelastic scattering must cease at energies $< T^*$, where the impurity degrees of freedom are fully quenched. Thus the crossover also shows up in conductance. Our goal here is to calculate the full crossover $t$ matrix, and hence conductance, at finite temperature for the 2CK and 2IK models in the presence of symmetry-breaking perturbations described by Eqs. (1)–(4).

B. Survey of results and discussion

In the next sections, we derive an exact expression for the desired $t$ matrix, describing the universal crossover from NFL to FL behavior in the 2CK and the 2IK models at finite temperature—and which as such generalize the results of our previous work in Ref. 26. Here, we pre-empt the full derivation, and present our key results.

The NFL to FL crossover is characterized by a low-energy scale $T^*$ arising due to the presence of symmetry-breaking perturbations to the 2CK and 2IK models. It is given generically by

$$T^* = \lambda^*,$$

(8)
where $\lambda^* = \sum_\ell \lambda_\ell^*$. The eight contributions correspond to relevant perturbations that have distinct symmetry at the NFL FP. Two perturbations that have the same symmetry correspond to the same $\lambda_j$. The perturbations given in Eqs. (3) and (4) are classified, viz.,

TABLE I. Classification of perturbations.

| $\lambda_j$ | 2CK model | 2IK model |
|-------------|------------|------------|
| $\lambda_j$ | $c_1/v\Delta_{\lambda} \sqrt{T_F}$ | $c_1/(K_c - K)/\sqrt{T_F}$ |
| $\lambda_1$ | $c_1/v\Delta_{\lambda} \sqrt{T_F}$ | $c_1/v \text{Re} V_{LR} \sqrt{T_F}$ |
| $\lambda_2$ | $c_1/v\Delta_{\lambda} \sqrt{T_F}$ | $c_1/v \text{Im} V_{LR} \sqrt{T_F}$ |
| $\lambda_3$ | $c_1/v\Delta_{\lambda} \sqrt{T_F}$ | $c_1/v \text{Im} V_{LR} \sqrt{T_F}$ |
| $\lambda_B$ | $c_B^2 \sqrt{T_F}$ | $c_B^2 R/\sqrt{T_K}$ |
where $\lambda_1 \equiv [\lambda_B^x, \lambda_B^y, \lambda_B^z] = \{\lambda_4, \lambda_5, \lambda_6\}$. The perturbations associated with coupling constants $\lambda_7$ and $\lambda_8$ do not conserve total charge and are ignored here (although we note that such operators can be of importance, for example, in the context of strongly correlated superconductors).

$c_1, c_2, c_3 = O(1)$ are fitting parameters that depend on the model and on $J$, and $T_K \propto e^{-\pi/\tau}$ is the Kondo temperature, characterizing RG flow from the high-energy free fermion NFL to the NFL FP. We do not discuss the high-energy crossover in the present work.

The various perturbations described by Eqs. (3) and (4) describe very different physical processes—but the resulting crossover scale Eq. (8), has a simple form due to an emergent SO(8) symmetry of the effective NFL FP Hamiltonians, as discussed in the following sections.

The main result of this paper is the NFL to FL crossover map, given by

$$2\pi i v T_{\sigma_\alpha, \sigma'_\alpha}(\omega, T) = \delta_{\sigma_\alpha} \delta_{\sigma'_\alpha} - S_{\sigma_\alpha, \sigma'_\alpha} G(\omega, T, T^*),$$

where $S_{\sigma_\alpha, \sigma'_\alpha}$ is the scattering $S$ matrix, which is an $\omega = 0$ and $T = 0$ quantity characterizing the FL FP. For the 2CK model, it is given by

$$S_{\sigma_\alpha, \sigma'_\alpha} = -\delta_{\sigma_\alpha} \delta_{\sigma'_\alpha} + i (\vec{\lambda}_B \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\sigma}) \delta_{\alpha\alpha} / \lambda,$$

with $\vec{\lambda}_B = \{\lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_1\}$. For the 2IK model, it follows

$$S_{\sigma_\alpha, \sigma'_\alpha} = -\lambda_1 \delta_{\sigma_\alpha} \delta_{\sigma'_\alpha} + i \delta_{\sigma_\alpha} \left(\lambda_2 t_{\sigma_\alpha}^x + \lambda_3 t_{\sigma_\alpha}^y\right) + i (\vec{\lambda}_B \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{\alpha\sigma}) \delta_{\alpha\alpha} / \lambda.$$

The single function $G$ describes the crossover due to a generic combination of relevant perturbations in both 2CK and 2IK models. It does not depend on details of the model or the particular perturbations present, except through the resulting crossover scale $T^*$. Thus $G(\omega, T)$ is a universal function of rescaled energy $\tilde{\omega} = \omega / T$ and temperature $T = T / T^*$. Our exact result at finite temperature is

$$G(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{T}) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty dx \frac{e^{i \tilde{\omega} x}}{\sinh x} \times \left[ 2 F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2}, 1; 1; 1 - \coth x \right) \right].$$

where $\Gamma$ is the Gamma function and $2 F_1 (a, b; c)$ is the Gauss hypergeometric function. At $T = 0$, Eq. (12) reduces to the result of Ref. 26:

$$G(\tilde{\omega}, 0) = \frac{2}{\pi} K \left[ -i \tilde{\omega} \right],$$

where $K[z]$ is the complete elliptic integral of the first kind, yielding asymptotically $G(\tilde{\omega}, 0) \sim 1 - i \tilde{\omega} / 4 - (3 \tilde{\omega}^2 / 8) + 0(\tilde{\omega}^3)$ for $\tilde{\omega} \ll 1$ and $G(\tilde{\omega}, 0) \sim 2 / 25 \pi [\pi - 2 \ln(16 \tilde{\omega})] \tilde{\omega}^{-1/2} + 0(\tilde{\omega}^3)$ for $\tilde{\omega} \gg 1$.

Below we consider the local density of states (spectrum) $t_{\sigma_\alpha}(\omega, T)$, from which conductance can be calculated [see Eqs. (5) and (7)]. It is related to the $t$ matrix via Eq. (6), and is thus given exactly along the NFL to FL crossover by Eqs. (9)–(12):

$$t_{\sigma_\alpha}(\omega, T) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}[S_{\sigma_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha} G(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{T})],$$

where the required diagonal elements of the full $S$ matrix [Eqs. (10) and (11)] are more simply expressed as

$$\xi_{\sigma_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha} = -\alpha \lambda_1 + i \lambda_B^2 / \lambda = \alpha S_{\sigma_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha}^{2CK}$$

with $\alpha = \pm 1$ for spins $\uparrow$ or $\downarrow$ and $\lambda = \pm 1$ for channel $L / R$ (and we use $\lambda_B = 0$ for simplicity). For $\lambda_B^x = \lambda_B^y = 0$ and $\lambda_B^z = 0$, scattering preserves channel and spin, and the FL phase shift $\delta_{\sigma_\alpha}$ then follows from $S_{\sigma_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha} = \exp[2i \delta_{\sigma_\alpha}]$. These exact results for the crossover are compared with finite-temperature NRG calculations in Sec. VII, with excellent agreement.

We now examine the generic behavior of the spectral function at finite temperatures in the crossover regime. Although we consider explicitly $L$-channel spectra $t_{\sigma_\alpha}(\omega, T)$ in the following, note from Eq. (15) that $t_{\sigma_\alpha}(\omega, T) = t_{\sigma_\alpha}(\omega, T^{*})$ upon exchanging $\Delta_\alpha$ with $-\Delta_\alpha$ in the 2CK model, or $B_\alpha$ with $-B_\alpha$ in the 2IK model. Also, $t_{\sigma_\alpha}(\omega, T)$ is $\omega$-independent on reversing the magnetic field, $B \leftrightarrow -B$, and in the zero-field case, $\sigma = \uparrow$ and $\downarrow$ spectra are of course identical.

In Fig. 3, we take the representative case of finite channel anisotropy $\Delta_\alpha$ in the 2CK model, or finite detuning $(K - K_c)$ in the 2IK model, and plot $t_{\sigma_\alpha}(\omega, T)$ as a full function of $\omega / T^*$ for different temperatures $T / T^*$. Since only $\lambda_1$ acts in either case, $S_{\sigma_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha} = \pm \delta_{\sigma_\alpha} \delta_{\alpha\alpha}$ is diagonal [see Eqs. (10) and (11)], meaning that an electron in channel $\alpha$ scatters elastically at low energies, and stays in channel $\alpha$. By Eq. (14), the spectrum $t_{\sigma_\alpha}(\omega, T)$ then probes the real part of the universal function $G$ because $S_{\sigma_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha}$ is real.

General scaling arguments suggest that RG flow stops on an energy scale given by the temperature. As seen from Fig. 3, this is indeed the case, with the spectrum $t_{\sigma_\alpha}(\omega, T) \sim t_{\sigma_\alpha}(0, T)$ essentially constant for $|\omega| \ll T$. Mutatis mutandis, for $T \ll T^*$ one obtains $t_{\sigma_\alpha}(\omega, T) \sim t_{\sigma_\alpha}(\omega, 0)$, corresponding to the $T = 0$ limit considered previously. At $T = 0$ and $\omega = 0$, Eq. (14) yields $t_{\sigma_\alpha}(0, T) \equiv t_{\sigma_\alpha}(0, 0)$, which is determined solely by the $S$ matrix and hence the phase shift associated with the stable FL FP. When only $\lambda_1$ acts, the spectrum is thus $t_{\sigma_\alpha}(0, 0) = 0$ or 1 only (with corresponding phase shifts 0 or $\pi / 2$). In particular, the Kondo phase is
characterized by unitarity $t_{\sigma\alpha}(0,0) = 1$, obtained in the more strongly coupled channel for the 2CK model, and in both channels for $K < K_c$ in the 2IK model.

In the opposite limit $T \gg T^*$ ($\ll T_K$), RG flow to the FL FP is completely cut off, and inelastic scattering at the NFL FP results in $t_{\sigma\alpha}(\omega, T) \approx \frac{1}{2}$ for all $|\omega| \ll T_K$. The generic RG picture illustrated in Fig. 1 and supported by Fig. 3, suggests an approximate complementarity between $\omega$ and $T$. This is explored further in Fig. 4, where we compare the zero-frequency value of the spectrum as a function of temperature with the zero-temperature spectrum as a function of frequency. As immediately seen, there is a striking similarity. Indeed, a classic signature of FL physics (arising at low-energies/temperatures $|\omega|, T \ll T^*$) is the common quadratic dependence of the spectrum on both frequency and temperature:

$$t_{\sigma\alpha}(\omega, T) \sim t_{\sigma\alpha}(0,0) + a \left(\frac{\omega}{T}\right)^2 + b \left(\frac{T}{T^*}\right)^2,$$

with $a$ and $b$ constants $O(1)$ that depend on the particular model under consideration. Perturbation theory with respect to the FL FP in the spirit of Nozières yields $a/b = 9/(7\pi^2)$ for the 2IK model (see Appendix A). This same ratio also follows directly from the limiting behavior of the full crossover function, Eq. (12), which yields $|a| = 9/128 \approx 0.07$ and $|b| \approx 7\pi^2/128 \approx 0.54$, and as such provides a stringent check of our results.

But the exact symmetry between $\omega$ and $T$ in Eq. (16) is a special property of the FL FP itself, and does not, in general, apply at higher energies; although as seen from Fig. 4, the qualitative behavior over the full crossover is in fact rather similar. In the vicinity of the NFL FP (for $T^* \ll \max(\omega, T) \ll T_K$), Eq. (12) gives asymptotically

$$t_{\sigma\alpha}(\omega, T = 0) \approx \frac{1}{2} \pm \left(\frac{\beta'}{\delta + \ln \omega} + \frac{\omega}{T^*}\right)^{-1},$$

$$t_{\sigma\alpha}(\omega = 0, T) \approx \frac{1}{2} \pm \beta'/\left(\frac{T}{T^*}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

with $\pm$ for $\lambda_1 \geq 0$, $\beta' = \frac{(1-\pi)(2-\pi+\ln 6\pi)}{2\pi} \approx 0.5061$ (where $\gamma$ is Euler’s constant), $\delta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \approx -0.1125$, and $\beta' \approx 0.4925$. Terms of the form $(\omega/T^*)^{1/2}$ and $(T/T^*)^{1/2}$ in Eq. (17) signal the scaling dimension $1/2$ of the relevant perturbation. Whereas such power laws occur in both the frequency and temperature dependencies, additional logarithmic corrections appear in the frequency dependence only. This difference can be understood by comparing the full $T = 0$ result [see Eq. (13)] with the high-$T$ behavior captured by perturbation theory around the NFL FP (see Ref. 43 and Appendix B). The full dependence on $\omega$ and $T$ described by Eq. (12) naturally leads to more subtle behavior when $|\omega|$ and $T$ are of the same order, as shown in Fig. 3.

When some degree of interchannel charge transfer is also present, the NFL to FL crossover is generated by the combination of relevant perturbations $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$. In the 2CK model, this corresponds to finite channel anisotropy $\Delta_\alpha$ and impurity-mediated tunneling $\Delta_\alpha$ [see Eq. (3)], while for 2IK it corresponds to finite detuning $(K - K_c)$ and direct tunneling $V_{LR}$ [see Eq. (4)]. The resulting behavior in the 2CK model can be simply understood because the perturbations $\Delta_\alpha$ and $\Delta_\alpha$ are related by a “flavor” rotation of the bare Hamiltonian, as discussed further in Sec. VI A. The 2IK model does not possess such a flavor symmetry, although an emergent symmetry, related to a pocket of the NFL FP Hamiltonian can be exploited when $T^* \ll T_K$. In fact, as shown in Sec. VI C, this symmetry allows all the relevant perturbations in either 2CK or 2IK models to be simply related, implying the existence of a single crossover function.

The rotation $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \to (\lambda_1 \pm \lambda_2)$ can be used to relate systems where both $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ act, to those in which $\lambda_1$ alone acts (as in Fig. 3). But $t_{\sigma\alpha}(\omega, T)$ probes the system in the original unrotated basis, and hence the spectra undergo a rescaling when finite $\lambda_2$ is included: $t_{\sigma\alpha}(\omega, T) \to \frac{1}{2} + |\frac{\alpha}{\lambda z}| [t_{\sigma\alpha}^L(\omega, T) \to \frac{1}{2}]$. In particular, the spectral function is totally flattened the limit $|\lambda_2| \gg |\lambda_1|$, with $t_{\sigma\alpha} \approx 1/2$ at both FL and NFL FPs. At the FL FP, electrons thus scatter elastically between $\alpha = L$ and $R$ channels, and the corresponding $S$ matrix $|S_{\sigma\alpha,\sigma'}(\omega)|^2 = \delta_{\sigma\alpha}(1 - \delta_{\sigma\alpha})$ is purely off-diagonal when interchannel charge transfer dominates [see Eqs. (10) and (11)]. Thus no crossover shows up in the spectrum or conductance, although $T^*$ of is of course finite [see Eq. (8)], and the crossover can still apply in other physical quantities.

When a uniform (2CK) or staggered (2IK) magnetic field acts (finite $\lambda_B^0$ only), $S_{\sigma\alpha,\sigma'} = \pm i$ is pure imaginary (with phase shifts $\delta_{\sigma\alpha} = \pm \pi/4$), and again, we obtain $t_{\sigma\alpha}(0,0) = 1/2$ at the FL FP. However, $t_{\sigma\alpha}(\omega, T)$ now probes the imaginary part of the universal function $G$ [see Eq. (14)], and so the full spectrum along the NFL to FL crossover due to $\lambda_B^0$ is simply the Hilbert transform of the spectrum due to $\lambda_1$—compare Figs. 3 and 5.

A spectral feature in consequence appears on the intermediate scale of $T^*$ for finite $\lambda_B^0$, even though $t_{\sigma\alpha} = 1/2$ at both NFL and FL FPs, as shown in Fig. 5. The existence of such a feature can be understood physically from the impurity magnetization $M \sim B^z$ arising for small applied field $B^z$ in the 2CK model (or staggered magnetization $M^z \sim B^z$ due to a staggered field in the 2IK model). Since the magnetization $M(T) \propto \int_0^\infty d\omega f(\omega)(t_{\sigma\alpha}(\omega, T) - t_{\sigma\alpha}(\omega)) \neq 0$ is finite for finite applied field, $\lambda_B(\omega, T) \neq \lambda_B^0(\omega, T)$. A “pocket” thus
since perturbation theory around the FL and NFL FPs. Furthermore, \( T \) is shown in Fig. 6, demonstrating scaling collapse for \( \lambda z \) valid for small perturbations from above \( (\sigma = \uparrow) \) or below \( (\sigma = \downarrow) \). Circles show \( T = 0 \) result.

The high-frequency cutoff \( \omega \), as confirmed directly from NRG.

Using Eq. (13), the zero-temperature magnetization depends on \( T_K \) via

\[
M(0) = e \sqrt{T_K T^*} \ln \left( \frac{T_K}{T^*} \right),
\]

and since \( T^* \sim (B^2) / T_K \) [see Eq. (8)], it follows that \( M(0) \sim B^2 \ln(T_K / B^2) \). The full temperature dependence \( M(T) \) versus \( T \) is shown in Fig. 6, demonstrating scaling collapse for different Kondo scales \( T_K \). The asymptotic behavior in the vicinity of the FL and NFL FPs follows as

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{T_K T^*}} [M(T) - M(0)] \sim -d \left( \frac{T}{T^*} \right)^2,
\]

yielding in particular \( M(T) \sim B^2 \ln(T_K / T) \) when \( T^* \ll T \ll T_K \). This asymptotic behavior can again be understood from perturbation theory around the FL and NFL FPs. Furthermore, since \( \chi_{imp}(T) = \lim_{B^2 \to 0} M(T) / B^2 \), when \( T^* \ll B^2 \ll T \ll T_K \), one obtains

\[
\chi_{imp}(T) \sim \ln(T_K / T).
\]

for the uniform (staggered) magnetic susceptibility of the 2CK (2IK) model. This diverging susceptibility is a classic signature of the NFL FP, known for example, from the Bethe ansatz solution of the 2CK model, or from CFT for the 2IK model. However, at lower temperatures \( T \ll B^2 \), \( M(T) / B^2 \) does not correspond to the magnetic susceptibility; here, the NFL to FL crossover itself is being probed. Indeed, for \( T \ll T^* \ll B^2 \), we expect a quadratic \((T/T^*)^2\) temperature dependence of magnetization, Eq. (20a), characteristic of the FL FP.

Finally, we turn to conductance \( G_\alpha^\sigma(V,T) \), obtained from the spectrum \( t_{\sigma\alpha}(\omega, T) \) by combining Eqs. (7), (12), and (14). It follows as

\[
\frac{\pi i}{\sinh(\pi T / 2)} \left\{ \text{th}(x) - \exp \left( \frac{i}{\pi T} \sqrt{27} \right) \left[ 1 + \frac{i}{\pi T} \sinh \left( \frac{\sqrt{27} x}{2} \right) \right] \right\}.
\]

with rescaled \( \tilde{V} = V / T^* \), \( \tilde{T} = T / T^* \), \( \tilde{\omega} = \omega / T^* \) as before. In particular, at zero bias,

\[
I(V = 0, T, x) = -\frac{\pi i T}{\cosh^2 \left( \frac{\pi x}{2} \right)}.
\]
A color plot of conductance $\tilde{G}_c^L(V,T)$ along the NFL to FL crossover is shown in Fig. 7, for the representative case of $\lambda_1 > 0$. The black lines connect regions of equal conductance. In the FL regime $V,T \ll T^*$, the quadratic form of Eq. (16) thus yields a simple ellipse, while in the NFL regime there is a pronounced $V,T$ anisotropy. The detailed behavior of Eq. (22) is seen on taking cuts through Fig. 7 at constant $V$ and $T$, as shown in Fig. 8.

Returning to the 2CK quantum dot system of Ref. 24, we comment now on the possible strength of symmetry-breaking perturbations present in the experiment. Due to the Coulomb blockade physics of the quantum box, interchannel charge transfer was effectively suppressed. In the absence of a magnetic field, the dominant perturbation is thus channel anisotropy, $\Delta_z$ [see Eq. (3)]. The experiment showed a 2CK scaling of conductance around $T_K$, but no FL crossover at $T^*$.

This implies $T^* \ll T_K$—see, for example, Fig. 3 for $T/T^* = 100$, which shows little sign of the crossover. Since $T/T_K \approx 0.1$ in the experiment and taking $T/T^* > 100$, from Eq. (8) and Table I it follows that $c_1 \sim 0.03$ [$c_1 = \mathcal{O}(1)$ depends on details of the model/device setup]. The observed 2CK physics is thus an impressive testament to the tunability and control available in such quantum dot devices.

Having presented our main results and discussed their physical implications, we turn in the following sections to the formal derivation.

**III. Exact $T = 0$ Crossover Green Function in the 2IK Model**

Our goal is an exact expression for the NFL to FL crossover $t$ matrix, which is related to the electron Green function. In Ref. 26, we calculated the crossover at $T = 0$; further details of that calculation are presented here, providing as they do the necessary foundations for our generalization of the results to finite temperature.

**A. Fixed Point Hamiltonians and Green Functions**

The structure of the NFL fixed point Hamiltonian of the 2IK model allows for an elegant description of the NFL to FL crossover.28 Before presenting that derivation, we discuss first some relevant preliminaries that will be of later use. In particular, we consider now the representation of the fixed point Hamiltonians within CFT and the structure of the corresponding Green functions.

Our starting point is a description of the free conduction electron Hamiltonian $H_0$ in terms of chiral Dirac fermions. A 1D quadratic dispersion relation $\epsilon(k) \equiv \epsilon_k = k^2/2m - \epsilon_F$ can be linearized near the Fermi points $k = \pm k_F$, $\epsilon_k \approx \pm v_F(k \mp k_F)$ (with $v_F$ the Fermi velocity). This is the standard case and applies to arbitrary dimension within the assumption that the bare density of states is flat at low energies. Conduction electron operators can be Fourier transformed and expanded near the Fermi points, focusing on states within width...
2D ≪ v_F k_F around $\epsilon_F$:

$$\Psi_{\sigma \alpha}(x) = \sum_k e^{i k x} \Psi_{k \sigma \alpha}$$

$$\simeq e^{i k x} \sum_{k = k_F - D/2}^{k_F + D/2} \Psi_{k \sigma \alpha} e^{i(k - k_F) x} + (k_F \rightarrow -k_F).$$

(25)

We thus define left and right movers, \( \psi(\lambda, \tau) \),

$$\psi(\lambda, \tau) \sigma \alpha(x) = \sum_{k = -D/2}^{D/2} e^{i k x} \psi_{k \lambda \tau \sigma \alpha},$$

(26)

defined for \( x \gtrless 0 \), with \( x \) the distance from the impurities located at the “boundary” \( x = 0 \). With a boundary condition \( \psi_{\tau \sigma \alpha}(0) = \psi_{\tau \sigma \alpha}(0) \), we introduce a single left-moving chiral Dirac fermion for all \( x \), \( \psi_{\sigma \alpha}(x) = \theta(x) \psi_{\tau \sigma \alpha}(x) + \theta(-x) \psi_{\bar{\tau} \sigma \alpha}(-x) \). Since the new operators satisfy the usual fermionic anticommutation relations \( \{ \psi_{\sigma \alpha}(x), \psi_{\sigma' \alpha'}(x') \} = \delta_{\sigma \sigma'}\delta_{\alpha \alpha'}\delta(x - x') \), the free Hamiltonian \( H_0 \) can be expressed as a chiral Hamiltonian,45

$$H_0 = v_F \sum_{\sigma, \alpha} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \psi_{\sigma \alpha}^{\dagger} i \partial_x \psi_{\sigma \alpha}.$$  (27)

Hereafter, we set \( \nu = 1 \) [and the Fermi level density of states is then \( \nu = 1/(2\pi v_F) \)]

The free electron Green function then follows as46

$$\langle \psi_{\sigma \alpha}(0, x_1) \psi_{\sigma' \alpha'}^{\dagger}(0, x_2) \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{\beta} \delta_{\sigma \sigma'} \delta_{\alpha \alpha'} \sin \left[ \frac{\pi}{\beta} (\tau + i x_1 - i x_2) \right]$$

$$\equiv \delta_{\sigma \sigma'} \delta_{\alpha \alpha'} G^0(\tau, x_1 - x_2),$$  (28)

where \( \tau \) is imaginary time. The corresponding Matsubara Green function is then obtained by the transformations

$$G^0(\tau, x_1 - x_2) = \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_n e^{-i \omega_n \tau} G^0(x_1 - x_2, i \omega_n),$$

$$G^0(x_1 - x_2, i \omega_n) = \int_{-\beta/2}^{\beta/2} e^{i \omega_n \tau} G^0(\tau, x_1 - x_2).$$  (29)

with Matsubara frequencies \( \omega_n = \frac{\pi}{\beta} (1 + 2n) \) for integer \( n \), and where \( \beta = 1/k_B T \) is inverse temperature. Direct evaluation of Eq. (29) using Eq. (28) then yields simply,

$$G^0(x_1 - x_2, i \omega_n) \equiv i e^{i \omega_n (x_1 - x_2)} \delta_{\sigma \sigma'} \delta_{\alpha \alpha'} [\theta(\omega_n) \theta(x_1 - x_2) - \theta(-\omega_n) \theta(x_2 - x_1)].$$  (30)

Of course, the interesting behavior arises when coupling to the impurities is switched on. As usual,1 the full Green function is related to the \( t \) matrix via

$$G(x_1, x_2, i \omega_n) = G^0(x_1 - x_2, i \omega_n)$$

$$+ G^0(x_1, i \omega_n) \mathcal{T}(i \omega_n) G^0(-x_2, i \omega_n),$$  (31)

where \( \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}^0, \) and \( \mathcal{T} \) are \( 4 \times 4 \) matrices with indices taking the values \( \sigma \alpha = \uparrow, \downarrow, \uparrow R, \downarrow R \). In particular, it should be noted that the \( t \) matrix is local. Equations (30) and (31) also imply that if \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) have equal sign then the full Green function reduces to the free Green function, reflecting the chiral nature of the Dirac fermion, Eq. (27). Since all such fermions are now left moving, information about scattering from the impurities located at the boundary \( x = 0 \)—and hence the \( t \) matrix—is obtained from the full Green function with \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) located on opposite sides of the boundary.

The free Green function (28) is naturally obtained at FPs where the free boundary condition pertains. But at any conformally invariant FP, the powerful machinery of boundary CFT gives nonperturbative information about the Green function. Specifically, when the boundary condition is obtained from fusing with some primary field \( \alpha \), then correlation functions are given generally by32

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_d(\tau, x_1) \mathcal{O}_d^\dagger(0, i x_2) \rangle = \frac{S_0/\tilde{S}_0}{S_d/\tilde{S}_d} (\tau + i x_1 - i x_2)^2 d,$$  (32)

where \( d \) is the scaling dimension of the primary field \( \mathcal{O} \) and \( S_j \) are elements of the modular \( S \) matrix.32 Using the conformal mapping from the plane to the cylinder with circumference \( \beta \), one obtains32 the generalization to finite temperature \( T = \beta^{-1} \),

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_d(\tau, x_1) \mathcal{O}_d^\dagger(0, i x_2) \rangle = \left[ \frac{\beta}{\pi} \sin \left[ \frac{\pi}{\beta} (\tau + i x_1 - i x_2) \right] \right]^{2 d}.$$  (33)

In the present context, we are interested in the electron Green function at the conformally invariant free fermion, NFL, and FL FPs of the 2IK model. With \( \mathcal{O} = \psi_{\tau \sigma \alpha} \) the \( d = 1/2 \) fermion field, the full FP Green functions takes the form33

$$G^{BCFT}_{\sigma \alpha, \sigma' \alpha'}(\tau, x_1 - x_2) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\beta}{\pi} \delta_{\sigma \sigma'} \delta_{\alpha \alpha'} \sin \left[ \frac{\pi}{\beta} (\tau + i x_1 - i x_2) \right],$$  (34)

where \( S \) can be understood as the one-particle to one-particle scattering matrix and can be calculated from the modular \( S \) matrix in the case of boundary conditions obtained by fusion.32 Thus the effective FP theory is identical to that of the free theory discussed above, but with a modified boundary condition that determines the scattering matrix \( S \).

Choosing \( x_1 > 0 \) and \( x_2 < 0 \) in Eq. (31) yields \( i \mathcal{T} = \mathbb{I} - S \), or equivalently \( t_{\sigma \alpha} = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \text{Re} S_{\sigma \alpha, \sigma \alpha}) \), where the above convention \( \nu = 1/(2\pi) \) was used. At an FL FP, the scattering matrix is unitary,1 \( S^* S = \mathbb{I} \), and as such describes purely elastic scattering. By contrast, at the NFL FP of the 2IK model, it has been shown23 that \( S = 0 \), which implies fully inelastic scattering: a single electron sent in to scatter off the impurities decays completely into collective excitations, and no single-particle state emerges. Such behavior is manifest by a half-unitarity spectrum, \( t_{\sigma \alpha} = 1/2 \). However, along a crossover between FPs, the Green function does not, in general, take the form of Eq. (34).

B. S0(8) Majorana fermion representation

Further insight into the FPs of the 2IK model is provided by a representation in terms of Majorana fermions (MFs). Considering again the free theory described by \( H_0 \), four nonlocal fermions can be defined by Abelian bosonization and refermionization17,27,47 of the four original Dirac fermions \( \psi_{\tau \sigma \alpha} \) with spin \( \sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow \) and channel index \( \alpha = L, R \). 8 MFs are then obtained by taking the real and imaginary part of each.
Specifically, four bosonic fields $\phi_{\sigma a}$ are defined, viz.,
\[ \psi_{\sigma a} \sim F_{\sigma a} e^{-i\phi_{\sigma a}}, \tag{35} \]
where $F_{\sigma a}$ are Klein factors. Linear combinations of these bosonic fields are then used to construct new fields,
\[ \{\phi_c, \phi_s, \phi_f, \phi_X\} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma a} \phi_{\sigma a} \{(1, -1)^{\sigma+1}, (1, -1)^{\sigma+1}, (1, -1)^{\sigma+1}\}, \tag{36} \]
where $\sigma \equiv \uparrow, \downarrow = 1, 2$ and $\alpha \equiv L, R = 1, 2$. Refermionizing yields
\[ \psi_A \sim F_A e^{-i\phi_A} \quad (A = c, s, f, X), \tag{37} \]
where the Klein factors $F_A$ are related to $F_{\sigma a}$ as described in Appendix C. Thus four new fermionic species $\psi_A$ are defined, with $A = c, s, f, X$ corresponding to “charge,” “spin,” “flavor,” and “spin-flavor.” The real and imaginary parts of each,
\[ \chi^A_\alpha = \frac{\psi^\dagger_A + \psi_A}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \chi^A_\alpha = \frac{\psi^\dagger_A - \psi_A}{\sqrt{2}}, \tag{38} \]
fulfill the Majorana property $(\chi^A_\alpha)^\dagger = \chi^A_\alpha$ and satisfy separately the fermionic anticommutation relation $\{\chi^A_\alpha(x), \chi^A_\beta(x')\} = \delta_{ij} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta(x - x')$, and so are referred to as MFs.

The free-fermion FP Hamiltonian [corresponding to Eqs. (1) and (2) with $J = 0$ and $\delta H = 0$] then follows as
\[ H_{FP} = \frac{i}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \vec{\chi} \cdot \partial_t \vec{\chi}(x), \tag{39} \]
where $\vec{\chi} \equiv \{\chi^c_\downarrow, \chi^f_\downarrow, \chi^s_\downarrow, \chi^X_\downarrow, \chi^c_\uparrow, \chi^f_\uparrow, \chi^s_\uparrow, \chi^X_\uparrow\}$, and the scattering states are defined by the trivial boundary condition $\vec{\chi}(x) = \vec{\chi}(-x)|_{x \to 0}$, the fixed point thus possesses a large SO(8) symmetry in terms of these MFs.

Likewise, the FL FP Hamiltonian (in which the impurity degrees of freedom are quenched) is similarly described by Eq. (39). The corresponding boundary condition is encoded in the single-particle FL scattering S matrix $S_{\sigma \sigma', \sigma'\omega' \omega}$. Although it depends on the specific perturbations generating the crossover, the boundary condition is thus trivial at the FL FP. In particular, finite detuning $K > K_c$ results in $\vec{\chi}(x) = \vec{\chi}(-x)|_{x \to 0}$, as obtained at the free fermion FP.

The remarkable fact is that the NFL FP Hamiltonian also takes the form of Eq. (39), and its nontrivial boundary condition is again simple in terms of the MFs. It can be accounted for by defining the scattering states $\chi^c_\alpha(x) = -\chi^c_\alpha(-x)|_{x \to 0}$, and $\chi^f_\alpha(x) = \chi^f_\alpha(-x)|_{x \to 0}$ for $(j, A) \neq (2, X)$. Thus seven of the eight MFs are described by the free theory at the NFL FP.

### C. Bose-Ising decomposition

The FP Hamiltonian (39) describes a higher SO(8) symmetry than in the original Hamiltonian. The explicit symmetries of the 2IK model also allow a separation of the theory into different symmetry sectors. Specifically, the SU(2)$_1$ x SU(2)$_2$ x SU(2)$_2$ x Z$_2$ symmetry sectors comprise a Bose-Ising representation, describing a coset construction of three Wess-Zumino-Witten (WZW) nonlinear $\sigma$ models, together with a Z$_2$ Ising model. The two SU(2)$_1$ theories with central charge $c = 1$ correspond to conserved charge in the left and right channels. The SU(2)$_2$ theory with $c = 3/2$ corresponds to conserved total spin. Finally, the Ising model $Z_2$ is a $c = 1/2$ theory corresponding to a single MF. This non-Abelian representation is connected with the eight MFs, as discussed in Ref. 47. The symmetry “currents” of those sectors, such as the spin current $J(x) = \psi^\dagger(x)\psi^\dagger(x)$, are represented quadratically in terms of MFs as described in Appendix C. Specifically, the SU(2)$_1$ x SU(2)$_2$ charge currents in left and right channels are represented in terms of 4 MFs $\{\chi^c_\alpha, \chi^f_\alpha\}$ and $\{\chi^{s}_\alpha, \chi^{X}_\alpha\}$, while the SU(2)$_2$ spin current $J$ is represented in terms of three MFs, $\vec{\chi}_s = \{\chi^c_\alpha, \chi^f_\alpha, \chi^X_\alpha\}$. The $Z_2$ theory corresponds to the remaining MF, $\chi^c_\downarrow$.

The important implication for our purposes is that the Green function can be factorized into pieces coming from different sectors associated with the various MFs. We now exploit the above Bose-Ising construction, in terms of which the fermion field can be expressed by the bosonization formula,
\[ \psi_{\sigma a}(x) \propto \langle [h_a]_1(x)g_{\sigma a}(x)\sigma_L(x) \rangle. \tag{40} \]

Here, the dimension $d = 1/2$ fermion field has been decomposed into a dimension $d_h = 1/4$ factor $[h_a]_1$, representing the $\alpha = L, R$ spin-$1/2$ primary field of the SU(2)$_1$ charge theories, a dimension $d_g = 1/3$ factor $[g_{\sigma a}]_2$ representing the spin-$1/2$ primary field of the SU(2)$_2$ spin theory, and the dimension $d_{\sigma_L} = 1/16$ factor $\sigma_L$ originating from the Ising sector.

The subscript $L$ emphasizes that $\sigma_L$ is only the left-moving chiral component of the full spin operator $\sigma$ of the Ising sector, arising here because $\psi_{\sigma a}$ is the chiral left-moving fermion field. Since the NFL FP of the 2IK model is conformally invariant, we may use Eqs. (40) and (33) to determine the contribution to the full Green function coming from each of the sectors:
\[ G_{\sigma \sigma', \sigma' \omega'}^{NFL}(t, x_1 - x_2) \propto \delta_{\sigma \sigma'} \delta_{\sigma' \omega'} \langle [h_a]_1(x_1)g_{\sigma a}(0)g_{\sigma a}(0, i x_2) \rangle \tag{41} \]

The free boundary condition in the charge and spin sectors yields the first factor, corresponding to the free Green function Eq. (28) but with power $2(d_h + d_g) = 7/8$ arising because seven of the eight MFs are associated with these sectors. The NFL boundary condition is expressed in terms of fusing with the $d_h = 1/16$ field $\sigma_L$ from the Ising sector in Eq. (40). The second factor thus comes from the remaining Ising sector. At the NFL FP it follows from Eq. (33) that $\langle \sigma_L(t, i x_1)\sigma_L(0, i x_2) \rangle = \left( \frac{S_{\sigma_L}^{(16)}}{S_{\sigma_L}^{(0)}} \right)^2 \langle G^0(t, x_1 - x_2) \rangle$.

In summary, the nontrivial boundary condition at the NFL FP affects only the Ising sector of the 2IK model. The function $\langle \sigma_L(t, i x_1)\sigma_L(0, i x_2) \rangle$ in Eq. (41) is a quantity pertaining to the $Z_2$ Ising model and contains the nontrivial physics; while the spin and charge sectors are simply spectators. In the next section, we exploit Eq. (41) and a connection between the 2IK and a classical Ising model to determine the Green function along the crossover from the NFL FP to the FL FP.
D. Crossover due to $K \neq K_c$ in the 2IK model

As highlighted above, the NFL FP of the 2IK model is described by the free theory in all sectors except the Ising sector, which takes a modified boundary condition. When the small perturbation $\lambda_1$ is included (corresponding to detuning $K \neq K_c$), the NFL FP is destabilized. The effective Hamiltonian in the vicinity of the NFL FP is then $H_{\text{QCP}} = H_{\text{FP}}(\vec{\chi}) + \delta H_{\text{QCP}}$, with $H_{\text{FP}}$ the FP Hamiltonian itself, given in Eq. (39) and parametrized in terms of the scattering states $\vec{\chi}$ that encode the boundary condition. The correction is given by

$$\delta H_{\text{QCP}} \propto i\lambda_1\chi^X_0(0)\,a, \quad (42)$$

where $a$ is a local MF involving impurity spin operators$^{17}$ that satisfies $a^2 \equiv 1$ and anticommutes with all other MFs, $\chi^X_0$.

The perturbation $\lambda_1$ thus acts only in the Ising sector. Indeed, the difference in boundary conditions between the NFL and FL FPs is also confined to the Ising sector (all other sectors have free boundary conditions at both FPs). The entire crossover from NFL to FL FP thus occurs completely within the Ising sector because the $\lambda_1$ perturbation does not spoil the decoupling of the sectors, which becomes exact$^{32}$ in the limit $T^* \ll T_K$. The other sectors then act as spectators along the crossover.

This implies a generalization of Eq. (41) to the full crossover. One can still interpret the first factor in Eq. (41) with power $7/8$ as the product of autocorrelation functions of seven spin fields that undergo no change in the boundary condition. But the $\sigma$ field originating in the $Z_2$ sector in the $\text{SU}(2)_1 \times \text{SU}(2)_1 \times \text{SU}(2)_2 \times Z_2$ construction has flowing boundary condition (which is not conformally invariant).

We consider first the equal-time Green function with $x_1 = -x_2$ positioned symmetrically on either side of the boundary:

$$\langle \psi^\dagger_{\sigma a}(x)\psi_{\sigma a^\prime}(x^\prime) \rangle \equiv G_{\sigma a,\sigma^\prime a^\prime}(x, x'),$$

$$\propto [G^0(0, 2x)]^{1/2} \times \langle \sigma_L(x, i\tau)\sigma_L(x, -i\tau) \rangle, \quad (43)$$

where the factor $\langle \sigma_L(x, i\tau)\sigma_L(x, -i\tau) \rangle$ now describes the crossover in the Ising sector in terms of the chiral (left-moving) Ising magnetization operator $\sigma_L(x, i\tau)$.

We now use standard boundary CFT methods$^{31}$ to relate the two-point chiral function $\langle \sigma_L(x, i\tau)\sigma_L(x, -i\tau) \rangle$ living in the full plane [see Fig. 9(b)] to the product of chiral holomorphic $\sigma_L(x + i\tau)$ and antiholomorphic $\sigma_R(x - i\tau)$ operators living in the half plane with a boundary. But bulk operators in CFT may be expressed as $\langle \sigma(x, t) \rangle = \langle \sigma_L(t, i\tau)\sigma_R(t, -i\tau) \rangle = \langle \sigma(x, t) \rangle$ in terms of the bulk Ising magnetization operator, evaluated at a distance $x$ from the boundary [see Fig. 9].

Finally, we note that $\langle \sigma(x, t) \rangle \equiv \langle \sigma(x) \rangle$ is independent of $t$ due to translational invariance along the boundary. The Green function along the NFL to FL crossover then follows as

$$G_{\sigma a,\sigma^\prime a^\prime}(0, x, -x) = \delta_{a a'}\delta_{a a'} \left( \frac{1}{8\pi i} \right)^{1/2} \langle G^0(0, 2x) \rangle^{1/2} \langle \sigma(x) \rangle, \quad (44)$$

with the factor $(8\pi i)^{-1/8}$ required for normalization. At long distances where the Green function describes the FL FP, Eq. (44) implies $\langle \sigma(x) \rangle = (2/x)^{1/8}$ as $T \to 0$. We now exploit a connection$^{33}$ between the 2IK model at criticality with a simpler Ising model to obtain $\langle \sigma(x) \rangle$ and hence the exact Green function $G_{\sigma a,\sigma^\prime a^\prime}(x)$ along the NFL to FL crossover.

When a small magnetic field $h$ is applied to the boundary of a classical Ising model on a semi-infinite plane, the local magnetization shows a crossover$^{31,32}$ as a function of distance from the boundary. The crossover in this boundary Ising model (BIM) can be understood as an RG flow$^{31,32}$ from an unstable FP at short distances (with free boundary condition $h = 0$), to a stable FP at large distances (with fixed boundary condition $h = \pm \infty$). The universal crossover is characterized by an energy scale $T^* = 4\pi h^2$ (or a corresponding lengthscale $\xi^* \propto 1/T^*$).

Importantly, it was shown in Ref. 23 that the RG flow in the BIM due to small $h$ at the critical temperature is identical to the RG flow in the 2IK model due to small detuning $K \neq K_c$ at $T = 0$. As such, the NFL and FL FPs of the 2IK model can be understood in terms of the BIM FPs with free and fixed boundary conditions. The crossover energy scale in the 2IK model can then be identified as

$$T^* = \frac{c_1^2(K - K_c)^2}{T_K} \equiv \lambda_1^2 : 2IK, \quad (45)$$

with $c_1 = O(1)$ as in Table I.

In Ref. 33, Chatterjee and Zamolodchikov derived an exact expression for the Ising magnetization $\langle \sigma(x) \rangle$ on the semi-infinite plane geometry in the continuum limit. Their result$^{33}$ is

$$\langle \sigma(x) \rangle_{\text{CL}} = \pm (2/x)^{1/8} \sqrt{8h^2 x e^{-\pi h^2 x}} K_0(4\pi h^2 x), \quad (46)$$

with $K_0$ the modified Bessel function of the second kind; and $\pm$ for $h \geq 0$. We take now $h > 0$ (corresponding to $K > K_c$) for concreteness. Note that Eq. (46) yields asymptotically $\langle \sigma(x) \rangle = (2/x)^{1/8}$ at long distances $x \to \infty$, consistent with the normalization of Eq. (44).

We now show that the analyticity of the Green function and the local nature of the Kondo interaction implies a generalization of $G_{\sigma a,\sigma^\prime a^\prime}(x)$ in terms of spatial coordinate $x$ [see Fig. 9(b)] to $G_{\sigma a,\sigma^\prime a^\prime}(z_1 - z_2) \equiv \langle \psi^\dagger_{\sigma a}(z_1)\psi_{\sigma^\prime a^\prime}(z_2) \rangle$ in terms of general complex coordinates $z_1 = \tau + i\tau x$ and $z_2 = i\tau x$ [see Fig. 9(c)]. Using the free chiral Green function.

FIG. 9. A one-point function of a bulk operator evaluated at distance $x$ from the boundary in (a) is mapped to the two point function of the associated chiral fields in the absence of a boundary shown in (b) and evaluated at image positions with respect to the line $x = 0$, (c) Generalization of the two point function away from image points.
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Eq. (30) in the definition of the $t$ matrix Eq. (31), one obtains
\[ G_{\sigma\alpha,\sigma'\alpha'}(x_1,x_2,i\omega_n) = -\delta_{\sigma\sigma'}\delta_{\alpha\alpha'}\theta(-\omega_n) \times e^{i\omega_n(x_1-x_2)}[i + T_{\sigma\alpha,\sigma'\alpha'}(i\omega_n)]. \] (47)
where $x_1 > 0$ and $x_2 < 0$ as before, and the $t$ matrix is local in space.

The Matsubara transform then yields
\[ G_{\sigma\alpha,\sigma'\alpha'}(\tau,x_1,x_2) = -\frac{\delta_{\sigma\sigma'}\delta_{\alpha\alpha'}}{\beta} \sum_n \theta(-\omega_n) \times e^{-i\omega_n(\tau+x_1-x_2)}[i + T_{\sigma\alpha,\sigma'\alpha'}(i\omega_n)] \equiv G_{\sigma\alpha,\sigma'\alpha'} \left( \frac{z_1 - z_2}{2\beta} \right). \] (48)

Thus the Green function only depends on $(z_1 - z_2)$. This is a somewhat counterintuitive result, because the boundary breaks the translational invariance along the spatial coordinate $x$.

In Appendix B 1, we give an alternative proof, showing that Eq. (48) holds to all orders in perturbation theory around the NFL FP.

Comparing Eqs. (48) and (44), it follows that
\[ G_{\sigma\alpha,\sigma'\alpha'}(0,x,-x) = G_{\sigma\alpha,\sigma'\alpha'}(\tau,x_1,x_2) \] when $x = (z_1 - z_2)/(2\beta)$. Employing this substitution in Eqs. (44) and (46), then taking the Matsubara transform, we obtain
\[ G_{\sigma\alpha,\sigma'\alpha'}(x_1,x_2,i\omega_n) = \frac{\delta_{\sigma\sigma'}\delta_{\alpha\alpha'}}{\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \frac{e^{i\omega_n t}}{4\pi i} \times \sqrt{4\pi h^2 x} e^{4\pi h^2 x} K_0(4\pi h^2 x), \] (49)
where we have used $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ as appropriate for Eq. (46), and so $\omega_n = \frac{2\pi}{\beta}(1 + 2n)$ is continuous. Setting $x_1 = 0^+$ and $x_2 = 0^-$, we define the infinitesimal $\delta = x_1 - x_2 > 0$, such that $x = \frac{\tau + i\delta}{2\beta}$. With the integral representation of the Bessel function
\[ K_0(z) = e^{-z} \int_0^\infty dk \frac{e^{-z k}}{\sqrt{4\pi k}}, \] (for Re$z > 0$), we obtain
\[ G_{\sigma\alpha,\sigma'\alpha'}(+0,-i\omega_n) = \frac{\delta_{\sigma\sigma'}\delta_{\alpha\alpha'}}{\pi^2} h \int_0^{\infty} dk \frac{g(\omega_n + 4\pi h^2 k)}{\sqrt{k(k+1)}}, \] (50)
with the integral over $\tau$ evaluated by contour methods,
\[ g(z) = \int_{-\infty+i\delta}^{\infty+i\delta} d\tau \frac{e^{i\tau z}}{\sqrt{\tau}} = \theta(-z)\sqrt{4\pi i} \]. (51)

For negative Matsubara frequencies $\omega_n < 0$, the Green function then follows as
\[ G_{\sigma\alpha,\sigma'\alpha'}(+0,-,i\omega_n) = \frac{\delta_{\sigma\sigma'}\delta_{\alpha\alpha'}}{\pi i} \int_0^{\infty} dk \frac{\omega_n}{\sqrt{k(k+1)(-\omega_n + 4\pi h^2 k)}} \equiv \frac{\delta_{\sigma\sigma'}\delta_{\alpha\alpha'}}{\pi i} K \left( \frac{\omega_n}{4\pi h^2} \right). \] (52)

In the limit $\beta \rightarrow \infty$, one obtains
\[ f(\beta h^2) \rightarrow 1. \]

Thus, if one has $G_{\sigma\alpha,\sigma'\alpha'}(x)$ as an analytic function of spatial coordinate $x$ [as in Eq. (44)], then a full knowledge of both space and time dependencies of the Green function is implied by analytic continuation.

Using Eq. (31), one recovers our earlier result26 for the $T = 0$ crossover $t$ matrix in the 2IK model due to perturbation $K \neq K_c$:
\[ 2\pi i v T_{\sigma\alpha,\sigma'\alpha'}(\omega,T = 0) = \delta_{\sigma\sigma'}\delta_{\alpha\alpha'} \left[ 1 + \frac{2\pi}{\beta} K(-i\omega/T^*) \right], \] (53)
in terms of $T^* \equiv 4\pi h^2$ [and with $\nu = 1/(2\pi)$ as before]. Here, $\pi$ is used for $K \gtrsim K_c$, corresponding to local singlet or Kondo screened phases of the 2IK model, respectively. Eq. (53) is thus equivalent to Eqs. (9) and (13) with the scattering $S_{\sigma\alpha,\sigma'\alpha'} = \pm\delta_{\sigma\sigma'}\delta_{\alpha\alpha'}$. In the next section, we generalize these results to finite temperature.

IV. DERIVATION AT FINITE TEMPERATURES

Our starting point for the derivation of the finite-temperature crossover Green function is Eq. (44), $G_{\sigma\alpha,\sigma'\alpha'}(x)$ thus follows from the Ising magnetization $(\sigma(x,\beta))$ evaluated at temperature $T \equiv \beta^{-1}$. In Ref. 43, we considered the magnetization at temperature $\beta^{-1}$ and distance $x$ from the boundary in a quantum 1D transverse field Ising critical chain, with a magnetic field $h$ applied to the first spin at the point boundary. It is given by
\[ \langle \sigma(x,\beta) \rangle = f(\beta h^2) \times \langle \sigma(x,\beta) \rangle_{LLS}, \] (54)
with
\[ f(\beta h^2) = \sqrt{2\pi h^2} \frac{\Gamma[\frac{1}{2} + 2\beta h^2]}{\Gamma[1 + 2\beta h^2]}, \] (55)
and where
\[ \langle \sigma(x,\beta) \rangle_{LLS} = \left( \frac{\pi}{\sinh 2\pi h} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \times 2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2}, 1; 1 + 2\beta h^2; 1 - \coth 2\pi h \right), \] (56)
is the result of Leclair, Lesage, and Saleru (LLS) in Ref. 34, who generalized the $T = 0$ result of Chatterjee and Zamolodchikov33 for the semi-infinite plane geometry [see Eq. (46)] to the geometry of a semi-infinite cylinder with circumference $\beta$, and magnetic field $h > 0$ applied to the circular boundary. The latter is equivalent to the quantum Ising chain with transverse field. We showed33 that while $\langle \sigma(x,\beta) \rangle_{LLS}$ gives the full and highly nontrivial $x$ dependence of $(\sigma(x,\beta))$, it misses the multiplicative scaling function of the variable $\beta h^2$, given in Eq. (55).

In the low-temperature limit $\beta \rightarrow \infty$, one obtains
\[ f(\beta h^2) \rightarrow 1. \]
\[ 2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2}, 1; 1 + 2\beta h^2; 1 - \coth 2\pi h \right) \rightarrow \frac{2}{\beta h^2}, \] (57)
such that Eqs. (54) and (56) reduce as they must to Eq. (46). In the limit $h \rightarrow \infty$, one recovers the fixed boundary condition,
describing the FL fixed point. Again, \( f(\beta h^2) \to 1 \) but Eq. (56) reduces now to
\[
\langle \sigma(x, \beta) \rangle_{\text{LLS}} \to \left( \frac{4\pi}{\beta} \right)^{1/2} \frac{z}{\sinh(z \pi \beta)}.
\] (58)

This limiting behavior can also be obtained using the conformal mapping from the semi-infinite plane geometry with boundary \( \text{Im} z = 0 \), to the semi-infinite cylinder geometry parametrized by \( \text{Re} z' \in (-\beta/2, \beta/2) \), with boundary \( \text{Im} z' = 0 \),
\[
z = \tan \left( \frac{\pi z'}{\beta} \right).
\] (59)

On the semi-infinite plane, the Ising magnetization in the limit \( h \to \infty \) is known\(^{32}\) to decay as \( \langle \sigma(x) \rangle = (2/\pi)^{1/2} \), yielding precisely Eq. (58) on the semi-infinite cylinder.

Combining Eqs. (44), (54)–(56), we obtain the crossover Green function at finite temperature,
\[
G_{\sigma\sigma'(x)}(x) = \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} \delta_{\omega, \omega'} \sqrt{2\beta h^2} \Gamma \left[ \frac{1}{2} + 2\beta h^2 \right]^{1/2} \frac{1}{2\beta i \sinh(\frac{\pi z}{\beta})} \Gamma \left[ 1 + 2\beta h^2 \right]^{1/2} \times 2F1 \left( \frac{1}{2}, 1; 1 + 2\beta h^2, \frac{1}{2} - \coth \frac{\pi z}{\beta} \right).
\] (60)

For \( h \to \infty \), this gives correctly \( G_{\sigma\sigma',\omega'}(0, x, -x) = G_{\sigma\sigma',\omega'}^{\text{FL}}(0, x, -x) = 1/(2\beta i \sinh(2\pi z/\beta)) \), as expected from the boundary CFT result for the FL FP Green function, Eq. (34) (with \( S_{\sigma\sigma,\sigma\sigma} = 1 \)).

Of course, the function \( f(\beta h^2) \) does become important when considering the behavior of the Green function over the entire range of \( \beta h^2 \) (or equivalently, \( T/T^* \)). In particular, at high temperatures \( \beta \to 0 \) (and finite \( h \)), one obtains \( f(\beta h^2) \to 0 \). Thus \( G_{\sigma\sigma,\omega}(0, x, -x) \equiv G_{\sigma\sigma,\omega}^{\text{NFL}}(0, x, -x) = 0 \), again correctly recovering the known boundary CFT result\(^{23}\) for the NFL FP Green function (34) (with \( S_{\sigma\sigma,\sigma\sigma} = 0 \)). The factor \( f(\beta h^2) \) is indeed necessary to cancel the unphysical logarithmic divergence of \( \langle \sigma(x, \beta) \rangle_{\text{LLS}} \) as \( \beta \to 0 \) (see also Appendix A).

A. Ambiguities in analytic continuation at finite \( T \)

The quantity of interest is of course the \( t \) matrix \( T(i\omega_n) \), related via Eq. (31) to the Matsubara Green function, itself obtained from Eq. (60) via
\[
\langle \tau_{t\tau}(x_1, x_2, i\omega_n) \rangle = \int_{-\beta/2}^{\beta/2} dt e^{i\omega_n t} G_{\tau_{t\tau}}(x_1, x_2, 2t).
\] (61)

with \( z_1 = t + ix_1 \) and \( z_2 = t + ix_2 \) as usual. Using the integral representation of the hypergeometric function,
\[
2F1(a, b; c; z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(b) \Gamma(c - b)} \int_0^1 dt \frac{t^{b-1}(1-t)^{c-b-1}}{(1-tz)^a},
\] (62)

we then obtain
\[
\langle \tau_{t\tau}(0^+, 0^-, i\omega_n) \rangle = \frac{\sqrt{2\beta h^2}}{8\pi^{3/2} i} \int_0^1 dt \frac{(1-t)^{2h/\beta-1}}{\sqrt{t}} A_n.
\] (63)

where
\[
A_n = \int_{-\beta/2}^{\beta/2} d\tau e^{i\omega_n \tau} \frac{\sinh(\frac{\pi z}{\beta})}{\sinh\left( \frac{\beta}{2}(\beta - i\tau) \right)}.
\] (64)

for \( x_1 = 0^+ \) and \( x_2 = 0^- \) such that \( \delta = x_1 - x_2 > 0 \), and with \( n = \left\lfloor \frac{2n}{\pi} \right\rfloor - 1/2 \) a negative integer. Using contour integration, it can be shown that
\[
A_n = 8\pi^{3/2} (1)_{n+1} \frac{(1 - n)^{-n-1}}{\Gamma(-n)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + n)}
\]
\[
\times 2F1 \left( \frac{1}{2}, 1 + n, \frac{3}{2} + n, \frac{1}{1 - \tau} \right).
\] (65)

However, the naive substitution \( i\omega_n \to \omega \) (or \( n \to \frac{8\pi n}{\pi} - \frac{1}{2} \)) is problematic here and leads to unphysical divergences. Indeed, such analytic continuation always involves ambiguities due to the fact that \((-1)^{2n} = 1\) on the integers, but it becomes \(-e^{i\omega_0}\) upon analytic continuation. Thus it is hard to find the function \( \langle \tau_{t\tau}(0^+, 0^-, i\omega_n) \rangle \) that gives the physical analytic continuation.

B. Finite-\( T \) Green function from Friedel oscillations

Equation (60) describes the chiral electron Green function \( G_{\sigma\sigma,\omega}(x) \equiv \langle \psi_{\sigma\alpha}(x)\psi_{\sigma\alpha}^{\dagger}(x) \rangle \). The information contained in such Green functions is directly linked to the physical density oscillations around impurity (Friedel oscillations), which in turn can be calculated from the \( t \) matrix, \( T(\omega) \).\(^{35,49,50}\) Indeed, in Ref. 35, the real-space densities and hence Green function \( G_{\sigma\sigma,\omega}(x) \) for the NFL to FL crossover in the 2CK model was explicitly calculated at \( T = 0 \) using the exact \( t \) matrix announced in Ref. 26. It was also highlighted\(^{35}\) that far from the impurity, the integral transformation relating the \( t \) matrix to the Friedel oscillations can be inverted.

In this section, we exploit these connections to calculate \( T(\omega) \) directly from the density oscillations described by \( G_{\sigma\sigma,\omega}(x) \), and thus circumvent the need for problematic analytic continuation. For simplicity, we restrict ourselves to 1D in this section; although we note that the resulting \( t \) matrix is general, because at low energies, the standard flat band situation of most interest is recovered. The density of the 1D fermion field \( \psi(x) \) at position \( x \) is given by \( \rho(x) = \langle \psi(x)\psi(x) \rangle \). Expanding around the left (\( l \)) and right (\( r \)) Fermi points at low energies using Eq. (26), one obtains
\[
\Psi(x) = \psi_l(x)e^{ik_{1L}x} + \psi_r(x)e^{-ik_{1L}x},
\] (66)

with the oscillating part of the density following as
\[
\rho_{osc}(x) = \frac{1}{2} e^{i2k_{1L}x} \langle \psi_r(x)\psi_l(x) - \psi_l(x)\psi_r(x) \rangle + \text{H.c.}
\] (67)

In the presence of particle-hole symmetry, \( \rho(x) = 1/2 \) for all \( x \) (with lattice spacing set to unity), and there are no density oscillations, \( \rho_{osc}(x) = 0 \). However, an introduction of potential scattering breaks particle-hole symmetry and leads generally to real-space density oscillations, which contain information about the \( t \) matrix. Such potential scattering produces a phase shift \( \delta_P \) at the Fermi energy, independent of the underlying Kondo physics, but which does modify the boundary condition.
at \( x = 0 \), according to \( \psi_r(0) = e^{2i k x} \psi_l(0) \). As before, we define a chiral left-moving field on the infinite line, but now take into account this change in the boundary condition:

\[
\psi(x) = \psi_r(x) \theta(x) + e^{-2i k x} \psi_l(-x) \theta(-x).
\]

Using the imaginary time ordering of the chiral Green function defined in Eq. (44), we have \( G(-x + i 0^+) = (\psi(-x) \psi^T(x)) \) and \( G(-x - i 0^+) = -(\psi^T(x) \psi(-x)) \), from which it follows that

\[
\rho_{\text{osc}}(x) = -\frac{1}{2} e^{2i k x + 2i \delta_p} x [G_{\sigma,\sigma}(x) - i G_{\sigma,\sigma}(x)] + H.c.
\]

The oscillating part of the density given by Eq. (69) can also be obtained\(^{35,49,50} \) from the \( t \) matrix. Generalizing to low temperatures, we have

\[
\rho_{\text{osc}}(x) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega f(\omega, T) \times \text{Im} [(G^0(\omega, x))^2 T_{\sigma,\sigma}(\omega, \delta_p)],
\]

where \( f(\omega, T) \) is the Fermi function, \( G^0(\omega, x) \) is the free Green function Eq. (28) as a function of real frequency \( \omega \), and \( T_{\sigma,\sigma}(\omega, \delta_p) \) is the scattering matrix defined in the presence of the potential scattering. As shown in Ref. 50, at low energies,

\[
2 \pi i v T_{\sigma,\sigma}(\omega, \delta_p) = e^{2ikx} [2 \pi i T_{\sigma,\sigma}(\omega) + 1] - i
\]

in terms of the desired \( \tau \) matrix defined without the potential scattering. Indeed, far from the impurity one obtains asymptotically\(^{30} \)

\[
\left[ G^0(\omega, x) \right]^2 = -\frac{1}{v_F} e^{2ikx + 2i\omega x/v}\].

The oscillating part of the density can then be expressed as

\[
\rho_{\text{osc}}(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 v_F^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \left[ 1 - 2 f(\omega, T) \right] e^{2ikx + 2i\delta_p + 2i\omega x/v} \times [i T_{\sigma,\sigma}(\omega) - \frac{1}{2\pi v}] + H.c.
\]

Comparing now to Eq. (69) and inverting the Fourier transform by operating on the resulting equations with \( f_{-\infty}^{\infty} \), we obtain

\[
2 \pi i v T_{\sigma,\sigma}(\omega) = 1 + 4 \pi i v F^2 \tan \left( \frac{\delta_p}{2} \right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{2i\omega x/v} \times [G_{\sigma,\sigma}(x - i 0^+) + G_{\sigma,\sigma}(x + i 0^+)],
\]

where \( G_{\sigma,\sigma}(x) \) is given as an analytic function in Eq. (60). We now set \( v_F \equiv 1 \) and \( 2 \pi v \equiv 1 \) as before. Note that the hypergeometric function \( 2 F_1(a, b, c, z) \) has a branch cut discontinuity in the complex \( z \) plane running from \( 1 \) to \( \infty \). The discontinuity occurs only in the imaginary part of the function, with \( \text{Im} 2 F_1(a, b, c, z + i 0^+) = -\text{Im} 2 F_1(a, b, c, z - i 0^+) \) for \( z > 1 \). Furthermore, \( \text{Im} 2 F_1(a, b, c, z) = 0 \) for \( z \leq 1 \). Thus, integrating symmetrically above and below the real \( x \) axis, as per Eq. (74),

amounts to taking only the real part of \( 2 F_1(a, b, c, z) \), whence we obtain our final result

\[
2 \pi i v T_{\sigma,\sigma}(\omega) = 1 + 2i \sqrt{2\pi} \hbar T \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + 2\beta \hbar^2 \right) \tan \left( \frac{\delta_p}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( 1 + 2\beta \hbar^2 \right) \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left( \frac{e^{2i\omega x}}{\beta \sinh \frac{2\pi x}{\beta}} \right)^2.
\]
effective model for the 2IK model in the limit of strong channel asymmetry is the 2CK model,\textsuperscript{18,19} but with an additional $\pi/2$ phase shift felt by the conduction electrons of one channel.\textsuperscript{19} For concreteness, we consider now a variant of the standard 2IK model in which channel asymmetry appears explicitly:

$$H_{2\text{IK}} = H_0 + J_L \tilde{S}_L \cdot \tilde{s}_0 + J_R \tilde{S}_R \cdot \tilde{s}_0 + K \tilde{S}_L \cdot \tilde{S}_R. \quad (76)$$

One thus recovers Eq. (2) at the symmetric point $J_L = J_R$. In the limit $J_L \gg J_R$, the left impurity is Kondo screened by the left lead on the single-channel scale $T_L^K$. At energies $\sim T_K^L$, the right impurity is still essentially free. However, it feels a renormalized coupling to its attached right lead, and an effective coupling to the remaining Fermi liquid bath states of the left lead (which suffer a full $\pi/2$ phase shift due to the first-stage Kondo effect in that channel). The relative effective coupling strengths between left and right channels can be tuned by the interimpurity coupling $K$. Tuning $K$ to its critical value $K_c$ yields the 2CK critical point,\textsuperscript{18} while deviations $K \neq K_c$ correspond to finite channel anisotropy $\Delta_\perp \neq 0$ in the effective 2CK model. In consequence, one expects the NFL to FL crossover in the two models to be simply related.

Since the NFL fixed point itself is the same in both 2CK and 2IK models (up to potential scattering),\textsuperscript{19} and because the correction to the fixed point Hamiltonian due to the $\lambda_1$ perturbation is the same,\textsuperscript{22,25} the RG flow along the NFL to FL crossover is identical. To calculate the corresponding crossover Green function, we simply incorporate the additional $\pi/2$ phase shift felt by the left-channel conduction electrons into our scattering states definition. Using $\psi_{\sigma L}(x) = \text{sign}(x) \psi_{\sigma L}$, one straightforwardly obtains

$$\langle \psi_{\sigma A}(z_1) \psi_{\sigma' A'}(z_2) \rangle_{2\text{CK}, \Delta_\perp > 0} = -\tau^z_{\sigma A} \rho_{\sigma A, \sigma A'} \left( \frac{z_1 - z_2}{2i} \right), \quad (77)$$

in terms of the analytic crossover Green function for the 2IK model given in Eq. (60). Following the steps of Sec. IV B, the $t$ matrix follows as

$$2\pi i v T_{\sigma A, \sigma A}(v) = 1 - \tau^z_{\sigma A} \left[ \frac{2\pi i v T_{\sigma A, \sigma A}(v) - 1} \right]. \quad (78)$$

This result was obtained at $T = 0$ in Ref. 26, where the correspondence was checked by explicit numerical renormalization group calculation.

In the 2CK model with $\Delta_\perp > 0$, the left lead is more strongly coupled, and completely screens the impurity at the FL FP on the lowest energy scales, while the right lead decouples asymptotically. The physical interpretation of Eq. (78) is thus that a Kondo resonance appears in the spectral function on the scale of $T^*$ in the $\alpha = L$ channel, while the resonance is destroyed in the $\alpha = R$ channel (hence the dependence on the flavor-space Pauli matrix $\tau^z_{\sigma A}$).

VI. GENERALIZATION TO ARBITRARY COMBINATION OF PERTURBATIONS

In Sec. IV, we considered the finite-temperature crossover Green function in the 2IK model due to the detuning perturbation $K \neq K_c$, while in Sec. V, we calculated the analogous crossover Green function in the 2CK model due to channel anisotropy $\Delta_\perp \neq 0$. In this section, we generalize the results to an arbitrary combination of perturbations in either model.

A. Flavor rotation in the 2CK model

Before discussing the full calculation, we motivate the general approach by exploiting a bare symmetry of the 2CK model, in a simple intuitive example. Unlike the 2IK model, the 2CK model possesses a bare flavor symmetry [see Eq. (1)]. The perturbations $\Delta_\perp, \Delta_\parallel, \text{and} \Delta_\perp$ break this symmetry, but are themselves related by rotations in flavor space.

A canonical transformation of the conduction electron operators of the bare Hamiltonian is defined, viz.,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi_{\sigma A} \\ \psi_{\sigma B} \end{pmatrix} = U \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{\sigma L} \\ \psi_{\sigma R} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi_{\sigma A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} U \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{\sigma L} \\ \psi_{\sigma R} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi_{\sigma B} = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda^* & 1 \end{pmatrix} U \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{\sigma L} \\ \psi_{\sigma R} \end{pmatrix},$$

such that the unitary matrix $U$ satisfies $U(\Delta \cdot \tau^x) U^\dagger = |\Delta| \tau^x$. With the parametrization $\Delta = |\Delta| (\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta)$, one obtains explicitly $U = \exp\left[i \frac{\pi}{2} (-\sin \theta \tau^x + \cos \phi \tau^y)\right]$. It follows that $\delta H_{2\text{CK}}(\Delta_\perp, \Delta_\parallel, \Delta_\perp) \rightarrow \delta H_{2\text{CK}}(0, \Delta_\perp, \Delta_\parallel)$, with $\Delta_\perp^2 = \Delta_\parallel^2 + \Delta_\perp^2 + \Delta_\perp^2$ [cf. Eq. (8)]. The physical interpretation is that the impurity couples more strongly to one linear combination of channels than the other. Thus the perturbations $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \text{and} \lambda_3$ in Table I are simply related, and their combined effect enters only through $\lambda_f$. In particular, this implies only one fitting parameter $c_1$ for the different components of the perturbation $\Delta$ in the 2CK model.

The physical behavior in the case of arbitrary $\lambda_f$ can now be understood in terms of the situation where $\lambda_1$ alone acts using the flavor rotation Eq. (79). For example, the Green function $\langle \langle \psi_{\sigma A}; \psi_{\sigma B} \rangle \rangle_\omega \text{probes the physical channel} \sigma \omega \text{with} \alpha = L \text{in the original basis}$, Using the transformation Eq. (79), it can be expressed as

$$\langle \langle \psi_{\sigma A}; \psi_{\sigma B} \rangle \rangle_\omega = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{\Delta_\parallel}{\Delta_\perp} \right) \langle \langle \psi_{\sigma A}; \psi_{\sigma A} \rangle \rangle_\omega + \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{\Delta_\parallel}{\Delta_\perp} \right) \langle \langle \psi_{\sigma A}; \psi_{\sigma B} \rangle \rangle_\omega, \quad (80)$$

in terms of the Green functions in the rotated basis, which correspond to those calculated for $\Delta_\perp$ only, as considered in the previous section. It is then easy to show that the $t$ matrix for arbitrary $\lambda_f$ is given by

$$2\pi i v T_{\sigma A, \sigma A}(v) = 1 + \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda} \left[ 2\pi i v T_{\sigma A, \sigma A}(v) - 1 \right], \quad \lambda = \lambda_f,$$

in terms of the $t$ matrix $T$ due to $\lambda_1$ given in Eq. (78). The simple rescaling of the spectral function discussed in Sec. II B and the precise form of Eq. (10) follow immediately.

B. Emergent symmetries

In this section, we make use of the field theoretical description of the NFL fixed point for both 2CK and 2IK models in the presence of relevant perturbations.\textsuperscript{52-54} A large SO(8) emergent symmetry at the fixed point allows these perturbations to be related by a unitary transformation, in full analogy to the method demonstrated explicitly in the previous
section for the case of the bare flavor symmetry in the 2CK model.

We express the NFL fixed point Hamiltonian in terms of the free MF scattering states,

$$H_{QCP} = H_{FP}[\chi] + \delta H_{QCP},$$  \hspace{1cm} (82)

where $H_{FP}[\chi]$ is given in Eq. (39), and with $\chi$ a vector of the eight MFs. As already commented, the structure of the NFL fixed point Hamiltonian is the same for 2CK and 2IK models; only the definition to the scattering states is different. To fix notation, we define

$$\{\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_8\} = \{-\text{sign}(x)\chi^X_1, \chi^X_1, \chi^f_2, \chi^f_2, \chi^X_1, \chi^f_1, \chi^X_2, \chi^f_2\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (83)

for the 2IK model and

$$\{\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_8\} = \{-\chi^X_2, \chi^f_2, \chi^f_2, \text{sign}(x)\chi^X_1, \text{sign}(x)\chi^f_2, \text{sign}(x)\chi^X_1, \chi^f_2\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (84)

for the 2CK model. With this ordering of components, the correction to the NFL fixed point due to relevant perturbations is given by

$$\delta H_{QCP} \propto i \sum_{j=1}^{8} \lambda_j \chi_j(0)a,$$  \hspace{1cm} (85)

with $a$ a local MF as before. The $\lambda_j$ perturbation corresponding to $K \neq K_c$ in the 2IK model or $\Delta_s \neq 0$ in the 2CK model was considered explicitly in Eq. (42). The other coupling constants are defined in Table 1; with the single resulting crossover energy scale being the sum of their squares, Eq. (8). For a detailed derivation of Eq. (85), see Refs. 17,52 and 53. The two MFs $\chi_7, \chi_8$ corresponding to the real and imaginary parts of the total charge fermion are not in fact allowed in the 2CK and 2IK models due to charge conservation.

C. Unitary transformations

The crucial observation following from Eq. (85) is that only the linear combination $\lambda^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{8} \lambda_j \chi_j(x)$ of the eight MF scattering states participates in the crossover. The particular linear combination depends on the ratios of the various perturbations (for example, $K - K_c$, $V_{LR}$, $B_1$ in the 2IK model).

From Secs. IV and V, we know the crossover Green function caused by the $\lambda_j$ perturbation. The strategy is thus to fix the $\lambda_j$ perturbation as the direction in the eight-dimensional space of perturbations along which the Green function is known, then use an SO(8) rotation to obtain the general crossover Green function.

We search now for a unitary operator $UU^\dagger = 1$ that transforms the full Hamiltonian with an arbitrary combination of perturbations into one involving the single perturbation $\lambda_1$. Specifically, we demand that

$$U H_{FP}[\chi] U^\dagger = H_{FP}[\tilde{\chi}],$$

$$U \delta H_{QCP} U^\dagger = i \lambda_1 \chi_1(0)a.$$  \hspace{1cm} (86)

This transformation is accomplished by an operator that rotates the eight-component vector $\tilde{\chi}$ in the eight-dimensional space of perturbations. The 28 generators of such rotations are of the form $i \int dx \chi_k(x) A_{ij} \chi_j(x)$, where $A_{ij}$ is a real antisymmetric $8 \times 8$ matrix. It is easy to verify that the desired operator satisfying Eq. (86) is

$$U = e^{\theta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \chi_k(x) \chi_k(x)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (87)

where

$$\theta = \arcsin \frac{\lambda_\perp}{\lambda}, \quad \lambda_\perp = \sqrt{\lambda^2 - \lambda_1^2},$$

$$\chi_\perp(x) = \lambda_\perp^{-1} \sum_{j \neq 1} \lambda_j \chi_j(x).$$  \hspace{1cm} (88)

One can apply this transformation to the expectation value of an operator written in terms of the original electrons, such as the Green function $\langle \psi_{\sigma \alpha}(x) \psi^\dagger_{\sigma' \alpha'}(x') \rangle_{H_{QCP}} = \langle U \psi_{\sigma \alpha}(x) U^\dagger \psi^\dagger_{\sigma' \alpha'}(x') U H_{QCP} U^\dagger \rangle_{U H_{QCP} U^\dagger}$. The crucial property of the unitary transformation Eq. (87) is that it acts as a simple rotation also on the electron fields. This occurs due to the existence of linear relations between the 28 quadratic forms of the original electron fields and of the MFs $\chi_1^X$, as discussed in Appendix C and Ref. 55.

As a simple relevant example, consider the 2IK model, perturbed by a combination of $K - K_c$ and tunneling $V_{LR}$, such that only $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ are finite. In this case, the unitary operator reads $U = e^{\theta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \chi_k(x) \chi_k(x)}$ with $\lambda_\perp = \lambda_2$ and $\lambda = \sqrt{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2}$. Using Eq. (83), $\chi_1(x) \chi_2(x) = -\text{sign}(x)\chi_1^X(x)\chi_2^f(x)$. The quadratic form $\chi_1^X(x)\chi_1^f(x)$ is related to a quadratic form for the original electrons $\chi_1^X(x)\chi_1^f(x) = -\frac{1}{2} \psi^\dagger \tau^i \psi$, as shown in Appendix C. The operator $U$ can now be understood as a simple rotation of electron fields,

$$U \psi_{\sigma \alpha}(x) U^\dagger = \sum_{\sigma' \alpha'} M_{\sigma \alpha, \sigma' \alpha'}^{\text{new}} \psi_{\sigma' \alpha'}(x),$$  \hspace{1cm} (89)

for $x \gtrless 0$, and where the rotation matrix acts here in flavor space,

$$(M_{\sigma \alpha, \sigma' \alpha'}^{\text{new}})_{\lambda_i \lambda_j \neq 0} = \delta_{\sigma \sigma'} \left[ \delta_{\alpha \alpha'} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \mp i \lambda_\alpha \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (90)

The Green function then follows as

$$\langle \psi_{\sigma \alpha}(x) \psi^\dagger_{\sigma' \alpha'}(x') \rangle_{H_{QCP}} = \sum_{\sigma_1 \sigma_1' \sigma_1' \sigma_1'} M_{\sigma_1 \sigma_1', \sigma_1' \sigma_1'}^{\text{new}} \langle \psi_{\sigma_1 \sigma_1'}(x) \psi^\dagger_{\sigma_1' \sigma_1'}(x') \rangle_{U H_{QCP} U^\dagger},$$  \hspace{1cm} (91)

where $x > 0$ and $x' < 0$ is assumed. In terms of complex coordinates $z_1 = \tau + ix_1$ and $z_2 = ix_2$ with $x_1 > 0$, $x_2 < 0$, the full Green function $\langle \psi_{\sigma_1 \sigma_1'}(z_1) \psi^\dagger_{\sigma_1' \sigma_1'}(z_2) \rangle_{H_{QCP}}$ is then obtained from $G_{\sigma_1 \sigma_1', \sigma_1' \sigma_1'}(\frac{x_1 + x_2}{2}) = \langle \psi_{\sigma_1 \sigma_1'}(z_1) \psi^\dagger_{\sigma_1' \sigma_1'}(z_2) \rangle_{U H_{QCP} U^\dagger}$, as given in Eq. (60) for the case of finite $\lambda_1 < 0$ in the 2IK model.

Now we define a $4 \times 4$ unitary Fermi liquid scattering $S$ matrix for the 2IK model

$$S_{\sigma_1 \sigma_1', \sigma_1' \sigma_1'}^{\text{2IK}} = -(M^* \cdot M^{-1})_{\sigma_1 \sigma_1', \sigma_1' \sigma_1'},$$

such that

$$\langle \psi_{\sigma \alpha}(z_1) \psi^\dagger_{\sigma' \alpha'}(z_2) \rangle_{H_{QCP}} = S_{\sigma_1 \sigma_1', \sigma_1' \sigma_1'} G_{\sigma_1 \sigma_1'}(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{2i}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (92)
Using Eq. (90), one obtains \( S_{\sigma \sigma'}^\alpha \equiv -\delta_{\sigma \sigma'} (\delta_{\omega \omega'} \cos \theta - i \sin \theta \Gamma_{\omega \omega'}) = \delta_{\sigma \sigma'} \frac{-\lambda_1 \omega_{\omega'} + \lambda_2 \lambda_{\omega_{\omega'}}}{\lambda_{\omega_{\omega'}}} \) for the case of finite \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) in the 2IK model; consistent with Eq. (11).

For arbitrary combination of \( \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k\} \), one has

\[
U = e^{-\theta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \delta(\omega - \omega')} \left[ \lambda_1 \lambda' + \lambda_2 \lambda_{\omega_{\omega'}} / \lambda_{\omega_{\omega'}} \right],
\]

(suppressing spin and channel indices). Using Eq. (92), we recover our final result for the 2IK model, Eq. (11).

Following the same steps for the 2CK model [and noting Eq. (77)], we obtain

\[
S_{\sigma \sigma'}^{2CK} = \langle M^2 \rangle_{\sigma \sigma'} \left( \frac{J_\alpha}{2} \right)^2 \tilde{G}_\alpha(\omega, T)
\]

yielding precisely Eq. (10) for the 2CK model.

### V. NUMERICAL RENORMALIZATION GROUP

Wilson’s numerical renormalization group (NRG) has been firmly established as a powerful technique for the accurate solution of a wide range of quantum impurity problems. Its original formulation provided access to numerically exact thermodynamic quantities for the Kondo models and Anderson impurity models. An increase in available computational resources subsequently allowed straightforward extension to multi-impurity and multichannel systems.

More recently, the identification of a complete basis within NRG (the “Anders-Schiller basis” comprising discarded states across all iterations) has permitted rigorous extension to calculation of dynamical quantities. In particular, equilibrium spectral functions can be calculated using the full density matrix approach, yielding essentially exact results at zero-temperatures on all energy scales. Although discrete NRG data must be broadened to produce the continuous spectrum, artifacts produced by such a procedure are effectively eliminated by averaging over several interleaved calculations (the so-called “trick”). Indeed, resolution at high-energies can be further improved by treating the hybridization term exactly.

Our exact analytic results were tested and confirmed by comparison to NRG at \( T = 0 \) in Ref. 26.

Due to the logarithmic discretization of the conduction band inherent to NRG, finite-temperature dynamical information cannot however be captured on the lowest energy scales \( |\omega| \lesssim T \). But spectral functions for \( |\omega| > T \) are accurately calculated, and the total normalization of the spectrum is guaranteed, implying that the total weight contained in the spectrum for \( |\omega| < T \) can be deduced. From a scaling perspective, one expects RG flow to be cut off on the energy scale \( |\omega| = O(T) \), so that there should be no further crossovers in spectral functions for \( |\omega| < T \). The somewhat arbitrary strategy commonly employed is thus to smoothly connect the spectrum calculated at \( \omega \approx \pm T \), in such a way as to preserve the total weight. Our exact finite-temperature results for the crossover \( t \) matrix of the 2CK and 2IK models thus offers the perfect opportunity to benchmark NRG calculations for interacting systems exhibiting a nontrivial temperature dependence of their dynamics.

For concreteness, we consider now the 2CK model with channel-anisotropy \( \Delta_\alpha > 0 \) [see Eqs. (1) and (3)]. To obtain the numerical results, we discretize flat conduction bands of width \( 2D \) logarithmically using \( \Lambda = 5 \), and retain 8000 states per iteration in each of \( z = 6 \) interleaved NRG calculations. All model symmetries are exploited.

To ensure the desired scale separation \( T^* \ll T_K \), we take representative \( vJ = 0.15 \) and small \( 2

\[
M_{\alpha \alpha'} = \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \delta(\omega, \omega') \left[ \lambda_1 \lambda' + \lambda_2 \lambda_{\omega_{\omega'}} / \lambda_{\omega_{\omega'}} \right],
\]

yielding precisely Eq. (10) for the 2CK model.

As usual \( \langle \hat{A}; \hat{B} \rangle_{\omega, T} \) is the Fourier transform of the retarded correlator \( \langle \hat{A}(\omega_1) \hat{B}(\omega_2) \rangle_T = -i \theta(\omega_1 - \omega_2) \langle \hat{A}(\omega_1) \hat{B}(\omega_2) \rangle_T \).

The alternative expression given in Ref. 12 is

\[
\pi v T_{\alpha \alpha'}(\omega, T) = -i \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{2}{\pi v J_{\alpha}} \right)^2 G_{\alpha}(\omega, T) \right]^{-1},
\]

where \( G_{\alpha}(\omega, T) \) is the Green function for the “00” channel of the \( \alpha = L, R \) Wilson chain. Both \( G_{\alpha}(\omega, T) \) can be obtained directly by NRG, but Eq. (100) gives much better numerical accuracy and is employed in the following. The desired spectral function \( t_{\alpha}(\omega) = T_{\alpha}(\omega, T) \) is then obtained from Eq. (6) and is plotted in Fig. 10 as the dotted lines for temperatures \( T/T^* = 10^{-1}, 1, 10, 10^2 \), as in Fig. 3. The corresponding exact results for the NFL to FL crossover from Eq. (9) are plotted as the solid lines. As immediately seen, near-perfect agreement is obtained for all energies \( |\omega| \ll T_K \) and temperatures \( T \ll T_K \) where comparison between numerical and exact results can be made.

To obtain such an agreement, we found that high-accuracy NRG calculations must be performed. In particular, the region \( |\omega| \sim T \) was most problematic, with artifacts only being removed upon averaging over several band discretizations, and necessitating a large number of states to be kept at each NRG iteration. The precise shape of the numerically-obtained spectrum then still depends on how the discrete data is smoothed. We found that the broadening scheme described in Ref. 59 produced the best results: for \( z = 6 \) and \( \Delta = 5 \) as used here, a broadening parameter \( b = 0.25 \) and kernel-crossover scale \( \omega_0 = T/1.5 \) were optimal.
It should also be noted that if the correction factor\(^5\) \(A_{\Lambda} = \frac{\Lambda + 1}{\Lambda + 1} \ln(\Lambda)\) is used in the NRG calculations (in which case \(J_{\phi} \rightarrow J_{\phi} A_{\Lambda}\)), then the many-particle energies used to calculate the density matrix must be accordingly scaled \([E_N(r) \rightarrow E_N(r) A_{\Lambda}]\) so that the results are independent of the discretization parameter \(\Lambda\) and hence approximate accurately the desired \(\Lambda = 1\) limit.

VIII. OTHER EXACT CROSSOVER FUNCTIONS

As discussed in the previous sections, the Hamiltonian controlling the NFL-FL crossover in the 2CK or 2IK models has a free fermion structure in terms of MFs. In fact, this feature allows calculation of various quantities along the crossover. The difficulty of such calculations is dictated by the relation between the physical quantity of interest to the MFs. In the preceding sections, we concentrated on the two-point function between the physical quantity of interest to the MFs. In the theory obtained in the Toulouse limit describing the NFL-FL crossover due to relevant perturbations such as channel anisotropy or magnetic field in the 2CK model\(^28\) or detuning \(K = K_r\), staggered magnetic field, or left-right tunneling in the 2IK model,\(^17\) act exactly as in Eq. (85). A detailed discussion for the 2IK model can be found in Ref. 52.

Turning now to the crossover in the impurity entropy, one finds\(^28\) that

\[
S(T) = \frac{1}{2} \ln(2) + \tilde{S} \left( \frac{T}{T^*} \right),
\]

in terms of the universal function

\[
\tilde{S}(t) = \frac{1}{7} \left[ \psi \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{7} t \right) - 1 \right] - \ln \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{7} t \right) \right],
\]

defined in Ref. 28 for the limit \(T_K \rightarrow \infty\). Here, \(\psi(z)\) is the psi (digamma) function and \(\tilde{T}^*\) is a particular definition of the NFL-FL crossover scale (proportional to our definition, Eq. (9), such that \(\tilde{T}^* = y \times T^*\) with \(y \approx 4.6\)). Two regimes can thus be distinguished. In the FL regime, obtained for \(T \ll T^*\), the impurity is always completely screened: \(S \sim \frac{1}{12} (\frac{T}{T^*})\). By contrast, in the NFL regime, \(T^* \ll T \ll T_K\), the impurity entropy is close to \(\frac{1}{2} \ln(2)\). Interestingly, we find that independently of the relevant perturbations which act, the entropy crossover is always given by the universal function Eq. (101) in the limit \(T^* \ll T_K\), in both 2CK and 2IK models. This is, of course, not the case for the Green function, because the FL scattering \(S\) matrix is affected differently by different perturbations [see Eqs. (9)–(12) and Figs. 3 and 5].
The 2CK model has also been solved exactly using the Bethe ansatz, yielding the full evolution of thermodynamics in any parameter regime. However, it cannot be seen directly from the Bethe ansatz equations that there is an emergent SO(8) symmetry at the NFL fixed point, or that this leads to a single NFL–FL crossover function for the entropy, Eq. (101), regardless of the perturbation causing the crossover. Indeed, the fact that the same crossover occurs in the 2IK model cannot be extracted using Bethe ansatz since the 2IK model is not integrable.

In Fig. 11, we present numerically exact NRG results for the temperature-dependence of the entropy due to various perturbations in the 2CK and 2IK models to confirm the validity of the field theoretic description. As in Fig. 10, we exploit all model symmetries to obtain high-quality numerics, discretizing flat conduction bands of width 2D logarithmically, using $\Lambda = 3$ here, and retaining 8000 states per iteration in a single NRG calculation. At low temperatures $T \ll T_k$ (and since $T^* \ll T_k$), we obtain an essentially perfect agreement between the exact result Eq. (101) (points) and NRG data (solid line).

B. Nonequilibrium transport in two lead devices

It was shown in Refs. 52,53 and 63 that the effective free-fermion theory of the 2IK model allows to calculate certain nonequilibrium quantities. Finite conductance was found to arise in the weak-coupling limit of 2IK systems close to the critical point $T^* \ll T_k$ at low energies $\ll T^*$. This result was understood in terms of the growth under RG of the left-right tunneling perturbation $V_{LR}$. Here, we generalize these results to the 2CK model, which has the same effective free-fermion description. Related multichannel setups have been considered in Refs. 64 and 65.

We consider a finite source-drain voltage $V$ across left and right metallic leads, which are exchange coupled to a single impurity spin. To this system we add a small but finite channel anisotropy perturbation, corresponding left/right tunneling mediated via the impurity spin. The setup is illustrated in Fig. 12. The corresponding Hamiltonian is given by Eqs. (1) and (3), with finite $\Delta_x$ and possibly magnetic field $B$, but now with left/right lead chemical potentials at $\pm V/2$.

The applicability of our exact solution is in the parameter regime $\Delta_x \ll J$, so that the system is close to the NFL critical point. This situation is not in practice obtained in standard quantum dot devices, although more sophisticated experimental techniques such as those employed in Ref. 24, do allow suppression of cotunneling perturbations such as $\Delta_x$.

As per Eq. (8), the crossover energy scale is $T^* = (c_1 v \Delta_x)^2 T_k + |c_B B|^2 / T_k$. In the limit where $v \Delta_x$ is initially very small, we thus have $T^* \ll T_k$. At higher energies $\gtrsim T_k$, we then expect conductance to be very small $\alpha(v \Delta_x)^2$.

![Fig. 12](image-url)
corresponding to the weak coupling limit. However, upon reducing the energy scale \( E = \max(eV, T) \) below \( T_K \), the conductance starts to increase since \( \Delta \) switches on a relevant operator with scaling dimension 1/2 near the NFL FP. Below \( T^* \), a characteristic peak in the conductance is thus expected, signaling growth of the relevant operator to order one.

The exact lineshape of the nonequilibrium conductance peak can be calculated from the fixed point Hamiltonian, Eq. (82) [including the correction due to relevant perturbations given by Eq. (85)]. The dependence on the ratio between the magnetic field and the tunneling perturbations is obtained using the SO(8) rotation outlined in Sec. VI. The method of calculation, and the result for the universal lineshape of the peak, was obtained for the spin-exchange anisotropic version of the model by Schiller and Hershfield in Ref. 66. As argued in the previous section, we can borrow those Toulouse-limit results if we restrict attention to the low-energy crossover. The final result for the nonlinear conductance is thus

\[
G = G_0 F \left[ \frac{T}{T^*}, \frac{eV}{T^*} \right],
\]

\[
F[t, v] = \frac{1}{4 \pi t} \text{Re} \psi_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4 \pi t} + \frac{i v}{2 \pi t} \right),
\]

with \( G_0 = e^{\pi T / 2} \frac{2^{1/2+1/4}}{2^{1/4}} \) and \( \psi_1 \) the trigamma function. Note that the definition of \( T^* \) here is as in Ref. 52. At \( T = 0 \), one obtains \( G/G_0 = [1 + (2 eV/T^*)^2]^{-1} \), while at zero bias \( V = 0 \) and low temperatures \( T \ll T^* \), the asymptotic conductance is \( G/G_0 \rightarrow 1 - (2 \pi T / \sqrt{3} T^*)^2 \). The full bias dependence of conductance for various temperatures is shown in Fig. 13.

**IX. CONCLUSIONS**

In this paper, we present a rare example of an exact nonperturbative result for the finite-temperature dynamics of a strongly correlated quantum many-body system. We focus on the two-channel Kondo and two-impurity Kondo models; although the same low-energy physics characterizes a wide class of quantum impurity problems in which competition between two conduction channels causes a frustration of screening. The unusual non-Fermi liquid critical points of these systems are destabilized by various symmetry-breaking perturbations, naturally present in experiment. In consequence, a crossover to regular Fermi liquid behavior always occurs on the lowest energy scales. Exploiting the connection\(^{23} \) to an exactly-solved classical boundary Ising model,\(^{33,34} \) we calculated the exact finite-temperature crossover Green function. In quantum dot systems that could access this crossover, the relevant experimental quantity is conductance, which we extract from the exact Green function.

Remarkably, we show that due to the free-fermion structure of the effective low-energy theory in terms of Majorana fermions and a large emergent SO(8) symmetry, a single universal function pertains for any combination of perturbations in either model. This single crossover is also starkly manifest in the behavior of thermodynamic quantities such as entropy, as confirmed directly by NRG.

The method developed in this paper goes beyond the impurity models we considered explicitly, and finds powerful application to a wider family of systems. At heart, our solution relies upon a formal separation of the theory into a sector containing all the universal crossover physics, and a sector acting as a spectator along this crossover. Importantly, the crossover is confined to a sector that can be identified with Ising degrees of freedom, described by a minimal conformal field theory with central charge \( c = 1/2 \). For example, in the two-channel Kondo models studied here, the full set of degrees of freedom consist of a \( c = 4 \) CFT, but a large \( c = 7/2 \) sector of the theory plays no role in the crossover from non-Fermi-liquid to Fermi-liquid physics.

Interestingly, there exist other models (whose full set of degrees of freedom are not necessarily described by a \( c = 4 \) CFT) that undergo precisely the same crossover due to their underlying \( c = 1/2 \) Ising sector. Those include certain Luttinger liquids containing an impurity,\(^{34} \) and coupled bulk and edge states in certain non-Abelian fractional quantum Hall states\(^{65,66} \) (see also Ref. 69).

There are further interesting generalizations and questions arising from this work. For example, the two-channel Kondo effect evolves continuously as interactions are switched on in the leads, as was shown in the case of Luttinger liquid\(^{70,71} \) and helical liquid\(^{72-74} \) leads. It is an open question as to whether the low-temperature crossovers in the presence of such interacting leads are described by the same boundary Ising model, or, e.g., by coupled boundary Ising models. It would also be interesting to use the present formulation of the crossover in terms of a minimal Ising theory to study time-dependent phenomena, quench dynamics, and other nonequilibrium physics.
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**APPENDIX A: PERTURBATION THEORY AROUND THE FL FIXED POINT**

In this appendix we consider the 2IK model for \( K < K_c \), and its FL fixed point describing the ground state where each impurity forms a Kondo singlet with its attached lead. In particular, we calculate the \( t \) matrix for \( \omega, T \ll T^* \) as a stringent consistency check of our full crossover \( t \) matrix,
Eq. (9). Indeed, we also see that the multiplicative function $f(\beta h^2)$ that we included in Eq. (54) is precisely needed to reproduce the FL limit.

We use here the Fermi liquid theory of Nozières, applied to the 2IK model. Naturally, our derivation of the $t$ matrix in the vicinity of the FL fixed point follows closely the analogous calculation for the simpler single channel Kondo (1CK) model. Thus we first recap some of the basic concepts and results for the 1CK model.

The irrelevant operator in the effective Nozières Hamiltonian for the FL fixed point of the 1CK problem can be written in CFT language as

$$\delta H_{1\text{CK}} = -\frac{1}{T_K} \vec{j}(0) \cdot \vec{j}(0)$$

where $\vec{j}(x) = \psi_{\sigma}^\dagger(x) \delta(x-x') \psi_{\sigma}(x')$ is the spin current for a single channel of conduction electrons (implicit summation over repeated indices is implied). The first term of the second line may be interpreted as an elastic single particle scattering, and the second term can be interpreted as a residual electron-electron interaction giving rise to inelastic scattering. Accordingly, one can separate the contributions to the $t$ matrix $T_{1\text{CK}}(w,t) = T_d(w,t) + T_{1\text{in}}(w,t) + T_{2\text{in}}(w,t)$ into elastic and inelastic contributions, respectively, and where

$$-\pi v T_d(w,t) = -i w - i w^2,$$

$$-\pi v T_{2\text{in}}(w,t) = -\frac{i}{2} [w^2 + \pi^2 t^2].$$

For simplicity, we omit spin indices; $T_{1\text{CK},\sigma}(w,t) = \delta_{\sigma\sigma} T_{1\text{CK}}(w,t)$. Here, $w = \omega / T_K$, $t = T / T_K$, and $T_K$ is a particular definition of the Kondo temperature.

The fermionic diagram yielding the inelastic contribution is shown in Fig. 14.

The imaginary part of the $t$ matrix in the 1CK model can thus be expanded as

$$\text{Im} T_{1\text{CK}}(w,t) = \text{Im} T_{1\text{CK}}(0,0) + a w^2 + b t^2,$$

where from Eq. (A2) one obtains

$$a/b|_{1\text{CK}} = 3/\pi^2 \simeq 0.3039.$$

Similar to the Nozières Fermi liquid theory for the 1CK model, an effective Fermi liquid Hamiltonian was constructed using CFT methods based on the emergent SO(7) symmetry of the crossover for the 2IK model. The leading irrelevant operator takes the form

$$\delta H_{\text{FL}} = \frac{1}{T^*} (\vec{j}_L^2 + \vec{j}_R^2 - 6 \vec{j}_L \cdot \vec{j}_R)_{s=0},$$

where $\vec{j}_s(x) = \psi_{\sigma}^\dagger(x) \frac{\pi}{2} \psi_{\sigma}(x)$. $\psi'$ is a scattering state incorporating the $\pi/2$ Kondo phase shift, $\psi'_{\sigma}(x) = \text{sign}(x) \psi_{\sigma}(x)$, and $T^*$ is a particular definition of the low-energy crossover scale. We now calculate the $t$ matrix resulting from this Hamiltonian in the FL regime $\omega T \ll T^*$. In the following, we suppress the indices $\sigma, \alpha$, and note that the $t$ matrix is proportional to $S(\sigma, \alpha)$ in the present situation.

Comparison of the irrelevant operators in the 1CK and 2IK models, Eqs. (A1) and (A5), shows that the first two terms in Eq. (A5) are identical to the Nozières irrelevant operator (up to the exchange of energy scales $T^* \leftrightarrow T_K$). In consequence, the elastic and inelastic scattering contributions within each channel of the 2IK model are the same as those arising in the 1CK model. Indeed, from the diagram Fig. 14 we see that to second order they do not yield any mixed terms. Thus $T_{2\text{IK}}(w,t) = T_{1\text{CK}}(w,t) + T_{1\text{in}}(w,t)$, where $T_{1\text{in}}(w,t)$ originates from the third term in Eq. (A5), representing interaction between channels. We separate the latter into $T_{1L} \cdot T_{1R} = \sum_{\sigma,\alpha} \frac{\pi}{2} \psi_{\sigma}^\dagger \psi_{\sigma}(\psi'_{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha}^\dagger \psi'_{\alpha})$ and note that the second term $\alpha = x, y, z$ contributions are equal, since the quantity of interest is invariant under spin rotations, and the Hamiltonian is also SU(2) spin symmetric. The latter can be written in terms of fermion fields as

$$-\frac{6}{T^*} J_L J_R' = -\frac{3}{2 T^*} (\psi_{\sigma}^\dagger \sigma_{\alpha}^\dagger \psi_{\sigma} (\psi'_{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha} \psi'_{\alpha})).$$

Considering now the $t$ matrix for a single electronic species with quantum numbers $A = \sigma, \alpha$, Eq. (A6) describes the interaction with a second species of either $B = \sigma, \alpha$ or $\delta, \alpha$, and thus contributes a term proportional to the inelastic contribution $T_{1\text{in}}(w,t)$. In fact, the amplitude for this interaction is $\pm \frac{\pi}{2T^*}$, identical in absolute value to the intralead interaction amplitude between up and down electrons [the second term of the second line in Eq. (A1)]. But since the contributions to inelastic scattering are of second order (see Fig. 14), the sign of the scattering amplitude is unimportant in calculation of the $t$ matrix. Summing over the second species $B$ yields an extra factor of 2, yielding $T_{1\text{in}}(w,t) = 3 T_{1\text{in}}(w,t)$. Putting all the contributions together, we have

$$T_{2\text{IK}}(w,t) = T_d(w,t) + 7 T_{1\text{in}}(w,t).$$

As a result, one again obtains $\text{Im} T_{2\text{IK}}(w,t) = \text{Im} T_{2\text{IK}}(0,0) + a w^2 + b t^2$, but with

$$a/b|_{2\text{IK}} = (1 + 2/7) / \pi^2 \simeq 0.13027.$$

This result is in perfect agreement with a numerical evaluation of our full finite-temperature crossover $t$ matrix (9) in the limit $T, \omega T \ll T^*$; as demonstrated in Fig. 4. This calculation also confirms the need for the function $f(\beta h^2)$ used in Eq. (54).

The asymptotic result Eq. (A8) also follows from renormalized perturbation theory calculations presented recently in Ref. 76 for a related 2IK model.

FIG. 14. Diagram for the inelastic contribution to the $t$ matrix. It describes interaction between two fermionic species $A \neq B$. In the 1CK model, $A, B \in \{\uparrow, \downarrow\}$, in two-channel Kondo models $A, B \in \{L, \downarrow, L, \uparrow R, \downarrow R\}$.
APPENDIX B: PERTURBATION THEORY AROUND THE NFL FIXED POINT

1. General structure

In Sec. III D, we used the analyticity of the Green function and the locality of the \( t \) matrix to argue that \( G_{\sigma,\sigma'}(z_1 - z_2) \) depends only on the difference \( (z_1 - z_2) \). This result should hold to all orders in perturbation theory, as shown explicitly in this appendix.

Our starting point here is the NFL FP Green function for the 2IK model, Eq. (41), written as

\[
G_{NFL}^{NFL}(z_1, z_2) = \delta_{\sigma \sigma'} \delta_{\alpha \alpha'} \left( \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{x}{\pi} \right) \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{4} (z_1 - z_2) \right) \langle \sigma_L(z_1) \sigma_L(z_2) \rangle,
\]

(B1)

whose factorized form originates from the Bose-Ising decomposition of the 2IK model into spin, isospin and Ising symmetry sectors.\(^{23}\) Seven of the eight MFs (those corresponding to the part of the fermion field carrying spin and isospin quantum numbers) remain free at the NFL FP, and thus give rise to the first factor [see Eq. (28)]. The second corollary involves the chiral part \( \sigma_L(z) \) of the Ising magnetization operator \( \sigma(z) = \sigma_L(z) \sigma_L(z') \), due to the remaining MF in the Ising sector (see Fig. 9).

When the detuning perturbation \( \lambda_1 \propto (K - K_0) \) acts, the NFL FP is destabilized. The perturbation appears as a correction to the action,\(^{27}\)

\[
\delta S = \lambda_1 \int d\tau \epsilon(0, \tau),
\]

(B2)

where \( \epsilon \) is the CFT \( d = 1/2 \) boundary operator from the Ising sector interpreted as a boundary magnetic field.\(^{24}\) The resulting corrections to the Green function can then be calculated within perturbation theory. The full crossover Green function is then \( G_{NFL-FL}^{NFL}(z_1, z_2) = G_{NFL}^{NFL}(z_1 - z_2) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n G_{NFL}(z_1, z_2) \), where the \( N \)th order correction is given by (suppressing spin and channel indices)

\[
\delta_n G(z_1, z_2) = \lambda_1^n \left( \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{x}{\pi} \right) \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{4} (z_1 - z_2) \right) \times \int_0^\beta \prod_{j=1}^N d\tau_j \langle \sigma_L(z_1) \sigma_L(z_2) \rangle \epsilon(0, \tau_j)).
\]

(B3)

Generally, correlation functions up to three-point functions are determined by CFT. However, the Ising CFT is special because essentially all correlation functions are known exactly. In particular, Ardonne and Sierra obtained explicit expressions\(^{28}\) for the correlators appearing in Eq. (B3). In the case of even \( N \), their result reads\(^{28}\)

\[
\langle \sigma_L(z_1) \sigma_L(z_2) \rangle \prod_{j=1}^N \epsilon(0, \tau_j)) \propto (z_1 - z_2)^{1/8} \times \left| \sum_{l} 2^{2l+1} \frac{1}{(\tau_l - \tau_j)^2} \prod_{l \neq j} (z_1 - \tau_j)(z_2 - \tau_j) \right|.
\]

(B4)

where the sum is over all subsets \( \{1, 2, \ldots, N\} \), containing an even number of elements \( |l| \). \( \text{Hf}(M) \) denotes the Haffnian of a symmetric \( N \times N \) matrix and is given by \( \text{Hf}(M) = \frac{1}{N!^2} \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} \prod_{i=1}^{N/2} M_{\sigma(2i-1), \sigma(2i)} \) with \( \sigma \) a permutation. The set \( l \) (containing \( |l| \) elements) is equal to \( \{1, 2, \ldots, N\} \setminus l \). Using the conformal mapping Eq. (59) from the plane to the cylinder, each coordinate difference \( z - z' \) in Eq. (B4) is replaced by \( \frac{\pi}{2} \sin\left[ \frac{\pi}{2} (z - z') \right] \). The dependence on \( z_1 \) and \( z_2 \) is through factors that explicitly depend on \( z_1 - z_2 \), and terms inside the square root of the form

\[
\prod_{j \neq l} \sin \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} (\tau_j - \tau_l) \right] \sin \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} (\tau_j - \tau) \right]
\]

(B5)

With the aid of the trigonometric identity,

\[
2 \sin \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} (\tau_1 - \tau) \right] \sin \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} (\tau_2 - \tau) \right] = \cos \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} (\tau_1 - \tau_2) \right] - \cos \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} (\tau_1 + \tau_2 - 2\tau) \right] \]

(B6)

and by shifting all \( \tau_j \) integration variables by \( (z_1 + z_2)/2 \) into the complex plane (which can be done without encountering any singularities), the resulting integral in Eq. (B3) then depends only on \( z_1 - z_2 \). The same conclusion is reached for odd \( N \) by a similar calculation.

Thus \( \delta_n G_{\sigma,\sigma'}(z_1, z_2) = \delta_n G_{\sigma,\sigma'}(z_1 - z_2) \) for all \( N \), and hence the full crossover Green function depends only on \( z_1 - z_2 \). The analyticity of the Green function \( \langle \psi_{\alpha,\sigma}(x) \psi_{\alpha,\sigma'}(-x) \rangle = \langle \sigma_{\alpha,\sigma'}(x) \rangle \) allows determination of \( \langle \psi_{\alpha,\sigma}(z_1) \psi_{\alpha,\sigma'}(z_2) \rangle = G_{\sigma\sigma'}(\frac{z_1 + z_2}{2}) \) terms of general coordinates \( z_1 \) and \( z_2 \) by analytic continuation.

2. Leading order perturbation theory

Here, we derive the NFL coefficients \( \beta', \delta \) and \( \beta'' \) of the asymptotic \( t \) matrix discussed in Sec. II B. Since the Green function vanishes at the NFL fixed point, the leading correction arises to first order. We now use the first-order result for \( \langle \sigma(x) \rangle \) derived in Ref. 43,

\[
\langle \sigma(x) \rangle|_{\beta}^{(1)} = h \sqrt{2} \frac{\pi}{\sin \frac{\pi}{2} \beta} \left[ \frac{4 \pi}{\sin \frac{\pi}{2} \beta} \right]^{1/8} \times 2F_1 \left[ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; -\frac{1 - \coth \frac{2\pi}{\beta}}{2} \right] + O(h^2).
\]

(B7)

Note that \( 2F_1 \left[ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; -\frac{1 - \coth \frac{2\pi}{\beta}}{2} \right] = K_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \beta} \) with \( K \) the complete elliptic integral of the first kind. We will also use the short distance \( x \to 0 \) limit of this formula,

\[
\langle \sigma(x) \rangle|_{h, \beta} = -2^{13/8} h x^{3/8} \ln(x) + O(1),
\]

(B8)

valid for \( x \ll \beta, h^{-2} \). Equation (44) and (B8) give

\[
G(x \to 0) = -h \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{2} \sqrt{x}} \ln(x) + O(1).
\]

(B9)

To obtain the expansion of the \( t \) matrix at \( T = 0 \) at large \( \phi \), we use \( G(x \to 0) \) in Eq. (74). Recalling that \( T^* = 4\pi h^2 \), and

\[
...
writing $\ln x = \ln(\omega x) - \ln \omega$ and $y = \omega x$, Eq. (74) becomes

$$t = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{T^2/\omega}}{2\pi^{1/2}} \Im \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy e^{\gamma y} \ln y - \ln \omega \sqrt{y}. \quad \text{(B10)}$$

Note that the $y$ integral should be made symmetrically around the branch cut, as described in Sec. IV B. One then obtains Eq. (17a) with

$$\beta' = -\frac{1 + \pi}{2\pi^{1/2}} \int_0^{\infty} dy \frac{\sin(2y) \ln y}{\sqrt{y}},$$

$$\delta = -\frac{1}{2\pi^{1/2}} \int_0^{\infty} dy \frac{\sin(2y)}{\sqrt{y}}, \quad \text{(B11)}$$

with the numerical values of these integrals announced below Eq. (17a). At finite temperature and $\omega = 0$, we use Eq. (B7) in Eq. (44). Taking the limit $\omega \to 0$ of Eq. (74) and defining $y = x/\beta$, we obtain Eq. (17b) with

$$\beta'' = \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \frac{yK_{1-cod}(2\pi y)}{\sinh(2\pi y)}. \quad \text{(B12)}$$

**APPENDIX C: LINEAR RELATIONS BETWEEN QUADRATIC FORMS FOR ORIGINAL FERMIONS AND MAJORANA FERMIONS**

There are $8 \times 7 = 28$ independent quadratic forms involving $\psi_\omega$ and $\psi_\omega^\dagger$, which together comprise the generators of the SO(8) symmetry group. These generators are linearly related to the 28 quadratic forms of the MFs $\chi_f^X$. In this appendix, we gather and rederive some of these relations, which can also be found in, e.g., Ref. 47.

First, we define a convention relating the Klein factors $F_A$ for the new fermions $\psi_\sigma$ to the Klein factors $F_{\sigma\sigma}$ for the original fermions $\chi_\sigma$. The relations are fully determined by

$$F_X^I F_X^j = F_{1L}^I F_{1L}^j, \quad F_X F_{X}^j = F_{1L}^I F_{1R}^j, \quad F_X^I F_{X}^j = F_{1L}^I F_{1R}^j, \quad \text{(C1)}$$

and by the anticommutation relations $\{F_A, F_B\} = 2\delta_{AB}, F_A F_A^\dagger = F_A^\dagger F_A = 1$.

We now consider the instructive example of the operator $i\chi_1^{X X} = \frac{i}{2} (\psi_f^\dagger + \psi_f) \chi_f^X$, and use Eqs. (37) and (38) to relate it to a quadratic term involving the original fermions:

$$i\chi_1^{X X} = \frac{i}{2} (\psi_f^\dagger + \psi_f) \psi_f^\dagger \chi_f^X + \text{H.c.}$$

$$= \frac{i}{2} F_{1L}^I F_{1R}^j e^{i\phi_f^i} \chi_f^X + \frac{i}{2} F_{1L}^I F_{1R}^j e^{-i\phi_f^i} \chi_f^X + \text{H.c.} \quad \text{(C2)}$$

Using Eqs. (C1) and (36), we obtain

$$i\chi_1^{X X} = -\frac{i}{2} F_{1L}^I F_{1R}^j e^{i\phi_f^i} \chi_f^X - \frac{i}{2} F_{1R}^j F_{1L}^I e^{i\phi_f^i} \chi_f^X + \text{H.c.}$$

Finally, using the bosonization formula Eq. (35), we have

$$i\chi_1^{X X} \chi_1^{X X} = -i \frac{2}{2} \psi_{1L}^\dagger \psi_{1R}^\dagger - i \frac{2}{2} \psi_{1R}^\dagger \psi_{1L} + \text{H.c.} = \psi_1^2 \sigma_3 \psi_1 \psi_1.$$

In a similar fashion, all of the relations between quadratic forms can be determined. Conserved currents in the 2CK and 2IK models can be expressed in terms of the original fermions or the MFs, and the relations between them are needed for our generalization of the crossover $t$ matrix to arbitrary perturbation, as considered in Sec. VI. The conserved currents of the 2CK model are

charge : $J = \frac{i}{2} \psi_1^\dagger \psi_1 \chi_1^i \chi_1^j$,  
spin : $J_s = \frac{i}{2} \psi_{1L}^\dagger \psi_{1R}^\dagger \chi_1^i \chi_1^j$,  
flavor : $J_f = \frac{i}{2} \psi_1^\dagger \chi_1 \chi_1^j$.

Equivalently, one can define a three-component spin vector $\vec{x}_s = (\chi_s^1, \chi_s^2, \chi_s^3)$ and flavor vector $\vec{x}_f = (\chi_f^1, \chi_f^2, \chi_f^3)$ such that

$$J_s = \frac{i}{2} \vec{x}_s \times \vec{x}_s, \quad J_f = \frac{i}{2} \vec{x}_f \times \vec{x}_f. \quad \text{(C3)}$$

Furthermore, the nine spin-flavor current components can be expressed as

$$\frac{1}{2} \psi_1^\dagger \sigma^a \tau^b \psi_1 = i(\vec{x}_s)^a (\vec{x}_f)^b \quad (a, b = x, y, z). \quad \text{(C4)}$$

Thus the decomposition of the 2CK model into $U(1) \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ charge, spin, and flavor sectors can be understood also in terms of MFs.

In the 2IK model, there is no flavor SU(2) symmetry since each channel couples to a different impurity. However, one can make use of the SU(2) total spin current $J_s$ as well as SU(2) isospin currents for each channel $I_L$ and $I_R$, where

$$I_L = \frac{1}{2} \sum_\sigma \psi_{1\sigma} \psi_{1\sigma}^\dagger, \quad I_R = \psi_{1\sigma} \psi_{1\sigma}^\dagger. \quad \text{(C5)}$$

In terms of MFs, we have

$$I_L^I + I_R^I = J = i \chi_1^{X X} \chi_1^i, \quad \text{(C6)}$$

$$I_L^I - I_R^I = J_s = i \chi_1^i \chi_1^j, \quad \text{and}$$

$$I_L^I + I_R^I = i \chi_1^i \chi_1^j, \quad \text{(C7)}$$

$$I_L^I - I_R^I = i \chi_1^i \chi_1^j, \quad \text{Hence one can understand the conformal embedding of the 2IK model as a SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times SU(2)_R}^\prime \times \text{Ising decomposition into total spin, left/right channel isospin and Ising sectors. three of the eight MFs represent the total spin sector: four represent the isospin symmetry sectors (the charge and flavor MFs) and the remaining MF, } \chi_1^2, \text{ is associated with the Ising model (and restores the total central charge } c = 4).}$$

---
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