The Somatostatin Analogue Octreotide Inhibits Growth of Small Intestine Neuroendocrine Tumour Cells
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Abstract

Octreotide is a widely used synthetic somatostatin analogue that significantly improves the management of neuroendocrine tumours (NETs). Octreotide acts through somatostatin receptors (SSTRs). However, the molecular mechanisms leading to successful disease control or symptom management, especially when SSTRs levels are low, are largely unknown. We provide novel insights into how octreotide controls NET cells. CNDT2.5 cells were treated from 1 day up to 16 months with octreotide and then were profiled using Affymetrix microarray analysis. Quantitative real-time PCR and western blot analyses were used to validate microarray profiling in silico data. WST-1 cell proliferation assay was applied to evaluate cell growth of CNDT2.5 cells in the presence or absence of 1 μM octreotide at different time points. Moreover, laser capture microdissected tumour cells and paraffin embedded tissue slides from SI-NETs at different stages of disease were used to identify transcriptional and translational expression. Microarrays analyses did not reveal relevant changes in SSTR expression levels. Unexpectedly, six novel genes were found to be upregulated by octreotide: annexin A1 (ANXA1), rho GTPase-activating protein 18 (ARHGAP18), epithelial membrane protein 1 (EMPI), growth/differentiation factor 15 (GDF15), TGF-beta type II receptor (TGFBR2) and tumour necrosis factor (ligand) superfamily member 15 (TNFSF15). Furthermore, these novel genes were expressed in tumour tissues at transcript and protein levels. We suggest that octreotide may use a potential novel framework to exert its beneficial effect as a drug and to convey its action on neuroendocrine cells. Thus, six novel genes may regulate cell growth and differentiation in normal and tumour neuroendocrine cells and have a role in a novel octreotide mechanism system.

Introduction

Neuroendocrine tumours (NETs) are rare cancers that can affect different parts of the body. Gastrointestinal (GI) NETs originate from enterochromaffin (EC) cells, which are sparse neuroendocrine cells disseminated throughout the GI tract [1,2]. A subgroup of GI-NETs are small intestine NETs (SI-NETs). A recent and detailed WHO classification for GI-NETs is available in a recent paper [3].

The primary SI-NET is often small and asymptomatic. Therefore, diagnosis and treatment may be delayed by several years, during which metastases can form. Radical surgical resection alone can be curative in patients with early stage disease. However, most patients present advanced disease at the time of diagnosis [4]. Thus, the current treatments of metastasized SI-NETs aim at controlling tumour growth and hormonal secretion by using mainly somatostatin analogues (SSAs), interferon alpha and more recently everolimus [3,6,7,8].

Several earlier studies reported that SSAs exert their effects by binding to SSTRs. Five genes SSTR1-SSTR5 encode five receptors named SSTR1 to SSTR5 respectively. They signal and activate cellular and molecular mechanisms leading to either successful therapy or acquired resistance to the drugs [9,10]. Octreotide (Sandostatin®) was the first SSA commercially available. In addition, it has high affinity for SSTR2 and moderate affinity for SSTR3 and SSTR5 [11]. SSAs have long been used to treat NETs. Somatostatin is a natural growth-hormone-releasing inhibiting factor produced in the hypothalamus, which exerts its effects through high-affinity to somatostatin receptors (SSTRs). These receptors are G coupled protein receptors and elicit cellular responses through second-messenger systems [12]. The introduction of octreotide, the first SSA in 1987 and later novel SSAs resulted in NETs symptom management. However, their capacity to inhibit tumour growth has been seriously debated for a long time. The first performed placebo-controlled, double-blinded, phase IIIb study in patients with well-differentiated metastatic...
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midgut NETs addressed the hypothesis that octreotide-lanreotide (LAR) prolongs time to tumour progression and survival. The study concluded that octreotide-LAR significantly lengthens time to tumour progression compared to placebo in patients with functionally active and inactive metastatic midgut NETs [13]. The conclusions of the study have been debated due to its design and the number of patients included [14,15]. Nevertheless, clinical results confirmed that octreotide and lanreotide provide in addition to their suppression of carcinoid syndrome, antitumour benefits in terms of tumour cell growth control [13]. In summary, somatostatin analogs (including octreotide and lanreotide) have been indicated for the relief of the symptoms of flushing, diarrhea, and wheezing associated with secretory neuroendocrine tumors (NETs). Recently, it has been suggested that somatostatin analogs may provide direct and indirect antitumor effects in secretory and nonsecretory NETs in addition to symptom control in secretory NETs and more findings have been explored about the octreotide anti-angiogenic role. However, many aspects of octreotide control on tumour growth are still largely unclear [16,17,18].

For instance, it is still not known where octreotide can reduce cell growth in absence of a SSTR pathway. However, the mechanism that triggers resistance to octreotide has not been identified. Recently, it has been reported that long-term treatments with SSAs, such as octreotide, may switch NET cells to be static in growth activating apoptosis or cell cycle arrest at G1 phase [19]. However, clinical transposition of the results produced in vitro is difficult [20]. This finding suggests and supports the idea that SSAs may use more complex alternative mechanisms, which have not been fully addressed and deserve further investigation.

Although the number of cell lines available from SI-NETs is limited and they cannot fully mimic a malignancy, they can still broaden our understanding of NET cell biology and serve as tools for development of novel therapies [21,22,23,24,25,26]. The present study started by testing five human NET cell lines, CNDT2.5 [27] and KRJ-1 [28], established from SI-NETs, QGP-1 from a pancreatic NET [29]; and NCI-H720 and NCI-H727 from lung carcinoids. The molecular basis underlying octreotide growth and differentiation control of neuroendocrine cells is elusive and would surely benefit from the establishment of a novel representative in vitro model.

Affymetrix microarray analyses and quantitative real time PCR (QRT-PCR) showed that CNDT2.5 has the lowest expression of SSTR1–5. This made CNDT2.5 a suitable model in vitro for our analysis. CNDT2.5 cells were treated with octreotide for between 1 week and 16 months. Then genome-wide transcript profiling was used to compare treated and untreated cells. Results showed that octreotide had the ability to reduce CNDT2.5 cell growth persistently and, most importantly, revealed the presence of a small group of six genes, previously unrelated to octreotide-induced signaling, which may be involved in cell growth rate reduction and differentiation of neuroendocrine cells.

**Results**

Quantitative Real Time PCR (QRT-PCR) Analysis Shows that CNDT2.5 Express Limited Amount of SSTRs

We calculated copy number of the transcripts of the five somatostatin receptors (SSTRs 1–5) in five human neuroendocrine cancer cell lines. To investigate the expression of SSTRs the copy number of β-actin was used as internal control. The results showed a varied expression of SSTRs pattern (Figure 1). Based on these data, CNDT2.5 was selected as a suitable model for subsequent studies given their profile and levels of SSTR expression.

Octreotide Clearly Reduces the Proliferation Rate of CNDT2.5 Cells

The CNDT2.5 cell line was used as an in vitro model to investigate the mechanisms whereby octreotide may alter neuroendocrine cell biology. Untreated and octreotide-treated CNDT2.5 cells were always cultured for the same time period in the different experiments. To determine the effect of octreotide on CNDT2.5 cell growth, we measured cell proliferation (metabolic activity of viable cells) in the absence or presence of 1 μM octreotide at 1 week, 4, 10 and 16 months using the commercial WST-1 assay. Octreotide reduces the growth of CNDT2.5 cells as shown in Figure 2. Briefly, 1 week of treatment produced a 15% cell growth reduction; 4 months, 26%; 10 months, 46%; and 16 months, 49% of growth reduction. In addition, the growth
capacity was rapidly restored after octreotide withdrawal (Supporting Figure S1).

Transcription Analysis of CNDT2.5 Cells in the Absence or Presence of Octreotide

To uncover the mechanism of action behind the reduction of cell growth rate induced by octreotide, CNDT2.5 cells were cultured for 10 and 16 months in the absence or presence of 1 μM octreotide. Collected total RNA was profiled using Affymetrix microarrays and raw data were deposited on NCBI's GEO (GSE24358) and EBI's Array-Express database (E-MTAB-388). Microarray analysis did not detect a high-fold change in the SSTR expression (Supporting Table S1). However, marked changes in expression of a number of genes in response to octreotide treatment were detected by the arrays. Twenty-five genes were reported as significantly informative by FARMS algorithm and were commonly regulated more than two fold at 10 and 16 months. The genes were then clustered according to Euclidian distance (Data not shown). We restricted our interest to 25 regulated genes after functional analysis and their gene expression is shown in Figure 3. Moreover, 25 genes were classified using gene ontology MAS 3 (Table 1). Then six genes, which are involved in proliferation/apoptosis and cell signaling, were selected for further validation after gene ontology and bioinformatics analysis. They are shown in bold in Table 1.

QRT-PCR and Protein Analyses of Octreotide-treated CNDT2.5 Cells Confirm the Upregulation of Six Selected Genes

QRT-PCR analysis was extended to verify differences in transcript levels of ANXA1, ARHGAP18, EMP1, GDF15, TGFBR2 and TNFSF15 between CNDT2.5 cells cultured in the absence or presence of octreotide. Thus, ANXA1, ARHGAP18, EMP1, GDF15, TGFBR2 and TNFSF15 showed a clear accumulation of transcripts in response to octreotide treatment (Figure 4). To further verify the findings from the microarray analysis, we assessed the protein levels of SSTR 1-5 and the selected genes of interest using western blot analysis. Western blot analysis detected slight increases in the levels of SSTR 1, 2 and 3 proteins although not in SSTR5 (Supporting Figure S2) in line with the small increased expression

| Symbol  | Description                      | Molecular function                          | Biological process                          | Oct/control |
|---------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------|
| ABHD5   | abhydrolase domain 5             | protein binding                             | lipid metabolism                            | 1.2         |
| ADAMTSL1| ADAMTS-like 1                    | metalloproteinase activity                  | −                                          | 1.5         |
| ANXA1   | annexin A1                       | phospholipase A2 inhibitor                   | cell proliferation                          | 1.3         |
| ARHGAP18| Rho GTPase activating protein 18 | GTPase activator activity                   | signal transduction                         | 1.4         |
| ASS1    | arginosuccinate synthetase 1     | arginosuccinate synthase activity            | urea cycle                                  | 1.5         |
| C1orf110| chromosome 1 open reading frame 110| −                                    | −                                          | 1.3         |
| CDH13   | cadherin 13                      | calcium binding                             | cell proliferation                          | 1.3         |
| EMP1    | epithelial membrane protein 1    | −                                           | cell proliferation                          | 1.4         |
| ERRFI   | ERBB receptor feedback inhibitor 1| Rho GTPase activator activity               | signal transduction                         | 1.2         |
| FLNB    | filamin B                        | protein binding                             | development                                 | 1.1         |
| GDF15   | growth differentiation factor 15 | growth factor activity                       | signal transduction                         | 1.4         |
| HBEGF   | heparin-binding EGF-like growth factor | epidermal growth factor receptor binding | signal transduction                      | −1.2       |
| LAMA1   | laminin, alpha 1                 | receptor binding                            | signal transduction                         | 1.3         |
| MAP1LC3C| microtubule-associated protein 1 light chain 3 | −                                    | autophagy                                   | −1.3       |
| MICAL2  | microtubule associated monoxygenase | metal ion binding                      | metabolism                                 | 1.2         |
| MYEOV   | myeloma overexpressed             | −                                           | cell migration                              | 1.3         |
| NEDD4L  | neural precursor cell, down-regulated 4-like | sodium channel regulator activity          | sodium ion transport                        | 1.3         |
| PDP1    | pyruvate dehydrogenase phosphatase 1 | protein serine/threonine phosphatase activity | protein amino acid dephosphorylation | 1.2         |
| PLAU    | plasminogen activator, urokinase | serine-type endopeptidase activity          | signal transduction                         | 1.3         |
| RG5     | regulator of G-protein signaling 5 | GTPase activator activity | G-protein coupled receptor protein signaling pathway | 1.0  |
| RUNX2   | runt-related transcription factor 2 | transcription factor activity | negative regulation of transcription     | 1.2         |
| S100A10 | S100 calcium binding protein A10 | receptor binding                            | signal transduction                         | 1.2         |
| SQSTM1  | sequestosome 1                   | SH2 domain binding                          | signaling cascade                           | 1.2         |
| TGFBR2  | transforming growth factor, β receptor II | protein serine/threonine phosphatase activity | common-partner SMAD protein phosphorylation | 1.3         |
| TNFSF15 | tumor necrosis factor superfamily member 15 | tumor necrosis factor receptor binding | signal transduction                        | 1.5         |

Log2 ratio expression between octreotide (Oct) treated CNDT2.5 cells and untreated CNDT2.5 cells (control). Bolded genes were further analyzed.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0048411.t001
of SSTRs from the microarray analysis. In addition, the five proteins (ANXA1, ARHGAP18, GDF15, TGFBR2 and TNFSF15) were markedly increased after 10 and 16 months octreotide treatment as shown in Figure 5A. In contrast, we were unable to detect EMP1, which in fact has never been detected in CNDT2.5 cells. The fold changes between untreated and treated cells are presented in a table for clarity in Figure 5B.

Octreotide-upregulated Transcripts andEncoded Proteins of CNDT2.5 Cells and SI-NET Tumour Tissue at Different Stage of Disease

To better understand the octreotide-regulated genes, which were identified by microarray analyses and QRT-PCR of CNDT2.5 cells, we next analysed tumour tissue. We first verified the RNA expression of ANXA1, ARHGAP18, EMP1, GDF15, TGFBR2 and TNFSF15 using laser capture microdissected (LCM) tumour cells from 6 frozen blocks as illustrated in Table 2. Transcript expression in both primary tumours and liver metastases is evident for all the six genes (Figure 6). We then investigated protein expression using commercial antibodies for immunohistochemistry. ANXA1, ARHGAP10, EMP1, GDF15, TGFBR2 and TNFSF15 protein expression was analysed on tissue sections from 12 patients as illustrated in Table 2. The proteins show a variety of different expression patterns and the results are shown in Figure 7. ANXA1 is the only protein stained in stroma cells and not in tumour cells, while the other five stains specifically either untreated tumour cells or treated ones at different stage of disease. In addition, the inserts of each panel in the figure show a weaker staining of the proteins, except for TNFSF15. A clear summary of the results is shown in Table 3.

Discussion

NET patients require medical management that aims to relieve symptoms and suppress tumour growth and spread. Somatostatin and its stable analogues (octreotide, lanreotide and vapreotide) exert an antiproliferative effect on various normal and cancerous cells both in vitro and in vivo. Medical approaches in the management of NETs are limited and SSAs are a valuable resource to alleviate their symptoms resulting from the secretion of hormones or vasoactive peptides into the systemic circulation [6]. Moreover, the antitumoral effects of SSAs, recently demonstrated in SI-NETs [13] have prompted considerable interest in their use against this kind of rare tumours.

The main aim of our study was to identify potential novel genes, rather than the SSTRs, behind the effect of octreotide on NET cells. We used CNDT2.5 cells, as an in vitro model to investigate how octreotide may alter neuroendocrine cell biology in regard to tumour cells that express low levels of SSTRs. We studied whether and how cell growth control and differentiation can occur to the cells under daily octreotide treatment for up to 16 months, by applying long-term treatment with high concentration of octreotide. Despite the long treatment, CNDT2.5 cells maintained sensitivity to the drug. Moreover, they did not show susceptibility to apoptosis while the growth rate was steadily reduced over time. The growth capacity was rapidly restored after octreotide withdrawal (Supporting Figure S1). Furthermore, to better clarify the mechanism of action behind the reduction of cell growth induced by octreotide on CNDT2.5 cells, we gene profiled the cells to investigate variations in transcript levels after long-term octreotide treatment. By using microarray expression analysis, the expression levels of several hundred genes can be detected simultaneously and bioinformatics and gene ontology analyses suggested that 25 genes are differentially regulated by octreotide treatment. We choose 6 genes in the list, which were previously unrelated to octreotide signaling, excluding others for three main reasons. First, the genes were selected according to the biological functions inherent to proliferation/apoptosis and cell signaling by gene ontology. Second, the transcripts are not alternatively spliced to a large degree, which makes the preparation of QRT-PCR primers ideal. Third, commercial antibodies were available to extend the investigation to protein expression both in CNDT2.5 cellular total lysates and tissue specimens. Thus, these genes which are associated to proliferation/apoptosis and cell signaling may be involved in mediating octreotide-induced CNDT2.5 cell growth reduction.

Our results show that different times of octreotide treatment triggered a progressive increase in transcription of the all selected...
genes (ANXA1, ARHGAP18, EMP1, GDF15, TGFBR2 and TNFSF15), without altering the expression levels of SSTRs (Data not shown) in CNDT2.5 cells. Moreover, we confirmed induced upregulation by octreotide for most of these genes at the protein level. Thus, we suggest that octreotide may be effective in controlling growth of CNDT2.5 cells for a long time without triggering any resistance during a long treatment. Furthermore, results indicate that even cells or tissues expressing low levels of SSTRs, such as those tested here, show significant responses to octreotide.

In this scenario, our present results reveal a potential role for six select genes, ANXA1, ARHGAP18, EMP1, GDF15, TGFBR2 and TNFSF15, which have not been explored hitherto in this field, and therefore deserve further investigation. ANXA1, EMP1, TGFBR2 and TNFSF15 have major roles in biological processes such as cell proliferation and apoptosis [30,31,32,33], whereas ARHGAP18 and GDF15 have major roles in signal transduction [34,35]. Moreover, both ARHGAP18 and EMP1 might work as tumour suppressors [36,37].

Briefly, ANXA1 is a protein involved in adhesion, proliferation, apoptosis, migration, growth and differentiation [38]. It has also been proposed that ANXA1 expression may correlate with the tumorigenesis, of thyroid and gastric cancer, which emphasizes the importance of ANXA1 in different kinds of cancers [30,39]. ARHGAP18 encodes rho GTPase-activating protein 18, which belongs to the RhoGAP family and functions as GTPase activator for the Rho-type GTPases. Notably, the RhoGAP family includes several tumour suppressors [40] and the role of Rho GTPases in diverse NETs signaling pathway has been established [35]. EMP1 encodes epithelial membrane protein 1, a multi-pass membrane protein that plays a role as a biomarker of gefitinib clinical resistance [41,42]. EMP1 is involved in the EGFR signaling pathway with an important role in cell proliferation and epithelial cell differentiation and it is considered important in metastatic colorectal cancer [43]. GDF15 encodes growth/differentiation factor 15, a member of the transforming growth factor beta (TGF beta) superfamily that regulates tissue differentiation [44]. In addition, this protein has a variety of roles in growth, apoptosis, survival, proliferation and signaling [45]. TGFBR2 encodes TGF-beta II receptor, a member of the Ser/Thr protein kinase family, which is part of the TGFBR receptor superfamily [46]. The protein is a single-pass type I membrane protein, receptor for TGF-beta,
an important regulator of cell proliferation, differentiation and extracellular matrix production. Mutations in this gene have been associated with the development of various types of tumours [47]. Explicitly it has a defined role in colon cancer and a combination of inactivation of the TGF-3 signaling pathway and expression of oncogenic Kras leads to formation of invasive intestinal neoplasms through a beta-catenin-independent pathway [48]. Last, TNFSF15 encodes a cytokine, which belongs to the tumor necrosis factor (TNF) ligand family and its second common ID is VEGI, vascular endothelial cell growth inhibitor. This protein is abundantly expressed in endothelial cells and never in B or T cells. Protein expression is inducible by TNF and IL-1 alpha. This cytokine is a ligand for receptor TNFRSF25 and decoy receptor TNFRSF21/DR6. It can activate NF-kappaB and MAP kinases, and acts as an autocrine factor to induce apoptosis in endothelial cells. This cytokine is also found to inhibit endothelial cell proliferation, and thus may function as an angiogenesis inhibitor. However, the complex function in diseases and health is summarized in a 2011 article, which considers its pivotal role in cancer-tumor-immunology [49].

However, we were not able to obtain unequivocal evidence that the six proposed genes have a major role on octreotide direct effects. Indeed, it is very well known that this drug may have a capacity to control changes of some structural properties of the cells via secondary effects. In addition, the in vitro antiangiogenic effects of somatostatin and its analogues have been previously shown by studies on melanoma cells, which expressed one or more SSTR and were treated by using somatostatin or SSAs [20,50]. As far as we know, we are the first group proposing that octreotide may signal its effects through SSTRs by activating a set of genes that have not previously been associated to the conventional octreotide signaling pathway. It is today not known how downstream events lead to differential expression of the six described genes. Indeed, we have been treating our in vitro model up to 16 months, showing that EMP1, GDF15 and TGFBR2 are modulated after 10 months of treatment. This potentially suggests that they are not involved at the earlier time point when octreotide is able to inhibit cell proliferation. Thus, this may imply that EMP1, GDF15 and TGFBR2 might be either involved in octreotide indirect effects or being pivotal in opposing cell growth control via a downstream network. However, the six genes
represent new, valuable tools for the identification of novel potential biomarkers or therapeutically relevant targets. Hence, the whole-transcript expression analysis of CNDT2.5 cells offers a powerful and informative resource for detecting variation in gene expression between non-treated and long-term octreotide-treated CNDT2.5 cells. Most importantly, extension of our analysis to primary SI-NET and liver metastases showed that these genes encode proteins in tumour tissues. Although it is difficult to access to the right number of tumour specimens to translate the results in vitro to clinical results, we strongly believe that the novel potential mechanisms used by octreotide to control NET biology should be investigated.

Thus, octreotide may signal through alternative mechanisms that require expression of SSTRs in combination with different genes, which may activate a potential novel framework, which is not fully understood. However, our transcriptomic analysis detected 6 novel genes, which may encode proteins able to cross talk. Thus, SSTRs, ANXA1, ARHGAP18, EMP1, GDF15, TGFBR2 and TNFSF15 genes and encoded proteins may have a potential role in controlling cell growth and differentiation in human NET cells by octreotide. Moreover, investigating this new potential framework help our understanding about why patients get relief from SSA therapy when they do not initially overexpress high amount of SSTRs [51,52]. The newly detected proteins control many different cellular mechanisms. Thus, further analyses are necessary to fully understand the new mechanisms of octreotide to control NET cells growth and differentiation. Ideally, an animal model may clarify better our hypothesis.

### Methods

#### Ethics Statement

This study was conducted according to the principles expressed in the Declaration of Helsinki. Moreover, this study was approved by the regional Ethical Committee at the Clinic of Endocrine Oncology, Uppsala University Hospital, Sweden. All the participants provided written consent for studying the tissue samples and eventually to publish new obtained scientific data. The study was performed in accordance with the regional Ethical Committee at the Clinic of Endocrine Oncology, Uppsala University Hospital, Sweden (approval number: Dnr 2011/426).

---

**Table 2.** Small intestine neuroendocrine tumour specimens and analyses.

| Patient | Sex/Age | Treatment | Type   | Tissue      | Analysis                  |
|---------|---------|-----------|--------|-------------|---------------------------|
| 1       | M/70    | Untreated | Primary | Ileum       | QRT-PCR, LCM (FS)         |
| 2       | F/63    | Untreated | Primary | Ileum       | QRT-PCR, LCM (FS)         |
| 3       | F/63    | Untreated | Primary | Ileum       | QRT-PCR, LCM (FS), IHC (PET) |
| 4       | M/54    | Untreated | Primary | Ileum       | IHC (PET)                 |
| 5       | F/59    | Untreated | Primary | Ileum       | IHC (PET)                 |
| 6       | F/68    | Untreated | Metastases | Liver  | IHC (PET)                 |
| 7       | M/60    | Untreated | Metastases | Liver  | IHC (PET)                 |
| 8       | F/71    | Untreated | Metastases | Liver  | IHC (PET)                 |
| 9       | F/57    | SSA+IFN   | Primary | Ileum       | IHC (PET)                 |
| 10      | F/58    | SSA+IFN   | Primary | Ileum       | IHC (PET)                 |
| 11      | F/52    | SSA+IFN   | Primary | Ileum       | IHC (PET)                 |
| 12      | F/67    | SSA+IFN   | Metastases | Liver  | QRT-PCR, LCM (FS), IHC (PET) |
| 13      | F/65    | SSA+IFN   | Metastases | Liver  | QRT-PCR, LCM (FS)         |
| 14      | F/76    | SSA+IFN   | Metastases | Liver  | QRT-PCR, LCM (FS)         |
| 15      | M/61    | SSA       | Metastases | Liver  | IHC (PET)                 |
| 16      | F/44    | SSA+IFN   | Metastases | Liver  | IHC (PET)                 |

Age at the time of operation (Age*); Somatostatin Analogue (SSA); Interferon a (IFN); Laser Capture Microdissection (LCM); Frozen Specimen (FS); Paraffin-Embedded Tissue (PET).

**Table 3.** Result of Immunohistochemistry on paraffin embedded SI-NET specimens.

| Protein | P | L | M | Positive Staining |
|---------|---|---|---|-------------------|
| ANXA1   | 3/3–| 3/3–| 3/3–| 2/2–| 1/1–| 0/12 |
| ARHGAP18| 2/3+++| 2/3++| 1/3++| 2/2++| 1/1++| 12/12 |
| EMP1    | 1/3++| 1/3+| 2/3+| 1/2+| 1/1+| 12/12 |
| GDF15   | 1/3+++| 3/3++| 1/3+| 1/2++| 1/1++| 12/12 |
| TGFBR2  | 1/3+| 2/3+| 1/2+| 1/3+| 1/3+| 1/3+| 1/2+| 1/3+| 1/3+| 1/3+| 1/2+| 1/1+| 11/12 |
| TNFSF15 | 1/3+++| 2/3+++| 1/3++| 1/2+| 1/1++| 12/12 |

Primary tumour (P); Liver metastases (L); Mesentery metastases (M); Untreated (UT); Treated (T).

Intensity in >50% of tumour cells: +++ strong, ++ moderate, + weak, – negative.
Human NET Cell Lines and Media

CNDT2.5, KRJ-1 and QGP-1 were gifts from Prof. L.M. Ellis, MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA, Prof. I.M. Modlin, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA and Prof. B. Ericsson, Uppsala University Hospital, Uppsala, Sweden respectively. Moreover, these cells were previously used for scientific studies, which have been published as You can see in the follow references [27,28,29]. The human lung carcinoids cell lines NCI-H720 and NCI-H727 were from ATCC (LGC Promochem, Sweden). The cells were cultured at 37°C and 5% CO2-humidified atmosphere in culture media as previously reported [27,28,29,53].

Tissue Samples

The tissue samples included in the study have a histopathologically confirmed diagnosis of SI-NETs. Snap-frozen specimens from 6 patients were used to isolate total RNA from laser capture microdissected (LCM) tumour cells. Moreover, formalin fixed paraffin-embedded tissues from 12 patients were used for immunohistochemistry (IHC). Patients’ information is summarized in Table 2. Permission to collect tumour specimens was approved by the regional Ethical Committee at the Uppsala University Hospital (Dnr 2011/426).

Laser Capture Microdissection of NET Cells

Snap-frozen specimens from three untreated primary tumours and three SSA+IFN treated liver metastases were cut in 8-μm sections by a microtome cryostat (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) and adhered to polyethylene-naphthalate membrane frame slides (Applied Biosystems, Life Technologies, Carlsbad, California, USA). Primary tumour cells and liver metastatic cells were isolated by ArcturusXT Microdissection system (Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

RNA Extraction From Cell Lines and Laser Capture Microdissected Tumour Cells

Total RNA was isolated from five human neuroendocrine cancer cell lines with PARIS Kit (Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNAqueous Micro Kit (Applied Biosystems) was used to prepare total RNA from laser capture microdissected tumour cells. RNA quantity and quality was always verified by using the RNA 6000 Nano Kit/RNA 6000 Pico Kit and the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent technologies, Waldbronn, Germany).

Microarray Data Analysis and Data Mining

About one microgram of total RNA per each cell line described above was sent to the Uppsala Array Platform, Uppsala University Hospital, Uppsala, Sweden. Total RNA was hybridized onto the Affymetrix Human Gene 1.0 ST Array (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, USA) and processed according to Affymetrix technical protocols. Scanned images of microarray chips were analysed by the GeneChip Operating Software (Affymetrix). Untreated CNDT2.5 cells and treated CNDT2.5 cells were always cultured at the same time. We profiled gene expression of untreated human neuroendocrine cancer cells CNDT2.5 and 1 μM octreotide treated CNDT2.5 cells after 10 and 16 months of culture. The raw data were normalized using RMA algorithm. This analysis was performed with the MeV software (www.tm4.org) [54]. Before comparing the effect of long time (10 months and 16 months) octreotide treatment on CNDT2.5 cells gene expression, microarray raw data were normalized using FARMS normalization algorithm as implemented in XPS, package (R/Bioconductor: www.bioconductor.org). Significantly marked genes as informative with a present call by I/NI-calls algorithm were kept for further fold change analysis between treated and untreated cells. A hierarchical clustering algorithm was applied to group genes and samples according to similarities in expression. Genes differentially expressed were clustered using Euclidian distance with average linkage clustering (genes and samples). Gene function based on gene ontology analysis was performed by using IHOP - Gene Model (www.ihop-net.org/UniPub/iHOP/) and MAS 3 (http://bioinfo.capitalbio.com/mas3/).

Figure 6. Gene expression of novel detected genes from laser capture microdissected tumour cells. Total RNA from microdissected tumour cells of three primary tumour (P) specimens and three liver metastases (L) were analysed by QRT-PCR. Results are plotted using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$ method with $\beta$-actin expression (set to 1) from each individual sample as endogenous reference. Plotted results are means ± SD from triplicate wells.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0048411.g006
Cell Proliferation Assay

Spectrophotometric quantification of cell proliferation was measured by using the metabolic proliferation reagent WST-1 (Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The principle of this assay relies on the cleavage of the stable tetrazolium salt WST-1 to a soluble formazan by a complex enzymatic cellular mechanism. This bioreduction mainly depends on the glycolytic production of NAD(P)H in viable cells. Thus, the amount of formazan dye formed directly correlates to the number of metabolically active cells in the culture. CNDT2.5 cells were cultured in the absence and presence of otreotide for 1 week, 4, 10 and 16 months. We

Figure 7. Immunohistochemistry of ANXA1, ARHGAP18, EMP1, GDF15, TGFBR2 and TNFSF15. Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue sections from 12 SI-NET patients at different stage of disease were used for the staining by using commercial antibodies. Three untreated primary tumours, 3 treated primary tumours, 3 untreated liver metastases, 2 treated liver metastases (L) and 1 treated mesentry metastasis (M). The results show a variety of specific pattern of expression of the different proteins, which are described in the Result paragraph. However, they clearly show the presence of 5 of the 6 selected encoded proteins in the tumor cells of SI-NET slides. Bar = 50 μm.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0048411.g007
first performed WST-1 assay for each time point. Then we repeated the assay two times using cells frozen at 1 week, 4, 10 and 16 months. Octreotide was used at the concentration of 1 μM and cells were seeded in 96-well plates 100 μL/well at a density of 1.2×10^4 cells per well. Moreover, cells were cultured in the presence or absence of 1 μM octreotide for 1 week to evaluate cell proliferation. Cells were then incubated for 1 h at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere in the presence of metabolic reagent WST-1 (10 μL/well). The absorbance of the samples against a background control as blank (media) was measured at 450 nm using a Multiskan Ascent microplate (ELISA) reader (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA). Cell proliferation was calculated as a percentage of untreated CNDT2.5 cells. WST-1 data were plotted using the results from three independent wells.

QRT-PCR
About 1 μg of total RNA per sample was converted to cDNA with iScript cDNA synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). Briefly, to estimate the starting copy number of cDNA, sample signal was compared with that generated with a specific standard curve containing 1, 10^1, 10^2, 10^3, 10^4, 10^5 and 10^6 copies of synthetic cDNA template for each transcript of interest run on the same plate. QRT-PCR verified mRNA levels of all SSTR-subtypes have been recently reported [55]. Results are expressed as copies of SSTR per copies of β-actin. QRT-PCR primers and amplicons are described in Supporting Table S2, AXXA1, ARHGAP18, EMP1, GDF15, TGFBR2 and TNFSF15 were measured using Stratagene Mx3005P real time PCR System (Agilent technologies) and brilliant SYBR Green QPCR Master Mix (Agilent technologies). The data were evaluated by the 2^(-ΔACT) method [56] using the mRNA level of β-actin (set to 1).

QRT-PCR primers and amplicons are described in Supporting Table S3.

Western Blot Analysis
CNDT2.5 cells were cultured in the absence or presence of octreotide 1 μM and total protein lysates were collected at 1 week, 4, 10 and 16 months. Whole-cell protein lysates were extracted by using radio-immunoprecipitation assay buffer. Cells were at 70% to 80% confluence as previously described [27]. Protein concentrations were determined using Coomassie-Plus Better Bradford Protein Assay (Thermo Scientific), polyclonal rabbit anti-human SSTR3 (1:5000, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), polyclonal rabbit anti-human SSTR2 (1:4000, Thermo Scientific), polyclonal rabbit anti-human SSTR3 and SSTR5 (1:4000 and 1:5000, gifts from Frank Leu [19], polyclonal goat anti-human β-actin and HRP donkey anti-goat (1:5000, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and HRP anti-mouse and anti-rabbit (1:5000, Amersham Biosciences, Buckinghamshire, England) antibodies were used to detect the different proteins.

Immunohistochemistry
We selected paraffin-embedded tissue slides from 12 patients (Table 2) to investigate the differentially expressed markers. We used anti-human ANXA1 (1:1000), anti-human ARHGAP18 (1:500), anti-EMP1 (1:500), anti-human GDF15 (1:100), a different polyclonal rabbit anti-human TGFBR2 (1:500) from Abbiotec, San Diego, CA, USA and a different polyclonal rabbit anti-human TNFSF15 (1:1000), from Acris Antibodies, Herford, Germany. The staining was performed as described elsewhere [57]. Results were evaluated using Axiophot light microscope and AxioVision Rel.4.5 software (Carl Zeiss AG, Oberkochen, Germany).

Statistical Analysis
Results are shown as mean ± SD. All the experiments were performed at least in triplicate. The statistical significance of the difference between two groups was evaluated by two-tailed Student’s t-test or Two-Way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni test using GraphPad Prism 5 (Graph Pad, Software, La Jolla CA, USA); p value <0.05 is considered significant.

Supporting Information
Figure S1 CNDT2.5 cells growth in the presence of 1 μM octreotide for 15 or 16 weeks. Cells were cultured in the absence or presence of 1 μM octreotide. Cell proliferation rate was converted to a percentage of the mean value relative to the untreated CNDT2.5 cells, set to 100% and results represent means ± SD from triplicate wells. Significance was calculated by student t-test, comparing with untreated CNDT2.5 cells. * p<0.05, ** p<0.001.

(TIF)

Figure S2 SSTR1, SSTR2, SSTR3 and SSTR5 protein expression. CNDT2.5 cells were cultured in the absence or presence of 1 μM octreotide (oct). They were collected at 1 week (wk) and 16 months (mo) for preparing total lysates and performing western blot analysis. β-actin was used as endogenous control. Western blot results are shown on the left and the table shows the protein fold change on the right.

(TIF)

Table S1 Microarray data of somatostatin receptors 1–5 on CNDT2.5 cells.

(DOC)

Table S2 Primer pairs of SSTRs used for QRT-PCR analysis.

(DOC)

Table S3 Primer pairs of selected genes used for QRT-PCR analysis.

(DOC)
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