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ABSTRACT

According to WHO, in 2016 around 13% of the world’s adult population (11% of men and 15% of women) were obese. The global prevalence of obesity almost tripled between 1975 and 2016. In this context, it is important to note that the continuous increase in life expectancy, the desire for a better quality of life, and the high cost of medical care have led to a growing demand for functional foods in the market. People have started to realize that their food choices and their unhealthy lifestyles have consequences for their health. An important role in this scenario is associated with functional foods containing probiotic strains, reported to benefit human health. Here we report the development of natural yogurts based on native probiotic starter cultures of Enterococcus sp. strain BB3 (MK681869) and Lactobacillus sp. strain BB6 (MK681868), isolated from raw cow milk of La Araucanía region of Chile. The odor and taste were the main parameters criticized by the consumer, the analysis of CG-MS elucidated that the yogurt produced by the strain Enterococcus sp. strain BB3 (MK681869) produced a higher amount of heptan-2-one, associated with a cheesy type odor, with less acceptance by the consumers. The acceptability of 6 types of yogurt was evaluated with a randomized analysis of 60 participants. The development of a functional dairy product based on probiotics is of interest because of its relation with positive changes in gut microbiota, and at the same time with a possible decrease in body weight.
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1. INTRODUCTION

A Functional Food is defined as a food able to benefit one or more functions of the human organism through its nutritional effects, thus improving the state of health, reducing the risk of some diseases, and/or counteracting minor physiological disorders1,2. One category of Functional Foods whose importance is increasingly recognized is probiotics-based food. Probiotics are defined as living microorganisms that, administered in adequate amounts, may benefit human health1–3. These microorganisms shape aspects of the host’s metabolism and immune function, and also regulate numerous aspects of human physiology, including the elimination of exogenous toxins, the regulation of intestinal functions, and the synthesis, absorption, and metabolism of nutrients4,5.

It is also reported that obesity is related to gut microbiota through mechanisms such as increment of energy extraction from food, production of fat deposit, and effects on satiety and systemic inflammation6. De Vos & de Vos (2012) reported the association between obesity and gut microbiota to be the inverse of the Bacteroides/Firmicutes ratio, and showed that this inverse ratio is higher with weight loss6. The gut microbiota of healthy individuals is composed of 90% Firmicutes or Bacteroides cells, which are very sensitive to changes in diet, since a diet high in fats and sugars increases the relative abundance of Firmicutes, contributing to weight gain4,5.

According to WHO, the global prevalence of obesity almost tripled between 1975 and 2016. On the other hand, people have started to realize that their food choices and their unhealthy lifestyles have consequences for their health7. Renewed interest in fermented foods and probiotics has been observed in recent years, driven mainly by their benefits for human health8,9. Probiotics, mainly Lactic Acid Bacteria (LAB), have been incorporated in a wide range of foods – especially dairy products – due to their benefits for both the gastrointestinal tract and bodyweight control10,11. Yogurts were produced using starter cultures of Streptococcus thermophilus and Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus have been the most sold in the USA and other countries12,13.

Based on the relationship established between probiotics, gut microbiota, obesity, and increasing consumer consciousness, it is clear that probiotics strains are helpful in the production of functional yogurt. The development of natural yogurts based on native probiotic starter cultures, with good acceptance of consumers without the use of sweeteners, could be a policy to explore in obesity prevention.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

2.1 Isolation, characterization, and selection of LAB strains

Raw fresh milk was obtained from Agroforestal y Ganadería Hector Damasio Antillanca Vejar of Ciudad de la Paz, Loncoche in La Araucanía Region of Chile (39°22′00″S 72°38′00″W) and used to isolate putative LAB strains as described by Wang et al. (2016)14. Genomic DNA from the putative LAB strains15 was extracted using a DNeasy UltraClean Microbial Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany). Partial 16S rRNA genes were amplified as described by Peace et al. (1994)16. The PCR products were purified and sent to Macrogen, Inc. (Seoul, Korea) for Sanger sequencing. The 16S rRNA gene microbial reference database NCBI was used for taxonomic identification of the putative LAB isolates at genus level (> 90% identity). The 16S rRNA gene sequences identified in the study were deposited in GenBank and aligned using Clustal Omega.

2.2. Yogurt preparation

Milk was fermented by incubating the selected strains of LAB in 900 ml of pasteurized full cream milk at a concentration of 1% (v/v) for 18-24 hours at 20-25 °C. The test strains were incubated alone or in combination (consortium).

2.3 Sensory descriptive analysis

Six different yogurt combinations were used to study sensorial properties (Table 1): EN (Enterococcus sp. strain BB3-based yogurt), ES (Enterococcus sp. strain BB3-based yogurt in combination with stevia at a concentration of 0.3%), ET (Enterococcus sp. strain BB3-based yogurt in combination with tagatose at a concentration of 1.3%), LN (Lactobacillus sp. strain BB6-based yogurt), LS (Lactobacillus sp. strain BB6-based yogurt in combination with stevia at a concentration of 0.3%), LT (Lactobacillus sp. strain BB6-based yogurt in combination with tagatose at a concentration of 1.3%).

The level of acceptance of the fermented product was evaluated through sensory analysis by an untrained group of adult people between 18 and 50 years old (n=60), chosen as judges, under two selection criteria: time availability and no aversion to yogurt19.

The descriptors chosen for the quantitative descriptive analysis of the different yogurt samples were eight parameters that included visual objective, gustative/textile and olfactory evaluation.
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The parameters considered were: texture, acidity, sweetness, olfactory intensity and color, rated on 7-point hedonic scales from (1) “Strongly disagree” to (7) “Strongly agree”. The result was a unique result for each product. The judges were requested to drink water prior to and during the tasting to reduce any residual effect.

### Table 1. Yogurt combinations used to study sensorial properties.

| Strain/ Sweater | No Sweetner | Tagatose 1.3% | Stevia 0.3% |
|-----------------|------------|---------------|-------------|
| Lactobacillus sp. BB6 | LN | LT | LS |
| Enterococcus sp. BB3 | EN | ET | ES |

#### 2.4 Extraction and CG-MS analysis of volatile compounds

Twenty mL of water and 15 ml of dichloromethane (CH2Cl2) were added to 10 mL of yogurt, then the mixture was extracted 3-Folds and the organic layers were pooled together and dried with anhydrous MgSO4. The solvent was softly removed in vacuum at 500 mmHg and 30°C on a Büchi R100 rotavap. The extract was diluted until 2 mg/mL with CH2Cl2, and the sample was kept frozen at -80°C until its analysis.

The volatile compounds were identified by gas chromatography mass spectrometry (CG-MS) on an Agilent 7890 and Agilent 5975 mass detector, California, USA, using a column capillary of silica HP5-MS of 30m x 0.25mm and a film of 0.25µm in thickness. Mass spectra were obtained from total ion current (TIC) and compared to standard mass spectra in addition to the NIST05a database, National Institute of Standards and Technology, NIST 2018.

#### 2.5 Statistical analysis of results

Principal Component Analysis (PCA, PRIMER 6 version 6.1.16, PRIMER-e Ltda. 2013) was used to study attribute–sample relationships. The PCA created a sensory attribute–sample space in which samples were positioned according to their characteristic sensory attributes. Significant differences were determined by one-way ANOVA; the threshold of significance was set at p<0.05.

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Two strains of Lactic acid bacteria were isolated from raw milk produced in La Araucanía region (Chile). They were identified as *Enterococcus* sp. strain BB3 and *Lactobacillus* sp. strain BB6, and deposited in GenBank under the accession numbers MK681869 and MK681868 respectively. As both strains are reported in literature as probiotics, as shown in the phylogenetic tree (Figure 1), they were selected as starter cultures for fermentation in UHT full cream milk until a creamy, consistent yogurt was obtained.

![Figure 1. Phylogenetic distance tree displaying the high similarity between probiotic strains existent in Genebank database and *Enterococcus* sp. BB3 MK681869 and *Lactobacillus* sp. BB6 MK681868 strains isolated in this study.](image)

Three conditions were defined: 1) *Lactobacillus* sp. strain BB6; 2) *Enterococcus* sp. strain BB3; 3) combination of the two strains. Strains were pre-inoculated at 1% in sterile skimmed milk at 37°C for 24 hours, achieving a concentration of 1x10⁹ UFC/ml. The pre-inoculum was used as a starter inoculum to begin fermentation of the milk. Three liters of UHT full-cream milk, one for each of the conditions described above, were inoculated under sterile conditions with the three combinations of the selected strains at 1%, achieving a final concentration of 1x10⁹ UFC/ml.

The combination of the two strains did not work as starter culture, as it did not reach the desired characteristics.

Sensory evaluation was performed with the help of 60 untrained judges using a hedonic scale. Organoleptic differences between the two yogurts are shown in Figure 2. Note that the *Lactobacillus* sp. strain BB6-based yogurt obtained greater acceptance for its texture; on the other hand, *Enterococcus* sp. strain BB3-based yogurt appears to be sweeter. The acidity of both products was evaluated at an average of 6 on the scale. Both yogurts were evaluated by the judges in combination with the sweeteners stevia and tagatose. Surprisingly, in the case of *Enterococcus* sp. strain BB3-based yogurt there were no significant differences in the sweetness parameters between natural yogurt and yogurt with added sweetener; the product itself presented a pleasant sweetness for the tasters, therefore the addition of a sweetener is unnecessary.

Principal component analysis was performed to identify patterns in the attribute-sample relationship from the judges’ perceptions. The PCA indicates that the highest percentage of total variance is found between PC1 and PC2, with a cumulative variance of 74.5%. The coefficients in the linear combinations of the variables that make up the PCs indicate that the variables olfactory intensity (OI) and acidity (Ac) are attributed to PC1 with coefficients of 0.717 and 0.568 respectively. The perception of the consumers presents a positive correlation with the EN, ES, ET, LS and LT samples for the attributes texture (Te), color (Co) and sweetness (Sw); for the particular case of LN the analysis presents a positive correlation with the attributes olfactory intensity and acidity. Measurement of the resemblance by the Bray Curtis similarity index indicated high similarity between all the samples of 92%, and only 8% differentiation between the samples EN, ES, ET, LS and LT against LN; these differences are attributed mainly to the attributes OI and Ac in the LN sample.

![Figure 2. Principal component analysis (PCA) based on descriptive sensory attributes (texture, acid, sweet, olfactory intensity, texture and color) of six yogurt combinations: EN (*Enterococcus* sp. BB3 strain based yogurt), ES (*Enterococcus* sp. BB3 strain based yogurt in combination with Stevia at the concentration of 0.3%), ET (*Enterococcus* sp. BB3 strain based yogurt in combination with tagatose at the concentration of 1.3%), LN (*Lactobacillus* sp. BB6 strain based yogurt), LS (*Lactobacillus* sp. BB6 strain based yogurt in combination with Stevia at the concentration of 0.3%), LT (*Lactobacillus* sp. BB6 strain based yogurt in combination with tagatose at the concentration of 1.3%).](image)
Figure 3. Representative CG-MS of yogurt based on Enterococcus sp. BB3 strain (A) and Lactobacillus sp BB6 strain (B), time in minutes.

Figure 4. Structure of volatile compounds of yoghurt by CG-MS.

Table 2. Odor profile of volatile compounds of yogurt by CG-MS

| Retention time (min) | Compound | Lactobacillus sp. BB6 | Enterococcus sp. BB3 | Descriptors |
|----------------------|----------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| 12.105               | 2,3-pentanedione | xx | xx | Buttery, caramelic |
| 13.164               | Butan-1-ol | xxxx | xxxx | Buttery, pungent |
| 15.660               | Heptan-2-one | x | xxxx | Cheese type odour |
| 16.757               | 3-methylbutan-1-ol | x | x | Fermented type odour |
| 17.542               | Tributyl acetylcitrate | x | x | Slightly viscous liquid with very faint sweet herbaceous odour |
| 19.575               | Diisooctyl phthalate | xx | xxx | No information |
| 19.981               | Lactic acid ethyl ester | xx | xx | Buttery, creamy |
| 23.158               | Acetic acid | x | - | Sour, vinegar-like, pungent |

Number of x is given as a relative abundance from less x to higher xxxx.
The gut microbiota plays an important role in the absorption, storage, and waste of energy obtained from the diet4, and it is also involved in the regulation of food intake by affecting the hormones that influence the metabolism, function and areas in the brain which are associated with eating behavior. This microbial axis, called brain-gut axis, represents a bidirectional relationship, and regulated body weight through appetite balance, storage and energy waste27. In this sense, obesity and malnutrition are alterations in the composition and diversity of the gut microbiota, which are in opposite with healthy individuals27,28. This alteration in gut microbiota is known as dysbiosis, and it is associated with altered body weight and fat storage28. Thus, it is clear that gut microbiota plays an important role in energy homeostasis, glucose metabolism and the metabolism of host lipids, therefore the use of probiotics is a strategy to prevent and to treat obesity or overweight through a wide variety of anti-obesogenic mechanisms such as: modulation of energy metabolism, improvement in the intestinal barrier, reduction of low-grade inflammation, modulation of autonomic nervous activity, and modulation of the appetite28.

Lactic acid bacteria are commonly found in the human gastrointestinal tract and represent the majority of probiotics present in the market that performs the activity of exclusion or inhibition of pathogens, enhancing intestinal epithelial barrier and improving the capacity of degradation of non-digestible food components15.

In this work, two strains of lactic acid bacteria were isolated from raw fresh cow’s milk. These strains were identified and Enterococcus sp. BB3 and Lactobacillus sp. BB6, both genres are reported as probiotics. It has been reported that the Enterococcus sp. strain can benefit both the food industry and health, since it has had the role of starter culture in several food industries29 and it has shown an anti-obesity effect in mice induced with a high-fat diet, which would imply the possibility of attenuating hepatic steatosis by its administration30.

On the other hand, a study carried out by Kim et al. (2017)31, showed that Lactobacillus sp. inhibited the development of 60% of obesity induced by high fat diets, manifested by the decrease in adiposity and weight gain. In addition, it is emphasized that the strain seemed to have a double function, cholesterol reduction in vitro and acceleration of fatty acid oxidation in adipose tissue.

A tasting of natural yogurt made of probiotics was carried out to an untrained group of 60 people belonging to Universidad Católica de Temuco. The results have established the favorable acceptance to the fermented products (Figure 2), maybe because the yogurts were produced with Lactobacillus sp. and with Enterococcus sp. have a creamy texture, good flavor even without sweeteners the smell was not unpleasant. Aromatic compounds in yogurt are came from lipolysis of milkfat and microbiological transformations of aldehydes and fatty acids, producing more than 100 volatile compounds related to small organic compounds as alcohols, acids, esters or hydrocarbons, including: acetaldehyde, 2-butanol, lactic acid, acetoin, acetone31. Here we found characteristic molecules founded in yogurts as 2,3-pentanedione, butan-2-one, 3-methylbutan-1-ol, tributyl acetyl-citrate, lactic acid ethyl ester and acetic acid, other compounds were not well correlated to the chemical library, for that reason its study require a deeper chemical work. The yogurt produced by Lactobacillus sp. had a stronger smell than the yogurt produced by Enterococcus sp. this difference is possible to see in the GCMS analysis (Figure 4 and Figure 5), which have a similar pattern but not the same, for instance the Enterococcus sp. produced higher content of heptan-2-one which is associated with a strong smell to cheesy type odor, also the consistency of this yogurt turns to more viscous with time. Small molecules and less polar compounds previously reported21,22 were not visible. Anyway, this is a preliminary potential of both bacteria present in a local milk, which could be useful in the industry.

This is a relevant result since yogurt and fermented milk are among the most common fresh dairy products consumed around the world32, having great relevance with gastrointestinal health and more specifically with a contribution to the gut microbiota33. It is important to notice that Chile is the country with the highest annual consumption of yogurt per person in South America with approximately 14 liters per person (ODEPA, 2016).

An interesting aspect of natural fermented yogurt based on LAB fermentation is the content of water-soluble essential vitamins. Particularly the amount of folate (vitamin B9) may be increased to values above 200 µg/L depending on the starter cultures used and the storage condition, which can contribute to satisfying the recommended dietary allowance (400 µg DFE), highlighting the plus value of such type of fermented food33,34.

However, despite the fact that the authorities recommend their consumption as part of a balanced diet, two out of three consumers say to add sugar, marmalade or honey, and thus increasing its caloric density and decreasing the benefits of the product35. The reduction of the sugar content in foods is a great issue of interest to control dietary intake and reduce obesity rates32. The rapid increase in the prevalence of obesity worldwide has been partially attributed to the excessive consumption of added sugars; in this context, the current recommendation to limit added sugars in the diet can be replaced by caloric and non-caloric sweeteners36.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Aroma and flavor are ones of the most important properties determining the acceptability and preference of functional fermented milks31,34. The Study of consumers’ acceptance of functional yogurts in Temuco (La Araucania Region, Chile) indicated that if the consumer has a job or has had access to information on functional foods, increased majority acceptance of enriched functional yogurt31. In this research work we achieved a well-accepted natural functional yogurts were produced by autochthonous probiotics strains of Lactobacillus sp. BB6 and Enterococcus sp. BB3 strains isolated from raw cow milk of La Araucana Region of Chile.

In particular, the yogurts were well accepted in terms of color, texture, aroma, acidity and sweetness.

We consider that this could be a preliminary study of consumer attitudes in Chile towards a natural fermented yogurt based on LAB which, consumable without sugar, could be marketed as a strategy to promote healthy lifestyle and nutrition.
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