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In three-dimensional critical percolation we study numerically the number of clusters, \(N_\Gamma\), which intersect a given subset of bonds, \(\Gamma\). If \(\Gamma\) represents the interface between a subsystem and the environment, then \(N_\Gamma\) is related to the entanglement entropy of the critical diluted quantum Ising model. Due to corners in \(\Gamma\) there are singular corrections to \(N_\Gamma\), which scale as \(b_\Gamma \ln L_\Gamma\), \(L_\Gamma\) being the linear size of \(\Gamma\) and the prefactor, \(b_\Gamma\), is found to be universal. This result indicates that logarithmic finite-size corrections exist in the free-energy of three-dimensional critical systems.

I. INTRODUCTION

Percolation is a basic problem of geometrical critical phenomena, when sites or bonds of a regular lattice are independently open with a probability \(p\) and one is interested in the statistics of clusters of connected sites. Percolation is most studied in two dimensions (2d), in which case at the critical point in the scaling limit the system is conformally invariant. At the critical point many exact results are available about the order-parameter profiles, correlation functions, crossing probabilities, etc. through conformal invariance and some of those have been subsequently derived by rigorous mathematical methods, such as by Schramm-Loewner evolution.

Conformal invariance has also predictions about the finite-size corrections to the total number of clusters in critical 2d percolation: the corner contribution is logarithmically divergent and its prefactor is universal. This prefactor has been calculated analytically through the application of the Cardy-Peschel formula \textsuperscript{5} and the results have been checked through Monte-Carlo simulations. Very recently these investigations have been extended to the Fortuin-Kasteleyn and spin clusters in the \(Q \leq 4\) state Potts model \textsuperscript{2} (percolation being recovered in the limit \(Q \to 1\)).

Finite-size corrections to the the total number of clusters are of importance in three dimensions (3d), too, due to relations to other physical problems. First, we mention the question about finite-size scaling of the free-energy in 3d critical percolation, or more generally in 3d critical systems. In this case there are no predictions from (conformal) field theory and an analogue of the Cardy-Peschel formula does not exist. However, according to scaling theory the logarithm in two-dimensions can be interpreted in terms of singular and non-singular contributions in the free energy and according to this argument similar terms can also arise from corners in three dimensions. To our knowledge this type of corner corrections have not been verified till now. Our second related problem is the entanglement entropy of the diluted quantum Ising model, see in Refs.\textsuperscript{12,13} and in the short description in Sec.\textsuperscript{13} If there are logarithmic corrections to the entanglement entropy in the 3d model due to corners is an interesting open question.

In this paper we continue and extend our previous 2d investigations\textsuperscript{2} and study the finite-size behaviour of the critical percolation cluster numbers in 3d and in particular we investigate the corrections coming from corners. To be specific we consider bond percolation in a 3d simple cubic lattice and denote by \(\Gamma\) a subset of bonds. Initially we take this to be the surface of a cubic subsystem of edge \(L_\Gamma\). We are interested in the number of clusters, \(N_\Gamma\), which intersect \(\Gamma\), in the scaling limit when \(\Gamma\) is large but still much smaller than the total size of the system. \(N_\Gamma\) is closely related to the entanglement entropy of the bond-diluted quantum Ising model which is defined in the same lattice and \(\Gamma\) represents the interface, which separates a subsystem from the rest of the system.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Sec.\textsuperscript{11} we recapitulate known results. \(N_\Gamma\) is expressed in the Potts model representation and its relation with the entanglement entropy of the bond-diluted quantum Ising model is explained. In the main part of the paper in Sec.\textsuperscript{11} we calculate \(N_\Gamma\) by large scale Monte Carlo simulations for different forms of \(\Gamma\). We concentrate on cube subsystems, but consider another type of contours as well, such as squares, line segments and crosses. These calculations are made both for bond and site percolation and we demonstrate the universality of the corner contribution. In Sec.\textsuperscript{14} our paper is closed by a discussion.

II. REMINDER: PERCOLATION CLUSTER NUMBERS

A. Potts model representation

Bond percolation is equivalent to the \(Q \to 1\) limit of the \(Q\)-state Potts model\textsuperscript{14}, defined on a lattice with sites \(i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\) and \(m\) nearest neighbor bonds. The partition sum of the model, \(Z(Q)\), is conveniently written in terms of the so called Fortuin-Kasteleyn clusters\textsuperscript{15}, denoted by \(F\). For a given element of \(F\) there are \(N_{\text{tot}}(F) \leq n\) connected components and \(M(F) \leq m\) occupied bonds and the partition function reads as:

\[
Z(Q) \sim \sum_F Q^{N_{\text{tot}}(F)} p^{M(F)} (1 - p)^{m - M(F)}.
\]
In this random cluster representation $Q$ is a real parameter, the bond occupation probability is $p = 1 - e^{-K}$, $K$ being the reduced coupling and percolation is recovered in the $Q \to 1$ limit. The mean total number of clusters in percolation is:

$$\langle N_{\text{tot}} \rangle = \frac{\partial \ln Z(Q)}{\partial Q} \bigg|_{Q=1}. \quad (2)$$

If we fix all spins on $\Gamma$ (in state 1, say), but leave the couplings unchanged, the partition function becomes

$$Z_{\Gamma}(Q) \sim \sum_F Q^{N_{\text{tot}}(F)-N_{\Gamma}} p^M(F)(1-p)^{m-M(F)}, \quad (3)$$

where $N_{\Gamma}$ is the number of clusters which intersect $\Gamma$. As a result,

$$\langle N_{\text{tot}} - N_{\Gamma} \rangle = \frac{\partial \ln Z_{\Gamma}(Q)}{\partial Q} \bigg|_{Q=1}. \quad (4)$$

At the critical point, $p = p_c$, we can write:

$$\ln Z(Q) \sim A f_b(Q),$$

$$\ln Z_{\Gamma}(Q) \sim A f_b(Q) + S_{\Gamma} f_s(Q) + L_{\Gamma} f_e(Q) + f_c(Q). \quad (5)$$

where $A \propto n$ is the total volume, $S_{\Gamma}$ and $L_{\Gamma}$ is the total area and the linear extension of $\Gamma$, and $f_b$, $f_s$ and $f_e$ are the bulk, surface and edge free-energy densities, respectively. The latter are non-universal quantities. The last term in Eq. (5), $f_c(Q)$, represents the corner contribution, which is known to be singular in 2d:

$$f_c(Q) = C_{\Gamma}(Q) \ln L_{\Gamma}. \quad (6)$$

Together with Eqs. (2) and (4) we hence obtain:

$$\langle N_{\Gamma} \rangle = -f'_b(1) S_{\Gamma} - f'_s(1) L_{\Gamma} - f'_e(1), \quad \langle N_{\Gamma} \rangle \sim \langle N_{\Gamma} \rangle \quad (6)$$

Here we study this problem in 3d and we are interested in the behaviour of the corner contribution. We shall show, that like in 2d at the critical point $f'_e(1)$ is logarithmically divergent:

$$f'_e(1) = -b \ln L_{\Gamma} \quad (7)$$

when $b = -C'_{\Gamma}(1)$. As a second point we study the universality of the prefactor, $b$.

B. Entanglement entropy of the diluted quantum Ising model

The diluted quantum Ising model is defined by the Hamiltonian:

$$\mathcal{H} = -\sum_{\langle ij \rangle} J_{ij} \sigma^x_i \sigma^x_j - \sum_i h \sigma^z_i, \quad (8)$$

in terms of the $\sigma^x, \sigma^z$ Pauli matrices at site $i$. The first sum in Eq. (8) runs over nearest neighbours and the $J_{ij}$ coupling equals $J > 0$ with probability $p$ and equals $J = 0$ with probability $1 - p$. At the percolation transition point, $p_c$, for small transverse field, $h$, there is a line of phase transition the critical properties of which are controlled by the percolation fixed point\textsuperscript{12}. The ground state of $\mathcal{H}$ is given by a set of ordered clusters, which are in the same form as for percolation: in each ordered cluster the spins are in a maximally entangled GHZ state. Now let us consider the entanglement entropy, $S_{\Gamma}$ between a subsystem and the environment, which are separated by $\Gamma$. For a given realization of disorder in the small $h$ limit $S_{\Gamma}$ is given by the number of so called crossing clusters, which intersect $\Gamma$ and contain also at least one point of the environment\textsuperscript{13,14}. Evidently there are not more crossing clusters, than touching clusters, thus $S_{\Gamma} \leq N_{\Gamma}$, and $\langle S_{\Gamma} \rangle \leq \langle N_{\Gamma} \rangle$, however the corner contributions for both quantities are expected to be asymptotically identical. In the numerical calculations we shall consider both type of clusters and for simplicity instead of $S_{\Gamma}$ we use the wording $N_{\Gamma}$ of crossing clusters as well.

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

We have performed large scale numerical calculations\textsuperscript{15} for site and bond percolations at the critical point on the simple cubic lattice, with $p_c = 0.2488126$ and $p_c = 0.311608$, for bond and site percolation, respectively\textsuperscript{16}. The finite systems we have used have $L \times L \times L$ sites with $L$ up to 512 and with periodic boundary conditions. In the numerical calculations we have used different shapes of the subsystems, which have either a 3d form: cube and sheared cube, or a lower-dimensional form: contour of a square or sheared square and line segment. In all cases the linear size of $\Gamma$ is $L_{\Gamma} \sim L$ and in Eq. (7) we shall use $L$ instead of $L_{\Gamma}$. For a given $\Gamma$ we have calculated the number of crossing and touching clusters, as defined in Sec.II.B which are then averaged: i) for a given percolation sample over the positions of $\Gamma$ (typically $10^3$ positions), and ii) over different samples. Typically we have used $10^4$ samples for each size, $L$, except the largest ones, where we had at least $10^3$ samples. The corner contribution is calculated using the geometric approach introduced earlier: for each sample $\langle N_{\Gamma} \rangle$ is calculated in three geometries (for 3d subsystems and contours) and in two geometries (for lower-dimensional contours) and by combining these data the corner contribution is directly obtained for each sample.

A. Cube subsystem

The first geometry we consider for $\Gamma$ is a cube of linear size $L/2$. In the geometric approach we used three different geometries, which are illustrated in Fig. 1. The values of $N_{\Gamma}$ calculated in the different geometries are combined in a way, that the corner (as well as the surface and the edge) contribution is obtained for each sample. This method is explained in the Appendix of Ref.\textsuperscript{20}.\textsuperscript{20.}
The numerical results show, that the corner contribution of \( \langle N_1 \rangle \) has indeed a logarithmic size-dependence, as predicted in Eq.\( (7) \), but no (logarithmic) singular contributions are detected in the other terms in Eq.\( (6) \), such as in \( f'_1(1) \) and in \( f'_2(1) \). Comparing the average corner contributions in finite systems of size \( L \) and \( 2L \) we have obtained effective, size-dependent estimates for the prefactor by two-point fits. The results are presented in Fig.\( 2 \) both for site and bond percolations and for touching and crossing clusters.

For large \( L \) all these estimates approach the same universal value, \( b = 1.72(3) \). As in 2d the corner contribution is related to the large scale topology of the clusters, which is illustrated in Fig.\( 3 \) as explained in the caption of this figure the average corner contribution, \( N_{\text{cube}}^{\text{cr}} \), is expressed as the sum of the relevant corner probabilities:

\[
N_{\text{cube}}^{\text{cr}}(L) = \frac{1}{8} \left[ P_{10}(L) + P_{11}(L) + P_{16}(L) \right],
\]

where \( P_i(L) \) refers to the \( i \)-th configuration in Fig.\( 4 \). Note, that each of the non-vanishing corner probabilities have a logarithmic size-dependence: \( P_i(L) \propto u_i \ln L \) with universal prefactors, \( u_i \).

\[
N_{\text{cube}}^{\text{cr}}(p) \simeq -b' \ln(p_c - p) + \text{const.},
\]

where \( b' = b\nu \), with \( \nu = 0.875(1) \) being the correlation length critical exponent for 3d percolation. Indeed, assuming the form in Eq.\( (10) \) we have calculated effective, \( p \)-dependent prefactors by two-point fits, which are shown in the inset of Fig.\( 4 \). The extrapolated value for \( p \to p_c \) is consistent with scaling prediction.

We have also sheared the cube subsystem in a way, that one angle at the base is \( \gamma < \pi/2 \), while the other remains \( \pi/2 \) and the volume of the system stays constant: \( L^3/8 \). For this system the geometric approach can be used for the specific values satisfying the condition: \( \tan(\gamma) = 1/n \), with \( n = 0, 1, \ldots \) being an integer. The extrapolated numerical results about the prefactor of the corner contributions are presented in the inset of Fig.\( 4 \) and close to \( p_c \) the value of \( \gamma \) is found to be divergent as \( \sim 1/\gamma \).

\[
b(\gamma) \text{ is minimal at } \gamma = \pi/2 \text{ and for small } \gamma \text{ it is found to be divergent as } \sim 1/\gamma.
\]
The extrapolated value, $b$, contribution to $L$ clusters for different sizes: calculated for square contour for bond and site percolation, as well as for touching (□) and crossing (+) clusters at $l = L/2$. The extrapolated value, $b = -0.158(10)$ is universal.

The square contour can be sheared as well, in a way that the smaller angle is $\gamma < \pi/2$, while the surface of the system remains the same: $L^2/4$. The geometrical approach is used for the discrete values of $\gamma$s, which are listed in the previous section for the sheared cubes. The effective size-dependent prefactors of the corner contribution are listed in Fig.5 for bond percolation and for both types of clusters. The extrapolated value of $b(\gamma)$, which is also indicated in Fig.6 is found to be the same for site percolation within the accuracy of the calculation; it is increasing with decreasing $\gamma$, being divergent as $\sim 1/\gamma$ for small $\gamma$. This behavior is similar to that in 2d, therefore we have compared the extrapolated $b(\gamma)$ with the conformal result in 2d:

$$b_{2d}(\gamma) = -\frac{\beta_{2d}}{12} \left[ 4 - \pi \left( \frac{1}{\gamma} + \frac{1}{\pi - \gamma} + \frac{1}{\pi + \gamma} + \frac{1}{2\pi - \gamma} \right) \right].$$

with $\beta_{2d} = 5\sqrt{3}/(4\pi)$. In the inset of Fig.6 we present the ratio $r = b(\gamma)/(b_{2d}(\gamma)/\beta_{2d})$, which has a considerable $\gamma$-dependence.

B. Square contour

In the next example $\Gamma$ is the contour of a square of edge length $L/2$. The corner contribution in this case is also logarithmically divergent at the critical point, but the prefactor is negative, in agreement with the considerations in Ref. The extrapolated prefactor is universal and found to be $b = -0.158(10)$, which is to be compared with the 2d result of $b = -5\sqrt{3}/(36\pi) = -0.0766$.

C. Line segment and crosses

One of the simplest contours are the straight line segment of length $L/2$ and crosses of straight line segments. For the latter we consider $n = 1, 2, 3$ or 4 crosses, which lay in the same 2d plane of the simple cubic lattice.

For the line segment the geometric approach is described in Ref. The calculated finite-size estimates for the prefactor of the corner contribution are presented in Fig.7. The extrapolated value, $b = 0.130(3)$ is found to

FIG. 4: (Color online) $\Delta p = p - p_c$ dependence of the corner contribution to $N_{\text{cube}}$ for bond percolation and for crossing clusters for different sizes: $L = 32, 64, \ldots, 512$ from below. Close to $p_c$ the extrapolated curve has a logarithmic singularity. In the inset the effective prefactors, $b'$ are shown as a function of $\Delta p$ for both types of clusters. The straight dashed line represents the extrapolated value $b' = 1.505(28)$, see in Eq.(10).

FIG. 5: (Color online) Effective, size-dependent prefactors calculated for square contour for bond and site percolation, as well as for touching (□) and crossing (+) clusters at $l = L/2$. The extrapolated value, $b = -0.158(10)$ is universal.

FIG. 6: (Color online) Finite-size estimates of the prefactor for $L = 32, 64, \ldots, 512$ for touching clusters (□, from above) and for crossing clusters (+, from below) for sheared square contour as a function of $\gamma$ (bond percolation). Inset: ratio of the extrapolated value of $b(\gamma)$ and the conformal result for 2d percolation in Eq.(11): $r = b(\gamma)/(b_{2d}(\gamma)/\beta_{2d})$. The lines connecting the extrapolated points are guide to the eye.
be universal, which is to be compared with the result in 2d: \( b_{2d} = 5\sqrt{3}/(32\pi) = 0.08615 \).

For crosses the calculation of \( N_\Gamma \) has been introduced in Ref.\textsuperscript{2}, and we refer to Fig.\textsuperscript{2} in this paper for the representation of \( n = 1, 2, 3 \) and 4 crosses. In these cases the total corner contribution is given as the sum of two type of individual contributions: i) being at the end of a line (with a contribution \( B_{\text{end}} \)) and ii) being at the crossing point of two lines (with a contribution \( B_{\text{cross}} \)). If we normalize the total corner contribution to one cross, than it can be expressed as: 

\[
4B_{\text{end}} + B_{\text{cross}}; \\
2B_{\text{end}} + 4B_{\text{cross}}; \\
4B_{\text{end}} + 4B_{\text{cross}} \text{ and } B_{\text{cross}}, \text{ for } n = 1, 2, 3 \text{ and } 4 \text{ crosses, respectively.}
\]

Note, that the line segment has two end corners, thus the prefactor equals to 2\( B_{\text{end}} \). All the results are found to be consistent with the estimates: 

\[
B_{\text{end}} = 0.0650(15) \text{ and } B_{\text{cross}} = -0.4044(64).
\]

### IV. DISCUSSION

In this paper we considered the mean number \( N_\Gamma \) of clusters in 3d critical percolation which intersect a contour \( \Gamma \) in the cases when it has sharp corners or end points. When \( \Gamma \) represents the interface between a subsystem and the environment, then \( N_\Gamma \) is related to the entanglement entropy of the diluted quantum Ising model. We have shown by accurate numerical calculations, that \( N_\Gamma \) has universal logarithmic terms, the prefactors of which are the sum of the individual corner contributions. This result is analogous to the 2d behavior, in which case the logarithmic corner contributions are related to the free-energy singularity of critical 2d systems, which follows from the Cardy-Peschel formula.\textsuperscript{21} (For recent numerical studies in 2d systems see Refs.\textsuperscript{21}. In 3d there are no field-theoretical conjectures about logarithmic free-energy singularities, but in the frame of phenomenological scaling theory\textsuperscript{21} similar singular behavior is expected in 2d and in 3d. Using this argument in our case, the average cluster numbers in Eq.\textsuperscript{[18]} is written as the sum of a singular part:

\[
\langle N_\Gamma \rangle_s (\Delta p, L_\Gamma) = Y(\Delta pL_\Gamma^{1/\nu}) = -b + y(\Delta pL_\Gamma^{1/\nu}) + \ldots,
\]

and a nonsingular part: \( \langle N_\Gamma \rangle_{ns} (\Delta p, L_\Gamma) \). The latter has a nonsingular corner term in dimension \( d + \epsilon \):

\[
\langle N_\Gamma \rangle_{ns} (\Delta p, L_\Gamma) = L_\Gamma^d \epsilon^0 \psi_\epsilon(t) = L_\Gamma^d \frac{b}{\epsilon} + \psi_\epsilon(t) + \ldots.
\]

In Eqs.\textsuperscript{[12]} and \textsuperscript{[13]} the leading terms are expected to have divergent amplitudes, and the sum of those in the \( \epsilon \to 0 \) limit leads to the logarithmic term in Eq.\textsuperscript{[17]}. Also this interpretation is in agreement with the observed universality of the prefactor \( b \). Our numerical studies reveal the existence of these logarithmic terms, and to our knowledge our result is the first demonstration of the existence of such singular terms in a 3d system. Although our investigations are limited to percolation, which is the \( Q \to 1 \) limit of the \( Q \)-state Potts model, similar logarithmic terms are expected to exist for another (not necessary integer) values of \( Q \), such as for the Ising model with \( Q = 2 \), as far as the transition is of second order. For general values of \( Q \) the mean cluster numbers of Fortuin-Kasteleyn clusters should be considered.

Our study is related to recent investigations of the entanglement entropy in higher dimensional models. We mention that using the AdS/CFT correspondence various singularities have been predicted for singular surfaces.\textsuperscript{22} Another related problem is the entanglement entropy of the critical random ferromagnetic quantum Ising model.\textsuperscript{23} According to the so called strong disorder renormalization group\textsuperscript{23} study the ground state of this system (in any finite dimension) is represented by a set of clusters, which are however, generally not connected, and this makes an important difference with that of the ground state of the diluted quantum Ising model. The entanglement entropy of the random model is also related to the number of crossing clusters at the interface, and it has also a logarithmic corner term, although its prefactor is different from that in the diluted model. This behavior has been established in the framework of the strong disorder renormalization group method. Interestingly, for end points, as for a line segment, the random model has no logarithmic corrections, while the dilute model do has. Since the line segment is perhaps the simplest geometry, we expect that the observed logarithmic corner contribution to \( N_\Gamma \) for critical 3d percolation could be proven by some analytical method, such as by field theory.
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