Estimates of genetic parameters for fat yield in Murrah buffaloes
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Abstract

Aim: The present study was performed to investigate the effect of genetic and non-genetic factors affecting milk fat yield and to estimate genetic parameters of monthly test day fat yields (MTDFY) and lactation 305-day fat yield (L305FY) in Murrah buffaloes.

Materials and Methods: The data on total of 10381 MTDFY records comprising the first four lactations of 470 Murrah buffaloes calved from 1993 to 2014 were assessed. These buffaloes were sired by 75 bulls maintained in an organized farm at ICAR-National Dairy Research Institute, Karnal. Least squares maximum likelihood program was used to estimate genetic and non-genetic parameters. Heritability estimates were obtained using paternal half-sib correlation method. Genetic and phenotypic correlations among MTDFY, and 305-day fat yield were calculated from the analysis of variance and covariance matrix among sire groups.

Results: The overall least squares mean of L305FY was found to be 175.74±4.12 kg. The least squares mean of overall MTDFY ranged from 3.33±0.14 kg (TD-11) to 7.06±0.17 kg (TD-3). The \( h^2 \) estimate of L305FY was found to be 0.33±0.16 in this study. The estimates of phenotypic and genetic correlations between 305-day fat yield and different MTDFY ranged from 0.32 to 0.48 and 0.51 to 0.99, respectively.

Conclusions: In this study, all the genetic and non-genetic factors except age at the first calving group, significantly affected the traits under study. The estimates of phenotypic and genetic correlations of MTDFY with 305-day fat yield was generally higher in the MTDFY-5 of lactation suggesting that this TD yields could be used as the selection criteria for early evaluation and selection of Murrah buffaloes.
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Introduction

India has about 51 million milch buffaloes [1] contributing about 51% [2] of the total milk produced in the country. Compared with cow’s milk, buffalo’s milk has a higher percentage of fat content. The reported values of fat percentage for buffalo’s milk varies from 6.87% to 8.59% [3,4]. In spite of its higher fat percentage, milk cholesterol content is lower in buffalo’s milk compared to cow’s milk, which is 275 mg versus 330 mg as reported by Zicarelli [5]. Milk fat plays a significant role in the nutritive value and physical properties of milk and milk products. Besides serving as a rich source of energy, fat contains significant amounts of essential fatty acids-linolenic and arachidonic acid. The most distinctive role which milk fat plays in dairy products concerns flavor.

Nowadays, milk pricing system is also based on the percentage of fat in milk, therefore, higher milk fat yield fetches better economic returns. Murrah is the most important buffalo breed with superior genetic potential for milk fat yield production.

To find out an alternative to daily milk yield recording, which is a costly and time-consuming proposition under field conditions, some studies have been made in the past in buffaloes on test day (TD) milk yields [6,7]. Various advantages of using TD milk yield records are individual test date effects, and the number of records per animal as well as the interval between records can be accounted for better adjustment of non-genetic factors influencing the milk yield leading to more accurate genetic evaluation. Today, in many countries across the continents, multi trait evaluations are employed in genetic evaluations. TD milk fat yield records can be used in combination for more accurate genetic evaluation. Although TD fat yield records offer greater advantage compared to 305-day fat yield in selection schemes, information on estimation of genetic parameters based on TD records particularly, monthly records are limited.
The present investigation was undertaken with the objective to study the influence of various non-genetic and genetic factors on monthly TD and lactation 305-day fat yields (L305FY) and to estimate the genetic parameters for milk fat yield, which could be used for selecting Murrah buffaloes for higher fat yield.

Materials and Methods

Ethical approval

The experiment was conducted following the code of ethics for animal experimentation with approval from the Institute’s Animal Ethics Committee.

Data

A total of 10381 monthly TD fat yield (MTDFY) records comprised first four lactations of 470 Murrah buffaloes calved during 1993-2014 at the ICAR-National Dairy Research Institute, Karnal were collected from the history-cum-pedigree sheets and monthly record of milk yield and fat percentage register. The traits considered for analysis were MTDFY and L305FY. Culling in the middle of lactation, abortion, stillbirth, or any other pathological causes affecting the lactation yield were considered as abnormalities and thus, such records were not taken for the study. Records of buffaloes with <500 kg of milk production and covered <100 days of lactation, were not considered, a set practice at our herd, as usually such animals had shown good average daily milk yield. To ensure the normal distribution, the outliers (µ±3 standard deviation) were removed, and data set was standardized. The data were analyzed to study the effect of non-genetic factors (parity, season, period and age at first calving [AFC groups]) on 11 MTDFY records (from 6th, 36th, 66th, and 300th day of lactation) and L305FY records. The data were classified into different seasons, periods and AFC groups. Each year was classified into four seasons on the basis of rainfall, temperature and humidity over the years-winter (December-March), summer (April-June), rainy (July-August), and autumn (September-November). The data spread over 22 years were classified into 10 periods. The data were adjusted for those non-genetic factors showing significant effects and further used for estimation of heritability. The standard error of heritability was estimated as per Swiger et al. [12].

Genetic and phenotypic correlations

The genetic and phenotypic correlations among MTDFY and 305-days fat yield were calculated from the analysis of variance and covariance among sire groups as given by Becker [11] and shown in below:

\[ r_{g(xy)} = \frac{\text{Cov}(S_x, S_y)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(S_x) \cdot \text{Var}(S_y)}} \]

Results and Discussion

The least squares mean along with their standard errors for MTDFY and L305FY are shown in Table-1. The highest MTDFY was observed in MTDFY-3 (7.06 kg), and the lowest was observed in MTDFY-11 (3.33 kg). In general, MTDFY increased until MTDFY-3 and thereafter a gradual decline was noticed until the end of lactation. The overall mean of average 305-day fat yield was 175.74±4.12 kg. Ibrahim et al. [13] and Tonhati et al. [14] reported overall mean of 305-day fat yield as 147.67 kg and 90.1 kg in Egyptian buffaloes and Murrah buffaloes herd in Sao population, respectively, which was comparatively lower than Murrah breed in this study.

Effect of non-genetic factors

Parity

The effect of parity was highly significant (p<0.01) up to MTDFY-6 and L305FY; non-significant

### Table-1: Least squares means of L305FY and different MTDFY (in kg).

| Trait      | N   | Mean±SE | CV (%) |
|------------|-----|---------|--------|
| MTDFY1     | 1049| 6.81±0.184 | 39.44  |
| MTDFY2     | 1049| 7.05±0.18 | 33.41  |
| MTDFY3     | 1049| 7.06±0.17 | 31.72  |
| MTDFY4     | 1049| 6.55±0.14 | 31.34  |
| MTDFY5     | 1049| 6.11±0.13 | 33.12  |
| MTDFY6     | 1028| 5.56±0.16 | 34.33  |
| MTDFY7     | 1002| 5.15±0.17 | 36.80  |
| MTDFY8     | 949 | 4.56±0.16 | 38.42  |
| MTDFY9     | 869 | 4.73±0.13 | 42.76  |
| MTDFY10    | 722 | 3.74±0.15 | 44.01  |
| MTDFY11    | 567 | 3.33±0.14 | 48.09  |
| L305FY     | 1049| 175.74±4.12 | 26.55  |

N=Number of observation, L305FY=Lactation 305-fat yield, MTDFY=Monthly test day fat yields, SE=Standard error, CV=Coefficient of variation in percentage.
The effect of parity was observed in rest TD (Table-2). Singh et al. [15] observed the non-significant effect of parity on fat percentage in Murrah buffaloes. Similar results were shown by Shah and Schermerhorn [16] in Nilli-Ravi buffaloes.

**Season**

The effect of season of calving was highly significant (p<0.01) up to MTDFY-3 then MTDFY-9 to MTDFY-11 and L305FY; significant (p<0.05) for MTDFY-8. Non-significant effect of season of calving was observed MTDFY-4 to MTDFY-7 (Table-2). Ibrahim et al. [13] and Mourad et al. [17] reported significant effect of season of calving on lactation fat yield in Egyptian buffaloes. Khan et al. [18] also reported a significant effect of season of calving on fat yield in Nilli Ravi buffaloes. In Murrah buffaloes, Hatwar [19] found significant effect of season of calving on fat yield and fat percentages.

**Period**

Highly significant effect (p<0.01) of the period of calving was observed for L305FY and MTDFY-10 and MTDFY-11; significant (p<0.05) for MTDFY-1, MTDFY-2, MTDFY-3, MTDFY-5, MTDFY-7, and MTDFY-9. Non-significant effect of period of calving was observed MTDFY-4, MTDFY-6, and TDFY-8 (Table-2). Ibrahim et al. [13] and Mourad et al. [17] observed significant effect of period of calving on lactation fat yield in Egyptian buffaloes. Khan et al. [18] also found a significant effect of period of calving of fat yield in Nilli Ravi buffaloes.

**AFC groups**

The effect of AFC groups on MTDFY is presented in Table-2. A significant effect (p<0.01) of the AFC was observed on MTDFY-3; significant (p<0.05) for MTDFY-2, MTDFY-5, MTDFY-6 and rest TD have non-significant effect of AFC groups. Non-significant effect of AFC groups was also observed on L305FY. Shah and Schermerhorn [16] reported non-significant effect of AFC on fat percentage in Nilli-Ravi buffaloes.

**Genetic and phenotypic parameters**

**Heritability**

The heritability of the MTDFY is shown in Table-3. The h² estimate of MTDFY was the lowest (0.06) for MTDFY-8 and the highest (0.43) for MTDFY-3 and L305FY heritability was 0.33. Madad et al. [20] observed that heritability estimates ranged from 0.03 to 0.24 for TD fat percentages in Iranian buffaloes. Ibrahim et al. [13] reported heritability of lactation fat yield in Egyptian buffaloes as 0.19. Aspicueta-Borquís et al. [21] found heritability estimate as 0.23 for L305FY in buffaloes. In Murrah buffaloes, Tonhati et al. [14] observed heritability estimate of lactation fat yield as 0.21.

**Genetic and phenotypic correlations**

The estimates of genetic and phenotypic correlations among 305-day fat yield and MTDFY are shown in Table-4. The estimates of genetic and phenotypic correlations between 305-day fat yield and MTDFY ranged from 0.51 to 0.99 and 0.32 to 0.48, respectively. Estimate of genotypic and phenotypic correlation between traits was similar to Sahoo et al., 2014 [22]. MTDFY-5 had the highest genetic (0.99) and phenotypic (0.48) correlation with L305FY. Records up to five months can provide the similar results to lactation fat yield with almost 99% accuracy. Therefore, instead of 11 months with L305FY analysis can be made based on MTDFY-5.
Table-4: Genetic and phenotypic correlations among L305FY and different MTDFY.

| Trait 1 | Trait 2 | Genetic correlations | Phenotypic correlations |
|---------|---------|----------------------|------------------------|
| L305FY  | MTDFY1  | 0.87±0.28            | 0.32                   |
|         | MTDFY2  | 0.63±0.26            | 0.39                   |
|         | MTDFY3  | 0.92±0.20            | 0.42                   |
|         | MTDFY4  | 0.90±0.30            | 0.45                   |
|         | MTDFY5  | 0.99±0.30            | 0.48                   |
|         | MTDFY6  | 0.99±0.18            | 0.46                   |
|         | MTDFY7  | 0.99±0.19            | 0.47                   |
|         | MTDFY8  | 0.88±0.24            | 0.46                   |
|         | MTDFY9  | 0.99±0.19            | 0.46                   |
|         | MTDFY10 | 0.51±0.41            | 0.45                   |
|         | MTDFY11 | 0.73±0.36            | 0.43                   |

L305FY= Lactation 305-fat yield, MTDFY= Monthly test day fat yields

Conclusions

In this study, all the genetic and non-genetic factors except AFC group significantly affected the considered traits. The h² estimate of lactation milk fat yield was around 0.33 and it ranged from 0.06 (MTDFY-8) to 0.43 (MTDFY-3). The estimates of phenotypic and genetic correlations of monthly TD yields with 305-day fat yield were generally higher in MTDFY-5 of lactation suggesting that this 5th TD fat yields could be used as the selection criteria for early evaluation and selection of Murrah buffaloes.
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