Environmental concerns due to excessive use of synthetic or petroleum-based materials have encouraged scientists to develop novel, sustainable, and multifunctional material using abundant lignocellulosic biomass. In this work, a study was conducted on the isolation of cellulose from wheat straw using two different methods: acidified sodium chlorite and alkaline hydrogen peroxide. A comparative study was carried out based on the yield and properties of extracted cellulose. The final product (after treatments) was characterized by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR), X-ray diffraction (XRD), and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) for the identification of properties. Both the treatments isolated pure white color cellulose. However, the yield of cellulose isolated through acidified sodium chlorite treatment (81.4%) was higher than alkaline hydrogen peroxide treatment (79%). Moreover, no huge difference was observed in the crystallinity and thermal properties of extracted cellulose.

1. Introduction

Excessive use and reliance on nonsustainable materials (synthetic or petroleum based) has led to ecological and economic crisis around the world. It leads researchers to find potential alternative that should be sustainable materials derived from renewable sources, especially lignocellulosic biomasses [1]. The utilization of products developed by using lignocellulosic biomass has numerous advantages over those made from petroleum fractions such as renewability, biodegradability, cost and energy effectiveness, and environmental friendly nature [2].
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials. Wheat (Triticum aestivum) crop’s waste commonly named as wheat straw (WS) used in this work was obtained from Shalimar store, a local market in Lahore, Pakistan. WS was first dewaxed using ethanol in a ratio (0.1% w/v, biomass/ethanol; 1:10g/mL) for 6 hours. Then, dewaxed wheat straw (DWS) was thoroughly washed with excessive water to discard unwanted particles and dried to a moisture content less than 10% (ASTM D4442–16). Sodium chlorite (NaClO₂) of technical grade (80%) was purchased from UNI-CHEM chemical reagents. Ethanol (C₂H₅OH), acetic acid (CH₃COOH) of analytical grade, sodium hydroxide (NaOH), and hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich.

2.2. Methods

2.2.1. Isolation of Cellulose through Acidified Sodium Chlorite (ASC) Treatment. 2.5 g of DWS was dispersed in 80 mL hot water (80°C). Suspension was then bleached by adding buffer of acetic acid (0.5 mL) and sodium chlorite (1 g) at reflux (oil bath at 80°C) for 4 hours. The bleaching step was repeated four times. After the treatment, residue (holocellulose) was sieved and washed with excessive distilled water followed by oven drying at 75°C for 24 hours. Following bleaching treatment, the residue (holocellulose) was treated with 17.5% by weight of sodium hydroxide (NaOH) solution (100 cm³) at room temperature for 30 minutes. Alkali (NaOH) treatment was performed twice. The residue (DWSASC) was filtered and then washed with excessive distilled water until neutral pH. The residue (DWSASC) was oven dried at 75°C before further use.

2.2.2. Isolation of Cellulose through Alkaline Hydrogen Peroxide (AHP) Treatment. AHP solution was prepared by mixing 1% alkaline solution (1%; NaOH/H₂O; 1 g/100 mL) into 20% hydrogen peroxide solution (20%; H₂O₂/H₂O; 33.36 g/166.7 mL). 2.5 g of DWS was dispersed in 30 mL solution of alkaline hydrogen peroxide (AHP) in a stainless steel digester at 121°C for 35 minutes. After the particular time, the residue, DWSAHP (white color), was washed thoroughly with distilled water until it became free from chemicals and reached neutral pH. The residue was then filtered, followed by oven drying at 75°C for further use.

2.3. Characterization. American Society for Testing Materials (ASTM) standards were used to identify the chemical composition of untreated and treated fibers. The content of α-cellulose, lignin, and holocellulose were estimated using ASTM D1103–55T, ASTM D1106–56, and ASTM D1104–56, respectively. The standard deviation was calculated by conducting repeatedly experiments for each sample. The contents were calculated as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{α-cellulose} \% &= \frac{\text{weight of oven − dried α-cellulose residue}}{W \times P} \\
\text{hemicellulose} \% &= \left(\frac{\text{weight of oven − dried holocellulose residue}}{W \times P}\right) \times 100 - A, \\
\text{lignin} \% &= \frac{\text{weight of oven − dried lignin residue}}{W \times P} \times 100,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(W\) = weight of the original oven dry sample and \(P\) = proportion of moisture-free content.

The surface morphology of the cellulose obtained after two different treatments (alkaline hydrogen peroxide and acidified sodium chlorite treatment) was observed using a...
variable pressure scanning electron microscope (TESCAN Vega LMU). Both the samples were gold coated before testing. The launching voltage of the microscope was 8.0 kV.

Chemical functional group analysis of DWS, DWSASC, and DWSAHP was performed using Fourier Transmission Nicolet 6700. The FT-IR spectra were obtained at 4 cm\(^{-1}\) resolution in the standard wavelength range of 4000 to 450 cm\(^{-1}\). An X-ray diffractometer was used to evaluate the phase behavior of raw and treated wheat straw. Instrument conditions were set at 1.540 Å wavelength (CuK\(_\alpha\) radiation), with a scan speed of 2° per second and a 2\(\theta\) range of 2–80°.

The thermal stability of DWS, DWSASC, and DWSAHP was characterized using TGA Q500 from TA Instruments Inc. (DE, USA). The sample size of each measurement was maintained at 2 mg. The TGA was performed under nitrogen environment with a clearance flow rate of 60 mL/min, and it was heated from 30°C to 500°C at 10°C/min scanning rate according to ASTM E1131.

### 3. Results and Discussion

**3.1. Chemical Composition of DWS.** The chemical composition of raw material (DWS) was altered after the following treatments (DWSASC and DWSAHP). In comparison with treated fibers, raw wheat straw fibers (DWS) have high percentage of hemicellulose and lignin with low percentage of cellulose as compared to treated fibers. The content of \(\alpha\)-cellulose increased from 44 ± 0.5% to 81.4 ± 1.5% by using acidified sodium chlorite treatment. Similarly, hemicellulose content decreased from 36 ± 0.5% to 13 ± 1% while lignin content decreased from 17 ± 2% to 6 ± 0.5%. These results are similar to the results published before [12]. On the contrary, after alkaline hydrogen peroxide treatment, \(\alpha\)-cellulose content increased from 44 ± 0.5% to 79 ± 1.0%, whereas, hemicellulose and lignin content decreased from 36 ± 0.5% to 14 ± 1% and 17 ± 2% to 8 ± 0.5%, respectively. The ash content of raw wheat straw measured using ASTM (D2866) was 7.2 ± 0.05 which was in the range of results reported earlier [13–15].

**3.2. FT-IR Spectrum of DWS, DWSASC, and DWSAHP.** FT-IR was carried out to analyze the presence of the functional group on untreated wheat straw (DWS) and treated wheat straw (DWSASC and DWSAHP) (Figure 1). The aim of this characterization is to identify the presence of cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin before and after the particular treatment. All three samples presented wavelengths in the range 700–1800 cm\(^{-1}\) and 2700–3500 cm\(^{-1}\); however, specific peaks for each sample differentiate them. In the case of DWS, the absorption peaks at 3400 cm\(^{-1}\) and 2900 cm\(^{-1}\) represent –OH group and C–H symmetric stretching vibrations, respectively. Similarly, peaks present at 1735 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1248 cm\(^{-1}\) are attributed to a waxy C\(_{\text{acetyl}}\) group of hemicellulose and C–O–C of aryl-alkyl-ether in lignin, respectively [16]. Moreover, the peaks at 1375 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1248 cm\(^{-1}\) are attributed to a waxy C=O acetyl group of hemicellulose and C–O–C of aryl-alkyl-ether in lignin, respectively [16].
bending vibration of –CH₂, C–H, and C–O of cellulose in DWS spectra. While in the case of DWSASC and DWSAHP, the peaks at 1644 cm⁻¹ and 895 cm⁻¹ represent the –OH bending of absorbed water and asymmetric out of plane ring stretching in cellulose, respectively. The absence of 1735 cm⁻¹ and 1248 cm⁻¹ peaks further confirms the removal of lignin and hemicellulose after the following treatments. The FT-IR spectra of cellulose reported earlier are well matched with current work that further confirms the removal of lignin and hemicellulose from DWS [17, 18].

3.3. XRD Diffractogram of DWS, DWSASC, and DWSAHP. XRD diffractogram of DWS, DWSASC, and DWSAHP is shown in Figure 2. DWS fibers are combination of lignin and hemicellulose (amorphous region) and cellulose (crystalline region). After the treatments, the treated fibers, DWSASC and DWSAHP, showed the peak of high intensity at 22.5°. The peaks arise (22.5°) due to the fact that there is no doublet in the intensity of the main peak. This high-intensity peak defined the crystallinity of the substance. The high-intensity peak at 2θ = 22.5° is an indication of cellulose I polymorph which showed that the two procedures did not affect the crystal polymorphism of cellulose. Higher crystallinity is due to efficient removal of noncellulosic components. Crystallinity increases from 53.92% for DWS to 66.60% for DWSASC and to 66.87% for DWSAHP. High-intensity peak (at 2θ = 22.5°) of DWSASC and DWSAHP (extracted cellulose) is corresponding to the crystallographic plane (002), parallel to the results reported before [19, 20].

3.4. TGA Analyses of DWS, DWSASC, and DWSAHP. Thermal gravimetric measurement analysis was used to evaluate the thermal stability of DWS, cellulose extracted from DWS after the treatments (Figure 3). Because of the differences in the chemical structures among cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin, they are expected to decompose at different temperatures. The results of thermal analysis showed the deterioration of DWS in three stages started at 180°C, then the second stage: 254°C and peaked at the third stage: 304°C. The first stage is due to the degradation of hemicellulose and cellulose and the second is due to interference or overlapping between cellulose and lignin. The degradation of cellulose from ASC treated DWS was observed at 310°C, and the decomposition temperature was 385°C. Moreover, the cellulose obtained after AHP treatment on DWS starts degradation at 304°C and decomposed at 360°C. It can be seen that chemical treatment increased both the starting and decomposition temperatures, which represented an increase in thermal stability. This can be attributed to the removal of noncellulosic substances (lignin and hemicelluloses) and a high degree of structural arrangement obtained after treatments [21, 22].

3.5. SEM Analysis of Cellulose. Figure 4 shows the micro-morphology of extracted cellulose from wheat straw using two different treatments. Cellulose obtained after alkaline hydrogen peroxide treatment is irregular in shape, showing the removal of some components, while cellulose obtained after acidified sodium chlorite treatment is straight fiber connected with each other forming a regular shape. After the following treatments, it is evident that chemicals had...
significant effect on the morphology of wheat straw (DWS) as after the treatments, irregular shape, reduced volume, and diameter of the fibers can be observed in SEM images.

3.6 Physical Appearance. Physical appearance of DWS, DWSASC, and DWSAHP is shown in Figure 5. After the treatments (ASC and AHP), the DWS obtained was purely white in color which exhibited that the noncellulosic components (lignin and hemicellulose) were removed.

4. Comparison
While isolating cellulose using two different pretreatment methods, important differences were noticed as follows:
During isolation, time is an important factor. ASC treatment involves more steps as compared to AHP treatment, because of this ASC treatment takes more time.

2. AHP treatment required high temperature conditions (121°C) while ASC treatment worked at 70–80°C temperature conditions.

3. Yield of ASC treatment is high as compared to AHP treatment.

4. Cellulose obtained from ASC treated wheat straw shows a bit better thermal stability as compared to cellulose obtained from AHP treatment.

5. Cellulose obtained from both treatments (ASC and AHP) shows almost same crystallinity.

6. AHP treatment is more eco-friendly as compared to ASC treatment because of completely chlorine-free process.

7. AHP treated wheat straw shows irregular shape while the wheat straw treated with ASC shows regular shape of treated fibers.

5. Conclusion

In this study, cellulose was successfully isolated from wheat straw using two different treatments: acidified sodium chlorite and alkaline hydrogen peroxide. Followed by the treatments, the residues were characterized by FT-IR, which indicated that the obtained material was cellulose containing traces or very low amount of hemicellulose and lignin. SEM analysis showed irregular structure of cellulose fiber obtained after the treatment of alkaline hydrogen peroxide, while a more regular structure appeared after the treatment of dewaxed wheat straw with acidified sodium chlorite. XRD results showed that the crystallinity of cellulose was almost the same for both the isolation methods. Cellulose obtained using acidified sodium chlorite treatment (310°C) showed better thermal stability as compared to the alkaline hydrogen peroxide method (304°C). In terms of time and yield, acidified sodium chlorite treatment turned out to be more time consuming with high yield of 81.4%. Alkaline hydrogen peroxide treatment took less time but produced comparatively less yield of 79%. This alkaline hydrogen peroxide treatment is more eco-friendly, being totally chlorine free. However, sodium chlorite treatment turned to have the most attractive property.
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