Mammograms to catch many birds with one stone
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This editorial refers to ‘Mammographic features are associated with cardiometabolic disease risk and mortality’, by F. Grassmann et al., doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehab502.

Timely prevention of diseases is high on the list of priorities in healthcare. Computed tomography (CT) imaging of vascular calcification as a sign of subclinical atherosclerosis by measuring the coronary artery calcium (CAC) score has become a major step forward in early preventive strategies in patients at elevated/intermediate cardiovascular risk.1,2 The use of breast arterial calcifications (BACs) on mammograms as a screening tool for cardiovascular disease has been subject to debate for many years as this could be very efficient and cost effective.3–5 In this issue of the European Heart Journal, Grassmann et al. investigated whether the presence of microcalcifications on mammograms is associated with cardiometabolic disease risk and mortality.

Graphical Abstract Some types of microcalcification patterns co-occurring on a single mammogram. Of the >10 types of microcalcifications, five are presented on this mammogram. In the middle is the full caudocranial mammogram. On the right and left are zoomed circular, rectangular, and oval sections that present the calcifications in more detail.
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The mystery of breast calcifications

Formation of tiny calcifications in the breast is highly prevalent, heterogeneous in origin, and about a dozen types of patterns have been described. The large majority of these calcifications are not associ-ated with breast cancer, except for the most worrisome ductal calcifi-cations that are associated with ductal carcinoma in situ and breast cancer. Outside the ducts, breast calcifications can develop in the lobules, in the tunica media of small arteries (BACs), in the skin, and basically anywhere in the fat and glandular tissue. These different small calcifications are common and often co-occur in a single breast (Graphical Abstract). The authors used a commercial algorithm in which they are scientifically and financially involved, but from the paper and the references it remains unclear what they measured. Although calcification processes share common mechanisms, their clinical significance in various vascular and non-vascular beds is very different.

The ‘bone former’ and cardiovascular disease

What if the algorithm measured all type of breast calcifications simul-taneously? It is evident that the ability to form bone and calcifications is diverse in the population, with ‘bone formers’ and ‘bone losers’ at opposite ends of the spectrum. Ectopic calcifications are highly preva-lent and also well known outside the breast in our vascular system. It has been hypothesized that strong bone formation and ectopic mineralization was once beneficial, but became detrimental in an ageing population. It has indeed been shown that the ability to form excessive bone outside the skeleton in common disorders such as osteo-arthritis and diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis is associated with vascular calcifications and cardiovascular events. Currently, it is speculative whether the ability to form bone needs to be inhibited in ‘bone formers’ to prevent cardiovascular disease and organ failure.

Ductal microcalcifications and cardiovascular disease

What if the algorithm measured only clusters of dangerous ductal microcalcifications? That would suggest that there is an intrinsic link between ductal breast carcinoma and cardiovascular disease. Given the important roles of metabolism and our immune system for health and disease, it could well be that breast tissue ductal calcifications and vascular disease share some common pathways. Whether this would explain the strength of the observed associations is uncertain. The authors found that breast calcifications, cardiometabolic risk, and breast cancer in KARMA women showed similarities to their sisters. Although cardiovascular risk as well as breast cancer have genetic traits, common pathways are as yet unclear. Women with BRCA1/2 mutations may be at increased risk for cardiovascular disease as a result of an abnormal ability to repair DNA, but it is unclear what specific genetics were tested in the current study.

Medial arterial calcification and cardiovascular disease

What if the algorithm is confounded by BACs? It is well known that BACs are associated with age, and cardiometabolic, hormonal, and reproductive factors. We previously showed that age, diabetes and parity are the strongest predictors of BAC and that the aetiology of BACs and coronary artery calcifications is importantly different. It seems likely that the algorithm used in the present study was dis-turbed by the presence of BACs, as is also seen in the figures. These calcifications can be tiny or large, and they can also evolve into regression patterns with many small clusters. It is likely that the associations are diluted and that clinically more useful predictive value is contained in the mammograms.

BACs are a specific form of arterial calcification located in the media of the vessel wall. It is crucial to understand that these calcifications are not atherosclerotic, but thin circular calcifications of the internal elastic lamina and tunica media. These calcifications are also common in the carotid siphon and at this location they are the strongest predictor of stroke. They are also common in the leg arteries in patients with genetic syndromes, renal dysfunction, diabetes, and ageing. Here they predict amputations. A plausible mechanism is that the ‘Windkessel function’ of our arterial system is disturbed by these calcifications and that the high pulse pressure leads to organ failure. This is possibly supported by the association with heart failure as observed by Grassmann et al. Although much uncertainty exists as to whether these calcifications need to be removed from our blood vessels, some drugs have been able to modify the process and can be used to test the hypothesis.

The way forward

We need to better understand what we measure on a mammogram. This means a movement from the number of clusters of unknown microcalcifications towards an automated dedicated measurement of ductal microcalcification and BAC. Such an ‘Agatston score for mammography’ could turn the promising but weak signals into more
powerful absolute risk predictors and identify women at risk to prevent adverse cardiovascular outcomes.
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