Potential role of pre- and postnatal testosterone levels in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: is there a sex difference?
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Objective: Both prenatal testosterone (T) exposure and postnatal T levels have been associated with developing neural circuitry and behavioral systems. This study examined the potential correlation between pre- and postnatal T levels and behavioral and neurocognitive profiles of children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD).

Methods: Two hundred ADHD patients with a mean age of 8.7±2.0 years (158 boys and 42 girls) were recruited. The ratio of the length of the right index finger (2D) to that of the right ring finger (4D) (2D/4D ratio) served as a surrogate of prenatal T exposure, and postnatal T was determined using salivary T concentration. Behavioral symptoms were evaluated using the Swanson, Nolan, and Pelham – Version IV Scale for ADHD (SNAP-IV). Neurocognitive function was assessed using the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children – Fourth Edition (WISC-IV) and Conners’ Continuous Performance Test (CPT).

Results: Lower 2D/4D ratios were associated with comorbid disruptive behavior disorders (r=2.15, P=0.033) in all participants. Among the boys with ADHD, neither 2D/4D ratios nor salivary T levels were associated with behavioral symptoms or neurocognitive function. Among the girls with ADHD, the salivary T level was positively correlated with the Perceptual Reasoning Index of the WISC-IV (r=0.48, P=0.001) and the Confidence Index (r=0.37, P=0.017) and Omission Errors of the CPT (r=0.62, P<0.001).

Conclusion: Findings suggest that a higher prenatal T exposure is associated with a greater risk of developing disruptive behavior disorders, and T may exert differential neurocognitive effects between boys and girls with ADHD. However, the neurobiological mechanisms of T involved in the pathogenesis of ADHD warrant further investigation.
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Introduction

Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), which is characterized by inattention, hyperactivity, and impulsivity, is one of the most prevalent neuropsychiatry disorders among children. ADHD is estimated to affect 3%–10% of school-age children worldwide.1 The prevalence of ADHD is substantially different between the sexes: reported ratios of boys to girls range from 4:1 to 9:1.2 In addition to prevalence rates, sex differences in the behavioral features and neuropsychological profiles of patients with ADHD have been widely debated.3–5 On the basis of observations of sex differences, many researchers have raised questions with respect to the potential roles of the neuroendocrine system in the etiology of ADHD.6–8 Testosterone (T) is a main sex hormone produced by the testicles and the adrenal glands and is important for the proper development of male sexual characteristics.9 Both prenatal T exposure and...
postnatal T levels have been associated with developing neural circuitry and behavioral systems.\textsuperscript{10,11}

High levels of prenatal T may affect the dopaminergic neural circuitry and decelerate neural development globally.\textsuperscript{12} A commonly used surrogate index of prenatal T exposure is the ratio of the length of the right index finger (2D) to that of the right ring finger (4D) (2D/4D ratio).\textsuperscript{13,14} A lower 2D/4D ratio usually represents a higher level of intrauterine T exposure (relative to estrogen).\textsuperscript{15} Several studies suggested that individuals with a lower, more male-typical, 2D/4D ratio tend to exhibit more prominent ADHD features.\textsuperscript{16–19} These studies support the hypothesis that prenatal T exposure contributes to the development of ADHD in children. However, some researchers have not found associations between 2D/4D ratios and ADHD features or externalizing behavior problems in children.\textsuperscript{20–22} In sum, whether prenatal T exposure plays a role in the etiology of ADHD is still open to debate.

Postnatal T activation from childhood to puberty potentially influences behavioral and physiological change, as well as neurodevelopment.\textsuperscript{23,24} Postnatal T levels are usually determined by analyzing the current (activational) T concentration in saliva or peripheral blood.\textsuperscript{25} Several studies have investigated the relationship between current T level and ADHD. For example, Hergun et al\textsuperscript{26} reported that women with polycystic ovary syndrome (an endocrine disorder that manifests hyperandrogenism) had more ADHD symptoms than did control subjects. Furthermore, higher T levels in saliva or in plasma have been observed in children with aggressive tendencies compared with those without aggressive tendencies.\textsuperscript{27–29} In contrast, Dorn et al\textsuperscript{30} suggested that no significant association is found between salivary T levels and children’s disruptive behavior disorders (DBD). To date, evidence about the connection of current T levels to the pathogenesis of ADHD remains scarce and inconsistent.

Peripheral T levels are similar among boys and girls during early childhood,\textsuperscript{11} but T levels notably increase in prepubertal boys.\textsuperscript{2} During this period, the activation of preformed brain structures leads to sexually dimorphic, physical, behavioral, and cognitive alterations.\textsuperscript{10} Therefore, we proposed that T may be involved in the pathogenesis of sex-biased neuropsychiatric disorders, such as ADHD. However, few studies have simultaneously investigated the potential roles of prenatal and postnatal T exposure on the clinical features of ADHD. In addition, how T influences the neurocognitive function in patients with ADHD and whether there is a sex difference in the relationship between T and ADHD presentations remains poorly understood. The purpose of this study was to examine the potential correlations between T levels, both pre- and postnatal and behavioral and neurocognitive profiles of patients with ADHD. We also explored whether a sex difference in such a correlation is also present.

**Methods**

**Study participants**

The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Chang Gung Hospital in Taiwan (IRB No 101-4835A3). All procedures performed in this study involving participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the Helsinki Declaration. We obtained written informed consent from the parents or guardians of all the participating children.

Eligible patients with ADHD treated in the outpatient Department of Child Psychiatry at a medical center in Taiwan were recruited for this cross-sectional study from August 2013 to December 2015. The inclusion criteria were 1) clinical diagnosis of ADHD by a senior child psychiatrist based on the criteria of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition, Text Revision (DSM-IV-TR) after structured interviews based on the Chinese version of the Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Age Children, epidemiologic version (K-SADS-E);\textsuperscript{12} 2) age between 6 and 16 years; 3) being Han Chinese; and 4) new diagnosis of ADHD in a drug-naïve patient or one who had an existing diagnosis but had not used an ADHD medication in the previous 6 months or longer. Patients were excluded if they had a history of comorbid pervasive developmental disorder, intellectual disability, major depressive disorder, bipolar disorder, psychosis, epilepsy, or brain injury. In total, 203 patients with ADHD were recruited into this study.

**Assessments of prenatal T exposure and salivary T levels**

Finger-length ratios are often used as a surrogate measure of prenatal hormone levels.\textsuperscript{23} Lengths of fingers of all participants were measured by a research assistant. Lengths of fingers were measured from the connection to the palm to the tip of the finger. The 2D/4D ratios were computed in this study because they are the best established and best replicated with respect to human behavioral sex differences.\textsuperscript{14}

Saliva samples of ADHD patients were collected at 8:00 am in the outpatient department using the passive drool method; patients were instructed to avoid excessive levels
of physical activity during the preceding 24-hour and to maintain an overnight fast before saliva collection. Saliva samples were collected in collecting tubes, immediately placed on ice, and then stored at −80°C until further analysis. Salivary T levels were quantified using a chemiluminescence immunoassay (IB57403; IBL-America, Minneapolis, MN, USA). The sensitivity of detection of this method was 1.0 pg/mL. The intra- and interassay coefficients of variation were 2.9%–7.0% at 21–557 pg/mL and 1.5%–3.2% at 38–541 pg/mL, respectively.

Clinical measurements
Each ADHD patient was interviewed by a senior psychiatrist who used the K-SADS-E diagnostic tool. An experienced child psychologist conducted the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children – Fourth Edition (WISC-IV) and Conners’ Continuous Performance Test (CPT) with individual patients in a room dedicated to reducing variability in testing conditions. The Swanson, Nolan, and Pelham – Version IV Scale (SNAP-IV) parent form and SNAP-IV teacher form were completed by the patient’s parents and a teacher, respectively.

The K-SADS-E is a semistructured diagnostic interview that is designed to assess current and past episodes of psychopathology in children and adolescents according to DSM-III-Revised (DSM-III-R) and DSM-IV criteria. The K-SADS-E is administered by interviewing the parent(s) and the child and finally achieving summary ratings that include all sources of information. The validity and reliability of the Chinese version of K-SADS-E have been established in Taiwan. The WISC-IV Chinese version is an individually administered and norm-referenced instrument designed to measure the intelligence of children aged from 6 to 16 years. The WISC-IV contains 10 core and 5 supplemental subtests. The core subtests are used to form 4 factor indexes, including the Verbal Comprehension Index (VCI), the Perceptual Reasoning Index (PRI), the Working Memory Index (WMI), and the Processing Speed Index (PSI). The Full-Scale Intelligence Quotient (FSIQ) is also formed from the 10 core subtests. The factor indexes and FSIQ each has a population mean of 100 and a standard deviation of 15.

The SNAP-IV is a 26-item questionnaire that is used to evaluate ADHD symptoms and severity and is completed by parents or teachers. The 26 items include 18 for ADHD symptoms (9 for inattentive and 9 for hyperactive/impulsive) and 8 for oppositional defiant disorder (ODD) symptoms as defined in DSM-IV. Each item is scored on a 0–3 Likert scale. The Chinese version of the SNAP-IV parent form and the SNAP-IV teacher form were reported to have satisfactory levels of reliability and concurrent validity.

The CPT is a 14-minute computerized test that primarily assesses attention and impulse control. Briefly, participants are required to respond to the stimuli on a computer screen by pressing a space bar for every letter except the letter “X.” Among the multiple dependent measures that may be used, omissions, commissions, hit reaction time, and detectability are the most commonly used indices. The T-score of the CPT, in which a lower T-score indicates better performance, is commonly used in research analyses. The Confidence Index (percentile) showed the integration of all the CPT data obtained from test administration to provide a chance out of 100 that a significant attention problem existed.

Statistical analysis
Data were analyzed using the statistical software package SPSS, version 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Variables are presented as either the mean (standard deviation) or frequency. In 3 patients, the salivary T levels were undetermined, and they were excluded from the analysis. Categorical variables among male and female patients were compared using either the chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test, depending on case numbers, and an independent t-test was used to compare continuous variables between groups. Pearson correlation was performed to analyze the relationships between 2D/4D ratios, salivary T levels, clinical symptoms, and neuropsychological functions measured by the CPT and WISC-IV, respectively. Two-tailed P-values of <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results
A total of 200 ADHD patients (mean age 8.7±2.0 years) were recruited into this study. Of these patients, 158 (79%) were boys and 42 (21%) were girls; 60 of them (30%) were classified as inattentive type, and 140 (70%) were classified as hyperactive-impulsive type or combined type. In terms of psychiatric comorbidities, 35 (17.5%) patients had comorbid ODD, 2 (1%) had conduct disorder, 18 (9%) had tic disorders, 4 (2%) had sleep disorders, and 9 (4.5%) had anxiety disorders. Compared to the girls (Table 1), boys were taller (t=3.08, P=0.002), heavier (t=3.07, P=0.002), have higher body mass index (BMI) (t=2.34, P=0.023), more likely to be ADHD hyperactive/impulsive or combined type (χ²=4.19, P=0.041), have higher VCI scores on the WISC-IV (t=2.31, P=0.022), and have higher teacher-rated hyperactivity/impulsivity scores (t=4.37, P<0.001) and oppositional scores on the SNAP-IV (t=3.33, P=0.001).
Table 1 Characteristics of patients with ADHD and comparison of male and female patients

| Variables                                      | Males (n=158) | Females (n=42) | Statistical values | P-value |
|------------------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|--------------------|---------|
| Age (years)                                    | 8.8±2.1       | 8.3±1.7        | t=1.199            | 0.232   |
| Height (cm)                                    | 133.7±13.9    | 126.7±9.8     | t=3.802            | 0.002** |
| Weight (kg)                                    | 33.5±11.1     | 27.8±9.8      | t=3.066            | 0.002** |
| Body mass index (kg/m²)                        | 18.3±3.2      | 16.9±3.6      | t=2.338            | 0.023   |
| ADHD subtypes, n (%)                           |               |               |                    |         |
| Inattentive type                               | 42 (26.6)     | 18 (42.9)     | χ²=4.185           | 0.041*  |
| Hyperactive/impulsive or combined type         | 116 (73.4)    | 24 (57.1)     |                   |         |
| Comorbidities, n (%)                           |               |               |                    |         |
| Oppositional defiant disorder                  | 31 (19.6)     | 4 (9.5)       | χ²=2.343           | 0.126   |
| Conduct disorder                               | 2 (1.3)       | 0 (0)         | χ²=0.537           | 0.464   |
| Tic disorders                                  | 14 (8.9)      | 4 (9.5)       | χ²=0.018           | 0.894   |
| Sleep disorders                                | 4 (2.5)       | 0 (0)         | χ²=1.085           | 0.298   |
| Anxiety disorders                              | 7 (4.4)       | 2 (4.8)       | χ²=0.008           | 0.927   |
| WISC-IV                                        |               |               |                    |         |
| Full Scale Intelligence Quotient               | 95.5±12.2     | 91.9±12.7     | t=1.705            | 0.090   |
| Verbal Comprehension Index                     | 99.6±11.6     | 94.9±11.9     | t=2.214            | 0.022*  |
| Perceptual Reasoning Index                     | 95.8±15.1     | 92.9±13.7     | t=1.126            | 0.261   |
| Working Memory Index                           | 96.6±13.4     | 94.1±13.9     | t=1.046            | 0.297   |
| Processing Speed Index                         | 93.8±14.5     | 91.6±13.5     | t=0.877            | 0.381   |
| Clinical measures                              |               |               |                    |         |
| SNAP-IV parent form (I)                        | 15.0±5.3      | 16.2±4.8      | t=−1.329           | 0.185   |
| SNAP-IV parent form (H)                        | 13.4±5.8      | 12.1±6.3      | t=1.241            | 0.216   |
| SNAP-IV parent form (O)                        | 10.9±5.7      | 9.9±5.3       | t=1.006            | 0.315   |
| SNAP-IV teacher form (I)                       | 14.3±6.1      | 13.0±6.1      | t=1.188            | 0.236   |
| SNAP-IV teacher form (H)                       | 12.1±7.2      | 6.8±6.6       | t=4.369            | <0.001*** |
| SNAP-IV teacher form (O)                       | 7.8±6.2       | 4.4±4.7       | t=3.332            | 0.001** |
| Indices of CPT                                 |               |               |                    |         |
| Confidence Index                               | 58.6±21.0     | 53.4±23.5     | t=1.426            | 0.155   |
| Omission                                       | 59.1±26.6     | 58.3±24.1     | t=0.173            | 0.863   |
| Commission                                     | 50.4±9.9      | 51.5±9.4      | t=−0.652           | 0.515   |
| Hit reaction time                              | 54.7±12.1     | 56.5±13.0     | t=−0.817           | 0.415   |
| Detectability                                  | 51.2±9.0      | 52.7±10.9     | t=−0.884           | 0.378   |

Notes: Data are expressed as mean ± SD or n (%). *Statistical values are expressed as t-value or χ²; **P<0.05, ***P<0.01, ****P<0.001.

Abbreviations: ADHD, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; CPT, Conners’ Continuous Performance Test; H, hyperactivity/impulsivity scores; I, inattention scores; O, oppositional scores; SD, standard deviation; SNAP-IV, Swanson, Nolan, and Pelham – Version IV Scale for ADHD; WISC-IV, Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children – Fourth Edition.

Of the total 200 patients with ADHD, the mean 2D/4D ratios and salivary T levels were 96.1%±4.6% and 50.1±79.4 pg/mL, respectively. Patients’ salivary T levels were not significantly correlated with their 2D/4D ratios (r=0.09, P=0.229). Table 2 lists the relationships between 2D/4D ratios and salivary T levels between sexes, ADHD subtypes, and comorbidities. Patients with comorbid DBD (ODD or conduct disorder) had lower 2D/4D ratios than did their counterparts without a comorbidity (t=2.15, P=0.033). The salivary T levels of ADHD boys were significantly higher than those of ADHD girls (t=2.04, P=0.043).

Table 3 summarizes the correlations between 2D/4D ratios, salivary T levels, patients’ characteristics, and neuropsychological function among ADHD patients, stratified by sex. In both sexes, the 2D/4D ratios were not correlated with any clinical measure or neuropsychological performance. Among the boys with ADHD, salivary T levels were positively correlated with age (r=0.22, P=0.005), height (r=0.22, P=0.005), body weight (r=0.24, P=0.003), and BMI (r=0.17, P=0.036), but they did not correlate with clinical symptoms and neurocognitive performance. Among girls with ADHD, salivary T levels positively correlated with the PRI scores on the WISC-IV (r=0.48, P=0.001), Confidence Index (r=0.37, P=0.017), and Omission Errors of the CPT (r=0.62, P<0.001).

Discussion

The findings in this study indicate that prenatal T exposure, using the 2D/4D ratio as a surrogate index, is not associated with ADHD clinical symptoms or neuropsychological function.
Table 2: Ratios of 2D/4D and salivary testosterone levels between sex and ADHD subtypes and comorbidities among ADHD patients

| Variables                          | 2D/4D ratio | Salivary testosterone |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|
|                                    | Mean ± SD (%) | t | P-value | Mean ± SD (pg/mL) | t | P-value |
| Sex                                |             |    |         |              |    |         |
| Males (n=158)                      | 96.1±4.7    | 0.275 | 0.784 | 54.2±86.1 | 2.043 | 0.043* |
| Females (n=42)                     | 95.9±4.3    | 34.6±43.3 |
| ADHD subtypes                      |             |    |         |              |    |         |
| Inattentive type (n=60)            | 97.0±6.4    | 1.868 | 0.063 | 43.2±55.3 | −0.798 | 0.426 |
| H/I or combined type (n=140)       | 95.7±4.6    | 53.0±87.8 |
| ODD or conduct disorder            |             |    |         |              |    |         |
| With (n=36)                        | 96.4±4.2    | −2.145 | 0.033* | 53.7±118.7 | 0.298 | 0.766 |
| Without (n=164)                    | 96.4±4.2    | 49.3±68.4 |
| Tic disorders                      |             |    |         |              |    |         |
| With (n=18)                        | 96.1±4.8    | −0.023 | 0.982 | 30.9±42.8 | −1.075 | 0.284 |
| Without (n=182)                    | 96.1±4.6    | 52.0±82.0 |
| Anxiety disorders                  |             |    |         |              |    |         |
| With (n=9)                         | 95.4±4.2    | −0.455 | 0.650 | 95.8±111.3 | 1.775 | 0.077 |
| Without (n=191)                    | 96.1±4.6    | 47.9±77.3 |

Notes: *P<0.05. **P<0.01. ***P<0.001.

Abbreviations: 2D/4D ratio, ratio of the length of the right index finger (2D) to that of the right ring finger (4D); ADHD, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; H, hyperactivity/impulsivity scores; I, inattention scores; ODD, oppositional defiant disorder; SD, standard deviation.

Table 3: Correlations among 2D/4D ratios, salivary testosterone levels, patients’ characteristics, and neuropsychological functions among ADHD patients

| Variables                          | 2D/4D ratio | Salivary testosterone |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|
|                                    |             | Males | Females | Males | Females |
|                                    | r | P-value | r | P-value | r | P-value | r | P-value |
| Age (years)                        | 0.114 | 0.153 | 0.115 | 0.470 | 0.222 | 0.005** | 0.040 | 0.801 |
| Height (cm)                        | 0.102 | 0.200 | 0.107 | 0.501 | 0.222 | 0.005** | −0.127 | 0.423 |
| Weight (kg)                        | 0.125 | 0.117 | −0.006 | 0.971 | 0.239 | 0.003** | −0.062 | 0.696 |
| Body mass index (kg/m²)            | 0.108 | 0.174 | −0.035 | 0.827 | 0.170 | 0.036* | −0.024 | 0.882 |
| WISC-IV                            |             |       |         |        |       |         |       |        |
| Full Scale Intelligence Quotient   | 0.089 | 0.269 | −0.009 | 0.957 | 0.049 | 0.541 | 0.196 | 0.213 |
| Verbal Comprehension Index         | 0.078 | 0.328 | 0.072 | 0.651 | 0.021 | 0.798 | 0.092 | 0.563 |
| Perceptual Reasoning Index         | 0.140 | 0.078 | −0.081 | 0.608 | 0.078 | 0.327 | 0.482 | 0.001** |
| Working Memory Index               | 0.039 | 0.627 | 0.080 | 0.615 | 0.023 | 0.772 | 0.036 | 0.823 |
| Processing Speed Index             | −0.055 | 0.494 | 0.069 | 0.664 | 0.006 | 0.937 | −0.197 | 0.211 |
| Clinical measures                  |             |       |         |        |       |         |       |        |
| SNAP-IV parent form (I)            | −0.009 | 0.909 | 0.228 | 0.147 | 0.104 | 0.193 | 0.219 | 0.163 |
| SNAP-IV parent form (H)            | −0.055 | 0.492 | 0.199 | 0.206 | 0.103 | 0.200 | 0.235 | 0.134 |
| SNAP-IV parent form (O)            | −0.021 | 0.796 | 0.066 | 0.680 | 0.103 | 0.198 | −0.056 | 0.727 |
| SNAP-IV teacher form (I)           | 0.111 | 0.166 | −0.202 | 0.200 | 0.057 | 0.477 | −0.060 | 0.705 |
| SNAP-IV teacher form (H)           | −0.029 | 0.719 | −0.056 | 0.723 | 0.043 | 0.592 | −0.177 | 0.261 |
| SNAP-IV teacher form (O)           | 0.021 | 0.793 | 0.158 | 0.318 | 0.082 | 0.305 | −0.091 | 0.566 |
| Indices of CPT                     |             |       |         |        |       |         |       |        |
| Confidence Index                   | −0.061 | 0.448 | −0.008 | 0.962 | 0.100 | 0.211 | 0.368 | 0.017* |
| Omission                           | 0.029 | 0.719 | −0.034 | 0.829 | 0.053 | 0.507 | 0.622 | <0.001*** |
| Commission                         | −0.041 | 0.609 | −0.046 | 0.773 | −0.010 | 0.897 | −0.103 | 0.518 |
| Hit reaction time                  | −0.031 | 0.701 | 0.076 | 0.632 | 0.004 | 0.957 | 0.089 | 0.574 |
| Detectability                      | −0.013 | 0.873 | −0.082 | 0.604 | −0.048 | 0.547 | 0.153 | 0.334 |

Notes: r = Pearson’s correlation coefficient. *P<0.05. **P<0.01. ***P<0.001.

Abbreviations: 2D/4D ratio, ratio of the length of the right index finger (2D) to that of the right ring finger (4D); ADHD, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; CPT, Conners’ Continuous Performance Test; H, hyperactivity/impulsivity scores; I, inattention scores; O, oppositional scores; SNAP-IV, the Swanson, Nolan, and Pelham – Version IV Scale for ADHD; WISC-IV, Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children – Fourth Edition.
performance. We did find an association between prenatal T exposure and comorbid DBD (ODD or conduct disorder) in our sample overall, but there was no evidence for an association between 2D/4D ratio and DBD scores for either sex. Among girls with ADHD, a higher level of salivary T was associated with a better performance on the PRI in the WISC-IV and a poorer performance on the Confidence Index and Omissions Errors of the CPT. In contrast, no association between salivary T levels and clinical assessments was observed among boys with ADHD.

2D/4D ratios and ADHD

We did not find a sexual difference in 2D/4D ratios among school-age children with ADHD in our cohort. Evidence generally indicates that 2D/4D ratio of the right hand is lower in males compared with females among general population. However, the overall patterns of 2D/4D ratio may vary across age, sex, and hand. As study sample contained ADHD patients and the sample size of girls was also small, the current result may not be comparable to previous literature. In both sexes, the 2D/4D ratios were not correlated with any clinical measure or neuropsychological performance. Several researchers have reported that the 2D/4D ratio correlates inversely with ADHD symptoms in both healthy and clinical populations. However, some studies have failed to replicate such a correlation and challenge the hypothesis that fetal T exposure increases the risk of early ADHD. The inconsistency between studies might be caused by sample diversity (eg, clinical or community sample, age distribution, and specific sex). Our study sample consisted of pure ADHD patients with a mean age of 8.7±2.0 years, and these sample characteristics were similar to those of the sample enrolled by Lemiere et al; in fact, the findings in our study are generally in line with those of Lemiere et al. This phenomenon may reflect that subjects with ADHD genuinely differ from typically developed children in terms of the sex effect on this measure. In sum, we suggest that there was no significant association between 2D/4D ratio and ADHD severity among our cohort of school-age children with ADHD.

2D/4D ratios and DBD

Patients with comorbid DBD (ODD or conduct disorder) had lower 2D/4D ratios than did their counterparts without the comorbidity. There was no evidence for an association between 2D/4D ratio and ODD scores for either sex. ODD and conduct disorder, which are characterized by temper tantrums, physical aggression, and other forms of defiance or resistance to authority, are the most common psychiatric comorbidities with ADHD. Several studies have investigated the potential association between T and DBD. For example, de Bruin et al observed that males with autistic spectrum disorders and those with ADHD or ODD had lower 2D/4D ratios than did males with an anxiety disorder. Roberts and Martel reported that there were no significant associations between right-hand 2D/4D ratios and ODD or total symptoms of DBD. Subsequently, Martel and Roberts demonstrated that high prenatal T exposure increases the risk of DBD symptoms in males who are susceptible to prenatal environmental stressors (eg, prenatal alcohol exposure). Prenatal T could influence the development of neural circuits that regulate affect and impulse control. Taken together with our results, we suggest that these findings support the hypothesis that excessive prenatal T exposure may result in a high risk of developing DBD among school-age children with ADHD.

Salivary testosterone levels and ADHD

We found that salivary T levels were positively correlated with age, height, body weight, and BMI in boys, but this phenomenon was not observed in girls. The result herein is inconsistent with the findings reported by Clifton et al, in which salivary T levels are negatively correlated with BMI among British men (ages between 18 and 74 years and BMI ≥18.5). We suggest that, different from the physiological effect in adult, peripheral T levels may be associated with physical development in male youths. Furthermore, our results show that, among ADHD patients, current salivary T levels are not significantly correlated with their behavioral symptoms and comorbidities. Some researchers have revealed a significant positive relationship between T levels (either plasma or salivary) and the tendency toward DBD. Dorn et al did not find such a relationship, which is generally comparable with the findings in our study. The possible explanation is that T has been implicated in dominant behavior and muscular physiology change but does not necessarily cause aggression or externalized problem behaviors. The findings in this study do not support the assumption that current T levels influence ADHD behavior.

Salivary testosterone levels and neurocognitive functions

Among the boys with ADHD, salivary T levels were not significantly correlated with neurocognitive functions. In contrast, among the girls in our cohort, salivary T levels seem to be beneficial for the PRI performance in the WISC-IV and may be detrimental for attention measured by the CPT.
Bjork et al reported a positive relationship between T and impaired impulse control assessed using CPT among healthy women. In general, the CPT has been considered to be advantageous compared with other ADHD behavioral rating scales because it eliminates rater bias. We assume that CPT may be more sensitive for detecting impaired attention than the scores of the SNAP-IV. We found that salivary T levels in girls were lower than those in boys. Girls with ADHD possibly were more susceptible to endogenous T exposure, which further influenced their neuropsychological performance.

The PRI in the WISC-IV mainly assesses nonverbal reasoning abilities and problem-solving skills. Some previous studies have investigated the potential influence of T on human cognitive function, but with contradictory results. Puts et al indicated that salivary T levels do not play a role in sex differences in spatial ability among young men and women. Shangguan and Shi suggested that the relationship between salivary T level and fluid intelligence varies based on participants’ age. In prepubertal children, a significant association with salivary T levels and IQ was observed among boys, but not among girls. A brain imaging study revealed a positive association between T levels and activation of certain brain areas, including the inferior frontal lobe, the inferior parietal lobe, and the ventromedial prefrontal cortex. These brain areas manage nonverbal reasoning and visuospatial ability, which are considered superior in males relative to females. On the basis of these findings and our results, we suggest that the current T level may exert its neurodevelopmental effects and modify cognitive patterns, particularly among girls with ADHD.

Limitations
This study has several limitations: first, this study lacked a control group. Therefore, we could not compare the pre- or postnatal T levels among ADHD patients to those of healthy control subjects. Second, this study was performed with a cross-sectional design. The causal relationships between T exposure, DBD, and neurocognitive deficits remain unclear. In addition, this study used salivary T as a surrogate marker of postnatal T exposure. The mechanisms by which postnatal T exposure during patients’ early childhood influences their current ADHD characteristics is unknown, and peripheral salivary T levels may not necessarily represent the T exposure in the central nervous system. Third, the sample size of the girls with ADHD was relatively small compared with the sample size of boys, which reduces the statistical power to detect potential correlations of T levels with clinical assessments. Fourth, because the analyses in this study were exploratory, correction of multiple testing was not performed. However, if Bonferroni correction was performed to adjust for multiple testing in the correlation matrix (Table 3), none of the significant findings would survive. Therefore, the findings in this study may suggest that only a subtle relationship exists between T and ADHD manifestations. Moreover, we collected only one saliva sample for each subject, lacking duplicates to perform further analysis. It is possible that the variations of T levels in saliva are massive and our result should be interpreted with caution. Finally, some important factors (eg, personality traits or prenatal alcohol exposure) have been reported to mediate relationships between T and ADHD psychopathology. These factors were not evaluated in this study.

Conclusion
We found that a higher level of prenatal T exposure (lower 2D/4D ratio) is associated with comorbid ODD or conduct disorder among patients with ADHD. Among boys with ADHD, neither prenatal T exposure nor current T levels correlated with ADHD clinical symptoms and neuropsychological performance. Among girls with ADHD, the current T level may exert a beneficial effect on nonverbal reasoning and visuospatial ability measured by the WISC-IV, but elevated T levels have a detrimental effect on attention function measured by the CPT. Further studies are warranted to clarify the neurobiological mechanisms underlying T’s involvement in the pathogenesis of ADHD.
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