Universality of pseudogap and emergent order in lightly doped Mott insulators
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It is widely believed that high-temperature superconductivity in the cuprates emerges from doped Mott insulators. When extra carriers are inserted into the parent state, the electrons become mobile but the strong correlations from the Mott state are thought to survive—inhomogeneous electronic order, a mysterious pseudogap and, eventually, superconductivity appear. How the insertion of dopant atoms drives this evolution is not known, nor is whether these phenomena are mere distractions specific to hole-doped cuprates or represent genuine physics of doped Mott insulators. Here we visualize the evolution of the electronic states of (Sr1−xLa2x)IrO4, which is an effective spin-1/2 Mott insulator like the cuprates, but is chemically radically different. Using spectroscopic-imaging scanning tunnelling microscopy (SI-STM), we find that for a doping concentration of \( x \approx 5\% \), an inhomogeneous, phase-separated state emerges, with the nucleation of pseudogap puddles around clusters of dopant atoms. Within these puddles, we observe the same iconic electronic order that is seen in underdoped cuprates. We investigate the genesis of this state and find evidence at low doping for deeply trapped carriers, leading to fully gapped spectra, which abruptly collapse at a threshold of \( x \approx 4\% \). Our results clarify the melting of the Mott state, and establish phase separation and electronic order as generic features of doped Mott insulators.

A core mystery of condensed matter physics is how the rigid arrangement of electrons in Mott insulators loosens when inserting electrons or holes, and how this leads to exotic states inside the Mott gap (Fig. 1). In the cuprate high-temperature superconductors, this process might be the cause of their poorly understood, remarkably complex behaviour. Most prominent is the formation of a pseudogap and a variety of inhomogeneous electronic orders, sometimes described as intertwined. This phenomenology has often been assumed (but not verified) to be generic of melting spin-1/2 Mott physics and not just specific to cuprates. In this Letter we show that an inhomogeneous electronic phase separation as well as a local glassy, stripy charge order exist in a chemically completely different two-dimensional Mott insulator, revealing an universality of these emergent phenomena.

To this end, we create (Sr1−xLa2x)IrO4 samples with a range of different lanthanum doping concentrations \( x, 0 < x < 6\% \) (see Methods and Supplementary Section 1). This material can be seen as a quasi-two-dimensional, electron-doped Mott insulator similar to the cuprates despite a very different chemical make-up. The \( x = 0 \) parent material consists of alternating IrO2 and SrO planes, such that oxygen octahedra form around each iridium atom. The five valence electrons in the outer Ir 5d shell are split by crystal field and strong spin–orbit coupling to form a filled \( J_{\text{eff}}(3/2) \) and a half filled \( J_{\text{eff}}(1/2) \) band. The moderate on-site repulsion \( U \) is then sufficient to open a Mott gap in the \( J_{\text{eff}}(1/2) \) band, making SrIrO3 an effective spin-1/2
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Figure 1 | The lightly doped effective Mott insulator (Sr1−xLa2x)IrO4. a, In Mott insulators, the electronic states split into a lower and upper Hubbard band (LHB and UHB) separated by the Mott gap. The gap is caused by the on-site interaction \( U \) that prevents electrons from hopping from site to site with energy gain \( t \). c,d. When doping with charge carriers, new states move into the Mott gap in unknown ways. e, Atomic resolved topograph with a doping concentration 2.2% (see Supplementary Sections 2 and 3). The La\(^{3+}\) dopant atoms are readily identified as dark spots surrounded by brighter atoms (red square). f, Atomic resolved topograph with a doping concentration of 5.0%. See Supplementary Section 2 for more topographic images, and Supplementary Section 3 for information on how we count the dopant atoms with precision of \( \pm 0.7\% \).
observation, however, is the total lack of in-gap states, despite the Mott gap. We interpret these nanoscale arrangements as the first sample; the dopant atoms are marked by green dots. La dopants measured on a grid while measuring the dopant positions on the atomic scale. Each magnitude of the Mott gap as a function of location, consistent with optical measurements

The pure Mott state discussed thus far is not sustained at doping levels above ~5%. At that point, we discover an abrupt transition to a strikingly inhomogeneous electronic structure: a phase-separated Mott/pseudogap landscape. Some regions still exhibit a pure Mott gap; in contrast to the very low doping samples, now the Fermi level is pinned closer to the bottom of the upper Hubbard band, (blue curve in Fig. 3a), as expected for a Mott insulator doped with free carriers (similar to electron doped-bi-layer iridate and opposite to hole-doped cuprates). Additionally, there are regions where we measure electronic states inside the Mott gap (red curve in Fig. 3a). Here, the spectra are remarkably similar to the pseudogap in the cuprates, with a gap value of around 70–300 meV, in rough agreement with recent photoemission measurements that extracted the leading edge gap, and with some spectra showing clear ‘coherence peaks’ (Fig. 3d). We will refer to these regions as ‘pseudogap puddles’. They are not randomly distributed, but form around regions with clusters of dopant atoms. Importantly, we do not observe pseudogap puddles in lightly doped samples, even if a few dopants happen to be close together by chance; a certain threshold in the doping level is needed for the transition to occur.
To further analyse this phase-separated landscape, it is necessary to establish the spatial distribution of the Mott/pseudogap character. To do so, we introduce a ‘Mott parameter’ $M(r)$ by integrating the density of states inside the putative Mott gap and normalize it by the integrated density of states outside the gap, $M(r) = \int_{-\infty}^r LDOS(E) \, dE / \int_{-\infty}^\infty LDOS(E) \, dE$. This parameter is large when there are states inside the gap, and small when the Mott gap is dominating. Plotting $M(r)$ as a function of the spatial coordinates reveals the nanoscale character of the phase separation, with pseudogap puddles within regions of pure Mott gap. The phase separation is well defined and sharp, in the sense that the transition from pure Mott area to a pseudogap puddle occurs within less than a nanometre (Fig. 3d). This allows us to define a threshold for Mott and pseudogap regions (black contour in Fig. 3c).

We then develop a fitting procedure that is able to fit spectra both in the Mott regions and in the pseudogap puddles. The fitting function includes a phenomenological Mott gap, and additional density of states within that is gapped by a phenomenological pseudogap based on photoemission results$^{23,24}$ and commonly used in the cuprates (Fig. 3b and Methods). This function allows one to simultaneously extract both the pseudogap $\Delta_{PG}$ (Fig. 3e) and the Mott gap $\Delta_{Mott}$ (Supplementary Section 7) for $\sim 10^8$ spectra located in the pseudogap puddles and to calculate the correlations between the two gaps. If the magnetic correlations $J$ in the $t-J$ model are directly causing order that manifests the pseudogap, one could expect an anti-correlation between $\Delta_{PG}$ and $\Delta_{Mott}$, as $J \sim t^2/U$. Intriguingly, within the puddles, our data show a clear positive correlation of 0.31—that is, the larger the Mott gap, the larger the pseudogap (Fig. 3e, inset). This is evidence that pseudogap and Mott puddles are intimately linked$^{25,26}$, but suggests that it is not simply the magnetic correlations that cause the pseudogap.

To further test if the cuprate phenomenology is universal to lightly doped Mott insulators, we search for ordered phases on our samples. In the cuprates, it has become very clear that a sizable magnetic correlation of 0.31 that is, the larger the Mott gap, the larger the pseudogap (Fig. 3e, inset). This is evidence that pseudogap and Mott puddles are intimately linked$^{25,26}$, but suggests that it is not simply the magnetic correlations that cause the pseudogap.

Next, we want to elucidate how this inhomogeneous, charge-ordered pseudogap state emerges from the fully gapped state at low doping, by using the unique availability of samples with densely spaced doping concentrations in the iridate family. We measure one or more spectroscopic-imaging maps with $>10^5$ data-points at each doping concentration, and we analyse each using the methods described above. Figure 5 summarizes the results, illustrating the abrupt nature of the transition. Figure 5a shows the evolution of the averaged spectra in the regions with pseudogap (red) and in those without (blue). Fig. 5b–g shows the phase separation on the respective field of views as defined by the Mott parameter defined above. At doping concentration below the transition threshold, none of the spectra exhibit any sort of impurity state. Nor is the chemical potential pinned to one of the edges of the Mott gap, as one would expect from a Mott insulator with free carriers from shallow dopant centres. Combined with the fact that the phenomenology of the electronic structure is surprisingly independent of the doping concentration below $\sim 4\%$, this leads to the question: Where did all the dopant electrons go? We propose the scenario illustrated in Fig. 5h. Tightly bound dopant electrons at the dopant locations lead to a putative dopant band (impurity band in semiconductor parlance) inside the gap which keeps the chemical potential near mid-gap. Because the extra electrons from the La$^{3+}$ dopants reside in the upper Hubbard band in the IrO layer, they experience the strong Mott correlations. Consequently, the charging energy $E = e^2/\mathcal{C}$ to remove or add electrons (or holes) to the [La$^{3+}$ + e$^-$/La$^{3+}$] bound state is large enough to split the dopant band and push it outside the Mott gap (Fig. 5h). An equivalent way of describing this, going back to N.F. Mott, is that there is a Mott transition in the dopant band$^{29}$. With increasing doping, screening of the long-range Coulomb interaction by doped carriers leads to an abrupt collapse of the impurity Mott state at doping concentrations lower than one would expect in a static picture. In the cuprates, similar microscopic processes have initially been proposed, but the Mott state is much more fragile: even weak doping of around 2% can destroy the logarithmic divergence in the resistance$^{30}$. This is due to the much smaller energy scales of the trapping in the cuprates; below the transitions, the material behaves similarly to a doped semiconductor, with an impurity band close to the energy of the valence band$^{31}$. This is consistent with the later observation that the dopant centres are fairly shallow$^4$. On the basis of our results, we predict that LDA+$U$ calculations$^{32,33}$ on doped iridates will reveal the trapping of La dopant states to be much deeper than the equivalent states in the cuprates, and that samples with greater homogeneity will reveal a very sharp impurity band metal–insulator transition.
Figure 5 | The evolution of the electronic structure with increasing dopant atom concentration. a, Density of states spectra at different doping levels, each corresponding to the Mott parameter panels b–g, directly on the right. Each set of spectra is averaged over regions with Mott gap (blue) and pseudogap (red) as defined by the Mott parameter in b–g. The spectra from top to bottom with decreasing doping correspond to the respective panels b–g. At around 5%, the phase separation abruptly starts. b–g, Respective maps of the Mott parameter, where blue indicates a pure Mott gap, and red indicates a pseudogap puddle. Increasing doping leads to smaller pure Mott areas. h, Schematic image of an impurity Mott transition, with the split impurity band states, (not) including the energy splitting $\Delta_{\text{charging}}$, in (light) green.

Finally, we would like to go back to the comparison between the electron-doped iridate material studied here and the cuprates. Detailed measurements on the cuprates, for example, Ca$_{2-y}$Na$_y$CuO$_2$Cl$_2$ and Bi$_2$Sr$_2$CaCu$_2$O$_{8+\delta}$, revealed surprising universalities, including the glassy charge order observed in the CuO layer. At first glance, (Sr$_{1−x}$La$_x$)$_2$IrO$_2$ seems to be a very different beast: electron instead of hole doping, Ir instead of Cu, 5$d^5$ instead of 3$d^2$. However, our data clearly show that the physics of electronic order and the pseudogap are not specific to the cuprates but generic to lightly doped Mott insulators, and we believe that the interplay between dopants and order seen here holds for the cuprates as well. By extension, we can expect (Sr$_{1−x}$La$_x$)$_2$IrO$_2$ to become a high-temperature superconductor with only a slightly higher doping concentration.

Methods

Methods, including statements of data availability and any associated accession codes and references, are available in the online version of this paper.
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Methods
Experimental set-up. The STM experiments are performed with a modified, low-temperature, ultrahigh vacuum STM system from Unisoku. The (Sr$_{1-x}$La$_x$)$_2$IrO$_4$ samples are cleaved in situ at temperature $T \approx 20\,\text{K}$ and base pressure $p = 2 \times 10^{-10}\,\text{mbar}$, and then transferred immediately into the STM sample stage. All STM results reported in this paper are acquired in cryogenic vacuum at temperatures of either $T \approx 2\,\text{K}$ or $T \approx 7\,\text{K}$ (no significant difference in our measurements has been observed between these temperatures). The STM topographs are taken in the constant current mode, and the $dI/dV$ spectra are collected using a standard lock-in technique with modulation frequency $f = 857\,\text{Hz}$. Importantly, we set each spectrum up at $E_{\text{bias}}$ and $V_{\text{set}}$, and then sweep all the voltages. We use mechanically ground PtIr tips for all the measurements. We always test the spectroscopic and topographic properties of the tips on a crystalline Au(111) surface prepared in situ by Ar ion sputtering and temperature annealing before measuring (Sr$_{1-x}$La$_x$)$_2$IrO$_4$.

The topographs in Fig. 1e,f are set up at $-1.2\,\text{V},\,200\,\text{pA}$. The map in Fig. 2 is set up at 1.2 V, 500 pA. The map in Figs 3 and 4 is set up at 460 mV, 300 pA. The maps in Fig. 5 are set up as follows: Fig. 5b: 460 mV, 300 pA; Fig. 5c: $-0.4\,\text{V},\,250\,\text{pA}$; Fig. 5d: $-1.2\,\text{V},\,700\,\text{pA}$; Fig. 5e: 0.9 V, 220 pA; Fig. 5f: 1.2 V, 250 pA; Fig. 5g: 1.2 V, 250 pA.

(Sr$_{1-x}$La$_x$)$_2$IrO$_4$ samples. As described in ref. 25, the (Sr$_{1-x}$La$_x$)$_2$IrO$_4$ single crystals are flux grown from a mixture of off-stoichiometric quantities of IrO$_2$, La$_2$O$_3$ and SrCO$_3$ in an anhydrous SrCl$_2$ flux. The mixture is heated to 1,245 °C for 12 h and cooled at a rate of 8 °C/h to 1,100 °C before quenching to room temperature. The resulting crystals are mechanically separated from the flux by washing with water. Resistivity curves at different doping concentrations $x$ (as determined by energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX)) are shown in Supplementary Section 1.

Extraction of $\Delta_{\text{stat}}$ and $\Delta_{\text{an}}$. To fit the vastly different spectra both in the Mott region and in the pseudogap puddles, we develop a fitting procedure as follows. We start with a smooth polynomial background density of states $\text{DOS}_{\text{BG}}(E) = AE^2 + C$, where $E$ is the energy, and $A$ and $C$ are fitting parameters. Next, we multiply it by a phenomenological Mott gap $\Delta_{\text{Mott}}$ consisting of two slightly broadened gap edges, asymmetric around the chemical potential:

$$\text{DOS}_{\text{stat}}(E) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{(-E - E_0)/w}} - \frac{1}{1 + e^{(-E + E_0 - \Delta_{\text{an}})/w}}.$$ 

The gap edges are broadened by $w$, $E_0$ is the energy where the upper Hubbard band roughly pins to the chemical potential and $\Delta_{\text{an}}$ is the size of the Mott gap. We keep the first two parameters fixed ($w = 0.026\,\text{eV}, E_0 = 0.1\,\text{eV}$), while the size of the Mott gap $\Delta_{\text{Mott}}$ is used as a fitting parameter. We then allow for states inside the Mott gap that are gapped by introducing a phenomenological function based on photoemission results$^{25,26}$ and commonly used in the cuprates$^{7,27}$. This part allows the extraction of the pseudogap value $\Delta_{\text{PG}}$.

$$\text{DOS}_{\text{PG}}(E) = C_0 \left| \frac{E + i\alpha \sqrt{E}}{\sqrt{(E + i\sqrt{E})^2 - \Delta_{\text{PG}}^2}} \right|^2.$$ 

This function contains two fitting parameters: a scaling factor $C_0$ and the size of the pseudogap $\Delta_{\text{PG}}$. We keep $\alpha$, an effective scattering rate, fixed to 0.2 eV$^{-1}$. The square root in the imaginary part of the self-energy is selected to ensure a rather constant broadening independent of the gap. Albeit DOS$_{\text{PG}}(E)$ is inspired by recent photoemission results and experiences from the cuprates$^{25,26}$, we emphasize that our motivation was to extract the key physical quantities with few fitting parameters. We expect future theoretical progress to yield even better, less phenomenological, fit functions.

At each point of the spectroscopy map the data is fitted with the constructed fit function, using the least squares method. The initial guess is provided by fitting the average of all spectra in the data set. Since in the high-doping regime the upper edge of the Mott gap is pinned to the chemical potential, and because in that location pseudogap and Mott gap overlap, we fit the model to the data only for negative energies. The resulting model is an excellent fit to all the spectra measured on the $x > 4\%$ samples, as shown in the Supplementary Section 6.

Data availability. The data that support the plots within this paper and other findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.