Survey of integrative lumbar spinal stenosis treatment in Korean medicine doctors: preliminary data for clinical practice guidelines
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Abstract

Background: Considering that large variations exist amongst practitioners in lumbar disorder management and the significant costs that lumbar disorders incur, determining clinical practice patterns to provide preliminary data for standardization should be given higher priority. Lumbar spinal stenosis (LSS) is commonly treated using integrative non-surgical methods by Korean medicine doctors (KMDs) in Korea, and this is the first study to assess current Korean medicine practice trends for LSS.

Methods: A survey on KMD diagnosis, treatment, prognosis and decision-making in LSS treatment was developed in a 3-step procedure of preliminary drafting, revision based on extramural expert opinion, and final editing. The survey was conducted at the internal conference of a spine-specialty Korean medicine hospital on January 25th, 2015.

Results: The response rate was high at 79.19% (\(n = 118/149\)). Participants replied that they treated 7.3 ± 6.8 LSS patients/day using a multimodal treatment method consisting of acupuncture, pharmacopuncture, herbal medicine, Chuna manipulation, and electroacupuncture. Acupuncture mainly used Ashi points and MSAT, and pharmacopuncture mainly Shinbaro solution. The most frequently prescribed herbal medicine was Chungpa-jun, and the most commonly applied Chuna techniques were sidelying lumbar extension dysfunction correction technique, and prone lumbosacral joint distraction method. Radiological findings were mainly referred to for diagnosis, and clinical symptoms, age, radiological findings, and medical history were regarded to be important for prognosis. Participants replied that 7.8 ± 3.3 weeks were required for 50% reduction in pain, and 16.1 ± 7.7 weeks for 80% reduction.

Conclusions: These results suggest that KMDs in Korea combine a conventional approach to LSS and a Korean medicine approach to low back pain for integration of empirical- and evidence-based diagnosis and treatment. The findings may contribute in bridging the divide between evidence and clinical practice guidelines for Korean medicine treatment of LSS and real-world clinical practice in future research.
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Background
Degenerative lumbar spinal stenosis (LSS) is a condition where the space for neurological and vascular structures is diminished secondary to degenerative changes of the lumbar spinal canal [1]. Prevalence estimates of relative LSS (≤12 mm) have been put at 23.6% in a community-based sample, and were highest in the 60–69 age group at 47.2% [2]. Quality of life is lower in LSS patients, which persists after surgery, stressing the importance of effective LSS treatment and management [3]. A surgical approach is commonly taken to LSS upon failure of conservative treatment, and the number of U.S. patients referred to lumbar fusion surgery has risen steeply [4]. Although the main body of evidence indicates that surgery is more effective than conservative treatment for certain outcomes (e.g. leg pain, disability) or at certain timepoints [5, 6], LSS patient samples and contents of nonsurgical treatment have been shown to be highly discrepant [7]. Also, a few studies have presented inconsistent outcomes with some reporting that surgical and conservative treatment beget similar results [8], while others have suggested that surgery prognosis may not be favorable in older patients [9, 10].

Conventional nonsurgical treatment generally refers to epidural injections, oral medication, and physical and manual therapy. However, current evidence for nonoperative LSS care is of low and very low quality with the exception of epidural injections [1], and is consequently not included in clinical practice recommendations or guidelines [7]. A recent systematic review concluded that level II evidence is available for long-term efficacy of caudal and interlaminar epidural injections, and level III for short-term improvement in transfemoral epidural injections [11].

A dual medical system of conventional medicine and Korean medicine is employed in Korea, and many patients seek Korean medicine for musculoskeletal disorder treatment. Various multimodal Korean medicine treatments including acupuncture, herbal medicine, Chuna manipulation, and pharmacopuncture are used for LSS treatment, and the treatment results of nonoperative Korean medicine combination therapy have been reported in a recent case series [12]. However, apart from a handful of retrospective studies and pilot trials which are mainly reports on specialized acupuncture techniques and multimodal Korean medicine treatments covering acupuncture, pharmacopuncture, Chuna manual therapy, herbal medicine, and education [12–14], current evidence on Korean medicine treatment for LSS is found largely lacking. Recently, more high-quality studies are being conducted [15], but evidence gaps still exist which conflict with its widespread clinical use in Korea. Moreover, further difficulties lie in performing current Korean medicine usage reports for LSS in that with the exception of acupuncture and electroacupuncture, many Korean medicine treatments are paid entirely out-of-pocket, and accurately assessing and monitoring current practice and usage patterns are therefore highly difficult. There are also no previous reports regarding the effects of or treatment duration needed to achieve improvement in integrative Korean medicine treatment for LSS. Moreover, integrative Korean medicine treatment for LSS differs from other conservative treatment modalities in numerous aspects, and these differences are also likely to be reflected in outcomes. Clinical studies observing real-world practice patterns have a higher probability to be successfully disseminated and implemented through clinical practice guidelines, and the current study was designed to outline Korean medicine practice patterns of LSS treatment to this aim. The survey was conducted in Korean medicine doctors (KMDs) specializing in spinal disorder treatment, the majority of whom were employed at a spine-specialty Korean medicine hospital. A specialty hospital refers to a hospital certified by the Minister of Health & Welfare to provide highly advanced, skilled medical care for a specific disease or specialty (Korea Medical law act 3, clause 5). This spine-specialty hospital was considered an appropriate study setting as it treats 90,000 spinal disorder patients annually.

This study holds significance as the first study to comprehensively report on clinical practice patterns and expert opinion of KMDs specializing in LSS treatment. The survey contents deal with how LSS is currently managed with Korean medicine along with details of diagnosis, treatment, prognosis and decision-making. These results are hoped to be of use to clinicians and researchers as preliminary data in designing clinical studies and establishing the foundation for clinical practice guidelines on LSS.

Methods
Questionnaire development
The questionnaire was developed in a 3-step procedure of preliminary drafting, revision based on extramural expert opinion, and final editing. Questionnaires on intervertebral disc displacement (IDD) and LSS were developed together, and the IDD survey results have been previously published in this journal. Further details of questionnaire development can be found in this article [16].

Questionnaire distribution
The survey was conducted on January 25th, 2015 at a monthly internal conference held at a spine-specialty Korean medicine hospital. Sixty minutes were allocated for questionnaire completion, and inclusion was limited
to KMDs currently engaging in outpatient practice. A researcher gave an explanation of the survey method to participants prior to filling out the questionnaire to minimize confusion. Absentees who had informed the board of their absence in advance were delivered the questionnaires through coworkers and asked to return the completed questionnaires by mail. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Jaseng Hospital of Korean medicine in Korea (KNJSIRB2015–03), and informed consent was obtained without signatures to maintain anonymity. Respondents were informed of the objectives, questionnaire development procedure and survey completion method, and that personal information would be protected and that use of questionnaire results would be limited to academic means (See Additional file 1 for the final version of the questionnaire (translated from Korean)).

Data entry
The data entry format was created by a designated statistician (KBP) using Microsoft Office Excel version 14.0 (Microsoft®, Redmond, WA, USA), and 2 trained researchers who did not participate in survey preparation or completion (JHL2, WKK) were assigned with electronic data entry. Any missing or ambiguous data were marked with color tabs, and the statistician (KBP), who did not participate in data entry, inspected all entries upon initial entry for verification and to check for any errors in conversion. Free responses of which the meaning was unclear from use of medical terminology or ambiguous terms were marked with different color tabs and delivered to a KMD (YSS) for resolution. Single answer selection items marked with multiple responses were processed as missing data.

Data analysis
In descriptive statistics, continuous variables were presented as mean ± SD, and categorical data as frequency (%). Responses to Likert scales were quantified as continuous variables. The data allows for overlapping as most categorical data was collected with multiple response items, and participants were asked to rank items in the order of highest importance. PASW Statistics 18.0 (IBM Corporation, NY, USA) was used for all data analyses.

Results
Demographic characteristics of participant KMDs
A total 79.19% (n = 118/149) of the target population of KMDs listed to attend the conference responded, but 117 questionnaires were included in the final analysis as one respondent appeared to have misunderstood the whole questionnaire and was excluded from analysis. The KMDs participating in the survey were all male, and an average 38.6 ± 6.2 years. Average clinical experience was 12.1 ± 5.5 years, including 31 KMDs with ≥15 years’ experience. The highest level of education was Bachelor’s degree in 24.5%, Master’s in 35.8%, and Ph.D. in 39.8%. Regarding medical specialty, 76.4% were certified specialists, of whom 31.9% had specialized in Korean medicine rehabilitation, 28.7% in acupuncture and moxibustion, and 25.5% in Korean internal medicine. Korean medicine rehabilitation, and acupuncture and moxibustion are the two departments that treat the most spinal disorder patients in Korean medicine, and it is worth note that the majority of survey respondents came under those categories (Table 1).

| Factors                                      | mean ± SD/n (%) |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Age (years)                                  | 38.6 ± 6.2      |
| 30–39                                        | 85 (69.7)       |
| 40–49                                        | 27 (22.1)       |
| ≥ 50                                         | 10 (8.2)        |
| Gender                                       |                 |
| Male                                         | 123 (100)       |
| Female                                       | 0 (0)           |
| Clinical experience (years)                  | 12.1 ± 5.5      |
| 5 ≤ <10                                      | 44              |
| 10 ≤ <15                                     | 48              |
| 15 ≤ <20                                     | 19              |
| ≥ 20                                         | 12              |
| Level of healthcare facility of currently employed institution* |     |
| Primary                                      | 39 (32)         |
| Secondary                                    | 83 (68)         |
| Highest academic degree                      |                 |
| Bachelor’s                                   | 30 (24.4)       |
| Master’s                                     | 44 (35.8)       |
| Ph. D.                                       | 49 (39.8)       |
| Specialist training                          |                 |
| Yes (specialist)                             | 94 (76.4)       |
| No                                           | 29 (23.6)       |
| Name of academic society in specialty for specialist training (if applicable) |     |
| The Society of Korean Medicine Rehabilitation | 30 (31.9)       |
| Korean Acupuncture and Moxibustion           | 27 (28.7)       |
| Medicine Society                             |                 |
| The Society of Internal Korean Medicine      | 24 (25.5)       |
| Other                                        | 13 (13.8)       |

*Primary medical institutions operate <30 beds for inpatient care Secondary medical institutions operate 30≤ and <500 beds for inpatient care, and at least 4 outpatient departments including medical specialties
Clinical practice patterns of LSS

Surveyees replied that they treated 7.3 ± 6.8 LSS patients/day, and treatment usage rates were 96.7% for acupuncture, 94.3% for pharmacopuncture, 93.5% for herbal medicine, and 91.1% for Chuna manipulation, respectively, showing that in most cases an integrative multimodal treatment of acupuncture, pharmacopuncture, herbal medicine, and Chuna manipulation was administered. KMDs anticipated that 7.8 ± 3.3 weeks of treatment were needed for 50% decrease in pain, and 16.1 ± 7.7 weeks for 80% decrease (Table 2).

Regarding the effects of individual interventions, bee venom pharmacopuncture was considered most significant in the short-term (≤8 weeks), followed by herbal medicine, pharmacopuncture, acupuncture, and Chuna manipulation, and herbal medicine was perceived to be the most significant in the long-term (1 year), followed by bee venom pharmacopuncture, pharmacopuncture, acupuncture, and Chuna manipulation (Table 3), indicating that KMDs regarded herbal medicine to be of greater importance in the long-term.

Diagnosis and prognosis determination of LSS

MRIs, X-rays, CTs, C-reactive protein (CRP), and digital infrared thermal imaging (DITI) were most frequently referred to for LSS diagnosis, and MRIs were reported to be used 98.4%, X-rays 94.3%, CTs 66.7%, CRP 9.8%, and DITIs 6.5%, revealing a strong preference for radiological findings. The factors rated to be most important in MRI readings were: the degree of nerve compression, spinal canal diameter, correlation between the level of disc dysfunction on MRI and clinical symptoms, and the degree of vertebral body or joint degeneration. DITI is a medical device that measures body temperature using digital infrared cameras, and is used as a means to quantify thermal asymmetry in low back pain (LBP) and sciatica [17]. The most frequently used physical examinations were the straight leg raise (SLR), followed by manual muscle testing, sensory testing, and heel walk/toe walk (Table 4). The most influential factors in LSS prognosis were regarded to be clinical symptoms, age, radiological findings, medical history, and patient perception of and attitude towards LSS (Table 5).

Intervention usage for LSS

Reported use of herbal medicines in most frequently prescribed order was Chungpa-jun, Yookmijihwang-tang, Dokhwalgisaeng-tang, Hwalhyeoljitong-tang, and Ojeok-san. Even after a due consideration of multiple responses, Chungpa-jun was prescribed predominantly at 99.2%. In Chuna manipulation, sidelying lumbar extension dysfunction correction technique, prone lumbosacral joint distraction method, spine flexion distraction method: flexion shift technique, prone posteriorly rotated ilium/sidebent sacrum correction technique, sidelying lumbar ‘pitch and roll’ distraction method, prone leg raise ilium correction technique, and prone anteriorly rotated ilium correction technique were the most commonly applied techniques. Usage of these 7 techniques was all within the range of 25.2% to 36.6%, implying that various techniques are used concomitantly according to primary dysfunction and patient condition. Ashi points, Motion Style Acupuncture Treatment (MSAT), and symptomatic needling were frequently used in acupuncture, and Shinbaro2 pharmacopuncture was most frequently used (69.9%) of pharmacopuncture types, followed by Shinbaro1 (69.1%), and Shinbaro3 (48.8%). Acupoints commonly used in manual acupuncture were Hyoeopcheok (Huatuojiaji, EXB2), GB30 (環跳), Ashi, BL23 (腎俞), and BL40 (委中), and those used for pharmacopuncture were Hyoeopcheok (Huatuojiaji, EXB2), Ashi, BL23 (腎俞), and GB30 (環跳) (Table 6).

| Table 2 | Clinical practice patterns of LSS |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| Usage rate of treatment (multiple responses allowed) ( n (%)) |  |
| Acupuncture | 119 (96.7) |
| Pharmacopuncture | 116 (94.3) |
| Herbal medicine | 115 (93.5) |
| Chuna manipulation | 112 (91.1) |
| Cupping | 104 (84.6) |
| Bee venom pharmacopuncture | 96 (78.0) |
| Moxibustion | 3 (2.4) |
| Clinical practice and time-to-effect (mean ± SD) |  |
| Number of LSS outpatients consultations/day | 7.3 ± 6.8 |
| Number of treatment visits/week | 1.9 ± 0.3 |
| Average length of treatment required for 50% pain decrease (weeks) | 7.8 ± 3.3 |
| Average length of treatment required for 80% pain decrease (weeks) | 16.1 ± 7.7 |

**LSS lumbar spinal stenosis**
### Table 4: Diagnostic tools used for LSS and Korean medicine syndrome differentiation

| Factors | n (%) |
|---------|-------|
| **Tests** | |
| Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) * | 121 (98.4) |
| X-ray | 116 (94.3) |
| Computed tomography (CT) | 82 (66.7) |
| C-reactive protein (CRP) | 12 (9.8) |
| Digital infrared thermal imaging (DITI) | 8 (6.5) |
| Electromyogram | 7 (5.7) |
| Erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) | 7 (5.7) |
| **Main points of consideration in MRI reading** | |
| Degree of nerve compression * | 91 (74) |
| Diameter/area of spinal canal | 79 (64.2) |
| Correlations between level of dysfunctional disc on MRI and clinical symptoms | 66 (53.7) |
| Degree of degeneration of vertebral body and/or joints (spondylosis) | 46 (37.4) |
| Number and level of dysfunctional discs (e.g. L1/2 vs. L5/S1) | 30 (24.4) |
| Degree of intervertebral disc displacement | 28 (22.8) |
| Degree of intervertebral disc degeneration | 16 (13) |
| Vertebral alignment | 13 (10.6) |
| **Physical examination** | |
| Straight leg raise test (SLR) * | 94 (76.4) |
| Manual muscle testing (MMT) | 79 (64.2) |
| Sensory testing | 53 (43.1) |
| Heel walk/toe walk | 48 (39) |
| Valsalva test | 14 (11.4) |
| Well leg raise test | 14 (11.4) |
| Milgram’s test | 11 (8.9) |
| Laseque sign | 9 (7.3) |
| Deep tendon reflex | 9 (7.3) |
| Bragard test | 8 (6.5) |
| **Korean medicine syndrome differentiation theory** | |
| Qi and Blood diagnosis (气血辨證) * | 83 (67.5) |
| Eight principle pattern identification (八綱辨證) | 82 (66.7) |
| Meridian system diagnosis (經絡辨證) | 82 (66.7) |
| Organ system diagnosis (臟腑辨證) | 62 (50.4) |
| Six meridian diagnosis (六經辨證) | 24 (19.5) |
| Sasang constitutional medicine diagnosis (四象體質辨證) | 21 (17.1) |
| Defensive Qi and nutrient Blood diagnosis (衛氣營血辨證) | 7 (5.7) |
| **10 Types of LBP from ‘Dongeuibogam’ (東醫寶鑑)’** | |
| LBP from Kidney deficiency (腎虛腰痛) | 108 (87.8) |
| LBP from Cold pathogen (寒腰痛) | 29 (23.6) |
| LBP from Dampness pathogen (濕腰痛) | 24 (19.5) |
| LBP from Phlegm (痰飲腰痛) | 20 (16.3) |
| LBP from Blood stagnation (瘀血腰痛) | 18 (14.6) |
| LBP from Wind pathogen (風腰痛) | 11 (8.9) |
| LBP from Qi (氣腰痛) | 5 (4.1) |
| LBP from Dampness-Heat pathogen (濕熱腰痛) | 4 (3.3) |
| LBP from contusion (挫閃腰痛) | 2 (1.6) |
| LBP from retention of food (食積腰痛) | 2 (1.6) |

LSS lumbar spinal stenosis, MRI magnetic resonance imaging, LBP low back pain

*Factor most frequently ranked 1st
Table 5 Prognostic factors regarding LSS

| Prognostic factors                        | Mean ± SD |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Clinical symptoms                        | 6.2 ± 1.0 |
| Age                                       | 6.1 ± 1.1 |
| Radiological findings                    | 5.9 ± 1.1 |
| Past history (e.g., surgery, trauma)      | 5.8 ± 1.2 |
| Patient attitude toward disorder          | 5.6 ± 1.2 |
| Time elapsed since onset and cause of onset | 5.5 ± 1.3 |
| Personality and other psychological factors (e.g., depression, anxiety) | 5.3 ± 1.1 |
| Physical examination                     | 4.8 ± 1.4 |
| Comorbidities                             | 4.8 ± 1.3 |
| Korean Medicine syndrome differentiation  | 4.3 ± 1.5 |

LSS lumbar spinal stenosis

Factor most frequently ranked 1st
(Importance: 1 = not at all important, 2 = unimportant, 3 = somewhat unimportant, 4 = neither important nor unimportant, 5 = somewhat important, 6 = important, 7 = very important)

Acupuncture and pharmacopuncture usage for LSS

Items on acupuncture and pharmacopuncture usage are presented following the revised standards for reporting interventions in clinical trials of acupuncture (STRICTA) [18]. The rationale for acupuncture use was generally based on anatomical structures likely to cause symptoms, tender points, and pathological spine levels confirmed by imaging, and an average 12.5 ± 5.1 needles were inserted to a depth of 3.2 ± 1.4 cm per patient per session. Manual stimulation during acupuncture needle retention was used to the aim of de-qi (得氣) and muscle twitch response, and acupoint stimulation mainly used lifting and thrusting (提揷), holding and twisting (捻轉), and MSAT. Electroacupuncture use was reported at 91.3 ± 19.9%, showing a high usage rate, and average needle retention time was 14.2 ± 2.0 min.

Regarding the rationale for pharmacopuncture use, physical stimulation of the solution (100%), the chemical effect of the solution (97.6%), and the acupuncture effect of the pharmacopuncture needle (93.5%) were perceived to contribute to treatment effect. An average 3.1–6.1 acupoints were stimulated with a total 1.3–3.5cm³ of pharmacopuncture solution per patient per session (Table 7).

Safety

While respondents were asked to subjectively grade safety levels of individual treatment in the survey, data was not collected in reference to disorder type. The survey results of safety related items are specified in the previous publication on IDD [17].

Discussion

Numerous RCTs on the effect of acupuncture and pharmacopuncture treatment for chronic LBP have been published [19–22]. However, as multimodal treatments including various interventions such as acupuncture, pharmacopuncture, and herbal medicine are widely applied in actual practice, the clinical relevance of efficacy studies on single interventions is difficult to gauge. Also, while several studies report the effect of nonoperative integrative Korean medicine treatment for lumbar IDD [23–25], there is limited research supporting use of such corresponding treatments for LSS. A meta-analysis on acupuncture for LSS found no conclusive evidence on effectiveness and safety due to high or uncertain risk of bias [26], and though a retrospective case series on integrative Korean medicine care for LSS has been reported [12], there is a dearth of well-designed prospective studies and RCTs.

This survey study investigated use of integrative Korean medicine treatment for LSS and collected expert opinion in clinicians specializing in Korean medicine treatment of LSS. Detailed information on treatment contents and minimum duration of treatment required for favorable outcomes were collected to integrate real-world LSS practice into clinical study design and to provide preliminary data for future clinical practice guidelines. Many of the hospital sites included in this study were spine-specialty Korean medicine hospitals, and the hospital that the surveyees were affiliated at is composed of 19 hospital sites and clinics in Korea and 6 branches in the U.S. as of 2017, treating over 900,000 spinal and joint disorder cases a year with an integrative Korean medicine program mainly consisting of acupuncture, Chuna manipulation, herbal medicine, and pharmacopuncture [27]. The integrative treatment method of IDD used at this hospital has been introduced in several previous publications [23, 25], and the treatment outcomes for LBP have also been shown to be favorable [27–29]. Questionnaire contents were prepared through preliminary drafting, revision, and editing. KMDs with clinical experience participated in questionnaire compilation drawing from various references, and aimed to increase external validity and credibility through extramural expert review [16].

Respondents replied that they treated 7.3 ± 6.8 LSS patients/day on average, and that they expected 7.8 ± 6.8 treatment sessions to be needed for 50% pain reduction. Diagnostic imaging was considered most important in diagnosis, and MRIs, X-rays, and CTs were the main imaging tools of choice. Integrative care consisting of acupuncture, herbal medicine, Chuna manipulation, and pharmacopuncture was commonly administered, of which acupuncture mainly used Ashi points and MSAT, and pharmacopuncture mainly Shinbaro solution. The
Table 6 Commonly used Korean medicine treatments for LSS

| Factors | n (% ) |
|---------|--------|
| **Herbal medicine** | Chungpa-jun<sup>a</sup> 122 (99.2) |
| | Yookmijihwang-tang (六味地黃湯) 57 (46.3) |
| | Dokhwalgisaeng-tang (獨活寄生湯) 43 (35) |
| | Hwalhyeoljitong-tang (活血止痛湯) 30 (24.4) |
| | Ojeok-san (五積散) 30 (24.4) |
| | Shingi-hwan (腎氣丸) 19 (15.4) |
| | Danggwisoo-san (當歸鬚散) 13 (10.6) |
| | Jakyagkamcho-tang (芍藥甘草湯) 13 (10.6) |
| | Bojoongikgi-tang (補中益氣湯) 11 (8.9) |
| **Chuna manipulation** | Sidelying lumbar extension dysfunction correction technique 45 (36.6) |
| | Prone lumbosacral joint distraction method 41 (33.3) |
| | Spine flexion distraction method: Flexion shift technique 41 (33.3) |
| | Prone posteriorly rotated ilium/sidebent sacrum correction technique 36 (29.3) |
| | Sidelying lumbar ‘pitch and roll’ distraction method 35 (28.5) |
| | Prone leg raise ilium correction technique 32 (26) |
| | Prone anteriorly rotated ilium correction technique 31 (25.2) |
| | Prone sacrum sidebent rotation dysfunction correction technique 23 (18.7) |
| | Spine flexion distraction method: Extension technique 18 (14.6) |
| | Sidelying lumbar flexion dysfunction correction technique 13 (10.6) |
| **Style of acupuncture** | Ashi points 113 (91.9) |
| | Motion Style Acupuncture Treatment (MSAT)<sup>a</sup> 102 (82.9) |
| | Acupoints associated with symptoms (acupoints relating to specific disorder/syndromes) 91 (74) |
| | Dong-Si Acupuncture 14 (11.4) |
| **Pharmacopuncture** | Shinbaro 2<sup>a</sup> 86 (69.9) |
| | Shinbaro 1 85 (69.1) |
| | Shinbaro 3 60 (48.8) |
| | Joongseongouhyul (中性瘀血) 32 (26) |
| | Hwangryunhaedok (黃蓮解毒) 28 (22.8) |
| | Muscle relaxation 12 (9.8) |
| | Anti-inflammation 11 (8.9) |
| | Scolopendra 10 (8.1) |
| **Acupoints used for acupuncture** | Hyeopcheok (Huato Jiaji, EXB2) points 86 (69.9) |
| | GB30 (環跳) 60 (48.8) |
| | Ashi points 49 (39.8) |
| | BL23 (腎俞) 42 (34.1) |
| | BL40 (委中) 30 (24.4) |
| | BL25 (大腸俞) 23 (18.7) |
| **Acupoints used for pharmacopuncture** | Hyeopcheok (Huato Jiaji, EXB2) points 94 (76.4) |
| | Ashi points 43 (35.0) |
| | BL23 (腎俞) 35 (28.5) |
| | GB30 (環跳) 24 (19.5) |
| | BL25 (大腸俞) 21 (17.1) |
| | BL40 (委中) 4 (3.3) |

LSS lumbar spinal stenosis

<sup>a</sup>Factor most frequently ranked 1st

Lee et al. BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine (2017) 17:425
### Table 7: Acupuncture and pharmacopuncture treatment used for LSS: data compiled and structured according to STRICTA standards

| STRICTA checklist items | Acupuncture rationale | Acupuncture | | Pharmacopuncture rationale | Pharmacopuncture |
|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| **Acupuncture**         | 1a) Style of acupuncture | Refer to Table 4. | 1a) Type of pharmacopuncture | Refer to Table 4. |
|                         | 1b) Reasoning for treatment provided | Anatomical structure that is probable cause of symptoms (e.g. shortened quadratus lumborum, shortened psoas muscles)\(a\) | 1b) Reasoning for treatment provided | Physical irritation of solution (i.e. irritation of inflammation area, desensitization effect brought on through pain on injection) |
|                         |                         | Tender points, trigger points, or other points that evoke a painful response on palpation |                         | 123 (100) |
|                         |                         | Pathological spine level as confirmed through imaging (e.g. level of disc herniation) |                         | 63 (51.2) |
|                         |                         | Effective acupoints as observed through clinical experience |                         | 47 (38.2) |
|                         |                         | Ashi points (site of pain) |                         | 44 (35.8) |
|                         |                         | Acupoints following Korean medicine principle (e.g. GB30, BL40, BL57) |                         | 34 (27.6) |
|                         |                         | Academic knowledge from research articles, clinical practice guidelines |                         | 14 (11.4) |
|                         |                       | Knowledge from formal education |                         | 12 (9.8) |
| **Details of needling** | 2a) Number of needle insertions per patient per session | 12.5 ± 5.1 | 2a) Number of acupoint injections per patient per session (range) | 3.1 ~ 6.1 |
|                         | 2b) Names of acupoints used | Refer to Table 4. | 2b) Names of acupoints used | Refer to Table 4. |
|                         | 2c) Depth of insertion (cm) | 3.2 ± 1.4 | 2c) Depth of insertion (range, cm) | 1.7 ~ 3.7 |
|                         | 2d) Responses sought | De qi sensation | Muscle twitch response | 5.5 ± 1.4 |
|                         |                       | 5.0 ± 1.4 | Motion Style Acupuncture Treatment (MSAT) | 51 (41.5) |
|                         |                       | 64 (52.0) | Lifting and twisting (提揷) | 62 (50.4) |
|                         |                       | 91.3 ± 19.9 | Percentage of patients receiving electroacupuncture (%) | 14.2 ± 2.0 |
|                         |                       | 0.3 ± 0.04 | Diameter of needle (mm) | 0.3 ± 0.04 |
most frequently prescribed herbal medicine was Chungpa-jun, and the most commonly applied Chuna techniques were sidelying lumbar extension dysfunction correction technique, prone lumbosacral joint distraction method, spine flexion distraction method: flexion shift technique, and prone posteriorly rotated ilium/sidebent sacrum correction technique. Usage rates of frequently employed Chuna techniques were similar, suggesting that a range of combined variations are used with respect to patient condition and examination results. KMDs considered LSS to be most similar to LBP from Kidney deficiency of the 10 types of LBP from Cold pathogen (寒),＂Kidney deficiency and LBP from Cold pathogen (寒), which shows marked difference from that of IDD, where it was perceived to be most similar to LBP from Blood stagnation (瘀血), Phlegm (痰), and contusion (挫裂), Difference in differential pattern diagnosis also influenced herbal medicine prescription: While Chungpa-jun was regarded to be most significant in both IDD and LSS, the second and third most important prescriptions were highly disparate. A total 46.3% selected Yookmijihwang-tang in LSS, making it the second choice, and it was followed by Dokhwalgisaeng-tang, which reflects perception of LSS as a condition resembling ‘LBP from Kidney deficiency’.

To summarize, KMDs associated LSS more with LBP from Kidney deficiency and LBP from Cold pathogen (寒), gave more consideration to such factors as age and history than in IDD, and Yookmijihwang-tang, a prescription used to treat LBP from Kidney deficiency was more frequently prescribed for LSS. Furthermore, anatomical knowledge and conventional diagnostic methods were given high priority in treating LSS patients which is reflected in the focus on such structural factors most frequently ranked 1st.

| Treatment regimen | 3a) Number of sessions | Refer to Table 1. | 3a) Number of sessions | Refer to Table 1. |
|-------------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 3b) Frequency of treatment sessions (sessions/week) | 1.9 ± 0.4 | 1.9 ± 0.4 |
| 3b) Duration of treatment sessions (minutes) | 18.7 ± 11.7 | 18.7 ± 11.7 |

*Factor most frequently ranked 1st

Table 7  Acupuncture and pharmacopuncture treatment used for LSS: data compiled and structured according to STRICTA standards (Continued)

LSS lumbar spinal stenosis, STRICTA standards for reporting interventions in clinical trials of acupuncture

| Other components of treatment | 4a) Other interventions administered | Refer to Table 1. | 4a) Other interventions administered | Refer to Table 1. |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|

| Practitioner background | 5) Description of participating acupuncturists | Refer to Table 1. | 5) Description of participating acupuncturists | Refer to Table 1. |

| Treatment regimen | 3a) Number of sessions | Refer to Table 1. | 3a) Number of sessions | Refer to Table 1. |
|-------------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------|
aspects as the diameter/area of the spinal canal in diagnostic testing in light of pathological characteristics, and in higher use of motor function and sensory testing. Still, Korean medicine treatment for LSS also shared several common factors with IDD, as can be observed in the similarly high usage rate of Chungpa-jun and contents of integrative treatment. This may be due in part to the Korean medicine approach to both LSS and IDD in the wider category of LBP. In conclusion, though KMDs refer to Korean medicine diagnosis, this population had a high preference for Chungpa-jun, whose in vivo and in vitro anti-inflammatory, neuroprotective, and cartilage-protective effects and clinical effect in IDD and arthritis have been proven [25, 31–34], and which may be read in line with the emphasis placed on clinical symptoms, age, and radiological findings in LSS prognosis.

While it is general practice for KMDs to prescribe traditional Korean medicine treatments including herbal medicine based on individual constitution, overall condition, or syndrome differentiation rather than a primarily disease-focused approach [35], the majority of KMDs in this study showed high preference for Chungpa-jun, indicating otherwise. This difference is probably due to the awareness of respondents of the evidence for the anti-inflammatory, neuroprotective, and cartilage-protective effects of Chungpa-jun [25, 31–34], and it can be carefully inferred that the surveyed KMDs prescribe herbal medicine with a more evidence-based approach as opposed to an exclusively traditional, Korean medicine syndrome differentiation-based approach. In addition, although these KMDs also employed a Korean medicine approach in diagnosis as evidenced by their evaluation of the main cause of LBP as from Kidney deficiency (腎虛), they were shown to incorporate it with a contemporary conventional medicine approach towards an integrative medicine model as exemplified in the common use of MRI readings for diagnosis and Chungpa-jun for treatment. Also, regarding terminology, there is a major trend across Korean medicine treatment modalities for evidence-based integrative LSS diagnosis and treatment.

Limitations of this study include that as a survey study, it is susceptible to recall bias in contrast to clinical studies on patient populations, and treatment effects may have been overestimated in time-to-effect items as they do not consider for dissatisfied patients prematurely terminating treatment. Also, although this questionnaire was developed through extramural expert consultation and review, and the participation of various clinical specialists in an effort to increase external credibility, the questionnaire is still limited in that reliability and validity analyses were not conducted. In addition, as the survey was mainly conducted in KMDs working at spine-specialty Korean medicine hospitals and specializing in spinal disorders, the results cannot be taken to represent the whole KMD population. Especially as all survey respondents were male KMDs, the findings are limited in that they do not reflect the opinion of the 14.4% females of the total KMD demographic. In addition, while 69.7% of respondents were in their 30s, only an estimated 36.3% are in their 30s out of total KMDs, and these results cannot be taken to be representative of the general KMD population [36]. Still, the KMDs surveyed in our study responded that they treated 16.1 ± 7.2 IDD patients and 7.3 ± 6.8 LSS patients per day, suggesting that these findings depict current clinical practice patterns of physicians treating a sizable patient pool. The questionnaire was developed and outcomes were analyzed in tandem with extramural guidance, and the most significant strength of this study is that it is the first detailed report of Korean medicine practice patterns and Korean medicine expert opinion of LSS treatment. Future studies with revised questionnaires (reworked based on current findings) conducted in larger KMD populations are warranted for wider implication. Factors such as patient age and comorbidities may potentially influence decision making in LSS, and the authors would like to propose the use of patient case examples for more specific determination of treatment contents, duration and frequency in future survey studies. As the level of evidence is low in most treatments for LSS aside from surgery and the concern for surgical complications increases in older patient groups, the option of nonsurgical integrative Korean medicine treatment of LSS may hold more universal appeal. These study results imply that various Korean medicine treatments are widely applied for LSS. Further well-designed clinical studies on Korean medicine treatment efficacy and safety reflecting clinical practice patterns should contribute to implementation of evidence-based complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) treatment.

Conclusions
This survey study consisted of the status report and expert opinion on Korean medicine treatment of LSS in physicians specializing in LSS treatment. The survey covered various aspects of contemporary Korean medicine clinical practice including treatment duration,
diagnosis, details of acupuncture and pharmacopuncture treatment, herbal medicine, and prognosis determination. The results indicate that KMDs in Korea have combined a conventional approach to LSS using mainly conventional diagnostic devices with traditional Korean medicine treatment perspectives of LBP for a unique take on evidence-based integrative LSS diagnosis and treatment. These findings are expected to contribute to future clinical studies on Korean medicine treatment of LSS.
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