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This contribution reports 16 new thermoresponsive systems based on poly[trialkyl-(4-vinylbenzyl)ammonium] chlorides. When salts are introduced into solution of the water-soluble polymers, thermo-responsive behavior is observed. The type of transition is dependent on the length of the alkyl chain: the polymer with an ethyl chain has an upper critical solution temperature (UCST) whereas the polymers with butyl or pentyl chains have a lower critical solution temperature (LCST). The magnitude of the effect of a given salt on the solution behavior is dependent on the type of the used electrolyte. With all polymers, the strength of the effect increases in the following order: NaH2PO4 < Na2CO3 < Na2SO4 < NaCl < sodium pentanesulfonate < NaNO3 < NaSCN < lithium trifluoromethanesulfonate < lithium bis(trifluoro-methane) sulfonimide. For monovalent salts, the order follows a reversed Hofmeister series. The results can be used to design new thermo-sensitive systems by matching the alkyl content of a polycation with an effect of the small molecular salt. Nearly an unlimited number of thermoresponsive polymers can be designed following the approach introduced in this article.

Introduction

Two main types of thermoresponsive behavior of polymers in aqueous solutions exist: lower critical solution temperature (LCST) and upper critical solution temperature (UCST). Polymers with an LCST type behavior turn insoluble when the solution is heated whereas UCST polymers phase separate upon cooling. A number of neutral polymers with an LCST behavior in water have been reported. The most studied polymer with an LCST in water is poly(N-isopropyl acrylamide) (PNIPAm), although many other polymers with similar behavior are known.

Within UCST polymers, there are two main categories: polymers that have a UCST due to hydrogen bonding (HB) and ones with UCST that is based on Coulomb interactions. The HB UCST polymers are polymers with repeating units that are able to form strong hydrogen bonds with other repeating units of the polymer. When such polymers are heated above their transition temperatures, the polymer–polymer hydrogen bonds break and they are replaced by polymer–water bonds.

Examples of polymers that have an HB based UCST in water include poly(N-acryloylglycinamide) (PNAGA), copolymers of acrylamide and acrylonitrile, polymethacrylamide, and poly(N-acryloylasparaginamide).

The polymers that have a UCST based on Coulomb interactions typically contain oppositely charged groups in the same polymer chain. The polymers are insoluble at low temperatures due to electrostatic attraction between the opposite charges. The interactions can be weakened by increasing the temperature, which leads to solubilization of the polymers and thus to a UCST type cloud point (Tc). The cloud points are significantly affected by addition of salts. The presence of opposite charges can be achieved by polymerization of zwitterionic monomers.

Many strong polyelectrolytes with an LCST or a UCST type transition in water have been reported. The first published example of a strong polyanion – or any strong polyelectrolyte – displaying an LCST type behavior was poly(tetrabutyl-phosphonium styrenesulfonate) (poly([P4444][SS])). The polymer has a Tc of 57 °C as a 10 wt% aqueous solution and the corresponding monomer has an LCST as well. The cloud point of poly([P4444][SS]) is heavily dependent on polymer concentration and presence of salts. Addition of salts may increase or decrease the cloud point, depending on the type of
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salt. Other sulfonate containing polyanions with large organic cations have been observed to undergo a salt sensitive LCST as well.\textsuperscript{13,16–21} Mechanistically, the polyanions separate from the solution and get buried into the formed aggregates during the phase separation process of poly[(Pn+1S)][SS]] and similar polymers while the small molecular cations tend to stay in the periphery.\textsuperscript{14,20} If the small molecular cation is diverant, then the anionic groups are located more on the surface of the aggregates.\textsuperscript{21} Weak polyanions with carboxylic acid groups have been shown to have a UCST type transition in certain aqueous systems,\textsuperscript{22–26} but no strong homopolyanion with a UCST in water has been described in the literature so far.

The first strong polycation that was reported to have an LCST type transition in water is poly[(tributyl-4-vinylbenzylphosphonium 1-pentanesulfonate) (poly(TVBP-C5S)), which has a cloud point that is highly dependent on polymer concentration and addition of salts.\textsuperscript{27} The polymer forms particles in aqueous solution already at temperatures below the phase transition temperature and the aggregation of the particles at the cloud point is then responsible of the observed turbidity at the cloud point.\textsuperscript{28} Also, an analogous ammonium-based polycation, poly[tributyl-(4-vinylbenzyl)ammonium] undergoes an LCST type transition when it has 1-pentanesulfonate or 1-hexanesulfonate as its counterion.\textsuperscript{29} Poly[(tripentylvinylbenzylphosphonium]chloride has an LCST type cloud point in dilute NaCl solutions and the cloud point can be modified with salt concentration.\textsuperscript{29} A positively charged polypeptide with iodide as a counterion has been observed to have both LCST and UCST transitions.\textsuperscript{30} In addition, weakly cationic poly[(dialkylaminoethyl methacrylate) display a pH dependent LCST type $T_c$.\textsuperscript{31}

There are more reports about polycations that show a UCST type transition than about ones with an LCST. UCST transition for strong polycations was first shown for a series of imidazolium containing poly(vinyl ethers), which displayed salt and concentration dependent cloud points.\textsuperscript{32} All the polymers had tetrafluoroborate as their counterion. The BF$_4^–$ ion often yields polyelectrolytes that are insoluble in water at room temperature.\textsuperscript{33,34} Many other small molecular ions can be used to turn polycations insoluble in water as well.\textsuperscript{33,35,36} Polycations with such ions that have a UCST type behavior in water as it has been shown for polycations with tetrafluoroborate,\textsuperscript{30,32,37–48} bis(trifluoromethane)sulfonimide (NTf$_2$),\textsuperscript{49,50} or trifluoromethanesulfonate (OTf)\textsuperscript{49,51} as their counterions. Certain polycations have a UCST type transition with a halide,\textsuperscript{30,37,44,48,52,53} thiocyanate,\textsuperscript{44,53} or perchlorate\textsuperscript{44,53} as a counterion as well. These polycations usually have repeating units with high hydrocarbon content.

The UCST behavior can be achieved by synthesizing the polymers with the aforementioned counterions\textsuperscript{32,38–43,45–47,51} or by introducing the ions into solutions of water soluble polycations.\textsuperscript{44,49,50,53} The cloud points for cationic polymers synthesized with a hydrophobic anion have been observed to be highly concentration dependent.\textsuperscript{32,41–43,45–47} In this approach, increasing the polymer concentration naturally increases the counterion concentration as well, which may be the cause for the strong concentration dependency. When the counterion is introduced in situ, the effect of polymer concentration on the cloud point is small with low polymer concentration, but it is possible that the dependency becomes more significant with higher polymer concentrations.\textsuperscript{49,53} In both approaches, the transition temperature can be modified by introducing additional salts into the solution.\textsuperscript{30,32,37,41,43,45,49–51}

A versatile class of polyelectrolytes with thermoresponsive behavior in aqueous systems are poly[trialkyl-(4-vinylbenzyl) ammonium] based polymers. Whether they display LCST or UCST type of behavior in water is dependent on the length of the alkyl chain connected to the ammonium group. Poly[tributyl-(4-vinylbenzyl)ammonium] cation with either 1-pentane sulfonate or 1-hexane sulfonate as an anion has an LCST type transition\textsuperscript{28} whereas poly[trimethyl-(4-vinylbenzyl)ammonium trifluoromethanesulfonate] has a UCST in LiOTf solutions.\textsuperscript{51} It has been shown that a polycation may have a UCST type phase transition in the presence added salts,\textsuperscript{44,49,50,53} but no LCST transition induced in this way has been reported. The purpose of this work is to study the possibilities of inducing an LCST and to study the effect of the alkyl chain length along with the quality and quantity of added salts on the phase transition of poly[trialkyl(4-vinylbenzyl)ammonium] salts.

## Results and discussion

### Polymer synthesis

A series of trialkyl(4-vinylbenzyl)ammonium chloride monomers with three identical $n$-alkyl chains, with the chain length varying from ethyl to hexyl, were synthesized. All the synthetic and analytical details are reported in the associated ESI.\textsuperscript{†} The monomers were polymerized to the corresponding poly[(trialkyl (4-vinylbenzyl)ammonium] chlorides using RAFT polymerization technique\textsuperscript{54} with 2-cyano-2-propyl benzoditionate as the chain transfer agent (CTA) and AIBN as the initiator. RAFT was used as a polymerization method in order to achieve high monomer conversions and thus obtain more material for the solution property studies.

The monomers are abbreviated as C$_p$N ($p$ = 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6) where $p$ denotes the length of the $n$-alkyl chain, e.g. C4N refers to tributyl(4-vinylbenzyl)ammonium chloride. The corresponding polymers are analogously named as PC$_p$Ns. The synthesis and chemical structures of the studied compounds are illustrated in Scheme 1 and described more in detail in the ESI.\textsuperscript{†} NMR spectroscopy was utilized to verify the structure and purity of the polymers. As an example, the NMR spectrum of PC3N and its corresponding monomer, C3N, are shown in Fig. 1. The rest of the polymer spectra are shown in the associated ESI (Fig. S1–S4).\textsuperscript{†} During the synthesis, it was noticed that PC6N is insoluble in water. As the purpose of the work was to study the aqueous solution properties of the polymers, the said polymer was not analyzed further.

Attempts to measure the molecular weight distribution of the PC$_p$N series with size exclusion chromatography were made in DMF with LiBr and in aqueous NaNO$_3$, but no data
could be obtained, presumably due to strong interactions between the polymer and the column walls. The complexity of determining the molecular weight distributions of ammonium polycations has been observed for other systems too.\textsuperscript{50,55} He \textit{et al.} showed that using organic solvents with salts is an accurate method for determining the molecular weights of water-insoluble imidazolium based polycations.\textsuperscript{56} It seems that the approach is not feasible for ammonium polycations that are soluble in water, as no polymer elution could be detected in DMF with LiBr. The method by He \textit{et al.} relies on standards that have been made by reacting a series of poly(4-vinylbenzylchlorides) with butyl imidazole to obtain polycations of known degrees of polymerization and with the same chemical structures than the samples in order to make reliable standards for the molecular weight determination; use of neutral polymers as standards would have produced unreliable results due to different hydrodynamic volumes of neutral polymers and polyelectrolytes.

Before choosing the synthetic route describe in Scheme 1, the authors tried a similar reaction between poly(4-vinylbenzylchloride) and tributylamine, as He \textit{et al.} did with butyl imidazole.\textsuperscript{56} Several attempts were made, but the conversions of the reactions were never quantitative and thus the product was never a true homopolyelectrolyte. Therefore, the authors chose the route starting from electrolyte monomers as the method for this study. The non-quantitative conversions make it infeasible to determine the molecular weights with self-synthesized polycation standards, even if a method for elution could be found. Therefore, alternative methods for molecular weight determination are required.

The NMR signals arising from the CTA are masked by the signals of the repeating units of the polymer and thus determining the molecular weight by NMR end-group analysis is not feasible. Because of this, the number average molecular weights of the polymers were estimated by measuring the absorbance of CTA at 310 nm in methanol as a function of concentration and the extinction coefficient was determined (Fig. S5†). As the monomers do not absorb at the measured wavelength (Fig. S6†), it is reasonable to assume that all absorption for the polymer solution at 310 nm arises from the CTA. The measured molecular weights along with the theoretical molecular weights are reported in Table 1. The measured molecular weights agree with the theoretical values. Calculation of molecular weight from the UV spectra is explained in more detail in the ESI.†

Using the CTA as a standard for determining molecular weight is akin to the use of NMR end group analysis as a tool in determining molecular weights. The method tends to produce values that are within 20\% from the ones obtained by NMR.\textsuperscript{57} Therefore, the reported molecular weights should be taken only as indicative, but it is safe to assume that the values are within the right range and all polymers have similar degrees of polymerization. Since only one batch of each polymer is studied and thus all the solutions of a given polymer contain the same molecular weight, possible molecular weight dependency is cancelled out. Some error may also arise from scattering of the solutions as well, but this is insignificant as the baseline is essentially at zero in the UV measurements (Fig. S6†).

**Solution properties**

The solution behavior of the synthesized polycations was studied in the presence of several salts. Lithium trifluoromethanesulfonate (LiOTf) and lithium bis(trifluoromethane) sulfonimide (LiNTf\textsubscript{2}) were chosen since several polycations have been shown to display a UCST type transition in the presence of the anions of the salts.\textsuperscript{49–51} Sodium 1-pentanesulfonate (NaPS) was used since its anion has been used for phos-
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Table 1 The studied polymers

| Polymer | $p^c$ | $[M]:[CTA]:[I]^d$ | Solvent | Conversion$^e$ (%) | $M_{n,th.}^d$ (kg mol$^{-1}$) | $M_{n, UV}^e$ (kg mol$^{-1}$) | DP$^f$ | [1 mg mL$^{-1}$]$^g$ (mM) |
|---------|------|-----------------|---------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------|-----------------|
| PC2N    | 2    | 200 : 1 : 0.1   | DMSO    | 76               | 38.8            | 34.2            | 134  | 3.95            |
| PC3N    | 3    | 201 : 1 : 0.03  | DMF     | 77               | 46.0            | 38.4            | 129  | 3.37            |
| PC4N    | 4    | 183 : 1 : 0.2   | DMF     | 82               | 51.1            | 61.8            | 182  | 2.96            |
| PC5N    | 5    | 197 : 1 : 0.1   | DMF     | 96               | 72.2            | 69.1            | 181  | 2.63            |

$^a$ Length of the alkyl chain. $^b$ Ratio between initial concentrations of monomer (M), chain transfer agent (CTA), and initiator (I). $^c$ Determined by NMR spectroscopy directly for the reaction mixture. $^d$ Theoretical number average molecular weight, calculated as conversion $\times [M]/[I] + M_{CTA}$. $^e$ Number average molecular weight determined by UV spectroscopy. $^f$ Degree of polymerization, calculated from $M_{n, UV}$. $^g$ The concentration of repeating units in a 1 mg mL$^{-1}$ solution of the polymer.

Phosphonium and ammonium based polycations, which have a LCST type behavior.$^{27,28}$ The polymers reported in the literature have been synthesized with the pentanesulfonate as their counterion. The aim of this study was to see how the concentration of the anion alters the phase transition temperature while keeping the polymer concentration constant. Sodium dodecanesulfonate (SDS) was utilized as a longer alkyl chain analogue for NaPS.

Since it is known that LCST behavior of PNIPAm can be influenced with anions from the Hofmeister series,$^{58-60}$ several anions from the series were used to see if the same holds for strong polycations as well. The order of strength of the LCST decreasing effect for the Hofmeister anions for PNIPAm follows the following order: CO$_3^{2-}$ > SO$_4^{2-}$ > H$_2$PO$_4^-$ > F$^-$ > Cl$^-$ > Br$^-$. The anions left from Cl$^-$ are known as kosmotropes whereas the ones on the right are referred as chaotropes. The series is based on concentration and the higher ionic strength of solutions with the divalent anions is typically not taken into account. In this study, both concentration and ionic strength are considered (please see discussion below).

In order to study anions from different parts of the series, the Hofmeister anions that were used in this study were CO$_3^{2-}$, SO$_4^{2-}$, H$_2$PO$_4^-$, Cl$^-$, NO$_3^-$, and SCN$^-$. All the anions were used as their sodium salts. The effect of sulfate was studied both with Na$_2$SO$_4$ and Mg$_2$SO$_4$ in order to observe the effect of the presence of ions without increasing the concentration of the anion. The salts were introduced into solutions of the original polymers with chloride counterions and constant polymer concentration of 1 mg mL$^{-1}$. This has been shown to be a versatile approach in varying the UCST behavior of strong polycations and thus it was chosen to be the approach for the present study as well.$^{49,50}$

The solution properties of the polymers were studied by means of turbidity measurements, which were supported by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). The exact experimental details are reported in the ESI.$^\dagger$ The definitions for the turbidity-based cloud points (Fig. S7$^\dagger$) along with DSC-based temperatures of maximum heat capacity ($T_{max}$) and transition enthalpy ($\Delta H$) (Fig. S8$^\dagger$) are given as ESI$^\dagger$ as well.

The concentration range of interest for all salts was initially found by mixing polymer and salt solutions, which were then visually inspected. After the approximate range was found, the exact phase transition temperatures were determined with turbidity measurement. It is worth nothing that with low enough salt concentrations, all the polymers were soluble over the whole temperature range. This means that none of the polymers have an observable phase transition in a dilute solution without added electrolytes.

The concentration of repeating units – and thus the concentration of chloride anions brought in by the polymer – is between 2.6 mM and 4.0 mM in 1 mg mL$^{-1}$ solutions of the polymers (Table 1). In majority of the samples, the salt concentrations that are required for any detectable effect are much higher than the polymer concentration. The lowest concentration of NaCl that was required to cause any noticeable effect in transmittance of the solutions was 150 mM (with PC5N, please see discussion below). Therefore, it is safe to assume that the amount of the chloride ions that come into the solution as counterions with the polycations is insignificant from the perspective of the phenomena studied in this contribution.

When PC2N was studied with LiNTf$_2$, no phase transition could be observed in the turbidity measurements; the turbidity simply decreases when the concentration of LiNTf$_2$ increases. Similar monotonous and temperature independent decrease in transmittance with increasing concentration of LiNTf$_2$ has been observed for other polycations as well.$^{49,50}$ Polycations with NTf$_2^-$ as their counterion are typically insoluble in water at room temperature.$^{33,35}$ Thus the increase in turbidity (decrease in transmittance) is probably due to increase in particle size or increasing difference in refractive indices of the polymer particles and the solvent as the ion exchange proceeds. Either way, the interactions between water and polymer become less and less favorable with increasing concentration. Gradual increase in aggregate size with increasing concentration of LiNTf$_2$ has been observed for a diblock copolymer with a strong polycation block.$^{61,62}$ This indicates that similar phenomena takes place for PC2N as well, but this is mere speculation and unimportant for the subject of this paper.

When concentration of LiNTf$_2$ in PC2N solution is varied with a constant NaCl concentration of 500 mM, the picture changes: a UCST type transition appears (Fig. 2). The polymers in solutions form associates at low temperatures, which is evidenced by transmittance values well below 100%. The associates then have an apparent LCST type transition. With more heating, the polymers dissolve, if the concentration of LiNTf$_2$ is low enough, signaling a UCST type transition. The need for
has been observed also for other systems as well.\textsuperscript{49,50,63} NaCl was used to increase the ionic strength without increasing concentration of LiNTf\textsubscript{2}.

In cooling curves, the UCST transition is reversible, but the LCST like transition is not. The process that appears to be an LCST transition may be a product of non-equilibrium state as the particles form below their UCST cloud points ($T_{cU}$). Thus, the apparent transition would be only a product of particles merging when the temperature increases, which is supported by the observation that the temperature at which the transmittance starts to decrease is essentially independent of the LiNTf\textsubscript{2} concentration. This may happen because the NTf\textsubscript{2} ions enrich into the cores of the formed particles in order to minimize the contact with water. When the solution temperature exceeds the glass transition temperature ($T_g$) of the core, the particles start to merge. It has been shown that polycations with NTf\textsubscript{2} as their counterion often have $T_g$s below 100 °C\textsuperscript{64–67} and the presence of water may plasticize the polyelectrolyte further. Other possibility is that the LCST transition is slow to reverse and the UCST reverses fast, a situation that has been observed for cationic copolymers of PNIPAm in the presence of LiNTf\textsubscript{2} and NaCl.\textsuperscript{50} Regardless of the nature or existence of the LCST type cloud point ($T_{cL}$): this is the first time when a UCST has been observed for PC2N.

When comparing $T_{cU}$ values of PC2N in LiNTf\textsubscript{2} solution with 500 mM with previously reported polycations under same conditions (Table S1),\textsuperscript{†} less LiNTf\textsubscript{2} is required to achieve a given value of $T_{cU}$ than is the case with the previously studied polycations. This indicates that PC2N has higher affinity to NTf\textsubscript{2} ions or the interactions of the cation with water are less favorable than with the polycations studied earlier. The latter is the more probable explanation since the alkyl content of PC2N is higher than for the other polymers reported in Table S1.\textsuperscript{†}

As seen in Fig. 2, the concentration range between complete solubility and complete insolubility for PC2N with LiNTf\textsubscript{2} and NaCl is rather narrow. In addition, the range of obtained values of $T_{cU}$ is not large. The picture changes when LiOTf and NaSCN are used as the added salts (Fig. 3). Both salts are able to induce a UCST for PC2N without additional NaCl due to higher required salt concentrations, which in turn provide enough ionic strength with the single salt alone. The range of obtainable $T_{cU}$ values is much wider. The possibility to use LiOTf as the only added salt in systems where LiNTf\textsubscript{2} alone cannot induce a UCST has been observed before, so the results of this study are consistent with previous observations.\textsuperscript{49} NaNO\textsubscript{3} can be used to induce $T_{cU}$ for PC2N, but the concentrations required for the phase transition are higher than 2 M (Fig. S9).\textsuperscript{†}

Even with 500 mM NaCl, the effect of LiNTf\textsubscript{2} on the solubility of PC2N is the strongest of the studied salts. LiNTf\textsubscript{2} is followed first by LiOTf, then by NaSCN, and finally by NaNO\textsubscript{3}. Therefore, it can be deduced that either the strength of interaction between PC2N with the ions or the hydrophobicity of the ion pairs follows the same order. It has been already observed before that considerably lower concentration of LiNTf\textsubscript{2} is required to turn a polycation insoluble in water than is the case with LiOTf, which is in line with the results in Fig. 3.\textsuperscript{49}

$T_{cU}$ of PC2N with a constant concentration of NaSCN can be tuned with high concentrations of urea (Fig. S10). An analogous situation has been observed for poly($N,N$-diethylacrylamide) (PDEA), an LCST polymer, which experiences an
increase in cloud point with increasing concentration of urea while the cloud point of PNIPAm decreases. The main difference between PDEA and PNIPAm is that the former can only accept hydrogen bonds whereas the latter is capable of hydrogen bonding with itself. In this sense, PC2N resembles more PDEA. It is possible that in concentrated aqueous urea acts like a surfactant for water-insoluble polymers, thus reducing hydrophobic interactions. Such an effect is a plausible explanation for the solubility-enhancing effect of urea for PC2N as well as it making the phase separation less favorable and thus reduces the temperature of the UCST transition.

In addition, NaCl (up to a concentration of 4.5 M), Na$_2$SO$_4$ (up to 1.8 M), and NaH$_2$PO$_4$ (up to 4.5 M) were tested for PC2N, but no transition was observed for the samples. The anions of the salts are before NO$_3^-$ in the Hofmeister series. Thus, the insolubility-inducing effect of the anions does not follow similar trends for PC2N as it does for PNIPAm. A 0.45 M solution of NaPS did not induce any phase transition for PC2N either. Therefore, the salting-out strength of the salts for PC2N can be written in the following order: NaH$_2$PO$_4$, NaCl, NaPS, (Na$_2$SO$_4$) < NaNO$_3$ < NaSCN < LiOTf < LiNTf$_2$ with 500 mM NaCl < LiNTf$_2$. The unordered salts in left produce no detectable phase transition. The order of NaNO$_3$ and Na$_2$SO$_4$ cannot be determined as the latter is saturated at lower concentration.

A 0.45 M (Fig. S12†) was similar as what was observed for PC2N in LiNTf$_2$–NaCl solution (Fig. 2) with the difference that the LCST like transition at lower temperatures is clearly reversible. The transitions are generally broad, and it is not clear if they are complete, so the exact values for the $T_{CU}$ cannot be determined accurately. However, much more NaNO$_3$ is clearly needed to turn the polymer insoluble compared to NaSCN, which indicates, analogously to PC2N, that the thiocyanate anion interacts more strongly than nitrate with PC3N.

When NaCl is introduced in a PC3N solution, the picture changes. A clear $T_{CU}$ appears for the polymer (Fig. S13†). The transitions are rather sharp, but high salt concentrations are needed for the phase transition to appear. It is possible that the phase transition is a two-stage process as several samples display a slight decrease in transmittance at approximately 30 °C, which is sometimes accompanied by a mild downward slope. But this may be an artifact as well. The sharp transition is interpreted to be the $T_{CU}$ in the discussion and the values are reported in Fig. 4.

PC3N becomes insoluble in NaCl solution between 4.25 M and 4.4 M (Fig. 4 and S13†), although the trend seen in Fig. 4 would suggest that there should be only a minor decrease in $T_{CU}$ between the two concentrations. This is explainable by very strong hysteresis between the heating and cooling runs. The hysteresis for PC3N in 3.5 M NaCl is observed to be more than 35 °C, if the transition is reversible at all (Fig. S13†). The solutions with high concentrations of NaCl are made near or above

![Fig. 4 $T_{CU}$ for 1 mg mL$^{-1}$ PC3N in aqueous NaH$_2$PO$_4$ (●) and $T_{CL}$ for 1 mg mL$^{-1}$ PC3N in NaCl solution (■) as a function salt concentration.](https://example.com/fig4.png)
their cloud points and the strong hysteresis or irreversibility of the transition causes the apparent disappearance of the phase transition. Behavior like this has been observed for LCST type transitions for polymers with cationic units.\(^{56}\) Although the samples are stored refrigerated overnight, it is evidently not enough for complete redissolution.

In addition to \(T_{cl}\) in NaCl solutions, PC3N has a \(T_{cl}\) in NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\) solutions (Fig. 4). It is somewhat problematic to compare the effects of the salts because of the opposite thermoresponsive behaviors, but since less NaCl is required for a transition temperature to appear for the polymer in the first place, the interaction between Cl\(^-\) and the polymer is considered to be stronger. Opposite interpretation is also possible as the polymer tolerates more NaCl than NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\) without turning completely insoluble. A similar situation can be observed for \(T_{cl}\) of PC4N (please see discussion below), although with considerably less overlap. Similar reasoning is used to order the ions in that case as well.

Although polarizability of SCN\(^-\) (6.74 Å\(^3\))\(^{77}\) is the highest for the anions studied with PC3N and it has the strongest effect as well, more polarizable anions are not always more effective in salting out PC3N. This is clearly visible from the fact that H\(_2\)PO\(_4\)\(^-\) (5.79 Å\(^3\)) is more polarizable than either nitrate (4.05–4.13 Å\(^3\)) or chloride (3.25–3.42 Å\(^3\)), yet PC3N can tolerate more H\(_2\)PO\(_4\)\(^-\) than either of the latter ions.\(^{75,77}\) Thus, anion polarizability cannot be the only determining factor for the strength of interaction between PC3N and the anion in all cases.

Na\(_2\)CO\(_3\) (up to 2.0 M) and Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) (up to 1.8 M) were also tested with PC3N, but no transition was observed, and it is possible that the reversed Hofmeister behavior is not followed for these two salts. Based on the results above, a similar series of the salting-out strength that was written for PC2N can be written for PC3N as well: [Na\(_2\)CO\(_3\), Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\)] < NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\) < NaCl < NaNO\(_3\) < NaSCN. The position of Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) and Na\(_2\)CO\(_3\) is uncertain, since no transitions could be observed. As the obtainable concentrations were also lower than those of NaCl and NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\) needed for any phase transition to appear, the salts cannot be ordered.

However, if one considers the fact that the ionic strengths of 1.8 M Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) and 2.0 M Na\(_2\)CO\(_3\) solutions are 5.4 M and 6.0 M, respectively, a series based on ionic strength for can be written as Na\(_2\)CO\(_3\), Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) < NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\) < NaCl < NaNO\(_3\) < NaSCN. The respective order of carbonate and sulfate is still unclear, but both have weaker effect at higher ionic strength than chloride or dihydrogen phosphate. Based on ionic strength, the reversed Hofmeister series is being followed by PC3N as well.

PC4N with LiNTf\(_2\) in 500 mM NaCl (Fig. S14\(\dagger\)) forms particles not unlike the ones formed by PC2N under similar conditions (Fig. 2). The transmittance decreases as more LiNTf\(_2\) is added, which is natural since polycations with NTf\(_2\) as their counterion are generally insoluble in water.\(^{33,35,61,62}\) Addition of SDS without NaCl yielded qualitatively similar results as LiNTf\(_2\) and NaCl (Fig. S15\(\dagger\)). The peculiar shape of some of the curves is most probably due to non-equilibrium nature of the systems as the solutions are made by quickly mixing the stock solutions. Analysis of the particles formed in the presence of LiNTf\(_2\) or SDS using several samples was attempted with dynamic light scattering (DLS). Measurements were conducted over the same temperature range as was used in the transmittance measurements. Multimodal distributions with particle sizes exceeding 1 µm were observed in all cases, so DLS is an ill-suited method for analyzing these particular samples.

It has been observed previously that a polymer with the same polycation as PC4N, but with 1-pentanesulfonate (PS) as its counterion undergoes a LCST type transition at 64 °C with polymer concentration of 3.0 wt%.\(^{28}\) To get a more quantitative image of the phenomenon, the amount of NaPS in 1 mg mL\(^{-1}\) solution of PC4N was varied, with or without adding NaCl (Fig. 5). At low concentrations, the addition of the organic anion lowers the cloud point and this effect can be weakened by addition of a competing electrolyte NaCl, as observed already in this study and in previous publications.\(^{49,50}\) When used together with other salts, the amounts of NaCl were always kept below the values needed to induce observable cloud points when NaCl is used as the only salt (Fig. 5). \(T_{cl}\) measured for the aforementioned 3.0 wt% solution by Kohno \(et\ al.\) is well in line with the result obtained by adding NaPS in the solution with a constant polymer concentration.\(^{28}\) Therefore, it seems not to matter for this particular polycation–counterion pair whether or not the counterion is intro-
duced within the polymer structure or added to the solution afterwards. This indicates that the anion concentration is more significant in deciding the cloud point and the concentration of the polycation is only of secondary importance. Similar observations have been made for other polycations with counterion induced UCST type phase transitions.\(^4\)

However, as the amount of NaPS increases, the cloud point starts to increase again. A similar observation was already made for the UCST behavior of poly(2-methacryloyloxyethyltrimethylammonium iodide) in presence of LiOTf or the potassium salt of the same anion, KOTf.\(^4\) Also, the highest insolubility is reached at an approximate concentration of 0.5 M in both cases. The reason behind the increase in solubility could be that the PS ion bounds on the formed aggregate and thus solubilizing it, analogously to the case with PC2N, NaSCN, and urea. Alternatively, since the mass concentration of NaPS is already 87 mg mL\(^{-1}\) or 8.7 wt% (assuming density of 1 kg L\(^{-1}\)) when the molar concentration is 0.5 M, the solvent has significant organic content. This will then lead to better solubility of the polymer and thus to increasing cloud point. In other words, the situation is analogous to adding organic solvent into the mixture.

When NaCl was added into the solution together with NaPS, the interaction between the pentanesulfonate ion and PC4N weakens due to the presence of competing anions, but qualitatively the behavior stays the same as with NaPS as the only salt.

Also shown in Fig. 5 is the salt concentration dependencies of cloud points for PC4N in NaN\(_2\)O\(_3\) with 250 mM NaCl. NaN\(_2\)O\(_3\) without added NaCl showed formation of particles with a \(T_{cl}\) without actual dissolution at low temperatures (Fig. S16†), but again addition of NaCl yielded clear transitions. Presence of higher concentrations of NaCl as the only salt yielded \(T_{cl\text{s}}\) in a similar fashion as was observed for PC3N already above. Lower concentrations of NaCl were required for a given value of \(T_{cl\text{s}}\), which is natural due to the longer alkyl chains connected to the ammonium group. An important difference between PC3N and PC4N is that NaN\(_2\)PO\(_4\) induces an LCST for PC4N (Fig. 5) instead of the UCST observed for PC3N (Fig. 4).

Contrary to PC3N, Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) and Na\(_2\)CO\(_3\) induce a \(T_{cl}\) for PC4N at achievable concentrations (Fig. 5). Lower concentrations of Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) and Na\(_2\)CO\(_3\) are required for a given value of \(T_{cl}\) than is for NaN\(_2\)PO\(_4\) and some overlap with NaCl is observed as well. When ionic strength is taken into account, the situation changes and the ionic strengths required to induce \(T_{cl\text{s}}\) with Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) and Na\(_2\)CO\(_3\) are considerably higher than with other salts.

Based on the results described above, the phase transition-inducing effect of different salts can be ordered into a series: NaN\(_2\)PO\(_4\) < Na\(_2\)CO\(_3\) < Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) < NaCl < NaPS with 250 mM < NaPS < NaN\(_2\)O\(_3\) with 250 mM NaCl < NaN\(_2\)O\(_3\), LiNTf\(_2\) with 500 mM NaCl, SDS. The order of the salts on the right-hand side of the series cannot be determined, since no clear solutions with a phase transition could be achieved for PC4N in the presence of these salts; only turbid solutions were obtained. The order of NaN\(_2\)PO\(_4\), NaCl, and NaN\(_2\)O\(_3\) is the same as was already observed for PC3N. Additionally, the more organic repeating unit of PC4N made it possible to observe phase transitions for Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) and Na\(_2\)CO\(_3\) solutions as well at concentrations well below saturation.

As was the case with PC3N, when taking ionic strength into account, the relative order of Na\(_2\)CO\(_3\), Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\), and NaN\(_2\)PO\(_4\) changes for PC4N as well. With ionic strength, the series becomes Na\(_2\)CO\(_3\) < Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) < Na\(_2\)PO\(_4\) < NaCl < NaPS with 250 mM < NaPS < NaN\(_2\)O\(_3\) with 250 mM NaCl < NaN\(_2\)O\(_3\), LiNTf\(_2\) with 500 mM NaCl, SDS. The order fits the reversed Hofmeister series, but this may be only coincidental for the divalent ions. However, it seems likely that the monovalent ones obey the inverse series.

PC4N experienced cononsolvency in water–DMF mixtures (Fig. S17†), a behavior which had observed e.g. for PNIPAm in water–solvent mixtures.\(^7\)–\(^8\) However, the behavior of PC4N is fundamentally different from PNIPAm since the transmittance stays constant over the studied temperature range (Fig. S18†).

Apart from the water insoluble PC6N, the polymer with the longest alkyl chains in the series is PC5N. As can be expected from the earlier polymers in the series, the concentration of a given salt that is needed to induce a \(T_{cl}\) for the polymer is lower than for PC4N. This is natural, since the longer alkyl chain makes it less favorable for the polymer to dissolve in water. This is also seen in the observation that mixtures of PC5N and NaPS yield associates, which turn the solutions turbid, as has been observed for many polymer–ion combinations already. However, when 0.1 M of NaCl is added into the solution together with NaPS, a clear LCST type behavior is observed (Fig. 6). The concentration of NaCl was kept low due to the observation that the concentration of NaCl is enough to induce a phase transition for PC5N is not high. Otherwise, a similar LCST behavior than for PC4N was observed for PC5N as well with the same salts. The effects of salts on \(T_{cl\text{s}}\) of PC4N and PC5N are compared in Fig. S19†.

Based on the data in Fig. 6, the strength of the phase transition inducing effect of different sodium salts for PC5N can be arranged to the following order: NaN\(_2\)PO\(_4\) < Na\(_2\)CO\(_3\) < Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) < NaCl I < NaPS with 100 mM NaCl < NaPS. The order is the same as already observed for PC4N i.e. the monovalent anions follow reversed Hofmeister series, but NaN\(_2\)PO\(_4\), Na\(_2\)CO\(_3\) and Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) are in different order than they are in the classical series. However, when ionic strength is used as a measure, the series resembles again reversed Hofmeister series: Na\(_2\)CO\(_3\) < Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) < NaN\(_2\)PO\(_4\) < NaCl < NaPS with 100 mM NaCl < NaPS.

To study whether concentration or ionic strength is the right measure in ordering the anions into series, in addition to Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\), MgSO\(_4\) was used together with PC5N as well. The rationale behind choosing this salt is that the divalent cation increases the ionic strength significantly without increasing the amount of the anion in the solution. As it was hypothesized that the LCST increases only from the interactions between the polycation and the anion. Quite surprisingly, when using magnesium instead of sodium as the cation the cloud points increase for approximately 30–40 °C when
keeping the sulfate salt concentration constant. Therefore, the effect from the cation in the salt is quite significant and – in contrast to the anion effect – it does not follow reversed Hofmeister series as Mg$^{2+}$ is more chaotropic than Na$^+$. But direct Hofmeister series is not followed by all cations either, since it was noticed in an earlier study that LiOTf has stronger UCST inducing effect than KOTf, although Li$^+$ is stronger chaotrope than K$^+$. The strong cation effect prevents the study of effect of ionic strength without changing the anion concentration. Thus, it cannot be known if the ionic strength based reversed Hofmeister series is generalizable or is it followed only by the monovalent anions. While writing this, further studies that will shed light on the matter are underway.

The orders of strength of phase separation inducing effect for the polymer series is summarized in Table 2. The results for all studied polycations can be combined to the following series: NaH$_2$PO$_4$ < Na$_2$CO$_3$ < Na$_2$SO$_4$ < NaCl < NaPS < NaNO$_3$ < NaSCN < LiOTf < LiNTf$^2$ which matches the reversed Hofmeister series with the addition of LiNTf$_2$, LiOTf, and NaPS, except in the case of Na$_2$CO$_3$ and Na$_2$SO$_4$, which have divalent anions. If one uses ionic strength instead of concentration, series changes to Na$_2$CO$_3$ < Na$_2$SO$_4$ < NaH$_2$PO$_4$ < NaCl < NaPS < NaNO$_3$ < NaSCN < LiOTf < LiNTf$^2$ and follows the reversed Hofmeister series.

Similar results were obtained by Jana et al., who studied the effect of ions on cationic polypeptides. They found out that SO$_4^{2–}$ behaves unlike the monovalent ions – which induce a UCST for the polymers – but causes precipitation in concentrations between those required for SCN$^–$ and ClO$_4^{–}$. However, if one assumes that the authors used SO$_4^{2–}$ as a salt with a monovalent cation and calculates the required ionic strength for the precipitation to take place, then the ionic strength required for SO$_4^{2–}$ is the highest of the studied series. Thus, the findings are in line with the ones in this paper.

Table S2$^+$ summarizes findings in other studies regarding the salting out effect of different anions for polycations. It is often reported that the insolubility inducing effect follows a reversed Hofmeister series for monovalent ions or the same order as observed in this study. But this was the only conflicting case found from the literature and it did follow the series to some extent. Thus, the comparison strongly suggests that the findings of the article are generalizable for many other polycation–counterion systems as well. As stated above, the series found in this paper does not always act like reversed Hofmeister series for PNIPAm as NTf$_2^–$ was found to act like a strong kosmotrope, although it salts polycations out more efficiently than the strongest chaotrope, SCN$^–$.

It has been shown that the effect of Hofmeister anions on thermoresponsive behavior for poly(ethylene oxide)-b-poly(propylene oxide)-b-poly-(ethylene oxide) (PEO-PPO-PEO) triblock copolymers is markedly different for weakly hydrated anions right from Cl$^–$ than it is for the more strongly hydrated ones. This is the same point where the behavior changes in for the PnP$_c$ series and the polarizability of the anion does not predict the effect of the anion on the solubility anymore, although the very large NTf$_2^–$ does not fit to this rationalization (please see discussion above). The mechanism governing the strength of interaction between the polycation and the anion is evidently complex.

The fact that PC4N and PC5N possess an LCST behavior can be rationalized to arise from the hydration of the alkyl chains connected to the ammonium group. Hydration of alkyl chains is entropically unfavorable due to the formation of an
ordered water cage around the hydrophobic groups.\textsuperscript{82–86} The unfavorable entropy of hydration then leads in to phase separation when temperature is increased. Similar effects have been used to explain the LCST behavior of PNIPAm\textsuperscript{87–90} and polysulfobetaines with long alkyl chains.\textsuperscript{91} The negative enthalpy of dissolution keeps the polymers in solution at low temperatures and this is modulated by anion–polycation interactions, which may also make the overall entropy of dissolution less negative.

The effect of salt concentration on the cloud point can be explained by ion pairing with the cationic ammonium group present in the repeating units. The pairing weakens the interactions between water and the cation, which in turn reduces the enthalpy of dissolution and thus lowers the temperature in which it is favorable for the polymer to dehydrate.

A consequence of the alkyl hydration was observed when determining the enthalpies of the phase transition for PC4N and PC5N in NaPS solutions by DSC. The relatively high enthalpy associated with the phase transition of PNIPAm has been attributed largely to the release of water in the water cages into the bulk.\textsuperscript{89,92} The hydrogen bonding in water cages is stronger than in bulk water and this difference is then observed as an endothermic transition. Similar rationalization is reasonable with the polymers from this work as well. As PC5N has longer alkyl chains than PC4N, PC5N also needs more coordinated water to accommodate its longer alkyl chains in water compared to PC4N and more water molecules are released to the bulk per repeating unit. Thus, the enthalpy per repeating unit associated with the phase transition is significantly higher at a given transition temperature (Fig. 7). Outside the extremes for PC4N with NaPS as the only salt, the enthalpy decreases linearly with the transition temperature. Similar behavior has been observed for PNIPAm and its copolymers as well.\textsuperscript{92,93} No distinct peaks could be seen outside the range shown in Fig. 6 for PC4N.

PC3N is an interesting borderline case since it can have either an LCST or a UCST type behavior, depending on the anion. This is in a stark contrast with the other polycations of the series as PC2N has only $T_{cU}$ whereas PC4N and PC5N both have only $T_{cL}$. A similar change in behavior has been observed for a series poly(sulfobetaine methacrylates).\textsuperscript{91} The polymers were shown to have either an LCST or a UCST, depending on the length of the alkyl substituent in the quaternary ammonium.

As PC2N has no long alkyl substituents, its solution behavior is governed only by competition between ionic attraction (enthalpy gain) and ion pair dissociation/polymer dissolution (entropy gain). This will lead to UCST behavior, since the dissociation becomes more favorable at higher temperatures. The situation is analogous to the case of zwitterionic polymers, where the ion pairing takes place intramolecularly.\textsuperscript{5} How much entropy is gained is modulated by the amount of the ions present in the solution. The need for the threshold ionic strength for the UCST transition to appear, which has been observed for other polycations as well,\textsuperscript{95,50,63} can be rationalized in two different ways. Either it is caused by electrostatic repulsions, which will prevent the polymer from collapsing or other anions are needed to be present in order to substitute the hydrophobic ones in the ion clouds surrounding the polymer chains so that the polymer is able to dissolve molecularly. The suggested reason behind the differences in the thermal response of polycations with longer and shorter alkyl chains are schematically shown in Scheme 2.
Conclusions

The effect of a various anions on the phase transition of a series of poly[(triaryl)(4-vinylbenzyl)ammonium chlorides] was studied with the alkyl chain length ranging from ethyl to pentyl. Poly[(triethyl(4-vinylbenzyl)ammonium chloride] developed a UCST behavior in the presence of LiNTf2 and NaCl, NaSCN, and LiOTf. Poly[tripropyl(4-vinylbenzyl)ammonium chloride] also had a UCST in NaH2PO4 solution. All the other polymers had LCST type transitions in presence of various salts. No thermosensitive behavior has been reported for most of the studied polycation-counterion pairs. The switch from UCST to LCST can be attributed to the entropy penalty of the alkyl hydration becoming more significant when the alkyl chain becomes longer. With a short alkyl chain, the governing factor is the strength of interaction between the polycation and the anion.

It was found during the study that by increasing the alkyl chains, different anions can be used to induce thermoresponsive behavior for the polycations. By combining the partially overlapping results, a following series for polycation-anion interaction can be constructed: H2PO4− < CO32− < SO42− < Cl− < PS− < NO3− < SCN− < OTf− < NTf2−.

For salts with monovalent anions, it was found out that the strength of the insolubility inducing effect follows reversed Hofmeister series, but the reasons behind this will require more studies. Salts with divalent anions do not fall into the reversed series, as its effect is stronger than its position in the Hofmeister series would indicate but do follow the series when ionic strength is used as the measure. Also, the cation effect is significant.

This study introduces a systematic way of designing a polycation based thermosensitive system by matching an anion from reversed Hofmeister series with cation hydrophobicity. In addition, four new UCST systems based on PC2N (with LiNTf2-NaCl, LiOTf, NaSCN, and NaNO3), one based on PC3N (NaH2PO4), a new LCST system based on PC3N (NaCl), five new LCST systems based on PC4N (NaCl, NaH2PO4, NaNO3-NaCl, Na2SO4, Na2CO3), and five new LCST systems based on PC5N (NaCl, Na2SO4, NaH2PO4, NaPS-NaCl, Na2CO3) were observed for the first time. These are also the first reported thermoresponsive systems with the alkyl chain becoming longer. With a short alkyl chain, the governing factor is the strength of interaction between the polycation and the anion.
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