Positive Solutions of a Fractional Boundary Value Problem with Sequential Derivatives
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1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the ordinary fractional differential equation with sequential derivatives

\[ D^\alpha_{0+} \left(q(t) D^\beta_{0+} v(t) \right) = \lambda r(t) g(t, v(t)), \quad t \in (0, 1), \]  

subject to the integral-multipoint boundary conditions

\[
\begin{aligned}
&v^{(k)}(0) = 0, \quad k = 0, \ldots, n - 2, \quad D^\beta_{0+} v(0) = 0, \\
&q(1) D^\beta_{0+} v(1) = a q(\xi) D^\beta_{0+} v(\xi), \quad D^{\gamma_j}_{0+} v(1) = \sum_{j=1}^p \int_0^1 D^{\gamma_j}_{0+} v(t) d H_j(t),
\end{aligned}
\]

where \( a \in (1, 2], \beta \in (n - 1, n], n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 3, p \in \mathbb{N}, \gamma_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 0, \ldots, p, 0 \leq \gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < \cdots < \gamma_p \leq \gamma_0 < \beta - 1, \gamma_0 \geq 1, \lambda > 0, a \geq 0, \xi \in (0, 1), q : [0, 1] \to (0, \infty) \) is a continuous function which may have singularity at the second variable in the point 0, the function \( r : (0, 1) \to [0, \infty) \) is continuous and may be singular at \( t = 0 \) and/or \( t = 1, D^\beta_{0+} \) is the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order \( \gamma \), for \( \zeta = a, \beta, \gamma_0, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_p \), and in (2) we have Riemann-Stieltjes integrals, where \( H_j, j = 1, \ldots, p \) are bounded variation functions. The general boundary conditions (2) cover some symmetry cases for the unknown function. For example, in the case \( p = 1, \gamma_0 = \gamma_1 \) and \( H_1 \) is a step function given by \( H_1(t) = [0, t = 0; 1, t \in (0, 1)] \), the last condition from (2) becomes the symmetry condition \( D^{\gamma_1}_{0+} v(1) = D^{\gamma_1}_{0+} v(0) \) for the fractional derivative of order \( \gamma_0 \) of the unknown function \( v \). Besides, if \( \gamma_0 = \gamma_1 = 1 \), then we find the periodicity condition for the first derivative of function \( v \), namely \( v'(1) = v'(0) \).

We present some assumptions on the functions \( g \) and \( r \), and intervals for the parameter \( \lambda \) such that problem (1), (2) has at least one positive solution. By a positive solution of (1), (2) we mean a function \( v \in C[0, 1] \) satisfying (1) and (2) with \( v(t) > 0 \) for all \( t \in (0, 1] \). In the proof of our main theorem we use some results from the fixed point index theory. Positive
solutions for such fractional problems are of great practical importance for describing nonlocal processes with memory, which determines the relevance of the chosen research topic. In what follows we present some recent results connected with our problem (1), (2). In [1], the authors studied the fractional differential equation
\[ D_{0+}^\gamma w(t) + \mu h(t)g(t, w(t)) = 0, \quad t \in (0, 1), \]
subject to nonlocal boundary conditions
\[ w(0) = w'(0) = \cdots = w^{(n-2)}(0) = 0, \quad D_{0+}^\delta w(1) = \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^1 D_{0+}^\psi_j w(t) \, dK_j(t), \]
where \( \gamma \in \mathbb{R}, \) \( \gamma \in (n-1, n], \) \( n \in \mathbb{N}, \) \( n \geq 3, \) \( m \in \mathbb{N}, \) \( \zeta_j \in \mathbb{R} \) for all \( j = 0, \ldots, m, \)
\( 0 \leq \zeta_1 < \zeta_2 < \cdots < \zeta_m \leq \zeta_0 < \gamma - 1, \) \( \zeta_0 \geq 1, \) \( K_j, j = 1, \ldots, m \) are bounded variation functions, \( \mu \) is a positive parameter, the function \( g(t, w) \) is nonnegative and it may have singularity at \( w = 0 \) and the function \( h(t) \) is nonnegative and it may be singular at the points \( t = 0 \) and/or \( t = 1. \) They gave various assumptions for the functions \( h \) and \( g, \) and established intervals for the parameter \( \mu \) such that problem (3), (4) has at least one positive solution. The expression for intervals of \( \mu \) are given by using the principal characteristic value of an associated linear operator. The fixed point index theory was used in the proof of the main theorems. By using the Guo-Krasnosel’skii fixed point theorem, a related semipositone problem is also studied in [1]. In [2], the authors studied the system of fractional differential equations with sequential derivatives
\[
\begin{aligned}
& D_{0+}^{\gamma_1} \left( D_{0+}^{\alpha_1} v(t) \right) + \mu \phi(t, v(t), w(t)) = 0, \quad t \in (0, 1), \\
& D_{0+}^{\gamma_2} \left( D_{0+}^{\alpha_2} w(t) \right) + \nu \psi(t, v(t), w(t)) = 0, \quad t \in (0, 1),
\end{aligned}
\]
with the coupled boundary conditions
\[
\begin{aligned}
& v^{(k)}(0) = 0, \quad k = 0, \ldots, p-2; \quad D_{0+}^{\delta_1} v(0) = 0, \quad D_{0+}^{\delta_0} v(1) = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^1 D_{0+}^{\beta_j} w(t) \, dH_j(t), \\
& w^{(k)}(0) = 0, \quad k = 0, \ldots, q-2; \quad D_{0+}^{\delta_2} w(0) = 0, \quad D_{0+}^{\delta_0} w(1) = \sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^1 D_{0+}^{\beta_j} v(t) \, dK_j(t),
\end{aligned}
\]
where \( \gamma_1, \) \( \gamma_2 \in (0, 1], \) \( \delta_1 \in (p-1, p], \) \( \delta_2 \in (q-1, q], \) \( p, q \in \mathbb{N}, \) \( p, q \geq 3, \) \( n, m \in \mathbb{N}, \) \( \alpha_j \in \mathbb{R} \) for all \( j = 0, 1, \ldots, n, \)
\( 0 \leq a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n \leq \beta_0 < \beta_2 - 1, \) \( \beta_0 \geq 1, \) \( \beta_j \in \mathbb{R} \) for all \( j = 0, 1, \ldots, m, \)
\( 0 \leq \beta_1 < \beta_2 < \cdots < \beta_m \leq a_0 < \delta_1 - 1, \) \( a_0 \geq 1, \) \( \mu > 0, \) \( \phi \) and \( \psi \) are continuous functions which change sign and they may be singular at the points \( t = 0 \) and/or \( t = 1, \) \( H_j, j = 1, \ldots, n \) and \( K_j, j = 1, \ldots, m \) are bounded variation functions. They present various assumptions for the nonsingular/singular functions \( \phi \) and \( \psi, \) and intervals for parameters \( \mu \) and \( \nu \) such that problem (5), (6) has at least one or two positive solutions. They applied the nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder type and the Guo-Krasnosel’skii fixed point theorem in the proofs of the main existence results. We also mention the papers [3–7], and the books [4,8–18] with their references for other results obtained in the last years and for applications of the fractional differential equations and systems in various fields.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we study a linear fractional boundary value problem associated to our problem (1), (2), and we present the associated Green functions with their properties and bounds. Section 3 is concerned with the main existence theorem for (1), (2), and an example illustrating our result is presented in Section 4.

2. Preliminary Results

We consider the fractional differential equation
\[ D_{0+}^\delta (q(t) D_{0+}^\delta v(t)) = z(t), \quad t \in (0, 1), \]
with the boundary conditions (2), where $q \in C([0,1],(0,\infty))$ and $z \in C(0,1) \cap L^1(0,1)$. We introduce the following numbers

$$
\Delta_1 = 1 - a_3^{\alpha-1}, \quad \Delta_2 = \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta - \gamma_1)} - \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta - \gamma_2)} \int_0^1 t^{\beta - \gamma_1 - 1} dH_i(\theta).
$$

(8)

**Lemma 1.** If $\Delta_1 \neq 0$ and $\Delta_2 \neq 0$, then problem (2), (7) has the unique solution $v \in C[0,1]$ given by

$$
v(t) = \int_0^1 G_2(t, \theta) \left( \frac{1}{q(\theta)} \int_0^1 G_1(\theta, \xi) z(\xi) d\xi \right) d\theta, \quad t \in [0,1],
$$

where

$$
G_1(t, \theta) = g_\beta(t, \theta) + \frac{t^{\theta-1}}{\Delta_1} g_1(\xi, \theta), \quad (t, \theta) \in [0,1] \times [0,1],
$$

and

$$
G_2(t, \theta) = g_2(t, \theta) + \frac{t^{\theta-1}}{\Delta_2} \sum_{i=1}^p \left( \int_0^1 g_3(\tau, \theta) d\mathcal{H}_i(\tau) \right), \quad (t, \theta) \in [0,1] \times [0,1],
$$

with

$$
g_2(s, \theta) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \begin{cases} 
  s^{\beta-1}(1-\theta)^{\beta-\gamma_0-1} - (s-\theta)^{\beta-1}, & 0 \leq \theta \leq s \leq 1, \\
  s^{\beta-1}(1-\theta)^{\beta-\gamma_0-1} - (s-\theta)^{\beta-1}, & 0 \leq s \leq \theta \leq 1,
\end{cases}
$$

$$
g_3(s, \theta) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta - \gamma_i)} \begin{cases} 
  s^{\beta-\gamma_1-1}(1-\theta)^{\beta-\gamma_0-1} - (s-\theta)^{\beta-\gamma_0-1}, & 0 \leq \theta \leq s \leq 1, \\
  s^{\beta-\gamma_1-1}(1-\theta)^{\beta-\gamma_0-1} - (s-\theta)^{\beta-\gamma_0-1}, & 0 \leq s \leq \theta \leq 1.
\end{cases}
$$

(13)

**Proof.** We denote by $q(t) D_{0+}^\beta v(t) = \bar{w}(t)$. Then problem (2), (7) is equivalent to the following two boundary value fractional problems

(1) \[
\begin{cases}
  D_{0+}^\beta w(t) = z(t), & t \in (0,1), \\
  w(0) = 0, \quad w(1) = aw(\xi),
\end{cases}
\]

and

(2) \[
\begin{cases}
  D_{0+}^\beta v(t) = \bar{w}(t)/q(t), & t \in (0,1), \\
  v^{(k)}(0) = 0, \quad k = 0,\ldots,n-2, \quad D_{0+}^\gamma v(1) = \sum_{j=1}^p \int_0^1 D_{0+}^\gamma \bar{w}(\theta) d\mathcal{H}_j(\theta).
\end{cases}
\]

By Lemma 4.1.5 from [12], problem (1) has the unique solution $w \in C[0,1]$ given by

$$
w(t) = -\int_0^1 g_1(t, \theta) z(\theta) d\theta, \quad t \in [0,1],
$$

where $G_1$ is defined in (10). By Lemma 2.4.2 from [9], problem (2) has the unique solution $v \in C[0,1]$ given by

$$
v(t) = -\int_0^1 g_2(t, \theta) \bar{w}(\theta)/q(\theta) d\theta, \quad t \in [0,1],
$$

where $G_2$ is defined in (12). Now by using (14) and (15) we obtain the solution $v$ for problem (2), (7) which is given by relation (9). \qed
By applying some properties of functions \(g_1, g_2, g_{3i}, i = 1, \ldots, p\) presented in (11) and (13) (see [9,12]), we deduce the following result.

**Lemma 2.** If \(H_j, j = 1, \ldots, p\) are nondecreasing functions, \(\Delta_1 > 0, \Delta_2 > 0\), then the Green functions \(G_1, G_2\) given by (10) and (12) have the following properties:

(a) \(G_1, G_2 : [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,\infty)\) are continuous functions;

(b) \(G_1(t, \vartheta) \leq J_1(\vartheta), \; \forall (t, \vartheta) \in [0,1] \times [0,1]\), where

\[
J_1(\vartheta) = h_1(\vartheta) + \frac{a}{\Delta_1} \xi_1(\vartheta, \vartheta), \quad \forall \vartheta \in [0,1],
\]

and \(h_1(\vartheta) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}(1-\vartheta)^{\alpha-1}, \; \vartheta \in [0,1]\);

(c) \(G_2(t, \vartheta) \leq J_2(\vartheta), \; \forall (t, \vartheta) \in [0,1] \times [0,1]\), where

\[
J_2(\vartheta) = h_2(\vartheta) + \frac{1}{\Delta_2} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \int_{0}^{1} g_3(\tau, \vartheta) dH_i(\tau), \quad \forall \vartheta \in [0,1],
\]

and \(h_2(\vartheta) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)}(1-\vartheta)^{\beta-\gamma_1-1}(1-(1-\vartheta)^{\gamma_1})\), \(\vartheta \in [0,1]\);

(d) \(G_2(t, \vartheta) \geq t^{\beta-1}J_2(\vartheta), \; \forall (t, \vartheta) \in [0,1] \times [0,1]\).

By using Lemma 2, we easily obtain the following lemma (see also [12]).

**Lemma 3.** If \(\Delta_1 > 0, \Delta_2 > 0, H_j, j = 1, \ldots, p\) are nondecreasing functions, and \(z \in C(0,1) \cap L^1(0,1)\) with \(z(s) \geq 0\) for all \(s \in (0,1)\), then the Green function \(g(s) \geq 0\) for all \(s \in [0,1]\) and \(g(s) \geq t^{\beta-1}g(\eta)\) for all \(s, \eta \in [0,1]\).

### 3. Existence of Positive Solutions

In this section we present some conditions on the functions \(g, r\), and intervals for \(\lambda\) such that there exists at least one positive solution of (1), (2). We introduce the Banach space \(X = C[0,1]\) with supremum norm \(|v| = \sup_{s \in [0,1]} |v(s)|\), and we introduce the cones \(\mathcal{C} = \{v \in X, \; v(s) \geq 0, \; \forall s \in [0,1]\}, \; \mathcal{S} = \{v \in X, \; v(s) \geq s^{\beta-1}|v|, \; \forall s \in [0,1]\} \subset \mathcal{C}\).

We define the operator \(\mathcal{E} : C \rightarrow C\) and the linear operator \(\mathcal{F} : X \rightarrow X\) by

\[
\mathcal{E}v(t) = \lambda \int_{0}^{1} G_2(t, \vartheta) \frac{1}{q(\vartheta)} \left( \int_{0}^{1} G_1(\vartheta, \tau)r(\tau)g(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau \right) d\vartheta, \quad t \in [0,1], \; v \in C,
\]

\[
\mathcal{F}v(t) = \int_{0}^{1} G_2(t, \vartheta) \frac{1}{q(\vartheta)} \left( \int_{0}^{1} G_1(\vartheta, \tau)r(\tau)v(\tau) d\tau \right) d\vartheta, \; t \in [0,1], \; v \in X.
\]

We observe that \(v\) is a solution of problem (1), (2) if and only if \(v\) is a fixed point of operator \(\mathcal{E}\). For \(\vartheta > 0\) we introduce the sets \(\mathcal{S}_\vartheta = B_\vartheta \cap \mathcal{S}\) and \(\mathcal{E}_\vartheta = \mathcal{E}_\vartheta \cap \mathcal{S}, (B_\vartheta = \{v \in X, \; |v| < \vartheta\}, \mathcal{B}_\vartheta = \{v \in X, \; |v| \leq \vartheta\}, \partial B_\vartheta = \{v \in X, \; |v| = \vartheta\})

We give now the assumptions that we will use in this section.

(H1) \(\alpha \in (1,2], \beta \in (n-1,n], \; n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 3, \; p \in \mathbb{N}, \gamma_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 0, \ldots, p, 0 \leq \gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < \cdots < \gamma_p \leq \gamma_0 < \beta - 1, \gamma_0 \geq 1, \lambda > 0, \; a \geq 0, \xi \in (0,1), \; g : [0,1) \rightarrow (0,\infty)\) is a continuous function, \(H_i : [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \; i = 1, \ldots, p\) are nondecreasing functions, \(\lambda > 0\), and \(\Delta_1 > 0, \Delta_2 > 0 (\Delta_1, \Delta_2\) are given by (8)).

(H2) The function \(r \in C((0,1),[0,\infty))\) and \(0 < \int_{0}^{1} r(\vartheta) d\vartheta < \infty\).
(H3) The function $g \in C([0, 1] \times (0, \infty), [0, \infty))$ and for any $0 < \theta_1 < \theta_2$ we have

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \sup_{v \in S_{\theta_2} \setminus S_{\theta_1}} \int_{l_m} r(\theta) g(\theta, v(\theta)) \, d\theta = 0,$$

where $l_m = \left[0, \frac{1}{m}\right] \cup \left[\frac{m-1}{m}, 1\right].$

Lemma 4. We suppose that assumptions (H1)–(H3) hold. Then for any $0 < \theta_1 < \theta_2$, the operator $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{S}_{\theta_2} \setminus \mathcal{S}_{\theta_1} \to \mathcal{S}$ is a completely continuous operator.

Proof. By using (H3), we find that there exists a number $m_1 \geq 3$ with the property

$$\sup_{v \in S_{\theta_2} \setminus S_{\theta_1}} \int_{l_{m_1}} r(\theta) g(\theta, v(\theta)) \, d\theta < 1. \quad (16)$$

For $v \in \mathcal{S}_{\theta_2} \setminus S_{\theta_1}$, we find that there exists $\omega_0 \in [\theta_1, \theta_2]$ such that $\|v\| = \omega_0$, and then

$$t^{\beta-1} \theta_1 \leq t^{\beta-1} \omega_0 \leq v(t) \leq \omega_0 \leq t^{\beta-1} \theta_2, \text{ for any } t \in [0, 1].$$

Let $M_1 = \max \left\{ g(t, y), t \in \left[\frac{1}{m_1}, \frac{m_1-1}{m_1}\right], y \in \left[\frac{1}{m_1}, \theta_1, \theta_2\right] \right\}$. By Lemma 2, (H2), (H3) and (16), we deduce

$$\sup_{v \in \mathcal{S}_{\theta_2} \setminus \mathcal{S}_{\theta_1}} \mathcal{E}v(t) = \sup_{v \in \mathcal{S}_{\theta_2} \setminus \mathcal{S}_{\theta_1}} \lambda \int_0^1 \mathcal{J}_2(t, \theta) \frac{1}{q(\theta)} \left( \int_0^1 \mathcal{J}_1(\theta, \tau) r(\tau) g(\tau, v(\tau)) \, d\tau \right) \, d\theta$$

$$\leq \sup_{v \in \mathcal{S}_{\theta_2} \setminus \mathcal{S}_{\theta_1}} \lambda \int_0^1 \mathcal{J}_2(t, \theta) \frac{1}{q(\theta)} \left( \int_{l_{m_1}} \mathcal{J}_1(\theta, \tau) r(\tau) g(\tau, v(\tau)) \, d\theta \right) \, d\theta$$

$$+ \sup_{v \in \mathcal{S}_{\theta_2} \setminus \mathcal{S}_{\theta_1}} \lambda \int_0^1 \mathcal{J}_2(t, \theta) \frac{1}{q(\theta)} \left( \int_{l_{m_1}}^1 \mathcal{J}_1(\theta, \tau) r(\tau) g(\tau, v(\tau)) \, d\tau \right) \, d\theta$$

$$\leq \lambda J_{10} \int_0^1 \mathcal{J}_2(t, \theta) \frac{1}{q(\theta)} \, d\theta + \lambda M_1 \left( \int_0^1 \mathcal{J}_2(t, \theta) \frac{1}{q(\theta)} \, d\theta \right) \left( \int_{l_{m_1}}^1 \mathcal{J}_1(\theta, \tau) r(\tau) \, d\tau \right)$$

$$\leq \lambda J_{10} \left( \int_0^1 \mathcal{J}_2(t, \theta) \frac{1}{q(\theta)} \, d\theta \right) \left( 1 + M_1 \int_0^1 r(\tau) \, d\tau \right) < \infty,$$

where $J_{10} = \max_{s \in [0, 1]} \mathcal{J}_1(s) > 0$. This gives us that the operator $\mathcal{E}$ is well defined.

Next we show that $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{S}_{\theta_2} \setminus \mathcal{S}_{\theta_1} \to \mathcal{S}$. Indeed, for any $v \in \mathcal{S}_{\theta_2} \setminus \mathcal{S}_{\theta_1}$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\mathcal{E}v(t) = \lambda \int_0^1 \mathcal{J}_2(t, \theta) \frac{1}{q(\theta)} \left( \int_0^1 \mathcal{J}_1(\theta, \tau) r(\tau) g(\tau, v(\tau)) \, d\tau \right) \, d\theta$$

$$\leq \lambda \int_0^1 \mathcal{J}_2(t, \theta) \frac{1}{q(\theta)} \left( \int_0^1 \mathcal{J}_1(\theta, \tau) r(\tau) g(\tau, v(\tau)) \, d\tau \right) \, d\theta,$$

and then

$$\|\mathcal{E}v\| \leq \lambda \int_0^1 \mathcal{J}_2(t, \theta) \frac{1}{q(\theta)} \left( \int_0^1 \mathcal{J}_1(\theta, \tau) r(\tau) g(\tau, v(\tau)) \, d\tau \right) \, d\theta.$$
hence $E \nu \in S$. Then $E(S_{\theta_2} \setminus S_{\theta_1}) \subset S$.

We prove now that $E : S_{\theta_2} \setminus S_{\theta_1} \to S$ is a completely continuous operator. We assume that $D \subset S_{\theta_2} \setminus S_{\theta_1}$ is an (arbitrary) bounded set. By using the first part of the proof, we deduce that $E(D)$ is uniformly bounded. We show next that $E(D)$ is equicontinuous. For $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a natural number $m_2 \geq 3$ satisfying the condition

$$\sup_{v \in S_{\theta_2} \setminus S_{\theta_1}} \int_{I_{m_2}} r(\theta)g(\theta, v(\theta)) \, d\theta < \frac{\epsilon}{4M} \left( \int_0^1 \frac{1}{q(\theta)} \, d\theta \right)^{-1}.$$  

Because $G_2(s, \theta)$ is uniformly continuous on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$, then for the above $\epsilon > 0$ we deduce that there exists $\rho > 0$ such that for any $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$ with $|t_1 - t_2| < \rho$ and $\theta \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$|G_2(t_1, \theta) - G_2(t_2, \theta)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2M} \left( \int_0^1 \frac{1}{q(\theta)} \, d\theta \right)^{-1}.$$  

Here $M_2 = \max \left\{ 1, \max \left\{ g(t, y), t \in \left[ \frac{1}{m_2}, \frac{m_2-1}{m_2} \right], y \in \left[ \frac{1}{m_2}, \theta_1, \theta_2 \right] \right\} \right\}$ and $r_0 = \max \left\{ 1, \max \left\{ r(\theta), \theta \in \left[ \frac{1}{m_2}, \frac{m_2-1}{m_2} \right] \right\} \right\}$.

Therefore for any $v \in D, t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$ with $|t_1 - t_2| < \rho$, we find

$$|E(v)(t_1) - E(v)(t_2)| = \lambda \int_0^1 (G_2(t_1, \theta) - G_2(t_2, \theta)) \frac{1}{q(\theta)} \left( \int_0^1 G_1(\theta, \tau)r(\tau)g(\tau, v(\tau)) \, d\tau \right) \, d\theta$$

$$\leq 2\lambda \sup_{v \in D} \int_0^1 J_2(\theta) \frac{1}{q(\theta)} \left( \int_{I_{m_2}} J_1(\tau)r(\tau)g(\tau, v(\tau)) \, d\tau \right) \, d\theta$$

$$+ \lambda \sup_{v \in D} \int_0^1 J_2(\theta) \frac{1}{q(\theta)} \left( \int_{I_{m_2}} J_1(\tau)r(\tau)g(\tau, v(\tau)) \, d\tau \right) \, d\theta$$

$$\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon.$$  

So we obtain that $E(D)$ is equicontinuous. By the Arzela-Ascoli theorem, we deduce that $E : S_{\theta_2} \setminus S_{\theta_1} \to S$ is compact.

We prove next that $E : S_{\theta_2} \setminus S_{\theta_1} \to S$ is continuous. We assume that $v_n, v_0 \in S_{\theta_2} \setminus S_{\theta_1}$ for all $n \geq 1$, and $\|v_n - v_0\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Then $\theta_1 \leq \|v_n\| \leq \theta_2$ for all $n \geq 0$. By $(H3)$, for $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a natural number $m_3 \geq 3$ satisfying the condition

$$\sup_{v \in S_{\theta_2} \setminus S_{\theta_1}} \int_{I_{m_3}} r(\theta)g(\theta, v(\theta)) \, d\theta < \frac{\epsilon}{4M} \left( \int_0^1 \frac{1}{q(\theta)} \, d\theta \right)^{-1}.$$  

Because $g$ is uniformly continuous in $\left[ \frac{1}{m_3}, \frac{m_3-1}{m_3} \right] \times \left[ \frac{1}{m_3}, \theta_1, \theta_2 \right]$, we find

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} |g(\theta, v_n(\theta)) - g(\theta, v_0(\theta))| = 0,$$  

uniformly for $\theta \in \left[ \frac{1}{m_3}, \frac{m_3-1}{m_3} \right]$.

Therefore by using the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we deduce

$$\int_{\frac{1}{m_3}}^{\frac{m_3-1}{m_3}} r(\theta)|g(\theta, v_n(\theta)) - g(\theta, v_0(\theta))| \, d\theta \to 0,$$  

as $n \to \infty$.

So, for the above $\epsilon > 0$ there exists another natural number $m_4$ such that for all $n > m_4$, we obtain

$$\int_{\frac{1}{m_4}}^{\frac{m_4-1}{m_4}} r(\theta)|g(\theta, v_n(\theta)) - g(\theta, v_0(\theta))| \, d\theta < \frac{\epsilon}{2M} \left( \int_0^1 \frac{1}{q(\theta)} \, d\theta \right)^{-1}. $$  

(18)
By (17) and (18) we conclude that
\[
\|\mathcal{E}v_n - \mathcal{E}v_0\| \leq \sup_{v \in \mathcal{S}_{\theta_2} \setminus \mathcal{S}_{\theta_1}} \lambda \int_0^1 \mathcal{J}_2(\theta) \frac{1}{q(\theta)} \left( \int_{m_3}^1 \mathcal{J}_1(\tau) |g(\tau, v_n(\tau)) - g(\tau, v_0(\tau))| d\tau \right) d\theta \\
+ \sup_{v \in \mathcal{S}_{\theta_2} \setminus \mathcal{S}_{\theta_1}} \lambda \int_0^1 \mathcal{J}_2(\theta) \frac{1}{q(\theta)} \left( \int_{\frac{m_3}{n_3}}^{m_3-1} \mathcal{J}_1(\tau) |g(\tau, v_n(\tau)) - g(\tau, v_0(\tau))| d\tau \right) d\theta \\
\leq \frac{\xi}{2} + \frac{\xi}{2} = \epsilon, \quad \forall n > m_4.
\]

So we find that \( \mathcal{E} : \mathcal{S}_{\theta_2} \setminus \mathcal{S}_{\theta_1} \to \mathcal{S} \) is a continuous operator. Therefore \( \mathcal{E} \) is a completely continuous operator. \( \Box \)

Under assumptions (H1)–(H3), by using the extension theorem, the operator \( \mathcal{E} \) has a completely continuous extension (we also denoted it by \( \mathcal{E} \)) from \( \mathcal{S} \) to \( \mathcal{S} \).

By using the Krein-Rutman theorem in the space \( C[0,1] \) and similar methods as those used in the proof of Lemma 3.2 from [1], we obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.** We suppose that assumptions (H1) and (H2) hold. Then the spectral radius \( r(F) \neq 0 \) and \( F \) has an eigenfunction \( \xi_1 \in C \setminus \{0\} \) which corresponds to the principal eigenvalue \( r(F) \), that is \( F\xi_1 = r(F)\xi_1 \). So \( r(F) > 0 \).

By using a similar approach as that used in the proof of Lemma 4 for operator \( \mathcal{E} \), we deduce that \( F(S) \subset S \).

**Theorem 1.** We suppose that assumptions (H1)–(H3) hold. If
\[
0 \leq \varrho_{\infty} := \lim sup_{\gamma \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \frac{\mathcal{S}(t, y)}{y} < \varrho_0' := \lim inf_{\gamma \to 0^+} \min_{t \in [0,1]} \frac{\mathcal{S}(t, y)}{y} \leq \infty,
\]
then for any \( \lambda \in \left( \frac{1}{\varrho_0'(r(F))}, \frac{1}{\varrho_{\infty} r(F)} \right) \) there exists at least one positive solution \( v(t), t \in [0,1] \) of problem (1), (2), (we use here the conventions \( \frac{1}{\gamma r^m} = \infty \) and \( \frac{1}{\gamma} = 0^+ \)).

**Proof.** Let \( \lambda \in \left( \frac{1}{\varrho_0'(r(F))}, \frac{1}{\varrho_{\infty} r(F)} \right) \). For \( \varrho_0' \), there exists \( \omega_1 > 0 \) such that \( g(\tau, y) \geq \frac{y}{\omega_1 r(\tau)} \) for all \( \tau \in [0,1] \) and \( y \in [0, \omega_1] \). Hence for any \( v \in \partial \mathcal{S}_{\omega_1} \) we obtain
\[
\mathcal{E}v(t) = \lambda \int_0^1 G_2(t, \theta) \frac{1}{q(\theta)} \left( \int_0^1 G_1(\theta, \tau) r(\tau) g(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau \right) d\theta \\
\geq \frac{1}{r(F)} \int_0^1 G_2(t, \theta) \frac{1}{q(\theta)} \left( \int_0^1 G_1(\theta, \tau) r(\tau) v(\tau) d\tau \right) d\theta = \frac{1}{r(F)} Fv(t), \quad \forall t \in [0,1].
\]
We suppose that \( \mathcal{E} \) has no fixed point on \( \partial \mathcal{S}_{\omega_1} \) (if not, the proof is finished). We will prove that
\[
v - \mathcal{E}v \neq v_1, \quad \forall v \in \partial \mathcal{S}_{\omega_1}, \quad v \geq 0,
\]
where \( \xi_1 \) is given in Lemma 5. We assume that there exist \( v_1 \in \partial \mathcal{S}_{\omega_1} \) and \( v_1 \geq 0 \) such that \( v_1 - \mathcal{E}v_1 = v_1 \xi_1 \). Then \( v_1 > 0 \) and \( v_1 = \mathcal{E}v_1 + v_1 \xi_1 \geq v_1 \xi_1 \). We denote by \( v_0 = \sup\{v, \ v_1 \geq v_1 \} \). Then \( v_0 \geq v_1, v_1 \geq v_0 \xi_1 \) and
\[
\mathcal{E}v_1 \geq \frac{1}{r(F)} Fv_1 \geq \frac{1}{r(F)} v_0 F\xi_1 = v_0 \xi_1.
\]
So \( \nu_1 = E\nu_1 + \nu_1 \zeta_1 \geq \nu_0 \zeta_1 + \nu_1 \zeta_1 = (\nu_0 + \nu_1) \zeta_1 \), which represents a contradiction with the definition of \( \nu_0 \). Then relation (19) holds, and by [19] we conclude that

\[
i(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S}_{\omega_1}, \mathcal{S}) = 0. \tag{20}\]

For \( g_{\omega_1} \), we deduce that there exist \( \eta \in (0, 1) \) and \( \omega_2 > \omega_1 \) such that \( g(\tau, y) \leq \eta \frac{1}{\lambda r(\mathcal{F}_1)} y \) for all \( \tau \in [0, 1] \) and \( y \in (\omega_2, \infty) \). We introduce the linear operator \( \mathcal{F}_1 : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X} \) by

\[
\mathcal{F}_1 v(t) = \frac{1}{r(\mathcal{F})} \nu_1(t) = \frac{1}{r(\mathcal{F})} \int_0^1 G_2(t, \theta) \frac{1}{q(\theta)} \left( \int_0^1 G_1(\theta, \tau) r(\tau) v(\tau) \, d\tau \right) \, d\theta,
\]

for all \( t \in [0, 1] \) and \( v \in \mathcal{X} \). The above operator \( \mathcal{F}_1 \) is bounded, and \( \mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{S}) \subseteq \mathcal{S} \). Because \( \eta \in (0, 1) \) we find \( r(\mathcal{F}_1) = \eta < 1 \). We consider the set \( \mathcal{U} = \{ v \in \mathcal{S} \setminus B_{\omega_1}, \nu v = \mathcal{E}v \text{ with } \nu \geq 1 \} \). For \( v \in \mathcal{S} \), we denote by \( K(v) = \{ t \in [0, 1], \nu(t) \geq \omega_2 \} \). Then for \( v \in \mathcal{S} \), we have \( \nu(t) \geq \omega_2 \) for all \( t \in K(v) \), and so

\[
g(t, \nu(t)) \leq \eta \frac{1}{\lambda r(\mathcal{F}_1)} \nu(t), \quad \forall t \in K(v). \tag{21}\]

By relation (21) and the definition of linear operator \( \mathcal{F} \), we find for any \( v \in \mathcal{U}, \nu \geq 1 \) and \( t \in [0, 1] \) that

\[
v(t) \leq \nu v(t) = (E\nu)(t) = \lambda \int_0^1 G_2(t, \theta) \frac{1}{q(\theta)} \left( \int_0^1 G_1(\theta, \tau) r(\tau) g(\tau, v(\tau)) \, d\tau \right) \, d\theta
\]

\[
= \lambda \int_0^1 G_2(t, \theta) \frac{1}{q(\theta)} \left( \int_{K(v)} G_1(\theta, \tau) r(\tau) g(\tau, v(\tau)) \, d\tau \right) \, d\theta
\]

\[
+ \lambda \int_0^1 G_2(t, \theta) \frac{1}{q(\theta)} \left( \int_{[0,1] \setminus K(v)} G_1(\theta, \tau) r(\tau) g(\tau, v(\tau)) \, d\tau \right) \, d\theta
\]

\[
\leq \frac{\eta}{r(\mathcal{F})} \int_0^1 G_2(t, \theta) \frac{1}{q(\theta)} \left( \int_{K(v)} G_1(\theta, \tau) r(\tau) v(\tau) \, d\tau \right) \, d\theta
\]

\[
+ \lambda \int_0^1 J_2(\theta) \frac{1}{q(\theta)} \left( \int_0^1 J_1(\tau) r(\tau) g(\tau, \nu(v)) \, d\tau \right) \, d\theta
\]

\[
\leq \frac{\eta}{r(\mathcal{F})} \int_0^1 G_2(t, \theta) \frac{1}{q(\theta)} \left( \int_0^1 G_1(\theta, \tau) r(\tau) v(\tau) \, d\tau \right) \, d\theta
\]

\[
+ \lambda \int_0^1 J_2(\theta) \frac{1}{q(\theta)} \left( \int_0^1 J_1(\tau) \frac{1}{q(\theta)} \, d\theta \right) \, d\theta
\]

\[
M_3 = \mathcal{F}_1 v(t) + \lambda \int_0^1 J_2(\theta) \frac{1}{q(\theta)} \, d\theta \]  

where \( \nu(v) = \min\{v(\tau), \omega_2\} \) for any \( \tau \in [0, 1] \); this function satisfies the inequalities \( \omega_1 \tau^{-1} \leq \nu(\tau) \leq \omega_2 \) for all \( \tau \in [0, 1] \); \( \int_0^1 \frac{r(\theta)}{\lambda} \, d\theta \) and \( M_3 = \sup_{v \in \mathcal{S}_{\omega_2}} \int_0^1 \frac{r(\theta)}{\lambda} \, d\theta \times g(\theta, v(\theta)) \, d\theta \), (as in the proof of Lemma 4 we find that \( M_3 < \infty \)). By the Gelfand formula we know that \( (I - \mathcal{F}_1)^{-1} \) exists and \( (I - \mathcal{F}_1)^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_1^i \), which gives us \( (I - \mathcal{F}_1)^{-1} \mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S} \). This together with (22) imply

\[
v(t) \leq (I - \mathcal{F}_1)^{-1} \left( \lambda \int_0^1 J_2(\theta) \frac{1}{q(\theta)} \, d\theta \right) M_3, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \]

and so

\[
v(t) \leq \lambda \int_0^1 J_2(\theta) \frac{1}{q(\theta)} \, d\theta \| (I - \mathcal{F}_1)^{-1} \|, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \]

which implies that the set \( \mathcal{U} \) is bounded. We choose now \( \omega_3 > \max\{\omega_2, \sup\{\|v\|, v \in \mathcal{U}\}\} \). We find \( \nu v \neq \mathcal{E}v \) for all \( v \in \partial \mathcal{S}_{\omega_3} \) and \( \nu \geq 1 \). By [19], we deduce that

\[
i(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S}_{\omega_1}, \mathcal{S}) = 1. \tag{23}\]

By (20), (23) and the properties of the fixed point index, we conclude that

\[
i(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S}_{\omega_1} \setminus \mathcal{S}_{\omega_3}, \mathcal{S}) = i(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S}_{\omega_3}, \mathcal{S}) - i(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{S}_{\omega_1}, \mathcal{S}) = 1.\]
Then operator $E$ has at least one fixed point on $\mathcal{S}_{\omega_3} \setminus \overline{\mathcal{S}_{\omega_1}}$ which represents a positive solution of problem (1), (2).

4. An Example

Let $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}, \beta = \frac{7}{2} \ (n = 4), \ p = 2, a = \frac{11}{10}, \ z = \frac{1}{5}, \ \gamma_0 = \frac{9}{4}, \ \gamma_1 = \frac{1}{5}, \ \gamma_2 = \frac{5}{3}, \ q(\tau) = \frac{1}{\tau^4}$ for all $\tau \in [0, 1]$, $H_1(\tau) = \frac{1}{4}$ for all $\tau \in [0, 1]$, $H_2(\tau) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{1}{4}, & \tau \in [0, \frac{1}{2}) \cup [\frac{1}{3}, 1] \end{array} \right\}$.

We consider the fractional differential equation

$$
D^{4/3}_{0^+}\left( \frac{1}{t+1} D^{7/2}_{0^+} v(t) \right) = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{t(1-t)^3}} \left( \sqrt{v(t)} + \tau^2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau^2}} \right), \ t \in (0, 1),
$$

with the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{A}_m &= \int_{l_m} r(\theta) g(\theta, v(\theta)) \, d\theta = \int_{l_m} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\theta(1-\theta)^3}} \left( \sqrt{v(\theta)} + \theta^2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\theta^2}} \right) \, d\theta \\
&\leq \int_{l_m} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\theta(1-\theta)^3}} \left( \sqrt{\theta_2 + 1} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\theta^{5/2}\theta_1)^2}} \right) \, d\theta \\
&= \left( \sqrt{\theta_2 + 1} \right) \int_{l_m} \frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{\theta(1-\theta)^3}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\theta^2}} \int_{l_m} \theta^{27/52}(1-\theta)^{3/4} \, d\theta,
\end{align*}
$$

and then $\lim_{m \to \infty} \sup_{v \in \mathcal{S}_{\theta_3} \setminus \mathcal{S}_{\theta_1}} \mathcal{A}_m = 0$, because $g_1(\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta^{27/52}(1-\theta)^{3/4}} \in L^1(0, 1)$ and $g_2(\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta^{27/52}(1-\theta)^{3/4}} \in L^1(0, 1)$. So assumption (H3) is satisfied. In addition we find $g_0^\circ = 0$ and $g_0^\circ = \infty$. Then by applying Theorem 1, we conclude that for any $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ there exists at least one positive solution $v(t)$, $t \in [0, 1]$ of problem (24), (25), satisfying the condition $\|v(t)\| = \|v\|$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

5. Conclusions

In this paper we study the fractional differential Equation (1) with sequential derivatives and a positive parameter, subject to general nonlocal boundary conditions (2) containing Riemann-Stieltjes integrals and fractional derivatives of various orders. The function $g$ from the equation is a nonnegative continuous function and it may be singular at the second variable in the point 0, and the function $r$ is also nonnegative continuous one and it may be singular at the points $t = 0$ and $t = 1$. The general boundary conditions (2) cover some symmetry cases (as periodicity conditions for derivatives) for the unknown function. By using an application of the Krein-Rutman theorem in the space $C[0, 1]$, and some theorems from the fixed point index theory, we prove that problem (1), (2) has at least one positive solution $v(t)$, $t \in [0, 1]$. To illustrate our main existence theorem, we finally present an example.
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