Inhibition of BMP4 and Alpha Smooth Muscle Actin Expression in LX-2 Hepatic Stellate Cells by BMP4-siRNA Lipid Based Nanoparticle
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ABSTRACT - Purpose: To develop and characterize vitamin A (VA)-coupled liposomes for the targeted delivery of BMP4-siRNA to hepatic stellate cells (HSC). VA was selected to increase the uptake by HSC based on their function in the storage of VA. Methods: DOTAP/DOPE liposomes were prepared by film hydration method and their surfaces were decorated with VA. The cytotoxicity of VA-conjugated liposomes was evaluated by the WST-1 assay. Inhibition of BMP4 and α-SMA was determined by PCR and ELISA. Results: VA-coated lipoplexes exhibited an average particle sizes less than 200 nm and zeta potential around +25 mV both determined using ZetaPALS. Inclusion of VA to liposomal surfaces significantly enhanced their cellular uptake without affecting cytotoxicity. VA-coupled liposomes carrying BMP4-siRNA resulted in a significant reduction in BMP4 and α-SMA at both mRNA and protein levels. Conclusion: VA-coated liposomes were successfully designed to deliver BMP4-siRNA to specifically target HSC. The novel delivery system discussed herein may serve as a potential therapeutic strategy for the treatment of liver fibrosis in the future.
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INTRODUCTION

Liver fibrosis is a reversible response following liver injury (1). Although fibrosis is a natural compensatory mechanism to minimize liver injury, liver function is significantly impaired (2-4). Hepatic fibrosis is characterised by excessive accumulation and reduced degradation of extra cellular matrix (ECM). Accumulation of ECM alters the hepatic architecture by forming a fibrous scar and development of nodules that may lead to cirrhosis, portal hypertension and may even progress to liver failure (5, 6). In general, hepatic stellate cells (HSC) are the major player in the development of liver fibrosis as HSC are the main source of the excessive production of ECM in an injured liver (7, 8). When the liver is injured, HSC become activated and transform from quiescent (retinoid-rich phenotype) to myofibroblast-like phenotype, which produce larger amounts of ECM proteins, such as collagens type I, III and laminin as compared to quiescent HSC (9-11).

Among many profibrogenic cytokines, bone morphogenetic protein BMP4 appears to be involved in liver fibrinogenesis. Recent studies demonstrated that BMP2 and BMP4 increase the expression of alpha smooth muscle actin (α-SMA) in cultured HSC (12, 13). The expression of α-SMA is a marker of HSC trans-differentiation and their transformation into myofibroblast-like phenotype (1). In addition, it has been shown that BMPs exhibit a potent effect on the regulation of HSC trans-differentiation (12). Moreover, BMP4 itself is over expressed in other liver diseases, such as bile duct ligated liver (13) and, in liver cancer(14, 15). Therefore, targeting HSC could be one an effective strategy for treatment of liver fibrosis (16).

The specific association between BMP4 and various liver diseases including liver fibrosis makes it an excellent target for drug delivery to HSC (12-15). RNA interference (RNAi) is a new promising therapeutic approach in which a specific gene expression could be regulated using small interfering RNA (siRNA) sequence (17, 18). However, there are challenges associated with siRNA therapy with its
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systemic administration, including safety, stability and effective delivery to the target tissue (19). Therefore, the key to overcome these challenges and improve therapeutic outcomes to siRNA application lies on the development of safe and effective siRNA-delivery systems.

Biocompatible cationic liposomes are considered excellent vehicles for siRNA delivery (20). This is attributed to their ability to form lipoplexes with negatively charged siRNA via electrostatic interaction. In addition, they enhance binding to negatively charged biological membrane components facilitating the uptake of the liposomes by endocytosis (21-23). In the present study we utilized DOTAP/DOPE liposomes and modified their surfaces with vitamin A (VA) to specifically target HSC with BMP4-siRNA and examine their regulation of BMP4 expression in human hepatic stellate cell line culture (LX-2) for gene silencing and internalization. VA was chosen as a specific ligand based on the significant capacity of HSC for its uptake and storage via the retinol binding protein (RBP) receptor. VA -coupled liposomes have previously been shown to enhance cellular uptake of collagen specific chaperone (gp 46) siRNA to HSC. (24).

MATERIALS and METHODS

Materials

1,2-Dioleoyl-sn-Glycero-3-Phosphoethanolamine (DOPE) and 1,2-Dioleoyl-3-Trimethylammonium-Propane (DOTAP) were purchased from NOF, America Corporation (NY, USA). D-(+)-Trehalose dehydrate, VA and Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (ON, Canada). HPLC grade chloroform was obtained from Fisher Scientific (ON, Canada). Amicon® Ultra-4 centrifugal filters devices (30,000 NMWL) were from EMD Millipore (Massachusetts, USA). Premixed WST-1 cell proliferation reagent was purchased from Clontec (ON, Canada). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) primers were designed by the Oligo 7 computer software and synthesized by Life Technologies (ON, Canada). PCR primer sequences used are as follows: BMP4: forward: 5’-ATGTGGGCTGGAATGACTGG -3’, reverse: 5’-GCACAATGCGATGTTGTT -3’; α-SMA: forward: 5’- GAGACCCCTGTCCAG CCA TC -3’, reverse: 5’- TACATAGTGGTGCCCCCTGA -3’.

BMP4 qPCR Template Standard, α-SMA qPCR Template Standard were obtained from OriGene Technologies (Maryland, USA). PureLink® RNA Mini Kit was from Life Technologies (ON, Canada). Halt Protease and Phosphatase Inhibitor Cocktail (100X) were purchased from Life Technology (ON, Canada). BCA Protein Assay Kit was purchased from Thermo Scientific (ON, Canada). Halt Protease and Phosphatase Inhibitor Cocktail (100X) were purchased from Life Technologies (ON, Canada). Power SYBR® Green PCR MASTER MIX was obtained from Life Technologies (ON, Canada). Human BMP4 ELISA kit was purchased from abcam (ON, Canada). Human Alpha-Smooth muscle actin, Alpha-SMA ELISA Kit was obtained from Novatein Biosciences (Massachusetts, USA).

siRNAs

Silencer® Negative Control siRNA, Silencer® FAM-labeled Negative Control siRNA and Pre-designed siRNA silencers selectively targeting human BMP4 were purchased from Life technologies (ON, Canada). The siRNA was composed of 21 bps (MW~13 kDa) with sequences of sense and the anti-sense strands as follows: sense: AGAGUGCCGUCAUUCGGATT, antisense: UCCGGAUGACGGACUCUTG.

Cell culture

Human hepatic stellate cell line (LX-2 cells) was purchased from Millipore Ltd (ON, Canada) and cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) containing high glucose supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1 X penicillin/streptomycin and 1 X Glutamine at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ and 95% air.

Preparation of liposomes

Liposomes were prepared using the thin-film hydration method as described elsewhere (25) with some modifications. Briefly, cationic liposomes were prepared using a 1:1 molar ratio of DOTAP and DOPE. Lipids (containing 50 mg DOTAP and 47 mg DOPE) were dissolved in HPLC-grade chloroform in a round bottom flask. After mixing the lipids, the solvent was dried at 55°C using a rotary evaporator yielding a lipid film. The thin lipid film was thoroughly dried to remove any residual chloroform by placing the flask under high vacuum pump for 1 hr. The resulting dried film was hydrated in double
distilled water (ddH₂O) to make a stock solution of 10 mg/mL. The lipid dispersion was warmed and mixed using a rotatory evaporator in which the round bottom flask was spinning in a warm water bath without vacuum for 10 min at 50°C above the lipid transition temperature (gel liquid crystal transition temperature). Liposomes particle size was reduced by sonication for 80 sec at 7 kHz.

**Conjugation of vitamin A to liposomes and lyophilization**

To prepare (VA)-coupled liposomes, VA was first dissolved in a minimal volume of DMSO (2.6% VA, weight/mL DMSO). VA was then mixed with the liposomal suspension at a molar ratio of (2:1) VA/lipids(24). The mixture was incubated overnight at -20°C for complete adsorption of VA on liposomal surfaces. Following overnight incubation, DMSO and the free fraction of VA were removed by ultrafiltration using Amicon Ultra-4 centrifugal filter devices (30,000 NMWL) at 4,000 rpm for 20 min repeated three times (24). The resultant liposomes were reconstituted in ddH₂O and stored in amber colored vials at 4°C overnight. Liposomes were lyophilized to increase long-term storage stability and protect the phospholipids within liposomes from being hydrolyzed or oxidized(26, 27). However, lyophilization is associated with changes in physicochemical properties of liposomes as a result of damage and rupture of lipid bilayers that may be caused by the formation of ice crystals during freezing, particle aggregation after dehydration, and phase transition during rehydration(28-30).

To stabilize liposomes during lyophilization, lyoprotectants, such as carbohydrates were used to maintain transfection efficiency(29-31). Liposomes employed in the present study were lyophilized utilizing trehalose as lyo-protectant as described elsewhere (26). Firstly, trehalose was added to liposomes at a mass ratio of 1:10 liposomes/trehalose in ddH₂O and vortexed for 15 seconds at 25°C in amber colored vials. Liposomes were then frozen at -80°C for 8 hr followed by lyophilization at -50°C under reduced pressure 0.310 mBar for 24 hr (Labconco freeze dryer; Labconco Corp., MO, USA). The lyophilized samples were stored at -20°C in amber colored vials for further characterization and siRNA loading.

**Preparation of Lipoplexes (siRNA-liposomes complex)**

Lyophilized samples were reconstituted in prewarmed ddH₂O to their original volume. The reconstituted liposomes were warmed in a water bath at 50°C and mixed using a vortex mixer until the samples were totally dispersed (without any visually inspected particulate matter). To prepare lipoplexes, VA-liposomes were first prepared as mentioned above, and siRNA was diluted to a concentration of 1.0 µg/µL in nuclease-free water prior to lipoplex preparation. Lipoplexes were prepared by the addition of VA-liposomes to siRNA solution at various VA-liposomes /siRNA molar ratios of (10:1, 10:2 and 10:3 mol:mol). The mixture was gently mixed by pipetting up and down several times and then incubated at RT for 30 min to allow complex formation (32).

**Measurement of particle size and Zeta potential**

Liposomes and lipoplexes were suspended in deionized water at 100-200 µg/mL of NP suspension, pH 7.4. The average size, polydispersity (particle size distribution) and zeta potential of VA–coupled liposome, VA-lipoplexes, VA-free liposomes and VA-free lipoplexes were determined at 25°C by dynamic light scattering (DLS) using ZetaPALS (Brookhaven Instruments, Holtsville, NY, USA). Each sample was run for two min three times and all samples were tested in triplicates.

**SiRNA binding efficiency (BE)**

To measure siRNA-binding efficiency (BE), lipoplexes were prepared with FAM-labeled siRNA. The siRNA BE was determined by comparing the amount of initially added siRNA with the unencapsulated siRNA in the sample using ultracentrifugation and a fluorescence assay (33). Briefly, the lipoplexes were prepared by adding different concentrations (1, 3, 5, 9 µg/mL) of fluorescein amidite (FAM)-labeled siRNA to a fixed volume (3µL) of VA-liposomes (10 mg/mL) to achieve a final liposomal concentration of 30 µg/mL (24, 34). Lipoplexes were filtered using Amicon® Ultra-4 centrifugal filter devices (30,000 M.W. cut-off) at 4,000 rpm for 15 min. Following filtration, the filtrate containing the free fraction of FAM-siRNA was collected and quantified using a calibration curve obtained by serial dilution of standard FAM-siRNA solutions. The fluorescence intensity of FAM-siRNA was determined using a microplate
reader (Synergy 4, Biotek, Wi, USA) at excitation and emission wavelengths of 495 and 525 nm, respectively. The siRNA BE was calculated using the following formula:

\[
\text{BE} = \frac{\text{Initially added siRNA} - \text{mass of unencapsulated siRNA}}{\text{Initially added siRNA}} \times 100
\]

**Vitamin A Assay**
VA concentration was determined by Reverse Phase High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (RP-HPLC) as describe earlier (35). Briefly, the free fraction of VA was removed by ultra-centrifugation of VA-liposomes 3 times using Amicon® Ultra-4 centrifugal filter devices (30,000 NMWL) at 4,000 rpm for 20 min each (24). Liposomes (500 µL) with a final lipid concentration of 10 mg/mL were dissolved in an equal volume of HPLC grade methanol in amber vials. Methanol was used for sample extraction and standard preparation. Retinol was used as internal standard. A stock solution of the standard was prepared by dissolving 4 mg retinol in 1 mL HPLC grade methanol (4µg/µL). Working solutions of the standard were prepared by serial dilution using HPLC grade methanol. Samples and standards were prepared in the dark and kept in amber color vials due to the light-sensitive nature of retinol. Aliquots of samples and the diluted standard solutions were transferred into small HPLC amber vials. A volume of 20 µL of all the samples and standards was injected into the column. VA analysis was carried out using HPLC with UV detection (Waters Corp, USA). The separation of VA was carried out using Nova-Pak® C18 4 µm particle size 3.9X150 mm column with a mobile phase composed of acetonitrile, tetrahydrofuran and water (55:37:8) respectively, at a flow rate of 1.2 mL/min. Detection was performed at 325 nm. To avoid peaks from interfering with lipids, VA-free liposomes were used as a negative control. Standard curves for retinol were constructed using quality control concentrations of 62.5, 125, 250, 500 and 1000 µg/mL. Concentration of VA was measured using the equation of the standard curve, which established by plotting the amount of each standard dilution against the corresponding peak area.

**WST-1 Assay**
Cytotoxicity of the VA-coupled liposome was evaluated using a premixed WST-1 cell proliferation reagent (according to the manufacturer’s protocol). Briefly, LX-2 cells were seeded onto 96-well plates at a density of 1 X 10⁴ cells per well in 100 µL of DMEM media and incubated for 24 hr. The following day, cell culture media was replaced with Opti-MEM® reduced serum medium. A volume of 10 µL of VA free liposomes and VA-coupled liposome was added to each well, to achieve a final concentration of 25 to 250 µg/mL per well. Afterwards, the plate was incubated for an additional 24 hr. Blank cell media was used as negative controls while untreated cells were used as positive controls. Cell proliferation was evaluated by adding 10 µL of WST-1 solution to each well and incubated at 37 °C for 2 hr. All concentrations were measured in triplicates. The absorbance was measured using a microplate reader (Synergy 4, Biotek, Wi, USA) at 540 nm. Cell viability was calculated according to the following formula:

\[
\text{Cell viability %} = \frac{\text{Absorbance of treated cells} - \text{Absorbance of Blank}}{\text{Absorbance of control} - \text{Absorbance of Blank}} \times 100
\]

**in vitro cellular uptake**
LX-2 cells were seeded on 6-well plates at a density of 2 X 10⁵ cells per well. After 24 hr of incubation, the culture medium was exchanged with Opti-MEM® reduced serum medium. Cells were treated with 15 µg liposomes containing 3 µg FAM-labeled siRNA per well in 2 mL of the culture media and incubated for 4 hr. Cell medium was then removed by aspiration and cells were washed twice with PBS. Cells were detached by trypsinization. The resulting cell suspensions were centrifuged at 600 rpm for 5 min and washed three times with 1X PBS to remove any free FAM-labeled nanoparticles. Pellets were resuspended in 500 µL PBS and the fluorescence intensity was measured by Flow Cytometer (BD Biosciences, San Hose, CA, USA) (32). Approximately 1 X 10⁴ cells were counted by the flow cytometer determining the trend of the VA-liposomes-siRNA extracted by the LX-2 cells. The instrument was calibrated using non-treated cells (36, 37). The Flow Cytometry data were analyzed using CELLQUEST v.1.0 software.

Intracellular delivery of siRNA to LX-2 cells was evaluated using a microplate reader. Briefly, LX-2 cells were seeded on 24-well plates at density of 5 X 10⁴ cells per well 20 hr before experiments. Cells were treated with different liposome formulations containing 2 µg/well FAM-siRNA in
the culture medium at 37 °C for 4 hr. Cells were washed three times with PBS followed by incubation with 300 μL lysis buffer (0.3% Triton X-100 in PBS) at RT for 30 min. The fluorescence intensity of a 100 μL cell lysate was determined using a microplate reader (Synergy 4, Biotek, Wi, USA) at excitation and emission wavelengths of 495 and 525 nm, respectively.

**SiRNA Transfection**

LX-2 cells were pre-seeded in 12-well plates at a density of 1 X 10⁵ cells per well and incubated at 37˚C for 12 hr before experiments. Cells were treated with different formulations carrying 3 µg of BMP4-siRNA per well in 1 mL of Opti-MEM reduced serum medium. BMP4-siRNA was transfected into LX-2 cells using VA–coupled liposomes, VA–free liposomes and the commercial transfection agent Lipofectamine 3000. The second group was the control group including LX-2 cells treated with VA–coupled liposomes carrying negative control siRNA and normal control (non-transfected cells). LX-2 cells were incubated with BMP4-siRNA at 37˚C for different time points (24 hr, 48 hr and 72 hr).

**Gene Expression Analysis by RT-PCR**

Total RNA was extracted from cultured LX-2 cells using PureLink RNA Mini Kit according to the manufacturer’s protocol. RNA purity and concentration were determined by Thermo Scientific NanoDrop 2000/2000c Spectrophotometers. Total RNA was converted to cDNA using iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit. The obtained cDNA was diluted to a concentration of 5ng/μL. Real time PCR (qPCR) was carried out for BMP4 and α-SMA using the oligonucleotides synthesized by Invitrogen. The specific primers of each gene were designed based on the respective sequences obtained from GenBank by Oligo 7 program. Total reaction volume was 20 μL with 5 μL of cDNA, 10 mL of 2X of Power SYBR® Green PCR MASTER MIX and 0.5 μL of 10 μM forward and reverse primers. qPCR amplification was achieved by running 10 min at 95˚C for pre-denaturation and 50 cycles under the following cycle conditions: 30 sec at 95˚C for denaturation; different annealing temperatures for 1 min; elongation at 72˚C for 2 min; followed by a final elongation at 72˚C for 10 min using a PCR Detection System (the Bio-Rad Laboratories, ON, Canada). Data were analyzed by Bio-Rad CFX Manager software version 3.1. The standard curve was established by plotting the log of the starting quantity of each template dilution against the respective fluorescence intensity acquired during the amplification known as Ct (cycle threshold) of each dilution. Concentrations of unknown samples were calculated based on the standard curve equation as follow:

\[
\text{Sample (number of copies)} = \frac{\text{Ct sample} - \text{Intercept}}{\text{slope}}
\]

The comparative Δ Ct method was applied to determine the change in gene expression between treated samples relative to control group. To examine regulation of BMP-4 or α-SMA, the Ct from each treated sample was subtracted from the control-treated sample cycle values (Δ Ct= Ct control-Ct treated). The fold change of the test gene was determined as 2 - Δ Ct.

**Protein Isolation and Quantification**

Control and treated cells were grown in 6-well plates as mentioned above. Cells were washed with ice-cold PBS, trypsinized, and centrifuged at 600 rpm for 5 min. Supernatant was discarded and the cell pellet was lysed in 100 μL ice-cold protein extraction solution (1× = 50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 0.5 mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% NP-40) containing 1× protease inhibitor cocktail (10µL/mL lysis buffer: protease inhibitor). Cell lysates were maintained at constant agitation for 30 minutes at 4 °C. Samples were centrifuged at 13,000 g for 15 min at 4 °C. The supernatant of each sample was carefully collected and transferred into a new tube. Protein concentration was determined by the BCA protein assay.

**ELISA (Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay) method for detection of BMP4 and α-SMA**

Following total protein isolation and quantification, ELISA was established to determine the concentration of BMP-4 and α-SMA in cell lysates according to the manufacturer’s instruction. The specific protein concentration of tested samples was determined based on the equation acquired by the respective standard curve. The standard curve was established by plotting the concentration of each standard dilution against the respective absorbance intensity. The absorbance was measured immediately at 450 nm using a microplate reader (Synergy 4, Biotek, WI, USA).
STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Data were analyzed using Prism 6.0 (Graph-Pad Software Inc.). Results were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD) of n ≥ 3 unless otherwise specified. One and two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) tests were used to test significant differences between treatments with p-values < 0.05 considered significant, < 0.01 very significant, < 0.001 highly significant and < 0.0001 very highly significant.

RESULTS

Characterization of Liposomes and Lipoplexes

The average particle size of VA-free liposomes and VA-coupled liposomes ranged between 100 and 120 nm with a polydispersity index (PDI) around 0.20 (Table 1). The average zeta potential measurement for VA-free liposomes and VA-coupled liposomes in dH2O (pH 7.4) remained nearly constant at ~ 45 mV. The addition of VA did not cause any significant change in terms of particle size, zeta potential and PDI of either liposome or lipoplexes. When liposomes were conjugated with siRNA to form lipoplexes, the average size and PDI were considerably increased. The measured zeta potential of the resulting lipoplexes, however, was not significantly decreased and ranged approximately between 45-25 mV.

SiRNA binding efficiency (BE) determined by ultra-filtration method and fluorescence assay is shown in Figure 1. The amount of unbound-siRNA was measured in the clear filtrate. The BE of VA-coupled liposomes prepared with FAM-labeled siRNA of varying concentrations 1, 3, 5 and 9 µg/mL resulted in BE % of 95.3 ± 1.23, 93.76 ± 3.064, 93.75 ± 3.58, 92.68 ± 8.75, respectively.

Figure 1. The binding efficiency of siRNA was assessed using a fixed concentration of the liposomal formulation (30 µg/mL) containing various concentrations of siRNA namely 1, 3, 5, 9 µg/mL determined by the ultra-filtration method. The free fractions of siRNA in different samples were collected after ultra-filtration and then measured by a fluorescence assay. The results represent mean ± SD (n = 3).

Similarly, the BE of VA-free liposomes was found to be 94.62 ± 1.72, 93.501 ± 6.250, 91.62 ± 7.159, 88.195 ± 5.89 at siRNA concentration of 1, 3, 5, and 9 µg/mL, respectively. There was no significant difference of siRNA BE either between VA-liposomes and VA-free liposomes, or in different concentrations.

HPLC was used to measure the concentration of VA that was loaded onto the liposomal formulation. Separation of VA in liposomes was successfully achieved isocratically using a mobile phase of

Table 1. The average particle size, zeta potential and polydispersity index (PDI) of liposomes and lipoplexes measured by dynamic light scattering using ZetaPALS.

| LIPOSOMES FORMULATION          | PARTICLE SIZE (nm) | ZETA POTENTIAL (mV) | POLYDISPERITY Index (PDI) |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| VA-free liposomes¹              | 112.5±6.6          | 46.25±7.7           | 0.21475±0.034             |
| VA-liposomes²                   | 113.5±5            | 43.75±6.99          | 0.20775±0.022             |
| VA-free–siRNA lipoplexes³       | 153.5±8            | 27±3.65             | 0.15±0.0139               |
| VA-siRNA lipoplexes⁴            | 158.5±9.8          | 23.75±3.40          | 0.1395±0.013              |

Data represent means ± SD; n = 3. T-Test: Particle size, 1 vs. 3: p <0.001, 2 vs. 4: p < 0.001; PDI, 1 vs. 3: p <0.05, 2 vs. 4: p < 0.01.
acetonitrile-tetrahydrofuran-water (55:37:8) at flow rate of 1.2 mL/min. Chromatograms showed no interfering peaks that co-eluted with our compound of interest at a retention time of 1.3 min for VA (Sup Figure 1). HPLC analysis showed that our liposomes contained 3.25 mg VA per mL, which makes up approximately 80% of the initially added amount of VA.

**Liposomes Toxicity Study**

To examine the biocompatibility of the liposomal formulation and its cytotoxicity, various concentrations of VA-coupled liposomes and VA-free liposomes were tested in LX-2 cells using the WST-1 assay (Figure 2). The data showed no significant difference between the cytotoxicity of VA-liposomes versus VA-free liposomes on LX-2 cells. Both VA-coupled liposomes and VA-free liposomes at concentrations up to 100 µg/mL were not toxic to LX-2 cells (cell viability~100%) as illustrated in Figure 2. However, a significant reduction in cell viability ~80% (p < 0.05) was observed with both liposomes at 150 µg/mL. The highest tested toxic concentrations of VA-coupled liposomes was at 250 µg/mL, which reduced the percentage of cell viability to 45.34% (p < 0.0001). At a concentration of 200 µg/mL the cell viability has decreased to 57.16% (p < 0.0001). Similar toxic effect was observed with VA-free liposomes at 200 and 250 µg/mL with ~60 % viable cell (p < 0.0001) at both concentrations. Despite the concern about VA toxicity, the chosen concentration (50 to 100 µg/mL) of VA conjugated to liposomes was not cytotoxic.

**Cellular Uptake Study**

The delivery efficiency of VA-liposomes containing FAM-siRNA was evaluated in LX-2 cells using flow cytometry. Analysis of flow cytometry data showed that VA- liposomes possessed significantly higher internalization compared with VA free liposomes. LX-2 cells transfected with VA liposomes were 55 ± 7% (p <0.01) FAM-positive, whereas those transfected with VA-free liposomes were 30 ± 9% (p <0.05) FAM positive. However, treatment with naked siRNA did not induce any fluorescence (Figure 3).

The internalization of liposomes determined by measuring the fluorescence intensity using a microplate reader also showed that cells treated with VA-liposomes (p < 0.001) had significantly higher fluorescence intensities than those treated with VA-free liposomes (p < 0.05). The mean fluorescence intensity was 1592.3 ± 238.7 for VA-free liposomes treated cells and 2876.3 ± 320.75 for VA-coated liposomes treated cells (Figure 4).

![Figure 2. Cytotoxicity analysis determined by WST-1 assay on LX-2 cells. The data show percentage of viable LX-2 cells after treatment with various concentrations of VA-coupled and VA-free liposomes namely 25, 50, 100, 150, 200, and 250 µg/ml in comparison with untreated cells at 24 h post-transfection using the WST-1 assay. The data shown represents three different experiments (each performed in triplicate) and are expressed as mean ± SD. (n = 3). * = p-values < 0.05 considered significant and *** = p< 0.001 highly significant.](image)

**In Vitro BMP4 Gene Silencing**

RT-PCR was used to examine the effect of BMP4-siRNA on BMP4 gene expression. RT-PCR analysis showed that there was significant silencing of BMP4 gene (>50%) after treatment with BMP4-siRNA at all-time points (Figure 5). The gene silencing
Figure 3. Flow cytometer analysis of 300 nM FAM-labeled siRNA complexed to the liposomal formulation transfected into LX-2 cells after 4 h incubation. (A) Untreated cells (B) free siRNA as negative control (C) VA-liposome/siRNA (D) VA-free liposomes/siRNA.
efficiency of BMP4-siRNA/VA-liposomes at 24 h, 48 h and 72 h post transfection was 72.0 ± 11.6% (p < 0.0001), 74.5 ± 3.2% (p < 0.0001), and 81.1 ± 5.4% (p < 0.0001), respectively. In addition, BMP4 expression was also significantly inhibited by BMP4-siRNA/VA free liposomes lipoplexes with % inhibition of 60.6 ± 6.4% (p < 0.0001), 54.6 ± 7.4% (p < 0.0001), and 55.7 ± 6.7% (p < 0.0001), at 24, 48 and 72 h respectively. Similarly, after treatment with BMP4-siRNA/lipofectamine complex at all-time points, the BMP4 level was reduced to 46.0 ± 5.6% (p < 0.0001), 51.1 ± 5.2% (p < 0.0001), and 40.6 ± 9.7% (p < 0.0001) compared to untreated control. VA liposomes exhibit significantly lower mRNA expression level compared to VA-free liposomes after 48 and 72 hr treatments. Therefore, BMP4-siRNA/VA-liposomes had the highest efficiency to inhibit BMP4 expression in LX-2 cells.

Figure 4. In vitro cellular uptake analysis determined by a microplate reader. The fluorescence intensities of cell lysates from LX-2 cells treated with FAM-siRNA represent the intracellular delivery efficiency for siRNA by a given formulation. Each value represents the mean ± S.D. (n = 3). * = p-values < 0.05 considered significant and *** = p < 0.001 highly significant.

**Effect of BMP4 Gene Silencing on α-SMA mRNA Expression**

BMP4 is the key cytokine that can increase the expression of α-SMA in cultured HSC (12, 13). Therefore, we measured α-SMA mRNA expression in HSC cells after transfection with BMP4-siRNA (Figure 6). We observed that the treatment with BMP4-siRNA caused a significant reduction of α-SMA expression in a similar manner as observed in the case of BMP4. All groups treated with VA-liposomes, VA-free liposomes and Lipofectamine-3000 carrying BMP4-siRNA caused significant α-SMA knockdown at all-time points. The α-SMA expression in the group treated with BMP4-siRNA bound to VA-liposomes was [22.8 ± 3.3% (p < 0.0001), 36.0 ± 5.7% (p < 0.0001), and 34.4 ± 8.3% (p < 0.0001) at 24, 48 h and 72 h respectively]. BMP4-siRNA delivered by VA-free liposomes and lipofectamine 3000 showed similar expression of α-SMA compared with that of untreated control at all-time points [VA-free liposomes = 32.7 ± 8.8%, 39.2 ± 13.0% and 36.3 ± 12.6% (p < 0.0001) while, lipofectamine 3000 = 30.0 ± 2.8%, 43.5 ± 11.1% and 41.4 ± 16.1% at 24 h, 48 h and 72 h, respectively (p < 0.0001)].

**BMP4 protein expression analysis by ELISA**

The data obtained from ELISA showed that VA-liposomes, VA-free liposomes, and lipofectamine 3000 caused significant reduction in BMP4 protein level at 48 h and 72 h (Figure 7). At 48 h post BMP4-siRNA transfection, the expression level of BMP4 was significantly reduced to 52.8 ± 4.6% (p < 0.0001), 63.7 ± 4.3% (p < 0.0001) and 50.6 ± 4.0% (p < 0.0001) following treatment with VA-liposomes, VA-free liposomes and Lipofectamine 3000, respectively, compared to untransfected control. Similarly, at 72 h post transfection, VA-liposomes, VA-free liposomes and Lipofectamine 3000 showed significant suppression of BMP4 by 47.0 ± 3.3% (p < 0.0001), 44.0 ± 5.1% (p < 0.0001) and 50.1 ± 5.2% (p < 0.0001), respectively. However, at 24 h post transfection, only BMP4-siRNA with Lipofectamine 3000 showed a significant knock down of BMP4 expression by nearly 36.9 ± 3.8% (p < 0.0001).
Figure 5. Inhibition of BMP4 in LX-2 cells determined by absolute RT-PCR assay at 24, 48 and 72 hr after treatment with 3μg/well of BMP4-siRNA using VA–coupled liposomes, VA–free liposomes, Lipofectamine 3000 and Control siRNA. Results were normalized and compared to normal control (non-transfected cells) within same time point, and each value represents the mean ± S.D. (n = 3). **** = p < 0.0001 in comparison to the control untreated; a: p < 0.05

Figure 6. Inhibition of α-SMA in LX-2 cells determined by absolute RT-PCR assay at 24, 48 and 72 hr after treatment with 3μg/well of BMP4-siRNA using VA–coupled liposomes, VA–free liposomes, Lipofectamine 3000 and Control siRNA. Results were normalized to normal control (non-transfected cells) within same time point. Each value represents the mean ± S.D. (n = 3). **** = p < 0.0001 in comparison to the control untreated.
**Figure 7.** Effect of BMP-4 gene silencing on BMP4 protein expression determined by ELISA at 24, 48 and 72 h after treatment with 3μg/well of BMP4-siRNA using VA–coupled liposomes, VA–free liposomes and Lipofectamine 3000. Negative control siRNA was used as internal standard. Results were normalized and compared with normal control (non-transfected cells) within same time point. Each value represents the mean ± S.D. (n = 3). ) **** = \( p < 0.0001 \) in comparison to the control untreated.

### α-SMA Protein Expression Analysis by ELISA

To further confirm the effect of BMP4 gene silencing on α-SMA protein expression, ELISA was utilized to determine α-SMA expression level. The expression profile of α-SMA obtained by ELISA was very similar to that of BMP4 (Figure 8). At 24 h post transfection, both VA-coupled liposomes and VA-free liposomes did not show a significant change in α-SMA protein levels. In contrast, Lipofectamine 3000 was shown to knock down the protein expression of α-SMA to 72.0 ± 8.7 % (\( p < 0.05 \)). Conversely, at 48 h post siRNA transfection the levels of α-SMA significantly declined to 63.6 ± 2.9 % (\( p < 0.01 \)), 50.4 ± 3.6 % (\( p < 0.0001 \)) and 63.4 ± 10.6 % (\( p < 0.01 \)) following treatment with VA-coupled liposomes, VA-free liposomes, and Lipofectamine 3000, respectively, compared to non-transfected controls. Similarly, at 72 h post transfection VA-liposomes, VA-free liposomes and Lipofectamine 3000 showed significant suppression of α-SMA by 30.9 ± 7.2 % (\( p < 0.01 \)), 45.0 ± 13.8 % (\( p < 0.001 \)) and 32.3 ± 4.8 % (\( p < 0.01 \)), respectively, compared with non-transfected control. In contrast, there was no significant change in α-SMA expression in the group that was treated with control siRNA.

### DISCUSSION

Hepatic fibrosis is a condition that affects millions of people worldwide and has poor prognosis. This is attributed to the fact that fibrosis may progress to cirrhosis, hepatocellular carcinoma, liver failure, and may eventually lead to death (38). HSC are the principal players involved in the pathogenesis of liver fibrosis. (7, 8). The reason for the principal involvement of HSC is that liver injury triggers the activation of HSC, which in turn produce large amounts of ECM proteins. Excessive production of ECM proteins results in their accumulation as insoluble substances leading to fibrosis (6). Therefore, targeting HSC is likely an effective strategy for treatment of liver fibrosis (16).
Figure 8. Effect of BMP-4 gene silencing on α-SMA protein expression determined by ELISA at 24, 48 and 72 hr after treatment with 3μg/well of BMP4-siRNA using VA–coupled liposomes, VA–free liposomes, Lipofectamine 3000 and control siRNA. Results were normalized and compared with normal control (non-transfected cells). Each value represents the mean ± S.D. (n = 3). * = p-values < 0.05 considered significant, ** = p < 0.01 very significant, *** = p < 0.001 highly significant and ****= p < 0.0001 considered very highly significant.

In the present study, VA-coupled liposomes were developed to specifically target HSC with BMP4-siRNA and they were tested in LX-2 cells, an in vitro cell culture model. Particle size was examined to evaluate the effectiveness of size reduction and liposome stability following lyophilization. Particle size is also an important parameter for their entry across cellular membranes by endocytosis. Zeta potential is another important aspect of liposome stability as it reflects the degree of aggregation or repulsion between particles. It is also a critical factor that helps to predict permeability across biological membranes. The change in particle size and zeta potential of particles can alter the transfection efficiency (39).

In the current study, small particle size liposomes (~110 nm) with uniform size distribution (PDI ~0.20) were obtained after brief sonication of the formulation for 80 sec. When liposomes were conjugated to siRNA to form lipoplexes, the average size was increased considerably to 200 nm and lower with a narrow size distribution that was less than 0.2. The small PDI of liposomes indicates narrow size distribution (Table 1).

Our data show that the average particle size and zeta potential of VA-coupled liposomes and lipoplexes were barely altered in comparison with their respective VA-free liposomes. The zeta potential values of all liposomes and lipoplexes were positive with a significant decrease after conjugation to siRNA. This decrease in the zeta potential could be due to the neutralization of the cationic lipid head groups (a quaternary amine head group) with anionic phosphate groups of siRNA.

The loading efficiency depends on the strategy used for lipoplexes preparation, lipid/siRNA ratio, and the cationic lipid/neutral lipid ratio (45). In this study, lipoplexes were prepared by a simple adsorption method (external addition). To achieve this, liposomes were first prepared. siRNA was then
added to the preformed liposomes. The siRNA binding efficiency was calculated by subtracting the free fraction measured in the sample from the initial amount of siRNA added. Our results show that siRNA was efficiently associated with cationic liposomes. The binding efficiency of siRNA was assessed using a fixed concentration of the liposomal formulation (30 µg/mL) containing various concentrations of siRNA namely 1, 3, 5, 9 µg/mL and found to be in the range of 90-95%. This may be due to electrostatic interaction between negatively charged siRNA and positively charged lipids (21-23). The data also indicate that siRNA BE% of lipoplexes prepared with VA-free liposomes and VA-coupled liposomes remained almost constant, suggesting that the modification of DOPE/DOTAP liposomes with VA had little impact on siRNA BE.

In our cellular uptake study using flow cytometry and spectrofluorimetry, we were able to demonstrate that VA-coupled liposomes achieved significantly higher cellular uptake in comparison with VA-free liposomes. These results were consistent with those from Sato and colleagues (2008) (24) who showed that HSC cells treated with VA-lipsiRNAgp46-FAM demonstrated higher fluorescence in the perinuclear region at 2 hr as compared to faint green fluorescence seen in the perinuclear region of cells treated with lip-siRNAgp46-FAM. There was no significant change in viability of LX-2 cells transfected with VA-coupled liposomes or VA-free liposomes at the lower lipid concentrations (up to 100 µg/mL). However, at lipid concentrations ≥ 150 µg/mL, cell viability was significantly reduced. These findings suggested that the optimum safe concentration for both VA-coupled liposomes and VA-free liposomes is at 100 µg/mL, which shows no toxicity to LX-2 cells (~100% cell viability) (Fig 7). The cell viability findings also led to the conclusion that the amount of VA added to the liposomes was within the safe range as it did not enhance the cytotoxicity of liposomes.

BMP4 is a potent regulator of HSC trans-differentiation and transformation into a myofibroblast-like phenotype (12). Results from the RT-PCR showed that BMP4-siRNA delivered with VA-coupled liposomes reduced BMP4 mRNA by ~75% compared to untreated control, while treatment with BMP4-siRNA/ VA-free liposomes resulted in ~ 55% knockdown of BMP4 mRNA. Since BMP4 regulates HSC trans-differentiation and transformation into myofibroblast-like phenotype through controlling the activity of α-SMA, BMP4-siRNA inhibited α-SMA expression at the same level as it did for BMP4. This result is consistent with previously published data implicating that the expression of α-SMA is increased in HSC after treatment with BMP4 (12). In addition, ELISA results showed that BMP4-siRNA caused significant reduction in BMP4 and α-SMA protein levels at 48 h and 72 hr post transfection approaching ~ 50% in the protein expression of both BMP4 and α-SMA. However, there was nearly no effect on both BMP4 and α-SMA protein expression after 24 hr post treatment with BMP4-siRNA.

Generally, the duration and level of gene silencing resulting from siRNA are dependent on the type of cell, concentration of siRNA and size of protein. This effect usually lasts from five to seven days (46, 47). Therefore, transfections may be repeated to maintain knockdown. Because siRNA only suppresses the expression of newly transcribed mRNA, it is possible that the observed level may be either due to the residual protein expressed by cell prior to siRNA treatment or due to the mRNA that was not successfully transfected (48). The unsuccessful or incomplete capacity to silence the target gene expression could be due to the failure of liposome to deliver siRNA, or due to siRNA degradation. The reasons mentioned above may explain why BMP4-siRNA could not down regulate the protein expression after 24 hr and why 100% protein knockdown at 48 and 72 hr post treatment was not achieved. Taken together, the results may imply that the anti-fibrotic properties of BMP4-siRNA occur via inhibition of the α-SMA.

CONCLUSION

This study focused on targeting HSC through inhibiting BMP4 using siRNA. Cationic DOPE/DOTAP liposomes modified with VA were successfully developed for the targeted delivery of siRNA. Liposomes were optimized to result in a favorable particle size (~110 nm) and to maximize siRNA loading efficiency. The efficacy of the formulation was tested in an *in vitro* cell culture model. Inclusion of VA in the cationic liposomes significantly improved the cellular uptake without affecting the cytotoxicity allowing a significant reduction both BMP4 and α-SMA at both mRNA & protein level. This approach may provide a successful tool to target HSC and resolve fibrosis.
Additional studies in different models of liver fibrosis are required to optimize the efficacy and safety of the delivery system. In vivo studies are also warranted to further confirm findings and estimate therapeutic doses.
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Sup figure 1: Representative chromatogram of retinol (VA) spiked in the mobile phase.