Flynet: a genomic resource for Drosophila melanogaster transcriptional regulatory networks
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ABSTRACT

Motivation: The highly coordinated expression of thousands of genes in an organism is regulated by the concerted action of transcription factors, chromatin proteins and epigenetic mechanisms. High-throughput experimental data for genome wide in vivo protein-DNA interactions and epigenetic marks are becoming available from large projects, such as the model organism ENCODE of DNA Elements (modENCODE) and from individual labs. Dissemination and visualization of these datasets in an explorable form is an important challenge.

Results: To support research on Drosophila melanogaster transcription regulation and make the genome wide in vivo protein–DNA interactions data available to the scientific community as a whole, we have developed a system called Flynet. Currently, Flynet contains 101 datasets for 38 transcription factors and chromatin regulator proteins in different experimental conditions. These factors exhibit different types of binding profiles ranging from sharp localized peaks to broad binding regions. The protein–DNA interaction data in Flynet was obtained from the analysis of chromatin immunoprecipitation experiments on one color and two color genomic tiling arrays as well as chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by massively parallel sequencing. A web-based interface, integrated with an AJAX based genome browser, has been built for queries and presenting analysis results. Flynet also makes available the cis-regulatory modules reported in literature, known and de novo identified sequence motifs across the genome, and other resources to study gene regulation.

Contact: grossman@uic.edu

Availability: Flynet is available at https://www.cistrack.org/flynet/.

Supplementary information: Supplementary data are available at Bioinformatics online.

1 INTRODUCTION

Metazoan genomes contain thousands of protein-coding and noncoding RNA genes, whose expression needs to be precisely controlled. Approximately 3–10% of the proteins in the metazoan known proteome are sequence specific transcription factors (TFs) (Kummerfeld and Teichmann, 2006), which bind to specific cis-regulatory DNA sequences and modulate the expression of their target genes. These cis-regulatory sequences are organized into cis-regulatory modules (CRM) containing one or more binding sites for a particular set of TFs. One example of CRMs are enhancers that determine a specific temporal-spatial expression pattern of their target gene (Wang et al., 2007).

The various proteins that form the chromatin participate in the regulation of genes (Sims and Reinberg, 2008). For example, the histones forming the nucleosomes can be post-translationally modified to create a chromatin environment that will repress or activate the genes around them. The different associations of TFs with their cis-regulatory elements on the DNA can trigger, counteract or modulate these regulatory states of genes. Although detailed studies of individual genes have identified many of the components and basic principles that control transcription, we still lack an understanding of the global architecture of transcription regulatory networks (Babu et al., 2004).

Drosophila melanogaster has been used extensively as a model organism to identify components and basic principles of transcription regulation. However, even after decades of research only 661 CRM sequences corresponding to 235 Drosophila genes and 778 transcription factor binding sites (TFBSs) are annotated in the Drosophila Cis-Regulatory Database (http://www.comp.nus.edu.sg/~bioinfo/Drosophila/) that combines information from sources such as RedFly (Halfon et al., 2008), DNAse footprint database (Bergman et al., 2005) and Drosophila Cis-Regulatory Database (Narang et al., 2006).

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP) followed by microarray hybridization on the whole genome tiling arrays (ChIP-chip; Iyer et al., 2001; Ren et al., 2000) or followed by massively parallel DNA sequencing (ChIP-seq) (Johnson et al., 2007), are now established as powerful methods to identify all of the genomic
regions bound by a protein of interest in a given condition (Keles, 2007). Genome wide protein-DNA interaction data and epigenetic marks are now available for many transcription factors and chromatin regulators for D.melanogaster as well as other species that are providing details on transcription regulation (Kim and Ren, 2006). Moreover, the National Human Genome Research Institute sponsored model organism ENCODE Of DNA Elements (modENCODE; http://www.modencode.org) Project aims to identify the majority of the sequence-based functional elements in the Caenorhabditis elegans and D.melanogaster genomes. It is important therefore to develop tools for storing, organizing and analyzing these data sets and to make them available to the scientific community in a usable format.

We have built Flynet as a part of our data management and visualization efforts for the modENCODE project, whose goal is to map the genome wide associations of a large set of the Drosophila sequence-specific TFs and chromatin regulator proteins. Flynet is the first public database for D.melanogaster in vivo protein–DNA interaction data identified on the whole genome tiling arrays using ChIP-chip as well as ChIP-seq for a variety of transcription factors and chromatin regulator proteins in different experimental conditions. It also makes available known CRMs, well-known and de novo identified sequence motifs across the genome, and a list of transcription factors and chromatin regulator proteins in D.melanogaster genome, their domain assignments and their orthologs and paralogs across 12 Drosophila genomes in the form of multiple sequence alignments. In the following sections we describe the query interface, system architecture, and AJAX based genome browser, as well as tools and resources available as a part of Flynet.

2 METHODS

2.1 Flynet system architecture

The Flynet data system is designed to be a general system for storing, annotating, and visualizing in vivo DNA-protein interaction datasets. Flynet includes code for processing, integrating and indexing the data from the several primary data sources. The Flynet database is implemented in MySQL. The user interface is written in Perl and uses Perl’s Common Gateway Interface module (CGI.pm) and Cascaded Style Sheets (CSS). Flynet provides two types of front-end tools that let the user interact with the Gateway Interface module (CGI.pm) and Cascaded Style Sheets (CSS). Flynet provides a web tool that provides a table-based view of the data and a mechanism for downloading; (ii) as parsed and tiled data for visualization by the genome browser, as well as tools and resources available as a part of Flynet.

2.2 Analysis of in vivo protein DNA interaction data

We analyzed peak-based ChIP-chip data generated on Affymetrix genomic tiling arrays as follows. Affymetrix BNP map files were remapped using xMand (Li et al., 2008) and the latest version of the fly assembly (UCSC dm3/Flybase release 5.8). The remapped BNP map files are also processed to remove probe redundancy so that each 25-mer probe is mapped no more than once in any 1 kb window along the genome. The UCSC (http://genome.ucsc.edu/) dm3 RepeatMasker and simple repeat files were downloaded and used to create a Repeat Library file for use with MAT (Johnson et al., 2006). MAT was run with the remapped BNP map files, the Repeat Library files generated specifically for the Drosophila genome and appropriate parameters for Bandwidth, MaxGap and Minprob. Bandwidths were taken according to the average DNA fragments lengths from the original publications when reported (Johnson et al., 2006). The Repeat Library file is available for download from the Flynet resource page.

Peak-based data from two color arrays were analyzed using the MAC2 and CoGenome packages with appropriate parameters for the UCSC dm3 assembly. ChIP-seq data were analyzed with the MACS package using appropriate parameters for the UCSC dm3 assembly. We used the following procedure for identification of binding regions for factors that identify broad regions. The ChIP data were first quantile normalized, replicate information was merged, and fold change for each probe on the array was calculated. The data was smoothed with an appropriate window and given as input for the HMM based segmentation. HMM segmentation using expectation maximization was used to identify the regions (Shah et al. in preparation).

2.3 Determination of PTGs

We extracted transcription start site (TSS) information of 15 145 genes from Flybase release 5.8. PTGs for a transcription factor were simply defined as genes whose TSS is closest to the transcription factor binding sites (Zhang, 1998). We also employed insulator information to correctly assign transcription factor binding sites to their target genes (Negre et al., in preparation).

2.4 Motif discovery and scanning methods

For each factor position, weight matrices were obtained from known databases or enriched motifs were identified using MEME (Bailey and Elkan, 1994), AlignACE (Hughes et al., 2000) and MDeScan (Liu et al., 2002). All programs were run with default parameters except for MEME, which was restricted to a maximum of 3 iterations and a maximum motif width of 25. The motifs were then evaluated using the motif instance pipeline described in (Kheradpour et al., 2007) in order to identify motifs specifically enriched in the insulator regions and to compare the motifs discovered by the different programs. Each motif was scanned at two PWM cutoffs corresponding as determined by TFM (Touzet and Vaire, 2007). Motifs were then ranked by their enrichment at several conservation levels (from 0.0 to 1.0 confidence).

3 RESULTS

3.1 Flynet user interface

Flynet is available at https://www.cistrack.org/Flynet/. It provides users with the ability to search and browse in vivo DNA protein interaction data and related resources.

The Flynet ‘search page’ allows users to query the database using a transcription factor of interest (TF and DNA associated proteins)
or by a target gene of interest. On the ‘Search’ page, users can first select single or multiple factors of interest. Next, users can review the associated metadata (e.g. data source, antibody, platform, analysis method, and publication) and use this information to refine the selection, after which it is then possible to browse the selected tracks in the browser.

Alternatively, the ‘search by genes page’ in Flynet allows users to query and retrieve the available data by Flybase identifier, CG identifier or gene symbol, and then to browse the putative regulators and the known Drosophila transcriptional CRM's from RedFly. Flynet provides lists of genes that are regulated by a transcription factor by two means: 1) using the closest transcription start site to a TFBS and 2) refining the search criteria using the presence of genome wide binding sites of insulator proteins (Negre et al., in preparation).

Flynet also provides an ‘advanced search page’ that allows users to query by selecting genomic regions and False Discovery Rates (FDR) thresholds for filtering results and identifying results in the genomic repeat regions. The ‘download all’ option allows users to download the publicly available data matching the specific query and provides quantitative information like enrichment score, enrichment ratios, FDR levels and peak positions.

Flynet utilizes JBrowse (http://www.jbrowse.org/), which allows users to select single or multiple factors from the available datasets and to browse them in a dynamic HTML environment that renders data tracks on the client side (Skinner et al., 2009). The use of an AJAX-based browser offers several advantages over the existing static HTML based browsers, including a faster and smoother navigation through the genome without requiring the reloading of the page. The annotation tracks in the left panel could be dynamically added and removed by dragging.

3.2 Flynet contents

The present version of Flynet contains in vivo protein DNA interaction data for 101 datasets for 38 transcription factors (general and sequence specific TFs) and 8 chromatin regulators, including histone modifications in different experimental conditions. The data sources for these are experiments performed on whole genome tiling arrays in one color (Arifmetrix) and two colors (Agilent and Nimblegen), as well as massively parallel sequencing using Illumina Genome analyzer. Raw data for D. melanogaster TFs and chromatin regulatory proteins include those downloaded from repositories like GEO (Barrett et al., 2009) and ArrayExpress (Parkinson et al., 2007), as well as those coming from our high-throughput experimental pipeline for identification of transcription factor binding sites as a part of the modENCODE project. These transcription factors show different binding behaviors ranging from sharp peak to broad regions and require different analysis methods and parameter optimizations.

Flynet stores relevant experimental metadata including the gene synonyms, data source (experimental conditions referring to appropriate development stage or cell line), antibody name, array platform, analysis method and literature reference. In addition to the experimental data and metadata, the UCSC dm3 genome assembly, annotations of 15,145 D. melanogaster genes from Flybase release 5.8, 162,727 PTG records and 665 CRM records from Redfly 2.0 are also integrated into Flynet.

In the resources section, we make available a list of transcription factors and chromatin regulator proteins in D. melanogaster genome, their domain assignments, and their orthologs and paralogs across 12 Drosophila genomes in the form of multiple sequence alignments and a collection of position weight matrices.

4 DISCUSSION

Flynet is a web-accessible database of in vivo protein DNA interactions integrated with effective searching and advanced browsing capabilities. To our knowledge Flynet is the first public database for Drosophila with the explicit goal of making accessible high-throughput data from genome wide studies on vivo protein DNA interactions and integrating it with other available data.

Specialized databases providing a list of sequence specific TF (Adryan and Teichmann, 2006), collections of CRM and TFBS information from literature (Halfen et al., 2008), Drosophila cis-regulatory element database (Narang et al., 2006), DNase I footprint database (Bergman et al., 2005) and position weight matrices (Sandelin et al., 2004; Wingender et al., 1997) are available.

Flynet integrates knowledge from some of these sources, includes recent experimental data (Georlette et al., 2007; Isogai et al., 2007; Kwong et al., 2008; Lee et al., 2008; Li et al., 2008; Matsumoto et al., 2007; Misulovin et al., 2008; Schwartz et al., 2006), and allows users to browse and compare this data easily.

For the fly genome, gene centric databases like Flybase and Flymine (Lye et al., 2007) provide genomic and protein sequences, annotations, GO terms, protein structure, protein–protein interactions and pathway information, as well as various types of functional genomics data, including gene expression profiling and phenotypic information from various screens. However, these databases do not contain the large amount of publicly available ChIP-chip and ChIP-Seq data in an analyzed format.
Flynet, on the other hand, takes a TF-centric approach and specializes in transcriptional regulation information. It includes data being produced as the part of modENCODE project, as well as data deposited in GEO and ArrayExpress. In fact, 41 of the 101 datasets (>40%) currently in Flynet are non-modENCODE data sets. In this way, Flynet provides users with ability to view and analyze modENCODE data, other published data, de novo computed motifs and CRM information along the genome.

Flynet data is analyzed by experts in a uniform manner using state-of-the-art methods, undergoes a manual check for quality, and is based on the latest version of the Fly genome. Methods for analyzing ChIP-chip and ChIP-seq data are still evolving. In fact, we have developed an HMM based segmentation algorithm for handling 'region' based data for K9 and K27 tri-methylation of Histone H3. We plan to reanalyze the available data with better methods as they are developed.

The data residing in Flynet includes data generated using different experimental platforms and under various experimental conditions, including different developmental stages, different cell lines and different antibodies. Because of the variety of data included in Flynet, similarities and differences in genome wide occupancy of these factors can be analyzed, as well as the strengths and weaknesses of different data generation platforms. For example, information on insulator binding regions in Flynet can be used for determining likely target genes for transcription factor binding sites. Flynet will be updated with new data, as it becomes available. We will also plan to update Flynet with every major update of D. melanogaster genome assembly and genome annotations.

The data residing in Flynet will be helpful in identification of new CRMs and the comparative analysis of similarities and differences in genome wide in vivo protein–DNA interactions and epigenetic marks.
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