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ABSTRACT • This study investigated the effect of untreated and heat-treated ash and black pine wood flour concentrations on the selected properties of high density polyethylene (HDPE) composites. HDPE and wood flour were used as thermoplastic matrix and filler, respectively. The blends of HDPE and wood flour were compounded using single screw extruder and test samples were prepared through injection molding. Mechanical properties like tensile strength (TS), tensile modulus (TM), elongation at break (EatB), flexural strength (FS), flexural modulus (FM) and impact strength (IS) of manufactured composites were determined. Wood flour concentrations have significantly increased density, FS, TM and FM and hardness of composites while reducing TS, EatB and IS. Heat-treated ash and black pine flour reinforced HDPE composites had higher mechanical properties than untreated ones. Composites showed two main decomposition peaks; one coming from ash wood flour (353-370 °C) and black pine wood flour (373-376 °C), the second one from HDPE degradation (469-490 °C). SEM images showed improved dispersion of heat-treated ash and black pine wood flour. The obtained results showed that both the untreated and heat-treated ash/black pine wood flour have an important potential in the manufacture of HDPE composites.
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SAŽETAK • U radu je opisano istraživanje utjecaja koncentracije drvnog brašna od nemodificiranog i toplinski modificiranog drva jasena i crnog bora na odabrana svojstva kompozita visoke gustoće na bazi polietilena (HDPE). HDPE i drvno brašno upotrijebljeni su kao termoplastična matrica i punilo. Mješavine HDPE-a i drvog brašna pripremljene su uz pomoć ekstrudera s jednim vijkom, a ispitni su uzorci izrađeni injekcijskim prešanjem. Istraživanjem su određena mehanička svojstva proizvedenih kompozita poput vlačne čvrstoće (FS), modula elastičnosti pri vlačnom ispitivanju (FM), iztezanja pri lomu (EatB), čvrstoće na svijanje (FS), modula elastičnosti pri tlačnom ispitivanju (FM) i udarne čvrstoće (IS). Koncentracije drvnog brašna značajno su povećale gustoću, tvrdoću, FS, TM i FM, a smanjile TS, EatB i IS. HDPE kompoziti ojačani drvnom brašnom od toplinski modificiranog drva jasena i crnog bora imali su bolja mehanička svojstva od kompozita ojačanih drvnim brašnom od nemodificiranog drva jasena i crnog bora. Kompoziti su pokazali dva glavna područja razgradnje: prvo, razgradnju drvog brašna drva arša (353 – 370 °C) i drvog brašna drva crnog bora (373 – 376 °C) te, drugo, razgradnju HDPE-a (469 – 490 °C). SEM slike potvrđile su poboljšanu raspodjelu drvog brašna od toplinski modificiranog drva jasena i crnog bora. Rezultati su pokazali da drvno brašno od nemodificiranoga i toplinski modificiranog drva jasena i crnog bora imaju velik potencijal u proizvodnji HDPE kompozita.
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1 INTRODUCTION

1. UVOD

Polymer composites can be manufactured using polymer matrix such as polyethylene, polystyrene, polypropylene and polyvinyl chloride and organic filler (wood fiber, wood flour and agricultural residues) or inorganic filler (talc, mica, calcium carbonate). Recently, the use of organic fillers has been increased due to many advantages such as low cost, low density, high specific properties, non-abrasive nature, renewability, biodegradability and availability. Therefore, several studies were conducted to manufacture polymer composites using organic fillers including wood flour, wheat straw, nutshell flour, sunflower stalk, flax, jute, sisal, bagasse, ramie and kapok (Yang et al., 2005; Mengelogo and Karakuş, 2008; Kaymakçi et al., 2013; Donmez Cavadar et al., 2014; Aydemir et al., 2015). Some of the studies focused on industrial products and they have been applied in industrial fields such as outdoor furniture, automobile parts, structural panels, etc. Wood, as organic filler, is the most feasible material to produce the polymer composites. However, because of the moisture absorption in wood, as all organic fillers, it suffers a number of disadvantages. Poor resistance against fungal and insect attack, swelling, and shrinkage resulting from water absorption and desorption are some of these shortcomings. Many studies have been carried out to improve the unfavorable properties of wood (Kaboorani et al., 2008; Arwinfar et al., 2016). These include chemical and thermal modifications. Chemical modification of wood itself can be done, for example, by acetylation with acetic anhydride (Cetin and Ozmen, 2011; Ozmen et al., 2013), acetyl chloride, or isopropenyl acetate, which are usually coated on the surface of wood fibers. Others are chemical modifications such as surface treatments, corona or plasma discharge, and enzymatic treatment (Follrich et al., 2010; Aragal et al., 2012). The chemical modifications only provide an improvement on the surface of materials used and their outdoor performances are generally not good enough in application areas. Heat treatment of wood, called thermal modification, has been reported to be an effective method to provide a sustainable improvement of the physical properties such as dimensional stability and/or durability of wood. Many researchers have used heat treatment process to improve wood properties (Yildiz et al., 2006; Shi et al., 2007; Gunduz and Aydemir, 2009; Kabir et al., 2012; Segerholm, 2012; Li et al., 2013; Boruvka et al., 2015). Heat treatment of wood reduces hydrophilicity of wood. In addition, heat treatment modifies the polar nature of wood possibly resulting in better compatibility between wood and the polymer matrix, thus leading to high quality and thermally stable composites. The changes in wood chemistry can be utilized to improve compatibility between wood and the polymer matrix (Aragal et al., 2012). Some studies focused on the use of the heat-treated wood in the production of polymer composites (Aydemir et al., 2015; Kaboorani et al., 2008; Arwinfar et al., 2016; Kaboorani and Faezipour, 2009; Aydemir et al., 2019); however, the literature data on the properties of polymer composites with heat-treated wood is scarce.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of heat-treated/untreated ash and black pine wood flour concentrations on the selected properties of high density polyethylene (HDPE) composites. Density, hardness, tensile strength, tensile modulus, elongation at break, flexural strength, flexural modulus and impact strength properties and thermogravimetric analyzer (TGA), scanning electron microscope (SEM) and X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis were studied.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2. MATERIJALI I METODE

2.1 Materials

2.1. Materijali

High density polyethylene (HDPE-I-668) was supplied by PETKIM Inc. in Turkey. HDPE has a density of 0.96 g/cm³, melting point of 134 °C, MFI/230 °C/2.16 kg = 0.36 g/10 min. Untreated and heat-treated (at 212 °C for 3 h under water vapor) ash (Fraxinus excelsior L.) and black pine (Pinus nigra Arn.) woods were supplied from NovaWood Inc. in Turkey. The densities of untreated ash and black pine wood were 0.70 g/cm³ and 0.50 g/cm³ and after thermal treatment, the densities changed to 0.65 g/cm³ and 0.47 g/cm³, respectively. All wood types were grounded with a lab-type grinder, and the size of the filler for both untreated and heat-treated wood flour was 80 mesh.

2.2 Processing of polymer composite materials

2.2. Priprema kompozita

The experimental design of the study is presented in Table 1. During the manufacturing process, depending on the formulation, the high density polyethylene (HDPE), untreated and heat-treated ash and black pine wood flours, as fillers, were mixed in a high intensity mixer to produce homogeneous blend. The blends were compounded in a laboratory scale single screw extruder (TTB, Tecnomatic Inc, Turkey) at 40 rpm screw speed. Temperatures were set at 180 °C from feed zone to die zone. Extruded samples were collected, cooled and granulated into pellets. The pellets were then oven-dried at 80 °C for 24 h and stored in sealed plastic bags for injection molding. The pellets were injection molded into tensile, flexural test samples using an HDX-88 injection molding machine at a barrel temperature of between 180 °C and 200 °C (injection pressure: 100 bar, injection speed: 80 mm/sec., screw speed: 40 rpm, cooling time about 30 s).

2.3 Density

2.3. Gustoća

Density of the manufactured polymer composites were determined using water displacement technique and analyzed utilizing central composite design (CCD) (ASTM D792-13).

2.4 Mechanical properties

2.4. Mehanička svojstva

To evaluate the effect of heat-treated and untreated wood flours on the mechanical, thermal and morphological properties of HDPE composites, testing of
Flexural properties such as flexure strength (FS) and flexure modulus (FM) were determined in accordance with ASTM D 790. The flexural specimens (4×15×160 mm) with 80 mm span length were tested in the three points loading with a crosshead speed of 2 mm/min on a Zwick Roell Z010 Universal testing machine. The same instrument was also used for the tensile testing. Tensile properties, such as tensile strength (TS) and tensile modulus (TM), were conducted according to ASTM D 638. Samples (4 mm × 18.6 mm × 165 mm) were tested at a crosshead speed of 5 mm/min. The tensile modulus of the samples was taken as the slope of the curve at stress levels between 0.05 % and 0.2 %. Notched impact tests (sample dimension was 4 mm × 15 mm × 50 mm) were performed according to ASTM D 256. The notches were added using a Polytest notching cutter by RayRan and notched samples were tested on a HIT5.5P impact testing machine, manufactured by Zwick. Six specimens prepared according to the applicable standards were used in all mechanical testing.

2.5 Hardness

2.5. Tvrdoća

Inspired by the Shore-D method, the hardness property of specimens was tested according to ASTM D 2240. Six specimens with dimension of 50 mm × 13 mm × 5 mm were tested for each composite formulation.

2.6 Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)

2.6. Termogravimetrijska analiza (TGA)

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) measurements were carried out using Shimadzu TGA-50 on samples of about 10 mg. Each sample was scanned over a temperature range from room temperature to 700 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C/min under nitrogen with a flow rate of 20 ml/min to avoid sample oxidation. Three samples randomly picked from the ground test specimens were used.

2.7 Scanning electron microscope (SEM) analysis

2.7. Pretražna elektronska mikroskopija (SEM)

The fractured surface of the samples was also studied by using Tescan MAIA3 XMU scanning electron microscope. All SEM characterization was conducted on the fractured section of the tensile test samples. The samples were first dipped into liquid nitrogen and snapped to half to prepare the fractured surfaces.

2.8 X-ray diffraction (XRD)

2.8. Rendgenska difrakcija (XRD)

XRD was performed with a high resolution X-ray diffractometer (Model X Pert PRO, Philips PANalytical, Netherlands) with Ni-filtered Cu Ka (1.540562 Å) radiation source operated at 45 kV voltage and 40 mA electric current. The samples were scanned from 5° to 40° 2θ range with a step of 0.02° and a step time of 2.5 s. A silicon zero-background plate was used to make sure there was no peak associated with the sample holder. The same sample holder and the same position of the holder were used for all tests. The crystallinity index (CI) of the powdered samples was calculated as the ratio of the total area under the resolved crystalline peaks to the total area under the unresolved X-ray scattering curve (Rabiej, 2003). Three specimens for each test were scanned with XRD. CI values were found using Eq. 1:

$$CI(\%) = \frac{\sum A_{c}}{\sum (A_{c} + A_{a})} \tag{1}$$

Where $A_{c}$ is the integrated area underneath the respective crystalline peaks, and $A_{a}$ is the integrated area of the amorphous halo.

2.9 Statistical analysis

2.9. Statistička analiza

Design-Expert® Version 7.0.3 statistical software program was used for statistical analysis. The effects of wood concentration on the physical, mechanical, thermal and morphological properties of the
obtained samples were evaluated. All samples were analyzed with the one-way variance analysis (ANOVA), and then Duncan test was applied to determine whether the samples differed significantly among the groups. All statistical analysis was conducted at 99% significance level ($p < 0.01$).

### 3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Densities, hardness (Shore D) and mechanical properties

Densities in the range of 0.87-0.93 g/cm$^3$ were measured based on heat-treated/untreated ash wood. Density variations in HDPE composites are presented in Table 2. Density of polymer composites manufactured with the addition of 10% ash wood was decreased. Density of the ash wood flour reinforced HDPE composites was increased with the other wood concentrations. However, the increases in the density of the composites were found to be not statistically significant. Increased density of the thermoplastic composites with lignocellulosic filler was also reported by Rosa et al. (2009).

The effect of both heat-treated/untreated wood and wood concentration on the hardness (Shore D) of wood reinforced HDPE composites is shown in Table 2. The results showed that hardness of polymer composites manufactured with the addition of 10% heat-treated/untreated ash wood flour was decreased but the addition of other wood concentration did not provide a significant change in the hardness of HDPE composites. It is believed that hardness properties of wood reinforced HDPE composites decreased due to density of

| Samples Uzorci | Shore-D | TS, MPa | TM, MPa | $E_{ab}$, % | FS, MPa | FM, MPa | IS, kJ/m |
|----------------|---------|---------|---------|-------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Control Kontrolni uzorci | 0.93 A (±0.1) | 65 AB (±2) | 20 D (±0.1) | 580 F (±12) | 460 F (±2) | 40 G (±0.2) | 1750 G (±22) | 5 G (±0.5) |
| UAC1 | 0.87 A (±0.1) | 63 A (±1) | 20 D (±0.2) | 429 AB (±19) | 34 D (±9) | 30 AB (±0.5) | 996 A (±35) | 15 F (±0.7) |
| UAC2 | 0.90 A (±0.1) | 65 AB (±3) | 19 B (±0.3) | 452 AB (±18) | 18 C (±4) | 32 D (±0.5) | 1186 B (±10) | 12 D (±0.6) |
| UAC3 | 0.92 A (±0.1) | 66 B (±6) | 18 A (±0.3) | 513 CD (±28) | 10 A (±1) | 34 E (±0.6) | 1359 D (±54) | 10 C (±0.7) |
| TAC1 | 0.88A (±0.1) | 63 A (±1) | 22 F (±0.2) | 458B (±11) | 33 D (±5) | 31 C (±0.6) | 1136 B (±33) | 12 D (±0.8) |
| TAC2 | 0.91 A (±0.1) | 65 B (±5) | 22 F (±0.3) | 524 D (±14) | 12 AB (±2) | 35 F (±0.2) | 1470 E (±22) | 9 C (±0.2) |
| TAC3 | 0.92A (±0.1) | 65 B (±3) | 21EF (±0.2) | 594 E (±34) | 9 A (±1) | 39 G (±1.4) | 1726 G (±39) | 8 B (±0.5) |
| UAC1 | 0.87 A (±0.1) | 63 A (±1) | 21 EF (±0.1) | 422 A (±19) | 33 D (±6) | 30 A (±0.6) | 1058 A (±40) | 16 F (±0.8) |
| UAC2 | 0.89 A (±0.1) | 65 B (±4) | 20 D (±0.1) | 455 AB (±24) | 19 C (±3) | 30 BC (±0.7) | 1137 B (±50) | 13 E (±0.5) |
| UAC3 | 0.93 A (±0.1) | 67 C (±6) | 19 C (±0.2) | 575 E (±43) | 8 A (±1) | 35 F (±0.4) | 1604 F (±81) | 10 C (±0.9) |
| TBPC1 | 0.87 A (±0.1) | 64 A (±3) | 21 E (±0.1) | 448 AB (±14) | 42 E (±5) | 29 A (±0.3) | 1020 A (±12) | 12 D (±0.4) |
| TBPC2 | 0.90 A (±0.1) | 65 B (±2) | 20 D (±0.2) | 490C (±21) | 16 BC (±2) | 33 D (±0.1) | 1261 C (±26) | 9 C (±0.7) |
| TBPC3 | 0.93 A (±0.1) | 67 C (±9) | 19 B (±0.1) | 566 E (±29) | 8 A (±1) | 36 F (±0.2) | 1623 F (±26) | 7 A (±0.3) |
polymer composites manufactured with the addition of 10 % wood flour. The lowest and highest hardness was determined as about 63 for the UAC1, TAC1, UBPC1 and TBPC1 and as 67 for the TBPC3, respectively. The hardness of HDPE composites showed that the filler concentration has a more important impact than heat treatment. Heat-treated ash and black pine wood flour reinforced HDPE composites had a positive effect on hardness. Consequently, the heat treatment did not provide any improvement to the hardness with the filler concentration of 10 % and 30 %. Similar results for wood reinforced polymer composites were also observed by Hua et al. (2011), Kord (2011), Medupin et al. (2013) and Sathishkumar (2014).

The obtained data for the TS of neat HDPE and the composites are presented in Table 2. Tensile strength (TS) of HDPE composites manufactured using untreated ash wood flour was 20 MPa, 20 MPa, 19 MPa and 18 MPa for 0 %, 10 %, 20 % and 30 % wood concentration, respectively. Adding untreated ash did not provide a significant increase in the tensile strength of HDPE composites and the tensile strength of HDPE composites was reduced by untreated ash wood flour concentration (p<0.01). This reduction might be caused by the poor adhesion between hydrophilic filler wood and hydrophobic PE matrix. Reduced TS values due to the lack of compatibility between filler and polymer were also reported by others (Alsewailem and Binkhad, 2014; Obas, 2015). The addition of heat-treated ash wood flour into neat HDPE generally improved the tensile strength (p<0.01). In the studied heat-treated wood concentration range, the addition of more heat-treated wood into HDPE composites provided higher TS values as compared to composites manufactured with untreated ash wood. Arwinfar et al. (2016) also reported that the addition of heat-treated wood into polymer matrix increased tensile strength. Tensile strength (TS) of HDPE composites manufactured using untreated black pine wood was 20 MPa, 21 MPa, 20 MPa and 19 MPa for 0 %, 10 %, 20 % and 30 % wood concentration, respectively (Table 2). The tensile strength of HDPE composites manufactured with the addition of 10 % heat-treated/untreated black pine wood was increased but the addition of more wood concentration into HDPE matrix did not provide any improvement in TS. It is believed that tensile properties of wood reinforced HDPE composites was decreased due to density of polymer composites manufactured with the addition of 10 % wood flour. Similar results were also reported by Robin and Breton (2001). It can be said that wood species exhibited a different effect on the tensile strength of the polymer composites. However, adding untreated ash and black pine wood flour did not provide a significant improvement in the similar tensile strength. Tensile strength of untreated and heat-treated black pine wood reinforced composites generally exhibited similar results and it can be said that both heat-treated and untreated black pine wood did not have a significant effect on the tensile strength.

In the case of tensile modulus (TM), adding untreated and heat-treated wood flour to neat HDPE generally has not a significant effect on TM, and TM of all HDPE composites was lower than neat HDPE expected for TAC3; TM of HDPE composites was significantly increased while heat-treated and untreated ash wood flour concentration was increased from 10 % to 30 % (p<0.01). The obtained data for TM of ash wood flour reinforced HDPE composites is presented in Table 2. HDPE composites manufactured using heat-treated ash wood flour showed higher TM than untreated ash wood flour. Heat-treated ash wood flour had a positive effect on TM. Similar to TM of ash wood flour reinforced HDPE composites, TM was significantly increased by untreated and heat-treated black pine wood concentration (p<0.01). Heat-treated black pine wood reinforced composite showed higher TM than untreated ones. Wood species did not have a significant effect on the tensile modulus of the composites and TM of all HDPE composites was found to be lower than its neat HDPE. In conclusion, the TM of HDPE composite reinforced with both heat-treated/untreated ash and black pine wood flours was increased with wood concentrations. Similar results were also reported by Robin and Breton (2014) and Kaboorani et al. (2008).

The results for elongation at break (EatB) values can be seen in Table 2. Both ash and black pine wood flour concentrations had a negative effect on EatB of HDPE polymer composites (p<0.01), respectively and EatB values were generally reduced with the increased concentration of ash and black pine wood flour due to increasing stiffness of the composites. As a result, the elongation at break values reduced with adding both untreated and heat-treated wood flour. The elongation at break decreased from 460 % to 10 % and 9 % for untreated and heat-treated ash wood flour concentration, and 8 % for untreated and heat-treated black pine wood flour, increasing from 0 to 30 wt% in neat HDPE, respectively. Usually in the composites, lower elongation at break values was observed with increased modulus (Mengeloglu and Karakus, 2008 and 2008a).

The results of the flexural strength (FS) are presented in Table 2. The FS values of HDPE polymer composites were significantly increased by untreated and heat-treated ash wood flour concentration (p<0.01), but the TM of all composites was found to be lower than its neat HDPE. HDPE composites manufactured using heat-treated ash wood flour generally showed higher FS than when using untreated ash wood flour. Adding heat-treated ash wood flour generally had a positive effect on FS. Similar to FS of ash wood flour reinforced HDPE composites, FS was significantly increased by heat-treated and untreated black pine wood concentration (p<0.01), but the FS of all composites was found to be lower than its neat HDPE. Heat-treated black pine wood reinforced composites generally provided higher FS than the composites with untreated ones, and FS values of all HDPE composites were found to be similar to each other. The addition of heat-treated wood flour in composites had a positive effect on FS, but the addition of untreated and heat-treated wood flour did not improve FS of neat HDPE, and all FS values of the composites were found to be lower.
than $FS$ of neat HDPE. Wood species generally did not have a significant effect on the $FS$ of HDPE composites. As a result, the $FS$ of HDPE composite reinforced with both heat-treated/untreated ash and black pine wood flour was increased with wood concentrations. Composites produced with 30 % heat-treated ash and black pine wood flour provided significantly higher $FS$ values compared to other composites. In previous studies, the effects of different lignocellulosic fillers on selected properties of polymer composites were investigated and it is reported that flexural strength of the polymer composites was increased with increasing lignocellulosic filler content (Kiziltas et al., 2014; Donmez Cavadar et al., 2015). For polyolefin-based plastic lumber decking boards, ASTM D 6662 (2001) standard requires the minimum $FS$ of 6.9 MPa (1,000 psi). All composites produced in this study provided $FS$ values (29.68-33.87 MPa and 31.12-38.59 MPa) for heat-treated and untreated ash wood flour, respectively, and (29.52-35.24 MPa and 29.36-35.57 MPa) for untreated and heat-treated black pine wood, respectively, that are well over the requirement by the standard.

A similar trend in $FS$ was observed for flexural modulus ($FM$) as shown in Table 2. Both heat-treated and untreated ash and black pine wood flour concentration had a significant effect on $FM$ of HDPE composites ($p<0.01$ and $p<0.01$, respectively). The presence of both untreated/heat-treated ash and black pine wood flour improved $FM$ values, however $FM$ values of all HDPE composites were found to be lower than $FM$ of neat HDPE. ASTM D 6662 (2001) standard requires the minimum $FM$ of 340 MPa (50,000 psi) for polyolefin-based plastic lumber decking boards. All composites produced in this study provided $FM$ values of 996-1359 MPa and 1136-1726 MPa for untreated and heat-treated ash wood flour, respectively, and 1058-1604 MPa and 1020-1623 MPa for untreated and heat-treated black pine wood, respectively. These values are well over the required standards.

The results for impact strength ($IS$) are presented in Table 2. The results showed that IS of the composites manufactured with the addition of 10 % heat-treated/untreated ash and black pine wood flour was increased, but it was decreased with the addition of more wood concentration into neat HDPE. Both untreated/heat-treated ash and black pine wood flour concentration had a significant effect on IS of HDPE composites ($p<0.01$). Generally, with the rise of both untreated/heat-treated ash and black pine wood flour concentration, IS values were decreased. HDPE polymer composites manufactured using untreated ash and black pine flour wood showed higher IS than heat-treated ones. Similar results were also reported by Aydemir et al. (2015) and Huang et al. (2012). They found that the increase in IS occurred because adding wood flour played an important role in strengthening and enhanced two-phase interface area interaction of the composite. However, while wood flour concentrations increased, interface compatibility was a major problem. Thus, impact strength of the wood polymer composites was found to decrease by Huang et al. (2012) and Tisserat et al. (2013). Boonstra et al. (2007) found that heat treatment decreases the impact strength of the wood due to the significantly lower density of the treated specimens, and therefore it can be said that neither heat treatment nor wood flour concentration have a significant effect on the impact strength of HDPE composites.

### Table 3 Summary of thermal stability for neat HDPE and their composites

| Samples / Uzorci | DTGmax, °C | Weight loss, % / Gubitak mase, % |
|------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|
| HDPE             | 449       | 99                              |
| UAC3             | 303       | 94                              |
| TAC3             | 356       | 95                              |
| UBPC3            | 306       | 93                              |
| TBPC3            | 343       | 95                              |

The curves for thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and differential thermogravimetry (DTG) of HDPE composites manufactured using untreated/heat-treated ash and black pine wood flour are presented in Figure 1. The summary of thermal stability for neat HDPE, the composites with 30 % untreated ash wood flour (UAC3) and heat-treated ash wood flour (TAC3), and the composites with 30 % untreated black pine wood flour (UBPC3) and heat-treated black pine wood flour (TBPC3) are given in Table 3. Neat HDPE showed single stage degradation at the set temperature of 469 °C and total mass loss of 99 %. The TGA curves of the wood exhibit two mass loss peaks (Yang et al., 2005; Karakuş et al., 2016). The first occurs at about 100 °C and is mainly caused by evaporation of moisture and other volatiles from the wood. The second peak, at approximately 200 °C to 400 °C, is due to the degradation of hemicelluloses, cellulose, and lignin. Hemicellulose degrades between 150 °C and 350 °C, cellulose decomposes between 240 °C and 350 °C, and lignin between 250 °C and 500 °C (Kaboornai and Faezipour, 2009; Byren and Nagle, 1997). HDPE composites provided two main decomposition peaks. The first peak of around 353-370 °C and 373-376 °C referred to ash wood and black pine wood flour reinforced HDPE composites, respectively, while the second peak came from HDPE and was around 469-490 °C. Residue after 500 °C was increased with the addition of wood concentration to HDPE matrix. The mass loss for the samples with both untreated ash and black pine wood flour at 500 °C was 93.8 % and 92.6 %, respectively.
Figure 1 also shows that the samples with untreated ash wood and heat-treated black pine wood flour exhibited higher thermal stability as compared to the samples with the heat-treated ash and untreated black pine wood flour. Thermal stability of the composites produced from heat-treated wood increased. The increase could also be attributed to better adhesion between wood and the matrix (HDPE) because the pretreatment possibly lowered the polarity of wood and made wood more compatible with the matrix. Similar results were also reported by Li et al. (2013).

Morphology of the untreated/heat-treated ash and black pine wood flour reinforced HDPE composites was also studied. SEM micrographs of neat HDPE, the samples with 30 % untreated ash and heat-treated ash wood flour, and the samples with 30 % untreated black pine and heat-treated black pine wood flour are given in Figure 2. Fractured surface of neat HDPE is presented in Figure 2a. Furthermore, neat HDPE exhibits ductile mode of failure. Figure 2b and 2c shows the wood fillers and their size in the samples with the heat-treated and untreated ash wood flour. The mode of failure becomes more brittle with HDPE composites with untreated wood (Ghasem, 2013; Atli et al., 2018). In addition, the surfaces of the composites with untreated wood have prominent holes due to particle pull out resulting from poor adhesion (Figure 2b and 2d) (Mengeloglu and Karakus, 2012). Under tensile stress, the particles were easily pulled out from the matrix. This may mean that the interface could not effectively transfer the stress. This observation is in agreement with the lower modulus values recorded for the untreated wood composites (Table 2). Furthermore, for the heat-treated ash and black pine wood flour reinforced composites (Figure 2d and 2e), the holes are not quite prominent and particles pull out appears relatively less compared to the untreated ones. The particle surface is slightly rough and nearly uniformly dispersed and embedded within the matrix. Similar results for untreated and heat-treated wood reinforced HDPE composites were also reported by Arwinafar et al. (2016) and Aragall et al. (2012).

3.3 XRD Analysis of neat HDPE and wood reinforced HDPE polymer composites

X-ray diffractograms of HDPE and its composites are presented in Figure 3. Neat HDPE and its composites showed peaks around 2θ = 22.1° and 24.50°. The peaks for the (110) and (200) planes of HDPE shifted little when the wood flour was added, and an important change in the diffraction peak intensity was not observed with the presence of wood flour. Crystallinity index (CI) of neat HDPE and its composites was calculated with XRD peaks. With the addition of wood flour, the CI changed in all the XRD. CI was calculated as 61.2 % for neat HDPE, 41.6 % for untreated ash wood flour reinforced HDPE polymer composites (UAC3), 44.8 % for heat treated ash wood flour reinforced HDPE composites (TAC3), 43.9 % for untreated black pine wood flour reinforced HDPE composites (UBPC3), and 46.2 % for heat treated black pine wood reinforced HDPE composites (TBPC3). It was determined that crystallinity of cellulose in wood increased with thermal modification. It is believed that mechanical properties of wood flour reinforced HDPE composites had a positive effect due to high crystallinity properties of polymer composites manufactured with heat treated wood. After thermal modification of wood at high temperature (at 180 °C and above), the...
crystallinity ratio of the heat-treated wood increased slightly due to the degradation of the hemicelluloses and the crystallinity ratio of softwood was found to increase more in comparison with hardwood (Aydemir et al., 2015). Consequently, it can be said that the crystallinity of black pine wood increased with thermal modification more than that of ash wood and, therefore, the effect of pine wood on the mechanical properties of the composites was determined to be higher than that of ash wood.
CONCLUSIONS

This study evaluated the effect of untreated and heat-treated ash and black pine wood flour concentrations on the physical, mechanical, thermal and morphological properties of HDPE composites. Physical properties (density), mechanical properties (tensile, flexural, impact strength and hardness), thermal properties (TGA) and morphological properties (SEM) were determined. According to the obtained results, mechanical properties of all HDPE composites were found to be lower than those of neat HDPE. Statistical analysis showed that density, flexural strength, flexural modulus, tensile modulus and hardness values of polymer composites significantly increased with the rising percentages of ash and black pine wood flour. Both heat-treated ash and black pine wood flour reinforced HDPE composites had a positive effect on hardness. Heat-treated ash and black pine wood flour reinforced HDPE composites had a positive effect on mechanical properties compared to untreated ones. The increase in wood concentration improved flexural strength, tensile modulus, flexural modulus and hardness while reducing tensile strength, elongation at break and impact strength. However, impact strength values of HDPE composites produced from heat-treated ash and black pine wood flours were slightly lower. Heat treatment had a negative effect on impact strength. HDPE showed single stage degradation while both ash and black pine wood flour reinforced HDPE composites showed a two-step mass loss thermal degradation. The first peak of around 353-370 °C and 373-376 °C referred to ash wood and black pine wood flour reinforced HDPE composites, respectively, while the second peak came from HDPE and was around 469-490 °C. Residue after 500 °C was increased with the addition of wood concentration to HDPE matrix. The crystallinity of the composites with heat-treated wood was found to be higher than that of untreated wood-HDPE composites according to the data obtained with XRD. According to the study results, it can be concluded that heat-treated wood flour can be used as an alternative raw material in the application areas of wood-HDPE composites.
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