Associations between Physical Functioning and Psychosocial Factors in Patients with Type-2 Diabetes Mellitus
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Abstract

Background: Type-2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM) could impact on both physical performance and psychological well-being. However, the link between physical functioning (PhF) and psychosocial factors (PsF) of patients with T2DM remains unclear. This study investigated the associations between PhF and PsF in patients with T2DM.

Methods: This cross-sectional study involved 140 patients with T2DM receiving treatment at a Nigerian tertiary hospital using purposive sampling technique. Socio-demographic data were recorded. PhF including Activity of Daily Living (ADL), Hand Grip Strength (HGS) and functional capacity (FC) were assessed using the Multidimensional Health Assessment Questionnaire, hand dynamometer and 6-Minute Walk Test respectively. PsF including depressive symptoms (DpS), exercise self-efficacy (ESE) and perceived exercise benefit (PEB) were assessed using the Center for Epidemiology Studies Depression Scale, ESE and PEB Scales respectively. Descriptive and inferential statistics were used to analyze data. Alpha level was set at p < 0.05.

Results: The mean age of participants was 61.53 ± 12.23 years. Participants were comparable in all physical characteristics, clinical variables, PhF and PsF except in height, body weight, HGS, and 6-Minute Walk Distance (6-MWD) (p < 0.05). Less than a fifth, 21 (15.0%) exhibited DpS with married and widow participants almost twice likely to suffer depression; OR = 1.87 (95%CI = 0.40-8.76; p = 0.027) and OR = 1.65 (95%CI = 0.54-6.33; p = 0.034) respectively. There was no significant association between PhF and depression. However, there were significant associations between high ESE and each of PhF (ADL: OR = 2.20, 95%CI = 1.12-4.14; p = 0.026), (HGS: OR = 2.85, 95%CI = 2.58-3.12; p = 0.012) and (6-MWD: OR = 2.96, 95%CI = 1.91-4.58; p = 0.003). Similarly, there were significant associations between high PEB and each of PhF (ADL: OR = 2.41, 95%CI = 1.27-4.57; p = 0.017), (HGS: OR = 1.84; 95%CI = 1.09-3.12; p = 0.039) and (6-MWD: OR = 3.34, 95%CI = 1.08-10.27; p = 0.034).

Conclusion: Patients with T2DM exhibited reduced PhF in terms of ADL, HGS and 6-MWD but demonstrated moderate to high ESE and PEB. However, a sizable number of patients with T2DM presented with some level of depression. Furthermore, PhF was significantly associated with high ESE and PEB. Improved PhF and better PsF could enhance rehabilitation outcomes in people with T2DM.
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Introduction

Diabetes Mellitus (DM) especially Type-2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM) is a major and largest growing health emergencies of the 21st century for which no age group is spared owing to changes in lifestyle worldwide [1]. Currently, more than 171 million people worldwide is affected and the number is expected to rise to 366 million by 2030 [2]. It is now largely believed that T2DM is almost reaching epidemic proportions with sub-Sahara Africa region having the highest prevalence [3].

In sub-Sahara Africa, the actual prevalence of T2DM is not known but findings from the region showed that a prevalence of about 13.1% was estimated. For example, it was estimated that Tanzania urban/rural ratio is 5:1 while Cameroon has a ratio of 2:1 [4]. Again, it was estimated that undiagnosed T2DM accounts for 60% of those with the disease in Cameroon, 70% in Ghana and over 80% in Tanzania [5]. Undoubtedly, Nigeria has been reported to have the highest number of people with T2DM having approximately 1,218,000 people in the country [6]. In addition, the highest number of people with impaired glucose tolerance was estimated to be around 3.85 million people in Nigeria [4].

Type-2 Diabetes Mellitus may present with characteristic symptoms such as hyperglycemia, persistent thirst, polyuria, blurring of vision and weight loss [7]. Persistent hyperglycemia is known to reduce muscular strength, poor exercise tolerance, impairs nerve activity leading to neuropathy, and subsequently resulting to poor physical functioning [8]. Similarly, owing to progressive disabling of T2DM, it may negatively impact on the health-related quality of life due to psychological feeling of being chronically ill, unpalatable dietary restrictions, episodes of hyperglycaemia, unexpected hypoglycaemia, and fear of long term consequences of ill-health [9]. In actual fact, it is a lifelong disability which may increase risk of deconditioning, reduced functional capacity, poor self-esteem and rapid deterioration of health status. Consequently, there could be progressive psychological disturbances that may lead to depression.

Anderson, et al. [10], reported in a study that about 15-30% of all adults with T2DM had depression with women being twice more likely affected as men. Furthermore, individuals with T2DM were reported to be more likely to exhibit depression, a higher prevalence of low quality of life [11], and increased mortality compared to patients without depression [12]. While the pathway connecting depression and worse outcomes in physical performance in persons with T2DM is yet to be fully elucidated, it is likely to include both physiologic and behavioural factors [13]. Hence, understanding the connections between physical performance and behavioural factors may help to institute strategic and specific rehabilitation regimens for people with T2DM.

In the recent time, studies have shown that treatment of diseases such as T2DM could be approached by using the bio-psychosocial model of health for achieving effective rehabilitation goal [14]. The model considers the role of biological, psychological and social factors as part of rehabilitation regimen. Similarly, the Social Cognitive Theory (SCT), a theory that identifies multiple, interacting determinants of human behaviour and behaviour change recognizes perceived benefit and self-regulatory task; self-efficacy as important psychosocial factor in rehabilitation [15]. However, the associations between physical functioning and psychosocial factors of persons with T2DM remain poorly understood.

Objective

The objective of this study was to investigate the associations between physical functioning and psychosocial factors among persons with T2DM attending a university teaching hospital in southwestern Nigeria.

Materials and Methods

Study subjects and research design

Participants for this study were patients with Type-2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM) receiving treatment at the Endocrinology and Metabolism Unit of the Consultant Out-Patient Department of the Obafemi Awolowo University Teaching Hospitals Complex (OAUTHC), Ile-Ife, Osun State, Nigeria. This study utilized a cross-sectional study design using purposive sampling technique to recruit participants. The eligibility for inclusion were participants whose ages ranged between 25 years and older with clinical diagnosis of T2DM. However, participants with poorly controlled hyperglycemia, previous diagnosis of significant dementia, psychosis, foot ulcer or any musculoskeletal disorders that may limit walking capability were excluded from the study.

The sample size for this study was determined using a sample size formula for cross-sectional study design; $N = \frac{Z^2 \cdot p \cdot (1-p)}{\varepsilon^2}$, where; $N =$ required sample size, $Z = z$-value (z-value at 95% confidence level = 1.96), $p =$ the estimated proportion of an attribute that is present in the population and $\varepsilon =$ the desired level of precision (i.e. confidence interval, expressed as decimal (0.05) [16]. Considering the prevalence ($p$) of T2DM in Nigerian population to be 10% [6], a minimum sample size of 125 was estimated for this study. However, an additional 10% was added to the estimated sample size resulting to approximately 140 participants to accommodate for possible missing data. Ethical clearance for this study was obtained from the Ethics and Research Committee of the OAUTHC (ERC/2018/10/11), Ile - Ife, Osun State, Nigeria. The purpose of the study was explained in detail to the participants. Furthermore, written informed consent was obtained from participants who met the inclusion criteria before the commencement of the study. Information on socio-demographic characteristics including age, gender, marital status occupational,
monthly income and educational level were recorded. Anthropometric characteristics including body weight, height, hip and waist circumferences were recorded using standard procedures. Data on physical functioning including activity of daily living (ADL), handgrip strength (HGS) and functional capacity (FC) were assessed using the Multi-Dimensional Health Assessment Questionnaire (MDHAQ), hand dynamometer and 6-minute walk test respectively. Participants’ fasting blood glucose (FBG) measurements were taken from 7:00 am to 9:00 am in the morning using a portable glucometer (One Touch Lifescan; Johnson-Johnson Company Product, Inc., Milpitas, CA 95035). Psychosocial factors including depressive symptoms was assessed using the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression (CES-D) Scale while exercise self-efficacy and perceived exercise benefits were assessed using the exercise self-efficacy scale and perceived exercise benefits and barrier scale respectively.

Assessment of physical functioning

Activity of daily living (ADL): Activity of Daily Living was assessed using the Multi-Dimensional Health Assessment Questionnaire (MDHAQ). The MDHAQ consists of 10 questions measuring ADL over the last week which ranges from “without any difficulty” = 0, “with some difficulty” = 1 “with much difficulty” = 2 and “unable to do” = 3. The instrument has a test-retest reliability of 0.89 using Cronbach alpha [17]. The procedure for the assessment of physical functioning was described in our previous study [18].

Hands grip strength (HGS): The hand grip strength (HGS) of participant was assessed using an electronic hand dynamometer. The procedure for assessing HGS was carried out using the American Society of Hand Therapists guidelines [19]. A demonstration was carried out before handing over the instrument to the participant. The procedure for measuring HGS was described in our previous study [20].

Functional capacity (FC): FC of the participants was assessed using the 6-Minute Walk Test (6-MWT). Based on the American Thoracic Association [21] guidelines, the 6-MWT was performed within the Physiotherapy Unit, Department of Medical Rehabilitation of OAUTHC. A 20 meter level corridor course was marked to perform a 6-MWT. Participant was allowed to rest for a period of 10 minutes in sitting position before the commencement of the walk test. The procedure was for performing the 6-MWT was described in our previous study [22].

Assessment of psychosocial factors

The following psychosocial factors including depressive symptoms, exercise self-efficacy and perceived exercise benefit were assessed.

Depressive symptoms (DpS): Depressive symptom of participant was assessed using the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression (CES-D) Scale. The scale is a well-validated, 10-item; self-report instrument designed to measure depressive symptoms in the general population [23]. With a range from 0 to 3, any score equals to or above 10 is considered depressed [24].

Exercise self-efficacy (ESE): The Exercise Self-Efficacy Scale developed by Bandura was used to assess the exercise self-efficacy (ESE) of the participants. The scale is a self-administered questionnaire used as measure of the confidence in one’s ability to endure with exercise in various situations which represents the areas of negative affect, resisting relapse, and making time for exercise.

Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics and distribution of psychosocial factors of participants (N = 140).

| Variable                  | Frequency | Percentage |
|---------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Sex                       |           |            |
| Male                      | 64        | 45.7       |
| Female                    | 76        | 54.3       |
| Marital status            |           |            |
| Single                    | 5         | 3.6        |
| Married                   | 123       | 87.9       |
| Widow/Widower             | 12        | 8.6        |
| Occupation                |           |            |
| Farming                   | 8         | 5.7        |
| Civil Servant             | 24        | 17.1       |
| Trader/Artisan            | 61        | 43.6       |
| Retiree                   | 44        | 31.4       |
| Schooling                 | 3         | 2.1        |
| Educational level         |           |            |
| Primary                   | 20        | 14.3       |
| Secondary                 | 58        | 41.4       |
| Post-Secondary            | 62        | 44.3       |
| DpS                       |           |            |
| Not depressed             | 119       | 85.0       |
| Depressed                 | 21        | 15.0       |
| ESE                       |           |            |
| Low                       | 60        | 42.9       |
| Moderate                  | 40        | 28.6       |
| High                      | 40        | 28.6       |
| PEB                       |           |            |
| Low                       | 30        | 21.4       |
| Moderate                  | 60        | 42.9       |
| High                      | 50        | 35.7       |
| Anti-diabetic medication† |           |            |
| Metformin                 | 85        | 60.7       |
| Diabinese                 | 55        | 39.3       |
| Miglitol                  | 35        | 25.0       |
| Liraglutide               | 28        | 20.0       |

Key: DpS: Depressed symptoms; ESE: Exercise self-efficacy; PEB: Perceived exercise benefit; †: Summation greater than 100% due to combinations of medications.
Table 2: Comparison of physical, clinical characteristics, physical functioning and psychosocial factors between male and female participants (N = 140).

| Variable                  | All   | Male (n = 64) | Female (n = 76) | t-cal. | P-value |
|---------------------------|-------|--------------|----------------|-------|---------|
| **Physical characteristics** |       |              |                |       |         |
| Age (years)               | 61.53 ± 12.23 | 63.53 ± 10.85 | 59.84 ± 13.12 | 1.792 | 0.075   |
| Height (m)                | 1.63 ± 0.08  | 1.67 ± 0.08  | 1.59 ± 0.06   | 1.592 | 0.061   |
| Weight (kg)               | 72.09 ± 12.12| 74.51 ± 11.39| 70.06 ± 12.41 | 2.191 | 0.030   |
| BMI (kg/m²)               | 27.19 ± 4.48 | 26.74 ± 3.85 | 27.58 ± 4.97  | -1.607| 0.270   |
| **Clinical characteristics** |       |              |                |       |         |
| SBP (mmHg)                | 131.16 ± 16.71| 133.83 ± 19.47| 129.76 ± 16.04| 1.081 | 0.281   |
| DBP (mmHg)                | 77.56 ± 9.91 | 78.47 ± 9.73 | 76.79 ± 9.91  | 0.098 | 0.032   |
| FBS (mmol⁻¹)              | 6.91 ± 2.43 | 6.79 ± 2.29  | 7.02 ± 2.55   | -0.538| 0.591   |
| **Physical functioning**   |       |              |                |       |         |
| ADL                       | 18.00 ± 22.32| 17.50 ± 26.13| 18.42 ± 18.69 | 0.809 | 0.032   |
| HGS (Kgf)                 | 23.11 ± 6.61 | 26.01 ± 7.03 | 20.66 ± 5.11  | 2.316 | 0.001   |
| MWD (m)                   | 333.29 ± 29.2 | 363.91 ± 129.93| 307.50 ± 53.47| -1.749| 0.001   |
| VO₂ max (mL/kg/min)       | 9.05 ± 1.65 | 9.57 ± 2.13  | 8.63 ± 0.89   | 2.175 | 0.001   |
| **Psychosocial factor**   |       |              |                |       |         |
| DpS                       | 6.24 ± 4.34 | 5.93 ± 4.23  | 6.49 ± 4.44   | 1.446 | 0.457   |
| ESE                       | 45.56 ± 20.76| 50.40 ± 24.01| 44.52 ± 22.98| -1.106| 0.079   |
| PEB                       | 90.39 ± 10.62| 91.59 ± 10.04| 89.37 ± 11.05| 2.520 | 0.218   |

P < 0.05; **P < 0.001;

Key: SD: Standard deviation; BMI: Body Max Index; HR: Heart rate; SBP: Systolic blood pressure; DBP: Diastolic blood pressure; FBS: Fasting blood sugar; ADL: Activity of daily living; HGS: Hand grip strength; 6MWD: Six minute walk distance; VO₂ max: Maximal oxygen consumption; DpS: Depressed symptoms; ESE: Exercise self-efficacy; PEB: Perceived exercise benefit.

Results

Table 1 shows socio-demographic characteristics of participants. More than half, 76 (54.30%) were females and a majority, 123 (87.90%) were married. The distribution of psychosocial factors of the participants shows that a fifth, 21 (15.00%) of the participants were present with depressive symptom while more than a quarter, 40 (28.57%) of the participants reported high perceived exercise self-efficacy. Table 2 shows the comparison of physical, clinical characteristics, physical functioning and psychosocial factors between male and female participants. The mean age of all participants was 61.53 ± 12.23 years. All participants were comparable in both physical and clinical characteristics (P > 0.05) except in height and weight (P < 0.05). There were significant differences in physical functioning between males and females in HGS (26.01 ± 7.03 vs. 22.01 ± 9.73 Kgf; t = 2.316; P = 0.001), MWD (363.91 ± 129.93 vs. 307.50 ± 53.47m; t = -1.749, P = 0.001) and VO₂ max (9.57 ± 2.13 vs. 8.63 ± 0.89 mL/kg/min; t = 2.175; P = 0.001) but not in ADL (P = 0.809). Furthermore, there was no significant difference in all psychosocial factors between male and female participants (P > 0.05). Table 3 shows logistic regression analysis with odd ratio (OR) at 95% confidence interval (CI). Statistical Package of Social Science (SPSS) version 20 IBM (SPSS Inc.) was used for the data analysis. Alpha level was set at p < 0.05.

Table 1 shows socio-demographic characteristics of participants. More than half, 76 (54.30%) were females and a majority, 123 (87.90%) were married. The distribution of psychosocial factors of the participants shows that a fifth, 21 (15.00%) of the participants were present with depressive symptom while more than a quarter, 40 (28.57%) of the participants reported high perceived exercise self-efficacy. Table 2 shows the comparison of physical, clinical characteristics, physical functioning and psychosocial factors between male and female participants. The mean age of all participants was 61.53 ± 12.23 years. All participants were comparable in both physical and clinical characteristics (P > 0.05) except in height and weight (P < 0.05). There were significant differences in physical functioning between males and females in HGS (26.01 ± 7.03 vs. 22.01 ± 9.73 Kgf; t = 2.316; P = 0.001), MWD (363.91 ± 129.93 vs. 307.50 ± 53.47m; t = -1.749, P = 0.001) and VO₂ max (9.57 ± 2.13 vs. 8.63 ± 0.89 mL/kg/min; t = 2.175; P = 0.001) but not in ADL (P = 0.809). Furthermore, there was no significant difference in all psychosocial factors between male and female participants (P > 0.05). Table 3 shows logistic regression analysis with odd ratio (OR) at 95% confidence interval (CI). Statistical Package of Social Science (SPSS) version 20 IBM (SPSS Inc.) was used for the data analysis. Alpha level was set at p < 0.05.

Results
Table 3: Associations between depressive status and socio-demographic characteristics of participants (N = 140).

| Variable          | Non-depressed (n = 119) | Depressed (n = 21) |
|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| Sex               |                         |                   |
| Male              | 1.00                    | 1.00              |
| Female            | 1.07                    | 0.99              |
|                  | 0.32-3.12               | 0.99              |
|                  | 0.990                   | 0.99              |
|                  | 0.31-3.09               | 0.992             |
| Marital status    |                         |                   |
| Single            | 1.00                    | 1.00              |
| Married           | 0.53                    | 1.87              |
|                  | 0.11-2.49               | 0.40-8.76         |
| Widow             | 0.45                    | 1.65              |
|                  | 0.23-1.78               | 0.54-6.33         |
|                  | 0.325                   | 0.034*            |
| Occupation        |                         |                   |
| Farming           | 1.00                    | 1.00              |
| Civil servant     | 0.23                    | 4.32              |
|                  | 0.01-5.57               | 0.18-10.41        |
| Trader/Artisan    | 1.32                    | 0.75              |
|                  | 0.11-15.40              | 0.06-8.82         |
| Retiree           | 1.03                    | 0.97              |
|                  | 0.08-12.89              | 0.77-12.05        |
| Schooling         | 1.39                    | 0.78              |
|                  | 0.33-6.72               | 0.54-9.61         |
|                  | 0.752                   | 0.773             |
| Educational       |                         |                   |
| Primary           | 1.00                    | 1.00              |
| Secondary         | 1.49                    | 0.67              |
|                  | 0.38-7.21               | 0.13-3.24         |
| Post-Secondary    | 0.68                    | 0.59              |
|                  | 0.27-10.27              | 0.97-3.61         |
|                  | 0.570                   | 0.570             |

*P < 0.05

Key: OR: Odd Ratio; CI: Confidence Interval; Ref: Reference.

Table 4: Associations between physical functioning and psychosocial factors (N = 140).

| Physical Functioning | Activity of daily living | Handgrip strength | Functional capacity |
|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Variable             | OR 95% CI P-value        | OR 95% CI P-value | OR 95% CI P-value   |
|                      |                          |                   |                     |
| Depressive status    |                          |                   |                     |
| Non-depressed        | 1.00 (Ref)               | 1.00 (Ref)        | 1.00 (Ref)          |
| Depressed            | 0.94 0.33-2.68 0.124     | 1.30 0.74-2.28    | 0.382 0.58 0.15-2.17 |
| Exercise self-efficacy |                          |                   |                     |
| Low                  | 1.00 (Ref)               | 1.00 (Ref)        | 1.00 (Ref)          |
| High                 | 2.20 1.12-4.14 0.026*    | 2.85 2.58-3.12    | 0.012* 2.96 1.91-4.58 |
| Perceived exercise benefit |                |                   |                     |
| Low                  | 1.00 (Ref)               | 1.00 (Ref)        | 1.00 (Ref)          |
| High                 | 2.41 1.27-4.57 0.017*    | 1.84 1.09-3.12    | 0.039* 3.34 1.08-10.27 |

*P < 0.05

Key: OR: Odd ratio; CI: Confidence interval; Ref: Reference.

Table 4 shows logistic regression analysis of association between physical functioning and psychosocial factors. There was no significant association between selected physical functioning and depression (P > 0.05). However, ADL was more than twice likely associated with high ESE (OR = 2.20; 95% CI = 1.12-4.14; P = 0.026). Similarly, HGS and FC were almost thrice likely associated with high ESE (OR = 2.85; 95% CI = 2.58-3.12; P = 0.012) and (OR = 2.96; 95% CI = 1.91-4.58; P = 0.003), respectively. Additionally, ADL (OR = 2.41; 95% CI = 1.27-4.57; P = 0.017), HGS (OR = 1.84; 95% CI = 1.09-3.12; P =
0.039) and FC (OR = 3.34; 95%CI = 1.08-10.27; P = 0.034) were significantly associated with high PEB.

**Discussion**

This study investigated the associations between physical functioning and psychosocial factors among patients with Type-2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM). Findings from this study show that about 15% of the study population presented with depressive symptoms. This finding is in line with previous studies that presence of T2DM is likely to result into depression [27,28]. Similarly, Anderson, et al. [10] and Cherrington, et al. [29] reported incidence of depression in patients with T2DM. It is possible that the impact of the disease on the metabolic system with high blood sugar, sudden dietary restriction, easy fatigability and sudden changes in social life may impact negatively on psychological wellbeing of patients with T2DM [29,30]. Other factors such as marital status; being married or widowed may also be responsible for depression as it was found in this study that such individuals were almost twice like to suffer depression. More importantly, some previous studies have implicated gender in the incidence of depression in people with T2DM. It is possible that the impact of the disease on the metabolic system with high blood sugar, sudden dietary restriction, easy fatigability and sudden changes in social life may impact negatively on psychological wellbeing of patients with T2DM [29,30]. Further studies are needed to ascertain the role of gender in depression. In the present study, the prevalence of depression appears to be moderately high. Although several factors may be responsible, the impact of the disease on musculoskeletal, neurological and cardiovascular systems may also contribute to high incidence of depression in people with T2DM. In the present study, it was observed that many of these patients were on regular anti-diabetic medications and lifestyle programmes with effective control of blood sugar level, these treatments were expected to offer a sense of psychological well-being among the patients with T2DM and low level of depression. There is the need to look at other possible causes of depression in T2DM.

Findings from this study showed that the handgrip strength of participants was poor. This finding is similar to that of previous studies reporting poor HGS [31,32]. A previous study by Wander, et al. [33] reported that the important predictor of survival in a diabetic population is good muscular strength having described handgrip strength as a measure of total body strength which is significantly associated with good physical performance. Similarly, the functional capacity in this study was low compared with healthy individuals. This is in line with the findings of previous studies that patients with T2DM have impaired functional capacity [20,34]. This implies that patients with T2DM have impaired oxygen utilization as regards physical performance. The plausible explanation for the low functional capacity might be as a result of negative effect of hyperglycemia on muscular strength, endurance and poor glucose metabolism.

Psychosocial factors are strong determinants of health outcomes in the rehabilitation of chronic diseases especially T2DM. In this present study, more than a quarter of participants reported moderate to high exercise self-efficacy. The associations between physical functioning and psychosocial factors were also explored. All physical functioning fields; activity of daily living, handgrip strength and functional capacity were significantly associated with high exercise self-efficacy. Exercise self-efficacy is the ability to engage in exercise programme with unmitigated confidence to commence and push through to the end. This finding is in agreement with previous a study that exercise self-efficacy among patients with T2DM helps patients to maintain regular exercise practice and subsequently improve self-care [35]. Exercise as a health behaviour plays significant role in the management of glycemic control and improvement in physical functioning [36]. This implies that exercise self-efficacy is considered to be an important factor for implementing rehabilitation goals and effective self-care management for patients with T2DM.

The ability to identify the importance of exercise benefit may play significant role in overcoming most barriers that could limit exercise participation among patients with chronic diseases. Perceived exercise benefit is the ability to be aware of role of exercise for improving health. In the present study, it was observed that all physical functioning areas were significantly associated with high perceived exercise benefit. However, this finding is in contrast to a previous study that patients with T2DM usually present with difficulty in engaging regular exercise programmes [37]. The possible explanation for this may not be unconnected with low level of awareness of the benefit of exercise to improve physical functioning and control of plasma blood sugar. Physical activity as exercise behaviour has both physiologic and psychological components of bio-psychosocial model that is necessary for achieving effective rehabilitation goal in T2DM. It is now evident that increased physical activity and resistance training are independently associated with physical functioning and psychological well-being of patients with T2DM [38].

**Limitations**

The results of this study should be interpreted with caution due to some limitations therein. The study design is a cross-sectional one and causal relationship could not be established. Similarly, the sample size was based on a sample size formula using the prevalence of T2DM in Nigeria and this may not be true representative of people with T2DM. Nonetheless, participants were carefully recruited into the study in the same hospitals setting with the view to recruiting a homogeneous group. Furthermore, although time since diagnosis of T2DM varied among the participants, clinical and laboratory assessment of T2DM provided an evidence of T2DM for inclusion criteria into the study. In addition, psychosocial factors addressed in this study were assessed through validated questionnaires; sometimes
measurements of these factors could be exaggerated or underestimated.

Conclusions

In conclusion, patients with T2DM exhibited reduced PhF in terms of ADL, HGS and 6-MWD but demonstrated moderate to high ESE and PEB. However, a sizable number of patients with T2DM presented with some level of depression. Furthermore, PhF was significantly associated with high ESE and PEB. These findings have implications on the importance of addressing psychosocial issues during rehabilitation programme. Regular assessment of psychosocial factors is recommended as part of routine procedures for effective rehabilitation of persons with T2DM. Hence, improved PhF and better PsF could enhance rehabilitation outcomes in people with T2DM.
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