Construction of a prognostic model for lung adenocarcinoma based on bioinformatics analysis of metabolic genes
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Background: Long-term observations and studies have found that the occurrence and development of lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) is associated with certain metabolic changes and that metabolic disorders are directly related to carcinogenic gene mutations. We attempted to establish a prognostic model for LUAD based on the expression profiles of metabolic genes.

Method: We analyzed the gene expression profiles of patients with LUAD obtained from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA). Univariate Cox regression was used to assess the correlation between each metabolic gene and survival. The survival-related metabolic genes were fit into the least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) to establish a prognostic model for LUAD. After 100,000 times of calculations and model construction, we successfully established a prognostic model consisting of 16 genes that can classify patients with LUAD into high-risk and low-risk groups. Further, the protein-protein interaction (PPI) network was built to determine the hub gene from 16 metabolic genes. Finally, the top one hub gene was validated by real-time reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) and immunohistochemistry in our 50 paired LUAD and adjacent tissues, and the prognostic performance of 16 metabolic genes was validated in GEO LUAD cohorts.

Results: Univariate Cox regression analysis and LASSO regression analysis results showed that the prognostic model established based on 16 metabolic genes could differentiate patients with LUAD with significantly different overall survival (OS) and that the prognosis of the high-risk group was worse than that of the low-risk group. In addition, the model can independently predict the OS of patients in both the training cohort and the validation cohort (training cohort: HR =2.44, 95% CI: 1.58–3.74, P<0.05; validation cohort: HR =2.15, 95% CI: 2.52–2.70, P<0.05). The decision curve analysis further showed that the combination use of the prognostic model and clinical features could better predict the survival of patients and benefit patients. In addition, Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) enrichment analyses revealed several basic signaling pathways and biological processes of metabolic genes in LUAD. Combined with the clinical features and metabolic gene characteristics of patients with LUAD, we also constructed a survival nomogram with a C-index of 0.701 to predict the survival probability of patients. The calibration curve confirmed that the nomogram predictions were consistent with the actual observation results. The top one hub gene was TYMS, which was determined by PPI. TYMS levels in LUAD were detected by RT-qPCR and the expression of TYMS was significantly up-regulated in the LUAD tissue of all 50 pairs (t=11.079, P<0.0001). Simultaneously, the correct of the prognostic model was validated, based on the data in GSE37745.

Conclusions: We constructed and validated a new prognostic model based on metabolic genes. This model could provide guidance for the personalized treatment of patients and improve the accuracy of individualized prognoses for patients with LUAD.
Introduction

Lung cancer is one of the most common malignancies and seriously threatens human life and quality of life. The morbidity and mortality of lung cancer ranks first among all cancers in the world, showing an increasing trend year by year (1). Lung cancer can be divided into small cell lung cancer and non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). NSCLC accounts for approximately 2/3 of the total cases of lung cancer. Lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) is a major pathological subtype of NSCLC. The incidence of LUAD is higher than that of squamous cell lung cancer (2). Since the early onset of LUAD is relatively occult, most patients are in advanced stages with extensive surrounding tissue invasion and lymph node metastasis at the time of diagnosis. Patients often receive treatments that include surgery, radiotherapy, chemotherapy and targeted therapy; however, due to the lack of effective biomarkers for diagnosis and patient prognosis, most patients still have a high risk of tumor recurrence and spread, and only 15% of patients survive more than 5 years (3,4). Previous risk assessments and treatment plans for patients with LUAD were mostly based on risk factors such as tumor size, stage and lymph node metastasis (5). However, these clinicopathological risk factors cannot clearly distinguish high-risk patients and low-risk patients. Therefore, to reduce mortality in patients with LUAD, good prognostic indicators must be established to guide treatment and clinical management.

Depending on cellular functional requirements and metabolic capacity, cells can use various metabolic pathways for energy production and biosynthesis (6). As an important feature of tumors, changes in cell metabolism are causally related to tumorigenesis (7,8). As early as 1924, Otto Warburg discovered that compared with normal mature cells, tumor cells generate energy to meet the requirements of rapid growth by absorbing more glucose with higher efficiency. Even in aerobic conditions, tumor cells breakdown excess glucose mainly through glycolysis, which is accompanied by the production of a large amount of lactic acid. This is known as the Warburg effect (9). The extensive application of modern molecular biology technologies has greatly promoted the development of tumor metabolism research, and the connotation of the Warburg effect has been further expanded. At present, the Warburg effect is no longer limited to changes in glycolysis and the tricarboxylic acid cycle. The Warburg effect now also includes many metabolic pathways, such as fatty acid metabolism, glutamine metabolism, serine metabolism, one-carbon metabolism and choline metabolism (10). Abnormal tumor cell metabolism mainly manifests as glucose decomposition by aerobic glycolysis; reduced oxidative phosphorylation; enhanced pentose phosphate pathway activity, active glutamine catabolism, de novo fatty acid synthesis and active β-oxidation (11).

In previous studies, researchers found that to better adapt to the fluctuation of oxygen supply in the tumor growth environment, obtain raw materials required for growth and division, resist oxidative stress and trauma, thereby facilitating their own survival and metastasis, tumor cells must change their original metabolic model, i.e., the Warburg effect must be initiated (12). In fact, the metabolic changes in tumor cells are closely related to various stages of tumor occurrence and development. Overcoming telomere replication restrictions, reprogramming intracellular gene expression, resisting apoptosis, achieving immune escape and enhancing neovascularization all affect tumor cell metabolism to varying degrees (13). With the development of research techniques in cancer biology, the theory that metabolic abnormalities precede the occurrence and development of tumors has gradually been experimentally confirmed. Yun et al. found that glucose deficiency promoted the acquisition of mutations in KRAS and its signaling molecules in KRAS wild-type cells, indicating that abnormal cell metabolism can lead to proto-oncogene mutations (14), and Hu et al. used 13C-labeled pyruvate molecular imaging technologies in animals and found that metabolic changes in glycolysis preceded the formation and regression of c-Myc-induced tumors (15). In addition, Xu et al. also found that α-ketoglutarate exhibited competitive inhibition of various α-ketoglutarate-dependent dioxygenase activities to induce cancers (16). These experiments highlight the important role of abnormal cell metabolism...
in the occurrence and development of tumors and in the prognosis of patients with tumors, indicating that metabolic genes may serve as prognostic markers for lung cancers.

However, metabolism is a complex biological process involving hundreds of molecules. Therefore, compared to a single metabolic gene, a comprehensive model consisting of multiple metabolic genes can improve the accuracy of patient prognosis. Different from traditional prediction indicators composed of a single molecule, models composed of multiple genes have higher accuracy in predicting the prognosis of patients. Taking this into consideration, we used univariate Cox regression analysis to screen and identify prognosis-related metabolic genes from a training cohort consisting of 288 patients with LUAD. Then, the obtained genes were subjected to least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) regression to establish an optimal risk model to calculate the risk score for each patient. According to the median value of the calculated risk scores, the patients were divided into a high-risk group and low-risk group. The prognostic value of the model was assessed through survival analysis and further validated in a validation cohort consisting of 189 patients with LUAD. Moreover, a nomogram combining clinical features with the prognostic model was established to predict individual survival probability. Finally, we find a representative hub gene and carry on experiments to confirm it.

**Methods**

**LUAD database and the clinical information of the patients**

Metabolic gene expression profiles from 535 LUAD cases and 59 nontumor tissue samples as well as the clinical information of 522 patients with LUAD were downloaded from the official The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) website (https://gdc-portal.nci.nih.gov/). After excluding patients with incomplete clinical data and patients with survival times less than 30 days, this study included data from 477 patients for analysis. The relevant clinical data included overall survival (OS), age, sex, TNM staging and pathological grade. The 477 patients were randomly divided into two groups based on a 3:2 ratio; among them, 288 patients were included in the training cohort for constructing the prognostic model, while the remaining 189 patients belonged to the validation cohort to validate the prognostic ability of the model. Furthermore, the prognostic gene signature was verified in the independent LUAD cohorts (GSE37745) (17). *Table 1* provides detailed

---

**Table 1** Clinical characteristics of patients with LUAD in the present study

| Characteristics | Training cohort (n=288) | Verifying cohort (n=189) | TCGA total cohort (n=477) | GSE37745 (n=105) |
|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| Vital stat      |                        |                         |                          |                 |
| Alive           | 186                    | 119                     | 305                      | 29              |
| Dead            | 102                    | 70                      | 172                      | 76              |
| Age (years)     |                        |                         |                          |                 |
| <65             | 129                    | 92                      | 221                      | 52              |
| ≥65             | 159                    | 97                      | 256                      | 53              |
| Sex             |                        |                         |                          |                 |
| Female          | 159                    | 97                      | 256                      | 60              |
| Male            | 129                    | 92                      | 221                      | 45              |
| Stage           |                        |                         |                          |                 |
| I               | 158                    | 109                     | 267                      | 70              |
| II              | 67                     | 40                      | 107                      | 18              |
| III/IV          | 63                     | 40                      | 103                      | 17              |

LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma.
Acquisition and processing of the metabolic gene expression profiles of patients with LUAD

The keyword “metabolism” was inputted into the GENECARDS website (https://www.genecards.org/) to search metabolic genes. Correlation coefficients were used to indicate the degree of the correlation between genes and metabolism, ranging from 0 to 100; the higher the score was, the closer the correlation. Genes with a correlation coefficient greater than 10 were used as candidate metabolic genes. R software (Version 3.6.0; https://www.R-project.org) was used to retrieve the expression profiles of 1062 metabolic genes from TCGA. Subsequently, the R software package “Limma” (18) was used for log2 conversion of mRNA sequencing. The absolute values of log2FC >1 and adjusted P<0.05 were used as the threshold values for normalization and differential expression analysis. To explore the potential biological relevance of differentially expressed genes, the DAVID bioinformatics tool was used (https://david.ncifcrf.gov) for Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis of coexpressed genes. Using the entire human genome as the background, the KO-based annotation system bioinformatics tool (http://kobas.cbi.pku.edu.cn/) was applied for Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analysis of metabolic genes. Functional enrichment analysis results (P<0.05) were used to determine potential biological functions. Clustering and visualization of functionally similar GO and KEGG enrichment analyses were performed using the R software package “ggplot2”.

Construction of a predictive model of metabolic genes

Univariate Cox regression analysis was performed to evaluate the relationship between the expression of various differentially expressed genes and the OS of patients with LUAD. Considering the number of selected metabolic genes and their relationship with prognosis, genes with P<0.05 were identified as candidate genes. The candidate genes were further subjected to LASSO regression analysis. Lasso regression is a punishment strategy suitable for high-dimensional data that can prevent overfitting (19). In this study, we used 10-fold cross-validation to determine the value of λ, and we choose λ with the least biases of partial likelihood as the optimal λ. Then, we used the identified predictive genes to construct a risk prediction model based on gene expression, as shown below.

\[
\text{Risk Score} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\text{Exp}_i \times C_i)
\]  

where N is the number of genes, Exp_i is the expression level of the gene, and C_i is the regression coefficient obtained from the LASSO regression analysis in the training cohort. The C-index was calculated to preliminarily assess the prediction accuracy of the model. Here, we propose that a C-index greater than 0.7 in the training cohort and the validation cohort indicates that the prognostic model is reliable and stable. The above method was implemented using GLIME and Hmisc in the R software package.

Analysis of protein-protein interaction (PPI) networks and find the hub gene

The Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/Proteins (STRING) (https://string-db.org/cgi/input.pl) provides experimental and predicted proteins which are interacted with each other. STRING analysis was executed to form a PPI network, with the criterion of a combined score of >0.4. Besides, the 16 metabolic genes in the prognostic model were used as queries in the STRING database and the resultant PPI network was subsequently visualized by Cytoscape software. The top one hub gene was found by Cytoscape software with CytoHubba which is a plug-in in Cytoscape software.

Expression level of the hub gene (TYMS) by reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) and immunohistochemistry

A total of 50 patient data with LUAD were collected (30 females and 20 males; composite life was 64.55 years; range, 36 to 82 years), with both LUAD and adjacent lung tissue obtained through surgery and provided by Second Affiliated Hospital of the Guangxi Medical University and Chengdu Medical College, from May 2013 to July 2019. The experiments were approved by the Ethical Committee of the two hospitals and written informed consent was signed by each participant. Total RNA was isolated from the lung tissue using the Trizol reagent (Thermo Fisher, the United States) according to the kit instructions, and a PCR amplification kit (ABI, Life, Technologies, the United States). Synthesis of cDNA was implement using the Superscript first strand cDNA synthesis kit according to the manufacturer’s protocol. RT-qPCR was
carried out using ABI 7500 Prep-Station and the SYBR®-Green PCR Master Mix. PCR was performed first with a 10 minutes hot start, followed with 95°C for 15 seconds, and 60 °C for 30 seconds for 40 cycles. The specific primers used were the following: TYMS forward: 5’-GGCACCCCTGTCCGTTACCG-3’, adverse: 5’-CCCTTCAGAACACAGCTT-3’, and β-actin (internal control) forward, 5’-CATCCTCACCCTFAAGTA-3’, and reverse, 5’-ACACGCAGCTCATTTAG-3’. The results were normalized to β-actin expression and calculated by the 2^-ΔCq method. All RT-qPCR reactions and test were executed at least three times, repeatedly.

In the present study, TYMS protein expression was detected by immunohistochemistry (IHC) with TYMS antibody (Abcam, the United States). SPlink Detection kits (Biotin-Streptavidin HRP Detection Systems; SP-9000; Beijing, China) was applied to the IHC.

Sections were blocked with 10% goat serum for 20 minutes at indoor temperature. Next, the primary antibody was added and incubated for one hour at indoor temperature.

Additionally, 100 μL of the secondary antibody (Goat Anti-Rabbit Immunoglobulin G) which is also included in the SPlink Detection kits was added for incubation that lasting 15 minutes. All the experimental procedures must follow the kit instructions. Five random images were captured with a light microscope. The percentage of positive TYMS staining was evaluated by 0–4 scores, which suggested to ≤10, >10 to ≤25, 25 to ≤50, 50 to ≤75 and 75 to ≤100%, respectively. The weak, moderate and strong intensities of TYMS staining were scored using 1, 2 and 3, respectively. The scoring criteria were examined by two independent pathologists. if the score was >2, positive TYMS staining would be confirmed; if the score was ≤2 negative TYMS staining would be confirmed.

**Results**

**Patient characteristics**

The flowchart for the study is shown in Figure 1. Table 1 summarizes the common clinical characteristics of the patients. A total of 477 patients with LUAD were included in this study, including 288 in the training cohort and 189 in the validation cohort.

**Differentially expressed metabolic genes**

A total of 1,062 metabolic genes were obtained from the website GENECARDS. After differential expression analysis, we obtained 455 differentially expressed genes, 125 of which were upregulated and 330 of which were downregulated [Figures 2, 3 (volcano plot and heat map)]. Table 2 lists the top ten differentially expressed genes. We further performed a series of GO enrichment analyses and KEGG pathway analyses to further study the biological functions of these metabolic genes in the development and progression of LUAD. GOplot analysis showed that these genes are all related to metabolism and biosynthesis in biological processes, as shown in Figure 4. In terms of cellular components, these genes are involved in the mitochondrial outer membrane and RNA core complex, as shown in Figure 4. Regarding molecular function, these genes also play an indispensable role in coenzyme binding, as shown in Figure 4. In addition, KEGG pathway
Enrichment analysis indicated that these genes are mainly enriched in purine metabolism and related pathways, as shown in Figure 5.

The construction and validation of a prognostic model of LUAD using the training cohort

First, univariate Cox regression of the training cohort was performed to analyze the 455 differentially expressed genes. A total of 59 metabolic genes were selected as candidate genes associated with prognosis (P<0.05). To avoid overfitting, these candidate genes were subjected to LASSO regression analysis. After the construction of the model (50,000 times), we successfully extracted 16 key metabolic genes and constructed a reliable and stable risk scoring model based on the regression coefficients generated by LASSO regression analysis, as shown in Figure 6A,B and Table 3. The C-index of the model was 0.815 and 0.794 for the training cohort and validation cohort, respectively. Based on the expression levels of the 16 genes, a risk score was assigned to each patient, and then the patients were divided into a high-risk group (136 cases) and a low-risk group (152 cases) using the median risk score of 2.764. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis showed that the median survival time was 1.27 years in the high-risk group, which was significantly lower than that in the low-risk group (1.92 years) (P=2.183E-5) (Figure 7A). The 3- and 5-year survival rates for the high-risk group were 41.2% and 29.5%, respectively. The 3- and 5-year survival rates for the low-risk group were 73.7% and 43.2%, respectively. To further validate the applicability and stability of the model, we performed the same analysis using the validation cohort and found that the median risk score was 3.125 for the 189 patients with LUAD. According to this median score, the 189 patients were also divided into a high-risk group, with 102 patients, and a low-risk group, with 87 patients. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis showed that the OS of these two groups was significantly different. Specifically, the median survival time of the patients in the high-risk group was 1.51 years, which was significantly lower than that in the low-risk group (2.01 years) (P=5.83E-5). The 3- and 5-year survival rates for the high-risk group were 39.6% and 20.5%, respectively, and the 3- and 5-year survival rates for the low-risk group were 74.6% and 44.6%, respectively, as shown in Figure 7B. The time-dependent ROC curve plotted using the prognostic model consisting of 16 metabolic genes predicted AUC values of 0.732 and 0.69 for the 3- and 5-year survival rates, respectively, for the training cohort, indicating that the prognostic model had excellent reliability in predicting survival. The ROC curve is shown in Figure 8A. The predicted AUC values for the 3- and 5-year survival rates were 0.703 and 0.703 respectively, in the validation cohort, which was basically consistent with the training cohort, as shown in Figure 8B. Figure 9A,B,C show the risk score distribution, survival status, and heat map of the expression profiles of 16 metabolic genes of 288 patients with LUAD in the training cohort. The ALDOA, LDHA, and PTGES genes showed high expression in the high-risk group, while these genes showed low expression in the low-risk group. The risk score distribution, survival status and gene expression profile heat map of the validation cohort were consistent with those of the training cohort (Figure 9D,E,F). Univariate analysis was performed on the models consisting of 16 metabolic-related genes in the training and validation cohorts. The results suggested that
Figure 2 Heat map of differentially expressed genes. Heat map of differentially expressed genes between LUAD tissues and matched normal tissues. The orange column represents cancer tissues, and blue column represents matched normal tissues. LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma.

Figure 3 Volcano map of differentially expressed genes between LUAD tissues and matched normal tissues. The red dot represents up-regulated genes, and green dot represents down-regulated genes. LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma.

This model was closely related to the survival of patients with LUAD (training cohort: HR = 2.34, 95% CI: 1.64-3.26, P<0.05; validation cohort: HR = 2.58, 95% CI: 1.86-3.83, P<0.05). Table 4 provides more detailed results.

The prognostic model consisting of 16 metabolic genes was an independent predictor of survival

Multivariate Cox regression analysis was performed on the prognosis model in the training and validation cohorts to assess whether the predictive power of the model was independent of other clinical factors, such as age, sex and pathological stage. In the multivariate Cox regression analysis, OS was used as the dependent variable, and other clinical factors were used as the covariates. The results showed that after adjusting for other clinical factors, the OS...
Table 2 Top 10 differentially expressed genes

| Gene symbol | logFC  | P value | fdr   | Regulation |
|-------------|--------|---------|-------|------------|
| CA4         | -4.19382 | 3.12E-34 | 2.05E-32 | Down       |
| ALAS2       | -3.84285 | 7.46E-26 | 5.92E-25 | Down       |
| GPD1        | -3.78296 | 7.28E-33 | 2.72E-31 | Down       |
| CYP1A2      | -3.59665 | 2.04E-33 | 1.04E-31 | Down       |
| OTC         | -3.52038 | 4.27E-33 | 1.90E-31 | Down       |
| INMT        | -3.33088 | 8.52E-35 | 8.11E-33 | Down       |
| ADCY8       | -3.18507 | 2.99E-32 | 8.12E-31 | Down       |
| FMO2        | -3.09618 | 5.14E-35 | 6.52E-33 | Down       |
| ADH1B       | -3.07807 | 4.72E-34 | 2.77E-32 | Down       |
| ACADL       | -2.98845 | 1.16E-34 | 8.82E-33 | Down       |
| AOC1        | 5.078884 | 9.26E-17 | 2.92E-16 | Up         |
| AKR1C4      | 5.140016 | 0.006155 | 0.007552 | Up         |
| ITPKA       | 5.280638 | 3.94E-28 | 4.62E-27 | Up         |
| CA9         | 5.652221 | 1.57E-25 | 1.16E-24 | Up         |
| GPX2        | 6.013781 | 1.91E-08 | 3.41E-08 | Up         |
| PLA2G2F     | 6.121566 | 3.79E-19 | 1.48E-18 | Up         |
| UGT2B15     | 6.158543 | 3.85E-08 | 6.78E-08 | Up         |
| PAH         | 6.237651 | 1.97E-11 | 4.31E-11 | Up         |
| AKR1B10     | 7.530102 | 3.24E-14 | 8.50E-14 | Up         |
| UGT2B11     | 7.79052  | 1.73E-09 | 3.28E-09 | Up         |

The establishment of the nomograph

To develop a clinically applicable method to predict the OS of an individual patient, we plotted a nomograph using the prognostic model. The nomograph was plotted after multivariate analysis of the patients with LUAD, as shown in Figure 12. The calibration curves for the 3- and 5-year OS rates suggest that the model has excellent predictive ability for the prognosis of patients with LUAD (3-year survival, 0.701, 5-year survival, 0.685) (Figure 13).

Validation of the prognostic model in the independent LUAD cohorts

We next assessed the predictive power of the prognostic model in the independent LUAD cohorts from the GSE37745 database. In GSE37745, patients were separated into low and high-risk groups based on the calculated risk score, and the OS of the two group was compared. Patients at low risk survived significantly longer than those in high risk (P=0.036, and maximum AUC =0.672). Moreover, the prognostic model consisting of 16 metabolic genes was also...
Figure 4 Functional enrichment of differentially expressed genes. BP, biological process; CC, cellular component; MF, molecular function.

an independent predictor of survival in GSE37745 (Table 4, Figure 14A,B).

Up-regulation of TYMS mRNA by RT-qPCR

Mapping of PPI networks allowed the construction of a landscape showing how the proteins produced by the genes in our prognostic model. By mapping the whole network, we identified TYMS as the top one hub gene (Figure 15).

We analyzed the differential expression of TYMS in 50 LUAD and para-carcinomatous tissue sample pairs through RT-qPCR (Table 5). Expression of TYMS was significantly up-regulated in LUAD tissue of all 50 pairs (9.121±2.543, t=11.079, P<0.001) (Figure 16). Quite notably, expression of TYMS in advanced LUAD was higher than in early stage LUAD (t=−3.584, P=0.001).

Experimental evidence of TYMS at the protein level by IHC

The staining status of the TYMS antibody was evaluated by an IHC assay and the images of IHC are presented in Figure 17. In 50 LUAD tissues, the number of positive TYMS tissues (68%) was higher than the negative tissues (32%). In the 50 non-cancerous tissues, the number of negative TYMS tissues (70%) was higher than the number of positive tissues (30%). A significant difference in TYMS expression was found between LUAD and non-cancerous tissues.
**Figure 5** KEGG pathway map. KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes.

**Figure 6** prognostic model established by LASSO regression [LASSO coefficient profiles of the 16 genes in TCGA-LUAD (A), selection of the optimal parameter (lambda) in the LASSO model for TCGA-LUAD (B)]. LASSO, least absolute shrinkage and selection operator; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma.
Table 3 Functions of 16 genes from the prognostic gene signatures

| No. | Gene symbol | Full name | Function | Coefficient of risk |
|-----|-------------|-----------|----------|---------------------|
| 1   | TYMS        | Thymidylate Synthetase | Fluoropyrimidine activity and metabolism of nucleotides | 0.012000842 |
| 2   | GNPNAT1     | Glucosamine-Phosphate N-Acetyltransferase 1 | Synthesis of substrates in N-glycan biosynthesis | 0.02509742 |
| 3   | LPGAT1      | Lysophosphatidylglycerol Acyltransferase 1 | Glycerophospholipid biosynthesis | 0.010592199 |
| 4   | INPP4B      | Inositol Polyphosphate-4-Phosphatase Type II B | Inositol phosphate metabolism | 0.029983089 |
| 5   | MAOB        | Monoamine Oxidase B | Super pathway of tryptophan utilization | -0.013252539 |
| 6   | MTHFD2      | Methylenetetrahydrofolate Cyclohydrolase | Nucleotide metabolism and selenium micronutrient network | -0.005686523 |
| 7   | ADCY9       | Adenylate Cyclase 9 | Adenylate cyclase inhibition | -0.020756554 |
| 8   | PTGIS       | Prostaglandin I2 Synthase | Prostaglandin 2 biosynthesis | 0.008161085 |
| 9   | ALOX12B     | Arachidonate 12-Lipoxygenase, 12R Type | Arachidonic acid metabolism and prostaglandin 2 biosynthesis | 0.110691209 |
| 10  | GSTA3       | Glutathione S-Transferase Alpha 3 | Glutathione metabolism and platinum drug resistance | -0.08312793 |
| 11  | LDHA        | Lactate Dehydrogenase A | Pyruvate metabolism and citric acid (TCA) cycle | 0.00196183 |
| 12  | UCK2        | Uridine-Cytidine Kinase 2 | Fluoropyrimidine activity and metabolism of nucleotides | 0.027401706 |
| 13  | ENTPD2      | Ectonucleoside Triphosphate Diphosphohydrolase 2 | Metabolism of nucleotides | 0.035619134 |
| 14  | PTG level   | Prostaglandin E Synthase | Arachidonic acid metabolism | 0.001613886 |
| 15  | NT5E        | 5’-Nucleotidase Ecto | Metabolism of nucleotides and NAD metabolism | 0.003867883 |
| 16  | ALDOA       | Fructose-Bisphosphate Aldolase A | Innate immune system and carbon metabolism | 0.000733303 |

lung tissues through Pearson chi-square ($\chi^2=14.44$, $P=0.0001$).

**Discussion**

Unlimited rapid proliferation is one of the most important biological characteristics of lung cancer cells. To meet the needs of rapid proliferation, tumor cells exhibit metabolic characteristics that are different from those of normal cells: (I) under both oxygen-rich or hypoxic conditions, glycolysis is used as the main energy production mode; (II) a large number of lipids are synthesized to meet the needs of the formation of organelle biofilms in tumor cells and their own special biological functions; and (III) a large number of protein substances are produced to maintain the structure and function of the cells (20,21). In recent years, increasing evidence has indicated that the abnormal expression of metabolic genes is involved in the development and progression of lung cancer. Guo et al. (22) performed high-throughput sequencing of blood samples from patients with LUAD and detected mutations in a large number of metabolic genes. These genes included metabolite transporter genes (CD98 and MCTs), key genes of the tricarboxylic acid cycle (SDH, IDH, and FH), key genes in glucose metabolism (GLUT1 and GPI) and nucleotide anabolism genes (TYMS and RRM2B). In addition, Guo et al. also found that mutations of these metabolic genes were associated with the progression of NSCLC. Pérez-Ramírez et al. (23) also showed that NSCLC patients with MDM2 mutations had a shorter OS. To date, hundreds of proteins have been considered to be involved in metabolic processes. Given the importance of metabolic genes in lung
In this study, we analyzed the mRNA expression of 455 metabolic genes in the TCGA dataset. Among them, 59 genes were associated with the survival of patients with LUAD. We established a prognostic model consisting of 16 genes using LASSO regression. The risk scores for each patient were calculated by integrating the mRNA expression levels and regression coefficients of selected genes. The prognostic model divided the patients with LUAD into a high-risk group and a low-risk group, and the OS for the high-risk and low-risk groups was significantly different. More importantly, the prognostic model composed of the above 16 genes was also validated in a validation cohort with 189 patients. Over the past decade, many researchers have developed prognostic models related to clinical disease outcomes. These models, also known as classifiers, are often used to predict the prognosis of patients with various diseases (24-26). Some models are even better than TNM staging in predicting prognosis. Currently, prognostic models based on metabolic genes have been reported in liver cancer and breast cancer. For example, Liu et al. (27) recently reported a predictive prognostic model consisting

Figure 7 Kaplan-Meier analysis of LUAD patients stratified by the median risk score. Survival analysis of the training cohort (A) and validation cohort (B). The high-risk scores were related to poor overall survival in TCGA-LUAD. LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas.
He et al. Construction of a prognostic model for LUAD based on bioinformatics analysis of metabolic genes

Figure 8 Time-dependent ROC analysis of the sensitivity and specificity of the OS for the 16-metabolism genes risk score in TCGA-LUAD. (A) The training cohort, (B) validation cohort. ROC, receiver operating characteristic analysis; OS, overall survival; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma.

Figure 9 Risk scores, survival status and metabolic gene expression profile heat map for the training cohort (A,B,C) and validation cohort (D,E,F). The black dotted line is the optimum cutoff dividing patients into low risk and high risk groups.

of four metabolic genes (ACAT1, GOT2, PTDSS2 and UCK2) to predict OS in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). This prognostic model successfully separated patients with significantly increased early recurrence risk from patients with low risk. Comparing the model established in this study with the model developed
Table 4 Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analysis in each cohort

| Variables | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|-----------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|           | HR  | 95% CI | P value | HR  | 95% CI | P value |
| (i) Training cohort (n=288) | | | | | | |
| Risk score for 16 metabolism-related genes (high/low risk) | 2.34 | 1.64–3.26 | <0.001 | 2.44 | 1.58–3.74 | <0.001 |
| Age (≥65/<65 years) | 1.24 | 0.82–2.20 | 0.19 | 1.11 | 0.89–1.73 | 0.87 |
| Sex (female/male) | 0.99 | 0.52–1.32 | 0.21 | 1.03 | 0.69–1.52 | 0.91 |
| Stage | | | | | | |
| II vs. I | 1.72 | 1.11–2.92 | <0.001 | 1.74 | 1.21–3.24 | 0.03 |
| III/IV vs. I | 2.74 | 1.87–4.45 | <0.001 | 2.53 | 1.63–4.83 | <0.001 |
| (ii) Verification cohort (n=189) | | | | | | |
| Risk score for 16 metabolism-related genes (high/low risk) | 2.58 | 1.86–3.83 | <0.001 | 2.15 | 1.52–2.70 | 0.001 |
| Age (≥65/<65 years) | 1.20 | 0.88–1.67 | 0.41 | 1.54 | 0.79–2.24 | 0.15 |
| Sex (female/male) | 0.87 | 0.68–1.32 | 0.21 | 0.83 | 0.58–2.43 | 0.42 |
| Stage | | | | | | |
| II vs. I | 1.85 | 1.82–2.93 | 0.02 | 1.89 | 1.64–3.35 | 0.02 |
| III/IV vs. I | 4.24 | 2.55–7.32 | <0.001 | 4.42 | 2.64–8.21 | <0.001 |
| (iii) GSE37745 (n=105) | | | | | | |
| Risk score for 16 metabolism-related genes (high/low risk) | 2.25 | 1.37–3.61 | 0.001 | 1.80 | 1.35–2.47 | 0.001 |
| Age (≥65/<65 years) | 1.21 | 0.92–1.65 | 0.112 | 1.02 | 0.76–1.43 | 0.82 |
| Sex (female/male) | 0.91 | 0.68–1.32 | 0.21 | 0.86 | 0.53–2.28 | 0.38 |
| Stage | | | | | | |
| II vs. I | 1.98 | 1.44–2.83 | 0.002 | 1.56 | 1.24–2.47 | <0.001 |
| III/IV vs. I | 2.88 | 1.53–4.76 | <0.001 | 2.33 | 1.33–3.91 | <0.001 |

by Liu, we found that the UCK2 gene appeared in both models, indicating that the UCK2 gene plays a role in both HCC and LUAD. UCK2 is a pyrimidine nucleotide kinase capable of phosphorylating uridine and cytosine to uridine monophosphate and cytidine phosphate monophosphate. UCK2 is the rate-limiting enzyme in the salvage pathway of pyrimidine nucleotides. When a tumor develops, various physiological functions of the body become disordered, and the normal de novo synthesis of nucleotides may be affected to some extent. Therefore, the amount of generated nucleotides cannot satisfy the rapid proliferation and strong metabolism of tumor cells. The existence of salvage pathways meets the needs of tumor proliferation. Therefore, the expression of UCK2 was elevated in both HCC and LUAD. Huang et al. (28) also showed that UCK2, as an oncogene, promoted the proliferation and invasion of HCC cells. Knocking out UCK2 inhibited the proliferation and colony formation ability of tumor cells. Wu et al. (29) also showed that UCK2 promoted the proliferation of LUAD cells and might be involved in the MTOR1 signaling pathway or interacts with the MYC or E2F genes.

To evaluate the prediction accuracy of the prognostic model, we performed time-dependent ROC curve analysis and calculated the AUC values at different cut-off times. The AUC value of the 3-year survival rate was predicted to be 0.732, and the AUC value of the 5-year survival rate...
Figure 10 Multivariate Cox regression analysis of the training cohort (A) and validation cohort (B). Forest plot of the relationship between risk factors and the survival rate of patients with LUAD. LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma.

| Risk Factor | HR (95% CI)     |
|-------------|-----------------|
| Age         | 1.11 (0.89, 1.73) |
| Gender      | 1.03 (0.69, 1.52) |
| Stage       | 2.23 (1.73, 4.42) |
| Risk Score  | 2.44 (1.58, 3.74) |

A

| Risk Factor | HR (95% CI)     |
|-------------|-----------------|
| Age         | 1.54 (0.79, 2.24) |
| Gender      | 0.83 (0.58, 2.43) |
| Stage       | 2.78 (2.24, 6.54) |
| Risk Score  | 2.15 (1.52, 2.70) |

B

Figure 11 Decision curve analysis of the risk prediction model. Training cohort (A) and validation cohort (B). Black line: assume no patient is at high risk. Gray line: all patients are assumed to be at high-risk. These two lines can be used as references. Green line: addition of the prognostic model consisting of metabolic genes to clinical risk factors provides more benefit for survival prediction for patients with LUAD. LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma.
Figure 12 Nomograph for the prediction of 3- and 5-year OS in individual patients with LUAD. OS, overall survival; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma.

Figure 13 Nomograph calibration curve of 3- and 5-year. The x-axis and y-axis represent nomogram predicted and actual survival, respectively.

was 0.69 in the validation cohort. The above results are consistent with those in the training cohort, demonstrating excellent prediction accuracy of the model. However, AUC only focuses on the prediction accuracy of the model and does not suggest whether the model is worthy of clinic application. DCA is a statistical method that contains results and thus can provide information for whether the model can be used in the clinical practice (30). We applied DCA to evaluate our prognostic model and found that the combination of the prognostic model with clinical parameters such as age, sex and staging can benefit patients with LUAD the most.

Using the prognostic model, we found that the expression of ALDOA, LDHA, PTGES, and MTHFD2 was relatively high in high-risk patients and that the expression of the above genes was low in low-risk patients. ALDOA is a glycolytic enzyme and an essential enzyme for ATP synthesis that can promote the invasiveness of tumor cells by regulating the wnt signaling pathway (31). LDHA is associated with the progression of breast cancer, lung cancer, and glioma (32,33). Wang et al. (34) found...
Figure 14 The prognostic model was validated in GSE37745. (A) Kaplan-Meier analysis of LUAD patients stratified by the median risk score. Survival analysis of t GSE37745. The high-risk scores were related to poor overall survival in TCGA-LUAD. (B) Time-dependent ROC analysis of the sensitivity and specificity of the OS for the 16-metabolism genes risk score in GSE37745. TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; ROC, receiver operating characteristic analysis; OS, overall survival.

Figure 15 The PPI network of Metabolism-related gene in prognostic signature. Green represents down-regulated genes; Yellow and Red represent for up-regulated genes. PPI, protein-protein interaction.

that PTGES, a key enzyme that synthesizes PGE2 in the arachidonic acid pathway, is highly downregulated in NSCLC. The abnormal expression of PTGES is critical for the promotion of lung cancer cell migration and metastasis. This is related to the imbalance of protein stability by the deubiquitinating enzyme USP9X. MTHFD2 is a bifunctional enzyme located in mitochondria and is overexpressed in various malignant tumors. Yu et al. (35) showed that MTHFD2 knockout inhibited the proliferation of lung cancer cells by mediating the inhibition of cell cycle-related genes, providing a new basis for targeted therapy of NSCLC.

Bioinformatics studies showed that the 16 genes in the LUAD prognostic model were mainly related to purine metabolism, pyrimidine metabolism, glycolysis and other signaling pathways, indicating that multiple metabolic pathways undergo significant changes during cell malignant transformation. The involved pathways are the tricarboxylic acid cycle, oxidative phosphorylation, amino
Table 5 Relationship between TYMS expression level and clinicopathological parameters in LUAD based on RT-qPCR

| Clinicopathological features | N   | TYMS expression (mean ± SD) | T     | P    |
|-----------------------------|-----|-----------------------------|-------|------|
| Tissues                     |     |                             | 11.079| <0.001|
| Non-cancerous               | 50  | 4.11±2.255                  |       |      |
| LUAD                        | 50  | 9.121±2.543                 |       |      |
| Size                        |     |                             | 1.866 | 0.068 |
| ≤3 cm                       | 16  | 8.681±2.689                 |       |      |
| >3 cm                       | 34  | 10.075±1.948                |       |      |
| TNM                         |     |                             | -3.584| 0.001 |
| I–II                        | 24  | 7.917±2.606                 |       |      |
| III–IV                      | 26  | 10.232±1.937                |       |      |
| Sex                         |     |                             | -0.503| 0.617 |
| Male                        | 26  | 8.946±2.577                 |       |      |
| Female                      | 24  | 9.311±2.546                 |       |      |
| Age                         |     |                             | -1.514| 0.137 |
| ≤65 years                   | 21  | 8.489±2.864                 |       |      |
| >65 years                   | 29  | 9.579±2.223                 |       |      |

LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; RT-qPCR, reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction.

Figure 16 Differential expression levels of TYMS in LUAD and non-cancerous lung tissue. LUAD n=50, non-cancerous lung tissue n=50. LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma.

acid metabolism, fatty acid metabolism and nucleic acid metabolism. Cell malignant transformation is therefore also known as reprogramming of energy metabolism in tumor cells, usually caused by gene mutations (36,37). Interestingly, this study found that metabolic genes also play roles in tumor drug metabolism pathways, suggesting that metabolic genes may be associated with antitumor drug resistance. This study also found that a large number of metabolic genes are involved in the glycolysis pathway. Glucose metabolism is the main energy source of cells. Warburg confirmed that due to the rapid growth of tumor cells, glycolysis can still be used for glycolysis in the presence of adequate oxygen. Complex factors such as the microenvironment and gene mutations can cause changes in glucose metabolism in tumor cells. First, it can cause the upregulation of glycolysis-associated enzymes and GLUT; on the other hand, the tricarboxylic acid cycle is inhibited, and the aerobic oxidation of mitochondria is weakened, resulting in increased glycolytic activity (38). Zhang et al. (4) suggested that glycolytic genes were associated with the poor prognosis of patients with LUAD, which is consistent with the findings of our study.

It is worth noting that purine nucleotide metabolic pathways are the most closely related to metabolic genes, indicating the imbalance of purine nucleotide metabolism in the process of lung cancer occurrence. Rampazzo et al. (39) showed that the antitumor mechanisms of gemcitabine involve competitive inhibitory effects on metabolic enzymes that interfere or inhibit the synthesis of purine nucleotides. A large amount of CN-II enzymes on the surface of tumor...
cells can cause the dephosphorylation of nucleoside analogs and thus drug resistance. Yuan (40) suggested that serum uric acid plays an antioxidant role but that increased serum uric acid is correlated with an increased risk of lung cancer and mortality. Uric acid has complex biological functions in lung cancer. The prognostic model developed in this study also included the ADCY9 gene, which is involved in purine metabolism. ADCY9 is a member of the membrane-bound adenylate cyclase family and plays an important regulatory role in cell proliferation and differentiation. Tan et al. (41) showed that ADCY9 gene mutations reduced the amount of mitochondrial DNA, suggesting that ADCY9 plays a role in providing dNTPs for mitochondrial DNA synthesis, thus affecting the proliferation and metastasis of lung cancer. However, the specific mechanisms and pathways that affect the proliferation and metastasis of LUAD by ADCY9 still require further basic research.

Finally, we developed a nomograph to predict the OS of an individual patient. A nomograph is a stable and reliable tool for quantitative analysis by combining and depicting risk factors. It has been widely used to assess the prognosis of various diseases, including lung cancer (42). A nomograph generates a statistical prediction model that is presented as a graph to assign values to specific clinical factors (e.g., age, sex and staging). By summarizing all the points, a nomograph can provide an individual patient with a digital display of prognoses, such as OS, time to relapse, and interval of acute exacerbation. In addition to traditional clinical features (TNM staging, tumor size and target gene mutations), risk scores calculated using prognostic models can also be included in predictive nomographs to better predict prognosis. Li et al. (19) reported a nomograph for predicting the 1-, 3-, and 5-year OS of patients with LUAD. The risk score was calculated based on gene expression in the model. The results suggested that the combination of risk scores and traditional prognostic factors can more accurately predict prognosis, which is consistent with the findings of our study. The calibration curve established in this study showed that the nomograph combining the prognostic model consisting of metabolic genes and conventional prognostic factors could accurately predict the 3- and 5-year survival of patients with LUAD. When the same prognostic model was used to calculate risk scores in GSE37745, we found that this model also could provide with high prediction ability.

In order to further verify the accuracy of the model, we choose TYMS gene as a representative metabolic gene using PPI network. Then, we verify the expression of TYMS in LUAD tissues by RT-qPCR and immunohistochemical staining. Expression of TYMS was significantly up-regulated in LUAD tissue of all 50 pairs. Moreover, expression of TYMS in advanced LUAD was higher than in early stage LUAD. A significant difference in TYMS expression was also found between LUAD and non-cancerous lung tissues using immunohistochemical staining. TYMS is the enzyme which catalyze the reaction that provides the sole de novo intracellular source of thymidylate, which is important for Folic acid metabolism and DNA synthesis. Elevated TYMS expression or activity may play a part in the proliferation and metastasis of lung cancer.

In summary, based on the TCGA dataset, we constructed a prognostic model consisting of 16 metabolic genes. This model represents an independent prognostic factor. The nomograph combining the prognostic model and

Figure 17 IHC staining of TYMS expression in lung adenocarcinoma and non-cancerous lung tissue. (A) IHC of non-cancerous lung tissue (magnification, ×100). (B) IHC of lung adenocarcinoma (magnification, ×100). IHC, immunohistochemistry.
conventional prognostic factors could accurately predict the 3- and 5-year survival of individual patients with LUAD. This study may provide guidance for the individualized treatment of patients with lung cancer.
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