Dimerization and phosphorylation of Lutheran/basal cell adhesion molecule are critical for its function in cell migration on laminin
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Tumor cell migration depends on the interactions of adhesion proteins with the extracellular matrix. Lutheran/basal cell adhesion molecule (Lu/BCAM) promotes tumor cell migration by binding to laminin α5 chain, a subunit of laminins 511 and 521. Lu/BCAM is a type I transmembrane protein with a cytoplasmic domain of 59 (Lu) or 19 (Lu(v13)) amino acids. Here, using an array of techniques, including site-directed mutagenesis, immunoblotting, FRET, and proximity-ligation assays, we show that both Lu and Lu(v13) form homodimers at the cell surface of epithelial cancer cells. We mapped two small-XXX-small motifs in the transmembrane domain as potential sites for monomers docking and identified three cysteines in the cytoplasmic domain as being critical for covalently stabilizing dimers. We further found that Lu dimerization and phosphorylation of its cytoplasmic domain were concomitantly needed to promote cell migration. We conclude that Lu is the critical isoform supporting tumor cell migration on laminin 521 and that the Lu:Lu(v13) ratio at the cell surface may control the balance between cellular firm adhesion and migration.

Lutheran/basal cell adhesion molecule (Lu/BCAM), or CD239, is a type I transmembrane protein carrying the antigens of the Lutheran blood group system. Lu/BCAM is a member of the immunoglobulin superfamily (IgSF); it exhibits an extracellular domain composed of two variable (V) and three constant (C2) Ig-like domains, a single transmembrane domain, and a cytoplasmic domain of 59 or 19 amino acids that defines the two Lu/BCAM isoforms Lu (85 kDa) and Lu(v13) (78 kDa), respectively.

Lu/BCAM was first reported in circulating red blood cells (RBCs) through the presence of the Lutheran blood group antigens, but it is not restricted to the erythroid lineage because it has a broad expression pattern including epithelial and endothelial cells of most organs. Lu/BCAM binds to α5 chain-containing laminins and is the unique laminin receptor on the surface of circulating RBCs in humans (1–3). The adhesive function of Lu/BCAM has been studied mainly in the context of blood and lysosomal pathologies (4), such as sickle cell disease (5–9), hereditary spherocytosis (10), myeloproliferative neoplasms (11–13), and Gaucher disease (14), and to a lesser extent in epithelial cells and tumor invasion or metastasis.

Metastasis is often initiated and supported by the ability of tumor cells to invade the basement membrane through a cooperative process extracellular matrix (ECM) degradation, cell adhesion, and cell migration. The ECM protein laminin is believed to play a central role in cell adhesion and cell migration during tumor invasion. Laminins are heterotrimERIC glycoproteins composed of α, β, and γ chains. There are five α chains, three β chains, and three γ chains (15), the combination of which gives rise to 19 different isoforms in the laminin family (16).

BCAM was first identified as an overexpressed antigen in human ovarian carcinomas in vivo that was up-regulated following malignant transformation (17, 18). Rahuel et al. (19) later demonstrated that BCAM and Lutheran were two splice variants (Lu(v13) and Lu, respectively) encoded by the LL gene. Since then, Lu/BCAM expression has been studied in a number of cancer cells and found modulated in several cancer types such as colon, skin, brain, liver, thyroid, breast, and bladder cancer (2, 20–28). Lu/BCAM has been shown to sustain tumor cell migration by modulating integrin-mediated cell attachment to laminin 511 (29) and to play a role in metastatic spreading of KRAS-mutant colorectal cancer (30).

In this study, we investigated the nature of Lu/BCAM molecules expressed at the membrane of epithelial cancer cells and revealed the presence of homodimers. We mapped two...
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Figure 1. Lu/BCAM forms homodimers at the surface of epithelial cells. A–D, Lu/BCAM was immunoprecipitated from Caco-2 (A and B), A-498 (C), or transfected MDCK (D) epithelial cells using the F241 anti-human Lu mAb with or without biotinylation of surface proteins. SDS-PAGE was performed under reducing (Red) or nonreducing (Non-Red) conditions. Proteins were revealed using streptavidin-HRP. A, biotinylated endogenous Lu (85 kDa) and Lu(v13) (78 kDa) monomers are immunoprecipitated from Caco-2 cells (n = 7). An extra 170–175 kDa band corresponding to putative Lu dimers is visible under Non-Red conditions. B, left panel, biotinylated lysates containing endogenous Lu/BCAM isoforms with the Luα antigen specificity were mixed with nonbiotinylated lysates containing recombinant Lu isoform with the antithetical Luα antigen specificity. Immunoprecipitation was performed with F241 or 4G11 anti-Luα mAbs. B, right panel, immunoprecipitation of biotinylated recombinant Luα allelic variant using 4G11 mAb (n = 1). C, immunoprecipitation of biotinylated endogenous Lu and Lu(v13) in A-498 cells using F241 mAb (n = 2). D, immunoprecipitation of biotinylated recombinant Lu and Lu(v13) in transfected MDCK cells using F241 mAb (n = 7). Arrows indicate dimers. IP, immunoprecipitation.

Results

Lu/BCAM forms homodimers at the surface of epithelial cells

To study the nature of Lu/BCAM molecules at the cell membrane, surface proteins of Caco-2 cells, a human epithelial colorectal carcinoma cell line, were biotinylated before cell lysis and Lu/BCAM was immunoprecipitated using the F241 anti-human Lu/BCAM mAb. After SDS-PAGE and transfer to nitrocellulose membrane, immunoprecipitated proteins were revealed using streptavidin-HRP. Under nonreducing conditions, a band of 170–175 kDa was detected in addition to the expected Lu/BCAM isoforms Luα (85 kDa) and Lu(v13) (78 kDa) (Fig. 1A). This band was not detected in the presence of a reducing agent (β-mercaptoethanol) and no proteins other than Lu and Lu(v13) were detected in these conditions, suggesting that the 170–175 kDa band represented a dimer of Lu/BCAM that was reduced to the monomeric size by β-mercaptoethanol (Fig. 1A). To test whether these potential dimers were not forming in vitro after cell lysis, immunoprecipitation experiments were performed after mixing two populations of Lu/BCAM proteins with antithetical antigen specificities: Luα and Luβ. Caco-2 cell lysates containing biotinylated Lu/BCAM with the Luα antigen (biotin-Luα) were mixed with nonbiotinylated lysates of Caco-2–Luβ cells expressing a recombinant form of Lu/BCAM with the Luα antigen (31). Immunoprecipitation of Luα using the 4G11 anti-Luα mAb did not show any biotinylated band at the dimer size (Fig. 1B, left panel) indicating that no Luα/biotin-Luβ dimers were forming in vitro during the purification step. This was not due to the inability of 4G11 mAb to immunoprecipitate small-XXX-small motifs in the transmembrane domain as potential sites for monomers docking and identified three cysteines in the cytoplasmic domain as critical residues for dimers’ covalent stabilization. Finally, we showed that dimerization of Lu/BCAM together with the phosphorylation of its cytoplasmic domain at serine 621 are critical factors to promote cell migration on laminin 521.

Lu homodimers are detected at the cell surface by FRET

Lu homodimerization in living cells was assessed by FRET assays using HEK 293T cells. HEK 293T cells were cotransfected with vectors encoding the fluorophores cerulean (Cer) or citrine (Cit) fused to the C terminus of the long Lu isoform (pE-Lu-Cer and pE-Lu-Cit). Positive and negative controls were performed: A vector encoding a Cit-Cer tandem (pE-Cit-Cer, positive control), cotransfection of a vector encoding Cit (pE-Cit) with a vector encoding Cer (pE-Cer), cotransfection of pE-Lu-Cit and pE-Cer vectors, and cotransfection of pE-Lu–Cit vector with a vector encoding an independent transmembrane protein of the IgSF (ICAM4) fused to Cer (pE-ICAM4-Cer). The Cer/Cit fluorophore pair was selected for its good spectral overlap allowing for efficient energy transfer from the donor (Cer) to the acceptor (Cit). The transfer of energy was measured as an increase in the donor fluorophore emission after photo-
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Figure 2. The fusion proteins Lu-Cit and Lu-Cer form homodimers at the cell surface that are detected by FRET. FRET efficiency in transiently transfected HEK 392T cells of the tandem pE-Cit-Cer fusion protein (positive control; green), three negative controls (pE-Cit + pE-Cer, pE-Lu-Cit + pE-Cer, and pE-Lu-Cit + pE-ICAM4-Cer: red), and pE-Lu-Cit + pE-Lu-Cer combination. Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA on ranks test was performed followed by Dunn’s multiple comparison procedure in case of differences at a 0.05 significance level. Significant differences between the pE-Lu-Cit + pE-Lu-Cer cotransfected and the negative controls are shown: ***, p ≤ 0.001; ****, p ≤ 0.0001 (tandem; n = 26; pE-Cit + pE-Cer and pE-Lu-Cit + pE-Lu-Cer, n = 28; pE-Lu-Cit + pE-ICAM4-Cer, n = 27; pE-Lu-Cit + pE-Lu-Cer, n = 35). The energy transfer between Lu-Cer and Lu-Cit and the negative control pE-Lu-Cit + pE-ICAM4-Cer has been assessed in two additional independent experiences.

bleaching of the acceptor. Fig. S1 shows representative images of the photobleaching process on an area where Lu-Cit is expressed. FRET efficiency of the cells expressing the Cit/Cer or the Lu-Cit/Cer pair was much lower than that of those expressing the Cit-Cer tandem (positive control) used to determine the maximal FRET efficiency that could be obtained in this system (38.6 ± 2.6%, mean ± S.D.; Fig. 2). The FRET efficiency of the Cit/Cer pair was not null, indicating that there was some background because of a crosstalk between the two overexpressed fluorescent proteins. The FRET efficiency of cells co-expressing Lu-Cit and Lu-Cer was significantly higher than that of cells expressing the Cit/Cer or the Lu-Cit/Cer pair (negative controls), indicating that Lu molecules interact with each other at the cell membrane and form homodimers or homooligomers (Fig. 2). The measured FRET efficiency of Lu dimers was not subsequent to Lu overexpression at the cell membrane because it was greater than the efficiency of Lu-Cit co-expressed with ICAM4-Cer, another IgSF transmembrane protein that does not interact with Lu (pE-Lu-Cit + pE-ICAM4-Cer; Fig. 2).

Mapping of Lu/BCAM dimerization site

Analysis of the primary sequence of Lu/BCAM transmembrane domain revealed the presence of two overlapping “small-XXX-small” motifs: A553-XXX-S557 and A555-XXX-G559 (Fig. 3A). Small-XXX-small motifs are overrepresented in transmembrane proteins and well-known for promoting helix-helix interactions and protein oligomerization. Site-directed mutagenesis was performed to substitute serine 577 or glycine 559 by valine: LuS557V and LuG559V mutants. Immunoprecipitation assays performed after stable expression of these two mutants in MDCK cells did not show altered dimerization as compared with WT Lu (Fig. 3B).

Because dimerization was not suppressed by the S557V and G559V mutations, the role of disulfide bonds in Lu homodimers stabilization was investigated. This hypothesis is supported by the absence of homodimers when SDS-PAGE was performed under reducing conditions (Fig. 1A). There are 13 cysteines common to Lu and Lu(v13), 10 cysteines in the extracellular domain, and 3 in the cytoplasmic tail (Fig. 3C). We focused on the latter because Lu/BCAM isoforms exhibit five Ig-like extracellular domains involving the 10 other cysteines (Fig. 3C). The 3 cytoplasmic cysteines were mutated into serine or alanine as shown in Fig. 3A. The triple mutant LuC/S-CC/AA was stably expressed in MDCK cells and showed no dimers after immunoprecipitation (Fig. 3B), suggesting that the dimers of Lu WT detected by SDS-PAGE under nonreducing conditions were covalently linked by disulfide bonds involving cysteines of the cytoplasmic domain.

The impact of these mutations was further investigated using the proximity ligation assay (PLA) to assess Lu dimerization in situ at the cell surface, without the interference of the cell lysis and protein purification steps. Indeed, the triple mutant might form noncovalently linked dimers at the cell surface that are broken apart by the detergent at the lysis step before protein immunoprecipitation. Anti-Lu F241 antibody was purified and conjugated to the DNA oligo arms PLA-MINUS (F241-M) or PLA-PLUS (F241-P). MDCK cells were fixed and labeled with F241-M and F241-P antibodies. When close enough (<40 nm), the PLUS and MINUS oligo arms facilitate ligation, amplification, and subsequent fluorescent detection. In accordance with the FRET results, MDCK-Lu cells showed high numbers of fluorescent dots, supporting the presence of Lu dimers at the cell surface (Fig. 3, D and E). Similar results were obtained with the MDCK-LuS557V and -LuG559V cells, in accordance with the immunoprecipitation results. The number of fluorescent dots was decreased in MDCK cells expressing the triple mutant LuC/S-CC/AA, without reaching the background level measured with MDCK WT cells (Fig. 3D and 3E), indicating that the dimerization of this mutant was altered but not abolished at the cell surface, thus suggesting that disulfide bonds are not critical for dimerization to occur.

Lu homodimers promote cell migration

Lu/BCAM promotes migration of human fibrosarcoma cells through its interaction with laminin α5 chain (29). The potential role of Lu in inducing migration of MDCK cells was investigated by performing migration assays of individual MDCK cells spread onto a matrix of purified recombinant laminin 521 (Fig. S2). As expected for epithelial cells, MDCK WT cells were dynamic and showed basal migration activity (Fig. 4, A and B; Video S1). In accordance with Kikkawa’s results using human fibrosarcoma cells, MDCK-Lu cells were more dynamic and showed a higher migration rate (Fig. 4, A and B; Video S2), with more than 2-fold increase when compared with MDCK WT (Fig. 4B). Migration of cells expressing Lu mutants LuS557V or LuG559V was also increased but to a lesser extent (Fig. 4, A and B; Videos S3 and S4). Migration of MDCK cells expressing LuC/
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| Transmembrane domain | Cytoplasmic domain |
|----------------------|--------------------|
| Lu/BCAM              | AVMAVAVGVLVAVFVCVRKKGGPCCRQRREKGAGPPGECPGELSGSSEQPEQTGLLMGASGARGGSSGFGDEC |
| LuS557V              | AVMAVAVGVLVAVFVCVRKKGGPCCRQRREKGAGPPGECPGELSGSSEQPEQTGLLMGASGARGGSSGFGDEC |
| LuG559V              | AVMAVAVGVLVAVFVCVRKKGGPCCRQRREKGAGPPGECPGELSGSSEQPEQTGLLMGASGARGGSSGFGDEC |
| LuC/S-CC/AA          | AVMAVAVGVLVAVFVCVRKKGGPCCRQRREKGAGPPGECPGELSGSSEQPEQTGLLMGASGARGGSSGFGDEC |

S-CC/AA mutant was significantly diminished when compared with MDCK-Lu cells (Fig. 4, A and B; Video S5), suggesting that covalently linked homodimers are important for Lu-dependent cell migration.

**Lu phosphorylation is essential for Lu-induced cell migration**

Although Lu induced MDCK cell migration, Lu(v13) did not. As shown in Fig. 5, MDCK-Lu(v13) cells showed a similar behavior to MDCK WT cells (Video S6). Because Lu(v13) forms dimers at the MDCK cell surface (Figs. 1D and 5C), we concluded that dimerization alone was not sufficient to promote cell migration. Lu(v13) lacks 40 amino acids at the C-terminal end, when compared with Lu, among which the only serine residues of the cytoplasmic domain are found. Phosphorylation of serine 621 has been shown to activate Lu-mediated cell adhesion to laminin 511/521 (5, 11, 32). Therefore, we investigated the role of serine 621 phosphorylation in Lu-mediated cell migration by performing migration assays with stably transfected MDCK cells expressing Lu or Lu mutants. Results are expressed as number of fluorescent dots per cell. Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA on ranks test was performed for the transfected cells, followed by Dunn’s multiple comparison procedure in case of differences at a 0.05 significance level. ****, p ≤ 0.0001 versus MDCK-Lu cells (WT, n = 14; Lu and LuG559V, n = 22; LuS557V, n = 19; LuC/S-CC/AA, n = 21). This experiment has been performed three times. E, representative confocal microscopy images showing the PLA signal (red) on MDCK WT and transfected MDCK cells expressing Lu or Lu mutants; nuclei are stained with DAPI (blue). Scale bar: 20 μm.
LuS621A mutant showed normal levels of immunoprecipitated dimers (Fig. 5C). As serine 621 can be phosphorylated by PKA (32) or Akt (11), we performed migration assays in the presence of the PKA inhibitor H89 or the Akt inhibitor Akti. Migration of MDCK-Lu cells was significantly inhibited in the presence of H89 suggesting that it depended on Lu phosphorylation by PKA (Fig. 5D; Videos S8 and S9). Altogether, our results show that Lu-mediated cell migration on laminin 521 depends on concomitant dimerization and phosphorylation of Lu molecules at the cell surface.

**Discussion**

Although Lu/BCAM has been investigated for decades, it is the first time that its ability to form dimers at the cell surface is reported. These dimers were not detected previously because Western blotting experiments were performed under reducing conditions that are shown here to abolish dimerization.

Lu/BCAM binds to α5 chain–containing laminins and is the unique laminin receptor on the surface of circulating RBCs in humans (1–3). The binding site of Lu/BCAM to laminin was first mapped to the first three Ig-like extracellular domains (D1-D2-D3) (33), and then delimited to a negatively charged area in the D2-D3 interdomain flexible region (34). Whether Lu/BCAM binds preferentially to laminin under its monomeric or dimeric form is not known. Nevertheless, dimerization of Lu/BCAM does not seem to hinder binding to laminin as surface plasmon resonance experiments showed a high affinity between laminin 511/521 and soluble dimers of Lu-Fc chimeras composed of Lu/BCAM extracellular domain fused to the human immunoglobulin Fc fragment that drives dimerization (33, 35). Thus, Lu/BCAM dimers might be the active form needed for laminin binding or might bind laminin with higher affinity than the monomeric form as it was shown for ICAM-1 dimers binding to integrin αLβ2 (36). This is supported by our functional cellular experiments showing the importance of these dimers for cell migration on a laminin-coated surface. Several adhesion molecules of the IgSF are known to form dimers that are critical for their adhesion and migration functions. Cis-dimerization of CD146 and JAM-A regulates adhesion and migration of endothelial and epithelial cells, respectively (37, 38). In contrast to CD146 (39) and JAM-A (38), Lu/BCAM does not seem to modulate cell migration on laminin 521 by down-regulating integrins but rather by modulating their binding to laminin, weakening firm adhesion and promoting migration (29).

Our findings support the role of Lu/BCAM in inducing cell migration and further identify dimerization and phosphorylation as novel mechanisms through which it operates to sustain this action. Dimerization and phosphorylation are concomitantly needed to induce cell migration as cells expressing the long isoform Lu migrated faster than those expressing the short
isoform Lu(v13), which forms dimers but is not phosphorylated. In addition, we mapped serine 621 of Lu as the phosphorylation target site of PKA that is involved in MDCK cell migration. Phosphorylation of this serine had been described to support adhesion of red blood cells in sickle cell disease (32) and polycythemia vera (11) through a PKA- and an Akt-dependent pathway, respectively, and here we reveal its novel role in sustaining the migration of epithelial cells. We believe that serine 621 is the only residue involved in the PKA-induced migration as its substitution into alanine in the same MDCK cell line abolishes the cAMP-induced phosphorylation of Lu (32).

Our results on Lu-mediated migration are in accordance with previous studies performed with human fibrosarcoma cell lines overexpressing Lu or with hepatocellular carcinoma cells (29, 40). Lu expression has also been shown to mediate motility on biliary cells in a liver injury context (27). Nevertheless, although our results showing that Lu(v13) does not sustain cell migration are in accordance with the suggested suppressive oncoprotein role of this isoform (41) they are different from those reported by Kikkawa et al. (29), showing a migration function for this isoform, although slightly lower than for Lu. This discrepancy might arise from differences in the cell lines used in both studies or from the number of tracked cells in the migration assays, as in our experiments we tracked a much higher number of cells (more than 40 cells in triplicate versus 10 cells in Ref. 29). Our results highlight the importance of the relative expression of Lu and Lu(v13) as the Lu/Lu(v13) ratio at the cell surface may control the balance between cellular firm adhesion and migration.

The observation that both Lu/BCAM isoforms form homodimers indicates that the dimerization sites are comprised within the 588 amino acids that are common between Lu and Lu(v13). To gain insights into the structural properties of Lu/BCAM we performed in silico modeling analyses. The single transmembrane region was analyzed through different prediction approaches (see “Experimental procedures”) to determine

Figure 5. Phosphorylation of Lu serine 621 is necessary for cell migration. Indicated cells were plated in channels coated with laminin 521 and monitored as in Fig. 4 A, representative tracks of 10 cells for each cell line over a span of 4 h. B, quantification of cell motility as evaluated by cell mean velocity (µm/min) on data collected from three independent experiments. ANOVA was performed on log-transformed data, followed by Tukey’s honest significance test. **, p ≤ 0.01 versus MDCK WT cells; ###, p ≤ 0.001 versus MDCK-Lu cells; ####, p ≤ 0.0001 versus MDCK-Lu cells (WT, n1 = 44, n2 = 36, n3 = 46; Lu, n1 = 46, n2 = 29, n3 = 43; Lu(v13), n1 = 44, n2 = 36, n3 = 46; LuS621A, n1 = 45, n2 = 34, n3 = 44). C, immunoprecipitated Lu, Lu(v13), and LuS621A from transfected MDCK cells after biotinylation of surface proteins. SDS-PAGE was performed under nonreducing conditions; proteins were detected using streptavidin-HRP (n = 5). D, quantification of migration rate (µm/min) of MDCK WT and MDCK-Lu cells treated with the PKA inhibitor H89, the Akt inhibitor Akti, or DMSO alone. Data collected from three independent experiments. ANOVA was performed for the MDCK-Lu cells on log-transformed data, followed by Tukey’s honest significance test. *, p ≤ 0.05 versus MDCK-Lu DMSO (WT DMSO, n1 = 45, n2 = 45, n3 = 50; Lu DMSO, n1 = 36, n2 = 45, n3 = 40; Lu H89, n1 = 48, n2 = 45, n3 = 36; Lu Akti, n1 = 42, n2 = 45, n3 = 40).
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FRET and PLA results were in accordance with the immunoprecipitation results of Lu WT, LuS557V, and LuG559V. In contrast, although LuC/S-CC/AA dimers were not detected after immunoprecipitation, PLA experiments showed the presence of fluorescent dots for this mutant. The number of dots associated with LuC/S-CC/AA was significantly lower than for Lu WT but higher than for the negative control (MDCK WT, not shown) indicating the presence of low amounts of LuC/S-CC/AA dimers at the cell surface. One explanation is that LuC/S-CC/AA is still able to form some dimers at the cell surface that are dissociated after cell lysis because of the absence of disulfide bonds. The potential presence of such dimers does not seem to support cell migration, strongly suggesting that covariant stabilization of Lu dimers is critical for their mediated migration function.

The absence of Lu dimers in the presence of β-mercaptoethanol and the abrogation of dimerization of the LuC/S-CC/AA mutant support the presence of at least one disulfide bond within each dimer involving one of the three cysteines of each monomer. We performed structural and sequence analyses to evaluate this hypothesis (see “Experimental procedures”). Protein structural analysis indicated that cysteine 570, which is at the boundary of the transmembrane and cytoplasmic domains, is the less likely to form disulfide bonds. To determine the putative cysteine involved in such bonds we studied the evolution of this cytoplasmic region using multiple sequence analyses. We performed a mining of the UniProt/Swiss-Prot database using PSI-BLAST and found more than 400 conserved sequences with appropriate similarity. Interestingly, cysteine 570 was found in all mammals but not in some reptiles. The two other cysteines, cysteine 578 and cysteine 579, were found in all mammals with complete conservation. In the reptile species, it was difficult to distinguish cysteine 578 from cysteine 579 as the local similarity decreased. In contrast, analyzing the sequence from *Ornithorhynchus anatinus*, which has only one cysteine at this position and a high similarity with the human sequence, indicated that cysteine 578 was the conserved residue, suggesting that this cysteine is most probably the residue involved in disulfide bonds within Lu dimers.

In summary, our findings show that Lu/BCAM molecules form dimers at the cell surface and reveal a novel mechanism regulating Lu/BCAM-mediated adhesion and migration of epithelial cells. Further studies of this mechanism could be of interest both in pathological situations, such as cancer cell migration and abnormal red cell adhesion, and in normal physiology during development and tissue formation.

**Experimental procedures**

**Antibodies**

Mouse anti-human Lu/BCAM monoclonal antibody (mAb), clone F241, was produced in our institute (32). Human anti-Luα mAb, clone 4G11, was produced as described (31). Biotinylated goat polyclonal anti-Lu/BCAM antibody (Ab) was from R&D Systems.

**Expression vectors and site-directed mutagenesis**

Luα, Luβ, and Luβ(v13) cDNAs were cloned into the pcDNA3 expression vector as described (45). Mutated forms of Luβ were
obtained using site-directed mutagenesis as described previously (46). Primers used for the S621A mutation (+1 is taken as the methionine residue of the initiation codon) are already described (46). Primers used for the other mutants are (mutated nucleotides are underlined): for LuS557V, forward 5′-CATGGCCGTGGCGTCGTCGTGGGCCTCCTGCTCCTCG-3′, reverse 5′-CGACGAGGAGGCACACCGACGGGACCGGCCCCTAG-3′; for LuG559V, forward 5′-CGTGGCCGTCAACGGTGTCGCTCCTGCTCCTCG-3′, reverse 5′-CGACGAGGAGGCACACCGACGGGACCGGCCCCTAG-3′; for LuS621A, forward 5′-CCCTTTGCGTCTCACGCTGTAGAAGACAGCAAC-3′, then CC578–579 were mutated into alanine, forward 5′-CGCCTAGACAAAGAGCTGACCGG-3′, reverse 5′-CTCCCGCCGCTGGCGGGAG-3′.

**Cell culture, transfection, and flow cytometry**

MDCK (ATCC), HEK 293T (ATCC) and Caco-2 (DSMZ) cells were grown in DMEM GlutaMAX I supplemented with 10% (MDCK, HEK 293T) or 20% (Caco-2) FCS, antibiotic/antimycotic and 0.1 mM nonessential amino acids. Cells were grown in a humidified atmosphere at 37 °C with 5% CO₂. Stable MDCK and Caco-2 cells expressing WT or mutant Lu/BCAM were obtained as described (32).

Cell surface expression of Lu/BCAM was analyzed using anti-Lu/BCAM F241 mAb followed by a phycoerythrin-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (H + L) (Beckman Coulter); a BD FACS Canto II flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson) with FACSDiva software (version 6.1.2) for acquisition and FlowJo v10 software for data analysis. Expression of Lu WT and dimerization mutant forms in Lu-positive MDCK cells fell within the same range except for LuS621A mutant was obtained using 2 steps, first cysteine 570 was mutated into serine, forward 5′-GTCAGCGTGGTCCTCCTGCTCCTCGTCG-3′, then CC578–579 were mutated into alanine, forward 5′-CGCCTAGACAAAGAGCTGACCGG-3′, reverse 5′-CTCCCGCCGCTGGCGGGAG-3′.

**Protein biotinylation and Western blotting**

Cells were washed twice with ice-cold PBS with 1 mM calcium and magnesium (PBS-CM) and incubated with 0.5 mg/ml EZ-Link Sulfo-NHS-LC-Biotin (Pierce) in 10 mM Hepes, pH 7.3–7.6, 150 mM NaCl, 0.2 mM CaCl₂, and 0.2 mM MgCl₂ for 30 min at 4 °C. Cells were washed twice with PBS-CM and incubated with 10 mM glycine in PBS for 10 min at 4 °C to quench free biotin. After two extra washes with PBS-CM, cells were lysed for 40 min on ice in lysis buffer (10 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM CaCl₂, and 1% Triton X-100 supplemented with a protease inhibitor mixture (Complete, EDTA-free, Roche) and 1 mM PMSF). Cell lysates were centrifuged for 20 min at 11,000 × g, and supernatants were pre-cleared with Protein A Sepharose CL-4B beads (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) supplemented with goat serum during 3 h. Following centrifugation at 5000 × g for 20 min, Lu/BCAM was immunoprecipitated from supernatants overnight at 4 °C using F241 mAb coupled to Protein A Sepharose beads. After washing the beads five times with the lysis buffer, immunoprecipitated proteins were eluted with Laemmli buffer and analyzed by SDS-PAGE (8% gels), membrane transfer, and chemiluminescence after incubation with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated streptavidin (Life Technologies).

**FRET experiments**

Lu isoform was expressed as a fusion protein with two different tags: citrine (Cit) and cerulean (Cer). A pEGFP-N2 vector containing Lu cDNA between the BglII (5′ end) and EcoRI (3′ end) restriction sites was used as a backbone. The cDNA sequence encoding the GFP tag was excised by a double EcoRI/NotI digestion and replaced by PCR products digested by the same enzymes encoding either citrine or cerulean, which were amplified from pECitrineFP-C1 or pECeruleanFP-C1 vectors using the following primers: forward 5′-GGCCGAATTCTCATGTTGAGCAAGGGCCGAGG-3′ and reverse 5′-CCGGCCGGCCGTCACTGACACTCGTCCATGC-3′. The vector encoding the citrine-cerulean tandem protein was obtained by cloning the cerulean cDNA in the pECitrineFP-C1 vector using the following primers: forward 5′-GGCCGAATTCTCATGTTGAGCAAGGGCCGAGG-3′ and reverse 5′-CCGGCCGGCCGTCACTGACACTCGTCCATGC-3′.

MDCK (ATCC), HEK 293T (ATCC) and Caco-2 (DSMZ) cells grown on glass coverslips were transfected with 1 µg of plasmid DNA using FuGENE® 6 Transfection Reagent (Promega). After 24 h cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 20 min at room temperature, washed with PBS, and mounted in ProLong® reagent (Life Technologies). FRET Acceptor bleaching experiments were performed between Cer and Cit. FRET acquisition and measurement were done using a Leica SP5 confocal microscope using the FRET AB wizard (Leica Microsystems). Excitation and emission wavelengths were 405 and 428–487 nm, respectively, for Cer/donor channel; 514 and 532–592 nm, respectively, for Cit/acceptor channel; 405 and 408–448 nm, respectively, for Cer/donor channel; and 514 and 532–592 nm, respectively, for the FRET channel. Microscope acquisition was done using a 63 × 1.4 NA oil immersion objective (Leica Microsystems). Maximum laser power (65 milliwatt multi-Argon laser) and zoom bleach option were used to bleach the Acceptor (Cit). Pre- and post-bleached images were acquired at 1000 Hz speed, 1024 × 1024 pixels (pixel size 160 nm), and a pinhole set at 1 airy unit. FRET image analysis was done using FRET Acceptor photobleaching (AB) in LASAF software (Leica Microsystems). All fluorescence values were corrected for background noise. The energy transfer efficiency was quantified as follow: FRET eff = (Dpost-Dpre)/Dpost, where Dpost is the fluorescence intensity of the donor after photobleaching and Dpre is the fluorescence intensity of the donor before photobleaching. Several control experiments have been performed under the same experimental condition: Tandem with Cer and Cit (positive control), Cer and Cit, Lu-Cit and Cer, and Lu-Cit and ICAM4-Cer.
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Proximity ligation assay

Anti-Lu mAb F241 was conjugated to the PLUS (F241-P) or MINUS (F241-M) oligo arms using the Duolink® In Situ Probe-maker PLUS or MINUS kits (Sigma), respectively. MDCK cells were seeded at 500 × 10^3 cells/30 µl/channel in ibiTreat µ-Slide VI°-4 chambers (ibidi) and grown for 24 h in complete medium. Cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 20 min at room temperature and PLA assays were performed using Duolink® In Situ Detection Reagents Red kit (Sigma), following the manufacturer instructions. Briefly, cells were incubated in blocking solution for 30 min at room temperature before incubation with F241-P (negative control) or F241-M (negative control) or both antibodies for 1 h at room temperature. After a washing step with PBS, ligation and amplification steps were performed, cells were washed, and Duolink® In Situ Mounting Medium with DAPI was added in the channels. Cells were imaged using a laser scanning confocal microscope (LSM700, Carl Zeiss). Acquired images were then processed using ImageJ (National Institutes of Health) to count the number of spots per field of acquisition using the following steps: maximum intensity projection along z axis, subtract background, smooth and find maxima to count the number of spots. The number of nuclei per field of view was determined manually. Data were processed using Excel software (Microsoft) to calculate the ratio “number of spots/nucleus” for each experimental condition.

Cell migration assays

For cell migration on coated laminin, untreated µ-Slide VI°-4 chambers (ibidi) were coated with human recombinant LN-521 (Biolamina) at 2 µg/cm² and blocked with 1% BSA. MDCK cells were seeded at 2.25 × 10^3 cells/30 µl/channel to ensure a surface with sparse cells and grown for 3 h in complete medium. Cells were then incubated at 37°C in FCS-depleted DMEM GlutaMAX I medium for 1 h in a CO₂ microscope stage incubator before monitoring cell migration by time-lapse microscopy, without medium change. Bright field pictures composed of 2 × 2 mosaics were taken with 10-min intervals for 12 h at 37°C and 5% CO₂ using an AxioObserver Z1 microscope (Objective 10×) and AxioVision 4 software (Carl Zeiss). For the inhibition assays, cells were treated with the PKA inhibitor H89 (Sigma 19–141, 10 µM), the Akt inhibitor Akti (Sigma A6730, 2.5 µM) in depleted medium and bright field pictures were taken for 5 h at 37°C and 5% CO₂ using a Celldiscoverer 7 microscope and Zen Blue software (Carl Zeiss). Images were processed with ZEN lite (blue edition) software (Carl Zeiss), and the position of nuclei was tracked using the MTrackJ plugin (47) of Fiji (48) to quantify cell motility; velocities were expressed in µm/min. For each condition, cells were tracked from three different fields (12–15 cells/field). Cells undergoing mitosis were excluded. Randomly selected cells’ paths were plotted with SigmaPlot software (Systat Software Inc.) for illustrative purposes.

Protein structural analyses

Different prediction methods were used to define precisely the transmembrane domain of Lu/BCAM, e.g. HMMTOP (http://www.enzim.hu/hmmtop/) (49, 57) and TMHMM (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TMHMM/) (50). The transmembrane segment (in a large window (positions 549 to 570)) was used to predict the potential dimerization using PREDDIMER (http://model.nmr.rutgers.edu/preddimer/) (44). The sequences from Lu WT and the two mutants (LuS557V and LuG559V) were tested. The methodology LIPS (http://gli.aice.uic.edu/lab/lips/) (4) was used to define potential important interaction(s) in the transmembrane region of the homodimer (43).

Protein sequence analyses

Using the transmembrane and the cytoplasmic regions, a mining was done with PSI-BLAST (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) (51) on UniProt/Swiss-Prot database (52). The selected sequences were aligned using Clustal Omega (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/) (53, 58) webserver. The sequences were conserved when they had an e-value higher than 10⁻³ and a coverage higher than 80%.

Statistical analyses

Descriptive analyses and statistical hypothesis testing were performed using R software on RStudio (54, 55). For FRET and PLA analyses, Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA on ranks test was performed, followed by Dunn’s multiple comparison procedure in case of differences at a 0.05 significance level. For the migration assays, normal, log-transformed data were used to fit a two-factor (transfectant and experiment or treatment and experiment) linear model. The most influential points (according to the Cook’s distance), all of them classified as potential outliers (with absolute studentized residuals values >2.5) were removed to achieve homoscedasticity (p > 0.05 on Bartlett’s test). This led to removal of 6, 5, and 1 outliers for each analysis (Figs. 4, 5B, and 5D, respectively). Statistical hypothesis testing was performed by the Tukey post hoc test after analysis of variance.
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