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Abstract

Objective: To investigate the ability of phase angle (PA) and body composition for predicting protein energy wasting (PEW) in renal replacement therapy (RRT) patients.

Methods: Renal replacement therapy (RRT) patients were enrolled in this study. Body composition was measured by direct segmental multi-frequency bioelectrical impedance analysis method (DSM-BIA); phase angle (PA), fat-free mass (FFM), fat mass (FM), mid-arm circumference (MAC), WC (waist circumference), and ECW/TBW (extracellular water/total body water) were obtained. Biochemicals (serum albumin, triglyceride, and cholesterol) were tested. PEW patients were classified according to ISRN (The International Society of Renal Nutrition and Metabolism) criteria. Cutoff value of PA and related variables was calculated by ROC analysis. The ability of body composition variables as indicators to predict PEW was evaluated.

Results: Sixty-four patients were enrolled in this study. Thirty-three patients (52.6%) were males, and forty (62.5%) patients were diagnosed with PEW. The ROC curve showed that the optimal cutoff values of PA, FFMI (fat-free mass index), MAC, WC, and BMI for PEW risk were 4.45°, 16.71, 29.7 cm, 86.4 cm, and 21.1 kg/m², respectively. These indicators showed significant association with PEW; meanwhile, the PA and MAC can be used as the predictors for PEW with OR 6.333 (95% CI, 1.956–20.505) and 3.267 (95% CI, 1.136–9.394), respectively. Both groups have a lower BUN/Cr ratio (<20).

Conclusion: In the RRT patients, over than 60% patients were diagnosed with PEW. PA, MAC, and other body composition can be used as the independent indicators for predicting PEW in renal replacement therapy kidney disease patients.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is a common public health disease globally. In South Asian region, the incidence of CKD ranges from 10.2% to 21.2%, which is similar to the global prevalence (13.4%; Hasan et al., 2018; Hill et al., 2016). CKD burden existed in both developing and developed countries. Studies have revealed that nearly 120 million adults were with kidney disease in China (2012), and the prevalence of CKD in USA was 13% in 2007 (Herrera Valdés et al., 2020; Lv & Zhang, 2019). In the past 30 years, the mortality of CKD rised from 20th (1990) to 16th in the leading causes of death (China, 2017; Zhou et al., 2019). The rising number of patients required RRT for it could reduce the complications and kidney burden. CKD also could be affected by factors like diet, physical activity, and metabolic diseases (obesity, diabetes, and hypertension) except for the treatment of RRT (Herrera Valdés et al., 2020; Kelly et al., 2019). In the CKD adverse outcomes, PEW is a denominated problem, and this malnutrition would reduce the patients’ quality of life, would need more healthcare resource and higher medical costs, and would contribute to the mortality risk (Bonanni et al., 2011; Chao et al., 2017). A meta-analysis showed that the PEW prevalence among 30 countries was 28%–54% (Carrero et al., 2018). In As’habi’s cross-sectional study, the prevalence of PEW in peritoneal dialysis patients was 29% (As’Habi et al., 2019). In Lydia Namuyimbwa’s study, PEW prevalence was 47.3% in the CKD subjects and significantly higher than those without CKD (21.3%; Vermeulen, Lopes, Grilo, et al., 2019). Since the dominate prevalence of PEW has great impact on the quality of life and treatments of CKD, the early diagnosis and intervention would benefit the health situation and reduce the medical cost and burden (Chao et al., 2017).

Actually, some biomarkers and indicators could be used for the diagnosis of PEW. ISRNM recommends that serum chemistry, body mass, muscle mass, and dietary intake can be regarded as indicators for PEW diagnosis (Carrero et al., 2013). The criterion is that, if three characteristics are present (low serum levels of albumin (must), reduced body mass and reduced muscle mass), the PEW could be diagnosed. Other biomarkers like C-reactive protein (hsCRP), log IL-6, soluble intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (sICAM-1), gelsolin, adipokines, serum leptin levels, serum creatinine, and TNF-alpha were also used to diagnose for PEW (Chiu et al., 2015; Choi et al., 2010). Besides the test indicators, some noninvasive diagnosis also could be used for the screening of PEW. In Arias-Guillen’s study, bioimpedance spectroscopy was used to detect PEW, and results showed that it could be used as a practical instrument to assess nutritional status in patients using body composition (Arias-Guillén et al., 2018). Srinivasan Beddu and Castellano-Gasch also recommended body composition was valid in the diagnosis of PEW (Beddu et al., 2017; Castellano-Gasch et al., 2014).

PA was calculated by arctangent (reactance (Xc)/resistance (R) × (180/π)) and could be obtained by bioelectrical impedance analysis in 50 kHz. PA could be used in the evaluation of nutritional assessment, muscle function, and type 2 diabetes (Chen & Zhou, 2019; Dittmar et al., 2015; Player et al., 2019; Yamada et al., 2018). Yoshida’s study indicated that patients with severe motor and intellectual disabilities have lower PA value and ECW/TBW (Yoshida et al., 2017). Besides PA, MAC and body composition also could be used as the indicators for PEW diagnosis in RRT patients (Krishnamoorthy et al., 2015; Leal Escobar et al., 2019; Powrózek et al., 2019; Shin et al., 2017). PEW is a malnourished problem and difficult to be assessed, while many studies demonstrated that PA is a practical indicator for this assessment (Player et al., 2019; Tan et al., 2019). Studies on the ability of PA, MAC, and related body compositions in noninvasive diagnosis of PEW are very valuable. This present study aimed to investigate the predicting ability of PA and body composition in the prediction of PEW on RRT patients.

2 | METHODS AND MATERIALS

A cross-sectional study was conducted on the patients with the treatment of RRT. Data were collected between January 2018 and June 2019 in Jingjiang People’s Hospital, Jiangsu Province, China. This study was a secondary research based on the treatment of RRT patients, and treatment data were collected. Criteria and categories for PEW were reference to the diagnosis criteria of ISRNM, and the diagnosis of PEW was at least 3 of the indicators and one biochemical test presented below: (a) serum levels of albumin less than 3.8 g/dl or total cholesterol <100 mg/dl; (b) BMI less than 23 kg/m²; (c) FFMI less than 17.0 kg/m² or 15.0 kg/m² for men and women according to definitions from The European Society of Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism (ESPEN; Cederholm et al., 2015); (d) over-hydration (ECW/TBW >0.385), which is correlated with inflammation status and subclinical manifestation in CKD patients (Lee et al., 2015; Panorchan & Davenport, 2017; Sasaki & Al, 2008).

2.1 | Data collection

Direct segmental multi-frequency bioelectrical impedance technology was used to analyze body composition (InBody® model 770). All the patients who finished the hemodiafiltration treatment would be turned to body composition analysis with four couple of electrode holders placed on the ankles and forefingers of the hands, and at least 8 hr or overnight fasting with light clothes on the body. Weight, MAC, WC, fat mass (%), FFM, ECW, and TBW were obtained by this instrument. PA was obtained at a frequency of 50 Hz. Height was measured by height meter (InBody® model BSM 170), and FFMI and BMI were calculated.

2.2 | Biochemical data

Serum cholesterol, triglyceride, and albumin levels were obtained by medical examination (serum biomarkers must be tested during the hemodiafiltration process), and we conduct a further analysis based on these data.
2.3 | Statistical analyses

Normal distribution data were presented as mean ± SD, and non-normal numeric variables were presented by median and inter-quartile distance. Student’s t test or Fisher’s exact test was used to analyze the difference between groups; Spearman’s rank correlation was used between body composition and biochemical variables with MAC and PA. Using a receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) and area under the curve (AUC), cutoff values were calculated. With the ROC optimum cutoff values, chi-square analysis was conducted, and odds ratio and 95% confidence interval (95% CI) were calculated. With the ROC optimum cutoff values, chi-square analysis was conducted, and odds ratio and 95% confidence interval (95% CI) were calculated.

According to the diagnosis expressed above, patients were divided into PEW and non-PEW groups. Patients with non-PEW have a lower weight (<p = .012). Compared with PEW group, MAC (<p = .008), cholesterol (<p = .01), albumin concentration, (<p = .004), and PA (<p = .01) were significantly higher in non-PEW group. Creatinine in serum, BUN in serum, and ECW/TBW showed no statistically significant difference between groups (<p = .05; Table 2).

Correlations of body composition and biochemical variables with mid-arm circumference and phase angle were analyzed (Table 3). MAC (R = .516, p < .01) and WC (R = .346, p = .005) were positively correlated with PA, while the EW/TBW (R = -.5, p < .01) was negatively correlated with PA. Phase angle (R = .516, p < .01), BMI (R = .466, p < .01), and waist circumference (R = .889, p < .01) were positively correlated with MAC, while albumin (R = -.426, p < .01) and ECW/TBW (R = -.357, p < .004) were negatively correlated with MAC, respectively.

The variables of ROC curve were drawn. AUC, the premium cutoff points, sensitivity, and specificity were calculated. The body composition indicators presented a distinguished diagnosis of PEW on RRT patients (Figure 1). The AUC and premium cutoff points for PA, MAC, FFMI, BMI, and WC were 0.749°, 0.668°, 0.691°, 0.783°, 0.690°, and 4.45°, 29.7 cm, 19.71, 21.1, 86.4 cm, respectively. AUC of PA and BMI was over than 0.7 revealed great ability of the diagnosis of PEW in RRT patients (Table 4).

3 | RESULTS

Sixty-four patients were enrolled in this study, thirty-three (52.6%) were male, and the average age was sixty years old. Forty (62.5%) patients were diagnosed as PEW, and over than 60% patients have edema symptoms. Patient’s demographical and body composition parameters are shown in Table 1.

According to the diagnosis expressed above, patients were divided into PEW and non-PEW groups. Patients with non-PEW have a lower weight (<p = .012). Compared with PEW group, MAC (<p = .008), cholesterol (<p = .01), albumin concentration, (<p = .004), and PA (<p = .01) were significantly higher in non-PEW group. Creatinine in serum, BUN in serum, and ECW/TBW showed no statistically significant difference between groups (<p = .05; Table 2).

Correlations of body composition and biochemical variables with mid-arm circumference and phase angle were analyzed (Table 3). MAC (R = .516, p < .01) and WC (R = .346, p = .005) were positively correlated with PA, while the EW/TBW (R = -.5, p < .01) was negatively correlated with PA. Phase angle (R = .516, p < .01), BMI (R = .466, p < .01), and waist circumference (R = .889, p < .01) were positively correlated with MAC, while albumin (R = -.426, p < .01) and ECW/TBW (R = -.357, p < .004) were negatively correlated with MAC, respectively.

The variables of ROC curve were drawn. AUC, the premium cutoff points, sensitivity, and specificity were calculated. The body composition indicators presented a distinguished diagnosis of PEW on RRT patients (Figure 1). The AUC and premium cutoff points for PA, MAC, FFMI, BMI, and WC were 0.749°, 0.668°, 0.691°, 0.783°, 0.690°, and 4.45°, 29.7 cm, 19.71, 21.1, 86.4 cm, respectively. AUC of PA and BMI was over than 0.7 revealed great ability of the diagnosis of PEW in RRT patients (Table 4).

### TABLE 1  Patient’s demographic characteristics

| Variables             | Mean (percentage) |
|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Men                   | 33 (52.6)         |
| PEW                   | 40 (62.5)         |
| Edema                 | 60 (93.8)         |
| Age                   | 59.6 ± 12.60      |
| Height (cm)           | 163.6 ± 8.70      |
| Weight (kg)           | 62.1 ± 12.60      |
| Mid-arm circumference (cm) | 29.2 ± 3.22   |
| Fat-free mass index (kg/m²) | 17.3 ± 2.19 |
| Phase angle (°)       | 4.6 ± 0.78        |
| Body mass index (kg/m²) | 23.1 ± 3.91   |
| Waist circumference (cm) | 81.3 ± 10.94  |

### TABLE 2  Comparison of variables between PEW and non-PEW CKD patients on hemodialfiltration

| Variables | PEW     | Non-PEW | p Value |
|-----------|---------|---------|---------|
| N         | 40      | 24      |         |
| Age       | 59.4 ± 12.41 | 59.9 ± 13.32 | .882 |
| Height (cm) | 163.3 ± 9.12 | 164.1 ± 8.15 | .709 |
| Weight (kg) | 59.1 ± 9.72  | 67.1 ± 15.23 | .012 |
| Fat-free mass (kg) | 44.9 ± 8.46  | 49.2 ± 9.47 | .070 |
| Body mass index (kg/m²) | 21.7 ± 2.95  | 25.5 ± 4.26 | .000 |
| Fat-free mass index (kg/m²) | 16.7 ± 1.80  | 18.1 ± 2.51 | .011 |
| Mid-arm circumference (cm) | 28.4 ± 2.74  | 30.5 ± 3.57 | .008 |
| Waist circumference (cm) | 78.4 ± 8.43  | 86.1 ± 12.97 | .005 |
| ECW/TBW  | 0.391 ± 0.01 | 0.393 ± 0.01 | .591 |
| Cholesterol in serum (mg/dl) | 65.6 ± 13.70 | 88.1 ± 29.1 | .000 |
| Albumin in serum (g/dl) | 40.4 ± 2.53  | 43.6 ± 2.69 | .004 |
| Creatinine in serum (µmol/l) | 834.8 ± 352.11 | 804.5 ± 402.54 | .754 |
| BUN in serum (mg/dl) | 66.2 ± 24.74 | 67.2 ± 30.34 | .890 |
| Phase angle (°) | 4.3 ± 0.67  | 5.0 ± 0.70 | .000 |

Note: Mean ± SD.

*Statistical significance.
Based on the ROC cutoff points, chi-square test was conducted between PEW and non-PEW groups, and OR (odds ratio) and 95% CI (95% confidence interval) were calculated. The cutoff points of PA $<4.45^{\circ}$ (OR = 6.333, $p = .002$), MAC $<29.7$ cm (OR = 3.267, $p = .036$), BMI $<21.1$ kg/m$^2$ (OR = 28.111, $p < .01$), and WC $<86.4$ cm (OR = 7.933, $p = .001$) were identified as the high risk for PEW (Table 5).

### TABLE 3 Correlations of body composition and biochemical variables with mid-arm circumference and phase angle

| Variables                  | Phase angle | R    | p    |
|----------------------------|-------------|------|------|
| Fat-free mass (kg)         | .086        | .498 |      |
| Albumin in serum (g/dl)    | -.096       | .452 |      |
| Mid-arm circumference (cm) | .516        | .000 |      |
| BMI (kg/m$^2$)             | .109        | .391 |      |
| Waist circumference (cm)   | .346        | .005 |      |
| ECW/TBW                    | -.500       | .000 |      |

**Mid-arm circumference**

| Variables                  | R    | p    |
|----------------------------|------|------|
| Fat-free mass (kg)         | .037 | .769 |
| Albumin in serum (g/dl)    | -.426| .000 |
| Phase angle ($^{\circ}$)   | .516 | .000 |
| BMI (kg/m$^2$)             | .466 | .000 |
| Waist circumference (cm)   | .889 | .000 |
| ECW/TBW                    | -.357| .004 |

*Statistical significance.

### DISCUSSION

Bioelectrical impedance analysis is a simple, noninvasive, and reliable technique for estimation of body composition and has been used in the diagnosis of diseases such as Type 2 diabetes, muscle dysfunction, hydration, and nutritional assessment (Dittmar et al., 2015; Norman et al., 2012; Vermeulen, Lopes, Grilo et al., 2019). In CKD patients, malnutrition has been widely recognized and the manifested is PEW. Detecting and managing nutrition status would be beneficial to the treatment of patients and decrease the mortality (Bataille et al., 2019; Bolasco et al., 2019). BUN and creatinine are related with the function of renal and conditionally nutrition status. In our study, BUN/Cr was below 20, and there was no difference between PEW and non-PEW groups. Since creatinine is affected by dietary protein intakes, this result may be inaccurate (Singh et al., 2015). Previous studies have reported that aging was associated with the prevalence of CKD (56.4 years old in Henan China Duan et al., 2019 and 57.2 years old in north Sri Lanka Ranasinghe et al., 2019), and this aging trend was also recognized in our study (the average age was 59.6 years old). Forty (62.5%) patients were diagnosed with PEW in the present study, which was higher than similar studies for the influence of aging (Bi et al., 2019; Gracia-Iguacel et al., 2019; Hara et al., 2018). Many indicators could be used for determining PEW, such as the ISRN and ESPEN definitions we refer to. Besides dietary assessment, PEW score, geriatric nutritional risk index biomarkers, and body composition indexes were also reported in the diagnosis of PEW (Ishii et al., 2017; Lee et al., 2019; Monzani et al., 2018). In present study, we evaluated the capability of body
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**FIGURE 1** Phase angle, mid-arm circumference, and other variables as the predictors for protein energy wasting patients.
composition, especially PA and MAC, in the diagnosis of PEW on CKD patients.

According to ROC analysis, we recommend that patients with PA less than 4.46 degree would have a higher risk of PEW (AUC = 0.749, sensitivity + specificity = 120.8%). The premium cutoff value was similar with Jung-ho Shin’s study (PA < 4.5°; Shin et al., 2017). We also analyzed the capability of MAC, WC, BMI, and FFMI in the diagnosis of PEW and recommended that the premium cutoff value was 29.7 cm, 86.4 cm, 21.1 kg/m², and 16.71 kg/m², respectively. AUC demonstrated BMI has better capability for the diagnosis of PEW which was a recommended indicator from ISRN, ESPEN, and SGA (Vermeulen, Lopes, Alves, et al., 2019) (subjective global assessment). BMI cutoff point in this study was 21.1 kg/m², and Windahl found that PEW was the most common in patients with BMI <22 kg/m² (Windahl et al., 2018). The correlation between body composition and biomarkers was conducted. MAC (R = .516, p < .01) and WC (R = .346, p = .005) were positively correlated with PA, while the EW/TBW (R = −.5, p < .01) was negatively correlated with PA, which was associated with the severity of nutritional status, and consistent result was obtained in Yoshida, M’s study. Phase angle (R = .516, p < .01), BMI (R = .466, p < .01), and waist circumference (R = .889, p < .01) were positively correlated with MAC, while albumin (R = −.426, p < .01) and EW/TBW (R = −0.357, p < .004) were negatively correlated with MAC, respectively (Krishnamoorthy et al., 2015; Tan et al., 2019). Considering M-BIA as predictor in the evaluation of health in populations (Norman et al., 2012; Powrózek et al., 2019; Vermeulen, Lopes, Alves et al., 2019), our result also indicated that PA can be used as independent factor associated with malnutrition in CKD patients.

Karavetian et al. (2019) according to the ROC cutoff points, Chi-square test was conducted between PEW and non-PEW groups. OR and 95% CI were calculated in prediction of PEW. PA under 4.46 degree was associated with the prevalence of PEW (OR = 6.333, 95% CI 1.950–20.505). Tan et al. (2019) identically, MAC < 29.7 cm (OR = 3.267, 95% CI 1.136–9.394), BMI < 21.1 kg/m² (OR = 28.111, 95% CI 3.418–228.823), and WC < 86.4 cm (OR = 7.933, 95% CI 2.418–26.030) showed a higher risk for the incidence of PEW.

Limitations should be notified in this study: firstly, our research objects recruited CKD patients on RRT, and average age was close to 60 years old; thus, results cannot be extrapolated to other patients in different treatment and other age groups; secondly, sample size is limited due to the patients we recruited were only from one hospital within established time.

5 | CONCLUSION

In the aging RRT patients, more than 60% patients were diagnosed with PEW. PA and MAC can be used as the independent and reliable indicators for the noninvasive prediction of PEW and evaluation of nutritional status in aging CKD patients on RRT.
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