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ABSTRACT

The huge RNA genome of SARS coronavirus comprises a number of open reading frames that code for a total of eight accessory proteins. Although none of these are essential for virus replication, some appear to have a role in virus pathogenesis. Notably, some SARS-CoV accessory proteins have been shown to modulate the interferon signaling pathways and the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines. The structural information on these proteins is also limited, with only two (p7a and p9b) having their structures determined by X-ray crystallography. This review makes an attempt to summarize the published knowledge on SARS-CoV accessory proteins, with an emphasis on their involvement in virus–host interaction. The accessory proteins of other coronaviruses are also briefly discussed. This paper forms part of a series of invited articles in Antiviral Research on “From SARS to MERS: 10 years of research on highly pathogenic human coronaviruses” (see Introduction by Hilgenfeld and Peiris (2013)).

1. Introduction

The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) was identified as the etiological agent of severe acute respiratory syndrome during the 2002–2003 outbreak (Peiris et al., 2003). SARS-CoV belongs to the genus Betacoronavirus of the family Coronaviridae (King et al., 2011). Coronaviruses are enveloped viruses with positive-sense, non-segmented, single-stranded RNA genomes, characterized by club-like projections on the virus particles (Masters, 2006). The first two thirds of the coronavirus genome encode the replicase genes, which are translated into two large polyproteins, pp1a and pp1ab, that are processed into 15 or 16 non-structural proteins (nsp) via proteolytic cleavage (Thiel et al., 2003). The remaining one third of the genome contains ORFs...
for the structural proteins, namely the spike (S), envelope (E), membrane (M), and nucleocapsid (N) proteins.

In addition to these genomic elements shared by other coronaviruses, the SARS-CoV genome also contains eight ORFs coding for accessory proteins, namely ORFs 3a, 3b, 6, 7a, 7b, 8a, 8b, and 9b (Fig. 1). These proteins are specific for SARS-CoV and do not show significant homology to accessory proteins of other coronaviruses, with the exception of the SARS-like coronavirus SL-CoV-WIV1 that was recently discovered in bats (Ge et al., 2013) and has the same set of accessory proteins as SARS-CoV. The potential connection between these accessory proteins and the high virulence of SARS-CoV has led to detailed structural and functional studies.

Coronavirus accessory proteins have been generally considered to be dispensable for viral replication in vitro (de Haan et al., 2002; Hajjeha et al., 2004; Yount et al., 2005; Ontiveros et al., 2001; Shen et al., 2003; Hodgson et al., 2006; Casais et al., 2005). However, several accessory proteins have been shown to exhibit functions in virus-host interactions during coronavirus infection in vivo. For example, deletion of ORF2a (NS2), HE, ORF4, and ORF5a in the mouse hepatitis virus (MHV) led to a significant attenuation of the virus in its natural host (de Haan et al., 2002). On the other hand, continuous passage of infectious bronchiolitis virus (IBV) in cell culture results in a mutation in the 3b gene coding for a C-terminally truncated 3b protein. Interestingly, compared with wild-type virus, the mutant virus has a growth advantage and increases virulence in the chicken embryo (Shen et al., 2003). Similarly, recent studies have suggested that SARS-CoV accessory proteins may confer biological advantages to the virus in the natural host, and contribute to the pathogenesis of SARS (Narayanan et al., 2008). Extensive functional studies in cell culture and animal models have shown that SARS-CoV accessory proteins are involved in a wide variety of cellular processes, such as cell proliferation, programmed cell death, activation of stress response pathways and cytokine production, to name just a few. Notably, some coronaviral accessory proteins have also been shown to modulate the interferon signaling, which is of paramount importance for host antiviral immunity (Frieman et al., 2007; Dedeuwaerder et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2013; Cruz et al., 2013).

As part of a series of invited articles in Antiviral Research on “From SARS to MERS: 10 years of research on highly pathogenic human coronaviruses” (Hilgenfeld and Peiris, 2013), this review summarizes our current knowledge on SARS-CoV accessory proteins, including an update on structural and functional studies, with a particular emphasis on the involvement of accessory proteins in virus-host interactions. In addition, we will briefly summarize the current knowledge on accessory proteins of other coronaviruses.

2. Accessory proteins of the SARS-CoV

2.1. Orf3a

Proteins 3a and 3b (p3a and p3b, previously also known as X1 and X2), comprise 274 and 154 amino-acid residues, respectively (Marra et al., 2003; Rota et al., 2003). They are encoded by ORF3a (commonly known as ORF3 or U274) and ORF3b and both make up the second largest sub-genomic RNA in the SARS-CoV genome (Tan et al., 2006). So far, the structures of the p3a and p3b are still not known (Bartlam et al., 2005) (see Table 1).

| SARS-CoV p3a |
|---------------|
| Subcellular localization: punctate pattern in the cytoplasm, Golgi, plasma membrane and intracellularly |
| Minor structural protein |
| Not required for SARS-CoV replication |

Domains and/or regions with characterized functions
- C-terminus (aa 209–264) induces cell-cycle arrest
- The YxxΦ motif (aa 160–163): internalizing proteins from the plasma membrane into endosomes; also functions in immune evasion
- The diacidic motif (aa 171–173): ER export
- Full length p3a: interacts with caveolin-1 during virus uptake and release
- Full length p3a: activates PERK pathway in the UPR and triggers apoptosis
- Full length p3a: activates p38 kinase to induce cytochrome-c mediated apoptosis
- Full length p3a: activates NF-κB and JNK, induces RANTES and IL-8 production

Do not necessarily cause protein 3a to be non-functional (Tan et al., 2005) and even the virus can deliberately make use of frameshift mutations to code for 3a variants by exploiting hepta- and octa-uridine sites (Wang et al., 2006).

It has been shown that SARS-CoV protein 3a is a structural component by using electron microscopy (Ito et al., 2005). Shen et al. supported this finding by demonstrating that the protein can be incorporated into virus-like particles (VLPs) using recombinant baculoviruses expressing E, M, and p3a (Shen et al., 2005). However, for the formation of these VLPs, p3a of SARS-CoV is dispensable (Yount et al., 2005). Being efficiently expressed on the cell surface, the protein was easily detected in a majority of SARS patients due to the triggering of a humoral and cellular immune response in these patients (Lu et al., 2009). In light of immunity, p3a can cause the activation of NF-κB and JNK leading to the upregulation of RANTES and IL-8 in A549 and HEK293T cells (Kanzawa et al., 2006). It has also been demonstrated to up-regulate fibrinogen mRNA and protein levels in A549 cells (Tan et al., 2005).

Protein 3a has been shown to be present in both the plasma membrane as well as intracellularly (Ito et al., 2005; Oostra et al., 2006; Tan et al., 2004; Yuan et al., 2005). It can also be detected by Western blot in SARS-CoV particles purified by sucrose gradient centrifugation. Yu et al. used confocal microscopy and fractionation to show that p3a is distributed in a “punctate pattern” in the cytoplasm while the majority of its expression is concentrated at the Golgi apparatus (Yu et al., 2004). On top of all these findings, Tan et al. have shown that this protein can also be transported to the cell surface and enter the cell through endocytosis (Tan et al., 2004). Additionally, through immunoprecipitation, this protein was shown to interact with SARS-CoV M, S, E, and 7a proteins (Tan et al., 2004; von Brunn et al., 2007).

Being the largest accessory protein (Narayanan et al., 2008), p3a has an extracellular N-terminus and an intracellular C-terminus (Fig. 2) Tan et al., 2004; Lu et al., 2006. Another feature of the protein is the presence of a cysteine-rich domain (aa 81–160) Lu et al., 2006.

The N-terminal region consists of three transmembrane domains (TMDs) (Tan et al., 2004) (Fig. 3). Recently, through computational modeling, Kruger and Fischer proposed a theoretical model of the protein being an ion channel through the use of its transmembrane domains (Kruger and Fischer, 2009). Chien et al. expressed the full-length p3a and reconstituted it into lipid bilayers to characterize the ion-channel activity and suggested that either TMD 2 or 3 lines the pore of the channel (Chien et al., 2013). The central region comprising residues 125–200 of p3a has been shown to be bound to the 5’ UTR of the SARS-CoV genome.
(Sharma et al., 2007), characterized by Sharma et al. by using yeast-three-hybrid screen, electrophoretic mobility shift assay, and ultraviolet crosslinking (Sharma et al., 2007). Intriguingly, just the N-terminal portion of p3a is able to evoke a strong humoral immune response (Zhong et al., 2006).

The cysteine-rich domain of p3a serves a multitude of functions and some have suggested its role in the p3a ion channel. The p3a is able to interact with the SARS-CoV S protein by formation of disulfide linkages through its cysteine-rich regions, mainly the CWLCWK region (aa 127–133) (Zeng et al., 2004). Another important feature is the oligomerization of p3a as it is able to form homodimers and homotetramers (Lu et al., 2006). Through inducing point mutations, Lu et al. showed that Cys133 is important for oligomerization of the protein and confirmed the formation of dimers and tetramers through FRET (Lu et al., 2006). Utilizing Xenopus oocytes, their results were suggestive of the tetramers being able to form a potassium-permeable ion channel and modulating release of SARS-CoV particles (Lu et al., 2006; Shi et al., 2005). This could be involved in evading host immunity systems or even facilitate the assembly of SARS-CoV.

Using transmission electron microscopy (TEM), Freundt et al. observed in Vero cells that only in the presence of p3a, intracellular vesicles will be formed, as observed in the pathology in SARS patients (Freundt et al., 2010). The presence of Lamp-1 through immunofluorescence can also be detected in these vesicles (Freundt et al., 2010), indicating the formation of late endosomes. Expression of p3a is also required for the Golgi fragmentation for its acquisition of a viral envelope. Through yeast–2-hybrid and FRET assays, Padhan et al. have demonstrated caveolin-1 binding to p3a, which may play a role in virus uptake and release (Padhan et al., 2007). p3a has also been associated with ER stress through the activation of the PERK pathway but not the IRE-1 and ATF6 pathway (Minakshi et al., 2009). Minakshi et al. analyzed this by transfecting Huh7 cells with p3a and luciferase-tagged ER stress-related molecules, showing that it can enhance protein folding, but not activate Endoplasmic Reticulum Associated Degradation (ERAD) Minakshi et al., 2009. The long-term triggering of the PERK pathway can also induce virus-related apoptosis through the expression of its downstream mediators of ATF4 and CHOP, similar to IBV infection (Liao et al., 2013). Padhan et al. have shown that protein 3a can lead to increased activation of the p38 MAP kinase pathway and induce the mitochondria to leak cytochrome c to induce apoptosis (Padhan et al., 2008).

2.2. Orf3b

Protein 3b (p3b) is translated from ORF3 using an IRES (Rota et al., 2003), overlapping the SARS–CoV E gene as well as the ORF3a. Similarly to the SARS-CoV p3a, p3b does not share any homology with other known proteins (Marra et al., 2003). Moreover, p3b is not expressed in SARS-CoV isolates from bats due to the presence of a stop codon (Ren et al., 2006). Anti-p3b antibodies can also be detected in the sera of infected SARS patients (Guo et al., 2004). Yuan et al. have shown using immunofluorescence that the SARS-CoV p3b is localized in the nucleolus of infected Vero E6 cells (Yuan et al., 2005) as well as in the mitochondria (Yuan et al., 2006; Freundt et al., 2009). The shutting behavior may be due to the presence of a nuclear export sequence and determined by a leptomycin B-sensitive mechanism. Furthermore, involvement of p3b has also been demonstrated in the induction of necrosis and apoptosis independent of its subcellular localization (Khan et al., 2006; Yuan et al., 2005). p3b is also able to inhibit the antiviral response

Fig. 1. Schematic diagram showing the genome organization of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV). The eight accessory proteins (3a, 3b, 6, 7a, 7b, 8a, 8b, and 9b) are shown as colored boxes. The leader sequence (black box), open reading frames (ORFs 1a, 1b) encoding components of the replication/transcription complex, the structural genes spike (S), membrane (M), envelope (E), and nucleocapsid (N) are also indicated (not drawn to scale).
by down-regulating type-I interferon (IFN-β) as well as the mito-
chondrial antiviral response (Freundt et al., 2009; Spiegel et al.,
2005). Using flow cytometry, Yuan et al. showed that COS-7 cells
transfected with p3b are arrested at the G0/G1 phase (Yuan et al.,
2005). The protein may play a role in immunomodulation
through binding to RUNX1b, as seen from yeast-two-hybrid and
cooprecipitation by Varshney et al. Varshney et al. (2012). Additionally, p3b can act as an interferon antagonist
through inhibition of IRF3 (Kopecky-Bromberg et al., 2007) and
activation of AP-1 through upregulating the expression levels of
JNK and ERK (Varshney and Lal, 2011) (see Table 2).

2.3. Orf6

Protein 6 (p6) is detected in lung and ileum tissues of patients
and in SARS-CoV-infected Vero E6 cells (Geng et al., 2005). The
protein is found to associate with cellular membranes and is mainly
localized in the ER and Golgi apparatus, either when expressed
via a recombinant murine coronavirus or when it is transfected
as an EGFP-fusion protein (Geng et al., 2005; Pewe et al., 2005).
By sucrose gradient centrifugation and a virus-capture assay,
Huang et al. have demonstrated that p6 is incorporated into
mature virions (Huang et al., 2007). Moreover, when co-expressed
with SARS-CoV S, M, and E proteins, p6 is incorporated into VLPs.
However, p6 is not detected in the virions when expressed via a
recombinant murine coronavirus (MHV) (Pewe et al., 2005). These
results indicate that specific physical interactions between p6 and
other SARS-CoV structural proteins may be required for recruiting
p6 into the virus particles (see Table 3).

Initial reverse genetic studies by Yount et al. have shown that
recombinant SARS-CoV with ORF6 deleted (rSARS-CoV−Δ6) repli-
cates similarly to wild-type virus in cell cultures as well as in
infected BALB/c mice (Yount et al., 2005). However, a later study
by Zhao et al. has demonstrated that, at a low MOI (0.01), rSARS-
CoV−Δ6 replicates slower and to lower titres in cell cultures
(Zhao et al., 2009). Compared with wild-type virus, lower viral
titres as well as lower levels of viral RNA and protein were
observed for rSARS-CoV−Δ6 at the early stage of infection, but
the differences gradually diminished as the infection progressed.
Importantly, when transgenic mice expressing the SARS-CoV
receptor hACE2 were used, rSARS-CoV−Δ6-infected mice demon-
strated a lower morbidity and mortality, with slightly lower virus
titres in lung and brain tissue compared with wild-type virus
(Zhao et al., 2009). Moreover, when ORF6 was introduced into an
otherwise sub-lethal strain of MHV, the recombinant virus dis-
played enhanced growth in cell cultures and resulted in lethal
encephalitis in infected mice (Pewe et al., 2005; Tangudu et al.,
2007). Taken together, these results indicate that SARS-CoV p6
enhances viral replication in vitro and in vivo, and it may serve
an important role in the pathogenesis during SARS-CoV infection.

SARS-CoV protein 6 is a 63-aa polypeptide with an amphipathic
N-terminal portion (aa 1–40) and a highly polar C-terminal
portion. An initial study using GFP-fusion protein and digitonin per-
meabilization supported an N-endo, C-endo membrane topology
(Netland et al., 2007) (Fig. 3). Later, it was confirmed that residues
2–37 in the N-terminal region form an α-helix and are embedded
in the cellular membrane (Zhao et al., 2009). On the other hand, the
C-terminal part contains two signal sequences. The sequence YSEL
(aa 49–52) is known to target proteins for internalization into
endosomes, while the acidic tail signals ER export (Fig. 2)
(Netland et al., 2007).

Utilizing the recombinant MHV expressing SARS-CoV p6 as
mentioned above, Netland et al. demonstrated that the enhance-
ment effect of p6 is dependent on the N-terminal amphipathic
region but not the C-terminal polar region (Netland et al., 2007).
Using transmission electron microscopy, Zhou et al. showed that
full-length p6 or the N-terminal domain modified the cellular
membrane, producing perinuclear vesicles that resemble the dou-
ble-membrane vesicles (DMVs) known to be associated with coro-
navirus replication (Zhou et al., 2010). The ability to modify
intracellular membranes may also explain the observation that
SARS-CoV p6 induces ER stress in transfected cells (Ye et al.,
2008). The p6-induced perinuclear vesicles, similar to the virus-
duced DMVs, may derive and reorganize the membrane from
the ER, thus leading to perturbation of ER function and induction
of ER stress (Ye et al., 2008; Knoops et al., 2008). Notably, p6 is
found to partially co-localize with nonstructural protein 3 (nsp3),
which contains the papain-like viral protease and is a marker of
the replication complex, as well as nonstructural protein 8
(nsp8), the primer-independent, non-canonical RNA polymerase
(Tangudu et al., 2007; Zhou et al., 2010; Kumar et al., 2007). More-
over, physical interaction between p6 and nsp8 in SARS-CoV-
infected Vero E6 cells has been confirmed by Kumar et al. using

---

Table 2

| SARS-CoV ORF3b. |
|-----------------|
| **SARS-CoV p3b** |
| - Subcellular localization: nucleolus, mitochondria |
| - Minor structural protein |
| - Not required for SARS-CoV replication |
| **Domains and/or regions with characterized functions** |
| - Full length p3b: inhibits type I interferon response and mitochondrial antiviral response |
| - Full length p3b: G0/G1 cell cycle arrest |
| - Full length p3b: interacts with RUNX1b, immunomodulation |
| - Full length p3b: activate AP-1 through JNK/ERK pathway |
| - Full length p3b: induces necrosis and apoptosis |

Table 3

| SARS-CoV ORF6. |
|-----------------|
| **SARS-CoV p6** |
| - Subcellular localization: ER and Golgi |
| - Minor structural protein |
| - Not required for SARS-CoV replication, but contribute to virulence |
| **Domains and/or regions with characterized functions** |
| - Amphipathic N-terminal (aa 2–37): induces membrane rearrangement and the formation of double membrane vesicles |
| - C-terminal (aa 54–63): critical for KPN2 binding and inhibition of STAT1 nuclear import in response to interferon signaling |
| - Entire p6 protein: stimulates DNA synthesis |
| - Entire p6 protein: suppresses the expression of co-transfected plasmids |

---

Fig. 2. Schematic diagram showing motifs and domains within SARS-CoV accessory proteins p3a and p6. TM, transmembrane domain.
when overexpressed, SARS-CoV p6 can stimulate DNA synthesis and replication and pathogenesis are currently unknown. The physiological significances of these findings in SARS-CoV-infected cells and serve auxiliary or modulatory functions during SARS-CoV genome replication.

Protein 6 was identified as a β-interferon antagonist by Kopecky-Bromberg et al. with the intriguing finding that overexpression of p6 inhibits nuclear import of STAT1 in cells treated with IFN-β (Kopecky-Bromberg et al., 2007). Further studies by the same group revealed that p6 can physically interact with karyopherin alpha 2 (KPN2A) and tether it to the rough ER (Frieman et al., 2007). The immobilized KPN2A interacts with karyopherin beta 1 (KPNB1) and prevents it from forming a complex with STAT1 to facilitate nuclear import (Zhao et al., 2009). The KPN2A-interacting region in p6 is mapped to 10 aa in the C-terminal region (Frieman et al., 2007). Later, Hussain et al. confirmed that p6 but not its C-terminally truncated mutant (p6ΔC) impeded the nuclear import of proteins harboring a classical nuclear localization signal (NLS) (Hussain et al., 2008). Further studies also demonstrated that the C-terminal tail is necessary but not sufficient to impede nuclear import, and a lipophilic N-terminal context is required (Hussain and Gallagher, 2010). Taken together, these results point to a role of the C-terminal domain of p6 in modulating host protein nuclear transport and type-I interferon signaling, which may be important for immune evasion during SARS-CoV infection.

One recent study has demonstrated a physical interaction and co-localization between SARS-CoV p6 and another accessory protein, 9b (Calvo et al., 2012). Other studies have also shown that when overexpressed, SARS-CoV p6 can stimulate DNA synthesis in cell culture and suppress the expression of co-transfected constructs (Geng et al., 2005; Hussain et al., 2008; Gunalan et al., 2011). The physiological significances of these findings in SARS-CoV replication and pathogenesis are currently unknown.

2.4. Orf7a

SARS-CoV accessory protein 7a (p7a, also known as ORF8, U122, or X4) is detected in SARS-CoV-infected Vero E6 cells and in lung specimens from SARS patients (Chen et al., 2005; Fielding et al., 2004; Nelson et al., 2005). The protein is localized in the ER and ER-Golgi intermediate compartment (ERGIC) in SARS-CoV-infected cells and in transfected cells (Fielding et al., 2004; Nelson et al., 2005). Using sucrose gradient centrifugation and a virus capture assay, Huang et al. have shown that SARS-CoV p7a is a structural protein incorporated into mature virions (Huang et al., 2006). Although p7a physically interacts with the SARS-CoV S protein and accessory protein 3a, neither S nor p3a is required for recruiting p7a into the virus particle; since p7a-containing VLPs can be produced in cells expressing only SARS-CoV M, E, and 7a (Tan et al., 2004; Huang et al., 2006) (see Table 4).

An early study based on reverse genetics has shown that deletion of ORF7a from the SARS-CoV genome does not significantly affect viral RNA synthesis and replication efficiency in cell culture (Yount et al., 2005). Schaecher et al. have also found that deletion of both ORF7a and 7b does not significantly affect SARS-CoV replication in transformed cells and in infected golden Syrian hamsters (Schaecher et al., 2007; Pekosz et al., 2006). Later, Dediego et al. confirmed that recombinant SARS-CoV with ORF6, 7a, 7b, 8a, 8b, and 9b deleted produced viral particles with similar morphology as wild-type SARS-CoV and replicated similarly in transgenic mice expressing the SARS-CoV receptor (DeDiego et al., 2008). These results indicate that p7a is not essential for SARS-CoV replication in vitro and in vivo.

SARS-CoV p7a is a type-I transmembrane protein with 122 amino-acid residues in length (Fig.3). The 15 N-terminal residues constitute a signal peptide, which is cleaved by signal peptidase in both SARS-CoV-infected cells and in transfected cells (Fielding et al., 2004; Nelson et al., 2005). The aa residues 16–96 comprise the luminal domain, which folds into a compact seven-stranded β sandwich that resembles members of the Ig superfamily (Nelson et al., 2005). The sequence and structural homology between SARS-CoV p7a and ICAM-1 suggested that p7a may interact with the lymphocyte function-associated antigen 1 (LFA-1), which was later confirmed by direct in vitro binding experiments in Jurkat cells (Hänel et al., 2006; Hänel and Willbold, 2007). These data suggest LFA-1 to be an attachment factor or even receptor for SARS-CoV on human leukocytes, although a majority of p7a is found to remain intracellular in SARS-CoV-infected cells (Nelson et al., 2005; Hänel and Willbold, 2007). Residues 97–117 of SARS-CoV p7a are highly hydrophobic and transverse the cellular membrane. The last 5 residues of the C-terminal tail (KKRTE) form a typical ER retention motif (KKXX or KXKXX, where X is any amino acid). When this motif is mutated from KRKTE to ERETE, p7a fails to be retro-transported to the ER and accumulates in the Golgi, where it undergoes rapid proteolytic processing (Fielding et al., 2004). Moreover, when the transmembrane domain and the short C-terminal tail of SARS-CoV p7a are fused with the cell-surface protein CD4, the chimera protein (CD4/orf7a-TM-tail) is found to be partially retained in the Golgi (Nelson et al., 2005). Fielding et al. have demonstrated physical interaction between SARS-CoV p7a and the host protein small glutamine-rich tetratricopeptide repeat-containing protein (SGT) (Fielding et al., 2006). Previous studies have shown that SGT interacts with the HIV-1 accessory protein vpu,
which is essential in modulating viral particle release (Bour and Strebel, 2003). These results, together with the fact that SARS-CoV p7a is localized in the ER and ERGIC where coronaviruses generally assemble, and that p7a physically interacts with SARS-CoV M and E proteins, indicate that p7a may serve a function in the assembly stage during SARS-CoV replication (Fielding et al., 2006).

When transfected in mammalian cells, SARS-CoV p7a has been shown to induce caspase-dependent apoptosis as evidenced by the cleavage of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP), a well-characterized apoptotic marker (Tan et al., 2004). This is consolidated by the finding that recombinant SARS-CoV with 7a and 7b deleted is not as efficient as wild-type virus in inducing DNA fragmentation, although the induction of early apoptotic markers such as annexin-V binding and caspase-3 activation are similar (Schaecher et al., 2007). These results indicate that SARS-CoV-induced apoptosis is only partially contributed by p7a, and other viral factors may be involved in the process. Later, Tan et al. demonstrated that the pro-apoptotic property of SARS-CoV p7a depends on its transmembrane domain, which is also required for its interaction with Bcl-XL, an anti-apoptotic protein belonging to the Bcl-2 family (Tan et al., 2007). Interestingly, SARS-CoV p7a interacts strongly with the pro-survival members of the Bcl-2 family (such as Bcl-XL, Bcl-w, Mcl-1, and A1), but not the pro-apoptotic Bcl-2 family proteins (such as BAD, BID, BAK and BAK) (Tan et al., 2007). The selective interactions may hinder the pro-survival functions of these proteins and result in apoptosis induction in SARS-CoV-infected cells.

When overexpressed, protein 7a can inhibit the growth of Balb/c 3T3 cells in a dose-dependent and time-dependent manner (Chen et al., 2005). A later study by Yuan et al. confirmed that overexpression of 7a led to cell cycle arrest at the G0/G1 phase, possibly by inhibiting the phosphorylation of retinoblastoma (Rb) protein (Yuan et al., 2006). Deletion-mutant experiments narrowed down the domain spanning aa 44–82 to be essential for cytoplasmic localization and cell-cycle arrest (Yuan et al., 2006). Overexpression of 7a has also been shown to activate nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB) and c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK), which in turn induce the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin-1 (IL-1) and RANTES (Kanzawa et al., 2006). Moreover, using EGFP fusion protein, Kopecky-Bromberg et al. showed that overexpression of 7a activates the p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) and inhibits cellular protein synthesis at the translation level (Kopecky-Bromberg et al., 2006). However, p7a-induced apoptosis is not inhibited in the presence of a p38 inhibitor, indicating that p7a induces apoptosis via mechanisms independent of the MAPK pathways (Kopecky-Bromberg et al., 2006).

Taken together, although SARS-CoV p7a is not essential for viral replication, it is a minor structural protein and may serve certain functions during viral assembly. Notably, p7a is actively involved in virus–host interaction. By inhibiting cellular translation, activating stress-induced MAP kinases, suppressing cell–cycle progression and inducing caspase-dependent apoptosis, p7a may be an important player in SARS-CoV pathogenesis.

2.5. Orf7b

Accessory protein 7b was predicted to be translated from a second ORF of SARS-CoV sgRNA7 (Snijder et al., 2003). The expression of 7b was later confirmed in Vero cells infected with SARS-CoV (Schaecher et al., 2007). No experiments have been performed to detect the expression of 7b in tissue samples from SARS-CoV patients, although the presence of anti-p7b antibodies in SARS-convalescent patient sera indicates that the 7b protein is likely expressed in vivo (Guo et al., 2004). Using sucrose gradient fractionation and immune-gold labeling, Schaecher et al. demonstrated that p7b is associated with intracellular viruses and incorporated into purified virions, although more stringent virus-capture assays have not been performed (Schaecher et al., 2007) (see Table 5).

SARS-CoV 7b is proposed to be translated via a ribosome leaky scanning mechanism, because the expression of 7b is significantly reduced when the upstream 7a start codon is mutated to a strong Kozak sequence or when an additional AUG is introduced upstream of the 7b start codon (Schaecher et al., 2007). Protein 7b is a 44-aa, highly hydrophobic polypeptide (Schaecher et al., 2007). It is an integral transmembrane protein with a luminal N-terminus and a cytoplasmic C-terminus (Fig. 3). Similar to p7a, the 7b protein is localized throughout the Golgi compartment in both SARS-CoV-infected cells and in cells transfected with 7b cDNA (Schaecher et al., 2007). The Golgi-restricted localization of p7b was attributed to the transmembrane domain, specifically aa 21–23 and 27–30 of p7b (Schaecher et al., 2008). Notably, when the Golgi-targeting sequence of SARS-CoV p7b is used to replace the transmembrane domain of the cell-surface protein CD4, the chimeric protein is retained in the Golgi complex (Schaecher et al., 2008). Thus, the transmembrane domain of p7b is both necessary and sufficient for its Golgi localization.

Various reverse genetic experiments have shown that the SARS-CoV ORF7b is not essential for viral replication in vitro and in vivo (Yount et al., 2005; Schaecher et al., 2007; DeDiego et al., 2008). Interestingly, a prototype virus (strain Frankfurt-I) isolated during the 2003 SARS outbreak has a 45-nt deletion in the transmembrane domain of ORF7b upon propagation in cell culture for 3 passages (Thiel et al., 2003). Pfefferle et al. have performed extensive investigations on the consequence of this deletion using reverse genetics (Pfefferle et al., 2009). It was found that compared with the parental virus, the recombinant SARS-CoV with ORF7b deleted had a replicative advantage in Caco-2 and Huh7 cells, but not in Vero cells (Pfefferle et al., 2009). Moreover, the virus titre and viral RNA levels were significantly higher in Syrian Golden Hamsters infected with the 7b-deleted virus, indicating that SARS-CoV p7b may be an attenuating factor in vivo (Pfefferle et al., 2009). In a different approach, Åkerström et al. showed that siRNA specific for SARS-CoV sgRNA7 can inhibit sgRNA7 and sgRNA8 (thus silencing the expression of 7a, 7b, 8a, 8b) without affecting the full-length genomic mRNA and other sgRNAs (Åkerström et al., 2007). In Vero E6 cells expressing this siRNA, the production of progeny viruses was significantly reduced, indicating that p7a/p7b (and p8a/p8b) may play certain roles during the replication cycle of SARS-CoV. The discrepancies regarding the functions of 7b during SARS-CoV replication may be due to the different systems and animal models used. Further studies are required to validate these results and characterize the detailed mechanisms.

2.6. ORF8a and ORF8b

In SARS-CoV isolated from animals and early human isolates, sgRNA8 encodes a single protein 8ab. Interestingly, in SARS-CoV isolated from humans during the peak of the epidemic, there is a 29-nt deletion in the middle of ORF8, resulting in the splitting of

| Table 5 | SARS-CoV ORF7b |
|---|---|
| SARS-CoV p7b | |
| • Subcellular localization: Golgi compartment |
| • Minor structural protein |
| • Not required for SARS-CoV replication. But may be an attenuating factor in vivo |
| Domains and/or regions with characterized functions |
| □ Transmembrane domain (aa 9–29): required for Golgi localization |
ORF8 into two smaller ORFs, namely ORF8a and ORF8b (He et al., 2004; Guan et al., 2003). During the late stage of the SARS epidemic, larger deletions (82-nt and 415-nt deletion) were also identified in clusters of viruses from human isolates (He et al., 2004; Chiu et al., 2005). Initially, this dramatic genomic change in ORF8 has been proposed to contribute to the zoonotic transition of SARS-CoV from palm civets to humans and thus has attracted particular attention. However, it has been shown that palm civets are equally susceptible to human SARS-CoV isolates with or without the 29-nt deletion (Wu et al., 2005). Moreover, further studies using reverse genetics showed that the ORF8 of SARS-CoV is not essential for virus replication in vitro and in vivo (Yount et al., 2005; DeDiego et al., 2008). Thus, whether the mutations in ORF8 are due to genomic instability or adaptive evolution remains to be investigated (see Table 6).

The undeleted ORF8 (or ORF8ab) encodes a 122-amino-acid protein with an N-terminal hydrophobic signal sequence. ORF8a encodes a 39-amino-acid polypeptide, and residues 1–35 are identical to the N-terminal of 8ab. ORF8b encodes an 84-aa polypeptide and residues 9–84 are identical to the C-terminal of 8ab (Oostra et al., 2007). Whereas Keng et al. have demonstrated by indirect immunofluorescence that 8a and 8b are expressed in SARS-CoV-infected Vero E6 cells, Oostra et al. were unable to detect 8a in SARS-CoV-infected Vero E6 cells (Oostra et al., 2007; Keng et al., 2006). Although there is no data regarding the expression of 8a or 8b in vivo, Chen et al. have identified anti-p8a antibodies in two out of 37 patients with SARS (Chen et al., 2007). Also, different subcellular localizations have been reported for p8a, p8b, and p8ab. Using an EGFP fusion protein, Keng et al. have shown that p8a and p8b are found in cytoplasmic punctuated vesicle-like structures, whereas p8ab localizes diffusely to the cytoplasm (Keng et al., 2006). On the other hand, Law et al. have observed EGFP-fused p8b to localize diffusely in both the cytosol and the nucleus (Law et al., 2006). Finally, using a T7 promoter-driven expression system, Oostra et al. have demonstrated a reticular pattern and ER localization for p8a and p8ab, but a diffuse cytoplasmic localization for p8b (Oostra et al., 2007). These discrepancies should be addressed, possibly by using more specific monoclonal antibodies, or expressing 8a and 8b fusion proteins under a more physiologically relevant background, such as when expressing recombinant coronaviruses.

Oostra et al. have characterized the biochemical properties of p8a, p8b, and p8ab (Oostra et al., 2007). Owing to its N-terminal signal sequence, SARS-CoV p8ab enters the ER, where it is N-glycosylated at asparagine residue 81. The mature p8ab remains associated with the ER and possibly undergoes multimerization, but it is not transported to the Golgi for secretion (Oostra et al., 2007). Inside the ER, the protein up-regulates the synthesis of ER chaperons and activates the ATF6 branch of unfolded-protein response (UPR), but shows no effect on the PERK and IRE1 branches of the UPR (Sung et al., 2009). The 29-nt deletion disrupts the functional expression of ORF8. Although ORF8a contains the signal sequence, the protein is too short to be transported into the ER. For ORF8b, due to the lack of a signal sequence, it cannot enter the ER and thus is not glycosylated at the same asparagine residue as in 8ab (Oostra et al., 2007).

Proteins 8b and 8ab have been shown to undergo ubiquitination when expressed in both in vitro expression systems and in cell culture (Le et al., 2007). Moreover, p8b and p8ab physically interact with monoubiquitin as well as polyubiquitin, suggesting potential involvement of these proteins in the host ubiquitin–proteasome system. Interestingly, the N-linked glycosylation on residue Asn81 in p8ab stabilizes it and protects it from degradation by the proteasome. In sharp contrast, in the absence of the p8a region, p8b, which is not N-glycosylated at the same asparagine residue, undergoes rapid proteasomal degradation (Le et al., 2007).

Chen et al. have shown that SARS-CoV replication is enhanced in cells stably expressing 8a and reduced in cells transfected with siRNA targeting 8a (Chen et al., 2007). However, the results were based on detection of virus RNA and assessment of virus-induced CPE only, and a direct measure of infective virus titre should have been performed. Moreover, overexpression of 8a also induces caspase-dependent apoptosis (Chen et al., 2007). Ectopic expression of 8b reduces the level of co-transfected SARS-CoV E protein, but not that of other structural proteins. Strikingly, in SARS-CoV-infected cells, the expression of 8b and E are found to be mutually exclusive, indicating a functional antagonism between the two proteins (Keng et al., 2006). Similar to SARS-CoV p6, overexpression of 8b has been shown to stimulate DNA synthesis, although co-expression of p6 and 8b does not produce a synergistic effect (Law et al., 2006). The significance of these findings in SARS-CoV pathogenesis remains to be determined.

### Table 6

| SARS-CoV ORF8a and ORF8b |   |
|--------------------------|--|
| **Subcellular localization** |   |
| p8a: cytoplasmic punctuated vesicle-like structures, reticular patterns in the ER |   |
| p8b: cytoplasmic punctuated vesicle-like structures, diffusely in both the cytosol and the nucleus, diffusely in the cytoplasm |   |
| p8ab: diffusely in the cytoplasm, reticular patterns in the ER |   |
| **Not required for SARS-CoV replication** |   |
| **Domains and/or regions with characterized functions** |   |
| N-terminal (aa 1–15) in p8a and p8ab: signal peptide |   |
| Asn81 in p8ab: N-glycosylated, protects p8ab from proteasomal degradation |   |
| Entire p8a protein: induces caspase-dependent apoptosis |   |
| Entire p8b protein: stimulates cellular DNA synthesis |   |
| Entire p8ab protein: undergo ubiquitination. Interact with both monoubiquitin and polyubiquitin |   |
| Entire p8ab protein: activates the ATF6 branch of unfolded protein response |   |

Protein 9b is a 98-amino-acid polypeptide with no sequence homology to any known proteins. Meier et al. have determined the crystal structure of p9b and shown that the protein has a novel...
fold with seven β-strands (Meier et al., 2006). The β-strands from two molecules form two adjacent twisted β-sheets, resulting in a highly interlocked handshake structure (Meier et al., 2006) (Fig. 3). Interestingly, the structure contains a hydrophobic central cavity, which binds lipids and stabilizes the molecule. Together with its membrane-association nature, this suggested that p9b may have a function during virus assembly (Meier et al., 2006).

Protein 9b contains no known NLS and enters the nucleus by passive diffusion (Sharma et al., 2011). However, amino-acid residues 46 to 54 (LRLGSQLSL) of p9b belong to a nuclear export signal (NES) motif LX1-3 recognized by exportin 1. Nuclear export of 9b facilitates its degradation in the cytoplasm (Sharma et al., 2011; Lassmann and Sonnhammer, 2005). Indeed, SARS-CoV p9b has been shown to physically interact with exportin 1 and moreover, mutation of the leucine-rich NES or inhibition of exportin 1 by leptomycin B have been shown to inhibit nuclear export of the 9b protein (Sharma et al., 2011; Moshynskyy et al., 2007). The nuclear export of p9b facilitates its degradation in the cytoplasm, whereas accumulation of p9b in the nucleus can induce caspase-dependent apoptosis (Sharma et al., 2011).

### 3. Accessory proteins of other betacoronaviruses

SARS coronavirus and its likely precursor, bat coronavirus SL-CoV WIV1 (Ge et al., 2013), as well as bat coronavirus HKU3 belong to lineage b of the genus Betacoronavirus (Lau et al., 2005). Lineage a (see Fig. 4 for a phylogenetic tree) comprises a number of viruses that probably did not originate from bat coronavirus precursors, such as Bovine Coronavirus (BCoV), Murine Coronavirus (Mouse Hepatitis Virus, MHV), Human Coronavirus HKU1, and Human Coronavirus OC43. The genomes of BCoV, MHV, and Human Coronavirus OC43 encode the accessory protein NS2 (See Fig. 5), which, at least in case of MHV, has been shown to have phosphodiesterase activity specific for 2',5'-oligoadenylate (2'-5'A) (Zhao et al., 2011, 2012). Synthesis of the latter by the host enzyme oligo-2',5'-A synthetase (OAS) is induced by double-stranded RNA intermediates occurring during viral replication, and leads to activation of the host’s RNAse L, which in turn degrades viral and cellular mRNA (Silverman, 2007). As a result, viral replication and protein synthesis are interrupted. Thus, reduction of 2'-5A levels by NS2 constitutes a mechanism of subversion of the interferon-inducible OAS – RNAse L pathway. Interestingly, this process is organ- and cell-type specific; NS2 is essential for replication of MHV A59 only in the liver, but not in the brain (Zhao et al., 2013). This seems to depend on the level of the IFN-induced expression of the OAS gene, which differs between cell types. The NS2 protein belongs to the superfamily of 2H phosphodiesterases that is characterized by the presence of a pair of conserved His-X-Thr/Ser motifs (Mazumder et al., 2002). Betacoronaviruses of lineage a also include a haemagglutinin esterase (HE) gene between orf1ab and the S gene (Fig. 5). The hemagglutinin-esterases (HEs) are a family of viral envelope glycoproteins that mediate reversible attachment to O-acetylated sialic acids by acting both as receptor-binding ("lectins") and as receptor-destroying enzymes (RDEs) with esterase activity (Woo et al., 2008). In coronaviruses, this gene is exclusively present in betacoronaviruses of clade a and has probably been acquired after diverging from the ancestors of other betacoronavirus lineages (Zeng et al., 2008), possibly via horizontal gene transfer from Influenza C virus (Langeries et al., 2012). Crystal structures have been determined for the hemagglutinin esterases of BCoV and MHV (Vlasak et al., 1988; Schultze et al., 1991). The E domain (with esterase activity) is found to be highly conserved but the RBD (receptor binding domain) is found to be flexible (Vlasak et al., 1988). HCoV OC43 and BCoV use 9-O-acetylated sialic acids as receptor to initiate infection, and correspondingly possess sialate-9-O-acetylestases as RDE. Most betacoronaviruses of lineage a attach to 9-O-acetylated sialic acid, but one group of murine coronaviruses has switched to using 4-O-acetylated sialic acid (Schultze et al., 1991). The available crystal structures reveal the adaptations of the RBD to differences in receptor usage (Vlasak et al., 1988; Schultze et al., 1991).

Betacoronaviruses of lineage a also encode an internal ORF within the nucleocapsid gene (Fig. 5). This ORF shares with SARS-CoV ORF9b the property of being completely overlapping with the nucleocapsid gene, but the encoded protein appears to have little in common with protein 9b of the SARS virus. A study performed on the internal ORF within the nucleocapsid gene of MHV suggests that this gene encodes a structural protein that is, however, found to be non-essential for virion formation and viral replication (Fischer et al., 1997).

In the genomes of betacoronaviruses of lineage c, for example in the bat viruses (BtCoV) HKU4, HKU5, and the recently characterized Middle-East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS) coronavirus, there are four ORFs between the S and E genes, which encode proteins p3 (also known as p3a), p4a (also known as p3b), p4b (also known as p3c), and p5 (also known as p3d) (Fig. 5). p3 and p4b have been predicted to each contain one transmembrane helix, whereas p5 has been proposed to comprise three such helices (Siu et al., 2014). p3 and p5 have been found in the ERGIC, whereas p4a and p4b display a diffuse cytoplasmic distribution and are also partly localized to the nucleus (Siu et al., 2014), although in another study, p4b was found to localize exclusively to the nucleus (Matthews et al., 2014). Both the p4a and the p4b protein of MERS coronavirus have been shown to be a type-I interferon antagonists (Siu et al., 2014; Matthews et al., 2014; Niemeyer et al., 2013). The p4a is particularly interesting in this context, as it is a
double-stranded (ds) RNA-binding protein that prevents dsRNA (which occurs as an intermediate of viral RNA replication) from binding to the cellular dsRNA-binding protein PACT, which, as a consequence, cannot activate the cellular dsRNA sensors RIG-I and MDA5 (Siu et al., 2014; Niemeyer et al., 2013). In another study, it has been shown that p4a, p4b and p5 are all potent interferon antagonists with p4a being the most powerful in counteracting the antiviral effects of IFN via the inhibition of both interferon production and ISRE (Interferon-Stimulated Response Element) promoter element signaling pathways (Yang et al., 2013). MERS coronavirus also contains an internal ORF within the nucleocapsid gene, which has not yet been characterized (van Boheemen et al., 2012). This ORF was not previously described for BtCoV-HKU4 and BtCoV-HKU5 but is conserved in the genome sequences of both viruses.

In betacoronavirus lineage d, for example in bat coronavirus HKU9, two ORFs, both of which are connected with a transcription regulatory sequence (TRS), are found downstream of the N gene (Fig. 5). The TRSs are located at the 5' end of coronavirus genes and include a highly conserved AU-rich core sequence that is essential for mediating a 100- to 1000-fold increase in mRNA synthesis when it is located in the appropriate context (Sawicki et al., 2007). Thus, the identification of TRSs before a predicted ORF helps identify new genes. The two accessory ORFs in bat-coronavirus HKU9 encode two putative non-structural proteins, NS7a and NS7b (Woo et al., 2007; Lau et al., 2010). This is in fact the first time that ORFs downstream of the N gene have been observed in a betacoronavirus.

4. Accessory proteins in Alphacoronavirus

In the genome of Feline Infectious Peritonitis Virus (FIPV), there are five ORFs coding for five accessory proteins (Fig. 5). These are the ORF3 proteins (comprising p3a, p3b, and p3c) and the ORF7 proteins, interestingly encoded by two ORFs (7a and 7b) downstream of the N gene. It has been demonstrated that the ORF7 proteins are essential for efficient replication in vitro and for virulence in vivo (Haijema et al., 2004). The ORF3 proteins were found to have only supportive roles during infection of the target cell by FIPV (Dedeuwaerder et al., 2013). It has also been shown that the FIPV p7a protein is a type-I IFN antagonist but needs the presence of ORF3 proteins to fully exert its antagonistic function (Dedeuwaerder et al., 2014).

The ORF3 and ORF7 proteins of Porcine Respiratory coronavirus (PRCoV) and Transmissible Gastroenteritis Virus (TGEV) are known to play potential roles in determining virulence (Paul et al., 1997; McGoldrick et al., 1999; Tung et al., 1992). Another notable accessory protein of an alphacoronavirus is the protein encoded by ORF4 in Human Coronavirus 229E (HCoV 229E; Fig. 5). It has been previously shown that the HCoV-229E genome codes for two accessory ORFs, p4a and p4b. However, recent sequencing of clinical isolates revealed the presence of a full-length p4, whereas laboratory strains show the presence of a truncated p4 (Farsani et al., 2012). It has been suggested that extensive culturing of HCoV 229E might have resulted in this truncation (Dijkman et al., 2006). The high degree of conservation of the amino-acid sequence of p4 among clinical isolates suggests that the protein plays an important role in vivo.

5. Accessory proteins in Gammacoronavirus

The most studied gammacoronavirus to date is IBV. The genomic organization of a classic gammacoronavirus is 5'-UTR-Pol-5'-3a-3b-E-M-5a-5b-N-UTR-3' (UTR = untranslated region). Hence, it contains four accessory genes. The IBV sub-genomic mRNA 3 (sgRNA3) and sgRNA5 are known to be polycistronic (Liu et al., 2004).

Fig. 5. Genome organization of members of Betacoronavirus lineages a–d, as well as of the genera Alphacoronavirus and Gammacoronavirus, showing structural and accessory genes downstream of the orf1a/1b gene. The 5' leader sequence (black box), open reading frames (ORFs 1a, 1b) encoding components of the replication/transcription complex, the genes encoding the structural proteins spike (S), membrane (M), envelope (E), and nucleocapsid (N) are also indicated. Interspersed between (or within) them are the genes coding for putative accessory proteins (not drawn to scale). The following coronavirus genomes are illustrated (GenBank accession numbers in brackets): (1) Bovine coronavirus (NC_003045), (2) Mouse Hepatitis Virus (AC_000192), (3) Human coronavirus HKU1 (NC_006577), (4) Human coronavirus OC43 (NC_005147), (5) Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus (NC_004718), (6) Tylonycteris bat coronavirus HKU4 (NC_009019), (7) Pipistrellus bat coronavirus HKU5 (NC_009020), (8) MERS coronavirus (NC_019843), (9) Rousettus bat coronavirus HKU9 (NC_009021), (10) Feline infectious peritonitis virus (NC_002306), (11) Porcine respiratory coronavirus (DQ811787), (12) Transmissible gastroenteritis virus (DQ811788), (13) Human coronavirus 229E (NC_002645), (14) Infectious Bronchitis Virus (NC_001451).
6. Conclusions

SARS-CoV encodes eight accessory proteins, namely p3a, p3b, p6, p7a, p7b, p8a, p8b, and p9b. Reverse genetic studies have demonstrated that, whereas none of these proteins is essential for SARS-CoV replication, some of them, in particular p6 and p7b, may contribute to the virulence of SARS-CoV (Pewe et al., 2005; Pfefferle et al., 2009). Five of the SARS-CoV accessory proteins (p3a, p6, p7a, p7b, and p9b) have been shown to be incorporated into mature virions and are thus minor structural proteins. Most SARS-CoV accessory proteins are involved in more than one cellular process, such as interfering with the M r/M-plant pathway, DNA synthesis, induction of pro-inflammatory cytokines, or induction of caspase-dependent apoptosis, to name just a few. The crystal structures of SARS-CoV accessory proteins 7a and 9b have been determined, providing a structural basis for their biological functions (Nelson et al., 2005; Meier et al., 2006). However, the pathophysiological significances of these accessory proteins in the context of SARS-CoV infection are not completely understood, and further structural and functional studies are required.

Knowledge on the accessory proteins of other coronaviruses is even more limited than for SARS-CoV. The best characterized member of betacoronaviruses of lineage d, murine coronavirus (MHV), as well as some related viruses encode a hemagglutinin esterase and a 2,5'-oligoadenylate phosphodiesterase, the latter of which is involved in reducing the activities of RNase L. Lineage-a betacoronaviruses also encode an "internal" protein through a gene completely overlapping with the nucleocapsid gene. Beta-coronaviruses of lineage c, the most prominent example for which is the newly emerging Middle East Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV), feature a similar gene "within" the nucleocapsid gene. The bat coronavirus HKU1, a member of betacoronavirus lineage d, and some alphacoronaviruses such as Feline Infectious Peritonitis Virus (FIPV) contain open reading frames downstream of the nucleocapsid gene. Proteins 7a and 7b of FIPV are involved in countering the antiviral response exerted by type-I interferons, with support from proteins 3a and 3b. None of the accessory proteins of other alphacoronaviruses or of the gammacoronavirus Infectious Bronchitis Virus appear to have important in vivo functions, but again, further characterization is required to shed more light on their functions.
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