Enhancing the Capacity and Stability by CoFe$_2$O$_4$ Modified g-C$_3$N$_4$ Composite for Lithium-Oxygen Batteries
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Abstract: As society progresses, the task of developing new green energy brooks no delay. Li-O$_2$ batteries have high theoretical capacity, but are difficult to put into practical use due to problems such as high overvoltage, low charge-discharge efficiency, poor rate, and cycle performance. The development of high-efficiency catalysts to effectively solve the shortcomings of Li-O$_2$ batteries is of great significance to finding a solution for energy problems. Herein, we design CoFe$_2$O$_4$/g-C$_3$N$_4$ composites, and combine the advantages of the g-C$_3$N$_4$ material with the spinel-type metal oxide material. The flaky structure of g-C$_3$N$_4$ accelerates the transportation of oxygen and lithium ions and inhibits the accumulation of CoFe$_2$O$_4$ particles. The CoFe$_2$O$_4$ materials accelerate the decomposition of Li$_2$O$_2$ and reduce electrode polarization in the charge–discharge reaction. When CoFe$_2$O$_4$/g-C$_3$N$_4$ composites are used as catalysts in Li-O$_2$ batteries, the battery has a better discharge specific capacity of 9550 mA h g$^{-1}$ (catalyst mass), and the cycle stability of the battery has been improved, which is stable for 85 cycles.
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1. Introduction

In the face of huge pressure from energy conservation and emission reduction advocates, it is necessary to replace traditional fuel vehicles with electric vehicles possessing high energy capacity and long range [1,2]. The theoretical energy density of Li-O$_2$ batteries is 3500 Wh kg$^{-1}$, much higher than other batteries. Li-O$_2$ batteries are expected to be used in electric vehicles and in large-scale productions [3–5]. However, so far, the actual specific energy of Li-O$_2$ batteries reported in literature is less than half the theoretical value. This is because during the charging and discharging processes, Li-O$_2$ batteries generate large polarization phenomena and high activation energy, leading to high energy loss [6]. One of the solutions to reduce energy loss for the Li-O$_2$ batteries is to develop new electrocatalysts with high activity. Metallic materials, such as platinum and iridium, or their alloys, have been shown to be the best electrode materials for oxygen reduction reaction. However, the high price of metallic materials hinders their commercial application [7,8]. Hence, more and more attention has been paid to the development of electrocatalysts prepared by some non-precious metals and non-metals [9].

Recently, spinel materials (such as Co$_3$O$_4$) with adjustable structure and stable chemical properties have attracted the attention of researchers [10,11]. However, compared with noble-metal-based catalysts, the catalytic performance of spinel material has a big gap. Studies have found that the structural stability and performance of the spinel material can be improved by replacing Co in Co$_3$O$_4$ with secondary metals such as Ni, Cu and Mn [12].
Unfortunately, when choosing this material as a catalyst, as the reaction begins, particles continue to aggregate, the number of active sites decreases, and cycle performance decreases. Choosing a suitable supporting substrate to form a stable structure can effectively reduce particle agglomeration and improve catalyst activity.

Graphite carbon nitride (g-C₃N₄) has a graphite-like planar phase, of which the nitrogen atoms have both three-fold coordination atoms and two-fold coordination atoms. They each also contain six nitrogen lone pairs of electrons [13–15]. This enables the g-C₃N₄-based catalyst to change the electronic structure and provides an ideal position for recombination [16,17]. Therefore, when employed as an ameliorative support for the nanoparticle surface, g-C₃N₄ plays a significant role in the catalysis process. It can not only restrain the migration of nanoparticles, but also acts as the second active-site supplier that imparts activity to the integral catalyst [18,19]. In addition, we know that rate capability, round-trip efficiency, and cycle life in Li-O₂ batteries are equally governed by parasitic reactions, which are now recognized to be caused by formation of the highly reactive singlet oxygen. Selected homogeneous catalyst approach to limit singlet oxygen release is a way to improve performance [20–22]. The uniformly dispersed particles supported on substrate are also beneficial to performance improvement due to more sufficient contact between the active constitutes and Li₂O₂ particles.

Taking these issues into account, we herein rationally design a scalable facile strategy for fabricating a CoFe₂O₄/g-C₃N₄ composite with the CoFe₂O₄ particles supported on the flaky g-C₃N₄. Thereinto, g-C₃N₄ not only provides rich catalytic sites, but also acts as a support for loading CoFe₂O₄ nanoparticles to restrain their aggregation. g-C₃N₄ and CoFe₂O₄ synergistically enhance the catalytic performance. The resultant CoFe₂O₄/g-C₃N₄ composite exhibits enhanced electrochemical performance of Li-O₂ batteries with respect to discharge capacity, voltage polarization, and cycling performance when compared with single CoFe₂O₄. This strategy may provide an efficient and versatile approach for designing spinel-based materials as efficient Li-O₂ batteries cathodes.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Synthesis

Preparation of g-C₃N₄: First, 4 g melamine was placed in a crucible with a cover. The crucible was annealed at 550 °C for 4 h in a muffle furnace, and the obtained product was grinded to obtain block C₃N₄. Next, 1 g C₃N₄ was dissolved in 35 mL hydrochloric acid and stirred for 30 min. The solution was then placed in a Teflon hydrothermal kettle at 110 °C for 300 min. Finally, the mixture was centrifuged, washed, and dried at 70 °C for 10 h.

Preparation of CoFe₂O₄/g-C₃N₄: First, 0.4 g g-C₃N₄ was dissolved in 30 mL ethylene glycol, then 0.0496 g cobalt nitrate and 0.138 g iron nitrate (nCo:nFe = 1:2) were added and stirred vigorously for 30 min to achieve thorough mixing. The concentrated ammonia solution was added dropwise to the mixed solution to sustain the pH at 8, which was stirred for another 30 min. Next, the mixture was put in a 50 mL Teflon-lined autoclave and kept at the temperature of 160 °C for 20 h. The products were centrifuged, washed, and dried at 70 °C for 600 min. After grinding and annealing at 350 °C for 3 h, CoFe₂O₄/g-C₃N₄ composite was obtained.

Preparation of CoFe₂O₄: The procedure for the preparation of pure CoFe₂O₄ was the same as the preparation of CoFe₂O₄/g-C₃N₄, but without g-C₃N₄.

2.2. Material Characterization

The phase prepared in this experiment was tested with a D/MAX2500V X-ray diffractometer (XRD). The scan range was set to 10–90°, and the scan speed was 10° min⁻¹. For the observation and research of the microscopic morphology of the sample, the SU8020 field emission scanning electron microscope (SEM) produced by Japan’s JOEL company was used. The surface microstructure and preliminary quantitative analysis of the sample was tested and analyzed by the JEM-2100F field emission transmission electron microscope.
2.3. Electrochemical Performance Test

2.3.1. ORR/OER Performance Test

The ORR/OER electrocatalytic performance test was carried out by an ATA-1B rotating disc electrode (RDE). Preparation of working electrode: A mixture of 10 mg CoFe$_2$O$_4$/g-$C_3$N$_4$ and 2 mg Vulcan XC-72 were added to 40 µL Nafion solution, and 2 mL isopropanol water with the given fraction ($V_{\text{isopropanol}}:V_{\text{Deionized water}} = 1:5$) was added into the solution. The ultrasonic treatment was then used to disperse the solution, then 5 µL was pipetted and mixed suspension added to the polished glassy carbon electrode surface. Finally, the electrode was dried by natural volatilization or low-temperature drying. Once the electrode was completely dried, it proceeded to the testing process. In the measurements, glassy carbon electrode (GCE) was used as the working electrode. Saturated calomel electrode (SCE) was used as the reference electrode. Graphite electrode was used as the auxiliary electrode.

For ORR/OER polarization curve testing, the scanning speed was set to 10 mV s$^{-1}$ and the rotation speed was 400~2000 rpm. The electrolyte was 0.1 M oxygen-saturated KOH solution. The ORR potential scanning interval was set between 0 and −0.6 V. The electrode rotation speed tested in OER was 1600 rpm, and the potential scanning interval was 0−1 V.

2.3.2. Battery Performance Test

Preparation of oxygen electrode: First, 15 mg catalyst and 30 mg KB were grinded and mixed for 1 h. Next, 83.3 mg PVDF (6 wt%) and 10 drops of NMP were added to the grinded powder to form a uniform slurry without obvious particles, which was then coated on carbon paper and dried at 90 °C for 10 h.

The electrochemical performance of batteries was tested by using 2032-type coin cell. Each cell was composed of a lithium metal anode, a glass fiber separator (Whatman grade GF/D), an electrolyte containing 1 M LiCF$_3$SO$_3$ in TEGDME, an oxygen cathode, and two pieces of nickel foam (1 mm thick) as the filler and the current collector. This was assembled in an argon-filled glove box (M. Braun). The oxygen cathodes were prepared by coating catalyst ink onto carbon paper homogenously. The catalyst mass loading of the oxygen cathode is about 0.5 ± 0.1 mg cm$^{-2}$.

For the evaluation of the battery discharge–charge performance and overvoltage performance test, voltage range was 2.2−4.5 V (vs. Li$^+$/Li). The current density was 100 mA g$^{-1}$.

The voltage range for the battery cycle performance test was 2.0−4.5 V (vs. Li$^+$/Li). The current density was 500 mA g$^{-1}$ and limited the capacity to 1000 mA h g$^{-1}$.

3. Results and Discussions

As shown in Scheme 1, the synthesis of CoFe$_2$O$_4$/g-$C_3$N$_4$ starts with a facile hydrothermal treatment of the solution containing cobalt nitrate, iron nitrate, and the prepared g-$C_3$N$_4$. The phase is studied by X-ray diffraction (XRD). In Figure 1a, g-$C_3$N$_4$ exhibits two characteristic diffraction peaks: A peak at 27.4° is formed by the stack of g-$C_3$N$_4$ rings, which is the (002) crystal plane [23]; another with relatively weak intensity is at 13.0°, which is a characteristic diffraction peak of Melamine substances and mainly refers to the in-plane nitrogen pores formed by the 3-s-triazine structure [24]. By comparing the two XRD patterns, before and after alkali treatment, the peak of the material does not change, which implies that the crystal structure of g-$C_3$N$_4$ remains unchanged. This confirms that carbon nitride possesses good chemical stability. For the XRD patterns of CoFe$_2$O$_4$/g-$C_3$N$_4$
The surface and internal morphology of materials are observed with scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Bulk g-C3N4 is composed of many layered nanosheets, which are stacked on each other (Figure S1). After alkali treatment, the g-C3N4 is stripped into flakes (Figure S2), which is conducive to the loading of spherical particles. Without g-C3N4, pure CoFe2O4 shows the particle morphology in Figure S3, while CoFe2O4/g-C3N4 exhibits stacked granular morphology connected with particles as shown in Figure 1b. TEM images indicate that CoFe2O4 nanoparticles are supported on the flaky g-C3N4 where the clear lattice fringes of 0.25 nm correspond well to the (311) plane of CoFe2O4, suggesting that these particles are CoFe2O4 (Figure 1c,d).
Moreover, the element distribution C, N, O, Co, and Fe of the material is observed by energy-dispersive spectroscopic (EDS) (Figure 1e), indicating successfully prepared material of CoFe2O4/g-C3N4 composite and uniformly distributed CoFe2O4 nanoparticles on the flat g-C3N4. The specific surface area and pore volume of CoFe2O4/g-C3N4 are determined to be 244.1 m² g⁻¹ and 0.423 cm³ g⁻¹ by Braunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) analysis (Figure S4), which is much larger than those of g-C3N4. Such a big increase for specific surface area and pore volume indicates that CoFe2O4 particles supported on the flaky g-C3N4 are effective for preventing g-C3N4 from stacking on each other. The increase in specific surface area and pore size can expose more active sites, increasing the ion migration rate during charging and discharging.

The elemental composition and chemical state of synthetic materials are analyzed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). The full-spectrum scan result shows that the material contains C, N, O, Fe, and Co, which proves that the CoFe2O4/g-C3N4 material was successfully synthesized (Figure 2a). In the spectrum of C 1s (Figure 2b), two strong peaks are shown at 288.1 and 284.7 eV, which respectively belong to the sp² hybridized bounded carbon (C=N) and graphitic carbon (C-C) [25,26]. Figure 2c is the N 1s spectrum, which confirms that the CoFe2O4/g-C3N4 composite has three kinds of nitrogen. The 398.3 eV is the sp² hybrid nitrogen (N1), the 399.2 eV is the tertiary nitrogen (N2), and the 400.9 eV is the amino functional group (N3), respectively [27,28]. The high-resolution Co 2p3/2 spectrum presents the signals of Co⁴⁺, Co⁵⁺, and satellite (Figure 2d), where the presence of Co⁴⁺, Co⁵⁺, and vibration satellite are respectively exhibited by the brown main peak (780.5 eV), the blue green peak at 782.2 eV, and the peak at 786.8 eV [29–31]. The Fe 2p3/2 spectrum of the catalyst also exhibits Fe⁴⁺, Fe⁵⁺, and satellite peaks, which respectively appear at 710.7 eV, 712.2 eV, and 714.1 eV [31–33]. The above results indicate that two-electron pairs of Fe⁴⁺/Fe⁵⁺ and Co⁵⁺/Co⁴⁺ exist in the structure of CoFe2O4/g-C3N4 material. In addition, the O1s XPS peak at 530.2 eV is the intrinsic lattice, while another peak at 531.8 eV may be the adsorbed water [34,35].

![Figure 2. XPS survey spectra of CoFe2O4/g-C3N4: (a) full-spectrum scan, (b) C 1s, (c) N 1s, (d) Co 2p3/2, (e) Fe 2p3/2, and (f) O 1s.](image)

The electrochemical catalytic activity of the sample was evaluated in 0.1M KOH solution by linear sweep voltammetry (LSV). In Figure 3a, the CoFe2O4/g-C3N4 displays an onset potential (E onset) of 0.90 V and a half-wave potential (E1/2) of 0.76 V, which are more positive than those of CoFe2O4 (0.67 V) and g-C3N4 (0.65 V) catalysts (Figure 3b), signifying the higher activity for ORR. Moreover, the CoFe2O4/g-C3N4 exhibits a larger diffusion-limited current, suggesting the material has strong mass transfer ability and fast
electron transfer speed. The reaction kinetics of the material are calculated and analyzed by the LSV curve at different speeds, as shown in Figure 3c. The activity of all materials at different speeds is linearly related, and the calculated number of transferred electrons show Pt/C, CoFe$_2$O$_4$/g-C$_3$N$_4$, CoFe$_2$O$_4$, and g-C$_3$N$_4$ is 4.0, 3.8, 3.4, and 1.67, respectively. The ORR reaction of CoFe$_2$O$_4$/g-C$_3$N$_4$ composite is nearly a 4e$^-$ process, which indicates a better ORR catalytic performance and is close to commercial Pt/C. Furthermore, the OER curves of various catalysts show the CoFe$_2$O$_4$/g-C$_3$N$_4$ catalyst has the limiting current density of 49.3 mA cm$^{-2}$, higher than CoFe$_2$O$_4$ (~18.8 mA cm$^{-2}$), g-C$_3$N$_4$ (~1.93 mA cm$^{-2}$), and Pt/C (~9.87 mA cm$^{-2}$) (Figure 3d), indicating the CoFe$_2$O$_4$/g-C$_3$N$_4$ material has the best OER performance. This may be due to the synergies of g-C$_3$N$_4$ and CoFe$_2$O$_4$ which boost the performance.

Figure 3. (a) ORR polarization curves; (b) the results summary of $E_{1/2}$ and $E_0$; (c) Koutecky-Levich plots; (d) OER curves of g-C$_3$N$_4$, CoFe$_2$O$_4$, CoFe$_2$O$_4$/g-C$_3$N$_4$, and Pt/C catalysts.

The electrochemical catalytic ability of the material was evaluated by assembling 2032 button batteries. In Figure 4a, the CoFe$_2$O$_4$/g-C$_3$N$_4$ composite exhibits a discharge specific capacity of 9550 mA h g$^{-1}$, significantly higher than CoFe$_2$O$_4$ and XC-72. The overpotential of the CoFe$_2$O$_4$/g-C$_3$N$_4$ composite as exhibited in Figure 4b is 1.21 V, which is lower than the catalysts of CoFe$_2$O$_4$ (1.33 V) and XC-72 (1.87 V). These results indicate that when CoFe$_2$O$_4$/g-C$_3$N$_4$ composite is used as catalyst for Li-O$_2$ batteries, the degree of polarization during the discharge–charge process is relatively lower than pure CoFe$_2$O$_4$. Figure 4c,d show the cycle performance of CoFe$_2$O$_4$ and CoFe$_2$O$_4$/g-C$_3$N$_4$. The CoFe$_2$O$_4$/g-C$_3$N$_4$ composite can stably cycle 85 times, which is significantly higher than pure CoFe$_2$O$_4$ cathodes (16 times). In the battery cycle reaction, the flake structure of g-C$_3$N$_4$ can supply sufficient space to store discharge product Li$_2$O$_2$ and accelerate the transportation of $O_2$ and Li$^+$; also, its larger specific surface area and rich N content provide more reactive sites for the discharge–charge reaction. In addition, g-C$_3$N$_4$ provides a stable support for restraining aggregation of CoFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles due to its high chemical stability, which leads to an increase in the stability of the composite catalyst. Therefore, from the discussion, the synergistic effect between g-C$_3$N$_4$ and CoFe$_2$O$_4$ can effectively improve
the electrocatalytic activity and stability of the catalyst in Li-O$_2$ batteries, which is much better than pure CoFe$_2$O$_4$ cathode. The capacity retention rate tests of Li-O$_2$ batteries of CoFe$_2$O$_4$/g-C$_3$N$_4$ material were carried out at four current densities (Figure 4e). Compared with the first discharge capacity, the corresponding capacity retention rates of the four current densities are 67.7%, 61.3%, 48.4%, and 42.3%, respectively (Figure 4f). All results prove that CoFe$_2$O$_4$/g-C$_3$N$_4$ composite can promote ORR/OER dynamics, thus improving the rate performance of the battery. Even at a high current, the battery keeps a good capacity retention rate.

Figure 4. (a) First discharge–charge curves and (b) the overpotential curves of XC-72, CoFe$_2$O$_4$, and CoFe$_2$O$_4$/g-C$_3$N$_4$; cycle ability of (c) CoFe$_2$O$_4$ and (d) CoFe$_2$O$_4$/g-C$_3$N$_4$; (e) first discharge–charge plots at different current densities (200, 400, 600, and 800 mA g$^{-1}$) and (f) capacity retention plots of CoFe$_2$O$_4$/g-C$_3$N$_4$.

To investigate the composition and morphology changes of CoFe$_2$O$_4$/g-C$_3$N$_4$ electrodes at different stages after the discharge–charge process, Figure 5a displays XRD spectrum of batteries at different states. Compared with the catalyst in the initial state, after discharging, the surface of carbon sheet clearly exhibits characteristic peaks of Li$_2$O$_2$ at 33°, 35°, and 58°, and neither Li$_2$O nor LiOH is detected. After charging, no diffraction peak of Li$_2$O$_2$ is observed, which indicates that the battery has a good reversibility. Figure 5b–d are the SEM images of the battery after different processes. From Figure 5c, the morphology of Li$_2$O$_2$ after the battery being deeply discharged is clearly observed. The lithium peroxide is mainly distributed uniformly on the carbon sheet in the form of filaments. After the charging process (Figure 5d), Li$_2$O$_2$ disappeared completely, which is similar with the initial state (Figure 5b) and consistent with XRD results, indicating that the battery has good reversibility.
Figure 5. (a) XRD patterns of CoFe$_2$O$_4$/g-C$_3$N$_4$ electrode in different states; SEM images of CoFe$_2$O$_4$/g-C$_3$N$_4$ electrode in (b) pristine state, (c) 1st discharged state, and (d) 1st charged states.

4. Conclusions

In short, the g-C$_3$N$_4$/CoFe$_2$O$_4$ material is synthesized by simple methods. The flake structure of the CoFe$_2$O$_4$/g-C$_3$N$_4$ catalyst accelerates the transportation of O$_2$ and Li$^+$ and provides sufficient space to store the discharge product of Li$_2$O$_2$. Both g-C$_3$N$_4$ and CoFe$_2$O$_4$ can offer catalytic sites. In addition, g-C$_3$N$_4$ supplies a stable support for restraining the aggregation of CoFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles. Therefore, the Li-O$_2$ batteries with such CoFe$_2$O$_4$ modified g-C$_3$N$_4$ composites as air cathodes deliver a discharge specific capacity of 9550 mA h g$^{-1}$, and the cycle stability has been enhanced more than pure CoFe$_2$O$_4$ cathodes. This strategy opens opportunities for rationally exploring different modified strategies on nanostructured electrocatalysts for diverse devices.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/nano11051088/s1, Figure S1: SEM image of bulk g-C$_3$N$_4$, Figure S2: SEM image of flake C$_3$N$_4$, Figure S3: SEM image of CoFe$_2$O$_4$, Figure S4: (a) N$_2$ adsorption-desorption isotherms and (b) specific surface area and pore volume of g-C$_3$N$_4$ and CoFe$_2$O$_4$/g-C$_3$N$_4$.
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