Computer-Assisted Virtual Surgical Planning for Segmental Mandibular Reconstruction with Iliac Crest Free Flap
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Abstract
Segmental mandibular reconstruction remains a challenge for the head and neck surgeons. The iliac crest free flap is widely used for mandibular reconstruction. It often leads to being the best functional and esthetic results in a conventional surgery based on the surgeon’s experience. We used a computer-assisted virtual surgical planning for Brown’s class I (lateral effects) type segmental mandibular reconstruction to repair these defects. We reviewed records of 42 patients with a mandibular tumour who underwent mandibulectomy and reconstruction using a vascularized iliac crest free in our Hospital. Conventional surgery was used in twenty-eight (28) patients while 14 patients underwent computer-assisted virtual surgical planning, referring to computer-aided design (CAD) and modelling/rapid prototyping. The overall flap survived successfully without any complications in both groups. Clinical outcomes of all patients were satisfactory postoperative. The days of staying in the hospital and operation time for the two groups of patients were compared and outcomes of the two types of surgery were evaluated.

Those in computer-assisted group presented a short time of operation than conventional surgery (7.00±1.62)/(8.61±1.40) respectively with a P value: (p=0.002). However, for patients that underwent conventional surgery, the days of staying declined than of a computer-assisted surgery (17.32±4.78)/(21.21±3.53) with (P=0.010). Computer-assisted virtual surgical planning can be done to the satisfaction of the patient using the iliac crest flap and conventional surgery. With the experience of the surgeon’s osteotomy with freehand, good results were achieved. However, in our experience the best indication for computer- assisted surgery should be for the benign tumour with clear margins.
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Introduction
Segmental mandibular reconstruction remains challenging for the head and neck surgeons. Recently, Brown and his colleagues [1] proposed a new classification of mandible defects based on the four corners of the mandible (two angles and two canines): Class I (Lateral), class II (Hemim and ibulectomy), Class III (anterior), and Class IV (extensive). Lateral defects of the mandible were defined as those that extended from the anterior ramus of the mandible to the ipsilateral para symphysis. Furthermore, bone reconstruction of the lateral mandible is not mandatory for speech or swallowing functions but it leads to the best functional and esthetic results. Several vascularized muscle-osseous flaps can be used depending on the bone and soft tissue defects to be reconstructed which include the iliac crest flap, fibula flap and scapula. The fibula flap can be used to reconstruct the segmental mandibular defects longer than 14 cm and a reliable skin paddle can be harvested with the flap for reconstruction of soft tissue.

The drawback of this flap is the height of the boneless than that of native mandible [2]. The iliac crest flap is the only one that enables reconstruction of the initial heights and width of the mandible. It is more favourable than the fibula flap for some surgeons because of the large amount of bone volume, rich cancellers blood supply, and compact cortex, which make it an ideal choice for plate fixation and implant placement for dental restoration [3,4]. However, it cannot be used to reconstruct defects longer than 14 cm 5. Since 1980, the technique of computer-aided design (CAD)/ (CAD) has been extensively applied and significantly facilitates orthopaedic surgery, plastic
surgery and Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery. Computer-assisted virtual surgical planning here referred to as computer-aided design (CAD) and modelling/rapid prototyping, is becoming increasingly popular in the field of complex cranio maxillofacial reconstruction.

The advantages of using the virtual technology allow improving surgical precision and decreasing operation time and associated complications. We used a computer - assisted virtual surgical planning only for Brown’s class I type segmental mandibular reconstruction using iliac crest free flap. The aim of this study is to show our experience of mandibular reconstruction with iliac crest free flap by virtual surgical planning and compare it with conventional surgery based on surgeon’s experience using the freehand osteotomy method.

Patients and Methods

Forty-two (42) patients (24 males and 18 females), underwent segmental resection and reconstructed with iliac crest free flap in our Hospital. Clinical data was collected by demographic information (age, sex), sites of defects, tumours loading resection and complications of donor sites encountered.

All patients who required reconstruction of lateral mandibular defects due to benign tumours, malignant diseases and traumatic defects were divided into the following two groups: Those on basis of reconstructive method for computer-assisted virtual surgical planning were 14 patients and the other 28 patients treated with conventional surgery based on the surgeon’s experiences with freehand osteotomies and own judgment of method for reconstruction defects. The indication for resection of lateral mandible for 3D planning was: sarcoma (n=1, 7.14%); recurrence of adenoid cystic carcinoma (n=1, 7.14%); ameloblastoma (n=6, 42.86%); keratocystic odontogenic tumor (n=3, 21.43%); ossifying fibroma (n=1, 7.14%); Myoepithelioma (n=1, 7.14%); traumatic defect (n=1, 7.14%). While for conservative surgery was: ameloblastoma(n=11,39.29%); ossifying fibroma (n=9,32.14%); keratocystic odontogenic tumor (n=2,7.14%); odontogenic fibroma (n=1,3.57%); odontoma(n=1,3.57%); neurofibromatosis (n=1, 3.57%); fibromyxoma (n=1, 3.57%); osteomyelitis (n=1, 3.57%) and pathological fracture (n=1,3.57%).

The site of defects was classified as described by Brown’s new classification after oncological resection in the two groups for reconstruction of lateral mandibular defects. In computer-assisted surgery, all patients were classified as class I type segmental mandible (left and right side of defects with a total of 14 patients) and for conventional surgery group 13 patients were class I; 3 patients were Class II Type of defects; 9 patients were class III types and 3 patients were class IV type (see in Table 1). However, in this study, we excluded patients with class II, III and IV in the group of conventional surgery due to similar groups in order to have a meaningful contribution to the results.

Preoperative Virtual Surgical Planning

All the patients in the group of computer-assisted surgery underwent pre operative virtual planning. A high-resolution helical computer tomography (CT scan) of the mandible and iliac crest bone were performed (field of view: 153.60mm; slice thickness: 0.3mm; width and height: 512x512; pixel size: 0.3mm; Scanner: NIM/NTVMARK3, 110KV 0.07mA) and has been converted into virtual 3dimensional images, then send it to the centre for advanced manufacturing technology (Biomedical Institute Wuhan Hubei Province). Firstly, the mandible and iliac

Table1: Characteristic of 42 patients treated with computer-assisted virtual surgical planning and conventional surgery for segmental mandibular reconstruction with Iliac Crest free flap.

| Variables                  | CS     | CA     | \(\chi^2\) | P   |
|----------------------------|--------|--------|------------|-----|
| N=28 %                     | N=14 % |        |            |     |
| Sex                        |        |        |            |     |
| Male                       | 16     | 57.14  | 8          | 57.14| 0 1 |
| Female                     | 12     | 42.86  | 6          | 42.86|     |
| Diagnosis                  |        |        |            |     |
| Ameloblastoma              | 11     | 39.29  | 6          | 42.86|     |
| Osteomyelitis              | 2      | 7.14   | 3          | 21.43|     |
| Ossifying fibroma          | 9      | 32.14  | 1          | 7.14 |     |
| Sarcoma                    | 0      | 0      | 1          | 7.14 |     |
| Recurrence of adenocystic carcinoma | 0   | 0      | 1          | 7.14 |     |
| Traumatic Defect           | 0      | 0      | 1          | 7.14 |     |
| Myoepithelioma             | 0      | 0      | 1          | 7.14 |     |
| Odontogenic fibroma        | 1      | 3.57   | 0          | 0   |     |
| Odontoma                   | 1      | 3.57   | 0          | 0   |     |
| Pathological fracture      | 1      | 3.57   | 0          | 0   |     |
| Neurofibromatosis          | 1      | 3.57   | 0          | 0   |     |
| Fibromyxoma                | 1      | 3.57   | 0          | 0   |     |
| Osteomyelitis              | 1      | 3.57   | 0          | 0   | 14.31 0.137 |
| lateral mandibular of defects |      |        |            |     |
| Class I left side          | 5      | 17.86  | 7          | 50  |     |
| Class I right side         | 8      | 28.57  | 7          | 50  |     |
| Class II                   | 3      | 10.71  | 0          | 0   |     |
| Class III                  | 9      | 32.14  | 0          | 0   |     |
| Class IV                   | 3      | 10.71  | 0          | 0   | 12.075 0.017 |
| CS                         |        |        |            |     |     |
| CA                         |        |        |            |     |     |
| t                          |        |        |            |     |     |
| P                          |        |        |            |     |     |
| ages                       | 38.50±14.74 | 30.07±13.72 | 1.787 0.082 |
| operation time             | 8.61±1.40   | 7.00±1.62   | 3.335 0.002 |
| days                       | 17.32±4.78   | 21.21±3.53  | -2.696 0.01 |

Forty-two (42) patients (24 males and 18 females), underwent segmental resection and reconstructed with iliac crest free flap in our Hospital. Clinical data was collected by demographic information (age, sex), sites of defects, tumours loading resection and complications of donor sites encountered. All patients who required reconstruction of lateral mandibular defects due to benign tumours, malignant diseases and traumatic defects were divided into the following two groups: Those on basis of reconstructive method for computer-assisted virtual surgical planning were 14 patients and the other 28 patients treated with conventional surgery based on the surgeon’s experiences with freehand osteotomies and own judgment of method for reconstruction defects. The indication for resection of lateral mandible for 3D planning was: sarcoma (n=1, 7.14%); recurrence of adenoid cystic carcinoma (n=1, 7.14%); ameloblastoma (n=6, 42.86%); keratocystic odontogenic tumor (n=3, 21.43%); ossifying fibroma (n=1, 7.14%); Myoepithelioma (n=1, 7.14%); traumatic defect (n=1, 7.14%). While for conservative surgery was: ameloblastoma(n=11,39.29%); ossifying fibroma (n=9,32.14%); keratocystic odontogenic tumor (n=2,7.14%); odontogenic fibroma (n=1,3.57%); odontoma(n=1,3.57%); neurofibromatosis (n=1, 3.57%); fibromyxoma (n=1, 3.57%); osteomyelitis (n=1, 3.57%) and pathological fracture (n=1,3.57%).

The site of defects was classified as described by Brown’s new classification after oncological resection in the two groups for reconstruction of lateral mandibular defects. The indication for resection of lateral mandible for 3D planning was: sarcoma (n=1, 7.14%); recurrence of adenoid cystic carcinoma (n=1, 7.14%); ameloblastoma (n=6, 42.86%); keratocystic odontogenic tumor (n=3, 21.43%); ossifying fibroma (n=1, 7.14%); Myoepithelioma (n=1, 7.14%); traumatic defect (n=1, 7.14%). While for conservative surgery was: ameloblastoma(n=11,39.29%); ossifying fibroma (n=9,32.14%); keratocystic odontogenic tumor (n=2,7.14%); odontogenic fibroma (n=1,3.57%); odontoma(n=1,3.57%); neurofibromatosis (n=1, 3.57%); fibromyxoma (n=1, 3.57%); osteomyelitis (n=1, 3.57%) and pathological fracture (n=1,3.57%).

The site of defects was classified as described by Brown’s new classification after oncological resection in the two groups for reconstruction of lateral mandibular defects. In computer-assisted surgery, all patients were classified as class I type segmental mandible (left and right side of defects with a total of 14 patients) and for conventional surgery group 13 patients were class I; 3 patients were Class II Type of defects; 9 patients were class III types and 3 patients were class IV type (see in Table 1). However, in this study, we excluded patients with class II, III and IV in the group of conventional surgery due to similar groups in order to have a meaningful contribution to the results. This study was approved by the institutional review board of the hospital and informed consent has been obtained.
crest bone were segmented according to the defects of recipient site and then 3D radiological examination and evaluation were done. We performed virtual mandibulectomy according to clinical and 3D radiological examination (shown Figure 1). The donor site was segmented, and we superimposed the 3D iliac image on the lateral mandibular defects in this case to have a neo mandible contour form (Figures 2 & 3).

When the tumour has destroyed the contour of the mandible, the unaffected side was used to form the ideal mandibular contour. A computer-assisted virtual surgical planning was generated, and an ideal reconstructed stereo model was manufactured using 3D printing technology. A reconstruction plate was pre-bent and fixed on the reconstructed mandibular model using titanium screws. The donor site was printed as a model in order to make an iliac crest cutting template to guide the harvest and molding of the iliac bone. Although the mandibular model with the reconstruction plate was subjected to CT scanning and the data were imported to the Pro plan CMF software in DICOM format. The model was segmented with the reconstructed mandible that we made previously, which is virtually designed and six points positions of titanium screws were marked for the accuracy of locating the plate during the operation.

**Surgical Procedure**

The iliac crest flap was harvested as described by Taylor et al. [6], immediately with the mandibulectomy. The flap was molded using the resin model and cutting template (Figure 4) then transferred to the recipient site and the micro vascular anatomises was done using a coupler. For conventional surgery...
group, segmental resection and mandibular reconstruction were based on the surgeon’s experiences without any design of preoperative surgical planning to perform the operation and the probability of error would always exist [7]. The flap was fixed to the mandibular segment with reconstruction plate or mini plate based on the surgeon’s decision (Figure 5). Postoperative conventional technique for flap monitoring method that was used includes clinical examination and observation supplemented beside external Doppler every 30 minutes for the first 24h, every one hour for the next 24 h and every 4h in the following days. Postoperative CT scan was performed for all 42 patients and followed up at one, three, six, and one year after discharge home. Complications such as plate breakage, infections of donor sites, recipients sites and flap loss were not encountered.

**Discussion**

Several options for mandibular reconstruction are available, among which the vascularised iliac crest flap has exhibited many advantages for patients. Achieving functional and esthetic goals solely on the basis of experience is difficult for surgeons and computer-assisted techniques such as virtual surgical planning can here resolve this problem to improve the clinical outcomes. In this study, we used computer-assisted surgery for 14 patients with defects of lateral mandible body and 28 patients treated with conventional surgery based on the experience of the author to perform the operation. The advantages of the computer-assisted technique are that it can be used for the preoperative plan, allowing surgeons to complete accurate ablation and reconstruction and also operation time decreases [8,9].

In our study all patients treated by conventional surgery were benign tumours with clear margins of the jaw which require segmental resection and those who underwent computer-assisted surgery were malignant and benign tumours. However computer-assisted method must be applied with caution for malignant tumours and the disadvantage of this method is the cost-effectiveness for the patient in poor condition. For patients who underwent conventional of mandible reconstruction with the iliac crest free flap, mandibulectomy, flap harvest and moulding, the reconstruction procedure was based on the surgeon’s experience. The acceptable result was achieved and most patients in this group were satisfied postoperatively. With the help of computer-assisted surgery, short time of operation was achieved than those of conventional surgery.

This technique has a positive impact on the reconstruction of mandibular defects through the provision of the accuracy of the graft placement that allows the surgical team to visualize the resection and reconstruction options which can provide the best outcomes and limit possible complications [10,11]. Recently, many surgeons have reported the applications of computer-assisted techniques for maxillary and mandibular reconstruction with free fibula flap with more accurate reconstruction than conventional surgery [12-14]. In this study the computer-assisted group presented good result, however, the days of staying in the hospital increased due to a malignant tumour and radiotherapy preoperatively. There is no statistical significance between the two groups of patients treated due to the small number of patients enrolled in this study; future more cases should improve the result.

**Statistical Analysis**

Fisher’s exact test and statistical analysis were performed using SPSS 17.0 software (SPSS INC, Chicago, Illinois USA). The P value was less than or equal to 0.05. The events were accepted as significant. However, there is no statistical significance between independent factors (age, tumour, sites of defects) and dependent factor (days of staying in the hospital).

**Results**

We treated 42 patients with tumour diseases and traumatic defects. The mean age was 38.50±14.74 years and for those who underwent reconstruction based on computer-assisted surgery, the mean age was 30.07±13.72 years. The overall flap survived successfully without any complications in both groups. The condyle was preserved and clinical outcomes (appearance of facial, opening mouth and temporomandibular joint) of all patients were satisfactory postoperatively (Figure 5). However, for a patient with conventional surgery, there was a malposition of the bone to the recipient site. The days of staying in the hospital and operation time of the two groups of patients were compared. Those in computer-assisted group presented with a short time of operation than the conventional surgery: (7.00±1.62)/(8.61±1.40) respectively with a P value (p=0.002).

However, for patients who underwent conventional surgery, the days of staying has decreased than the computer-assisted surgery: (17.32±4.78)/(21.21±3.53) with (p=0.010). The result indicated that patients in computer-assisted surgery presented a short time in operation than the conventional surgery group. Moreover, the conventional surgery group had short days of staying in the hospital due to benign tumours. For the computer-assisted group, days of staying in the hospital increased due to malignant tumours and radiotherapy before surgery.

**Discussion**

Several options for mandibular reconstruction are available, among which the vascularised iliac crest flap has exhibited many advantages for patients. Achieving functional and esthetic goals solely on the basis of experience is difficult for surgeons and computer-assisted techniques such as virtual surgical planning can here resolve this problem to improve the clinical outcomes. In this study, we used computer-assisted surgery for 14 patients with defects of lateral mandible body and 28 patients treated with conventional surgery based on the experience of the author to perform the operation. The advantages of the computer-assisted technique are that it can be used for the preoperative plan, allowing surgeons to complete accurate ablation and reconstruction and also operation time decreases [8,9].
In our study, all patients in both group reconstruction plates and mini plates were used for fixation and no complications have been encountered. In conventional surgery, intermaxillary fixation is usually used to maintain the mandibular position and it depends only on the expertise of the surgeons. In the case where computer-assisted techniques are used, it provides more surgical convenience, but the preoperative plan was more time consuming, and it comes along with higher total costs of the procedure (virtual planning and manufacture of the model costs around 1000-1500$). There is also a possibility of the higher dosage to the patient due to the CT scanning criteria, and planning and manufacturing time take a minimum of three days. This can have an impact on patients with oncological and traumatic defects. However, many reports [18-22] in the literature have been done often regarding the cost-effect of this technique and it is considered worthwhile due to the reduction of operation time, reduced complications and a better anatomical and functional results. Computer-assisted virtual planning has become a guide for surgeons. A judicious selection of patients based on the expected surgical utility is very important.

Conclusion

Computer-assisted virtual surgical planning can be done to the satisfaction of the patient using the iliac crest flap and conventional surgery. With the experience of the surgeon’s osteotomy with freehand, good results were achieved. However, in our experience the best indication for computer-assisted surgery should be for the benign tumour with clear margins.
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