Estrogen promotes innate immune evasion of *Candida albicans* through inactivation of the alternative complement system
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**In brief**

Women with high estrogen levels have an increased risk of developing genital thrush caused by *Candida albicans*. Kumwenda et al. show that *C. albicans* grown in estrogen is not recognized and killed efficiently by white blood cells. This reduced immune recognition could enable *C. albicans* to proliferate and cause infection.
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SUMMARY

Candida albicans is a commensal of the urogenital tract and the predominant cause of vulvovaginal candidiasis (VVC). Factors that increase circulatory estrogen levels such as pregnancy, the use of oral contraceptives, and hormone replacement therapy predispose women to VVC, but the reasons for this are largely unknown. Here, we investigate how adaptation of C. albicans to estrogen impacts the fungal host-pathogen interaction. Estrogen promotes fungal virulence by enabling C. albicans to avoid the actions of the innate immune system. Estrogen-induced innate immune evasion is mediated via inhibition of opsonophagocytosis through enhanced acquisition of the human complement regulatory protein, Factor H, on the fungal cell surface. Estrogen-induced accumulation of Factor H is dependent on the fungal cell surface protein Gpd2. The discovery of this hormone-sensing pathway might pave the way in explaining gender biases associated with fungal infections and may provide an alternative approach to improving women’s health.

INTRODUCTION

Microbial infections exhibiting gender bias are common. This bias may predispose one sex to infection over the other, or result in one sex experiencing severer infection outcomes (Ghazeeri et al., 2011; Garcia-Gómez et al., 2013; van Lunzen and Altfeld, 2014). Sex hormones such as estrogen, testosterone, and progesterone regulate many functions of the immune system, and generally males are more prone to infection than females, as overall immune responses are lower in the male population (Klein, 2000; McClelland and Smith, 2011; Taneja, 2018). Besides the impact of sex hormones on immune cell function, sex hormones also have a direct effect on microbial pathogenicity by increasing microbial persistence, metabolism, and virulence gene expression (Amirshahi et al., 2011; Chotirmall et al., 2012).

The opportunistical fungal pathogen Candida albicans is the predominant fungal colonizer of the female reproductive tract and the major cause of genital thrush (vulvovaginal candidiasis [VVC]). Approximately 75% of the female population will encounter at least one episode of VVC in their lifetime, while up to 15% experience recurrent infection (RVVC) defined as four or more episodes in a 12-month period (Sobel, 1997). Although not life threatening, mucosal infections are expensive to treat, impact the quality of life, and increase population morbidity.

One of the major risk factors associated with the development of VVC is elevated levels of estrogen which occur as a result of pregnancy, the use of high-estrogen-containing oral contraceptives, and hormone replacement therapy (Sobel, 1988; Dennerstein and Ellis, 2001). Therefore, estrogen plays a key role in predisposing women to VVC, but the precise mechanisms for this are unknown. Estrogen promotes glycogen production at the vaginal mucosa, providing a nutrient rich environment for the expansion of C. albicans (Dennerstein and Ellis, 2001). In mouse models of VVC, were pseudoestrus is induced to maintain fungal colonization, vaginal epithelial cells have a diminished ability to control the growth of C. albicans (Fidel et al., 2000). In addition, in mice, estrogen decreases the infiltration of phagocytes into the vaginal cavity and suppresses cell-mediated immunity (Salinas-Muñoz et al., 2018). However, in rats, preincubation of C. albicans with estrogen prior to vaginal infection enhances fungal survival (Kinsman and Collard, 1986), suggesting that in addition to affecting host immunity, estrogen may directly affect the virulence of C. albicans. In agreement with this, estrogen has been shown to promote hyphal morphogenesis of C. albicans (White and Larsen, 1997), a key virulence factor of the fungus. Furthermore, an estrogen-binding protein (Ebp1) has been identified in C. albicans (Madani et al., 1994), although the importance of this protein in VVC is not known. Therefore, how C. albicans adapts to estrogen is still unclear.

The C. albicans cell wall is a multilayered structure consisting of an inner layer of chitin and β-glucan and an outer layer of heavily glycosylated proteins (Netea et al., 2008). The fungal cell wall is a highly dynamic structure providing rigidity, strength, and protection from the environment. In addition, many components of the cell wall act as pathogen-associated molecular...
patterns (PAMPs) and are recognized by the innate immune system (Netea et al., 2008; Hall and Gow, 2013). Recently, re-modeling of the cell wall in response to adaptation to host environments has been shown to regulate the host-pathogen interaction (Wheeler and Fink, 2006; Wheeler et al., 2008; Hall, 2015; Ballou et al., 2016; Hopke et al., 2016; Sherrington et al., 2017; Lopes et al., 2018; Pericolini et al., 2018; Pradhan et al., 2018, 2019; Tripathi et al., 2020; Williams and Lorenz, 2020). In addition to direct recognition of cell wall PAMPs mediating phagocytosis, *C. albicans* also activates the alternative complement system, resulting in the deposition of complement proteins (i.e., C3) on the fungal cell surface, resulting in opsonophagocytosis (Kozel et al., 1996). However, *C. albicans* can evade opsonophagocytosis through the binding of complement regulatory proteins to its cell surface, which inactivate the complement cascade (Meri et al., 2002). Here we show that *C. albicans* does adapt to estrogen and that this adaptation perturbs the host-pathogen interaction, inhibiting phagocytosis of the fungal pathogen. Avoidance of the innate immune system was mediated via the fungal cell surface protein, Gpd2, recruiting the human complement regulatory protein, Factor H, to the fungal cell surface, inactivating the alternative complement system.

**RESULTS**

**Adaptation of *C. albicans* to estrogen promotes innate immune evasion**

Pregnant women and women taking high-estrogen-containing oral contraceptives are more prone to VVC (Gonçalves et al., 2016), while VVC occurs less frequently in postmenopausal women, indicating that estrogen may play a role in promoting the virulence of *C. albicans*. There are four main forms of estrogen: estrone (E1), 17β-estradiol (E2), estriol (E3), and 17α-ethynylestradiol (EE2). Estrone is the weakest form of estrogen produced by the ovaries and adipose tissue and is only found in menopausal women, while 17β-estradiol is the strongest form of estrogen produced by the ovaries and has been associated with many gynecological disorders. Estriol is a by-product from the metabolism of estradiol, and as such is found in high concentrations during pregnancy. Finally, 17α-ethynylestradiol is a synthetic estrogen used in oral contraceptive pills. To ascertain whether adaptation of *C. albicans* to estrogen affects the host-pathogen interaction, we grew *C. albicans* cells in the presence of physiological (0.0001 μM) and super-physiological (0.1 μM, 10 μM) concentrations of 17β-estradiol, estriol, or 17α-ethynylestradiol, and quantified the phagocytosis rates. Physiological and super-physiological concentrations of all three forms of estrogen significantly inhibited macrophage and neutrophil phagocytosis of *C. albicans*, resulting in a 50% drop in phagocytosis compared with the ethanol vehicle control (Figures 1A–1C, S1A, and S1B). The reduced rates of *C. albicans* phagocytosis were independent of any impact of estrogen on fungal growth (Figure S1C) or morphology (Figure S1D). Given that all tested forms of estrogen elicited similar results, all subsequent experiments were performed only with 17β-estradiol.

To determine whether the observed inhibition of phagocytosis is truly mediated by adaptation of *C. albicans* to estrogen, and not a result of residual estrogen coating the surface of *C. albicans* inhibiting phagocyte function, we pretreated macrophages with estrogen prior to the addition of *C. albicans* or latex beads. Macrophages preincubated with estrogen phagocytosed *C. albicans* at rates comparable with those in non-treated cells (Figure S1E), suggesting that under the tested conditions estrogen does not directly impact the ability of macrophages to phagocytose target particles. Preincubating inert particles such as latex beads with estrogen had no effect on the ability of macrophages to phagocytose the particles (Figure S1F), suggesting that any residual estrogen on the surface of particles does not interfere with the ability of macrophages to phagocytose them. In agreement with this, incubation of *C. albicans* with estrogen on ice, which inhibits fungal growth and metabolism, did not affect phagocytosis rates (Figure S1G). Furthermore, re inoculation of estrogen-adapted *C. albicans* cells into fresh YPD medium restored *C. albicans* phagocytosis rates (Figure S1H). Therefore, in response to estrogen, *C. albicans* undergoes some form of adaptation that alters the host-pathogen interaction.

Finally, we tested several vaginal *C. albicans* isolates and observed that all isolates displayed reduced phagocytosis after adaptation to estrogen, confirming that estrogen-induced immune evasion is a general trait of clinically relevant *C. albicans* (Figure 1D). Taking these data together, we conclude that the reduction in *C. albicans* phagocytosis is due to the fungus reversibly adapting to the estrogen, and that this adaptation interferes with the host mechanism of fungal clearance.

**Hormone-induced innate immune evasion is specific to estrogen**

Progesterone has been shown to affect phagocytosis rates (György, 2017) and is structurally similar to estrogen. Therefore, we hypothesized that *C. albicans* may adapt to progesterone in a similar way to estrogen to evade the innate immune system. *C. albicans* cells pre-exposed to physiological and super-physiological concentrations of progesterone exhibited phagocytosis rates comparable with those of *C. albicans* cells grown in YPD (Figure 1E), while *C. albicans* treated with both estrogen and progesterone still exhibited innate immune evasion (Figure 1F). Therefore, the promotion of innate immune evasion appears to be a specific and dominant attribute of estrogen.

**Estrogen-induced innate immune evasion is mediated via inhibition of opsonophagocytosis**

The interaction between *C. albicans* and innate immune cells can be mediated via direct detection of fungal cell wall carbohydrates and opsonin-mediated phagocytosis (opsonophagocytosis). Adaptation of *C. albicans* to a variety of environmental conditions influences the Candida host-pathogen interaction through altered Dectin-1 dependent recognition of β-glucan, which correlates with altered proinflammatory cytokine secretion (Wheeler and Fink, 2006; Wheeler et al., 2008; Hall, 2015; Ballou et al., 2016; Hopke et al., 2016; Sherrington et al., 2017; Lopes et al., 2018; Pericolini et al., 2018; Pradhan et al., 2018, 2019; Tripathi et al., 2020; Williams and Lorenz, 2020). However, estrogen did not affect the amount or exposure of the main cell wall carbohydrates (Figures S2A–S2E), and did not have significant impact on the section of proinflammatory cytokines (Figures S2F–S2I).
In addition to direct detection of cell wall PAMPs, *C. albicans* activates the alternative complemen cascade, resulting in the deposition of complement (C3) on its surface inducing opsonophagocytosis via the complement receptors CR1 and CR3. To investigate whether estrogen-induced innate immune evasion was mediated via inhibition of complement, we assessed phagocytosis rates in heat-inactivated serum, where the major complement proteins (i.e., C3) are denatured. Overall, the phagocytosis rates of *C. albicans* in heat-inactivated serum were lower than in live serum, confirming the importance of opsonophagocytosis in the recognition of *C. albicans*. However, adaptation of *C. albicans* to estrogen did not result in further evasion of phagocytosis in heat-inactivated serum (Figure 2A), suggesting that pre-exposure of *C. albicans* to estrogen affects complement activation. Supplementation of heat-inactivated serum with purified C3 restored estrogen-induced immune evasion (Figure 2B), confirming that estrogen-induced immune evasion likely occurs through the avoidance of opsonophagocytosis.

Next, we tested whether adaptation of *C. albicans* to estrogen affected the deposition of C3 and C3b on the fungal cell surface. Estrogen-adapted cells exhibited enhanced C3 and C3b deposition compared with non-adapted cells (Figure 2C), suggesting that adaptation to estrogen promotes C3 and C3b binding on the *C. albicans* cell surface. Increased deposition of complement is normally associated with increased phagocytosis. However, processing of C3 can be inhibited by the recruitment of host regulatory proteins such as Factor H, and several pathogens are known to avoid opsonophagocytosis through enhanced recruitment of Factor H (Dasari et al., 2018; van der Maten et al., 2018). Therefore, the ability of estrogen-adapted *C. albicans* cells to bind Factor H was quantified. Estrogen-adapted cells bound significantly more Factor H compared with the solvent control (Figure 2D), suggesting that estrogen-adapted *C. albicans* evades the innate immune system through enhanced Factor H recruitment and decreased opsonophagocytosis.

**Bcr1 plays a key role in estrogen-induced innate immune evasion**

Altered deposition of complement on the fungal cell surface in response to estrogen stimulation suggests that estrogen...
induces altered expression of C. albicans cell surface proteins. To elucidate how quickly C. albicans adapts to estrogen, we grew the fungus in the presence of 10 μM 17β-estradiol for varying lengths of time before quantifying the macrophage phagocytosis rates. This time-course analysis confirmed that phagocytosis rates gradually reduced after 60 min of growth in the presence of estrogen (Figure 3A), suggesting that C. albicans undergoes some form of transcriptional or translational response to estrogen. To identify how estrogen affects the global transcriptional profile of C. albicans, we performed RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) on C. albicans cells that had been adapted to 10 μM 17β-estradiol for 4 h. Despite having a strong impact on the host-pathogen interaction, estrogen only had a mild effect on the transcriptome of C. albicans, with 59 genes being moderately upregulated and 75 genes being moderately downregulated in the presence of estrogen (Table S1, fold change >1.5, false discovery rate <0.05). Genes that were upregulated were largely involved in hormone binding, RNA processing, and flavin mononucleotide binding, while downregulated genes were largely involved in oxidoreductase activities (Table S2).

To identify whether the two differentially expressed genes involved in hormone binding (EBP1 and CDR1) play a role in estrogen-induced innate immune evasion, we quantified the phagocytosis rates of the mutants in the presence and absence of estrogen. Deletion of CDR1 did not affect estrogen-induced innate immune evasion (Figure 3B). However, deletion of EBP1 resulted in consistently low phagocytosis even in the absence of hormone stimulation (Figure 3C), suggesting that Ebp1 is a negative regulator of innate immune evasion.

To identify whether estrogen mediates its effects through a conserved signaling pathway, we analyzed the promoter regions of the differentially expressed genes for the presence of conserved transcription factor binding motifs. From the top three putative motifs identified in the 5’ UTR of the differentially expressed genes (Table S3), 18 documented transcription factor binding motifs were identified (Table S4). Given that phagocytosis is governed by interactions between PAMPs located on the fungal cell surface and pattern recognition receptors on the phagocyte, we reasoned that enhanced Factor H binding and, therefore, innate immune evasion is likely to be mediated by proteins in the cell wall. From the 18 identified transcription factors, only Bcr1 has been linked to regulation of the fungal cell surface (Nobile and Mitchell, 2005). To identify whether Bcr1 plays a role in the estrogen response, we quantified phagocytosis rates of the bcr1Δ mutant in the presence and absence of estrogen. Deletion of BCR1 resulted in the loss of innate immune evasion in the presence of estrogen (Figure 3D), confirming that Bcr1 is critical for this process.

To identify putative target genes of Bcr1, we analyzed gene expression data from Nobile and Mitchell (2005) in combination with data available on Pathoyeastract (Monteiro et al., 2019). In total, 45 genes were identified with documented Bcr1-binding sites in their promoters. To identify Bcr1 targets that function in the cell wall, we performed gene ontology (GO) term analysis on these 45 genes, whereby the top three GO terms are “cell surface,” “plasma membrane,” and “fungal-type cell wall” (Table S5). Of these cell surface associated genes, only Gpd2 has been linked to interaction with the complement system (Luo et al., 2012).
Estrogen-induced innate immune evasion is mediated through Gpd2

GPD2 is a glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, which has been shown to localize to the fungal cell surface in response to serum (Marín et al., 2015). Gpd2 has been classed as a moonlighting protein (a protein that has multiple, but not linked biological functions) due to its ability to bind key regulatory components of the alternative complement system including Factor H, Factor H-like protein-1 (FHL-1), and plasminogen (Luo et al., 2012). Although not identified as differentially regulated by estrogen by RNA-seq, RT-PCR indicated that GPD2 was mildly upregulated (1.9 ± SD 0.7-fold, p = 0.06) by estrogen. Thus, we hypothesized that estrogen-induced innate immune evasion of C. albicans is mediated via Gpd2-dependent acquisition of Factor H. To test this hypothesis, we deleted GPD2 in C. albicans and determined the phagocytosis rates in the presence and absence of estrogen. Deletion of GPD2 prevented estrogen-dependent inhibition of macrophage phagocytosis rates (Figure 4A). To determine whether the loss of innate immune evasion in the gpd2Δ mutant correlated with a reduction of Factor H recruitment to the fungal cell surface, we quantified Factor H binding in the gpd2Δ mutant in the presence and absence of estrogen. As predicted, the gpd2Δ mutant did not bind more Factor H than wild-type cells in the presence of estrogen (Figure 4B), confirming that immune evasion is dependent on Factor H recruitment. To confirm that enhanced expression of GPD2 is sufficient to promote innate immune evasion, we overexpressed GPD2 in C. albicans. Overexpression of GPD2 (2.75-fold increased mRNA expression compared with wild-type cells) resulted in reduced phagocytosis rates irrespective of estrogen treatment (Figure 4C). The GPD2 overexpression strain also bound more Factor H than the parental control strain (Figure 4D), thereby confirming that enhanced expression of GPD2 is sufficient to promote C. albicans innate immune evasion. Given that deletion of EBP1 results in constitutive innate immune evasion similar to the overexpression of GPD2, we quantified the expression of GPD2 in the ebp1Δ mutant. Deletion of EBP1...
resulted in higher expression of GPD2 compared with wild-type cells (Figure 4E), suggesting that Ebp1 is a negative regulator of GPD2 expression and, therefore, innate immune evasion.

To identify whether Ebp1 and Bcr1 contribute to estrogen-induced innate immune evasion independent of regulating GPD2 expression, we placed the expression of GPD2 under the control of the TEF2 promoter in the ebp1Δ and bcr1Δ mutants, resulting in the overexpression of GPD2. Overexpressing GPD2 in the ebp1Δ mutant did not lead to significantly more innate immune evasion than in the ebp1Δ mutant (Figure 3C), suggesting that the defect in immune recognition of the ebp1Δ mutant stems from the elevated expression of GPD2. In addition, overexpression of GPD2 in the bcr1Δ mutant restored innate immune evasion, confirming that the absence of immune evasion in the bcr1Δ mutant is a result of reduced GPD2 expression (Figure 3D). Therefore, taken together these data indicate GPD2 as a key player in estrogen-induced innate immune evasion.

**Estrogen-induced immune evasion plays a key role in C. albicans pathogenicity**

Having established that adaptation of C. albicans to estrogen inhibits phagocytosis, we explored whether this phenomenon could influence virulence in vivo using a zebrafish larval model for disseminated disease. Previously, it was shown that exposing zebrafish larvae (3 h post fertilization) to media containing 1 μM estrogen results in an in vivo estrogen concentration of 0.057 μM, equivalent to physiological levels during pregnancy in humans (Hao et al., 2013; Souder and Gorlick, 2017). Taking advantage of this observation, zebrafish larvae were infected with C. albicans SC5314 and maintained in E3 medium supplemented with 1 μM estrogen, and the survival rate was monitored for up to 5 days post fertilization. Compared with zebrafish incubated in E3 medium alone or E3 medium supplemented with ethanol, supplementation of E3 medium with 1 μM 17β-estradiol for 4 h, incubated in human serum for 20 min, and fixed with 4% PFA, and Factor H binding was quantified by flow cytometry.

To investigate whether Gpd2 is required for virulence in this model, we infected zebrafish with the parental control strain, the gpd2Δ mutant, or reconstituted control strains of C. albicans. Deletion of GPD2 led to attenuation of C. albicans virulence, which was restored to parental control levels via complementation with a single copy of GPD2 (Figure 5B). To assess whether increased expression of Gpd2 is sufficient to drive fungal virulence, we infected zebrafish larvae with a C. albicans strain that overexpresses GPD2 in the absence of estrogen stimulation. Overexpression of GPD2 enhanced C. albicans virulence compared with the respective control strain (Figure 5C). Therefore, Gpd2 plays a key role in promoting C. albicans virulence in vivo.
VVC is a mucosal infection affecting 75% of the female population of reproductive age (Sobel, 1988). Estrogen is known to govern susceptibility to VVC infections, with women with low circulatory estrogen levels (i.e., postmenopausal women) having a lower risk of developing VVC, and women with high estrogen levels (i.e., during pregnancy, or women taking high-estrogen-containing oral contraceptives) having a high risk of VVC. Elevated estrogen levels increase glycogen at the vaginal mucosa, reduce leukocyte infiltration, and reduce antifungal activity of epithelial cells, promoting infection (Fidel et al., 2000; Dennerstein and Ellis, 2001; Salinas-Muñoz et al., 2018). However, here we show that, in addition to these effects on the host, estrogen promotes adaptation responses in C. albicans which induce evasion of the innate immune system through Gpd2-dependent inhibition of complement-mediated opsonophagocytosis. Unlike the induction of fungal morphogenesis, which appears to be limited to 17β-estradiol (Cheng et al., 2006), all tested forms of estrogen promoted innate immune evasion, suggesting that C. albicans has evolved at least two signaling pathways that are responsive to estrogen.

Complement evasion is a successful mechanism employed by viruses, bacteria, parasites, and fungi to escape the innate immune system (Lambris et al., 2008). Evasion of the complement system can be mediated through the secretion of degradative proteins or by recruitment of host regulatory proteins (Merle et al., 2015). One of the most common methods used by pathogens to evade complement is through enhanced recruitment of Factor H, a key regulatory protein in the complement system, to the microbial surface. Bound Factor H prevents further activation of the alternative complement system through both the destabilization of the C3 convertase and enhancement of Factor I-mediated degradation of C3b to iC3b, reducing opsonization and inhibiting the formation of the membrane attack complex (Merle et al., 2015). C. albicans has been shown, by others, to recruit Factor H to its surface through the expression of moonlighting proteins. Moonlighting proteins are proteins that perform multiple, unlinked biological functions. So far, four moonlighting proteins (Phr1, Gpd2, Hgt1, and Gpm1) have been identified in C. albicans (Poltermann et al., 2007; Luo et al., 2010, 2012; Kenno et al., 2018), but only GPD2 was regulated by estrogen, suggesting that the other moonlighting proteins may mediate innate immune evasion in response to other environmental stimuli. Although Gpd2 is predicted to be a cytoplasmic protein involved in glycerol production, Gpd2 has also been identified in cell wall proteomic studies (Marín et al., 2015), suggesting that Gpd2 also functions in the cell wall. Interestingly, Gpd2 was only identified in the cell wall proteome of C. albicans grown in complete serum and not heat-inactivated serum where complement proteins have been inactivated (Marín et al., 2015). Therefore, deposition of complement on the fungal cell surface may drive localization of Gpd2 to the cell surface.

Purified Gpd2 binds all three complement regulatory proteins (Luo et al., 2012), although the biological significance of these interactions in infections is not known. Factor H and FHL1 bound to Gpd2 remain active, cleaving C3b and thereby inhibiting the alternative complement system (Luo et al., 2012). In addition, Gpd2 can also bind plasminogen, which is then processed into plasmin, contributing to inactivation of the alternative complement system (Luo et al., 2012). Flow cytometry confirmed that estrogen-adapted cells bind more Factor H, indicative of estrogen promoting complement evasion. However, estrogen-adapted C. albicans also bound more C3 and C3b, which is surprising, as binding of Factor H should prevent C3 deposition. Factor H is a glycoprotein that is made up of 20 complement...
Cheng et al. (2006), in which most of the differentially regulated genes involved in metabolism and oxidation-reduction processes. This lack of overlap is likely reflective of the growth conditions used, as Cheng et al. used RPMI medium whereby estrogen the NADPH activity of Ebp1 is reduced, resulting in derepression (through an as yet to be identified signaling pathway) and surface localization of Gpd2. Gpd2 recruits Factor H to the fungal cell surface, preventing the formation of the C3 convertase and disposition of C3 on the fungal cell surface. Reduced opsonization results in innate immune evasion and insufficient clearance of C. albicans, promoting fungal pathogenicity.

control proteins (CCPs). Microbes bind Factor H at various positions, with Neisseria species binding Factor H at CCP6–8 (Ngam-pasutadol et al., 2008). CCP7 has been shown to be important for the interaction of Factor H with recombinant Gpd2 (Luo et al., 2012). However, multiple microbes bind Factor H at CCP20, and this has been termed the common microbial binding site (Meri et al., 2013). Binding of Factor H to some of these microbial cell surface proteins at CCP20 results in increased affinity of Factor H for C3b (Meri et al., 2013). The enhanced affinity of Factor H for C3b might explain why we observe elevated binding of both C3b and Factor H, and would suggest that in vivo CCP20 may play an important role in the interaction between Factor H and Gpd2. The formation of this stable tripartite complex (microbial protein, Factor H, and C3b) results in enhanced activity of Factor H and therefore rapid inactivation of the alternative complement cascade (Meri et al., 2013), which would explain why we observe reduced levels of phagocytosis (Figure 6).

Innate immune evasion was only observed after C. albicans had been grown in the presence of estrogen for 60 min, suggesting that the response required either transcriptional or post-transcriptional regulation. However, in line with previous studies (Cheng et al., 2006), global transcriptional analysis confirmed that only a small proportion of C. albicans genes were differentially regulated by estrogen. In comparison with the work of Cheng et al. (2006), in which most of the differentially regulated genes are involved in fungal morphogenesis, our analysis mainly identified genes involved in metabolism and oxidation-reduction processes. This lack of overlap is likely reflective of the growth conditions used, as Cheng et al. used RPMI medium whereby estrogen promotes hyphal formation (Cheng et al., 2006; Salinas-Munoz et al., 2018), while under our experimental conditions (YPD medium) estrogen did not promote hyphal formation. Although innate immune evasion was dependent on the presence of Gpd2, GPD2 mRNA levels were only moderately increased in response to estrogen (1.9 ± 0.7-fold), suggesting that other factors (i.e., post-translational modifications, protein localization), in combination with gene regulation, may be involved. Given that Gpd2 has only been identified as a cell wall protein under specific conditions (Marin et al., 2013), it might be that in response to estrogen a higher level of Gpd2 is recruited to the cell wall, enabling the fungus to bind more Factor H. In Saccharomyces cerevisiae, the activity of both Gpd1 and Gpd2 is regulated via post-translational modification (Lee et al., 2012). Snf1-dependent phosphorylation of ScGpd1 (ortholog of CaGPD2) results in decreased enzyme activity (Lee et al., 2012). Although CaGpd2 has several predicted phosphorylation sites, these are located in different regions of the protein compared with ScGpd1, and therefore it is possible that phosphorylation/dephosphorylation at any of these sites in CaGpd2 could affect the localization or potential to bind Factor H.

Ebp1, despite showing no homology to mammalian hormone binding proteins, has been described as an estrogen-binding protein. Ebp1 is homologous to old yellow enzyme 2 (OYE2) of S. cerevisiae, an NADPH oxidoreductase. Biochemical characterization of recombinant Ebp1 confirms that Ebp1 is an NADPH oxidoreductase and that estrogen inhibits this enzymatic activity (Madani et al., 1994; Buckman and Miller, 1998). The importance of Ebp1 enzymatic activity in C. albicans pathogenicity is not known, but here we demonstrate that Ebp1 may serve as a negative regulator of innate immune evasion through the regulation of GPD2. Interaction of estrogen with Ebp1, through an as yet unidentified pathway likely involving Bcr1, results in elevated expression of GPD2 and, potentially in association with other post-translational modifications, promotes innate immune evasion and pathogenicity (Figure 6).

In addition to estrogen, a variety of other compounds that contain a phenolic group have been shown to affect the activity of Ebp1 (Buckman and Miller, 1998), suggesting that these compounds may also affect the immune recognition of C. albicans through differential regulation of GPD2. However, progesterone, which contains an unsaturated α,β-keto structure similar to estrogen, did not affect innate immune recognition of C. albicans. To date, Ebp1 has not been shown to be inhibited by progesterone. Therefore, it is possible that subtle differences in the chemical composition of the two hormones determine their interaction with the Ebp1 active site. However, biochemical studies, in combination with structure-activity relationship studies, are needed to identify specifically which molecules are capable of inhibiting Ebp1.

In recent years zebrafish larvae have become an excellent model for studying pathogenicity mechanisms. Zebrafish have a complement system that is structurally and functionally similar to the mammalian complement system (Zhang and Cui, 2014), and an ortholog of Factor H has been identified and cloned (Sun et al., 2010). The presence of estrogen in the zebrafish larval model of disseminated C. albicans infection confirmed that estrogen promotes the virulence of C. albicans, suggesting that estrogen-dependent inactivation of the alternative complement system promotes the virulence of C. albicans in vivo. These data would suggest that women with elevated estrogen levels would be more prone to systemic infections as well as vaginal infections, which has not been documented. Systemic and mucosal infections elicit different immune responses, and these immune responses...
responses are niche specific. VVC is a very complex infection, with a variety of environmental factors contributing to the development of infection. The vaginal epithelial cells together with infiltrating neutrophils are important innate immune cells for maintaining C. albicans colonization levels and preventing symptomatic infection. During VVC, neutrophil function is already diminished through the production of heparin sulfate, and epithelial cells display less antifungal activity (Yano et al., 2018). Therefore, we propose that estrogen-induced inhibition of opsonophagocytosis is just one of several mechanisms that predispose women to VVC. Given the strong association of elevated estrogen levels with the development of VVC, it is important to understand the role of Gpd2 in promoting innate immune evasion, which may lead to the development of alternative treatment options for VVC and RVVC.

Limitations of the study
One limitation of this study is the focus on yeast cells grown in rich media. In the host, C. albicans grows as yeast, pseudohyphae, and true hyphae, and all these morphologies contribute to fungal virulence. Although Gpd2 is expressed in hyphae, we have not directly confirmed that Gpd2 functions in the same manner in hyphal cells. Therefore, investigating the role of morphogenesis in this immune evasion strategy is a key next step. In addition, host-specific environmental cues alter the composition of the cell wall, affecting innate immune recognition. Although estrogen itself does not affect the cell wall composition, we have not investigated whether C. albicans adapts to estrogen similarly in different growth media. However, estrogen did promote virulence in a zebrafish larval model of disseminated infection, but again we acknowledge that this infection model does not recapitulate VVC infections.
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### KEY RESOURCES TABLE

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|----------------------|--------|------------|
| **Antibodies**       |        |            |
| Anti-Factor H Goat pAb | Sigma  | 341276-1ML; RRID:AB_211828 |
| Rabbit anti Goat IgG (H+L) Alexa Fluor 488 | Invitrogen | A11078; RRID:AB_2534122 |
| Goat anti Chicken IgY (H+L) Alexa Fluor 594 | Invitrogen | A11042; RRID:AB_2534099 |
| Anti-complement C3b/C3b antibody clone 6C9 | Millipore | MABF982 |
| Anti-complement C3 Antibody | Millipore | AB3421; RRID:AB_240685 |
| **Bacterial and virus strains** | | |
| SC5314: wildtype | (Gillum et al., 1984) | N/A |
| SVS062A: clinical isolate | Prof Ramage, Glasgow University | N/A |
| SN152: arg4Δ/arg4Δ leu2Δ/leu2Δ his1Δ/his1Δ URA3/ura3Δ::imm434 IRO1/iro1Δ::imm434 | (Noble et al., 2010) | N/A |
| SN250: his1Δ/his1Δ, leu2Δ::C. dubliniensis HIS1/leu2Δ::C. maltosa LEU2-arg4Δ/arg4Δ, URA3/ura3Δ::imm434-IRO1/iro1Δ::imm434# | (Noble et al., 2010) | N/A |
| SN250-CIP30: As SN250 but RPS1/rps1Δ::CIP30 | This study | N/A |
| rob1Δ: As SN152 but rob1Δ::C. dubliniensisHIS1/rob1Δ::C. maltose LEU2 | (Noble et al., 2010) | N/A |
| bcr1Δ: arg4Δ/arg4Δ leu2Δ/leu2Δ his1Δ/his1Δ URA3/ura3Δ::imm434 IRO1/iro1Δ::imm434 bcr1Δ::HIS1 | (Noble et al., 2010) | N/A |
| gpd2Δ: As SN152 but gpd2Δ::C. dubliniensisHIS1/gpd2Δ::C. maltose LEU2 | (Noble et al., 2010) | N/A |
| gpd2Δ-CIP30: As SN152 but gpd2Δ::C. dubliniensisHIS1/gpd2Δ::C. maltose LEU2, RPS1/rps1Δ::CIP30 | This study | N/A |
| gpd2Δ-CIP30-GPD2: As SN152 but gpd2Δ::C. dubliniensisHIS1/gpd2Δ::C. maltose LEU2RPS1::CIP30-GPD2 | This study | N/A |
| CAI4: ura3Δ::imm434/ura3Δ::imm434 iro1Δ::imm434 | (Fonzi and Irwin, 1993) | N/A |
| CAI4-pSM2: ura3Δ::imm434/ura3Δ::imm434 iro1Δ::imm434::pSM2 | (Hall et al., 2010) | N/A |
| ebp1Δ: As CAI4 but ebp1:::clp200/ebp1:::clp200 | This study | N/A |
| As CAI4 but ebp1:::clp200/ebp1:::clp200-pSM2 | This study | N/A |
| CAF2-1: URA3/ura3Δ::imm434 | (Fonzi and Irwin, 1993) | N/A |
| cdr1Δ: As CAF2-1, but cdr1Δ::hisG/cdr1Δ::hisG-URA3-HisG | (Sanglard et al., 1997) | N/A |
| cdr2/1Δ: As CAF2-1, but cdr1Δ::hisG/cdr1Δ::hisG, cdr2Δ::hisG-URA3-HisG/cdr2Δ::hisG | (Sanglard et al., 1997) | N/A |
| **Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins** | | |
| Purified C3 | Sigma, UK | C2910 |
| Purified C3b | Sigma, UK | 204860 |
| Aniline Blue fluorochrome | Bioscience supplies | 100-1 |
| Fc-Dectin-1 | G. Brown, University of Aberdeen | N/A |
| TRITC-conjugated wheat germ agglutinin | Molecular Probes, Life Technologies | W849 |
| TRITC-conjugated concanavalin A | Molecular Probes, Life Technologies | C860 |
| Alexa fluor 488 Conjugated concanavalin A | Molecular Probes, Life Technologies | C11252 |

(Continued on next page)
### RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

#### Lead contact
Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact Dr R Hall (r.a.hall@kent.ac.uk).

#### Materials availability
New strains and materials made during this study will be made available upon request to the lead contact Dr R Hall (r.a.hall@kent.ac.uk) subject to the completion of a materials transfer agreement.

#### Data and code availability
- The raw RNA Seq data files are available at the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) at the following accession number GEO: GSE145240.
- This paper does not report original code
- Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| Deposited data      |        |            |
| Raw and analysed RNA seq data: | This paper | GSE145240 |
| Experimental models: Cell lines | | |
| J774A.1 mouse macrophage like cell line | Sigma, UK | 91051511-1VL |
| NIH3T3 fibroblast cell line | G. Brown, University of Aberdeen | N/A |
| NIH3T3 expressing Fc-Dectin-1 | G. Brown, University of Aberdeen | N/A |
| Experimental models: Organisms/strains | | |
| Wild type (AB) Danio rerio Zebrafish | University of Birmingham | N/A |
| Oligonucleotides | | |
| GPD2-Sacl-F: CTCCGAGCTCGGTGATGGTGATGGTGATGGG | This paper | N/A |
| GPD2-Notl-R: GGAGAGCGCGCGCTGTGAAATTTGGAACACGGTGG | This paper | N/A |
| GPD2-OE-F: GGAGAGCCgATGACTACTTCCCCATATCCAT | This paper | N/A |
| GPD2-OE-R: GGAGAGCCgACGCGAGAAACAGACCTC | This paper | N/A |
| EBP1-5F: CTCCGATATCCTCGCATGAG | This paper | N/A |
| EBP1-5R: GAGAGGAGCTCTctagatagatgataaaggc | This paper | N/A |
| EBP1-3F: GAGAGGAGCTCTGGGAATGAAGTTCTATTAG | This paper | N/A |
| EBP1-3R: GAGAGGAGGTACCGttacacttacacag | This paper | N/A |
| EBP1-CF: ACAACAAACAAGAGACCGG | This paper | N/A |
| EBP1-CR: GAATCTATGGTCAGTAGAG | This paper | N/A |
| URA3-F: GCCTCACCAGTAGACACGCCATT | This paper | N/A |
| RT-ACT1-F: CCTACGTGTACTTGTGCAAGGCAA | This paper | N/A |
| RT-ACT1-R: TAGTTGTGTCATGAGGCTGAA | This paper | N/A |
| RT-GDP2-F: GCCAAGCAAGTTTGCCAAAGGT | This paper | N/A |
| RT-GDP2-R: AAGGCCAGCAATAGAGGCA | This paper | N/A |
| BCR1-F: GAGAGGgcgagccCCAACAACTCATTCAATTCC | This paper | N/A |
| BCR1-R: GAGAGGgcgagccgACCTTTAAGCTTTTGGATATTAG | This paper | N/A |
| RT-EBP1-F: TGGCCAATAGCAGTTATTGG | This paper | N/A |
| RT-EBP1-R: TCCAACAAATGATACATTGAGC | This paper | N/A |
EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

**Fungi**
Candida strains (Table S6) were routinely maintained on YPD agar (1% yeast extract, 1% peptone, 2% glucose and 2% agar). For broth cultures all strains were cultured in YPD (1% yeast extract, 1% bacto-peptone, 2% glucose) buffered to pH6 with 3.57% HEPES. Oestrogen was diluted in 10% ethanol to a stock concentration of 100 μg/ml and diluted into YPD at the required concentrations, maintaining the final ethanol concentration at 0.3%.

**Cell lines**
J774A.1 macrophages (Sigma, UK) were maintained in low glucose DMEM supplemented with 5% FBS, 2mM L-glutamine and 2 mM Pen/Strep, 37°C, 5% CO₂.

**Zebrafish**
Zebrafish care and experiment protocols were performed in accordance with the Home Office project license 40/3681 and personal license IE905E215 as per Animal Scientific Procedures Act 1986. Wild type (AB) Danio rerio zebrafish used in the study were housed in a recirculating system of gallon tanks at the University of Birmingham Zebrafish Facility. To obtain embryos, 4 male and 5 female fish were transferred into a breeding tank and maintained at 28°C, 14 h light/10 h dark cycle. Embryos were collected the following day, sorted and incubated at 30°C for 24 h in E3 media (5 mM NaCl, 0.17 mM KCl, 0.33 mM CaCl₂, 0.33 mM MgSO₄, 0.00003% methylene blue, pH 7). Embryos were maintained at a density of 100 per 14.5 cm dish containing 150 mL E3 media supplemented with 0.02 mg/mL Phenylthiourea.

**Human macrophages and monocytes**
Protocols for human blood collection and isolation of neutrophils and peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were approved by the ethical review board of the School of Biosciences at the University of Birmingham. Blood was collected anonymously and on a voluntary basis after getting written informed consent.

METHOD DETAILS

**Phagocytosis experiments**
Phagocytosis assay was performed as previously described (Cottier et al., 2019). Briefly, overnight cultures of C. albicans were subcultured 1:100 in fresh YPD media, media supplemented with 0.3% ethanol, or media supplemented with oestrogen (0.0001 M, 0.01 μM or 10 μM) and incubated at 37°C, 200 rpm for 4 h. C. albicans cells were washed three times in PBS and 1 x 10⁵ J774A.1 macrophages (Sigma, UK) were infected with 5 x 10⁵ yeast cells (multiplicity of infection [MOI] of 5) for 45 min at 37°C, 5% CO₂. Cells were washed with PBS to remove non-phagocytosed yeast cells, and phagocytosis stopped by fixing with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 20 minutes. To distinguish between C. albicans cells that are associated with the surface of the innate immune cells, and those that have undergone phagocytosis and are truly internalised, samples were stained for 30 minutes with 50 μg/ml ConA-FITC which is non-permeable and can only bind cells that have not been phagocytosed, washed and imaged. Phagocytosis events were scored from multiple fields of view using imageJ and expressed as the phagocytic index (phagocytosis events/100 macrophages). When required, J774A.1 cells were maintained in DMEM supplemented with heat inactivated serum and the assay was complemented with 1 μg/mL C3 (Sigma, C2910) or C3b (Sigma, 204860).

**Human macrophages and neutrophils**
PBMCs and neutrophils were isolated as previously described (Sherrington et al., 2017). Neutrophils were seeded at 2 x 10⁶ cells/mL in 24-well plates in serum free RPMI supplemented with 100 mM L-glutamine, incubated for 1 h at 37°C, 5% CO₂ and then co-incubated with 1 x 10⁶ C. albicans cells (MOI = 5) for 45 min at 37°C, 5% CO₂. Cells were immediately fixed with 4% PFA and stained with 50 μg/ml ConA-FITC for 30 minutes to stain non-phagocytosed fungal cells, washed and imaged. Phagocytosis events were scored from multiple fields of view using imageJ. To assess primary macrophage phagocytosis rates PBMCs (0.5 x 10⁵) were seeded into 24 well plates in differentiation media (RPMI 1640 supplemented with 100 mM L-glutamine, 10% human AB serum, 100 mM Pen/Strep and 20 ng/ml M-CSF) for 7 days replacing the media every 2-3 days, and then phagocytosis rates determined as described above. To assess cytokine production 2.5 x 10⁴ PBMCs were stimulated with 5 x 10⁴ PFA fixed C. albicans for 24 hours, supernatants collected and stored at -20°C and cytokine concentrations quantified by ELISA.

**Genetic manipulation of C. albicans**
All primers used in genetic manipulation of C. albicans are listed in S2 Table. To reintroduce GPD2 into C. albicans gpdΔ mutant, the GPD2 locus was PCR-amplified from C. albicans SC5314 genomic DNA using primers GPD2-Sacl-F and GPD2-NotI-R. The PCR product was cloned into Clp30 plasmid (Dennison et al., 2005) restricted with SacaI and NotI using T4 DNA ligase. The generated Clp30-GPD2 was linearized with StuI and transformed into C. albicans gpdΔ mutant by standard heat-shock transformation (Walther and Wendiand 2003).
To generate a C. albicans strain that over expresses GPD2, the open reading frame of GPD2 was cloned into pSM2 (Barkani et al., 2000) using primers GPD2-OE-F and GPD2-OE-R under the control of the TEF2 promoter. The resulting plasmid was linearized with PacI, and integrated into CAI4 at the URA3 locus by standard heat-shock transformation. To generate ebp1Δ, 500 bp of the 5’ and 3’ UTR were amplified from genomic DNA using primers EBP1-5F, EBP1-5R, and EBP1-3F and EBP1-3R. The resulting PCR products were purified, digested with EcoRV and Sacl or HindIII with KpnI and cloned into the mini URA blaster cassette pDDBS7 (Wilson et al., 2000). The resulting disruption cassette was digested with EcoRV and KpnI and transfected in CAI4 by standard heat-shock transformation. Resulting colonies were screened by PCR and positive colonies were plated onto YNB supplemented with 5-fluoroorotic acid (5-FOA) and uridine to select for spontaneous homologous recombination and loss of URA3. Resulting colonies were then re-transformed with the EBP1 knockout cassette, and loss of both alleles was confirmed by PCR using primers EBP1-1CF, URA3-F and EBP1-1CR, and lack of expression was confirmed by qPCR using primers RT-EBP1-F and RT-EBP1-R. The URA baster cassette was recycled and URA3 replaced at its native locus by transforming the strain with pSM2. To complement the ebp1Δ, mutant, the EBP1 ORF together with 800 bp up and downstream were amplified using primers EBP1-F and EBP1-R, and cloned into pSM2 using SacI and NotI restriction sites. The resulting plasmid with linearized with PacI and transformed into ebp1Δ, and expression confirmed by RT-PCR. To overexpress GPD2 in the ebp1Δ mutant pSM2-pTEF2-GPD2 was linearized with PacI and transformed into the ebp1Δ ura3Δ by standard heat-shock transformation. To complement the bcr1Δ mutant, the BCR1 ORF together with 900 bp upstream and 500 bp downstream was amplified by PCR using primers BCR1-F and BCR1-R and cloned into CIP30 using SacI and NotI restriction sites. The resulting plasmid was linearized by Stul and transformed into bcr1Δ mutant by standard heat-shock transformation. To overexpress GPD2 in the bcr1Δ mutant, the pTEF2-GPD2 was excised from pSM2-pTEF2-GPD2 and ligated into CIP30 using the SacI and NotI restriction sites. The resulting plasmid was linearized with Stul and transformed into the bcr1Δ mutant by standard heat-shock transformation.

**Immunofluorescent staining of C. albicans cell wall components**

C. albicans cells were stained as previously described (Sherrington et al., 2017). Briefly, C. albicans cells from overnight culture were sub-cultured in YPD broth with or without oestrogen supplementation and incubated at 37°C, 200 rpm for 4 h. Cells were harvested by centrifugation, washed in PBS and fixed with 4% PFA. To quantify total mannan, glucan and chitin levels in the cell wall, cells were stained with 50 μg/ml TRITC-conjugated concanavalin A (Molecular Probes, Life Technologies), 33.3 μg/ml Aniline Blue fluorochrome (Bioscience supplies) and 3 μg/ml Calcofluor White for 30 minutes. To quantify surface exposure of β1,3-glucan and chitin, cells were stained with 3 μg/ml Fc-Dectin-1 (a gift from G. Brown, University of Aberdeen) and 50 μg/ml TRITC-conjugated wheat germ agglutinin (Molecular Probes, Life Technologies). Fluorescence was quantified on an Attune FACS machine (50 mW Blue/Violet standard configuration), with 10,000 events observed. CFW and Aniline Blue fluorescence intensities were quantified using the 405 nm laser on the Attune in combination with 603/48 and 650DLP filters, FITC labelled cells were quantified using the 488 nm laser in combination with 530/30 and 555DLP filters, and TRITC fluorescence was quantified using the 488 nm laser in combination with 574/26 and 650DLP filters. The MFI was corrected for background fluorescence. FACS data were analysed by Kruskal-Wallis test followed with a post-hoc Dunn’s multiple comparisons test at 95% confidence.

**RNA sequencing**

C. albicans cells were grown for 4 h in YPD broth with or without 10 μM 17β-estradiol at 37°C, 200 rpm. Cells were harvested by centrifugation, washed three times in PBS, and snapped frozen in liquid nitrogen. Total RNA was extracted as per manufacturer’s instructions using the RNeasy Plus mini kit (Qiagen). Total RNA was quantified using a NanoDrop 8000 spectrophotometer (ND-8000-GL; Thermo Fisher). RNA samples were assessed for genomic DNA contamination by PCR and agarose gel electrophoresis. Samples were then processed as previously reported (Cottier et al., 2019). Sequencing reads are available at the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) at the following accession number GEO: GSE145240.

Reads were analysed following a previous published method (Cottier et al., 2019) and using CLC Genomic workbench 11.0.1 software (Qiagen). In summary, adapter and quality trimming was performed before reads were mapped to C. albicans reference genome (Assembly 21, version s02-m09-r10). Transcript Per Kilobase Million (TPM) were reported for each open reading frame (ORFs). Statistical analysis was performed after addition to all values of the lowest TPM measurement, then data were log10 transformed and differential expression between conditions were considered significant if the absolute value Fold Change >1.5 and FDR < 0.05. Pathway/east GO term finder (Ingles et al., 2012) was used to perform Gene ontology (GO) analysis with P-values corresponding to Bonferroni-corrected hypergeometric test P-values. Motif analyses were performed in the MEME suite website (http://meme-suite.org/).

**RT-PCR**

C. albicans cells were grown for 4 h in YPD broth with or without 10 μM 17β-estradiol at 37°C, 200 rpm. Cells were harvested by centrifugation, and snapped frozen in liquid nitrogen. RNA was extracted using the RNeasy Plus mini kit (Qiagen) as per manufacturer’s instructions. RNA quality and quantity were checked by electrophoresis and spectroscopy. The qRT-PCR was performed using the 2x qPCRBIO SyGreen mix kit (PCRbiosystem) according to manufacturer’s recommendations with 50 ng of total RNA (primers
shown in Table S7). Relative quantification of gene expression was determined by the Delta Delta Ct method with *ACT1* as an endogenous control. mRNA expression was performed in technical triplicate, and data represent the mean and SEM from three independent biological repeats, and were analysed using a paired T-test with 95% confidence.

**Complement binding**
*C. albicans* cells were grown for 4 h in YPD broth with or without 10 μM 17β-estradiol at 37°C, 200 rpm. Cells were harvested, washed three times in PBS, fixed on ice for 30 min with 4% PFA. Then, 2 x 10⁶ yeast cells were incubated with 400 μL 10% normal human serum for 20 min at 37°C, 200 rpm. Cells were washed three times in PBS and incubated on ice with 100 μL of either 10 μg/mL Anti-Factor H Goat pAb (Sigma, 341,276-1ML) or 1 μg/mL Goat anti Chicken IgY (H+L) diluted in 1% BSA/PBS. Cells were washed thrice in PBS and incubated in dark with 100 μL of either Rabbit anti Goat IgG (H+L) Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 488 (Invitrogen, A11078) or Goat anti Chicken IgY (H+L) Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 594, (Invitrogen, A11042) diluted 1:200 in 1% BSA/PBS. Cells were analysed by flow cytometry and median fluorescence intensity determined. FACS data were analysed by Kruskal-Wallis test followed by a post-hoc Dunn’s multiple comparisons test at 95% confidence.

**Zebrafish infection**
Hind brain infections were performed as previously described (Mallick et al., 2016). Briefly, zebrafish at the prim25 stage were manually dechorionated, and anesthetized in 160 μg/mL Tricaine. Approximately 5 nL of injection buffer (10% PVP-40 in PBS, 0.05% phenol red) or *C. albicans* suspension at 5 x 10⁷ cells/mL in injection buffer was microinjected into the hindbrain ventricle via the otic vesicle to achieve a dose of 20-50 yeast/larva. Within 1 h of infection, larvae were screened by microscopy to remove fish noticeably traumatised from microinjection and to ascertain correct injection site and inoculum size. At least 15 larvae per condition were transferred into a 6-well plate, incubated at 28°C in E3 media either with or without 1 μM oestrogen and observed for survival every 24 h until day 5 post fertilisation.

**QUANTIFICATION OF STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**
Unless indicated otherwise, data were analysed in Prism (version 8) and data presented in graphs represent the mean +/- SEM from at least three independent biological experiments, and the individual biological replicates are displayed on each graph. For phagocytosis data all experiments were performed in technical duplicates, with a minimum of three independent biological repeats. For each technical repeat multiple fields of view were imaged and the mean phagocytosis rate quantified. The mean from each independent biological replicate was then analysed by Kruskal-Wallis test followed by a post-hoc Dunn’s multiple comparisons test at 95% confidence. For quantification of immunofluorescence 10,000 cells were analysed by flow cytometry and median fluorescence intensity (MFI) quantified. FACS data were analysed by Kruskal-Wallis test followed by a post-hoc Dunn’s multiple comparisons test at 95% confidence. For zebrafish infections, data from three independent biological replicates were pooled together to determine percent survival. Data were analysed by Log-rank Mantel-Cox test and Gehan-Breslow-Wilcoxon test (extra weight for early time points).
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Figure S1. Oestrogen inhibits *C. albicans* phagocytosis, but does not affect fungal growth or morphology related to Figure 1. A) J774A.1 phagocytosis rates of *C. albicans* (SC5314) grown in the presence of estriol.
B) J774A.1 phagocytosis rates of *C. albicans* grown in the presence of 17α-ethynylestradiol. C) *C. albicans* cells were grown in 96-welled plate in YPD broth supplemented with 10 μM 17β-estradiol, 10 μM 17α-ethynylestradiol or 10 μM estriol. Optical densities (OD) of the cultures were recorded every 30 min for 18 h. D) *C. albicans* was grown in YPD broth supplemented with 17β-estradiol 10 μM for 4 h. Cells were washed with PBS, stained with concanavalin A, imaged by microscopy and analysed for shape. E) J774A.1 macrophages preincubated with 10 μM 17β-estradiol were infected with *C. albicans* cells grown in YPD and phagocytosis rates quantified. F) Latex beads were incubated at room temperature in PBS with or without 10 μM 17β-estradiol. Beads were washed and co-incubated with J774A.1 macrophages and phagocytosis rates quantified. G) *C. albicans* cells were incubated in PBS at 4°C for 4 h with or without 10 μM 17β-estradiol. Cells were washed and co-incubated with J774A.1 macrophages and phagocytosis rates quantified. H) *C. albicans* cells previously grown in YPD with or without 10 μM 17β-estradiol were harvested, washed and re-incubated in fresh YPD for 24 h. Cells were co-incubated with J774A.1 macrophages and phagocytosis rates quantified. All data represent the mean ± SEM from at least three independent experiments.
Figure S2. Reduced phagocytosis rates are not correlated with altered cytokine secretion or gross changes in cell wall carbohydrates related to Figure 2. C. albicans cells were grown in YPD with or without 10 µM 17β-estradiol. Cells were harvested, washed in PBS, fixed with 4% PFA and stained for A) total mannan B) total β-glucan C) total chitin D) exposed chitin E) exposed β-glucan F) TNF-α (pg/ml) G) IFN-γ (pg/ml) H) IL-1β (pg/ml) and I) IL-6 (pg/ml).
total glucan C) total chitin D) exposed chitin E) exposed glucan. Staining was quantified by flow cytometry and median fluorescence intensities (MFI) determined. All data represent the mean ± SEM from at least three independent biological experiments. *C. albicans* cells were grown in YPD with or without 10 µM 17β-estradiol for 4 h. Cells were washed, fixed with 4% PFA and co-incubated with PBMCs for 24h. Secretion of F) TNFα, G) IFNγ, H) IL-1β and I) IL-6 by PBMCs was quantified by ELISA. Data represent the mean ± SEM from four independent experiments using different donors.

Table S2. Gene Ontology analysis of differentially regulated genes (related to Figure 3)

| GO Term                              | Cluster | Adjusted P-value | Genes                                                                 |
|--------------------------------------|---------|------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Up regulated genes                   |         |                  |                                                                     |
| Hormone binding                      | 2 / 59 genes (3.4%) | 0.00473         | CDR1, EBP1                                                          |
| snoRNA binding                       | 4 / 59 genes (6.8%) | 0.00541         | BMS1, NOP14, HCA4, NOP10                                             |
| catalytic activity, acting on rRNA   | 3 / 59 genes (5.1%) | 0.01756         | DIM1, SPB1, CR.04170W.A                                              |
| rRNA methyltransferase activity      | 3 / 59 genes (5.1%) | 0.01756         | DIM1, SPB1, CR.04170W.A                                              |
| U3 snoRNA binding                    | 3 / 59 genes (5.1%) | 0.02147         | BMS1, NOP14, HCA4                                                    |
| FMN binding                          | 3 / 59 genes (5.1%) | 0.0737          | OYE32, EBP1, OYE23                                                   |
| Down regulated genes                 |         |                  |                                                                     |
| Oxidoreductase activity              | 19 / 76 genes (25.0%) | 2.07E-05       | HMX1, CSH1, IFD6, C1_04460C_A, CAT1, GCY2, C2_00180C_A, ALD5, C2_04480W_A, PST1, PST2, FOX2, POX1-3, MET13, SOU1, GRP2, HPD1, FDI1, FRE7 |
| Oxidoreductase activity, acting on CH-OH group of donors, NAD or NADP as acceptor | 7 / 76 genes (9.2%) | 0.00078         | CSH1, IFD6, FOX2, SOU1, GRP2, HPD1, FDI1, FDH1                        |
| Oxidoreductase activity, acting on CH-OH group of donors | 7 / 76 genes (9.2%) | 0.00115         | CSH1, IFD6, FOX2, SOU1, GRP2, HPD1, FDI1, FDH1                        |
| Aryl-alcohol dehydrogenase (NAD+) activity | 2 / 76 genes (2.6%) | 0.02754         | CSH1, IFD6                                                          |
| NAD(P)H dehydrogenase (quinone) activity | 2 / 76 genes (2.6%) | 0.05466         | PST1, PST2                                                         |
| Glutaminase activity                 | 2 / 76 genes (2.6%) | 0.05466         | SNZ1, SNO1                                                          |
| Glucose transmembrane transporter activity | 3 / 76 genes (3.9%) | 0.08953         | HGT19, HGT5, HGT10                                                  |

Table S3. Motif letter-probability matrix (related to Figure 3)

| Motif | A | C | G | T |
|-------|---|---|---|---|
### Motif 1
**E-value = 1.2e-159**

|         | 0.000000 | 0.037037 | 0.175926 | 0.787037 |
|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| E       | 0.009259  | 0.046296  | 0.129630  | 0.814815  |
|         | 0.000000  | 0.379630  | 0.000000  | 0.620370  |
|         | 0.101852  | 0.074074  | 0.064815  | 0.759259  |
|         | 0.000000  | 0.120370  | 0.055556  | 0.824074  |
|         | 0.018519  | 0.259259  | 0.092593  | 0.712963  |
|         | 0.000000  | 0.259259  | 0.000000  | 0.740741  |
|         | 0.055556  | 0.129630  | 0.092593  | 0.805556  |
|         | 0.000000  | 0.240741  | 0.092593  | 0.750000  |
|         | 0.000000  | 0.157407  | 0.129630  | 0.712963  |
|         | 0.083333  | 0.416667  | 0.027778  | 0.472222  |
|         | 0.151389  | 0.232870  | 0.001389  | 0.614352  |
|         | 0.060000  | 0.185185  | 0.000000  | 0.814815  |
|         | 0.055556  | 0.129630  | 0.046296  | 0.768519  |
|         | 0.018519  | 0.546296  | 0.120370  | 0.314815  |
|         | 0.083333  | 0.037037  | 0.018519  | 0.861111  |
|         | 0.037037  | 0.157407  | 0.092593  | 0.712963  |
|         | 0.129630  | 0.277778  | 0.046296  | 0.546296  |
|         | 0.166667  | 0.314815  | 0.055556  | 0.462963  |
|         | 0.129630  | 0.092593  | 0.037037  | 0.740741  |
|         | 0.138889  | 0.222222  | 0.083333  | 0.555556  |
|         | 0.129630  | 0.157407  | 0.055556  | 0.657407  |
|         | 0.037037  | 0.194444  | 0.037037  | 0.731481  |
|         | 0.194444  | 0.000000  | 0.111111  | 0.694444  |
|         | 0.083333  | 0.333333  | 0.120370  | 0.462963  |
|         | 0.203704  | 0.268519  | 0.027778  | 0.500000  |
|         | 0.185185  | 0.342593  | 0.046296  | 0.425926  |
|         | 0.175926  | 0.194444  | 0.037037  | 0.592593  |
|         | 0.111111  | 0.287037  | 0.101852  | 0.500000  |

### Motif 2
**E-value = 4.9e-04**

|         | 0.321429 | 0.392857 | 0.071429 | 0.214286 |
|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| E       | 0.232143  | 0.214286  | 0.285714  | 0.267857  |
|         | 0.357143  | 0.375000  | 0.000000  | 0.267857  |
|         | 0.071429  | 0.464286  | 0.000000  | 0.464286  |
|         | 0.125000  | 0.428571  | 0.107143  | 0.339286  |
|         | 0.107143  | 0.178571  | 0.000000  | 0.714286  |
|         | 0.232143  | 0.464286  | 0.017857  | 0.285714  |
|         | 0.089286  | 0.392857  | 0.053571  | 0.464286  |
|         | 0.178571  | 0.678571  | 0.125000  | 0.017857  |
|         | 0.169664  | 0.469664  | 0.002679  | 0.363393  |
|         | 0.000000  | 0.821429  | 0.053571  | 0.125000  |
|         | 0.232143  | 0.375000  | 0.017857  | 0.375000  |
|         | 0.000000  | 0.660714  | 0.000000  | 0.339286  |
|         | 0.000000  | 0.732143  | 0.000000  | 0.267857  |
|         | 0.196429  | 0.482143  | 0.000000  | 0.321429  |
|         | 0.017857  | 0.660714  | 0.089286  | 0.232143  |
|         | 0.107143  | 0.589286  | 0.035714  | 0.267857  |
|         | 0.000000  | 0.589286  | 0.000000  | 0.410714  |
|         | 0.000000  | 0.821429  | 0.000000  | 0.178571  |
|         | 0.375000  | 0.089286  | 0.053571  | 0.482143  |
|         | 0.392857  | 0.482143  | 0.125000  | 0.000000  |
### Table S4. Transcription factors that bind identified DNA binding motifs (related to Figure 3)

| Motif | \(S. \text{cerevisiae}\) | \(C. \text{albicans}\) | Description on CGD |
|-------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| **Motif 1** | | | |
| Azf1 | | CR_02510W | Induced by Mnl1 under weak acid stress |
| Fkh1 | Fkh2 | Morphogenesis regulator |
| Hsf1 | Cta8 | Mediates heat shock transcriptional induction |
| Sfl1 | Sfl1 | Negative regulation of morphogenesis, flocculation and virulence |
| Ste12 | | Cph1 | Mating, and filamentation on solid media repressed |
| Cup2 | NA | | |
| Fkh2 | NA | | |
| **Motif 2** | | | |
| Haa1 | Cup2 | | Required for normal resistance to copper |
| Msn2 | Msn4 | Similar to \(S. \text{cerevisiae}\) Msn4 |
| Msn4 | Msn4 | Similar to \(S. \text{cerevisiae}\) Msn4 |
| Ygr067c | Try5 | | Regulator of yeast form adherence |
| Rap1 | Rap1 | | Binds telomeres and regulatory sequences in DNA |
| Yml081w | Zms1 | | Spider biofilm induced |
| Cha4 | Tea1 | | Putative transcription factor with zinc cluster DNA-binding motif |
| Nrg1 | Nrg1 | | Regulates chlamydomspore formation, hyphal gene induction, virulence |
| Rgm1 | NA | | |
| Rph1 | Rph1 | | Ortholog(s) have DNA-binding transcription repressor activity |
| Gis1 | NA | | |
| Usv1 | Bcr1 | | Regulates a/alpha biofilm formation, matrix, cell-surface-associated genes |
| Yer130c | Mnl1 | | Induces transcripts of stress response genes via SLE (STRE-like) elements |
| Ypr022c | C3_06150W | | Ortholog(s) have role in negative regulation of transcription by RNA polymerase II |
| **Motif 3** | | | |
| Azf1 | | CR_02510W | Induced by Mnl1 under weak acid stress |
| Cup2 | NA | | |
| Hcm1 | Hcm1 | | Similar to \(S. \text{cerevisiae}\) Hcm1 |
**Table S5. GO Ter analysis of BCR1 regulated gene** (related to Figure 3)

| GO ID     | GO Term                        | % of input genes | p-value   | Genes                                |
|-----------|--------------------------------|------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|
| GO:0009986 | cell surface                   | 15.56%           | 4.91E-07 | ALS4, ECM331, GPD2, PGA13, RBT5, SAP10, SIM1 |
| GO:0005886 | plasma membrane                | 15.56%           | 4.61E-05 | AQY1, CFL5, DIP5, ECM331, GPR1, JEN1, RBT5 |
| GO:0009277 | fungal-type cell wall          | 13.33%           | 6.29E-08 | ALS4, ECM331, PGA13, RBT5, SAP10, SIM1 |
| GO:0016021 | integral component of membrane | 13.33%           | 9.06E-05 | C1_00660C_A, GPR1, JEN1, MAL31, RBD1, UFE1 |
| GO:0005634 | nucleus                        | 13.33%           | 1.81E-03 | CR_03700C_A, GRF10, SEF2, SFL2, WOR2, WOR3 |

**Table S6. Strains used in this study** (related to STAR methods)

| Strain   | Genotype                                                                 | Source/reference                  |
|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| SC5314   | Wild type                                                                | (Gillum et al. 1984)              |
| SVS006B  | Clinical isolate                                                         | Prof Ramage, Glasgow University   |
| SVS062A  | Clinical isolate                                                         | Prof Ramage, Glasgow University   |
| SN152    | arg4Δ/arg4Δ leu2Δ/leu2Δ his1Δ/his1Δ URA3/ura3Δ::λimm434 IRO1/iro1Δ::λimm434 | (Noble et al. 2010)              |
| SN250    | his1Δ/his1Δ, leu2Δ::C. dubliniensis HIS1 /leu2Δ::C. maltosa LEU2-arg4Δ/arg4Δ, URA3/ura3Δ::imm434- IRO1/iro1Δ::imm434 | (Noble et al. 2010)              |
| SN250-CIP30 | As SN250 but RPS1/rps1::CIP30                                            | This study                        |
| rob1Δ    | As SN152 but rob1Δ::C. dubliniensis HIS1/rob1Δ::C. maltose LEU2           | (Noble et al. 2010)              |
| Gene    | Description                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Reference                  |
|---------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| bcr1Δ   | arg4Δ/arg4Δ leu2Δ/leu2Δ his1Δ/his1Δ URA3/ura3Δ::λimm434 IRO1/oro1Δ::λimm434 bcr1::LEU/bcr1::HIS1                                                                                                               | (Noble et al. 2010)       |
| bcr1Δ-CIP30 | As bcr1Δ but with RPS1/rps1::CIP30                                                                                                                                                                        | This study                 |
| bcr1Δ-CIP30-BCR1 | As bcr1Δ but with RPS1/rps1::CIP30-BCR1                                                                                                                                                                   | This study                 |
| bcr1Δ-CIP30 | As bcr1Δ but with RPS1/rps1::CIP30-pTEF2-GPD2                                                                                                                                                              | This study                 |
| gpd2Δ   | As SN152 but gpd2Δ::C. dubliniensisHIS1/gpd2Δ::C. maltose LEU2                                                                                                                                               | (Noble et al. 2010)       |
| gpd2Δ-CIP30 | As SN152 but gpd2Δ::C. dubliniensisHIS1/gpd2Δ::C. maltose LEU2, RPS1/rps1::CIP30                                                                                                                                 | This study                 |
| gpd2Δ-CIP30-GPD2 | As SN152 but gpd2Δ::C. dubliniensisHIS1/gpd2Δ::C. maltose LEU2RPS1/rps1::CIP30-GPD2                                                                                                                                 | This study                 |
| CAI4    | ura3::imm434/ura3::imm434 iro1/oro1::imm434                                                                                                                                                                 | (Fonzi and Irwin 1993)    |
| CAI4-pSM2 | ura3::imm434/ura3::imm434 iro1/oro1::imm434::pSM2                                                                                                                                                            | (Hall et al. 2010)        |
| CAI4-pSM2-GPD2 | ura3::imm434/ura3::imm434 iro1/oro1::imm434::pSM2-pTEF2-GPD2                                                                                                                                              | This study                 |
| ebp1Δ   | As CA14 but ebp1::dlp200/ebp1::dlp200                                                                                                                                                                      | This study                 |
| ebp1Δ-pSM2 | As CA14 but ebp1::dlp200/ebp1::dlp200-pSM2                                                                                                                                                                | This study                 |
| ebp1Δ-pSM2-EBP1 | As ebp1Δ but with pSM2-EBP1                                                                                                                                                                                 | This study                 |
| ebp1Δ-pSM2-GPD2 | As ebp1Δ but with pSM2-pTEF2-GPD2                                                                                                                                                                           | This study                 |
| CAF2-1  | URA3/ura3::λimm434                                                                                                                                                                                          | (Fonzi and Irwin 1993)    |
| cdr1Δ   | As CAF2-1, but crd1::hisG/cdr1:hisG-URA3-HisG                                                                                                                                                                | (Sanglard et al. 1997)    |
| cdr1/2Δ | As CAF2-1, but crd1::hisG/cdr1:hisG, cdr2::hisG-URA3-hisG/cdr2::hisG                                                                                                                                          | (Sanglard et al. 1997)    |
| Primer          | Sequence                                                                 |
|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| GPD2-SacI-F    | CTCCGAGCTCGGTGATGGTGATGGATGGG                                           |
| GPD2-NotI-R    | GGAGAGCGCGCGCTGGTAAATGGACAACGAGTGG                                     |
| GPD2-OE-F      | GGAGAGGcgcgcgcgATGACTACTTTCCCATATCCAAAT                                 |
| GPD2-OE-R      | GGAGAGGcgcgcgcgACGCAGAGAACAAGACGTC                                     |
| EBP1-5F:       | CTCGATATCATCGCATGAG                                                     |
| EBP1-5R:       | GGAGAGGAGCTCctgtatagataatgtgc                                         |
| EBP1-3F:       | GGAGAGAAGCTTGGGAATGAAGTTCTATTAGC                                       |
| EBP1-3R:       | GGAGAGGAGGTACCgttacatctactacagg                                        |
| EBP1-CF        | ACAAACAACAAGAAGACGACG                                                   |
| EBP1-CR        | GAATCTATGTCAGTCAAGTAGAAC                                                |
| URA3-F         | GCCTCACAGTAGCAGCAGCGATTA                                                |
| EBP1-F         | GGAGAGGcgcgcgcgAAAACGCTTTGTTGACAAA                                     |
| EBP1-R         | GGAGAGGcgcgcgcgTGATATAAACCAGACCCACA                                     |
| BCR1-F         | GGAGAGGagctCCAACCAACATTTCCAATCC                                       |
| BCR1-R         | GGAGAGGcgcgcgcgAACCTTACCCTTTGGATTTTGA                                  |
| RT-ACT1-F      | CCTACGTGTACTTTGCAAGGCAA                                                 |
| RT-ACT1-R      | TAGTTGTGTGCACTGAGCAGCGTGA                                               |
| RT-GPD2-F      | GCCAACGAstructionTGGCAAGGA                                             |
| RT-GPD2-R      | AGGCACCAGCAATAGAGGCA                                                   |
| RT-EBP1-F      | TGCGCCATCAGCAGTTTATTGG                                                  |
| RT-EBP1-R      | TCCAACAAGTAACCATGAGCA                                                   |