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Case Report

Monitoring of viral load by RT-PCR caused decision making to continue ECMO therapy for a patient with COVID-19
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ABSTRACT

Most patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) have just only mild symptoms, but about 5% are very severe. Although extracorporeal membranous oxygenation (ECMO) is sometimes used in critically patients with COVID-19, ECMO is only an adjunct, not the main treatment. If the patient’s condition deteriorates and it is determined to be irreversible, it is necessary to decide to stop ECMO.

A 54-year-old man was admitted on day 6 of onset with a chief complaint of high fever and cough. Computed tomography (CT) showed a ground glass opacity in both lungs, and reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) diagnosed COVID-19. He was admitted to the hospital and started to receive oxygen and favipiravir. After that, his respiratory condition deteriorated and he was intubated and ventilated on day 9 of onset, and ECMO was introduced on day 12. Two days after the introduction of ECMO, C-reactive protein (CRP) increased, chest X-p showed no improvement in pneumonia, and PaO2/FiO2 decreased again. As D-dimer rose and found a blood clot in the ECMO circuit, we had to decide whether to replace the circuit and continue with ECMO or stop ECMO. At this time, the viral load by RT-PCR was drastically reduced to about 1/1750. We decided to continue ECMO therapy and replaced the circuit. The patient’s respiratory status subsequently improved and ECMO was stopped on day 21 of onset.

In conclusion, viral load measurement by RT-PCR may be one of the indicators for promoting the treatment of severe COVID-19 patients.

© 2020 Japanese Society of Chemotherapy and The Japanese Association for Infectious Diseases. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

From the end of 2019, coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) spread to the world. Most patients with COVID-19 have just only mild symptoms, but about 5% are critical [1,2]. There are several reports of using extracorporeal membranous oxygenation (ECMO) in severe cases. In an early report from China, 4 out of 138 (2.9%) inpatients needed ECMO [3]. Another group reported that 3% of patients received ECMO [4]. Other teams in China reported that 11.5% of COVID-19 cases in the intensive care unit (ICU) received ECMO as rescue therapy [5].

It is not easy to judge the ECMO indication. In addition to making decisions about each patient's indications, we must consider the appropriate allocation of socially limited medical resources.

Although there are some guidelines, it is difficult to select the patients and the timing of ECMO initiation and to manage monitoring and weaning of ECMO. The most difficult thing is the decision to discontinue ECMO if we could not save the patient. Guideline of Surviving Sepsis Campaign said that ECMO should only
be considered in carefully selected patients with COVID-19 and severe acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) [6]. Guideline of the World Health Organization (WHO) reported that ECMO should be offered only in expert centers with enough cases to maintain expertise [7].

The quantitative data like bacterial load in the culture have contributed to manage patients with active infectious diseases. For diagnosis of COVID-19, reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) is widely used as a diagnostic method; however, only a small part of physicians can use the quantitative data because the results are usually reported as qualitative data. The viral loads can be an index for understanding the condition of the patient with COVID-19.

Here we present a COVID-19 case that we decided to continue ECMO using the viral load by RT-PCR as an index.

2. Case report

The case was a 54-years-old man who had a cough and high fever of 39°C or higher on day 0. On day 1, he met a primary care doctor and started to take 500 mg/day azithromycin. But his symptom did not improve.

He came to our hospital on day 6. On physical examination, his vital signs were as follows: blood pressure, 102/78 mmHg; pulse, 96/min; respiratory rate, 32/min; oxygen saturation, 92% on room air; body temperature, 39.2°C; and Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS), 15. White blood count (WBC) was 2650/μL (Neutro 72.4%, Lymph 23.4%), C-reactive protein (CRP) was 3.12 mg/mL. D-dimer was 1.3 mg/mL (normal range <1 mg/mL). His computed tomography (CT) images showed ground glass opacity on both lungs (Fig. 1). RT-PCR test of the nasal specimen showed the positive result of SARS-CoV-2.

He admitted to the ICU and received non-invasive ventilation. Also, he started to take an anti-viral agent, Favipiravir. But, because his respiratory condition was worse sharply on day 9, he needed intubation and invasive mechanical ventilation. The respiratory status worsened further and bilateral pneumonia on the chest X-ray also worsened (Fig. 2). ECMO was introduced on day 12. Furthermore, his renal function worsened, continuous hemodialfiltration (CHDF) was also introduced on day 13. His condition did not improve immediately after the ECMO introduction. \( \text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2 \) had increased temporally but decreased again (Fig. 3). CRP increased to 32.42 mg/dL. There was a coagulation abnormality. Clots were found in the circuit of ECMO, and D-dimer increased to over 30 μg/mL. Thus, we had to decide whether to make a circuit switch and continue ECMO, or stop ECMO. At that time, the RT-PCR test of nasopharyngeal swab samples still showed positive. However, the viral load decreased from \( 8.33 \times 10^4 \) copies/μL (day 6) to \( 4.80 \times 10^2 \) copies/μL (day 14). Viral load decreased to about 1/1750 compared to that on admission. We decided to continue the ECMO therapy and replace the circuit of the ECMO (day 15). After that, the patient’s condition improved and pneumonia improved on chest X-ray (Fig. 2). ECMO and CHDF were terminated on day 21. RT-PCR of nasal specimen showed a negative result (Fig. 3).

Favipiravir was administered 3600 mg/day on day 6 and 1600 mg/day from day 7 to day 19 for a total of 14 days. A respirator was not needed on day 24 and the patients moved to the general ward from ICU on day 29.

3. Discussion

If ECMO was introduced for the patient with severe COVID-19 pneumonia and the patient's general condition did not improve, it is very difficult to judge whether to stop ECMO use or not. Extracorporeal Life Support Organization (ELSO) guidance document said that clinicians should be continuously evaluating when ECMO no longer provides a benefit and should at that point return to conventional management [8].

One of the complications of COVID-19 was the venous thrombotic event [9]. It was reported that 27 out of 54 (50%) non-survivor showed coagulopathy meanwhile 10 out of 137 (7%) survivor showed coagulopathy [10]. Another group in New York reported five cases of large-vessel stroke in patients younger than 50 years [11]. Coagulopathy may associate with the severity of COVID-19 patients.
In our case, clots were found in the circuit and the patient's D-dimer increased to over 30 mg/mL immediately after the introduction of ECMO. PaO2/FiO2 was decreased to less than 100 mmHg and CRP increased to over 30 mg/dL. Coagulopathy might be due to the placement of the cannulas for ECMO. We judged that the patient’s condition was very severe. We needed to decide to stop ECMO or to replace the circuit and continue ECMO. Viral load by RT-PCR of nasal specimen decreased to about 1/1750 at that time, we could decide to continue ECMO and replaced the circuit.

In conclusion, viral load measurement by RT-PCR of nasopharyngeal swab samples can be one of the indicators for management of COVID-19 patients.

**Fig. 2.** Serial chest-X-ray of the patient. (A) Day 6; chest-X-ray of the patient on admission. (B) Day 12; after introduction of ECMO. (C) Day 15; After replacement of ECMO. (D) Day 21; after termination of ECMO.

**Fig. 3.** Clinical course of the patient. Viral loads of nasopharyngeal swab samples were measured by RT-PCR.
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