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A B S T R A C T
Background: Evidence indicates that mRNA COVID-19 vaccination is associated with risk of myocarditis and possibly pericarditis, especially in young males. It is not clear if risk differs between mRNA-1273 versus BNT162b2. We assessed if risk differs using comprehensive health records on a diverse population.

Methods: Members 18–39 years of age at eight integrated healthcare-delivery systems were monitored using data updated weekly and supplemented with medical record review of myocarditis and pericarditis cases. Incidence of myocarditis and pericarditis events that occurred among vaccine recipients 0 to 7 days after either dose 1 or 2 of a messenger RNA (mRNA) vaccine was compared with that of vaccinated concurrent comparators who, on the same calendar day, had received their most recent dose 22 to 42 days earlier. Rate ratios (RRs) were estimated by conditional Poisson regression, adjusted for age, sex, race and ethnicity, health plan, and calendar day. Head-to-head comparison directly assessed risk following mRNA-1273 versus BNT162b2 during 0–7 days post-vaccination.

Results: From December 14, 2020 – January 15, 2022 there were 41 cases after 2,891,498 doses of BNT162b2 and 38 cases after 1,803,267 doses of mRNA-1273. Cases had similar demographic and clinical characteristics. Most were hospitalized for 1 day; none required intensive care. During days 0–7 after BNT162b2, the incidence was 14.3 (CI: 6.5–34.9) times higher than the comparison interval, amounting to 22.4 excess cases per million doses; after mRNA-1273 the incidence was 18.8 (CI: 6.7–64.9) times higher than the comparison interval, amounting to 31.2 excess cases per million doses. In head-to-head comparisons 0–7 days after either dose, risk was moderately higher after mRNA-1273 than after BNT162b2 (RR: 1.61, CI 1.02–2.54).

Conclusions: Both vaccines were associated with increased risk of myocarditis and pericarditis in 18–39-year-olds. Risk estimates were modestly higher after mRNA-1273 than after BNT162b2.
© 2022 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd.

1. Introduction

Two mRNA vaccines, BNT162b2 (Pfizer-BioNTech) and mRNA-1273 (Moderna) have been widely used to combat the COVID-19 pandemic [1,2]. By late May 2021, a higher than
expected number of myocarditis reports following mRNA vaccination were submitted to the United States (US) Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS), particularly among young males after dose 2, suggesting an increased risk for this rare adverse event [3]. These reports coincided with authorization and recommendation of the BNT162b2 vaccine for adolescents aged 12–15 years [4]. The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) added warnings about myocarditis and pericarditis to the BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273 vaccines Emergency Use Authorization fact sheets in June 2021 [5]. Elevated incidence of myocarditis among mRNA COVID-19 vaccine recipients has also been seen in Israel (BNT162b2 only), Canada, and multiple European countries following both BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273 vaccines [6–11]. By fall of 2021 several European countries, Canada, and the United Kingdom made changes to their COVID-19 vaccine recommendations for adolescents and young adults based on internal analyses and media releases which suggested a higher incidence of myocarditis after the mRNA-1273 vaccine compared to the BNT162b2 vaccine [12–17].

As part of ongoing COVID-19 vaccine safety monitoring, the Vaccine Safety Datalink (VSD) reported in interim analyses that the incidence of myocarditis and pericarditis was increased approximately 10-fold among 12–39-year-olds during the 0–7 days after mRNA vaccination, when compared with the 22–42 days post-vaccination [18]. However, whether the risk of myocarditis and pericarditis differs by mRNA vaccine product is not clear. Here we update previously reported comparisons of myocarditis and pericarditis incidence during a risk interval after mRNA vaccination versus a later comparison interval, and present new head-to-head comparisons assessing whether risk differs between the two mRNA vaccines.

2. Methods

2.1. Population

The VSD monitors vaccine safety as part of a collaboration between the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and 8 data-contributing integrated healthcare organizations that have comprehensive electronic medical records on approximately 12 million insured people in the United States [19]. The VSD’s ability to capture vaccine records from Immunization Information Systems and non-traditional settings was previously published [20]. VSD sites did not systematically recommend BNT162b2 or mRNA-1273 differentially for people with underlying health conditions. The current study included persons aged 18–39 years who were members of integrated healthcare organizations within the VSD and vaccinated with either mRNA vaccine. The study population was limited to 18–39-year-olds because these ages have been associated with an increased risk for myocarditis and pericarditis following vaccination and both BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273 vaccines are authorized or approved in this age group [21,22].

VSD has been conducting weekly COVID-19 vaccine safety monitoring since vaccination began in December 2020. Interim results based on data through June 26, 2021 were previously published [18]. This report includes data on primary series mRNA vaccinations through January 15, 2022. Booster doses are not included in these analyses.

2.2. Outcome: Myocarditis and pericarditis

We identified potential cases of myocarditis and pericarditis evaluated in emergency department (ED) and inpatient settings in the 1–98 days after dose 1 or dose 2 of mRNA COVID-19 vaccine, using ICD-10 codes (B33.22, B33.23, I30.*, I31.9, I40.*, and I51.4) as previously described [18]. Cases with COVID-19 identified by molecular assay or diagnostic code in the 30 days before diagnosis were excluded.

2.3. Medical record review

All identified potential cases underwent medical record review which verified the diagnosis, assessed timing of symptom onset (which resulted in some onsets shifting to day 0, post-vaccination), and collected clinical details about the event. Clinician adjudication (MEO, TTB) verified that cases met the CDC case definition of confirmed or probable myocarditis, pericarditis, or myopericarditis (cases meeting definition for both myocarditis and pericarditis) and didn’t have clear alternative etiology. Cases not meeting CDC case definitions for myocarditis or pericarditis were excluded [21]. Analyses included cases of myocarditis, myopericarditis and pericarditis.

2.4. Statistical analysis

2.4.1. Risk versus comparison interval after mRNA vaccines

As part of ongoing weekly safety surveillance, we used conditional Poisson regression to compare the number of observed versus expected myocarditis and pericarditis cases in the risk interval (0–7 days) after vaccination [18]. Based on our prior work, medical record-verified cases clustered 0–7 day post-vaccination [18]. The number of outcomes expected during the risk interval was derived from vaccinated comparators who were concurrently (on the same calendar days) in a comparison interval (days 22–42) after vaccination. As previously described [18], we used vaccinated concurrent comparators during a 22–42 days post vaccination comparison interval to minimize potential bias that could arise in comparisons between vaccinated and unvaccinated individuals. On each day that an outcome occurred, vaccinees who were in their risk interval were compared with similar vaccinees who were concurrently in their comparison interval. Analyses were conditioned on strata defined by calendar date, age group, sex, race/ethnicity, and VSD site. Separate analyses compared the risk versus comparison intervals after each dose of each product, and a combined analysis compared the risk versus comparison intervals after either dose of either product. To estimate excess risk per million doses administered, the risk interval crude incidence rate was divided by the adjusted rate ratio (RR) estimate, and the result was subtracted from the risk interval crude incidence rate.

We examined temporal clustering of the onset of myocarditis and pericarditis by day after vaccination using Kulldorff’s scan statistic [23].

2.4.2. Head-to-head comparisons of mRNA-1273 versus BNT162b2 mRNA vaccines

Head-to-head comparisons of mRNA-1273 versus BNT162b2 vaccines were conducted in strata comprised of similar individuals in a post-vaccination risk interval on the same calendar day at the same VSD site. Thus, each stratum was anchored to a single calendar day and included individuals of the same age group, sex, and race/ethnicity who, on that day, were inside the 0–7 day risk interval. Poisson regression was used to estimate the RR for myocarditis and pericarditis in mRNA-1273 vaccinees versus BNT162b2 vaccinees, conditional on the strata. Regressions contained no adjustment for additional covariates beyond age, sex, race/ethnicity, VSD site, and calendar day. We used exact statistics to test the null hypothesis that the RR was 1.0. Separate analyses were also conducted in subgroups defined by sex and specific diagnosis (including or excluding pericarditis). Excess cases per million doses were estimated by dividing the mRNA-1273 crude incidence rate by the
3. Results

From December 14, 2020, through January 15, 2022, a total of 2,891,498 doses of the BNT162b2 vaccine (1,479,596 dose 1 and 1,411,902 dose 2) and 1,803,267 doses of the mRNA-1273 vaccine (923,711 dose 1 and 879, 556 dose 2) were administered to VSD members aged 18–39 years. During the 0–7 days following vaccination, 95 potential cases of myocarditis and pericarditis were identified, and medical record review and adjudication verified 79 (83%) of cases following mRNA-1273 and 38 after mRNA-1273 (21.1 cases per million doses). Incidence per million first doses was 4.7 for BNT162b2 and 9.7 for mRNA-1273; incidence per million second doses was 24.1 for BNT162b2 and 33.0 for mRNA-1273 (Table 2). 96% of cases following dose 2 received their 2nd dose +/- 1 day of the recommended day (day 21 for BNT162b2, day 28 for mRNA-1273) or later. Most cases (85%) were adjudicated as myopericarditis (27 post-BNT162b2, 24 post-mRNA-1273), with more cases of myocarditis following BNT162b2 (12 post-BNT162b2, 6 post-mRNA-1273) and more cases of pericarditis following mRNA-1273 (2 post-BNT162b2, 8 post-mRNA-1273).

Median patient age was 22 years (IQR 19–27 years) for cases after BNT162b2 and 23.5 years (IQR 21–31 years) after mRNA-1273. Regardless of vaccine, most cases occurred in males (88% post BNT162b2, 84% post-mRNA-1273) and after dose 2 (83% post-BNT162b2, 76% post-mRNA-1273), with median symptom onset ranging from 1 to 2 days after vaccination (Table 1). The presence of chest pain, shortness of breath, palpitations, abnormal troponin levels, and abnormal electrocardiogram reports were also similar between products. Most patients were admitted to the hospital (85% post-BNT162b2, 79% post-mRNA-1273), with a median length of stay of 1 day, none were admitted to the intensive care unit, none died, and all were discharged home.

Regardless of product, individuals with pericarditis tended to be older (median age 31.5 years) than those with myocarditis (21.5 years) and myopericarditis (23.0 years) (Supplemental Table 1). For both products, clinical presentation was similar regardless of diagnosis, except for elevated troponins in cases of myocarditis and myopericarditis. Cardiac MRIs, although rarely obtained and only in cases of myocarditis and myopericarditis, were mostly abnormal. Nearly all individuals with myocarditis and myopericarditis were admitted to the hospital (89% and 90%), while those with pericarditis were usually seen in the ED (70%).

### 3.1. Risk during days 0–7 post-vaccination versus days 22–42 post-vaccination

In updated weekly surveillance using vaccinated concurrent comparators, the incidence of verified myocarditis and pericarditis was elevated during days 0–7 post-vaccination when compared with days 22–42 post-vaccination. After either dose (both doses combined), the adjusted RR for the 0–7 day risk interval was 6.9 (95% confidence interval [CI] 3.6–14.1) after BNT162b2 and 9.2 (95% CI 4.1–22.9) after mRNA-1273 (Table 2). After dose 2 of BNT162b2, the RR comparing risk versus comparison interval was 14.3 (95% CI 6.5–34.9), with 22.4 excess cases per million second doses administered; after dose 2 of mRNA-1273, the RR was 18.8 (95% CI 6.7–64.9) with 31.2 excess cases per million second doses administered (Table 2).

Scan statistics indicated myocarditis and pericarditis cases strongly clustered within a week post-vaccination. The clusters least likely to be due to chance alone were overlapping: days

---

Table 1: Demographics and clinical characteristics of verified myocarditis and pericarditis cases in the 0–7 days after any dose of mRNA vaccine by product among individuals aged 18–39 years, December 14, 2020–January 15, 2022.

| Age at symptom onset, median (IQR) | BNT162b2 | mRNA-1273 |
|-------------------------------------|----------|-----------|
| N = 41                              | N = 38   |
| 18–19 years (15–21 years)           | 22 (20)  | 23.5 (20) |
| 20–24 years                         | 15 (13)  | 16 (14)   |
| 25–29 years                         | 7 (6)    | 5 (6)     |
| 30–34 years                         | 4 (4)    | 6 (5)     |
| 35–39 years                         | 3 (3)    | 6 (5)     |

### Other symptoms included fever, arm pain, syncope, headache, tachycardia, fatigue, chills, numbness, tingling, and nausea.

1 Individuals with COVID-19 diagnosis or positive PCR test in the 30 days prior to myocarditis or pericarditis diagnosis were excluded from the study population. Individuals with COVID diagnosis or positive PCR test > 30 days prior to myocarditis or pericarditis diagnosis were included.

2 Other symptoms included fever, arm pain, syncope, headache, tachycardia, fatigue, chills, numbness, tingling, and nausea.

3 Troponin levels were classified as abnormal if outside normal range for the laboratory.

---

Abbreviations: ECG = electrocardiogram, MRI = magnetic resonance imaging, ICU = intensive care unit.
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0–5, 0–4, and 0–3 after mRNA-1273 and days 0–3, 0–4, and 0–2 after BNT162b2 (p < 0.0001 for each cluster) (Fig. 1).

3.2. Head-to-head comparison of mRNA-1273 versus BNT162b2 during days 0–7 post-vaccination

In direct head-to-head comparisons during the 0–7 days after either dose, incidence of myocarditis and pericarditis was 1.61 times higher after mRNA-1273 than after BNT162b2 (95% CI, 1.02–2.54, p = 0.041), amounting to an estimated 8.0 excess cases per million doses of mRNA-1273 compared with BNT162b2. After dose 2, incidence was 1.48 times higher after mRNA-1273 than after BNT162b2 (95% CI 0.88–2.50, p = 0.141), amounting to 10.7 excess cases per million second doses of mRNA-1273 compared with BNT162b2 (Table 3). In analyses restricted to males only, incidence after dose 2 was 1.50 (95%CI 0.86–2.61, p = 0.152) times higher after mRNA-1273 than after BNT162b2. In analyses restricted to females, incidence after dose 2 was 1.35 (95% CI 0.23–7.15, p = 0.714) times higher after mRNA-1273 than after BNT162b2. Analyses that excluded pericarditis yielded similar trends (Table 3).

4. Discussion

Among 18–39-year-olds in the large, diverse VSD population, both BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273 COVID-19 vaccines were associated with a significant increased risk of myocarditis and pericarditis during days 0–7 after vaccination. Notably, each product was associated with an estimated excess of over 20 cases per million second doses. However, during the 0–7 days post-vaccination, the estimated excess cases after mRNA-1273 were higher than after BNT162b2, which indirectly suggests that mRNA-1273 was
associated with a greater risk of myocarditis and pericarditis than BNT162b2. Direct head-to-head comparisons including both sexes found that rates of myocarditis and pericarditis after either dose of mRNA-1273 were modestly higher than for BNT162b2 during the 0–7 days post-vaccination. Incidence was also higher after mRNA-1273 than after BNT162b2 in separate head-to-head comparisons restricted to males, dose 2, and myocarditis excluding pericarditis, but the subgroup-specific elevated rate ratios were not statistically significant, possibly due to limited power to detect rate ratios below 2.0. Overall, these results indicate that both mRNA vaccines were associated with markedly elevated risk of myocarditis and pericarditis in 18–39-year-olds and that the risk during the 7 days after vaccination was modestly greater after mRNA-1273 than after BNT162b2.

Consistent with the current study, international passive and active surveillance systems in Europe, Canada, and Nordic countries have reported risk of myocarditis in adolescents and young adults varying from 1.7 to 7.3 times higher after mRNA-1273 than after BNT162b2 [15,24,25]. A report in France matched 1612 adults varying from 1.7 to 7.3 times higher after mRNA-1273 than after BNT162b2. One recent study from Canada found that rates of myocarditis after mRNA-1273 (OR:30, 95% CI: 21–43) than after BNT162b2 (OR:8.1, 95% CI: 6.7–9.9) vaccines for myocarditis [26]. One study presented was verified by medical record review and adjudicated by clinical specialists with expertise in infectious disease and cardiology. This enabled us to include only verified cases of myocarditis and pericarditis events during the 0–7 days post-vaccination and pericarditis cases appeared to have similar presentation, clinical severity may not differ between the two products. Similar to other reports, we found that most cases were mild and symptomatically resolved after a short hospital stay [35–38].

This study had important strengths. Analyses were based on a large and diverse population and vaccination data was captured directly from electronic health records and linkage with state immunization registries. All myocarditis and pericarditis cases in the analyses presented were verified by medical record review and adjudicated by clinical specialists with expertise in infectious disease and cardiology. This enabled us to include only verified incident cases and accurately determine symptom onset date relative to vaccination. All analyses (both vaccinated concurrent and head-to-head) adjusted carefully for calendar time and demographic factors. In both the vaccinated concurrent and head-to-head analyses, vaccinees were compared with each other, rather than with unvaccinated or with historical comparators; thus, comparators were similar in demographic characteristics to the cases and therefore less likely to differ in ways that could lead to bias. In contrast to previous studies, which were limited to indirectly comparing the risk of myocarditis and pericarditis between BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273, this study conducted both indirect and direct head-to-head comparisons of the two vaccines. This study had at least 5 limitations. First, case identification was limited to those who received ED or inpatient care with a diagnosis code specific to acute myocarditis or pericarditis. Thus, potential cases were not identified if they were seen only in the outpatient setting or if they were seen in an ED or hospital but received only a less-specific diagnosis code such as chest pain (R07.9) and therefore were not included in our analyses. Second, although this study included data on over 4.5 million doses of mRNA vaccines, there were only 79 verified cases of myocarditis and pericarditis events during the 0–7 days post-vaccination and confidence intervals were wide around the RR estimates for some
analyses. Third, due to increased awareness of potential myocarditis after mRNA vaccines in the public and among healthcare providers, there may have been some detection or diagnosis bias. However, any such bias would be unlikely to differ between the two mRNA vaccines on a given calendar day in a specific geographic area. Fourth, we cannot rule out the possibility that individual choice of vaccine product was related to unmeasured risk factors for myocarditis, when vaccine product choice was possible. Fifth, as only one product (BNT162b2) was authorized for use in the 12–17-year age group, head-to-head comparisons in this age range were not possible.

This study found that among 18–39-year-olds, both mRNA COVID-19 vaccines were associated with a substantial increased risk of myocarditis and pericarditis, with the highest risk in 0–7 days after dose 2. Most myocarditis and pericarditis cases after vaccination with either product were mild and symptomatically resolved after a short hospital stay. Head-to-head comparisons of the two mRNA vaccines suggest that risk of myocarditis and pericarditis is modestly higher following vaccination with mRNA-1273 than following BNT162b2. Continued monitoring of this outcome is warranted, especially after booster doses.
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