Impact of Seizures and Anti-epileptic Drug Use on Survival in Patients with World Health Organization Grade II Glioma
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OBJECTIVE
Epileptic seizures are prognostic for low-grade gliomas. However, the impact of anti-epileptic drug use (AED) on outcomes is unclear. We aimed to evaluate the impact of epileptic seizures and AED use on tumor control and survival rates after radiotherapy (RT) in patients with World Health Organization Grade II glioma.

METHODS
A total of 182 patients who underwent RT either early after surgery or in case of progression were retrospectively evaluated. The primary end point was the impact of seizures on overall survival (OS), local control (LC), and progression-free survival (PFS) rates. The secondary end point was the impact of AED use on these rates.

RESULTS
Median follow-up was 80 months. The number of patients with epileptic seizures at the time of diagnosis was 113 (62%). The 2-, 5-, and 10-year OS, LC, and PFS rates were significantly higher in patients with seizures (p=0.022 and p=0.001, respectively). When patients that did and did not use AED were compared, OS, LC, and PFS rates were similar. Besides, using AEDs in patients without seizures did not affect the OS, LC, and PFS rates. Carbamazepine use was associated with higher OS and PFS rates with a non-significant increase in LC. Phenytoin use yielded an increase in the PFS and LC rates in the first 2 years which turned to opposite after the 6th year.

CONCLUSION
Seizures at the time of diagnosis and using AEDs for the treatment of seizures both increase survival rates in patients with Grade II glioma. Patients using carbamazepine had increased survival rates.
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with residual tumor or progression following surgery. Although median overall survival (OS) is similar when RT is administered postoperatively and in case of progression, median progression-free survival (PFS) is higher and seizure control is better when RT is received earlier. Furthermore, increasing the dose to >45-50.4 Gy does not increase the OS and PFS rates.

Epileptic seizure is one of the initial symptoms of brain tumors and can be observed in up to 85% of patients with low-grade gliomas. The presence of seizures is a positive prognostic factor in gliomas, probably due to early diagnosis and treatment. The use of certain anti-epileptic drugs (AED) in the presence of seizures was also shown to increase the survival rate in patients with glioblastoma (GB). However, the impact of using AED on survival in low-grade gliomas is not yet clear.

In this context, we aimed to evaluate the outcomes of Grade II glioma patients diagnosed after epileptic seizures and compare them to patients without a seizure history. We also assessed whether there is an impact of the type of AED on local control (LC) and survival rates in these patients.

**Materials and Methods**

All patients underwent pretreatment physical examination, blood parameters, and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the brain at the time of diagnosis. All patients had histologically proven WHO Grade II glioma according to the 2007 classification. Patients under 18 years of age were excluded from the study, and only patients that received RT were included in the study. Patients that underwent biopsy solely had definitive RT. Patients that underwent subtotal resection (STR) or gross total resection (GTR) received adjuvant RT either shortly after surgery or when progression was observed.

Patients were treated with two-dimensional (2-D) RT before the year 2000, and with three-D conformal RT (CRT) or intensity-modulated RT (IMRT) after 2000. Treatments were administered through Theratron 780C or Theratron 1000 Cobalt-60 teletherapy devices (Best Theratronics Ltd, Canada), Philips SL25 (Philips Healthcare, Andover, MA, USA), Elekta Synergy Platform (Elekta AB, Stockholm, Sweden), or Varian Clinac DHX High performance (Varian Medical Systems Inc., Palo Alto, CA, USA) linear accelerators. The gross target volume (GTV) was defined as the tumor bed including the residual tumor if present in operated patients and the primary tumor at the time of diagnosis in T2-weighted or FLAIR images on MRI. The clinical target volume (CTV)-1 was defined as GTV+2-cm margin, and CTV-2 was defined as GTV+1-cm margin. The planning target volume was defined as CTV+5 mm.

All statistical analyses were performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 21.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). First, we evaluated the characteristics and outcomes of all patients, and analyzed OS, LC, and PFS. We defined LC as no relapse of the tumor in the operative bed or the primary site, OS as the time from the date of diagnosis to the last follow-up or death from any cause, and PFS as the time from the date of diagnosis to the date of first appearance of local recurrence or death from any cause, respectively. Survival analyses were carried out using the Kaplan-Meier method and compared using the log-rank test. Age (<40 vs. ≥40 years), gender, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status (ECOG PS) (0-1 vs. ≥2), comorbidity status, headache, sensorial deficit, motor deficit, cognitive deficit, nausea and vomiting (N&V), epileptic seizures, tumor location (frontal vs. parietal vs. temporal vs. occipital vs. thalamic vs. cerebellar), resection type (biopsy vs. STR vs. GTR), histopathology (pure oligodendroglioma vs. astrocytic component), tumor size at diagnosis (<6 cm vs. ≥6 cm), postresection tumor size (<3 cm vs. ≥3 cm), RT indication (definitive vs. adjuvant), RT timing (definitive vs. early adjuvant vs. at progression), RT technique (2-D vs. 3-D CRT vs. IMRT), total RT dose (<54 Gy vs. ≥54 Gy), and concurrent temozolomide use were included in the univariate analysis. The Cox proportional hazards model was used for the multivariate analysis. The potentially significant covariates following univariate analyses with significant contribution to the survival estimation (p<0.10) were preserved in the final multivariate model. Hazard ratios with 95% confidence interval (CI) were reported. P<0.05 was considered significant.

Then, we divided all patients into two groups as having had or not having had seizures at the time of diagnosis and compared their characteristics and outcomes. The primary end point was the comparison of OS, LC, and PFS rates between patients with and without epileptic seizures at the time of diagnosis. The secondary end point was the comparison of OS, LC, and PFS in patients that did and did not use AED. We also evaluated the impact of individual AEDs on survival and LC rates. With this aim, we investigated the four most commonly prescribed AEDs which were valproic acid (VA), levetiracetam, phenytoin, and carbamazepine. Other AEDs were not analyzed as the number of patients using them was too small. Same statistical methods were used.
Results

Analysis of the Whole Population
Medical charts of 182 patients diagnosed between June 1990 and September 2015 were retrospectively evaluated. Median age was 40 years (range: 19-70 years). Ninety-three (51%) patients were female, and 89 (49%) were male. Epileptic seizures were present in 113 (62%) patients at the time of diagnosis. Out of these, 72 (64%) had generalized and 41 (36%) had partial seizures, respectively. Other symptoms were headache in 80 (44%), motor deficit in 32 (18%), sensory deficit in 30 (17%), cognitive dysfunction in 19 (10%), and N&V in 16 (9%) patients, respectively. Median tumor size was 55 mm (range: 6-130 mm) at diagnosis and 30 mm (0-100 mm) postoperatively, respectively. All patients were treated with RT either definitively or adjuvantly between March 1994 and November 2015. Median total dose was 54 Gy (range: 40-64 Gy) in median 27 fractions (range: 15-32). CTV-1 received a median 40 Gy (range: 28.8-64 Gy), while CTV-2 received a median 14 Gy (range: 4-28 Gy). Nine (5%) patients received concurrent temozolomide of 75 mg/m2/day at a maximum dose of 150 mg/day. Other patient, tumor and treatment characteristics are listed in Table 1.

Median follow-up was 80 months (range: 3-340 months). The final status of all patients (alive or deceased) was reached. In all patients, median OS was 95.5 months (95% CI=85.8-105.4 months, standard error [SE]=8.67). The 2-, 5-, and 10-year OS rate was 90%, 71%, and 39%, respectively. In univariate analysis, higher ECOG PS, N&V, no epileptic seizures, astrocytic component, and ≥6 cm tumor at diagnosis were significantly associated with a lower OS rate (p=0.018, p=0.028, p<0.001, p<0.001, and p=0.006, respectively). Although not statistically significant, thalamic localization and resection less than GTR also decreased the OS rate (p=0.063 and p=0.1, respectively). In multivariate analysis, ECOG PS, epileptic seizures, histopathology, and tumor size at diagnosis were prognostic factors for OS (Table 2).

The final status of the disease (regressed, stable, or progressed) could not be reached in 12 patients. Among the other 170 patients, progression was observed in 110 (60%). The 2-, 5-, and 10-year LC rate was 82%, 59%, and 35%, respectively. At the last follow-up, the tumor was upgraded to Grade 3 in 8 (4%), and Grade 4 in 6 (3%) patients, respectively. Median PFS was 66 months (95% CI=53.9-78.2 months, SE=6.2). The 2-, 5-, and 10-year PFS rate was 80%, 55%, and 27%, respectively. In univariate analysis, older age, higher ECOG PS, N&V, no epileptic seizures, thalamic localization, resection less than GTR, astrocytic component, ≥6 cm tumor size at diagnosis and definitive RT significantly decreased the PFS rate (p=0.042, p=0.003, p=0.019, p=0.001, p=0.039, p=0.002, p=0.007, p<0.001, and p=0.015, respectively). In multivariate analysis, age, ECOG PS, epileptic seizures, histopathology, and tumor size at diagnosis were prognostic factors for PFS (Table 2).

Comparison of Patients with and without Epileptic Seizures
Patients with and without epileptic seizures at diagnosis were compared according to their characteristics. Patients <40 years of age and with frontal or parietal lobe tumors had applied with significantly more seizures compared to their counterparts. On the other hand, patients with thalamic or midline involvement had significantly lower rates of seizures at diagnosis. Other characteristics were similar (Table 3).
Impact of Antiepileptic Use and Type of Antiepileptic Drugs

Among the 113 patients with seizures at diagnosis, 101 (89%) used one or more AED, whereas 12 (11%) did not. The 2-, 5-, and 10-year OS, LC, and PFS rates did not significantly differ between patients that did and did not use AED (p=0.08, p=0.8, and p=0.46, respectively). Among the 69 patients without seizures at diagnosis, 48 (70%) used prophylactic AED. When the OS, LC, and PFS rates were compared, no statistically significant difference was found between these patients (p=0.87, p=0.67, and p=0.89, respectively).

When the effect of the type of AED on OS, LC, and PFS was investigated, using V A and levetiracetam did not have a significant impact. On the other hand, patients that used carbamazepine had significantly higher rates of OS and PFS (Fig. 1). The 2-, 5-, and 10-year OS rate was 91%, 78%, and 46% with carbamazepine compared to 89%, 69%, and 36% without (p=0.04). The respective rates for PFS were 89%, 63%, and 34% with carbamazepine compared to 77%, 52%, and 24% without (p=0.02). Using carbamazepine also increased the LC rates although not statistically significant (p=0.06). Besides, patients that used phenytoin had higher rates of PFS in the first 2 years of follow-up but after that, phenytoin starts to have a negative impact on PFS and this difference becomes statistically significant after the 6th year (the 2-, 5-, and 10-year PFS rate was 82%, 54%, and 17% with and 78%, 56%, and 40% without phenytoin, respectively, p=0.019) (Fig. 2). A similar survival curve was achieved with phenytoin when the LC rate was assessed, although not statistically significant (the respective rates were 83%, 58%, and 25% with and 81%, 60%, and 46% without phenytoin, respectively, p=0.06). The effect of phenytoin use on OS rate was sparse (p=0.1).

To evaluate the individual effect of each AED, we separately analyzed the 96 patients that used monotherapy. The number of patients that used carbamazepine, levetiracetam, and phenytoin solely was 2 (2%), 13 (14%), 16 (17%), and 65 (67%), respectively. The OS, LC, and PFS rate was significantly different among these patients (Table 4). The OS rate were significantly lower with phenytoin compared to VA (p=0.03) and carbamazepine (p=0.001), the LC rate was significantly lower with phenytoin compared to carbamazepine (p=0.006), and the PFS rate was significantly lower with phenytoin compared to VA (p=0.047)
An epileptic seizure is a common first clinical sign of a brain tumor. Although it deteriorates the patient's quality of life, it has been shown to be a favorable prognostic factor for both low- and high-grade gliomas. \[7,9\] This may be due to the fact that the seizures lead to earlier diagnosis and thus, earlier treatment of the tumor. \[10\] In the literature, low-grade tumors have a higher incidence of seizures compared to high-grade tumors. \[11\] The risk of seizures is 70-90% in oligo-
dendroglioma, 60-85% in diffuse low-grade glioma, and 40-60% in GB.[5,12] Other factors for increased risk of seizures are supratentorial location and oligodendroglial component. Seizures are most commonly observed in tumors of the frontal, temporal, and parietal lobes and are not typically observed with tumors of the deep structures, brainstem, or cerebellum.[13] When these characteristics are summoned, the risk of having epilepsy is higher in patients that are expected to survive longer. Therefore, control of seizures is an important issue in patients with Grade II glioma in terms of quality of life. Our finding of longer OS and PFS rates in Grade II glioma patients with seizures at diagnosis is consistent with these data. We found the rate of epileptic seizures at diagnosis 62%, similar to the rate in the literature.[6,14] Besides, in our study, patients with frontal and parietal lobe tumors had a higher rate of seizures.

Epilepsy in patients with brain tumors is accepted as a partial epilepsy and can be with or without secondary generalized seizures. The incidence of generalized and partial seizures in brain tumors is 7-58% and 10-68%, respectively,[6,14] which was found 64% and 35% in our study. Seizures can be controlled by both anti-tumor treatment and AEDs. The choice of an appropriate AED depends on the type of epilepsy, as well as patient characteristics. The International League against Epilepsy recommends levetiracetam, carbamazepine, phenytoin, and zonisamide as level A AEDs, whereas VA is a level B AED.[15] Multiple AED with synergistic antiepileptic activity can be used in order to overcome pharmacoresistance.[16]

VA inhibits histone deacetylase, leads to an unfolding of the chromatin structure leaving the deoxyri-
bonucleic acid (DNA) more susceptible to the effects of RT and chemotherapeutic drugs such as temozolomide.[17] The combination of VA and temozolomide was shown to induce apoptosis and autophagy of cancer cells in both in vitro and in vivo studies.[18,19] VA has been shown to increase the survival rate in patients with GB when added to RT and temozolomide.[8] Levetiracetam also has an anti-tumor activity by inhibiting the transcriptions of the DNA repair protein methylguanine-methyltransferase (MGMT) and increases the sensitivity of tumor cells to temozolomide.[20] In the retrospective study of Kim et al.,[21] levetiracetam significantly increased the median OS and PFS 9 months and 2.7 months, respectively, in patients with GB. However, the impact of these AEDs on survival in Grade II gliomas is not clear. In one retrospective study, the use of VA was shown to decrease the PFS rate in grade II and III gliomas, although not statistically significant.[22] Similarly, we did not find any significant effect of VA or levetiracetam on survival in our study.

Phenytoin and carbamazepine are level A AEDs and their impact on survival in primary brain tumors is unclear. A Danish retrospective study did not find any significant effect of phenytoin on survival in patients with GB.[23] In the only prospective study in patients with primary and metastatic brain tumors, carbamazepine use yielded significantly higher OS rates as did levetiracetam and VA.[24] Similarly, carbamazepine had a positive impact on OS and PFS in Grade II glioma patients in our study, particularly after a long follow-up. On the other hand, patients using phenytoin had significantly lower PFS rates after 2 years of follow-up when compared to patients not using this AED. This finding persisted when patients that used monotherapy were evaluated separately along with significantly lower rates of OS and LC. However, the number of patients with a larger tumor was significantly higher in patients that used phenytoin solely.

In a brain tumor patient without seizures, an AED can be initiated because it can reduce the risk of first seizure, prevent status epilepticus, and improve quality of life.[25] However, a 2008 meta-analysis did not show any clinical benefit of prophylactic AED use in glioma patients, and current guidelines recommend tapering and discontinuing AED postoperatively in glioma patients that never had a seizure.[26,27] There is only one retrospective study that suggested a benefit of seizure prophylaxis in GB patients.[28] There are no published data on this issue in patients with low-grade gliomas. In our study, we found no positive impact of prophylactic AED use in Grade II glioma patients.

### Limitations of the Study
The present study has potential limitations. The retrospective nature and relatively small number of patients precluded us from giving definitive conclusions about the impact of AEDs on survival and LC in Grade II gliomas. For the same reason, we could not analyze the effect of histopathological parameters such as the MGMT mutation which was shown to affect the sur-

| Table 4: Comparison of OS, LC, and PFS rates among patients that used AED monotherapy |
|---------------------------------------------|
| **Valproic acid**  | **Carbamazepine**  | **Phenytoin**  | **Levetiracetam**  | **p** |
| **OS y (%)**  | 100  | 100  | 100  | 94  | 0.001 |
| **LC y (%)**  | 100  | 100  | 100  | 94  | 0.018 |
| **PFS y (%)**  | 100  | 100  | 100  | 94  | 0.002 |

OS: Overall survival; LC: Local control; PFS: Progression-free survival
vival rates.[29] The impact of individual AEDs could not definitively be determined because many patients used multiple AEDs. On the other hand, this is a single-center study of standardized diagnostic and treatment protocols. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the impact of AEDs in Grade II gliomas.

Conclusion

Using AED prolongs survival in patients with seizures without affecting LC; however, AEDs do not add a survival benefit in patients without seizures. VA and levetiracetam did not increase survival rates in patients with Grade II glioma in this retrospective study, opposite to the findings in GB patients in the literature. On the other hand, we found a significant benefit of using carbamazepine on OS and PFS rates but not on LC. Besides, we observed that phenytoin use has a negative impact on PFS on long-term follow-up. However, these findings may be incidental and should be evaluated in prospective studies.
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