A Comparative Study to Evaluate the Effect of Vaginal pH on Cervical Ripening with Dinoprostetone Gel between Rural and Urban Prime Gravid Patients: An Hospital Based Study
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Abstract

Background: Vaginal pH change also has a role in preterm delivery which suggests that it has a role in influencing cervical ripening. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of vaginal pH on cervical ripening with dinoprostone (PGE₂) gel between rural and urban primegravid. Subjects and Methods: This is a hospital-based comparative study done on 50 pregnant women admitted in labour room for induction of labour and fulfilling inclusion/exclusion criteria at Obstetrics and gynaecology department, RNT Medical college, Udaipur. Group I included patients with vaginal pH <4.5 and Group II included vaginal pH>4.5. A vaginal examination was then performed to determine the Bishop's score. After ruling out all contraindications Dinoprostone gel was applied endocervically. Results: Our study showed that mean age of pregnant women was 25.10 yrs in rural patients and 24.56 yrs in urban patients. The high vaginal pH (>4.5) was more in urban pregnant women as compared to rural pregnant women, it was statistically non-significant (P=0.1062) and low vaginal pH (≤4.5) was more in rural as compared to urban. The augmentation required, time to active labor & time to induction delivery interval was not statistically significant (P=0.4276, P=0.2341 & P=0.1445 respectively) in between rural & urban pregnant women. LSCS was more common in rural as compare to urban pregnant women, but did not statistically significant (P=0.1945 NS). Conclusion: We concluded that higher vaginal pH more often responds to a single induction and is more often associated with vaginal deliveries rather than non-progress of labor.
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Introduction

Induction of labor is still a debatable obstetric procedure. The need to timely delivery has been recognized and practiced for centuries. The safety of the procedure and the possibility to program labor during daytime is an often heard argument in favor of “daylight obstetrics”. Also the possibility to prevent intrauterine fetal morbidity and mortality of known or unknown cause and the possibility to apply infra partum fetal surveillance and monitoring from the beginning of labor are put forward as arguments in favor of induction of labor. Thus to initiate the artificial means for initiation of labor we need to understand the parturition cascade with the biophysical-chemical changes to evolve and establish normal labor and thus realize the impact of induction of labor on achieving it.

The history of labor induction dates back to Hippocrates’ original descriptions of mammmary stimulation and mechanical dilation of the cervical canal. In 1968, Karim and colleagues were the first to report the use of prostaglandins for labor induction.¹ Since then, the use of prostaglandins, in different varieties and forms of administration, has become a common method of labor induction. More recently, the synthetic prostaglandin analogue misoprostol has gained acceptance as an effective and safe method of labor induction.²

Cervical Ripening is a series of complex biochemical changes in the cervix which is mediated by the hormones. The cervix contains relatively few smooth muscle cells and derives its rigidity from collagen bundles surrounded by proteoglycans. In pregnancy nearing term, there are various factors that induce changes in the cervix leading to cervical ripening. There are agents that can artificially induce these changes if it has not occurred. It is difficult to separate methods of cervical ripening and labor induction. In general vagina maintains a pH between 3.8-4.8, which is influenced by frequency of coitus, presence of cervical mucus and the amount of vaginal transudate. The lactic acid produced from glycogen by lactobacillus present in vagina plays an important role in maintaining acidic pH environment. The vaginal pH in pregnancy is known to be acidic and not much is known about the variations in vaginal pH throughout pregnancy. There are studies that mention that pH may change the degree of ionization of a
Our study showed that mean age of pregnant women was 25.10 yrs in rural patients and 24.56 yrs in urban patients. Hindu by religion belonging to upper middle class modified by B.J. Prasad in both rural & urban group. Also for those living a long distance from the hospital or living in isolated rural areas consideration for induction to avoid living away from home for weeks, awaiting labor or travelling long distances for birthing. Being due around a holiday season some women choose to be induced before festivals or school holidays.

The high vaginal pH (>4.5) was more in urban pregnant women as compare to rural pregnant women, but did not statistically significant (P=0.1945 NS) [Table 4].

Table 1: Age wise distribution of patients

| Rural | Urban | P-value |
|-------|-------|---------|
| Mean Age | 25.10 yrs | 24.56 yrs | 0.2132 NS |
| SD | 2.523 | 2.415 |
| Range | 21-32 yrs | 20-30 yrs |

Table 2: Distribution of patients according to vaginal pH in rural and urban pregnant women

| Vaginal pH | Rural | Urban | P-value |
|-----------|-------|-------|---------|
| >4.5 | 14 (56%) | 18 (72%) | 0.1062 |
| ≤4.5 | 11 (44%) | 7 (28%) |
| Total | 25 (100%) | 25 (100%) |

Table 3: Distribution of cases according to Progress of labor after induction in rural & urban pregnant women

| Progress of labor | Rural | Urban | P-value |
|-------------------|-------|-------|---------|
| Augmentation required | Ye s | 4 (16%) | 2 (8%) | 0.427 |
| No | 21 (84%) | 23 (92%) |
| Time to active labor | 5.002±3.12 | 4.027±1.01 | 0.2341 NS |
| Induction delivery interval | 7.215±3.00 | 6.251±2.84 | 0.1445 NS |

Table 4: Distribution of cases according to mode of delivery in rural & urban pregnant women

| Mode of Delivery | Rural | Urban | P-value |
|------------------|-------|-------|---------|
| FTNVD | 21 (84%) | 23 (92%) | 0.1005 NS |
| LSCS (FD) | 2 (8%) | 1 (4%) |
| LSCS (MSL) | 2 (8%) | 1 (4%) |

Discussion

Our study showed that mean age of pregnant women was 25.10 yrs in rural patients and 24.56 yrs in urban patients. Drug and affect the absorption of the drug resulting in variable clinical responses. Vaginal pH change also has a role in preterm delivery which suggests that it has a role in influencing cervical ripening. The aim of this study to evaluated the effect of vaginal pH on cervical ripening with dinoprostone (PGE₂) gel between rural and urban primigravida.

Subjects and Methods

This is hospital based comparative study done on 50 pregnant women admitted in labour room for induction of labour and fulfilling inclusion/exclusion criteria at Obstetrics and Gynaecology department, RNT Medical college, Udaipur.

Inclusion Criteria

- No contraindication to vaginal delivery.
- Unfavorable cervix as per modified Bishop’s score≤ five.

Exclusion Criteria

- History of any previous uterine surgery.
- Any past history of drug allergy (prostaglandins) or any contraindication to prostaglandins.

Methods

Before other examinations were performed, each participant underwent a speculum examination and vaginal pH value was assessed by using pH indicator paper (both broad & narrow spectrum). The indicator paper was placed on the lateral vaginal wall between the two valves of Cusco’s speculum until it became wet. Color change of the strip was immediately compared with the manufacturer’s colorimetric scale and the finding was recorded. Patients were dived into two groups as Group I & Group II on basis of their vaginal PH. Group I included patients with vaginal pH <4.5 and Group II included vaginal pH>4.5. A vaginal examination was then performed to determine the Bishop’s score. After ruling out all contraindications Dinoprostogel gel was applied endocervically. Following application the patient is instructed to remain recumbent for at least 30 minutes. The patient is then continuously monitored for FHS, fetal moment etc. Reassessment was done after 6 hours for progress and non-progress of labour then statistical analysis was done in form of primary.

Results

Our study showed that mean age of pregnant women was 25.10 yrs in rural patients and 24.56 yrs in urban patients [Table 1]. The high vaginal pH (>4.5) was more in urban pregnant women as compare to rural pregnant women, it was statistically non-significant (P=0.1062) and low vaginal pH (≤4.5) was more in rural as compare to urban [Table 2]. The augmentation required, time to active labor & time to induction delivery interval was not statistically significant (P=0.4276, P=0.2341 & P=0.1445 respectively) in between rural & urban pregnant women [Table 3]. LSCS was more common in rural as compare to urban pregnant women, but did not statistically significant (P=0.1945 NS) [Table 4].
(group II) with respect to maternal age, gestational age and gravidity.
A conflict our results by Ramsey PS et al (2002),[5] found no significant differences were noted between women with a high vaginal pH (>4.5, n = 16 women) and women with a low vaginal pH (< or =4.5, n = 16 women) with respect to maternal age, parity, gestational age, or initial Bishop Score.
The augmentation required, time to active labor & time to induction delivery interval was not statistically significant (P=0.4276, P=0.2341 & P=0.1445 respectively) in between rural & urban pregnant women. Vaginal route include accessibility, good blood supply, the ability to bypass first-pass liver metabolism, and permeability to large molecular weight drugs, such as peptides and proteins.
Ramsey PS et al (2002),[5] reported that a significant association was noted between vaginal pH and time to active labor (r = -0.52, P =.003), complete dilation (r = -0.50, P =.006), and delivery (r = -0.44, P =.01); however, pH was not significantly associated with Bishop score change during the initial 12 hours of cervical ripening.
Singh U et al (2011),[6] found no significant difference between the low & high pH with respect to time to onset of labour (p=0.66), time to active labour (p=0.664), time to complete cervical dilation (p=0.984), and time to overall delivery (p=0.381).
Basirat Z et al (2012),[7] the incidence of Cesarean section was lower in women with high vaginal pH.Goswami J et al (2015),[4] reported vaginal pH has significant effect on cervical ripening and delivery outcome.
Caesarian section was more in lower vaginal pH as compare to higher vaginal pH and normal vaginal delivery was more in higher vaginal pH in rural pregnant women in our study. Vaginal pH has been investigated in several recent studies as a factor that may account for the variability observed clinically with prostaglandin used as labor induction agents. Two in vitro studies by Johnson et al.[8] and MacDonald and Weir,[9] describes an increased PGE2 release in solutions with a higher pH. (6.5 to 7.5) It was also reported in the two in vitro studies that along with the increased release of PGE2, it is also predominantly ionizes at a pH of 7.5 (pKa, 4.9), which diminishes the potential of its systemic absorption and there by increases its local action.

Conclusion

We concluded that higher vaginal pH more often responds to a single induction and is more often associated with vaginal deliveries rather than non-progress of labor. Hence knowing the vaginal pH prior to induction could prove to be a useful tool in assessing the labor outcome of a patient undergoing labor induction with PGE2 gel.
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