In-silico analysis of caspase-3 and -7 proteases from blood-parasitic Schistosoma species (Trematoda) and their human host
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Abstract:
Proteolytic enzymes of the caspase family, which reside as latent precursors in most nucleated metazoan cells, are core effectors of apoptosis. Of them, the executioner caspases-3 and -7 exist within the cytosol as inactive dimers and are activated by a process called dimerization. Caspase inhibition is looked upon as a promising approach for treating multiple diseases. Though caspases have been extensively studied in the human system, their role in eukaryotic pathogens and parasites of human hosts has not drawn enough attention. In protein sequence analysis, caspases of blood flukes (Schistosoma spp) were revealed to have a low sequence identity with their counterparts in human and other mammalian hosts, which encouraged us to analyse interacting domains that participate in dimerization of caspases in the parasite and to reveal differences, if any, between the host-parasite systems. Significant differences in the molecular surface arrangement of the dimer interfaces reveal that in schistosomal caspases only eight out of forty dimer conformations are similar to human caspase structures. Thus, the parasite-specific dimer conformations (that are different from caspases of the host) may emerge as potential drug targets of therapeutic value against schistosomal infections. Three important factors namely, the size of amino acids, secondary structures and geometrical arrangement of interacting domains influence the pattern of caspase dimer formation, which, in turn, is manifested in varied structural conformations of caspases in the parasite and its human hosts.
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Background:
Schistosomiasis is a parasitic disease that is caused by blood flukes (trematodes) and leads to chronic ill health. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), it affects at least 240 million people worldwide and more than 700 million people are at risk in endemic areas. The infection is prevalent in tropical and sub-tropical areas among poor communities having no access to potable water and adequate sanitation. Transmission of infection occurs through snails (infesting water bodies), which release the infective free swimming larval stage – the cercaria; the latter enters the mammalian host directly penetrating via a cutaneous route. The causative organisms of this disease are species of the fluke Schistosoma: S. mansoni, S. japonicum and S. haematobium, of which S. japonicum is the most prevalent one [1]. Presently, ‘Multi-Drug Administration’ (MDA), praziquantel in particular, is used to control schistosomiasis. However, low therapeutic efficacies and side-effects limit the use of these existing drugs. Besides, no drug is effective against both the juvenile and adult stages of the fluke [2]. Immunological studies of this infection do not reveal any clear immune effector mechanism, based on which an effective vaccine could be designed. Hence, the control of schistosomiasis warrants searching for new drug targets that...
can be effectively used to combat all developmental stages of the parasite's life cycle in human or mammalian hosts [3].

Induction of apoptosis is one of the most promising strategies for drug development against many diseases. Therefore, it has been considered attractive to target apoptosis for designing novel therapeutics against cancer and other autoimmune diseases [4, 5]. Moreover, activation of apoptosis can also serve as a novel possibility of drug discovery addressing parasite infections as well. Activation of apoptosis highly depends on dimerization of caspases, a family of cysteine proteases, which are of three types: apoptosis initiators, apoptosis executors and inflammatory mediators. The apoptosis executor caspases (Caspase-3, -6, -7 and -14) remain in dimeric form even in the inactive state, whereas the apoptosis initiator caspases (Caspase-2, -8, -9 and -10) and inflammatory mediators (Caspase-1, -4, -5, -9, -11, -12, and -13) form dimers in specific conditions or remain as monomers in the cytosol [6]. The activation mechanism of caspases highly depends on the proteolytic cleavage and adoption of loop conformation in the dimer state; each dimer has four surface loops (L1, L2, L3, and L4) that create a groove for directly binding to their substrates. During the process of dimerization an additional loop, called L2', of one monomer comes into proximity with L2 loop of the adjacent monomer [7].

The structural arrangement of a dimer single unit resembles a sandwich model with six-stranded β-sheets surrounded by five α-helices (two on one side and three on the opposite). In a dimer complex, β-sheets of dimer interfaces of both monomers are arranged by an approximately 180° rotation in such a way that the dimer comprises twelve β-sheets contained in a core surrounded by ten α-helices on nearly opposite strands of the protein [8]. In protein-protein interactions, domains interact physically with one another to adopt a proper conformation, and thus are considered functional and structural units of a protein, which perform a specific function and are evolutionarily conserved at the sequence as well as the structure level [9]. Therefore, domains sharing a functional similarity should normally be conserved. However, if there is a considerable sequence diversity of a particular domain, then its geometrical arrangement can also be different, which, in turn, may exhibit alterations in function and stability of the protein structure [10]. Phylogenetic studies of caspases from worms to humans reveal that caspases of worms, considered to be of a primitive order, are highly diverse and different from those of their mammalian hosts [11]. This diversity may affect their dimer conformation at binding interfaces to adopt different dimer conformation and their stability. Thus, Caspase-3 and -7 of blood flukes may emerge as potent drug targets [12]. In the present study, we analysed the interacting domains of Caspase-3 and -7 of Schistosoma spp at the sequence and structural levels and performed the protein-protein docking for studying their geometrical arrangement in the dimer state.

Methodology:

Generation of homology model of Caspase-3 and -7 sequences of Schistosoma species
Two Caspase-3 sequences (EMBL:CA765831.1 and ref:XP_002574961.1) from S. mansoni and S. japonicum, respectively and two Caspase-7 sequences (ref:XP_002574451.1 and ref: XP_002580143.1) from S. mansoni were retrieved from GenBank [13], National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). A total of only 62 and 22 3-D structures for Caspase-3 and Caspase-7, respectively were found from humans in Protein Data Bank (http://www.pdb.org). Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST)p [14] was used to retrieve template hits for homology modelling and only the human caspase crystal structures could be mined for the initial 3-D structure modelling. Sequence similarities and identities of templates with corresponding schistosomal caspases are outlined in Table 1 (see supplementary material). Pairwise alignment of corresponding templates and targets (supplementary material) showed some gaps, which adopted loop structures during homology modelling. Initial 3-D models were generated using automated homology modelling software Modeler9v8 [15] that generate a 3-D model by satisfaction of spatial restraints. The models were further refined by loop modelling, side chain modelling and energy minimization with the aid of Modeler9v8, SCWRL-4.0 [16] and Swiss-PDBViewer4.0 from Macromodel 96 program [17], respectively for getting more optimized and energetically favoured structures. Structure Analysis and Verification Server (SAVES) [http://nihserver.mbi.ucla.edu/SAVES/] and pKNOT v.2 web server [http://pknot.lifecndu.edu.tw/] were used for evaluation of the stereochemical qualities and protein knot in the modeled structures [18].

Protein-protein docking simulation
The protein-protein docking simulation was performed twice by RosettaDock Server to get the digested and undigested L2 loop dimer structures [19]. The server performs a local docking search and also assumes that protein backbone conformations typically do not change much upon association. Hence, it was necessary that both protein partners be kept in the same orientation as in their templates. The local perturbation included ~3 Å distance between the two proteins, ~8 Å sliding of proteins relative to each other along their surfaces, ~8° tilt and a complete 360° spin around the central axis between the two proteins. The server initiated 1000 independent simulations from this range of random positions.

Calculation of the involved amino acids in dimer interface
The Protein Interaction Calculator (PIC) server was used for tracing the interacting amino acids in the dimer complex [20] and the hydrophobic, hydrophilic and ionic interactions of participating atoms were calculated in the main as well as side chains either within the intra-chain or inter-chain of protein structures utilizing solvent accessible surfaces based on distance methods with default parameters.

Structural alignment and angles, distances and vector orientation calculations between regular secondary structures of the dimer interface
For the structure-based sequence alignment of modeled caspases of Schistosoma spp with those of human, MUSTANG programs [21] were used for the purpose of calculating the root mean square deviation (RMSD) amongst modeled structures. Orientation vectors of modeled α-helices and β-sheets as well as crystal structures were calculated by an open source perl script developed by Thomas Holder (www.pymolwiki.org). Additional perl scripts were developed to calculate the angle and distance between the two vectors.
Results & Discussion:
Parasite sequence identity and similarity
In similarity search analysis, Caspase-3 sequences of S. japonicum [EMBL: CA76583.1] and S. mansoni [ref: XP_002574296.1] shared 70% similarity between themselves. However, the two available Caspase-7 sequences of S. mansoni (ref: XP_002574464.1 and ref: XP_002580143.1) surprisingly shared only 19% identity to each other despite belonging to the same species Table 2 (see supplementary material). In human caspases there is higher percentage of aromatic, hydrophilic and positively and negatively charged amino acids as compared to those in schistosomal caspases. However, with regard to hydrophobic amino acids, the reverse is true Table 3 (see supplementary material).

Structure similarity and structure alignment
There are some regions in the modeled structures that have adopted different secondary structures from their respective templates (Figure 1). The average sequence length of different secondary structural motifs is larger in EMBL:CAX76583.1 compared to ref:XP_002574296.1 of Caspase-3, and in ref:XP_002574464.1 compared to ref:XP_002580143.1 of Caspase-7, respectively Table 5 (see supplementary material). When RMSD of modeled structures of Caspase-3 and -7 in Schistosoma species were analysed with those of human templates, the RMSD was found to be greater than 2.0 Å Table 6 (see supplementary material). This observation revealed that human caspases are structurally distant from schistosomal caspases. Similarly, EMBL: CA76583.1 and ref: XP_002574464.1 are evolutionarily distant from ref: XP_002574296.1 and ref: XP_002580143.1, respectively (Table 6). Greater Cu RMSDs (greater than 1.05 ± 0.002 and 1.55 ± 0.001 Å for orthologs and paralogs, respectively) in homologous structures may affect the binding affinity and stability of ligands [23]. Geometrical changes (as measured by the local RMSD) of the active sites also influence the ligand binding affinity among orthologs and therefore, exhibit a positive correlation, with secondary motif lengths in structural alignments [24].
Protein-Protein Interaction simulation

The loop-digested Caspase-3 and -7 were docked for obtaining the homodimers. RosettaDock server provided the lowest minimum energies containing ten dimer conformations for each modeled structure (i.e., EMBL:CA76583.1, ref:Xp_002574296.1, ref:Xp_002574645.1 and XP_002580143.1) (see supplementary files). Out of 40 dimer conformations, 8 (viz., job#8189-protein-8 and job#8189-protein-9 dimer of EMBL:CA76583.1; job#8474-protein-4 and job#8474-protein-5 of ref:Xp_002574165.1; job#8443-protein-2, job#8443-protein-3, job#8443-protein-4 and job#8443-protein-8 of ref:Xp_002574296.1; job#8217-protein-8 of ref:Xp_002574645.1), were shown to have similar conformations in proximity with human crystal structures and β-sheets of interacting surfaces of both the chains (Figure 2). Other conformations (namely, job#8189-protein-2, job#8189-protein-3, job#8189-protein-5, job#8189-protein-6 and job#8189-protein-7 of EMBL:CA76583.1; job#8474-protein-0, job#8474-protein-3, job#8474-protein-4, job#8474-protein-5 and job#8474-protein-6 of ref:Xp_002574296.1; job#8443-protein-0, job#8443-protein-1, job#8443-protein-6 and job#8443-protein-7 of ref:Xp_002580143.1) of the modeled structures had different dimer structures, wherein helix-helix or helix-sheet domain interactions were dominant at interacting surfaces and these domains were closer to each other unlike interactions involving only β-sheets in humans. With regard to Caspase-7, modeled structure of ref:Xp_002574645.1 (S. mansoni) along with all other dimer conformations did not bear any similarity with those of other modeled structures of Schistosoma species or humans, with the exception of one job#8217-protein-8, that exhibited somewhat similar conformations to human Caspase-7. Of all the conformations of this modeled structure, five (viz., job#8217-protein-1, job#8217-protein-3, job#8217-protein-4, job#8217-protein-6 and job#8217-protein-7) showed a striking similarity amongst themselves.

Differences in secondary structure elements in structural alignment of 3-D modeled caspase structures of Schistosoma species with their templates were found mostly at the protease activity-performing sites (Figure 1). In Schistosoma species the catalytic sites of caspases are mostly made up of coiled secondary structures, whereas the human caspases comprise both β-sheets and coils. The solvent accessible surface areas of regular secondary structures (i.e., α-helices and β-sheets) are more in occurrence as compared to coiled secondary structures. Similarly, backbones of regular secondary structures follow a specific arrangement unlike in coiled structures. Hence, the presence of an irregular secondary structure at a catalytic site may have some effect on their binding affinity and cleavage activity [25].

In case of ref:Xp_002574645.1 modeled structure, interestingly glycine is at the 10th position amidst 2α low 3rd and 3rd motifs and the 231 to 257 region reflects regular occurrence of glycine and proline at the 239th and 246th position, respectively. Previous analyses support destabilization of the β-sheet by glycine and proline, which is why the 4th motif is a coil (i.e., which actively participates in dimer formation), whereas in the template the corresponding region is a β-sheet [26].

Although 3-D structures of caspase sequences of Schistosoma species were modeled on the basis of human caspase crystal structures (see ‘Materials and methods’), the dimer forms that were predicted by RosettaDock server are not the same as those of human caspases, thus tempting us to analyze the interacting domains on the basis of three factors: 1) distance and angle between interacting helices and β-sheets of Schistosoma caspases, 2) arrangement of secondary structure elements on the dimer interface, and 3) amino acid distribution at the dimer interface. The angle between the β-sheets and α-helix, which participate in dimer formation, is lesser than that in their templates. The arrangement of secondary structure elements at the dimer interface of EMBL:CA76583.1, ref:Xp_002574296.1 and ref:Xp_002580143.1 is same as in their templates; however, in ref:Xp_002574645.1 in place of β-sheet in the dimer interface there is a long loop. As predicted the solvent-accessible surface area of β-sheets is more than that of loop/coil structures [27]. Hence, there are more chances for a cavity being formed at the dimer interface in Xp_002574645.1 but not in other structures. An analysis of amino acid distribution at the dimer interface of parasite caspases exhibited more occurrence of small amino acids (i.e., glycine, alanine, valine, serine, threonine, proline and cysteine) in schistosomal caspases compared to INMQ, 2J31 and 1K86 (caspases of human). The distance between β-sheets and α-helices is almost the same in all the structures except ref:Xp_002574645.1 but the amino acid distribution was not identical in human and Schistosoma species (Figure 3). Due to their high occurrence in schistosomal caspases, amino acids having small side chains are not able to fill the distance between the β-sheets and α-helices; therefore, a large cavity is formed at the dimer interface contrary to human caspases with high occurrence of amino acids with long side chains, which are able to create bridges between α-helices and β-sheets. Therefore, during protein-protein docking simulation the interacting α-helix of one partner acts as an interacting ligand in the cavity created at the dimer surfaces of its counterpart. Thus, either helix-helix or helix-sheet interactions are more prominent than β-sheet-β-sheet interactions during dimer conformations of Caspase-3 and -7 of Schistosoma species, which are found to be different from human caspases.

Figure 3: Amino acid distribution at the dimer interface of the modeled structure of schistosomal caspases and their templates. X-axis and y-axis represent twenty amino acids and their occurrence number in schistosomal and human caspases respectively.

Conclusion:

On the basis of sequence identity and similarity Caspase-3 and -7 of Schistosoma species can be considered homologs of human caspases. The schistosomal caspases are unique in comparison to their high occurrence number in schistosomal species or humans, with the exception of one job#8217-protein-8, that exhibited somewhat similar conformations to human Caspase-7. Of all the conformations of this modeled structure, five (viz., job#8217-protein-1, job#8217-protein-3, job#8217-protein-4, job#8217-protein-6 and job#8217-protein-7) showed a striking similarity amongst themselves.

Differences in secondary structure elements in structural alignment of 3-D modeled caspase structures of Schistosoma species with their templates were found mostly at the protease activity-performing sites (Figure 1). In Schistosoma species the catalytic sites of caspases are mostly made up of coiled secondary structures, whereas the human caspases comprise both β-sheets and coils. The solvent accessible surface areas of regular secondary structures (i.e., α-helices and β-sheets) are more in occurrence as compared to coiled secondary structures. Similarly, backbones of regular secondary structures follow a specific arrangement unlike in coiled structures. Hence, the presence of an irregular secondary structure at a catalytic site may have some effect on their binding affinity and cleavage activity [25].

In case of ref:Xp_002574645.1 modeled structure, interestingly glycine is at the 10th position amidst 2α low 3rd and 3rd motifs and the 231 to 257 region reflects regular occurrence of glycine and proline at the 239th and 246th position, respectively. Previous analyses support destabilization of the β-sheet by glycine and proline, which is why the 4th motif is a coil (i.e., which actively participates in dimer formation), whereas in the template the corresponding region is a β-sheet [26].

Although 3-D structures of caspase sequences of Schistosoma species were modeled on the basis of human caspase crystal
to those of their host in the following salient points: 1) At the secondary structure level, schistosomal caspase active sites have different secondary structural elements (combination of β-sheets and coils) and these structural differences may affect their protease activity. In XP_002574645.1 (Caspase-7, S. mansoni) structure, the 244-248 region is a loop owing to regular occurrence of proline and glycine amino acids; 2) A large cavity with a rough surface formed due to the occurrence of a large number of small amino acids in schistosomal caspases at the dimer interface may be responsible for adopting different dimer conformation structures during the protein-protein docking simulation. Large cavities are in a way responsible for increasing the interfacial atomic distance, thereby reducing the dimer stability and, affecting apoptosis initialization; 3) At the tertiary level, the caspase dimer formations in Schistosoma species are governed by the nature of amino acids involved, secondary structure elements of the interacting domains and the angle and distance between the latter; 4) The dimer conformations of helminth caspases, being different from those of their host (human) would also have cavities with different sizes and shapes at the dimer interface, which, in turn, would alter their binding properties as well that seems promising for design of molecules more specific to helminth caspase active sites. Thus, the parasite executioner caspases may be exploited as potent drug targets of chemotherapeutic use.
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Table 1: Best hits obtained by protein-protein BLAST result with PDB database

| S.N. | Target sequence | Template (best hit) | Seq identity (%) | Seq similarity (%) | Target coverage | E-value |
|------|----------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|----------------|---------|
| 1    | gi|256076694|ref|XP_002574645.1|Caspase-7 (C14 family) [Schistosoma mansoni] | pdb|1K86|Chain A | 84/ 365 (32%) | 134/ 365 (51%) | 67% | 4e-36 |
| 2    | gi|256087986|ref|XP_002580143.1|Caspase-7 (C14 family) [Schistosoma mansoni] | pdb|2J31 Chain-A | 102/ 250 (42%) | 154/ 325 (61%) | 75% | 1e-65 |
| 3    | gi|256075986|ref|XP_002574296.1|Caspase-3 (C14 family) [Schistosoma mansoni] | pdb|2J31 Chain-A | 105/ 237 (44%) | 145/ 345 (61%) | 77% | 4e-66 |
| 4    | gi|226469514|emb|CAX76583.1|Caspase-3, apoptosis-related cysteine peptidase [Schistosoma japonicum] | pdb|1NMQ Chain A | 91/ 233 (39%) | 137/ 233 (59%) | 80% | 2e-53 |

Table 2: Caspase-3 and -7 sequences of Schistosoma species and their templates: identity percentage matrix

| S.N. | Protein name | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|------|--------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1    | gi|226469506|emb|CAX76583.1|Caspase-3|Sch_jap | 70.0 | 23.0 | 27.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 32.0 |
| 2    | gi|256079586|ref|XP_002574296.1|Caspase-3|Sch_man | 70.0 | 23.0 | 31.0 | 40.0 | 44.0 | 35.0 |
| 3    | gi|256076694|ref|XP_002574645.1|Caspase-7|Sch_man | 70.0 | 23.0 | 19.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 30.0 |
| 4    | gi|256075986|ref|XP_002574296.1|Caspase-3|Sch_man | 70.0 | 23.0 | 19.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 30.0 |
| 5    | 1NMQ_A|PDBID|CHAIN|SEQUENCE|Caspase-3 | 84/ 365 (32%) | 134/ 365 (51%) | 67% | 4e-36 |
| 6    | 2J31_A|PDBID|CHAIN|SEQUENCE|Caspase-3 | 84/ 365 (32%) | 134/ 365 (51%) | 67% | 4e-36 |
| 7    | 1K86_A|PDBID|CHAIN|SEQUENCE|Caspase-7 | 84/ 365 (32%) | 134/ 365 (51%) | 67% | 4e-36 |

Table 3: Protein sequences and their amino acid composition: Caspase-3 and -7 of human and Schistosoma species

| S.N. | Protein name | Length | % hydrophobic amino acids | % aromatic amino acids | % hydrophilic amino acids | % positively charged amino acids | % negatively charged amino acids |
|------|--------------|--------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1    | 1NMQ-A | H. sapiens | 249 | 35.341 | 10.843 | 24.096 | 16.064 | 13.655 |
| 2    | 2J31-A | H. sapiens | 254 | 35.600 | 10.800 | 24.000 | 16.000 | 13.600 |
| 3    | 1K86-A | H. sapiens | 253 | 37.549 | 10.277 | 22.925 | 15.415 | 13.834 |
| 5    | ref|XP_002574645.1|Caspase-7 | 379 | 42.216 | 6.596 | 22.691 | 15.831 | 12.665 |
| 6    | ref|XP_002580143.1|Caspase-7 | 329 | 41.033 | 8.815 | 28.267 | 11.854 | 10.030 |
| 7    | emb|CAX76583.1|Caspase-3 | 288 | 38.194 | 9.722 | 27.778 | 12.847 | 11.458 |

Table 4: Stereochemical quality of modeled Caspase-3 and -7 3-D structures by Procheck Server

| SN | Protein name | Most favoured | Additional favoured | Generously favoured | Disallowed | Overall G-factor | Quality |
|----|--------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------|------------------|---------|
| 1  | CA X76583.1 | 92.5% | 6.6% | 0.9% | 0.0% | 0.00 |
| 2  | CA X76583.1 | 90.8% | 8.3% | 0.9% | 0.0% | -0.01 |
| 3  | CA X76583.1 | 93.0% | 7.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.03 |
| 4  | CA X76583.1 | 96.4% | 3.6% | 0.0% | 0.0% | -0.01 |
Table 5: Regions of schistosomal Caspase-3 and -7, whose Secondary Structure Elements (SSEs) differ from their templates.

| S.N. | Target and templates | Region-1 | SSE  | Region-2 | SSE  | Region-3 | SSE  | Region-4 | SSE  |
|------|----------------------|----------|------|----------|------|----------|------|----------|------|
| 1    | EMBL:CA X76583.1     | 12-16    | Loop | 63-66    | Helix| 179-187 | Loop | 213-217  | Loop |
|      | PDB: INMQ Chain A    | 56-60    | Helix| 107-111  | Loop | 221-226 | Helix| 253-256  | Helix|
| 2    | Ref: XP_002574296.1  | 12-16    | Loop | 64-68    | Helix| 104-107 | Loop | 185-189  | Helix|
|      | PDB: 2J31 Chain A    | 57-60    | Helix| 107-111  | Loop | 149-151 | Helix| 225-229  | Helix|
| 3    | Ref: XP_002574645.1  | 92-99    | Loop | 106-111  | Loop | 120-130 | Loop-Helix-Loop | 244-248 | Loop |
|      | PDB: 1K86 Chain A    | 147-152  | Sheet| 154-158  | Sheet| 167-176 | Helix| 290-294  | Sheet|
| 4    | Ref: XP_002580143.1  | 74-77    | Helix| 93-110   | Loop | 112-117 | Loop | 118-122  | Helix|
|      | PDB: 1K86 Chain A    | 130-134  | Loop | 149-158  | Sheet-Loop-Sheet | 168-174 | Helix| 174-177  | Helix|

Table 6: Structural alignment of schistosomal Caspase-3 and -7 with their templates and RMSD matrix.

| S.N. | Modeled structures of Caspase-3 and -7 | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  |
|------|---------------------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 1    | EMBL:CA X76583.1| Caspase-3 | 0.6| 2.2| 0.9| 2.4| 2.3| 2.4|
| 2    | Ref: XP_002574296.1| Caspase-3 | 2.1| 0.9| 2.1| 2.5| 2.2| 2.2|
| 3    | Ref: XP_002574645.1| Caspase-7 | 2.4| 2.4| 2.3| 1.9| 2.4| 2.1|
| 4    | Ref: XP_002580143.1| Caspase-7 | 2.3| 2.3| 2.1| 1.9| 2.3| 2.1|
| 5    | PDB: INMQ chain-A | Caspase-3 | | | | | | 0.2| 1.3|
| 6    | PDB: 2J31 chain-A | Caspase-3 | | | | | | 1.3| |
| 7    | PDB: 1K86 chain-A | Caspase-7 | | | | | | 1.3| |

Sequence alignments:

```
>pdb|2J31|A Structure related to 2J31_A Chain A, The Role Of Loop Bundle Hydrogen Bonds In The Maturation And Activity Of (Pro)caspase-3
Length=250

sibjet = ref|XP_002574296|caspase-3
Query = pdb|2J31|A
Score = 207 bits (526), Expect = 4e-66, Method: Compositional matrix adjust.
Identities = 105/237 (44%), Positives = 145/237 (61%), Gaps = 8/237 (3%)

Query 116: 57 GECILINQQRDFHFPSTNSQSRDDGTVDADRVERVFSLINVKTRILNITKSVLHOTLLEAS
           G CI+IN ++FH ST + R G T D V D A + F++L Y+V + T+ + ++ + + S
Sibjet 76: 17 GLCIIIINNKFHKTGMSTSRSGTVDAAALRTEFRRNLKYVEVRNKNDLTREEIVELMRDVS

Query 176: 117 QADHSSYDFIFVMLSH0DNNIIYANDGEVLTSSYMIAFFFRGDCPSLIAKPKLFFQACR
           + D HS SF+ V+LSH0+ II+ + G V I FFRGDRC SL KPKLF QACR
Sibjet 136: 77 KEDHSKRSSFVCVLLSHGEGIIFGTNGPDLLKKITNNFFRGDRCSLTGKPKLFIQACR

Query 234: 177 GAAFDKGVSTMVTDAG--EDLIVHKLPTEADILVAYSTVPQFPPAWRNSSSSIGWFIQELCN
           G A D G+ TD+G +D+ HK++P+EAD L AYST PG+++FMNS GSWFQ LC
Sibjet 193: 137 GTALDCODEE---TDSGVDDMACHK1FVEADFLYASTAPGGYYSWRNKSKDGSWFQSLCA

Query 291: 235 ALEDSDKNANHSDSIMSHLVTVARVYQYRSNTGQ1ETDNNQKMTSTVSTLTLRFYI
           L+ + A+ + M +LT V R VA + + S + KQ+ VS LT+ Y
Sibjet 247: 194 MLK--QQADKLEFHMILTRVRNKSVATEFESFSDATFHKQK1PCIVSMTLKELYF
```
