Sarcomas Associated with Metal Implants in Orthopedic Surgery and Traumatology. A Report of 3 Cases in 2 Patients
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Abstract
We presented 3 cases of sarcomas associated with metal implants in 2 patients around metal implants with a previous history of chronic inflammation due to initial high energy trauma, several surgical operations, and implants in the region. Sarcoma rising in association with a metallic orthopedic prosthesis or hardware is an infrequent, but well documented complication. It is important to suspect its presence so that avoiding diagnostic errors that delay treatment and compromise the prognosis.
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Introduction
The use of metal implants in Orthopedics is constant for the treatment of degenerative pathology as well as fractures [1]. Complications associated with metal implants are common, with infection being the most common [2,3]. There are other less common, but potentially very serious; within this group the cytogenetic toxicity associated with metal [4]. In addition, traumatic injury has also been suggested as a possible etiological factor in sarcoma development [5,6] despite no established causal relationship [7,8]. The sarcomagenesis around metal implants is a rare but possible complication, the current experience is based on case reports and small series [1,9-12]. Clinical presentation is usually atypical which can result in delays and diagnostic errors. This work presents 3 cases of sarcomas associated with metal implants in 2 patients in a single center and their possible predisposing factors. Patients gave their informed consent for publication.

Case-1
A 58-year-old healthy male, who in February 2011 suffered motor vehicle accident with diagnosis of open fracture of the forearm Gustilo type IIIB associated with brachial plexus injury (BPI). After initial hemodynamic stabilization, emergent surgery was carried out for debridement and external fixation. 14-days later, he underwent open reduction and internal fixation of the open fracture of the forearm by radius and ulnar plating, soft tissue reconstruction with free flap of contralateral latissimus dorsi by the Plastic Surgery Service (Figure 1a).

During 2012 and 2013, due to the persistence of BPI, he required other procedures (nerve graft to median nerve, re-anchoraghe of latissimus dorsi tendon, humeral bone exostosis extirpation and latissimus dorsi tendon transfer to brachial biceps) making a sum of six different surgical operations in his arm for sequelae treatment.

In July 2012, a Total Knee Arthroplasty (TKA) was performed because of severe knee osteoarthritis. Since the beginning, he presented poor evolution, with pain and functional limitation; finally, two years later, in May 2014, he was diagnosed with aseptic loosening, so he underwent one-stage left TKA revision (Figure 1a). In March 2017, the patient started with a painful fast-growing mass in the left forearm, reaching about 10 cm in 3-months. The mass was radiologically evaluated (Figure 1b). Percutaneous biopsy was performed,
was contraindicated, due to great tumor contamination of the previous surgery. Therefore, an above knee amputation was indicated (Figure 2d) and no adjuvant therapies were indicated. After 3-months, the patient suffered an episode of ventricular tachycardia in the context of known ischemic heart disease and died.

Case-2

A 29-year-old woman suffered a severe gunshot wound in her left forearm in July 2005 and she was diagnosed of Gustilo type III open fracture of proximal forearm. According to the medical reports provided, she had significant loss of bone substance at the level of the ulna, as well as soft tissue coverage. She had a surgical debridement and reconstruction with a vascularized fibula flap and plating, vascular bypass from brachial artery to radial artery, sural nerve graft for ulnar nerve reconstruction and coverage by latissimus dorsi flap. Despite the initial debridement, abundant traces of shrapnel remained (Figure 3a and Figure 3b).

In June 2019, 14 years after the initial injury, she went to our clinic complaining of a painless mass in the left forearm on the anterior side of the elbow. MRI and CT showed a 10 × 4 cm soft tissue mass in the flexor origin of the elbow encompassing the metal remains (Figure 3c). Percutaneous biopsy was done and was compatible with high-grade fibrosarcoma with a Ki-67 of 35%. Extension work-up was negative for metastases. After evaluation in Sarcomas Committee a surgical
**Figure 2:** Case 1 (Knee) A) Anteroposterior radiograph showing left knee revision prosthesis; B) Intraoperative picture depicting the mass in open synovectomy; C) Coronal T2-enhanced MRI showing the tumor around left knee replacement prostheses; D) Anteroposterior radiograph showing proximal third left femur amputation.

**Figure 3:** Case 2 A, B) Lateral radiographs show ulna fracture reconstructed by latissimus dorsi free flap and plating and posterior free fibular flap for ulna non-union; C) CT with a tumor surrounding forearm proximal third osteosynthesis and shrapnel; D) Pathological anatomy pieces showing a big mass in flexor compartment of the forearm; E) and metallic shrapnel inside the tumor; F, G) Histological images that represent in immunohistochemistry patchy positivity against CD56, CD10, isolated CD57 positive cells, and an extensive loss of expression of H3 K27 me3 in the tumor cellularity, guiding the final diagnosis towards a high grade malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor (MPNST).
treatment was decided, and limb-sparing surgery was contra-indicated and an above-elbow amputation with wide margins was done (Figure 3d and Figure 3e).

Interestingly the analyzed tumor of the amputation, showed in immunohistochemistry patchy positivity against CD56, CD10, isolated CD57 positive cells, and an extensive loss of expression of H3K27me3 in the tumor cellularity, guiding the final diagnosis towards a high grade malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor (MPNST) (Figure 3f and Figure 3g). She received adjuvant chemotherapy (Epirubicin/Ifosfamide). Currently, twelve months later, the patient is disease-free and in process of prosthetic fitting.

Discussion

The presentation of a malignant tumor associated with a metal implant is a serious and uncommon complication. From 1950 to 2001, only 31 cases of sarcomas associated with metal implants [1] had been reported. Up to date, the longest English-speaking published review was done by Visuri, et al. [9] that consisted of 46 malignant tumors associated with Total Hip Arthroplasties (Thas). Analyzing the main series so far, the undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma (UPS), previously designated as malignant fibrous histiocytoma, was the most common histology type [1,3], followed by osteosarcoma [1,3], and then other sorts of tumors such as angiosarcomas [4,12,13], usually of aggressive high or intermediate grade [1,9,10]. The main series state of an average time of appearance of neoplastic lesions ranging from 6-years on average [1] to 18.8-years [10] and the outcomes were devastating [1,9,10], tumors with aggressive behavior, reaching mortality of up to 77% per year [9].

Regarding possible risk factors involved in carcinogenesis, there is no consensus among the different authors. The most of detected cases are related to a thas [1,9,10]. However, there are patients affected with a single screw in the hip [11], a plate for osteosynthesis in femur [1], tibia [12,14], humerus [15] or TKA [16,17]. Therefore, there is no clear relationship between the amount of metal and the carcinogenic potential. On the other hand, although THA is the most frequently implant associated [1,9,10] according to the Finnish record [18] there is a lower incidence of soft tissue sarcomas (STS) in THA patients than the general population, but a higher incidence of STS has been observed in patients with a metal-on-metal hip prosthesis, with respect to the general population and wearers of non-metal-on-metal prostheses.

There are few published metal implant-associated cases of fibrosarcoma [5] and MPNST [1] such as two of our series. In veterinary researches, there are more reported cases in relation to microchips [19-22], due to the abnormal inflammatory response per foreign body. Carcinogenesis associated with metal implants has been studied in animal models [4]. Subcutaneous biomaterial devices were implanted in rats observing at 2-years of monitoring the appearance of malignant tumors in up to 25% of cases, again UPS being the most common. Some authors theorize on the formation of a capsule around the metal that would induce a proliferative reaction inducing cellular atypia as a preneoplastic substrate [19, 20]. On the other hand, initial high energy trauma or iatrogenic radiation may play an important role in sarcomagenesis [5-8]. Chronic inflammation has already been linked to sarcoma appearance [23-29]. Activation of inflammatory cell signaling pathways could be responsible for protooncogene activation and resulting in neoplastic cells. This could be related to the average time of occurrence of these lesions, which is usually long, probably so that these physiological changes can occur.

Conclusion

We describe these two exceptional cases of STS associated with metal implants. In the first patient, it depicted the appearance of the same type of tumor (Fibrosarcoma grade 3) on two different implants, which could speak in favor of an individual predisposition to suffer this type of lesions not yet clearly determined or an extremely rare case of distant metastasis. The second case, that lead to a MPNST, is exceptional and only one case has been reported [1]. As described in our cases, the presentation is not pathognomonic and late diagnosis can be frequent.
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