Indices of association between anxiety and mindfulness: a guide for future mindfulness studies

Satish Jaiswal1,2, Neil G. Muggleton1,3,4, Chi-Hung Juan1 and Wei-Kuang Liang1

1Institute of Cognitive Neuroscience, National Central University, Taoyuan, Taiwan, 2Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, School of Medicine and Public Health, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, USA, 3Institute of Cognitive Neuroscience, University College London, London, UK and 4Department of Psychology, Goldsmiths, University of London, London, UK

Abstract

Mindfulness and anxiety are often linked as inversely related traits and there have been several theoretical and mediational models proposed suggesting such a relationship between these two traits. The current review report offers an account of self-report measures, behavioral, electrophysiological, hemodynamic, and biological studies, which provide converging evidence for an inverse relationship between mindfulness and anxiety. To our knowledge, there are no comprehensive accounts of empirical evidence that investigate this relationship. After reviewing several empirical studies, we propose a schematic model, where a stressor can trigger the activation of amygdala which activates the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) pathway. This hyperactive HPA axis leads to a cascade of psychological, behavioral, electrophysiological, immunological, endocrine, and genetic reactions in the body, primarily mediated by a sympathetic pathway. Conversely, mindfulness protects from deleterious effects of these triggered reactions by downregulating the HPA axis activity via a parasympathetic pathway. Finally, we propose a model suggesting a comprehensive scheme through which mindfulness and anxiety may interact through emotion regulation. It is recommended that future mindfulness intervention studies should examine a broad spectrum of measurement indices where possible, keeping logistic feasibility in mind and look at mindfulness in conjunction with anxiety rather than independently.

Ancient practices of Buddhism and Asian traditions frequently emphasize attending to experiences with equanimity to liberate one from suffering. Attending to experiences with equanimity can be referred as mindful attention (Grossman, 2010), often undertaken to experience reduced “sufferings” such as the pain of anxiety (Kabat-Zinn et al., 1992). Mindfulness has been defined as directing one’s attention in the present moment while adopting a nonjudgmental process that interacts with the environment, past or recent events, homeostatic states and brings about psychophysiological reactions (Epel et al., 2018). The physical or psychological stimulus or event that may trigger physiological responses in the form of a stressful or anxious state typically described as stressors. These stressors are often attributed as a potential and sometimes an unpredictable threat to the individual (Hannibal & Bishop, 2014). The most widely studied mindfulness intervention program, mindfulness-based stress reduction, was developed initially to relieve the stress occurring in patients suffering from chronic pain (Kabat-Zinn, Lipworth, & Burney, 1985).

Several empirical studies consistently suggest that mindfulness and anxiety are inversely related to each other at state or trait levels. Here, we present a summary of the lines of evidence which may support this inverse relationship between mindfulness and anxiety,
possibly through an emotion regulation mechanism. In this review article, state and trait form of mindfulness and anxiety will be used interchangeably as one, as both forms are intimately linked to each other. The current review provides the accounts of a range of measurement indices that have been used in investigations in the mindfulness and anxiety literature. These indices comprise subjective well-being (Chang, Huang, & Lin, 2015; Coffey & Hartman, 2008; Walsh, Balint, Smolira, Fredericksen, & Madsen, 2009), cognitive processes (Di Francescolo et al., 2017; Moore & Malinowski, 2009; Pacheco-Unguetti, Acosta, Callejas, & Lupiánez, 2010; Tang et al., 2007), electrophysiology neuroanatomy (Bishop, 2009; Etkin et al., 2004; Mocaiber et al., 2009; Tang et al., 2010; Way, Creswell, Eisenberger, & Lieberman, 2010), neuroendocrine markers (Brown, Weinstein, & Creswell, 2012; Rosenkranz et al., 2013), immunological (Black & Slavich, 2016; Davidson et al., 2003; Rosenkranz et al., 2016), and genetic research (Epel, Daubenmier, Moskowitz, Folkman, & Blackburn, 2009; Jacobs et al., 2011; Schutte & Malouf, 2014). The current review article is an attempt to aggregate this set of evidence to offer a broad spectrum of indices for future mindfulness-based intervention (MBI) research. This may facilitate the investigation of the efficacy of such programs by reducing the cost of the intervention and proving sensitive indices that may reveal the individual differences in responsiveness to the program under consideration.

### 1. Evidence suggesting an inverse relationship between mindfulness and anxiety

#### 1.1 Self-report measures

Self-report measures are subjective measures estimating subjective feelings such as traits or states measured by questionnaires or interviews on a measurable scale and estimate these features as mathematical scores (Howard, 1994; Razavi, 2001). Due to the lack of consensus on the operational definition of mindfulness, there are an array of mindfulness questionnaires which measure different aspects of mindfulness (see Table 1). Although the current article lists a range of questionnaires mentioned in mindfulness literature, it does not provide any specific recommendation on just one questionnaire (for details, see review by Chiesa, 2013) with the appropriateness of the use of each depending on how mindfulness is described in a study. In contrast, anxiety, for which there is a better consensus on its definition, is most widely measured by the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory, developed by Spielberger et al. (1970), while stress is often quantified by the Perceived Stress Scale developed by Cohen, Kamarck, and Mermelstein (1994).

Two studies on self-report measures, one in a nonclinical group (Coffey & Hartman, 2008) and another in a clinical population (with mood and anxiety disorder) (Desrosiers, Vine, Klemanski, & Nolen-Hoeksema, 2013), showed that both mindfulness and anxiety could be mediated through emotion regulation strategies. These strategies could be worry, reappraisal, nonacceptance, or rumination, which might be attenuated by “buffering actions” of mindfulness, which might consequently mitigate the symptoms associated with anxiety. In addition, a study showed that trait mindfulness could predict lower anxiety and negative affect in response to anxiogenic stressors (Arch & Craske, 2010). A mindfulness-based longitudinal survey also showed a change in the trajectory of state mindfulness could predict post-intervention enhancement in trait mindfulness and decreased psychological distress levels after 7 weeks of training (Kiken, Garland, Bluth, Palsson, & Gaylord, 2015). Furthermore, it was suggested that mindfulness-based therapy could alleviate the symptoms of anxiety via emotion regulation (Hofmann, Sawyer, Witt, & Oh, 2010; Tang, Holzel, & Posner, 2015). Therefore, future mindfulness studies investigating anxiety should consider the role of emotion regulation in mediation between mindfulness and anxiety.

| Questionnaires | Source | Components measured |
|----------------|--------|---------------------|
| Mindful Attention Awareness Scale (MAAS) | Brown and Ryan (2003) | “present-centred attention” |
| Kentucky Inventory of Mindfulness Skills (KIMS) | Baer, Smith, and Allen (2004) | “observing, describing, acting with awareness, and accepting without judgment” |
| Five Factors Mindfulness Questionnaire (FFMQ) | Baer et al. (2006) | “nonreactivity, observing, acting with awareness, describing, and nonjudging” |
| Freiburg Mindfulness Inventory (FMI) | Walach, Buchheld, Buttenmüller, Kleinknecht, and Schmidt (2006) | “specific to experienced meditators” |
| Toronto Mindfulness Scale (TMS) | Lau et al. (2006) | “state-like mindfulness” |
| Philadelphia Mindfulness Scale (PMS) | Cardaciotto, Herbert, Forman, Moitra, and Farrow (2008) | “present-moment awareness and acceptance” |
| Cognitive and Affective Mindfulness Scale (CAMS)-Revised | Kumar, Feldman, and Hayes (2008) | “attention, awareness, present-focus, and acceptance/non-judgment” |
| Southampton Mindfulness Questionnaire (SMQ) | Chadwick et al. (2008) | “mindful observation, letting go, nonaversion, and nonjudgment” |
| State Mindfulness Scale (SMS) | Tanay and Bernstein (2013) | “state-like mental behavior” |

Source: Information from (Chiesa, 2013).
in a study employing the color Stroop task (Moore & Malinowski, 2009) and another studying using the Attentional Network Test (Di Francesco et al., 2017). Furthermore, a recent meta-analytic review on anxiety suggested that it, in addition to being related to impairment of working memory, is correlated to measures on a wide range of cognitive process (see a review by Moran, 2016). For example, it was shown that under a low cognitive load state, individuals with higher trait anxiety levels showed impaired conflict control (Bishop, 2009). Additionally, a recent study (Jaiswal, Tsai, Juan, Liang, & Muggleton, 2018) showed that when participants were grouped based on their mindfulness and anxiety scores, the group with high mindfulness and low anxiety had higher efficiency of cognitive control, reflected in higher accuracy on the Stroop task as well as in its associated electrophysiology (Jaiswal, Tsai, Juan, Muggleton, & Liang, 2019). They also showed higher visual working memory capacity on a change-detection task. It seems reasonable to observe impaired conflict control and working memory in high-anxiety or low mindfulness individuals, as both executive functions have been reported to be correlated with each other (Kane & Engle, 2003). These reports indicate that conflict control and working memory capacity are two cognitive functions which are sensitive to both mindfulness as well as anxiety. However, the above-mentioned studies did not account for the role of emotion regulation in these cognitive functions. Therefore, future studies should integrate emotional manipulation to observe the effect of mindfulness and anxiety over these behavioral measures.

1.3 Electrophysiological measures

1.3.1 Event-related potential (ERP)

Electroencephalography (EEG) is an objective approach that may allow assessment of fundamental brain properties (temporally as well as oscillatory) of both mindfulness and anxiety. Event-related potential (ERP) is a temporally sensitive measure of EEG that provides measures of electrophysiological dynamics associated with behavioral and emotional phenomena (Luck & Kappenman, 2012; Schupp et al., 2000). A study that employed passive viewing of emotional and neutral images showed that the late positive potential (LPP) component of ERPs was higher in amplitude in a low mindfulness group than in a high mindfulness group in response to high arousal unpleasant images (Brown, Goodman, & Inzlicht, 2013). This component is a positive deflection in the ERP that appears after the presentation of emotional stimuli and is regarded as an index of emotional responses in the visual modality (Schupp et al., 2000). A higher LPP amplitude observed in a low mindfulness group was suggested to reflect a higher emotional reactivity in this group in response to unpleasant stimuli (Brown et al., 2013). In another study, it was shown that high-trait anxiety people showed a higher LPP in response to unpleasant stimuli than for neutral stimuli, but this was not the case in low-trait anxiety people (Mocaiber et al., 2009). However, this observation was contextual and was modulated by the instructions the participants were given. When they were told the stimuli presented were fictitious, the difference between unpleasant and neutral condition LPP amplitudes was not significant in either group. When the instructions stated that images were obtained from real-life conditions, only the high-trait anxiety group showed a higher LPP amplitude in the unpleasant condition than in the neutral condition. The lack of any difference in LPP amplitude between the unpleasant and neutral stimuli in the fictitious condition suggests that even high-anxiety individuals can attenuate emotional reactivity, presumably by employing a reappraisal strategy for emotion regulation. Future psychotherapeutic programs should incorporate reappraisal to understand the role of emotion regulation in attenuation of emotional reactivity and the LPP component can be a potential index to measure the degree of emotional reactivity among different sets of individuals and in different conditions. Although both studies (Brown et al., 2013; Mocaiber et al., 2009) were carried out independently, the pattern of LPP modulation as a function of trait mindfulness and trait anxiety is consistent with an inverse relationship between these two traits. Therefore, it will be beneficial to measure the LPP component by employing an emotional manipulation while assessing behavioral or electrophysiological characteristics of populations varying in levels of mindfulness and anxiety.

1.3.2 Resting-state EEG

Resting-state EEG, a measure of spontaneous brain activity, can be quantified by a power spectrum over the range of EEG oscillations (Putman, 2011). The EEG oscillations reflect the complexity of activity in neural populations in the brain and can be used to estimate the degree of integration among different brain areas. For instance, global mode oscillations in delta, theta, and alpha frequencies reflect global activity between different cortical regions, while local mode oscillations in beta and gamma frequencies denote events in local cortical areas (Knyazev, 2007). Global mode oscillations have been thought of as reflecting the properties of three critical mental faculties: motivation, emotion, and attentional inhibition (Knyazev, 2007). Mindfulness is suggested to affect the regulation of motivation and emotion, as well as attentional control (Greeson & Brantley, 2009; Tang et al., 2015). These processes are reflected by physiological brain oscillations, where these oscillations, in general, have been linked to motivation (delta frequencies), to emotion (theta frequencies) and attentional inhibition processes (alpha frequencies) (Knyazev, 2007). A recent meta-analysis showed that mindfulness, in general, is associated with elevated theta and alpha activity, argued to reflect a relaxed yet alert state. No systematic patterns in the delta, beta, or gamma oscillations were reported (Lomas, Ivtzan, & Fu, 2015). There appears to be a need for more comprehensive research on oscillatory neural characteristics relating to mindfulness and how it may be reconciled with EEG oscillation research on anxiety (see review Schutter & Knyazev, 2012).

Resting-state EEG is often used as a biomarker for the psychophysiological pathology associated with anxiety and other mental disorders (Fitzgerald & Watson, 2018; Oathes, Bruce, & Nitschke, 2008; Schutter & Knyazev, 2012). Several reports have suggested that gamma activity may be a suitable marker of fear and anxiety, with an elevated level of gamma power observed in anxious people (Gemignani et al., 2000; Oathes et al., 2008; Oya, Kawasaki, Howard, & Adolphs, 2002; Sebastiani, Simoni, Gemignani, Ghelarducci, & Santarcangelo, 2003). In addition to investigation of EEG oscillations in anxiety, cross-frequency coupling is another critical index that might predict levels of anxiety and cognitive abilities. Cumulative evidence demonstrates that coupling between delta and beta frequencies becomes stronger in anxious situations or among anxious people (see Schutter & Knyazev, 2012). The stronger delta–beta coherence in anxious people may be indicative of a functional relationship between the cortex and limbic system (Poppelaars, Harrewijn, Westenberg, & van der Molen, 2018; Putman, 2011) that may be a factor in impairment of emotion regulation. Currently, there is a lack of resting-state EEG studies looking at how different global mode oscillations might modulate the amplitude of local mode
1.3.3 Electrocardiograms/heart rate variability (HRV)

Electrocardiogram (ECG) is a measure of the electrical activity of the heart and is another electrophysiological measure which is affected by anxiogenic or stressful stimulus. The beat-to-beat variation in ECG is measured by an index called heart rate variability (HRV) (Akselrod et al., 1981). HRV can be a biomarker of flexibility and it is affected by continuous interaction between the sympathetic and parasympathetic nervous systems to make adjustments in response to the environmental changes (Thayer & Lane, 2007; Thayer & Sternberg, 2006). Increased HRV is an indicator of better well-being and cardiovascular health and is often found to be reduced in patients suffering from anxiety (Kemp & Quintana, 2013). There are submeasures of HRV, such as high-frequency HRV (HF-HRV) (0.15–0.40 Hz) which corresponds to respiratory sinus arrhythmia (RSA) and low-frequency HRV (LF-HRV) (0.04–0.15 Hz) corresponding to the Traube–Hering–Mayer wave (Krygier et al., 2013; Shaffer & Ginsberg, 2017). Examples of changes in these measures can be seen in vagus nerve regulation of parasympathetic activity which modulates changes in RSA and HF-HRV. The LF-HRV can be produced by both parasympathetic and sympathetic activity or baroreflex activity, but it is principally produced by the parasympathetic system (Shaffer & Ginsberg, 2017). The neuroendocrine system mainly regulates sympathetic activity through secretion of norepinephrine and catecholamine hormones, and this brings about transient fluctuations in HRV. HF-HRV and LF-HRV are the most widely studied HRV measures in the context of mindfulness effects (Krygier et al., 2013; Libby, Worhunsky, Pilver, & Brewer, 2012; Sun et al., 2019; Takahashi et al., 2005).

A line of studies has shown that mindfulness meditation is associated with higher HRV (Krygier et al., 2013; Libby et al., 2012; Takahashi et al., 2005). This increase in HRV is often illustrated by an increase in normalized units of HF (HFn.u.), which is estimated by the formula (HF/(HF-LF)) (Takahashi et al., 2005). Therefore, it should be kept in mind while interpreting a higher HRV that it not necessarily always contributed by increases in the HF band, it may also be due to a decrease in the LF band. For example, Krygier et al. (2013) observed that after 10 days of Vipassana training, a form of mindfulness training, an increase in HFn.u. was seen, which was further reportedly contributed by a decrease in LF power rather than an increase in HF power. An increase in HFn.u. is interpreted as enhanced parasympathetic activity, which is a characteristic feature associated with mindfulness training that involves slowing down of breath and bringing “calmness” to the body and relief from stressful reactions (Krygier et al., 2013). Therefore, HRV may serve as a potential index for measuring several features associated with mindfulness and anxiety that involve dynamic fluctuations in the body in response to a changing internal and external environment.

1.4 Hemodynamic neuroimaging

Hemodynamic neuroimaging involves blood oxygen level-dependent measurements made using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI). fMRI that offers a very high spatial resolution, but a relatively low temporal resolution and provides an indirect measure of the activity of a brain region either related to a task or due to spontaneous modulation. Due to its high spatial resolution, fMRI has become a broadly used technique, including in numerous clinical studies of cognition (e.g., see the review by Glover, 2011). Some fMRI studies of emotional responses have shown that individual differences in mindfulness (Creswell, Way, Eisenberger, & Lieberman, 2007; Way et al., 2010) or in anxiety (Bishop, Duncan, Brett, & Lawrence, 2004; Etkin et al., 2004; Gold et al., 2016; Killgore & Yurgelun-Todd, 2005) can predict the level of activation in amygdala and prefrontal cortex (PFC). For example, Creswell et al. (2007) observed that people with higher trait mindfulness showed enhanced PFC activity and attenuated amygdala activity while performing an affect-labeling task compared to effects in a gender-labeling (control) task. High-trait anxiety individuals have shown an opposite pattern, with Etkin et al. (2004) observing that people with high-trait anxiety showed higher activity in basolateral activity in the amygdala when they processed masked fearful faces unconsciously in comparison to conscious processing of non-masked faces. In addition to the amygdala, PFC is actively involved in high-anxiety individuals, with these individuals showing reduced recruitment of PFC in response to fearful distractors compared to neutral face distractor conditions while performing an identification task (Bishop et al., 2004).

The above pattern of findings are further strengthened by converging evidence suggesting functional connectivity between PFC and amygdala that aids emotion regulation processes modulated by either mindfulness or anxiety (Creswell et al., 2007; Davidson, 2002; Gold et al., 2016; Holzel et al., 2013; Shin & Liberson, 2010). It was demonstrated that in generalized anxiety disorder patients who showed negative coupling between amygdala and PFC functional connectivity altered into positive coupling after 8 weeks of mindfulness training, but this was not seen in a stress management education (control) group (Holzel et al., 2013). However, functional connectivity in most studies has been examined for specific tasks with very few studies investigating this network in a spontaneous resting state context which can better reflect individual differences due to traits. (Lim, Teng, Patanaik, Tandi, & Massar, 2018). For instance, Bishop (2009) observed reduced PFC activity due to high-trait anxiety while performing a conflict task in a low attentionally demanding state, while Creswell et al. (2007) found high PFC during an affect-labeling task in high mindful people, in addition to reduced bilateral amygdala activity.

Although these neuroimaging reports show evidence in line with an inverse relationship between mindfulness and anxiety, the nature of amygdala–PFC activity appears to be generally task-dependent. A recent study on task-independent resting state (Lim et al., 2018) showed that high-trait mindfulness individuals showed greater connectivity and anticorrelations between default mode network and salience network than did low mindfulness people. Lim et al. (2018) further explained about the possible roles of these two networks, with the default mode associated with mind-wandering which is decreased by an increase in the level of trait mindfulness (Mrazek, Smallwood, & Schooler, 2012). The salience...
network was suggested to play a role in coordinating between externally and internally directed attention supported by executive control and default mode networks, respectively (Menon & Uddin, 2010), thus facilitate switching between mind-wandering and task-readiness states (Sridharan, Levitin, & Menon, 2008). Therefore, stronger connectivity between the default mode and salience networks might indicate greater ability to regulate mind-wandering and task-readiness states in high mindfulness people. These observations (Lim et al., 2018) highlight the importance of exploring brain regions beyond the amygdala and PFC as are frequently reported in mindfulness and anxiety literature. For example, Frewn et al. (2010) observed a positive correlation between left amygdala activity and a trait mindfulness “observing” component, unlike many previous studies reporting negative correlation between trait mindfulness and amygdala (Creswell et al., 2007; Way et al., 2010). This difference in Frewn et al. (2010) study from previous studies could be due to the fact that they used a paradigm of auditory modality in which the participants had to listen to a written script and further asked to imagine as if those incidents were happening at that moment. Unlike Frewn et al. (2010), the previous studies reported here had shown a negative correlation with amygdala and had used paradigms in visual modality wherein the participants would either label the affect (Creswell et al., 2007) or match the affect (Way et al., 2010) from the faces shown on a screen. Therefore, the way instructions given to participants and nature of modality of paradigms may bring about different pattern in amygdala activities. Therefore, future fMRI studies should look into using a more task-independent approach, such as investigation of resting-state conditions, that can potentially reconcile the nature of amygdala and PFC activity, as well as shed light on other networks such as the default mode network and salience networks relating to mindfulness and anxiety. This may be helpful when comparing manipulations of mindfulness and/or anxiety to investigate the brain regions associated with better emotion regulation ability.

### 1.5 Molecular biomarkers

Reports have suggested consequential impact of stress and anxiety on the body (Fava, Cosci, & Sonino, 2017), which are typically known as psychosomatic disorders (Walker, 1956). For example, Fink (2011) suggested that post-traumatic stress disorder is a form of anxiety disorder that leads to high arousal with concurrent activation of the autonomic nervous system, principally sympathetic adrenomedullary (SAM) pathway. It was described as stress, prompting an activation response from a neuroendocrine system that is regulated by the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis and the SAM. The hyperactivity of the two systems (HPA and SAM) may trigger a cascade of abnormal responses in behavioral, hormonal secretions, immune system, and genetics (biological aging) (Black & Slavich, 2016; Fink, 2011). Previous review articles have already addressed the behavioral implications of anxiety and mindfulness in the above sections. Therefore, the following section summarizes the literature principally relating to three biomarkers: neuroendocrine, immunological and genetic that further strengthen the proposed linkage between mindfulness and anxiety.

#### 1.5.1 Neuroendocrine biomarkers

One primary neuroendocrine product of the HPA axis is cortisol, a steroid hormone which under normal condition maintains the homeostasis of the body (Viau, 2002). However, in response to stress or anxious condition, cortisol leads to increase in blood pressure, suppression of immune function in addition to several other bodily changes mediated by SAM (Hannibal & Bishop, 2014; Tsigos & Chrousos, 2002). Conversely, mindfulness has been suggested to act as a buffer that protects from pathological effects of stress (Brown et al., 2012; Rosenkranz et al., 2013, 2016). In their study, Brown et al. (2012) showed that individual differences in mindfulness level could predict cortisol responses. Participants performed Trier Social Stress Test (Kirschbaum, Pirke, & Hellhammer, 1993), a standard task in which participants need to deliver a speech for minutes in front of two critical evaluators and, consequently, induced stress response. Participants with high mindfulness showed lower cortisol reflecting lower stress responses than was seen in low mindfulness individuals (Brown et al., 2012). The role of mindfulness in stress buffering was further strengthened by the results from a short-term meditation study (Tang et al., 2007) that employed integrative body–mind training (IBMT) with mindfulness training as a critical component. The control and meditating groups initially had no baseline differences in their cortisol levels. After 5 days of IBMT training, meditators showed lower cortisol responses than a control group after stress induction as well as in the condition after additional training (Tang et al., 2007). Brown et al. (2012) explained the neural basis of the mindfulness and cortisol responses as a high level of mindfulness leading to a reduction in amygdala activity in response to stressors (Creswell et al., 2007). Since the amygdala is connected to the HPA pathway through hypothalamic projections (Sullivan et al., 2004), attenuation of amygdala activity will reduce the HPA axis secretion of cortisol. Therefore, cortisol may serve as a promising biomarker for future mindfulness studies investigating stress and anxiety, being directly sensitive to variability in mindfulness and anxiety either at the trait or at the state level.

#### 1.5.2 Immunological biomarkers

The interaction between two stress axes, HPA and SAM, interferes with normal activities of the immune system (Black & Slavich, 2016), which can be downregulated by buffering action of mindfulness. Creswell and Lindsay (2014) proposed that mindfulness may alleviate the activity of SAM either directly or indirectly via upregulation of the parasympathetic pathway. This alleviated activity of the SAM axis may lead to reduction in peripheral stress responses, including immune functions. Immunoglobulin A (IgA) is a secretory antibody that is generated in response to a stressor in saliva (Deinzer, Kleineidam, Stiller-Winkler, Idel, & Bachg, 2000). Tang et al. (2007) in their study showed that a meditating group showed a higher concentration of salivary IgA than the control group, indicating a better response to stress in the meditating group. The effect of mindfulness on secretory immune responses was further complimented by mucosal immunity (Davidson et al., 2003). A meditating group and waitlist control group were vaccinated with influenza vaccine after the end of 8 weeks of mindfulness training. The mindfulness group showed higher antibody levels than did the control group, suggesting they had better immunoreactivity (Davidson et al., 2003).

There are immunoreactive responses triggered by stress that may have adverse health effects. For instance, tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) and interleukin-8 (IL-8), which are regarded as pro-inflammatory cytokines, are modulated by stress (Glaser et al., 1999). For example, Rosenkranz et al. (2013) showed that individuals with mindfulness training showed a larger reduction in levels of TNF-α and IL-8 than that a matched control group, indicating less of an inflammatory response after being exposed to stress in the mindfulness group. Additionally, C-reactive protein (CRP),
another pro-inflammatory protein, which was reduced after mind-
fulness training in normal individuals (Malarkey, Jarjoura, & Klatt,
2013) and in ulcer colitis patients (Jedel et al., 2014). CD4+ T
lymphocyte cell count is another important index of better
immune function, and chronic stress may lead to a decline in its
count. This reduction in CD4+ T lymphocyte cell count may lead
to the absence of defense line against disease onset and progression
often observed in cancer and HIV patients (Mellors et al., 1997;
Reiche, Nunes, & Morimoto, 2004). Mindfulness intervention
has been shown to either increase the count of or attenuate the
decline in count of CD4+ T lymphocyte cell in breast cancer patients
(Lengacher et al., 2013) as well as in HIV patients (Creswell, Myers, Cole, & Irwin, 2009). In sum, mindfulness seems
to offer a comprehensive way to protect the immune system
either by promoting the humoral (IgA) and mucosal (CD4+
T lymphocyte) immune responses or by decreasing the levels
of pro-inflammatory molecules (IL-8, TNF-α, CRP).

1.5.3 Genetic biomarkers
The stress response of the HPA axis not only affect the immune
response at the humoral and mucosal levels, but also at a genetic
level which is instrumental for the generation of an array of extracellular proteins (Slavich & Irwin, 2014). For example, the
production of pro-inflammatory cytokines is regulated by nuclear
factor-kB (NF-kB), a DNA-binding protein, and upregulates the
gene expression of these inflammatory proteins (Bharti &
Aggarwal, 2002). Therefore, an increase in NF-kB level has been
proposed as a direct indicator of augmented responses to stress
and upregulates the expression of proteins promoting inflamma-
tion (Bierhaus et al., 2003). Conversely, mindfulness intervention
has been shown to reduce the level of NFkB protein. For example,
the protective effect of mindfulness was evident in two indepen-
dent studies where they observed a reduced NF-kB transcription
activity in older adults suffering from loneliness (Creswell et al.,
2012) and in breast cancer patients (Bower et al., 2015).

Biological aging is another deleterious effect caused by chronic
stress exposure leading to reduced telomerase activity and, conse-
quently, facilitation of the cellular aging process (Epel et al., 2009).
Telomerase is an enzyme that maintains the lengths of the telo-
meres (protective caps found at the terminals of the chromosomes) after every mitotic cell division (Blackburn, 2005). Thus, telom-
erase activity prevents early cell death and thus promotes longevity
or delays the aging process. In their study, Needham et al. (2015)
showed that women with high anxiety or panic disorder had shorter telomere length than non-anxious women, but no such
effect was observed in men. Additionally, major depression
patients treated with antidepressants showed shorter telomere
length, which was suggested to be a possible side effect of the treat-
ment (Needham et al., 2015). Furthermore, it was proposed by Epel
et al. (2009) that mindfulness facilitated protection against the
cellular aging by positive cognitive states and positive arousal by
downregulating the negative affect that brings about a stress
response (Epel et al., 2009). A series of randomized controlled trial
studies further strengthened this idea by showing mindfulness train-
ing led to an increase in telomere lengths in immune cells (peripheral blood mononuclear cells) (see review Schutte & Malouff, 2014).

1.6 Summary of the dynamic relationships among indices of mindfulness and anxiety
Emotion regulation strategies tend to change the emotional state
and, consequently, generate a cascade of psychological, behavioral,
cognitive, physiological, immunological, and genetic responses. In
a simplified model, we propose that a stressor or anxiogenic event
(recent or past) increases amygdala activation. A hyperactive
amygdala, in turn, upregulates the HPA axis pathway mediated
by the SAM pathway (Fink, 2011). Increased activity of the
HPA axis negatively affects a broad spectrum of human character-
istics (see Figure 1). Conversely, mindfulness downregulates the
activity of the amygdala and attenuates the HPA axis response to
stressful situations (Creswell et al., 2007). Consequently, mind-
fulness provides protection from harmful effects caused stress and
anxiety. Another novel and potential biomarker to estimate effi-
cacy of mindfulness programs objectively can be human micro-
biota assessed with stool samples (Houshagh, Peterson, Mills, &
Chorpup, 2017). The gut microbiome is susceptible to being
disturbed by stress (Santos et al., 1999). The microbiota serve as
protective barriers for the gut epithelium by producing anti-
flammatory short-chain fatty acids, while stress leads to an
increase in gut barrier permeability and increased inflammatory
immune responses (Grosschmidt et al., 2009). Therefore, an inves-
tigation of how stress management through mindfulness may be
reflected in human gut microbiota will insightful in understanding
the mechanism of stress physiology.

1.7 Challenges, limitations, and future directions
There are some studies which have reported an absence of effect
of mindfulness, while others showed positive effects. For instance,
the results from two recent studies on trait mindfulness showed
one reporting that dispositional mindfulness could successfully
predict emotional reactivity (Brown et al., 2013), while other
reported that it could not (Cosme & Wiens, 2015). These differences
between the two studies might be due to dilution of effect sizes in
psychologically healthy participants. This dilution effect may also
influence the outcomes of mindfulness intervention programs, as
such intervention programs may only produce large enough effects
in a subgroup of a population under investigation (Flaxman
and Bond, 2010). The median split analysis is one common approach
that has been frequently used to determine two subgroups based
on mindfulness or anxiety levels of the participants (e.g., Bishop,
2009; Brown et al., 2013). However, researchers have argued that
it is inappropriate to consider that values just above or below the
median, as would be the case for many individuals when a median
split categorization is used, are meaningfully different from each
other (MacCallum, Zhang, Preacher, & Rucker, 2002). Additionally,
it has been noted as being quite challenging to delineate an effect that
already exists using such a split (Aiken, West, & Reno, 1991;
McClelland et al., 2015). Therefore, it has been suggested that
employing a mean ± standard deviation criterion rather than using
median split analysis (MacCallum et al., 2002), will enable avoidance
of the dilution effect that may result from participants with inter-
mediate levels of mindfulness or anxiety.

Another alternative to avoid the dilution effect in mindfulness
intervention programs may be employing “double selection crite-
ria”, an approach to categorize groups based on high mindfulness-
low anxiety and low mindfulness-high anxiety (Jaiswal et al., 2018).
This is an objective criterion based on the assumption of the
inverse relationship between mindfulness anxiety and can provide
two matched comparison groups. It is proposed that the low mind-
fulness-high anxiety group that shows poorer executive function
than a high mindfulness-low anxiety group may have a stronger
response to a mindfulness intervention program and subsequently
have improved cognition and other health outcomes.
Despite accumulating evidence of a close relationship between mindfulness and anxiety, it is still not clear whether both or one of these is an emergent property of the brain. From an evolutionary perspective, anxiety is attributed as a conserved property in humans as part of a self-defense mechanism (Rozin & Royzman, 2001) and its effects on cognition are entirely consistent with this (Eysenck et al., 2007). While emotions are indeed regarded as emergent processes of the brain (Knayzev, 2007; Scherer, 2009), it appears anxiety may be better reflected as an emergent process than is the case for mindfulness. Therefore, it is necessary to understand how mindfulness is governed through brain processes to further proceed in developing an operational definition of mindfulness.

2. Conclusions

Considering the above self-report, behavioral, electrophysiological, fMRI, and biological markers evidence leads us to propose that mindfulness and anxiety are indeed mediated by emotion regulation strategies such as worry, reappraisal, non-acceptance, and rumination, through which they may interact in an antagonistic manner (Coffey & Hartman, 2008; Desrosiers et al., 2013; Greeson & Brantley, 2009). One recommendation arising is that future studies on the effects of mindfulness (or anxiety) on cognitive processes should look at these in conjunction with anxiety (or mindfulness), rather than independently. Since longitudinal intervention programs can be resource-intensive and come with logistic constraints, one should consider to what extent these...
measures are feasible to estimate. It is often recommended that the greater the amount of information that can be collected, the richer the quality of the research will be. Therefore, combining mindfulness and anxiety into investigations may facilitate the efficacy of assessment of MBIs by providing a broad spectrum of indices which may reveal the individual differences in responsiveness to the program. Additionally, it is suggested that future investigations should also investigate the neural mechanisms associated with the resting state in a task-independent manner related to mindfulness and anxiety.
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