Serum Albumin and Prealbumin Predict the Poor Outcome of Traumatic Brain Injury

Du Chen*, Long Bao*, Shi-qi Lu, Feng Xu*

Department of Emergency Medicine, the First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University, Suzhou, China

Abstract

Background: Serum albumin and prealbumin are both negative acute-phase reactants, and usually at low levels in stress. We aim to determine their predictive values for poor outcome of traumatic brain injury (TBI).

Methods: A total of 326 patients of TBI were enrolled and followed-up by telephone 6 months after discharge. They were divided into a favorable group (GOS: 3 to 5) and an unfavorable group (GOS: 1 to 2). Serum albumin and prealbumin were measured from vein blood within 24 h after admission.

Results: Ninety one (27.9%) patients were with poor outcome (GOS: 1 to 2). The unfavorable group had lower albumin and prealbumin (P<0.001). Albumin and prealbumin were both positively correlated with GCS (r = 0.489, P<0.001; r = 0.222, P<0.001, respectively) and GOS (r = 0.518, P<0.001; r = 0.314, P<0.001, respectively). After adjustment for confounding factors, the odds ratios of albumin and prealbumin were 0.866, 95% CI: 0.829 to 0.904 and 0.990, 95% CI: 0.985 to 0.995, respectively. In subgroup of GCS=8 (n = 101), the crude and adjusted odds ratios of serum albumin were both statistically significant (P = 0.027, P = 0.033, respectively), while prealbumin were not (P = 0.553, P = 0.576, respectively). The AUC of albumin for predicting poor outcome was 0.762, 95% CI: 0.712 to 0.807, which was significantly higher than that of prealbumin (0.664, 95% CI: 0.610 to 0.715). In analyses of all patients and subgroup of GCS≤8, the AUCs of serum albumin were both significantly higher than those of prealbumin (P = 0.001, P = 0.045, respectively).

Conclusions: Both serum albumin and prealbumin could predict the poor outcome of TBI, but the former is much better, especially, in patients with severe TBI.

Introduction

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is a major global health problem. Country-based estimates of incidence range from 108 to 332 new cases admitted to hospital per 100,000 population per year. On average, 39% of patients with severe TBI die from their injury, and 60% have an unfavorable outcome on the Glasgow Outcome Scale (GOS) [1]. According to the World Health Organization (WHO) in high-income countries TBI is the leading cause of death under the age of 40. Based on the prognosis of the WHO, in two decades, head injury will be the third most frequent cause of death in the world [2]. The socioeconomic consequences of TBI have ignited widespread research aimed at decreasing the burden of the disease. A major field to be explored is the establishment and construction of reliable prognostic tools.

Albumin and prealbumin are both indicators of protein nutrition status. Like albumin, prealbumin is also a negative acute-phase reactant reducing in stress, and both of them could be used as predictors for prognosis of some diseases. To our knowledge, there have been few studies to compare their predictive values for poor outcome of TBI.

Materials and Methods

Patients

A total of 326 patients with TBI [male: 229 (70.3%), age: 49.1 ± 24.9 years] were enrolled from the emergency department or intensive care unit of the First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University, a tertiary teaching hospital, between November 2010 and October 2012. Inclusion criteria were: TBI within 24 hours; and Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) 3 to 14. Patients <18 years old, pregnant women, and patients with autoimmune disease were excluded. Patients’ characteristics, such as age, sex, GCS, and clinical factors that may affect the levels of serum albumin and prealbumin were collected. The levels of serum albumin and prealbumin were measured from fasting venous blood within 24 h after admission. Analyses were performed by Olympus AU2700 automatic biochemical Analyzer.
For prognosis analysis, patients were followed-up by telephone 6 months after discharge. Each follow-up examination or interview was recorded. According to these data, patients were divided into 5 groups based on GOS [3]: 1, death; 2, vegetative state – unable to interact with environment; 3, severe disability – unable to live independently; 4, moderate disability – capable of independent living but unable to return work or school; 5, complete recovery – able to participate in work or school. Patients were then classified into a favorable group with high GOS (3 to 5) and an unfavorable group with low GOS (1 to 2).

Ethics Statement

The study was carried out according to the Helsinki Declaration and approved by the ethical committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University. The need for informed consent was waived, because the data used in this study had already been collected for clinical purposes. Furthermore, the present study did not interfere with the treatment of patients and the database was organized in a way that makes the identification of an individual patient impossible.

Statistical analyses

Categorical data were presented as frequency and percentage (%), and measurement data with normal distribution and non-normal distribution were presented as mean ± SD or median (P_{25}, P_{75}), respectively. Comparisons of continuous variables were performed using the Mann-Whitney U test or unpaired t test, while the chi-square test was applied for categorical variables, and the Bonferroni method was used for multiple comparisons. The independent predictors of TBI were determined by univariate and multivariate logistic regression; odds ratios (OR) and 95% CI were calculated. The correlations between predictors and GCS, GOS were assessed by Spearman correlation, while Pearson correlation was used for serum albumin and prealbumin. The Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curves were plotted for predictors, and the area under the curve (AUC) was used to evaluate the predictive value. The AUCs were compared by DeLong method [4]. Statistical analyses were performed by Stata version 12 (StataCorp, Texas, USA). P<0.05 was considered as statistical significance (two-tailed).

Results

Analyses of baseline data

The outcomes of all patients: death, 81 (24.85%); vegetative state, 10 (3.07%); severe disability, 16 (4.91%); moderate disability, 84 (25.77%); complete recovery, 135 (41.41%). Of the 326 patients with TBI, ninety one patients were of death or vegetative state (unfavorable group). As table 1 illustrated, there were significant differences in sex, age, GCS, pupil reactivity, injury severity score, days in hospital, and the levels of serum albumin and prealbumin between unfavorable and favorable groups. Especially, the unfavorable group had significantly lower levels of albumin and prealbumin, higher percentage of male, older age, poorer pupil reactivity, and lower GCS. There were no significant differences in clinical factors (aspiration or resuscitation, multiple contusions and skin burnings) between the two groups (P>0.05).

Correlation analyses

The scatter plot of serum albumin and prealbumin displayed a moderate positively correlation (r = 0.650, P<0.001) in all patients, and subgroup analyses indicated the correlation was relatively stable (Figure 1). Both of them were positively correlated with

### Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics in unfavorable and favorable groups.

| Variable                        | Unfavorable (n=91) | Favorable (n=235) | P value |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Sex (n/%)                       |                    |                   |         |
| Male (61.5)                     | 56 (61.5)          | 173 (73.6)        | 0.032   |
| Female (38.5)                   | 35 (38.5)          | 62 (26.4)         |         |
| Age (year)                      | 55.3±20.4          | 46.0±16.6         | <0.001  |
| GCS (3, 8)                      | 5 (3, 8)           | 13 (11, 14)       | <0.001  |
| Pupil reactivity (n/%)          |                    |                   | <0.001  |
| Both reacting                   | 29                 | 216               |         |
| One reacting                    | 22                 | 17                |         |
| Both non-reacting               | 40                 | 2                 |         |
| ISS (26, 33)                    | 26 (25, 33)        | 13 (9, 20)        | <0.001  |
| Days in hospital (day)          | 10 (5, 22)         | 10 (7, 14)        | 0.700   |
| Clinical factors (n/%)          |                    |                   |         |
| Aspiration or resuscitation     | 8 (3.3)            | 13 (3.3)          | 0.282   |
| Multiple contusions             | 79 (86.8)          | 185 (82.1)        | 0.319   |
| Skin burnings                   | 3 (3.3)            | 4 (1.7)           | 0.373   |
| Albumin (g/L)                   | 31.9 (26.2, 37.7)  | 34.7 (38.7, 42.9) | <0.001  |
| Prealbumin (mg/L)               | 136.9 (104.2, 181.1)| 168.4 (132.0, 209.0) | <0.001  |

GCS, Glasgow Coma Scale; ISS, Injury Severity Score; GOS, Glasgow Outcome Scale; χ² test; t test; Mann-Whitney U test.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0093167.t001
Comparisons with the alpha correction (α between group 3 and group 4 (correction = 0.008), and a significant difference was found for four groups (significances in percentages of the unfavorable outcome in the four groups (Figure 3). Chi-square test indicated there were statistically significant correlation in the unfavorable outcome (GOS: 1 to 2) of TBI was 0.762, 95% CI: 0.712 to 0.807, which was significantly higher than that of prealbumin (0.664, 95% CI: 0.610 to 0.715). As Figure 4 displayed, in all patients and subgroup of GCS≤8, the AUCs of serum albumin were significantly higher than those of prealbumin (P=0.001 and P=0.045, respectively), while in the subgroup of GCS>8, no significant differences of AUCs were found (P=0.170). In addition, the AUCs of serum albumin were statistically significant in both subgroups (P<0.05), while prealbumin showed no predictive value in the subgroup of GCS≤8 (AUC = 0.538, 95% CI: 0.419 to 0.656, P>0.05).

Analyses of ROC curves

Discussion

Findings from results

In the logistic regression analyses of all patients, serum albumin and prealbumin were both statistically significant after adjustment for age, sex and clinical factors, but in subgroup analyses, different performances of them were observed. In the subgroup of GCS≤8, serum albumin was still determined as an independent predictor, while prealbumin was not. In the subgroup of GCS>8, in univariate logistic regression, serum albumin and prealbumin both displayed associations with unfavorable outcome, but in multivariate analyses, both of them did not have statistical significance (P>0.05) after adjustment for age and sex. In ROC curve analyses, the AUCs of serum albumin were statistically significant in both subgroups (P<0.05), while prealbumin showed no predictive value in the subgroup of GCS≤8. These phenomena may be related to their different roles in TBI and the different pathophysiological processes of mild and severe subgroups.

Comparison of physiological function between albumin and prealbumin

Albumin and prealbumin, products from the liver, are both indicators of nutrition status, and could be used as predictors of prognosis. They have some differences in physiological functions [5]. a) Albumin has a relatively long half-life of approximately 20 days and a very large serum pool. b) Albumin is a negative acute-phase reactant. This means that albumin concentrations rise slowly during nutritional therapy and in patients recovering from stress. c) Liver function should be considered while evaluating albumin levels. d) Zinc’s main transport vehicle in the blood is albumin. Prealbumin is another protein status indicator. It has a much shorter half-life and smaller serum pool compared to albumin.
albumin. The half-life of prealbumin is approximately two days, making prealbumin a more timely and sensitive indicator of protein status. Prealbumin is a tryptophan-rich protein, and like albumin, it is synthesized in the hepatocytes of the liver. Like albumin, prealbumin is also a negative acute-phase reactant [5]. Low levels could result from either inadequate nutrition or inflammatory stress.

Role of albumin and prealbumin in TBI

In patients with heart failure, cancer, and cerebral infarction, prealbumin has been shown to be a good predictor of prognosis [6,7,8]. It has been reported that serum prealbumin also has predictive value for TBI [9]. In our study, the ability of prealbumin to predict the prognosis of TBI seems not as good as that of albumin, especially in patients with severe TBI (GOS ≤ 8).

There are different mechanisms explaining the decline of serum albumin in patients with TBI. Firstly, albumin consumption is increased under stress state. Secondly, hemorrhage caused albumin lost. Thirdly, inadequate intake and the suppressed liver function would reduce albumin synthesis. In addition, albumin extravasation due to increased vascular permeability and blood-brain barrier dysfunction. The blood brain barrier (BBB) is composed of vascular endothelium, basal lamina, pericytes and astrocyte foot processes anchored by tight junctions [10]. The BBB prevents fluid, macromolecules, and small molecules from exiting the microvasculature and entering the brain parenchyma. When the integrity of the BBB is compromised in patients with TBI, infiltration is one of the ways that result in the albumin reduction. Rossi and colleagues performed an experiment implicating

![Figure 3. Percentage plot displaying percentages of unfavorable outcome in four subgroups with different levels of serum albumin. (group 1: <25 g/L; group 2: 25 to 30 g/L; group 3: 30 to 35 g/L; group 4: ≥35 g/L) From group 1 to group 4, the percentages of unfavorable outcome (GOS: 1 to 2) displayed a downward trend (Cochran-Armitage trend test, P < 0.001), but only the difference between group 3 and group 4 was statistically significant. (α after Bonferroni correction = 0.008. * Statistically significant) doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0093167.g003](#)

### Table 2. Logistic regression analyses for predictors.

| Predictor          | Univariate logistic regression | Multivariate logistic regression |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                    | Crude OR (95% CI) | P value | Adjusted * OR (95% CI) | P value |
| Albumin (g/L)      |                  |         |                         |         |
| All patients (n = 326) | 0.870 (0.835 to 0.906) | <0.001  | 0.866 (0.829 to 0.904) | <0.001  |
| GCS≤8 (n = 101)    | 0.931 (0.874 to 0.992) | 0.027   | 0.927 (0.865 to 0.994) | 0.033   |
| GCS>8 (n = 225)    | 0.925 (0.861 to 0.993) | 0.032   | 0.975 (0.888 to 1.070) | 0.596   |
| Prealbumin (mg/L)  |                  |         |                         |         |
| All patients (n = 326) | 0.989 (0.984 to 0.994) | <0.001  | 0.990 (0.985 to 0.995) | <0.001  |
| GCS≤8 (n = 101)    | 0.997 (0.989 to 1.006) | 0.553   | 0.997 (0.988 to 1.006) | 0.576   |
| GCS>8 (n = 225)    | 0.981 (0.971 to 0.991) | <0.001  | 0.989 (0.977 to 1.001) | 0.082   |

OR, odds ratio; CI, confident interval; GCS, Glasgow Coma Scale;
*AAdjusted for age, sex and clinical factors using logistic regression model.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0093167.t002
albumin, acting through p38 mitogen activated protein kinase, in a novel mechanism by which activation of myosin light chain kinase following TBI may lead to compromise of the BBB [11]. This finding explains the mechanism of reduced albumin which leads to the poor prognosis of TBI from the aspect of the blood-brain barrier integrity. In addition, albumin also has many other important physiological functions. Lower level of albumin is bound to weaken the patient’s resistance, resulting in slow wound healing and fragile resistance to secondary infection. Eventually, the risk of poor prognosis is significantly increasing. Previous studies reported that low level of serum albumin seems to be an independent predictor for poor outcome of TBI [12,13], and results of our study give this a further confirmation.

Debate about albumin administration and our viewpoint

It has been proven that low levels of albumin are associated with a poor outcome, but for the patients of TBI, whether albumin level should be elevated and what is the best level are still controversial. This is just like glucose control in TBI. Lots of studies determine that hyperglycemia is an independent risk factor for TBI [14,15,16,17,18,19], but it does not mean that to reduce the glucose level will improve prognosis [20,21,22,23]. Similarly, albumin supplement for patients of TBI is another problem researchers should investigate. A clinical trial [24] reported that in critically ill patients with TBI, fluid resuscitation with albumin was associated with higher mortality rates than with saline. Actually, the use of albumin for resuscitation in patients with severe TBI could increase intracranial pressure during the first week, and this is the most likely mechanism of increased mortality in these patients [25]. On the contrary, there are also a few of studies holding different viewpoints [26,27]. For example, Rodling and colleagues reported that a protocol including albumin administration in combination with a neutral to a slightly negative fluid balance was associated with low mortality in patients with severe TBI [27]. Recently, Yang and colleagues performed a retrospective cohort study, and concluded that the most favorable level of albumin for uncomplicated severe TBI is 29–31 g/L [26]. We preformed a retrospective case-control study, and the results demonstrated, in patients of TBI with serum albumin ≥35 g/L, the proportion of unfavorable outcome reduced significantly. Findings from animal experiment may explain the mechanism partly. In the experiment, it was observed that high-concentration albumin therapy instituted 15 min after trauma significantly improves the neurological score and reduces histological damage [28]. To sum up, based on related studies and our results, low level of serum albumin is a risk factor of TBI, and the percentage of unfavorable outcome decreases with the increase of serum albumin. Although this issue is still controversial, we believe that it would be better to maintain a moderately high level of serum albumin for patients of TBI.

Limitations

There are a few of limitations in our study. Firstly, it is a retrospective study from only one medical center. Secondly, the sample size is not big, and may be with selection bias. Thirdly, this case-control study could not directly answer the questions that if albumin resuscitation is reasonable and what is the optimal level of serum albumin to pursue in clinical practice. Prospective clinical trials are needed to answer these questions.
Conclusions

In conclusion, both serum albumin and prealbumin may be used as predictors for unfavorable outcome of TBI, but the performances are significantly different, and former is much better. Especially, in patients of severe TBI (GCS ≤8), serum albumin is determined as an independent predictor, while prealbumin seems to be with no predictive value.
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