Observing photo-induced chiral edge states of graphene nanoribbons in pump-probe spectroscopies
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Photo-induced edge states in low dimensional materials have attracted considerable attention due to the tunability of topological properties and dispersion. Specifically, graphene nanoribbons have been predicted to host chiral edge modes upon irradiation with circularly polarized light. Here, we present numerical calculations of time-resolved angle resolved photoemission spectroscopy (trARPES) and time-resolved resonant inelastic x-ray scattering (trRIXS) of a graphene nanoribbon. We characterize pump-probe spectroscopic signatures of photo-induced edge states, illustrate the origin of distinct spectral features that arise from Floquet topological edge modes, and investigate the roles of incoming photon energies and finite core-hole lifetime in RIXS. With momentum, energy, and time resolution, pump-probe spectroscopies can play an important role in understanding the behavior of photo-induced topological states of matter.

I. INTRODUCTION

The quest for controlled manipulation of quantum states of matter with light promises to reveal and ultimately functionalize novel properties of materials far from equilibrium, while posing profound theoretical and experimental challenges in probing and understanding the underlying microscopic dynamics. Fundamentally, irradiating materials with light permits selectively changing electronic distributions and altering the energetics of states that couple to the light, inducing novel nonequilibrium phases[1–9]. Driven by the search for Majorana fermions and applications in quantum computing[10], transient photo-induced topological band insulators and superconductors have recently garnered much attention [11–17]. These rely solely on transient modifications of the single-particle band structure. Different from static topological insulators, such Floquet topological insulators (FTIs) can be tuned via amplitude, frequency, and polarization of the pump light, making them versatile and easier to control.

Graphene irradiated with circularly-polarized light constitutes a paradigmatic example of an FTI. Pristine graphene enjoys time-reversal and inversion symmetry, and has massless Dirac fermions at K and K’. Haldane predicted that breaking time-reversal symmetry opens a gap at the Dirac points, triggering a transition to a Chern insulator[18]. A natural nonequilibrium realization follows from pumping graphene with circularly polarized pump light, which induces chiral edge modes at the sample boundary that span the Floquet bandgap of the photon-dressed electronic system [19–22], and it has been shown that the topology of an irradiated graphene nanoribbon can be tuned either via the pump frequency and/or amplitude[23, 24]. Previous characterizations of Floquet topological states in graphene nanoribbons have mainly focused on transport properties[11, 19, 22, 25–28], especially Floquet generalizations of the quantum anomalous Hall effect. For example, McIver et al.[29] observed the light-induced anomalous Hall effect in graphene under circularly polarized light. They observed a plateau of Hall conductance when the Fermi energy lies within the light-induced gap, but theoretical calculations[30] suggest a population imbalance of carriers – in addition to a change of topology – as the root
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In this paper, we demonstrate that trRIXS can provide direct state-selective evidence of the induction of transient edge modes. Remarkably, we show that the state selectivity of trRIXS entails that photo-induced chiral edge modes feature prominently in the multi-particle channel when the intermediate state has a relatively long lifetime. Combined with tuning of the incoming photon energy in trRIXS, this permits selective experimental probes of two classes of Floquet edge modes[39], which either bridge the photo-induced gaps at the Dirac point or induced hybridization gaps at energies ±Ω/2 away from the Dirac point, as a function of pump photon frequency Ω. Our results demonstrate a way for a direct and conclusive experimental characterization of Floquet edge modes in FTIs, in graphene and beyond.

II. PUMP-PROBE SPECTROSCOPIES FOR HIGH PUMP FREQUENCY

We present trARPES and trRIXS calculations for a 60-atom wide zigzag graphene nanoribbon under a circularly polarized pulsed laser pump. The details of the model and the pump mechanism are explained in the Methods section. We first discuss the high-frequency pump Ω = 6.2ℏ/τ in this section, which is off-resonant since it is larger than the equilibrium bandwidth (6ℏ).
Figure 2(a)-(e) show the trARPES spectra in the presence of the high-frequency pump. Before the pump pulse, the trARPES spectrum shows occupied electronic states at zero temperature, including the lower half of the Dirac cone (corresponding to \( k = \pm 2\pi/3 \)) and the \( \omega = 0 \) edge states at large momenta. As the pump pulse turns on, it transiently manipulates the single-particle states. The bandwidth of bulk states is renormalized due to Floquet photon dressing. At the same time, the edge states in the nanoribbon system[40] become dispersive as a consequence of gap opening at the Dirac points due to time-reversal symmetry breaking. The nonequilibrium band structure can be quantitatively obtained from the Floquet theory, by approximating the pump with a periodic oscillation with the same instantaneous pump amplitude \( A(t) \) [see the Supplementary Information 2]. With the off-resonant pump, after the pump pulse, the trARPES spectrum returns to its equilibrium value since the pump cannot inject any resonant excitations. [52]

The trRIXS spectrum requires the incoming photon energy \( \omega_1 \) tuned close to the atomic K-edge energy \( E_0 \). We consider the \( \omega_1 = E_0 \) condition and core-hole lifetime \( \sigma_{ch} = 5.46\text{fs}[41] \), and examine a full-range of transferred momentum in this section, postponing discussions of resonances and core-hole lifetimes to Sec. III. Figure 2(f)-(j) gives an overview of the trRIXS spectra at five different times corresponding to the trARPES snapshots. Before the pump pulse enters (\( t = -4\sigma_{pu} \)), the equilibrium RIXS spectrum roughly depicts the charge excitations. The particle-hole continuum starts at zero momentum and energy, reflecting the scatterings of electrons near the Dirac points. This continuum becomes gapless at \( q = 4\pi/3 \) due to scattering between the two Dirac points. In addition to this, distinct features of zigzag graphene nanoribbons are the flat excitations near \( q = 0 \) and \( q = 4\pi/3 \) (magnified in the red and pink zoom-in view respectively), which correspond to trivial bound states at the edge.

In the presence of the pump field, a gap opens near the Dirac points, as previously shown by trARPES. In trRIXS, the gap is reflected in the hardening of the bottom of the spectral continuum at \( q = 0 \) and \( q = 4\pi/3 \) [see Figs.2(g)-(i)]. Simultaneously, the flat edge excitations become dispersive, signifying the appearance of chirally-propagating edge modes. Furthermore, trRIXS also exhibits a softening at the top of the spectrum, altogether leading to a squeezing of the compact support. This is a consequence of the Floquet band renormalization, which reduces the single-particle bandwidth by around \( \mathcal{J}_0[A(t)] \)[42, 43].

After the pump pulse, the trRIXS spectrum recovers following the reversal of time, as shown in Fig. 2(j). Note that the recovery is not guaranteed in general because a generic pump pulse can inject energy and particle-hole excitations to the system, resulting in changes of electron occupations after the pump. However, here due to the off-resonance of the pump field and the lack of interaction, states within the same sideband have a negligible transition rate. Thus the electrons cannot reach the initially empty states, and no remnant excitations exist in this system after the pump finishes.

### III. Analysis and Discussion for TRRIXS Spectra

To better understand the origin of the new features emergent in the pumped graphene nanoribbon, we apply an extra spatial filter in the numerical calculation through a Gaussian envelope whose center is denoted by \( y_b \) [see Methods for details]. Physically, the filter highlights RIXS signals from the bulk or edge of the nanoribbon, selectively tuned as one changes the center \( y_b \). Figure 3 (a)-(c) show the filtered trRIXS signals from the upper edge, lower edge, and ribbon center respectively. We recognize that different parts of the nanoribbon are responsible for different features in the spectra. The upper edge contributes to a linear low energy mode with a positive slope near \( q = 0 \), while the lower edge contributes to a similar mode but with a negative slope near \( q = 2\pi/3 \). The central part of the ribbon is gapped, and there are no excitations below \( \omega \approx 0.45\Delta\omega \). These results verify that the linear low-energy modes near \( q = 0 \) and \( q = 2\pi/3 \) are indeed edge modes, while the bulk nanoribbon is gapped. A more quantitative analysis comparing to Floquet single-particle spectrum can be found in the Supplementary Information 4 and Supplementary Fig. 2.

To reveal the origin of the edge features, we take...
FIG. 4: **TrRIXS at the pump center under different incoming photon energy \( \omega_i \) and \( \sigma_{ch} \).** (a)-(d) The trRIXS spectrum at \( t = 0 \) under different incoming photon energies. From (a) to (d), \( \omega_i - \omega_0 \) is \(-0.34t_h\)\((-0.93eV)\), \(0.04t_h(0.12eV)\), \(0.25t_h(0.69eV)\), and \(0.52t_h(1.41eV)\), respectively. They share the colorbar next to (d). Other parameters are the same as Section II. (e) The corresponding Floquet spectrum of the graphene nanoribbon around the Dirac point gap. The four dashed lines from bottom to top designate different \( \omega_i - \omega_0 \) in (a)-(d) respectively, using the colors that are the same as the corresponding frame edges. (f) The (time-dependent) charge dynamic structure factor of the graphene nanoribbon at the pump center. (g)(h) The trRIXS snapshots for different core-hole lifetimes \( \sigma_{ch} \) as shown on their titles.

advantage of state selectivity in RIXS and vary the incoming photon energy \( \omega_i \) near the absorption edge \( \omega_0 \). Figure 4 shows the trRIXS spectra in a range of small energy loss \( \Delta \omega \) for different \( \omega_i \)’s. To guide the eye for the corresponding intermediate states, we also denote the positions of these \( \omega_i \)’s in the Floquet spectrum in Fig. 4(e). For \( \omega_i = \omega_0 - 0.34t_h \), the photo-electron in the intermediate state does not have enough energy to occupy any available single-particle state, leading to a blank trRIXS spectrum. With the increase of \( \omega_i - \omega_0 \) above the valence band, the photo-electron overlaps with the unoccupied density of states, giving finite trRIXS spectral weight. The \( \omega_i - \omega_0 \) can be further divided into three different ranges: when \( \omega_i - \omega_0 \) is within the gap of the bulk Floquet spectrum, the photo-electron can only occupy the edge states, resulting in an evident edge feature [see Fig. 4(b)]; when \( \omega_i - \omega_0 \) reaches the conduction band, the high-energy particle-hole excitations across the bulk bandgap start to appear in the trRIXS spectrum [see Fig. 4(c)]; finally, with even larger \( \omega_i \), the photo-electron can no longer stay in an edge state, and the edge features gradually disappear in trRIXS [see Fig. 4(d)]. Particularly, since \( \omega_i - \omega_0 \) goes farther beyond the gap, the bulk excitations are bounded by the energy difference between the intermediate state and the top of the valence band and therefore hardens beyond the given range of \( \Delta \omega \). Videos of the trRIXS snapshots as \( \omega_i \) sweeps can be found in the Supplementary Videos. Therefore, using the state selectivity provided by the incoming photon energy, we can further associate the edge features in trRIXS with specific single-particle states. In Sec. IV, we will show how this property helps decipher different kinds of edge states for low pump frequency.

Since the state selectivity of trRIXS stems from the resonant intermediate state, the edge features should depend on the core-hole lifetime. In RIXS, the interpretation of spectral intensity has been restricted to dynamical charge or spin structure factors, where the cross-section is simplified by assuming an ultrashort core-hole lifetime (UCL)[42, 44–46]. This is reasonable for properties where the details of the intermediate state may be less relevant. However, as shown in Fig 4(f), the edge features are almost invisible in the dynamic structure factor \( N(q, \omega) \) compared to the intense bulk features. Instead, if we increase the core-hole lifetime \( \sigma_{ch} \) to finite values (1.09fs and 5.46fs), the edge features become more apparent, relative to the bulk [see Figs. 4(g) and (h)]. Since 1D edge states would naively be expected to have a small cross section compared to two-dimensional bulk states, the spectral weight associated with edge states is usually dwarfed by the bulk signal in both ARPES and \( N(q, \omega) \). However, via appropriate choice of the incident photon energy, one can selectively highlight intermediate states, which are connected to final states dominated by the Floquet edge state. A longer core-hole lifetime increases the impact of this topological intermediate state.
to the entire cross-section and enhances the edge features in the trRIXS spectrum. Therefore, the capability of trRIXS in resolving topological edge states lies in its nonlinearity beyond the UCL approximation. Similar core-hole lifetime induced nonlinear effects have been discussed in equilibrium RIXS of correlated materials in terms of bimagnon excitations[47, 48].

IV. DETECTING DIFFERENT EDGE MODES AT LOW PUMP FREQUENCY

Previous studies of the Floquet single-particle spectrum suggested that topological edge modes of a graphene nanoribbon reside in two possible kinds of band gaps: either the Dirac point gaps at energy $n\Omega$ or the dynamical band gaps at energy $(n+1/2)\Omega$[21]. While it is hard to directly characterize the latter ones in transport experiments[27], we expect that trRIXS can distinguish these edge modes with momentum resolution. Since these edge modes are absent for a non-resonant pump, we switch to a smaller pump frequency $\Omega = 3t_h = 8.1eV$ in this section, which is smaller than the equilibrium bandwidth. Other pump and probe conditions remain the same as Sec. II. As shown in Fig. 5(a), the new pump results in edge states in the dynamical gap at around $\omega = 1.5t_h$, different from the one arising at the two Dirac points. The electron occupation of these single-particle states also becomes more complicated than the case of the non-resonant pump [see the Supplementary Information 2 and Supplementary Fig. 1 for detailed discussions].

To distinguish the different edge states, we tune the incoming photon energy in the trRIXS and take advantage of the selectivity of the intermediate states [see Figs. 5(b)-(d)]. For $\omega_i = E_0$, linear dispersions rise near $q = 0$ and $q = 2\pi$ similar to Fig. 2(h), corresponding to the edge state near the Dirac points in Fig. 5(a). With larger $\omega_i$ in Fig. 5(c), the edge features are no longer visible, leaving the spectrum dominated by incoherent spectral continuum at low energy. This is because at $\omega_i = E_0 + t_h$, the excited electron in the intermediate state lies in the continuum of bulk states. For even larger $\omega_i = E_0 + 1.5t_h$, the trRIXS displays linear edge features again. Different from Fig. 5(b), there are two extra edge features dispersing from $q = \pi$. These stem from the particle-hole excitations between the edge states in a small dynamical gap (about 0.2$t_h$) selected by the incoming photon energy. More specifically, the scattering between left- and right-moving edge states leads to an offset of $\sim \pi$ in momentum and correspond to the features near $q = \pi$, while the scattering within the same edge state gives the linear features near $q = 0$ and $2\pi$, similar to Fig. 5(b). In addition to the edge states, one can also observe a feature starting at $\Delta\omega \approx 0.2t_h$, $q = 0$ which indicates a bulk gap of size $0.2t_h$. Therefore, trRIXS can characterize the collective excitations associated with both the bulk and two different kinds of edge states through the control of incident photon energy. When the dynamical gap is smaller than the resolution of trARPES, trRIXS provides a unique way to detect these edge states.

V. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we numerically predicted trARPES and trRIXS spectra for a zigzag graphene nanoribbon under circularly polarized irradiation. These spectroscopic probes directly map the electronic states in pumped graphene nanoribbons as well as the particle-hole excitations with time, momentum, and energy resolution. We further decomposed the spectral contributions from the upper edge, the lower edge, and the bulk by adding local filters. We also investigated the influence of incoming photon energy and core-hole lifetime to the trRIXS spectra and showed that they are crucial for selecting the excitations with corresponding intermediate state energy, which is particularly useful for separating different kinds of edge modes when the pump frequency is low. These results illustrate new methods to detect and understand the behaviors of pumped graphene nanoribbon.

We stress that the pump-probe methods studied in this paper are not limited to graphene nanoribbon; the same trARPES and trRIXS experiments will also apply to other topological materials (e.g. transition metal dichalcogenide (TMDC) under circularly polarized light[49]). Since carbon has a shallow x-ray edge energy (around 285eV[50]) which could not cover enough range of the Brillouin zone, the graphene nanoribbon is not the best material for tr-RIXS. TMDC, on the other hand, are better choices because of higher x-ray edges (e.g. S $K$-edge or Se $L$-edge). We believe this paper will guide future experiments to conclusively characterize photoinduced topological states of matter.
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We note that typical laser-ARPES experiments are restricted by the probe photon energy and cannot access momenta as large as 2\pi/3. With further development of trARPES instrumentation using ultrafast x-ray sources (such as free-electron lasers), the relevant momenta will likely become accessible in the near future.

Model of the Graphene Nanoribbon

We use a single-band tight-binding Hamiltonian $H = - \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} t_{ij} c_i^\dagger c_j$ on a honeycomb lattice to simulate the $2p_x$ band of the graphene nanoribbon without explicitly inclusion of various orbits, where $c_j$ annihilates an electron at site $j$. All dangling bonds are terminated by hydrogen atoms, leaving negligible contributions to the electronic states near the Fermi level $E_F$\cite{40}. Since the system is translational invariant along the $x$ direction, one can define a unit cell with $N$ atoms (indicated by the red box in Fig. 1):

$$C_l = (c_{l,0}, c_{l,1}, \cdots, c_{l,N-1})^T.$$ (1)

Here, we relabel the coordinate of lattice by $(l, \alpha)$ as indicated in Fig. 1. We truncate the tight-binding model to only nearest-neighbor hopping $t_h = 2.7 eV$. The calculations assume zero temperature and half filling.

In momentum space, let $C_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum e^{-i k l} C_l$, the Hamiltonian for a zigzag graphene nanoribbon can be written as

$$H(t) = -t_h \sum_k \left( \sum_{\alpha=0}^{N-2} c_{k,\alpha+1}^\dagger c_{k,\alpha} + \sum_{n=0}^{N-2} c_{k,k+\Delta k,4n+1}^\dagger c_{k,k,4n} 
+ \sum_{n=0}^{N-4} e^{i k D} c_{k,k,4n+\Delta} c_{k,k,4n+2} + h.c. \right)$$ (2)

where $[\cdot]$ denotes the floor function. In this work, we adopt $N = 60$ and number of unit cells as $L = 100$ with periodic boundary condition along the nanoribbon. Unless otherwise specified, we take the natural unit by setting $a_0 = e = h = 1$.

Out of equilibrium, we take the long-wavelength approximation and describe the light-matter interaction through the Peierls substitution $c_{l,\alpha} \rightarrow c_{l,\alpha} \exp[-iA(t) \cdot r_{l,\alpha}]$ \cite{51}. Here $r_{l,\alpha}$ is the position of the carbon atom at lattice coordinate $(l, \alpha)$, and $A(t)$ denotes the vector potential of the pump laser field. The Hamiltonian of a pumped graphene nanoribbon becomes

$$H(t) = -t_h \sum_k \left( \sum_{\alpha=0}^{N-2} e^{i A(t) \cdot d_{\alpha,\alpha+1}^\dagger c_{k,\alpha+1} c_{k,\alpha}} 
+ \sum_{n=0}^{N-4} e^{i A(t) \cdot d_{2,\Delta} e^{-i k D} c_{k,k+\Delta k,4n+1}^\dagger c_{k,k,4n}} 
+ \sum_{n=0}^{N-4} e^{i A(t) \cdot d_{1,\Delta} e^{i k D} c_{k,k+\Delta k,4n+\Delta} c_{k,k,4n+2}} + h.c. \right)$$ (3)

where $d_{\alpha,\beta} = r_{\beta} - r_{\alpha}$ is the difference of the positions of site $\beta$ and site $\alpha$ within the same unit cell.
Formalism for trARPES and trRIXS

In the calculation of trARPES and trRIXS, we employ a circular-polarized pump field

\[ A(t) = A_0 e^{-i \sigma_{pu} (\cos(\Omega t) - \hat{\epsilon}_y \sin(\Omega t))}, \]  

with dimensionless maximum pump amplitude \( A_0 = 0.9 \) and width of the pump pulse \( \sigma_{pu} = 150 \text{fs}^{-1} = 94.2 \text{fs} \). For \( \Omega = 6.27 \text{eV} \) and \( \Omega = 3t \), the peak pump strength \( A_0 = 0.9 \) corresponds to electric field strengths of \( \epsilon_k = 10.6 \text{V} \text{Å}^{-1} \) and \( \epsilon_0 = 5.1 \text{V} \text{Å}^{-1} \), respectively, with \( A_0 = c \epsilon_0 a_0 / h \omega \), where \( e \) is the electron charge. To resolve the transient Floquet states induced by the pump, the probe width \( \sigma_{pr} \) should satisfy \( 2 \pi / \Omega \ll \sigma_{pr} \ll \sigma_{pu} \). Therefore, we select \( \sigma_{pr} = 23 \text{eV}^{-1} = 14.4 \text{fs} \) for the trARPES and trRIXS calculations.

The trARPES cross section can be written as [31]

\[ A(k, \omega, t) \propto \int \int \int \int dt_1 dt_2 g(t_1; t) g(t_2; t) e^{i \omega (t_1 - t_2) G^c_k(t_2, t_1)} \]

where \( G^c_k(t_2, t_1) = i \sum_{\alpha} \langle C^\dagger_{k,\alpha}(t_2) C_{k,\alpha}(t_1) \rangle \) is the lesser Green’s function, \( g(\tau; t) = t \) is the lineshape of the probe pulse centered at time \( t \), and a proper prefactor for Eq. (5) is chosen to be \( \sigma_{pr} / \sqrt{\pi} \) (see more explanations in Supplementary Information 1). Here, we employ a Gaussian profile to mimic the realistic probe pulse

\[ g(\tau; t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \sigma_{pr}}} \exp\left[-\frac{(\tau - t)^2}{\sigma_{pr}^2}\right]. \]

Without considering the material-specific matrix elements, the trRIXS cross-section is written as [43]

\[ I(\omega, \omega', q) = \int \int \int \int \int dt_1 dt_2 \int \int \int \int dt'_1 dt'_2 \int \int \int \int dt'_3 dt'_4 \int \int \int \int dt'_5 \]

\[ \times l(t_1, t_2) l'(t_1, t_2) l(t_2, t_1) g(t_1; t) g(t_2; t) g(t'_1; t) g(t'_2; t) \times \sum_{m,n} e^{i q \cdot (R_m - R_n)} S^{mn}_{k,i}(t_1, t_2, t'_1, t'_2) \]

where \( \omega(t) \) is the incoming (outgoing) photon energy, \( q \) is the momentum transfer, \( R_m \) is the lattice position at site \( m \). The core-hole decay function \( l(t_j, t_i) = \exp(-|t_j - t_i| / \sigma_{ch}) \) describes the lifetime effect of the core-hole induced by a resonant absorption. The four-time correlation function

\[ S^{mn}_{k,i}(t_1, t_2, t'_1, t'_2) = U(\sigma_{pr}, t) D_{mn} U(t_1, t_2) D_{mn} U(t_2, t_1) \]

\[ \times U(t'_1, t'_2) D_{mn} U(t'_1, t'_2) D_{mn} U(t'_1, t'_2) \]

depicts the multi-time correlations of resonant excitations. Here, \( D_{mn} \) is the dipole operator at site \( m \) when the light polarization is labeled by \( e_i \), the detailed derivations of the trRIXS cross-section equation (7) can be found in Ref. 43.

Here for the quasi-1D graphene nanoribbons, we take \( q = (q, 0) \) and scan \( q \) along the direction of the ribbon. Under equation (3), equation (7) can be simplified using

\[ \sum_{m,n} e^{i q \cdot (R_m - R_n)} S^{mn}_{k,i}(t_1, t_2, t'_1, t'_2) \]

\[ = \sum_{m,n} e^{i q \cdot (R_m - R_n)} \langle C_{k+q,\beta}(t'_1) C_{k,\beta}(t'_2) C_{k,\alpha}(t_2) C_{k+q,\alpha}(t_1) \rangle \]

Here we have implicitly assumed that the kinetic energy of the core-hole is negligible at the ultralast timescale and therefore only kept two site indices \( (\alpha, \beta) \) in each term. The mathematical evaluation of equation (9) is explained in Supplementary Information 3.

Spatial Filters of trRIXS Features

A spatial filter is added to the graphene nanoribbon by substituting equation (9) with

\[ \sum_{m,n} e^{i q \cdot (R_m - R_n)} \frac{e^{-(x_0 - y_0)^2 / 2 \pi \sigma^2}}{2 \pi \rho \sigma^2} S^{mn}_{k,i}(t_1, t_2, t'_1, t'_2) \]

where \( y_0 \) is the position of the filter center along \( y \) axis, \( e_y \) is the unit vector along the \( y \) axis, \( \sigma_x \) is the width of the filter which is taken to be 2.

Reducing TrRIXS to Charge Dynamic Structure Factor

In an extreme case of UCL, the core-hole lifetime \( \sigma_{ch} = 0 \). Then in equation (7), we take \( l(t_1, t_2) = \delta(t_1 - t_2) \). Equation (7) becomes

\[ I(\Delta \omega, q, t) = \int dt_1 \int dt_1' e^{i \Delta \omega (t_1' - t_1)} s(t_1, t_1) s(t_1', t_1') \times \sum_{k,\alpha,\beta} e^{i q \cdot (R_m - R_n)} \langle C_{k+q,\beta}(t'_1) C_{k,\beta}(t'_2) C_{k,\alpha}(t_2) C_{k+q,\alpha}(t_1) \rangle \]

where \( s(t_1, t) = g^2(t_1, t) \). Define the charge density \( \rho_q(t) = \sum_{k,\alpha} \exp(iq \cdot R_m) C_{k+q,\alpha}(t) C_{k,\alpha}(t) \). Then the trRIXS cross section is just the time-dependent charge dynamic structure factor

\[ N(q, \omega, t) = \int dt_1 \int dt_1' e^{i \omega (t_1' - t_1)} s(t_1, t) s(t_1', t) \times \langle \rho_q(t_1') \rho_{-q}(t_1) \rangle \].
Data Availability

The numerical and experimental data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.
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