Risk assessment indicators and brachial-ankle pulse wave velocity to predict atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease
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Abstract
Brachial-ankle pulse wave velocity (baPWV) is used for predicting the severity of vascular damage and prognosis of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) in people with hypertension and diabetes mellitus. This correlation study aimed to compare the baPWV with other risk indicators for identification of subclinical vascular disease for primary prevention and to determine the clinical utility of baPWV-guided therapy in improving prognosis in high-risk subjects.

We included 4881 subjects who underwent voluntary health examination at Mackay Memorial Hospital, Taiwan between 2014 and 2019. Participants were categorized into the low-risk (<5%), borderline-risk (5%–7.4%), intermediate-risk (7.5%–19.9%), and high-risk (≥20%) groups based on the 10-year risk for ASCVD. The predictive risk criteria, that is, the metabolic syndrome score, Framingham Risk Score, estimated glomerular filtration rate, and baPWV were compared among these groups. The chi-square test was used to detect the influence of each risk indicator on the cardiovascular risk scoring system (vascular age) significantly from the low-risk to high-risk groups (P < .001). The mean estimated glomerular filtration rate decreased significantly from the low-risk to high-risk groups (P < .001). The predicted vascular age and actual age differed significantly between the intermediate- and high-risk groups (P < .001). High-density lipoprotein levels plummeted significantly among the 4 groups (P < .001). The individual and right left baPWV and ankle brachial index differed significantly among the 4 groups (all P < .001) and increased from the low-risk to high-risk groups (P < .001). Carotid Doppler ultrasonography revealed a significant increase in plaque formation (34.8%, 50.6%, 48.3%, and 61.5% for the low-, borderline-, intermediate, and high-risk groups, respectively). The total explanatory variation of 2 principal variations was 61.9% for 2 principal variation factors (baPWV, 36.8% and creatinine, 25.1%). The vascular age predicted using baPWV greatly exceeded the chronological age. Plaque formation was significant even in the low-risk group, and its frequency increased with the predicted ASCVD risk. Risk indicators and baPWV are useful predictors of ASCVD, which in conjunction with conventional pharmacotherapy could be useful for primary prevention of plaque formation in subjects with cardiovascular comorbidities.

Abbreviations:
- ABI = ankle brachial index
- ASCVD = atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease
- CI = confidence interval
- CRP = C-reactive protein
- CVD = cardiovascular disease
- baPWV = brachial-ankle pulse wave velocity
- DBP = diastolic blood pressure
- DM = diabetes mellitus
- eGFR = estimated Glomerular filtration rate
- FRS = Framingham Risk Score
- HbA1c = hemoglobin A1c
- HDL = high-density lipoprotein cholesterol
- HR = hazard ratio
- hsCRP = high-sensitivity C-reactive protein
- LDL = low-density lipoprotein cholesterol
- MLR = monocyte-to-lymphocyte ratio
- MS = metabolic syndrome
- NLR = neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio
- PLR = platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio
- ROC = receiver operating characteristic
- SBP = systolic blood pressure
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1. Introduction
Cardiovascular disease (CVD) causes tremendous health and economic burdens in the United States and globally. For decades, experts and scholars have been discussing the associated risk factors and have advocated the adoption of a healthy lifestyle as an important factor in preventing CVDs. The 2019 American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association guidelines on the primary prevention of CVD aim to promote the delivery of patient-centered care, which applies to all aspects of clinical practice, for the primary prevention of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD). As per the 10-year ASCVD risk evaluation for the management of blood cholesterol, adults should be categorized as having low (<5%), borderline (5%–7.4%), intermediate (7.5%–19.9%), or high (≥20%) 10-year risk.[1-3]

Recent studies have indicated that ASCVD is associated with multiple risk factors.[1] A noninvasive method can be used for estimating arterial stiffness, as it is a predictor of the severity of vascular damage and the prognosis of CVD. Moreover, arterial stiffness is implicated in a vicious cycle involving the development and progression of hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and chronic kidney disease. An increase in arterial stiffness is believed to contribute to the development of CVD through pathophysiological abnormalities induced in the heart, brain, kidney, and arteries.[1-3]

Various risk assessment indicators are used to evaluate CVD, such as metabolic syndrome (MS) score, according to the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP ATP III). The Framingham Risk Score (FRS) is used to estimate the 10-year coronary heart disease risk. The estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) risk calculator for pediatric chronic kidney disease suggests the use of inflammatory biomarkers such as C-reactive protein (CRP), high-sensitivity CRP, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), monocyte-to-lymphocyte ratio (MLR), and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR) to predict the occurrence of diseases caused by inflammatory reactions.[9-18]

Brachial-ankle pulse wave velocity (baPWV) measurement is a simple tool to predict the severity of vascular damage and the prognosis of CVD in subjects with hypertension and diabetes. The measurement of baPWV has been validated, and the reproducibility of baPWV has been previously reported. We sought to determine whether baPWV could be used as an indicator or predictor in ASCVD, and if CVD risk could be prevented using a simple, noninvasive method for ASCVD risk assessment.[19-25]

The study aimed to investigate whether the ASCVD risk score more effectively identifies subclinical vascular disease for application in primary prevention compared with baPWV and other risk indicators. To further confirm the clinical usefulness of this measure, we examined whether baPWV measurement could improve the prognosis in high-risk subjects.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Study population and design
All participant information was anonymized and de-identified prior to analysis. The Institutional Review Board of our institution (MacKay Memorial Hospital) approved this retrospective study (IRB No: 20MMHIS175e). All patient information was anonymized and de-identified prior to analysis. Approval to perform retrospective research using secondary data was granted by the Institutional Review Board (20MMHIS175e), and our study was performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations. We retrospectively collected the data of adult patients (7769) who underwent voluntary health evaluations at the Health Evaluation Center, Mackay Memorial Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan, from 2014 to 2019. Data on demographic characteristics such as age and sex, blood pressure measurement, health questionnaire, physiological consultation, laboratory measurements, baPWV and ankle brachial index (ABI) measurements, and information on color Doppler carotid artery sonography and computed tomography angiography were collected. We excluded participants with incomplete physiological data, as well as those with incomplete anthropometric measurements, laboratory data, and reports. The final cohort comprised 4881 participants who were categorized as low risk (<5%), borderline risk (5%–7.4%), intermediate risk (7.5%–19.9%), and high risk (≥20%) according to the 10-year risk for ASCVD, and compared using other risk prediction criteria such as MS, FRS, and eGFR. Statistical analysis was performed using laboratory data, baPWV measurements, data on carotid artery calcification, and receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves.

Figure 1 outlines the selection of study participants and subjects excluded from the final analysis.

2.2. Anthropometric measurements
Anthropometric measurements were performed using G-TECH GL-150 (G-Tech Co., Ltd, Gyeonggi-do, Korea) and included height, weight, and body mass index. Systolic (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) measurements were obtained using a GE CareScape V100 Vital Signs Monitor (GE, USA), and blood pressure accuracy was determined using American National Standards Institute/Accord. For the advancement of Medical Instrumentation standard SP-10:1992 (mean error ≤ 5 mm Hg, standard deviation ≤ 8 mm Hg).

Waist circumference was measured using a measuring tape (KING LIFE 1.5 m–3 ft KP-1508) at the umbilical level, and hip circumference was also measured.

The 10-year risk for ASCVD was categorized as low risk (<5%), borderline risk (5%–7.4%), intermediate risk (7.5%–19.9%), and high risk (≥20%) according to the 2019 American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Guidelines on the Primary Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. The subjects had an age range of 40 to 75 years, with low-density lipoprotein cholesterol values in the range of 70 to 190 mg/dL (1.8–4.9 mmol/L), without diabetes mellitus.

MS criteria were defined according to the NCEP ATP III criteria, and included the presence of at least 3 of the following: SBP ≥ 130 mm Hg or DBP ≥ 85 mm Hg and/or use of antihypertensive medications; fasting glucose ≥ 100 mg/dL and/or use of antidiabetic medications; hypertriglyceridemia ≥ 150 mg/dL; high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) levels <40 mg/dL for women and <50 mg/dL for men; and waist circumference, women ≥ 80 cm and men, ≥90 cm.

FRSs were calculated based on 6 coronary risk factors, including age, sex, total cholesterol, HDL-C, SBP, and smoking habits. Absolute CVD risk percentage over 10 years was classified as low-risk (<10%), intermediate-risk (10%–20%), and high-risk (≥20%). The cutoff values for calculation based on NCEP ATP III criteria 10-year risk percentages were calculated using total points (1 point, 6%; 2 points, 8%; 3 points, 10%; 4 points, 12%; 5 points, 16%; 6 points, 20%; 7 points, 25%; and 8 points or more, ≥30%). The Modification of Diet in Renal Disease equation was as follow:

\[ eGFR = 186 \times \text{Scr}^{-1.154} \times \text{Age}^{0.203} \times 0.742 \text{ (if female)} \]

when Scr is serum creatinine concentration.

2.3. Laboratory measurements
The measurements were performed in a TAF ISO-15189-accredited laboratory. Blood samples were collected after overnight fasting (8–10 hours), and fasting glucose (mg/dL), total cholesterol (mg/dL), triglycerides (mg/dL), HDL-C levels, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels, creatinine levels, and CRP levels were analyzed using UniCel DxC 800 Synchron Clinical Systems (Beckman Coulters Corporation, USA).
A high-pressure liquid chromatography machine (Variant II, Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) was used to measure the hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) levels. The white blood cell count, platelet count, and leukocyte subtypes were determined using an auto analyzer (Coulter LH 780 Hematology Analyzer, Beckman Coulter Corporation, USA) and urine protein using an analyzer (Siemens Clinitek Novus, Germany). Testing for protein is based on the phenomenon called the “Protein Error of pH indicators,” and the changes of various colors represent the amount of protein in various concentrations.

The NLR, MLR, and PLR were calculated as follows: NLR = neutrophil count ($x10^9$/L)/lymphocyte count ($x10^9$/L), MLR = monocyte count ($x10^9$/L)/lymphocyte count ($x10^9$/L), and PLR = platelet count ($x10^9$/L)/lymphocyte count($x10^9$/L). [26]

2.4. baPWV and ABI measurements

A noninvasive vascular screening device was used to evaluate arterial stiffness by measuring the baPWV using an Omron waveform analyzer (VP-1000PLUS Omron Japan); ABI and PWV were measured as an index of arterial wall stiffness; the normal reference range for baPWV is <1400 cm/s, indicating increased arterial stiffness.

2.5. Color Doppler carotid artery sonography

Color Doppler carotid artery sonography is a noninvasive examination for evaluating the risk of ASCVD (HD15 ultrasound system, Philips, USA). Ultrasonography of the common carotid artery, carotid bifurcation, and internal carotid artery of the left and right carotid arteries was performed using a 7.5-MHz linear-array transducer (ATL Ultra-Mark IV), standard method to measure the intima–media thickness, plaques (localized protruding lesions of ≥ 1.1 mm), and stenosis for evaluating the degree of arteriosclerosis, particularly in the carotid arteries.

2.6. Statistical analysis

1.2.6. Statistical analysis. Data were collected and analyzed using Excel (Microsoft, WA) and GraphPad Prism 9 software (GraphPad Software, CA). All statistical analyses were conducted...
using a 2-tailed Student t test or 1-way analysis of variance. All data are expressed as means ± standard deviations. Statistical significance was set at \( P \leq 0.05 \). For all figures: NS, \( P > 0.05 \); *, \( P \leq 0.05 \); **, \( P \leq 0.01 \); ***, \( P \leq 0.001 \); and ****, \( P \leq 0.0001 \).

### 2.2.6. Area under the ROCs.

The area under the curve (AUC) and ROC curves were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 9 software (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA) and were used to determine the optimal cutoff value associated with the maximum sensitivity and specificity for the development of ASCVD.

### 3. Results

This study involved 4881 adults who underwent health evaluations at a medical center. All subjects were classified into 4 groups according to ASCVD guidelines. Two thousand four hundred forty-nine (50.2\%) subjects were categorized as low risk (\(<5\%\)), 641 (13.1\%) subjects were categorized as borderline risk (5\%–7.4\%), 1404 (28.2\%) subjects were categorized as intermediate risk (7.5\%–19.9\%), and 387 (7.9\%) subjects were categorized as high risk (\(\geq20\%\)).

Investigating the anthropometric measurements of the 4 groups, compared with low risk, a significant increase in age (49.5, 55, 59.6, and 68 years) from low risk to high risk was observed. Furthermore, a significant increase in body mass index, SBP, and DBP from low to high risk was observed (\( P < 0.001 \)). The percentage of men in each group was 45.1\%, 55.1\%, 59.6\%, and 68 years) from low risk to high risk was observed (\( P < 0.001 \)). The percentage of participants who smoked in each group was 5\%, 19.7\%, 30.8\%, and 26.4\%, respectively (Table 1; Figure 1, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/MD/G969).

For the biochemical parameters, significant increases in triglycerides, fasting glucose, HbA1c, creatinine, and urine protein levels from low to high risk were observed (\( P < 0.001 \)). The NLR was significantly increased only in the low-risk and high-risk groups, whereas the MLR was significantly increased in intermediate-risk and high-risk groups (\( P < 0.001 \)). The percentage of par- mediate-risk and high-risk groups (\( P < 0.001 \)). The NLR was significantly increased only in the low-risk and high-risk groups, whereas the MLR was significantly increased in intermediate-risk and high-risk groups.

### 3.2.6. Principal component analysis.

Principal component analysis was performed using XLSTAT 2014.1 (Addinsoft, NY) to identify the main cause of induced responses and the relationship between these parameters. A biplot was created for both measured parameters and observations.

### 4. Discussion

The use of ASCVD risk factors to predict 10-year risk is an option for primary prevention. However, these results should be communicated during patient risk discussions to decide how to implement preventive measures, particularly to initiate medical therapy.[1–3]

In our study, we investigated the main components of ASCVD, except for the factors calculated in the risk formula. A total of 14 factors were analyzed using principal component analysis. When 2 principal variation factors were selected, the total explanatory variation was 61.9\%. The first and second principal components accumulated at 36.8\% and 25.1\%, respectively. We observed that through the analysis of these 2 main components, the low-risk group of ASCVD and high-risk group of ASCVD gradually separated into different groups (Fig. 2). After deducting the original factors used to calculate ASCVD, these 2 factors were the main variances and can be used to predict in which risk of ASCVD each factor should be.

ROC curve analysis was used to compare the screening values between ASCVD and baPWV, MS, FRS, and eGFR risk indices, and biochemical parameter values were significantly increased from low-risk to high-risk groups (AUC > 0.72–0.99; Fig. 3).

Age-related arterial stiffness (vascular age; 54.9, 62.3, 68.3, and 81.2) from low to high risk was observed (\( P < 0.001 \)). Age-related arterial stiffness (vascular age), actual age (5.4, 6.4, 8.8, and 14), hazard ratio (1.11, 1.13, 1.15, and 1.19; \( P < 0.001 \) for all groups). Color Doppler carotid artery sonography was used to investigate the 4 groups; a significant increase in plaques (local- ized protruding lesions of \( \geq 1 \) mm; 576 [23.5\%], 227 [35\%], 650 [46.3\%], and 238 [61.5\%] from low- to high-risk groups was observed (Table 1; Figure 3, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/MD/G969).

A total of 14 factors were analyzed using principal component analysis. When 2 principal variation factors were selected, the total explanatory variation was 61.9\%. The first principal component (36.8\%) was baPWV, and component 2 (25.1\%) was creatinine. The ROC curve AUC from borderline risk and intermediate risk to high risk was the baPWV (0.65, 0.74, and 0.88) and creatinine (0.65, 0.74, and 0.88). The AUC index of baPWV and creatinine in intermediate risk represents acceptable discrimination of ASCVD (0.7 ≤ AUC ≤ 0.8), and the AUC index of baPWV and creatinine in high risk represents excellent discrimination of ASCVD (0.8 ≤ AUC ≤ 0.9). Manjunath et al reported the level of kidney function and serum creatinine (1.1 mg/dL with a range of 1.0–5.0 mg/dL) as a risk factor for ASCVD; our result in high-risk subjects was 1 + 0.29 (95\% CI: 0.97–1.03, \( P < 0.001 \)).

The biochemical parameters, NLR and MLR, were significantly increased in the high-risk group (\( P < 0.001 \) and \( P < 0.001 \), respectively), but PLR was not significantly increased, which is different from the results of other studies and requires further exploration. Other risk factors for ASCVD, particularly advanced age and high blood pressure, HbA1c, and creatinine levels have been reported to be associated with an increase in arterial stiffness.[16–18]

Subjects with hypertension, diabetes, MS, chronic kidney disease, as well as aging exhibited increased baPWV.[24] Table 1 shows the comparison between vascular age predicted by baPWV analysis and acute actual for the 4 groups of participants. It can be observed that the difference between the predicted vascular age actual and age was statistically significant, with a \( P \) value of \(<0.001 \) for the intermediate- and high-risk groups and hazard ratios of 1.11 + 0.19, 1.13 + 0.21, 1.15 + 0.23, and 1.21 + 0.26, respectively. These results demonstrate that vascular age predicted using
| ASCVD risk | Low <5% | Borderline 5%–7.4% | Intermediate 7.5%–19.9% | High ≥20% |
|------------|--------|-------------------|--------------------------|----------|
| Subjects, n (%) | 2449 (50.2%) | 641 (13.1%) | 1404 (28.8%) | 387 (7.9%) |
| Age (yr) | 49.5 ± 6.2 | 55 ± 6.6 | 54.5–55.5 | <.001 | 59.6 ± 7 | 59.2–60 | <.001 | 68 ± 6.1 | 67.4–68.7 | <.001 |
| Gender, male | 1104 (45.1%) | 483 (75.4%) | 1167 (83.1%) | 337 (87.1%) | 123 (5%) | 433 (30.8%) | 102 (26.4%) | 25.6 ± 3.2 | 25.3–26 | <.001 |
| Smoking (%) | 123 (5%) | 126 (19.7%) | 433 (30.8%) | 102 (26.4%) | 25.6 ± 3.2 | 25.3–26 | <.001 |
| Body mass index (kg/m²) | 24 ± 3.6 | 25.2 ± 3.3 | 24.9–25.4 | <.001 | 25.2 ± 3.2 | 25–25.4 | <.001 | 25.6 ± 3.2 | 25.3–26 | <.001 |
| Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg) | 121.9 ± 15.9 | 129.3 ± 17.1 | 128–130.7 | <.001 | 134.4 ± 16.8 | 133.5–135.2 | <.001 | 144.4 ± 15.9 | 142.9–146 | <.001 |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg) | 74.5 ± 11.2 | 79.8 ± 11.1 | 78.9–80.7 | <.001 | 81.3 ± 10.8 | 80.8–81.9 | <.001 | 83.4 ± 10.7 | 82.3–84.5 | <.001 |
| Biochemical parameters | | | | |
| Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio | 1.93 ± 0.77 | 1.88 ± 0.78 | 1.82–1.94 | .47 | 1.95 ± 0.77 | 1.91–1.99 | .90 | 2 ± 0.89 | 2–2.18 | <.001 |
| Monocyte-to-lymphocyte ratio | 0.24 ± 0.09 | 0.24 ± 0.25 | .22 | 0.25 ± 0.09 | 0.25–0.26 | <.001 | 0.27 ± 0.1 | 0.25–0.28 | <.001 |
| Platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio | 1.49 ± 53.9 | 144.2 ± 54.4 | 139.9–148.4 | .20 | 142.2 ± 54.6 | 139–145.1 | .001 | 153.6 ± 66.8 | 147.1–160.5 | 38 |
| Cholesterol (mg/dL) | 202.6 ± 35.8 | 203 ± 36.3 | 200.2–205.9 | .99 | 204.9 ± 37.1 | 203–206.9 | .23 | 201 ± 38.2 | 197–204.9 | .86 |
| Triglycerides (mg/dL) | 112.3 ± 65.4 | 142 ± 83.2 | 135.5–148.4 | <.001 | 148.6 ± 85.9 | 143.9–153.3 | <.001 | 149 ± 89.5 | 143–153.7 | <.001 |
| HDL (mg/dL) | 56.5 ± 14.9 | 49.1 ± 12.6 | 48.2–50.1 | <.001 | 47.4 ± 12.6 | 46.7–47.8 | <.001 | 45.8 ± 11.8 | 44–64.7 | <.001 |
| LDL (mg/dL) | 127.3 ± 32.1 | 131 ± 12 | 128.7–134 | <.001 | 132 ± 33.9 | 130–134.7 | <.001 | 129.6 ± 25.3 | 125.3–135.3 | <.001 |
| Fasting glucose (mg/dL) | 0.9 ± 0.23 | 0.9 ± 0.19 | 0.88–0.91 | <.001 | 0.9 ± 0.19 | 0.9–0.93 | <.001 | 0.9 ± 0.2 | 0.9–0.93 | <.001 |
| HbA1c (%) | 5.5 ± 0.5 | 5.7 ± 0.76 | 5.7–5.8 | <.001 | 5.9 ± 0.9 | 5.8–5.9 | <.001 | 6 ± 1 | 6–6.4 | <.001 |
| Creatinine (mg/dL) | 9.0 ± 0.26 | 9.0 ± 0.19 | 8.9–9.1 | <.001 | 9.0 ± 0.19 | 8.9–9.1 | <.001 | 9 ± 0.2 | 9–9.3 | <.001 |
| Urine protein | 0.09 ± 0.23 | 0.1 ± 0.08 | 0.08–0.1 | <.001 | 0.1 ± 0.08 | 0.08–0.1 | <.001 | 0.1 ± 0.08 | 0.08–0.1 | <.001 |
| baPWV and ABI measurements | | | | |
| RbaPWV (cm/s) | 1340 ± 218 | 1467 ± 314 | 1446–1488 | <.001 | 1567 ± 314 | 1551–1584 | <.001 | 1811 ± 387 | 1772–1849 | <.001 |
| LbaPWV (cm/s) | 1351 ± 224 | 149 ± 12.6 | 148.2–150.1 | <.001 | 129 ± 14.4 | 126–127.7 | <.001 | 118 ± 16.9 | 115–131.9 | <.001 |
| RABI | 1.14 ± 0.08 | 1.15 ± 0.08 | 1.15–1.16 | <.001 | 1.16 ± 0.08 | 1.15–1.16 | <.001 | 1.16 ± 0.08 | 1.15–1.16 | <.001 |
| LABI | 1.14 ± 0.08 | 1.15 ± 0.08 | 1.15–1.16 | <.001 | 1.16 ± 0.08 | 1.15–1.16 | <.001 | 1.16 ± 0.08 | 1.15–1.16 | <.001 |
| Risk assessment indicators | | | | |
| MS | 5.5 ± 2.1 | 2.4–2.6 | 6.5–7 | <.001 | 11.5 ± 5.4 | 11.2–11.8 | <.001 | 16.3 ± 6.1 | 15.7–16.7 | <.001 |
| FRS | 90.6 ± 19.5 | 89.8–91.3 | 89.1–91.7 | <.001 | 82 ± 17.7 | 81–82.9 | <.001 | 76.3 ± 17.9 | 74.5–18.1 | <.001 |
| eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²) | 1351 ± 224 | 149 ± 12.6 | 148.2–150.1 | <.001 | 129 ± 14.4 | 126–127.7 | <.001 | 118 ± 16.9 | 115–131.9 | <.001 |
| Carotid plaque (%) | 576 (23.5%) | 623 ± 12.9 | 61.3–63.3 | <.001 | 683 ± 14.9 | 67.5–69.1 | <.001 | 81.2 ± 18 | 80–83.7 | <.001 |
| ABI = ankle brachial index, ASCVD = atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease, CI = confidence interval, baPWV = brachial-ankle pulse wave velocity, eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate, FRS = Framingham Risk Score, HbA1c = hemoglobin A1c, HDL = high-density lipoprotein, LABI = left ankle brachial index, LbaPWV = left brachial-ankle PWV, LDL = low-density lipoprotein, MS = metabolic syndrome, RbaPWV = right brachial-ankle PWV, RABI = right ankle brachial index, SD = standard deviation.
baPWV was considerably higher than the actual age, with a highly significant statistical difference. When the 4 groups underwent carotid artery sonography to detect carotid plaques, we observed plaque formation in 576 (23.5%), 227 (35.4%), 650 (46.3%), and 238 (61.5%) subjects, respectively. Therefore, there was considerable plaque formation even in the low-risk group (23.5%) and the proportion of subjects with carotid plaques increased with the predicted ASCVD risk.

5. Conclusion

For several decades, countries around the world have placed great emphasis on disease prevention methods and the implementation of healthy lifestyle changes to combat CVD. In particular, lifelong adoption of a healthy lifestyle is the most important method for the prevention of ASCVD, heart failure, and atrial fibrillation. Risk indicators and baPWV are useful predictors of ASCVD, which in conjunction with conventional
pharmacotherapy could be useful for primary prevention of plaque formation in subjects with cardiovascular comorbidities.

6. Limitations
This was a retrospective observational study that only included subjects from relatively high-income groups with elevated health awareness, which may not represent the general population. The limitations of our study are that the study subjects underwent a health examination and may or may not have been diagnosed with CVD. However, our results showed that a ROC curve analysis was used to compare the screening values between ASCVD and baPWV, MS, FRS, and eGFR.

Although this study involved prospective patient enrollment and follow-up, the study design was observational in nature and subject to limitations, including selection bias, and uncorrected confounding.
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