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ABSTRACT
A systematic DFT study is performed on (MgO)\(_n\), (CaO)\(_n\), (SrO)\(_n\) and (BaO)\(_n\) clusters with \(6 \leq n \leq 50\), and which display a cuboid \(2 \times 2 \times 2\) atomic motif seen in the bulk, rock-salt, configuration. The stability and energy progression of these clusters are used to predict the energies of infinitely long nanorods, or nanowires, slabs, and the bulk global minimum energy.
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1. Introduction
Chemistry typically takes place at the surface of a material and employing a nanoparticle form of a material beneficially increases the surface area to volume ratio. For even smaller particles, so-called nanoclusters, the atomic structure and therefore their properties would change dramatically with size. Given that an experimental technique is yet to be developed that can directly determine the atomic structure of nanoclusters, in the same way Bragg scattering, or X-ray diffraction experiments can be employed for sufficiently sized crystals. Research on nanoclusters is reliant upon computational predictive studies.\(^1\)

Experimentally observed crystal growth from nanoclusters to nanoparticles and beyond to bulk may proceed along different routes with nanostructures of diverse architecture reported in the literature that range from small bulklike crystallites to platelets, rods, tripods and flowers, wires and tubes, ultrathin films and even one-atom-thick monolayers typically grown on supports.\(^2\) In practice, realization of specific morphologies is usually kinetically controlled. The thermodynamic stability of the nanostructured objects with respect to bulk and, more generally, the way bulk properties develop upon growth in finite systems are still not fully understood within microscopic theories (although some insights are obtained from semi-qualitative models, including, e.g., quantum confinement). In this work, we apply a systematic approach\(^3\) to the investigation of how the bulk energy of a compound of interest can be estimated from the energies of finite nanoscale systems, starting with nanoclusters of alkaline earth oxides, which have applications in gas and photoactive\(^4\) clusters, another
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other hand, within the modelling community there is a high interest in finding series of representative nanostructures of computationally manageable size that can be exploited to predict physical properties and reactivity of bulk, either directly or by extrapolation.\(^7\)

Our first application of the proposed method is to alkaline earth metal oxides, the cuboid \([1\ 0\ 0]\) cuts of which as shown by experiment resemble the shape of observed nanowires.\(^8\) We predict properties of nanowires and slabs that can be constructed from the smallest cuboidal metal oxide (MO)\(_n\) nanocluster, which is in fact only metastable in the gas phase. Note, that properties of nanowires, or nanorods, and slabs have attracted interest\(^6\) due to applications in field emission devices\(^10\) and sensing.\(^11\)

Early studies of nanoclusters typically reported the tentative global energy minimum atomic configuration of a compound as a function of the number of formula units, \(n\), it is composed of. The structural motif of these global minima for a compound will also typically change with size \(n\); the smallest global minima may not resemble cuts that can be taken from the crystal structure of the bulk phase. Nanoclusters of zinc oxide is a good example of this change in non-bulklike structural motifs: the smallest global minima are rings, bubbles, double bubbles.\(^1,12\) In contrast, many of the relatively more stable global minima for the smaller nanoclusters of alkaline earth oxides have cubic atomic configurations and therefore resemble cuts from their bulk phase (the NaCl rock-salt structure).

Even if the stoichiometry is maintained, nanoclusters display a wide structural diversity. Take, for example, the relatively small (MgO)\(_n\) cluster, which is predicted to have five unique metastable structures.\(^13\) Given that the properties of materials are dependent on their atomic and electronic structure, nanoclusters can potentially display a diverse range of properties compared to their corresponding bulk.

Of the alkaline earth metal oxides (MO)\(_n\) clusters, magnesium oxide clusters, which have applications in gas and photoactive\(^14\) sensing devices, have been extensively investigated.\(^6a,15\) Structural and electronic properties have been reported for (MgO)\(_n\) clusters up to \(n = 40\), and for nanotubes up to \(n = 33\). For the smallest \((n \leq 30)\) (MgO)\(_n\) clusters, another structural motif was predicted to be that of the tentative global minima for atomic structures resembling hexagonal-barrels.\(^13a\)
Conversely the global minima clusters of the Ca, Sr, and Ba analogues show a preference for rock-salt cuts at sizes as small as \( n = 4 \) and specifically a preference for cuboid rock-salt cuts persists at arbitrarily small sizes, even where barrel shapes, bubbles or non-cuboid rock-salt cuts are also local minima.\(^{17}\)

An extrapolation approach has been previously employed for magnesium and calcium oxides using the normalized clustering energy (NCE) of the global minima in the cluster size range of \( n = 2–40\)\(^{16,18}\) to predict the energy at the bulk limit. In our early work on the stability of \((\text{MO})_n\) clusters with \( n = 2–24 \), we found that while most of these clusters have rock-salt-like global minima, not all do\(^{13a,17a}\) and, therefore, the stability trends might have an undue noise that should hinder accurate prediction. But this problem can be solved by a judicious construction of cluster series using one building unit, i.e., following the same structural motif will be demonstrated below.

In this paper, we will investigate ever-larger atomic structures that can be constructed from the \( n = 4, 2 \times 2 \times 2 \) cuboid building unit; first expanding in one, then in two, and finally in all three dimensions. These series of calculations will allow us to extrapolate the energies of a one-dimensional nanowire and a two-dimensional slab. This method will be applied to the whole series of stable alkaline earth metal oxides: MgO, CaO, SrO, and BaO. Our hypothesis is that extrapolation using data from clusters that do not include the non-cuboid global minima should yield a more accurate value of the bulk energy. We also extrapolate directly from the energy of cubic cuts to obtain an estimate of the bulk energy. In the following section we outline the methods used. After that we present our results along with a discussion and conclude with a summary of our findings.

2. Methods

2.1. Cluster Geometry Optimization

The initial unrelaxed structures for most cuboid structures for \((\text{MO})_n\) \( n \leq 25 \) were taken from our previous data\(^{13a,17a}\) while all other clusters were generated for this study with the metal-oxygen bond length set to that found in the bulk.\(^{19}\) The geometry of all clusters was optimized in FHI-aims\(^{20}\) with the PBEsol\(^{21}\) GGA functional and tight settings for the density grid, Hartree potential, basis functions cut-offs and tolerances imposed on convergence. The geometry was considered converged when the maximum residual force component per atom was below \( 1 \times 10^{-3} \) eV Å\(^{-1}\). FHI-aims tier 1 basis functions were used for all cations, which is analogous to DNPV(2d1f1g), i.e. triple numerical valence with enhanced polarization.

2.2. Cluster Band Gap Calculations

Single point calculations were performed on the optimized geometries using the PBEsol0 functional and a tight basis set. Tier 1 basis functions were used for all cations, and tier 2 for oxygen anions.

2.3. Calculation of the Normalized Clustering Energy

For all the cuboid clusters the Normalized Clustering Energy (NCE) was calculated. The NCE\(^{22}\) is a measure of how much more stable a cluster is compared to the \( n = 1 \) smallest stoichiometric global minimum configuration, i.e. the fully relaxed dimer molecule. For a total energy \( E(n) \) of a \((\text{MO})_n\) cluster, and with the corresponding energy of the \( \text{M—O} \) dimer, \( E(1) \), the normalized clustering energy is

\[
\text{NCE}(n) = \frac{E(n)}{n} - E(1)
\]

The NCE can be extended to one- two- and three-dimensional structures by taking \( n \) to be the number of formula units in the unit cell for which the energy is given; these energies will be referred to as generalized NCEs (gNCE).

2.4. Direct Calculation of the NCE for Periodic Structures

The energies of the 1D, 2D and 3D oxides were directly calculated using FHI-aims and the same functionals as for the geometry relaxation and employed periodic boundary conditions. For the one- and two-dimensional materials vacuum gaps were utilized to ensure that the separation between the materials and their periodic images was large enough that they did not interact with each other.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Building Blocks

This study focuses on clusters of the group 2 oxides in sizes ranging from \( 2 \times 2 \times 3 \) atoms, \( n = 6 \), up to \( n = 50 \) (all possible cuboids except the \( 4 \times 4 \times 5 \) cuboid). Later a direct extrapolation of the bulk energy will be obtained from the energies of the series of perfect cube cuts where we will notice that a reasonable linear fit is hampered by the inclusion of the smallest cluster. Moreover, given that the composition of the \( 2 \times 2 \times 2 \) clusters contains only three-coordinated corners atoms (see Fig. 2a), i.e. all atoms severely undercoordinated, the energy of this smallest cluster is not used in any of the three-step extrapolation. Figure 2 illustrates the nomenclature used within this paper; all cuboid...
clusters are defined in terms of $N_x \times N_y \times N_z$, where each number, $N$, is the number of atoms along the axis.

3.2. Clusters to Nanowires

Initially, the $2 \times 2 \times N_z$ clusters were considered, with the geometry optimized in FHI-aims. The NCE was calculated for $N_z$ ranging from 3 to 25 ($n = 6$ to 50) and plotted as a function of the number of formula units, $n$, shown in Fig. 3.

From Fig. 3 we see the NCE is lowest for MgO clusters and highest for BaO clusters, with the NCE for CaO, and SrO clusters approximately midway between. The similarity of NCE energy for Ca, and Sr clusters is expected due to their more similar sizes and ionization energies – shown in Table 1.

As expected, stabilizing effects increase with cluster size as well as decreasing cation size. In Fig. 3 we also observe an inverse relationship between cluster size and NCE. When the NCE is plotted as a function of $1/n$, shown in Fig. 4 a linear fit to the data can be applied, which can be extrapolated to infinitely long nanowires, as $1/n$ tends to 0.

The vertical-axis intercepts of the linear fits from Fig. 4 are the predicted generalized NCE (gNCE) for infinitely long nanowires with a $2 \times 2$ atom cross-section. Next we systematically increased the nanorods in transverse directions from $N_x = 2$ and $N_y = 2$, to $N_x = 4$ and $N_y = 4$, over a range of $N_z$ values and the NCE calculated. Linear fits of the data made to predict the energy of nanowires with various cross-sections. For example, in Fig. 5, the NCE is plotted as a function of $1/n$ for clusters with a $4 \times 4$ atom cross-section, predicts nanowire energies that are lower than the corresponding $2 \times 2$ atom cross-section analogues.

The $3 \times 3 \times N_z$ data set are limited as we have decided to only investigate charge-neutral clusters, so for this set only even values of $N_z$ were considered. The $3 \times 3 \times N_z$ data are also expected – and found – to predict higher gNCEs than even-atom diameter nanowires. This is because all the clusters used to predict the gNCE are polar – see Fig. 2d – and are less stable (higher in energy) than their non-polar counterparts. Although barium is more polarizable than the smaller cations, this effect is most prominent in (BaO)$_n$ clusters and diminishes with a decrease in cation size. Table 2 contains the intercepts

| Cluster | BaO | SrO | CaO | MgO |
|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Lattice parameter / Å | 5.54 | 5.14 | 4.81 | 4.21 |
| Metal ionization energy / eV | 5.212 | 5.695 | 6.113 | 7.646 |

Table 1 Lattice parameters of BaO, SrO, CaO and MgO$^{19}$ respectively, and the first ionization energies of the metal.$^{19b}$

Figure 2 Numbering conventions used within this paper for cuboid clusters with dimensions $N_x \times N_y \times N_z$; upper panels show constructed initial configurations, whereas lower panels the relaxed geometries of: (a) the $2 \times 2 \times 2$ (MO)$_4$ motif, (b) a $2 \times 2 \times 7$ BaO cluster, (c) a $2 \times 4 \times 8$ cluster, (d) a polar $3 \times 3 \times 4$ MgO cluster. Oxygen atoms are shown as red throughout.

Figure 3 Normalized Clustering Energy (NCE) for BaO, SrO, CaO and MgO $2 \times 2 \times N_z$ cuboid clusters over the range $N_z = 3$ to 25 ($n = 6$ to 50).

Figure 4 Normalized Clustering Energy (NCE) for $2 \times 2 \times N_z$ clusters ($N_z = 3$ to 25) as a function of $1/n$ ($n = 6$ to 50) with the corresponding linear fits. The vertical axis intercept corresponds to the predicted energy for an infinitely long nanowire with a $2 \times 2$ atom cross-section shown in the inset.

Figure 5 Normalized Clustering Energy (NCE) for $4 \times 4 \times N_z$ clusters ($N_z = 2$–6) as a function of $1/n$ ($n = 16$–48) with the corresponding linear fits. As with Fig. 4 the vertical axis intercept is the expected gNCE for a $4 \times 4$ infinite nanowire.
for the full range of clusters investigated, and their associated uncertainty.

From Table 2 we can see the predicted gNCE for an infinitely long SrO nanowire with a $2 \times 3$ atom cross-section is $4.679(7)$ eV, and that the uncertainty on the $2 \times 2$ nanowires, for which the greatest number of unique clusters were analyzed, is smaller than all others.

### 3.3. Nanowires to Slabs

The extrapolated nanowire data from Table 2 can then be used to extrapolate data further to 2D structures namely the two-, three- and four-atom thick slabs, from two-, three- and four-atom width nanowires, and is shown in Fig. 6.

As with the cluster-to-wire calculation, extrapolation of a linear fit to the data is used to predict the gNCE for two-, three- and four-atom thick slabs, given in Table 3.

### 3.4. Extrapolation to the Bulk

The slab gNCEs can be used to predict the bulk energies; as shown in Fig. 7, the vertical axis intercepts now correspond to our predicted bulk gNCE for the group 2 oxides, which has been tabulated in Table 4 and compared to the energies derived using the same exchange and correlation density functionals for the 3D periodic structures.

We compared the extrapolated bulk gNCE using the wire to slab to bulk three-step method, to the predicted gNCE when all clusters are considered in one-step. The NCE for all cubic clusters produced in this work were plotted as a function of $1/n$ and fit in a one-step process, as shown in Fig. 8 with the extrapolated bulk gNCE also given in Table 4.

The three- and one-step methods predict energies which bracket the values resulting from DFT using periodic boundary conditions (PBC). Energies derived using the one-step approach

---

**Table 2** gNCEs for infinitely long nanowires of varying cross-section $N_x \times N_y$, as extrapolated from plots such as in Figs. 4 and 5.

| $N_x \times N_y$ | MgO gNCE / eV | CaO gNCE / eV | SrO gNCE / eV | BaO gNCE / eV |
|-----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| 2 × 2           | -6.1958 ± 0.0002 | -4.8978 ± 0.0001 | -4.5315 ± 0.0003 | -3.3814 ± 0.0005 |
| 2 × 3           | -6.4211 ± 0.0052 | -5.0983 ± 0.0096 | -4.6790 ± 0.0071 | -3.4465 ± 0.0059 |
| 2 × 4           | -6.5603 ± 0.0027 | -5.2069 ± 0.0025 | -4.7828 ± 0.0031 | -3.5249 ± 0.0072 |
| 2 × 5           | -6.6379 ± 0.0025 | -5.2718 ± 0.0032 | -4.8403 ± 0.0062 | -3.5610 ± 0.0083 |
| 2 × 6           | -6.8034 ± 0.0242 | -5.3141 ± 0.0043 | -4.8778 ± 0.0074 | -3.5816 ± 0.0055 |
| 3 × 2           | -6.4211 ± 0.0052 | -5.0983 ± 0.0096 | -4.6790 ± 0.0071 | -3.4465 ± 0.0059 |
| 3 × 3           | -6.5635 ± 0.0184 | -5.2556 ± 0.0044 | -4.7828 ± 0.0031 | -3.5249 ± 0.1230 |
| 3 × 4           | -6.7464 ± 0.0137 | -5.3680 ± 0.0109 | -4.9077 ± 0.0141 | -3.5449 ± 0.0195 |
| 4 × 2           | -6.5603 ± 0.0027 | -5.2069 ± 0.0025 | -4.7828 ± 0.0031 | -3.5249 ± 0.0072 |
| 4 × 3           | -6.7464 ± 0.0137 | -5.3680 ± 0.0109 | -4.9077 ± 0.0141 | -3.5449 ± 0.0195 |
| 4 × 4           | -6.8551 ± 0.0108 | -5.4667 ± 0.0102 | -4.9981 ± 0.0157 | -3.6186 ± 0.0272 |

---

**Figure 6** Extrapolated gNCE for infinitely long nanowires shown as points on the graph, with a linear fit extrapolating to the predicted gNCE for slabs that are: (a) two atoms thick ($N_i = 2$), (b) three atoms thick ($N_i = 3$) and (c) four atoms thick ($N_i = 4$).
that includes/excludes the smallest cube clusters are significantly further/nearer to the target PBC values. The three- and one-step methods are consistently higher and lower than the target energy. Moreover, the three- and one-step methods provide energies that are closer to the target values for smaller and larger cations, respectively.

3.5 HOMO-LUMO Gaps for 2 × 2 × \( N_z \) Clusters

Additional single-point calculations were performed on the optimized 2 × 2 × \( N_z \) set of clusters using FHI-aims with the PBEsol0 functional. The overall HOMO-LUMO gap was calculated and is shown in Fig. 9b. In contrast to the bulk, the size of the HOMO-LUMO gap is not clearly correlated with cation size. To explain the observed trends, we recall that the magnesium ion has the largest difference in ionic radius as compared to the oxygen anion, which results in strong ionic relaxations away from ideal cubic lattice positions. Thus, a significant stabilization of the electronic levels for states localized on magnesium should be expected (polarization). This explains the significant drop in the position of the LUMO energies for MgO compared to the other three compounds – see Fig. 9a. The trends we observe in the HOMO energies, shown in Fig. 9a, are in qualitative agreement with experimentally derived and computational values of the ionization potentials for the respective bulk compounds.\(^\text{23}\)

Table 3 Generalized Normalized Clustering Energies for two-, three- and four-atom thick slabs (\( N_z = 2–4 \)), as extrapolated from data shown in Fig. 6.

| \( N_z \) | MgO \( g\text{NCE} / \text{eV} \) | CaO \( g\text{NCE} / \text{eV} \) | SrO \( g\text{NCE} / \text{eV} \) | BaO \( g\text{NCE} / \text{eV} \) |
|------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 2    | \(-6.9267 \pm 0.0120\) | \(-5.5219 \pm 0.0038\) | \(-5.0483 \pm 0.0428\) | \(-3.6791 \pm 0.0200\) |
| 3    | \(-7.1945 \pm 0.1381\) | \(-5.7651 \pm 0.0255\) | \(-5.2171 \pm 0.1162\) | \(-3.8502 \pm 0.3467\) |
| 4    | \(-7.1432 \pm 0.0174\) | \(-5.7188 \pm 0.0204\) | \(-5.2013 \pm 0.0312\) | \(-3.6850 \pm 0.0711\) |

Table 4 Extrapolated bulk \( g\text{NCE} \) for MgO, CaO, SrO, and BaO using the three-step method, the one-step method (A = broken, B = solid line in Fig. 8), and that directly calculated using periodic boundary conditions (PBC).

| Bulk | MgO \( g\text{NCE} / \text{eV} \) | CaO \( g\text{NCE} / \text{eV} \) | SrO \( g\text{NCE} / \text{eV} \) | BaO \( g\text{NCE} / \text{eV} \) |
|------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Three-step method | \(-7.4390 \pm 0.2067\) | \(-5.9875 \pm 0.1873\) | \(-5.3973 \pm 0.1117\) | \(-3.7983 \pm 0.2798\) |
| One-step method A | \(-8.2767\) | \(-6.4033\) | \(-5.7647\) | \(-4.0782\) |
| One-step method B | \(-7.6967\) | \(-6.1420\) | \(-5.5985\) | |
| PBC data | \(-7.5057\) | \(-6.0315\) | \(-5.5168\) | \(-3.9979\) |
The LUMO energies are still below the vacuum level, as opposed to above for the bulk, which is clearly a finite size effect that again can be traced back to the polarization. Figure 9b shows our calculated values of the HOMO-LUMO gap compared to that of MgO $2 \times 2 \times N_2$ clusters reported in [16] and CaO $2 \times 2 \times N_2$ clusters reported in the [18]. While it can be noted that the trends in the HOMO-LUMO gaps for the smaller clusters are different in this work from published in [16, 18]. The differing choice of DFT flavours, i.e. exchange and correlation functional (by necessity not exact) and choice of basis set (by necessity not complete), introduces errors, and highlights that any analysis here can only really touch on internal consistency.

The calculated HOMO-LUMO gaps can be related to the chemical hardness, calculated as ionization potential – Electron Affinity)/2. From Fig. 9b, it appears that the chemical hardness is dependent upon the size of the cation, i.e. the larger the cation the lower the hardness. For the chemically softer structures, the chemical hardness reduces with the length of the rods. In fact, strontium oxide is more similar to barium oxide than calcium oxide, which perhaps explains why the interatomic distances and lattice parameters of strontium oxide structures are closer to those of calcium oxide.

4. Conclusion

In this paper we present a systematic study of group two oxide clusters with cuboid atomic motifs. By calculation of the Normalized Clustering Energy (NCE), the generalized NCE (gNCE) for clusters with cuboid atomic motifs. By calculation of the Normalization of the Energy (NCE), the gNCE for Ca and Sr clusters arises as the dominant contribution to target energies for larger cations. Analysis of the smaller cations, whereas the one-step method shows a closer agreement to target energies for smaller cations. The three-step method (nanowire-energy than predictions from the one-step method that smallest clusters were excluded (method B) were closer to target energy than predictions from the one-step method that included smaller cations. The three-step method (nanowire-slab-bulk) predicts gNCEs closer to the target energies for smaller cations, whereas the one-step method shows a closer agreement to target energies for larger cations. Analysis of the HOMO-LUMO gaps for the $2 \times 2 \times N_2$ clusters shows the chemical hardness is dependent on cation size, with SrO and BaO clusters displaying greatest similarity, and smaller cations displaying greater hardness.

Having shown that three- and one-step procedures can be employed to obtain an upper and lower bound on the bulk energy typically calculated using periodic boundary conditions, it may prove to be a useful procedure for other classes of chemical substances and topologies.
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