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Idleness has to be carefully exploited in wireless sensor networks (WSNs) to save power and to accumulate the energy possibly harvested from the environment. State-of-the-art microcontroller units provide a wide range of ultra-low-power inactive modes with sub-millisecond wakeup time that can be effectively used for this purpose. At the same time they are equipped with 16-bit RISC architectures clocked at tens of MHz, which make them powerful enough to run a Java-compatible virtual machine (VM). This makes it possible to bring the benefits of a virtual runtime environment into power-constrained embedded systems. VMs, however, risk to impair the effectiveness of dynamic power management as they are seen as always-active processes by the scheduler of the operating system in spite of the idleness of the threads running on top of them. Avoiding to keep sensor nodes busy when they could be idle is mandatory for the energetic sustainability of WSNs. While most of the tasks of a sensor node are inherently event-driven, the functioning of its hardware-software components is not, so that they require to be redesigned in order to exploit idleness. This paper presents VirtualSense, an open-hardware open-source ultra-low-power reactive wireless sensor module featuring a Java-compatible VM.

1. Introduction

The lifetime of a wireless sensor network (WSN) depends on the capability of its nodes to adapt to time-varying workload conditions by turning off unused components and by dynamically tuning the power-performance tradeoff of the used ones. Dynamic power management (DPM) is a wide research field which has brought, on one hand, to the design of power manageable components featuring multiple low-power state and, on the other hand, to the development of advanced DPM strategies to exploit them. DPM is a constrained optimization aimed at meeting the performance requirements imposed by the application at a minimum cost in terms of energy. The main power-saving opportunities come from idle periods, which allow the power manager to take advantage of ultra-low-power inactive modes. Idleness is particularly important in wireless sensor nodes, which spend most of their time waiting for external events or for monitoring requests, and which are often equipped with energy-harvesting modules which promise to grant them an unlimited lifetime [1] as long as their average power consumption is lower than the average harvested power.

State-of-the-art ultra-low-power micro-controller units (MCUs) provide a suitable support to the DPM needs of WSNs, since they feature a wide range of active and inactive power states while also providing enough memory and computational resources to run a virtual machine (VM) on top of a tiny operating system (OS). Virtualization adds to the simplicity and portability of applications for WSNs at the cost of increasing the distance between hardware and software, which might impair the effectiveness of DPM both for the limited control of the underlying hardware offered by the virtual runtime environment, and for the limited visibility of the actual activity offered by the VM. In fact, the VM is usually viewed by the scheduler of the embedded OS as a process which is always active in spite of the possible idleness of its threads. Two solutions have been proposed to address these issues. The first one is provided by bare-metal VMs, which runs directly on top of the MCU without any OS [2–4], at the cost of loosing portability. The second
one is provided by full-fledged software stacks specifically designed for power manageable sensor nodes in order to make it possible to take DPM decisions directly from the runtime environment and to grant to the OS scheduler full visibility of the idleness of the virtual tasks.

In spite of the availability of ultra-low-power modes provided by the MCU, the effectiveness of DPM risks to be impaired by the paradigm adopted for inter node communication. Although a sensor node is primarily designed to sense a physical quantity and to send a message to the sink to report the measured value, most of the nodes in the network act as routers to relay other nodes’ messages towards the sink. While all other activities can be either scheduled or triggered by external interrupts, receiving a message is an asynchronous event which needs to be carefully handled.

This paper presents VirtualSense, an open-hardware open-source platform for the development of ultra-low-power reactive wireless sensor modules featuring a Java-compatible VM. VirtualSense is based on Texas Instruments’ MSP430F5418a MCU and on modified versions of Contiki OS [5] and Darjeeling VM [6]. VirtualSense makes directly available from the Java runtime environment all the low-power modes of the underlying MCU. Moreover, it features an event-driven communication library which makes it possible for a Java thread to react to incoming messages without keeping the MCU busy while waiting.

This work provides a comprehensive overview and a detailed description of the results achieved by the VirtualSense project [7] by presenting the VirtualSense platform, by providing a detailed power-state model for it, and by presenting the results of extensive measurements conducted on a working prototype.

1.1. Related Work. Since 1998, the family of UC Berkeley motes, derived from the Smart Dust and COTS Dust projects, has been a landmark in scientific research on WSNs [8, 9]. In particular the first prototype, called WeC, produced in 1998, was based upon an Atmel AT90LS8553 MCU clocked at 4 MHz and equipped with 512 B of RAM. Based on WeC mote, in 1999 a commercial platform called Rene was produced by Crossbow. Starting from Rene mote, in 2001 Crossbow developed one of the most popular wireless sensor mote called Mica. WeC, Rene, and Mica motes shared the same architecture and the same RFM TR100 radio transceiver (on the 916.5 MHz frequency band), but Mica used the new Atmel 128L MCU equipped with 4 KB of RAM. The Rene project then evolved in several well-known platforms including Mica2, Mica2Dot, and MicaZ. Mica family motes were equipped with different radio transceivers ranging from the Chipcon CC1000, working in the 900 MHz frequency band, for the Mica2 motes to the Texas Instruments CC2420, working in the 2.4 GHz frequency band, installed on the MicaZ mote. In 2005 UC Berkeley developed another popular sensor platform called Telos [10]. Telos was the first mote designed around the extremely low-power Texas Instruments MSP430 MCU family providing low-power modes with a consumption of a few µW. In particular, Telos mote was equipped with the Texas Instruments MSP430F1611 with 10 KB of RAM running at 8 MHz in order to minimize power consumption while increasing computational performance. As its predecessors, Telos used the Texas Instruments CC2420 radio transceiver for network communication.

In the last decade a number of wireless sensor nodes have been developed using several MCUs and Radio transceivers ranging from 16 to 32 bit platforms. In particular, in the 16 bit domain we can mention the BTNode [11] developed in 2003 by the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, the TinyNode [12] developed in 2006 by the École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), and Iris developed in 2006 by Memsic corporation [13]. In the 32 bit domain the most representative motes are Open-Wise [14] from the University of Colima, Opal [15] developed by Autonomous Systems Laboratory of Australia in 2011, iMote [16] and iMote2 [17] from Intel developed in 2003–2006, and XYZ mote developed by UC Berkeley in 2005 [18].

The key features of the motes are summarized in Table 1, which also reports the features of the VirtualSense mote presented in this paper.

Figure 1 provides a graphical representation of the power-performance tradeoff offered by the motes listed in Table I. Each architecture is represented as a point in a log-log Cartesian plane where performance and power are reported in the x and y axes, respectively. Performance is expressed in terms of computational resources, computed as the product between MCU clock frequency (in MHz) and RAM size (in KB). Consumption is expressed by the average between the power consumption of the deepest sleep state with self-wakeup capabilities, and the consumption of the mote in receiving mode, weighted according to a 2% duty cycle. Power values for VirtualSense are taken from measurements (see Section 6), while all other data are taken from literature. In spite of the arbitrariness of the metrics adopted in Figure 1, they are effective to point out the differences between the existing motes and to evaluate their suitability to run a virtual machine. In particular, the vertical dotted line represents a computational resource threshold

| Mote name | Arch. [bit] | MCU freq. [MHz] | RAM [kB] | Sleep p. [µW] | RX p. [mW] |
|-----------|-------------|-----------------|----------|--------------|-----------|
| WeC       | 8           | 4               | 0.5      | 65           | 108       |
| Rene      | 8           | 4               | 0.5      | 50           | 108       |
| Mica      | 16          | 4               | 4        | 48           | 75        |
| Mica2     | 16          | 8               | 4        | 48           | 75        |
| Telos     | 16          | 8               | 10       | 163          | 58        |
| Iris      | 16          | 16              | 8        | 30           | 65        |
| BTNode    | 16          | 8               | 64       | 9900         | 105       |
| TinyNode  | 16          | 8               | 10       | 18           | 75        |
| Open-WiSe | 32          | 60              | 32       | 6900         | 131       |
| Opal      | 32          | 96              | 52       | 21000        | 105       |
| XYZ       | 32          | 57              | 32       | 45000        | 105       |
| iMote     | 32          | 12              | 64       | 12000        | 90        |
| VirtualSense | 16      | 25              | 16       | 1.62         | 66        |
corresponding to a 10 MHz clock frequency and 10 KB of RAM, which can be regarded as minimum requisites to run a virtual machine on top of a mote. The black dot in the plot represents the positioning of VirtualSense, which provides a performance close to that of 32 bit architectures with an average power consumption lower than 2 mW.

1.2. Organization. The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides a minimum background on power manageable MCUs and on the software stack adopted for the development of VirtualSense. Section 3 presents the HW-SW platform of VirtualSense, outlining the main solutions adopted to address the issues raised by the interaction between the VM and the OS running on top of an ultra-low-power MCU. Section 4 introduces an event-driven communication library which enables the development of high-level communication protocols compatible with DPM. Section 5 outlines the power-state model of VirtualSense, which makes directly available from the Java runtime environment one active state and 7 low-power modes. Section 6 reports the results of extensive measurements conducted in order to characterize the power-state model of the sensor module and to evaluate its energy efficiency. Section 7 concludes the paper.

2. Background

This section provides an overview of the most relevant features of the three main components adopted for the development of VirtualSense, namely: a power manageable MCU, the Contiki OS, and the Darjeeling VM. Moreover, it introduces a power-state diagram to be used to describe the combined behavior of the three components.

2.1. Power Manageable MCU. Ultra-low-power MCUs exploit idleness to switch off power-consuming components in order to save energy. Although different MCUs can differ in the number and in the names of the low-power states they provide, for our purposes we consider a generic MCU to be represented by a power state machine with four categories of power states, called Active, Standby, Sleep, and Hibernation, characterized by the components which are turned off and by the consequent tradeoff between power consumption and wakeup time.

In Active mode the CPU is running and the unit is able to execute tasks without incurring any delay. In Standby mode the CPU is not powered, but the clock system is running and the unit is able to self wakeup by means of timer interrupts. In Sleep mode both the CPU and the clock system are turned off and the unit wakes up only upon external interrupts. In Hibernation even the memory system is turned off, so that there is no data retention. Wakeup can be triggered only by external interrupts and it entails a complete reboot of the CPU.

2.2. Contiki OS. Contiki is an open source real-time OS specifically designed for sensor networks and networked embedded systems [5]. The key features of Contiki OS are: portability, multitasking, memory efficiency, and event-driven organization. Each process in Contiki can schedule its own wakeup and go to sleep without losing the capability of reacting to external events.

The basic power management mechanism in Contiki exploits the Standby state of the MCU by setting a timer interrupt (namely, _c1ock_) which periodically turns on the CPU in order to check for elapsed wakeup times. The period of the timer interrupt is a constant (namely, _INTERVAL_) defined at compile time and initialized once and for all during the boot (for Texas Instruments’ MSP430 MCUs the period is set at 10 ms). The _INTERVAL_ determines the time resolution of the events managed by the OS. Although the CPU can react to asynchronous external interrupts, the OS reacts as if they were aligned with the last timer interrupt.

The inherent event-driven structure of Contiki provides a mean for minimizing the energy overhead caused by periodic wakeup. This is done by making the interrupt handler aware of the next time at which a process has to be resumed in order to go back to sleep without invoking the scheduler in case of premature wakeup.

2.3. Darjeeling VM. Darjeeling is a VM designed for extremely limited devices, specifically targeting wireless sensor networks [6]. Its main advantage stems from the capability of supporting a substantial subset of the Java libraries while running on 8-bit and 16-bit MCUs with at least 10 kbytes of RAM. The size of the bytecode is significantly reduced by means of an offline tool, called _infuser_, which transforms the Java bytecode into a custom bytecode and performs static linking of groups of classes. It is also worth mentioning that the Darjeeling VM provides a garbage collector and supports multithreading.

The VM executes on Contiki as a process which runs together with the OS protothreads implementing the NET-STACK communication protocol. The VM scheduler implements a round-robin policy in which each thread is allowed to execute for up to a fixed number of bytecodes before releasing the CPU. Whenever a thread is suspended, the VM waits for the next timer interrupt (possibly yielding resources) before resuming the execution of the next running thread.
2.4. Power State Diagram. Figure 2 shows the power-state diagram of a system running Contiki OS and Darjeeling VM on top of an MCU which provides the four power states introduced in Section 2.1. The Active mode is split into several states to represent the macrosteps required at wakeup to resume the execution of a task on the runtime virtual environment. Dashed arcs represent external interrupts, while solid arcs represent self-events. According to the definition of the low-power states, self-wakeup is enabled only from Standby, while external interrupts are required to wake up from Sleep and Hibernation. It is also worth noticing that a complete boot is required when exiting from Hibernation, while data retention allows execution to resume directly when exiting from Standby and Sleep modes. Transitions represented on the right-hand side of the graph denote the possibility of entering low-power states directly from a code segment and resuming execution from the same point at wakeup at any level of the software stack.

According to the behavior described in Section 2.2, Contiki exploits the Standby state whenever all its running processes are waiting for scheduled timers or external events, but in order to keep control of the elapsed time it sets a periodic timer interrupt which wakes up the CPU every 10 ms. Upon wakeup the interrupt handler evaluates if there are running processes which need to resume execution. If this is the case the control is passed to the scheduler, otherwise the CPU is turned off again soon. As mentioned in Section 2.3, the Darjeeling VM running on Contiki is a process which needs to resume at each timer interrupt in order to check for the status of its threads. If there are no threads ready to resume, the process is suspended until next timer interrupt.

Labels a, b, and c in Figure 2 denote the transitions taken upon a timer interrupt in case of: (a) VM with no tasks to resume, (b) system with no processes to resume, and (c) virtual task to be resumed. Case (c) is the only one which makes the CPU worth to be woken up, while cases (a) and (b) are nothing but an overhead to be periodically paid while in Standby.

Figure 3(A) provides a simplified version of the power state diagram where (a) and (b) are represented as self-loops of the Standby state, while the overhead of the boot is implicitly associated with the wakeup transition from Hibernation, rather than being explicitly represented by the transient states of Figure 2. On the other hand, Standby mode is split into three different states in Figure 3(A) to stress the difference between pure Standby without periodic wake up, and intermittent Standby with type (a) or type (b) timer interrupts.

A further abstraction is provided in Figure 3(B), where self loops (a) and (b) have disappeared and their power overhead has been directly accounted for in the average power consumption of the corresponding standby states. Since such an overhead depends on the period of the timer interrupt (denoted by $T$), power states Standby.a and Standby.b represent families of power states, the average power consumption of which depends on the value of $T$.

Because of the default settings of Contiki for the target MCU and of the interaction with the Darjeeling VM, the only low power state which is actually exploited is Standby.a with $T = 10$ ms.

3. VirtualSense Platform

VirtualSense is an open-source open-hardware project. This section outlines both the hardware software solutions adopted in the design of VirtualSense in order to make it possible to fully exploit the power states of Figure 3(B), while running applications on top of the virtual machine.

3.1. Hardware Architecture. VirtualSense is made of ultra-low-power off-the-shelf components in order to keep the overall consumption compatible with state-of-the-art energy harvesters and to enable the fabrication of low-cost motes.

Figure 4 shows the functional block diagram representing the hardware architecture. The core is an MCU belonging to the Texas Instrument MSP430F54xxa family [19]. It communicates through I²C bus with a Microchip
24AA025E48 Extended Unique Identifier [20] and with a Microchip 24AA512 serial 512K EEPROM [21]. The SPI bus is used by the MCU to communicate with a Texas Instruments CC2520 2.4 GHz IEEE 802.15.4 RF transceiver [22] and with an NXP PCF2123 ultra low-power real-time clock/calendar (RTC) [23].

A FTDI FT232R chip provides USB 2.0 communication capabilities and power supply to the sensor node, while a JTAG interface enables on-lab node programming [24]. Finally, 3 ADC channels are used to sample data from sensors. For testing purposes, the prototype was equipped with three representative sensors, namely: a BH1620FVC light sensor [25], an LM19 temperature sensor [26], and an HIH5030 humidity sensor [27].

3.2. Avoiding Periodic Wakeup. Periodic wakeup from Standby is used in the reference architecture outlined in Section 2 to maintain time awareness. The INTERVAL between periodic timer interrupts is also the time resolution in Contiki.

In principle, periodic wakeup could be avoided in a power-managed system as long as an oracle exists to wake up the system right in time to execute useful tasks. The scheduler of the OS has the capability of acting as an omniscient oracle for the self-events scheduled by its processes. Similarly, the scheduler of the VM can act as an oracle for the self-events scheduled by its threads. In the reference architecture, however, neither the OS nor the VM exploits these prediction capabilities, ultimately impairing the energy efficiency of Standby mode. Two changes were made to overcome this limitation.

First, the scheduler of the Darjeeling VM was modified in order to take the time of the next scheduled task (as returned by function \texttt{dj\_vm\_schedule()}) and to use it to set an OS timer (namely, \&et) and suspend the entire process (by means of \texttt{PROCESS\_YIELD\_UNTIL(etimer\_expired(\&et))}). This makes the OS aware of the idleness of the VM, so that premature periodic wakeup can be effectively filtered out by the interrupt handler. Referring to the power state diagram of Figure 3(B), this enables the exploitation of state Standby.b in place of Standby.a.

A second change was implemented in Contiki to make it able to dynamically adjust the INTERVAL of the timer interrupt. An integer slow-down coefficient was used to this purpose in order to maintain compatibility with the 10 ms time resolution of the OS. The interrupt handler was modified accordingly by applying the same coefficient to the timer-interrupt counter to be compared with the time of the next scheduled process. Referring to the diagram of Figure 3(B), the modified version of Contiki provides control of parameter \( T \) of Standby states (a) and (b). Moreover, it makes it possible for the OS to set the timer interrupt before entering the Standby mode in order to wake up the processor right in time to execute the next scheduled event, thus avoiding any useless periodic wakeup (state Standby in Figure 3(B)).

The only drawback of slowing down the timer interrupt is the loss of accuracy in the perceived arrival time of external interrupts. In fact, although the MCU is able to react to asynchronous external interrupts regardless of the timer when in Standby mode, timer interrupts are required to update the system clock. This problem will be addressed in Section 3.4.

3.3. Hibernation. The main problem with Hibernation is the lack of data retention, which requires the heap of the VM to be saved in flash and restored at wake up together with
a few external variables, including the base address of the heap. In order to allow hibernation to be possibly triggered by a high-level task running on a thread, the context of the running thread has to be saved in the heap without waiting for a context switch. Moreover, a flag has to be added to the thread data structure to recognize the thread which triggered hibernation, while control bytes have to be stored in flash to make sure that the heap is fresh. The control bytes are then used by the load_machine() function to decide whether to resume execution from the point of hibernation or to restart the VM process from the main. Hibernation process is implemented as a native method, while wakeup from hibernation is directly implemented in the main of the VM.

It is worth noticing that the OS is not hibernated, so that it is rebooted at wakeup and the clock needs to be restored in order to make it coherent with the timers of the scheduled self events. Timing issues are discussed in the next subsection.

3.4. Dealing with Time. The low-power states described so far pose timing issues which get worse when moving from Standby to Hibernation. In pure Standby mode, in fact, the timer interrupt is used only to implement right-in-time wakeup, so that it does not provide any information about the actual time at which external interrupts occur. In Sleep mode, the clock system is switched off, so that the MCU is unable to schedule its own wakeup, which can be triggered only by external events which do not provide any information about the time elapsed while the MCU was sleeping. Finally, in Hibernation the RAM is switched off and the OS is rebooted at wakeup, so that even the time at which hibernation was triggered is lost unless it is stored in flash and restored at wakeup.

All these issues can be addressed by exploiting the external RTC available on the VirtualSense platform. The RTC can be used by the MCU both to schedule wakeup calls from the deepest low-power states, and to update the system clock at wakeup. It is worth mentioning that no RTC is required if the MCU is used only to implement bare reactive applications, such as sensor nodes used either to count external events or to give the alarm when specific conditions are detected.

4. Virtual Network Stack

Communication across the radio channel is handled by the Radio class of the Darjeeling VM, which makes available a receive() method to be invoked by any Java thread waiting for a message. As soon as the method is invoked, the Java thread is suspended by the scheduler of the VM. If there are no other threads ready to execute, the Darjeeling process is suspended as well and rescheduled by the OS at next timer interrupt (i.e., at most after 10 ms). Referring to the state diagram of Figure 2, as long as the message does not arrive, the MCU keeps waking up at each timer interrupt and executing the interrupt handler routine, the OS scheduler, and the VM scheduler before deciding to go back in Standby mode. This is a power-consuming self loop which is labeled with a in Figure 2 and schematically represented by macro state Standby.a(T) in Figure 3(B). No other low-power states can be exploited while waiting for a message.

It is worth noticing that power consumption of Standby.a(T) is several orders of magnitude higher than that of Sleep and Hibernation. Moreover, the wakeup time is larger than 10 ms, so that the MCU would stay always active while waiting for a message unless a longer timer interrupt was set in the modified stack. The minimum timer interrupt
which could allow the exploitation of Standby mode is $T = 25$ ms.

The event-driven communication library presented in next section solves this issue by enabling the exploitation of all the low-power states of a power-manageable virtual sensor node waiting for incoming messages.

4.1. VirtualSense Communication. The software architecture of the proposed communication framework is shown in Figure 5, where arrows are used to represent the event chain triggered by the reception of an incoming packet. The figure points out the interactions between user-level and system-level execution flows, as well as those between Contiki processes (namely, Radio driver process and Darjeeling VM) and Darjeeling threads (namely, Protocol and User app).

While waiting for an incoming packet all the processes are blocked and they do not consume any computational resource. When a packet is received by the radio device, the Radio driver interrupt handler issues a PROCESS_EVENT_POLL for the Radio driver process which was waiting for it. At this point the scheduler of Contiki wakes up the Radio driver process which: takes the packet from the radio device buffer, forwards it to the Contiki network stack, issues a new PROCESS_EVENT_POLL for the Darjeeling VM, and releases the CPU while waiting for next packet. The CPU is then taken by the Darjeeling VM process, which resumes the execution of the Protocol thread which was blocked for I/O. The Protocol plays the role of consumer by popping the incoming message from the Contiki network stack, which acts as a buffer in the producer-consumer interaction.

It is worth noticing that, in order to make it possible for the PROCESS_EVENT_POLL to resume the VM, a new condition has to be added in OR to the PROCESS_YIELD_UNTIL instruction introduced in Section 3.2 to suspend the VM (The complete instruction used to suspend the VM becomes PROCESS_YIELD_UNTIL((etimer_expired(&et)) || ev == PROCESS_EVENT_POLL)).

The event chain described so far is general enough to enable the implementation of any kind of communication protocol either within the Protocol thread or at application level. Depending on the protocol adopted and on its implementation, received packets can either be handled directly by the Protocol thread or be forwarded to the User app waiting for them.

Sending a packet is much simpler than receiving it: the User app which needs to send a message invokes the send() method of the Protocol, which puts the packet on the Contiki network stack without involving the Radio driver process.

In the following we outline the three packages developed to extend the Darjeeling Java libraries in order to support the event chains described above: (i) javax.virtualsense.radio, containing the static native methods used to communicate with the radio device; (ii) javax.virtualsense.network, making communication primitives available to user-level Java threads; (iii) javax.virtualsense.concurrent, providing synchronization primitives. A simplified class diagram is shown in Figure 6.

4.2. Radio Package. The radio package contains the Radio class (represented in Figure 6) and some other classes used to handle exceptions. The Radio class exports static native methods which directly interact with the platform radio driver and with the network stack of Contiki OS: a method to perform radio device configuration and initialization (init()), unicast and broadcast send methods (send(), and broadcast()), a blocking receive methods (receive()), and two methods to get the sender and receiver IDs (getSenderId() and getReceiverId()). All the methods are protected, in order to be used only through the Protocol class, which is part of the network package.

Unicast and broadcast send methods make use of the Contiki unicast_conn and broadcast_conn network connections from the rime network stack. The receive() method suspends the calling Java thread by putting it in a waiting queue and acquires a lock on the radio device.
preventing the power manager to shut down the network device. Whenever a radio message is received from the Contiki network stack two different callbacks are activated depending on the nature of the received message: broadcast callback or unicast callback. Both callbacks wake up the suspended Java thread, set the senderId and receiverId attributes, and release the device lock.

4.3. Network Package. The network package acts as a middleware layer which lies between the system level radio package and user-level applications. In particular, this package contains an abstract Protocol class, providing a communication protocol skeleton, and a Network class, providing a public interface to make communication primitives available to user-level threads.

The Network implements the singleton pattern, so that it can only be instantiated by means of its init() method, which can be invoked with or without a given protocol (i.e., an instance of a subclass of Protocol). If no protocol is specified, then the NullProtocol is used by default. After network initialization, user level threads can call send() and receive() methods to communicate. These two methods provide a public interface to the corresponding methods of the Protocol class.

Protocol is an abstract class which has to be subclassed in order to implement specific routing strategies. The class maintains as local properties the routing table and the queue of received packets. In order to decouple system-level and user-level packet reception tasks, the Protocol class provides a dedicated thread (instantiated and launched within the class constructor) which runs a loop containing a call to Radio.receive(). The thread is suspended on this call until a packet is received, as described in Section 4.2. Upon reception of an incoming packet the thread resumes execution and it calls the packetHandler() method, an abstract method that has to be implemented in any Protocol subclass.

Methods receive() and notifyReceiver() provide the means for using the event-driven reception mechanism from user-level threads. To this purpose, an application which needs to receive a packet from the radio device invokes the Network.receive() method which, in turn, calls Protocol.receive() which suspends the calling thread on a counting semaphore. Upon reception of a packet to be forwarded to the waiting application, the Protocol invokes notifyReceiver() to release a permit on the semaphore. From the implementation standpoint, the invocation of notifyReceiver() has to be placed inside packetHandler(), which is the method where the actual routing protocol is implemented. The default NullProtocol does nothing but invoking this method to forward to the applications all incoming packets.

4.4. Concurrent Package. The concurrent package provides a robust and efficient way to manage thread synchronization. In particular the Semaphore class implements a standard counting semaphore based on a waiting queue. Any thread waiting for a semaphore permit is suspended by the VM and moved to the semaphore waiting queue. In this way it allows the power manager to shutdown the MCU. As

Figure 6: VirtualSense communication class diagram. Public methods are denoted means “+,” while protected and private methods are denoted by “#” and “−,” respectively.
soon as a new permit is available on the semaphore, the waiting thread is woken up by removing it from the waiting queue. Thread suspension and wake up are implemented through native methods \texttt{waitForSemaphore()} and \texttt{wakeupWaitingThread()}, respectively, which directly interact with the VM scheduler and manage thread displacement.

### 4.5. MAC Layer

VirtualSense communication relies on Contiki MAC layer [28], which provides a simple duty cycling mechanism to reduce the power consumption of the radio module by keeping it turned off for most of the time without impairing the capability of the node to take part in network communication. This is done by periodically waking up the radio module to sense the channel and wait for incoming packets. In order to relax synchronization constraints, unicast packets are iteratively sent until an ack is received, while broadcast packets are repeatedly sent in a time window large enough to guarantee that they are sensed and received by all the nodes in range.

The current version of Contiki does not support the new Texas Instruments CC2520 radio module, but it provides a driver for its predecessor: the TI CC2420. In order to make it possible for VirtualSense to use the CC2520 (which provides higher energy efficiency, frame filtering capabilities, and low-power reception modes) a specific device driver needed to be developed starting from that of CC2420. The new driver not only exploits all the features of the new radio module, but it also exploits a deeper low-power mode (namely, LPM2 instead of LPM1) for duty-cycling. Since in LPM2 the radio module has no data retention, the driver needs to take care of the reconfiguration of the module at each wakeup.

Although a thorough description of the ContikiMAC protocol is beyond the scope of this paper, extensive experiments will be reported in Section 6.1.3 in order to allow the reader to evaluate the power consumption of the radio module in the different phases of communication.

## 5. VirtualSense Power-State Model

The changes outlined in the previous subsections enable the full exploitation of the low-power states depicted in Figure 2 and introduce three additional states which correspond to the Standby, Sleep, and Hibernation modes with external RTC. The new low-power states are denoted by suffix “t” in Figure 7(A). With respect to the corresponding original states the new ones not only provide more accurate timing information, but they also grant the MCU the capability of scheduling its own wakeup from Sleep and Hibernation. This possibility, denoted by the solid arcs exiting from states \texttt{Sleep.t} and \texttt{Hibernation.t}, allows the MCU to exploit the corresponding low power modes even if there are future self events scheduled by the running processes/threads.

Figure 7(B) provides a simplified version of the same power-state model, in which state \texttt{Standby.a} has been removed, and \texttt{Standby.b} has been renamed “\texttt{Standby.tick}”. The two changes have been made to point out that the modified software stack of VirtualSense avoids the MCU to go through the time-consuming self-loop denoted by (a) in Figure 2, and that parameter T used to trigger periodic wakeups from Standby corresponds to the time resolution of the OS. This is the power-state model adopted hereafter to characterize the platform.

To make it possible to develop advanced DPM algorithms while working on top of the virtual machine, a \texttt{PowerManager} class has been created which exports methods for adjusting the \texttt{INTERVAL (T)} of the timer interrupt and for triggering transitions to any low-power state, possibly specifying the wakeup time and deciding whether to use the external RTC or not.

The frequency and voltage scaling capabilities of the new Texas Instruments MSP430x5xx MCU family [29] have been also exported on top of the Darjeeling VM (by implementing both the native methods and the drivers for Contiki OS) to allow applications to change at runtime the MCU operating frequency. Whenever the operating frequency is changed, the supply voltage of the core is automatically adjusted by the Contiki driver to the minimum voltage compatible with that frequency.

The degrees of freedom provided by the high-level support to frequency scaling add a dimension to the power-state model of VirtualSense which is not represented in Figure 7(B) for the sake of simplicity.

A thorough characterization of the power-state model is provided in next Section, while the effects of voltage and frequency scaling are discussed in Section 6.1.2.

## 6. Measurements and Results

Extensive experiments were conducted to characterize the power-state model of VirtualSense and to evaluate its energy efficiency in representative situations. To this purpose, synthetic benchmarks were developed and run on top of a VirtualSense mote instrumented in order to make it possible to measure not only the overall consumption of the platform, but also the current drawn by the MCU and by the radio module. This was done by inserting 10\,\Omega precision resistors on the \( V_{cc} \) lines of each component on the PCB.

Data acquisition and measurements were done by means of a National Instruments NI-DAQmx PCI-6251 16 channels data acquisition board connected to a BNC-2120-shielded connector block [30, 31]. In addition, a National Instruments PXI-4071 digital multimeter was used to characterize the low-power states with sensitivity down to 1 pA [32].

During the experiments the mote under test was powered by means of an NGMO2 Rohde & Schwarz dual-channel power supply serially connected with the PXI-4071 configured as amperometer [33] to capture the total current drawn by the mote.

### 6.1. Characterization

In order to enable a thorough understanding of the contributions to the overall power consumption of the VirtualSense mote, three sets of experiments were performed: the first set was run to characterize the power state model of Figure 7(B) in fixed working conditions (namely, \( V_{cc} = 3 \, V \) and MCU clock frequency of 16 MHz) with the radio module in LPM2 (i.e., shutdown);
the second set of experiments was used to explore the effects of frequency and voltage scaling; the third set was used to characterize the power consumption of the radio module in receive and transmit modes. All the experiments were performed with a 4 Kbyte heap of the virtual machine.

6.1.1. Power Modes. The characterization of the power modes of the VirtualSense mote was performed by sampling at 100,000 Hz the waveform of the supply current while running a simple benchmark forcing transitions to each low-power mode. The current waveforms were then analyzed in order to single-out the peaks corresponding to shut-down and wakeup transitions. A representative current waveform is reported in the lin-log plot of Figure 8 as provided by the NI-DAQmx. In order to improve the accuracy of the results, the power consumption of the ultra-low-power modes was further measured with the PXI-4071 multimeter while the exact timing of the transitions was determined by instrumenting the benchmarks.

Since the low-power mode denoted by Standby.tick(T) in the power state model of Figure 7(B) represents a family of power states the power consumption of which depends on the length of the ticks used to trigger periodic wakeups, an additional experiment was performed to characterize such a dependence, which is represented in Figure 9. Each point was obtained by setting the tick period (T), by keeping the node in standby for 60 seconds, and by computing the average power consumption. A fitting curve of type \( y = c_1/T + c_0 \) was then obtained, where coefficients \( c_0 \) and \( c_1 \) represent, respectively, the power consumption in low-power mode and the energy spent at each periodic wakeup.

Table 2 reports the results obtained with the MCU powered at 3 V and clocked at 16 MHz, using 4 Kbyte for the heap of the VM. For each inactive state 5 parameters are reported: power consumption (Power), wakeup time (WUt), wakeup energy (WUe), shut-down time (SDt), and shut-down energy (SDe). Since the proposed architecture makes power management available on top of the VM, wakeup costs include the time and energy spent in all the steps required to resume the execution of the running thread. Missing entries in Table 2 refer to transition times lower than 0.01 ms and transition energies lower than 0.01 µJ. The power consumption of state Standby.tick is expressed as a function of the INTERVAL (denoted by T and expressed in seconds) used to trigger periodic timer interrupts. The power consumption of 0.30 µW of the external RTC is explicitly added to all the states which make use of it (namely, Standby.t, Sleep.t, and Hibernation.t) to make it apparent that it can be disabled if such states are not used. The contributions to power consumption of all other
components installed on the PCB (namely, EEPROM, EUID, and the three on-board sensors) are included in the results.

It is worth noticing that there is a difference between the average power consumption of the three Standby states. For instance, with an INTERVAL of 100 ms, the MCU consumes 17.67 µW in Standby.tick, 14.97 µW in Standby.t, and 14.67 µW in pure Standby mode. Moreover, the power consumption of the external RTC does not impair the energy efficiency of Sleep.t and Hibernation.t modes, their power consumption (of 1.62 µW and 0.66 µW, resp.) being significantly lower than that of the lowest Standby state.

Using for comparison the same MCU running standard releases of Contiki OS and Darjeeling VM, the only inactive state available would have been Standby.a (as defined in Section 2.4) with \( T = 10 \text{ ms} \). Since the default INTERVAL of Contiki is lower than the time spent to resume the execution of a virtual thread (23.41 ms), power management would have been totally ineffective without the proposed changes.

### 6.1.2. MCU Voltage and Frequency Scaling

Figure 10 shows the current consumption of the VirtualSense platform running a benchmark which executes at different clock frequencies a CPU intensive task consisting of 10,000 integer summations. The benchmark makes use of the native methods for DPM provided by VirtualSense to set the clock frequency of the core, execute the task, and go to sleep. The current waveform plotted in Figure 10 clearly shows the power saving obtained by reducing the clock frequency from 25 MHz to 8 MHz, and the corresponding increase in the execution time of the task.

The overall energy spent to execute the task is plotted in Figure 11 as a function of the MCU frequency. The dashed curve (labeled “\( V_{cc} 3.0 + V_{scaling} \)”) is directly obtained from the waveform of Figure 10. The plot clearly shows that the reduction of the operating frequency, combined with the voltage scaling automatically performed by the VirtualSense Contiki driver, provides a benefit in terms of computational energy, in spite of the increased computation time. On the other hand, frequency scaling would be counterproductive in terms of energy if not combined with voltage scaling, as shows by the solid curve in Figure 11.

Two additional curves are shown in Figure 11 for comparison. The dotted line (labeled “\( V_{cc} 2.4 + V_{scaling} \)”) refers to the same experiment conducted by powering the mote at 2.4 V (which is the lowest supply voltage compatible with all clock frequencies) rather than at 3 V. The sizable advantage obtained demonstrates that it is more efficient to reduce the external power supply than relying only on the internal voltage regulator of the MCU. This is further demonstrated by the dot-dashed curve, which refers to a further experiment conducted by manually adjusting the external supply voltage to the minimum value compatible with each frequency level (namely: 2.4 V at 25 MHz, 2.2 V at 20 MHz and 16 MHz, 2.0 V at 12 MHz, and 1.9 at 8 MHz). The automatic adjustment of the external supply voltage is not supported in the current version of VirtualSense.

### 6.1.3. Communication Energy

As mentioned in Section 4.5, VirtualSense makes use of Contiki MAC layer for communication. Figures 12 and 13 report the current waveforms of
the MCU (solid lines) and of the radio module (dotted lines) in the different phases of communication.

Figure 12(a) refers to the case of a channel clear assessment (CCA) without incoming packets. The MCU is woken up by a timer interrupt to run the interrupt handler which wakes up and reconfigures the radio module (the corresponding software overhead is apparent in the plot). According to the ContikiMAC protocol, the radio module then performs the CCA twice, with 1 ms interval in which it goes in LPM1 (rather than in LPM2) in order to avoid further reconfigurations. If nothing is sensed on the channel, the CC2520 goes back to LPM2.

Figure 12(b) refers to the case of a filtered packet. In this case the packet is sensed by the CCA, but it is directly discarded by the frame filter of the radio module upon decoding of the MAC header. This is the case of a unicast packet addressed to another node.

Figure 12(c) refers to the case of a packet which is properly received and then discarded at the MAC layer after having passed the CCA filter of the radio module. This may happen, for instance, if the packet is corrupted, or if it was already received. Without frame filtering, this would also happen whenever a nonintended message was received. Hence, the difference in time between cases (c) and (b) provides a measure of the energy efficiency of frame filtering.

Finally, Figure 12(d) shows the additional software overhead which is incurred when the packet is passed up to the application through the protocol stack.

According to the duty cycling mechanism of ContikiMAC, each packet has to be sent multiple times waiting either for the acknowledge sent back by the receiver (in case of unicast transmission) or for a timeout (in case of broadcast packets). The current waveforms obtained in the two cases are shown in Figures 13(a) and 13(b), respectively. Both of them start with a pattern similar to that of Figure 12(a), corresponding to the timer interrupt and to the channel sensing, with a longer software overhead due to the preparation of the packet to be sent (the duration appears shorter in the graphs for the different time scale). Then a transmission and a CCA are periodically repeated until one of the two exit conditions (namely, ack or timeout) is met. The CCA is used after each transmission not only to sense for the ACK (in case of unicast packets), but also to sense the channel before repeating the transmission. If a collision is detected, the transmission is aborted straightaway.

6.2. Case Study

6.2.1. Monitoring Task. The energy efficiency offered by the power states of VirtualSense can be evaluated using as a case study a sensor node periodically executing a monitoring task which keeps the CPU busy for 100 milliseconds. Figure 14 provides the average power consumption of the MCU as a function of the monitoring period, plotted in a log-log graph. Each curve refers to a specific power state and reports the average power consumption obtained by spending all the idle time in that state, taking into account transition costs as reported in Table 2. For Standby.tick an INTERVAL of 30 ms was used, while the arrow shows how the corresponding curve would change by reducing the INTERVAL to increase the time resolution of the OS. Sleep and Hibernation states without external RTC are not reported in the graph since they cannot be used in this case because they do not support self-wakeup.

This simple experiment clearly shows the enhanced energy efficiency provided by the deepest low-power states in case of long idle periods, which are typical of sensor-node applications. Moreover, it demonstrates that all the power modes are worth being made available, since none of them outperforms the others in all workload conditions.

6.2.2. High-Level Implementation of a Routing Protocol. This section shows, with a practical example, how to use the Java communication library presented in Section 4.1 to implement a simple routing protocol. Consider as a case study a sensor network programmed to perform a periodic monitoring task: each node in the network senses the target physical quantity once per second and sends the measured value to the sink. The sink is nothing but a sensor node connected to a desktop PC by means of the serial port. All other sensor nodes act also as routers, implementing a self-adapting minimum-path-routing protocol.

The sink collects all the measurements and triggers period updates of the routing tables by sending a broadcast interest message (InterestMsg) to the network according to a directed diffusion paradigm [34]. The interest contains a progressive counter, called epoch, which is used by the nodes which receive and forward the interest message to verify its freshness. In addition, it contains the number of hops from the sink, which is incremented at each hop to allow sensor nodes to identify the best path. Algorithm 1 reports the Java code of the MinPathProtocol class which extends the Protocol and overrides abstract method packetHandler() to implement the minimum
Figure 12: Power consumption of MCU (solid line) and radio transceiver (dotted line) during: (a) channel clear assessment, (b) frame filtering, (c) broadcast reception, and (d) broadcast reception and processing.

Figure 13: Power consumption of MCU (solid line) and radio transceiver (dotted line) during: (a) unicast send and (b) broadcast send.
path directed diffusion algorithm. The actual Java code is reported in place of a more readable pseudocode since the focus is not on the algorithm, but on the API, in order to show how easy it is to implement a communication protocol on top of the VirtualSense communication library.

Whenever a new packet is received, the \texttt{packetHandler()} checks if it contains an interest message (Algorithm 1, line 09) or a data message (line 22). In case of an interest, its epoch is compared with the previous one (line 11) in order to reset the routing table in case of new epoch (lines 12–14). In the directed diffusion min path protocol the routing table is nothing but the ID of the neighboring node along the best path to the sink. Such an ID is stored in \texttt{bestPath}, which is updated with the ID of the sender of last interest message whenever the number of hops annotated in the message is lower than the current value of \texttt{minHops} (lines 16–19). In this case the interest message is also forwarded (line 20).

Data packets are either to be forwarded to the sink through \texttt{bestPath} (line 25) or to be notified to user-level applications possibly waiting for them (line 27). According to the directed diffusion algorithm sensor nodes never play the role of recipients of data messages. Nevertheless, line 27 has been added in Algorithm 1 as an example of user-level communication.

The proposed architecture was instrumented in order to measure the software overhead introduced by the high-level implementation of the communication protocol. In particular the Contiki and Darjeeling execution times were measured as separate contributions to the reception event-chain starting from the sleep state. Contiki overhead was taken as the time between the reception of a radio interrupt and the corresponding Darjeeling VM process poll. Darjeeling overhead was taken as time between the wake up of Darjeeling VM process and the delivery of the incoming packet to the user-level application. The results obtained at 16 MHz were, respectively, 3.7 ms and 14.4 ms for Contiki and Darjeeling software overheads resulting in a total overhead of 18.1 ms. The software overhead introduced by the proposed Java library in the sending chain was of 3.4 ms.

This example shows how the proposed network library allows the programmer to implement a routing protocol with a few lines of code, enabling the full exploitation of the low-power states of the MCU without impairing the reactivity of the sensor node.

7. Conclusions

VirtualSense is an open-source open-hardware project aimed at the development of ultra-low-power sensor nodes providing a Java-compatible virtual runtime environment which

\begin{algorithm}
01 import javax.virtualsense.network.∗;
02
03 public class MinPathProtocol extends Protocol{
04
05 private short minHops = Short.MAX_VALUE;
06 private short epoch = 0;
07
08 protected void packetHandler(Packet p){
09     if(p instanceof InterestMsg){
10         InterestMsg interest = (InterestMsg)p;
11         if(interest.getEpoch() > this.epoch){
12             this.epoch = interest.getEpoch();
13             super.bestPath = -1;
14             this.minHops = Short.MAX_VALUE;
15         }
16         if(interest.getHops() < this.minHops){
17             this.minHops = interest.getHops();
18             super.bestPath = interest.getSender();
19             this.setHops(interest.getHops()+1);
20             super.sendBroadcast(interest);
21         }
22     } else if(p instanceof DataMsg) {
23         DataMsg data = (DataMsg)p;
24         if(data.toForward())
25             super.send(data);
26         else
27             super.notifyReceiver();
28     }
29 } //end method
30 }// Tend class
\end{algorithm}
Figure 14: Average power consumption of the MCU used to execute a periodic monitoring task which keeps the MCU busy for 100 ms.

makes the power management capabilities of the underlying MCU directly available to high-level application developers. The key issue in this context is how to allow the DPM to fully exploit the idleness of the Java threads in spite of the fact that they run on top of a Java VM which is seen as an always-active process by the OS.

This paper has presented VirtualSense, which implements a solution based on modified versions of Contiki OS and Darjeeling VM, running on top of a Texas Instruments' MSP430F5418a MCU. The implementation details have been outlined and discussed in the paper. Moreover, an event-driven communication library for the Darjeeling VM has been presented which exhibits two distinguishing features: it is general enough to enable the implementation of advanced communication protocols in Java, and it makes it possible for a Java thread to react to incoming messages without keeping the MCU busy while waiting.

A power-state model of VirtualSense has been built and characterized by means of real-world measurements in order to make it possible for a designer to evaluate the suitability of VirtualSense as a platform for the development of WSN applications subject to tight power constraints. Finally, the energy efficiency of VirtualSense has been further analyzed by running representative benchmarks.

The results achieved show that VirtualSense provides a new Pareto-optimal point in the power-performance design space of wireless sensor modules, while also providing the benefit of a Java-compatible virtual runtime environment.

The experiments conducted to characterize the VirtualSense mote pointed out that there is room for further optimizations which are now targeted by specific research tasks within the VirtualSense project. Current work is aimed at: reducing the need for repeated transmissions which is inherent in the duty-cycling mechanism of ContikiMAC; developing a software-controlled power supply module to enable thorough voltage scaling; segmenting at board-level the power-distribution network in order to make it possible for the MCU to dynamically decide which peripheral components to power.
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