Overexpression of an apple LysM-containing protein gene, MdCERK1–2, confers improved resistance to the pathogenic fungus, Alternaria alternata, in Nicotiana benthamiana
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Abstract

Background: Lysin motif (LysM)-containing proteins are involved in the recognition of fungal and bacterial pathogens. However, few studies have reported on their roles in the defense responses of woody plants against pathogens. A previous study reported that the apple MdCERK1 gene was induced by chitin and Rhizoctonia solani, and its protein can bind to chitin. However, its effect on defense responses has not been investigated.

Results: In this study, a new apple CERK gene, designated as MdCERK1–2, was identified. It encodes a protein that shares high sequence identity with the previously reported MdCERK1 and AtCERK1. Its chitin binding ability and subcellular location are similar to MdCERK1 and AtCERK1, suggesting that MdCERK1–2 may play a role in apple immune defense responses as a pattern recognition receptor (PRR). MdCERK1–2 expression in apple was induced by 2 fungal pathogens, Botryosphaeria dothidea and Glomerella cingulate, but not by the bacterial pathogen, Erwinia amylovora, indicating that MdCERK1–2 is involved in apple anti-fungal defense responses. Further functional analysis by heterologous overexpression (OE) in Nicotiana benthamiana (Nb) demonstrated that MdCERK1–2 OE improved Nb resistance to the pathogenic fungus, Alternaria alternata. H₂O₂ accumulation and callose deposition increased after A. alternata infection in MdCERK1–2 OE plants compared to wild type (WT) and empty vector (EV)-transformed plants. The induced expression of NbPAL4 by A. alternata significantly (p < 0.01, n = 4) increased in MdCERK1–2 OE plants. Other tested genes, including NbNPR1, NbPR1a, NbERF1, and NbLOX1, did not exhibit significant changes after A. alternata infection in OE plants compared to EV or WT plants. OE plants also accumulated more polyphenols after A. alternata infection.
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Background

Plants are constantly subjected to attack from various pathogenic microorganisms. To fight against pathogen infection, plants have developed sophisticated immune systems that ward off pathogens and protect the plant from infection. During pathogen infection, plants first detect pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) via pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), and then initiate a series of rapid PAMP-triggered immunity (PTI) responses to limit the proliferation and spread of pathogens [1]. Thus, PRRs are a pivotal component of innate plant immune systems. To date, some plant PRRs have been identified [2], including FLAGELLIN SENSING 2 (FLS2) [3], LYM1/3 [4], ELONGATION FACTOR-TU RECEPTOR (EFR) [5], PEP1 RECEPTOR 1 (PEPR1), PEPR2 [6–8], CHITIN ELICITOR RECEPTOR KINASE1 (CERK1) [9–11], and CHITIN ELICITOR BINDING PROTEIN (CEBiP) [12], which perceive the flg22 bacterial flagellin epitope, bacterial peptidoglycan, EF-Tu epitope elf18, plant elicitor peptides (Peps) released during pathogen infection, and chitin, respectively. Upon binding to corresponding ligands, these PRRs initiate downstream defense responses, such as a transient influx of calcium ions, ROS bursts, MAPKs activation, and the increased expression of pathogenesis-related (PR) protein genes.

Lysin motif (LysM)-containing proteins are involved in the recognition of fungal and bacterial pathogens as PRRs. They were first identified from bacteria with the ability to bind to peptidoglycan (PGN) [13]. OsCEBiP and AtCERK1 are well-studied plant LysM-containing proteins that recognize chitin, a representative PAMP of fungal pathogens and triggers downstream immune responses [14]. AtCERK1 contains a 3-LysM ectodomain and an intracellular Ser/Thr kinase region, and is an essential receptor for chitin elicitor signaling in Arabidopsis thaliana [9]. Two AtCERK1s form a sandwich-type heterotetramer complex with a LysM-containing receptor-like kinase (LYK), LYK5, another LysM-containing protein with higher chitin binding affinity that is indispensable for chitin-induced AtCERK1 phosphorylation and immune responses in Arabidopsis [15]. Rice CERK1 (OsCERK1) contains 2 LysMs, a transmembrane region, and an intracellular Ser/Thr kinase region that is essential for the transduction of immune signals [16]. Unlike AtCERK1, OsCERK1 does not directly bind to chitin. Instead, it recognizes chitin by forming a sandwich-like heterotetramer complex receptor with OsCEBiP, another LysM-containing protein with the ability to bind to chitin that elicits downstream immune responses in rice [12, 17]. OsCEBiP lacks an intracellular kinase domain and depends on OsCERK1 to transmit signals to plant cells.

In addition to the aforementioned LysM proteins, many other members of this family are involved in pathogen recognition. The Arabidopsis genome encodes 5 LYKs: LYK1/CERK1 and LYK2 through 5 [11, 18]. LYK3 is involved in chitin signaling as a negative regulator in the regulation of Arabidopsis resistance to Botrytis cinerea and Pectobacterium carotovorum infection. Its expression was strongly repressed by elicitors (OGs and flg22) and fungal infection, and induced by the hormone, abscisic acid (ABA) [19]. LYK4 binds to chitin or chito-otocaeose, and the binding was partially repressed in a lkyt mutant [20]. LYK5 recognizes long-chain chitooligosaccharides and forms a complex with CERK1. This complex activates the CERK1 kinase domain and induces downstream immune responses [21]. LysM-containing glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored protein 2 (LYM2) is an OsCEBiP homologue in Arabidopsis, but the lym2 mutant did not affect CERK1-mediated chitin responses. Instead, LYM2 participated in the CERK1-independent pathway by mediating a reduction in molecular flux in the presence of chitin [22], as well as contributed to disease resistance against A. brassicicola through the perception of chitin [23]. Interestingly, LYK proteins in legumes are essential receptors for the perception of lipochitooligosaccharide nodulation factors (NFs) produced by rhizobia and are essential for the establishment of nitrogen-fixing symbiosis [24–29].

Functional analysis of LysM-containing proteins demonstrated the importance of the LysM domain in fungal pathogen recognition. Although in-depth investigations have been performed in rice and Arabidopsis, limited information has been reported on LysM-containing proteins in apple or other woody plants. Thus, it remains unclear whether apple utilizes the same mechanism as Arabidopsis or rice to recognize fungal pathogens and trigger downstream defense responses. Recently, Zhou et al. [30] reported an apple CERK1 like protein, MdCERK1, which was induced by chitin and Rhizoctonia solani. These findings provided evidences that MdCERK1 may also play a role in apple defense responses against fungal pathogens. In this study, a gene encoding the LysM-domain containing protein was identified in apple tissues infected with Botryosphaeria dothidea. The
corresponding protein shared highly similarity with MdCERK1 (designated as MdCERK1–2). Results revealed that MdCERK1–2 overexpression (OE) in Nicotiana benthamiana (Nb) plants improved their resistance to fungal pathogens.

**Results**

**Characterization of a LysM-containing protein kinase from Malus × domestica**

A gene (GDR ID: MD17G1102100) encoding LysM-containing protein kinase was found to be highly expressed in shoot barks of apple by B. dothidea as revealed by transcriptome approach. Its protein contains a long extracellular region, a transmembrane domain and an intracellular Ser/Thr kinase domain, and was highly homologous to chitin recognition proteins MdCERK1 [30] and AtCERK1 [9, 10]. Furthermore, the motif analysis revealed that the extracellular region consists of a signal peptide consisting of 21 amino acids and 3 LysMs (Figs. 1a; S1). The domain composition of the newly identified protein was similar to MdCERK1. To distinguish it from MdCERK1, the newly identified gene was designated as MdCERK1–2. Homologous alignment revealed that the MdCERK1–2 protein shared high sequence identity with MdCERK1 (82.9%), OsCERK1 (57.6%), and AtCERK1 (57.1%) (Fig. S2). By aligning MdCERK1–2 with well-studied LysM-containing proteins, 7 residues that are crucial for NAG binding were found in LysM2, which are similar to the other reported LysM-containing proteins [14, 31] (Fig. 1b), suggesting that MdCERK1–2 can bind to NAG. The phylogenetic analysis revealed that MdCERK1–2 was closely related to MdCE RK1, OsCERK1, AtCERK1, and AtLYK3 (Fig. 1c). According to early reports, these proteins are all involved in the defense against fungal pathogens [9, 19, 30], suggesting that MdCERK1–2 may also be involved in the defense responses against fungal pathogen infection.

**MdCERK1–2 exhibited similar subcellular location and chitin-binding activity as MdCERK1 and AtCERK1**

Subcellular location revealed that MdCERK1–2 was located at the plasma membrane. Fluorescence of the MdCERK1–2-GFP fusion protein was detected at the plasma membrane (Fig. 2a). This result was confirmed by subsequent immunoblotting experiments using an anti-GFP antibody. Abundant MdCERK1–2-GFP proteins existed in the microsomal fraction. No detectable MdCERK1–2-GFP was observed in the soluble fraction (Fig. 2b). Binding assays using recombinant proteins demonstrated that the putative ectodomain of MdCERK1–2 specifically bound to chitin, but did not bind to PGN (Fig. 2c). In contrast, under the same conditions, obvious binding to PGN was observed in AtLYM1, a plasma membrane protein of Arabidopsis that physically interacts with PGNs and mediates Arabidopsis sensitivity to PGNs in gram-negative and gram-positive bacteria [4].

**MdCERK1–2 expression increased during B. dothidea infection**

To examine the expression changes in response to B. dothidea infection, the expressions of MdCERK1–2 and other 4 apple LYS genes were analyzed, including MdCERK1 and 3 putative LYS genes, MdLYK3, MdLYK4, and MdLYK5 (Table S1). In apple shoot bark and fruits, two target tissues of B. dothidea infection, only MdCERK1–2 was significantly (p < 0.01, n = 4) upregulated after B. dothidea infection compared to the mock-infected control (Fig. 3a, b). No significant changes were observed in the expressions of other LYS genes, including MdCERK1 (Fig. 3a, c–f). Next, whether MdCERK1–2 could be induced by other apple fungal and bacterial pathogens was examined. MdCERK1–2 expression also increased in the young leaves of apple plantlets after inoculation with the apple fungal pathogen, Glomerella cingulata (Fig. 3g). However, no significant expression changes of MdCERK1–2 were observed after Erwinia amylovora infection, a bacterial pathogen (Fig. 3h). These results suggest that MdCERK1–2 was involved in the immune defense responses against fungal pathogens.

**MdCERK1–2 OE improved the resistance of Nb plants to A. alternata**

To generate OE transgenic Nb plants, binary vectors carrying MdCERK1–2 and the 3 HA tags were introduced into Nb using Agrobacterium-mediated transformation. Transgenic lines were screened using hygromycin and carbenicillin. All of the obtained transgenic lines were confirmed by PCR with genomic DNA as template (Fig. 4a). The expression of MdCERK1–2 was confirmed using immunoblotting. The identified transgenic lines exhibited high levels of MdCERK1–2 expression (Fig. 4b). No visible difference in phenotype was observed between transgenic and wild-type (WT) plants. Next, whether MdCERK1–2 OE altered the resistance of Nb plants to pathogenic fungi was tested. MdCERK1–2 OE plants were used for A. alternata inoculation. EV-transformed Nb and WT plants were used as the controls. No obvious lesions were observed 5 days post-inoculation (dpi). Visible lesions were observed at 8 dpi on almost all OE, EV, and WT plants. However, there were significantly (p < 0.01, n = 5) milder lesions on OE plants compared to EV and WT plants. Only small lesions were observed on OE plants, while more severe lesions were observed on EV and WT plants (Fig. 4c, d). Fungal growth was also evaluated by microscopic observation and relative fungal mass was calculated. Hypha growth was observed at 3 and 5 dpi, although no visible lesions were observed. At 8 dpi, heavy hyphae growth was observed in WT and EV plants, while the relative fungal mass of OE plants
was significantly \((p < 0.01, n = 5)\) lower compared to WT and EV plants (Fig. 5a, b).

**ROS accumulation and callose deposition increased in **MdCERK1–2 OE plants

To further examine the effects of **MdCERK1–2** on defense responses, ROS accumulation and callose deposition were evaluated using DAB and aniline blue staining, respectively. Significant \((p < 0.01; n = 5)\) increases in ROS accumulation were detected in OE plants compared to EV plants at 72 h post-inoculation (hpi) with *A. alternata* (Fig. 6a). Xylenol orange assays were used to quantify ROS accumulation and confirmed the enhanced ROS levels in OE plants (Fig. 6c). No significant differences were observed among OE,
EV, and WT plants under mock-infection conditions. Chitin treatment also induced significantly ($p < 0.01; n = 7$) higher H$_2$O$_2$ generation in OE plants compared to WT and EV plants (Fig. 6e). Callose deposition was visualized by aniline blue staining and quantified by digital count measurements. *MdCERK1–2* OE significantly ($p < 0.01; n = 9$) increased callose deposition in OE plants compared to EV and WT plants (Fig. 6b, d). These results suggest that *MdCERK1–2* OE positively regulates ROS accumulation and callose deposition in response to *A. alternata* infection.

**MdCERK1–2 OE affects the expression of defense-related genes**

To determine whether *MdCERK1–2* OE affects the expression of defense-related genes during pathogen infection, defense-related genes were quantified by qRT-PCR at different time points after *A. alternata* inoculation (Fig. 7), including the salicylic acid (SA)-related genes, *NbNPR1* and *NbPR1a* [32, 33], jasmonic acid (JA)-responsive gene, *NbLOX1* [32, 33], ethylene-responsive gene, *NbERF1* [32], and *NbPAL4*, a gene involved in the biosynthesis of polyphenol compounds [34, 35]. The basal expression of these genes did not show significant differences among OE, EV, and WT plants (Fig. 7a). After *A. alternata* infection, *NbPAL4* exhibited significantly ($p < 0.01, n = 4$) higher expression in OE plants compared to EV and WT plants (Fig. 7f). In contrast, *NbNPR1, NbPR1a, NbERF1*, and *NbLOX1* were not significantly different between OE and WT plants, although these genes were induced by *A. alternata* infection.

**MdCERK1–2 OE alters polyphenolic metabolism in Nb plants**

The differences in *NbPAL4* gene expression between OE and WT plants suggest that polyphenolic metabolism and the SA signaling pathway may be influenced by *MdCERK1–2* OE. Total phenolic contents and SA levels were analyzed to determine the effects of *MdCERK1–2* OE. After *A. alternata* infection, all of the tested plants exhibited significantly enhanced polyphenol content levels ($p < 0.05; n = 4$). Polyphenol contents in OE plants were clearly higher than that in EV and WT plants (Fig. 8a). In contrast, SA levels were not significantly different (Fig. 8b, c). *MdCERK1–2* OE did not result in significant differences in SA levels between OE and WT plants or between OE and EV plants in response to *A. alternata* infection (Fig. 8c).
Discussion

Both AtCERK1 and OsCERK1 are required for chitin signaling and plant resistance to fungal pathogens [9, 10, 12, 17, 31, 36], but their recognition mechanisms for chitin differ. It is important to determine whether the apple CERK1 homologue plays an important role in fungal pathogen defense. Data presented here indicate that the apple MdCERK1–2 gene is also involved in the defense against fungal pathogens. Specifically, MdCERK1–2 OE improved the resistance of Nb plants to A. alternata and affected their immune response. This work, combined with the findings reported by Zhou et al. [30], confirmed that apple CERK1 also functions as a PRR, recognizing fungal pathogen and playing an important role in apple plant defense against fungal pathogens.

The gene expression data indicate that MdCERK1–2 was induced by 2 fungal pathogens, B. dothidea and G. cingulata, but not by the bacterial pathogen, E. amylovora, suggesting that its expression changes were not specifically in response to B. dothidea. Generally, PRRs recognize conserved microbial molecules, but not certain specific pathogens. The expression changes of MdCERK1–2 in response to different pathogenic fungi may reflect a broad-spectrum property of MdCERK1–2 as a potential PRR. MdCERK1–2 expression was not induced by E. amylovora in apple, but this was not enough to exclude its involvement in the defense against bacterial pathogens. MdCERK1–2 may not function as a PRR in the defense against bacterial pathogens just as AtCERK1 or OsCERK1. The two proteins are required for bacteria recognition via interacting with LYM1 and LYM3 in Arabidopsis, or OsLYP4 and OsLYP6 in rice, which physically interact with PGN [4, 37], a bacterial PAMP.

The enhanced expression of MdCERK1–2 after pathogenic fungi infection suggests that high MdCERK1–2 levels are required for it to function as PRR. It is known
that chitin treatment enhances CERK1 expression and induces plant resistance to fungal and bacterial pathogens [1]. However, it has not yet been determined whether chitin-induced resistance correlates with the high levels of CERK1. If enhanced CERK1 expression is required for plant resistance, there are 2 possibilities regarding CERK1 function. One is that basal CERK1 levels are not enough for its PRR function, thereby enhanced CERK1 levels are necessary. The other is that CERK1 may play additional roles that differ from that as a PRR.

In previous reports, transient CERK1 OE resulted in cell death in the absence of chitin or pathogen infection [38,39], suggesting that CERK1 has a function that is independent of chitin signaling or pathogen infection. In this study, MdCERK1–2 OE improved Nb plant resistance to A. alternata, indicating that high MdCERK1–2 levels are important for its function.

Although MdCERK1–2 OE improved Nb plant resistance to fungal pathogens, no significant effects on the tested parameters were observed in the absence of chitin treatment or pathogen infection, including SA levels, phenol contents, gene expression, ROS accumulation, and callose deposition, suggesting that the function of MdCERK1–2 in plant immune responses depends on
chitin signaling or pathogen infection. Notably, MdCERK1–2 OE resulted in increased ROS accumulation and callose deposition after A. alternata inoculation. This may represent a non-specific enhancement of defense responses mediated by MdCERK1–2 against microorganism invasion. ROS accumulation and callose deposition widely contribute to plant defense responses against fungal infections and are affected by LysM protein-mediated signaling [9, 38]. Enhanced ROS accumulation and callose deposition in OE plants indicate that ROS accumulation contributed to the improved resistance of Nb plants to fungal pathogens.

MdCERK1–2 OE potentiated NbPAL4 expression in response to A. alternata infection. Other tested genes, including NbNPR1, NbPR1a, NbLOX1, and NbERF1, did not exhibit significant changes. These results suggest that the enhancement of resistance in OE plants to fungal pathogens compared to WT was related to polyphenolic metabolism, not to the SA, JA, or ethylene pathways. Several previous reports regarding LysM-containing protein-mediated chitin signaling found that it was independent of the SA, JA, or ethylene signaling pathways, but it was related to phytoalexin [11, 19]. The data also showed significantly enhanced total phenolic contents in OE plants after A. alternata infection, which supports the influence of MdCERK1–2 on polyphenolic metabolism.

Previous studies found that CERK1 affects SA signaling pathways. Mutations in the ectodomain of CERK1 promote the accumulation of SA and enhances the resistance to biotrophic pathogens [40], while mutations in the kinase domain of CERK1 did not affect SA-induced defense responses [11]. SA regulates CERK1 levels and potentiates chitin-induced responses [41]. However, the results of this study found no significant differences in the SA levels between OE and WT plants after A. alternata infection, suggesting that the improvement of MdCERK1–2-mediated resistance did not result from the effects of MdCERK1–2 OE on SA levels.

**Conclusions**

An apple LyM-containing protein gene, MdCERK1–2, was identified in this study. MdCERK1–2 was involved in the anti-fungal defense responses of apple as a PRR. MdCERK1–2 OE improved the resistance of Nb plants to A. alternata infection. ROS accumulation, callose deposition, and polyphenols contributed to improved resistance.
Methods

Plant materials

The 'Fuji' apple cultivar was obtained from the LAIXI breeding farm of the fruit nursery stock located in Qingdao, China, and confirmed by Hongyi Dai and Yugang Zhang. Two-year-old 'Fuji' apple trees were used for gene cloning and expression analysis. Trees were grown in a greenhouse under natural daylight conditions. Nb seeds were obtained from the Qingzhou Tobacco Research Institute of China National Tobacco Company, and were confirmed by Qiming Chen. Transgenic and WT Nb plants were cultured in a plant growth chamber under a 16/8-h light/dark photoperiod at 26 °C/22 °C.

Pathogen inoculation

For gene expression analysis in apple, current-year shoots were inoculated with B. dothidea as previously described [42]. Before inoculation, shoots were cleaned with 75% ethanol for surface sterilization. Mycelial strips were made from well-grown B. dothidea PDA plates with blades and wrapped onto the surface of shoots using polyethylene film. After 3 weeks, polyethylene film and mycelial strips were removed and the inoculated shoots were monitored for ring rot symptoms every 2 days. When visible warts had just formed, the barks of diseased shoots were collected and used for gene expression analysis. The same procedure was performed on other current-year shoots, except for substituting PDA for mycelial strips; these shoots were used as mock-inoculated controls.

For inoculation in Nb plants, the detached leaves from 7-week-old plants were placed on 1% agar in petri dishes. Mycelial plugs (5 mm diameter) were made from PDA plates of actively growing A. alternata and cultured at 25 °C for 1 week. Mycelial plugs were laid on detached Nb leaves for inoculation and kept at 25 °C. The fungal biomass in infected Nb leaves was determined at 3, 5, and 8 dpi by qRT-PCR using specific primers for the AaACTIN gene of A. alternata (Table S2), and normalized to the NbACTIN gene according to previously reported methods [43]. Trypan blue staining was used to detect mycelial growth and cell death according to previously described methods [44].

Gene cloning and expression analysis

To clone full-length coding regions (CDS) of MdCERK1–2, primers were designed according to the sequences
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obtained from GDR and used for gene amplification. Total RNA were isolated using an EASYspin plant RNA rapid extraction kit (YP Biotech Co., Ltd., Beijing, China) and cDNA was synthetized using a PrimeScript™ II 1st strand cDNA synthesis kit (TaKaRa, Beijing, China) following the manufacturer’s instructions. qRT-PCR was performed as previously described [42]. The primers used in the gene cloning and expression analysis are provided in Table S2.

**Subcellular localization**

Full-length CDS of MdCERK1–2 was integrated into pCAMBIA1300–221-GFP upstream of the GFP sequence to form a fusion protein with GFP. The resultant construct was transformed into A. tumefaciens EHA105. Transformed bacteria were cultured and resuspended in buffer (10 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM 2-(N-morpholine)-ethanesulfonic acid (MES)-NaOH, pH 5.6, and 150 μM

---

**Fig. 7** The effect of MdCERK1–2 OE on defense-related genes in Nb plants. **a**, Basal expressions of defense-related genes in Nb plants. **b–f**, Induced expressions of defense-related genes by A. alternata. Leaves were collected at 0, 24, 48, and 72 hpi. The expression levels of defense-related genes were determined using qRT-PCR. Three replicates were used for each gene and 3 independent experiments were performed. The data were analyzed for statistical differences by a two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post-hoc test. Asterisks indicate significant difference when compared to the WT at the indicated time points (** p < 0.01**)

---

**Fig. 8** The effect of MdCERK1–2 expression on polyphenol content and SA accumulation. **a**, Polyphenol contents in OE, EV, and WT plants after A. alternata infection. **b**, Basal SA levels in OE, EV, and WT plants. **c**, SA levels in OE, EV, and WT plants after A. alternata infection. The data were analyzed for statistical differences by a two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post-hoc test. Bars represent the mean ± SD (n = 4). Different letters indicate statistically significant differences; p < 0.05 was regarded as being statistically significant.
The encoding cDNA of MdCERK1 was 15% denaturing protein gel. μPAGE loading buffer and detected by running 20 binding proteins were eluted by boiling in 50 μL. Then, bead-and refolded using the gradient dialysis method [45]. Protein concentrations were determined using the BCA method [46]. The same procedure was performed with E. coli into XhoI and Xhol. Recombinant DNA molecules were transformed into E. coli BL21 (DE3) for protein expression. Recombinant proteins were purified using a Ni-NTA column (GE Healthcare, Shanghai, China) under denatured conditions and refolded using the gradient dialysis method [45]. Protein concentrations were determined using the BCA method [46]. The same procedure was performed with AtLYM1-ECD, which were used as positive controls in the PGN binding experiment.

For in vitro chitin binding assays, the recombinant protein buffer was changed to binding buffer (500 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris-Cl, 1 mM EDTA, and 0.05% Triton X-100). The proteins were adjusted to a final concentration of 1.5 mg/mL. Chitin magnetic beads (100 μL, NEB) were washed 3 times with 1 mL binding buffer and mixed with 50 μL proteins (details outlined above) followed by incubation at 4 °C for 1 h. After centrifugation, magnetic beads were washed 3 times with binding buffer. Then, bead-binding proteins were eluted by boiling in 50 μL SDS-PAGE loading buffer and detected by running 20 μL on a 15% denaturing protein gel.

For the PGN binding assay, PGN (50 μg) was mixed with purified proteins in 250 μL 100 mM PBS (pH 7.0) and incubated at 4 °C for 10 min. After centrifugation at 12000×g for 10 min at 4 °C. The resultant pellet was washed 3 times with PBS (pH 7.0), dissolved in 100 μL SDS sample buffer, and separated with SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting with an anti-GFP antibody (Abcam, Shanghai, China).

In vitro chitin and PGN binding assays
The MdCERK1–2 ectodomain was expressed and purified following previously described methods [45] with minor modifications. DNA fragments encoding the MdCERK1–2 ectodomain were amplified from cDNA of apple branch bark and inserted into pET-28a (+) between Ncol and Xhol. Recombinant DNA molecules were transformed into E. coli BL21 (DE3) for protein expression. Recombinant proteins were purified using a Ni-NTA column (GE Healthcare, Shanghai, China) under denatured conditions and refolded using the gradient dialysis method [45]. Protein concentrations were determined using the BCA method [46]. The same procedure was performed with AtLYM1-ECD, which were used as positive controls in the PGN binding experiment.

For in vitro chitin binding assays, the recombinant protein buffer was changed to binding buffer (500 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris-Cl, 1 mM EDTA, and 0.05% Triton X-100). The proteins were adjusted to a final concentration of 1.5 mg/mL. Chitin magnetic beads (100 μL, NEB) were washed 3 times with 1 mL binding buffer and mixed with 50 μL proteins (details outlined above) followed by incubation at 4 °C for 1 h. After centrifugation, magnetic beads were washed 3 times with binding buffer. Then, bead-binding proteins were eluted by boiling in 50 μL SDS-PAGE loading buffer and detected by running 20 μL on a 15% denaturing protein gel.

For the PGN binding assay, PGN (50 μg) was mixed with purified proteins in 250 μL 100 mM PBS (pH 7.0) and incubated at 4 °C for 10 min. After centrifugation at 12000×g for 10 min at 4 °C. The resultant pellet was washed 3 times with PBS (pH 7.0), dissolved in 100 μL SDS sample buffer, and separated with SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting with an anti-GFP antibody (Abcam, Shanghai, China).

Genetic transformation of Nb plants
The genetic transformation of Nb plants was performed using the A. tumefaciens-mediated leaf disc method [42]. The encoding cDNA of MdCERK1–2 was integrated downstream of the 35S promoter of pCambia1300–221-HA. The resultant construct was transferred into the A. tumefaciens strain, EHA105, which was subsequently used for genetic transformation. The genetic transformation of Nb plants and verification of positive plants were conducted as previously described [42].

Histochemistry and ROS measurements
H2O2 accumulation and cell death were visualized by DAB and trypan blue staining, respectively, following previously described methods [47]. Briefly, leaves were incubated in a 3,3-diaminobenzidine (DAB) solution (1 mg/mL) overnight in the dark. Then, leaves were destained with a mixture of 80% ethanol and placed in a water bath at 65 °C. H2O2 quantitation was performed based on xylenol orange assays [47]. For measurements of H2O2 generation after treatment with chitin (200 μg/mL), Luminol-based assays were performed according to previously described methods [48].

Microscopic observation and quantification of callose deposition
Callose deposition was examined according to previously described methods [49]. Briefly, Nb leaves inoculated with A. alternata were destained in a mixture of distilled water, glycerol, lactic acid, phenol saturated with water, and absolute ethanol at a ratio of 1:1:1:1:8 followed by staining with 0.01% aniline blue (w/v). Callose accumulation was examined using UV epifluorescence microscopy (350 nm/425 nm excitation/emission wavelengths, respectively) and quantified with digital photographs using Image J software. Callose measurements were determined based on 9 photographs and analyzed for statistical differences by a one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post-hoc test. A significance threshold of p < 0.05 was used to determine significant differences.

Measurement of total polyphenols and salicylic acid
Total polyphenolic contents were measured according to previously described methods [50] with minor modifications. Fresh leaves (4 g) were collected and homogenized in 8 mL methanol. After centrifugation, the supernatant was used for total polyphenol measurements. Gallic acid was used as a standard reference and the values of polyphenols were expressed as gallic acid equivalents in per gram fresh weight of the leaves. SA levels were determined according previously described methods [42].
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Acknowledgments
We would like to thank professor Baohua Li and associate professor Ruqin Zhang from Qingdao agricultural university for their kind help to provide us with pathogens. The authors are grateful to all the laboratory members for continuous technical advices and helpful discussions.

Authors’ contributions
SB, CD and HD conceived and designed the experiments. QC, CD and XS performed the experiments. QC, CD and XS analyzed the data. SB and HD wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed the final manuscript. The author(s) read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding
This research was supported by Agricultural Variety Improvement Project of Shandong Province (2019LZGC007), National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.31471853), China Agricultural Research System (No.CARS-27), Advanced Talents Foundation of Qingdao Agricultural University (No.6314363), Qingdao Science and Technology Program (No. 12–1–4-5-1(I)-ijc) and The Project for Modern Fruit Tree Industry Technological System of Qingdao (6622316107); None of the funding bodies had any role in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in preparing the manuscript.

Availability of data and materials
The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate
All the plant materials used in the present study were grown and collected according to institutional and national guidelines. No field study was included in this study. Apple ‘Fuji’ used in this study is a common fruit-tree cultivated cultured worldwide and Nicotiana benthamiana is a widely used model plant species for research of plant-pathogen interactions. Both plant materials were originally obtained via a commercial approach and are not

Consent for publication
Not applicable.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details
1College of Life Sciences, Key Laboratory of Plant Biotechnology of Shandong Province, Qingdao Agricultural University, 700 Changcheng Road, Qingdao 266109, China. 2Qingdao Key Laboratory of Genetic Improvement and Breeding in Horticultural Plants, Qingdao Agricultural University, Qingdao 266109, China. 3Shandong Province Key Laboratory of Applied Mycology, Qingdao 266109, China. 4College of Horticulture, Qingdao Agricultural University, Qingdao 266109, China.

Received: 1 August 2019 Accepted: 24 March 2020
Published online: 08 April 2020

References
1. Jones JD, Dong JI. The plant immune system. Nature. 2006;444:323–9.
2. Tang D, Wang G, Zhou JM. Receptor kinases in plant-pathogen interactions: more than pattern recognition. Plant Cell. 2017;29:618–37.
3. Gomez-Gomez L, Boller T. FLS2: an LRR receptor-like kinase involved in the perception of the bacterial elicitor flagellin in Arabidopsis. Mol Cell. 2000;5:1003–11.
4. Willmann R, Lajunen HM, Erbs G, Newman MA, Kolb D, Tsuda K, et al. Arabidopsis Lysin-motif proteins LYM1-LYM3 CERK1 mediate bacterial peptidoglycan sensing and immunity to bacterial infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011;108:19824–9.
5. Zipfel C, Kunze G, Chinchilla D, Caniard A, Jones JD, Boller T, et al. Perception of the bacterial PAMP EF-Tu by the receptor EFR restricts Agrobacterium-mediated transformation. Cell. 2006;125:749–60.
6. Hufferak A, Ryan CA. Endogenous peptide defense signals in Arabidopsis differentially amplify signaling for the innate immune response. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2007;104:10732–6.
7. Krol E, Mentzel T, Chinchilla D, Boller T, Felix G, Kemmerling B, et al. Perception of the Arabidopsis danger signal peptide 1 involves the pattern recognition receptor APEPR1 and its close homologue APEPR2. J Biol Chem. 2010;285:13471–9.
8. Yamaguchi Y, Hufferak A, Bryan AC, Tax FE, Ryan CA. PEPR2 is a second receptor for the Pep1 and Pep2 peptides and contributes to defense responses in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell. 2010;22:508–22.
9. Miya A, Albert P, Shinya T, Desaki Y, Ichimura K, Shirasu K, et al. CERK1, a LysM receptor kinase, is essential for chitin elicitor signaling in Arabidopsis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2007;104:19613–8.
10. Petutshchnik EK, Jones AM, Senazetidinova L, Lipka U, Lipka V. The Lysin motif receptor-like kinase (LysM-RK) CERK1 is a major chitin-binding protein in Arabidopsis thaliana and subject to chitin-induced phosphorylation. J Biol Chem. 2010;285:28902–11.
11. Wan J, Zhang XC, Neece D, Ramonell KM, Clough S, Kim SY, et al. A LysM receptor-like kinase plays a critical role in chitin signaling and fungal resistance in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell. 2008;20:471–81.
12. Shimizu T, Nakano T, Takamizawa D, Desaki Y, Ishii-Minami N, Nishizawa Y, et al. Two LysM receptor molecule, CEBiP and OsCERK1, cooperatively regulate chitin elicitor signaling in rice. Plant J. 2010;64:204–14.
13. Zhang XC, Cannon SB, Stacey G. Evolutionary genomics of LysM genes in land plants. BMC Evol Biol. 2009;9:183.
14. Liu T, Liu Z, Song C, Hu Y, Han Z, She J, et al. Chitin-induced dimerization activates a plant immune receptor. Science. 2012;336:1160–4.
15. Cao Y, Liang Y, Tanaka K, Nguyen CT, Jedrzejczak RP, Joachimiak A, et al. The kinase LYK5 is a major chitin receptor in Arabidopsis and forms a chitin-induced complex with related kinase CERK1. Elife. 2014;3:e03766.
16. Kaku H, Nishizawa Y, Ishii-Minami N, Akimoto-Tomiyama C, Dohmae N, Takao K, et al. Plant cells recognize chitin fragments for defense signaling through a plasma membrane receptor. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006;103:11086–91.
17. Hayafune M, Bersio R, Marchetti R, Silipo A, Kayama M, Desaki Y, et al. Chitin-induced activation of immunity signaling by the rice receptor CEBiP.
31. Liu S, Wu X, Findley S, Wan J, Libault M, Nguyen HT, et al. Molecular evolution of Lysin motif-type receptor-like kinases in plants. Plant Physiol. 2007;144:623–36.

18. Zhang XC, Wu X, Findley S, Wan J, Libault M, Nguyen HT, et al. Molecular evolution of Lysin motif-type receptor-like kinases in plants. Plant Physiol. 2007;144:623–36.

20. Paparella C, Savatin DV, Marti L, De Lorenzo G, Ferrari S. The Arabidopsis LYSIN MOTIF-CONTAINING RECEPTOR-LIKE KINASE3 regulates the cross talk between immunity and abscisic acid responses. Plant Physiol. 2014;165:262–76.

25. Limpens E, Franken C, Smit P, Willemse J, Bisseling T, Geurts R. LysM domain receptor kinases regulating rhizobial nod factor-induced infection. Science. 2003;302:630–3.

32. Madsen EB, Madsen LH, Radutoiu S, Obriot M, Rakwalska M, Scyzgiowski K, et al. A receptor kinase gene of the LysM type is involved in legume perception of rhizobial signals. Nature. 2003;425:637.

36. Paparella C, Savatin DV, Marti L, De Lorenzo G, Ferrari S. The Arabidopsis LYSIN MOTIF RECEPTOR KINASES (LYK3) protein abundance. New Phytol. 2017;214:1466–56.

45. Faulkner C, Petutschnig E, Benitez-Alfonso Y, Beck M, Robatzek S, Lipka V, et al. Medicago LYK3, an entry receptor in rhizobial nodulation factor signaling. Plant Cell. 2004;16:1451–63.

54. Faulkner C, Petutschnig E, Benitez-Alfonso Y, Beck M, Robatzek S, Lipka V, et al. Medicago LYK3, an entry receptor in rhizobial nodulation factor signaling. Plant Cell. 2004;16:1451–63.

1. Chen et al. BMC Plant Biology (2020) 20:146

Publisher’s Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.