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Abstract
The present experiment entitled “To study the effect of different concentrations of plant growth regulators on rooting of litchi (Litchi chinensis Sonn.) Air layers” was conducted at Punjab Agricultural University, Regional Research Station, and Gurdaspur during the year 2015-16 and 2016-17. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with thirteen treatments of different concentrations of plant growth regulators each of IBA and NAA i.e.1000ppm, 2000 ppm, 3000 ppm, 4000ppm, 5000ppm and 6000 ppm and replicated thrice with a unit of one plant in each replication of a treatment. The result showed that among different application of IBA (Indole-3-butyric acid), the 5000 ppm IBA treated layers took lesser days to root initiation (16days) and also gave better results with respect to all the parameters studied including per cent root initiation (90.45%), number of roots (35.52), root length (12.5cm), percent survival (95.25), days to come leaf after detachment (14), root thickness (1.62mm), fresh weight of root (3.35gm), dry weight of root (2.15gm), fresh weight of shoot (52.25gm), dry weight of shoot (32.25gm) and root: shoot ratio on dry weight basis (0.067) as compared to other treatments along with control. Similarly, among different treatments of NAA (1-Naphthaleneacetic acid), the 5000ppm NAA treated layers took lesser days to root initiation (20 days) and also gave better results with respect to all the parameters studied including per cent root initiation (80.5), number of roots (30.25), root length (16cm), percent survival (84.2), days to come leaf after detachment (14), root thickness (1.42mm), fresh weight of root (2.75gm), dry weight of root (1.50gm), fresh weight of shoot (47.65gm), dry weight of shoot (27.42gm) and root: shoot ratio on dry weight basis (0.04) as compared to other treatments along with control.
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Introduction
The litchi (Litchi chinensis Sonn.) recognized as “Queen of the fruits” is one of the most environmentally sensitive sub-tropical evergreen fruit tree, belongs to family Sapindaceae (Haq et al., 2013) [1]. It is indigenous to south eastern China from where it is considered to have reached eastern India through Myanmar by the end of 17th century or shortly thereafter (Hayes, 1957) [2]. In India, 686 thousand metric tonnes of litchi is produced annually from 92 thousand hectares area (Anonymous, 2018) [3]. It is highly specific to climatic requirements, as it required cool dry winters and warm wet summers and due to this reason its cultivation is restricted to few states in our country. Litchi is grown mainly in the states of Bihar, West Bengal and Uttar Pradesh. It is also grown in limited scale in Tripura, Orissa, Punjab, Himachal Pradesh, Assam and Nilgiri hills in the south. In Punjab, its cultivation recommended to sub-mountainous tracts of Gurdaspur, Hoshiarpur, Roopnagar, SAS Nagar Mohali and Patiala districts. In Punjab, litchi is growing in an area of 2885 hectares with the production of 46940 metric tonnes (Anonymous, 2019) [4]. Litchi is an arillate and nut type fruit botanically which is known for its excellent quality, juicy, slightly sour and sweet taste, characteristic pleasant flavour, attractive colour and nutritional value. The fruit is rich in sugars, minerals and vitamins (Marisa, 2006) [5] and can be processed into juice, wine, pickles, jam, jelly, ice cream and yoghurt (Huang et al., 2005) [6]. It comes to the market in the months of May-June when the market is full of other fresh fruits. But, inspite of the availability of different types of fruit in the market, the demand for fresh litchi is always very high due to its unique taste, flavour and colour (Hossain et al., 2014) [7].
Its fruit has gained popularity as an exotic fruit and that is why demand of planting material of litchi is increasing tremendously. Limited availability of quality planting material is the main cause of low expansion of area under litchi cultivation. The shortage of genuine planting material coupled with the long juvenile period of litchi is one of the major constraints for mass multiplication. Propagation of litchi by seed is not usually recommended, since litchi seedlings are genetically diverse and most are characterised by a long juvenile period.

Several vegetative propagation techniques as air layering, root cuttings and stooling, have been tried with varying success rate to increase productivity and gains by clonal propagation and selection (Berthon et al., 1990; Casimiro et al., 2001) [8, 9]. Though these techniques are still not commercially viable due to varying rate of success, absence of tap root system and cumbersome process.

The most commonly practiced and commercial method of vegetative propagation in litchi is air-layering. Air-layering is done when leaves of the previous growth flush have proper maturity. Air layering can be done at any time of years as long as there is sufficient moisture, however more ideal results are reported in rainy season. The principle of layering is to encourage development of newly emerge roots on a stem while the stem is still attached to the parent plant. Roots emerge on the aerial part of a plant after the girdling of stem at an angle and enclosed in a moist rooting medium at the point of injury in air layering. Air layers are usually made on wood of the previous season’s growth.

The major tail back in the air layering is the high mortality of layered shoots after separating from parent plants and establishment in the nursery on their own root system (Sharfuddin, 1983; Sharma et al., 1990; Syamal and Singh, 1993) [10, 11, 12]. Thus, it results in a very limited availability of plants of elite genotype. Plant growth regulators like IBA, NAA are very useful in accelerating the rooting in air layered litchi (Rajwana et al., 2008) [13]. Cell enlargement, bud formation and root initiation are positively influenced by auxins. Growth and development of plants are affected by plant growth regulators due to metabolic and physiological responses. Various stem treatments lead to formation of adventitious roots during air layering, which causes wounding of a small part of the stem, that resulting in to the interruption of the downward movement of organic material such as carbohydrate, auxin and growth factor from the leaves and shoot tips. This material accumulates close to the treated area and rooting follows. Thus earlier layering was done using clay soil having provision of watering. However, the air-layer practiced now uses growth hormone and sphagnum moss (a nutrient mixed media). Sphagnum moss is a natural organic soil conditioner, which helps to regulate temperature, moisture and air around plant roots, creating ideal growing conditions. Sphagnum moss aerates plant roots by loosening heavy clay soil. It saves water by absorbing and holding moisture and reduces leaching or runoff of nutrients presents in or added to the soil (Hartmann and Kester, 1975) [14].

To find out optimum dose of NAA and IBA in air layering of litchi, this study was carried out for minimizing the mortality and promoting better growth of litchi layers in nursery resulting in maximum number of true to type plants. The main objective of this study was to assess the suitable concentration of indole-3-butyric acid (IBA) and 1-Naphthalene acetic acid (NAA) for the production of true to type plants of litchi in short period of time employing air layering method of propagation.

Materials and Methods
The present experiment was conducted at litchi orchard of Punjab Agricultural University, Regional Research Station, and Gurdaspur during the year 2015-16 and 2016-17. Uniform, vigorous, healthy and disease free plants of cultivar Dehradun were selected for layering operation during month of mid-July and August after the onset of rains and maintained with uniform cultural practices as recommended by Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana.

For air layering operation, one year old terminal branch, 45-60 cm long and 2-2.5 cm thick was selected. A 4 cm wide circular ring of bark on twig was removed with a sharp knife. The exposed cambium was rubbed off and plant growth regulators of different concentrations each of IBA and NAA i.e. 1000ppm, 2000 ppm, 3000 ppm, 4000ppm, 5000ppm and 6000 ppm were smeared in lanolin paste at the upper end of the girdled part of the branch and compared with the control where no chemical was used. Experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with replications.

Subsequently girdled/exposed area was covered with moistened sphagnum moss grass and wrapped with a piece of 200 gauge polyethylene film of 10 x 10 cm size to prevent desiccation and both the ends of polythene was firmly tied with the help of a ‘sulti’ string. The layers were detached from the mother plants when the roots were visible. The air layered branch or the gootee were severed from the mother tree only when a good number of roots were developed. After severing from the mother tree, removed the polythene sheet and immediately planted the gootee in a nursery bed. Irrigation was applied to the gootee after plantation in the nursery.

Data were recorded on parameters including days taken to root appearance, percentage rooting, number of roots, root length, percentage survival, days to come leaf after detachment, root thickness, fresh weight of root, dry weight of root, fresh weight of shoot, dry weight of shoot, and root:shoot ratio on dry weight basis. Data was analysed statistically by Randomized Block Design as described by Singh et al. (1998) [15] for each year and ultimately the pooled estimates for both the years were worked out.

Results and Discussion
Number of days taken for root initiation
Plant growth regulators IBA and NAA when applied at different concentrations had significant influence on the appearance of root causing earliness in rooting of air-layers of litchi (Table 1).

From Table 1, it was observed that with IBA treatment, the minimum number of days taken for root initiation (16 days) was recorded with IBA 5000 ppm, while control took highest number of days for root initiation (28 days). Similarly, minimum number of days taken for root initiation (20 days) with NAA 5000ppm,IBA treatments might have resulted in early rise in root co-factors and fall in root inhibitors level leading to early rooting. In addition, exogenous application of auxins could have converted starch into simple sugars, which is required to a greater extent for the production of new cells and for the increased respiratory activity in the regenerating tissues at the time of initiation of new root primordial (Nanda, 1975) [16].

Percent rooting
Significantly higher per cent rooting (90.45%) was recorded with IBA 5000 ppm (Table 1). These results are in agreement with the findings of Ray et al. (2001) [17]. This might be due to
the fact that optimum concentration of IBA may have caused mobilization and utilization of carbohydrates and nitrogen fraction with the presence of co-factors at wound site which may have helped in better root initiation. Hence, IBA at higher concentration resulted in better rooting of the litchi air layers.

It was also noted that the among NAA treatment, maximum rooting percentage (80%) was observed in the shoots treated with NAA 5000 ppm. Minimum rooting percentage (60.25%) was observed in control (Table 1). This study is confirmatory to the findings of Tomar et al. (1999) [18]; Sharma (1981) [19] who found NAA is most effective for rooting of air layering. Further the superiority of IBA in producing higher percentage of rooting compared to NAA might be due to their respective differences in initializing hydrolysis of nutritious reserves. These results further get support from the findings of Sharma (1990) [20] in litchi and Lal et al. (2007) [21] in guava.

Exogenous application of IBA markedly improved the regeneration of roots in comparison to NAA. The greater effectiveness of IBA over NAA may be due to its non-toxic nature in a wide range of concentration and was effective in promoting rooting of large number of plant species. Significant increase in rooting percentage due to combination of IBA and sphagnum moss has also been reported by Das et al. (2014) [22] as well as Eed and Burgoyne (2014) [23].

Number of roots

The highest number of roots (35.52) was observed in IBA 5000 ppm, while lowest was in control. Accelerated rooting in the layering with the increased IBA concentration might be due to increased cell wall elasticity which further may have accelerated cell division and in turn increased number of roots up to certain level. This might be due to increase in carbohydrate and metabolic activities. Bora et al. (2006) [24] also reported increase in the number of second order roots with increase in concentration of IBA, and the increase in treated layers was possibly due to the fact that IBA influenced acceleration of the rate of initiation of root meristems and consequently production of greater number of roots (Sharufuddin, 1983) [10]. These results are corroborated by the findings of the work on litchi (Singh et al., 2009; Ray et al., 2001) [25, 17], Tyagi and Patel (2004) [26]; Lal et al. (2007) [21] also reported similar findings in guava.

The increase in number of roots may be due to accumulation of carbohydrates, enhanced protein synthesis and greater accumulation of rooting co-factors. Rooting co-factors react with auxin and a large number of roots were produced. Positive response of the rooting media was also observed by Das et al. (2010) [22]; Das and Prasad (2014) [27]; Brahamchari et al. (1997) [28]; Lal et al. (2001) [29] in litchi. Similar views related to secondary root were also expressed by (Chatterjee, 1982) [30] in mango and (Pathak et al., 1975) [31] in plum.

Among different NAA treatments, the highest number of roots (30.25) was noted in NAA 5000ppm. This study is confirmatory to the findings of (Tomar, 1979) [32] and (Sharma, 1981) [19] who found NAA is most effective for rooting of air layering.

Root length

Among various IBA treatments, maximum total length (12.5cm) of first order roots was recorded with application of 5000 ppm IBA, whereas minimum in control (5.0cm). According to Wada et al. (1968) [33], IBA promotes root length by influencing the synthesis of enzymes which are concerned with the cell enlargement. The enzymes involved in cell enlargement process are triggered by the auxin at higher concentration. The increase in root length with different concentrations of growth regulators, IBA (5000 ppm) may be attributed to its primary physiological effect which is known to promote the elongation of cells in the apical region, a reason which has been cited by Singh et al. (2009) [34] in air layers of litchi. The similar results were reported by Ray et al. (2001) [17]; Bora et al. (2006) [24]; Rahman et al. (2002) [35] in litchi.

Singh et al. (2007) [36] in guava and Bhosale et al. (2010) [37] in pomegranate who also observed higher total length of first order root when treated with IBA at higher concentration. The increase in length of roots may be due to increase in level of auxin concentration. Auxin elongated the length of primary and secondary roots. IBA in higher concentration causes antagonistic effect on secondary roots. The same viewed were expressed by Chawla et al. (2012) [38] as well as Das and Prasad (2014) [27] in litchi. Similarly among different NAA treatments, the maximum root length (10cm) was recorded with NAA 5000ppm.

Survival percentage

The air-layer raised by the application of IBA 5000 ppm gave significantly higher survival percentage (95.25). Similarly, maximum survival percentage (84.2) was observed in the shoots treated with NAA at the rate of 5000ppm. These results display resemblance with the findings of Tomar et al. (1999) [18] who observed maximum success percentage in air layering with application of NAA. The increase in survival percentage may be due to diffuse and thinner root produced by the application of different treatments. Thinner root system increased water absorption capacity, which reduced mortality rate in the nursery. Finding of the trial was in close conformity with findings of Patel et al. (2012) [39] in pomegranate, Sen et al. (1961) [40] in mango as well as Das and Prasad (2014) [27] in litchi.

Root thickness

With IBA 5000 ppm, maximum mean root thickness (1.62mm) was obtained, while minimum (0.78mm) was recorded in control (Table 2). Kumar (2009) [41] also noticed conspicuous effect which was attributed due to higher concentration of IBA in litchi layers. Slow translocation and higher stability of IBA at higher concentration might be attributed to increase in the mean root thickness. The better effect of 5000 ppm IBA in the present study is in general agreement with the findings of Sinha and Ray (2002) [42] in litchi and Hatibara et al. (1997) [43] in jackfruit.

Among different NAA treatments, maximum root thickness (1.42mm) was observed with NAA 5000ppm treatment, while minimum (0.78mm) was recorded in control.

Fresh weight and dry weight of root

Both fresh and dry weight of roots were markedly influenced by plant growth regulators (Table 2). The highest fresh (3.35gm) and dry weight (2.15gm) of roots were recorded with IBA 5000 ppm which performed better as compared to all other treatments and lowest values were obtained in control. These results are corroborated by the findings of Ray et al. (2001) [17]; Singh and Jawandha (1981) [44] in litchi. The highest roots weight registered in the present study may be attributed to the fact that exogenous application of auxin generally stimulate the movement of natural auxin and others materials in downward direction from leaves and shoot tips, which accumulate at the incision made on the shoot resulting
in the formation of roots with higher root fresh and dry weight. This might be due to more number of primary and secondary roots and also with, more length in case of layers treated with IBA 5000 ppm. Similar findings have been observed by Tyagi and Patel (2004) [26]; Rymbai and Reddy (2010) [20] in guava, Kumar et al. (2007) [46] in jack fruit and Bhosale et al. (2010) [47] in pomegranate.

**Fresh and dry weight of shoot**
The fresh weight and dry weight of shoot under different treatments were found to be significant in different growth regulators treatment (Table 2). IBA at 5000ppm resulted in maximum fresh weight (52.25gm) and dry weight (32.25gm) of shoot while minimum was found in control. Similar findings were also observed by Shukla and Bist(1994)[47] in pear who concluded that IBA at higher concentration showed maximum dry weight of shoot of cutting. Similarly maximum fresh weight (47.65gm), and dry weight (27.42gm) of shoot were found in NAA 5000ppm while minimum was found in control.

**Root shoot ratio on dry weight basis**
The higher root: shoot ratio was registered in IBA 5000 ppm. Root shoot ratio on dry weight basis was supported by Ray et al. (2001) [17].

**Days to come out leaf emergence**
The data pertaining to the days to come leaves after detachment as a result of different treatment has been resulted significantly. From Table1, it was indicated that early leaf initiation (12 days) was recorded in IBA 5000ppm and NAA 5000ppm (14days). All the treatments were significantly superior over control in respect of early leaf initiation. Early leaf development may be due to a uniform spread and number of primary and secondary roots system who absorbed sufficient nutrient and water resulting in quick establishment of layers, better morphological growth and leaves. This result was supported by Ray et al. (2001) [17].

**Conclusion**
From the present study it is concluded that IBA at 5000 ppm and NAA 5000 ppm proved superior in terms of earlier root initiation, rooting percentage, number of roots, root length, survival (%), days to come leaf after detachment, root thickness (mm), fresh weight of root (gm), dry weight of root (gm), fresh weight of shoot (gm), dry weight of shoot (gm) and root: shoot ratio on dry weight basis.

| Treatments | Days taken to root appearance | Rooting (%) | Number of roots | Root length(cm) | Survival (%) | Root thickness (mm) |
|------------|-------------------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|
| IBA 1000ppm | 25.25                         | 71.15       | 22.11          | 6.52            | 75.45        | 1.08              |
| IBA 2000ppm | 24.53                         | 74.14       | 24.15          | 7.12            | 78.24        | 1.22              |
| IBA 3000ppm | 22.14                         | 77.15       | 27.32          | 8.45            | 80.12        | 1.3               |
| IBA 4000ppm | 20.55                         | 80.26       | 29.52          | 9.22            | 83.4         | 1.32              |
| IBA 5000ppm | 16                            | 90.45       | 35.52          | 12.5            | 95.25        | 1.62              |
| IBA 6000ppm | 22.35                         | 78.12       | 25.13          | 8.65            | 81.24        | 0.96              |
| NAA 1000ppm | 25.54                         | 65.24       | 19.36          | 5.5             | 70.59        | 0.85              |
| NAA 2000ppm | 25.1                          | 68.3        | 22.18          | 6.25            | 72.45        | 0.92              |
| NAA 3000ppm | 24.25                         | 72.16       | 25.13          | 7.52            | 76.12        | 1.15              |
| NAA 4000ppm | 23.15                         | 75.32       | 27.15          | 8.5             | 80.15        | 1.2               |
| NAA 5000ppm | 20                            | 80.5        | 30.25          | 10              | 84.20        | 1.42              |
| NAA 6000ppm | 21.13                         | 72.32       | 21.32          | 6.75            | 77.3         | 0.92              |
| Control     | 28                            | 60.25       | 14.52          | 5               | 68.26        | 0.78              |
| CD (5%)     | 2.63                          | 3.11        | 3.13           | 2.07            | 3.22         | 0.26              |

| Treatments | Fresh weight of root(gm) | Dry weight of root(gm) | Fresh weight of shoot(gm) | Dry weight of shoot (gm) | Root: shoot ratio on dry weight basis | Days to come leaf after detachment |
|------------|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| IBA 1000ppm | 2.23                     | 0.8                    | 44.25                      | 22.13                    | 0.036                                | 19.25                            |
| IBA 2000ppm | 2.38                     | 0.95                   | 45.15                      | 24.6                     | 0.039                                | 18.25                            |
| IBA 3000ppm | 2.5                      | 1.12                   | 46.2                       | 25.55                    | 0.044                                | 17.16                            |
| IBA 4000ppm | 2.15                     | 1.25                   | 47.14                      | 26.75                    | 0.047                                | 16                                |
| IBA 5000ppm | 3.35                     | 2.15                   | 52.25                      | 32.25                    | 0.067                                | 12                                |
| IBA 6000ppm | 2.6                      | 1.15                   | 46.72                      | 25.42                    | 0.045                                | 13.1                             |
| NAA 1000ppm | 1.25                     | 0.52                   | 44.1                       | 23.23                    | 0.022                                | 20                                |
| NAA 2000ppm | 1.52                     | 0.6                    | 45                         | 24.12                    | 0.025                                | 19.52                            |
| NAA 3000ppm | 1.78                     | 0.75                   | 45.75                      | 25.65                    | 0.029                                | 18.15                            |
| NAA 4000ppm | 1.95                     | 0.88                   | 46.13                      | 26.52                    | 0.033                                | 17.5                             |
| NAA 5000ppm | 2.75                     | 1.50                   | 47.65                      | 27.42                    | 0.04                                  | 14                                |
| NAA 6000ppm | 1.75                     | 0.72                   | 45.1                       | 25.14                    | 0.029                                | 17                                |
| Control     | 1.85                     | 0.6                    | 42                         | 20.35                    | 0.029                                | 22.45                            |
| CD (5%)     | 0.91                     | 0.41                   | 3.47                       | 3.17                     | 0.002                                | 2.54                             |
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