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ABSTRACT: Hematite was recently introduced as a weighting agent in drilling fluids; however, its use has some problems because of the settlement of solid particles (solid sagging). Particularly when it comes to high-pressure high-temperature (HP/HT) wells, sagging causes inconsistency in the drilling fluid and gives rise to serious drilling operational and technical challenges. This work provides a solution to this challenge via a thorough investigation of hematite sagging in water-based mud for HP/HT applications where ilmenite is combined with hematite. The particles of both hematite and ilmenite were first characterized to address their mineralogical and textural features. Field mud formulation was employed using several ilmenite/hematite contents (i.e., 0/100, 25/75, and 50/50% ilmenite/hematite). Then, laboratory experiments were conducted to study the density, pH, and sag performance of the produced drilling fluids. From the sagging tests, the optimal ilmenite/hematite ratio was determined, and rheology, viscoelastic behavior, and filtration properties of the formulated mud were addressed. The tests were conditioned to 300 psi and 250 °F. The results showed a reduction in mud density and pH with increasing ilmenite content, as the density reduced from 15 ppg with base hematite until 14.2 ppg for the 50% ilmenite mixture and the pH reduced from 10.5 to 9.3. The static and dynamic sag tests indicated that the addition of 25% of ilmenite solved the hematite-incorporated sagging issue by well placing the sag tendency within the recommended safe range. The 25/75% combination enhanced the yield point (YP) by 37% with only 1 cP increment in plastic viscosity (PV) and an insignificant effect on the gel strength. The YP/PV ratio was improved by 31% indicating better hole cleaning and solid suspension. The filtration behavior of the 25% ilmenite mixture was superior compared to that of the blank hematite because it resulted in 35, 39, and 35% reduction in the filtrate volume, filter-cake weight, and thickness, respectively. This work contributes to improving and economizing the drilling cost and time by the formulation of a stabilized and distinguished-performance drilling mud using combined weighting agents at HP/HT.

1. INTRODUCTION
The drilling fluid plays a key role in successful drilling operations, which necessitates proper drilling fluid design to ensure the required properties and to fulfill its necessary functions. Over the years, two types of drilling fluids have been used (i.e., water-based and oil-based mud).1–3 The water-based mud (WBM) is widely used but with serious challenges in harsh environments such as in the case of high-pressure high-temperature (HP/HT) reservoirs in which the oil-based mud (OBM) has technical preference but with economic and environmental concerns.3,4 Accordingly, an optimization of the WBM will be required to make it suitable to overcome the harsh conditions of oil and gas reservoirs.5

Weighting agents in drilling fluids are used to obtain the required density for efficiently maintaining and controlling the hydrostatic and formation pressures throughout the drilling
operation. The operational and technical challenges in HP/HT wells necessitate the selection of efficient weighting materials in a manner that ensures the mud consistency.

Although barite (BaSO$_4$) is the commonly used weighting material, there are critical associated issues when using barite under HP/HT conditions. For instance, the relatively low specific gravity of barite dictates the use of a large amount of solid content to achieve the desired mud weight, which results in higher equivalent circulation density, more viscous fluid, exaggerated torque, and settlement of solid particles (sagging); these eventually lead to loss of circulation, wellbore instability, and pipe sticking because of the inconsistent drilling fluid properties. Different solutions were introduced to mitigate these serious issues, which include a range of alternative weighting materials.

Hematite (Fe$_2$O$_3$) is a reddish-brown powder and electrically conductive with a molecular weight of 159.69 and a specific gravity of 4.9–5.3 g/cm$^3$. It is commonly used as a blasting agent, absorbent, and adhesive agent and was recently introduced and applied as a weighting material showing technical benefits with a low solid content, as the field and laboratory implementation validated the low toxicity, ROP improvement, and acid-solubility of hematite. Moreover, the micronized particles of hematite showed a positive impact on rheology and filtration performance besides the advantages of iron oxide existence. However in HP/HT wells, solid sagging was still encountered, particularly, at mud density higher or equal to 14 ppg and temperature greater than or equal to 250 °F for different applied pressure ranges.

The micronized ilmenite is a weakly magnetic titanium-iron oxide mineral (FeTiO$_3$), with a specific gravity of 4.5–5.1 g/cm$^3$ and a molecular weight of 157.76. It is acid-soluble with lower abrasiveness than hematite, which makes it suitable to be applied in drilling fluids. Many studies addressed the use of micronized ilmenite or its combination with barite in the WBM and OBM to enhance the mud stability with proven successful outcomes.

Practically, the associated hematite sagging issue results in several well control challenges; therefore, avoiding these issues will reduce the nonproductive time and economize the drilling cost. Moreover, compared to hematite, ilmenite has a lower sagging tendency, but its cost is relatively higher. Accordingly, this study aimed to employ a combination of weighting agents (i.e., hematite and ilmenite) to enhance the fluid stability and prevent the hematite sagging challenge in the WBM under HP/HT conditions. The optimum combination percentage of hematite and ilmenite was determined based on the sagging tendency. The key mud characteristics were evaluated by identifying the density, alkalinity, sagging performance, rheology, filtration, and viscoelastic behavior.

### 2. MATERIALS

A multimixer was utilized to prepare three field formulations of the WBM by combining hematite and ilmenite in different concentrations (i.e., 0/100, 25/75, and 50/50% of ilmenite/hematite). The functional additives were mixed sequentially to control the viscosity, alkalinity, fluid losses, shale swelling, and filter-cake formulation. Table 1 shows the mud formulation with an indication of the amounts, mixing duration, and functional role of each component.

The mineralogical and textural features of hematite and ilmenite were studied using the particle size distribution (PSD), X-ray fluorescence (XRF), and scanning electron microscopy (SEM).

The median particle size ($D_{50}$) of ilmenite is very small (5.2 μm) compared to the 16.9 μm size of hematite particles (Figure 1). This fine grain size of particles is helpful for minimizing the erosion of the equipment, as the larger particles of weighting materials increase its abrasiveness.

Table 1. Drilling Mud Recipe

| component     | unit | amount | mixing duration (min) | function            |
|---------------|------|--------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| water         | cm$^3$ | 245    | 5                     | base fluid          |
| defoamer      | cm$^3$ | 0.08   | 1                     | antifoam agent      |
| soda ash      | g     | 0.5    | 1                     | maintain calcium    |
| xanthan gum   | g     | 1.5    | 20                    | viscosity controller|
| bentonite     | g     | 4      | 10                    | viscosity controller|
| KOH           | g     | 0.5    | 1                     | alkalinity controller|
| starch        | g     | 6      | 10                    | fluid loss controller|
| PAC-R         | g     | 1      | 10                    | fluid loss controller|
| KCl           | g     | 20     | 10                    | shale stabilizer    |
| CaCO$_3$      | g     | 5      | 10                    | bridging material   |
| hematite/ilmenite | g | 350 | 10 | weighting agents |

Figure 1. PSD of hematite and ilmenite.

Table 2. Chemical Composition That Is Determined Using XRF for Hematite and Ilmenite

| element        | hematite mass (%) | ilmenite mass (%) |
|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| iron (Fe)      | 95.9              | 56.2              |
| aluminum (Al)  | 1.1               | 1.4               |
| silicon (Si)   | 0.5               | 1.3               |
| titanium (Ti)  |                   | 37.3              |
| magnesium (Mg) |                   | 2.3               |

From the SEM images (Figure 2), hematite has crystal-structure particles with a heterogeneous size which make it more abrasive while the ilmenite has a uniform and small particle size, which can overcome the hematite abrasiveness concern.
3. EXPERIMENTAL WORK

First, the weight and alkalinity of the mud under ambient conditions were determined for the three prepared mud samples with different concentrations of ilmenite.

Then, the solid settlement was investigated by conducting the static and dynamic sagging tests to identify the optimal combination percentage of hematite/ilmenite that resulted in settling prevention. The static test was carried out at vertical and 45° slanted positions by applying a pressure of 300 psi and a temperature of 250 °F on the muds at aging cells for 24 h. The setup is sketched in Figure 3. The static sag factor was determined by measuring the fluid weights from the topmost and lowest parts of the cell and using eq 1.39

\[
\text{Sag Factor} = \frac{W_{\text{bottom}}}{W_{\text{bottom}} + W_{\text{top}}}
\]  

(1)

and 45° slanted positions by applying a pressure of 300 psi and a temperature of 250 °F on the muds at aging cells for 24 h. The setup is sketched in Figure 3. The static sag factor was determined by measuring the fluid weights from the topmost and lowest parts of the cell and using eq 1.39

Figure 3. Sketch of the vertical and inclined setup for the static sagging test.

Figure 4. Setup for the dynamic sagging test.

Figure 5. Methodology flowchart.
A viscometer was used to rotate the formulations at 100 rpm for 30 min at atmospheric pressure and 120 °F to perform the viscometer sag shoe test (VSST), which reflects the dynamic sagging magnitude. The setup is shown in Figure 4. The VSST value was calculated from the fluid weights determined at the cup’s bottom prior and after rotation and using Equation 2.

$$\text{VSST} = 0.834 \times (W_{\text{after}} - W_{\text{before}})$$

An Anton-paar rheometer was utilized to conduct the rheology tests at high temperature (250 °F) to evaluate the rheological features for the hematite ilmenite combinations in play (i.e., plastic viscosity (PV), yield point (YP), and gel strengths at 10 s, 10 min, and 30 min).

Also, the storage modulus ($G'$) and loss modulus ($G''$) were addressed in the oscillatory amplitude and frequency tests to evaluate the viscoelasticity of the prepared muds. In the former oscillatory test, the frequency was fixed at 10 rad/s throughout various shear strain values ranging from 0.01 to 100% to determine the linear viscoelastic range, while the latter test was performed at different frequencies (0.1−100 rad/s) and constant shear strain selected from the linear range to study the elasticity behavior of the inner structure.

The HP/HT filtration test was performed to address the filtration behavior of the ultimately recommended ilmenite/hematite combination. The test was conducted using a 50 μm ceramic disc at 250 °F and a differential pressure of 300 psi. The filtrate volume was monitored for 30 min, and the filter-cake thickness and its weight were measured.

The sequence of experimental steps that were followed in this study is summarized in Figure 5.

### 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 4.1. Density and pH

The effect of combining ilmenite with hematite in different ratios (0, 25, and 50%) on mud density indicated that increasing the amount of ilmenite results in a reduction of the mud weight from 15.0 ppg for the base mud to 14.2 ppg with 50% ilmenite. The reason for this is the lower specific gravity of ilmenite. Similarly, the pH was reduced from 10.5 up to 9.3 with increasing ilmenite content; it stayed within the practical recommended range (i.e., 9−11), and it can be enhanced by the alkalinity controller additives should needs be. Figure 6 shows the resulting mud densities and pH values for the different amounts of ilmenite.

#### 4.2. Sag Tests

The results of the sagging tests confirmed the sagging issue of pure hematite-based mud at HP/HT, as the sag factors under static conditions were 0.54 and 0.56 in vertical and inclined situations (Figure 7a), respectively, while the practical acceptable range is 0.50−0.53.41 Under dynamic conditions, the VSST was 1.89 ppg (Figure 7b) which is well above the recommended value of maximum 1.0 ppg.40 Adding 25 and 50% of ilmenite resulted in muds with lower sagging tendency with values within the safe ranges under both static and dynamic conditions, showing minimal solid settlement and density inconsistency. For the 25% ilmenite formulation, the resulting factors in static sag tests were 0.52 and 0.53, whereas the dynamic test gave rise to a VSST value of 0.7 ppg, as shown in Figure 7. This improvement in sagging behavior was attributed to the surface charge, colloidal stability, and particle dispersions of ilmenite.14,15,36 Because the 25/75% ilmenite/hematite formulation satisfies the requirement with regard to sagging and cost performance, this combination was selected for further investigation.

Figure 7. Results of sagging tests under (a) static conditions at 250 °F and (b) dynamic conditions at 120 °F.
4.3. Rheology Tests. The results of rheology tests at 250 °F showed that adding 25% of ilmenite improved the YP significantly from 38 to 52 lb/100 ft² with a trivial effect on PV as it increased from 21 to 22 cP. Sequentially, the YP/PV ratio was enhanced by 31% from 1.81 to 2.36 (Figure 8), which indicated an improvement in fluid stability and hole cleaning. This enhancement was expected as ilmenite has comparable fine particles in addition to the iron oxide content in the proposed formulation.

Figure 9a shows that the blank hematite and the 25% ilmenite/75% hematite muds have similar behavior at a low shear rate. Accordingly, the effect of 25/75% of ilmenite/hematite combination on gel strength values at 10 s, 10 min, and 30 min is insignificant (Figure 9b).

4.4. Oscillatory Amplitude and Frequency Tests. From the obtained storage and loss modulus perspective, adding 25% ilmenite results in higher \( G' \) and \( G'' \) values, as recorded from the amplitude sweep test shown in Figure 10a, assigning better elasticity, sag resistance, and solid-like behavior. The better viscoelasticity behavior of the inner structure and fluid stability of the mud with 25% ilmenite (relative to the blank hematite mud) was proven by the frequency test with higher \( G' \), as displayed in Figure 10b.

4.5. Filtration Tests. The HP/HT filtration experiment showed much better filtration properties when adding 25% ilmenite compared to the hematite mud. The obtained filtrate volume was 9.5 cm³ compared to 14.7 cm³ for the base mud with 35% improvement, as illustrated in Figure 11. Also, the weight and thickness of the created filter-cake resulting from the recommended combination were 20.4 g and 3.1 mm, which were less than 33.5 g and 4.7 mm for the blank hematite filter-cake (Figure 12). This better filtration behavior was attributed to the characteristics of ilmenite particles.

The comparison between the obtained results of this study with the other work for solving the hematite sagging issue in the WBM that reported in the literature, where synthetic layered silicate (laponite) was used, indicated the technical feasibility of the proposed mud formulation, as it helped in sagging avoidance with preferable performance in terms of fluid stability and hole cleaning.
rheology, filtration, and viscoelasticity at the conditioned environment. Using laponite enhanced the YP by 33% but with very high gel strength values which can lead to difficulty in pumping start and the increase of the equivalent circulation density. Also, the laponite did not provide a significant enhancement of filtration properties. On the other hand, the proposed ilmenite hematite combination improved the YP by 37% without affecting the gel strength in addition to the outstanding resulting filtration performance. However, at higher temperature and pressure and different ranges of mud densities, the proposed formulation will need to be reevaluated.

5. CONCLUSIONS

A thorough laboratory study was undertaken to evaluate the effect of ilmenite inclusion with the focus on solving the hematite associated issues in drilling operations in the HP/HT state and to identify the optimal ilmenite/hematite ratio. The key mud characteristics were measured and analyzed. The conclusions can be inferred as follows:

- The mud’s density and alkalinity were reduced with increasing the hematite content in the drilling fluid.
- The surface charge, colloidal stability, and fine particle dispersions of hematite were contributed to eliminate the hematite sagging tendency.
- The combination of 25/75% ilmenite/hematite satisfied the solid sagging avoidance under static and dynamic conditions.
- The 25% hematite content enhanced the YP by 37% with measty 1 cP increment in PV; hence, the YP/PV ratio was improved by 31% showing better solid suspension and hole cleaning performance.
- This combination of weighting materials did not change the behavior at a low shear rate so the effect on gel strength was insignificant.
- The filtration behavior with 25% ilmenite was preferable than blank hematite because it resulted in 35, 39, and 35% reduction on the filtrate volume, filter-cake weight, and thickness, respectively.
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