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Abstract
We reinterpret ideas in Klein’s paper on transformations of degree 11 from the modern point of view of dessins d’enfants, and extend his results by considering dessins of type $(3^p, 2^p, p)$ and degree $p$ or $p + 1$, where $p$ is prime. In many cases we determine the passports and monodromy groups of these dessins, and in a few small cases we give drawings which are topologically (or, in certain examples, even geometrically) correct. We use the Bateman–Horn Conjecture and extensive computer searches to support a conjecture that there are infinitely many primes of the form $p = (q^n - 1)/(q - 1)$ for some prime power $q$, in which case infinitely many groups $PSL_n(q)$ arise as permutation groups and monodromy groups of degree $p$ (an open problem in group theory).
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1 Introduction
In 1878–9 Klein published two papers [50, 51] with almost identical titles. The first concerned equations of degree 7, while the second concerned those of degree 11, with the properties and actions of the groups $PSL_2(p)$, for $p = 7$ and 11 respectively, playing a major role in them. The paper [50] is deservedly famous, not least for introducing the Hauptfigur, the iconic diagram of a tessellation of a hyperbolic 14-gon which, after identification of sides, yields the quartic curve of genus 3 now named after Klein: see [55], a book entirely devoted to this curve. In contrast with the largely geometric approach taken in [50], Klein’s emphasis in [51] was more algebraic. However, that paper contained a diagram¹, showing ten plane trees, which anticipated Grothendieck’s concept of dessins d’enfants [35] by over a century. Our aim here is to reinterpret this diagram and the mathematics underlying it from a modern point of view, and to explore some generalisations which Klein might have been able to achieve if he had had access to such tools as character theory, computer algebra and the classification of finite simple groups.

In particular, we study dessins of type $(3^p, 2^p, p)$ and of degree $p$ or $p + 1$ for arbitrary primes $p$, extending Klein’s work in [51] on the case $p = 11$. These dessins are best studied through their monodromy groups, which are permutation groups of these degrees, and in principle such groups are all known, as a consequence of the classification of finite simple groups. However, because of certain open problems in number theory it is unknown whether two families of these groups, consisting of projective and affine groups of degrees $p$ and $p + 1$, are finite or infinite. Using the Bateman–Horn Conjecture and extensive computer searches, we present what we believe is strong evidence that the first of these two families is infinite. (The second family is based on the Mersenne primes, and we have nothing new to add about its cardinality.)

In Section 2 we describe Klein’s ten plane trees, firstly as he presented them in [51], as pictorial representations of the possible 11-sheeted coverings of the Riemann sphere $Σ = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ with branching patterns $3^11^2$, $2^11^3$ and $11^1$ over 0, 1 and $\infty$. We then use more modern terminology and graphic conventions to describe them as dessins d’enfants, specifically as bipartite maps (Figure 2) and as maps with free edges (Figure 3). Klein asserted without proof that his list was complete, so we outline a method he might have used to see this.

¹Regrettably this diagram, like that of the Hauptfigur in [50], is omitted from the on-line version of the paper, both having been provided on separate inserted sheets in the original journal. However, both diagrams can be found in Klein’s collected works [53, vol. 3, pp. 126, 143], integrated into updated versions of the two papers; see also [55, pp. 115, 320] for the Hauptfigur.
An important invariant of any dessin is its monodromy group (equivalently the monodromy group of the covering of the sphere which it represents), discussed in Section 3. This is a transitive permutation group on the sheets of the covering (more precisely, on the fibre over a base-point), generated by the monodromy permutations describing the branching over the critical values. Klein showed that just one chiral pair of his trees, corresponding to our maps $M_1$ and $\overline{M}_1$, have monodromy groups isomorphic to $\text{PSL}_2(11)$, acting with degree 11; we show that the other eight have the alternating group $A_{11}$ as their monodromy group.

A powerful technique for enumerating dessins with a given monodromy group, but unavailable to Klein when he wrote his papers, is the character-theoretic triple-counting formula introduced by Frobenius [25] in 1896. We use this in Section 4, with the aid of character tables provided by GAP [28] and by the ATLAS [17], to confirm Klein’s enumeration of the trees and our description of their monodromy groups; this includes the elimination of the Mathieu group $M_{11}$, another possible candidate.

Any dessin $D$ with monodromy group $G$ has a unique minimal regular cover, a regular dessin $R$ with $\text{Aut} R \cong G$. Much of Klein’s paper [51] is devoted to the common regular cover $R_1$ of $M_1$ and $\overline{M}_1$, a map on the modular curve $X(11)$ of genus 26. We show in Section 5 that the other eight trees, with $G \cong A_{11}$, have mutually non-isomorphic regular covers of genus 756 001.

Each of Klein’s ten trees corresponds to an 11-sheeted covering $\Sigma \to \Sigma$ realised by a Shabat polynomial $P$ of degree 11. In Section 6 we determine these polynomials for $M_1$ and $\overline{M}_1$, a map on the modular curve $X(11)$ of genus 26. We show in Section 7 that the other eight trees, with $G \cong A_{11}$, have mutually non-isomorphic regular covers of genus 756 001.

In Section 7 we extend Klein’s classification by determining all the dessins of degree 11 and type $(3,2,r)$ for $r \neq 11$: there are sixteen of them (see Figure 9), all of genus 0 and with the symmetric group $S_{11}$ as their monodromy group.

In Sections 8, 9 and 10 we generalise Klein’s work further by considering dessins $D$ of degree $p$ and type $(3,2,p)$ for arbitrary primes $p$. The investigation is quite difficult, because we encounter some important open problems in group theory and number theory. The monodromy group $G$ of $D$ must be a transitive permutation group of degree $p$, and if $p > 3$ (as we shall assume) then as a quotient of the triangle group $\Delta(3,2,p)$ with mutually coprime periods, $G$ must be perfect. In Section 8 we use the classification of finite simple groups, and hence of permutation groups of prime degree, to restrict the possibilities for $G$ to the alternating groups $A_p$, $\text{PSL}_2(11)$ and the Mathieu groups $M_{11}$ and $M_{23}$ for $p = 11, 11$ and 23, and $\text{PSL}_m(q)$ in cases where its natural degree $(q^n-1)/(q-1)$ is a prime $p$. We show that $A_p$ arises for $p = 5$ and each $p \geq 11$, with exponentially many dessins (far too many to classify) as $p \to \infty$. The group $\text{PSL}_2(11)$, acting with degree 11, was dealt with by Klein, as we have already seen, while the Frobenius triple-counting formula eliminates the Mathieu groups as quotas of $\Delta(3,2,p)$.

This leaves the groups $\text{PSL}_m(q)$, acting naturally with degree $(q^n-1)/(q-1)$ for some prime power $q$. In Section 9 we consider the open problem of whether this degree is prime for finitely or infinitely many pairs $(n,q)$; such projective primes, as we call them, include the Fermat and Mersenne primes, for $n = 2$ and $q = 2$ respectively. For each $n \geq 3$ (necessarily prime) the Bateman–Horn Conjecture (a powerful but apparently little-known open problem in number theory) provides a heuristic estimate for the number of primes $t \leq x$ such that $q = r^t$ and $(q^n-1)/(q-1)$ is prime; since these estimates agree closely with results obtained from computer searches revealing large numbers of examples, we conjecture that for each prime $n \geq 3$ there are infinitely many projective primes $p$. This case is explored in more detail in [46].

In Section 10 we return to the dessins of type $(3,2,p)$ and degree $p$ for projective primes $p = (q^n-1)/(q-1)$. Using character theory we show that in the cases $n = 2$ and 3 there are such dessins with monodromy group $\text{PSL}_m(q)$ for each projective prime $p$; in Theorems 10.1 and 10.3 we enumerate them and give their genus. Theorem 10.11 is a similar but weaker result for $q = 2$, proving the existence of such dessins for each Mersenne prime $p = 2^n-1$, and we conjecture that it extends to all projective primes.

In Sections 11 and 12 we consider dessins of type $(3,2,p)$ and degree $p + 1$ for arbitrary primes $p$. The parameters 3 and 2 in the types of the dessins we have considered imply that all the monodromy groups and automorphism groups associated with them are quotients of the modular group $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{C}_3 \ast \mathbb{C}_2$. In most cases the corresponding kernels are non-congruence subgroups, but in Section 11 we consider the modular dessins $\mathcal{D}_0(p)$ arising from reduction of coefficients mod (p), corresponding to the action of $\text{PSL}_2(p)$ on the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p)$. We do this firstly in the planar cases $p \leq 7$ and $p = 13$, and then in the more difficult case $p = 11$, where we outline a calculation by John Voight which determines both the underlying elliptic curve $E$ and the Belyı function $E \to \Sigma$. For $p = 11$ and 13 we compute and display geometrically correct drawings of these dessins.

We extend this further in Section 12 by considering arbitrary dessins of type $(3,2,p)$ and degree $p + 1$ for primes $p > 3$. We use the classification by Müller [65] of primitive permutation groups containing a cycle with one fixed point to restrict the possible monodromy groups to $A_{p+1}$, $\text{PSL}_2(p)$, $\text{AGL}_m(2)$ for $p = 2^n-1$, $M_{11}$ and $M_{12}$ for $p = 11$, and $M_{24}$ for $p = 23$. We show that $A_{p+1}$ arises for all $p > 7$, again with too many dessins to classify for all except small $p$, whereas $\text{PSL}_2(p)$ arises only for $\mathcal{D}_0(p)$. The Mathieu groups $M_{12}$ and $M_{24}$ each yield two chiral pairs of dessins, whereas as before $M_{11}$ yields none.
Finally we show that the affine group $\text{AGL}_n(2)$ yields at least one dessin for each Mersenne prime $p = 2^n - 1 > 7$; however, this fails for $p = 7$ since $\text{AGL}_3(2)$ is not a Hurwitz group.

In Section 13 we briefly outline another realisation of $\text{PSL}_2(11)$, this time as the isometry group of the hendecachoron, a tessellation of a non-orientable 3-orbifold by 11 hemi-icosahedra, discovered independently by Grünbaum [36] and Coxeter [18].

Section 14 contains monodromy permutations and diagrams for some of the dessins which appear in this paper.

2 Klein’s ten plane trees

In [51] Klein drew a diagram showing ten plane trees, each with eleven edges and twelve vertices. In each tree there is a bipartite partition of the vertices, with five vertices (coloured white) of valencies dividing 3, and seven vertices (indicated by short cross-bars, perpendicular to their incident edges) of valencies dividing 2; in each case the unique face is an 11-gon. Among these plane trees there are four chiral (mirror-image) pairs, numbered I to IV, and two others, numbered V and VI, which exhibit bilateral symmetry. The chiral pair I, which play a major role in Klein’s paper, are shown (slightly distorted for simplicity, but combinatorially correct) in Figure 1.

![Figure 1: The chiral pair I of Klein’s plane trees.](image)

2.1 Klein’s trees as dessins d’enfants

If we regard the underlying surface as the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$, and compactify it to give the Riemann sphere or complex projective line $\Sigma = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$, we can recognise these plane trees as dessins d’enfants in Grothendieck’s sense [35], namely bipartite graphs embedded in compact Riemann surfaces $X$; as such they represent finite covers $X \to \Sigma$ of the sphere, unbranched outside $\{0, 1, \infty\}$, or equivalently, by Belyi’s Theorem [6], projective algebraic curves $X$ defined over algebraic number fields. (See [30, 44, 54] for background on dessins d’enfants.) All ten of these dessins have genus 0, so that $X = \Sigma$. They have degree 11, meaning that they represent 11-sheeted coverings of $\Sigma$; they have type $(3, 2, 11)$, meaning that there are branch-points of orders dividing 3, 2 and 11 over 0, 1 and $\infty$, represented in Klein’s diagrams by the white vertices, cross-bars and the point at infinity. A modern convention is to use black and white vertices for points over 0 and 1, with the edges corresponding to the points over the unit interval $[0, 1] \subset \Sigma$, and to regard those over $\infty$ as the face-centres. We will denote the dessins corresponding to Klein’s chiral pairs I to IV by $M_i$ and $\overline{M}_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 4$, with $M_i$ on the left in Klein’s diagrams and ours; similarly $M_i$ ($i = 5, 6$) is the dessin corresponding to the tree V or VI in [51]$^2$. The dessins $M_i$ and $\overline{M}_i$ corresponding to Klein’s plane trees I are shown in Figure 2.

![Figure 2: The dessins $M_i$ and $\overline{M}_i$ corresponding to Klein’s plane trees I.](image)

When the order of branching over 1 divides 2, as in these dessins, so that white vertices all have valencies 1 or 2, it is sometimes convenient to omit these vertices, leaving a map with only black vertices and possibly some free edges where

---

$^2$The temptation to follow Klein by using Roman numerals as subscripts here was almost (but not totally) irresistible.
white vertices of valency 1 have been removed. Of course, this operation is reversible, so no information is lost. With this convention, the dessins corresponding to all ten of Klein’s plane trees are shown in Figure 3, with the same layout as in his original diagram.

\[ M_1 \quad M_2 \quad \overline{M}_1 \quad \overline{M}_2 \]

\[ M_3 \quad M_4 \quad \overline{M}_3 \quad \overline{M}_4 \]

\[ M_5 \quad \overline{M}_5 \]

\[ M_6 \]

Figure 3: The maps \( M_i \) and \( \overline{M}_i \) corresponding to Klein’s plane trees I – VI.

In fact these ten dessins form a complete list (up to isomorphism) of the dessins of type \((3, 2, 11)\) and degree 11, which is the least possible degree for dessins of this type. Klein presented his diagram as representing a classification of the 11-sheeted coverings of the sphere \( \Sigma \) with branching patterns \( 3^3 1^2 \) and \( 2^4 1^3 \) over 0 and 1. He explained this in terms of the modular function \( J : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \Sigma \), which parametrises isomorphism classes of elliptic curves, an approach which leads naturally to the modular group \( \Gamma = \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \), the subgroup leaving \( J \) invariant in the automorphism group \( \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \) of the Riemann surface \( \mathbb{H} \). This part of Klein’s work will not be discussed in our paper.

2.2 The classification problem

Klein presented his ten planar trees in [51, §1] in answer to a question he had posed in [49, §5]: in modern terminology, he had asked for the number of dessins \( \mathcal{D} \) of degree 11 and passport \((3^3 1^2; 2^4 1^3; -)\), that is, with three black vertices of valency 3 and two of valency 1, together with four white vertices of valency 2 and three of valency 1, and with no restrictions on the faces.

In his diagram Klein drew ten planar trees, equivalent to these dessins, but did not explain why this list was complete, writing simply ‘Dass es auch nicht mehr gibt, ist ebenso evident’\(^3\). The argument, which we will now give in the language

\(^3\)‘It is also clear that there are no others.’
of dessins, is indeed straightforward. Since each dessin $D$ has eleven edges and twelve vertices the embedded graph, being connected, must be a tree, so the underlying surface is the sphere and there is a single face, of valency 11. Since the white vertices have valency 1 or 2 we can omit them and for simplicity represent $D$ as a map $M$, which must have three vertices of valency 3 and two of valency 1, and seven edges, three of them free. By connectedness, one of the three vertices of valency 3 must be adjacent to the other two, so $M$ must be formed from the basic map $M_0$ in Figure 4 by choosing two of its five free edges and adding a vertex to each. This gives us $\binom{5}{2} = 10$ non-isomorphic maps $M$; they are shown in Figure 3, with the same layout as the corresponding plane trees in Klein’s paper [51].

![Figure 4: The planar map $M_0$.](image)

An alternative approach to this and similar problems, based on the character theory and the Frobenius formula, will be developed in Section 4.

## 3 Monodromy groups

Any dessin $D$ of degree $n$ can be represented as an ordered pair $x, y$ of permutations of its $n$ edges, obtained by using the chosen orientation of the underlying surface to follow the rotation of edges around their incident black and white vertices. The monodromy group $G = \langle x, y \rangle$ of $D$, through its action permuting the $n$ edges, can be identified with a subgroup of the symmetric group $S_n$. By the connectedness of the embedded graph it is transitive. It is convenient to introduce a third permutation $z := (xy)^{-1}$, so that $xyz = 1$. It is easy to verify that, while the cycles of $x$ and $y$ correspond respectively to black and white vertices, the cycles of $z$ correspond to faces.

**Convention 3.1 (Where to put labels)** We put a label of an edge on its left side while moving from its black end to its white one. In this way the labels corresponding to a cycle of $z$ or, equivalently, to a face, will be situated inside this face and will be rotated by $z$ around the center of the face in the direction corresponding to the orientation of the surface. This convention is illustrated in Figure 5.

(When a dessin is drawn on the plane, its outer face gives an impression that its labels are rotated in the direction opposite to the orientation of the plane. But this is an illusion. In fact, the dessin should be considered not on the plane but on a sphere, and the center of the outer face is situated on the “opposite side” of this sphere. Looking from this center, the rotation of the labels of the outer face does correspond to the orientation of the sphere.)

When $y^2 = 1$ and the white vertices are omitted, so that $D$ is a map, one can regard $G$ as permuting its half-edges, or else directed edges. Thus, an edge of a map bears two labels while a free edge bears only one. A free edge may be considered as an outgoing directed edge.

![Figure 5: Monodromy permutations: here $ax, ay$ and $az$ are the images of $\alpha$ under the permutations $x, y$ and $z = (xy)^{-1}$.](image)
Example 3.2 The permutations corresponding to the map in Figure 6 are as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
  x &= (1, 15, 16)(2, 3, 4)(5, 9)(6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12), \\
y &= (1, 6)(2, 9)(3, 13, 8, 14)(4, 7)(5, 10)(11, 12), \\
z &= (1, 12, 10, 9, 4, 6, 16, 15)(2, 5, 8, 13)(3, 14, 7).
\end{align*}
\]

The monodromy group of this map is the alternating group \( A_{16} \). See also Figures 17 and 27 for other examples of maps with labelled edges.

![Figure 6: A map with labelled edges.](image)

The ten dessins \( \mathcal{D} \) considered here have degree 11, so their monodromy groups \( G \) are transitive subgroups of \( S_{11} \). In each case \( x^3 = y^2 = 1 \), so \( G \) is a quotient of the modular group

\[
\Gamma = \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \langle X, Y, Z \mid X^3 = Y^2 = XYZ = 1 \rangle \cong C_3 * C_2,
\]

where the generators

\[
X = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

correspond to the Möbius transformations

\[
t \mapsto \frac{-1}{t - 1}, \quad t \mapsto \frac{-1}{t} \quad \text{and} \quad t \mapsto t + 1.
\]

(Here we have associated Möbius transformations \( t \mapsto \frac{at + b}{ct + d} \) with pairs of matrices \( \pm \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} \), the transposes of those normally used in this context. This is because we will later need to regard Möbius transformations, especially those over finite fields, as permutations; it is algebraically and computationally convenient to compose these from left to right, instead of using the analytic right to left convention, so in order to have a homomorphism from matrices to Möbius transformations we will ignore the deceptively suggestive notation for the latter and use transposes.)

Klein was interested in which of these monodromy groups \( G \) are congruence quotients, that is, which are quotients by congruence subgroups of \( \Gamma \). The only possible level for such a congruence subgroup is 11 (since this is the order of \( z := (xy)^{-1} \)), and indeed the resulting quotient group \( L := \text{PSL}_2(11) \), a simple group of order 660, does act as a transitive group of degree 11, namely on the cosets of a subgroup \( H \cong A_5 \), as we will now show. This is one of the three cases, all known to Galois (see [27], pp. 411–412), in which a simple group \( \text{PSL}_2(p) \), where \( p \) is prime, has a proper subgroup of index less than \( p + 1 \); the others are \( A_4 < \text{PSL}_2(5) \) and \( S_4 < \text{PSL}_2(7) \).

As generators of \( L \) one can take the images

\[
X = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

of \( X, Y \) and \( Z \), satisfying the relations

\[
x^3 = y^2 = z^{11} = xyz = 1. \tag{1}
\]

The elements

\[
u = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -1 \\ 2 & -3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad v = y = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad w = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -4 \\ -3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

of \( L \) (note that \( \det(u) = \det(w) = -10 \equiv 1 \mod (11) \)) satisfy

\[
u^3 = v^2 = w^5 = uvw = 1,
\]
so they generate a quotient $H \leq L$ of the triangle group $\Delta(3, 2, 5) \cong A_5$. By the simplicity of $A_5$ we have $H \cong A_5$, so $[L : H] = 11$. Conjugation by the element

$$\pm \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{PGL}_2(11) \cong \operatorname{Aut} L$$

induces an outer automorphism of $L$, transposing $u, v$ and $w$ with elements

$$\bar{u} = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ -2 & -3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{v} = v = y = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{w} = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

which satisfy the same relations and therefore generate a subgroup $\bar{H} \cong A_5$. This subgroup is not conjugate in $L$ to $H$: if it were, some non-identity element of $\operatorname{PGL}_2(11)$ would commute with $u, v$ and $w$, whereas it is straightforward to check that only the identity element does this. It follows that $L$ has two inequivalent transitive representations of degree 11, on the cosets of the subgroups $H$ and $\bar{H}$, or equivalently (since these subgroups are equal to their normalisers in $L$), by conjugation on the two conjugacy classes of subgroups they represent.

Nowadays, by using a program such as GAP [28] one can easily determine the monodromy groups of the ten dessins, showing that those with monodromy group $L = \PSL_2(11)$ are the chiral pair $\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1$. However, one can also distinguish this pair by hand: for $i = 1, \ldots, 6$ the commutator $[x, y] = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$ has cycle structure $5^21^1, 7^13^11^1, 8^12^11^1, 5^41^21^1, 7^12^2$ and $4^23^1$ in $\mathcal{M}_1$ (and hence also in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1$), so only when $i = 1$ does it have one of the possible orders 1, 2, 3, 5, 6 or 11 of an element of $L$. Conversely, it is easy to see that when $L$ is represented on the cosets of $H$ or $\bar{H}$ the generators $x$ and $y$ have cycle structures $3^11^2$ and $2^41^3$, so $L$ is the monodromy group of at least one of the ten dessins, and this must be the pair $\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1$, with the two dessins corresponding to the two inequivalent representations of degree 11.

Klein [51, §2] used a similar argument, based on a word equivalent to our $yz^3$, to show that the plane trees labelled II–VI in his diagram do not have monodromy group $L$; he gave details only for tree V , corresponding to the dessin $\mathcal{M}_5$. His paper is otherwise entirely devoted to the two trees labelled I (our Figure 1) with monodromy group $H$, together with their minimal regular cover, which correspond to congruence subgroups of the modular group; the other eight trees, labelled II–VI and corresponding to noncongruence subgroups, are ignored, as are their monodromy groups.

In fact, the cycle structures of $[x, y]$ given above show that for $i = 2, 4, 5$ and 6 the monodromy group $G$ is $A_{11}$. Being transitive of prime degree, $G$ must be primitive; now a theorem of Jordan (see [72, Theorem 13.9], for example) shows that any finite primitive permutation group containing a cycle of prime length with at least three fixed points must contain the alternating group; in each of these four cases a suitable power of $[x, y]$ has this property, so $G \geq A_{11}$. Since the generators $x$ and $y$ are even permutations, it follows that $G = A_{11}$. However, a separate argument is required for $i = 3$, for instance using the fact that in this case $x^5c^2x^5$ has cycle structure $5^13^11^3$. (The theorem of Jordan used here follows immediately from results in his papers [47, 48] published in 1871 and 1873, so Klein could have known and used this argument; for further historical comments on Jordan’s Theorem, and for a modern extension of it, see [41].) The fact that the eight dessins have monodromy groups $G \cong A_{11}$ shows that, as claimed above, they correspond to noncongruence subgroups of $\Gamma$: the only nonabelian composition factors of any congruence quotient of $\Gamma$ are isomorphic to $\PSL_2(p)$ for primes $p \geq 5$ dividing the level [64], and by comparing orders it is easily seen that the simple group $A_{11}$ does not have this form.

## 4 Counting Triples

We have already given a very simple argument showing why there are exactly ten dessins with the given characteristics. However, this simple example allows us an opportunity to illustrate another important enumeration technique based on character theory. Quite often, in more complicated cases where the degree or the genus is greater, this method is the only one available. It is based on Frobenius’s formula [25]

$$\frac{|X| \cdot |Y| \cdot |Z|}{|G|} \sum_{\chi} \chi(x)\chi(y)\chi(z) \chi(1)$$

(2)

for the number of triples $(x, y, z) \in X \times Y \times Z$ with $xyz = 1$, where $X, Y$ and $Z$ are conjugacy classes in a finite group $G$, and the sum is over the irreducible complex characters $\chi$ of $G$. (In specific applications, one often finds that many characters take the value 0 on $X, Y$ or $Z$, so they can be omitted from the summation.) Since [25] was published 17 years after [51], this
powerful technique was not available to Klein. Here we will show how it works in this fairly simple situation, ignoring results explained earlier; as a bonus we obtain the monodromy groups of all ten dessins.

Before doing this, we will give an alternative form of (2) which is sometimes more convenient, for instance when using the ATLAS \[17\] where orders of centralisers, rather than conjugacy classes, are given. We have \(|X| = |G|/|C(x)|\) where \(C(x) = C_G(x)\) denotes the centraliser of \(x\) in \(G\), with similar equations for \(|Y|\) and \(|Z|\), so we can rewrite (2) as

\[
\frac{|G|^2}{|C(x)| \cdot |C(y)| \cdot |C(z)|} \sum_x \chi(x) \chi(y) \chi(z) \chi(1). \tag{3}
\]

If a dessin \(D\) has type \((3, 2, 11)\) and degree 11, then its monodromy permutations \(x, y, z \in S_{11}\) satisfy the relations (1); having odd order, \(x\) and \(z\) are even, and hence so is \(y\), so the monodromy group \(G = \langle x, y, z \rangle\) is a subgroup of \(A := A_{11}\). Our aim is to count the triples \(x, y, z\) in \(A\) satisfying (1), and to determine the corresponding dessins \(D\) and their monodromy groups \(G\). In more general applications of (2) one has to exclude triples generating intransitive subgroups \(G\), since these cannot be monodromy groups of connected covers. However, here the fact that \(z\) must be an 11-cycle guarantees transitivity, so this problem does not arise. Since the orders 3, 2 and 11 of \(x\), \(y\) and \(z\) are mutually coprime, \(G\) must be perfect (have trivial abelianisation), and therefore cannot be solvable. If \(G \neq A\) then \(G\) must be contained in a nonsolvable maximal subgroup \(M\) of \(A\), of order divisible by 11. According to the list of maximal subgroups of \(A_{11}\) in \([17]\), the only such maximal subgroups \(M\) are isomorphic to the Mathieu group \(M_{11}\), forming two conjugacy classes of subgroups, of index 2520, transposed by \(\text{Out} A\). Similarly the list of maximal subgroups of \(M_{11}\) in \([17]\) tells us that if \(G \neq M\) then \(G\) is isomorphic to \(L = \text{PSL}_2(11)\), each subgroup \(M \cong M_{11}\) containing one conjugacy class of such subgroups, of index 12 in \(M\). Thus \(G\) is equal to \(A\), or is isomorphic to \(M_{11}\) or \(\text{PSL}_2(11)\); we will count the triples generating these three groups in turn, starting with the smallest.

**Example 4.1** We will show that the group \(L = \text{PSL}_2(11)\) has, up to automorphisms (equivalently, up to conjugacy in \(\text{PGL}_2(11) \cong \text{Aut} L\)), a single generating triple \(x, y, z\) satisfying (1). The character table of \(L\), produced by GAP \([28]\) and given here, has columns corresponding to the conjugacy classes, with the headings \(nA, nB, \text{etc} \) in ATLAS notation \([17]\); the columns of the character table are labelled \(X.j\), with \(j = 1, 2, \ldots\). The rows, labelled \(X.j\), correspond to irreducible characters \(\chi_j\) for \(j = 1, 2, \ldots\). The table includes entries \(A, A^*\) := \((-1 + \sqrt{5})/2\) and \(B, B^* := (-1 \pm i \sqrt{11})/2\), while a full stop denotes an entry 0.

| \(X.1\) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| \(X.2\) | 5 | -1 | 1 | . | . | 1 | /B |
| \(X.3\) | 5 | -1 | 1 | . | . | 1 | /B |
| \(X.4\) | 10 | 1 | . | 2 | . | 1 | . |
| \(X.5\) | 10 | 1 | 2 | . | . | -1 | -1 |
| \(X.6\) | 11 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | . |
| \(X.7\) | 12 | . | . | A | *A | . | 1 | 1 |
| \(X.8\) | 12 | . | . | *A | A | . | 1 | 1 |

\(A = E(5)^2 E(5)^* 3 = (-1 - \text{sqrt}(5))/2 = -1 - b5\)
\(B = E(11) + E(11)^* 3 + E(11)^* 4 + E(11)^* 5 + E(11)^* 9 = (-1 + \text{sqrt}(-11))/2 = b11\)

In this example we take \(X\) and \(Y\) to be the classes 3a and 2a, and \(Z\) to be either of the classes 11a or 11b. For each choice of \(Z\) the character sum in formula (3) has the value

\[
\Sigma_L = 1 + \frac{(-1) \cdot 1 \cdot B}{5} + \frac{(-1) \cdot 1 \cdot /B}{5} + \frac{1 \cdot (-2) \cdot (-1)}{10} + \frac{1 \cdot 2 \cdot (-1)}{10} = 1 - \frac{B + /B}{5} = \frac{6}{5}.
\]

Now the centralisers \(C_L(x), C_L(y)\) and \(C_L(z)\) of typical elements \(x, y \text{ and } z\) of \(X, Y\) and \(Z\) have orders 6, 12 and 11, so that

\[
\frac{|L|^2}{|C(x)| \cdot |C(y)| \cdot |C(z)|} = \frac{2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 11^2}{6 \cdot 12 \cdot 11} = 2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 11.
\]
Multiplying this by $\Sigma_L$ gives $2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$ triples $(x, y, z) \in X \times Y \times Z$ with $xyz = 1$ for each choice of $Z$, and hence $2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$ in total. Each triple must generate $L$ since this group has no proper subgroups of order divisible by 66 (in fact, none of index less that 11). Since $\text{Aut} \ L$ acts semi-regularly on generating triples, dividing by its order $|\text{PGL}_2(11)| = 2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$ shows that $\text{Aut} \ L$ has a single orbit on such triples, as claimed.

Since the normal subgroups of any group $\Delta$ with quotient isomorphic to a group $G$ are in bijective correspondence with the orbits of $\text{Aut} \ G$, acting by composition, on epimorphisms $\Delta \to G$, it follows that the triangle group

$$\Delta := \Delta(3, 2, 11) = \langle X, Y, Z \mid X^3 = Y^2 = Z^{11} = XYZ = 1 \rangle$$

has a single normal subgroup with quotient isomorphic to $L$. Now $L$ has two conjugacy classes of subgroups of index 11, all isomorphic to $A_5$, and these classes are transposed by its automorphism group $\text{PGL}_2(11)$ (see [17] or [22, §259]); they lift to two conjugacy classes of subgroups of index 11 in $\Delta$, transposed by conjugation in the extended triangle group

$$\Delta[3, 2, 11] = \langle R_0, R_1, R_2 \mid R_0^5 = (R_1R_2)^3 = (R_2R_0)^2 = (R_0R_1)^{11} = 1 \rangle,$$

which contains $\Delta$ with index 2, and they correspond to a chiral pair of dessins $D$ of type $(3, 2, 11)$ degree 11 with monodromy group isomorphic to $L$. This calculation shows that they are the only such dessins, so they are the dessins $M_1$ and $\overline{M}_1$ discussed earlier.

**Example 4.2** Next we count triples satisfying (1) in the simple group $M = M_{11}$ of order $7920 = 2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$. The character table of $M$, given by GAP, is shown here:

| 1a  | 11a | 11b | 2a | 4a | 8a | 8b | 3a | 6a | 5a |
|-----|-----|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| X.1 |  1  |  1  |  1 |  1 |  1 |  1 |  1 |  1 |  1 |
| X.2 | 10  | -1  | -1 |  2 |  2 | .  |  1 | -1 | .  |
| X.3 | 10  | -1  | -1 | -2 | .  | -B |  1 | .  |  1 |
| X.4 | 10  | -1  | -1 | -2 | .  | -B |  1 |  1 | .  |
| X.5 | 11  | .   |  3 | -1 | -1 |  2 | .  | -1 |  1 |
| X.6 | 16  | A   | /A | .  | .  | -2 | .  |  1 |  1 |
| X.7 | 16  | /A  | A  | .  | -2 | .  | .  |  1 |  1 |
| X.8 | 44  | .   |  4 | .  | .  | -1 |  1 | -1 | .  |
| X.9 | 45  |  1  |  1 | -3 |  1 | -1 | -1 | .  | .  |
| X.10 | 55 | .   | -1 | -1 |  1 |  1 |  1 | -1 | .  |

$$A = E(11)^{-2} + E(11)^{-6} + E(11)^{-7} + E(11)^{-8} + E(11)^{-10}$$

$$= (-1 - \sqrt{-11})/2 = -1 - b11$$

$$B = -E(8) - E(8)^{-3}$$

$$= -\sqrt{-2} = -i2$$

We must take $X$ and $Y$ to be the unique conjugacy classes 3a and 2a of elements of order 3 and 2, and $Z$ to be either of the classes 11a or 11b of elements of order 11. We see that the character sum for $M$ is

$$\Sigma_M = \frac{1}{10} \cdot 1 \cdot \frac{2 \cdot (-1)}{10} + \frac{1}{10} \cdot (-2) \cdot (-1) + \frac{1}{10} \cdot (-2) \cdot (-1) = \frac{6}{5}.$$

By [17] the centralisers in $M$ of $x, y$ and $z$ have orders 18, 48 and 11, so

$$\frac{|M|^2}{|C(x)| \cdot |C(y)| \cdot |C(z)|} = \frac{2^8 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 11^2}{18 \cdot 48 \cdot 11} = 2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 11.$$

Multiplying this by $2\Sigma_M$ gives $2^5 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$ triples in $M$. Now $M$ has a single conjugacy class of 12 subgroups $L \cong \text{PSL}_2(11)$, and we saw in Example 4.1 that each of these is generated by $2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$ triples, so $2^5 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$ triples in $M$ generate proper subgroups. Thus none of these triples can generate $M$. (See [15, 73] for confirmation that $M_{11}$ is not a quotient of $\Delta$.)

The groups $M_{11}$ and $M_{12}$ were introduced by Mathieu [62] in 1861, so they would have been known to Klein; unlike $M_{12}$ (see [44, §2.1.6], for example), $M_{11}$ is not the monodromy group of any orientable cubic map, since an extension of the argument used here shows that it is not a quotient of $\Gamma$. 
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Example 4.3 Now we count triples satisfying (1) in $A = A_{11}$. In this case there are three conjugacy classes of elements of order 3, and two classes of elements of order 2. A simple argument shows that if elements $x, y \in A$ of orders 3 and 2 generate a transitive group then they must have cycle structures $3^1 1^2$ and $2^4 1^3$, so they belong to the conjugacy classes $X = 3c$ and $Y = 2b$ of $A$, while $z$ must be in one of the two classes $11a$ and $11b$ of 11-cycles in $A$.

The character table of $A$ is much too large to give here. However, the only irreducible characters $\chi$ with $\chi(x)\chi(y)\chi(z) \neq 0$ are the principal character $\chi_1$ and the characters $\chi_2$, $\chi_6$ and $\chi_{11}$ of degrees 10, 120 and 210, so we can give here the relevant portion of the character table, concerning these classes and characters:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
1a & 2b & 3c & 11a & 11b \\
X.1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
X.2 & 10 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
X.6 & 120 & -8 & 3 & -1 & -1 \\
X.11 & 210 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 1 \\
\end{array}
\]

The character sum

\[
\Sigma_A := \sum_{\chi} \frac{\chi(x)\chi(y)\chi(z)}{\chi(1)}
\]

has the value

\[
1 + \frac{1 \cdot 2 \cdot (-1)}{10} + \frac{3 \cdot (-8) \cdot (-1)}{120} + \frac{3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1}{210} = 1 - \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{35} = \frac{36}{35} = \frac{2^2 \cdot 3^2}{5 \cdot 7}.
\]

Now $|A| = 11!/2 = 2^7 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$, and by [17] the centralisers of $x, y$ and $z$ in $A$ have orders $162 = 2 \cdot 3^4$, $1152 = 2^7 \cdot 3^2$ and $11$, so

\[
\frac{|A|^2}{|C(x)| \cdot |C(y)| \cdot |C(z)|} = 2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11.
\]

Multiplying this by $2\Sigma_A$ shows that the number of triples in $A$ satisfying (1) is

\[
t := 2^9 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 = 10 \times 11!.
\]

From this total we must subtract the number of triples generating proper subgroups of $A$. As we have seen, the only such subgroups are those isomorphic to $\text{PSL}_2(11)$. Each subgroup $L \cong \text{PSL}_2(11)$ in $A$ is contained in a unique subgroup $M \cong M_{11}$; there are two conjugacy classes of 2520 such subgroups $M$ in $A$, each containing one conjugacy class of 12 subgroups $L$, so the number of such subgroups $L$ in $A$ is $2 \cdot 2520 \cdot 12 = 60480 = 2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$. As shown in Example 4.1, each subgroup $L$ is generated by $2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$ triples satisfying (1), so the number of triples in $A$ generating subgroups $L \cong \text{PSL}_2(11)$ is $2^9 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 = t/5 = 2 \times 11!$.

Since there are $10 \times 11!$ triples in $A$, of which $2 \times 11!$ generate proper subgroups, there are $8 \times 11!$ triples generating $A$, forming eight orbits under $\text{Aut}A = S_{11}$; these correspond to eight normal subgroups of $A$ with quotient $A$, and hence to eight regular dessins with (orientation-preserving) automorphism group $A$. Now $A$ has a single conjugacy class of subgroups of index 11, namely the natural point-stabilisers isomorphic to $A_{10}$, so these lift to eight more conjugacy classes of subgroups of index 11 in $A$, in addition to the two resulting from Example 4.1. These give eight more dessins $D$ of type $(3, 2, 11)$ and degree 11, all with monodromy group $G \cong A_{11}$. Thus we have a total of ten dessins of the required degree and type. This confirms the earlier enumeration, and also confirms that for $M_t$ and $\overline{M}_t$ the monodromy group is $\text{PSL}_2(11)$, whereas for the other eight dessins it is $A_{11}$. Generating triples for these dessins are given in Subsection 14.1.

In each of the examples considered here, it was a fairly easy matter to evaluate the Frobenius formulae (2) or (3) by hand, but in other cases, if there are many non-zero summands, or if irrational character values arise, it may be necessary to appeal to GAP for this. For instance, the following GAP commands repeat the calculation in Example 4.1.

```gap
> G:=PSL(2,11);;
> T:=CharacterTable(G);;
> OrdersClassRepresentatives(T);
[ 1, 3, 2, 5, 5, 6, 11, 11 ]
```
The dessins and their regular covers

Our enumeration of triples in \( L \) shows that there is a single regular dessin \( R_1 \) of type \((3, 2, 11)\) with automorphism group \( L \cong \text{PSL}_2(11) \); this is the minimal regular cover of each of the two dessins \( M_1 \) and \( \overline{M}_1 \) with monodromy group \( L \), and these are quotients of \( R_1 \) by non-conjugate subgroups of \( L \) isomorphic to \( A_5 \). By the Riemann–Hurwitz formula a regular dessin of this type with automorphism group \( G \) has genus \( 1 + \frac{4}{112} |G| \), in this case equal to 26. By its uniqueness, or by an observation of Singerman [70] concerning triples in \( \text{PSL}_2(q) \), \( R_1 \) is regular as a map, corresponding to a subgroup of \( \Delta \) which is normal in the extended triangle group \( \Delta = \{2, 3, 7\} \). It is, in fact, the dual of the unique orientable regular map of genus 26 and type \((3, 11)\), denoted by R26.2 in Conder’s catalogue of regular maps [16]; its full automorphism group as a map, containing also the automorphisms reversing the orientation, is isomorphic to \( \text{PGL}_2(11) \). For a drawing of R26.2 (combinatorially though not geometrically correct), with 60 11-valent vertices, 330 edges and 220 triangular faces, see [40, Fig. 6 and Table 1], where it is shown as a 198-gon with side identifications; in this paper, Ivrissimtzis, Singerman and Strudwick reinterpret the regular maps associated with Klein’s papers [50] and [51] in terms of Farey fractions.

The eight dessins \( D \) with monodromy group \( A_{11} \) consist of the three chiral pairs \( M_i \) and \( \overline{M}_i \) with \( i = 2, 3, 4 \), together with \( M_5 \) and \( M_6 \). The minimal regular covers of these dessins are eight regular dessins \( R \) of genus \( 756,001 \), and each dessin \( D \) is the quotient of \( R \) by a subgroup isomorphic to \( A_{10} \) in its automorphism group \( A_{11} \). These eight dessins \( R \) are mutually non-isomorphic, since \( A_{11} \) has a unique conjugacy class of such subgroups (the point-stabilisers in the natural representation), so that any isomorphism between the dessins \( R \) would induce an isomorphism between their quotients \( D \), which is visibly impossible (see Figure 3).

The bilateral symmetry of the dessins \( M_5 \) and \( M_6 \) is easily explained: in each case there is a permutation in \( S_{11} \) simultaneously inverting the generating permutations \( x \) and \( y \) for the monodromy group \( A_{11} \), and this induces an isomorphism of the dessin with its mirror image. (Of course, since \( y^2 = 1 \), inverting \( y \) means the same as commuting with it.) Equivalently, each of the corresponding map subgroups \( M \) in \( \Delta(3, 2, 11) \) is contained with index 2 in a subgroup of \( \Delta(3, 2, 11) \) which induces this isomorphism. The same applies to the regular covers of \( M_5 \) and \( M_6 \), each corresponding to the core (intersection of conjugates) of \( M \) in \( \Delta(3, 2, 11) \); the other six regular covers form three chiral pairs, like their quotients.

For example, Figure 7 shows the map \( M_5 \) corresponding to \( D_5 \); directed edges are labelled 1, 2, …, 11, so that

\[
\begin{align*}
    x &= (1, 11, 6)(2, 5, 4)(7, 10, 8), \quad y = (1, 5)(2, 3)(6, 10)(8, 9), \\
    z &= (1, 2, \ldots, 11).
\end{align*}
\]

The permutation \( t = (1, 6)(2, 8)(3, 9)(4, 7)(5, 10) \) inverts \( x \) and \( y \), inducing the automorphism of \( M_5 \) given by reflection in the vertical axis. The situation is similar for \( M_6 \), with generators

\[
\begin{align*}
    x &= (1, 11, 6)(2, 5, 3)(7, 10, 9), \quad y = (1, 5)(3, 4)(6, 10)(7, 8), \\
    z &= (1, 2, \ldots, 11).
\end{align*}
\]

Inverted by \( t = (1, 6)(2, 9)(3, 7)(4, 8)(5, 10) \).

Computations and Galois orbits

Each of the ten dessins \( D \) discussed here represents a Belyi function, in this case an 11-sheeted covering \( \beta : \Sigma \to \Sigma \). As such, \( \beta \) is a rational function of degree 11, and having a single pole at \( \infty \) it must be a polynomial, called a Shabat polynomial.
A computation using MAPLE shows that the Shabat polynomial \( P : \Sigma \to \Sigma \) for the dessins \( M_1 \) and \( \overline{M}_1 \) in Figure 2 has the form

\[
P = \frac{1}{2^{12}34}p_1^3p_2^3p_3^3
\]

where

\[
p_1(x) = 2x + (11 - 3 \sqrt{11}),
\]

\[
p_2(x) = 2x^2 - (11 - 3 \sqrt{11})x - (22 + 6 \sqrt{11}),
\]

\[
p_3(x) = x^2 + 11x + (55 + 9 \sqrt{11}),
\]

so that

\[
P - 1 = -\frac{1}{2^{12}34}q_1^3q_2^3q_3
\]

where

\[
q_1(x) = 2x + (5 + 3 \sqrt{11}),
\]

\[
q_2(x) = 2x^3 + (15 - 3 \sqrt{11})x^2 - (12 - 12 \sqrt{11})x + (56 + 96 \sqrt{11}),
\]

\[
q_3(x) = 2x^3 - 18x^2 + (21 + 45 \sqrt{11})x - (175 + 279 \sqrt{11}).
\]

The two possible choices for \( \sqrt{11} \) give the two dessins. They are defined over \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{11}) \) (which is not surprising, since \( \sqrt{11} \) appears in the character table of \( \text{PSL}_2(11) \)), and they are transposed by the Galois group of this field, generated by complex conjugation.

In each dessin, the black vertices are at the zeros of \( P \): the three vertices of valency 3 correspond to the zeros of \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \), each appearing with multiplicity 3 as a zero of \( P \), and the two vertices of valency 1 are at the zeros of \( p_3 \). The white
vertices are at the zeros of $P - 1$: the four vertices of valency 2 correspond to the zeros of $q_1$ and $q_2$, each appearing with multiplicity 2 as a zero of $P - 1$, and the three vertices of valency 1 are the zeros of $q_3$. The unique face-centre is at $\infty$, the pole of $P$ with multiplicity 11. For example, Figure 8 shows such a geometrically correct version of $M_1$ in the plane $\mathbb{C}$. With a few exceptions (Figures 15, 16 and 18) all plane trees, dessins and maps shown in this paper are only combinatorially and topologically correct.

The other eight dessins form a single Galois orbit. A computation using Pari/GP produced several defining polynomials for the field of moduli corresponding to this orbit, of which the simplest appears to be

$$Z^8 + 2Z^6 - 3Z^5 + 10Z^4 - 14Z^3 + 14Z^2 - 8Z + 1.$$ 

The Galois group of this field is $S_8$, inducing all possible permutations of the eight dessins. The field does not contain $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-11})$, nor, indeed, does it contain $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{21})$, even though $\sqrt{21}$ appears in the character table of $A_{11}$.

### 7 Other related dessins of degree 11

The ten dessins discussed in this paper are not the only dessins of degree 11 and type $(3^3, 2^1, r)$ for some $r$, the least common multiple of the face valencies. It is easy to see that in any such dessin the distribution of valencies of the black vertices must be $3^31^2$, while that of the white vertices must be $2^41^2$ or $2^51^1$. In the former case we obtain the ten dessins based on Klein’s diagram, all with $r = 11$, but in the latter case we have planar dessins with two faces, rather than one, not considered by Klein.

The maps corresponding to these additional dessins can all be formed from the basic map $M_0$ in Figure 4 by adding vertices of valency 1 to two of its free edges, as in the construction of the maps $M = M_i$ and $\overline{M}_i$, and then joining together two of the remaining three free edges to form a single non-free edge. Equivalently one can perform the latter operation, in three possible ways, on each of the ten maps $M$, giving 30 maps of the required form. However, one finds that some of these maps are mutually isomorphic, and that up to isomorphism we obtain just 16 dessins (confirmed using GAP), consisting of four with bilateral symmetry (in the first row of Figure 9) and six chiral pairs (those in the second row and their mirror images).

![Figure 9: Maps of degree 11 and type $(3, 2, r)$, $r \neq 11$.](image)

There are six where the face valency split is $10 + 1$, three each where it is $9 + 2$ or $7 + 4$, and two each where it is $8 + 3$ or $6 + 5$. These dessins correspond to sixteen more conjugacy classes of subgroups of index 11 in the modular group $\Gamma$, in addition to the ten corresponding to Klein’s plane trees. (This is confirmed by [31, Theorem 1], which gives a recurrence relation for the number $N_n$ of subgroups of index $n$ in $\Gamma$; here $N_{11} = 286$, corresponding to $10 + 16 = 26$ conjugacy classes each containing 11 subgroups.) In all cases except $10 + 1$, one of the face valencies is a prime $p$, and $z^{11-p}$ is a $p$-cycle with $11 - p \geq 3$ fixed points; being transitive of prime degree the monodromy group $G = \langle x, y \rangle$ is primitive, so it follows from Jordan’s Theorem and the fact that $y$ is odd that $G = S_{11}$; in the case $10 + 1$ we may apply an extension of Jordan’s Theorem in [41] to the cycles of length 10 and 11 to show that $G$ acts as $S_{11}$ or $AGL_1(11)$, with the latter excluded since it has no elements of order 3. It
follows that these dessins correspond to non-congruence subgroups of $\Gamma$. Their regular covers have genus

$$1 + \frac{(r - 6)}{12r} \cdot 11!$$

as given in the following table:

| face valencies | 10, 1 | 9, 2 | 8, 3 | 7, 4 | 6, 5 |
|----------------|-------|------|------|------|------|
| $r$            | 10    | 18   | 24   | 28   | 30   |
| genus          | 1330561 | 2217601 | 2494801 | 2613601 | 2661121 |
| dessins        | e, f, g | a, h | b, c | d, i | j   |
| number of dessins | 6 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 |

Table 1: Regular coverings of dessins of type $(3, 2, r)$.

### 8 Monodromy groups of dessins of type $(3, 2, p)$ and degree $p$

We can generalise our earlier arguments by considering dessins $D$ of type $(3, 2, p)$ and degree $p$ for all primes $p$, not just for $p = 11$. The monodromy group $G$ of such a dessin $D$ must be a transitive permutation group of degree $p$, and must be perfect if $p > 3$, as we will assume, since 3, 2 and $p$ are mutually coprime. The transitive groups of prime degree $p$ are all known (modulo a very difficult number-theoretic problem which we will discuss in the next section), and are described in [13, 23, 39], for example. They are as follows:

**Theorem 8.1** The transitive permutation groups $G$ of prime degree are the following:

(a) subgroups $G$ of $AGL_1(p)$ containing the translation subgroup;

(b) $G = A_p$ or $S_p$ for a prime $p \geq 5$;

(c) $G = PSL_2(11)$, $M_{11}$ or $M_{23}$ with $p = 11, 11$ or $23$;

(d) groups $G$ such that $PSL_n(q) \leq G \leq PGL_n(q)$ where the degree $(q^p - 1)/(q - 1)$ of its natural representation(s) is prime.

By a result of Galois [26], the solvable groups of prime degree $p$ are those in (a). Burnside (see [11] or [12, §251]) showed that any nonsolvable group $G$ of prime degree $p$ is doubly transitive; in this case a straightforward argument shows that $S \leq G \leq Aut S$ where $S$, the unique minimal normal subgroup of $G$, is a doubly transitive nonabelian simple group. By the classification of finite simple groups, the possibilities for $S$ are $S = A_p$ for a prime $p \geq 5$, giving the groups in (b), the three groups $S = G$ in (c), and $S = PSL_n(q)$, giving the groups in (d).

In (a) and (b) these are the natural actions of the groups listed. In (c), $PSL_2(11)$ has two actions of degree 11, on the cosets of two conjugacy classes of subgroups isomorphic to $A_5$, while the Mathieu groups $M_{11}$ and $M_{23}$ act on Steiner systems with 11 and 23 points. In (d) the groups act on the points of the projective geometry $P^{n-1}(F_q)$, with a second dual action on its hyperplanes if $n \geq 3$. In particular, since Out $S$ is solvable in all cases, we have:

**Corollary 8.2** The only perfect groups of prime degree are the simple groups $A_p$, $PSL_2(11)$, $M_{11}$, $M_{23}$ and $PSL_n(q)$ appearing in parts (b), (c) and (d) of Theorem 8.1.

These simple groups $G$ all have elements $x$, $y$ and $z$ of orders 3, 2 and $p$ since they have orders divisible by these primes, so there remain the questions of whether there exist such triples with $xyz = 1$, whether these can generate $G$, and if so, of determining (or at least enumerating) the corresponding dessins $D$. We will address these questions, on a case by case basis, later in this and the following two sections. First we calculate the genera of these maps and of their regular covers.

If $x$ and $y$ have cycle structures $3^\alpha 1^\beta$ and $2^\beta 1^\alpha$, with $\alpha = p - 3a$ and $\beta = p - 2b$, then $D$ has $p - 2a$ black vertices, $p - b$ white vertices, $p$ edges and one face, so it has Euler characteristic

$$\chi = (p - 2a) + (p - b) - p + 1 = p - 2a - b + 1 = \frac{4\alpha + 3\beta - p}{6} + 1$$
and hence genus
\[ 1 - \frac{\chi}{2} = \frac{2a + b + 1 - p}{2} = \frac{p - 4a - 3\beta + 6}{12}. \]
(Note that this implies that \(2a + b \geq p - 1\), or equivalently \(4a + 3\beta \leq p + 6\).) If it exists, such a dessin \(\mathcal{D}\) has a regular cover \(\mathcal{R}\) of type \((3, 2, p)\) with automorphism group \(G\). Since \(\mathcal{R}\) has \(|G|/3\) black vertices of degree 3, \(|G|/2\) white vertices of degree 2, \(|G|\) edges, and \(|G|/p\) faces of degree \(p\), its Euler characteristic is
\[ \chi = \left(\frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{p} - 1\right) \cdot |G| = \frac{6 - p}{6p} |G|, \]
so that its genus is
\[ g = 1 - \frac{\chi}{2} = \frac{p - 6}{12p} |G| + 1. \]

### 8.1 Case (c): sporadic examples

It is convenient to deal with case (c) first. We have seen in Examples 4.1 and 4.2, that if \(p = 11\) then \(M_{11}\) does not arise as the monodromy group of a dessin of type \((3, 2, p)\) and degree \(p = 11\), whereas \(\text{PSL}_2(11)\) does, for the chiral pair \(M_1\) and \(M_1\). The Frobenius formula (2) eliminates \(M_{23}\): this group contains no triples \((x, y, z)\) of type \((3, 2, 23)\) such that \(xyz = 1\).

### 8.2 Case (b): alternating groups

In case (b) we have \(G = A_p\) for some prime \(p\). The following result shows that almost all such groups arise as monodromy groups in the required context.

**Theorem 8.3** For each prime \(p \neq 2, 3, 7\) there is a dessin \(\mathcal{D}\) of degree \(p\) and type \((3, 2, p)\) with monodromy group \(A_p\).

![Figure 10: Maps \(\mathcal{D}\) and \(\mathcal{D}'\) for \(p = 3k + 2\) and \(p = 3k + 1\).](image)

**Proof.** First suppose that \(p \equiv 2 \text{ mod } (3)\), say \(p = 3k + 2\). There is an obvious map for \(p = 5\), so we may assume that \(p \geq 11\), and hence \(k \geq 3\). Let \(\mathcal{D}\) be the map on the left in Figure 10, where there are \(k\) vertices of degree 3, so that \(\mathcal{D}\) has passport \((3^k1^2, 2\times 1^k, 1^1)\). We aim to show that its monodromy group \(G\) is \(A_p\). By Corollary 8.2 and the discussion of sporadic examples in case (c) it is sufficient to show that \(G\) is not \(\text{PSL}_2(11)\) acting with degree 11 or a group \(\text{PSL}_n(q)\) acting naturally for some \(n \geq 2\) and prime power \(q\). In fact, when \(p = 11\), such that \(k = 3, \mathcal{D}\) is isomorphic to \(\overline{M}_1\) in Figure 3, and we have seen that this has monodromy group \(A_{11}\). We may therefore suppose, for a contradiction, that \(G = \text{PSL}_n(q)\) acting naturally, and that \(p \geq 17\), so that \(k \geq 5\).

If \(\pi\) denotes the permutation character of \(S_p\), counting fixed points of elements, we see that the involution \(y\) corresponding to \(\mathcal{D}\) has fixed point ratio
\[ \frac{\pi(y)}{\pi(1)} = \frac{k}{p} = \frac{k}{3k + 2} \geq \frac{5}{17}. \]

We will compare this with the corresponding values for involutions in \(\text{PSL}_n(q)\). Any involution in \(\text{PSL}_2(q)\) fixes at most two points, so \(n \neq 2\) since \(\pi(y) = k \geq 5\). For \(n \geq 3\) the involutions \(g \in \text{PSL}_n(q)\) have fixed point ratios \(\pi(g)/\pi(1)\) bounded above by
\[ b_e = \frac{q^{n-2} + q^{n-3} + \cdots + q + 1}{q^{n-1} + q^{n-2} + \cdots + q + 1} \quad \text{or} \quad b_o = \frac{(q^{n-3} + \cdots + q + 1) + (q + 1)}{q^{n-1} + q^{n-2} + \cdots + q + 1}, \]
as \(q\) is even or odd: these bounds are attained when \(g\) fixes a hyperplane or two disjoint subspaces of dimensions \(n - 3\) and 1, respectively, corresponding to matrices in \(\text{SL}_n(q)\) with Jordan normal form given by block matrices
\[ \begin{pmatrix} J & O \\ O & I \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where} \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad I = I_{n-2}. \]
It is straightforward to check that if \( q \) is odd then \( b_\sigma < 5/17 \) and hence \( G \neq \text{PSL}_n(q) \), unless \( n = q = 3 \), when \( b_\sigma = 5/13 \); however, this exceptional case corresponds to \( p = 13 \neq 2 \mod (3) \). Thus \( q \) is even, so \( q = 2 \) since \( b_\sigma < 1/q \). This gives \( 3k + 2 = p = 2^n - 1 \) and hence \( 2^e \equiv 0 \mod (3) \), which is impossible.

We can apply a similar argument when \( p \equiv 1 \mod (3) \), say \( p = 3k + 1 \). We may assume that \( p \geq 13 \), that is, \( k \geq 4 \). By deleting a vertex of valency 1 from \( D \) we obtain the map \( D' \) of degree \( p \), type \((3, 2, p)\) and passport \((3^k 1^{1}, 2^k 1^{k-1}, p^l)\) shown on the right in Figure 10. The corresponding involution \( y \) now has fixed point ratio

\[
\frac{\pi(y)}{\pi(1)} = \frac{k + 1}{p} = \frac{k + 1}{3k + 1} \geq \frac{5}{13}.
\]

As before, if \( G = \text{PSL}_n(q) \) then \( \pi(y) > 2 \) implies that \( n \geq 3 \). We have already seen that if \( q \) is odd then \( b_\sigma < 5/17 \), so that \( b_\sigma < 5/13 \) and hence \( G \neq \text{PSL}_n(q) \), unless \( n = q = 3 \); however, in this case \( p = 13 \), and GAP shows that \( D' \) has monodromy group \( A_{13} \) rather than \( \text{PSL}_3(3) \), so \( q \) must be even. Again, \( q = 2 \) since \( b_\sigma < 1/q \), so if any element of \( G = \text{PSL}_n(2) \) has fixed points they must form a projective subspace. Thus \( k = \pi(y) \) is odd and hence \( p = 3k + 1 \) is even, a contradiction. \( \Box \)

It is easy to see that there is no corresponding dessin if \( p = 2 \) or 3. When \( p = 7 \) there is a chiral pair of dessins of the required degree and type, represented by \( D' \) in Figure 10 with \( k = 2 \), but they have monodromy group \( \text{PSL}_2(7) \), not \( A_7 \) (which is not a Hurwitz group).

Simple modifications to the dessins in Figure 10 show that in the proof of Theorem 8.3 the number of choices for \( D \) and \( D' \) increases exponentially with \( p \), even though that construction produces only planar dessins.

### 8.3 Case (d): projective groups

For the rest of this section, we will therefore assume that we are in case (d), with \( G = \text{PSL}_n(q) \) acting on points or hyperplanes of \( \mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{F}_q) \). (This case, together with associated number theoretic estimates and computer searches covered in Section 9, is investigated in more detail in [46].)

**Warning:** here, in spite of an almost universal convention on notation, the unnamed prime of which \( q \) is a power is not the prime \( p \); instead, \( p \) will denote the degree \((q^r - 1)/(q - 1)\) of \( G \).

Before proceeding, we need to make a short digression into number theory. Let us define a prime \( p \) to be *projective* if it has the form

\[ p = \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} = 1 + q + q^2 + \cdots + q^{n-1} \]

for some prime power \( q \geq 2 \) and integer \( n \geq 2 \). These primes include the Fermat primes \( p = 2^{2^k} + 1 \) with \( q = 2^k \) and \( n = 2 \), the Mersenne primes \( p = 2^n - 1 \) with \( q = 2 \) and \( n \) prime, together with other examples with \( q, n \geq 3 \), such as \( p = 13 \) with \( q = n = 3 \), and \( p = 31 \) with \( q = 5 \) and \( n = 3 \) (notice that \( p = 31 \) is also a Mersenne prime). Of course, it is an open problem whether there are infinitely many Fermat or Mersenne primes; at the time of writing, only five Fermat primes (with \( k = 0, \ldots, 4 \)) and 51 Mersenne primes are known to exist. More generally, the existence of infinitely many projective primes seems to be an open problem. In [46] we give heuristic arguments based on the Bateman–Horn Conjecture, together with associated computational evidence, to support a conjecture that there are infinitely many such primes, even for each fixed \( n \geq 3 \). These are summarised in the next section. However, first we need to prove some necessary conditions for \((q^r - 1)/(q - 1)\) to be prime.

**Lemma 8.4** Given integers \( n \geq 2 \) and \( e \geq 1 \), the polynomial

\[ f(t) = \frac{t^{ne} - 1}{t^e - 1} = 1 + t^e + t^{2e} + \cdots + t^{(n-1)e} \]

is irreducible in \( \mathbb{Z}[t] \) if and only if \( n \) is prime and \( e \) is a power \( n^i \) (\( i \geq 0 \)) of \( n \).

**Proof.** If \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) the *cyclotomic polynomial* \( \Phi_k(x) \) is, by definition, the polynomial with integer coefficients whose roots are the primitive \( k \)-th roots of unity. It is irreducible and has degree \( \varphi(k) \), where \( \varphi \) is the Euler totient function. For any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) we have \( x^n - 1 = \prod_{d \mid n} \Phi_d(x) \) (see [8, §5.2.1] or [66, §4.3, Problem 26]). Putting \( x = t^e \) gives

\[ f(t) = \frac{t^{ne} - 1}{t^e - 1} = \prod_{d \mid n} \Phi_d(t), \tag{4} \]

with the product over all \( d \) which divide \( ne \) but not \( e \). Thus \( f \) is irreducible if and only if there is just one such divisor \( d \) (which is \( ne \) itself, of course). By considering the prime power decompositions of \( e \) and \( ne \) one can see that this happens if and only if \( n \) is prime and \( e \) is a power of \( n \).

\( \Box \)
Lemma 8.5 Let $q = r^e$ where $r$ is prime, and let $n \geq 2$. If $p = (q^n - 1)/(q - 1)$ is prime then

(a) $n$ is prime and $e$ is a power $n^i$ ($i \geq 0$) of $n$;

(b) $q \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$;

(c) $\text{PSL}_n(q) = \text{SL}_n(q)$.

Proof. (a) This follows from Lemma 8.4, using the fact that $p = f(r) = \prod_d \Phi_d(r)$ as in (4), with each $\Phi_d(r) \neq \pm 1$. (To see the latter, note that $\Phi_d(r) = \prod_{\zeta \in \text{roots of unity}} (r - \zeta)$ where $\zeta$ ranges over the primitive $d$-th roots of unity, so that $|r - \zeta| > 1$ for each $\zeta$.)

(b) If $q \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ then $p = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ with $p > n$.

(c) For any $q$ and $n$ we have $\text{PSL}_n(q) = \text{SL}_n(q)/Z$, where $Z = \{\lambda I \in \text{GL}_n(q) \mid \lambda^n = 1\} \equiv C_d$ is the centre of $\text{SL}_n(q)$ and $d = \gcd(q - 1, n)$. If $p$ is prime then $d = 1$ by (a) and (b).

\section{The Bateman–Horn Conjecture}

The Bateman–Horn Conjecture\cite{BatemanHorn} is a quantified version of Schinzel’s Hypothesis H\cite{Schinzel}. Both of them concern the question of whether a finite set of polynomials $f_1(t), \ldots, f_k(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ simultaneously take prime values $f_i(t)$ at infinitely many $t \in \mathbb{N}$. (Schinzel’s Hypothesis H is in turn a generalisation of the Bunyakovsky Conjecture (1857, see\cite{Bunyakovsky, Schinzel}), which deals with the case $k = 1$.) Clearly the following conditions are necessary for this to happen:

- the leading coefficient of each $f_i$ is positive,
- each $f_i$ is irreducible,
- $f := f_1 \cdots f_k$ is not identically zero modulo any prime.

In any specific case, the final condition can be verified as follows: $f$ cannot be identically zero modulo any prime $p > \deg(f)$ unless $p$ divides all of its coefficients, and for the remaining primes $p$ one can simply evaluate $f(t)$ at each element $t \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Schinzel (following Bunyakovsky in the case $k = 1$) conjectured that these conditions are also sufficient. If true, this would imply several other conjectures in Number Theory. For instance, taking $f_1(t) = t^2 + 1$ would imply the Euler–Landau Conjecture that there are infinitely many primes of the form $t^2 + 1$, while taking $f_1(t) = t$ and $f_2(t) = t + 2$ or $2t + 1$ would imply the conjectures that there are infinitely many twin primes and Sophie Germain primes.

The Bateman–Horn Conjecture goes further by proposing a heuristic estimate, based on the Prime Number Theorem, for the number $Q(x)$ of $t \leq x$ in $\mathbb{N}$ such that each $f_i(t)$ is prime. This has the form

$$Q(x) \sim E(x) := \frac{C}{\prod_{i=1}^k \deg f_i} \int_2^x \frac{dt}{(\ln t)^k} \quad \text{as} \quad x \to \infty$$

(5)

where

$$C = C(f_1, \ldots, f_k) := \prod_r \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)^{-k} \left(1 - \frac{\omega_f(r)}{r}\right)$$

(6)

with the product over all primes $r$, and $\omega_f(r)$ is the number of $t \in \mathbb{F}_r$ such that $f(t) = 0$. If the above conditions on $f_1, \ldots, f_k$ are satisfied then the infinite product in (6) converges to a limit $C > 0$ (this is far from obvious: see\cite{BatemanHorn}, Theorem 5.4.3); since the integral in (5) diverges as $x \to \infty$, this would imply that $f_1(t), \ldots, f_k(t)$ are simultaneously prime for infinitely many $t \in \mathbb{N}$. So far, these three conjectures have been proved only in the case $k = 1$, $\deg f_1 = 1$: in the case of the Bunyakovsky and Schinzel conjectures, this is Dirichlet’s theorem on primes in an arithmetic progression $at + b$; in the case of Bateman–Horn it is equivalent to the stronger form of this theorem, giving an asymptotically equal distribution of primes among the congruence classes of units $b \pmod{a}$ (see\cite{Huxley, §5.3.2} for a proof, and\cite{Goldston} for an error estimate).

Nevertheless, the Bateman–Horn Conjecture has provided estimates remarkably close to experimental evidence in cases such as the twin primes conjecture. To give just one example, the number of twin prime pairs up to $10^{18}$ is 808 675 888 577 436 (entry A007508 of [67]), while the Bateman–Horn estimate gives 808 675 900 456 220. The relative error is $\approx 0.00000147\%$. See also\cite[Figure 10]{BatemanHorn}. The constant $C$ is, in this case, equal to 1.320323630, while $C/2 = 0.660161815$ is traditionally called the “twin primes constant”.
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Remark 9.1 Li [57] has suggested a modified version of the Bateman–Horn Conjecture in which \( \prod_i \deg f_i \) in the denominator is removed and each factor \( 1/\ln(t) \) within the integral is replaced with \( 1/\ln(f_i(t)) \); the lower limit of integration may also be adjusted to avoid a logarithmic singularity at \( f_i(t) = 1 \). The result is asymptotically equivalent to the original conjecture, but in cases such as Sophie Germain primes, involving a non-monic polynomial \( f_i \), the approximation is significantly better.

In our case, if we take \( k = 2 \), with \( f_1(t) = t \) and \( f_2(t) = 1 + r^e + r^{2e} + \cdots + r^{(n-1)e} \) for a fixed prime \( n \geq 3 \) and a fixed exponent \( e = n' \), then \( f_2 \) is irreducible by Lemma 8.4, so the conjecture gives an estimate \( E(x) \) for the number \( Q(x) \) of primes \( t \leq x \) such that \( 1 + q + q^2 + \cdots + q^{e-1} \) is prime, where \( q = r^e \). Computer searches confirm the obvious guess that \( Q(x) \) grows fastest when \( e \) and \( n \) take their minimum values \( n = 3 \) and \( e = 1 \) (so \( q \) is prime), so that \( f_2(t) = 1 + t + t^2 \). For example, all except 301 of the 1,974,311 projective primes \( p \leq 10^{18} \) have the form \( p = 1 + q + q^2 \) for some prime \( q \).

Putting \( n = 3 \) and \( e = 1 \), so that \( f = t(1 + t + t^2) \), we get

\[
\omega_f(r) = \begin{cases} 
3 & \text{if } r \equiv 1 \mod(3), \\
2 & \text{for } r = 3, \\
1 & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

We use Maple to calculate \( C \approx 1.52173006 \) (taking the product over all primes \( r < 10^9 \)) and \( \int_2^x (\ln t)^{-2}dt \) (by numerical quadrature) for \( x = t \cdot 10^i \) \((i = 1, 2, \ldots, 10)\). In Table 2 the resulting estimates \( E(x) \) are compared with the true values \( Q(x) \) found by computer searches. (Here, Li’s improvement in Remark 9.1 made negligible difference: for instance, using \( 1/\ln(1 + t + t^2) \) instead of \( 1/2 \ln(t) \) with \( x = 10^{18} \) gave the estimate 129,297,407, compared with 129,297,407.9 in Table 2.)

The proportional errors shown are less that 0.00034, that is, 0.034%. Similar comparisons for other values of \( n \) and \( e \) were reassuring but less convincing, as the numbers of projective primes within our computing range were much smaller: for example, with \( n = 5 \) and \( e = 1 \) we obtained an estimate of 246,718 for the number of projective primes \( p = 1 + q + q^2 \leq 10^{18} \), whereas the correct number is 252. (Note that in order to estimate the number of primes \( p = 1 + q + q^2 \leq 10^{18} \) we have to compute the integral in (5) up to \( x = 10^{18/2} \); however, for \( p = 1 + q + \cdots + q^4 \leq 10^{18} \) the integral is up to \( 10^{18/4} \), over a much smaller interval.)

| \( x \) | \( Q(x) \) | \( E(x) \) | \( E(x)/Q(x) \) |
|------|------|------|-------------|
| \( 1 \cdot 10^{10} \) | 15,801,827 | 1.579642126 \times 10^7 | 0.9996579044 |
| \( 2 \cdot 10^{10} \) | 29,684,763 | 2.968054227 \times 10^7 | 0.9998578150 |
| \( 3 \cdot 10^{10} \) | 42,963,858 | 4.296235691 \times 10^7 | 0.9999650617 |
| \( 4 \cdot 10^{10} \) | 55,877,571 | 5.587447496 \times 10^7 | 0.9999445924 |
| \( 5 \cdot 10^{10} \) | 68,522,804 | 6.852175590 \times 10^7 | 0.9999847043 |
| \( 6 \cdot 10^{10} \) | 80,962,422 | 8.096382889 \times 10^7 | 1.0000173771 |
| \( 7 \cdot 10^{10} \) | 93,236,613 | 9.323905289 \times 10^7 | 1.0000261688 |
| \( 8 \cdot 10^{10} \) | 105,372,725 | 1.053741048 \times 10^8 | 1.0000130940 |
| \( 9 \cdot 10^{10} \) | 117,383,505 | 1.173885689 \times 10^8 | 1.0000431394 |
| \( 10^{11} \) | 129,294,308 | 1.292974079 \times 10^9 | 1.0000239757 |

Table 2: Comparing the BHC estimates \( E(x) \) with true values \( Q(x) \)

On the basis of this evidence, we make the following conjecture:

Conjecture 9.2 There are infinitely many projective primes of the form \( p = 1 + q + q^2 \) where \( q \) is prime.

On the basis of similar evidence for \( n > 3 \) or \( e > 1 \), but necessarily involving smaller numbers of projective primes, we make the following more general conjecture:

Conjecture 9.3 For each fixed prime \( n \geq 3 \) and each fixed power \( e \) of \( n \), there are infinitely many projective primes of the form \( p = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{e-1} \) where \( q \) is the \( e \)-th power of a prime.

\(^4\)The computation was carried out by our colleague Jean Bétréme [5]. He used the system Julia which, on this occasion, turned out to be more efficient than Maple.
Of course, rather weaker statements than these would also imply the existence of infinitely many projective primes. For example, it would be sufficient to prove that for infinitely many primes \( n \) (resp. primes power \( q \)) there is at least one prime power \( q \) (resp. prime \( n \)) such that \( (q^n - 1)/(q - 1) \) is prime. This would give an infinite set of such pairs \((n, q)\), with any specific prime represented by at most finitely many of them.

10 Groups and dessins of projective prime degrees

Returning to the groups, the elements \( z \) of order \( p = (q^n - 1)/(q - 1) \) in \( G = \text{PSL}_n(q) \) are the Singer cycles (see [39, Satz II.7.3], for example), induced by appropriate non-zero elements of \( \mathbb{F}_q^n \) acting linearly by multiplication on that field, regarded as an \( n \)-dimensional vector space over \( \mathbb{F}_q \), and permuting its 1-dimensional subspaces regularly. It follows from results of Berecky [7] that when a Singer cycle \( z \) has prime order \( p \) the only maximal subgroup of \( G \) containing it is the normaliser \( N_G((z)) \cong C_p \rtimes C_n \), where the complement is induced by the Galois group \( \text{Gal} \mathbb{F}_q^n/\mathbb{F}_q = \langle t \mapsto t^p \rangle \cong C_n \). Being solvable, \( N_G((z)) \) cannot contain a perfect subgroup \( \langle x, y, z \rangle \), so any triple of type \((3, 2, p)\), if it exists, must generate \( G \). Moreover, there are \((p - 1)/n\) conjugacy classes \( Z \) of Singer cycles \( z \) in \( G \), one for each orbit of \( \text{Gal} \mathbb{F}_q^n/\mathbb{F}_q \) on elements of multiplicative order \( p \) in \( \mathbb{F}_q^n \). (Note that since \( n \) is prime we have \( p - 1 = q + q^2 + \cdots + q^{n-1} \equiv 0 \mod (n) \); this is obvious if \( q \equiv 0 \) or \( 1 \mod(n) \), and otherwise since \( n \) is prime the summands represent the non-zero congruence classes \( \mod (n) \), so they cancel in pairs.

For the small projective primes \( p = 5, 7, 13 \) and 17 the groups \( \text{PSL}_2(4) \) (\( \cong \text{PSL}_2(5) \cong \text{A}_5 \)), \( \text{PSL}_3(2) \) (\( \cong \text{PSL}_2(7) \)), \( \text{PSL}_1(3) \) and \( \text{PSL}_2(16) \) arise as monodromy groups. We will systematically examine various possibilities for monodromy groups \( \text{PSL}_n(q) \), starting with small values of \( n \).

10.1 The case \( n = 2 \): Fermat primes

In the case of the Fermat primes, where \( n = 2 \), we have the following:

**Theorem 10.1** For each Fermat prime \( p = 2^{2^k} + 1 \geq 5 \) there are \( 2^{2^k-1} \) dessins \( D \) of type \((3, 2, p)\) and degree \( p \) with monodromy group \( G = \text{PSL}_2(2^k) \), acting naturally. They have genus \((p - 5)/12\), while their regular covers \( R \), which are mutually non-isomorphic, have genus \( p(p - 4)(p - 5)/12 \). Dessins \( D \) and \( R \) are both mirror symmetric.

Let \( G = \text{PSL}_2(q) \) where \( q = 2^{2^k} \geq 2 \) and \( p = q + 1 \) is prime. The conjugacy classes \( X \) and \( Y \) of elements \( x \) and \( y \) of orders 3 and 2 are unique, while there are \( q/2 \) classes \( Z \) of elements \( z \) of order \( p \). Since 3 and \( p \) divide \( q - 1 \) and \( q + 1 \) respectively, the character table of \( G \) (see [44, §5.5], for example) shows that only the principal character \( \chi = \chi_1 \) has \( \chi(x)\chi(y)\chi(z) \neq 0 \), so for each choice of \( Z \) we have a character sum \( \Sigma = 1 \). Since \(|C(x)| = q - 1\), \(|C(y)| = q\), \(|C(z)| = q + 1\) and \(|G| = q(q^2 - 1)\), the number of triples of type \((3, 2, p)\) in \( G \) is

\[
\frac{q}{2} \cdot \frac{|G|^2}{|C(x)| \cdot |C(y)| \cdot |C(z)|} \cdot \Sigma = \frac{q}{2} \cdot |G|.
\]

As explained earlier, results of Berecky [7] on Singer cycles imply that each triple generates \( G \). We have \( \text{Aut} G \cong G \rtimes \text{Gal} \mathbb{F}_q \cong G \rtimes C_{2^k} \), acting semi-regularly on these triples, so the number of its orbits on them is

\[
\frac{q}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2^k} = 2^{2^k-1}.
\]

This is therefore the number of normal subgroups of \( \Delta = \Delta(3, 2, p) \) with quotient group \( G \), and thus the number of regular dessins \( R \) of type \((3, 2, p)\) with automorphism group \( G \).

Now \( G \) has a unique conjugacy class of subgroups of index \( p = q + 1 \), the stabilisers of points in \( \mathbb{P}^1( \mathbb{F}_q ) \). These lift back to \( 2^{2^k-1} \) conjugacy classes of subgroups of the same index in \( \Delta \), so they correspond to that number of dessins \( D \) of type \((3, 2, p)\) and degree \( p \) with monodromy group \( G \) and regular cover one of the dessins \( R \). Since \( x, y \) and \( z \) have cycle structures \( \chi = q \cdot \chi = (3(q-1)/3, 2q/2, 1) \) and \( p \) on \( \mathbb{P}^1( \mathbb{F}_q ) \), the dessins \( D \) have characteristic

\[
\chi = \frac{q - 1}{3} + 2 + \frac{q}{2} + 1 - (q + 1) + 1 = \frac{16 - q}{6}
\]

and hence have genus

\[
g = 1 - \frac{\chi}{2} = \frac{q - 4}{12} = \frac{p - 5}{12}.
\]
By the Riemann–Hurwitz formula the regular dessins \( R \) have genus

\[
\frac{p - 6}{12p} |G| + 1 = \frac{p(p - 4)(p - 5)}{12}.
\]

Each of the conjugacy classes \( \mathcal{Z} \) consists of mutually inverse pairs \( z, z^{-1} = z^{-1} \), so the maps \( \mathcal{D} \) and \( R \) are isomorphic to their mirror images. (In fact, as noted by Singerman [70], it follows from results of Macbeath [58] that for any prime power \( q \), each generating pair for \( \text{PSL}(2, q) \) are isometrically inverted by an automorphism, implying this result more generally.)

**Example 10.2** If \( k = 1 \) and \( p = 5 \) we obtain one planar dessin \( \mathcal{D} \), shown on the left in Figure 11, with monodromy group \( G = \text{PSL}_2(4) \cong \text{A}_5 \); its regular cover \( R \) is the dodecahedron, and \( \mathcal{D} \) is the quotient of \( R \) by a point-stabiliser in \( G \), isomorphic to \( \text{A}_5 \). If \( k = 2 \) and \( p = 17 \) we obtain two dessins of genus 1; they are shown in Section 14, Figure 25. Their regular covers are of genus 221. If \( k = 3 \) and \( p = 257 \) we obtain 3 dessins of genus 21, with regular covers of genus 1365 441. If \( k = 4 \) and \( p = 65 537 \) we obtain 2048 dessins of genus 5461, with regular covers of genus 23 454 100 602 881. No Fermat primes are known for \( k \geq 5 \), and it is conjectured that none exist, but if any do, they are covered by Theorem 10.1.

![Figure 11: Dessins of type \((3, 2, p)\) and degree \( p \) for \( p = 5, 7 \).](image)

Having dealt with the case \( n = 2 \), we will now assume that \( n \geq 3 \). In this case \( \text{PSL}_n(q) \) has two natural representations, on points and hyperplanes of \( \mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{F}_q) \), transposed by the outer automorphism induced by the duality of this geometry. Another difference between this case and the case \( n = 2 \) is that when \( n \geq 3 \) the conjugacy classes \( \mathcal{Z} \) of Singer cycles are not inverse closed: instead, they form mutually inverse pairs of classes, transposed by duality. This implies that the dessins \( \mathcal{D} \) occur in chiral pairs, each pair having the same regular cover \( R \), which is regular as a map, that is, isomorphic to its mirror image.

### 10.2 The case \( n = 3 \): projective planes

The great majority of projective primes, up to a given bound, have \( n = 3 \), so that the group \( G = \text{PSL}_3(q) \) acts on the points and lines of the projective plane \( \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_q) \). In this case one can enumerate and describe the associated dessins by using the generic character table for \( G \) given by Simpson and Frame in [69], in addition to those in the ATLAS [17] for \( q \leq 9 \).

**Theorem 10.3** If \( q \) is a prime power such that \( p := 1 + q + q^2 \) is prime, there are \((p - 1)/3\) dessins of type \((3, 2, p)\) and degree \( p \) with monodromy group \( \text{PSL}_3(q) \) acting naturally, where \( q \) is the \( e \)-th power of a prime. They are all chiral. If \( q \) is odd they have passport \((3(q^2+1)/3)^1, 2(q^2-1)/2)^{q+2}, p^1\) and genus \((q - 3)(q + 1)/12\), whereas if \( q = 2^e \) their passport is \((3(q+1)/7)^1, 2^e/2)^{q+4}, p^1\) and their genus is \( q(q - 2)/12\).

Let \( G := \text{PSL}_3(q) \). By Lemma 8.5(c) we have \( G = \text{SL}_3(q) \). We will apply the Frobenius formula (2) to \( G \), using the generic character table for \( \text{SL}_3(q) \) in [69, Table 1b].

First assume that \( q \) is coprime to 6. There are unique conjugacy classes \( \mathcal{X} \) and \( \mathcal{Y} \) of elements of order 3 and 2 in \( G \), and there are \((p - 1)/3 = q(q + 1)/3\) classes \( \mathcal{Z} \) of elements (Singer cycles) of order \( p \); these classes have types \( C_7 \), \( C_8 \) and \( C_8 \) in the notation of [69, Table 1a], and their elements have centralisers of orders \( q^2 - 1 \), \( q(q - 1)^2(q + 1) \), and \( p \). The only non-principal irreducible character of \( G \) which does not vanish on \( \mathcal{X} \), \( \mathcal{Y} \) or \( \mathcal{Z} \) is the Steinberg character, the unique irreducible character of degree \( q^3 \), which takes the values \(-1, q \) and 1 on them. Since \(|G| = q^3(q-1)^2(q+1)(q^2 + q + 1) |G| = q^3(q-1)^2(q+1)(q^2 + q + 1) \) we find that the number of triples of type \((3, 2, p)\) in \( G \) is

\[
\frac{p - 1}{3} \cdot \frac{(q^3(q-1)^2(q+1)(q^2 + q + 1))^2}{(q^2 - 1) \cdot q(q - 1)^2(q + 1) \cdot p} \left( 1 + \frac{(-1) \cdot q \cdot 1}{q^3} \right) = \frac{p - 1}{3} |G|.
\]
By [7] the only maximal subgroup of $G$ containing $z$ is $N_G(z)$, of order $3p$, so any such triple generates $G$. If $q$ is the $e$-th power of a prime then $|\text{Out} G| = 2e$, the two factors corresponding to duality of the projective plane $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ on which $G$ acts and the Galois group of $\mathbb{F}_p$, so $A(3,2,p)$ has $(p-1)/6e$ normal subgroups with quotient $G$. Since $G$ has two conjugacy classes of subgroups of index $p$, the stabilisers of points and lines in $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_q)$, we obtain $(p-1)/3e$ dessins of type $(3,2,p)$ and degree $p$ with monodromy group $G$.

In each of the two actions of degree $p$ of $G$, the elements $x$, $y$ and $z$ fix $1$, $q + 2$ and $0$ points, so these dessins all have passport $(3^{q(q+1)/3}1^1, 2^{q(q-1)/2}1^{q+1}, p^1)$ and hence genus $(q - 3)(q + 1)/12$.

If $q = 3^e$ there are two conjugacy classes of elements of order 3, of types $C_2$ and $C_3$, with centralisers of order $q^2(q - 1)$ and $q^2$. If $x$ is chosen from the first class, then only the principal character and the constituent of the permutation character of degree $p - 1 = q(q + 1)$ contribute to the character sum $\Sigma$, and this sum is equal to

$$\Sigma = 1 + \frac{q \cdot (q + 1) \cdot (-1)}{q(q + 1)} = 0.$$ 

If $x$ is chosen from the second class, then only the principal character contributes to $\Sigma$, so the resulting number of triples in $G$ is

$$\frac{p - 1}{3} \cdot \frac{(q^3(q - 1)^2(q + 1)(q^2 + q + 1))^2}{q^2 \cdot q(q - 1)^2(q + 1) \cdot p} = \frac{p - 1}{3} |G|$$

as before. The number of dessins is therefore again $(p - 1)/3e$, and the passport and genus are also as before.

If $q = 2^e$ then the main changes are that the unique class $\mathcal{Y}$ of involutions has type $C_2$, with centralisers of order $q^3(q - 1)$, and that every non-principal irreducible character vanishes on $x$, $y$ or $z$, so $\Sigma = 1$. The number of triples of type $(3,2,p)$ in $G$ is therefore

$$\frac{p - 1}{3} \cdot \frac{(q^3(q - 1)^2(q + 1)(q^2 + q + 1))^2}{(q^2 - 1) \cdot q(q - 1) \cdot p} = \frac{p - 1}{3} |G|,$$

so the number of dessins is again $(p - 1)/3e$. The other change is that now fixes $q + 1$ points, so that the passport is $(3^{q(q+1)/3}1^1, 2^{q(q-1)/2}1^{q+1}, p^1)$ and the genus is $q(q - 2)/12$.

In all cases, chirality of the dessins follows from the facts that the two dual representations of $G$ of degree $p$ correspond to a mutually inverse pair of conjugacy classes $\mathcal{Z}$, and that Out $G$ acts semiregularly on the set of such pairs.

**Example 10.4** The smallest example for the above theorem is the chiral pair of dessins of degree $p = 7$ with monodromy group $\text{PSL}_3(2) \cong \text{PSL}_2(7)$; one of this pair is shown on the right in Figure 11.

**Example 10.5** Let $p = 13$ and $G = \text{PSL}_3(3)$. The required conjugacy classes are (in ATLAS [17] notation) $\mathcal{X} = 3B$, $\mathcal{Y} = 2A$ and $\mathcal{Z} = 13A, 13B, 13C$ or $13D$, giving four dessins $\mathcal{D}$ of type $(3,2,13)$ and degree 13; they form two chiral pairs, each pair having the same regular cover. Each dessin $\mathcal{D}$ (see Figure 12, or [1, Orbit 13.1, Fig. 8.22(A)]) has passport $(3^{41^1}, 2^{41^3}, 13^1)$ and genus 0, while the two regular covers have genus 253. (These are the duals of the regular maps $R253.1$ and $R253.2$ of type $[3, 13]_8$ and $[3, 13]_{12}$ in [15].)

![Figure 12: Two dessins with monodromy group $\text{PSL}_3(3)$.](image)

**Example 10.6** Let $p = 31$, with $G = \text{PSL}_3(5)$. In this case $\mathcal{X} = 3A$, $\mathcal{Y} = 2A$ and there are ten possible classes $\mathcal{Z} = 31A, 31B, \ldots$. These give ten dessins $\mathcal{D}$ of type $(3,2,31)$ and degree 31, forming five chiral pairs with five regular covers. Each dessin $\mathcal{D}$ has passport $(3^{10^1^1}, 2^{12^1^1}, 31^1)$ and genus 1, while the regular covers have genus 25 001. Generators of the monodromy groups of these ten dessins $\mathcal{D}$, as well as the diagram of one of them, are given in Subsection 14.4.

**Example 10.7** Let $p = 73$ and $G = \text{PSL}_3(8)$. Here $\mathcal{X} = 3A$ and $\mathcal{Y} = 2A$, and there are 24 classes $\mathcal{Z} = 73A, \ldots$ of elements of order 73. Since $e = 3$ we obtain $24/3 = 8$ dessins $\mathcal{D}$. They have passport $(3^{24^1^1}, 2^{32^1^9}, 73^1)$ and genus 4; their permutation representations are given in Subsection 14.7. They form four chiral pairs, each pair having a regular cover of genus 1 260 673.
Of course, we do not consider cases such as \( q = 4, 7, 9 \) and 11 since the corresponding values of \( 1 + q + q^2 \) are not prime. Indeed, squares of primes can be ignored, since for \( p \) to be prime we require \( e \) to be a power of \( n = 3 \). For any such \( e \), the Bateman–Horn conjecture, applied to the polynomials \( t \) and \( 1 + t^e + t^{2e} \), suggests that infinitely many projective primes will be obtained. However, even for \( e = 3 \) there are so few examples within our computing range (10 primes \( p = 1 + q + q^2 < 10^{18} \), compared with an estimate of about 12) that the computational evidence is not convincing.

10.3 Fixed \( n > 3 \)

In the case of a prime \( n > 3 \) we do not have generic character tables for \( \text{PSL}_n(q) \). Nevertheless, the Bateman–Horn Conjecture still applies, again suggesting that if we fix \( n \) and take \( e \) to be a fixed power of \( n \) we will obtain infinitely many projective primes. The only case in which the computational evidence is persuasive is when \( n = 5 \) and \( e = 1 \), with 252 projective primes \( p < 10^{18} \), compared with an estimate of about 246.

10.4 The case \( q = 2 \): Mersenne primes

When \( q = 2 \) we have \( G = \text{PSL}_n(2) = \text{GL}_n(2) \), and \( p = 2^n - 1 \), currently known to be prime for 51 values of \( n \). No generic character tables for \( \text{GL}_n(2) \) are available, although there is a general theory of characters for \( \text{GL}_n(q) \), largely based on the work of Green [34]; for a concise and readable summary, see [56, §4] or [59, Ch. IV]. Here are two small examples where character tables in [17] can be used.

Example 10.8 Let \( p = 2^3 - 1 = 7 \) and \( G = \text{PSL}_3(2) \) (= \( \text{GL}_3(2) \equiv \text{PSL}_2(7) \)). The only conjugacy classes of elements of order 3 or 2 in \( G \) are 3A and 2A, with cycle structures \( 3^1 \) and \( 2^1 \) in both of the natural representations of \( G \), on points and lines of the Fano plane \( \mathbb{F}_2^2 \); there are two classes \( 7A, 7B \) of elements of order \( p = 7 \). The only character which does not vanish in the character sum is \( \chi_1 \), so \( \Sigma = 1 \). Since \( |C(x)| = 3, |C(y)| = 8 = 2^3, |C(z)| = 7 \) and \( |G| = 168 = 2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \), the number of triples of type \( (3, 2, 7) \) in \( G \) is

\[
2 \cdot \frac{2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7^2}{3 \cdot 2^3 \cdot 7} = 2^4 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 = 2|G| = |	ext{Aut } G|.
\]

(Note that \( \text{Out } G \cong C_2 \), induced by the duality of the Fano plane, or equivalently by conjugation of \( \text{PSL}_2(7) \) in \( \text{PGL}_2(7) \).) These triples all generate \( G \), so \( \Delta = \Delta(3, 2, 7) \) has a unique normal subgroup with quotient \( G \), corresponding to a regular dessin \( \mathcal{R} \) of type \((3, 2, 7)\) with automorphism group \( G \). There are two conjugacy classes of subgroups of index 7 in \( G \), the stabilisers of points and lines in the Fano plane; these lift to two conjugacy classes of subgroups of index 7 in \( \Delta \), corresponding to two dessins \( \mathcal{D} \) of type \((3, 2, 7)\) and degree 7, each having regular cover \( \mathcal{R} \). Each dessin \( \mathcal{D} \) has passport \((3^{311}, 2^{213}, 7^1)\), so it has genus 0, while \( \mathcal{R} \) has genus 3. One of the two dessins \( \mathcal{D} \) is shown on the right in Figure 11, and the other is its mirror image \( \overline{\mathcal{D}} \). These two maps, corresponding to the two choices for the conjugacy class \( \mathcal{Z} \) or to the two choices for the class of subgroups of index 7, are not isomorphic since the outer automorphism of \( G \) transposes both pairs. The dessin \( \mathcal{R} \) is Klein’s heptagonal map on his quartic curve, introduced in [50]; it is denoted by \([7, 3]_1\) in [20] and is the dual of R3.1 in [16]. As the common regular cover of a chiral pair of dessins (or by its uniqueness) this map is isomorphic to its mirror image.

Example 10.9 Let \( p = 31 \) (again), but now in its role as a Mersenne prime \( 2^{31} - 1 \), with \( G = \text{PSL}_3(2) = \text{GL}_3(2) \). There are two classes each of elements of orders 3 and 2, namely 3A and 3B, with cycle structures \( 3^3 \) and \( 3^1 \cdot 11^1 \), and 2A and 2B, with cycle structures \( 2^3 \cdot 15^1 \) and \( 2^1 \cdot 11^1 \), together with six classes \( 31A, \ldots \) of elements of order 31. The inequality \( 2a + b \geq p - 1 \) discussed earlier implies that \( \mathcal{X} \) and \( \mathcal{Y} \) must be 3B and 2B. For each of the six choices of \( \mathcal{Z} \), only \( \chi_1 \) and \( \chi_6 \) appear in the character sum, so

\[
\Sigma = 1 + \frac{8 \cdot (-5) \cdot 1}{280} = 1 - \frac{1}{7} = \frac{6}{7}.
\]

We have \( |C(x)| = 180 = 2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5, |C(y)| = 1536 = 2^9 \cdot 3, |C(z)| = 31 \) and \( |G| = 999360 = 2^{10} \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 31 \), so the total number of triples in \( G \) is

\[
6 \cdot 2^9 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 31 \cdot \frac{6}{7} = 2^{11} \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 31 = 6|G| = 3|\text{Aut } G|.
\]

Each triple generates \( G \), so \( \Delta \) has three normal subgroups with quotient \( G \). There are two conjugacy classes of subgroups of index 31 in \( G \), the stabilisers of points and hyperplanes in \( \mathbb{F}_2^4 \), giving six dessins \( \mathcal{D} \) of degree 31, with three chiral pairs each having the same regular cover \( \mathcal{R} \). Each dessin \( \mathcal{D} \) has passport \((3^{101}, 2^{12} \cdot 17, 31^1)\), so it has genus 1, while the regular covers \( \mathcal{R} \) have genus 672 001. Generators of the monodromy groups of these six dessins \( \mathcal{D} \), as well as the diagram of one of them, are given in Subsection 14.5.
**Remark 10.10 (Goormaghtigh conjecture)** Note that 31 appears twice as a projective prime, as both $1 + 5 + 5^2$ and $1 + 2 + 2^2 + 2^3 + 2^4$. In 1917 Goormaghtigh [32] conjectured that this example and $1 + 2 + 2^2 + \cdots + 2^{12} = 8191 = 1 + 90 + 90^2$ are the only repetitions among numbers of the form $(q^n - 1)/(q - 1)$ for integers $q, n \geq 2$. Although 8191 is prime (and hence a projective prime for $(q, n) = (2, 13)$), 90 is not a prime power, so only the first example is a repetition of projective primes. A computer search of prime degrees up to $10^{18}$ has revealed no further examples. The conjecture is still open. For additional information see [33].

Before attempting to find triples $(x, y, z)$ of type $(3, 2, p)$ in $G = GL_n(2)$ for general primes $n \geq 3$, it is useful first to consider the possibilities for elements of orders 3, 2 and $p$ in $G$. Any element $x \in G$ of order 3 has a rational canonical form with $r$ and $(n-r)/2$ blocks of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some odd $r < n$, so it fixes a subspace of $P^n-1(F_2)$ of dimension $r-1$; this contains $\alpha = 2^{r-1}$ points, so $x$ has $\alpha = (p-2^r+1)/3$ nontrivial cycles. Similarly, any element $y \in G$ of order 2 has a Jordan normal form with $s$ and $(n-s)/2$ blocks of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \gamma \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some odd $s < n$; it therefore fixes a subspace of dimension $(n + s - 2)/2$ containing $\beta = 2^{(n+s)/2} - 1$ points, so it has $b = (p - 2^{(n+s)/2} + 1)/2$ nontrivial cycles. The element $z$ is a $p$-cycle, so provided a triple $(x, y, z)$ of type $(3, 2, p)$ exists, it will generate $G$ by [7]; the resulting dessin $D$ will have passport $(3^1, 2^b1^a, p^1)$ and genus $(2a + b + 1 - p)/2$, while its minimal regular cover $R$ will have genus

$$\frac{p-6}{12p} [G] + 1 = \frac{p-6}{12p} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (2^n - 2^i) + 1 = (p-6)2(2^{n-3}-1)2^{n-1}2^i - 1 + 1,$$

independent of $r$ and $s$. In particular, if we choose the most ‘active’ elements $x$ and $y$ by taking $r = s = 1$ (as in the earlier examples with $n = 3$ and 5) we will have $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 2^{(n+1)/2} - 1$, so $a = (p-1)/3$ and $b = (p - 2^{(n+1)/2} + 1)/2$ and hence $D$ will have genus

$$\frac{1}{3} (2^{n-2} + 1) - 2^{(n-3)/2}.$$  

(7)

**Theorem 10.11** For each Mersenne prime $p = 2^n - 1 \geq 7$ there are at least $(p-1)/2n$ chiral pairs of dessins of type $(3, 2, p)$, degree $p$ and genus given by (7), with monodromy group $G = PSL_n(2) = GL_n(2)$.

**Proof.** We will use the Frobenius formula (2) to show that for each conjugacy class $Z$ of Singer cycles in $G$ there is a triple $(x, y, z)$ of type $(3, 2, p)$ where $x$ and $y$ satisfy $r = s = 1$ (as above) and $z \in Z$. The calculation is aided by the fact that most of the non-principal irreducible characters of $G$ vanish on the Singer cycles $z$ (see [56, §4.2], for example), and hence do not contribute to the character sum $\Sigma$. Among the other characters, that of degree $p - 1$, the non-principal constituent of the natural permutation character, vanishes on $x$, while the Steinberg character of degree $2^{(n-1)/2}$ vanishes on $y$, so they can also be ignored. The remaining characters have sufficiently large degrees, compared with their values at $x, y$ and $z$ (see [56, §4.1, 4.2] for full details), that their total contribution to $\Sigma$ has modulus less than the contribution 1 of the principal character, so that $\Sigma > 0$ and hence the required triples exist. As before, they all generate $G$ by [7]. There are $(p-1)/n$ conjugacy classes $Z$ of Singer cycles $z$ in $G$, forming $(p-1)/2n$ mutually inverse pairs; each pair are transposed by the outer automorphism group of $G$, as are the two permutation representations of $G$ of degree $p$; thus we obtain (at least) $(p-1)/2n$ chiral pairs of dessins $D$, all with the same passport and genus, as calculated above.

On the basis of Theorem 10.11, and of other examples involving small projective primes, we conjecture the following extension of this theorem:

**Conjecture 10.12** For each projective prime $p = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1} > 3$ there exists at least one dessin of type $(3, 2, p)$ and degree $p$ with monodromy group $PSL_n(q)$. 
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10.5 Summary

We can summarise the results of this rather long section as follows:

**Theorem 10.13** Suppose that \( p \) is a prime such that there is a dessin of type \((3, 2, p)\) and degree \( p \) with monodromy group \( G \). Then \( p \geq 5 \), and one of the following holds:

- (a) \( G = A_p \), acting naturally, with \( p \neq 7 \);
- (b) \( p = 11 \) and \( G = \text{PSL}_2(p) \), acting on the cosets of a subgroup isomorphic to \( A_5 \);
- (c) \( p \) is a projective prime \( (q^a - 1)/(q - 1) \) for some prime \( n \) and prime-power \( q \), and \( G = \text{PSL}_n(q) = \text{SL}_n(q) \) acting naturally on points or hyperplanes of \( \mathbb{P}^{n-1}(q) \).

In case (a), if \( p = 5 \) there is a unique dessin, shown on the left in Figure 11; if \( p > 7 \) there are exponentially many dessins as \( p \to \infty \), even if we restrict to planar dessins. In case (b) there is a single chiral pair of dessins; these are the dessins \( M_1 \) and \( \overline{M}_1 \) studied by Klein in [51] and shown in Figures 2 and 3. In case (c) we conjecture that there are infinitely many projective primes \( p \), even for any fixed prime \( n \geq 3 \); when \( n = 2 \) (Fermat primes) or 3 (projective planes) the dessins are all as described in Theorem 10.1 or Theorem 10.3. In the case \( q = 2 \) (Mersenne primes) we have an existence result rather than a complete classification.

11 Modular dessins and curves

Just as we generalised our arguments about dessins of type \((3, 2, p)\) and degree \( p \) from \( p = 11 \) to all primes \( p \), we can do the same for dessins of this type and of degree \( p + 1 \). In this section we will consider the dessins \( \mathcal{D}_0(p) \) arising naturally from congruence subgroups of level \( p \) in the modular group, and in the next section we will consider more general dessins of this type and degree.

11.1 \( \mathcal{D}_0(p) \) and its passport

As in the case \( p = 11 \) considered earlier, for any prime \( p \) the natural action of \( \text{PSL}_2(p) \) on the projective line \( \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p) \) yields a dessin \( \mathcal{D}_0(p) \) of type \((3, 2, p)\) and degree \( p + 1 \). One can find its passport by using the fact that a non-identity M"obius transformation (over any field) has at most two fixed points. The cases \( p = 2 \) and 3 are trivial, so let us assume that \( p \geq 5 \).

The permutation \( x \) representing the black vertices has order 3, so

- if \( p \equiv 1 \mod(3) \) then \( x \) has two fixed points, and its cycle type is \( 3^{(p-1)/3}1^2 \);
- if \( p \equiv -1 \mod(3) \) then \( x \) has no fixed points, and its cycle type is \( 3^{(p+1)/3} \).

Similarly the permutation \( y \) representing the white vertices has order 2, and is even, so

- if \( p \equiv 1 \mod(4) \) then \( y \) has two fixed points, and its cycle structure is \( 2^{(p-1)/2}1^2 \);
- if \( p \equiv -1 \mod(4) \) then \( y \) has no fixed points, and its cycle structure is \( 2^{(p+1)/2} \).

Finally the permutation \( z \) representing the faces has order \( p \), so its cycle structure is \( p^11^1 \).

From the passport one can compute the Euler characteristic \( \chi \) and hence the genus \( g \) of \( \mathcal{D}_0(p) \). There are four cases:

- if \( p \equiv 1 \mod(12) \) the passport is \( (3^{(p-1)/3}1^2, 2^{(p-1)/2}1^2, p^11^1) \), giving the genus \( g = (p - 13)/12 \);
- if \( p \equiv 5 \mod(12) \) the passport is \( (3^{(p+1)/3}, 2^{(p-1)/2}1^2, p^11^1) \), giving the genus \( g = (p - 5)/12 \);
- if \( p \equiv 7 \mod(12) \) the passport is \( (3^{(p-1)/3}1^2, 2^{(p+1)/2}, p^11^1) \), giving the genus \( g = (p - 7)/12 \);
- if \( p \equiv 11 \mod(12) \) the passport is \( (3^{(p+1)/3}, 2^{(p+1)/2}, p^11^1) \), giving the genus \( g = (p + 1)/12 \);

Thus the genus of \( \mathcal{D}_0(p) \) is \((p - c)/12\) for primes \( p \equiv c = -1, 5, 7 \) or 13 \( \mod(12) \), and 0 for \( p = 2 \) or 3. Table 3 gives the values of \( g \) for the first few primes. Figure 13 shows the planar dessins from this table.
Table 3: The genus of the dessin $D_0(p)$.

| $p$ | 2  | 3  | 5  | 7  | 11 | 13 | 17 | 19 | 23 | 29 | 31 | 37 | 41 | 43 | 47 | 53 |
|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| $g$ | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 0  | 1  | 1  | 2  | 2  | 2  | 2  | 3  | 3  | 4  | 4  |

Figure 13: $D_0(p)$ for $p = 2, 3, 5, 7$ and $13$; white vertices are omitted.

11.2 The dessin $D_0(13)$

The dessin $D_0(p)$ is planar if and only if $p = 2, 3, 5, 7$ or $13$. These five dessins are shown in Figure 13. It is easy to see that the first four are uniquely determined by their passports, and the same applies to the torus dessin $D_0(11)$ which we will show in Figure 17. However, for $p = 13$ there are 30 dessins with passport $(3^41^2, 2^61^2, p^11^1)$, consisting of two mirror symmetric dessins, including $D_0(13)$, and 14 chiral pairs. In fact, a simple argument shows that these dessins can all be formed from the three basic maps in Figure 14, together with the mirror images of the first and last, by adding black vertices to two of their four free edges. This gives $5 \times \binom{4}{2} = 30$ dessins.

Figure 14: Three spanning dessins out of which the dessins with the same passport as that of $D_0(13)$, are constructed. White vertices are once again omitted.

Whereas $D_0(13)$ has monodromy group $\text{PSL}_2(13)$, the other 29 dessins all have monodromy group $A_{14}$; this follows from the fact that such a group must be a doubly transitive subgroup of $A_{14}$, and apart from $A_{14}$ itself the only other possibility is $\text{PSL}_2(13)$, which by the Frobenius formula corresponds to just one dessin, namely $D_0(13)$.

The fact that $D_0(13)$ is planar gives us some hope of computing its Belyi function $f : \Sigma \to \Sigma$, which is as follows:

$$f = -\frac{1}{1728} \frac{(t^4 - 7t^3 + 20t^2 - 19t + 1)^3(t^2 - 5t + 13)}{t},$$

$$f - 1 = -\frac{1}{1728} \frac{(t^6 - 10t^5 + 46t^4 - 108t^3 + 122t^2 - 38t - 1)(t^2 - 6t + 13)}{t}.$$  

In this case, of course, $f$ is not a polynomial, since there is a pole at 0 (the face-centre enclosed by the loop) in addition to the pole at $\infty$ (the centre of the outer face).
Figure 15 shows a geometrically correct version of $D_0(13)$, with black and white vertices. In this picture, the loop is so small that it is obscured by its incident black and white vertices. (We will meet a similar problem for $D_0(11)$ in Figure 18.) Figure 16 shows a magnified drawing of the loop, together with nearby parts of the real and imaginary axes.

Figure 16: The loop in $D_0(13)$. Its horizontal width is, approximately, 0.08, while the length of the horizontal segment attached to it (which goes beyond the limits of the picture) is, approximately, 0.46.

11.3 The dessin $D_0(11)$

Whereas $D_0(p)$ is planar for each prime $p \leq 13$ except 11, the dessin $D_0(11)$ has genus 1, so we have the additional problem of determining the corresponding elliptic curve. Two views of this dessin are shown in Figure 17 as maps on a torus, with opposite sides of the outer square or hexagon identified in the usual way. Like the dessins $M_1$ and $\bar{M}_1$, $D_0(11)$ has the dessin $R_1$ as its minimal regular cover. However, in this case it is the quotient of $R_1$ by the subgroup of $L = \text{PSL}_2(11)$ fixing a point.
in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{11})$, of the form $C_{11} \rtimes C_5$ rather than $A_5$. In addition to coming from a more natural representation of $L$, $\mathcal{D}_0(11)$ is also more pleasing because of its greater uniformity: the permutations $x$ and $y$ have no fixed points, so the black vertices all have valency 3 and there are no free edges. Moreover, the generators $y : t \mapsto -1/t$ and $z : t \mapsto t + 1$ of $L$ can both be seen from the edges and faces through the labelling of directed edges with elements of $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{11})$. Since $xyz = 1$, the permutation $x$ can now easily be computed as $x : t \mapsto -1/(t - 1)$.

Another remarkable feature of this dessin is the fact that it is unique for its passport, which is $(3^4, 2^6, 11^1 1^1)$. One does not have to consider ten different trees and sort out the corresponding groups. We may wonder why Klein overlooked this example. Maybe the reason was that the representation of maps via permutations was not yet invented. The first publications which introduced this construction, by Dyck [24] (1888) and Heffer [38] (1891), appeared only a decade after Klein’s paper. In fact, the pioneer in this subject was Hamilton [37] (1856), but he explained the construction in a private letter. Even at the time close to ours, when the permutational model of maps became a part of a common knowledge, the researchers studied mainly the automorphism groups of maps while their monodromy groups were largely neglected. Only with the advent of the theory of dessins d’enfants the monodromy groups rose to the fore since they are Galois invariants.

From the point of view of dessins d’enfants, the uniqueness of the dessin $\mathcal{D}_0(11)$ implies that it is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. However, a difficulty of a different sort appears. While in the planar case what we need is a Belyi function, which is a rational function $f : \Sigma \to \Sigma$, in the case of a dessin of genus $g \geq 1$ we need a Belyi pair $(X, f)$ where $X$ is a Riemann surface (or an algebraic curve) of genus $g$, and $f$ is a meromorphic function $f : X \to \Sigma$ with no critical values outside $\{0, 1, \infty\}$. There are uncountably many curves of any given genus $g \geq 1$, and the dessin determines one of them in a unique way. To find such a curve (and a Belyi function defined on it) is an incredibly difficult task. A relatively simple answer below hides numerous obstacles one has to surmount in order to find it. The corresponding computation was made for us by John Voight, to whom we are greatly indebted.

In our case $g = 1$, so the curve in question is an elliptic curve $E$. One possible presentation is

$$y^2 + y = x^3 - x^2 - 10x - 20.$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

The Belyi function $f = f(x, y)$ is then constructed as follows:

$$a = -x^5 - 23x^4 + 697x^3 - 1031x^2 - 2170x - 353;$$

$$b = -11x^6 + 148x^5 + 643x^4 - 2704x^3 - 6780x^2 + 1781x + 3308;$$

$$f = \frac{ay + b}{1728(x - 16)}. \hspace{1cm} (9)$$

Figure 17: Two views of the torus map $\mathcal{D}_0(11)$. The labels of the half-edges are the elements of $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{11}) = \mathbb{F}_{11} \cup \{\infty\}$. One may see that if the label of a half-edge is $t$ than the label of the opposite half-edge of the same edge is $-1/t$, while the cycle going around the outer face is $(0, 1, 2, \ldots, 10)$. 
Step 1. Hence the following determinant must be equal to zero:

\[ \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} \end{vmatrix} = 0. \]

This gives us an equation in two variables \( x \) and \( y \). The second equation is \( h(x, y) = 0 \) since we are looking not for arbitrary points of collineation but for those which belong to \( E \).

Step 2. A good idea is to find a Gröbner basis for the system thus obtained, and to do it twice. Using the ‘pure lexicographic’ monomial order \( \text{plex}(y, x) \) we get as the first element of the basis a polynomial in \( x \); using \( \text{plex}(x, y) \) we get a polynomial in \( y \). Here they are:

\[
P = (x^4 - 20x^3 + 62x^2 + 116x + 97)^2(x - 16)^2 \times (x^6 - 30x^5 + 243x^4 - 256x^3 - 1053x^2 + 654x + 7793),
\]

\[
Q = (y^4 + 68y^3 + 590y^2 + 556y + 7489)^2(y - 60)^2 \times (y^6 + 102y^5 + 2619y^4 + 11272y^3 + 131211y^2 + 91074y + 253312).
\]

Step 3. The roots of these two polynomials are the \( x \)-coordinates and the \( y \)-coordinates of the critical points of \( f \) on \( E \). Two factors of degree 4, being squared, indicate that there are four critical points of multiplicity 3. We guess that they are the black vertices of our map. But is it true that the value of \( f \) at all these points is equal to zero? We must also ‘couple’ \( x \)- and \( y \)-coordinates in order to show which \( y \) correspond to which \( x \).

These questions are easy to answer. We solve the system \( f = 0, h = 0 \) and see that the values of \( x \) are indeed roots of \( p_1 = x^4 - 20x^3 + 62x^2 + 116x + 97 \), while

\[ y = -\frac{1}{23}(x^3 - 16x^2 + 90x + 62). \]

It is also easy to verify that these values of \( y \) are indeed roots of the polynomial \( q_1 = y^4 + 68y^3 + 590y^2 + 556y + 7489 \). We just substitute these expressions of \( y \) into \( q_1 \) and compute the result modulo \( p_1 \): it is equal to zero.

Besides these four solutions there is one more: \((x, y) = (16, 60)\). What does it mean? There are two points on \( E \) over \( x = 16 \), namely \((16, 60)\) and \((16, -61)\). Substituting \( y = 60 \) into the numerator of \( f \) we get

\[ -(x - 16)(11x^5 + 88x^4 + 2145x^3 - 4796x^2 - 8096x - 1117). \]

Thus, \( x - 16 \) in the numerator and in the denominator of \( f \) cancel each other: this is a removable singularity. We conclude that \( f \) has a simple pole at the point \((16, -61)\) on the curve.

Now, the factors of degree 6 of the polynomials \( P \) and \( Q \) correspond to simple critical points, which are mid-points of edges. And, indeed, solving the system \( f = 1, h = 0 \), we obtain, beside the superfluous solution \((16, 60)\), the roots of \( p_2 = x^6 - 30x^5 + 243x^4 - 256x^3 - 1053x^2 + 654x + 7793 \), while

\[ y = -\frac{1}{101617}(104x^5 - 2811x^4 + 15943x^3 + 64714x^2 - 44258x - 217861). \]
Once again, it is easy to verify that these values of \( y \) are roots of the polynomial
\[
q_2 = y^6 + 102y^5 + 2619y^4 + 11272y^3 + 131211y^2 + 91074y + 253312.
\]

**Step 4.** Since the function \( f \) has only one simple pole on the finite part of the curve \( E \), we may conclude that it also has a pole of multiplicity 11 ‘over infinity’. We might stop here. However, we prefer to make the last statement more explicit.

First of all, let us introduce the projective coordinates \((X : Y : Z)\), where \( x = X/Z, y = Y/Z \), and projectivize the equation (8) of the curve:
\[
Y^2Z + YZ^2 = X^3 - X^2Z - 10XZ^2 - 20Z^3. \tag{10}
\]
The finite part of the curve corresponds to \( Z = 1 \); the point ‘at infinity’ corresponds to \( Z = 0 \), which implies also \( X = 0 \); thus, we must take \( Y = 1 \). Near this point, we may introduce new affine coordinates \( u = X/Y = x/y, v = Z/Y = 1/y \). Substituting \( x = u/v, y = 1/v \) in the initial equation we get the equation of our curve near infinity:
\[
v + v^2 = u^3 - u^2v - 10uv^2 - 20v^3. \tag{11}
\]
Notice that the presence of the term \( v \) at the left-hand side of this equation ensures that the gradient is non-zero and therefore the curve in the vicinity of the point \((u, v) = (0, 0)\) is smooth, and \( u \) can be taken as a local coordinate.

Let us represent \( v \) as a series \( v = c_0 + c_1u + c_2u^2 + c_3u^3 + c_4u^4 + \ldots \) and insert it into the difference
\[
(v + v^2) - (u^3 - u^2v - 10uv^2 - 20v^3).
\]
We would like to get as the result \( o(u^3) \). Then, equating to zero the coefficients in front of the degrees up to the 3rd, we get
\[
c_0 = c_1 = c_2 = 0, \quad c_3 = 1.
\]
What remains is to make the following two operations:

1. Substitute \( x = u/v, y = 1/v \) in the expression (9) for the Belyǐ function.
2. Substitute \( v = u^3 \) in the resulting expression.

Then the Belyǐ function, up to smaller terms, becomes
\[
f \sim -\frac{1 + 11u + 23u^2 - 148u^3 - \ldots - 3308u^{13}}{11u^{11}(1 - 16u^2)} \sim \frac{\text{Const}}{u^{11}} \quad \text{when} \quad u \to 0.
\]
Now a pole of degree 11 at the point \( u = 0 \) is apparent.

Figure 18 shows a geometrically correct picture of the dessin \( \mathcal{D}_0(11) \), lifted from the torus \( E \) to the complex plane to give a doubly periodic map. In addition to the black vertices of degree 3, the white vertices of degree 2 are also shown, as is a fundamental parallelogram for the associated lattice. The repeated copies of \( \mathcal{D}_0(11) \) are aligned as in the right-hand map in Figure 17. However, the loop (the face of valency 1), which is obvious there, is in reality too small to be clearly visible here. Changing accuracy parameters to make this face and its incident vertices visible would create a mess elsewhere, so in this case we have to accept that nature, technology and human eyesight are incompatible. We met a similar problem earlier for \( \mathcal{D}_0(13) \), in connection with Figures 15 and 16.

To obtain this diagram we use the substitution
\[
y = \frac{z - 1}{2}, \quad x = t + \frac{1}{3}
\]
to give the elliptic curve
\[
z^2 = 4t^3 - \frac{124}{3}t - \frac{2501}{27}
\]
in Weierstrass normal form. Then Algorithm 7.4.7 in Cohen’s book [14], using the arithmetic-geometric mean, gives a basis
\[
\omega_1 = 1.269209304, \quad \omega_2 = -0.6346046520 + 1.458816617i
\]
for the lattice, as shown in Figure 18. The associated modulus is
\[
\tau = \omega_2/\omega_1 = -0.5000000000 + 1.149390106i,
\]
on the left-hand vertical border of the standard fundamental region for \( \Gamma \), which is consistent with the fact that \( J(\tau) < 0 \). To obtain the embedded graph we lift the unit interval \([0, 1] \subset \Sigma \), with black and white vertices at 0 and 1, to the curve \( E \) via the Belyǐ function \( f \), and then use the standard parametrisation of \( E \) by the Weierstrass functions, namely \( t = \phi(w) \) and \( z = \phi'(w) \) for \( w \in \mathbb{C} \), to lift the resulting dessin to the plane.
11.4 The dessins $\mathcal{D}(p)$

The minimal regular cover of $\mathcal{D}_0(p)$ is a regular dessin $\mathcal{D}(p)$ of type $(3, 2, p)$ with automorphism group $\text{PSL}_2(p)$; for $p > 2$ it has degree $p(p^2 - 1)/2$ and genus $(p + 2)(p - 3)(p - 5)/24$, while for $p = 2$ it has degree 6 and genus 0. The underlying curves of $\mathcal{D}(p)$ and $\mathcal{D}_0(p)$ are the modular curves $X(p) = \mathbb{H}/\Gamma(p)$ and $X_0(p) = \mathbb{H}/\Gamma_0(p)$ (hence the notation for these two dessins); here $\Gamma(p)$ is the principal congruence subgroup of level $p$ in $\Gamma$, the kernel of the reduction mod $(p) : \Gamma \to \text{PSL}_2(p)$ (see [42, §6.9–10], for example), while $\Gamma_0(p)$ is the inverse image in $\Gamma$ of the subgroup of $\text{PSL}_2(p)$ fixing $\infty$.

Theorem 11.1 For each prime $p$ the dessin $\mathcal{D}(p)$ is the only regular dessin of type $(3, 2, p)$ with automorphism group $G = \text{PSL}_2(p)$, and $\mathcal{D}_0(p)$ is the only dessin of degree $p + 1$ and type $(3, 2, p)$ with monodromy group $G$.

To prove the uniqueness of $\mathcal{D}(p)$ it is sufficient to show that $G$ has, up to automorphisms, only one generating triple $(x, y, z)$ of type $(3, 2, p)$. The elements $z \in G$ of order $p$ are all equivalent under automorphisms, while the elements of order 3 and 2 are those with traces $\pm 1$ and 0. We may therefore assume that

$$y = \pm \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & -a \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad z = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{so that} \quad yz = \pm \begin{pmatrix} a + b & b \\ c - a & -a \end{pmatrix}.$$  

Since we require $yz = x^{-1}$ to have order 3 we must have $b = \pm 1$, and without loss of generality we can take $b = 1$. Since $\det y = 1$ we have $c = -a^2 - 1$, giving a 1-parameter set of solutions

$$y = y_a = \pm \begin{pmatrix} a & 1 \\ -a^2 - 1 & -a \end{pmatrix} \quad (a \in \mathbb{F}_p).$$

Now conjugating the triple $(x, y, z)$ with $z'$ fixes $z$ and replaces $y = y_a$ with $y_{a + 1}$, so all such triples are equivalent under automorphisms, as required. One could also prove this by using the Frobenius triple-counting formula (2), as in Example 4.1 for $p = 11$ (see [44, §5.5] for the character table of $G$); this is easy if $p \equiv \pm 5 \mod (12)$ since in this case only one nonprincipal irreducible character, of degree $p$, appears in the character sum, but if $p \equiv \pm 1 \mod (12)$ then other characters appear and some work with algebraic numbers is required. Since $G$ has a unique conjugacy class of subgroups of index $p + 1$, namely the stabilisers of points in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p)$, it follows that $\mathcal{D}_0(p)$ is the only dessin of degree $p + 1$ and type $(3, 2, p)$ with monodromy group $G$.  
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12 Dessins of type \((3, 2, p)\) and degree \(p + 1\)

Having considered the dessins \(D_0(p)\) in the preceding section, we will now consider arbitrary dessins of their type \((3, 2, p)\) and degree \(p + 1\). The monodromy group \(G\) of such a dessin \(D\) is a transitive permutation group of degree \(p + 1\), and since it contains a \(p\)-cycle \(z\) it is doubly transitive, and hence primitive. As before, if \(p > 3\), as we shall assume, \(G\) is perfect. The finite primitive groups containing a cycle with one fixed point were determined by Müller in [65, Theorem 6.2], and as a corollary we have:

**Theorem 12.1** The perfect permutation groups of degree \(p + 1\) \((p\) prime\), containing a \(p\)-cycle, are the following:

(a) \(A_{p+1}\) for primes \(p \geq 5\),

(b) \(\text{PSL}_2(p)\) for primes \(p \geq 5\),

(c) affine groups \(\text{AGL}_n(2)\) for Mersenne primes \(p = 2^n - 1 \geq 5\),

(d) Mathieu groups \(M_{11}\) and \(M_{12}\) for \(p = 11\) and \(M_{24}\) for \(p = 23\),

all except \(M_{11}\) (see below) in their natural representations.

We will consider these groups in turn, together with their analogues for \(p < 5\), to see which of them can serve as monodromy groups of dessins of type \((3, 2, p)\). The results will be summarized in Theorem 12.5. It is convenient to deal with the simplest cases (b) and (d) first, and to treat the alternating groups last.

The groups \(\text{PSL}_2(p)\) in Theorem 12.1(b) arise as monodromy groups in this context for all primes \(p\), each of them associated with a unique dessin, the modular dessin \(D_0(p)\) (see Theorem 11.1).

In (d), although \(M_{11}\) has a permutation representation of degree 12, on the cosets of a subgroup \(\text{PSL}_2(11)\), we have seen in Example 4.2 that it is not a quotient of \(\Delta(3, 2, 11)\), since all triples of this type in \(M_{11}\) generate not \(M_{11}\) but its subgroup \(\text{PSL}_2(11)\); thus it does not arise as a monodromy group in this context.

The group \(G = M_{12}\) in (d) has two representations of degree 12, on the cosets of two conjugacy classes of subgroups isomorphic to \(M_{11}\), transposed by \(\text{Out} G\). It is the monodromy group of two chiral pairs of planar dessins of type \((3, 2, 11)\) and degree 12. One dessin from each pair is shown in Figure 19: the dessin on the left has passport \((3^31^3, 2^6, 11^11^1)\), with \(X = 3A\) and \(Y = 2A\), while that on the right has passport \((3^42^31^4, 11^11^1)\), with \(X = 3B\) and \(Y = 2B\). Their regular covers are a pair of dessins of type \((3, 2, 11)\) and genus 3601. There are no other dessins of this type and degree with monodromy group \(G = M_{12}\); taking \(X = 3B\) and \(Y = 2A\), or \(X = 3A\) and \(Y = 2B\) yields two more orbits of \(\text{Aut} G\) on triples, but these generate transitive or intransitive subgroups isomorphic to \(\text{PSL}_2(11)\). The dessin corresponding to these transitive subgroups has already been shown in Figures 17 and 18, while the intransitive subgroups, with orbits of length 11 and 1, are the monodromy groups of the dessins \(M_1\) and \(\overline{M}_1\) of degree 11 in Figures 1, 2 and 3.

![Figure 19: Two dessins with monodromy group M_{12}. The field of moduli for both of them is \(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-11})\).](image)

For \(p = 23\), the group \(M_{24}\) in (d), found by Mathieu [63] in 1873, is the monodromy group of two chiral pairs of planar dessins, with passports \((3^61^6, 2^12^1, 23^11^1)\) and \((3^8, 2^81^8, 23^11^1)\); see orbits 24.2 and 24.1 in [1, pp. 129–130]. For the first pair \(X = 3A, Y = 2B\) and \(Z = 23A + 23B\), while for the second pair \(X = 3B, Y = 2A\) and \(Z = 23A + 23B\). A member of each...
pair is shown in Figure 20. Unlike in the case of $M_{12}$, there is a single permutation representation of degree $p + 1$ (the natural representation, on the cosets of a subgroup $M_{23}$), and there is no outer automorphism transposing the two mutually inverse conjugacy classes of elements of order $p$.

Figure 20: Two dessins with monodromy group $M_{24}$. The field of moduli for both of them is $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-23})$.

We now consider case (c) of Theorem 12.1, the affine groups $AGL_n(2)$, where $n$ is prime and $p$ is a Mersenne prime $2^n - 1$. The case $p = 3$ does not arise since $AGL_2(2) \cong S_4$, so this group is not a quotient of $\Delta(3, 2, 2) \cong S_3$. For $p = 7$ the only dessin of type $(3, 2, 7)$ and degree 8 is $D_8(7)$, with monodromy group $PSL_2(7)$, so $AGL_3(2)$ does not arise. (Note that the Hurwitz group of genus 17 is a nonsplit extension of $V_8$ by $GL_3(2)$, not isomorphic to $AGL_2(2)$, and it has no transitive permutation representation of degree 8; see [45] for details.) On the other hand, for $p = 31$ orbit 32.1 in [1, pp. 134–135] consists of six planar dessins of type $(3, 2, 31)$ and degree 32 with monodromy group $ASL_3(2) = AGL_3(2)$. These are shown in Section 14, Figure 28.

**Theorem 12.2** For each Mersenne prime $p = 2^n - 1 > 7$ there are dessins of type $(3, 2, p)$ and degree $p + 1$ with passport $(3^{(p-1)/2}1^2, 2^{(p+1)/2}, p1^1)$, genus $(p + 5)/12$ and monodromy group $AGL_n(2)$.

**Proof.** We will use the fact that $G := AGL_n(2)$ is a semidirect product of its translation subgroup $T \cong (C_2)^n$ by $G_0 := GL_n(2)$, the stabiliser of 0. Let $(x, y, z)$ be a generating triple for $GL_n(2)$ of type $(3, 2, p)$, chosen as in the proof of Theorem 10.11 by taking $r = s = 1$, so that $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = (n + 1)/2$. Now let $u = xa = νb$ and $w = zy$, where $a, b, c \in T$. We will try to choose $a, b$ and $c$ so that $(u, v, w)$ is a generating triple for $G$ of type $(3, 2, p)$.

Since $x^3 = 1$ we have

$$u^3 = (xa)^3 = x^3a^3a = a^{x^2 + x + 1},$$

so $u^3 = 1$ if and only if $a \in T_x := \ker(x^2 + x + 1)$. (Here we regard $x^2 + x + 1$ as a linear transformation of the vector space $T$ over $\mathbb{F}_2$.) Similarly $v^3 = 1$ if and only if $b \in T_y := \ker(y + 1)$, and $w^3 = 1$ if and only if $c \in T_z := \ker(z^{p-1} + z^{p-2} + \cdots + z + 1)$. Also

$$uvw = (xa)(yb)zc = xyab^2c = xyzab^2c = a^{x^2b^2c},$$

so $uvw = 1$ if and only if $a^{x^2b^2c} = 1$.

Since $z$ is a Singer cycle in $G_0$, $T_z = T$ and hence the condition on $c$ is vacuous, whereas the Jordan forms for $x$ and $y$ used in the proof of Theorem 10.11 show that the subspaces $T_x$ and $T_y$ have dimensions $n - 1$ and $(n + 1)/2$. Within these subspaces one can choose $a$ and $b$ arbitrarily, and then define $c = a^{x^2b^2}$, so that $a^{x^2b^2c} = 1$, and hence $(u, v, w)$ is a triple of type $(3, 2, p)$.

The cycle structures of $u, v$ and $w$ can be found as follows. One can regard $AGL_n(2)$ as acting naturally on $T$, with elements of $T$ and $GL_n(2)$ acting by translations and by linear transformations. The element $u = xa$ acts on $T$ by $t \mapsto t^a$, so it fixes an element $t \in T$ if and only if $a = t(1 - x)$, using additive notation for the vector space $T$. Now the Jordan form for $x$ shows that $T(1 - x) = T_x$, so for every choice of $a \in T_x$ there are $|\ker(1 - x)| = 2$ fixed points $t \in T$ for $u$. Since $u$ has order 3 it has $(p - 1)/3$ cycles of length 3. In the case of $v$ the Jordan form for $y$ shows that $T(1 - y)$ is a subspace of codimension 1 in $T_y$, so by choosing $b \in T_y \setminus (T(1 - y))$ we can ensure that $v$ has no fixed points, and therefore consists of $(p + 1)/2$ transpositions. Since $w$ has order $p$ it has cycle structure $p^1$.

Now let us define $H := \langle u, v, w \rangle \leq G$. We will prove that if $p > 7$ then $H = G$. Factoring out $T$ maps $H$ onto $\langle x, y, z \rangle = G_0$, so $HT = G$. If $H$ contains a non-identity translation $t \in T$ then $H$ contains $w$ it contains all the non-identity translations $t^a$, so $HT \supseteq T$ and hence $H = G$, as required.

We may therefore assume, for a contradiction, that $H \cap T = 1$, so that $H$ is a complement for $T$ in $G$. Since the first cohomology group $H^1(GL_n(2), T)$ for $GL_n(2)$ on its natural module $T$ is trivial for all $n > 3$ (see [4]) there is a single conjugacy class of such complements, so $H$ is a point-stabiliser in the natural action of $G$. This contradicts the fact that $v$ has no fixed points, by our choice of the translation $b$. 
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Thus \((u, v, w)\) is a generating triple for \(G\), so it corresponds to a dessin of type \((3, 2, p)\) and degree \(p + 1\) with monodromy group \(G\). Its genus follows from its passport, determined above.

The above proof fails in the case \(p = 7\) since \(|H^1(\mathrm{GL}_3(2), T)| = 2\) (see [4]), so that there are two conjugacy classes of complements for \(T\) in \(\mathrm{AGL}_3(2)\), consisting of the point-stabilisers and of subgroups acting transitively on \(T\) as \(\mathrm{PSL}_2(7)\); in this case every triple of type \((3, 2, 7)\) generates a complement. (The fact that all complements are isomorphic to \(G/T\) provides a simple proof that \(\mathrm{GL}_3(2) \cong \mathrm{PSL}_2(7)\).)

**Example 12.3** Taking \(n = 5\) we obtain a dessin of type \((3, 2, 31)\), degree 32 and genus 3, with passport \((3^{10}1^2, 2^{16}, 31^11^1)\). This should not be confused with the Galois orbit 32.1 of six planar dessins with the same type, degree and monodromy group in [1]: these have passport \((3^{10}1^2, 2^{12}1^8, 31^11^1)\), and they correspond to taking \(b \in T(1 - y)\), so that \(v\) fixes \(|\ker(1 - y)| = 8\) points (see Subsection 14.6).

Finally, we consider the alternating groups \(A_{p+1}\), in case (a) of Theorem 12.1, as monodromy groups.

**Theorem 12.4** The alternating group \(A_{p+1}\), \(p\) prime, is the monodromy group of a dessin of type \((3, 2, p)\) and degree \(p + 1\) if and only if \(p = 3\) or \(p \geq 11\).

**Proof.** For \(p = 2, 5\) or 7 the triangle group \(\Delta(3, 2, p)\) does not map onto \(A_{p+1}\); this is obvious if \(p = 2\) or 5, and for \(p = 7\) it is well known that \(A_4\) is not a Hurwitz group: the triples of type \((3, 2, 7)\) in this group all generate proper subgroups isomorphic to \(\mathrm{PSL}_2(7)\). On the other hand, \(A_4\) is the monodromy group of the dessin of type \((3, 2, 3)\) and degree 4 on the left in Figure 21, the quotient of the tetrahedron \(\{3, 3\}\) by \(C_3\). (Note that \(A_4\) is isomorphic to \(\mathrm{PSL}_2(3)\) in case (b).)

Figure 21: Dessins with monodromy groups \(A_4, A_{12}\) and \(A_{14}\).

For \(p = 11\) and 13 it is sufficient by Theorem 12.1 to note that the dessins of degree 12 and 14 in Figure 21 are not isomorphic to the unique dessins \(\mathcal{D}_0(p)\) with monodromy group \(\mathrm{PSL}_2(p)\) shown in Figures 17 and 13.

Figure 22: A dessin with monodromy group \(A_{p+1}\).

Finally, let \(p \geq 17\). If \(p \equiv 2 \mod (3)\), say \(p = 3k + 2\) for some \(k \geq 5\), consider the dessin \(\mathcal{D}\) in Figure 22, where there are \(k\) vertices of degree 3, so that the degree of \(\mathcal{D}\) is \(p + 1\) and its passport is \((3^k1^3, 2^{k+3}1^k3^{k-3}, p^11^1)\). The monodromy group of \(\mathcal{D}\) cannot be \(\mathrm{PSL}_2(p)\) since a non-identity element of that group has at most two fixed points, whereas \(x\) has three; similarly it cannot be \(\mathrm{AGL}_n(2)\) for any \(n\) since the fixed point set of any element of that group is either empty or an affine subspace of order \(2^d\) for some \(d\); finally, it cannot be a Mathieu group since \(\mathcal{D}\) is not isomorphic to any of the dessins in Figures 19 or 20 or their mirror images, so it must be \(A_{p+1}\).

If \(p \equiv 1 \mod (3)\), say \(p = 3k + 4\) for some \(k \geq 5\), one can apply a similar argument to the dessin \(\mathcal{D}'\) obtained by adding two vertices of valency 1 to the rightmost free edges of \(\mathcal{D}\), so that the passport is \((3^k1^3, 2^{k+3}1^k3^{k-5}, p^11^1)\).

By reflecting an arbitrary subset of the free edges of \(\mathcal{D}\) or \(\mathcal{D}'\) one obtains exponentially many dessins of type \((3, 2, p)\) and degree \(p + 1\) with monodromy group \(A_{p+1}\) as \(p \to \infty\).

We summarise the results of the last two sections as follows:
Theorem 12.5 Suppose that \( p \) is a prime such that there is a dessin of type \((3, 2, p)\) and degree \( p + 1 \) with monodromy group \( G \). Then one of the following holds:

(a) \( G = A_{p+1} \) with \( p = 3 \) or \( p \geq 11 \).
(b) \( G = \text{PSL}_2(p) \).
(c) \( G = \text{AGL}_n(2) \) for some Mersenne prime \( p = 2^n - 1 \geq 31 \).
(d) \( G = M_{12} \) with \( p = 11 \) or \( G = M_{24} \) with \( p = 23 \).

Each in its natural representation. In case (a) there are exponentially many dessins as \( p \to \infty \), even if we restrict to planar dessins. In case (b) there is a single dessin \( D_0(p) \) for each prime \( p \). In case (c) there is at least one dessin for each Mersenne prime \( p \). In case (d) there are two chiral pairs for each of the two groups \( G \).

13 The road not taken

The starting point for Klein’s work in [51], and hence for our investigation in this paper, was the embedding of the icosahedral group \( A_5 \) in \( \text{PSL}_2(11) \). This is the third and most complicated of the three instances, known already to Galois, of \( \text{PSL}_2(p) \) having a subgroup of index \( p \); the first two, for \( p = 5 \) and 7, each became the subject of a deservedly famous book (respectively [52] and [55]), and one could easily imagine (though not so easily write) an analogue for \( p = 11 \). In this final section we will briefly sketch a line of research which might form the basis of a chapter in such a text; the road it takes is almost completely disjoint from that we have followed in the present paper; however, there is an intriguing moment when we catch a brief glimpse of the road starting with Klein’s paper [50] concerning the case \( p = 7 \).

There are a number of mathematical objects and phenomena closely related to the embedding (or more precisely the embeddings) of \( A_5 \) in the group \( L = \text{PSL}_2(11) \). A good example is the non-orientable regular abstract polytope \( s(3, 5, 3) \), the hendecachoron or 11-cell independently discovered by Grünbaum [36] and Coxeter [18] (see also [19, 21] for this example, and [60] for the general theory of abstract polytopes).

![Figure 23: The Coxeter diagram (3, 5, 3).](image)

Let \( \Gamma \) denote the string Coxeter group with Schläfli symbol \((3, 5, 3)\), represented by the Coxeter diagram in Figure 23. This group has generators \( R_i \) (\( i = 0, \ldots, 3 \)) and defining relations

\[
R_i^2 = (R_iR_j)^3 = (R_iR_2)^5 = (R_2R_3)^3 = 1, \quad (R_iR_j)^2 = 1 \text{ whenever } |i - j| \geq 2;
\]

it acts as a group of isometries of hyperbolic 3-space \( \mathbb{H}^3 \), generated by reflections \( R_i \) in the sides of a tetrahedron \( T \subset \mathbb{H}^3 \) with appropriate dihedral angles. As shown in [43] there is a unique normal subgroup \( N \) of \( \Gamma \) such that \( \Gamma/N \cong \mathbb{Z} \). This is the normal closure of the elements \((R_0R_1R_2)^5\) and \((R_1R_2R_3)^5\), so that putting these equal to 1 in \( \Gamma \) gives a presentation for \( \mathbb{Z} \).

The quotient \( \mathbb{H}^3/N \) is a compact non-orientable hyperbolic 3-orbifold \( \mathcal{N} \), with a tessellation \( \mathcal{T} \) by \( |L| = 660 \) tetrahedra, induced from the tessellation of \( \mathbb{H}^3 \) by the images of \( T \) under \( \Gamma \). These tetrahedra can be partitioned into eleven sets of 60, giving a tessellation \( \mathcal{T} \) of \( \mathcal{N} \) by eleven hemi-icosahedra \( (3, 5)_5 \) (antipodal quotients of icosahedra \( (3, 5) \) formed by identifying edges five steps apart along Petrie paths), which has \( \mathcal{T} \) as its barycentric subdivision. Similarly \( \mathcal{T} \) has eleven vertices, each having as its vertex figure a hemi-dodecahedron \( (5, 3)_5 \) formed in the same way from a dodecahedron \( (5, 3) \). This tessellation \( \mathcal{T} \) is a realisation of Coxeter’s non-orientable regular polytope \( s(3, 5, 3) \), with the subscripts indicating these identifications, and also the corresponding extra defining relations for \( L \). It has automorphism group \( L \), with the stabilisers of cells and of vertices forming the two conjugacy classes of subgroups \( H \cong A_5 \) in \( L \), represented by the images of the subgroups \( \langle R_0, R_1, R_2 \rangle \cong \langle R_1, R_2, R_3 \rangle \cong \Delta(3, 2, 5) \cong A_5 \times C_2 \) of \( \Gamma \). Notice that \( N \) is not torsion-free (it contains the central involution of each of these subgroups), so \( \mathcal{N} \) is not a manifold.

The normaliser \( \Omega \) of \( \Gamma \) in the isometry group of \( \mathbb{H}^3 \) is a semidirect product of \( \Gamma \) by a group \( C_2 \) induced by the graph automorphism \( R_i \mapsto R_{3-i} \) of \( \Gamma \); this leaves \( \mathcal{N} \) invariant, with \( \Omega/N \cong \text{PGL}_2(11) \), and acts as a self-duality of \( \mathcal{T} \), transposing
Figure 24: Subgroups of $\Omega$ and their quotients by $K$.

vertices and cells, and edges and faces. The group $\Omega$ plays a similar role in the theory of compact 3-manifolds to that played by the triangle groups $\Delta(3,2,7)$ and $\Delta[3,2,7]$ for Riemann and Klein surfaces: specifically, as shown by Gehring, Marshall and Martin [29, 61], $\Omega$ has the least covolume among all cocompact discontinuous groups of isometries of $\mathbb{H}^3$. It follows that the torsion-free normal subgroups $K$ of finite index in $\Omega$ uniformise the compact hyperbolic 3-manifolds which maximise the number of automorphisms, namely $[\Omega/K]$, per unit of volume. As such, these manifolds and automorphism groups are the 3-dimensional analogues of the Hurwitz curves and groups in dimension 2. As shown in [43], among such subgroups $K$ that of least index is $K = N \cap \Omega^*$, where $\Omega^*$ is the orientation-preserving subgroup of index 2 in $\Omega$. The corresponding orientable manifold $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{H}^3/K$, a double covering of the orbifold $N$, has isometry group $\Omega/K \cong \text{PGL}_2(11) \times C_2$, with orientation-preserving subgroup $\Omega^*/K \cong \text{PGL}_2(11)$; the subgroup $\Gamma/K \cong L \times C_2$ preserves a tessellation of $\mathcal{K}$ by eleven icosahedra, with the two conjugacy classes of icosahedral subgroups $A_5 \times C_2$ stabilising the cells and vertices, and transposed in $\Omega/K$ by duality. By the minimality of their volumes, $\mathcal{K}$ and $N$ can be regarded as 3-dimensional analogues of Klein’s quartic curve [50], the smallest Riemann surface attaining the Hurwitz bound on the number of automorphisms per unit of area (see [55] for connections with $\text{PSL}_2(7)$ and [50]). Figure 24 shows the relevant subgroups of $\Omega$, together with their quotients by $K$, that is, the finite groups of isometries they induce on $\mathcal{K}$.

14 More examples

In this section we give standard generators for the monodromy groups of some of the smaller and more interesting dessins considered earlier, together with some comments, and diagrams in a few cases of low genus.

14.1 Klein’s dessins, degree 11

Generators $x_i, y_i$ and $z_i$ for the monodromy groups of Klein’s dessins $\mathcal{M}_i$ ($i = 1, \ldots, 6$) (see Section 2 and Figure 3), as subgroups of $S_{11}$, are as follows. In all cases

$$z_i = (1, 2, \ldots, 11).$$

The other generators $x_i$ and $y_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 6$ (unique up to conjugation by powers of $z_i$) are:

$$x_1 = (1, 4, 3)(5, 11, 9)(6, 8, 7),$$
$$x_2 = (1, 4, 2)(5, 11, 9)(6, 8, 7),$$
$$x_3 = (1, 3, 2)(4, 11, 10)(5, 9, 7),$$
$$x_4 = (1, 4, 3)(5, 11, 10)(6, 9, 8),$$
$$x_5 = (1, 11, 6)(2, 5, 4)(7, 10, 8),$$
$$x_6 = (1, 11, 6)(2, 5, 3)(7, 10, 9),$$

$$y_1 = (1, 2)(4, 11)(5, 8)(9, 10),$$
$$y_2 = (2, 3)(4, 11)(5, 8)(9, 10),$$
$$y_3 = (3, 11)(4, 9)(5, 6)(7, 8),$$
$$y_4 = (4, 11)(5, 9)(6, 7),$$
$$y_5 = (1, 5)(2, 3)(6, 10)(8, 9),$$
$$y_6 = (1, 5)(3, 4)(6, 10)(7, 8).$$
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Remark 14.1 Here and everywhere, if two dessins $D$ and $\overline{D}$ of degree $n$ form a chiral pair and $D = (x, y, z)$ then $\overline{D}$ can be obtained as $(x', y', z') = (x^{-1}, y^{-1}, yx)$. Notice that $z' = yx$ does not necessarily have any canonical form. It can, however, be “standardized” by a common conjugation of $x', y', z'$ in $S_n$.

In what follows, in order to avoid any error we just reproduce the results of the GAP sessions.

14.2 Group $\text{PSL}_3(3)$, degree 13

There are, in total, 14 plane trees with the passport $(3^4 1^1, 2^4 1^5, 13^1)$. Four of them have the monodromy group $\text{PSL}_3(3)$. They are given below. We fix the permutation $z = (1, 2, \ldots, 13)$, and label the only fixed point of permutation $x$ by 1. The trees split into two chiral pairs: $(M_1, M_3)$ and $(M_2, M_4)$. Trees $M_1$ and $M_2$ are shown in Figure 12 (see Example 10.5).

$$M_1 = \begin{bmatrix} (2,13,6)(3,5,4)(7,12,11)(8,10,9), & (1,13)(2,5)(6,12)(7,10), \\ (1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$M_2 = \begin{bmatrix} (2,13,3)(4,12,5)(6,11,10)(7,9,8), & (1,13)(3,12)(5,11)(6,9), \\ (1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$M_3 = \begin{bmatrix} (2,13,9)(3,8,4)(5,7,6)(10,12,11), & (1,13)(2,8)(4,7)(9,12), \\ (1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$M_4 = \begin{bmatrix} (2,13,12)(3,11,10)(4,9,5)(6,8,7), & (1,13)(2,11)(3,9)(5,8), \\ (1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13) \end{bmatrix}.$$

The field of moduli of these four trees is the splitting field of the polynomial $a^4 + a^3 + 2a^2 - 4a + 3$. Its Galois group is the cyclic group $C_4$.

14.3 Group $\text{PSL}_2(16)$, degree 17

There are, in this group, eight conjugacy classes of elements of order 17, and also four automorphisms of the field $\mathbb{F}_{16}$. Therefore, as predicted by Theorem 10.1, we obtain two non-isomorphic maps (see Example 10.2). Their passport is $(3^4 1^2, 2^4 1^4, 17^1)$, hence they are of genus 1. We also observe that they are not chiral: both are mirror symmetric. They are shown in Figure 25.

We fix, as usual, the permutation $z = (1, 2, \ldots, 17)$, and put the label 1 on the only fixed point of the permutation $y$. Here is what we get:

$$M_1 = \begin{bmatrix} (1,10,2)(3,9,13)(4,12,6)(7,11,17)(8,16,14), \\ (2,9)(3,12)(4,5)(6,11)(7,16)(8,13)(10,17)(14,15), \\ (1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$M_2 = \begin{bmatrix} (1,10,2)(3,9,5)(6,8,13)(7,12,14)(11,17,15), \\ (2,9)(3,4)(5,8)(6,12)(7,13)(10,17)(11,14)(15,16), \\ (1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17) \end{bmatrix}.$$

The total number of maps with this passport is 70.
Remark 14.2 The next Fermat prime, after 17, is 257. Let us give a cursory glance at the group $\text{PSL}_2(256)$. In this group, there are 128 conjugacy classes of cycles of order 257, and there are eight automorphisms of the field $\mathbb{F}_{256}$. Therefore, there are 16 non-isomorphic maps generating this group. Their passport is $(3^{85}1^2, 2^{12}1^7, 257^1)$, and their genus is $g = 21$, in accordance with Theorem 10.1. This theorem also implies that all these maps are mirror symmetric.

14.4 Group $\text{PSL}_3(5)$, degree 31

Below we give the ten dessins of type $(3, 2, 31)$ with the passport $(3^{10}1^1, 2^{12}1^7, 31^1)$, of genus $g = 1$, representing the group $\text{PSL}_3(5)$ (see Example 10.6). The map $M_1$ is shown in Figure 26.

- The permutation $z$ is always the same: $z = (1, 2, 3, \ldots, 31)$.
- The fixed point of $x$ is given the label 1. This convention, together with the preceding one, allows us to label all the dessins uniquely
- The chiral pairs are $(M_i, M_{i+5})$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 5$.

\begin{align*}
M_1 &= \langle (2, 31, 19)(3, 18, 11)(4, 10, 21)(5, 20, 30)(6, 29, 25)(7, 24, 23)(8, 22, 9)(12, 17, 16)
(13, 15, 14)(26, 28, 27), (1, 31)(2, 18)(3, 10)(4, 20)(5, 29)(6, 24)(7, 22)(9, 21)
(11, 17)(12, 15)(19, 30)(25, 28),
(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26,
27, 28, 29, 30, 31) \rangle \\
M_2 &= \langle (2, 31, 3)(4, 30, 16)(5, 15, 6)(7, 14, 8)(9, 13, 21)(10, 20, 23)(11, 22, 12)(17, 29, 18)
(19, 28, 24)(25, 27, 26), (1, 31)(3, 30)(4, 15)(6, 14)(8, 13)(9, 20)(10, 22)(12, 21)
(16, 29)(18, 28)(19, 23)(24, 27),
(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26,
27, 28, 29, 30, 31) \rangle \\
M_3 &= \langle (2, 31, 3)(4, 30, 29)(5, 28, 19)(6, 18, 13)(7, 12, 8)(9, 11, 10)(14, 17, 21)(15, 20, 27)
(16, 26, 22)(23, 25, 24), (1, 31)(3, 30)(4, 28)(5, 18)(6, 12)(8, 11)(13, 17)(14, 20)
(15, 26)(16, 21)(19, 27)(22, 25),
(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26,
27, 28, 29, 30, 31) \rangle \\
M_4 &= \langle (2, 31, 24)(3, 23, 16)(4, 15, 14)(5, 13, 12)(6, 11, 7)(8, 10, 9)(17, 22, 21)(18, 20, 27)
(19, 26, 28)(25, 30, 29), (1, 31)(2, 23)(3, 15)(4, 13)(5, 11)(7, 10)(16, 22)(17, 20)
(18, 26)(19, 27)(24, 30)(25, 28),
(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26,
27, 28, 29, 30, 31) \rangle \\
M_5 &= \langle (2, 31, 3)(4, 30, 8)(5, 7, 6)(9, 29, 15)(10, 14, 19)(11, 18, 24)(12, 23, 22)(13, 21, 20)
(16, 28, 27)(17, 26, 25), (1, 31)(3, 30)(4, 7)(8, 29)(9, 14)(10, 18)(11, 23)(12, 21)
(13, 19)(15, 28)(16, 26)(17, 24),
(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26,
27, 28, 29, 30, 31) \rangle \\
M_6 &= \langle (2, 31, 14)(3, 13, 28)(4, 27, 8)(5, 7, 6)(9, 26, 10)(11, 25, 24)(12, 23, 29)(15, 30, 22)
(16, 21, 17)(18, 20, 19), (1, 31)(2, 13)(3, 27)(4, 7)(8, 26)(10, 25)(11, 23)(12, 28)
(14, 30)(15, 21)(17, 20)(22, 29),
(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26,
27, 28, 29, 30, 31) \rangle \\
M_7 &= \langle (2, 31, 30)(3, 29, 17)(4, 16, 15)(5, 14, 9)(6, 8, 7)(10, 13, 23)(11, 22, 21)(12, 20, 24)
(18, 28, 27)(19, 26, 25), (1, 31)(2, 29)(3, 16)(4, 14)(5, 8)(9, 13)(10, 22)(11, 20)
(12, 23)(17, 28)(18, 26)(19, 24),
(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26,
27, 28, 29, 30, 31) \rangle \\
M_8 &= \langle (2, 31, 30)(3, 29, 4)(5, 28, 14)(6, 13, 18)(7, 17, 11)(8, 10, 9)(12, 16, 19)(15, 27, 26)
(21, 26, 25)(22, 24, 23), (1, 31)(2, 29)(4, 28)(5, 13)(6, 17)(7, 10)(11, 16)(12, 18)
(14, 27)(15, 19)(20, 26)(21, 24),
(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26,
27, 28, 29, 30, 31) \rangle \\
\end{align*}
Figure 26: Opposite sides of the outer parallelogram are identified to form a torus. The torus dessin thus obtained is of degree 31 and type \((3^{10} 1^1, 2^{12} 1^7, 31^1)\). It corresponds to the triple \(M_1 = (x_1, y_1, z)\) in the above list.

### 14.5 Group \(\text{PSL}_5(2)\), degree 31

Below we give the six dessins with the same passport as in the previous example, that is, \((3^{10} 1^1, 2^{12} 1^7, 31^1)\), and therefore also of genus 1, but this time representing the group \(\text{PSL}_5(2)\) (see Example 10.9). The map \(M_1\) is shown in Figure 27.

- The permutation \(z\) is always the same: \(z = (1, 2, 3, \ldots, 31)\).
- The fixed point of \(x\) is always the point 1.
- The chiral pairs are \((M_1, M_4)\), \((M_2, M_5)\), and \((M_3, M_6)\).
\[ M_3 = \{ (2,31,24) (3,23,22) (4,21,8) (5,7,6) (9,20,10) (11,19,28) (12,27,13) (14,26,15) \\
(16,25,30) (17,29,18), (1,31)(2,23)(3,21)(4,7)(5,6)(8,20)(9,19)(10,27)(11,26) \\
(12,25)(13,29)(14,28)(15,27)(16,30), (1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26, \\
27,28,29,30,31) \} , \]

\[ M_4 = \{ (2,31,10) (3,9,18) (4,17,5) (6,16,20) (7,19,8) (11,30,29) (12,28,27) (13,26,14) \\
(15,25,21) (22,24,23), (1,31)(2,9)(3,17)(5,16)(6,19)(8,18)(10,30)(11,28) \\
(12,26)(14,25)(15,20)(21,24), (1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26, \\
27,28,29,30,31) \} , \]

\[ M_5 = \{ (2,31,22) (3,21,20) (4,19,5) (6,18,17) (7,16,15) (8,14,22) (9,20,11) (10,12,21) \\
(13,25,17) (18,28,24), (1,31)(2,8)(3,16)(4,14)(5,21)(6,19)(7,17)(9,12) \\
(13,28)(15,27)(17,26)(22,30), (1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26, \\
27,28,29,30,31) \} , \]

\[ M_6 = \{ (2,31,9) (3,8,17) (4,16,15) (5,14,22) (6,21,20) (7,19,18) (10,30,11) (12,29,25) \\
(13,24,23) (26,28,27), (1,31)(2,8)(3,16)(4,14)(5,21)(6,19)(7,17)(9,30) \\
(11,29)(12,24)(13,22)(25,28), (1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26, \\
27,28,29,30,31) \} , \]

Figure 27: The map \( M_1 \) of the above list representing the group \( \text{PSL}_5(2) \). The map \( M_4 \) is mirror symmetric to this one.

Remark 14.3 We see that there are different ways to draw maps on surfaces of genus \( g > 0 \): compare Figures 17, 25, 26, 27. One may choose a representation according to his or her taste and convenience.

Also, this time, in Figure 27, we decided once again to put the labels explicitly. We recommend to the reader to compare them with the permutations given in the above triple \( M_1 \) and, specifically, to “go” along the cycle \((1,2,\ldots,31)\).

14.6 Group \( \text{AGL}_5(2) \), degree 32

Below we give six dessins representing the group \( \text{AGL}_5(2) \) (see Example 12.3). Their passport is \((3^{10}1^2, 2^{12}1^8, 31^11^1)\), so these are alternatives to the dessins of degree 32 and passport \((3^{10}1^2, 2^{16}, 31^11^1)\) given by the construction used to prove Theorem 12.2.

- The permutation \( z \) is always the same: \( z = (1,2,3,\ldots,31) \).
- The label 1 is attached to the outer half-edge of the only loop; the label of the face of degree 1 is 32.
- The chiral pairs are \((M_1, M_4), (M_2, M_5), \text{ and } (M_3, M_6)\).

The first three maps are shown in Figure 28.
\[
M_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
(1,2,3)(3,31,4)(5,30,12)(6,11,7)(8,19,9)(13,29,17)(14,16,15)(18,28,20)
(21,27,22)(23,26,24),
(1,32)(2,31)(4,30)(5,11)(7,10)(12,29)(13,16)(17,28)
(19,18)(20,27)(22,26)(24,25),
(1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,
27,28,29,30,31)
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
M_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
(1,2,3)(3,31,27)(4,26,6)(7,25,24)(8,23,18)(9,17,10)(11,16,12)(13,15,14)
(19,22,21)(28,30,29),
(1,32)(2,31)(3,26)(4,5)(6,25)(7,23)(8,17)(10,16)
(12,15)(18,22)(19,20)(27,30),
(1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,
27,28,29,30,31)
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
M_3 = \begin{bmatrix}
(1,2,3)(3,31,4)(5,30,6)(7,29,8)(9,28,24)(10,23,15)(11,14,12)(16,22,20)
(17,19,18)(25,27,26),
(1,32)(2,31)(4,30)(6,29)(8,28)(9,23)(10,14)(12,13)
(15,22)(16,19)(20,21)(24,27),
(1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,
27,28,29,30,31)
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
M_4 = \begin{bmatrix}
(1,2,3)(2,30,29)(3,28,21)(4,26,16)(5,15,13)(6,12,11)(7,10,9)(17,19,18)
(22,27,26)(23,25,24),
(1,30)(2,28)(3,26)(4,24)(5,12)(6,10)(7,8)(13,14)
(16,19)(21,27)(22,25)(31,32),
(1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,
27,28,29,30,31)
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
M_5 = \begin{bmatrix}
(1,2,3)(2,30,6)(3,5,4)(7,29,27)(8,26,9)(10,25,15)(11,14,12)(16,24,23)
(17,22,21)(18,20,19),
(1,30)(2,5)(6,29)(7,26)(9,25)(10,14)(12,13)(15,24)
(16,22)(17,20)(27,28)(31,32),
(1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,
27,28,29,30,31)
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
M_6 = \begin{bmatrix}
(1,2,3)(2,30,29)(3,28,27)(4,26,25)(5,24,9)(6,8,7)(10,23,18)(11,17,13)
(14,16,15)(19,22,21),
(1,30)(2,28)(3,26)(4,24)(5,8)(9,23)(10,17)(11,12)
(13,16)(18,22)(19,20)(31,32),
(1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,
27,28,29,30,31)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Figure 28: The maps $M_1, M_2, M_3$ with the passport $(3^{10}1^2, 2^{12}1^{10}, 31^{1}1^{1})$ representing the group $AGL_5(2)$. Three other maps are their mirror images.

### 14.7 Group $PSL_3(8)$, degree 73

Below we give the eight dessins of genus 4 with the passport $(3^{24}1^1, 2^{32}1^9, 73^1)$ representing the group $PSL_3(8)$ of degree 73 (see Example 10.7).

- The permutation $z$ is always the same: $z = (1, 2, 3, \ldots, 73)$.  

40
The fixed point of $x$ is always labelled by 1.

The chiral pairs are $(M_1, M_5), (M_2, M_6), (M_3, M_7)$ and $(M_4, M_8)$.
27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73).

\[ M_7 = \{(2, 73, 66)(3, 65, 4)(5, 64, 28)(6, 27, 34)(7, 33, 36)(8, 35, 26)(9, 25, 71)(10, 70, 22)(11, 21, 46)(12, 45, 41)(13, 40, 17)(14, 16, 15)(18, 39, 60)(19, 59, 58)(20, 57, 47)(23, 69, 68)(24, 67, 72)(29, 63, 62)(30, 61, 38)(31, 37, 32)(42, 44, 51)(43, 50, 52)(48, 56, 55)(49, 54, 53), (1, 73)(2, 65)(4, 64)(5, 27)(6, 33)(7, 35)(8, 25)(9, 70)(10, 21)(11, 45)(12, 40)(13, 16)(17, 39)(18, 59)(19, 57)(20, 46)(22, 69)(23, 67)(24, 71)(26, 34)(28, 63)(29, 61)(30, 37)(32, 36)(38, 60)(41, 44)(42, 50)(43, 51)(47, 56)(48, 54)(49, 52)(66, 72), (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73)\],

\[ M_8 = \{(2, 73, 21)(3, 20, 8)(4, 7, 27)(5, 26, 49)(6, 48, 28)(9, 19, 33)(10, 32, 63)(11, 60, 13)(14, 59, 52)(15, 51, 37)(16, 36, 35)(17, 34, 18)(22, 70, 65)(23, 64, 31)(24, 30, 39)(25, 38, 50)(28, 47, 46)(41, 66, 71)(43, 70, 69)(44, 68, 45)(53, 58, 57)(54, 56, 55), (1, 73)(2, 20)(3, 7)(4, 26)(5, 48)(6, 27)(8, 19)(9, 32)(10, 62)(11, 66)(13, 59)(14, 51)(15, 36)(16, 34)(18, 33)(21, 72)(22, 64)(23, 30)(24, 38)(25, 49)(28, 47)(29, 39)(31, 63)(37, 50)(40, 46)(41, 66)(42, 70)(43, 68)(45, 67)(52, 58)(53, 56)(65, 71), (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73)\].

The next candidate for our series of examples would be the group \( \text{PSL}_2(2) \) of degree 127. Since we don’t see any particular interest in writing explicitly the generating permutations of that large degree, we stop here.
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