Patterns of Genome-Wide Nucleotide Diversity in the Gynodioecious Plant *Thymus vulgaris* Are Compatible with Recent Sweeps of Cytoplasmic Genes
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**Abstract**

Gynodioecy is a sexual dimorphism where females coexist with hermaphrodite individuals. In most cases, this dimorphism involves the interaction of cytoplasmic male sterility (CMS) genes and nuclear restorer genes. Two scenarios can account for how these interactions maintain gynodioecy. Either CMS genes recurrently enter populations at low frequency via mutation or migration and go to fixation unimpeded (successive sweeps), or CMS genes maintain polymorphism over evolutionary time through interactions with a nuclear restorer allele (balanced polymorphism). To distinguish between these scenarios, we used transcriptome sequencing in gynodioecious *Thymus vulgaris* and surveyed genome-wide diversity in 18 naturally occurring individuals sampled from populations at a local geographic scale. We contrast the amount and patterns of nucleotide diversity in the nuclear and cytoplasmic genome, and find ample diversity at the nuclear level (\(p = 0.019\) at synonymous sites) but reduced genetic diversity and an excess of rare polymorphisms in the cytoplasmic genome relative to the nuclear genome. Our finding is incompatible with the maintenance of gynodioecy via scenarios invoking long-term balancing selection, and instead suggests the recent fixation of CMS lineages in the populations studied.
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**Introduction**

Gynodioecy is a reproductive system in which two sexual morphs (hermaphrodites and females) coexist. Richards (1997) states that 7% of angiosperm species are gynodioecious, but this figure is highly dependent on the flora studied, and other authors suggest a much lower frequency of gynodioecy (Charlesworth 2002). The most recent data survey comprising 449 plant families and 13,208 genera indicates that gynodioecy occurs in 2% of angiosperm genera and in 18% of all angiosperm families (Dufay et al., 2014). Together with monoecy (Renner, 2014), gynodioecy is viewed as a key pathway underlying the evolutionary transition from hermaphroditism to separate sexes (dioecy) during the diversification of flowering plants (Charlesworth and Charlesworth, 1978; Dufay et al., 2014). Yet rather than being a purely transitory stage, gynodioecy also appears to be a stable sexual system in several genera such as *Thymus*, *Saponaria*, *Dianthus*, and *Silene* (Desfeux et al., 1996;
Manicacci et al., 1998). As a consequence, the maintenance of gynodioecy (the coexistence of pure females and hermaphrodites) has attracted considerable theoretical attention (Frank, 1989; Hurst et al., 1996; Couvet et al., 1998; McCauley and Olson, 2008; Delph and Kelly, 2014).

One of the key factors influencing the maintenance of gynodioecy lies in its underlying genetics (Lewis, 1941). Sex determination in most gynodioecious species involves cytoplasmic genes that cause male sterility (cytoplasmic male sterility, hereafter CMS), which turn hermaphrodites into females, and nuclear restorer alleles that “restore” the male function (Couvet et al., 1990; Schnable and Wise, 1998). A female plant carries a CMS gene that blocks the development of functional anthers, whereas hermaphrodites either lack a CMS gene or carry one in combination with a nuclear allele for restoring the male function (Touzet and Meyer, 2014). Because CMS genes are maternally inherited, they gain fitness differently from nuclear genes (Hurst et al., 1996). In particular, CMS genes can invade a population of hermaphrodites provided the females have a small fertility advantage over hermaphrodites. However, in a population containing a CMS gene, any nuclear allele able to restore the male function will also be favored. It is expected that as the frequency of the CMS gene increases in the population, selection will more strongly favor the restorer alleles, which should subsequently spread in the population.

The maintenance of females in a population therefore depends either on a mechanism that prevents the fixation of nuclear restorer alleles, or on the recurring appearance of new CMS genes. This has led to two main scenarios for the maintenance of gynodioecy (Charlesworth, 2002; Delph and Kelly, 2014). In the first scenario, a new CMS type enters the population and starts spreading, creating the condition for a matching restorer allele to also sweep to fixation. Under this scenario, females can cooccur with hermaphrodites because new CMS lineages regularly enter the population, either by spontaneous mutation or via migrants carrying different CMS genes (Frank, 1989). This scenario, initially termed “an epidemic scenario” by Frank (1989), is hereafter labelled scenario A (see table 1 for a summary). Under the second scenario, usually termed “the balancing selection scenario” (hereafter scenario B, table 1), polymorphism is maintained by both the nuclear restorer and the CMS alleles by negative frequency-dependent selection (Charlesworth, 1981; Gouyon et al., 1991). This scenario implies a negative pleiotropic fitness effect (or “cost”) associated with carrying a nuclear restorer allele in combination with a CMS gene that it does not restore. Once a CMS lineage and the corresponding nuclear restorer allele reach a high frequency in the population, restorer alleles of other CMS genes are counter-selected because they tend to cooccur with the frequent CMS gene that they do not restore. As a consequence, the frequency of females among the carriers of rare CMS genes will grow, ultimately leading to an increase in the frequency of these rare CMS lineages and a decrease in the common one. This negative frequency dependence leads to cyclical dynamics where the amplitude of the cycles depends mainly on the magnitude of the cost (Gouyon et al., 1991; Dufaï et al., 2007).

Finally, Couvet et al. (1998) showed that polymorphism for CMS types and their matching restorer alleles can also be maintained if populations are embedded in a metapopulation structure (Scenario C, table 1) where frequent founder events arise and new populations are created by a restricted number of originators from a migrant pool. Note that these different scenarios are not mutually exclusive. For instance, the metapopulation effect described by Couvet et al. (1998) could apply irrespective of the assumption regarding the cost of restoration (scenario B) or the frequency at which new CMS types or matching restorer types are introduced via mutation or migration (scenario A). Each of these scenarios is best viewed as a minimum set of assumptions needed to ensure the theoretical maintenance of gynodioecy.

Directly testing which of these processes actually governs the maintenance of gynodioecy in a given species is a daunting task, as it requires measuring whether nuclear restorer alleles carry a fitness cost, and documenting these costs in nature is challenging. Of all the studies conducted in gynodioecious species, very few have provided evidence for a cost of restoration (De Haan et al., 1997, in Plantago lanceolata; Bailey, 2002, in Lobelia siphilitica; Del Castillo and Trujillo, 2009, in Phacelia dubia). Furthermore, evidence for a cost of restoration makes scenario B credible without necessarily excluding scenario A.

Here, we argue that it is possible to distinguish between some of these scenarios using an indirect yet powerful source of information: specifically, the patterns of nucleotide diversity in the nuclear genome compared with the chloroplast and mitochondrial genomes (collectively referred to as the cytoplasmic genome). Given that no formal expectation for observed patterns of genome diversity has yet been derived for the scenarios in table 1, we first sketch why examining patterns of nucleotide diversity can be insightful. Scenarios A and B are expected to leave radically different footprints in the amount and patterns of nucleotide diversity in nuclear and cytoplasmic genomes. Under scenario A, we expect the cytoplasmic genomes to harbor patterns of genetic diversity typical of recent selective sweeps, whereas under scenario B, the cytoplasmic genomes should exhibit a signature of balancing selection (Ingvarsson and Taylor, 2002; Städler and Delph, 2002; Delph and Kelly, 2014; see fig. 1). Furthermore, mitochondrial and chloroplast genomes are uniparentally (maternally) inherited and hardly recombine (but see Barr et al., 2005, and Davila et al., 2011, for evidence of occasional double-strand breaks in plant mitochondrial genomes). The cytoplasmic genome is therefore expected to behave as a single “super locus.” Thus, selection acting on any given CMS gene is expected to imprint the whole “super locus” by linkage, making the footprints of selection potentially

---

**Table 1**

| Scenario   | Description                                                                 |
|------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| A          | Epidemic scenario, CMS gene enters, polymorphism maintained by restorer     |
| B          | Balancing selection, CMS and restorer alleles polymorphic                    |
| C          | Metapopulation, frequent founder events, CMS and restorer alleles polymorphic|
conspicuous relative to those expected under neutrality (fig. 1). In contrast, selection affecting a nuclear gene involved in restoration will only affect patterns of polymorphism at nearby genomic regions, leaving the rest of the nuclear genome unaffected. Therefore, patterns of genetic diversity in the nuclear genome can be used as a reference that reflects sampling and demographic effects independent of gynodioecy-related selection.

We study *Thymus vulgaris*, a gynodioecious species with nucleo-cytoplasmic sex inheritance. The genus *Thymus* contains many gynodioecious species but no record of dioecy. All gynodioecious *Thymus* species studied so far exhibit a high (>50% on average) and variable frequency of females (Manicacci et al., 1998; Thompson, 2002). In *T. vulgaris*, female frequency can vary from 10% to 85% among populations (Belhassen et al., 1989; Manicacci et al., 1998). This species has previously been used to study the genetics of sex inheritance (Charlesworth and Laporte, 1998; Ehlers et al., 2005) and the cost of restoration (Gigord et al., 1999). Both scenarios A and B and the metapopulation effect studied by Couvet et al. (1998) can potentially account for the variability in female frequency observed among populations (Belhassen et al., 1990).

We sequenced the transcriptome of 18 thyme plants that were grown from seeds collected in natural populations in southern France. We used a simple yet popular summary statistic, Tajima’s *D* (hereafter *T*D), to quantify patterns of nucleotide diversity and test selective neutrality. This statistic is often applied to summarize the effect of selection on the site frequency spectrum (SFS) of nucleotide polymorphism.

**Table 1**

Summary of Possible Scenarios for the Maintenance of Gynodioecy and Their Expected Impact on Patterns of Genome Diversity

| Scenario | Expected Footprint on Genome Diversity | References |
|----------|----------------------------------------|------------|
| (A) Epidemic scenario | Strong recent sweep signal in the whole cytoplasmic genome Neutrality in the nuclear genome except for demographic and sampling effects | Frank (1989) |
| (B) Balancing selection | Balancing selection, possibly complicated by the amplitude of the cycles in the whole cytoplasmic genome and at the nuclear restorer genes and their immediate genomic vicinity. Neutrality in the rest of the nuclear genome. | Charlesworth (1981) Gouyon et al. (1991) Bailey et al. (2003) Dufay et al. (2007) |
| (C) Metapopulation effect | Balancing selection, possibly complicated by the metapopulation effect. Nuclear genome neutrality, but the effect of sampling and metapopulation functioning might skew expectations relative to a stable nonsubdivided population. | Couvet et al. (1998) |

**Fig. 1.**—Contrasting expectations for Tajima’s *D* statistic in cytoplasmic genomes relative to nuclear genomes under scenarios A and B. Scenario A involves recurrent selective sweeps of new CMS alleles (counteracted by recurrent selection of novel restorer [Rf] alleles that also periodically go to fixation). Scenario B involves balancing selection that maintains both CMS and restorer gene polymorphism over long time periods. If a recent sweep has affected CMS (scenario A), one expects fragments anchored in the cytoplasmic genome to exhibit negative *T*D values relative to the values in the nuclear genome. Conversely, if balancing selection affects CMS (scenario B), we expect both cytoplasmic organelles to exhibit positive *T*D values relative to the nuclear genome. The histogram represents the hypothetical distribution of expected *T*D values across nuclear fragments under neutrality. Further description of these scenarios is given in table 1.
(Tajima, 1989). It is particularly useful here as it is expected to behave differently under scenarios A and B: very negative estimates of T are expected following a recent selective sweep (scenario A) and highly positive values under balancing selection (scenario B, see Conceptual fig. 1). TD values can also be influenced by demographic effects in the history of the sampled individuals. We therefore use the empirical distribution of TD in the nuclear genome to control for the effects of an unknown demography on the SFS.

Materials and Methods

Plant Material

Eighteen individual thyme plants were used for this study. All plants were obtained from seeds collected from four distinct populations around Saint Martin de Londres, 25 km north of Montpellier (an area comprising roughly 10 km North–South by 10 km East–West). All populations were at least 2 km apart. All plants were raised in the experimental garden of “LabEx CeMEB” in Montpellier. We collected young leaves from each individual plant in October at the time where plants begin to produce new leaves after the summer drought. As sampling occurred after the flowering season, the plants were not sex determined. However, an individual’s sex was not expected to affect patterns of analyzed nucleotide diversity, which reflects population-level processes.

Previous work documented very different sex ratios among local populations in that region and uncovered functionally different CMS lineages via controlled crosses (Belhassen et al., 1991, 1993; Manicacci et al., 1996). Recent field observations (2012–2017) of the populations sampled in the present study confirm the variation in sex ratios between the sampling locations used (BKE, TB personal observations). Our regional sampling is thus at a meaningful scale to test scenarios underlying the maintenance of gynodioecy.

Preparation of RNA Samples

Leaf samples were ground in liquid nitrogen and total cellular RNA was extracted using a Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) Spectrum™ Plant Total RNA kit with a DNase treatment followed by quantification with the Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA) Quant-iTTM RiboGreen RNA reagent based on the manufacturer’s protocol with the following modifications. In brief, poly-A containing mRNA molecules were purified from 2 µg of total RNA using poly-T oligo attached magnetic beads. The purified mRNA was cut by addition of the fragmentation buffer and heated at 94°C in a thermocycler for 4 min to yield library fragments of 300–400 bp. First strand cDNA was synthesized using random primers to eliminate the general bias towards the 3’ end of the transcript. Second-strand cDNA synthesis, end repair, A-tailing, and adapter ligation was done in accordance with the protocols supplied by the manufacturer. Purified cDNA templates were enriched by 15 cycles of PCR for 10 s at 98°C, 30 s at 65°C, and 30 s at 72°C using PE1.0 and PE2.0 primers and with Phusion High-Fidelity DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA). Each indexed cDNA library was verified and quantified using a DNA 100 chip on a Bioanalyzer 2100 then equally mixed with nine (from different genotypes). The final library was then quantified by real time PCR with the Kapa Biosystems (Wilmington, MA) Library Quantification Kit for Illumina Sequencing Platforms adjusted to 10 nM in water and sent to the GeT core facility (Toulouse, FRANCE, http://get.genotoul.fr/) for sequencing.

Library Clustering and Sequencing Conditions

The final mixed cDNA library was sequenced using the Illumina mRNA-seq paired-end protocol on a HiSeq2000 sequencer for 2 × 100 cycles. The library was diluted to 2 nM with NaOH and 2.5 µl transferred into 497.5 µl HT1 to give a final concentration of 10 pM. Then 120 µl was transferred to a 200 µl strip tube and placed on ice before loading onto the Cluster Station mixed library from the ten individual indexed libraries running on a single lane. The flow cells were clustered using the Paired-End Cluster Generation Kit v4 following the Illumina PE Amplification Linearization Blocking PrimerHyb_v7 recipe. Following the clustering procedure, the flow cell was loaded onto the Illumina HiSeq 2000 instrument following manufacturer’s instructions. The sequencing chemistry used was v4 (FC-104-4001, Illumina) using SCS 2.6 and RTA 1.6 software with the 2 × 100 cycle, paired-end, indexed protocol. The Illumina base call files were processed using the GERALD pipeline to produce paired sequence files containing reads for each sample in the Illumina FASTQ format.

Transcriptome Assembly and SNP Calling

A set of predicted cDNA was obtained following the workflow of Cahais et al. (2012). Contigs shorter than 200 base pairs were discarded. Open reading frames (ORFs) were predicted using the script transcripts_to_best_scoring_ORFs.pl, which is part of the Trinity package (see a summary of the contig assembly in table 2). The reads were mapped to the predicted cDNA using BWA (Li and Durbin, 2009). More specifically, we used BWA ALN for read mapping with the
command line bwa aln -n 0.04 -o 1 -e -1 -d 16 -i 5 -k 1 -t 4 -M 10 -O 11 -E 4.

For each position of each contig and each individual, genotypes were called using the reads2snps method developed by Tsagkogeorga et al. (2012) and Gayral et al. (2013). This method includes a module for detecting hidden paralogy, which in the case of RNA-seq data manifests via an excess of heterozygous genotypes and positive across-individual correlation in read counts (Gayral et al., 2013). Positions detected as potentially paralogous by this method ($P < 0.01$) were coded as missing data and disregarded in further analysis.

### Contig Annotation

We used a homology search to identify the contigs located in cytoplasmic genomes (i.e., chloroplast or mitochondrial genomes). We used two reference sequences from close relatives to thyme: the Origanum vulgare chloroplast genome (114 genes, NCBI accession number JX880022, Lukas and Novak, 2013) and Salvia miltiorrhiza mitochondrial genome (167 genes including 138 protein coding genes, NCBI accession number NC_023209.1, Qian et al., 2013). A blastn with default parameters and an identity threshold set to 95% was applied to the ORF-containing subset of our de novo assembled contigs using the two reference sequences as search databases. Contigs matching any of these reference sequences were annotated as cytoplasmic contigs and analyzed separately for SNP calling. This was done by using the reads2snps program (Gayral et al., 2013) with the default options except for the minimum required coverage to call a genotype, which was set to five instead of ten per position per individual because there was no risk of confusion between a sequencing error and heterozygosity, as the organelle genomes are haploid.

For similar reasons, we discarded cytoplasmic contigs for which the estimated $F_{st}$ was below 0.95. As no heterozygosity is expected in organelle genes, contigs showing $F_{st}$ values much below one must either correspond to wrongly annotated nuclear genes or to duplicated genes collapsed into a single contig during assembly. Filtering out undesired contigs based on heterozygosity allowed us to use a diploid genotyper in this analysis. Following Gayral et al. (2013), we discarded contigs with more than half the bases undetermined and with stop or frameshift codons >20 bases away from the start or end of the sequence. We then used custom-made scripts to merge the cytoplasmic contigs into a concatenated sequence, with an option to filter out contigs with traces of heterozygosity.

We also examined whether any contigs in our transcriptome displayed homology to the pentatricopeptide repeat gene family that contains known nuclear restorers (Kotchoni et al., 2010; Touzet and Meyer, 2014) but no such contigs were found (data not shown).

Blastn and blast2go (Conesa et al., 2005; Bethesda, 2008) were used on the fasta sequences of the identified candidate contigs to determine their putative functions, along with web searches on the returned results in Genbank.

### Analysis of Nucleotide Diversity Patterns

We calculated the nucleotide diversity at nonsynonymous sites ($\pi_{\text{ns}}$), synonymous sites ($\pi_{\text{s}}$) and $TD$ (Tajima, 1989) on all nuclear contigs and the cytoplasmic super locus. The $\pi_{\text{ns}}/\pi_{\text{s}}$ ratio can be used as an integrative measure of the strength of purifying selection on a genome. The $\pi_{\text{ns}}$ and $\pi_{\text{s}}$ measures were calculated for each contig using custom-written C++ programs (Gayral et al., 2013). The TD statistics were obtained via a custom-made program, and the calculated values were checked against those obtained using DnaSP v5 (Librado and Rozas, 2009). For the contigs attributed to the cytoplasmic genome, we also computed the $\pi_{\text{ns}}/\pi_{\text{s}}$ and the TD of the concatenated cytoplasmic “supercontig,” as these are best viewed as a single nonrecombining unit.

We used both linear regression and robust locally weighted (loess) regression (Cleveland, 1979) to investigate the covariation patterns between gene expression levels and nucleotide diversity. All statistical analyses were performed in R (R Core Team, 2013).

### Results

#### Overview of the Contig Assembly and Amounts of Nucleotide Diversity

The contig assembly we obtained de novo from our RNA-seq data comprised 111,942 contigs after quality control filtering (table 2). Among these, 22,278 contigs included an ORF and were sufficiently covered for genotype and SNP calling.

Although the sample was obtained at a fairly local geographic scale, we detected ample nucleotide variation. Using $\pi_{\text{s}}$ to measure the amount of nucleotide polymorphism, we observed an average nucleotide diversity (mean $\pi_{\text{s}} = 0.019$, median $\pi_{\text{s}} = 0.016$; fig. 2) in the higher range of values recently reported in comparable population genomics studies based on transcriptome resequencing (Chen et al., 2017). The amount of synonymous diversity detected throughout the T. vulgaris genome therefore offers ample statistical power to detect and analyze footprints of selection. The nuclear contigs show a slight deficit of heterozygotes relative to Hardy–Weinberg expectations, as measured by $F_{st}$ (table 3).
This finding is consistent with an outcrossing mating system, with a weak degree of genetic differentiation between the sample populations creating a deficit of heterozygotes.

Within our assembly, we detected 65 contigs homologous to the chloroplast genome reference and 90 homologous to the mitochondrial genome reference. Among these, 21 contigs displayed homology to both the chloroplast and mitochondrial reference genomes.

Although it might seem surprising that a sizeable fraction of contigs had homology to both the mitochondrial and chloroplast reference genomes, Veronico et al. (1996) and Cummings et al. (2003) report that there is insertion of chloroplast genes in mitochondrial genomes, even if the opposite case has not been observed. As a check, we downloaded the mitochondrial and chloroplast genomes for five plant species with well-annotated published genomes (Arabidopsis thaliana, Medicago truncatula, Silene latifolia, Oryza sativa, and Zea mays). Nucleotide blasts on the complete mitochondrial and chloroplast genomes revealed that shared paralogs between chloroplasts and mitochondria are not uncommon (table 4).

When comparing nucleotide diversity in cytoplasmic versus nuclear contigs (table 3), we note that nucleotide diversity is substantially reduced in mitochondrial and chloroplast contigs relative to nuclear ones (fig. 2a and table 3).

Footprints of Selection in Cytoplasmic Genomes Suggest a Recent Sweep in the Coalescence History of Our Sample

To set an expectation for TD under neutrality, we used the set of nuclear contigs containing a polymorphic coding sequence (CDS) as the neutral genomic background. This background distribution of TD, which incorporates possible biasing effects of demographic history, was slightly skewed relative to neutral expectations in a stable nonsubdivided population (mean = 0.17; skewness = 0.93; fig. 3).

We computed a single TD value per organelle by separately concatenating the sequence of contigs mapping to (supplementary fig. 4, Supplementary Material online). Although this test might miss a fraction of the recombining events (Meyers and Griffith, 2003), these are unlikely to drastically affect the coalescent genealogies underlying the observed diversity patterns, otherwise they would have been revealed via the four-gamete test.

Table 3

| Genome      | n   | \( p_{NS} \) | \( p_{S} \) | \( F_{is} \) | Tajima’s D |
|-------------|-----|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| Nuclear     | 18909 | 0.0031 (0.001) | 0.0197 (0.0001) | 0.018 (0.0001) | 0.30 (0.009) |
| Mitochondrial | 26   | 0.0005 (0.0007) | 0.0005 (0.0002) | n.a. \( a \)  | -1.93 \( b \) |
| Chloroplastic | 6    | 0.0007 (0.0004) | 0.0004 (0.0002) | n.a. \( a \)  | -1.80 \( b \) |

Notes:–n denotes the number of polymorphic contigs per genome.

\( F_{is} \) is only computed for nuclear contigs.

\( b \) we report a single value for the concatenated contigs of each organelle (no SE reported).
mitochondria and to chloroplasts. We also computed TD on a “supercontig” containing all 32 contigs assigned to organelles, and eliminated redundancies between the two organelles. The chloroplast and mitochondrial genomes exhibited very negative TD values (values for concatenated contigs: mitochondria: \( \pi_s/C0 = -1.93 \); chloroplasts: \( \pi_s/C0 = -1.80 \); and supercontig: \( \pi_s/C0 = -1.98 \)), which corresponded to the 0.8%, 0.27%, and 0.19% most extreme negative values, respectively, observed in the nuclear genome. Tajima’s \( D \) for the chloroplasts lies in the 0.0089th quantile of most negative values observed in the nuclear genome. Tajima’s \( D \) for the mitochondria lies in the 0.0027th quantile of most negative values.

The diversity patterns we report here were obtained from transcriptome sequencing from a sample of genes that are biased towards those with moderate to strong expression levels in leaves. As biasing our sample towards more highly expressed genes might also bias the genome-wide distribution of TD, we addressed this concern by examining how much the expression levels of contigs covaried with the summary statistics we used. We detected a slight tendency for highly expressed genes to have stronger purifying selection as measured by \( \pi_s/\pi_S \): a linear regression of log(expression) explains 5% of the total variation, but a local regression reveals little systematic trend (supplementary figs. 1 and 2, Supplementary Material online). We note that the differences in level of gene expression weakly covaried with TD: a linear regression model with log(expression) explains 4.8% of the total variation in TD, and a local robust regression suggested no discernable trend (supplementary fig. 3, Supplementary Material online). This observation and the strikingly similar TD values for mitochondria and chloroplasts (fig. 3, Supplementary Material online) support our hypothesis that both cytoplasmic genomes can be viewed as a single non-recombining entity.

**Discussion**

Diversity Patterns Are Compatible with a Recent Selective Sweep in Cytoplasmic Genomes

The levels of nucleotide diversity, as measured by \( \pi_S \), are comparable in the mitochondrial and chloroplast genomes and low compared with the diversity observed in nuclear contigs (fig. 2a). This pattern is consistent with selection affecting the cytoplasmic genomes and eliminating nucleotide diversity. This observation could also be explained by a systematically lower per-nucleotide mutation rate of cytoplasmic genes relative to nuclear ones. However, evidence for such a mechanism is indirect, and relies on the difference in substitution rates (Mower et al., 2007; Drouin et al., 2008); in some cases the inferred mutation rates in mitochondria are potentially high (Sloan et al., 2012).

Both cytoplasmic genomes also exhibit significantly negative TD compared with the nuclear genome values (fig. 3), which is incompatible with the positive TD predicted by
scenario B (maintenance of CMS alleles via balancing selection), but consistent with scenario A, in which a new CMS lineage has recently swept to fixation. Under “balancing selection” we expect at least two distinct CMS lineages to segregate at intermediate frequencies in both local and global (species-wide) populations. Using 20% as a threshold for the frequency of the least common CMS lineage maintained by balancing selection, the probability of missing a second CMS lineage in a sample of 18 individuals is only 0.818–0.02. Our sampling scheme, and the fact that sufficient nucleotide diversity is available, ensures that we have adequate power to distinguish between scenarios.

Population subdivision, range expansion, and sampling all influence the SFS and TD values (Städler et al., 2009). Our sample probably does not comply with the assumption of a single nonsubdivided population. Indeed, the empirical distribution of TD values for the nuclear genome exhibits a slightly positive mean and a positive skew (fig. 3). A bottleneck followed by a population expansion generates an excess of rare variants (relative to a stable population), which will also yield more negative TD values than expected (Tajima, 1989; Städler et al., 2009). However, demographic history is unlikely to explain the negative TD in the cytoplasmic contigs, as it should affect nucleotide diversity in all contigs (both nuclear and cytoplasmic). This is clearly not the case as the mean TD in the nuclear contigs is slightly positive (table 3). Molecular variation patterns resulting from balancing selection should only be weakly affected by population structure; old alleles maintained by balancing selection can survive speciation and result in trans-species polymorphism (Delph and Kelly, 2014). Even in the presence of subdivided populations, scenario B would still predict positive, not negative, TD values in the cytoplasmic genomes. Therefore, only scenario A can account for the patterns in the data, perhaps complicated by an unknown regional population structure.

Relative to the nuclear genome, nucleotide diversity in both chloroplasts and mitochondria are more likely to be affected by linked selection (e.g., selective sweeps or background selection). Theoretical studies have shown that while these processes can reduce neutral diversity, TD is relatively insensitive to background selection (Charlesworth et al., 1995). Therefore, stronger background selection in cytoplasmic genes relative to nuclear genes might contribute to the differences in $p_{rs}$, but is unlikely to account for the strongly negative TD values observed in the cytoplasmic contigs relative to the nuclear genome. Few recent studies have extensively compared nucleotide diversity in nuclear versus cytoplasmic plant genomes, yet a study in two sister species of Arabidopsis found no such traces of cytoplasmic selection (Wright et al., 2008).

Evidence for Selective Sweeps versus Balancing Selection in Other Species

Although our data refute the scenario of long-term balancing selection in cytoplasmic genes, they cannot prove that the detected selective sweep signal is uniquely driven by the dynamics of CMS genes in maintaining gynodioecy and is not instead due to cytoplasmic genes involved in other types of adaptation. We hence review the empirical evidence for selective sweeps or balancing selection in other plant species where CMS is known.

The theory predicts that when a CMS gene sweeps to fixation together with the nuclear allele that restores male function, the population returns to being composed of hermaphrodites until a new CMS gene enters the populations via mutation or migration (Frank, 1989). These hermaphrodites are expected to carry “hidden” CMS genes (termed “hidden” because individuals harboring these CMS genes also carry a nuclear restorer), which can be uncovered in crosses with individuals having a different nuclear background. Empirical studies have shown examples of hidden CMS genes in a number of crop (e.g., maize, rice, and sunflower) and noncrop (e.g., Nemophila menziesii) species (Schnable and Wise, 1998; Barr, 2004; Chen and Liu, 2014). The expected footprint of the CMS genes in these examples is that of a selective sweep, similar to what we have documented.

In previous studies of the nucleotide diversity of natural populations of Beta vulgaris and several species of Silene to infer the mechanisms underlying the maintenance of gynodioecy, some find evidence of balancing selection (reviewed in Delph and Kelly, 2014) but one reports evidence for recurrent sweeps in Silene (Ingvarsson and Taylor, 2002). Virtually all published studies use only a few (5–10) gene fragments from mitochondrial (e.g., Städler and Delph, 2002) or chloroplast genomes (Fénart et al., 2006), but never use nuclear diversity as a control. One exception is Lahiani et al. (2013), who reported the TD for four nuclear fragments, two mitochondrial gene fragments, and four chloroplast fragments in Silene. No consistent trend towards a negative TD was detected in the cytoplasmic fragments.

Connection with Previous Studies on Cytoplasmic Diversity in Thymus vulgaris

Cytoplasmic male sterility in natural T. vulgaris populations has been previously studied using plants originating from the same geographic region as those used in the present study. These studies relied on intra and interpopulation crosses and backcrosses, and the genotyping of individuals from these crosses using restriction fragment length polymorphism in mitochondrial DNA. These investigations document the existence of several different mitochondrial molecular types. Although this is not in itself evidence of an equally high number of functionally different CMS lineages, these results suggest the presence of multiple CMS lineages in the region, not just across but also within populations (Belhassen et al., 1991, 1993; Manicacci et al., 1996).
It is worth considering what patterns of nucleotide diversity would be expected if multiple CMS lineages were present. If two CMS lineages were present in the sample of 18 individuals and both coexisted for sufficient generations (in a coalescence timescale), the underlying genealogy would exhibit long internal branches separating the two CMS lineages. This situation would generate a sizeable amount of polymorphism at intermediate frequencies, generating a positive TD. Instead, our data is compatible with a recent sweep of cytoplasmic genomes.

An intriguing but theoretically plausible explanation is that because mutations in numerous cytoplasmic genes can cause CMS, different CMS lineages could be created on the backbone of a recently swept cytoplasmic lineage (scenario 7 in Frank, 1989). This idea remains speculative as it assumes a high cytoplasmic mutation rate for a new CMS. Cytoplasmic diversity data does not allow any inference of the age and strength of a sweep, so we cannot infer, even crudely, the number of CMS types that may have survived the selective sweep we have detected.

If truly distinct CMS lineages exist in the populations (Manicacci et al., 1996), we predict that many types should be recently derived via new mutations from a previous (possibly restored) type. Further studies should explore the extent to which this selective sweep pattern is also found on a wider geographic scale in T. vulgaris and in other species of the genus Thymus.
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