INTRODUCTION: We investigated the antianxiety and sedative effects of the essential oil of Ducrosia anethifolia. Boiss. (Apiaceae).

METHODS: We used elevated plus maze, spontaneous motor activity and ketamine-induced sleep tests in mice. In addition, the essential oil was analyzed by GC/MS. Twenty compounds were identified, and n-decanal (70.1%) and alpha-pinene (12.4%) constituted the major components.

RESULTS: In elevated plus maze, Ducrosia anethifolia essential oil at doses of 25–200 mg/kg increased the percentage of open arm time and entries. Unlike diazepam, Ducrosia anethifolia essential oil could not suppress spontaneous motor activity and did not alter ketamine-induced sleep parameters. These results are indicative of antianxiety effect of Ducrosia anethifolia essential oil without sedative effect.
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INTRODUCTION

There are many medicinal plants that have stimulating or calming effects on the central nervous system,1 and the plant kingdom provides hundreds of CNS active substances covering the whole spectrum of activity such as psycho-analeptic, psycholeptic, and psychodysleptic (hallucinogenic) effects.2

Ducrosia anethifolia (DC.) Boiss. is one of these medicinal plants that belongs to the Apiaceae family. It is one of the three species of Iranian Ducrosia species growing wild in several areas of the country.3,5 This aromatic herb is distributed in Afghanistan, Pakistan, Syria, Lebanon, Iraq, and some other Arab states and countries along the Persian Gulf.6 Ducrosia anethifolia is commonly known in Iran as Moshgak, Roshgak, and Moshkbu.3,5 The whole herb – especially its aerial parts – has been used in Iranian folklore medicine as an analgesic and pain reliever for headache, backache, colic, and colds. In some regions of Iran, it is claimed to be especially effective against anxiety and insomnia. This herb is added to a variety of Persian foods for flavoring.3,6

In pharmacological and biological tests, extracts and fractions of D. anethifolia and some other species of Ducrosia are reported to have antimicrobial, antymycobacterial, antifungal, and CNS depressant effects.7,9 Phytochemical studies on D. anethifolia reveal that aliphatic aldehydes and other monoterpenic hydrocarbons in its essential oil, and coumarins such as pangelin are the main components of the aerial parts.6,8-10 A few reports on the analysis of the essential oil of other Ducrosia species have been published, and these species contain some similar biologically active compounds.7,11-12

The present study was carried out in an attempt to investigate the potential anxiolytic and sedative effects of D. anethifolia essential oil, as an outstanding fraction of the plant, in mice using elevated plus maze (EPM), spontaneous motor activity, and ketamine-induced sleep tests. In addition, we describe the essential oil constituents identified by GC/MS analysis, because knowledge of the chemical composition of a given plant is required in order to extrapolate the proposed mechanism of actions to its possible in vivo efficacy or safety.13

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant material and preparation of essential oil

Fresh aerial parts (leaves and flowers) of D. anethifolia, growing wild in Lalehzar mountainous area in Kerman province, Iran, were collected at an altitude of ca. 2800 m. The plant’s identity as D. anethifolia was confirmed by the herbarium department of Iranian Research Institute of Forests and Rangelands, Karaj, Iran. A voucher specimen of the plant was deposited in the herbarium of School of...
Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran, for future evidence.

The essential oil was isolated by hydrodistillation of the plant’s fresh-cut aerial parts for 4 h, and then was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate\(^{14}\) and stored in a refrigerator (4°C).

**Essential oil analysis**

The oil was analyzed by GC/MS using a Hewlett Packard 6890 mass selective detector coupled with a Hewlett Packard 6890 gas chromatograph, equipped with a cross-linked 5% PH ME siloxane HP-5MS capillary column (30 m \(\times\) 0.25 mm, film thickness 0.25 µm). Operating conditions were as follows: carrier gas, helium with a flow rate of 2 ml/min; column temperature, 60–275°C at 4°C/min; injector and detector temperatures, 280°C; volume injected, 0.1 µL of the oil; split ratio, 150. The MS operating parameters were as follows: ionization potential, 70 ev; ionization current, 2 A; ion source temperature, 200°C; resolution, 1000.

Identification of the components in the oil was based on retention indices relative to \(n\)-alkanes and computer matching with the WILEY 275L library, as well as by comparison of the fragmentation patterns of the mass spectra with those reported in the literature.\(^{15,16}\)

**Elevated plus-maze**

The EPM test is described in detail elsewhere.\(^{17,18}\) Briefly, the apparatus was comprised of two open arms (35 cm \(\times\) 5 cm) and two closed arms (30 cm \(\times\) 5 cm \(\times\) 15 cm) that extended from a common central platform (5 cm \(\times\) 5 cm). The floor and the walls of each arm were wooden and painted black. The entire maze was elevated to a height of 60 cm above floor level as validated and described by Lister.\(^{19}\) Experiments were conducted in a quiet room that was illuminated by only a dim light. Male Swiss mice were orally pretreated with vehicle or different doses of the essential oil 30 min before their placement on the EPM. A group of animals received diazepam (3 mg/kg, p.o.) as reference drug. To begin a test session, mice were placed in the center of the maze facing one of the open arms. An entry into an arm was defined as the animal placing all four paws over the line marking that area. The number of entries and the time spent in the open and closed arms were recorded during a 5 min test period. The percentage of open arm entries (100× open/total entries) was calculated for each animal. Between each trial, the maze was wiped clean with a damp sponge and dried with paper towels.

**Locomotor activity**

Spontaneous locomotor activity was measured using the locomotor activity apparatus, which consisted of a black painted wooden cage (60 cm \(\times\) 20 cm \(\times\) 30 cm) equipped with infrared photobeams placed above the cage.\(^{20}\) The units of the activity counts were arbitrary and based on the beam breaks by movement of the mice. Vehicle, DAEO (200 and 400 mg/kg) or diazepam (3 mg/kg), was orally administered 30 min prior to placing the mice in the center of the apparatus, and the locomotor activity was measured at 5 min interval for a period of 15 min. Six mice were used for each treatment group. The treatments were randomized throughout the day, between 08:00 and 13:00 h, to control for diurnal variations in activity.

Ketamine-induced sleeping time

The effect of plant essential oil on ketamine-induced sleeping time was measured as described previously.\(^{21}\) Four groups of mice (n=6) were orally pretreated with vehicle, DAEO (200 and 400 mg/kg) or diazepam (3 mg/kg) one hour prior to ketamine injection (100 mg/kg, i.p.). The interval between the administrations of ketamine until the loss of the righting reflex was recorded as onset of sleep, while the time from the loss to regaining of the righting reflex was recorded as the duration of sleep.\(^{22}\)

**Acute toxicity test**

To obtain an estimate of lethal dose, two groups of normal healthy male mice, each containing 6 animals, were given DAEO at doses of 1600 and 2400 mg/kg. After the administration of the DAEO, the mice were observed for any signs of toxicity and mortality for 48 h.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with post hoc Tukey test. \(P < 0.05\) was considered significant. All data are expressed as mean ± S.E.M.

**RESULTS**

**Analysis of the essential oil**

The fresh aerial parts of the plant yielded 1.4% (v/w) of a yellowish essential oil with a fresh-scented odor and a predominant aromatic taste. Twenty components were characterized, representing 98.1% of the total oil components detected, which are listed in Table 1 with their percentage composition and retention indices. Among them, n-decanal (70.1%), alpha-pinene (12.4%), and dodecanal (5.4%) were the major components. Other natural constituents formed less than 2% of the total or were present only in trace amounts.

**Table 1 – Composition of the essential oil of ducrosia anethifolia fresh aerial parts.**

| No. | Compound                  | Retention Index | Percentage |
|-----|--------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| 1   | n-nonane                 | 890             | 0.2        |
| 2   | alpha-pinene             | 930             | 12.4       |
| 3   | sabinene                 | 968             | 0.1        |
| 4   | beta-pinene              | 972             | 0.2        |
| 5   | myrcene                  | 986             | 0.5        |
| 6   | n-octanal                | 997             | 0.2        |
| 7   | para-cymene              | 1021            | 0.1        |
| 8   | limonene                 | 1026            | 0.5        |
| 9   | trans-beta-ocimene       | 1032            | 0.9        |
| 10  | gamma-terpinene          | 1056            | 0.3        |
| 11  | alpha-terpinolene        | 1081            | 1.7        |
| 12  | citronellal              | 1145            | 0.3        |
| 13  | n-decanal                | 1199            | 70.1       |
| 14  | beta-citronellol         | 1223            | 0.2        |
| 15  | trans-chrysanthemyl acetate | 1231         | 1.9        |
| 16  | undecanal                | 1298            | 0.5        |
| 17  | n-undecanal              | 1371            | 1.1        |
| 18  | dodecanal                | 1405            | 5.4        |
| 19  | beta-caryophyllene       | 1416            | 0.9        |
| 20  | pentadecane              | 1503            | 0.6        |
Effects of Ducrosia anethifolia essential oil in EPM test

Various doses of DAEO (2.5, 10, 25, 50, 100, 200 and 400 mg/kg) were tested on the EPM. As shown in Figure 1, in control animals, the time spent in the open arms was 18%. DAEO at doses of 2.5 and 5 mg/kg did not produce a significant change of time spent in the open arms, while other doses (25, 50, 100, 200, and 400 mg/kg) significantly (p < 0.05) increased this parameter. Diazepam (3 mg/kg) also significantly (p < 0.05) increased the time spent in the open arms by 104% in comparison with the control group (Fig. 1).

Effects of DAEO on the percent of open arm entries can be seen in Figure 2. Again, DAEO at doses of 2.5 and 10 mg/kg did not produce a significant change of this parameter. Compared with control group, DAEO at doses of 25, 50, 100, 200, and 400 mg/kg increased the time spent in open arm entries by 169%, 171%, 286%, 364%, and 229%, respectively. These changes were significant (p < 0.05) for doses of 25 to 200 mg/kg. Diazepam also significantly (p < 0.05) increased this parameter by 229%.

Effect of Ducrosia anethifolia essential oil on spontaneous locomotor activity

The effect of treatments on locomotor activity was measured at 5 min intervals and during a 15 min period using locomotor activity apparatus. Locomotor activity was decreased in animals orally pretreated with DAEO at doses of 200 and 400 mg/kg compared with saline treated controls; however, these changes were not statistically significant (Fig. 3). Administration of diazepam at a dose of 3 mg/kg significantly (p < 0.01) suppressed the locomotor activity (Fig. 3).
Effect of *Ducrosia anethifolia* essential oil on latency to sleep

Vehicle, DAEO or diazepam, was given one hour prior to ketamine injection, and the latency to loss of righting reflex was measured. In control animals, the righting reflex was lost after 149 s of ketamine injection. DAEO at doses of 200 and 400 mg/kg suppressed the latency to sleep by 23% and 27%, respectively, but these changes were not significant. Diazepam (3 mg/kg) as the reference drug significantly (p < 0.01) reduced the latency to sleep by 40% (Fig. 4A).

Effect of *Ducrosia anethifolia* essential oil on sleeping time

Vehicle, DAEO or diazepam, was given one hour prior to ketamine injection and the total sleep time was measured. The mean of total sleep time in control animals was 1192 s. DAEO at doses of 200 and 400 mg/kg increased the ketamine-induced sleep time by 22% and 20%, respectively. Diazepam produced a significant (p < 0.01) increase of sleep time by 57% (Fig. 4B).

Acute toxicity test

DAEO at doses of 1600 and 2400 mg/kg did not affect the vital signs of animals and during a 48-hour observation period did not cause any mortality.

**DISCUSSION**

A search for medicinal plants is still important and might provide a useful source for therapy and prevention of diseases or, alternatively, as simple dietary adjuncts to existing therapies. The aim of the present study was to evaluate the anxiolytic effects of *D. anethifolia* essential oil in mice. Different doses of the essential oil were tested on the EPM. Doses of 2.5 and 10 mg/kg were ineffective. The anxiolytic effect started at a dose of 25 mg/kg and increased in the dose range of 50–200 mg/kg. As expected, diazepam as a benzodiazepine drug produced significant increases in the percentage of open arm time and entries into the open arms. These data are consistent with the results of numerous previous studies, which have shown that diazepam and other benzodiazepines produce significant anxiolytic effects in a variety of anxiolytic screening procedures, including elevated plus-maze test procedures. Benzodiazepines mainly exert their anxiolytic effects via interaction with gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) receptors. Since DAEO, unlike diazepam, could not affect ketamine-induced sleep time and spontaneous motor activity, it seems that DAEO has a different mechanism of action. In addition to benzodiazepines, buspirone, and antidepressant drugs are commonly used for treating anxiety.

Buspirone has partial agonistic activity at 5HT1A receptors, and tricyclic antidepressants and selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors inhibit synaptic reuptake of norepinephrine and serotonin to different extents. It is not clear which of the above-mentioned mechanisms explains the anxiolytic effect of DAEO; further studies are needed to clarify the exact mechanism.

This is the first study that shows an effect of DAEO on the central nervous system. The pharmacological studies on another species of *Ducrosia* – namely *D. ismaelis* – show it to be a highly significant dose-dependent central nervous system depressant with marked neuromuscular blocking actions for its essential oil. Other experiments on *D. ismaelis* essential oil show a parasympatholytic activity on smooth muscles and heart.

According to the results of GC/MS analysis, DAEO consists of about 20 compounds and n-decanal (70.1%) and alpha-pinene (12.4%) were the major components, and these two compounds may have the greatest role in these pharmacological effects. A complete survey of the literature shows that the anxiolytic effects of these two compounds has yet to be studied. Satou et al. (2010) have recently described the anxiolytic effect for *Alpinia zerumbet* essential oil, which also contains alpha-pinene. Since this compound is commonly found in essential oils of both plants, it may be responsible for a part of the observed effect, although further detailed study is needed to confirm this theory.
In conclusion, DAEO shows antianxiety effect and does not cause sedation – as confirmed by ketamine-induced sleep time and spontaneous motor activity tests – and it may have some advantages when compared with available chemical antianxiety drugs. However, further studies are needed to determine the possible mechanism of actions of DAEO, and their potential for clinical use needs to be demonstrated in clinical trials.
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