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Анализ морфологических и молекулярно-генетических признаков видовой идентификации моллюсков *Succinea putris* (Succineidae)

Е.Е. Прохорова, Р.Р. Усманова, Г.Л. Атаев

Российский государственный педагогический университет им. А.И. Герцена, наб. реки Мойки, д. 48, Санкт-Петербург, 191186 Россия. E-mail: ataev@herzen.spb.ru

РЕЗЮМЕ: Выполнен анализ морфологического и генотипического разнообразия моллюсков *Succinea putris* (L., 1758) собранных на территории Северо-Запада России и Белоруссии. Достоверных различий по морфологическим признакам между улитками разных популяций не выявлено. Показана идентичность исследованных
Introduction

Land snails *Succinea putris* (L., 1758) are common in the Northern Hemisphere. First described in Europe by Linnaeus as *Helix putris*, they were later mentioned in the faunistic list of molluscs of France under the name of *Succinea amphibia* (Draparnaud, 1801). The name *S. putris* was adopted after the list of pulmonate molluscs of Great Britain was published by the Linnean Society of London (Jeffreys, 1833).

Besides their broad occurrence, amber snails are known as hosts of unique parasites, sporocysts of trematodes from the genus *Leucochloridium* (Ataev et al., 2016). The body of these sporocysts is represented by a stolon located in the region of the snail’s hepatopancreas. The sporocyst broodsacs are filled with metacercariae. In some *Leucochloridium* species the broodsacs outgrow into the snail’s eye tentacles. Mature broodsacs have a species-specific colour and pattern of the covers, and can pulsate, which makes them look like caterpillars. Insectivorous birds readily peck at the broodsacs and become infected with the metacercariae. This phenomenon has attracted much scientific attention, and species of *Leucochloridium* have been studied worldwide (Heneberg et al., 2016; Ataev et al., 2016; Prokhorova et al., 2017; Nakao et al., 2019). The best known species of the genus are *L. paradoxum* Carus, 1835, which has green broodsacs, and *L. pertrubatum* Pojmanska, 1967, whose broodsacs are brown. These two species are characterised by a low genotypic variability (Prokhorova et al., 2017; Nakao et al., 2019).

Trematodes of the genus *Leucochloridium* mainly parasitize *Succinea putris* although they have been occasionally reported from *S. oblonga* Draparnaud, 1801, *S. ovalis* Say, 1817, *S. arenaria* Potiez, Michaud, 1838, *Oxyloma hirasei* Pilsbry, 1901, *O. retusa* Lea, 1834, and some other snails (Enigk, 1932; Gower, 1936; Lewis, 1974; Pojmanska, 1978; Nakao et al., 2019). Though data on the development and biology of sporocysts of the genus *Leucochloridium* are scarce, it is evident that their host-parasite relationships with the snails are very specific, implying a morphological and physiological stability of the host.

The aim of our study was to assess morphological and genetic diversity of *S. putris*. Snails for the study were collected in Russia (Kalinigrad, Leningrad, Kirov and Moscow Regions).
**Table 1. Primers used for genotyping snails** *Succinea putris*.

| Gene           | Nucleotide sequences of forward (F) and reverse (R) primers | Annealing temp., °C |
|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 18S-ITS1-5.8 S | F: 5’ TCGGATTTGGTCGTTCTCGT 3’
                  | R: 5’ GGGTTAAGATTCGATTTTTC 3’                               | 57                  |
| ITS2-5.8S-28S  | F: 5’ TTGCAGAACACATGACATCG 3’
                  | R: 5’ GGAGTTTACCACCCGGTTTC 3’                               | 57                  |
| CytB           | F: 5’ GATTCTTTAGTTATGTTTTACGT 3’
                  | R: 5’ GGGAAATAGATCATTCAGGTTTC 3’                             | 54.3                |
| COI            | F: 5’ GGTCAACAAATCATAAGATATTGG 3’
                  | R: 5’ TAAACTTACAGGTACCCAAAAATCA 3’                           | 56.2                |

**Morphological and molecular genetic characters of** *Succinea putris*.

Molecular genetic analysis. Snails for molecular-genetic analysis were removed from the shell and stored at –80 °C. DNA was extracted from each snail individually by phenol-chloroform extraction (Sambrook, Russel, 2001).

Each sample was genotyped by three molecular genetic markers: nucleotide sequence of rDNA (ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 region), mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase subunit I (COI) gene and cytochrome B (CytB) gene.

For genotyping of the rDNA region, we used primers constructed earlier for molluscs *Planorbarius corneus* Linnaeus, 1758 (Prokhorova et al., 2015). Primers for CytB and COI genes were chosen with the help of Primer3 software (http://primer3.ut.ee) and GeneRunner software (http://www.generunner.net) using the nucleotide sequence of *Succinea putris* (JN627206.1). Nucleotide sequences of the primers and operating temperatures of annealing are given in Table 1.

All PCR amplifications were performed with Taq DNA polymerase (Thermo Scientific), using 20 µl reaction volume, including 15.8 µl sterilized distilled water, 2 µl of Taq10x buffer, 1 µl of DNA (10 mM), 0.2 µl of dNTPs (2 mM), 4 µl of each primer (10 nM) and 0.2 µl (5 U/µl) of Taq polymerase. The fragments were amplified in a Tercyc amplifier (DNA-Technology, Russia) with an initial denaturation at 94 °C for 3 min, followed by 35–40 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s, annealing for 30 s, then elongating at 72 °C for 1 min, with a final elongation at 72 °C for 7 min after the last cycle.

**Material and methods**

Snails used in this study were collected in 2011–2017 in six geographical localities: Leningrad Region (59°24’42.3″N 30°19’08.1″E), Kirov Region (58°47’13.7″N 52°14’38.0″E), Moscow Region (55°33’52.4″N 37°54’45.6″E), Kaliningrad Region (55°08’48.9″N 20°49’41.3″E) of the Russian Federation and Vitebsk Region (54°43’38.1″N 29°42’31.0″E) and Gomel Region (53°29’32.9″N 30°17’00.8″E) of the Republic of Belarus.

**Morphological methods.** For morphological study, snails were fixed in 70% ethanol and dissected under a Leica M165C stereomicroscope. The shell was removed, the mantle fold was cut out, and the epithelium lining the bottom of the mantle cavity was removed. Dissection proceeded in the direction of the top of the visceral sac, with the last organ dissected being the head.

Shells, radulae and jaws of the snails were dehydrated in an ascending alcohol series, gold-coated with the help of a sputter coater (Quorum Technologies SC7620) and studied under the scanning electron microscope EVO-40 (Carl Zeiss). Morphometric analysis of the shells was performed with the help of Past 3.26 software (https://folk.uio.no/ohammer/past/).
Electrophoretic analysis of the PCR-products was performed in 1.4% agarose gel in TBE buffer. Samples of the obtained PCR products were sequenced using an ABI PRISM 310 sequencer (AppliedBiosystems).

Assembly and multiple alignment of nucleotide sequences and analysis of the chromatograms were performed with the use of BioEdit software (http://www.mbio.ncsu.edu, Hall, 1999). To establish homology of the nucleotide sequences, BLAST software at the NCBI server was used (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). Specimen information and GenBank accession numbers are given in Appendix 1.

An optimal mathematical model for calculating genetic distances was chosen using Akaike information criterion (AIC) and Bayesian information criterion (BIC) with the help of jModelTest 2.1.7 software (Darriba et al., 2012). The best-fitting model for ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 partition was GTR+I+G (gamma = 2.2), for COI partition, HKY+G (gamma = 0.33), for Cyt B partition, HKY+I (Kimura, 1980, Hasegawa et al., 1985).

Mega version 7.0 software was used for maximum-likelihood phylogenetic reconstructions (Kumar et al., 2016), and for calculation of the number of variable polymorphic sites (S), nucleotide diversity per site (P), the average number of nucleotide differences (k), and pairwise genetic divergence (p-distance).

Bayesian analyses was performed with the BEAST 2.5 software packages (Bouckaert et al., 2019). Visualisation of phylogenetic trees was performed using a graphical viewer of phylogenetic trees TreeAnnotator 1.1.4 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/). Bootstrap branch support (BS) levels for maximum-likelihood and Bayesian analyses were estimated with 1000 replicates (Felsenstein, 1985). The choice of the outgroups was mainly based on the presence and the completeness of nucleotide
sequences of the studied genome regions (Appendix 1).

Statistical haplotype networks were constructed using the PopArt computer program (Leigh, Bryant, 2015) with the use of median joining and TSC. Calculations of haplotype and nucleotide diversity indices were implemented using DNAsp v.6 (Rozas et al., 2017).

The folding of the sequences into putative secondary structures was performed with the help of Mfold version 3.0 (http://mfold.rit.albany.edu).

**Results**

**Morphological analysis.** The shell of snails *S. putris* is conispiral, dextral, ovate, thin-walled (Fig. 1A–B). The number of whorls varies from 3 to 5. The surface of embryonic whorls is covered with numerous depressions, while the surface of the following whorls bears grooves perpendicular to the columella. Most of the shell is made up by the last whorl, which opens with a large oval aperture strongly tapering near the columella.

The maximum shell size of the snails from the studied populations was 20.5 × 11.7 mm (Gomel Region). The average shell size was determined for the snails from five regions: Moscow, Kirov and Leningrad, Kaliningrad Region (Russia) and Vitebsk Region (Belarus). It is shown in Fig. 2 as median values and interquartile ranges (25th and 75th percentiles). Median values of the shell height of snails from the four populations mentioned above were 12.3, 10.8, 13.3, 15.0 and 17.8 mm, respectively. Snails from the Leningrad Region population were characterised by the greatest variation of shell size.

Comparing the proportions of snail shells from different populations, we used the shell index (shell width: shell height) and the aperture index (aperture width: aperture height). The analysis was performed with the help of the Kruskall-Wallis test with subsequent pairwise post hoc comparison of groups with the help of Dann’s test. The results indicate that shells from the Belarus population were significantly different from the other shells. We also found differences in the shell indices between snails from the Kirovsk population and snails from the Moscow population. No statistically significant differences in the aperture index were found between the populations.
The shells of snails from all the populations were semi-transparent, light yellowish in colour. The colouration of the snails varied from light yellow to dark brown or even black (Fig. 1) due to the differences in the pigmentation level of the surface epithelium.

The jaw of the snails was represented by a rectangular plate bearing a protrusion with an arched cutting edge (Fig. 3C). The latter bore a central projection with lateral rounded folds.

Radular formula is 22:12:12:1:12:22 (n=3). The central tooth bears three cusps, the middle one being the longest (Fig. 3A, B). Lateral teeth have a large mesocone and a smaller ectocone. An underdeveloped endocone is represented by a small protrusion on the median side of the tooth. Marginal teeth are distinguished by the presence of small cusps in place of the ectocone. Differentiation into endo-, meso- and ectocone becomes indistinct towards the lateral edge of the radula.

Ovotestis, its duct and the seminal vesicle have a structure typical of the pulmonates and are located in the upper coils of the visceral sac (Fig. 4). The hermaphroditic duct opens into the carrefour, with which two receptacula seminis, lying in a depression of a massive albumen gland, are connected. The genital duct continues with a spermoviduct, the latter separating into the oviduct and the seminal duct in the area of the rounded prostate gland. The oviduct has a folded structure and becomes a short vaginal duct at the site of the joining of the duct of the receptaculum seminis.

The penis has a muscular sheath and is subdivided into the anterior, the posterior and the intercalary part. The internal epithelium of the penis has numerous grooves and papillae. The retractor attached to the penis sheath embraces the seminal duct in the distal part.

**Molecular genetic analysis.** We obtained nucleotide sequences of the rDNA region (1837 bp) including an 18S fragment (partly)-ITS1-5.8S-ITS2-28S (partly), a COI gene fragment (958 bp) and a CytB gene fragment (455 bp) of all studied snails. All the sequences of snails S.
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Fig. 4. General morphology of *Succinea putris*.

Abbreviations: a — albumen gland; b — buccal mass; c — crop; dp — distal part of penis; g — gonad; h — hepatopancreas; i — intestine; o — oesophagus; pg — prostate gland; pp — proximal part of penis; r — rectum; rc — receptaculum complex; rm — retractor muscle; s — spermatheca; sd — spermathecal duct; sg — salivary gland; sv — seminal vesicle; u — uterus; v — vagina; vd — vas deferens.

No intraspecies polymorphisms were found in the ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 region of rDNA in the studied snails. Homology between the nucleotide sequences of snails from different populations made up 100%, indicating that the specimens belonged to the same species (Table 2). Only ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 fragment was used for the phylogenetic reconstruction based on rDNA. Nucleotide sequences of molluscs from the families Planorbidae, Bulinidae, Helicidae and

*putris* obtained in this study were deposited in GenBank, and their nucleotide composition and polymorphisms were characterised (Appendix 1, Table 2, Figs 5–10).
Bradybaenidae were used as outgroups (Appendix 1, Fig. 8). The choice of the outgroups was mainly based on the presence and the completeness of nucleotide sequences of the studied genome regions. On the phylogenetic reconstruction based on rDNA region, all the sequences of *Succinea putris* snails from this study grouped into the same clade and form a common branch with *S. striata*, the only closely related species genotyped by the same genome region (Fig. 8).

The interspecific differences in the ITS2 nucleotide sequences of *S. putris* and *S. striata* were visualised on topological maps of their secondary structures. Primary sequences of rRNA were transformed into putative secondary structures at the folding temperature of 20 °C. The structure chosen had the highest negative free energy. The predicted secondary structures of *S. putris* and *S. striata* differed considerably, which was mostly due to the different length of ITS2: 529 nucleotides in *S. putris* and 545 nucleotides in *S. striata*. The structure of most spiral helices in ITS2 transcripts of *S. putris* and *S. striata* is similar. For instance, helix I of *S. putris* is identical to helix II of *S. striata*. Helices II, IV and V of *S. putris* correspond to helices I, IV and V of *S. striata*. They have the same nucleotide sequences at the ends and the same loops along their length. However, the number of spiral helices was five for *S. putris* and six for *S. striata* (Fig. 5). Thus, ITS2 secondary structures in these snails are noticeably different (see Discussion).

The haplotype network was constructed based on all COI and CytB nucleotide sequences of *S. putris* previously deposited in GenBank and having sufficient length for alignment with the sequences obtained in this study (Appendix 1). Nineteen sequences were used for the construction of the haplotype network based on the sequences of CytB gene fragment (Appendix). Eighteen of them were obtained in this study, while one sequence was obtained in a study of the complete mitochondrial genome of a single *S. putris* specimen from France (White et al., 2011). Final alignment included 452 nucleotides and revealed 11 haplotypes. The French specimen was represented by a separate haplotype (Hap_11), situated at a considerable distance from other specimens in the haplotype network. Two main groups of haplotypes could be distinguished in the network (Fig. 6). All the snails from Leningrad Region and Kaliningrad Region grouped together. Haplotypes of the snails from other regions were distributed between the groups. Unique haplotypes were revealed for snails from Kaliningrad Region, Leningrad Region and Moscow Region (Russia) and Gomel Region (Belarus). Average genetic distance between CytB haplotypes in the studied samples was 0.008 (Appendix 2).
Fig. 5. Topological schemes of the secondary structures of ITS2 transcripts of *Succinea striata* (545 bp) and *Succinea putris* (529 bp). The main helices on the secondary structures are numbered starting from the 5′ end.

Fig. 6. Mitochondrial COI haplotype diversity of *Succinea putris*. For haplotype network construction sequences obtained in our research and sequences from GenBank were used. The size of circles in the network is proportional to the frequency of the haplotype. Solid circles indicate unobserved haplotypes. Every mutation is shown as one hatch mark. Colour codes reflect the population groupings (see designations). For sample codes see Table 3.
Table 3. Estimates of COI gene genetic diversity in *Succinea putris* within studied geographic regions.

| Country | Region (designation of population) | No. of specimens | Sh (no. of haplotypes) | Hd (haplotype diversity) | Pi (nucleotide diversity) |
|---------|------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Russia  | Leningrad (Rus_L)                  | 3                | 3 (Hap_2,6,7)          | 1.0                      | 0.01180                   |
|         | Kaliningrad (Rus_Kd)               | 3                | 2 (Hap_1,12)           | 0.667                    | 0.00354                   |
|         | Kirov (Rus_K)                      | 3                | 2 (Hap_11,13)          | 0.667                    | 0.0354                    |
|         | Moscow (Rus_M)                     | 3                | 3 (Hap_1,2,3)          | 1.0                      | 0.00472                   |
| Belarus | Gomel (Bel_G)                      | 3                | 2 (Hap_1,11,15)        | 0.667                    | 0.00236                   |
|         | Vitebsk (Bel_V)                    | 3                | 1 (Hap_11,15)          | 0.0000                   | 0.0000                    |
| Canada  | Ontario, Rouge National Urban Park (Can_O_RNUP) | 23 | 4 (Hap_1,2,3,4) | 0.4466 | 0.00315 |
|         | Ontario, Claireville (Can_O_Cla)   | 4                | 1 (Hap_1)              | 0.0000                   | 0.0000                    |
|         | Ontario, Cambridge (Can_O_Cam)     | 32               | 5 (Hap_1,2,3,4,5)      | 0.7238                   | 0.00920                   |
|         | Ontario, Kortright (Can_O_Kor)     | 4                | 2 (Hap_2,4)            | 0.667                    | 0.00236                   |
|         | Ontario, Seneca (Can_O_Sen)        | 4                | 1 (Hap_4)              | 0.0000                   | 0.0000                    |
|         | New Brunswick (Can_NB)             | 4                | 2 (Hap_3,4)            | 0.500                    | 0.00088                   |
|         | Canada: Ontario, Speed river trail (Can_O_Srt) | 2 | 1 (Hap_4) | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|         | Ontario, McMichael (Can_O_MM)      | 1                | 1 (Hap_4)              | 0.0000                   | 0.0000                    |
|         | Ontario (Can_O)                    | 1                | 1 (Hap_4)              | 0.0000                   | 0.0000                    |
|         | Prince Edward Island (Can_PEI)     | 1                | 1 (Hap_4)              | 0.0000                   | 0.0000                    |
|         | Ontario, Nashville (Can_O_Nash)    | 1                | 1 (Hap_4)              | 0.0000                   | 0.0000                    |
| France  | Fr                                 | 1                | 1 (Hap_18)             | 0                        | 0                         |
Table 4. Estimates of CytB gene genetic diversity in *Succinea putris* within studied geographic regions.

| Country | Region (designation of population) | No. of specimens | Sh (no. of haplotypes) | Hd (haplotype diversity) | Pi (nucleotide diversity) |
|---------|------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Russia  | Leningrad (Rus_L)                  | 3                | 2 (Hap_6,7)            | 0.667                    | 0.00737                   |
|         | Kaliningrad (Rus_Kd)               | 3                | 1 (Hap_7)              | 0                        | 0                         |
|         | Kirov (Rus_K)                      | 3                | 3 (Hap_3,4,5)          | 1.000                    | 0.00737                   |
|         | Moscow (Rus_M)                     | 3                | 3 (Hap_1,2,3)          | 1.0000                   | 0.0059                    |
| Belarus | Gomel (Bel_G)                      | 3                | 3 (Hap_8,9,10)         | 1.0000                   | 0.00737                   |
|         | Vitebsk (Bel_V)                    | 4                | 2 (Hap_3,8)            | 0.500                    | 0.00332                   |
| France  | France (Fr)                        | 1                | 1 (Hap_11)             | 0                        | 0                         |

Fig. 7. Mitochondrial CytB haplotype diversity of *Succinea putris*. For haplotype network construction sequences obtained in our research and sequences from GenBank were used. The size of circles in the network is proportional to the frequency of the haplotype. Solid circles indicate unobserved haplotypes. Every mutation is shown as one hatch mark. Colour codes reflect the population groupings (see designations). For sample codes see Table 3.

Haplotype network of the COI gene was constructed based on 95 sequences of the COI gene fragment, 565 nucleotides in length. Most of the sequences were COI gene fragments of snails from different parts of Canada (Telfer et al., 2015). Two distinct haplotype groups, connected by only two transition haplotypes, can be seen in the network. One of the groups mostly includes Canadian specimens, with the dominance of one haplotype (Hap_4). Two Canadian haplotypes (Hap_7, Hap_8) are located in the network part connecting snails *S. putris* from Europe. Most of the 18 haplotypes revealed in our study are haplotypes of snails collected in Russia and Belarus. As in the case of COI gene, most haplotypes of snails from Leningrad Region and Kaliningrad Region fall into the same subgroup of CytB haplotypes.
Fig. 8. The bayesian phylogenetic reconstruction based on ITS1–5.8S–ITS2 nucleotide sequences of rDNA (1390 bp) of *Succinea putris*. Tree obtained by the maximum likelihood method had the same topology. Number at the branch nodes indicates percentage bootstrap support for 1000 replicates for BI/ML. For sample codes and GenBank numbers see Appendix 1.

One haplotype of snails from Leningrad Region is an exception, being close to a haplotype described from Canada (Hap_9). Snails from Moscow Region were the most genotypically diverse. Snails from Vitebsk Region (Belarus) were monomorphic and represented by a single haplotype Hap_14. Average genetic distance between COI haplotypes in the studied samples was 0.009 (Appendix 3), with the French sample being an outlier (average genetic distance making up 0.053).

The results of phylogenetic reconstructions confirmed the trends in the distribution of haplotypes revealed in the haplotype networks. The specimen from France formed a separate clade (Figs 9, 10).
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Fig. 9. The Bayesian phylogenetic reconstruction based on nucleotide sequences of haplotypes of *Succinea putris* CytB gene fragment. Tree obtained by the maximum likelihood method had the same topology. Number at the branch nodes indicates percentage bootstrap support for 1000 replicates for BI/ML. Hap_1–Hap11-haplotypes CytB gene of *Succinea putris*. The regions where haplotypes were described are designated. For sample codes and GenBank numbers see Appendix 1.

**Discussion**

Morphological analysis of snails *S. putris* collected in Kirovsk, Leningrad and Moscow Regions of Russia and in Vitebsk and Gomel Regions of Belarus did not reveal any statistically significant differences between them. Snails from Vitebsk population were somewhat different in the shell index (Table 1). Some snails from Gomel population had the most pigmented surface epithelium (Fig. 1). However, these differences agree with the species description of
snails *S. putris* based on morphological characters (Patterson, 1971; Schileyko, Likharev, 1986). Such characters as the structure of the jaw, the radula and the reproductive system did not differ in snails from different samples.

For genotyping *S. putris* we used rDNA fragments and fragments of two mitochondrial genes, COI and CytB. The data on each sequence were analysed separately. We did not perform a multigene analysis because no infor-
mation about the nucleotide sequences of the studied genome regions of *S. putris* was available in the databases. Moreover, there are no such data for most pulmonates from closely related genera and families.

Genotypic analysis showed that snails from different regions of Russia and Belarus were completely identical by the studied rDNA region. Intraspecies variability by internal transcribed spacers is common in animals, which makes these genome areas convenient for revealing differences within species (Coleman, Mai, 1997; Coleman, 2003; Young, Coleman, 2004; etc). Other species of pulmonate molluscs, in which these genome region has been studied, are characterised by a slight intraspecies variability of ITS. For instance, species from the genera *Biomphalaria*, *Planorbarius* and *Bulinus*, which were used as outgroups, also demonstrated a high intraspecies homology of this genome region: their ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 nucleotide sequences were identical or showed a 99% homology. In particular, genetic distance between snails *P. corneus* from the Kaliningrad Region and the Leningrad Region is only ca. 0.03% (Prokhorova et al., 2014). The homology of this genome region for different strains of *Biomphalaria* makes up from 99.1 to 100% (Zhang et al., 2018).

The studied rDNA region has been fully genotyped only in one other *Succinea* species, *S. striata* Krauss, 1848. It forms a common clade with *S. putris* on the phylogenetic reconstruction. The homology of the nucleotide sequences of *S. putris* and *S. striata* made up 89.5%, the greatest differences being associated with ITS2 region, where the homology was 83.5% (Wade et al., 2006).

Because of its intraspecies conservativeness, ITS genome fragment can be used for species identification of *S. putris*. Secondary structures of ITS2 transcripts are used to visualise significant differences in the nucleotide sequences. Their general structure was different in *S. putris* and *S. striata*. The differences in the secondary structure of ITS transcripts are used, alongside with other characters, to determine the divergence between species from different taxa (Schultz et al., 2005). Our study confirmed that this character is also applicable to the genus *Succinea*. Topological features of the ITS2 transcript can be used as an additional character for species identification of *S. putris*.

Mitochondrial DNA of pulmonate molluscs is thought to be variable, and genetic interspecies and intraspecies distances are larger than in other animal groups (Thomaz et al., 1996; Pinceel et al., 2005). Our analysis of mitochondrial markers of *S. putris* also showed a high genotypic diversity (Table 2). We found that the studied snails had 9 COI haplotypes and 10 CytB haplotypes. Snails from Moscow Region had the highest diversity of haplotypes (Appendices 2, 3), while snails from Kaliningrad Region and Vitebsk Region were the least diverse in this respect. The least nucleotide diversity of the mitochondrial genes under study was noted in Gomel Region, while the highest diversity was noted in Leningrad Region and Kirovsk Region. Snails from Europe form two haplogroups in the CytB and COI haplotype networks. One of the groups (in both networks) comprises snails from Leningrad Region and Kaliningrad Region. The distribution of haplotypes of snails from other regions does not show any distinct tendency: each of the population has both unique haplotypes and haplotypes shared with snails from other regions.

Interestingly, one of the COI haplotypes belonging to a snail from Leningrad Region is close to one of the Canadian haplotypes (Hap_9). The most plausible cause of this phenomenon is an accidental import of molluscs from one continent to another (Anistratenko, 1991; Son, 2007; Haase et al., 2010, etc). In general, the haplotypic diversity of European specimens is higher than that of Canadian ones even though the Canadian sampling was much more extensive.

Despite the diversity of COI and CytB haplotypes, genetic distances between them are not very large (Tables 3, 4). The indices of intraspecies variability are comparable with those of another species of amber snails studied in this respect, *Succinea caduca*, in which the average genetic distance between populations is 0.028 (Holland, Cowie, 2007). The average genetic
distance between samples of *S. putris* and samples of congeneric species *S. lumbaris, S. lutentula, S. canella* and *S. caduca* by COI gene made up 0.177±0.01. Thus, the differences found in our study fall within the range of variability within the species.

The mitochondrial genome of *S. putris* from France available in GenBank (Appendix 1) deserves special mention: both COI and CytB haplotypes of this specimen are outliers (28 nucleotide substitutions in COI gene and 27 substitutions in CytB gene). Average genetic distance to other haplotypes made up 0.059, which might correspond to differences between species. Unfortunately, no morphological description and no data on other genome regions of the French snail were reported (White et al., 2011). Therefore, we cannot be sure that its species was identified correctly.

The results of the analysis of genotypic polymorphism with the use of mitochondrial markers are confirmed by the phylogenetic reconstructions (Figs 8 and 9). Comparison of our data with the results of genotyping of *Succinea* snails from Canada (Telfer et al., 2015) confirmed the similarity of all the snails by mitochondrial genetic markers. This indicates that the studied snails *S. putris* are genetically homogeneous, the homogeneity probably underlying a low variability of morphological characters in this species.
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