Ballistic-to-hydrodynamic transition and collective modes for two-dimensional electron systems in magnetic field
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The recent demonstrations of viscous hydrodynamic electron flow in two-dimensional electron systems poses serious questions to the validity of existing transport theories, including the ballistic model, the collision-induced and collisionless hydrodynamics. While the theories of transport at hydrodynamic-to-ballistic crossover for free 2d electrons are well established, the same is not true for electrons in magnetic fields. In this work, we develop an analytically solvable model describing the transition from ballistic to hydrodynamic transport with changing the strength of electron-electron collisions in magnetic fields. Within this model, we find an expression for the high-frequency non-local conductivity tensor of 2d electrons. It is valid at arbitrary relation between frequency of external field $\omega$, the cyclotron frequency $\omega_c$, and the frequency of e-e collisions $\tau_{ee}^{-1}$. We use the obtained expression to study the transformation of 2d magnetoplasmon modes at hydrodynamic-to-ballistic crossover. In the true hydrodynamic regime, $\omega\tau_{ee} \ll 1$, the 2DES supports a single magnetoplasmon mode that is not split at cyclotron harmonics. In the true ballistic regime, $\omega\tau_{ee} \gg 1$, the plasmon dispersion develops splittings at cyclotron harmonics, forming the Bernstein modes.

A formal long-wavelength expansion of kinetic equations ("collisionless hydrodynamics") predicts the first splitting of plasmon dispersion at $\omega \approx 2\omega_c$. Still, such expansion fails to predict the zero and negative group velocity sections of true magnetoplasmon dispersion, for which the full kinetic model is required.

I. INTRODUCTION

In common semiconductor materials, scattering of electrons by phonons and impurities leads to the diffusive Ohmic transport. At the same time, in pure materials, the characteristic momentum relaxation length $l_{mr}$ for scattering by phonons and impurities can exceed the size of the device $W$. This leads to ballistic dc transport with predominant scattering of electrons at the sample boundaries [1, 2]. A very interesting situation appears when momentum-conserving electron-electron collisions are intense, so that the respective free path $l_{ee} \ll l_{mr}$ and $l_{ee} \ll W$. In this case, electrons form a viscous fluid with its dynamics described by the Navier-Stokes equations. This corresponds to the hydrodynamic dc transport, where current whirlpools and negative nonlocal resistance [3–7] can be observed. This hydrodynamic regime in electronic systems has attracted significant interest and has been experimentally demonstrated in graphene [8–12], (Al,Ga)As heterostructures [13], GaAs quantum wells [14, 15] and Weyl semimetals [16–18].

The parameter space for diffusive, ballistic, and hydrodynamic regimes changes at finite frequency of external field $\omega$. A number of interesting effects in ac transport was predicted theoretically for ideal electron fluid [19–22] where e-e collisions are so intense that $\omega\tau_{ee} \ll 1$. The ballistic regime in this case refers to a model of a collisionless electron plasma that is valid for describing processes that occur in times shorter than the free path of electrons ($\omega\tau_{ee} \gg 1$ and $\omega\tau_{mr} \gg 1$) or for processes whose characteristic spatial scales are smaller than the free path lengths ($ql_{ee} \gg 1$, $ql_{mr} \gg 1$, where $q$ - characteristic wave vector of wave processes) [23]. In many experimentally relevant situations, particularly, at THz or GHz frequencies, $\omega$ and $\tau_{ee}^{-1}$ are comparable in magnitude. This leads to the need to construct models of the transport intermediate between hydrodynamic and ballistic regimes [24–36]. It’s important to mention that ideal electron fluid is formed at very strong e-e collisions, contrary to ideal electron gas where electrons do not interact at all. Indeed, the dc kinematic viscosity $\nu_{kin} = v_0^2\tau_{ee}/4$ ($v_0$ is the Fermi velocity) tends to zero for very short free path time $\tau_{ee}$. On the other hand, the ballistic transport can be equivalently termed as ‘highly viscous’. The true Navier-Stokes hydrodynamics is inapplicable in this regime. Yet it is possible to expand the transport equations in the long-wavelength limit, generating the nearly local ‘collisionless hydrodynamics’ or ‘hydrodynamics of highly viscous fluid’ in which the equations of motion can be interpreted as the Navier-Stokes equations. [22, 23]. Such equations predict the existence of a novel collective mode, the transverse zero sound, in the absence of a magnetic field [37, 38]. In finite magnetic field $B$, the dynamic viscosity $\nu(\omega, B)$ was predicted to have the viscoelastic resonance [22, 39, 40]. The latter should occur at double cyclotron frequency $\omega = 2\omega_c$. Interaction between 2d plasmons and viscoelastic resonance was predicted to lead to emergence of novel collective mode, dubbed as ”transverse zero magnetosound” [39].

The predicted mode structure of highly non-ideal elec-
tron fluid contradicts to that of ballistic electrons, though both regimes should be equivalent and appear at $\omega \tau_{ee} \gg 1$. The ballistic theory states that plasmon dispersion interacts with multiple cyclotron resonances forming the so-called Bernstein modes [41–50]. The fundamental Bernstein mode, like conventional magnetoplasmon, starts from $\omega_c$ at $q = 0$. As it approaches $2\omega_c$, it reaches a plateau, and then falls downward with negative group velocity. The group velocity on the plateau is zero, and density of states is singular. The plateau frequency is shifted from $2\omega_c$ by minigap. The next branch of the Bernstein modes starts from $2\omega_c$ at $q = 0$ and forms a plateau near the triple cyclotron frequency. Similar branches occur at each multiple of the cyclotron frequency.

In this article, we are aiming to resolve the above-mentioned contradictions between ‘ballistic’ and ‘highly-viscous hydrodynamic’ models for 2DES in magnetic field. To this end, we develop a generalized classical kinetic model that describes magnetotransport in ballistic and hydrodynamic transport regimes as limiting cases (with $\varepsilon_F \gg T$). The model is based on Boltzmann kinetic equation with model momentum and particle conserving e-e collision integral. We calculate the nonlocal high-frequency conductivity with non-zero magnetic field, which is a necessary block for calculating many light-matter interaction characteristics [51–53] (Section II).

Using the expression for conductivity, we study the evolution of the dispersion of magnetoplasmons during the transition from the hydrodynamic regime to the ballistic regime (Section III). At a high frequency of electron-electron collisions corresponding to the hydrodynamic regime, an conventional magnetoplasmon with a single cyclotron gap is observed. As the collision frequency decreases, more and more pronounced dispersion splittings at multiple cyclotron frequencies appear, which in the ballistic regime take on the explicit form of Bernstein modes. We inspect the approximation of ‘collisionless’ hydrodynamics (Section IV) and find that is applicable only for wave vectors smaller than the anticrossing point $q^*$ of the two lowest Bernstein modes, coinciding with them in the region of applicability. This means that transverse zero magnetosound is an artifact of long-wave expansion of transport equations beyond the region of applicability.

II. NON-LOCAL HIGH FREQUENCY CONDUCTIVITY TENSOR

We start with evaluation of the non-local conductivity tensor of 2DES $\delta\hat{\sigma}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ in a classically strong perpendicular magnetic field $B \parallel \mathbf{e}_z$. To this end, we find the current response in a weak electric field $\delta\mathbf{E} = \delta\mathbf{E}_0 e^{i(\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega t)}$ with $\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{q} \perp \mathbf{e}_z$. It is found from the solution of linearized kinetic equation

$$-(i\omega - i\mathbf{q}v_p)\delta f - e\delta\mathbf{E}_0\mathbf{v}_p \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \epsilon} + \frac{1}{c}\mathbf{v}_p \times \mathbf{B} \frac{\partial \delta f}{\partial \mathbf{p}} = St_{ee}\{\delta f\},$$

where distribution function $f = f_0 + \delta f e^{i(\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega t)}$, $v_p$ is the electron velocity, $c$ the speed of light and $St_{ee}\{\delta f\}$ the electron-electron collision integral. In a practically important limit $\varepsilon_F \gg T$ in which the electron-phonon scattering can be neglected [54], only electrons with velocities close to the Fermi velocity $v_F$ participate in high-frequency kinetic processes, which makes this model applicable for describing both classical 2DES and graphene. Contrary to electron-impurity and electron-phonon collisions, e-e collisions conserve the net momentum of colliding particles. To account for this fact, we adopt the e-e collision integral in generalized relaxation-time approximation [31, 55–61]. The collision integral pushes the distribution function $\delta f$ towards local equilibrium

$$St_{ee}\{\delta f\} = \frac{\delta f - \delta f_{hd}}{\tau_{ee}}, \quad \delta f_{hd} = -\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \epsilon} (\delta \mu + \mathbf{p} \delta \mathbf{v}),$$

where $\delta \mu$ and $\delta \mathbf{v}$ are found from the conservation of particle number and momentum:

$$\sum \mathbf{p} (\delta f - \delta f_{hd}) = 0, \quad \sum \mathbf{p} (\delta f - \delta f_{hd}) = 0.$$
The kinetic equation with e-e collision integral (2) and conservation laws (3) are sufficient to describe the behaviour of electron liquid at large wave vectors $q \sim \omega/v_0$, and across the whole hydrodynamic-to-ballistic crossover. The scheme of solution is described in Appendix A. First, one passes to the polar coordinates in momentum space and expands the distribution function in Fourier series. Physically, the coefficients of these series are cyclotron harmonics. The kinetic equation in cyclotron harmonic representation is purely algebraic. Its symbolic solution at the first stage contains two unknown variables, $\delta \mu$ and $\delta v$. On the second stage, these 'hydrodynamic quantities' are found from the conservation laws (3). In a practically important limit $\epsilon_T \gg T$, this leads us to a system of linear equations describing both ballistic and hydrodynamic behavior of 2DES:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
1 - i\gamma Y_{00}^{(0)} & -2i\gamma Y_{00}^{(1)} & -2\gamma Y_{10}^{(0)} \\
-i\gamma Y_{00}^{(1)} & 1 - 2i\gamma Y_{10}^{(2)} & -2\gamma Y_{10}^{(1)} \\
\gamma Y_{10}^{(0)} & 2\gamma Y_{10}^{(1)} & 1 - 2i\gamma Y_{11}^{(0)}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\delta n \\
\delta \mu \\
\delta v
\end{pmatrix}
= -\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\
iY_{00}^{(2)} E_x + Y_{10}^{(1)} E_y \\
iY_{11}^{(1)} E_y - Y_{10}^{(1)} E_x
\end{pmatrix} \frac{2e}{\omega m}.
$$

(4)

where the relation between $\delta v$ and $\delta E_0$ is found from equations (4).

It is instructive to track the changes in longitudinal conductivity $\sigma_{xx}(q,\omega)$ while varying the strength of e-e collisions. Fig.1 illustrates this evolution and shows the frequency dependence of $\Im\sigma_{xx}$ at fixed $q = 1 \mu m^{-1}$ and $\omega_c/2\pi = 1$ THz. In the ballistic regime ($\tau_{ee} = 10$ ps, blue curve), the conductivity has resonances at multiple cyclotron frequencies which amplitude decreases with increasing the resonance order. As the frequency of e-e collisions $\tau_{ee}^{-1}$ becomes comparable to $\omega_c$, multiple resonances rapidly fade away. Only the main cyclotron resonance persists in the hydrodynamic regime, and its width shrinks again at very short values of $\tau_{ee}$, i.e. at low viscosity.

### III. MAGNETOPLASMON DISPERSION

We proceed to inspect the evolution of collective excitations in magnetized 2DES across the hydrodynamic-ballistic crossover. To address this problem, we consider the excitation of collective modes by a point dipole located above the 2DES in the quasi-static regime ($\omega/q \ll c$). We find that total electric potential in the 2DES plane can be represented as (Appendix B):

$$
\varphi(q,\omega) = \varphi_0(q,\omega) \frac{\epsilon(q,\omega)}{\epsilon_0(q,\omega)},
$$

(11)

where $\varphi_0(q,\omega)$ is the electric potential of the point source in the absence of 2DES and $\epsilon(q,\omega)$ the 2DES dielectric function. In the quasi-static regime, it is affected only by the longitudinal component of the conductivity tensor $\sigma_{xx}(q,\omega)$ and is given by

$$
\epsilon(\omega, q) = 1 + i \frac{2\pi q}{\chi \omega} (1 - e^{-2q d}) \sigma_{xx}(q,\omega),
$$

(12)

where $\chi$ is the effective dielectric constant, $d$ the distance to the gate electrode located below the 2DES and the longitudinal conductivity $\sigma_{xx}$ was obtained before in Sec. II.
It is convenient to visualize the dispersion of plasmons using the loss function $\text{Im} \varepsilon^{-1}$. The peaks in loss function correspond to a resonant enhancement of external electric field, i.e. to the collective electrostatic waves – (magneto)plasmons. Using the obtained generalized equations of hydrodynamics, it is possible to trace the evolution of collective modes with an increase in the frequency of electron-electron collisions up to the transition to the hydrodynamic regime.

The emerging loss functions are displayed in Fig. 2. Our calculations show that the splittings of plasmon dispersion at multiple cyclotron frequencies are gradually blurred with increasing e-e collision frequency $\tau_{ee}^{-1}$. In the hydrodynamic regime, the dispersion collapses into an ordinary magnetoplasmon

$$\omega^2 = \omega_c^2 + s(q)^2 q^2, \quad (13)$$

where $s(q) = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi n_0 e^2}{m \kappa q}} (1 - e^{-2qd})$.

Now it’s important to track the transition from the obtained results to the zero magnetic field. In the absence of a magnetic field in the ballistic regime, the phase velocity of plasmons does not fall below the Fermi velocity in Dirac materials; thus, the dispersion of plasmons does not fall into the Landau damping region, and at low values of the carrier density $n_0$ and a low gate distance $d$, the dispersion asymptotically tends to the boundary $\omega = qv_0$ [31, 62]. However, in the presence of a weak magnetic field, this boundary is destroyed due to the splitting of the Bershtein modes (Fig. 3)

**IV. COLLISIONLESS HYDRODYNAMIC MODEL**

In this section, we study the range of applicability of collisionless hydrodynamics for the description of magnetoplasmon modes. Using the continuity equation (6) and the Navier-Stokes equations (7), we find the conductivity
FIG. 4. Magnetoplasmon dispersion curve in graphene visualized through loss function $\text{Im}\varepsilon^{-1}(q, \omega, \omega_c)$ in (a) the "collisionless" hydrodynamics model, dashed line shows the asymptotics of the lowest mode corresponding to the transverse magnetosound mode $\omega^2 = 4\omega_c^2 + u_0^2q^2/4$; (b) in the full theory, dashed lines correspond to modes obtained in the "collisionless" hydrodynamics approximation. All curves are obtained at carrier density $n_0 = 2 \times 10^{12} \text{cm}^{-2}$, $f_c = 1$ THz with gate distance $d = 100$ nm, $\chi = 4$ and $\tau_{ee} = 10$ ps.

of a two-dimensional system by definition (10). We plot the loss function in the collisionless hydrodynamic model (6-7) and in the ballistic transport model (4) (Fig.4). In the first one (Fig.4a) the zeros of the dielectric function (12) in this case give two magnetoplasmon modes. The first of them goes from the cyclotron frequency $\omega_c$, at small wave vectors it coincides with the conventional magnetoplasmon (13), and at large wave vectors (after the anti-crossing point $q^*$) it coincides with the transverse magnetosound

$$\omega^2 = 4\omega_c^2 + \frac{u_0^2q^2}{4},$$

(14)

which is related to perturbations of shear stress of a charged Fermi liquid in a magnetic field. [39] The second mode starts from the doubled cyclotron frequency and after the anti-crossing point tends to conventional magnetoplasmon. It is possible to approximately estimate the anti-crossing point $(\omega^*, q^*)$ as the point at which the value of doubled cyclotron frequency $2\omega_c$ is reached by the dispersion of conventional magnetoplasmon (13):

$$\omega^* \approx 2\omega_c, \quad q^* \approx \sqrt{3}\omega_c/\xi.$$

(15)

In this case, up to the anti-crossing point $q^*$, there is a good agreement with the two lowest Bernstein modes observed in the full theory (Fig.4b) (but modes starting at multiple cyclotron frequencies are not observed). However, for large wave vectors, serious discrepancies are observed. In the collisionless hydrodynamics model, the lowest mode exceeds twice the cyclotron frequency and coincides with the magnetosonic mode, while in the full theory, the fundamental Bernstein mode never exceeds twice the cyclotron frequency and forms a plateau with a singular density of states.

V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

In the limit $\omega\tau_{ee} \gg 1$, the constructed full kinetic theory describes Bernstein modes. Magnetosound waves predicted in the framework of collisionless hydrodynamics described by the equations (7) in Ref. [39] coincide with the full theory only in a narrow $q$-range. Since the lowest mode in the collisionless hydrodynamics model tends to magnetosound mode (14) only after the anti-crossing point (15), where this model is inapplicable, it can be argued that transverse magnetosound modes are an artifact of spectrum cutoff in generalized hydrodynamic equations (4) and are not observed in the full theory.

While the physics behind these differences is clear, it’s interesting to see and compare the emerging absorption spectra. The dielectric function $\varepsilon(q, \omega, \omega_c)$ corresponds to the screening by electrons of the two-dimensional system of the external field (Appendix B). The loss function $\text{Im}[\varepsilon^{-1}]$ is responsible for the magnetoplasmon-assisted absorption. It peaks at the magnetoplasmon modes, and
therefore should enhance the near-field magnetoabsorption of an inhomogeneous field incident on the 2DES. [50]

Both transverse magneto声 and Bernstein modes are predicted in the loss function (Fig.4). In both cases, for pure materials, an asymmetric (with respect to the magnetic field) resonance enhancement of magnetoabsorption in the vicinity of the doubled cyclotron frequency is predicted. However, in the case of magneto声, the sharp side of the resonance is located in the direction of high magnetic fields, and in the case of Bershtein modes, on the contrary, in the direction of low magnetic fields. In Ref. [39] it was shown for a thin strip of a 2DES in the case of collisionless hydrodynamics that the sharp side of the resonance is located in the direction of high magnetic fields. However, the shape of the resonance strongly depends on the width of the strip and becomes almost symmetric in the case of an infinite 2DES. It can be assumed that in this case the shape of the resonance should strongly depend on the shape of the sample. However, measurements of various samples show an asymmetry consistent with the full theory [50, 63], which is another argument that these resonances are caused by Bernstein modes.

In summary, a model of high-frequency dynamics of a two-dimensional electronic system has been constructed, which allows one to study the transition from the ballistic regime to the hydrodynamic. It is shown that the conventional magnetoplasmon in the hydrodynamic regime, with a decrease in the frequency of electron-electron collisions, acquires characteristic splittings at multiple cyclotron frequencies and becomes Bernstein modes in the ballistic limit. According to calculations, the model of "collisionless" hydrodynamics, which predicts transverse magneto声, is applicable to the description of magnetoplasmon modes only for wave vectors not exceeding the anti-crossing point (15) of the two lowest Bernstein modes, and magneto声 mode are beyond the range of applicability of this model.
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Appendix A: Solution of the kinetic equation

To solve the kinetic equation (1), we pass to polar coordinates (with \( q \parallel e_x \)) and represent the equation as:

\[
-i(\alpha + i\gamma_c)\delta f + i\beta \cos \varphi \delta f + \frac{\partial \delta f}{\partial \varphi} = \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial p} \left( \frac{eE_x}{\omega_c} \cos \varphi + \frac{eE_y}{\omega_c} \sin \varphi + \frac{\gamma_c \delta \mu}{v_0} + \frac{\gamma_c \delta P \delta v_x}{v_0} \cos \varphi + \frac{\gamma_c \delta P \delta v_y}{v_0} \sin \varphi \right), \quad (16)
\]

where \( \alpha = \omega/\omega_c \), \( \beta = qR_c \), \( \gamma_c = (\omega_c \tau_{ee})^{-1} \), and \( \omega_c \) is the cyclotron frequency. Then, we seek for the function \( \delta f \) in the form \( \delta f = g e^{-i\beta \sin \varphi} \), and expand \( g \) as a series of angular harmonics \( \varphi \):

\[
g = \sum_{s=-\infty}^{+\infty} g_s e^{is\varphi}. \quad (17)
\]

The solution for \( g_s \) reads as:

\[
g_s = iJ_s(\beta) \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial p} \left( \frac{eE_x}{\omega_c} \frac{s}{\beta} + \frac{\gamma_c \delta \mu}{v_0} + \frac{\gamma_c \delta P \delta v_x}{v_0} \frac{s}{\beta} \right) \frac{1}{\alpha + i\gamma_c - s} +
\]

\[
+J'_s(\beta) \left( \frac{eE_y}{\omega_c} + \frac{\gamma_c \delta P \delta v_y}{v_0} \frac{s}{\beta} \right) \frac{1}{\alpha + i\gamma_c - s}, \quad (18)
\]

where \( J_s(\beta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{i(\beta \sin \varphi - s\varphi)} d\varphi \) is the \( s \)-th order Bessel function and \( J'_s(\beta) \) its derivative. Using the equations of conservation of particles and momentum (3), we obtain the equations of high-frequency dynamics (4)

\[
\hat{\sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{xx} & -\sigma_{xy} \\ \sigma_{xy} & \sigma_{xx} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (20)
\]
and obtain an expression for the absorbed power in the form
\[
P = 2 \int \frac{dq}{(2\pi)^2} \left( \sigma_{xx} \left| \mathbf{E}(q, \omega) \right|^2 + \sigma_{xy}^\prime \Im [E_x^\prime(q, \omega) E_y(q, \omega)] \right)
\]

(21)

In the plane of a two-dimensional system, the field is screened by electrons of 2DES. In the electrostatic approximation, above which an inhomogeneous charge density is located near a distance \(d\), we solved the field equation (with \(qL \ll 1\))
\[
\left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} - q^2 \right) \varphi(q, z) = \frac{4\pi}{\chi} (\rho_{ind}(q) + \rho(q)) \delta(z),
\]

(23)

where \(\omega \rho_{ind}(q) = q\mathbf{j}\) and \(\mathbf{j} = \hat{\sigma} \mathbf{E}\). Solving the obtained equations, we obtain an expression for the dielectric function
\[
\varepsilon(q, \omega) = 1 + \frac{2\pi q}{\chi \omega} \sigma_{xx}(\omega, q).
\]

(24)

Taking into account the screening by a gate located at a distance \(d\), we obtain the expression (12)

In this case, the expression for absorption takes the form
\[
P_{\text{near}} = 2 \int \frac{dq}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{\omega}{2\pi \chi q} |\rho(q)|^2 \Im \frac{1}{\varepsilon(q, \omega)}.
\]

(25)

The specific type of charge density \(\rho(q)\) depends on the problem. Absorption caused by an inhomogeneous field of charges resulting from the diffraction of an incident plane wave on a thin contact was considered in detail in Ref. [50]
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