Mechanical recycling is the most common method of recycling. Here plastics are physically ground back to a suitable size (regrind) and reprocessed. The end use can be the original one or something different. [Ragan 2012], [Skrobak 2014]

In general, variability of product composition and color leads to difficulties in obtaining a product with a consistent set of properties, and sourcing an adequate supply of a reasonably clean feedstock is often the crucial step in determining the economics of the recycling process and the quality of the recycled products. Processability and physical properties of recycled products can be considerably deteriorated with respect to virgin polymers. This is mainly due to the following effect polymer degradation. [Ovšík 2016]

Degradation of polymers are subject to negative changes in their macroscopic properties due to subtle modification of molecular structure that can result from the following environmental factors: thermal-oxidative processes that can occur during molding or even at room temperature, attack by pollutant gasses, chemical interaction with liquid contents, and others. Degradative processes are often accelerated by the presence of certain impurities. [Goodship 2007], [La Mantia 1993]

2 EXPERIMENT
The aim of the work is to find out the influence of the reused material which differ by particle sizes on the mechanical properties. Studied material is styrene acrylonitrile. The goal is to recycle SAN waste, reprocessed it and put it to mechanical tests.

2.1 Production of specimens
The specimens were prepared by the injection molding technology on the injection molding machine Arburg Allrounder 470H. Each of the specimens were left to condition for 24 h before testing. Process parameters were used by polymer producer recommendation.

2.2 Milling
After production of virgin styrene acrylonitrile, non-used parts (such as runner system) were used for other reprocessing. But size of waste must be reduced. For this size reduction milling technology was used. Milling was carried out on the Maskain A8 GK 2218 knife mill. The mill output was a mixture containing particles of various sizes including dust particles. This dust particles caused small problem with manipulation because of static electricity. Very light dust particles glued to every surface. [Navratil 2015], [Senkerik 2014]

2.3 Sifting
After the creation of the milled mixture, this mixture was divided to individual group by particle size. This was done on the laboratory AS 200 Basic sifting machine.

The Fig. 1 shows that the largest proportions have particles larger than 2 mm and smaller than 3 mm. Weight ratio is 45 % for this mixture. The smallest particles and the largest particles have 4 % ratio. Mixture with particles size 3 – 2 mm representing a further 36 % by weight ratio.
2.4 Production of specimens

The specimens were prepared by the injection molding technology on the injection molding machine Arburg Allrounder 470H. Each of the specimens were left to condition for 24 h before testing. Process parameters were used by polymer producer recommendation.

2.5 Composition of tested mixtures

After obtaining of milled mixture, the new products – specimens were made by injecting molding technology. Mixtures differ in particle size of sifted particles.Injection took place at the same injection parameters for all mixtures. After the injection, the runner system was removed from the products, resulting in finished specimens that were subjected to mechanical tests. [Senkerik 2016], [Stanek 2011]

For the injection, the following mixtures were used:

- Virgin SAN = original granule of thermoplastic material styrene acrylonitrile that not yet been processed, reference material which is compared with the recycled mixtures
- SAN 5 – 4 mm = sifted recycled material larger than 4 mm, top sieve separated this particles larger than 4 mm but size did not exceed 5 mm
- SAN 4 – 3 mm = sifted recycled material with a particle size from 4 mm to 3 mm
- SAN 3 – 2 mm = sifted recycled material with a particle size from 3 mm to 2 mm
- SAN 2 – 1 mm = sifted recycled material with a particle size from 2 mm to 1 mm
- SAN 1 – 0 mm = sifted recycled material with a particle size from 1 mm to 0 mm, mixture with very small dust particle which remained in the lower bowl
- Milled SAN = Fig. 3 shows non-sifted milled recycled mixture that include all particles sizes, from dust to particles to large particles up to 5 mm, taken directly from the knife mill

### Table 1: Tested mixtures

| Composition of tested mixtures | Particle size [mm] |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| Virgin SAN                    | granule            |
| SAN 5 – 4 mm                  | 5 > x > 4          |
| SAN 4 – 3 mm                  | 4 > x > 3          |
| SAN 3 – 2 mm                  | 3 > x > 2          |
| SAN 2 – 1 mm                  | 2 > x > 1          |
| SAN 1 – 0 mm                  | 1 > x > 0          |
| Milled SAN                    | All sizes          |

3 TESTED MATERIAL

Tested polymer was styrene acrylonitrile Starex HF5661H. Styrene acrylonitrile resin is a copolymer plastic consisting of styrene and acrylonitrile. The chains of the polymer show alternating repeat units. Larger acrylonitrile content improves mechanical properties and chemical resistance, but also adds a yellow tint to the normally transparent plastic.
SAN is optically transparent and brittle in mechanical behavior. The copolymer has a glass transition temperature greater than 100°C owing to the acrylonitrile units in the chain, thus making the material resistant to boiling water. It is structurally related to ABS plastic, where polybutadiene is copolymerized with SAN to give a much tougher material.

4 TESTING
Mechanical testing consisted of static tensile test. Tensile properties were measured in accordance with the procedure in EN ISO 527 standard on a universal tensile testing machine 1456 from Zwick / Roel. Measurements were performed at ambient temperatures. Each of the specimens according to the size of particles were subjected always to ten measurements.

5 RESULTS
All graphs are normalized that the virgin material is 100%. Other values are derived from the nominal values.

5.1 Elastic tensile modulus
The values of the elastic modulus characterizing the stiffness, the highest values were reached for virgin styrene acrylonitrile (3430 MPa) and samples with a particle size of 5 – 4 mm. The lowest values were found for dust particles and samples with a particle size of 1 – 2 mm. The drop in modulus values is almost 10 % lower than the virgin material. The decrease in the values can be explained by the wear of the polymer chains due to the greater straining in the crushing process. The milled non-sifted SAN shows a nearly 4% decrease in the modulus after the first recycling. Mixtures with larger particles 2 to 4 mm have almost no decrease in the modulus of elasticity.

5.2 Ultimate tensile strength
The measured values in the tensile test come out that the samples with particles of 5 – 4 mm showed the ultimate tensile strength. However, this is a negligible difference compared to the virgin styrene (70 MPa) acrylonitrile and is given only within the standard deviation. The strength decrease more strongly for all other recycled mixtures. For sifted particles with size from 3 to 0 mm strength values a decrease more than 5 %. The milled non-sifted SAN shows a relatively small decrease in the strength value, just over 2 % of the virgin material.

5.3 Strains
If it is possible to refer to the elongation resistance of the test samples, it is to be seen from Fig. 6 that the course of strain values is similar in character to the previous case of ultimate tensile strength. The longest elongation occurred in the virgin styrene (4.3 %) acrylonitrile and the mixture with large particles 5 – 4 mm.
Sifted mixtures with a particle size of 3 to 0 mm again show similar values about 5 % lower than the virgin material. The milled non-sifted SAN and SAN 4 – 3 mm have similar elongation values. These elongation changes can be correlated to the tensile strength, when the strength reduces and hence reduces the elongation of the test specimens.

6 CONCLUSIONS

The aim of this work was to measure the styrene acrylonitrile thermoplastic polymer, which was reprocessed. Unpolluted waste from the injection molding technology was crushed and crushed mixture was obtained with various large particles. This mixture is the same material as the virgin original material. Subsequently, mixtures were prepared which differed in particle sizes after sifting, from small particles to large particles. The test mixtures were subjected to tension testing at ambient temperature. The virgin SAN was taken as reference material.

The measured results show that the elastic tensile modulus decrease by about 10 %, especially for dust particles due to reprocessing. Mixtures with a particle size of 5 to 2 mm exhibit minimal changes in modulus values. Another evaluated property is the ultimate tensile strength. There can be seen that the mixture with particle size 5 – 4 mm has a slightly higher value of strength than the virgin material, so that there is no degradation of the polymer chains due to the re-usage of this material. All other mixtures show greater changes, but the measured values of the strength are less affected by recycling as a modulus of elasticity.

The last property that was evaluated was the relative strain, which exhibits a similar course of measured values as for the ultimate tensile strength. The elongation at the tensile test has been reduced, with lesser strength showing a lower elongation. Overall, the tensile mechanical properties deteriorated due to reuse of the used styrene acrylonitrile.
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