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Abstract

Understanding the dynamics and distribution of CO$_2$ in the subsurface atmosphere of carbonate karst massifs provides important insights into dissolution and precipitation processes, the role of karst systems in the global carbon cycle, and the use of speleothems for paleoclimate reconstructions. We discuss long-term microclimatic observations in a passage of Postojna Cave, Slovenia, focusing on high spatial and temporal variations of $p$CO$_2$. We show 1) that the airflow through the massif is determined by the combined action of the chimney effect and external winds and 2) that the relationship between the direction of the airflow, the geometry of the airflow pathways, and the position of the observation point explains the observed variations of $p$CO$_2$. Namely, in the terminal chamber of the passage, the $p$CO$_2$ is low and uniform during updraft, when outside air flows to the site through a system of large open galleries. When the airflow reverses direction to downdraft, the chamber is fed by inlets with diverse flow rate and $p$CO$_2$, which enter via small conduits and fractures embedded in a CO$_2$-rich vadose zone. If the spatial distribution of inlets and outlets produces minimal mixing between low and high $p$CO$_2$ inflows, high and persistent gradients in $p$CO$_2$ are formed. Such is the case in the chamber, where vertical gradients of up to 1000 ppm/m is observed during downdraft. The results presented in this work provide new insights into the dynamics and composition of the subsurface atmosphere and demonstrate the importance of long-term and spatially distributed observations.

Introduction

Carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) is known to be an important greenhouse gas and its distribution between the atmosphere, oceans, and vegetation is well studied and documented. In karst areas CO$_2$ plays a crucial role in dissolution and precipitation of carbonates, which may play an important role in carbon budget (Liu et al. 2010; Jeannin et al. 2016). Therefore, a deeper understanding of CO$_2$ fate and transport in the vadose zone of karst is needed. In vadose caves, the exchange of CO$_2$ between the atmosphere and water films flowing on the rock surface drives precipitation and dissolution of calcite. The identification and understanding of CO$_2$ dynamics also provides crucial information on speleothem growth and decay, their use as paleoclimate proxies, occupational safety in show caves, and the role of karst areas in the global carbon cycle (Baldini et al. 2008, 2018; Serrano-Ortiz et al. 2010; Baldini 2010; Martin 2017; Liñán et al. 2018; Guirado et al. 2019).

The CO$_2$ concentration in cave air varies over a wide range of values, from around atmospheric concentrations (~410 ppm) to more than two orders of magnitude higher (Ek and Gewelt 1985; Batiot-Guilhe et al. 2007; Houillon et al. 2017). The instantaneous partial pressure of CO$_2$ ($p$CO$_2$) at each position depends on sources, sinks, and transport fluxes. The main sources of CO$_2$ in caves are: (1) the degassing of groundwater and dripping water, (2) advection and diffusion from the soil and epikarst zone, (3) decomposition of organic matter introduced into the cave or deep vadose zone, (4) anthropogenic or biogenic production, and (5) geogenic production (Baldini et al. 2006; Baldini 2010; Breecker et al. 2012; Milanolo and Gabrovšek 2015; Prelovšek et al. 2018). It is widely recognized that the
soil and epikarst CO$_2$ reservoir, which is constantly replenished by plant material decay, soil microorganism metabolism, and root respiration, is a major source of cave CO$_2$ (Appelo and Postma 2005; Baldini 2010; Breecker et al. 2012). Organic material can be transported deeper into the vadose zone and, when oxidized, become a source of CO$_2$. As a result, the CO$_2$ reservoir expands into a deeper vadose zone, where it is often referred to as a subsoil carbon source or ground air (Atkinson 1977; Serrano-Ortiz et al. 2010; Mattey et al. 2016; Baldini et al. 2018). This CO$_2$ reservoir is now well recognized through contemporary research of the karst vadose zone $p$CO$_2$ in boreholes (Benavente et al. 2010, 2015), direct measurements in the soil zone (Blecha and Faimon 2014; Garcia-Anton et al. 2017), carbon isotope records in speleothems (Noronha et al. 2015), hydrochemistry of drip water (Bergel et al. 2017), or case studies with long-term monitoring (Mattey et al. 2016, 2021; Bourges et al. 2020).

The secondary porosity of the karst vadose zone allows the underground storage of CO$_2$, but it also allows air circulation, which mediates the exchange of ground air with the outside atmosphere. Ventilation through caves and the entire vadose zone can make an important contribution to the net carbon balance of ecosystems (Serrano-Ortiz et al. 2010). The extent and relative importance of the mechanisms forcing ventilation depend on the geographical location and altitude, meteorological conditions, the number and orientation of cave entrances, and the general cave morphology (Borsato et al. 2015; Covington and Perne 2015). The driving forces of cave ventilation may act on a transient or periodic (diurnal or seasonal) time scale, with the seasonal being most common in the temperate zone, where the temperature difference between the cave and outer atmosphere is large enough to cause density-driven airflows (James et al. 2015). Short-term fluctuations in the prevailing cave airflow may be caused by variations in the external atmospheric pressure (Pflitsch et al. 2010) or by the wind-driven effect caused by strong winds over the surface topography (Kowalczek and Froelich 2010).

The seasonality of cave ventilation is directly reflected in the $p$CO$_2$ values. $p$CO$_2$ maxima are often observed in warm periods when CO$_2$ production in the soil is highest and the cave air is static (e.g. formation of a cold trap in a descending cave) or when the airflow is directed from the soil zone and the surrounding karst massif towards the cave (Milanolo and Gabrovšek 2009; Faimon and Ličbinská 2010; Pla et al. 2016a; Peyraube et al. 2017; Covington et al. 2020). Minima are observed during strong circulation when the high inflow of outside air with low $p$CO$_2$ dilutes the cave air. In the absence of airflow, the $p$CO$_2$ of a cave balances with the $p$CO$_2$ of the surrounding vadose zone and reaches potentially extreme values (Houillon et al. 2017; Bourges et al. 2020). As an exception, CO$_2$ seasonality can be induced by winds in a tropical environment that may otherwise have no seasonal variations (Noronha et al. 2017), as suggested by James et al. (2015). In paleoclimate studies, CO$_2$ seasonality has been recognized as an important modulating factor introducing a seasonal bias in speleothem geochemistry (Spötl et al. 2005; Baldini et al. 2008; Kowalczek and Froelich 2010; Sherwin and Baldini 2011; Cowan et al. 2013; James et al. 2015). However, spatially high-resolution CO$_2$ measurements in caves have rarely been carried out, although heterogeneity and steep CO$_2$ gradients may well be common. These ultimately influence drip water geochemistry on a micro-local scale within the cave environment.
Contemporary research reveals additional, possibly universal CO$_2$ phenomena that are often neglected or simply not recognized due to sparse $p$CO$_2$ measurements—vertical gradients and local CO$_2$ accumulation behind constrictions, in fissures and depressions, and an increase in $p$CO$_2$ with distance from the entrance (Ek and Gewelt 1985; Baldini et al. 2006; Whitaker et al. 2009; Benavente et al. 2010; Breecker et al. 2012; Cowan et al. 2013; Ek and Godissart 2014; McDonough et al. 2016; Prelovšek et al. 2018; Bourges et al. 2020). In a conceptual model of CO$_2$ transport through the unsaturated karst zone, direct advection from the soil zone has become a preferred mechanism over diffusion to explain the spatio-temporal variation of CO$_2$ in caves (Covington 2016; Lang et al. 2017). However, the particular conditions of homogeneity or non-homogeneity of cave $p$CO$_2$, require further research.

The objective of this work is to show how different airflow pathways influence the spatio-temporal pattern of CO$_2$ within a cave environment and to explain certain specific phenomena related to CO$_2$, such as high-frequency temporal variation, spatially steep vertical gradients, and stratification. Over a period of three years, we continuously monitored the cave climate and, with high spatial resolution, frequently measured $p$CO$_2$ in a dead-end Pisani Passage of Postojna Cave (Slovenia). Based on these data we propose a general conceptual model of CO$_2$ transport through the karst vadose zone, which builds on the current understanding of CO$_2$ dynamics and transport in karst. The results imply the need for a thorough understanding of the cave environment in order to improve the reliability of paleoclimatic, geochemical, or similar research, and to ensure the safety of visitors in show caves.

**Materials And Methods**

2.1 Study site

Postojna Cave (abbr. PC; 45° 46’ N, 14° 12’ E) is a part of a 24 km long cave system in central Slovenia (Fig. 1). It is an active stream cave fed by the allogenic Pivka River (511 m a.s.l., $Q_{\text{avg}} \approx 5 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$) and is hydrologically connected to Planina Cave where it discharges onto Planinsko Polje, a part of the catchment area of the Ljubljanica springs (Blatnik et al. 2019; Šebela 2019). The cave has two different levels, a lower (epi)phreatic and an upper dry level that is visited by about 800,000 tourists per year. The cave system has three entrances connected by open passages, and two entrances, connected to other parts of the system by an artificial tunnel, which is closed by airtight doors. In addition, many “breathing holes” have been identified on the surface, whose connection to PC is confirmed or strongly suspected. The overburden between the upper level of the cave and the surface is between 34 m and 105 m (Šebela 2010). The configuration of many entrances at different altitudes enables year-round ventilation of the cave. The ventilation shows two different seasonal regimes, winter (typically upward) and summer (typically downward). In spring and fall there is a shorter transitional regime when the direction of airflow...
fluctuates (Šebela and Turk 2011; Gregorič et al. 2014). The surface is largely covered by Dinaric beech and silver fir forests (Krajnc et al. 2017).

The easternmost and highest elevated passages of Postojna Cave are Pisani Passage (abbr. PP, slov. Pisani rov) and Brezimeni Passage (abbr. BP, slov. Brezimeni rov; Fig. 1). Pisani Passage (entrance at 529.5 m a.s.l.) is a 515 m long side-passage extending northwards and ending with breakdown related to the formation of the Velika Jeršanova collapse doline (Šebela and Čar 2000). It is formed within thin- and thick-bedded limestones of the Upper Cretaceous, which are strongly tectonically fissured in some places, creating the large collapse chambers (e.g. SC Hall (Šebela 1998), Fig. 1). Much of the passage is filled with clay-rich cave sediments and highly decorated with speleothems. While it is mostly developed horizontally, PP has several low-lying places, here called “depressions”, which we call First depression (FD), Ladder depression (LD), and Red Hall (RD). The vadose zone thickness above the passage is 100 m at the entrance and decreases to 30 m towards the end. The last part of the passage has two parts that are called Red Hall and White Hall (WH) which can be considered to be a single chamber with a volume of about 6800 m³. Brezimeni Passage is formed in similar lithology and extends ~400 m to the southeast, rising and branching towards the end. It is characterized by a large collapse chamber halfway along the passage and a high chimney about 30 m further down the passage, which is probably connected to the surface.

Although Postojna (533 m a.s.l.) is close to the Mediterranean Sea (20 km), it has a continental climate with cold winters and warm/hot summers. The average annual air temperature is 9.3°C, the coldest month is January with -0.1°C and the warmest July with 19°C (average of the period 1981-2010). The average annual precipitation for the same climate period is 1500 mm, with precipitation generally more concentrated in fall and in the colder months. During the 2017-2019 study period, average monthly mean air temperatures were above the long-term average, especially in the summer months, and warming in the range of 0.35–0.45°C per decade has been observed. There are two types of strong regional winds in Postojna – bora is a gusty, cold and generally dry katabatic wind blowing from the north-east, while jugo is a generally humid wind blowing from the south. As Postojna is surrounded by mountainous terrain (>1000 m a.s.l.) to the north and the east, it is mostly influenced by the north-eastern winds blowing from the interior over the Postojna Gate mountain pass. Winters are generally windier, while summers have a higher frequency of calm days (ARSO 2021).

Karst massifs are subject to heat exchange between rock, air, and water (Luetscher and Jeannin 2004). Although the fluids penetrating the massif exhibit daily and seasonal cycles and long-term trends, the massif establishes an equilibrium temperature (Badino 2005), which can be detected in thermally very stable (non-ventilated) parts of cave systems and in deep groundwater and water trickles that percolate through the vadose zone (Badino 2010). In the Postojna region, temperatures between 8.5°C and 9°C are typically measured in such situations.

2.2 Microclimatic monitoring
Microclimatic monitoring in Postojna Cave was established to obtain general patterns of cave microclimate and to assess the impact of tourist visits. The backbone of the monitoring network was established in the period of 2009–2012 at four sites (1, 4, 7, and 8 in Fig. 1). The stations were installed along the main airflow pathways (sites 1 and 8), in the places where the greatest impact of tourists was expected (site 7), and in the remote, unvisited parts of the cave (sites 2, 3–6). All instruments are connected to data loggers, additionally, stations 1, 3, 5, and 7 are or were also connected to the web server via the existing optical line or DTN protocol. Details about the measurement system, data acquisition, transmission, and storage are given by Gabrovšek et al. (2014). The stations record with a resolution of 10 min an ambient temperature at three vertical positions with Pt100 temperature sensors (resolution 0.01°C; accuracy ±0.1°C), a CO\textsubscript{2} concentration (Vaisala GMP222 and GMP252 probes; measuring range 0–10,000 ppm; accuracy ±1.5% of full scale and ±2% of reading for GMP222, and ±2% of reading for GMP252), and wind speed and direction (ultrasonic Gill Windsonic anemometer; resolution 0.01 m/s; accuracy ±2% at 12 m/s; directional accuracy ±3° at 20 m/s). The original network was extended by additional wind speed (Gill Windsonic), temperature (HOBO MX2203 TidbiT; resolution 0.01°C, accuracy ±0.2°C), and CO\textsubscript{2} instruments, mostly in Pisani Passage and Brezimeni Passage (T\textsubscript{1}–T\textsubscript{3}). Here, the wind speed time series has been modified to include the direction for each station—positive values for an updraft (typical winter situation) and negative values for a downdraft (typical summer situation). Monitoring in the farthest chamber of the Pisani Passage (i.e., Red Hall, abbr. RD, slov. Rdeča dvorana) started in 2012, but the older data are sparse due to energy shortage and related instrument failures. Since 2016, the station has been connected to the cave’s power line via a UTP cable, which is also used to transmit the data. The station receives three temperature inputs and two CO\textsubscript{2} measurements, which are marked as CO\textsubscript{2} floor and CO\textsubscript{2} ceiling, positioned 6.5 m above the latter (Fig. 2c). Ventilation in the PP is monitored at its entrance (site 3), while sites 4 and 6 are discontinuous, and airflow data are only available for shorter periods. Additional temperature HOBO loggers were installed in PP at sites 3, 4, and 6 in 2019.

2.3 Spot CO\textsubscript{2} measurements

The spot CO\textsubscript{2} concentrations were measured with a Vaisala portable CO\textsubscript{2} meter (GM70) with GMP222 or GMP252 probes. All measurements were automatically compensated to the standard temperature and pressure (298 K, 1013 hPa). Occasionally, the readings were compared between several Vaisala meters, calibrated at different times, to detect potential drift. The differences were within the range of the expected uncertainty. Spot \(p\)CO\textsubscript{2} measurements were carried out with an equilibration time of at least 2 minutes with unattended automatic recording to eliminate interference from respired CO\textsubscript{2}. Longitudinal CO\textsubscript{2} profiles along PP were obtained by spot measurements of \(p\)CO\textsubscript{2} at 26 predefined positions ~1 m above the ground (completely marked on Fig. 1 and Fig. S1 and partially marked in Fig. 2c). The procedure was repeated on average 28 times at all sites over several seasons and ventilation regimes (from 13 September 2017 until 16 January 2020). The vertical CO\textsubscript{2} profile in RD was obtained by setting up a Tyrolean traverse with a pulley system, which allowed an exact horizontal and vertical positioning of the CO\textsubscript{2} instrument (location marked in Fig. 1). In this way, a grid of CO\textsubscript{2} values was created twice, on 30
May 2018 and 7 August 2018, along the entire horizontal and vertical span of the cross section. As the profiles showed uniform values in the horizontal direction and high gradients in vertical direction, the following seven surveys were carried out at a single horizontal position. For more details, see section S2 in the supplementary material.

2.4 Other data sources

Meteorological and climatic data of external conditions (air temperature and pressure, wind speed and direction, precipitation, and snow cover) were obtained from the national meteorological stations of the Slovenian Environmental Agency in Postojna 1.2 and 2 km SSW from the main entrance of the PC representative of typical meteorology above the cave (ARSO 2021). The extended profiles and cross-sections in the PP were accurately drawn using data from the 3D laser scanning survey carried out in 2013 by Sven Philipp and Jan Will from the Darmstadt University of Technology, Germany, and Franjo Drole from the Karst Research Institute ZRC SAZU, Slovenia. Similarly, the surface proximity was acquired from the LiDAR DEM data (ARSO 2020).

Results And Discussion

3.1 Microclimatic characteristics of the Postojna Cave System

The ventilation pattern shows typical seasonal and diurnal variations, which are characteristic for a dynamically ventilated cave system with several entrances (Fig. 3a). In winter, cold outside air flows into the cave through the main entrance at the base of the structural escarpment. The air, heated by the massif, is then driven by buoyancy through the cave passages and numerous small but open airflow pathways connecting the cave with the topographic surface above. In summer the situation is reversed. The air enters the massif at inlets on the surface, cools down in contact with the massif, and flows along largely unknown pathways to the cave and along the cave towards the main entrance (Fig. 2a and b). During the transition regimes, the outside temperature rises above and below the cave temperature daily, so that diurnal changes from updraft to downdraft are observed (typically in spring and fall). In all graphs negative values for the airflow velocity are used for the downdraft situation and positive for the updraft situation. Although the chimney effect is the dominant driving factor of cave airflow, external wind also drives subsurface ventilation, as will be discussed in Section 3.3. However, a detailed analysis of wind-induced cave airflow in Postojna Cave is provided in another paper (Kukuljan et al., 2021).

Seasonal/daily temperature variations depend strongly on the relative position with respect to the main ventilation pathway and the distance from the entrances. Points near the main entrances and along the main ventilation pathways show much higher seasonal temperature variations (±3.5°C at OC), which decrease towards the cave interior (±0.4°C at BC) and towards the most distant dead-ends (±0.1°C at PP). The average air temperature in the system is between 9°C and 11°C and a phase delay between the outside and seasonal average cave temperature reaches several months (Šebela and Turk 2011). In some places, especially in BC, the presence of tourists interrupts the typical cave temperature for a short time (Šebela et al. 2015).
The seasonality of the ventilation is also reflected in the composition of the cave atmosphere. In summer, the air enters underground at a topographically higher surface, passes mostly through smaller openings and enters the main cave system. Downdraft seasonally coincides with increased biogenic CO$_2$ production during the warm season, resulting in increased $p$CO$_2$ values in the cave (Lang et al. 2017). During updraft, outside air enters the cave through large entrances and dilutes the CO$_2$ throughout the entire cave system (Fig. 3c). $p$CO$_2$ along the main ventilation pathways can decrease to atmospheric levels. Various circumstances can lead to a substantially uneven distribution of $p$CO$_2$ in the cave. In a poorly ventilated passage, the $p$CO$_2$ in the cave is nearly in equilibrium with the $p$CO$_2$ of the surrounding vadose zone. In the case of Pisani Passage, high $p$CO$_2$ values were considered to be the result of poor ventilation (Prelovšek et al. 2018). The main proposed sources of CO$_2$ were direct seepage of CO$_2$-enriched air from the vadose zone and degassing of the percolating water, which showed equilibrium $p$CO$_2$ values similar to those measured in the cave air. Gregorič et al. (2013) found similar fluctuations in another trace gas, radon, whose activity concentration reached extremely high values (up to 45 kBq/m$^3$, annual mean ~25 kBq/m$^3$). The authors suspect that in addition to stagnating summer air conditions, thick soil layers, surface proximity and clay-rich cave sediments could be responsible for such extremes. The Pisani Passage is, therefore, an ideal candidate to study the CO$_2$ dynamics of PC.

3.2 Microclimatic observations in the Pisani Passage

3.3 Cave airflow

The airflow velocity into/out of the Pisani Passage is measured at its entrance, where the passage is limited to a cross-sectional area of 1.42 m$^2$. The air flow rate is shown in Fig. 4b. In general, the airflow follows the ventilation regimes typical of Postojna Cave, updraft for $T_{cave} > T_{ext}$ (typically in winter, positive values) and downdraft for $T_{cave} < T_{ext}$ (typically in summer, negative values, Fig. 4a and Fig. 4b). The PP, thus presents an airflow pathway that connects the inner part of the cave (OC) with the higher-lying surface. However, there are no physically known connections between the PP and the surface, suggesting that the air mainly follows a network of small conduits and fractures. Most of these airflow pathways are too small to be localized exactly, but a site of detectable, seasonally reversible airflow was found at the far end of PP (PP$_{end}$), suggesting that the entire passage is ventilated.

The temperature records in Fig. 4c show small differences at different vertical position in the Red Hall and in the PP$_{end}$. Similar temperatures were recorded in the Ladder Depression (LD) (Fig. 4c). During downdraft the temperature span along the entire Pisani Passage is less than 0.4 K (8.5°C-8.9°C).

In Fig.4b one can observe a steady dominant downdraft in warm periods and updraft in cold periods. In cold periods, however, clear intervals of downdraft can also be observed, which is contrary to the forcing of the chimney effect. The correlation of the direction of the cave airflow with various possible drivers showed that such downdrafts are caused by the external wind gusts. Fig. 5a shows the airflow velocity at OC and PP in relation to the outside wind during one such a cold period. Note that for visual clarity, the...
wind speed has been oriented and colored depending on the prevailing direction; positive values for winds of 0–90° and 270–360° (winds 0–90° are colored red and marked NE), and negative values for 90–270° (winds 135–225° are colored blue and marked S). The decrease of an updraft or the complete reversal of the airflow to a downdraft occurs during periods of the northeastern external wind, especially with gusts of >10 m/s (marked by the red arrow). In some periods these reversals occur despite of the fact that $T_{\text{cave}}$ is more than 10°C above $T_{\text{ext}}$. On the other hand, an increase of updraft (blue arrow) is observed when the wind is blowing from a S direction. The effect is similar in the warm period (Fig. 5b), where the typical downdraft ($T_{\text{cave}} << T_{\text{ext}}$) is reduced or reversed by a S wind or increased by a NE wind. These effects occur throughout all seasons, as the outside winds do not have a clear seasonality. During the high summer or high winter season, however, the chimney effect is predominant and only the strongest gusts of wind cause a change in the direction of airflow.

The importance of the wind-driven effect is also demonstrated in Fig. 6, which shows the relation between the cave airflow velocity and the external temperature for the 2018 and 2019 time series. Red dots present the complete dataset, while blue and green dots show only data points when the external wind gusts were below 3 m/s and 1 m/s, respectively. By excluding the wind effect (blue and green points on Fig. 6), a typical picture of the chimney effect relationship emerges, where cave airflow velocity has a square root dependence on the difference between external and internal temperature. The black line in Fig. 6 shows the square root fit to the green point cloud (Badino 2010).

Figures 4b and 6 also show that for the same $\Delta T$ the wind speed is stronger in downdraft. While the reasons for this asymmetry have not yet been investigated in our case, such an observation is not so rare (Covington and Perne 2015). Reasonable assumptions would be that it is due to the geometry of the airflow pathways or to a disequilibrium between the outside temperature range and the temperature of the massif, which presents a long-term average. The use of virtual temperature, which includes the influence of relative humidity and $p_{\text{CO}_2}$ on air density, may also explain the shift (Kowalski and Sánchez-Cañete 2010). However, these discrepancies are not crucial for this work.

The air temperature inside Pisani Passage has a very stable value of 8.8°C, which is on average lower than in the adjacent parts of Postojna Cave for the same period 2017–2019 (10.8°C in BC). Annual temperature fluctuations below 0.1°C were recorded at all measuring sites in the passage. This includes the PP_end site, which is only ~30 m from the surface and has a detectable airflow almost all year round. Therefore, it can be expected that the air is in thermal equilibrium with the massif when it reaches the cave. While the annual temperature fluctuation of a given location is rather small, there are systematic differences between them. This can be seen between $T_1 - T_3$ in RD where the span increases in summer and greater variability is observed in winter (Fig. 4d). These differences have not yet been investigated in detail. Records since 2012 show a gradual temperature increase of about 0.08°C/year, starting from 8.5°C in 2012 and reaching 8.9°C at the end of 2019. At present, we cannot yet confirm whether this is a trend following the warming of the exterior indicated by Domínguez-Villar et al. (2015), whether it is related to
the change in the surface vegetation cover, increasing number of visitors, or whether is it due to another reason. Warming has also been observed in other parts of Postojna Cave (Šebela et al. 2015).

3.4 The longitudinal gradient of CO₂

Spot $p$CO₂ measurements were carried out during different seasons and ventilation regimes to investigate the general dynamics and possible gradients of CO₂ across Pisani Passage. As already observed by Prelovšek et al. (2018), an increasing trend with distance from the entrance is confirmed, independent of the ventilation regime (Fig. 7). However, this rule does not apply to the Red Hall at the far end of PP nor to other weakly ventilated or unventilated sites, which apparently protect the CO₂ from advection. We have found that all CO₂ rich sites are located in morphological depressions (see Fig. 1, Fig. 3c, and Fig. S1). The seasonal differences are seen as changes in the size and slope of the longitudinal CO₂ gradient from the entrance to the inner cave—the winter gradient is the gentlest and the summer gradient the steepest. These gradients are similar to those measured in Ste-Anne Cave (5.3 ppm/m (Ek and Gewelt 1985)) or in Srednja Bijambarska Cave (0.2–2.2 ppm/m (Milanolo and Gabrovšek 2009)).

3.5 Temporal CO₂ dynamics in the Red Hall

In general, the seasonal variation of $p$CO₂ in Pisani Passage corresponds to the variations in other sections of the Postojna cave system; low values in cold periods due to strong dilution by the outside air, and high values in warm periods due to advection from the CO₂-rich vadose zone. A closer look, however, reveals an interesting phenomenon in the final chamber of PP (Red Hall), where during the downdraft the $p$CO₂ is significantly higher near the floor than at the ceiling (Fig. 4d). The $p$CO₂ at the floor station can even exceed 10,000 ppm, while the maximum daily value at the ceiling probe is only 3200 ppm. The differences between floor and ceiling can reach almost 8000 ppm during the summer season, indicating a permanent, drastically different air composition within only 6.5 m of vertical difference. Both values and their difference show a gradual increase from mid-May to mid-October, when $p$CO₂ in the soil and the epikarst is expected to increase as well. During the updraft, the air in the RD is well mixed and the $p$CO₂ can fall well below 1000 ppm. This apparent “stratification” will be discussed further in the next section.

The $p$CO₂ fluctuations in Red Hall are directly related to the airflow in Pisani Passage, which is not only driven by the chimney effect, which explains the seasonal dynamics, but also by the wind effect. The wind-driven effect on cave ventilation is explained in another paper (Kukuljan et al., 2021), here we present the effect on $p$CO₂. An example of a winter period (18 days) is shown in Fig. 8, where the chimney effect forces an updraft. During most of the period, the $p$CO₂ on the floor and the ceiling of Red Hall are almost equal and low (~1000 ppm). However, during periods of strong NE wind, the direction of the airflow reverses towards downdraft, whereupon the floor and ceiling $p$CO₂ curves are immediately separated. The floor $p$CO₂ increases, while the ceiling $p$CO₂ even shows an initial decrease, followed by a moderate increase. When the outside wind direction and thus the airflow direction along the PP is reversed, the updraft situation with the mixed atmosphere is restored within a few hours. This effect also
occurs during the rest of the year. However, in the high summer season, it is suspected that a maximum CO$_2$ flux from the karst massif is reached, so that the NE wind only increases the speed of the airflow, while the CO$_2$ concentration remains the same or even decreases slightly. The southerly wind causes a temporary mixing of the air in RD or, if it lasts long enough, a decrease of the total $p$CO$_2$, regardless of the season or the ventilation regime.

3.6 Vertical CO$_2$ profile

To investigate the phenomenon of apparently vertically stratified air in Red Hall in full spatial detail, we measured vertical CO$_2$ profiles. This was done by gradually lowering the instrument from the ceiling to the floor at different horizontal positions. Two complete grid profiles of 8 m in height and 20 m length were created to confirm that the stratification is present in the entire cross-section of the chamber (Fig. 9). After uniform values were found in the horizontal position, only one position (14 or 16) was selected for the subsequent profiles (for more details on profile setup, see section S2 in the supplementary materials). The $p$CO$_2$(height) curves show two distinct gradients with maximum values at the floor of the hall and minimum values at the ceiling.

The profiling was repeated in different ventilation regimes to obtain a temporal resolution of the CO$_2$ profiles as shown in Fig. 10. A typical winter regime with updraft is characterized by an almost uniform CO$_2$ distribution, while during downdraft the curves take on a characteristic shape and in extreme cases reach gradients of almost 1000 ppm per vertical meter. The maximum difference resulting from the available time series of the fixed floor and ceiling stations corresponds to a gradient of 1200 ppm/m (daily average). Similar curves were reported from borehole measurements in a karstic environment by Benavente et al. (2010, 2015).

The stratification in Red Hall is built up on the time scale of hours to days after the reversal of the airflow from updraft to downdraft regime. We have observed that our movement in the lower part of the chamber disturbs the stable stratification, but the characteristic profile restores within a few hours (few examples are available in Fig. S4b). To study this phenomenon in more detail, we deliberately force-mixed the air by stirring it with flat panels in RD for 20 min so that CO$_2$ was distributed almost evenly throughout the chamber. During this experiment we deployed two additional CO$_2$ meters at intermediate heights between the CO$_2$ stations on the floor and the ceiling. Fig. 11a shows the vertical profile before and after the mixing, and Fig. 11b shows the recordings of four CO$_2$ probes during the restoration of the characteristic profile. The experiment was performed in the summer ventilation regime (i.e., $T_{cave} < T_{ext}$), where we expected constant downdraft conditions. While the relaxation curve at the ceiling shows a typical exponential decrease almost immediately after the mixing, the concentrations at other positions begin to change 12 hours later. The comparison with the external wind data showed that the delay occurred during a southerly wind, which may have temporarily restricted the accumulation and relaxation of the lower probes. As soon as the wind changed direction, the accumulation and relaxation processes continued. However, this was a rather surprising situation, since the simultaneous separation of the $p$CO$_2$ curves is
much more common (visible in time series in Fig. 8 and Fig. S4). The reason for these apparent differences in responses has not yet been found.

The characteristic response times for CO\(_2\) curves were investigated by fitting the data points to an exponential function. For the experiment of 17\(^{th}\) of September 2019, the accumulation rates were 5.00 h for the lower (temporary) CO\(_2\) instrument and 7.14 h for the floor CO\(_2\) station. The relaxation times were shorter, from 1.41 to 4.00 h, for the ceiling CO\(_2\) station and the upper instrument. The short characteristic time needed for restoration of a distinct CO\(_2\) profile can only be explained by advective inflow of CO\(_2\)-rich and CO\(_2\)-poor air (Covington 2016; Lang et al. 2017). A plausible explanation is that there are several inputs with different CO\(_2\) concentrations and that their flow distribution is such that the mixing is negligible. A pronounced CO\(_2\) input is located at the far end of PP at 533 m a.s.l., 4 meters below the ceiling CO\(_2\) station (marked PP\(_{\text{end}}\), Fig. 2c). It is a narrow passage with a measurable airflow showing typical downdraft volume flow rates of about 0.05 m\(^3\)/s and low \(\rho\)CO\(_2\) values (1350–5180 ppm, average 2000 ppm, \(n = 30\)), which is comparable to the ceiling CO\(_2\) station. The volume flow at the PP\(_{\text{end}}\) is about 14% of the volume flow that is discharged out of PP during downdraft.

Several places with extreme CO\(_2\) levels have been located in Red Hall, White Hall and at the Ladder depression, although none of them has detectable airflow. One of them is a small flowstone-covered depression in the White Hall (Fig. S5a). We have performed similar mixing tests as described above where the air in depression was well mixed with the surrounding low-CO\(_2\) air. After mixing is stopped, a high concentration is restored with a characteristic relaxation time of 0.02–0.07 h in a warm period and an order of magnitude slower in a cold period (Fig S5b). Such a rapid increase during the downdraft indicates an advective inflow with a small volume flow rate but high \(\rho\)CO\(_2\). Based on these measurements and observations we propose a conceptual model of CO\(_2\) transport in the Red/White Hall, which explains the observed phenomena.

4 The conceptual model of CO\(_2\) transport

The advection driven by the chimney or wind effect is the main mechanism for CO\(_2\) transport and the reason for the observed fluctuations of CO\(_2\) concentration in the Pisani Passage atmosphere. Throughout the year an average of 0.54 m\(^3\)/s of air is exchanged with the surface. Although it is an important ventilation pathway in the Postojna Cave system, the temperature in the passage is very stable, indicating an efficient heat exchange between the rock mass and the air. This indicates that the air enters and exits the passage through many small pathways (such as solutionally enlarged fractures and small conduits) that provide a high ratio of rock surface area to air volume required for efficient heat exchange. The entire area of Postojna Cave is strongly tectonised with numerous faults, fractures and crushed zones. These elements were also mapped along the entire Pisani Passage (Šebela 1992, 1998).

Figure 12 shows a conceptual model of airflow and CO\(_2\) dynamics between the outside atmosphere and PP. During the updraft regime, air with low \(\rho\)CO\(_2\) coming from the main entrance through the Old Cave
dominates along the entire Pisani Passage and exits to the surface along open pathways (blue arrows in Fig. 12a). During the downdraft regime the air enters the passage from the surface through many pathways with different aeraulic conductivities (red arrows in Fig. 12a). One can imagine a complex flow network of fractures and conduits along which the air flows from the surface to the cave (Fig. 12b). The air can enter the network enriched with soil CO$_2$ to varying degrees, depending on the soil thickness, the CO$_2$ production rate, and the porosity of the soil and the airflow network (Pla et al. 2016b). Furthermore, CO$_2$ may enter the airflow by diffusion from poorly ventilated compartments of the massif and reach the junctions with high $p$CO$_2$ pathways. Generally, pathways with high flow rate are expected to be less enriched with CO$_2$ once entering the cave, compared to poorly ventilated pathways. An example of a fast flow pathway is PP$_{end}$ in Red Hall, the only inflow with a detectable airflow, and where the overall lowest $p$CO$_2$ values were observed in the Red Hall. The inflows with the highest CO$_2$ concentration cannot currently be detected because the air velocity is too low, but we can locate areas with high CO$_2$ concentrations and estimate the flux (Fig. S5). It has already been shown that the updraft in PP during cold periods contributes significantly to the soil CO$_2$, thus obviously requiring the existence of an underground CO$_2$ reservoir (Krajnc et al. 2017). Similarly, Faimon et al. (2020) found a clear relationship between updraft (UAF) and downdraft (DAF) modes of subsurface ventilation and the CO$_2$ concentration and $\delta^{13}$C isotopic composition of soil air fluxes at the breathing spot above the probable cavity in Hranice Karst (Czech Republic). The concepts presented in that paper agree well with the concept presented here.

The geometry of the system seems to be important for the formation of the vertical CO$_2$ profile. Significant vertical differences discovered during the measurements (Fig. 9 and Fig. 10) support the idea of dividing the Red/White Hall chambers into at least two, vertically stratified, microclimatic compartments. The upper compartment is efficiently ventilated by the inflow of CO$_2$-poor air from PP$_{end}$, while the lower compartment is filled with slow seepage of CO$_2$-rich air, emerging from cracks in the walls or from the floor (Fig. 12b). The boundary between these compartments is between 533 and 535 m a.s.l., which coincides with the height of the outflow from RD/WH, and the height of the inflow at PP$_{end}$ (Fig. 2c and Fig. 9). The spatial characteristics of the CO$_2$-rich pathways are not yet clear. Although we have not found high-CO$_2$ inflows at or near the ceiling, it is likely that they surround the entire cave perimeter as illustrated in Fig. 12b. Airflow near the ceiling could mask these sources. Further work on the analysis of the spatially variable $\delta^{13}$CO$_2$ signals within the cave could provide further insights (Mandić et al. 2013; Krajnc et al. 2017).

The CO$_2$ dynamics for the rest of the Pisani Passage is presented as a longitudinal profile in Fig. 7. A positive gradient is expected in the cold season, when the outside air enters the cave through the large main entrances. Along the flow towards the interior of the massif the air is enriched with (mainly) remnant CO$_2$ and CO$_2$ degassing from the calcite precipitating trickles. An inverse gradient would be expected in the warm period, which is not the case in PP. There, the air enters the passage along many small hardly detectable pathways enriched with vadose CO$_2$ to different degrees. The change of $p$CO$_2$
along the passage depends on the longitudinal distribution, flow rate and $pCO_2$ of the inlets. Decrease of $pCO_2$ along the flow path indicates increasing contribution of inlets with lower $pCO_2$.

In order to clarify the hypothesis of low flow high-$CO_2$ and fast flow low-$CO_2$ pathways, a comparison with observations in the Brezimeni Passage (BP) can be made, which connects to the Old Cave 50 m from Pisani Passage (Fig. 1). The passage is similar in length to PP but has a smaller cross-section on average. The decisive element for the airflow dynamics of this passage is the high chimney in the middle section, as shown schematically in the Supplement (Fig. S6). Although we have not yet located the exact surface opening, it is assumed that it is connected by an efficient airflow pathway. This is indicated by an average airflow rate of 3.44 m$^3$/s, which is almost 7 times that of the PP (0.54 m$^3$/s). Further on, its role is particularly reflected in the annual temperature records at three different positions in the passage (Fig. S7a). The amplitude of the seasonal variations at two sites ($T_1$ and $T_2$) along the dominant airflow pathway between the chimney and the OC is more than 2°C, while the temperature recording away from the dominant pathway ($T_3$) shows a variation (0.25°C) that is one order of magnitude smaller. The typical diurnal variation is also visible in the time series of the airflow velocity (Fig. S7b). The dominant airflow pathway is also evident in the $pCO_2$ data, where values of only 515 ppm were measured during the downdraft below the chimney and over 3000 ppm further away from the chimney (Sept 2018; Fig. S8). In summary, strong inlets/outlets like the chimney in BP, dominate the dynamics and composition of the cave atmosphere and obscure the contribution of smaller pathways that certainly exist. Such a dominant pathway is not present in PP, which makes the contribution of smaller pathways more obvious. The results could also be discussed in terms of the concepts proposed by Lang et al. (2017). They emphasized the importance of advective flows from the epikarst (abbreviated AIFE) for $CO_2$ concentration in caves. Therefore, Pisani Passage can be considered as a cave with geometry A (sensu Lang et al. (2017)) with an open lower entrance and “hidden” upper entrances. Although the Brezimeni Passage has no known upper entrance, it falls into geometry C based on airflow dynamics, with two open entrances with weak sources from soil/epikarst. While in Pisani Passage the AIFE plays a crucial role in the resulting $CO_2$ concentrations, in Brezimeni Passage it is obscured by the concentrated airflow entering through the chimney.

The effect of the external wind on cave airflow in Postojna Cave and thus on $pCO_2$ is clearly visible in the data (Figs. 4–6). A detailed analysis and quantitative assessment of the relative importance of the chimney effect and the wind-driven effect, supported by CFD simulations, is discussed by Kukuljan et al. (in review), so we give only a brief outline here. The surface above PC is characterised by karstified terrain with many dolines, while the main entrance is located on a south-facing scarp. Wind flow over a rough topography induces a variable pressure field at the surface and at the cave openings. External winds induce differential pressure between different entrances and “blowholes” (air inlets and outlets). Therefore, the relative orientation and position of entrances is important. In warm periods, NE winds enhance the downdraft driven by the chimney effect in OC and PP, while the S winds oppose the chimney effect and diminish the cave airflow. Conversely, in cold periods, the NE winds oppose the updraft driven by the chimney effect and can even reverse it to downdraft causing an increase in $pCO_2$ values, as
discussed in Section 3.3. The southerly wind increases the updraft in the cave, which is especially noticeable at the OC site, which is closest to the main cave entrance. Figs. 5a and 5b show how the high-frequency variation in the outside wind also affects the high-frequency variation in the time series of the cave airflow. Similar wind-driven cave ventilation has been studied in a cave in Florida (Kowalczk and Froelich 2010) and in a tropical cave on the island of Guam, where seasonal density-driven airflows are otherwise unexpected (Noronha et al. 2017).

Although it appears that the chimney effect and wind effect control the CO$_2$ dynamics in PP, there may be other factors involved. In some cases, CO$_2$ dynamics in mature caves has been found to be controlled by variation of drip rate, water infiltration or saturation of vadose zone (Milanolo and Gabrovšek 2015; Bourges et al. 2020). Theoretically, airflow and infiltration water pathways are shared within a vadose zone, and in this way saturation with water can limit or block the gas exchange between the cave and the exterior (Cuezva et al. 2011; Garcia-Anton et al. 2014; Pla et al. 2016b). In the case of PP, however, we have not found that this effect is significant in the CO$_2$ dynamics studied (at the level of continuous variation of $p$CO$_2$ or the specific CO$_2$ phenomena described previously). A redistribution of the airflow through larger, open, and non-saturated pathways may obscure the significance of this effect. If it is present nevertheless, it may be more pronounced in the cold period, when more precipitation is normally recorded in Postojna and rates of evapotranspiration are lower. It is also expected that snow cover or freezing of the soil could restrict gas exchange, as only the larger openings would remain open due to the melting effect of the rising warm cave air. The longest period in the years 2017–2019 with continuous snow cover was February 2018, when the cold fronts brought about 30 cm of snowfall. This month-long period with a low average outside temperature of −2°C was characterized by a strong accumulation of CO$_2$, which reached a maximum of 2500 ppm at the floor station in the Red Hall. Although it is probable that the air discharge from the cave was blocked by the snow cover or the soil freezing, the same period is also characterized by the longest continuous NE wind event, which may also have limited the air discharge (i.e., expected updraft). Therefore, we could not study these effects in detail separately. As suggested by some recent studies in the Mediterranean (Cuezva et al. 2011; Garcia-Anton et al. 2014, 2017; Pla et al. 2016a), prolonged warm periods increase soil permeability and cause increased soil gas exchange. Although the long-term effects can only be speculated, we might expect that longer dry seasons would limit soil biogenic CO$_2$ production and reduce overall CO$_2$ flux due to increased ventilation. However, in our case we did not found this limiting threshold, but rather increasingly prolonged periods of high CO$_2$ in the RD (Fig. 4c). This behavior could be explained by the greater role of subsoil CO$_2$ sources, which are less affected by outside conditions (Mattey et al. 2016). The CO$_2$ dynamics were also compared with the change in atmospheric pressure, but did not show a significant correlation. Previous research in PC confirms that pressure equalization is practically instantaneous, so that no barometric airflow is expected (Šebela and Turk 2011).

Although the specific configuration of the airflow pathways in the Pisani Passage may be considered as local and hardly generalized, we believe that these observations are not unique and that such situations would be (or have been) recorded in other caves where the airflow system connecting the cave to the
surface consists of several non-dominant airflow pathways. In reality, there are many more caves with a single-entrance that are, nevertheless, better ventilated than caves with continuous, unobstructed passages between numerous entrances. A poorly mixed cave atmosphere would probably lead to high gradients of parameters (such as CO$_2$ and temperature) between different parts of the cave and microclimatic environments. These can be easily identified and investigated by denser and more careful measurements of $p$CO$_2$. Certainly such micro-local CO$_2$ environments also have an important influence on the hydrochemistry of dripping water and subsequent analysis for paleoclimate or similar research. This is already obvious in the case of the RD, where the floor underneath the drip spots shows a large number of characteristic dissolution features, so-called corrosion cups. While these are the subject of ongoing research, we postulate that high vertical CO$_2$ gradients during the downdraft profoundly alter the hydrochemistry of the drip water. In the upper air compartment, the drips equilibrate to a low $p$CO$_2$ level, while in the lower one they reach a much higher $p$CO$_2$ value and become corrosive. The kinetics and long-term effects of such a heterogeneous environment on the geochemistry of speleothems is still an important open research question.

**Conclusions**

Ventilation has a strong influence on the composition of the cave atmosphere. In the case of Postojna Cave, the ventilation is driven by the combined chimney and wind effects. During updraft, the fresh outside air enters through the main entrances and dilutes the cave atmosphere in terms of CO$_2$. During downdraft, the air enters at higher elevations and is then enriched with CO$_2$ along different airflow pathways, crossing the CO$_2$-rich soil, epikarst, and vadose zone. When reaching the cave, these pathways have inlets with different airflow rates and different $p$CO$_2$. In most situations, the air from different inlets mixes in the cave and individual contributions are not easily observed. We have found that in the case of Pisani Passage, a dead-end side passage of Postojna Cave, the specific configuration of the inlets and the position of the outflow from the Red Hall/White Hall terminal chamber produces vertically-stratified compartments with different airflow pathways well separated. The upper compartment has a high airflow rate and low $p$CO$_2$ inputs, while the lower compartment has low airflow rates and high $p$CO$_2$ inputs. This leads to a strong vertical gradient of $p$CO$_2$, which is quickly restored after forced or natural mixing caused by the reversal of the airflow direction. On cold days, there is usually strong air mixing in the chamber and the corresponding gradient is negligible. In exceptional cases, $p$CO$_2$ stratification may also occur during cold periods, which we have found to be related to the wind-pressure effect of north-easterly gusts. These strong gusts suppress the chimney effect and turn the updraft toward a downdraft, restoring the $p$CO$_2$ stratification. Outside winds also influence the larger-scale cave ventilation by increasing or decreasing cave ventilation depending on their direction and the direction of the air density-driven ventilation, which results in high frequency variations in the cave airflow time series. Our preliminary observations suggest that long periods of an environment with high-CO$_2$ content near the cave floor can have a large influence on the hydrochemistry of drip water. The results of this study imply the need for a thorough assessment of the cave environment at a micro-local level in order to increase the reliability of paleoclimatic,
geochemical or similar cave research, but also to ensure the safety of visitors in frequently visited show caves.

Declarations

Funding

The research was partially funded by the project “Methodology for monitoring the sustainable use of karst show caves with automatic measurements – role model – Postojna cave” (L6-9397), which was financially supported by the Slovenian Research Agency. The work of LK was supported by the Young Researchers Programme of the Slovenian Research Agency.

Conflicts of interest/Competing interests

Not applicable.

Availability of data and material

Available from the authors upon request.

Code availability

Not applicable.

Authors’ contributions

Lovel Kukuljan, Franci Gabrovšek and Vanessa E. Johnston designed the study, collected data, and maintained the instruments of the study sites. The data analysis and graphic presentation was carried out by Lovel Kukuljan and Franci Gabrovšek. Vanessa E. Johnston and Matthew D. Covington proofread and corrected the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank Boštjan Grašič and Primož Mlakar for setting up and maintaining the monitoring system in Postojna Cave.

Electronic Supplementary Material

The online link to the electronic supplementary material of this article will be available after the final revision of the manuscript.

Ethics approval

Not applicable.

Consent to participate
References

1. Appelo T, Postma D (2005) Geochemistry, groundwater and pollution (Second Edition)
2. ARSO (2021) Ministry of the environment and spatial planning, Slovenian Environmental Agency.
   http://meteo.arso.gov.si/met/en/. Accessed 6 May 2021
3. ARSO (2020) Ministry of the environment and spatial planning, Slovenian Environmental Agency.
   http://gis.arso.gov.si/evode/profile.aspx?id=atlas_voda_Lidar@Arso. Accessed 2 Jul 2020
4. Atkinson TC (1977) Carbon dioxide in the atmosphere of the unsaturated zone: An important control
   of groundwater hardness in limestones. J Hydrol 35:111–123. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-
   1694(77)90080-4
5. Badino G (2005) Underground drainage systems and geothermal flux. Acta Carsologica 34:277–316.
   https://doi.org/10.3986/ac.v34i2.261
6. Badino G (2010) Underground meteorology - “What’s the weather underground?” Acta Carsologica
   39:427–448
7. Baldini JUL (2010) Cave atmosphere controls on stalagmite growth rate and palaeoclimate records.
   In: Pedley HM, Rogerson M (eds) Tufas and Speleothems: Unravelling the Microbial and Physical
   Controls, 336th edn. Geological Society of London, London, pp 283–294
8. Baldini JUL, Baldini LM, McDermott F, Clipson N (2006) Carbon dioxide sources, sinks, and spatial
   variability in shallow temperate zone caves: Evidence from Ballynaminta Cave, Ireland. J Cave Karst
   Stud 68:4–11
9. Baldini JUL, Bertram RA, Ridley HE (2018) A first approximation of the Earth's second largest
   reservoir of carbon dioxide gas. Sci Total Environ 616–617:1007–1013.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.10.218
10. Baldini JUL, McDermott F, Hoffmann DL, et al (2008) Very high-frequency and seasonal cave
    atmosphere PCO2 variability: Implications for stalagmite growth and oxygen isotope-based
    paleoclimate records. Earth Planet Sci Lett 272:118–129. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2008.04.031
11. Batiot-Guilhe C, Seidel J-L, Jourde H, et al (2007) Seasonal variations of CO\textsubscript{2} and \textsuperscript{222}Rn in a
    mediterranean sinkhole - spring (Causse d'Aumelas, SE France). Int J Speleol 36:51–56.
    https://doi.org/10.5038/1827-806x.36.1.5
12. Benavente J, Vadillo I, Carrasco F, et al (2010) Air carbon dioxide contents in the vadose zone of a
    Mediterranean karst. Vadose Zo J 9:126–136. https://doi.org/10.2136/vzj2009.0027
13. Benavente J, Vadillo I, Liñán C, et al (2015) Influence of the ventilation of a karst show cave on the
    surrounding vadose CO\textsubscript{2} reservoir (Nerja, South Spain). Environ Earth Sci 74:7731–7740.
14. Bergel SJ, Carlson PE, Larson TE, et al (2017) Constraining the subsoil carbon source to cave-air CO2 and speleothem calcite in central Texas. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 217:112–127. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2017.08.017

15. Blatnik M, Mayaud C, Gabrovšek F (2019) Groundwater dynamics between planinsko polje and springs of the ljubljanica river, Slovenia. Acta Carsologica 48:199–226. https://doi.org/10.3986/ac.v48i2.7263

16. Blecha M, Faimon J (2014) Karst soils: Dependence of CO2 concentrations on pore dimension. Acta Carsologica 43:55–64

17. Borsato A, Frisia S, Miorandi R (2015) Carbon dioxide concentration in temperate climate caves and parent soils over an altitudinal gradient and its influence on speleothem growth and fabrics. Earth Surf Process Landforms 40:1158–1170. https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3706

18. Bourges F, Genty D, Perrier F, et al (2020) Hydrogeological control on carbon dioxide input into the atmosphere of the Chauvet-Pont d’Arc cave. Sci Total Environ 716:. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.136844

19. Breecker DO, Payne AE, Quade J, et al (2012) The sources and sinks of CO2 in caves under mixed woodland and grassland vegetation. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 96:230–246. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2012.08.023

20. Covington MD (2016) 8. The importance of advection for CO2 dynamics in the karst critical zone: An approach from dimensional analysis. In: Feinberg JM, Gao Y, Alexander Jr. EC (eds) Caves and Karst Across Time. Geological Society of America, p 0

21. Covington MD, Knierim KJ, Young HA, et al (2021) The impact of ventilation patterns on calcite dissolution rates within karst conduits. J Hydrol 593:125824. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2020.125824

22. Covington MD, Knierim KJ, Young HA, et al (2020) Cave airflow patterns control calcite dissolution rates within a cave stream: Blowing Springs Cave, Arkansas, USA. In: EarthArXiv. https://eartharxiv.org/t7a4q/. Accessed 22 Jun 2020

23. Covington MD, Perne M (2015) Consider a cylindrical cave: A physicist’s view of cave and karst science. Acta Carsologica 44:363–380. https://doi.org/10.3986/ac.v44i3.1925

24. Cowan BD, Osborne MC, Banner JL (2013) Temporal variability of cave-air CO2 in central Texas. J Cave Karst Stud 75:38–50. https://doi.org/10.4311/2011ES0246

25. Cuezva S, Fernandez-Cortes A, Benavente D, et al (2011) Short-term CO2(g) exchange between a shallow karstic cavity and the external atmosphere during summer: Role of the surface soil layer. Atmos Environ 45:1418–1427. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.12.023

26. Domínguez-Villar D, Lojen S, Krklec K, et al (2015) Is global warming affecting cave temperatures? Experimental and model data from a paradigmatic case study. Clim Dyn 45:569–581. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-014-2226-1
27. Ek C, Gewelt M (1985) Carbon dioxide in cave atmospheres. New results in Belgium and comparison with some other countries. Earth Surf Process Landforms 10:173–187. https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3290100209

28. Ek C, Godissart J (2014) Carbon dioxide in cave air and soil air in some karstic areas of Belgium. A prospective view. Geol Belgica 17:102–106

29. Faimon J, Lang M, Geršl M, et al (2020) The “breathing spots” in karst areas—the sites of advective exchange of gases between soils and adjacent underground cavities. Theor Appl Climatol. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-020-03280-7

30. Faimon J, Ličbinská M (2010) Carbon dioxide in the soils and adjacent caves of the moravian karst. Acta Carsologica 39:463–475. https://doi.org/10.3986/ac.v39i3.76

31. Gabrovšek F, Grašic B, Božnar MZ, et al (2014) Karst show caves - How DTN technology as used in space assists environmental monitoring and tourist protection - Experiment in Postojna Cave. Nat Hazards Earth Syst Sci 14:443–457. https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-14-443-2014

32. Gallino L (1924) Tavole del Rilievo dell R.R. Grotte di Postumia 1:500. Karst Research Institute ZRC SAZU, Postojna (Slovenia)

33. Garcia-Anton E, Cuezva S, Fernandez-Cortes A, et al (2014) Main drivers of diffusive and advective processes of CO2-gas exchange between a shallow vadose zone and the atmosphere. Int J Greenh Gas Control 21:113–129. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2013.12.006

34. Garcia-Anton E, Cuezva S, Fernandez-Cortes A, et al (2017) Abiotic and seasonal control of soil-produced CO2 efflux in karstic ecosystems located in Oceanic and Mediterranean climates. Atmos Environ 164:31–49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.05.036

35. Gregorič A, Vaupotič J, Gabrovšek F (2013) Reasons for large fluctuation of radon and CO2 levels in a dead-end passage of a karst cave (Postojna Cave, Slovenia). Nat Hazards Earth Syst Sci 13:287–297. https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-13-287-2013

36. Gregorič A, Vaupotič J, Šebela S (2014) The role of cave ventilation in governing cave air temperature and radon levels (Postojna Cave, Slovenia). Int J Climatol 34:1488–1500. https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.3778

37. Guirado E, Ramos-López D, Maldonado AD, et al (2019) Modeling carbon dioxide for show cave conservation. J Nat Conserv 49:76–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnc.2019.03.002

38. Houillon N, Lastennet R, Denis A, et al (2017) Assessing cave internal aerology in understanding carbon dioxide (CO2) dynamics: implications on calcite mass variation on the wall of Lascaux Cave (France). Environ Earth Sci 76:1–19. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-017-6498-8

39. James EW, Banner JL, Hardt B (2015) A global model for cave ventilation and seasonal bias in speleothem paleoclimate records. Geochemistry, Geophys Geosystems 16:1044–1051. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GC005658

40. Jeannin P-Y, Malard A, Häuselmann P, Meury P-X (2017) Effect of Cave Ventilation on Karst Water Chemographs. In: Renard P, Bertrand C (eds) EuroKarst 2016, Neuchâtel. Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp 129–139
41. Jeannin PY, Hessenauer M, Malard A, Chapuis V (2016) Impact of global change on karst groundwater mineralization in the Jura Mountains. Sci Total Environ 541:1208–1221. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.10.008

42. Kowalczyk AJ, Froelich PN (2010) Cave air ventilation and CO2 outgassing by radon-222 modeling: How fast do caves breathe? Earth Planet Sci Lett 289:209–219. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2009.11.010

43. Kowalski AS, Sánchez-Cañete EP (2010) A New Definition of the Virtual Temperature, Valid for the Atmosphere and the CO2-Rich Air of the Vadose Zone. J Appl Meteorol Climatol 49:1692–1695. https://doi.org/10.1175/2010JAMC2534.1

44. Krajnc B, Ferlan M, Ogrinc N (2017) Soil CO2 sources above a subterranean cave—Pisani rov (Postojna Cave, Slovenia). J Soils Sediments 17:1883–1892. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-016-1543-x

45. Kukuljan L, Gabrovšek F, Covington MD The relative importance of wind-driven and chimney effect cave ventilation: Observations in Postojna Cave (Slovenia). Int J Speleol (in review)

46. Lang M, Faimon J, Godissart J, Ek C (2017) Carbon dioxide seasonality in dynamically ventilated caves: the role of advective fluxes. Theor Appl Climatol 129:1355–1372. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-016-1858-y

47. Liñán C, del Rosal Y, Carrasco F, et al (2018) Highlighting the importance of transitional ventilation regimes in the management of Mediterranean show caves (Nerja-Pintada system, southern Spain). Sci Total Environ 631–632:1268–1278. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.02.304

48. Liu Z, Dreybrodt W, Wang H (2010) A new direction in effective accounting for the atmospheric CO2 budget: Considering the combined action of carbonate dissolution, the global water cycle and photosynthetic uptake of DIC by aquatic organisms. Earth-Science Rev 99:162–172. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2010.03.001

49. Luetscher M, Jeannin PY (2004) Temperature distribution in karst systems: The role of air and water fluxes. Terra Nov 16:344–350. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3121.2004.00572.x

50. Mandić M, Mihevc A, Leis A, Bronić IK (2013) Concentration and stable carbon isotopic composition of CO2 in cave air of Postojnska jama, Slovenia. Int J Speleol 42:279–287. https://doi.org/10.5038/1827-806X.42.3.11

51. Martin JB (2017) Carbonate minerals in the global carbon cycle. Chem Geol 449:58–72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2016.11.029

52. Mattey DP, Atkinson TC, Barker JA, et al (2016) Carbon dioxide, ground air and carbon cycling in Gibraltar karst. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 184:88–113. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2016.01.041

53. Mattey DP, Atkinson TC, Hoffmann DL, et al (2021) External controls on CO2 in Gibraltar cave air and ground air: implications for interpretation of δ13C in speleothems. Sci Total Environ 146096. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.146096

54. McDonough LK, Iverach CP, Beckmann S, et al (2016) Spatial variability of cave-air carbon dioxide and methane concentrations and isotopic compositions in a semi-arid karst environment. Environ
55. Milanolo S, Gabrovšek F (2015) Estimation of carbon dioxide flux degassing from percolating waters in a karst cave: Case study from Bijambare cave, Bosnia and Herzegovina. Chemie der Erde 75:465–474. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemer.2015.10.004

56. Milanolo S, Gabrovšek F (2009) Analysis of Carbon Dioxide Variations in the Atmosphere of Srednja Bijambarska Cave, Bosnia and Herzegovina. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 131:479–493. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10546-009-9375-5

57. NOAA/ESRL (2021) Global Greenhouse Gas Reference Network. Global Monthly Mean CO2. https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/trends/global.html. Accessed 13 May 2020

58. Noronha AL, Hardt BF, Banner JL, et al (2017) Trade winds drive pronounced seasonality in carbonate chemistry in a tropical Western Pacific island cave—Implications for speleothem paleoclimatology. Geochemistry, Geophys Geosystems 18:384–399. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GC006644

59. Noronha AL, Johnson KR, Southon JR, et al (2015) Radiocarbon evidence for decomposition of aged organic matter in the vadose zone as the main source of speleothem carbon. Quat Sci Rev 127:37–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2015.05.021

60. Peyraube N, Lastennet R, Villanueva JD, et al (2017) Effect of diurnal and seasonal temperature variation on Cussac cave ventilation using CO2 assessment. Theor Appl Climatol 129:1045–1058. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-016-1824-8

61. Pfliptsch A, Piasecki J, Wiles M, et al (2010) Dynamic climatologic processes of barometric cave systems using the example of Jewel Cave and Wind Cave in South Dakota, USA. Acta Carsologica 39:449–462. https://doi.org/10.3986/ac.v39i3.75

62. Pla C, Cuezva S, Garcia-Anton E, et al (2016a) Changes in the CO2 dynamics in near-surface cavities under a future warming scenario: Factors and evidence from the field and experimental findings. Sci Total Environ 565:1151–1164. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.05.160

63. Pla C, Fusi N, Fernandez-Cortes A, et al (2016b) Role of soil pore structure in water infiltration and CO2 exchange between the atmosphere and underground air in the vadose zone: A combined laboratory and field approach. Catena 149:402–416. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2016.10.018

64. Prelovšek M, Šebela S, Turk J (2018) Carbon dioxide in Postojna Cave (Slovenia): spatial distribution, seasonal dynamics and evaluation of plausible sources and sinks. Environ Earth Sci 77:. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-018-7459-6

65. Šebela S (2019) Postojna—Planina Cave System, Slovenia. In: White WB, Culver DC, Pipan TBT-E of C (Third E (eds) Encyclopedia of caves, Third Edit. Academic Press, pp 812–821

66. Šebela S (2010) Accesses from the surface to the Postojna Cave system. Ann Ser Hist Nat 20:55–64

67. Šebela S (1998) Tectonic Structure of Postojnska jama Cave System, 18th edn. Založba ZRC, Ljubljana

68. Šebela S (1992) Geological characteristics of Pisani rov in Postojna Cave [in Slovene]. Acta Carsologica XXI:97–116
69. Šebela S, Čar J (2000) Velika Jeršanova Doline - A Former Collapse Doline. Acta Carsologica 201-212(12)

70. Šebela S, Turk J (2011) Local characteristics of Postojna Cave climate, air temperature, and pressure monitoring. Theor Appl Climatol 105:371–386. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-011-0397-9

71. Šebela S, Turk J, Pipan T (2015) Cave micro-climate and tourism: Towards 200 years (1819-2015) at Postojnska jama (Slovenia). Cave Karst Sci 42:78–85. https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.1.3969.9687

72. Serrano-Ortiz P, Roland M, Sanchez-Moral S, et al (2010) Hidden, abiotic CO2 flows and gaseous reservoirs in the terrestrial carbon cycle: Review and perspectives. Agric For Meteorol 150:321–329. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2010.01.002

73. Sherwin CM, Baldini JUL (2011) Cave air and hydrological controls on prior calcite precipitation and stalagmite growth rates: Implications for palaeoclimate reconstructions using speleothems. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 75:3915–3929. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2011.04.020

74. Spötl C, Fairchild IJ, Tooth AF (2005) Cave air control on dripwater geochemistry, Obir Caves (Austria): Implications for speleothem deposition in dynamically ventilated caves. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 69:2451–2468. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2004.12.009

75. Whitaker T, Jones D, Baldini JUL, Baker AJ (2009) A high-resolution spatial survey of cave air carbon dioxide concentrations in Scoska Cave (North Yorkshire, UK): Implications for calcite deposition and re-dissolution. Cave Karst Sci 36:85–92

Figures
Plan of (a) Postojna Cave (PC) and (b) Pisani Passage (PP) with the locations of the continuous monitoring and CO2 spot measurements. Map source: cave register at the Karst Research Institute ZRC SAZU. The plan of the Pisani Passage is adapted from Gallino (1924). Labelling of the monitoring sites: (1) Old Cave (OC), (2) Brezimeni passage (BP), (3) Pisani passage entrance (PPent), (4) Ladder depression (LD), (5) Red Hall (RD), (6) Pisani passage end (PPend), (7) Beautiful Caves (BC), (8) Tartarus. Labelling in the Pisani Passage: First depression (FD), SC Hall (SC), White Hall (WH). Red dashed lines in RD denote the CO2 profiles shown in Fig. 9 (A–A’) and Fig. S5 (B–B’).
stations (old and new) are located in a suburban flat terrain 1.2 km and 2 km SSW from the main entrance (not shown). Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.

**Figure 2**

Extended profile of Postojna Cave with typical ventilation regimes – winter (a) and summer (b). Legend: Yellow triangles – cave entrances; blue arrows – updraft; red arrows – downdraft; BP – Brezimeni Passage; PP – Pisani Passage. The horizontal scale is reduced by 50%. Besides the known entrances, many breathing holes, which are not explicitly shown, allow efficient ventilation throughout the year. The profile was drawn from the topographical data of PC available at the cave register of the Karst Research Institute ZRC SAZU, while the surface contour was acquired from the LiDAR data (ARSO 2020). c) Extended profile of the terminal part of Pisani Passage (Red Hall (RD) and White Hall (WH)) with continuous (green triangles) and spot (red x) CO2 measuring points. The White Hall is highlighted with dashed contours for visual clarity. It extends in the same direction as Red Hall and connects to the Ladder depression (LD) via a small tube, representing another outflow point of Red and White Hall. The red gradient fill marks the locations with an increased CO2 content in warm periods. The symbols for anemometers and temperature probes are analogous to Fig. 1. The complete profile of the PP is available in section S1 under supplementary materials.
Figure 3

Time series of Postojna Cave climate data for the whole study period: (a) Time series of the external temperature and temperatures in Old Cave (OC) and Beautiful caves (BC) (point 1 and point 7 in Fig. 1), (b) Cave airflow velocity at OC (negative value for a downdraft or positive for an updraft), (c) pCO2 at OC and BC. The value of 410 ppm is taken as the atmospheric pCO2 value (NOAA/ESRL 2021).
Figure 4

Time series of the parameters of the Pisani Passage microclimate. (a) Difference between cave (T3 in RD) and outside temperature (negative values typical in the summer season, positive values in winter); (b) airflow at PPent (negative = downdraft, positive = updraft); (c) pCO2 in the RD at the floor and the ceiling stations (atmospheric pCO2 = 410 ppm); (d) temperature dynamics in the RD at three different heights (T1 is the highest, T3 is the lowest)
Figure 5

Airflow dynamics in Postojna Cave compared to outside temperature and wind speed and direction (20-day window) in the cold (a) and warm period (b). Wind speed is oriented and colored depending on its direction, positive for all winds with direction 0–90° and 270–360° (winds 0–90° are colored red and marked NE), and negative for winds 90–270° (winds 135–225° are colored blue and marked S). Red arrow = NE wind forcing; Blue arrow = S wind forcing
Figure 6

Relation between the outside temperature $T_{ext}$ (and $T_{cave-Text}$, top axis) and airflow velocity at PPent for the period 2018–2019. Blue and green point clouds present records when periods with wind gusts speed above 3 m/s and 1 m/s were excluded, respectively. The value of 8.8°C is taken as the cave temperature (avg. temp. in the Pisani Passage).
Figure 7

The longitudinal pCO2 gradients across Pisani Passage, based on the mean pCO2 values for a given measurement site. The pCO2 values were categorized according to the ventilation regime prevailing in PC at the time of measurement – summer (red), winter (blue) or transitional (yellow). Legend: dashed lines – regression lines; red arrow – downdraft; blue arrow – updraft; vertical green lines – position and span of floor and ceiling CO2 records; gray labels – high-CO2 sites and PPend. Only ventilated sites away from depressions are included in the linear regression calculation (i.e. sites with high-CO2 content are excluded). pCO2 values follow a typical linear relationship with the distance from the entrance up to a distance of about 400 m, then the summer and transitional lines show a negative trend.
Figure 8

CO2 and airflow dynamics in Red Hall in relation to the outside wind (colored in relation to the main direction as in Fig. 5). Vertical gray arrows mark the beginning of the characteristic pCO2 change at floor and ceiling CO2 stations. Although during some days $T_{cave} \gg T_{text}$, the NE wind will force the airflow towards the downdraft and indirectly cause CO2 accumulation at the floor of the Red Hall.

Figure 9
Schematic representation of CO2 stratification in the Red Hall as measured by profiling on 30 May 2018 (a). The exact position of the profile is marked in Fig. 1 (cross-section A–A'). Each grid intersection represents an average pCO2 value for a certain horizontal (2–20; at 2 m intervals) and vertical position (levels A–J; at 1 m intervals). Note that the Tyrolean traverse used for instrument positioning is slightly tilted to the right. (b) All 10 vertical curves obtained from the profiling show a characteristic kink between 533 and 534 m above sea level.

**Figure 10**

Vertical CO2 profiling in the Red Hall in different ventilation regimes. The relative height scale starts at the lowest point of the RD and ends at the height of the ceiling CO2 probe. Legend: blue curve – cold period, yellow curves – intermediate period, red curves – warm period; gray dashed lines – the levels and ranges of the fixed CO2 probes.
Figure 11

a) Vertical CO2 profiles measured shortly before and after 20 minutes of intensive air mixing on 17 September 2019, when vertical pCO2 gradients were reduced from ~620 ppm/m down to ~80 ppm/m, making the pCO2 in the Red Hall practically uniform. b) The four CO2 time series of the mixing experiment carried out on 17 September 2019 in the Red Hall. Note that the vertical axis is inverted. The apparent spikes in ceiling CO2 prior to the mixing period (gray bar) occurred after our entry (gray dashed line) and the CO2 profiling performed shortly before. Legend: Dark red – Red Hall floor CO2 station; light red and light blue – CO2 instruments; dark blue – ceiling CO2 station. c) The absolute and relative vertical position of the CO2 probes

Figure 12
Cross-section of the terminal part of Pisani Passage (Red Hall) with a schematic representation of many possible airflow pathways permeating the vadose zone and reaching the surface. a) Arrows show the direction and size (not to scale) of the airflow during the downdraft (red) and the updraft (blue). b) A simple conceptual view of the airflow into the RD during the downdraft and build-up of the CO2 gradient. The size and transparency of the arrows indicate the flow rate and pCO2 value of the incoming air, respectively. Large transparent red arrows = high flow rate, low pCO2; small red arrows = low flow rate, high pCO2
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