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A B S T R A C T

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is an acute respiratory disease that has rapidly spread to become a global pandemic. Bronchoscopy is clearly a high-risk manoeuvre, so to continue endoscopic activity safely it was necessary to make many changes. We created different ways to access and exit the endoscopy theatre and reinforced our dressing/undressing regimens as well as equipment cleaning techniques. To prevent aerosol dispersion we used a bag valve mask with an antibiotic-antiviral filter, introducing the flexible bronchoscope orally rather than through the nose. For procedures with increased contagious risk a nasopharyngeal swab was required.

From the date of the first case of COVID-19 in our hospital to December 31 2020, we performed 1027 bronchoscopies, in both negative and positive patients. No outbreaks occurred within the staff and no patients are known to have developed COVID-19 after a procedure. Our experience underscores how it is possible to continue endoscopic activity safely.

1. Introduction

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is a highly transmissible and pathogenic coronavirus that emerged in Wuhan, China, in late 2019 and rapidly spread to become a global pandemic of acute respiratory disease, named “Coronavirus disease 2019” (COVID-19) [1].

San Luigi Gonzaga Hospital, located in Orbassano in northwestern Italy, has a long tradition in pulmonology and is a reference structure for the surrounding area. The interventional pulmonology centre inside it performs diagnostic and therapeutic bronchoscopies, in elective or emergency setting, 7 days a week, 24 hours a day. Certain medical procedures, just like bronchoscopy, result in close proximity with respiratory droplets and aerosol generation and are therefore considered at high-risk [2].

The first case of COVID-19 in our hospital was detected on March 3, 2020. The hospital set-up was changed and a COVID centre was established. The pandemic also had a great impact on the activity of our endoscopy centre by affecting the way we work. In order to continue our activity safely, it has been necessary to modify well-established habits and procedures, with few national [3] and international [4,5] recommendations available at the beginning of the pandemic. At the same time, the health emergency caused a sudden reduction of staff, for infection and for support in newly adapted COVID-19 wards.

Two new critical issues have so emerged from the perspective of bronchial endoscopy: firstly, the safety of personnel performing procedures on patients with established or suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection and secondly, the continuation of activities without risk or delay in non-COVID-19 patients. Moreover, since the outbreak of the pandemic bronchoalveolar lavage analysis has been recognized as an additional method for virus detection [6], although characterized by a high infectious risk [7].

2. Our response to new challenges

To ensure individual protection, prior to procedures each staff member is equipped with safety protection devices (SPD), including a water-repellent gown, double gloves, shoe covers, a neck protection cap, a face shield and a surgical mask over a filter mask (FFP2/FFP3).

In order to avoid aerosol dispersion, a bag valve mask with a standard antibacterial-antiviral filter is used in combination with a Mainz-type adaptor (Fig. 1). Rather than through the nose, the flexible bronchoscope is introduced orally through a disposable mouthpiece. This reduces the curvature of the instrument and improves control and manoeuvrability.

Different ways to access and exit the endoscopy theatre were created, with separated green (low contagious risk) and red zones (high contagious risk) (Fig. 2). Similarly, separate rooms were set
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every evening at the end of the activities and every morning before the beginning.

The bronchoscope is reprocessed by usual specific steps but allowing a double washing program by decontamination trough a dilution of 50 ml enzymatic decontaminant in 5 L of water for 15 minutes.

In addition, for procedures with long duration (e.g. endobronchial ultrasound) or increased contagious risk (rigid bronchoscopy with open circuit) a nasopharyngeal molecular SARS-CoV-2 swab is always required, even in patients with a low clinical and radiological suspicion of infection.

Procedures in patients with confirmed infection or high suspicion were always done outside the endoscopy centre, in the inpatient department of origin or in a dedicated room in the emergency department. Each procedure performed outside the centre includes disposable instruments and handheld monitors (covered with cellophane and disinfected after use). SPDs are mandatory and similar to the ones described before.

3. Our numbers during the pandemic

From the first case recorded in this hospital to December 31 2020, a total of 1027 bronchoscopies have been performed in our centre. Their details are summarized in Table 1.

We performed 118 bronchoscopies in patients with established or suspected COVID-19. In patients with proven infection, 59 procedures were performed: in 6 cases it was a diagnostic examination (e.g. over-infection), while in all the others the procedure had a therapeutic aim (e.g. haemoptysis, bronchial clearance). Similarly, 59 bronchoscopies were performed in patients with suspected infection, primarily to define clinical management. COVID-19 represented the first suspicion in 46 cases and all but 2 of these patients had already performed at least 1 molecular swab for SARS-CoV-2 that was deemed negative. COVID-19 was confirmed in 5 of 59 cases, whereas another pathogen was isolated in 31.

The staff reduction related to the in hospital re-employment in dedicated COVID-19 wards and the staff infection are two factors that could slow down the diagnostic pathway of diseases using bronchial endoscopy, especially the oncological ones. Our centre continued to perform diagnostic activities, for our and other hospitals as well as for outpatients. Specifically, during the period under review, 513 bronchoscopies were performed in oncological patients. In 303 cases the procedure had a diagnostic aim, while in 46 cases a preoperative bronchoscopy was performed. A total of 106 endobronchial ultrasound (EBUS) were performed for diagnosis and staging and 20 rigid bronchoscopies were performed with a therapeutic aim. Of all these procedures, 366 were performed after a negative SARS-CoV-2 molecular swab.

---

Fig. 1. New way to limit aerosol dispersion. The flexible instrument is introduced through a disposable mouthpiece, passing through a mask with bag valve in combination with a Mainz-type adapter. An antibacterial-antiviral filter link the adapter to the bag.

Fig. 2. Different ways to enter and exit the endoscopy theatre based on infectious risk. We get ready in the dressing room (1), keep the entrances and exits of the endoscopy theatre separated (2) and clean up in the undressing room (3).
The remaining 396 procedures performed during the period under review were mainly of emergency in nature (e.g. haemoptysis, benign or malignant severe airway stenosis, endobronchial obstruction) or checks in patients with a tracheostomy cannula. Diagnostic bronchoscopies were performed in 104 cases, including 12 EBUS cases. The rigid bronchoscope was used in 10 cases. Of all these procedures, 193 were performed after a negative SARS-CoV-2 molecular swab.

Only 4 procedures in non-COVID-19 patients were delayed because of patient positivity on the pre-examination swab.

4. Discussion and conclusions

COVID-19 pandemic has changed the way bronchoscopy is performed in order to reduce the risk of transmission for both patients and operators [8]. Moreover, although characterized by a high risk, it has proven to be a useful diagnostic tool in selected cases [9].

In order to continue our work safely and without diagnostic delays in non-COVID-19 patients, it was necessary to strengthen our dressing/undressing regimens as well as equipment cleaning techniques. Some changes in operating procedures have also been necessary. From the point of view of the safety, the most important of these is the use of a bag valve mask along with a filter that provides excellent containment of droplets and aerosol. The use of the mask led to the oral access of the instrument, which is another important change. This access, also proposed by other Authors [10], may be more comfortable for the patient, although sometimes is made more difficult for the operator by tongue movements. Instrument is less stable than with the nasal route, but manoeuvrability is still effective.

These changes in operating procedures, although they take operators time to adapt, are easily applied everywhere and so may be more handy than others described in literature [11–13].

In patients with established or suspected infection, bronchoscopy should be considered only for urgent/emergency reasons, especially for outpatients. For all other cases, the patient’s risk should be always investigated and a nasopharyngeal swab should still be requested in case of a particularly long procedure or with a rigid instrument. For elective cancer diagnosis and staging cases we preferred to rely on clinical suspicion and possibly on the use of swabs rather than on a preventive isolation as suggested by other Authors [14].

Bronchioalveolar lavage can be an effective tool for the diagnosis of COVID-19, but it exposes the personnel to considerable infectious risk and is indicated only after a nasopharyngeal specimen [15]. In our view, in patients with significant clinical/radiological suspicion and a negative nasopharyngeal swab, the benefits of bronchioalveolar lavage may outweigh the risks only if performed in highly selected patients and by experienced operators with appropriate precautions.

In conclusion, these strategies ensured that the endoscopic activity of our centre proceeded without significant diagnostic delays and no outbreaks occurred within the staff. It includes 6 nurses, 4 physicians and 1 carer, some of whom also worked shifts within dedicated COVID-19 departments during the reporting period. Only 2 employees (1 physician and 1 nurse) contracted COVID-19 within the year, but in neither case could a clear workplace episode be identified to explain the infection. No patients are known to have developed COVID-19 after a procedure. Our experience underscores that with some modifications it is possible to continue endoscopic activity safely, both for employees and patients. In addition, some of the changes adopted could also be used in the future, such as oral access that could be useful in patients at high infectious risk (e.g. tuberculosis) or with poor compliance.
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Table 1
Endoscopic procedures from March 3 to December 31 2020.

|                      | Endoscopy theatre | Inpatient department | Emergency department | Intensive care unite | Operating theatre | Total |
|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------|
| COVID-19 confirmed   | 0                 | 28                   | 0                    | 31                  | 0                 | 59    |
| COVID-19 suspected   | 12                | 21                   | 22                   | 4                   | 0                 | 59    |
| Not COVID-19         | 791               | 59                   | 17                   | 18                  | 33                | 909   |
| Total                | 803               | 99                   | 39                   | 53                  | 33                | 1027  |

Endoscopic procedures based on site of performance and “coronavirus disease 2019” infection (COVID-19).