The Mechanisms of IncRNA-Mediated Multidrug Resistance and the Clinical Application Prospects of IncRNAs in Breast Cancer
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Simple Summary: Multidrug resistance (MDR) is a major cause of breast cancer (BC) chemotherapy failure. Long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) have been shown closely related to the chemoresistance of BC. In this work, the mechanisms of lncRNA-mediated MDR in BC were elaborated from eight sections, including apoptosis, autophagy, DNA repair, cell cycle, drug efflux, epithelial-mesenchymal transition, epigenetic modification and the tumor microenvironment. Additionally, we also discuss the clinical significance of lncRNAs, which may be biomarkers for diagnosis, therapy and prognosis.

Abstract: Breast cancer (BC) is a highly heterogeneous disease and presents a great threat to female health worldwide. Chemotherapy is one of the predominant strategies for the treatment of BC; however, multidrug resistance (MDR) is a leading cause of BC chemotherapy failure. Recently, a growing number of studies have indicated that lncRNAs play vital and varied roles in BC chemoresistance, including apoptosis, autophagy, DNA repair, cell cycle, drug efflux, epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT), epigenetic modification and the tumor microenvironment (TME). Although thousands of lncRNAs have been implicated in the chemoresistance of BC, a systematic review of their regulatory mechanisms remains to be performed. In this review, we systematically summarized the mechanisms of MDR and the functions of lncRNAs mediated in the chemoresistance of BC from the latest literature. These findings significantly enhance the current understanding of lncRNAs and suggest that they may be promising prognostic biomarkers for BC patients receiving chemotherapy, as well as therapeutic targets to prevent or reverse chemoresistance.
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1. Introduction

Breast cancer (BC), as one of the most common malignant tumors, affects 30% of adult women worldwide [1]. For the treatment of BC, chemotherapy is one of the predominant strategies and has remarkably decreased mortality. However, multidrug resistance (MDR) is a leading cause of BC chemotherapy failure [2], and the complex mechanisms have not been fully elucidated. Long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) have been demonstrated to play prominent roles in many critical cellular processes, such as transcription, translation, epigenetic control, stem cell differentiation, cell autophagy and apoptosis [3]. Therefore, exploring the mechanisms of IncRNA-mediated MDR in BC has become a hot research topic in the last few years [4–6].

In this review, we summarize the latest research exploring the mechanisms of MDR and the functions of lncRNAs in BC and then elaborate on the relationship between them in eight sections (Figure 1), including apoptosis, autophagy, DNA repair, cell cycle, drug efflux, epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT), epigenetic modification and the tumor microenvironment (TME). We also discuss the potential applications of IncRNAs as biomarkers for...
diagnosis, therapy and prognosis, which may lay a foundation for the clinical development of improved strategies to overcome the chemoresistance of BC. Finally, we present a brief future outlook on the challenges and opportunities for lncRNAs in BC therapy.

Figure 1. Overview of the relationship between lncRNAs and chemoresistance in this review.

2. MDR

MDR is a phenomenon in which cancer cells exhibit reduced sensitivity to several kinds of drugs with different mechanisms [7]. According to the responsiveness of drugs, chemoresistance can be classified as intrinsic (i.e., existing before chemotherapy) and acquired resistance (i.e., developing during chemotherapy) [2,8]. Additionally, most chemotherapeutic drugs (including classical cytotoxic drugs and molecular targeted drugs) have a narrow therapeutic range. To promote clinical outcomes, it is necessary to identify the underlying molecular mechanism of MDR and bypass this barrier. To date, a large number of attempts have been made to overcome MDR, such as MDR transporter inhibitors [9], nanomedicines [10], small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) [11], and monoclonal antibodies [12]. Although some of them have already entered clinical trials, the effects are still unsatisfactory [13]. Many critical issues remain, including low therapeutic responses, drug-drug interactions, clinical trial design problems and high cytotoxicity [9,14–16]. Therefore, more new and effective therapeutic strategies are urgently needed.

3. LncRNAs

As a subclass of noncoding RNAs, lncRNAs consist of more than 200 nucleotides and are usually divided into 5 categories according to their location relative to adjacent protein-coding genes, including bidirectional, antisense, intergenic, intronic, and sense lncRNAs [17]. Although lncRNAs do not encode functional proteins, they exert biological
functions by serving as to regulate the expression of multiple target genes in cellular processes and diseases [18–20] (Figure 2 shows the biological functions of lncRNAs in gene regulation). With the development of detection technologies, circulating lncRNAs in bodily fluids may serve as valuable diagnostic and prognostic markers. For example, the lncRNA PCA3 in urine has been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) as a urine marker for prostate cancer because of its better sensitivity and specificity than prostate-specific antigen (PSA) [21–23]. The following main advantages and drawbacks of lncRNAs serving as biomarkers have been objectively identified.

**Figure 2.** Models of lncRNA mechanisms of action. (a) lncRNAs may act as decoys to lead transcription factors (TFs) away from DNA targets or directly bind to sequester complementary RNA transcripts, such as miRNAs (also known as competing endogenous RNAs or “sponges” of miRNAs). The effect of this biological function is to regulate the expression of the genes and the translation of the mRNA. (b) lncRNAs may act as scaffolds to assemble two or more proteins into a complex. (c) lncRNAs may act as guides to regulate gene expression by recruiting proteins, such as chromatin modification enzymes. (d) lncRNAs may act as enhancers in chromosome looping (also known as cis-regulatory elements) [24].

### 3.1. The Advantages of lncRNAs

1. Some circulating lncRNAs are relatively stable in bodily fluids [25,26].
2. In addition to tumor tissues, lncRNAs can also be detected in different types of body fluids (e.g., blood, urine [21], saliva [27] and plasma [25]).
3. Compared to tissue biopsy, the detection of lncRNAs is noninvasive and has acceptable specificity and sensitivity [26,28].
4. Similar to most traditional biomarkers, the levels of lncRNAs show dynamic changes with the response to tumor progression [29].
3.2. The Drawbacks of IncRNAs

(1) Most IncRNAs have lower stability, owing to a high concentration of RNases in blood circulation [30,31]. Though fragmented IncRNAs in plasma are easily missed by standard RNA-seq, new RNA-seq will be improved for identifying more IncRNAs [32].

(2) IncRNAs are characterized as tissue-specific expression and low expression level [33]. However, new methods are investigated for improving the resolution and sensitivity [34].

(3) In previous studies, many IncRNAs are validated in vitro and vivo experiments only, but not yet in clinical population. The actual clinical value of most IncRNAs remains to be determined [26].

During the last decade, the relationship between IncRNAs and MDR has attracted extensive attention in biological research. Although some of the drawbacks are pointed out above, more methods can be used to remedy or improve them. Consequently, deciphering the mechanisms of chemoresistance by analysing the functions of IncRNAs is expected. In future clinical practice, IncRNAs may not only serve as prognostic biomarkers for MDR but also be valid targets to reverse MDR and guide clinical medication.

4. Transcription Factors Involving in IncRNA Regulation

As shown in Figure 2, the main function of IncRNAs in gene regulation is mediating in transcription. In this biological process, TFs are indispensable, which can activate or repress transcription by binding sequence-specific DNA. The interaction between IncRNAs and TFs can be direct or indirect by inhibition, activation, recruitment or decoy mechanism [35]. For example, IncRNA TROJAN was discovered in ER+ BC, relating to resistance of Cyclin-Dependent Kinase 4 and 6 (CDK4/6) inhibitor [6]. Mechanistically, the transcription of CDK2 could be regulated, owing to that TROJAN hindered the combination of NF-κB repressing factor (NKRF) and RELA (a TF of the NF-κB pathway). Similarly, IncRNAs, as transcription products, require the participation of TFs [36]. TFs and IncRNAs have complex network relationship; however, only a few articles have reported a small part of it.

5. The Relationship between MDR and IncRNAs

5.1. Regulation of Cell Survival and Death

5.1.1. Suppressing Apoptosis

Apoptosis, known as programmed cell death, is the most common activation pathway in the response to chemotherapy [37]. Generally, apoptosis is mainly triggered in caspase-mediated extrinsic or intrinsic pathways (a more detailed classification is shown in Figure 3) [38,39]. Currently, most anticancer drugs used in clinical oncology induce cancer cell death through apoptotic pathways, including the p53 pathway, the Akt signalling pathway and apoptotic regulatory proteins (such as B-cell lymphoma 2 protein (Bcl-2) and Bcl-2–associated X protein (Bax)) [40,41]. Thus, activation or inactivation of apoptotic factors may lead to MDR during treatment. Many studies have shown that IncRNAs associated with apoptotic pathways are involved in MDR in several human cancers, such as glioma [42], osteosarcoma [43], ovarian cancer [44] and lung cancer [45]. For BC, as shown in Table 1, IncRNAs can also regulate apoptosis through a variety of cancer-related signalling pathways in the chemoresistance process. It has been revealed that the IncRNA H19 is overexpressed in approximately 70% of BC patients, and it has been indicated to be an oncogenic IncRNA [46]. By comparing tissues from 60 patients, Li et al. showed that H19 was significantly upregulated in BC tissues, especially in triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) [47]. In their study, they concluded that p53 could be inhibited and that the expression of TNFAIP8 was increased at high levels of H19. This finding suggests that H19 can regulate cellular physiological processes by modifying the p53 pathway. In addition, Han et al. compared the expression level of H19 in paclitaxel-resistant TNBC and paclitaxel-sensitive TNBC and found that H19 was upregulated in the former. They further confirmed that H19 notably increased the phosphorylation of Akt and mediated the expression of key proteins in the Akt signalling pathway, including p-Akt (Ser473), Akt, Bax, Bcl-2 and cleaved caspase-3 [48]. Coincidentally, upregulation of H19 was identified
in paclitaxel-resistant estrogen receptor α (ERα)-positive BC. Si et al. reported that H19 in ERα-positive BC attenuated cell apoptosis by downregulating the transcription of BIK and NOXA, which are members of the Bcl-2 family. Interestingly, they also revealed that H19 was the downstream target molecule of ERα and demonstrated that ERα could regulate the progression of BC [49]. This result suggested that the clinical efficacy of paclitaxel might be influenced in ERα-positive BC due to the high expression of H19.

Figure 3. Overview of the apoptosis pathways (lncRNA H19 is used as example clarifying the mechanism). The intrinsic pathway of apoptosis is initiated by the cell itself in response to cytotoxic stimuli. The extrinsic pathway is initiated via death receptors stimulated by death ligands. When caspase 3 is activated, the two pathways merge and lead to cell death [38]. Bax channels, Bcl-2-associated protein X channels; Bcl-2, B-cell lymphoma 2; Bcl-xL, B-cell lymphoma extra-large; Mcl-1 induced myeloid leukemia cell differentiation protein 1; DISC, death inducing signalling complex.
### Table 1. The role of lncRNAs in regulating cell survival and death in chemoresistance breast cancers.

| Function          | LncRNA   | Type           | Genomic Location | Expression Level | Resistant Drugs                                    | Cell Lines                                      | Possible Mechanism                                                                 | Reference |
|-------------------|----------|----------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
|                    | GARS     | Tumor suppressor | chr1q25.1        | ↓                | paclitaxel; cisplatin; dendrosomal curcumin         | MDA-MB-231; BT549; MCF-7; SKBR-3                  | ↑ miR-378a-5p; ↓ SUFU signaling                                                  | [50,51]  |
| Suppressing apoptosis | MEG3    | Tumor suppressor | chr14q32         | ↓                | doxorubicin; paclitaxel                            | Hs578T; MCF-7; MDA-MB-231                         | ↑ TGF-β and N-cadherin protein; ↓ MMP 2, ZEB 1 and COL3A1 expression; ↓ miR-451/↑ PBDL axis | [52,53]  |
|                    | PTENP1   | Tumor suppressor | N/A              | ↓                | adriamycin                                        | MDA-MB-231; T-47D; MCF-7                          | ↑ miR-20a; ↓ PTEN axis; ↑ PI3K/4AKT pathway                                      | [54]      |
|                    | UCA1     | Oncogene        | chr19q13.12      | ↑                | tamoxifen                                         | MCF-7; T-47D; LCC2; LCC9                          | ↑ EZH2/↓ p21 axis; ↑ PI3K/4AKT pathway; ↑ mTOR pathway                          | [55,56]  |
|                    | H19      | Oncogene        | chr11p15.5       | ↑                | paclitaxel                                        | MDA-MB-453; MDA-MB-157; MDA-MB-231; ZR-75-1; MCF-7 | ↑ AKT pathway; ↓ BIK; ↓ NOXA                                                     | [48,49]  |
|                    | SNHG14   | Oncogene        | chr15q11.3       | ↑                | trastuzumab                                       | SKBR-3; BT47                                      | ↑ Bel-2/↑ BAX signaling pathway                                                 | [57]      |
|                    | BLACAT1  | Oncogene        | chr1q22.1        | ↑                | tamoxifen                                         | T-47D; MCF-7                                      | ↓ miR-503/↑ Bel-2                                                                | [58]      |
|                    | PRLB     | Oncogene        | chr8p11.21       | ↑                | 5-fluorouracil                                    | MDA-MB-231                                       | ↓ miR-476-5p/↑ SIRT1 axis                                                       | [59]      |
|                    | LINP1    | Oncogene        | chr10            | ↑                | doxorubicin; 5-fluorouracil                       | MDA-MB-231; MDA-MB-468; MCF-7                     | ↓ p53; ↓ E-cadherin; ↑ N-cadherin; ↓ vimentin; ↓ caspase9/Bax                | [60]      |
|                    | LOC645166| Oncogene        | N/A              | ↑                | adriamycin                                        | MDA-MB-231; MCF-7                                 | ↑ NF-xB/GATA3 axis                                                              | [61]      |
| Autophagy          | EGOT     | Tumor suppressor | N/A              | ↓                | paclitaxel                                        | MCF-7; T-47D; UACC-812; SK-BR-3; HCC70; MDA-MB-453; MDA-MB-231; MDA-MB-468; BT549; Hs578T | ↑ ITPR1                                                                                     | [62]      |
|                    | ROR      | Oncogene        | chr18q21.31      | ↑                | tamoxifen                                         | MDA-MB-231; T-47D; BT474; BCAP-37; ZK-75-1; MCF-7 | ↑ PI3K/Akt/mTOR pathway; ↑ MDR1 and GST-π mRNA; ↓ LC3 and Beclin 1             | [63,64]  |
|                    | H19      | Oncogene        | chr11p15.5       | ↑                | tamoxifen                                         | MCF-7                                           | H19/SAHH/DNMT3B axis; ↑ Beclin1                                                | [65]      |
|                    | ZNF649-AS1| Oncogene        | chr19q13.41      | ↑                | trastuzumab                                       | SK-BR-3; BT474                                   | ↑ ATG5 through associating with PTBP1                                            | [66]      |
|                    | ASAH2B-2 | Oncogene        | N/A              | ↑                | everolimus                                        | BT474; MCF-7                                     | ↑ mTOR pathway                                                                  | [67]      |
| Function | LncRNA | Type | Genomic Location | Expression Level * | Resistant Drugs | Cell Lines | Possible Mechanism § | Reference |
|----------|--------|------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------|----------------------|-----------|
| DNA-repair | HCP5 | Oncogene | N/A | ↑ | cisplatin | MDA-MB-231 | ↓ PTEN | [68] |
| | PTENP1 | Tumor suppressor | N/A | ↓ | adriamycin | MDA-MB-231; T-47D; MCF-7 | ↑ miR-20a/ ↓ PTEN axis; ↑ PI3K/AKT pathway | [54] |
| | GAS5 | Tumor suppressor | chr1q25.1 | ↓ | tamoxifen | MCF-7 | ↑ miR-222; ↑ AKT/mTOR pathway; ↓ PTEN | [69] |
| | UCA1 | Oncogene | chr19q13.12 | ↑ | trastuzumab | SKBR-3 | ↓ miR-18a/↑Yes-associated protein 1 (YAP1); ↓ PTEN; ↑ CD6 | [70] |
| | G55 | Tumor suppressor | chr1q25.1 | ↓ | paclitaxel | MCF-7 | ↓ miR-613/↑CDK12 assx | [71] |
| | LINC-PINT | Tumor suppressor | N/A | ↓ | paclitaxel | MDA-MB-231, BT-20 | ↑ NONO | [73] |
| | HI9 | Oncogene | chr11p15.5 | ↑ | doxorubicin | MCF-7 | ↓ PARP1 | [74] |
| | IncMat2B | Oncogene | N/A | ↑ | cisplatin | MDA-MB-231; MCF-7 | N/A | [75] |
| | ADAMTS9-AS2 | Tumor suppressor | N/A | ↓ | tamoxifen | MCF-7 | ↑ microRNA-130a-5p; ↓ PTEN | [76] |

* The expression in resistant BC lines is indicated by arrows; ↑ for higher expression and ↓ for lower expression. § The effect of lncRNAs on associated pathways, miRNAs, genes or transcription factors involved in resistance mechanisms are indicated by arrows: ↑ induction and ↓ repression. ◦ N/A, information not available.
As discussed above, H19 is involved in different apoptosis pathways, including inhibiting p53, downregulating proapoptotic proteins (e.g., BIK), upregulating antiapoptotic proteins (e.g., Bcl-2) and phosphorylating Akt. As shown in the schematic of apoptosis (Figure 3), H19 can accelerate apoptosis processes by mediating these pathways through the opening of Bax channels and cytochrome C release. Though in different subtypes of BC, H19 involves in similar apoptosis processes. In addition to H19, other lncRNAs shown in Table 1 also play similar roles and will not be discussed further here. In summary, lncRNAs mediate BC chemotherapeutic resistance by affecting apoptotic processes and can serve as potential prognostic markers and therapeutic targets for preventing apoptosis.

5.1.2. Autophagy

Autophagy is a homeostatic process in which cellular materials are sequestered into autophagosomes and degraded by lysosomes [77–79]. Generally, autophagy is a multistep process, and the simplified steps are as follows (the specific steps are shown in Figure 4): autophagy initiation, autophagosome formation, autolysosomal fusion, and autolysosomal degradation [37,80]. In the context of tumor treatment, autophagy is widely regarded as a double-edged sword that can either facilitate or inhibit tumor progression [81]. As shown in Table 1, lncRNAs might interact with key targets to influence the efficacy of chemotherapy in the process of autophagy. Li et al. designed an original study to explore the functions of lncRNA regulator of reprogramming (ROR) in modulating autophagy. They found that the small interference-mediated lncRNA ROR could facilitate autophagy and increase sensitivity to tamoxifen by upregulating the expression of two autophagic genes—Beclin-1 and light chain 3 (LC3) [63]. In contrast, another study showed that overexpression of H19 promoted autophagy and induced tamoxifen resistance in ERα-positive BC. Using the autophagy inhibitors 3-methyladenine and chloroquine could downregulate the expression of Beclin-1 and restore sensitivity to tamoxifen [65]. For the same drug—tamoxifen, however, the situation is quite the opposite. The key reason for this phenomenon is different types of BC cells. Beclin-1, a major mediator of autophagy, can inhibit estrogenic signalling and induce tamoxifen resistance in ERα-positive BC [82]. As we discussed in the “Suppressing apoptosis” section, H19 can inhibit apoptosis by downregulating proapoptotic proteins and promote paclitaxel resistance in ERα-positive BC [49]. The same lncRNA acts via different mechanisms in the same type of BC. In short, the mechanisms of MDR are multifactorial and complex. There is no doubt that different lncRNAs mediate autophagy by modulating the expression of key autophagy-related genes and yield dramatically different effects in chemoresistant BC. However, the interactions of lncRNAs, autophagy processes and MDR remain unknown and need more research to clarify.

5.1.3. Activating DNA Repair

Considerable evidence supports that many chemotherapeutic agents exert anticancer effects by destroying the stability of genes and activating downstream DNA damage signalling pathways [83,84]. Tumor cells may activate DNA damage repair pathways to resist DNA damage and contribute to MDR [85]. Accumulating studies have reported that lncRNAs in different human cancers are related to DNA repair in MDR [86–88]. It is widely accepted that phosphatase and tensin homologue (PTEN) controls DNA repair [89,90] and exerts multiple nuclear functions [91,92]. Moreover, it also participates in the key processes of genetic transmission to promote the fidelity of DNA replication [93–95] and chromosome segregation [96–98]. Gu et al. and Li et al. reported that lncRNA growth arrest-specific transcript 5 (GAS5) functions as a tumor suppressor in chemoresistant BC. GAS5 induced resistance to chemotherapeutic drugs by suppressing PTEN, serving as a molecular sponge for miR-222 and miR-21 [69,72]. In these two situations (different molecular subtypes of BCs and different drugs), GAS5 exerts the same function by acting as a decoy and mediates the same signalling pathway. In addition to PTEN, poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) also participates in the DNA repair process, serving as an enzyme to repair single-stranded breaks [99]. Wang et al. reported that H19 plays a crucial role in doxorubicin-resistant BC
by downregulating PARP1 [74]. In the clinic, resistance to PARP inhibitors is common. Ideally, knockdown of H19 might increase the sensitivity of BC cells to doxorubicin and PARP inhibitors. This implies that targeting lncRNAs could reverse resistance, increase the effectiveness of treatment strategies and achieve good clinical efficacy. As shown in Table 1, although many lncRNAs participate in DNA repair via distinct pathways in the chemoresistance of BC, they are the tip of the iceberg. It is impossible to achieve clinical translation based on the currently available information. There is still a long way to go to fully clarify the relationship between lncRNAs and DNA repair.

![Figure 4. Summary of the steps involved in autophagy (lncRNA H19 and ROR are used as examples for clarifying the mechanism). Autophagy is initiated by the stepwise engulfment of cellular materials by the phagophore, which sequesters materials in double-membraned vesicles known as autophagosomes [80]. (a) When mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) is inhibited, mTOR complex 1 (mTORC1) isolates from the ULK1 complex. The first step of vesicle nucleation is activating Vps34, a class III phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K), to produce phosphatidylinositol-3-phosphate (PtdIns3P). (b) A part of the vesicle elongation process is to bind phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) to LC3. (c) The formation of autophagosomes is completed after closure of the phagophore double membrane, and then autophagosomes fuse with lysosomes, resulting in degradation of the contents.

5.2. Regulating the Cell Cycle

Faithful transmission of genetic information requires not only timely ordered execution and integration of DNA replication but also accurately controlled cell cycle transitions [100]. To complete the essential task of genetic transmission, cells must precisely complete the typical cell cycle, which consists of four distinct phases known as the G1, S, G2, and M phases [101]. Commonly, cancer is characterized by aberrant activity of the cell cycle resulting in uncontrolled tumor cell proliferation. As illustrated in Figure 5, the cell cycle is driven by a number of regulatory factors, including cyclins and cyclin-dependent Ser/Thr kinases (CDKs) [102–104]. Among them, CDKs exert critical functions via periodic activation and inactivation. To date, many chemotherapeutic drugs have anticancer effects by targeting the cell cycle. As one of the most effective and widely used anticancer drugs, paclitaxel is mainly applied in patients with ovarian cancer or BC and exerts its action mainly by disrupting normal microtubule dynamics and inducing cell cycle arrest at the G2/M phase transition [105–108]. Zhang et al. reported that LINCO0511, working as a molecular sponge of miR-29c, induced paclitaxel resistance in BC cells [109]. In their study, they confirmed that CDK6 was upregulated as a target of miR-29c. CDK6 is known as a cyclin D1-dependent kinase that facilitates the G1/S phase transition [110,111] (Figure 5).
Zhang et al. showed that downregulation of CDK6 attenuated the regulatory effect of miR-29c on paclitaxel cytotoxicity in BC cells. In another study, CDK6 was also upregulated in trastuzumab-resistant BC through a distinct pathway—the lncRNA UCA1/miR-18a/YAP1 pathway [70]. The upregulation of CDK6 accelerated the cell cycle, attenuated the effect of paclitaxel or trastuzumab and eventually contributed to chemoresistance. Thus, different lncRNAs may have similar mechanisms in different conditions via the same function. As shown in Table 2, lncRNAs are involved in the key regulatory factors that regulate the cell cycle of BC patients receiving chemotherapy. CDKs play a central role in controlling the cell cycle, which increases the possibility of devising therapeutic strategies based on their medicinal properties. At present, the FDA has approved CDK 4/6 inhibitors (including palbociclib, ribociclib and abemaciclib) for HR+ advanced BC [112]. Resistance to this class of drugs inevitably emerges after long-term treatment [112,113]. As a result, cell cycle-related lncRNAs may be targets for abrogating chemoresistance and enhancing the prognosis of BC patients.

**Figure 5.** Cell cycle progression and CDKs (*LINC00511* is used as example for clarifying the mechanism). The cell cycle is divided into four distinct phases: G1 (postmitotic interphase), S phase (DNA synthesis phase), G2 (postsynthetic phase), and M phase (mitosis). Mitogenic signals activate CDK4 and CDK6 complexes to initiate the phosphorylation (P) of key substrates, including the tumor suppressor retinoblastoma protein (RB), thereby releasing a gene expression program that is coordinated by the E2F family of transcription factors. The subsequent activation of CDK2-Cyclin A and CDK2-Cyclin E complexes initiates DNA replication. With the completion of DNA replication, CDK1–Cyclin A and CDK1–Cyclin B complexes form to phosphorylate targets in G2 phase. In the absence of DNA damage and following proper preparation for chromosomal segregation, the cellular default is to activate CDK1–Cyclin B complexes and progress into mitosis [103]. CDK, cyclin-dependent Ser/Thr kinase.
Table 2. The function of lncRNAs in chemoresistance breast cancers, including regulating cell cycle, drug efflux metabolism, EMT and epigenetic alteration.

| Function | LncRNA | Type | Genomic Location | Expression Level | Resistant Drugs | Cell Lines | Possible Mechanism | Reference |
|----------|--------|------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------|-------------------|-----------|
| regulating cell cycle | TMPO-AS1 | Oncogene | N/A | ↑ | tamoxifen | MCF-7 | stabilize ESR1 mRNA | [114] |
| | CASC2 | Oncogene | N/A | ↑ | paclitaxel | MDA-MB-231; MCF-7 | ↓ miR-18a-5p/↑ CDK19 axis | [115] |
| | LINC00511 | Oncogene | chr17q24.3 | ↑ | paclitaxel | MDA-MB-231; MCF-7; T-47D; Hs-578T | ↓ miR-29c/↑ CDK6 axis | [109] |
| | NEAT1 | Oncogene | N/A | ↑ | cisplatin/taxol | MDA-MB-231 | N/A | ↓ let-7 miRNA; ↓ ERα signaling | [116] |
| | LOL | Oncogene | N/A | ↑ | tamoxifen | MCF-7 | ↑ EZH2/p21 axis; ↑ PD3/PI3K/AKT pathway; ↓ miR-18a-5p | [56,118] |
| | UCA1 | Oncogene | chr19q13.12 | ↑ | tamoxifen | MCF-7; T-47D; LCC2; LCC9; BT474 | ↑ epidermal growth factor receptor pathway substrate 8 (EGF8); ↑ ERα; ↓ miR-137 | [119,120] |
| | DSCAM-AS1 | Oncogene | chr21q22.3 | ↑ | tamoxifen | MCF-7; T-47D; MDA-MB-231 | ↑ epidermal growth factor receptor pathway substrate 8 (EGF8); ↑ ERα; ↓ miR-137 | [119,120] |
| | FTH1P3 | Oncogene | N/A | ↑ | paclitaxel | MCF-7; MDA-MB-231; MDA-MB-468; MDA-MB-453 | ↓ miR-206/↑ ABCB1 | [121] |
| | MAFG-AS1 | Oncogene | N/A | ↑ | tamoxifen | MCF-7; BT474; T-47D; MCF10A | ↓ miR-339-5p/↑ CDK2 axis | [122] |
| | PRLB | Oncogene | chr8p11.21 | ↑ | 5-fluorouracil | MDA-MB-231 | ↓ miR-4766-5p/↑ SIRT1 axis | [59] |
| | GAS5 | Tumor suppressor | chr1q25.1 | ↓ | dendrosomal curcumin (DNC) | MCF7; SKBR-3; MDA-MB-231 | N/A | N/A | [51] |
| | UCA1 | Oncogene | chr19q13.12 | ↑ | trastuzumab | SKBR-3 | ↓ miR-18a/↑ Yes-associated protein 1 (YAP1); ↓ PTEN; ↑ CD6 | [70] |
| | LINP1 | Oncogene | chr10 | ↑ | Doxorubicin; 5-fluorouracil | MDA-MB-231; MDA-MB-468; MCF-7 | ↓ p53; ↓ E-cadherin; ↑ N-cadherin; ↓ vimentin; ↓ caspase9/Bax | [60] |
| | TROJAN | Oncogene | N/A | ↑ | palbociclib | MCF-7; T47D | ↑ NKRF/CDK2 axis | [6] |
| | DILA1 | Oncogene | N/A | ↑ | tamoxifen | MCF-7; 293-T; T47D | ↑ Cyclin D1 | [4] |
| | ARA | Oncogene | Xq23 | ↑ | adriamycin | MCF-7 | multiple signaling pathways | [123] |
Table 2. Cont.

| Function          | LncRNA | Type          | Genomic Location | Expression Level | Resistant Drugs | Cell Lines | Possible Mechanism | Reference |
|-------------------|--------|---------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------|-------------------|-----------|
| drug efflux       | GAS5   | Tumor suppressor | chr1q25.1        | ↓                | Adriamycin      | MCF-7      | ↑ miR-221-3p; ↑ dickkopf 2 (DKK2) axis; ↑ Wnt/b-catenin pathway | [124]    |
| metabolism        | BCR2585| Tumor suppressor | chr9             | ↓                | Taxane; anthracyclines | MDA-MB-231 | ↑ MDR1            | [125]    |
| Linc00518         | Oncogene | Oncogene   | chr6             | ↑                | Multidrug Adriamycin; Vincristine; Paclitaxel | MCF-7      | ↓ miR-199a; ↑ MRP1 axis | [126]    |
| GAT5              | Oncogene | Oncogene   | N/A              | ↑                | Paclitaxel      | MCF-7; MDA-MB-231; MDA-MB-468; MDA-MB-453 | ↓ miR-206; ↑ ABCB1 | [121]    |
| GAT5              | Oncogene | Oncogene   | chr1q25.1        | ↓                | Tamoxifen       | MCF-7      | ↑ miR-222; ↑ AKT/mTOR pathway; ↓ PTEN | [69]     |
| ROR               | Oncogene | Oncogene   | chr18q12.31      | ↑                | Tamoxifen       | BT474      | ↑ MDR1 and GST-π mRNA; ↓ LC3 and Beclin 1 | [63]     |
| H19               | Oncogene | Oncogene   | chr11p15.5       | ↑                | Doxorubicin; Anthracyclines | MCF-7      | ↑ CUL4A-ABCB1/MDR1 pathway | [127]    |
| RPII-770J1.3 TMEM25| Oncogene | Oncogene   | N/A              | ↑                | Paclitaxel      | MCF-7      | ↑ MRPI, BCRP and MDR1/P-gp | [128]    |
| | LINC00518 | Oncogene | chr10          | ↑                | Tamoxifen       | MCF-7; T-47D    | ↓ ER expression signaling pathway | [129]    |
| | MEG3   | Tumor suppressor | chr14q32        | ↓                | Doxorubicin     | HS578T       | ↑ TGF-β and N-cadherin protein; ↓ MMP 2, ZEB 1 and COL3A1 expression | [52]     |
| EMT               | NONHSAT101069 | Oncogene | chr5             | ↑                | Epirubicin      | MCF-7      | ↓ miR-129-5p; ↑ Twist1 axis | [130]    |
|                  | NEAT1  | Oncogene | N/A              | ↑                | Cisplatin/taxol | MDA-MB-231 | N/A               | [116]    |
|                  | LINC00968 | Oncogene | N/A              | ↓                | Doxorubicin     | MCF-7; KPL-4 | ↑ WNT2; ↑ Wnt2/b-catenin pathway | [131]    |
|                  | TINCR  | Oncogene | N/A              | ↑                | Trastuzumab     | SKBR-3; BT474 | ↓ miR-125b; ↑ HER-2 and Snail-1 | [5]      |
|                  | H19    | Oncogene | chr11p15.5       | ↑                | Tamoxifen; Paclitaxel | SK-BR-3; MCF-7 | ↑ Wnt pathway; ↓ miR-340-3p; YWHAZ axis | [132,133] |
|                  | PRLB   | Oncogene | chr8p11.21       | ↑                | 5-fluorouracil  | MDA-MB-231 | ↓ miR-476-5p; ↑ SIRT1 | [59]     |
|                  | LINC0894002 | Oncogene | X chromosome     | ↓                | Tamoxifen      | MCF-7      | ↑ miR200/1; ↑ TGFβ2 signaling pathway; ↑ ZEB1 | [134]    |
|                  | LINC012969 | Oncogene | chr10           | ↑                | Doxorubicin; 5-fluorouracil | MDA-MB-231; MDA-MB-468; MCF-7 | ↓ p53; ↑ E-cadherin; ↑ N-cadherin; ↑ vimentin; ↑ caspase9/8ax | [60]     |
|                  | NEAT1  | Oncogene | N/A              | ↑                | 5-fluorouracil  | MCF-7; T-47D; MDA-MB-231; ZR-75-1 | ↓ miR-211; ↑ HMGAI2 axis | [135]    |
|                  | ROR    | Oncogene | chr18q12.31      | ↑                | Tamoxifen      | MDA-MB-231; MCF-7 | ↓ microRNA-205; ↑ E-cadherin; ↑ vimentin; ↑ ZEB1 and ZEB2 | [136]    |
|                  | DLX6-AS1 | Oncogene | N/A              | ↑                | Cisplatin      | HCC1595; MDA-MB-231; HCC1806; HS578T | ↓ miR-199b-5p; ↓ paxillin signaling | [137]    |
|                  | ROR    | Oncogene | chr18q12.31      | ↑                | 5-fluorouracil; Paclitaxel | T-47D; MCF-7; SK-BR-3; Bcap-37; MDA-MB-231; MCF10A | ↓ E-cadherin; ↓ vimentin and N-cadherin | [138]    |
|                  | AT8    | Oncogene | chr14q11.2       | ↑                | Trastuzumab    | SKBR-3      | ↓ miR-200c; ↑ TGF-β signaling; ↓ ZEB1 and ZNF-217 | [139]    |
|                  | SNHG7  | Oncogene | chr9q34.3        | ↑                | Trastuzumab; Adriamycin; Paclitaxel | SKBR3; AU565; MDA-MB-231; MCF10A; MCF-7 | ↓ miR-186; ↓ miR-34a | [140,141] |
|                  | DCST1-AS1 | Oncogene | N/A              | ↑                | Doxorubicin; Paclitaxel | MDA-MB-231; BT-549; T-47D; MCF-7 | ↑ TGF-β; ↑ Snail signaling through ANXA1 | [142]    |
| Function                  | LncRNA       | Type         | Genomic Location | Expression Level * | Resistant Drugs | Cell Lines                        | Possible Mechanism § | Reference |
|---------------------------|--------------|--------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| epigenetic alteration    | AFAP1-AS1    | Oncogene     | chr4p16.1        | ↑                  | trastuzumab     | SKBR-3; BT474                    | ↑ translation of ERBB2 mRNA | [143]     |
|                           | TMPO-AS1     | Oncogene     | N/A              | ↑                  | tamoxifen       | MCF-7                            | stabilize ER1 mRNA    | [114]     |
|                           | ZNF649-AS1   | Oncogene     | chr19q13.41      | ↑                  | trastuzumab     | SK-BR-3; BT474                    | ↑ ATG5 through associating with PTBP1 | [66]      |
|                           | MIR2052HG    | Oncogene     | chr19q13.41      | ↑                  | aromatase inhibitor | MDA-MB-231; CAMA-1; Au565; 293-T; MCF-7 | ↑ LMTK3; ↓ AKT/FOXO3-mediated ESR1 transcription; ↓ PKC/MEK/ERK/RSK1 pathway; ↓ ERx degradation | [144]     |
|                           | LINC00472    | Tumor suppressor | N/A              | ↓                  | tamoxifen       | MCF-7; T-47D; MDA-MB-231; Hs578T | ↑ phosphorylation NF-κB | [145]     |
|                           | lncRNA1      | Oncogene     | chr19q13.12      | ↑                  | tamoxifen       | MCF-7; T-47D; LCC2; LCC9          | ↑ EZH2/; ↓ p21 axis; ↑ PI3K/AKT pathway | [56]      |
|                           | TINCR        | Oncogene     | N/A              | ↑                  | trastuzumab     | SKBR-3; BT474                    | ↓ miR-125b; ↑ HER-2 and Snail-1 | [5]       |
|                           | H19          | Oncogene     | chr11p15.5       | ↑                  | tamoxifen, fulvestrant | LCC2; LCC9; MCF-7 | ↑ ERx; ↑ Notch, HGF and c-MET signaling | [146]     |
|                           | BORG         | Oncogene     | N/A              | ↑                  | doxorubicin      | D2.OR; 67NR; 4T07; 4T1           | ↑ NF-kB signaling; ↑ RPA1 | [147]     |
|                           | AGAP2-AS1    | Oncogene     | chr12q14.1       | ↑                  | trastuzumab     | SKBR-3; BT474                    | ↑ MyD88; ↑ NF-kB pathway | [148]     |
|                           | SNHG14       | Oncogene     | chr15q11.2       | ↑                  | trastuzumab     | SKBR-3; BT474                    | ↑ PABPC1; ↑ Nrf2 pathway | [149]     |
|                           | MAPT-AS1     | Oncogene     | chr17q21.31      | ↑                  | paclitaxel      | MDA-MB-231; MDA-MB-468            | ↑ MAPT mRNA          | [150]     |
|                           | Linc-RoR     | Oncogene     | N/A              | ↑                  | tamoxifen       | MCF-7                           | ↑ MAPK/ERK signaling; ↑ ER signaling; ↓ DUSP7 | [151]     |
|                           | HOTAIR       | Oncogene     | chr12q13.13      | ↑                  | tamoxifen; TNF-a | MCF-7; T-47D                    | ↑ ER signaling; ↑ SRC and p38MAPK kinases; ↓ EZH2 | [152,153] |
|                           | H19          | Oncogene     | chr11p15.5       | ↑                  | paclitaxel      | ZR-75-1; MCF-7                   | ↓ BIK; ↓ NOXA | [49]      |
|                           | BDNF-AS      | Oncogene     | chr11p14.1       | ↑                  | tamoxifen       | MCF-7; T-47D; MDA-MB-231         | ↑ RNH1/TRIM21/mTOR | [154]     |
|                           | BCAR4        | Oncogene     | chr16p13.13      | ↑                  | tamoxifen       | ZR-75-1                         | ↑ ERBB2/ERBB3 pathway; ↑ AKT | [155]     |

* The expression in resistant BC lines is indicated by arrows; ↑ for higher expression and ↓ for lower expression. § The effect of lncRNAs on associated pathways, miRNAs, genes or transcription factors involved in resistance mechanisms are indicated by arrows: ↑ induction and ↓ repression. ◦ N/A, information not available.
5.3. Drug Efflux

Drug efflux is regarded as the predominant cause of MDR in human cancers. Hydrophobic chemotherapeutic drugs can be pumped out of tumor cells via the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter superfamily, thereby reducing the effectiveness of the drugs and possibly resulting in tumor recurrence [156]. To date, according to their sequence homology and structural similarities, a total of 48 human ABC transporter genes have been divided into seven subfamilies (ABCA to ABCG) [157]. Among the ABC transporter superfamily, P-glycoprotein (P-gp/ABCB1), multidrug resistance protein 1 (MRP1/ABCC1) and breast cancer resistance protein (BCRP/ABCG2) are considered to be the most closely related to MDR in cancer cells [156,158]. Recently, a number of studies have shown that IncRNAs play a key role in increasing the outflow of a wide range of chemotherapeutic agents from human cancer cells, such as colorectal cancer [159], esophageal squamous cell carcinoma [160], osteosarcoma [161] and hepatocellular carcinoma [162]. A similar function of IncRNAs has also been explored in BC (Table 2). For instance, Chen et al. found that GAS5 was downregulated in adriamycin-resistant BC cells, while the mRNA ABCB1 was upregulated based on the RNA expression profiles. Further investigating the related mechanism in detail, GAS5 regulates its target Dickkopf 2 (DKK2) by working as a molecular sponge of miR-221-3p and inhibiting activation of the Wnt/β-catenin pathway [124]. The promoter of the ABCB1 gene contains TCF4/LEF binding motifs, which are targets of β-catenin/TCF4 transcriptional regulators [163]. Therefore, the downregulation of GAS5 will disinhibit the Wnt/β-catenin pathway, increase the expression of ABCB1 and promote the exit of adriamycin from intracellular sources. With the function of regulating drug efflux metabolism, targeting IncRNAs may become a promising approach to eliminate or suppress MDR by reducing drug efflux from tumor cells. Ideally, the combination of chemotherapeutic drugs and IncRNA target drugs can reduce the dose and side effects for BC patients.

5.4. Modulating the EMT Process

EMT is a reversible dynamic process that enables various biochemical changes to occur in polarized epithelial cells and presents a mesenchymal cell phenotype, thereby improving apoptosis resistance and enhancing migration and invasion abilities [164]. Since the link between EMT and MDR was proposed in the early 1990s, the role of EMT in MDR has attracted great attention [165]. There is a growing appreciation that MDR is frequently associated with EMT in different types of cancers, including pancreatic cancer [166], bladder cancer [167] and breast cancer [168]. Several EMT-transcription factors (TFs) have been identified as master regulators of EMT, which can typically be classified into three different protein families—the ZEB (including ZEB1 and ZEB2), Snail (including Snail and Slug), and basic helix–loop–helix (including TWIST1, TWIST2, and TCF3) families [169]. These EMT-TFs are involved in many signalling pathways, such as the NF-kB [170], Notch [171], Wnt [172], Hedgehog [173], AKT-mTOR [174] and MAPK/ERK [175] pathways. It is commonly accepted that IncRNAs are associated with these EMT-TFs and signalling pathways. The functions of IncRNAs in the EMT process are critical to chemoresistant BC (Table 2). Sun et al. reported that the IncRNA small nucleolar RNA host gene 7 (SNHG7) was an oncogenic IncRNA that acts as a molecular sponge of miR-34a in BC. Furthermore, compared with the sh-NC group, they found that the EMT-related proteins vimentin and Snail were decreased in the sh-SNHG7 group, while E-cadherin was increased [176]. This result indicated that the expression of SNHG7 increased the expression of EMT-TFs and promoted the EMT process, eventually causing tumor progression (Figure 6).

Moreover, activation of EMT induces cancer cell resistance to multiple therapeutic drugs—another important property of cancer stem cells (CSCs). CSCs represent a subset of cancer cells with tumor formation, self-renewal, multiple differentiation, therapeutic resistance, tumor progression, relapse and metastasis [177]. Notably, activation of EMT enables non-CSCs to transform into CSCs [178]. In both preclinical and clinical samples, some studies have revealed that chemotherapy successfully eliminates the majority of
non-CSCs while leaving behind a considerable number of CSCs [179–181]. Recently, Li et al. further explored the potential mechanism of SNHG7 in chemoresistant BC. They found that upregulation of SNHG7 increased the percentages of CD44+/CD24− cells and the expression of stem cell factors (Oct4, Nanog, and SOX2) and promoted sphere-formation (Figure 6). The results of their study showed that SNHG7 can increase stemness in chemoresistant BC via miR-34a [141].

Figure 6. Scheme of the proposed mechanism related to IncRNA SNHG7 in EMT process. SNHG7, as a molecular sponge of miR-34a, mediating EMT process, which is driven by EMT-transcription factors (SLUG, SNAIL1, TWIST1/2, ZEB1/2) that repress epithelial marker genes and activate mesenchymal marker genes. EMT, Epithelial–mesenchymal transition; SNHG7, small nucleolar RNA host gene 7.

Overall, IncRNAs are involved in EMT progression and tumor stemness and influence the sensitivity of BC cells to chemotherapeutic drugs. A full understanding the mechanisms of EMT and the functions of IncRNAs is needed for developing new strategies to prevent EMT in patients receiving chemotherapy.

5.5. Epigenetic Modification

Epigenetic factors, such as chromatin remodeling and DNA methylation, are related to the spatial and temporal regulation of gene expression [182,183]. Therefore, a malignant phenotype may be induced by aberrant expression patterns or genomic alterations in chromatin remodelers. Although it has been reported that epigenetic factors contribute greatly to drug tolerance [184–186], most of the exact mechanisms behind these associations remain elusive. In this section, we summarized that IncRNAs regulate gene expression via epigenetic modification in chemoresistant BC cells (Table 2). As one of the most common epigenetic modifications, histone acetylation can neutralize lysine’s positive charge to relax the chromatin structure and enhance transcriptional activity [187]. As shown in Figure 7, due to histone H3 at lysine 27 acetylation (H3K27ac) modification at the promoter region, the expression of actin filament-associated protein 1 antisense RNA 1 (AFAP1-AS1) was upregulated in trastuzumab-resistant cells [143]. Then, AFAP1-AS1 could increase the translation of ERBB2 mRNA by binding to AU-binding factor 1 (AUF1) protein. Therefore, the protein level of HER-2 was upregulated and subsequently induced trastuzumab resistance in ER+ BC cells. Similarly, Dong et al. used chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays and found that IncRNA AGAP2-AS1 can recruit H3K27ac at the promoter region of myeloid differentiation factor 88 (MyD88) by binding to CREB-binding protein (CBP) and can increase the transcription of MyD88, which plays a vital role in pathogenesis as a carcinogenic protein [148]. Increasing the expression of MyD88 promotes trastuzumab resistance and tumor progression in BC cells. Consequently, even in the same cell line exposed to the same treatment, different IncRNAs may have similar functions (e.g., guides).
via different pathways. In brief, lncRNAs could play a critical biological function in regulating the expression of genes. Further research is needed to explore the deeper underlying mechanism of epigenetic modification-related lncRNAs in MDR.

Figure 7. Scheme of the proposed mechanism related to lncRNA AFAP1-AS1 in trastuzumab-resistant breast cells. Trastuzumab treatment increases AFAP1-AS1 expression, which is upregulated by H3K27ac modification at the promoter region. AFAP1-AS1 induces trastuzumab resistance by binding to the AUF1 protein and promoting the translation of ERBB2 mRNA. In addition, extracellular AFAP1-AS1 from trastuzumab-resistant cells was packaged into exosomes and disseminated trastuzumab resistance in trastuzumab-sensitive cells [143]. ILVs, intraluminal vesicles; MVBs, multivesicular bodies; H3K27ac, histone H3 at lysine 27 acetylation; AFAP1-AS1, actin filament associated protein 1 antisense RNA 1; AUF1, AU-binding factor 1.

5.6. Modifying the TME via Exosomal lncRNAs

The TME is a complex system comprised of tumor cells, stromal cells (cancer-associated fibroblasts, endothelial cells and macrophages), extracellular matrix and soluble factors (hormones, cytokines and enzymes) [188]. The TME not only plays an important role in the process of tumorigenesis, proliferation, and metastasis but also has a profound impact on chemotherapeutic efficacy. Exosomes, ranging in size from 20 to 150 nm, are membrane-derived vesicles originating from endosomal multivesicular bodies (MVBs) and play an essential role in TME. They can transfer useful information from host cells to recipient cells, such as lipids, proteins, microRNAs (miRNAs), messenger RNAs (mRNAs), and lncRNAs [189–191]. Thus, exosomal lncRNAs have been investigated to explore the mechanisms of MDR in different types of tumors, such as renal cancer [192], lung cancer [193], esophageal squamous cell carcinoma [194], BC (Table 3), gastric cancer [195], ovarian cancer [196] and cervical cancer [197].

Until now, few studies have addressed the link between exosomal lncRNAs and chemoresistance in BC (Table 3). As shown in Figure 7, Han et al. reported that exosomes from trastuzumab-resistant cells packaged extracellular lncRNA AFAP1-AS1 and transferred it to trastuzumab-sensitive cells, which also resulted in upregulation of HER-2 protein and induced resistance of recipient cells [143]. It has been reported that exosomes produced by tamoxifen-resistant LCC2 cells containing more UCA1 are incorporated into MCF-7
cells and then significantly increase tamoxifen resistance in ERα-positive BC cells [198]. Notably, lncRNAs in exosomes derived from chemoresistant BC cells could confer resistance to sensitive cells, even in different cell lines. Additionally, the infiltration of immune cells into TME plays an indispensable role in anti-tumor process. Ni et al. reported that the expression of CD73 on γδ T cells (a predominant type of regulatory T-cells) could be upregulated by lncRNA SNHG16, which is transmitted via BC-derived exosomes [199]. This is closely related to unfavorable pathological characteristics and poor prognosis of BC. Based on these reports, transmission of exosomes might provide a new idea for drug therapy, which could change the susceptibility of cells to chemotherapeutic drugs or reverse immunosuppressive microenvironment for more effective immunotherapy.

**Table 3.** The role of exosomal lncRNAs in drug resistance in breast cancers.

| LncRNA       | Type          | Genomic Location | Expression Level | Resistant Drugs       | Cell Lines               | Possible Mechanism§     | Reference |
|--------------|---------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| AAFAP1-AS1   | Oncogene      | chr4p16.1        | ↑                 | trastuzumab           | SKBR-3; BT474             | ↑ translation of ERBB2 mRNA | [143]     |
| H19          | Oncogene      | chr1p15.5        | ↑                 | doxorubicin           | MCF-7; MDA-MB-231; MDA-MB-468; MCF-7 | N/A        | [200]     |
| HISLA        | Oncogene      | chr1q43.13       | ↑                 | docetaxel             | BT474; MDA-MB-468; MCF-7 | inhibit the hydroxylation and degradation of HIF-1α | [201]     |
| AGAP2-AS1    | Oncogene      | chr1q43.14       | ↑                 | trastuzumab           | SKBR-3; BT474             | N/A        | [202]     |
| SNHG14       | Oncogene      | chr1q5.11.3      | ↑                 | tamoxifen             | MCF-7; LCC2               | ↑ Bcl-2/↓ BAX signaling pathway | [57]      |
| UCAI         | Oncogene      | chr1q13.12       | ↑                 | tamoxifen             | MCF-7; LCC2               | ↓ cleaved caspase-3     | [198]     |

* The expression in resistant BC lines is indicated by arrows; ↑ for higher expression and ↓ for lower expression. § The effect of lncRNAs on associated pathways, miRNAs, genes or transcription factors involved in resistance mechanisms are indicated by arrows: ↑ induction and ↓ repression. ° N/A, information not available.

Additionally, the utility of exosomes as minimally invasive liquid biopsies is particularly promising because of their presence in all biological fluids and their potential for multicomponent analyses [203]. Therefore, exosomal lncRNAs can serve as early diagnostic biomarkers and potential molecular targets for patients with MDR. However, it is worth noting that the period for the study of chemoresistance-related exosomal lncRNAs is short, and there are still many questions to be answered in the future.

6. The Relationship between lncRNAs and Immunotherapy

In recent years, immunotherapy is emerging as an attractive option for cancer patients, which can be divided into two types: passive and active. The outcomes of the dynamic interplay between the host immune system and tumor cells determine the effectiveness of immunotherapy. Gradually, it has been revealed that lncRNAs may serve as key regulators in this dynamic interplay, which is known as immune-related lncRNAs (IRLs) affecting immune response and disease progression.

For the host immune system, IRLs involve in differentiation and activation of immune cells, such as macrophages [204], T cells [199] and NK cells [205]. Huang et al. reported that the upregulation of NF-κB-interacting long noncoding RNA (NKILA) inhibits NF-κB activity, inducing tumor-specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) and type 1 helper T (TH1) cells to be more sensitive to activation-induced cell death (AICD) [206]. It suggested that regulating the expression of IRLs of immune cells might change their outcomes, which might be a novel way for transferring tumor immune microenvironment or immunotherapy resistance.

For tumor cells, IRLs involve in tumor immune evasion via regulating antigenicity [207] or the expression of immunoregulating molecules [208]. In TNBC, the expression of long intergenic noncoding RNA for kinase activation (LINK-A) mediates phosphorylation of TRIM71, contributing to degrade peptide-loading complex (PLC) components, Rb and p53 [207]. Consequently, the antigenicity of tumor and the capability of immunosurveillance are downregulated, contributing to affect the effectiveness of immunotherapy. It suggested that regulating IRLs of tumor might be another new way to decrease tumor immune evasion and resistance of immunotherapy.
Through biological database analysis, lots of IRLs were found to be associated with the infiltration of immune cells in BC [209,210]. Though the mechanisms correlated with most of them have not been further studied, they can also be considered as signatures to predict prognosis of BC. Based on these reports, it is concluded that IRLs can be biomarkers for predicting immunotherapy response and be targets for overcoming immunotherapy resistance.

7. The Prospective Clinical Application of IncRNAs for Overcoming MDR in BC Patients

7.1. Association of IncRNAs and Patients with BC

Indeed, existing studies are not limited to in vitro cellular and animal experiments. Many studies have also explored the relationship between IncRNAs and patients [124,143,200]. The following two situations are common. In the first case, significant differences were found in BC tissues from patients, and further experimental verification was carried out. For instance, Chen et al. collected 26 BC tissue samples from patients and compared the expression of GAS5 and ABCB1 between tissues from responders and nonresponders [124]. Then, they verified that GAS5 and ABCB1 expression was downregulated in chemoresistant patients and cell lines, indicating a positive correlation. In the second case, significant differences were first found by in vitro cellular and animal experiments and then further validated in BC patients. For instance, IncRNA AFAP1-AS1 was upregulated in trastuzumab-resistant cells, as reported by Han et al. [143]. Then, they verified this result in BC patients by statistical analysis and reported that high serum AFAP1-AS1 may be an independent prognostic factor. Briefly, some IncRNAs that are differentially expressed in cell lines are also consistent in the BC tissues of patients who received chemotherapy. The limitations of these studies are related to the small sample of patients and lack of statistical analysis of sensitivity and specificity for IncRNAs serving as biomarkers. To achieve clinical application, further experiments and larger-scale clinical trials are needed.

7.2. The Potential Roles of IncRNAs in Clinical Applications

To improve cure rates and reduce mortality, early detection, early diagnosis and early treatment are recommended for BC patients. With the development of imaging techniques, various screening tools have been applied to detect and diagnose BC, such as mammography, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), positron emission tomography (PET), computed tomography (CT), and single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) [211]. However, the sensitivity and specificity of these imaging techniques remain challenges for clinical application. As discussed above, chemoresistance-related IncRNAs might be potential biomarkers to predict chemotherapeutic response in BC. As previously reported by Si et al., oncogenic H19 could be regulated by ERα and induce paclitaxel resistance in ERα-positive BC [49]. In turn, the expression level of H19 might be used to determine whether chemoresistance occurs and the degree of resistance.

Advanced detection technologies have been applied to quantify IncRNAs, including microarrays, RNA-seq, and qRT–PCR [28]. To achieve clinical application, however, there are still several limitations that need to be overcome. From a technical point of view, the procedures of sample preparation, IncRNA extraction and detection should be standardized [212,213]. In addition, the accuracy and stability of the detection results should be ensured. From a clinical standpoint, it is most important to ensure the sensitivity and specificity of IncRNAs. In addition, not only material and instrument costs but also time and labor costs need to be considered. With technological updates and limitations solved, the detection of circulating IncRNAs applied in routine clinical practice will gain increasing popularity in the near future.

Conventional treatment strategies for BC are based on molecular subtypes, including luminal A, luminal B, HER2 type normal-like and basal-like [214]. However, BC is regarded as a highly heterogeneous disease, and it is urgent to find more individual and precise targets for personalized therapy to overcome the challenge of treatment resistance. The evidence we summarized above shows that chemoresistance-related IncRNAs may become potential targets for reversing resistance in theory. To date, there are several methods
to change the expression of lncRNAs, such as siRNAs [215], antisense oligonucleotides (ASOs) [216] and clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats-associated nuclease-9 (CRISPR/Cas9) systems [52]. Additionally, some small molecules are designed to regulate lncRNAs. For example, Hao et al. designed and identified a curcumin analogue named Comp34, which can inhibit BC by suppressing the oncogenic lncRNA NUDT3-AS4 [217]. These preclinical studies showed evidence to support the ability to reverse therapeutic tolerance by targeting lncRNAs. However, due to the complex regulatory network and the safety of targeted therapies, it still faces great challenges to translate these methods into the clinic.

8. Conclusions and Perspectives

With advances in high-throughput sequencing technologies and bioinformatic analysis, the field of chemoresistance-related lncRNAs has attracted the interest of many investigators. In this review, we systematically summarized the mechanisms of MDR and the functions of lncRNAs mediated in chemoresistant BC, including apoptosis, autophagy, DNA repair, cell cycle, drug efflux metabolism, EMT, epigenetic modification and the TME. Moreover, the association of lncRNAs and immunotherapy are also briefly discussed. We predict the future prospects of using lncRNAs as early diagnostic and/or prognostic biomarkers and potential therapeutic targets for chemoresistant BC. Notably, an increasing number of studies have demonstrated that aberrant expression of lncRNAs in BC tissues is involved in MDR by regulating some intermediate regulatory pathways, which contributes to a better understanding of the molecular mechanisms of chemotherapeutic resistance. As discussed above, it can be concluded that certain lncRNAs can regulate MDR via various signalling pathways, certain lncRNAs can induce different subtypes of cells to resist chemotherapeutic agents via the same mechanism, and a subtype of BC cells can be associated with several lncRNAs in chemotherapeutic resistance (Figure 8). Each mechanism or pathway is not independent but interacts with others. In chemotherapy-resistant BC, the extensive crosstalk among lncRNA-mediated signalling pathways leads to the formation of complex networks. Therefore, advances in the research field of lncRNAs will be important to clarify their potential significance in chemoresistant BC. Furthermore, future cancer treatment strategies may improve the prognosis of patients by combining existing anticancer drugs with drugs targeting chemoresistance-related lncRNAs. Overall, a comprehensive, in-depth and thorough understanding of the mechanisms of lncRNA-mediated chemoresistance in BC is critical for reasonable innovation, rational design and successful translation of novel anticancer approaches to precision medicine with substantially improved clinical outcomes.
Figure 8. Brief sketch map of our conclusions in this review. (a) A certain lncRNA regulates chemoresistance in a subtype of BC cell via various signalling pathways; (b) a certain lncRNA induces different subtypes of BC cells to resist chemotherapeutic agents via the same signalling pathway; (c) a certain subtype of BC cell is regulated by various lncRNAs via the same signalling pathway. (d) The lncRNAs UCA1, ROR and GAS5 are used as examples to provide a further detailed explanation.
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