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ABSTRACT

Background: Basic health research shows that the prevalence of non-communicable diseases has increased, including cancer, stroke, chronic kidney disease, diabetes mellitus, and hypertension. The impact of these changes can cause one of them to decrease the quality of life of patients. Stroke in individuals can cause several changes including physical, social and psychological changes that will affect the quality of life. This study aimed to analyze the determinants of the quality of life of patients post stroke.

Subjects and Method: This study was a cross sectional study conducted at Surakarta City Hospital, from September to October 2019. The sample used was 200 patients post stroke. The sampling technique used purposive sampling. The dependent variable was the quality of life of patients post stroke. The independent variables were age, gender, employment status, patient income, family income, attack status, post-stroke comorbidity, duration of illness, marital status, family support, level of functional disorders, and depression status. The data were collected by questionnaire. The data were analyzed by path analysis with the Stata 13 program.

Results: The quality of life of post-stroke patients increased directly in patients with married status (b=1.79; 95% CI=0.24 to 3.35; p=0.024), have a job (b=1.93; 95% CI=0.13 to 3.72; p=0.035), good family support (b=2.17; 95% CI=0.46 to 3.88; p=0.013), and mild functional disorders (b=1.68; 95% CI=0.11 to 3.25; p=0.036). Quality of life decreased directly in patients aged ≥60 years old (b=-1.99; 95% CI=-3.80 to -0.17; p=0.032), female gender (b=-1.74; 95% CI=-3.28 to -0.19; p=0.027), strokes more than 1 time (b=-1.87; 95% CI=-3.59 to -0.15; p=0.033), sick ≥6 months (b=-2.12; 95% CI=-3.87 to -0.36; p=0.018), have comorbidities (b=-1.96; 95% CI=-3.67 to -0.24; p=0.025), and depression (b=-1.40; 95% CI=-2.97 to 0.16; p=0.078). Quality of life was indirectly affected by age, illness, marital status, family support, family income, and employment status.

Conclusion: The quality of life of post-stroke patients increased directly affected by marital status, employment status, family support, and functional disorders. Quality of life was directly affected by age, gender, attack status, duration of illness, comorbidity and depression.
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**BACKGROUND**

Stroke is a disease that attacks the brain and occurs when blood flow to the brain area is blocked. Blockage in the supply of blood and oxygen can cause the nervous system to stop and even die. Organs associated with the nervous system will be difficult or even immovable (National Stroke Association, 2014; Faridah et al., 2019). Several conditions and habits can increase the risk of having a stroke. These conditions and habits are known as risk factors. The more risk factors they have, the more likely a person is to have a stroke. There are several risk factors that are controlled, such as high blood pressure and smoking. Other risk factors, such as age and gender cannot be controlled (NHLB, 2017).

The impact of a stroke that is common after a person has a stroke is a problem on the physical parts such as weakness, numbness, and stiffness. Other physical problems that can occur due to stroke are dysphagia, fatigue (lack of energy or fatigue), foot drop (inability to lift the front of the foot), hemiparesis, incontinence, pain, paralysis or palsy, seizures and epilepsy, sleep problems, muscle spasms in hands and feet, and vision problems. Stroke also has an emotional impact such as depression and pseudo bulbar affect (PBA), and its impact on the patient’s thought process and curiosity, namely aphasia, loss of memory, and vascular dementia (National Stroke Association, 2014).

The impact of stroke on individuals can cause some changes including physical, social and psychological changes. Physical changes that occur include loss of motor function, including paralysis on one side of the body, unable to walk without assistance, decreased tendon reflexes, difficulty swallowing, inability to interpret sensations, decreased visual function and changes in fulfilling the needs of daily activities (Setiawan et al., 2018).

**SUBJECTS AND METHOD**

1. **Study Design**

This was an observational analytic study with cross sectional approach. This study carried out at Surakarta City Hospital, Central Java, from September to October 2019.

2. **Population and Sample**

The population in this study were post-stroke patients who were treated at the neurological clinic of Surakarta City Hospital. A sample of 200 study subjects was selected by purposive sampling.

3. **Study Variables**

The dependent variable was the quality of life of patients post stroke. Independent variables were age, gender, work status, patient income, family income, attack status, post-stroke comorbidity, duration of illness, marital status, family support, level of functional impairment, and depression.

4. **Operational Definition of Variables**

**Age** was the length of life of a study subject from birth to when the data is collected. The measuring instrument used was a questionnaire. The data scale was continuous and transformed into dichotomous, coded 0 for <60 years old and 1 for ≥60 years old.

**Gender** was the gender of the study subject post stroke. Biological characteristics seen from outside appearance. The measuring instrument was a questionnaire. The data scale was continuous and transformed into dichotomous, coded 0= male and 1= female.

**Marital status** was the status of the study subject at the time of the study interview. The marital status here includes unmarried, widower/widowed and married. The measuring instrument was a questionnaire. The data scale was categorical, coded 0= not married/widower/widowed, 1= married.

**Employment Status** was the status of the study subject that is still working or not working anymore. The main activities done by respondents and earn income from these activities and were still carried out at the time...
of the interview. The measuring instrument was questionnaire. The data scale was categorical, coded 0 = not working, 1 = working.

**Family income** measured from the income earned by the family of study subjects in one month of work. For Surakarta City, the monthly minimum wage (MW) is IDR 1,802,700.00 (Department of Labor and Industry, 2018). The measuring instrument used was a questionnaire. The data scale used was continuous and transformed into dichotomous, coded 0 for < minimum wage and 1 for ≥ minimum wage.

**Duration of suffering from stroke** was the calculation of the duration of suffering from a stroke starting from the beginning in the diagnosis of a stroke by a doctor until the time of data collection. The measuring instrument was a questionnaire. The data scale was categorical, coded 0 < 6 months and 1 ≥ 6 months.

**Comorbidity post-stroke** was comorbidities diagnosed by doctors that arise in post-stroke patients. Post-stroke comorbidities studied in this study included hypertension, diabetes mellitus and other diseases which also suffered by the study subjects. The measuring instrument used was a questionnaire. The data scale was categorical, coded 0 = none, 1 = yes.

**Attack Status** was the history of a stroke suffered by the subject of the study at the time the study was conducted was a stroke for the first time or whether it had been more than once (repeated). The measuring instrument used was a questionnaire. The data was categorical, coded 0 = first, 1 = more than once.

**Family support** there were 4 domains of family support studied in the form of emotional support, information support, instrumental support and appreciation support. The measuring instrument used was a questionnaire. The data scale was continuous and transformed into dichotomous, coded 0 = low, 1 = high.

**Level of functional disorders** was the degree of functional impairment which was a measure of functional impairment obtained by study subjects after a stroke. The measuring instrument used was a questionnaire. The data scale was continuous and transformed into dichotomous, coded 0 = not depressed, 1 = depressed.

**Depression Status** was a condition of mood disturbance in the elderly in a prolonged manner that generally arises due to loss of hope or feelings of helplessness. The measuring instrument used was a questionnaire. The data scale was continuous and transformed into dichotomous, coded 0 = not depressed, 1 = depressed.

**Life quality post-stroke** was the quality of life possessed by study subjects after a stroke and treatment, both outpatient and inpatient in hospitals. The measuring instrument used was a questionnaire. The data scale was continuous and transformed into dichotomous, coded 0= poor, 1= good.

5. Data Analysis

Univariate analysis was used to describe each dependent and independent variable. Bivariate analysis was used to determine the relationship between age, gender, marital status, employment status, family income status, duration of illness, attack status, comorbidity, family support, functional impairment, and depression to quality of life as measured by the chi-square test. Multivariate analysis was conducted by path analysis model run on Stata 13.

6. Research Ethic

This study was conducted based on research ethics, namely informed consent, anonymity, confidentiality, and ethical eligibility. Ethics permission in this study was obtained from the Health Research Ethics Commission Dr. Moewardi, Surakarta, Indonesia, No.1.052/IX/HREC/2019.
RESULTS

A. Sample Characteristics

The sample description described the continuous data in the study variables.

Table 1. Description of characteristics of study subjects

| Variables          | Criteria          | Frequency (n) | Percentage (%) |
|--------------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------|
| Age                | <60 years old     | 94            | 47.00          |
|                    | ≥60 years old     | 106           | 53.00          |
| Gender             | Male              | 94            | 47.00          |
|                    | Female            | 106           | 53.00          |
| Patients’ Education| Junior high school| 70            | 35.00          |
|                    | Senior high school| 119           | 59.50          |
|                    | College           | 7             | 3.50           |
| Marital Status     | Not Married/Widowed| 94            | 47.00          |
|                    | Married           | 106           | 53.00          |
| Employment Status  | Not Working       | 112           | 56.00          |
|                    | Working           | 88            | 44.00          |
| Patient’s Income   | Below MW          | 100           | 50.00          |
|                    | Above MW          | 100           | 50.00          |

Table 2. Description of categorical data sample characteristics

| Variables          | Criteria               | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|--------------------|------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Age                | <60 years old          | 94        | 52.00          |
|                    | ≥60 years old          | 106       | 48.00          |
| Gender             | Male                   | 94        | 47.00          |
|                    | Female                 | 106       | 53.00          |
| Marital Status     | Not married/widowed    | 94        | 47.00          |
|                    | Married                | 106       | 53.00          |
| Employment Status  | Not working            | 112       | 56.00          |
|                    | Working                | 88        | 44.00          |
| Family Income      | Below MW               | 97        | 48.50          |
|                    | Above MW               | 103       | 51.50          |
| Attack Status      | First                  | 84        | 42.00          |
|                    | More than once         | 116       | 58.00          |
| Comorbidity post stroke | None       | 94        | 47.00          |
|                    | Yes                    | 106       | 53.00          |
| Duration of illness| <6 Months              | 94        | 47.00          |
|                    | ≥6 Months              | 106       | 53.00          |
| Depression Status  | Not depressed          | 102       | 51.00          |
|                    | Depressed              | 98        | 49.00          |
| Family Support     | Low                    | 99        | 49.50          |
|                    | High                   | 101       | 50.50          |
| Functional Disorders| Severe               | 110       | 55.00          |
|                    | Mild                   | 90        | 45.00          |
| Quality of Life    | Poor                   | 92        | 46.00          |
|                    | Good                   | 108       | 54.00          |

2. Univariate Analysis

Table 2 present univariate analysis data (dichotomous data) from 200 study subjects obtained by patients aged ≥60 years old by 104 people (52%), male gender by 94 (47%), unmarried/widowed status were 94 people.
(47%), there were 112 people (56%) subjects who did not work, family income of study subjects who were under MR were 97 people (48.50%), stroke status which was more than once were 116 people (58%), there were 106 post-stroke comorbidities (53%), 106 people suffered from ≥6 months stroke (53%), there were 97 depressed (48.50%), low family support were 99 people (49.50), severe functional disorders were 110 people (55%), poor quality of life were 92 people (46%).

3. Bivariate Analysis

Table 3 showed that marital status (OR= 15.92; p<0.001), employment status (OR= 4.12; p<0.001), family income (OR= 19.68; p <0.001), family support (OR= 5.77; p <0.001), and functional disorders (OR= 15.09; p<0.001) increased the quality of life. Age (OR=0.09; p<0.001), gender (OR= 0.48; p<0.001), attack status (OR= 0.97; p<0.001), post-stroke comorbidities (OR= 0.10; p<0.001), duration of illness (OR= 0.35; p<0.001), and depression (OR= 0.07; p<0.001) decreased quality of life.

| Independent Variables                  | OR   | p       |
|----------------------------------------|------|---------|
| Age                                    | 0.09 | <0.001  |
| Gender                                 | 0.48 | <0.001  |
| Marital Status                         | 15.92| <0.001  |
| Employment Status                      | 4.12 | <0.001  |
| Family Income                          | 19.68| <0.001  |
| Attack Status                          | 0.97 | <0.001  |
| Post-Stroke Comorbidities              | 0.10 | <0.001  |
| Duration of Illness                    | 0.35 | <0.001  |
| Depression Status                      | 0.07 | <0.001  |
| Family Support                         | 5.77 | <0.001  |
| Functional Disorders                   | 15.09| <0.001  |

4. Path Analysis

Table 4 presents path analysis of the determinant of quality of life. The quality of life of post-stroke patients increased directly affected by marital status, employment status, family support, and functional disorders. Quality of life was directly affected by age, gender, attack status, duration of illness, comorbidity and depression. Quality of life was indirectly affected by age, illness, marital status, family support, family income, and employment status.

The quality of life of post-stroke patients improved in patients with married status (b=1.79; 95% CI=0.24 to 3.35; p= 0.024), have jobs (b=1.93; 95% CI=0.13 to 3.72; p=0.035), good family support (b= 2.17; 95% CI=0.46 to 3.88; p=0.013), and mild functional disorder (b=1.68; 95% CI= 0.11 to 3.25; p=0.036). Quality of life decreased in patients aged ≥60 years old (b=-1.99; 95% CI=-3.80 to-0.17; p=0.032), female gender (b=-1.74; 95% CI=-3.28 to -0.19; p=0.027), have strokes more than 1 time (b=-1.87; 95% CI=-3.59 to -0.15; p=0.033), sick ≥6 months (b=-2.12; 95% CI=-3.87 to -0.36; p=0.018), have comorbidity (b=-1.96; 95% CI=-3.67 to -0.24; p=0.025), and depression (b=-1.40; 95% CI= -2.97 to 0.16; p= 0.078).

There was an indirect relationship between quality of life and age (b=-0.94; 95% CI=0.26 to 1.61; p=0.007), duration of illness (b=0.78; 95% CI=0.07 to 1.48; p= 0.031), marital status (b=-1.12; 95% CI = -1.79 to -0.44; p=0.001), family support (b=-0.63; 95% CI=-1.29 to 0.03; p=0.063) through depression. There was an indirect relationship between quality of life and marital status (b=0.64; 95% CI=0.01 to 1.27; p= 0.048), fa-
Family income status ($b=0.71; 95\% \text{ CI}=0.08\text{ to 1.34}; p=0.028$) through family support.

There was an indirect relationship between quality of life and employment sta-
tus ($b=0.71; 95\% \text{ CI}= 0.15\text{ to 1.28}; p=0.014$) through family income.

---

**Table 4. Path analysis of the determinant of patients’ quality of life post stroke**

| Dependent Variables | Independent Variables | b     | 95\% CI          | p   |
|---------------------|-----------------------|-------|------------------|-----|
| **Direct Effect**   |                       |       |                  |     |
| Quality of Life (good) | Age (≥60 years old)   | -1.99 | -3.80 to -0.17   | 0.032 |
|                     | Gender (female)       | -1.74 | -3.28 to -0.19   | 0.027 |
|                     | Marital Status (married) | 1.79  | 0.24 to 3.35    | 0.024 |
|                     | Employment Status (working) | 1.93  | 0.13 to 3.72    | 0.035 |
|                     | Attack Status (more than 1 time) | -1.87 | -3.59 to -0.15  | 0.033 |
|                     | Duration of Illness (≥6 months) | -2.12 | -3.87 to -0.36  | 0.018 |
|                     | Comorbidity (yes)    | -1.96 | -3.07 to -0.24  | 0.025 |
|                     | Depression Status (depression) | -1.40 | -2.97 to 0.16   | 0.078 |
|                     | Family Support (good) | 2.17  | 0.46 to 3.88    | 0.013 |
|                     | Functional Disorder (mild) | 1.68  | 0.11 to 3.25    | 0.036 |
| **Indirect Effect** |                       |       |                  |     |
| Depression Status (depression) | Age (≥60 years old) | 0.94  | 0.26 to 1.61    | 0.007 |
|                     | Duration of Illness (≥6 months) | 0.78  | 0.07 to 1.48    | 0.031 |
|                     | Marital status (married) | -1.12 | -1.79 to -0.44  | 0.001 |
|                     | Family support (good) | -0.63 | -1.29 to 0.03   | 0.063 |
| Family support (good) | Marital status (married) | 0.64  | 0.01 to 1.27    | 0.048 |
|                     | Family income (above MW) | 0.71  | 0.08 to 1.34    | 0.028 |
| Depression Status | Employment status (working) | 0.71  | 0.15 to 1.28    | 0.014 |
| N observation = 200 | Log Likelihood = -401.50 |       |                  |     |
DISCUSSION

1. The effect of age on the quality of life of post-stroke patients
Age directly affected the quality of life of patients post stroke. Patients aged ≥60 years old have a logodds of good quality of life by 1.99 units lower than patients aged <60 years old.

Other studies have also shown that post-stroke patients with poor quality of life were more at risk age which was ≥55 years old compared to age without risk, female gender, and absence of medical rehabilitation (Ningrum and Martini, 2016).

Stroke is more common in patients with an average age of >55 years old because physiologically, there were physical changes associated with age, including changes in blood vessels in general, including cerebral blood vessels that become less elastic and plaque buildup in the blood vessels of the brain vessels that last for years. The presence of plaque that occurred in the blood vessels of the brain would disrupt blood circulation to the brain so that the brain would experience metabolic disorders, if it occurred continuously, ischemia and cerebral infarction would occur (Bariroh et al., 2016).

2. The effect of gender on the quality of life of post-stroke patients
The results of the analysis showed that gender directly affected the quality of life of patients post stroke. Patients who were female would decrease their quality of life by 1.74 units lower than male patients.

Study done by Lopez-Espuela et al. (2015) found that women had lower quality of life than men. This was because older women have more severe strokes and lack of family support. Gender was associated with several patterns of health and illness. Compared to men, women tend to express their chronic diseases more easily. Women consistently have more health information than men because of their health role in the family (Rahman et al., 2017).

3. The effect of marital status on the quality of life of post-stroke patients
The results of the analysis in this study indicated that marital status directly affected the quality of life of post-stroke patients. Patients who were married would have a logodds of quality of life by 1.79 units higher than patients with unmarried/widowed status.

The results of this study were in line with the study of Bariroh et al. (2016) which stated that respondents who have a poor quality of life score were respondents with widowed/unmarried status compared to respondents who have a partner. A better quality of life for the elderly was directly enhanced by strong peer support (b= 0.25; p <0.001), strong family support (b= 0.59; p= 0.002), mild dementia (b= 1.79; p <0.001), and married (b=12.73, p=0.039) (Suwarni et al., 2018).

Marital status is a form of support given to improve patient health, partner support was highly needed during the period of treatment both during the hospital or when the patient was at home, with the closeness to the partner, it can have an important effect on the healing process (Wahyuni and Rezkiki, 2015).

4. The effect of employment status on the quality of life of post-stroke patients
The results of the analysis found that employment status has a direct influence on the quality of life of patients post stroke. Patients who have jobs would have a good quality of life by 1.93 units higher than patients who were not working.

This study was in accordance with Supraba (2015) who stated that employment has a relationship with quality of life where good quality of life for people who work were 9.81 times greater than people who did not work.
Stroke can cause individuals to experience physical disabilities and setbacks in the form of limitations in moving due to weakness or paralysis in the extremities of the body, disruption in communication and thinking. In post-stroke sufferers, some of them were able to work again, but the skills they have were different from the ones before they had a stroke (Sinha et al., 2013).

5. The effect of attack status on the quality of life of post-stroke patients

The results of the analysis in this study showed that the attack status has a direct influence on the quality of life of patients post stroke. There was an effect of attack status on quality of life. Patients who have had strokes more than once would have the possibility of a good quality of life by 1.87 units lower than patients who have suffered a stroke for the first time.

This study was in accordance with the results of study conducted by Yani (2010) which states that health-related quality of life scores in first-time ischemic stroke patients were higher than recurrent ischemic stroke patients. The study also found significant differences between the average quality of life scores related to health in physical function, physical role, and energy.

Fryer et al. (2016), stated that stroke recurrence can occur due to unhealthy lifestyle factors. In addition, other risk factors that also influence were a history of hypertension, Diabetes Mellitus, cardiac abnormalities and medication irregularities. Disability and death that arise in cases of recurrent strokes was much higher than in cases of first stroke.

6. The effect of comorbidity on the quality of life of post-stroke patients

The results of the analysis in this study showed that comorbidity has a direct influence on the quality of life of patients post stroke. Patients who were diagnosed with comorbidities would have a good logodd (possibly) good quality by 1.96 units lower than patients who did not have a comorbidity.

The results of this study were in line with the study of Berrigan et al. (2016) which showed that physical comorbidities reduce the quality of life. The total effect of comorbidity can reduce 0.05 points on health quality scores. In this health quality score, the difference of 0.03 was considered clinically important, this would affect the quality of life of patients. This study showed that screening and treatment for comorbidities can cause significant improvements in quality of life and show the importance of being aware of the potential effects of comorbidity itself.

The comorbidities in this study were diabetes mellitus and hypertension which were often associated with poorer quality of life scores. This study showed that additional comorbidities can be specifically related to changes not only in physical function but also in memory and thinking. In general, post-stroke patients have comorbidities which can increase the risk of systemic medical complications during stroke recovery. Medical complications often occurred in the first few weeks of a stroke (Mutiarasari, 2019).

7. The effect of duration of illness on the quality of life of post-stroke patients

The results of the analysis showed that there was a long period of illness that directly affected the quality of life post stroke. Patients who suffer a stroke for more than 6 months would have a good quality of life by 2.12 units lower than patients who suffer a stroke for less than 6 months.

The results of this study were in line with the study of Hayulita and Sari (2014), which stated that there was a relationship between the duration of illness and the quality of life of patients post stroke. Patients who were sick more than or equal to 6 months would experience a decrease in quality
of life. Long suffering a stroke would make the patient more desperate for the disease, patients would feel helpless with their conditions even though each patient has a different defense mechanism but they would still find it difficult to deal with stressors from the disease (Handayani and Dewi, 2009).

8. The effect of depression status on the quality of life of post-stroke patients
The analysis showed that depression directly affected the quality of life. Patients who were depressed would have a good quality of life by 1.40 units lower than non-depressed patients.

The results of this study were in accordance with Sarfo et al., (2017) who stated that stroke patients with depression showed a greater reduction in physical, psychosocial, cognitive and quality of life compared to those without depression. Depression, education, and income have a direct relationship with quality of life (Prasetyaningsih et al., 2016).

9. The effect of family support on the quality of life of post-stroke patients
The analysis showed that family support directly affected the quality of life. Patients with high family support would have a logodd of good quality of life by 2.17 units higher than patients with low family support.

This result was in line with study done by Hamalding and Muharwati (2017) which stated that there was a relationship between family support and quality of life after a stroke. The higher the family support, the better the quality of life of patients post stroke. Family support can be in the form of informational support and appreciation support related to the quality of life of patients post stroke.

Family support included information support and appreciation for the quality of life of stroke patients in the post-acute phase in Wonogiri District. Family support included information about the condition of the patient and appreciation for patients from the family to increase self-confidence so that it can affect their quality of life. Therefore, it can be concluded that there was a relationship between information support and appreciation support with the quality of life of post-acute stroke patients (Rahman et al., 2017)

10. The effect of functional disorder on the quality of life of post-stroke patients
The analysis showed that functional disorders directly affected the quality of life of post-stroke patients. There was an effect of functional disorders on quality of life. Patients with mild functional impairment would have a logodd of good quality of life by 1.68 units higher than patients with severe functional disorders.

He et al. (2019) stated that there was a relationship between quality of life and functional disorders, it was explained that functional disorders can affect the main life and all activities in daily life which ultimately affect the quality of life felt by these patients.

Berrigan et al. (2016) stated that functional disorders, depression and symptoms of anxiety, fatigue, and physical comorbidities were associated with decreased quality of life post stroke. Functional disorders most strongly reduce the quality of life, the heavier the functional disorders the worse the quality of life.

11. The effect of age on the quality of life of post-stroke patients through depression
The results of the analysis of this study indicated that there was an indirect relationship of age and the quality of life of post-stroke patients through depression. Patients who are older than 60 years old would have a logodd (probability) to experience depression by 0.94 units higher than patients with less than 60 years old.
This was consistent with a study of Stella (2013) which concluded that there was a statistically significant relationship between old age and the incidence of post-stroke depression. Every increase of 1 year, it would increase the quality of life score by 0.36. The estimation has controlled the influence of family intimacy as a confounding factor using multiple linear regression analysis.

Vincent et al. (2019) linked anxiety and depression with age. As people get older, there was an increase in anxiety and depression. As the patient ages, airway aging and subsequent parenchyma occurred which contribute to more airway obstruction. Furthermore, shortness of breath accompanied by reduced bodily functions and social activities, fear and depression were increasing. Dependence on others for personal care and loss of interest in pleasurable activities, can worsen with aging resulting in increased feelings of stress and anxiety.

12. The effect of duration of illness on the quality of life of post-stroke patients through depression
The results of the analysis in this study indicated that there was an indirect relationship of illness for the quality of life of patients post-stroke through depression. Patients who have suffered a stroke for more than 6 months would have a logodd (probability) of having a depression by 0.78 units higher than patients who have had a stroke for less than 6 months.

The results of this study were in line with study by Hayulita and Sari (2014), which stated that the length of suffering from a stroke would affect a person’s condition in accepting his/her physical condition and can lead to depression. It was known that the percentage of depression was higher in post-stroke patients who suffer from stroke for ≥ 6 months (74.3%) compared to post-stroke patients who suffer from stroke for <6 months (35.3%). There was a significant relationship between the length of stroke and the incidence of depression in post-stroke patients.

13. The effect of marital status on the quality of life of post-stroke patients through depression
The results of the analysis of this study indicated that marital status was not directly related to the quality of life of post-stroke patients through depression. Patients with married status would have a logodd (probability) of experiencing depression by 1.12 units lower than patients with unmarried/widowed status.

This was in line with the study of Gbiri and Akinpelu (2012) which showed that changes in the status of a better quality of life were found in stroke patients with married status. The existence of motivational and emotional stability in post-stroke patients who have a partner can reduce the incidence of depression which resulted in an increase in the social domain on quality of life.

14. The effect of family support on the quality of life of post-stroke patients through depression
The results of the analysis of this study indicated that family support has an indirect relationship to the quality of life of post-stroke patients through depression. Patients with high family support would have a logodd (probability) of experiencing depression by 0.63 units lower than patients with low family support.

Study done by Wahyuningsih et al. (2019) stated that the risk of depression was reduced by high income, strong family support, strong social interactions, and strong social support. This was in line with study of Hayulita and Sari (2014) which showed that there was a significant and statistical relationship between family support and the incidence of depression in post-stroke patients. Depression was more common in post-stroke patients who have poor family support.
support compared to post-stroke patients who have good family support.

15. The effect of marital status on the quality of life of post-stroke patients through family support

The results of the analysis in this study indicated that marital status was not directly related to the quality of life of post-stroke patients through family support. Patients with married status would have a logodd of good family support by 0.64 units higher than patients with unmarried/widowed status.

The results of this study were in line with study conducted by Baumann et al. (2012) which stated that respondents who were married had a better quality of life compared to respondents who were not married (widower/widowed). Marital status is a form of social support for stroke patients, because life partners can provide support to carry out healthy and positive life behaviors (Ardi, 2011).

16. The effect of family income on the quality of life of post-stroke patients through family support

The results of the analysis in this study indicated that family income have an indirect relationship to post-stroke quality of life through family support. Patients with a family income status above the MW would have a logodd of good family support by 0.71 units higher than patients with a family income which was below the MW.

Sunarti (2013) stated that the economy has always been an important aspect of family life. As income increased, the ability of individuals to meet financial needs also increased. Economic pressure caused by spending greater than income and debt. This high economic pressure can cause unhappy family life. With the family income status above the MW, the fulfillment of daily needs would be better and it can also increase family support.

17. The effect of employment status on the quality of life of post-stroke patients through family income

The results of the analysis in this study indicate that patient’s employment has an indirect relationship to quality of life post-stroke through family income. Patients with working status would have a logodd of family income above the MW by 0.71 units higher than patients without employment status.

Study done by Rahman et al. (2017) showed that work can help the family’s financial condition, while working can help in the direction of physical recovery, increase self-confidence and reduce depression and improve the quality of life of patients post stroke. Stroke sufferers with low economic level have lower quality of life compared to stroke patients with high economic status. Economic status is very important because it can help to reduce depression that arised in stroke patients (Jun et al., 2015).
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