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Abstract

The presence of reproductively altruistic castes is one of the primary traits of the eusocial societies. Adaptation and regulation of the sterile caste, to a certain extent, drives the evolution of eusociality. Depending on adaptive functions of the first evolved sterile caste, eusocial societies can be categorized into the worker-first and soldier-first lineages, respectively. The former is marked by a worker caste as the first evolved altruistic caste, whose primary function is housekeeping, and the latter is highlighted by a sterile soldier caste as the first evolved altruistic caste, whose task is predominantly colony defense. The apparent functional differences between these two fundamentally important castes suggest worker-first and soldier-first eusociality are potentially driven by a suite of distinctively different factors. Current studies of eusocial evolution have been focused largely on the worker-first Hymenoptera, whereas understanding of soldier-first lineages including termites, eusocial aphids, gall-dwelling thrips, and snapping shrimp, is greatly lacking. In this review, we summarize the current state of knowledge on biology, morphology, adaptive functions, and caste regulation of the soldier caste. In addition, we discuss the biological, ecological and genetic factors that might contribute to the evolution of distinct caste systems within eusocial lineages.
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Introduction

The origin of eusociality is one of the major transitions in evolutionary history [1]. Eusocial lineages are characterized by cooperative brood care, overlapping generations and reproductive division of labor [2]. The latter is regarded as the hallmark of eusociality [1, 3]. Eusociality has been documented in phylogenetically distant taxa including insects (Hymenoptera [4], termites [4], aphids [5], thrips [6], and beetles [7]), crustacean (snapping shrimp [8]), and mammals (naked mole rats [9]). Despite the diverse taxonomic distribution, a single characteristic is common to all eusocial lineages: the evolution of a reproductively altruistic caste [4]. It is believed that behavioral and morphological adaptations of these “reproductively selfless” individuals contribute to the major advantages of social living and are essential for the spectacular evolutionary success of eusociality [2, 4].

Independent origins of eusociality result in remarkable variation in adaptive functions of altruistic castes. Two functionally distinct sterile castes, soldier and worker, are the cornerstones of the eusocial societies [4, 10]. Workers and soldiers display many distinct characteristics (Fig.1). The worker caste is, typically, the numerically dominant caste in a colony [4], except for the workerless inquiline ant species [11]. *Pogonomyrmex colei*, an endemic species equipped with a psammophore, a bearded structure for digging and moving sand particles, is often found living commensally with a much larger congeneric species,
Pogonomyrmex rugosu. With few exceptions (in ants), worker caste exhibits limited morphological modifications (except for the body size) from the reproductives (Fig. 1g-h) [4]. Workers are capable of performing all housekeeping tasks such as nest construction, foraging, brood care and defense [7, 9, 12]. The physical soldier caste is numerically few in the colony, comprising 2-50% of the population [13-15]. This caste displays morphological and behavioral specializations for defense (Fig. 1a-f), which distinguish them from the reproductive caste or ordinary colony members [4, 16, 17].

Eusocial lineages exhibit remarkable differences in the evolution of a sterile caste. Based on the very first sterile caste evolved in a society, we categorize these lineages into worker-first and soldier-first eusociality, respectively. In worker-first lineages, which include eusocial Hymenoptera (ants, bees and wasps) [10, 18], naked mole rats [9] and possibly eusocial ambrosia beetles [7], workers are the first sterile caste evolved. In the soldier-first lineages, ranging from termites [10, 18], eusocial aphids [17], gall-dwelling thrips [6], to snapping shrimps [8], the sterile soldier caste is the only, or first evolved sterile caste. Both types of eusociality are highly successful in terms of their biomass, social complexity and ecological dominance [4, 19].
Extensive studies of life history, function and caste regulation in eusocial hymenopterans shed light on the ultimate and proximate mechanisms underlying the evolution and maintenance of eusociality [2, 4, 12, 20-22]. Soldier-first lineages, which exhibit substantial differences from the worker-first Hymenoptera [10, 23-25], provide alternative and complementary models for the comprehensive understanding of the evolution of eusociality [6, 26, 27]. In this review, we present an up-to-date summary of our current knowledge regarding the soldier caste. We define the soldier caste as individuals that possess no or reduced reproduction and that are both morphologically and behaviorally specialized for defense. This excludes old workers that may defend the nest (also called temporal soldiers) in bees and wasps [28-30]. Our primary focus is the bona fide soldier caste in soldier-first eusocial lineages, which evolved directly from the reproductive form [17, 31, 32]. We do include the physical soldiers in ants throughout this review because of their morphologically and behaviorally specialization for defense [4].Although it is still debatable whether ant soldiers evolve from reproductive models for the comprehensive understanding of the evolution of eusociality [6, 26, 27]. In this review, we present an up-to-date summary of our current knowledge regarding the soldier caste. We define the soldier caste as individuals that possess no or reduced reproduction and that are both morphologically and behaviorally specialized for defense. This excludes old workers that may defend the nest (also called temporal soldiers) in bees and wasps [28-30].

**The soldier caste**

**What constitutes a soldier?**

Soldier-first eusociality is not uncommon across phylogenetically distant taxa. In termites, the soldier caste represents a final developmental stage (Table 1) [38]. They differentiate from juveniles through molting and the final stage is always preceded by a pre-soldier stage [39]. These soldiers are considered as permanent juveniles because their prothoracic glands do not degenerate during morphogenesis [40]. In eusocial aphids, the soldier caste maybe 1st or 2nd immature instars, depending on the species (Table 1) [17]. These aphids either never molt or remain instars for a prolonged time period before developing into adults [41]. Caste determination takes place during embryonic development or at a late 1st instar stage [41-43]. In social thrips, the soldiers are adult male or female offspring of the foundress (Table 1) [6, 44]. Caste determination seems to occur in the egg [45]. In the eusocial snapping shrimp of the genus *Synalpheus*, soldiers are large, mature males with powerful major chelae, which defend the queen and juveniles against hetero/ conspecific intruders (Table 1) [8, 46, 47].

Besides soldier-first lineages, physical soldiers have been documented in few Hymenoptera lineages (Table 1). In ants with a polymorphic worker system, a major worker is commonly called “soldier” because of its morphological and behavioral specialization for defense [33, 34]. Most recently, a physical soldier caste was discovered in a neotropic stingless bee, *Tetragonisca angustula* [15]. The body size of the soldier bees is larger than foragers and they are specialized for nest defense.

### Table 1. The soldier caste in different eusocial lineages.

| Lineage     | Origin\(^a\) | Stage\(^b\) | Sex\(^c\) | Function | Representative Species | Reference |
|-------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|----------|------------------------|----------|
| Shrimp      | R            | A           | M         | Defense  | *Synalpheus regalis*    | [8]      |
| Aphid       | R            | J           | F         | Defense  | *Tuberaphis styrci*     | [175]    |
|             |              |             |           | Colony hygiene | *Pemphigus syrphideae* | [63]    |
| Thrip       | R            | A           | M&F       | Defense  | *Oncothrips intermedium* | [32]    |
| Termite     | R            | J           | M&F       | Defense  | *Kladothrips intermedius* | [66]    |
| Hymenoptera\(^d\) | W\(^e\) | A           | F         | Reproduction | *Pheidole bicornata* | [178]    |
|             |              |             |           | Nurse    | *Pheidole megacephala* megacephala | [179]    |
|             |              |             |           | Seed milling | *Solenopsis geminata* | [180]    |
|             |              |             |           | Food storage | *Colobopsis ripponicus* | [62]    |
|             |              |             |           | Tropical egg laying | *Crematogaster smithi* | [167]    |

\(^a\): evolutionary origin of the soldier caste.

\(^b\): the developmental stage of the soldier caste.

\(^c\): Sex of the soldier caste.

\(^d\): only include the physical soldier caste in ants here.

\(^e\): Ant soldier is originated from either reproductives or worker. R: Reproductive form, W: Worker caste, A: Adult, J: Juvenile, M: Male, F: Female.
Interestingly, a physical soldier caste is also documented in non-eusocial animals. A trematode species (*Himasthla* sp.), which infects the California horn snail, *Cerithidea californica*, produces two morphologically distinct forms, a soldier morph and a reproductive morph, within the host [7, 22]. The soldier morph defends the reproductive morph against interspecific competitors within the same host [48, 49]. A physical soldier caste is also documented in a polychromatophore wasp, *Copidosoma floridanum* (*Encyrtidae*), during its larval stage inside the host [50]. In this species, females lay eggs in the host, and the subsequent embryonic proliferation produces about 3000 embryos [51, 52]. Most embryos develop into larvae that will eventually develop into adults, while a small portion develops into "soldier" larvae [51]. These soldier larvae defend siblings against competitors but are not able to develop beyond the larval stage, and die from desiccation after the host is consumed [53, 54].

**Morphological distinctions of the soldier caste**

Anatomical specialization for defense is a typical trait of a soldier caste (Fig.1). In social aphids, a soldier usually possesses an enlarged body, sclerotized tergites and styles, enlarged forelegs or sharp frontal horns, which are adaptations to attack Dipteran or coleopteran predators (Fig. 1d) [41]. In galler-forming thrips, the soldier caste possess reduced wings (micropterous) and armed forelegs [6, 55], making them efficient defenders against predators and kleptoparasitic thrips (gall-stealing species of *Koptothrips*) [32]. Termite soldiers have various physical modifications for nest defense [16, 38]. Soldiers in all termite lineages possess heavily sclerotized and pigmented head capsules, which are stronger than those of workers and reproductive (Fig. 1a,b) [38]. In some lineages, soldiers possess enlarged mandibles that are used to attack intruders by biting, crushing and slashing (Fig. 1b) [56]. This morphological adaptation enables soldiers to fight and kill equal sized competitors and predators, such as ants. In genus *Nasutitermes*, soldiers possess an ampule-shaped head capsule that houses the frontal gland to eject toxic terpenoid chemicals (Fig. 1a) [56]. In genera *Cryptotermes* [57] and *Reticulitermes* [58], the size and shape of soldier head are adapted for phragmosis defense (blocking the nest entrance to prevent invaders from entering the nest) [56]. Snapping shrimp soldiers are larger than nest mates in body size and possess powerful major chelae [8, 46, 47]. When faced with an intruder, the soldier shrimp aggressively snaps at the enemies until they are killed or expelled from the nest.

In comparison to soldier-first lineages, a physical soldier caste is limited in worker-first lineages, which exists predominantly in few ant species with elaborated morphological specializations [4]. In the ant genera *Pheidole* and *Solenopsis*, soldiers possess a large body size and a disproportionately enlarged head (Fig. 1f) [19]. These traits are likely adaptations for fighting or phragmosis defense [59]. Soldiers in the genus *Eciton* possess large, fishhook-shaped mandibles, which are believed to be effective weapons against vertebrates [4, 60]. Some species in the genera *Pheidole*, *Solenopsis*, and *Camponotus*, are trimorphic within the worker caste, such that soldiers can be of multiple sizes and are subdivided into "small" and "super" soldiers [61]. In stingless bee, *Tetragonisca angustula*, the soldier caste is bigger, heavier and has larger hind legs in comparison to regular workers, which enable them to carry out effective nest defense (Fig.1e) [15].

The soldier morph of trematode is smaller and possesses much larger mouthparts than the reproductive morphs [48, 49]. The enlarged mouthparts facilitate their fighting with conspecific intruders, while the smaller body size can enhance defensive functionality by facilitating dispersion of soldier morphs through the host tissue [48, 49]. In polychromatophore wasp *C.floridanum*, soldier larvae possess elongate body and are equipped with specialized fighting mandibles, which enables them to fight with inter-clonal competitors [53, 54].

**Adaptive functions of the soldier caste**

Besides nest defense, the soldier caste in many eusocial lineages has evolved various adaptive functions (Table 1). In many ant species, soldiers may participate in seed milling, food storage and even brood care, in addition to nest defense [2, 59, 62]. Soldiers from social aphids are actively involved in gall cleaning and repair [17, 63, 64]. These individuals eliminate defecated honeydew, shed skins and carcasses, and also repair gall openings that are damaged by predators [63]. Soldiers within galler-forming thrips could lay eggs and produce dispersers [45, 65]. Hence they contribute to colony reproduction, despite that they have reduced fecundity when compared to the foundress [45]. A recent study found that soldiers of the social thrips, *Kladothrips intermedius*, perform an antifungal function by secreting specific compounds to control the fungal pathogen *Cordyceps bassiana* [66]. In termites, soldiers of *Nasutitermes costalis* may control the growth of a nest microbe by releasing terpenoid secretions from their frontal gland [67]. Termitic soldiers, sometimes, also serve as foraging scouts [68-71]. This task is considered an adaptation of the soldier caste to reduce predation risk in the early phase of foraging activities, during which nest members are more likely to be exposed to other predators [68]. Soldiers of *Prorhinotermes simplex* participate in the transportation of eggs after the colony is disturbed.
Moreover, the soldier caste in some termite species also contributes to colony reproduction. High numbers of soldiers have been observed to accompany and protect alates, thereby assisting the swarm [73]. The presence of soldiers also appears to stimulate the production of supplementary reproductives [38, 73], a process which is associated with the juvenile hormone (JH) [38, 74]. According to Henderson [38], termite soldiers act as a “JH sink” that uptakes JH from nest mates during the caste transition process to decrease the JH level of the reproductively competent immature workers [38]. In some basal termite families (e.g., Termopsidae), there is a fertile soldier caste in addition to the regular soldiers [75]. This soldier caste, by itself, is a reproductive caste. Fertile soldiers possess defensive morphology and, in the meantime, they also have well-developed gonads and are capable of egg-laying [75].

**Soldier caste transition**

**What is the cost of maintaining a soldier caste?**

In most eusocial lineages, the physical soldier caste is costly to produce and maintain [4, 38, 43]. Termite soldiers are unable to collect food and must depend on the assistance of workers for food [38, 76]. Overloading of soldier caste, i.e., maintaining a higher than normal soldier percentage in a colony, adversely impacted the survivability of the termite colony [14]. In ants, production of soldiers requires a high level of nutrition to be received by the brood [4, 77]. In social aphids, the production of soldiers can slow down the intrinsic growth rate of the colony [56]. In comparison to a temporal based caste system, the morphology-based caste is less flexible in modulating caste compositions in response to environmental cues [4, 78]. This is one of the reasons that most hymenopterans employ temporal-based nest defense (age-dependent polyethism) as opposed to a physical soldier caste [78]. To maximize colony fitness in face of a trade-off between enhanced defense and soldier maintenance, eusocial lineages must regulate soldier production in response to various environmental cues to ensure adequate defensive capability while minimizing fitness costs [13, 48, 79].

**Epigenetic factors**

Various environmental cues have been documented to affect the regulation of soldier caste in soldier-first lineages. These cues can influence colonial decisions in soldier production and allocation by affecting both the necessity of defensive investment and the level of available resources for defensive investment. Predation and competition could increase the colonial demand for soldier investment. Shibao [80] hypothesized that production of soldiers should be responsive to the level of predation or competition risk to optimize colony fitness. Consistent with this “optimized defense” hypothesis, studies in diverse lineages show that soldier production and allocation could be affected by environmental factors. Direct risk of predation and competition, which is caused by the presence of predators and competitors, can prolong the soldier instar in social aphids [81], and increase the production of the soldier caste in ants [79] and polyembryonic wasps, *C. floridana* [82]. Indirect risk which is represented by the likelihood of encountering predation or competition, can also affect soldier production. In the aphid *Pseudoregma sundanica*, ant tending can inhibit the production of sterile soldiers [83]. Excluding ants from a *P. sundanica* colony, causes a loss of protection against predators and represents a higher predation risk, thereby resulting in increased soldier production [83]. Similarly, the social trematode (*Philophthalmus* sp.) produces fewer soldiers when the trematode parasitizes larger host snails, which are less likely to be co-infected with other competing trematodes [48]. Seasonal or temperature changes, which could affect predator abundance, may affect soldier production in termites and aphids. In a subterranean termite, *Reticulitermes flavipes*, the percentage of soldiers reaches its peak in the spring when temperatures are increasing, which is advantageous for the protection of emerging alates [14, 38]. In the bamboo aphid, *P. bambucicola*, soldier percentage in a colony started to increase in late summer, reached a peak in autumn, and then abruptly decreased in early winter [84].

The abundance and/or spatial distribution of predators and competitors can also affect the distribution of soldiers within a colony. Social societies allocate their soldier caste to regions in which predation and competition risks are high [68, 69, 80]. In *P. bambucicola*, soldier distribution was biased toward peripheries that are exposed to predators [80]. In termites, soldier density was substantially greater during the exploratory phase of foraging in unknown territories where a higher risk of intra- or inter-specific confrontations was present [68, 69, 85]. Interestingly, when the Eastern subterranean termite, *R. flavipes*, encountered corpses from a congeneric competitor, *R. virginicus*, significant more *R. flavipes* soldiers were recruited to the burial site in comparison to the treatment with *R. flavipes* corpses [86].

Other epigenetic factors, including colony size and population density, can also affect the soldier production [87, 88]. In two social aphid species, *Tuberaphis styraci* and *Pseudoregma bambucicola*, increased colony size is consistently associated with higher soldier ratio [88, 89]. A similar correlation has been documented in carpenter ant species *Camponotus no-
queen-soldier interactions in the soldier-first lineages. In the worker-first Hymenoptera and naked mole rats, the presence of the queen could suppress the development of new queens or inhibit worker reproduction, through physical aggression or queen pheromone [92-97]. In the soldier-first lineages, the presence of primary or secondary queens has been shown to inhibit the differentiation of new reproductive [98-100], while facilitate soldier differentiation in termites [101-103]. Interestingly, the soldier caste in lower termites seems to regulate queen production by controlling JH level of nestmates through an unknown mechanism [38]. Future study is needed to explore queen-soldier interactions in the soldier-first lineages.

**Resource availability and allocation**

The abundance and quality of food resources are closely associated with soldier abundance. Since development and maintenance of this caste are energetically costly, soldier investment is favored when a colony is nutritionally sound [104, 105]. In a subterranean termite, *Coptotermes formosanus*, soldier production took place when workers received a high-nutritional food (e.g. pine wood) instead of a low-nutritional food (e.g. filter paper) [105, 106]. A mathematical model [107] showed that food availability and allocation can affect soldier production in lower termites. Based on the model, soldiers are produced from young workers when food is abundant, and are produced from older workers when food is scarce [107]. Resource availability can also affect soldier production in ants, in which high quality food (a high protein diet) is believed to be essential for the development of soldiers from larval ants [77, 108-111].

**Regulation of soldier caste differentiation**

**Regulatory mechanisms**

Juvenile hormone (JH), an important growth hormone in insects, has been implicated in caste determination and division of labor [112-114]. In termites, JH is essential for soldier caste differentiation and is responsive to environmental cues, including nutrition, temperature and seasonality [105, 115]. It has been demonstrated in many different species that JH induces worker-soldier transition [116-119], in which externally applied JH or a JH analog (JHA) stimulated pre-soldier formation in termites [113, 120-122]. A similar association between JH and soldier production has been found in eusocial Hymenoptera. Topical application of JH or methoprene (a JH analog) on larval ants promotes the development of the soldier morph in several ant species [78, 123, 124].

Besides JH, soldier caste differentiation appears to be self-regulated through positive and negative feed-back mechanisms in termites [38, 125], aphids [43] and ants [110]. In termites, soldiers dictate its own percentage by inhibiting worker-soldier caste differentiation through down-regulating of JH titer in workers [38, 120, 125]. In addition, pheromones might also play a role in the self-regulation process. Lefeuve [125] suggested that soldier differentiation in a higher termite, *Nasutitermes lujae*, might be inhibited by a contact pheromone secreted from the frontal glands of soldiers. Consistent with this hypothesis, two soldier-produced terpenes, γ-cadinene (CAD) and γ-cadinenal (ALD) have recently been extracted from the soldier head of *R. flavipes*. These soldier head extracts, serving as primer pheromones modulating the JH response threshold in workers, clearly exhibited regulatory effects on soldier differentiation [126, 127]. Similarly, ant soldiers may also regulate the JH level of their nestmates. Modulation occurs during the larval stage, possibly through an inhibitory soldier pheromone, which can be transferred though brood feeding [110]. In the aphid *Tuberaphis styraci*, physical contact seems to be important in self-regulation [42]. Frequent physical contact among non-soldier nymphs due to crowding can trigger soldier differentiation [42]. On the other hand, the "coexisting" soldiers can suppress the soldier differentiation [42, 43].

**Molecular basis**

The molecular basis underlying the soldier caste differentiation has been investigated in termites, and several genes and regulatory pathways have been implicated in the worker-soldier transition in termites. *SOL1*, a soldier specific gene in the Japanese damp-wood termite, *Hodotermopsis japonica*, is expressed exclusively in terminally differentiated soldiers but not in presoldiers [39]. It is believed that *SOL1* is one of the most downstream genes in the cascade because the expression of *SOL1* begins after caste differentiation is completed [39]. A recent study identified another soldier specific gene, *HsjCib*, in the Japanese rotten-wood termite *Hodotermopsis sjostedti* [128]. This gene, categorized as a β-thymosin, encodes an actin binding protein. It is considered as a potential downstream effector in the soldier morphogenesis and is likely involved in cephalic morphogenesis and neural reorganization. *Hexamerins*, a family of storage proteins, have been shown to play an essential role in the regulation of soldier differentiation in termites.
Downstream pleiotropic effects caused by hexamerins silencing significantly affect the expression of soldier morphogenesis-associated genes [117] and eventually induce JH-dependent presoldier formation [129]. These mechanistic studies indicate that hexamerins possess JH-sequestering capabilities and can regulate worker-soldier transition by modulating the availability of JH [129]. As a negative regulator, hexamerins are also responsive to environmental stimuli, including epigenetic factors such as temperature and nutrition [117] as well as predation and competition stress (Li and Zhou, unpublished data), which, in turn, influence the downstream soldier formation. These combined findings suggest that the hexamerins are one of the environmentally responsive factors that exert a regulatory function by connecting upstream epigenetic factors to downstream caste differentiation responses [117].

Recently, it has been demonstrated that soldier morphogenesis is associated with the insulin/insulin-like growth factor signaling (IIS) pathway in the termite H. sjostedti [130]. Termite orthologs of the IIS pathway, including HsjInR, HsjPKB/Akt, were up-regulated during soldier morphogenesis [130]. It is believed that insulin signaling could interact with JH and may play an important role in mandible elongation during soldier formation [130].

The evolution of soldier caste

Distinctively different caste distributions have been observed in worker-first and soldier-first lineages. A physical soldier caste is not common in worker-first lineages, and have only been documented in a few ant species, and recently in a stingless bee T. angustula [4, 78]. On the other hand, the presence of a true worker caste is not common in soldier-first lineages, and has only been documented in several termite families [40]. The distinct caste distribution between worker-first and soldier-first lineages strongly suggests functional tradeoffs between these two groups during the eusocial evolution (e.g. improved brood care for worker first lineages and enhanced colony defense for soldier-first lineages) [10, 18]. It also suggests that the evolution of eusociality in these two groups could be driven by different biological, ecological, and genetic factors [10, 18, 26, 131].

Biological factors

Caste determination is inevitably affected by the distinctively different developmental pathways, including the holometabolous hymenopterans and the hemimetabolous termites. Metamorphosis can affect the evolution of the worker caste via immature dependency. The holometabolous development in Hymenoptera creates highly dependent larval instars, and such dependency is believed to promote the evolution of workers [25]. The extensive brood care required by highly dependent larvae may encourage the evolution of alloparenting. For example, social Hymenoptera are evolved from solitary lineages exhibiting extensive brood provision for helpless young [10]. By contrast, the hemimetabolous termites produce relatively independent immature instars [25, 132]. These immatures are, by themselves, capable laborers to carry out various tasks for the colony. In comparison to the holometabolous hymenopterans, evolving specialized workers for brood care might not be the top priority in the hemimetabolous termites. Indeed, true workers are, generally, absent in the primitive termites [24, 132].

The evolution of a distinct physical soldier caste is not common in the holometabolous Hymenoptera. Holometabolous hymenopterans typically reach the maturation at the adult stage with limited developmental flexibility. To maintain an optimum caste composition, a holometabolous colony would have to precisely anticipate environmental changes to adjust larval development, which would be difficult in a consistently changing environment [4]. Camponotus impressus, a plug-headed ant species, was seen to produce constantly low ratio of soldier to worker in new brood regardless of variation in resource, competition and predation. Therefore the species exhibits limited ability to adjust its soldier ratio in response to environmental variations by producing new ants [133]. In contrast, hemimetabolous termites consist of a series of immature instars retaining developmental plasticity [4]. These totipotent immatures can differentiate into various physical castes depending on the colony needs [38]. Consequently, physical caste adjustment does not require production of new brood, but can be achieved through caste differentiation among existing colony members. Hemimetabolism therefore leads to a greater flexibility in the development of a physical caste system. Especially, in lower termites, the soldier caste can be developed from multiple immature stages [134].

In addition, the morphological peculiarity can also impact the evolution of physical castes. It is believed that species that are anatomically well-equipped for defense might not need a highly specialized soldier caste, including social hymenopterans which possess hard exoskeletons, stings or toxic glands [4, 18]. The sting, in particular, might be an adequate defense mechanism to replace a bonafide soldier caste [4, 18]. In contrast, termites and aphids are both soft-bodied insects that possess few, if any, defensive structures. Therefore, the evolution of a defensive caste would greatly improve the overall colony fitness. This tradeoff has been observed in a
stingless bee, Tetragonisca angustula, in which the reduced sting is attributed to the presence of anatomically distinct guard bees [15].

**Ecological factors**

Ecological factors, such as nesting structure/habitat can have profound influence on the evolution of castes. By dwelling and feeding inside their nest, foraging is not necessarily required for the soldier-producing lineages, suggesting that evolution of foragers might not be essential in these lineages [25]. In some basal termite species, which nest in a single piece of wood log for their lifetime, true workers are absent [25]. By contrast, true worker caste does evolve in termite species nesting in separate locations, in which foraging outside the nest is mandatory [40]. In addition, saturated nesting site, limited resource, and high predation and competition pressure demand effective defensive strategies [135-137], which can lead to the evolution of distinct soldier caste [10]. In social aphids, predation risk seems to be the primary driving force for the evolution of soldier caste [89, 138]. Intraspecific competition likely drives soldier evolution in termites [75], snapping shrimps [139], and social trematodes [49]. Similarly, interspecific parasitism is thought to be the main driving forces for the evolution of the soldier caste in social thrips [32].

In contrast, most social hymenopterans have strong flight capability and have the tendency to explore new territories [10, 25]. This would free the Hymenoptera lineages from strong local competition for nesting sites. In addition, Foraging outside the nest is required for colony survival, which may lead to the evolution of foragers in social hymenopterans [10]. The convergent evolution between ants and termites reflects the ecological influences on the evolution of distinct castes [4, 25]. With a subterranean nesting structure similar to termites, predation and completion stress may select for the evolution of a distinct soldier caste in some ant species [79, 140, 141]. On the other hand, termite species with separated nesting structures and consistently foraging outside the nest may contribute to the evolution of a true worker caste in these lineages [40, 142].

**Genetic factors**

Debates over the contribution of genetic relatedness to the evolution of altruistic caste have been ongoing for many years [21, 23, 143-146]. Currently, the controversy seems to lie in whether close kinship is a driving factor or merely a consequence of the evolution eusociality [147, 148] and whether ecological factors or genetic factors contribute more in shaping eusociality [149-154]. In Hymenoptera, the haplodiploid genetic system results in higher genetic relatedness of workers to females (siblings) than to males (brothers and sons) [21, 22]. Supporting kin selection theory [21], workers seem to favor raising the most related full siblings than less related males, leading to a female-biased sex ratio in a colony [22, 155, 156].

Most soldier-first lineages are diploid animals. The sex ratio theory based on asymmetric relatedness does not seem to apply to these lineages [18, 145, 157]. Nevertheless, a high level of genetic relatedness has been assumed in many soldier-first lineages, because of their reproductive strategies and lifestyle [10, 18, 157]. For example, in social aphids, colony members can be genetically identical due to clonal reproduction. In termites, high genetic relatedness is achieved through monogamy [158], inbreeding [159] and chromosomal translocations [160]. In addition, high genetic relatedness via inbreeding has also been documented in social thrips [161, 162]. Recently, Kobayashi et al [150] documented a mother-son in-breeding system in two Reticulitermes species, R. virginicus and R. speratus. This asymmetric genetic system indicates that colony members are more related to the queen (female) than they are to the king (male). In agreement with kin selection theory, reproductive alate populations were found to be strongly female biased in colonies with mother-son inbreeding, suggesting that colony members favor the sex to which they are more related [150].

Despite the clear contribution from genetic factors, studies on soldier-first lineages implicate the significance of biological and ecological factors in shaping the evolution of an altruistic caste [151-154]. First, some soldier-first lineages exhibit no clear correlation between genetic system and sex ratio bias. The gall-dwelling thrips, for instance, is the only haplodiploid soldier-first lineage. Unlike female-biased Hymenopteran colonies, soldier thrips could be of both sexes [6]. The same is true of the eusocial ambrosia beetle, Austroplatypus incompertus [7]. Being diploid, workers of the beetle are all female [7]. Herrera [145] recently argued that a high degree of inbreeding might override the haplodiploidy in eusocial thrips, making both sexes close related, and that the eusocial beetle A. incompertus might be derived from a haplodiploid ancestor. However, this hypothesis remains to be tested by future studies. In addition, many soldier-first lineages might not possess high genetic relatedness. Colony fusion, which could decrease of the genetic relatedness between nest mates, has been reported in termites [163] and aphids [164]. Inbreeding within termite species is believed to be less frequent than previously assumed [154]. Furthermore, empirical studies suggest that the soldier caste of some social aphids lacks kin discrimination;
hence they lack the behavioral basis for kin selection [151, 152, 165].

Although the correlation between genetics and the development of altruistic castes has been extensively studied, few efforts have focused on how genetic factors contribute to distinct caste evolution among eusocial lineages. Yamamura [18] developed a model of genetic correlation with caste distribution. Comparative study of social aphids, termites and Hymenoptera suggested that genetic identity based on clonal reproduction could favor the evolution of a soldier caste [18]. Diploid system could allow the evolution of both workers and soldiers. Haplodiploidy could favor the evolution of only workers [18]. However, this model seems to be based on an over-simplified analysis that did not incorporate other factors that may be important for caste evolution. In addition, the suggested genetic correlations of caste distribution failed to explain caste system in more recently discovered eusocial lineages such as social thrips (haplodiploid, with only soldiers) [32], eusocial beetles (diploid, with only workers) [7], naked mole rats (diploid, with only workers) [9] and snapping shrimps (diploid, with only soldiers) [8].

**Perspectives and questions**

**How to define a soldier caste?**

The term “soldier caste” implicates the primary adaptation of this caste as nest defense. However, soldier castes in some lineages can perform other adaptive functions [17, 63, 66, 72]. In some cases, soldiers do not carry out defense at all. A soldier caste that carry out no defense is known in many ants species [2, 166, 167], where they may be adapted for other function [4]. Although controversial [153, 168], Myles [169] suggested that the morphology of termite soldiers might originally be adaptations for neotenic competition for nest inheritance and breeding position. Recent discovery of anti-fungal function in the gall-dwelling thrips *K. intermedius* [66] suggests that fungal pathogens might be major selective factors for soldier evolution in social thrips [66]. These findings suggest that ecological factors other than defense might be involved in shaping the evolution of the soldier caste. It is also possible, that soldier castes in some lineages is primarily adapted for other function with nest defense being subsequently formed. Therefore, future efforts should focus on exploring the function of the soldier caste in each lineages, since such information would undoubtedly lead to deeper insights into the evolution of soldier caste and eusociality.

In addition, questions may arise when the term “soldier” is used to describe the sterile caste in some lineages without knowing whether the caste is truly specialized for nest defense. In ants, the term “soldier” is used to describe wingless caste that are neither workers nor reproductives and that possess limited task repertoire, regardless whether the individual carry out nest defense [167, 170]. However, we suggest precautions in the use of “soldier” in the soldier-first lineages [4]. Since the “soldier” is the first specialized sterile caste in these lineages, functions of this sterile caste, which is directly reflected by its name, may directly indicate ecological implications in eusocial evolution of these lineages [4]. Therefore, use of “soldier” to define these sterile castes without comprehensive understating of their adaptive functions would mislead our understanding of eusocial evolution in these lineages.

**The relative importance of ecological and genetic factors in shaping caste function**

Despite the fact that both soldiers and workers exhibit reproductive altruism, the two castes are distinct in their adaptive functions. Current debates regarding how genetics and ecological factors contribute to the development of eusociality primarily focus on how these factors contribute to the evolution of altruistic helping(why to help), whereas the remarkable functional differences (how to help) between the two castes are not sufficiently considered. Although it has been demonstrated in many lineages that genetic relatedness might contribute to evolution of altruism in both worker-first and soldier-first lineages, little genetic correlation has been found to explain distinct caste distribution in worker-first and soldier-first lineages. However, a comparison between worker-first and soldier-first lineages suggests the presence of strong ecological and biological correlations for such differences. Therefore, while eusocial evolution could be attributed to both genetic and ecological factors, the function and distribution of altruistic castes are primarily shaped by the latter.

**Soldier-derived eusociality**

Classical theories on eusocial evolution and maintenance, such as kin selection, primarily focus on the sterile worker caste in the social Hymenoptera [2, 21]. However, the discovery of soldier-first lineages suggests that social evolution could take place under very different genetic and ecological conditions and can take different forms (soldier first eusociality versus worker first eusociality). Furthermore, the discovery of a physical soldier caste in trematodes and parasitic wasps indicates that a distinct altruistic caste can also be present in non-eusocial systems, as long as biological and ecological factors essential for caste evolution are met. Therefore, study on the soldier...
caste and soldier-first lineages have led to new insights into the evolution of caste and eusociality. More importantly, the soldier-first lineages provide important complementary additions to comparative models available for study social evolution, because of their distinct biological and ecological traits. Despite a growing interest in the study of soldier castes [66, 130, 150, 167, 171], the evolution and regulation of soldier caste in eusocial lineages remains unclear. For instance, it is still unknown how JH and/or pheromone regulate soldier caste differentiation in snapping shrimps, gall-dwelling thrips or eusocial aphids. Although few studies have focused on the molecular mechanisms of caste differentiation in termites [39, 117, 128, 129], the ultimate and proximate factors contributing to the soldier differentiation are far from clear [18, 75, 153, 172]. Empirical study is, therefore, warranted to explore the function, evolution and regulation of the soldier caste.
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