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Abstract

The present investigation deals with the fundamentals of nanorobots, its fabrication, and possible utilization in a different target-oriented drug delivery vehicles. Details of various types of nanorobots and their specific applications are studied in this research. The use of nanorobots in cancer treatment, target-oriented drug delivery, medical imaging, and in new health sensing devices has also been studied. The mechanism of action of nanorobots for the treatment of cancerous cells as well as the formulation and working functions of some recently studied nanorobots are investigated in this work. This paper reviews the research in finding the suitable nanorobotic materials, different fabrication processes of nanorobots, and the current status of application of nanorobots in biomedical, especially in the treatment of cancers. Superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (SPIONs) have been observed to be used as novel drug delivery vehicle materials. The future perspectives of nanorobots for the utilization in drug delivery are also addressed herewith.
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1. Introduction

Miniaturizing devices to the nanoscale creates a wealth of new possibilities in nanobiotechnology and nanomedicine, such as targeted drug delivery platforms [1]. A nanorobot is a tiny machine, with dimension in nanoscale, designed to perform a specific task. Nanorobots are designed to perform at the atomic or molecular level. Nanorobots are used in cancer treatment, target-oriented drug delivery, medical imaging, new sensing devices, information storage devices, new energy systems, super-strong metamaterials, smart windows and walls, ocean-cleaning microsponges, molecular assembler, health sensors, etc. [1-8]. But, the major application of it is in nanomedicine [2]. They have applications in the diagnosis and treatment of diabetes, early detection, and treatment of cancer, cellular nanosurgery and gene therapy [3-6]. Because magnetism has been widely
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used in medical nanorobotics, magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs) in particular have shown to be well suited for this purpose. Fe$_3$O$_4$ and γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ in particular, are used extensively in medical nanorobotic applications due to their low toxicity and their known pathways of metabolism, making these materials attractive for nanorobotic agents designed for medical applications [5]. In medical nanorobotics, Fe$_3$O$_4$ MNPs are generally preferred to γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ MNPs due to its higher saturation magnetization. The magnetization state for a ferromagnetic material is the result of the alignment of microscopic regions in the material known as magnetic domains or magnetic moments. Guiding magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles with the help of an external magnetic field to its target is the principle behind the development of superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (SPIONs) as novel drug delivery vehicles [6]. SPIONs are small synthetic γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ or Fe$_3$O$_4$ particles with a core ranging between 10 - 100 nm in diameter. These magnetic particles are coated with certain biocompatible polymers, such as dextran or polyethylene glycol, which provide chemical handles for the conjugation of therapeutic agents and also improve their blood distribution profile. The recent research on SPIONs is increasing because of their use as diagnostic agents in magnetic resonance imaging as well as for drug delivery vehicles. Delivery of anticancer drugs by coupling with functionalized SPIONs to their targeted site is one of the important areas of research in the development of cancer treatment methods [6]. Magnetic helical nanorobots can perform 3D navigation in various liquids with a sub-micrometer precision under low-strength rotating magnetic fields (<10 mT) [7]. Since magnetic fields with low strengths are harmless to cells and tissues, magnetic helical nanorobots are promising tools for biomedical applications, such as minimally invasive surgery, cell manipulation and analysis, and targeted therapy. Qiu and Nelson [7] reviewed on magnetic helical micro/nanorobots, including their fabrication, motion control, and further functionalization for biomedical applications MNPs can be used as the primary method of physically directing aptamer-MNPs and drug payloads to their target cells or tissues as well by use of an external magnetic field which drags the MNPs and their complexes to any desired and accessible location in the body [6] where aptamer-MNPs could act as nanosurgeons [8]. A far less invasive approach for the treatment of cancer is based on using a carrier, i.e. a nanorobot that can be functionalized and manipulated wirelessly to target cancer cells [1]. The most common strategy currently being pursued by researchers relies on injecting the nanorobots intravenously, guiding them by means of magnetic fields and field gradients, and, finally, activating them to promote the diffusion of drugs into the affected tissue. MNPs such as nanoparticles (NPs) and nanowires (NWs) are promising candidates for drug delivery platforms, especially for the treatment of cancer. The use of magnetic NWs has some advantages over the use of NPs, primarily because of NWs exhibit both a high aspect ratio and magnetic shape anisotropy. Moreover, large arrays of ferromagnetic NWs can be controllably and reliably fabricated.

The researchers from Polytechnique Montréal, Université de Montréal and McGill University have just achieved a spectacular breakthrough in cancer research [9]. They have developed new nanorobotic agents, capable of navigating through the bloodstream,
to administer a drug with precision by specifically targeting the active cancerous cells of tumor (Fig. 1). This way of injecting medication ensures the optimal targeting of a tumor and avoids jeopardizing the integrity of organs and surrounding healthy tissues. As a result, the drug dosage that is highly toxic for the human organism could be significantly reduced.

Fig. 1. The legions of nanorobotic agents are actually composed of more than 100 million flagellated bacteria - and therefore self-propelled - and loaded with drugs that moved by taking the most direct path between the drug's injection point and the area of the body to cure. Credit: Montréal Nanorobotics Laboratory [9].

Oxygen-depleted hypoxic regions in the tumor are generally resistant to therapies [9,10]. Although nanocarriers have been used to deliver drugs, the targeting ratios have been very low. Felfoul et al. [9] showed that the magneto-aerotactic migration behavior [11] of magnetotactic bacteria [12], magnetococcus marinus strain MC-1 [13], can be used to transport drug-loaded nanoliposomes into hypoxic regions of the tumor. In their natural environment, MC-1 cells, each containing a chain of magnetic iron-oxide nanocrystals [14], tend to swim along local magnetic field lines and towards low oxygen concentrations [15] based on a two-state aerotactic sensing system [11]. They showed that when MC-1 cells bearing covalently bound drug-containing nanoliposomes were injected near the tumor in severe combined immunodeficient beige mice and magnetically guided, up to 55 % of MC-1 cells penetrated into hypoxic regions of HCT116 colorectal xenografts. Approximately 70 drug-loaded nanoliposomes were attached to each MC-1 cell. Their results suggested that harnessing swarms of microorganisms exhibiting magneto-
aerotactic behaviour can significantly improve the therapeutic index of various nanocarriers in tumour hypoxic regions.

To move around, bacteria used by Martel's team rely upon two natural systems [9]. A kind of compass created by the synthesis of a chain of MNPs allows them to move in the direction of a magnetic field, while a sensor measuring oxygen concentration enables them to reach and remain in the tumor's active regions. By harnessing these two transportation systems and by exposing the bacteria to a computer-controlled magnetic field, researchers showed that these bacteria could perfectly replicate artificial nanorobots of the future designed for this kind of task. ‘This innovative use of nano-transporters will have an impact not only on creating more advanced engineering concepts and original intervention methods, but it also throws the door wide open to the synthesis of new vehicles for therapeutic, imaging and diagnostic agents,’ Martel adds. ‘Chemotherapy, which is so toxic for the entire human body, could make use of these natural nanorobots to move drugs directly to the targeted area, eliminating the harmful side effects while also boosting its therapeutic effectiveness.

The objective of this research is to study some details on nanorobots, their fabrication techniques, and important applications in target-oriented drug delivery vehicles, especially in the treatment of cancers.

2. Types of Nanorobots

Nanorobots may be of different types. Some of these are represented in Table 1.

Table 1: Different types of nanorobots.

| Type of Nanorobots          | Details of nanorobots                                                                                                                                                                                                 | References |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Smallest engine             | A group of physicists from the University of Mainz in Germany recently built the smallest engine ever created from just a single atom. Like any other engine, it converts heat energy into movement - but it does so on a smaller scale than seen before. The atom is trapped in a cone of electromagnetic energy and lasers are used to heat it up and cool it down, which causes the atom to move back and forth in the cone-like an engine piston. For example, Gluconacetobacter xylinus which is also known as Acetobacter xylinum is used as a nanoengine to formulate the three-dimension honeycomb structure of cellulose. Gluconacetobacter has got the property that it can move at speed of 2 µm per min at 25 °C. It gets that speed when the secretion of cellulose microfibrils takes place. | [16,17]    |
| 3D-motion nanomachines from DNA | Mechanical engineers at Ohio State University have designed and constructed complex nanoscale mechanical parts using 'DNA origami' proving that the same basic design principles that apply to typical full-size machine parts can now also be applied to DNA and can produce complex, controllable components for future nanorobots. Peng et al. fabricated a nanomachine which looks like orbitron and based upon 3 | [18,19]    |
dimensional DNA structure. To make that they tried to interlock the structure of double DNA rings by two single-stranded DNA. It was then applied to microRNA. MicroRNA confirmed the changes in 3-dimensional space of it and with this the combination they were able to do the diagnosis of cancer.

Nanoswimmers

ETH Zurich and Technion researchers have developed an elastic nanoswimmers poly pyrrole NWs about 15 µm long and 200 nm thick that can move through biological fluid environments at almost 15 µm per sec. The nanoswimmers could be functionalized to deliver drugs and magnetically controlled to swim through the bloodstream to target cancer cells. Nour Zoaby et al. developed the bacteria-based drug delivery system which can automatically detect, move, and can target the cancerous cell. The bacteria will be loaded with the anti-cancerous drug named doxorubicin and can reach the cancerous cells and treat it. Xiaohui demonstrated the approach of loading, transportation, and release of drugs by the nanoswimmers by the application of a magnetic field from the outside. The nanoswimmers have been derived from Spirulina. It will perform all the operation i.e. load, transport, and release the drug material. [20-22]

Ant-like nanoengine with 100 × force per unit weight

University of Cambridge researchers have developed a tiny engine capable of a force per unit-weight nearly 100 times higher than any motor or muscle (Fig. 2). The new nanoengines could lead to nanorobots small enough to enter living cells to fight disease. Baumberg from the Cavendish Laboratory, led the research, has named the devices 'actuating nanotransducers' (ANTS). 'Like real ants, they produce large forces for their weight.' This has been claimed as, ‘The world's tiniest, most powerful nanoengine.’ [23]

Sperm-inspired microrobots

A team of researchers at the University of Twente (Netherlands) and German University in Cairo (Egypt) has developed sperm-inspired microrobots, which can be controlled by oscillating weak magnetic fields. They will be used in complex micro-manipulation and targeted therapy tasks. Emanuela et al. developed a genosensor which is basically an optical fiber-based sensor. Optical fiber is used to create a probe which can detect APTES-DNA. DNA origami is used to detect a particular sequence of the DNA with the help of a probe. DNA works as a nanorobot over here and can cargo the required material to the desired location. [24,25]

Bacteria-powered robots

Drexel University engineers have developed a method for using electric fields to help microscopic bacteria-powered robots detect obstacles in their environment and navigate around them. Uses include delivering medication, manipulating stem cells to direct their growth, or building a microstructure. Hyo et al. presented the study to treat the cancerous cell by the nanorobots. These nanorobots will be used to treat the tumor present in the breast tissue. They have also done the simulation work to show the possible structure of the nanorobot, detection of the cancerous cells a treatment by the [26,27]
Nanorockets

Several groups of researchers have recently constructed a high-speed, remote-controlled nanoscale version of a rocket by combining NPs with biological molecules [Fig 3]. Researchers hope to develop the rocket so it can be used in any environment; for example, to deliver drugs to a target area of the body.

Nanomotor

Ran et al. described that when Cu-Pt based nanorod is placed in a solution of Br₂ or I₂ does behave as a nanobattery and generate a movement. This movement is generated due to the redox reaction. The rod also generates rotatory motion due to the iron gradient which is generated when it is placed in a Br₂ solution.
3. Preparation of Nanorobotic Materials

Highly aligned ZnO NWs have been grown by Mishra [2] using chemical synthesis [Fig. 4]. The piezoelectric power generators using ZnO NWs arrays on flexible plastic substrate might be able to harvest energy from the environment such as body movement (e.g., gestures, respiration, or locomotion). The ceramic or semiconductor substrates used for growing ZnO NWs are hard, brittle, and cannot be used in the areas that require a foldable or flexible power source, such as implantable biosensors in muscles or joints, and power generator built-in walking shoes. Two advantages may be offered by this approach. One is the cost-effective, large-scale solution approach used to grow ZnO NW arrays at a temperature lower than 80 °C. The other is the large-degree of choice of flexible plastic substrates used for growing aligned ZnO NW arrays, which could play an important role in flexible and portable electronics.

![Fig. 4. SEM image of the as-synthesized ZnO NWs a chemical approach [2].](image)

A new process to fabricate NWs was recently developed by growing them within anodic aluminum oxide (AAO) templates [1]. By controlling the pore size and the length of the AAO templates, as well as the composition of the electrolytes, many different types of ferromagnetic NWs can be fabricated. The AAO templates begin as an electron-beam evaporated layer of aluminum (Al) on silicon. The Al is subsequently anodized in oxalic acid under current control to produce nanopores in the range of 85 - 100 nm. Using pulsed electrodeposition, the ferromagnetic NWs are grown inside these pores. In turn, these NWs serve as catalysts for growing multiwalled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) using a low pressure chemical vapor deposition (LPCVD) process. The silicon provides a stable platform capable of withstanding the high temperatures encountered during the LPCVD process. The MWCNT coating has multiple utilities; primarily, its outer surface can be functionalized to attach to therapeutic molecules that specifically kill cancer cells. The coating also protects the ferromagnetic NWs from the environment, thus reducing the possibility of NWs toxicity when inside the body. Chandrashekaran et al. fabricated the chitosan curcumin ZnO (CCZ) nanomaterial to take on the Staphylococcus aureus and Escherichia coli bacteria. 0.5 g of curcumin was dissolved in 100 mL ethanol, 0.1M of
hydrated zinc nitrate added to 1 g of chitosan. Chitosan is available in 100 mL of 1 % of acetic acid. The formed solution of curcumin and ethanol was then added dropwise to the formed chitosan solution and then the mixture is stirred at 80 °C for 4 h to have a desirable solution. In a way, CCZ nanomaterial is overcoming bacterial resistance [30]. Gyanendra et al. presented a drug delivery vehicle based upon the Metal-Organic Framework (MOF-5) of Zn. This vehicle is used to transport and deliver the metronidazole drug. MOF-5 was fabricated with the help of zinc acetate, 2.12 g terephthalic acid, 0.63 g was dissolved in 100 mL of dimethylformamide. Then the prepared sample goes through the heat treatment and placed in a vacuum for a limited time to achieve the required MOF-5. Adsorption of the drug was very much controlled by the pH of the local environment. [31]. Fatematossadat et al. presented the study upon the iron NPs as a drug delivery system. The iron NPs are Ag and Au coated so as to increase the adsorption of the drug. The magnetization and the magnetic moment of Fe particles made it more suitable for the drug delivery agent. The computational model shows that the adsorption of Mercaptopurine is better than the Cisplatin. The drug would be released over the cancerous cells as their pH would be less than the local environment. And these results pave the way for its utilization in biomedical applications [32]. Piyush et al. presented the semi aromatic polyester as a drug delivery vehicle. Alternate poly(CHO-co-PA) polymer synthesized from cyclohexene oxide (CHO) and phthalic anhydride (PA) monomers. With the help of these copolymers the curcumin (CUR) loaded NPs were obtained. The dialysis method was used to fabricate poly(CHO-alt-PA)-CUR NPs. CUR and copolymer were mixed first then it was dissolved in 2 mL of 12.5 % (v/v) methanol in chloroform solution. The obtained solution was then stirred dropwise in Milli Q water and then dialysis was done. Finally obtained polymer was tested haematologically, biologically, and pathologically. And when tested on mice for the elimination of cancerous cells as it has shown wonderful results [33]. Mengjie et al. presented the drug delivery system for Allergic rhinitis through the nasal cavity. Deoxycholatechitosan-hydroxybutyl NPs with Cetirizine (CTZ) produced (CTZ: CDHBCs-NPs) this drug delivery system. Chitosan, 1,2-Butene oxide, deoxycholate (DOCA), CTZ hydrochloride (CedH) etc. being used for the preparation of the system and its components. CedH was prepared by 1,2-buten oxide to chitosan connection. CDHBCs were synthesized by DOCA and CTZ groups combined with HBC group. CDHBC-29-NPs performed effective operation than the CDHBC-33-NPs and CDHBC-37-NPs [34]. Some of the nanorobotic materials, their properties, and applications are summarized in Table 2.

| Materials/Nanomotors | Property improvement/application | Ref. |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|------|
| Mesoporous silica NPs (MSNPs) | Due to their unique intrinsic features, including tunable porosity and size, large surface area, structural diversity, easily modifiable chemistry and suitability for functionalization, and biocompatibility, MSNPs have been extensively utilized as multifunctional nanocarrier systems. | [35] |
| Gold nanostructures | Used as therapeutic cargo. Due to their ease of... | [36] |
synthesis, straightforward surface functionalization, and non-toxicity, gold nanostructures have emerged as powerful nanoagents for cancer detection and treatment.

| Size-controllable supramolecular nanoparticles (SNPs) | Supramolecular synthetic approaches are used for the preparation of these materials. The incorporation of various payloads, including drugs, genes, and proteins, into SNPs, showed improved delivery performance and enhanced therapeutic efficacy for these therapeutic agents. | [37] |
| Bionanomotors | Nanoelectronics, photonics, bioengineering, and drug delivery. | [38] |
| Self-propelled nanomotors | Artificial nanomotors can sense different analytes and therefore pollutants or chemical threats can be used for testing the quality of water, selective removal of oil, and alteration of their speeds, depending on the presence of some substances in the solution in which they swim. These self-powered remediation systems' could be seen as a new generation of smart devices for cleaning water in small pipes or cavities difficult to reach with traditional methods. | [39] |
| Graphene-like 2D layered nanomaterials (GLNs) | It includes boron nitride nanosheets, graphitic-carbon nitride nanosheets and transition metal dichalcogenides. Recent advances of GLNs in applications of biosensors and nanomedicine, including electrochemical biosensors, optical biosensors, bioimaging, drug delivery, and cancer therapy. | [40] |
| Self-powered micro/nanomotors | Understanding the importance of material selection in designing functional motors for futuristic applications. | [41] |
| Multifunctionalized iron oxide MNPs | Synthesis and characterization of novel multifunctionalized IONs with antiCD44 antibody and gemcitabine derivatives, and their application for the selective treatment of CD44-positive cancer cells. | [42] |
| Nanomachine | It consists of a magnetic nickel (Ni) nanotube that contains a pH-responsive chitosan hydrogel in its inner cavity. The chitosan inside the nanotube serves as a matrix that can selectively release drugs in acidic environments, such as the extracellular space of most tumors. The Ni nanotube allows the propulsion of the device by means of external magnetic fields. As the proposed nano-architecture integrates different functional building blocks, this drug delivery nanoplaform can be employed for carrying molecular drug conjugates and for performing targeted combinatorial therapies, which can provide an alternative and supplementary solution to current drug delivery technologies. These wirelessly guided magnetic nanomachines | [43] |
| **Review Article: Utilization of Nanomaterials** | Utilization of Nanomaterials are promising vectors for targeted drug delivery, which have the potential to minimize the interaction between anticancer agents and healthy tissues. |
| --- | --- |
| **Catalytic nanomotors.** | Catalytic nanomotors represent an exciting technological challenge with the end goal being practical functional nanomachines that can perform a variety of tasks at the nanoscale. [44] |
| **Chemically powered self-propelled nanomotors without moving parts that rely on asymmetric chemical reactions to affect directed motion.** | Such tiny motors are the subject of considerable research because of their potential applications, and a variety of synthetic motors has been made and is being studied for this purpose. [45] |
| **Hybrid chromium-doped zinc gallate core/mesoporous silica shell architecture** | A persistent luminescence signal from these doxorubicin-loaded mesoporous nanophosphors opens a new way to highly sensitive detection in vivo, giving access to the real-time biodistribution of the carrier without any autofluorescence from the animal tissues. [46] |
| **Biodegradable methoxy poly (ethylene glycol)-poly (lactic acid) copolymer in conjugation with curcumin** | The redox-sensitive polymeric conjugate micelles could enhance curcumin delivery while avoiding premature release, and achieving on-demand release under the high glutathione concentration in the cell cytoplasm. This strategy opens new avenues for on-demand drug release of nanoscale intracellular delivery platforms that ultimately might be translated into pre-clinical and future clinical practice. [47] |
| **Nanosized polymer therapeutic agents.** | Development of a delivery system for breast cancer cells using a microvector of drugs. Local, controlled delivery of the drug will be achieved with the advantage of a high concentration of drug release at the target site while keeping the systemic concentration of the drug low, thus reducing side effects due to bioaccumulation. [48] |
| **Nanomotor based on zeolite or activated carbon.** | New technological breakthroughs and greater sophistication of nanoscale machines will lead to rapid translation of the nanomotor research activity into practical defense applications, addressing the escalating threat of chemical and biological warfare agents. [49] |
| **Graphene and graphene-based nanostructures.** | The DNA translocations through nanopores in graphene membranes toward the fabrication of devices for genomic screening, in particular DNA sequencing; sub-nanometer trans-electrode membranes with potential applications to the fabrication of very high resolution, high throughput nanopore-based single-molecule detectors; antibacterial activity of graphene, graphite oxide, graphene oxide, and reduced graphene oxide; nanopore sensors for nucleic acid analysis; utilization of graphene multilayers as the gates for sequential release of proteins from the surface; utilization of graphene-based |
Electrical control of bacteria-powered microrobots | Uses include delivering medication and manipulating stem cells to direct their growth. | [26]

Polystyrene bead covered in gold and chromium for nanorockets | The body of the rocket was made from a polystyrene bead covered in gold and chromium. This was attached to multiple catalytic engine molecules using strands of DNA. When placed in a solution of hydrogen peroxide, the engine molecules caused a chemical reaction that produced oxygen bubbles, forcing the rocket to move in the opposite direction. Shining a beam of ultra-violet light on one side of the rocket causes the DNA to break apart, detaching the engines and changing the rocket’s direction of travel. The researchers hope to develop the rocket so it can be used in any environment, for example, to deliver drugs to a target area of the body. | [28]

Albumin nanoparticle | Macarena et. al presented the theoretical model for the albumin NPs as a drug delivery system. The albumin NPs is gamma irradiated. Hydrophobic pockets are created to carry the desirable drug to the site. The model presented a controlled drug delivery. | [51]

4. Mechanism on the Function of Nanorobots

Nanorobots are explored as the target-oriented drug delivery vehicle. The action of nanorobots has been investigated by different researchers. Kola et al. presented a hypothesis in which blood platelets are used as a drug delivery vehicle. Glioblastoma multiforme and ischemic stroke are the neurovascular problems associated with the brain and it is a bit difficult for a particular drug to reach the site because of the blood-brain barrier, generated by the endothelial cells. Platelets being biocompatible and biodegradable can encapsulate in a very efficient manner and when activation occurs in the presence of a tumor cell the granules and the encapsulated drug come out and starts its operation. For both Glioblastoma multiforme and ischemic stroke platelets aggregated at the site, get activated on its own natural property and release the drug, and treat the cancerous cells [52]. Zhao et al. presented hydrogel as a drug delivery vehicle. This is used to treat the problems associated with the human vasculature dysfunction. Narrowing of the vessels, blockage, and stroke are the key problem associated with it. Various pro-angiogenic growth factors and cytokines are used to treat these problems. The study shows that they are not as effective when delivered in a simple manner as compared to delivered through the hydrogels. Natural hydrogels like proteoglycans, protein fibers, or glycoproteins, and synthetic hydrogels like polysaccharide or polyethylene glycol are used as drug delivery vehicles. Natural hydrogels used, have the well established network and various interactions take place as hydrogen bonds formation, crystal
formation, electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions. Synthetic hydrogels form stable covalent bonds with the polymer-cation interaction. Hydrogels help the protein drugs release in a sequential and time bound manner [53]. Francis et al. presented the study of immune cells to be utilized as an effective drug delivery vehicle. Immune cells have the inherent property of responding to the inflammation caused by the tumor cell. Due to this they swiftly move to the site and start irradiating the tumor cells. When the carefully designed NPs are joined with the immune cells, the efficiency of the treatment increased manifolds. When Ly6Chigh monocytes immune cells combined with the paclitaxel-containing pH-sensitive micelles their affinity to primary tumor increases and in a way they are used effectively against it.

Their penetration to the affected site is much better than the others [54]. Madeh et al. presented the single walled carbon nanotube as a drug delivery vehicle. The anti-cancer drug used in this study is flutamide. Density functional theory (DFT) and molecular dynamic simulation (MD) are being used to qualify this study. As the study is being done in the water and gas environment, CNTs are used with carboxylic acid group to make it soluble in water. Moreover, the presence of a carboxylic acid group enhances the adsorption of anti-cancer drugs by the formed functional CNT significantly. In the computational method, the quantum mechanics calculations are done to find out the interaction between the molecules of CNT, carboxylic group, flutamide, and water. In the molecular dynamics simulations different kind of CNTs are used to find out the
interaction of it with the different available molecules. DFT theory provides a stable structure after the interaction. MD simulations also suggest a greater number of hydrogen bonds at the surface of different types of CNT used [55]. The mechanism of action of nanorobot for the treatment of cancerous cells is explained in Fig. 5 [56,57]. Some recent works on the mechanism/function, formulation, and types of nanorobots have been presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Some nanorobots and their formulation and mechanisms/functions.

| Nanorobots                                   | Materials used                                                                 | Functions                                                                                     | Ref.         |
|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Targeted nano drug delivery systems          | Composite organic-inorganic NPs and the quantum dots have advanced the disease biomarkers | Used for the treatment of cancer                                                              | [56,57]      |
| Nanotheranostics against COVID-19            | Cellular nanospainges                                                          | Biomarkers or drug delivery towards the pulmonary system or other affected organs.            | [58]         |
| 4D printing soft robotics                   | Shape memory polymers, shape memory composites, and shape memory hybrids      | Biomedical engineering applications, such as in minimally invasive surgery.                    | [59]         |
| Drug delivery vehicle                        | Various types of NPs and nanostructures like, SPIONs                          | (i) To achieve specific targeting and controlled drug release for the less toxic and more effective treatment especially for cancer therapy. (ii) For drug release at the tumor site along with the physical interaction of NPs with cancer cells | [60]         |
| Catalytic microrobots                        | Composed of (i) Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs (ii) TiO$_2$/Pt                                | To disrupt dental biofilm.                                                                     | [61]         |
| Drug delivery vehicle                        | Stimuli-responsive biomaterials                                                | For wound healing.                                                                            | [62]         |
| Intelligent micro-/nanorobots                | Nanoswimmers, nanoengines, 3D-motion nanomachines, and biologically inspired microbots, nanofish, nanorockets | Precision therapeutic diagnoses, sensing, drug delivery, and surgery.                          | [63]         |
| DNA-nanorobot-guided thrombin-inducing tumor infarction | A tube-shaped DNA origami nanostructure is used to deliver thrombin into tumor vessels selectively, where the thrombin is positioned inside the inner cavity of the nanorobot, protecting the highly reactive molecule from the interference of the blood circulation and also minimizing its systemic toxicities simultaneously, while on the outside there is a DNA aptamer | Used as a powerful therapeutic strategy for treatment of solid cancer | [64]         |
DNA nanorobot

| DNA nanorobot | The DNA nanorobot was constructed by folding of DNA origami up to 90 nm and conjugated with aptamer to carry the blood coagulation protease thrombin for target-specific action. The unfolding of DNA nanorobot occurs when it recognizes the tumor cell by the aptamer and sense of nucleolin B present in the tumor cells for the release of thrombin to destruct the tumor cell and suppress the growth of the tumor. | For the treatment of tumors. | [65,66] |

Drug delivery

| Drug delivery | Dendrimers | The structure of dendrimers was manipulated with topological indices to obtain the desired properties to deliver the drugs to target carrier vehicle. The topological indices of three different dendrimers were studied for the application in the drug delivery system. | [67] |

5. Conclusion

The development of nanorobots for the various biomedical areas would benefit from the advancements of efficient fuel-free and fuel-driven nanoscale machines. Magnetically powered nanoswimmers have attracted considerable attention due to their great biocompatibility. A high-speed magnetically-propelled nanowire swimmer which mimics swimming microorganisms by exploiting the flexible nanowire as artificial flagella under a rotating magnetic field has been established. New bioinspired microswimmers can alternatively be prepared directly from isolated spiral vessels of plants, harnessing the intrinsic biological structures of nature. Potential applications of these cargo-towing nanoswimmers are demonstrated by the directed delivery of drug-loaded microparticles to HeLa cancer cells in biological media. With such innovations and developments, along with careful attention to key challenges and requirements, nano/microscale motors are expected to have tremendous impact on diverse biomedical applications. It is hoped by the scientists that ultimately, the technology could create complex nano-robots to deliver medicine inside the body or perform nanoscale biological measurements, among many other applications. Also, like the fictional transformers, a DNA origami machine could change shape for different tasks.

In the future, the researchers hope to further scale down the size of Magneto Sperm which can be used in diverse biomedical applications such as in targeted drug delivery, in vitro fertilization, and cell sorting and cleaning of clogged arteries. The recent research performed by different group of workers make it possible to diagnose the cancer, applying
a fabricated nanomachine based upon 3 dimensional DNA structure and the bacteria based
drug delivery system that can automatically detect, move and can target the cancerous
cells. The DNA has been established to work as a nanorobot to cargo the required material
to the desirable location. DNA-nanorobot-guided thrombin inducing tumor infarction,
which raises new potential clinical concerns, was developed. The work on potential
applications of folded and unfolded DNA nanocarriers in medicine has also been carried
out. Investigation on targeting nucleolin to obstruct vasculature feeding with an intelligent
DNA nanorobot has also been done.

The work of nanorobots has extended on nanotheranostics against COVID-19,
utilizing biomarkers or drug delivery towards the pulmonary system or other affected
organs. 4D printing soft robotics has been explored in the minimally invasive surgery.
Study on the spatiotemporal delivery of bioactive molecules for wound healing has been
done applying stimuli-responsive biomaterials. A promising development opportunities
and translational challenges have been provided by an intelligent nanorobot as drug and
cell carrier devices.
The future perspectives of the utilization of nanorobots can be as follows:
(i) More research is required for the development of nanorobots for the application in the
target oriented drug delivery.
(ii) The investigation on nanorobots should not be limited to the theory and simulation
only. Practical application of it is required for the welfare of mankind.
(iii) At present circumstances, research on the use on nanorobots for the prevention and
treatment of COVID-19 disease can be approached.
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