End-to-Side Neurorrhaphy as Schwann Cells Provider to Acellular Nerve Allograft and Its Suitable Application
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Abstract

Axonal regeneration relies on support from proliferating host Schwann cells (SCs), and previous studies on acellular nerve allografts (ANGs) suggest that axons can regenerate into ANGs within a limited distance. Numerous studies have demonstrated that the supplementation of ANGs with exogenous factors, such as cultured SCs, stem cells, and growth factors, promote nerve regeneration in ANGs. However, there are several problems associated with their utilization. In this study, we investigated whether end-to-side (ETS) neurorrhaphy, which is an axonal provider, could be useful as an SC provider to support axonal elongation in ANGs. We found that ETS neurorrhaphy effectively promoted SC migration into ANGs when an epineurium window combined with partial neurectomy was performed, and the effectiveness increased when it was applied bilaterally. When we transplanted ANGs containing migrated SCs via ETS neurorrhaphy (hybrid ANGs) to the nerve gap, hybrid ANGs increased the number of regenerated axons and facilitated rapid axonal elongation, particularly when ETS neurorrhaphy was applied to both edges of the graft. This approach may represent a novel application of ETS neurorrhaphy and lead to the development of hybrid ANGs, making ANGs more practical in a clinical setting.

Introduction

Nerve autograft has been a standard treatment option for reconstructing peripheral nerve injuries or defects; however, it is associated with a limited supply of the donor nerve and the elimination of the donor nerve function [1, 2]. Therefore, identifying alternatives to the nerve autograft has been widely investigated, and multiple types of nerve conduits, including silicone tubes and synthetic biodegradable tubes, have been experimentally and clinically developed in the last few decades [3]. However, the clinical usage of silicone conduits has been limited to gaps which are less than 10 mm [3]. Absorbable conduits were also limited to be less than 10 mm in a rat model [3–5] and within 28 mm for sensory nerves in a clinical setting [4, 6, 7].

Recently, acellular nerve allografts (ANGs) have been demonstrated as promising substitutes for short nerve gaps [2, 7]. The clinical usage of ANGs provided superior results...
compared with that of conduits and showed meaningful functional recovery not only for sensory but also for mixed and motor nerves in gaps up to 50 mm [8]. However, the suitable usage of ANG remains controversial.

In contrast, extracellular matrix molecules (ECM) and growth factors secreted by Schwann cells (SCs) are required for axonal elongation [9–11]. We demonstrated the relationship between axonal regeneration and migration of SCs through ANGs utilizing transgenic mice expressing fluorescence in SCs and axons, and a highly intimate interaction, described as a "dance," exists between regenerating axons and proliferating migrated SCs [12, 13]. Axonal regeneration generally requires proliferating SCs from the donor nerve [14]; therefore, some type of supplementation for ANGs is necessary, particularly for long nerve defect [15]. Numerous studies have demonstrated that the supplementation of exogenous factors, including cultured SCs, stem cells, and growth factors, promotes axon regeneration [16]. However, there are several problems associated with their use, such as the time-consuming process of cell culture, teratoma formation with the usage of stem cells, and continuous administration for growth factors [17–19]. Furthermore, ethical concerns and safety are involved for utilizing these viable cells. Therefore, alternative methods for supplementation are required for the practical usage of ANGs for long gaps.

End-to-side (ETS) neurorrhaphy has been used as an option for an axonal provider [20]. Because the source of the regenerated axons has been previously elucidated [21–28], we concluded that collateral sprouting could occur mainly in the sensory axons without damage to the donor nerve and some types of injuries, such as axotomy or a compressive stimulus, are required for further axonal regeneration including motor nerve regeneration [26]. Although its effect as an axonal provider in a clinical setting varies [29, 30], ETS neurorrhaphy may be more useful as an SC provider to support axonal elongation in ANGs.

We previously reported the characteristics of SCs migration into the nerve allograft; host SCs could rapidly migrate into ANG from the proximal and distal anastomosis of the graft [31]. As compared with the aforementioned supplementation of ANGs that includes applying cultured SCs or stem cells, there are no ethical or safety concerns associated with utilizing ETS neurorrhaphy; therefore, we could promptly develop this technique for clinical application. If we could supply sufficient autologous SCs through ETS neurorrhaphy, it would enable us to create hybrid ANGs; and the potential benefit of this technique could be extensive.

In this study, we investigated whether ETS neurorrhaphy could be a useful technique as an SC provider in ANGs in vivo.

**Material and Methods**

**Animals**

In this study, we used Nestin-green fluorescent protein (GFP) transgenic mice expressing GFP in their SCs when proliferated, Thy1-yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) 16 transgenic mice expressing YFP in all axons, and non-fluorescent C57BL/6 mice. The animal husbandry was conducted in accordance to standards and regulations provided by the National Institutes of Health Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. All experiments were conducted in accordance with protocols approved by the Division of Comparative Medicine at the Juntendo University School of Medicine. Surgery was performed under inhalation anesthesia using isoflurane and intraperitoneal injections of pentobarbital. All efforts were made to minimize suffering. Nestin-GFP and Thy1-YFP 16 mice were inbred on a C57BL/6 background. Mice (7–12 weeks old) weighing 22–30 g underwent sciatic nerve transection and grafting. Nestin-GFP mice were gifted by Dr. Yamaguchi (Tokyo University, Tokyo), which were used to identify the ANGs containing migrated SCs using in vivo imaging and immunohistochemistry [32]. Homozygous Thy1-YFP
16 mice (available from The Jackson Laboratory, strain B6.Cg-Tg(Thy1-YFP)16Jrs/J) were used to track the course of all regenerated axons in the end-to-end nerve graft model and to evaluate motor endplate reinnervation. All animals and their wellbeing were observed throughout the experimental period. At the endpoint of the experimental period after surgery for each experiment described, the mice were euthanized humanely by cervical dislocation.

**Preparation of cold preserved nerve allograft**

Sciatic nerve allografts harvested from C57/BL6J mice were independently processed and decellularized using a method of cold preservation, as described previously [33]. In brief, harvested nerves were directly placed into sterile petri dishes containing VIASPAN (DuPont Merck Pharmaceutical Company) with penicillin G (200,000 U/L), regular insulin (40 U/L), and dexamethasone (16 mg/L). Nerves were then stored at 4°C for a period of 7 weeks prior to implantation, and the preservation solution was changed weekly [33].

**Surgical model to study SC migration**

All animals were anesthetized with the inhalation anesthesia technique of isoflurane and intraperitoneal injections of pentobarbital. First, a skin incision was made parallel to 2 mm posterior to the femur, and sciatic nerve was exposed with a microsurgical technique to include the sciatic notch proximally and its trifurcation to the tibial, peroneal, and sural nerves distally. A 1.0-cm cold preserved ANG from a C57BL/6J mouse was grafted to the sciatic nerves of a Nestin-GFP mouse via three types of ETS neurorrhaphy (Fig 1A). Four groups were made for this experiment:

- **B1. Control**: The donor nerve was not in contact with the graft nerve.
- **B2. Intact ETS group**: ETS neurorrhaphy was performed at one side of the graft by wrapping the donor nerve with the divided graft nerve.
- **B3. Unilateral ETS group**: ETS neurorrhaphy was performed at one side of the graft by opening the epineurial window and by partial neurectomy.
- **B4. Bilateral ETS group**: ETS neurorrhaphy was performed at both sides of the graft with opening epineurial window and partial neurectomy.

**Fig 1. Schematic drawing of experimental model and design.** A) Schematic drawing of the experimental model for Schwann cell (SC) migration ability. Cold preserved acellular nerve allografts (ANGs) were neurorrhaphed to sciatic nerve with end-to-side (ETS) fashion. B) Schematic drawing of ETS neurorrhaphy for each group. B1) In the control group, the donor nerve was not in contact with the graft nerve. B2) In the intact ETS group, ETS neurorrhaphy was performed at one side of the graft by wrapping the donor nerve with the divided graft nerve. B3) In the unilateral ETS group, ETS neurorrhaphy was performed at one side of the graft by opening the epineurial window and by partial neurectomy. B4) In the bilateral ETS group, ETS neurorrhaphy was performed at both sides of the graft with opening epineurial window and partial neurectomy. C) Schematic drawing of experimental model for the efficacy of ANGs containing migrated SCs. ANG containing migrated SCs (1 cm) was transplanted to the 5-mm nerve gaps of sciatic nerve in Thy-1 YFP mice. D) Schematic drawing of nerve cross section evaluation after engraftment.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0167507.g001
study. In group 1, the donor nerve was not in contact with the graft nerve and both edges of the graft nerve were intramuscularly embedded (control group) (Fig 1B1). In group 2, the donor nerve was neurorrhaphied ETS with a non-injury technique we described previously (intact ETS group) (Fig 1B2) [23]. In this model, one edge of the graft was slit 3 mm, and the resulting two sleeves wrapped around the donor sciatic nerve. This construct was secured to the donor sciatic nerve by suturing the sleeves to one another on the other side of the donor nerve without placing any sutures in the donor nerve itself and accomplished ETS coaptation atraumatically [26]. In group 3, the epineurium was removed and partial neurectomy of 1/5 degree was added at the suture site to the donor nerve, and ETS neurorrhaphy was then performed between one side of the graft and recipient nerve (unilateral ETS with partial neurectomy group) (Fig 1B3). In group 4, as in group 3, the epineurium was removed and a partial neurectomy of 1/5 degree was added to the donor nerve, and then both sides of the graft were neurorrhaphied with ETS (bilateral ETS with partial neurectomy group) (Fig 1B4). We serially imaged the nerve grafts at the time of surgery and 7, 14, 21, and 28 days after surgery using a fluorescence dissecting microscope (n = 4 per group). At 4 weeks after surgery, the animals were sacrificed and the grafts were harvested to analyze SC migration into the graft (n = 4 per group). Some of the graft samples were stored in 4% paraformaldehyde for histomorphometry and immunohistochemical analysis. The remaining graft samples were stored in RNAlater solution (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA) at −80˚C, following which they were extracted at the 2- and 4-week endpoints for quantitative reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) analysis (n = 5 per group).

**Surgical model for the efficacy of ANGs containing migrated SCs (hybrid ANGs)**

A non-fluorescent 1.0-cm ANG from a C57/BL6J mouse was grafted to the sciatic nerves of a C57/BL6J mouse via two types of ETS neurorrhaphy with an aforementioned partial neurectomy group (Fig 1B3 and 1B4), and three groups were made for this study (group1, control; group2, unilateral ETS; and group3, bilateral ETS) (Fig 1B1, 1B3 and 1B4). After 4 weeks, 1 cm of the grafted ANG in which SCs migrated via each ETS neurorrhaphy was transplanted on to the 5 mm nerve gaps of sciatic nerves in Thy-1 YFP mice wherein all axons expressed YFP fluorescence. We attached the graft by suturing both edges on end-to-end neurorrhaphy with epineural sutures (n = 4 per group) (Fig 1C). In vivo imaging of regenerated YFP-labeled axons was conducted at the time of surgery and every 7 days (n = 4 per group). At 4 weeks after surgery, we evaluated axonal regeneration in the middle of the graft and distal to the graft under light and electron microscopes, and histomorphometry, such as evaluating total myelinated fiber count, g-ratio, myelin sheath thickness, and axon diameter, was performed (n = 5 per group). At 7 weeks after surgery, the reinnervated motor endplates were evaluated under a fluorescent dissecting microscope and the percentage of innervated endplate was calculated as described below (n = 4 per group).

**In vivo serial imaging and confocal imaging**

We serially imaged the nerve grafts at the time of surgery and at 7, 14, 21, and 28 days later using a fluorescence dissecting microscope (MZ16FA Leica microsystem). To facilitate live imaging, mice were re-anesthetized and the grafted sciatic nerve was re-exposed and imaged with the fluorescent dissecting microscope for the exploration of SC migration and axonal elongation. To evaluate the distance of SC migration more accurately, SC migration from ETS coaptation was quantitatively assessed by preparing whole mount ANGs at 28 days after
surgery. GFP-positive migrating cells in the entire graft could be observed using stack images from confocal microscopy.

The sciatic nerve graft was imaged with DFC300FX controlled by Leica Application Suite Advanced Fluorescence version 2.5 software (Leica microsystem) under GFP (488 nm) fluorescent and bright field filters. And the whole mount sciatic nerve graft was surveyed using TCS-SP5 (Leica microsystem). The images were recorded monochromatically using Leica Application Suite Advanced Fluorescence version 2.5 software (Leica microsystem). Images were standardized according to magnification (10×, 40×), exposure time (100–300 ms), orientation, brightness, and contrast.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Harvested nerves were immunostained with S100 (1:1000, Dako, Carpinteria) for markers of SCs and NF-L(C-15) (1:50, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) for axon regeneration. Explanted nerves were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (Wako Pure Chemical Industries) at 4˚C overnight and transferred to 30% sucrose for cryoprotection. Each graft was embedded in OCT Compound (Sakura Finetek Japan) and cut into 4-μm longitudinal sections using a cryostat.

To further confirm the SCs migration, grafts were stained for S100 and NF-L (C-15). Sections were then stained for Alexa 594-conjugated secondary antibodies (Molecular Probes). After antibody staining was complete, the slides were covered with VECTASHIELD mounting medium (Vector Laboratories).

**qRT-PCR**

The gene expression of markers of SC migration (NRG1, ErbB2, S100) and senescence (p16INK4A) in ANGs was analyzed using qRT-PCR. Each sample was stored in RNAlater solution (QIAGEN) at −4˚C overnight and then transferred to −80˚C until RNA extraction. Reverse transcription was performed using a High-Capacity RNA-to-cDNA kit (Applied Biosystems). The transcription reaction was performed at 37˚C for 2 h. The obtained cDNA was amplified using the reaction mixture of TaqMan FAST Universal PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems). All primers were purchased from Applied Biosystems and measured values were compared with glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase as a house keeping gene [34]. The PCR mixtures were preincubated at 95˚C for 20 s, followed by 40 cycles of 95˚C for 3 s and 62˚C for 30 s by ABI 7500 FAST (Applied Biosystems). The real-time data were analyzed so that the differences in gene expression levels between two different samples were calculated using the comparative delta crossover threshold (Ct) method [35].

**Histomorphometry and electron microscopy**

Nerve cross sections at the midgraft (proximal section) and 2 mm distal to the graft (distal section) were evaluated 28 days after engraftment for regenerated axonal number, size, distribution, and g-ratios (Fig 1D). Images of the proximal and distal sections of the right sciatic nerves were digitized using a HT7700 microscope (HITACHI). For morphological evaluation, the procedure by de Medinaceli was used [36]. Briefly, a set of six images was obtained from each nerve portion, three random images from the periphery, and three random images from the center of the nerve to obtain a representative area per nerve segment [37]. The morphometric measurements were calculated in both large and small myelinated fibers. Morphometric measurements included the following: 1) average myelinated fiber diameter (μm); 2) average axon diameter (μm) of the myelinated fiber; 3) average myelin sheath thickness (μm); and 4) g-ratio (the quotient axon diameter/fiber diameter, a measurement of the degree of
myelination)[38]. These morphological measurements were chosen to assess the difference between the models to study the efficacy of the ANGs containing migrated SCs.

**Motor endplate evaluation**

The engrafted sciatic nerves and Extensor digitorum longus (EDL) muscles were harvested and fixed for 30 min. To label muscle fiber motor endplates, whole mounts of EDL muscles were soaked for 30 min in a 1:100 solution of Alexa 594-conjugated alpha-bungarotoxin (BTX; Molecular Probes). We calculated the total number of reinnervated endplates as a percentage of the total number of endplates 7 weeks following engraftment in Thy1-YFP16 mice. As described previously [39], the deep surface of BTX-labeled EDL muscle whole mounts were surveyed using TCS-SP5 (Leica microsystem) fluorescent microscopy at 10× and 20× to identify labeled terminal axons and endplates, and counted using a 40× objective and 488- and 568-nm lasers. At 7 weeks postoperatively, motor endplates captured within 25 randomly sampled areas of 100 μm² were evaluated, and the number of innervated and denervated motor endplates was counted.

**Statistical analyses**

Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism6 software (GraphPad software). One-way ANOVA was used to determine whether there are any statistically significant differences between the groups’ confocal imaging and histomorphometric data. In addition, differences between the qRT-PCR results within the groups were evaluated using two-way ANOVA. Histomorphometric data (serial in vivo imaging) was evaluated with a one-way repeated measures ANOVA. Newman–Keuls test was then performed to compare groups. Significance was established at p < 0.05. All results are reported as mean ± standard deviation.

**Results**

**In vivo imaging of Schwann cell migration**

By direct microscopic observation, ANGs appeared black, with no GFP expression by SCs at the time of engraftment. GFP-expressing cells continued to migrate throughout the graft from 7 to 28 days (Fig 2).

Seven days postoperatively, 0.06–0.305 mm of SC migration to ANGs was noted in all groups (except for the control group). The 14th day postoperatively, 0.13 ± 0.59 mm of SC migration was noted in the intact ETS group, 3.64 ± 1.51 mm in the unilateral ETS group, 4.28 ± 0.35 mm in the bilateral ETS group at the proximal side, and 2.99 ± 0.46 mm in the bilateral ETS group at the distal side. We confirmed significant differences between the partial neurectomy ETS group (unilateral and bilateral ETS groups) and the control/intact ETS group (p < 0.05). On the 21st day after surgery, 1.56 ± 0.78 mm and 5.49 ± 0.76 mm of SC migration was noted in the intact and unilateral ETS groups, respectively. In addition, SC infiltration of throughout a range of ANG from both sides was noted in the bilateral ETS group. We confirmed differences between the unilateral ETS group and the intact ETS group, intact ETS group and the control (p < 0.05). SC migration became progressive 14 days after surgery, and a difference of migration velocity (mm/week) was not apparent between the unilateral and bilateral ETS groups.

**Confocal imaging of SC migration**

Whole mount imaging of the ANGs harvested at 28 days after surgery showed no migrating cells (0 μm) in the control group, whereas dramatically abundant migration of Nestin-GFP
positive SCs was observed in the bilateral ETS group (7,111 ± 351 μm), followed by the unilateral ETS group (5,451 ± 351 μm) and intact ETS group (2,130 ± 337 μm) (Fig 3). Significant differences were confirmed between the control and the other groups (p < 0.001). The SCs migration distance of the bilateral ETS group was longer than that of the unilateral ETS group (p < 0.01) and the intact ETS group (p < 0.001).

**Confirmation of SCs migration by S100 and neurofilament antibody staining**

To confirm our *in vivo* findings, the localization of SCs within ANGs was evaluated by immunohistochemical staining with anti-S100 antibody. Donor-derived SCs were double labeled with constitutively expressed GFP and anti-S100 antibody. The control group had no double-labeled migrating SCs (Fig 4a2). In the intact ETS group a few SCs migrated and remained at the ANGs nearby coaptation (Fig 4b2). We confirmed that a small number of SCs could migrate to the distal edge of the ANGs in the unilateral ETS group (Fig 4c2) and were further able to confirm a large number of migrated SCs throughout graft nerves in the bilateral ETS group (Fig 4d2).

Regarding neurofilament staining, abundant regenerated axons were colocalized with constitutively GFP-expressing SCs and anti-neurofilament antibodies in the bilateral ETS group as compared with the other groups (Fig 4a3–4d3).

**Differences in the expression of SC migration related markers after ETS grafting**

qRT-PCR was used to further characterize the expression of SCs migration related markers in ANGs using ETS neurorrhaphy. ETS grafts were harvested 2 and 4 weeks postoperatively and
were separated from the graft at the most proximal and distal suture lines. These tissue sections were then analyzed for the expression of SCs (S100), SCs migration related markers (NRG1 and ErbB2), and senescence or stress marker (p16\(^{INK4a}\)).

At 2 weeks postoperatively, all markers were upregulated. In particular, in the unilateral ETS group, high expression levels of both NRG1 and ErbB2 were noted, and in the bilateral ETS group, high expression level of S100 was observed (p < 0.01). p16\(^{INK4a}\) in all groups increased over 17-fold, and the increase was most significant in the bilateral ETS group (p < 0.01) (Fig 5A). At 4 weeks postoperatively, the expression level of ErbB2 in the unilateral ETS group remained higher than that in the other groups. In contrast, the upregulation of senescence marker (p16\(^{INK4a}\)) of SCs was minimal, and low expression pattern of S100, NRG1, and ErbB2 were noted in the intact ETS group (Fig 5B).

**In vivo imaging of axonal elongation**

GFP expression from regenerated axons was observed in the graft from 7 to 28 days via live imaging (Fig 6). The average axonal elongation from the edge of the graft at 7 days post engraftment was longest in the bilateral ETS group (6.86 ± 1.45 mm), followed by the unilateral ETS (3.29 ± 0.29 mm) and control (0 mm) groups. Fourteen days post engraftment, it was 8.98 ± 0.89 mm in the bilateral ETS group, followed by 5.66 ± 2.09 mm in the unilateral group and 2.72 ± 0.12 mm in the control group. Significant differences were confirmed between
unilateral ETS group and bilateral ETS group until 14 days after surgery ($p < 0.05$). On the 21$^{st}$ day after engraftment, axonal elongation to the distal edge of the graft was completed in the bilateral and unilateral ETS groups. There were significant differences between the ANG containing SC group and control group until 21 days after transplantation ($p < 0.05$). In the control group, axons reached the distal suture site at 28 days after engraftment.

Histomorphometry and assessment of nerve cross sections

At the proximal sections (Fig 7A), the total number of regenerating myelinated axons was confirmed as $341.8 \pm 242.2$ axons in the control group (Fig 7B, a1), $1,174.2 \pm 468.7$ axons in the unilateral ETS group (Fig 7B, b1), and $1,689.2 \pm 616.9$ axons in the bilateral ETS group (Fig 7B, c1). The total number of regenerating myelinated axons of the bilateral ETS group was larger than that of unilateral ETS group ($p < 0.05$) and control ($p < 0.001$). The control was smaller than the unilateral ETS group ($p < 0.01$). Furthermore, when we examined the distal sections in the same manner (Fig 7A), $158 \pm 282.3$ axons in the control group (Fig 7B, a2), $956.2 \pm 550.6$ axons in the unilateral ETS group (Fig 7B, b2), and $1,434 \pm 814.6$ axons in the bilateral ETS group were counted (Fig 7B, c2). We confirmed significant differences among all groups at both sections ($p < 0.05$).

Quantitative assessment of regeneration and morphometric assessment

The average myelin sheath diameter of unilateral ($0.64 \pm 0.26 \mu m$, $p < 0.001$) and bilateral ETS group ($0.63 \pm 0.24 \mu m$, $p < 0.001$) were larger than that of the control group at the proximal portion ($0.54 \pm 0.19 \mu m$). Similarly, at the distal portions, both the unilateral ETS ($0.59 \pm 0.24 \mu m$, $p < 0.05$) and bilateral ETS groups ($0.68 \pm 0.28 \mu m$, $p < 0.001$) were larger than the
control (0.53 ± 0.19 μm). In addition, at the distal portion, significant differences were observed among all groups (p < 0.05). However, the difference of average myelin sheath thickness was not apparent between the unilateral and bilateral ETS groups at the proximal portion (Fig 8A). At the proximal section of the nerve lesion, the average myelinated fiber diameters of the unilateral (2.37 ± 0.85 μm) and bilateral ETS groups (2.45 ± 0.93 μm) (ANG containing migrated SCs groups) were larger than that of the control group (2.14 ± 0.82 μm, p < 0.001). However, at the distal section, the difference was not apparent between the control and ANG containing migrated SCs groups (Fig 8B). The smaller g-ratio value in the bilateral ETS group showed a greater degree of the myelinated fiber maturation in these groups [38], and the g-ratio of the unilateral ETS group (0.71 ± 0.09) was smaller than that of the control (0.73 ± 0.09, p < 0.01) and bilateral ETS groups (0.73 ± 0.09, p < 0.01) at proximal sections. At the distal section, the g-ratio of the bilateral ETS group (0.67 ± 0.04) was smaller than that of the control (0.73 ± 0.04, p < 0.01) and unilateral ETS groups (0.70 ± 0.04, p < 0.01) (Fig 8D).
Confocal imaging of neuromuscular junctions

To confirm that axons regenerating across the graft were reinnervating their targets, we quantified the percentage of reinnervated motor endplates at 7 weeks (Fig 9A). Reinnervation of the endplates of EDL muscle was significantly better in the bilateral ETS group where 94% of labeled endplates were reinnervated as compared with 54.5% in the control and 65.8% in the unilateral ETS groups (Fig 9B). Significant differences were only confirmed between the bilateral ETS group and the control (p < 0.05).

Discussion

Peripheral nerve regeneration with acellular nerve products has not been successful in restoring functional recovery to a similar level as autograft, particularly when gap distances are increased. Numerous investigators have indicated that this is because of the lack of viable SCs that promote axonal regeneration and extra supplementation, such as cultured SCs, neurotrophic factors, or some types of stem cells [16]. However, culturing human SCs in vitro to obtain a sufficient number of viable cells and transplanting these cultured cells to improve axonal regeneration is a time-consuming process [17]. Moreover, human SCs must be derived from autologous sources to avoid immunosuppression and require invasive nerve biopsies, thereby sacrificing healthy nerve tissue [17]. Stem cell therapy is promising but has serious disadvantages, including teratoma formation, potential mislocalization/misdifferentiation, and host immune system response [18, 19, 40]. Most neurotrophic factors, including NGF and BDNF, have a short effective time; thus, continuous growth factor administration is impractical [41].

In this study, we demonstrated that ETS neurorrhaphy, which is used as an axonal provider, could be useful as an SC provider to support axonal elongation in a ANGs in vivo model. Our results support the assertion that ETS neurorrhaphy requires an epineurial window to promote the migration of SCs into ANGs; additional partial neurectomy promotes further migration of...
Moreover, bilateral ETS neurorrhaphy can accelerate the migration of SCs from both proximal and distal coaptation sites over short times; the number of migrated SCs is larger than that of unilateral SCs. When we transplanted hybrid ANGs containing migrated SCs in the nerve gap, hybrid ANGs with bilateral ETS neurorrhaphy significantly promoted the number of regenerated axons and the distance of axonal elongation as compared with the other groups.

After nerve injury, SCs generally dedifferentiate into immature state, proliferate, and align themselves in the remaining basal lamina to guide regenerating axons to their distal targets [42, 43]. Neuregulin–ErbB signaling is essential for the direct migration of SCs [42, 44]. This study on SC migration ability into ANGs showed that through intact ETS neurorrhaphy, a few SCs migrated only to the proximate coaptation site, and epineurium appeared to interfere with the perforation of SCs into the recipient nerve. Our previous study suggested that even with intact ETS coaptation, sensory axons could regenerate in a delayed fashion through
Fig 8. The morphometric parameters of regenerating axons. A) Myelin sheath in acellular nerve allografts containing migrated Schwann cells was thicker than that in the control group. Particularly, differences at distal section among all groups were significant. B) Myelinated fiber diameter at proximal section in the bilateral end-to-side (ETS) group was the largest, followed by the unilateral ETS and control groups. C) Axon diameter showed similar tendency to myelinated fiber diameter at both sections. D) G-ratio at proximal section showed differences among the control, unilateral ETS, and bilateral ETS groups. In contrast, g-ratio at distal section revealed differences among all groups. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0167507.g008

Fig 9. Motor reinervation at Extensor digitorum longus (EDL) muscle. A) Percentage of reinnervated endplate at 7 weeks after surgery (n = 4 per group). Quantitative analysis of endplates within 25 random samples in Thy1-yellow fluorescent protein 16 mice revealed that reinnervation was significantly better in the bilateral end-to-side (ETS) group than in the other groups (*p < 0.05). B) Whole mount imaging of EDL muscle. a) In the control group, although the majority of motor endplates remained denervated, a few regenerating axons were noted around the endplate. b) The unilateral ETS group showed that a part of endplates were reinnervated. c) The bilateral ETS group demonstrated that most of the endplates were reinnervated and regenerated axons were well organized. Scale bar, 100 μm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0167507.g009
epineurium [23, 26]; however, the creation of an epineural window appeared to be a crucial step for the migration of SCs into entire ANGs. The disruption of the blood–nerve barrier on the perineurium appeared to result in increasing nerve regeneration, with larger perineurial window size corresponding to increased nerve fiber density and the total number of nerve fibers [24]. Those evidences also refer to the importance of epineural window for SC migration into ANGs. Regarding the partial neurectomy to the donor nerve, numerous studies demonstrated that the epineurial window combined with a partial neurectomy of the donor nerve promoted abundant axonal regeneration as compared with the epineural window without partial neurectomy. Brenner et al. [25] reported this as neurectomy corresponding to approximately one-third of transections resulting in markedly enhanced motor neural regeneration and functional recovery. A total of 40% partial neurectomy with the epineural window showed good reinnervation of the recipient nerve without structural and functional changes of the donor system during a long-term evaluation [28, 45]; dose-response relationships between the axotomy of the donor nerve and donor nerve regeneration has previously been demonstrated [25, 45]. In our unilateral ETS neurorrhaphy group, which was transected approximately one-fifth, revealed the rapid migration of SCs into ANGs as compared with the intact ETS neurorrhaphy group. In vivo imaging of the SC migration in the axotomy models, irrespective of it being unilateral or bilateral, showed a similar migration distance at 2 weeks after surgery. Therefore, we suspected that axotomy is one of the important factors to accelerate the migration of SCs into the entire graft. Since the higher expression of NRG1 and ErbB2 in the unilateral ETS group also supports this theory at 4 weeks after surgery, we inferred that the migration of SCs had persisted. Regarding bilateral ETS coaptation, our previous study demonstrated that SCs migrate equally into ANG from both proximal and distal coaptation sites, and in case grafted SCs existed, host SCs did not migrate into the graft [31]. In this study, the bilateral ETS neurorrhaphy group up-regulated expression of S100 from at 2 weeks after surgery, and we could observe comparable migration of SCs from both proximal and distal coaptation through live imaging. The high expression of ErbB2 at 2 weeks after surgery implied immature SC and S100, thereby indicating that a certain amount of SCs had already migrated. After 4 weeks after surgery, as for the high expression level of neuregulin-1 and the decrease of ErbB2 expression level, we could speculate that the migration of SCs had already completed, and SCs got matured with considerable axonal regeneration. The level of S100 was higher than that of the other groups; bilateral ETS effectively increased the amount of migrated SCs from both anastomoses.

In contrast, Saheb-Al-Zamani et al. [46] recently reported that SCs migrated into long ANG and were led into senescence and limited axonal regeneration into the graft as gap length became longer. The mechanism of senescence remained unclear; however, they suspected that excessive proliferative demand on donor SCs to populate increasing acellular volumes or prolonged absence of trophic support from axons because of chronic denervation could contribute to senescence. Poppler et al. [47] recently reported that long ANGs were repopulated with increased p16-positive SCs and stromal cells compared to short ANGs, suggesting that the ratio of SCs expressing markers of senescence (p16) was directly related to a poor regenerative outcome across nerve constructs.

Even with the evidence of SC senescence, ETS neurorrhaphy effectively induced the migration of SCs into ANGs, and those SCs facilitated considerable axonal regeneration. Saheb-Al-Zamani et al. [46] and Poppler et al. [47] evaluated SC senescence from the level of p16\(^{INK4a}\), and in our study, the level of p16\(^{INK4a}\) was up-regulated in all ETS neurorrhaphy groups at 2 weeks after surgery and significantly decreased at 4 weeks after surgery. It may imply that early excessive stress for SC migration through ETS neurorrhaphy induced upregulation of p16\(^{INK4a}\) and induce migrating SCs into senescence. However, all ETS groups showed same tendency,
and the upregulation of p16\textsuperscript{INK4a} was observed only at early after surgery. P16\textsuperscript{INK4a} is also activated through the Ras-Raf-Mek pathway, a pathway for SC proliferation [48–50]. Thus, the upregulation of p16\textsuperscript{INK4a} may result from abundant SC proliferation. However, there was no relevant evidence for SC senescence up to 4 weeks of SC induction through ETS neurorrhaphy. When we applied ETS bilaterally to the ANG, the axons regenerated into the ANG from both ETS anastomoses, and the length of axons, which facilitate SCs, doubled compared with regular nerve graft in which axons are regenerated only from the proximal anastomosis.

Therefore, we hypothesized a few theories for favorable axonal regeneration in our hybrid ANG models through ETS neurorrhaphy. First, we could induce SCs into ANGs through ETS neurorrhaphy without senescence of SCs, and we could increase the amount of SCs by partial neurectomy to the donor nerve and applied ETS neurorrhaphy to both edges of the graft. Second, if SCs were led into senescence at early postoperative stress in 2 weeks period, migrated SCs matured in ANGs by 4 weeks period and provided pro-regenerative environment that facilitates nerve regeneration [14, 51]. Third, bilateral ETS provides better result because increasing the number of anastomosis decreases the distance that each SC required for migration and diminishes the stressful stimuli of migration subsequently.

The efficacy of ETS neurorrhaphy as an axonal provider has been obvious not only in vivo but also clinically [29]. It has been utilized in several situations, such as sensory nerve reconstruction for hand or facial reanimation [30, 52, 53]. Functional deficit to the donor nerve has been kept minimal or none: therefore, we could apply this technique as SC provider, relatively soon in adequate clinical situations. However, there are still several issues that we need to elucidate to put this concept into practice. First, we need to evaluate the length of ANGs we could apply this concept to and adequate migration period for each length of ANGs. Second, we need to clarify whether increasing ETS coaptation could reduce stress for migration in SCs and avoid SC senescence. Third, we should evaluate whether SC-migrated hybrid ANGs could be a substitute for autograft. We will attempt to make our study more specific for clinical application and try to make ANGs more practical for a variety of nerve reconstructions.

In conclusion, ETS neurorrhaphy, which is used as an axonal provider, could be useful as an SC provider to support axonal elongation in ANGs in vivo. It is effective when an epineurial window and partial neurectomy is performed and is more effective when applied bilaterally. This approach can potentially represent a new usage of ETS neurorrhaphy and make ANGs more practical by creating hybrid nerve graft in which autologous SCs are combined.

**Acknowledgments**

We would like to thank Dr. Masahiro Yamaguchi from Tokyo University for providing us with breeding pairs of Nestin GFP mice and genotyping information and Kayoko Okada from Juntendo University School of Medicine, Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery for kind technical assistance. We thank Dr. Masato Koike from Laboratory of Morphology and Image Analysis and Research Support Center; Laboratory of Morphology and Image Analysis, Laboratory of Molecular and Biochemical Research, Biomedical Research Center; and Laboratory of Genome Research, Research Institute for Diseases of Old Age Juntendo University Graduate School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan for technical assistance. The authors declare no competing financial interests.

**Author Contributions**

*Conceptualization:* AH.

*Data curation:* HY AH.
Formal analysis: HY AH.
Funding acquisition: AH.
Investigation: HY AH YN DS RT.
Methodology: HY AH.
Project administration: AH.
Resources: AH RT HM.
Supervision: AH.
Validation: AH HM.
Visualization: HY AH.
Writing – original draft: HY AH.
Writing – review & editing: AH HM.

References
1. Fox IK, Schwetye KE, Keune JD, Brenner MJ, Yu JW, Hunter DA, et al. Schwann-cell injection of cold-preserved nerve allografts. Microsurgery. 2005; 25(6):502–7. doi: 10.1002/micr.20152 PMID: 16142793
2. Moore AM, MacEwan M, Santosa KB, Chenard KE, Ray WZ, Hunter DA, et al. Acellular nerve allografts in peripheral nerve regeneration: a comparative study. Muscle Nerve. 2011; 44(2):221–34. doi: 10.1002/mus.22033 PMID: 21660979
3. Meek MF, Coert JH. Clinical use of nerve conduits in peripheral-nerve repair: review of the literature. J Reconstr Microsurg. 2002; 18(2):97–109. Epub 2002/02/02. PMID: 11823940
4. Lundborg G, Dahlin LB, Danielsen N, Gelberman RH, Longo FM, Powell HC, et al. Nerve regeneration in silicone chambers: influence of gap length and of distal stump components. Exp Neurol. 1982; 76(2):361–75. Epub 1982/05/01. PMID: 7095058
5. Meek MF, Coert JH. US Food and Drug Administration/Conformit Europe-approved absorbable nerve conduits for clinical repair of peripheral and cranial nerves. Ann Plast Surg. 2006; 60(1):110–6. Epub 2008/02/19. doi: 10.1097/SAP.0b013e31804d441c PMID: 18281807
6. Moore AM, Kasukurthi R, Magill CK, Farhadi HF, Borschel GH, Mackinnon SE. Limitations of conduits in peripheral nerve repairs. Hand (N Y). 2009; 4(2):180–6. Epub 2009/01/13.
7. Whitlock EL, Tuffaha SH, Luciano JP, Yan Y, Hunter DA, Magill CK, et al. Processed allografts and type I collagen conduits for repair of peripheral nerve gaps. Muscle Nerve. 2009; 39(6):787–99. Epub 2009/03/18. doi: 10.1002/mus.21220 PMID: 19291791
8. Brooks DN, Weber RV, Chao JD, Rinker BD, Zoldos J, Robichaux MR, et al. Processed nerve allografts for peripheral nerve reconstruction: a multicenter study of utilization and outcomes in sensory, mixed, and motor nerve reconstructions. Microsurgery. 2012; 32(1):1–14. Epub 2011/11/29. doi: 10.1002/micr.20975 PMID: 22121093
9. Frostick SP, Yin Q, Kemp GJ. Schwann cells, neurotrophic factors, and peripheral nerve regeneration. Microsurgery. 1998; 18(7):397–405. Epub 1999/01/08. PMID: 9880154
10. Chan JR, Cosgaya JM, Wu YJ, Shooter EM. Neurotrophins are key mediators of the myelination program in the peripheral nervous system. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2001; 98(25):14661–8. Epub 2001/11/22. doi: 10.1073/pnas.251543998 PMID: 11717413
11. Iwase T, Jung CG, Bae H, Zhang M, Soliven B. Glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor-induced signaling in Schwann cells. J Neurochem. 2005; 94(6):1488–99. Epub 2005/08/10. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-4159.2005.03290.x PMID: 16086701
12. Chen YY, McDonald D, Cheng C, Magnowski B, Durand J, Zochodne DW. Axon and Schwann cell partnership during nerve regrowth. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol. 2005; 64(7):613–22. PMID: 16042313
13. Webber C, Zochodne D. The nerve regenerative microenvironment: early behavior and partnership of axons and Schwann cells. Exp Neurol. 2010; 223(1):51–9. Epub 2009/06/09. doi: 10.1016/j.expneurol.2009.05.037 PMID: 19501085
14. Hall SM. Regeneration in cellular and acellular autografts in the peripheral nervous system. Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol. 1986; 12(1):27–46. Epub 1986/01/01. PMID: 3703154

15. Nadim W, Anderson PN, Turmaine M. The role of Schwann cells and basal lamina tubes in the regeneration of axons through long lengths of freeze-killed nerve grafts. Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol. 1990; 16(5):411–21. Epub 1990/10/01. PMID: 2263316

16. Szyanalyuk M, Kemp SW, Wood MD, Gordon T, Borschel GH. Experimental and clinical evidence for use of decellularized nerve allografts in peripheral nerve gap reconstruction. Tissue Eng Part B Rev. 2013; 19(1):83–96. Epub 2012/08/29. doi: 10.1089/ten.TEB.2012.0275 PMID: 22924762

17. Guest JD, Rao A, Olson L, Bunge MB, Bunge RP. The ability of human Schwann cell grafts to promote regeneration in the transected nude rat spinal cord. Exp Neurol. 1997; 148(2):502–22. Epub 1998/01/24. doi: 10.1006/exnr.1997.6693 PMID: 9417829

18. Walsh S, Biernaskie J, Kemp SW, Midha R. Supplementation of acellular nerve grafts with skin derived precursor cells promotes peripheral nerve regeneration. Neuroscience. 2009; 164(3):1097–107. Epub 2009/09/10. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2009.08.072 PMID: 19737602

19. Fong CY, Gauthaman K, Bongso A. Teratomas from pluripotent stem cells: A clinical hurdle. J Cell Biochem. 2010; 111(4):769–81. Epub 2010/07/29. doi: 10.1002/jcb.22775 PMID: 20665544

20. Viterbo F, Trindade JC, Hoshino K, Mazzoni Neto A. Latero-terminal neurorrhaphy without removal of the epineurial sheath. Experimental study in rats. Rev Paul Med. 1992; 110(6):267–75. Epub 1992/11/01. PMID: 1341024

21. Matsumoto M, Hirata H, Nishiyama M, Morita A, Sasaki H, Uchida A. Schwann cells can induce collateral sprouting from intact axons: experimental study of end-to-side neurorrhaphy using a Y-chamber model. J Reconstr Microsurg. 1999; 15(4):281–6. Epub 1999/06/11. doi: 10.1055/s-2007-1000102 PMID: 10363551

22. Yan JG, Matloub HS, Sanger JR, Zhang LL, Riley DA, Jaradeh SS. A modified end-to-side method for peripheral nerve repair: large epineurial window helicoid technique versus small epineurial window standard end-to-side technique. J Hand Surg Am. 2002; 27(3):484–92. Epub 2002/05/17. PMID: 12015724

23. Hayashi A, Yanai A, Komuro Y, Nishida M, Inoue M, Seki T. Collateral sprouting occurs following end-to-side neurorrhaphy. Plast Reconstr Surg. 2004; 114(1):129–37. Epub 2004/06/29. PMID: 15220580

24. Walker JC, Brenner MJ, Mackinnon SE, Winograd JM, Hunter DA. Effect of perineurial window size on nerve regeneration, blood-nerve barrier integrity, and functional recovery. J Neurotrauma. 2004; 21(2):217–27. Epub 2004/03/06. doi: 10.1089/089771504322778677 PMID: 15000762

25. Brenner MJ, Dvali L, Hunter DA, Myckatyn TM, Mackinnon SE. Motor neuron regeneration through end-to-side repairs is a function of donor nerve axotomy. Plast Reconstr Surg. 2007; 120(1):215–23. Epub 2007/06/19. doi: 10.1097/01.pr.s.0000264094.06272.67 PMID: 17572566

26. Hayashi A, Pannucci C, Moradzadeh A, Kawamura D, Magill C, Hunter DA, et al. Axotomy or compression is required for axonal sprouting following end-to-side neurorrhaphy. Exp Neurol. 2008; 211(2):539–50. Epub 2008/04/25. doi: 10.1016/j.expneurol.2008.02.031 PMID: 18433746

27. Beris AE, Lykissas MG. Chapter 13: Experimental results in end-to-side neurorrhaphy. Int Rev Neurobiol. 2009; 87:269–79. Epub 2009/08/18. doi: 10.1016/S0077-7742(09)87013-X PMID: 19682642

28. Liu HF, Chen ZG, Fang TL, Arnold P, Linaweaver WC, Zhang J. Changes of the donor nerve in end-to-side repairs is a function of donor nerve axotomy. Plast Reconstr Surg. 2007; 120(1):215–23. Epub 2007/06/19. doi: 10.1097/01.pr.s.0000264094.06272.67 PMID: 17572566

29. Hayashi A, Pannucci C, Moradzadeh A, Kawamura D, Magill C, Hunter DA, et al. Axotomy or compression is required for axonal sprouting following end-to-side neurorrhaphy. Exp Neurol. 2008; 211(2):539–50. Epub 2008/04/25. doi: 10.1016/j.expneurol.2008.02.031 PMID: 18433746

30. Beris AE, Lykissas MG. Chapter 13: Experimental results in end-to-side neurorrhaphy. Int Rev Neurobiol. 2009; 87:269–79. Epub 2009/08/18. doi: 10.1016/S0077-7742(09)87013-X PMID: 19682642

31. Liu HF, Chen ZG, Fang TL, Arnold P, Linaweaver WC, Zhang J. Changes of the donor nerve in end-to-side neurorrhaphies with epineurial window and partial neurectomy: a long-term evaluation in the rat model. Microsurgery. 2014; 34(2):136–44. Epub 2013/09/10. doi: 10.1002/micr.22167 PMID: 24014345

32. Ueda K, Akiyoshi K, Suzuki Y, Ohkouchi M, Hirose T, Asai E, et al. Combination of hypoglossal-facial nerve jump graft by end-to-side neurorrhaphy and cross-face nerve graft for the treatment of facial paralysis. J Reconstr Microsurg. 2007; 23(4):181–7. Epub 2007/05/29. doi: 10.1055/s-2007-974654 PMID: 17530609

33. Matsuda K, Kakibuchi M, Sotsuka Y, Kubo T, Shibata M, Hosokawa K. End-to-side "loop" graft for total facial nerve reconstruction: Over 10 years experience. J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg. 2015; 68(8):1054–63. Epub 2015/05/24. doi: 10.1016/j.bjps.2015.04.005 PMID: 26002778

34. Hayashi A, Koob JW, Liu DZ, Tong AY, Hunter DA, Parsadanian A, et al. A double-transgenic mouse used to track migrating Schwann cells and regenerating axons following engraftment of injured nerves. Exp Neurol. 2007; 207(1):128–38. Epub 2007/06/04. doi: 10.1016/j.expneurol.2007.06.004 PMID: 17628544

35. Yamaguchi M, Saito H, Suzuki M, Mori K. Visualization of neurogenesis in the central nervous system using nestin promoter-GFP transgenic mice. Neuroreport. 2000; 11(9):1991–6. Epub 2000/07/07. PMID: 10884058

36. Fox IK, Jaramillo A, Hunter DA, Rickman SR, Mohanakumar T, Mackinnon SE. Prolonged cold-preservation of nerve allografts. Muscle Nerve. 2005; 31(1):59–69. doi: 10.1002/mus.20231 PMID: 15508128
34. Bangaru ML, Park F, Hudmon A, McCallum JB, Hogan QH. Quantification of gene expression after painful nerve injury: validation of optimal reference genes. J Mol Neurosci. 2012; 46(3):497–504. Epub 2011/08/25. doi: 10.1007/s12031-011-9628-x PMID: 21863315

35. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. Methods. 2001; 25(4):402–8. Epub 2002/02/16. doi: 10.1006/meth.2001.1262 PMID: 11846609

36. de Medinaceli L. Interpreting nerve morphometry data after experimental traumatic lesions. J Neurosci Methods. 1995; 58(1–2):29–37. Epub 1995/05/01. PMID: 7475231

37. Ilha J, Araujo RT, Malysz T, Hermel EE, Rigon P, Xavier LL, et al. Endurance and resistance exercise training programs elicit specific effects on sciatic nerve regeneration after experimental traumatic lesion in rats. Neurorehabil Neural Repair. 2008; 22(4):355–66. Epub 2008/03/11. doi: 10.1177/1545968307313502 PMID: 18326889

38. Chomiak T, Hu B. What is the optimal value of the g-ratio for myelinated fibers in the rat CNS? A theoretical approach. PLoS One. 2009; 4(11):e7754. Epub 2009/11/17. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0007754 PMID: 19915661

39. Magill CK, Tong A, Kawamura D, Hayashi A, Hunter DA, Parsadanian A, et al. Reinnervation of the tibialis anterior following sciatic nerve crush injury: a confocal microscopic study in transgenic mice. Exp Neurol. 2007; 207(1):64–74. Epub 2007/07/14. doi: 10.1016/j.expneurol.2007.05.028 PMID: 17628540

40. Swijnenburg RJ, Schrepfer S, Cao F, Pearl JI, Xie X, Connolly AJ, et al. In vivo imaging of embryonic stem cells reveals patterns of survival and immune rejection following transplantation. Stem Cells Dev. 2008; 17(6):1023–9. Epub 2008/05/22. doi: 10.1089/scd.2008.0091 PMID: 18491958

41. Poduslo JF, Curran GL. Permeability at the blood-brain and blood-nerve barriers of the neurotrophic factors: NGF, CNTF, NT-3, BDNF. Brain Res Mol Brain Res. 1995; 36(2):280–6. Epub 1996/03/01. PMID: 8965648

42. Jessen KR, Mirsky R. Schwann cells and their precursors emerge as major regulators of nerve development. Trends Neurosci. 1999; 22(9):402–10. PMID: 10441301

43. Jessen KR, Mirsky R. The origin and development of glial cells in peripheral nerves. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2005; 6(9):671–82. Epub 2005/09/02. doi: 10.1038/nrn1746 PMID: 16136171

44. Lyons DA, Pogoda HM, Voas MG, Woods IG, Diamond B, Nix R, et al. erb3 and erb2 are essential for schwann cell migration and myelination in zebrafish. Curr Biol. 2005; 15(6):513–24. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2005.02.030 PMID: 15797019

45. Kalantarian B, Rice DC, Tiango DA, Terzis JK. Gains and losses of the XII-VII component of the "baby-sitter" procedure: a morphometric analysis. J Reconstr Microsurg. 1998; 14(7):459–71. Epub 1998/11/18. doi: 10.1055/s-2007-1000208 PMID: 9819092

46. Saheb-Al-Zamani M, Yan Y, Farber SJ, Hunter DA, Newton P, Wood MD, et al. Limited regeneration in long acellular nerve allografts is associated with increased Schwann cell senescence. Exp Neurol. 2013; 247:165–77. doi: 10.1016/j.expneurol.2013.04.011 PMID: 23644284

47. Poppler LH, Ee X, Schellhardt L, Hoben GM, Pan D, Hunter DA, et al. Axonal Growth Arrests After an Increased Accumulation of Schwann Cells Expressing Senescence Markers and Stromal Cells in Acellular Nerve Allografts. Tissue engineering Part A. 2016; 22(13–14):949–61. Epub 2016/06/15. doi: 10.1089/ten.TEA.2016.0003 PMID: 27297909

48. Lin AW, Barradas M, Stone JC, van Aelst L, Serrano M, Lowe SW. Premature senescence involving p53 and p16 is activated in response to constitutive MEK/MAPK mitogenic signaling. Genes Dev. 1998; 12(19):3008–19. Epub 1998/10/09. PMID: 9765203

49. Passegue E, Wagner EF. JunB suppresses cell proliferation by transcriptional activation of p16(INK4a) expression. EMBO J. 2000; 19(12):2969–79. Epub 2000/06/17. doi: 10.1093/emboj/19.12.2969 PMID: 10856241

50. Ohtani N, Zebedee Z, Huot TJ, Stinson JA, Sugimoto M, Ohashi Y, et al. Opposing effects of Ets and Id proteins on p16INK4a expression during cellular senescence. Nature. 2001; 409(6823):1067–70. Epub 2001/03/10. doi: 10.1038/35059131 PMID: 11234019

51. Hall SM. The effect of inhibiting Schwann cell mitosis on the re-innervation of acellular autografts in the peripheral nervous system of the mouse. Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol. 1986; 12(4):401–14. Epub 1986/07/01. PMID: 3095674

52. Matsuda K, Kakibuchi M, Fukuda K, Kubo T, Madura T, Kawai K, et al. End-to-side nerve grafts: experimental study in rats. J Reconstr Microsurg. 2005; 21(8):581–91. Epub 2005/11/18. doi: 10.1055/s-2005-922439 PMID: 16292736

53. Matsuda K, Kakibuchi M, Kubo T, Tomita K, Fujitani T, Hattori R, et al. A new model of end-to-side nerve graft for multiple branch reconstruction: end-to-side cross-face nerve graft in rats. J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg. 2008; 61(11):1357–67. Epub 2008/05/06. doi: 10.1016/j.bjps.2008.04.013 PMID: 18456587