Social support during intensive care unit stay might improve mental impairment and consequently health-related quality of life in survivors of severe acute respiratory distress syndrome

Maria Deja*, Claudia Denke*, Steffen Weber-Carstens, Jürgen Schröder, Christian E Pille, Frank Hokema, Konrad J Falke and Udo Kaisers

Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Charité, Campus Virchow Klinikum, Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Augustenburger Platz 1, D-13353 Berlin, Germany
* Contributed equally

Corresponding author: Maria Deja, maria.deja@charite.de

Received: 20 Apr 2006 Revisions requested: 2 Jun 2006 Revisions received: 30 Jul 2006 Accepted: 16 Oct 2006 Published: 16 Oct 2006

Critical Care 2006, 10:R147 (doi:10.1186/cc5070)
This article is online at: http://ccforum.com/content/10/5/R147
© 2006 Deja et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.
This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Introduction We investigated health-related quality of life (HRQoL) and persistent symptoms of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) in long-term survivors of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). We wished to evaluate the influence of PTSD on HRQoL, and to investigate the influence of perceived social support during intensive care unit (ICU) treatment on both PTSD symptoms and HRQoL.

Methods In ARDS patients we prospectively measured HRQoL (Medical Outcomes Study 36-Item Short Form; SF-36), symptoms of PTSD (Post-Traumatic Stress Syndrome 10-Questions Inventory; PTSS-10), perceived social support (Questionnaire for Social Support; F-Sozu) and symptoms of psychopathology (Symptom Checklist-90-R); and collected sociodemographic data including current employment status. Sixty-five (50.4%) out of 129 enrolled survivors responded, on average 57 ± 32 months after discharge from ICU. Measuring symptoms of PTSD the PTSS-10 was used to divide the ARDS patients into two subgroups ('high-scoring patients', indicating patients with an increased risk for developing PTSD, and 'low-scoring patients').

Results HRQoL was significantly reduced in all dimensions in comparison with age- and gender-adjusted healthy controls. Eighteen patients (29%) were identified as being at increased risk for PTSD. PTSD risk was significantly linked with anxiety during their ICU stay. In this group of patients there was a trend towards permanent or temporary disability, independent of the period between discharge from ICU and study entry. Perceived social support was associated with a reduction in PTSD symptoms (Pearson correlation; \( p < 0.05 \)). Post-hoc test revealed a significant difference between 'high-scoring patients' and 'low-scoring patients' with respect to mental health, although they did not differ in physical dimensions.

Conclusion HRQoL was reduced in long-term survivors, and was linked with an increased risk of chronic PTSD with ensuing psychological morbidity. This was independent of physical condition and was associated with traumatic memories of anxiety during their ICU stay. Social support might improve mental health and consequently long-term outcome including employment status.

Introduction

Health-related quality of life (HRQoL) as a state of physical, mental and social well-being is used as a measure of a patient's self-perceived outcome after critical care. There is some evidence that survivors of severe acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) demonstrate significantly reduced HRQoL after discharge [1-3]. Their HRQoLs are comparable to those of patients who suffered from chronic illnesses such as congestive heart failure or stroke.

In addition, it has been reported that after admission to the intensive care unit (ICU) some patients report symptoms such as...
as anxiety, pain and nightmares, which may develop into chronic psychiatric disorders including post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and depression [4-6]. It has been demonstrated in ICU patients with ARDS and sepsis that PTSD has a serious effect on the self-perceived HRQoL [2]. PTSD follows traumatic occurrences outside the range of common human experience such as violent physical assaults, torture, accidents, rape or natural disasters and is characterized by a typical symptom pattern of intrusions, persistence of trauma, relevant stimuli avoidance, emotional numbing and physiological hyperarousal. Weinert and colleagues characterized traumatic events in the ICU setting in detail. They include hallucinations, paranoia, ICU noise, severe sleep disruption, communication difficulties and fear of disconnection from the ventilator [1].

Psychosocial counselling during stressful procedures is known to decrease the associated level of stress and improve the recovery process; however, it is not yet widely used for this purpose in ICUs. In addition to professional counselling, support and assistance from family or caregivers is receiving more attention and credence as an adjunct therapy in critically ill patients on ICUs. The prevention of psychiatric complications through the development of active coping strategies has recently become a focus of research interest [7,8].

The aim of this study was to evaluate HRQoL as a long-term outcome parameter in patients surviving severe ARDS, and to evaluate the relationship between symptoms of PTSD and HRQoL. Additionally, we investigated whether perceived social support during the ICU stay and the rehabilitation process might reduce PTSD symptoms and consequently might improve HRQoL.

Materials and methods
This prospective controlled study was performed at a single university centre specializing in the treatment of patients with severe ARDS. Our clinical treatment algorithm included the inhalation of nitric oxide and extracorporeal membrane oxygenation [9]. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of our faculty, and informed consent was obtained from patients at the time that the questionnaires were sent.

Patients
All patients were referred to our ICU from other German and European hospitals for the treatment of severe ARDS, and were admitted between 1991 and 2000 after transport by a specialized team. We started the study in 2002 and investigated only those patients who had been discharged from the ICU for more than one year because a diagnosis of chronic PTSD requires persistent symptoms. The patients were mailed six questionnaires that measured HRQoL, psychological disorders, perception of social support, and socio-demographic data. Patients were asked to recall the ICU stay and to answer each question promptly rather than after protracted consider-

Figure 1

Study profile.
rating between 1 (never) and 7 (always) was possible, leading to a total score ranging from 10 to 70 points. A total of 70 points indicates severe PTSD symptoms. Using the German version of the two-part PTSS-10 to assess PTSD-related symptoms, Stoll and colleagues demonstrated criterion validity by receiver operating characteristic curve analysis and showed that a score of 35 points or more was a cutoff with a sensitivity of 77% and a specificity of 97.5% for the diagnosis of PTSD [13]. The ability of PTSS-10 in comparison to structured clinical interviews (SKID) to indicate patients at risk of developing PTSD has also been evaluated in patients with ARDS [3]. To investigate the hypothetical association between PTSD and HRQoL we divided the patients into two subgroups: 'high-scoring patients' with a PTSS-10 score of at least 35, and 'low-scoring patients' with a score of less than 35.

### Table 1

Demographic and clinical characteristics of patients studied

| Characteristic                                      | All studied patients | 'High-scoring patients' | 'Low-scoring patients' |
|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Risk for development of PTSD, n (percentage)        | 65                   | 18 (29%)                 | 44 (71%)               |
| PTSD score (mean ± SD)                              | 28.1 ± 13.9          | 46.3 ± 8.9               | 20.6 ± 7.1             |
| Age, years (mean ± SD)                              | 39 ± 15              | 41 ± 13                  | 39 ± 15                |
| Sex, n (male/female)                                | 35/30                | 8/10                     | 25/19                  |
| Period between discharge and study, months (mean ± SD) | 57 ± 32              | 56 ± 33                  | 59 ± 31                |
| Lung Injury Score (mean ± SD)                       | 3.2 ± 0.5            | 3.3 ± 0.5                | 3.2 ± 0.5              |
| APACHE II score (mean ± SD)                         | 16 ± 6               | 15 ± 4                   | 16 ± 6                 |
| ICU length of stay, days (mean ± SD)                | 47 ± 32              | 59 ± 37.0                | 42 ± 29                |
| Duration of mechanical ventilation, days (mean ± SD) | 30 ± 22              | 37 ± 22                  | 27 ± 22                |

### Cause of ARDS, n (percentage within group)

| Cause of ARDS                                      | All studied patients | 'High-scoring patients' | 'Low-scoring patients' |
|----------------------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Sepsis                                             | 13 (20)              | 5 (28)                   | 8 (18)                 |
| Pneumonia                                          | 26 (43)              | 7 (39)                   | 20 (45.5)              |
| Multiple trauma                                    | 20 (31)              | 5 (28)                   | 14 (32)                |
| Other                                              | 4 (6)                | 1 (6)                    | 2 (4,5)                |
| ECMO, n (percentage within group)                  | 7 (11)               | 3 (17)                   | 4 (9)                  |

### Current status of employment, n (percentage within group)

| Current status of employment                        | All studied patients | 'High-scoring patients' | 'Low-scoring patients' |
|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Work/training                                      | 29 (46)              | 7 (39)                   | 22 (50)                |
| Unemployed/working at home                         | 5 (8)                | 1 (5.5)                  | 4 (9)                  |
| Retired                                             | 7 (10)               | 1 (5.5)                  | 6 (14)                 |
| Disabled                                           | 12 (18)              | 8 (44)                   | 4 (9)                  |
| Others                                              | 6 (13)               | 1 (5.5)                  | 5 (11)                 |
| No data                                            | 3 (5)                |                          | 3 (7)                  |

In 2 patients (3%) there were no data for PTSD. PTSD, post-traumatic stress disorder; SD, standard deviation of the mean; 'high-scoring patients', patients with a Post-Traumatic Stress Syndrome 10-Questions Inventory (PTSS-10) score greater than or equal to the cutoff score of 35 points, indicating an increased risk of development of PTSD; 'low-scoring patients', patients with a PTSS-10 score below the cutoff score; APACHE, Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation; ICU, intensive care unit; ARDS, acute respiratory distress syndrome; ECMO, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation. Significance was assumed at a two-tailed p < 0.05. *Significant difference; b trend.

### Health-related quality of life

HRQoL was measured with the Medical Outcomes Study 36-Item Short Form (SF-36) [14]. This questionnaire includes eight scales, each reflecting a different so-called 'dimension of quality of life'. Four dimensions reflect physical health (physical component summary), namely physical function, physical role function, bodily pain and general health, and the four other dimensions reflect mental health (mental component summary), namely vitality, social function, emotional function and mental health. The total score lies between 0 and 100, with higher values indicating a more favourable quality of life. Normative data are available for a German-speaking population [15]. We matched healthy controls in terms of age and gender from the continuously updated norm database. SF-36 has previously been validated for critically ill patients [16].
Symptoms of psychopathology

The Symptom Checklist-90-R contains 90 items and is a brief multidimensional self-report inventory containing the following dimensions: somatization, obsessive-compulsive, interpersonal sensitivity, depression, anxiety, hostility, phobic anxiety, paranoid ideation, and psychoticism [17]. These scales are summarized under three global indices: Global Severity Index, Positive Symptom Distress Index and Positive Symptom Total. For each of the 90 items a rating on a five-step Lickert scale between 0 (not at all) and 4 (extremely) is possible; data were presented with the use of $T$ values (mean 50, SD 10). The Symptom Checklist-90-R was used to screen for psychological distress and multiple aspects of psychopathology in our patients with ARDS.

Social support

The Questionnaire for Social Support assessed the perception of emotional and instrumental social support and social integration [18], and a German version is available [19]. This 22-item questionnaire measures the quality of social relationships and support. For each item a rating between 1 (low) and 5 (high) is possible. Scores for the three dimensions and a total score are calculated as means of summed scores. A rating of 5 points indicates the highest degree of social support.

Table 2

| Group                  | PTSS-10 score (mean ± SD) | Number of recollections, n (percentage) |
|------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| All patients           | 28.1 ± 13.9                | 0 (5) 1 (12) 2 (29) 3 (22) 4 (32)       |
| 'High-scoring patients'| 46.3 ± 8.9                 | 0 (0) 0 (0) 3 (17) 6 (33) 9 (50)        |
| 'Low-scoring patients' | 20.6 ± 7.1                 | 3 (7) 7 (17) 14 (34) 7 (17) 10 (24)     |

Comparison of Post-Traumatic Stress Syndrome 10-Questions Inventory (PTSS-10) score in all patients with the use of the $t$ test to prove a difference between 'high-scoring patients' with a PTSS-10 score greater than or equal to the cutoff score of 35 points, indicating an increased risk for the development of post-traumatic stress disorder, and 'low-scoring patients' with a PTSS-10 score below the cutoff score. The number (percentage) of patients yielding the indicated numbers of recollection with respect to pain, difficulties in breathing, nightmares and anxiety are also shown. SD, standard deviation of the mean.

Table 3

| Group                  | Traumatic memories (percentage) |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                        | Pain | Difficulties in breathing | Nightmares | Anxiety |
| All patients           | 61   | 68                           | 79         | 63      |
| 'High-scoring patients'| 78   | 78                           | 89         | 89      |
| 'Low-scoring patients' | 53   | 63                           | 74         | 51      |

The proportion of patients recalling traumatic memories in different groups (all patients, 'high-scoring patients' with a Post-Traumatic Stress Syndrome 10-Questions Inventory (PTSS-10) score greater than or equal to the cutoff score of 35, indicating an increased risk for development of post-traumatic stress disorder, and 'low-scoring patients' with PTSS-10 score below the cutoff score). The $\chi^2$ test revealed a significant difference of frequency in anxiety memories between groups. n.s., non-significant difference. aSignificant difference; btrend.

Symptoms of psychopathology

The Symptom Checklist-90-R contains 90 items and is a brief multidimensional self-report inventory containing the following dimensions: somatization, obsessive-compulsive, interpersonal sensitivity, depression, anxiety, hostility, phobic anxiety, paranoid ideation, and psychoticism [17]. These scales are summarized under three global indices: Global Severity Index, Positive Symptom Distress Index and Positive Symptom Total. For each of the 90 items a rating on a five-step Lickert scale between 0 (not at all) and 4 (extremely) is possible; data were presented with the use of $T$ values (mean 50, SD 10). The Symptom Checklist-90-R was used to screen for psychological distress and multiple aspects of psychopathology in our patients with ARDS.

Statistical analysis

We used SPSS Software (SPSS for Windows, version 10.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) for statistical analysis. The alpha level was set to the conventional 5%. Multivariate analysis of variance using the $F$ statistic was used to test group (more than two) comparisons. Multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) was performed for HRQoL using only 'group' ('high-scoring patients', 'low-scoring patients', healthy controls) as a subject factor. Analysis of variance was also used to check a possible influence of time on the perceived outcome parameters in this long-term follow-up. The period between discharge of ICU and study was therefore examined as a covariant factor. $t$ tests were applied as a post-hoc analysis to evaluate differences between two groups. Proportions were tested with a $\chi^2$ test or a likelihood-quotient $\chi^2$ test.

Results

Between 1991 and 2000 a total of 263 patients from other hospitals were transferred to our ICU for specialized treatment for ARDS: 187 (71%) patients survived and were discharged from the ICU, and 76 (29%) died during their ICU stay (Figure 1). Of these 187 survivors the contact address was not available in 55 cases, and three patients were found to have died after discharge after follow-up with family members. Of the
remaining 129 patients (69%), 64 (49.6%) did not provide feedback, and 65 (50.4%) returned the completed questionnaires and gave written informed consent (Figure 1). In the investigated patients the follow-up occurred at an average of 57 ± 32 months after discharge from the ICU (Table 1). Their demographic and clinical characteristics are presented in Table 1. There were no significant differences between investigated patients and patients who were lost to follow-up apart from the period between discharge from the ICU and entry into the study. The demographic and clinical details of these non-participating patients were as follows (mean ± SD): age, 32.9 ± 15 years; gender, 60% male; duration of mechanical ventilation, 40 ± 30 days; cause of ARDS, sepsis 10%, pneumonia 47%, multiple trauma 31%, other 14%; severity of ARDS by lung injury score, 3.2 ± 0.3; and severity of illness by APACHE II score, 17 ± 6. Only one significant difference emerged: the mean period between discharge from ICU and attempted follow-up for the purposes of the study was considerably shorter in investigated patients (57 ± 32 months) than in those who did not participate (72 ± 36 months; t = -2.9; p < 0.0005).

Post-traumatic stress disorder
At the time of this study, 18 patients (29%; 8 male, 10 female) were identified as being at increased risk for PTSD according to PTSS-10. Consequently we divided the entire study population into two subgroups: 'high-scoring patients' at increased risk of developing PTSD, and 'low-scoring patients'. PTSS-10 scores were significantly different between 'high-scoring patients' with increased risk for developing PTSD and 'low-scoring patients' (t = -3.7; p < 0.0001; Table 1). Demographic data for all participating patients and the two subgroups are presented in Table 1. There were no significant differences between the subgroups in relation to age, gender, period between discharge from ICU and entry into study, duration of mechanical ventilation, cause of ARDS, the severity of ARDS as measured by means of lung injury score, or severity of illness by APACHE II score. Requirements for extracorporeal membrane oxygenation were also comparable between groups. In relation to length of stay (t = -1.95; p < 0.056) and employment status (χ²(3) = 8.2; p < 0.084) we observed a trend towards a difference between groups. 'High-scoring patients' tended to be disabled more frequently and to stay longer on the ICU (Table 1).

A significant positive correlation between the number of traumatic memories and the severity of PTSD was revealed (Spearman r = 0.522; p < 0.0001; Table 2). In particular, a significant positive relationship between the experience of anxiety in the ICU and an increased risk of developing PTSD was demonstrated (χ²(1) = 7.59; p < 0.01; Table 3). 'High-scoring patients' at an increased risk of developing PTSD showed a tendency to recall experiences of pain more often. The whole patient group recalled nightmares or difficulties in breathing more frequently than anxiety or pain. Only experiences of anxiety differed significantly between the subgroups (Table 2).

Health-related quality of life
HRQoL measured by SF-36 in all patients with ARDS investigated was significantly reduced in all dimensions, physical as well as mental, in comparison with age- and gender-matched healthy controls (Figure 2). Using MANOVA we detected a significant difference between 'high-scoring patients', 'low-scoring patients' and healthy controls (Figure 2). Using MANOVA we detected a significant difference between 'high-scoring patients', 'low-scoring patients' and healthy controls, and verified a significant effect between subject factor 'group' in both main dimensions (physical and mental component summary) and in all subdimensions of HRQoL. Post-hoc tests revealed a significant dif-
| Psychological test and group | HRQoL        | ANOVA |          | Post-hoc test |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------|----------|---------------|
|                             | F           | d.f.  | p        |               |
| Physical component score    | 34.42       | 2;122 | 0.0001   | a, b          |
| 'High-scoring patients'     | 41 ± 11     |       |          |               |
| 'Low-scoring patients'      | 45 ± 12     |       |          |               |
| Healthy control             | 56 ± 4      |       |          |               |
| Mental component score      | 48.94       | 2;122 | 0.0001   | a, c          |
| 'High-scoring patients'     | 37 ± 12     |       |          |               |
| 'Low-scoring patients'      | 52 ± 8      |       |          |               |
| Healthy control             | 53 ± 4      |       |          |               |
| Physical function           | 36.81       | 2;122 | 0.0001   | a, b, c       |
| 'High-scoring patients'     | 61 ± 28     |       |          |               |
| 'Low-scoring patients'      | 78 ± 21     |       |          |               |
| Healthy control             | 96 ± 9      |       |          |               |
| Physical role function      | 17.92       | 2;122 | 0.0001   | a, b          |
| 'High-scoring patients'     | 58 ± 36     |       |          |               |
| 'Low-scoring patients'      | 72 ± 40     |       |          |               |
| Healthy control             | 98 ± 13     |       |          |               |
| Bodily pain                 | 35.81       | 2;122 | 0.0001   | a, b          |
| 'High-scoring patients'     | 55 ± 33     |       |          |               |
| 'Low-scoring patients'      | 68 ± 27     |       |          |               |
| Healthy control             | 96 ± 13     |       |          |               |
| General health              | 37.52       | 2;122 | 0.0001   | a, b, c       |
| 'High-scoring patients'     | 41 ± 22     |       |          |               |
| 'Low-scoring patients'      | 62 ± 23     |       |          |               |
| Healthy control             | 80 ± 14     |       |          |               |
| Vitality                    | 63.80       | 2;122 | 0.0001   | a, b, c       |
| 'High-scoring patients'     | 30 ± 14     |       |          |               |
| 'Low-scoring patients'      | 59 ± 16     |       |          |               |
| Healthy control             | 73 ± 13     |       |          |               |
| Social function             | 37.61       | 2;122 | 0.0001   | a, b, c       |
| 'High-scoring patients'     | 51 ± 28     |       |          |               |
| 'Low-scoring patients'      | 86 ± 18     |       |          |               |
| Healthy control             | 94 ± 13     |       |          |               |
| Emotional function          | 31.32       | 2;122 | 0.0001   | a, b, c       |
| 'High-scoring patients'     | 49 ± 44     |       |          |               |
| 'Low-scoring patients'      | 87 ± 25     |       |          |               |
| Healthy control             | 99 ± 9      |       |          |               |
| Mental health               | 54.11       | 2;122 | 0.0001   | a, c          |
Table 4 (Continued)

| Health-related quality of life | 'High-scoring patients' | 43 ± 17 |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--------|
| 'Low-scoring patients'        | 76 ± 14                 |
| Healthy control               | 79 ± 10                 |

Health-related quality of life (HRQoL) was measured in controls, in 'high-scoring patients' with a Post-Traumatic Stress Syndrome 10-Questions Inventory (PTSS-10) score greater than or equal to the cutoff score of 35, indicating an increased risk for development of PTSD, and in 'low-scoring patients'. HRQoL (results shown as means ± SD) was measured with the Medical Outcomes Study 36-Item Short Form (SF-36) in physical component summary (subdimensions physical function, physical role function, bodily pain and general health) and mental component summary (subdimensions vitality, social function, emotional function and mental health). The difference between groups was evaluated with an analysis of variance (ANOVA) and post-hoc t-tests for independent samples. PTSD, post-traumatic stress disorder. *Significant difference between healthy controls and 'high-scoring patients' with a PTSS-10 score greater than or equal to the cutoff score, indicating an increased risk for development of PTSD; #significant difference between healthy controls and 'low-scoring patients' with a PTSS-10 score below the cutoff score; $significant difference between 'high-scoring patients' and 'low-scoring patients'.

Discussion

The aims of this study were to investigate long-term HRQoL in survivors of ARDS, to assess the influence of persistent PTSD symptoms on HRQoL, and to prove the hypothesis that perceived social support reduces the PTSD symptoms and improves HRQoL in these patients. In this study we demonstrated significantly reduced HRQoL in ARDS survivors, an association between persistence of PTSD symptoms and the reduction in HRQoL, and a possible role for social support in the prevention of PTSD. Physical impairment, as measured by the physical component score, did not seem to be responsible for the reduced HRQoLs in patients with high PTSD symptom scores (Figure 3). Furthermore, a covariance analysis indicated that physical impairment slowly but steadily improved in many patients and subsequently became less and less important. It is well known that survivors of ARDS need a long time for physical recovery. Muscle atrophy and weakness were outlined as essential prognostic factors for quality of life 1 year after surviving ARDS [20]. Using covariance analysis we observed that symptoms of mental impairment persisted much longer than symptoms of physical impairment. We were also able to show that perceived social support during the ICU stay and during the rehabilitation period was associated with a decrease in PTSD symptoms. In addition, 'high-scoring patients', indicating an increased risk of developing PTSD, more frequently applied for disability pensions.

Trigger of post-traumatic stress disorder, the traumatic event

Psychiatric diagnosis of PTSD according to DSM-III-R criteria requires a triggering event which must be a catastrophic stressor outside the range of usual human experience. Furthermore, the stressor should be perceived as a traumatic event by nearly everyone. PTSD has a strong negative influence on QoL. This probably reflects the importance of recollection of anxiety in the development of PTSD. The lifetime prevalence for PTSD in western countries is reported with 8% within higher rates in females (10–12%) than males (5–6%) [21].
However, in some populations, the prevalence of PTSD is considerably higher, for example in ICU-survivors (28%) [2]. To measure PTSD symptoms as a result of an ICU stay we focused solely on recollections of the ICU stay. In this context, Weinert and colleagues [1] investigated patients with ARDS using interviews; they considered disease-specific question-
naires in a 'focus group' to yield more information about an ICU stay as a result of group interactions than as a result of individual memory. Their patients reported the following to be associated with anxiety: noisy ICUs, hallucinations, paranoia, fear of disconnection from the ventilator, and guilt.

Fear of suffocation
Disconnection from the ventilator is in many cases perceived as a life-threatening situation resulting in severe emotional stress [1]. In another prospective clinical trail analysing patients 6 months after discharge from ICU, Granja and colleagues showed that in only 41% of the patients a memory of disconnection from the ventilator was associated with stress [22]; 53% of the patients recalled tracheal tube suction, and of these 81% associated the procedure with stress. In our study 'difficulty breathing' was recalled by 68% of all patients, but the frequency of these experiences did not differ between 'high-scoring patients' and 'low-scoring patients'. It seems that it is not the procedure itself but rather the individual's experience of it that determines the development of psychological sequelae of intensive care treatment.

Nightmares
In our study 74% of all patients remembered 'nightmares', but their incidence was comparable between 'high-scoring patients' and 'low-scoring patients'. In the study of Granja and colleagues an unexpectedly low rate of 30% of all patients experienced 'nightmares', but when they did occur they had a tremendous effect on quality of life after discharge [22]. In our opinion the subjective perception of nightmares as a fearful experience is the crucial factor in the development of PTSD after treatment on intensive care wards.

Effect of mechanical ventilation
The duration of mechanical ventilation was not associated with the severity of PTSD symptoms in our study, suggesting that mechanical ventilation itself does not affect the development of PTSD. Kress and colleagues investigated psychological effects of daily interruption of sedation [23]. Patients without daily interruption tended to recall awakening in ICU more often than those whose sedation was interrupted daily. Moreover, study patients with a daily interruption of sedation showed significantly fewer symptoms of PTSD. However, the patients did not differ in terms of HRQoL. A perception of the ICU situation that is close to reality improves the integration of treatment experiences into episodic memory, and it might prevent the formation of a memory of traumatization. Moreover, the study group of Kress and colleagues might have been too small to prove the influence of mechanical ventilation (5.6 days on average) on HRQoL. It is worth repeating that weaning strategies deploying early spontaneous breathing require appropriate strategies to avoid fear, anxiety and the feeling of helplessness.

Strategies for prevention
Jones and colleagues described the influence of delusional memories (nightmares, dreams and hallucinations) on acute symptoms of PTSD [24]. In their study, factual memories in particular protected against the development of acute symptoms of PTSD. Even though factual memories were sometimes unpleasant, they may have helped in coping with moments of unavoidable traumatic events. Our patients benefited from social support, notably in terms of social integrity. Even though Kapfhammer and colleagues found no correlation between social support and PTSD rate, they demonstrated a reduced social function in patients with PTSD, which in turn led to diminished social activities and communication [3]. The compromise of social function in their patients may have partly induced an avoidance of social relationships, and to some extent it may have led patients to reject the social support offered to them. Correlation between social support and severity of PTSD symptoms in our patients suggested that emotional support and social integration acted as factors in preventing PTSD symptoms. Because membership of the 'high-scoring' group was related to disability pension, social assistance by family caregivers might be associated with a better social outcome in ARDS survivors.

With regard to psychosocial characteristics, it has been demonstrated that objective injury criteria are not correlated with the incidence of PTSD in trauma patients who are evaluated during the first 3 weeks after the accident, whereas pre-trauma variables such as gender and mental health, biographical risk and stressful life events associated with PTSD symptomatology are correlated [25]. The association between high social support and fewer PTSD symptoms might reflect a better social and emotional state before the ICU stay [25]. Central factors in the development of active coping strategies and a stable mental health status to prevent traumatization are emotional support, empathy and helpful accepting behaviour of family caregivers during the life-threatening and traumatic ICU stay. Passive coping strategies, which are related to diminished social support, inhibit cognitive function and psychological recovery from a traumatic event. A meta-analysis identified a lack of social support after the trauma as one of the major risk factors for PTSD [26]. In addition, family characteristics, for example family dysfunction or instability, seem to be a risk factor for the development of PTSD symptomatology [27]. In contrast, high social support might imply good communication and a stable family network and might consequently constitute a protective factor against the development of PTSD.

There are several limitations to this study. The great variance of period after discharge in our study might be responsible for a lower feedback rate. Feedback rate and questionnaire results might have been biased by PTSD patients seeking to avoid memories of their ICU treatment. Structured interviews on discharge from the hospital might be more accurate and might encourage patients to participate in follow-up studies.
later in life. We chose chronic PTSD as an outcome because the diagnosis of PTSD requires a 6-month interval after the traumatic events. Fortunately, acute PTSD symptoms frequently resolve spontaneously [3]. Selection bias as a result of the avoidance of memories of the trauma might be acceptable because several patients with numerous traumatic memories responded. We showed a positive relationship between the number of traumatic memories and the value of PTSS-10, confirming the results of previous studies [2]. Numerous studies have demonstrated that both the physical and, in particular, the mental convalescence of patients with ARDS takes a long time after discharge from ICU [20,28]. In addition, non-participating patients had similar patient characteristics.

The period between discharge from ICU and entry into the study was not important for any of the investigated parameters with the exception of physical role function. This may serve as an indicator for the strength and representativeness of the study group. We wanted to investigate the long-term outcome of survivors in a specialized centre for the treatment of ARDS. The high rate of ‘nightmares’ in our study compared with the study of Granja and colleagues might be a result of the duration of analgosedation [22]. Our critically ill patients had a tenfold longer length of ICU stay with a correspondingly longer duration of analgosedation than their patients. Interestingly, the length of stay was correlated with the development of PTSD. Unfortunately, we did not score acute delirium. Further studies should measure patients’ experience in ICU in greater detail. We assume that assessing traumatic experiences such as delirium or fear during the weaning phase and the use of a specialized score might be of further assistance in novel strategies for the treatment of delirium associated with mechanical ventilatory support and analgosedation.

Table 6

| F-Sozu dimension     | All patients (n = 62) | 'High-scoring patients' (n = 18) | 'Low-scoring patients' (n = 44) | t test | t  | d.f. | p    |
|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------|----|-----|------|
| Total social support | 4.3 ± 0.8            | 3.9 ± 1.0                        | 4.4 ± 0.5                       | 2.90   | 22.6 | ≤ 0.01|
| Emotional support    | 4.4 ± 0.8            | 4.2 ± 0.9                        | 4.4 ± 0.7                       | 2.24   | 20.9 | ≤ 0.05|
| Practical support    | 4.0 ± 0.8            | 3.5 ± 0.9                        | 4.3 ± 0.6                       | 1.88   | 60  |      | 0.064a|
| Social integrity     | 4.2 ± 0.7            | 3.9 ± 0.8                        | 4.4 ± 0.5                       | 3.53   | 23.4 | ≤ 0.005|

The Questionnaire for Social Support (F-Sozu) was used to compare 'high-scoring patients' with a Post-Traumatic Stress Syndrome 10-Questions Inventory (PTSS-10) score greater than or equal to the cutoff score of 35, indicating an increased risk for development of post-traumatic stress disorder, with 'low-scoring patients' with a PTSS-10 below the cutoff score. Scores are shown as means ± SD. For each of the 22 items a rating between 1 (low support) and 5 (high support) was possible. A post-hoc t test was applied for independent samples. aTrend.

Figure 4

Correlation of perceived social support and posttraumatic stress. The total sum score of questionnaire F-Sozu and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) score is shown. Severity of PTSD was verified with the Post-Traumatic Stress Syndrome 10-Questions Inventory (PTSS-10) score. Diagnosis of an increased risk for development of PTSD was related to a cutoff score of 35 or more in PTSS-10. The cutoff score is denoted by a broken horizontal line; social support was significantly correlated to severity of PTSD (Pearson correlation; p < 0.05).
Conclusion
We conclude that even after successful ICU treatment for ARDS in terms of physical outcome, the long-term outcome of ARDS survivors measured with HRQoL is reduced as a result of persistent PTSD symptoms. Recalled social support from family or caregivers during the ICU stay and rehabilitation has a significant effect on PTSD symptoms. Social support from family members might improve coping skills for traumatic experiences in critically ill patients. The main result of our study was that social support and its probable mental health benefits may favourably affect the long-term outcome, including the employment status, of ICU patients who recover from ARDS.

Key messages
• In these survivors of severe ARDS, anxiety is a crucial traumatic memory during the ICU stay and is significantly linked with the risk of developing PTSD.
• Physical impairment was not responsible for reduced HRQoL in patients suffering from persistently high PTSD symptom scores (a high score indicates an increased risk of developing PTSD). Physical impairment slowly but steadily improved in many patients and subsequently became less and less important.
• Psychiatric symptoms persisted much longer than symptoms of physical impairment.
• Recall of social support during a burdensome ICU stay and rehabilitation may be positively associated with subsequent mental health, risk of PTSD and long-term outcomes including employment status.
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