Formation mechanism and improved properties of Cu95Fe5 homogeneous immiscible composite coating by the combination of mechanical alloying and laser cladding
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ABSTRACT

In order to improve the homogeneity of spherical Fe-rich particles within the Cu-rich matrix, the Cu95Fe5 (wt%) immiscible composite coating has been produced by the combination of mechanical alloying and laser cladding. The results show that the milled composite powder presents a polygonal morphology and is mainly composed of face-centered-cubic (fcc)-Cu solid solution. The demixing or delimitation is eliminated in the immiscible composite coating produced by laser cladding and large amounts of spherical α-Fe particles are dispersed within the ε-Cu matrix as a result of liquid phase separation (LPS). The size of the Fe-rich particles measured is in radius of ~1.5 μm, which is much smaller than the calculated critical radius (~2.3 μm), confirming that the α-Fe particles containing a supersaturated Cu are driven by the dominant mechanism of Marangoni motion. Compared to Brass, the immiscible composite coating exhibits the improved homogeneous microhardness (153HV0.2) and higher corrosion resistance, as well as a saturated magnetization of ~9.19 emu/g and low coercivity of ~9.25 Oe.© 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The remarkable characteristic of immiscible alloys is that there is a metastable liquid immiscible gap in the phase diagram. When they are supercooled below a certain temperature of liquid miscibility gap (T_{seg}), the melt can separate into two melts as a result of liquid phase separation (LPS): a Fe-rich melt (L1) and a Cu-rich melt (L2) [1]. Especially, when the spherical particles as the minor phase are dispersed homogeneously in the metal matrix as the major phase, the immiscible alloys exhibit many unique properties. It is expected that the immiscible alloys as self-assembled composites can oppose a rich variety of potential applications, such as electronic packaging solders and magnetoresistive materials in the electronic industry, advanced bearings in the automotive industry, and metallic phase change materials (PCM) in latent heat storage systems [2—5].

Compared to other immiscible alloys such as Cu-Co, Al-Bi, Cu-Ag, Fe-Sn-Ge, Al-Co-La-Pb and etc [6—10], the Cu-Fe immiscible alloys have attracted extensive attention. This is attributed to the fact that copper has good corrosion resistance, high thermal and electrical conductivity, and iron has good hardness, wear resistance and low cost [11—13]. Moreover, most researchers focused on the solidification dynamics and mechanisms of LPS in the Cu-Fe immiscible alloys. However, the LPS is susceptible to result in serious macrostructure segregation within the Cu-Fe immiscible alloys [14—16]. In order to overcome this disadvantage, extensive efforts have been made in an attempt to obtain bulk homogeneous Cu-Fe immiscible alloys [17—19], such as electromagnetic levitation [20], combustion synthesis [17], drop tube [21] and gas atomization [22]. However, the rapid growth and coalescence of the minority phase droplets (MPDs) are essentially unavoidable due to Marangoni motion, even under the microgravity required to overcome
the effect of gravity on the microstructure segregation [7].

Generally, mechanical alloying (MA) is considered to an advanced technique to induce solid-state reactions. It has been shown to be capable of producing a variety of nanostructures, metastable crystalline and quasicrystalline phases, amorphous alloys and immiscible alloys [23–27]. Moreover, laser cladding has a cooling rate as high as $10^2$–$10^4$ K/s, which, in turn, can lead to microstructure refinement, enhanced solid solubility and considerable dynamic supercooling [28]. Therefore, it has great potential in processing immiscible alloys compared to traditional solidification methods (e.g. casting). The previous results showed that the phase separation characteristics and properties of the Cu-Fe immiscible composite coatings depend not only on the inoculant addition of CNTs [12], alloying element addition of Al [29], but also on substrate type [15] and laser scanning speed [30]. However, the demixing and delamination still occur in the Cu-Fe immiscible composite coatings by LIHC. In order to eliminate the phenomenon of demixing and delamination in the Cu-Fe immiscible composite coatings, the chemical composition is designed according to the Cu-Fe phase diagram [31] and the Cu95Fe5 (wt%) homogeneous immiscible composite coating without demixing and delamination was successfully prepared by the combination of mechanical alloying and laser cladding in the present work. The emphasis is to investigate the formation mechanisms and improved properties (microwhardness, electrochemical resistance and soft magnetic properties) of the immiscible composite coating.

2. Experimental procedures

2.1. Laser cladding

The carbon steel was used as substrate with dimensions of 100 mm × 50 mm × 10 mm. The composite powder was composed of 95 wt% pure copper (99.5%) and 5 wt% Fe-12Ni-5Cr-0.6Si-0.2C powder, which was marked Cu95Fe5. The composite powder was milled mechanically at a rate of 200 rpm in a planetary ball mill for 8 h. After each 30 min of milling, the operation was stopped for 15 min to avoid rising temperature. Stainless steel balls (5 mm in diameter) and milling vessels were used during mechanical alloying. The powder to ball mass ratio was set to 1:15. After mechanical alloying, the composite powder was dried at 120 °C for 3 h in an electric furnace. The milled composite powder was used as cladding material.

Laser cladding was carried out with two-step method. Initially, the cladding material with a thickness of ~2.0 mm was preplaced on the surface of the substrate, and then was melted using a semiconductor laser with a wavelength of 800 nm to form the Cu95Fe5 immiscible composite coating. To avoid the oxidation of the immiscible composite coating, pure Ar shielding gas was blown into the laser-induced molten pool. The processing parameters were adopted: spot size 8 mm × 4 mm, laser power 3 kW, and laser scanning speed 8 mm/s.

2.2. Microstructure characterization

The specimens after laser cladding were cut, ground, and polished for metallographic examinations, and subsequently etched by a solution of 5 g FeCl3 (99.9%), 5 mL HCl (37 wt%, Analytical reagent) and 95 mL H2O for 10 s at room temperature. The microstructure characteristic of the immiscible composite coating was observed by an optical microscope (OLYMPUS PME-3) and a scanning electron microscope (PHIILP-XL30) equipped with an energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS). The phase constituents of the milled composite powder and immiscible composite coating were analyzed by an X-ray diffractometer (XRD, D8 ADVANCE with Cu Kα radiation) operating at 40 kV and 40 mA.

2.3. Properties characterization

The microhardness of the immiscible composite coating was tested by an HV-1000 tester with a load of 1.96 N and a dwelling time of 10 s. Electrochemical measurements (CHI 660C, Shanghai, China) were performed on a standard three-electrode cell, consisting of a working electrode (WE) made from a coating specimen with an exposed area of 1 cm², a platinum counter electrode (CE), and a saturated calomel reference electrode (SCE). The electrolyte used was 3.5 wt% NaCl solution. Potentiodynamic polarization curves were recorded at a sweep rate of 20 mV min⁻¹, starting from the moment when the open circuit potential (OCP) reached its steady state after immersing the specimen into the electrolyte for about 1 h. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were performed at the OCP potentiostatically by scanning a frequency range from $10^{-2}$–$10^5$ Hz with voltage perturbation amplitude of 10 mV. The corresponding Nyquist and Bode plots were fitted by impedance spectrum data using Zsimpwin software. All potentials mentioned in this work were measured with respect to saturated calomel electrode (SCE). The magnetic properties were examined repeatedly using an MPMS-XL vibrating sample magnetometer with a maximum applied of 20,000 Oe at room temperature.

3. Results

3.1. Microstructure of immiscible composite coating

Fig. 1a shows the SEM image of the Cu95Fe5 composite powder for 8 h milling. The irregular powder particles indicate that copper powder and Fe-based powder suffer from a seriously repeated deformation, fracturing and cold welding [32]. With continuous milling, plastic deformation and internal stress increase, and correspondingly the internal defects such as dislocation, twin crystals and vacancies increase [32], leading to a further refinement and polygonal morphology of Cu95Fe5 composite powder. Fig. 1b shows the particle size distribution of un-milled and milled composite powder. The original powder sizes D3 and D98 are ~13.82 μm and 111.15 μm, respectively. The milled powder sizes D3 and D98 are decreased to ~5 μm and 80 μm, respectively. Obviously, the milled powder presents better concentration distribution than the un-milled powder, implying that apparent fracturing is induced after mechanical milling. Fig. 1c and d present the XRD patterns of the primary Fe-based powder and the milled composite powder. Obviously, the milled composite powder is mainly composed of face-centered-cubic (fcc)-Cu phase, whereas the body-centered-cubic (bcc) α-Fe peaks disappear, which can be explained by the following reasons. Generally, the difference atomic radius is just 1.5% and the electro-negativities are close together (1.9 for Cu and 1.8 for Fe), but the equilibrium solubility is near zero at room temperature [33]. Interestingly, mechanical alloying can extend the solubility limit of Fe in Cu to more than equiatomic composition. As a result, the Cu lattice parameter increases [27], the displacement of the Cu peak positions to smaller angles occurs and the Cu (111) peak can present an overlapping with the Fe (110) peak, which is attributed to a magneto-volume effect, where Fe atoms promote repulsion between Cu atoms. Additionally, if the exposing time of the sample to X-ray radiation is not sufficient or the noise and background are high, the small peaks of the Fe may be indistinguishable [33]. Therefore, a completely fcc-Cu solid solution containing a supersaturated Fe is formed after mechanical alloying, resulting in the disappearance of (bcc) α-Fe peaks.

Fig. 2 is the XRD pattern of the Cu95Fe5 immiscible composite...
coating. It can be seen that the fcc $\varepsilon$-Cu phase is formed but bcc $\alpha$-Fe phase is not detected in the immiscible composite coating. Despite this fact of low Fe content, it could not be concluded that Fe has dissolved completely into fcc $\varepsilon$-Cu phase, which can be confirmed by the microstructure characteristics of the immiscible composite coating, as shown in Figs. 3 and 4.

Fig. 3 shows the microstructure of the Cu95Fe5 immiscible composite coating. It is apparent that the Cu95Fe5 immiscible composite coating does not appear the demixing phenomenon compared with the previous studies [13,15], which reported that the microstructure of Cu95Fe5 immiscible composite coating presented the Fe$_9$/Cu-Cu$_9$/Fe$_9$/Cu “sandwich-layer structure” and Cu$_9$/Fe-Fe$_9$/Cu “duplex-layer structure” on the Cu-substrate and Fe-substrate, respectively. Fig. 3a shows a typical cross-sectional image of the immiscible coating. Smooth and crack-free immiscible composite coating is formed and has a metallurgically bonding with the substrate. Fig. 3b is the microstructure at the bottom of the immiscible coating, indicating that large amounts of spherical Fe-rich particles are homogeneously distributed within the Cu-rich matrix. Fig. 3c and d are the microstructure at the center and top of the immiscible composite coating, respectively. Obviously, the size variation of the spherical Fe-rich particles is remarkable and presents an increasing trend from the bottom to the top. As a result, the larger spherical Fe-rich particles are apt to be distributed at the top of the immiscible composite coating.

Fig. 4a shows a typical morphology of Fe-rich particles dispersed within the $\varepsilon$-Cu matrix. The EDS results (Fig. 4b and c) indicate that the spherical particle is noticeably rich in Fe and contains a supersatured Cu (15.61 wt%), whereas the matrix is rich in Cu and contains a supersaturated Fe (4.8 wt%). Moreover, Cr and Si are slightly depleted in the spherical particle and matrix. According to the results of XRD (Fig. 2) and EDS analysis (Fig. 4b and c), it is reasonable to infer that the spherical Fe-rich particle and Cu-rich matrix are $\alpha$-Fe and $\varepsilon$-Cu phases, respectively. Therefore, the Cu95Fe5 homogeneous immiscible composite coating is composed of bcc $\alpha$-Fe and fcc $\varepsilon$-Cu phases.

### 3.2. Properties of immiscible composite coating

Fig. 5 shows the microhardness of the Cu95Fe5 immiscible composite coating. It can be seen that the maximum microhardness is 176.8HV$_{0.2}$ at the bottom of the immiscible composite coating. With increasing distance from the surface of substrate, the microhardness first decreases, and then keeps approximately content (~153HV$_{0.2}$), which is equal to the microhardness of the substrate (153.3HV$_{0.2}$) and lower than that of the heat-affected-zone (HAZ) (~174.2HV$_{0.2}$). However, the microhardness of the immiscible composite coating is higher than that of Brass (137.7HV$_{0.2}$).
Fig. 3. Microstructure of the Cu95Fe5 immiscible composite coating: (a) cross-sectional morphology, (b) microstructure at the bottom, (c) microstructure at the center, (d) microstructure at the top.

Fig. 4. (a) Morphology of spherical particles dispersed within the matrix in the Cu95Fe5 immiscible composite coating. EDS results of (b) particle (marked A) and (c) matrix (marked B).
indicating that the immiscible composite coating is strengthened due to large amounts of spherical Fe-rich particles dispersed within the Cu-rich matrix.

Fig. 6a shows the polarization curves of the Cu95Fe5 immiscible composite coating and Brass in 3.5 wt% NaCl solution at room temperature. According to the intersection of cathode and anode polarization curves, the corrosion potential ($E_{corr}$) and current density ($I_{corr}$) are calculated by Tafel extrapolation method from the respective curves and are summarized in Table 1. Generally, the corrosion potential $E_{corr}$ reflects the corrosion thermodynamics of material. The larger the corrosion potential $E_{corr}$ is, the lower the corrosion trend of material is. The corrosion current density $I_{corr}$ reflects the corrosion rate of material. The larger the current density $I_{corr}$ is, the higher the corrosion rate is. The immiscible composite coating has a relatively higher $E_{corr}$ ($-0.536$ V) and lower $I_{corr}$ ($1.405$ mA cm$^{-2}$) than Brass ($-0.585$ V and $2.622$ mA cm$^{-2}$). It indicates that the immiscible composite coating has a relatively higher corrosion resistance than Brass, which can be further confirmed by the results of Nyquist and Bode curves (Fig. 6b and c). As shown in Fig. 6b, the electrode process of the immiscible composite coating is a hybrid control process of charge transfer and diffusion process [34], which can be confirmed by the result of electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) with semicircles in the high frequency range. It is apparent that the semicircle diameter of the immiscible composite coating is larger than that of Brass, indicating that the electrochemical corrosion resistance of the immiscible composite coating is higher than that of Brass. It can be seen from Fig. 6c that the Bode plots of the immiscible composite coating and Brass consist of three distinctive segments that depend on the frequency range [34]. The immiscible composite coating possesses the phase angle of $56.5^{\circ}$ that is much higher than Brass with a phase angle of $56.3^{\circ}$ in a fixed 1 Hz frequency. The maximum phase angle between the immiscible composite coating and Brass is not significantly different. However, the modulus value of the immiscible composite coating is higher than that of Brass in the whole frequency range. It is worth noting that the modulus value of the immiscible composite coating is approximately seven times the modulus value of Brass.

| Samples               | $E_{corr}$ (mV) | $I_{corr}$ (μA cm$^{-2}$) |
|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|
| Brass                 | $-585$          | $2.622$                   |
| Immiscible composite coating | $-536$          | $1.405$                   |

Table 1

Fig. 5. Microhardness of the Cu95Fe5 immiscible composite coating.

Fig. 6. (a) Polarization, (b) Nyquist, and (c) Bode curves of the Cu95Fe5 immiscible composite coating and Brass in 3.5 wt% NaCl solution.
The Cu-rich matrix as the major phase after rapid solidification of spherical Fe-rich particles as the minor phase are embedded within the attractive interaction and a direct collision between them, which is between two neighboring Fe-rich liquid droplets can result in an attractive interaction and a direct collision between them, which is called as a “diffusion-coupling mechanism” or a “gradient-induced-coupling mechanism” [39,40]. Therefore, a larger Fe-rich liquid droplet acts a sink, while a smaller one acts as a source. A larger Fe-rich liquid droplet is grown with the disappearance of small Fe-rich liquid droplets. The velocities of Marangoni motion, $V_M$, and Stokes motion, $V_S$, can be determined by the following equations, respectively [22]:

$$V_M = \frac{-2r}{3(\mu_1 + 2\mu_2)} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial T} \frac{\partial T}{\partial z}$$

$$V_S = \frac{2g\Delta \rho r^2 (\mu_1 + \mu_2)}{3\mu_2(3\mu_1 + 2\mu_2)}$$

where $r$ is the radius of Fe-rich liquid droplet, $\mu_1$ and $\mu_2$ are the viscosities of Fe-rich liquid droplet and Cu-rich matrix liquid, respectively, $\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial T}$ is the gradient of the interfacial energy between Fe-rich liquid droplet and Cu-rich matrix liquid as a function of temperature, $\frac{\partial T}{\partial z}$ is the temperature gradient, $g$ is the gravity coefficient ($g = 9.8 \text{ m/s}^2$), $\Delta \rho$ is the difference of density between Fe-rich liquid droplet and Cu-rich matrix liquid. The temperature of the molten pool during laser cladding can be calculated as follows [41]:

$$T(x,y,z) = T_0 + \frac{aP}{\pi c k T} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{1+\frac{s^2}{c^2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{s^2}{1+\frac{s^2}{c^2}} \left[\left(\frac{x}{r} - \frac{ar}{2z^2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{y}{r}\right)^2\right] - \frac{s^2}{1+\frac{s^2}{c^2}} \left[\left(\frac{z}{r}\right)^2 - \frac{r^2}{2}\right]^2\right\} ds$$

where $T_0$ is the preheated temperature of substrate, $P$ is the laser power, $a$ is the absorption coefficient of the substrate, $r$ is the radius of laser spot, $a = \rho c V / k$, $k$ is the thermal conductivity, $\rho$ is the density, $c$ is the thermal capacity and $V$ is the laser scanning speed. During laser cladding, the movement velocity of Fe-rich liquid droplets, $\Delta V$, is determined by $V_M$ and $V_S$, and can be described as follows [14]:

$$\Delta V = V_M + V_S$$

(4)

The cooling rate as high as $10^6$ K/s may be achieved during laser cladding so that the solidification time of Fe-rich droplets after the LPS is greatly shortened, which can lead to enhanced microstructure refinement because the growth or coarsening time of Fe-rich liquid droplets is inhibited [30]. According to parameters listed in Table 2, the temperature and temperature gradient of the molten pool during laser cladding are shown in Fig. 8. Correspondingly, the calculated velocities of Marangoni motion and Stokes motion at 1650 K (Fig. 9) demonstrate that the $V_M$ of Fe-rich liquid droplet has a linear relationship with its droplet size $r$, whereas the $V_S$ has a square relationship with its droplet size $r$. The velocity of Fe-rich liquid droplet will be zero when $r$ increases to a certain critical radius $r_0$. If $r < r_0$, the velocity of Fe-rich liquid droplet, $\Delta V$, is equal to zero, indicating that Marangoni motion is the dominant mechanism for movement of Fe-rich liquid droplets and the movement direction is toward the top of the molten pool. As a result, small Fe-rich liquid droplets collide with each other, coagulate and then grow into larger liquid droplets in the course of the upward migration, as shown in Fig. 10c. If $r > r_0$, the velocity of Fe-rich liquid droplet, $\Delta V$, is less than zero, implying that...
the movement of Fe-rich liquid droplets is dependent on Stokes motion and can move toward the bottom of the molten pool. As a result, the Fe-rich liquid droplets can grow due to coalescence of moving liquid droplets, as shown in Fig. 10e.

For the immiscible composite coating, the calculated critical radius, $r_0$, is $2.3 \, \mu m$, which is much larger than the measured radius, $r$ ($1.5 \, \mu m$). It is apparent that the Fe-rich liquid droplets move towards the top of the molten pool driven by the dominant mechanism of Marangoni motion, leading to the coalescence and collisions of the moving Fe-rich liquid droplets. Furthermore, as an increase in size of the Fe-rich liquid droplet, its $V_M$ also increases, which is more favorable to move towards the top of the molten pool. Additionally, compared to the Cu65Fe35 immiscible composite coating, the iron content is only 5 wt% in the cladding powder and some iron atoms can dissolve into Cu to form the Cu-rich matrix containing a supersatured Fe (Fig. 4). As a result, these moving Fe-rich liquid particles can be “frozen” within the Cu-rich matrix during laser cladding, due to lack of enough time to grow.
The related properties of the Cu95Fe5 immiscible composite coating have been improved markedly as a result of improvement in homogeneous distribution of the Fe-rich particles within the Cu-rich matrix. For example, the microhardness of the Cu95Fe5 immiscible composite coating present not a sharp gradient distribution [13], but a homogeneous distribution, and is higher than that of Brass. This is because the supersaturated Fe (4.8 wt%) dissolved into the Cu-rich matrix can play an important role in solid-solution strengthening. Additionally, large amounts of spherical Fe-rich particles are dispersed homogeneously within the Cu-rich matrix, resulting in the dispersion strengthening. The maximum microhardness is located at the bottom of the Cu95Fe5 immiscible composite coating. This is because some iron atoms from Fe-substrate can diffuse into the bottom of the immiscible composite coating, whose microhardness can be further strengthened. Moreover, the corrosion resistance of the immiscible composite coating is relatively higher than Brass, which is determined by the microstructure of the immiscible composite coating. Due to a potential difference between the spherical Fe-rich particles and the Cu-rich matrix, the chemical micelles is susceptible to form between them [42]. Especially, the Cu-rich matrix with high potential is the cathode, and the Fe-rich particles with low potential is the anode, resulting in the formation of large cathode and small anode [29,43]. As a result, the Fe-rich particles can be corroded preferentially to protect the Cu-rich matrix from being corroded. There are some bubbles popping up during the electrochemical corrosion testing, confirming that the hydrogen evolution corrosion occurs in the cathodic, leading to corrosion mitigation of Cu-rich matrix in the cathodic. Moreover, the stable corrosion products cannot be formed, though Fe can interact with NaCl solution. Correspondingly, the reaction equation can be described as follows [15]:

\[
2H_2O + 2e^- \rightarrow 2OH^- + H_2 \uparrow \tag{5}
\]

\[
Fe + 2Cl^- \rightarrow FeCl_2 + 2e^- \tag{6}
\]

\[
4FeCl_2 + 8OH^- + O_2 \rightarrow 2Fe_2O_3 \cdot 2H_2O + 8 Cl^- + 2H_2 \tag{7}
\]

Furthermore, the cathodic protection of the Cu-rich matrix can be achieved, leading to an improvement in corrosion resistance of the Cu95Fe5 immiscible coating compared to that of Brass.

5. Conclusions

(1) The milled Cu95Fe5 composite powder presents a polygonal morphology and is mainly composed of a completely fcc-Cu solid solution. The immiscible composite coating without segregation structure, which is characterized by many spherical Fe particles embedded homogeneously within the Cu matrix, is successfully prepared by the combination of mechanical alloying and laser cladding.

(2) The Fe particles are in radius of ~1.5 µm which is much smaller than the calculated critical radius (~2.3 µm), suggesting that the Fe-rich droplets are driven by the dominant mechanism of Marangoni motion. Under the action of Marangoni migration, small Fe-rich liquid droplets can grow into larger liquid droplets due to coalescence and collisions, resulting in the microstructure characteristic of spherical Fe-rich particles embedded within the Cu-rich matrix, without the appearance of demixing and delamination.

(3) The immiscible composite coating presents a saturated magnetization (M_s) of ~9.19 emu/g and a low coercivity (H_c) of ~9.25 Oe, respectively. Moreover, the microhardness of the immiscible composite coating (~153HV_0.2) is higher than that of Brass (137HV_0.2), and the immiscible composite coating has a relatively higher E_corr (~0.536 V) and lower I_corr (1.405 mA cm^{-2}) than Brass (~0.585 V and 2.622 mA cm^{-2}).
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