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Abstract

Introduction: Wounds are defects of normal anatomical structures and functions. Injuries can occur because of physical, chemical, or biological trauma. Wound healing is a complex process involving many cells, cytokines, growth factors, proteases, and extracellular matrix (ECM) that work together to restore the integrity of the injured tissue. Virgin Coconut Oil is an oil saturated with medium-chain fatty acids (MCFA), one of which is lauric acid. Based on our research, VCO has wound healing properties. Research conducted in vivo on rabbits and rats experienced an increase in collagen, fibroblast proliferation, and neovascularization which influenced accelerating wound healing.

Objective: This study aims to determine the difference in the mean REEDA scale in abdominal incision wounds after obstetric / gynaecology laparotomy with topical VCO and those without topical VCO.

Material and methods: This study is an experimental research design with a randomized clinical trial that was conducted on 36 people divided into 2 groups (treatment and control). Post-obstetric / gynaecology laparotomy patients were subjected to topical VCO application on the incision wound and the REEDA scale was calculated at 24, 48, and 72 hours post-surgery. Statistical analysis is using the Mann-Whitney test.

Results: Age mean of the respondents in the treatment group was 32.28 ± 10.42 years old, while the untreated group was 30.5 ± 6.54 years old. The mean REEDA scale for abdominal incision wounds applied topical VCO at 24 hours was 3.17 ± 1.04, at 48 hours was 2.11 ± 1.08, and at 72 hours was 1.39 ± 0.85. The mean REEDA scale for abdominal incision wounds that were not treated with topical VCO at 24 hours was 3.83 ± 0.99, at 48 hours was 3.11 ± 1.37 and at 72 hours was 2.72 ± 1.41. The findings of the experimental analyses showed that there was no association with the use of topical VCO in surgical wounds on a 24-hour REEDA scale (p>0.05), there was a relationship between the use of topical VCO in surgical wounds on a 48-hour and 72-hour REEDA scale (p<0.05). In the care group, the mean REEDA scale decreased by 33.4 % from 24 to 48 hours and 34.1 % from 48 to 72 hours.

Conclusion: There is a relationship with the use of topical VCO in post-abdominal incision wounds post obstetrics/gynaecology laparotomy with REEDA scale 48 hours and 72 hours post-surgery. The
mean REEDA scale decreased by over 24 to 48 hours and 48 to 72 hours in the treatment group (who administered topical VCO in postoperative incision wounds).
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**BACKGROUNDS**

Human skin is the largest organ of the body protecting the outer layer, which is separated into three primary layers, the epidermis, the dermis and the subcutaneous. The skin protects the internal organs, defends against bacteria, controls body temperature, and acts as a location for touch, heat, and cold receptors. Healthy skin can shield the body from environmental influences, including physical factors such as mechanical damage, thermal injury and radiation, chemical factors such as allergens, biological factors such as microbes, viruses, and others.\(^1\)

Wounds are affected by natural physiological processes and functions.\(^2\) Wounds can occur as a result of physical, chemical or biological trauma.\(^3\) Wound healing is a complex mechanism involving multiple cells, cytokines, growth factors, proteases and extracellular matrixes (ECMs) that work together to restore the integrity of the wounded tissue. While the healing process is ongoing, divide into four phases: I coagulation and haemostasis; (ii) inflammation; (iii) proliferation; and (iv) remodelling of scar tissue development.\(^4\)

Wound healing remains a clinical concern, and careful and successful treatment of wounds is important. Significant investment has been made in wound care area, with a focus on innovative clinical methods and scientific advances in acute and chronic wound management. Wound healing requires a large population of cells, an extracellular matrix, and the actions of dissolved mediators such as growth factors and cytokines. The best approach to effective wound care can affect clinical outcomes.\(^2\)

Coconut (Cocos nucifera) has been known for its medicinal value and therapeutic benefits and is thus referred to in Sanskrit as ‘Kalpavriksha’.\(^5\) Pure coconut oil is one of the refined coconut products (Cocos nucifera). Refined coconut oil is derived from coconut milk by mechanical or natural means, with or without cooking, without chemical grinding, bleaching and coloring.\(^4\) Coconut oil is a vegetable oil composed mostly of Medium Chained Fatty Acids (MCFAs) with 92 % saturated fat. The different components of coconut oil include 50% lauric acid (LA), followed by other acids such as myristic acid, caprylic acid, palmitic acid, capric acid, oleic acid, stearic acid, stearic acid and linoleic acid. Coconut oil’s various benefits include antiviral, antibacterial, antifungal, antiparasitic, antithrombotic, cardioprotective, hepatoprotective, antidote, antidermatophyte, antidiabetic, insect repellent, hypolipidemic, anticholinetic, antioxidant, anticancer, anticancer drug, and disinfectant activity. It is also effective in fighting intestinal infections, bronchitis, asthma, and headaches.\(^5\)

Virgin Coconut Oil is an oil saturated with medium-chain fatty acids (MCFAs) such as capric acid (7 %), lauric acid (49 %), myristic acid (18 %), palmitic acid (9 %), stearic acid (9 %)
(2 %), and small quantities of unsaturated oils such as oleic acid (6%) and linoleic acid (2 %).

4 In the body, lauric acid is converted to monolaurin, which is a monoglyceride agent that has antibiotic effects, including antiviral, antibacterial and antiprotozoal, so it can improve the human body resistance to the disease and accelerate the process of wound healing. 6

Monolaurin, a monoester formed by a medium chain of lauric acid (fatty acid), has deep antiviral and antibacterial activity. Recognition of antimicrobial activity of lauric acid monoglyceride (monolaurin) has been documented since 1966.

Based on the research that has been conducted, VCO has soothing properties for wounds. Study on rabbits and rats was performed in vivo. Treatment of wounds with virgin coconut oil can improve healing, as shown by a decrease in the time required for complete epithelization and a higher degree of different components of the skin. VCO-treated wounds experienced increased collagen, fibroblast replication, and neovascularisation. VCO can work in wound healing because it can form a chemical barrier that can shield the wound from dust, air, and viruses, so that the bacteria cannot infect the wound. Wounds that are not infected are easier to cure. Expression of COX-2 involving VCO as a sample that will influence the neovascularisation, migration and distribution of fibroblasts. 4

One of the commonly used criteria for wound healing is the REEDA scale. The REEDA scale (Redness, edema, Ecchymosis, Discharge, Approximation) is a wound healing assessment method that includes five parameters, including redness, edema, ecchymosis, discharge and approach (approximation) of two wound edges. Each aspect is assigned a score between 0 and 3, which shows signs for the presence of the highest-level signs. Thus, the overall score varies from 0 to 15, with higher scores reflecting poor wound healing. 7

Based on the background described above, researchers are interested in conducting research on differences in the REEDA scale of obstetric/gynaecological laparotomy post-abdominal incision wounds due to topical Virgin Coconut Oil (VCO) and without topical Virgin Coconut Oil (VCO).

METHODS
This method of study is an experimental design of a randomized clinical trial of 36 individuals separated into 2 categories. Patients post-obstetric/gynaecology laparotomy, VCO topically applied to the incision wound, and REEDA scale measurement at 24, 48, and 72 hours post-operative. Statistical analysis is using the Mann-Whitney test.

Before this research began, an ethical clearance was submitted to the Research Ethics Commission Team of the Faculty of Medicine, Andalas University, and had received an ethical clearance statement number 291 / KEP / FK / 2020.
RESULTS

1. Characteristics of Research Respondents

The characteristics of the research respondents can be seen in Table 1 below:

| Characteristics | Treatment Group Mean ± SD | Untreated Group Mean ± SD |
|-----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Age (years)     | 32.28 ± 10.42             | 30.5 ± 6.54               |
| Hb (gr / dL)    | 11.73 ± 1.14              | 11 ± 1.37                 |
| GDS (gr / dl)   | 102.06 ± 29.51            | 82.94 ± 14.38             |
| Albumin         | 3.82 ± 0.59               | 3.99 ± 0.50               |
| BMI             | 25.04 ± 3.70              | 26.52 ± 2.16              |
| Operation duration (minutes) | 96.94 ± 39.30       | 54.17 ± 12.04             |
| Parity          |                           |                           |
| - Primipara     | 2 (33.3)                  | 4 (66.7)                  |
| - Multiparous   | 9 (39.1)                  | 14 (60.9)                 |
| - Gynecological Cases | 7 (100)                 | 0 (0)                     |

Table 1 shows the mean age of the respondents in the treatment group was 32.28 ± 10.42 years old, while in the untreated group was 30.5 ± 6.54 years old. The average Hb level in the treatment group was 11.73 ± 1.14 g / dL, while in the untreated group 11 ± 1.37 g / dL. The mean GDS and albumin levels in the treatment group were 102.06 ± 29.51 gr / dl and 3.82 ± 0.59, while those in the untreated group were 82.94 ± 14.38 gr / dl and 3.99 ± 0.50. Half of the treatment group, specifically 9 (39.1%) respondents were multiparous and 14 (60.9%) respondents in the untreated group were multiparous. The mean BMI in the treatment group was 25.04 ± 3.70 while in the untreated group was 26.52 ± 2.16. The mean duration of operation in the treatment group was 96.94 ± 39.30 minutes, while in the untreated group was 54.17 ± 12.04 minutes.

2. Normality test

The normality test is using the Shapiro-Wilk test to determine whether the data is normally distributed or not. The results of the data normality test shown in Table 2 below:

| REEDA scale | With topical VCO Mean ± SD | Without topical VCO Mean ± SD | p-value |
|-------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| 24 hours    | 3.17 ± 1.04               | 3.83 ± 0.99                  | 0.002   |
| 48 hours    | 2.11 ± 1.08               | 3.11 ± 1.37                  | 0.002   |
| 72 hours    | 1.39 ± 0.85               | 2.72 ± 1.41                  | 0.0001  |
It is showing the REEDA scale variables at 24 hours, 48 hours, and 72 hours are not normally distributed so it requires data transformation. After the transformation was carried out, the following results were obtained:

| REEDA scale | With topical VCO | Without topical VCO | p-value |
|-------------|------------------|---------------------|---------|
| 24 hours    | 3.17 ± 1.04      | 3.83 ± 0.99         | 0.0001  |
| 48 hours    | 2.11 ± 1.08      | 3.11 ± 1.37         | 0.001   |
| 72 hours    | 1.39 ± 0.85      | 2.72 ± 1.41         | 0.0001  |

After the transformation of the data, the distribution of the REEDA scale at 24 hours, 48 hours, and 72 hours was found to be abnormal. Therefore, non-parametric statistical tests are used.

3. Mean REEDA Scale in Abdominal Incision Wounds With topical VCO

The REEDA scale of the abdominal incision wound with topical VCO can be seen in Table 4 below:

| Characteristics | 24 hours | 48 hours | 72 Hours |
|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|
| REEDA scale     | 3.17 ± 1.04 | 2.11 ± 1.08 | 1.39 ± 0.85 |

Based on Table 4, it is known that the mean REEDA scale on abdominal incision wounds with topical VCO at 24 hours is 3.17 ± 1.04, at 48 hours is 2.11 ± 1.08, and at 72 hours is 1.39 ± 0.85.

4. Mean REEDA Scale in Abdomen Incision Wounds without Topical VCO

The REEDA scale for abdominal incisions without topical VCO in Table 5 below:

| Characteristics | 24 hours | 48 hours | 72 Hours |
|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|
| REEDA scale     | 3.83 ± 0.99 | 3.11 ± 1.37 | 2.72 ± 1.41 |

Based on Table 5, the mean REEDA scale in abdominal incision wounds that without topical VCO at 24 hours was 3.83 ± 0.99, at 48 hours was 3.11 ± 1.37, and at 72 hours was 2.72 ± 1.41.
5. Relationship of Using Topical VCO in Surgical Wounds with the REEDA Scale

The relationship between using topical VCO in surgical wounds with the REEDA scale shows in Table 6 below:

| REEDA scale | Topical VCO was given | Without Topical VCO | p-value |
|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------|
| 24 hours    | Mean ± SD             |                     |         |
|             | 3.17 ± 1.04           | 3.83 ± 0.99         | 0.085   |
| 48 hours    | 2.11 ± 1.08           | 3.11 ± 1.37         | 0.029   |
| 72 hours    | 1.39 ± 0.85           | 2.72 ± 1.41         | 0.004   |

Table 6 shows the mean REEDA scale at 24 hours for patients with topical VCO was 3.17 ± 1.04, while in the group without topical VCO was 3.83 ± 0.99. The results of statistical tests showed that there was no relationship between the use of topical VCO in surgical wounds and the 24-hour REEDA scale (p> 0.05). The mean REEDA scale at 48 hours for patients with topical VCO was 2.11 ± 1.08, while in the group without topical VCO was 3.11 ± 1.37. The results of statistical tests showed that there was a relationship between the use of topical VCO in surgical wounds and the 48-hour REEDA scale (p <0.05). The mean REEDA scale at 72 hours for patients with topical VCO was 1.39 ± 0.85 while in the group without topical VCO it was 2.72 ± 1.41.

6. Decrease in the Mean of REEDA Scale in Abdominal Incision Wounds With topical VCO and Those Without topical VCO

Based on Table 6, it can be seen that the mean REEDA scale decreased in abdominal incision wounds with topical VCO and those without topical VCO. In the treatment group, the mean REEDA scale decreased by 33.4% from 24 to 48 hours and 34.1% from 48 to 72 hours. In the control group, the mean REEDA scale decreased by 18.8% from 24 to 48 hours and 12.3% from 48 to 72 hours.

DISCUSSION

1. Mean REEDA Scale in Abdominal Incision Wounds With topical VCO

Based on the results of the study, it is known that the mean REEDA scale on abdominal incision wounds with topical VCO at 24 hours is 3.17 ± 1.04, at 48 hours is 2.11 ± 1.08, and at 72 hours is 1.39 ± 0.85.
Graph 1. REEDA Scale on Abdomen Incision Wound With topical VCO

Based on graph 1 it can be seen that in the treatment group, at 24 hours, the highest REEDA scale was 5 and the lowest was 1, with a mean of 3.17 ± 1.04. At 48 hours, the highest REEDA scale was 5 and the lowest was 1, with a mean of 2.11 ± 1.08. At 72 hours, the highest REEDA scale was 4 and the lowest was 1, with a mean of 1.39 ± 0.85. These results show that there is a decrease in the REEDA scale in the treatment group from a mean of 24 hours, 48 hours, and 72 hours.

The REEDA scale is a wound healing assessment instrument that contains five factors, namely redness, edema, ecchymosis, discharge, and the approach (approximation) of two wound edges. In this study, the REEDA scale was obtained in the treatment group, at 24 hours post-surgery there were 2 people with a score scale of 5, 4 people with a score of 4, 8 people with a score of 3, 3 people with a score of 2, and 1 person with a score of 1. At 48 postoperative hours, obtained 1 person with a score of 5, 1 person with a score of 4, 2 people with a score of 3, 9 people with a score of 2, and 5 people with a score of 1. At 72 hours postsurgery, 1 person got a score of 4, 1 people with a score of 3, 2 people with a score of 2, and 14 people with a score of 1. A higher score on the REEDA scale shows poor wound healing. With a decrease in the REEDA scale at 24 hours, 48 hours, and 72 hours in the wound laparotomy with VCO, showed better wound healing.

REEDA-scale study on laparotomy wounds with VCO has never been performed. However, Sumiasih (2016) conducted a study on post-partum perineal wound healing with VCO, obtained a REEDA scale in the intervention group perineal wound (with VCO smeared) 24 hours post-partum, obtained a score of 2 43.75%, a score of 3 43.75%, and a score of 4 12.5%. At 48 hours post-partum, a score of 0.6525% was obtained, a score of 1 was 18.75%,
a score of 2 was 31.25%, and a score of 3 was 43.75. At 72 hours post-partum, a score of 0
12.5%, a score of 1 50%, and a score of 2 37.5%. In this study, there was a decrease in the
REEDA scale in perineal wounds with topical VCO at 24 hours, 48 hours, and 72 hours post-
partum. Meanwhile, research on the REEDA scale on laparotomy wounds with VCO had
never been done.

2. Mean REEDA Scale in Abdomen Incision Wounds without Topical VCO

Based on the results, REEDA scale in the group that was without topical VCO decreased at 24
hours, 48 hours, up to 72 hours, but the reduction was less treated group. Based on Table 5.3,
the mean REEDA scale in abdominal incision wounds without topical VCO at 24 hours is 3.83
± 0.99, at 48 hours is 3.11 ± 1.37, and at 72 hours is 2.72 ± 1.41.

Graph above shows the control group, at 24 hours, the highest REEDA scale was 5 and
the lowest was 2, with a mean of 3.83 ± 0.99. At 48 hours, the highest REEDA scale was 6 and
the lowest was 1, with a mean of 3.11 ± 1.37. At 72 hours, the highest REEDA scale was 6 and
the lowest was 1, with a mean of 2.72 ± 1.41. From this it can be seen that there was also a
decrease in the REEDA scale in the control group when viewed from a mean of 24 hours, 48
hours, and 72 hours.

In this study, the REEDA scale was obtained in the control group, at 24 hours post-
surgery there were 6 people with a score scale of 5, 4 people with a score of 4, 7 people with
a score of 3, and 1 person with a score of 2. At 48 hours post-surgery, it was found 1 person
with a score of 6, 8 people with a score of 4, 3 people with a score of 3, 3 people with a score
of 2, and 3 people with a score of 1. At 72 hours post-surgery, we got 1 person with a score of 6.4 people with a score of 4.6 people with a score of 3, 2 people with a score of 2 and 5 people with a score of 1. With a decrease in the REEDA scale at 24 hours, 48 hours, and 72 hours in laparotomy wounds without VCO, showed a better wound healing. There were 1 sample that experienced an increase and 2 samples that did not change on the REEDA scale of 24 hours, 48 hours, and 72 hours. Based on the literature, many factors influence wound healing, oxygenation, infection, age, steroid hormones, stress, diabetes, drugs, obesity, alcohol, smoking, and nutrition.8

Research conducted by Sumiasih (2016) obtained a REEDA scale on the perineal wound of the control group (without VCO applied) 24 hours post-partum, obtained a score of 2 12.50%, a score of 3 31.25%, and a score of 4 56.25%. At 48 hours post-partum, a score of 2 25%, a score of 3 was 68.75%, and a score of 4 was 6.25%. At 72 hours post-partum, a score of 2 87.5% and a score of 3 was 12.5% .21 In this study, there was a decrease in the REEDA scale in the control group, namely perineal wounds that without topical VCO at 24 hours, 48 hours, and 72 hours post-partum.

3. Relationship Using Topical VCO in Surgical Wounds with the REEDA Scale

The results showed that there was a relationship between the use of topical VCO in surgical wounds with the REEDA scale at 48 hours and 72 hours. The REEDA scale in the treatment group shows a lower value than the untreated group.

In this study the mean REEDA scale at 48 hours for patients who were with topical VCO was 2.11 ± 1.08, while in the group without topical VCO was 3.11 ± 1.37. These shows that there is a relationship between the use of topical VCO in surgical wounds and the 48-hour REEDA scale (p <0.05). The mean REEDA scale at 72 hours for patients with topical VCO was 1.39 ± 0.85 while in the group without topical VCO was 2.72 ± 1.41. This shows that there is a relationship between using topical VCO in surgical wounds with a 72 hours REEDA scale (p <0.05). Meanwhile, there was no association (p>0.05) in the surgical wound with a 24-hour REEDA scale in patients with topical VCO, i.e. 3.17 ± 1.04, while in group without topical VCO 3.83 ± 0.99.

This study is consistent with the findings of research conducted by Sumiasih (2016) on the impact of the use of VCO on perineal wounds on the REEDA scale that the use of topical VCO can accelerate perineal wounds healing. Sumiasih reported that the REEDA scale on perineal wounds with VCO had a lower REEDA scale relative to perineal wounds that had not been coated with VCO. This shows that the wound healing process using VCO is faster.9

VCO has wound healing properties, research performed by Meliala (2019) that VCO has an in vivo impact on rabbits and rats and that wound treatment with virgin coconut oil can improve healing, as showed by the reduction in time needed for complete epithelialization.
VCO-treated wounds experienced increased collagen, fibroblast proliferation, and neovascularisation. VCO can work in wound healing because it can form a chemical barrier that can shield the wound from dust, air, and viruses, so that the bacteria cannot infect the wound. Wounds that are not contaminated are easier to treat. Expression of COX-2 will enhance the involvement of VCO as a sample that will influence the neovascularisation, migration and distribution of fibroblasts. COX-2 plays a role in the angiogenesis development. COX-2 expression affects the mechanism of angiogenesis, migration and proliferation of fibroblasts, which are very important for wound healing. COX-2 expression influences the mechanism of angiogenesis, migration and spread of fibroblasts. Cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) is an enzyme which plays an important role in various pathophysiological processes, including inflammation, atherosclerosis, tissue injury, angiogenesis and tumorigenesis.

Ebeling et al. (2014), COX-2 is one parameter of wound healing. Ebeling et al., Confirmed upregulation in an ex-vivo swine wound healing model for IL-6 and COX-2. They provide evidence for COX-2 and IL-6 that the increase in mRNA is due to the stabilizing effect of mRNA, a process in which p38 MAPK and HuR (human R antigen) are essentially involved.

Ibrahim et al (2017) showed that the effects of FVCO (Fermented Virgin Coconut Oil) on HUVEC, CCD-18, and the viability of RGC-5 cells were evaluated by the in vitro CCK8 test. Compared to the control group, the viability of HUVECs cells at levels of FVCO 6, 12, 25, and 50 µg / mL increased by 20%, 30%, 25%, and 10%. The viability of RGC-5 cells at levels of FVCO 3, 6, and 12 µg / mL increased by 15%, 14%, and 10%. The viability of CCD-18 cells with FVCO levels of 3, 6, 12, and 25 µg / mL was 13%, 16%, 14%, and 12%. According to result FVCO increased the proliferation of these cells.

The study also investigated the effect of FVCO on the migration ability of RGC-5 and CCD-18 cells. After 12 hours, the percentage of wound closure of RGC-5 cells with FVCO 3 and 6 µg / mL was 75 ± 1% and 73 ± 2.4% and showed significant differences compared to the control group of 58 ± 1.2%. After 18 hours, the percentage of wound closure at FVCO 3 and 6 µg / mL was 89 ± 2% and 87 ± 2.7%, these results also showed a significant difference compared to the control group of 75 ± 2.5%. FVCO did not affect cell morphology, where in the FVCO-treated cell group and the control group showed the same cell morphology after 24-hour incubation.

The cell group with FVCO showed an effect where the wound size was smaller and the percentage of wound healing was higher than the control group since day 8. The wound healing time in the treated group was 16 days, while in the control group 20 days. Our finding shows that FVCO increased the length of the blood vessels and accelerated the formation of blood vessels in mice.
4. Decreased Average REEDA Scale in Abdominal Incision Wounds With topical VCO and without Topical VCO

The results showed a decrease in the mean REEDA scale in abdominal incision wounds with topical VCO and those without topical VCO. In the treatment group, the mean REEDA scale decreased by 33.4% from 24 to 48 hours and 34.1% from 48 to 72 hours. In the control group, the mean REEDA scale decreased by 18.8% from 24 to 48 hours and 12.3% from 48 to 72 hours. From these results it can be seen that there is a decrease in the mean REEDA scale greater than 24 to 48 hours and 48 to 72 hours in the treatment group (who received topical VCO in postoperative incision wounds).

This is in line with by Meliala (2019) finding regarding VCO and wound healing, that VCO has an in vivo effect on rabbits and rats and that wound treatment with virgin coconut oil can speed up healing, as shown by the reduction in time it takes to complete epithelialization.10

CONCLUSION

This research showed that there was a relationship with the use of topical VCO in post-operative abdominal incision wounds. Obstetrics/Gynaecology Laparotomy with REEDA scale 48 hours and 72 hours post-surgery. The mean REEDA scale decreased by over 24 to 48 hours and 48 to 72 hours in the treatment group (who administered topical VCO in postoperative incision wounds).
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