Analysis of Major Polyphenolic Compounds of *Cydonia oblonga* Miller (Quince) Fruit Extract by UPLC-MS/MS and Its Effect on Adipogenesis in 3T3-L1 Cells
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**Abstract:** *Cydonia oblonga* miller (quince) plant serves as a potential folk medicine for treating hypertension and cardiovascular diseases in China. However, to the best of our knowledge, no study has been conducted on the polyphenolic profile and anti-adipogenic effect of quince fruit grown in China. In the current study, we aimed to investigate the quince fruit extract’s major phenolic compounds, evaluate their antioxidant activity, and examine their effect on adipogenesis in 3T3-L1 cells. A rapid and sensitive analytical method was established for the simultaneous determination of major polyphenolic compounds by using ultra-pressure liquid chromatography coupled with a triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (UPLC-MS/MS). Among the 10 compounds, the cryptochlorgenic acid was noticed as the most abundant compound of both purified (242.44 ± 0.73 µg/mg dw) and unpurified extract (3.37 ± 0.01 µg/mg dw) followed by quercetin 3-rutinoside and chlorogenic acid. Alternatively, both extracts possessed a high quantity of phenolic acids (purified extract = 483.10 ± 5.16 µg/mg dw and unpurified extract = 7.89 ± 0.02 µg/mg dw). The purified extract exhibited a strong antioxidant capacity (DPPH: EC50 = 3.316 µg/mL, ABTS: EC50 = 36.38 µg/mL) as compared to the unpurified extract. Additionally, our results also showed that the extract at 100 µg/mL significantly suppressed the preadipocyte differentiation and decreased the lipid droplets up to 69% in mature adipocytes. The present study highlights an accurate and fast detection method for quince fruit extract polyphenolic compounds with its antioxidant and antiadipogenic effects. The study also provides the necessary information for the rational development and utilization of quince fruit extract as a source of phytochemicals.
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1. Introduction

Over the last few decades, the concept of using medicinal compounds originating from natural sources is increasing as an alternative to synthetic drugs. Most of these natural products serve as the initiative product for new drug discovery [1]. These products derived from natural sources are mostly genetically encoded and secondary metabolites [2]. According to the WHO estimation, around 80% of the world’s population depends mostly on natural products as a source of medicinal agents in different traditional medicinal systems [3]. Plants are the primary and oldest source of production of natural products as medicinal agents [4]. It is obvious that most Western medicines or synthetic drugs have unsatisfactory results with serious adverse reactions and complications. Therefore, drugs derived from natural sources are gaining much attention worldwide [5]. It has been noticed in developing countries and in some developed countries, that most of the people
are following the prescription of natural drugs of plant origin [6,7]. For instance, in
the treatment of some complex diseases such as cancer and degenerative diseases, the reliance
on a single compound drug discovery has failed because of their inefficient cure effect. On
the other hand, plant extracts are therapeutically more potent because of their synergetic
and simultaneous action of different chemical compounds [8]. These chemical compounds
obtained from different plants are generally classified into different groups such as alkaloids,
terpenoids, phenolics, glycosides, tannins, saponins, etc. [9]. Among these compounds, a
vast and great pharmacologically active role of polyphenolic compounds has been reported
such as antioxidant [10], antihypertensive [11], anti-atherosclerosis [12], anti-diabetic [13],
anti-cancer, and so on [14–16]. These pharmacological actions increased the importance of
polyphenolic compounds among plant secondary metabolites and attracted researchers’
attention toward themselves.

Cydonia oblonga miller (quince) is a fruit plant belonging to the Rosaceae family [17].
The fruit of the plant serves as both a medicinal and food agent especially used in the
production of jams [18]. Quince fruit is a source of polyphenolic compounds containing
chlorogenic acid, cryptochlorogenic acid, neochlorogenic acid, quercetin 3-rutinoside, quercetin 3-galactoside, quercetin 3-glucoside, kaempferol 3-glucoside, kaempferol glycoside, and kaempferol 3-rutinoside as major phenolic compounds. These
compounds have been reported to be involved in different pharmacological activities,
making the plant unique for its medicinal purposes [19,20]. Moreover, it is also famous for
its several therapeutic activities reported in different traditional medicine systems [21]. For
instance, quince fruit is traditionally used to treat respiratory disorders, ulcers, hemolysis,
urinary complications, and diabetes [18]. Likewise, its fruit is also used for the preventing
and cure of cardiovascular diseases in traditional Uyghur medicines [22]. On the other
hand, in the traditional Iranian medicine system, the plant is used to treat several diseases,
including liver diseases, chronic headache, nausea and vomiting, and motion sickness [23].
In addition, several modern research studies reported different pharmacological activities
of quince fruit extract such as antioxidant activity [24], an anti-inflammatory effect [25],
chemo-preventive effect [26], protective effect in gastric ulcer [27], anti-colitis effect [21],
aphrodisiac effect [28], antihypertensive effect [29], and hypolipidemic effect [30]. Based on
the facts, the plant is a valuable source of phenolic compounds and has a long history of
being traditionally used for treating different diseases, thus considering the plant a valuable
medicinal agent.

In the present study, the quince fruit specimens collected in the Xinjiang region
of China were investigated for their major polyphenolic compound’s composition and
antioxidant activities. An accurate, rapid, and highly sensitive ultra-performance liquid
chromatography tandem mass spectroscopy (UPLC-MS/MS) method was established to
detect and quantify the major polyphenolic compounds of the extract with low detection
and quantify limits. Additionally, the extracts were measured for total phenolic and
flavonoid contents. Moreover, the extract was evaluated for its anti-adipogenic effect in
3T3-L1 cells. To our knowledge, the current study is the first time reporting the quince fruit
extract’s effect on adipogenesis in 3T3-L1 cells.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Reagents and Standards

Quercetin 3-rutinoside, quercetin 3-glucoside, quercetin 3-galactoside, neochloro-
genic acid, chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, and kaempferol 3-glucoside were obtained from
Shanghai Yuanya Bio-Technology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Kaempferol 3-rutinoside,
crypto-chlorogenic acid, and iso-chlorogenic acid A were obtained from Sichuan Vickery
Biotech Co., Ltd. (Sichuan, China). All the analytical standards were HPLC grade with the
purity rate of >98%. The purity rate of 1,1-Diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazine (DPPH), 2,2’-azino-
bis (3-thylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) diammmonium salt (ABTS), and gallic acid were
>98%, while 2,2’-azobis (2-methylpropionamidine) dihydrochloride (AAPH) was >97%;
al were obtained from Solarbio (Beijing, China). AB-8 Macroporous adsorption resin, Oil
red O, insulin, and dexamethasone were purchased from Solarbio (Beijing, China). LC/MS grade methanol, formic acid, and acetonitrile were obtained from Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA). The Folin-Ciocalteu’s phenolic reagent and 3-Isobutyl-1-methylxanthine (IBMX) were obtained from Sigma Aldrich Co. (St Louis, MO, USA). The water for the experiment was purified by the Millipore water purification system (Millipore, Milford, MA, USA). Figure 1 shows the chemical structures of the 10 analytical standard compounds used in this study.

Figure 1. Chemical structure of the analytical standards: (A) Neochlorogenic acid, (B) Chlorogenic acid, (C) Cryptochlorogenic acid, (D) Caffeic acid, (E) Quercetin 3-rutinoside, (F) Quercetin 3-galactoside, (G) Quercetin 3-glucoside, (H) Kaempferol 3-rutinoside, (I) Kaempferol 3-glucoside, and (J) Isochlorogenic acid.

2.2. Extraction of Polyphenols

Quince fruits were collected from Yecheng county, Kashgar prefecture, Xinjiang, China in October 2020. The fruits were identified by Prof. ABULIZI Padida, (certificate No. TCMEHSM2013_101) Department of Nature Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Xinjiang Medical University. The fruits were washed with tap water, cut into thin slices, and freeze-dried. Next, we crushed the dried fruits into fine powder and soaked them in ethanol (60% dilution in distilled water) at the ratio of 1:40 (g/mL) at room temperature for 24 h with continuous mixing by using an electric stirrer. After repeating three times, the extract was filtered using Whatman filter paper No. 1. The filtrate was concentrated by using the reduced pressure rotatory evaporator (EYELA N-1001, EYELA Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) at 40 °C until the complete removal of ethanol. The obtained extract was an unpurified extract containing polysaccharides and other non-polar compounds and was further processed for polyphenolic purification. The yield of the unpurified extract was 25.54%.
2.3. Purification of Polyphenols

The extracted polyphenols from quince fruit were purified by using AB-8 macroporous resins. Briefly, 250 g of AB-8 macroporous resins was soaked in 500 mL of absolute ethanol for 24 h, then washed with double distilled water (DDW) (1 L) three times. Next, the resins were transferred to a preparative glass chromatographic column (100 cm × 3.5 cm). The AB-8 microporous resins were activated for 2 h with 5% HCL solution and washed with DDW (1 L) 3 times. After that, it was neutralized with 2% sodium hydroxide solution for 2 h following a 3-times wash with DDW (1 L). The unpurified extract was loaded onto the column and washed out with DDW (1 L) to elute the polysaccharides and other non-polar compounds. Next, the polyphenolic fraction was collected with 60% ethanolic wash. The purification process was repeated twice, and the collected ethanolic fraction was reduced at 40 °C until the complete removal of ethanol by a vacuum evaporator, and then lyophilized and stored at −20 °C until use. The obtained extract was the purified polyphenolic extract of quince fruit with a total yield of 4.26%.

2.4. Determination of Total Phenolic Contents (TPC) and Total Flavonoid Contents (TFC)

The total phenolic contents (TPC) and total flavonoid contents (TFC) were determined following a standard method [31], with some minor modifications. For the determination of TPC, 20 µL of 300 µg/mL extract was added to a 96-well plate with 100 µL of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (10% v/v); after 5 min, 80 µL of sodium carbonate solution (7.5% w/v) was added and incubated in the dark for two hours at room temperature. The absorbance was measured at 765 nm by microplate reader (Multiskan GO, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). To determine the TFC, 50 µL of 2% aluminum tri-chloride solution (in methanol) was added to a 96-well plate with an equal amount of extract (300 µg/mL). After 10 min of incubation at room temperature, the absorbance was measured at 415 nm by a microplate reader. The TPC and TFC were expressed as mg of gallic acid equivalents per g of dry weight (mg GAE/g dry wt.) and mg of quercetin equivalents per g of dry weight (mg QU/g dry wt.), respectively, using a calibration curve prepared with the standards.

2.5. Antioxidant Activity

For the antioxidant activity, all the tests were performed away from direct light in 96-well microplates. The range of tested extracts started from 0.488 µg/mL to 250 µg/mL (dilution factor 1:2). In each experiment, each concentration was tested six times and all the experiments were repeated three times. Ascorbic acid was used as a positive control agent. The absorbance was read by using a microplate reader.

DPPH and ABTS radical scavenging activities were performed as previously described [32]. Briefly, to perform the DPPH assay, 40 µL of the DPPH solution 0.1 mM was added to 160 µL of different concentration samples and incubated for thirty minutes in the dark at room temperature. The reaction absorbance was measured at the wavelength of 517 nm. Regarding the ABTS radical scavenging assay, the ABTS radical cation was produced by mixing an equal proportion of ABTS 5 mM and AAPH 2 mM solutions and incubating for 45 min at 68 °C. The solution was diluted with 0.1 M PBS (pH about 7.0) to obtain an absorbance of 0.700 (±10) at 734 nm wavelength. Next, 20 µL of different concentration sample solutions were added to 180 µL ABTS radical and incubated for ten minutes at 37 °C in the dark; the absorbance was measured at a wavelength of 734 nm. The results for both assays were expressed as EC50: the concentration of the sample (in µg of dry extract/mL) at which 50% of the maximum scavenging activity was recorded.

2.6. Standard Solution Preparation for UPLC MS-MS Analysis

In total, 5 mg of each standard was accurately weighed and dissolved in 10 mL of methanol separately; after that, a calculated concentration of each standard solution was collected into a volumetric flask and diluted with different concentrations of methanol to obtain a working standard solution of appropriate concentration series within the given ranges: (1) neochlorogenic acid 19.53–1.0 × 10^4 ng/mL, (2) chlorogenic acid 29.29–1.5 × 10^4 ng/mL, (3) cryptochlorogenic
acid 19.53–1.0 × 10^4 ng/mL, (4) caffeic acid 2.92–1.5 × 10^3 ng/mL, (5) quercetin-3-rutinoside 24.41–1.25 × 10^4 ng/mL, (6) quercetin-3-galactoside 19.53–1.0 × 10^4 ng/mL, (7) quercetin-3-glucoside 19.53–1.0 × 10^4 ng/mL, (8) kaempferol-3-rutinoside 7.32–3.75 × 10^3 ng/mL, (9) kaempferol-3-glucoside 7.32–3.75 × 10^3 ng/mL, and (10) isochlorogenic acid 14.64–7.5 × 10^3 ng/mL. All the standard solutions were stored at 4 °C and were filtered before analysis through 0.22 µm membrane.

2.7. Sample Solution Preparation for UPLC-MS/MS Analysis

In total, 5 mg accurately weighed purified and unpurified quince fruit extract was dissolved in 5 mL methanol to prepare the stock solution. After that, 900 µL of stock solution was diluted to a final volume of 3 mL and filtered through a 0.22 µm membrane filter to prepare the working solution of 300 µg/mL for the UPLC-MS/MS analysis.

2.8. UPLC-MS/MS Analysis

The UPLC analysis was performed by using an ACQUITY UPLC I-Class system (Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA) equipped with a sample manager (condition 10 °C), a binary solvent pump, and a thermostatic column compartment. An Acquity UPLC reverse-phase BEH C18 column (1.7 µm, 2.1 mm × 150 mm) was used for the chromatographic separation. The column temperature was maintained at 30 °C for the chromatographic separation of the analytes and extracts using a linear gradient elution program with the injection volume of 3.0 µL and a flow rate of 0.3 mL/min. The mobile phase system consisted of 0.1% aqueous formic acid solution (A-phase) and acetonitrile (B-phase) for a total run time of ten minutes for the experiment. The gradient condition for the mobile phase was as follows: an isocratic elution of 10% B for 0–1 min; 10–20% B for 1–3 min; an isocratic elution of 25% B, 3.0–5.0 min; 25–40% B, 5.0–6.0 min; 40–90% B, 6.0–10.0 min; then returned to the initial 10% B volume and maintained for 10 min to balance the column.

The eluent of the UPLC was directly infused into XEVO TQ-S (Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA), a triple quadrupole mass spectrometer equipped with an electrospray ionization source (ESI) operated in negative mode. The quince fruit extracts and the analytes were analyzed in multiple reaction monitoring mode (MRM). The ideal ESI parameters for the analytes were as follows: source temperature 150 °C; desolvation temperature 350 °C; capillary voltage 3.0 kV; and the flow rates of desolvation and cone gas were 700 and 150 L/h, respectively. The cone voltage (cV) and collision energy (cE) were set to match the MRM of each marker. The quantitation of samples, data acquisition, analysis of data, and instrument control were conducted by using the Masslynx software version 4.1 (Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA).

2.9. Method Validation

2.9.1. Calibration Curves, Limits of Detection (LOD), and Limits of Quantification (LOQ)

A calibration curve was plotted and used to determine calculated concentrations of the samples. For the estimation of limits of detection (LOD), and limits of quantification (LOQ), a methanol blank solution was injected six times for analysis in the UPLC system. As per ICH guidelines, the LOD and LOQ can be calculated from the following equation: LOD = 3.3 (N/S) & LOQ = 10 (N/S), where N is known as the standard deviation of the blank solvent response, while S is known as the slope of the corresponding calibration curve.

2.9.2. Precision, Repeatability, and Stability

To perform precision, repeatability, and stability tests, the quince purified extract was prepared by following the preparation method discussed in Section 2.7. For the precision analysis, six replicants were analyzed on the same day for the determination of intra-day precision; for the inter-day precision analysis, the samples were analyzed for three consecutive days. The method precision was determined by calculating the per-centague relative standard deviation (RSD) values of the analyzed samples. For the repeatability
analysis, three different concentrations of the extract were analyzed in six replicants for each concentration, and the results were expressed as the mean of RSDs of the three concentrations of RSD. For the stability analysis of the extract, six injections of freshly prepared extract samples were analyzed in 24 h at a constant time interval (0, 4, 8, 12, 18, and 24 h) at room temperature.

2.9.3. Recovery

To evaluate the accuracy of the method, a recovery test was performed by adding a known amount of the mixed standard solution to a certain amount of the quince extract at three different concentration levels: low (80% of known concentration), medium (100% of known concentration), and high (120% of known concentration). The average recovery concentration (%) was calculated by the following formula:

\[ \text{Recovery} \% = \left[ \frac{\text{observed amount} - \text{original amount}}{\text{spiked amount}} \right] \times 100\% \]

2.10. Identification and Quantification

Target compounds were identified by comparing their UPLC retention time and mass/charge ratio \((m/z)\) with the corresponding standard compound. The linear calibration plots of peak areas and concentrations were used for the quantitative analysis of the compounds.

2.11. Cell Culture

Mouse preadipocytes 3T3-L1 cells were purchased from Procell (Wuhan, China). The preadipocytes were grown in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagles Medium (DMEM) high glucose supplemented medium with 10% newborn calf serum (NCS), 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 µg/mL streptomycin (1 × p/s) in a T-75 flask. As the cells reached near 80% confluence, the preadipocytes were sub-cultured. To differentiate adipocytes, the sub-cultured preadipocytes were maintained in DMEM with 10% NCS and 1 × p/s for 48 h after confluence. Then, adipogenesis was induced with DMEM containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 0.5 mM IBMX, 1 µM dexamethasone, 1 × p/s, and 10 µg/mL insulin for 2 days. After that, the cells were maintained in DMEM containing 10% FBS, 1 × p/s, and 10 µg/mL insulin for 2 days. The cell culture medium was constantly changed after 48 h. The cells were grown at 37 °C in 5% CO₂ humidified cell culture incubator. For the cell experiment, a stock solution of 100 mg/mL of the quince purified extract was prepared in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). Further dilutions for working solutions were prepared in the medium with a DMSO concentration less than 0.05%. The working solution was filtered through 0.22 µm filter paper before use.

2.11.1. Cell Viability Assay

To assess the effect of quince fruit purified extract on cells’ viability, the 3T3-L1 preadipocytes were seeded in a 96-well plate at the density of 0.8 × 10⁴ cells/well. A full 48 h after confluence, the cells were treated with a series of extract concentrations. Next, the medium was replaced again after 48 h with a fresh medium containing PristoBlue HS cell viability reagent and was incubated for 30 min in the dark. Finally, the fluorescence was measured at 530/590 nm by VICTOR Nivo Multimode Plate Reader (Perkin Elmer, Beaconsfield, UK).

2.11.2. Oil Red O Staining

This experiment was performed in a 6-well plate following a standard method [33], with slight modifications. Briefly, the Oil red O working solution was freshly prepared by diluting the stock solution (0.5% w/v in isopropanol) with distilled water by 2:3 with the final proportion of 0.2% Oil red O in 40% isopropanol solution. The working solution was filtered through a 0.22 µm filter membrane before use. On day 8 of adipogenesis, the medium was removed, and cells were washed with PBS. The cells were fixed with
4% formaldehyde solution for 15 min. Next, the 4% formaldehyde solution was removed, and cells were incubated with the Oil red O working solution for 30 min at room temperature. After 5 times washing with DDW, the cells were observed and photographed under an optical microscope. The dye was eluted by incubating the cells with 100% isopropanol for 10 min, and absorption was measured at 510 nm by a microplate reader.

2.12. Statistical Analysis

Each experiment was performed in triplicate and repeated at least three times. All data are presented as means ± standard deviation (SD). EC50 values (antioxidant activity), the average, and the relative were calculated by using Microsoft Excel 2019 (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA). A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey’s post hoc test was applied to estimate the differences between multiple groups’ mean values. Statistical significance was at \( p < 0.001 \) (99.9% confidence interval). Statistical values and figures were obtained by using GraphPad Prism version 8.0 for Windows (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Total Phenolic Contents (TPC) and Total Flavonoid Contents (TFC)

In our experiment, the TPC and TFC have been measured for quince fruit purified and unpurified extracts. It is clear that the TPC of the purified extract (381.712 ± 0.529 mg GAE/g dry wt.) was three times greater than that of the unpurified extract (102.076 ± 0.607 mg GAE/g dry wt.). The phenolic contents of a fruit or plant indicate its phytochemical nature which plays a vital role in the prevention of different diseases such as cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, liver diseases, neurodegenerative diseases, cancer, etc. [34]. Wajdodi et al. (2013) reported the TPC of 13 different varieties of quince fruit ranging from 1709.4 mg/100 g dry wt. to 3436.6 mg/100 g dry wt. [20], which is lower than our quince purified extract. Similarly, the TFC of the purified extract (26.227 ± 0.348 mg QU/g dry wt.) seemed unexpectedly higher as compared to the unpurified extract (0.779 ± 0.074 mg QU/g dry wt.). On the other hand, the results indicate that the purification of the quince fruit extract by AB-8 microporous resins had a great impact on the retention of phenolic compounds and the removal of non-phenolic compounds, which increased the purity of the phytochemicals and provided a cost-effective and efficient source for purification. Figure 2 shows the TPC and TFC of the quince fruit’s purified and unpurified extract.

![Figure 2. Total phenolic contents (TPC) and total flavonoid contents (TFC) of quince fruit extract. Purified extract (extract A), unpurified extract (extract B).](image)

3.2. Antioxidant Activity Assay

Two different antioxidant assays, DPPH and ABTS, were performed to evaluate the antioxidant activity of the extracts. The purified extract exhibited strong antioxidant activity for both assays as compared to the unpurified extract (Table 1). In the DPPH assay,
the purified extract was noticed with strong radical scavenging activity against the active radical and was multiple times more potent than the unpurified extract (EC50 = 3 µg/mL of purified extract and 62 µg/mL of unpurified extract). Regarding the ABTS assay, the extracts were observed to be less effective against the ABTS cation radical. However, in the ABTS assay, the purified extract demonstrated a lower EC50 value than the unpurified extract (EC50 = 36 µg/mL of purified extract and 69 µg/mL of unpurified extract), suggesting the high potency of the sample. In addition, the quince purified extract in the DPPH assay was observed with antioxidant potency closer to the positive control ascorbic acid (Table 1).

Both the antioxidant assays performed in our experiment have different mechanisms. In the DPPH assay, the antioxidant compound donates a hydrogen atom which stabilizes the DPPH pre-existing radical and formats a stable diamagnetic molecule. Whereas, in the ABTS assay, the pre-generated cation of ABTS produced by different activators needs an electron transfer process to be scavenged [35]. Due to the different chemical nature and structure of these radicals, the affinity and kinetics of the reacting antioxidant compounds with the radicals may vary strongly, which can result in a significantly different scavenging potency [36]. In our experiment, the EC50 value of the DPPH assay was noticed to be lower than that of the ABTS assay, suggesting that the extracts’ bioactive contents were more stable and active in a methanolic or ethanolic medium. As the ABTS assay required an aqueous medium, possibly some bioactive compounds may be less soluble in the aqueous medium, which resulted in the low expression of the radical scavenging activity. Beyond the reacting medium, the results also indicate that most of the bioactive components involved in the antioxidant assay were hydrogen doners. However, in the ABTS assay, the radical needed an electron transfer process to be scavenged. The results also indicate that the purified extract had high free radical scavenging activity supporting the synergetic action of phenolic compound [37]. The IC50 values of the antioxidant assay for the purified extract, unpurified extract, and positive control are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. In vitro antioxidant activities in DPPH and ABTS assays of quince purified and unpurified extract.

| Antioxidant Assay | Purified Extract | Unpurified Extract | Positive Control |
|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| DPPH scavenging activity | 3.316 ± 0.34 *** | 62.32 ± 0.20 *** | 1.189 ± 0.13 |
| ABTS scavenging activity | 36.38 ± 0.42 *** | 69.59 ± 0.37 *** | 15.74 ± 0.21 |

Ascorbic acid is used as positive control. The values are means of three replicates ± SD; * EC50 the concentration of sample (µg/mL) showing 50% of maximal radical scavenging activity. *** p < 0.001 vs. positive control group.

3.3. Optimization of the Chromatography and Mass Spectrometry System

To obtain the optimal mass spectroscopy condition, all the analytical standards were detected independently in the negative and positive ionization mode. All the compounds were found in a strong response to the negative ion mode compared to the positive ion mode, making them accurately and easily detectable in the fruit extract with low content levels. In the fruit extract, the identification of each peak was carried out by confirming the molecular ion or quasi-molecular ion of the compound. The characterization of the chemical structure of the 10 detected compounds was based on the data of their mass spectroscopy including the fragment ions, quasi-molecular ions, and the retention behaviors. Typical MRM chromatograms of the standards and quince fruit extract are shown in Figure 3.
Figure 3. Typical MRM chromatograms of (A) standards, (B) extract: (1) Neochlorogenic acid, (2) Chlorogenic acid, (3) Cryptochlorogenic acid, (4) Caffeic acid, (5) Quercetin 3-rutinoside, (6) Quercetin 3-galactoside, (7) Quercetin 3-glucoside, (8) Kaempferol 3-rutinoside, (9) Kaempferol 3-glucoside, and (10) Isochlorogenic acid.
For the qualitative analysis, the most abundant product ion was selected for better sensitivity, while the other representative ion fragment was selected for further identification of the analyzed compound. For example, the flavonoid glycosides quercetin 3-galactoside, quercetin 3-rutinoside, quercetin 3-glucoside, kaempferol 3-glucoside, and kaempferol 3-rutinoside could cause a glycosylic loss. On the other hand, hy-droxycinnamic acids such as chlorogenic acid and caffeic acid. The compounds with the same quinic acid such as neochlorogenic acid, chlorogenic acid, and cryptochlorogenic acid usually do calve and lose the ester bond yielding \( m/z \) 190. 936 shows that the characteristic product ion corresponds to \([\text{quinic acid-H}]\). The 10 detected polyphenolic compounds in the quince fruit extract were quantified and identified under optimized UPLC-MS/MS conditions. Information regarding MS for each analyte is shown in Table 2, which includes the detail of product ions, quantitative ions, quasi-molecular ion, \( cV \), and \( cE \).

### Table 2. Retention time and related MS information of 10 compounds detected on UPLC-MS/MS.

| No. | Analytes                | RT * (min) | Ion Mode | Precursor Ion \((m/z)\)^ a | Product Ions \((m/z)\)^ b | Cone Voltage \((cV)\) | Collision Energy \((cE)\) |
|-----|-------------------------|------------|----------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| 1   | Neochlorogenic acid     | 2.48       | ESI-     | 353.096                     | 190.93, 134.958             | 38                   | 18                      |
| 2   | Chlorogenic acid        | 3.26       | ESI-     | 353.096                     | 190.93, 134.958             | 38                   | 32                      |
| 3   | Caffeic acid            | 3.39       | ESI-     | 353                         | 191.135                    | 40                   | 35                      |
| 4   | Quercetin 3-rutinoside  | 4.40       | ESI-     | 179                         | 135.107                    | 54                   | 20                      |
| 5   | Quercetin 3-glucoside   | 5.09       | ESI-     | 463.16                      | 299.988, 270.900            | 8                    | 28                      |
| 6   | Kaempferol 3-rutinoside | 5.39       | ESI-     | 463                         | 271.300                    | 8                    | 36                      |
| 7   | Quercetin 3-glucoside   | 5.96       | ESI-     | 593.149                     | 254.955, 284.885            | 78                   | 66                      |
| 8   | Caffeic acid            | 6.32       | ESI-     | 515                         | 353, 191                   | 50                   | 15                      |

### a Retention times. ^b Mass to charge ratio.

To achieve the most optimum UPLC separation in a short time for the assay, different UPLC conditions such as the chromatographic column, gradient program, and the mobile phases were optimized preliminarily. Two different analytical UPLC columns, the Acquity HSS T3 column \((1.8 \ \mu m, 2.1 \ mm \times 100 \ mm)\) and Acquity BEH C18 column \((1.7 \ \mu m, 2.1 \ mm \times 150 \ mm)\) were compared; no significant difference was noted in the separation process by both columns except that during separation by the BEH C18 column, the peaks produced seemed sharp as compared to the other. Thus, the final selected analytical column for the study was BEH C18. Additionally, different mobile phases such as the acetonitrile-water, methanol-water, and acetonitrile-acid aqueous solutions were tested to find an accurate mobile phase system for the experiment. Finally, 0.1% of aqueous formic acid solution \((v/v)\) and acetonitrile were found with the best separation and ionization characteristics for the analysis and were selected as the mobile phases for the experiment.

### 3.4. Validation of the Method

According to our analysis, the linearity of each compound was studied at different level points that covered the concentration rate of each compound up to the estimated level in the fruit sample. For all the compounds, the linearity of the proposed method was significant with correlation coefficient \((R^2)\) values >0.996 (Table 3). As shown in Table 3, the estimated range of LOD and LOQ for the analyzed compounds was 0.11–1.65 ng/mL and 0.34–4.99 ng/mL, respectively. On the other hand, the precision of the method was studied for each compound as an intra-day and inter-day precession assay. The RSD percentage for the intra-day assay was <2.97%, while for inter-day assay it was <3.41%. For the repeatability assay, all the RSD values were observed to be less than 2.59 %. Similarly, in the stability assay, the RSD values of samples were not more than 3.97%. Furthermore, no significant degradation was noticed for each compound after a storage period of 24 h at room temperature. Each compound recovery was determined at three different spiked concentrations. The RSDs were observed at less than 2.25%, with the recovery values ranging between 95.6% and 99.95%. This method was found to be satisfactory through
results obtained from precision, repeatability, stability, and accuracy, and thereby was further applied to investigate the polyphenolic nature of quince fruit purified and unpurified extracts. Tables 4 and 5 show detailed results of the method validation.

Table 3. Linearity, calibration curves, limit of detection (LOD), and limit of quantification (LOQ) data of 10 compounds.

| No. | Analytes                    | Calibration Curves a | R2   | Range (ng/mL) | LOD (ng/mL) | LOQ (ng/mL) |
|-----|-----------------------------|----------------------|------|---------------|-------------|-------------|
| 1   | Neochlorogenic acid         | y = 75.69x − 6838    | 0.998| 19.53–10,000  | 0.87        | 2.65        |
| 2   | Chlorogenic acid            | y = 122.68x + 24332  | 0.999| 29.3–15,000   | 0.58        | 1.76        |
| 3   | Cryptochlorogenic acid      | y = 19.976x − 2298.1 | 0.998| 19.53–10,000  | 1.65        | 4.98        |
| 4   | Caffeic acid                | y = 64.629x + 235    | 0.999| 2.92–15,000   | 1.64        | 4.99        |
| 5   | Quercetin 3-rutinoside      | y = 176.09x + 12675  | 0.999| 24.41–12,500  | 0.42        | 1.28        |
| 6   | Quercetin 3-galactoside     | y = 157.88x + 1499   | 0.999| 19.53–10,000  | 1.48        | 4.50        |
| 7   | Quercetin 3-glucoside       | y = 176.52x + 12273  | 0.997| 19.53–10,000  | 0.55        | 1.69        |
| 8   | Kaempferol 3-rutinoside     | y = 352.82x + 24426  | 0.996| 7.32–3750     | 0.11        | 0.34        |
| 9   | Kaempferol 3-glucoside      | y = 342.62x + 12438  | 0.996| 7.32–3750     | 0.49        | 1.47        |
| 10  | Isochlorogenic acid         | y = 27.534x − 2162.3 | 0.999| 14.64–7500    | 1.27        | 3.85        |

a y and x indicate the peak area and concentration of each analyte, respectively, using the standard solution.

Table 4. Precision, repeatability, and stability results of 10 compounds.

| No. | Analytes               | Precision a | | | | |
|-----|------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|
|     |                        | Intra-Day   | Inter-Day | Repeatability | Stability |
|     |                        | RSD b (%)   | RSD (%)   | RSD (%)       | RSD (%)   |
| 1   | Neochlorogenic acid    | 1.12        | 3.37      | 1.93          | 2.31      |
| 2   | Chlorogenic acid       | 1.84        | 2.69      | 1.84          | 2.79      |
| 3   | Cryptochlorogenic acid | 1.88        | 2.58      | 1.78          | 2.55      |
| 4   | Caffeic acid           | 0.91        | 1.17      | 1.89          | 3.53      |
| 5   | Quercetin 3-rutinoside | 1.38        | 2.18      | 1.60          | 2.93      |
| 6   | Quercetin 3-galactoside| 1.61        | 3.26      | 1.52          | 3.97      |
| 7   | Quercetin 3-glucoside  | 1.31        | 2.66      | 2.14          | 3.01      |
| 8   | Kaempferol 3-rutinoside| 2.97        | 3.41      | 2.59          | 3.37      |
| 9   | Kaempferol 3-glucoside | 2.45        | 1.90      | 2.57          | 3.05      |
| 10  | Isochlorogenic acid    | 1.68        | 2.46      | 2.26          | 3.00      |

a Precision = (Standard deviation/mean) × 100. b RSD (%) = (Standard deviation/mean) × 100.

Table 5. Recovery results of 10 compounds.

| No. | Compounds               | Low (n = 3) | Medium (n = 3) | High (n = 3) |
|-----|-------------------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|
|     |                         | Recovery (%) | RSD (%)       | Recovery (%) | RSD (%)       | Recovery (%) | RSD (%)       |
| 1   | Neochlorogenic acid     | 96.44       | 0.91          | 96.65        | 0.47          | 97.41        | 0.87          |
| 2   | Chlorogenic acid        | 98.3        | 0.09          | 98.42        | 1.27          | 98.82        | 0.05          |
| 3   | Cryptochlorogenic acid  | 99.55       | 0.97          | 99.56        | 0.03          | 99.95        | 0.33          |
| 4   | Caffeic acid            | 96.61       | 1.51          | 97.09        | 0.65          | 97.58        | 0.35          |
| 5   | Quercetin 3-rutinoside  | 97.54       | 1.33          | 97.81        | 1.07          | 97.98        | 0.52          |
| 6   | Quercetin 3-galactoside | 98.72       | 0.99          | 99.03        | 0.53          | 99.08        | 0.62          |
| 7   | Quercetin 3-glucoside   | 98.48       | 0.65          | 98.59        | 1.06          | 98.71        | 1.50          |
| 8   | Kaempferol 3-rutinoside | 96.31       | 0.71          | 96.74        | 0.38          | 96.69        | 0.69          |
| 9   | Kaempferol 3-glucoside  | 95.6        | 1.88          | 96.17        | 2.21          | 96.62        | 2.25          |
| 10  | Isochlorogenic acid     | 97.56       | 2.11          | 97.73        | 0.52          | 97.81        | 0.57          |

a Recovery (%) = (found concentration/spiked concentration) × 100.

3.5. Quantitative Analysis of Quince Purified and Unpurified Extract

The newly developed analytical method was subsequently applied to determine the 10 constituents in the quince fruit extract. Three replicates of each quince fruit extract were loaded into the system for a quantitative analysis. The peak area was counted to calculate
the contents of the 10 components in the extract. The retention time and peak shapes for all the analytes showed a good correlation between the standard solution and the real sample. Table 6 demonstrates that neochlorogenic acid, chlorogenic acid, cryptochlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, quercetin 3-rutinoside, quercetin 3-galactoside, quercetin 3-glucoside, kaempferol 3-rutinoside, kaempferol 3-glucoside, and isochlorogenic acid were detected successfully in the extract. The contents of each compound in the fruit purified extract and unpurified extract are shown in Table 6. Cryptochlorogenic acid was the most abundant constituent, accounting for 32.6% of the total contents. Next, quercetin 3-rutinoside and chlorogenic acid followed with 25.1% and 21.6%, respectively. Among the extracts, the purified extract was noted with high content concentrations of polyphenolic compounds (741.41 µg/mg dw for purified extract and 10.61 µg/mg dw for unpurified extract). The results presented in Table 7 indicate a remarkable difference between the phenolic acids and flavonoid contents in both extracts: the phenolic acids cover about 70% of the total polyphenolic compounds’ composition, while flavonoids cover the remaining 30%. As all the results showed that the purified extract is a rich and strong source of polyphenolic compounds, this supports that the AB-8 macroporous resins are an impressive source for polyphenol compound purification, which can be helpful in yielding a high quantity of polyphenolic compounds from quince fruit as a raw material. Additionally, the results of the current study may be beneficial for the utilization of the quince purified extract as a source of raw materials for phenolic acids and flavonoids.

Table 6. Contents (µg/mg) of the 10 analyzed polyphenolic compounds.

| No. | Compound                 | Purified Extract | Unpurified Extract |
|-----|--------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| 1   | Neochlorogenic acid      | 56.77 ± 1.48     | 1.16 ± 0.01        |
| 2   | Chlorogenic acid         | 160.67 ± 2.51    | 2.28 ± 0.01        |
| 3   | Cryptochlorogenic acid   | 242.44 ± 0.73    | 3.37 ± 0.01        |
| 4   | Caffeic acid             | 1.46 ± 0.05      | 0.03 ± 0.00        |
| 5   | Quercetin 3-rutinoside   | 186.33 ± 1.32    | 3.13 ± 0.04        |
| 6   | Quercetin 3-galactoside  | 40.24 ± 1.46     | 0.34 ± 0.01        |
| 7   | Quercetin 3-glucoside    | 26.72 ± 0.82     | 0.22 ± 0.00        |
| 8   | Kaempferol 3-rutinoside  | 3.10 ± 0.04      | 0.02 ± 0.00        |
| 9   | Kaempferol 3-glucoside   | 1.92 ± 0.06      | 0.02 ± 0.00        |
| 10  | Isochlorogenic acid      | 21.74 ± 0.57     | 0.05 ± 0.01        |
|     | Total (Σ)                | 741.41 ± 9.04    | 10.61 ± 0.09       |

Results are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (n = 3).

Table 7. Phenolic acids and flavonoid contents (µg/mg dw).

| No. | Contents | Purified Extract | Unpurified Extract |
|-----|----------|------------------|--------------------|
| 1   | Phenolic acids | 483.10 ± 5.16    | 7.89 ± 0.02        |
| 2   | Flavonoids  | 258.32 ± 3.02    | 2.73 ± 0.03        |

Results are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (n = 3).

3.6. Effect on Cell Viability

To assess the effect of quince purified extract on the metabolic activity of 3T3-L1 cells, the cells were treated with increasing concentrations of the extract ranging from 25 to 600 µg/mL for 48 h after confluence. Figure 4 presents that the extract inhibited the viability of cells at a dose dependent manner. The extract was noticed to be cytotoxic at higher doses. The calculated IC50 for the extract was 145 µg/mL.
3.7. Effect on Adipogenesis

The effect of quince fruit purified extract on adipogenesis was investigated by the Oil red O staining method. All the groups were compared with the differentiated cells (model group). The lipid accumulation was primarily decreased in the treated groups \((p < 0.001)\). The highest dose 150 \(\mu g/mL\) group was found with the least amount of accumulated lipids (Figure 5). The results of the collected isopropanol supernatant of the cells also indicated that quince fruit purified extract leads to a significant decrease in OD values (Figure 5). Specifically, the groups treated with 100 \(\mu g/mL\) and 150 \(\mu g/mL\) led to a decrease of 69% and 79%, respectively, compared to the model group.

Influence of quince purified extract on 3T3-L1 cell metabolic activity determined by the PrestoBlue HS assay after 48 h exposure to the extract; control cells were not exposed to any compound; values are means ± standard deviations from at least three independent experiments, \(n \geq 12\); statistical significance was calculated versus control cells (untreated), \(* p \leq 0.05, ** p \leq 0.001\).

The chemical investigation of quince fruit extract carried out in our study resulted in phenolic acids and flavonoid detection. These detected compounds are directly or indirectly involved in lipid metabolism [38-40]. Sudhakar et al. [41] reported that chlorogenic acid induces browning of white adipocytes, which increases lipid oxidation and decreases fat storage. Similarly, another study also reported the anti-adipogenic property of chlorogenic acid [42]. On the other hand, Jiang et al. [43] reported that quercetin 3-glucoside and quercetin 3-rutinoside inhibit adipogenesis promote lipid oxidation in a high-fat diet animal model. Jang, YS et al. [44] also investigated the effect of kaempferol 3-rutinoside isolated from Solidago virgaurea in 3T3-L1 cells for anti-adipogenic effect, which resulted in a 48.2% inhibitory effect on adipogenesis without cytotoxicity. Previous studies of our group reported the antihyperlipidemic effect of quince leaf and fruit extract in high-fat diet rat models [30,45]. From the previously reported studies, it is clear that most of the bioactive compounds detected in quince fruit extract are involved in inhibiting lipogenesis and may also be involved in lipolysis. Our study shows that quince fruit extract might have a robust antiadipogenic effect. Further studies are required to clarify the mechanism.
Figure 5. Cell lipid droplets stained with Oil red O were visualized under a microscope (A). Percentage (%) of accumulated lipid droplets in 3T3-L1 cells stained with Oil red O was observed on the 8th day of differentiation compared with the model group (B). The control cells were not exposed to any compound. The model cells were not treated with the extract; the values are means ± standard deviations from at least three independent experiments, n ≥ 12; the statistical significance was calculated versus the control cells *** p ≤ 0.001 vs. model group.

4. Conclusions

In the current study, a UPLC-MS/MS method was established for the simultaneous determination of 10 major polyphenolic compounds of quince fruit extract. The current method is validated as a sensitive, accurate, and rapid approach for the separation. The proposed analytical method was applied to the same extract, at two different purity levels. Moreover, the higher phenolic contacts of quince fruit extract result in higher antioxidant activity. In addition, the quince fruit purified extract also exerts anti-adipogenic effect in 3T3-L1 cells. This study suggests that the quince fruit can be a potential source of phenolic compound, that could serve as a strong antioxidant and antihyperlipidemic agent. However, further studies are required to perform on quince fruit’s extract in vivo pharmacological activities and its mechanism as an anti-adipogenic agent.
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