Modulation of Adipogenic Conditions for Prospective Use of hADSCs in Adipose Tissue Engineering
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Abstract: Modern strategies in adipose tissue engineering (ATE) take advantage of the easy harvest, abundance and differentiation potential towards mesenchymal lineages of hADSCs. The controlled conversion of hADSCs to committed adipogenic precursors and further mature adipocytes formation is important for good long-term results in soft tissue regeneration. Thus, in this study, we report: (i) the isolation of the processed lipoaspirate (PLA) cells from adipose tissue and sanguine fractions; (ii) the phenotypic characterization of the PLA descendants; (iii) the design of a novel protocol for the modulation of adipogenic conditions in the perspectives of ATE applications. To modulate the differentiation rate through our protocol, we propose to selectively modify the formulation of the adipogenic media in accordance with the evolution of the process. Therefore, we aimed to ensure the long-term proliferation of the precursor cells and to delay the late adipogenic events. The status of differentiation was characterized in terms of intracellular lipid accumulation and reorganization of the cytoskeleton simultaneously with perilipin protein expression. Moreover, we studied the sequential activation of PPARγ2, FAS, aP2 and perilipin genes which influence the kinetics of the adipogenic process. The strategies developed in this work are the prerequisites for prospective 3D regenerative systems.
1. Introduction

Over the last decade, there has been a paradigm shift in the understanding of the nature of adipose tissue. For a long time, the white adipose tissue (WAT), derived from the mesenchyme, has been considered to be a passive organ for the storage of energy to be mobilized during food deprivation with the release of fatty acids for oxidation in other organs. However, research has demonstrated that WAT is a dynamic endocrine organ of considerable complexity, which is highly integrated into the overall physiologic and metabolic control systems of mammals [1]. Adipocytes actively secrete numerous hormones, growth factors and cytokines, such as leptin, adiponectin, tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), interleukin-6 (IL-6) etc., which influence energy homeostasis [2], sexual hormone synthesis, immunity, and also regulate the function of the circulatory system.

Recent studies [3] have shown that subcutaneous adipose tissue provides a clear advantage over other stem cell sources due to its accessibility, minimal morbidity and the discomfort associated with its harvest. Therefore WAT represents an attractive source of autologous adult stem cells for regenerative therapy due to its abundance, surgical accessibility, and high content of multipotent mesenchymal [4,5] and endothelial [6,7] progenitor cells. Thus, while for many years bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (BM-MSC) were the primary source of stem cells for tissue engineering applications, the stromal-vascular fraction (SVF) of adipose tissue consists of a heterogeneous cell population including endothelial precursor cells, preadipocytes, anti-inflammatory M2 macrophages, and mesenchymal stem cells (MSC), as well [8].

Due to their secretory profile, human adipose-derived stem cells (hADSCs), delivered into injured or diseased tissue stimulate recovery in a paracrine manner. These cells modulate the “stem cell niche” of the host by stimulating the recruitment of endogenous stem cells to the site of injury and promote their differentiation along the required lineage pathway [9]. hADSCs secrete nearly all of the growth factors that take part in normal wound healing [10–13]. After implantation, these cells may remain viable at the wound site and secrete growth factors in a continuous and regulated manner in response to environmental cues, just as it occurs in the natural wound healing process [14]. The hADSCs differentiation potential towards the mesenchymal lineages concomitant with materials science advances encouraged the design of hADSC-scaffold biohybrids for adipose tissue engineering (ATE) applications.

Rodbell et al. [15,16] presented the first methods to isolate cells from adipose tissue from minced rat fat pads. Subsequently, this procedure has been modified for the isolation of cells from human adipose tissue specimens [17,18]. Later on, Dominici et al. [19] described the multipotent status of the mesenchymal stromal cells, whereas Gimble et al. [9] published a review on the isolation, characterization and preclinical and clinical application of adipose-derived stem cells.

The term “adipose-derived stem cells” (ADSCs) was introduced by Zuk et al. [6] to show that SVF contains large numbers of MSC-like cells that could be induced to differentiate into adipogenic, chondrogenic, myogenic, and osteogenic lineages [6]. Further, after in vitro expansion, the same group [20]
reported that SVF-derived cells expressed similar surface markers to BM-MSC, revealing the positive expression of CD29, CD44, CD71, CD90, CD105/SH2, and SH3 and lacking CD31, CD34, and CD45.

The differentiation of adipocytes from precursor stem cells involves a complex and highly orchestrated program [21]. The acquisition of the adipocyte phenotype is characterized by chronological changes, reflected in the activation of early, intermediate and late adipogenic specific markers. Overall, triglyceride accumulation, concomitant with dramatic changes that occur in cell morphology, cytoskeletal components and extracellular matrix are typical to different adipogenic stages.

Many genes are directly or indirectly involved in the regulation of adipogenesis in mammalian species and represent the critical markers for monitoring this process. The genes encoding transcription factors including PPAR (Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor) and C/EBP (CCAAT/enhancer binding protein) have been identified both in vitro and in vivo [22]. They directly influence fat cell development by encoding transcriptional factors that regulate gene expression of downstream genes typically involved in lipid and fatty acid metabolism. These factors do not work completely independently but interact functionally in several important ways.

PPAR\(_\gamma\)2 acts as the key inducer and master regulator of the adipogenic differentiation process [23]. Its activity is controlled by free fatty acids or by the thiazolidinediones antidiabetic drugs, such as troglitazone. Thus, PPAR\(_\gamma\)2 has been found to regulate the expression levels of many genes that are involved in adipocyte differentiation including adipocyte fatty acid–binding protein (aP2), lipoprotein lipase (LPL), fatty acid synthase (FAS), perilipin, fatty acid transport protein-1 (FATP-1), adiponectin or leptin, which are involved in lipid storage and the control of metabolism [24,25].

Once activated, FAS, aP2 and perilipin act together in order to synthesize, transport and mediate triacylglycerols (TAG) metabolism, respectively. First, the synthesis of long-chain saturated fatty acids is catalyzed by FAS. Insulin and triiodothyronine (T3) interact synergistically and have positive effect on FAS gene transcription [26]. Next, aP2 works as a transmembrane carrier for newly synthesized fatty acids and allows their accumulation inside maturing adipocytes. The lipid accumulations are surrounded by a dynamic structure at the periphery, which controls the access of lipolytic enzymes to the fatty acids, as phosphorylated perilipin provides the docking site for the activated hormone-sensitive lipase (HSL) [27].

Although the sequence of events occurring during adipogenesis is already characterized in terms of molecular signaling, a more elaborate approach is a must in the prospective use of hADSCs in adipose tissue engineering (ATE). Modern strategies in current ATE applications involve the design of 3D cell-scaffold constructs. To achieve in situ functional de novo tissue, the undifferentiated cells implanted in a 3D scaffold are required to proliferate and to populate its entire volume. Once exposed to adipogenic induction in vitro, the differentiation kinetics must be proportionally connected with the scaffold’s degradation rate.

Considering our previous work regarding the proliferation and the differentiation potential of hADSCs in natural-based 3D hydrogels [28], in this study we intend to control the progress of the adipogenic events during differentiation in monolayer cultures in the view of prospective use in regenerative medicine studies based on 3D in vitro models. What is more, previous studies stated that in vitro adipogenic differentiation of preadipocytes varies with differentiation stimulus, culture dimensionality and scaffold composition and concluded that alterations in 3D scaffold physical properties significantly impacted the ability of preadipocytes to undergo adipogenic differentiation.
in vitro [29]. Although 3D culture systems are considered to be more appropriate for differentiation studies as they can closely reproduce the environment that cells experience in vivo, we decided to perform the modulation of the adipogenic conditions in a 2D system. This decision is a consequence of the high number of variables that 3D systems provide in terms of scaffold composition and structure, cell distribution and interactions that could influence the process of differentiation in contrast with 2D systems. Furthermore, 2D experimental models allow a much easier observation of cell behavior in contact with the adipogenic cocktail of inducers than in 3D approaches. This 2D approach offers the potential to reduce the number of experimental studies in more costly 3D culture systems.

Consequently, our research aimed to design a novel protocol for the modulation of adipogenic conditions in the perspective of selecting the appropriate adipogenic media formulation for in vitro ATE experiments in order to prolong the cellular proliferative state and to delay the late events of adipogenesis.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Primary Culture of PLAs-Derived Cells

Both primary cultures derived from adipose tissue and sanguine fractions of the lipoaspirate (LA) were used in our studies. Following isolation and seeding, the heterogeneous cell suspension was microscopically inspected in phase contrast. The few cells remaining attached to the culture dishes after 24 h post seeding exhibited a fibroblast-like spindle shape. After three to four days, adherent isolated cells started to proliferate. At six to seven days, they formed small clusters and, after two weeks of incubation a confluent uniform monolayer was achieved. Occasionally, nonadherent round mononuclear cells were found in the primary culture, but they were removed during subcultivation (Figure 1).

**Figure 1.** Phase contrast micrographs of cells in primary culture at: (a) 24 h; (b) 5 days and (c) 15 days post-seeding.

No differences were observed in the morphological features of both primary cell cultures during subcultivation. In addition, extracting cells from both adipose tissue and sanguine fractions resulted in a higher efficiency in terms of amount of isolated cells, as compared to extracting cells from one single fraction.

As the cells were propagated in monolayer culture, they showed a more uniform fibroblast-like morphology, suggesting the existence of a homogeneous hADSC cell population.
2.2. Characterization of hADSC

2.2.1. hADSCs Cell Surface Antigen Expression

Cells isolated from adipose tissue fraction of LAs in primary culture and their descendants obtained during the first ten passages of subcultivation were subjected to phenotypic characterization in order to establish the crucial passage when a pure hADSC population was achieved. Zuk et al. [5] demonstrated the presence of multiple CD marker antigens, similar to those observed on MSCs, on the surface of processed lipoaspirate (PLA) cells.

Considering this, the surface phenotype of hADSCs was examined via quantitative q-PCR reaction. All PLA descendants were shown to be positive for stromal stem cell surface markers CD44, CD73 and CD90, as revealed by agarose electrophoresis of gradient RT-PCR products (Figure 2a). In addition, no expression of CD34 was observed starting with the third passage cells.

CD44, CD73 and CD90 antigens protein expression on the surface of hADSCs was confirmed by Western Blot analysis (Figure 2b). Moreover, hADSCs are negative for the hematopoietic marker CD34 starting with the third passage and positive for the stromal cell markers CD44, CD73 and CD90 as highlighted by immunofluorescence microscopy (Figure 2c).

Figure 2. Phenotypic characterization of cultured hADSCs in third passage (a) Agarose gel electrophoresis of gradient RT-PCR products for CD34, CD44, CD73 and CD90; All surface markers were tested at 6 different temperatures in the range of 52–62 °C, as follows: (2) 54.9 °C; (3) 55.8 °C; (4) 56.6 °C; (5) 57.4 °C; (6) 58.3 °C; (7) 59.1 °C, while (1) corresponds to the molecular marker of 100 bp used for visualization and (8) represents the negative control (no template control); (b) Western blotting for duplicate detection of CD44, CD73 and CD90 in cell lysates; (c) Fluorescence micrographs revealing negative immunostaining for CD34 hematopoietic stem cell marker and positive labeling for CD44, CD73 and CD90 stromal stem cell surface antigens (upper panel) and cell density by nuclei-DAPI staining (lower panel).
All these data suggest that the third passage hADSCs express the markers associated with BM-MSCs. Thus, the pattern of surface antigens displayed by hADSCs in our study is in accordance with the phenotype of stem cells isolated from the bone marrow, umbilical-cord blood [30] and resembles with the results reported by Zuk et al. [20] and Gronthos et al. [4]. Consequently, only cells obtained starting with the third passage can be considered suitable PLA descendants for further adipogenic studies. Based on senescence studies we performed (data not shown), hADSCs between the third and the seventh passages proved to be appropriate for prospective investigations.

2.2.2. Adipogenic Differentiation of hADSC

The differentiation potential of hADSC was extensively studied in the last decade [2,6,9,22,31]. It is already known that these cultured cells are able to undergo adipogenesis, chondrogenesis and osteogenesis in the presence of certain inducers. The adipogenic differentiation process differs by species, donor’s age, sex and tissue location [31] and thereby requires the adjustment of experimental parameters. However, for tissue engineering purposes, the differentiation process must be controlled in terms of clonal expansion rate and adipogenesis kinetics.

In our study, the adjustment of adipogenic differentiation was performed by means of adipogenic cocktail variation. Thereby, a set of main inducers consisting of 3-isoo-butyl-1-methylxanthine (IBMX), dexamethasone (DEX) and troglitazone was established. IBMX is known to upregulate C/EBP, a transcriptional factor responsible for Krüppel-like transcription factor 5 (KLF5) activation. Subsequently, KLF5 triggers PPARγ2 promoting further transcription of the target genes [32]. The synthetic glucocorticoid DEX is a powerful adipogenic inducer for precursor cells isolated from different species, which probably acts by the inhibition of pref-1 expression [31]. DEX increases the C/EBP and PPARγ expression in 3T3-L1 preadipocytes. Tiazolidindione-induced adipogenesis was observed in multiple cell culture models since troglitazone, a PPARγ ligand, is a crucial adipogenic factor [31]. Insulin was a constant compound in the adipogenic cocktail. Although the amount of insulin receptors on the surface of progenitor cells is low, the insulin effect increases during the adipogenic process. Insulin promotes hADSCs differentiations by activating PI3-kinase and Akt-signaling pathways, which subsequently stimulate the expression of adipocyte specific genes, such as FAS, leptin and adiponectin [2].

Considering ATE as our final goal, four different protocols (P0, P1, P2 and P3) were proposed for the identification of an adequate adipogenic strategy. These protocols were created by mixing five
different adipogenic media (MD₀–MD₄), as described in Tables 1 and 2. Taking into account the effect of each pro-adipogenic molecule, P₀–P₃ were designed for the administration of inducers separately from the differentiation supporting factors (biotin, indomethacine, hydrocortisone, T₃ and transferrin). Moreover, insulin was added in all cocktails (MD₀–MD₄) and IBMX, DEX and troglitazone were considered as the main adipogenic inducers. Thus, P₁ was based on the incubation of the hADSC monolayers with the most complex adipogenic cocktail (MD₁). P₂ consisted in the same strategy for the first three days of induction, followed by the exclusion of the main adipogenic inducers from the differentiation medium (MD₂). To provide a slow cell differentiation, P₃ was designed using the minimal set of pro-adipogenic molecules required for the activation and maintenance of the process (MD₃, MD₄). All these strategies were related to the use of a reference adipogenic medium (MD₀), administered constantly for up to 21 days (P₀).

**Table 1.** Adipogenic media composition of P₀–P₃ protocols during 21 days of induced adipogenesis.

| Medium | Composition |
|--------|-------------|
| MD₀    | Standard adipogenic differentiation medium (commercial formula code 811D-250), Cell Applications, San Diego, CA, USA |
|        | 0.5 mM IBMX |
|        | 1 µM DEX    |
|        | 1 µg/mL Troglitazone |
|        | 1 µM Insulin |
| MD₁    | CM+         |
|        | 10 µg/mL Biotin |
|        | 200 µM Indomethacine |
|        | 0.1 mM Hydrocortisone |
|        | 0.2 mM 3,3',5-Triiodothyronine |
|        | 10 µg/mL Transferrin |
| MD₂    | CM+         |
|        | 200 µM Indomethacine |
|        | 0.1 mM Hydrocortisone |
|        | 0.2 mM 3,3',5-Triiodothyronine |
|        | 10 µg/mL Transferrin |
| MD₃    | CM+         |
|        | 0.5 mM IBMX |
|        | 1 µM DEX    |
|        | 1 µg/mL Troglitazone |
|        | 1 µM Insulin |
| MD₄    | CM+         |
|        | 1 µM Insulin |
|        | 0.2 mM 3,3',5-Triiodothyronine |

**Table 2.** Timeline describing the administration of P₀–P₃ protocols during 21 days of induced adipogenesis.

|        | P₀     | P₁     | P₂     | P₃     |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Day 1–3| MD₀    | MD₁    | MD₁    | MD₃    |
| Day 4–21| MD₀    | MD₁    | MD₂    | MD₄    |
Oil Red O staining of monolayers exposed to MD₀-MD₄ (P₀–P₃) over an incubation period of 15 days was used to reveal that all protocols successfully induced and sustained adipogenesis. However, significant differences between the stained samples (Figure 3a) were identified by phase contrast microscopy.

**Figure 3.** Phase contrast micrographs of (a) hADSCs exposed to P₀–P₃ for up to 15 days; (b) hADSCs exposed to P₂ for up to 21 days.

Thereby, the cell exposure to the standard medium (MD₀) led to a fast lipid accumulation. The same results were obtained by incubating hADSCs with MD₁ (P₁), probably due to its chemical complexity. In contrast, P₃ treatment resulted in a low number of differentiated cells with decreased triacylglycerol (TAG) deposits. The most balanced rate of differentiation was observed after P₂ administration, when cells accumulated numerous small lipid droplets located around the nucleus. Taking into account the ATE possible applications, we decided to select P₂ for further studies.

Consequently, hADSCs’ incubation with MD₁ and MD₂ (P₂) for up to 21 days led to TAG accumulation starting with the tenth day after adipogenic induction. At 21 days post-adipogenic induction, cell dimensions increased several times and the morphology changed completely, from spindle to spherical shape, due to the presence of large lipid vesicles. Mature adipocytes gradually lost adherence to culture surface and floated into the medium (Figure 3b).

Rahman *et al.* [33], performed a proteomic analysis of 3T3-L1 preadipocytes subjected to adipogenesis two days *post confluence* by supplementing the growth culture medium with 0.5 mM IBMX, 0.25 μM DEX and 10 μg/mL insulin for two days and, afterwards, only with 10 μg/mL insulin until the end of the experiment. Eight days after this treatment, Oil Red O staining revealed that 90% of the cells expressed intracellular lipid accumulation. In contrast to these results, our study demonstrated that hADSCs, exposed to more complex adipogenic media (MD₁ and MD₂), started the TAG-accumulation at 10 days *post induction*, probably as a consequence of their more undifferentiated state compared to 3T3-L1 preadipocytes.

Additionally, cell counting was performed at three days *post adipogenic induction* with P₂, at the moment when MD₁ was exchanged with MD₂, and revealed an increase in cell number as compared to the initial moment of induction (T₀) (data not shown). These results suggest that the composition of the
media allowed cell proliferation simultaneously with hADSCs conversion to preadipocytes. These data are in accordance with phase contrast micrographs in Figure 3b, which display higher cellular density in samples exposed to P_2 at three days post induction, as compared to T_0. Moreover, in the view of prospective regenerative applications, the modulation of adipogenic conditions in order to control the equilibrium between cell proliferation and differentiation could potentially result in a greater amount of tissue formed from a set number of original cells.

Double fluorescence staining for perilipin and actin filaments allowed us to relate the changes in actin cytoskeleton to the adipogenic status during differentiation. Notably, perilipin fluorescence detection is correlated with the presence of intracellular lipid droplets highlighted by Oil Red O staining at 10 days post induction (Figure 3). Perilipin was not detected in undifferentiated cells and its levels of expression increased remarkably during the exposure to the adipogenic conditions ensured by P_2 (MD_1 and MD_2), suggesting its high potential as an adipogenic inducer (Figure 4).

**Figure 4.** Fluorescence micrographs of the third passage hADSCs during adipogenic differentiation, stained with TRITC conjugated antiperilipin antibody (red), FITC conjugated phalloidin for actin filament labeling (green) and DAPI for highlighting the nuclei (blue).

Due to the progressive TAG accumulation during adipogenesis, the cell morphology changed from spindle to spherical shape (Figure 4). Our study reveals that the increasing cell volume during adipogenesis determines the reorganization of the actin filaments. These observations are in accordance with Verstraeten et al. [34], who demonstrated that actin stress fibers, vimentin and
microtubules reorganize into a cortical network lining membrane caves, cage-like structures surrounding lipid droplets and into a network under the plasma membrane, respectively. What is more, Kanzaki et al. [35] showed that insulin stimulation increased cortical actin levels, which was subsequently followed by increased actin polymerization in the perinuclear region.

2.2.2.1. Quantitative RealTime RT-PCR Assay

Different stages of adipogenesis were highlighted by evaluating both the early and late adipogenic markers expression levels. PPARγ2 and aP2 are key regulators of the process and thus, critical adipogenic markers. PPARγ2 is the key transcriptional regulator of adipocyte differentiation, along with C/EBPα. The PPARγ2 isoform typically represents the PPAR family of transcriptional factors in adipose tissue, where, once activated, it stimulates lipid uptake and adipogenesis.

RealTime RT-PCR results revealed a basal activity of the PPARγ2 gene before the induction of adipogenic differentiation using P2 (Figure 5a).

Figure 5. Gene expression profiles of (a) PPARγ2; (b) aP2; (c) FAS and (d) perilipin as quantified by RealTime RT-PCR.
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Obvious genic activation appeared 12 h after the adipogenic cocktail was added to the cell culture \( (p < 0.01) \). No other significant changes in PPARγ2 mRNA levels occurred between 12 h and seven days. A second increase in the PPARγ2 mRNA level was revealed at day 10 post induction as compared to seven days \( (p < 0.001) \). This upregulated pattern of expression was maintained including even day 14 post induction. A statistical significant decrease in PPARγ2 mRNA was detected on day
21 \((p < 0.001)\) as compared to 14 days. These data confirm that \(PPAR_\gamma^2\) is one of the first transcription factors upregulated in adipogenesis.

Our \(PPAR_\gamma^2\) expression profile is correlated with the results reported by Saladin \textit{et al.} [36], although Zhang \textit{et al.} [37] showed that the protein expression of \(PPAR_\gamma^2\) increases only in the first 24 h of the adipogenesis.

The fatty acid transporter \(aP2\) selectively enhances the activities of \(PPAR_\gamma^2\) and, as a consequence, it massively relocates to the nucleus in response to \(PPAR_\gamma^2\) selective ligands which they activate [38]. An increasing expression profile of this marker during the 21 days of induced adipogenesis was highlighted in Figure 5b. The first detection of \(aP2\) mRNA was possible at day 7 \textit{post} induction. \(aP2\) displayed an upregulated expression profile starting with the tenth day of adipogenesis \((p < 0.01)\) and the gene expression level doubled between the fourteenth and the twenty-first day of differentiation \((p < 0.001)\), confirming \(aP2\) as late adipogenic marker.

It was reported that \(aP2\) associates with specific nuclear membrane proteins in the presence of long-chain fatty acids or synthetic PPAR ligands [39]. Tan \textit{et al.} [38] showed that \(aP2\) delivers troglitazone to \(PPAR_\gamma^2\) through direct association between the binding protein and the receptor, thus highlighting the relevant use of this antidiabetic drug in the composition of MD1 which we proposed for protocol P2.

A statistical significant increase \((p < 0.001)\) in \(FAS\) gene expression (Figure 5c) was observed during the first seven days of induced adipogenesis, compared to the \(T_0\) undifferentiated state. This suggests the activation of fatty acid synthesis. No other considerable changes occurred in \(FAS\) mRNA levels between the seventh and the seventeenth day. However, the statistically significant \((p < 0.001)\) upregulated \(FAS\) expression pattern was detected on day 18 \textit{post} induction and was maintained until the end of the experiment, suggesting that fatty acids continue to be synthesized inside mature adipocytes. Thus, the synthesis of TAG is required throughout the process. Our findings are in agreement with the results obtained by Clarke \textit{et al.} [40] who showed that insulin and triiodothyronine function synergistically and had a crucial role in inducing \(FAS\) expression.

The late adipogenic marker \(perilipin\) also displayed an increasing gene expression profile (Figure 5d). Although the first detection of \(perilipin\) mRNA was possible on day 3 \textit{post} induction, a statistically significant \((p < 0.001)\) gene activation corresponds to day 7 of adipogenesis, compared to the \(T_0\) initial status. An approximately constant \(perilipin\) profile was recorded from day 7 to day 14 of differentiation, thus reflecting \(perilipin\) accumulation in the cells. Starting with day 15, \(perilipin\) displays an upregulated expression \((p < 0.01)\) and a constant increasing profile until day 21 of induced differentiation \((p < 0.05)\). Taking into account that \(perilipin\) expression was detected starting with the third day of the adipogenic induction, while \(PPAR_\gamma^2\) mRNA was expressed earlier, we pointed out the role played by \(PPAR_\gamma^2\) in \(perilipin\) gene activation. This finding is in accordance with Prusty \textit{et al.} [41] who reported that \(perilipin\) expression is regulated in 3T3-L1 preadipocytes by \(PPAR_\gamma^2\).

Although cellular intermediates of mature adipocytes and stem cells are still difficult to characterize at the molecular level, two stages of adipogenesis were defined. First, adult stem cells commit the differentiation process towards the adipogenic lineage and, as a result, they are converted to preadipocytes. These progenitor cells keep the morphologic characteristics of their precursors, but differ in terms of the differentiation potential, as they have lost the ability to differentiate into other mature cell types. In the second stage of the adipogenesis, preadipocytes acquire the characteristics of
the mature adipocytes. Although the composition of the adipogenic cocktail is mainly accepted by scientists, the specific experimental conditions impose the optimization of the range of the adipogenic factors triggering and sustaining the process [42]. Furthermore, the design of a differentiation protocol suitable for ATE aims to achieve the complete population of a scaffold, by allowing the implanted hADSCs to proliferate before undergoing adipogenesis. Consequently, the optimization of adipogenesis kinetics targets the delay of the process in order to allow new functional tissue formation in situ.

3. Experimental Section

3.1. Isolation and Culture of Primary Cells

Human subcutaneous adipose tissue was harvested from adult patients undergoing elective abdominoplasty, after obtaining their written informed consent. None of them had diabetes, severe systemic illness, or was taking medication known to affect adipose tissue metabolism. All the medical procedures were performed in compliance with the Helsinki Declaration, with the approval of the Emergency Hospital for Plastic Surgery and Burns Ethical Committee (reference No. 3076/10.06.2010).

SVF, also termed PLA [43], was separated from the fatty portions of liposuction aspirates using an adapted protocol after Gimble et al. [9]. Based on our observations, a set of adjustments were performed to this protocol, namely a different centrifugation speed which eliminates the risk of damaging the cells during the isolation procedure and the serum concentration provided for the cells in the first days. Briefly, LAs were washed intensely with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) supplemented with 2% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Invitrogen, Foster City, CA, USA) and 1% antibiotic-antimycotic (ABAM, Sigma-Aldrich, Co, Steinheim, Germany) and subjected to enzymatic digestion with 0.01% type I collagenase (Gibco, Foster City, CA, USA) for 60 min at 37 °C, with intermittent shaking. Subsequently, the activity of the collagenase was neutralized by adding FBS at a final concentration of 10%. To separate the mature floating adipocytes from the SVF, the digested LA was centrifuged at 420g, for 10 min at 4 °C. Before cell seeding, the SVF sample was treated with erythrocyte lysis buffer (0.15 M NH₄Cl, 0.1% KHCO₃ and 9 µM EDTA) for 5 min at 37 °C, to remove red blood cells (Scheme Ia).

In addition, the sanguine fraction of the LAs was used to isolate multipotent cells, accordingly with the method described by Francis et al. [44]. Briefly, the sanguine fraction was centrifuged at 420g, for 10 min and the pellet was exposed to the erythrocyte lysis buffer for 5 min at 37 °C, to remove red blood cells (Scheme Ib).

After these treatments, both cell suspensions were centrifuged at 420g for 10 min, and the pellets were resuspended in culture medium (CM) consisting of Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM, Sigma-Aldrich, Co, Steinheim, Germany), supplemented with 3.5 g/L glucose (Sigma-Aldrich, Co, Steinheim, Germany), 1.5 g/L NaHCO₃ (Sigma-Aldrich, Co, Steinheim, Germany) and 1% ABAM. The cells derived from the biological sources mentioned above were seeded at an initial density of 1.5 × 10⁴ cells/cm² and maintained in CM supplemented with 40% FBS for 24 h, at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂. The next day, CM was exchanged and the FBS final concentration was adjusted to 10%.
3.2. Subcultivation of the Cells

The culture was propagated repeatedly after achieving 75%–80% confluence in order to purify the hADSCs population, which shows better adherent properties to plastic surfaces than hematopoietic precursor cells that are discarded during the procedure.

3.3. Characterization of Human Adipose Derived Stem Cells

3.3.1. Phenotypic Characterization

The expression of the typical mesenchymal stem cells markers CD44, CD73 and CD90 was investigated at the transcriptional level by q-PCR, whereas their presence on cell surface was assessed via Western blotting and immunofluorescence microscopy. In addition, the same techniques were used to examine the expression of CD34 as a hematopoietic stem cell marker during successive passaging.
3.3.1.1. q-PCR

Total RNA from cells was isolated with TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen, Foster City, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocols and tested for integrity on BioAnalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany) and purity on NanoDrop spectrophotometer. Total RNA samples extracted at different stages of adipogenesis were highly pure and intact (O.D.260/O.D.280 ratios were situated between 1.8 and 2 and RNA integrity number (RIN) scored between 8.4 and 9.9). One microgramme of total cellular RNA was reverse transcribed to corresponding cDNA using iScript cDNA Synthesis kit (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA). Sequences of gene-specific primers used in q-PCR assay are presented in Table 3. The melting temperature optimization for these primers was carried out based on standard PCR components (GoTaq DNA Polymerase kit) provided by Promega, Madison, WI, USA via gradient PCR on a Corbett thermocycler, in 52–62 °C range of temperatures, as described in Figure 2a. The visualization of PCR products was done by electrophoresis, using a molecular weight marker of 100 bp and ethidium bromide (Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany) staining.

Table 3. Forward and reverse sequences of primers used to identify CD markers.

| Target | Nucleotidic sequence | Fragment length |
|--------|----------------------|----------------|
| CD44 F | 5’-CATTCAAAATCCGGAAGTGCT-3’ | 208 bp |
| CD44 R | 5’-GTTCGCAAAACCACCTTCCT-3’ | |
| CD73 F | 5’-CGCAACAATGGGACAAATTAC-3’ | 224 bp |
| CD73 R | 5’-CTCGGACTTGTTGCAAGA-3’ | |
| CD90 F | 5’-TGAAAGGTCCCTCTAATGAGGC-3’ | 111 bp |
| CD90 R | 5’-GCACTGTGAGTGCTTCCGGA-3’ | |
| CD34 F | 5’-CCACAGGGAGAAGGCTTGCGG-3’ | 157 bp |
| CD34 R | 5’-GCCTTGGCACCACCTAGCGCA-3’ | |

3.3.1.2. Western Blotting.

hADSCs were lysed by ultrasonication and equivalent amounts of protein (50 µg), previously determined using spectrophotometric Bradford assay, were subjected to SDS-PAGE electrophoresis in 7.5% polyacrilamide gels. The separated proteins were then electro-botted from the gels to nitrocellulose (NC) membranes, which were then processed using the Western Breeze Chromogenic kit (Invitrogen, Foster City, CA, USA) accordingly to the manufacturer’s protocol. The CD44 mouse anti-human, CD73 rabbit anti-human and CD90 mouse anti-human primary antibodies used to highlight the expression of target cell surface proteins were purchased from Santa-Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Heidelberg, Germany.

3.3.1.3. Microscopic Immunophenotyping of hADSCs

Subconfluent hADSCs monolayers were fixed with 4% p-formaldehyde (PFA, Sigma-Aldrich Co., Steinheim, Germany) and blocked with 2% BSA to minimize non-specific protein-protein interactions. The cells were washed and incubated with the primary antibodies mentioned above. An additional CD34 rabbit anti-human primary antibody (Santa-Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Heidelberg, Germany) was used in this assay. Subsequently, the samples were exposed to IgG goat antimouse—FITC and IgG
goat anti-rabbit—TRITC secondary antibodies (Santa-Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Heidelberg, Germany) and finally stained with 2 µg/mL 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI, Sigma-Aldrich, Co., Steinheim, Germany) to highlight the nuclei. Images from representative fields of each sample were acquired by means of an inverted fluorescent microscope (Olympus IX71) and Cell F image software.

3.3.2. Assessment of Adipogenic Differentiation

Third passage hADSCs were exposed to four original adipogenic media (MD₁, MD₂, MD₃ and MD₄) over a 21 days period of incubation and the process was evaluated by comparison with a standard adipogenic medium (MD₀, ECACC 811D-250, Cell Applications, San Diego, CA, USA), which served as a positive control. Cells were exposed to this standardized formula of adipogenic differentiation medium, according to the manufacturer’s instructions, since the composition of the medium was not fully provided. MD₁-MD₄ adipogenic media were prepared by supplementing the CM with the following adipogenic inducers: IBMX, DEX, troglitazone, insulin, biotin, indomethacine, hydrocortisone, triiodothyronine and transferrin, (all purchased from Sigma- Aldrich Co., Steinheim, Germany), as described in Table 1.

The response of hADSCs to the adipogenic conditions was characterized in terms of intracellular lipid droplets accumulation, actin filaments distribution associated with the protein levels of perilipin and gene expression profiles of PPARγ², perilipin, aP2 and FAS. These changes were monitored up to 21 days of adipogenesis in a time dependent manner. Moreover, a sample of undifferentiated hADSCs nonexposed to the adipogenic conditions (T₀) was used in our study to serve as starting point.

3.3.2.1. Staining of Lipid Droplets with Oil Red O

At 3, 7, 10, 15 and 21 days post induction, the monolayers were fixed with 4% PFA. After permeabilization with 2% BSA and 0.1% Triton X-100, samples were incubated with Oil Red O dye (5 mg/mL in 60% isopropanol diluted 3:2 with tap water) for 1 h at 4 °C. The visualization of the intracytoplasmatic lipid droplets accumulation was revealed by contrast-phase microscopy (Olympus IX71) and Cell Imaging Software.

3.3.2.2. Fluorescent Double Staining of Perilipin and Actin Cytoskeleton

Perilipin expression and actin filaments distribution were evaluated during the process of adipogenesis by fluorescence labeling, followed by microscopic visualization. The cell monolayer was washed with PBS, fixed with 4% PFA and blocked with 2% BSA to minimize nonspecific protein-protein interactions. Then, the cells were washed again and incubated with perilipin rabbit antihuman primary antibody (Santa-Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Heidelberg, Germany) at 4 °C overnight. Subsequently, the samples were washed twice with PBS and IgG goat antirabbit-TRITC secondary antibody (Santa-Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Heidelberg, Germany) was added for 30 min. Actin filaments were stained by incubating the samples with FITC-conjugated phalloidin (Sigma-Aldrich, Co., Steinheim, Germany) for 1 h at 37 °C. Finally, the nuclei were stained with DAPI for 15 min at room temperature.
3.3.2.3. Quantitative RealTime RT-PCR.

The relative expression of \( PPAR_\gamma 2 \), \( aP2 \), \( perilipin \) and \( FAS \) adipogenic markers during an incubation period of 21 days was quantified by RealTime PCR (LightCycler Fast Start DNA Master SYBR Green I, Roche, Mannheim, Germany) on a LightCycler carousel system. For statistical interpretation, each time point selected for analysis was compared to the previous one. Early and late adipogenic markers gene expression was permanently compared to mRNA levels of the same markers from normal adipose tissue. Total RNA was isolated at different stages of adipogenesis, quality tested and reverse transcribed to cDNA as previously described (3.3.2). Gradient PCR was performed to identify the appropriate annealing temperatures for \( PPAR_\gamma 2 \), \( perilipin \), \( aP2 \) and \( FAS \) primers. Sequences of these primers, along with the sequences designed for the reference gene GAPDH, are presented in Table 4.

| Target  | Nucleotidic sequence | Fragment length |
|---------|----------------------|----------------|
| \( PPAR_\gamma 2 \) F | 5'-TTACACAATGCTGGCCTCCCT-3' | 99 bp |
| \( PPAR_\gamma 2 \) R | 5'-AGGCTTTTCGAGGCTCTTGTAG-3' | 104 bp |
| \( aP2 \) F | 5'-ATGGGATGGAGAAATCAACCA-3' | 224 bp |
| \( aP2 \) R | 5'-GTGGAAGTGACGCTTTTCAT-3' | |
| \( Perilipin \) F | 5'-ATGCTTCCAGAGAAGACCTACA-3' | 224 bp |
| \( Perilipin \) R | 5'-CAGCTCAGAAGCAATCTTTT-3' | |
| \( FAS \) F | 5'-GCTGGAAGTGACCTATGAAG-3' | 205 bp |
| \( FAS \) R | 5'-TGAAGTGCAGAAGAAGGAG-3' | |
| \( GAPDH \) F | 5'-AAGGTCGGAGTCAACGGATT-3' | 224 bp |
| \( GAPDH \) R | 5'-CTCCTGGAAGATGTTGATGG-3' | |

The reactions were performed in triplicate and the levels of expression were normalized to the GAPDH housekeeping gene. The fold expression for the gene of interest was calculated using the \( \Delta \Delta Ct \) method [45].

3.4. Statistical Analysis

The statistical evaluation of the data was done using one-way ANOVA method followed by Bonferroni’s multiple comparison test. The results were expressed as a mean ± S.D. using GraphPad Prism Software, version 3.03 for Windows.

4. Conclusions

The requirements in the modern regenerative medicine applications impose a rigorous control of the cell commitment towards mature cell types and therefore the modulation of adipogenic conditions for ATE was the premise of our studies. In the context of developing an ATE successful approach, we managed to design a novel protocol to control both the conversion of undifferentiated hADSCs to adipogenic precursors and the kinetics of the mature adipocytes achievement. Our protocol
accomplishes with this strategy and is based on the administration of two adipogenic media. Up to three days post confluence, hADSCs were exposed to a medium containing a complex adipogenic cocktail (MD1), while further events of the process were supported by MD2, which lacks IBMX, DEX and troglitazone main adipogenic inducers. Insulin was permanently included in the formulation of these media and biotine, indomethacine, hydrocortisone, T3 and transferrin were the pro-adipogenic molecules which maintained the late events of adipogenesis. This approach led us to achieve an optimum kinetics in the conversion of precursor cells into mature adipocytes, corresponding to the regenerative related applications. Our results regarding the adipogenesis evolution rate in the 2D system could provide a valuable basis for modern ATE applications.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by Romanian CNCS-UEFISCDI, Complex Exploratory Research Project (Grant No.: PCCE248/2010). We thank Dana Iordăchescu (University of Bucharest, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology) for the project idea and the invaluable help in the conception and design of the experiments and for the initiation of the draft manuscript.

References

1. Trayhurn, P.; Beattie, J.H. Physiological role of adipose tissue: White adipose tissue as an endocrine and secretory organ. Proc. Nutr. Soc. 2001, 60, 329–339.
2. Frühbeck, G.; Ambrosi, J.G. The adipocyte: A model for integration of endocrine and metabolic signaling in energy metabolism regulation. Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metabale. 2001, 280, E827–E847.
3. Helder, M.N.; Knippenberg, M.; Klein-Nulend, J.; Wuisman, P.I.J.M. Stem cells from adipose tissue allow challenging new concepts for regenerative medicine. Tissue Eng. 2007, 13, 1799–1808.
4. Gronthos, S.; Franklin, D.M.; Leddy, H.A.; Robey, P.G.; Storms, R.W.; Gimble, J.M. Surface protein characterization of human adipose tissue-derived stromal cells. J. Cell. Physiol. 2001, 189, 54–63.
5. Zuk, P.A.; Zhu, M.; Mizuno, H.; Huang, J.; Futrell, J.W.; Katz, A.J.; Benhaim, P.; Lorenz, H.P.; Hedrick, M.H. Multilineage cells from human adipose tissue: implications for cell-based therapies. Tissue Eng. 2001, 7, 211–228.
6. Cao, Y.; Sun, Z.; Liao, L.; Meng, Y.; Han, Q.; Zhao, R.C. Human adipose tissue-derived stem cells differentiate into endothelial cells in vitro and improve postnatal neovascularization in vivo. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 2005, 332, 370–379.
7. Planat-Benard, V.; Silvestre, J.S.; Cousin, B.; Andre, M.; Nibbelink, M.; Tamarat, R.; Clergue, M.; Manneville, C.; Saillan-Barreau, C.; Duriez, M.; et al. Plasticity of human adipose lineage cells toward endothelial cells: Physiological and therapeutic perspectives. Circulation 2004, 109, 656–663.
8. Caplan, A.I. Adult mesenchymal stem cells for tissue engineering versus regenerative medicine. J. Cell. Physiol. 2007, 213, 341–347.
9. Gimble, J.M.; Katz, A.J.; Bunnell, B.A. Adipose-derived stem cells for regenerative medicine. Circ. Res. 2007, 100, 1249–1260.
10. Ebrahimian, T.G.; Pouzoulet, F.; Squiban, C.; Buard, V.; André, M.; Cousin, B.; Gourmelon, P.; Benderitter, M.; Casteilla, L.; Tamarat, R. Cell therapy based on adipose tissue-derived stromal cells promotes physiological and pathological wound healing. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* **2009**, *29*, 503–510.

11. Blanton, M.W.; Hadad, I.; Johnstone, B.H.; Mund, J.A.; Rogers, P.I.; Eppley, B.L.; March, K.L. Adipose stromal cells and platelet-rich plasma therapies synergistically increase revascularization during wound healing. *Plast. Reconstr. Surg.* **2009**, *123*, 56S–64S.

12. Kim, W.S.; Park, B.S.; Sung, J.H.; Yang, J.M.; Park, S.B.; Kwak, S.J.; Park, J.S. Wound healing effect of adipose-derived stem cells: A critical role of secretory factors on human dermal fibroblasts. *J. Dermatol. Sci.* **2007**, *48*, 15–24.

13. Rehman, J.; Traktuev, D.; Li, J.; Merfeld-Clauss, S.; Temm-Grove, C.J.; Bovenkerk, J.E.; Pell, C.L.; Johnstone, B.H.; Considine, R.V.; March, K.L. Secretion of angiogenic and antiapoptotic factors by human adipose stromal cells. *Circulation* **2004**, *109*, 1292–1298.

14. Badillo, A.T.; Redden, R.A.; Zhang, L.; Doolin, E.J.; Liechty, K.W. Treatment of diabetic wounds with fetal murine mesenchymal stromal cells enhances wound closure. *Cell Tissue Res.* **2007**, *329*, 301–311.

15. Rodbell, M. Metabolism of isolated fat cells. II. The similar effects of phospholipase c (clostridium perfringens alpha toxin) and of insulin on glucose and amino acid metabolism. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1966**, *241*, 130–139.

16. Rodbell, M.; Jones, A.B. Metabolism of isolated fat cells. 3. The similar inhibitory action of phospholipase c (clostridium perfringens alpha toxin) and of insulin on lipolysis stimulated by lipolytic hormones and theophylline. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1966**, *241*, 140–142.

17. Bjorntorp, P.; Karlsson, M.; Pertoft, H.; Pettersson, P.; Sjostrom, L.; Smith, U. Isolation and characterization of cells from rat adipose tissue developing into adipocytes. *J. Lipid Res.* **1978**, *19*, 316–324.

18. Van, R.L.; Bayliss, C.E.; Roncari, D.A. Cytological and enzymological characterization of adult human adipocyte precursors in culture. *J. Clin. Invest.* **1976**, *58*, 699–704.

19. Dominici, M.; Le Blanc, K.; Mueller, I.; Slaper-Cortenbach, I.; Marini, F.; Krause, D.; Deans, R.; Keating, A.; Prockop, D.; Horwitz, E. Minimal criteria for defining multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells. The International Society for Cellular Therapy position statement. *Cytotherapy* **2006**, *8*, 315–317.

20. Zuk, P.A.; Zhu, M.; Ashjian, P.; De Ugarte, D.A.; Huang, J.I.; Mizuno, H.; Alfonso, Z.C.; Fraser, J.K.; Benhaim, P.; Hedrick, M.H. Human adipose tissue is a source of multipotent stem cells. *Mol. Biol. Cell.* **2002**, *13*, 4279–4295.

21. Lowe, C.E.; O’Rahilly, S.; Rochford, J.J. Adipogenesis at a glance. *J. Cell. Sci.* **2011**, *124*, 2681–2686.

22. Rosen, E.D.; Spiegelman, B.M. Molecular regulation of adipogenesis. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* **2002**, *16*, 145–171.

23. Spiegelman, B.M. PPARγ: Adipogenic regulator and thiazolidinedione receptor. *Diabetes* **1998**, *4*, 507–514.

24. Fajas, L.; Fruchart, J.C.; Auwerx, J. Transcriptional control of adipogenesis. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* **1998**, *10*, 165–173.
25. Rosen, E.D.; Spiegelman, B.M. PPARgamma: A nuclear regulator of metabolism, differentiation, and cell growth. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2001**, *276*, 37731–37734.

26. Moustaïd, N.; Sul, H.S. Regulation of expression of the fatty acid synthase gene in 3T3-L1 cells by differentiation and triiodothyronine. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1991**, *266*, 18550–18554.

27. Brasaemle, D.L.; Levin, D.M.; Adler-Wailes, D.C.; Londos, C. The lipolytic stimulation of 3T3-L1 adipocytes promotes the translocation of hormone-sensitive lipase to the surfaces of lipid storage droplets. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **2000**, *1483*, 251–262.

28. Galateanu, B.; Dimonie, D.; Vasile, E.; Nae, S.; Cimpean, A.; Costache, M. Layer-shaped alginate hydrogels enhance the biological performance of human adipose-derived stem cells. *BMC Biotechnol.* **2012**, *12*, 35.

29. Stacey, D.H.; Hanson, S.E.; Lahvis, G.; Gutowski, K.A.; Masters, K.S. *In vitro* adipogenic differentiation of preadipocytes varies with differentiation stimulus, culture dimensionality, and scaffold composition. *Tissue Eng.* **2009**, *15*, 3389–3399.

30. Yoo, K.H.; Jang, I.K.; Kim, H.E.; Yang, M.S.; Eom, Y.; Lee, J.E.; Kim, Y.J.; Yang S.K.; Jung, H.L.; *et al.* Comparison of immunomodulatory properties of mesenchymal stem cells derived from adult human tissues. *Cell Immunol.* **2009**, *259*, 150–156.

31. Hausman, G.J.; Dodson, M.V.; Ajuwon, K.; Azain, M.; Barnes, K.M.; Guan, L.L.; Jiang, Z.; Poulos, S.P.; Sainz, R.D.; Smith, S.; *et al.* The biology and regulation of preadipocytes and adipocytes in meat animals. *J. Anim. Sci.* **2009**, *87*, 1218–1246.

32. Oishi, Y.; Manabe, I.; Tobe, K.; Tsushima, K.; Shindo, T.; Fujiu, K.; Nishimura, G.; Maemura, K.; Yamauchi, T.; Kubota, N.; *et al.* Kruppel-like transcription factor KLF5 is a key regulator of adipocyte differentiation. *Cell Metab.* **2005**, *1*, 27–39.

33. Rahman, A.; Suresh, M.D.; Kumar, G.; Lee, S.H.; Hwang, H.S.; Kim, H.A.; Yun, J.W. Proteome analysis for 3T3-L1 adipocyte differentiation. *J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2008**, *18*, 1895–1902.

34. Verstraeten, V.L.R.M.; Renes, J.; Ramaekers, F.C.S.; Kamps, M.; Kuipers, H.J.; Verheyen, F.; Wabitsch, M.; Steijlen, P.M.; van Steensel, M.A.M.; Broers, J.L.V. Reorganization of the nuclear lamina and cytoskeleton in adipogenesis. *Histochem. Cell Biol.* **2011**, *135*, 251–261.

35. Kanzaki, M.; Watson, R.T.; Hou, J.C.; Stamnes, M.; Saltiel, A.R.; Pessin, J.E. Small GTP-binding protein TC10 differentially regulates two distinct populations of filamentous actin in 3T3L1 adipocytes. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **2002**, *13*, 2334–2346.

36. Saladin, R.; Fajas, L.; Dana, S.; Halvorsen, Y.D.; Auwerx, J.; Briggs, M. Differential regulation of peroxisome proliferator activator receptor gamma 1 (PPAR gamma 1) and PPAR gamma 2 messenger RNA expression in the early stages of adipogenesis. *Cell Growth Differ.* **1999**, *10*, 43–48.

37. Zhang, L.; Paddon, C.; Lewis, M.D.; Grennan-Jones, F.; Gsa, M.L. Signalling suppresses PPARg2 generation and inhibits 3T3L1 adipogenesis. *J. Endocrinol.* **2009**, *202*, 207–215.

38. Tan, N.S.; Shaw, N.S.; Vinckenbosch, N.; Liu, P.; Yasmin, R.; Desvergne, B.; Wahli, W.; Noy, N. Selective Cooperation between Fatty Acid Binding Proteins and Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptors in Regulating Transcription. *Mol. Cell Biol.* **2002**, *22*, 5114–5127.

39. Lawrence, J.W.; Kroll, D.J.; Eacho, P.I. Ligand-dependent interaction of hepatic fatty acid-binding protein with the nucleus. *J. Lipid Res.* **2000**, *41*, 1390–1401.

40. Clarke, C.D. Regulation of fatty acid synthase gene expression: An approach for reducing fataccumulation. *J. Anim. Sci.* **1993**, *71*, 1957–1965.
41. Prusty, D.; Park, B.H.; Davis, K.E.; Farmer, S.R. Activation of MEK/ERK Signaling Promotes Adipogenesis by Enhancing Peroxisome Proliferator-activated Receptor γ (PPARγ) and C/EBPα Gene Expression during the Differentiation of 3T3-L1 Preadipocytes. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2002**, *277*, 46226–46232.

42. Fernyhough, M.E.; Vierck, J.L.; Hausman, G.J.; Mir, P.S.; Okine, E.K.; Dodson, M.V. Primary adipocyte culture: Adipocyte purification methods may lead to a new understanding of adipose tissue growth and development. *Cytotechnology*. **2005**, *46*, 163–172.

43. Madonna, R.; Geng, Y.J.; De Caterina, R. Adipose tissue-derived stem cells. Characterization and potential for cardiovascular repair. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* **2009**, *29*, 1723–1729.

44. Francis, M.P.; Sachs, P.C.; Elmore, L.W.; Holt, S.E. Isolating adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells from lipoaspirate blood and saline fraction. *Landers* **2009**, *6*, 11–14.

45. Bustin, S.A.; Benes, V.; Nolan, T.; Pfaffl, M.W. Quantitative real-time RT-PCR—A perspective. *J. Mol. Endocrinol.* **2005**, *34*, 597–601.

© 2012 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).