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**Abstract**

In the recent years, the keen interest has been increased towards biological control-based management practices using entomopathogenic fungi (EPF) for the control of many economically important insects. In this management practices, selection of right strains of EPF is an important criteria to control the target pests in effective manner. In this context, this research work was formulated to find out environmentally effective strains of EPF in the soils of costal districts of Andhra Pradesh. Out of 135 soil samples collected, 8 soil samples yielded 8 strains of *Metarhizium anisopliae*. Among all 8 *M. anisopliae* isolates, the least median lethal concentration (LC50) for 2nd instar of the tobacco caterpillar, *Spodoptera litura* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) was 1.24 × 10^5 spores ml⁻¹ in M-7, followed by 1.72 × 10^5 spores ml⁻¹ in M-5 and 1.86 × 10^5 spores ml⁻¹ in M-4 in an increasing order. Likewise, the median lethal time (LT50) values of different isolates of EPF against 2nd instar of *S. litura* were calculated for a uniform highest dose of 1 × 10^9 spores ml⁻¹. Among all 8 *M. anisopliae* isolates, the lowest LT50 was observed as 186.44 hours post infection (hpi) with M-5 and 189.34 hpi with M-7.
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**Background**

Soil is an important reservoir for entomopathogenic fungi (EPF) as it protects them from degradation by UV light. The important EPF were found in the order of deuteromycetes and entomophthorales. Fungal agents are the most potential group of bio control agents against insect pests as they infect their host through the cuticle. They have wide insect host ranges, widely distributed (Rohrlich et al., 2018), and the potential candidate to regulate insect pest populations is well established in the tropics (Maniania, 2002) and temperate environments (Meyling and Eilenberg, 2007). EPF-based biopesticides show potential strategy for insect pest management to combat the insecticide-related problems. In nature, many of the EPF species have strains, which having different survival ability and virulence. The genera such as *Entomophthora*, *Beauveria*, *Metarhizium*, or *Aspergillus* are most commonly reported in nature (Mugonza et al., 2020). The EPF, *Metarhizium anisopliae* (Metchnikoff) Sorokin has been isolated from 200 insects’ species including the orders, Lepidoptera, Coleoptera, Orthoptera, and Hemiptera. The genetic diversity has been reported in *M. anisopliae* and *Beauveria bassiana* (Sevim et al., 2012), and their virulence influences to various hosts, environmental conditions, conidial survival, and competitive saprophytic ability can profoundly influence their...
virulence. The strains can be differentiated by their levels of proteases, chitinases, and lipases (Varela and Morales, 1996).

*Spodoptera litura* (Fabricius) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), commonly known as tobacco caterpillar, is one of the most destructive insect pests of cauliflower, groundnut, cotton, tomato, cabbage, and other cruciferous crops (Anand and Tiwary, 2009). Hence, for combating this pest, one such alternative way is the usage of microbial pesticides with EPF which have key role in sustainable pest management program. Due to the development of resistance to insecticides and concerns over the deleterious effects of insecticides on environmental and human safety, exploring and developing microbial control agents for use in integrated control of insect pests have provided an impetus need (Inglis et al., 2001). Use of entomopathogens as biocontrol agents have several advantages compared with conventional insecticides with respect to the low cost, high efficiency, safety to beneficial organisms, reduction of residues in environment, and increased biodiversity in human-managed ecosystems (Zimmerman, 1986). Control of insect pests by using local isolates of EPF is an effective method to combat insecticide resistance and resurgence and these local isolates also have more chances of more survival and persistence with better adaptability to local climatic conditions. The success of insect control using EPF is based on correct selection of strain which have adapted to climatic conditions. In Andhra Pradesh, the alien strains EPF were used for insect control. So, that the failure of EPF occurs.

In this context, the present research was formulated to identify efficient strains of *Metarhizium anisopliae* from soil and their pathogenicity against *S. litura* under laboratory conditions.

**Material and methods**

**Isolation of entomopathogenic fungi from soil**

The soil was sampled from diverse habitats such as agricultural land, forest land, and barren/grass land from different locations of North Coastal, Mid Coastal, and South Coastal districts of Andhra Pradesh, India. Totally, 135 soil samples were collected at 9 districts. Four soil samples from each field were taken at 10 cm deep from different selected/representative areas of the Coastal Andhra Pradesh, India. EPF were isolated using the greater wax moth “Galleria bait method” (Zimmerman, 1986). Before exposing to *G. mellonella* for fungal isolation, soil samples were sieved and debris were removed. The fine particles of soil were collected and sprinkled with water to create favorable condition for fungus. Approximately, 80 g soil was weighed and transferred to small sterile plastic disposable containers. Five larvae of *G. mellonella* of about final instar larvae were released into each container and incubated for approximately 14 days under laboratory conditions. The larvae were examined daily from 3rd day after inoculation. Diseased and moribund or mummified larvae were retrieved from the container for isolation of the fungi. The soil particles, found attached to the infected cadavers were removed, and cadavers were washed by 2% sodium hypochloride (NaOCl) and serially washed with distilled water. Then, these cadavers were transferred to sterile Petri plates and incubated for 3–4 days on moist filter paper for sporulation of fungi in B.O.D. incubator at 25 ± 2 °C with 70–80% R.H. The infected cadavers, which having mycelia growth, were surface sterilized by 2% sodium hypochloride (NaOCl) solution for 2 min and serially washed with sterile distilled water for 3 times and then was placed over a sterilized blotter paper for removing residual water. The surface sterilized cadavers were then transferred to Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) medium. These plates were incubated at 25 ± 2 °C in B.O.D. incubator with 70–80% R.H.; after that the observations on fungal growth was examined daily. The fungi were purified by the single hyphal tip method of Rangaswami, 1971.

**Bio-efficacy of the tested pathogens**

*S. litura* was used for testing the pathogenicity of isolated EPF. *S. litura* was reared on natural host castor under laboratory conditions. The 2nd instar larvae of *S. litura* were immersed individually for 30 s in 5 different concentrations (1 × 10^4, 1 × 10^5, 1 × 10^6, 1 × 10^7, and 1 × 10^8 conidia ml^-1) of fungal spore suspensions of isolated EPF prepared by a serial dilution method (Asi et al., 2013). In control, the larvae were treated only by 0.02 % Tween 80 mixed with water. In order to remove the excess moisture, the treated larvae were allowed to crawl freely on a tissue paper in a Petri dish. After air drying, the treated larvae were fed with fresh and clean castor leaves in the laboratory (27 ± 2 °C and 60–70% RH). Leaves were washed twice with water and air dried for 15 min. At 24 h interval, the leaves were periodically replaced with fresh ones. The dead larvae were incubated on moist filter paper for confirmation of EPF sporulation. Dead larvae were removed daily from the Petri plates. Thirty larvae/treatment with 3 replications were maintained in each experiment. The data on larval mortalities were recorded daily for 14 days after treatment (DAT). Mortality was recorded in the control category also for Abbott’s correction (Abbott, 1925). The mortality values after Abbott’s correction formula were only subjected to statistical analysis.

**Statistical analysis**

The LC_{50} and LT_{50} values were derived from logarithmic calculations (Finney, 1984) using the multiple linear program. The data was analyzed by probit analysis using analysis of variance (ANOVA) technique (Gomez and Gomez, 1984) using the SPSS software.
Table 1 Fungal codes, districts, and habitats of Coastal Andhra Pradesh, India

| Fungal code | District         | Habitat       |
|-------------|-----------------|---------------|
| M-1         | Srikakulam      | Orchard land  |
| M-2         | Vizianagaram    | Agricultural land |
| M-3         | Visakhapatnam   | Agricultural land |
| M-4         | Vizianagaram    | Agricultural land |
| M-5         | Krishna         | Barren land   |
| M-6         | East Godavari   | Agricultural land |
| M-7         | Visanagaram     | Agricultural land |
| M-8         | Nellore         | Barren land   |

Table 2 Spore concentration mortality response of S. litura to different fungal isolates of M. anisopliae

| Fungal isolates | Heterogeneity (χ²) | Regression equation | LC₅₀ (spores ml⁻¹) | Fiducial limit          |
|-----------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| M-1             | 1.236              | y = 0.322x + 2.991  | 1.63 x 10⁵         | 0.35 x 10⁵ - 7.45 x 10⁶|
| M-2             | 0.949              | y = 0.289x + 3.319  | 6.17 x 10⁵         | 0.11 x 10⁵ - 3.36 x 10⁶|
| M-3             | 2.237              | y = 0.439x + 2.169  | 2.99 x 10⁵         | 0.93 x 10⁵ - 9.54 x 10⁵|
| M-4             | 0.979              | y = 0.281x + 3.517  | 1.86 x 10⁵         | 0.27 x 10⁵ - 3.34 x 10⁵|
| M-5             | 1.698              | y = 0.374x + 3.045  | 1.72 x 10⁵         | 0.40 x 10⁵ - 7.24 x 10⁵|
| M-6             | 0.575              | y = 0.328x + 3.047  | 8.41 x 10⁵         | 0.19 x 10⁵ - 9.40 x 10⁵|
| M-7             | 0.338              | y = 0.399x + 2.967  | 1.24 x 10⁵         | 0.29 x 10⁵ - 5.91 x 10⁵|
| M-8             | 0.775              | y = 0.387x + 2.462  | 3.45 x 10⁶         | 0.90 x 10⁶ - 6.31 x 10⁶|

Results and discussion

Among 135 soil samples collected, 8 isolates of green muscardine fungus, Metarhizium anisopliae, were isolated. The 8 fungal isolates were designated as M-1, M-2, M-3, M-4, M-5, M-6, M-7 and M-8 (Table 1). M. anisopliae showed a significant occurrence in majority of the soil samples. Mostly, the EPF were isolated from agricultural land, followed by barren land in the order of occurrence of fungi in the soil, and orchard/forest land occupied the last rank in the sequence. Identification of EPF was undertaken depending upon colony morphology, size, and shape of conidia. The colony of M. anisopliae was white in color during initial stage and then changed into dark green during sporulation. The conidio phores were in compact patches. The individual conidio phores were broadly branched, densely intertwined, and conidigenous cells with their rounded to conical apices which arranged in dense hymenium. Conidia were aseptate, cylindrical, or ovoid forming chains usually aggregated into prismatic or a solid mass of parallel chains. Identity of the isolates of different EPF was also confirmed by the National Bureau of Agricultural Insect Resources (NBAIR), Bengaluru, India. Several authors reported that M. anisopliae is dominant in the soil from cultivated fields; however, it was rarely found from natural habitats (Meyling et al., 2011). According to Sun (2008), it is the most tolerant species to agricultural interventions, such as plowing and usage of pesticides. It was also found to be tolerant to periodic absence of potential host in the environment. M. anisopliae was more common in soils in northern countries with more humid and cooler climates such as Norway (Klingen et al., 2002), Switzerland (Keller et al., 2003), and Pacific Northwest in the USA (Bruck, 2004). It has also been observed that the conidia of M. anisopliae can persist longer without repeated infection of hosts than B. bassiana (Sun, 2008), whereas the persistence of B. bassiana in the same heavily cultivated soils was found to be low due to lack of a suitable host species and the same hypothesis was supported by Klingen et al. (2002), who demonstrated that EPF occurred more commonly in soils from organically managed arable fields than with conventional ones, in which synthetic insecticides had greatly reduced the availability of suitable hosts. Apart from their effect on insect hosts, pesticides had deleterious effects on the EPF in the soil, although results from laboratory experiments and field conditions might differ (Clifton et al., 2015). Several studies suggested that some fungal species were more tolerant to pesticides than others, and M. anisopliae was considered to be more tolerant to pesticides than B. bassiana, which could also explain why the occurrence of M. anisopliae is more frequent in cultivated habitats. Similar findings along with a low competitive ability in B. bassiana (Mahot et al., 2019) could account for the greater frequency of occurrence of M. anisopliae in cultivated soils in the present investigation. Sampling of soils in the present study was conducted during the period when the environmental temperature ranged between 25 to 30 °C, and thus, the occurrence of M. anisopliae was more from different samples. This result was supported by Pietraszczyk et al. (2013) in which M. anisopliae was isolated at the environmental temperature of 25 °C, whereas B. bassiana and I. fumosoroseus were isolated more at the environmental temperature of 20 °C from Polish soils, which was also proved in laboratory reared conditions by live bait technique at different environmental temperatures.

The parameters such as organic carbon content, organic matter content, and soil texture were highly influencing the occurrence of EPF in the soil samples.
(Uzman et al., 2019 and Moloinyane et al., 2020) whereas the pH and EC of the soil samples did not show any significant impact on the occurrence of EPF (Karthick et al., 2013). This might be because of higher cation exchange capacities of the soil with greater organic matter, which are responsible for enhanced adsorption of fungal conidia. Soil samples with greater organic matter support greater diversity and density of arthropod hosts on which the fungi can multiply or rely for their metabolism (Inglis et al., 2001; Klingen and Haukeland, 2006; Sularno et al., 2019). The effects of soil factors (organic matter, clay, sand, silt content, and pH) and their geographical location (latitude, longitude and altitude) on the natural occurrence of entomopathogenic fungi have been earlier reported in various studies (Roberts and Leger, 2004; Karthick et al. 2013) wherein, it was reported that the frequency of occurrence was more in soils containing high organic matter and total nitrogen content, whereas, soil pH and geographical distribution did not influence the occurrence.

Among all 8 *M. anisopliae* isolates, the least median lethal concentration (*LC*$_{50}$) for 2nd instar of *S. litura* was $1.24 \times 10^5$ spores ml$^{-1}$ in M-7 followed by $1.72 \times 10^5$ spores ml$^{-1}$ in M-5 and $1.86 \times 10^5$ spores ml$^{-1}$ in M-4 in an increasing order. Then the remaining isolates of *M. anisopliae* had shown their median lethal concentration at $3.45 \times 10^5$ spores ml$^{-1}$ in M-8, $2.99 \times 10^5$ spores ml$^{-1}$ in M-3, $8.41 \times 10^5$ spores ml$^{-1}$ in M-6, $6.17 \times 10^5$ spores ml$^{-1}$ in M-2, and $1.63 \times 10^6$ spores ml$^{-1}$ in M-1. The values of $\chi^2$, regression equation, and fiducial limits corresponding to different *LC*$_{50}$ values of different isolates of *M. anisopliae* are presented in Table 3. The median lethal concentrations (*LC*$_{50}$) and median lethal times (*LT*$_{50}$) of EPF were determined by reference to 50% mortality of the 2nd instar *S. litura* larvae after dipping them in fungal spore suspension for few seconds (Asi et al., 2013). Second instar larvae of *S. litura* were sensitive to all dose-dependent EPF isolates used in the bioassay. At lower conidial concentrations, the mortality caused by each fungus was low. It increased with higher conidial concentration. Mortalities caused by the EPF also varied significantly at different conidial concentrations against 2nd instar larvae of the insect. Statistical analysis was done, using probit analysis. In the present investigation, the fungal pathogens showed variation in pathogenicity against *S. litura*. Among the 8 fungal isolates, the least *LC*$_{50}$ was $1.24 \times 10^5$ spores ml$^{-1}$ in M-7. These intraspecific variations observed in the present studies are in agreement with those reported earlier by Feng and Johnson, 1990 and Wang et al., 2019. When the concentration of fungal spores increased, the corresponding mortality was also increased. Virulence of EPF varied from species to species and strain to strain against *S. litura* (Dayakar and Kanaujia, 2003 and Lin et al., 2007).

### Table 3 Time Mortality response of *S. litura* to different fungal isolates of *M. anisopliae*

| Fungal isolates | Heterogeneity ($\chi^2$) | Regression equation | $LT_{50}$ (hpi)* at $1 \times 10^8$ spores ml$^{-1}$ | Fiducial limit |
|----------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------|
| M-1            | 20.16                    | $y = 1.432x + 0.942$ | 209.47                                        | 190.99–236.35 |
| M-2            | 14.84                    | $y = 1.726x + 0.442$ | 198.92                                        | 180.69–218.99 |
| M-3            | 15.85                    | $y = 1.741x + 0.415$ | 195.29                                        | 177.81–214.48 |
| M-4            | 22.49                    | $y = 1.499x + 0.825$ | 196.50                                        | 178.37–216.48 |
| M-5            | 16.05                    | $y = 1.773x + 0.465$ | 186.44                                        | 169.94–204.54 |
| M-6            | 23.80                    | $y = 1.513x + 0.802$ | 198.51                                        | 180.14–218.77 |
| M-7            | 15.81                    | $y = 1.789x + 0.36$  | 189.34                                        | 172.47–207.86 |
| M-8            | 14.69                    | $y = 1.667x + 0.563$ | 201.41                                        | 182.15–222.70 |

(*hpi* - hours post infection)

### Conclusion

Through the attempt to isolate indigenous soil strains of EPF, the green muscardine fungus showed a thermo and pesticide tolerance. Among the 8 strains isolated from the soil, the M-7 and M-5 were the most suitable for formulation and field application. Further studies need to be conducted in aspect of formulation and field efficacy of these EPF in insect control.
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