Homotopic Action: A Pathway to Convergent Diagrammatic Theories
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The major obstacle preventing Feynman diagrammatic expansions from accurately solving many-fermion systems in strongly correlated regimes is the series slow convergence or divergence problem. Several techniques have been proposed to address this issue: series resummation by conformal mapping, changing the nature of the starting point of the expansion by shifted action tools, and applying the homotopy analysis method to the Dyson-Schwinger equation. They emerge as dissimilar mathematical procedures aimed at different aspects of the problem. The proposed homotopic action offers a universal and systematic framework for unifying the existing—and generating new—methods and ideas to formulate a physical system in terms of a convergent diagrammatic series. It eliminates the need for resummation, allows one to introduce effective interactions, enables a controlled ultraviolet regularization of continuous-space theories, and reduces the intrinsic polynomial complexity of the diagrammatic Monte Carlo method. We illustrate this approach by an application to the Hubbard model.

Methods of quantum field theory have underpinned remarkable breakthroughs in condensed matter physics for three quarters of a century [1]. They provide an arsenal of tools, based on Feynman diagrams, for systematic description of many-body correlations. Early on it was recognized that series of Feynman diagrams are not always meaningful if summed to high orders [2], but the versatility of constructing expansions around different starting points [3,4] and self-consistent renormalization of their building blocks for incorporating correlation effects in low orders [1,5,6] have rendered the diagrammatic technique a widespread language of theoretical physics. Recent explosive development of algorithms for numeric summation of the series using stochastic sampling, the so-called diagrammatic Monte Carlo (DiagMC) approach [3,7,8,11,27], has opened a new pathway to solving strongly correlated systems with high and a priori controlled accuracy. The role of controlling and improving the series properties has become key for reaching this goal.

It was found, in particular, that convergence of the self-consistently renormalized (bold-line) technique [10] with diagram order does not yet guarantee that the result is correct [28]. On the other hand, a wide class of dressed diagrammatic expansions can be formulated as a Taylor series in the powers of a single parameter $\xi$, which has well-defined analytic properties free from misleading convergence [6]. In this formalism, where the expansion is based on the so-called shifted action, the arbitrary choice of the zeroth-order action was shown to improve convergence [5,7,8]. Furthermore, when a subset of strongly correlated degrees of freedom can be solved exactly, an expansion around this solution, accomplished, e.g., by diagrammatic extensions of the dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT) [29,33], often has superior convergence properties [19,25]. Whenever transforming the action does not yet yield a convergent series, a wealth of techniques, such as conformal mapping and numerous analytic continuation methods [5,16,22,23,34,35], allows us to reliably reconstruct the result behind the scenes by an a posteriori protocol. Even certain cases with zero convergence radius become tractable [34,35].

Nonetheless, formulating a many-body problem in terms of a convergent diagrammatic power series is important. It was demonstrated [36] that, when the series converge, the DiagMC approach circumvents the fundamental computational complexity of interacting fermions, known as the negative sign problem [37,38]. Since Feynman diagrams can be constructed directly in the thermodynamic limit, the only systematic error in the final answer comes from the truncation of the series at some large order $n$ and the truncation error drops exponentially with $n$ for a convergent series. Recent efficient algorithms based on summation of connected diagrams in terms of determinants [9,20,24,27] take exponential in $n$ time to evaluate the order-$n$ sum, which implies only polynomial scaling of the calculation time with the inverse of the desired error bound. Moreover, fast convergence of the series is essential for novel DiagMC methods that compute real-time dynamic properties using symbolic integration [39,42], where fewer terms of the series could be obtained in principle. More generally, having to deal with the problem of reconstructing the answer from divergent series has been a major drawback of diagrammatic approaches, requiring additional expertise and labor, and impeding proliferation of DiagMC methods for nonexpert users.

In this Letter, we show that the shifted-action tools, conformal mappings, and homotopy ideas can be used to design what we propose to call a “homotopic action,” $S_h$. The diagrammatic expansions based on this action produce series that converge automatically in cases when
conformal mapping in combination with the shifted action solves the problem, with guaranteed reduction of computational complexity and additional possibilities for further iterative refinements. We illustrate this idea by constructing a homotopic action for a prototypical fermionic system, the doped two-dimensional (2D) Hubbard model, in a challenging correlated regime where the standard diagrammatic expansion diverges. The guaranteed convergence of expansions based on Stratonovich transformation involving complex-number fields \( \varphi \) and the original action can be rewritten as (in the first place)

\[
S[\Psi, \varphi] = S_0[\Psi] + D_0[\varphi] + \sqrt{g} V_{\text{int}}[\Psi, \varphi].
\]  

At this point, the shifted action trick can be applied in both fermionic and bosonic channels

\[
\tilde{S}(\xi) = \tilde{S}_0[\Psi] + \tilde{D}_0[\varphi] + \Lambda[\Psi; \xi] + \Omega[\varphi; \xi] + \sqrt{g} V_{\text{int}}[\Psi, \varphi],
\]

and used to effectively change the nature of the interaction terms, order by order. The Taylor series in \( \xi \) for \( \Lambda \) and \( \Omega \) are chosen to be convergent in the unit circle of \( \xi \) (by construction, \( \Lambda(\xi = 0) = 0 \) and \( \Omega(\xi = 0) = 0 \) with only one condition to satisfy for an otherwise infinite set of arbitrary functions: \( \tilde{S}(\xi = 1) = S \).
Flexibility in designing shifted actions with a large number of non-linear in $\xi$ properties \[23, 35, 43\], or numerically, by matching the combinatorial series describing only nonlocal interactions are expected to be better behaved.

Beyond shifting, integrating out the original variables with the local action allows one to incorporate arbitrarily strong local correlations at the starting point of the expansion, as demonstrated by the dual fermion and boson theories \[21, 33\]. The dual diagrammatic series describing only nonlocal correlations are expected to be better behaved.

Regardless of how the effective action is transformed, the resulting series may still diverge. So far, the standard protocol for dealing with this problem has been to apply series resummation techniques. The most versatile and widely used one is based on conformal mapping, illustrated in Fig. 2. First, one identifies singularities in the complex plane of the expansion parameter, either analytically, using additional knowledge about system properties \[23, 33, 33\], or numerically, by matching the computed power series $\sum_k a_k \xi^k$ by some analytic expression $A(\xi)$ \[22, 44, 48\]: pole singularities are captured precisely by $A(\xi)$ that is a ratio of two polynomials, known as the Padé approximant \[44\]: branch cuts are described by $A(\xi)$ of a more general form, e.g., the Dlog-Padé \[45\], integral \[46\], or hypergeometric and Meijer-$G$ \[47, 48\] functions. Knowing the location of the singularity $\xi_*$ closest to the origin, one can transform the complex plane of $\xi$ by an analytic function $w = w(\xi)$, $w(0) = 0$, to a domain of the complex variable $w$ where the singularity is farther away from the origin than the image of $\xi = 1$, $|w(\xi_*)| > |w(1)|$. Then, upon expressing the inverse map $\xi(w)$ as

$$\xi = \sum_{k=1} f_k w^k,$$

the reexpansion of the original series,

$$\sum_j a_j \xi^j(w) \rightarrow \sum_k b_k w^k(\xi),$$

is convergent at $w(\xi) = 1$. More generally, the mathematical literature on the topic of series resummation is vast, making divergent series practically useful, provided a sufficient number of terms is known and singularities are reasonably well understood. The homotopic action allows us to incorporate the principles of resummation in the formulation of the physical problem itself.

**General homotopic action.** The standard definition of homotopy is a continuous transformation of one function into another. In the shifted action formalism described above, we aim at optimizing the diagrammatic expansion by selecting an appropriate starting action $S(\xi = 0)$ and its continuous transformation into the physical action $S = \tilde{S}(\xi = 1)$, similarly to the homotopy at the heart of the functional renormalization group (FRG) \[49, 51\], DMF²RG \[52\], and homotopy analysis \[53, 54\] methods. If we distance ourselves from the specifics of how various shifts are implemented, we recognize that a far more intuitive and transparent way to cast the attempted transformation of the action would be to write

$$S_h(w) = \tilde{S}_0 + \Lambda_h(w) + \tilde{S}_{\text{int}}(w),$$

where $\tilde{S}_0$ and $\Lambda_h$ are bilinear in all fields, the dependence of $\Lambda_h(w)$ and $\tilde{S}_{\text{int}}(w)$ on $w$ can be represented by the convergent Taylor series for $|w| \leq |w_*|$, cf. Eq. \[3\], and $S_h(w_*) = S$ for some $w_*$. There are no restrictions otherwise on the nature and number of terms contributing to $\Lambda_h(w)$, and $\tilde{S}_{\text{int}}(w)$. They may be “standard” counterterms based on bare or skeleton diagrams, symmetry breaking and restoring fields, as well as arbitrary new interaction terms introduced by the homotopic transformation of the following (or similar) form:

$$S_h(w) \rightarrow S_h(w) + w(w - w_*) S_{\text{eff}}.$$

Here $S_{\text{eff}}$ is chosen to capture the emerging physics of strong correlations already at the lowest orders of the expansion in $w$. Its form can be based on phenomenological considerations or explicit calculations in the framework of FRG \[49, 51\] or DMF²RG \[52\].

While it is hard to comprehend the ultimate potential of the homotopic action approach, it is easy to see that it will automatically reproduce the result of conformal mapping, i.e. the diagrammatic series based on $S_h$ will converge. Indeed, if the series based on the action $\tilde{S}(\xi)$ diverge, then Eq. \[4\] can be used to construct the homotopic action $S_h(w) = \tilde{S}^{\xi}(w)$, with $w_* = w(\xi = 1)$. This procedure results in complete reshuffling of counterterms and interaction terms between the orders in such a way that the expansion in the powers of $w$ is now convergent because it is precisely the series on the rhs of \[3\], as follows from trivial power counting. When this series is summed by DiagMC, the error bars on the final answer improve. The exploding in the limit of large $n$ original coefficients $a_n$ are combined into sign-cancelling and/or suppressed contributions to $b_n$. This leads to a reduction of the Monte Carlo variance, which is missing when the resummation \[5\] is applied after computing the

![FIG. 2: Under conformal mapping, singularities defining the convergence radius (dot) are moved farther away from the origin and the series for the point of interest (star) converge.](image-url)

$\xi = 1 \quad \text{Re} \xi \leftrightarrow \text{Im} \xi$
coefficients \( a_n \). This accuracy gain enables iterative improvement of the homotopic action \( S_h(w) \) itself, aimed at further increasing the precision of the solution: Having analyzed the singularity structure in the \( w \) plane, one could construct a subsequent conformal map \( w' = w'(w) \) to obtain the action \( S_h(w') = S_h(w(w')) \) with a faster-converging expansion, and so on.

On the practical side, there is no computational overhead in using \( S_h \) instead of \( \tilde{S} \) in DiagMC algorithms where the sum of all connected diagram topologies of a given order is performed deterministically using determinants and only the integration over the internal variables is done by Monte Carlo sampling \([1, 20, 22, 24, 27]\) (the CDet algorithm and its derivatives). When computing the integrand of order \( n \), these algorithms intrinsically evaluate contributions from all expansion orders up to \( n \).

Figure 3 illustrates the efficiency of the homotopic action approach within the CDet framework by its application to the 2D Hubbard model on the square lattice. The standard shifted action for the model \([1, 5, 7]\) reads

\[
\tilde{S} [\Psi, \xi] = \tilde{S}_0 [\Psi] + \Lambda [\Psi; \xi] + \xi U S_{\text{int}} [\Psi],
\]

\[
\tilde{S}_0 [\Psi] = \sum_{i\sigma} \int_0^1 d\tau \Psi_{i\sigma}(\tau) (\partial\tau - \mu + \alpha) \Psi_{i\sigma}(\tau)
- t \sum_{\langle i j \rangle \sigma} \int_0^1 d\tau \left( \Psi_{i\sigma}(\tau) \Psi_{j\sigma}(\tau) + c.c. \right),
\]

\[
\Lambda [\Psi; \xi] = -\xi \alpha \sum_{i\sigma} \int_0^1 d\tau \Psi_{i\sigma}(\tau) \Psi_{i\sigma}(\tau),
\]

\[
S_{\text{int}} [\Psi] = \sum_i \int_0^1 d\tau \Psi_{i\uparrow}(\tau) \Psi_{i\uparrow}(\tau) / 6 t - 7 / 2 (w(w'))^2
\]

Here, \( \Psi_{i\sigma}(\tau) \) represents the spin-\( \sigma \) Grassmann field at the imaginary-time \( \tau \) on the site \( i \); \( T \) is the temperature, \( \mu \) chemical potential, \( t \) nearest-neighbor hopping amplitude, \( U \) on-site repulsion, and \( \alpha \) is the arbitrary shift parameter. Despite the shift, diagrammatic expansions with this action are divergent, e.g., for \( T = 0.2t \), \( U = 7t \), \( \mu = 1.8959t \), \( \alpha = 2.5568 \) due to a singularity at \( \xi \sim 0.65 \). We construct the homotopic action by \( S_h(w) = \tilde{S} [\xi(w)] \), which generates a convergent expansion with \( \xi(w) = 12w/7(1 - w)^2 \) mapping the branch cut along the real axis from \( \xi = -3/7 \) to \(-\infty \) onto the unit circle \( |w| = 1 \).

Figure 3(a) presents the partial sum of the convergent series \( \sum_n b_n w^n \) for the total density with \( b_n \) generated by the homotopic action \( S_h \) contrasted to that of the divergent series \( \sum_n a_n \) produced by the original action \( \tilde{S} \). The solid circle is the result of extrapolation of the convergent series to infinite order using the Dlog-Padé method \([45]\). Note that, within the homotopic action framework, the Monte Carlo algorithm can directly sample the partial sum \( \sum_n b_n w^n \) instead of the coefficient \( b_n \) (see Supplementary Material \([55]\) for technical details).

When it comes to high-precision calculations, the homotopic action approach yields a significant efficiency gain as compared to the conventional conformal mapping method based on postprocessing of the original series [Fig. 3(b)]. The origin of this gain, as well as its sharp growth as a function of the inverse relative error, \( 1/\varepsilon \), within which the final result is obtained, is easy to understand. The gain is all about the way the higher-order diagrams—important for achieving small \( \varepsilon \)—are sampled. Instead of sampling diagrammatic contributions with large weights that nearly compensate in the final answer and leave one with large relative error bars, the cancellation of the sign-alternating terms from different orders is now enforced before sampling; see \([55]\) for more details.

**Anticollapse regularization.** Dyson’s reasoning \([2]\) for the zero convergence radius of perturbative expansions in continuous-space systems is directly linked to the ultraviolet (UV) behavior of attractive fermionic fields: For an action \([1]\), an observable cannot be analytic at \( g = 0 \) if
changing the sign of $g$ for $|g| \to 0$ leads to a collapse—an instability towards unlimited increase of particle density. In systems with hard momentum cutoff, e.g., lattice models, the expansion in the powers of coupling is expected (as supported by strong evidence) to have a finite convergence radius at $T > 0$. This observation leads to a natural homotopic procedure for constructing a theory with a controlled anticollapse UV regularization. The simplest trick (cf. infrared regularization in the FRG [14, 22]) is to modify the free-particle dispersion,

$$\varepsilon(k) \to \varepsilon(k) + \alpha(w_\ast - w)k^4 \quad (\alpha > 0).$$

At small $|w|$, the quartic term [12] prevents Dyson’s collapse, allowing one to explore analyticity in $w$ and extrapolation to the $w = w_\ast$ limit. A more advanced and general tool—a regularization of the interaction—is discussed in the Supplemental Material.

In conclusion, the paradigm of homotopic action $S_h(w)$, such that $S_h(w = 0)$ is harmonic and $S_h(w = w_\ast)$ is identical to the physical action, reveals a broad family of convergent quantum-field-theoretical expansions in the powers of a single (homotopy) parameter $w$. With an appropriately designed $S_h(w)$, one can naturally unify the shifted-action and resummation techniques, as was illustrated by a simple and yet nontrivial example. Further intriguing possibilities to explore in the future include, e.g., (i) ultraviolet (anticollapse) regularization of continuous-space theories, and (ii) the introduction in $S_h(w)$ of effective interactions that vanish both at $w = 0$ and $w = w_\ast$ but are otherwise arbitrary and chosen to capture the physics of the model already at the lowest orders of expansion, as, e.g., in approximate analytic theories. As the expansion progresses, the homotopic action accomplishes a seamless replacement of the effective-interaction contributions by those from the original bare interaction, thereby establishing control of accuracy in effective theories.
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