The Management of Wilms Tumor in West Africa: A Case Series Report from the Military Teaching Hospital of Cotonou
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Abstract

We report the results of our first management of a tiny cohort of 4 cases of Wilm’s tumor. The patients were 1 male aged 4.2 years and 3 females aged 3.3, 5.6 and 9.5 years. The mean age was 5.7 years. We performed an up-front nephrectomy in 3 patients. Surgery was not feasible in the 5.6 years old female who got lost to follow-up. Although adjuvant chemotherapy was indicated in all of the 3 operated patients, only the male patient could afford it. One female patient experienced pulmonary and brain metastases 16 months after surgery and died 42 months after surgery. On last follow-up, 2 patients in 3 (67%), 1 male and 1 female, were still surviving and disease-free at respectively 72 and 69 months, i.e. nearly 6 years after surgery.
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Introduction

The Wilm’s tumor (WT) also called nephroblastoma is rare but it is the most frequent renal tumor in the children [1]. Its treatment combines radical nephrectomy, chemotherapy and radiation therapy. The tumor is known in Benin: 16 cases were counted among 214 cases of various children’s urological pathologies collected in 10 years at the National Teaching Hospital of Cotonou [2]. We aim to evaluate the outcome of WT’s management in the Military Teaching Hospital of Cotonou.

Material and Method

WT-affected children underwent upfront nephrectomy through a sub-costal abdominal incision followed by adjuvant chemotherapy based on pathology. Radiation therapy is not yet available in our country.

Results

Four cases of WT were diagnosed and managed in our facility. The patients’ demographic characteristics and their tumors’ features are summarized on table 1. Images 1 and 2 respectively show the patient a tumor on CT scan and the surgically removed tumor from patient B. The patient B was male and the three others were females. The patient A had a tri-phasic tumor, the patient B had a bi-phasic tumor and the patient D had a mono-phasic tumor. No nephrogenic rest was detected in anyone of the three operated tumors. In the patient B, the tumor was ruptured at its lower pole with two nodules on the right flank abdominal wall but the peritoneum and the intra-peritoneal viscera were not invaded. In the patient C, the tumor could not be resected: we closed the right subcostal wound, opting for neo-adjuvant chemotherapy but we lost her to follow-up. We indicated adjuvant chemotherapy in each one of the three operated patients but only the patient B could afford it. The follow-up data of the three operated patients are available on table 2. After 72 and 69 months respectively, the patient B and D developed no metastasis and no local recurrence. In contrast, the patient A developed, 16 months after nephrectomy, a pulmonary metastasis (right lower lobe) and two brain metastases. She died in the 42nd post-surgical month.
Table-1: Demographic characteristics of the patients and histologic characteristics of the tumors

| Patients | Patient A | Patient B | Patient C | Patient D |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Age (mean = 5.63 years) | 3.25 | 4.17 | 5.58 | 9.5 |
| Sex (sex ratio = 1/4) | F* | M* | F | F |
| Symptoms | AM** | AM, pain | AM, Dyspnea | AM |
| Affected kidney | L* | R* | R | L |
| Mass weight (grams) | 1200 | 1399 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Tumor diameter (cm) | 12 | 19 | 20 | 16 |
| Tumor histology | | | | |
| Blastaema (%) | 35 | 90 | 0 | 0 |
| Epithelium (%) | 64 | 10 | 100 | 100 |
| Stroma (%) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Anaplasia (%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Capsule rupture | No | Yes | No | No |
| Nephrogenic rest | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Necrosis (%) | 33 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| Presence of vascular emboli | Yes | No | No | No |
| COG stage | I FH* | III FH | I FH | I FH |

* F = Female, M = Male, L = Left, R = Right, FH = Favorable Histology. - **AM = Abdominal Mass

Table-2: Patients post-nephrectomy management and follow-up data

| Patients | PATIENT A | PATIENT B | PATIENT D |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Adjuvant Chemotherapy | | | |
| Drugs | - | AVD* | - |
| Duration (weeks) | - | 26 | - |
| Follow-up | | | |
| Follow-up Duration (months) | 76 | 72 | 69 |
| Metastasis (site) | Lung & Brain | - | - |
| Metastasis-free survival (months) | 16 | 72 | 69 |
| Time from Surgery to Death (months) | 42 | - | - |

* AVD = Dactinomycin/Vincristin/Doxorubicin

DISCUSSION

We used upfront nephrectomy in order to bypass poverty which mainly alters access to therapy in our patients. There are two major ways to manage WT in the world. The SIOP (International Society of Pediatric Oncology) advocates pre-nephrectomy chemotherapy in patients aged 6 months or more whereas the COG/NWTSG (Children’s Oncology Group/National Wilms Tumor Study Group) advocates up-front nephrectomy followed by chemotherapy based on pathology. Both ways lead to similar outcomes: the survival rate is beyond 90% for localized WTs and more than 70% for metastatic WTs [3].

Patients A and D had benefited from surgery alone as they could not afford chemotherapy. Nephrectomy alone can achieve up to an 86.5% 2 years disease-free survival rate in children with less than 550g stage I favorable histology tumor [4-7]. But the case C shows that surgery is not always feasible in every
patient. Contra-indications to upfront nephrectomy are: tumor thrombus above hepatic veins, gross involvement of contiguous structures other than the adrenal gland, bilateral WT, extensive pulmonary compression from a massive tumor, metastases, risk of incomplete resection [8, 9].

The patients’ age ranges from 3.25 to 9.5 years. In the United States, WT’s incidence peaks between 2 and 3 years of age [10]. Only one in the four patients is male. The sex ratio in WT affected patients vary through race and ethnic groups [11, 12]. Nevertheless, the small size of the cohort does not allow a full and precise demographic comparison.

All four patients exhibit abdominal mass. Common symptoms of WT are abdominal mass and hematuria [13]. All four patients developed a unilateral tumor and no metachronous or contralateral tumor until their last follow-up exam. But bilateral or metachronous contralateral WTs may exist in some children [14-18]. Authors use nephron sparing surgery to avoid renal failure in patients with bilateral WT [19, 20].

Two in three patients remained alive and disease-free nearly 4yeras after surgery. That survival rate is lower than the one achieved in western countries [21] and lower than the 72% overall survival rate achieved by the Second French African Pediatric Oncology Group in sub-Saharan Africa [22]. Poverty is a major contributor to that situation. In fact, the patient B had the worst disease but obviously adjuvant chemotherapy helped him to survive much longer. For example, The Collaborative Wilms Tumor Africa Project has implemented an adapted treatment guideline to address the adverse impact of poverty on WT treatment outcome in Sub-Saharan Africa [23]. The patient B has developed a post-chemotherapy complication so far. Nevertheless, WT survivors may develop second malignancies (sarcoma, leukemia), a cardiac dysfunction, lung toxicity or musculoskeletal and soft tissue defects as adverse effects of chemotherapy or radiation therapy [24-26].

No nephrogenic rest was detected in any one of the 3 operated children. Nephrogenic rest is present in 40% of nephroblastomas and 90% of bilateral cases of nephroblastoma [27, 28]. Persistent blastema or nephrogenic rest is the precursor of Wilms tumor [29]. In fact, the development of the kidney involves the ureteric bud and the metanephric mesenchyme and blastema. The blastema, which generates the glomeruli and the renal tubules, disappears at 36 weeks of gestation. But it may persist in 1% of infants as a nephrogenic rest [27]. The presence of diffuse or multifocal nephrogenic rest is associated with a higher risk of bilateral WTs [27, 28].

A Wilms tumor may be a blastema, an epithelium, a stroma or it may be a mixture of variable proportions of those histologic types. Whatever the components of a nephroblastoma, anaplasia may be present and represents the COG unfavorable histology.

Interestingly, both the patients A and D had a COG favorable stage I disease, both of them received no adjuvant chemotherapy but they showed opposite follow-up profiles. The only known differences between the patients A and D were age and tumor size. Is it a clue that the tumor weight to patient weight ratio could be a prognostic factor? We don’t know.

Nevertheless, a 550grams cut-off in tumor weight can reliably discriminate poor prognosis diseases from better prognosis ones [4-7]. Other genetic factors might have triggered the prognostic discrepancy between patients A and D as the literature shows that 1q gain [30-33] or loss of heterogenicity in 1p and 16q [34] are associated with metastasis and death in COG favorable disease.

CONCLUSION

We achieved a 2 in 3 overall survival rate at 6 years in treating 3 of 4 cases of Wilm’s tumor. Early and more affordable access to clinical care can better that result.
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