The central nervous system (CNS) was previously thought to be the only organ system lacking lymphatic vessels to remove waste products from the interstitial space. Recently, based on the results from animal experiments, the glymphatic system was hypothesized. In this hypothesis, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) enters the periarterial spaces, enters the interstitial space of the brain parenchyma via aquaporin-4 (AQP4) channels in the astrocyte end feet, and then exits through the perivenous space, thereby clearing waste products. From the perivenous space, the interstitial fluid drains into the subarachnoid space and meningeal lymphatics of the parasagittal dura. It has been reported that the glymphatic system is particularly active during sleep. Impairment of glymphatic system function might be a cause of various neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer’s disease, normal pressure hydrocephalus, glaucoma, and others. Meningeal lymphatics regulate immunity in the CNS. Many researchers have attempted to visualize the function and structure of the glymphatic system and meningeal lymphatics in vivo using MR imaging. In this review, we aim to summarize these in vivo MR imaging studies and discuss the significance, current limitations, and future directions. We also discuss the significance of the perivenous cyst formation along the superior sagittal sinus, which is recently discovered in the downstream of the glymphatic system.
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Introduction

For years, it has been thought that the brain lacks lymphatic vessels like those found in other parts of the body. However more recently, a mechanism of brain waste clearance has been actively studied. Based on the results from animal studies, a hypothesis for the “glymphatic system” has been proposed. The movement of ventricular and subarachnoid cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) into the brain parenchyma was evaluated after infusion of fluorescent tracer into the lateral ventricle or cisterna magna. Based on in vivo two-photon microscopic imaging of small fluorescent tracers, Iliff et al. showed that CSF enters the parenchyma along the paravascular spaces that surround penetrating arteries and that brain interstitial fluid (ISF) is cleared along the paravenous drainage pathways. Animals lacking the water channel aquaporin-4 (AQP4) in astrocytes exhibit slowed CSF influx through this system and a ~70% reduction in interstitial solute clearance, suggesting that the bulk fluid flow between these anatomical influx and efflux routes is supported by astrocytic water transport.

In this system, CSF travels through the perivascular space around the arteries to the deeper brain regions, flowing into the brain parenchyma through AQP4 channels in the astrocytic end feet. The ISF within the brain parenchyma is flushed out of the perivascular space around the veins, thereby clearing the waste products. This is a brief description of the glymphatic system or para-vascular ISF pathway, which has the role of lymphatic vessels in the brain. The name “glymphatic” was coined from glia plus lymphatic.

Another hypothesis, the Intramural Peri-Arterial Drainage (iPAD) pathway, has been proposed for the elimination of ISF and waste products from the brain. In the iPAD pathway, the authors hypothesized that the tracers injected in the CSF entered...
the cerebral cortex along the pial-glial basement membranes as there are no perivascular "spaces" around cortical arteries. Then, they exited the brain along the smooth muscle cell basement membranes.6 There is a review which suggests that the iPAD pathway is associated with the issue of gadolinium deposition in brains with a presumably intact blood brain barrier.7 However, there is no MR imaging study to visualize this hypothesized iPAD pathway in vivo. Therefore, we will mainly focus on the glymphatic system hypothesis in this review.

In this review, we will describe the glymphatic system hypothesis and recent challenges to visualize glymphatic system function using MR imaging. The words “perivascular” and “paravascular” space sometimes have different meanings. “Perivascular” space is used to describe the potential space in the vascular wall,8 and “paravascular” space is used to describe the space around and outside the vessels.3 However, both words are sometimes used interchangeably to describe the space around and outside the vessel, particularly in the field of radiology. In this review, we use both terms to describe the space around and outside the vessels.

**Significance of the Glymphatic System**

The human brain utilizes 25% of the body’s total energy and generates an estimated 7g of potentially toxic protein waste daily.8 The paravascular CSF transport system in the brain, referred to as the glymphatic system, facilitates waste removal and is most active during sleep.3 Studies have shown that the clearance of soluble Aβ increased 2-fold during slow-wave sleep when compared to wakefulness, which was associated with an increase in the ISF volume.9 This glymphatic system hypothesis received considerable attention for two main reasons. The first is that animal studies have shown that this system is more active during sleep. The importance of sleep in the maintenance of biological functions was clarified by these studies showing increased activity of the glymphatic system during sleep.1,9 The second reason is that dysfunction of the glymphatic system has been associated with the accumulation of abnormal proteins in neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer’s disease, normal pressure hydrocephalus (NPH), glaucoma, and Parkinson’s disease.4,5,10–13

In addition, research on the glymphatic system has become more popular due to several other reasons. A short time after the glymphatic system was hypothesized, and lymphatic vessels within the meninges were discovered.14–16 The meningeal lymphatic vessels are located downstream of the glymphatic system. It is thought that waste products from the brain travel through the meningeal lymphatic vessels to reach the cervical lymph nodes.17 Another reason is the issue of brain accumulation of gadolinium-based contrast agents (GBCAs) used for MR imaging, which has become a hot topic of research.18–20 When this GBCA deposition in the brain was first discovered, it was not clear how the GBCA, which was thought to not cross the blood–brain barrier (BBB), entered the brain parenchyma and accumulated in the brain. A few years later, it was shown that intravenously administered GBCA can enter the CSF even in healthy subjects and can migrate into the perivascular space.21,22 It has long been known that intrathecally administered GBCA can penetrate into brain parenchyma, bypassing the BBB.23–27 Furthermore, a single intrathecal administration of a very small amount (i.e. less than 1 mL) of linearly structured GBCA resulted in deposition of gadolinium in the dentate nucleus and the globus pallidus, similar to that in patients who had received multiple regular doses of intravenously administered GBCA.28 In other words, once administered intravenously, GBCA permeates into the CSF via some unknown route. Next, the GBCA in CSF enters the brain parenchyma via the glymphatic system. While the unstable linearly structured GBCA stays in the brain for days to weeks, the GBCA is dechelated, and then the gadolinium is deposited in specific areas of the brain such as the dentate nucleus or the globus pallidus. This hypothesis is now widely accepted.29

As of September 23, 2020, a search on PubMed for the word “glymphatic” shows 508 results. However, approximately two-thirds of these articles were based on actual experiments or original data, and the rest are review articles. The concept of the glymphatic system is still a hypothesis and there are many counter arguments.30–33 Recently, the idea of “Neurofluids,” which includes the arterial, venous, CSF, and ISF systems, was proposed as a comprehensive concept for the fluid dynamics of the central nervous system (CNS). The rigid cranial cavity houses several space-competing material compartments: the brain parenchyma and the four extracellular fluids, namely arterial, venous, CSF, and ISF. Perturbing any of these fluid compartments can alter the brain dynamics, potentially increasing intracranial pressure, affecting perfusion, and hampering the clearance of metabolic waste.34–36

**Debates on Glymphatic System Hypothesis**

The main arguments against the glymphatic system hypothesis are as follows. Does convection really contribute to the movement of ISF in the brain, other than diffusion?37,38 If there is a convective contribution to ISF movement, the driving force is unknown and may be attributed to the pulsation of the arteries, the generation of CSF, or respiration.1,39–42 It is also debated whether the movement of ISF in the brain parenchyma is actually related to the excretion of waste products, even though the AQP4 channels only allow water to pass through.3,5,33

Despite the aforementioned arguments, many people believe in the existence of a glymphatic system or a similar waste excretion mechanism because it explains the results of many experiments and observations of the phenomena in clinical practice.30,31

**Challenges to Visualizing Glymphatic System Function by MR Imaging**

MRI visualization of the glymphatic system is important for elucidating the pathogenesis of many neurodegenerative
Intrathecal administration of GBCA

Intrathecal administration of GBCA is contraindicated in the package insert. In cases where a large amount of GBCA was accidentally administered into the intrathecal space, gadolinium-induced encephalopathy with unconsciousness and seizure could occur. Patients with gadolinium encephalopathy sometimes have to be kept in intensive care units (ICU) for days. Deaths have also been reported in cases where large doses of GBCA were accidentally administered intrathecally.4,5

Alternatively, intrathecal administration of a small dose of GBCA (0.5 mL to 1 mL) can be used to detect CSF leakage or to evaluate NPH in patients as clinical research.11,17,44-46 These studies reported that patients receiving small doses of intrathecal GBCA had few side effects. Some centers have safely administered small intrathecal doses of GBCA in 100 or more patients as clinical research.37,48

A study in eight healthy human adult volunteers quantified CSF transport kinetics and brain glymphatic distribution using MR imaging following lumbar intrathecal injection of GBCA.49 After intrathecal administration, the GBCA was observed in the basal cisterns by the first imaging time point (1.8 hrs), and GBCA in the intracranial CSF spaces peaked within 1–3 hrs and started to decrease by 7 hrs. In some regions of the brain parenchyma, such as the cerebral cortex and white matter, enhancement was still increasing at the time of the final MR imaging (11 hrs). In general, the GBCA distributed in the CSF spaces, superficial to the CNS parenchyma, the ventricular systems, and then the brain parenchyma (cortical followed by subcortical). The averaged images of the intracerebral gadolinium infiltration after intrathecal GBCA administration in these healthy subjects revealed that the amount and speed of contrast transport differed by brain regions.49

Many studies using intrathecal GBCA administration have been reported in patients with NPH. There is a significant difference in the intracranial kinetics of GBCA between NPH patients and controls.23,44,45,48 However, judging from the images in the reported papers, the differences in the degree and rate of penetration into the brain parenchyma are highly individualized both in healthy individuals and in patients.44 Therefore, the use of intrathecal administration of GBCA for a specific individual diagnosis of glymphatic system function requires further research and development.

Future small-dose intrathecal administrations of GBCAs under a variety of controlled conditions may allow for the assessment of glymphatic system function in individual patients if sufficient data can be accumulated in large numbers of healthy and diseased conditions to define the normal range. However, intrathecal administration of GBCA carries certain risks.24,26 It is unlikely that this test will be widely used as a screening tool for asymptomatic patients, and would be limited to cases that required a lumbar puncture for other purposes.

Methods using diffusion weighted images

Several studies have used diffusion tensor imaging to investigate the water movement along the perivascular spaces as an assessment of glymphatic system function.50,51 In the diffusion tensor analysis along the perivascular space (DTI-ALPS) method, which is based on the assumption that the perivascular ISF movement in the white matter near lateral ventricles is dominant along the parallelly aligned medullary veins.50 The diffusivity in the X, Y, and Z directions in slices at the level of the lateral ventricular body is first calculated. Then, the ALPS-index, which is calculated from the diffusivity in each direction of the projection and association fibers’ regions, is defined to estimate the diffusivity along the perivascular space of medullary veins.50 There was a significant correlation between Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) scores and ALPS-index in patients with Alzheimer’s disease.50 In patients with a NPH, the ALPS-index is reduced and has been shown to be different between normal subjects, patients with pseudo-NPH and patients with idiopathic NPH. The ALPS-index was reported to have a higher diagnostic performance than the Evans index.51 The DTI-ALPS does not require GBCA and uses clinically common diffusion tensor imaging data, which makes it easier to perform retrospective studies with previously acquired imaging data. However, it remains unclear whether the ALPS-index is completely unaffected by blood flow, and whether the movement of water along the perivascular space really reflects the function of the glymphatic system. Another limitation of DTI-ALPS is that the method can only evaluate a specific brain region.

In addition to DTI-ALPS, there has been another attempt to use diffusion-weighted images to evaluate glymphatic function. In animals, diffusion-weighted images with multiple b-factors have been used to evaluate the effect of suppression of the AQP4 channels in astrocyte end-feet. The results of this study showed that a marker of diffusion called the S-index was significantly reduced by the suppression of AQP4 function.52 Fundamentally, to evaluate the permeability of cell membrane with and without AQP4, diffusion time is important. An in vitro study showed that multi-b and multi-diffusion-time diffusion-weighted MR imaging on AQP4-expressing and -non-expressing cells demonstrated a clear difference between the signals from the two cell types.53

Perivascular fluid movement was assessed by diffusion tensor imaging with a long echo time to attenuate the signal from the surrounding arterial blood and brain tissue. A relatively lower b-value (i.e. 107 s/mm²) was also employed to focus the fluid in the perivascular space. Using this non-
invasive MRI method in healthy rats, it was shown how the CSF is driven into the brain when the blood vessels nearby expand and contract. As the vessels pulsate with each heart-beat, there was a 300% increase in the movement of fluid into the perivascular space.54

Additionally, a newly developed phase contrast MR imaging using stimulated echo preparation was employed to observe very slow flow, which has been hampered previously by diffusion weighting and phase error from gradient hardware imperfections. Flows as slow as 1 \( \mu \)m/s were measured and validated using controlled water flow through a pipe at 4.7T.55

**Method using ultra-long echo time arterial spin labelling (ASL)**

A method for non-invasive measurement of blood–CSF barrier function using tracer-free MRI to quantify rates of water delivery from arterial blood to ventricular CSF has been developed.56 This method recorded a 36% decrease in blood–CSF barrier function in aged mice, compared to a 13% decrease in parenchymal blood flow.56 However, this technique is still preliminary and analysis is limited to the CSF in the vicinity of the choroid plexus.

**Observation of the movement of cerebrospinal fluid/interstitial fluid in the subarachnoid space**

Capturing the movement of water in brain parenchyma is very challenging due to the need to perform very complex modeling. However, the movement of CSF in the subarachnoid space is relatively easy to observe. In animal experiments at 9.4T, diffusion tensor imaging using an ultra-long echo time and a low b-value was employed to measure the movement of water in the perivascular space of the rat brain as a part of glymphatic pathway.54 However, in this report, the analysis of water movement along the perivascular space was limited to the vicinity of the circle of Willis, and further development is awaited.

Some attempts have been made to examine the function of the glymphatic system from the movement of CSF in humans using time-resolved 3D phase contrast imaging.57 3D dynamic improved motion-sensitized driven-equilibrium steady-state free precession (3D dynamic iMSDE SSFP) imaging.58 and low-b factor diffusion weighted imaging.59 However, the association of the macroscopic movement of CSF with the microscopic movement of water by the glymphatic system within the brain parenchyma is still not well understood.

Investigation of CSF movement by separating the effects of cardiac pulsation and respiration has been proposed. Although the effect of cardiac pulsation has a large influence on velocity, the effect of respiration seems to have a larger effect on the movement than that of cardiac pulsation.60

With ultra-fast MR encephalography, three physiological mechanisms affecting cerebral CSF pulsations, cardiac, respiratory, and very low frequency pulsations, were detected in the human brain. The MR encephalography is based on the signal observation of the brain in the ultra-fast gradient echo images. Cardiac pulsations induce a negative MR encephalography signal change in the peri-arterial regions, which extends centrifugally and covers the brain in \( \pm 1 \) Hz cycles. Respiratory \( \pm 0.3 \) Hz pulsations are centripetal periodical pulses that occur dominantly in the perivenous areas. The third type of pulsation had very low frequency (0.001–0.023 Hz and 0.023–0.73 Hz) waves, which propagated in unique spatiotemporal patterns. Using this ultra-fast MR encephalography, the subjects were scanned for 10 mins, and 5822-3D brain volumes were obtained after removal of the initial T1-saturation effects. This method was 20–25 times faster than conventional functional MRI (fMRI). Ultra-fast MR encephalography could potentially facilitate new discoveries of cerebral fluid dynamics.42

Time-spatial labeling inversion pulse (Time-SLIP) technique has been utilized to evaluate the CSF flow dynamics.61–63 By employing the respiration-induced Time-SLIP method, they were able to visualize CSF movement induced by respiratory excursions. CSF moved cephalad (16.4 ± 7.7 mm) during deep inhalation and caudad (11.6 ± 3.0 mm) during deep exhalation in the preoptic cisternal area.62

**Use of sleep and/or anesthesia to alter MR contrast**

CSF can move along the perivascular spaces in the brain and spinal cord to distribute nutrients and clear waste.1,3 These processes are supported by AQP4 water channels, which are highly expressed in the vascular end feet of astrocytes.64 CSF influx into the brain is higher in animals during sleep than in awake conditions.9 Previous studies have shown that anesthesia emulates sleep in that fluorescent CSF tracer influx, and the rate of radiolabeled amyloid beta efflux from the brain was comparable to that in naturally sleeping animals.40,65

Some studies have attempted to distinguish the changes in the ISF space during sleep from the changes in CSF, blood flow, and ISF movement. Analysis of fMRI data acquired at high temporal resolution found a slow 20-second cycle of CSF oscillation, which was synchronous with sleep. There are also changes in blood flow in the brain parenchyma that are synchronous with the changes in the CSF.66 Other research measured the effect of sleep on the change on the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC). Sleep, compared to wakefulness, was associated with increases in the slow-ADC in the cerebellum and the left temporal pole, and with decreases in the fast-ADC in the thalamus, insula, parahippocampus, and striatal regions. In addition, the number of sleep arousals was inversely associated with the ADC changes. The CSF volume was increased during sleep and was associated with sleep-induced changes in the ADC in the
cerebellum. There were no differences in the ADC during wakefulness following sleep deprivation compared to fully rested wakefulness. Although they hypothesized an increased ADC with sleep, their findings uncovered both increases in the slow ADC (mostly in the cerebellum), as well as decreases in the fast ADC, which could reflect the distinct biological significance of fast-versus slow-ADC values in relation to sleep. These findings suggest a more complex sleep-related glialmphatic function in the human brain.

In animals, linearly structured GBCA was administered intravenously and gadolinium deposition in the brain parenchyma at 5-week post-administration was studied with respect to the time of administration (i.e. morning or late afternoon) and the duration of anesthesia (i.e. short or long) at the time of administration. The results showed that, depending on the time of administration and the duration of anesthesia, the amount of the gadolinium deposition in the brain was affected. Thus, the deposition of gadolinium in the brain appears to be related to sleep status at the time of administration of the GBCA, or, alternatively, the function of the glialmphatic system.

Analyses using changes in T1 values
It has been suggested that the integral result of the action of the glialmphatic system could be estimated by observing the change in T1 values in the white matter perivascular space. These estimates would be dependent on the protein concentration of the ISF and would not require the use of GBCA (Fig. 1). Although the aforementioned diffusion-weighted images could be used to study the instantaneous movement of water, it may not be sufficient to evaluate the glialmphatic system with its diurnal variability, from a single time measurement.

Analyses using changes in T2 values
From multi-echo images, it is possible to decompose the decaying signal into separate T2 components. By adjusting the color table to create a color map, it is possible to visualize the extracellular water distribution, as well as their associated T2 values. Using this methodology, investigators found that the subarachnoid CSF has long T2 values, but there were short T2 components at the brain surface, the surface of dura, and around the blood vessels in the subarachnoid space, etc. They also found that in the brain parenchyma, short T2 components (longer than intracellular component but shorter than that in the CSF) exist in the white matter and choroid plexus. These may be associated with the distribution of macromolecules (waste materials) in the brain. This non-invasive method does not utilize GBCA, and might be useful to investigate pathophysiology of iNPH.
The cause of enlarged CSF spaces is thought to be related to increased osmotic pressure in the CSF, and T2 is considered to be correlated with osmotic pressure.\textsuperscript{70}

\textbf{Chemical exchange saturation transfer (CEST)}

CEST MRI was utilized to study changes in the CEST signal intensity of the brain after deep cervical lymph node ligation in animals and was correlated with behavior. In the pilot \textit{in vivo} study, they showed that the CEST effect of the lymph fluid is significantly greater than those of the blood, CSF, or distilled water. The results of the \textit{in vivo} study showed that the intensity of CEST effect was significantly higher in the ipsilateral than in the contralateral hippocampus. The correlation between the signal abnormality and the behavioral score was significant. These results supported the use of CEST MRI as a tool to access the brain’s glymphatic system and to predict glymphatic system dysfunction.\textsuperscript{71}

\textbf{\textsuperscript{17}O-labeled water}

The \textsuperscript{17}O isotope is the only stable isotope of oxygen that can produce an MRI signal. Direct detection of \textsuperscript{17}O requires specific hardware. Indirect method, which utilizes the T2 shortening effect by \textsuperscript{17}O, is easier to be implemented in clinical scanners. The intravenous administration of \textit{20\% \textsuperscript{17}O-labeled water (1 mL/Kg)} allowed the observation of the tracer distribution in the brain parenchyma, choroid plexus, ventricles, and subarachnoid space. Although \textsuperscript{17}O-labeled water is quite precious and expensive now, it might be a promising tracer in the future.\textsuperscript{72}

\textbf{Intravenous administration of GBCA}

In healthy animals and humans, GBCA migrates into the CSF after intravenous administration, but the concentration of GBCA in the CSF is too low to be detected routinely using T1-weighted imaging.\textsuperscript{22,73–75} Pulse sequences for endolymphatic hydros assessment are used to detect very low concentrations of GBCA in fluid on MR images.\textsuperscript{76,77} These pulse sequences are used for imaging of endolymphatic hydrops at 4 hrs after the intravenous administration of a single dose of GBCA. The evaluation of endolymphatic hydrops is now possible clinically not only at 3T\textsuperscript{78} but also at 1.5T.\textsuperscript{79}

Recently, to further increase the sensitivity of GBCA at low concentrations in fluid, a combination of longer repetition times and increased refocusing flip angles has been used.\textsuperscript{80–82} These methods are called improved hybrid of the reversed image of the positive endolymph signal and native image of positive perilymph signal (HYDROPS) imaging and improved 3D-real IR imaging. The most recent report shows that MR imaging for the evaluation of endolymphatic hydrops with a denoising technique using artificial intelligence reconstruction increased the contrast to noise ratio by more than a 4-fold.\textsuperscript{83} This technique can also be applied for the evaluation of the glymphatic system in the future.

With the intravenous method, transfer of GBCA to the CSF can be detected by sensitive MR fingerprinting and 3D-real IR imaging.\textsuperscript{84} MR fingerprinting and 3D-real IR imaging are not sensitive enough to see the T1 shortening of brain parenchyma associated with the transfer of very small amounts of GBCA administered intravenously. 3D-real IR imaging is more sensitive than MR fingerprinting to extremely low concentrations of GBCA in fluid.\textsuperscript{85}

The longitudinal assessment of 3D-real IR images after the intravenous administration of GBCA can help analyze the morphological microstructure of the downstream region and understand the relationship with glymphatic system function. Furthermore, the degree of leakage of GBCA from the subpial space around the cortical veins to the surrounding subarachnoid space observed after intravenous administration of GBCA was shown to be significantly accelerated by aging\textsuperscript{86,87} (Fig. 2). In addition, continuity between the subpial space around the cortical veins and the meningeal lymphatic vessels on both sides of the superior sagittal sinus has been suggested on MR images.\textsuperscript{88} The subpial space around the cortical veins is enhanced 5–10 mins after intravenous administration of GBCA in humans. This subpial space around the cortical veins in humans seemed to be continuous from the perivenous space in the brain and corresponded to images obtained in animals using two-photon imaging.\textsuperscript{89} In this animal study, it was shown that the size of the perivascular space around the cortical veins was dynamically changed by a stimulation, which simulated a migraine aura.

Cyst formation in contact with the subpial space around cortical veins near the superior sagittal sinus is often seen on MR images in adult humans. A correlation between the number and size of the cysts and the degree of leakage of GBCA from the subpial space around the cortical veins to the surrounding subarachnoid space has been reported\textsuperscript{90} (Figs. 2 and 3). Considering these findings, it was suggested that the flow of ISF in the subpial space around the cortical veins is obstructed in the cases with prominent leakage of GBCA into the subarachnoid space around the cortical veins. Cysts could be a cause or result of such obstruction. The findings of cysts and prominent leakage of GBCA into the peri-cortical venous areas may be a future imaging biomarker of glymphatic function. Further investigations are warranted.

\textbf{Meningeal Lymphatics and the Parasagittal Dura}

\textbf{Meningeal lymphatics}

The discovery of the meningeal lymphatic vessels, which were previously thought to be absent from the CNS, has attracted a great deal of research interest.\textsuperscript{14,15} The recent characterizations of the glymphatic and meningeal lymphatic systems in rodents and humans have facilitated the reevaluation of the anatomical routes for CSF–ISF flow and the
physiological role that these pathways play in CNS health. Some aspects of the glymphatic and meningeal lymphatic systems have been observed in humans. MRI scans after intrathecally administered contrast agents show that CSF flows along pathways that closely resemble the glymphatic system as outlined in rodents. 5 Unlike dorsal meningeal lymphatic vessels, basal meningeal lymphatic vessels have lymphatic valves and capillaries located adjacent to the subarachnoid space in mice. It was also shown that basal meningeal lymphatic vessels are hotspots for the clearance of CSF macromolecules and that both meningeal lymphatic vessels’ integrity and CSF drainage are impaired with aging. 91

Fig. 2 A 61-year-old man with a suspicion of endolymphatic hydrops. 3D-real inversion recovery (TR 15130/TE 549/TI 2700) images of the whole brain were obtained before (a), 5 mins (b), 4 hrs (c) and 24 hrs (d) after the IV-GBCA for the evaluation of endolymphatic hydrops. Perivenous enhancement appears at 5 mins after the IV-GBCA (b, arrows) and the enhancement spreads into the surrounding CSF space (c, arrows). The enhancement in the CSF space is markedly decreased at 24 hrs after the IV-GBCA (d, arrows). 3D-real IR images obtained before (e), 5 mins (f), 4 hrs (g) and 24 hrs (h) after the IV-GBCA at a more superior level are shown. A perivenous cyst (arrows) is visualized near the superior sagittal sinus. This cyst might be blocking the flow of the interstitial fluid in the peri-venous subpial space, or the cyst might have formed due to an obstruction of the subpial fluid flow by an unknown cause. CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; IV-GBCA, intravenous administration of a single dose of GBCA.
Visualization of meningeal lymphatic vessels in humans and non-human primates has been reported using MR imaging after intravenous GBCA administration. Contrast enhanced T2-FLAIR and black blood T1 weighted images visualized meningeal lymphatic vessels along the superior sagittal sinus. Through a combination of appropriately positioned saturation bands and time-of-flight angiography sequences, MRI can resolve the direction of the flow within the vessels without the use of exogenous contrast agents. In six healthy volunteers, the lymphatic flow went from posterior to anterior, counter to the direction of the venous flow in the superior sagittal sinus. This strongly suggests that a large proportion of the CNS lymphatic flow in humans is directed through the cribriform plate.

The recent discoveries of the brain’s glymphatic (glial–lymphatic) system and the meningeal lymphatic vessels have sparked considerable debate. It is important to note that several of the processes that guide the highly polarized fluid transport system still need to be defined. In particular, the mechanisms by which AQP4 channels support the transport of extracellular flow and the interlinkage of ISF clearance with meningeal and cervical lymphatic vessels are poorly understood.

**Research on the function of meningeal lymphatic vessels**
To evaluate the relationship between impairment of the glymphatic system, meningeal lymphatic vessels, and aging, MR imaging before and at multiple time points (4.5 hrs, 15 hrs, and 39 hrs) after intrathecal administration of a contrast agent (gadodiamide) was obtained in 35 patients. They measured the signal intensity of putative meningeal lymphatics, brain, CSF, and cervical lymph nodes and evaluated the correlation between putative meningeal lymphatics and others. They hypothesized that the glymphatic pathway can be evaluated from the signal transition of CSF and brain parenchyma. In all patients, the signal of the glymphatic pathway and meningeal lymphatic vessels changed significantly after intrathecal injection of the contrast agent. The clearance from both the glymphatic pathway and the putative meningeal lymphatic vessels was related to aging significantly. The clearance from meningeal lymphatic vessels was significantly related to the clearance from the glymphatic pathway, and the clearance from the glymphatic pathway was significantly faster in patients with early filling of the meningeal lymphatic vessels than that in patients with late filling. It has been reported that in aged mammals, impaired function of the meningeal lymphatic vessels can lead to accelerated accumulation of toxic amyloid beta protein in the brain parenchyma, thus aggravating Alzheimer’s disease-related pathology.

Recently, meningeal lymphatic vessels have been presumed to play an important role in the development of neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer’s disease, via dynamic fluid excretion in the brain and the accumulation and aggregation of amyloid-β.
drain extravasated erythrocytes from the CSF into the cervical lymph nodes after subarachnoid hemorrhage. Modulation of this drainage may offer therapeutic approaches to alleviate subarachnoid hemorrhage severity.\textsuperscript{95} It is also shown that subdural hematoma was absorbed through the meningeal lymphatic vessel drainage pathway and that hematomas could inhibit the function of meningeal lymphatic vessels.\textsuperscript{96}

In the search for the T-cell gateways into and out of the meninges, functional lymphatic vessels lining the dural sinuses were discovered. These structures express all of the molecular hallmarks of lymphatic endothelial cells, are able to carry both fluid and immune cells from the CSF, and are connected to the deep cervical lymph nodes.\textsuperscript{15} Dorsal meningeal lymphatic vessels undergo extensive remodeling in mice with intracranial gliomas or metastatic melanomas and are essential to generate an efficient immune response against brain tumors.\textsuperscript{97} Immune cells in meningeal tissues provide immune surveillance in the brain. Meningeal lymphatics and their direct connection with the cervical lymph nodes have been shown to impact CNS immune cell homeostasis.\textsuperscript{98}

**Concept of parasagittal dura**

The absorption of CSF has been thought to occur primarily by means of arachnoid granulations in the superior sagittal sinus and the lacunae laterales in the parasagittal dura. The concept of “parasagittal dura” as the site of the absorption of CSF had been reported.\textsuperscript{99} Extensive networks of intradural channels from 0.02 to 2.0 mm in diameter were noted in all of the examined cadaver specimens. The channels were connected to the superior sagittal sinus at intervals along the side wall, or drained directly into the lacunae laterales, which extended up to 3 cm from the midline. It was suggested that these channels may represent a pathway for the flow of CSF from the arachnoid granulations to the superior sagittal sinus.

Recently, it has been reported that intrathecally injected GBCA escaped from the CSF into the parasagittal dura along the superior sagittal sinus at areas near the entry of the cortical cerebral veins in human patients. These findings demonstrate that trans-arachnoid molecular passage does occur, and suggest that the parasagittal dura may serve as a bridging link between human brain parenchyma and dural lymphatic vessels.\textsuperscript{100} The findings are consistent with the aforementioned report using intravenous GBCA administration, in which the connection from the peri-cortical venous subpial space to the meningeal lymphatic vessels appeared to be the drainage pathway for brain ISF.\textsuperscript{88} The parasagittal dura contains a network of intradural channels, coalescing into the lateral lacunae medially, and in close relation to a dense carpet of intradural arachnoid granulations. The parasagittal dura is most prominent at the level where the cortical veins enter the superior sagittal sinus.\textsuperscript{100} It has been reported in the pig that the gaps and fissures in the dura mater adjacent to the superior sagittal sinus may be intradural channels in the parasagittal dura and may function as the CSF drainage pathway.\textsuperscript{101} These gaps have a reticular conglomerate consisting of endothelial cells, which resemble lymphatic linings. Furthermore, immunohistochemistry and immunoelectron microscopy showed that they express molecules specific to lymphatic endothelial cells.\textsuperscript{102}

![Fig. 4](image_url) Schematic diagram for the parasagittal dural area with our speculation from recent publications. The left side of the diagram shows the state in younger subjects and the right side shows the state in older subjects. Subpial space around the cortical vein continues from the perivenous space of the brain, draining interstitial fluid. The subpial space connects to meningeal lymphatics along SSS. In the aged subjects, stenosis of subpial space (open arrow) might cause the cyst formation near parasagittal dural area. Also, note that in the aged subjects, leakage from subpial space into subarachnoid space increases. The cyst formation correlates with increased leakage from subpial space. Arachnoid granulation increases in number and in size by aging. In the parasagittal dura (triangular area with many channels along SSS), the channels that contain cerebrospinal fluid and interstitial fluid, exist. Channels might be a part of lateral lacunae. The channels are connected to SSS and might be connected to arachnoid granulations. It is unknown if the channels are connected directly to meningeal lymphatics. AG, arachnoid granulation; C, channels; L, lymphatics; SSS, superior sagittal sinus.
Taken together the recent findings in MR imaging, studies regarding the parasagittal dura, either by intrathecal or intravenous administration of GBCA, indicate that the parasagittal dura might serve a filtering function for ISF at the outlet of the glymphatic system in the CNS to systemic venous circulation and the lymphatic system. Aging and deterioration of this filtering function might be key to reveal the mystery of neurodegenerative diseases. Considering the results of recent research together, we speculate the structure of parasagittal dural area as the schematic diagram shown as Fig. 4. Meanwhile, it is important in the study of the glymphatic system to elucidate the detailed anatomy of the connections from the periventricular space around the cortical veins, to the subpial space around the cortical veins, and to meningeal lymphatic vessels. Also, it is crucial to reveal the relationship between cyst formation in the vicinity of these connections and the stagnation of ISF flow. These studies will help us to understand the glymphatic system and the kinetics of drugs in the CNS. It will contribute to the understanding and furthermore to the targeting of treatments for glymphatic system dysfunction.

Conclusions

No current MR imaging methodology has yet been able to definitively visualize the glymphatic system in humans. However, a method might be established in the future after various techniques are combined and examined. In addition, a less invasive MRI method of intravenous GBCA could be used to obtain larger scale cohort data than intrathecal GBCA, integrated with other biometric data. Then, we might be able to develop a system that does not require MRI in the future. Biometric features, which can be obtained during daily living at home by wearable devices, might be established to interrogate the function of the glymphatic system. Rather than targeting of treatments for glymphatic system dysfunction, we believe that the creation of such an infrastructure would be very useful in the future.
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