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1. Introduction

Travel time, a fundamental measure in transportation, is the total elapsed time necessary for a vehicle to travel from one point to another over a specified route under existing traffic conditions. Delay on the other hand is the time lost to...
travel because of traffic frictions and traffic control devices. Travel time and delay studies are used to evaluate traffic conditions such as the onset of congestion along major corridors, and the impacts of developments such as transportation infrastructure, commercial, residential and industrial projects [1].

In general, a capacity of the entire road network is dominated by capacity at intersections. Thus, there is a possibility to enhance the performance of the whole system in the urban area, if the capacity of a severe bottleneck of an intersection increased. Therefore, an implementation of a flyover or underpass for a crossing has been one of the most popular measures to alleviate traffic congestion. It must be emphasized when using flyover or road underpass, the weaving section of traffic flow at separation level can only be reduced by relocating the pedestrian and vehicle stop far away from the separation level being more effective by eliminating the weaving behavior before entering the flyover [2].

An exclusive flyover or road underpass proposed with significant construction cost and minimum environmental degradation would be impacted. This is to overcome some obstacles. Although the resource consumptions of this new facility are not as much of the ordinary traffic control alternatives, there is still a question on its effectiveness in improving congestion condition. This point of view should be evaluated in this study. Because most existing evaluation approaches to assess the capacity of an intersection seems inapplicable to the situation under oversaturated flow and a wide range of heavy vehicle mixing rate. Also, this research needs to develop a specific evaluation methodology for this particular facility. Eventually, it intends to investigate the feasibility to implement the exclusive flyover and road underpass for smaller vehicles as a countermeasure for alleviating traffic congestion at a saturated intersection [3-5].

This research focused exclusively on the reduction of traffic congestion. In some cases, an optimization towards traffic congestion reduction is likely to influence both safety and pollution-related challenges positively. Congestion can be measured in the average delay experienced per vehicle. To be able to measure the delay, single vehicle tracking is needed. Since the available data did not support this method, this research considered on maximizing the throughput at intersections. Assuming a demand more extensive than the supply, an increase of vehicle throughput at the junction causes a decrease in (local) congestion [6].

One type of grade separation is flyover or road underpass, of which this research study conducted to evaluate its effectiveness. The decision to opt for road underpass for particular intersection or with adjacent intersections is considered, based on the financial constraints. In Addis Ababa City, the effect of a road underpass or flyover on the traffic flow along the trunk roads did not often analyze in details before it was implemented. As a consequence, after the completion of the road underpass or flyover structure, congestion issue shifted to other locations or the treatment would not have yielded the anticipated results.

In Addis Ababa city, nowadays congestion is increasing day today because of many cases likely rising in the number of a vehicle, less improvement of old roads and due to the types of vehicles which are contributing conflict along the road most of the time such as long and old vehicles. All major intersections in Addis Ababa were initially observed to pick one intersection, which represents the study area. Based on observation and data from Addis Ababa City Road Authority (AACRA) [7], Wellosefer was the most problematic intersection “before” improvement by road underpass with a roundabout at the upper level, due to high traffic volume of vehicles passing through it.

This research provided the analysis based on the data gathered from the field survey for traffic volume counting, including traffic data from AACRA. These data helped the researcher to know the effect of road underpass on the traffic flow by considering the case “before” and “after” the structure was constructed at Wellosefer intersection. This approach of the analysis would show how much travel time and delay will be reduced as a consequence of traffic congestion in the study area. During the field survey, road geometry, and traffic flow conditions like vehicle queues and travel patterns through the intersection were examined. These identified and noted whether it would interfere with upstream intersections by using SIDRA intersection software. Since there was no precise methodology during the design phases of any intersection, which usually guided by the geometry of the intersection, trial and error procedure usually adopted [8].

The Addis Ababa City is doing its initiatives for reconstruction and improvement of the road network towards north to south, and east-west to west directions. These improvements comprise widening of road segments, improvement of major intersections either by constructing roundabout, provision of traffic signal control, and construction of road underpass or flyover structure. But the challenges still undoubtedly remain; commuters and motorists are experiencing the effect of traffic congestion during peak hour, specifically at major intersections. The roundabout controls traffic movement, while the road underpass or flyover separates the traffic flow of incoming vehicles at the intersection. However, there are still ambiguities, how the road underpass works effectively or not, once an improvement had been implemented. To do this, it is important to evaluate its performance and effectiveness in meeting its objectives as delivering the promised benefits. In order to fill the gap, the study conducted in this thematic area of research concerning a combination of road underpass construction with a roundabout at the upper level relating to the traffic behavior at Wellosefer intersection. The objectives of the study included: (i) To identify the types of vehicles contributory to conflicting traffic flow at the intersection. (ii) To analyze the travel time and delay as a measure of performance evaluation. (iii) To clarify the occurring problems at-already completed road underpass structure and to suggest countermeasures on how to alleviate the problem.
2. Stud Area and Research Methodology

2.1. Study Area

The study was conducted in Addis Ababa City, the Central City in Ethiopia. Its geographical coordinates are 9°0'19.4436" N latitude and 38°45'48.9996" E longitude with an estimated area of 527 Sq.km. The City is found in an area of average altitude of about 2,355m above sea level. The specific area of the study is Wellosefer intersection, which composed of road underpass and roundabout at the upper level. This major intersection was entirely controlled by traffic enforcers to manage the traffic movements for different streams. “Before” improvement of Wellosefer intersection, it was Unsignalized T-intersection and no underpass structure. The lane width of the through lane was 2.7 meters, while the turning lane (from the left and right movements) was 3.0 meters. The current geometric layout “after” improvement of the intersection, the total width of asphalt pavement has 40 meters, at 3.62 meters wide for each lane and two lanes per direction. Previous data showed that Wellosefer intersection was one of the most congested corridors in Addis Ababa City. The main corridors along this intersection are those roads from Bole International Airport, Meskel Square and Ethiopia-Chaina Street.

2.2. Study Design

The research conducted using both descriptive and analytical methods. It was designed in the way that essential and exact information could be acquired to analyze travel time and delay of vehicles related to the different intersection design control alternatives.

2.3. Sampling Technique and Sampling Procedures

Based on the objective of the study, the researcher applied a purposive sampling method which is used in determining the sampled area on the study. Wellosefer intersection was selected, and it observed to be more challenging than other intersections as being perceived by the researcher based on previous traffic data. Also, the segment is a major road in the city where one can find different embassies.

2.4. Data Collection Method

The data collection involved different types of data which satisfied the requirements of the analysis using traffic parameters. These data collected through primary and secondary data which entailed Quantitative and Qualitative types of research methods.

2.4.1. Traffic Volume Count

Video recording was undertaken to gather Traffic Volume at Wellosefer intersection. This method was used to count and tabulate the number of vehicles, according to each type by viewing the video. The period of video recording started from Monday to Friday in August 7-11, 2017. It was a 5-day collection period, which started from 7:00 AM-7:00 PM. The traffic volume picked from a 15-minute time interval, classifying by cars & utilities, mini-buses and trucks.

2.4.2. Passenger Car Unit Analysis Before Improvement

Passenger Car Unit (PCU) analysis is necessary because it considers different characteristics of a car such as width, length, and the height that caused severe variations in the traffic stream. Due to different length, width and height, each vehicle type has different effects on the traffic flow. The PCU values are based on the headway method of analysis. In the study intersection, the vehicles were grouped as shown in Table 1.

| Location             | Vehicle Type | PCU factor |
|----------------------|--------------|------------|
| Wellosefer Intersection | Mini-bus     | 0.92       |
|                      | Heavy vehicle| 1.11       |
| Straight/Through Movement | Mini-bus     | 0.82       |
|                      | Heavy vehicle| 0.84       |

2.5. Data Analysis

A standard method for evaluating the effectiveness of improvement considered "before" and "after" implementation of road underpass, which measures the system performance of the implemented project changes. The data collected from
Wellosefer intersection were analyzed using SIDRA Software Version 5.1. In addition, a simple existing Road Audit was conducted to clarify the problem at already completed Road Underpass structure in the study area.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Vehicles Contributory to Conflicting Traffic Flow

In this study, it observed that the flow of vehicles in dissipating queues classified into either non-following vehicles (i.e., Slow vehicles with a time headway of more than 4 seconds) and following vehicles (i.e., Vehicles with a time headway smaller than 4 seconds). The share of non-following vehicles appeared to have a significant influence on the flow rate. The other influences on the saturation flow are kept constant and equal to the average observed value. It is clear that a decrease of the share of non-following vehicles increase both the vehicle flow rate and the passenger flow rate. From this, a reduction to a share of 5% of non-following vehicles would increase the PCU flow rate.

Based on the results “before” the improvement, there were two main reasons caused non-following vehicles. These were low accelerating capacities of old vehicles, and slow responding driving time. Likewise, “after” the improvement, the site observation, including the data taken from the consultant company of the road project indicated that there were several causes of vehicle conflict, such as the narrow width of the entry and exit lanes, parking space and the presence of long vehicles plying the route.

Figure 3. The interference flow in PCU/HR versus share of non-following vehicles.

3.2. Travel Time and Delay Analyses for “Before” and “After” Improvement

3.2.1. Traffic Volume “Before” Improvement of Wellosefer Intersection

The highest recorded number of vehicles in the conflict group was 2,128 vehicles or 3,663 PCU.

Figure 4. Traffic Volume by Vehicle Type “before” Improvement (Unsignalized).

Table 2. Vehicle Composition, Grouped Per Direction of Traffic Flow.

| Location              | Number of cars (%) | Number of mini busses (%) | Number of Heavy vehicles (%) |
|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| Wellosefer intersection |                    |                           |                              |
| Straight/Through movements | 2356 (71%)       | 916 (27%)                 | 66 (2%)                      |
| Turning movements      | 1681 (91%)        | 114 (6%)                  | 59 (3%)                      |

3.2.2. Average Delay and Travel Time Analyses “before” improvement of Wellosefer Intersection

A two-hour traffic data was considered for the average delay and travel time analyses. Table 3 shows the results per approach legged of Wellosefer Intersection.

Table 3. Average Travel Time and Delay Analysis.

| Wellosefer Intersection Approach legged | Average delay (Second/veh) | Average Travel time (Second) |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Meskel Square                          | 20.7                        | 19.9                        |
| Bole                                   | 1014.8                      | 365.6                       |
| Chaina Street                          | 607.5                       | 34.0                        |
| Intersection                           | 535.7                       | 574.7                       |

From the output of the analysis, the average delay and the average travel time are 535.7 Seconds and 574.7 Seconds, respectively. These results indicated a relatively high delay and travel time incurred by the motorists, and the travelling public.

3.2.3. Current Traffic Volume at Wellosefer Intersection “After” Improvement

The highest recorded traffic volume of the week was Monday, while the lowest traffic volume showed Wednesday.
The results of the survey as plotted in Figure 6, from 7:30 AM - 7:45 AM and 10:15 AM – 10:30 AM indicated the peak period, which showed the highest traffic volume for the 12-hour traffic volume counting represented by Bole underpass. It was the highest number of vehicles than the other approaches of Wellosefer intersection. It means the traffic generated by this approach was due to its proximity area around the Bole International Airport that attracts motorists and commuters to use this intersection.

Monday had the highest traffic volume of the week with a peak period in the morning started at 9:00 AM-10:00 AM, and peak period in the afternoon from 5:00 PM-6:00 PM.

Figure 6 shows the different types of vehicles such as a car, 4-WD, and taxi. These vehicles shared a large number of vehicle's percentage using the intersection. Through follow-up field visits, it observed that the composition of a shared large number of vehicles in the study area due to the presence of various establishments such as embassies, shops, and residential houses that attracts the traffic movements. The same area whereby Bole International Airport is within a short distance. The field observations supported the findings that only a few large vehicles using this section of road as compared to passenger cars and mini-buses, but its effect to the traffic stream was very significant.

3.3. Travel time and Delay Analysis for Signalized T - Intersection "Without" Road Underpass & Roundabout

The current traffic volume of the road underpass and the roundabout at the upper level were added to analyze the intersection as signalized T-intersection. The analyses were guided by the questions: What if the intersection improved by a traffic signal light instead of the underpass and roundabout? What will be the average delay and travel time at the intersection nowadays? The researchers assumed that the geometric layout of the Signalized T-intersection is similar to the Unsignalized intersection when the traffic light installed for the analysis. The additional parameters considered in the analysis of signalized intersection are phasing, signal timing, traffic volume and road geometry.

The signal phase for maximum green time was taken from the MUTCD recommended value, including yellow and red time interval from a commonly used value of 3 seconds and 2 seconds, respectively.
Table 4. Values for Maximum Green Duration.

| Phase      | Facility Type                        | Maximum Green Time (Sec) |
|------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Through    | Major arterial (speed limit exceeds 40mph) | 50 to 70               |
|            | Major arterial (speed limit 40mph or less) | 40 to 60               |
|            | Minor arterial                        | 30 to 50                |
|            | Collector, local driveway             | 20 to 40                |
| Left-Turn  | Any                                  | 15 to 30                |

(Source: Manual Uniform Traffic Control Design)

In the study area, the intersection identified as a major Arterial with an established speed limit exceeds 40mph. Therefore, from table 4, the maximum green time considered in the analysis with an average of 50 Seconds to 70 Seconds. On the other hand, the signal- fixed time, the practical cycle time 160 Seconds = sum of all green + yellow + (all-red=2sec.), while the Phase time was determined by the program as follows:

- **Sequence**: Split Phasing
- **Input Sequence**: A, B, C
- **Output Sequence**: A, B, C, A

Figure 7. Diagram of signal phasing.

![Diagram of signal phasing](image)

Figure 8. Diagram of Signal Phasing of Intersection.

Table 5. Phase Time Determined using SIDRA Program.

| Phase       | A     | B     | C     |
|-------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Green time  | 60    | 60    | 35    |
| Yellow time | 3     | 3     | 3     |
| All-red time| 2     | 2     | 2     |
| Phase time  | 65    | 65    | 40    |
| Phase split | 46%   | 46%   | 20%   |

In table 6 below, the result indicated the average delay of 344.3 seconds that may be incurred by the motorists and commuters, with an equal travel time of 365.0 seconds. This average delay was lower than the computed value for Unsignalized intersection "before" the improvement of the intersection. Hence, this support the condition, once Wellosefer intersection provided with traffic signal control as improvement alternative, there was a significant reduction in delay at different traffic flow directions than the Unsignalized intersection.

Table 6. Output of the Analysis on Average Delay and Travel Time for Signalized intersection.

| Wellesefer Intersection Approach legged | Average delay (Second) | Travel time (Second) |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| Meskel square                          | 700.6                  | 548.6                |
| Bole                                   | 154.5                  | 168.8                |
| Chaina street                          | 29.8                   | 13.4                 |
| Intersection (Total)                   | 344.3                  | 365.0                |
3.4. Travel Time and Delay Analysis for the Case of Roundabout only

Roundabout considered as an alternative improvement of the intersection in the analysis. Similarly, it was guided by the question: what will be the performance of the intersection considering the current traffic condition? In this case, the traffic volume of the underpass and the traffic volume of the upper level combined to use for the analysis of a roundabout. Based on the total traffic volume, it required additional lane for Meskel Square to Bole approach to accommodate the current traffic volume. In addition, the assumption in the analysis, all approach legged at the same level would be increased the number of lanes, including the road width widening.

Table 7. Input values for the analyses of average delay and travel time for the case of roundabout only.

| Roundabout          | Wellosfer Intersection | Traffic Volume | Heavy vehicle factor (%) |
|---------------------|------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Approached legged   | No. of entry lane | No. of exit lane | Lane width (m) | Medium width (m) | TH | LT | RT | TH | LT | RT |
| Meskel Square       | 4                      | 4              | 3.62              | 1              | 2257 | -  | 651 | 0.46 | - | 0.35 |
| Bole                | 4                      | 4              | 3.62              | 1              | 3202 | 1288 | -  | 1.04 | 0.4 | - |
| Chaina              | 3                      | 2              | 3.62              | 1              | -    | 242 | 1320 | - | 0.6 | 0.93 |

Table 8. Additional Input Values.

| Geometric Data              |                  |
|-----------------------------|------------------|
| Circulating lane width (m)  | 4.81             |
| Number of Circulating lane  | 4                |
| Lane length (m)             | 317              |
| Island diameter (m)         | 20               |

The above table 8 indicated values for the additional geometric parameters for the roundabout which are used in the Sidra Software [10].

From the above roundabout geometric layout, it indicated the island diameter, number of circulating lane, number of entry lane and number of exit lanes, which are also shown in table 8.

Table 9. Output for the Analysis of Average Delay and Travel Time for roundabout only.

| Approach legged     | Average delay (Sec) | Travel time (Sec) |
|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Meskel square      | 453.5               | 368.8             |
| Bole               | 8.0                 | 44.5              |
| Chaina street      | 1250.2              | 362.1             |
| Intersection (Total)| 355.8              | 394.0             |

Table 10. Output of the Analysis of Average Delay and Travel Time “after” improvement.

| Approach legged     | Average delay (Sec) | Travel time (Sec) |
|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Meskel square      | 8.9                 | 6.9               |
| Bole               | 9.2                 | 18.0              |
| Chaina street      | 7.2                 | 12.9              |
| Intersection (Total)| 8.5                | 48.1              |

The analyses were made separately for the traffic volume of Roundabout at the upper level and the road underpass. The existing number of lanes for the underpass consist of 2- lanes in 1-direction, while the upper level (roundabout) consists of 2-lanes for all approach legged as shown in figure 9.

Figure 9. Intersection "after" Improvement (Source: Photo Taken August 8/2017).

The current traffic condition was evaluated in the case of road underpass with a roundabout at the upper level. It was done by comparing the results with Unsignalized, signalized, and roundabout only. The traffic flow at the road underpass was directed along Meskel Square - Bole, comprising two lanes in one direction with 3.62 meters lane width.

Table 11. Output of the Analysis of Average Delay and Travel Time “after” improvement.

| Approach legged     | Average delay (Sec) | Travel time (Sec) |
|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Meskel square      | 8.9                 | 6.9               |
| Bole               | 9.2                 | 18.0              |
| Chaina street      | 7.2                 | 12.9              |
| Intersection (Total)| 8.5                | 48.1              |

Based on the analysis of the current condition of the intersection, table 10 indicated the average delay and the travel time of 8.5 second and 48.1 seconds, respectively. The results showed that the motorists can incur almost negligible delay when crossing the intersection.

3.6. Comparison of Average Delay and Average Travel Time Based on the Different Alternatives

According to the objective of the research, the results
presented the discussions about the effectiveness of a road underpass on the traffic flow, differentiating the traffic control systems and the improvement alternatives at Wellosefer intersection. One of which was the provision of a traffic signal control at the intersection. Nowadays, in Addis Ababa City, traffic signal control installations at intersections are introduced at highly congested intersections by removing the existing roundabouts. This research study provided an excellent indication to which improvement alternative would be then implemented by comparing the results using options of three different types of an intersection as a basis for evaluation.

Figures 10 and 11 below show the results of the average delay and travel time for each alternative type of intersection.

![Figure 10. Average Delay "Before" and "After" Improvement.](image1)

![Figure 11. Travel Time "Before" and "After" Improvement of Wellosefer Intersection.](image2)

The results from figures 10 and 11 demonstrated cases on average delay and travel time of vehicles “before” and “after” the improvement alternatives of Wellosefer intersection.

The traffic condition “before” improvement considering Unsignalized T-intersection indicated the average delay of vehicles of about 535.7 Seconds, while the travel time of vehicles of about 574.7 Seconds. It means the motorist and commuters incurred long delays within the intersection.

On the other hand, the case "after" improvement considering the current traffic condition by analyzing a Signalized T-intersection, the results indicated an average delay and travel time of 344.3 seconds and 365.0 seconds, respectively. It means that there was a reduction of 15.4% in average delay when a signalized intersection alternative would be implemented nowadays. Likewise, the case "after" improvement considering the same current traffic condition using the existing geometric layout of Road Underpass with Roundabout at the upper level, there was a considerable reduction in average delay of vehicles of about 42% from the Unsignalized T-intersection.

From those foregoing results, it revealed that a combination of road underpass with a roundabout at the upper level was almost three times more effective than the other two improvement alternatives due to significant reduction of average delay experienced by the motorists and commuters.

4. Conclusion

Based on the findings of this research, the following conclusions are drawn:

*A Case “Before” Improvement of Wellosefer Unsignalized T-Intersection*

It was found out that mostly affecting the traffic movements were old vehicles and slow driving vehicles, which turns into conflict with the other vehicles while maneuvering a left turn and right turn movements from Bole Road, Meskel Square and Chaina Street. Therefore, it considered this as one factor on the occurrence of delay of vehicles in the intersection, aside from high traffic volume.

*A Case “After” Improvement of Wellosefer considering Signalized T-Intersection, Roundabout only, and Road Underpass with Roundabout at the upper level*

From the findings, it showed that there was a 15.4% reduction in average delay when a T-signalized intersection would be implemented in the current condition of traffic volume. Therefore, it can be postulated that provision of
Traffic signal control at the intersection will improve the operational level due to the reduction of average delay.

In the case of Roundabout only as improvement alternative using the same current traffic data gathered in August 7-11, 2017 to check the performance of the intersection, the results indicated that the motorists and commuters could be experiencing an average delay of about 355.8 seconds and average travel time of 390.0 seconds. Hence, a roundabout only as an improvement alternative to the current set up is not advisable. It means the delay is not already within the tolerable limit at Wellosefer intersection.

Likewise, for the case "after" improvement considering the existing geometric layout of Road Underpass with Roundabout at the upper level. Based on the findings of this research, there was a significant reduction in average delay of vehicles of about 42% from Unsignalized T-Intersection when considered as the baseline results for evaluation. Therefore, comparing these results with the Signalized intersection using the same traffic data at current condition, it indicated that there was a significant reduction in average delay.

Therefore, in comparing the different results using different intersection design control alternatives, a combination of Road Underpass and Roundabout at the upper level has a great advantage as in the case of Wellosefer intersection. It is the most effective alternative for a particular problematic intersection. It is worth mentioning the intersection design and traffic controls, including construction of structure as an alternatives is enough to make better movements of the traffic flow.
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