**Article**

**Performance of the Stator Winding Fault Diagnosis in Sensorless Induction Motor Drive**

Grzegorz Tarchała * and Marcin Wolkiewicz

Department of Electrical Drives and Measurements, Wrocław University of Science and Technology, 50-370 Wrocław, Poland; marcin.wolkiewicz@pwr.edu.pl

* Correspondence: grzegorz.tarchala@pwr.edu.pl; Tel.: +48-71-320-3359

Received: 22 February 2019; Accepted: 18 April 2019; Published: 21 April 2019

**Abstract:** This paper deals with the diagnosis of stator winding inter-turn faults for an induction motor drive operating without a speed sensor in a speed-sensorless mode. The rotor direct field oriented control structure (DFOC) was applied, its reference current and voltage component values were analyzed, and their selected harmonics were applied as effective fault indicators. To ensure robust speed estimation, a sliding mode model reference adaptive system (SM-MRAS) estimator was selected. The influence of load torque, reference speed, proportional-integral (PI) controller parameters, and short-circuit current on fault diagnosis and speed estimation performance was verified. Experimental test results obtained for a 3 kW induction motor drive are included.
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**1. Introduction**

Generally, an induction motor (IM) can be supplied from a grid, from a voltage source inverter, or it can be a part of a complex variable speed control structure. The type of the supply has to be taken into account when the diagnostic procedure of the motor is designed [1]. The difference between the closed-loop structure and the remaining supply methods is that fault symptoms in the first and the second cases can only be observed in motor currents. This is the reason why many methods of motor current signature analysis (MCSA) have appeared in the past [2–4]. However, in the case of the closed-loop control structure the fault symptoms can be found in both currents and voltage signals [5,6]. Thus, in some cases, the MCSA method is not recommended [2,7–9]. Additionally, in the case of the field oriented control (FOC) or the direct torque control (DTC) approaches, fault symptoms can be visible in measured, estimated, and/or reference signals. Although many different diagnostic methods for open-loop or grid-connected motors have appeared [10,11], there is a need to carefully verify closed-loop control structures with IM and the diagnostic methods applied to operate in wide speed and torque ranges.

The diagnostics of stator winding faults for controlled IM is still an open research topic. As shown in [12] there are control structures which, so far, have not ever been analyzed from the point of view of stator winding inter-turn short circuits, e.g., indirect field oriented control (IFOC). A comparison between indirect and direct approaches was made only for rotor-related damages [13].

The diagnosis of stator winding faults was analyzed for three different control structures: DFOC [9,14–19], DTC with a switching table [8,12], and DTC with space vector modulation (DTC-SVM) [20]. The DFOC and its modifications are the mostly applied in IM control structures, therefore the impact of this control structure has been analyzed most frequently. In [9,15], the second harmonic frequency amplitudes of appropriate signals (currents, voltages) were selected to be the fault symptoms. Additionally, in [15], the influence of the PI controller parameter on the...
diagnosis performance was analyzed. Instantaneous symmetrical components analysis (ISCA) and principal components analysis (PCA) for both open- and closed-loop controls were compared in [14]. The vibration signals and complex signals analysis [16,17] can be used in the fault diagnosis of the controlled IM as well. Contrary to the above mentioned signal-based methods, the stator resistance estimation by Kalman filter and Luenberger observer [18] and model reference adaptive system (MRAS)-type estimator [19] were applied to diagnose the stator winding faults as well.

The diagnostic methods were also proposed for the DTC structure [8,12,20]. Two different methods, based on the MCSA and multiple reference frame theory, were shown in [8]. The detailed analysis of the inter-turn short circuits influence on the DTC structure was presented in [12]. In [20], authors compared the ISCA of the stator current with the analysis of the second harmonic frequency of internal structure signals for the DTC-SVM. Additionally, this control method was compared to DFOC.

The lack of a speed measurement device included in the drive system allows it to reduce its size, cost, and cabling. Therefore, many speed estimation methods have appeared in the past [21,22]. Yet, this issue is still an interesting scientific problem, especially when the parameter mismatch [23,24] or the regenerating mode operation [25–28] is taken into account.

There are many different solutions to estimate IM speed. However, in almost all speed estimation technique reviews, the sliding mode (SM) approach is selected to be the most advantageous among various observers, MRAS-type estimators with PI controllers, Kalman Filters, or neural methods [29–33]. It provides the best robustness to parameter variations and system order reduction; it needs a small number of design parameters and is easy to apply in a microprocessor system.

There are different kinds of sliding-mode based speed estimators: Sliding mode observers [34,35], MRAS-type SM estimators [36,37], discrete time estimators [38,39], second order SM estimators [40], and many others. The SM observers are also used to diagnose some IM faults: Broken bars [41], stator inter-turn short-circuits [42,43] inverter transistor faults [44], and speed sensor faults [45].

Although the stability of the estimator during the regenerating mode operation is one of the most important aspects related to the speed estimation, it was carefully analyzed only in a few cases for the sliding mode estimation [27,34]. In this paper, the solution shown in [27] was selected to ensure an accurate and stable speed-sensorless operation under unbalanced stator winding conditions of IM.

The state variables estimator is an important part of the field-oriented control structure, especially when the DFOC is taken into account. It is necessary to determine the rotor flux amplitude, rotor flux angle, and estimated speed. The estimator applied in this paper is an algorithmic estimator that introduces an additional delay in speed determining, on the contrary to modern speed measurement techniques (i.e., incremental encoders). Moreover, the estimator that is based on the mathematical model equations is always dependent on motor parameters mismatch; during the fault the motor becomes an unbalanced system and its resistance differs between the motor phases. Therefore, the fault in stator windings can influence speed estimation and incorrect speed estimation can deteriorate the diagnostic performance. These aspects are verified in this paper. The combination of the stator fault diagnosis and speed estimation has been not analyzed before. Although in [8] authors showed a typical speed-sensorless structure for the DTC control, they did not analyze speed estimation in the case of faulty operation. Despite presenting a block diagram, the authors did not explain whether their drive operates with or without speed measurement. Thus, the objectives of this paper are:

- To verify whether the proposed diagnostic procedure is able to diagnose the faulted stator winding of an induction motor within a sensorless DFOC structure correctly;
- To verify whether a speed estimator is able to estimate speed in the case of stator winding failures.

This paper is divided into six sections. First, the mathematical models of both the healthy induction motor and the speed estimator, which are used to create the sensorless structure, are presented. Then, the rotor field oriented control method for an induction motor is described. Next, the diagnostic procedure is presented, followed by the experimental test results in Section 5. Finally, there is a short conclusion.
2. Mathematical Models

2.1. Mathematical Model of Healthy Induction Motor

The mathematical model of a healthy IM is used to design a speed estimator. It is created using commonly known assumptions (symmetrical structure, lumped windings, constant parameters, neglected eddy currents, no higher harmonics, etc.). It is written in the per unit system (marked with lower case letters; additional time constant $T_N$ appears), in stationary reference frame $\alpha$-$\beta$, and with the use of space vector notation (bold font) \[46\]. It is assumed that the motor is a squirrel-cage star-connected induction motor. The mathematical model consists of \[46\]:

- Stator and rotor voltage equations:
  \[
  u_s = r_s i_s + T_N \frac{d}{dt} \psi_s, \tag{1}
  \]
  \[
  0 = r_r i_r + T_N \frac{d}{dt} \psi_r - j\omega_m \psi_r, \tag{2}
  \]
  where $u_s = u_{sa} + j u_{sb}$ is the stator voltage space vector, $r_s$ is the stator resistance, $i_s = i_{sa} + j i_{sb}$ is the stator current space vector, $T_N = 1/(2\pi f_{sN})$ is the time constant (only in the per unit system), $f_{sN}$ is the nominal frequency of IM, $\psi_s = \psi_{sa} + j \psi_{sb}$ is the stator flux space vector, $r_r$ is the rotor resistance, $i_r = i_{ra} + j i_{rb}$ is the rotor current vector, $\psi_r = \psi_{ra} + j \psi_{rb}$ is the rotor flux space vector, and $\omega_m$ is the mechanical speed.

- Stator and rotor flux equations:
  \[
  \psi_s = l_s i_s + l_m i_r, \tag{3}
  \]
  \[
  \psi_r = l_r i_r + l_m i_s, \tag{4}
  \]
  where $l_s = l_m + l_{sat}$ is the stator winding inductance, $l_m$ is the main inductance, $l_{sat}$ is the stator leakage inductance, $l_r = l_m + l_{rat}$ is the rotor winding inductance, and $l_{rat}$ is the rotor leakage inductance.

- Electromagnetic torque and equation of motion:
  \[
  t_e = \Im(\psi^* s i_s), \tag{5}
  \]
  \[
  \frac{d\omega_m}{dt} = \frac{1}{T_M} (t_e - t_l), \tag{6}
  \]
  where $t_e$ is the electromagnetic torque, $t_l$ is the load torque, and $T_M$ is the mechanical time constant of the drive.

2.2. Mathematical Model of Eq-SMMRAS$^{CC}$ Speed Estimator

The diagnostic procedure is applied within a speed-sensorless control structure, therefore the selected speed estimator is described in this section. Because of its good dynamic performance, robustness over parameter mismatch, and stable operation in the case of the regenerating mode, the sliding mode (SM) model reference adaptive system (MRAS)-type estimator, based on an equivalent (Eq) signal Eq-SMMRAS$^{CC}$ \[27\] will be used in this paper and presented in this section. The superscript $CC$ describes the adaptive part of the MRAS estimator, $C$ is the rotor flux estimation based on a stator current, and $C$ is the stator current estimation. The induction motor is the reference model itself—measured stator currents are compared with the estimated ones. The estimated speed and an auxiliary variable, $\mu$, are introduced to ensure the stable operation of the estimator in the regenerating mode, constituting the vector of estimated variables $\hat{x} = [\hat{\omega}_m \ \hat{\mu}]^T$. 


The rotor flux estimation is based on the measured stator current (using modified rotor Equation (2) and Equation (4)):

\[ T_N \frac{d}{dt} \hat{\psi}_r = \eta i_s - (\tau_r + \hat{\mu}) \hat{\psi}_r + j \hat{\omega}_m \hat{\psi}_r, \]  

(7)

where *\( \hat{\cdot} \) indicates estimated value; \( \eta = l_m r_f / r_r \), \( \tau_r = r_f / l_r \).

The stator current is estimated as follows (using stator Equation (1) and flux Equation (3) and Equation (4)):

\[ T_N \frac{d}{dt} i_s = \frac{1}{l_m} \left( \eta i_s - (\tau_r + \hat{\mu}) \hat{\psi}_r + j \hat{\omega}_m \hat{\psi}_r \right), \]  

(8)

where \( \sigma = 1 - l_m^2 / (l_sl_r) \) is the total leakage factor.

The above Equation (7) and Equation (8) create the adaptive part of the MRAS estimator. The estimated speed and the additional variable are estimated using the following equation [27]:

\[ \dot{x} = \dot{x}^e + \dot{x}^d, \]  

(9)

where

\[ \dot{x}^e = -D^{-1}(f + Ke), \quad K = \text{diag}(K_\omega, K_\mu), \]  

(10)

\[ \dot{x}^d = -M \text{sgn}(s^T D)^T, \quad M = \text{diag}(M_\omega, M_\mu), \]  

(11)

where \( K_\omega, K_\mu, M_\omega, M_\mu \) are the positive gains to be selected and \( \text{sgn} \) is the sign function.

Switching functions vector in (11) is defined as [27]:

\[ s = e + K \int \epsilon dt, \]  

(12)

\[ e = \begin{bmatrix} e_\omega \\ e_\mu \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (\hat{i}_{rg} - i_{rg}) \hat{\psi}_{ra} - (i_{sa} - i_{sa}) \hat{\psi}_{rb} \\ (\hat{i}_{gb} - i_{gb}) \hat{\psi}_{rb} + (i_{sa} - i_{sa}) \hat{\psi}_{ra} \end{bmatrix}. \]  

(13)

The superscript *eq* in (10) indicates the equivalent signal—the continuous part of the estimated vector \( x \), calculated using the ideal mathematical model of the IM and the assumption that the switching functions vector \( s = 0 \). The superscript *d* indicates the discontinuous part, used to compensate any possible modeling and parameter mismatch in the equivalent part. The classical SM speed estimators are based only on the discontinuous part in a vast majority of cases. However, gains \( M_\omega \) and \( M_\mu \) must be large enough to ensure a proper operation of the estimator and they can induce large oscillations (chattering) in estimated variables. In order to reduce this negative phenomenon, some specific techniques must be used, e.g., adaptation of the estimator’s gains [47]. The usage of the equivalent (continuous) part allows a significant reduction of the chattering level, introduced by the sign function in the discontinuous part, in comparison to the classical sliding mode observer [48] and the sliding mode MRAS estimators [37].

Vector \( f \) and matrix \( D \) in (11) can be calculated after the division of the switching function vector derivative into three parts [27]:

\[ \dot{s} = f + D \dot{x} + Ke, \]  

(14)

where \( D \) is related to the vector of the estimated signals, \( K \) is related to the error vector, and \( f \) contains the remaining quantities. After a slight simplification, they can be calculated as [27]:

\[
\begin{align*}
f & = \begin{bmatrix} f_\omega \\ f_\mu \end{bmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} d_\omega & 0 \\ 0 & d_\mu \end{bmatrix}, \\
f_\omega & = \frac{1}{T_N l_m} \left( \hat{\psi}_{ra} i_{ug} - \hat{\psi}_{rg} i_{ua} - \left( r_s + \frac{\hat{\mu}}{\omega_r} \right) (\hat{\psi}_{ra} i_{ug} - \hat{\psi}_{rg} i_{ua}) + \hat{\psi}_{ra} i_{ug} + \hat{\psi}_{rg} i_{ua} \right), \\
f_\mu & = \frac{1}{T_N l_m} \left( \hat{\psi}_{ra} i_{sa} + \hat{\psi}_{rb} i_{sb} - \left( r_s + \frac{\hat{\mu}}{\omega_r} \right) (\hat{\psi}_{ra} i_{sa} + \hat{\psi}_{rb} i_{sb}) + \hat{\psi}_{ra} i_{sa} - \hat{\psi}_{rb} i_{sb} + \frac{1}{T_N l_m} \frac{1}{l_r} \tau_r \hat{\psi}_r^2, \\
d_\omega & = -\frac{1}{T_N l_m} \frac{l_m^2}{l_r} \hat{\psi}_r^2, \quad d_\mu = \frac{1}{T_N l_m} \frac{l_m^2}{l_r} \hat{\psi}_r^2. 
\end{align*}
\]  

(15)
where $i_{sa}$ and $i_{sb}$ are the components of the stator current space vector in stationary reference frame obtained using the Clarke transformation from stator phase currents and $u_{sa}$ and $u_{sb}$ are the components of the stator voltage space vector; they can be obtained from phase voltages as well or by using the pulse width modulation (PWM) signals and the direct current (DC)-bus voltage $u_{DC}$.

The objective of the sliding mode algorithm is to ensure the zero value of the sliding mode function vector (12), i.e., $s = 0$. Simultaneously, functions $\epsilon_{\omega}$ and $\epsilon_{\mu}$ become zero and the estimated current components are equal to the measured ones (13) under the assumption that $\hat{\psi}_r^2 \neq 0$, which is always fulfilled in case of field-oriented control.

The use of the auxiliary variable allows stability extension over the regenerating mode, contrary to many different speed estimators unstable in these operation conditions, including SM solutions [48].

Because of the discontinuous part, a low pass filter (LPF) has to be applied if the estimated speed is to be used within the sensorless control structure. The block diagram of the estimator is presented in Figure 1.

Figure 1. Block diagram of the applied speed estimator Eq-SMMRASCC [27]. IM: induction motor, LPF: low pass filter.

3. DFOC Control Structure

The diagnostic method analyzed in this paper and the speed estimator described above both operate under the sensorless direct field oriented control for an induction motor drive [46]. The block diagram of the control structure is presented in Figure 2. The main part of the control scheme consists of four PI controllers to control rotor flux amplitude, speed, and two stator current vector components in the synchronous frame depicted as $\alpha-\beta$, rotating with rotor flux vector. The parameters of the PI controllers are shown in Appendix A. The reference rotor flux magnitude is equal to its nominal value or decreased under a field weakening operation when the speed exceeds the nominal value. Reference stator current vector components, the so-called flux-producing component and the torque-producing component, are set by flux and speed controllers, respectively. Reference voltage components, being outputs of PI current controllers, are transformed into the stationary frame $\alpha-\beta$ and then are used by the space vector modulation (SVM) to ensure the equality of the motor and reference voltages. The outputs of the modulator, $k_A$, $k_B$, and $k_C$, which are the PWM signals together with the DC-bus voltage $u_{DC}$, are used to calculate the actual voltage values $u_{sa}$ and $u_{sb}$ and are used by the speed estimator. In this paper, it is assumed that the field-oriented control operates without taking into account the decoupling signals [9].

In order to perform reference frame transformations, the rotor flux angle signal $\gamma_{\psi_r}$ is necessary; it is estimated by the Eq-SMMRASSCC estimator. This estimator ensures the estimation of the rotor flux amplitude $\psi_r$, as well, being the feedback signal of the flux PI regulator. The drive operates
in a speed-sensorless (or encoderless) structure, which means that its speed is estimated by the presented estimator.

There are several signals from the closed-loop control structure that can be used in the diagnostic procedure: Estimated rotor flux amplitude and angle, estimated speed, reference stator current components, actual current components, and reference voltage signals. The control structure is used to keep the estimated flux and speed equal to their reference values, therefore they are not valuable diagnostic signals. However, the outputs of the speed and flux regulators are modified (in comparison to non-faulty operation) to ensure the required performance of the drive system. Therefore, the reference stator current vector components can be successfully used in the diagnostic procedure, which will be shown in the following section. Similarly, reference voltage signals can be used in the diagnostics as well. The detailed analysis of the diagnostic signals and diagnostic procedure is presented in the following sections.

![Block diagram of sensorless direct field oriented control (DFOC) structure for IM. PI: proportional-integral, Eq-SMMRASCC: Equivalent (Eq)-Sliding Mode Model Reference Adaptive System (superscripts C-current measurement, C-rotor flux estimation based on current measurement), SVM: space vector modulation.](image)

4. Diagnostic Procedure

The proposed diagnostic procedure for a sensorless DFOC induction motor drive is shown in Figure 3. It is similar to the procedure presented in [9], however, the diagnostic signals used in this paper are different. Additionally, the control structure operates without a speed sensor and control paths decoupling. The diagnostic procedure can be described using the following steps:

1. Identification of the fundamental frequency $f_s$ of induction motor supply voltages or currents. In this paper, the phase currents are used to determine the frequency, as shown in Figure 2—the currents have to be measured in the DFOC structure. However, measured stator voltage or calculated voltage vector components $u_{ssu}$ and $u_{ssii}$ can be used as well. The identification is made using the fast Fourier transform (FFT) with a selected window (flat-top in this paper). Because of this, the diagnostic procedure must be conducted during a steady-state, while the motor speed is constant.

2. Extraction of four reference signals from the control structure; reference stator current vector components and reference voltage vector components.
3. Determination of the $2f_s$ harmonics frequency amplitudes for all diagnostic signals. These amplitudes will be used further as fault symptoms.

4. Depending on the determined values of fault symptoms, an inference is drawn regarding whether the motor is healthy or not. It can be based on a predefined threshold. In the case of an industrial inverter, the threshold can be determined during the commissioning stage, i.e., during the identification procedure of motor parameters (this is a standard procedure in the case of all modern voltage source inverters (VSIs) applied in the industry, where the vector control method is used). In this case, the threshold can be set as the maximum value of the second harmonic amplitude for all of the diagnostic signals. Unfortunately, their values depend slightly on motor speed and load torque, therefore the threshold should be increased suitably so as not to generate false alarms. This dependence will be shown in the subsequent sections.

![Diagnostic Procedure](image)

**Figure 3.** Block diagram of proposed diagnostic procedure.

5. Description of the Experimental Set-up

A block diagram of the experimental setup is shown in Figure 4a. The main part of the experimental setup is a 3 kW induction motor, specially modified (rewound) to allow the modeling of short circuits in all three phases, as shown in Figure 4b. The induction motor allows the modeling of a short circuit using a metallic connection or the inclusion of an additional resistance $R_{sh}$ and the measurement of the $I_{sh}$ current by an ammeter. As shown in Figure 4b, it is possible to model the short-circuit of 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, and 10 turns in each phase of the machine. In order to obtain different numbers of short-circuit turns, a combination of the above can be used (e.g., to obtain three short-circuit turns, one plus two turns can be a short-circuit simultaneously). The photograph of the experimental setup is shown in Figure 5.

The parameters and the nominal data of the IM, used during the experiments, are shown in the Appendices A and B. IM is supplied by a voltage source inverter (VSI) that allows controlling of its switches using fiber-optic wires. The VSI is controlled with 10 kHz frequency by a modular rapid prototyping system, NI Peripheral component interconnect eXtensions for instrumentation (PXI) by National Instruments (NI), equipped with an field-programmable gate array (FPGA) card and a real-time system. Three phase currents and the DC-bus voltage are measured to perform control, estimation, and PWM modulation algorithms.

The PXI defines the load torque value and sends it to another VSI that supplies a permanent magnet synchronous servo motor. The PMSM is extended with a resolver and an incremental encoder to determine speed and the shaft angle. The speed is measured only for comparison purposes; the diagnostic procedure effectiveness will be compared between the sensor and sensorless operations.
In order to perform the diagnostic procedure, the reference signals form the control structure where currents and voltages are sent from one PXI to another as analogue signals, which are measured by a data acquisition (DAQ) measurement card. This cooperation is made only to divide control and diagnostic algorithms. In the case of an industrial inverter application, the diagnostic procedure can be easily applied together with control and estimation algorithms.

Figure 4. (a) Block diagram of the experimental setup and (b) the diagram of the induction motor terminals and modelled short circuit without (above) and with (below) additional resistor and ammeter. PMSM: permanent magnet synchronous motor, DAQ: data acquisition, NI: National Instruments Austin, TA, USA, PXI: Peripheral component interconnect eXtensions for Instrumentation

Figure 5. Photo of the experimental setup. VSI: voltage source inverter.
The influence of the short circuit current on the diagnostic signal value is verified in Figure 6 for five shorted turns in phase A. As can be seen, in order to limit the current of the short circuit, additional resistance can be used (for $R_{sh} = 0 \Omega$, $I_{sh} \approx 28 A$). Short-circuit resistance grows along with a decrease in the short-circuit current. Moreover, it can be seen that the dependence of the diagnostic signals (both current and voltage) on the short-circuit current value is approximately linear. Thus, inferring about the motor condition and the quality of the diagnostic process can be significantly reduced (difficult) if the short-circuit is not metallic. However, when the current in the short-circuit circuit is relatively small, the damage is not so dangerous for the machine.

The following subchapters include the detailed analysis of both sensorless control structure and the diagnosis algorithm performance in the case of a non-faulty and faulty operation, with various speeds and load torques.

6. Experimental Verification

6.1. Sensorless Control in Case of non-Faulty Operation

The performance of the Eq-SMMRAS$^{CC}$ estimator within a fully sensorless DFOC structure, in the case of a non-faulty operation, is shown in Figure 7. It can be seen in Figure 7a that the estimated speed follows the real speed and the reference speed precisely, with a very small delay. The estimated speed consists of two parts: A discontinuous and continuous (equivalent) one, which are both shown in Figure 7b. It can be seen that the equivalent signal (blue) follows the real speed, however, its average value after filtration would be different than the mechanical speed. It can be caused by the parameter mismatch, discrete realization of the control structure, modified structure of the motor (to allow short-circuits of stator winding), non-ideal estimation of stator voltage, noise intensified by the derivatives of current components in (14), etc. Therefore, the estimator is extended with the discontinuous signal (black in Figure 7b) to compensate for possible imperfections. The sum of the two mentioned signals is filtered to create the final form of the estimated signal, shown in Figure 7a.

As can be seen, the speed is controlled perfectly in the sensorless structure. Similarly, the rotor flux amplitude (Figure 7c) is kept at its reference, nominal level. The speed switching function is shown in Figure 7d, which proves that the objective of the estimator (zero value of the switching function $s$) is ensured. The current components are estimated quite well, as shown in Figure 7e. The biggest estimation error appears during the reversions.
The presented results are shown for the no-resistance case. All of the diagnostic signals are presented respectively. It can be seen that the amplitudes of the second harmonics increase successively for all signals under consideration. In fact, the short circuit of only one turn is almost invisible. However, two short-circuit turns give a noticeable change of the amplitude (only the reference \( u_{sh} \) varies not significantly). Although the absolute values of the signals are small, the relative changes referred to the healthy values are significant. Definitely, the \( x \)-axis components (current and voltage), connected with the rotor flux amplitude regulation, are more sensitive to occurring damage.

6.2. On-line Speed Estimation and Fault Diagnosis

The objective of this paper is to evaluate the possibility of estimating the speed in the case of the stator inter-turn faults and vice versa, to evaluate the diagnostic procedure of the stator winding in the case of speed-sensorless operation. Both of these are presented in Figure 8. The test presents constant speed operation in the case of the fault increasing level—the number of short-circuit turns in phase A of the motor increases every five seconds, as shown in Figure 8b, from one to eight short-circuit turns. The presented results are shown for the no-resistance case. All of the diagnostic signals are presented here in relation to their values in the case of healthy operation (\( N_{sh} = 0 \)).

Figure 8a shows encoderless operation; the estimated speed (black) oscillates around the reference value (red, 80% of nominal speed). It can be seen that the speed estimation is almost perfect, even in the case of stator winding fault diagnosis (Figure 8a). Due to the asymmetry introduced by the faulted winding the oscillation level of both estimated and real speed increases together with raising \( N_{sh} \), however, the oscillations can be practically neglected in comparison to the actual speed signal.

The online waveforms of the diagnostic signals are shown in Figure 8c–f. According to the diagnostic procedure described above, the online algorithm first determines the fundamental frequency \( f_x \) of phase currents and then calculates the \( 2f_x \) frequency amplitudes of all four fault indicators. Each analyzed diagnostic signal is shown in Figure 8c–f: Reference stator current and voltage space vector components, respectively. It can be seen that the amplitudes of the second harmonics increase successively for all signals under consideration. In fact, the short circuit of only one turn is almost invisible. However, two short-circuit turns give a noticeable change of the amplitude (only the reference \( u_{sh} \) varies not significantly). Although the absolute values of the signals are small, the relative changes referred to the healthy values are significant. Definitely, the \( x \)-axis components (current and voltage), connected with the rotor flux amplitude regulation, are more sensitive to occurring damage.
Their usage in the fault diagnosis is preferable in comparison to the second (torque) control path, including y-axis components.

Figure 8. Performance of the speed estimation during the fault and on-line monitoring of diagnostic signals: (a) Estimated and real speed; (b) number of short-circuit turns—relative changes of the amplitudes of the second harmonic 2f_s of the reference signals; (c) field-producing current; (d) torque-producing current; (e) field-producing voltage; (f) torque-producing voltage.

6.3. FFT Analysis of the Diagnostic Signals Under Sensorless Operation

The FFT of the field-producing current is shown in Figure 9 for idle running (Figure 9a) and the operation with a nominal load (Figure 9b). The analysis is shown for various numbers of short-circuit turns.

Due to the nature of the DFOC and its similarity to the DC motor control, the analyzed signals should be generally constant in time when the speed and the load torque are constant. The amplitude of the rotor flux should be constant, therefore the flux-producing current i_{sx} should be constant as well. Consequently, due to the constant speed, the motor torque, proportional to i_{sy}, should be constant and equal to constant load torque. Therefore, all signals contain the DC component and the amplitude of the f_{dc} component is large and much higher than the diagnostic signals analyzed in this paper.

Except for the DC components, there are still some frequencies that can be observed in the diagnostic signals including the stator winding failure, the 2f_s frequency amplitude is selected to be the fault indicator.
the diagnostic process. As was stated in Section 4, due to the highest sensitivity to the stator winding failure, the $2f_s$ frequency amplitude is selected to be the fault indicator.

Figure 10 shows an analysis similar to the one presented in Figure 9, however, this time it is related to the reference x-axis voltage component for no-load operation (Figure 10a) and the operation with nominal load torque (Figure 10b). The conclusions are analogous to the previous ones; the $2f_s$ frequency from the FFT analysis is the most valuable diagnostic signal.

Figure 9. Spectral analysis of reference $i_{ix}$ current with several short-circuit turns with $\omega_m = 0.6 \omega_{mN}$ for (a) no-load operation and (b) nominal load operation. FFT: fast Fourier transform.

Figure 10. Spectral analysis of reference $u_{ux}$ voltage with several short-circuit turns with $\omega_m = 0.6 \omega_{mN}$ for (a) no-load operation and (b) nominal load operation.

6.4. Influence of Load Torque and Motor Speed on the Effectiveness of the Diagnostic Procedure

Figure 11 shows the influence of the load torque on the performance of the diagnostic procedure using the magnitudes of the characteristic frequency harmonic $f_{sh} = 2f_s$ of the reference flux-producing current $i_{sy}$. Additionally, it shows the comparison between the operation with speed measurement (Figure 11a) and the sensorless operation (Figure 11b). The tests are presented for nine different numbers of short-circuit turns and six different values of the load torque. It can be seen that the
amplitude of the second harmonic frequency is almost independent of the load torque value, which is very important under fault diagnosis. In all cases the amplitude increases monotonically with rising \( N_{sh} \). This effect is similar in both cases, with and without speed measurement. However, the values of the diagnostic signal are larger in the case of the sensorless control structure. A more detailed comparison of both control modes is shown in Section 6.5.

![Figure 11](image1.png)

**Figure 11.** Amplitudes of the \( f_{sh} \) harmonic of the \( i_{sx} \) reference current component for different numbers of short-circuit turns and different load torques with \( \omega_m = 0.6 \omega_{mN} \) in the case of (a) speed measurement and (b) sensorless operation.

On the other hand, Figure 12 presents the influence of motor speed on the second harmonic frequency of the reference \( x \)-axis stator current in the case of constant load torque. The dependence of the diagnostic signals is now stronger and changes relatively more than in the case of varying load torque and constant rotor speed. Additionally, the trends are entirely different between speed sensor usage (Figure 12a) and sensorless operation (Figure 12b). For the speed measurement, the diagnostic index decreases with the speed value, while in the case of sensorless operation this index increases. However, its value is still much bigger than in a non-faulty situation \( (N_{sh} = 0) \). Additionally, it proves that the value of the diagnostic signal cannot be taken directly as an indicator of the number of short-circuit turns (e.g., the value of 0.008 can indicate four short-circuit turns in the case of nominal speed and eight short-circuit turns for 0.2 \( \omega_{mN} \)). However, it can still be taken as an input of some neural-network based fault detector or used to determine the trend of the fault signature in time.
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**Figure 12.** Amplitudes of the \( f_{sh} \) harmonic of the \( i_{sx} \) reference current component for different numbers of short-circuit turns and different motor speeds with \( \omega = 0.6 \omega_{mN} \) in the case of (a) speed measurement and (b) sensorless operation.
Figures 13 and 14 are analogical to Figures 11 and 12, while the analysis is related now to the reference x-axis voltage vector component. The obtained results are similar when the speed is constant and the influence of the load torque value is minimal. However, the influence of the rotor speed value on the diagnostic signal magnitudes is strong and changes monotonically in both cases, speed-sensor and speed-sensorless operations. The higher the speed, the bigger the diagnostic signal value. It proves once again that the diagnosis of stator windings is more effective for higher speed values than for a low speed range.
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**Figure 13.** Amplitudes of \( f_{sh} \) harmonic of \( u_{st} \) reference voltage component for different numbers of short-circuit turns and different load torques with \( \omega_m = 0.6 \omega_{mN} \) in the case of (a) speed measurement and (b) sensorless operation.
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**Figure 14.** Amplitudes of \( f_{sh} \) harmonic of \( u_{st} \) reference voltage component for different numbers of short-circuit turns and different motor speeds with \( t_l = 0.6 t_{sh} \) in the case of (a) speed measurement and (b) sensorless operation.

6.5. The Difference Between Sensorless Operation and Speed Sensor Usage

Figure 15 shows the comparison between the sensorless operation and the operation with a speed sensor for five short-circuit turns of the stator winding and for a healthy motor case (blue planes). Field-producing current (Figure 15a) and voltage (Figure 15b) are compared as well. The opposite tendency of a decreasing (sensor operation—light green) and increasing (sensorless operation—bottle green) diagnostic signal can be now clearly seen in reference stator current component \( i_{st} \) (Figure 15a).
These results are strictly connected with the data shown in Figure 12. However, the diagnostic signal in both cases is much bigger for the damaged (green color) than for undamaged motor (blue color). In contrast to this, the diagnostic signals in the case of the analyzed voltage component $u_{sx}$ (Figure 15b) for both sensor and sensorless operations are very similar; the tendency is identical.

![Figure 15](image)

**Figure 15.** Comparison between the operation with speed-sensor and speed-sensorless operations for the $f_{sb}$ frequency amplitude of reference (a) field-producing current and (b) field-producing voltage.

### 6.6. Influence of the PI Controller Parameters on the Diagnostic Procedure

Finally, the influence of the PI controller parameters of the DFOC structure on the diagnostics performance is verified. Values of the controller parameters used in all preceding tests are shown in Appendix A. The form of the transfer function of the PI controllers used in this paper is as follows:

$$
G_{PI}(p) = K_p \left(1 + \frac{1}{T_i p}\right)
$$

where $K_p$ is the controller gain, $T_i$ is the integral time constant of the controller, and $p$ is the Laplace transform variable.

According the analysis performed in previous sections, the detailed verification is made for two controllers: The rotor flux and field-producing current controllers. It is shown in Figure 16. All the tests are made for increasing number of shorted turns, i.e., $N_{sh} = 2, 4, 6, 8$. Two different scenarios are evaluated:

1. Flux controller parameters $K_{p,\psi}$ and $T_{i,\psi}$ are changing in the case of constant $K_{p,isx}$ and $T_{i,isx}$, as shown in Figure 16a,b;
2. Field-producing current controller parameters $K_{p,isx}$ and $T_{i,isx}$ are changing in the case of constant $K_{p,\psi}$ and $T_{i,\psi}$, as shown in Figure 16c,d.

Both proposed fault indicators, i.e., the $2f_s$ frequency amplitudes of reference $i_{sx}$ and $u_{sx}$ are presented in Figure 16a–d, respectively. It can be seen that the parameters of the PI controllers have an impact only on the current component. Its sensitivity to stator winding faults increases when the flux controller parameters are increased. On the other hand, the sensitivity decreases when the $i_{sx}$ controller parameters are increased. Nevertheless, the fault indicator related to motor voltage remains almost constant in all presented situations. Hence, the $2f_s$ frequency harmonics of the reference voltage component seems to be a more effective and reliable fault indicator.
Figure 16. Influence of PI controller parameter values on the $2f_s$ frequency amplitudes: (a) field-producing current in the case of changing flux controller parameters, (b) field-producing voltage component in the case of changing flux controller parameters, (c) field-producing current in the case of $i_{\text{isx}}$ controller parameters, (d) field-producing voltage component in the case of changing $i_{\text{isx}}$ controller parameters.
7. Conclusions

This paper presents the stator windings short-circuit diagnostic method for a sensorless vector-controlled induction motor. The direct field oriented control is applied to control the motor effectively and precisely. The outputs of all four PI controllers used in the control structure are considered as fault indicators. Two of them, i.e., the reference field-producing current component and the field-producing voltage component were proven to be more fault sensitive than torque-producing components and are subject to further analysis.

It was shown that the second harmonic frequency of these signals can be selected as a diagnostic indicator. It increased together with an increase in the number of shorted turns. Simultaneously, the influence of the current in the short circuit, load torque, and motor speed on the diagnostic procedure was verified and compared. It was proven that the load torque value has almost no impact on the selected diagnostic symptoms. However, the low speed of the motor decreased the sensitivity of the diagnostic method, therefore the fault diagnosis should be conducted for higher speeds of the machine. The speed has to be constant as well, to allow proper operation of the FFT algorithm.

Moreover, the influence of the PI controller parameters on the stator winding diagnostics was presented. It was shown that the stator voltage component related to the rotor flux control is superior over the current component. This is due to its almost full independence on the controller parameter values.

This paper shows that the speed estimation using the equivalent signal-based sliding mode MRAS estimator allows the obtainment of a precise and effective speed estimation, not only in the unfaulty condition, but also robust to the stator winding fault occurrence. On the other hand, the diagnostic procedure can be successfully applied in both sensorless and sensor-based operations.
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Appendix A

Nominal parameters of PI controllers are shown in Table A1.

| Controlled Variable                  | $K_p$ | $T_i$          |
|-------------------------------------|-------|---------------|
| Speed                              | $K_{p,\omega} = 3$ | $T_{i,\omega} = 0.05$ s |
| Rotor flux amplitude               | $K_{p,\psi} = 6$      | $T_{i,\psi} = 0.01$ s    |
| Torque-producing current component | $K_{p,isx} = 1$       | $T_{i,isx} = 0.01$ s    |
| Field-producing current component  | $K_{p,isy} = 1$       | $T_{i,isy} = 0.01$ s    |

Appendix B

Nominal data and parameters of tested induction motor are shown in Table A2.
Table A2. Nominal parameters of tested induction machine.

| Name                      | Symbol | SI Units | Normalized Units [p.u.] |
|---------------------------|--------|----------|-------------------------|
| Power                     | $P_N$  | 3000 [W] | 0.6394                  |
| Speed                     | $N_N$  | 1445 [r/min] | 0.963                  |
| Stator phase voltage      | $U_{sN}$ | 400 [V] | 0.707                   |
| Stator current            | $I_{sN}$ | 6.8 [A] | 0.707                   |
| Frequency                 | $f_{sN}$ | 50 [Hz] | 1                       |
| Rotor flux                | $\psi_{rN}$ | 0.927 [Wb] | 0.895                  |
| Torque                    | $T_{lN}$ | 19.83 [Nm] | 0.664                   |
| Pole pairs                | $p_p$  | 2        | -                       |
| Stator resistance         | $R_s$  | 1.768 [Ω] | 0.0523                  |
| Rotor resistance          | $R_r$  | 1.4970 [Ω] | 0.0443                  |
| Main inductance           | $L_m$  | 181.5 [mH] | 1.6858                  |
| Stator leakage inductance | $L_{s\sigma}$ | 8.9 [mH] | 0.0827                  |
| Rotor leakage inductance  | $L_{r\sigma}$ | 8.9 [mH] | 0.0827                  |
| Number of stator turns    | $N_s$  | 3x180 [-] | [-]                     |
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