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Treatment of idiopathic clubfoot by Ponseti method: a prospective evaluation
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ABSTRACT

Background: From long time clubfoot has been a clinical challenge to orthopaedic surgeons. It is one of the commonest congenital deformity of the musculoskeletal system in children. Each year more than 1,00,000 babies are born with congenital clubfoot. 80% of which occur in developing countries.

Methods: 60 feet in 40 children were treated by the Ponseti method from September 2014 to August 2016. Prospective follow up for a mean duration of 18 months was undertaken. The deformity was evaluated by Pirani score before and after the treatment. At the end of treatment all feet were functionally classified into good, fair and poor.

Results: The average number of casts applied before full correction was 8. 21.66% of the feet needed tenotomy before full correction. 90% of the patients showed good results, 3.3% had fair results and 6.6% of patients had poor results. There was a significant difference in the pre-treatment and post-treatment pirani score values.

Conclusions: Ponseti method of manipulation and plaster casting is very effective to correct club foot deformity.
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INTRODUCTION

Clubfoot occurs in 1:1000 live births and is the most common birth defects of the musculoskeletal system. Majority occur in developing countries. CTEV is characterized by ankle plantar flexion, subtalar inversion and adduction of the hind and forefoot, midfoot cavus with or without secondary distal internal tibial torsion. The main aim of the treatment is to achieve plantigrade painless, functionally and cosmetically acceptable foot. There are various modalities of treatment like plaster casts by kite to surgical treatment. Previously kite method of manipulation and casting was done. Kite used thumb over the calcaneocuboid joint blocks abduction of the calcaneous and interferes with correction of the heel varus (kites error).

Ponseti method used lateral head of the talus as lever for manipulation of the foot. Ponseti method of serial manipulation and casting with limited surgery claims to avoid open surgery in 89% of cases.

Cooper and Dietz reviewed Ponseti cases with an average of 30 years of follow up and found that 78% of the patients achieved excellent or good functional and clinical outcomes compared with 85% in a control group without congenital foot deformity.1

The stages in Ponseti method of treatment are manipulation by mother, conservative casting, tenotomy and then splinting and observation.
Objectives of the study

- To study the outcome following the use of Ponseti technique for idiopathic clubfoot.
- To evaluate the efficacy of the Ponseti method in reducing extensive corrective surgery rates for congenital idiopathic clubfoot.

METHODS

The study included 40 patients (60 feet) from outpatient section of department of Orthopaedics, Bapuji Hospital and Chigateri General Hospital attached to J.J.M. medical College, Davangere. The study was done between September 2014 to August 2016.

Inclusion criteria

Children between 7 days to 1 year of age with idiopathic clubfoot.

Exclusion criteria

Patients aged more than 1 year of age, clubfoot secondary to syndromic involvement, patients that have undergone prior surgical intervention for clubfoot.

All the patients were evaluated through detailed history and physical examination. In our study, every clubfoot was managed by Ponseti method of treatment. Every clubfoot was scored at each week by Pirani's scoring for hindfoot, midfoot and total score.

Tenotomy was indicated when hindfoot score >1, midfoot score <1 and the head of the talus was covered.

Categorization of feet

The feet were then classified into three categories with respect to the severity of the deformity on basis of initial Pirani score.

- Group 1 feet with a Pirani score of 1.5 to 2.5 points
- Group 2 feet with a Pirani score of 3 to 4.5 points
- Group 3 feet with a Pirani score of >5 points.

Technique

Ponseti method is divided into 2 phases.

- Treatment phase during which deformity is corrected by serial casting and tenotomy if required.
- Maintenance phase during which brace is utilized to prevent recurrence.

The steps in correction are Cavus correction, Correction of adduction and varus, Overcorrection of adduction and varus and lastly Correction of equinus.

Cavus is corrected in first 1 or 2 casts. This is done by stabilizing the talus by placing the thumb over the lateral part of its head and elevating the first ray to achieve supination of the forefoot in respect to the midfoot and hindfoot; padded plaster cast is applied in this position.

Next adduction is corrected by stabilizing the talus by placing the thumb over the lateral part of its head and holding the supinated foot in abduction and applying the cast. The heel varus and equinus or corrected last and simultaneously. Weekly plasters are applied till we get 70° of abduction in supination.

In children, when equinus deformity persisted, it is corrected by percutaneous tenotomy of the achilles tendon under general anaesthesia in operating room. Tenotomy is done by No 11 blade where in the Achilles tendon is split. After tenotomy the final cast is applied with the foot in 70 degree of abduction and 10-15 degrees of dorsiflexion. This cast is retained for 3 weeks.

Tenotomy was done

1. If residual equinus was observed i.e. after the adduction of the foot and the varus deformity of the heel have been corrected.
2. 15 degree dorsiflexion has not been obtained with use of casts.
3. When Hindfoot score >1, Midfoot score <1 and the head of talus was covered.

After that splitting with Dennis Brown splint was done. The splint was applied for 23 hours per day for the first 3 months. After those 12 hours at night and 2 to 4 hour in the middle of the day for a total of 14-16 hours until the child is 3-4 years of age. Once the child started walking CTEV shoes were used.

Patients were followed up regularly after the treatment protocol. Final grading of the patients result was done into good, fair and poor.

- Good - patients were labeled as having a good result if Pirani score is 1.5 point or better or if all the deformities get corrected by Ponseti technique alone on final follow up.
- Fair - patients were labeled as having a fair result if additional surgical procedures such as tendon lengthening through an open approach or a posterior release of the ankle and subtalar joint were done to get correction.
- Poor - patients were labeled as having a poor result if Ponseti technique failed to give complete correction of foot even once.

In this study Wilcoxon signed rank test was used. The Z value was away from zero, therefore the test was
significant. There was significant difference between the pretreatment and post treatment Pirani scores.

RESULTS

A total of 40 children with 60 feet were treated by Ponseti method and the results were assessed. 16 (40%) were female, 24 (60%) were male.

The total mean score at presentation was 5.5. The majority of cases required 6 casts for correction of the deformity. The average duration of cast application was 6 weeks. Tenotomy was required in 13 feet (21.66%). In the present study 90% (36) of the children showed good results about 3.3% (1) had fair results and 6.6% (3) of patients had poor results showing failure with Ponseti technique. Overall 93.3% of the patients showed satisfactory results. 4 patients had relapsed (10%), 3 were lost for follow up.

Table 1: Showing the side involvement of clubfoot in our study.

| Side involved | Number of children (%) |
|---------------|------------------------|
| Right         | 12 (30)                |
| Left          | 8 (20)                 |
| Bilateral     | 20 (50)                |
| Total         | 40                     |

Table 2: Showing the type of feet and their numbers in our study.

| Type of feet | Number of feet (%) |
|--------------|--------------------|
| Supple       | 45 (75)            |
| Rigid        | 15 (25)            |

Table 3: Showing the number of feet in each group.

| Category     | Number of feet (%) |
|--------------|--------------------|
| Group 1      | 8 (13.3)           |
| Group 2      | 40 (66.6)          |
| Group 3      | 12 (20)            |

Table 4: Showing the complications encountered in our study.

| Complications | Number of feet |
|---------------|----------------|
| Abrasions     | 9              |
| Slippage of cast | 1          |
| Blister       | 1              |

Figure 1: Clinical photographs showing 1.5 months old male baby with bilateral CTEV. (A=Showing feet at initial presentation; B=Cavus correction; C=Correction of adduction; D=Over correction of adduction; E=After correction of adduction, varus and equinus; F=Application of D-B splint and G=At final follow up the feet was fully corrected).

Figure 2: Showing the results of our study.
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In case of abrasions and blisters the casting was not done for 1 week and the wound was allowed to heal. In case of slippage, cast was reapplied.

**DISCUSSION**

Clubfoot is a complex deformity of foot which poses a challenge for the orthopaedic surgeon. The Ponseti method of correction of clubfoot deformity requires manipulation and serial corrective casts with long term brace compliance for maintaining the correction.\(^2\),\(^3\),\(^6\),\(^7\) There are many guidelines and protocols for the treatment.\(^4\)\(^-\)\(^13\) The treatment should be started as soon as the skin condition of baby allows for casting.

In our study the male to female ratio is 3:2 in comparison to the series ofowell and wein and yamamoto (m:f 3:1).\(^1\),\(^2\),\(^3\),\(^4\)\(^-\)\(^15\) Palmer explained that females require more number of predisposing factors to produce clubfoot than males.\(^1\)\(^0\) There are various studies showing that clubfoot occurs in first born baby (65%),\(^8\),\(^1\),\(^2\),\(^3\),\(^4\),\(^1\),\(^4\)\(^-\)\(^16\) The earliest cast applied was at the age of 10 days and maximum age at which a cast applied was 6 months.

The number of casts per feet in our study was 6-10 (average 8). In a series by Ponseti et al the number of cast per feet was 5-10 (average 7.6).\(^4\) In study by Laaveg et al, the mean number of cast was 7.\(^1\),\(^3\) Morcuende reported that 90% of the children required less than 5 casts.\(^1\),\(^7\),\(^1\),\(^8\)

In our study the duration of casts for majority of the feet was 6 weeks. Ponseti et al reported 5 to 12 weeks duration of casts (average 9.5 weeks).\(^4\) In study by Laaveg et al the average duration was 8-6 weeks.\(^1\)\(^3\) Morcuende et al reported an average time from the first cast to tenotomy as 16 days for one group and 24 days for another group in the same study.\(^1\),\(^7\)

In our study tenotomy was required in 21.66% of the cases and had initial pirani score >5.

In study carried out by Pirani, tenotomy was done in >90% of patient. Laaveg et al did tenotomy in 78% cases.

In our study after serial casting Dennis Brown splint was used. In a study by Thacker et al 44 clubfoot were treated with Ponseti method followed by Steenbeck foot abduction brace.\(^2\) In our study Pirani score become 0 in majority of cases after Dennis Brown splint was used for 8 months.

The Ponseti method is an excellent method of treatment of clubfoot.\(^8\),\(^1\),\(^3\),\(^1\),\(^5\),\(^1\),\(^6\),\(^2\),\(^9\) 40 years of follow up in some studies showed that patient treated by Ponseti method are leading a normal life. Ponseti method avoids surgery, gives a painless, mobile, normal looking foot with good mobility. All the institutions are adopting Ponseti method for clubfoot treatment. Proper motivation of patients to accept long term brace treatment helps to maintain the correction over large periods of time and prevents relapse.

**CONCLUSION**

Ponseti method is an excellent conservative method for treatment of CTEV deformity. Treatment must be started at the earliest possible age. Number of casts required to achieve full correction increases as the age at presentation increases. The patients who have lower Pirani score at initial visit respond better and faster to the treatment as compared to those who have high Pirani score at initial visit. Early results of treatment of idiopathic CTEV by Ponseti technique results in very good correction of the deformity with minimal surgery i.e. percutaneous Tenotomy of heel cord.

**Limitations of the study**

1. Study was done in small number of patients.
2. The period of follow up was short (18 months).
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