Trading offspring for survival: high duckweed cover decreases reproductive potential and stimulates elongation in the submerged macrophyte Chara globularis Thuillier
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Abstract Compact blankets of free-floating plants generate stressful aquatic environments. The response of submerged macrophytes remains largely elusive. Will they rush toward the light or rather speed up reproductive efforts and escape using propagules—the macrophyte equivalent of lifeboats? We studied the effects of complete duckweed (Lemna minor) cover on growth and reproductive fitness of macroalga Chara globularis in a pond mesocosm experiment. C. globularis growing in Lemna-covered plots lost biomass and developed longer internodes, indicating an elongative reflex to escape stress. Densities of reproductive organs per biomass unit evolved positively in open plots and negatively in covered plots, suggesting a trade-off between reproductive effort and vegetative elongation. Reproductive potential correlated significantly with incident radiation. Lemna cover, however, did not affect oospore rain—at least within the limited time span of propagule trapping. C. globularis thus displayed an ability to modify phenology in response to floating plant stress, allocating resources to internodes instead of gametangia. Nevertheless, duckweed dominance clearly suppressed the overall reproductive performance of C. globularis. The regenerative capacity of many submerged macrophytes will likely suffer from increased floating plant dominance due to global warming—unless efforts are made to reduce nutrient levels in vulnerable waterbodies.
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Introduction

Submerged macrophytes occupy a niche that easily turns hostile following anthropogenic nutrient loading. Under eutrophic conditions, the interplay between biotic interactions, the abiotic environment and climate favors a dominance of either submerged macrophytes, phytoplankton, or free-floating plants (Scheffer et al., 1993, 2003; Phillips et al., 2016; Van Onsem & Triest, 2018). In many wind-sheltered ponds and ditches, increased nutrient availability ultimately benefits lemnids (duckweeds; fam. Araceae), which consequently outcompete submerged aquatic vegetation as well as phytoplankton for light (Portielje and Roijackers, 1995; Scheffer et al., 2003;
Feuchtmayr et al., 2009). Moreover, global warming can be expected to stimulate floating plant dominance in the near future (Feuchtmayr et al., 2009; Peeters et al., 2013) and undermine viability of submerged aquatic vegetation (Netten et al., 2010)—aggravating preexisting trends toward an increased ratio of floating over submerged plants (Kim & Nishihiro, 2020).

Progressive stability of lemnid mats can have detrimental effects on submerged macrophytes. By blocking sunlight and creating hypoxic conditions, lemnids potentially transform aquatic habitats into underwater deserts (Portielje and Roijackers, 1995; Janse and Van Puijenbroek, 1998). Even without or prior to complete loss of submerged macrophytes, the underlying vegetation could experience disturbed growth rates and modified reproductive patterns (Janes et al., 1996; Li et al., 2015). For most submerged taxa, the direction, extent and flexibility of the reproductive response to increased stress have not been mapped. If resource allocation is rigid, a stressful environment likely hampers final propagule output. On the contrary, macrophytes displaying high trait plasticity (DeWitt et al., 1998; Sultan, 2000) could either react to stress or disturbance by increasing reproductive investment (Garbey et al., 2004; Puijalon et al., 2008; Sato et al., 2014), or adapt non-reproductive growth to force a release from the stressor, e.g., by elongating shoots (Blindow et al., 2003; Asaeda et al., 2007; Lu et al., 2013; Schneider et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2020) or boosting allelopathic defenses (Hilt and Gross, 2008). Under restricted resource availability, a trade-off between non-reproductive growth and vegetative or sexual propagation would logically occur in plastic species (Boedeltje et al., 2008; Van Drunen and Dorken, 2012; Eckert et al., 2016).

Here, the potential of submerged macrophytes to control reproductive resource allocation in function of an environmental change, more specifically floating plant nuisance, was experimentally investigated. We studied the effect of complete Lemna minor L. cover on growth and reproductive output of Chara globularis Thuillier. C. globularis is a common, eutrophication-tolerant, monocious macroalga, and like other members of Charophyceae (stoneworts) depends to a large extent on sexually produced oospores for regeneration (Bonis and Grillas, 2002). We installed mesocosms around C. globularis growing in a shallow pond and hypothesized a Lemna treatment would affect both non-reproductive growth and densities of sex organs (male antheridia and female oogonia), thus altering the potential reproductive output. Production and release of oospores was quantified using traps positioned close to the sediment (Van Onsem et al., 2018), and was expected to be favored by a suitable light regime.

**Materials and methods**

**Study site**

The experiment was conducted in summer 2012 in the Denis pond (50°49'44''N, 4°25'51''E), a shallow (0.5 m deep) artificial waterbody with a relatively small surface area (0.33 ha) situated in the Woluwe Park at the eastside of the Brussels-Capital Region (Fig. 1). During construction of the 68-ha-sized park at the end of the 19th century, Denis pond was excavated just upstream of another, larger pond (1.75 ha), connected through an overflow. Denis pond is mainly fed by groundwater seepage, and has a retention time of around 220 days. The riparian zone consists of vertical, wooden embankments and lacks emergent macrophytes. The pond’s perimeter mainly consists of hilly grass lawns.

The Denis pond had been subject to phytoplankton dominance in the past and therefore was biomanipulated between 2006 and 2007, combining complete water drawdown, fish removal and pike addition. Although biomanipulation successfully restored clear-water conditions, no macrophytes were observed in the period 2007-2010 (no data are available for 2011). Grazing peaks of herbivorous waterfowl probably hindered development of submerged aquatic vegetation, since oospore densities in the propagule bank were not a limiting factor (Van Onsem & Triest, 2018). Early in the growth season of 2012, meadows of C. globularis did appear, together with Ceratophyllum demersum L. (frequent) and Potamogeton pusillus L. (occasional). L. minor covered part of the southern and western edges of the pond. No fish were observed during the course of the study.

**Mesocosm set-up and environmental monitoring**

We used remodeled plastic bins as mesocosm plots. The circular bins (diameter: 0.48 m) were modified by removing the bottom and cutting out parts from the
lower half, creating an inverted crown with four spikes that served as plot anchors. On August 10th, sixteen plots were gently inserted in the sediment on top of healthy *C. globularis* beds growing in a shallow zone situated along an artificial island (Fig. 1; Appendix—Supplementary Material 1). The mesocosms were installed in a sickle-shaped, linear fashion around the island. Distance between the islands’ shore and the plots was around two to three meters. Measured from the sediment, height of the mesocosms was 0.45 m. The choice for this particular set-up was driven by a visual estimation of macroalgal fitness, which seemed to deteriorate in deeper, more exposed parts of the pond. On top of the mesocosms, we attached bird netting to protect *L. minor* and *C. globularis* from herbivory and disturbance.

In the middle of each plot, we carefully pinned a propagule trap (Van Onsem et al., 2018) in the sediment underneath *C. globularis* (Appendix—Supplementary Material 2). Propagule traps consisted of commercially available, circular and meshed plastic baskets (height: 10.2 cm) fabricated for potting aquatic plants, and had a diameter of 11.8 cm at the top (surface area $0.0109 \text{ m}^2$). The inner side of the pots was coated with a glued 180 $\mu \text{m}$ polyester fabric, suited for capturing oospores of *C. globularis* (Haas, 1994; Boszke and Bociąg, 2010). To secure the propagule traps, the baskets were pinned in the sediment using 24-cm-long tent pegs, fitted on both
sides. *C. globularis* thalli were gently repositioned over the collector.

Following mesocosm planting, *C. globularis* was allowed to acclimatize for two days before the initiation of the duckweed treatment on August 12th (day 1). In an alternating fashion, plots were covered with *L. minor* (100% cover, collected within Pond Denis; *n* = 8) or left open (*n* = 8). The experiment lasted for 40 days. We made sure that the initial duckweed cover remained constant (either 0 in control plots or 100% in treatment plots) throughout the experiment, by occasionally removing or adding some *Lemna* fronds where needed.

To follow-up environmental changes, physical and physical-chemical variables as well as nutrient concentrations were measured in the water column on three occasions (day 13: August 24th, day 21: September 1st, day 44: September 24th). Oxygen, pH and temperature were measured on the field using a WTW portable multimeter after collection of 1 L of mesocosm water, from which a filtered (NO₃—nitrate and nitrite; NH₄⁺—ammonium; and SRP—soluble reactive phosphorus) and unfiltered (TP—total phosphorus; Chl a—chlorophyll a) subsample was taken for subsequent spectrophotometric analysis (APHA, 1995). Photosynthetically active radiation (PAR, as PPFFR—photosynthetic photon flux fluence rate) was measured using an underwater radiation sensor (LI-COR LI-193), held just under the water surface. In parallel, abiotic variables were analyzed for pond water collected in the vicinity of the plots.

Biomass sampling and relative growth rate

A first biomass sample (day 1) was collected outside of the plots, to mimic the initial status of *C. globularis*, by gathering the vegetation within an area of 15 × 15 cm. On day 20 (half-way) and day 40 (final), biomass was sampled within the mesocosms using an area of 10 × 10 cm on opposite sides of the centrally positioned, overgrown propagule traps. During collection of *C. globularis*, fresh material was divided into two separate fractions: the upper 15 cm and the remaining undergrowth (bottom fraction).

We divided biomass samples based on the assumption that the *Lemna* treatment would initiate biometric or reproductive responses mainly within the top layer, which contains new outgrowth of thalli. Biomass samples were kept in sealed plastic bags and stored at 4°C in attendance of processing. At the time of biomass sampling, we also recorded the relative volume of the water column taken up by *C. globularis* (PVI—percent volume infested), a measure of plant abundance.

After analysis of vegetative and reproductive traits (see next section), the combined (total) sampled biomass from top and sub *C. globularis* layers was oven-dried at 70°C for 72 h and subsequently weighted. The relative growth rate (RGR) of *C. globularis* total biomass was calculated using the formula \[
\frac{\ln(biomass_{t=b}) - \ln(biomass_{t=a})}{(b-a)}.\]

Vegetative and reproductive thallus traits

Relative to algal standards, Charophyceae species have complex multicellular and branched morphologies. The thallus of *C. globularis* consists of slender, corticated internodes connecting whorls, which originate from the nodes. The whorls carry paired sexual organs (female oogonia and male antheridia; see Appendix—Supplementary Material 3). Within biomass samples originating from the top 15 cm of the *C. globularis* stands, we analyzed both vegetative and reproductive features:

- Internodal length (vegetative), i.e., stretched distance between whorls. Per sample, 25 internodes were measured with a ruler, avoiding both apical and basal (i.e., first of a branch) internodes.
- Gametangial density (reproductive), i.e., density of sexual organs per biomass unit. Reproductive patterns were investigated by scanning 65 ± 15 (mostly 70) randomly selected whorls per plot sample with a stereoscopic microscope. The exact whorl number depended on the density of the *C. globularis* meadow in the plots. We counted antheridia and oogonia separately, because within reproductive pairs antheridia tend to mature first (so-called protandry; Casanova, 1994; Calero et al., 2015, 2017), potentially affecting detectability. Gametangia present on terminal whorls were too delicate and immature to be quantified accurately and were not included. Since delineation of successive developmental stages lay outside the scope of this study, we implemented relaxed definitions of female structures, terming unfertilized gametangia and fertilized oosporangia (Soulie´-Märscbe and García, 2015) ‘oogonia’.

Springer
Oospores—including both ripening, uncalcified, blackened oospheres and calcified gyrogonites—were omitted from trend analyses, because they readily detached, involving a risk of underestimation. After processing, the subsamples were oven-dried at 70°C during 72 h. Reproductive effort was expressed as the number of oogonia or antheridia per gram dry weight within the upper 15 cm layer.

Gametangial abundance (reproductive). We determined the total number of gametangia present per unit area (n m⁻²) in the upper layer at final harvest—combining information on biomass and reproduction—and its relation with the light climate (PAR) during the study period.

Oospore rain

At the end of the experiment, propagule traps were retrieved and collected deposits were transferred to falcon tubes stored at 4°C. The settled material collected with the propagule traps was spread out in a petri dish and scanned with a stereoscopic microscope to count the number of oospores (see Appendix—Supplementary Material 3). Oospore rain is presented as the rate of deposition per unit area per day (n m⁻² day⁻¹; Van Onsem et al., 2018).

Statistical analysis

The treatment effect on environmental conditions and C. globularis relative growth rate was analyzed using Mann–Whitney U-tests, since data did not conform to assumptions of parametric statistics.

Evolutions of C. globularis total biomass, internode length and gametangia density were analyzed using repeated-measures ANOVA with additional fixed treatment factor to study effects of Lemma cover (STATISTICA 13.2). Assumptions of homoscedasticity for the treatment factor (Levene’s test) and sphericity for within-subject effects (Mauchly’s test) were checked prior to each ANOVA analysis. We allowed moderate deviations from normality in subgroups, visually estimated based on histograms. Violations of sphericity were resolved by adjusting the degrees of freedom using the Greenhouse–Geisser correction. For internodal length, a nested term (plot nested in treatment) was added to the repeated-measures structure, to account for multiple measures within the same plot. Since in the case of internodal length Levene’s test indicated heteroscedasticity between treatments within sampling campaigns, data were log₁₀-transformed—with success. Significant ANOVA’s were decomposed using post hoc Tukey’s HSD tests.

Spearman’s rank-order correlations were applied to establish the relation between light climate and final reproductive investment (visualized using non-parametric LOESS plots). The effect of Lemma cover and total biomass of C. globularis (averaged over the experimental period) on oospore rain was verified using a generalized linear model (identity link; package glmmTMB; Magnusson et al., 2017; R 3.2.0).

Results

Environmental conditions

The abiotic conditions during the experiment are summarized in Table 1, Appendix—Supplementary Material 4 and Appendix—Supplementary Material 5. Oxygen levels and pH were greatly elevated in open plots (on average 20.69 mg L⁻¹ and 8.99, respectively), and significantly higher compared to Lemma-covered mesocosms (average of 11.59 mg L⁻¹ and 8.14, respectively; O₂ concentration: U = 76, P < 0.0001; pH: U = 118, P < 0.001; n = 24; Table 1; Appendix—Supplementary Material 5). Similarly, floating plant cover significantly lowered PAR (U = 78, P < 0.0001; n = 24), on average with 85% (Table 1; Appendix—Supplementary Material 5). Planktonic Chl a and nutrient concentrations did not differ between treatments.

Biomass and relative growth rate

Total biomass of C. globularis evolved negatively under Lemma but stayed relatively stable in uncovered plots (Fig. 2). This diverging trend in submerged macrophyte abundance was significant (Fig. 2; Table 3). At the end of the study, the whole C. globularis stand weighed on average 588 ± 89 SD g(dry weight-dw) m⁻² in open plots versus 160 ± 113 SD g(dw) m⁻² in closed plots (Table 2). The difference was also reflected in contrasting PVI values (U = 59, P < 0.0001); during the course of the experiment, C. globularis largely filled the whole...
water column in all open plots (Appendix—Supplementary Material 5), whereas only the three southernmost Lemna mesocosms attained PVI levels of 100%.

Within all time slots, RGR in terms of biomass was mostly negative and significantly lower for the Lemna treatment (d20-d1: U = 1, P < 0.01; d40-d20: U = 8, P < 0.05; d40-d1: U = 0, P = 0; n = 8; Fig. 3). Average RGR over the entire interval equaled 0.004 ± 0.005SD g g⁻¹ day⁻¹ for the open treatment compared to -0.035 ± 0.023SD g g⁻¹ day⁻¹ within the Lemna plots.

Table 1 Abiotic variables measured in open (control, n = 8) and Lemna (treatment, n = 8) plots on three occasions

| Variable | Unit          | Open 24-Aug | Open 1-Sep | Open 24-Sep | Lemna 24-Aug | Lemna 1-Sep | Lemna 24-Sep | Pond 24-Aug | Pond 1-Sep | Pond 24-Sep |
|----------|---------------|-------------|------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| pH       |               | 9.26        | 9.31       | 8.40        | 8.31         | 8.60        | 7.53         | 8.77        | 9.09       | 7.28        |
| T °C     |               | 25.9        | 21.3       | 16.0        | 25.8         | 20.5        | 15.7         | 25.7        | 20.9       | 15.0        |
| O₂ (con.) mg L⁻¹ | 20.8        | 23.3       | 18.0       | 11.2        | 14.9         | 8.7          | 14.1         | 20.0        | 5.1        |
| O₂ (sat.) %       | 2.0          | 6.3        | 8.3        | 0.8         | 6.4          | 3.3          | –            | –           | –          |
| PAR μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ | 606          | 655        | 112        | 72          | 59           | 19           | –            | –           | –          |
| Chl a μg L⁻¹      | 6.0          | 1.2        | 7.2        | 2.2         | 5.7          | 12.3         | 4.0          | 7.3        | 4.9        |
| Depth m          | 3.2          | 0.4        | 5.5        | 0.8         | 3.1          | 7.5          | –            | –          | –          |
| NO₃ mg N L⁻¹     | 0.025        | 0.042      | 0.104      | 0.018       | 0.031        | 0.098        | 0.024        | 0.029      | 0.157      |
| NH₄⁺ mg N L⁻¹    | 0.017        | 0.015      | 0.314      | 0.018       | 0.024        | 0.407        | 0.011        | 0.015      | 0.799      |
| DIN mg N L⁻¹    | 0.039        | 0.036      | 0.038      | 0.009       | 0.016        | 0.040        | –            | –          | –          |
| TP mg P L⁻¹     | 0.364        | 1.640      | 1.460      | 0.651       | 1.602        | 1.546        | 0.451        | 1.593      | 1.517      |
| SRP mg P L⁻¹    | 0.030        | 0.021      | 0.016      | 0.026       | 0.025        | 0.016        | 0.041        | 0.015      | 0.015      |

Pond data are included for comparison (n = 3). Mean (upper figure) ± SD (lower figure). DIN—dissolved inorganic nitrogen (sum of nitrates, nitrites and ammonium). 24-Aug: day 13, 1-Sep: day 21, 24-Sep: day 44 of the experiment

Internodal length

Both midway and at the end of the experiment, thalli of C. globularis had developed significantly longer internodes in Lemna plots compared to open plots (F = 50.5, P < 0.001; Table 3; Fig. 6). After 40 days, the average internodal distance in Lemna plots reached 35.1 ± 16.0SD mm, compared to 24.7 ± 11.4SD mm in open mesocosms (Table 2).

Gametangial density and abundance

Density of both male and female gametangia increased in open plots and decreased in closed plots relative to the starting situation (Fig. 4). The effect of Lemna cover was significant (antheridia: F = 39.9,
Fig. 2  Evolution of total biomass of *C. globularis* in open and *Lemna*-covered mesocosm plots (*n* = 8 per treatment and campaign). Different letters correspond to significant differences over all box plots.

Table 2  Reproductive and growth characteristics of *Chara globularis* in response to treatments

| Variable               | Unit            | Open Day 1 | Open Day 20 | Open Day 40 | Lemna Day 1 | Lemna Day 20 | Lemna Day 40 |
|------------------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| Antheridia density a   | n g(dw)^{-1}    | 679        | 1122        | 1841        | 804         | 345          | 163          |
|                        |                 | 650        | 399         | 318         | 365         | 219          | 188          |
| Oogonia density a      | n g(dw)^{-1}    | 887        | 1192        | 2023        | 1193        | 279          | 179          |
|                        |                 | 580        | 443         | 429         | 280         | 255          | 260          |
| Oospore density a      | n g(dw)^{-1}    | 60         | 0           | 75          | 119         | 42           | 1            |
|                        |                 | 78         | 1           | 88          | 156         | 59           | 2            |
| Biomass upper layer a  | g(dw) m^{-2}    | 70         | 175         | 309         | 48          | 37           | 59           |
|                        |                 | 28         | 52          | 73          | 30          | 27           | 60           |
| Biomass total b        | g(dw) m^{-2}    | 516        | 480         | 588         | 483         | 188          | 160          |
|                        |                 | 107        | 53          | 89          | 95          | 64           | 113          |
| Antheridia abundance a | n m^{-2}        | 4.4E+04    | 1.9E+05     | 5.7E+05     | 3.9E+04     | 1.1E+04      | 1.6E+04      |
|                        |                 | 3.2E+04    | 6.1E+04     | 1.8E+05     | 3.0E+04     | 1.2E+04      | 3.2E+04      |
| Oogonia abundance a    | n m^{-2}        | 6.1E+04    | 2.0E+05     | 6.3E+05     | 5.7E+04     | 1.2E+04      | 2.3E+04      |
|                        |                 | 3.3E+04    | 6.4E+04     | 2.2E+05     | 3.6E+04     | 1.7E+04      | 4.2E+04      |
| Oospore abundance a    | n m^{-2}        | 5.7E+03    | 3.5E+01     | 2.5E+04     | 5.6E+03     | 1.3E+03      | 8.4E+01      |
|                        |                 | 9.6E+03    | 9.8E+01     | 2.9E+04     | 6.4E+03     | 2.2E+03      | 2.4E+02      |
| Internodal length a    | mm              | 36.1       | 41.2        | 24.7        | 34.8        | 50.5         | 35.1         |
|                        |                 | 14.6       | 16.9        | 11.4        | 13.6        | 19.4         | 16.0         |

Mean (upper figure) ± SD (lower figure)

aUpper layer (15 cm) of the meadow

bComplete stand
Unstressed, antheridia and oogonia reached densities of $1841 \pm 318$ SD g(dw)$^{-1}$ and $2023 \pm 429$ SD g(dw)$^{-1}$, respectively (Table 2). On the other hand, *Lemna* shading lowered densities to $163 \pm 188$ SD antheridia g(dw)$^{-1}$ and $179 \pm 260$ SD oogonia g(dw)$^{-1}$ at the end of the experiment.

Light conditions during the experiment correlated significantly positively with total gametangia abundance at final harvest (antheridia: $r_s = 0.79$, $P < 0.001$; oogonia: $r_s = 0.83$, $P < 0.001$; Fig. 5).

**Oospore rain**

Under *Lemna*, the rate of oospore deposition averaged $672 \pm 510$ SD n m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$, against $911 \pm 621$ SD n m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$ in open plots (Fig. 7). The treatment effect (*Lemna* versus open) on oospore rain was marginally insignificant (glm estimate: $2929 \pm 1659$SE, $P = 0.077$). We found no significant relation between oospore rain and vegetation biomass (glm estimate: $-3.6 \pm 3.1$SE, $P = 0.243$; Fig. 7).

**Discussion**

Mats of floating plants can be a recurrent, persistent symptom of eutrophication in sheltered temperate waterbodies (Portielje and Roijackers, 1995; Scheffer et al., 2003; Boedeltje et al., 2005; Feuchtmayr et al., 2009). Our results demonstrate that stability of underlying *C. globularis* meadows can be directly affected by floating vegetation mats through decreased biomass and PVI, even in superficial (< 0.5 m deep) waters. A drop in biomass and presumed concomitant fitness might impair the ability of *C. globularis* to architecturally dominate the water column, and could also reduce the effectiveness of the macroalgae to inhibit autotrophic competitors through allelopathy (Berger and Schagerl, 2004; Blindow et al., 2014).

Strongly elevated pH values and oversaturated dissolved O$_2$ levels encountered in open mesocosms are indicative of enhanced photosynthetic activity relative to *Lemna*-covered plots, which was not explained by phytoplankton Chl $a$ abundance and therefore likely related to *C. globularis* productivity. Indeed, relative growth rate was mostly positive for open plots, while *Lemna* generally inhibited biomass expansion. Underneath the duckweed, positive growth rates and high oxygen concentrations were temporarily achieved only in the southern-most mesocosm plots, where exposure to sunlight was highest. Restricted charophycean growth as a result of light limitation or plant cover has been described by Schaible et al. (2012) and Sato et al. (2014), while Blindow et al. (2003) and Li et al. (2015) did not observe reduced biomass, indicating differential responses in function of specific circumstances and species identity.
In conjunction with decreased biomass, *C. globularis* developed longer thallus internodes in reaction to shading. Shoot elongation is a frequent phenomenon in *Chara* and *Nitella* species experiencing light limitation and directs biomass toward light (Casanova, 1994; Blindow et al., 2003; Asaeda et al., 2007; Schaible et al., 2012). Li et al. (2015) did, however, not observe shoot elongation in *C. globularis* in response to *Eichhornia crassipes* (Mart.) Solms cover, which was attributed to shading tolerance. In fact, the shoot response of *Chara* spp. on irradiance might depend on a combination of intensity and direction of incident light, in which internodal cells receiving abundant lateral light grow shorter in comparison to thalli packed in dense or periphyton-covered beds (Schneider et al., 2015).

In the current study, an interaction with the available space in the water column cannot be ruled out. During the experiment, *C. globularis* generally reached the surface under open conditions, against only a minor fraction of *Lemna*-stressed stands. Shortening of internodes could be an adaptive response initiated near the water surface to optimize the use of space and maximize resource acquisition and reproduction. Near the water surface, a change of habit might also be a defense against damage inflicted by high PAR or UVB irradiation (Rubio et al., 2015), though we did not observe signs of reduced vitality.

Table 3  Results of repeated-measures ANOVA with fixed (treatment) factor

|                          | SS      | Df | MS       | F      | P  |
|--------------------------|---------|----|----------|--------|----|
| **Biomass**              |         |    |          |        |    |
| Intercept                | 7,783,090 | 1  | 7,783,090 | 660.0  | < 0.001 |
| Treatment                | 755,990  | 1  | 755,990  | 64.1   | < 0.001 |
| Date                     | 165,104  | 14 | 11,793   |        |    |
| Date*Treatment           | 238,888  | 2  | 119,444  | 19.5   | < 0.001 |
| Error                    | 321,474  | 2  | 160,737  | 26.2   | < 0.001 |
| **Antheridia density**   |         |    |          |        |    |
| Intercept                | 32,680,360 | 1  | 32,680,360 | 179.6  | < 0.001 |
| Treatment                | 7,253,487 | 1  | 7,253,487 | 39.9   | < 0.001 |
| Date                     | 2,546,769 | 14 | 181,912  |        |    |
| Date*Treatment           | 738,511  | 2  | 369,256  | 2.8    |    |
| Error                    | 6,518,251 | 2  | 3,259,125 | 24.3   | < 0.001 |
| **Oogonia density**      |         |    |          |        |    |
| Intercept                | 44,122,241 | 1  | 44,122,241 | 193.7  | < 0.001 |
| Treatment                | 8,023,364 | 1  | 8,023,364 | 35.2   | < 0.001 |
| Date                     | 3,189,201 | 14 | 227,800  |        |    |
| Date*Treatment           | 1,222,982 | 2  | 611,491  | 5.2    | < 0.05  |
| Error                    | 9,312,234 | 2  | 4,656,117 | 39.5   | < 0.001 |
| **Internodal length**    |         |    |          |        |    |
| Intercept                | 2768     | 1  | 2767.7   | 82,538.1 | < 0.001 |
| Treatment                | 2        | 1  | 1.7      | 50.5    | < 0.001 |
| Plot (Treatment)         | 4        | 14 | 0.3      | 8.3     | < 0.001 |
| Date                     | 13       | 384 | 0.0      |        |    |
| Date*Treatment           | 8        | 2  | 4.0      | 134.2   | < 0.001 |
| Date*Plot (Treatment)    | 1        | 2  | 0.6      | 21.7    | < 0.001 |
| Error                    | 6        | 26 | 0.2      | 7.0     | < 0.001 |

SS sum of squares, df degrees of freedom, MS mean sum of squares.
within the upper layer of *C. globularis* in open plots. In any case, charophycean species are known to react differently to environmental stress (Rubio et al., 2015; Rojo et al., 2017), so vegetative changes seen in our experiment are unlikely to be generic.

Gametangia densities diverged strikingly between both treatments. The quantity of antheridia and oogonia per biomass unit dropped markedly under shaded conditions, while in open plots reproductive investment instead evolved positively. This is in accordance with Asaeda et al. (2007), who found decreased sexual reproduction in deeper waters compared to shallow sites for *Chara fibrosa var. fibrosa* (A. Braun) and *Nitella hyalina* (De Candolle) C. Agardh, but contrasts to reports on *Chara aspera* C.L.
Willdenow (no reproductive response to light manipulation; Blindow et al., 2003) and Chara braunii C.C. Gmelin (increased resource allocation toward sexual organs under light stress; Sato et al., 2014). Calero et al. (2015) noted that Chara hispida L. growing in deeper water took longer to develop mature gametangia and displayed lower reproductive vigor compared to individuals occurring at a shallower site. Possibly, different charophycean species cope with light or heat inhibition in different ways, although the range of irradiance (either controlled or fluctuating) can vary between studies. Inter- and intraspecific reproductive variability observed in submerged macrophytes could also be driven by phenological adaptations to changing environmental stability along a depth gradient, since deeper waters tend to be more stable and reliable when compared to more exposed shallow waters.

As with spacing between nodes, gametangia densities might have been influenced by biomass build-up near the water surface. Possibly, resources are allocated toward reproductive organs after a ceiling has been reached in terms of vertical growth. Still, compared to the starting situation—in which C. globularis did not yet fill the water column—densities of male and female reproductive structures decreased significantly in covered plots, indicating a true effect of Lemna cover. Increased reproductive effort and maturation of dormant oospores at decreasing water levels can also be an anticipation of drying-out of the habitat, as suggested for Chara australis R. Brown in Australia (Casanova, 1994). Hydroperiod duration could be a relevant factor even in temperate regions, in view of the pioneering strategy perfected by many Charophyceae.

When merging evolutions in biomass and gametangia densities, there was a clear correlation between irradiance (PAR) and total reproductive potential within the upper layer of C. globularis. Although accumulation of sufficiently warm days is required for the progression between phenological stages in Charophyceae (Calero et al., 2015), temperature differences in our study were small and insignificant, and therefore unlikely to be a prime factor explaining the decreased sexual productivity underneath Lemna. Detailed physiological studies need to be conducted in order to identify the ultimate trigger responsible for reproductive variability in C. globularis.

Oospore deposition did not follow clear trends of restrained reproductive capabilities in Lemna plots, probably because propagule traps were collected prior to full maturation and abscission of oospores. In addition, baskets installed in covered plots might have received an input of senescent thalli and attached oospores. Future research involving oospore trapping near the sediment should allow full realization of reproductive potential, by extending the collection period until complete die-off.

Taken together, negative effects on reproductive fitness of C. globularis can confidently be attributed to Lemna-induced stress, either directly through mechanisms inhibiting production of gametangia, or indirectly as a result of decelerated growth. Adding to decreased growth rates and gametangial densities, C. globularis might generate smaller oospores under light restriction (as seen in deeper water parts in a Polish lake; Boszke and Bociag, 2010), further affecting reproductive potential. A decrease of reproductive output signifies a threat to survival of submerged macrophytes in ponds, ditches or sheltered areas of lakes overgrown by duckweeds, and will strengthen the likelihood of annual floating plant domination. Within this equilibrium, repeated cycles of recruitment in dark conditions (de Winton et al., 2004) in parallel with poor propagule supply could lead to a net loss of oospores, deplete the sediment bank and eventually eliminate the species from the community. Though it is not as conspicuous as a crash of standing vegetation, progressive erosion of the propagule bank will undermine the regenerative capacity and resilience of submerged macrophyte communities (Van Onsem and Triest, 2018).

Fig. 7 Oospore deposition rate measured at the end of the experiment, in function of C. globularis biomass and treatment (open versus Lemna-covered). Gray lines: treatment means
Breaking this vicious circle in flow-through systems might involve an engineered reduction of retention time or removal of obstacles near the overflow, allowing sustained, passive removal of floating plants. Similarly to the restoration of turbid waterbodies, phosphorus and/or nitrogen reduction alone needs to be quite drastic in order to manage a shift toward an equilibrium dominated by submerged macrophytes, due to hysteresis (Scheffer et al., 2003). Although active summer removal of floating mats might not be an ideal solution if propagule banks are in a depauperate state (van Zuidam et al., 2012), this particular type of management could stimulate reproductive output in already established submerged vegetation, as our results demonstrate. Care should be taken, however, when extrapolating reproductive responses to deeper water populations.

Conclusion

Complete cover by L. minor induced clear changes in growth strategy and reproductive abilities of C. globularis. Total biomass decreased, thallus internodes elongated and male and female gametangial densities dropped markedly relative to unstressed individuals. In shallow water, sufficient light penetration allows C. globularis to reach the surface, shorten internodes and increase reproductive investment. Duckweed mats therefore reduce the ability of C. globularis to maximize reproductive output, potentially leading to a gradual decline of the population. Since floating plants are expected to dominate more often in the wake of global warming, cutting back eutrophication becomes increasingly urgent to conserve reproductive abilities of submerged aquatic vegetation.
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