A dataset of multiresolution functional brain parcellations in an elderly population with no or mild cognitive impairment
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ABSTRACT

We present group eight resolutions of brain parcellations for clusters generated from resting-state functional magnetic resonance images for 99 cognitively normal elderly persons and 129 patients with mild cognitive impairment, pooled from four independent datasets. This dataset was generated as part of the following study: Common Effects of Amnestic Mild Cognitive Impairment on Resting-State Connectivity Across Four Independent Studies (Tam et al., 2015) [1]. The brain parcellations have been registered to both symmetric and asymmetric MNI brain templates and generated using a method called bootstrap analysis of stable clusters (BASC) (Bellec et al., 2010) [2]. We present two variants of
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these parcellations. One variant contains bihemispheric parcels (4, 6, 12, 22, 33, 65, 111, and 208 total parcels across eight resolutions). The second variant contains spatially connected regions of interest (ROIs) that span only one hemisphere (10, 17, 30, 51, 77, 199, and 322 total ROIs across eight resolutions). We also present maps illustrating functional connectivity differences between patients and controls for four regions of interest (striatum, dorsal prefrontal cortex, middle temporal lobe, and medial frontal cortex). The brain parcels and associated statistical maps have been publicly released as 3D volumes, available in .mnc and .nii file formats on figshare and on Neurovault. Finally, the code used to generate this dataset is available on Github.

© 2016 Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

### Specifications Table

| Subject area                                | Biology                       |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| More specific subject area                  | Neuroscience                  |
| Type of data                                | Images                        |
| How data was acquired                       | MRI, resting-state functional MRI (Philips & Siemens 3 T scanners) |
| Data format                                 | Analyzed                      |
| Experimental factors                        | Pre-processing for motion-related or other artifacts, group-level statistical analysis |
| Experimental features                       | We pooled resting-state fMRI data from 4 independent studies with cognitively normal elderly subjects and patients with mild cognitive impairment to generate 1) group-level functional brain parcellations with varying numbers of parcels, and 2) maps illustrating functional connectivity differences between patients and controls in four parcels of interest. |
| Data source location                        | Canada & The United States    |
| Data accessibility                          | Data is within this article and available online at the following sites: Figshare: http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.1480461 Neurovault: http://neurovault.org/collections/1003 Github: https://github.com/SIMEXP/mcinet |

### Value of the data

- These parcellations can be used as atlases for brain imaging studies in elderly populations.
- The functional clusters and t-maps we have derived can be used as target regions in hypothesis-driven studies, especially for those interested in aging, mild cognitive impairment and dementia.
- The code can be adapted to generate similar atlases on other datasets or populations.

### 1. Data

This data release contains group brain parcellations at multiple resolutions (4, 6, 12, 22, 33, 65, 111, and 208 parcels) generated from resting-state functional magnetic resonance images for 99 cognitively normal elderly persons and 129 patients with mild cognitive impairment. This work also includes parcellations that contain regions-of-interest (ROIs) that are spatially connected and span...
only one hemisphere at 8 resolutions (10, 17, 30, 51, 77, 137, 199, and 322 total ROIs). Labels based on typical resting-state networks, and their decomposition into subnetworks or regions, are proposed for all brain parcels. This release also includes unthresholded maps of connectivity differences (t-maps) between patients and controls for four seeds/regions of interest (striatum, dorsal prefrontal cortex, middle temporal lobe, and medial frontal cortex).

2. Experimental design, materials and methods

2.1. Participants

We pooled resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) data from four independent studies: the Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative 2 (ADNI2) sample, two samples from the Centre de recherche de l’institut universitaire de gériatrie de Montréal (CRIUGMa and CRIUGMb), and a sample from the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) [3]. All participants gave their written informed consent to engage in these studies, which were approved by the research ethics board of the respective institutions, and included consent for data sharing with collaborators as well as secondary analysis. Ethical approval was also obtained at the site of secondary analysis (CRIUGM).

The ADNI2 data used in the preparation of this article were obtained from the Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) database (adni.loni.usc.edu). ADNI was launched in 2003 by the National Institute on Aging, the National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering, the Food and Drug Administration, private pharmaceutical companies and non-profit organizations, as a $60 million, 5-year public-private partnership representing efforts of co-investigators from numerous academic institutions and private corporations. ADNI was followed by ADNI-GO and ADNI-2 that included newer techniques. Subjects included in this study were recruited by ADNI-2 from all 13 sites that acquired resting-state fMRI on Philips scanners across North America. For up-to-date information, see www.adni-info.org.

The final combined sample included 112 cognitively normal elderly subjects (CN) and 143 patients with mild cognitive impairment (MCI). In the CN group, the mean age was 72.0 (s.d. 7.0) years, and 38.4% were men. Mean age of the MCI subjects was 72.7 (s.d. 7.7) years, and 50.3% were men. For more information about recruitment or participant characteristics, please refer to Tam et al. [1].

2.2. Imaging data acquisition

All resting-state fMRI and structural scans were acquired on Philips and Siemens 3 T scanners. For more detailed information on the imaging parameters, please refer to Tam et al. [1].

2.3. Computational environment

All experiments were performed using the Neuromaging Analysis Kit (NIAK) [4] version 0.12.18, under CentOS version 6.3 with Octave [2] version 3.8.1 and the Minc toolkit [3] version 0.3.18. Analyses were executed in parallel on the “Guillimin” supercomputer, [4] using the pipeline system for Octave and Matlab [5], version 1.0.2. The scripts used for processing can be found on Github. [5]

---

1 http://simexp.github.io/niak/.
2 https://www.gnu.org/software/octave/.
3 http://www.bic.mni.mcgill.ca/ServicesSoftware/ServicesSoftwareMincToolKit.
4 http://www.calculquebec.ca/en/resources/compute-servers/guillimin.
5 https://github.com/SIMEXP/mcinet.
2.4. Pre-processing

Each fMRI dataset underwent preprocessing as described in Tam et al. [1]. A more detailed description of the pipeline can also be found on the NIAK website[6] and Github.[7]

2.5. Parcellation of the brain into functional clusters

After pre-processing, we generated functional brain atlases at eight resolutions with a bootstrap analysis of stable clusters [2], containing 4, 6, 12, 22, 33, 65, 111 and 208 total parcels, as described in Tam et al. [1]. These eight resolutions of brain parcellations (Fig. 1), registered to both symmetric and asymmetric MNI templates, have been released on figshare[8] and Neurovault.[9] These eight resolutions were further processed to generate eight parcellations that contain ROIs that are spatially connected.

---

[6] http://niak.simexp-lab.org/pipe_preprocessing.html.
[7] https://github.com/SIMEXP/mcinet/tree/master/preprocess.
[8] http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.1480461.
[9] http://neurovault.org/collections/1003/.

---

Fig. 1. Functional parcellations across resolutions (or number of clusters).

Fig. 2. Clusters at resolution 6 (cerebellum not shown) and their respective regions-of-interest. Note how each cluster in (A) is bihemispheric prior to breaking down into multiple spatially constrained regions-of-interest in (B).
Fig. 3. The decomposition of the anterior default mode network into smaller subclusters at higher resolutions in four different views. Resolution 12 was used as a reference for the labeling of subnetworks at higher resolutions.
and span only one hemisphere (for an example, see Fig. 2). These latter parcellations contain 10, 17, 30, 51, 77, 137, 199, and 322 total ROIs.

We have provided labels for each parcel at every resolution, except for resolutions 4 and 6 due to the merging of networks at those low resolutions. At resolution 4, we observed the sensory-motor network, visual network, a network that resembles the endogenous network [6] and a network that merges the cerebellum and the mesolimbic network together. At resolution 6, we observed the visual network, cerebellum, mesolimbic network, sensory-motor network, a network that merges the deep gray matter nuclei with the frontoparietal network, and a network that merges the default mode network with the posterior attention network. For resolution 12, we manually labeled each parcel (deep gray matter nuclei (DGMN), posterior default mode network (pDMN), medial temporal lobe (mTL), ventral temporal lobe (vTL), dorsal temporal lobe (dTL), anterior default mode network (aDMN), orbitofrontal cortex (OFC), posterior attention (pATT), cerebellum (CER), sensory-motor (SM), visual (VIS), and frontoparietal network (FPN)). Then, we decomposed the networks at resolution 12 into smaller subclusters at all higher resolutions (for an example, see Fig. 3). Each parcel at higher resolutions was labeled in reference to the parcels at resolution 12, with the following convention: (resolution)_(parcel label)_(#); for example, at resolution (R) 22, the anterior default mode splits into two clusters, which were named “R22_aDMN_1” and “R22_aDMN_2”.

2.6. Derivation of functional connectomes

Between and within-clusters connectivity was measured as described in Tam et al. [1].
2.7. Statistical testing

To test for differences between aMCI and CN at a resolution of 33 clusters, we used a general linear model (GLM) for each connection between two parcels \([7]\). Specific details of the GLM can be found in Tam et al. \([1]\). From this analysis, we present uncorrected t-maps illustrating functional connectivity differences between patients and controls for four seeds/regions of interest (striatum, dorsal prefrontal cortex, middle temporal lobe, and medial frontal cortex) (Fig. 4). These maps have been released on figshare and Neurovault. These four seeds were chosen for further analyses because, together, they were associated with 47% of all significant group differences across all brain regions. Briefly, we found that MCI patients exhibited reduced connectivity between default mode network nodes and between areas of the cortico-striatal-thalamic loop. For a more in-depth presentation and discussion of results, please refer to Tam et al. \([1]\).

Acknowledgements

We thank Sven Joubert, Isabelle Rouleau, and Sophie Benoit for their contribution in collection of the CRIUGMa dataset. We thank Seqian Wang for his help in curating the ADNI dataset. We thank Tom Nichols for his comments and suggestions. Collection of the CRIUGMb dataset was supported by the Alzheimer’s Society of Canada. Collection of the MNI dataset was supported by Industry Canada/Montreal Neurological Institute Centre of excellence in commercialization and research. This work was supported by CIHR (133359) and NSERC (436141-2013). The computational resources used to perform the data analysis were provided by Compute Canada and CLUMEQ, which is funded in part by NSERC (MRS), FQRNT, and McGill University.

Data collection and sharing for this project was funded by the Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) (National Institutes of Health Grant U01 AG024904) and DOD ADNI (Department of Defense Award no. W81XWH-12-2-0012). ADNI is funded by the National Institute on Aging, the National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering, and through generous contributions from the following: AbbVie, Alzheimer’s Association; Alzheimer’s Drug Discovery Foundation; Araclon Biotech; BioClinica, Inc.; Biogen; Bristol-Myers Squibb Company; CereSpir, Inc.; Cogstate; Eisai Inc.; Elan Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Eli Lilly and Company; Euroimmun; F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd and its affiliated company Genentech, Inc.; Fujirebio; GE Healthcare; IXICO Ltd.; Janssen Alzheimer Immunotherapy Research & Development, LLC.; Johnson & Johnson Pharmaceutical Research & Development LLC.; Lumosity; Lundbeck; Merck & Co., Inc.; Meso Scale Diagnostics, LLC.; NeuroRx Research; Neurotrack Technologies; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation; Pfizer Inc.; Piramal Imaging; Servier; Takeda Pharmaceutical Company; and Transition Therapeutics. The Canadian Institutes of Health Research is providing funds to support ADNI clinical sites in Canada. Private sector contributions are facilitated by the Foundation for the National Institutes of Health (www.fnih.org). The grantee organization is the Northern California Institute for Research and Education, and the study is coordinated by the Alzheimer’s Therapeutic Research Institute at the University of Southern California. ADNI data are disseminated by the Laboratory for Neuro Imaging at the University of Southern California.

Transparency document. Supporting material

Transparency data associated with this article can be found in the online version at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2016.11.036.

References

[1] A. Tam, C. Dansereau, A. Badhwar, P. Orban, S. Belleville, H. Chertkow, et al., Common effects of amnestic mild cognitive impairment on resting-state connectivity across four independent studies, Front Aging Neurosci. 7 (7) (2015) (2266–14).
[2] P. Bellec, P. Rosa-Neto, O.C. Lyttelton, H. Benali, A. Evans, Multi-level bootstrap analysis of stable clusters in resting-state fMRI, NeuroImage 51 (3) (2010) 1126–1139.

[3] L. Wu, R.B. Soder, D. Schoemaker, F. Carbonnell, V. Sziklas, J. Rowley, et al., Resting state executive control network adaptations in amnestic mild cognitive impairment, J. Alzheimers Dis. 40 (4) (2014) 993–1004.

[4] P. Bellec, F. M. Carbonell, V. Perlbarg, C. Lepage, O. Lyttelton, V. Fonov et al., 2011. A neuroimaging analysis kit for Matlab and Octave, in: Proceedings of the 17th International Conference on Functional Mapping of the Human Brain.

[5] P. Bellec, S. Lavoie-Courchesne, P. Dickinson, J.P. Lerch, A.P. Zijdenbos, A. Evans, The pipeline system for Octave and Matlab (PSOM): a lightweight scripting framework and execution engine for scientific workflows, Front. Neuroinform 6 (2012) 7.

[6] Y. Golland, P. Golland, S. Bentin, R. Malach, Data-driven clustering reveals a fundamental subdivision of the human cortex into two global systems, Neuropsychologia 46 (2) (2008 31) 540–553.

[7] P. Bellec, Y. Benhajali, F. Carbonell, C. Dansereau, G. Albouy, M. Pelland, et al., Impact of the resolution of brain parcels on connectome-wide association studies in fMRI, NeuroImage 123 (2015) 212–228.