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Linescan microscopy data to extract diffusion coefficient of a fluorescent species using a commercial confocal microscope
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ABSTRACT

We report here on the measurement of the diffusion coefficient of fluorescent species using a commercial microscope possessing a resonant scanner. Sequential linescans with a rate of up to 12 kHz yield a temporal resolution of 83 μs, making the setup amenable to measure diffusion rates over a range covering at least three orders of magnitude, from 100 μm²/s down to 0.1 μm²/s. We share representative data sets covering (i) the diffusion of a dye molecule, observed in media of different viscosities and (ii) the diffusion of a prototypical membrane receptor.

The data can be valuable for researchers interested in the rapid diffusion properties of nuclear, cytosolic or membrane bound proteins fused to fluorescent tags.

© 2020 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).
1. Data

The shared data are kymographs (from here on linescans) collected to characterize the performance of a commercial laser scanning microscope in order to recover the diffusion coefficient of a fluorescent species. Here, the confocal beam is repeatedly scanned at high speed over the same portion of the sample. The behavior of the diffusing species can be extracted from the raw linescans by calculating the autocorrelation function. The calculation of the spatial (along the line, $x$) and temporal (along each timepoint associated to a pixel, $t$) correlation provides the Spatial Temporal Correlation Function (STICS) [1–3], which reflects the diffusion speed of the species under investigation. This process is illustrated in Fig. 1 and further described in the Methods.

We enclose here first the measurements on three samples containing the dye Alexa 647, measured in water-glycerol mixtures of varying ratios. We report (Table 1) the measured diffusion coefficient in all three cases, together with the values expected based on the calculated viscosity of the water-glycerol mixtures, based on tabulated values [4]. Fig. 2a displays the representative image of the STICS functions calculated from the three datasets, whereas Fig. 2b is generated by taking the $x = 0$ section of the STICS functions in Fig. 2a (dashed lines). The data point markers are superposed to the fit (eq. (1)) used to extract the diffusion coefficients.
Fig. 1. a) Representative confocal microscopy micrograph of H9c2 cell expressing a β2 Adrenergic Receptor, c-terminally fused to EGFP. b) Section of a kymograph arising from repeated linescan analysis of the line highlighted in panel a. Vertical axis represents time, horizontal axis space. c) Resulting spatial-temporal correlation function. Vertical axis represents time-lag, horizontal axis spatial distance \( x \) (in \( \mu m \)).

Table 1
Diffusion coefficients for the different samples investigated.

| Glycerol, % Wt. | 20 °C \( D \) (\( \mu m^2/s \)) | 25 °C \( D \) (\( \mu m^2/s \)) | Measured \( D \) (\( RT \)) (\( \mu m^2/s \)) |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 0               | \( 287 \pm 9 \)                   | \( 330 \pm 10 \)                | –                               |
| 50%             | \( 48 \pm 1 \)                     | \( 57 \pm 2 \)                  | \( 53 \pm 3 \)                  |
| 70%             | \( 12.8 \pm 0.4 \)                 | \( 16.5 \pm 0.5 \)              | \( 17 \pm 1 \)                  |
| 90%             | \( 1.31 \pm 0.04 \)                | \( 1.85 \pm 0.06 \)             | \( 2.45 \pm 0.05 \)             |

Fig. 3 illustrates the recovered autocorrelation function, and associated diffusion coefficient, calculated from a linescan performed on the plasma membrane of a living H9c2 cell expressing a prototypical G protein-coupled receptor, the β2 Adrenergic Receptor, c-terminally fused to EGFP. Cells were measured at 37 °C, 5% CO\(_2\). H9C2 cells are clonal cell lines derived from embryonic rat heart tissue and exhibit many of the properties of skeletal muscle.

2. Experimental design, materials, and methods

Linescan experiments were performed on a commercial confocal microscope (SP8-WLL, Leica Microsystems), equipped with a resonant scanner module (12 kHz) and a White Light Laser (WLL). A HC PLAPO CS2 40×1.3 NA Oil Immersion objective (Leica) was used in all the measurements. Alexa 647 (New England Biolabs) was excited at 633 nm with a laser power of 10%, corresponding to 6 \( \mu W \) total power at the sample. Approximately \( 2 \times 10^6 \) lines were collected. Measurements on the basolateral cell membrane were performed with the normal microscope galvanometer scanners at a speed of 1.8 kHz. EGFP was excited at 488 nm with a laser power of 5%, corresponding to 1 \( \mu W \) total power at the sample. Approximately \( 3 \times 10^5 \) lines were collected. Linescans were acquired 24 h after transfection. Zoom settings were chosen in order to achieve a pixel size of 50 nm. In both cases bleaching of the sample was minimal. Laser power was measured using a PM100A Power Meter (Thorlabs) using a S120VC (Thorlabs) Photodiode Power Sensor head.

Water-glycerol mixtures were prepared by weighting the glycerol (Merck Chemicals GmbH) and adding the appropriate volume of water and fluorescent compound. The solutions were extensively mixed by vortexing and were imaged in custom made imaging chambers: two stripes of parafilm (Bemis Company) were melted between a glass slide and a microscope coverslip (24 mm, #1, VWR), yielding in a chamber with a volume of approximately 50 \( \mu L \). Dye concentration was measured by absorbance using a Spectrophotometer (Evolution 350, Agilent).

Cells were maintained at physiological conditions (37 °C, 5% CO\(_2\)) using a sample incubator (Stage Top Chamber, OKOlab) mounted on the stage of the Leica SP8 confocal microscope.
Fig. 2. a) Representative images of the STICS functions for Alexa647 for increasing concentration of glycerol in the water-glycerol mixture. b) Autocorrelation function recovered from the vertical profiles highlighted in a, for spatial distance $x = 0$. Solid lines represent fits to the data according to eq. (1).

Fig. 3. a) Confocal microscopy micrograph of H9c2 cell expressing a β2 Adrenergic Receptor, c-terminally fused to EGFP. b) STICS function calculated from the linescan collected along the line displayed in a. c) Autocorrelation function recovered from the vertical profiles highlighted in b (spatial distance $x = 0$). Solid line represents fit to the data according to eq. (2).
H9c2(2-1) cells were obtained from ATCC® (CRL 1446™) and cultured as recommended by the seller. For imaging cells were grown in 8-well glass bottom μ-slides (Ibidi) and transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 transfection reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Linescan data were analyzed according to a previously described algorithm [2,5]. Briefly, the 2D autocorrelation function of the central portion ($32 < x < 96$ pixels) of the linescan is calculated (Fig. 1) and the profile at $x = 0$ used to extract the autocorrelation function. In the autocorrelation of data originating from the Leica SP8 microscope, the spatial autocorrelation of the linescan (line $\tau = 0$) displays a sharp peak, possibly originating from electronic pixel to pixel correlations along the same line. This disappears as soon as $\tau > 0$. Therefore, the first temporal time lag of the 2D correlation function is ignored by our subsequent analysis.

The autocorrelation function can then be fit with a standard 3D (eq. (1)) or 2D diffusion model (eq. (2)) in order to recover the diffusion coefficient of the fluorescent species. Here $D$ is the diffusion coefficient, $w_0$ the Point Spread Function in the xy plane (perpendicular to the optical axis of the objective) and $w_z$ the beam waist along the direction of the optical axis. Our calibration, based on observing the profiles of fluorescence microspheres (Tetraspeck, Thermo Fisher Scientific) yields $w_0^{633\text{nm}} = 0.33 \text{ μm}$, $w_z^{633\text{nm}} = 1.12 \text{ μm}$, and $w_0^{488\text{nm}} = 0.28 \text{ μm}$, $w_z^{488\text{nm}} = 0.9 \text{ μm}$ [6].

$$G(x = 0, \tau) = G(0) \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{4D\tau}{w_0^2}\right)^{1/2}}$$

(eq. 1)

$$G(x = 0, \tau) = G(0) \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{4D\tau}{w_z^2}\right)}$$

(eq. 2)
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