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Abstract: This paper presents an analytical solution for the thermomechanical buckling of functionally graded material (FGM) sandwich plates. The solution is obtained using a four-variable equivalent-single-layer (ESL) plate theory. Two types of sandwich plates are included: one with FGM facesheets and homogeneous core, and vice versa for the other. The governing equations are derived based on the principle of minimum total potential energy. For simply supported boundary conditions, these equations are solved via the Navier method. The results on critical buckling load and temperature increment of simply supported FGM sandwich plates are compared with the available solutions in the literature. Several results are presented considering various material and geometrical parameters as well as their effect on the thermomechanical buckling response of FGM sandwich plates. The relationship between the mechanical load and the temperature increment for uniform/linear temperature rise of FGM sandwich plates under combined mechanical and thermal loads is studied.
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1. Introduction

Sandwich structures have many benefits such as their light weight and high bending stiffness and thus have been broadly applied in aircraft, aerospace, flexible electronics, and biomedical areas [1,2]. A conventional sandwich structure has two homogeneous facesheets and a homogeneous core in between. Recently, the introduction of nonhomogeneous materials, such as the functionally graded materials (FGMs), has made sandwich structures become even more attractive [3]. As the options for the facesheets and/or the core, FGMs help reduce the interlaminar stresses and thermal stresses, enhancing the mechanical and thermal performances of sandwich structures [4–6]. In the literature, two types of FGM sandwich structures are mainly included: for type-A, sandwich structures with FGM facesheets and a homogeneous core [7–13], and vice versa for type-B [12–17]. FGM sandwich structures combine the dual advantages of sandwich structures and FGMs, and thus offer unique potential in many fields of engineering, including planetary exploration landers, return capsules, submarines, and so on [18,19].

As one of the critical failure modes, buckling behavior of FGM sandwich structures has been studied by a number of researchers. However, most of them are restricted to FGM sandwich plates under mechanical load [20–34] or thermal load [35–42]. Different methods are used, such as zig-zag plate theory, equivalent-single-layer (ESL) theories, unified formulation, and the mesh-free method. Very few publications considering both mechanical and thermal load can be found. In practice, FGM sandwich plates are commonly exposed to mechanical and thermal loads at the same time, so it is essential to include both
for accurate prediction of the buckling problem. Based on a high-order ESL plate theory, Yang et al. [43] employed the Galerkin-differential quadrature approach to analyze the buckling of type-A FGM sandwich plates under mechanical load and uniform temperature increment. Shen and collaborators [44,45] studied the buckling and postbuckling of type-A FGM sandwich plates under mechanical and thermal loads via a two-step perturbation technique. Based on a first-order ESL plate theory, Yaghoobi and Yaghoobi [46] investigated the thermomechanical buckling of type-A FGM sandwich plates resting on an elastic foundation. Tung [47] conducted a thermomechanical postbuckling analysis of FGM sandwich plates under mechanical load and uniform temperature rise, using a first-order ESL plate theory. References [43–47] are mainly focused on type-A FGM sandwich plates or uniform temperature rise.

Based on the literature review, it was found that the limited amount of available work is not sufficient to provide a comprehensive understanding of buckling performance of FGM sandwich plates under mechanical and thermal loads. Recently, a four-variable ESL plate theory was developed to address the thermomechanical bending of FGM sandwich plates, which shows good accuracy and efficiency [12]. This theory has not been extended to the analysis of the thermomechanical buckling behavior of FGM sandwich plates. Thus, the objective of this article is to use this four-variable ESL plate theory to investigate the thermomechanical buckling problem of simply supported FGM sandwich plates. In this study, both type-A and type-B FGM sandwich plates are included. Both uniform temperature rise, and graded temperature rise are considered. Power-law FGM is adopted herein. The material properties are assumed to be temperature-independent [48,49]. The governing equations are obtained from the principle of minimum total potential energy and solved via Navier Method. The present theory was verified by comparing calculated results with those from the existing literature. Numerical results were calculated considering the effects of volume fraction distribution and geometrical parameters on the thermomechanical buckling of FGM sandwich plates. In this framework, a linear relationship between the mechanical load and thermal load is presented.

2. Theoretical Formulation

Consider a rectangular FGM sandwich plate with constant thickness $h$, length $a$, and width $b$. The Cartesian coordinate system $xyz$ is taken such that the $xy$ plane ($z = 0$) coincides with the geometric mid-surface of the sandwich plate. In the present study, the sandwich plate is subjected to a system of uniform, in-plane, compressive loads at the side edges and a thermal load through the thickness.

Both type-A and type-B FGM sandwich plates are included. Since the composition distribution in FGMs is designable, three typical gradations are commonly used in the literature: power law, exponential law, and sigmoid law [49,50]. In the present study, the power law is adopted to describe the ceramic volume fraction.

2.1. Type-A Sandwich Plate: Sandwich Plates with FGM Facesheets and Homogeneous Core

In type-A sandwich plates, the sandwich core is homogeneous while the facesheets are functionally graded through the thickness, as shown in Figure 1. The ceramic volume fraction of type-A sandwich plate is given by

$$
V^{(1)} = \left( \frac{z - h_0}{h_1 - h_0} \right)^p \quad z \in [h_0, h_1]
$$

$$
V^{(2)} = 1 \quad z \in [h_1, h_2]
$$

$$
V^{(3)} = \left( \frac{z - h_3}{h_2 - h_3} \right)^p \quad z \in [h_2, h_3]
$$

(1)

where $V^{(n)}$ is the volume fraction of $n$-th layer and $p$ is the power index greater than or equal to zero.
2.2. Type-B Sandwich Plate: Sandwich Plates with Homogeneous Facesheets and FGM Core

In type-B sandwich plates, the facesheets are homogeneous while the core layer is functionally graded through the thickness, as illustrated in Figure 1. The ceramic volume fraction of type-B sandwich plate is given as:

\[
\begin{align*}
V^{(1)} &= 0 & \text{for} & z \in [0, h_1] \\
V^{(2)} &= \left(\frac{z - h_1}{h_2 - h_1}\right)^{\alpha} & \text{for} & z \in [h_1, h_2] \\
V^{(3)} &= 1 & \text{for} & z \in [h_2, h_3]
\end{align*}
\]  

(2)

2.3. Material Properties

The effective material properties \( p^{(n)} \) for \( n \)-th layer, such as the Young’s modulus \( E^{(n)} \) and the thermal expansion coefficient \( \alpha^{(n)} \) at a point can be determined by the linear rule of mixture as

\[
p^{(n)}(z) = P_m + (P_c - P_m)V^{(n)}
\]

(3)

where subscripts \( m \) and \( c \) represent metal and ceramic, respectively. Since the Poisson’s ratio \( \nu \) varies only in a small range through the plate thickness, for simplicity, it is assumed to be constant [33,49].

2.4. Four-Variable ESL Plate Theory

An ESL plate theory containing four variables is used, of which the basic assumptions have been stated in references [51,52]. The displacement field of the present study is:

\[
\begin{align*}
u(x, y, z) &= u_0(x, y) - z \frac{\partial w_h}{\partial x} - f(z) \frac{\partial w_s}{\partial x} \\
v(x, y, z) &= v_0(x, y) - z \frac{\partial w_h}{\partial y} - f(z) \frac{\partial w_s}{\partial y} \\
w(x, y, z) &= w_b(x, y) + w_s(x, y)
\end{align*}
\]

(4)

in which

\[
f(z) = \frac{4z^3}{3h^2}
\]

(5)

where \( u, v, \) and \( w \) represent the displacements in the \( x, y, \) and \( z \) directions, respectively; \( u_0 \) and \( v_0 \) are the midplane displacements; \( w_b \) and \( w_s \) are the bending and shear parts of the transverse displacement \( w \), respectively.
2.5. Geometric Equations

The non-linear von Karman strain–displacement equations are

\[
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_x &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 \\
\varepsilon_y &= \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \right)^2 \\
\gamma_{xy} &= \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \\
\gamma_{yz} &= \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} \\
\gamma_{xz} &= \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}
\end{align*}
\]

Using Equations (4) and (6), we get

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\varepsilon_x \\
\varepsilon_y \\
\gamma_{xy}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\varepsilon_x^{(0)} \\
\varepsilon_y^{(0)} \\
\gamma_{xy}^{(0)}
\end{bmatrix} + z \begin{bmatrix}
k_x^b \\
k_y^b \\
k_{xy}^b
\end{bmatrix} + f(z) \begin{bmatrix}
k_x^e \\
k_y^e \\
k_{xy}^e
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \varepsilon_z = 0, \quad \begin{bmatrix}
\gamma_{yz} \\
\gamma_{xz}
\end{bmatrix} = [1 - f'(z)] \begin{bmatrix}
\gamma_{yz}^{(0)} \\
\gamma_{xz}^{(0)}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\varepsilon_x^{(0)} \\
\varepsilon_y^{(0)} \\
\gamma_{xy}^{(0)}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial w_0}{\partial x} \right)^2 \\
\frac{\partial v_0}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial w_0}{\partial y} \right)^2 \\
\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v_0}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w_0}{\partial x} \frac{\partial w_0}{\partial y}
\end{bmatrix},
\begin{bmatrix}
k_x^b \\
k_y^b \\
k_{xy}^b
\end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial x^2} \\
\frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial y^2} \\
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial y^2}
\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}
k_x^e \\
k_y^e \\
k_{xy}^e
\end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\partial^2 w_e}{\partial x^2} \\
\frac{\partial^2 w_e}{\partial y^2} \\
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 w_e}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^2 w_e}{\partial y^2}
\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}
\gamma_{yz}^{(0)} \\
\gamma_{xz}^{(0)}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial y} \\
\frac{\partial v_0}{\partial z}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The stress–strain relationships accounting for thermal effects for the \( n \)-th layer can be written as

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\sigma_x^{(n)} \\
\sigma_y^{(n)} \\
\tau_{yz}^{(n)} \\
\tau_{xz}^{(n)} \\
\sigma_{xx}^{(n)}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\sigma_x^{0} \\
\sigma_y^{0} \\
\tau_{yz}^{0} \\
\tau_{xz}^{0} \\
\sigma_{xx}^{0}
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
\sigma_x^{T} \\
\sigma_y^{T} \\
\tau_{yz}^{T} \\
\tau_{xz}^{T} \\
\sigma_{xx}^{T}
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
c_{11} & c_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
c_{12} & c_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & c_{44} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & c_{55} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & c_{66}
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\varepsilon_x^{(n)} \\
\varepsilon_y^{(n)} \\
\gamma_{yz}^{(n)} \\
\gamma_{xz}^{(n)} \\
\sigma_{xx}^{(n)}
\end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix}
a\Delta T \\
a\Delta T
\end{bmatrix}, \quad (n = 1, 2, 3)
\]

where \( \Delta T \) is the temperature change from the stress-free state. The elastic constants \( c_{ij}^{(n)} \) of the \( n \)-th layer are

\[
c_{11}^{(n)} = c_{22}^{(n)} = \frac{E^{(n)}(z)}{1 - \nu^2}, \quad c_{12}^{(n)} = c_{44}^{(n)} = \frac{E^{(n)}(z)}{2(1 + \nu)}
\]

2.6. Governing Equations

Energy methods can commonly be taken to derive the governing equations, such as the total potential energy principle [53] and the principle of virtual displacements [54]. The total strain energy of the FGM sandwich plate can be written as
\[ U = \frac{1}{2} \int_V \left[ \sigma_x^0 e_x + \sigma_y^0 e_y + \tau_{xy}^0 \gamma_{xy} + \tau_{yz}^0 \gamma_{yz} + \tau_{xz}^0 \gamma_{xz} \right] dV + \frac{1}{2} \int_V \left[ \sigma_x^T \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \sigma_y^T \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] dV \]

(11)

The potential energy of external force is calculated by

\[ U_e = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega \left[ N_x^0 \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 + N_y^0 \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] d\Omega \]

(12)

where \( N_x^0 \) and \( N_y^0 \) represent distributed, compressive, in-plane forces in the \( x \) and \( y \) directions (per unit length).

In this study, the principle of minimum total potential energy is used, which takes the following form as

\[ \delta (U + U_e) = 0 \]

(13)

Substituting Equations (11) and (12) into Equation (13) obtains the governing equations of stability,

\[ \frac{\partial N_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial N_{xy}}{\partial y} = 0 \]

\[ \frac{\partial N_{xy}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial N_y}{\partial y} = 0 \]

\[ \frac{\partial^2 M_x}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 M_{xy}}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 M_y}{\partial y^2} + N + N^T = 0 \]

(14)

\[ \frac{\partial^2 M_x}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 M_{xy}}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 M_y}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial Q_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial Q_y}{\partial y} + \bar{N} + \bar{N}^T = 0 \]

where the stress and moment resultants can be found in reference [12], and

\[ \bar{N} = N_x^0 \frac{\partial^2 (w_b + w_s)}{\partial x^2} + N_y^0 \frac{\partial^2 (w_b + w_s)}{\partial y^2} \]

\[ \bar{N}^T = -N_x^0 \frac{\partial^2 (w_b + w_s)}{\partial x^2} - N_y^0 \frac{\partial^2 (w_b + w_s)}{\partial y^2} \]

(15)

in which

\[ \begin{bmatrix} \bar{N}_x^T \\ \bar{N}_y^T \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{n=1}^{3} \int_{h_{n-1}}^{h_n} \begin{bmatrix} (c_{11} + c_{12}) \alpha T \\ (c_{12} + c_{22}) \alpha T \end{bmatrix} (16) \]

3. Solution Procedure for Eigenvalue Problems

For a simply supported FGM sandwich plate of which the boundary conditions are expressed as

\[ x = 0, \ a : v_0 = w_b = w_s = 0, \ \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} = 0, \ N_x = 0, \ M_x = M_y = 0 \]

\[ y = 0, \ b : v_0 = w_b = w_s = 0, \ \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} = 0, \ N_y = 0, \ M_y = M_y = 0 \]

(17)

close-form solutions can be found, because, using Navier procedure, the displacement field can be expanded as the following form:

\[ \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ v_0 \\ w_b \\ w_s \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} U_{mn} \cos(\lambda x) \sin(\mu y) \\ V_{mn} \sin(\lambda x) \cos(\mu y) \\ W_{bmn} \sin(\lambda x) \sin(\mu y) \\ W_{smn} \sin(\lambda x) \sin(\mu y) \end{bmatrix} \]

(18)

where \( U_{mn}, V_{mn}, W_{bmn}, \) and \( W_{smn} \) are unknowns to be determined. \( \lambda = m \pi / a \) and \( \mu = n \pi / b \).
The critical buckling loads and temperature increment of FGM sandwich plates subjected to a system of uniform in-plane compressive loads \( N_0^0 \) and \( N_0^y \) \( (N_0^y = 0) \) in thermal environment can be derived.

Assuming that there is a given ratio between \( N_0^0 \) and \( N_0^y \) such that \( N_x^0 = -N_0 \) and \( N_y^0 = -\gamma N_0 \), by substituting Equation (18) into Equation (14), one can obtain

\[
\left( [K] - [\vec{N}] - [\vec{N}^T] \right) \{\Delta\} = 0
\]

where

\[
\{\Delta\} = \{U_{mn} \ V_{mn} \ W_{mn} \ W_{mn}\}^T
\]

The critical buckling load without temperature increment is

\[
N_{0'} = N_{0'}^0 - \frac{a^2b^2 \left( \lambda^2 N_x^T + \mu^2 N_y^T \right)}{\pi^2 (\gamma^2 a^2 + b^2)}
\]

The critical buckling load with temperature increment or critical buckling temperature increment with in-plane compressive load, which will be presented in the following.

### 3.1. Critical Buckling Load

The critical buckling load without temperature increment is

\[
N_{0'}^c = \frac{\Pi \left( A_{11}D_{11} - B_{11}^2 \right) H_{11} - C_{11}^2A_{11} - A_{11}F_{11}^2 + 2B_{11}C_{11}F_{11} \right) + a^2b^2 \Pi \left( A_{11}D_{11} - B_{11}^2 \right) I_{44}}{a^2 (\gamma^2 a^2 + b^2) \mu^2 \Pi \left( A_{11}D_{11} - B_{11}^2 \right) I_{44}}
\]

where \( A_{11}, B_{11}, C_{11}, D_{11}, F_{11}, H_{11}, J_{44} \) can be found in reference [12].

\[
\prod = \left( a^2 + b^2 \right) \pi^2
\]

The critical buckling load with temperature increment is

\[
N_0' = N_0^{cr} - \frac{a^2b^2 \left( \lambda^2 N_x^T + \mu^2 N_y^T \right)}{\pi^2 (\gamma^2 a^2 + b^2)}
\]

### 3.2. Critical Buckling Temperature Increment under Uniform Temperature Rise

In this case, the temperature of the FGM sandwich plate is uniformly raised from initial temperature \( T_i \) to final temperature \( T_f \) in which the sandwich plate buckles. The temperature increment is \( \Delta T = T_f - T_i \).

By solving Equation (21), the critical buckling temperature increment without in-plane compressive load is shown to be

\[
\Delta T_{cr} = \frac{\Pi \left( A_{11}D_{11} - B_{11}^2 \right) H_{11} - C_{11}^2A_{11} - A_{11}F_{11}^2 + 2B_{11}C_{11}F_{11} \right) + a^2b^2 \Pi \left( A_{11}D_{11} - B_{11}^2 \right) I_{44}}{a^2 (\gamma^2 a^2 + b^2) \mu^2 \Pi \left( A_{11}D_{11} - B_{11}^2 \right) I_{44}}
\]

where

\[
\beta_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{3} \int_{h_a}^{h_a-1} \frac{a^{(n)}(z) E^{(n)}(z)}{1 - \nu} \, dz
\]

The critical buckling temperature increment with in-plane compressive load is

\[
\Delta T' = \Delta T_{cr} - \frac{a^2b^2 N_0 (\lambda^2 + \gamma \mu^2)}{\prod \beta_1}
\]
3.3. Critical Buckling Temperature Increment under Graded Temperature Rise through the Plate Thickness

The top surface temperature $T_t$ is different from the bottom surface temperature $T_b$, which varies through the plate thickness according to

$$T(z) = \Delta T \left( \frac{z}{h} + \frac{1}{2} \right)^\xi + T_t$$  \hspace{1cm} (28)

In which $\Delta T = T_t - T_b$ is the buckling temperature difference and $\xi$ is the temperature index ($0 < \xi < \infty$).

The critical buckling temperature increment without in-plane compressive load is

$$\Delta T_{cr} = \frac{\prod^2\{A_{11}D_{11} - B_{11}^2\}H_{11} - C_{11}D_{11} - A_{11}F_{11} + 2B_{11}C_{11}F_{11}\} + a^2b^2 \prod\{A_{11}D_{11} - B_{11}^2\}J_{44}}{a^2b^2 \prod\{A_{11}D_{11} - B_{11}^2\}J_{44} - \frac{T_t}{\beta_1}}$$ \hspace{1cm} (29)

where

$$\beta_2 = \frac{3}{\sum_{n=1}^{h_a}} \int_{h_{a-1}}^{h_a} a^{(n)}(z)E^{(n)}(z) \left( \frac{z}{h} + \frac{1}{2} \right)^\xi dz$$ \hspace{1cm} (30)

The critical buckling temperature increment with in-plane compressive load is

$$\Delta T' = \Delta T_{cr} - \frac{a^2b^2N_0(\lambda^2 + \gamma \mu^2)}{\prod \beta_2}$$ \hspace{1cm} (31)

4. Numerical Results

In this section, comparative study is given to verify the current formulation. Considering the influence of volume fraction distribution and geometric parameters, as well as mechanical and thermal loads, several results are presented to provide sufficient insight to the thermomechanical buckling of FGM sandwich plates. Typical material properties for metal and ceramic used in the numerical examples are listed in Table 1.

| Material properties used in the FGM sandwich plates. | Al | Ti-6Al-4V | ZrO₂ | Al₂O₃ |
|-----------------------------------------------------|----|-----------|------|-------|
| Young’s modulus (GPa)                               | 70 | 66.2      | 244.27 | 380   |
| Poisson’s ratio                                      | 0.3| 0.3       | 0.3   | 0.3   |
| Coefficient of thermal expansion (10⁻⁶/K)            | 23 | 10.3      | 12.766| -     |

For convenience of expression and illustration, the sandwich plates are denoted according to the layer thickness ratio of the facesheets and core. For example, (1-2-1) sandwich plate has a total thickness of $h$, core thickness of $h/2$, and two facesheets of equal thickness of $h/4$, and hence, in Figure 1, $h_1 = -h/4$, $h_2 = h/4$. Figures 2 and 3 show the through-the-thickness variation of the ceramic volume fraction in type-A and type-B FGM sandwich plates for various values of $p = \{0.5, 1, 2, 5\}$. Unless otherwise specified, $a/h = 10$, $T_t = 25$ K and $\gamma = 1$. 
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for metal and ceramic used in the numerical examples are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Young’s modulus (GPa) 70 66.2 244.27 380

Al Ti-6Al-4V ZrO 2 Al 2O3

The validation study is conducted from the following four aspects: mechanical buckling, thermal buckling, and thermomechanical buckling of FGM sandwich plates. Typical material properties considering the influence of volume fraction distribution and geometric parameters, as well as several results are presented to provide sufficient insight.

Tables 2–5 shows the critical buckling load from titanium (Ti-6Al-4V) and zirconia (ZrO2).

For better comparison and illustration, the following relations are adopted [11,35]:

\[ \gamma = \gamma_1 - \gamma_2 \]

For convenience of expression and illustration, the sandwich plates are denoted according to the layer thickness ratio of the facesheets and core. For example, (1-2-1) sandwich plate has a total thickness of \( h_1 = h_2 = h_3 \), core thickness of \( h_{c1} = h_{c2} \), and two facesheets of equal thickness of \( h_f = h_f \). Unless otherwise specified, the temperature increment is assumed to be \( \Delta T = 25 \text{K} \) and temperature gradient is \( \nabla T = 0 \).

4. Numerical Results

In this section, comparative study is given to verify the current formulation. Considering the influence of volume fraction distribution and geometric parameters, as well as several results are presented to provide sufficient insight.

Figure 2. Variation of volume fraction through the plate thickness of four different kinds of type-A sandwich plates: (a) (1-0-1) sandwich plate, (b) (2-1-2) sandwich plate, (c) (1-1-1) sandwich plate, and (d) (1-2-1) sandwich plate.

Figure 3. Variation of volume fraction through the plate thickness of four different kinds of type-B sandwich plates: (a) (2-1-2) sandwich plate, (b) (1-1-1) sandwich plate, (c) (1-2-1) sandwich plate, and (d) (2-2-1) sandwich plate.
4.1. Validation Study

The validation study is conducted from the following four aspects: mechanical buckling of type-A and type-B FGM sandwich plates, as well as thermal buckling of type-A and type-B FGM sandwich plates. For mechanical buckling, the FGM sandwich plates are made from aluminum (Al) and alumina (Al₂O₃), while for thermal buckling, they are made from titanium (Ti-6Al-4V) and zirconia (ZrO₂).

For better comparison and illustration, the following relations are adopted [11,35]:

\[
\bar{N}_0 = \frac{N_0 \gamma a^2}{100 \rho p E_0}\tag{32}
\]

where \(E_0 = 1 \text{ GPa}\).

Tables 2–5 show the critical buckling load \(N_0\) and temperature increment of type-A and type-B sandwich plates for various layer thickness ratio and power index \(p\). Results calculated by other theories in the literature are also presented as benchmark results. As observed, for every aspect of the buckling problem, an excellent agreement is reached.

### Table 2. Dimensionless critical buckling load \(N_0\) of type-A square sandwich plate \((a/h = 10, \gamma = 1)\).

| \(p\) | Theory       | \(N_0\)  |
|-------|--------------|----------|
|       | 1-0-1        | 1-1-1    | 2-1-1    | 2-2-1    | 1-2-1    |
| 0     | Reference [8]    | 6.5030   | 6.5030   | 6.5030   | 6.5030   | 6.5030   |
|       | Reference [22] \(\varepsilon_z \neq 0\)    | 6.4764   | 6.4764   | 6.4764   | 6.4764   | 6.4764   |
|       | Reference [22] \(\varepsilon_z = 0\)      | 6.5025   | 6.5025   | 6.5025   | 6.5025   | 6.5025   |
|       | Reference [28]   | 6.4774   | 6.4774   | 6.4774   | 6.4774   | 6.4774   |
|       | Present         | 6.5025   | 6.5025   | 6.5025   | 6.5025   | 6.5025   |
| 1     | Reference [8]    | 2.5842   | 2.9206   | 3.0973   | 3.2327   | 3.4749   | 3.7531   |
|       | Reference [22] \(\varepsilon_z \neq 0\)    | 2.5307   | 2.8557   | 3.0273   | 3.1575   | 3.3920   | 3.6600   |
|       | Reference [22] \(\varepsilon_z = 0\)      | 2.5392   | 2.8651   | 3.0368   | 3.1678   | 3.4027   | 3.6718   |
|       | Reference [28]   | 2.6190   | 2.9603   | 3.1401   | 3.2701   | 3.5129   | 3.7799   |
|       | Present          | 2.5836   | 2.9200   | 3.0970   | 3.2324   | 3.4747   | 3.7533   |
| 5     | Reference [8]    | 1.3300   | 1.5220   | 1.7022   | 1.7903   | 2.0564   | 2.3674   |
|       | Reference [22] \(\varepsilon_z \neq 0\)    | 1.3183   | 1.5040   | 1.6813   | 1.7650   | 2.0254   | 2.3235   |
|       | Reference [22] \(\varepsilon_z = 0\)      | 1.3234   | 1.5093   | 1.6860   | 1.7707   | 2.0308   | 2.3303   |
|       | Reference [28]   | 1.3552   | 1.5754   | 1.7636   | 1.8511   | 2.1227   | 2.4192   |
|       | Present          | 1.3291   | 1.5213   | 1.7018   | 1.7898   | 2.0560   | 2.3673   |
| 10    | Reference [8]    | 1.2448   | 1.3742   | 1.5672   | 1.5973   | 1.5729   | 2.1909   |
|       | Reference [22] \(\varepsilon_z \neq 0\)    | 1.2361   | 1.3602   | 1.5303   | 1.5788   | 1.8308   | 2.1028   |
|       | Reference [22] \(\varepsilon_z = 0\)      | 1.2411   | 1.3654   | 1.5347   | 1.5842   | 1.8358   | 2.1090   |
|       | Reference [28]   | 1.2553   | 1.4200   | 1.5995   | 1.6531   | 1.9133   | 2.1827   |
|       | Present          | 1.2436   | 1.3732   | 1.5459   | 1.5974   | 1.8538   | 2.1400   |

### Table 3. Dimensionless critical buckling load \(N_0\) of type-B square sandwich plate \((\gamma = 1)\).

| \(a/h\) | Scheme | Theory | Theory | \(p\) | 0 | 0.5 | 1 | 5 | 10 |
|---------|--------|--------|--------|-------|---|----|---|----|----|
| 5       | 1-1-1  | Reference [25] | Present | 2.0513 | 2.2342 | 2.3333 | 2.5978 | 2.6834 |
|         |        | 2.5362 | 2.3782 | 2.1198 | 2.0769 |
| 1-2-1   | Reference [25] | Present | 1.9456 | 2.2725 | 2.4387 | 2.8964 | 3.0545 |
|         | 2.7432 | 2.4697 | 2.0546 | 1.9894 |
| 2-2-1   | Reference [25] | Present | 2.1369 | 2.5023 | 2.7056 | 3.2351 | 3.4009 |
|         | 2.5905 | 2.3186 | 2.1891 | 1.9531 | 1.9031 |
Table 3. Cont.

| a/h | Scheme     | Theory | p  | 0   | 0.5 | 1   | 5   | 10  |
|-----|------------|--------|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 10  | 1-1-1      | Reference [25] | 2.3508 | 2.5165 | 2.6123 | 2.8848 | 2.9773 |
|     | Present    |         | 3.1396 | 2.7899 | 2.6288 | 2.3970 | 2.3696 |
| 1-2-1 | Reference [25] | 2.3095 | 2.5768 | 2.7322 | 3.2063 | 3.3816 |
|     | Present    |         | 3.6812 | 3.0303 | 2.7447 | 2.3697 | 2.3353 |
| 2-2-1 | Reference [25] | 2.3928 | 2.7898 | 3.0116 | 3.6028 | 3.7937 |
|     | Present    |         | 2.8278 | 2.5746 | 2.4660 | 2.3189 | 2.2967 |
| 100 | 1-1-1      | Reference [25] | 2.4773 | 2.6308 | 2.7236 | 2.9969 | 3.0918 |
|     | Present    |         | 3.2397 | 2.8840 | 2.7238 | 2.5054 | 2.4857 |
| 1-2-1 | Reference [25] | 2.4730 | 2.7015 | 2.8495 | 3.3268 | 3.5087 |
|     | Present    |         | 3.8104 | 3.1429 | 2.8497 | 2.4965 | 2.4781 |
| 2-2-1 | Reference [25] | 2.4963 | 2.9038 | 3.1320 | 3.7467 | 3.9476 |
|     | Present    |         | 2.9161 | 2.6723 | 2.3738 | 2.4723 | 2.4657 |

Table 4. Critical buckling temperature increments $T_{cr}$ of type-A square sandwich plate under uniform temperature rise.

| Scheme | p  | Theory | a/h | 5   | 10  | 15  | 25  | 50  |
|--------|----|--------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 1-0-1  | 0.5 | Reference [35] | 2.87276 | 0.80328 | 0.36504 | 0.13294 | 0.03340 |
|        | Present |        | 2.87074 | 0.80314 | 0.36501 | 0.13294 | 0.03340 |
| 2      | Reference [35] | 2.63459 | 0.71815 | 0.32462 | 0.11789 | 0.02958 |
|        | Present |        | 2.63018 | 0.71783 | 0.32456 | 0.11788 | 0.02958 |
| 2-1-2  | 0.5 | Reference [35] | 2.83194 | 0.79232 | 0.36010 | 0.13116 | 0.03295 |
|        | Present |        | 2.83030 | 0.79220 | 0.36008 | 0.13115 | 0.03295 |
| 2      | Reference [35] | 2.39953 | 0.65098 | 0.29396 | 0.10671 | 0.02677 |
|        | Present |        | 2.39637 | 0.65075 | 0.29392 | 0.10670 | 0.02677 |
| 1-1-1  | 0.5 | Reference [35] | 2.83331 | 0.79463 | 0.36134 | 0.13164 | 0.03308 |
|        | Present |        | 2.83224 | 0.79456 | 0.36133 | 0.13164 | 0.03308 |
| 2      | Reference [35] | 2.36195 | 0.64253 | 0.29031 | 0.10541 | 0.02645 |
|        | Present |        | 2.36000 | 0.64239 | 0.29029 | 0.10541 | 0.02645 |
| 1-2-1  | 0.5 | Reference [35] | 2.86992 | 0.80925 | 0.36841 | 0.13430 | 0.03376 |
|        | Present |        | 2.86972 | 0.80925 | 0.36841 | 0.13430 | 0.03376 |
| 2      | Reference [35] | 2.42899 | 0.66689 | 0.30189 | 0.10972 | 0.02754 |
|        | Present |        | 2.42873 | 0.66687 | 0.30189 | 0.10972 | 0.02754 |

Table 5. Critical buckling temperature increments $T_{cr}$ of type-B square sandwich plate under nonlinear temperature rise.

| Scheme | p  | $\xi$ | Theory | a/h | 5   | 10  | 15  | 25  | 50  |
|--------|----|------|--------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 1-0-1  | 0.5 | 2    | Reference [42] | 5.35784 | 1.46297 | 0.63750 | 0.20009 | 0.01238 |
|        |     | 3    | Reference [42] | 6.77704 | 1.85048 | 0.80636 | 0.25309 | 0.01565 |
|        |     | 4    | Reference [42] | 8.25929 | 2.25522 | 0.98272 | 0.30845 | 0.01908 |
|        |     | 5    | Reference [42] | 9.78667 | 2.67282 | 1.16470 | 0.36557 | 0.02261 |
| 2      | 2   | Reference [42] | 5.35784 | 1.46297 | 0.63750 | 0.20009 | 0.01238 |
|        |     | 3    | Reference [42] | 6.77704 | 1.85048 | 0.80636 | 0.25309 | 0.01565 |
|        |     | 4    | Reference [42] | 8.25929 | 2.25522 | 0.98272 | 0.30845 | 0.01908 |
|        |     | 5    | Reference [42] | 9.78667 | 2.67282 | 1.16470 | 0.36557 | 0.02261 |
Table 5. Cont.

| Scheme | $p$ | $\xi$ | Theory | $a/h$ | 5  | 10  | 15  | 25  | 50  |
|--------|----|------|--------|------|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 2-1-2  | 0.5| 2    | Reference [42] | 5.4505 | 1.48364 | 0.65472 | 0.20226 | 0.01205 |
|        |    |      | Present | 5.46373 | 1.48503 | 0.64607 | 0.20227 | 0.01203 |
|        | 3  |      | Reference [42] | 6.94175 | 1.88799 | 0.82171 | 0.25738 | 0.01534 |
|        |    |      | Present | 6.95278 | 1.88976 | 0.82216 | 0.25739 | 0.01531 |
|        | 4  |      | Reference [42] | 8.48806 | 2.30855 | 1.00478 | 0.31471 | 0.01875 |
|        |    |      | Present | 8.50153 | 2.31070 | 1.00529 | 0.31473 | 0.01871 |
|        | 5  |      | Reference [42] | 10.07658 | 2.74059 | 1.19278 | 0.37361 | 0.02226 |
|        |    |      | Present | 10.09256 | 2.74314 | 1.19343 | 0.37363 | 0.02222 |
| 2      | 2  |      | Reference [42] | 5.43958 | 1.49762 | 0.65488 | 0.20728 | 0.01496 |
|        |    |      | Present | 5.44823 | 1.49904 | 0.65525 | 0.20729 | 0.01493 |
| 1-1-1  | 0.5| 2    | Reference [42] | 6.94175 | 1.88799 | 0.82171 | 0.25738 | 0.01534 |
|        |    |      | Present | 6.95278 | 1.88976 | 0.82216 | 0.25739 | 0.01531 |
| 1-2-1  | 0.5| 2    | Reference [42] | 5.93289 | 1.62019 | 0.70680 | 0.22290 | 0.01524 |
|        |    |      | Present | 5.94305 | 1.62187 | 0.70726 | 0.22293 | 0.01522 |
| 4.2. Buckling Analysis of Type-A Sandwich Plate under Mechanical and Thermal Loads

In this example, a simply supported, square, type-A sandwich plate under the effect of mechanical and thermal loads is considered. The combination of materials consists of titanium and zirconia. It is assumed that the plate is subjected to mechanical load $N'_0$ and temperature increment $\Delta T'$ under uniform or linear temperature rise in which the plate buckles.

Dimensionless mechanical load and temperature rise are used as

$$\hat{N}_0 = \frac{N'_0 a^2}{100T^2E_0}$$

$$\hat{\Delta T} = \frac{10^{-3} \Delta T'}{T_0}$$

(33)
where $T_0 = 1$ K.

Firstly, we calculate the dimensionless critical buckling load and temperature increment under uniform/linear temperature rise for type-A square sandwich plates for $p = 0.5$ and 2, and various layer thickness ratios of 1-0-1, 2-1-2, 1-1-1, and 1-2-1. Then we apply 1/2 of the critical buckling temperature increment under uniform temperature rise to calculate the mechanical load. In addition, we apply 1/2 of the critical buckling load to calculate the temperature increment under uniform/linear temperature rise. These results are given in Table 6. $\hat{N}_{T/2}$ represents the dimensionless mechanical load with 1/2 of the critical buckling temperature increment under uniform temperature rise; $T_{ucr}$ and $T_{lcr}$ represent the dimensionless critical temperature increment under uniform and linear temperature rise, respectively; $\hat{T}_{uN/2}$ and $\hat{T}_{lN/2}$ denote the dimensionless temperature increment under uniform and linear temperature rise with 1/2 of the critical buckling load. As observed, $\hat{N}_{T/2} = \frac{1}{2}N_0$ and $\hat{T}_{uN/2} = \frac{1}{2}T_{ucr}$, but $\hat{T}_{lN/2} < \frac{1}{2}T_{lcr}$.

### Table 6. Dimensionless mechanical load and temperature change of type-A square sandwich plate.

| Scheme | $p$ | $N_0$ | $\hat{N}_{T/2}$ | $T_{ucr}^u$ | $T_{lcr}^u$ | $\hat{T}_{uN/2}$ | $\hat{T}_{lN/2}$ |
|--------|-----|-------|-----------------|------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1-0-1  | 0.5 | 2.5620 | 1.28101         | 0.80314    | 0.40157    | 1.55628         | 0.75314         |
|        | 2   | 1.47204| 0.73602         | 0.35892    | 0.18946    | 1.38567         | 0.66783         |
| 2-1-2  | 0.5 | 2.72753| 1.36376         | 0.79220    | 0.39610    | 1.53441         | 0.74220         |
|        | 2   | 1.64738| 0.82369         | 0.32538    | 0.16269    | 1.25150         | 0.60075         |
| 1-1-1  | 0.5 | 2.86964| 1.43482         | 0.79456    | 0.39728    | 1.53912         | 0.74456         |
|        | 2   | 1.83213| 0.91606         | 0.32119    | 0.16059    | 1.23478         | 0.59239         |
| 1-2-1  | 0.5 | 3.09327| 1.54664         | 0.80925    | 0.40463    | 1.56850         | 0.75925         |
|        | 2   | 2.16916| 1.08458         | 0.66687    | 0.33344    | 1.28375         | 0.61687         |

The relationship between $\hat{N}_0$ and $\Delta \hat{T}$ can be obtained from Equations (22), (24), (25), (27), (29) and (31).

For the uniform rise in temperature case,

$$\frac{\hat{N}_0}{N_0} + \frac{\Delta \hat{T}}{T_{cr}} = 1 \quad (34)$$

For the graded rise in temperature case,

$$\frac{\hat{N}_0}{N_0} + \frac{\Delta \hat{T} + \frac{10^{-3}T_{l1}\beta_1}{T_0\beta_2}}{T_{cr} + \frac{10^{-3}T_{l1}\beta_1}{T_0\beta_2}} = 1 \quad (35)$$

Figures 4 and 5 depict the relationship between temperature increment under uniform/linear temperature rise and mechanical load of the (1-0-1), (2-1-2), (1-1-1), and (1-2-1) type-A sandwich plates for $p = 0.5$, 2, and 5. The relationship is linear, which can also be seen from Equations (34) and (35). With the increase in the temperature increment, the mechanical load decreases. This is expected, because a rise in temperature results in compressive internal force. In Figure 4, when the value of temperature increment reaches its maximum, the value of mechanical load is zero and vice versa. However, in Figure 5, when the value of mechanical load reaches its maximum, the value of temperature increment is $-\frac{10^{-3}T_{l1}\beta_1}{T_0\beta_2}$. This is the reason why $\hat{T}_{lN/2} < \frac{1}{2}T_{lcr}$ in Table 6.
Figure 4. Relationship between the temperature increment under uniform temperature rise and the mechanical load of type-A sandwich plate: (a) (1-0-1) sandwich plate, (b) (2-1-2) sandwich plate, (c) (1-1-1) sandwich plate, (d) (1-2-1) sandwich plate.

Figure 5. Relationship between the temperature increment under linear temperature rise and the mechanical load of type-A sandwich plate: (a) (1-0-1) sandwich plate, (b) (2-1-2) sandwich plate, (c) (1-1-1) sandwich plate, (d) (1-2-1) sandwich plate.

4.3. Buckling Analysis of Type-B Sandwich Plate under Mechanical and Thermal Loads

Similar work was also carried out for type-B FGM (Ti-6Al-4V/ZrO2) sandwich plates under the effect of mechanical and thermal loads.
Table 7 shows the values of dimensionless mechanical load with 1/2 of the critical buckling temperature increment under uniform temperature rise and dimensionless temperature increment under uniform and linear temperature rise with 1/2 of the critical buckling load for various layer thickness ratios and power index $p$. Figures 6 and 7 plot the relationship between temperature increment under uniform/linear temperature rise and mechanical load of the (2-1-2), (1-1-1), (1-2-1), and (2-2-1) type-B sandwich plates for $p = 0.5$, 2, and 5. Similar conclusions can be drawn.

Table 7. Dimensionless mechanical load and temperature change of type-B square sandwich plate.

| Scheme  | $p$  | $N_0$      | $N_{1/2}$ | $T^{u}_{cr}$ | $T^{u}_{N/2}$ | $T^{l}_{cr}$ | $T^{l}_{N/2}$ |
|---------|------|------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| 2-1-2   | 0.5  | 2.08427    | 1.04213   | 0.74185      | 0.37093      | 1.09927      | 0.53046      |
|         | 2    | 2.01363    | 1.00682   | 0.77997      | 0.38998      | 1.13338      | 0.54793      |
| 1-1-1   | 0.5  | 2.15063    | 1.07532   | 0.74858      | 0.37429      | 1.12358      | 0.54238      |
|         | 2    | 2.01452    | 1.00726   | 0.80801      | 0.40401      | 1.17291      | 0.56773      |
| 1-2-1   | 0.5  | 2.27147    | 1.13574   | 0.76941      | 0.38471      | 1.17961      | 0.57000      |
|         | 2    | 2.02209    | 1.01104   | 0.84871      | 0.42435      | 1.23624      | 0.59936      |
| 2-2-1   | 0.5  | 2.04786    | 1.02393   | 0.80101      | 0.40051      | 1.17799      | 0.57002      |
|         | 2    | 1.95690    | 0.97845   | 0.93147      | 0.46574      | 1.34424      | 0.65359      |

Figure 5. Relationship between the temperature increment under linear temperature rise and the mechanical load of type-A sandwich plate: (a) (1-0-1) sandwich plate, (b) (2-1-2) sandwich plate, (c) (1-1-1) sandwich plate, (d) (1-2-1) sandwich plate.

Figure 6. Relationship between the temperature increment under uniform temperature rise and the mechanical load of type-B sandwich plate: (a) (2-1-2) sandwich plate, (b) (1-1-1) sandwich plate, (c) (1-2-1) sandwich plate, (d) (2-2-1) sandwich plate.
5. Conclusions

Buckling analysis of FGM sandwich plates under thermomechanical load was performed using a four-variable ESL plate theory. Two different types of FGM sandwich plates were included: for type-A, sandwich plates with FGM facesheets and homogeneous core, and vice versa for type-B. The governing equations were deduced based on the principle of minimum total potential energy. The analytical solutions for simply supported boundary conditions were obtained using the Navier method. Critical buckling load and temperature increment under uniform, linear, and nonlinear temperature rise were calculated and compared with those published in the literature to demonstrate the accuracy of the present theory. Numerical studies were conducted considering the influences of volume fraction distribution and geometrical parameters on the thermomechanical buckling behavior of FGM sandwich plates. Some highlighted and interesting findings were obtained as follows:

1. For both type-A and type-B FGM sandwich plates, the proposed formulation was found to be accurate. The present investigation extends the application range of this four-variable ESL plate theory.
2. The critical buckling load with temperature increment and the critical buckling temperature increment with in-plane compressive load were presented. Both uniform temperature rise and graded temperature rise were taken into account.
3. A linear relationship between the mechanical load and the temperature increment in which an FGM sandwich plate buckles was established. This relationship appears to be compact.
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Appendix A

\[ \tilde{N} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & k & k \\ 0 & 0 & k & k \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{N}^T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & l & l \\ 0 & 0 & l & l \end{bmatrix} \]

(A1)

in which

\[ k = N_0 (\lambda^2 + \gamma \mu^2) \]
\[ l = \lambda^2 N_x^T + \mu^2 N_y^T \]

(A2)

References

1. Birman, V.; Kardomateas, G.A. Review of current trends in research and applications of sandwich structures. Compos. Part B Eng. 2018, 142, 221–240. [CrossRef]
2. Hause, T.J. Sandwich Structures: Theory and Responses; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2021; pp. 1–3.
3. Garg, A.; Belarbi, M.O.; Chalak, H.D.; Chakrabarti, A. A review of the analysis of sandwich FGM structures. Compos. Struct. 2021, 258, 113427. [CrossRef]
4. Jha, D.K.; Kant, T.; Singh, R.K. A critical review of recent research on functionally graded plates. Compos. Struct. 2013, 96, 833–849. [CrossRef]
5. Swaminathan, K.; Naveenkumar, D.T.; Zenkour, A.M.; Carrera, E. Stress, vibration and buckling analyses of FGM plates—A state-of-the-art review. Compos. Struct. 2015, 120, 10–31.
6. Thai, H.T.; Kim, S.E. A review of theories for the modeling and analysis of functionally graded plates and shells. Compos. Struct. 2015, 128, 70–86. [CrossRef]
7. Zenkour, A.M. A comprehensive analysis of functionally graded sandwich plates: Part 1—Deflection and stresses. Int. J. Solids Struct. 2005, 42, 5224–5242. [CrossRef]
8. Zenkour, A.M. A comprehensive analysis of functionally graded sandwich plates: Part 2—Buckling and free vibration. Int. J. Solids Struct. 2005, 42, 5243–5258. [CrossRef]
9. Tornabene, F.; Fantuzzi, N.; Viola, E.; Batra, R.C. Stress and strain recovery for functionally graded free-form and doubly-curved sandwich shells using higher-order equivalent single layer theory. Compos. Struct. 2015, 119, 67–89. [CrossRef]
10. Zenkour, A.M.; Alghamdi, N.A. Bending analysis of functionally graded sandwich plates under the effect of mechanical and thermal loads. Mech. Adv. Mater. Struct. 2010, 17, 419–432. [CrossRef]
11. Fazzolari, F.A. Natural frequencies and critical temperatures of functionally graded sandwich plates subjected to uniform and non-uniform temperature distributions. Compos. Struct. 2015, 121, 197–210. [CrossRef]
12. Li, D.; Deng, Z.; Xiao, H. Thermomechanical bending analysis of functionally graded sandwich plates using four-variable refined plate theory. Compos. Part B Eng. 2016, 106, 107–119. [CrossRef]
13. Li, D.; Deng, Z.; Chen, G.; Ma, T. Mechanical and thermal buckling of exponentially graded sandwich plates. J. Therm. Stresses 2018, 41, 883–902. [CrossRef]
14. Kashtalyan, M.; Menshikova, M. Three-dimensional elasticity solution for sandwich panels with a functionally graded core. Compos. Struct. 2009, 87, 36–43. [CrossRef]
15. Brischetto, S. Classical and mixed advanced models for sandwich plates embedding functionally graded cores. J. Mech. Mater. Struct. 2009, 4, 13–33. [CrossRef]
16. Alibeigloo, A.; Liew, K.M. Free vibration analysis of sandwich cylindrical panel with functionally graded core using three-dimensional theory of elasticity. *Compos. Struct.* 2014, 113, 23–30. [CrossRef]
17. Liu, B.; Ferreira, A.J.M.; Xing, Y.F.; Neves, A.M.A. Analysis of functionally graded sandwich and laminated shells using a layerwise theory and a differential quadrature finite element method. *Compos. Struct.* 2016, 136, 546–553. [CrossRef]
18. Gu, D.; Shi, X.; Poprawe, R.; Bourell, D.L.; Setchi, R.; Zhu, J. Material-structure-performance integrated laser-metal additive manufacturing. *Science 2021*, 372, eabg1487. [CrossRef]
19. Sobhani, E.; Masoodi, A.R.; Ahmadi-Pari, A.R. Vibration of FG-CNT and FG-GNP sandwich composite coupled Conical-Cylindrical-Conical shell. *Compos. Struct.* 2021, 273, 114281. [CrossRef]
20. El Meiche, N.; Tounsi, A.; Ziane, N.; Mechab, I.; Adda-Bedia, E.A. A new hyperbolic shear deformation theory for buckling and vibration of functionally graded sandwich plate. *Int. J. Mech. Sci.* 2011, 53, 237–247. [CrossRef]
21. Neves, A.M.A.; Ferreira, A.J.M.; Carrera, E.; Cinefra, M.; Jorge, R.M.N.; Soares, C.M.M. Buckling analysis of sandwich plates with functionally graded skins using a new quasi-3D hyperbolic sine shear deformation theory and collocation with radial basis functions. *ZAMM-J. Appl. Math. Mech./Z. Angew. Math. Mech.* 2012, 92, 749–766. [CrossRef]
22. Neves, A.M.A.; Ferreira, A.J.M.; Carrera, E.; Cinefra, M.; Roque, C.M.C.; Jorge, R.M.N.; Soares, C.M.M. Static, free vibration and buckling analysis of isotropic and sandwich functionally graded plates using a quasi-3D higher-order shear deformation theory and a meshless technique. *Compos. Part B Eng.* 2013, 44, 657–674. [CrossRef]
23. Sobhy, M. Buckling and free vibration of exponentially graded sandwich plates resting on elastic foundations under various boundary conditions. *Compos. Struct.* 2013, 99, 76–87. [CrossRef]
24. Thai, C.H.; Kalasegaram, S.; Tran, L.V.; Nguyen-Xuan, H. Generalized shear deformation theory for functionally graded isotropic and sandwich plates based on isogeometric approach. *Comput. Struct.* 2014, 141, 94–112. [CrossRef]
25. Nguyen, V.H.; Nguyen, T.K.; Thai, H.T.; Vo, T.P. A new inverse trigonometric shear deformation theory for isotropic and functionally graded sandwich plates. *Compos. Struct.* 2014, 66, 233–246. [CrossRef]
26. Thai, H.T.; Nguyen, T.K.; Vo, T.P.; Lee, J. Analysis of functionally graded sandwich plates using a new first-order shear deformation theory. *Eur. J. Mech. A/Solids* 2014, 45, 211–225. [CrossRef]
27. Meziane, M.A.A.; Abdelaziz, H.H.; Tounsi, A. An efficient and simple refined theory for buckling and free vibration of exponentially graded sandwich plates under various boundary conditions. *J. Sandw. Struct. Mater.* 2014, 16, 293–318. [CrossRef]
28. Thai, C.H.; Zenkour, A.M.; Wahab, M.A.; Nguyen-Xuan, H. A simple four-unknown shear and normal deformations theory for functionally graded isotropic and sandwich plates based on isogeometric analysis. *Compos. Struct.* 2016, 139, 77–95. [CrossRef]
29. Mantari, J.L.; Monge, J.C. Buckling, free vibration and bending analysis of functionally graded sandwich plates based on an optimized hyperbolic unified formulation. *Int. J. Mech. Sci.* 2016, 119, 170–186. [CrossRef]
30. Thai, C.H.; Do, V.N.V.; Nguyen-Xuan, H. An improved Moving Kriging-based meshfree method for static, dynamic and buckling analyses of functionally graded isotropic and sandwich plates. *Eng. Anal. Bound. Elem.* 2016, 64, 122–136. [CrossRef]
31. Fazzolari, F.A. Stability analysis of FGM sandwich plates by using variable-kinematics Ritz models. *Mech. Adv. Mater. Struct.* 2016, 23, 1104–1113. [CrossRef]
32. Di Sciuva, M.; Sorrenti, M. Bending, free vibration and buckling of functionally graded carbon nanotube-reinforced sandwich plates, using the extended Refined Zigzag Theory. *Compos. Struct.* 2019, 227, 111324. [CrossRef]
33. Rezaeii-Pajand, M.; Arabi, E.; Masoodi, A.R. Nonlinear analysis of FG-sandwich plates and shells. *Aerosp. Sci. Technol.* 2019, 87, 178–189. [CrossRef]
34. Adhikari, B.; Dash, P.; Singh, B.N. Buckling analysis of porous FGM sandwich plates under various types nonuniform edge compression based on higher order shear deformation theory. *Compos. Struct.* 2020, 251, 112597. [CrossRef]
35. Zenkour, A.M.; Sobhy, M. Thermal buckling of various types of FGM sandwich plates. *Compos. Struct.* 2010, 93, 93–102. [CrossRef]
36. Jalali, S.K.; Naei, M.H.; Poorsolhjouy, A. Thermal stability analysis of circular functionally graded sandwich plates of variable thickness using pseudo-spectral method. *Mater. Des.* 2010, 31, 4755–4763. [CrossRef]
37. Kiani, Y.; Bagherizadeh, E.; Eslami, M.R. Thermal and mechanical buckling of sandwich plates with FGM face sheets resting on the Pasternak elastic foundation. *Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. Part C J. Eng. METH. Eng. Sci.* 2012, 226, 32–41. [CrossRef]
38. Kiani, Y.; Eslami, M.R. Thermal buckling and post-buckling response of imperfect temperature-dependent sandwich FGM plates resting on elastic foundation. *Arch. Appl. Mech.* 2012, 82, 891–905. [CrossRef]
39. Bourada, M.; Tounsi, A.; Houria, M.S.A.; Adda-Bedia, E.A. A new four-variable refined plate theory for thermal buckling analysis of functionally graded sandwich plates. *J. Sandw. Struct. Mater.* 2012, 14, 5–33. [CrossRef]
40. Fazzolari, F.A.; Carrera, E. Thermal stability of FGM sandwich plates under various through-the-thickness temperature distributions. *J. Therm. Stresses* 2014, 37, 1449–1481. [CrossRef]
41. Sobhy, M. An accurate shear deformation theory for vibration and buckling of FGM sandwich plates in hygrothermal environment. *Int. J. Mech. Sci.* 2016, 110, 62–77. [CrossRef]
42. Do, V.N.V.; Lee, C.-H. Thermal buckling analyses of FGM sandwich plates using the improved radial point interpolation mesh-free method. *Compos. Struct.* 2017, 177, 171–186. [CrossRef]
43. Yang, J.; Liew, K.M.; Kitipornchai, S. Dynamic stability of laminated FGM plates based on higher-order shear deformation theory. *Comput. Mech.* 2004, 33, 305–315. [CrossRef]
44. Wang, Z.X.; Shen, H.S. Nonlinear analysis of sandwich plates with FGM face sheets resting on elastic foundations. *Compos. Struct.* 2011, 93, 2521–2532. [CrossRef]
45. Shen, H.S.; Li, S.R. Postbuckling of sandwich plates with FGM face sheets and temperature-dependent properties. *Compos. Part B Eng.* 2008, 39, 332–344. [CrossRef]

46. Yaghoobi, H.; Yaghoobi, P. Buckling analysis of sandwich plates with FGM face sheets resting on elastic foundation with various boundary conditions: An analytical approach. *Meccanica* 2013, 48, 2019–2035. [CrossRef]

47. Tung, H.V. Thermal and thermomechanical postbuckling of FGM sandwich plates resting on elastic foundations with tangential edge constraints and temperature dependent properties. *Compos. Struct.* 2015, 131, 1028–1039. [CrossRef]

48. Rezaiee-Pajand, M.; Rajabzadeh-Safaei, N.; Masoodi, A.R. An efficient curved beam element for thermo-mechanical nonlinear analysis of functionally graded porous beams. *Structures* 2020, 28, 1035–1049. [CrossRef]

49. Rezaiee-Pajand, M.; Masoodi, A.R. Hygro-thermo-elastic nonlinear analysis of functionally graded porous composite thin and moderately thick shallow panels. *Mech. Adv. Mater. Struct.* 2020, 1–19. [CrossRef]

50. Dai, H.L.; Rao, Y.N.; Dai, T. A review of recent researches on FGM cylindrical structures under coupled physical interactions, 2000–2015. *Compos. Struct.* 2016, 152, 199–225. [CrossRef]

51. Abrate, S.; Di Sciuva, M. Equivalent single layer theories for composite and sandwich structures: A review. *Compos. Struct.* 2017, 179, 482–494. [CrossRef]

52. Shimpi, R.P. Refined plate theory and its variants. *AIAA J.* 2002, 40, 137–146. [CrossRef]

53. Zenkour, A.M.; Aljadani, M.H. Buckling analysis of actuated functionally graded piezoelectric plates via a quasi-3D refined theory. *Mech. Mater.* 2020, 151, 103632. [CrossRef]

54. Belardi, V.G.; Fanelli, P.; Vivio, F. On the radial bending of shear-deformable composite circular plates with rectilinear orthotropy. *Eur. J. Mech. A/Solids* 2021, 86, 104157. [CrossRef]