Worldwide, tuberculosis (TB) affects 10 million persons every year. Among them, multidrug-resistant TB (MDR TB) is diagnosed for 484,000 (1); and among MDR TB cases, 10% are caused by extensively drug-resistant (XDR) strains of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Outcomes for patients in TB-endemic countries are very poor, particularly for those with XDR TB; cure rates are <20% (2). However, even in TB-endemic countries, individualized treatment adapted to drug susceptibility achieves cure rates of only 60% in patients with XDR TB (3,4). Similarly, a meta-analysis of 50 studies performed in 25 countries and including 12,030 patients receiving individualized treatment for MDR TB found a pooled success rate of 61% for combination therapy regimens containing linezolid (5). At Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital in Paris, France, the survival rate for XDR TB patients for whom highly effective drugs (e.g., linezolid, bedaquiline, or both) were prescribed was 80% (6–8). Overall, an 80% cure rate can be achieved for patients with MDR TB treated with a drug regimen that includes linezolid (9–12).

The 2014 World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines promote using highly active drugs for MDR TB, especially that caused by XDR TB strains (13). In 2016 and 2018, these recommendations were updated, and linezolid, along with bedaquiline and levofloxacin/moxifloxacin, were upgraded to group A drugs that should be offered to all patients (14,15).

Although linezolid is highly effective, its long-term use in patients with MDR TB is impaired by its adverse effects. Myelosuppression occurs in ≤30% of patients, particularly those receiving high doses (>600 mg/d) (9,12), and neurotoxicity with peripheral neuropathy is experienced by 30% of patients after 2 to 4 months of receiving low doses (<600 mg/d) (12). Furthermore, linezolid-associated optic neuropathy appears after 5 to 10 months of treatment for 30% of patients (11,16). It is still debated whether linezolid trough concentrations >2 mg/L (17) or long-term exposure could predict the occurrence of adverse effects.

Linezolid is one of the most effective drugs for treating multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR TB), but adverse effects remain problematic. We evaluated 57 MDR TB patients who had received ≥1 dose of linezolid during 2011–2016. Overall, patients received 600 mg/day of linezolid for a median of 13 months. In 33 (58%) patients, neurologic or ophthalmologic signs developed, and 18 (32%) had confirmed peripheral neuropathy, which for 78% was irreversible at 12 months after the end of TB treatment despite linezolid withdrawal. Among the 19 patients who underwent ophthalmologic evaluation, 14 patients had optic neuropathy that fully reversed for 2. A total of 16 (33%) of 49 patients had a linezolid trough concentration >2 mg/L, and among these, 14 (88%) experienced adverse effects. No significant association was found between trough concentration and neurologic toxicity. These findings suggest the need to closely monitor patients for neurologic signs and discuss optimal duration of linezolid treatment.
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effects (18,19). We therefore evaluated the occurrence and management of neurologic and ophthalmologic adverse effects among MDR TB patients receiving a linezolid-based treatment regimen.

Methods
We retrospectively studied all patients with confirmed MDR TB who had received ≥1 dose of linezolid during January 2011–December 2016 and had been followed up for ≥12 months after the end of treatment. All patients had been admitted to Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital, Paris, France, and most were discharged to Bligny Sanatorium and then followed up as outpatients. The TB drug regimen was adapted for each patient according to the results of drug susceptibility testing and discussion with the French Consilium team (20) in keeping with recommendations from the WHO and the Haut Conseil de la Santé Publique (14,21).

To monitor adverse effects of treatment, physicians performed daily neurologic and ophthalmologic examinations of hospitalized patients and monthly examinations of outpatients. Neurologic adverse effects included motor and sensory deficits, tendon reflex abnormalities, cranial nerve abnormalities, and paresthesia. Ophthalmologic adverse effects included visual acuity loss, visual color abnormalities, or scotoma. If peripheral neuropathy was suspected, electromyography (EMG) was performed, including nerve conduction velocity (NCV) testing, to assess motor and sensory injuries to confirm the diagnosis. If a patient reported visual impairment indicating optic neuropathy, an ophthalmologist performed a specific clinical examination including Snellen best-corrected visual acuity measurement, relative afferent pupillary defect assessment, slit lamp examination, funduscopic examination, and color vision (Far-}

Results
Patient Characteristics
During January 2011–December 2016, MDR TB was diagnosed for 65 patients at Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital. Four patients did not receive linezolid, 2 with severe pulmonary TB died within 2 months after initiation of treatment, and 2 were unavailable for follow-up within the first 3 months. We included in our study the remaining 57 patients for whom at least 12 months of follow-up information after the end of TB treatment was available (Figure 1; Tables 1, 2).

Among the 57 patients, 11 (19%) were infected with an MDR strain of M. tuberculosis, 20 (35%) with a pre-XDR strain (resistance to isoniazid and rifampicin and either a fluoroquinolone or a second-line injectable agent but not both), and 26 (46%) with an XDR strain. A total of 55 patients had pulmonary TB, 2 had extrapulmonary TB (bone), and 11 had both (bone, lymph node, genital, or laryngeal). Of the 55 with pulmonary TB, the lesions involved both lungs for 42 (76%) patients, and cavitation was found in 39 (71%) patients.

Median duration of TB treatment was 19.4 months (interquartile range [IQR] 17.3–24.0 months). The linezolid dose was 600 mg once daily for all patients; no patient received >600 mg/day. The median duration of linezolid treatment was 12.8 months (IQR 6.0–19.2 months).
Among the 57 patients, treatment was successful, according to the WHO outcome definition (23), for 52 (91%); 3 were unavailable for follow-up, and 2 died with severe neurologic signs suggestive of serotonin syndrome. However, we cannot formally link these deaths to linezolid because of doubts about the degree of attribution.

One of the patients who died had arrived in France from Georgia in 2012 with XDR TB, hepatitis C virus co-infection, and a history of diabetes mellitus and high blood pressure. While in Georgia, he had received treatment for relapsing MDR TB for several years and received several drugs (paraaminosalicylic acid [PAS], cycloserine, ethionamide, moxifloxacin, clofazimine, clarithromycin, and capreomycin). When he arrived at the infectious disease department at Pitié Salpêtrière Hospital, and based on the French Consilium recommendations for patients with XDR TB, we initiated treatment with cycloserine, PAS, bedaquiline, amikacin, meropenem/clavulanate, and linezolid. Treatment for diabetes (insulin) and high blood pressure (perindopril) was also started. Eleven months later, peripheral neuropathy appeared (confirmed by EMG), although trough linezolid concentration was only 0.6 mg/L. During month 7 of treatment, trough linezolid concentration increased to 2.6 mg/L but otherwise remained <2 mg/L. After 12 months of TB treatment, the patient experienced a psychological disorder;

myoclonus, hearing impairment, and visual impairment. Magnetic resonance imaging, electroencephalography, and cerebrospinal fluid analysis findings were unremarkable. TB treatment was immediately stopped, but the patient died; no cause was identified during autopsy. The patient’s linezolid concentration was 0.2 mg/L 1 month after treatment start and 0.6 mg/L on the last day of treatment.

For the other patient who died, XDR TB was diagnosed and treatment began when he arrived in France from Armenia; initial treatment was PAS, bedaquiline, amikacin, meropenem/clavulanate, and linezolid, which was stopped rapidly because of poor tolerance. Previous TB treatment history in Armenia was unavailable. He also underwent a left upper lung lobectomy because of the absence of sputum culture conversion; was co-infected with the hepatitis C virus; and was addicted to tobacco, alcohol, and intravenous drugs. Five months after TB treatment was initiated, peripheral neuropathy developed (confirmed by EMG), although trough linezolid concentration was only 0.6 mg/L. During month 7 of treatment, trough linezolid concentration increased to 2.6 mg/L but otherwise remained <2 mg/L. After 12 months of TB treatment, the patient experienced a psychological disorder;
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sequential treatment consisted ofloxapine, amitriptyline, mianserin, or oxazepam. Peripheral neuropathy worsened, causing severe leg pain requiring analgesia (opioids, pregabaline, clonazepam, gabapentin). At 15 months, linezolid was discontinued, and at 18 months, the remaining TB treatment was discontinued. A few days later, the patient was transferred to intensive care with dysphagia, loss of cough and tendon reflexes, distended bladder, sensory neuropathy, motor deficits, and pneumonia. All these signs and symptoms suggested brainstem injury or diffuse neuropathy. He died suddenly a few hours later. No autopsy was performed.

### Adverse Effects

Overall, 33 (58%) patients reported ophthalmologic or neurologic signs/symptoms, possibly linked to linezolid exposure: 24 patients had peripheral neuropathologic signs/symptoms only, 2 patients had ophthalmologic signs/symptoms only, and 7 patients had both (Figure 2). Moreover, linezolid was stopped because of neurologic adverse effects for 23 patients (18 for peripheral neuropathy, 1 for optical neuropathy, and 4 for both neuropathies) after a median duration of linezolid exposure of 8.6 months (IQR 5.6–15.3 months).

Among the 57 patients, 31 reported peripheral neurologic signs/symptoms (24 peripheral only and 7 both peripheral and optical), peripheral sensory or motor neuropathy signs were detected during clinical examination for 25, and neuropathy was confirmed by EMG or NCV for 18 (32%) of the 57 (Figure 2). Among the 31 with neuropathy signs/symptoms, 12 were CTCAE classification grade 1, 18 were grade 2, and 1 was grade 4. Among the 18 patients with peripheral neuropathy confirmed by EMG/NCV, 14 (78%) had persistent signs/symptoms for at least 12 months after discontinuation of TB treatment. However, language barriers and precarious situations faced by these patients made evaluating sequelae severity difficult. Although all patients were ambulatory, 16 required long-term (until the end of the 12 months of follow-up) analgesic therapy such as pregabalin for 12 (75%) and paracetamol, levetiracetam, or opioids for the others.

Ophthalmologic testing was performed for 19 patients, of which 13 were routinely tested at the discretion of the treating physician, for fear of sides effects resulting from long-term exposure to linezolid, and 6 were tested after reporting optical signs/symptoms. Of the 19 patients tested, 14 (9 without complaints and 5 with complaints) had optic neuropathy (Table 3) attributed to linezolid after exclusion of other etiologies. Among these patients, CTCAE severity was grade 1 for 10 patients, grade 2 for 2 patients, and grade 4 for 2 patients.

After linezolid withdrawal, a second ophthalmologic examination for 9 patients indicated that 2 had fully recovered, 3 had improved visual acuity with residual impaired vision, and 4 showed no improvement. Five patients did not undergo a second examination, possibly because they had clinical improvement and did not report optical signs/symptoms.

The median delay between linezolid initiation and occurrence of neurologic adverse effects was 8.3 (IQR 4–11) months and of ophthalmologic adverse effects was 9.3 (IQR 1.6–15.1) months. Overall, 27 (47%) of the 57 patients had neurologic side effects confirmed by EMG/NCV or specific ophthalmologic examination, 13 (23%) had peripheral neuropathy only, 9 (16%) had ophthalmologic neuropathy only, and 5 (9%) had both. Linezolid treatment duration was 15.1 (IQR 7.2–19.1) months for patients with confirmed neurologic adverse effects and 12.3 (IQR 5.5–21.1) months for those without (p = 0.9148).

### Linezolid Trough Concentrations and Neuropathy

Linezolid trough concentrations were available for 49 patients and were analyzed in association with neuropathy (Table 4). Among the 16 (33%) patients with trough concentrations >2 mg/L (median 3 [IQR 2.4–3.7] mg/L) at least once at baseline or during treatment, 14 (88%) experienced linezolid-associated adverse effects: 9 had a peripheral neuropathy and 5 had optical neuropathy. Among the 33 (67%) patients with all trough concentrations ≤2 mg/L (median 0.4 [IQR 0.19–1] mg/L), 27 (82%) experienced linezolid-associated adverse effects: 17 had peripheral neuropathy and 10 had optical neuropathy. Among the 8 patients for whom linezolid concentration was not evaluated, linezolid-associated adverse effects developed in 6 (75%).
patients: 5 had peripheral neuropathy and 1 had optic neuropathy. We found no association between development of neuropathy and demographic characteristics, trough concentration, or linezolid treatment duration (p>0.05) (Table 5).

**Discussion**

In our study, 58% of MDR/XDR TB patients reported a neurologic or ophthalmologic disorder possibly associated with linezolid exposure; neuropathies were confirmed for 47%. Linezolid-related peripheral neuropathy was confirmed (by EMG/NCV) for almost 32%. Neuropathy and demographic characteristics did not seem to be associated with trough concentration, or linezolid duration.

Linezolid-related optic neuropathies in patients receiving treatment for *Staphylococcus aureus* infections have been described (24) and can be asymptomatic or lead to reduced visual acuity, blurred vision, central scotoma, and dyschromatopsia (19,25). We found a high prevalence of confirmed optic neuropathy (25% of our cohort) that was fully reversible (meaning complete recovery of ophthalmologic disorder 12 months after the end of TB treatment) for only 2 of our patients after treatment withdrawal. We therefore suggest testing visual acuity and performing a sensory color vision test and a Goldmann visual field test before initiating linezolid with monthly checkups, as has been recently recommended (19).

Our finding of a peripheral neuropathy rate of 32% is similar to the neuropathy rate of 30% reported in a recent meta-analysis (12). In our study, peripheral neuropathy diagnosis was confirmed after a median duration of 8 months of treatment. In contrast, a study in China found that peripheral neuropathy occurred 2 to 4 months after treatment start (11). This difference can be explained by the high daily doses of linezolid received by patients in that study (1,200 mg/d loading dose for 6 weeks, then 600 mg/d) compared with the 600 mg/day received by all patients in our study. Various studies report irreversible neuropathy (10,12,26,27). In our study, 78% of patients

---

**Figure 2.** Peripheral neuropathy occurrence and evolution among the 57 patients who received linezolid for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis during 2011 and 2016, France. EMG, electromyelogram; NCV, nerve-conduction velocity testing; TB, tuberculosis.
with confirmed peripheral neuropathy did not fully recover despite linezolid withdrawal. Those patients were followed up for 12 months after the end of their TB treatment. Some may have recovered after that follow-up period. Thus, this result should encourage closer monitoring of neurologic complaints with detailed and regular clinical neurologic examinations. Linezolid should also be immediately discontinued at the onset of neurologic signs/symptoms.

Questions persist about the 2 patients who died. First, both patients had concurrent conditions known to increase the risk for neurologic disorders. One patient had hepatitis C, diabetes, and high blood pressure; the other had hepatitis C and a history of substance abuse. Second, linezolid treatment was continued for both patients despite development of neurologic signs/symptoms at the onset of neurologic signs/symptoms. Third, the second patient received several psychotropic drugs, which can potentiate the linezolid effect and induce serotonin syndrome. Linezolid-associated serotonin syndrome has been described in association with use of various drugs such as metoclopramide, paroxetine, or amitriptyline (28,29). Hence, when long-term use of linezolid is unavoidable because of highly resistant TB, drugs that induce serotonin syndrome must not be prescribed.

Another way to monitor adverse effects of antimicrobial drugs is to systematically measure serum trough concentrations. The role of linezolid dosage, treatment duration, and cumulative dose on neuropathy occurrence is still debated. Bolhuis et al. stated that the linezolid area under the curve over 24 hours does not affect adverse effect occurrence but that long exposure duration and cumulative doses do (18). Song et al. demonstrated a direct correlation between linezolid dose, trough concentrations, and development of clinical toxicity. They found that adverse effects developed in all patients for whom mean linezolid trough was >2 mg/L, whereas they developed in >50% of those for whom mean linezolid trough was <2 mg/L (17). In our study, linezolid trough concentration was not associated with development of neurologic side effects. The fact that our study was retrospective could explain why our findings were not consistent with those of Song et al. (17).

**Table 3.** Characteristics of 14 patients who experienced optic neuropathy after linezolid treatment for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis, Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital, Paris, France, 2011–2016

| Patient age, y/sex | Fundus examination | Visual color | Visual field | Involvement | Other | Linezolid duration, mo | Months from start of linezolid to onset of optic neuropathy | Reversibility after linezolid withdrawal |
|--------------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------|-----------------------|------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 37/M               | Papillary edema    | Tritanopia   | BSE          | B           | None  | 3.4                   | 0.0                                           | No                                    |
| 42/M               | WNL                | Tritanopia   | Aciform scotoma | B         | None  | 13.5                  | 1.4                                           | No                                    |
| 20/M               | WNL                | Tritanopia   | WNL          | B           | None  | 18.5                  | 1.6                                           | Yes                                   |
| 40/M               | WNL                | Tritanopia   | B             | Optic neuropathy confirmed by VEP |          | 15.3                  | 14.6                                          | Yes                                   |
| 48/M               | WNL                | Tritanopia   | BSE          | B           | None  | 5.7                   | 9.3                                           | No                                    |
| 43/M               | WNL                | Tritanopia   | NA           | U           | None  | 11.6                  | 17.9                                          | Yes                                   |
| 40/M               | WNL                | Tritanopia   | BSE          | B           | None  | 23.1                  | 15.4                                          | Yes                                   |
| 39/M               | WNL                | Tritanopia   | BSE          | B           | None  | 19.4                  | 5.2                                           | Yes                                   |
| 42/M               | WNL                | Tritanopia   | B             | None        |       | 4.4                   | 1.0                                           | No                                    |
| 34/M               | Papillary edema    | Tritanopia   | BSE          | Optic neuropathy confirmed by VEP |          | 16.6                  | 15.1                                          | Yes                                   |
| 21/M               | Papillary edema    | Tritanopia   | Scotoma      | B           | Optic neuropathy confirmed by VEP |          | 7.1                                           | 8.2                                   | Yes                                   |
| 23/F               | Hyperemia of optical nerve | Tritanopia | BSE         | B           | None  | NA                    | 10.2                                          | Yes                                   |
| 30/M               | Hyperemia of optical nerve | Tritanopia | B            | None        |       | 19.1                  | 16.2                                          | Yes                                   |

*B, bilateral; BSE, blind spot enlargement; NA, not available; U, unilateral, VEP, visual evoked potential; WNL, within normal limits.
†Tritan, common blue–yellow color blindness.; tritanopia, blue–yellow confusion typical in patients with optic neuropathies.
When we compared patients with and without clinical or confirmed peripheral or optical neuropathy, we found no demographic characteristics associated with neuropathy occurrence (Table 5). However, in a study of MDR TB patients in India, the high rate of neuropathy was explained by a higher proportion of malnourished patients (27). For specific TB parameters, we found no association between the onset of neurologic adverse effects and trough concentration or linezolid treatment duration. Neuropathy seems to be independent of linezolid trough concentration (88% for >2 mg/L, 82% for ≤2 mg/L, 75% for those without measurement) or linezolid treatment duration. In addition, linezolid treatment duration was shorter among patients who did not experience neurologic adverse effects than among patients who did, but this finding was not statistically significant, probably because of small sample size.

Although the 2018 WHO recommendations state that linezolid should be offered to all patients, the optimal treatment duration has not yet been established (15). The high prevalence of linezolid toxicity in our cohort, together with the lack of reversibility for more than half of the patients, is not in favor of long linezolid treatment durations for MDR TB patients. Furthermore, recent findings regarding the short duration of treatment regimens for MDR TB (30–32) have led the WHO to recommend 9–12 months of therapy if particular patient criteria apply (33). Hence, because of the high level of linezolid neurotoxicity and a general trend to decrease MDR TB treatment duration, we suggest that exposure to linezolid should be as short as possible to avoid development of irreversible side effects (34). Close monitoring should also be offered to all patients receiving linezolid. In our study, 72% of patients with signs of neuropathy at clinical examination were found to have EMG/NCV abnormalities. Using clinical examination to screen for peripheral neuropathy is therefore effective, even if EMG/NCV is unavailable. Ophthalmologic examination is more complicated. Ophthalmologic abnormalities were found in 9 of 13 patients without signs/symptoms and in 5 of 6 patients with signs/symptoms. We are unable to make clear recommendations regarding ophthalmologic testing for this patient population. However, we felt it necessary to raise awareness about linezolid toxicity and highlight the need to evaluate visual acuity as often as possible for patients receiving long-term linezolid.

Our monocentric study is limited by its retrospective design. The data were incomplete for several key variables, such as pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics, which could explain the difference between our findings and those of the study by Song et al. (17). The overall sample size in each patient group was small and may have been insufficiently powered to show differences between groups. The fact that 42% (24/57) of patients had hepatitis C and 14% (8/57) had alcohol dependency might increase the risk for peripheral neuropathy, independent of linezolid exposure. Moreover, among the 17 patients who received concomitant ethambutol, 8 had confirmed optic neuropathy. Although the ophthalmologic injuries resulting from linezolid differ from those resulting from ethambutol, completely excluding ethambutol toxicity in our findings is

### Table 4. Neuropathy according to linezolid trough concentration among of 57 patients with multidrug-resistant tuberculosis, Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital, Paris, France, 2011–2016

| Neurpathy                      | No. patients | Linezolid trough concentration | p value* |
|-------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|----------|
|                               |              | >2 mg/L, n = 16                 | ≤2 mg/L, n = 33 | Not available, n = 8 |
| Clinical peripheral           | 31           | 9                               | 17       | 5 |
| Confirmed peripheral          | 18           | 5                               | 10       | 3 |
| Clinical optical              | 9            | 5                               | 3        | 1 |
| Confirmed optical             | 14           | 4                               | 10       | 0 |
| Peripheral + optical          | 10           | 3                               | 6        | 1 |

### Table 5. Association between clinical and confirmed peripheral and optical neuropathy and patient characteristics among 57 patients with multidrug-resistant tuberculosis, Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital, Paris, France, 2011–2016

| Characteristic                  | Clinical neuropathy | Confirmed neuropathy |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
|                                 | Value               | p value*             | Value               | p value*             |
| Age, OR (95% CI)†              | 1.83 (0.9–3.7)      | 0.09                 | 1.66 (0.8–3.3)      | 0.14                 |
| Immunosuppression treatment, OR (95% CI) | 0.91 (0.3–2.7) | 0.98               | 2.1 (0.7–6.2)      | 0.18                 |
| History of intravenous drug use, OR (95% CI) | 0.43 (0.5–4.9) | 0.43               | 1.79 (0.6–5.4)      | 0.30                 |
| Opioid substitution therapy, OR (95% CI) | 1.6 (0.4–6.1) | 0.49               | 1.92 (0.5–7)       | 0.32                 |
| Albumin, g/L                   | 0.81 (0.4–1.8)     | 0.61                 | 1.01 (0.5–2.2)     | 0.98                 |
| Linezolid treatment duration, mo | 0.47 (0.2–1.2)    | 0.10                 | 1.11 (0.5–2.7)     | 0.82                 |
| Culture conversion, d          | 0.93 (0.8–1.1)     | 0.35                 | 1.15 (0.9–1.4)     | 0.21                 |
| Trough linezolid concentration >2 mg/L | 2.07 (0.6–7.3) | 0.26               | 0.83 (0.3–2.7)     | 0.76                 |

* p values from univariate logistic models. OR, odds ratio.
†Risk based on age increase by 10-y intervals.
difficult. Thus, whenever possible, ethambutol use in a linezolid-based regimen must be avoided. Last, clinical examinations regarding ophthalmologic adverse effects were performed according to physician discretion without a systematic algorithm for recording adverse effects, which can bias the exact number of patients affected. This limitation, linked to the retrospective nature of the study, raises the issue of systematic ophthalmologic monitoring for patients receiving linezolid. One minor limitation is that only patients followed up for 12 months were included in the study. Two patients stopped coming to follow-up visits, possibly because of adverse linezolid events; thus, adverse events may have been underreported. However, these patients left during the first 3 months, probably before any neurologic adverse effects had developed.

Our study does, however, reflect the real difficulties of medical care and treatment surveillance of patients with highly resistant TB and serves to warn clinicians about long-term linezolid adverse effects. It also raises the crucial question of linezolid treatment duration for MDR TB patients. The patients in our study were in precarious economic and social situations; language barriers might explain the high rate of neurologic complications because patients might not have been able to explain their symptoms soon enough or might not have been sufficiently aware of the potential adverse effects of linezolid despite detailed explanations delivered by translators (by phone or internet). Overall, management was challenging for those patients, a situation that may occur elsewhere.

In conclusion, our study illustrates that MDR TB patients receiving linezolid should be monitored because neurologic adverse effects are severe, frequent, and often irreversible (at least 12 months after treatment termination). Further studies are needed to evaluate the risk factors associated with linezolid toxicity and to evaluate the best treatment duration to decrease the rate of neurologic adverse effects without affecting MDR TB outcomes. However, systematic clinical examination should be implemented for all patients before treatment and monthly thereafter so that linezolid withdrawal can be discussed if neuropathy develops.
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