ABSTRACT

The Yuli Belt exposed in the retro-wedge of the eastern part of the Taiwan orogen hosts slivers of a heterogeneous unit of blueschist-facies rocks. However, the question pertaining to its palaeogeographic provenance is still debated despite new geochronological data. This is largely because the structural geometries and kinematics of the Yuli Belt’s tectonic contacts with its adjacent units are improperly understood. This paper presents new structural data from field work along several river transects in the Yuli Belt, which we combine with published data into a new tectonic model. Fieldwork and microstructural analyses indicate three deformation phases in the Yuli Belt. Based on cross sections and a review of available P-T-t data, we suspect that blueschist-facies units could have been emplaced on top of greenschist-facies metasedimentary units along a thrust during a first deformation phase D1. This assembly was later thrust over the Eurasian-derived Tailuko Belt along the Shoufeng Fault during D2, as suggested by W-plunging stretching lineations on fault-parallel foliation planes. D3 produced E-vergent folds with W- to NW-dipping axial planes, refolding earlier foliations as well as the D1 nappe contact. We suspect that this E-vergent folding could be related to top-E backthrusting observed along the Shoufeng Fault, involving its reorientation from an initially E-dipping to a presently W-dipping contact. The blueschist-facies metamorphic unit of the Yuli Belt likely represents a mid-Miocene fragment of oceanic crust and mantle issued in the South China Sea. It could hence be considered as part of the suture between the Eurasian and the Philippine Sea plates.

1. INTRODUCTION

Sutures or suture zones are variably sized allochthonous tectonic units occurring in every collisional orogen, separating tectonic units of contrasting palaeogeographic origin (Gansser 1964, 1980; Dewey 1987; Grasemann and Huet 2016). They typically contain ophiolitic fragments that represent relics of formerly larger amounts of oceanic crust and mantle lithosphere initially separating the continental units before subduction (Moores 1970; Moores and Vine 1971; Gansser 1980; Condie 2016). Put more simply, sutures are fossil collisional plate boundaries. Quite often, sutures form brittle or mylonitic shear zones that accommodated large amounts of contractional strain, providing testimony of plate convergence. Sutures regularly represent strongly tectonised zones with large lithological and metamorphic heterogeneity of mappable units, which are furthermore often spatially disrupted. Among the many heterogeneous lithologies encountered in suture zones, high-pressure metamorphosed rocks always receive particular attention, because they provide undisputable proof for subduction processes preceding collision (e.g., Stern 2005). This is also the case for the famous Yuli Belt of Taiwan, a tectonic unit on the eastern side of Taiwan’s Central Range (Fig. 1). Its occurrences of blueschist-facies rocks have been the focus of continued petrological and geochronological investigations since the pioneering work of Yen (1959). The Yuli Belt contains lithologically heterogeneous blueschist-facies rocks in what is referred to as tectonic or exotic blocks (Table 1),
which, according to numerous authors (e.g., Jahn and Liou 1977; Liou 1981; Yui et al. 2012; Tsai et al. 2013), are surrounded by an intensely deformed metasedimentary unit of lower metamorphic grade (Table 1 and Fig. 2). Internally, some of the high-pressure blocks are considered tectonic mélanges themselves, exhibiting four petrographically different types of glaucophane-bearing rocks (Tsai et al. 2013) with intervening metasediments such as garnet-bearing blackschists (Beyssac et al. 2008) or garnet paragonite mica schists (Keyser et al. 2016). Recent geochronological work on the blueschists has yielded a Lu-Hf age of 5.1 ± 1.7 Ma (Sandmann et al. 2015; see Table 2 for an overview of hitherto obtained geochronological data from the Yuli Belt) — rendering it one of the youngest blueschists belts worldwide (Ota and Kaneko 2010).

The metasedimentary unit of the Yuli Belt (i.e., all units other than the aforementioned blueschist-bearing tectonic blocks) has been differentiated into four units (Wang et al. 1992; Yi et al. 2012), namely the Hutoushan Schists, Sen-jung Schists, Hungye Schists, and Albite spotted Schists. In the case of the first three units, lack of metamorphic index minerals and lithological similarities (mostly metapelitic to metapsammitic schists with variable amounts of carbonaceous matter) make it challenging to map differences between these lower amphibolite- to greenschist-facies units in terms of their structural position within the Yuli Belt. The sole more diagnostic lithology within the metasedimentary unit are the albite-bearing spotted schists (e.g., Yang and Wang 1985; see Table 1 for further references; Fig. 2).

The kinematics of the Shoufeng Fault, the tectonic contact between the Yuli Belt and the westerly adjacent Taliuko unit (Yen 1963), are little investigated. Lin et al. (1984) described the Shoufeng Fault as an NNE-striking and 70 - 80°W-dipping and topographically discernible feature, but no outcrop-scale faults were found. Recent geological maps (e.g., Lin and Chen 2016) depict the Shoufeng Fault essentially unchanged since Ho (1986). Chen et al. (2017) revised the fault trace (Fig. 2). However, the tectonic relationship between blueschist-facies units and the metasedimentary unit is poorly constrained.

In this study, we reinvestigated the tectonic evolution of the Yuli Belt with particular emphasis on the structural relationships between its blueschist-facies rocks and the metasedimentary unit. We build on recent geochronological results (e.g., Chen et al. 2017), incorporating own structural data from several river transects. Three new cross-sections across the Yuli Belt were constructed, suggesting that the blueschist-facies unit tectonically overlies the metasedimentary unit along a thrust that was later tightly folded together with the adjacent units of the Yuli Belt. Finally, relying on a simple plate tectonic reconstruction, we suggest that the Yuli Belt blueschist-facies unit could have originated from the oceanic crust of the South China Sea before its subduction below the Philippine Sea Plate. Since this unit at present occupies a structural position between series derived from Eurasia and those from the Philippine Sea Plate, it represents part of the suture zone.

2. PLATE TECTONIC SETTING AND REGIONAL GEOLOGY

Several geodynamic events have shaped Taiwan throughout the Cenozoic (Fig. 3). The collision between the Eurasian passive continental margin and the Luzon island arc of the overriding Philippine Sea plate formed (and keeps forming) the spectacular topography of the Taiwan island arc since about 4 to 6 Ma (Chang and Chi 1983; Suppe 1984; Teng 1990; Yu and Chou 2001; Lee et al. 2015; Fig. 1). The Philippine Sea plate moves towards NW at 60 - 90 mm yr⁻¹ (e.g., Seno 1977; Yu et al. 1997). In the south of Taiwan, Eurasian lithosphere subducts southeastward underneath the Philippine Sea plate. This geometry is inherited from the intra-oceanic subduction of South China Sea lithosphere underneath the Philippine Sea plate, which commenced after the end of spreading in the South China Sea at around the early Middle Miocene (c. 16 Ma; Taylor and Hayes 1983; Sibuet et al. 2002; Fig. 3). While intra-oceanic subduction still prevails south of Taiwan along the Manila trench (e.g., Angelier 1986; Reed et al. 1992; Malavieille et al. 2002), advanced collisional shortening on the island of Taiwan has already led to the exposure of blueschist-facies rocks in the Yuli Belt of the eastern Central Range (Huang et al. 2006; Yui et al. 2012; Fig. 2).

Spreading of the South China Sea commenced at approximately 34 Ma (e.g., IODP Site U1435; Li et al. 2017) and stopped at c. 15.5 Ma (based on magnetic anomalies; Taylor and Hayes 1983; Briais et al. 1993; Sibuet et al. 2002). Subsequently, the oceanic lithosphere of the South China Sea started subducting under the Philippine Sea Plate as early as c. 15 - 16 Ma (Huang et al. 2006). This was inferred from ages of island arc rocks from Taiwan’s Coastal Range, which forms a northward extension of the Luzon arc. Magmatism in the Coastal Range commenced in Early to Middle Miocene (c. 16 Ma; Taylor and Hayes 1983; Sibuet et al. 2002; Fig. 3). This is supported by more recently obtained U-Pb zircon ages of c. 14.2 Ma in the Chimei complex (Shao et al. 2015). Geochronological studies on the East Taiwan Ophiolite (ETO) contained Lichti Mélange yielded U-Pb magmatic zircon ages of 14 - 17 Ma (Shao et al. 2015; Hsieh et al. 2017; Huang et al. 2018; Lin et al. 2019). Similar ages of 15.6 ± 0.3 and 16.0 Ma were also obtained by U-Pb dating of zircons from blueschists in the Yuli Belt (Chen et al. 2017), suggesting very similar early Middle Miocene protolith ages as for the mafic rocks in the ETO (Table 1 and Fig. 3).

The five major morphotectonic units making up the
Fig. 1. Plate tectonic map of Taiwan and its greater surroundings showing main tectonic units. The Philippine Sea Plate moves towards the Eurasian Plate at a rate of c. 60 - 90 mm yr\(^{-1}\) and subducts underneath it along the Ryukyu trench. The South China Sea (part of Eurasian Plate) subducts beneath the Philippine Sea Plate along the Manila Trench. The extinct South China Sea spreading ridge and magnetic lineations after Yeh et al. (2010); global topography model ETOPO1 (Amante and Eakins 2009); continent-ocean boundary (COB) from Sibuet et al. (2016).

Table 1. Comparison of the Yuli Belt and the Lichi mélange.

| Rock type | Protolith | Age | Inferred Origin |
|-----------|-----------|-----|-----------------|
| **Yuli Belt** | | | |
| Matrix | albite-garnet prophyroblastic schists ("spotted schists") | terrigenous clay? | protolith ages unknown |
| | paragonite-white mica metapelitic schists | pelagic clay? | |
| | serpentinite | peridotite | |
| | rodingite | metamotomized mafics | |
| | meta-gabbro | gabbro | |
| Tectonic blocks | meta-plagiogranite | plagiogranite | |
| | epidote amphibolite | gabbro, basalt | |
| | greenschist | basalt | |
| | garnet-epidote amphibolite | tuff, basalt? | |
| | glaucophane schists | tuff, basaltic andesite, Mn-rich pelagic clay? | ~15 Ma |
| **Lichi Mélange** | | | |
| Matrix | Sheared mudstone without stratification | 3.5 - 3.7 Ma \(^{a,b,c}\); 3.4 - 4.3 Ma \(^{f}\) | |
| Tectonic blocks | ophiolitic rocks (East Taiwan Ophiolite/ETO), incl. Ultramafics (serpentinitized harzburgite, serpentinite breccia) \(^{d,e}\), ultramafic, dikes of dolerite and plagiogranite \(^{t}\), pillow basalts \(^{f}\) | Mid-Late Miocene \(^{f}\) | SCS \(^{d,e,f}\) |

sedimentary rocks | Lilo-Pliocene \(^{b,h}\) | SCS \(^{d,e}\) |

Note: References for Yuli Belt: 1: Yang and Lin 1982; 2: Lin et al. 1984; 3: Yang and Wang 1985; 4: Chiang 2003; 5: Shen and Yang 1996; 6: Lan and Liu 1981; 7: Liu 1981; 8: Lan and Liu 1984; 9: Yen 1966; 10: Liu et al. 1975; 11: Jahn and Liu 1977; 12: Jahn et al. 1981; 13: Lo and Yui 1996; 14: Juang and Bellon 1986; 15: Liou and Ernst 1984; 16: Chen et al. 2017; 17: Beyssac et al. 2008; 18: Lo et al. 2020. References for Lichi Mélange: a: Chi et al. 1981; b: Chang and Chi 1983; c: Barrier and Muller 1984; d: Suppe and Liou 1979; e: Suppe 1984; f: Lin et al. 2019; g: Shen et al. 1984; h: Suppe et al. 1977; i: Chen et al. 2015; j: Lo et al. 2020.
Fig. 2. Geological map of the metamorphic units exposed in the eastern part of Taiwan’s Central Range (modified after Lin and Chen 2016). The Lichi Mélange is after Huang et al. (2006). The Yuli Belt hosts exotic blueschist-facies meta-igneous and ultramafic rocks (Hm) that we consider tectonically emplaced on top of an amphibolite- to greenschist-facies, polyphasely deformed metasedimentary unit (Yl). The diagonally dashed area of the Yuli Belt is adopted from Chen et al. 2017. Occurrences of spotted schists (Sp) are based on Yi et al. (2012) and Lo (2018). Locations of outcrops in Fig. 7 and thin sections in Fig. 9 are marked by small letters. Longitudinal Valley Fault (LVF) is from Shyu et al. (2005). Geological units outside Tananao Complex and Chulai Formation are not differentiated. Sources for the geochronological data in superscripts: 1: 3.3 ± 1.7 Ma (Yui et al. 2014); 2: 5.1 ± 1.7 Ma (Sandmann et al. 2015); 3: 15.4 - 16.0 Ma for blueschist; 1900 - 1700, 1000 - 900, 850 - 700, 200 - 65, and 65 - 8 Ma for metasediments (Chen et al. 2017).
| Area          | Rock type                                                   | Protolith                                                                 | Age                      | Method         | Reference       |
|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Fengtien     | Clinozoisite rock                                          | ?                                                                         | 3.3 ± 1.7 Ma (zircon rim) | U-Pb NanoSIMS  | Yui et al. 2014 |
| Wanjung      | Omphacite-zeisite-albite-phlogopite-quartz metabasite      | ?                                                                         | 4.4 ± 0.1 Ma (phlogopite) | $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar | Lo and Yui 1996 |
| Juisui       | Glaucohphane schists                                       | Volcanic arc andesites and manganese rich sediments (Jahn et al. 1981)  | 110 ± 3 Ma (glaucophane) | $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar | Lo and Yui 1996 |
|              |                                                            | 12.2 ± 0.5 Ma (amphibole)                                                | 4.4 ± 0.1 Ma (phlogopite) | $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar | Lo and Yui 1996 |
|              |                                                            | 10.4 - 11.3 Ma (phengite)                                                | 109 ± 3 Ma (omphacite)   |                |                 |
|              |                                                            | 8.2 - 14.3 Ma (whole rock/hornblende-phengite)                            | 110 ± 3 Ma (glaucophane) | $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar | Lo and Yui 1996 |
|              |                                                            | 5.1 ± 1.7 Ma (whole rock/Garnet)                                          | 109 ± 3 Ma (omphacite)   | $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar | Lo and Yui 1996 |
|              |                                                            | 15.4-16.0 Ma* (zircon)                                                   | 109 ± 3 Ma (omphacite)   | $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar | Lo and Yui 1996 |
|              |                                                            | 78.8 ± 6.6 Ma (whole rock/hornblende-paragonite)                         | 15.4-16.0 Ma* (zircon)   | $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar | Lo and Yui 1996 |
|              |                                                            | 4.6 ± 0.6 Ma (mineral isochron)                                          | 15.4-16.0 Ma* (zircon)   | $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar | Lo and Yui 1996 |
| Chinsui Hsi  | Metaplagiogranites                                         | Plagiogranite                                                             | 13.1, 15.71 Ma* (zircon) | $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar | Lo 2018         |
| Various localities in Yuli Belt | Pelitic schist | Sediments                                                                 | Major peaks: 1900 - 1700 Ma, 1000 - 900 Ma, 850 - 700 Ma, 200 - 65 Ma, 65 - 8 Ma | U-Pb on detrital zircons | Chen et al. 2017 |
|              |                                                            | Major peaks: ~1874 Ma, > 500 Ma, ~300 Ma, ~260 Ma, ~200 Ma, ~130 Ma       | 108 ± 22 Ma              | U-Pb on detrital zircons | Wang et al. 2017 |
|              |                                                            | Youngest: 64 Ma                                                          |                          |                 |                 |
|              |                                                            | Major peaks: ~2500 Ma, ~1800 Ma                                          | 1 - 5 Ma (< 2 mm illite/muscovite) | K-Ar        | Tsao et al. 1996 |
|              |                                                            | Youngest: 108 ± 22 Ma                                                    |                          |                 |                 |

Note: Ages marked with * are considered crystallization ages.
Fig. 3. Tectonostratigraphic chart reviewing timing of major geodynamic events around Taiwan. Recent geochronological ages are highlighted. Note discrepancies on the onset of continent-arc collision and uncertainties on the timing of pre-collisional metamorphism in the Yuli Belt. Sources: (1) Taylor and Hayes 1983; (2) Briais et al. 1993; (3) Sibuet et al. 2002; (4) Hsu et al. 2004; (5) Lan et al. 1996; (6) Richard et al. 1986; (7) Juang 1988; (8) Juang and Chen 1990; (9) Lo et al. 1994; (10) Chen et al. 1992; (11) Yang et al. 1995; (12) Lin and Watts 2002 and Lin et al. 2003; (13) Pelletier and Stephan 1986; (14) Page and Lan 1983; (15) Chang and Chi 1983; (16) Huang et al. 1983; (17) Jahn and Liou 1977; (18) Jahn et al. 1981; (19) Juang and Bellon 1986; (20) Lo and Yui 1996; (21) Yu and Chou 2001; (22) Chi et al. 1981; (23) Huang et al. 2006; (24) Chen et al. 2001; (25) Tian et al. 2019; (26) Chen et al. 2017; (27) Hsieh et al. 2017; (28) Lin et al. 2019; (29) Huang et al. 2018; (30) Teng 1990; (31) Sandmann et al. 2015; (32) Yui et al. 2014. Geologic time scale after Gradstein et al. (2012).
Taiwan mountain belt are illustrated as tectonostratigraphic columns in Fig. 4 (Ho 1986; Chen and Wang 1995). From west to east and separated by major faults, these are the Western Foothills, the Hsuehshan Range, the Backbone Slates, the Tananao Complex, and the Coastal Range. Tananao Complex and Coastal Range are separated by the Longitudinal Valley Fault (LVF, Figs. 2 and 4). Units west of the LVF are derived from the Eurasian Plate. The Western Foothills, the Hsuehshan Range, and the Backbone Slates comprise the imbricata, parautochthonous Cenozoic passive margin sequence of sandstones, conglomerates, argillites and slates structurally below the Tananao Complex (e.g., Yue et al. 2005; Beyssac et al. 2008). The deformation of those three imbricates involves the pro-wedge of Taiwan fold-and-thrust belt with the earliest fabrics of east-dipping bedding planes (S0) and slaty cleavage (S1) (e.g., Pelletier and Hu 1984; Fisher et al. 2007; Naylor and Sinclair 2007; Supplementary, Table S1). East of the LVF is the Coastal Range, consisting of Neoene calc-alkaline arc volcanics and associated volcanodetrital sediments, as well as the Huatung forearc basin deposits (e.g., Huang et al. 2018). A progressive increase in continental contamination of andesitic magmas correlates with progressively younger ages in Coastal Range volcanic rocks (e.g., Chi et al. 1981; Dorsey 1992; Shao et al. 2015). The transition from oceanic to incipient continental subduction likely induced the subduction of a slice of the forearc lithosphere (e.g., Malavieille et al. 2002; Wu et al. 2008; Sandmann et al. 2015). The forearc lithosphere of the Philippine Sea Plate is suspected to subduct beneath the Coastal Range at about 22°N and is probably absent north of 24°N, shortly before the cessation of island-arc magmatism (Kao et al. 2000; Sibuet et al. 2002; Shyu et al. 2011).

The Tananao Complex crops out as a narrow belt west of the LVF and bears evidence of polyemamorphosis and multiple deformations (e.g., Ernst and Jahn 1987). Traditional nomenclature subdivides the Tananao Complex into the western Tailuko Belt and the eastern Yuli Belt (Ho 1986; Chen and Wang 1995), separated by the Shoufeng Fault (Yen 1963; Figs. 2 and 4). The Tailuko Belt consists of Permian marbles, variegated Mesozoic metapelites, and greenschists (Liou 1981), intruded by the Late Cretaceous Kanagan granitic gneiss (Jahn et al. 1986; Figs. 2 and 4). The Yuli Belt is dominatedly composed of greenschist facies, often highly carbonateous quartz-mica schists with blocks of metabasites up to blueschist facies (Fig. 2). Three larger exposures of these blocks are the Wanjung, Juisui, and Chinsui Hsi areas (Yen 1963; Liou et al. 1975; Liou and Ernst 1984; Yui and Lo 1989; Tsai et al. 2013; Table 2 and Fig. 2). The Yuli Belt’s eastern contact with the Eocene (?) Chulai Formation of the Backbone Slates is a sharp boundary in terms of lithology and metamorphic grade, previously interpreted as an unconformity (Stanley et al. 1981; Ho 1986). However, based on comparable ages on detrital zircons from the Yuli Belt metasediments and the northern part of the Chulai Formation (Lin and Chen 2016) as young as Miocene, the Chulai Formation has been recently reinterpreted as part of the metasediments of the Yuli Belt (e.g., Chen et al. 2017, 2019; Conand et al. 2020).

3. NEW MESO- TO MICROSCALE OBSERVATIONS IN THE YULI BELT

3.1 Mesoscale Structures in the Metasedimentary Unit

We made new outcrop-scale structural observations in the metasedimentary unit along several rivers crossing the Yuli Belt (Fig. 2). Mutual overprinting relationships between the observed structural elements suggest that they were formed during three successive deformation phases, termed D1, D2, and D3 in the following (Figs. 5, 6, 7, and 8). The S1 foliation forms a compositional layering, discernible for instance in the often graphite-rich metapelites to metasandstones in the Mugua Hsi area, where it is found to be overprinted by a moderately NW- to W-dipping S2 foliation developing a spaced crenulation or pressure-solution cleavage (Figs. 7a and 8-1). Closer to the Shoufeng Fault, e.g. along the Shoufeng Hsi itself, S2 foliations usually form a more densely spaced and pervasive W- to NW-dipping compositional layering (Fig. 8-2), carrying rare WSW-plunging stretching lineations (Fig. 8-2) that we tentatively interpret as being associated with shear displacement along the Shoufeng Fault itself during deformation phase D2 or D3 (Fig. 2).

We found S2 to be often folded during a later deformation phase D3 (Figs. 7b, c, d, and 8-2). On the outcrop scale, the intensity of this D3 deformation depends on the lithology. S3 foliations form an open axial plane to crenulation cleavage that is well-developed in the metapelitic layers, and absent in the metapsammites (Fig. 7b) owing to the competence contrast. In proximity to the Shoufeng Fault, axial planes dip clearly to the west (Fig. 8-2). On a regional scale, we interpret S3 to form gently to moderately W-dipping axial planes associated with kilometer-scale E-vergent folding of the entire Yuli Belt succession (Figs. 5 and 6), most likely in conjunction with a major phase of E-facing back-folding of eastern parts of the Taiwan orogen (Yeh 2004; Fisher et al. 2007), termed here D3.

Cross section A-B (Fig. 5) also portrays a late-stage brittle normal fault (Hunyeh Hsi Fault), which shows only minor offset and that is hence considered of no importance here. In general, however, late stage brittle faulting is well documented in the eastern Central Range (e.g., Crespi et al. 1996; Table S1).

3.2 Shoufeng Fault

Previous maps have depicted the Shoufeng Fault as a quasi-vertical planar discontinuity separating Tailuko and
Fig. 4. Schematic tectonostratigraphic columns portraying the stratigraphic ranges of the major tectonic units and their mutual fault-bound relationships shown (based and modified after Yue et al. 2005; Brown et al. 2012; Chen et al. 2017; Huang et al. 2018, and references therein). We conceptually treat the blueschist-facies rocks of the Yuli Belt as occupying the position of part of the suture zone separating Eurasian and Philippine Sea plates, bound by a floor thrust and a roof thrust. The Longitudinal Valley Fault possibly overprinted the earlier roof thrust.
The Suture Zone in the Yuli Belt of Taiwan

Fig. 5. Geological map and cross-section A-B of the Hunyeh Hsi area (Geological map modified after Yi et al. 2012). The floor thrust (Juihsi Fault) separates the blueschist-facies units from metasediments and is interpreted as a floor thrust of a suture zone. Topographic contours in the following figure in grey. See Fig. 4 for location.

Fig. 6. Geological map and cross-sections (C-D and E-F) of the Lakulaku Hsi and Chinsui Hsi area (Geological map modified after Lin and Chen 2016). See Fig. 4 for location.
Fig. 7. Outcrops of polyphasely deformed metapelites and metapsammites in the Yuli Belt (a) - (f) considered representative for map-scale structures. Location: (a) Mugua Hsi (23.969540°N, 121.481748°E); (b) and (c) Hunyeh Hsi (23.509600°N, 121.325941°E); (d) Lakulaku Hsi (23.310988°N, 121.248190°E); (e) and (f) Xinwuliu Hsi (23.136959°N, 121.124392°E). (g) and (h) refer to areas where microscopic samples were taken (Fig. 9).

Fig. 8. Equal area, lower hemisphere (“Schmidt net”) projections of fabric elements across Yuli Belt (1) - (4) considered representative for the map-scale structures. Compare with Fig. 2 for actual outcrop geometries at the corresponding locations. (1): (a) Mugua Hsi; (2): (i) Shoufeng Hsi (23.841331°N, 121.380597°E); (3): (b) Hunyeh Hsi; (4): (d) Lakulaku Hsi.
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Yuli Belts. We have partly remapped the trace of the northern segment of the Shoufeng Fault to better agree with the actually observed gently NW- to W-dipping S2 foliations observed in several river transects (Figs. 2, 5, and 6). The southern part of the Shoufeng Fault still follows the interpretations of Lin and Chen (2016), but also depicts the alternative trace suggested by Chen et al. (2017; Fig. 2, thinly dashed red line). Dip direction and dip of S2 foliations are mostly W- to NW-dipping and remain constant across the contact. Also, the lithological and fabric transitions across this fault (e.g., Kuyuan schist of Tailuko Belt and Yuli Belt metasedimentary unit, along Hunyeh Hsi and Lakulaku Hsi, Figs. 2, 6, and 8) are gradual. Both Kuyuan schist and Yuli Belt metasedimentary unit appear very similar to undistinguishable in the field, as they are mainly composed of quartz-mica schists. We suspect that the juxtaposition of Yuli and Tailuko Belts across the Shoufeng Fault may have occurred during an early W-directed transport direction (D2 phase). This interpretation is made on the notion that the Yuli Belt metasediments experienced elevated pressures of up to 1.5 GPa (Conand et al. 2020), which have not been reported in the westerly adjacent Tailuko Belt. We suspect that the Shoufeng Fault became reoriented and reactivated with an opposite slip sense during a later phase of E-vergent backfolding (termed here D3 phase; Figs. 7e, f). Evidence for such top-SE shear was found in an outcrop of spotted schists from Xinwuliu Hsi, close to the Shoufeng Fault (Figs. 7e, f, 9h). There, S-C’ fabrics with a C-foliation (dip direction and dip 317/46) and C’ shear bands (dip direction and dip 282/31) have accommodated SE-directed shear (Figs. 7e, f, 9h). We interpret these top-SE senses of shear to also belong to D3 phase of backfolding, which overprints earlier (D2) top-W emplacement fabrics along the Shoufeng Fault.

3.3 Microstructural Observations from the Spotted Schist Subunit

The mineralogically most distinctive unit within the metasedimentary unit of the Yuli Belt is the albite spotted schists, separately mapped in Fig. 2, relying on Lin et al. (1984), Yi et al. (2012), and Lo (2018). The spots in these metapelites are formed by albite and - to a smaller amount - garnet and titanite porphyroblasts (Yang and Wang 1985; Fig. 9). The characteristic mineral assemblage of these schists is albite, garnet, muscovite, chlorite, titanite, ± tourmaline, ± calcite, and ± rutile (Chiang 2003), as well as a variable amount of carbonaceous matter. Our observations suggest that the growth of albite porphyroblasts with graphic inclusions predated the formation of the S3 foliation. This is evidenced in a sample from the Juisu/Hunyeh Hsi area (Fig. 9g), which shows that asymmetrically rotated albite with graphic inclusions and chlorite in pressure shadows forms a porphyroclast with respect to the older S2 foliation. In the sample from Xinwuliu Hsi, albite and titanite form porphyroclasts that are pre-kinematic with respect to the younger foliation (Fig. 9h). This younger foliation forms pervasive C-planes with enrichment of carbonaceous material. S-C’ type of shearing clearly transposes an older compositional layering S that can be identified in isolated micro-lithons. Chlorite is locally observed to form at the expense of biotite and grows in the main foliation, implying that this fabric formed at greenschist-facies conditions. In combination, these observations suggest that peak-metamorphic conditions in the spotted schists were attained before the D3 event that locally forms the penetrative fabrics observed. This would be in support of our hypothesis portrayed in cross sections (Figs. 5 and 6), according to which the nappé structure within the Yuli Belt was refolded during D3.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1 Structural Position of the Yuli Belt High-Pressure Blocks with Respect to Adjacent Units

By correlating outcrop-scale observations with cross sections, we interpreted the high-pressure metamorphosed blocks to form allochthonous nappé outliers (or erosional relics) of a formerly more contiguous nappé outliers that emplaced subducted and exhumed portions of crust and mantle on top of the lower-grade metasedimentary unit (Figs. 5 and 6). In the following, we term this fault “Juihsi Thrust” after the locality with the largest exposures of blueschist-facies rocks (Fig. 5). Nappé emplacement must have occurred after peak-P-T conditions in the high-pressure unit have been reached. This interpretation is supported by contrasting P-T-conditions in the blueschist-facies and the metasedimentary units (Supplementary, Fig. S1). Peak pressures and temperatures obtained for the high-pressure unit (c. 1.0 to 1.7 GPa and 500 - 550°C, respectively; Beyssac et al. 2008; Tsai et al. 2013; Sandmann et al. 2015; Keyser et al. 2016; Baziotis et al. 2017; Lo 2018; Fig. S1) are higher than those of the metasedimentary unit (c. 0.3 - 0.9 GPa and 500 - 546°C, respectively; Chiang 2003; Beyssac et al. 2008; Fig. S1), although more recent work suggests substantially higher pressures, but lower temperatures for the metasediments (up to 1.5 GPa and 330 - 400°C; Conand et al. 2020). These contrasting P-T-conditions nourish our assumption of two tectonic units that require to be separated by a thrust. The juxtaposition of high-pressure blueschist unit and metasedimentary unit along this thrust occurred very likely during D1 or early D2; certainly before the refolding of all units during D3 and likely concomitant with late-stage retrogression at greenschist facies conditions below c. 3 kbar and 370°C (Figs. S1, 10). This is in agreement with our cross sections with the west-dipping axial planes formed during D3 (Figs. 5, 6, and 8b). D3 refolding and back-thrusting, in combination with later erosion, was responsible for the separation of high-pressure rock occurrences in map view. The
idea of the existence of such thrusts was first proposed by Yang and Wang (1985). We emphasize that our model of an allochthonous tectonic blueschist unit within the Yuli Belt is in agreement with published P-T-data and our structural cross-sections, but is, as yet, not corroborated by any more detailed outcrop-scale structural observations along the contacts between the blueschist-facies and metasedimentary unit. Future research should hence focus on investigating kinematics and fault-related fabrics along these contacts. This will allow to test (or falsify) our model further.

4.2 Palaeogeographic Origin of the Yuli Belt and a Kinematic Evolutionary Scheme

We consider the dominantly mafic to ultramafic and subordinately metasedimentary blueschist-facies tectonic blocks of the Yuli Belt to have been derived from the oceanic crust of the South China Sea. This interpretation is based on a number of arguments outlined in the following. We minted our arguments into a new kinematic evolutionary three-stage scheme for the Yuli Belt (Fig. 11) and into a palaeogeographic sketch of the South China Sea and the Philippine Sea for 15 Ma (Fig. 12).

(1) Available protolith ages for the blueschists, constrained from U-Pb LA-ICP-MS dating of zircons, are around 15.4 - 16.0 Ma (Chen et al. 2017; Lo et al. 2020), making them well comparable in age with gabbros from the easterly adjacent, unmetamorphosed ETO accreted to the base of the Lichi Mélange (Hsieh et al. 2017; Huang et al. 2018; Lin et al. 2019; Table 1 and Fig. 2). These ages are about the youngest possible for oceanic crust issued at a mid-oceanic ridge of the South China Sea (Table 1), which ceased spreading at about 15.5 Ma (see section 2 and Fig. 2). The geochemical composition of basalts and gabbros in the ETO has affinities towards a mid-ocean ridge or back-arc basin setting (Lin et al. 2019), although the debate about the origin of the Lichi Mélange is ongoing (e.g., Chang et al. 2000; Huang et al. 2018). Based on these geochemical data and ages, some of the metamorphic rocks in the Yuli Belt probably have affinities with the ETO at the base of the Lichi Mélange. Therefore, we conjecture that the most probable locus, where both the Yuli Belt blueschists and the ETO originated, was to either side of a spreading ridge of the South China Sea (Fig. 11a). We took this idea further by positioning the original locus of these units onto a simple palaeogeographic reconstruction for 15 Ma (Fig. 12). Assuming that both the present-day plate convergence rate of 7 - 8 cm a\(^{-1}\) (Seno 1977; Yu et al. 1997) and the NW-SE-oriented convergence direction between Eurasian and Philippine Sea plates (after Seno 1977) were constant during the last 15 Ma, we...
Fig. 10. A kinematic evolutionary “shoe box” cartoon illustrating deformation stages, starting with the suspected paleogeographic configuration. D0 stage: the subduction of the Yuli Belt HP unit along the roof thrust; D1 stage: HP metamorphic rock thrust over the Yuli Belt metasedimentary unit along the floor thrust; D2 stage: W-ward thrusting of Yuli Belt onto Tailuko Belt along Shoufeng Fault; D3 stage: backfolding and inversion of slip sense on Shoufeng Fault.

Fig. 11. Lithosphere-scale kinematic model to explain the origin of the Yuli Belt of Taiwan. The Yuli Belt HP unit is interpreted to have originated from a subducted and exhumed segment of the South China Sea, relying on time constraints from Chen et al. (2017) and Lin et al. (2019) for igneous protolith ages in the Yuli Belt and East Taiwan Ophiolites, respectively. The Yuli Belt metasedimentary unit is interpreted to have originated from a westerly adjacent extensional allochthon derived from the distal Eurasian passive margin. See text for further discussion.
Fig. 12. (a) Present-day plate tectonic map around Taiwan. (b) Paleogeographic reconstruction for 15 Ma showing possible locations of the Yuli Belt (red star) and East Taiwan Ophiolite (blue star), the eastward-extension of the spreading ridge of the (now subducted) South China Sea as well as proposed positions of the Manila Trench at 15 Ma according to Lee and Lawver (1995), Sibuet et al. (2002), and Wu and Suppe (2018). Uncertainties in the position of the now subducted spreading ridge (checkerered area) arise from the eastward projection of the azimuthal range of magnetic anomalies below the present-day position of the Manila Trench. Assuming a constant plate convergence velocity and direction (Seno 1977) between 15 Ma and present would place YB and ETO close to the trench position suggested by Lee and Lawver [1995; blue line in (b)]. The extent of the spreading ridge subducted beneath the Manila Trench is confirmed from seismic tomography (Yeh et al. 2010; Wu and Suppe 2018).
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roughly aligned the possible original palaeogeographic locations of both Yuli Belt and ETO on three positions along this trajectory (red and blue dashed stars in Fig. 12b). Given large uncertainties on the trend of the subducted parts of the spreading ridges (cross-hatched area in Fig. 12b), all three pairs of stars mark positions, where South China Sea oceanic crust might have formed near a mid-oceanic ridge at c. 15 Ma. However, only the southeasternmost pair of red and blue dashed stars is in good agreement also with the amount of plate convergence that has occurred since 15 Ma, amounting to roughly 1100 km using the simple assumptions above. This “preferred” position is conspicuously close to the position of the Manila trench reconstructed by Lee and Lawver (1995; blue line in Fig. 12b), which we hence prefer over the two alternative reconstructions of Sibuet et al. (2002; green line in Fig. 12b) and Wu and Suppe (2018; red line in Fig. 12b). These latter reconstructions would only be viable if substantially slower convergence rates operated (Wu and Suppe 2018).

In our kinematic evolutionary sketch (Fig. 11a), we show an intraoceanic subduction zone already operating at 15 Ma. This takes account of (i) the oldest reported ages for subduction-related magmatism in the Coastal Range dating back to the Late Oligocene (Table 1 and Fig. 3), (ii) maximum stratigraphic age constraints of the Tuluan Shan Fm. in the Coastal Range (Fig. 4) as well as (iii) plate tectonic reconstructions for 15 Ma as discussed above, which show the Manila Trench at variable longitudes in the western Philippine Sea (Lee and Lawver 1995; Sibuet et al. 2002; Wu and Suppe 2018; Fig. 12b).

(2) Subduction of the oceanic crust and lithosphere forming the Yuli Belt blueschists must have commenced soon after ~15 Ma (e.g., Jahn et al. 1981; Lo and Yui 1996), with peak metamorphic conditions reached between 15 and 10 Ma (Chen et al. 2017). At the same time, the ETO has escaped the fate of being subducted, implying that it must have been frontally accreted to the wedge forming along the Manila trench (Fig. 11b). We suspect that the metasedimentary unit of the Yuli Belt, with depositional ages inferred to be as young as mid-Miocene (Chen et al. 2017; Figs. 3 and 4), could have originated as an extensional allochthon derived from the Eurasian distal passive margin, which occupied a paleogeographic position between the proper passive margin and the blueschist unit prior to its subduction (Fig. 11a). Despite the absence of any geochronological data on metamorphic conditions from this unit, we further suspect that it must have closely followed the blueschist unit into subduction (Figs. 11b, S1).

(3) Exhumation of the Yuli Belt series was facilitated by the failure of the upper plate along a lithosphere-scale fault forming between the dense, negatively buoyant fore-arc lithosphere and the more buoyant magmatic Luzon arc upon the entrance of Eurasian continental lithosphere in the trench since ca. 6 - 7 Ma (Chemenda et al. 2001). Subsequently, ongoing convergence led to subduction of the negatively buoyant fore-arc lithosphere by slab extraction (Froitzheim et al. 2003; Shyu et al. 2011; Sandmann et al. 2015; Fig. 11c), a mechanism that very efficiently reduced the overburden on top of the subducted material. We conjecture (i) that this mechanism of slab extraction was at play both for the blueschist-facies units as well as for the metasedimentary unit of the Yuli Belt and (ii) that the juxtaposition of these units along the floor thrust occurred during this stage (Fig. 10). Roof and floor thrust faults of the blueschist-facies unit define part of the suture zone between Eurasian and Philippine Sea Plates, and they likely formed during this stage of fore-arc subduction. We suggest that the easterly adjacent ETO (which is accreted to the base of the Lichi Mélange and from which the Yuli Belt is presently separated by the LVF), should be considered to constitute the remainder of Taiwan’s suture zone. Such an assembly with blueschist-facies oceanic units overlain by unmetamorphosed oceanic units is also described from the Chenaillet Ophiolite in the French-Italian Western Alps, where it is attributed to represent the suture between European and Adriatic units (e.g., Manatschal et al. 2011). Furthermore, we suspect that the juxtaposition of the Yuli Belt series and Tailuko belt along the Shoufeng Fault could have occurred within this timespan.

Finally, we realize that it is increasingly difficult to reconcile the Lu-Hf isochron ages of 5.1 ± 1.7 Ma, considered to reflect peak-pressure conditions during blueschist-facies metamorphism (Sandmann et al. 2015) with the fact that between about 6 - 4 Ma continental lithosphere of Eurasia has entered the subduction, dating the transition from intra-oceanic subduction to continent-arc collision (Chi et al. 1981; Suppe 1984; Fig. 11c). The even younger U-Pb ages on zircon rims from nephrite at Fengtien (3.3 ± 1.7 Ma; Yui et al. 2014), however, may still be geologically viable, as this method possibly dates peak-temperature conditions rather than peak-pressures. In this case, Yui et al. (2014) would have likely obtained an age at which post-collisional shortening during deformation phase D3 was at work. We conclude that further geochronological and structural work is hence needed to better constrain the timing of peak-metamorphic conditions and their structural imprint on the Taiwan orogen.

5. CONCLUSIONS

Three new cross-sections across Taiwan’s Yuli Belt were constructed, taking new outcrop- to microscope-scale structural observations from several river-transects into account. Our observations suggest that the Yuli Belt was affected by at least three successive deformation phases (D1 to
D3). We suspect that high-pressure metamorphic units were emplaced on top of metasedimentary units of Yuli Belt along a thrust during D1. This assembly was later thrust over Eurasian-derived series of the Tailuko Belt along the Shoufeng Fault during D2, suggested by (rarely preserved) down-plunging stretching lineations on W- to NW-dipping foliation planes. E-vergent open to tight folds throughout the Yuli Belt with W- to NW-dipping axial planes were produced by the D3 phase, refolding earlier foliations as well as the D1 nappe contact, during which also the blueschist-facies and metasedimentary units were folded. This phase might be related to top-E back-thrusting and a reorientation of the Shoufeng Fault from an initially E-dipping to a presently W-dipping contact. We interpret the high-pressure metamorphic units to form erosional relics of nappe outliers of a formerly more contiguous thrust nappe that hosts part of the suture zone in Taiwan. We suggest that the blueschist-facies metamorphic unit most likely represents a mid-Miocene fragment of oceanic crust and mantle issued in the South China Sea before having been subducted, exhumed and ‘sandwiched’ between the Tailuko Belt and the easterly adjacent Coastal Ranges derived from the Philippine Sea plate.
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