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ABSTRACT

Introduction: The National Cancer Institute Smoking Cessation at Lung Examination (SCALE) Collaboration includes eight clinical trials testing smoking cessation interventions delivered with lung cancer screening (LCS). This investigation compared pooled participant baseline demographic and smoking characteristics of seven SCALE trials to LCS-eligible smokers in three U.S. nationally representative surveys.

Methods: Baseline variables (age, sex, race, ethnicity, education, income, cigarettes per day, and time to the first cigarette) from 3614 smokers enrolled in SCALE trials as of September 2020 were compared with pooled data from the Tobacco Use Supplement–Current Population Survey (2018–2019), National Health Interview Survey (2017–2018), and Population Assessment of Tobacco and Health (wave 4, 2016–2017) using the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force 2013 (N = 4803) and 2021 (N = 8604) LCS eligibility criteria.

Results: SCALE participants have similar average age as the U.S. LCS-eligible smokers using the 2013 criteria but are 2.8...
Introduction

The National Cancer Institute administers the Smoking Cessation at Lung Examination (SCALE) Collaboration, a set of clinical trials that are testing smoking cessation treatment delivered in the context of lung cancer screening (LCS). LCS is an important opportunity to deliver tobacco treatment, which augments the mortality benefit of screening and is cost-effective. SCALE is intended to facilitate data sharing and optimize knowledge gained from the set of clinical trials. The trials range in size (projected final sample sizes n = 308–1650) and test a variety of interventions ranging from individual therapies (behavioral and pharmacologic) to health system changes.

Current smokers who are LCS-eligible differ from smokers who have participated in smoking cessation trials in the general population because of age requirements (50 or 55 to 80 years old). LCS participants may be more proactive about their health (as indicated by receiving screening) than those who are not screened. These characteristics might improve the likelihood of quitting smoking. Conversely, older smokers have a longer duration of heavy tobacco use, may have tried to quit smoking numerous times in the past, and may be more refractory to smoking cessation interventions.

SCALE trials have the important purpose of investigating how best to implement evidence-based smoking cessation in the context of LCS and which treatments are most effective in the LCS population.

Eligibility criteria for LCS originally released by the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) in 2013 included current and former smokers aged 55 to 80 years with a 30 or more pack-year smoking history, who currently smoke or have quit within 15 years. In 2021, the USPSTF eligibility criteria were expanded to ages 50 to 80 years and a 20 or more pack-year smoking history, who currently smoke or have quit within the past 15 years. The Centers for Medicare Services adopted these changes in 2022 (paying for LCS up to age 77 rather than 80). Changes to the 2013 LCS eligibility criteria were largely prompted by limitations of the criteria to address disparities in lung cancer by sex and race. Screening for lung cancer starting at an earlier age and a lower pack-year history should reduce racial disparities in screening eligibility, in particular for Blacks, who smoke fewer cigarettes per day (CPD) yet have a higher risk of lung cancer.

The new eligibility criteria also increased the proportion of LCS-eligible women who smoke fewer CPD on average or have lower pack-years.

Therefore, SCALE trials are being conducted using LCS eligibility criteria that are evolving over time. The purpose of this analysis is to describe the baseline characteristics (demographics and smoking history) of SCALE trial participants and compare them to LCS-eligible current smokers in the general U.S. population, using data from three nationally representative surveys, applying both 2013 and 2021 LCS eligibility criteria. This will improve understanding of whether and how SCALE smoking cessation trial results, many of which will be available in the near future, can be generalized to the U.S. population of LCS-eligible individuals.

Materials and Methods

Seven SCALE trials volunteered to contribute data to this analysis and are included as authors. All participating trials have institutional review board approval. Deidentified trial data, as of September 2020, from the seven sites, were combined (n = 3614). Demographic measures include age, sex (male/female), race (White only, Black only, Asian only, Other race), ethnicity (Hispanic and non-Hispanic), education (eighth grade or less, ninth to 11th grade, high school graduate/general education development diploma, some college, college or above) and income per year (<$14,999, $15,000–$24,999, $25,000–$34,999, $35,000–$49,999, $50,000–$99,999 and ≥$100,000 U.S. dollar). Smoking variables include CPD and time to first cigarette (within 5 minutes, 6–30 minutes, 31–60 minutes, and after 60 minutes of waking).
SCALE participant data were compared with national survey data (pooled data from the Tobacco Use Supplement to the Current Population Survey [TUS-CPS] 2018–2019, National Health Interview Survey [NHIS] 2017–2018, and Population Assessment of Tobacco and Health [PATH] Study wave 4 2016–2017), which were the most contemporaneous with SCALE trial enrollment. Sample sizes of LCS-eligible current smokers from each national survey according to the USPSTF 2013 and 2021 guidelines were: TUS-CPS (2664 and 4691 respectively), NHIS (1160 and 2124), and PATH (979 and 1789). Variables in each national survey were recoded to match SCALE categories to the extent possible. To pool data from the three surveys, sample weights in each survey were reweighted according to their relative sample sizes. More details are provided in the Supplementary Materials.

SCALE participant characteristics were compared with those of LCS-eligible current smokers in the general population on the basis of the USPSTF 2013 guideline (adults aged 55–80 y who have smoked at least 30 pack-years and currently smoke, n = 4803) and the USPSTF 2021 guideline (adults aged 50–80 y who have smoked at least 20 pack-years and currently smoke, N = 8604). Statistical differences between the characteristics of the SCALE trial and the national survey of LCS-eligible smokers were assessed using chi-square and t tests accounting for the standardized sample weights using the R package “weights” in R version 4.1.2.

Results

Demographic and smoking data for the seven SCALE trials are detailed separately in Supplementary Table 1. Data from the TUS-CPS 2018 to 2019, NHIS 2017 to 2018, and PATH Study wave 4 2016-2017 are detailed separately in Supplementary Table 2.

In comparison with national surveys using the USPSTF 2013 LCS eligibility criteria, SCALE participants are approximately the same age (SCALE 63.5 years versus national surveys 63.3 years, difference not statistically significant). SCALE has a lower proportion of men than in national surveys (51.6% versus 57.1%, \( p < 0.001 \)). SCALE has a higher proportion of Blacks (11.6% versus 8.1%, \( p < 0.001 \)) and a similar proportion of participants of Hispanic ethnicity. SCALE participants achieve a higher level of education than LCS-eligible current smokers in national surveys (\( p < 0.001 \)) and have a somewhat higher income distribution (\( p < 0.001 \)). CPD is significantly lower in SCALE participants, mean 17.9 (SD 9.2) versus 22.4 (SD 9.3), than in national surveys (\( p < 0.001 \)). For SCALE participants, 73.9% smoke within 30 minutes of waking, compared with 77.9% for national survey participants (\( p < 0.001 \)) (Table 1).

Discussion

We compared baseline data from seven SCALE trials to data from LCS-eligible individuals from the general population. There are statistically significant differences in some, but not all, variables between SCALE and LCS-eligible current smokers in the general population according to both the USPSTF 2013 and 2021 criteria. Interestingly, and consistent with the purpose of the changes to screening eligibility, many characteristics of SCALE participants are closer to those of LCS-eligible smokers from the general population according to the 2021 criteria, notably for the proportion of the Black race and average CPD. This suggests that the results of SCALE trials will be generalizable to the U.S. population. Sample sizes of all studies analyzed are quite large, which results in statistically significant differences even if the magnitude of the differences is small. Therefore, it is important to consider the clinical relevance of the findings. For example, differences in the proportion of male participants (SCALE 51.6% versus national surveys using 2013 criteria 57.1% and 2021 criteria 54.6%) are statistically significant but would not affect smoking cessation treatment recommendations.

Although there are significant differences in CPD between SCALE participants and LCS-eligible national survey respondents, both cohorts are heavier smokers than age-matched smokers in the general population. This is to be expected, as LCS eligibility is on the basis of smoking history. The higher socioeconomic status (both education and income) of SCALE trial participants may also be related to lower CPD, in comparison to national survey data. The increased prevalence of the Black race in SCALE trial participants may also explain lower CPD. Black individuals who smoke are lighter smokers and have lower mean pack-years by age 65 than White men and women who smoke.

Results from both SCALE and the pooled national survey data regarding the time to first cigarette indicate that physical dependence on nicotine is highly prevalent among LCS-eligible current smokers. This suggests that tobacco treatment designed to be delivered in the context of LCS will be most successful if it includes evidence-based pharmacotherapy to address and treat nicotine withdrawal.

Overall, the results of this analysis suggest that SCALE trial outcomes will be highly applicable to the general U.S. population of LCS-eligible patients who smoke. Tobacco treatment is a critical aspect of a comprehensive LCS program. The SCALE trials will identify evidence-based cessation interventions delivered in the context of LCS that will enhance the benefits.
of lung screening, improve its cost-effectiveness, and optimize resources.
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**Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of Lung Cancer Screening-Eligible Current Smokers in Pooled National Surveys (TUS-CPS 2018-2019, NHIS 2017-2018, PATH Wave 4 2016-2017) and Participants in the SCALE Trials**

| Variable                          | SCALE Trials (N = 3614) | National Surveys\(^a\) (N = 4803) | p Value vs. SCALE | National Surveys\(^b\) (N = 8604) | p Value vs. SCALE |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|
| Age (mean, SD)                    | 63.5 (6.0)              | 63.3 (6.3)                        | 0.18              | 60.7 (7.1)                        | <0.001            |
| Sex, %                            |                         |                                   |                   |                                   |                   |
| Male                              | 51.6                    | 57.1                              | <0.001            | 54.6                              | <0.001            |
| Female                            | 48.4                    | 42.9                              |                   | 45.4                              |                   |
| Race, %                           |                         |                                   |                   |                                   |                   |
| White Only                        | 85.4                    | 88.5                              | <0.001            | 86.0                              | <0.001            |
| Black Only                        | 11.6                    | 8.1                               |                   | 9.9                               |                   |
| Asian Only                        | 0.5                     | 0.6                               |                   | 1.0                               |                   |
| Other race, including multiracial | 2.5                     | 2.8                               |                   | 3.1                               |                   |
| Ethnicity, %                      |                         | 0.40                              |                   | 95.1                              | <0.001            |
| Non-Hispanic                      | 96.8                    | 96.6                              |                   |                                   |                   |
| Hispanic                          | 3.2                     | 3.4                               |                   | 4.9                               |                   |
| Education, %                      |                         |                                   |                   |                                   |                   |
| 8th grade or less                 | 4.4                     | 5.3                               |                   | 5.0                               |                   |
| 9th-11th grade                    | 14.4                    | 12.3                              |                   | 11.8                              |                   |
| High school graduate-diploma/GED  | 21.8                    | 42.3                              |                   | 42.6                              |                   |
| Some college                      | 38.2                    | 29.6                              |                   | 30.0                              |                   |
| College or above                  | 20.5                    | 10.5                              |                   | 10.6                              |                   |
| Income, %                         |                         | <0.001                            |                   | <0.001                            |                   |
| <$14,999 per y                    | 21.7                    | 26.0                              |                   | 25.3                              |                   |
| $15,000-$24,999 per y             | 13.5                    | 14.8                              |                   | 14.5                              |                   |
| $25,000-$34,999 per y             | 11.5                    | 13.2                              |                   | 12.4                              |                   |
| $35,000-$49,999 per y             | 12.8                    | 13.5                              |                   | 13.4                              |                   |
| $50,000-$99,999 per y             | 28.9                    | 23.5                              |                   | 24.7                              |                   |
| $100,000 or more                  | 11.5                    | 9.0                               |                   | 9.7                               |                   |
| Cigarettes per d (mean, SD)       | 17.9 (9.2)              | 22.4 (9.3)                        | <0.001            | 19.6 (9.7)                        | <0.001            |
| Time to first cigarette, %        |                         |                                   | <0.001            |                                   | <0.001            |
| Within 5 min                      | 29.6                    | 28.9                              |                   | 27.0                              |                   |
| 6-30 min                          | 44.3                    | 49.0                              |                   | 47.4                              |                   |
| 31-60 min                         | 16.2                    | 14.8                              |                   | 17.0                              |                   |
| After 60 min                      | 9.9                     | 7.3                               |                   | 8.6                               |                   |

\(^a\)Screen-eligible criteria on the basis of the USPSTF 2013 guideline: adults aged 55 to 80 years who have smoked at least 30 pack-years and currently smoke. Unweighted counts in the pooled national surveys (n = 4803). All statistics were calculated by accounting for standardized individual sample weights.

\(^b\)Screen-eligible criteria on the basis of the USPSTF 2021 guideline: adults aged 50 to 80 years who have smoked at least 20 pack-years and currently smoke. Unweighted counts in the pooled national surveys (n = 8604). All statistics were calculated by accounting for standardized individual sample weights.

\(^c\)The information was only available in TUS-CPS and PATH for the national surveys.

GED, General Equivalency Diploma; LCS, lung cancer screening; NHIS, National Health Interview Survey; PATH, Population Assessment of Tobacco and Health; SCALE, Smoking Cessation at Lung Examination; TUS-CPS, Tobacco Use Supplement-Current Population Survey; USPSTF, U.S. Preventive Services Task Force.
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