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In Brief
The NOTCH-dependent endocardial secretome has been determined by quantitative MS-based proteomics of mouse embryonic endocardial cells (MEEC). DLL4-mediated NOTCH activation lead to expression of EMT, cytoskeleton and cell-cell/cell-matrix proteins, whereas JAG1-NOTCH signaling activated ECM molecules expression. Data validation in vitro and in vivo support the value of MEEC as a model for studying the endocardial secretome and for the identification of novel factors potentially involved in heart development and disease.

Highlights
- MEEC are a reliable endocardial in vitro model.
- Quantitative proteomics to characterize the NOTCH-driven endocardial secretome.
- NOTCH pathway status underlies different paracrine biological functions.
- New insights into secreted factors involved in cardiac valve development.
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The endocardium is a specialized endothelium that lines the inner surface of the heart. Functional studies in mice and zebrafish have established that the endocardium is a source of instructive signals for the development of cardiac structures, including the heart valves and chambers. Here, we characterized the NOTCH-dependent endocardial secretome by manipulating NOTCH activity in mouse embryonic endocardial cells (MEEC) followed by mass spectrometry-based proteomics. We profiled different sets of soluble factors whose secretion not only responds to NOTCH activation but also shows differential ligand specificity, suggesting that ligand-specific inputs may regulate the expression of secreted proteins involved in different cardiac development processes. NOTCH signaling activation correlates with a transforming growth factor-β2 (TGFβ2)-rich secretome and the delivery of paracrine signals involved in focal adhesion and extracellular matrix (ECM) deposition and remodeling. In contrast, NOTCH inhibition is accompanied by the up-regulation of specific semaphorins that may modulate cell migration. The secretome protein expression data showed a good correlation with gene profiling of RNA expression in embryonic endocardial cells. Additional characterization by in situ hybridization in mouse embryos revealed expression of various NOTCH candidate effector genes (Tgfβ2, Lox2, Ptx3, Timp3, Fbln2, and Dcn) in heart valve endocardium and/or mesenchyme. Validating these results, mice with conditional Dll4 or Jag1 loss-of-function mutations showed gene expression alterations similar to those observed at the protein level in vitro. These results provide the first description of the NOTCH-dependent endocardial secretome and validate MEEC as a tool for assaying the endocardial secretome response to a variety of stimuli and the potential use of this system for drug screening. *Molecular & Cellular Proteomics 18: 1782–1795, 2019. DOI: 10.1074/mcp.RA119.001492.

Signaling interactions are crucial during the various stages of vertebrate cardiac development, from heart mesoderm specification and migration through heart tube formation and looping to the development, morphogenesis, and maturation of the heart valves and chambers. The early heart tube is formed by an outer epithelial myocardium and an inner endocardial layer separated by an extracellular matrix, the cardiac jelly. Inductive signaling between these two cell layers is crucial for the development of specialized heart structures, such as the valves and chambers.

During the formation of the heart valves, myocardium-derived BMP2 (1, 2) and endocardium-derived NOTCH signals (3), active in the prospective valve territory of the atrio-ventricular canal (AVC) region, function in concert to induce the epithelial-mesenchyme transition (EMT) of AVC endocardial cells (4, 5). Transformed mesenchyme cells lose cell-cell junctions, migrate, and colonize the AVC cardiac jelly to form the endocardial cushions, which will later protrude into the heart tube and function as primitive heart valves. Later, these mesenchymal cells proliferate and remodel by condensation and elongation, to give rise to the delicate valve leaflets and septum of the mature heart (6).

NOTCH is a conserved family of single-pass transmembrane receptors that are activated by membrane-bound ligands of the DELTA (DLL1, DLL3, and DLL4) and JAGGED/SERRATE (JAG1 and JAG2) families. Ligand-receptor interaction leads to a series of proteolytic processing events in the receptor that ultimately result in the generation of the NOTCH intracellular domain, which translocates to the cell nucleus and binds to a preexisting transcriptional complex to regulate target gene expression (7). The many roles of NOTCH in mammalian heart development include cardiac fate specification (8), heart tube patterning, and morphogenesis of cardiac structures; moreover, NOTCH signaling alterations lead to cardiac disease in humans (9). A key feature of NOTCH function in the heart is that it exerts non-cell autonomous effects on neighboring tissues. This is exemplified by the effect of endocardial NOTCH activity on myocardial pattern-
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...ing, presumably because NOTCH is required for the production of endocardial signals acting on the myocardium (4, 10–13). Candidate signaling molecules have been identified by gene expression profiling of NOTCH loss- or gain-of-function models (4, 10, 12, 13), but so far, there has been a lack of experimental models suitable for the proteomic identification of secreted endocardial proteins involved in cardiac development.

Here, we used mouse embryonic endocardial cells (MEEC) as an in vitro system to identify the NOTCH-dependent embryonic endocardial secretome. MEEC were stimulated with recombinant DLL4 and JAG1 in the presence or absence of NOTCH inhibitors, and multiplexed quantitative proteomics analysis of conditioned media identified proteins whose secretion responds to NOTCH signaling manipulation. This analysis identified 875 secreted factors, 129 of which showed significant expression changes after NOTCH manipulation; moreover, we validated the protein expression data through comparison with qRT-PCR and RNA profiling results from MEEC. NOTCH activation correlated directly with increased secretion of extracellular matrix (ECM) remodeling and structural proteins (TGFβ2 and collagens) and inversely with molecules involved in cellular migration/chemotaxis and protein-modifying enzymes. Specifically, DLL4-NOTCH signaling showed a major contribution to EMT, cytoskeleton signaling, and cell-cell/cell-matrix contacts, whereas JAG1-NOTCH signaling revealed a greater involvement in ECM deposition. Validation by in situ hybridization revealed specific valve endocardium and mesenchyme expression of selected candidate molecules in wild-type mouse embryos and their altered expression in NOTCH mutants. These findings coincide with the early role described for DLL4-NOTCH1 signaling in the promotion of EMT and cell migration and with a later role for JAG1-NOTCH1 in the regulation of valve mesenchyme proliferation and remodeling (13). These results affirm the value of MEEC as a powerful in vitro model for studying the endocardial secretome and identify novel factors potentially involved in heart valve development and disease.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Isolation and Immortalization of MEEC—MEEC were obtained and immortalized as described in (10).

Cell Culture—MEEC were cultured on 0.1% gelatin-coated 10-cm dishes and maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) (Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. Human umbilical vein endothelial cells were purchased from Lonza, and cultured on 0.1% gelatin-coated 10-cm dishes in Endothelial Cell Growth Medium-2 (EGM-2) with supplements (Lonza) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. Mouse embryonic fibroblasts were obtained from E9.5 embryos disaggregated with a 1-ml syringe plunger and were plated in DMEM with high glucose and L-glutamine (Gibco), 15% FBS, 1 mM sodium pyruvate (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.1 mM β-mercaptoethanol (Sigma-Aldrich), and 1% penicillin/ampicillin. All cell lines were incubated at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2.

Calcium Ionophore Treatment—MEEC cultured on 0.1% gelatin-coated glass coverslips were exposed to 1 μM A23187 calcium ionophore (#C5149 Sigma-Aldrich) or DMSO (vehicle) (Sigma-Aldrich) for 6 h at 37 °C prior to fixation.

Immunocytochemistry—Confluent cells cultured on 0.1% gelatin-coated glass coverslips were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature. MEEC were incubated with primary antibodies for 1 h at room temperature, followed by incubation for 1 h with a fluorescein-dye-conjugated secondary antibody. Antibodies used in this study were as follows: anti-ERG (Abcam EPR3663, 1:100); Alexa Fluor-488 conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) (ThermoFisher A-11029, 1:100); Alexa Fluor-488 conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) Alexa Fluor-488 conjugate (ThermoFisher A-11029, 1:100); Alexa Fluor-488-conjugated Isolectin GS-IB4 from Griffonia simplicifolia (ThermoFisher I32450, 1:200) was incubated for 4 min at room temperature prior to fixation. Fluorescein isothiocyanate-labeled phalloidin (Sigma-Aldrich P5282 1:200) was used to label actin filaments. Images were obtained with a NIKON A1R confocal fluorescence microscope and an Olympus BX51 fluorescence microscope.

Matrigel-based Tube Formation Assay—Each well of a 24-well plate was coated with 300 μL Growth Factor Reduced Matrigel (BD Biosciences 354230) at 4 °C, and the Matrigel was allowed to polymerize at 37 °C for 30 min. MEEC were treated with VEGF (R&D Systems 293-VE, 20 ng/ml) for 1 week, and 5 × 104 or 7.5 × 104 cells per well were added in DMEM and incubated for 6 h at 37 °C. Tube-like structures were observed with the Nikon Eclipse TS100 Inverted Microscope and photographed using the Nikon Digital Sight DS-2MBWC camera. Each condition was tested in duplicate.

NOTCH Stimulation with Recombinant Ligands—Recombinant NOTCH ligands DLL4-His (R&D Systems 1389-D4-050) and JAG1-Fc (R&D Systems 599-JG-100) were attached to 10-cm dishes as described in (14). MEEC (2.9 × 106 cells/plate) were seeded in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and treated with 20 μM of the γ-secretase inhibitor RO4929097 (Selleck Chemicals S1575); to inhibit NOTCH signaling, or DMSO (vehicle) for 24 h at 37 °C. The cells were washed twice with PBS and incubated for an additional 14 h in serum-free DMEM together with RO4929097 or DMSO as appropriate. Each condition was performed in triplicate.

Quantitative RT-PCR—RNA from MEEC stimulated with NOTCH recombinant ligands was extracted using the Direct-zol RNA Miniprep Kit (Zymo Research). cDNA was synthesized using the High Capacity Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems), with 1 μg total RNA per reaction. Quantitative PCR was performed using Power SYBR® Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) with the 7900HT Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). Relative expression was determined using the ΔΔCT method (16). Mouse KiCqStart™ primer sequences (Sigma-Aldrich) used in this study are listed in Table S6. Three technical experiments were performed in each biological triplicate. Data are presented as mean ± s.d. Differences were considered statistically significant at p < 0.05 (two-tailed Student’s t test).

Collection of Conditioned Media—Conditioned media from confluent serum-starved MEEC was collected by gentle aspiration and
centrifuged at 1200 rpm for 5 min to remove cell debris. The clear supernatant was immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and then stored at –80 °C until proteomic analysis.

Experimental Design and Statistical Rationale—MEEC were stimulated with DLL4 or JAG1 in the presence of DMSO (vehicle) or RO4929097, and only DMSO represented the control condition (Fig. 1A). Conditioned media from these five experimental conditions were analyzed in biological triplicates in two independent tandem mass tag (TMT) 10-plex experiments (Fig. S2A). One TMT was used to study stimulation by DLL4 and the other to study stimulation by JAG1. Each TMT contained 3 controls (in DMSO, which were the same in both TMT experiments); 3 samples with the corresponding NOTCH ligand; 3 samples with the corresponding NOTCH ligand and RO4929097; and a common pool of all 15 samples was used as an internal control (Fig. S2A). The three biological replicates of each condition showed reproducible changes and clustered together into the same groups (see, for instance, Fig. 2A). Quantitative information from TMT reporter intensities was integrated from the spectrum level (Table S1), to the peptide level, and then to the protein level based on the weighted spectrum, peptide and protein (WSPP) statistical model (15, 16) using the generic integration algorithm (17). Briefly, for each sample r, the values $x_{\log}$ were calculated, where $A_i$ is the intensity of the TMT reporter of the corresponding sample i in the MS/MS spectrum, $w_{\log}$ was coming from peptide $p$ and protein $q$, and $C$ is the intensity of the TMT reporter from the internal control. The $\log_2$-ratio of each peptide $(x_{\log})$ was calculated as the weighted mean of its spectra, the protein values $(x_p)$ were the weighted mean of its peptides, and the grand mean $(\bar{x})$ was calculated as the weighted mean of all the protein values (15). The statistical weights of spectra, peptides, and proteins $(w_{\log}, w_{p}$, and $w_q$, respectively) and the variances at each one of the three levels $(\sigma^2_{\log}, \sigma^2_p$, and $\sigma^2_q$, respectively), were calculated as described (15). Protein abundance changes are expressed in standardized units $(z_p)$. Built-in routines from the SanXoT package (18) were used to confirm that the $z_q$ variables from each one of the samples followed strict normal N (0, 1) distributions, as expected from the WSPP model (17). Significant protein abundance changes across the different samples were detected by applying Student’s $t$ test to $z_p$ data, and differences were considered statistically significant at $p < 0.05$.

LC-MS Proteomics—Proteins from the conditioned media were digested overnight at 37 °C in filter aided sample preparation filters with trypsin (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) at a 40:1 protein:trypsin (w/w) ratio in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate, pH 8.8. The resulting peptides were desalted with C18 Oasis cartridges (Waters Corporation, Milford, MA, USA), using 50% acetonitrile (v/v) in 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (v/v) as eluent, and vacuum-dried. The peptides were TMT labeled following manufacturer’s instructions. Labeled peptides from each TMT experiment were pooled, separated into six fractions by mixed-cation exchange chromatography (Oasis HLB-MCX columns), desalted, and analyzed using a Proxeon Easy nano-flow HPLC system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany) coupled via a nanoelectrospray ion source (Thermo Fisher Scientific) to an Orbitrap Fusion mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). C18-based reverse-phase separation was used with a 2-cm trap column and a 50-cm analytical column (EASY column, Thermo Fisher Scientific) in a continuous acetonitrile gradient consisting of 0–30% A for 180 min, 50–90% B for 3 min ($A = 0.1%$ formic acid; $B = 90%$ acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid) at a flow rate of 200 nl/min. Mass spectra were acquired in a data-dependent manner, with an automatic switch between MS and MS/MS using a top 15 method. MS spectra in the Orbitrap analyzer were in a mass range of 400–1500 m/z and 120,000 resolution. Higher-energy collisional dissociation fragmentation was performed at 35 eV of normalized collision energy and MS/MS spectra were analyzed at 30,000 resolution in the Orbitrap.

All searches were performed with Proteome Discoverer (version 1.4, Thermo Fisher Scientific) using SEQUEST (Thermo Fisher Scientific) against an Uniprot database containing all sequences from mouse (December 2015: 16,747 entries) and supplemented with 116 sequences from the cRAP database, containing the most common laboratory protein contaminants (Global Proteome Machine). For database searching, parameters were selected as follows: trypsin digestion with two maximum missed cleavage sites, precursor mass tolerance of 2 Da, fragment mass tolerance of 30 ppm. Methionine oxidation (+15.994915) was set as a variable modification. Lysine and peptide N-terminal modification of +229.162932 Da, as well as cysteine carbamidomethylation of +57.021464 Da, were set as fixed modifications. The same MS/MS spectra collections were also searched against inverted databases constructed from the same target databases. Peptide identification from MS/MS data was performed using the probability ratio method (19). False discovery rates of peptide identifications were calculated using the refined method (20, 21) a 1% false discovery rate was used as the peptide identification criterion. Each peptide was assigned only to the best protein proposed by the Proteome Discoverer algorithm. The whole set of identified peptides and proteins is shown in Table S1.

Bioinformatic Filtering of Secretory Proteins—The computational prediction of protein secretion was done as in (22) and is shown in Fig. 1D and Table S2. Briefly, from all the identified proteins at false discovery rate <1%, a list of potentially secreted proteins that met at least one of the following three criteria was constructed: (1) proteins annotated as extracellular by the DAVID v.6.7 website for Gene Ontology (GO) localization; (2) from the list of proteins not fulfilling the criteria in (1), proteins containing more than two transmembrane helices predicted by the TMHMM v.2.0 server (23), and a signal peptide according to the SignalP v.4.1 server. Those were termed as potentially secreted via the classical pathway; (3) proteins not fulfilling the criteria in (2) that had a SecretomeP v.2.0 score >0.5. Proteins in category (2) were classified as potentially secreted via the classical pathway; proteins in category (3) were classified as potentially secreted by a nonclassical pathway (24). To prevent overlap among secretion criteria, proteins were listed only under one category.

Data Representation—Principal component analysis was performed using ClustVis (https://biit.cs.ut.ee/clustvis) (25) on the 690 secreted proteins common to the DLL4- and JAG1-specific datasets. Heatmaps were generated in ClustVis. Volcano plots were represented using a python custom script with the Matplotlib library, and protein names were added manually.

GO and KEGG Pathway Enrichment Analysis—GO and Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes (KEGG) Pathway enrichment analyses of differentially secreted proteins were performed in GO-Elite (www.genmapp.org/go_elite/; 1.2.5, Ensmart77Plus database version) (26) with a permuted $p$ value cutoff $p < 0.05$. We used the set of 690 proteins identified in both mixes as background, except for the network analysis, where we used the NOTCH-dependent endocardial secretome (129 differentially secreted proteins). Bar charts representing the z-score values of the terms in each category (biological process, cellular component, molecular function, and KEGG pathways) were generated with GraphPad Prism 7.

Network Analysis of Proteomic Data—The 129 differentially secreted proteins showing differential regulation after global NOTCH pathway activation/inhibition, served as input node data for the STRING 11.0 database (http://string-db.org/) (27). To obtain more stringent interactions, the Textmining option in STRING was excluded, and the minimum required interaction score considered was 0.7 (high confidence). Resulting networks were then replotted using Cytoscape 3.7.1 (www.cytoscape.org/) (28), by applying the Prefuse
RESULTS

MEEC Show Features of Embryonic Endocardium—To investigate the NOTCH-dependent endocardial secretome, we used a previously generated MEEC line (10). Morphological examination revealed a cobblestone-like morphology resembling that of human umbilical vein endothelial cells, contrasted by the elongated shape of mouse embryonic fibroblasts (Fig. S1A). MEEC showed positive staining with the endothelial surface marker isoclectin B4 (35) (Fig. S1B) and for immunodetected ERG in the nucleus (36) (Fig. S1C). The endocardial marker NFATc1 was diffusely expressed in the cytoplasm (Fig. S1D) and translocated to the nucleus after the addition of a Ca²⁺ ionophore (37) (Fig. S1E). We tested the angiogenic behavior of VEGF pretreated MEEC in an endothelial tube formation assay on reduced growth factor Matrigel (38). In response to angiogenic stimulation for 6 h, MEEC aligned and formed capillary-like structures within the Matrigel, and the tube network was more extensive after a higher initial seeding density (Figs. S1F and S1G). These results indicate that MEEC retain properties of embryonic endocardial cells and are thus an appropriate in vitro system in which to manipulate endocardial NOTCH signaling.

Analysis of the NOTCH-dependent Endocardial Secretome—To achieve ligand-specific NOTCH signaling activation or inhibition, we stimulated MEEC with immobilized recombinant DLL4 or JAG1 ligands (14) in the presence of DMSO (vehicle) or the nant DLL4 or JAG1 ligands (14) in the presence of DMSO. To achieve ligand-specific NOTCH signaling activation or inhibition, we focused on the 690 secreted proteins (78.6% of the total) identified after both JAG1- and DLL4-stimulation (Fig. S2C and Table S3A). Within this group, we selected only secreted proteins showing significant changes (p < 0.05) after global NOTCH activation or inhibition (Table S3B). To this end, we compared NOTCH activation (DLL4- and JAG1-DMSO combined) versus NOTCH inhibition (DLL4- and JAG1-RO combined), and NOTCH activation versus control (DMSO) and NOTCH inhibition combined (Figs. S3A and S3B). Proteins showing differential secretion in at least one comparison were selected (n = 129). Hierarchical clustering of these common proteins by secretion pattern yielded four major groups (Fig. 2A), corresponding to proteins hyposecreted or hypersecreted after NOTCH inhibition (clusters 1 and 4; Fig. 2A) and to proteins hyposecreted or hypersecreted after NOTCH activation (clusters 2 and 3; Fig. 2A).

To better understand the functions of these NOTCH-responsive proteins, we conducted GO enrichment analysis of the two major secretome profiles, based on hyposecretion (clusters 1 and 3; Fig. 2A) and hyposecretion (clusters 2 and 4; Fig. 2A) during NOTCH activation. The analysis showed that the first group (59 proteins) had significant overrepresentation of ECM-remodeling and collagen-related terms, in which several components of the ECM (TGFβ2, CTGF, FBLN2, FN1, and a variety of collagens) and remodeling enzymes (lysyl-oxidase -LOX- and metalloproteinase inhibitors -TIMP1 and TIMP3–) were included (Fig. 2B and Table S5A). In contrast, the second group (70 proteins) showed enrichment in semaphorin signaling and protein modification terms, including ubiquitin-conjugating enzymes (NEDD4, UBE2K, and UBE2V1), aminopeptidases (NPEPPS, PEPD, XPNPEP1), and metalloproteinases (ADAM10, ADAMTS5, AEBP1) (Fig. 2C and Table S5A). Similar results were obtained with KEGG pathway analysis, further supporting the notion that NOTCH stimulation promotes the secretion of paracrine signals involved in “ECM-receptor interaction,” “focal adhesion,” “pathways in cancer,” and “TGFβ signaling,” which are
closely associated with cellular migration and invasiveness and with diminished secretion of guidance/chemotaxis signals, like semaphorins (Figs. 2B and 2C).

To identify the protein-protein interactions underlying the functions described above, we constructed the interactome of the NOTCH-dependent endocardial secretome by integrat-
ing the 129 differentially expressed proteins with the STRING v11 database (26) (Table S6A). We predicted a statistically significant network containing 78 nodes (39 hypersecreted and 39 hyposecreted) connected by 229 edges representing physical or functional interactions (Fig. 3A and Table S6B). We identified two major clusters containing the most densely connected nodes (enclosed by a dotted line in Fig. 3A) in which 29 out of 39 nodes corresponded to hypersecreted proteins. Among them, the most interconnected proteins were structural proteins (collagens) and ECM-remodeling factors (bold names in Fig. 3A). These proteins appeared in the most represented GO terms and KEGG pathways, which corresponded with extracellular matrix, collagen organization, response to stimulus, ECM-receptor interactions, and focal adhesion. The other nodes were mostly hyposecreted proteins forming poorly interconnected networks. Interestingly, we found an increase in RAB7 levels, a key regulator in the endo-lysosomal trafficking, suggesting that NOTCH might also mediate a cross-talk to the neighboring cells through the release of signals that are packaged into vesicles (42).

Overall, our analysis indicated that NOTCH-mediated paracrine signaling in the endocardial secretome modulated TGFβ

Fig. 2. Global NOTCH-associated secretory profile. (A) Hierarchical clustering of the 129 secreted factors displaying significant abundance changes (t test, p < 0.05) in comparisons of NOTCH activation versus inhibition or of NOTCH activation versus the combination of control plus inhibition. Dashed lines mark the delineation of four clusters; yellow clusters (1, 3) show increased abundance upon NOTCH activation; purple clusters (2, 4) show decreased abundance upon NOTCH activation. (B, C) Charts showing enrichment analysis for GO terms and KEGG pathways for proteins hypersecreted (B) or hyposecreted (C) in response to NOTCH activation. Colored boxes show names of secreted factors in each cluster pair.
signaling, focal adhesion, and ECM formation to regulate cellular movements during cardiac development.

**DLL4 and JAG1 Ligands Determine a Distinct Secretome Composition**—During heart valve development, DLL4 and JAG1 act sequentially to activate NOTCH signaling. Early endocardial DLL4-NOTCH activity drives the EMT that will lead to the formation of the valve primordium. Later on, JAG1-NOTCH signaling is crucial for the regulation of valve mesenchyme cell proliferation (13). To determine whether DLL4 and JAG1 elicit different protein secretion responses in MEEC, we compared the secretomes obtained after stimulation with JAG1 and DLL4, using the same statistical analysis as in the previous section. JAG1-mediated stimulation altered the secretion of 82 proteins, whereas DLL4 altered the secretion of 113 proteins (Fig. 4A and Tables S4A and S4B). Only 24 proteins were altered in both JAG1- and DLL4-stimulated MEEC. Thus, 70–80% of the differentially secreted proteins were ligand specific. To visualize the changes in protein abundance, we performed a hierarchical clustering of the JAG1- and the DLL4-dependent secretome (Figs. 4B–4D). Hypersecretion (compared with the control and RO situations) was the main effect in the JAG1-dependent secretome; in contrast, with DLL4 stimulation, the main effect was hyposecretion. Interestingly, most of the 24 secreted proteins altered in both JAG1- and DLL4-stimulated MEEC responded similarly to both ligands (clusters 1 and 3 in Fig. 4D and Table S4C).

To associate specific functions to each ligand, we focused on those clusters showing major protein-level alterations after ligand activation (dashed boxes in Figs. 4B and 4C). We distinguished between proteins identified as hypersecreted (yellow dashed box in Figs. 4B and 4C) and hyposecreted (purple dashed box) in the JAG1- or the DLL4-specific secretome. GO and KEGG pathway analysis of these clusters revealed distinct biological functions associated with each ligand (Fig. 4E and Table S5B). Proteins whose secretion was reduced after DLL4 activation (Fig. 4C) belonged to the GO terms “glucose metabolic processes,” “cellular component disassembly,” and “regulation of blood circulation,” whereas...
NOTCH Regulates the Endocardial Secretome

A

Activation vs Inhibition
Control + Inhibition
\( P < 0.05 \)

Mix1: JAG1
(82)
Mix2: DLL4
(113)

B

C

D

E

GO terms
- Glucose metabolic process
- Cellular component disassembly
- Regulation of blood circulation
- Semaphorin receptor binding
- Morphogenesis of an epithelium
- Immune response
- Protein heterotrimmerization
- Platelet-derived growth factor binding
- Regulation of cartilage development
- Collagen fibril organization
- Blood vessel development
- Extracellular matrix structural const.
- Biological adhesion
- Macromolecule biosynthetic process
- Organ development
- Cell-cell junction organization
- Neuron projection development
- Cell adhesion molecule binding
- Single-organism organelle org.
- Cell development

Proteins
- Fabp5, Gapdh, Pgm2, Tpi1, Ldh1a, Cfl2, Dnmt, Hspa2, Fga, Grx3, Sema3a, Sema3e, Tpm1, Vims, Rdx, Capn1, Pgm2
- Sema3a, Sema3c, Sema3d, Sema3e, Efemp1, Tinagl1, Ptx3, Loxl3
- Col1a1, Col1a2, Col4a1, Col5a1, Col6a1, Col6a2, Col8a1, Col11a1, Cnn3, Bmp1, Tgf2, Lox1, Loxl3, Don, Gns, Igf7p7
- Dsp, Jup, Tgfb2, Gfap, Hmggb2, Rab7, Sept2, Lamb2, Col6a1, Col6a2, Tmp3

Hypersecreted proteins during NOTCH activation
Hyposcreted proteins during NOTCH activation

Molecular & Cellular Proteomics 18.9
1789
hypersecreted proteins were related to “cell-cell junction organization” (DSP, JUP), “organelle organization,” or “cell development” (TGFβ2). In contrast, the most enriched terms among the JAG1-specific hypersecreted proteins (Fig. 4B) were “platelet-derived growth factor binding,” “regulation of cardiogenesis and blood vessel development,” and “collagen fibril organization” (collagens), whereas hyposecreted proteins were involved in “semaphorin receptor binding” (semaphorins) (Fig. 4E and Table SSB). Thus, DLL4 seems to affect the secretion of proteins playing a role in cellular metabolism, cell adhesion, and cytoskeletal dynamics, whereas JAG1 leads to changes in ECM structure and semaphorins. These results showed that stimulation with DLL4 and JAG1 triggered different secretome responses in MEEC, likely reflecting the different roles played by these ligands during heart valve development.

**NOTCH-driven Gene Expression Correlates with Protein Secretion**—To validate the secretome data, we examined whether the protein secretion changes after NOTCH stimulation or inhibition in MEEC were accompanied by similar changes in mRNA expression. Thus, we analyzed the expression of NOTCH-responsive proteins representing the most enriched GO categories by qRT-PCR (Fig. 5A). In the case of genes encoding proteins hypersecreted when comparing NOTCH activation versus NOTCH inhibition, we found that JAG1 enhanced the transcription of Fn1, Tgfβ2, Col4a1, and Ctgf, molecules related to ECM remodeling and EMT, as well as the ECM-remodeling enzymes Timp1, Timp3, Mmp2, and Loxl2, whereas DLL4 stimulation up-regulated Tgfβ2 and Timp3 (Fig. 5A). In agreement with the secretome data (Fig. 5B), all these genes were downregulated in the presence of RO (Fig. 5A). Opposite results were obtained for Sdc4, Ptx3, Sema3a, and Sema3e, which were strongly up-regulated upon NOTCH abrogation (Fig. 5A). Further, we compared the secretome data with available transcriptomic data from MEEC co-cultured for 24 h with DLL4- or JAG1-expressing OP9 cells (Luna-Zurita, MS in preparation). The comparison distinguished between secreted proteins with significant differences at the transcript or protein level, at the protein level or at both (Figs. S4A and S4B and Table S7A). Despite the relatively poor correlation between secretion and expression when comparing those significantly changed at least at one level (r = 0.2953 for JAG1 and r = 0.1749 for DLL4), we found a positive correlation when we focused on those proteins differentially secreted and expressed after NOTCH pathway activation (r = 0.6965 for JAG1 and r = 0.6685 for DLL4; Figs. S4A and S4B and Table S7A). Some of the most functionally relevant proteins identified in our secretome data were among the proteins whose abundance changes were reflected at the transcript level (Tables S7B and S7C). This was the case for the hypersecreted/up-regulated factors TGFβ2, FN1, COL1A1, COL5A1, COL6A1, and COL6A2 and for the hyposecreted/downregulated factors SEMA3A, SEMA3C, SEMA3D, SEMA3E, SDC4, and PTX3 (Fig. 5C and Table S7D). These results showed a positive correlation between NOTCH-dependent protein secretion and mRNA expression levels and strongly suggest that NOTCH signaling alters protein secretion through transcriptional regulation.

**In Vivo Validation of NOTCH-dependent Endocardial Secretome Candidates**—During cardiac valve development, NOTCH drives the EMT that gives rise to the valves primordia (43) and later regulates mesenchyme proliferation and differentiation to generate the adult valves (13, 44, 45). Both of these processes require exquisite regulation of ECM production and maturation.

Given the prominent contribution of ECM-related proteins to the MEEC NOTCH-dependent secretome (Fig. 2B; Table S5), we examined developing mouse valves for the transcript expression of selected ECM-related molecules. Based on the transcriptomic and proteomic responses to NOTCH activation (Figs. 5A and 5B), we selected Tgfβ2, Loxl2, Ptx3, Timp3, Fbln2, and Dcn for the *in vivo* validation. TGFβ2 is a member of the TGFβ family that promotes endocardial cushion formation by EMT (46, 47). LOXL2 is an enzyme responsible for covalent crosslinking of ECM components (48). PTX3 is a cytokine involved in the conversion of mesenchymal cancer cells into epithelium (49). TIMP3 is the major inhibitor of matrix metalloproteinases (50). FBLN2 is a glycoprotein that plays a central role in matrix stabilization (51). Finally, DCN is a proteoglycan that interacts with collagens and free TGFβ to provide a structural scaffold in connective tissues (52). ISH revealed expression of these genes, with the exception of Dcn (data not shown), in the outflow tract (OFT) and atrioventricular canal (AVC) regions of the E10.5 mouse heart (Fig. S5A). Consistent with a previous report (47), Tgfβ2 was expressed in AVC and OFT endocardium and mesenchyme and in the myocardium (Fig. S5A). However, Loxl2, Ptx3, Timp3, and Fbln2 were confined to the endocardium and cushion mesenchyme (Fig. S5A). At E15.5, the AVC endocardial cushions have given rise to the atrioventricular valves (mitral and tricuspid) and the OFT cushions to the semilunar valves (aortic and pulmonary). Loxl2, Ptx3, Timp3, Fbln2, and Dcn were ex-
pressed in the endocardium and mesenchyme of the atrio-
ventricular valves and OFT valves (Fig. S5B). These results
showed that the selected MEEC secretome genes are ex-
pressed in cardiac tissues undergoing developmental pro-
cesses in which the ECM plays a crucial role, such as valve
morphogenesis.

We next assessed whether NOTCH pathway inactivation
in vivo triggered the same gene expression changes observed in
MEEC. At E9.5, DLL4 is essential for EMT and endocardial

cushion formation in the AVC (13). We conditionally inacti-
vated Dll4 in the developing endothelium and endocardium by
crossing mice bearing a conditional Dll4flox allele (33) with the
driver line Tie2-Cre (31). Whole-mount ISH analysis of E9.5
Dll4flox;Tie2-Cre mutant hearts revealed a severely reduced
expression of Tgfβ2 in the AVC region (endocardium and
myocardium) (Figs. 6A and 6B), of Lox2 in the AVC endocardium
(Figs. 6C and 6D), and of Fbn1 in the AVC endocardium and
mesenchyme (Figs. 6E and 6F). In contrast, expression of
Ptx3, Dcn, and Timp3 was unaffected (data not shown). These
findings are consistent with reports indicating that Tgfβ2 is a
NOTCH target during early valve development (4) and identify
Fbn1 and Lox2 as potential novel EMT mediators down-
stream of NOTCH. JAG1 regulates mesenchyme proliferation
and differentiation during valve morphogenesis (13). To ablate
Jag1 throughout the myocardium and endocardium and its
mesenchymal derivatives, leading to valve dysmorphology
(13), we bred Jag1flox mice (34) with the cardiac-specific
Nkx2.5-Cre driver line (32). ISH analysis revealed that in-
creased valve cellularity of Jag1flox;Nkx2.5-Cre mutant em-

bryos at E14.5 was accompanied by altered expression of
Lox2 (Figs. 6G–6H*), Fbn1 (Figs. 6I–6J*), and Ptx3 (Figs.
6K–6L*). However, Tgfβ2, Timp3, and Dcn remained un-
changed (data not shown). In agreement with the secretome
data (Fig. 4D and Table S4C), Lox2 and Fbn1 mRNA were
reduced in Jag1flox;Nkx2.5-Cre mutant valves (Figs. 6G–6J*),
whereas Ptx3 was up-regulated (Figs. 6K–6L*). This finding is

Fig. 5. mRNA-level validation of candidate NOTCH-regulated secreted
factors. (A) MEEC endogenous expression of candidate NOTCH-responsive ef-
fectors selected for validation by qRT-
PCR: Fn1, Tgfβ2, Timp1, Timp3, Lox2, Ctgf, Col4a1, Mmp2, Sema3e, Sdc4, Ptx3, and Sema3a. Data are means of
triplicate measures for each sample and
are presented as mean ± s.d. (Student’s
t test *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.005). (B) Heatmap showing mean
z-score-normalized fold changes for differ-
entially secreted proteins selected for
gene expression analysis. The dashed
line distinguishes between two major
clusters based on their secretory behav-
ior. (C) Cartoon depicting MEEC-derived
proteins whose transcript levels (meas-
ured by RNA-Seq analysis) reflect the
same protein abundance variations
found in the secretome, with JAG1-
and DLL4-specific signaling consid-
ered separately. UP refers to “up-regu-
lated” and DOWN to “downregulated”
during NOTCH activation.
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consistent with the secretome data (Table S4) and suggests a positive correlation between increased Ptx3 transcription and the presence of excessive immature mesenchyme after JAG1-NOTCH signaling blockade (13). In summary, our results validate MEEC as a powerful in vitro model of endocardial cell signaling and support the potential of this in vitro system to identify molecules involved in valve morphogenesis.

DISCUSSION

We have generated an in vitro system to circumvent the severe limitation imposed on proteomic studies of early heart development by the tiny amounts of cardiac tissue available. Using MEEC, we have been able to identify for the first time the NOTCH-dependent embryonic endocardial secretome. MEEC have cellular and functional features of endothelium (Isolectin B4 staining and ERG expression), showing a cobblestone appearance and an ability to form capillary-like structures in Matrigel. In addition, MEEC express a typical endocardial marker (NFATc1), demonstrating that they are a suitable in vitro experimental system in which to assay the effect of NOTCH-signaling manipulation on protein secretion, as well as for future investigation into endocardial cell biology.

We stimulated MEEC with the recombinant ligands DLL4 or JAG1, demonstrating NOTCH pathway activation and its inhibition in the presence of RO and examining target-gene expression by qRT-PCR in these settings. Quantitative proteomics analysis of conditioned media from the different experimental conditions identified proteins whose secretion responds to NOTCH manipulation. To analyze the proteome data, we combined advanced quantitative statistical models
NOTCH Regulates the Endocardial Secretome

(15, 17, 18) with various web-based interfaces, identifying 875 secreted factors, 129 of which underwent significant expression changes upon NOTCH manipulation. NOTCH activation correlated directly with an increased secretion of ECM remodeling and structural proteins (TGFβ2, collagens) and inversely with guidance signals (semaphorins) and protein-modifying enzymes. These results are consistent with the reported relationship in cardiovascular development between NOTCH, ECM dynamics, and semaphorins in cardiovascular development (53, 54) and indicate an additional level of regulation through protein secretion. The identified secretome profiles were ligand specific. DLL4-NOTCH signaling mostly stimulated the production of TGFβ2, intercellular junction proteins, and cytoskeletal proteins, suggesting an involvement in EMT, cell-cell/cell-matrix adhesion and cytoskeleton signaling. In contrast, JAG1-NOTCH signaling prompted higher levels of collagen-related proteins, indicating a major contribution to EMT and ECM deposition. These findings agree with the early role described for DLL4-NOTCH signaling in the promotion of EMT and cell migration versus the later role of JAG1-NOTCH in the regulation of valve mesenchyme proliferation and remodelling (13).

qRT-PCR validation of selected differentially secreted proteins identified in the MEEC secretome experiments revealed a marked correspondence between mRNA and protein secretion dynamics. This correlation between mRNA and protein secretion dynamics was confirmed by analysis of RNA-Seq data from DLL4- and JAG1-stimulated MEEC, strongly suggesting that protein delivery is influenced by a NOTCH-dependent transcriptional regulation. Participation of the NOTCH secretome in EMT and ECM dynamics was further validated by in vivo analysis of selected potential NOTCH-responsive genes (Tgfβ2, Loxl2, Ptx3, Timp3, Fbln2, and Dcn) expressed in the early (E10.5) and remodeling (E15.5) heart valve. Interestingly, Tgfβ2 was previously described as a secreted NOTCH effector (4) mediating EMT initiation (55). The detection of this cytokine in the MEEC secretome thus not only highlights the sensitivity of our approach, but also indicates the appropriateness on the proteome dataset for exploring the EMT signaling cascade downstream of NOTCH. At early stages, Loxl2, Ptx3, Timp3, and Fbln2 were restricted to endocardium and mesenchyme cells configuring the AVC cushions and the OFT, whereas Tgfβ2 was also present in the myocardium of both territories, in agreement with previous publications (56). Later in development, Dcn and the remaining candidates were also expressed in the atrioventricular and semilunar valve leaflets. These results suggest that these factors contribute to valve development and may play distinct roles according to their differential spatio-temporal localization in the endocardium and mesenchyme. The relationship between NOTCH signaling and these paracrine factors was confirmed by analyzing their expression in Dll4 and Jag1 targeted mutants. Tgfβ2, Loxl2, and Fbln2 transcripts were downregulated in E9.5 Dll4\textsuperscript{lox/lox};Tie2-Cre mutant hearts, in which EMT is impaired (13). A similar reduction in Tgfβ2 expression was previously reported in RBPJ\textsubscript{k} and Notch1 mutants (43). These findings suggest that NOTCH1 activity may trigger the transcription and subsequent release of Loxl2 and Fbln2 signals from the endocardium to initiate and sustain EMT. Moreover, Loxl2 and Fbln2 expression was reduced and Ptx3 expression increased in the atrioventricular valves of E14.5 Jag1\textsuperscript{lox/lox};Nkx2.5-Cre mouse mutants, which show hyperplastic valves and defective remodeling (13). These gene expression changes paralleled those observed in the secretome and suggest that imbalanced ECM molecule expression may underlie impaired valve organization and remodeling.

We have thus identified three potential secretome markers by which NOTCH might contribute non-cell autonomously to EMT: LOXL2, FBLN2, and PTX3. LOXL2 is a member of the lysyl oxidase family, which plays a major role in ECM remodeling through the crosslinking of collagen and elastin (57) and is involved in the posttranslational regulation of the EMT driver SNAIL (58). FBLN2 is a matrix glycoprotein that plays a central role in matrix stabilization, functioning as an intermolecular clasp and facilitating supra-molecular assembly of collagen IV, fibronectin, and other ECM molecules (59). In the heart, FBLN2 is produced by the endocardial cushion tissue (60) and has been suggested to maintain the mechanical properties of the heart valves (61). PTX3 is a member of the pentraxin family involved in the control of tumor development, affecting cell proliferation and EMT in human melanoma cells in vitro (49). hPTX3 overexpression causes the down-regulation of the early mesenchymal markers SNAIL1 and SNAIL2, paralleled by E-CADHERIN up-regulation, indicating that PTX3 overexpression inhibits EMT in melanoma cells by reversing their mesenchyme phenotype to an epithelial one (49). Remarkably, PTX3 is the secreted factor that exhibited the most restricted localization to the cardiac cushions and valves.

In summary, our results validate MEEC as a powerful in vitro model for the study of the endocardial secretome. Manipulation of NOTCH signaling has revealed the DLL4- and JAG1-induced secretomes, and identified several secreted factors whose transcripts are expressed during cushion formation and valve remodeling and are altered in NOTCH mutant mice. Functional analysis of these factors will provide insight into how these signals are coordinated and how their disruption might contribute to congenital valve defects and disease.
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