INTEGRAL observations of the Small Magellanic Cloud

V. A. McBride,1 M. J. Coe,1 A. J. Bird,1 A. J. Dean,1 A. B. Hill,1 K. E. McGowan,1 M. P. E. Schurch,1 A. Udalski,2 I. Soszynski,2 M. Finger,3 C. A. Wilson,3 R. H. D. Corbet4 and I. Negueruela5

1 School of Physics and Astronomy, Southampton University, SO17 1BJ
2 Warsaw University Observatory, Aleje Ujazdowskie 4, 00-478 Warsaw, Poland
3 NASA/MSFC, Huntsville, AL 35812, USA
4 USRA, Mail Code 662, NASA/GSFC, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA
5 Departamento de Fisica, Ingeniera de Sistemas y Teora de la Seal, Escuela Politcnica Superior, University of Alicante, Ap. 99, 03080 Alicante, Spain

Accepted 2007 August 29. Received 2007 August 29; in original form 2007 June 5

ABSTRACT
The first INTEGRAL observations of the Small Magellanic Cloud (SMC) (carried out in 2003) are reported in which two sources are clearly detected. The first source, SMC X-1, shows a hard X-ray eclipse and measurements of its pulse period indicate a continuation of the long-term spin-up now covering approximately 30 years. The second source is likely to be a high-mass X-ray binary, and shows a potential periodicity of 6.8 s in the Imager on Board INTEGRAL Satellite lightcurve. An exact X-ray or optical counterpart cannot be designated, but a number of proposed counterparts are discussed. One of these possible counterparts shows a strong coherent optical modulation at \( \sim 2.7 \text{ d} \), which, together with the measured hard X-ray pulse period, would lead to this INTEGRAL source being classified as the fourth known high-mass Roche lobe overflow system.
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1 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND
X-ray satellite observations have revealed that the Small Magellanic Cloud (SMC) contains an unexpectedly large number of High-Mass X-ray Binaries (HMXBs). At the time of writing, approximately 60 known or probable sources of this type have been identified in the SMC and they continue to be discovered at a rate of about 2–3 per yr, although only a small fraction of these are active at any one time because of their transient nature. Unusually [compared to the Milky Way and the Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC)], all the X-ray binaries so far discovered in the SMC are HMXBs, and equally strangely, only one of the objects is a supergiant system (SMC X-1), all the rest are Be/X-ray binaries. A review of these systems may be found in Haberl & Sasaki (2000) and Coe et al. (2005).

In this paper, observations by the INTEGRAL observatory are reported which primarily cover the hard X-ray regime. Since the fully coded field of view of Imager on Board INTEGRAL Satellite (IBIS) extends over 9°, it is possible to view the entire SMC at once. Detailed timing and imaging results are presented.

2 INTEGRAL OBSERVATIONS
The SMC was observed with INTEGRAL during 2003 July and August, corresponding to satellite revolutions 94 and 95, or 155 ks of observing time. Standard processing of the IBIS and Joint European Monitor for X-rays (JEM-X) data was performed using INTEGRAL Off-line Science Analysis (OSA, Goldwurm et al. 2003) software version 5.1. After basic data correction, good time handling, dead time correction, background correction and event binning, sky images were created for each individual pointing (\( \sim 2000 \text{ s} \)) in the following energy bands: 20–40, 40–60 and 60–100 keV with IBIS and 3–10 and 10–35 keV with JEM-X.

To remove systematic effects in the INTEGRAL Soft Gamma-Ray Imager (ISGRI) detector plane, successive science windows are dithered, resulting in slightly different pointing positions. Thus, images incorporating more than one science window need to be mosaicked together. In addition, this mosaicking improves the signal and allows one to search for fainter sources which may not appear in a single science window. In the IBIS 20–40 keV mosaic, a section of which is shown in Fig. 1, two sources were apparent above the detection limit:

(i) SMC X-1, with a detection significance of 100σ and an average flux of \( F_{20-40 \text{ keV}} = 3.2 \times 10^{-11} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \), which corresponds to a luminosity of \( 1.4 \times 10^{38} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \) at a distance of 60 kpc (Dolphin et al. 2001). The source position is determined as 01 h 17 m 09 s, \(-73^o 26' 47''\) with a 90 per cent error circle of radius 24 arcsec.

(ii) Source 2, with a detection significance of 9σ and an average flux of \( F_{20-40 \text{ keV}} = 2.6 \times 10^{-11} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \), which corresponds to a luminosity of \( 1.1 \times 10^{37} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \) at a distance of 60 kpc.
Figure 1. IBIS 20–40 keV image of the SMC. The position of XTE J0103 −728 = SXP6.85 is also shown (Haberl et al. 2007).

(Dolphin et al. 2001). The source position is determined as 00h54m10s, −72◦25′44″ with a 90 per cent error circle of radius 3.4 arcmin.

Although SMC X-1 was apparent in JEM-X observations, Source 2 was not detected with JEM-X.

In Fig. 1, we also overplot the position of source XTE J0103 −728 (a 6.8 s X-ray pulsar) as determined from XMM observations (Haberl, Pietsch & Kahabka 2007). Please note that although this source is not detected in this INTEGRAL observation, the source position is plotted on Fig. 1 to aid the discussion in Section 5 that Source 2 and XTE J0103 −728 are two different sources.

3 TIMING ANALYSIS

3.1 SMC X–1

A lightcurve at science window time resolution (≈2000 s) was extracted in the 20–40 keV energy range for the epoch MJD 52843 to MJD 52848. Fig. 2 clearly shows the source undergoing a hard X-ray eclipse.

SMC X-1 has shown a constant spin-up throughout the history of the source. The spin period of SMC X-1, by far the brightest source in the mosaic, was investigated by extracting time and energy tagged events from the ISGRI detector for 2.95 h (three Science Windows) starting at MJD 52843.96. These science windows were chosen as they were consecutive in time and in these observations SMC X-1 was very close (within 3″) to the telescope pointing axis. Events were filtered by selecting only those which have a Pixel Illumination Fraction (PIF) of 1. The PIF is a number, relevant to coded-mask telescopes, between 0 and 1 designating the fraction of a pixel that is illuminated by a source at a given position on the sky. Choosing events based on the criterion that PIF = 1 increases the signal-to-noise ratio by ensuring that only events from pixels which are totally illuminated by the source contribute. However, photons from other sources in the IBIS field of view may also contribute to events in these pixels as background; and hence, any methods (like this one) which do not involve the construction of a shadowgram are subject to contamination by all other sources in the field. In general, even for an event list selected on the criterion that the PIF for a specific source should equal 1, 95 per cent of the events will be background.

A further filtering, allowing only events at energies between 20 and 100 keV to contribute to the signal, was applied to the event list. The event times were corrected to the Solar System barycentre and corrected to the barycentre of the neutron star-supergiant system, using the orbital ephemeris from Wojdowski et al. (1998). According to the pulse ephemeris in Naik & Paul (2004), the source is expected to be spinning at a period of 0.7052 s at the start of the observations in our study. An epoch folding technique (Leahy et al. 1983) was used to determine the χ^2 statistic for a number of test periods in the range 0.70–0.71 s at a resolution of 0.0001 s. The pulsation period is taken as that with the greatest deviation from a constant flux. Fig. 3 shows the χ^2 statistic plotted against period for the SMC X-1 data. The detection of pulsations at 0.704 596 ± 0.000 008 s is clearly visible. Fig. 4 represents the 20–100 keV pulse profile at this pulse period. The pulse profile shows the clear double-peaked structure characteristic of emission from both poles on the neutron star. In addition, the profile in gamma-rays closely resembles that exhibited by SMC X-1 in the hard X-rays (Wojdowski et al. 1998; Naik & Paul 2004).

SMC X-1 has shown a constant spin-up over the last 30 yr of observations. Spin-up is believed to be an effect of accretion torques (Ghosh & Lamb 1979), that is, matter orbiting in the accretion disc around the neutron star transfers angular momentum to the neutron star when it accretes, spinning up the neutron star. Although some accreting X-ray pulsars show periods of spin-up and spin-down, SMC X-1 is persistently accreting matter from the stellar wind of its supergiant companion hence it has been spinning up for at least as long it has been observed. Fig. 5 shows the evolution of the spin...
3.2 Source 2

Apart from SMC X-1, the INTEGRAL map reveals a second source which we have designated here as Source 2. In the Third IBIS/ISGRI Soft Gamma-ray Survey Catalogue (Bird et al. 2007), Source 2 was identified with the nearest ROSAT source: RX J0053.8−7226 at 00h53m53s, −72°27′01″. This source (=1WGA J0053.8−7226 = XTE J0053−4724), a known Be/X-ray binary in the SMC (Haberl & Pietsch 2004), has been observed with ROSAT, RXTE and ASCA and has a well-established spin period of 46.6 s (Corbet et al. 1998).

The long-term lightcurve of Source 2 is shown in Fig. 6 from MJD 52843. During the first block of data (revolution 94), the source appears to be in the process of switching on.

In order to search for the 46.6 s spin period of RX J0053.8−7226, we extracted a lightcurve at 1 s time resolution. Instead of creating the source shadowgram, the lightcurves were assembled using the ilight tool supplied with OSA 5.1. This tool extracts a lightcurve for every source as well as the background in the field of view by using the PIF. The advantage of this method is that lightcurves down to a time resolution 0.1 s can be constructed.

The lightcurve was subjected to a Lomb–Scargle analysis to search for periodicities in the range 2–1000 s (Lomb 1976; Scargle 1982). Although no significant peaks in the periodogram were detected during revolution 94, or the combined lightcurve of revolutions 94 and 95, a marginal periodicity at 6.878 ± 0.001 s was detected in revolution 95 (see Fig. 7). We also searched lightcurves binned at 2, 5 and 20 s, but detected no periodicities above a significance of 90 per cent.

The significance levels overplotted in this Fig. 7 were calculated from 10 000 Monte Carlo simulations of the data set. Each simulated lightcurve had the timestamps of the original lightcurve, but data randomly drawn from a Gaussian distribution around the mean of the original data and with the variance of the original data. A Lomb–Scargle analysis was performed on each lightcurve, and the maximum power for each of the 10 000 lightcurves was noted, and used to generate the cumulative distribution function. From this distribution, one can read off the probability of finding a peak of given power in the periodogram due to random noise. The 6.8 s peak just exceeds the 99 per cent level (2.6σ).

To explore the significance of both the detection of a 6.8 s period and the non-detection of a 46.6 s periodicity in the data, simulated sine waves of differing periods were added to the existing lightcurve such that the pulse fraction was 100 per cent and then put through the same Lomb–Scargle analysis. These simulations showed that, even at a pulsed fraction of 100 per cent, a 46.6 s periodicity would be unlikely to show up above the noise. For this same
reason, we note that the detection of the 6.8 s periodicity is only at the 99 per cent significance level and may be spurious. The non-detection of a 46.6 s periodicity in the lightcurve from Source 2 means that the identity of this source still remains an open question. Although it may yet be shown that Source 2 can be identified with RX J0053.8−7226, it is also a likely possibility that Source 2 may be another X-ray binary in the SMC with a pulse period ~6.8 s. We explore these options further in Section 5.

4 SPECTRAL MODELLING

4.1 SMC X–1

A source spectrum in the energy range 3–100 keV is shown in Fig. 8, using data from the JEM-X (3–25 keV) and IBIS (20–100 keV) detectors. This spectrum combines all spectra from individual observations of SMC X-1 during revolutions 94 and 95. The JEM-X spectrum is generated by combining spectra from individual science windows during which the source was within 7° of the pointing axis. During all these observations, SMC X-1 was in the high state ([& Lockman 1990] and an upper limit of 9 × 10^{20} \text{cm}^{-2}. The data from the current observation extend down to 3 keV, that is, not low enough in energy to allow us to investigate the soft blackbody component that makes its major contribution below energies of 1.0 keV. Similarly, the column density for our observations is not well constrained without data below 3 keV. Although the parameters for the power-law slope (\Gamma) and the \Ecut differ substantially from those obtained by Naik & Paul (2004), if we fix the \Ecut at 6.3 keV we can recover, within errors, the same power-law slope as measured in their observations. Thus, in general, our continuum models agree with those of Naik & Paul (2004); and hence, we note that no significant changes in the continuum X-ray and \gamma-ray emission took place between these two observations. Naik & Paul (2004), however, report the detection of a weak FeK\alpha emission line, which we find no evidence for in this

Figure 8. 3–100 keV spectrum of SMC X-1 fitted with a Comptonization model. The black crosses show the data points, the histogram shows the fitted model and the grey line shows the unfolded model and is plotted on the secondary Y-axis.

Table 1. Spectral parameters for an exponentially cut-off power-law model fit to SMC X-1.

| Parameter | Our work | Naik & Paul (2004) |
|-----------|----------|-------------------|
| \N_{\text{H}} | 3.8^{+0.5}_{-0.3} \times 10^{21} \text{cm}^{-2} | \N_{\text{H}} | 2.55 \pm 0.09 \times 10^{21} \text{cm}^{-2} |
| \Gamma | 0.57 \pm 0.07 | \Gamma | 0.82 \pm 0.02 |
| \Ecut | 4.3 \pm 0.5 \text{keV} | \Ecut | 6.3 \pm 0.09 \text{keV} |
| \Efold | 9.2^{+0.1}_{-0.2} \text{keV} | \Efold | 10.58 \pm 0.13 \text{keV} |
| \kT | 0.19 \pm 0.01 \text{keV} | \kT | |
| \chi^2 (dof) | 168 (145) | \chi^2 (dof) | 1.3 (168) |
observation. Fe emission is also reported by Woo et al. (1995) in a Ginga observation from 1989. We attribute the non-detection of an Fe emission component to the lower sensitivity of the JEM-X instrument. The Fe line flux as reported by Naik & Paul (2004) is $1.4 \times 10^{32}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, which converts into $1.5 \times 10^{-4}$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ at 6.4 keV. This is below the JEM-X line sensitivity, which is $1.6 \times 10^{-4}$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ at 6 keV. We note that the lack of the Fe emission line at $\sim$6.4 keV in our data set may contribute to shifting the $E_{\text{cut}}$ to softer energies.

Kunz et al. (1993) examine the X-ray spectral properties of SMC X-1 in the 20–80 keV energy band during observations by HEXE between 1987 November and 1989 March. They describe the continuum by fitting a thin thermal bremsstrahlung model. Although our data are not fit at all well by the above model, if we restrict our energy range to 20–80 keV, using only the IBIS spectrum, a thermal bremsstrahlung model gives an adequate fit and plasma temperature of 12.2 $\pm$ 0.4 keV; close to the plasma temperature of 14.4 $\pm$ 0.13 keV by Kunz et al. (1993). We can conclude that taking the low-energy data into account rules out the thermal bremsstrahlung model.

4.2 Source 2

The ISGRI spectrum of Source 2 from 20–100 keV was best fit with a power law of slope $2.6 \pm 0.2$ [degree of freedom (dof)] $= 7.2$ (3). Other models, such as a power law with a high-energy exponential cut-off, Comptonization and thermal bremsstrahlung models were used with less successful results.

Although a soft power law is the best model to describe the data in the IBIS energy range, it may not be appropriate across the entire energy range. Measures of the absorption column and blackbody contributions to the spectrum often come into play at energies between 0.1 and 3 keV. The source is not detected in $\sim$149 ks of simultaneous JEM-X observations. Using the power-law parameters derived from the fit to the IBIS data, we estimate the flux in the JEM-X 5–10 keV range to be $6 \times 10^{-11}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. With a very bright source (SMC X-1) in the field of view it is clear that Source 2 is at or below the JEM-X detection limit.

5 DISCUSSION

In this section, we discuss the possible counterparts for Source 2.

A pulsar, XTE J0103$-$728 = SXP6.85, with spin period 6.8482 $\pm$ 0.0007 s has previously been reported in the SMC (Corbet et al. 2003). On the basis of the similarity of its periods it is tempting to assume that this object is the same as the INTEGRAL Source 2. However, recent XMM–Newton observations (Haberl et al. 2007) have located this pulsar to within 2 arcmin across a position some 40 arcmin away from the INTEGRAL source (see Fig. 1). Furthermore, Galache et al. (2007) report, in their RXTE monitoring of pulsars in the SMC, that SXP6.85 was not detected around the time of the INTEGRAL observations. The nearest RXTE measurement (about 30–40 d later) confirms that the periods are close, but distinctly different. Based on the clear discrepancies in both the positions and the pulse periods, we conclude that XTE J0103$-$728 cannot be the counterpart to Source 2.

Chandra X-ray observations of the region around Source 2 during 2002 July and 2006 April detect 11 X-ray sources within the INTEGRAL error circle, of which three correlate with previously known objects in the Simbad data base and have $V$ $\sim$ 15 optical counterparts. These objects are labelled X, Y and Z in Fig. 9 and have the following identifications as follows.

![Figure 9. Finding chart showing the position of the INTEGRAL 90 per cent uncertainty circle for Source 2. The circle has a radius of 3.4 arcmin. Indicated as X, Y and Z are the three bright (V $\sim$ 15) optical objects that coincide with Chandra X-ray sources.](https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article-abstract/382/2/743/1029451)
As the spatial and flux information, as well as the epoch of observation of Source 2, are all compatible with reasonable assumptions about the behaviour of RX J0053.8−7226, the simplest conclusion is that Source 2 as observed by IBIS can be identified with RX J0053.8−7226 as initially suggested by Bird et al. (2007). However, this conclusion is by no means secure, as equally reasonable extrapolations of the RXTE flux from RX J0053.8−7226 (using a pulsed fraction of 0.5 and a photon index of 2) yield a source that would be well below the detection limit of IBIS. In addition to this, it is necessary to account for the lack of detection of a 46.6 s periodicity, and instead the possible detection of a 6.8 s periodicity in the data from Source 2.

The simplest explanation is that a low-pulse fraction, combined with the low-flux source detected by IBIS, makes the 46.6 s pulsations undetectable. This does not, however, explain the presence of the 6.8 s pulsations detected in the IBIS data. If this detection is real then RX J0053.8−7226 cannot be the counterpart to INTEGRAL Source 2. The simulations we have performed in Section 3.2 indicate that the detection of pulsations is marginal at this flux/exposure, and requires an extremely high-pulsed fraction for this particular data set. While the power of the 6.8 s pulsations is strong, we cannot rule out the possibility that the detection is spurious.

With these concerns raised, we also consider possible scenarios in the case that RX J0053.8−7226 (Chandra source Z) is not the counterpart to Source 2.

We have little information on Chandra source X except that its optical properties resemble those of source Z. We could consider this a point in favour of X being the counterpart to IBIS Source 2, which may then indicate that Source 2 is likely to be a Be/X-ray binary.

If Chandra source Y, with its 2.7 d optical periodicity were the counterpart to Source 2 (with its 6.8 s pulse period), this object would occupy a unique corner of the Corbet diagram (see Fig. 11), indicating that the source may be a Roche lobe overflow (RLOF) system. Thus far only three RLOF systems are known: SMC X-1, Cen X-3 and LMC X-4, and adding another object to this class of sources would be an exciting prospect. To investigate this possibility, we examine some of the X-ray and optical properties of Chandra source Z and IBIS Source 2 and compare them with those of the known RLOF systems.

A search through the Chandra archives reveals three observations of this region on 2002 July 20, 2006 April 25 and 26. Chandra source Y was detected on all three occasions and exhibits a flux varying by a factor of ~10. Existing RLOF systems display persistent emission which varies between high and low states at superorbital periods. If Source 2 and Chandra source Y are indeed the same source, they have been observed, at varying flux levels, in all four observations of this region.

The optical magnitudes and colours of source Y are consistent with those of an early-type, luminosity Class III–V star, similar to the optical counterpart of LMC X-4 (Hutchings, Crampton & Cowley 1978). Furthermore, when X-ray colours are used to locate this object on the colour–colour diagram for SMC sources (McGowan 2007), source Y sits comfortably in the middle of the known pulsar systems.

6 CONCLUSIONS

This work has shown that SMC X-1 continues its secular spin-up trend, with the latest pulse period measurement being 0.704 596 ± 0.000 008 s. We can also conclude that the broad-band spectrum of SMC X-1 is roughly consistent from 1987 through 2004.

We have discovered a potential 6.8 s periodicity in the IBIS data from Source 2, which casts some doubt on its current identification as the counterpart to RXJ 0053.8−7226. We conclude on the basis of spatial and timing information that Source 2 is not related to XTE J0103−728 – a previously known Be/X-ray binary with a pulse period of 6.85 s. Archival Chandra observations are used to investigate the feasibility of other X-ray/optical counterparts to the sources. We can conclude from this work that it is highly probable that Source 2 is a HMXB and, depending on its X-ray/optical associations, may yet turn out to be one of a small number of RLOF HMXB systems.
If this were the case, it is intriguing both why Source 2 has not been detected with previous X-ray missions (could it be obscured somehow in the softer X-rays?) and also, why three out of the four known RLOF systems have evolved in the Magellanic Clouds.

To resolve this situation, detection of either the 46.6 s period in IBIS Source 2, or the 6.8 s period in either of the Chandra sources X or Y would be required and further observations are encouraged.
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