Characterization of Sardinella fimbriata and Clarias gariepinus bones

WAN NOR ASIAH TUN MOHD ROSIDI, NURAINI MOHD ARSHAD, NOR FAZLIYANA MOHTAR*
Faculty of Fisheries and Food Science, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu, 21030 Kuala Nerus, Terengganu, Malaysia
Tel./fax: +60-6684350/4949, *email: fazliyana@umt.edu.my

Manuscript received: 15 January 2020. Revision accepted: 2 February 2021.

Abstract. Rosidi WNTM, Arshad NM, Mohtar NF. 2021. Characterization of Sardinella fimbriata and Clarias gariepinus bones. Biodiversitas 22: 1621-1626. Calcium is one of the most important minerals required by the human body, which can be directly consumed from milk or any dietary supplement. This study was carried out to determine the calcium content in Fringescale sardinel (Sardinella fimbriata) and catfish (Clarias gariepinus) bones and compare their suitability for human consumption. Fish bones were cleaned, boiled, dried, and ground into powder. Bones from both species were white in color and odorless after drying. The protein content of S. fimbriata was measured to be 20.40 ± 1.61%, while for C. gariepinus it was 19.47 ± 0.61%. Ash content of S. fimbriata and C. gariepinus bones was 62.32 ± 0.08% and 67.9 ± 0.25%, respectively. S. fimbriata bone exhibited 16.4 ± 0.15% moisture, higher than C. gariepinus (7.71 ± 0.14%). The lipid content of S. fimbriata was 0.77 ± 0.17% and for C. gariepinus it was 3.56 ± 0.9%. The solubility of S. fimbriata bone was 23.67 ± 2.65%, higher than that of in C. gariepinus bone (9.02 ± 2.19%). The calcium content found in S. fimbriata and C. gariepinus bones were 4.96 ± 0.13 µg/g and 4.79 ± 0.06 µg/g, respectively. S. fimbriata bone exhibited higher solubility than that of C. gariepinus and was more suitable for human consumption. Overall findings have suggested the calcium from fish bones that may replace commercial calcium in the market, thus meeting the requirements of food safety standards.
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INTRODUCTION

In Malaysia, especially in Terengganu, the production of keropok lekor is over 144 million tons annually. The production of keropok lekor involves processing the fish to obtain the muscle; the leftovers are usually discarded. Examples of fish by-products include the head, bones, skin, fins, and internal organs. Usually, people consume fish for protein and discard the bones. Fish bones are the main solid by-product that makes up 25 to 30% of fish weight (Tongcham et al. 2009; Terzioglu et al. 2018). Fish bones contain valuable phosphorus and carbonates required by humans and their composition comprise about 2% of the whole fish (Malde et al. 2010). Most people consume milk and other dairy products for calcium, but some people may not be able to drink milk due to lactose indigestion and intolerance (Kim and Jung 2012). Based on previous studies, those who drink the most milk had more bone fractures and higher mortality than those who drank less (Michaëllson et al. 2014). Therefore, fish bones are a better natural calcium source than milk. In addition, studies have also shown that the fish bones can be utilized in hydroxyapatite to reduce water pollution and as implant materials in bone replacements, heart valves, hip replacement, other implants in the human body, and many other applications (Aisiyah et al. 2012; Shi et al. 2018; Sathiskumara et al. 2019; Ahuja et al. 2020; Hasan et al. 2021). This source of calcium can be effectively absorbed and has the potential to be an important dietary supplement, especially for those with low intakes of milk and dairy products.

Calcium is very important for building and maintaining strong bones. The heart, muscles, and nerves also need calcium to function properly, but excessive calcium intake can have side effects like heart attack. Most people consume milk or dairy products for calcium sources in their body (Anderson and Garner, 1996). Previous studies determined that the calcium content of fish bones is high. However, such findings were very limited and focused mainly on extraction. To the best of our knowledge, there were limited findings in the literature on the extraction of calcium from sardinella (Logesh et al. 2012; Hamada et al. 1995) and catfish (Luu and Nguyen 2009) species. Therefore, this study was carried out to determine the proximate and physical properties of both Fringescale sardinel (Sardinella fimbriata) and catfish (Clarias gariepinus) bones. The proximate and physical properties of calcium extracted from these two species could also be used as an alternative in calcium sources. Findings from this study may contribute to better management of fisheries by-products by utilizing fish resources at a maximum level. In addition, they can be used to produce calcium supplements based on the fish by-products that can be found easily in Malaysia.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fish samples

Fish bones were collected from the local fish processing industry in Kuala Nerus, Terengganu, Malaysia, and were brought to the hatchery at Universiti Malaysia Terengganu (UMT). Fringescale sardinel (Sardinella fimbriata) and catfish (Clarias gariepinus) bones were transferred to the laboratory at UMT. All bones were boiled, dried, cleaned, and stored at -20°C until used.
Fish bone preparation

Fish bones were cleaned of any flesh and blood. They were boiled until they became white and no excess flesh remained attached to the bones. The water was changed every hour, and the bones were rinsed several times. These steps were repeated until the bones were cleaned of any flesh attached to the bones. The bones were dried in an oven until no water remained at the surface before being ground into powder. The powders made from the bones of the two species were stored in a dry place until use.

Fish bone characterization

Physical appearance

The physical characteristics of the fish bone powders were assessed according to the criteria described by Phiraphinyo et al. (2006). The physical characteristics of S. fimbriata and C. gariepinus bones were observed for odor and color before and after drying in an oven.

Proximate analysis

The proximate analysis in terms of protein, ash, moisture, and lipids content was conducted according to the method of AOAC (2000).

Protein

Fish bone powder was placed in digestion flask and 5 mL sulphuric acid (H₂SO₄) was added. The flask was shaken well and was placed on a rack for heating. The digestion process was conducted for 40 minutes until a clear blue-green solution formed. The flask was cooled down for 15 mins and transferred to distillation unit. A 32% sodium hydroxide (NaOH) was slowly added until the color changed to blue. The resulting solution was steam distilled for 4 minutes into 60 mL of 2% boric acid (H₃BO₃) containing 10 drops of indicator solution. The alkaline ammonium borate formed was titrated with standard hydrochloric acid (HCl) (0.1 M).

The protein content of S. fimbriata and C. gariepinus bones was calculated based on the following formulas:

\[
N (\%) = \frac{F \times 14 \times (\text{volume of HCl}) \times (\text{Normality HCl})}{(\text{Weight of gelatine (g))} \times 1000 \text{ mL}} \times 100
\]

Where: \(F\) = Conversion factor (6.25)

Ash

Crucibles that contained fish bone powder were placed on a hot plate and 0.5 mL of nitric acid (HNO₃) was added. The temperature was increased and the fish bone powder was left to become wet ash for 45 minutes until white smoke was no longer produced. This process was conducted in a fume chamber. The crucibles were then placed into a muffle furnace, and the fish bone powder was heated for 3 hours at 600°C. The lid was placed on top of the crucible during heating process to prevent ash loss. The sample was then cooled in desiccator.

The percentage of ash was calculated by the following formula:

\[
\text{Ash (\%)} = \frac{(\text{Weight of ash (g))}}{(\text{Weight of fish bone powder (g))}} \times 100
\]

Moisture

Fish bone powder was placed in a crucible and dried in an oven at 100°C for 24 hours until its weight became constant. The crucible was transferred into desiccator for cooling with partially covered lid. Moisture content was calculated as a percentage of weight loss of the sample after the drying process.

The moisture content of S. fimbriata and C. gariepinus was calculated with the following formula:

\[
\text{Moisture (\%)} = \frac{(\text{Fish bone powder (g))}-\text{Dried fish bone powder (g))}}{(\text{Fish bone powder (g))}} \times 100
\]

Lipids

Empty thimble and fish bone powder were weighed. The fish bone powder was placed in a Soxhlet extractor. Lipid content was extracted with 50 mL petroleum ether and evaporated. Time was set for 1 hour where 15 mins for boiling, 30 mins for rinsing, 10 mins for steam and 5 mins for drying at a 90°C for petroleum ether to get solvent reflux stage until it gets 3 to 5 drops per second. The temperature was then decreased to 90°C and the thimble was alighted until boiling. After 15 mins, the thimble was removed at the rinsing positions. The samples were placed in an oven for 2 hours at 100°C for the drying process.

The following calculation was used to determine the amount of lipid content in the fishbone powder:

\[
\text{Lipid (\%)} = \frac{(\text{Weight of the extracted lipid content (g))}}{(\text{Weight of fish bone powder (g))}} \times 100
\]

Solubility

The solubility of the fish bone powder was determined by following the method of Hemung (2013). Fish bone powder \(W_1\) was mixed with distilled water at a ratio of 1:4 (fish bone powder: water) and stirred at 25°C for 12 hours. The mixture was filtered through filter paper (No. 1, Whatman), and the filtered solid \(W_2\) was dried to obtain the insoluble particles.

The solubility of the fish bone powder was calculated with the following formula:

\[
\text{Solubility (\%)} = \frac{(\text{W}_1-\text{W}_2)}{\text{W}_1} \times 100
\]

Calcium (Ca²⁺) content

The calcium (Ca²⁺) content of fish bone powder was determined using the wet ashing method described by Sembok (2013). A crucible containing 1 g of fish bone powder was placed in a muffle furnace and dried at 500°C for 12 hours. It was left to cool to 25°C, and 2 mL of concentrated HCl were added to the crucible and evaporated to dryness on a hot plate. Approximately 10 mL HNO₃ (4.8 M) was added to the crucible before it was placed in a water bath for 1 hour. The mixture was transferred into a 100 mL volumetric flask and distilled water was added until a total volume of 100 mL was reached. It was then filtered through filter paper (No. 2, Whatman).
Data analysis

The data were analyzed with the independent samples T-test. Levene’s test was performed for equality of variances, followed by a T-test to compare the mean between samples in SPSS (version 22) at p < 0.05 levels, which were considered significant.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Physical appearance

In this experiment, the bones of S. fimbriata and C. gariepinus remain white before and after drying in an oven. Oven drying also causes the smell of the fish bones to disappear (Table 1). According to research, fish bone powders generally appear as fine white particles and have no fishy odor. These fish bone powders may be suitable for incorporating into diverse products (Luu and Nguyen 2009). Photographs of the physical appearance of the S. fimbriata and C. gariepinus bone powders before and after drying are shown in Figure 1.

Proximate analysis

Protein

Sardinella fimbriata and C. gariepinus bones were analyzed and the results are shown in Table 2. The protein contents of S. fimbriata and C. gariepinus bones were 20.40 ± 1.61% and 19.47 ± 0.61%, respectively. There were no significant differences between them at p < 0.05. The protein content of tilapia bone was found to be 14.81% (Hemung 2013), and for blue whiting, salmon, trout, herring, and mackerel, bone protein contents were in the range of 26–41% (Toppe et al. 2007). Therefore, the bone protein content of C. gariepinus was higher than that of tilapia. Both C. gariepinus and tilapia are local fish, whereas blue whiting, salmon, trout, herring, and mackerel are cold seawater fish. In previous studies, most of the fish meat was removed from the bones with a hot alkaline solution, while in this study it was done by boiling the bones in hot water. Alkaline solution would be more effective in removing muscle tissues, but not effective enough to get rid of the protein.

Ash

Ash is a major component of fish bones that acts as a mineral content indicator. The ash content of S. fimbriata bone (62.32 ± 0.08%) was significantly lower than that of C. gariepinus bone (67.90 ± 0.25%) at p < 0.05. Tilapia bone was found to have 75% ash content (Hemung 2013). The ash in tilapia bone was higher than that of S. fimbriata and C. gariepinus bones, but there was not much difference between them. The ratio of ash to protein is an important criterion to indicate the bone mineralization associated with the hardness of the bone. The ratio of ash to protein for S. fimbriata bone was 3.05 and for C. gariepinus bone it was 3.49, both lower than tilapia bone, which was 5.35. The preparation method may also affect the value, and it can be used to estimate the purity of the bone (Hemung 2013).

Moisture

The moisture content of S. fimbriata bone was twice that of C. gariepinus. The two species were significantly different in terms of their moisture content, which was 16.40 ± 0.15% for S. fimbriata and 7.71 ± 0.14% for C. gariepinus (p < 0.05). In previous studies, it was shown that water molecules did not penetrate into the bone tissue, but instead were bound weakly to the surface (Tont et al. 1977). The moisture content of tilapia was 2.46% lower than that of S. fimbriata and C. gariepinus (Hemung 2013). This may be due to incomplete drying during fish bone preparation, but that value is small enough to avoid microbial growth. Low moisture content would also make the powders stable even at room temperature, allowing them to be safely used as an ingredient in many applications.

Table 1. Physical appearance of Sardinella fimbriata and Clarias gariepinus bones before and after drying

| Bone powder     | Physical appearance           | Before drying | After drying |
|-----------------|------------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| Standard CaCO₃ | White odorless powder         | Yellowish odorless powder |
| Sardinella fimbriata | White odorless powder         | White odorless powder          |
| Clarias gariepinus | White odorous powder         | White odorless powder         |

Figure 1. A. Sardinella fimbriata fish bone powder before drying in the oven; B. S. fimbriata fish bone powder after drying in the oven; C. Clarias gariepinus bone powder before drying in the oven; D. C. gariepinus bone powder after drying in the oven.
Table 2. Proximate composition of Sardinella fimbriata and Clarias gariepinus bones

| Proximate composition | S. fimbriata (%) | C. gariepinus (%) |
|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Protein               | 20.40 ± 1.61a    | 19.47 ± 0.61a    |
| Ash                   | 62.32 ± 0.08b    | 67.90 ± 0.25a    |
| Moisture              | 16.40 ± 0.15a    | 7.71 ± 0.14b     |
| Lipid                 | 0.77 ± 0.17b     | 3.56 ± 0.90a     |

Note: Values are the mean ± standard deviation of triplicate samples. **a,b** Means with the same superscripts within a row are not significantly different (p < 0.05).

Table 3. Solubility of fish bones and calcium (Ca²⁺) content in Sardinella fimbriata and Clarias gariepinus bones

| Quality attributes | S. fimbriata (%) | C. gariepinus (%) |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Solubility (%)     | 23.67 ± 2.65a    | 9.02 ± 2.19b     |
| Calcium content (µg/g) | 4.96 ± 0.10a | 4.79 ± 0.06b |

Note: Values are the mean ± standard deviation of triplicate samples. **a,b** Different lowercase superscripts within a row are significantly different (p < 0.05)

**Lipids**

Clarias gariepinus is a fatty fish while S. fimbriata is lean, and the lipid content of bone is correlated with body fat. Hence, the lipid content of C. gariepinus bone was 3.56 ± 0.90%, which was significantly higher than that of S. fimbriata, which was only 0.77 ± 0.17% at p < 0.05. Lipid could infiltrate the bone, which contains a junction of many pieces of bone, especially in the main bone of the fish frame (Phleger, 1975). In a study of the nutritional values of boiled and smoked C. gariepinus, the bones of boiled fish were found to contain 2.11% lipids versus 1.95% for smoked fish (Adeniji et al. 2015). This result was very close to the current study. S. fimbriata is considered a low-fat fish species because it contains low fat even in the adult stage. Therefore, S. fimbriata and C. gariepinus bones should have less risk of autoxidation since they contain little fat content.

**Solubility of fish bone and calcium (Ca²⁺) content**

The fish bone powder was not solubilized completely (Table 3). However, S. fimbriata bone demonstrated solubility of 23.67 ± 2.65%, which was significantly higher than that of C. gariepinus (9.02 ± 2.19%) at p < 0.05. This suggested that not all mineral components could be absorbed. C. gariepinus bone powder is almost completely mineralized because the bone is hard. Hemung (2013) stated that the bones of old fish are hard and will mineralize completely. There are a few factors affecting the solubility of minerals in fish bones, including species and age. The solubility of fish bone is related to the absorption of minerals in the body. Calcium (Ca²⁺) in fish bones is soluble and absorbed readily into the human body (Larsen et al. 2000). Based on this study, S. fimbriata bone demonstrated higher solubility compared to the C. gariepinus bone. Therefore, calcium in S. fimbriata bone can be absorbed more readily by the human body than calcium from C. gariepinus bone.

There was no significant difference in Ca²⁺ content between S. fimbriata and C. gariepinus bones at p < 0.05. The value of Ca²⁺ in S. fimbriata was 4.96 ± 0.13 µg/g while for C. gariepinus it was 4.79 ± 0.06 µg/g. The Ca²⁺ contents were obtained by analyzing the solutions made from the bone powders of each species. The stock solutions were diluted to obtain samples that contained 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 mg/L of fish bone powder. Hence, the actual value of Ca²⁺ in S. fimbriata was 2480.7 µg/g while in C. gariepinus is 2392.85 µg/g. The variability of Ca²⁺ content in bone might depend on fish species, the amount of marrow in the bone, cartilage, whether the fish is lean or fatty, and tendons on the surface of the bone (Luu and Nguyen 2009). In a previous study, the calcium content in tilapia bone was reported to be 2376.00 µg/g (Hemung and Srintrath 2014). The cellular bone from fish in the Salmonidae family is less strained than acellular bone because of the lower surface-to-volume ratio in cellular bone (Hemung 2013). S. fimbriata and C. gariepinus bones were classified as acellular. Hemung (2013) demonstrated that Ca²⁺ could be more available from acellular bone, and tilapia bone is acellular.

Ca²⁺ contents obtained from Atlantic salmon and Baltic cod backbones were 24.92% and 27.79%, respectively (Bubel et al. 2015). The result was similar for ribbon fish (Trichiurus savala), which contained 27.81% Ca²⁺. While for oil sardines (Sardinella longiceps), the Ca²⁺ content was 32.73% (Logesh et al. 2012). Xavier et al. (2014) investigated the Ca²⁺ content in Sardinella fimbriata (31.98%), Sardinella gibbosa (28.34%), and Sardinella albella (26.02%). The values of Ca²⁺ might differ among these species because of the different bone preparation processes. Based on all the research, it is quite evident that the bones from low-value fish like Sardinella spp. are rich in calcium.

**Relation of calcium (Ca²⁺), solubility and absorbability**

Ash is considered an indicator of mineral content in a sample. Mineral uptake by the human body also depends on the solubility and availability of the mineral to be absorbed. In addition, the solubility of minerals influences the quality of ash (Hemung 2013). High solubility may lead to high absorption of calcium (Ca²⁺) in bone but low solubility does not necessarily mean low bioavailability (Heaney et al. 1989; Heaney et al. 1990; Koo et al. 1993). Low pH in the stomach causes all Ca²⁺ to change into a different ionic form and precipitate as insoluble calcium phosphate (Jung et al. 2008). However, absorption of calcium takes place in the small intestine where the pH is commonly 6.5, but the human body cannot absorb the calcium present in precipitated calcium phosphate (Heaney et al. 1990; Jung et al. 2008).
Hence, soluble calcium is still important, although the relationship between solubility and absorbability is weak. High absorption can occur due to high Ca$^{2+}$ content. Research by Tongchan et al. (2009) tested different quantities of Ca$^{2+}$ content on Wistar rats to determine the effect and absorption of Ca$^{2+}$ in urine, feces and bone. The treatments were 0, 11, 22 and 44 mg Ca/d. In bone histology, the thickest trabeculae and narrowed inter-trabeculae were present in rats receiving the highest treatment. The absorption of Ca$^{2+}$ in bones will also cause bone mass to increase. The bone masses of the rats were compared between those with standard and low Ca$^{2+}$ intake. Rats with standard Ca$^{2+}$ intake showed higher bone mass than low Ca$^{2+}$ intake (Kim and Park 2013).

It has been hypothesized that Ca$^{2+}$ is very important because it supports bone growth and development. It is absorbed into the trabeculae of bone during the calcium homeostasis process. Trabeculae are structures that support spongy bone tissue. They connect to each other with thin rods and plates of bone tissue. Inter-trabeculae is the spaces between trabeculae. Calcium homeostasis is the process by which Ca$^{2+}$ moves into the bone as osteoblasts build new bone and out of bone as osteoclasts break it down. If there is less Ca$^{2+}$ available, Ca$^{2+}$ from bone will be released into the bloodstream to increase the blood calcium level; bone that lacks Ca$^{2+}$ will fracture more easily. Tongchan et al. (2009) found that the size of trabeculae was greater with increased Ca$^{2+}$ intake and trabeculae became thinner when Ca$^{2+}$ intake was reduced. Figure 2 shows an illustration of bone structure when Ca$^{2+}$ intake is low. The inter-trabeculae spacing is greater, and trabeculae are thinner. This makes the bone easier to fracture. Figure 3 is an illustration of bone structure with high Ca$^{2+}$ intake. Trabeculae are thicker, with high connectivity and narrow inter-trabeculae.

In conclusion, Fringescale sardinella (Sardinella fimbriata) showed a higher calcium content than catfish (Clarias gariepinus). The solubility of S. fimbriata bone was also higher than that of C. gariepinus bone. Therefore, more minerals can be absorbed into the human body from S. fimbriata due to its high solubility. Hence, S. fimbriata bone is the best fish bone for human consumption. Previous studies have confirmed that bones from low-value species like Sardinella spp. are rich in calcium content; therefore, it should be recommended for human consumption either directly or indirectly through the production of calcium-enriched nutraceuticals. The phosphorus content of fish bones could also be analyzed in future studies as it is required for calcium transportation. In addition, cytotoxicity testing could be carried out to determine the effect of calcium absorption from the bones of different fish species.
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