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Abstract: (1) Background: Social connectivity is key to young people’s mental health. Local assets facilitate social connection, but were largely inaccessible during the pandemic. This study consequently investigates the social isolation of young adults and their use of local assets during the COVID-19 lockdowns in the UK. (2) Methods: Fifteen semi-structured Zoom interviews were undertaken with adults aged 18–24 in the UK. Recruitment took place remotely, and transcripts were coded and analysed thematically. (3) Results: Digital assets were key to young people’s social connectivity, but their use was associated with stress, increased screen time and negative mental health outcomes. The lockdowns impacted social capital, with young people’s key peripheral networks being lost, yet close friendships being strengthened. Finally, young people’s mental health was greatly affected by the isolation, but few sought help, mostly out of a desire to not overburden the NHS. (4) Conclusions: This study highlights the extent of the impact of the pandemic isolation on young people’s social capital and mental health. Post-pandemic strategies targeting mental health system strengthening, social isolation and help-seeking behaviours are recommended.
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1. Introduction

Social connectivity is key to young people’s health [1]. For the purpose of this study, social connectivity refers to perceived connections with others, from family, to friends, to work colleagues, which are valued, supportive, and mutual [2]. Social connectivity enables social capital, which is the sum of resources (physical or virtual) accrued through reciprocal relationships [3]. Social capital has a protective effect for young people’s wellbeing [4], as do strong friendships [5]. Individual social capital can promote prosocial behaviours and reduce difficult behaviours in youth [6]. Moreover, friendships help develop social competencies, and provide important support to young people during challenging life experiences [7]. Strong social networks are also correlated with positive development, and improved self-esteem, happiness, and school adjustment [7].

Conversely, social isolation is an important risk factor for poor mental health [5]. The World Health Organisation (WHO) defines mental health as a state of well-being where an individual can cope with stress, work productively and realise their abilities [8]. Mental health conditions usually emerge during youth (12–24), with half of all disorders beginning by age 14 [9,10]. Poor mental health is associated with broader health concerns for young people, such as substance abuse, lower educational attainment, poor reproductive and sexual health, and violence [10]. Moreover, there are clear links between poor mental health and unhealthy diet, especially for young adults [1,11].

The COVID-19 lockdowns had important implications for mental health. Sudden and significant changes in routine have led to higher anxiety levels, irrational fears, and
panic attacks [12]. The ongoing uncertainty surrounding the pandemic, coupled with political ambiguity, have also contributed to heightened anxiety, notably due to the possible mortality risk [13]. Indeed, fears around infecting the self or others are expected to lead to an increase in post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and depression [12]. Moreover, the disproportionate allocation of media time and occasionally sensationalist covering of the pandemic in the news has been underlined as a risk factor for anxiety [14].

Indeed, in 2020, nearly a fifth (19%) of all adults in the United Kingdom (UK) suffered from depression, representing more than 8 million people [15]. This is nearly twice the pre-pandemic depression levels (10%) [15]. Anxiety and depression prevalence among young (18–24) UK adults during the lockdowns have been abnormally high; in 2021, young adults (16–29) were nearly five times more likely to suffer from depression compared to other age groups [15,16]. Locating the study in the UK is relevant beyond the scope of the lockdowns, moreover, as anxiety and depression levels have been on the rise for young people (16–24) in the UK since 2017, especially for young women [17]. Poor mental health was already the primary cause of disability-adjusted life-years for people aged 15–49 in the UK before the pandemic [18].

Local assets are key to enabling the development of social ties. Here, these refer to resources, physical or not, which contribute to life in the community and facilitate connectedness. Existing research underlines how physical spaces contribute to social connectivity by functioning as meeting places for young people. Examples in the UK include parks, pubs, restaurants and gyms. For instance, parks promote social cohesion by enabling the mingling of different ethnic groups [19]. These assets can also be intangible. A valued community service, for example, such as a cultural organisation, can contribute to social cohesion, as can key community residents, by facilitating local events.

However, local assets can have a range of public health impacts which sometimes compete. For example, in the UK, chicken shops are important community spaces, and serve as a meeting place for adolescents [20,21]. These are ubiquitous fast-food outlets selling deep-fried chicken pieces and chips (fries) which are rooted in urban youth culture [21]. While these places contribute to social connectivity, through the food consumed there, they also contribute to obesity [22]. Yet, during the lockdowns, many local assets promoting social capital amongst young people shut. As a result, young adults resorted to new ways of developing social connections. However, little is known about what these assets are, and what the impact of their use is for public health.

Existing research examines the impact of the pandemic on young people’s mental health, but the studies are largely quantitative [23–25]. Literature highlights the role of social isolation, and lack of social support, as key risk factors for mental disorders during the lockdowns [26,27]. Moreover, the role of local assets in facilitating social capital amongst young people is underlined [28,29]. However, no studies to our knowledge bridge the gap between the role of local assets in young people’s social isolation during the COVID-19 pandemic, and assess the subsequent impacts on mental health.

This study consequently aims to investigate the social isolation of young people in England and their use of local assets during the COVID-19 lockdowns. The qualitative nature of the study enabled an in-depth exploration of young UK adults’ lived experiences of the lockdowns, generating insights into their attitudes, values and perceptions of the effects of the pandemic. The objectives are: (1) investigate the changing use and role of local assets in social connectivity for young people in the UK during the lockdowns; and (2) generate insights into the impact of the lockdowns on the lived experiences of young people in the UK, primarily in terms of social isolation and mental health.

2. Materials and Methods

The study background, rationale and topic guide (Appendix A) were informed by structured literature searches of Medline and PsycInfo, and by snowballing the references of relevant articles. Keyword and subject heading searches were conducted for variations on the terms “asset*” OR “friend*” OR “young people” OR “COVID*” OR “uk”.
The study included youth as defined by the WHO: individuals aged 15–24, but excluded those under the age of 18 for ethical considerations [30]. Inclusion criteria were to have lived in the UK during lockdowns, have access to a computer for remote interviews, and be aged 18–24. The focus on this age group is particularly important as they were one of the age ranges most impacted by the lockdowns.

Recruitment took place remotely due to the pandemic. A range of strategies were employed, including: cold-emailing and calling youth hubs and organisations; approaching mental health charities, such as Mind; reaching out to online support groups for mental health on Facebook; posting on social media platforms, including Twitter and Instagram; asking personal contacts to circulate the call for participants.

Following written consent, semi-structured Zoom interviews were conducted and recorded, following the themes in the topic guide. This was informed by an initial literature search of Medline and PsychInfo on spaces employed by young people during the pandemic for social connectivity in the UK. The topic guide was piloted with a personal contact prior to data collection, ensuring the questions were comprehensive, fluid and unambiguous. The semi-structured nature of the interviews also enabled participants to draw attention to new topics, and provide a personal account of their experiences [31]. The topic guide was refined on an ongoing basis, including additional probes according to the data generated [31]. Participants were sent a help sheet following the interviews, with further resources for mental health support.

Data analysis started at the outset of the project, as interviews were transcribed and coded while the data collection was ongoing. The data were examined thematically, according to Braun and Clarke’s (2006) sixfold approach [32]. First, the interviews were transcribed, with attention paid to non-verbal cues. Next, the data were coded manually, generating inductive initial codes in a systematic line-by-line fashion. The codes were then reviewed, and collated into potential themes. This involved both a top-down approach, where the themes were informed by the literature searches and objectives, as well as a bottom-up approach, which drew out the themes inductively from the data. Next, the themes were refined, reviewed, and named, ensuring that they accurately reflected the dataset. Finally, data extracts were selected which appropriately portrayed the sub-themes, labelled by participant number (e.g., P01).

3. Results

3.1. Respondent Characteristics

A total of fifteen participants were recruited (Table 1). Interviews lasted between 26 and 57 min. Eleven participants were female, and four were male, with ages ranging from 18 to 24 (mean = 22). Respondents included seven university and A-level students, three part-time employees, two private sector workers, a graduate trainee, a mental health professional and an unemployed participant, spanning from middle to high socioeconomic status (SES). Of the fifteen respondents, six had pre-existing mental health conditions, and two (P09, P12) indicated suffering from symptoms of mental health conditions despite not having been formally diagnosed. Participants were based in England and Wales, primarily in North and North West England, and London.

| Participant Number | Age (Years) | Gender | Occupation 2020–2021 | Pre-Existing Mental Health Condition (Yes/No) | Location/County |
|--------------------|-------------|--------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| P01                | 23          | F      | Graduate scheme trainee | Yes                                          | London          |
| P02                | 19          | M      | Part-time supermarket worker | No                                           | Shropshire      |
| P03                | 24          | F      | Paralegal              | No                                           | South Yorkshire |
| P04                | 22          | F      | Part-time worker       | Yes                                          | North Yorkshire |
| P05                | 22          | M      | Student                | Yes                                          | London          |
| P06                | 24          | F      | Private sector employee | No                                           | London          |

Table 1. Participant characteristics.
Respondents’ narratives drew attention to the role of digital assets in maintaining social connectivity during the UK lockdowns, but their use was simultaneously associated with negative health outcomes (Table 2). Online spaces, such as Zoom, were key in recreating normalcy and connecting young people, but were also reported as isolating, leading to reply anxiety, stress and increased screen time. Despite engaging in digital interactions, participants reported a loss of social capital and peripheral friendships, counterbalanced by the strengthening of inner circle relationships. Finally, respondents underlined the impact of the enforced social isolation on their mental health. All reported that their mental wellbeing had deteriorated over the last year to varying degrees, regardless of diagnosis status. However, few sought help, primarily out of a desire to not overburden the NHS.

### Table 2. Main themes.

| Theme                      | Summary                                                                                     |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Local assets for connectivity | Online platforms were key to young people’s social connectedness but were paradoxically described as draining and isolating over the lockdowns. |
| Loss of social capital     | Young people grew distant from their peripheral social network yet strengthened their relationship with inner friendship circles. |
| Worsening mental health    | All participants reported a deterioration of their mental wellbeing over the lockdowns, but few sought appropriate support. |

Main themes emerging from the fifteen qualitative interviews, briefly summarised.

### 3.2. Local Assets for Connectivity

To counter the social isolation brought about by the UK lockdowns, participants reported resorting to online platforms to maintain their social connections (e.g., Zoom, Houseparty, FaceTime). These were cited as enabling a recreation of normalcy, which was key in keeping up respondents’ support networks.

‘I mean, I can’t imagine going through something like this, like the pandemic, and everything being closed and not being able to see anyone, without kind of the technology that we have available...because even though it’s not the same and it’s not, it’s not quite as good, it’s close enough. And you can still feel like you can connect with people.’—P10

However, these spaces were paradoxically described as isolating, draining, and an unsatisfactory substitute to real-life interactions.

‘I wish I could see them and I’d much prefer to do something else. So in that sense it was a bit sad and slightly isolating, I guess, because it’s all behind a screen.’—P15
'some people just don’t like video calls. And I totally get that because it can be quite exhausting. I think it is draining.'—P03

Moreover, respondents underlined disliking, and feeling guilty about, the screen time associated with online platform use. Maintaining friendships online also triggered a stress response and reply anxiety amongst participants.

‘And then then I got guilt because, like, I went on my screen time and my screen time was like nine hours a day. And I’m like, oh, my days like, I can’t be doing this. That’s like, my brain’s gonna die—and it’s not, but you know. Like then I felt guilty about spending so much time on my phone.’—P08

‘I’m really shit at texting and social media. It kind of gives me anxiety. I got really bad like reply anxiety, where if someone messages me, feel like I need to reply instantly and then I end up resenting them because they keep messaging me.’—P13

3.3. Loss of Social Capital

Both the enforced isolation and the stress accompanying digital interactions entailed a loss of social capital amongst respondents during the lockdowns. Participants highlighted the strain of maintaining of online friendships. Respondents also reported feeling uncomfortable, observed, and on show during their digital interactions.

‘it’s just exhausting trying to keep up friendships like online sometimes.’—P04

‘at some points it was kind of like a long distance relationship.’—P15

‘I’d say that was probably the only unhelpful aspect, is just seeing myself on camera 24/7 and kind of like analysing flaws, et cetera.’—P14

Participants’ broader friendship networks were greatly affected. Respondents underlined finding it increasingly difficult to maintain group friendships and dynamics. Furthermore, interviewees reported growing apart from friends on the peripheries of their social circle, but who were nonetheless important in their daily social lives.

‘I think it definitely made us as a friendship group drift more from each other, which was difficult.’—P01

‘it’s hard to like gather everybody at the same time … we’re a little bit more like disjointed now, so like the like group, like it’s hard to sort of find where it begins and ends now.’—P04

‘it just cut me off from quite a lot of people who... So it wasn’t like really good friends who I had, but who I was just seeing and just seeing at college every day’—P02

However, despite the largely detrimental impact of the lockdowns on social connectivity, the pandemic also pushed young people to get closer with their inner social circle. Participants reported making more effort to stay in touch with their close friends, and learning key organisational and communication skills to this effect. Interviewees also highlighted having more honest and sensitive conversations with friends, underlined as an important support mechanism for respondents’ wellbeing.

‘I think some of them have got like a lot closer, actually, because you had to be so intentional about, like maintaining friendships in lockdown.’—P08

‘I’d probably say that we’ve checked in more in terms of mental health, so I found myself asking friends, how are you doing, as in, really how are you doing? And I think that’s sort of been reciprocated as well, which has been really good.’—P03

3.4. Worsening Mental Health

Nonetheless, the impact of the lockdowns on young people’s social connectivity and daily lives significantly affected mental health. All respondents reported that their mental wellbeing had deteriorated since March 2020 to varying degrees. Participants without a clinical diagnosis of a mental health condition underlined feeling overwhelmed and crying more than usual.
‘I’ve had days where I’ve kind of opened my laptop and just—sounds really silly—but just cried because I’ve just been like I literally just cannot cope’—P03

On the other hand, participants with a formal diagnosis indicated that their mental health had been considerably aggravated. Two interviewees (P01, P13) disclosed suicidal ideation. ‘The first lockdown was absolutely appalling. It was awful. That was the worst I’ve been in years. I relapsed really, really badly with my eating disorder’—P14

‘there was a point where I thought I was... I was suicidal and it’s easily the lowest I’ve ever, ever got to, the lowest point.’—P13

Worryingly, few participants reported seeking help. Those with formal diagnoses often stated intentionally not getting support, out of a desire to not burden friends, family, or the National Health Service.

Everybody was spiralling. And I felt really bad for taking up people’s time and space when they were struggling too. I did not want to feel like a burden.’—P14

Respondents also frequently underlined finding the ongoing sense of uncertainty during the pandemic challenging. This was reported as contributing to poor mental health, and triggering anxiety spirals, leaving participants feeling ‘quite panicky’ (P04). Moreover, respondents indicated fearing coronavirus, notably during the first lockdown, leading to compulsive safety behaviours, such as washing hands ‘so, so, so much’ (P12). Finally, interviewees highlighted the challenge of managing an unstable timetable for relaxing lockdown restrictions. This was a source of concern which participants reported as affecting their mindset and outlook.

‘not knowing how long it would be before I could, like, see my friends or, like, hug my grandparents, like was obviously really difficult when you already feel, when when I already felt like life was a bit pointless and I struggled a lot with what I was doing with my life anyway, that obviously like intensified it and made it a lot harder to think positively about the future.’—P10

4. Discussion

This study sought to investigate the social isolation of young UK adults and their use of local assets during the COVID-19 lockdowns. Assets most employed to maintain social connectivity amongst this sample were digital platforms. Online spaces such as social media have been reported as playing a crucial role in young people’s social connectedness and experiences of the lockdowns beyond the scope of the UK [33,34]. These have been found to contribute to young people’s wellbeing by providing a necessary space for social interaction and everyday communication [33,34]. However, although online platforms promise connection, they can paradoxically leave users feeling drained, isolated and negatively impact mental health. Digital spaces therefore functioned not only as assets for connection, but also as potential drivers of poor mental health during the pandemic. Indeed, significant usage of digital platforms was a risk factor for anxiety and depression over the lockdowns [35,36]. Social media use amongst young people during the pandemic has resulted in experiences of emotional exhaustion, isolation, social media fatigue and screen fatigue [37]. Moreover, increased exposure to disaster news through social media has been associated with depression [38]. Beyond the scope of mental health, higher levels of screen time have been associated with binge eating during the lockdowns, and are a known risk factor for excessive weight gain [39,40].

Secondly, young people’s social connectivity was impacted by the pandemic despite the use of online platforms to maintain relationships. Young adults lost important social networks, perceiving social pressure and stress in maintaining their digital relationships, and experiencing the distancing of peripheral friendships. Existing research indicates that young people felt less friend support during COVID-19 [41]. Yet, perceived peer support is a protective factor against poor mental health [5]. Changes in social relationships have therefore been correlated with increased symptoms of depression, anxiety, and loneliness.
amongst young people during the pandemic [41,42]. Thus, it is recommended that local
governments, in conjunction with mental health charities, implement age-appropriate
and contextually tailored interventions targeting social isolation in young people. For
instance, befriending interventions have been reported as cost-effective and effective both
for loneliness and mental health outcomes [43–47]. These interventions introduce the
participant to ‘one or more individuals, whose aim is to provide additional social support
through the development of an affirming, emotion-focused relationship’ [47]. It is notable,
however, that such interventions would benefit from face-to-face delivery, and would
therefore only be appropriate when COVID-19 related social distancing restrictions do
not apply.

Thirdly, young people are one of the age groups whose mental health has been the
most affected by the lockdowns. The Organisation for Economic Cooperation and De-
velopment (OECD) highlights that mental health issues amongst young people doubled
or more in most countries between 2020–2021 [48]. Moreover, in March 2021, young
people were 30% to 80% more likely to display depressive or anxious symptoms than
adults [48]. Participants reported their mental wellbeing significantly deteriorating, experi-
cencing COVID-19-related anxiety, and struggling to manage the changing and uncertain
lockdown timelines. Disruptions in health services combined with a lack of help-seeking
behaviours, moreover, has meant that young adults are not accessing appropriate sup-
port [49]. Participants raised concerns around burdening the NHS by seeking mental
health services during the pandemic. This echoes existing literature, which underlines
that individuals with mental health disorders rarely display help-seeking behaviours [50].
Moreover, both patients and primary-care practitioners largely experienced the NHS as
over-stretched during the COVID-19 lockdowns, a perception compounded by media
portrayals [51]. This has ramifications for the burden of mental health disorders in the UK
post-pandemic, as well as for the management of mental health services nationally. It is
suggested that future qualitative studies undertake methodologically robust research into
the barriers to help-seeking amongst young people, notably for those hard-to-reach [52].

The findings of this study also highlight the need to consider the long-term practical
implications of the pandemic for mental health. We are likely to experience a lag between
the end of containment measures and a decrease in demand on mental health services [53].
Young people have been particularly prone to increased levels of mental health issues in
the months following the easing of restrictions [53]. Therefore, additional and sustained
investment beyond the scope of the pandemic in psychological counselling services for
young people is required [53]. Moreover, the pandemic has drawn attention to the need
to strengthen mental health systems. The WHO has identified ten strategies to this effect:
(a) planning for long-term sustainability from the outset; (b) addressing the population’s
broad mental health needs; (c) respecting the central role of government; (d) engaging
national professional organizations; (e) ensuring effective coordination across agencies;
(f) reviewing mental health plans and policies as part of reform; (g) strengthening the
mental health system as a whole; (h) investing in health workers; (i) using demonstration
projects to raise funds for wider reform; (j) investing in advocacy to maintain momentum
for change [54]. Most importantly, at every stage within this process, it is crucial that the
rights of persons with mental disorders be safeguarded, both in granting equal levels of
care to those infected with SARS-CoV-2, and in the provision of sufficient and appropriate
services during and after the pandemic [55,56].

5. Limitations

The sample of participants was diverse, albeit uneven, in terms of age, gender, and
geographical location. Of the 15 participants, 11 were female and 4 were male. Moreover,
14 respondents were based in England, and one in Wales (P08), but none in Scotland or
Northern Ireland. Additionally, although the participants ranged from middle to high
SES, none were of low SES. Given that access to a computer was an inclusion criterion for
remote interviews, the portion of young UK adults who do not have access to technology
would have been excluded from the study. The research consequently did not examine the
differences in experiences of the COVID-19 lockdowns, despite the known inequalities in
impact of the pandemic by SES [57].

Challenges of remote interviewing may also have affected the data produced, such
as difficulties in gauging non-verbal cues [58], often compounded by technical issues. For
example, both the researcher and P03 had to turn cameras off during the interview due
due to connectivity problems. Rapport building is a further challenge of remote interviewing.
Nonetheless, the use of conventional techniques such as attentiveness, fluency with the
topic guide and active interviewing enabled elements of remote rapport-building during
the data collection process [59]. A final limitation of online interviews regards the privacy of
the interview setting. Two respondents (P05, P09) were not able to carry out the interviews
in private spaces. This might have affected the reliability of the data produced, as the
 sensitive nature of the research lent itself to a private environment.

6. Conclusions

This research sheds light on the extent to which the COVID-19 lockdowns affected
young people’s social capital and mental health. Strategies targeting mental health system
strengthening, social isolation, help-seeking behaviours, and which transcend system’s
shocks such as pandemics, should be prioritised.
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Appendix A. Topic Guide

Introduction: briefly introduce the project, remind interviewees they can refuse to
answer any question, or stop the interview at any time.

[Background]

- Can you tell me a little bit about yourself?
  
  [How participant feels/mental health]

- Talk to me about how lockdown has been for you:
  
  o What has changed for you?
  o What is your daily life like now?
  o How is this different from before?

- How have you been feeling during lockdown?
  
  o Is there anything that has been particularly challenging?
  o Is there anything you miss?
  □ If yes, what have you been doing instead?
  o How has your mental health been?
  o How is this different from before?
  o Have you sought help?
What did you do to relax?

Have you been bored?
  ■ What would you do when you were bored?
  ■ Is there anything you did when you were bored that you wish you had done less of?

[Local assets]
- Tell me about the places in your local area you went to most during lockdown:
  o What do you do there?
  o Who do you go with?
  o Why do you go there (the most)?
  o Why is this space important to you?
  o What (if anything) do you enjoy about this space?
  o What (if anything) do you not enjoy about this space?
  o Which spaces did you use most with your friends before the pandemic?
  o Which spaces did you use most with your friends during the pandemic?
  o How is this different from before?

[Social connectivity]
- Tell me about how you have been spending time with friends during lockdown:
  o Have you been able to stay in touch?
  o How have you been staying in touch?
  o What have you done to maintain a sense of connection?
  ■ Do you think you will continue to do this after the pandemic?
  o How have you been meeting?
  o What have you been doing?
  o How is this different from before?
  o Have you felt isolated/lonely?

Would you like to tell me anything important about seeing your friends, spaces you used during the pandemic, or your mental health that you have not had a chance to say or that has just occurred to you?
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