Lower Termite Associations with Microbes: Synergy, Protection, and Interplay
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Lower-termites are one of the best studied symbiotic systems in insects. Their ability to feed on a nitrogen-poor, wood-based diet with help from symbiotic microbes has been under investigation for almost a century. A unique microbial consortium living in the guts of lower termites is essential for wood-feeding. Host and symbiont cellulolytic enzymes synergize each other in the termite gut to increase digestive efficiency. Because of their critical role in digestion, gut microbiota are driving forces in all aspects of termite biology. Social living also comes with risks for termites. The combination of group living and a microbe-rich habitat makes termites potentially vulnerable to pathogenic infections. However, the use of entomopathogens for termite control has been largely unsuccessful. One mechanism for this failure may be symbiotic collaboration; i.e., one of the very reasons termites have thrived in the first place. Symbiont contributions are thought to neutralize fungal spores as they pass through the termite gut. Also, when the symbiont community is disrupted pathogen susceptibility increases. These recent discoveries have shed light on novel interactions for symbiotic microbes both within the termite host and with pathogenic invaders. Lower termite biology is therefore tightly linked to symbiotic associations and their resulting physiological collaborations.
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INTRODUCTION

The close association of lower termites with microbes is fundamental to their biology. For the last century, understanding the intricacies of the relationship between termites and their gut symbionts, i.e., the termite holobiont, has been a major focus of termite research. The majority of this work emphasizes both the complexity and novelty of functions carried out to process lignocellulose within the termite gut (reviewed in Brune, 2014). For decades, termite wood digestion has been a quintessential example of symbiotic collaboration; however, symbionts have also been associated with a myriad of other functions in this system (reviewed in Ohkuma, 2008). For example, in addition to synergistic digestive collaboration, symbionts of lower termites have also been shown to play protective roles against pathogens both in vivo and ex vivo (Rosengaus et al., 1998, 2014; Chouvenc et al., 2009, 2013). This interaction between the termite symbiotic consortium and potential pathogens adds a layer of interplay within this already-complex microbial community. Here we summarize the diversity and roles symbionts play in lower termites, highlight the broad implications of both topics for understanding termite biology and symbiotic evolution, and emphasize how a holistic approach to studying termite biology is necessary to encompass the impact of this obligate symbiotic association.
Lower termites are distinct from higher-termites in that they form relationships with both eukaryotic and prokaryotic symbionts within their digestive tracts (Eutick et al., 1978). While the diversity, abundance, and functionality of these symbionts fluctuates from species to species, an association with symbionts is ubiquitous and connected with much of the biology of termites. Fundamental defining aspects of lower termites, from eusociality to niche occupation, are impacted by their obligate association with microbes. Disruption of this community impacts termite physiological function, fitness, and survivorship (Cleveland, 1924; Thorne, 1997; Rosengaus et al., 2011b, 2014; Peterson et al., 2015; Sen et al., 2015). Lower termites house protists (unicellular eukaryotes), bacteria, and archaea all within the one-microliter environment of their hindgut, many of which are never found outside of this association. Restricted to their association with termites, these symbionts are exposed to and must tolerate a variety of chemical and biological stressors in the termite gut microenvironment. As the host termite feeds, forages, grows, and encounter pathogens, its symbiota are impacted. Thus, termites cannot be studied without also considering their symbionts. Characterizing and cataloging these microbes poses many challenges because most are unable to be cultured with traditional techniques due to their fastidious nature. This gut microenvironment boasts organismal and metabolic diversity which rivals some of the better studied macro-ecosystems. Approaching the termite holobiont as a fully functional, multifaceted ecosystem allows for concentration on individual species or processes and on the larger collaborative nature of the gut microenvironment.

CHARACTERIZING THE LOWER TERMITES GUT CONSORTIUM

The key division between lower and higher termite species is the respective nature of their symbiotic partners. While both retain prokaryotic symbionts, lower termites also have flagellated protists living in whose gut is an ancestral trait shared with wood-feeding cockroaches, Cryptocercus sp. (Stingl and Brune, 2003; Lo and Eggleton, 2011; Brune and Dietrich, 2015). These protists belong to two groups: the oxymonads and the parabasalids. Originally described as parasites, protists were first found associated with termites over a century ago (Leidy, 1877). Since this original observation, roughly 500 termite-associated protist species have been described (reviewed in Ohkuma and Brune, 2011). As technology advances we are continually able to improve our understanding of the players and complexity of the termite gut community. In fact, new species of protistan symbionts are continually described from lower termite guts (Brugerolle and Bordereau, 2004; Gile et al., 2012; James et al., 2013; Tai et al., 2013; Radek et al., 2014), and the breadth of their diversity is thought to be drastically underestimated in general (Harper et al., 2009; Tai and Keeling, 2013). That being said, lower termites are thought to possess anywhere from a few to a dozen protist species as symbionts that maintain tight phylogenetic associations with their hosts (Tai et al., 2015).

As has happened with protist symbionts, our understanding of the bacterial consortium composition in lower termites is constantly evolving as methodologies and analyses improve. Early estimates from the eastern subtropical termite, Reticulitermes flavipes, numbered bacteria per gut in the millions, which seems to be a conservative approximation at best (Schultz and Breznak, 1978). Using culture-independent, cloning based methods, several groups have estimated the guts of lower termite species to contain anywhere from 222–1,318 ribotypes of bacteria (Hongoh et al., 2003a,b; Shinzato et al., 2005; Yang et al., 2005; Fisher et al., 2007). With the onset of next-generation sequence technologies this number has only grown. More recently, the gut lumen content of R. flavipes workers was described to contain over 4,761 species-level phylotypes of prokaryotic symbionts, with over 99% being bacteria (Boucias et al., 2013). The majority of these identified phylotypes are unique to the termite gut, having never been reported elsewhere and not having close-relative sequences available in databases. Coptotermes gestroi has been estimated to house 1,460 species of bacteria using Illumina technology (Do et al., 2014). These estimates vary for a variety of possible reasons, including local environment, study locus, methodological limitations/limits, sampling strategy, diet, genetic background, and termite species. While identifying the microbial players within this system is an important step, describing the functions and interplay between them will be equally necessary for understanding termite biology and evolution.

SYMBIOTIC COLLABORATION IN TERMITE DIGESTION AND NUTRITION

Apart from cataloging symbiont diversity, much of termite research has focused on their associations with the symbiotic microbes which aid in wood digestion. Feeding on this lignin-rich, nitrogen-poor diet requires a suite of enzymes both to catalyze its breakdown and supplement its nutritional deficiencies. Termites and their symbionts complement each other’s capabilities in this way. Termites contribute several highly active enzymes important to this process including endogenous cellulases (β-1, 4-endoglucanase, β-glucosidase) and lignin/phenolic detoxifiers (aldo-keto reductase, laccase, catalase, cytochrome p450s) (Scharf et al., 2010; Zhou et al., 2010; Raychoudhury et al., 2013; Sethi et al., 2013b). Protists in the hindgut of lower termites have been credited with the contribution of several important glycosyl hydrolases (GHFs 5, 7, 45) which aid in cellulosytic activity (Ohtoko et al., 2000; Todaka et al., 2010; Sethi et al., 2013a) and are important in hydrogen cycling (Inoue et al., 2005, 2007). Based on transcriptomic studies, protists possess many more potentially important cellulases (Todaka et al., 2007; Tartar et al., 2009). Also, both the termite host and protist symbionts possess proteases which may be important for utilizing bacteria as sources of nitrogenous compounds (Sethi et al., 2011; Tokuda et al., 2014). Although both protists and bacteria possess many hemicellulases (Inoue et al., 1997; Tartar et al., 2009; Tsukagoshi et al., 2014), termite endogenous cellulases have...
been shown to have hemicellulase activity as well (Scharf et al., 2010, 2011; Karl and Scharf, 2015). However, despite this apparent hemicellulolytic redundancy, protists, bacteria, and archaea in the hindgut paunch clearly all contribute significantly to the overall efficiency of wood digestion (Peterson et al., 2015).

While protists are mainly responsible for lignocellulolytic activity, the prokaryotic community provides a more diverse subset of services in the termite gut. Spirochetes, the most conspicuous bacterial group in lower termite guts, are capable of diverse metabolic processes including acetogenesis, nitrogen fixation, and degradation of lignin phenolics (Lilburn et al., 2001; Salmassi and Leadbetter, 2003; Graber and Breznak, 2004; Grace et al., 2005; Graber et al., 2004; Dröge et al., 2006; Rosenthal et al., 2011).

Another major component of lower termite microbiota are the bacteria which are intimately associated with gut flagellates as intracellular endosymbionts (Stingl et al., 2005; Noda et al., 2009). There are four phyla of bacterial endosymbionts found within the host cells: Elusimicrobia, Bacteroidetes, Proteobacteria, and Actinobacteria (Hara et al., 2004; Noda et al., 2005; Stingl et al., 2005; Strassert et al., 2012). These groups have been found to ferment glucose, synthesize amino acids, produce cofactors, fix nitrogen, and recycle nitrogenous waste (Noda et al., 2007; Hongoh et al., 2008a, b; Ohkuma and Brune, 2011; Strassert et al., 2012; Zheng et al., 2015). *Methanobrevibacter*, a methanogenic archaeal genus common across termite-associated flagellates, contribute methane to the gut environment using hydrogen that is present in copious amounts in the gut lumen as a product of cellulose metabolism (Shinzato et al., 1999; Tokura et al., 2000; Hara et al., 2004; Hongoh and Ohkuma, 2011). This adds another level of complexity to termite gut ecology by creating a tripartite symbiosis: *prokaryotes within protozoa within termites*.

Apart from archaea associated with termite gut flagellates, representative Methanobacteriaceae are also associated with the microaerobic termite gut lining (Leadbetter and Breznak, 1996; Ohkuma et al., 1999; Brune, 2011). Together with the flagellate endosymbionts, the large amount of methane created by termite digestion can be attributed to archaea which are typically associated with the hindgut lining (Brune, 2011; Hongoh and Ohkuma, 2011). In sum, the microbes present in lower termite guts comprise a diverse ecosystem capable of nitrogen cycling, carbohydrate metabolism, methanogenesis, amino acid biosynthesis, hydrogen turnover, and consequently, complementing deficiencies of the host.

In addition to the contributions of individual organisms, the host fraction (foregut, midgut, and salivary glands) and the symbiont fraction (hindgut) of the termite digestive system have been shown to work synergistically (Scharf et al., 2011). While both fractions have lignocellulolytic activity, combining protein extracts from both the host and symbiont fractions results in more sugar release *in vitro* than the sum of the parts. Additionally, recombinant host and symbiont enzymes have been shown to work efficiently *in vitro* to liberate glucose and pentose sugars from wood (Sethi et al., 2013a). Hence, wood digestion is truly the result of successful collaboration between termites and their hindgut symbionts. This collaborative physiological functionality is a driver in termite success and niche occupation, and it should continue to be a major focus to understand termite holobiont biology and ecology as we go forward.

**SYMBIONT–PATHOGEN INTERPLAY**

Social living and foraging in microbe-rich environments puts termite workers at risk to encounter pathogens and creates the potential for epizootic events within termite colonies. Though the relationship between termites and their symbionts is often perceived to be purely nutritional, there is growing evidence that gut microbiota have infection-buffering potential. However, termites also have evolved complex hygienic behaviors to mitigate the spread and persistence of pathogenic agents (i.e., fungal conidia) within colonies (Rosengaus et al., 1998; Rosengaus et al., 2011a; Gao et al., 2012). Termites have been frequently observed to auto- and allogroom conidia from the bodies of themselves and nestmates. Passage through the alimentary canal and symbiont-filled hindgut effectively neutralizes fungal conidia (Chouvenc et al., 2009). Termites with perturbed gut microbiota, by oxygenation or chemical means, display a marked increase in susceptibility to fungal pathogens such as *Metharhizium anisopliae* and *Beauveria bassiana* (Boucias et al., 1996; Ramakrishnan et al., 1999; Rosengaus et al., 2014; Sen et al., 2015). One biochemical mechanism has been linked to this anti-fungal gut phenomenon in the form of symbiont-derived β-1, 3-glucanase activity (most likely protist) that is able to act on fungi and prevent their germination (Rosengaus et al., 2014). Similarly, the inhibition of this antifungal enzyme activity, β-1, 3-glucanase, results in a marked increase in termite susceptibility to a variety of pathogens (Bulmer et al., 2009) and is conserved evolutionarily from woodroaches to termites (Bulmer et al., 2012).

As mentioned above, grooming and hygienic behavior play an important role in termite immunity. Termites also participate in protodea trophallaxis as a means to replenish symbionts, nutrients, and chemical signals amongst individuals in the colony (Suarez and Thorne, 2000; Machida et al., 2001). This is another means by which symbionts and potential pathogens may interact, but it does not seem to play an important role in immune priming (Mirabito and Rosengaus, 2016).

Lastly, outside of the termite body, symbiotic bacteria provide additional protection. Termites build elaborate nest structures from fecal material to house their colonies. As with hindgut populations, these nest materials contain varying degrees of microbial abundance and richness dependent upon the species of termite (Rosengaus et al., 2003). This material contains diverse kinds of bacteria but has comparatively less fungus (Rosengaus et al., 2003; Manjula et al., 2015). The nests of one species of subterranean termite, *Coptotermes formosanus*, are commonly laden with symbiotic Actinobacteria demonstrated to
have antifungal activity ex vivo in nest walls (Chouvenc et al., 2013). This finding extends symbiont-mediated protection from the termite gut outside into the nest material, in at least one species.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Lower termite symbioses with microorganisms are unmistakably integral to termite biology. Hindgut microbial communities are tightly linked with termite digestion of wood and play important roles in supplementing this nutrient-poor food source. Symbionts catalyze reactions involved in the breakdown of all three major components of wood (cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin phenolics) and supplement this diet by synthesizing other important nutrients. However, outside of the classic role for termite symbionts in digestion and nutrition, there is increasing recognition that they buffer the impacts of environmental stressors to their hosts. In particular, both protists and bacteria have been found to provide anti-fungal defenses in lower termites (Chouvenc et al., 2013; Rosengaus et al., 2014). Even fitness is impacted by the interconnectivity between termites and their symbionts (Rosengaus et al., 2011b). Recent discoveries emphasize that despite nearly a century of studying the obligate relationships between lower termites and microbes, there are still many facets of this complex association which are yet to be understood. Lower termites provide an important model for studying persistent, multi-layer symbioses.

It is also important to consider the role that symbiota play in other animal systems for the purpose of formulating relevant questions to probe, interrogate and eventually understand the termite holobiont. Recent discoveries in other models highlight microbiota as playing more active roles in host physiology, development, and behavior. These roles extend further than the bounds of the intestinal walls, affecting a range of processes from immune system development/maturation to mood and pain perception (Sommer and Backhed, 2013). The broad influence of gut microbiota found in these other systems can serve as an excellent guide to generate hypotheses for testing in the termite system.

Moving forward, based on recent and emerging trends, it will be imperative to consider all components of the termite holobiont when investigating aspects of termite biology. Understanding the role of symbiotic microbes in the physiological processes of digestion and immunity represent some of the first steps toward a better understanding the broader functionality of the lower termite consortium. Viewing any of these interactions within the termite holobiont as discrete may be an oversimplification. However, as methodologies and analyses advance, our ability to understand the functions of the consortium as a whole will continue to improve, as will our understanding of the roles of individual taxa in the system, and collaborations between host and symbiota. Efforts to characterize the holobiont in the presence and absence of stressors, both biotic and abiotic, using comprehensive omics-based approaches are likely to be major hypothesis-generating endeavors. However, the key to doing this successfully will involve careful sample preparation and carefully constructed analysis pipelines to limit taxonomic biases whenever possible. These big data approaches will in turn become a springboard into understanding symbiotic association, trends and commonalities, which may help to begin building models for the compartmentalization, complementation, and collaboration between lower termites and their symbiota.

Understanding the extent, bounds, and ramifications of these associations will be necessary to move toward a fuller appreciation of lower termite biology. Ultimately, studying the collective function and interplay between all members of this symbiosis in response to environmental challenges and in periods of stasis will shed light both on the micro-ecosystem that is a termite gut and the super-organism that is a termite colony.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

BP and MS developed, wrote, and revised the ideas and content presented in this manuscript. Both BP and MS approve the publishing of this manuscript and take responsibility for all of its contents.

FUNDING

Funding was provided by the U.S. National Science Foundation (grant no. 1233484CBET), Indiana Academy of Sciences (grant no. 2014-13), the 2014 Entomological Society of America Monsanto Graduate Research Grant, and the O. Wayne Rollins-Orkin Endowment at Purdue University.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors acknowledge Dr. S. P. Rajarapu, Dr. M. N. Fardisi, and our reviewers for helpful review, discussion, and comments during revision of this manuscript.

REFERENCES

Boucias, D. G., Cai, Y., Sun, Y., Lietze, V. U., Sen, R., Raychoudhury, R., et al. (2013). The hindgut lumen prokaryotic microbiota of the termite Reticulitermes flavipes and its response to dietary lignocellulose composition. Mol. Ecol. 22, 1836–1853. doi: 10.1111/mec.12230

Boucias, D. G., Stokes, C., Storey, G., and Pendland, J. C. (1996). The effect of imidacloprid on the termite Reticulitermes flavipes and its interaction with Beauveria bassiana. Pflanzenschutz-Nachr. Bayer 49, 105–150.

Brugerolle, G., and Bordereau, C. (2004). The flagellates of the termite Hodotermopsis sjoestedti with special reference to Hoplonympha, Holomastigotes, and Trichomonoides trypanoides n. comb. Europ. J. Parasit. 40, 163–174. doi: 10.1016/j.ejop.2004.05.001

Brune, A. (2011). “Microbial symbioses in the digestive tract of lower termites,” in Beneficial Microorganisms in Multicellular Life Forms, eds E. Rosenberg and U. Gophna (New York City, NY: Springer), 3–25.

Brune, A. (2014). Symbiotic digestion of lignocellulose in termite guts. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 12, 168–180. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro3182
Hongoh, Y., Sharma, V. K., Prakash, T., Noda, S., Taylor, T. D., Kudo, T., et al. (2008a). Complete genome of the uncultured Termite Group 1 bacteria in a pest control strategy. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 105, 5555–5560. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0801381105

Hongoh, Y., Sharma, V. K., Prakash, T., Noda, S., Toh, H., Taylor, T. D., et al. (2008b). Genome of an endosymbiotic coupling N2 fixation to cellulolysis within protist cells in termite gut. Science 322, 1108–1109. doi: 10.1126/science.1165578

Hongoh, Y., Yuzawa, H., Ohkuma, M., and Kudo, T. (2003b). Evaluation of primers and PCR conditions for the analysis of 16S genes from a natural environment. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 221, 299–304. doi: 10.1016/S0378-1097(03)00218-0

Inoue, T., Morigi, S., Ohkuma, M., and Kudo, T. (2005). Molecular cloning and characterization of a cellulase gene from a symbiotic protist of the lower termite. Cptopterus formosanus. Gene 349, 67–75. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2004.11.048

Inoue, T., Murashima, K., Azuma, J.-I., Sugimoto, A., and Slaytor, M. (1997). Cellulose and xylan utilization in the lower termite Reticulitermes speratus. J. Insect Physiol. 43, 235–242. doi: 10.1006/jips.1997.0099

Inoue, T., Saita, K., Kudo, T., Ul, S., and Ohkuma, M. (2007). Hydrogen production by gut termite protists: Characterization of iron hydrogenases of parasabalan symbionts of the termite Coptotermes formosanus. Eurakyt. Cell 6, 1925–1932. doi: 10.1128/EC.00251-07

James, E. R., Okamoto, N., Burki, F., Scheffrahn, R. H., and Keeling, P. J. (2013). Cthulhu macrofasciulumque n. g., n. sp. and Cthylla microfasciculumque n. g., n. sp., a newly identified lineage of parasbalan termite symbionts. PLoS ONE 8:e58509. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0058509

Karl, Z. J., and Scharf, M. E. (2015). Effects of five diverse lignoncellulolytic diets on digestive enzyme biochemistry in the termite Reticulitermes flavipes. Infest. Biochem. Physiol. 90, 89–103. doi: 10.1002/arch.21246

Leadbetter, J. R., and Breznak, J. A. (1996). Physiological ecology of Methanobrevibacter cuticulalis sp. nov. and Methanobrevibacter curvatus sp. nov., isolated from the hindgut of the termite Reticulitermes flavipes. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 62, 3620–3631.

Leadbetter, J. R., Schmidt, T. M., Graber, J. R., and Breznak, J. A. (1999). Acetogenesis from H2 plus CO2 by spirochetes from termite guts. Science 283, 686–689. doi: 10.1126/science.283.5402.686

Leidy, J. (1877). On intestinal parasites of Termes flavipes. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Philadelphia 8, 146–149.

Lilburn, T. G., Kim, K. S., Ostrov, N. M., Byrek, K. R., Leadbetter, J. R., and Breznak, J. A. (2001). Nitrogen fixation by symbiotic and free-living spirochetes. Science 292, 2495–2498. doi: 10.1126/science.1060281

Lo, N., and Eggleton, P. (2011). “Termite phylogenetics and co-cladogenesis with symbionts,” in Biology of Termites: A Modern Synthesis, eds D. E. Bignell, Y. Rosin, and J. N. Lo (New York City, NY: Springer), 27–50.

Lucy, K., and Leadbetter, J. (2014). Catechol 2, 3-dioxygenase and other meta-cleavage catalobic pathway genes in the ‘anaerobic’ termite gut spirochete Treponema primitia. Mol. Ecol. 23, 1531–1543. doi: 10.1111/ meco.12598

Machida, M., Kitade, O., Miura, T., and Matsumoto, T. (2001). Nitrogen recycling through protocodal trophallaxis in the Japanese damp-wood termite Hodotermopsis japonica (Isoterpia, Termpodae). Insectes Soc. 48, 52–56. doi: 10.1017/S0022325801001745

Manjula, A., Pushpanathan, M., Sathyavathi, S., Gunasekaran, P., and Ragendhran, J. (2015). Comparative analysis of microbial diversity in termite gut and termite nest using ion sequencing. Curr. Microbiol. 72, 267–275. doi: 10.1007/s00284-015-0947-y

Mirabito, D., and Rosengaus, R. B. (2016). A double-edged sword? The cost of protocodal trophallaxis in termites. Insectes Sociaux 63, 135–141. doi: 10.1111/1866-8117.12107

Noda, S., Hongoh, Y., Sato, T., and Ohkuma, M. (2009). Complex coevolutionary relationships of protocodeal trophallaxis in termites. Insectes Sociaux 56, 53–63. doi: 10.1111/j.1866-8117.2008.00157.x

Noda, S., Iida, T., Kitade, O., Nakayama, H., Kudo, T., and Ohkuma, M. (2005). Endosymbiotic Bacteroides bacteria of the flagellated protist Pseudotrichonympha grassii in the gut of the termite Coptotermes formosanus. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 71, 8811–8817. doi: 10.1128/AEM.71.12.8811-8817.2005
Noda, S., Kitade, O., Inoue, T., Kawai, M., Hirohaya, K., Hongo, Y., et al. (2007). Cospeciation in the triple symbiosis of termite gut protists (Pseudotrichonympha spp.), their hosts, and their bacterial endosymbionts. Mol. Ecol. 16, 1257–1266. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2006.03219.x

Ohkuma, M. (2008). Symbiosis of flagellates and prokaryotes in the gut of lower termites. Trends Microbiol. 16, 345–352. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2008.04.004

Ohkuma, M., and Brune, A. (2011). "Diversity, structure, and evolution of the termite gut microbial community," in Biology of Termites: A Modern Synthesis, eds D. E. Bignell, Y. Roisin, and N. Lo (New York City, NY: Springer), 413–438.

Ohkuma, M., Noda, S., and Kudo, T. (1999). Phylogenetic diversity of nitrogen fixation genes in the symbiotic microbial community in the gut of diverse termite species. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 65, 4926–4934.

Peterson, B. F., Stewart, H. L., and Scharf, M. E. (2015). Quantifying symbiotic contributions to lower termite digestion using antimicrobial compounds. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. 59, 80–88. doi: 10.1016/j.ibmb.2015.02.009

Radek, R., Strassler, J. F. H., Kruger, J., Meuser, K., Scheffrahn, R. H., and Brune, A. (2014). Phylogeny and ultrastructure of Oxymonas joutilae, a rostellum-free species, and Opithomitus longiflagellatus sp. nov., oxymonad flagellates from the gut of Neotermes joutilae. Protist 165, 384–399. doi: 10.1016/j.proti.2014.04.003

Ramakrishnan, R., Suter, D. R., Nakatsu, C. H., Humber, R. A., and Bennett, G. W. (1999). Imidacloprod-enhanced Retinulitermes flavipes (Isoperta: Rhinotermitidae) susceptibility to the entomopathogen Metarhizium anisopliae. J. Eco. Entomol. 92, 1125–1132. doi: 10.1603/je92.5.1125

Raychoudhury, R., Sen, R., Cai, Y., Sun, Y., Lieze, V.-U., Boucias, D. G., et al. (2013). Comparative metatranscriptomic signatures of wood and paper feeding in the gut of the termite Retinulitermes flavipes (Isoperta: Rhinotermitidae). Insect Mol. Biol. 22, 155–171. doi: 10.1111/immb.12101

Rosengaus, R. B., Guldin, M. R., and Traniello, J. F. A. (1998). Inhibitory effect of termite fecal pellets on fungal spore germination. J. Chem. Ecol. 24, 1697–1706. doi: 10.1023/A:1020872279671

Rosengaus, R. B., Moustakas, J. E., Calleri, D. V., and Traniello, J. F. A. (2003). Nesting ecology and cuticular microbial loads in dampwood (Zootermopsis angusticollis) and drywood termites (Incisitermes minor, I. schwarzi, Cryptotermes castaneus). J. Insect Sci. 3, 31. doi: 10.1673/031.003.3101

Tai, V., James, E. R., Nalepa, C. A., Scheffrahn, R. H., Perlman, S. J., and Keeling, P. J. (2013). Single-cell DNA barcoding using sequences from the small subunit rRNA and 16S rRNA genes. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 81, 1059–1070. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02945-14

Takahashi, A., Tanaka, Y., Takeshi, K., Hattori, T., Takamori, K., and Nakamura, Y. (2008). Phylogenetic diversity of the bacterial community in the gut of the termite Coptotermes formosanus Shiraki (Isoptera:Rhinotermitidae). Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part A 159, 261–267. doi: 10.1016/j.cbpa.2011.03.012

Shinzato, N., Matsumoto, T., Matsui, T., and Watanabe, Y. (2005). Molecular phylogenetic diversity of the bacterial community in the gut of the termite Coptotermes formosanus. Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem. 69, 1145–1155. doi: 10.1271/bbb.69.1145

Shinzato, N., Matsumoto, T., Yamaoka, I., Oshima, T., and Yamagishi, A. (1999). Phylogenetic diversity of symbiotic methanogens living in the hindgut of the lower termite Retinulitermes speratus analyzed by PCR and in situ hybridization. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 65, 837–840.

Tai, V., and Keeling, P. J. (2013). Termite hindguts and the ecology of microbial communities in the sequencing age. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 81, 646–654. doi: 10.1128/AEM.03233-13

Tai, V., James, E. R., Perlman, S. J., and Keeling, P. J. (2013). Cellulolytic bacterial communities associated with termite hindguts and their associated fungus. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 81, 6421–6428. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00423-13

Tai, V., James, E. R., Nalepa, C. A., Scheffrahn, R. H., Perlman, S. J., and Keeling, P. J. (2014). Single-cell DNA barcode sequences reveal a rich diversity of bacterial communities in a termite hindgut. Proc. R. Soc. B 281:20141319. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2014.1319

Scharf, M. E., Karls, L. J., Sethi, A., and Boucias, D. G. (2011). Multiple levels of synergistic collaboration in termite lignocellulose digestion. PLoS ONE 6:e21709. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0021709

Schirra, M. E., Kovaliva, E., Jadhao, S., Cameron, I. J., Buchman, G., and Boucias, D. G. (2010). Functional and translational analyses of a beta-glucosidase gene (glycosyl hydrolase family 1) isolated from the gut of the lower termite Reticulitermes flavipes. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. 40, 611–620. doi: 10.1016/j.ibmb.2010.06.002

Scharf, J. E., and Breznak, J. A. (1978). Heterotrophic bacteria present in hindguts of wood-eating termites (Reticulitermes flavipes (Kollar)). Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 35, 930–936.

Sen, R., Raychoudhury, R., Cai, Y., Sun, Y., Ulrike-Lietze, V., Peterson, B. F., et al. (2015). Molecular signatures of nicotineoid-pathogen synergy in the termite gut. PLoS ONE 10:e0123391. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0123391

Sethi, A., Kovaliva, E., Slack, J. M., Brown, S., Buchman, G. W., and Scharf, M. E. (2013a). A GHF7 cellulase from the protist symbiont community of Reticulitermes flavipes enables more efficient lignocellulose processing by host enzymes. Archives Insect Biochem. Physiol. 84, 175–193. doi: 10.1002/arch.21135

Sethi, A., Slack, J., Kovaliva, E., Buchman, G., and Scharf, M. E. (2013b). Lignin-associated metagene expression in a lignocellulose-digesting termite. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. 43, 91–101. doi: 10.1016/j.ibmb.2012.10.001

Shinzato, N., Cai, Y., Sun, Y., Ulrike-Lietze, V., Peterson, B. F., and Scharf, M. E. (2008). Symbioses of flagellates and prokaryotes in the gut of lower termites. Trends Microbiol. 16, 345–352. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2008.04.004

Shinzato, N., Matsumoto, T., Yamaoka, I., Oshima, T., and Yamagishi, A. (1999). Phylogenetic diversity of symbiotic methanogens living in the hindgut of the lower termite Retinulitermes speratus analyzed by PCR and in situ hybridization. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 65, 837–840.

Shinzato, N., Matsumoto, T., Yamaoka, I., Oshima, T., and Yamagishi, A. (1999). Phylogenetic diversity of symbiotic methanogens living in the hindgut of the lower termite Retinulitermes speratus analyzed by PCR and in situ hybridization. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 65, 837–840.
Todaka, N., Moriya, S., Saita, K., Hondo, T., Kiuchi, I., Takasu, H., et al. (2007). Environmental cDNA analysis of the genes involved in lignocellulose digestion in the symbiotic protist community of Reticulitermes speratus. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 59, 592–599. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2006.00237.x

Tokuda, G., Tsuoi, Y., Kihara, K., Saitou, S., Moriya, S., Lo, N., et al. (2014). Metabolomic profiling of 13C-labelled cellulose digestion in a lower termite: insights into gut symbiont function. *Proc. R. Soc. B.* 281:20140990. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2014.0990

Tokuda, G., Moriya, S., Kudo, T. (2000). Molecular phylogeny of methanogens associated with flagellated protists in the gut and with the gut epithelium of termites. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 33, 233–240. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2000.tb00745.x

Tsukagoshi, H., Nakamura, A., Ishida, T., Otagin, M., Moriya, S., Samejima, M., et al. (2014). The GH26 β-mannanase RsMan26H from a symbiotic protist of the termite Reticulitermes speratus is an endo-processive mannobiohydrolase: heterologous expression and characterization. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm.* 452, 520–525. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2014.08.103

Yang, H., Schmitt-Wagner, D., Stingl, U., and Brune, A. (2005). Niche heterogeneity determines bacterial community structure in the termite gut (Reticulitermes santonensis). *Environ. Microbiol.* 7, 916–932. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2005.00760.x

Zheng, H., Dietrich, C., Radek, R., and Brune, A. (2015). Endomicrobium proavitum, the first isolate of Endomicrobia class. Nov. (phylum Elusimicrobia) – an ultramicrobacterium with an unusual cell cycle that fixes nitrogen with a Group IV nitrogenase. *Environ. Microbiol.* 18, 191–204. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.12960

Zhou, X., Kovaleva, E., Wu-Scharf, D., Campbell, J., Buchman, G., Boucias, D. G., et al. (2010). Production and characterization of a recombinant beta-1,4-endoglucanase (glycohydrolase family 9) from the termite Reticulitermes flavipes. *Arch. Insect Biochem. Physiol.* 74, 147–162. doi: 10.1002/arch.20368

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2016 Peterson and Scharf. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.