Endothelial distance after phakic iris-fixated intraocular lens implantation: a new safety reference
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Purpose: To evaluate the distance between the endothelial surface of the cornea to the anterior edge of an Artiflex® phakic intraocular lens (IOL) implant to improve the safety profile of this implant.

Methods: This is a retrospective clinical case series of 45 patients who had Artiflex phakic IOL implantation (Artiflex p-IOL) with a follow-up period of 3 years. A Pentacam HR imaging system was used to measure the distance from various points of the anterior edge of the Artiflex IOL to the endothelial surface of the cornea, which we called endothelial–IOL (E–IOL) distance, in 45 eyes. The E–IOL distances were assessed at 1, 3, 6, 12, 24, and 36 months. Corresponding correlations of central endothelial distance to temporal and nasal edges and center of the IOL anterior surface were tabulated.

Results: Mean follow-up was 21.39 ± 11.28 months. A statistically significant reduction of the E–IOL distance was observed over the follow-up period (P < 0.05), with the mean annual reduction being 24.70 µm. A strong positive correlation between the E–IOL distance of the edges of the IOL and the central distance was observed (correlation coefficients nasal/central: month 1, 0.905; month 36, 0.806; temporal/central: month 1, 0.906; month 36, 0.806; P < 0.001). Moderate negative correlations were found between the spherical equivalent power of the implanted IOL and the E–IOL distance (correlation coefficients −0.271 to −0.412, P > 0.05). For an E–IOL distance of the IOL edge >1,500 µm, the distance from the endothelium to the central point of the p-IOL optic should be a minimum of 1,700 µm to improve the safety profile for Artiflex p-IOL implantation and reduce the potential complication of accelerated endothelial cell loss.

Conclusion: After Artiflex IOL implantation, the mean annual reduction of the E–IOL distance was 25 µm. A negative correlation existed between the spherical equivalent power of the implanted IOL and the postoperative E–IOL distance. The minimum E–IOL distance from the center of the IOL to minimize the risk of endothelial cell loss was 1.7 mm. This distance, as is the 1.5 mm initially proposed by Baikoff, is a postoperative value. We cannot make that assumption for the preoperative evaluation, as the morphometry of the anterior chamber changes with the implant.
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Introduction
Iris-fixated phakic intraocular lenses (p-IOLs) have been implanted in patients with myopia since 1986.1 The original biconcave design (Worst–Fechner lens) was altered in 1991 to a convex–concave format. In 1998, this type of lens was named the Artisan lens (Ophtec, Groningen, the Netherlands). Subsequently, different models were developed for hyperopia and astigmatism. In 2003, a foldable version of the Artisan lens, the Artiflex® (Ophtec), was introduced. This lens has the primary
advantage that it can be introduced through a small incision (3.2 mm) with less induced astigmatism and faster visual recovery. More recently, a toric version of the Artiflex was introduced in Europe for management of spherocylindrical refractive errors.

Various studies have demonstrated that both the Artiflex and the Artisan offer predictable and stable postoperative refractive outcomes. The long-term impact of anterior-chamber IOL implantation on corneal endothelial cell loss has been a matter of significant research and debate. As a result of numerous randomized clinical trials, the safety of Artisan and Artiflex IOLs are now well established, with reported endothelial cell losses of 4.8% at 6 months, 8.3% at 5 years, and 12.6% at 7 years, and long-term maintenance of the hexagonality and the cell coefficient of variation.7,9,10

In 2004, Baikoff et al proposed that the minimum safety distance between the edge of the optical zone of the p-IOL and the endothelium, as measured by anterior chamber optical coherence tomography (AC-OCT), should be greater than 1.5 mm to minimize the risk of endothelial cell loss.11

There are several devices with which clinicians can evaluate the final resting position of p-IOLs in the anterior chamber, including AC-OCT, ultrasound biomicroscopy, and Scheimpflug photography. The usefulness of AC-OCT in postoperative evaluation of the IOL position has been demonstrated in various studies.11–13 Notwithstanding the excellent image quality produced by ultrasound biomicroscopy, there are several limitations to its clinical practicality.14 It is uncomfortable for some patients, can be time-consuming, technically challenging to perform, and may carry the risk of inducing image distortion by direct compression onto the globe. On the other hand, advantages associated with Scheimpflug topography include its rapid image acquisition without direct physical contact. This type of photography, however, is limited by its requirement for clear optical media and the imprecision of certain images, due to the dispersion of light (which can be minimized using low-light conditions for image capture).15,16

The purpose of the present study was to evaluate the distance from the anterior edge of the Artisan p-IOL to the endothelial surface (endothelial distance [ED]) over a 3-year postoperative follow-up period. As a result of appropriate correlations from such findings, we were able to identify and establish new safety indices, which would assist clinicians in preoperative evaluations as well as in postoperative management, thus increasing the safety profile of Artiflex p-IOLs.

## Materials and methods

### Study population and design

This was a retrospective analysis of 45 eyes from 24 patients who underwent Artiflex p-IOL implantation in 2009–2012 for correction of high simple myopia and/or mixed myopic astigmatism. All surgeries were done at Egas Moniz Hospital between February 2009 and April 2012. The study followed the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Routine surgical informed consents were obtained from all patients preoperatively. The Centro Hospitalar de Lisboa Ocidental institutional review board approved the study.

Our chart review included patients aged over 21 years, who had stable refraction over the previous year, an anterior-chamber depth greater than 3.0 mm (from the endothelium to the anterior face of the crystalline lens), a scotopic pupil of less than 7.0 mm in diameter, and an endothelial cell density greater than 2,000 cells/mm². Endothelial cell density was evaluated using a specular microscope in automated mode (SP-3000P; Topcon Medical Systems, Oakland, NJ, USA). The following were considered to be exclusion criteria on preoperative evaluations: the existence of anomalies in the iris, history of glaucoma or uveitis, and the presence of retinal lesions that would predispose the patient to retinal detachment. In accordance with the proposition by Baikoff et al, a crystalline lens rise of greater than 600 µm also constituted an exclusion criterion in order to decrease the risk of iris-pigment dispersion.17

The evaluation of distances between the IOL and the endothelium was conducted using Scheimpflug photography (Pentacam HR; Oculus Optikgerate, Wetzlar, Germany). The Pentacam HR is an image-acquisition system for the anterior segment that uses a rotating camera that is based on the Scheimpflug principle. This system is capable of creating a three-dimensional map of the anterior segment of the eye, allowing for the measurement of the different safety distances prior to and following the implantation of p-IOLs. The camera maps the anterior and posterior surfaces of the cornea, capturing 50 images in 2 seconds, while moving around the ocular globe. In each image, the system evaluates 2,760 points, totaling 138,000 true elevation points. This technique allows for the creation of a three-dimensional image of the cornea and the anterior chamber.18

ED was evaluated from both the nasal and temporal edges and the center of the IOL in the meridian from 0°–180° (Figure 1). For each of these distances, we report a final number that was the average of three captured measurements of the Pentacam image. The same average was taken...
and reported on postoperative days 30 and 180 and the first, second, and third years. We established the evolving patterns of an increase or decrease in the distances between the corneal endothelium to the center of the optic and the nasal/temporal edges of the optic in relation to time. Correlations between the spherical equivalent power of the implanted IOL and the ED from the center and edges of the IOL were also established.

The intraocular lens
We used the already established guidelines of OphtheC in selecting the appropriate IOL-power to achieve the postoperative target refraction of emmetropia. The selected Artiflex p-IOL for our patients had a central 6.0 mm optic with polysiloxane and poly(methyl methacrylate) haptics (available in sphere −5 D to −14.5 D and in cylinder −1.0 D to −5 D).

Surgical technique
The surgical procedures were performed by two experienced surgeons (TF and AC). The method of implanting the Artiflex lens is similar to that of the Artisan lens, which has been published previously. In all cases, the primary clear corneal incision was 3.2 mm. The enclavation of the haptics in the iris was accomplished using a needle (OphtheC). The iridectomy was executed with scissors. For the toric lenses, the horizontal meridian was marked using an Elies pendulum marker (E Janach; Combined Medical Specialities, Johannesburg, South Africa) with the patient seated to avoid cyclotorsion. The axis of enclavation was marked intraoperatively using a Mendez marker (Duckworth and Kent, Baldock, UK) and an axis marker (Duckworth and Kent), according to the position suggested by the manufacturer. The haptics were enclaved in the iris in accordance with these markings.

Statistical analysis
All measurements were recorded in an Excel spreadsheet (Office 2010; Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA). The statistical analyses were done using SPSS for Windows (version 16.0; IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). The normality of the measurements was confirmed using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. Statistically significant differences were established using one-way repeated-measures analysis of variance. Correlations were established in accordance with the Spearman correlation coefficient. To determine the safety measurement for the central ED (CED), 95% confidence intervals were calculated for a >1.5 mm distance between the endothelium and the edge of the IOL. The upper value of this interval was selected as the final reported distance value (nasal or temporal). Values of $P<0.05$ were considered statistically significant. The results are expressed as means ± standard deviation.

Results
Study population
A total of 45 eyes from 26 patients were evaluated. The average follow-up was 21.39±11.28 (12–42) months. The average age of the study patients was 32±4.6 years (26–40 years). In 22 eyes from 14 patients, a spherical Artiflex was implanted with mean IOL power of −10.00±1.18 D (−5.50 to −12.50 D). In 23 eyes from twelve patients, a toric Artiflex IOL was implanted, with mean IOL spherical power of −6.72±1.00 D (−4.50 to −8.00 D) and mean cylindrical power of −2.00±0.94 D (−1.00 to −3.50 D). The average CED was 2,670±316 cells/mm$^2$ (2,222–3,109 cells/mm$^2$) preoperatively and 2,531±451 cells/mm$^2$ (1,988–3,199 cells/mm$^2$) postoperatively ($P=0.341$). The average preoperative anterior chamber depth was 3.24±0.18 mm (3.08–3.58 mm). The average preoperative uncorrected distance visual acuity (UDVA) was 0.01±0.01 (0.01–0.05) decimal. The average preoperative corrected distance visual acuity (CDVA) was 0.77±0.26 (0.16–1.00) decimal. The postoperative UDVA was 0.84±0.17 (0.5–1.0) decimal, and the postoperative CDVA was 0.88±0.14 (0.5–1.0). The postoperative residual spherical equivalent was −0.21±0.32 D (0.00–0.25 D).

Evolving pattern of endothelial distance over time
The evolving pattern of the ED over the 3-year follow-up is depicted in Figure 2. A statistically significant reduction of the ED was noted over the course of the study for all of the examined distances (nasal ED [NED] month 1–month 36, $P=0.039$; temporal endothelial ED [TED] month 1–month 36, $P=0.039$).
Discussion

p-IOLs are considered to be a safe, effective, and stable method for the correction of moderate-to-high myopia. The effectiveness and safety of the spherical and toric Artiflex IOL have been demonstrated in various clinical studies. A concern following an anterior-chamber p-IOL implantation is the ongoing impact of the implant over time on the surrounding structures of the anterior segment, eg, the iridocorneal angle, the endothelium, and the crystalline lens. This clinical study sought to examine change in ED over 3 years following the implantation of the Artiflex IOL and to create a new safety measurement. This evaluation was performed with the intent of simplifying the postoperative monitoring of these patients.

Morphological alterations in the crystalline lens influence its relationship with iris-fixated lenses. With aging, in addition to the progressive increase in the thickness of the crystalline lens, there is also a movement of its anterior pole in the direction of the endothelium by approximately 18–20 µm annually. In the present study, a reduction in ED by an average of 25 µm annually was directly correlated with the annual anterior movement of the crystalline lens. One way to confirm if this movement is responsible for the reduction in ED could be to measure lens thickness with...
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Each postoperative distance measurement. This should be investigated in further studies. Knowledge of these data will allow us to calculate the time that the IOL can remain in the eye while maintaining a safe ED. Doors et al also described a linear reduction of 3.1 µm per year in the distance between the iris-enclaved IOL and the crystalline lens.22 As a result of our findings, we strongly recommend that clinicians include a morphometric study of the anterior chamber in patients who have had anterior chamber p-IOL implants during the follow-up period. This monitoring may enable the physician to identify individual patients who may have accelerated reduction of the ED and assist in taking the necessary preemptive measures. This may explain an early unexpected corneal decompensation in patients who have had anterior-chamber p-IOL implantation in previous published reports.

The relationship between the distance from the edge of the IOL to the endothelium and the loss of endothelial cells is known. In a study by Doors et al, a 1.37 mm distance from the edge of the IOL to the endothelium resulted in an annual loss of endothelial cells of 0.98%, whereas a 1.15 mm distance resulted in an annual loss of cells of 1.8%.22 The same authors described a model that was capable of predicting endothelial cell loss following the implantation of iris-fixated lenses in accordance with the distance from the edge of the IOL to the endothelium, as measured with AC-OCT.23

Baikoff proposed a minimum endothelial safety distance of 1.5 mm between the edges of the IOL and the endothelium.11 Güell et al suggested a minimum CED of 2.0 mm. This distance, however, was determined arbitrarily.24 During the follow-up of these patients, the measurement of ED from the edges and center of the IOL is laborious. During the follow-up period, a strong positive correlation was observed between the ED from the edges of the IOL and the CED. For an ED from the edge of the IOL that is

| Table 1 | Correlation coefficients between the endothelial distances from the intraocular lens (IOL) edges and the central endothelial distance |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Spearman’s ρ | CED month 1 | CED month 6 | CED month 12 | CED month 24 | CED month 36 |
| NED month 1 | Correlation coefficient (P-value) | 0.905 (<0.001) | 0.889 (<0.001) | 0.901 (<0.001) | 0.789 (<0.001) |
| NED month 6 | Correlation coefficient (P-value) | 0.790 (<0.001) | 0.906 (<0.001) | 0.902 (<0.001) | 0.843 (<0.001) |
| NED month 12 | Correlation coefficient (P-value) | 0.790 (<0.001) | 0.906 (<0.001) | 0.902 (<0.001) | 0.843 (<0.001) |
| NED month 24 | Correlation coefficient (P-value) | 0.790 (<0.001) | 0.906 (<0.001) | 0.902 (<0.001) | 0.843 (<0.001) |
| NED month 36 | Correlation coefficient (P-value) | 0.790 (<0.001) | 0.906 (<0.001) | 0.902 (<0.001) | 0.843 (<0.001) |

Notes: Month 1, n=45; month 6, n=43; month 12, n=38; month 24, n=24; month 36, n=13.
Abbreviations: CED, central endothelial distance; NED, nasal endothelial distance (IOL edge); TED, temporal endothelial distance (IOL edge).

| Table 2 | 95% confidence intervals for a safe central endothelial distance, considering an endothelial distance from the intraocular lens (IOL) edges > 1.5 mm |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Lower limit | Upper limit |
| NED month 1 (µm) | 1,666.10 | 1,739.29 |
| NED month 6 (µm) | 1,651.28 | 1,738.07 |
| NED month 12 (µm) | 1,660.79 | 1,745.98 |
| NED month 24 (µm) | 1,685.77 | 1,787.06 |
| NED month 36 (µm) | 1,642.61 | 1,745.98 |
| TED month 1 (µm) | 1,666.09 | 1,739.29 |
| TED month 6 (µm) | 1,612.03 | 1,731.28 |
| TED month 12 (µm) | 1,520.83 | 1,733.98 |
| TED month 24 (µm) | 1,676.08 | 1,721.20 |
| TED month 36 (µm) | 1,641.77 | 1,700.92 |

Notes: Month 1, n=45; month 6, n=43; month 12, n=38; month 24, n=24; month 36, n=13.
Abbreviations: NED, nasal endothelial distance (IOL edge); TED, temporal endothelial distance (IOL edge).
greater than 1.5 mm, a value of 1.7 mm was calculated as the minimum safe distance from the center of the IOL to the endothelium.

Higher p-IOL powers have a greater central thickness. Our data show moderate negative correlation of ED with increasing p-IOL power over time for both the central and peripheral distances. Surgeons may need to consider anterior-chamber depth of greater than 3.0 mm in implanting higher-power p-IOLs. In our future study, we will evaluate and report the direct correlation of the anterior-chamber depth in correspondence with the p-IOL power.

In conclusion, the average reduction in ED following the implantation of the Artiflex IOL is 25 μm per year. We recommend yearly morphometric evaluation of the anterior segment to include anterior-chamber depth and ED in routine postoperative follow-up of patients with anterior-chamber p-IOL implants. This evaluation is particularly important, and should be considered in children or older adults who may have more unique anterior segment structures whenever feasible. 25,26

Given the strong correlation between the ED from the edges of the IOL and the CED, a CED greater than 1.7 mm appears sufficient to minimize the risk of endothelial cell loss.

Disclosures
The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

Table 3 Correlation coefficients between the spherical equivalent of the implanted intraocular lens (IOL) and the different endothelial distances

| Spearman’s ρ | Spherical equivalent of the implanted IOL | correlation coefficient (P-value) |
|--------------|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| CED month 1  | −0.359 (0.343)                          |                                  |
| CED month 6  | −0.361 (0.340)                          |                                  |
| CED month 12 | −0.426 (0.233)                          |                                  |
| CED month 24 | −0.312 (0.389)                          |                                  |
| CED month 36 | −0.399 (0.312)                          |                                  |
| CED month 1  | −0.378 (0.316)                          |                                  |
| CED month 6  | −0.404 (0.244)                          |                                  |
| CED month 12 | −0.389 (0.340)                          |                                  |
| CED month 24 | −0.309 (0.389)                          |                                  |
| CED month 36 | −0.359 (0.343)                          |                                  |
| TED month 1  | −0.271 (0.560)                          |                                  |
| TED month 6  | −0.271 (0.560)                          |                                  |
| TED month 12 | −0.377 (0.461)                          |                                  |
| TED month 24 | −0.412 (0.309)                          |                                  |
| TED month 36 | −0.379 (0.467)                          |                                  |

Notes: Month 1, n=45; month 6, n=43; month 12, n=38; month 24, n=24; month 36, n=13

Abbreviations: CED, central endothelial distance; NED, nasal endothelial distance (IOL edge); TED, temporal endothelial distance (IOL edge).
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