Role of the trigeminal mesencephalic nucleus in rat whisker pad proprioception
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Abstract

Background: Trigeminal proprioception related to rodent macrovibrissae movements is believed to involve skin receptors on the whisker pad because pad muscles operate without muscle spindles. This study was aimed to investigate in rats whether the trigeminal mesencephalic nucleus (TMnu), which provides proprioceptive feedback for chewing muscles, may be also involved in whisker pad proprioception.

Methods: Two retrograde tracers, Dil and True Blue Chloride, were injected into the mystacial pad and the masseter muscle on the same side of deeply anesthetized rats to label the respective projecting sensory neurons. This double-labeling technique was used to assess the co-innervation of both structures by the trigeminal mesencephalic nucleus (TMnu).

In a separate group of anesthetized animals, the spontaneous electrical activities of TMnu neurons were analyzed by extracellular recordings during spontaneous movements of the macrovibrissae. Mesencephalic neurons (TMne) were previously identified by their responses to masseter muscle stretching. Changes in TMne spontaneous electrical activities, analyzed under baseline conditions and during whisking movements, were statistically evaluated using Student's t-test for paired observations.

Results: Neuroanatomical experiments revealed different subpopulations of trigeminal mesencephalic neurons: i) those innervating the neuromuscular spindles of the masseter muscle, ii) those innervating the mystacial pad, and iii) those innervating both structures. Extracellular recordings made during spontaneous movements of the macrovibrissae showed that whisking neurons similar to those observed in the trigeminal ganglion were located in the TMnu. These neurons had different patterns of activation, which were dependent on the type of spontaneous macrovibrissae movement. In particular, their spiking activity tonically increased during fan-like movements of the vibrissae and showed phasic bursting during rhythmic whisking. Furthermore, the same neurons may also respond to masseter muscle stretch.

Conclusions: Results strongly support the hypothesis that the TMnu also contains first-order neurons specialized for relaying spatial information related to whisker movement and location to trigeminal-cortical pathways. In fact, the TMnu projects to second-order trigeminal neurons, thus allowing the rat brain to deduce higher-order information regarding executed movements of the vibrissae by combining touch information carried by trigeminal ganglion neurons with proprioceptive information carried by mesencephalic neurons.

Background

Previous studies have shown that extratrigeminal fibers in the rat, originating in the hypoglossal nucleus and traveling along the infraorbital division of the trigeminal nerve, join the ipsilateral muzzle. These hypoglossal terminals, which target the extrinsic muscles surrounding the mystacial follicles of the macrovibrissae [1,2], significantly modulate the electromyographic activity of the whisker pad motor units [2]. Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that hypoglossal innervation also extends to the masticatory masseter muscle. In particular, the hypoglossal terminals target the polar regions of the intrafusal fibers of a number of the masseter neuromuscular spindles [3]. Taken together, these findings
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demonstrate that motor control of the whisker pad structures performed by known facial and trigeminal motor neurons also involves the hypoglossal nucleus, which appears to incorporate them into the same functional unit. On the other hand, extensive projections from sensory trigeminal nuclei enclosed in the mesencephalic trigeminal nucleus to the hypoglossus have been largely described [see [4] for a review], although their functional significance warrants further inquiry.

The common motor innervation of the muzzle structures by the hypoglossal nucleus [5] suggests the possibility of a similar functional arrangement with respect to their sensory innervation. In the rat, sensory signals from whisker-pad structures are conducted by trigeminal ganglion neurons to trigeminal sensitive nuclei [[6-8]; see [4], [9-11] for a review] and from the masticatory muscles also by trigeminal mesencephalic neurons (TMNe). The latter possess neuromuscular spindles, which are absent from whisker pads [12], to provide sensory feedback for masticatory muscle proprioception [13,5]. The present study was aimed at investigating the hypothesis that the mesencephalic trigeminal nucleus (TMNu) may provide proprioceptive feedback for the whisker-pad structures as well.

Materials and methods

The experiments were performed on Wistar rats weighing 250-300 g (Morini, San Polo D’Enza, Italy). This species was chosen based on previous studies by this and other groups [14,15,1-3].

In accordance with current institutional guidelines for the care and use of experimental animals, laboratory chow and water were available ad libitum, and animals were housed under controlled conditions (room temperature: 23 ± 1°C; lights on: 07.00 to 19.00). All experimental procedures were approved by the Italian Health Ministry and the local Veterinary Public Health Service.

Neuroanatomical procedures

For tract-tracing studies, animals (n = 8) were first deeply anesthetized (sodium pentobarbital, 75 mg/kg, intraperitoneal [i.p.]) and transcardially perfused through the ascending aorta with 200-250 mL of saline, followed by 200-250 mL of ice-cold 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4). Their brains were rapidly removed, post-fixed for 3-4 h, and cryoprotected overnight in phosphate-buffered solution 20% sucrose solution. The brainstem was sectioned in the transverse plane using a freezing microtome (40-μm thickness). Serial sections were collected in phosphate buffer, mounted on slides, and observed under a Nikon Eclipse 80i fluorescence microscope. Selective filters for True Blue (360-nm wavelength) and Dil (560-nm wavelength) were used, and the images were recorded with a digital camera (Nikon).

Electrophysiological procedures

A separate group of animals (n = 10) was used to analyze the electrical activities of the trigeminal mesencephalic neurons (TMNe) during spontaneous movements of their macrovibrissae. The mystacial pad macrovibrissae, easily distinguishable from the more anterior microvibrissae [14], are long tactile hairs in the animal’s muzzle that are laterally oriented, aligned in regular rows, and arranged in a precise dorsoventral pattern [16,17].

In these experiments, animals were anesthetized by i.p. injection of diazepam (30 mg/kg) and ketamine hydrochloride (45 mg/kg) and placed in a stereotaxic frame. The ECG was continuously monitored throughout the experiment to assess the depth of anesthesia and animal discomfort. Following craniotomy, the cerebellum and occipital bone were exposed at the level of the parietal and occipital bones. A laminectomy at C1-C2 levels completed the surgical procedures. All exposed surfaces were then protected with warm mineral oil and paraffin (37°C) while the pressure points and the surgical area were injected with xylocaine (0.3%) every 40 min.

When surgical manipulations were terminated, macrovibrissae movement was carefully monitored. In anesthetized and non-paralyzed animals, the macrovibrissae are usually motionless; however, spontaneous movement of single or multiple macrovibrissae can occur infrequently and can continue for several minutes.

Spontaneous whisker movements show certain characteristic patterns. In particular, we noted and analyzed two conditions: i) rhythmic whisking, characterized by
sweeping forward and backward movements of the whiskers, and ii) a slow fan-like opening of the whisker bundle to disseminate the tips of the vibrissae to explore the space surrounding the sides of the animal muzzle. In every case, these movements were always followed by a slow return of the vibrissae to the resting position.

Although the whisker movements did not provide precise information because exact recordings were not conducted, they seemed to cover approximately 80-100°.

The spontaneous electrical activity of trigeminal mesencephalic neurons (TMne), previously identified by their responses to masseter muscle stretching, was extracellularly recorded using tungsten-in-glass microelectrodes (impedance = 700-1,200 KΩ) slowly advanced into the TMnu using an electronic microdrive (David Kopf).

The electrical signals were relayed to conventional preamplifiers and then passed to a computer and analyzed with specific software (Tecfen computerscope analysis ISC-16 software, and PowerLab 4/30 Chart 5, V 5.4.2 software). The spontaneous electrical activity of single TMne and their responses to stretching of the masseter muscle were analyzed. Recordings were carried out under baseline conditions (i.e., motionless) and repeated during the whisker movements described above.

At the conclusion of the experiment, the recording site was marked by an electrolytic lesion (20 μA, 20 s, cathodal current), and the animal was sacrificed by barbiturate overdose. The brain was removed, fixed in Carnoy’s solution, and embedded in paraffin for subsequent histological procedures. Serial sections (40-μm thick) of the brainstem were cut and stained with cresyl violet to localize the recording sites.

Statistical analysis procedures
Changes in TMne spontaneous electrical activity, analyzed under baseline conditions and during both types of whisking movement, were statistically evaluated using Student’s t-test for paired observations.

Results

Neuroanatomical findings
Following tracer injections into the mystacial pad and the ipsilateral masseter muscle, neurons labeled with both tracers were detected in the trigeminal mesencephalic nucleus (TMnu). One hundred neurons were studied in each animal.

Histological analysis showed that mesencephalic neurons labeled by Dil had a fusiform shape, with a mean longitudinal diameter of 10.20 μm (Figure 1A, B). Mesencephalic neurons labeled by True Blue were instead more oval in shape and larger in size compared to those labeled by Dil, and they had a mean longitudinal diameter of 20.4 μm (Figure 1C, D). Finally, some TMne, similar in shape to the others but with a mean longitudinal diameter of 22.4 μm, (Figure 1E-F) showed double labeling for both tracers. More specifically, an average of 30% of the analyzed neurons labeled by Dil projected to the mystacial pad, 60% labeled by True Blue projected to the masseter muscle, and the remaining 10% that were double labeled projected to both structures.

All neurons were localized in the medio-caudal part of the mesencephalic nucleus (from -9.68 to -10.04 mm posterior to bregma [18]) without any specific segregation by tracer label (Figure 1 drawings 1-3).

Electrophysiological findings
The spontaneous electrical activities of 65 TMnu neurons were studied and recorded during spontaneous movements of the macrovibrissae and under resting conditions.

As previously described in the methods section, we examined two patterns of vibrissae movement: rhythmic whisking and fan-like divarication. The trigeminal mesencephalic neurons located in the medio-caudal part of the nucleus (from -9.68 to -10.04 mm posterior to bregma [18]) responded to the stretching of the masseter muscle as well as to the spontaneous movement of the macrovibrissae. Thirty-nine neurons showed this pattern of response.

During fan-like divarication of the macrovibrissae, the TMne showed a significant increase in spontaneous firing rates compared to those under baseline conditions \( (P < 0.001) \). These fan-like movements were often repeated several times, with each cycle lasting several seconds. When movement stopped, TMne firing returned to baseline values. Figure 2 shows a representative trigeminal mesencephalic neuron that responded to this type of macrovibrissae movement as well as to stretching of the masseter muscle.

During rhythmic whisking, the TMne discharge pattern significantly changed, becoming less regular, with phasic bursts that corresponded to whisker motion. The burst frequency significantly increased or decreased in direct relation to the increase or decrease, respectively, of vibrissae displacement. Rhythmic whisking lasted up to several seconds (3-5 sec), and when vibrissae movement ceased, burst firing also stopped, and TMne activity reverted to a tonic firing pattern, slowly returning to the baseline firing rate (Figure 3). Figure 4 shows a representative TMne that responded to the masseter muscle stretch (Figure 4B) and to both types of macrovibrissae movements (Figure 4C-E).

Finally, some mesencephalic neurons responded only to the masseter stretch whereas others responded only to the spontaneous macrovibrissae movement. In
particular, we analyzed 14 neurons that responded only to the masseter stretch and 12 that responded only to the spontaneous movement of the macrovibrissae. Figure 5 shows the spontaneous electrical activity of a representative TMne that responded only to macrovibrissae movement (Figure 5B, C). The masseter muscle stretch, applied during fan-like movement of the macrovibrissae, induced only an evoked potential in the nucleus without changing the neuronal spiking activity (Figure 5C).

Discussion

A number of behavioral studies have shown that rats can use their macrovibrissae to locate an object in the environment and detect its position in relation to the position of its own head [19]. Signals from whisks contacting an object can be integrated to calculate the object’s radial distance [20,21] and the object features in the vertical plane through trigeminal ganglion neurons that provide vertical coordinates of the object [19]. The radial location of an object is thought to be encoded by
touch cells and whisking/touch cells of the trigeminal ganglion via changes in firing rates and/or spike counts [10,11,22], whereas it has been proposed that horizontal location is encoded using the same sensory signal but relying instead on spike timing rather than on spike counts. Alternatively, the spiking activity of whisking cells in the trigeminal ganglion may provide a reference signal [11] that encodes the spatial location of the whisker over time [23].

It has been proposed that rats may locate an object and define its coordinates by combining contact-evoked information from peripheral receptors with internally generated motor signals. Thus, to learn about an executed movement, sensory pathways may receive copies of the motor signal from the brainstem, zona incerta, cerebellum, and motor cortices [24-28]. Alternatively, the sensory pathway itself may carry afferent signals, through the whisking cells observed in the trigeminal ganglion and thalamic nuclei, regarding the whisker motion resulting from contacting an object [10,11,29] horizontal position may be encoded by neuronal spike counts [30-32]. Recently, Knutsen and Ahissar [33] reviewed evidence that the rodent vibrissal system encodes the three-dimensional location of objects by means of an orthogonal triple-code scheme. Neural recordings from primary sensory afferents, along with behavioral observations, demonstrate that the vertical coordinates of contacted objects are encoded by the
identity of activated afferents, horizontal coordinates by the timing of activation, and radial coordinates by the intensity of activation.

Thus, it is clear that encoding of whisker motion relies on a sophisticated mechanism that is essential for rat spatial orientation and perception. However, vibrissae motion apparently lacks a peripheral control mechanism, as it has been reported that pad muscles appear to operate without feedback control from muscle spindles [12]. It has been suggested that skin receptors may be involved in proprioception [34,35], as during vibrissae whisking, and coherent oscillatory spike activity has

Figure 3 Spontaneous electrical activity of a trigeminal mesencephalic neuron recorded under the resting condition of the vibrissae and during their spontaneous movement. (A) Frequency distribution histogram showing the spontaneous electrical activity of a trigeminal mesencephalic neuron (TMne) recorded while the macrovibrissae were under the resting condition (1); during their spontaneous movements, which lasted 3.83 min in this instance (2); and at the end of the movement, when the vibrissae returned to the resting condition (3). (B) The histogram shows the behavior of the same TMne recorded before (1), during (2), and after (3) vibrissae displacements during another episode of vibrissae whisking, which lasted 3.25 min in this case. In both histograms, "ON" and "OFF" indicate the start and the end, respectively, of the vibrissae displacement. The discharge frequency of the neuron (counts/bin) followed the increase or the decrease of the vibrissae displacement. Horizontal calibration: 50 sec, vertical calibrations: 10 counts/bin.
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Figure 4 Spontaneous electrical activity of a trigeminal mesencephalic neuron responsive to spontaneous macrovibrissae movement and masseter stretching. (A) Spontaneous electrical activity of a trigeminal mesencephalic neuron under basal conditions (i.e., with vibrissae in resting position). (B) The same activity during the masseter stretch. Arrows indicate the beginning (“on”) and the end (“off”) of muscle stretching. (C) The same activity during fan-like movement of the macrovibrissae bundle and (D, E) during rhythmic whisking movements at different frequencies. Calibrations: horizontal, indicated in picture; vertical 250 μV for all recordings.
been observed in the principal and spinal trigeminal nuclei [36,37,10,11]. A significant increase in 2-deoxyglucose uptake during vibrissae movements evoked by stimulation of the rat motor cortex has been described in discrete subcortical regions, including the trigeminal spinal nuclei, which have been suggested as providing proprioceptive input to the sensorimotor cortex as a result of vibrissae movement [38]. Rats may therefore infer the position of their vibrissae through sensory afferents projecting to the trigeminal sensitive nuclei.

We believe that the present findings provide novel insights regarding sensory control of the mystacial pad. Our results demonstrated that whisking neurons similar to those described in the trigeminal ganglion are located in the TMnu [39,40,10,11,41,42] and that spontaneous macrovibrissae movement produced significant changes in the spontaneous electrical activity of TMne. In particular, spiking activity tonically increased during fan-like movements of the vibrissae and showed phasic bursting during rhythmic whisking. Furthermore, the same neurons also responded to masseter muscle stretching, which is consistent with our neuroanatomical results showing the existence of double-labeled TMne simultaneously projecting to the mystacial pad and to the masseter muscle.

It seems appropriate to assign a proprioceptive function to the TMne terminals located in the mystacial pad in view of the following observations. During neuromuscular spindle activation, the TMne encodes spatial information related to mechanical distortion of masseter muscle cells [43,13,5]. Therefore, it is plausible that the TMnu neurons simultaneously innervating both the

Figure 5 Spontaneous electrical activity of a trigeminal mesencephalic neuron responsive only to spontaneous movement of the macrovibrissae bundle. (A) Spontaneous electrical activity of a trigeminal mesencephalic neuron under baseline conditions (i.e., with the vibrissae in resting position). (B) The same activity during fan-like movement of the macrovibrissae bundle and (C) during simultaneous stretching of the masseter muscle. The neuron did not respond to the masseter stretch, which only induced evoked field potentials in the nucleus. Arrows indicate the beginning (“on”) and the end (“off”) of the muscle stretching. Calibrations: horizontal 0.5 sec; vertical 250 μV.
masseter neuromuscular spindles and the mystacial pad may serve the same proprioceptive function with respect to the mystacial pad despite the absence of spindles in the latter. A similar double representation of primary sensory neurons in the trigeminal ganglion and the TMnu has already been described with regard to the periodontal ligament ([44,45]; see [46] for a review), although it has been believed for many years that both were mechanoreceptors. More recent studies have raised some doubts about this interpretation and concluded that periodontal ligament receptors with cell bodies in the TMnu may play a different functional role from at played by those with cell bodies in the TMnu [47]. In our opinion, these results on the sensory system of the macrovibrissae suggest that this functional hypothesis should be investigated.

Our findings also show that neurons in the TMnu responded only to spontaneous movement of the vibrissa in that mandibular stretching induced only remote potentials in the nucleus, demonstrating that TMne responses were not necessarily related to parallel jaw movements. Thus, vibrissae movement may induce mechanical distortion of TMne peripheral terminals with consequent stimulation. Experiments in progress are aimed at identifying the TMne peripheral terminals within the mystacial pad to verify whether they target its extrinsic and/or intrinsic muscles or the follicle-sinus complex. In any case, it appears that the TMnu is involved in encoding spatial information related to vibrissae movement. This hypothesis is further supported by the presence of labeled TMne innervating the mystacial pad as well as by our electrophysiological data, which characterize neurons that specifically encode vibrissal spatial information.

Limitations
It is known that, lacking in neuromuscular spindles, the whisker pad muscles appear to operate without their feedback control. The present results suggested that skin receptors, represented by peripheral axon terminals of the trigeminal mesencephalic nucleus, may be involved in sensory proprioception, allowing spatial coding regarding the executed movements of the vibrissae. With concern the sensorimotor loop, it has been proposed that hypoglossal nucleus may be involved in the motor control of the mystacial pad-masseter muscle complex in response to the sensory TMnu afferents from these same structures. However, this hypothesis must be evaluated to further clarify the complex sensory motor control of the oro-facial system.

Conclusions
Neuroanatomical findings showed that neurons labeled with both tracers were detected in the trigeminal mesencephalic nucleus (TMnu) following tracer injection into the mystacial pad and the ipsilateral masseter muscle. These observations are consistent with electrophysiological results showing that TMNe exhibited significant changes in firing rates during spontaneous movements of the macrovibrissae, which were characterized by fan-like divarication as well as by rhythmic whisking. On the other hand, when movement stopped, TMne firing returned to baseline values.

Taken together, these findings strongly support the idea that the TMnu includes first-order neurons specialized for conveying spatial information related to whisker location to trigeminal-cortical pathways.

In particular, results suggest that the rat brain may deduce higher-order information regarding executed movements of the vibrissae by combining touch information, carried by trigeminal ganglion neurons, with proprioceptive information, carried by mesencephalic whisking neurons. The central projection of the TMne primarily subserves masticatory reflexes, but projections to the other trigeminal nuclei, which may have a sensory function, may also exist [see [48] for a review]. The TMnu projects to the principal trigeminal nucleus [49–51] and therefore, its barrelettes, which receive touch information from the trigeminal ganglion neurons, may integrate this information with proprioceptive feedback received from TMne. Convergence of these sensory two modalities could provide a more detailed definition when reconstructing the surrounding environment. Furthermore, the important role of the cerebellum must also be considered with respect to the fine control of vibrissae movements and the detection of their spatial locations, which, in our opinion, cannot be restricted to merely transferring copies of motor signals to sensory pathways, as proposed by some authors [24–28]. Aside from the afferents from all trigeminal sensory nuclei [52], the cerebellar cortex receives significant afferents from the caudal part of the TMnu [52,53], the same TMnu portion that, as shown by the present results, also includes the cell bodies of whisker receptors. In this context, the TMnu may represent the crucial relay for the cerebellar proprioceptive perception of the vibrissae system.

An intriguing question raised by these findings relates to the motor circuitry by which the TMnu may induce reflex responses in the whisking-mastication muscle complex. To date, it has been thought that masticatory muscles possess only a proprioceptive reflex that is mediated by trigeminal motor neurons. However, it has been shown that axons of the TMne also target the ipsilateral hypoglossal nucleus, and proprioceptive afferents from the masseter muscle directly project to the hypoglossal nucleus [54–57]. Hypoglossal efferences have been also demonstrated in the extrinsic muscles of the
mystacial pad and in masseter neuromuscular spindles [2,3]. Therefore, the hypoglossal nucleus may be involved in the motor control of the mystacial pad-masseter muscle complex in response to the sensory TMinu afferents from these same structures. This hypothesis is currently being evaluated to further clarify the complex sensorimotor control of the oro-facial system.

List of abbreviations
TMinu: trigeminal mesencephalic nucleus; TMin: trigeminal mesencephalic neurons.
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