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Abstract

We study systematically the Lax description of the KdV hierarchy in terms of an operator which is the geometrical recursion operator. We formulate the Lax equation for the $n$-th flow, construct the Hamiltonians which lead to commuting flows. In this formulation, the recursion relation between the conserved quantities follows naturally. We give a simple and compact definition of all the Hamiltonian structures of the theory which are related through a power law.
1. Introduction

The reduction of the nonlinear KdV (Korteweg-de Vries) equation to a system of linear equations by Lax [1] gave a new impetus to the study of integrable models [2-4]. The generalization of the Lax pair to particular pseudo-differential operators has yielded many interesting results associated with various integrable systems including the Hamiltonian structures associated with such systems [5]. As an example, let us note that for the KdV hierarchy we have

\[ L = \partial^2 + \frac{1}{6} u \]
\[ B_n = a_n \left( L^{2n+1} \right)_+ \]

where \( a_n \) is a constant and \( (\quad)_\pm \) will refer to terms which contain non-negative (negative) powers of \( \partial \) in a pseudo-differential operator.

On the other hand, we know that the KdV hierarchy is bi-Hamiltonian [6] with the two Hamiltonian structures given by

\[ D_1 = \partial \]
\[ D_2 = \partial^3 + \frac{1}{3} (\partial u + u \partial) \]

In such a case, one can define a natural geometrical structure which is the recursion operator as the Lax operator, namely,

\[ R = D_2 D_1^{-1} = \partial^2 + \frac{1}{3} u + \frac{1}{3} \partial u \partial^{-1} \]
\[ = \partial^2 + \frac{2}{3} u + \frac{1}{3} u_x \partial^{-1} \]

This operator enjoys special properties, e.g., it relates the conserved quantities of the system recursively and gives all the Hamiltonian structures of the theory through a power relation. (This happens only if the Nijenhuis torsion tensor associated with \( R \) vanishes and this is known to be true for the KdV hierarchy [6-8].)

It would be, therefore, interesting to study the Lax formulation of the KdV hierarchy through this “geometrical” operator. We note that the formulation of the KdV equation as a Lax equation with the Lax operator \( R \) is well known [9-11]. However, a systematic study of the entire KdV hierarchy through this operator has not been undertaken to the
best of our knowledge – mainly because the standard formulation is quite successful. In this note, we undertake a systematic study of the Lax formulation of the KdV hierarchy in terms of the operator $R$ and bring out all its properties. In sec. 2, we recapitulate all the relevant facts about the KdV hierarchy. In sec. 3, we present our formulation with all its properties and present our conclusions in sec. 4.

2. Properties of the KdV Hierarchy

In this section, we will recapitulate briefly the relevant properties of the KdV hierarchy [3,5]. The $n$-th equation in the KdV hierarchy has the form ($u$ denotes the dynamical variable.)

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t_{n+1}} = K_{n+1}(u) = (D_1 G_{n+1}(u)) = (D_2 G_n(u)) \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \quad (4)$$

where $D_1$ and $D_2$ are the Hamiltonian structures defined in (2) and

$$G_n(u) = \frac{\delta H_n}{\delta u} \quad (5)$$

with $H_n$ representing the $n$-th conserved charge of the system. The first few conserved quantities have the explicit form

$$H_0 = 3 \int dx \, u$$
$$H_1 = \frac{1}{2} \int dx \, u^2$$
$$H_2 = \frac{1}{2} \int dx \left( \frac{1}{3} u^3 - u_x^2 \right) \quad (6)$$

From (4) it is clear that the Hamiltonians of the theory are related recursively through the recursion operator defined in (3) as (The bracket defines the action of the operators.)

$$\frac{\delta H_{n+1}}{\delta u} = \left( R^\dagger \frac{\delta H_n}{\delta u} \right) \quad (7)$$

where the formal adjoint of $R$ is defined [12] to be

$$R^\dagger = \partial^2 + \frac{1}{3} u + \frac{1}{3} \partial^{-1} u \partial$$
$$= \partial^2 + \frac{2}{3} u - \frac{1}{3} \partial^{-1} u_x \quad (8)$$
It is also clear from (2) and (4) that for any flow (any value of \( n \))

\[
K_n(u) = (\partial G_n(u))
\]

\[
K_{n+1} = (R K_n(u))
\]  

Similarly, it is known that the \( n \)-th Hamiltonian structure of the hierarchy is related to the first through the power relation

\[
D_n = R^{n-1}D_1 \quad n \geq 1
\]

Finally, let us note, without going into details [1,3,7,9], that if we define the Frechet derivative (these are operators)

\[
(K_{n+1})' \equiv M_{n+1}
\]

where \((F)'\) denotes the Frechet derivative of \( F \) (We use this notation to avoid confusion with \( F' \) which arises later and would denote derivative of \( F \) with respect to \( x \)), then we can show that

\[
\frac{\partial R}{\partial t_{n+1}} = [M_{n+1}, R]
\]

which also yields the equations of the KdV hierarchy. With all these basics, we are now ready to study systematically the Lax formulation of the KdV hierarchy with the recursion operator \( R \).

3. Properties of the Alternate Lax Formulation

Let us note here a few properties of the recursion operator \( R \). We have

\[
R^\dagger = \partial^2 + \frac{1}{3} u + \frac{1}{3} \partial^{-1} u \partial = \partial^{-1} R \partial
\]

\[
R^{1/2} = \partial + \frac{1}{3} u \partial^{-1} - \frac{1}{18} u^2 \partial^{-3} + \frac{1}{9} u u_x \partial^{-4} - \frac{1}{9} \left( \frac{1}{2} u_x^2 + u u_{xx} - \frac{1}{6} u^3 \right) \partial^{-5} + \ldots
\]

Here the subscript denotes a derivative with respect to the corresponding variable. It follows now that

\[
\text{Tr} R^{1/2} = \int dx \text{Res} R^{1/2} = \frac{1}{3} \int dx \ u
\]

\[
\text{Tr} R^{3/2} = \int dx \text{Res} R^{3/2} = \frac{1}{6} \int dx \ u^2
\]

\[
\text{Tr} R^{5/2} = \int dx \text{Res} R^{5/2} = \frac{5}{18} \int dx \left( \frac{1}{3} u^3 - u_x \right)
\]
where “Res” stands for the coefficient of the term $\partial^{-1}$ in the expression. It is clear that

these are nothing other than the first three Hamiltonians (up to normalization constants) given in (6). In fact, it is straightforward to see that the properly normalized Hamiltonians can be written as

$$H_n = \frac{9}{2n+1} \text{Tr} R^{\frac{2n+1}{2}} \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \quad (15)$$

To see this, let us note from equations (7) and (13) that

$$\frac{\delta H_n}{\delta u} = \left( R^{\dagger} \frac{\delta H_{n-1}}{\delta u} \right) = \left( (R^{\dagger})^n \frac{\delta H_0}{\delta u} \right)$$

$$= 9(R^{\dagger})^n \frac{\delta}{\delta u} \text{Tr} \left( R^{\dagger} \right)^{1/2} = \frac{9}{2n+1} \frac{\delta}{\delta u} \text{Tr} \left( R^{\dagger} \right)^{\frac{2n+1}{2}} \quad (16)$$

where we have used (13) as well as the cyclicity properties of the trace and which leads to

the identification in (15).

The expression for the Hamiltonians in (15), in terms of the Lax operator, is exactly

the same as the conventional case [5]. Therefore, it is natural to expect a Lax equation for

the dynamical equations of the conventional form

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial t_{n+1}} = \left[ \left( R^{\frac{2n+1}{2}} \right)_+, R \right] \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \quad (17)$$

This would, of course, ensure the commutativity of the different flows. However, let us

note that unlike the conventional Lax operator, $L$, the recursion operator contains both

positive and negative powers of $\partial$ and as such it is not clear, a priori, whether an equation

of the form (17) is even consistent. From the structure of $R$ in (3), we note that for (17) to

be consistent, we must have

$$\left( R^{\frac{2n+1}{2}} \right)_+ = \partial P \quad (18)$$

where $P$ contains only nonnegative powers of $\partial$. But it is not at all clear that this is

indeed the case. We note here that the time evolution of $R$ is given by (12) and from the

definitions in (9) and (11) we see that (these are operators)

$$M_{n+1} = \partial (G_{n+1})' \quad (19)$$
which has the right structure necessary for consistency. If we can show that

\[ M_{n+1} = \left( R_{n+1}^{2n+1} \right)_+ \]  \hspace{1cm} (20)

then, we would have proved the form of the Lax equation to coincide with (17). In fact, we can check explicitly from the form of \( M_n \) and \( R \) that

\[ M_1 = (R^{1/2})_+ \]
\[ M_2 = (R^{3/2})_+ \]  \hspace{1cm} (21)

However, (20) has to be shown in general for any \( n \) to prove (17).

Let us note that we can write [13]

\[ R_{2n+1}^{2n+1} = (R^{1/2})^{2n+1} = \sum_{i \leq 2n+1} \partial^i a_i(n) = \sum_{i \leq 2n+1} b_i(n) \partial^i \]  \hspace{1cm} (22)

where the coefficients \( a_i(n) \) and \( b_i(n) \) are related. In particular

\[ b_{-1}(n) = a_{-1}(n) \]
\[ b_{-2}(n) = a_{-2}(n) - a'_{-1}(n) \]
\[ b_{-3}(n) = a_{-3}(n) + a''_{-1}(n) - 2a'_{-2}(n) \]  \hspace{1cm} (23)

and so on. We also note that

\[ (R^{1/2})^\dagger = -\partial^{-1} R^{1/2} \partial \]  \hspace{1cm} (24)

which leads to

\[ \left( (R^{1/2})^{2n+1} \right)^\dagger \partial^{-1} = -\partial^{-1} (R^{1/2})^{2n+1} \]  \hspace{1cm} (25)

Using the representation (22) in (25), we obtain

\[ \sum_{i \leq 2n+1} (-1)^i a_i(n) \partial^{i-1} = - \sum_{i \leq 2n+1} \partial^{i-1} a_i(n) \]  \hspace{1cm} (26)

Comparing the coefficients of \( \partial^{-1} \) on both sides, we then get

\[ a_0(n) = -a_0(n) = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (27)

From the representation in (22) we now see that

\[ \left( R_{2n+1}^{2n+1} \right)_+ = \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} \partial^i a_i(n) = \partial P \]  \hspace{1cm} (28)
as required in (18).

Next, we note that
\[
\left( R^{2n+3} \right)^\dagger = R^\dagger \left( R^{2n+1} \right)^\dagger = \left( R^{2n+1} \right)^\dagger R^\dagger
\]  

(29)

which upon using the representation in (22) leads to two relations
\[
\sum_{i \leq 2n+3} (-1)^i a_i(n+1) \partial^i = \left( \partial^2 + \frac{2}{3} u - \frac{1}{3} \partial^{-1} u_x \right) \sum_{i \leq 2n+1} (-1)^i a_i(n) \partial^i
\]

\[
\sum_{i \leq 2n+3} (-1)^i a_i(n+1) \partial^i = \sum_{i \leq 2n+1} (-1)^i a_i(n) \partial^i \left( \partial^2 + \frac{2}{3} u - \frac{1}{3} \partial^{-1} u_x \right)
\]  

(30)

These equations, along with (27) give (after some algebra)
\[
a_{-2}(n) - a'_{-1}(n) = 0
\]

\[
a_{-3}(n) - 2a'_{-2}(n) + a''_{-1}(n) = -\frac{1}{3} \left( \partial^{-1} u_x a_{-1}(n) \right)
\]  

or

\[
b_{-2}(n) = 0
\]

\[
b_{-3}(n) = -\frac{1}{3} \left( \partial^{-1} u_x b_{-1}(n) \right)
\]  

Thus, this analysis shows that for any nonnegative integer, \( n \),
\[
R^{2n+1} = \left( R^{2n+1} \right)_+ + \left( R^{2n+1} \right)_-
\]

\[
\quad = \partial P(n) + b_{-1}(n) \partial^{-1} - \frac{1}{3} \left( \partial^{-1} u_x b_{-1}(n) \right) \partial^{-3} + \ldots
\]  

(32)

This has the consequence that for any \( n \), we have
\[
\text{Res } R^{2n+1} \partial = 0
\]

\[
\text{Res } \partial^{-1} \left( R^{2n+1} \right)_+ = \text{Res } \partial^{-1} R^{2n+1} = 0
\]

\[
\text{Res } R^{2n+1} \partial^2 = -\frac{1}{3} \left( \partial^{-1} u_x \text{Res } R^{2n+1} \right)
\]  

(33)

Given these relations, we can now easily show from the definition of the Hamiltonian in (15) that
\[
G_n = \frac{\delta H_n}{\delta u} = 3 \left( \text{Res } R^{2n+1} \right)
\]

\[
\text{Res } R^{2n-1} = \text{Res } RR^{2n-3} = \left( \partial^2 + \frac{2}{3} u - \frac{1}{3} \partial^{-1} u_x \right) \text{Res } R^{2n-3}
\]  

(34)
so that
\[
\frac{\delta H_n}{\delta u} = \left( R^1 \frac{\delta H_{n-1}}{\delta u} \right)
\]
(35)

Namely, the recursion relation of equation (7) is built into our definition of the Hamiltonian in (15). We note here that the conventional Lax operator, L, does not lead to the recursion relation in any simple way.

Next, let us note that using the properties in (33), we can show that
\[
\left( R^{2n+1}_2 \right)_+ = R \left( R^{2n-1}_2 \right)_+ + 2 \left( \partial \text{Res} R^{2n-1}_2 \right) + \left( \text{Res} R^{2n-1}_2 \right) \partial
\]
(36)

On the other hand, from the relations in (11) and (34), it can be shown in a straightforward manner that
\[
M_{n+1} = R M_n + 2 \left( \partial \text{Res} R^{2n-1}_2 \right) + \left( \text{Res} R^{2n-1}_2 \right) \partial
\]
(37)

Comparing the recursion relations (36) and (37) and noting the identification in (21), we conclude that for any nonnegative integer value of n
\[
M_{n+1} = \left( R^{2n+1}_2 \right)_+
\]
(38)

This proves that the n-th equation in the KdV hierarchy is given by the Lax equation
\[
\frac{\partial R}{\partial t_{n+1}} = \left[ \left( R^{2n+1}_2 \right)_+, R \right] \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots
\]
(39)
as suspected. The commutativity of the flows now follows in the standard fashion.

Next, we turn to the question of the Hamiltonian structures in this alternate Lax formulation of the KdV hierarchy. We note from the structure of R in (3) that we can define a dual to be of the form
\[
V = \partial^{-1} v_{-1} + \partial^{-2} v_{-2}
\]
(40)

However, since the coefficients of \( \partial^0 \) and \( \partial^{-1} \) in R are related, we expect \( v_{-1} \) and \( v_{-2} \) to be functionally related for consistency. This can be easily seen from the Lax equation
\[
\frac{\partial R}{\partial t_{n+1}} = \left[ \left( R^{2n+1}_2 \right)_+, R \right] = \left[ R, \left( R^{2n+1}_2 \right)_- \right]
\]
(41)
where we note from (22) and (31) that

\[
\left(R^{2n+1}_{2}\right)_{-} = \partial^{-1}a_{-1}(n) + \partial^{-2}a_{-2}(n) + \cdots
\]  

(42)

with

\[
a_{-1}(n) = \text{Res} R^{2n+1}_{2} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{\delta H_{n+1}}{\delta u}
\]

\[
a_{-2}(n) = a'_{-1}(n)
\]

(43)

Thus, consistency would require us to choose a dual of the form

\[
V = \partial^{-1}v + \partial^{-2}v_x
\]

(44)

A standard analysis (see ref. [14] for details) then shows that with the Hamiltonian structure defined as

\[
\{F_Q(R), F_V(R)\}_1 = \frac{2}{9} \text{Tr} R[V, Q]
\]

(45)

we can write (41) in the Hamiltonian form

\[
\frac{\partial F_Q(R)}{\partial t_{n+1}} = \{F_Q(R), H_{n+1}\}_1
\]

(46)

where we have defined the linear functionals as

\[
F_V(R) = \text{Tr} RV = \frac{2}{3} \int dx u(x)v(x)
\]

(47)

To obtain the higher order Hamiltonian structures, we note that if we use (35) in the standard analysis of the Lax equation, we can show that with the definition of the Hamiltonian structure

\[
\{F_Q(R), F_V(R)\}_n = \frac{2}{9} \text{Tr} R[(R^\dagger)^{n-1}V), Q] \quad n \geq 1
\]

(48)

the Lax equation (41) can be written in the Hamiltonian form

\[
\frac{\partial F_Q(R)}{\partial t_{n+1}} = \{F_Q(R), H_{n+1}\}_1 = \{F_Q(R), H_{n}\}_2 = \cdots = \{F_Q(R), H_{1}\}_{n+1} \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots
\]

(49)

Here we have defined the dual operator \((R^\dagger)^{n-1}V)\) as

\[
((R^\dagger)^{n-1}V) \equiv \partial^{-1}((R^\dagger)^{n-1}v) + \partial^{-2}((R^\dagger)^{n-1}v_x)
\]

(50)
The $n$-th structure constructed from (49) is easily seen to satisfy (using properties such as the first relation in (13))

$$D_n = R^{n-1}D_1 \quad n \geq 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (51)

as expected. We note that unlike the conventional Lax description, here we have a simple and compact definition of any Hamiltonian structure of the theory which leads directly to the power law relation (10) among them.

4. Conclusion

We have systematically studied the Lax formulation of the KdV hierarchy where we have used the natural, geometrical recursion operator as the Lax operator. By using special properties associated with this operator, we have shown that the $n$-th flow of the KdV hierarchy continues to be described in the standard Lax form in terms of the new Lax operator $R$. The Hamiltonians of the system are given by traces of odd half integer powers of this operator and this leads to the commutativity of various flows. However, in this formulation, the recursion relation between the conserved quantities follows in a simple manner. This is not the case in the description of the system in terms of the conventional Lax operator. We have also shown that in this alternate Lax description, all the Hamiltonian structures of the theory can be defined in a simple and compact way and lead to the power law relation among them. Once again, this is an improvement over the conventional Lax representation. Generalization of our study to other integrable systems remains an open question.
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