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ABSTRACT

Purpose The ‘Outcomes & Multi-morbidity In Type 2 Diabetes’ (OMIT) is an observational registry-based cohort of Norwegian patients with type 2 diabetes (T2D) established to study high-risk groups often omitted from randomised clinical trials.

Participants The OMIT cohort includes 57,572 patients with T2D identified via linkage of Norwegian Diabetes Register for Adults and the Rogaland-Oslo-Salten-Akershus-Hordaland study, both offering data on clinical patient characteristics and drug prescriptions. Subsequently these data are further linked to the Norwegian Prescription Database for dispensed medications, the Norwegian Population Register for data on death and migration, Statistics Norway for data on socioeconomic factors and ethnicity and the Norwegian Directorate of Health for data on the general practices and clinical procedures involved in the care of cohort patients. OMIT offers large samples for key high-risk patient groups: (1) young-onset diabetes (T2D at age <40 years) (n=6510), (2) elderly (age >75 years) (n=15,540), (3) non-Western ethnic minorities (n=9000) and (4) low socioeconomic status (n=20,500).

Findings to date On average, patient age and diabetes duration is 67.4±13.2 and 12.3±8.3 years, respectively, and mean HbA1c for the whole cohort through the study period is 7.6%±1.5% (59.4±16.3 mmol/mol), mean body mass index (BMI) and blood pressure is 30.2±5.9 kg/m² and 135±16.7/8±9.8 mm Hg, respectively. Prevalence of retinopathy, coronary heart disease and stroke is 10.1%, 21% and 6.7%, respectively.

Future plans The OMIT cohort features 5784 subjects with T2D in 2006, a number that has grown to 57,527 in 2019 and is expected to grow further via repeated linkages performed every third to fifth year. At the next wave of data collection, additional linkages to Norwegian Patient Registry and Norwegian Cause of Death Registry for data on registered diagnoses and causes of death, respectively, will be performed.

Strengths and limitations of this study

⇒ The Outcomes & Multi-morbidity In Type 2 diabetes (OMIT) cohort offers large sample size (between 2006 and 2019 including 57,527 patients) and over time growing regional representativeness.
⇒ OMIT is produced from multiple linkages of high-quality Norwegian data registries, with Norwegian Diabetes Register for Adults as the primary source to identify patients, covering a wide range of key data categories.
⇒ OMIT is expected to grow further and to offer even more exhaustive data via repeated linkages performed every third to fifth year.
⇒ OMIT may not be fully representative for Norwegian general practice, especially in the earlier years, as many of the patients then were included from hospital outpatient clinics and are likely to differ from patients cared for only in general practice.
⇒ There may be a risk of self-selection bias, especially for the earlier years, as those general practitioners willing to report data early on may have provided a higher level of care.

INTRODUCTION

Background

The prevalence of type 2 diabetes (T2D) is increasing worldwide, and so is the burden of related vascular complications and death. Diabetes is a major cause of cardiovascular disease, blindness, chronic kidney disease (CKD), diabetic foot ulcers and limb amputations. Beyond the immense reduction in quality of life, these complications lead to reduced labour market participation and inflict a considerable burden on the global economy.
Although timely and efficacious interventions can improve outcomes and reduce the economic burden, the evidence for current drug regimens is often limited in patients with greater needs as they are often omitted from clinical trials. Clinical guidelines from the European Association for the Study of Diabetes, the American Diabetes Association and Norwegian Directorate of Health provide guidance on how to manage diabetes treatments and disease control targets based on an individualised approach. However, a key weakness is the guidelines are based on results from trials where only 3.5%–35.7% of patients in daily clinical practice would have been eligible to participate. Therefore, the results from these trials may not be generalisable for the majority of patients, especially vulnerable groups such as (1) those with young onset diabetes (YOD) (T2D prior to age 40), (2) elderly above 75 years, (3) ethnic minorities and (4) patients with low socioeconomic status (SES). Moreover, guidelines provide only a vague guidance as to how diabetes care should be customised and organised in a general practice setting and fail to deliver clear recommendations on how to address a broad range of key barriers to good disease control for vulnerable groups. Consequently, we need more evidence to better understand the current unmet needs and evidence documenting the effectiveness, cost-effectiveness and safety of various treatments and clinical procedures in relation to how they may impact both disease control and harder disease outcomes in high-risk patients. As high-risk patients have proven difficult to include in prospective interventional trials, instead we see a great opportunity to employ non-interventional, observational data already present in various high-quality national registries.

The ‘Outcomes & Multi-morbidity in Type 2 Diabetes’ (OMIT) cohort, which is based on multiple linkages between various Norwegian population-based registries, was established to support non-interventional observational studies of high-risk patients with T2D treated in general practice, in outpatient hospital clinics, or by shared care. The first wave of the OMIT cohort focus primarily on the following key high-risk groups: (1) YOD - T2D prior to age 40, (2) elderly patients (>75 years of age), (3) non-western ethnic minorities (ie, non-western immigrants excluding Eastern European immigrants) and (4) low SES patients. Low SES defined here as having only primary level education. The OMIT-cohorte will also have data to support more refined SES definitions accounting for personal and household income, as well as employment status (for those in working age).

OMIT RESEARCH QUESTIONS
Currently, we have three broad research questions:
1. How does multimorbidity interact with diabetes development and care, and how is it related to intermediate (eg, HbA1c, low-density lipoprotein and/or blood pressure) and harder disease outcomes (eg, diabetes-specific complications and/or death)?
2. How do newer antidiabetic drugs perform in terms of real-life effectiveness (eg, as opposed to drug efficacy, which can be measured only in randomised clinical trials), cost-effectiveness, safety and adherence in high-risk groups?
3. What are the causes and effects of diabetes control variability on intermediate and harder disease outcomes and how is the organisation of diabetes care related to these outcomes?

To answer the overall research questions, we have planned several individual studies to investigate the following outcomes in key high-risk patient groups (ranked in descending order according to number of planned studies) (please see figure 1):

- Primary outcomes: (1) Multimorbidity, (2) diabetes-specific complications, (3) mortality/survival, (4) variability in disease control (eg, variability in relation to intermediate disease outcomes), (5) drug effectiveness and cost-effectiveness, (6) drug adherence and (7) YOD.

- Secondary outcomes: (1) Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) classification code based comorbidity, (2) mortality, (3) diabetes-specific complications, (4) drug adherence, (5) drug treatment cascade, (6) drug effectiveness and cost-effectiveness, (7) polypharmacy and risk of potentially adverse drug interactions, (8) variability in disease control (eg, variability in relation to intermediate disease outcomes), (9) YOD and (10) disability pension/sick leave.

COHORT DESCRIPTION

Data sources and categories
Norwegian Diabetes Register for Adults (NDR-A) and Rogaland-Oslo-Salten-Akershus-Hordaland (ROSA4): The NDR-A and ROSA4 databases include a wide array of demographic and clinical data. These data include year of birth, sex and regional location, diabetes variables including year of diagnosis and HbA1c measures, as well as blood pressure and lipid measurements and prescribed medications. We have also collected important vascular outcomes such as retinopathy, cardiovascular disease, nephropathy and markers of neuropathy that include evidence of foot ulcers, monofilament foot examinations and pulse testing. Table 1 provides an overview of some of the important clinical variables collected from NDR-A and ROSA4.

Statistics Norway (SSB): Data gathered from Statistics Norway mainly cover socioeconomic factors, including education, disability income, sick-leave and country of birth.

Norwegian Population Register (NPR): This register will provide detailed information on migration of the patients within the study, including dates for immigration and/or emigration and death.

Norwegian Prescription Database (NorPD): NorPD data represent all dispensed prescriptions by date and ATC-codes for each participant. The OMIT cohort also obtains date of death from NorPD.
Further, based on ATC codes we will construct an ATC-code based multimorbidity score, which will be validated based on its ability to predict 1-year and 5-year mortality. The score will then serve as an individual measure applicable as either an exposure or an outcome in subsequent research studies.

Norwegian Directorate of Health: The Norwegian Directorate of Health manages several national registers, including the medical claims register (KUHR) and the Health Personnel Register, from which we will receive data on general practitioners (GPs) and features of their clinical practices. This includes patient list size, waiting list size, patient turnover data and demographics. GP characteristics include specialist status and whether the GP is salaried or self-employed, as well as an overview of the procedure-related payments and diagnoses recorded by the GP, enabling analyses of health economic outcomes.

**Patient enrolment and sample size**

The eligibility criteria for the OMIT cohort are all T2D patients over 18 years. All patients are identified from the NDR-A or the ROSA 4 study, covering the time period from 2006 to 2019. Subsequently, these data sources are linked to the NorPD for dispensed medications, the NPR for data on death and migration, Statistics Norway for data on socioeconomic factors and ethnicity and the Norwegian Directorate of Health for data on the general practices and clinical procedures involved in the care of cohort patients.

**Figure 1** Provides an overview on how the OMIT project plan to study the defined three main research questions in three different main project streams (eg, (1) Burden of multimorbidity, (2) Real-life drug utilisation and performance and (3) Variability in disease control (quality of care)), where each of which will translate into several individual research subprojects/papers, each assessing individually defined exposures and groups of covariates in relation to individually defined primary and secondary outcomes. ACT, anatomical therapeutic chemical; GP, general practitioner; OMIT, Outcomes & Multi-morbidity in Type 2 Diabetes; SES, socioeconomic status; YOD, young-onset diabetes.

| Validation study | Projects | 1. Burden of multi-morbidity | 2. Real-life drug utilization & performance | 3. Variability in disease control (quality of care) |
|------------------|----------|----------------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Two Post.doc/Ph.D. projects | Sub-projects/ Key risk groups | All patients validation of ATC-MM-index | YOD patients | Elderly patients | Minority & low SES patients | YOD patients | Elderly patients | Minority & low SES patients | Organization & processes of care | YOD patients | Elderly patients | Minority & low SES patients |
| Key exposures (E) and/or covariates (C) | Diabetes complications | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ |
| | Young-onset diabetes (YOD)¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ |
| | Patient lifestyle & self-care | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ |
| | Variability in disease control | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ |
| | GP prescription pattern | E¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ |
| | Patient drug retentions by ATC-code | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ |
| | Coordination & load of care | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ |
| | Elderly | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ |
| | Clinical characteristics/practice type | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ |
| | Organization and processes of care | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ |
| | Multi-Morbidity | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ |
| | Patient ethnicity & socio-economy | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ |
| | Patient characteristics | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ |
| | Patient migration/emigration | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ |
| | GP characteristics | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ |
| | Disability pension & sick-leave | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ |
| | Patient drug adherence | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ |
| | Co-morbidity by category | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ |
| | Polypharmacy | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ |
| | Adverse drug interactions | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ | C¹ |

<30-24 research papers

| Number of paper(s) | 1 | 3 | 1-2 | 1-2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1-2 | 2 | 3 | 1-2 |

Source: OMIT Study Group

¹ ATC-MM index = ATC based Multi-Morbidity Index; P = Primary Outcome; S = Secondary Outcome; ¹Paper 1; ²Paper 2; ³Age and gender only; ⁴Age at diabetes onset ≥60 years; ⁵Age only (≥75 years)

Norwegian Directorate of Health for data on the general practices and clinical procedures involved in the care of cohort patients.

**Figure 2** shows the different cohort-data sources, the time periods covered with the first and second wave register linkages and the different data categories made available for the included cohort patients. For more details, please see chapter ‘Data sources and categories’ below. First wave linkages involves data until 31 December 2019 whereas second wave linkages will extend covered time period until 31 December 2022 and supplement the cohort with additional data from the Norwegian Patient Registry and Norwegian Cause of Death Registry.

The NDR-A was established in 2005 with the aim of improving the quality of treatment of people with diabetes in Norway. The registry has included outpatient hospital data since 2006, although reporting did not start until 2008. Primary care data have been included since 2009. Since then, the number of included patients in NDR-A has grown steadily. However, mainly due to requirements of written informed consent, enrolment was low in the early years, especially for people with T2D. In response, the ROSA 4 study was created to secure access to representative data on patients with diabetes from diverse clinical settings. ROSA4 is a population-based cross-sectional
Table 1  Total population characteristics and by separate data source (first wave linkages)

|                         | Total (n=57527) 2006–2019 | ROSA4 (n=10242) 2012–2014 | NDR-A primary care (n=42239) 2009–2019 | NDR-A hospital (n=13876) 2006–2019 |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                         | n (% missing)               | Mean (SD) or count (%)      | Mean (SD) or count (%)                 | n (% missing) Mean (SD) or count (%) |
| Sex—male                | 57 527 (0.0)                | 33 367 (58.0)               | 10 242 (0.0)                           | 42 239 (0.0)                     |
| Age—years               | 57 527 (0.0)                | 67.4 (13.2)                 | 10 242 (0.0)                           | 42 239 (0.0)                     |
| Smoking—ever            | 52 767 (8.3)                | 28 850 (54.7)               | 7541 (26.4)                            | 3215 (42.6)                      |
| Age at diagnosis—years  | 55 303 (3.9)                | 54.9 (12.9)                 | 9769 (4.6)                             | 56.0 (12.9)                      |
| Diabetes duration—years | 55 303 (3.9)                | 12.3 (8.3)                  | 9769 (4.6)                             | 13.6 (7.0)                       |
| HbA1c—%                 | 56 092 (2.5)                | 7.6 (1.5)                   | 9931 (3.0)                             | 7.2 (1.3)                        |
| BMI—kg/m²               | 49 983 (13.1)               | 30.2 (5.9)                  | 4662 (5.4)                             | 30.2 (6.0)                       |
| Systolic blood pressure—mm Hg | 52 801 (8.2) | 135.0 (16.1) | 8965 (12.5) | 135.0 (16.8) |
| Diastolic blood pressure—mm Hg | 52 800 (8.2) | 78.0 (9.8) | 8965 (12.5) | 78.0 (9.5) |
| Total cholesterol—mmol/L | 50 587 (12.1) | 4.6 (1.3) | 9055 (11.6) | 4.7 (1.2) |
| HDL cholesterol—mmol/L | 49 114 (14.6)               | 1.0 (0.4)                   | 8776 (14.3)                            | 1.0 (0.4)                        |
| LDL cholesterol—mmol/L | 49 208 (14.5)               | 2.7 (1.0)                   | 8586 (16.1)                            | 2.8 (1.0)                        |
| Triglycerides—mmol/L   | 41 715 (27.5)               | 2.4 (2.0)                   | 7335 (28.4)                            | 2.0 (1.8)                        |
| ACR—mg/g*               | 27 253 (52.6)               | 18.4 (75.4)                 | –                                       | 17 301 (59.0)                    |
| eGFR—mL/min/1.73²       | 53 142 (7.6)                | 78.7 (20.8)                 | 9701 (5.3)                             | 82.0 (24.3)                      |
| Retinopathy—yes         | 50 638 (12.0)               | 5138 (10.1)                 | 7570 (26.1)                            | 798 (10.5)                       |
| Coronary heart disease—yes | 54 152 (5.9) | 11 396 (21.0) | 10 232 (0.1) | 2260 (21.1) |
| Stroke—yes              | 53 913 (6.3)                | 355 (6.7)                   | 10 233 (0.1)                           | 758 (7.4)                        |
| Amputation—yes          | 52 332 (9.0)                | 489 (0.9)                   | 10 233 (0.1)                           | 76 (0.7)                         |
| Self-management course—completed | 47 467 (17.5) | 10 326 (21.6) | 2257 (78) | 639 (28.3) |

The reported prevalent complications, including self-management course, represent the status for each participant at the last follow-up.

NB: Patients may be registered in multiple sources and with multiple measurements. The total, however, indicates the overall mean for identified 57 527 individual patients. Presented means are based on the time-weighted average calculated for each patient for the entire follow-up period.

*ACR values in this table are only derived from the NDR-A (primary care and hospital) records, excluding ROSA4, due to differences in reporting; ACR, albumin creatinine ratio; BMI, body mass index; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HbA1c, glycosylated hemoglobin, type A1C; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; NDR-A, Norwegian Diabetes Register-Adult; ROSA4, Rogaland Hordaland Oslo Salten Akershus 4 Study.
survey conducted in 2015 (but based on data from the time period 2012–2014) that included 10 248 people with T2D identified by 282 GPs.15

As an extension of the past initiatives, the OMIT project has now combined data from the NDR-A and ROSA4. OMIT will carry forward previous research efforts focusing on the quality of care for people with T2D in Norway, although this time with a dedicated focus on high-risk groups often omitted from randomised clinical trials (hence the acronym OMIT).

Figure 3 shows the number of OMIT cohort patients by source and year, as well as patient enrolment over time organised by hospital and primary care sources. Figure 3 also shows that ROSA4 contributed data in the time-period 2012–2014, although some ROSA4 patients may also have been in the NDR-A prior to and after these years. The ROSA4 study comprises longitudinal laboratory and drug prescription data from 2012 to 2014 and cross-sectional data on other patient characteristics from 2014. When considering the overlap with the NDR-A, ROSA4 delivered an additional 4573 patients to the OMIT cohort. Going forward, we expect the cohort to grow further as more GPs report to the register. Recent changes in national regulations have made it possible for national health registers to apply for inclusion of patients without informed consent if patients have not proactively put forward a request to be excluded.16 In addition, The Norwegian Health Economics Administration (HELFO) has recently introduced a payments to GPs who submit data to the NDR-A. This is likely to accelerate GP reporting further. Consequently, the OMIT cohort is expected to grow to ~100 000 patients with T2D in 2022 (second wave linkages).

Between 2006 and 2019, a total of 57 527 individuals have been included in OMIT. Hereof 10 242 patients from the ROSA4 study, 42 239 from the NDR-A primary care database and 13 876 from the NDR-A hospital database. There is substantial overlap between the databases (figure 4). For the high-risk groups, the current cohort includes data for about 6500 YOD patients, 15 500 elderly (>75 years), about 9000 non-western ethnic minority patients, for example, predominantly South and East Asian or African ethinical background, and 20 500 patients with primary education only.

Figure 5 illustrates development in the national coverage of the cohort via a series of maps, identifying the different counties of Norway. The fill colour indicates the county’s total number of registered patients in OMIT, standardised to county population. In 2009, several counties had low coverage, such as the counties Sør-Trøndelag and Telemark, but this has improved over time to all counties having over 100 patients with T2D per 100 000 residents included in the OMIT cohort in 2019, and several counties have over 1000 patients per 100 000.

Although roughly one-third of GPs reported patients to the NDR-A in 2019, the OMIT-cohort at present only includes about 20% of the T2D population in Norway. However, all counties are represented, and thus it offers good reliability when studying populations that may differ regionally.
Patient follow-up
The first wave linkages included all data in NDR-A and ROSA4 up until the end of 2019. Patients are generally followed up at least once per year as one annual diabetes control is recommended as the minimum in general practice and GPs now receive a payment per patient for performing annual follow-up and reporting data to the NDR-A. In the current cohort, the median follow-up time between the first and final measures of glycosylated hemoglobin type A1c (HbA1c) is 2 years, with an IQR of 0–7 years and maximum of 14 years. The median number of visits is 6 (IQR 1–139). Despite having access to data from 2006 to 2009, the median follow-up reflects a large increase in first recordings in NDR-A in 2018 and 2019. To see clinical characteristics of current study participants, please see table 1 (for more details, please see Chapter ‘Findings to date’).

Future patient enrolment and sample sizes
Going forward, we expect the NDR-A, for example, the primary source for including patients into OMIT, to include recurring annual data for a growing proportion of the cohort-patients as more GPs are likely to report. Further, the number of recorded measurements per year, especially concerning HbA1c, blood pressure and drug prescriptions, are likely to increase as GPs tend to follow-up their patients more frequently as compared with the outpatient hospital clinics. As already stated, to increase the sample size of the cohort, we therefore plan to replicate the linkages with new waves of data every 3–5 years. Thus, we expect the NDR-A to grow, with 15 000 new patients, as observed during 2019, to about 30 000 new patients annually over the next 5 years. As a result, we expect the OMIT cohort to increase to approximately 100 000 and 150 000 patients in 2022 (second wave linkages) and 2025 (third wave linkages), respectively. In addition, already with the second wave, it is planned for the OMIT cohort to be further linked with the Norwegian Patient Registry and the Norwegian Cause of Death Registry. This will further strengthen the comprehensiveness of the cohort and prove solid ground for future studies focusing on mortality-related outcomes.

FINDINGS TO DATE
In 2019, the average age of the OMIT cohort was 67.4±13.2 years with an average T2D duration of 12.3±8.3 years (table 1). The mean HbA1c for the whole cohort through the study period was 7.6%±1.5% (59.4±16.3 mmol/mol), BMI was 30.2±5.9 kg/m² and blood pressure 135±16.1/78±9.8 mm Hg. The prevalence of retinopathy, coronary heart disease and stroke was 10.1%, 21% and 6.7%, respectively. Missing data varied greatly depending on the variable, with only 2.5% of the cohort missing HbA1c measures, while up to 52.6% were missing urinary albumin creatinine ratio (ACR).

Table 1 shows only the main diabetes-related variables by source, and how data from different sources can be mutually supplementary to reduce the impact of missing data obtained from a single source. For example, 14.4% were missing blood pressure measurements from NDR-A hospital records, but due to the higher coverage by the NDR-A primary care records, only 8.2% of the whole cohort have missing blood pressure readings. Even though urinary ACR currently has a high amount of missing data,
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We will have the advantage of a large sample size in addition to a broad range of other co-variables (including estimates of glomerular filtration rate (calculated by use of the CKD Epidemiology Collaboration equations using serum creatinine measures\(^1\)) which will allow imputation for missing ACR values.

The ROSA4 study, a seminal part of OMIT, has already published many studies related to T2D care in Norway, including findings that non-Western ethnic minorities are diagnosed with T2D at an earlier age than Westerners and are less likely to achieve target HbA\(_1c\) measures.\(^{19}\) An early study demonstrated modest improvements in diabetes-related risk markers from 2005 to 2014 in general practice, including HbA\(_1c\), blood pressure and lipids, but revealed suboptimal screening for microvascular complications, such as nephropathy.\(^{19}\) Another ROSA4 study indicated that point-of-care HbA\(_1c\) testing in general practice was linked to better glycaemic regulation in patients with T2D.\(^{20}\) Finally, ROSA4 has reported that GP adherence to recommended standard follow-up procedures in T2D was related to both clinic structure and workload.\(^{21}\)

Now that we have expanded the ROSA4 study, we are optimistic the OMIT cohort can further drive the work to enhance our knowledge about how we treat and care for patients with T2D in Norway. This work will position us to put forward concrete and tangible evidence-based recommendations on how diabetes care may be improved, with a special attention to high-risk patients.

**Strengths and limitations**

**Strengths**

The OMIT cohort is the first dedicated T2D cohort in Norway constructed from high-quality national registry data that includes patients from all counties, with increasing representativeness over time. Further, OMIT provides separate data from both outpatient clinics and general practices which enables studies of potential differences between these two patient populations. OMIT will support detailed analyses that will generate both nationally generalisable as well as internationally relevant findings. This surpasses the ability of studies such as HUNT and Tromsø Study, both of which are regionally restricted, rely partially on self-reported diabetes diagnoses and have a lower sample size of patients with T2D.\(^{21}\) Most OMIT data are longitudinal, which allows us to assess exposures at a time point prior to the defined outcomes. Also, OMIT will provide a basis for studying causes and effects of multi-morbidity rather than only traditional risk factors (eg, hypertension, hyperglycaemia, hyperlipidaemia).

We currently have an extensive dataset that provides a full description of clinical features, sociodemographics, economy and ethnicity, drug prescriptions and drug retrievals, delivered care and treatment processes, and organisational factors including collaborations between primary and specialist care. This provides a strong basis for assessing possible relations between defined exposures and outcomes and to correct for a multitude of confounders. In order to explore potential causal factors, we will draw Directed Acyclic Graphs prior to analyses to identify true confounders to be adjusted for, in line with statistical methods developed to support causal inference in observational data.

**Limitations**

In this first wave of linkages, a substantial proportion of patients in the cohort are included from hospital outpatient clinics, which are likely to differ from patients cared for only in general practice, a condition reflected by a mean HbA\(_1c\) for the whole cohort as high as 7.6% (59.4±16.3 mmol/mol). Thus, sampling bias may be
present and may require post hoc corrections such as stratification or inverse probability weighting. Further, there is risk that GPs willing to participate early on may have provided a higher level of care. This may also introduce bias, which could lower the external validity of primary care NDR-A data, particularly in the earlier years. Therefore, we plan to assess the overall representativeness of the NDR-A primary care data by use of the ROSA4 population, which is considered more representative for Norwegian general practice. Some variables have high levels of missing data, and follow-up time periods vary between data sources and within patients. Thus, it may also be relevant to perform analysis of potential selection bias due to self-selection and missing data.

Data availability statement

All OMIT data are stored and analysed on the platform for safe storage of sensitive data (TSD) at the University of Oslo until 2035. In support of collaborative research projects, access can be granted after approval by the OMIT-study group, the Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics and the data owner at the University of Oslo. Project requests can be directed to Esben Selmer Buhl at the Department of General Practice, Institute of Health and Society, University of Oslo (email: e.s.buhl@medisin.uio.no)

Further details

Funding

The first phase of linkages was funded by the University of Oslo. Currently, senior researchers and one postdoctoral position (Western Norway University of Applied Sciences (HVL), Norway) are funded by their home institutions (for senior researchers: see author affiliation list). The cohort is also funded by The Norwegian Diabetes Association (Diabetesforbundet) and the Norwegian Research Fund for General Practice (Allmenmedisinsk forskningsfond), with the latter also funding one PhD-student (University of Oslo (UiO), Norway).

Patient and public involvement

The OMIT-study has received a letter of endorsement from the Norwegian Diabetes Association in addition to financial support, and key senior researchers from the OMIT-study group, including professor Emeritus Anne Karen Jenum, over the years with the ROSA4-study have had a long-standing close collaboration with Norwegian Diabetes Association, which we aspire to continue with the OMIT-initiative.

To secure that the OMIT project will result in tangible and quantifiable improvements in the clinical care of high-risk patients with T2D, in alignment with patient preferences and identified unmet needs, we have the identified the following key strategic objectives and the related target stakeholder and tactics:

Strategic objective: strengthen GP diabetes education

- Target stakeholder: Norwegian Medical Association (Den Norske Legeforening) and Association of General Practitioners (Allmennelegeforeningen)—later on other Nordic Medical Associations—may also be relevant.
- Tactics: Ensure a dedicated class with focus on high-risk patients is incorporated into the annual pregradual and postgradual national courses in diabetes.

Strategic objective: improve clinical guidelines for key high-risk patients

- Target stakeholder: Norwegian guideline author group.
- Tactics: Translate key research findings into concrete suggestions on how to individualise guidelines for high-risk patients and on how different drug regimens may help solve key clinical issues such as non-adherence, therapeutic inertia and disease control variability in high-risk patients.

Strategic objective: secure high-risk patients access to innovation (eg, reimbursement)

- Target stakeholder: The Norwegian Medicines Agency (Legemiddelverket) and the Norwegian Directorate of Health (Helsedirektoratet).
- Tactics: Identify and share patient subgroups with most attractive cost–benefit ratio.

Strategic objective: enhance patient competences and empowerment

- Target stakeholder: Norwegian Diabetes Association (Diabetes Forbundet).
- Tactics: Norwegian Diabetes Association has written a letter of endorsement and granted financial resources to support the OMIT-initiative. Going forward, in close dialogue with the Norwegian Diabetes Association, the OMIT-study group will ensure key research findings and key takeaways with relevance for patients will be communicated to patients, using both the webpage and the membership magazine of Norwegian Diabetes Association.

Strategic objective: improve political willingness to invest in high-risk patients

- Target stakeholders: Public media, Ministry of Health and Care Services and other political key decision-makers.
- Tactics: Disseminate data and key takeaways related to current unmet needs in diabetes care but also communicate key conclusions regarding the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of various treatments and interventions to build public and political awareness of inequality in diabetes outcomes and use evidence to shift focus from short-term budget impact to impact in the long term on compiled disease life-cycle costs.

Strategic objective: ensure generated hypotheses are tested in prospective interventional studies

- Target stakeholders: Diabetes researchers in Norway and abroad and researchers involved in Norwegian general practice research network.
Tactics: Ensure scientific data are presented at the right patients get access to right treatments at the right time.

Author affiliations
1 Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Bergen, Norway
2 Department of General Practice, Institute of Health and Society, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway
3 Department of Health and Caring Sciences, Høgskulen på Vestlandet, Bergen, Norway
4 Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway
5 Department of Endocrinology, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway
6 Institute of Health and Society, Department of Health Management and Health Economics, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway
7 Department of Physiotherapy, Oslo Metropolitan University, Oslo, Norway
8 Norwegian Quality Improvement of Laboratory Examinations, Haraldsplass Deaconess Hospital, Bergen, Norway
9 Stavanger University Hospital, Stavanger, Norway
10 Department of Global Public Health and Primary Care, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway
11 Norwegian Diabetes Register for Adults, Norwegian Organisation for Quality Improvement of Laboratory Examinations (Noklus), Bergen, Norway
12 Department of Health and Caring Sciences, Western Norway University of Applied Sciences, Bergen, Norway

Correction notice This article has been corrected since it was published Online First. Author name has been updated.

Acknowledgements We would like to extend our gratitude to the medical and research staff that have supported the work of ROS4A and NDR-A, as well as the patients and doctors that have contributed data. We thank the Norwegian Diabetes Association (Diabetesforbundet) and for the Lillian and Werner Naess Scholarship and research fund for supporting authors associated with OMIT.

Contributors Together ESSB and AKJ have initiated and driven the work related to drafting the overall OMIT research protocol, to applying for local ethics committee approval and to the process of performing the register linkages. ESSB is the primary investigator (PI) and guarantor on the OMIT-cohort study and MMI has recently succeeded EAKJ in the role as co-PI. KN, TJB, TI, TPH, JC, SS and KFL have also provided substantial inputs to the overall OMIT-research protocol. After local ethics committee approval of the study-protocol, KRR, RMN and MMI have joined the OMIT-study group and co-PI. MMI has contributed substantially with the refinement of some of the research questions related to the protocol as well as to the strategic planning of the overall project. The first three Ph.D./Post.Doc.-research projects, out of six planned for the time being, originating from the protocol are in the process of being executed as a (1) PhD.-project focusing on complications in YOD patients (KLBt based at University of Oslo (UIO) with ESSB as supervisor), (2) a Post.Doc.-project assessing quality of care in elderly with T2D (RBS based at Western Norway University of Applied Sciences (HVL) with MM as supervisor) and (3) a Post.Doc.-project focusing on real-life drug effectiveness in YOD and elderly patients with T2D (candidate to be determined). RBF has worked with the initial analyses and quality check of the data files and has first authored this cohort paper. All other researchers mentioned above each have contributed significantly with the writing and review of this manuscript with last author and PI. ESSB taking the role as corresponding author.

Funding The first phase of linkages was funded by the University of Oslo. Currently, senior researchers and one postdoctoral position (Western Norway University of Applied Sciences (HVL), Norway) are funded by their home institutions (for senior researchers; see author affiliation list). The cohort is also funded by The Norwegian Diabetes Association (Diabetesforbundet) and the Norwegian Research Fund for General Practice (Allmenmedisinsk forskningsfond), with the latter also funding one PhD-student (University of Oslo (UIO), Norway).

Map disclaimer The depiction of boundaries on this map does not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of BMJ (or any member of its group) concerning the legal status of any country, territory, jurisdiction or area or of its authorities. This map is provided without any warranty of any kind, either express or implied.

Competing interests All authors have filled out and signed a “Disclosure of potential conflicts of interests”-form which can be reproduced upon request. ESSB is a previous employee of Novo Nordisk (2011-16) and has offered medical consulting and lectures to Novo Nordisk, Sanofi Aventis and Mundipharma. JG Cooper has received lecturing fees from Novo Nordisk, Sanofi Aventis, Eli Lilly, AstraZeneca, GSK. All other authors declare no conflicts of interests.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval The project has obtained ethical approval from Regional Committees for Medical Research Ethics - South East Norway (REC South East (Ref# 74012)) and is approved by the Data Protection Official/Officer at UIO. Data linkage began in mid-2020 for the years 2006–2019. After the final linkages of data from all registers, researchers will not in any way be able to identify individual patients. We have reduced the number of categories for variables (data minimisation) to secure a sufficient number in each cell so that patients cannot be retrospectively identified. Individual researchers will only have access to variables relevant for their research questions, and only after granted approval from REC South East.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement No data are stored and analysed on the platform for safe storage of sensitive data (TSD) at the University of Oslo until 2035. In support of collaborative research projects, access can be granted after approval by the OMIT-study group, the Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics and the data owner at the University of Oslo.

Project requests can be directed to Esben Selmer Buhl at the Department of General Practice, Institute of Health and Society, University of Oslo (email: e.s.buhl@medisin.uio.no)

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

Author note ESSB is a Senior author and primary investigator on the OMIT-cohort and acts as guarantor for the project.

ORCID iDs
Rachel B Forster http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7952-3570
Ragnhild B Strandberg http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0256-438X
Kåre Renn Richardsen http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5911-4876
Karianne Fjeld Levaas http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1658-0973
Roy Modini Nilsen http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0228-1550
Marjolein Memelink Iversen http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9954-171X
Esben Selmer Buhl http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7844-2357

REFERENCES
1 Rawshani A, Rawshani A, Franzén S, et al. Mortality and cardiovascular disease in type 1 and type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med Overseas Ed 2017;376:1407–18.
2 Flaxman SR, Bourne RRA, Resnikoff S, et al. Global causes of blindness and distance vision impairment 1990–2020: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Glob Health 2017;5:e1221–34.
3 Webster AC, Nagler EV, Morton RL, et al. Chronic kidney disease. The Lancet 2017;389:1238–52.
4 Iversen MM, Tell GS, Riise T, et al. History of foot ulcer increases mortality among individuals with diabetes: ten-year follow-up of the Nord-Tromsølag health study, Norway. Diabetes Care 2009;32:2193–9.

Forster RB, et al. BMJ Open 2022;12:e054840. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2021-054840

9
5 Unwin N. Epidemiology of lower extremity amputation in centres in Europe, North America and East Asia. *Br J Surg* 2000;87:328–37.
6 Pedron S, Emmert-Fees K, Laxy M, et al. The impact of diabetes on labour market participation: a systematic review of results and methods. *BMC Public Health* 2019;19:25.
7 Gæde P, Lund-Andersen H, Parving H-H, et al. Effect of a multifactorial intervention on mortality in type 2 diabetes. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2008;358:580–91.
8 Cosentino F, Grant PJ, Aboyans V, et al. 2019 ESC guidelines on diabetes, pre-diabetes, and cardiovascular diseases developed in collaboration with the EASD. *Eur Heart J* 2020;41:255–323.
9 Davies MJ, D’Alessio DA, Fradkin J, et al. Management of hyperglycaemia in type 2 diabetes, 2018. A consensus report by the American diabetes association (ADA) and the European association for the study of diabetes (EASD). *Diabetologia* 2018;61:2461–98.
10 Helsedepartementet. Nasjonal faglig retningslinje for diabetes, 2016. https://www.helsedepartementet.no/retningslinjer/diabetes
11 Mohan V, Cooper ME, Matthews DR, et al. The standard of care in type 2 diabetes: Re-evaluating the treatment paradigm. *Diabetes Ther* 2019;10:1–13.
12 Pun SPY, Coates V, Benzie IFF. Barriers to the self-care of type 2 diabetes from both patients’ and providers’ perspectives: literature review. *J Nurs Healthc Chronic Illn* 2009;1:4–19.
13 Pratt NL, Kerr M, Barratt JD, et al. The validity of the Rx-Risk comorbidity index using medicines mapped to the anatomical therapeutic chemical (ATC) classification system. *BMJ Open* 2018;8:e021122.
14 Cooper JG, Thue G, Claudi T, et al. The Norwegian diabetes register for adults – an overview of the first years. *Nor Epidemiol* 2013;23:29–34.
15 Bakke Åsne, Cooper JG, Thue G, et al. Type 2 diabetes in general practice in Norway 2005-2014: moderate improvements in risk factor control but still major gaps in complication screening. *BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care* 2017;5:e000459.
16 Helse og omsorgsdepartementet. Lov Om helseregistre OG behandling AV helseopplysninger (helseregisterloven), 2020. https://lovdata.no/dokument/NL/lov/2014-06-20-43
17 Helsedirektoratet. Nasjonal faglig retningslinje for diabetes, 2016. https://www.helsedirektoratet.no/retningslinjer/diabetes
11 Mohan V, Cooper ME, Matthews DR, et al. The standard of care in type 2 diabetes: Re-evaluating the treatment paradigm. *Diabetes Ther* 2019;10:1–13.
12 Pun SPY, Coates V, Benzie IFF. Barriers to the self-care of type 2 diabetes from both patients’ and providers’ perspectives: literature review. *J Nurs Healthc Chronic Illn* 2009;1:4–19.
13 Pratt NL, Kerr M, Barratt JD, et al. The validity of the Rx-Risk comorbidity index using medicines mapped to the anatomical therapeutic chemical (ATC) classification system. *BMJ Open* 2018;8:e021122.  
14 Cooper JG, Thue G, Claudi T, et al. The Norwegian diabetes register for adults – an overview of the first years. *Nor Epidemiol* 2013;23:29–34.
15 Bakke Åsne, Cooper JG, Thue G, et al. Type 2 diabetes in general practice in Norway 2005-2014: moderate improvements in risk factor control but still major gaps in complication screening. *BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care* 2017;5:e000459.
16 Helse og omsorgsdepartementet. Lov Om helseregistre OG behandling AV helseopplysninger (helseregisterloven), 2020. https://lovdata.no/dokument/NL/lov/2014-06-20-43
17 How many have diabetes in Norway in 2020?  
18 Tent H, Waanders F, Krikken JA, et al. Performance of MDRD study and CKD-EPI equations for long-term follow-up of nondiabetic patients with chronic kidney disease. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2012;27 Suppl 3:i89–95.
19 Tran AT, Berg TJ, Gjelsvik B, et al. Ethnic and gender differences in the management of type 2 diabetes: a cross-sectional study from Norwegian general practice. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2019;19:304.
20 Tollånes MC, Jenum AK, Berg TJ, et al. Availability and analytical quality of hemoglobin A1c point-of-care testing in general practitioners’ offices are associated with better glycemic control in type 2 diabetes. *Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine* 2020;58:1349–56.
21 Nøkleby K, Berg TJ, Mdala I, et al. Variation between general practitioners in type 2 diabetes processes of care. *Prim Care Diabetes* 2021;15:495–501.