An overview of the clinical use of ondansetron in preschool age children
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Abstract: The introduction of 5-HT3 receptor antagonist has revolutionized the prevention and treatment of nausea and vomiting in preschool aged children. These distressing symptoms, arising from multiple etiologies such as anesthesia, chemotherapy, and viral infection, are a major concern of patients and their families. Clinical research has demonstrated the antiemetic effectiveness of ondansetron in children. Although most of these studies focus primarily on preventing vomiting across the pediatric age group, they provide strong evidence for the use of ondansetron in preschool age children. For children at high risk, pediatric practice guidelines recommend ondansetron in conjunction with other antiemetics to achieve complete control of symptoms.
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Introduction

Nausea and vomiting are frequently experienced in infancy and preschool age childhood (Iacono et al 2005). These distressing symptoms are associated with common childhood occurrences such as car travel and gastroenteritis as well as postoperative recovery and cancer-related interventions. Once considered an inconvenient but unavoidable outcome of anesthesia, surgery, chemotherapy and radiation, nausea and vomiting are now recognized as the number one concern of patients and their families (Eberhart et al 2002; Wisselo et al 2004; Lee 2005). Recent investigations have revealed that distress, discomfort, and disruption associated with nausea and vomiting are significant even in the youngest of patients (Khalil et al 2005; Holdsworth 2006). Increased awareness, availability of 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT3) receptor antagonists, and combined anti-emetic strategies should decrease the incidence of nausea and vomiting in this overlooked age group.

The 5-HT3 receptor antagonist ondansetron, first heralded by Lancet in 1987, was rapidly recognized as having broad applicability with significant benefits (Anonymous 1987). Initially studied in patients receiving cis-platinum, ondansetron was soon shown to be effective in preventing radiation- and chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting (Green et al 1989). By the early 1990s, it was documented that ondansetron decreased postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) in adults and children (Leeser and Lip 1991; Litman et al 1994). In placebo-controlled and comparative studies of patients undergoing various oncologic therapies and surgical procedures, ondansetron had consistently performed in the prevention and treatment of vomiting. Unlike traditional antiemetics, ondansetron was not associated with pronounced adverse reactions such as electrocardiograph abnormalities, somnolence, dysphoria, dystonia, and confusion. Due to its favorable therapeutic index, ondansetron has become the most frequently prescribed antiemetic. For young children and other patients who cannot swallow pills,
ondansetron is also available in dissolving tablets and oral liquid formulations (Dupuis and Nathan 2003).

**Etiology**

Nausea and vomiting arises from multiple etiologies. Each involves a complex series of humoral and neurological interactions resulting in the stimulation of the vomiting center, a nucleus of cells in the medulla (Andrews and Hawthorn 1988). Neurotransmitters involved in this process include dopamine, acetylcholine, histamine, endorphins, serotonin, and neurokinin (NK1) (Pisters and Kris 1998). Receptors for these neurotransmitters are common in the vomiting center, chemoreceptor trigger zones (CTZ), and gastrointestinal (GI) tract. The vomiting center receives input from at least four sources: the CTZ, GI tract, vestibular apparatus, and cerebral cortex. The CTZ, which lie in the brain stem beneath the fourth ventricle, sense chemical abnormalities in the body and indirectly receive input from the vagus nerves. Distention and inflammation of the GI tract and other internal organs cause the release of emetogenic substances and stimulates the CTZ via the vagus. Vestibular apparatus plays a role in motion sickness while the cerebral cortex is instrumental in olfactory, emotional, and anticipatory nausea and vomiting.

It is postulated that ondansetron and other 5-HT3 receptor antagonists exerts its antiemetic action both peripherally (vagus and sympathetic nerves) and centrally (CTZ and vomiting center) by blocking stimulation of serotonin receptors (Pisters and Kris 1998).

**Postoperative nausea and vomiting**

PONV, which is defined as early (0–6 hours) and delayed (6–48 hours) has been extensively studied in children due to its frequency, predictability, and economic impact. It is postulated that the surgical and anesthesia-related etiology of PONV is multifactorial, arising from direct stimulation of the CTZ by anesthetic agents (ie, nitrous oxide, opioids, anticholinesterases, and volatile gases) indirect stimulation from vagal transmission secondary to surgical manipulation (Watcha and White 1992). Over 25 randomized control trials of ondansetron prophylaxis have been performed in infants and preschool aged children. In pediatric patients, PONV is the leading cause of delayed discharge and emergency readmission (Patel and Hannallah 1988; Gold et al 1989). These patients are 50%–75% more likely to develop PONV than their adult counter parts with an incidence of 34%–50% in school age children and 20% in preschool children (Cohen et al 1990). The highest incidence of PONV is associated with eye muscle surgery, tonsillectomy, adenoidectomy, orchidopexy, and hernia repair reported high as 88%, 70%, 50%, and 40%, respectively (Lerman 1992; Watcha and White 1992). Recent studies have demonstrated that the occurrence of PONV in 1- and 2-year-olds is higher than previously documented (Khalil et al 2005). Risk factors for PONV in children are summarized in Table 1. Over the last three decades numerous antiemetic medications have been studied, including droperidol, metoclopramide, dimenhydrinate, promethazine, prochlorperazine, scopolamine, and corticosteroids but the greatest success has been documented with 5-HT3 receptor antagonists, in general, and ondansetron, in particular.

Most pediatric PONV clinical trials have focused on early PONV, grouped preschool age children with school aged children, and reported emesis, not nausea, as their determinant factor. These data do not allow for easy analysis of antiemetic response to ondansetron in younger children and antinausea response in children overall. Other study limitations include a focus on prevention as opposed to treatment, high risk procedures such as strabismus repair and adenotonsillectomy, and combined therapy evaluations. For ease of comparison, results of these studies are discussed in this chapter as the number needed to treat (NNT) within a confidence intervals of 95% (CI 95%). NNT is the number of subjects required to be treated to result in one less patient having symptoms compared with those patients who received placebo. The smaller the NTT, the more effective is the medication. If not otherwise noted, NNT is reported for placebo-controlled studies.

Meta-analyses of studies examining PONV prophylaxis with ondansetron, 50–100 µg/kg intravenously, found the NNT to be 3 (CI 95% 2–5) for early PONV (Tramer et al 1997). In comparison, meta-analyses of early PONV prophylactic dexamethasone (following tonsillectomy), droperidol, and metoclopramide found the NNT to be approximately 4 (CI 95% 2–6), 5 (CI 95% 3–7), and 8 (CI 95% 5–28), respectively (Steward et al 2002; Henzi et al 1999, 2000).

**Table 1** Risk factors for postoperative nausea and vomiting in children

| Surgery – type | Use of volatile anesthetics |
| Surgery – duration | Use of nitrous oxide |
| History of PONV | Use of opioids |
| History of motion sickness | Use of reversal agents |

Adapted from Gan et al (2003).

**Abbreviations:** PONV, postoperative nausea and vomiting.
In children, other available 5-HT3 receptor antagonists have similar treatment profiles compared with ondansetron (Gan 2005).

Adverse drug reactions with ondansetron use are reported as being equal to or less than that reported in control (placebo) groups (Culy et al 2001). Less than 1% of children complain of headache or dizziness. Other reported adverse responses, which are rare but serious, include electrocardiography alteration involving sodium channels changes, central nervous changes such as dyskinesias, and elevated liver enzymes (Goodin and Cunningham 2002; Kovac 2002). In contrast, side-effects reported with metoclopramide such as headaches, high levels of sedation, and extrapyramidal symptoms occurred with statistically significant greater frequency (Domino et al 1999). Droperidol profile is less favorable secondary to more pronounced side-effects such as somnolence and a theoretical increased risk of cardiac arrhythmia in children.

Chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting

In patients of all ages, chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting (CINV) and radiation-induced nausea and vomiting (RINV) are cited as the most common fear and the leading cause of poor compliance (Coates et al 1983). The percentage of patients experiencing vomiting with specific chemotherapy agents ranges from greater than 90% with cisplatin (>50 mg/m²) to less than 10% with vincristine (Schnell 2003). High, moderate, and low risk emetogenic agents are presented in Table 2.

CINV is classified as acute, delayed, and anticipatory with severity directly related to emetogenic potential of anti-oncologic therapy and efficacy of prevention and treatment (Antonarakis and Hain 2005). Acute CINV, by definition, occurs within 24 hours after a chemotherapy dose. With modern antiemetic prophylaxis 76%–86% of children receiving a variety of emetogenic chemotherapy agents are emesis free (Foot and Hayes 1994; Kusnierczyk et al 2002). Delayed CINV occurs 24 hours or more after a chemotherapy dose and may persist for 5–7 days. The incidence, patterns, and risk factors in children are poorly understood but delayed CINV is more commonly associated with the use of cisplatin, carboplatin, and/or cyclophosphamide as well as vomiting during the acute phase (Dupuis and Nathan 2003). Anticipatory CINV occurs prior to the administration of chemotherapy and, like delayed CINV, appears linked to poor emetic control during previous chemotherapy treatments. In children, preschool and school age, the incidence of anticipatory CINV has been reported to range from 15% to 54%, depending on research methods and antiemetic prophylaxis history (Foot and Hayes 1994; Tyc et al 1997). These data reinforce the importance of achieving excellent emetic control in children receiving chemotherapy.

The advent of 5-HT3 receptor antagonists has markedly improved patient lives. The different available agents have shown uniform advantages over older antiemetics. Of these medications, ondansetron has been extensively studied for prophylaxis against and treatment of CINV. Most papers that examine prophylactic ondansetron use in children examine early CINV in clinical reports. There are fewer than 10 randomized, controlled studies available in this age group and only 1 with a placebo-treated control group. The remainder of the studies reviewed compare ondansetron with phenothiazine derivatives. Because chemotherapy protocols (medications, dosing, and cycles) and antiemetic regimens (dosing, route, and frequency) vary with different cancers and

| Table 2 Emetogenic potential of chemotherapy agents risk and (%) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| High risk (>90%) | Carmustine >250 mg/m² Cisplatin >50 mg/m² Cyclophosphamide >1.5 g/m² Dacarbazine >500 mg/m² |
| Cyclophosphamide >1.5 g/m² Dacarbazine >500 mg/m² |
| Lomustine >60 mg/m² Methyloretamine Streptozocin |
| High risk (60–90%) | Carmustine <250 mg/m² Cisplatin <50 mg/m² Cyclophosphamide 0.75–1.5 g/m² Dacarbazine <500 mg/m² |
| Dactinomycin >1.5 mg/m² Doxorubicin >60 mg/m² Mitoxantrone >15 mg/m² |
| Methotrexate >1000 mg/m² |
| High risk (30–60%) | Cyclophosphamide <0.75 g/m² Dactinomycin ≤1.5 mg/m² Daunorubicin Doxorubicin 20–60 mg/m² |
| Epirubicin ≤90 mg/m² Idarubicin Ifosfamide |
| Methotrexate 0.25–1 g/m² |
| Moderate risk (10–30%) | Asparaginase Cytarabine ≤1 g/m² Doxorubicin <20 mg/m² Docetaxel |
| Etoposide Fluorouracil ≤1 g/m² Methotrexate 50–250 mg/m² Mitomycin |
| Low risk (<10%) | Bleomycin Busulfan Chlorambucil Fludarabine |
| Methotrexate ≤50 mg/m² Thioguanine Vinblastine Vinruridine |

Adapted from Hesketh et al (1997).
treatment centers, comparison among studies is problematic. In addition, placebo-controlled trials performed solely in infants and preschool aged children are unavailable.

Keeping these limitations in mind, some data can be generalized for the use of 5-HT3 receptor antagonists in preventing and treating CINV. Children 18 months to 15 years of age receiving highly emetogenic agents have been described in a systematic review as having anywhere from 25% to 100% complete or major control of acute vomiting with ondansetron therapy (Antonarakis et al 2004). Holdsworth et al (1995) compared ondansetron treated and non-treated pediatric patients receiving carmustine, etoposide/cytarabine or cyclophosphamide and determined the NNT as 3 (CI 95% 2–7). In a similar age group receiving high dose (4.5 mg/kg) ondansetron, Parker and colleagues (2001) found the NNT to prevent CINV from intrathecal methotrexate to be 2.

In comparative trials with traditional antiemetics, ondansetron has been shown to have greater efficacy. In one study, children 18 months to 15 years of age receiving an intensification chemotherapy regimen for acute lymphocytic leukemia were randomized to receive either ondansetron (3–5 mg/m²) or metoclopramide plus dexamethasone and procyclidine. Complete or major control of vomiting was achieved in 93% and 33% of children in each group, respectively (Dick et al 1995). In a similar study of children receiving emetogenic chemotherapy, Koseoglu and colleagues (1998) also demonstrated that ondansetron produced superior relief of symptoms. In randomized, controlled studies of 5-HT3 receptor antagonists, ondansetron appeared to be equivalent to granisetron but more effective than tropisetron (Stiakaki et al 1999; Jaing et al 2004). Granisetron has a longer duration of action, which offers advantages in terms of dosing schedules.

Studies of radiation-induced nausea vomiting in young children are limited. However, in combined studies with older children superior control of emesis, compared with phenothiazine derivatives, was demonstrated with ondansetron alone (Jurgens and McQuade 1992; Tramer et al 1998) and in combination with dexamethasone (Roberts and Priestman 1993; Kusnierczyk et al 2002).

### Gastroenteritis

Gastroenteritis remains a major cause of morbidity and hospitalization in industrialized nations. In the United States, children younger than 5 years have 1 to 2 infections per year, resulting in 1.5 million medical consultations, and 200,000 hospitalizations (Pashar et al 1998). Hospitalizations are due to diarrhea and dehydration. Control of vomiting, in order to establish oral rehydration, can be important in counteracting these problems. However, in 1996 the American Academy of Pediatrics reported a consensus opinion that antimetic medications were not indicated in children with gastroenteritis. They advised caution in prescribing these agents secondary to risk for adverse side-effects (Anonymous 1996).

Randomized, placebo-controlled trials have since demonstrated the efficacy and safety of ondansetron in the treatment of established nausea and vomiting associated with gastroenteritis. Reeves et al (2002) studied 107 children 3 months to 22 years of age (mean 5.5 years) and determined a NNT of 5.3 (NA) for cessation of vomiting and 6.25 to prevent hospital admission. In children 6 months to 12 years of age, Ramsook et al (2002) demonstrated a NNT of 4.5 (CI 95% 1.2–5.4) for cessation of vomiting and of 8.3 to avoid administration of intravenous fluids. Although oral rehydration therapy remains the primary and essential focus, the Center of Disease Control and the American Academy of Pediatrics now recognize that ondansetron 100–150 µg/kg “can be effective in decreasing vomiting and limiting hospital admission” in gastroenteritis (Anonymous 2004; King et al 2003).

### Other causes of nausea and vomiting

The incidence of opioid induced nausea and vomiting has been reported to range from 20% to 40% depending on medication and patient population (Campora et al 1991; Zun et al 2002). High dose ondansetron used in (8–16 mg orally or 1.5 mg/kg intravenously) has shown limited effectiveness in patients receiving neuraxial morphine, a mixture of oral opioid preparations, and morphine patient-controlled analgesia (PCA) with NNT of 3 (CI 95% 2–11), 4.3 (NA), and 3 (CI 95% 2–5), respectively (Alexander et al 1995; Sussman et al 1999; Tzeng et al 2003). In children, 2–10 years of age, receiving oral transmucosal fentanyl citrate with a PONV of 45%, Binstock and colleagues (2004) were not able to demonstrate a significant antiemetic effect with ondansetron. Similarly, Munro et al (2002) found no response in children, 5–13 years of age, on morphine PCA.

Motion sickness is a common disorder related to confusion between sensory and cognitive functions. Motion sickness affects greater than 50% of children traveling in cars and planes. Symptoms tend to be most severe between 4 and 10 years of age (Setness and Van Beusekom 2004). Although there are no published studies examining the use of ondansetron for this disorder, motion sickness is commonly used as a predictor of PONV and CINV. A meta-analysis performed by
Table 3 Guidelines for prophylactic therapy for children at risk for nausea and vomiting

| Risk category | PONV<sup>a</sup> | CINV<sup>b,c</sup> | RINV<sup>c</sup> |
|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| High          | 5-HT3 rcpt Antagonists plus corticosteroids plus drug of another class<sup>d</sup> | 5-HT3 rcpt Antagonists plus corticosteroids plus drug of another class<sup>d</sup> | Corticosteroids plus 5-HT3 rcpt antagonists |
| Moderate      | 5-HT3 rcpt Antagonists plus corticosteroids or drug of another class<sup>d</sup> | 5-HT3 rcpt Antagonists plus corticosteroids or drug of another class<sup>d</sup> | Corticosteroids or 5-HT3 rcpt antagonists |
| Low           | No prophylaxis | Corticosteroids or 5-HT3 rcpt antagonists | No prophylaxis |

<sup>a</sup>Gan et al (2003);<sup>b</sup>Dupuis and Nathan (2003);<sup>c</sup>Aapro (2005).

Notes: Dopamine antagonists (metoclopramide, droperidol, and prochlorpromazine) or substance P/neurokinin 1 (NK1) receptor antagonist (aprepitant) pending pediatric studies.

Abbreviations: CINV, chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting; PONV, postoperative nausea and vomiting; rcpt, receptor; RINV, radiation-induced nausea and vomiting.

Figueredo and Canosa (1999) detected a NNT of 3.4 (CI 95% 2.1–5.4) and 6.4 (NA) for prophylactic ondansetron (4 milligrams, intravenously) to prevent PONV in adult patients with a positive and negative history of motion sickness. This finding suggests a role for ondansetron in preventing and/or reducing the symptoms of motion sickness.

Combination therapy

Contemporary practice guidelines, based on extensive review of randomized control studies, for children at high risk for PONV and CINV recommend ondansetron in conjunction with other antiemetics (Culy et al 2001; Dupuis and Nathan 2003; Gan et al 2003). Combination therapy is superior to single-drug prophylaxis for PONV and CINV (Alvarez et al 1995; Habib et al 2001). Efficacy can be optimized by taking advantage of the different medications’ mechanisms of action. In a particular, ondansetron in combination with corticosteroids has been shown to be the optimal treatment for PONV associated with tonsillectomies and highly emetogenic chemotherapy agents. Dosing regimes still need to be established but current literature contains the following recommendations for PONV: 150–500 µg (maximum of 10–12 mg) for dexamethasone, 50–75 µg/kg (maximum of 1.25 mg) for droperidol, 500 µg/kg for dimenhydrinate and 70 µg/kg for perphenazine (Gan et al 2003). The dose of ondansetron doses (100–150 µg/kg) may be reduced when used in combination with another antiemetic (Tramer 2001). Triple combination regimens are recommended for patients at high risk for PONV and CINV. Dosing for antiemetic prophylaxis for CINV include ondansetron 3–5 mg/m² and dexamethasone 8–16 mg/m² (Curly et al 2001). Guidelines for prophylactic therapy for children at risk for nausea and vomiting, based on available data from randomized controlled studies and expert consensus, are summarized in Table 3.

Conclusions

Ondansetron has shown good efficacy in the prevention and treatment of nausea and vomiting in young children with exposure to emetogenic agents, which include anesthetic agents, oncologic therapeutics, or viral infections. In children undergoing surgery associated with a high risk of PONV, ondansetron demonstrated superior prophylactic antiemetic efficacy compared with placebo, droperidol, and metoclopramide. It has been demonstrated that ondansetron is relatively free of adverse events. When combined with dexamethasone, ondansetron has been shown to establish almost complete control of emesis. Depending on risk factors for nausea and vomiting, ondansetron, alone or in combination, is the treatment of choice for young children undergoing surgery and chemotherapy.
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