Impacts of Intraoperative Flow on Graft Patency of Sequential and Individual Saphenous Vein Grafts
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Objective: We sought to delineate the predictor of saphenous vein graft (SVG) failure and to evaluate the impact of sequential grafting of SVG on graft flow as the significant predictor of patency.

Methods: Angiograms and clinical records of 439 patients who underwent coronary artery bypass grafting with aortocoronary SVG were reviewed. Of these, 708 distal anastomoses were created by 480 SVGs. Of 349 patients who underwent isolated coronary artery bypass grafting, operation was performed with an off-pump technique in 347 patients (99%). For 90 patients, a combined procedure on cardiopulmonary bypass was performed. A postoperative angiography was performed in 230 SVGs for clinical reasons. Insufficient flow (IF) was defined as a graft flow of 20 mL/min or less, measured by transit-time Doppler flowmetry during operation.

Results: In 480 SVGs, 44 (9.2%) presented IF, and 24 SVGs presented partial or total occlusion. Six of the nine failed individual SVG had IF, whereas none of the failed sequential SVG was associated with IF. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses demonstrated that IF (P = 0.002; odds ratio, 6.63) and sequential grafting (P = 0.004; odds ratio, 2.51) were significantly correlated with a failure of the SVG. The patency rate of sequential SVG to the most distal target was 78.93 (83.9%), which was significantly lower than 9/139 (93.5%) of the individual SVG (P = 0.02) and 7/113 (93.8%) of the sequential SVG to proximal targets (P = 0.02).

Conclusions: When both targets seem to have sufficient demand, avoidance of sequential grafting would be reasonable. Moreover, the important target should be grafted by individual grafting or sequential proximal anastomosis.
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TABLE 1. Patients’ Baseline Characteristics

| Characteristic                      | Value |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| No. patients                        | 439   |
| Age, y                              | 71 ± 8|
| Male/female                         | 313/126|
| CABG only                           | 349 (79%)|
| Hypertension                        | 363 (83%)|
| Hyperlipidemia                      | 258 (59%)|
| Diabetes                            | 219 (50%)|
| Chronic renal dysfunction (creatinine > 2.0 mg/dL) | 87 (20%)|
| History of myocardial infarction     | 137 (31%)|
| History of coronary intervention     | 118 (27%)|
| Diastolic dimension of LV, mm        | 49.6 ± 8.2|
| Ejection fraction of LV, %           | 52.4 ± 16.6|
| Targets per patient                 | 3.3 ± 1.1|
| Total distal anastomoses            | 1430  |
| Saphenous vein                      | 708   |
| Others                              | 722   |
| Follow-up period, mo                | 14.7 ± 17.5|

CABG indicates coronary artery bypass grafting; LV, left ventricle.

computed tomography, and when calcification was significant, partial clamping was avoided, and a sealing device such as Enclose II (Novare Surgical Systems, Cupertino, CA USA), Heartstring (MAQUET, San Jose, CA USA), or an anastomotic device such as PAS-Port (Cardia Inc, Redwood City, CA USA) was used for proximal anastomosis. The graft flow was measured at the proximal portion by a transit-time Doppler flowmetry after completion of anastomoses or a cardiopulmonary bypass at approximately 100 to 120 mm Hg of systolic arterial pressure. In our institution, early postoperative catheterization or computed tomography angiography was performed routinely, except for patients with a considerable risk of angiography, such as renal failure, calcification of the aorta, history of stroke, postoperative complications, or those older than 80 years. Computed tomography was first considered at the outpatient clinic for patients without renal disease. During the follow-up period, angiography was performed for clinical reasons, such as chest pain or ischemic finding on electrocardiogram or scintigraphy.

We examined the correlations between detailed preoperative and operative factors, which included hypertension, hyperlipidemia, diabetes, chronic kidney disease (which was defined as creatinine > 2.0 mg/dL), history of myocardial infarction in the target region, percutaneous coronary intervention in the target region, calcification of ascending aorta, the number of distal anastomoses for an SVG in sequential grafting, the number of grafted territories (one-vessel, two-vessel, and three-vessel territories), the use of cardiopulmonary bypass, as well as postoperative angiographic findings and intraoperative flow in the graft flow. The mean (SD) number of distal anastomoses was 3.3 (1.1), and the mean follow-up period was 14.7 (17.5) months. The mean (SD) interval period between CABG and angiographic assessment with computed tomography or catheterization was 3.4 (9.4) months. Insufficient flow (IF) was defined as an intraoperative graft flow of 20 mL/min or less. Our definition was not to aim at detection of technical failure but to assess the increased risk of graft failure. The value was considered feasible because IF significantly predicted future graft nonfunction in our previous study. Graft failure was defined as partial or total occlusion of sequential and individual SVG. Patent was defined as luminal continuity from the aorta to the target branch.

Statistical Analyses

The continuous variables are expressed as mean (SD). The cumulative rate was determined by the Kaplan-Meier method, and the data of two independent groups were compared by a χ² test. Logistic regression analysis was used to examine the significance of the clinical, angiographic, and operative variables in predicting graft failure. Longitudinal data were estimated by the Kaplan-Meier method. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 18.0 software (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL USA). The differences in the outcomes were considered statistically significant when the P value was less than 0.05.

RESULTS

There were 44/480 cases of IF (9.2%) at the intraoperative flowmetry. The rate of IF of individual SVG was 14.0% (42/300), which was significantly higher than that of sequential SVG (1.1%, 2/180) (P < .0001) (Table 2).

A postoperative catheterization or computed tomography angiography was performed for 230 SVGs, which included 142 individual SVGs and 88 sequential SVGs (Table 2). Of these, 24/230 (10.4%) presented failure of SVG. The rate of failure of sequential SVG was 17.0% (15/88), which was significantly higher than that of individual SVG (6.3%, 9/142) (P = .01).

The patency rate of sequential SVG to the most distal target was 78/93 (83.9%), which was significantly lower than 9/139 (93.5%) of the individual SVG (P = .02) and 7/113 (93.8%) of the SVG to sequential proximal targets (P = .02) (Table 3).

Graft Failure and Intraoperative Flow in Sequential and Individual Grafting

There were 24/230 (10.4%) failed SVGs. Of the 24 failed SVGs, 9 were found in the individual grafting and 6/9 (66.7%) presented IF during the operation. Of the 15 failed sequential SVGs, none was associated with IF (Fig. 1). Five were totally occluded, whereas only the distal portion occluded in 10 patients.

TABLE 2. Characteristics and Angiographic Results of Saphenous Vein Grafts

| Characteristic                      | Value |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| No. grafts                          | 480   |
| Total distal anastomoses            | 708   |
| Distal anastomoses per graft        |       |
| 1 (individual)                      | 300 (63%)|
| 2 (sequential)                     | 180 (38%)|
| Calcification of ascending aorta    | 95/480 (20%)|
| Intraoperative graft flow, mL/min   |       |
| Insufficient flow (<20 mL/min)      | 44/480 (9.2%)|
| Individual                          | 42/300 (14.0%)|
| Sequential                          | 2/180 (1.1%)|
| Angiography performed              | 230/480 (48%)|
| Patent                              | 206/230 (90%)|
| Graft failure (partial or total occlusion) | 24/230 (10%)|
| Occluded individual SVG             | 9/142 (6.3%)|
| Totally occluded sequential SVG     | 5/88 (5.7%)|
| Partially occluded sequential SVG   | 10/88 (11.4%)|
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Predictors of Graft Failure: Analyses for 230 SVGs

Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses for 230 SVGs demonstrated that IF ($P = 0.002$; odds ratio, 6.63) and the number of distal anastomoses in a sequential fashion ($P = 0.004$; odds ratio, 2.51) significantly were correlated with failure (Table 4).

**DISCUSSION**

Arterial grafts have been considered as the reliable conduit in CABG. In fact, even for the right coronary artery, previous reports have shown that the use of an internal thoracic or gastroepiploic artery provided improved clinical outcomes. Recently, limitations of arterial grafts have also been recognized. First, the use of an arterial graft increases the risk of perioperative complications, such as mediastinitis. Second, patency of the arterial graft to the moderately stenotic target was not favorable, especially for the in situ gastroepiploic artery. Saphenous vein grafts from the ascending aorta are advantageous, in terms of capacity of high intraluminal pressure and absence of critical complications associated with harvesting the graft. Jeong et al have described that moderate stenosis was predictive of graft failure in arterial grafts, but not in SVG. There was no significant difference in the clinical outcomes and graft patency in the gastroepiploic artery and SVG for the right coronary artery, but the rate of functioning graft was significantly higher in SVG. Furthermore, Guru et al have reported that there was no obvious advantage in the use of three arterial grafts versus two arterial grafts. When an arterial graft may not be advantageous, SVG can be a reasonable option.

Several studies described that, for a venous graft, blood flow is one of the important parameters for predicting graft patency. As reported by Di Giammarco et al, reduced graft flow during operation was a significant predictor of venous graft failure within a year. In addition, Tokuda et al have reported a negative impact of reduced intraoperative flow on midterm patency of bypass grafts, which were angiographically patent, immediately after an operation.

**TABLE 3. Characteristics and Angiographic Results of SVG**

| Targets of SVG          | 708 |
|-------------------------|-----|
| Left anterior descending| 17  |
| Diagonal                | 44  |
| Circumflex artery       | 373 |
| Right coronary artery   | 274 |
| Anatomotic procedure    |     |
| Off-pump                | 565 |
| On-pump                 | 143 |
| Anatomotic fashion      |     |
| End to side             | 480 |
| Side to side            | 228 |
| History of myocardial infarction in the target vessel | 75/708 (10.6%) |
| History of percutaneous intervention in the target vessel | 85/708 (12.0%) |
| Angiography performed   | 345/708 (49%) |
| Occlusion               | 31/345 (10%) |
| Individual              | 9/139 (6.5%) |
| Sequential proximal (side to side) | 7/113 (6.2%) |
| Sequential distal (end to side) | 15/93 (16.1%) |

SVG indicates saphenous vein graft.

**TABLE 4. Predictors of Failure: Analyses of 230 SVGs**

| Variables                        | Odds Ratio | 95% CI    | P  |
|----------------------------------|------------|-----------|----|
| Univariate analysis              |            |           |    |
| Insufficient flow                | 3.46       | 1.22–9.83 | 0.02|
| Calcification of ascending aorta | 0.91       | 0.29–2.83 | 0.87|
| Grafted territories              | 4.06       | 0.98–16.90| 0.054|
| No. distal anastomoses           | 1.90       | 1.10–3.27 | 0.02|
| Female (vs male)                 | 1.31       | 0.53–3.22 | 0.56|
| History of myocardial infarction | 1.25       | 0.52–2.99 | 0.62|
| History of percutaneous intervention | 1.53       | 0.64–3.69 | 0.34|
| LV mass > 300 g                  | 1.03       | 0.44–2.43 | 0.94|
| LV ejection fraction >40        | 1.16       | 0.41–3.28 | 0.78|
| Multivariate analysis            |            |           |    |
| Insufficient flow                | 6.63       | 1.99–22.01| 0.002|
| Grafted territories              | 3.03       | 0.68–13.51| 0.14|
| No. distal anastomoses           | 2.51       | 1.35–4.69 | 0.004|

LV indicates left ventricle; SVG, saphenous vein graft.
terms of saving SVG length, minimizing aortic manipulation, and shortness of procedural time because of simple operative maneuvers. In addition, Kim et al\textsuperscript{13} have shown that sequential grafting provided higher graft flow and subsequently a higher graft patency rate than did individual grafting, whereas Dion et al\textsuperscript{14} have reported that the patency rate at 7.5 years of sequential SVG was comparable with that of arterial graft and was significantly higher than that of individual SVG. It has been widely considered that a small or poor run-off target should be anastomosed with proximal portion, whereas the target of the distal end should have good run-off.\textsuperscript{5,15,16} On the contrary, PREVENT IV trial has demonstrated inferior graft patency and clinical outcomes, as compared with individual grafting.\textsuperscript{17} For multiple targets, multiple individual grafting was recommended.

The results of this study suggest that the mechanism of early failure in sequential grafting may be different from that of individual grafting. For individual grafting, IF had been seen in 67% of the failed SVG, whereas there were no failed grafts when the flow was more than 40 mL/min. Inversely, for sequential grafting, IF and subsequent graft failure at the proximal portion could be efficiently avoided. However, occlusion was commonly found at the distal segment of sequential SVG, and irrespective of graft flow with more than 40 mL/min, graft failure was occasionally found. Therefore, we have presumed two possible explanations for the failure of sequential SVG. First, the distal segment of the SVG might have IF, even when graft flow at the proximal segment was sufficient. In this setting, if individual grafting was chosen, the risk of occlusion might increase because longer SVG was necessary. Second, it might be caused by the presence of proximal target or a technical error in sequential grafting, although most of isolated CABG in our patients was performed by an off-pump technique. Individual grafting would be a reasonable option of choice.

The implications of these results are as follows. For the two targets, which seem to have sufficient flow demand, two individual grafts should be considered first. On the other hand, to achieve patency of a bypass graft to the target, which is relatively small or seems to have poor flow demand, sequential grafting at proximal portion is recommended.

The present study revealed that the patency rate of the distal end in sequential grafting was significantly lower than that of the proximal portion and individual grafting. Previous studies also reported that the graft patency rate of the proximal target was significantly higher than that of the target of the distal end.\textsuperscript{14,18,19} We would suggest that sequential grafting with a proximal for an important target and the distal for a less important target may be rationalized. This is because it may be reasonable to sacrifice a bypass of the distal end to assure patency to the important target. Not only the run-off of the target but also the importance should be taken into account.

Limitations of this study are as follows. First, this study is observational and retrospective. Therefore, we could not measure graft flow under strict physiological conditions, such as heart rate and blood pressure. Second, patients who underwent postoperative angiography may be biased and insufficient. Third, the follow-up period was relatively short. However, to identify the predictors of early graft failure, we focused on the graft flow. We believe that this study is rationalized because technical error, thrombosis, and intimal hyperplasia are considered to be the causes of graft failure within a year. Even in our limited length of follow-up, IF was a significant predictor of occlusion. In a longer follow-up period, biases, which can be associated with progression of atherosclerosis, such as hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, postoperative medications, as well as renal and cardiac function, will increase remarkably. In addition, graft flow during on-pump CABG was significantly greater than off-pump CABG,\textsuperscript{20} and in patients with valvular disease, the amount of myocardium and flow demand would usually be increased. In this study, we attempted to examine the use of a cardiopulmonary bypass, myocardial mass, and history of infarction in the grafted territory. However, there was no significant impact on the SVG patency. Moreover, severity of native coronary stenosis, pulsatile index, and diastolic flow would be associated with graft flow. Measurement of flow at the distal segment of sequential graft would be informative. However, we could not analyze these factors in this study.

In conclusion, sequential grafting would have a beneficial effect on patency to the proximal target, in association with an increase of graft flow, and simultaneously may affect patency to the distal end. So it is believed that for the important target, the distal end of sequential grafting should be avoided, and the proximal portion of sequential grafting or individual grafting would be favorable.
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This is an interesting report from Dr Takazawa and his colleagues at Saitama International Medical Center in Japan. They examined angiograms and clinical records from 439 patients who underwent coronary artery bypass grafting with vein grafts. The majority of patients underwent surgery using off-pump techniques. Of the 480 saphenous vein grafts, 9.2% had insufficient flow as defined as a graft flow of 20 mL/min or less. Postoperative angiography was performed in 230 saphenous vein grafts. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses demonstrated that insufficient flow and sequential grafting were significantly correlated with the failure of the vein graft. The authors concluded that avoidance of sequential grafting would be reasonable and that patients with insufficient flow at the time of operation should undergo revision. In individual vein grafts, there were no failed grafts when the flow was more than 40 mL/min. However, intraoperative flow was not a predictive factor in sequential grafts in which graft failure was found regardless of intraoperative flow. This is an important article and suggests that individual grafting should be considered when feasible. If sequential grafts are used, there seems to be a higher likelihood of occlusion of the distal target. They go on to suggest that when doing a sequential grafting, the most important target should be selected for the proximal anastomosis of the sequential graft.

There are numerous limitations to the study. Most importantly, it is observational and retrospective. There was incomplete angiographic followup and it was based only on symptoms. Finally, the follow-up period was relatively short. However, this study does add to the literature and suggests that insufficient flow is a significant predictor of occlusion in individual grafts. This report emphasizes the importance of intraoperative determination of graft flow. It also suggests that sequential grafting may in certain situations jeopardize the distal target vessel.