Foxd3 controls heterochromatin-mediated repression of repeat elements and 2-cell state transcription

Deepika Puri1,2,*, Birgit Koschorz1, Bettina Engist1, Megumi Onishi-Seebacher1,‡, Devon Ryan1,‡, Mamilla Soujanya3,† & Thomas Montavon1

Abstract

Repeat element transcription plays a vital role in early embryonic development. The expression of repeats such as MERVL characterises mouse embryos at the 2-cell stage and defines a 2-cell-like cell (2CLC) population in a mouse embryonic stem cell culture. Repeat element sequences contain binding sites for numerous transcription factors. We identify the forkhead domain transcription factor FOXD3 as a regulator of major satellite repeats and MERVL transcription in mouse embryonic stem cells. FOXD3 binds to and recruits the histone methyltransferase SUV39H1 to MERVL and major satellite repeats, consequently repressing the transcription of these repeats by the establishment of the H3K9me3 heterochromatin modification. Notably, depletion of FOXD3 leads to the de-repression of MERVL and major satellite repeats as well as a subset of genes expressed in the 2-cell state, shifting the balance between the stem cell and 2-cell-like population in culture. Thus, FOXD3 acts as a negative regulator of repeat transcription, ascribing a novel function to this transcription factor.
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Introduction

Repetitive elements including tandem repeats and interspersed repeats constitute up to 45% of the mouse genome (Biémont, 2010). In most somatic cells, repeat elements are repressed by a combination of epigenetic modifications such as DNA methylation and histone modifications such as H3K9me3, H4K20me3 and H3K27me3 (Peters et al., 2001; Martens et al., 2005; Kato et al., 2007; Mikkelsen et al., 2007). In early embryonic development however, these repeat elements are de-repressed. The activation of specific repeats such as pericentric major satellite repeats (MSRs) and the endogenous retrovirus MERVL at the 2-cell embryonic stage along with thousands of zygotic genes, accompanied by the clearance of maternal transcripts, constitutes an essential transcriptional milestone in embryonic development, called zygotic gene activation (ZGA) (Probst et al., 2010; Macfarlan et al., 2012; Burton & Torres-Padilla, 2014; Dang-Nguyen & Torres-Padilla, 2015; Ishiuchi et al., 2015; Jukam et al., 2017). Additionally, mouse embryonic stem cells (mESCs), that have the property of self-renewal and differentiation into all three germ layers, also exist as a heterogeneous population in culture, consisting of a small fraction (1–5%) of cells that resemble the more totipotent 2C-like cells (2CLCs) (Macfarlan et al., 2012). These cells are characterised by an expanded potency and activation of MSRs and MERVL along with a specific set of genes such as the Zscan4 family, Zfp352 and Pramel7 (Macfarlan et al., 2012; Genet & Torres-Padilla, 2020). Studies have shown that MERVL activation is sufficient for the conversion of mESCs to 2CLCs (Yang et al., 2020). During embryonic development, downregulation of MSR and MERVL is concomitant with increased LINE1 transcription, which facilitates the exit from the 2-cell state and contributes to ESC self-renewal (Percharde et al., 2018). The importance of repeat element transcription in early development and stem cell function underscores the need to understand the regulation of repeat transcription. Previous studies have demonstrated the presence of numerous transcription factor (TF)-binding sites within repeat element sequences (Bourque et al., 2008; Bulut-Karslioglu et al., 2012). Transposable elements have emerged as a hub for transcription factor binding and assembly of transcription complexes (Hermant & Torres-Padilla, 2021). For example, PAX3 and PAX9 bind to and repress MSRs in mouse embryonic fibroblasts, and this repression is essential for maintaining the integrity of heterochromatin (Bulut-Karslioglu et al., 2021).
resulting in increased gene expression. Furthermore, FOXD3 depletion leads to a significant de-repression of MERVL and a subset of 2CLC genes. Our analysis identified the forkhead domain-containing transcription factor FOXD3 as a novel regulator of repeat elements. FOXD3 is crucial for maintaining ES cell pluripotency (Hanna et al., 2002; Liu & Labosky, 2008). In stem cells, FOXD3 plays a bimodal role as an activator or a repressor in a context-dependent manner by enhancing or de-repressing gene expression. Previously, we identified binding sites for FOXD3 in MERVL and MSRs and a concomitant increase in a subset of 2CLC genes. Mechanistically, FOXD3 represses MERVL and MSRs by interacting with the repressive heterochromatin histone methyltransferase SUV39H1, which establishes the repressive H3K9me3 mark at the target sites. This study is a novel report of FOXD3 as a heterochromatin-mediated repressor of repeat element transcription and 2CLC gene expression in mouse embryonic stem cells.

Results and Discussion

**FOXD3 binds to major satellite repeats and MERVL**

Mouse embryonic stem cells are an important developmental model to study the genetic and epigenetic mechanisms regulating the pluripotent state and cell fate transitions. We chose to identify putative TF-binding sites in MSR, MERVL-LTR, MERVL-int and LINE 5'UTR sequences as the expression of these elements is critical in early development. We obtained consensus sequences for these repeats from Repbase (Jurka et al., 2005) (Fig EV1A) and subjected them to the TF-binding site prediction tool PROMO (Messeguer et al., 2002). The TFs that were predicted with a P-value < 0.05, a dissimilarity percentage < 2 and were expressed in mouse ES cells based on published data (Bulut-Karslioglu et al., 2014a), were considered as significant hits. Binding sites for TFs such as TRM1, YY1, SOX2 and FOXD3 were predicted in the repeat sequences (Figs 1A and EV1A). Interestingly, binding sites for the TF YY1 were predicted in MSR, MERVL as well as promoters of the transcriptionally competent LINE1 sequences. The 5'UTR of L1MdA was largely devoid of TF-binding sites. The identification of YY1-binding sites in a majority of repeat elements is consistent with previous reports (He et al., 2019) and points to a potential common role of this TF in repeat regulation.

The forhead transcription factor FOXD3 emerged as a promising candidate as reports indicate that FOXD3 plays a crucial role in maintaining ES cell pluripotency (Hanna et al., 2002; Krishnakumar et al., 2016a; Respuela et al., 2016). FOXD3 is expressed in mESCs and has been shown to bind to and recruit chromatin factors such as HDACs, BRG1 and LSD1 to their target sites (Krishnakumar et al., 2016a). Our analysis predicted the presence of the FOXD3-binding site GAATGTTT (Transfac ID T02290) in MSR, MERVL-LTR and MERVL-int sequences (Figs 1A and EV1A). We observed the binding of FOXD3 to MSR and MERVL DNA in vitro. Increasing concentrations (0–2.5 μM) of the recombinant GST-FOXD3 fusion protein was incubated with double-stranded 5'Cyt5-labelled DNA oligonucleotides representing MSR, MERVL-LTR, MERVL-int and L1MdA, in addition to Sox15 (known target for FOXD3 as positive control) (Plank et al., 2014) and Hprt (negative control) (Table EV2). A concentration-dependent mobility shift of the DNA–protein complex was seen for Sox15, MSR, MERVL-LTR and MERVL-int oligonucleotides but not for L1MdA and Hprt oligonucleotides (Fig 1B). We confirmed the specificity of binding by incubating recombinant GST-FOXD3 with DNA oligonucleotides representing MERVL and MSR with a mutated FOXD3-binding site. The mobility shift of the DNA–protein complex seen in the presence of the intact FOXD3-binding site was no longer detected with the mutated oligonucleotides (Fig EV1B). To confirm FOXD3 binding to MSR and MSRs in mESCs, we analysed published FOXD3 chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP-Seq) data (Gene data set GSE58408, Data ref: Krishnakumar et al., 2016b) and observed robust enrichment of FOXD3 over the MSR, MERVL-LTR, MERVL-int and the solo MERVL-LTR (MT2_Mm) consensus sequences, but not over L1MdA. (Figs 1C and EV1C and D). While MSR and MERVL-LTR sequences displayed clear peaks of FOXD3 enrichment around the predicted binding site, MERVL-int showed a broader enrichment, covering an area beyond the TF-binding site (Fig 1C). As we used all the annotated MERVL-int repeats (full-length as well as truncated) instead of just intact repeats for computing and plotting the FOXD3 enrichment, the data were scaled to fit repeat start and end, irrespective of the repeat’s size, which may affect the alignment of the peaks and lead to the appearance of broader enrichment. Indeed, when the data were centred around FOXD3 peaks, a sharp localised FOXD3 enrichment was seen over all three repeats (Fig EV1D). Additionally, while we identified FOXD3-binding sites based on stringent cut-offs in our TF prediction algorithm, we do not exclude the possibility of other FOXD3-binding sites along the repeat sequence which were not predicted by our algorithm, but would still be enriched for FOXD3. Analysis of individual MERVL loci, however (Fig EV1D), indicates that FOXD3 is largely enriched over defined regions of MERVL which presumably correspond to the FOXD3-binding site. Other reports identifying TF binding over repeat elements also displayed broader peaks not localised to predicted binding sites (Shi et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019; Wolf et al., 2020). We further validated this enrichment by performing ChiP with an antibody against FOXD3 in mESCs. In agreement with our previous observations, we detected significant FOXD3 enrichment over MERVL-LTR, MERVL-int and MSR, but not over L1MdA using primers described in Table EV2 (Figs 1D and EV1E and F). These results indicate that the transcription factor FOXD3 binds to a subset of repeat elements, especially MERVL and MSRs in mouse embryonic stem cells.

**Foxd3 deletion leads to de-repression of MERVL and a subset of 2CLC genes**

Foxd3 null embryos die after implantation, and ES cell lines cannot be maintained (Hanna et al., 2002; Liu & Labosky, 2008). To
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understand the effect of Foxd3 on repeat elements in mESCs, we used a conditional gene inactivation approach. Foxd3fl/fl;Cre-ER ES cells (Liu & Labosky, 2008); hence, forth referred to as Foxd3 cKO cells (A gift from Patricia Labosky) exhibited a tamoxifen-dependent deletion of Foxd3, and an almost complete loss of Foxd3 was seen both at transcript and protein levels by day 2 of 4-hydroxytamoxifen.
(4-OHT) treatment (Fig EV2A and B). As the cells underwent extensive apoptosis after day 4 of 4-OHT treatment, all the experiments were carried out at day 2. We performed total RNA-Seq analysis of Foxd3 cKO cells in the absence (control) and presence (Foxd3 KO) of 4-OHT as described in Materials and Methods. Principal component analysis revealed that control and Foxd3 KO cells exhibited significantly distinguishable expression profiles (Fig EV2C). As this study was aimed at understanding the role of Foxd3 in repeat regulation, we investigated the expression levels of annotated repeat elements. 38 repeat elements were significantly upregulated, and 1 repeat element was significantly downregulated in Foxd3 KO cells (Dataset EV1). The most significantly upregulated repeats belonged to the ERV superfamily (Fig 2A), and among the ERVs, ERVL-LTR and ERVL-int were most highly upregulated (Figs 2A and EV2D). We validated these results by RT–qPCR which also showed significant upregulation of MERVL-LTR, MERVL-int and MSR but not L1MdA in Foxd3 KO cells (Fig 2B). While the MR consensus sequence contained the FOXD3-binding site (Fig 1A) and FOXD3 was found to bind to MSR in our previous experiments (Fig 1), MSR was not significantly upregulated in our RNA-Seq data analysis. We therefore limited further analysis to the more robust upregulation of MERVL upon Foxd3 depletion. We addressed the expression of MERVL at protein level by performing immunofluorescence of control and Foxd3 KO cells using an antibody against the MERVL-gag protein. We observed that while less than 1% cells expressed MERVL in control, this number increased up to 21% in Foxd3 KO cells (Fig 2C). These results demonstrate that in mouse ES cells, FOXD3 represses the MERVL retroviral element and to a lesser extent, major satellite repeats.

In addition to repeat elements, we also conducted comparative gene expression analysis in control and Foxd3 KO cells. Foxd3 KO cells exhibited a significant upregulation of 858 genes and downregulation of 413 genes (Dataset EV2), indicating that in these cells, FOXD3 plays primarily a repressive role, in agreement with previous reports (Krishnakumar et al., 2016a; Respuela et al., 2016). Functional classification of the differentially expressed genes in Foxd3 KO cells using DAVID (Huang et al., 2009) showed that genes involved in the negative regulation of cell differentiation, cell proliferation, transcription and negative regulation of apoptosis were significantly (P<0.001) upregulated in Foxd3 KO cells, while cell differentiation, neural crest migration and nervous system development genes were downregulated in Foxd3 KO cells (Fig EV3A). This is consistent with the role of Foxd3 in stem cell maintenance and neural crest development (Liu & Labosky, 2008; Lukoseviciute et al., 2018). We also compared Foxd3 KO upregulated genes with Foxd3 target genes identified by ChIP-Seq (GEO data set GSE58408, Data ref: Krishnakumar et al., 2016b) and found that of the 858 genes upregulated in Foxd3 KO, 132 genes were also targets of FOXD3 (Fig EV3B, Table EV1) indicating that their regulation may be a result of direct binding and repression by FOXD3. FOXD3 may control the transcription of other genes indirectly by binding to and sequestering activator proteins, acting as a cofactor in repressive complexes or repressing enhancers that control gene expression (Lam et al., 2013; Krishnakumar et al., 2016a; Respuela et al., 2016). This is also evident from the data reported by Krishnakumar et al. (2016a) where of the 7,000 peaks detected as FOXD3 targets, only 2,863 peaks were mapped to genes. FOXD3 is known to bind to and regulate gene expression by long range enhancer decommissioning (Krishnakumar et al., 2016a; Respuela et al., 2016), and our results demonstrating the role of FOXD3 in the regulation of MSR and MERVL repeats reinforce the indirect mode of FOXD3-mediated regulation of gene expression.

As MERVL was the most upregulated repeat element in Foxd3 KO cells, and MERVL activation is a hallmark of the 2-cell embryonic stage, we compared the genes upregulated in Foxd3 KO with genes upregulated in 2LCs. Macfarlan et al. (2012) described MERVL:tomato-positive cells that represent the population of 2LCs in an ES cell culture. Comparative analysis showed that 51% of genes upregulated in MERVL: tomato-positive cells were also significantly upregulated in Foxd3 KO cells (Fig 2D). These included 2C-specific genes of the Zscan4 family, Preme family, Dppa3, Zfp352, as well as Tcstv1 and Tcstv3 (Figs 2E and 2F, and EV3C) (Macfarlan et al., 2012; Hendrickson et al., 2017). Immunofluorescence analysis of ZSCAN4 and DPPA3 revealed that these proteins were barely detectable in control cells, but expressed at high levels in 41% (ZSCAN4) and 28% (DPPA3) of Foxd3 KO cells (Fig 2E), suggesting that the balance between ES and 2LC is shifted towards 2LC in a Foxd3 KO cell population. We further compared the top 100 upregulated genes in Foxd3 KO cells with genes expressed in the embryo at the 2-cell stage (Macfarlan et al., 2012) and observed that 56% of the top Foxd3 KO upregulated genes overlapped with either the 2C embryo or MERVL:tomato-positive cell expression data (Fig 2F). This indicates that the most highly upregulated genes in Foxd3 KO cells are 2LC genes, underscoring the contribution of Foxd3 in repressing the conversion of mESCs to 2LC.

DUX has been described as a positive regulator of MERVL and the 2LC state (Hendrickson et al., 2017). The murine Dux tandem repeat encodes two main transcripts, full-length Dux (or Dux3f), a variant Gm4981, lacking the first homeodomain, and an additional EST, AW822073 (Iaco et al., 2020). Our data depict a modest upregulation of the EST AW822073 in Foxd3 KO cells (Dataset EV2) and not the major Dux transcripts, indicating that the effect of Foxd3 on MERVL may not be Dux-dependent. To confirm this, we designed primers common to Dux3f and AW822073 (Fig EV3D). RT–qPCR analysis revealed that Dux expression is unchanged in Foxd3 KO cells (Fig EV3D). FOXD3 therefore seems to function in a Dux-independent pathway to repress MERVL, which is consistent with recent reports indicating that Dux expression is dispensable for zygotic gene activation (Chen & Zhang, 2019; Guo et al., 2019; Iaco et al., 2020). Further investigation of the effect of FOXD3 depletion in Dux knockout conditions would help validate the Dux-independent regulation of MERVL by FOXD3. In addition to MERVL, studies have shown that transient activation of LINE1 RNA is required for the normal developmental progression of a 2C embryo (Jachowicz et al., 2017). LINE1 acts to repress Dux in mouse ES cells via a KAP1/nucleolin pathway (Percharde et al., 2018). While our RNA-Seq analysis showed a modest upregulation of the 5’ UTR of L1MdA and L1MdGf in Foxd3 KO cells (Fig 2A), FOXD3 was not enriched over these regions (Fig 1) and RT–qPCR analysis showed that LINE element expression remains unchanged in Foxd3 KO cells (Fig 2B). This may maintain the repression of Dux and hence prevent a complete recapitulation of the 2C transcription profile, as 49% of the genes upregulated in the MERVL:tomato-positive cells did not appear to be Foxd3-dependent (Fig 2D). Repeat element transcription, therefore, seems to be governed by a collaborative action of multiple modes of regulation and warrants comprehensive
Figure 2.
studies aimed at understanding the combinatorial regulation of repeats and in turn, the balance between mESCs and 2CLCs.

**MERVL de-repression depends on direct binding of FOXD3**

To determine whether the upregulation of MERVL is a result of direct occupancy of FOXD3 on the repeat sequences, we generated two DNA-binding mutants of FOXD3 based on previous reports identifying the amino acids YSY and FVK in the DNA-binding domain of FOXA3 as being essential for the DNA-binding function of the protein (Clevidence et al., 1993). These amino acids were also conserved in mouse FOXD3 (Fig EV4A). We generated recombinant GST-tagged wild-type FOXD3 as well as FOXD3 mutant proteins carrying YSY→RAD (M1) or FVK→VAM (M2) mutations (Fig EV4B and C). Gel shift assays with FOXD3 M1 and M2 proteins indicated that both mutants failed to bind labelled MERVL and MSR oligonucleotides (Fig 3A). To determine whether a DNA-binding deficient FOXD3 could affect repeat element expression and gene transcription, we established Foxd3 cKO mESCs overexpressing GFP-tagged wild-type FOXD3, FOXD3 M1 and FOXD3 M2 (Fig EV4D). Overexpression of FOXD3 in Foxd3 cKO cells did not significantly alter MERVL or MSR expression (Fig EV4E), and Foxd3 depletion by tamoxifen led to an expected de-repression of MERVL in non-ESCs. This de-repression of MERVL was significantly weaker in cells overexpressing wild-type GFP-FOXD3, but not in GFP-FOXD3 mutant (M1), which showed a similar upregulation as Foxd3 KO. A similar effect was seen for MSRs, but the LINE1 promoter sequence, which was devoid of the FOXD3-binding site, was not upregulated in any of the conditions (Fig 3B). In the absence of the rescue construct, FOXD3 depletion resulted in MERVL-gag protein expression in 18% of the cells. In contrast, in cells overexpressing wild-type GFP-FOXD3, MERVL-gag was detected in less than 3% of the cells. This rescue was not apparent in GFP-FOXD3 M1 expressing cells (Fig 3C). These results indicate that the DNA-binding domain of FOXD3 is required for the repression of MERVL and MSR, and suggest a direct regulation of these repeat elements by FOXD3.

We investigated whether the differences in MERVL were reflected in the expression of 2C genes. Upon tamoxifen treatment, 2C-specific genes such as Zscarn4c and Dppa3 showed an upregulation in Foxd3 cKO cells, reduced expression in wild-type GFP-FOXD3 overexpressing cells and an upregulation in GFP-FOXD3 M1 cells (Fig 3D). We also observed a similar result at the protein level where the percentage of FOXD3-depleted cells expressing ZSCAN4 was 32% in the absence of the rescue construct, reduced to 2% in the presence of wild-type GFP-FOXD3 and increased to 16% in the presence of GFP-FOXD3 M1 (Fig 3E), emphasising the requirement of an intact DNA-binding domain of FOXD3 in repressing MERVL and 2C genes.

Interestingly, Foxd3 KO led to a higher percentage of ZSCAN4 expressing cells than MERVL expressing cells (Figs 2C and E, and 3C and E). There are conflicting reports regarding the sequence of activation of MERVL and ZSCAN4 proteins during the conversion of mESCs to 2CLCs. Reports indicate that ZSCAN4 activates MERVL, and ZSCAN4-positive cells act as an intermediate state between ES cells and 2CLCs (Zhang et al., 2019; Fu et al., 2020). In contrast, analysis of transcription dynamics across a pseudo-time trajectory reveals that MERVL expression precedes Zscan4 family gene expression during ESC to 2CLC transition (Eckersley-Maslin et al., 2016). Our comparative analysis between FOXD3-binding genes and genes upregulated in Foxd3 KO cells (Fig EV3B and Table EV1) indicates that Zscan4 family genes are not targets for FOXD3 binding. Activation of Zscan4 in Foxd3 KO cells, therefore, may be dependent on MERVL expression. Our study leaves open the possibility of a feedback loop between MERVL and Zscan4 family genes that are activated upon MERVL expression in Foxd3 KO cells. Notably, upon 4-OHT treatment, FOXD3 M1 cells showed lower expression of 2C genes compared with Foxd3 KO cells (Fig 3D). It is possible that in addition to the regulation mediated by MERVL, these genes may be regulated by FOXD3 in a binding-independent manner. Our studies demonstrate that FOXD3 represses MSR and MERVL in mESCs. Thus, it may stand to reason that overexpression of FOXD3 in WT cells would affect these repeats. However, we observed that the levels of MSR, MERVL and 2CLC genes were largely unchanged in untreated Foxd3 cKO cells overexpressing GFP-FOXD3 (Figs 3C and E, and EV4E). This may indicate that the available FOXD3-binding sites on MERVL and MSR have already been occupied by the endogenous protein leading to a balanced regulation of their transcription, rendering the introduction of the additional protein ineffective. FOXD3 has also been shown to bind mutually exclusive enhancer sequences in naive and primed ES cells (Krishnakumar et al., 2016a; Respuela et al., 2016). FOXD3 overexpression may lead to the occupancy of the loci which were unbound in mESCs. Further comprehensive understanding of the transcriptional dynamics may shed more light on the temporal activation and the combinatorial action of gene-repeat expression in the transition from ES to the 2CLC state.
Figure 3.
**Figure 3. FOXD3 DNA-binding domain is essential for regulation of MERVL and 2CLC genes.**

A Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) with increasing concentrations (0, 30 nM, 60 nM, 1.25 μM, 2.5 μM and 5 μM) of recombinant GST-FOXD3, DNA-binding mutants GST-FOXD3 M1 and GST-FOXD3 M2 and fixed concentration (50 nM) of 5'-Cy5-labelled dsDNA oligonucleotides (35 bp each) representing MERVL and MSR. The experiment was repeated three times.

B RT–qPCR analysis of MERVL, MSR and L1MdA in Fox3 KO cells, Fox3 cKO cells overexpressing full-length FOXD3 or FOXD3 M1 without and with 4-OHT. Data are represented as fold change relative to respective untreated cells after normalising to Gapdh. The average data for three biological replicates are plotted, and error bars represent standard error mean (SEM). Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences. (**P < 0.005, *P < 0.05 paired t-test).  

C Immunofluorescence analysis of MERVL-gag protein in Fox3 cKO cells, Fox3 cKO cells overexpressing full-length FOXD3 or FOXD3 M1 without and with 4-OHT. Nuclei are labelled with DAPI. The percentage of counted cells (150 cells per experiment) exhibiting immunofluorescence signal is indicated. Scale bar represents 10 μm n = 3 biological replicates.

D RT–qPCR analysis of 2C genes Zscan4C and Dppa3 in Fox3 cKO cells, Fox3 cKO cells overexpressing full-length FOXD3 or FOXD3 M1 without and with 4-OHT. Data are represented as fold change relative to respective untreated cells after normalising to Gapdh. The average data for three biological replicates are plotted, and error bars represent standard error mean (SEM). Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences. (**P < 0.005, *P < 0.05 paired t-test). 

E Immunofluorescence analysis of the ZSCAN4 protein in Fox3 cKO cells, Fox3 cKO cells overexpressing full-length FOXD3 or FOXD3 M1 without and with 4-OHT. Nuclei are labelled with DAPI. The percentage of counted cells (150 cells per experiment) exhibiting fluorescence signal is indicated. Scale bar represents 10 μm n = 3 biological replicates.

**FOXD3 binds to and recruits SUV39H2 to MERVL and MSR**

Our data show that FOXD3 represses MERVL and MSR in mouse ES cells. ERVs and MRSs are largely regulated by the activity of heterochromatin histone methyltransferases such as SETDB1, SETDB2, Suv39h1 and Suv39h2 in mESCs that establish H3K9me3 to repress transcription (Karimi et al., 2011; Bulut-Karslioglu et al., 2014a; Groh & Schotta, 2017). FOXD3 has been shown to interact with and recruit chromatin-modifying proteins to target sites (Krishnakumar et al., 2016a; Respuela et al., 2016). To determine whether FOXD3 could interact with SETDB1/2 or SUV39H1/H2, we performed STRING analysis (Szklarczyk et al., 2019), which predicted a putative interaction of FOXD3 with SETDB1 and SUV39H1 (Fig EV5A). To validate the FOXD3-SUV39H1 interaction in mESCs, we used mESCs devoid of both SUV39H1 and SUV39H2 (Suv39h1R1 and Suv39h2R1) expressing either exogenous GFP-SUV39H1 or GFP-SUV39H2 under the control of a β-actin promoter (Velazquez Camacho et al., 2017) as well as FOXD3 cKO cells overexpressing GFP-FOXD3. GFP immunoprecipitation followed by immunoblot demonstrated a sub-stoichiometric interaction of FOXD3 with SUV39H1 and SUV39H2 (Figs 4A and EV5B), but none with SETDB1 (Fig EV5B).

Bioinformatic analysis of RNA-Seq of Poly-A selected RNA from WT and Suv39h1R1 mESCs (GEO data set GSE57092, Data ref: Bulut-Karslioglu et al., 2014b) identified MERVL as one of the most highly upregulated repeats in Suv39h1R1 cells similar to Fox3 KO cells, while MSR was the among the most highly upregulated repeats in Suv39h1R1 cells when nuclear RNA was used for RNA-Seq (Figs 4B and EV5C), indicating that MERVL and MSR repeats are regulated by the SUV39H family proteins. L1MdA was robustly upregulated in Suv39h1R1 cells in both data sets. To determine the functional relevance of FOXD3 interaction with SUV39H1/2, we analysed published ChIP-Seq data for HA-tagged SUV39H1 and H2 (GEO data set GSE57092, Data ref: Bulut-Karslioglu et al., 2014b) and observed the enrichment of SUV39H1 and SUV39H2 at MERVL in mESCs (Fig 4C). While the role of SUV39H enzymes in the repression of MRSs and LINE 1 repeats is known (Peters et al., 2001; Bulut-Karslioglu et al., 2014a), we report MERVL as a novel target for SUV39H-mediated repression in mESCs. To understand the role of FOXD3 in the SUV39H-mediated repression of repeats, we performed ChIP in control and Fox3 KO cells using an antibody specific for SUV39H1. In control cells, we observed an enrichment of SUV39H1 over MERVL, MSR, and L1MdA. This enrichment was significantly reduced for MERVL and MSR in Fox3 KO cells, but not for L1MdA, which is not a target for FOXD3 binding, indicating that FOXD3 participates in recruiting SUV39H1 to MSR and MERVL (Fig 4D).

Finally, to determine the histone modification profile over MERVL and MSR in Fox3 KO cells, we performed ChIP for select activating and repressive histone modifications. Our experiments revealed that consistent with the increase in transcription and reduced recruitment of SUV39H1, the H3K9me3 mark decreased over MERVL and MSR repeats in Fox3 KO cells (Fig 4E). This was also accompanied by a modest increase in H3K4me3 as well as H3K27me3 (Fig EV5D). LSD1/KDM1A, a histone demethylase with catalytic activity towards H3K4, has been shown to repress MERVL in mESCs (Macfarlan et al., 2011a). Interestingly, LSD1 interacts with FOXD3 in mESCs (Respuela et al., 2016). The enrichment of H3K4me3 over MERVL in Fox3 KO cells may be a consequence of reduced FOXD3-mediated LSD1 occupancy over these repeat regions. We also see an enrichment of H3K27me3 over MSR and MERVL in Fox3 KO, which is consistent with previous reports indicating that an increase in H3K27me3 can follow a reduction in H3K9me3 (Peters et al., 2003), underscoring the plasticity between these systems. Interestingly, when we analysed published ChIP-Seq data for H3K9me3 in WT and Suv39h1R1 cells (GEO data set GSE57092, Data ref: Bulut-Karslioglu et al., 2014b), we observed that while MRSs show a drastic reduction in H3K9me3 enrichment in Suv39h1R1 cells, this reduction is less robust for MERVL (Fig 4F). This is consistent with the fact that while MSR transcription is primarily regulated by SUV39H enzymes (Peters et al., 2001; Bulut-Karslioglu et al., 2014a; Velazquez Camacho et al., 2017), MERVL regulation depends on redundant functions of other HMTs such as SETDB1 (Karimi et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2020), which remain unperturbed in Suv39h1R1 cells. This also explains the persistence of low levels of H3K9me3 over MERVL and the incomplete recapitulation of the 2CLC transcription profile in Fox3 KO cells (Figs 2F and 4E). Combinatorial depletion of FOXD3 along with SETDB1 may shed light on the redundant functions of heterochromatin-mediated regulation of MERVL. Our data indicate that H3K9me3 is reduced over pericentric MRSs in Fox3 KO cells. We confirmed this by performing RNAi-based knock-down of FOXD3 in Suv39h1R1 mESCs expressing GFP-SUV39H1 (Fig EV5E). Immunofluorescence analysis revealed that in cells lacking FOXD3, the pericentric localisation of H3K9me3 as well as SUV39H1 is severely disrupted (Fig 4G).
Figure 4.
FOXD3 has been identified as a pioneer TF with an ability to bind the population of mESCs as compared to MERVL-negative cells (GEO state and prevent reversion to the 2CLC state. Indeed, a modest robust upregulation of MERVL in a subset of ES cells and an inconcomitant increase in 2CLC-specific genes, shifting the balance between mESCs (Green and purple) and 2CLCs (Brown and blue). This is accompanied by a perturbation of the classical condensed heterochromatin foci in the nucleus. FOXD3 thus helps maintain ES cells in a pluripotent state by regulating MERVL and MSR.

Source data are available online for this figure.

Interestingly, the organisation of heterochromatin as depicted by DAPI dense foci remained largely unchanged, in agreement with previous reports (Peters et al, 2001). We also noted that a not all Foxd3 cells lose the pericentric H3K9me3 and SUV39H1 localisation (Fig 4D and G). This might point to alternate modes of recruitment of SUV39H1 to the pericentric regions. Reports have shown that MSR RNA associates with chromatin and forms RNA:DNA hybrids that stabilise the association of SUV39H enzymes to pericentric regions (Velazquez Camacho et al, 2017). We propose that establishment of stable heterochromatin at MSR may be attributed to the redundant roles of TFs such as FOXD3, or PAX3 and PAX9 (Bulut-Karslioglu et al, 2012) as well as non-coding repeat RNA in the recruitment of HMTs. Our data also demonstrate that while MSRs, intact ERVs and LINE1 elements, are regulated by SUV39H methyltransferases (Bulut-Karslioglu et al, 2012; Bulut-Karslioglu et al, 2014a), they may be recruited to these loci using different contexts as the SUV39H1 enrichment as well as H3K9me3 levels remain unchanged over the LINE1 promoter in Foxd3 KO cells.

MERVL transcription is known to be regulated by numerous mechanisms. Our data show that depleting FOXD3 results in a robust upregulation of MERVL in a subset of ES cells and an incomplete recapitulation of the 2CLC transcription profile. Other known activating and repressive regulators of MERVL such as DUX or SETDB1 are unchanged in Foxd3 KO cells. We analysed published data (Fan et al, 2015a; GEO data set GSE53868, Data ref: Fan et al, 2015b) to determine the expression of Foxd3 through different stages of embryonic development and found that Foxd3 expression begins at the morula stage and is the highest in ES cells (Fig EV5F). This suggests that while Foxd3 may not be crucial for the exit from the 2-cell stage, it could facilitate the maintenance of the stem cell state and prevent reversion to the 2CLC state. Indeed, a modest reduction in Foxd3 expression is observed in a MERVL-positive population of mESCs as compared to MERVL-negative cells (GEO data set GSE33923, Data ref: Macfarlan et al, 2011b) (Fig EV5G).

FOX3 has been identified as a pioneer TF with an ability to bind condensed chromatin and precede the binding of other TFs (Zaret & Carroll, 2011; Krishnakumar et al, 2016a; Lukosevicuic et al, 2018). FOXD3 has also been shown to bind to mutually exclusive sites in different stages of development, providing a temporal context to FOXD3 regulation in embryonic development. Our study reveals a crucial layer to this tapestry by including pericentric MSRs and intergenic MERVL regions as targets of FOXD3-mediated regulation, in addition to previously identified enhancers. The next logical step would be combinatorial perturbation of FOXD3 along with other regulatory modules that may facilitate a more robust acquisition of the 2CLC transcriptional repertoire and also may reveal potential connections with known (DUX, REX1) or novel regulatory molecules that work in concert to ultimately regulate cell fate. In conclusion, our data demonstrate that in mESCs, FOXD3 binds to and represses MERVL and MSR repeat elements by recruiting the heterochromatin histone methyltransferase SUV39H1 that establishes the H3K9me3 mark to these sites. In the absence of FOXD3, MERVL and MSR repeat elements are de-repressed, and 2CLC genes are activated, skewing the mESC-2CLC balance towards 2CLC (Fig 4H). As repeat element sequences contain numerous other TF-binding sites, it is tempting to hypothesise a scenario where a combination of DNA-binding proteins act in a context-specific manner to recruit activating or repressive proteins to repeat elements and regulate their expression in ES cells. This study identifies a novel heterochromatin function for transcription factors and opens up further avenues into the investigation of transcription factor-mediated control of repeat elements in regulating stem cell pluripotency and lineage commitment.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture

FoxD3 cKO cells obtained from Patricia Labosky lab were cultured using standard protocols on 0.2% gelatin-coated plates. To induce FoxD3 knockout, cells were treated with 2 μM 4-hydroxytamoxifen (Sigma-T5648) changed daily. Cells were harvested for experiments on day 2 when FoxD3 was depleted at RNA and protein levels.
Generation of recombinant GST-FOXD3 and GFP-FOXD3 fusion proteins

Wild-type full-length Foxd3 cDNA was obtained from OriGene (Catalog number MR222218) and amplified using Foxd3-specific primers to be subcloned into the pcDNA3 plasmid and verified by sequencing. M1 (YSYRAD) and M2 (FVKVAM) mutants were generated by site-directed mutagenesis using specific primers. GST-fusion proteins were expressed using protocols described in Velazquez Camacho et al. (2017). Sequences encoding full-length Foxd3, M1 and M2 mutants were subcloned into the pCAGGS-EGFP vector as described in Velazquez Camacho et al. (2017). These plasmids were transfected into Foxd3 cKO cells using Xfect transfection reagent (Clontech). The cells were kept under puromycin selection to obtain transfected foxd3 cKO cells using Xfect transfection reagent (Clontech). The cells were kept under puromycin selection to obtain polyclonal cell lines. All cell lines were checked periodically for mycoplasma contamination.

EMSA

35 nucleotide 5’-Cy5-labelled and HPLC-purified DNA oligonucleotides were purchased from Sigma. To generate dsDNA, equimolar amounts of forward and reverse ssDNA oligonucleotides were mixed in 1xSSC buffer (150 mM NaCl, 15 mM sodium citrate) and incubated for 2 min at 90°C in a Thermomixer. The temperature was reduced to 60°C for 5 min and then further reduced to 20°C for 30 min. For EMSA, 50 nM of nucleic acids was mixed with increasing concentrations of recombinant proteins in a buffer containing 20 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.0, 100 mM KCl, 3mM MgCl2, 1 mM EDTA pH 8.0, 5% glycerol, 0.05% NP-40, 2 mM DTT, 50 ng/ml yeast tRNA (Thermo Fisher) and 2.5 ng/ml BSA (NEB). Samples were incubated at 4°C with rotation for 1 h and resolved on a 4% polyacrylamide (60:1) gel (25 mM Tris–HCl, 200 mM glycine, 5% glycerol, 0.075% APS, 0.05% TEMED) in 12.5 mM Tris–HCl and 100 mM glycine. The Cy5 signal was scanned on a Typhoon FLA 9500 fluorescence scanner.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation

Chromatin immunoprecipitation was performed as described in Bulut-Karslioglu et al. (2014a) with antibody-specific optimisations. For histone modification as well as FOXD3 ChIP, trypsinised cells were fixed by incubating with 1% formaldehyde for 10 min. For SUV39H1 ChIP, trypsinised cells were incubated in DSG (Di (N-succinimidyl glutarate)) (Synchem OHG) at a final concentration of 50 μM for 1 h and resolved on a 4% polyacrylamide (60:1) gel (25 mM Tris–HCl, 200 mM glycine, 5% glycerol, 0.075% APS, 0.05% TEMED) in 12.5 mM Tris–HCl and 100 mM glycine. The Cy5 signal was scanned on a Typhoon FLA 9500 fluorescence scanner.

RNA-Seq analysis

The sequencing reads were aligned to the mouse genome build mm10 using TopHat2 (Kim et al., 2013) with default parameters. Repeats and genes were quantified using TEtranscripts (Jin et al., 2015), and DEseq2 (Love et al., 2014) was used to determine differentially expressed repeat elements and genes. deepTools was used to construct BigWig files. Volcano plots (Figs 4B and EV5C) were generated using R package “EnhancedVolcano” with P-value cut-off of 0.01. Coverage tracks were visualised using IGV (Robinson et al., 2011). Detailed parameters are mentioned in Velazquez Camacho et al. (2017). Heat map represented in Fig 2F was plotted using Morpheus: https://software.broadinstitute.org/morpheus

Immunofluorescence

5 × 10^4 ES cells were attached to gelatin-coated glass slides by using Cytospin (Thermo Scientific). Cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature, washed three times with 1X PBS and permeabilised in a 0.05% Triton-X solution for 5 min. Permeabilised cells were washed twice with 1X PBS and incubated in blocking solution (PBS/0.25% BSA/0.1% Tween-20% and
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10% normal goat serum) for 1 h at room temperature. The slides were incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C. Slides were then washed with 1X PBS and incubated for 1 h at room temperature with appropriate fluorescently labelled secondary antibody. After washing with 1XPBS, slides were mounted with VECTASHIELD mounting medium containing DAPI. Cells were observed using a Confocal microscope (Zeiss Observer Z1) to detect the fluorescent signal. Images were captured at 63X magnification, analysed with Zen software 2011 SP3 (Black version) and depicted as “maximum intensity” projections from 20 stacks of representative ES cells (n = 150). Final assembly of images was done using ImageJ. The primary antibodies used are as follows: ZSCAN4, Millipore, Catalog number AB4340, 1:250. MERVL-gag, Hangzhou HuaAn Biotechnology, Catalog number R1501-2, 1:250. DPPA3, Abcam, Catalog number ab19878, 1:250. FOXD3: Merck Millipore, Catalog number AB5687, 1:500. H3K9me3: crude serum, Antibody no 4861 (Jenuwein lab), 1:1,000.

**Immunoprecipitation**

To determine the interaction between FOXD3 and SUV39H1/H2 and SETDB1, GFP-SUV39H1 and GFP-SUV39H2 expressing SUV39H dnt ES cells and Foxd3 cKO cells expressing GFP-FOX3D expressing Foxd3 cKO cells were used. Cells were subjected to immunoprecipitation with GFP-Trap Dynabeads (Chromotek gtdk-20) following the manufacturers’ protocol. The eluted IP samples were immunoblotted with specific antibodies using established protocols (Bulut-Karslioglu et al., 2012). The antibodies used for immunoblot are as follows: Foxd3: Merck Millipore, Catalog number AB5687, 1:500. GFP: Invitrogen, Catalog number A11122, 1:500. Setdb1: Thermo Fisher, Catalog number MA5-15722, 1:500. SUV39H1: Sigma-Aldrich (Merck), Catalog number 05-615, 1:250.

**Foxd3 RNAi**

Foxd3 siRNA (Catalog number L-043570-01-0005) and scrambled non-target siRNA (Catalog number D-001810-10-05) were obtained from Dharmacon. SUV39H dnt mESCs expressing GFP-Suv39h1 cells were transfected with 25 nM siRNA (scrambled or Foxd3 si) with the DharmaFECT transfection reagent (Catalog number T-2001-01) using standard protocols. Cells were harvested 60 h after transfection for further experiments.

**STRING analysis**

To determine the interaction profile of FOXD2, SUV39H1, SUV39H2, SETDB1 and SETDB2, these proteins were used as input in the “multi-proteins” window of the string.db algorithm (https://string-db.org/) and *Mus musculus* was selected as the model organism. The interaction score was set to 0.150, and all the active interaction sources were selected to determine putative protein interactions based on text mining, co-occurrence, experiments, databases, gene-fusion, co-expression or neighbourhood as depicted in Fig EV5A.

**Data availability**

The sequencing data generated and reported in this paper can be accessed in GEO using the accession number GSE173602.
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