Complex interactions between temperature and relative humidity on water balance of adult tsetse (Glossinidae, Diptera): implications for climate change
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INTRODUCTION

Water balance plays an important role in determining energy budgets, activity patterns, survival, and population dynamics and, hence, geographic distribution. Tsetse (Glossina spp.) are important vectors of human and animal disease occupying a wide range of habitats in Africa and are notable for their desiccation resistance in xeric environments. Here, we measure water balance and related traits [water loss rate (WLR), body water content (BWC), body lipid content (BLC) and body mass] in adult flies across a range of temperature (20–30°C) and relative humidity (0–99%) combinations in four tsetse species from both xeric and mesic habitats. WLRs were significantly affected by measurement under different temperature and relative humidity combinations, while BWC, BLC, and body mass were less affected. These results provide support for mass-independent inter- and intra-specific variation in WLRs and survival times. Furthermore, water balance responses to variation in temperature and relative humidity are complex in Glossina, and this response varies within and among species, subgroups, and ecotypes in terms of both magnitude of effects and the direction of change. Different effects of temperature and relative humidity within and among experimental conditions and species suggest cuticular permeability and saturation deficit are likely to be key factors in forecasting tsetse water balance responses to climate variability. This complicates potential forecasting of tsetse distribution in the face of climate change.
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Insect water balance plays an important role in determining energy budgets, activity patterns, survival, and population dynamics and, hence, geographic distribution. Tsetse (Glossina spp.) are important vectors of human and animal disease occupying a wide range of habitats in Africa and are notable for their desiccation resistance in xeric environments. Here, we measure water balance and related traits [water loss rate (WLR), body water content (BWC), body lipid content (BLC) and body mass] in adult flies across a range of temperature (20–30°C) and relative humidity (0–99%) combinations in four tsetse species from both xeric and mesic habitats. WLRs were significantly affected by measurement under different temperature and relative humidity combinations, while BWC, BLC, and body mass were less affected. These results provide support for mass-independent inter- and intra-specific variation in WLRs and survival times. Furthermore, water balance responses to variation in temperature and relative humidity are complex in Glossina, and this response varies within and among species, subgroups, and ecotypes in terms of both magnitude of effects and the direction of change. Different effects of temperature and relative humidity within and among experimental conditions and species suggest cuticular permeability and saturation deficit are likely to be key factors in forecasting tsetse water balance responses to climate variability. This complicates potential forecasting of tsetse distribution in the face of climate change.
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employed to enhance desiccation tolerance or resistance under dehydrating conditions (e.g., Woods and Harrison, 2001; Hoffmann et al., 2003; Terblanche and Kleynhans, 2009; Bazinet et al., 2010; Terblanche et al., 2010; reviewed in, e.g., Chown et al., 2011).

Generally, insects from xeric environments are either more desiccation resistant or desiccation tolerant than insects from mesic (moist) environments (Edney, 1967; Hadley, 1994; Le Lagadec et al., 1998; Gibbs and Matzkin, 2001; Hoffmann et al., 2003; Matzkin et al., 2009). However, insects from stable mesic environments might experience lower dehydration stress, resulting in an increased water loss rate (WLR) when exposed to xeric conditions (e.g., Yoder et al., 2011). Water balance traits can drive evolutionary processes, especially in insects associated with xeric environments, resulting in physiological diversification among individuals, populations, or species (Gibbs et al., 1997; Hoffmann and Harshman, 1999; Woods and Harrison, 2001; Chown and Terblanche, 2007; Gegen and Gibbs, 2009; Kleynhans and Terblanche, 2009; Matzkin et al., 2009; Simard et al., 2009; Benoit and Denlinger, 2010). Water balance trait variation can also extend to larger geographic scales (e.g., continental or global; Addo-Bediako et al., 2001; Chown, 2002; Hoffmann et al., 2003; Marron et al., 2003; Kleynhans and Terblanche, 2009).

Tsetse (genus Glossina) consists of 22 species which occupy a wide range of habitats in sub-Saharan Africa. Given their critical importance as a major vector of tropical human and animal disease (trypanosomiases), understanding the physiological drivers of tsetse water balance is crucial for predicting disease dynamics under climate change scenarios. Tsetse are classified into three major subgroups on the basis of morphological differences in the structure of the male genitalia (Rogers and Randolph, 1986; Leak, 1999). The three subgroups can also be differentiated by variation in physiology, behavior, and ecological characteristics related to sensitivity to moisture availability (Bursell, 1960). Tsetse population dynamics is affected by temperature and relative humidity variation in the field (Hargrove, 2004; Rogers and Robinson, 2004; Terblanche et al., 2008). Marked variation in daily and seasonal temperature and relative humidity has been measured in tsetse-occupied habitats (e.g., Hargrove, 2001, 2004; Jurenka et al., 2007).

Tsetse can experience mean temperatures between 20 and 30°C within a single species’ geographic range (e.g., Terblanche et al., 2006), with 1.2–5.6°C variation occurring seasonally (Jurenka et al., 2007) and varying by up to 20°C daily within a single location (Terblanche et al., 2008). Saturation deficits between 1 and 36 mB have been observed (e.g., Figure 7.3 in Hargrove, 2004) while relative humidity can also vary substantially at either low or high mean temperatures (Jurenka et al., 2007). Thus, a wide range of saturation deficits can be experienced over the average flies’ lifetime, across a species’ geographic range, or among species. Early studies concluded that adult water balance was not an important factor in the occupancy of xeric environments (Bursell, 1959), although water balance physiology is clearly important to the pupal stage (Bursell, 1958; Kleynhans and Terblanche, 2009). This former view contrasts strongly with much of the modern water balance literature (e.g., Terblanche et al., 2006; reviewed in Chown et al., 2011) and we suggest that this conclusion may have been reached prematurely without due consideration of plastic physiological responses and the range of potential conditions which affect WLRs (see also Terblanche and Kleynhans, 2009). Moreover, it is likely that the entire Glossina genus could be adapted to xeric environments thereby masking inter-specific variation measured under a single set of conditions. Indeed, much of the early comparative work on tsetse water balance physiology focused on inter-specific comparisons made from measurements undertaken under a single or a few controlled relative humidity conditions at a single temperature (e.g., Bursell, 1959). Adult tsetse feed solely on blood which leads to them facing a set of unique challenges in suppressing dehydration in the off-host environment and maintaining a positive water balance while actively seeking a blood meal, mates, or producing offspring (see discussions in Benoit and Denlinger, 2010).

Here we measure aspects of water balance physiology in adults of four ecologically diverse species of tsetse under a range of environmentally-relevant combinations of temperature and relative humidity. We aim to answer three main questions regarding water balance physiology of tsetse. (1) How does a species, water balance physiology respond to changes in temperature and relative humidity? (2) Does the interaction between temperature and relative humidity elicit a consistent physiological response among individuals and among species in terms of magnitude and direction of change? This can be rephrased to ask if water balance responses to temperature and relative humidity are similar between species or ecotypes. (3) What conclusions can be drawn regarding the interplay of temperature and relative humidity on intra-specific survival times? If species, or ecotypes respond similarly for a given trait, then this would allow predicted climate change impacts to be generalized across tsetse species, or one could use data from a single species to forecast environmental effects across species. However, in combination, temperature and relative humidity may behave synergistically, eliciting complex physiological responses (Gibbs et al., 1997; Gibbs and Matzkin, 2001; Chown et al., 2011). If, on the other hand, tsetse species differ in their water balance physiology and responses to varying moisture and temperature, then forecasting climate change responses for the entire range of species will be considerably more complex.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
STUDY ORGANISMS
We measured traits of water balance physiology in adults of Glossina brevipalpis Newstead (mesic), G. morsitans centralis Westwood (xeric), G. pallidipes Austen (xeric), and G. palpalis gambiensis Vanderplank (mesic; Diptera, Glossinidae). Pupae were received from a laboratory colony maintained at the Entomology Unit, FAO/IAEA Agriculture and Biotechnology Laboratory, Seibersdorf, International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, Austria, or from a laboratory colony maintained at the Onderstepoort Veterinary Institute, Pretoria, South Africa (G. brevipalpis). The pupae (22–25 days old) were received via air cargo in well-insulated non-airtight containers kept under controlled temperature conditions during transportation (typically <2 days of transport, temperature range 18–24°C controlled by two phase changing agents). Species were chosen to represent the three main tsetse subgroups: fusca (G. brevipalpis), palpalis (G. p. gambiensis), and morsitans (G. m. centralis and G. pallidipes). The fusca group resides in forests (mesic) and is the most ancestral of the three groups. The
**palpalis** group is also associated with mesic habitats and the *mor-sitans* group with xeric savannahs. Here, ecotypes were assigned according to Kleynhans and Terblanche (2009).

Upon arrival, puparia were kept in a dark climate chamber (Labcon, South Africa) at 25°C and 76% relative humidity until emergence. Once the flies emerged, they were transferred into mesh cages and fed three times, every second day, using defibrinated, infection free bovine blood on a membrane-tray system (similar to methods described previously in Terblanche et al., 2004, 2005). After the third blood meal, adults were kept under controlled conditions (optimal, standard rearing conditions: 25°C, 76% relative humidity) for a further 24 h before experiments began. Water lost by excretion was negligible (see Bursell, 1960). Each treatment group (*n* = 30) emerged on the same day to standardize age across treatments. Treatments were conducted in random order.

**EXPERIMENTAL TREATMENTS**

Water balance traits were determined at three temperatures: 21 ± 1.0, 25 ± 1.0, or 29 ± 1.0°C; and three relative humidities: <5 (0%), 73–77 (76%), or >95 (99%). Treatments and corresponding saturation deficits include the following temperature (in °C), relative humidity (in %) combinations: 21,99 (CW); 25,99 (IW); 29,99 (HW); 21,76 (CI); 25,76 (II); 29,76 (HI); 21,0 (CD); 25,0 (ID); and 29,0 (HD) where 

\[ SD = SVP - AVP \]  

where SD is the saturation deficit (in mB), SVP is the saturation vapor pressure (in mB) and AVP is the actual vapor pressure (in mB). The SVP is a function of temperature (in °C) and relative humidity (in %). The actual vapor pressure is a function of relative humidity (in %) combinations: 21,99 (CW); 25,99 (IW); 29,99 (HW); 21,76 (CI); 25,76 (II); 29,76 (HI); 21,0 (CD); 25,0 (ID); and 29,0 (HD) where C = cold, W = wet, I = intermediate, H = hot, and D = dry. Saturation deficit is an index of the evaporative capability of the air calculated as:

**PHYSIOLOGICAL WATER BALANCE TRAITS**

Treatments were performed for 24 h in the dark to suppress activity which can affect WLR. Bursell (1957a) concluded that relative humidity and hunger state affected tsetse activity. However, Terblanche et al. (2006) suggest that differences in activity levels do not account for WLR variation among populations or temperature treatments. Activity might affect the measured WLR estimates in response to stressful conditions (see Gibbs et al., 2003) or acclimation (see Hadley, 1994; Terblanche et al., 2006). However, during experimental treatments the adult flies did not show any significant differences in observed activity levels between treatments or species (and see Terblanche et al., 2006). We calculated WLR, body water content (BWC), and body lipid content (BLC) gravimetrically. Weighing took place for each fly individually on an electronic microbalance to 0.1 mg (Mettler Toledo AX504). Body mass was recorded at the start of the experiment (Mb) and after exposure to various controlled temperature and relative humidity treatments (Mbₜ). WLR was calculated by subtracting Mbₜ from Mb and dividing the difference by the treatment time (in h).

Tsetse were dried to a constant mass at 50–60°C for approximately 72 h and re-weighted to determine dry mass (DM). The BWC was calculated as the difference between Mb and DM. Finally, body lipids were extracted using three chloroform:methanol (1:1 ratio) washes, once per day, baking dry at 50–60°C for approximately 72 h after the final wash and subsequent weighing. BLC was the difference between the mass after lipid extraction and DM.

**SURVIVAL TIME ESTIMATION**

Survival time was estimated given that the conversion rate of lipids to metabolic water is 1.08 and the critical lipid content is 4.2% of DM (Bursell, 1959). Furthermore, survival time is a function of all available water reserves and the rate at which water is lost, calculated as:

\[ S = \frac{(1.08 \times (BLC - (0.042 \times DM)) + (BWC - \partial))} {WLR} \]  

where S is survival time (in h), BLC is body lipid content (in mg), DM is dry mass, BWC is the body water content (in mg), \( \partial \) is a constant critical BWC, and WLR is water loss rate (in mg/h). The critical BWC is given by Bursell (1959) as 0.0657 mg for *G. brevipalpis*, 0.0645 mg for *G. m. centralis*, 0.0661 mg for *G. pallidipes*, and 0.0656 mg for *G. p. gambiensis*. To our knowledge, tsetse have an exclusively proline-driven metabolism and their capacity for carbohydrate metabolism is limited (Norden and Paterson, 1969; Bursell et al., 1974; Bursell, 1977). Therefore, it is unlikely that tsetse rely on carbohydrate-bound water to increase BWC, and it is also unlikely that changes in metabolic pathways (e.g., from carbohydrates to lipids) are used to increase net water content and thereby increase survival times. Consequently, these latter factors were omitted from the estimation of survival time.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

The response of WLR, BWC, and BLC in response to variation in temperature, relative humidity or the interaction of temperature and relative humidity was analyzed using type 3 generalized linear models (GLZ; ProcGenmod; SAS Enterprise Guide version 4.1, SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA) with a normal distribution of errors and an identity link function. Survival time was analyzed in a similar manner to investigate the survival time in response to variation in species, temperature, and relative humidity. In all GLZ analyses, deviance was scaled to 1 to correct for overdispersion. The four tsetse species exhibit substantial intra-specific size variation.
To control for potential size variation among treatment groups, \( M_b \) of each tsetse was incorporated as a covariate as a standard measure of individual size. We used STATISTICA (v. 10, Statsoft, Tulsa, USA) to calculate the size adjusted or least-square mean results for graphs. Overlap in 95% CLs was used to test for statistically significant homogeneity among treatment groups or species.

**RESULTS**

**WATER LOSS RATE**

In all four species examined, WLRs were significantly affected by body mass of individuals, test temperature, and relative humidity (Table 1; Figure 1A). *Glossina brevipalpis* (mesic), *G. m. centralis* (xeric), and *G. p. gambiensis* (mesic) also showed significant interaction effects between temperature and relative humidity, although this was not the case for *G. pallidipes* (xeric). After adjustment for the mean mass across all four species (mean \( M_b = 33.99 \text{ mg} \)), *G. brevipalpis* lost significantly more water at 29\( ^\circ \text{C} \), 0 and 76\% relative humidities relative to all other species measured at these conditions. *G. brevipalpis* lost water significantly lower under moist conditions (i.e., at saturation deficits lower than 30.22 \text{ mb}) than the other species (Figure 2).

All species lost water slower than expected at low saturation deficits and higher than expected at higher saturation deficits (Figures 3A–D). Generally, WLR for *G. m. centralis* were lower at lower saturation deficits (Figure 3B). Furthermore, *G. brevipalpis* showed a general positive association between WLR and temperature and a negative association between WLR and relative humidity. WLR increased significantly with higher temperature at 0\% relative humidity and decreased significantly with increased relative humidity at 25 and 29\( ^\circ \text{C} \). In *G. m. centralis* at 25\( ^\circ \text{C} \) and 0\% relative humidity, WLR was significantly higher relative to the other treatments. *G. pallidipes* showed the same general pattern, losing significantly more water at 25\( ^\circ \text{C} \) than at other temperatures, irrespective of relative humidity. For this species, WLR was significantly higher at 0\% relative humidity than at all other values, irrespective of temperature. *G. p. gambiensis* lost water significantly faster at 29\( ^\circ \text{C} \), 0\% relative humidity compared to all other treatments. In summary, within-species variation in WLR is significantly influenced by temperature and relative humidity in *G. brevipalpis* (*fuscus* group, mesic ecotype). At an intermediate temperature (25\( ^\circ \text{C} \)), *G. m. centralis* (*morsitans* group, xeric ecotype) WLR increases with decreased relative humidity. WLR is significantly higher at 0\% relative humidity in *G. pallidipes* (*morsitans* group, xeric ecotype) and higher temperatures (29\( ^\circ \text{C} \)) at 0\%, and 76\% relative humidity treatments results in a significant increase in WLR of *G. p. gambiensis* (*palpalis* group, mesic ecotype).

Even after adjustment for body size within each species (i.e., among treatment groups), there was significant variation in WLR across treatments (Figures 1 and 2), even within ecotype groups. Specifically, WLR of xeric species differed significantly among five of the nine experimental treatments and mesic species differed significantly in all but three experimental treatments (Figure 2).

**BODY WATER CONTENT**

As expected, initial body mass generally did not vary among treatments within each species (Figure 1D). Mass-independent variation in BWC with temperature and relative humidity was significant for all species, although these effects were less pronounced than in the case of WLR (Table 1; Figure 1B). The main effect of relative humidity did not significantly affect BWC in *G. m. centralis* (Table 1). Generally, *G. pallidipes* had a lower BWC (12.5\%) relative to all other species across treatments (Figure 1B). *G. brevipalpis* had significantly lower BWC than *G. p. gambiensis* at 29\( ^\circ \text{C} \), 0 and 76\% relative humidity.

**BODY LIPID CONTENT**

There was a significant interaction effect between temperature and relative humidity on BLC in *G. brevipalpis* and *G. pallidipes*, but no significant interaction effect in *G. m. centralis* or *G. p. gambiensis*. Only the main effect of temperature was significant for *G. p. gambiensis* (Table 1). In *G. pallidipes*, BLC was significantly lower at 29\( ^\circ \text{C} \) relative to 21\( ^\circ \text{C} \) across relative humidity treatments. By contrast, BLC was significantly higher in *G. brevipalpis* at 29\( ^\circ \text{C} \) than at 21 or 25\( ^\circ \text{C} \) across relative humidity treatments with the exception of 99\% relative humidity where no significant differences in BLC were observed (Figure 1C).

**SURVIVAL TIME**

The estimated time to death by water and lipid exhaustion is a function of all three traits of water balance (WLR, BWC, and BLC) and provides a good indication of overall water balance, assuming negligible activity effects. Lower WLR, higher BWC, and higher BLC should all potentially result in increased survival time (see, e.g., discussion in Chown et al., 2011). Body mass had a significant influence on survival time (Table 2, covariate mean mass: 33.99 \text{ mg}) and survival time varied among species and treatments when adjusted for differences in body size (Table 2). Moreover, the interaction effects of temperature and humidity also influenced survival time significantly (Table 2, Figure 4). However, since we were interested in the ecologically relevant variation in survival time among species, we also investigated survival time without adjusting for body mass (Figure 4). This suggested that the precise temperature and relative humidity combinations affected survival time of the different species and ecotype groups in a complex manner, and was not simply a consequence of body size variation. The slope of the relationship between temperature and survival time was generally negative. However, within-species slopes differed and were occasionally positive with increased temperature, for example, at 99\% relative humidity in *G. m. centralis*. *Glossina brevipalpis* survived significantly longer than all other species at 21\( ^\circ \text{C} \) compared to the other temperature treatments at 0 and 76\% relative humidity and at 99\% relative humidity across all temperature treatments. *G. p. gambiensis* had significantly lower survival time relative to all species tested at 21\( ^\circ \text{C} \), 76\%; 29\( ^\circ \text{C} \), 76\%, and all temperature treatments at 99\% relative humidity.

*Glossina brevipalpis* (mesic) survived significantly longer than *G. p. gambiensis* (mesic) and *G. m. centralis* (xeric) survived significantly longer than *G. pallidipes* (xeric) at 29\( ^\circ \text{C} \), 0\% relative humidity. This suggests within-ecotype variation and higher resistance to desiccation through water balance traits in hot, dry environments in *G. brevipalpis* (mesic) and *G. m. centralis* (xeric).
Table 1 | Summary of generalized linear model results [degrees of freedom (d.f.), chi-square ($\chi^2$) statistic, and corresponding $P$-value ($P$)] for water loss rate (WLR in mg/h), body water content (BWC in mg), and body lipid content (BLC in mg) as dependent variables, initial body mass as a continuous predictor and relative humidity, temperature, or temperature and relative humidity interaction effect (indicated with $\times$) as independent variables.

| Species                  | Trait  | Parameter          | d.f. | $\chi^2$    | $P$     |
|--------------------------|--------|--------------------|------|-------------|---------|
| G. brevipalpis           | WLR    | Body mass          | 1    | 349.51      | <0.0001 |
|                          |        | Humidity           | 2    | 165.44      | <0.0001 |
|                          |        | Temperature        | 2    | 133.94      | <0.0001 |
|                          |        | Humidity $\times$ temperature | 4    | 22.32      | 0.0002  |
| BWC                      | Body mass | 1    | 5857.33      | <0.0001 |
|                          | Humidity | 2    | 21.11        | <0.0001 |
|                          | Temperature | 2    | 20.44        | <0.0001 |
|                          | Humidity $\times$ temperature | 4    | 23.66      | <0.0001 |
| BLC                      | Body mass | 1    | 129.78       | <0.0001 |
|                          | Humidity | 2    | 38.37        | <0.0001 |
|                          | Temperature | 2    | 100.56       | <0.0001 |
|                          | Humidity $\times$ temperature | 4    | 55.55      | <0.0001 |
| G. m. centralis          | WLR    | Body mass          | 1    | 146.91      | <0.0001 |
|                          | Humidity | 2    | 77.31        | <0.0001 |
|                          | Temperature | 2    | 30.59        | <0.0001 |
|                          | Humidity $\times$ temperature | 4    | 55.07      | <0.0001 |
| BWC                      | Body mass | 1    | 5959.05      | <0.0001 |
|                          | Humidity | 2    | 2.07         | 0.3551  |
|                          | Temperature | 2    | 33.03        | <0.0001 |
|                          | Humidity $\times$ temperature | 4    | 17.53      | 0.0015  |
| BLC                      | Body mass | 1    | 75.62        | <0.0001 |
|                          | Humidity | 2    | 5.8          | 0.055   |
|                          | Temperature | 2    | 4.33         | 0.1146  |
|                          | Humidity $\times$ temperature | 4    | 8.36       | 0.0791  |
| G. pallidipes            | WLR    | Body mass          | 1    | 51.16       | <0.0001 |
|                          | Humidity | 2    | 127.17       | <0.0001 |
|                          | Temperature | 2    | 84.35        | <0.0001 |
|                          | Humidity $\times$ temperature | 4    | 4.56       | 0.3353  |
| BWC                      | Body mass | 1    | 760.58       | <0.0001 |
|                          | Humidity | 2    | 14.72        | 0.0006  |
|                          | Temperature | 2    | 75.75        | <0.0001 |
|                          | Humidity $\times$ temperature | 4    | 217.32      | <0.0001 |
| BLC                      | Body mass | 1    | 32.33        | <0.0001 |
|                          | Humidity | 2    | 13.7         | 0.0011  |
|                          | Temperature | 2    | 114.64       | <0.0001 |
|                          | Humidity $\times$ temperature | 4    | 74.53      | <0.0001 |
| G. palpalis gambiensis   | WLR    | Body mass          | 1    | 212.77      | <0.0001 |
|                          | Humidity | 2    | 90.43        | <0.0001 |
|                          | Temperature | 2    | 91.99        | <0.0001 |
|                          | Humidity $\times$ temperature | 4    | 205.29      | <0.0001 |
| BWC                      | Body mass | 1    | 13473.6      | <0.0001 |
|                          | Humidity | 2    | 41.64        | <0.0001 |
|                          | Temperature | 2    | 20.95        | <0.0001 |
|                          | Humidity $\times$ temperature | 4    | 123.26      | <0.0001 |
| BLC                      | Body mass | 1    | 38.01        | <0.0001 |
|                          | Humidity | 2    | 0.89         | 0.642   |
|                          | Temperature | 2    | 32.27        | <0.0001 |
|                          | Humidity $\times$ temperature | 4    | 7.54       | 0.1099  |

Traits were measured for G. brevipalpis (mesic), G. morsitans centralis (xeric), G. pallidipes (xeric), and G. palpalis gambiensis (mesic). Non-significant effects are indicated in bold.
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FIGURE 1 | Mean (±95% confidence intervals) results of Glossina brevipalpis (mesic), G. morsitans centralis (xeric), G. pallidipes (xeric), and G. palpalis gambiensis (mesic) (A) water loss rate (least-squares means in mg/h), covariate mean body mass = 33.99 mg, (B) body water content (as a % of initial body mass), (C) body lipid content (as a % of initial body mass), and (D) initial body mass (in mg). Water balance traits were measured at three different relative humidities and three different temperatures.

(xeric). Furthermore, G. brevipalpis survived significantly longer than G. p. gambiensis, except at 25°C, 0% relative humidity, which suggests variation in desiccation resistance within the mesic ecotype.

DISCUSSION

Here, we measured water balance and related traits (WLR, BWC, and BLC) in adult flies across a range of temperature (20–30°C) and relative humidity (0–99%) combinations in four tsetse
Kleynhans and Terblanche
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**FIGURE 2** | Means (±95% confidence intervals) water loss rates (% of initial body mass lost per hour) across a range of saturation deficits corresponding to the respective temperature and relative humidity treatments for xeric (left) *G. pallidipes* and *G. morsitans centralis* and mesic (right) *Glossina brevipalpis* and *G. palpalis gambiensis*. Treatments and corresponding saturation deficits (in mB) include the following temperature (in °C), relative humidity (in %) combinations: 21,99 (CW); 25,99 (IW); 29,99 (HW); 21,76 (CI); 25,76 (II); 29,76 (HI); 21,0 (CD); 25,0 (ID); and 29,0 (HD) where C, cold; W, wet; I, intermediate; H, hot; and D, dry.

**FIGURE 3** | General regression model results of the least-squares means fit for the nine distinctive treatments. The observed vs. regression-predicted water loss rate (% of initial body mass per hour) per individual are plotted for (A) *Glossina brevipalpis* (mesic), (B) *G. morsitans centralis* (xeric), (C) *G. pallidipes* (xeric), and (D) *G. palpalis gambiensis* (mesic).

species from both xeric and mesic habitats. In addition, we applied a simplified mathematical model to calculate survival time of a resting fly as a function of the measured water balance traits. One of the major outcomes of this work is that the results showed that the exact conditions under which the measurements of WLR were made either amplified or reduced variation within and among species, with the greatest differences found at low relative humidity and varying temperature...
One might reason that this is simply a consequence of changes in saturation deficit. However, as the subsequent analyses showed, this was not the case since WLRs were sometimes higher (and lower) than expected for a given saturation deficit (Figure 3). In sum, the results therefore suggest that both cuticular permeability, perhaps owing to temperature-dependent cuticular lipid phase changes (Gibbs, 2011), and saturation deficit together set WLR under a particular set of environmental conditions.

Water loss rates were significantly affected by measurement under different temperature and relative humidity combinations, while BWC, BLC, and mass were less strongly affected. The marked variation in WLR suggests that hot, dry environments are suboptimal for *G. brevipalpis* (Figure 1A). Furthermore, *G. brevipalpis* lost water slower than all other species in moist treatment conditions (Figure 2), which suggests the species is desiccation resistant and that there is within-ecotype variation in WLR responses. Furthermore, WLRs found here are similar to previous measurements by Terblanche et al. (2006) on *G. pallidipes* (24°C, 0% relative humidity) and Bursell (1957a,b) on *G. morsitans* (25°C, 99% relative humidity) if compared under similar measurement conditions. These results provide support for mass-independent inter- and intra-specific variation in WLR and survival times. Therefore, water balance responses to variation in temperature and relative humidity are complex in *Glossina*, and this response varies within and among species, subgroups, and ecotypes in terms of both magnitude of effects and the direction of change.

Variation in the response of BWC suggests within-ecotype variation between mesic species and tighter regulation of water balance in hot, dry environments in *G. p. gambiensis*. There was no consistent pattern in BLC across treatments for each species, as might be expected given the short duration of the treatments and Bursell’s (1959) finding that relative humidity does not have a major effect on the amount of lipid in a fly. On average, 0.8 mg fat is metabolically oxidized in 16 h, producing 0.86 mg water (Bursell, 1959). Lipid consumption increases in dry air as a consequence of the orthokinetic reaction to relative humidity and is directly positively correlated with tsetse metabolism (Bursell, 1957b). Thus the BLC variation observed in these experiments is likely to be a consequence of the temperature dependence of metabolic rate (e.g., Terblanche and Chown, 2007). However, it has been argued that lipid reserves should not become depleted in dry air before death occurs by desiccation in any species of tsetse (Jack, 1939; Bursell, 1959).

Species from mesic environments did not always survive the longest in high relative humidity conditions and those from xeric environments did not always perform best at low relative humidity perhaps suggesting complex evolutionary trade-offs among currently occupied environments. However, *G. p. gambiensis*

---

**Table 2 | Summary of generalized linear model results** [degrees of freedom (d.f.), chi-square ($\chi^2$) statistic and corresponding $P$-value ($P$)] (normal distribution of errors and identity link function) for time to death (in h) as dependent variable, initial body mass (in mg) as a continuous predictor and relative humidity (in %), temperature (in °C), and species main and interaction effects (indicated with ×) as model parameters.

| Parameter                           | d.f. | $\chi^2$   | $P$    |
|-------------------------------------|------|------------|--------|
| Body mass                           | 1    | 502.39     | <0.0001|
| Species                             | 3    | 235.99     | <0.0001|
| Humidity                            | 2    | 387.32     | <0.0001|
| Temperature                         | 2    | 84.73      | <0.0001|
| Species × humidity                  | 6    | 125.94     | <0.0001|
| Species × temperature               | 6    | 102.23     | <0.0001|
| Humidity × temperature              | 4    | 35.19      | <0.0001|
| Species × humidity × temperature    | 12   | 64.66      | <0.0001|

---

**Figure 4** | Means (±95% confidence intervals) for estimated survival time (in h) for *Glossina* species across the range of temperature and relative humidity treatments. Mesic species are presented by squares and xeric species by triangles. Survival time is calculated as the sum of the metabolic water yield from lipids (in mg), given the critical lipid mass (see Materials and Methods for details) and the initial body water content (in mg; see Materials and Methods for details), given the critical body water content of each species. This is divided by the rate of water loss (in mg H$_2$O/h) under resting conditions. All symbols are offset for clarity.
survived all experimental conditions poorly, which is in agreement with its mesic classification. In particular, at 99% relative humidity and at any temperature survival time was in the range of 30–40 h, a value approximately half that of *G. brevipalpis*. Thus, it seems that *G. brevipalpis* has at least partly overcome its mesic habitat restriction on the basis of its increased body size (Figures 1D and 4). Activity levels could confound our simplified estimates of survival time, especially under field conditions. However, the survival time calculations presented her consider water loss for the resting state of the adult fly for the purpose of inter-species comparison. We are therefore of the opinion that our estimates of survival time reflect the maximum likely survival time and probably overestimate survival time in the wild where a higher activity, higher WLR and, consequently, lower survival time might be expected, especially over longer durations. Thus, species-specific, temperature-dependent activity levels could in the future be included to make the survival time estimates more accurate.

Different effects of temperature and relative humidity within and among experimental conditions and species suggests cuticular permeability and saturation deficit are likely to be key factors in forecasting tsetse water balance responses to climate variability. Climate change is often viewed in terms of its projected effects on ambient temperature only (e.g., Deutsch et al., 2008) although it is becoming clear that such an approach may provide limited insights into realized ectotherm responses (e.g., Bonebrake and Mastrandrea, 2010; Clusella-Trullas et al., 2011). However, relative humidity is also likely to be affected, based in part on projected rainfall, fog, and cloud cover changes (e.g., Adler et al., 2008; Tebaldi and Sanso, 2009; Zhou et al., 2009). Ultimately, both relative humidity and temperature could change in different ways depending on the exact geographic location (see, e.g., Walther et al., 2002; Knapp et al., 2008; Bonebrake and Mastrandrea, 2010; Fung et al., 2011 and discussions in Chown et al., 2011; Clussella-Trullas et al., 2011). This study shows that due consideration of the synergistic impacts of temperature and moisture availability is important since the two potential stressors interact in fairly unexpected ways to affect measured WLRs. Moreover, given the survival time estimation results presented here, this interaction may have far-reaching implications for tsetse population dynamics, and hence, vector responses to climate change. In particular, in the four tsetse species we examined, which represented three different subgroups and two ecotypes, species WLR did not respond similarly to temperature and relative humidity variation. Perhaps the most surprising outcome is the difference in WLRs and survival time under desiccating conditions for two xeric species and two mesic species (contra Hoffmann et al., 2003), which one might have expected to respond relatively similarly, at least in the form and direction of the responses (though see also Terblanche and Kleynhans, 2009), especially after accounting for size effects. Here, we show that extrapolating water balance responses to temperature and relative humidity among species or even among ecotypes should probably be undertaken with caution. Future efforts to model tsetse species responses to climate change may therefore require species-specific information if water balance physiology is to be incorporated into mechanistic models (see, e.g., Kearney et al., 2009). This will likely increase the accuracy of predictions for the vector, thereby enabling better disease intervention planning in future.
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