Abstract

Background: There is increasing evidence supporting increased pain intensity following neurosurgical procedures. There are different approaches to analgesia following craniotomy and cranioplasty, but there is limited consensus on post-craniotomy pain management especially in the pediatric population.

Methods: A comprehensive online search was performed using the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) guidelines. Literature was taken from PubMed, EMBASE, Science Direct, ProQuest, and Google Scholar databases. Human comparative studies including randomized controlled trials and cohort studies evaluating pain scores after neurosurgery in pediatric patients were included in the review.

Results: A total of 3 RCTs and 6 cohort studies met the inclusion criteria. The heterogeneity of the studies included did not allow for data pooling and statistical analysis. All studies evaluated the efficacy of pharmacologic interventions in pediatric patients who underwent craniotomy by measuring postoperative pain scores. Continuous opioid infusions postoperatively provided favorable postoperative pain control in pediatric patients without serious opioid-induced adverse complications. Intraoperative doses of opioids for preemptive analgesia had favorable outcomes but still lack evidence. Non-opioid analgesics are suitable adjuncts to postoperative opioids to enhance analgesia and minimize adverse events use of local anesthetics as local scalp infiltration or nerve block for children resulted in lower postoperative pain scores and longer time to first rescue analgesia compared to placebo, but still need further studies.

Conclusion: Opioids remain as mainstay treatment for children who underwent neurosurgery but specific recommendations on the method and timing of delivery of opioids cannot be drawn from this review. The use of non-opioid analgesics and local anesthetics for local infiltration and nerve block need further research. There is a lack of high-quality evidence on this field, and additional research is necessary to improve pain management after craniotomy in the pediatric population.
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Introduction

Craniotomies in the pediatric population is a common surgical procedure owing to the high incidence of central nervous system (CNS) tumors in children [1] and an increase in the cases of pediatric traumatic brain injuries [2] requiring surgical management. Despite extensive surgical manipulation, craniotomies were assumed to have mild to moderate postoperative pain [3], therefore, pain assessment on post-craniotomy patients has been subjected to limited research especially in pediatric patients [4]. However, several recent studies suggest that moderate to severe pain in the first 24 to 48 hours postoperatively may be higher than previously expected [5], and postoperative pain following intracranial surgery is more significant than initially reported, with as many as 87% of patients reporting moderate to severe pain in the first 24 hours post-procedure [6,7].

Post-craniotomy pain is predominantly superficial, suggesting somatic origin [8] originating from the scalp, muscles, and soft tissue, with subsequent activation of the pain pathway from manipulation of the dura mater [9]. Post-craniotomy pain is usually localized to the surgical site and surrounding structures and results from incision and traction during surgery [10]. The nature of post-craniotomy pain is described...
as pounding or pulsating pain [8], and persistent pain observed in some patients is proposed to be from dural irritation, pericranial muscle retraction, decreased cerebrospinal fluid pressure, and aseptic meningitis [11], with tension headache and neck muscle spasm from surgical positioning contributing to postoperative pain [9]. Several studies have cited sex, age, and preoperative opioid use as correlate to increased post-craniotomy pain [11], while other studies show that craniotomy site influences postoperative pain, showing a trend of higher pain scores in patients who underwent posterior fossa and temporal craniotomies due to increased muscle mass incised and retracted during surgery [12]. Studies have also demonstrated that the choice of anesthetic used intraoperatively may be a factor in post-craniotomy pain, with inhalational anesthesia associated with higher postoperative pain [9].

There is no consensus on the approach to postoperative craniotomy pain. The uses of local anesthetics, NSAIDs, and opioids have been studied in adult patients for pain control after craniotomy. Regional anesthesia is employed through (1) Scalp block, used as preemptive analgesia, therefore decreasing the need for rescue pain medications at the Post-Anesthesia Care Unit [13], and (2) Local anesthetic infiltration on surgical incision, which produces a modest decrease in postsurgical pain scores up to an hour following surgery [14,15]. Nonopioid analgesics, including COX inhibitors and acetaminophen offer opioid-sparing effects, but may be inadequate if used alone post-neurosurgery [9]. Acetaminophen is ubiquitously used in pediatric neurosurgical practice due to its relatively high safety profile, with anti-pyretic and minimal anti-inflammatory activity [5]. COX-inhibitors are also used to manage post-craniotomy pain, with caution for possible risk of postoperative hemoptoma [16] and cardiovascular thromboembolic disease [16]. Parenteral opioids provide superior analgesia in patients who underwent major surgery. Opioids remain a mainstay in the management of post-craniotomy pain in the adult and pediatric population [17,18]. The more commonly used parenteral opioids are morphine and fentanyl in intermittent boluses or PCA infusion which reduce pain scores in post-craniotomy patients especially when used in conjunction with NSAIDs [5].

Despite the number of modalities available in managing post-craniotomy pain, neurosurgical patients have been subjected to undertreatment of postoperative pain due to a presumed lack of need for a more aggressive approach to pain control [19]. There is apprehension in the administration of opioids in the postoperative period, given concerns of miosis and sedation from overzealous pain management that can prevent the performance of accurate neurologic examinations and possibly conceal signs of intracranial emergencies [8]. In addition, the undesired respiratory depression from opioids can cause hypercapnia and cerebral vasodilation that may lead to neurosurgical complications, among them cerebral edema and increased intracranial pressure [20]. The minimal use of opioids based on issues regarding opioid-induced complications is further reinforced by the belief that neurosurgical procedures have modest pain [21,22], ultimately causing failure to adequately treat pain in the postoperative period. However, it is prudent to address post-craniotomy pain because poorly controlled craniotomy pain in negatively impact hemostasis and cerebral hemodynamics [6,7] causing cerebral hemorrhage and development of chronic pain [23]. Therefore, aggressive management of pain should be the prevailing standard of care [24].

Considering the limited literature on this matter, this systematic review aims to consolidate all available literature related to pharmacologic modalities for the pain control after craniotomy in the pediatric population. Specifically, this review will focus on the postoperative pain scores and rescue analgesic dose requirements after pharmacologic intervention in a pediatric patient for craniotomy or cranioplasty surgery.

Methods

A systematic review was done according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) without a formal registration number. A comprehensive literature search was conducted to identify relevant comparative studies on postoperative craniotomy pain management modalities on pediatric patients indexed in PubMed, EMBASE, Science Direct, Cochrane Library, ProQuest, and Google Scholar. The search terms (“craniotomy or neurosurgery”), “pain”, and (“pediatric or children”) were used with no date or language restrictions. The final search in all databases was performed on October 10, 2020.

Two initial reviewers (M.C.S.E. and M.G.C.P.) screened and assessed the titles and abstracts before full manuscripts were retrieved, then reviewed for eligibility according to the set inclusion criteria. All disagreements concerning study selection and inclusion were resolved through consensus with a third reviewer (K.M.C.S.).

The inclusion criteria for this review were human comparative studies including randomized controlled trials (RCT), and both retrospective and prospective cohort studies on modalities of pain management post-craniotomy in pediatric patients. Literature that assessed post-craniotomy pain in children using numeric or behavioral pain scales or additional analgesic consumption were considered. All studies that included manipulation of the cranium including emergency and elective craniotomies for tumor excision, cranioplasty, or cranial reconstructive surgeries, and cranial vascular repair were considered for review. Studies included in the final review may or may not measure secondary outcomes such as adverse effects of the treatment modality being studied.

Case reports, case series, book chapters, conference abstracts, review articles, and articles not written in the English language or unavailability of English-translated full text were excluded from the review. The references from the included studies were retrieved and manually cross-referenced to include any relevant literature that may have been missed during the initial electronic database search.

Data from the manuscripts were extracted and recorded in a dedicated data extraction form. The extracted data included publication details (author, year of publication, and study location), study details (type of surgery, sample size with mean age), interventions (intraoperative analgesia,
| Author                  | Study Type               | Year | Location   | Sample size/ Mean age, years | Surgical Procedure                                                                 | Postoperative Analgesia                                      | Intraoperative Analgesia | Follow-Up | Primary Outcome | Risk of Bias | Secondary outcomes                                                                 |
|------------------------|--------------------------|------|------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|-----------------|------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Xing, et al. [4]       | RCT                      | 2017 | China      | 160/NS                       | Open craniotomy                                                                    | Remifentanil TCI                                               | Morphine IV             | 48 hours post-op                  | FLACC, WBFS, NRS | Sedation, vomiting, pruritus, nausea, respiratory depression, additional acetaminophen |
| Maxwell, et al. [21]   | Prospective cohort        | 2016 | USA        | 200/7.8 ± 5.8                | Craniotomy, craniofacial surgery                                                   | Not specified                                                   | Not specified            | 5 days post-op                    | FLACC, WBFS, NRS | VAS, FLACC, WBFS, NRS, per rectum, morphine, fentanyl, acetaminophen              |
| Bronco, et al. [25]    | Prospective cohort        | 2016 | Italy      | 212/3.1                      | Supratentorial or infratentorial craniotomy, craniofacial surgery                  | Remifentanil TCI                                               | Morphine IV             | Between 4-6 hrs post-op, then every 8 hrs for 48 hrs | FLACC, WBFS, NRS | Sedation, vomiting, pruritus, nausea, respiratory depression, additional acetaminophen |
| Teo, et al. [26]       | Prospective cohort        | 2016 | Australia  | 52/7.2                       | Craniotomy, craniofacial surgery                                                   | Remifentanil TCI                                               | Morphine IV             | 48 hours post-op                  | FLACC, WBFS, NRS | NRS, FFP, additional analgesic, headache, pruritus, nausea, respiratory depression |
| Lim, et al. [27]       | Retrospective cohort      | 2016 | Korea      | 97/8.5 ± 3.4                 | Encephaloceles, infratentorial craniotomy, cranioplasty                            | Remifentanil TCI                                               | Fentanyl PCA, morphine PCA | Twice daily                         | FLACC, WBFS, NRS | Not measured                                                                 |
| Warre, et al. [28]     | Retrospective cohort      | 2016 | Canada     | 74/4.8                        | Cranial reconstruction, craniofacial surgery                                        | Remifentanil TCI                                               | Paracetamol IV          | Not measured                       | FLACC, WBFS, NRS | NRS, FFP, additional analgesic, headache, pruritus, nausea, respiratory depression |
| Gohari, et al. [29]    | RCT                      | 2016 | Egypt      | 30/8.9 ± 2.1                 | Craniotomy for supratentorial excision of tumor                                     | Remifentanil TCI                                               | Paracetamol IV          | Every 4 hours                       | FLACC, WBFS, NRS | VAS, FLACC, WBFS, NRS, per rectum, morphine, fentanyl, acetaminophen              |

**Table 1:** Study characteristics and results.
| Study                  | Selection of exposed cohort | Ascertainment of exposure | Demonstration that outcome of interest was not present at the start of the study | Study controls for age/sex | Study controls for additional factors | Assessment of outcome | Follow-up was long enough | Adequacy of follow-up of cohorts | Rating |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| Maxwell, et al. [21]   | ★                           | ★                         | ★                                                                                | ★                           | ★                                    | ★                     | ★                             | ★                               | Good    |
| Bronco, et al. [25]    | ★                           | ★                         | ★                                                                                | ★                           | ★                                    | ★                     | ★                             | ★                               | Good    |
| Teo, et al. [26]       | ★                           | ★                         | ★                                                                                | ★                           | ★                                    | ★                     | ★                             | ★                               | Good    |
| Lim, et al. [27]       | ★                           | ★                         | ★                                                                                | ★                           | ★                                    | ★                     | ★                             | ★                               | Good    |
| Warren, et al. [28]    | ★                           | ★                         | ★                                                                                | ★                           | ★                                    | ★                     | ★                             | ★                               | Good    |
| Smyth, et al. [31]     | ★                           | ★                         | ★                                                                                | ★                           | ★                                    | ★                     | ★                             | ★                               | Good    |

Good quality = 3-4 stars (★ ★ ★ ★) in selection AND 1-2 stars in comparability AND 2-3 stars in outcome;
Fair quality = 2 stars in selection AND 1-2 stars in comparability AND 2-3 stars in outcome;
Poor quality = 0-1 star in selection OR 0 star in comparability OR 0-1 star in outcome.
postoperative analgesic modality, drug dosage), and findings of the study. The primary outcome was the efficacy of the studied pain management modality assessed by the pain scores and supplementary analgesia consumption and secondary outcomes were adverse effects secondary to the pain treatment (Table 1) [4,21,25-31].

The risk of bias in the RCTs was assessed using the Cochrane Collaboration Risk of Bias Tool, where domains of selection bias, reporting bias, performance bias, detection bias, and attrition bias were classified as low, high, or unclear [34].

The heterogeneity of the studies and diversity of outcomes included in this review did not allow for data pooling and statistical analysis, therefore results are summarized narratively.

**Results**

The search strategy described yielded a total of 9 articles for review, as summarized by the PRISMA flow diagram (Figure 1). Three RCTs and 6 cohort studies (4 prospective, 2 retrospective) met the inclusion criteria and retrieved for full-text review and included in the final analysis.

**Study characteristics**

Characteristics and data were summarized (Table 1) and assessed for validity (Table 2 and Table 3). Postoperative pain after craniotomy was the primary outcome measured...
in all studies, assessed by standardized numeric and behavioral scales, using the Face, legs, arms, cry, consolability (FLACC) Scale [4,21,26,28], Wong-Baker Faces Scale (WBFS) [4,21,26,28,31], Faces Pain Scale-Revised (NPS-r) [21,27], Numerical Rating Scale (NRS) [4,26,27], Visual Analog Scale (VAS) [25,28,29], Affective Facial Scale (AFS) [30], Children’s Hospital of Eastern Ontario Pain Scale (CHEOPS) [30], or a combination of these scales depending on the age of the patients being assessed, and additional required analgesic postoperatively [4,27,29]. Secondary outcomes were measured in 7 out of the 9 studies reviewed and the adverse effects of opioids [4,21,25,27,28,30,31], one study assessed the adverse effects of local anesthetics [29], and one study did not measure any secondary outcome. Only perioperative pharmacologic treatments either through systemic drug treatment or use of local anesthetics as local scalp infiltration of scalp nerve block were employed in all the studies reviewed.

One study had a 24-hour post-craniotomy follow-up period [29], one study had a 36-hour follow-up [30], 3 studies reported results up to 48 hours postoperatively [4,25,27], while 3 studies extended the follow-up to 72 hours [26,28] and 5 days post-craniotomy [21]. One study recorded the pain scores until they were discharged with no fixed follow-up time [30].

Summary of findings

Systemic pharmacologic treatment: An RCT compared the incidence of moderate-to-severe post-craniotomy pain scores and total dose of rescue medications in three different opioid patient-controlled analgesia (PCA) protocols (fentanyl vs. morphine vs. tramadol) compared to placebo in younger (1-6 years-old) and older (7-12 years-old) children age groups. It showed that patients in the both the younger and older age groups receiving morphine PCA had statistically significant lower pain scores than those receiving fentanyl, tramadol, and placebo, with no significant difference in pain scores between tramadol and fentanyl PCA group [4]. Moreover, oral ibuprofen or morphine rescue doses were significantly higher in the control groups compared to the groups receiving opioid PCA infusions, with no significant difference between opioid-induced complications across all treatment groups and the placebo [4]. Similarly, a trend towards better pain control was seen in children receiving continuous morphine infusions after cranial surgery compared to those who did not receive morphine infusions postoperatively. [28]. In addition, children who received parenteral morphine given intraoperatively as a loading dose followed by postoperative parenteral morphine delivered either as an infusion or through PCA generally had lower pain scores over the 72 postoperative hours [26]. However, it was seen that there is a higher incidence of nausea in patients receiving continuous morphine infusion on their first post-op day [28].

Postoperative pain scores in children after Moyamoya surgery who received fentanyl and sufentanil through PCA were measured using median pain scores and additional rescue doses of ketorolac postoperatively. It showed equally effective post-operative pain control in both fentanyl and sufentanil PCA groups, however, the use of additional ketorolac was significantly higher in patients receiving fentanyl PCA [27]. In the same manner, a prospective observational study supports that craniotomy and cranial reconstruction pain are common among pediatric patients but effectively controlled using hydromorphone, fentanyl, or morphine administered intravenously either by PCA or by nurses on an as-needed basis, with no significant difference in pain scores between the different delivery groups [21]. The total opioid consumption of patients using opioid PCA was 3-5 times higher than those with intermittent as-needed administration [21], resulting in an increase in incidence of nausea and vomiting but was not associated with respiratory depression or neurologic deterioration [4,21].

The value of opioids in preemptive analgesia has been shown in an RCT wherein an intraoperative bolus or infusion of tramadol or fentanyl was delivered to control post-neurosurgery pain in children [30]. Even without a scheduled postoperative analgesic regimen, children who received tramadol bolus, tramadol bolus and infusion, and fentanyl infusion still had low postoperative pain scores at PICU where only 2 out of 42 participants required additional analgesia postoperatively [30].

The analgesic efficacy and safety of multimodal systemic pharmacologic analgesia using opioids with NSAIDs and paracetamol were assessed in several cohort studies. Paracetamol and ibuprofen were the common choices of non-opioid analgesics in children after craniotomy. Acetaminophen (paracetamol) and ibuprofen given postoperatively reduced postoperative pain scores and rescue narcotic requirements in children who underwent suboccipital decompression, especially when administered as a scheduled regimen [31]. These non-narcotics, in combination with rectal codeine or intravenous tramadol, afforded excellent post-craniotomy

---

### Table 3: Risk of bias assessment using cochrane collaboration risk of bias tool.

| Study | Random sequence generation (Selection Bias) | Allocation concealment (Selection Bias) | Selective reporting (Reporting Bias) | Blinding participants and personnel (Performance Bias) | Blinding outcome assessment (Detection Bias) | Incomplete outcome data (Attrition Bias) |
|-------|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Xing, et al. [4] | (+) | (+) | (+) | (+) | (?) | (+) |
| Gohari, et al. [29] | (?) | (+) | (+) | (+) | (?) | (?) |
| Chiaretti, et al. [30] | (+) | (?) | (?) | (?) | (?) | (?) |

(+)= Low risk of bias; (?) = Unclear risk of bias; (-) = High risk of bias.
pain relief in children with a median pain score of 1, and only 16-19% of children presenting with moderate to severe pain in PACU up to the second post-op day [25]. Multimodal analgesia minimizes opioid-related side effects as demonstrated by the common use of acetaminophen alone or in combination with opioids in children after neurosurgery, wherein 75-92% of patients received acetaminophen on their first postoperative day [21,26].

**Local scalp infiltration or nerve block:** Randomized trials and cohort studies assessed the use of local anesthesia as local scalp infiltration or scalp nerve block in pediatric patients for cranial surgery. The application of scalp nerve block using bupivacaine 0.25% before surgical incision in children for elective supratentorial craniotomy significantly decreased intraoperative fentanyl requirements and prolonged the time to first analgesic rescue dose in the first 24 hours after surgery [29]. Children who received scalp nerve block also had significantly lower VAS scores in the first 12 hours postoperatively compared to placebo, with no reported incidence of local or systemic side effects from local anesthesia [29].

Multimodal analgesia employing the use of scalp infiltration using bupivacaine and epinephrine combined with postoperative opioids also produced lower median pain scores in children [26]. However, the timing of local infiltration may affect the postoperative pain scores, with children receiving local anesthesia before and/or after surgery presenting with lower median pain scores compared to those who received local anesthesia only prior to incision [25].

**Discussion**

Pain management in the pediatric population poses a challenge due to their inability to describe or accurately express their pain. Some children are also hesitant in voluntarily verbalizing discomfort for fear of further interventions [28]. While increasing evidence has shown that pain following neurosurgery in adults is more significant [6,7,35] than previously estimated, there is still limited research on post-craniotomy pain management in the pediatric population. Even in the systematic review of postoperative pain in pediatric patients from Ross, et al. [36], pain following neurosurgical procedures in children was not assessed. This systematic review aims to summarize the efficacy and safety of the pain management modalities employed in children. There is a paucity of randomized controlled trials involving pediatric patients, therefore the interventions assessed in this review are variable.

Inadequate pain control following neurosurgery can have consequences leading to significant morbidity including systemic and intracranial hypertension, intracerebral hematoma, and seizures [6,7,23,37]. The studies reviewed assessed pharmacologic interventions employed in children for neurosurgery and varied in terms of methodology, assessed outcomes, interventions, and length of the follow-up period.

Although there have been previous concerns regarding the use of opioids following neurosurgery due to its undesired sedative and respiratory effects [8], parenteral opioids remain as the gold standard in managing moderate to severe pain and has gained popularity even in the pediatric population. Preemptive analgesia in the form of intraoperative opioid infusion is rarely considered in the pediatric population but has shown promising results in reducing postoperative pain scores after craniotomy [30]. This is in contrast to a previous meta-analysis concluding that preemptive systemic opioid administration is not effective in reducing postoperative pain scores [38]. Nevertheless, the use of opioids as postoperative infusions consistently produces favorable results in providing safe and improved pain control in children [4,21,28,30] due to better clearance and blood distribution [22]. Although the incidence of nausea and vomiting may be increased in children who received continuous opioid infusions [4,28], more serious opioid-related complications such as respiratory depression and altered neurologic status were not evident in any of the studies [4,21,25-28] included. Furthermore, the incidence of nausea and vomiting persisted despite discontinuation of morphine infusions [28] or concomitant naloxone infusion [21], suggesting that other etiologies of postoperative vomiting may have been present in these patients [28]. In addition, combining them with non-opioid analgesics such as paracetamol and NSAIDs as part of a multimodal analgesic regimen not only improves their safety profile but establishes enhanced analgesic value [25,26].

There are varying protocols on the delivery of pain management per institution. Opioid delivery as PRN (pro re nata or as-needed) dose is prompted only when the patient expresses pain [21]. However, several factors limit the efficacy of this analgesic delivery especially in the younger pediatric population. This includes the inherent delay between the occurrence of pain and administration of opioids [39], the inability to verbalize increased pain in younger children, and inadequate dosing and timing intervals from the prescriber’s lack of familiarity or fear of opioid-induced side effects [21]. Moreover, PRN administration of opioids may result in alternate periods of inadequate analgesia and excessive sedation [35]. Findings from Maxwell, et al. [21] showed that the use of PCA with background infusion using hydromorphone, fentanyl, or morphine in pediatric patients after craniotomy is safe and effective in reducing pain scores similar to the adult population receiving fentanyl [39] or morphine [40] based PCA treatment.

Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs offer satisfactory analgesic effects [8] for acute post-operative pain, and are a safe adjunct for pain control in children undergoing craniotomy [41]. Children who received scheduled doses of acetaminophen and ibuprofen after cranial decompression surgery experienced lower postoperative pain scores and required fewer rescue doses of narcotics and anti-emetics [31]. Despite previous restrictions on the use of NSAIDs due to their antiplatelet mechanism [39], non-opioid analgesics including COXIBs and acetaminophen are still commonly employed in pain management of children undergoing neurosurgery [21,25,26] to produce little to no pain at PACU [25,26]. However, it should be noted that the timing of delivery of these medications matters significantly, and regular, scheduled administration of acetaminophen or ibuprofen delivers more benefit than PRN doses [31].

Multimodal strategy for pain control in neurosurgery...
includes the use of local anesthesia for scalp infiltration or nerve block [8]. Randomized trials on the use of local anesthesia for pain management in adults have shown that regional scalp block improves recovery profiles of patients after neurosurgery by decreasing pain scores and reducing the postoperative need for opioids [42-44]. Likewise, cohort studies on the use of local anesthetics for local scalp infiltration or scalp block in children produced similar results of lower VAS scores and longer time to first rescue analgesia [29]. Yet, it is important to consider that local infiltration and peripheral nerve block only offer a short-term advantage in reducing pain scores after major craniotomy, and factors such as the timing of infiltration and duration of surgery should be considered [10]. Evidence on the use of local anesthesia in the pediatric neurosurgical patient is very limited and randomized trials are yet to be performed. Nevertheless, the safety profile of this technique even in children has been demonstrated [29] and adult findings are promising, therefore it should still be considered as an acceptable adjunct to systemic analgesia after pediatric cranial surgery.

Limitations

This review is limited by the paucity of available literature on pediatric pain management especially on the neurosurgical population. This study included all accessible comparative studies on pediatric pain and neurosurgery including randomized trials and cohort studies, yielding heterogeneous methods and interventions. Although there were no language restrictions applied during the search, studies with no English-translated full text were eventually excluded, further limiting records. However, as more randomized studies are conducted, standardization of outcome assessment and data collection intervals will allow for data pooling, comparison, and generalizability of outcome.

Conclusion

This systematic review evaluated studies on pain management post-craniotomy in pediatric patients. This review cannot provide specific recommendations on systemic pharmacologic treatment due to significant variability in methodology and standardization of data collection of the studies included in the review. Opioid treatment to control post-craniotomy pain in children is commonly employed but the method and timing of delivery still varies across different institutions. Non-opioid analgesics and local anesthetics are also used after craniotomy procedures in pediatrics but further studies are needed. In general, multimodal approach is still the best way to manage pain after craniotomy in the pediatric population.

There exists a gap between the use of evidence-based medicine and the availability of research specific for pain management in children undergoing neurosurgery. Non-pharmacologic pain management strategies used in adults could be explored for its applicability in pediatric patients. Finally, further research that will identify specific components of safe multimodal analgesia following neurosurgery and the most optimal method of pain and safety monitoring will be beneficial in the pediatric population.
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