Porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite promotes migration and osteogenic differentiation of rat bone marrow mesenchymal stem cell to accelerate bone fracture healing in a rat model

Background: Delay or failure of bone union is a significant clinical challenge all over the world, and it has been reported that bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells (BMSCs) offer a promising approach to accelerate bone fracture healing. Se can modulate the proliferation and differentiation of BMSCs. Se-treatment enhances the osteoblastic differentiation of BMSCs and inhibiting the differentiation and formation of mature osteoclasts. The purpose of this study was to assess the effects of porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite on bone regeneration and the underlying biological mechanisms.

Methods: We oxidized Se⁵⁺ to develop Se quantum dots, then we used the Se quantum dots to form a solid Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite which was then coated with polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) and etched in hot water to synthesize porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite. We used XRD pattern to assess the phase structure of the solid Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite. The morphology of porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite were evaluated by scanning electron microscope (SEM) and the biocompatibility of porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite were investigated by cell counting kit-8 (CCK-8) assays. Then, a release assay was also performed. We used a Transwell assay to determine cell mobility in response to the porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite. For in vitro experiments, BMSCs were divided into four groups to detect reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation, cell apoptosis, alkaline phosphatase activity, calcium deposition, gene activation and protein expression. For in vivo experiments, femur fracture model of rats was constructed to assess the osteogenic effects of porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite.

Results: In vitro, intervention with porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite can promote migration and osteogenic differentiation of BMSCs, and protect BMSCs against H₂O₂-induced inhibition of osteogenic differentiation. In vivo, we demonstrated that the porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite accelerated bone fracture healing using a rat femur fracture model.

Conclusion: Porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite promotes migration and osteogenesis differentiation of rat BMSCs and accelerates bone fracture healing, and porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite may provide clinic benefit for bone tissue engineering.
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Introduction

Delay or failure of bone union is a serious problem that troubles orthopedists, and it has been reported that more than 70% of all patients with major trauma require at
least one orthopedic surgery. Approximately 5–10% of fractures result in delayed union or nonunion. Bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells (BMSCs) offer a promising approach to accelerate bone fracture healing, and recent studies have demonstrated encouraging results suggesting that BMSCs can promote bone fracture healing. The capabilities of BMSCs to migrate to the fracture site, provide antioxidant protection and exhibit osteogenic differentiation play an important role in bone fracture healing.

After bone fracture, the migration of endogenous BMSCs to the fracture sites is a crucial step in the maturation of osteoblasts and formation of mineralized tissue. BMSCs migrate to the fracture site and then differentiate into osteoblasts and chondroblasts, which contribute to fracture healing through intramembranous ossification or endochondral ossification. Hypoxia, which is caused by bone fracture and vascular injury, triggers BMSCs to express bone morphogenetic protein (BMP-2), allowing fracture healing to initiate. However, the deficient scavenging of hypoxia-derived ROS impairs BMP-2 expression in BMSCs, and an abundance of ROS can also induce BMSCs apoptosis and restrain osteogenic differentiation, which may result in the failed initiation of fracture healing and in fracture nonunion.

It has been reported that selenium (Se) can enhance immune surveillance, modulate the proliferation and differentiation of BMSCs and protect BMSCs against injury caused by oxidative stress, and Se deficiency is detrimental to bone microarchitecture by increasing bone resorption. In addition, at the cellular level, Se treatment enhances the osteoblastic differentiation of BMSCs by reducing basal oxidative stress and inhibiting the differentiation and formation of mature osteoclasts.

However, a high dose of Se is harmful to humans, and Se has a narrow margin between safety and toxicity. Therefore, using nanotechnology, we developed a porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite that can slowly release a beneficial amount of Se, and the controlled release and in vivo stability of the porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite provide the characteristics of biosafety and low toxicity.

We used hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) to induce oxidative stress, and using an Annexin V-fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) / propidium iodide (PI) assay and a TUNEL assay, we found that the porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite can reduce oxidative stress induced by H₂O₂ and protect BMSCs against H₂O₂-induced apoptosis. We detected that the porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite can protect BMSCs against H₂O₂-induced inhibition of osteogenic differentiation by measuring alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity and staining with Alizarin Red S, which suggested that the porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite can protect BMSCs from osteogenic inhibition induced by H₂O₂ in the early and late stages of differentiation. Osteogenic differentiation of BMSCs into mature osteoblast is a complex process, and there are a number of transcriptional factors that participate in this process, including runt-related transcription factor 2 (Runx2) and BMPs. Runx2 is a transcription factor that plays an important role in osteogenic differentiation, and mice lacking Runx2 showed a complete lack of ossification. BMPs can phosphorylate Smad1, Smad5 and Smad9 and then phosphorylated Smad1, phosphorylated Smad5 and phosphorylated Smad9 form a complex with Smad4. The complex translocated into the nucleus and interacts with Runx2 to initiate osteogenic differentiation. We found that the porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite promotes osteogenic differentiation of BMSCs through the BMP/Smad signaling pathway and that enhanced CXCR4 expression can improve the migration capacity of BMSCs. We were pleasantly surprised to find that the porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite promotes migration of BMSCs using a Transwell assay. We detected enhanced gene expression of SDF-1 and CXCR4, indicating that the effects of the porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite on BMSC migration may be mediated by the SDF-1/CXCR4 signaling pathway. Finally, using a rat femur fracture models, we demonstrated that the porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite accelerated bone fracture healing in vivo.

In this study, we demonstrated that the application of a porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite protected BMSCs against H₂O₂-induced apoptosis. In addition, the porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite also promoted osteogenic differentiation of BMSCs and protected BMSCs against H₂O₂-induced inhibition of osteogenic differentiation through the BMP/Smad signaling pathway. We found that the porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite promoted BMSC migration using a Transwell assay, and the SDF-1/CXCR4 signaling pathway might play an important role in this process. Using a rat femur fracture models, we demonstrated that the porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite can accelerate bone fracture healing in vivo.
Materials and methods

Synthesis and characterization of porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposites

We synthesize a porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposites according to our previous study. First, we oxidized Se to develop Se quantum dots. Next, the Se quantum dots were used to form a solid Se@SiO₂ nanocomposites in an alkaline environment. Then, the solid Se@SiO₂ nanocomposites were coated with polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) and etched in hot water to synthesize porous structures. We also characterized Se@SiO₂ nanocomposites by means of a D/max-2550 PC X-ray diffractometer (XRD, Cu–Kα radiation; Rigaku; Tokyo, Japan) and transmission electronic microscopy (TEM; JEM-2100F; JEOL, Tokyo, Japan). Finally, we detected the cumulative release kinetics of Se from the porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposites in PBS at pH 7.4 and 37°C. Five milligrams of the porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposites was dispersed with ultrasonication in 2.5 mL of PBS of pH 7.4. Subsequently, the mixture was shaken at 37°C. At various time intervals, the mixtures were centrifuged to obtain supernatant, which was replaced with fresh PBS. The supernatant was filtered at various times, and the contents of released Se were determined by a Leeman ICP-AES Prodigy instrument.

Cell culture and animals

All experiments were approved by the Experimental Animal Center of Shanghai Jiao Tong University. The rats were bred and maintained under a 12/12 hr light/dark cycle with free access to food and water. The temperature was maintained at 18–25°C, and the relative humidity was set to 40–60%. Rats were housed for 1 week before the experiments began. BMSCs were derived from Sprague Dawley (SD) rats, which were approximately 100 g. Briefly, BMSCs were isolated from an aspirate of bone marrow that was harvested from the tibia and femur marrow compartment. Then, the complex was cultured in DMEM/F12 essential medium (HyClone, Logan City, Utah, USA) with 10% FBS (Gibco, Grand Island, New York, USA) and 1% antibiotic–antimycolytic (Gibco, Grand Island, New York, USA) for 48 hrs at 37°C with 5% CO₂. The detailed procedures for isolating BMSCs have been previously published. 27 Nonadherent cells were removed after 48 hrs, and fresh medium was added. When the cultures were almost 80% confluent, the cells were treated with 2 mL of 0.25% trypsin containing 0.02% EDTA (Gibco) for 2 mins at 37°C. Then, we cultured all lifted cells in a 10-cm cell culture dish, discarded the nonlifted cells and changed the culture medium every 3 days (using 9 mL of fresh medium each time). BMSCs from passages 3–4 were used in the following experiments.

In vitro safety of Se@SiO₂ nanocomposites

We evaluated the in vitro safety of the porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite by determining its inhibitory effect on BMSCs proliferation. BMSCs were seeded at a density of 1×10⁶ cells per well in a flat-bottomed 96-well plate for 24 hrs at 37°C with 5% CO₂. After 24 hrs, the cells were incubated with an increasing concentration of the porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite (ranging from 0 to 180 μg/mL). The next day, the proliferation of cells was determined by a CCK-8 (Yeasen Biological Technology, Shanghai, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, and the results were read using a microplate reader (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Multiskan GO, Waltham, MA, USA).

In vitro migration assays

We used a transwell assay (Costar, Transwell, Corning, New York, NY, USA), which was performed in 24-well transwell chambers with 8 μm nitrocellulose pore filters, to evaluate the effect of the porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite on BMSCs migration. BMSCs were divided into two groups: the blank group and the Se@SiO₂ group. The blank group was resuspended in serum-free DMEM/F12 medium, and the Se@SiO₂ group was resuspended in serum-free DMEM/F12 medium containing the porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite at a concentration of 50 μg/mL. Then, the BMSCs were loaded into the upper chamber, and DMEM/F12 medium containing 10% FBS was placed in the lower chamber. After 16 hrs, the BMSCs that passed through the membranes of the upper chambers were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (Servicebio, Wuhan, Hubei, China) and stained with 1% crystal violet dye solution (Beyotime Biotechnology, Shanghai, China) before images of each group were captured using a microscope (Leica, Leica DMI8, Heidelberg, Germany).

Detection of ROS and analysis of cell apoptosis

The BMSCs were seeded in 6-well plates and divided into four groups: the blank group, the H₂O₂ group (H₂O₂ at a concentration of 100 μM), the H₂O₂+ Se@SiO₂ group (H₂O₂ at a concentration of 100 μM and the porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite at a concentration of 80 μg/mL) and the H₂O₂+ Se@SiO₂ group (H₂O₂ at a concentration of 100 μM and the
porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite at a concentration of 160 μg/mL. After 24 hrs of treatment, we used 2, 7-Dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA) (Yeasen Biotech) to measure intracellular ROS. After DCFH-DA treatment according to the manufacturer’s instructions, the BMSCs were washed three times with PBS. Then, we acquired images using a fluorescence microscope (Leica, Leica DMi8). BMSCs apoptosis was detected using an Annexin V-FITC/PI Kit (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA) and TUNEL Kit (Yeasen Biotech). For the Annexin V-FITC/PI assay, briefly, BMSCs were washed with PBS and binding buffer and then resuspended in 200 μL of binding buffer containing 10 μL of Annexin V for 15 mins on ice in the dark. Then, PI was added to the binding buffer and the cells were stained for 5 mins. BMSCs apoptosis was immediately analyzed by flow cytometry (BD, AccuriTM C6, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). Approximately 10,000 cells were analyzed in each sample. For the TUNEL assay, briefly, the fixed BMSCs on the slides were washed with PBS and permeabilized with Triton X-100 (Beyotime Biotechnology) for 2 mins, followed by incubation with 50 μL of TUNEL reaction mixture according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Finally, the nuclei were stained with DAPI (Beyotime Biotechnology) and observed under a fluorescence microscope (Leica, Leica DMi8).

**Osteogenic differentiation**

The BMSCs were plated in a 24-well plate at a concentration of 2×10⁴ cells/cm² and divided into four groups: the blank group, the Se@SiO₂ group, the H₂O₂ group and the H₂O₂+ Se@SiO₂ group. When the cultures were almost 60–70% confluent, the medium of the blank group was replaced with osteogenic induction medium (OIM) (Cyagen Biosciences Inc, Santa Clara, CA, USA), and the medium of the Se@SiO₂ group was replaced with OIM containing Se@SiO₂ at a concentration of 80 μg/mL. The medium of the H₂O₂ group was replaced by OIM with H₂O₂ at a concentration of 100 μM, and the medium of the H₂O₂+ Se@SiO₂ group was replaced by OIM with 100 μM H₂O₂ and 80 μg/mL porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite. After 7 days of osteogenic induction, ALP activity of the four different groups was measured. The BMSCs were washed three times with PBS, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (Servicebio, Wuhan, Hubei, China) and incubated in 1% Alizarin Red S (Cyagen Biosciences Inc) for 30 mins. Images of calcium deposition were captured using a microscope (Leica, Leica DMi8) after washing three times with PBS.

**Western blot**

The BMSCs were plated in a 6-well plate at a concentration of 2×10⁶ cells/cm² and divided into four groups: the blank group, the Se@SiO₂ group, the H₂O₂ group and the H₂O₂+ Se@SiO₂ group. After 7 days of osteogenic induction, the BMSCs were washed three times with PBS and lysed in lysis buffer (Biotech Well, Shanghai, China) containing protease inhibitors (Biotech Well), phosphatase inhibitors (Biotech Well) and phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) (Biotech Well). Protein concentration was determined using a bicinchoninic acid (BCA) protein assay kit (Biotech Well). Equal amounts of protein from each group were denatured and electrophoresed and then transferred to a cellulose acetate membrane. After blocking in 5% nonfat milk for 2 hrs with rocking, the membrane was incubated in primary antibodies including anti-pSmad1/pSmad5/pSmad9 (Cell Signaling Technology, phospho-Smad1/Smad5/Smad9 Rabbit mAb, 1:1,000 dilution, Danvers, MA, USA), anti-Smad1/Smad5/Smad9 (Abcam, Smad1/Smad5/Smad9, 1:1,000 dilution, Cambridge, UK) and anti-β-actin (Proteintech, anti-β-actin, 1:5,000 dilution, Wuhan, Hubei, China) at 4°C overnight and then incubated in the corresponding secondary antibody (LI-COR, goat anti-mouse IgG (H + L)/goat anti-rabbit IgG (H + L), Lincoln, NE, USA) at room temperature for 2 hrs. After being washed three times with Tris-buffered saline (TBS) (Sangon Biotech, Shanghai, China), the cellulose acetate membrane was detected with fluorography (LI-COR, Odyssey CLX, Lincoln, NE, USA).

**Immunofluorescence staining**

BMSCs of the blank group, the Se@SiO₂ group, the H₂O₂ group and the H₂O₂+ Se@SiO₂ group were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (Servicebio, Wuhan, Hubei, China), washed with PBS, permeabilized with 1% Triton X-100 (Beyotime Biotechnology) for 30 mins and then blocked in 1% BSA (Kingmorn, Shanghai, China). Next, the cells were immunostained with primary antibodies (anti-pSmad1/pSmad5/pSmad9) (Cell Signaling Technology, phospho-Smad1/Smad5/Smad9 Rabbit mAb, 1:800 dilution), followed by Cy3-labeled goat anti-rabbit IgG (H + L)
Finally, the cells were covered with an antifade reagent (Biotech Well) and observed under a confocal microscope (Leica, Leica TCS SP8).

**Total RNA extraction and real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR)**

The BMSCs were plated in a 6-well plate at a concentration of 2×10^4 cells/cm^2^ and divided into four groups: the blank group, the Se@SiO_2 group, the H_2O_2 group and the H_2O_2 + Se@SiO_2 group. After 7 days of osteogenic induction, the transcript levels of genes associated with osteogenic differentiation, including Runx2, osteocalcin (OCN), BMP-2 and Smad-1, were evaluated. After 16 hrs of treatment with Se@SiO_2, we evaluated the transcript levels of SDF-1 and CXCR4 in the blank and Se@SiO_2 groups. We used Primer Premier 5 (PREMIER Biosoft International, Palo Alto, CA, USA) to design the primers. Total cellular RNA was extracted with RNeasy (Biotech Well) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. PCR amplification was performed using the ABI StepOne Plus System (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA, USA), and the primer sequences that were determined from established GenBank sequences are listed in Table 1. β-Actin was used as an internal control to evaluate the relative expression. The relative transcript levels were calculated as ΔC = Ctct1 – CtGAPDH.

**Rat femur fracture model and analysis**

Male SD rats with a weight range of 250–300 g were used in the study. We made an incision at the level of the lateral condyle, distally over the lateral right femur and separated the gluteus superficialis and biceps femoris muscles to expose the femur. We used a sagittal saw to make a transverse osteotomy at the middle part of the femur. An intramedullary 1.1 mm, Kirschner wire was inserted at the osteotomy and passed through the knee. Then, the Kirschner wire was cut at the level of the articular surface of the knee. Finally, the incision was closed with an absorbable suture. Next, the SD rats were randomly divided into the blank group (n=10) and the Se@SiO_2 group (n=10). The rats in the Se@SiO_2 group were injected intraperitoneally with the porous Se@SiO_2 nanocomposite (1 mg/kg) per day, and the rats in the blank group were injected with saline. In addition, each rat was administered penicillin intramuscularly for 7 days after the operation to prevent infection, and the rats were housed under identical conditions. Callus formation and bridging bone formation at the fracture site were monitored using a digital X-ray machine (Siemens, Munich, Germany) at 2–6 wk postoperatively. Radiographs of each animal were assessed by two independent observers. Rats were sacrificed, and the femurs were harvested for micro-CT (SCANCO Medical AG, Zurich, Switzerland) analysis at 6 weeks after operation. The scan ranges from 3 mm proximal to the fracture line to 3 mm distal to the fracture line. The animal experimental protocol was in accordance with the guidelines of the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, and the animal experiment was approved by the Ethics Committee of Shanghai General Hospital.

**Statistical analysis**

All experiments were performed at least three times. Qualitative data were representative of at least three independent experiments. Quantitative or semiquantitative data were expressed as means ± SD. The data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 6 (Graphpad Software Inc, La Jolla, CA, USA). The differences of data between two groups were determined by two-tailed unpaired or paired t-tests and P<0.05 was considered to be significantly different.

### Table 1 Primers used in RT-PCR

| Gene      | Forward primer sequence (5’-3’)       | Reverse primer sequence (5’-3’)       |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Runx2     | CCTGAACCTCACCAAGTCCT                  | TCAGAGGTGGCAGTGCTACCA                 |
| OCN       | AATAGCTCCGGCTACCTCT                   | GCTAGCTGGCAAACTGTTGGG                |
| SDF-1     | CTCTGCATCGTGAGGTTAACG                | GAGTTTTCCATGTTGTCAGTTGG              |
| CXCR4     | CATCTGTCACGCCCTTACCC                 | GAGGCCTCTGACACTGATCC                 |
| BMP-2     | CCTATATGCTGAGGTTACCG                 | CTGGCTGTGAGGTTAAGGTTGCC             |
| Smad-1    | AAGCGCTTGGAAATCGTCTCGGA              | GCTATTTTCTCCAGGGTGTTCC              |
| GAPDH     | CAAGTTCAACCGCAACAGTC                  | CCCCATTATGTGGTGGG                    |

**Abbreviation:** RT-PCR, real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction.
Results
Characterization of the porous Se@SiO\textsubscript{2} nanocomposites

The phase structure of the solid Se@SiO\textsubscript{2} nanocomposites was assessed using an XRD pattern, which showed an increase in the low-angle region. The characteristic peaks of the solid Se@SiO\textsubscript{2} nanocomposites exhibited a hexagonal phase, as referenced by the standard Se phase (Figure 1A).

We used TEM to detect the morphological characteristics and sizes of the Se@SiO\textsubscript{2} nanocomposites. The solid Se@SiO\textsubscript{2} nanocomposites are shown in Figure 1B and Figure 1C, and the porous Se@SiO\textsubscript{2} nanocomposites are shown in Figure 1D. As we can see, irregular quantum dots were interspersed from the center to the surface, the size of which was <5 nm (Figure 1B, C).

We also used a CCK-8 assay to evaluate the cytotoxicity of the Se@SiO\textsubscript{2} nanocomposites on BMSCs according to the manufacturer’s instructions. BMSCs were incubated with the porous Se@SiO\textsubscript{2} nanocomposite at a concentration of 0–180 μg/mL, and the results showed that the cell viability did not decrease significantly compared to the cell viability of the blank group until the concentration reached 160 μg/mL (Figure 1E).

Se release was measured at pH 7.4 and 37°C, which is close to semiphysiological conditions. As shown in Figure 1F, the release rate of Se was rapid on the first day and gradually slowed over the next 9 days (Figure 1F), and the results were consistent with those in our previous study.\textsuperscript{28,29}

The porous Se@SiO\textsubscript{2} nanocomposite promotes BMSCs migration

The migration of BMSCs was assessed in vitro. We used a transwell assay to determine cell mobility in response to the porous Se@SiO\textsubscript{2} nanocomposite. Our results

Figure 1 The characterization and cytotoxicity of the Se@SiO\textsubscript{2} nanocomposites. (A) XRD pattern of the solid Se@SiO\textsubscript{2} nanocomposites and the standard hexagonal phase of Se (JCPDS card no: 65-1876). (B) Low- and (C) high-magnification TEM image of the solid Se@SiO\textsubscript{2} nanocomposites. (D) Medium-magnification images of the porous Se@SiO\textsubscript{2} nanocomposites. (E) The cell viability of BMSCs treated with different concentrations of the porous Se@SiO\textsubscript{2} nanocomposites for 24 hrs. Data are expressed as means ± SDs (n=3). (F) The cumulative release kinetics of Se from the porous Se@SiO\textsubscript{2} nanocomposites in PBS at 37°C and pH 7.4.

Abbreviations: TEM, transmission electron microscopy; XRD, X-ray diffractometer.
demonstrated that the porous Se@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite promoted BMSCs migration compared to that in the blank group (Figure 2A, B). Then, we compared the mRNA expression levels of the blank group and the Se@SiO$_2$ group. We found that the gene expression of SDF-1 and CXCR4 in the Se@SiO$_2$ group was increased compared to that in the blank group, suggesting that the porous Se@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite may promote BMSCs migration through the SDF-1/CXCR4 signaling pathway (Figure 2C, D).

The porous Se@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite decreases the level of intracellular ROS more evidently at 160 µg/mL than at 80 µg/mL (Figure 3A–D, and U). We assessed BMSCs apoptosis by an Annexin V and PI double-staining. BMSCs labeled with Annexin V (Annexin V$^-$) indicate apoptotic cells, including early apoptotic cells (Annexin V$^-$/PI$^-$) and late apoptotic cells (Annexin V$^+$/PI$^+$). The percentage of apoptotic cells was 0.1% in the control group. After H$_2$O$_2$ treatment, the percentage of apoptotic cells increased to 88.8%. After treatment with 80 µg/mL and 160 µg/mL porous Se@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite, the percentage of apoptotic cells decreased to 34.1%, and 14.1%, respectively (Figure 3E–H, V, and W). We also used a TUNEL assay to detect the apoptosis rate of BMSCs. The results showed that the increased apoptosis rate in the H$_2$O$_2$ group was comparable to that in the blank group, and the apoptosis rate in the H$_2$O$_2^+$ Se@SiO$_2$ group (the concentration of porous Se@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite was 80 µg/mL and 160 µg/mL, respectively) was significantly lower than that in with the H$_2$O$_2$ group. The porous Se@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite at a concentration of 160 µg/mL decreased the apoptosis rate more evidently than did the porous Se@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite at a concentration of 80 µg/mL, which further demonstrates that the porous

**Figure 2** Porous Se@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite has a powerful capacity to promote BMSCs migration. (A and B) The porous Se@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite can promote migration of BMSCs, which was demonstrated by transwell assays. BMSCs that passed through the membrane were detected with crystal violet staining. (C) The gene expression of SDF-1 in the blank group and Se@SiO$_2$ group was compared using RT-PCR. ***p<0.05, blank group vs Se@SiO$_2$ group. Data are expressed as means ± SDs (n=3). (D) The gene expression of CXCR4 in the blank group and Se@SiO$_2$ group. **p<0.05, blank group vs Se@SiO$_2$ group. All data were expressed as mean ± SDs (n=3).

Abbreviation: BMSCs, bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells.
Flow cytometry analysis after staining with Annexin V and PI. (E–T) Staining BMSCs with DAPI and TUNEL. (U) Staining of BMSCs with ROS and DAPI. 

**Figure 3** Porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite can reduce intracellular ROS formation and protect BMSCs against hydrogen peroxide-induced apoptosis. (A–D) The production of ROS induced by H₂O₂ in the H₂O₂ group was higher than that in the H₂O₂ + Se@SiO₂ group, and the porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite at a concentration of 160 μg/mL could decrease the level of intracellular ROS more than porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite at a concentration of 80 μg/mL. (E–H) Cell apoptosis was detected by flow cytometry analysis after staining with Annexin V and PI. (<0.05, H₂O₂ group vs H₂O₂ + Se@SiO₂ group (160 μg/mL), ***p<0.05, blank group vs H₂O₂ group, *p<0.05, H₂O₂ group vs H₂O₂ + Se@SiO₂ group (80 μg/mL), **p<0.05, H₂O₂ group vs H₂O₂ + Se@SiO₂ group (160 μg/mL). Data are expressed as means ± SDs (n=3).) The bar graph of early apoptosis rate (%), ***p<0.05, blank group vs H₂O₂ group, *p<0.05, H₂O₂ group vs H₂O₂ + Se@SiO₂ group (80 μg/mL), **p<0.05, H₂O₂ group vs H₂O₂ + Se@SiO₂ group (160 μg/mL). Data are expressed as means ± SDs (n=3).) The bar graph of late apoptosis rate (%), *p<0.05, blank group vs H₂O₂ group, **p<0.05, H₂O₂ group vs H₂O₂ + Se@SiO₂ group (80 μg/mL), ***p<0.05, H₂O₂ group vs H₂O₂ + Se@SiO₂ group (160 μg/mL). Data are expressed as means ± SDs (n=3).) The bar graph of apoptosis rate (%), ***p<0.05, blank group vs H₂O₂ group, **p<0.05, H₂O₂ group vs H₂O₂ + Se@SiO₂ group (80 μg/mL), ***p<0.05, H₂O₂ group vs H₂O₂ + Se@SiO₂ group (160 μg/mL). Data are expressed as means ± SDs (n=3).) Statistical analysis of TUNEL staining. The numbers of TUNEL-positive cells of all cells was calculated under a fluorescent microscope. ***p<0.05, blank group vs H₂O₂ group, *p<0.05, H₂O₂ group vs H₂O₂ + Se@SiO₂ group (80 μg/mL), **p<0.05, H₂O₂ group vs H₂O₂ + Se@SiO₂ group (160 μg/mL). All data are expressed as means ± SDs (n=3).

**Abbreviations:** ROS, reactive oxygen species; DCF, dichlorofluorescein; DAPI, 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; FITC, fluorescein isothiocyanate; PI, propidium iodide.
Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite can protect BMSCs against H₂O₂-induced apoptosis (Figure 3I–T and X).

The porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite promotes osteogenic differentiation of BMSCs and protects BMSCs against H₂O₂-induced inhibition of osteogenic differentiation through the BMP/Smad signaling pathway

After 7 days of osteogenic induction, we measured the ALP activity of four different groups and found that the porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite increased ALP activity in the Se@SiO₂ group and the H₂O₂+Se@SiO₂ group compared with that in the blank group and the H₂O₂ group, respectively (Figure 4A–H). After 21 days of osteogenic induction, BMSCs were incubated in 1% Alizarin Red S for 30 mins, and we found that more calcium deposits appeared in the Se@SiO₂ group and H₂O₂+Se@SiO₂ group than in the blank group and H₂O₂ group (Figure 4I–P). Because of its important role in osteogenic differentiation, we hypothesized that the BMP/Smad signaling pathway might be involved in osteogenic differentiation induced by the porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite. After 7 days of osteogenic induction, the mRNA levels of Runx2, OCN, BMP-2 and Smad-1 were analyzed using RT-PCR analysis (Figure 4Q–T). We found that the porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite promoted the expression of Runx2, OCN, BMP-2 and Smad-1 using RT-PCR and protected the expression of Runx2, OCN, BMP-2 and Smad-1 against H₂O₂-induced inhibition, suggesting that the porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite promotes osteogenic differentiation of BMSCs and that the BMP/Smad signaling pathway may be involved in this process. Then, we used Western blot to detect the effects of porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite on pSmad1/5/9 and Smad1/5/9 protein levels. Western blot analysis showed that the porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite increased the levels of pSmad1/5/9 but had no obvious effects on the total protein levels of Smad1/5/9, suggesting that the BMP/Smad signaling pathway may participate in osteogenic differentiation induced by the porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite (Figure 4U–X). To further study the influence of the porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite on the BMP/Smad signaling pathway, we detected the nuclear translocation of pSmad1/5/9, which acts as an activator of the BMP/Smad signaling pathway. The results of our study showed that the porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite promoted pSmad1/5/9 translocation from the cytoplasm to the nucleus (Figure 5A–X). In summary, we suggest that the porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite can promote osteogenic differentiation and protect BMSCs against H₂O₂-induced inhibition of osteogenic differentiation through the BMP/Smad signaling pathway, and Runx2, which is an important transcription factor responsible for the osteogenic differentiation of BMSCs, is involved in this process.

The porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite promotes fracture healing in a rat femur fracture model

We created an open femur fracture model using 8-week-old male SD rats to explore whether the porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite could accelerate bone fracture healing. The radiographs of the Se@SiO₂ group showed that bridging callus formation was observed at 2 weeks after porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite injection. In addition, the cortical gap of the Se@SiO₂ group disappeared at 4 weeks, which indicated bone union. In contrast, the bridging callus formation of the blank group was observed at 4 weeks, and the cortical gap of the blank group disappeared at 6 weeks (Figure 6A–F). Six weeks after injection, we collected the femurs for micro-CT (Figure 7A–F). According to the micro-CT results, there was more bone formation in the Se@SiO₂ group than in the blank group, and the bone mineral density (BMD) (Figure 7G) and total bone volume (BV)/total tissue volume (TV) (Figure 7H) were higher than those of the blank group.

Our results suggest a working model of the porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite for the promotion of osteogenic differentiation and protection of BMSCs against H₂O₂-induced inhibition of osteogenic differentiation, as shown in Figure 8. In this model, the porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite can activate the BMP/Smad signaling pathway by increasing the levels of phosphorylated Smad1/5/9. Then, phosphorylated Smad1, phosphorylated Smad5 and phosphorylated Smad9 can form a complex with Smad4, and this complex translocates into the nucleus. In the nucleus, phosphorylated Smads proteins (pSmad) can increase the expression of osteogenic differentiation genes, such as Runx-2, to promote the osteogenic differentiation of BMSCs.

Discussion

In this study, we found that the porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite promotes BMSCs migration and protects BMSCs against H₂O₂-induced apoptosis. In addition, the porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite also protects BMSCs...
Figure 4 Porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite can promote osteogenic differentiation of BMSCs and protect BMSCs against H₂O₂-induced inhibition of osteogenic differentiation through the BMP/Smad signaling pathway. (A–H) The ALP activity of each group was measured after 7 days. (I–P) Calcium deposits of each group were measured by Alizarin Red staining after 21 days. (Q–T) After 7 days of osteogenic induction, the genes of each group related to osteogenic differentiation of BMSCs were analyzed by RT-PCR. (Q) Porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite increased the expression of Runx2. **p<0.05, blank group vs Se@SiO₂ group. ***p<0.05, H₂O₂ group vs H₂O₂+Se@SiO₂ group. (R) Porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite increased the expression of OCN. **p<0.05, blank group vs Se@SiO₂ group. ***p<0.05, H₂O₂ group vs H₂O₂+Se@SiO₂ group. (S) Porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite increased the expression of BMP-2. **p<0.05, blank group vs Se@SiO₂ group. p<0.05, H₂O₂ group vs H₂O₂+Se@SiO₂ group. (T) Porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite increased the expression of Smad1. **p<0.05, blank group vs Se@SiO₂ group. *p<0.05, H₂O₂ group vs H₂O₂+Se@SiO₂ group. (U and V) Total proteins from four groups were extracted, and we analyzed the levels of Smad1/5/9 and pSmad1/5/9 by Western blot. β-Actin was used as a loading control. (W) Expression of Smad1/5/9 was determined by Western blotting. There was no significant difference of blank group vs Se@SiO₂ group and H₂O₂ group vs H₂O₂+Se@SiO₂ group. *p>0.05. (X) Expression of pSmad1/5/9 was determined by Western blotting. **p<0.05, blank group vs Se@SiO₂ group. ***p<0.05, H₂O₂ group vs H₂O₂+Se@SiO₂ group. All data are expressed as means ± SDs (n=3).

Abbreviations: ALP, alkaline phosphatase; ARS, Alizarin Red s.
Figure 5 Porous Se@SiO₂ nanocomposite promoted the translocation of pSmad1/5/9 from the cytoplasm to the nucleus. (A–X) Immunofluorescence analysis of pSmad1/5/9 in four different groups.

Abbreviation: DAPI, 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole.

Figure 6 Radiographs at 2, 4 and 6 weeks were taken after the operation. (A–F) The bridging callus formation was observed at 2 weeks after operation in the Se@SiO₂ group. The cortical gap of the Se@SiO₂ group disappeared at 4 weeks postoperatively. On the other hand, bridging callus formation in the blank group was observed at 4 weeks, and there was no bone union at 4 weeks. All data are expressed as means ± SDs (n=3).
against $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$-induced inhibition of osteogenic differentiation and promotes bone fracture healing in SD rats.

The migration of endogenous BMSCs to the fracture site is critical for bone fracture healing, which contributes to osteoblast maturation and the formation of mineralized tissue through intramembranous ossification or endochondral ossification.\textsuperscript{30} Apart from direct cell differentiation, BMSCs can also promote bone fracture healing by secreting different kinds of growth factors and inflammatory cytokines.\textsuperscript{31–33} We indicated that the porous Se@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite can promote BMSCs migration in vitro using a transwell assay. We detected that the gene expression of $\text{SDF-1}$ and $\text{CXCR4}$ improved after treatment with the porous Se@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite, indicating that the effects of the porous Se@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite on BMSCs migration may be mediated by the SDF-1/CXCR4 signaling pathway, which plays an important role in controlling BMSCs migration.\textsuperscript{34}

The damage of blood vessels after bone fracture can result in hypoxia. On the one hand, hypoxia triggers BMSCs to express BMP-2 and initiate fracture healing; on the other hand, enhanced ROS generation impairs BMP-2 expression, induces BMSCs apoptosis and restricts the osteogenic differentiation of BMSCs, which may ultimately lead to delayed union or nonunion of fracture.\textsuperscript{12,35–37} Therefore, reducing oxidative stress and eliminating excessive ROS may promote bone fracture.\textsuperscript{38,39}

Se is of great significance to human health, and selenoenzymes, such as glutathione peroxidases and selenoprotein P, play an important role in protecting cells against oxidative stress.\textsuperscript{40} Se deficiency may result in a deficient antioxidative capacity in some illnesses\textsuperscript{41} and in the case of multiple severe injuries.\textsuperscript{42} In addition, Se is essential in bone health, as best reflected by Kashin–Beck disease,\textsuperscript{43,44} and it has been reported that Se plays a quite important role in the proliferation and differentiation of BMSCs\textsuperscript{16,18} and osteoclasts.\textsuperscript{19,20} Therefore, the application of Se in fracture healing is of great importance.

However, too much Se potentially induces harmful effects on health,\textsuperscript{21} and considering the narrow margin between safety and toxicity, the harmful levels of excess Se and the safe upper limit of Se have been disputed.\textsuperscript{22} Although, Se at the nanoscale (nano-Se) can reduce the risk of Se toxicity,\textsuperscript{45} it is not enough to meet the

---

**Figure 7** The results of micro-CT of fracture callus at 6 weeks after operation. (A–F) Representative images of micro-CT of the Se@SiO$_2$ group and blank group. (G) The BMD was analyzed by micro-CT. *$p<0.05$, blank group vs Se@SiO$_2$ group. (H) The BV/TV was analyzed by micro-CT. *$p<0.05$, blank group vs Se@SiO$_2$ group.

**Abbreviations:** CT, computed tomography; BMD, bone mineral density; BV, bone volume; TV, tissue volume.
An advantage of the porous Se@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite is that it can slowly and persistently release a beneficial amount of Se. Our results indicated that the release rate of Se was rapid on the first day and gradually slowed over the next 9 days at pH 7.4 and 37°C. To simulate the fracture acidic environment, the Se release in pH 5.0 has been studied in our previous study. The results indicated that not much difference was observed between the release of Se quantum dots from porous Se@SiO$_2$ nanospheres in PBS of pH 7.4 and that of pH 5.0. The controlled release and in vivo stability of the porous Se@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite provide the characteristics of biosafety and low toxicity. In this study, we confirmed the safety of the porous Se@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite on BMSCs using the CCK-8 assay. Additionally, our previous study confirmed that administration of the porous Se@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite did not lead to noticeable damage in major organs, which reflects the safety of the porous Se@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite in vivo. Safety of the porous Se@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite in vitro and in vivo indicates that the porous Se@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite may be an ideal material for further use in clinical treatment.
Excessive oxidative stress can induce BMSCs apoptosis. DCFH-DA fluorescence intensity assays showed that the porous Se@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite significantly reduced ROS levels produced by BMSCs under H$_2$O$_2$ conditions. We also found that the porous Se@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite protected BMSCs against apoptosis induced by H$_2$O$_2$, as demonstrated by the Annexin V-FITC/PI assay and TUNEL assay. The results of the experiment led to the conclusion that the porous Se@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite can increase the capacity of BMSCs to withstand ROS damage under oxidative stress.

Excessive oxidative stress may inhibit osteogenic differentiation of BMSCs. We found that the porous Se@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite protected BMSCs against H$_2$O$_2$-induced inhibition of osteogenic differentiation. ALP is an early marker of osteogenic differentiation. H$_2$O$_2$ treatment remarkably reduced ALP activity compared to that of the blank group; however, the porous Se@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite downregulated ALP activity, indicating that the porous Se@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite can promote BMSCs against H$_2$O$_2$-induced osteogenic inhibition in the early stage of differentiation. Protection of the late stage of osteogenic differentiation was confirmed by Alizarin Red S. Apart from protecting BMSCs against H$_2$O$_2$-induced inhibition of osteogenic differentiation, the ALP activity results and Alizarin Red S staining results also indicated that the porous Se@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite can promote the osteogenic differentiation of BMSCs.

The ability of the porous Se@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite to promote osteogenic differentiation of BMSCs and to protect BMSCs against H$_2$O$_2$-induced inhibition of osteogenic differentiation was further detected by RT-PCR. Compared with the expression levels in the H$_2$O$_2$ group, the porous Se@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite reversed the H$_2$O$_2$-suppressed gene expression of Runx2, which is an essential master transcription factor for osteogenic differentiation, and the porous Se@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite also promoted the expression of Runx2 in the Se@SiO$_2$ group compared with that in the control group.

Runx2 has a synergistic effect with BMP-induced osteogenic differentiation, and the high expression of Runx2 suggests that the porous Se@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite effects on BMSCs osteogenic differentiation may be mediated by the BMP/Smad signaling pathway, which is known for its involvement in BMSCs osteogenic differentiation. BMPs are members of the TGF-β superfamily, which are molecules that play an important role in osteogenic differentiation. BMPs first bind to BMP type II receptors (BMPR II), which phosphorylate the GS region of BMP type I receptors (BMPR I). Next, activated BMPR I phosphorylates Smad1, Smad5 and Smad9 through the GS region and then forms a complex with Smad4, and the complex then translocates into the nucleus. In the nucleus, pSmad can interact with Runx2 to initiate osteogenic differentiation. We confirmed that the effects of the porous Se@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite on osteogenic differentiation may be mediated by the BMP/Smad signaling pathway synergizing with Runx2.

In addition, we used an open femur fracture model in 8-week-old SD rats to determine whether the porous Se@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite could accelerate bone fracture healing in vivo. The results showed that the porous Se@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite accelerated the fracture repair process. The radiographic results qualitatively showed that the porous Se@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite promoted callus formation and accelerated bone fracture healing. The micro-CT quantitatively exhibited a greater callus BV density in the Se@SiO$_2$ group than in the blank group.

**Conclusions**

Our study demonstrated that the porous Se@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite can promote BMSCs migration through the SDF-1/CXCR4 signaling pathway and protect BMSCs against H$_2$O$_2$-induced apoptosis. In addition, the porous Se@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite can promote BMSCs osteogenic differentiation and protect BMSCs against H$_2$O$_2$-induced inhibition of osteogenic differentiation through the BMP/Smad signaling pathway. Runx2, a transcription factor that plays an important role in osteogenic differentiation, participated in this process. Finally, we demonstrated that the porous Se@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite accelerated bone fracture healing in vivo using a rat open fracture model. In conclusion, our study presents an important finding that can be applied to develop a new therapeutic strategy for patients with bone fractures, and porous Se@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite may provide clinical benefit for bone tissue engineering.

**Abbreviation list**

BMSCs, bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells; Se, selenium; H$_2$O$_2$, hydrogen peroxide; Runx2, Runt-related transcription factor 2; OCN, osteocalcin; BMP-2, bone morphogenetic protein-2; SDF-1, stromal cell-derived factor-1; CXCR4, CXC chemokine receptor-4; ROS, reactive oxygen species; PI, propidium iodide; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; PVP, polyvinylpyrrolidone; CCK-8, cell counting kit-8; BCA, bicinchoninic acid; TBS, Tris-buffered saline; DCF, dichlorofluorescein; BMD, bone mineral density;
BV, bone volume; TV, tissue volume; BMPR I, BMP type I receptors; BMPR II, BMP type II receptors.
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