Despite the potential of silver to mediate synthetically valuable cross-coupling reactions, the operating mechanisms have remained unknown. Here, we use a combination of rapid-injection NMR spectroscopy, electrospray-ionization mass spectrometry, and quantum chemical calculations to demonstrate that these transformations involve argentate(I) and (III) complexes as key intermediates.

Argenatates, unlike organocuprates, are not widely used in coupling reactions\(^1,2\) nor have they been as well characterized.\(^3,4\) This neglect is surprising given that early work demonstrated the potential of silver to mediate these transformations. Already in 1929, Gardner reported that reactions of Grignard reagents with stoichiometric amounts of silver salts gave rise to coupling reactions (eqn (1)).\(^5\) Over 40 years later Kochi demonstrated silver-catalyzed coupling (eqn (2)), and established that the mechanism is complex.\(^6\)

\[
\text{(1) rapid-injection NMR spectroscopy}^{10} \text{ and electrospray-ionization mass spectrometry to show that organoargentates(m) are formed in reactions of alkyl iodides with dimethylargentate;}
\]

(2) gas-phase experiments and quantum chemical calculations to examine the elementary step of reductive elimination from organoargentates(m).\(^11\) The obtained mechanistic insight improves the fundamental understanding of the role of high-valent transition metals in cross-coupling reactions.\(^12\)

Reaction of 2 equiv. of MeLi with silver(I) iodide at \(-78^\circ\text{C}\) afforded the dimethylargentate LiAgMe\(_2\) (Scheme 1, X = I). Consistent with previous NMR-spectroscopic studies,\(^3d\) the \(^1\text{H}\) NMR spectrum of LiAgMe\(_2\) LiI in THF-d\(_8\) at \(-100^\circ\text{C}\) displayed a doublet at \(-1.25\text{ ppm}\), which exhibited an averaged \(\text{Ag–H coupling of } 7.30\text{ Hz} (\text{Fig. S1, ESI})\), while the \(^1\text{C}\) NMR spectrum gave a chemical shift of \(-8.63\text{ ppm}\), with \(\text{J}_{\text{109Ag–C}}\) of \(92.45\text{ Hz}\) (Fig. S1, ESI), and \(\text{J}_{\text{107Ag–C}}\) of \(83.69\text{ Hz}\) (Fig. S2, ESI).\(^d\) Upon warming to \(-70^\circ\text{C}\), the dimethylargentate underwent an oxidative addition with allyl iodide (RI, 0.5 equiv.). The resulting transient intermediate \(\text{[R(I)AgMe}_2\]\( geometrical arrangement of \(\text{[RAgMe}_3\] studied by NMR spectroscopy. The obtained mechanistic insight improves the fundamental understanding of the role of high-valent transition metals in cross-coupling reactions.\(^12\)

Scheme 1 Formation of dimethylargentates LiAgMe\(_2\) LiX and their subsequent reactions with methyl or allyl iodide.
In the $^1$H NMR spectrum (Fig. S3–S6, ESI†), [RAgMe$_3$]$^-$ showed characteristic resonances at $-0.01$ and $-0.16$ ppm, which can be assigned to its Me$_{trans}$ and Me$_{cis}$ substituents, respectively. In both cases, averaged $^3$J$_{Ag-H}$ couplings of approx. 5 Hz were observed. Very similar behavior had previously been found for the related tetraallylcuprate [RCuMe$_3$]$^{12a}$ Further information could be obtained from the $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of [RAgMe$_3$]$^-$ and, in particular, the $^1$J$_{Ag-C}$ coupling constants. With $^{1}$J$_{109Ag-C}$ and $^{1}$J$_{107Ag-C}$ couplings of 52.5 and 45.6 (Me$_{trans}$) and 48.9 and 42.4 Hz (Me$_{cis}$), respectively, the methyl groups of [RAgMe$_3$]$^-$ exhibited a smaller coupling to the silver nucleus than those in the dimethylargentate, in line with the expected change from sp to sp$^3$ hybridization.$^{13}$ The $\pi$-allyl carbon in [RAgMe$_3$]$^-$ showed even smaller $^{1}$J$_{109Ag-C}$ and $^{1}$J$_{107Ag-C}$ Couplings of 35.3 and 30.8 Hz, respectively, which point to a residual planarization in the allyl moiety and decreased σ bonding character of the $\pi$-allyl carbon.

The reaction of LiAgMe$_2$-Li with allyl iodide also yielded small amounts of the tetramethylargentate(in) [AgMe$_4$]$^-$ (Fig. 1). Presumably, [AgMe$_{n}$]$^-$ originated from the substitution of the allyl group in [RAgMe$_3$]$^-$ by a methyl group from LiAgMe$_2$-Li. [AgMe$_{n}$]$^-$ could also be prepared from LiAgMe$_2$-Li and MeI (Scheme 1), but only in poor yield. The synthesis was greatly improved by the addition of 2 equiv. of PME, and the formation of neutral {[Me$_2$P]AgMe$_3$} [Fig. S7, ESI†], yielding [AgMe$_4$]$^-$ upon reaction with MeLi (Fig. S8, ESI†). [AgMe$_4$]$^-$ decomposed at temperatures above 0 °C and showed $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR resonances and coupling constants much alike those of the methyl groups of its heteroleptic congener [RAgMe$_3$]$^-$. In contrast to the latter, [AgMe$_4$]$^-$ gave only one set of signals, thus reflecting its higher symmetry. Moreover, its $^1$H NMR-spectroscopic signature closely resembles that of its [CuMe$_4$]$^-$ homologue.$^{10d}$

Next, we turned to negative-ion mode electrospray-ionization (ESI) mass spectrometry to analyze a THF solution of LiAgMe$_2$-Li(CN). We observed a series of ate complexes including [AgMe$_{n+1}$]$^-$ ($n = 2 - 4$), [Li$_2$AgMe$_{n}$]$^-$, and [Li$_2$AgMe$_{n+1}$]$_2$ (CN)$^-$ ($n = 1, 2$), but no significant amounts of mononuclear [AgMe$_n$]$^-$. [Fig. S9, ESI†] These assignments were confirmed by both the accurate mass measurements (Table S1, ESI†) as well as the isotope patterns (Fig. S10–S26, ESI†). Organocuprates give similar ESI mass spectra.$^{15}$ Most likely, the predominance of polynuclear ions in the mass-spectrometric experiments results from the shift of aggregation equilibria during the ESI process.$^{15c,d,16}$ Upon the addition of methyl or allyl iodide, the spectra changed markedly and showed mononuclear Ag[in] ate anions as well as the corresponding higher aggregates as new species. The reaction with MeI afforded the lithium-bound dimer [Li$_2$AgMe$_{10}$] as base peak (Fig. 2 and Fig. S20–S26, ESI†). The same ion as well as the related heteroleptic species [Li$_2$AgMe$_8$]$^-$ and [Li$_2$Ag$_2$Me$_{14}$]$^-$ were observed for the reaction with allyl iodide (RI, Fig. S27–S32, ESI†). Argentate(in) complexes were also observed in control experiments with cyclopentyl methyl ether or tert-butyl methyl ether as solvents (Fig. S33–S39, ESI†).

The gas-phase fragmentation of the mass-selected homoleptic mononuclear complex [AgMe$_n$]$^-$ solely led to the reductive elimination of ethane (Fig. S40, ESI†),§ Its heteroleptic counterpart [RAgMe$_3$]$^-$ also underwent reductive elimination (Fig. 3) and preferentially released the cross-coupling product RMe (eqn (3a)), whereas the homo-coupling product Me$_2$ (eqn (3b)) was formed only to a much smaller extent (Fig. 3). This result is consistent with the solution-phase NMR experiment, but is in stark contrast with gas-phase fragmentation of the related allylcuprate, [RCuMe$_3$]$^-$, for which the dominant channel affords the homo-coupling product.$^{15c}$ This finding for the first time shows that silver-mediated cross-coupling may substantially differ from the
corresponding copper-based reactions. Fragmentation of the lithium-bound dimers resulted in formation of the mononuclear complexes (eqn (4)) and reductive eliminations (eqn (5), Fig. S41–S43, ESI†). For the heteroleptic complexes, cross-coupling (eqn (5a)) again strongly prevailed over homo-coupling (eqn (5b)). Secondary fragmentation reactions were also observed (eqn (6) and (7)).

\[
\begin{align*}
[\text{AgMe}_4]^- + \text{LiAgMe}_3 & \quad (4a) \\
[\text{RAgMe}_3]^- + \text{LiAgMe}_4 & \quad (4b) \\
[\text{LiAgMe}_3]^- + \text{RMe} & \quad (5a) \\
[\text{LiRAg}_2\text{Me}_7]^- & \quad (5b) \\
[\text{LiRAg}_3\text{Me}_5]^- + \text{Me}_2 & \quad (6) \\
[\text{AgMe}_3]^+ + \text{RMe} + \text{MeLi} & \quad (7)
\end{align*}
\]

To obtain further insight into the reductive elimination as the product-forming step, we carried out quantum chemical calculations.8 The exothermic release of ethane from [AgMe_4]^- proceeds via a C_2-symmetric transition structure, which is predicted to be 166 kJ mol^{-1} higher in energy than the reactant (Fig. 4). The resulting ion–molecule complex easily dissociates into [AgMe_3]^+ and the coupling product. According to a natural population analysis (NPA),17 the release of ethane is accompanied by a decrease of the charge of the silver center from 0.56 to 0.25 (Fig. 4), consistent with a reduction from Ag(III) to Ag(I).18,19 For the lithium-bound dimer [LiAg_2Me_8] the energy of the transition structure associated with the reductive elimination of ethane is calculated at 127 kJ mol^{-1} (Fig. S44, ESI†), a value significantly lower than for the mononuclear complex. Apparently, the interaction with the lithium center lowers the barrier substantially. This can be rationalized by Li^+ withdrawing electron density from the ate complex and thereby raising the propensity of the silver(III) center to regain its preferred oxidation state of +I by reductive elimination. A similar trend has been found for the corresponding cuprate(III) complexes [CuMe_4]^- elimination. A similar trend has been found for the corresponding cuprate(III) complexes [CuMe_4]^- elimination. A similar trend has been found for the corresponding cuprate(III) complexes [CuMe_4]^- elimination.

The energy diagram for the gas-phase reductive elimination of ethane is shown in Fig. 5. The exothermic release of ethane from [AgMe_4]^- is entropically favored (Fig. S44, ESI†), which explains why it is found to compete with the former in the experiment (Fig. S41, ESI†). Thus, the results of the quantum chemical calculations are in full accordance with those of the gas-phase fragmentation experiments.

![Fig. 4 Energy diagram for the gas-phase reductive elimination of ethane from [AgMe_4]^- obtained from quantum chemical calculations. NPA charges of Ag are shown in blue.](image)

We also used theoretical methods to investigate the occurrence of reductive eliminations from [AgMe_4]^- and related systems in THF. Apart from the free ions, we considered solvent-separated and contact-ion pairs (Fig. 5, Fig. S45 and Table S2, ESI†). Our calculations predict that ion pairing does not strongly affect the Gibbs energy of the reactant argentate(III) complex at 298 K, but that the interaction with Li^+ in the contact-ion pair substantially stabilizes the transition state, thus facilitating the overall reaction. This reactivity-enhancing effect of lithium essentially equals that already found for the gaseous [LiAg_2Me_8] complex discussed above. For comparison, we also included the neutral species AgMe_3 in our analysis, taking into account that the formation of this species from [AgMe_4]^- [Li(THF)_4]^+ generates 0.25 equiv. of [Li_4Me_4(THF)_4] as the most stable form of MeLi in THF (Fig. 5; for the reactivity of other neutral systems, see Fig. S46, ESI†). Similar to previous theoretical results of Nakamura and coworkers, we find AgMe_3 to eliminate ethane without any significant barrier.8 Nevertheless, the overall reaction proceeding via neutral [AgMe_3] is still less favorable than the reaction via the contact-ion pair because the formation of [AgMe_3] requires a large amount of energy. Thus, our calculations indicate that silver-mediated C–C coupling in solution indeed involves argentate complexes as central intermediates, whose reactivity is strongly influenced by Li^+ counter-ion effects.

In conclusion, we have shown that Ag(i) and Ag(III) ate complexes are crucial intermediates in C–C coupling. Remarkably, the silver-mediated coupling reactions with allyl halides differed significantly from the previously studied copper-mediated reactions. For copper, the cross-coupling product evolves from the neutral allyl-containing intermediate [RCuMe_3]. In contrast, we did not observe the analogous silver complex in the present experiments. This finding suggests that the silver-mediated formation of the cross-coupling product proceeds from anionic Ag(III) ate complexes. Our gas-phase experiments directly show the feasibility of this reaction. This mode of reactivity deviates from that of the analogous cuprate, which preferentially affords the homo-coupling instead of the cross-coupling product. Preliminary quantum chemical
calculations on the competition between the reductive elimination elementary steps for isolated [RgMe]− and [RCuMe]− anions reveal that fundamentally different mechanisms operate. Clearly, organoargentates are more than just a costly variant of the all popular organocuprates. The improved understanding of their mode of action also promises to boost their use in synthesis.
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