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Abstract

Modified graphene oxide with 5,10,15,20-tetrakis-(4-hexyloxyphenyl) -porphyrin and palladium (II) (signified by GO-CPTMS@Pd-TKHPP) prepared as a novel antifouling polyether sulfone (PES) blended nanofiller membrane. The membrane efficiency has been analyzed such as pure water flux (PWF), hydrophilicity and antifouling features. By increasing of modified graphene oxide percentage from 0 to 0.1 wt.% in polymer matrix the PWF was incremented from 14.35 to 37.33 kg/m$^2$h at 4bar. The membrane flux recovery ratio (FRR) has been investigated by applying powdered milk solution, the FRR results indicated that the 0.1 wt.% modified graphene oxide membrane showed the positive effect on fouling behavior with Rir and FRR value 8.24 and 91.73% respectively. The nanofiltration membrane performance was assessed applying the Direct Red 16 dye rejection. It was demonstrated that the optimal membranes (0.1 wt.% modified graphene oxide) had notable dye removal (99.58 % rejection). The results are also verified by measuring the scanning electron microscopy (SEM), water contact angle (WCA) and atomic microscopy analysis (AFM).
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1. Introduction

The most important environmental issue with colored wastewater is the removal of colors from the sewage (Elimelech & Phillip 2011). Industrial colored wastewater produced from textiles, paper, plastics, leather, food, and cosmetics, must be treated before wastewater drains into the environment (to recover paint) to prevent health hazards and degradation of the ecosystem is separated and decomposed (Deka et al. 2014, Moradi et al. 2020). Membrane filtration as a very competitive candidate for water treatment technology has been considered for decades due to energy efficiency, cost effectiveness and membrane stability. Because of this necessity, application of the membrane processes among the modern methods for separation is of high interest for scientists (Ang et al. 2015, You et al. 2012).

Polymeric membranes are very popular with regard to their excellent formation and their unique physicochemical properties in water refinery (Warsinger et al. 2018). The high flux permeation, acceptable solid elimination and low clogging are integral requirements for high efficiency of membrane filtration. Despite of, the hydrophobic nature of polymers leads to precipitation of foulant materials (pathogens, NOMs, proteins, polysaccharides, micropollutants and color) on the surface of the membrane, which subsequently diminishes flux permeation (Hairrom et al. 2014, Manda et al. 2014). The membrane's chemistry and morphology are affected by organic deposits that have destructive effects and are also an important barrier to the further development of membrane applications. Due to extensive research and efforts, one of the accepted solutions for membrane resistance to clogging for water treatment is to change and improve the membrane's hydrophilicity. The very good dispersion of nanofiller material in the entire membrane matrix, that so-called mix matrix membrane (MMM), in recent years, has brought a new concept for membrane fabrication with high flux, high rejection and high fouling resistance (Mukherjee et al. 2019). Organic and inorganic nanoparticles due to having specific functional point such as high hydrophilicity, high specific surface area and good correction, can be used as appropriate filler to make nanocomposite membranes. The excerpted of nanomaterials that used in this field are: Metal-organic framework (MOF) (Emam et al. 2019), polycitrate-Alumoxane (PC-A) (Pirsahib et al. 2019), SiO\textsubscript{2} nanoparticles (Ding et al. 2019, Zangeneh et al. 2019a), ZnO nanoparticle (Modi & Bellare 2019), zeolite (Mahmodi et al. 2020a, Mahmodi et al. 2020b), graphene oxide (GO) (Januário et al. 2020, Song et al. 2020, Yuan et al. 2020) and Graphitic carbon nitride (g-C\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4}) (Li et al. 2019).

Graphene oxide-based membranes (GO), which are made from two dimensions of GO nanostructures and polymer, are considered as promising candidates for water purification and desalination beyond traditional porous polymer membranes (Fathizadeh et al. 2017, Hegab & Zou 2015). Considering the high adaptable, high mechanical strength, high surface hydrophilic nature and 2-D interrelation structure for the separation of ions as well as displacement of molecules, graphene oxide based membranes have exhibited excellent performance in relation to high flux and impressive antifouling (Huang et al. 2013, Koltonow & Huang 2016, Papageorgiou et al. 2015). Because of agglomeration and the electrostatic repulsion between nanosheets graphene oxide in aqueous solutions, the water stability of the unpolished graphene oxide is weak and tends to break down normally. Therefore, researchers have provided a lot of modification to solve this problem (Chen & Yan 2010, Hua et al. 2015, Wang et al. 2011).
Today, researchers have shown that the hydrophilicity of graphene oxide with hydrophilic agents helps to solve the problem of decomposition and clogging of GO and GO based membranes, which strongly suggests that this strategy will help to reduce the contamination of pollutant by modified membranes (Cote et al. 2010, Hu et al. 2016, Lee et al. 2004). Liu and his colleagues, in cases of reducing the membrane fouling and degradation of nanosheets by combining triethanolamine (TEOA) with modified titanate nanowires (TNWs) and graphene oxide, they have been made photocatalytic membranes that were able to solve membranes and graphene oxide problems. The results (flux: 42 L/m².h and dye rejection: 95%) show that the modified membrane, due to its efficient modifications, has a high degree of anti-fouling and hydrophilicity that was used to remove Congon Red dye (Liu et al. 2017b). Huang et al., In 2014, developed a combination of graphene oxide and metal-organic framework (ZIF-8) to improve the membrane’s performance for better separation of gases, which in bicontinuous ZIF-8@GO based membrane increased hydrogen permselectivity relative to the unmodified state due to the presence of graphene oxide (Huang et al. 2014). In the next work that introduced in 2017, Ayyaru and Ahn donated hydrophilicity and antifouling properties to the PVDF nanocomposite ultrafiltration membranes by inserting sulfonated graphene oxide (SGO). According to the results, due to the improvement of the graphene oxide by the -SO₃H agent, the water permeation flux increased 146.6% and flux recovery ratio (88.7%) compared to the unmodified graphene oxide (water flux: 53.3% and FRR: 75%), this indicating that the problem of graphene oxide and the membrane have also been resolved (Ayyaru & Ahn 2017). In 2018, Abdi and coworker presented a hybrid combination (metformin/GO/Fe₃O₄) that was successfully introduced into the polymeric membrane for removal of colored materials and heavy metals. The results of this combination were high hydrophilicity, PWF and antifouling effect, which has been applied to remove direct red-16 and copper ions with 99% and 92% removal, respectively (Abdi et al. 2018). The graphene oxide framework that built by use of 1,4-cyclohexanediamine (CDA) and p-phenylenediamine (pPDA), was introduced by Qian and et al. in 2018 and exposed in the field of modified graphene oxide. The synthesized composition was used in the alumina membrane, which showed great results, that can be mention to high flux (20.1 kg/m².h) and high ionic rejection (99.9%) (Qian et al. 2018).

In this work, for the first time, the modified GO nanoparticle (is shown as GO ‐ CPTMS@Pd ‐ TKHPP) was used in the PES nanofiltration matrix. In order to evaluate the effect of modified graphene oxide nanosheets on membrane performance removing the dye, surface hydrophilicity, antifouling capability, and permeability were examined. Membranes structure was evaluated with SEM, water contact angle and AFM analysis. The antifouling capability of modified membranes was assessed during direct red-16 and methylene blue filtration.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

The Polyvinyl pyrrolidone (PVP) (Mₜ=25,000 g/mol) was obtained (Merck Co., Germany). dimethylacetamide (DMAc) and PES (Mₜ=58000 g/mol) were pouch (BASF Co., Germany). in all experiment distilled water has been used. 4-Hydroxy benzaldehyde and 1-bromohexane were prepared from Sigma Aldrich, United States. Graphite powder, 3-(chloropropyl)-trimethoxysilane palladium (II) chloride, dimethylformamide (DMF) and ethanol was
prepared from Merck, Germany. All the chemical reagents used in our experimental were used without further purification.

2.2. Modified graphene oxide nanocomposites preparation

The GO-CPTMS@Pd-TKHPP (Fig. 1) was synthesized by the following methods:

According to the usual way, graphene oxide (GO) was prepared based on modified hummers method from graphite powder (Zaaba et al. 2017), then graphene oxide-3-(choloropropyl)-trimethoxysilane (GO-CPTMS) was synthesized by adding CPTMS to GO in a solution of toluene then the mixture was stirred and refluxed for 24 h at 110 °C, the solid was filtered and dried, after this 4, 5,10,15,20-tetrakis-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-porphyrin (THPP) was prepared, synthesis of THPP was achieved according to the following procedure. Pyrrole was leisurely added under stirring to a solution of 4-Hydroxy benzaldehyde in refluxing propionic acid. Refluxing was continued for 2 hours, after this step the reaction mixture was washed several time and cooled slowly, filtered and dried, the dark purple crystalline product was precipitated. Then THPP was added to a solution of GO-CPTMS in refluxing dioxane and in the presented of Na$_2$CO$_3$ and KI, black powder of GO-CPTMS@THPP was obtained. Next 3-(choloropropyl)-trimethoxysilan 5,10,15,20-tetrakis-(4-(hexyloxy) phenyl)-porphyrin GO-CPTMS@Pd-TKHPP was obtained by adding 1-bromohexane to a solution of DMF and K$_2$CO$_3$ (4:4) in a flask and GO-CPTMS@THPP, then the obtained mixture was refluxed up for 24 h, then dried and the gray powder was obtained. Finally, GO-CPTMS@Pd-TKHPP was obtained by adding PdCl$_2$ to GO-CPTMS@Pd-TKHPP in the presence of ethanol solvent and reflux condition for near 24 h (Bahrami & Kamrani 2018).

Fig. 1. scheme of GO-CPTMS@Pd-TKHPP.

2.3. Preparation of modified mixed matrix PES-GO membrane:

The unmodified and modified membranes were carried out via phase inversion method. The membrane solution composition for all cases has been listed in Table 1. In order to form the homogenous solution, first a proper amount of modified GO was added into DMAc and sonicated (DT 102H Bandelin ultrasonic (Germany)) for 30 minutes. Then, PVP and PES were added to obtained solution. After this step, the casting solution was kept on continues stirrer overnight at room temperature. Finally, in order to increase the amount of homogeneity, dope solutions were sonicated again (20 min) to make sure all air bubbles have been removed and impressive dispersing is done. Finally, by using a
self-made knife, the solutions were casted on glassy plates (150 µm thickness) and immediately (without evaporation) moved to distilled water (nonsolvent bath at RT). After forming the polymeric membrane, they were moved to fresh distilled water (24h). This will be removed water soluble components from membrane matrix. Finally, the obtained membranes were warped between paper for drying (24h) (Zinadini et al. 2014).

### Table 1. Casting solution compositions.

| Membrane type | PES (wt.%) | PVP (wt.%) | Modified graphene oxide (wt.%) | DMAc (wt.%) |
|---------------|------------|------------|-------------------------------|-------------|
| M₁            | 20.0       | 1.0        | 0.0                           | 79.0        |
| M₂            | 20.0       | 1.0        | 0.1                           | 78.9        |
| M₃            | 20.0       | 1.0        | 0.5                           | 78.5        |
| M₄            | 20.0       | 1.0        | 1.0                           | 78.0        |

### 2.4. Characterization of modified GO membranes:

The membrane morphology was considered applying scanning electron microscope (SEM) (Philips-XL30, The Netherland) (20 kV acceleration voltage) first, membranes were cut and cleaned with filter paper to clean probable contaminant. Then they were immersed into liquid Nitrogen for 50-70 seconds. After that for membrane drying the frozen membranes were breaking and kept at RT (room temperature). Afterward, the dried membranes were coated by Au ion in order to produce electron conductivity. As the final step SEM images were captured (20kV in high vacuum condition).

The surface roughness for prepared membrane was analyzed applying atomic force microscopy (AFM) (Nanosurf® Mobile S (Switzerland)) this device was equipped by an optical probe microscope. Small square samples were prepared (2*2 cm) and fixed on a holder and the surface was scanned (4.4 µm *4.4 µm). The results reflected as (Sa) mean roughness, the root of square data (Sq) and average difference between highest peak and the lowest valley (Sz) have been achieved with quantitative analyze.

A recent method to analyze the hydrophilicity of membranes, is water contact angle (WCA) (G10, KRUSS, Germany) measurement. The propensity of small distilled water droplets to extend on the membrane surface is known as membrane hydrophilicity. For WCA measurement, in all cases, 2 µl of deionized water have been used. For reducing experimental errors, all examinations were repeated in 4 random sites and the mean valve was reported.

### 2.5. Membrane performance

#### 2.5.1. Pure water flux and antifouling experiments

Membrane performance, pure water flux (PWF) and antifouling attributes of modified NF membranes with GO were examined in a dead-end setup (Fig. 2 a) (150 ml and 12.56 cm²). As trance membrane pressure (TMP) Nitrogen cylinder the cell was equipped to force the feed pass through the membrane. In order to concentration polarization reduction, constant simulation was applied for the cell (400 rpm). In order to earn stably state, for the first 30 min of experiment the TMP was compressed at 5 bar then it was reduced to 4 bar (Gholami et al. 2017). Based on equation 3 the PWF was calculated:

\[
J_{\text{W,1}} = \frac{M}{A \Delta t}
\]
Which, $\Delta T$ is the time of permeation, $A$ ($m^2$) is touching surface and $M$ is the weight of permeation.

Milk powder solution (8000 ppm), to consider the antifouling properties were tested as proper foulant (after PWF test). The milk powder permeation ($I_p$ (kg/m².h)) was tested based on water permeation during the analyzed (4 bar, 90 min). After that test, fouled membrane was cleaned with deionized water (15 min immersed in water without any backwash) then the pure water flux as tested a gained with distilled water. Based on following equation, the FRR can be calculated as:

$$FRR = \left( \frac{j_{W2}}{j_{W1}} \right) \times 100$$ (4)

As a matter of fact, higher $FRR$ for membranes indicates distinct antifouling ability of the nanofiltration membranes.

Following the results in order to consider antifouling behavior, during filtration resistance fouling ratio was evaluated in detail, irreversible fouling (Rir), reversible fouling (Rr) and total fouling (Rt) were investigated according to equations:

$$R_t(\%) = \left(1 - \frac{j_p}{j_{W1}}\right) \times 100 = R_{ir} - R_r$$ (5)

$$R_r (\%) = \left(\frac{j_{W2} - j_p}{j_{W1}}\right) \times 100$$ (6)

$$R_{ir} (\%) = \left(\frac{j_{W1} - j_{W2}}{j_{W1}}\right) \times 100$$ (7)

![Fig. 2. Schematic of the (a) dead-end setup and (b) cross-flow setup.](image)
2.6. Dye removal

As dye containing azo groups direct red-16 was chosen. In this case, to consider membrane efficiency, the filtration was operated in dead-end setup (120 min at 4 bar), each run was tested by 150 ml of feed. After this step in order to evaluate long term filtration and industrial simulation, cross-flow setup (Fig. 2 b) was applied (driving force 4 bar, flow rate 300 L/h at 420 min). In all experiments to propose of feed simulation, 50 mg/L of direct red-16 was chosen, which is in the range of typical textile wastewater industries. As a result, the flux recovery ratio and flux were reported based on equations 4 and 3. By using UV-Vis spectrophotometer (520 nm for direct red-16 and 665 nm for methylene blue) (JENWAY 6320D) according to equation 8 the rejection was calculated:

\[
\text{Rejection} = \left(1 - \frac{C_p}{C_f}\right) \times 100 \tag{8}
\]

where, \(C_f\) is feed concentration and \(C_p\) is permeated concentration of dye (mg/L).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. modified graphene oxide nanocomposites Characterization

The prosperous synthesis of the GO-CPTMS is confirmed by the FT-IR spectra (Fig. 3. c). The peak turning up at 810 cm\(^{-1}\) comes back to Si–O–Si the symmetric vibration. The peak at 1107 cm\(^{-1}\) relevant to asymmetrical Si–O–Si stretching. About GO-CPTMS@TKHPP (Fig. 3. e), recognized that peak of the N-H bending and stretching frequencies located at \(\sim 3,300\) cm\(^{-1}\) and \(\sim 960\) cm\(^{-1}\) and shown free base porphyrins. When the PdCl\(_2\) was interred into the porphyrin ring, the N-H peak vibration of free base porphyrins vanished and features of the functional groups of Pd-N bond shaped at \(\sim 1,009\) cm\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 3. f), that analyzed the configuration of a metal–ligand bond (Bahrami & Kamrani 2018).
In Fig. 4, the EDX analysis of nanosheets (GO-CPTMS@Pd-TKHPP) displayed the attendance of the anticipation elements in the nanosheets of GO such as silicon, oxygen, palladium, nitrogen, and carbon. As can be seen, the element distribution of the Si-Pd/GO is approximately 1.43 (Zhang et al. 2017).

Fig. 4. GO-CPTMS@Pd-TKHPP EDX pattern.
XRD pattern of GO-CPTMS@Pd-TKHPP as nanostructure exhibits peaks at $2\theta = 40.4, 46.8, 68$ and $74.1^\circ$ which correspond to (111), (200), (220) and (331) crystalline planes of Pd, respectively, illustrated that Pd element does not exist in the form of Pd(II) and it was shown Pd (0) (Fig. 5) (Fareghi-Alamdari et al. 2016, Zolfigol et al. 2013).

The stratification structure of GO-CPTMS@Pd-TKHPP was also perceived from transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The scanning electron microscopy (SEM) illustration of GO-CPTMS@Pd-TKHPP (Fig. 6. a) shows the ingredient scale, morphology and superficial sameness. transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image of the GO-CPTMS@Pd-TKHPP indicated which Pd(II)-TKHPP was seated on the surface of graphene oxide (Fig. 6. b) (Bahrami & Kamrani 2018).

In order to consider, the effect of GO-CPTMS@Pd-TKHPP concentration on the structure and morphology of the membrane, SEM images are shown in Fig. 7. As shown in picture, asymmetric structure with a uniform dispersion of
nanoparticles is visible and can be easily recognized as finger-like pores with thin top layer and thick bottom layer due to fast immigration of hydrophilic NPs in phase inversion step. The identical building of the membranes, implies that the impact of nanoparticles on morphology was low. So, this result shows that was not an important influence on the formation of mold membrane. Small differences in the structure of membranes at low concentrations were observed that described the increase in porosity and consequently increase the radius of pores. In different concentrations of nanoparticles, 0.1 wt.% provided minimum thickness of the top layer in the membrane. As seen in Fig.8, by adding 0.1 wt.% modified graphene oxide nanocompound, the porosity was increased and further increase in the amounts of the nanosheets to more than 0.1 wt.% caused the reduction of pore radius (Vatanpour et al. 2011).
3.3 The prepared membranes pure water flux and hydrophilicity

Water contact angle measurement can be used to identify the hydrophilicity surface of prepared membranes. As illustrated in Table 2, by adding nanofiller to the membrane matrix, a logical trend on WCA reduction can be observed. It should be noted that, due to presenting hydrophilic functional group on the GO, the membrane hydrophilicity was increased (membrane contact angle was decreased), causing an increase in membrane permeability due to hydrogen bonding between water and membrane surface.

| Table 2. Water contact angle of the produced nanofiltration MMM |
|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Water contact angle, ° | M₁              | M₂              | M₃              | M₄              |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 75.22±1.50      | 59.20±1.18      | 54.70±1.09      | 50.20±1.00      |

Flux transmission depends on many factors that hydrophilicity is one of the most important cases. The hydrophilic functional groups of the GO-CPTMS@Pd-TKHPP on the membrane surface caused a change in the pure water flux (PWF) that established hydrogen bonds between water molecules and membrane surface (Fig. 8). Adding the
nanoparticles up to 0.1 wt.%, led to an increase in PWF (M₂). By adding nanoparticles to membrane matrix increment is notable for M₂. In higher loadings (M₃, M₄) accumulation effect caused PWF reduction might be due to porosity occupation (Fig. 8). It should be noted that the powdered milk solution rejection was more than 98%. Showing interrelation between flux increment and defects or cracks in the membrane due to poor bond between the modified graphene oxide and PES.

![Graph showing PWF and porosity of modified graphene oxide blended PES nanofiltration membranes.](image)

**Fig. 8.** PWF and porosity of the modified graphene oxide blended PES nanofiltration membranes (M₁ = unfilled, M₂ = 0.1 wt.%, M₃ = 0.5 wt.% and M₄ = 1.0 wt.%).

### 3.4 Fouling behavior of the prepared membranes

Fig. 9 shows the antifouling performance of prepared membrane in three step filtration. The results of 0.1 wt.% of modified graphene oxide (M₂) demonstrated the highest permeability compared to the other concentrations. As can be obtained (Fig. 9), the difference in flux, in the first step and third step, observed between the modified and unmodified membranes is caused by the presence of hydrophilic function group on the GO surface, which has been donated to have a hydrophilic effect on the PES membrane.
Fig. 9. Flux against time of the membranes with different concentrations of modified graphene oxide nanosheets. The filtration process includes three phases: water flux, milk powder filtration and second water flux after washing fouled membranes ($M_1$=unfilled, $M_2$=0.1 wt.%, $M_3$=0.5 wt.% and $M_4$=1.0 wt.%).

FRR is one of the important parameters for antifouling assessment. The FRR diagram was displayed in Table 3. The greatest FRR for membrane has been achieved for membrane embedded with 0.1 wt.% ($M_1$) of modified graphene oxide. Antifouling performance of embedded mixed matrix membranes could be related to hydrophilicity for modified membranes although this effect has an optimal ratio ($M_2$) in higher additives due to agglomeration effect on the acceptable trend (FRR reduction) is notable. That was induced by remaining functional groups of GO-CPTMS@Pd-TKHP on the membrane surface. Creating a hydration layer on the membrane surface due to the presence of hydrophilic nanosheets and hydrogen bonding can inhibit the formation of foulant layer on the membrane surface. The results demonstrated that the addition of the modified graphene oxide nanosheets (ingenious design with effective groups) in the PES membrane was useful to improve membrane permeability (Ng et al. 2013).

Table 3. FRR of the prepared nanocomposite membranes infiltration of powdered milk solution.

| Flux recovery ratio, % | $M_1$ | $M_2$ | $M_3$ | $M_4$ |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|                       | 58.65 | 91.73 | 78.3  | 88.99 |

According to the deposition phenomenon, resistance parameters as irreversible fouling resistance ($R_{ir}$) and reversible fouling resistance ($R_r$) were obtained as shown in Fig. 10. Irreversible fouling resistance of membrane embedded with 0.1 wt.% nanoparticles, has reached the lowest value, but $R_r$ has also increased with increasing concentration. The trapped residual foulants into the membrane pores and valley surface are responsible for irreversible aggregation, which noticeably can be removed by washing process (immersing in water). The $M_2$ membrane (embedded with 0.1 wt.% modified graphene oxide) indicated the highest FRR (91.73%).
AFM technique was used for measuring the membranes surface roughness. As a matter of fact lower roughness replies on stronger antifouling property. In addition, foulants may be trapped in the valleys of membrane with unusual surfaces resulting in clogging of the valleys. The AFM and its associated results are presented in Fig. 11 and Table 4. The average roughness (Sa) of the bare nanofiltration membrane changed from 21.251 to 8.003 nm for the modified membranes with 0.1 wt.% of GO-CPTMS@Pd-TKHPP, and then enhanced to 9.707 nm for the modified graphene oxide 1 wt.%. In the lower concentration of modified graphene oxide, because of less electrostatic interactions between the modified graphene oxide, they are tidied in membrane symmetrically, resulting in a smooth membrane surface. But, due to increment in agglomeration and pore size of modified graphene oxide, membrane surface roughness was enhanced at higher concentration of modified graphene oxide [9].

![Graph showing fouling resistance ratio](image1)

**Fig. 10.** Fouling resistance ratio of modified graphene oxide-PES ultrafiltration membranes ($M_1$=unfilled, $M_2$=0.1 wt.%, $M_3$=0.5 wt.% and $M_4$=1.0 wt.%).
Fig. 11. 3D and 2D AFM images of the modified graphene oxide nanofiltration PES membranes (M₁=unfilled, M₂=0.1 wt.%, M₃=0.5 wt.% and M₄=1.0 wt.%).
Table 4. Membrane surface roughness of modified graphene oxide filled nanofiltration PES membranes.

| Membrane | $S_z$ (nm) | $S_q$ (nm) | $S_a$ (nm) |
|----------|------------|------------|------------|
| $M_1$    | 21.251     | 28.671     | 245.15     |
| $M_2$    | 8.003      | 10.014     | 67.483     |
| $M_3$    | 8.755      | 11.363     | 103.83     |
| $M_4$    | 9.707      | 14.705     | 162.8      |

3.5 Dye removal efficiency

3.5.1 Rejection and performance

The dye rejection results are indicated in Fig. 12, that described the membrane capability for direct red 16 and methylene blue dye removal from simulated feed (50 mg/L) after 60 min filtration as a function of the modified graphene oxide percentage in the casting solution. The dye removal efficiency for the modified membrane ($M_2$) was achieved 99.58% and 97.98% for direct red 16 and methylene blue, respectively. Although the removal percentage for $M_1$ is lower (87.5% and 83.29%, respectively). This is caused by the presence of hydrophilic nanofiller in the membrane structures (Table 2) as an excellent refining agent [20]. This high removal percentage of colored materials can be attributed to two factors: I. chemical interactions (non-covalent bonds and π-π stacking), II. electrostatic repulsion between color and membrane surface. In other words, because the direct red 16 and surface of the membrane both have a negative charge they repel each other (given the existence dispersion of negative groups at the matrix of the dye molecule, the direct red-16 indicates a negative nature at the neutral pH), as well as non-covalent bonds (hydrogen bond) and π-π stacking between the agents of direct red 16 (-SO$_3$, -NH$_2$, -OH and aromatic rings) and distributed GO-CPTMS@Pd-TKHPP on the membrane surface, prevent passing of dye through the membrane and for these reasons that the removal efficiency was more than 99% (Sabbatini et al. 2010, Zhang et al. 2019, Zhou et al. 2019). Although as shown in the Fig. 12 for methylene blue (aromatic rings –N and –S), the removal percentage has decreased which caused the positive nature of the methylene blue and low molecular weight in methylene blue than direct red 16 (methylene blue and direct red 16 molecular weight: 319.85 g/mol and 637.55 g/mol, respectively) so its possible methylene blue to pass through the membrane and results show the dye removal reduction for methylene blue than direct red 16 (Fig. 12). It should be noted that the permeation flux of dye solutions was slightly lower than the pure water flux, due to the adsorption of dye molecules on the membrane surface and the concentration polarization. According to the initial concentrations of direct red 16 (50 mg/L), the excellent rejection was obtained 99.58 %, but for precise evaluation of the dye rejection at a high concentration (200 mg/L), the dye rejection was also investigated that achieved 97.29% (Bouazizi et al. 2017, Liu et al. 2017a) and also, in Table 6 comparison the performance of dye removal is provided.
Fig. 12. Dye separation efficiency of modified graphene oxide-PES membranes, a) direct red 16 and b) methylene blue.
Table 5. Comparison of dye separation performance of synthesized membranes with other works in literature.

| Membrane types | Dye types       | Molecular weight, g/mol | Dye concentration, mg/l | Dye rejection, % | Dye flux, kg/m².h | Ref                  |
|----------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| PSF/PEG/ZnCl₂  | Congo red       | 696.65                  | 200                     | 98               | 10               | (Panda & De 2014)    |
|                | Crystal violet  | 407.98                  |                         | 98               | 8                |                      |
|                | Chrysoidine R   | 262.74                  |                         | 95               | 10               |                      |
| PES/GO/TiO₂    | Reactive green 19 | 1418.93                |                         | 99               | -                | (Safarpour et al. 2016) |
|                | Reactive blue 21 | 377.43                  | 100                     | 81.4             | -                |                      |
|                | Direct yellow 12 | 1680.66                 |                         | 95.4             | -                |                      |
| PES/HNTs-SO₃H  | Reactive black 5 | 991.82                  | 1000                    | 90               | -                | (Wang et al. 2015)   |
|                | Reactive red 49 | 576.49                  |                         | 90               | 80-90            |                      |
| PES/CS/MMT      | Reactive black 5 | 991.82                  | 500                     | 96               | 40               | (Zhu et al. 2015)    |
|                | Reactive red 49 | 576.49                  |                         | 93               | 40               |                      |
| PES/Cellulose   | Direct red 23   | 813.73                  | 1000                    | 98               | -                | (Lessan et al. 2016)  |
|                | Direct red 79   | 1048.87                 |                         | 95               | -                |                      |
| PES/GO-CPTMS@Pd-TKHP | Direct red 19 | 637.55                  | 50                      | 99.58            | 25.34            | This work            |
|                | Methylene blue  | 319.85                  |                         | 97.98            | 22.08            |                      |

3.5.2 Long-term behavior

The results of long term performance during the treatment of colored wastewater by the cross-flow system are displayed in Table 6 and Fig. 13. From the results, due to the high cross-flow velocity (CFV) and hence the increase of the Reynolds number (create turbulent flow due to Re>4000) so that, the cross-flow setup permeation showed enhancement in compare with dead-end setup due to polarization concentration reduction (Bagheri et al. 2019).

Table 6. Long-term results for dye rejection.

| Membrane | Flux, kg/m².h | FRR, % | Direct red-16 rejection, % |
|-----------|---------------|--------|---------------------------|
| M₁        | 15.29         | 68.42  | 89.61                     |
| M₂        | 54.44         | 97.67  | 99.98                     |

According to Fig. 13 and Table 5, slump less flux in membrane permeability was observed in the prolonged dye removal process. This phenomenon can be justified by reduction in the concentration polarization behind the membrane during the examination process. The drop of the membrane flux at the M₁ (31.58% reduction) is much higher than that of the M₂ (2.33% reduction), which can be attributed to the membrane modification by the hydrophilic nanoparticle and the donation of the anti-fouling property for M₂ membrane. According to the results presented, it can be obtained that the embedding of GO-CPTMS@Pd-TKHP hydrophilic nanocomposite in the membrane matrix has improved the performance of the color removal, flux enhancement and anti-fouling property (Zangeneh et al. 2019b).
4. Conclusion
In this work, a hydrophilic nanofiller (modified graphene oxide nanocomposite) was successfully used and blended with the bare membrane during the phase inversion to reclaim membrane flux, antifouling and dye removal performance. The effect of modified graphene oxide in the casting solution was evaluated and chosen as the optimal membrane. The selected modified graphene oxide-PES membrane (0.1 wt.% of modified graphene oxide) exhibited better pure water flux 37.33 kg/m².h and dye rejection of 99.58 % compared to the unmodified membranes. By embedding the modified graphene oxide, membrane hydrophilicity was significantly improved. Antifouling experiments showed that addition of the modified graphene oxide in the casting solution led to an increment in flux recovery ratio from 58.65 % to 91.73 % and a decrement in irreversible fouling from 41.35 % to 8.24 %. The result of long filtration in the cross-flow system revealed that the optimized membrane can be proposed for industrial wastewater treatment.
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