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Abstract

Background: The International Agency for Research on Cancer has classified outdoor air pollution (fine particulate matter [PM$_{2.5}$]) as a Group 1 lung carcinogen in humans. We aimed to estimate the proportion of lung cancer cases attributable to PM$_{2.5}$ exposure in Alberta in 2012.

Methods: Annual average concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ in 2011 for 22 communities across Alberta were extracted from the Clean Air Strategic Alliance Data Warehouse and were population-weighted across the province. Using 7.5 µg/m$^3$ and 3.18 µg/m$^3$ as the annual average theoretical minimum risk concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$, we estimated the proportion of the population above this cut-off to determine the population attributable risk of lung cancer due to PM$_{2.5}$ exposure.

Results: The mean population-weighted concentration of PM$_{2.5}$ for Alberta in 2011 was 10.03 µg/m$^3$. We estimated relative risks of 1.02 and 1.06 for theoretical minimum risk PM$_{2.5}$ concentration thresholds of 7.5 µg/m$^3$ and 3.18 µg/m$^3$, respectively. About 1.67%–5.69% of incident lung cancer cases in Alberta were estimated to be attributable to PM$_{2.5}$ exposure.

Interpretation: Our estimate of attributable burden is low compared to that reported in studies in other areas of the world owing to the relatively low levels of PM$_{2.5}$ recorded in Alberta. Reducing PM$_{2.5}$ emissions in Alberta should continue to be a priority to help decrease the burden of lung cancer in the population.
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In 2013, an expert panel commissioned by the International Agency for Research on Cancer unanimously agreed that there was sufficient evidence to classify outdoor air pollution as a Group 1 carcinogen for lung cancer in humans. In addition, the agency classified fine particulate matter with a diameter of 2.5 µm or less (PM$_{2.5}$) as carcinogenic to humans after evaluating this component of air pollution separately. The positive association between exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ and lung cancer was significant even in areas where the concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ were less than the current guidelines based on human health.

Combustion of fossil fuels (mainly in power generation and motor vehicles) gives rise to PM$_{2.5}$. Owing to the very small diameter of this pollutant, it is able to reach deep into lung tissue, causing inflammation in the lungs, blood vessels, and heart and other organs. To quantify the burden of disease attributable to ambient air pollution in urban areas, the World Health Organization Global Burden of Disease Comparative Risk Factor Assessment examined concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ in 3211 cities worldwide for 2000. Burden of disease was estimated in terms of disability-adjusted life years and deaths. Exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ was positively associated with lung cancer and cardiopulmonary disease. In an updated report, the World Health Organization estimated that, globally, 3 million deaths in 2012 were attributable to ambient air pollution, of which 402 350 were due to lung cancer. In a recent meta-analysis of large prospective cohort studies, Raaschou-Nielsen and colleagues examined the relation between exposure to particulate matter and lung cancer incidence rather than mortality. During the 13-year follow-up of 312 944 participants, there were 2095 incident lung cancer cases. For
each 10-µg/m³ increase in concentration of PM₁₀ exposure, the hazard ratio for all lung cancer types was 1.22. Those authors also completed analyses for subtypes of lung cancer, where exposure to PM₂.₅ was examined in increments of 5 µg/m³, with a hazard ratio of 1.55 for adenocarcinomas. These analyses are of particular interest as a higher proportion of adenocarcinomas develop in nonsmokers, and therefore nonsmokers represent a population of interest when examining risk factors other than smoking.⁷

In Alberta in 2012, lung cancer accounted for 12% of all incident cancers,⁸ making it the third most frequently diagnosed cancer. Although smoking is known to be the main cause of lung cancer, it is relevant for public health efforts to explore other risk factors for lung cancer. In the present study, we used population attributable risk estimates to estimate the proportion and absolute number of incident lung cancer cases attributable to PM₂.₅ exposure in Alberta in 2012.

Methods

This manuscript is part of a series of exposure-specific manuscripts concerning the proportion of cancer cases attributable to modifiable lifestyle and environmental risk factors in the general population of Alberta. The methodologic framework for this series has previously been described.⁹

Prevalence of exposure

We extracted average concentrations of PM₂.₅ for 22 communities with complete data in Alberta for 2011 from the Clean Air Strategic Alliance Data Warehouse (now the ambient air quality data warehouse).¹⁰ The PM₂.₅ concentration data from these communities cover 78% of Alberta’s population. Concentrations of PM₂.₅ recorded in the database are collected by air-monitoring stations that obtain hourly measurements. All outdoor air quality data undergo quality-control and quality-assurance procedures. For communities with more than 1 monitoring site, we used an average across sites. We weighted the annual average PM₂.₅ concentrations to the population based on the census population estimates for each community for 2011¹¹ using the following formula:

Population-weighted PM₂.₅ estimate = (community population/Alberta population) × community annual average PM₂.₅ concentration

We then summed the community population-weighted PM₂.₅ estimates to obtain an overall average PM₂.₅ concentration estimate for Alberta in 2011.

Relative risk estimation

As risk estimates for the association between PM₂.₅ and lung cancer were not readily available for Alberta, we used the following equation, originally developed for the World Health Organization’s Global Burden of Disease study,¹² to determine the relative risk (RR) for the outcome of lung cancer incidence associated with population-weighted PM₂.₅ exposure in Alberta:

Equation 1: \( RR = e^{\beta \times (C - 7.5 \text{ µg/m}^3)} \)

where RR is the relative risk for lung cancer associated with PM₂.₅ exposure, \( C \) is the population-weighted mean concentration of PM₂.₅, and \( \beta \) is the slope of the linear concentration–response function for PM₂.₅ exposure and lung cancer (\( \beta = 0.00789, \text{ standard error} = 0.003447 \)) from Pope and colleagues¹³ and Ostro.¹⁴ In the Global Burden of Disease analysis, Cohen and colleagues¹² estimated that the risk of mortality due to PM₂.₅ exposure increases linearly over a range of counterfactual average annual concentrations of 7.5 µg/m³ to a maximum of 50 µg/m³. Therefore, we used a theoretical minimum risk concentration of 7.5 µg/m³ for PM₂.₅ exposure in equation 1.

Population attributable risk estimation

The RRs estimated from equation 1 were then used in equation 2¹¹ to estimate the population attributable risk for Alberta:

Equation 2: \( \text{PAR} = P \times (RR – 1)/P \times (RR – 1) + 1 \)

where PAR is the population attributable risk, \( P \) is the prevalence of exposure (proportion of population exposed to population-weighted mean PM₂.₅ concentrations above 7.5 µg/m³ in the area of interest) and RR is as estimated above in equation 1. We also used Monte Carlo simulation methods to estimate 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for the population attributable risk estimates, as described above. We then multiplied the population attributable risk by the lung cancer incidence for 2012, acquired from the Alberta Cancer Registry, to estimate the number of excess attributable cases of lung cancer due to PM₂.₅ exposure. The Alberta Cancer Registry is certified by the North American Association of Central Cancer Registries and has consistently achieved Gold Certification for “completeness of the data, timely reporting and other measures that judge data quality.”⁸

We used Monte Carlo simulation methods to determine uncertainty ranges around point estimates and specified a log-normal distribution for the RR estimates (equation 1), based on the standard errors published in studies by Cohen and colleagues¹² and Pope and colleagues.¹¹ We drew 10 000 samples and used the 2.5th and 97.5th percentiles of the resulting population attributable risk distribution as the lower and upper limits of a 95% CI.

Sensitivity analysis

Since 54% of the communities in Alberta had recorded concentrations of PM₂.₅ below the theoretical minimum risk of 7.5 µg/m³, we completed a sensitivity analysis using a counterfactual concentration of PM₂.₅ at the minimum observed concentration of 3.18 µg/m³ in equation 1. We then used the RR estimate to estimate the population attributable risk for a theoretical minimum risk of 3.18 µg/m³ PM₂.₅. We conducted all analyses using R (version 3.2.3) and RStudio (version 0.98.1080) (RStudio, Inc.).
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Results
The mean population-weighted PM_{2.5} concentration for Alberta in 2011 was 10.03 µg/m^3 (Table 1). Based on annual average concentrations, an estimated 94.2% of the population in Alberta was exposed to PM_{2.5} levels above 7.5 µg/m^3 in 2011. The RR of lung cancer due to PM_{2.5} exposure estimated using equation 1 was 1.02. Using equation 2, we estimated the population attributable risk of lung cancer due to PM_{2.5} exposure in Alberta to be 1.87% (95% CI 0.22%–3.36%). Of the 1952 incident lung cancer cases diagnosed in 2012, 36 (95% CI 4–66) were estimated to be attributable to PM_{2.5} exposure.

In our sensitivity analysis, the RR of lung cancer due to PM_{2.5} exposure over 3.18 µg/m^3 was 1.06, resulting in a population attributable risk of 5.69% (111 incident cases in 2012).

Interpretation
In the current analysis, we estimated RRs of 1.02 and 1.06 for the relation between exposure to PM_{2.5} and lung cancer using the theoretical minimum risk levels of 7.5 µg/m^3 and 3.18 µg/m^3, respectively. In a 2014 meta-analysis including 14 studies, the summary RR for lung cancer associated with a 10-µg/m^3 change in PM_{2.5} exposure was estimated to be 1.09, which is consistent with our results. Also consistent with our RR estimates, a large Canadian-based cohort study estimated a hazard ratio of 1.03 for trachea, bronchus and lung cancers due to PM_{2.5} exposure. Using our RR estimates, we estimated that 5.1% of mortality from cancers of the trachea, bronchus and lung in adults could be attributed to outdoor air pollution (PM_{2.5} and PM_{10}), which is more consistent with our results. The higher population attributable risk estimate in South Africa is expected, as the population-weighted mean concentration of PM_{2.5} in that country, compared to 10.03 µg/m^3 in Alberta.

In 2014, Burnett and colleagues estimated the population attributable risks for mortality due to lung cancer. They measured exposure estimates for PM_{2.5} between 2001 and 2005 in 187 countries. The population attributable risk for 2005 ranged from less than 1% to 25% depending on the ambient PM_{2.5} levels in the country; most countries had a population attributable risk between 0% and 10%, which is consistent with our findings given the low levels of PM_{2.5} measured in Alberta. Hystad and colleagues conducted a case–control study in Canada to examine the association between long-term residential exposure to air pollution and lung cancer. They measured annual residential exposure to PM_{2.5} over a 20-year period. After adjustment for a comprehensive set of individual and geographic covariates, the odds ratio for incident lung cancer was 1.29 for each 10-µg/m^3 increase in PM_{2.5} concentration. This is higher than the RR of 1.05 estimated in the current study, which is most likely due to the duration of exposure and covariates (including smoking status) included in the model for the case–control study. Several cohort studies have also examined the relation between exposure to outdoor air pollution and lung cancer. As part of the American Cancer Society Cancer Prevention Study II, PM_{2.5} exposure concentrations were collected from monitoring stations in urban areas and applied to about 860,000 participants based on reported areas of residence. The risk of mortality from lung cancer was shown to increase by 8% for every 10-µg/m^3 increase in PM_{2.5} concentration. This positive association was stronger among never smokers, in whom the risk of lung cancer mortality increased by 15%–27% for each 10-µg/m^3 increase in PM_{2.5} exposure. Information on mobility (i.e., history of address changes) was not available for the current study.

| Community       | Population* | Mean PM_{2.5} concentration, µg/m^3 |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|
| Anzac           | 585         | 5.18                                |
| Beaverlodge     | 2365        | 6.72                                |
| Bruderheim      | 1155        | 8.22                                |
| Calgary         | 1 214 839   | 10.82                               |
| Caroline        | 501         | 4.18                                |
| Cold Lake       | 13 839      | 5.71                                |
| Drayton Valley  | 7049        | 7.24                                |
| Edmonton        | 1 159 869   | 9.75                                |
| Edson           | 8475        | 3.79                                |
| Elk Point       | 1412        | 8.22                                |
| Fort Chipewyan  | 847         | 3.18                                |
| Fort McKay      | 562         | 9.76                                |
| Fort McMurray   | 61 374      | 8.6                                 |
| Fort Saskatchewan | 19 051     | 6.51                                |
| Grande Prairie  | 55 032      | 8.42                                |
| Hinton          | 9640        | 7.89                                |
| Lamont County   | 3872        | 7.28                                |
| Lethbridge      | 105 999     | 6.76                                |
| Medicine Hat    | 72 807      | 7.85                                |
| Red Deer        | 90 564      | 13.65                               |
| Redwater        | 1915        | 5.39                                |
| Tomahawk        | 65          | 3.23                                |
| Alberta (population weighted)* | 2 831 752 | 10.03                               |

Note: PM_{2.5} = fine particulate matter with a diameter of 2.5 µm or less.
*Based on 2011 Canadian census data.
study, and, therefore, we did not have any measures of long-term exposure or duration of exposure for PM_{10}.

Our population attributable risk estimate was lower than that in similar studies conducted globally.\textsuperscript{18,21,22} This can be explained by several factors including the relatively low levels of ambient PM_{2.5} recorded in Alberta. The global burden of disease studies revealed a great deal of variation in population attributable risk estimates across the world, owing to vast differences in PM_{2.5} concentrations as a result of the variations in population density, industry and urban/rural divisions within the countries studied.\textsuperscript{5,19} The greatest burden of disease occurred in areas of rapid population growth in the developing world. Therefore, although those authors estimated that 5\% of lung cancer mortality could be attributable to PM_{2.5}, exposure globally, estimates for less-dense populations in developed countries are most likely lower.

**Limitations**

Assessment of PM_{2.5} exposure for the current study was based on the available data from the Clean Air Strategic Alliance monitoring network. Unlike land-use regression models of air pollution, our study used raw estimates that did not account for mobility, green space, proximity to major roads and other variables associated with the built environment. In a study comparing models of PM_{2.5} concentration in the New York City region, land-use regression models slightly outperformed geostatistics (used in the current study) in predicting concentrations at validation sites. As a measure of validation of the models, the root mean squared error at prediction sites was 1.15 μg/m\(^2\) for land-use regression and 1.30 μg/m\(^2\) for geostatistics.\textsuperscript{21} In addition, in the current study, the air-monitoring stations with complete data did not cover the entire province of Alberta. Based on Canadian census data, the population of Alberta in 2011 was 3 645 257, and the air-monitoring stations covered only 2 831 752 Alberta residents. In future analyses, our team will aim to estimate the burden of cancer attributable to air pollution at the national level. We will improve on the present analyses by considering more pollutants such as nitrogen dioxide and using measures of air pollution with accurate spatial and temporal properties, such as those from the Canadian Census Health and Environment Cohort presented in the recent work of Crouse and colleagues.\textsuperscript{17}

We assumed that the impact of PM_{2.5} on lung cancer is uniform across tobacco consumption groups. Given the clear association between tobacco use and lung cancer, if this assumption is not true, our population attributable risk estimates may be an over- or underestimation for certain tobacco consumption groups. It should also be noted that information on occupation was not taken into account. Thus, the proportion of Albertans working outside of their census community was unknown and may have led to inaccurate exposure assignments. Finally, latency was not taken into account in the current study. Latency is an important factor, as lung cancer risk is known to increase after years of exposure to air pollution.\textsuperscript{7} Although we used pollution estimates from 2011 to account for temporality, most previous studies included over 5 years of air pollution exposure. Owing to insufficient data availability, this was not possible for the current study. However, average annual concentrations of PM_{2.5} in Canada were stable between 2000 and 2014, with a range of 5.9–7.7 μg/m\(^3\).\textsuperscript{24}

**Conclusion**

The current analysis estimates that about 2\%–6\% of incident lung cancer cases in Alberta in 2012 may be attributable to PM_{2.5} exposure. Our estimate is within the expected range; however, with better exposure assessment, we could be more confident in our quantification of the risks associated with both acute and long-term PM_{2.5} exposure. Although a minority of all deaths caused by outdoor air pollution are due to lung cancer, valid air pollution estimates are essential for studying the associations between air pollution and all chronic disease. Future studies focusing on long-term air pollution exposure are essential to understanding the relation between air pollution and chronic disease.
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