Analysis of long non-coding RNA expression profiles in vascular endothelial cells with hyperglycemia-induced damage
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Abstract
Background: Diabetes mellitus is often associated with microvascular and macrovascular lesions, and hyperglycemia-induced vascular endothelial cell damage is a key factor.

Methods We investigated long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) and mRNAs that are affected by hyperglycemia-induced damage using human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) as a model. HUVECs were cultured under high (25 mmol/L) or normal (5 mmol/L) glucose conditions for 6 d, and then lncRNAs and protein-coding transcripts were profiled by RNA-seq.

Results Among 40,379 lncRNAs screened, 214 were upregulated (log2 [fold-change] > 1, FDR < 0.05) and 197 were downregulated (log2 [fold-change] < −1, FDR < 0.05) in response to high-glucose. Furthermore, among 28,431 protein-coding genes screened, 778 were upregulated and 998 were downregulated. A total of 945 lncRNA/mRNA pairs were identified, including 126 differentially expressed lncRNAs predicted to target 201 mRNAs, among which 26 were cis-regulatory interactions. The corresponding lncRNA-mRNA network was composed of 354 lncRNA nodes, 1,167 mRNA nodes and 9,735 edges. Dozens of lncRNAs with high degree may play important roles in high-glucose-induced HUVEC damage, including ENST00000600527, NONHSAT037576.2, NONHSAT135706.2, ENST00000602127, NONHSAT200243.1, NONHSAT217282.1, NONHSAT176260.1, NONHSAT199075.1, NONHSAT067063.2, NONHSAT058417.2.

Conclusion These observations may provide novel insights into the regulatory molecules and pathways of hyperglycemia-related endothelial dysfunction in diabetes-associated vascular disease.

Background
Diabetes-related microvascular and macrovascular complications are directly correlated with the severity and duration of hyperglycemia.\(^{(1, 2)}\) Stable and healthy endothelial cells are the basis of normal blood vessels, whereas dysfunction of endothelial cells is a risk indicator of diabetic angiopathy.\(^{(3, 4)}\) Protein kinase C, hexosamine pathways, polyol pathways, and advanced glycation end-products are believed to be responsible for dysfunction associated with endothelial cell diabetes mellitus. These factors inhibit the production of nitric oxide by promoting the bioavailability of reactive oxygen species, thus altering the structure and physiology of endothelial cells.\(^{(4-8)}\)
Hyperglycemia is the main contributor of endothelial dysfunction.\(^{(9)}\) Advanced glycation end products of hyperglycemia compromise the bioavailability of nitric oxide, which essentially drives endothelial dysfunction.\(^{(10)}\) The effect of advanced glycation end products on monocytes, macrophages and vascular smooth muscle cells enhances the inflammatory response and oxidative stress in the endothelial system.\(^{(9, 10)}\) Thus, unlike other cells or tissues, the vascular endothelium is extremely sensitive to blood glucose and is the direct target of hyperglycemia injury.\(^{(11)}\)

Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) are non-coding RNA molecules that contain over 200 nucleotides. LncRNAs play important regulatory roles in various diseases, such as cancers,\(^{(12-15)}\) atherosclerosis,\(^{(15)}\) neurodegeneration,\(^{(11)}\) autoimmune disorders,\(^{(16-20)}\) and chronic vascular disease.\(^{(21)}\) Data from both in vitro hyperglycemia induction and in vivo diabetes mellitus experiments have shown that lncRNA MALAT1 is highly expressed, and that inhibition of its expression can effectively improve endothelial cell inflammation and diabetic retinopathy.\(^{(22, 23)}\) Furthermore, high glucose (HG) treatment of vascular endothelial cells is accompanied by increased expression of lncRNA MIAT, and interference with MIAT can promote the proliferation, migration and abnormal angiogenesis of vascular endothelial cells induced by HG.\(^{(24)}\) In human endothelial cells, the knockdown of lncRNA AGAP2-AS1 inhibits cell proliferation, tubule formation and acetylated LDL uptake.\(^{(25)}\) Additionally, HG or oxidative stress inhibits the expression of lncRNA MEG3 in endothelial cells and diabetic mice. Knockout of lncRNA MEG3 can aggravate retinal vascular dysfunction and increase microvascular leakage and inflammation.\(^{(26)}\) These results suggest that lncRNAs may comprise novel therapeutic targets in hyperglycemia-related endothelial dysfunction or diabetes-induced vascular disease. In order to further understand the role of lncRNA in endothelial cell injury in diabetes mellitus, we carried out high throughput sequencing using human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) cultured under normal (CN) or HG conditions.

**Methods**

**Cell culture**

HUVECs were purchased from the China Center for Type Culture Collection (CCTCC, Wuhan, China)["""""]
and were maintained in Modified Eagle's Medium (MEM; Hyclone/Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco, Gaithersburg, MD USA), penicillin (100 U/mL; Gibco), and streptomycin (100 µg/mL; Gibco) at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO₂ chamber. Confluent HUVECs were starved overnight before exposure to media with normal or HG. HUVECs assigned to the Control (CN) group were maintained for 6 d in 5 mmol/L glucose and 20 mmol/L mannitol to account for changes that may be triggered by osmolarity differences. The remaining HUVECs of the HG group were maintained for the same duration in glucose-enriched (final glucose concentration 25 mmol/L) media.

**RNA preparation and RNA-seq**

TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) was used to isolate and purify total RNA according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The quantity and quality of the RNA were determined using a NanoDrop 2000 instrument (Thermo, Fisher Scientific). The RNA integrity was assessed by electrophoresis with denaturing agarose gels. Libraries were constructed according to the standard TruSeq protocol. Sequencing was performed on the Illumina HiSeq 2500 according to the manufacturer's protocol at Ao-Ji Biotech (Shanghai, China).

**Identification of IncRNAs by using a computational approach**

Quality control of the RNA-Seq reads was conducted using FastQC (v0.11.3). Reads were trimmed using the software SEQTK for known Illumina TruSeq adapter sequences, poor reads and ribosome RNA reads. Trimmed reads were aligned to the rat genome (Rn6) using Hisat2 (version: 2.0.4). Transcripts were assembled using Stringtie (v1.3.0) and then were compiled together by gffcompare (v0.9.8). Transcripts with class codes “i,” “u,” and “x,” were considered to be potential novel long transcripts. Pfam,(30) CPC(31) and CNCI(32) were used to compute the coding potential of each novel transcript. Transcripts with a Pfam score <0, CNCI <0 and CPC non-significant were considered to lack coding potential. Transcripts were matched with annotation databases, including NONCODE (v5) (http://www.noncode.org)(33) and Ensembl(34) The matched transcripts were considered to be known IncRNAs, and others were considered to be novel IncRNAs. All IncRNAs were quantified using
Stringtie. According to the positional association between lncRNA and mRNA in the genome, lncRNA was classified into six types: Bidirectional, exonic_antisense, exonic_sense, intergenic, intronic_antisense and intronic_sense.\(^{(35)}\)

**Prediction and functional analysis of target genes of differentially expressed lncRNAs**

Chromosome localizations, sequence complementarity and correlation coefficients between lncRNA and RNA pairs were analyzed in order to identify the lncRNAs’ cis- and trans-target genes. In brief, a distinction was made according to chromosome location: if lncRNAs were located in the range of 10 KB upstream and 20 KB downstream of the coding gene, they were considered likely to be cis-regulatory.\(^{(26, 27)}\) The trans-regulatory interaction potential between lncRNAs and mRNAs was analyzed by RNAplex software,\(^{(28)}\) with binding energy of <\(−30\) as the threshold. Furthermore, the Pearson correlation coefficients (PCCs) between lncRNAs and mRNAs were calculated, with a cutoff of PCC \(\geq 0.6\). The functions of these candidate coding genes were assessed using gene ontology (GO) function and pathway analysis using ClusterProfiler.

**The lncRNA-mRNA-coexpression network**

The lncRNA and mRNA co-expression network was constructed according to the normalized fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads of the unit genes. We calculated the PCC between differentially expressed lncRNAs and differentially expressed mRNAs. LncRNA-mRNA pairs with significant correlations (PCC > 0.99 and \(p < 0.01\)) were chosen to build the co-expression network and visualized by Cytoscape. The number of directly linked neighbors for each node was calculated and was defined as the nodes degree.

**RT-PCR validation**

Seven lncRNAs were randomly selected for verification of the RNA-Seq results by quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR), which was performed on a Roche LightCycler 480 machine (Roche Applied Science, Germany) with the SYBR green assay (TaKaRa, Japan). The qRT-PCR amplification reactions were carried out via the following program: 95°C for 10 min, 40 cycles with 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 20 s. The primer sequences for qRT-PCR are provided in Table 1. With GAPDH as an internal control,
the relative expression was computed according to the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method.

**Results**

**LncRNA-sequencing data analysis**

We characterized the lncRNA landscape of expression by performing deep RNA-seq experiments on three CN and three HG-induced HUVECs samples. After SEQTK quality assessment, more than 33 million total original reads for each sample were obtained, and the proportion of bases with quality values greater than 20 (Q20) was >94%. These results indicate that the quality of the sequencing results was acceptable (Table 2). After filtering out the adaptor sequence and low quality reads, the percentage of clean reads within the raw reads accounted for 94% of the total sequences in the two groups. Hisat2 software was used to map the clean reads to the *Rattus norvegicus* reference genome. As shown in Table 2, approximately 97% of the trimmed reads were mapped onto the reference genome. In total, we screened 40,380 lncRNAs from the six samples, including 387 novel and 39,993 known lncRNAs, of which 36,550 were shared lncRNAs detected in both the HG and CN HUVEC groups (Figure 1A, Supplemental Table S1). Most of the identified lncRNAs were transcribed from protein-coding exons (sense and antisense); others were from introns and intergenic regions (Figure 1B). Furthermore, 24,304 lncRNA transcripts could be found in all chromosomes, with the majority located on chromosome 1 (Figure 1C).

**Identification of differentially expressed lncRNAs**

EdgeR was used to filter differentially expressed lncRNAs (DELs) between the HG-induced and CN HUVEC groups. Among the lncRNAs, 214 were significantly upregulated ($\log_2$ (fold-change) $> 1$, FDR $< 0.05$) and 197 were significantly downregulated ($\log_2$ (fold-change) $< -1$, FDR $< 0.05$) in response to HG exposure. Additionally, several of the DELs had a fold change value equal to positive infinity and negative infinity, meaning that these lncRNAs were completely switched-on or off with HG induction. The top five upregulated DELs were NONHSAT180405.1, MSTRG.31780.5, NONHSAT086922.2, NONHSAT022138.2, NONHSAT094345.2, NONRATT027551.2; and the top five downregulated DELs were NONHSAT056661.2, NONHSAT204850.1, NONHSAT217441.1, MSTRG.9798.2, NONHSAT152502.1 (Figure 2, Supplemental Table S2).
qRT-PCR verification of DELs

To verify our findings, the expression profiles of six differentially expressed IncRNAs were randomly selected for qRT-PCR analysis. The results show that the expression of the IncRNAs had similar trends as with the sequencing results, indicating that our sequencing results were reliable (Figure 3).

Regulatory analysis of DELs and DEGs

IncRNAs act via cis- and trans-regulation of target genes for biological function. To evaluate the regulatory pathways associated with the IncRNAs, we assessed the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in the same HUVEC samples. Of 28,431 protein-coding genes that were screened, 778 were upregulated and 998 downregulated by HG treatment. By comparing the DELs and the DEGs, a total of 945 matched IncRNA-mRNAs pairs for 126 DELs and 201 DEGs were predicted, of which 26 IncRNA/RNA interactions were cis-regulatory, with either positive or negative correlations of the IncRNAs with their predicted target genes. An additional 715 interactions were trans-regulatory, including 2 that were both cis- and trans-regulatory (Supplemental Table S3).

To further understand the regulatory functions of the differentially expressed IncRNAs, all predicted target genes were annotated according to GO and pathway function entries using ClusterProfiler. Among the GO Enrichment terms (Figure 4A) the most abundant in the biological process categories were Mitotic cell cycle, Cell cycle, Cell division, Microtubule cytoskeleton organization, DNA replication, Chromosome segregation, Spindle organization, Cytoskeleton organization, Cholesterol biosynthetic process, and Centromere complex assembly. The most abundant GO terms in the cellular component categories were, Molecular function Centromeric region, Chromosome, spindle, Chromosome, Replication fork, Nuclear chromosome, Condensed nuclear chromosome, Microtubule, Microtubule cytoskeleton, Cytoskeleton, and Nucleoplasm. Among the Pathway Enrichment terms (Figure 4B), the most abundant were beta-Alanine metabolism, Primary immunodeficiency, Carbohydrate digestion and absorption, Arginine and proline metabolism, Histidine metabolism, Fatty acid elongation, Homologous recombination, Colorectal cancer, Mucin type O-Glycan biosynthesis, Arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy (ARVC), Aldosterone-regulated sodium reabsorption, Cardiac muscle contraction, Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM), Endocrine and other
factor-regulated calcium reabsorption, Dilated cardiomyopathy, Valine, leucine and isoleucine degradation, Fatty acid metabolism, Apoptosis, NF-kappa B signaling pathway, Endometrial cancer, Adrenergic signaling in cardiomyocytes, and Hippo signaling pathway.

**lncRNA-mRNA co-expression network**

To visualize the co-expression network, pairs of lncRNAs and mRNAs that had PCC > 0.99 and p < 0.01 were assessed using Cytoscape software. As shown in Figure 5, the IncRNA-mRNA network was composed of 354 IncRNA nodes, 1,167 mRNA nodes and 9,735 edges. According to the nodes and connections, the top 10 LncRNAs were ENST00000600527 (degree = 241), NONHSAT037576.2 (degree = 234), NONHSAT135706.2 (degree = 233), ENST00000602127 (degree = 226), NONHSAT200243.1 (degree = 221), NONHSAT217282.1 (degree = 219), NONHSAT176260.1 (degree = 216), NONHSAT199075.1 (degree = 204), NONHSAT067063.2 (degree = 197), and NONHSAT058417.2 (degree = 192) (Fig. 5). In addition, some well characterized lncRNAs, such as SNHG1 (degree = 23) and GACAT2 (degree = 24), were highly represented within the network.

**Discussion**

Using microarray analysis, a variety of IncRNAs have been determined to be dysregulated in HG-induced HUVECs.(36) In addition, mechanistic studies indicate a role for specific IncRNAs in endothelial cell dysfunction induced by diabetes or HG.(22-26) Both clinical and experimental studies indicate that impairment of vascular smooth muscle cells by diabetes and HG contribute to the increased incidence of diabetic cardiomyopathy.(37) Furthermore, a large number of studies have shown that IncRNAs are involved in the injury of vascular smooth muscle induced by diabetes mellitus. For example, IncRNA-ES3 can regulate calcification/senescence of vascular smooth muscle cells through an miR-34c-5p/BMF axis that is activated upon HG induction.(38) The IncRNA SENCr shows high abundance of expression in vascular cells,(37, 39, 40) and can regulate fork-head box protein O1 and transient receptor potential cation channel 6, thereby promoting the proliferation and migration of smooth muscle cells. HG exposure of T2DM db/db mice can inhibit the expression and function in smooth muscle cells, and overexpression of SENCr reverses the inhibitory effect of HG on vascular smooth
Given the important role of these IncRNAs, we rationalized that it is likely that other uncharacterized IncRNAs participate in the processes of endothelial cell pathogenesis caused by diabetes. Further, RNA-seq— as a highly sensitive approach towards identifying differentially expressed RNAs—could be used for the purpose of identifying the roles of these yet uncharacterized IncRNAs.

In the present study, a total of 214 upregulated and 197 downregulated IncRNAs ($|FC| > 2$, FDR < 0.05) were identified through RNA-seq using an HG-induced HUVEC model. Quality control assays were performed to ensure the reliability of the experiments, and several IncRNAs were validated using RT-qPCR. Furthermore, DEGs in the same cells were identified, and the IncRNA and mRNA expression profiles were compared. Using a variety of bioinformatics approaches, we revealed pathways and processes associated with dysregulation of IncRNA expression. It is well known that the AGE-RAGE signaling pathway in diabetic complications plays an important role in endothelial cell injury induced by diabetes mellitus. In Enrichment Analysis based on IncRNA-mRNA co-expression networks, up to 45 IncRNAs were found to be associated with this pathway, especially ENST00000600527, NONHSAT217282.1, NONHSAT067063.2, NONHSAT093248.2, NONHSAT118785.2, ENST00000444438, NONHSAT200447.1, NONHSAT108582.2, ENST00000508000, ENST00000594119, NONHSAT186088.1. Therefore, our findings are consistent with current understanding of processes by which diabetes promotes endothelial cell injury. On the basis of our findings, the information obtained in this study should be useful for identifying additional pathways and processes that contribute to the pathogenesis of diabetes.

Moreover, we found that ENST00000600527 contained 852bp, including linc00969:14, Inc-AC069257.9.1-4:31, et al. LINC00969 was identified as a competitive endogenous RNA (CERNA) of miR-335-3p in vitro, which positively regulates the expression of Thioredoxin interacting protein (TXNIP)\(^{(41)}\). We will conduct further clinical studies on other lincRNAs in the future.

**Conclusion**

The potential roles of IncRNAs in diabetic complications were investigated by bioinformatics analysis. These findings may help us to understand the possible molecular mechanism of HG-induced HUVECs
and may provide a more comprehensive understanding of the IncRNA expression profile that is
dysregulated during diabetes.

Abbreviations
IncRNAs: long non-coding RNAs; HUVECs: human umbilical vein endothelial cells; HG: high glucose;
GO: gene ontology; ARVC: Arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy
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Tables
Table 1. Sequences of primers used in this study.
| Gene             | Primers sequences                  | PCR product length (bp) |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| GAPDH            | CCTGGTATGACAACGAATTTG ACTGAGGGTTCTCTCTCTTCC | 131                    |
| ENST00000444438  | AGGTGTGTGTCATCCCAACT ACTTGTGCTGCCTCTCTCTTCT | 146                    |
| ENST00000623851  | CCTCCACCCACAGACACATTCT ACTTGTGCTGCCTCTCTTCT | 111                    |
| ENST00000444438  | AGGTGTGTGTCATCCCAACT ACTTGTGCTGCCTCTCTTCT | 111                    |
| NONHSAT108582.2 | CTGGGGCTTTTTCACCTCCTTT TTTTTCCTGTCGCCGCTA | 99                     |
| NONHSAT141593.2 | AAACAGCTGCCCTCAACCCT GAGGCAAAAAAGCTGCTCTCG | 115                    |
| ENST00000601562  | GCTTCCGTTCGCTTAGACTG ACAACGATTTTTGCTCTCTCG | 85                     |
| ENST00000609170  | ATGGATGCCTTGGGACCTCT GCATACCCGTGGGATTCTCA | 96                     |

Table 2. Quality control results for Control (CN) and High Glucose (HG) samples.

| Sample ID | Raw reads | Clean reads | Clean ratio | rRNA trimmed | rRNA ratio | No rRNA pair |
|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|--------------|------------|--------------|
| CN1       | 117,704,886 | 113,050,654 | 96.05%      | 112,662,073  | 0.34%      | 110,698,298  |
| CN2       | 122,274,264 | 117,706,060 | 96.26%      | 116,809,617  | 0.76%      | 114,445,122  |
| CN3       | 133,367,858 | 128,173,211 | 96.11%      | 127,454,102  | 0.56%      | 125,334,594  |
| HG1       | 131,986,802 | 127,345,509 | 96.48%      | 126,055,444  | 1.01%      | 123,898,326  |
| HG2       | 125,081,888 | 120,021,621 | 95.95%      | 118,751,346  | 1.06%      | 116,639,846  |
| HG3       | 119,510,382 | 114,549,751 | 95.85%      | 113,422,883  | 0.98%      | 111,534,600  |

Figures
Figure 1

The landscape of lncRNAs identified in the Control Normal (CN) and High Glucose (HG) groups. (A) Venn diagram of lncRNAs in the CN and HG groups. (B) Number of lncRNAs classified into each of six types. (C) Number of lncRNAs on each chromosome.
Characterization of differentially expressed IncRNAs in High Glucose (HG) versus Control Normal (CN) cells. (A) Correlation plots, (B) volcano plots, and (C) heatmap of differentially expressed IncRNAs. For panels A and B, blue indicates >2 fold decreased expression and red indicates >2 fold increased expression of the dysregulated IncRNAs in HG-induced human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) (p < 0.05). The gray indicates no significant change. For panel C, red indicates high expression and green indicates low expression of genes with >2 fold dysregulation for each of the 6 samples.
Verification of differentially expressed IncRNAs (DELs) by qRT-PCR. The expression of six IncRNAs in human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) was detected by qRT-PCR. Results indicate the expression fold changes relative to that of the Control Normal (CN) sample (1.0).
Figure 4. Gene Ontology (GO) and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis for cis- and trans-target genes of differentially expressed IncRNAs (DELs). (A) Top30 GO enrichment terms. (B) Top30 KEGG pathway enrichment terms.
Figure 5

A lncRNA-gene-network based on Pearson’s correlation coefficient analysis of differentially expressed lncRNAs (DEls) from High Glucose (HG) versus Control Normal (CN) human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs). Pink nodes indicate upregulated mRNAs or lncRNAs, and green nodes indicate downregulated mRNAs or lncRNAs.
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