Color charge correlations in the proton at NLO: beyond geometry based intuition
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Color charge correlators provide fundamental information about the proton structure. In this Letter, we evaluate numerically two-point color charge correlations in a proton on the light cone including the next-to-leading order corrections due to emission or exchange of a perturbative gluon. The non-perturbative valence quark structure of the proton is modelled in a way consistent with high-x proton structure data. Our results show that the correlator exhibits startlingly non-trivial behavior at large momentum transfer or central impact parameters, and that the color charge correlation depends not only on the impact parameter but also on the relative transverse momentum of the two gluon probes and their relative angle. Furthermore, from the two-point color charge correlator, we compute the dipole scattering amplitude. Its azimuthal dependence differs significantly from a impact parameter dependent McLerran-Venugopalan model based on geometry. Our results also provide initial conditions for Balitsky-Kovchegov evolution of the dipole scattering amplitude. These initial conditions depend not only on the impact parameter and dipole size vectors, but also on their relative angle and on the light-cone momentum fraction x in the target.

I. INTRODUCTION

Revealing the proton and nuclear structure at high energies, or equivalently at small momentum fraction x, is one of the major tasks of the planned future nuclear deep inelastic scattering (DIS) facilities such as the Electron Ion Collider (EIC) [1–4] in the US, LHeC/FCC-he [5] at CERN and EicC in China [6]. Thanks to the high energies and luminosities available at these future facilities, it will be possible to perform multi dimensional “proton imaging” and accurately determine the hadron structure not only as a function of momentum fraction x, but also including the geometric profile and intrinsic transverse momenta.

The color charge correlators provide fundamental information about the proton, and these correlators can be measured through various exclusive and inclusive processes at the EIC. For example, at leading order the light-cone gauge correlator is related to the average quark transverse momentum vector and to the Sivers asymmetry [7]. Furthermore, in the mixed transverse momentum – transverse coordinate space representation, the color charge correlator can be related to the Wigner distribution [8–10], which is the most fundamental object describing the proton structure, and to various other generalized parton distribution functions. This detailed information about the partonic structure of the proton can be accessed experimentally e.g. in dijet production or in vector meson – lepton azimuthal correlations in DIS, as recently argued in Refs. [11–14].

The first goal of this Letter is to present novel numerical results for the two-point color charge correlator in the proton at moderately small longitudinal momentum fraction x. Our numerical implementation is based on the recent computation presented in Ref. [15], where the two-point color charge correlator was computed within the framework of light-cone perturbation theory including the next-to-leading order (NLO) corrections due to emission or exchange of a perturbative gluon1.

Our results show non-trivial features in the proton color field at moderate x. For example, one may naively assume the impact parameter dependence of these color charge correlations to follow the proton geometry, i.e. to be proportional to the transverse shape function of the proton. We, however, find that this geometric picture may only apply at large impact parameters; while the nature of color charge correlations near the center of the proton is much more intricate. In particular, our results show that the color charge correlation function depends not only on the impact parameter, but also on the relative transverse momentum of the two gluon probes and on the angle made by these two vectors. This is in contrast to the frequently used, intuitive, McLerran-Venugopalan (MV) model [18, 19]. Also, for some kinematic configurations, the correlator may in fact display negative (“repul-
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1 The two-point color charge correlator at leading order was first computed in Refs. [16, 17].
The need for non-trivial correlations among “hot spots” in models for proton-proton scattering at high energies has been pointed out previously [20–22].

Our second goal here is to study the evolution of the dipole-proton scattering amplitude at moderately small $x$ values (say $x \sim 0.1, \ldots, 0.01$), and to present initial conditions for high-energy quantum chromodynamics (QCD) evolution to yet smaller $x$. At high energies, where one is sensitive to the target structure at small $x$, the parton densities are so large [23] that individual quarks and gluons are not convenient degrees of freedom anymore. Instead, one considers eikonal interactions of the projectile with the effectively quasi-classical color field generated by the large $x$ partons in the target.

To describe QCD in this regime of high parton densities, an effective theory of QCD, the Color Glass Condensate (CGC) has been developed [24–27]. In this approach, perturbative evolution equations such as the Balitsky-Kovchegov (BK) equation [28, 29] describe the energy (or $x$) evolution of scattering amplitudes. The initial condition for this evolution encodes non-perturbative information about the proton structure, and has been previously determined by fitting the HERA structure function data [30–32].

In fact, the BK equation in its standard formulation evolves the wave function of the projectile, and the evolution “time” is given by the rapidity of the projectile [33–35]. Ducloué et al. have reformulated [34] BK evolution at NLO in terms of the target rapidity, which is the rapidity related to $x$. The resulting evolution equation is non-local in rapidity, which underscores the importance of $x$-dependent “initial conditions” for the dipole scattering amplitude as computed here. We emphasize that such an initial condition is a necessary ingredient for all phenomenological applications of the CGC framework; these applications are currently reaching NLO accuracy [32, 36–47], and this calls for a rigorous NLO level calculation of the initial condition. We also note that the calculation of the color charge correlator at NLO accuracy is required in order to determine the dependence on $x$, which enters as a cutoff on the gluon longitudinal momentum fraction, as discussed below.

II. COLOR CHARGE CORRELATOR

The central object of consideration in this paper is the two-point color charge correlator

$$\langle \rho^a(\vec{q}_1) \rho^b(\vec{q}_2) \rangle \equiv \delta^{ab} g^2 G_2(\vec{q}_1, \vec{q}_2),$$  

(1)

Note that here we do not extract from $G_2$ the normalization factor $C(N) = \frac{1}{2} \delta_N$ of the generators of the fundamental representation, as was done in Ref. [16, 17].

FIG. 1: Examples for handbag (left) and cat’s ears (right) diagrams at LO (top) and at NLO (bottom).

where $g = \sqrt{4\pi\alpha_s}$ is the strong coupling constant and $a, b$ are the external gluon colors. The notation $\langle \cdots \rangle$ denotes an expectation value between proton states, $(K)$ and $|P\rangle$, stripped of the delta-functions for conservation of transverse and light-cone momentum$^3$

$$\langle K | \rho^a(\vec{q}_1) \rho^b(\vec{q}_2) \rangle |P\rangle = 16\pi^3 P^+$$

\[ \times \delta(P^+ - K^+) \delta(\vec{P} - \vec{K} - \vec{q}_1 - \vec{q}_2) \langle \rho^a(\vec{q}_1) \rho^b(\vec{q}_2) \rangle. \tag{2} \]

Furthermore, $\rho^a(\vec{q}) = \rho^a_{qu}(\vec{q}) + \rho^a_{gl}(\vec{q})$ denotes the operator which sums up the color charge densities of quarks (qu) and gluons (gl) with $q^+ > 0$; explicit expressions in terms of quark and gluon creation and annihilation operators are given in Ref. [15].

The insertion of the charge operators $\rho^a(\vec{q}_1), \rho^b(\vec{q}_2)$ between the incoming and scattered proton states corresponds to the attachment of two static gluon probes (with amputated propagators) to the color charges in the proton, in all possible ways. The complete set of diagrams for $G_2$ at NLO and their explicit expressions are given in Ref. [15]. The two static gluons that probe the proton structure carry transverse momenta $\vec{q}_1$ and $\vec{q}_2$, and the total momentum transfer to the proton reads

$$\vec{P} - \vec{K} = \vec{q}_1 + \vec{q}_2.$$

(3)

In subsequent expressions, such as Eq. (4), we choose $\vec{P} = 0$ for the incoming proton$^4$. Figure 1 shows examples for “handbag” and “cat’s ears” diagrams at leading order (LO) $g_2$ and at NLO $g_4$ in the strong coupling constant. The former are represented at LO by one-body operators so that the entire momentum transfer $\vec{K}$ to the

3 We use the light cone coordinates ($P^+, P^-, \vec{P}$), where the notation $\vec{P}$ denotes two-dimensional transverse vector.

4 Note that the computed correlator is invariant under the transverse Galilean transformations, see the discussion in Ref. [15].
proton flows into a single valence quark line. Therefore, for \( \vec{q}_1 \to -\vec{q}_2 \) (i.e. \( \vec{K} \to 0 \)) the LO handbag diagrams approach the normalization integral in Eq. (10), such that there is maximal wave function overlap. At leading order, the handbag diagram is proportional to the electromagnetic form factor, i.e. to the distribution \( \langle \rho(\vec{q}) \rangle \) of electric charge in the proton\(^5\).

For large momentum transfer, on the other hand, wave function overlap in the handbag diagram is highly suppressed. At large \( \vec{K}^2 \), the wave function overlap is much greater for the cat’s ears diagram because the momentum transfer is shared by two (or even three, at NLO) valence quarks. Since \( \vec{K} \) is the Fourier conjugate to the two-dimensional (2D) transverse coordinate vector (impact parameter) \( \vec{b} \), it follows that color charge correlators near the center of the proton are dominated by diagrams where the momentum transfer is shared by the valence quarks \([16, 48]\).

The gluon emission and exchange diagrams exhibit ultraviolet divergences when the gluon transverse momentum \( \vec{q} \to \infty \). These cancel in the sum of diagrams \([15]\) so that \( G_2 \) is renormalization scale independent. They also exhibit soft and collinear divergences, which are regularized by introducing a light-cone momentum cutoff \( x \), and a collinear cutoff \( m \) in the light-cone energy denominators, respectively following the notation of Ref. \([15]\).\(^6\) The dependence of the correlator on \( x \) and \( m \) will be explored numerically below.

The Fourier transform w.r.t. the total momentum transfer to the proton gives the color charge correlator as a function of impact parameter \( \vec{b} \) and the relative transverse momentum \( \vec{q}_{12} = \vec{q}_1 - \vec{q}_2 \) of the probes

\[
G_2(\vec{q}_{12}, \vec{b}) = \int \frac{d^2 \vec{K}}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-i\vec{K} \cdot \vec{r}} G_2 \left( \frac{\vec{q}_{12} - \vec{K}}{2}, -\frac{\vec{q}_{12} + \vec{K}}{2} \right).
\]

The vector \( \vec{q}_{12} \) is Fourier conjugate to the transverse distance \( \vec{r} \) between the two gluons. For \( \vec{q}_{12} = 0 \), the integral of \( G_2 \) over the transverse impact parameter plane vanishes,

\[
\int d^2 \vec{b} \ G_2(\vec{q}_{12} = 0, \vec{b}) = 0. \tag{5}
\]

This is due to the fact that \( G_2(\vec{q}_1, \vec{q}_2) \) satisfies a Ward identity and vanishes when either \( \vec{q}_1 \to 0 \) \([15, 16, 49, 50]\).

From the color charge correlator we can obtain the eikonal dipole scattering amplitude \( N(\vec{r}, \vec{b}) \) in the two-gluon exchange approximation as follows \([17]\)

\[
N(\vec{r}, \vec{b}) = -g^4 C_F \int \frac{d^2 \vec{K} d^2 \vec{q}}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{\cos \left( \frac{1}{2} \vec{K} \cdot \vec{b} \right)}{(q - \frac{1}{2} \vec{K})^2 (q + \frac{1}{2} \vec{K})^2} \times \left( \cos(\vec{r} \cdot \vec{q}) - \cos \left( \frac{1}{2} \vec{K} \cdot \vec{r} \right) \right) G_2 \left( q - \frac{1}{2} K, -q - \frac{1}{2} \vec{K} \right). \tag{6}
\]

This expression applies in the regime of weak scattering, \( N(\vec{r}, \vec{b}) \ll 1 \) since it does not resum the Glauber-Mueller multiple scattering series. To perform such resummation, the color charge correlator computed in Ref. \([16]\) would have to be transformed from light cone to covariant gauge\(^7\). Also, we stress that the LO contribution to \( N(\vec{r}, \vec{b}) \) is proportional to \( \alpha_s^2 \) while the NLO correction is proportional to \( \alpha_s \) (times a logarithm of the minimal light-cone momentum fraction \( x \) of the gluon, in a leading logarithmic approximation).

It will be instructive to contrast our result for \( G_2(\vec{q}_1, \vec{q}_2) \) to the color charge correlator of the McLerran-Venugopalan (MV) model \([18, 19]\). In transverse momentum space,

\[
\langle \rho^a(\vec{q}_1) \rho^b(\vec{q}_2) \rangle = \delta^{ab} g^2 \mu^2 (2\pi)^2 \delta(\vec{q}_1 + \vec{q}_2). \tag{7}
\]

Here, \( g^2 \mu^2 > 0 \) has the interpretation of the mean square color charge per unit area. In impact parameter space this corresponds to \( G_2(\vec{b}, \vec{q}_{12}) \to \mu^2 \); i.e. to a translationally invariant correlator which is independent of the relative transverse momentum (and its azimuthal orientation relative to \( \vec{b} \)). In applications, where a non-trivial transverse profile functions is required, one commonly replaces in impact parameter space \( \mu^2 \to \mu^2(b) \), in which \( \mu^2(b) \sim T_\perp(b) \) is proportional to the proton shape function \([52–54]) \( see also \ Refs. [13, 14, 55–60]) for phenomenological applications). Such a dependence of the correlator on impact parameter does not satisfy the sum rule Eq. (5) without an explicit confinement scale regulator. Furthermore, the MV-model correlator does not exhibit the soft and collinear divergences of the NLO correlator \( G_2 \).

\(^{5}\) See, for example, Eqs. (9,10) in \([48]\).

\(^{6}\) The dependence on \( x \) and \( m \) is left implicit, we do not list these cutoffs as arguments of \( G_2 \).

\(^{7}\) For a large nucleus where the color charge correlator of the MV model applies this has been done in Ref. \([51]\).
III. THE PROTON STATE ON THE LIGHT FRONT

\[ \Psi_{qqq} = N_{\text{HO}} \sqrt{x_1 x_2 x_3} \prod_{i=1}^{3} \exp \left( -\frac{(\vec{k}_i^2 + M^2)/x_i}{2\beta^2} \right). \]  

This “harmonic oscillator” wave function is our default choice. For some observables we have also checked the power-law wave function

\[ \Psi_{qqq} = N_p \sqrt{x_1 x_2 x_3} \left( 1 + \frac{3 \vec{k}_1^2 + \vec{k}_2^2 + \vec{k}_3^2}{x_1 \beta^2} \right)^{-p}. \]

The non-perturbative parameters \( M = 0.26 \text{ GeV and } \beta = 0.55 \text{ GeV} \), introduced in Eq. (8), have been tuned in Ref. [63] to reproduce the radius, the anomalous magnetic moment, and the axial coupling of the proton and the neutron. Similarly parameters for the power-law wave function (9) are available in Ref. [63]. Note that because of the constraints that \( x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 1 \) and \( \vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2 + \vec{k}_3 = 0 \), the proton state also encodes longitudinal and transverse momentum correlations among the quarks.

We have observed rather small differences in the kinematic regimes covered by the figures below. We therefore do not show explicitly the results corresponding to the power law valence quark wave function. The normalization factor of \( \Psi_{qqq} \) is obtained from the requirement that the states are normalized as

\[ \langle K|P \rangle = 16\pi^3 P^+ \delta(P^+ - K^+) \delta(\vec{P} - \vec{K}) \]

\[ \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \int [dx_i] \int [d^2 \vec{k}_i] |\Psi_{qqq}(x_i, \vec{k}_i)|^2 = 1, \]  

where the phase space factors are defined as:

\[ [dx_i] \equiv \frac{dx_1 dx_2 dx_3}{8x_1 x_2 x_3} \delta(1 - x_1 - x_2 - x_3), \]

\[ [d^2 \vec{k}_i] \equiv \frac{d^2 \vec{k}_1 d^2 \vec{k}_2 d^2 \vec{k}_3}{(2\pi)^6} \delta(\vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2 + \vec{k}_3). \]

Other models for the valence quark wave functions include those of Refs. [64–68]. Valence quark wave functions have also been obtained numerically by solving various model Hamiltonians [69–71].

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

For all numerical results we use fixed coupling\(^8\) \( \alpha_s = 0.2 \). We note that NLO corrections increase relative to the LO results in proportion to \( \alpha_s \). Also, unless mentioned otherwise, our default choice for the collinear cutoff is \( m = 0.2 \text{ GeV} \). We show results at different \( x \), which is the lower cutoff for the emitted gluon longitudinal momentum in the NLO diagrams. We also use \( x \) as a lower limit in the integrations over the valence quark momentum fractions \( x_i \).

\(^8\) The coupling does not run as the perturbative one gluon emission corrections are \( O(\alpha_s) \), see discussion in Ref. [15]
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FIG. 4: Color charge correlator in momentum space at different \( x \) for the configuration where the probe momenta are perpendicular: \( \vec{q}_1 = (K/\sqrt{2}, 0) \) and \( \vec{q}_2 = (0, K/\sqrt{2}) \), so \( q_{12} = K \). The results are shown in the perturbative \( K > 0.5 \) GeV region. The bands correspond to the variation of the collinear cutoff in \( m = 0.1 \) GeV \( \ldots 0.4 \) GeV.

A. Color charge density correlator

To study the magnitude of the NLO correction, we evaluate the two point function \( G_2(\vec{q}_1, \vec{q}_2) \) in momentum space. We first choose a configuration where the two probe gluons carry the same momentum, \( \vec{q}_1 = \vec{q}_2 = (K/2, 0) \). Note that here, and in the following discussion, we use the notation \( K = |\vec{K}| \) for the length of all transverse vectors. The color charge correlator as a function of the transverse momentum transfer \( K \) is shown in Fig. 3. The leading order result is compared to the full NLO result (which also includes the leading order \( \sim g^2 \) contribution) obtained with three different values for the momentum cutoff \( x \). As expected, the one gluon emission correction grows rapidly with decreasing \( x \), and completely dominates at \( x = 0.01 \). At greater \( x = 0.05 \) the NLO correction is comparable to the leading order contribution, to finally become a small correction at \( x = 0.1 \). The fact that the perturbative correction is numerically small at \( x = 0.1 \) represents a non-trivial consistency check of the "expansion" about the LO three-quark state. We also observe that the dependence on the collinear cutoff \( m \) is moderate, as is the case in all results shown in this Section.

We have mentioned above that at leading order, the configuration with approximately equal momenta is dominated by the cat’s ears diagram, which corresponds to the matrix element of a two-body operator and gives a negative contribution to \( G_2 \). The figure shows that the correlation function for \( \vec{q}_1 = \vec{q}_2 \) remains negative, i.e. dominated by two-body correlations, even in the regime of small \( x \) where the NLO correction is greater than the LO contribution.

In Fig. 4 we show \( G_2(\vec{q}_{12}, \vec{b}) \) for perpendicular momenta where contributions of hand-bag type are less suppressed. Indeed, the correlator is now positive, although this contribution is generically smaller in magnitude than the negative contribution from the cat’s ears type diagrams shown in the previous Fig. 3. The NLO correction amounts to stronger positive correlations at all momentum transfers \( K \). At small \( K \lesssim 1 \) GeV the results depend strongly on the value chosen for the collinear cutoff \( m \). Of course, at small \( K \) and small \( q_{12} \) the perturbative computation of the color charge correlator in terms of two gluon exchange should be interpreted with caution.

We now proceed to show the color charge correlator \( G_2(\vec{q}_{12}, \vec{b}) \) in mixed transverse momentum - transverse coordinate space, c.f. Fig. 5. Near the center of the proton at small \( \vec{b} \) we find that \( G_2 < 0 \); "repulsive" two-body correlations dominate here. Also, comparing \( x = 0.1 \) to \( x = 0.01 \) we note that the NLO correction mainly affects \( G_2 \) at small \( \vec{b} \) to strongly boost these negative two-body correlations, more so for smaller \( q_{12} \). However, hand-bag type contributions become more prominent with increasing \( q_{12} \) or \( \vec{b} \). At small \( x = 0.01 \) and large \( q_{12} = 1 \) GeV, we observe that significant positive color charge correlations emerge for impact parameters \( \vec{b} \geq 0.2 \) fm. Generically, the large-\( b \) tails of the two-point correlator \( G_2 \) exhibit a fall-off that resembles a transverse profile function. Here, the one gluon emission NLO correction is in line with the simple intuitive picture whereby it increases the mean square color charge density. However, there is a large negative correction near the center of the proton.

The charge correlator in the MV model, even in its modified version with a non-trivial shape function, is independent of the relative transverse momentum \( \vec{q}_{12} \) and its angular orientation relative to the impact parame-
ter vector \( \vec{b} \). We have already documented above the dependence of our result for \( G_2 \) on the magnitude of \( \vec{q}_{12} \). Fig. 6 shows that it depends also on the relative azimuthal orientation \( \theta \) of these vectors. We observe a
\[ \sim \cos 2(\theta - \varphi) \]
azimuthal anisotropy in \( G_2 \) normalized by its angular average. The phase shift is \( \varphi = 0 \) in the kinematic regime where the correlator is positive, and \( \varphi = \pi/2 \) in the regime dominated by “repulsive” correlations where \( G_2(\vec{q}_{12}, \vec{b}) < 0 \).

**B. Dipole scattering amplitude \( N(\vec{r}, \vec{b}) \)**

In this section, we document the "evolution" of the dipole scattering amplitude from \( x = 0.1 \) to \( x = 0.01 \), and its angular dependence. We have not attempted to tune the coupling constant or the quark mass collinear cutoff to data from inclusive DIS or exclusive \( J/\Psi \) production; detailed studies of phenomenological predictions are left for the future.

In Fig. 7 we show the evolution of the dipole scattering amplitude from \( x = 0.1 \) to \( x = 0.01 \), at two different impact parameters. We observe much stronger scattering at higher energy (lower \( x \)) by a factor of \( \approx 3 \), even though
\[ \alpha_s \log \frac{1}{x_0} = 0.46 \]
is not a big number. However, there are many diagrams for NLO corrections. The obtained dipole amplitude \( N(\vec{r}, \vec{b}; x) \) can be directly used as an initial condition for the (impact parameter dependent) BK evolution studied e.g. in Refs. [72–79].

We continue with an analysis of the azimuthal anisotropy of the dipole scattering amplitude. If the color charge correlator is taken to be isotropic and proportional to the shape function of the target then, in the two-gluon exchange approximation at small \( r \) and \( b \),
\[
N(\vec{r}, \vec{b}) = f(r, b) \left[ 1 + c (rb)^2 \cos 2\theta \right].
\]

Here, the function \( f(r, b) \) is independent of the angle \( \theta \) between \( \vec{r} \) and \( \vec{b} \), and \( c > 0 \) is a constant with mass dimension 4. See Ref. [54] for a derivation of this result\(^9\), and Fig. 18 in Ref. [13] for a nice graphical representation. Here, the angular dependence arises due to the fact that under rotations of the dipole at fixed \( \vec{b} \) its endpoints probe different “densities” in the target, when its transverse profile function is not constant. (The target is isotropic only w.r.t. its center but is not invariant under rotations about the displaced point \( \vec{b} \).) Eq. (13) predicts
\[ a \sim \cos 2\theta \]
azimuthal dependence with an amplitude proportional to the squares of the size of the dipole and of the impact parameter.

Figure 8 shows our result for \( N(\vec{r}, \vec{b}) \) at fixed \( b = 0.4 \) fm. It indeed displays a \( \sim \cos 2\theta \) azimuthal dependence, but in contrast to MV model based calculations of Refs. [13, 14, 54] we find a phase shift of \( \pi/2 \), i.e. a negative \( v_2 = \langle \cos 2\theta \rangle \) (or \( c < 0 \) in Eq. (13)). Physically, our result corresponds to stronger scattering when the dipole is oriented perpendicular to the impact parameter. In the calculations based on the MV model one finds the opposite behavior where parallel alignment results in a greater scattering amplitude. At the given impact parameter, we find that the amplitude of the angular modulation is nearly constant for \( r = 0.2 \ldots 0.6 \) fm. This indicates that

\(^9\) Also see Ref. [80] and Sec. 6 in [81]. Related considerations can be found in Refs. [82–85].
the non-zero $\langle \cos 2\theta \rangle$ is not entirely due to simply a non-
trivial shape function of the target proton. Indeed, the
angular dependence of the color charge correlator itself,
which we have shown in the previous section, is also
important. The nearly constant $v_2$ when $r = 0.2 \to 0.6$ fm
may support the “domain” picture introduced by Kovner
and Lublinsky [81].

The amplitudes $v_2 = \langle \cos 2\theta \rangle$ of the azimuthal
modulation in the $b$ vs. $r$ plane at $x = 0.1$ and at $x = 0.01$ are
shown in Figs. 9 and 10. Here, we observe a rather mild
modification of the azimuthal asymmetry with decreasing $x$. Although the NLO effects dominate the scattering

amplitude at $x = 0.01$ (as shown above), the azimuthal
amplitude $v_2$ does not depend strongly on the momentum
fraction $x$, and consequently the NLO corrections have a
small effect on $v_2$. The fact that $v_2$ is approximately in-
dependent of $r$ at $r \lesssim 0.5$ fm can be clearly seen from
these figures. We find $v_2 < 0$ in the region of $r,b$ where
the perturbative calculation is reliable. In particular, the
dependence on the dipole size $r$ is completely different to
what is obtained from the impact parameter dependent
MV model shown in Eq. (13) and numerically studied in
Ref. [13].

V. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

In this Letter, we have computed and shown the behavior of color charge correlations (at quadratic order in
$\rho^2$) in a proton on the light cone. At LO the proton is approx-
imated by a three quark state with a wave function
that is consistent with its empirically observed structure
at large $x$ [62, 63], which we refine by including NLO
corrections due to emission or exchange of a perturbative
gluon. Our results apply in a regime of moderately high
energy, perhaps corresponding to light cone momentum
fractions of $x = 0.01 \ldots 0.1$, where scattering is approxi-
mately eikonal but still far from the unitarity limit.

We have emphasized that the correlator exhibits non-
trivial behavior at large momentum transfer or central
impact parameters. In that regime, it does not merely
trace the behavior of a transverse proton shape func-
tion but displays repulsive correlations due to the “cat’s
ears diagram” at leading order, which persist at next-to-
leading order. Furthermore, the color charge correlation
function depends not only on the impact parameter $\vec{b}$
but also on the relative transverse momentum $\vec{q}_{12}$ of the
two gluon probes, and on the angle made by $\vec{b}$ and $\vec{q}_1$. This is in contrast to the McLerran-Venugopalan (MV) model [18, 19], which is used extensively in the literature.

Furthermore, from the two-point color charge correlator, we have computed the dipole scattering amplitude $N(\vec{r}, \vec{b}; x)$ in the two gluon exchange approximation. This includes the contributions from the soft and collinear singularities without restriction to the leading logarithmic approximation. We observe a strong amplification of color charge density fluctuations with decreasing $x$: from $x = 0.1$ to $x = 0.01$ the dipole scattering amplitude $N(\vec{r}, \vec{b}; x)$ increases by factors of $\sim 3$ (for $\alpha_s = 0.2$).

We also find that the azimuthal anisotropy of the dipole scattering amplitude is affected significantly by the angular dependence of the color charge correlations. We observe a behavior of $\langle \cos 2\theta \rangle$ which, in some ranges of impact parameter and dipole size, differs substantially from expectations based on isotropic color charge correlators proportional to the proton profile function $T_p(b)$ [13, 14, 54, 86].

Our computations also provide initial conditions for Balitsky-Kovchegov (BK) evolution of the dipole scattering amplitude to lower $x$ [28, 29, 34]; in particular, for impact parameter dependent evolution [72–79]. This initial condition depends not only on the impact parameter and the dipole vectors but also on their relative angle, and on the light-cone momentum fraction $x$ in the target. In the future, proton “imaging” in the regime of small and moderate $x$ performed at the EIC [1–4], at other future nuclear DIS facilities [5, 6] or in ultra peripheral collisions [87, 88] at the LHC, will further constrain the proton light cone wave function, and the dipole scattering amplitude which we relate to it.

We close with a brief outlook. We have already mentioned the successful phenomenology that emerged from the picture of a fluctuating proton substructure [20–22, 56–59, 89–94] (see [95] for a review). It will be very interesting to reformulate these approaches so that the ensemble of quark and gluon configurations in the proton would be determined by its light cone wave function at NLO rather than be based on geometric pictures.
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