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Abstract

Atmospheric polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) are of significant interest owing to their high potential health effects, including mutagenicity and carcinogenicity. We report 16 PAHs measured in ambient PM2.5 from June 2018 to May 2019 over three different sites located in central east India. The annual average PM2.5 mass concentrations of 97.3 ± 18.1 µg m⁻³, 101.9 ± 19.4 µg m⁻³, and 93.9 ± 20.3 µg m⁻³ were measured at RCI (Ranchi), GHY (Gamharia), and BKR (Bokaro), respectively. The mass concentrations at all sampling sites are relatively higher than the annual average concentration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standard. Total annual PAH concentrations (ng m⁻³) are found to be comparable at BKR (797.9 ± 39.1 ng m⁻³) and RCI (887.7 ± 38.8 ng m⁻³); however, a relatively higher average is observed over GHY (1015.1 ± 42.7 ng m⁻³). Using PAH diagnostic ratios and principal component analysis (PCA), their major sources were attributed to coal and wood combustion as well as vehicular emission of diesel and gasoline at all sampling sites. Significant seasonal variability is observed for PAH composition and mainly attributed to change in emission sources. Summer and winter compositions were found to be impacted by the transport from Indo-Gangetic Plains (IGP). However, ambient level PAHs during the post-monsoon season were impacted by mixed sources from Indo-Gangetic Plain and eastern India. These observations are supported by the analysis of back-trajectory and fire count data. The excess life time cancer risk (ELCR) values estimated for the study sites are within acceptable limits suggesting acceptable risk levels at BKR, GHY, and RCI. This study highlights the significance of ambient aerosol concentration for health risks in the pre-COVID-19 scenario.

Keywords PM2.5 · PAHs · Diagnostic ratio · Principal component analysis · ELCR

Introduction

PAHs are released as a byproduct from the incomplete combustion or pyrolysis of organic matter and fossil fuel and constitute a significant fraction of carbonaceous aerosols. These are a group of ubiquitous aromatic organic pollutants composed of multiple aromatic ring compounds. They can potentially undergo long-range transport, which can subsequently impact at receptor site located remotely from their emission source region (Motelay-massei et al. 2003; Wang et al. 2014a, b; Kaya et al. 2012). Earlier studies have reported that the most common sources of PAHs in the atmosphere are anthropogenic such as industrial emission, coal combustion, wood combustion, heat and power generation or fossil fuel combustion at high temperature, biomass burning, etc. (Galarneau, 2008; Lima et al. 2005; Alves et al. 2015; Harvey, 1997; Miller et al. 2010; Guo et al. 2003). However, few studies also suggest contributions from natural sources such as the forest fires and volcanic eruptions (Liu et al. 2001). These compounds are partitioned in both particulate and gaseous phases and also get formed by secondary processes (heterogeneous reactions of PAHs induced by atmospheric oxidants, viz., OH, NO₃, O₃) (Albinet et al. 2007; Atkinson et al. 1989, 1990; Barbas et al. 1996; Environmental Health Criteria (EHC) 229 2003; Helmig and Harger 1994; Sasaki et al. 1997). Higher molecular weight
PAHs with four and above aromatic rings are condensed to airborne particulate whereas low molecular weight PAHs remain in the gaseous phase (Froehner et al. 2011; Slezakova et al. 2011; Fang et al. 2006; Obiri et al. 2011). Dispersion and subsequent transport of PAHs largely depend on the emission intensity, meteorological conditions (e.g., humidity, wind speed and direction, temperature, precipitation, and solar radiation), and their lifetime in the atmosphere (Baek et al. 1991a; Neilsen 2010; Dimashki et al. 2001; Wild and Jones 1995; Vestreng and Klein 2002). In addition, their distributions also depend on the size of particulate matter (Allen et al. 1996), with maximum concentration being found in respirable particulate matter size range less than 3 μm (Venkataraman et al. 1994; Baek et al. 1991a,b). Typically, 16 PAH compounds are considered a priority pollutant recognized by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA 1986).

India, being a developing nation, is under rapid urbanization and industrialization, and a major emitter of carbonaceous species. Recent studies also support this wherein it is found that developing nations in the tropics and southeast Asia are one of the major source regions for the emission of carbonaceous species (Novakov et al. 2003; Streets et al. 2003). While undergoing rapid development, there are possibilities of unplanned energy consumption, which is directly linked to accelerated economic growth. Due to such unplanned practices, the possibilities of PAH emissions are on the higher side as compared to those in developed nations (Kamal et al. 2015; Hafner et al. 2005). In the last few decades, ambient air PAHs have posed a serious environmental concern mainly due to their potential as a health risk, including its carcinogenic and mutagenic properties (Chen et al. 2011; Černá et al. 1999). Studies have revealed that some of them are highly carcinogenic in laboratory animals and have been implicated in breast, lung, and colon cancers in humans (Yebra-Pimentel et al. 2015). The incremental lifetime cancer risk (ILCR) values for dust containing PAHs indicated a medium to high potential carcinogenic risk for adults and children exposed to it (Shen et al. 2020). Skin contact and ingestion of carcinogenic PAHs from dust are the major exposure pathways and present an exposure risk that is four to five orders of magnitude higher than the risk of inhalation (Shen et al. 2020). It has also been noticed that India, on a global scale, contributes significantly (~8%) to the total number of cancer-related issues (Saranath and Khanna, 2014). However, it cannot be exclusively related to PAH emissions. Nevertheless, considering PAH’s potential for health risk, it is important to assess their distribution, properties, and emission patterns over the Indian subcontinent on a local to regional scale. In particular, to decouple the impact of recent pandemic COVID-19 on human life, information on PAHs during the pre-COVID period is essential. There have been efforts made to characterize and quantify PAHs at urban (Sharma et al. 2007), semi-urban, rural (Kumar et al. 2020a, b), industrial (Ambade et al. 2021), and relatively pristine regions of the Indian subcontinent. Most of these studies are campaign-based (Chen et al. 2011) and provide a snapshot picture of a particular region. However, there are limited studies on the distribution and characteristics of PAHs on a seasonal basis, showing annual distribution. Such scenarios clearly demand more field-based observations on seasonal to annual scales to assess India’s health situation.

In this study, we report the results on the analysis of 16 PAHs measured in PM$_{2.5}$, collected from June 2018 to May 2019 (pre-COVID-19 period). Our main objectives are (1) to assess temporal variability of PAH concentration over central-eastern India, (2) to apportion the sources of the PAHs using the diagnostic ratios and statistical tools, and (3) to highlight the health risk potential based on the excess lifetime cancer risk (ELCR) estimates. The present study is important in the context of the deteriorated level of air quality over India, particularly in the Eastern region.

Materials and methods

Study site and sampling

PM$_{2.5}$ samples were collected at three sites, simultaneously, within central-eastern India for 1 year. The geographic locations of sampling sites, namely Gamharia (GHR), Bokaro Steel City (BKR), and Ranchi (RCI), are shown in Fig. 1. GHR is an industrial area situated in Jamshedpur, one of the famous industrial hubs in the state of Jharkhand in central-eastern India. The sampling site in GHR is located near the Adityapur Industrial zone Jamshedpur in the vicinity of several small- to large-scale industries. This particular region is having the status of the special economic zone of India. Along with these industries, there is a highway crossing near the sampling site in GHR. According to the 2011 census, the population of Jamshedpur is around 1.3 million. With a population density of 6400 people per km$^2$, BKR is a semi-urban region, one of the well-planned cities in Jharkhand. However, the steel plant sector and middle- to small-scale industries are situated away from the residential areas. The sampling was done at the residential site. The third sampling site is located in Ranchi, capital city of Jharkhand state, with population of 1,439,000 in 2020, which is increased by 2.49% rate from year 2019. (https://www.macrotrends.net). Because of industries and huge traffic emissions, the study of PM$_{2.5}$ associated with PAHs was carried out. The RCI site is characterized by the influence of rapid growth of convenient transportation and economic development.

The ambient PM$_{2.5}$ samples were collected weekly from June 2018 to May 2019 at all sampling sites by following the National Ambient Air Quality standard guidelines (NAAQs
A total of 140 samples (47 from GHY site, 46 from BKR site, and 47 from RCI site) on weekly basis were collected and analyzed for the PAH concentration. The sampling was carried out using a mini volume sampler (Envirotech Model APM 550), operated at a flow rate of 16.5 l/min. During the campaign, 47 mm PTFE filter (Merck, Catalog no- PM2547050) was used to collect particulate-phase PAHs. The filters were weighed before and after the sampling to determine the weight of the particulate using a single pan-top loading digital analytical balance 4 digit with 0.0001-g sensitivity (VWR, Model no: VWR1611-2263: with weighing chamber L×W×H: 162×171×225 mm). Background contamination was checked using operational blanks (unexposed filters), which were processed concurrently with field samples. Furthermore, the filter was kept in a culture box. Until analysis, these samples were kept in the refrigerator at lower temperatures (below 4 °C) for preservation. The samples were collected during different seasons which were classified as (1) summer (March to May), (2) winter (December to February), (3) monsoon (June to September), and (4) post-monsoon (October to November). We have used the same classification to discuss the seasonal or temporal variability of particulate and associated PAH concentration in the “Result and discussion” section.

**Analysis of PAHs**

Total 16 priority USEPA-PAHs namely naphthalene (NAP), acenaphthylene (Acy), acenaphthene (Ace), fluorene, (Flu), phenanthrene (Phe), anthracene (Ant), fluoranthene (Flua), pyrene (Pyr), chrysene (Chr), benz[a]anthracene (BaA), benzo[b]fluoranthene (BbF), benzo[k]fluoranthene (BkF), benzo[a]pyrene (BaP), dibenz[a,h]anthracene (DBahA), benzo[ghi]perylene (B(ghi)P), and indeno[123-cd]pyrene (IcP) were extracted by soxhlet extraction for 12 h. The full filter was cut into two pieces with care to avoid loss of dust and put into 200 ml distillation vessels for 10 h using dichloromethane (DCM) solvent. The extraction thimble is initially lowered and shows almost more than 97% recovery rates. Post-extraction, the extracted volume was reduced up to 10 ml using a rotatory evaporator by maintaining the chiller’s temperature for condensing DCM solvent. The extraction thimble is boiling such that the total extraction time is reduced while the evaporated solvent condenses quickly for reuse, dipping the amount of total solvent required. The extract was purified using sodium sulfate–silica gel column (glass column of 30 cm long and 3 cm diameter).

Further, purified extracts were reduced to 1–2 ml by a rotatory evaporator and quantified by gas chromatography (GC- FID, Agilent 7890B) coupled with the flame ionization detector (FID) using a capillary column HP- 5MS (30 m × 0.25 mm i.d × 0.25 µm). In splitless mode, 1 µl of
each sample was injected. Nitrogen was used as carrier gas with a flow rate of 2 ml min⁻¹. The oven temperature was initiated at 60 °C for 3 min, increased to 320 °C at rate of 5 °C min⁻¹, and held for 20 min. According to the retention factor, the 16 USEPA priority PAHs concentrations were quantified. The laboratory blank and field blank samples were extracted and analyzed in the same way as field sample analysis, and PAH compounds were not detected in blank samples.

Quality assurance

The 16 PAHs standard solution in acetonitrile (ID-3697900), deuterium leveled PAHs, and hexamethyl benzene (CAS Number-87–85-4) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, USA. The chemicals, dichloromethane (CAS Number-75–09-2), anhydrous Na₂SO₄ (CAS Number-7757–82-6), sodium chloride (CAS Number-7647–14-5), and silica gel powder (CAS Number-112926–00-8) used in this study, were of AR grade. The procedural blank samples, sample duplicates, and samples spiked with surrogate standards Pyrene-d₁₀ (CAS Number -1718–52-1), Anthracene-d₁₀ (CAS Number -1719–06-8), Phenanthrene-d₁₀ (CAS Number -1517–22-2), Naphthalene-d₈ (CAS Number -1146–65-2), and Chrysene-d₁₂ (CAS Number -1719–03-5) were used as the internal standard for the calibration of all species of PAHs and the quality control testing for PAHs analysis relied on these methods. The recovery percentage range of two- or three-ring PAHs was 60–70% and more than three-ring PAHs was more than 94%. The standard deviation was < 14% for this method. The target PAHs’ detection limits were calculated three times the standard deviation (SD) plus the mean concentrations of target compounds in blank samples.

Backward trajectories and satellite observed fire count

In order to investigate the transport from different sources contributing to PAH abundance, we computed 7-day back trajectories. The fire counts retrieved from the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) from the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) satellite were used to assess active open biomass burning activities over India during the study period. The integrated fire count data are available at https://earthdata.nasa.gov/earth-observation-data/near-real-time/firms. The 7-day isentropic back trajectory in three dimensions (height, latitude, and longitude) was analyzed for a total run time of 168 h at a height of 500 m using the Meteorological Data Explorer (METEX, http://db.cger.nies.go.jp/metex/trajecory.html). Back trajectory analyses have been conducted at different heights up to 3500 m above the surface to explain the variability of aerosol properties associated with airborne particulate matter in total atmospheric profile on a seasonal basis.

Health risk assessment

The toxicity assessment due to exposure of PAHs is calculated for each sample following the toxicity equivalent concentration (TEQ) equation given in Yu et al. (2008) and Yang et al. (2007). The TEQ represents the sum of estimated cancer risk relative to BaP for all PAHs having carcinogenic potential, which is calculated using the following equation:

\[ \text{TEQ} = \sum C_i \times \text{TEF}_i \]

where \( C_i \) and \( \text{TEF}_i \) are the concentration of individual PAHs and toxicity equivalency factor, respectively. The value of \( \text{TEF} \) is 1 for BaP and DBahA; 0.1 for BaA, BkF, and IcP; and 0.01 for Chr, Ant, and B(ghi)P, Phe, Flt, and Pyr following Nisbet and Lagoy (1992).

The total carcinogenic value can be calculated by TEQ. Furthermore, the exposure risk due to individual PAHs can be quantitatively calculated by incremental lifetime cancer risk (ILCR) (Peng et al. 2011; USEPA, 1991; Chen and Liao, 2006). ILCR was assessed by calculating the corresponding lifetime average daily dose (LADD) of PAHs by considering two age group children (age 6 years) and adults (age 70 years). LADD indicates the amount of chemical suspect intake per kg of body weight per day and harms health when observed by the body for a long period. The following equations can be used for the estimation of LADD and ILCR.

\[ \text{LADD} (\text{mgkg}^{-1}\text{day}^{-1}) = (C_i \times \text{IR} \times \text{CF} \times \text{EF} \times \text{ED}) / (\text{BW} \times \text{AT}) \]  
\[ \text{ILCR} = \text{LADD} \times \text{CSF} \]  
\[ \text{CSF} = \text{Cancer Oral Slope Factor} \]

where \( C_s \) is the sum of the converted concentration of PAHs based on TEQ value in airborne particulate (ng m⁻³). In the present study, the ILCR value was evaluated for child and adult, where parameters were as follows: IR is the air inhalation rate (m³ day⁻¹). CF represents the unit conversion factor (1 × 10⁻⁶ mg kg⁻¹), EF represents the exposure frequency (day year⁻¹), and ED represents the lifetime exposure duration 6 years for children and 52 years for an adult. BW represents the bodyweight (kg), AT represents the averaging time for carcinogens (days), and CSF represents the inhalation cancer slope factor (3.85 mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹) (Peng et al. 2011). The details of the parameters are described in Table 4.
The annual average concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ were 97.3 ± 18.1 µg m$^{-3}$, 101.9 ± 1.4 µg m$^{-3}$, and 93.9 ± 20.3 µg m$^{-3}$ at RCI, GHY, and BKR sites, respectively (Fig. 2). The levels of PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentrations measured in the present study sites are more than twice higher than the annual average concentration of 40 µg m$^{-3}$ recommended by the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQ) (NAAQS 2009). This is certainly a matter of concern from the health perspective for those residing in or nearby areas. Although PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations do not vary significantly between the sites, we found relatively higher ΣPAHs (sum of all PAHs) for GHY (1015.1 ± 42.7 ng m$^{-3}$) compared to BKR (797.9 ± 39.1 ng m$^{-3}$) and RCI (887.7 ± 38.8 ng m$^{-3}$). Such higher PAH concentrations may be attributed to relatively more industrial/vehicular emissions from this region as compared to others. The average seasonal concentration of PM$_{2.5}$ (µg m$^{-3}$) and associated distinct 16 PAH and ΣPAH concentration (ng m$^{-3}$) are detailed in Table 1. The distinctly higher concentrations of both PM$_{2.5}$ and ΣPAHs are observed in winter months followed by summer and post-monsoon seasons with the lowest recorded in the monsoon period (Fig. 3). The higher values in winter months can be attributed to higher contributions from burning of biomass used for heating purpose as well as anthropogenic emission from northern India. During winter months, winds are mostly from north/northeastern direction, which can transport pollutants downwind and can significantly enhance the PAH concentration. BaP is considered a marker of carcinogens, which was found 45.70 ng m$^{-3}$, 47.18 ng m$^{-3}$, and 43.37 ng m$^{-3}$ at RCI, GHY, and BKR sites, respectively. Clearly, the concentrations of BaP exceed the NAAQs standard value of 1 ng m$^{-3}$. Several previous studies have also shown higher values in the winter months compared to other seasons (Singla et al. 2012; Zonguldak Government, 2007; Panther et al. 1999; Park et al. 2002; Rajput and Lakhani, 2009). The PM$_{2.5}$ and ΣPAH concentrations show strong correlations ($r^2 = 0.84$ at RCI, $r^2 = 0.82$ at GHY, and $r^2 = 0.79$ at BKR) for all study locations suggesting co-genetic sources of PAHs and PM$_{2.5}$ (Fig. 4). Typically, high PAH concentrations are associated with small-size airborne particulates due to their large surface area per unit mass, making them good absorbers (Sheu et al. 1997). The concentrations of PAHs in summer season were higher than those during the post-monsoon and monsoon seasons, but lower than the winter months. Except for biomass burning emissions, contributions of other sources such as industrial and vehicular emissions are more or less same for the winter and summer seasons. However, low molecular weight PAHs get converted from particulate to gaseous phase more efficiently at higher temperatures, while photochemical reactions can degrade high molecular weight PAHs. These processes are particularly prevalent during the summer months contributing to PAH loss, and consequently the lower loadings in ambient air. The rain washout of PM$_{2.5}$ and associated PAHs leads to the lowest concentration during the monsoon season. In comparison to other seasons, the moderate concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ and PAHs in the post-monsoon season can be attributed to the moderate emission and meteorological conditions (temperature and precipitation). The highest concentration of PAHs was found in winter because the atmospheric boundary layer (ABL) also plays a very important role in ambient concentrations of PAHs or PM$_{2.5}$. The ABL depth over a region depends on several factors like incoming solar radiation, topography, and surface roughness of the region as well as other surface forcing, and thus the ABL depth exhibits high spatial and temporal variability. It has been studied that the ABL height is lower in winter and monsoon seasons (Praveena and Kunhikrishnan 2004). However during monsoon, due to the strong prevailing winds, pollutants get dispersed quickly and washed out by frequent precipitation. In contrast to this, both lower winds and shallower ABL depths lead to the highest concentration of hazardous pollutants during winter. Similar impacts of wind and ABL parameters on ambient concentrations of other pollutants, such as volatile organic compounds (VOCs), were reported in several other sites of India (Sahu and Saxena, 2015; Sahu et al. 2016).

Source apportionment of PAHs

Diagnostic ratio analysis

In order to reduce emissions of PAHs, it is important to identify the major emission sources and assess their
Table 1: Seasonal concentrations of different PAHs, ΣPAHs, and PM$_{2.5}$ at BKR, GHR, and RCI study sites

| PAHs | No of rings | BKR (mean±SD) | GHR (mean±SD) | RCI (mean±SD) |
|------|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
|      |             | Monsoon | Summer | Winter | Post-monsoon | Monsoon | Summer | Winter | Post-monsoon | Monsoon | Summer | Winter | Post-monsoon |
| Nap  | 2           | 1.87±0.06 | 7.80±1.16 | 18.84±0.83 | 4.43±0.48 | 5.91±0.66 | 10.94±1.47 | 22.99±1.31 | 9.58±0.89 | 3.90±0.52 | 9.89±0.43 | 18.24±0.93 | 11.05±0.42 |
| Acy  | 3           | 1.27±0.08 | 8.07±0.95 | 15.49±1.61 | 2.10±0.24 | 7.84±0.71 | 9.21±0.53 | 19.63±0.81 | 7.59±0.63 | 3.84±0.26 | 7.72±0.42 | 18.23±0.60 | 14.06±0.99 |
| Ace  | 3           | 2.50±0.74 | 13.16±1.08 | 22.35±2.16 | 7.14±1.16 | 12.31±0.61 | 17.17±1.54 | 26.29±1.49 | 10.73±0.57 | 9.35±0.34 | 13.04±0.60 | 23.79±1.12 | 9.19±0.19 |
| Flu  | 3           | 0.87±0.13 | 12.25±1.32 | 20.14±1.21 | 2.08±0.44 | 6.6±0.79 | 12.79±2.04 | 24.82±1.55 | 9.20±0.19 | 5.82±0.72 | 9.70±1.05 | 22.37±1.53 | 8.09±0.40 |
| Phe  | 3           | 8.22±0.45 | 16.60±0.98 | 27.56±0.34 | 14.03±1.62 | 15.48±0.95 | 25.32±3.02 | 34.42±2.22 | 16.82±1.12 | 8.82±0.65 | 21.78±1.01 | 32.30±1.94 | 13.69±1.02 |
| Ant  | 3           | 3.12±0.09 | 15.76±1.67 | 24.95±0.48 | 5.74±0.71 | 8.54±0.59 | 21.46±3.74 | 32.27±1.66 | 11.36±0.55 | 5.82±0.32 | 21.58±1.04 | 29.61±2.10 | 9.42±0.71 |
| Flua | 4           | 8.38±0.12 | 18.56±1.47 | 27.19±0.67 | 13.21±0.79 | 14.53±0.40 | 20.47±1.75 | 33.53±2.05 | 15.86±1.01 | 7.32±0.35 | 21.75±1.06 | 30.25±1.16 | 13.39±0.99 |
| Pyr  | 4           | 7.29±0.27 | 19.08±1.98 | 26.05±1.41 | 14.29±1.39 | 13.35±1.16 | 20.90±2.25 | 28.86±1.08 | 14.29±1.39 | 10.96±0.22 | 18.77±1.02 | 24.20±1.50 | 12.40±1.66 |
| BaA  | 4           | 5.85±0.15 | 14.99±1.34 | 28.12±1.53 | 8.40±0.74 | 12.54±0.77 | 18.85±1.82 | 30.82±1.52 | 11.59±0.72 | 7.33±0.68 | 18.79±0.94 | 28.77±0.72 | 9.27±0.32 |
| Chr  | 4           | 3.48±0.32 | 16.15±1.15 | 22.22±1.20 | 7.80±0.90 | 5.29±0.49 | 13.91±2.59 | 24.43±1.01 | 8.87±0.61 | 4.83±0.80 | 13.23±1.41 | 23.26±1.58 | 8.70±0.31 |
| BbF  | 5           | 6.65±0.34 | 20.28±1.47 | 27.22±0.51 | 10.28±1.11 | 10.18±0.40 | 19.78±2.93 | 33.61±1.26 | 10.28±1.09 | 8.73±0.19 | 16.25±1.02 | 30.68±0.92 | 8.55±0.45 |
| BkF  | 5           | 6.35±0.16 | 20.90±1.54 | 26.26±0.63 | 10.36±0.95 | 8.24±0.43 | 20.73±3.76 | 31.93±2.29 | 13.49±1.04 | 6.13±0.84 | 18.51±0.92 | 29.93±2.03 | 13.48±0.91 |
| BaP  | 5           | 3.55±0.32 | 13.14±1.02 | 19.66±1.36 | 7.02±0.53 | 4.92±0.53 | 11.81±2.17 | 23.23±1.50 | 7.22±0.60 | 3.87±0.54 | 16.18±0.88 | 20.02±1.38 | 5.63±0.24 |
| DBahA | 5         | 5.55±0.21 | 10.28±0.78 | 15.99±1.12 | 9.89±0.24 | 10.74±0.26 | 11.72±0.82 | 19.68±1.50 | 12.99±1.26 | 6.68±0.40 | 15.55±0.68 | 17.92±1.16 | 12.39±0.49 |
| BghiP | 6         | 7.39±0.38 | 8.72±1.34 | 18.30±0.88 | 13.66±0.87 | 7.39±0.37 | 10.42±0.89 | 22.88±1.32 | 14.32±0.59 | 3.32±0.24 | 11.68±0.56 | 16.06±0.90 | 5.11±0.52 |
| IcP  | 6           | 2.23±0.11 | 13.23±0.88 | 17.90±0.62 | 5.77±0.94 | 2.23±0.28 | 11.75±2.78 | 22.69±0.88 | 6.06±0.81 | 2.32±0.12 | 14.17±0.26 | 17.21±1.26 | 2.73±0.19 |
| ΣPAHs (ng/m$^3$) | 74.56±1.92 | 228.97±19.85 | 358.24±15.11 | 136.20±13.67 | 146.69±7.58 | 256.64±33.69 | 432.08±23.08 | 180.25±12.79 | 99.10±6.29 | 248.59±13.12 | 382.84±18.27 | 157.15±9.03 |
| PM$_{2.5}$ (ng/m$^3$) | 67.66±5.05 | 87.12±5.19 | 118.85±9.59 | 95.79±13.51 | 83.68±5.06 | 98.64±6.47 | 128.61±11.96 | 96.84±12.78 | 77.84±5.16 | 95.55±7.28 | 122.87±11.67 | 93.05±3.08 |
contributions. The diagnostic ratios of particulate-bound PAHs have been extensively used for source apportionment (Tobiszewski and Namieśnik 2012). Typically, the diagnostic ratios of Ant/(Ant + Phe), Flua/(Flua + Pyr), BaA/(BaA + Chr), IcP/(IcP + B(ghi)P), and BaP/B(ghi)P are used to separate the contributions of various sources of PAHs in the atmosphere. The Ant/(Ant + Phe) ratio is indicative of pyrogenic and petrogenic sources. The details of emission source are summarized in Table 2, and the scatter plots of their ratios—viz., Ant/(Ant + Phe) versus Flua/(Flua + Pyr), BaA/(BaA + Chr) versus IcP/(IcP + B(ghi)P), and BaP/B(ghi)P—are depicted in Fig. 5. These plots also demonstrate their seasonal distribution, and based on their scatter, we will attempt to identify dominant sources of PAHs in different seasons at BKR, GHY, and RCI. Ant/(Ant + Phe) ratio was annually found 0.43, 0.44, and 0.46 over BKR, GHY, and RCI site, respectively. In all seasons, the ratio was found > 0.1 overall study sites, which means pyrogenic sources were predominant in all seasons at all sites. Flua/(Flua + Pyr) ratio can be used as an indicator of biomass burning, coal combustion, fossil fuel combustion, and unburned petroleum emissions. The ratios < 0.1 indicate the predominant contribution of unburned petroleum emission, those between 0.4 and 0.5 suggest fossil fuel combustion, and ratios > 0.5 reflect the biomass and coal combustion (De La Torre-Roche et al. 2009; Yunker et al. 2002). In the present study, the Flua/(Flua + Pyr) ratios of ~ 0.5, 0.52, and 0.52 were measured at BKR, GHY, and RCI sites, respectively, indicating emission from biomass and coal combustion. However, on a seasonal basis, most ratios for Flua/(Flua + Pyr) were between 0.4–0.5 and > 0.50, which highlight the dominance of fossil fuel combustion and biomass burning sources at the study sites. As reported in several studies, the BaA/(BaA + Chr) ratios < 0.2 indicate petrogenic emission, 0.2–0.35 indicate petroleum combustion source, and > 0.35 indicate biomass burning and coal combustion (Yunker et al. 2002; Tobiszewski and Namieśnik 2012). In the present study, BaA/(BaA + Chr) ratios were 0.46, 0.41,
and 0.44 at BKR, GHY, and RCI sites, respectively. On a seasonal basis, most of the ratio value lies between 0.2–0.35 and greater than 0.35 which indicates petroleum combustion and biomass and coal combustion. Kumar et al. (2020a, b) reported that the BaA/(BaA + Chr) ratios exceeded 0.2 in all the seasons at both urban and rural areas, indicating that the pyrogenic and coal combustion were predominant sources of emission. The ratio of BaP/B(ghi)P can be used to separate the contributions of traffic and non-traffic sources (Hussain et al. 2015). In the present study, the BaP/B(ghi)P ratio was more significant than 0.6 in winter and summer all over the study site. Over GHY and BKR, the ratios were <0.6 in the monsoon and post-monsoon seasons. Therefore, possibilities of elevation of traffic emission may vary during summer and winter seasons. Overall, based on diagnostic tracers plot, petrogenic, pyrogenic, coal combustion, and vehicular emission were attributed to the primary sources of PAH at the three study sites in different seasons.

**Principal component analysis (PCA)**

PCA is a multivariate statistical method widely used for the source identification of particulate-bound PAHs. Three principal components (PCs) were extracted from BKR, GHY, and RCI study sites, accounting for more than 97% of the total variance. The PAH sources at BKR, GHY, and RCI based on PCs have been described in Table 3. PC-1 shows the variance of more than 92% of overall study sites and loaded with almost all PAHs. At BKR, PC-1 shows equal loading of PAHs, but the greatest burden in PC-1 was three, four, and five rings over the entire study site, which indicates the diesel engine emission and coal combustion. Phe, BkF, and BbF have been used as source markers of diesel engine emissions and Phe, Anth, Chr, Flu, and BaA are frequently used as source indicators for coal combustion (Kong et al. 2012; Fang et al. 2006). PC-2 shows 2.66 and 2.82 variances with high loading of DBahA and B(ghi)P over site BKR and
GHY respectively and 3.61% variance over RCI, with high loading of Acy and Nap, B(ghi)P and DBahA and Acy, Nap, Pyr, Ace, BaP, and Flu which were known as a source marker of the gasoline engine and biomass combustion (Zhang et al. 2005; Wang et al. 2011) and low loading of Nap and Flu were indicators as components of low-temperature pyrolytic

Table 2 Spatial and temporal variation of annual mean diagnostic ratio of PAHs

| Site name | Season   | Ant/(Ant + Phe) | Flua/(Flua + Pyr) | BaA/(BaA + Chr) | IcP/(IcP + B(ghi)P) | BaP/B(ghi)P |
|-----------|----------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------|
| BKR       | Winter   | 0.4–0.5         | 0.4–0.6           | 0.4–0.5         | 0.4–0.6             | 0.6–1.8     |
|           | Summer   | 0.3–0.6         | 0.4–0.6           | 0.4–0.6         | 0.5–0.8             | 0.6–2.4     |
|           | Monsoon  | 0.1–0.5         | 0.4–0.6           | 0.3–0.5         | 0.1–0.3             | 0.0–1.8     |
|           | Post-monsoon | 0.2–0.4   | 0.4–0.6           | 0.4–0.6         | 0.1–0.4             | 0.0–0.8     |
| GHY       | Winter   | 0.4–0.5         | 0.4–0.6           | 0.4–0.5         | 0.4–0.6             | 0.9–1.2     |
|           | Summer   | 0.4–0.6         | 0.4–0.7           | 0.4–0.5         | 0.5–0.7             | 1.5–1.8     |
|           | Monsoon  | 0.2–0.4         | 0.4–0.7           | 0.2–0.4         | 0.1–0.4             | 0.5–1.5     |
|           | Post-monsoon | 0.4–0.5   | 0.4–0.6           | 0.3–0.6         | 0.2–0.4             | 0.3–0.7     |
| RCI       | Winter   | 0.4–0.5         | 0.4–0.5           | 0.4–0.5         | 0.3–0.5             | 1.2–1.5     |
|           | Summer   | 0.4–0.5         | 0.4–0.5           | 0.3–0.5         | 0.5–0.7             | 1.2–1.8     |
|           | Monsoon  | 0.3–0.5         | 0.3–0.5           | 0.2–0.5         | 0.2–0.6             | 0.6–1.8     |
|           | Post-monsoon | 0.3–0.5   | 0.3–0.5           | 0.4–0.6         | 0.4–0.6             | 0.7–2.0     |

Scale

<0.1 = petrogenic
0.1–0.5 = fossil fuel combustion
0.5 = biomass and coal combustion

>0.1 = pyrogenic
0.2–0.35 = petroleum combustion
0.35 = biomass and coal combustion

<0.2 = petrogenic/ unburned petroleum
0.2–0.35 = petroleum combustion

0.6 = non-traffic
0.5 = biomass and coal combustion

0.6 = traffic
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Fig. 5 Diagnostic ratios of PAHs in different seasons at three sites (BKR, GHY, and RCI) of the central east India
sources (Luo et al. 2006). PC-3 shows 2.34, 1.13, and 1.67% variance over BKR, GHY, and RCI respectively. PC-3 of BKR site shows high loading of Acy, Flu, and BaA and over GHY high loading of Acy, Ace, and BaA. Acy and Ace is the source fingerprint of pyrosynthesis of oil fumes (Larsen and Baker., 2003). PC-3 of site RCI shows high loading of DBahA indicator of gasoline engine combustion. B(ghi)P and DBahA are known as source fingerprints of gasoline engines (Zhang et al. 2005; Wang et al. 2011). Hence, the result of PCA revealed a mixed source of emission of PAHs. The major source of PAHs over the BKR, GHY, and RCI was found to be coal and wood combustion (biomass burning) as well as vehicular emission using diesel and gasoline. This observation is consistent with those observed using the diagnostic tracer method in the previous section. The analysis of the diagnostic ratio and PCA concluded that the major source of PM$_{2.5}$-associated PAHs was petrogenic, pyrogenic, coal combustion, and vehicular emission which were predominant sources of emission of PAHs.

**Impact of long-range transport and biomass burning**

Back trajectory analyses have been conducted at different heights up to 500 m above the surface to explain the variability of aerosol properties associated with airborne particulate matter in total atmospheric profile on a seasonal basis (Fig. 6). In monsoon season, the trajectories suggest the transport from the remote southwester part of India as well as Arabian Peninsula. However, mixed patterns were observed during the post-monsoon season as origins of trajectories can be tracked over the IGP and over eastern India. In summer and winter seasons, trajectories show transport mostly from the IGP. Although a dominant contribution from IGP is observed during summer months, we found few trajectories are deriving
Table 3  Principal component analysis for three sites (BKR, GHY, and RCI) of central east India

| PAHs | BKR | GHY | RCI |
|------|-----|-----|-----|
|      | PC1 | PC2 | PC3 | PC1 | PC2 | PC3 | PC1 | PC2 | PC3 |
| Nap  | 0.23 | 0.17 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.24 | −0.11 | 0.16 | 0.41 | 0.12 |
| Acy  | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.44 | 0.16 | 0.31 | 0.39 | 0.14 | 0.67 | 0.22 |
| Ace  | 0.28 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.21 | 0.04 | 0.36 | 0.19 | 0.09 | −0.43 |
| Flu  | 0.28 | −0.23 | 0.33 | 0.24 | 0.23 | 0.06 | 0.23 | 0.24 | −0.32 |
| Phe  | 0.24 | 0.23 | −0.46 | 0.28 | −0.16 | 0.05 | 0.33 | −0.02 | 0.09 |
| Ant  | 0.30 | −0.28 | −0.25 | 0.33 | −0.43 | −0.14 | 0.34 | −0.25 | 0.14 |
| Flua | 0.25 | 0.06 | −0.10 | 0.26 | 0.14 | 0.08 | 0.30 | −0.06 | 0.24 |
| Pyr  | 0.26 | 0.18 | −0.29 | 0.22 | −0.15 | 0.06 | 0.20 | −0.09 | 0.08 |
| BaA  | 0.31 | 0.12 | 0.40 | 0.26 | 0.01 | 0.34 | 0.29 | −0.08 | −0.17 |
| Chr  | 0.26 | −0.11 | −0.01 | 0.25 | −0.09 | −0.15 | 0.26 | 0.10 | −0.06 |
| BbF  | 0.29 | −0.22 | −0.19 | 0.33 | −0.08 | 0.27 | 0.31 | 0.02 | −0.54 |
| BkF  | 0.28 | −0.27 | −0.19 | 0.32 | −0.21 | −0.38 | 0.32 | 0.15 | 0.19 |
| BaP  | 0.23 | −0.04 | 0.13 | 0.25 | 0.10 | −0.01 | 0.24 | −0.27 | 0.12 |
| DBahA| 0.14 | 0.30 | 0.02 | 0.12 | 0.42 | −0.03 | 0.15 | 0.02 | 0.41 |
| B(ghi)P | 0.13 | 0.68 | −0.07 | 0.17 | 0.53 | −0.51 | 0.18 | −0.11 | 0.06 |
| IgP  | 0.22 | −0.16 | −0.10 | 0.26 | −0.12 | −0.24 | 0.22 | −0.35 | 0.05 |
| Variance (%) | 92.95 | 2.66 | 2.34 | 93.56 | 2.82 | 1.13 | 92.71 | 3.61 | 1.67 |

Fig. 6 The 7-day backward trajectories at 500 m above the earth surface over central east India (latitude 22.8046° N and longitude 86.2029° E). The pink dots represent the fire count data.
| PAHs | TEFs | BKR site | | | | GHY | | | | | RCI | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Ambient (ng m⁻³) | TEQ (ng m⁻³) | TEQ (%) | | | Ambient (ng m⁻³) | TEQ (ng m⁻³) | TEQ (%) | | | Ambient (ng m⁻³) | TEQ (ng m⁻³) | TEQ (%) |
| Nap | 0.001 | 32.94 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 49.42 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 43.08 | 0.04 | 0.03 |
| Acy | 0.001 | 26.93 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 44.27 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 43.91 | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| Ace | 0.001 | 45.15 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 66.50 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 55.37 | 0.06 | 0.04 |
| Flu | 0.001 | 35.33 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 53.41 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 45.98 | 0.05 | 0.04 |
| Phe | 0.001 | 66.40 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 92.04 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 76.59 | 0.08 | 0.06 |
| Ant | 0.01 | 49.57 | 0.50 | 0.45 | 73.63 | 0.74 | 0.56 | 66.43 | 0.66 | 0.54 |
| Flua | 0.001 | 67.34 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 84.39 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 72.71 | 0.07 | 0.06 |
| Pyr | 0.001 | 66.71 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 77.30 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 66.33 | 0.07 | 0.05 |
| BaA | 0.1 | 57.36 | 5.74 | 5.24 | 73.80 | 7.38 | 5.63 | 64.16 | 6.42 | 5.20 |
| Chr | 0.01 | 49.65 | 0.50 | 0.45 | 52.50 | 0.52 | 0.40 | 50.02 | 0.50 | 0.41 |
| BbF | 0.1 | 64.43 | 6.44 | 5.89 | 73.85 | 7.38 | 5.63 | 64.21 | 6.42 | 5.20 |
| BkF | 0.1 | 63.87 | 6.39 | 5.84 | 74.39 | 7.44 | 5.68 | 68.05 | 6.81 | 5.51 |
| BaP | 1 | 43.37 | 43.37 | 39.65 | 47.18 | 47.18 | 36.00 | 45.70 | 45.70 | 37.02 |
| DBahA | 1 | 41.71 | 41.71 | 38.14 | 55.13 | 55.13 | 42.06 | 52.54 | 52.54 | 42.56 |
| B(ghi)P | 0.01 | 48.07 | 0.48 | 0.44 | 55.01 | 0.55 | 0.42 | 36.17 | 0.36 | 0.29 |
| IcP | 0.1 | 39.13 | 3.91 | 3.58 | 42.73 | 4.27 | 3.26 | 36.43 | 3.64 | 2.95 |
| Total | | 797.97 | 109.37 | | 1015.06 | 131.06 | | 887.68 | 123.46 | |
from the Bay of Bengal region and possibly will be responsible for lowering the PAH abundance owing to insignificant sources in the marine region. Along with back trajectories, we also observed fire counts in the trajectory map. We observed high density of fire counts over the IGP, which further suggests increased local coal and biomass burning, particularly during winter months. The back trajectories were used to analyze the role of emission source impacting at our sampling site. However, in the winter season, we also observe the trajectories from the southwestern part of India as well as Arabian Peninsula, which was evidence of long-range transport of pollutants toward the study region. Back trajectories concluded that the local or regional as well as long-range mass-loaded pollutants also affect the air quality at study site.

**Health risk assessment**

The calculated risk assessment TEQ values for all the sites are presented in Table 4. At BKR, GHY, and RCI sites, the total TEQ values were 109.4, 131.1, and 123.5 ng m$^{-3}$, respectively. The BaP and DBahA contributed the highest carcinogenicity of PAH samples. Among the PAHs, the BaP contributed to ~39.6, 36.0, and 37.1% of carcinogenicity at BKR, GHY, and RCI sites, respectively, while the DBahA contributed ~38.1, 42.1, and 42.6% carcinogenicity at BKR, GHY, and RCI sites. This confirms that the DBahA and BaP were the major contributors in assessing PAH health risk at the study sites.

In the present study, we have also estimated the probabilistic health risk due to airborne particulate-associated PAHs. The health risk assessed by estimating the LADD values for adults and children and the corresponding ILCR values are given in Table 5. The LADD values for carcinogenic PAHs for the child category were estimated to be $5.90 \times 10^{-6}$, $7.07 \times 10^{-6}$, and $6.66 \times 10^{-6}$ mg kg$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$ at BKR, GHY, and RCI sites, respectively, while for the adult category, these were $14.16 \times 10^{-6}$, $60.97 \times 10^{-6}$, and $15.99 \times 10^{-6}$ mg kg$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$, respectively. Based on LADDS, the total ILCR values associated with the inhalation of airborne-bound PAHs were estimated to be $2.27 \times 10^{-5}$, $2.72 \times 10^{-5}$, and $2.56 \times 10^{-5}$ for children at BKR, GHY, and RCI sites, respectively. The ILCR values were estimated to be $5.4 \times 10^{-5}$, $23.47 \times 10^{-5}$, and $6.15 \times 10^{-5}$ at BKR, GHY, and RCI, respectively.

According to a previous study in this region, the values of LADD for adults and children were $16.43 \times 10^{-6}$ and $3.16 \times 10^{-6}$ mg kg$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$, at rural site and $22.3 \times 10^{-6}$ and $4.3 \times 10^{-6}$ mg kg$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$ at urban site, respectively. Total ILCR values were estimated $63.25 \times 10^{-6}$ for adults and $12.16 \times 10^{-6}$ for children at rural site. At urban site, ILCR value was estimated $85.85 \times 10^{-6}$ for adults and $16.85 \times 10^{-6}$ for children (Kumar et al. 2020a, b). Similar study at three sites of Malaysia including Kuala Lumpur, Petaling Jaya, and Bangi reported that the LADD value for lower than 75.41 $\times 10^{-6}$ (Kumar et al. 2020a, b). The present study result showed that the risk level was acceptable over all the BKR, GHY, and RCI study sites.

**Conclusion**

We present here PAH composition and concentration associated with PM$_{2.5}$ collected from three different sites located in central-eastern India during and their season variability. Following are the major outcome of this study:

1. The PM$_{2.5}$ annual average mass concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ were comparable at all three study sites.
2. PAH concentrations show significant seasonality at all sites in the order of monsoon < post-monsoon < summer < winter.
3. The concentrations of BaP were found 45.70, 47.18, and 43.37 ng m$^{-3}$ over RCI, GHY, and BKR sites, respectively. BaP is considered a marker of carcinogens. The
concentration of BaP was significantly higher than the NAAQs standard value of 1 ng m\(^{-3}\).

4. The diagnostic ratio and PCA concluded that the major source of PM\(_{2.5}\)-associated PAHs was petrogenic, pyrogenic, coal combustion, and vehicular emission which were predominant sources of emission of PAHs.

5. Backward trajectory analyses coupled with fire count maps were used to further identify various sources during different seasons. In summer and winter seasons, back trajectories suggest a significant contribution from the IGP, with partial contribution from the Bay of Bengal during summer months. We also observed contributions from the southwestern part of India and Arabian countries during monsoon period. However, those samples collected during post-monsoon season were found to be impacted by mixed sources with dominant contribution from those derived from the surrounding regions in Eastern India.

6. The health risk due to PAH exposure in central-east India was also assessed based on the ELCR value and found to lie between acceptable limit values. Thus, the risk level was acceptable over all the BKR, GHY, and RCI study sites of the central part of East India.

This study is an important contribution as the data collected from the sites are just before the COVID-19 pandemic. Thus, it will be very useful in assessing the relative role of air pollution vis-à-vis pandemic in impacting the health of people in this region.
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