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Trans-limb embolization for treatment of Type 2 endoleak post EVAR: Case report
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Type 2 endoleaks (T2EL) occur after 10%–25% of endovascular abdominal aortic aneurysm repairs and increase the risk factor of endograft repair failure and rupture. Herein we report a case of endovascular treatment of T2EL where we performed a trans-limb embolization.

Presentation of case: A 63-years-old male previously treated for AAA with endovascular aortic aneurysms repair (EVAR), showed an angio-CT scan followup with a type 2 endoleak fed from inferior mesenteric artery (AMI) with growth of AAA greater of 1 cm than preoperative CT-scan and increase of chronic lumbar pain. Due to high risk of rupture was performed a trans-limb embolization with complete sealing. The 6 months CT-angiography showed complete type 2 endoleak exclusion without changes of AAA.

Discussion: The risk of aneurysm rupture in the presence of an isolated T2EL is exceptionally low. However, when a persistent T2EL is associated with a significant sac size increase, commonly considered as at least 5 mm over 6 months, should be treated. Detachable coils are repositionable, allowing an extremely precise deployment and subsequent embolization of different targets.

Conclusion: In this experience trans-limb embolization was feasible and this tool should be taken in account especially when no other surgical options exists.

1. Introduction

Type 2 endoleaks (T2EL) occur after 10%–25% of endovascular abdominal aortic aneurysm repairs and are a risk factor for endograft repair failure and rupture [1]. These are complications given by inversion of blood flow from collateral arteries [2]. Approximately 80% e 90% of T2ELs undergo spontaneous resolution [3]. The remaining portion can increase the diameters of aneurysm sac with risk of rupture and consequent hemorrhage [4].

Herein we report a case of endovascular treatment of T2EL where we performed a trans-limb embolization.

This work has been written in accordance with the SCARE criteria [5].

2. Case report

A 63-years-old male with hypertension, diabetes mellitus was referred for incidental diagnosis of Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm (AAA) after Ultrasonodoppler (US), performed for abdominal pain. The angio-CT scan confirmed the presence of AAA with maximum diameter of 6.5 cm. At admission, his physical examination revealed regular heart rate of 80 beats/min, blood pressure of 140/70 mm Hg and temperature of 36.8 °C. At history, he referred a story of lumbar pain by herniated disk.

The patient was considered fit for conventional endovascular approach and under local anesthesia was performed an endovascular aortic aneurysms repair (EVAR) with release of Gore Endoprosthesis 28 mm (W.L. Gore and Associates, Inc., Flagstaff, Ariz) without intraoperative visualization of endoleak at final angiography check. The patient was discharged on the 3rd postoperative day. The 2 months CT-angiography showed a type 2 endoleak fed from inferior mesenteric artery (AMI) without changes of diameters in Aneurysm Sac (Fig. 1). At 6 months followup, CT-Angiography showed a worsening of T2EL with growth of AAA greater of 0.5 cm and increase of chronic lumbar pain (Fig. 2). Thus, in light of these findings we chose to perform a second
Endovascular procedure.

Under local anesthesia, a right femoral access was gained and a 5Fx25cm sheath placed. The sheath tip was placed a few centimeters below the end of the iliac endograft. The 0.014” Command wire (Abbott Vascular, Park, Illinois) was passed between iliac Endoprosthesis right leg and arterial wall due to enter aneurysm sac. To allow the transition of 5F Ber catheter (Cordis, Miami, FL), we performed a pre-dilatation.
Endoleaks are EVAR-related complications occurring immediately or during the follow-up [6]. T2EL are the most common endoleaks following EVAR and remain the main cause of repeat intervention [7]. They are caused by retrograde blood flow into the sac from branches of the endograft covered native aorta or iliac vessels. There is usually one dominant inflow artery, most commonly the IMA or a lumbar artery, and often one or more outflow arteries [8]. T2EL are inherently low flow and are often transient, resolving following thrombosis of the aneurysm sac and reversal of anticoagulation. The risk of aneurysm rupture in the presence of an isolated T2EL is exceptionally low [9]. The current consensus is that one should treat a persistent T2EL when they are associated with a significant sac size increase, commonly considered as at least 5 mm over 6 months [10–11]. In the absence of an enlarging sac size, for asymptomatic patients observation could be an option; for symptomatic or ruptured cases alternative therapeutic options has to be considered. Embolization is the main treatment for T2EL. The aim of intervention is to obliterate the endoleak cavity. There are a variety of embolization techniques depending on the anatomy of the artery supplying the endoleak and the available route to access the endoleaks.

The most common technique is transarterial catheterization of the dominant feeding vessel via communicating arteries supplying the vessel. This approach is performed under conscious sedation and requires an accessible route from an aortoiliac vessel, via collaterals to the vessel feeding the endoleak and ideally the endoleak cavity itself. The technical success of this approach is limited if the responsible feeding vessel cannot be cannulated or if a viable path to the endoleak cavity cannot be found [12]. Alternative is the direct percutaneous puncture of the aneurysm sac. There are only two papers that have specifically reported the outcomes of direct sac puncture embolization. In the larger of these studies, Zener et al. (2018) reported on 33 transabdominal embolizations in 30 patients using a range of embolic agents with a technical success rate of 97% and clinical success of 85%, defined as freedom from sac growth [13–15]. Other technique is the transcaval access into the endoleak cavity that is achieved by using an angled-tip catheter and an angled sheathed needle (e.g. TIPSS set) to penetrate the Inferior Vena Cava wall and enter the endoleak cavity. The largest cohort included 29 patients, reported by Giles et al., with technical success achieved in 90% and no significant adverse events, although 5 patients required reintervention [16]. In this case reported we chose an innovative approach, represented by trans-limb embolization, an innovative technique useful, especially, when aneurysm sac cannot be accessed by the standard transarterial route. Once access into the pararendograft space is obtained, the catheter and wire are advanced superiorly using standard catheter–guidewire manipulation techniques between the graft and the artery wall until access to the sac thrombus is achieved. After performing an endoleakogram to define the anatomy of the endoleak, any visible and accessible feeding vessels are embolised with a liquid embolic or as in our experience with coils. Using this technique, Coppi and colleagues reported successful embolization of the sac in 16 of 17 patients using a 9F sheath, with one adverse event of a procedural type Ib endoleak [17,18]. In practice, procedural success is limited by difficulty in accessing the pararendograft space and accessing the endoleak nidus even when the sac thrombus has been accessed. Embolization of the sac thrombus if the nidus cannot be accessed is of no benefit [8,19,20]. In this regard, it was beneficial in trans-limb embolization, the use of Concerto Helix Detachable Coil System (Medtronic, Inc., Minneapolis, MN, US). On the other hand, detachable coils are repositionable, allowing an extremely precise deployment and subsequent embolization of different targets [21].

In this single case experience the 6-months CT angiography and Color Doppler Ultrasound after 12-months showed complete AAA exclusion.

In patients with T2EL followup is important. Our protocol includes after EVAR a CT angiography at 2-months with a new CT-scan if a T2EL is found during the first check. After embolization or spontaneous resolution followup consist of a CT angiography at 6-months and Color Doppler Ultrasound check every six months. In cases of doubt Color Doppler Ultrasound may be complemented by contrast-enhanced ultrasound or new CT angiography [4,19,22].
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