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Left Ventricular Assist Device Implantation Combined with Bentall Procedure
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Abstract

Ventricular assist devices (VADs) are an important technological development for patients with end-stage heart failure, and approximately 50% of these patients require various additional cardiac procedures. Here we present a case of a patient suffering from severe aortic insufficiency, aortic root dilatation, and an ascending aortic aneurysm with end-stage decompensated heart failure. We performed the Bentall procedure combined with a left VAD implantation during the same session. The postoperative period was uneventful for this patient, and he was discharged on the 32nd postoperative day. The heart failure symptoms of the patient are reasonable, and he is still on the heart transplantation waiting list.
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INTRODUCTION

Ventricular assist devices (VADs) are an important technological development for patients with decompensated end-stage heart failure[1]. Unfortunately, approximately 50% of the patients with end-stage heart failure require additional cardiac surgical procedures. Rarely have we presented a case of a patient whose Bentall procedure was combined with a left VAD (LVAD) implantation during the same session.

CASE REPORT

A 46-year-old male patient with dilated cardiomyopathy was admitted to our clinic with New York Heart Association (NYHA) class 3–4 functional capacity.

Physical examination revealed that the heart rate was 90 beats/min, blood pressure was 105/65 mmHg, and respiratory rate of 26 breaths per minute. There was crepitation on bilaterally basal segments of lungs and 3/6 diastolic murmur was present in the aortic valve area with auscultation. There was no pathological finding in other systems. Despite maximal medical treatment and intra-aortic balloon pumping, hemodynamic deterioration developed in the second day of hospitalization. Systolic blood pressure was 85 mmHg, signs of organ malperfusion with altered mental status; cold, clammy skin; oliguria (30 ml/h); increased serum-lactate (8 mEq/L). Echocardiography revealed that left ventricular (LV) ejection fraction was 20%, and he presented with severe aortic insufficiency, moderate calcific aortic stenosis, aortic annular dilatation and an ascending aortic aneurysm. His central venous pressure (CVP) was 13 mmHg.

Abbreviations, acronyms & symbols

| CPB    | = Cardiopulmonary by-pass |
| CVP    | = Central venous pressure |
| LV     | = Left ventricle          |
| LVAD   | = Left ventricular assist devices |
| NYHA   | = New York Heart Association |
| RV     | = Right ventricle         |
| RVSWI  | = Right ventricular stroke work index |
| TAPSE  | = Tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion |
| TPG    | = Transpulmonary gradient |
| VADs   | = Ventricular assist devices |
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This study was carried out at the Istanbul Mehmet Akif Erysov Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery Training and Research Hospital, Cardiovascular Surgery, Istanbul, Turkey.
The LV position was adjusted, and a suitable area on the LV was determined via transesophageal echocardiography for the LVAD implantation. The ring of a HeartMate 3 LVAD (Abbott Laboratories, Chicago, IL, USA) was implanted on the apex of the heart enforced with Teflon felt under beating heart conditions. The inflow cannula of the device was placed into the ventricular cavity, and the battery cable of the device was removed from the right inferior quadrant of the abdomen via the subxiphoid tunnel. All the air was evacuated from inside the device and heart, and the outflow graft of the device was anastomosed to the ascending aortic graft in an end-to-side fashion (Figure 2). Then, the device was started. While the support of the device increased, the support of the CPB was slowly decreased and ended. The last control of the device was performed via transesophageal echocardiography. The cardiac index was greater than 2.8 l/min/m² at a speed of 8,400 rpm. The RV function was satisfactory: TAPSE=16 mm, TPG=10 mmHg, and RVSWI=405 mmHg*ml/m². The patient was admitted to the intensive care unit in a stable condition. The CPB time was 138 minutes, and the aortic cross clamp time was 64 minutes. The mean arterial pressure, CVP, cardiac rhythm, and pulmonary capillary wedge pressure were 75 mmHg, 14 mmHg, 83 beats/minute, and 10 mmHg, respectively. Therefore, the hemodynamic parameters were normal. The patient awoke 6 hours after the surgery, and his neurological status was entirely normal. The total drainage was 1,250 cc during the first day and 650 cc during the second day postoperatively. Three units of erythrocyte suspension were used in total. The extubation was performed at the postoperative 21st hour. RV failure findings were not observed, and the early postoperative period was satisfactory. This patient was discharged on postoperative day 32. The control
combined with an LVAD implantation. Moreover, the effects of a continuous-flow LVAD on aortic wall stress were studied by Segura et al.\cite{4}. They evaluated the ascending aortic tissue before and after the implantation of an LVAD by comparing the medial degeneration, elastic fiber fragmentation, medial fibrosis, and atherosclerosis. They observed that the LVAD had a significant effect on the ascending aorta. Thus, surgical interventions for ascending aortic aneurysms seem unavoidable in these patients. Therefore, our cardiac team decided to conduct the Bentall procedure combined with an LVAD implantation in our patient.

Patients with ascending aortic aneurysms have further risks during LVAD implantation procedures. For example, a rupture may occur during the outflow graft anastomosis due to the fragile and weak ascending aortic tissue. Therefore, changing the aneurysmal ascending aorta is necessary before the LVAD implantation, even though this increases the surgical risk. The other choices for improving aortic valve insufficiency at the time of an LVAD implantation are an aortic valve repair or closure. Although there is no clear superiority between these methods in the literature, Robertson et al.\cite{5} determined that an aortic valve closure significantly increases mortality. Therefore, we chose the aortic valve replacement method to avoid the increased risk of mortality in our case.

In summary, a rare operation that combined the Bentall procedure with an LVAD implantation was performed successfully, and the patient is now waiting for a heart transplantation in a more comfortable state.

**DISCUSSION**

In this case, we performed Bentall procedure combined with a LVAD implantation urgently. The patient was in the heart transplantation list. Hemodynamic and clinical deterioration occurred and maximal medical treatment and intra-aortic balloon pump were performed. But hemodynamic and clinical situation were not satisfactory. Ideal intervention was heart transplantation and ascending aorta replacement. But we did not have a chance to find an emergency donor for this patient with acute decompensated heart failure. Therefore, our hear team decided to perform an LVAD implantation and a Bentall procedure in the same session to bridge for transplantation.

When compared with other cardiac operations, patients with LVADs have a 6%–8% increased risk of requiring additional surgery within one year of the implantation\cite{2}. Our patient with end-stage decompensated heart failure suffered from severe aortic insufficiency, aortic root and sinus valsalva dilatation and an ascending aortic aneurysm. Therefore, we performed the Bentall procedure combined with an LVAD implantation in the same session. Takeda et al.\cite{3} reported a case with multiple saccular ascending aortic aneurysms and end-stage heart failure, and they also successfully performed an aortic surgery combined with an LVAD implantation. Moreover, the effects of a continuous-flow LVAD on aortic wall stress were studied by Segura et al.\cite{4}. They evaluated the ascending aortic tissue before and after the implantation of an LVAD by comparing the medial degeneration, elastic fiber fragmentation, medial fibrosis, and atherosclerosis. They observed that the LVAD had a significant effect on the ascending aorta. Thus, surgical interventions for ascending aortic aneurysms seem unavoidable in these patients. Therefore, our cardiac team decided to conduct the Bentall procedure combined with an LVAD implantation in our patient.

Patients with ascending aortic aneurysms have further risks during LVAD implantation procedures. For example, a rupture may occur during the outflow graft anastomosis due to the fragile and weak ascending aortic tissue. Therefore, changing the aneurysmal ascending aorta is necessary before the LVAD implantation, even though this increases the surgical risk. The other choices for improving aortic valve insufficiency at the time of an LVAD implantation are an aortic valve repair or closure. Although there is no clear superiority between these methods in the literature, Robertson et al.\cite{5} determined that an aortic valve closure significantly increases mortality. Therefore, we chose the aortic valve replacement method to avoid the increased risk of mortality in our case.

In summary, a rare operation that combined the Bentall procedure with an LVAD implantation was performed successfully, and the patient is now waiting for a heart transplantation in a more comfortable state.
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