Anti-Purkinje Cell and Natural Autoantibodies in a Group of Psychiatric Patients. Evidences for a Correlation with the Psychopathological Status
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Abstract: Phenomena of autoimmunity are frequent among psychiatric patients, but we don’t know yet if they should be considered primary and linked to the pathophysiology of the disorder, or aspecific and associated to a general immune system activation. Paraneoplastic Cerebellar Degeneration (PCD) represents a well known model of specific autoimmunity. In order to better understand the abovementioned issues, we used this condition to compare a set of immune dysfunctions found in a group of psychiatric patients. For this reason we tested sera from 48 psychiatric patients (24 schizophrenics, 17 bipolar and 7 obsessive-compulsive), 22 PCD patients and 52 healthy controls for the presence of anti-Purkinje autoantibodies and of some natural autoantibodies (ANA, AMAs, APCA, ASMA). Psychopathological status of the psychiatric patients was assessed with BPRS, SANS, SAPS, HAM-D, CGI-S. In the psychiatric group anti-Purkinje autoantibodies were identified in 11/48 (22,9%) patients, while they were present in 22/22 (100%) PCD patients and in 0/52 (0%) healthy controls. Among all anti-Purkinje autoantibody positive patients (in the PCD and psychiatric samples), only those belonging to the psychiatric sample, but not those with PCD, were frequently found positive also for natural autoantibodies, that are considered good markers of aspecific immune activation. In these patients, both anti-Purkinje and natural autoantibodies were found associated with acute/positive psychopathological symptoms. These results seem to point out that some phenomena of auto-immunity described in psychiatric patients could be aspecific, unrelated to the pathophysiology of the concomitant mental disorders and could be more frequent during phases of acute/positive symptoms.
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INTRODUCTION

Evidence of the existence of a functional link between the CNS and the immune system come from the identification of a set of immune changes in various psychiatric disorders [1, 2]. On the basis of these data, since among mental disorders schizophrenia has the least known etiology, most of the etiological hypotheses based on immunological mechanisms that have been advanced so far were formulated with reference to this illness [3-5]. Theories on autoimmune aspects of schizophrenia frequently invoke the notion of early infection by microorganisms possessing antigens that are similar to CNS tissue, that resulting antibodies act against the brain [6, 7]. However, should an immunological pathogenetic model of schizophrenia prove correct, the immune changes observed in this disorder should be strictly confined to these patients, while, if they are non-specific, they should be considered unrelated to the underlying pathophysiology of schizophrenia and could therefore be present also in different psychiatric disorders. Several lines of evidence seem to confirm that immune changes so far identified in psychiatric patients are aspecific. Alpha-Interferon, an important mediator of autoimmune processes, induce several psychiatric adverse effects, like anxiety, depressive, manic and psychotic symptoms [8]. Different patterns of psychiatric symptoms were described also after administration of Lupus Anticoagulant (LA) and anticardiolipin antibodies (aCL) [9-11], thus confirming the possibility of an aspecific correlation between immune system dysfunctions and psychiatric symptoms. Therefore non-specific factors, unrelated to the pathophysiology of underlying mental disorders, could trigger off immune system modifications in schizophrenia, as well as in other psychiatric conditions or in normals. Indeed several similarities between the immune system dysregulations observed in schizophrenia and those reported in affective disorders have so far been described, thus suggesting the possibility that they are aspecific and devoid of any etiological significance. The immune system dysfunctions reported in affective patients [12] seem connected to a functional activation of the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis [13], therefore lacking of any etiological link with the onset of the illness [14]. Since conditions of functional activation of the HPA axis have been described also in other psychiatric conditions, including schizophrenia [15], this mechanism could be not considered strictly limited to affective disorders. Therefore, the immune dysfunctions so far identified in patients affected by different mental disorders could share a
The underlying pathogenetic process is less clear. Other autoimmune phenomena in which the relation to the reference model of specific autoimmunity to which compare different nature of the immune process underlying the presence of nonspecific autoimmune reactions, could reveal a pattern of autoantibodies characterizing patients. The last point was to clarify if intergroup differences represent well studied models of specific autoimmunity was or not confined to anti-Purkinje autoimmunity was detectable in a sample of healthy controls. These two groups of patients and in a third group of normal individuals, indirect immunofluorescence technique (IIF) detects the presence of anti-cerebellar seric autoantibodies [19]. In these cases, indirect immunofluorescence technique (IIF) detects the presence of autoantibodies reacting with the nucleus or cytoplasm of Purkinje cells and/or other cerebellar cytotypes [20], binding with clusters of ribosomes in the endoplasmic reticulum, on the trans-face of the Golgi apparatus or with nuclear antigens [21]. Antigenic proteins recognized by anti-Purkinje antibodies are detectable in tumor tissues from these patients [19]. The presence of such a clear link between a specific tumor antigen, the production of an antitumor immune response, and the consequent detrimental effect on neural tissue make autoantibody-positive PCDs a very good reference model of specific autoimmunity to which compare other autoimmune phenomena in which the relation to the underlying pathogenetic process is less clear.

Based on this model, in order to improve our knowledge on differences and similarities of autoimmune phenomena present in PCD and in psychiatric patients, we compared the pattern of autoantibodies detectable with IIF technique in these two groups of patients and in a third group of normal healthy controls.

The first aim of this study was to observe if an anti-Purkinje autoantibody, similar to that found in the specific autoimmune reaction of PCD, was detectable in a sample of psychiatric patients. The second objective was to investigate if this anti-Purkinje autoimmunity was or not confined to diagnostically homogeneous sub-groups of psychiatric patients. The last point was to clarify if intergroup differences in the frequency of other classes of autoantibodies, characteristic of nonspecific autoimmune reactions, could reveal a different nature of the immune process underlying the presence of anti-Purkinje antibodies in PCD and psychiatric patients. The study was approved by the local ethical committee before its start.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Subjects**

One hundred and twenty-two caucasian subjects participated in the study. Subjects with a recent infectious process (such as common cold, angina or urinary tract infection) were excluded from this study. The experimental population was then divided into the following sub-groups:

1. **Group 1 (Psychiatric patients).** This was made up of 48 recently hospitalized patients meeting criteria for the following psychiatric disorders diagnosed according to DSM IV-TR (italian edition) criteria [22]: 24 with Schizophrenic Disorder (50%), 17 with Bipolar Disorder (35%) and 7 with Obsessive Compulsive Disorder (15%) (Table 1). Patients with substance abuse or organic mental disorders were excluded from the study. None of these patients presented Axis-1 or Axis-2 comorbidities. All these subjects were somatically healthy as screened with physical examination, complete blood count with differentials, serum enzymes and metabolite screening, urine analysis and thyroid function tests and all of them were studied while under psychotropic medication. None of them showed neurological signs of cerebellar origin, or of cerebellar atrophy at Computed Tomographic (CT) scanning.

2. **Group 2 (Paraneoplastic cerebellar degeneration patients).** Blood samples from 150 patients with suspected PCD were screened at the outpatient and inpatient neurological facilities of Poli clinico Umberto I Hospital (Rome). The clinical picture was characterized by symptoms of pancerebellar syndrome with subacute onset and progressive course. Of these patients, 22 were diagnosed as affected by autoantibody-positive PCD, as sera were positive for anti-Purkinje antibodies, detected with IIF method, a tissue proved diagnosis of underlying cancer was available (lung cancer 43%; lymphoma 29%; prostatic cancer 14%; uterus carcinoma 14%) and CT scanning showed diffused cerebellar atrophy with no evidence of cerebral, cerebellar or leptomeningeal metastases. All were free of somatic diseases other than PCD and of any form of clinically relevant mental illness. They were devoid of current and past history of mental disorders.
3. Healthy controls (Group 3). This group was made up of 52 normal healthy volunteers. None of these normal controls was a regular drinker or had ever been on psychotropic drugs except benzodiazepines (but not for one month before blood sample drawing). All were free from somatic and mental illness.

All subjects in the three groups were screened for the presence of Axis-I mental disorders by a member of the research group with several years of clinical experience (RDC) by means of the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM Disorders (SCID) [23]. Before being enrolled, patients of the psychiatric and neurologic groups and healthy controls gave their informed consent to participate to this study.

Detection of Autoantibodies

After an overnight fast a 10 cc blood sample was drawn from a cubital forearm vein at the same time in the morning (9 a.m.) for all patients and control subjects. Blood samples were centrifugated at 3000 rpm for 15' at 4°C (Omnifuge 2.ORS, Heraeus, Germany) and sera obtained were immediately frozen and stored at -80°C. Sera from psychiatric, PCD patients and from healthy controls were then screened for anti-Purkinje and four other types of autoantibodies: anti-nuclear (ANAs), anti-mitocondrial (AMAs), anti-smooth muscle (ASMAS) and anti-gastric parietal cells (APCAS).

The IIF was performed according to Kallestad Company’s instructions. Specific substrates were incubated with the sera (1:40 or 1:20 diluted in PBS for HEp-2 and kidney/stomach, respectively) for 20' at RT. After two washes in PBS for 10', anti-human IgG antibody FITC-conjugated was added for 20' at RT. Finally the slides, rinsed twice in PBS for 10', were mounted in glycerine/PBS (50%/50%) and observed using a fluorescence microscope with a FITC outfit (Leitz, Germany). A non-immune serum was used as negative control; anti-ANA, anti-AMA, anti ASMA and anti-APCA sera (included in the kits) were used as positive control sera.

Psychopathological Assessment

All psychiatric patients (Group 1), regardless of their diagnosis, underwent a psychopathological assessment performed with the following rating scales: Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS) [25], Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms (SANS) [26], Scale for the Assessment of Positive Symptoms (SAPS) [27], Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAM-D, 21 item version) [28], Clinical Global Improvement-Severity (CGI-S) [29]. Scores were based on patients’ psychopathological condition observed by the investigators at the time of blood drawing.

Data Evaluation and Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis included several comparison methods. Pearson’s correlation coefficients were applied to all psychometric variables to single out potential confounding effects. Pearson’s Chi Square was used to examine categorical data, applying Yate’s correction were necessary. Group mean differences were checked by means of analysis of variance (ANOVA) or multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA), homogeneity of variances was tested using the univariate Levene test and the multivariate Box M. Post-hoc analysis was carried out with the Scheffé test. The minimum level of significance was set at p<.05.

RESULTS

The mean age of psychiatric patients (Group 1: 36.3±15.07) was lower if compared to both PCD patients (Group 2: 56.5±10.5) [F (1;68)=32.23; p=0.001] and healthy controls (Group 3: 43.5±15.3) [F (1;98)=5.61; p<0.02]. The age of PCD patients (Group 2) was higher compared to healthy controls (Group 3) [F(1;72)=13.2; p<0.001]. In the psychiatric sample the number of male subjects was significantly higher than in the other two samples (psychiatric group: M 42, F 6; PCD patients: M 7, F 15; healthy controls: M 26, F 26; χ²= 24.79; df=2; p<.0001).

AUTO-IMMUNITY

1. Anti-Purkinje autoantibodies. Positivity of seric IgG anti-Purkinje antibodies was observed in 11/48 (22.9%) psychiatric patients (Group 1). In these cases a bright fluorescence of Purkinje cells was present (Fig. 1). Of these 11 psychiatric patients, 6/24 (25%) had a diagnosis of Schizophrenic Disorder, 3/17 (17.6%) of Bipolar Disorder and 2/7 (28.6%) of OCD. Similar IIF positivity was observed using serum of 22/22 patients (100%) with anti-Purkinje antibody.
positive PCD associated with an underlying neoplasm (Group 2), while 0/52 (0%) healthy volunteers (Group 3) showed this positive finding. Negative control and sera of all healthy donors gave similar negative IIF results. Demographic variables (sex, age) of group 1 patients were analyzed, together with other clinical data (Axis I diagnosis, years of therapy, type of therapy), to find differences between the two sub-groups of the psychiatric sample (anti-Purkinje positive vs. negative) (See Table 2). Abovementioned analysis did not reveal any significant difference for such variables in these two subgroups.

2. **ANAs, AMAs, ASMAS and APCAs.** When we compared the frequency of ANAs, AMAs, ASMAS and APCAs in the 3 groups, ANAs were found positive in 2/48 (4.2%) subjects of the psychiatric group (Group 1), while AMAs were detected in 9/48 (18.7%), ASMAS in 15/48 (31.3%) and APCAs in 6/48 (12.5%). No subject in the normal control group (Group 3) was found positive for seric ANAs, AMAs and APCAs, while in 2/52 (3.9%) cases in this group were found positive for ASMAS. In 2/22 (9.1%) patients with anti-Purkinje antibody positive PCD (Group 2), the presence of seric ASMAS was detectable, while ANAs, AMAs and APCAs were never identified. The frequency of anti-Purkinje autoantibodies ($\chi^2 = 79.02; df = 2; p < .001$), of AMAs ($\chi^2 = 15.31; df = 2; p < .00047$), of ASMAS ($\chi^2 = 15.58; df = 2; p < .00041$) and APCAs ($\chi^2 = 9.94; df = 2; p < .0069$) varied significantly in the three samples: they were more frequently observed in the psychiatric patient sample. The frequency of all the "natural" autoantibodies studied (ANAs, AMAs, ASMAS, APCAs) did not show any significant intergroup difference in the two subgroups of psychiatric patients (anti Purkinje antibody-positive vs. anti Purkinje antibody-negative) (see Table 2).
Table 3. Comparison of the Psychometric Characteristics of the Two Sub-Groups of Psychiatric Patients (Purkinje-Ab Positive vs. Purkinje-Ab Negative)

|                          | Purkinje-Ab Positive n=11 | Purkinje-Ab Negative n=37 |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
|                          | Mean | Std. Dev. | Mean  | Std. Dev. |
| SANS total               | 38.36| 29.80     | 43.78 | 19.55     |
| SANS1                    | 11.64| 9.80      | 12.69 | 7.58      |
| SANS2                    | 6.73 | 6.62      | 7.61  | 4.88      |
| SANS3                    | 7.45 | 4.95      | 8.33  | 3.92      |
| SANS4                    | 9.91 | 7.69      | 12.64 | 5.54      |
| SANS5                    | 2.64 | 3.85      | 2.50  | 3.04      |
| SAPS total *             | 37.64| 21.51     | 19.06 | 14.29     |
| SAPS1*                   | 6.09 | 4.91      | 3.14  | 3.81      |
| SAPS2*                   | 8.36 | 5.46      | 4.67  | 4.55      |
| SAPS3*                   | 11.55| 5.07      | 4.56  | 3.51      |
| SAPS4*                   | 11.64| 8.82      | 6.69  | 6.07      |
| HAMD*                    | 10.64| 6.73      | 18.47 | 10.71     |
| BPRS total               | 34.00| 7.89      | 36.08 | 11.48     |
| Thought disorder         | 7.09 | 2.30      | 5.47  | 4.14      |
| Interpersonal disturbance | 8.27 | 3.00      | 6.56  | 2.78      |
| Retardation*             | 5.55 | 2.66      | 8.00  | 2.59      |
| Depression anxiety       | 13.09| 4.55      | 15.86 | 5.53      |
| CGI-S                    | 5.36 | .67       | 4.97  | .97       |

* P<.05

PSYCHOPATHOLOGICAL RATINGS

1. Correlation to anti-Purkinje autoimmunity. The psychopathological status of anti-Purkinje positive and negative subgroups is shown in Table 3. Patients of the positive subgroup did not differ significantly from those of the negative one in global psychopathology measures such as CGI-S [F(1;45)=1.548; p=.219] and BPRS [F(1;45)=.31; p=.57]. Differences in the psychopathological status of anti-Purkinje positive and negative psychiatric patients, independently of axis I diagnosis, were studied comparing all the values scored in the various rating scales. These variables were not significantly related to each other (Pearson’s correlation matrix), thus indicating that they represented qualitatively different psychopathological indexes. A one-way MANOVA showed, globally, a significative intergroup difference for all the rating scale scores [Wilks’s Lambda=.704; Rao’s R (4; 42)= 4.412; p=.004]. Post-hoc analysis (Scheffé Test) showed that these differences were explained by SAPS-tot (anti-Purkinje positive=37.64± 21.51; anti-Purkinje negative =19.06± 14.29;p=.001) and HAM-D (anti-Purkinje positive=10.64± 6.73; anti-Purkinje negative =18.47± 10.71;p=.027) scores. This shows that anti-Purkinje positive psychiatric patients, regardless of their diagnosis, scored higher in the scales for the assessment of positive symptoms, whereas they scored lower on depression. However we may hypothesize that the lower HAM-D score found in this sample of anti-Purkinje autoantibody positive psychiatric patients with mixed diagnosis, besides depression itself, could be associated to the dimension of negative symptoms as well. In fact, although the global score of the BPRS in the post-hoc analysis did not distinguish Anti-Purkinje autoantibody positive and negative patients, the comparison (one-way ANOVA) of the BPRS sub-scores showed that the “retardation” sub-score was significantly lower in positive ones [F(1;45)= 7.496; p=.008]. Therefore, in our psychiatric group, symptoms assessed by HAM-D, as also observed by other authors [30], could also overlap with the domain of negative symptomatology. Given that the presence of the anti-Purkinje autoantibodies was found associated, regardless of patient’s diagnosis, to a clinical picture dominated by activating symptoms (measurable with the SAPS), we verified which of the 4 SAPS factors significantly influenced the difference observed in the 2 sub-groups (anti-Purkinje positive vs. anti-Purkinje negative) with regard to the total score of the scale. To this end, a one-way (anti-Purkinje antibody) ANOVA was carried out, and showed (see Table 3) how the two subgroups differed in all the 4 sub-scores (1 hallucinations, 2 delusions, 3 bizarre behavior, 4 thought disturbance) (p<.05).

2. Correlation to natural autoantibodies. The distribution of positivity to natural autoantibodies was inde-
possible interference of other nonspecific factors (sex, diagnosis, drugs taken, age, years of illness, years of therapy). Therefore, the psychopathological symptoms of patients positive for ASMA and/or AMA proved very similar to those which identify anti-Purkinje autoantibody positive patients.

**DISCUSSION**

The results of this study can be summarized as follows:

1. In a group of psychiatric patients with different diagnoses, we identified autoantibodies directed against the Purkinje neuron, similar to those which may normally be detected in a neurologic autoimmune disorder characterized by a highly specific pathogenetic mechanism (PCD).

2. While in PCD patients the presence of anti-Purkinje autoantibodies appears as a single immunological finding, among psychiatric patients those who were positive for anti-Purkinje antibodies, besides this organ specific autoantibody, were also positive for one or more other autoantibodies.

3. Psychiatric patients who were positive for anti-Purkinje autoantibody and for the other phenomena of autoimmunity, more frequently had acute clinical presentations with prevalent positive symptoms and/or agitation.

This study has several important methodological limitations and its results should therefore be treated with caution. First of all psychiatric patients were diagnostically inhomogeneous and the sample size was too small to allow wide generalizations of the results. Furthermore the cross sectional design of the study does not support any inference on the possibility that the autoimmune findings could precede or follow the onset of psychiatric symptoms. For all these reasons further studies on this topic, performed on larger study populations and carried out with different methodologies are recommended. However, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to report in psychiatric patients the presence of anti-Purkinje autoantibodies, similar to those detectable in PCD. We believe that this finding is not fortuitous. In fact, even if the frequency of nonspecific phenomena of autoimmunity increases with age [31, 32] and is higher in women [33], our psychiatric group was characterized by a lower mean age with respect to that of PCD patients and of the normal controls, and by an higher frequency of male subjects. This reduces the possibilities that the phenomena of autoimmunity that we reported in our psychiatric sample could have been influenced by nonspecific factors such as age and gender. Also psychotropic treatments, which often have been found nonspecifically associated with autoimmunity in psychiatric patients [34-36], in our study were not related to the presence of the anti-Purkinje autoantibody nor to natural autoantibodies as well. This suggests that probably the autoimmune phenomena observed in our sample of psychiatric patients were related only to the presence of a mental disorder. In neurological patients with PCD, since the autoantibody production derives from a specific pathogenetic mechanism based on the cross-reaction of antibodies directed against tumoral antigens with the Purkinje neurons, phenomena of immune activation not strictly linked to this mechanism should not be expected. Conversely they may be present when organ-specific autoantibodies originate from different pathogenetic mechanisms. Our data seem to suggest that the anti-Purkinje autoantibodies observed in our sample of psychiatric patients and those of PCD patients are probably derived from different underlying mechanisms. In fact only psychiatric patients showed higher frequencies also for the other autoantibodies under investigation (ANAs, ASMA, APCA, AMAs), thus confirming that only in this group the positivity of the anti-Purkinje autoantibody, when present, was not a single autoimmune phenomenon. These other autoantibodies are considered to belong to the pool of the “natural” autoantibodies [37] that, contrary to anti-Purkinje autoantibodies, are normally present in a certain percentage of healthy subjects. All adult organisms possess a limited number of B lymphocytes carrying low affinity (a characteristic representing a functional mechanism protecting the self) IgM or IgG polyreactive autoantibodies as receptors. Although clone selection eliminates many auto-reactive clones, some of these may remain. The autoimmune phenomena recorded in our psychiatric patients could be the result of autoantibodies of this origin, that in conditions of activation of the immune system, provide a rapid response to any exogenous or endogenous non-self agent, thus reaching concentrations that make them measurable. In fact, the synthesis of natural autoantibodies is based mainly on the activity of the of B CD5+ lymphocytes subpopulation [38], that some authors have observed to increase in groups of psychiatric patients [39]. In our psychiatric group, the greater frequency of natural autoantibodies could represent a result of this mechanism. In anti-Purkinje autoantibody positive psychiatric patients, the origin of this organ-specific autoantibody could therefore be considered as a part of a vast and aspecific autoimmune activation, which is not based to any mechanism of immune cross-reaction comparable to that described in PCD patients.
Immune system activation was shown in patients with major depression to be connected to a functional dysregulation of the HPA axis [13]. However, this condition is not strictly confined to affective disorders, but seems to involve a wider group of psychiatric patients, psychotic or not, often characterized by acute presentations, frequently associated with symptoms of agitation [40]. Therefore many immune system dysfunctions present in psychiatric patients belonging to different diagnostic areas, could share this common underlying functional mechanism.

The autoimmune phenomena we reported were not confined to a specific diagnostic subgroup of psychiatric patients, thus suggesting the absence of any correlation with the pathophysiology of their mental disorders. Independently of their diagnosis, in our psychiatric sample those patients who were positive for the anti-Purkinje autoantibody showed a more pronounced symptom profile of activation. This was also observed in the subgroup of ASMA and/or AMA positive psychiatric patients (the two most common natural autoantibodies), so that it may be hypothesised that both anti-Purkinje and natural antibodies, independently of the psychiatric diagnoses of the patients, could be linked to common mechanisms.

The presence of similar findings of autoimmunity, such as autoantibodies against neurotransmitter receptors [41] or against serotonin [42], in psychiatric patients with different diagnoses was also reported in other studies. The possibility that these autoimmunological findings could correlate with acute psychopathological symptoms of activation was hypothesized by Haggerty [43] in a study on anti-thyroid autoimmunity performed on affective and non affective patients, and by Kim [44] in a study on autoantibodies to heat-shock proteins performed in patients with schizophrenia. This was also suggested by the data reported by Levy-Soussan et al. [45], who described that in a group of schizophrenic patients the presence of natural autoantibodies, similar to those measured in our study, showed an inverse correlation with the severity of negative symptoms. The possibility that the autoantibodies reported in schizophrenic patients, without being linked with the pathophysiology of this disorder, may be aspecifically linked to a general activation of the immune system, specially in presence of psychopathological symptoms of activation, has arised also from the results of other studies. Inglot et al. [46] reported increased levels of interferon in schizophrenic patients with positive symptoms, while reduced interferon levels were found in those with prevalent negative symptoms. Achiron et al. [47] observed a reduction in CD-4 2H4+ (suppressor-inducer) and CD-8 2H4+ (suppressor-effector) lymphocytes in schizophrenic patients during acute phases of the illness. The same mechanism is also present in patients with autoimmune pathologies, and can lead to the activation of clones of autoreactive T and B lymphocytes. Increased IL-6 serum levels, an important stimulant of immune system and antibodies production, have been reported by several authors mainly in schizophrenic patients with poor therapy outcome [48]. The same conclusion was also suggested by Müller et al. [49]. These immunological dysfunctions did not correlate with the severity of psychotic symptoms, but were found associated with the presence symptoms of and activation and/or psychomotor agitation.

Signs of immunological activation observable during the acute phases of schizophrenic relapses are not only confined to peripheral blood, since increases of interleukin-2 levels (a
citokine which promotes and maintains the immune responses) have also been reported in CSF of schizophrenics in association with recurrence of acute psychotic symptoms [50]. Enhanced levels of these cytokines may lead to autoimmunity, since this finding is also present in autoimmune disorders such as systemic lupus eritematosus [51].

On the whole these reports suggest that schizophrenic patients, at least in some phases of the illness, may present an immune system activation to which some phenomena of autoimmunity could be linked. The pathophysiology which underlies these phenomena could be very similar to that described for similar findings of autoimmunity described in patients with affective disorders. This could partially explain the lack of homogeneity that characterizes the results of the studies so far performed on the autoimmune phenomena of schizophrenic patients, that could reflect the lack of homogeneity in the psychopathological status of the patients evaluated. This could be the case for some studies in which negative findings of autoimmunity were reported [52-54], since the authors studied inhomogeneous experimental samples, that were made up indifferently of patients in an acute phase or in remission. This could represent a source of bias, since the diagnostic homogeneity does not necessarily correspond to a functional homogeneity of the mechanisms underlying immune system activation. Variations in immune system functional parameters have in fact been reported during the course of schizophrenia [55, 56]. Whereas, when the inclusion of patients was based on the presence of acute psychotic symptoms, rather than on criteria of diagnostic homogeneity, both schizophrenic and affective patients showed the same signs of immune activation [57, 58]. Therefore during the acute phases of schizophrenia these signs, which may be similar to those described in affective patients [59-70], could foster autoimmune phenomena according to mechanisms similar to those described for affective disorders [71]. Since these signs of immune system activation are probably linked to a functional disinhibition of the HPA axis, which may be considered a part of the stress response, similar autoimmune phenomena could be detected also in non-psychotic psychiatric patients, in which similar dysregulations of this neuroendocrine axis have sometimes been described [72, 73]. We observed the presence of the anti-Purkinje autoantibody also in a subgroup of OCD patients of our psychiatric sample. In a study on OCD patients, Maes [74] observed a positive correlation between the plasma levels of IL-6 and the severity of OCD symptoms, as well as a negative correlation between sIL-2R and cortisol plasma levels. The presence of immune alterations in non-psychotic psychiatric patients was also reported in studies on panic disorder, in which patients presented higher IL-18 plasma levels [75] or changes in T and B cells and modified lymphocyte proliferative responses to mitogens [76, 77]. These data seem to suggest a unitary nature of many immune phenomena detected in psychiatric patients. In fact most of these, both in affective and schizophrenic patients, have been considered consequent to an increased secretion of interleukins [13, 78, 79]. The production of both IL-1 [80] and IL-6 [81] is also controlled by circulating adrenaline, thus suggesting that stress may affect in this way several aspects of immunity. These mechanisms also explain the stimulatory role of the sympatho-adrenal system on the primary antibody response, reported in rats exposed to an experimental stress condition [82, 83]. With regard to our study, autoimmune findings observed in our group of psychiatric patients, independently of their diagnoses, could be aspecific and linked to the high levels of stress associated to the presence of psychopathological activation. These symptoms, which often underlie the presence of a functional up-regulation of the HPA axis and of the sympatho-adrenal system, may therefore more frequently induce an immune system activation and lead to autoimmune phenomena.

Albeit its methodological shortcomings and limitations, we think that the results of this study nonetheless may suggest that many of the autoimmune findings so far observed in samples of psychiatric patients could be unrelated to the pathogenesis of their mental disorders, disconfirming several auto-Immune pathogenetic models often argued by several authors, specially in the field of schizophrenia [18, 84-86]. This conclusions however need to be confirmed by further investigations.
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