Flow Process Development and Optimization of A Suzuki-Miyaura Cross Coupling Reaction using Response Surface Methodology
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Abstract

A custom-made tubular flow reactor was utilized to develop a mathematical model and optimize the Suzuki-Miyaura cross coupling reaction. In this study, the experimentation was designed and executed through the statistical design of experiments (DoE) approach via response surface methodology. The effect of molar ratios of phenylboronic acid (¹) and 4-bromophenol (²), temperature, the catalyst tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium, and equivalence of aqueous tripotassium phosphate was studied in detail. The flow reactor profile was in good agreement with batch conditions and significant improvements to the overall reaction time and selectivity towards desired [1-1-biphenyl]-4-ol (³) was achieved. The Suzuki coupling reaction in batch condition would take on an average of 4 to 6 hours to complete, which was effectively accomplished in 60 to 70 minutes in this tubular reactor setup and could be operated continuously. The reaction model is in good agreement with the reaction conditions.
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1. Introduction

In recent years, the C–C bond formation through metal-catalyzed coupling reactions was explored in various ways. As this reaction being regularly used across chemical transformations in organic chemistry, involves coupling partners such as boronic acid and an organohalide [1–5]. This chemistry under homogeneous and heterogeneous conditions with various metal compositions enable the synthesis of complex and functionalized organic molecules [3–4]. This process is frequently utilized in the synthesis of substituted polyolefins, biphenyls, and styrenes. To name a few, metal-catalyzed coupling reactions such as Suzuki, Sonogashira, Heck, Buckwald-Hartwig, Negishi, and Kumuda have been demonstrated over the years [7–9,14–16]. These reactions are less toxic, inexpensive, easily prepared, and environment-friendly over other organostannane and organozinc compounds [9–10]. The Suzuki coupling mechanism follows a general catalytic cycle including three primary steps namely, oxidative addition, transmetalation, and reductive elimination [14]. The
hybrid and more effective processes meeting few green chemistries and sustainable principles are being worked out in academics and industrial setups [9−10]. Since the Suzuki Miyaura cross-coupling finds application in many fields including industrial chemicals and pharmaceuticals, developing various process technologies and optimization techniques find their importance. Out of the several advancements and approaches being tested, continuous flow processes are one among the technology that offers significant value to the product life cycle [11−14].

Continuous process development has witnessed a significant change in the last decade, and there is a spike in the number of publications across the industry sector, especially pharmaceutical and fine chemicals [17]. This flow technology is very familiar in the commodity chemicals and very recently being adapted to research and development and offers reproducibility, superior heat and mass transfer, low footprint, fast and effective mixing high reactor throughput, safety, waste minimization, energy efficiency and environmental impact [18−24]. The main advantages of adapting the flow processes at the research level would be considering the future of the process and product. The laboratory developed processes could be scaled to pilot and commercial scales with minimal resources, without considerably modifying the reaction conditions [25−27].

On the other side, various statistical methods enable the experimenter to develop and optimize the processes under fast-track mode. A simple and effective approach would be the design of experiments (DoE), which has gained importance across researchers to develop their experimental plan [28−33]. This approach offers minimum experimentation and enables the experimenter to establish a rational and relationship of factors and their interactions to conclude a meaningful outcome. The overall process provides proof to discover the unknown effect, determine or test the hypothesis, and prove with the mathematical numbers. In recent times, these methodologies were utilized in large numbers across research and development and manufacturing operations to gather maximum data with minimum efforts [34−39].

In the literature, the Suzuki coupling reaction was not well studied under flow conditions and minimal or no efforts towards process optimization exercise including mathematical model development. In short, the objective of the study is to develop a successful continuous flow process technology to transform an existing Suzuki coupling batch process to continuous and optimize the reaction conditions using response surface methodology.

Scheme 1. Reaction scheme and mechanism of Suzuki coupling reaction.
In the current study, a custom-made flow reactor was utilized to develop the model and optimize the reaction condition of a homogeneous Suzuki coupling reaction (Scheme 1). The synthesis of [1-1-biphenyl]-4-ol (3) was conducted using phenylboronic acid (1) and 4-bromophenol (2) in presence of catalyst tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium, aqueous tripotassium phosphate, and 1,4-dioxane as a solvent. The learnings from the batch process were transformed to develop, optimize, and intensify under flow conditions using a customized tubular flow reactor. A statistical DoE approach was used to design an experimental plan, model, and optimize the reaction under flow conditions. The benefits and opportunities were summarized.

2. Material and Methods

The chemicals phenylboronic acid (Merck, 95%) and 4-bromophenol (Merck, 96%), catalyst tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium (Merck, >99%), base tripotassium phosphate (Merck, ≥98%), and solvent 1,4-dioxane (Spectrochem, >99.5%).

2.1 Setup of Batch Reactor

A schematic representation of a batch reactor setup is shown in Figure 1. All the batch experiments were performed in a jacketed reactor setup equipped with a 100 mL reactor fitted with a coiled condenser. The pitch blade turbine was used as an agitator for conducting experiments. The head of the reactor had 4 ports, of which one was used for a temperature probe (T), another for the agitator shaft, a third for condenser along with nitrogen vent and fourth was for dosing reagents. The detailed experimental procedure is available in the supporting information.

2.2 Continuous Flow Process Design of Tubular Reactor Setup

The tubular flow reactor was fabricated using perfluoroalkoxy alkane (PFA) tubes with internal diameter 3.175 mm (supplied by Swagelok, Bengaluru) coiled with a definite volume of 30 mL rolled into a disc form, connected with a T-joint and a static mixer (supplied by Cole-Parmer, Bengaluru) and immersed into a bath circulator. Two pumps A and B (QG50, supplied by FMI, Inc, Chennai) were used to pump the reaction mixture at a definite flow rate. The open bath circulator (supplied by Huber, Germany) was used to control the temperature of the flow reactor. The schematic of the experimental setup is shown in Figure 2. A clean water test was conducted in this reactor to set the standard time for reaching equilibrium temperature. Around 15 min were allowed as a buffer temperature for each temperature change so that the temperature in the reactor is attained like the bath temperature. The variation was around 1.2 °C in a tubular flow reactor, which was corrected internally while running experiments.

To a 100 mL conical flask-A, prepared aqueous tripotassium phosphate added phenylboronic acid (1) and 1,4-dioxane. To another
conical flask-B, charged accurately weighed 4-bromophenol (2), 1,4-dioxane, and tetrakis followed by purging with nitrogen for 2 to 5 minutes and sealed the flask with rubber cork. The two metering pumps A and B were pre-calibrated based on the required flow rate and the residence time. The two conical flasks containing stock solutions were connected to pump A and pump B respectively. The discharge ends of these pumps were connected to a T-joint and a static mixer followed by a tubular flow reactor, which is externally heated through the circulator. The temperature of the circulator bath was maintained through the thermostat. The desired temperature was set on the thermostat, after achieving the temperature, simultaneously both the pumps were switched ‘ON’ and allowed reagents to pass through and react based on the pre-defined residence time. The flow rates of each pump were in the range of 0.275 and 0.224 mL/min, respectively. The residence time of around 60 minutes was kept constant for all the runs. The experiments were performed at three different temperatures, such as: 60, 80, and 100 °C. The molar ratios of 1, 1.15, and 1.3 of phenylboronic acid (1) and 4-bromophenol (2), were used with varying catalyst tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium equivalence and base tripotassium phosphate equivalence respectively. The experiments were executed as per the run order (Table 1). The output of the reactor was connected to a collection vessel. The samples were drawn directly from the tube end and analyzed through offline HPLC. The total reaction mixture was worked to develop, model, and optimize the reaction process control (IPC) data was used effectively randomize to minimize noise from the run order of the design pattern, which was already randomized to minimize noise from the software itself. To estimate the curvature effects and deviations in experimental reproducibility, if any, three center points were included for orthogonality. Every individual parameter was assigned with a high and a low value based on the observations from the screening experiments. To simplify the computations and analysis, each factor was codified as: (−1), (+1), and ‘0’ for minimum, maximum, and as center point average values, respectively.

2.4 Design of Experiments

The design of experiments (DoE) approach was used to design the experimental plan for the tubular reactor setup. A response surface methodology (RSM) was employed for designing the experimental plan. Four factors, such as: the molar ratio of phenylboronic acid (1) and 4-bromophenol (2), catalyst tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium equivalence, reaction temperature, the equivalence of base tripotassium phosphate, were considered. The solvent 1,4-Dioxane was kept constant at 30 volumes based on the batch size. The experimental design pattern was created using Design-Expert software, version 11.0. The design was a central composite orthogonal design with 3 center points, limited to main effects and interaction effects with a total of 21 experiments. These experiments were planned to investigate each effect of the parameters separately and in pairs. Every individual parameter was assigned with a high and a low value based on the observations from the screening experiments. To simplify the computations and analysis, each factor was codified as: (−1), (+1), and ‘0’ for minimum, maximum, and as center point average values, respectively.

The experiments were executed as per the run order of the design pattern, which was already randomized to minimize noise from the software itself. To estimate the curvature effects and deviations in experimental reproducibility, if any, three center points were included in the design pattern. Based on our understanding and confidence, the reaction in-process control (IPC) data was used effectively to develop, model, and optimize the reaction conditions and presented in this study. The responses, such as: yield and the controlling factors, were modeled and optimized using the RSM.

The value of star point, α, for orthogonality depends on the number of points in the factorial portion of the design which is given in Equation (1).
| Std | Run | Molar ratio (1:2) | Tetrakis, Eq. | Base Eq. | Temp, °C | Observed | Predicted |
|-----|-----|------------------|---------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| 20  | 1   | 1.15             | 0.04          | 2.50     | 80.00    | 86.41    | 83.34     |
| 6   | 2   | 1.00             | 0.02          | 3.00     | 60.00    | 55.03    | 54.12     |
| 18  | 3   | 1.15             | 0.04          | 2.50     | 80.00    | 83.70    | 83.34     |
| 17  | 4   | 1.15             | 0.04          | 2.50     | 80.00    | 80.95    | 83.34     |
| 7   | 5   | 1.00             | 0.06          | 3.00     | 100.00   | 88.23    | 87.32     |
| 12  | 6   | 1.15             | 0.07          | 2.50     | 80.00    | 90.14    | 90.75     |
| 5   | 7   | 1.30             | 0.02          | 2.00     | 100.00   | 88.69    | 88.73     |
| 10  | 8   | 1.40             | 0.04          | 2.50     | 80.00    | 89.87    | 90.48     |
| 15  | 9   | 1.15             | 0.04          | 2.50     | 47.00    | 67.00    | 67.60     |
| 13  | 10  | 1.15             | 0.04          | 1.66     | 80.00    | 89.69    | 89.17     |
| 19  | 11  | 1.15             | 0.04          | 2.50     | 80.00    | 82.18    | 83.34     |
| 14  | 12  | 1.15             | 0.04          | 3.34     | 80.00    | 73.29    | 75.03     |
| 9   | 13  | 0.90             | 0.04          | 2.50     | 80.00    | 73.11    | 73.72     |
| 21  | 14  | 1.15             | 0.04          | 2.50     | 80.00    | 84.89    | 83.34     |
| 4   | 15  | 1.00             | 0.06          | 2.00     | 100.00   | 92.12    | 92.16     |
| 16  | 16  | 1.15             | 0.04          | 2.50     | 113.00   | 91.25    | 91.86     |
| 1   | 17  | 1.30             | 0.06          | 3.00     | 60.00    | 80.96    | 80.05     |
| 8   | 18  | 1.00             | 0.02          | 2.00     | 60.00    | 64.78    | 64.82     |
| 11  | 19  | 1.15             | 0.01          | 2.50     | 80.00    | 68.07    | 68.68     |
| 2   | 20  | 1.30             | 0.06          | 2.00     | 60.00    | 90.71    | 90.75     |
| 3   | 21  | 1.30             | 0.02          | 3.00     | 100.00   | 82.24    | 81.32     |

Table 1. Experimental table with results from tubular flow reactor experiments.
where \( n_c \) is the number of points in the cube portion of the design, \( n_t \) is the number of star points, and \( n_o \) is the number of center points. Four variables or factors such as the molar ratio \( X_1 \) of phenylboronic acid (1) and 4-bromophenol (2), catalyst tetakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium \( X_2 \) equivalence, reaction temperature \( X_3 \), the equivalence of base tripotassium phosphate \( X_4 \) were considered. The ranges of independent variables were chosen based on the conditions from the variables screened before optimization. The ranges and levels used in the experimental work are given in Table 1. In the experimental design, all variables are coded for statistical calculation according to Equation (2).

\[
X_i = \frac{2X_i - (X_{max} + X_{min})}{X_{max} - X_{min}}
\]

where \( X_i \) is the dimensionless coded value of the \( i \)th variable, \( X \) is the natural value of the \( i \)th variable, \( X_{max} \) and \( X_{min} \) are the highest and the lowest limit of the \( i \)th variable respectively. The responses and the corresponding factors are modeled and optimized using the response surface methodology (RSM) [40].

A quadratic polynomial equation was developed to predict the response as a function of input variables and their interactions. The equations for 1-biphenyl]-4-ol (3), debrominated impurity (4), and dehydroxilated impurity (5) were established. In general, the response for the quadratic polynomials is described in Equation (3).

\[
Y = \beta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{2} \beta_j X_j + \sum_{j=1}^{2} \beta_j X_j^2 + \sum_{i<j} \beta_{ij} X_i X_j
\]

In this equation, \( Y \) is the predicted response, \( \beta_0 \) is the intercept coefficient, \( \beta_j \) are the linear terms, \( \beta_j \) are the squared terms, \( \beta_{ij} \) are the interaction terms, and \( X_i \) and \( X_j \) represent the independent variables. Three-dimensional response surfaces and contour plots were used for analyzing the experimental output concerning inputs. Coefficients of the models were estimated using multiple regression analysis. The fit quality of the model was judged from their coefficients of correlation and determination. The accuracy and each model were also checked with the analysis of variance (ANOVA) using F-test, p-value, and represented.

### 3. Results and Discussions

The synthesis of the compound \([1-1-biphenyl]-4-ol (3)\) was investigated as a representative procedure for the synthesis of the Suzuki coupling reaction (Scheme 1). Screening experiments were performed at 100 to 250 mg scale under batch conditions to understand the reaction behavior and factors affecting the reaction profile. The objective of this work was more centered and restricted to continuous flow process development with the data obtained from the batch experiments.

Batch experiments were performed at 1.0 g scale with temperatures 60 and 100 °C. The IPC samples were drawn at every 60 minutes and analysed through offline HPLC to estimate the conversions and reaction profile. In the end, the reaction mass was worked up to isolate as crude solid. The solids were further purified to support analytical method development. The first hour IPC showed a conversion of about 25 to 30 % with a selectivity of 18 to 23% towards compound \([1-1-biphenyl]-4-ol (3)\) respectively. The 100 and 60 °C experiments under standard baseline conditions completed in about 3 and 5 hours respectively. The reaction behavior was in good agreement with the screening experiments. The reaction conditions with higher temperatures were quick when compared to lower temperature conditions. The reaction conversion was more than 98% and selectivity towards compound \([1-1-biphenyl]-4-ol (3)\) was around 70 to 80% consistently. Overall, the reaction rate increases by 1 to 2-fold with a 60 to 100 °C increase in temperature. The impurities (4) and (5) were observed reasonably at higher levels in the range of 15% and 3% respectively. The final yields of \([1-1-biphenyl]-4-ol (3)\) were in the range of 80 to 85%. We believe the yields could be improved further with higher batch sizes, as handling losses could be minimized. These batch experiments provided us a good understanding of reaction behavior and kinetics to design our experimental plan for continuous flow process using a tubular reactor setup.

### 3.1 Discussion on Tubular Flow Reactor

The experimental result was tabulated in the design expert template to identify and analyze the significant factors and their effects in the formation of \([1-1-biphenyl]-4-ol (3), (4), and (5)\). Contour and surface plots for compound \([1-1-biphenyl]-4-ol (3), dibrominated impurity (4), and dehydroxilated impurity (5)\) were obtained from DoE analysis were represented in Figures.
3 to 11. Based on the analysis, it was found that the compound [1-1-biphenyl]-4-ol (3) increases with an increase in temperature, catalyst tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium equivalence, and the molar ratio of phenylboronic acid (1) and 4-bromophenol (2) and has an inverse impact with an increase in base tripotassium phosphate equivalence. On the other side, the level of debrominated impurity (4) was found to increase when the base tripotassium phosphate equivalence was loaded high, and all other factors kept at low levels. In the case of dehydroxilated impurity (5), which was found to be in the range of 0.2 to 1.9 A%, when all the factors at high levels, respectively.

3.2 Model Fitting and Optimization

An optimization exercise was undertaken with setting a constraint to each input parameter to maximize compound [1-1-biphenyl]-4-ol (3) and to minimize (4) and (5). The exper-
mental design matrix was of the central composite design of response surface methodology, presented in Table 1. The sequence of the experiment was randomized to minimize the effects of uncontrolled factors. The objective of the present study is to determine the optimal values of molar ratio ($X_1$) of phenylboronic acid (1) and 4-bromophenol (2), catalyst tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium ($X_2$) equivalence, reaction temperature ($X_3$), the equivalence of base tripotassium phosphate ($X_4$) to achieve maximum of [1-1-biphenyl]-4-ol (3) and to minimize impurities (4) and (5). The coefficients of the models developed in Equation (3) are estimated using multiple regression analysis techniques.

A selectivity of 87.24% [1-1-biphenyl]-4-ol (3), 10.67% debrominated impurity (4) and 0.28% dehydroxilated impurity (5) could be achieved with 1.3 molar ratio of phenylboronic acid (1) and 4-bromophenol (2), the temperature of 75.32 °C, 2.0 equivalence of base tripotassium phosphate ($X_4$).
tassium phosphate and 0.03 equivalence of tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium with the desirability of more than 87%. The predicted vs actual values were in good agreement. The model equations were derived for the compound [1-1-biphenyl]-4-ol (3), debrominated impurity (4), and dehydroxilated impurity (5) and presented as Equations (4–6).

\[
[1-1-\text{biphenyl}] - 4-\text{ol} = -64.37 + 121.35X_1 + 669.172X_2 - 5.20X_3 + 1.03X_4 - 87.52X_1X_2 - 4.27X_1X_3 - 0.366X_1X_4 + 32.05X_2X_3 - 0.8X_2X_4 + 0.11X_3X_4 - 19.42X_1^2 - 3201.6X_2^2 - 1.74X_3^2 - 0.003X_4^2
\] (4)

\[
\text{Debrominated impurity} = 153.11 - 106.33X_1 - 768.6X_2^2 + 5.75X_3 - 1.01X_4 + 181.8X_1X_2 + 3.99X_1X_3 + 0.33X_1X_4 - 29.89X_2X_3 + 0.73X_2X_4 - 0.09X_3X_4 + 13.49X_2^2 + 2703X_1^2 + 1.21X_1^3 + 0.002X_1^4
\] (5)

\[
\text{Dehydroxilated impurity} = -5.64 - 1.78X_1 - 5.46X_2 + 5.95X_3 - 0.011X_4 + 95.52X_1X_2 - 2.81X_1X_3 + 0.054X_1X_4 - 35.17X_2X_3 - 0.132X_2X_4 - 0.031X_3X_4
\] (6)

The relevance of the models is judged from the determination coefficient, R², which reveals a total variation of the observed values of activity about its mean. In this study, the regression coefficients are estimated with a satisfactory determination coefficient of R² = 0.98 for the [1-1-biphenyl]-4-ol (3), 0.99 for debrominated impurity (4) and 0.79 for dehydroxilated impurity (5). The R² value means a good agreement between the experimental and the predicted values of the fitted model. The adequacy of the empirical model was also checked by

**Figure 11.** Relation of predicted and observed values of dehydroxilated impurity.

**Table 2.** ANOVA study for [1-1-biphenyl]-4-ol empirical model fitting.

| Source               | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F Value | p-value Prob > F | Remarks |
|----------------------|----------------|----|-------------|---------|-----------------|---------|
| Model                | 2120.9         | 14 | 151.49      | 32.60   | 0.00017         | significant |
| X₁ - Molar Ratio     | 140.4          | 1  | 140.43      | 30.22   | 0.00152         |         |
| X₂ - Tetrakis        | 243.6          | 1  | 243.58      | 52.42   | 0.00035         |         |
| X₃ - Tripotassium phosphate | 241.5 | 1  | 241.45      | 51.96   | 0.00036         |         |
| X₄ - Temperature     | 294.1          | 1  | 294.09      | 63.29   | 0.00021         |         |
| X₁X₂                 | 0.2            | 1  | 0.23        | 0.05    | 0.83187         |         |
| X₁X₃                 | 0.8            | 1  | 0.82        | 0.18    | 0.68886         |         |
| X₁X₄                 | 4.0            | 1  | 4.00        | 0.86    | 0.38939         |         |
| X₂X₃                 | 0.8            | 1  | 0.82        | 0.18    | 0.68872         |         |
| X₂X₄                 | 0.3            | 1  | 0.34        | 0.07    | 0.79476         |         |
| X₃X₄                 | 10.5           | 1  | 10.48       | 2.26    | 0.18378         |         |
| X₁²                  | 2.9            | 1  | 2.85        | 0.61    | 0.46306         |         |
| X₂²                  | 24.5           | 1  | 24.51       | 5.27    | 0.06138         |         |
| X₃²                  | 2.8            | 1  | 2.85        | 0.61    | 0.46350         |         |
| X₄²                  | 24.2           | 1  | 24.24       | 5.22    | 0.06244         |         |
| Residual             | 27.9           | 6  | 4.65        | 1.00    | 0.44536 not significant |
| Lack of Fit          | 9.3            | 2  | 4.64        | 1.00    | 0.44536 not significant |
| Pure Error           | 18.6           | 4  | 4.65        | 1.00    | 0.44536 not significant |
| Cor Total            | 2148.8         | 20 |           |         |                  |         |

Copyright © 2020, BCREC, ISSN 1978-2993
analysis of variance (ANOVA) as presented in Table 2−4. In general, the calculated F-value should be greater than that of the tabulated value of the model or lower p-value to be considered as good fitting [40].

4. Conclusion

A custom-made tubular flow reactor in conjunction with multivariate DoE was effectively used to develop, model, and optimize the given Suzuki coupling reaction. The reaction profile from the flow reactor was in reasonable agreement with batch conditions and significant improvements to the overall reaction time and selectivity towards the desired compound [1-1-biphenyl]-4-ol (3) was achieved. The Suzuki coupling reaction in batch condition would take on an average of 4 to 6 hours to complete, which was effectively accomplished in 60 to 70 minutes in this tubular reactor setup and could be operated continuously. The results showed better selectively towards compound [1-1-biphenyl]-4-ol (3), with minimal impurities (4) and (5). In summary, we have found that the selectivity of compound [1-1-biphenyl]-4-ol (3)

Table 3. ANOVA study for dehydroxilated impurity empirical model fitting.

| Source         | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F-Value | p-value | Prob > F | Remarks   |
|----------------|----------------|----|-------------|---------|---------|----------|-----------|
| Model          | 2126.95        | 14 | 151.93      | 42.88   | 0.00008 | significant |
| $X_1$-Molar Ratio | 123.85         | 1  | 123.85      | 34.96   | 0.00104 |          |
| $X_2$-Tetrakis  | 291.89         | 1  | 291.89      | 82.39   | 0.00010 |          |
| $X_3$-Tripotassium phosphate | 198.61 | 1  | 198.61      | 56.06   | 0.00029 |          |
| $X_4$-Temperature | 276.90   | 1  | 276.90      | 78.15   | 0.00012 |          |
| $X_1X_2$       | 0.99           | 1  | 0.99        | 0.28    | 0.61878 |          |
| $X_1X_3$       | 0.72           | 1  | 0.72        | 0.20    | 0.66861 |          |
| $X_1X_4$       | 3.38           | 1  | 3.38        | 0.95    | 0.36645 |          |
| $X_2X_3$       | 0.71           | 1  | 0.71        | 0.20    | 0.66906 |          |
| $X_2X_4$       | 0.29           | 1  | 0.29        | 0.08    | 0.78552 |          |
| $X_3X_4$       | 7.24           | 1  | 7.24        | 2.04    | 0.20290 |          |
| $X_2^2$        | 1.37           | 1  | 1.37        | 0.39    | 0.55685 |          |
| $X_3^2$        | 17.47          | 1  | 17.47       | 4.93    | 0.06815 |          |
| $X_4^2$        | 1.38           | 1  | 1.38        | 0.39    | 0.55519 |          |
| $X_1^2$        | 21.26          | 1  | 21.26       | 6.00    | 0.04981 |          |
| Residual       | 21.26          | 6  | 3.54        |         |          |          |
| Lack of Fit    | 8.17           | 2  | 4.08        | 1.25    | 0.37928 | not significant |
| Pure Error     | 13.09          | 4  | 3.27        |         |          |          |
| Cor Total      | 2148.21        | 20 |             |         |          |          |

Table 4. ANOVA study for dehydroxilated impurity empirical model fitting.

| Source         | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F-Value | p-value | Prob > F | Remarks   |
|----------------|----------------|----|-------------|---------|---------|----------|-----------|
| Model          | 2.286          | 10 | 0.23        | 3.86    | 0.022   | significant |
| $X_1$-Molar Ratio | 0.048         | 1  | 0.05        | 0.81    | 0.389   |          |
| $X_2$-Tetrakis  | 0.076          | 1  | 0.08        | 1.29    | 0.283   |          |
| $X_3$-Tripotassium phosphate | 0.239 | 1  | 0.24        | 4.03    | 0.072   |          |
| $X_4$-Temperature | 0.405         | 1  | 0.41        | 6.83    | 0.026   |          |
| $X_1X_2$       | 0.272          | 1  | 0.27        | 4.59    | 0.058   |          |
| $X_1X_3$       | 0.356          | 1  | 0.36        | 6.01    | 0.034   |          |
| $X_1X_4$       | 0.090          | 1  | 0.09        | 1.51    | 0.247   |          |
| $X_2X_3$       | 0.990          | 1  | 0.99        | 16.70   | 0.002   |          |
| $X_2X_4$       | 0.009          | 1  | 0.01        | 0.16    | 0.699   |          |
| $X_3X_4$       | 0.137          | 1  | 0.14        | 2.32    | 0.159   |          |
| Residual       | 0.593          | 10 | 0.06        | 0.39    | 0.854   | not significant |
| Lack of Fit    | 0.219          | 6  | 0.04        | 0.39    | 0.854   | not significant |
| Pure Error     | 0.373          | 4  | 0.09        |         |          |          |
| Cor Total      | 2.878          | 20 |             |         |          |          |
increases with an increase in reaction temperature, catalyst tetrakis(triphenylphosphine) palladium equivalence and the molar ratio of phenylboronic acid (1) and 4-bromophenol (2) and has an inverse impact with an increase in base tripotassium phosphate equivalence. On the other side, the level of impurity (4) was found to increase when the base tripotassium phosphate equivalence was loaded high, and all other factors kept at low levels. In the case of impurity (5), which was found to be in the range of 0.2 to 1.9 A%, when all the factors at high levels respectively. Apart from the numerous benefits of the continuous process, we were able to develop a model that could allow us to play around various parameters to maximize the responses across the given boundary conditions. Furthermore, we could establish statistical significance across each parameter, their weights, and their effects concerning the compound [1-biphenyl]-4-ol (3), impurities (4), and (5). The reaction model was in good agreement with the reaction conditions. The initial practicability and development of the flow process for the Suzuki coupling were successfully demonstrated using customized tubular reactor setup, which could be further studied using precise equipment to fine-tune the process conditions for improved selectivity. The demonstrated methods could be used effectively to leverage the benefits of continuous process techniques over batch processes. We believe the process could be executed continuously without a break, readily scaled to kilogram quantities in a short period without further development.
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