INTRODUCTION

Percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy tube placements have become a common endoscopic procedure and a preferred route for long-term enteral nutrition in patients unable to tolerate oral intake while maintaining gut integrity.\(^1\) They offer several advantages over nasogastric tube feeds such as better tolerance of feeds, greater caloric intake, and weight gain.\(^2\) The insertion of PEG tubes are known to be a relatively safe procedure with the more common complications described being wound infection, tube blockage, accidental removal, and gastric outlet obstruction due to tube migration.\(^3\) Migration of the tube into the duodenum, resulting in pancreatitis due to the obstruction of the ampulla of Vater, is an uncommon complication of PEG tubes, which is discussed in this case. This report discusses the importance of radiological confirmation of tubes to prevent these rare complications.

CASE REPORT

A 52-year-old female patient presented with a 5-day history of vomiting with epigastric pain. Her past medical history included a previous history of stroke, for which her significant dysphagia resulted in dependence on percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy (PEG) feeds. She had previously had a laparoscopic cholecystectomy and no alcohol intake. Her PEG tube had been inserted 3 months prior with good tolerance of feeds. However, 2 weeks prior to this presentation, her tube had blocked at the nursing home and replaced bedside. Unfortunately, radiological confirmation of positioning was not performed at this time prior to the usage of the PEG for feeds.

On examination, she was maximally tender in the epigastrium. Her bloods demonstrated a lipase of 7365 U/L (upper limit of normal being 60 U/L), alkaline phosphatase 140 U/L, \(\gamma\)-glutamyl transferase 117 U/L, alanine aminotransferase 98 U/L, aspartate aminotransferase
78 U/L, and bilirubin 5 μmol/L. Her white cell count and C-reactive protein were 15.7 and 49, respectively. Her triglycerides, immunoglobulin G subtypes, and calcium levels were within normal ranges. Contrast-enhanced computed tomography of the abdomen demonstrated a PEG tube and balloon in the region of the second part of the duodenum with associated edema of the pancreas without any evidence of significant biliary dilatation (Figures 1 and 2). A magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) was performed to ensure no other causes of ductal obstruction, and it showed evidence of uncomplicated interstitial pancreatitis without evidence of other ductal pathology (Figure 3). She had no other obvious indicators of causes for the pancreatitis other than the PEG tube balloon in the duodenum. The patient’s balloon was deflated and pulled back 10 cm with subsequent CT imaging confirming appropriate position in the stomach. Her symptoms and inflammatory markers improved following readjustment of the PEG tube, and after a period of monitoring, she was successfully discharged.

**FIGURE 1** Computed tomography—axial view demonstrating the PEG tube balloon in the second portion of the Duodenum

**FIGURE 2** Computed tomography—coronal view demonstrating PEG tube balloon in the second portion of the Duodenum

**FIGURE 3** Coronal view post-retraction of PEG tube

**3 | DISCUSSION**

Gastrostomy tubes are increasingly being utilized to facilitate patients with no or minimal oral intake. Complication rates from G-tubes are known to be low, including bleeding, infection, formation of granulation tissue, and tube blockage. In particular, acute obstructive pancreatitis due to obstruction of major duodenal papilla are rare and infrequently reported in the literature, and in the Australian literature, none yet published. To our knowledge, there are limited reports of acute pancreatitis caused by PEG tube migration reported internationally as well. Migration has been associated with Foley catheter usage, using tubes without external bumpers or not performing radiological confirmation. Only one study performed an endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) to rule out other ductal pathology apart from the PEG tube. In our case, an MRCP was performed to ensure no other cause for pancreatitis could be determined. According to the available literature, majority of known cases of PEG tube pancreatitis were due to the use of Foley catheters, which have increased propensity to migrate due to external bumper dislocation. This case adds to the existing literature about lack of imaging following re-insertion of a gastrostomy tube as another potential contributing factor.
for iatrogenic pancreatitis. We have demonstrated that the appropriate management of pancreatitis secondary to PEG tube is to deflate the balloon and withdraw the tube, followed by repeating imaging to confirm appropriate positioning of the balloon. This management resulted in the patient’s prompt recovery. It is still imperative that other more common causes of pancreatitis are investigated for, and the diagnosis of PEG tube migration being the cause of pancreatitis is one of exclusion.

4 | CONCLUSION

This is the first published case of pancreatitis secondary to PEG tube migration in Australian literature. Although this is an uncommon complication of PEG tubes, obstructive pancreatitis due to PEG tube migration have previously been described and therefore should be considered as a potential cause in a patient with a PEG tube. This also raises the question of whether there is a role for radiological confirmation of feeding gastric tubes prior to usage to help prevent such complications. We know that PEG tubes generally have a balloon or bumper at the tip to prevent outward migration, and an external bumper to reduce the risk of inward migration. Other considerations include the use of a correct tube of appropriate length (perhaps with external markings to help guide the depth of insertion), and routinely checking that the external bumper is fit snug to the patient to minimize migration.\(^5\)
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