Composition and Hierarchical Organisation of a Spider Silk
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Albeit silks are fairly well understood on a molecular level, their hierarchical organisation and the full complexity of constituents in the spun fibre remain poorly defined. Here we link morphological defined structural elements in dragline silk of Nephila clavipes to their biochemical composition and physicochemical properties. Five layers of different make-ups could be distinguished. Of these only the two core layers contained the known silk proteins, but all can vitally contribute to the mechanical performance or properties of the silk fibre. Understanding the composite nature of silk and its supra-molecular organisation will open avenues in the production of high performance fibres based on artificially spun silk material.

INTRODUCTION

Spider silks display extraordinary mechanical features [1] and the toughness of some dragline or major ampullate silks even rival man-made high-tech fibres [2]. The major constituents of silks are proteins termed spidroins, which are synthesized in specialized glands and are of high molecular weight ranging 200–350 kDa in size [3]. Orb weaving spiders can produce up to seven different silk types and their fibres are composed of one or two spidroins, all of which are encoded by members of one gene family [4]. Spidroins show a modular structure consisting of a long repetitive sequence that is flanked by non-repetitive conserved N- and C-terminal regions [4,5]. Repetitions are formed by tandemly arranged sequence blocks ~150–500 amino acids in length that either represent themselves the basic repetition units or that can be subdivided into shorter ensemble repeats and repetitive amino acid motifs [6–8].

The properties of dragline silk are believed to depend equally on both the molecular design of its two main protein components termed major ampullate spidroins 1 (MaSp1) and 2 (MaSp2) and the hierarchical organisation of structural elements [9–12]. Both major ampullate silks are rich in glycine and alanine residues which form short GGX, GA and GPGXX (X, subset of amino acids) as well as poly-A motifs [6]. Poly-A and GA sequences organize into stacked anti-parallel β-pleated sheets that form crystallites, which are essentially randomly oriented [13,14]. The amido amide interactions of the protein backbones in the β-sheets are thought to give a strong molecular cohesion and thus confer strength to the fibre. These crystalline areas are embedded in an amorphous matrix that is formed by the glycine enriched motifs (GGX in MaSp 1, GPGXX in MaSp 2), which adopt 31-helical and type II β-turn structures [15]. These amorphous regions are believed to confer elasticity to the fibre [3,15].

Based on morphological evidence a hierarchical organisation of the fibre into distinct structural elements has been reported, in particular an inner core was discriminated from an outer skin layer and fibrils running parallel to the fibre axis have been observed [11,16,17]. However, the bona fide existence and the total number of different structural elements as well as their origin are still contentious issues [9–11]. As long as biochemical data on such structures are missing models based on these must remain vague.

Due to silk’s biomimetic potential attempts are ongoing to mass produce parts of the known repetitive sequences of spidroins and to spin the material into fibres [18–21]. However, the native properties of silk have not been matched yet. This might in part be due to the fact that no full length sequences have been expressed, since N- and C-termini might contribute to fibre formation [6]. On the other hand, the spinning process is believed to also vitally contribute to the properties of native spider silk and influence its supra-molecular structure [22]. For example, the major ampullate silk’s properties vary significantly in dependence of the spinning speed [23,24]. For producing the dragline this type of silk is fast spun, resulting in a stiff fibre that can support the body weight of the spider. In contrast, if the silk is used as the frame thread of the orb-web, it is spun with an order of magnitude slower speed and displays more extensibility, which is needed to dissipate the energy of prey impact on the web [25]. In order to design a successful spinning strategy a profound knowledge of the composition and structure of the silk fibres in addition to the complete primary structure of their protein constituents seems therefore imperative. Here we report on the biochemical nature of distinct structural elements and integrate previous reported morphological data into a revised model of silk structure and its properties.

RESULTS

Morphological appearance of dragline silk

Transmission Electron (TEM) and Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) micrographs of cross-sectioned dragline silk fibres from the spider Nephila clavipes confirmed a core-skin structure, but revealed in addition two thin layers on top of the skin (Figure 1A and B). The exterior of these two layers is a membrane like material with
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The more interior of the outer two layers exhibited a thickness of approximately 40–100 nm and was distinguished in TEM images by its strong osmiophilic nature that is a strong staining above the level of the section surface hinting to a more rigid structure of this part (Figure 1B). The elevation was caused by the knife during ultra sectioning at sites where it encountered more rigid material and higher forces.

The core layer comprises most of the fibre. Speckles of higher contrast are visible throughout the core’s extension in TEM images (Figure 1A). In AFM numerous cavities were visible (Fig. 1B). The material was less elevated than the skin and hence of a softer nature.

Biochemical composition of structural elements

A lipid character of the outermost layer was indicated by the weak staining with the lipophilic dye oil red (Figure 2A). This staining was lost by ether extraction coincident with a loss in the layer (Figure 1C). The material did not show any prominent protein bands in western blots nor was the material reactive to Concavalin A or any of our employed silk specific sera, which included reactivity against the repetitive parts of MaSp1 (S1Rx) and MaSp 2 (S2Rx) and native silk (S-pbs) (Figure 3). The layer therefore is likely to consist of previously described lipids [27] and we refer to it as the lipid coat.

Glycosylation that has been previously demonstrated for dragline silk [28] was in the majority associated with the next inward layer, since its removal by vigorous washing lead to a great reduction of Concavalin A reactivity on the surface of the fibre (Figure 2B). In addition the material of this layer was most strongly reactive to Concavalin A in western blots (Figure 3). The proteins extracted from that layer showed sizes above 200 kDa similar to the subjacent core material (Figure 1A). This layer was tightly attached to the inwards material, since it could be removed only by flash freeze-thaw cycles and hence by high stress to the fibre (Figure 1C). In AFM the material of this layer appeared elevated above the level of the section surface hinting to a more rigid structure of this part (Figure 1B). The elevation was caused by the knife during ultra sectioning at sites where it encountered more rigid material and higher forces.

The core layer comprises most of the fibre. Speckles of higher contrast are visible throughout the core’s extension in TEM images (Figure 1A). In AFM numerous cavities were visible (Fig. 1B). The material was less elevated than the skin and hence of a softer nature.

Biochemical composition of outer layers

The surface of this layer was revealed by its strong affinity to a lipophilic dye oil red staining reaction (Figure 2A). The layer was almost exclusively composed of glycoproteins and the skin and hence of a softer nature.

The layer was tightly attached to the subjacent core material (Figure 1A). This layer was tightly attached to the inwards material, since it could be removed only by flash freeze-thaw cycles and hence by high stress to the fibre (Figure 1C). In AFM the material of this layer appeared elevated above the level of the section surface hinting to a more rigid structure of this part (Figure 1B). The elevation was caused by the knife during ultra sectioning at sites where it encountered more rigid material and higher forces.

The core layer comprises most of the fibre. Speckles of higher contrast are visible throughout the core’s extension in TEM images (Figure 1A). In AFM numerous cavities were visible (Fig. 1B). The material was less elevated than the skin and hence of a softer nature.

Biochemical composition of outer layers

A lipid character of the outermost layer was indicated by the weak staining with the lipophilic dye oil red (Figure 2A). This staining was lost by ether extraction coincident with a loss in the layer (Figure 1C). The material did not show any prominent protein bands in western blots nor was the material reactive to Concavalin A or any of our employed silk specific sera, which included reactivity against the repetitive parts of MaSp1 (S1Rx) and MaSp 2 (S2Rx) and native silk (S-pbs) (Figure 3). The layer therefore is likely to consist of previously described lipids [27] and we refer to it as the lipid coat.

Glycosylation that has been previously demonstrated for dragline silk [28] was in the majority associated with the next inward layer, since its removal by vigorous washing lead to a great reduction of Concavalin A reactivity on the surface of the fibre (Figure 2B). In addition the material of this layer was most strongly reactive to Concavalin A in western blots (Figure 3). The proteins extracted from that layer showed sizes above 200 kDa similar to the subjacent core material (Figure 1A). This layer was tightly attached to the inwards material, since it could be removed only by flash freeze-thaw cycles and hence by high stress to the fibre (Figure 1C). In AFM the material of this layer appeared elevated above the level of the section surface hinting to a more rigid structure of this part (Figure 1B). The elevation was caused by the knife during ultra sectioning at sites where it encountered more rigid material and higher forces.

The core layer comprises most of the fibre. Speckles of higher contrast are visible throughout the core’s extension in TEM images (Figure 1A). In AFM numerous cavities were visible (Fig. 1B). The material was less elevated than the skin and hence of a softer nature.

Biochemical composition of outer layers

A lipid character of the outermost layer was indicated by the weak staining with the lipophilic dye oil red (Figure 2A). This staining was lost by ether extraction coincident with a loss in the layer (Figure 1C). The material did not show any prominent protein bands in western blots nor was the material reactive to Concavalin A or any of our employed silk specific sera, which included reactivity against the repetitive parts of MaSp1 (S1Rx) and MaSp 2 (S2Rx) and native silk (S-pbs) (Figure 3). The layer therefore is likely to consist of previously described lipids [27] and we refer to it as the lipid coat.

Glycosylation that has been previously demonstrated for dragline silk [28] was in the majority associated with the next inward layer, since its removal by vigorous washing lead to a great reduction of Concavalin A reactivity on the surface of the fibre (Figure 2B). In addition the material of this layer was most strongly reactive to Concavalin A in western blots (Figure 3). The proteins extracted from that layer showed sizes above 200 kDa similar to the subjacent core material (Figure 1A). This layer was tightly attached to the inwards material, since it could be removed only by flash freeze-thaw cycles and hence by high stress to the fibre (Figure 1C). In AFM the material of this layer appeared elevated above the level of the section surface hinting to a more rigid structure of this part (Figure 1B). The elevation was caused by the knife during ultra sectioning at sites where it encountered more rigid material and higher forces.

The core layer comprises most of the fibre. Speckles of higher contrast are visible throughout the core’s extension in TEM images (Figure 1A). In AFM numerous cavities were visible (Fig. 1B). The material was less elevated than the skin and hence of a softer nature.
the known spidroins, but reacted with none of the silk specific sera. Due to its high glycoprotein content we refer to this layer as the glyco coat.

The material of the next inward skin layer is composed of proteins that mostly displayed molecular weights similar to the known MaSps, but that were immunological distinct to them (Figure 3). They reacted exclusively with sera derived against native silk (S-pbs), but not with those specific for MaSp 1 (S1Rx) and 2 (S2Rx). The material was also reactive to Concavalin A, albeit to a lesser extent than the glycoprotein layer. Interestingly, two of the protein bands slightly exceeded the sizes of the known major ampullate spidroins.

The inner material is represented by the core and is made up by the known spidroins since it reacted with all silk specific sera (Figure 3). The core material reacted in addition with Concavalin A, but like the skin to a weaker extent than the glycoprotein layer.

Distribution of different components

The restriction of the two known MaSps to the core was proved by staining laser ablated fibres and fibre cross-sections with silk specific antibodies. Antibodies specific for MaSp 1 (S1Rx) and MaSp 2 (S2Rx) reacted exclusively with the inner material at lesions, but not the surface of the fibre (Figure 4A). In contrast, antibodies specific for native silk (S-pbs) were reactive to both sites. Specificity of the reaction was provided by pre-immune serum (PIS) that was negative in either case. In TEM cross-sections a subdivision of the core into an outer and inner layer with extensions of 300–400 nm and 1800–2300 nm, respectively, based on the distribution of the two known spidroins was evident. MaSp 1 was homogeneously located throughout the core, whereas MaSp 2 was found exclusively within the inner region in a more clustered staining pattern in accordance to previous findings (Figure 4B) [29]. MaSp 1 and 2 epitopes were absent from the skin, suggesting their absence from this layer. Although we cannot rule out epitope masking by chemical modification or differential polymerisation, we want to point out that the skin material was neither reactive to silk sera specific for the conserved C-termini of the MaSps [30] nor to any of the other MaSp specific sera after its solubilisation (Figure 3). This strongly suggests that the skin is not formed by the two known spidroins. Proteins differed also between the skin and the glyco layer since antibodies directed against native silk did not react with the latter, but exclusively with the skin and the core.

Glycosylation was evident in the glyco and skin layers as well throughout the core. Reactivity of Concavalin A per area was strongest for the glyco layer, followed by the skin and the core in accordance to their reactivity in western blots (Figure 3). This indicates different degrees of glycosylation of the different layers.

Physicochemical properties of layers

Evidence for a robust nature of the skin was found in experiments where filaments were treated with chaotropic agents or acids. In both cases the skin showed increased resistance to these chemicals compared to the core (Figure 5A and B). In acids, in dependence of their strength, a two step dissolution process of a dragline was observed (Figure 5A). In the first step (HAc:HCl ratios down to 8:2) the core material condensed to light diffracting filaments that detached from the outer skin and kinked. Accompanying to filament detachment was the expansion of the fibre diameter. In the second phase using higher HCl concentrations the inner filaments were dissolved and the outer skin ruptured. These processes hinted to a substantial pressure built-up inside the fibre. The skin deformed plastically since even in cases, where the core material dissolved and depleted from the inner volume as was the case with lithium thiocyanate (LiSCN), it did not contract to its original size (Figure 5B). Interestingly, the dissolution behaviour of minor ampullate silk was very similar to that of the skin. It seemed to be unaffected at low and dissolved only at high concentrations of acids and chaotropic solutions (Figure 5).

If treated with hexafluorisopropanol (Hfip) grooves on the surface became obvious that first ran parallel and at later time points of treatment also perpendicular to the fibre axis (Figure 5C). At restricted sites where Hfip was able to attack and peel of the
Urea treatment induced swelling of the fibre resulting in diameters of ~8 µm without dissolving the material (Figure 5D). Obviously, the core material expanded against the resistance of the skin. Further evidence for this assumption was provided by the observed slight conical forms of the cut ends of fibres soaked in 8 M urea (Figure 6A). The ends were tapered since the pressure was less strong at these sites than within the fibre [31].

Structural organisation of the core

Particularly by video contrast microscopy (VCM) of urea treated and Coomassie stained samples fibrils were evident, which were oriented parallel to the fibre axis (Figure 5D). These fibrils could be noticed most pronounced at the fractured end of fibres. The resolution of spatial image microscopy attributed these fibrils to the inner of the expanded filament (Figure 6A). Bundling of the fibrils became especially obvious at the ends of fractured silk (Figure 6B). Fibrils within the bundles appeared twisted.

Solubilisation of MaSp 1 and 2 components within the fibre

If dragline was incubated in urea or chloride salts of lithium (LiCl) and guanidinium (GdmCl) only a swelling of the fibre was noticed (Figure 5D for urea; data not shown for LiCl and GdmCl). There and guanidinium (GdmCl) only a swelling of the fibre was noticed if dragline was incubated in urea or chloride salts of lithium (LiCl) and guanidinium (GdmCl) only a swelling of the fibre was noticed if dragline was incubated in urea or chloride salts of lithium (LiCl) and guanidinium (GdmCl) only a swelling of the fibre was noticed. There were no noticeable protein quantity solubilised in these cases (Figure 7A lanes 2, 4 and 7). In contrast hexafluoropropanol (Hfip) and lithium bromide (LiBr) extracted high molecular weight material (Figure 7A lanes 3 and 5). The material reacted with silk specific sera (shown for S1Rx in Figure 7B; data not shown for S2Rx and S-pbs) identifying the material as major ampullate spidroins. For both solvents, fragmentation of the high molecular weight material became only obvious after extended incubation (>24 hrs) as exemplified in Figure 7. No fragmentation was obvious for shorter incubation periods in accordance to previous results [26]. In contrast treatment with thiocyanate salts of lithium (LiSCN) and guanidinium (GudmSCN) lead to a rapid fragmentation as demonstrated previously for LiSCN (data not shown) [26]. Depending on the length of incubation fragments became increasingly smaller (data not shown). Long incubation times eventually resulted in a complete break-down of the high molecular weight material into small peptides below 30 kDa in size (Figure 7A lanes 6 and 8). The silk specific antibodies identified these peptides as MaSp 1 and 2 derivates (Figure 7B).

DISCUSSION

Hierarchical structure of silk

Whereas the dragline fibre of Nephila clavipes looked unstructured in transmission electron microscopy (TEM), the dragline of N. edulis showed the existence of a multilayer assembly [10,11,32]. Based on additional evidence by atomic force microscopy (AFM) and video contrast microscopy (VCM) a model was proposed that divides the dragline thread or filament into a core and a skin [17,31]. We provide strong and independent evidence for such a core-skin structure for N. clavipes. First, the skin could be mechanically separated from the core by freeze-thaw cycles (Figure 1) [33]. Second, the skin showed increased resistance to chaotropic agents and acids compared to the core (Figure 5). And third, the skin was biochemically distinct from the core (Figure 2). We also provide a biochemical basis for the subdivision of the core into an outer and inner region [17]. The confinement of MaSp 2 to the inner part of the core matched in its extension an area of decreased β-sheet content [34] (Figure 4). Grooves that became evident on the surface of the fibre after treatment with hexafluoropropanol might match an interwoven fibrillar network with the expanded filament (Figure 6A). Bundling of the fibrils became especially obvious at the ends of fractured silk (Figure 6B). Fibrils within the bundles appeared twisted.
reported for the skin (Figure 5C) [31]. However, since the skin like remnants of the dissolution process did not exhibit such structures, we believe them to be the result of an imprint of the inner core material onto the outer skin and rather remain in line with studies reporting a uniform skin surface [17,35,36]. We attribute fibrils running parallel to the fibre axis to the core area based on spatial image microscopy (Figure 6A). The optical resolution of this technique was however not sufficient to estimate the diameters of the fibrils. We believe that they correspond to fibrils seen in the core of the draglines of various spiders that varied in diameter between 100 and 150 nm and the silk of the moth Bombyx mori [16,17,28,36,37]. Interestingly, fibrils were twisted (Figure 6B). Similar to a twisted cable rope, crack propagation will be minimized with such an arrangement [28,36].

The existence of bona fide fibrils has been, however, questioned since the dragline did not display a typical kinking that should be observed if material consisting of highly oriented fibrils is compressed [9]. Such a lack in kinking could, however, be easily explained by the presence of the skin and its plasticity. Thus, fibrils seemed not purely the artificial result of longitudinal fractures in the highly oriented thread material, caused for example by breaks and ruptures in the silk material, but rather a consequence of the inbuilt material heterogeneities.

### Table 1. Compositions and functions of silk layers from the dragline of N. clavipes

| layer          | radial dimension (nm) | composition                                      | function                        |
|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| lipid coat     | 10–20                 | lipids                                           | protection, social aspects      |
| glyco coat     | 40–100                | glycoproteins related to spidroins                | protection, pliancy             |
| skin           | 50–100                | MSp-like proteins                                | water balance, support          |
| outer core     | 300–400               | MaSp 1                                           | tensile strength, rigidity       |
| inner core     | 1800–2300             | MaSp 1 and 2                                     | tensile strength, elasticity     |

MiSp: minor ampullate spidroin; MaSp: major ampullate spidroin

The core contained the two known spidroins. The outer core area was shown previously to possess increased β-sheet content and it was suggested to have a different protein composition than the core [17,34]. Indeed the outer core was devoid of MaSp 2 (Figure 4), which due to its proline content was predicted to impede in the formation of β-sheet structures, to reduce the lateral growth of crystalline areas, and to be excluded from areas of high β-sheet content [10,23,29]. The inner core showed the presence of cavities termed canaliculi in AFM (Figure 1B) and TEM [28,36]. Their spherical precursor droplets and MaSp 2 were argued to represent weak points in the inner core that render the material more prone to fibril formation when a load is applied [22,29]. If fibrils are formed during the formation of the fibre as it is

Composition of layers and their contribution to silk performance

The complexity in the morphology of spider dragline silk of *N. clavipes* was mirrored in an equally complex composition of the underlying structures (Figures 1, 2 and 3). Each of the five different layers possessed some unique compounds and performed different functions within the silk fibre (Figure 8 and Table 1). We have divided the fibre into a shell and a core. The shell comprises a lipid coat, a glyco coat and the skin. The core is bipartite and can be subdivided into an inner and outer region.

The outermost layer is the lipid coat. The absence of proteins and its staining with a lipophilic dye suggests that this layer is exclusively formed by lipids (Figures 2 and 3). It is most likely identical to a membrane like lipid layer in *N. edulis* [11]. Lipids may provide some protection against environmental impact and micro-organisms but their main function is to serve as carriers for pheromones in sex and species recognition [27]. Its loose attachment suggests that the lipid coat will not substantially contribute to the mechanical performance of the fibre (Figure 1).

Due to its tighter attachment than the lipid layer the glyco layer seems more likely to function as the interface of the fibre to its environment and may provide protection against microbial attack. In addition, due to its high content in glycoproteins (Figure 2), this layer could be indirectly important for the mechanical strength in regulating the water balance, which has an impact on the contraction state of the fibre [38]. It may also act as a lubricant to add pliancy to the fibre. The proteins of the glyco layer are different to those from the skin and core, albeit their molecular weights are comparable suggesting a higher complexity in the protein components of a silk fibre than presently anticipated (Figure 3).

The high resistance of the skin to chaotropic agents and acids argues for a dual role of this layer (Figure 5). First, it provides protection against environmental damage by chemical agents and microbial activities. Second, it represents a workable structure that adds plasticity to the fibre and acts as a mechanical support confining the core material. The skin contained silk proteins of equal and higher molecular weight than the known spidroins (Figure 3). A certain length restriction might therefore be required for all silk proteins for efficient alignment and aggregation [6]. Skin proteins were glycosylated to a lesser extent than the silk proteins from the glyco layer. The sugar components nevertheless may affect the alignment and aggregation behaviour of the spidroins in dependence of the pH environment in the duct [26]. Since the chemical resistance of the skin was similar to the minor ampullate filaments putative skin spidroins might bear primary structure designs similar to minor ampullate spidroins (MiSp) [39].

The skin could be separated from the inward material only by harsh treatments, preferentially flash freeze-thaw cycles, suggesting a tight association with the core proteins.

The core area was shown previously to possess increased β-sheet content and it was suggested to have a different protein composition than the core [17,34]. Indeed the outer core was devoid of MaSp 2 (Figure 4), which due to its proline content was predicted to impede in the formation of β-sheet structures, to reduce the lateral growth of crystalline areas, and to be excluded from areas of high β-sheet content [10,23,29]. The inner core showed the presence of cavities termed canaliculi in AFM (Figure 1B) and TEM [28,36]. Their spherical precursor droplets and MaSp 2 were argued to represent weak points in the inner core that render the material more prone to fibril formation when a load is applied [22,29]. If fibrils are built already during the formation of the fibre as it is
actively drawn by the spider or in later use is currently unknown. The predicted properties of the two spidroins [3,10,23] and molecular models of dragline silk [15,40,41] suggest at any rate that the outer core as well as the fibrils should have a higher degree of molecular orientation with higher coherence and hence should be more rigid, whereas the MaSp 2 rich interspaces between the fibrils should form a less well organized and more flexible matrix [29].

MaSp 1, however, seemed to be missing in the draglines produced by spiders of the genera *Araneus*. Instead two types of MaSp 2 proteins (MaSp 2a and MaSp 2b, also designated ADF-3 and ADF-4 in *A. diadematus*) have been identified [42], which up to now presents a unique situation for araneoid spiders [43]. Nevertheless, albeit both MaSp2s are rich in proline, their aggregation behaviour and hydrophaticity characteristics are quite distinct [43,44]. ADF-3 is more hydrophilic and remained soluble if expressed in insect cells, whereas ADF-4 sequences readily aggregated and formed filaments. In this respect, ADF-4 and ADF-3 strongly resemble MaSp 1 and MaSp 2, respectively, in their biophysical characteristics [43]. Proline containing proteins do not abolish but only hinder in β-sheet formation. They will eventually be incorporated in β-crystals in the absence of non-proline containing spidroins, although the overall energy costs will be higher to stretch the molecules for perfect parallel alignment. We would therefore predict a similar polymerisation mechanism of MaSp 2b and 2a compared to the one discussed for MaSp 1 and 2 and as a result a likeness in the structural organisation of the resulting fibre. This is supported by the nearly identical mechanical performances and dissolution characteristics against chaotropic agents of the different dragline types (Figure 7) [1,43].

**Implications for fibre spinning**

The protein feedstock for dragline silk is produced and stored in the major ampullate gland [22]. The gland shows regionalization and can be divided into an A-, intermediate and B-zone, the latter leading over to the duct [45]. B-zone extracts contained some rich proteins that were higher in molecular weight than those isolated from the A-zone [26]. Their sizes and reactivity to silk specific sera matched proteins extracted from the skin (Figure 3). We therefore want to suggest that in the B-zone the bulk of the spinning dope consisting of MaSp1 and 2 is produced, which will compose the core of the fibre, while the B-zone secretes the skin proteins. In line with a glycosylation of the skin proteins are positive reactions of B-zone material with the Schiff’s base [22,46]. After exiting the gland into the duct the spinning dope adopts the state of a nematic crystalline solution, which facilitates protein alignment and assembly [47,48]. Within the gland lumen and throughout the duct MaSp 1 and 2 are homogeneously distributed and they seem to partially separate into different compartments as the β-sheet content increases at the peripheral regions [29]. The outer skin proteins seem not to mix with the MaSpS and they might therefore be more prone to assembly, which will be enhanced by the higher shear forces near the duct walls. As a matter of fact, the skin showed the highest oriented protein chains of the whole fibre [49]. Induced by the shear flow, pH alterations and variations in specific ions combined with water elimination, polymerisation of spidroins continues into the central part of the dope [29]. Prior to the emergence of the solid fibre an extensional flow triggers conformational changes and chain alignment [34]. The final crystallisation occurs outside the spinneret by a draw down of the fibre [50]. Due to their looser attachments to the inward material, the glyco and lipid layers are likely to be added just prior to the exit of the silk material as coatings for protection and ecological purposes.

As a consequence dragline silk represents a composite material of high complexity. By altering its composition the spider is able to achieve better silk functionality and to facilitate spinning in adaptation to changing environmental conditions [51]. The primary structure of silk and the spinning conditions are likely to have an equally important impact on both micro- and supramolecular structures of silk, which in turn define the mechanical properties of the fibre. A detailed understanding of the higher order organisation of silk will therefore be as important as full length spidroin sequences to produce synthetic fibres that biomimic spider silk’s strength and toughness. So far all attempts to artificially spin a fibre of comparable quality have failed, possibly because we still have to learn to appreciate the contribution of less prominent compounds and synergic effects that are typical for composites.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Spiders**

Livestock breeding and silking of the golden orb weaving spider *Nephila clavipes* were done as described [26].

**Microscopic investigations**

Technical details for light microscopy (LM) [28], video contrast microscopy (VCM) [31], laser scanning microscopy (LSM) [29], transmission electron microscopy (TEM) [17] and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) [52] have been outlined previously. For atomic force microscopy (AFM) ultra thin sections of dragline embedded in LR White [30] were adsorbed to glimmer. AFM measurements were performed with a NanoScope II device from Digital Instruments (Santa Barbara/California, USA) in the tapping mode [17]. Spatial (high resolution stereo) image microscopy (SIM) was performed on an Axiotech microscope (Carl Zeiss Jena, Germany).

**Silk fibre manipulations**

For manipulations silk fibres were either fixed prior to or after different treatments to glass slides (LSM, TEM) as well as glass squares (5×5 mm), glimmer or aluminium blocks (SEM) by conductive silver adhesive or nail varnish. Bulk fibres were treated with different solvents in tubes, whereas solvents were overlaid onto the fixed fibres. Reagents used were the chaotropic agents lithium thiocyanate (LiSCN) at different concentrations, 8 M urea with and without Coomassie Brilliant Blue G250 staining (0.1% in PBS) and hexafluorosipronol (Hfp, 99+%) or a mixture of acetic acid (HAc) and hydrochloric acid (HCl) at different ratios. At various times samples were analysed by phase contrast LM using a CCD camera, by VCM or SEM.

Solubilisation experiments were carried out by incubation of approximately 1 mg of dragline silk in 1ml solution. Used solvents were 8M urea, 99% Hexafluorosipronol (Hfp), saturated lithium chloride (LiCl), saturated lithium bromide (LiBr), saturated lithium thiocyanate (LiSCN), 6 M guanidinium hydrochloride (GdmHCl) and 6 M guanidinium isothiocyanate (GdmSCN). After 24 hrs incubation in the respective solvent the sample was centrifuged at 14 000 g to remove any particulate matter and the supernatant was dialysed against 8 M urea, 99% Hexafluorosipronol (Hfp, 99+%) or a mixture of acetic acid (HAc) and hydrochloric acid (HCl) at different ratios. At various times samples were analysed by phase contrast LM using a CCD camera, by VCM or SEM.
The setup for laser ablation experiments was as detailed elsewhere [33]. The silk was exposed to a laser beam with pulse energy in the range of ~1–5 μJ in the focal plane and a pulse repetition rate between 1 and 10 Hz. Experiments were recorded on S-VHS video film with a professional Sony (SVO-9500 MDP) videocassette recorder.

Silk staining
Water and ether treated fibres were stained for 20 min. in a 0.2% oil red solution in 60% 2-propanol (Merek, Darmstadt). After a brief wash in 60% 2-propanol and a bidest., fibres were analysed in transmitted light by LM.

Silk fibres were decorated with antibodies or lectins using the following work-flow: brief wash in phosphate buffered saline (PBS); saturation of unspecific binding sites for 20 minutes in PBS/2% bovine serum albumin (BSA); 3 brief washes with PBS; incubation with the primary antibody (1:10 up to 1:1000 dilution) or biotinylated Concanavalin A (Con A; Pierce) (1 mg/ml in a 1:100 dilution) for 2 hours; 3 brief washes in PBS; incubation with a fluorescein labelled secondary antibody (Dianova, 1:1000) or streptavidin (1 mg/ml in a 1:100 dilution) coupled to gold particles (Strept-Au48) for 1 hour; 3 brief washes in PBS; mounting and analysis by fluorescent LM or SEM.

Decoration of ultra-thin sections with antibodies and analysis by TEM were performed as described [30].

Separation of different silk layers
For TEM investigations silk fibres were attached to the rim of reaction tubes by adhesive tape. Fibres were first treated two times for 10 minutes in ether with gentle shaking followed by washing in water (removal of lipids). Next fibres were 10 times vigorously washed by vortexing in 0.1% Triton-X-100 (removal of glycoprotein coat). In the next step, fibres were 10 times flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. After each freezing cycle, fibres were washed in water (removal of skin layer). Cross-sections of fibres were prepared for each step and analysed by TEM.

For gel electrophoresis fibres were extracted in bulk twice with ether. The extracts were combined, the ether evaporated and the dried material suspended in Hfip (lipid fraction). Then the fibres were washed twice gently in water and mechanically sheared using a Polytron device. After that, fibres were vigorously washed 10 times by vortexing in 0.1% Triton X-100. The washes were combined and concentrated in Microcon ultracentrifugal filter devices (Millipore, nominal molecular weight limit 10 K). After washing twice with water, the retained material was suspended in Hfip (glycoprotein fraction). Next, fibres were 10 times flash frozen in liquid nitrogen, followed by quick thawing. The fibres were then incubated two times for 10 minutes in 25% lithiumbromide (LiBr) to solubilise the inner core. Particulate matter was sedimented by ultracentrifugation and suspended in Hfip (skin fraction). The solute of the supernatant, handled with filter pipet tips to avoid contamination with any floating particulate matter from the centrifugation step, was changed to Hfip by two successive centrifugation-wash cycles using Microcon ultracentrifugal devices (core fraction). All samples were then dialyzed against 0M urea. Fractons were separated on 5–20% gradient gels (BioRad), and blotted to nitrocellulose membranes. Proteins were either revealed by Porcene S staining (Sigma-Aldrich) or reacted with biotinylated Concanavalin A or silk specific antibodies. Concanavalin A was detected with streptavidin and antibodies by secondary antibodies, both coupled to peroxidase. Detection was with enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL, GE Healthcare).
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