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Abstract: Among multiple genres of Sanskrit poetry, the sandeśa or dītākāvya (messenger poems) have inspired curiosity among litterateur aficionados of the classics. Albeit such communications often involved exchanges of confidential messages among remote lovers, these poetry equally served as travelogues. Among the sandeśakāvyas composed in Kerala1, Sukasandeśa of Lakṣmīdāsa, of the 14th century, is a remarkable literary work. Lakṣmīdāsa conveys his heartfelt feelings to Raṅgalakṣmī, his sweetheart, through a suka (parrot). The messenger parrot travels from Rameswaram2, where the separated lover resides, to Guṇapuram, in North Kerala. The route covers various places of cultural and historic significance. Appending aesthetic elements, Lakṣmīdāsa maps all the major temples and sacred rivers, en route to Guṇapuram. The current paper proposes to educate and promote awareness among the current generation through promulgation of ancient cultural heritage. The ornamental presentation of prominent temples, portrayal of deities, sacred rivers, groves etc. mentioned in the Sukasandeśa could ignite minds of culturally inquisitive groups. It associates various ancient nomenclatures of places with modern locations, acting as a quick reference for classical researchers. The description of locations in the Sukasandeśa could serve as a route map, providing location sketch and ease expeditions.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Sandeśa or dītā-kāvya (messenger poem) as a particular genre of lyrical poetry has motivated strong interest among the connoisseurs of poetry. In this context an inanimate object (like a cloud in Kālidāsa’s famous Meghadūta) or a living being (cuckoo, goose etc.) is used as a communication medium to exchange information between individuals. Frequently, the principal storyline portrays gnawing pains of emotions of separated lovers, and their yearning for reunion. The genesis of this characteristic style of poetry can be traced back to the Vedic period. In the Rgveda [1, v. 10.106], Sarama, a dog, was sent as an emissary to the Pānīs demons, to retrieve the cows abducted by the latter. Śyāvasva, of the Atrigrota sent message to his beloved Rathavīthi through Rātri (night) as a messenger [1, vv. 5.61.17-19]. Among the Purāṇas, Bhāgavata [2, v. 10.56] contains numerous anecdotes where cowherd damsels leaned on couriers such as kurārī (female Osprey), cakravākī (female cakra bird) etc. India’s well-known epics are ripe with instances of correspondence like the message of reassurance, sent by Rāma to Sītā via Hanuman in the Rāmāyaṇa; a goose diplomat who carried pitiful heart-rending notes between Nala and Damayanti, and an offer of truce from the Pāṇḍavas to the Kauravas through Kṛṣṇa’s peace mission, in the Mahābhārata. Composing the first messenger poem, the Meghadūta [3], Kālidāsa emulates the style of Hanumatsandeśa of Rāmāyaṇa. Kālidāsa reiterates that as an allusion, Rāma’s message to Sītā, was the real inspiration behind his Meghadūta [3, v. 2.33]. Kālidāsa deployed megha (cloud), an inanimate object as messenger between lovers. The distracted mind of a lover, according to Kālidāsa, could use even an inanimate object as a messenger [3, v. 1.5]. Krishnamachariar points out an instance where the poet Ghaṭakarpāra in one of his poems, conceived the idea of sending message through the clouds, where the piteous plight of a lady is conveyed to her distant lover [4]. Following the style of Kālidāsa, many poems were composed, conveying romantic and philosophical ideologies. Hamsasandeśa (goose as the messenger), a devotional work of Vedāntadesīka, a Tamil philosopher cum poet depicts Rāma’s pangs of separation from Sītā. Here, Rāma sends Sītā an affectionate message through a royal goose. Hopkins believes that Vedāntadesīka closely followed Kālidāsa’s sandeśa model in Hamsasandeśa, and the former’s works are rich in scholastic literacy, and is steeped in devotion [5].

II. SANDEŚAKĀVYAS OF KERALA – A REVIEW

Depending on the regional, philosophical and sectarian differences, multiple sandeśakāvāyas have been composed. According to Unni, there are almost thirty sandeśakāvāyas composed by authors from Kerala and outside, describing various aspects of Kerala [6]. There exists two sandeśa kāvyas by the title Cakorasandeśa. Here, a Cakora (Partridge) is used to communicate between lovers. The author of one Cakorasandeśa is known as Vāsudeva but more references on Vasudeva’s identity remains unavailable, so are many locations described in Cakorasandeśa. The authorship of the other Cakorasandeśa remains unidentified. The authorship of Bhṛgusandeśa where a bhṛgīga (bee) is the messenger remains unrevealed. Another sandeśakāvya known as Hamsasandeśa uses a hamsa (goose) as a messenger to send message from Ceylon to Kṛtamāḷār in Central Kerala. Though the Hamsasandeśa remains quite valuable geographically, the authorship remains anonymous. Sandeśakāvya like Viprānsandeśa by Kochunni Tampuran, Indusandeśa by Narayanam Nam_GUIs are available in fragments.

1International Alphabet of Sanskrit Transliteration (IAST) scheme has been used to represent Devanagari words in Roman.
2Kerala is a southern state of India.
3Rameswaram is located in Tamil Nadu, a Southern state of India.
4One among the nine gems of king Vikramāditya’s court.
Pavanandesha, Pikasandesha, Sampatiandesha are other sandesakavyas which are yet to be published as books. Majority of the commentaries on the sandesakavyas mentioned above remain unexplored. Thus, Kerala is abuzz with an enriched heritage of sandesakavyas. Varma observes on the efficiency of Sanskrit poets of Kerala thus, “in the blessed isolation which that Land of Parāśu-rāma had for ages enjoyed, the cultivation of Sanskrit literature was far from being neglected. Sanskrit poets have flourished who would yield superiority to none in the rest of India, except perhaps to the great Kālidāsa” [7]. Along similar lines, Unni comments, “Kerala is the only region which produced works of real merit in the field of messenger poems. Among the sandesakavyas composed in Kerala, most lie dormant and unpublished, concealing valuable information on the environment, socio-political and cultural elements” [6, p. 8]. Aiyer revealed his displeasure, mentioning, “It is a pity that most of the works have not seen the light of the day” [8]. He further adds, “in a country rich in traditions such as India, sandesakavyas are invaluable oases. The importance of whose discovery and preservation is scarcely possible for anyone to overrate”. The intent of the current paper is centred on one of the sandesakavyā from Kerala, entitled Šukasandesha (the parrot messenger) by Lakṣmīdāsa. There are seven commentaries written on this poem, by multiple authors [6, p. 48]. Varavanjini commentary by Dharmagupta, Cintātilaka by Gauridāsa, Viḷāsiṇī by Mānaveda are the ones whose authorships are known to the world. Meanwhile, writers of highly informative commentaries, namely Šukasandesavākyārti and Padārthadīvadhyapīka remain anonymous. Tiṇṇr or short notes on the Šukasandesha by the Keralavarma Valiyakovil Tamburan and Šukasandesha-vyākāyā by Rama Pisharoti were composed towards late 19 CE. Though most of them remain unpublished, their existence show the significant part played by the poem in depicting the political, demographic, religious and environmental features of the land.

III. METHODOLOGY

This paper uses qualitative content analyses as the methodology. According to Ernst, qualitative content analysis is used in social science research, in conjunction with narrative, biographical or expert interviews, followed by document analysis [9]. Hermeneutics seem to be the most commonly used qualitative analysis technique [10]. Mayring posits, Hermeneutics as a Human science to interpret central texts like the Bible [11]. The current study does not use Hermeneutics since the study does not involve any theological, psychological or philosophical interpretations of the Šukasandesha. Bhavuk, while discussing the Indian literature based methodology of research, hints on developing a theory by integrating the Indian cultural aspect along with tracing the Indian traditional and scriptural wisdom [12]. Accordingly, Šukasandesha is dissected and data such as, the name of temples, deities, rivers, peculiarity of terrains and the locations are derived. Analysis of ambiguous references on temples and their locations mentioned in the Šukasandesha is executed. Clarity on names of temples and locations is achieved by corroborating hints on the locations from the Šukasandesha, with available evidence on the present-day locations. Academic studies on Šukasandesha are seemingly less interested to probe any further due to very small number of research publications. Hence, the analysis method adopted in this study is based on the data retrieved from the primary text Šukasandesha with Viḷāsiṇī commentary by Mānaveda. Few scholarly translations authored by N.P. Unni, Rāma Varma etc. and few other secondary sources were also consulted.

IV. ŠUKASANDESHA AT A GLANCE

Šukasandesha follows the traditional model of messenger poems, where the author emulates the style of Kālidāsa’s composition. As Varma observes, ‘Šukasandesha is a strict imitation of Kālidāsa’s Meghadūta’ [7, p. 402]. The poem fits in well with the definition of Mahākāvyya proposed by the great poet Daṇḍin, in his composition Kāvyādarśa [13]. Since the Šukasandesha excels in its composition through delightful portrayal of cities, ocean, mountains, sentiments of separation in love etc., it could be considered as a Mahākāvyya (great poem). At the outset, the poet Lakṣmīdāsa warns the parrot messenger on surmounting potential hardships, on the flight path over rivers, mountains and cities. Lakṣmīdāsa uses the Mandākrānta metre and Vipralambha-srṅgāra to convey his agonies of dissociation from his sweetheart, Apphulla alias Raṅgalaṅkāmi. Lakṣmīdāsa seems to be a descendant of the Namptūtir clan, located near the Tripūrtimangalam temple in Kanayannūr Taluk, Cochin district [14]. After a prolonged sexual engagement with Raṅgalaṅkāmi, he dreamt himself being separated from his sweetheart and reaching Raṅmeswarān. Yearning for the love of his sweetheart, Lakṣmīdāsa finds a parrot of supernatural intelligence to convey his deeply affectionate messages to his lover, distantly located in the north of Kerala. The Šukasandesha poem is divided into two sections: the Pūrvabāga (prior section) and the Uttarabāga (latter section). The prior section describes the journey of the messenger parrot, detailing the beauty and features of the places traversed, en route to Raṅgalaṅkāmi, while the latter section describes the beauty and prosperity of the city Guṇapuram. The physical and mental condition of Raṅgalaṅkāmi is also narrated here. Scholars opine diversely about the date of composition of Šukasandesha. Varma highlights the difficulty in finding the age of the poet or the poem [7, p. 402]. Pursuant to the name Kolumba (Sanskrit) used by Lakṣmīdāsa for the modern town Kollam (Malayalam) aka Quilon (anglicized). He further proffered that the poem would have been composed in a period between the 9th century and the 16th century CE, subsequent to initiation of the Kollam era. According to Sarma, since inaugurated in 5 Mandākrānta is a poetic metre conventional for messenger poems. The word Mandākrānta means ‘slowly advancing’ and the tempo of the verses seem to be slow while being used in poetry. According to the Vṛttaratnākara by Kedārabhaṭṭa, Mandākrānta includes four pādas (lines) having 17 syllables each.

6 The Sāhiyadarpana, a treatise on the fundamentals of poetics by Viśvanātha describes Srṅgāra (erotics) as one among the poetic aesthetic sentiment. The permanent mood created through Srṅgāra being love. When two lovers are together the Srṅgāra used would be Sambhoga (love in union) and Vipralambha (love in separation) is used when the lovers are separate.

7 Mānaveda in his commentary Viḷāsiṇī states Raṅmeswarām as the place where lord Śiva’s sign, the Śivalinga was consecrated by Raṅma. This corresponds to the legendary Adhimālaya Rāṁiyana where Rāṁa travels back to Raṅmeswarām after defeating Raṅvaṇa and consecrates a Sivalinga at the shrine.
the city of Kollam era is known to be Kollam era [15].

Based on multiple observations from the poem, Unni assigned the origin of the Śukasandesha poem to a time within the 14th century [6, p. 6].

V. EXPLORING THE TEMPLES, DEITIES AND LOCATIONS

The former section of Śukasandesha reveals the location of the poet Lakṣmīdāsa away from his residence [6, v. 2.62]. Enjoying an autumn night with his beloved, the poet dives into deep slumber and dreams of being separated from his lover and reaches a faraway jungle. Roaming alone in the jungle he feels himself to have reached Rameswaram. The poet pleads with a parrot, to carry his heartfelt messages to his beloved, Raṅgalakṣmi. The poet unveils his foray into religion by composing lyrics in praise of the divinity of the parrot. Lakṣmīdāsa is reminded of the divine parrot of the heavens. The parrot gleefully plays in Nandanavana, the famous garden of Indra, the king of gods and is lovingly caressed by divine goddesses. Lakṣmīdāsa believes that the parrot is the one, most beloved to goddess Parvathy, the consort of lord Śiva [6, v. 1.3].

The poet reveals to the parrot that the destination to be reached is Guṇapuram, where the poet's beloved resides. The name Guṇapuram seems to have been modified to Trkkanāmatilakam, and subsequently as Tiruvačikkulam, a place near present day Kodungallur, in the North of Kerala. Varma posits, Guṇapuram could be Trkkanapuram, a village little north of Kodungallur in Trichur district [7, p. 431]. Sastri [16] and Unni [6, p. 76] opine that Trkkanāmatilakam has been referred to as Guṇapuram in the Śukasandesha. Additionally, Unni [6, p. 6] used the name Tiruvačikkulam replacing Trkkanāmatilakam. The latter section of Śukasandesha states that the mansion of the heroine is situated at the royal path, site of the shrine of lord Śiva, and his consort, goddess Pārvatī. Lord Śiva is designated and worshipped as Gunakanātha (lord of Guṇaka) [6, v. 2.15]. Thus, we could conclude that the destination to be reached by the messenger parrot is Guṇapuram alias Guṇaka, where the heroine Raṅgalakṣmi resides.

The poet reveals his intense devotion to lord Śiva, by his request to the parrot, to embark on its task, after paying due reverence to the Śivalīṇga at Rāmeśwaram. Manaveda in his commentary refers to the traditional belief of worshipping lord Śiva, prior to commencing any activity. The worship serves as an auspicious beginning rendering the successful completion of the activity [6, p. 35]. As the tradition calls out, purification of one’s body is necessary prior to the worship. The Linga-purāṇa [17] recommends three methods of purification namely Varuṇa-snāṇ, Bhūma-snāṇ and Mantra-snāṇ, before one does worship to the Śivalīṇga. Hence, Lakṣmīdāsa asks the parrot to purify being in the spritz atmosphere and to smear its body with pollens of the Saptacchada flower [6, v. 1.20]; these activities are quite akin to Varuṇa-snāṇ and Bhūma-snāṇ. Finally, Lakṣmīdāsa reminds the parrot about visualizing the form of lord Śiva, with his tawny head, body smeared with ash, giving a whitish tint and blue neck, while venerating the Śivalīṇga.

The parrot messenger then sets off along the ocean shore from Dhanusuṣṭot at Rāmeśwaram district. Here, the ocean is metaphorically compared to the ocean of Vaikuṇṭha-loka where lord Viṣṇu dwells with his consort, Lakṣmī devi. The poet verifies the location as Dhanusuṣṭot by stating the presence of Rāmasetu[10], and asserting that the seturekhi (rocky formation of a bridge) remains as a testament to the greatness of the lineage of the Raghuv dynasty [6, v. 1.23].

Thus, expressing his devotion towards Śiva and Rāma, the parrot commences its travel towards Guṇapuram and reaches the banks of river Tāmrapāṇi 6, vv. 1.25–26. River Tāmra or Tāmrapāṇi is proximal to Tirumveli in Tamil Nadu. The river has numerous mango trees, lining along the banks, bearing ripe fruits. Production of white pearls is the most noted peculiarity of the river. Varma noted the existence of numerous pearl fisheries at the mouth of the river Tāmra [7, p. 432]. In addition, Kauṭilya’s statement in Arthasastra[12] on the peculiar type of pearl ‘Tāmrapāṇikā’, from the Tāmrapāṇi river, confirms the river to be a chief centre of pearl trade, since ancient times [18].

Moving along the banks of river Tāmra, the poet directs the parrot to Maṇdlapāl the capital city of the king Viṣṇu-pāṇḍya (brave Pandya king). Varma [7, p. 432] noted the name Maṇdlapāl being used in the Bhāgavata-purāṇa and in Mahābhārata, with regard to the pilgrimages of Balarāma and Arjuna respectively. Poet Lakṣmīdāsa [6, v. 1.27] recalls the legend of Viṣṇu-pāṇḍya, who fought with lord Indra, the rain God for rainfall in his kingdom. A devotional Mahākāvyaya by Nilakanṭha Dīkṣita titled Śivalīn complications cites the legend about lord Kumara[13] incarnating in the Pāṇḍya family as Ugra-pāṇḍya (ferocious Pāṇḍya king), who was an expert in martial arts, attacking lord Indra for rain in his province [19]. What seem to be conclusive here is that the Pāṇḍya lineage had many brave and ferocious kings, who were fearless and valiant enough to even challenge divine forces.

Lakshmīdāsa indicates that the route to Guṇapuram is two-fold and cautions on shorter route being extremely dangerous for birds since an aggressively violent people of the Pulinda tribe[14] resides along the route and hence Lakshmīdāsa suggests the parrot to choose the longer route [6, v. 1.28]. The ravishing beauty of the Sahyādri (Western Ghats), and the important religious locations, cited later in the poem, seem revealed that the suggestion of the longer route could be intentional. The Sahyādri seem to have abundant cultivation of Bimba[15] and Pomegranate. The description of climatic conditions and environmental vibrancy attracts the heart of any individual seeking tranquillity.

Travelling further, the parrot reaches Kerala, the land, believed to be formalized by sage Parasurāma. According to the treatise Keralolpati, 64 agrarian settlements were

---

[8] Śivalīṇga represents symbolic representations of lord Śiva.

[9] Denotes bathing, spreading sacred ash and chanting sacred syllables respectively.

[10] The word Rāmasetu means the bridge constructed by Rāma. The historical Ārya Rāmāyaṇa mentions it to be the bridge constructed by Rāma and the army of monkeys from Dhanuskoti in Rāmeśwara to Sṛlakṣaṇa.

[11] Rāma was born in the lineage of Raghuv dynasty.

[12] Arthaśāstra is a literary composition on ancient Indian economy and statecraft.

[13] The son of god Śiva and goddess Pārvatī, Muruka is known by many names and Kumara is one of those.

[14] According to Lakshmīdāsa, the Pulinda species is that of hunters.

[15] A fruit of the genus Momordica.
formed in Kerala by the migration of brāhmaṇas from different parts of the country, under the leadership of Paraśurāma [20]. Madhavan posits that temples controlled the land and the economy, and the brāhmaṇas were the landlords [21]. They were the overseers, preserving the temple land and wealth. Lakṣmīdīśa [6, v. 1.34] claims, “The parrot reached the land of ‘brahма-śatratram’ (brāhma-kinged)”. Here, the brāhmaṇas perform the duties of ‘ḳṣatriyas’. Varma remarks on the word ‘brahma-śatratram’ by the poet as a certainty of the brāhmaṇas, the priestly class becoming the lords of the land in Kerala [7, p. 434]. He further adds, aggregations of all temple property were created by the brāhmaṇas and the kings and chiefs were originally appointed by the brāhmaṇas. Thus, referring to the formation of Kerala and commenting on the ancient Kerala’s political scenario, the parrot was directed to take the route, which in present times is referred to as National highway extending from Kanyakumari or Cape Comorin (anglicized) to Salem, Tamil Nadu.

After a, peek at the Bhagavaty temple16 located at Kanyakumari, the parrot was led to the famous hilltop Vakragiri. Vakragiri is well known today as Marunthuvaṭumalai, alias Marunthuvaṭu Ĝumalai17 (the abode of medicinal herbs), in the Kanyakumari district [6, vv. 1.35-36]. Suciṇḍra the famous shrine of lord Śiva is located close to the Vakragiri. As the name suggests, Suciṇḍra is the place where lord Indra became pure, shedding his sins according to a Purāṇic legend [6, v. 1.37]. The tradition on proving the purity was preserved through generations, as a peculiar trial known as haste phalāṇi (ghee ordeal)18 [6, v. 1.37]. Lakṣmīdīśa commented, even the parrot gets purified by visiting the Suciṇḍra temple [6, v. 1.40]. Hence, he calls the parrot an Anagha (pure, sinless) as it enters Śyāṇandūra, the famous city Tiru-ananta-puram or Trivandrum (anglicised). The famous temple of Murāri19 is located in the city. The temple’s prime idol depicts the form of Ananta-padmanābha, where lord Vishnu, flanked by goddesses Lakṣmī and Bhūmi, lies in tranquil repose on the serpent Ananta-śeṣa. Varma revealed the local narrative of four different names in the four Yugas20 for Tiru-ananta-puram: Śyāṇandūra-puram in Kṛta Yuga, Ānanda-puram in Treta Yuga, Ananta-puram in Dwāpara Yuga, and Padmanābham in Kali Yuga [7, p. 436]. However, this city is popularly known by the christened title of Dwāpara-yuga, ‘Ananta-puram’, prefixed by ‘Tiru’, which symbolizes auspiciousness and prosperity. Tiru is commonly placed in front of sanctified Hindu names. Thus, the name Tiru-ananta-puram commemorates lord Ananta as the sovereign of the land. Lakṣmīdīśa adds that the city has numerous sacred tanks, and there was no better place for the Lord’s repose [6, v. 1.41]. In this context, Varma enlists ten īrīhās or bathing places surrounding the Padmanābha temple [7, p. 436]. Progressing along the oceanic route, the parrot traverses through the linear and prosperous capital of the king of Kūpakas. According to Varma, Kūpaka is the modern day Kayamkulam [7, p. 436]. The next city en route was Kolamba, the modern day Kollam. The poet implored the parrot to be undistracted, from the mission of reaching the poet’s sweetheart, by the series of wonderful sights at Kollam [6, v. 1.56]. Ahead of Kollam, the divine parrot passes over two important rivers: Kallada and Ittikara [6, p. 437]. Next, the parrot arrived into the spiritual and tranquil ambience of Vallabhirāma, or modern day Tiruvalla, to worship lord Viṣṇu. Here, the deity is ceremoniously adored at different times of the day, as an allegory of the progressive stages of human life as per the Hindu tradition: brahmacarya (celibate), gṛhastha (householder), vānaprastha (forest dweller) and sannyāsā (ascetic) [6, v. 1.58].

Proceeding further, through places like Bimbalī and Sindhudvīpa, famed for their massive elephants, the parrot flew across the Phulla, better known as Muvattupuzha river. Śrī Ādi Sankarācārya, the proponent of the Advaita school of Vedānta philosophy is known to have taken birth on the banks of river Phulla. Moving further north, Lakṣmīdīśa [6, v. 1.62] adds about the presence of a Vishnu temple where the deity is seen along with the serpent Ananta. Varma (1884) submitted that the Vishnu temple mentioned by the poet is located at Trppūṇittura, near Cochin [7, p. 437]. The description of the idol corroborates the presence of Santāṅgopāla-mūtra (saviour of infants) in the Pūrṇatrayiśa temple, at Trppūṇittura. Another sacred location, in close proximity to Trppūṇittura is a Śiva temple. Lakṣmīdīśa [6, v. 1.65] recounted the presence of 33 deities21 at the Śiva temple. The Cūṁi River, presently known as the Periyar or the Alway river, a sibling of Tāmraparṇī River, flows very close to the Śiva temple. Based on the data on the location presented above, we could assume the Śiva temple to be the Alva Mahādeva temple. The parrot, en route to Mahodayapuram, worships the shrine of lord Śiva at Jayarāṭhēswara. Unavailability of any documented records prevent the identification of Jayarāṭhēswara with any modern location. Varma ventured that Mahodayapuram was the ancient capital of the lineage of the Perumal kings’ dynasty, whose notable importance gradually receded, even during the times of Lakṣmīdīśa [7, p. 438]. Presently, Mahodayapuram is equated to Kodungallur or anglicized Cranganore. Here the parrot was urged to visit the Caṇḍika temple, amidst the Kurumba forest at Kodungallur [6, v. 1.71]. The parrot reached its destination, Gunapuram or Tiruvaṭaikkulam, proximate to Kodungallur, where Raṅgalakṣmi, the poet’s sweetheart resides.

16 The title Kanyakumari is derived from the ancient temple dedicated to goddess Pārvaty incarnated as Kanyā (virgin). According to the Purāṇic legend, a demon king Bāna was vanquished by devi Kanyā.

17 The hill is believed to be a small part of the mountain with medicinal herbs which Hanuman carried to revive Lakṣmaṇa who lay unconscious when pierced by Rāvaṇa’s sphere during the war depicted in the Rāmāyaṇa.

18 Chastity is most strictly enjoined among women of the Nambūṭiri Brahmins of Kerala. The adulterer, if he himself is a Nambūṭiri Brahmin, is excommunicated and out casted. If direct proofs are not available, and yet morally suspicious circumstances exist, the accused man has recourse to the ghee ordeal to prove his innocence. The ordeal was performed in the Śucīṇḍra temple. Ghee is boiled in a vessel and a small image of the sacred bull Nandi is dropped into the vessel. The accused has to pick up the image and as soon as it is done, his hand is immediately wrapped with plantain leaves. The accused is lodged separately for three days and at the end of which if his hand be found unsathed, he is declared innocent, if burnt, guilty.

19 Viṣṇu, the enemy of the demon Mura.

20 Four epochs as per Hindu tradition.

21 A pantheon of Gods of Vedic origin could be other addition.
VI. CONCLUSION

The Śuksamandesha clearly reflects Lakṣmīdāsa’s profound spasms of separation from his lover, which enhanced his eagerness to aesthetically explain the route towards his beloved. He proved his scholastic mettle by his display of utmost care and attention to detail, while illustrating his envisioned parrot-emissary’s flight path from Rāmeśwaram to Guṇapuram as depicted in Figure 1. The illustration reveals that the parrot travelled approximately 840 kilometres to reach its destination. En route, the poet illustrates many important temples of lord Śiva, lord Viṣṇu, goddess Bhagavati at Kanyakumari and of goddess Caṇḍika, at Kodungallur. As Lakṣmīdāsa resorted to ancestral names for the locations, it is quite a daunting task to accurately associate them with the right modern day nomenclature. Table 1, titled Heritage-based Nomenclature lists the name of heritage sites, rivers and mountain in the medieval period with their respective modern day names. Here, the exercise was quite arduous, deciphering the historic place Guṇapuram as present day’s Tiruvanikkulam, famous for its Śiva temple and proximity to Kodungallur. Trppuṇittura is quite well known for the presence of Pūrṇatrayiśa temple with the form of Santānagopala Viṣṇu crowned by the serpent Ananta. But the poet avoids usage of the name of Pūrṇatrayiśa, hinting the naming of the temple as a later development. The Śiva temple near Periyar seem to be the famous Alway Mahādeva temple. More data could be mined from other messenger poetries of Kerala and by scrutinizing unpublished commentaries, to uphold the veracity of the drawn inferences. Though the former part of poetry enlists information on temples, and displays the poet’s devotional ecstasy, Lakṣmīdāsa reveals streaks of his erudite knowledge of Vedas and Purāṇas. Since parrot is the messenger chosen by the poet, he could have proposed an alternate direct route to reach Guṇapuram. Lakṣmīdāsa deliberately moves off-course to include picturesque places like hills and valleys, including the Western Ghats. It is well nigh certain that publication of other commentaries would uncover more facts and throw more light on the data already collected. Works like Śuksamandesha acts as a pointer to enhance awareness of the current generation pertaining to the nation’s rich cultural and aesthetic heritage. The promulgation of the travelogue could inspire culturally inclined groups to explore various locations that conceal antiquities and appreciate heterogeneous aesthetic elements.

REFERENCES

1. Vyasa, V. (2005). Rigveda Samhita. Bangalore: Sri Aurobindo Kapali Sastry Institute of Vedic Culture.
2. Vyasa, V. (1989). Bhagavata Purana. Mumbai: Bhaktivedanta Book Trust.
3. Kalidasa (1919), Meghasandesha with the commentary Pradipa of Dakshivartanatha, Trivandrum: Maharaja of Travancore.
4. Krishnamacharir, Madabhushi. (1974). History of classical Sanskrit literature. New Delhi: MLBD.
5. Hopkins, Steven P. (2004) Lovers, messengers, and beloved landscapes: Sandeśakāvya in comparative perspective. International Journal of Hindu Studies, 8, pp. 29-55.
6. Unni, N. P. (1985). Sukasandesha of Laksmidasas with Vilasini commentary of Manaveeda. New Delhi: Nig publisher.
7. Varma, Rāma H. H. (1884). Suka-sandēśā: A Sanskrit poem by Lakshmi-dasa. Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, 16 (4), pp. 401-452.
8. Ayer, Ulloor S. Parameswara. (1980). Kerala Varma’s the peacock messenger: An Introductory Note. Journal of South Asian Literature, 3-4.
9. Ernst, Stefanie. (2009). Using qualitative content analysis of popular literature for uncovering long-term social processes: the case of gender relations in Germany. Historical Social Research/Historische Sozialforschung, pp. 252-269.
10. Bruns, G. L. (1992). Hermeneutics ancient and modern. New Haven, Conn.: Yale University Press.
11. Mayring, P. (2014). Qualitative content analysis: theoretical foundation, basic procedures and software solution. Austria: Klagenfurt.
12. Bawuk, D. P. S. (2010). Methodology for building psychological models from scriptures: Contributions of Indian psychology to indigenous and universal psychologies. Psychology and Developing Nations, 22 (1), pp. 49-93.
13. Sharma, Ramachandra. (2005). Kavyadarsha by Dandin. Varanasi: Chaukhamba.
14. Sarma, V.V. (1976). Lakshmidasakavith. Sanskrit Journal of the Kerala University Manuscripts Library, 22 (4), p. 85.
15. Sarma, K. V. (1996), Kollam Era. Indian Journal of History of Science 31, pp. 93-99.
16. Sastri, Satyavrat. (1963). Essays on Indology. New Delhi: Meharchand Lachhmandass. p. 118
17. Shastri, J. L. (1951). Linga purana with English translation (vol. 1). New Delhi: MLBD.
18. Kautilya. (1929). Arthasastra (R. Shamasastry, Trans.). Thrissur: Kerala Sahitya Akademi.
19. Unni N.P. (1995). Nilakantha Dikshita. New Delhi: Sahitya Akademi.
20. Ezhuta cham, Tunjath. (1868). Keralolpatti. Mangalore: Basel Mission.
21. Madhavan, K. S. (2001). Internalist Perception of Jati: A Study of Brahmanical Canonical Literature in Kerala. Proceedings of Indian History Congress, 62, pp. 85 - 94.

AUTHORS PROFILE

Anand Sadasivan currently serves as Assistant Professor at Amritadarshanam – International Centre for Spiritual Studies, Amritapuri campus. He joined Amrita after completion of his Ph.D. in Sanskrit (Vedanta) from the University of Kerala. He teaches Sanskrit, Philosophy, Cultural Education and other Humanities Electives, to both Undergraduate and Post graduate students. His research interests are in the areas of Advaita Vedanta, Indian Philosophy of Language and Computational Linguistics.

Deepa H serves as a faculty member at the department of Cultural Education, Amrita Vishwa Vidyapeetham, and is passionate about imparting value-based education to the student community. She is a researcher and is currently associated with the Corporate and Industry Relations, Amrita Vishwa Vidyapeetham, responsible for enabling students’ placements in various industries. Skilled with work experience in the IT and software research center, she is an enthusiast who has contributed to the field of Sustainable Development. She is an engineer, graduated from West Bengal University of Technology, further to which she did her MBA from Amrita School of Business, Amritapuri.