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INTRODUCTION

All-terrain vehicles (ATVs) are defined as any motorized vehicle with three or four low-pressure tires, a straddle seat, and a handle bar. Models can vary in size and power with engine capabilities upwards of 400 cubic centimeters (cc), which may achieve speeds up to 70 miles per hour (mph). ATVs are used both commercially (farming and ranching) and recreationally. Commercially, ATVs are used more often by youths (younger than 16) than tractors. However, recreational use has been related to more injury and noted to be more dangerous than motocross, dirt bikes, and snowmobiles.

Use of ATVs has been associated with significant injury, mortality, and healthcare cost. Reported ATV related injuries include: bone fractures at or below the cervical spine, specifically femur and tibia, upper extremities, thoracic, peripheral nerve, and soft tissue injuries and traumatic brain injuries. A recent national review of ATV fatalities reported a rate of 32 per 100,000; while Garay and colleagues observed a 1.5% mortality rate among all pediatric ATV injuries in Pennsylvania. Hospital costs associated with ATV related injuries were reported upwards of $300,000, with a mean cost of approximately $33,000.

Many of these injuries could be prevented by using safety equipment such as helmets, gloves, boots, goggles, chest protectors, knee pads, and elbow pads. Fatalities, injury severity scores and incidence of traumatic brain injury decreased when riders wore helmets.

Conclusions. Safety promotion by ATV dealers in Kansas consistently was recommended, but often limited to the sales of safety gear (helmets and gloves) or the provision of manufacturer provided safety materials. Further, ATV dealers reported rarely offering skills tests or safety courses to buyers. In Kansas, safety promotion at the point of sale or track level could be improved to increase public awareness of ATV safety practices.

METHODS

This was a cross-sectional telephone survey of ATV dealers and track owners in the state of Kansas. A list of ATV dealers and track owners was compiled from a Google search of ATV dealers and tracks in Kansas. The survey consisted of predominantly yes or no questions regarding the respondents' safety promotion practices and included promotion and sale of various safety equipment (questions were specific to safety item, such as Department of Transportation (DOT) or Snell certified helmet) and provision of ATV safety information. Respondent characteristics such as ATV use and experience were included. Dealer respondents were queried regarding their experience with ATV accidents. The identified survey participants were contacted once and the dealer or track owner, or someone who was knowledgeable about the operation was requested to respond to the survey. The informed consent
process was conducted verbally and completion of the survey indicated consent. The project was approved by the Wichita State University Institutional Review Board.

**Data Analysis.** Data were reported descriptively using frequencies (percentages). Significance tests were conducted with the chi-square test of association and Fisher’s exact statistics. The data were analyzed with SPSS for Windows, Version 23.0.

**RESULTS**

**Survey Respondents.** Thirteen of forty-one dealers participated in the survey for a response rate of 32% (Table 1). Half of respondents (7/13) reported being an ATV salesperson. Most dealers (10/13) sold ATVs as secondary products (such as car dealership) with ATV sales ranging from five to 200 annually. Few dealer respondents (2/13) reported owning an ATV; most (11/13) reported personally riding ATVs. Most respondents (11/13) reported knowing someone involved in an ATV accident.

**Table 1. Characteristics of survey respondents.**

|                          | Dealers (N =13) | Tracks (N = 5) |
|--------------------------|----------------|---------------|
| Personally ride ATV      | 11 (85)        | 3 (60)        |
| Personally own ATV       | 2 (15)         | 1 (20)        |
| Personally involved in ATV accident | 8 (62)        | 1 (20)        |
| Know someone in accident | 11 (85)        | 3 (60)        |
| Know someone disabled in an accident | 4 (31)        | NA            |
| Know someone killed      | 3 (23)         | NA            |
| Agrees                   | 7 (53)         | 4 (80)        |

Note: Data are reported in frequencies (percentages). NA = Question not asked in survey.

Five of sixteen ATV tracks participated in the survey for a response rate of 31%. Most respondents (3/5) reported being a track owner/manager. Only one of the track respondents reported owning an ATV; while three reported personally riding ATVs. Most respondents (3/5) reported knowing someone involved in an ATV accident.

**ATV Dealer Safety Promotion.** All ATV dealer respondents reported asking a buyer how ATVs will be utilized, but less (11/13) asked the age of the primary rider and fewer (5/13) asked about secondary riders (Table 2). Only half of dealer respondents (7/13) reported it was their professional responsibility to provide ATV safety education to buyers. Dealer belief regarding professional responsibility to educate on safety was associated with other characteristics or safety promotion significantly.

**Table 2. Dealer respondents’ self-reported safety promotion (N = 13).**

| Safety Gear                           | N (%) |
|---------------------------------------|-------|
| Recommend                             | 13 (100) |
| Sell                                  | 13 (100) |
| **Sell Head Protection**              |       |
| DOT or snell certified                | 13 (100) |
| Open face with shield                 | 12 (92) |
| Open face without shield              | 12 (92) |
| **Sell Body Protection**              |       |
| Ankle boots                           | 10 (77) |
| Chest protectors                      | 9 (69) |
| Gloves                                | 13 (100) |
| Clothing                              | 12 (92) |
| **Safety Information at Purchase**    |       |
| Inquire age of rider                  | 11 (85) |
| Offer courses                         | 4 (31) |
| Offer safety information              | 4 (31) |
| Perform skills test                   | 2 (15) |

DOT = Department of Transportation

**DISCUSSION**

The aim of this study was to describe ATV safety promotion (as sales or use of safety gear or provision of education) at the point of sale or track use in the state of Kansas. While all dealer respondents reported recommending safety gear and selling head protection, not all sell other safety gear such as body protection. Further, safety courses and skills tests are not commonly reported safety promotion practices at point of sale. Self-reported safety practices by participating tracks include all requiring head protection, less have requirements regarding age and size of rider to ATV. Few ATV dealer or track respondents report providing safety information or courses.

Historical studies such as Percy and Duffy, reporting ATV related injuries and Warda and colleagues’ reporting safety behaviors have called for preventive and safety measures such as consistent use of safety gear, mandatory rider training, as well as consumer and dealer education. Congruently, recent literature also concluded that safety precautions can reduce injury related costs and recommended preventative guidelines or initiatives to reduce ATV related injuries. ATV dealers and track owners may be open to displaying ATV safety information similarly to agribusinesses. Public health campaigns through influential change agents, such as ATV dealers and track managers, can be a viable opportunity for promoting ATV safety by educating riders on ATV safety; the only track respondent who did not agree did not own/ride ATVs nor knew anyone involved/killed in an ATV-related accident.
owners, may serve to increase awareness of protective safety practices, such as consistent use of helmets. Healthcare providers who treat patients using all-terrain vehicles should be aware of the scarcity of safety promotion and also consider rider safety education.

**Table 3. Track respondents’ self-reported safety promotion**

| Personal protection                | n - 5*  |
|-----------------------------------|--------|
| Require head protection           | 5 (100)|
| DOT or snell certified            | 3 (60)|
| Require body protection           |        |
| Ankle boots                       | 0 (0) |
| Chest protectors                  | 0 (0) |
| Goggles                           | 2 (40)|
| Closed toe shoes                  | 2 (40)|
| Provide safety education          | 2 (40)|
| Safety courses                    | 3 (60)|
| Safety information                |        |
| Enforce track safety rules        | 3 (60)|
| Age limits                        | 2 (40)|
| Allow multiple riders             | 2 (40)|
| Monitor size of rider to ATV      | 1 (20)|
| Limitations on engine cc          | 3 (60)|
| Provide medical personnel during races | 3 (60)|

*Frequency (percentage) reported.

**Study Limitations.** The results of this descriptive study may be limited by selection bias (Google™ search compiled list), response bias (ATV dealers and track owners in Kansas, predominantly rural state), and variability among dealers who sell ATVs and may not generalize to other dealers and track practices. Further, generalizability is limited by low response rates from both dealers (32%) and tracks (31%), however, this is the first research to assess safety promotion practices at the dealer and track level.

**Future Research.** Future research should delve deeper into safety promotion practices at the state and national levels. The evaluation of ATV safety programs would be valuable to determine the types of programs that are successful in preventing ATV related injuries and mortality. A quality analysis of ATV safety materials (manufacturer, house-developed, and public health promotion) may be of value to determine consumer usability.

**CONCLUSION**

All-terrain vehicle dealers are recommended to promote ATV safety, but typically such promotion is limited to the sales of safety gear (helmets and gloves) or the provision of manufacturer provided safety materials. Further, ATV dealers report rarely offering skills tests or safety courses to buyers. Regarding ATV tracks, helmet protection is standard, however, ATV riding practices (age of rider, size of ATV) usually are not monitored. Moreover, only about half offered safety courses or materials. In Kansas, safety promotion at the point of sale or track level could be improved to increase public awareness of ATV safety practices.
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