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ARTICLE DETAILS

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this research is to examine the influence of service quality (SQ), Price (P) and Restaurant Environment (RE) on customer loyalty (LOY), via the mediating role of customer satisfaction (SAT) in the context of the restaurant industry in the capital city of Pakistan (Islamabad). Five hundred questionnaires were distributed at various restaurants in a different location at Islamabad, and 385 were returned. Multiple Regression Analysis was used to test hypothesis relationships. The outcome of this research shows that SQ, Pand RE have a positive association with the SAT. In contrast, customer satisfaction also leads to customer loyalty. Moreover, customer satisfaction significantly mediates the association among SQ, P, RE, and customer loyalty. The restaurant operators need to consider that good quality of service, fairness in price, pleasant and attractive restaurant the environment can increase customer satisfaction, which often contributes to customer loyalty.
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1. Introduction

Today more people are dining out as part of the lifestyle, change in environment, food variation, and leisure. The modern living style changes the eating habit and dining out activities. The growing income and level of education seem to influence lifestyle (Shamsudin & Selamat, 2005). Restaurants are a venue for eating to meet people's social and business activities (Majid et al., 2018). In Pakistan, particularly the capital city Islamabad, dining out has increased, which ultimately expands the town's restaurant business. Evidence showed that the service sector contributes around 60% in Pakistani GDP and shares 37% in employment (Pakistan Economic Survey 2017-18-19). The restaurant business has been present in Pakistan since its independence in 1947. Due to the growing population of Pakistan (216.6 million),
the culinary business is a profitable entrepreneurship therefore rising horizontally and vertically. The changing demographic pattern presents the overview of the upcoming competition. In the food service industry, the competitiveness makes it imperative for businesses to achieve customer satisfaction and also to enjoy long sustainability.

Restaurant executives should be focused on their standard of service, price, and physical environment to compete and produce more substantial revenue. The internal environment and fair price are the primary factors that decide the scale of customer satisfaction (Reimer & Kuehn, 2005; Ryu & Jang, 2007). The price and environment can represent the service's quality and even change customers' buying behaviour (Shoemaker et al., 2005). These factors are significant predictors of customer satisfaction (Ryu & Han, 2010). It is essential to safeguard customer satisfaction because it can contribute to customer loyalty and boost sales. (Ma et al., 2014; Ryu et al., 2012). (Shoemaker & Lewis, 1999) say that one of the marketing tactics is to retain current customers. Restaurant managers should focus on their marketing strategy, from attracting new customers to sustaining current customers.

Customer loyalty is primarily based on customer satisfaction (McDougall & Levesque, 2000). Hence after most studies in service marketing concentrated on finding elements that increase customer satisfaction. Studies show that consumer satisfaction is positively affected by service quality, environment, and prices (Ryu, 2005; Varki & Colgate, 2001). Despite the appearance that physical environment, service quality, price, and customer satisfaction are significant elements in creating customer loyalty, few studies have examined these variables' roles in shaping customer loyalty in service literature. Based on service-related literature, most previous studies analyse the standard of service, customer satisfaction and physical environment in various industries such as the healthcare sector (Yeşilada & Direktouml, 2010), hotel and resort sector (Ali et al., 2016; Dedeoğlu & Demirer, 2015), in travel and tourism sector (Debata et al., 2015; Kanwel et al., 2019), the automotive services (Izogo & Ogba, 2015), airline and public transport industry (Kumar, 2012; Rahim, 2016), and the banking sector (Mohsan et al., 2011). Several researchers have explored different customer satisfaction backgrounds, e.g., the physical environment and price expectations(Ali et al., 2016; Han & Ryu, 2009; Lee et al., 2014; Wu & Ko, 2013).

When considering the significance of service quality, restaurant environment, food price, customer satisfaction and Loyalty, limited studies in the service literature (notably in the restaurant industry) have focused on these backgrounds. Nevertheless, none of the prior studies examined service quality, price, and environment to affect customer loyalty through the mediating role of customer satisfaction. As a result, these variables need to be empirically tested for service quality, food prices, and the restaurant environment on the growth of customer satisfaction, leading to Loyalty in the restaurant industry. Furthermore, no empirical study has yet been examined to the extent of service quality, price, and restaurant environment on customer loyalty through the mediating effect of customer satisfaction in the restaurant industry (specifically in Pakistan). Moreover, both empirically and theoretically, the role of food price and restaurant environment in increasing satisfaction and Loyalty remains unclear.

In this study, these elements that makeup customer satisfaction and shape loyalty in the restaurant sector should be empirically assessed. This research focuses on examining how service quality, food price, and restaurant environment can influence user satisfaction. Furthermore, this study also explored the relationship between service quality, price, environment, and customer loyalty through the mediating role of customer satisfaction in the restaurant industry. The study would add to the understanding of the service sector in Pakistan.
2. Theoretical framework and hypothesis development
2.1 Service Quality
The reality that the quality of services is becoming the most significant aspect of competition in the business world is calling the new era of business as the "quality era" (Peeler, 1996). Therefore, several examples of this dilemma have been offered by service marketing experts and researchers. For instance,(Berry & Parasuraman, 2004) called it the most competitive tool and(Clow & Vorhies, 1993) called it the organisation's life-giving blood. Service quality in the restaurant is closely linked to how staff performs their duties(Bell et al., 2005).In general, service quality is analysed mostly in customers' experience, relative to their expectations established before service consumption.

Service quality is essential in measuring customer satisfaction (Dandotiya et al., 2020). Customers' purchasing behaviour is closely related to an overall assessment of service or products (Oliver, 1980). The quality of service can significantly affect customer satisfaction, crucial to an organisation's success(Zhong & Moon, 2020). High quality of service can contribute to enhanced customer satisfaction(Zhao & Huddleston, 2012). Studies have identified an important relationship among quality of service and customer satisfaction (Loureiro & González, 2008). Therefore, hypothesis 1 is proposed as:
Hypothesis 1: Service quality has a positive influence on customer satisfaction.

2.2 Price
Price is the amount of money paid by customers on goods and services. The amount is the profit of the client's use of goods or services (Zhong & Moon, 2020). Price is an essential factor that can accumulate satisfaction, as customers often assess the quality of service/products at its cost (Al-Msallam, 2015). Price has a substantial effect on the performance of goods/services; therefore, price disparity could lead to negative behaviours such as shifting brands(Campbell, 1999). It also showed that clients' social expectations about unfair prices could lead to disappointment, decreased repurchases, negative words of mouth, and complaints (Rothenberger, 2015). Therefore, it can result in undesirable behaviour towards the seller. Many different pricing techniques may be used to boost revenue and create a sense of price fairness at the same time. The pricing strategies' decision is not easy, as they also directly affect revenue, incomes, and customer satisfaction. For the present study, since research has demonstrated that fairness of food price has a substantial influence on the satisfaction of the customers(Konuk, 2018); thus, hypothesis 2 is developed as:
Hypothesis 2: Price has a positive influence on customer satisfaction.

2.3 Environment of the Restaurant
In addition to the price and quality of service, the restaurant environment is another factor that can affect customer satisfaction(Padlee et al., 2019). The service literature has documented the effect of the environment on customer satisfaction (Han & Ryu, 2009; Martín-Ruiz et al., 2012). The restaurant's impressive architecture also attracts people and directly influences the degree of customer satisfaction. Likewise, a service organisation's environment and ambience often affect customer satisfaction (Han & Ryu, 2009). (Hanaysha, 2016) The elements, including temperature, lighting, smell, noise, atmosphere, and music, are components of the restaurant environment.
Several service literature has studied the physical environment influence on customer satisfaction. (Ali et al., 2016; Mattila & O’Neill, 2003; Wakerfield & Blodgett, 1996). Besides, (Marković & Raspor Janković, 2013) found that higher customer satisfaction was significantly influenced by physical, appearance, equipment, and good communication between staff and guest. (MJ, 1992; Ryu et al., 2012) also emphasised the association among physical atmosphere and customer satisfaction. It is, therefore, hypothesis 3 is proposed as:
Hypothesis 3: Restaurant environment has a significant influence on customer satisfaction.
2.4 Customer Satisfaction
Service providers in any sector strive to satisfy their customer due to their efficiency and revenue (Ali et al., 2016). The concept and importance of customer satisfaction were defined by (Zeithaml et al., 1996) as, to accomplish the long-term continuous success of businesses, the need to reinforce customer satisfaction is a crucial factor. Customer satisfaction can be well described as a detailed service/goods evaluation depend on buying experience and using it over the period (Khadka & Maharjan, 2017). In contrast to satisfaction, customers' buying intentions have been thoroughly explored in the literature (Ali et al., 2016; Ryu et al., 2012) because it is essential to customer loyalty (Zeithaml et al, 2006).

Service quality, pricing approach, and a comfortable environment are the key elements that influence satisfaction. Restaurants and other service organizations can gain customer satisfaction and Loyalty by providing quality services, fairness in prices, and a friendly environment(Ma et al., 2014; Zhong & Moon, 2020). According to (Khadka & Maharjan, 2017), Satisfied customers tend to repurchase the same goods/services, become loyal customers, and are actively involved in recommending to others. Moreover, once consumers are satisfied with goods/services, they would recommend them to others and repurchase the same goods and services in the future(Bennett & Rundle-Thiele, 2004).

The satisfaction of goods/services greatly influences the intentions to revisit, and if the degree of satisfaction rises, keeping the existing customer is more likely to increase (Zhong & Moon, 2020). Generally, satisfaction contributes to Loyalty, and Loyalty is a derivative of satisfaction (Khadka & Maharjan, 2017). Evidence has shown a strong correlation among customers satisfaction and customer loyalty (Leninkumar, 2017). Customers with positive goods/service interaction will continue to deal with the brand in the future because it is less disruptive, make them loyal and adequate in decision-making. Several scholars have indicated that customer satisfaction, particularly in the service sector is one of the customer loyalty indicators (Belás & Gabčová, 2016; Coelho & Henseler, 2012). According to (Zhong & Moon, 2020), overall Loyalty assessed by satisfaction and satisfaction is the crucial Loyalty element. Therefore, the following hypothesis was developed based on the above discussion as:

**Hypothesis 4:** Customer satisfaction has a significant influence on customer loyalty.

**Hypothesis 5:** The association between service quality and customer loyalty is mediated by customersatisfaction.

**Hypothesis 6:** The association between price and customer loyalty is mediated by customersatisfaction.

**Hypothesis 7:** The association between environment and customer loyalty is mediated by customer satisfaction.

2.5 Customer Loyalty
( Oliver, 1999) defined customer loyalty as a willingness to repurchase a preferred good and service repeatedly and recommend to others. Customer loyalty is also important to marketers because it can maintain current customers rather than attracting new customers (Loureiro & González, 2008). However, Repeated customers minimise marketing costs compared to attract new customers (Shoemaker & Lewis, 1999). Customer loyalty is an integral part of an organisation's long-term goal(Jin et al., 2013). Notably, loyal customers have a deeper emotional attachment with goods and services (Gounaris & Stathakopoulos, 2004). A researcher like (Bowen & Chen, 2001; Dick & Basu, 1994; Yoo & Bai, 2013) state that there are three main aspects in determining Loyalty: behaviour (sustained repeat buying/visiting), attitude (psychological and emotional interactions) composite (a combination of these two factors, Loyalty assessed by consumer desires, repeat purchase, positive word of mouth, and willingness to recommend). A customer who intends to repurchase and recommend others has a higher chance of loyalty and stay with the same brand (Kandampully & Suhartanto, 2000). Thus, in this research, a composite method is utilised to evaluate customer loyalty to the restaurants.

3. Methods and Materials
3.1 Study Design
Regarding the study design of this research, the primary data was quantitatively obtained by questionnaires. This method allows the researchers to get essential data in a shorter period from a wide range of populations with low cost. In pursuance of answering research questions, questionnaires will be designed to determine respondents' perception of service quality, price, restaurant environment, customer satisfaction, and customer loyalty. Fig 1 illustrates the proposed research model for this study.
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3.2 Questionnaire Development
The questionnaire was adapted for this study from previously published research. However, minimal changes were made to make them suitable for this research. The questionnaire was mainly divided into six different sections, and one factor was explored in each section: service quality (SQ), Price (P), Restaurant Environment (RE), Customer Satisfaction (SAT) and customer loyalty. A 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (Strongly disagree) and 5 (Strongly agree) were adopted from previous studies. To five factors were quantified discussed above, sixteen (16) items were used (See table 1). Besides, respondent demographic information was also collected, such as gender, marital status, age, education, income, and occupation.

| Variable                  | Items                                                                 | Source                          |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Service quality (SQ)      | Staff members are friendly.                                        | (Zhong & Moon, 2020)            |
|                           | Staff members are very helpful.                                    |                                 |
|                           | Staff members serve quickly and promptly.                         |                                 |
| Price (P)                 | The price of the food in the restaurant is reasonable.             | (Hanaysha, 2016a)               |
|                           | Based on the food, the price here is fair.                        |                                 |
|                           | The price of the food is affordable.                               |                                 |
| Restaurant environment (RE)| The temperature in the restaurant is comfortable.             | (Ali et al., 2016; Zhong & Moon, 2020) |
|                           | The restaurant environment is clean.                                |                                 |
|                           | The colours inside the restaurant are complementary and coordinating.|                                 |
|                           | The lighting in the restaurant is comfortable.                     |                                 |
| Customer satisfaction (SAT)| The overall experience of this restaurant is satisfying.          | (Ali et al., 2016; Hanaysha, 2016b) |
|                           | I think my decision to visit this restaurant was a wise one.      |                                 |
|                           | **This restaurant meets most of my expectations.**                |                                 |
| Customer loyalty (LOY)    | I will continue to visit this restaurant in the future.           | (Gong & Yi, 2018; Zhong & Moon, 2020) |
|                           | I will recommend this restaurant to others.                        |                                 |
|                           | I will say positive things about this restaurant to others        |                                 |
3.3 Data Collection
The survey was organised in Pakistan's capital city (Islamabad) through face-to-face contact with customers at different restaurants. A self-administered survey was used to collect the data. Sampling was carried out over three weeks by distributing questionnaires to customers at different restaurants in various Islamabad locations. A convenience sample was drawn for the research. Our study's purpose was clarified to the customer to increase participation, and questionnaires were given only to those who were willing to participate in this research survey. A 500 questionnaires were distributed in total, and 385 were considered appropriately complete after the deletion of incomplete answers, showing a response rate of 77%.

4. Data Analysis
We used SPSS v.25 to assess and analysed data for this research. The respondent's demographic information was defined by using descriptive statistics. Multiple regression was used to test the model and the hypotheses systematically. Before multiple regression, each construct's internal consistency was tested to ensure its reliability and validity.

The values in (table 2) showed that 65% of people surveyed were male, and 34.5% female, with 57.3% being single, while 42.7% were married. Approximately 42.5% of respondents were 21–25-year-old, 42.5% were 26-30, 12.2% were 36-45, 5.7% were under 20, and just 2.1% were 46–55-year-old. 36% of the total respondents had a master's degree, while 34% had an undergraduate degree, 17.4% had a college education, and 10.9% had a PhD degree.

| Characteristic          | Percentage |
|-------------------------|------------|
| **Gender**              |            |
| Male                    | 65.5       |
| Female                  | 34.5       |
| **Total**               | 100        |
| **Marital Status**      |            |
| Single                  | 57.3       |
| Married                 | 42.7       |
| **Total**               | 100        |
| **Age**                 |            |
| 20 year or below        | 5.7        |
| 21-25                   | 42.5       |
| 26-30                   | 35.5       |
| 36-45                   | 12.2       |
| 46-55                   | 2.1        |
| **Total**               | 100        |
| **Education**           |            |
| Secondary school        | 1.6        |
| College/university      | 17.4       |
| Undergraduate           | 34.2       |
| Master                  | 36.0       |
| PhD                     | 10.9       |
| **Total**               | 100        |
| **Monthly income PKR**  |            |
| Less 10,000             | 19.9       |
| 10,000-19,999           | 8.5        |
| 20,000-29,999           | 10.9       |
| 30,000-39,000           | 14.0       |
| 40,000-49,999           | 23.8       |
| More than 50,000        | 22.8       |
| **Total**               | 100        |
| **Occupation**          |            |
| Government employee     | 21.0       |
| Private sector          | 35.0       |
| Student                 | 28.5       |
| Business owner          | 11.9       |
| Housewife               | 3.6        |
| **Total**               | 100        |
4.1 Reliability and Validity Test
This study ensures data validity and reliability through factor analysis and reliability Test. The Cronbach alpha is a common technique for testing the item's internal consistency (Gefen et al., 2000; Manzoor et al., 2019). All variables' alpha values were ranged from 0.884-0.910, which is higher than the recommended 0.70, ensuring the inner consistency construct. In addition, factor analysis was employed using Principal components to report the factor loading. Overall, the loading factor ranged from 0.917 to 0.881, thus fulfilling the validity.

| Constructs          | Item | Cronbach Alpha | Factor Loading |
|---------------------|------|----------------|----------------|
| Service quality     | 3    | .884           | .882           |
| Price perception    | 3    | .889           | .917           |
| Environment         | 4    | .910           | .901           |
| Customer satisfaction | 3    | .898           | .855           |
| Customer loyalty    | 3    | .896           | .881           |

4.2 Descriptive and Correlation Analysis
The descriptive statistic and correlation analysis are shown in Table 4. The standard deviations (S.D) value from 1.05 to 1.10 and the mean varied from 3.48 to 3.63, respectively. The correlation values among service quality, Price, Restaurant environment, Customer satisfaction, and Customer loyalty are substantial and positive (see Table 4).

|                              | Means | S. D | 1   | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    |
|------------------------------|-------|------|-----|------|------|------|------|
| Service quality              | 3.63  | 1.07 | 1   |      |      |      |      |
| Price                        | 3.48  | 1.10 | .506** | 1   |      |      |      |
| Restaurant Environment       | 3.61  | 1.05 | .632** | .540** | 1   |      |      |
| Customer satisfaction        | 3.50  | 1.09 | .549** | .478** | .514** | 1   |      |
| Customer loyalty             | 3.65  | 1.09 | .527** | .503** | .497** | .718** | 1   |

Significance of correlation: **p<0.01. S.D = standard deviation, values on diagonal are correlation values.

4.3 Hypothesis Testing Results
To test the proposed hypotheses, Multiple Regression approach was employed. Table 5 and Fig 2 report correlation coefficient (β), levels of significance (t-value), and significant effects of 7 hypotheses. All hypotheses are fully supported.

Service quality (β = .560, t = 12.87, p < 0.01) was significantly associated with customer satisfaction. Therefore hypothesis 1 is accepted. While price (P) (β = .472, t =10.67, p < 0.01) and restaurant environment (β = .531, t=11.75, p < 0.01) was significantly related with customer satisfaction. Hence the hypothesis 2 and hypothesis 3 are accepted, respectively. H4 was projected that SAT is significantly associated with LOY (β = .721, t=20.20, p < 0.01). Thus, our H4 is accepted, respectively.
Table 5: Regression coefficient (β) for testing hypothesis 1-7

| Path                  | F-Stat | T-values | S. E | β     | LL95%CI | UL95%CI | P-Value |
|-----------------------|--------|----------|------|-------|---------|---------|---------|
| Service quality → Satisfaction | 165.8  | 12.87    | .043 | .560  | ***     |         |         |
| Price → Satisfaction  | 113.8  | 10.67    | .044 | .472  | ***     |         |         |
| Environment → Satisfaction | 138.1 | 11.75    | .045 | .531  | ***     |         |         |
| Satisfaction → Loyalty | 408.7  | 20.20    | .036 | .721  | ***     |         |         |
| SQ → SAT → LOY       | 4.59   | .042     | .3447| .2668 | .4287   | ***     |         |
| P → SAT → LOY        | 5.28   | .0388    | .2933| .2156 | .3746   | ***     |         |
| E → SAT → LOY        | 4.28   | .0420    | .3350| .2560 | .4223   | ***     |         |

Note: **p < 0.01. S.E: Standard error

In addition, the outcome of mediation analysis (see table 5 and Fig 2) shows the indirect effect of SQ → SAT → LOY was positive (β = .344, t=4.49, p < 0.01) thus the H5 is supported. Moreover, the SAT mediates relationship between P and LOY (β = .293, t=5.28, p < 0.01) H6 is supported. The SAT mediates association among RE and LOY (β = .335, t=4.28, p < 0.01); thus, the H7 is statistically significant and accepted, respectively.

5. Discussions and Conclusion

In this research, the association among service quality (SQ), price (P) restaurant environment (RE) on customer loyalty (LOY) has been examined via the mediating effect of customer satisfaction (SAT). The outcome of our research indicates the positive and significant relationship among all variable. Our findings show that the restaurant's SQ and pleasant RE can significantly affect SAT. Beside SQ and RE, Price also has a positive impact on customer satisfaction.

In addition, the association among SAT and LOY is also positive and Significant. Our study outcome indicates that SAT influences LOY. Thus, customer satisfaction is an essential element that keeps a customer loyal in a restaurant. As supported by Oliver (1997), if the actual result meets the requirements, the customer will be satisfied. Moreover, the association between SQ, P, RE and LOY has significantly mediated by SAT. The indirect association among quality of service, price, restaurant environment and customer loyalty were positive. Therefore, restaurant operators need to consider their service quality, fairness in price and attractive restaurant environment, to enhance customer satisfaction and Loyalty. Thus, restaurants who have loyal customers must protect them adequately because it can improve a positive word of mouth and suggest the restaurant others.

The factors influencing SAT and LOY were analysed in this paper. Therefore, this research adds to the literature about the elements that influence the SAT and LOY in the restaurant industry, specifically
Pakistan. This research also provides a practical understanding of elements affecting customer satisfaction and Loyalty (revisit intention). This study concentrated on how service quality, price, and restaurant environment influence SAT and LOY, particularly in Islamabad, Pakistan’s capital city. Future Researchers could conduct a study in other regions or nations through this model or add some more variable such as food quality, customer perceived value or socio-cultural variable's effects in mediating these relationships.
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