Adaptive Sports in Spinal Cord Injury: a Systematic Review

Ramsey Rayes1 · Charlotte Ball2 · Kenneth Lee2 · Christopher White2

Accepted: 2 June 2022 / Published online: 7 July 2022
This is a U.S. Government work and not under copyright protection in the US; foreign copyright protection may apply 2022

Abstract
Purpose of Review Adaptive sports (AdS), sports modified or created to accommodate persons with disabilities, have been gaining popularity over the last decade. The benefits of exercise in the able-bodied population are well-established. Literature in adaptive sport and the para-athlete continues to improve but is not yet as comprehensive as their able-bodied peers, in part due to the heterogeneity of participants. In this review, we appraise the recent literature pertaining to AdS and identify developing areas within the field.

Recent Findings AdS have been shown to have positive health benefits as well as positive psychosocial benefits. Para-athletes often must overcome a variety of barriers to participation, such as transportation, accessibility, and socioeconomic factors. Facilitators to participation have also been identified, including pre-injury interest in sports, male sex, younger age, and more. In addition to well-known sports like handcycling and wheelchair basketball, adaptive sport continues to evolve, in part due to the COVID-19 pandemic, now including virtual options and E-sports. Para-athletes are also being more closely monitored and evaluated pre, peri, and post competition for injury and injury prevention, including in the realm of concussion management, requiring healthcare professionals, coaches, players, and all to gain further knowledge in adaptive sport and the participating para-athletes.

Summary The physical, psychological, and social benefits of adaptive sports for individuals with disabilities are numerous. Addressing barriers to participation, including novel forms of AdS that utilize innovative technology, may allow more individuals to benefit from AdS.
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Introduction

There are approximately 17,900 new cases of spinal cord injury (SCI) per year in the USA and 296,000 individuals living in the USA with SCI [1]. SCI can significantly impact a person’s ability to participate in physical activity or sporting events due to mobility limitations, durable medical equipment requirements, accessibility, and socioeconomic status. However, the benefits of exercise, cardiovascular and otherwise, are well-established in both able-bodied individuals and in persons with disabilities. Though SCI is associated with poor health, economic, quality of life (QoL), and social outcomes, these outcomes are dependent on environment and physical activity [2]. Adaptive sports (AdS) offer an opportunity for everyone, including those with SCI, to experience the positive benefit of physical activity.

AdS are sports modified or created to accommodate persons with disabilities. While these sports are often pursued for recreation or competition, they serve additional purposes. AdS can be supportive and provide various physical and psychosocial benefits that continue as a tool long after acute rehabilitation is completed.

Medical providers involved in AdS must recognize that the injuries and illnesses for an adaptive athlete can pose unique and complex challenges. AdS medicine combines the practices of disability medicine with sports medicine, incorporating knowledge of specific sports, patterns of
injury, and specialized equipment, all while taking into consideration the athlete’s baseline medical status, including disabling conditions such as SCI [3].

The area of AdS is fast-growing and continues to capture the attention of people living with disabilities, medical providers, and researchers. However, there is still a large gap in research on outreach, overcoming socioeconomic barriers, and sports safety concerns. Existing research on physical activity among this population is typically not as high quality compared to research involving the general population, and they are often excluded from research studies focusing on exercise and physical activity because of their disability [4]. Research into physical activity in persons with disabilities is generally challenging as this is a heterogeneous group and there is no consensus on how to define or measure disability across these populations [4].

The primary aim of this review is to discuss the established benefits and future directions for AdS. We highlight the established research supporting improved physical and psychosocial health in persons with SCI participating in AdS, as well as the common barriers and facilitators to participation. We introduce new areas of study, new sport participation, and the importance of focused safety and care of athletes with disability, including dedicated concussion evaluations.

Methods

A literature review of the scientific databases PubMed and Google Scholar was performed using a combination of the following keywords or MeSH terms: “spinal cord injury” AND “sport*” OR “adaptive sport*” OR “wheelchair sport*” OR “sports for persons with disabilities” OR “para-lympic” OR “para sport*” OR “para-sport*” OR “employment” OR “return to work” OR “educational status” OR “quality of life” OR “body composition” OR “nutrition.” Only articles published since 2017 pertaining to AdS in individuals with SCI were included.

Results

It has been well-established in the literature that AdS improves QoL in those with disabling conditions. QoL can be broken down into physical, psychosocial, material, and emotional wellbeing along with development and activity [5].

Physical Impacts of Adaptive Sports

Rehabilitation and Improved Function

AdS were initially created as a form of rehabilitation in the late 1700s and refined over many years [3]. Today, AdS can be a practical and cost-effective supplement to traditional rehabilitation in individuals with neurologic disabilities [6]. Recent literature regarding AdS in individuals with SCI continues to emphasize physical benefits of participation. Exercises that incorporate aerobic activities, resistance training or combined exercises, and gait training may provide the most benefit [7].

Studies show participants appreciate benefits such as improved strength and balance, maintenance of a healthy weight, improved daily function such as ease of transfer, and improved strength and stamina [8, 9]. AdS also helped participants ward off both acute and chronic medical issues by preventing weight gain and improving pulmonary function [10].

Body Composition

In a comprehensive review of studies analyzing body composition in SCI, only dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) showed acceptable test–retest reliability and convergent validity [11]. By means of DXA, wheelchair adaptive athletes were found to have significantly lower fat mass in the whole-body level and trunk region than wheelchair non-athletes [12]. Another study using DXA to assess body composition in elite wheelchair adaptive athletes showed female athletes had more fat mass and less fat-free mass than males [13]. There were also no significant differences found in fat and fat-free mass between participants with paraplegia, tetraplegia, or without SCI. Two separate studies analyzed the effects of training on adaptive athletes preparing for hand-cycle racing. One study documented a significant increase in peak power output, peak oxygen uptake, and a significant decrease in waist circumference over a 4-month training period [14]. The parallel study reported significantly improved peak power output, fat mass, and percentage body fat over the 4-month training period but did not find a significant decrease in waist circumference or body mass despite similar training hours, suggesting nutrition may have been a factor [15]. Despite the documented physical benefits of AdS, special attention should still be placed on athletes with SCI as they were found to have significantly higher percentage fat mass compared to able-bodied athletes and athletes with amputations, as well as significantly lower whole-body bone mineral density [16]. Furthermore, van der Sheer and colleagues noted relatively weak evidence in their literature review that consistent aerobic and strength exercises can improve bone health in chronic SCI despite moderate or high evidence regarding improvements of body composition, cardiorespiratory fitness, power output, and strength [17].

Cardiovascular/Respiratory

Autonomic dysfunction associated with SCI results in decreased cardiovascular regulation and function [18, 19].
as well as impaired thermoregulation and increased risk for
autonomic dysreflexia [18]. Endurance sports may induce
substantial cardiac remodeling in athletes with SCI [19], but
further research should be conducted on this effect depend-
ing on the level of neurological lesion [20, 21]. Additionally,
respiratory muscle training with inspiratory and expiratory
pressure devices may result in improved autonomic modu-
lation of the heart [22], although no direct effects on the
heart were found in a similar study [23]. Respiratory muscle
training can also significantly improve pulmonary function
in persons with SCI [22, 23]. Improved respiratory func-
tion can help prevent illnesses, which are more common in
athletes with SCI relative to other impairments [24]. While
there are many variables that influence the effects of physical
training in adaptive athletes, higher training frequency and
intensity are more efficient at inducing changes in aerobic
fitness and pulmonary function [6, 25].

Manual muscle power is currently one of the main factors
in determining classifications for adaptive athletes [26, 27].
Some argue that autonomic cardiovascular function should
be included in sports classification as they can place certain
athletes with SCI, especially those with a complete injury,
at a disadvantage, making cardiovascular and autonomic
assessments increasingly important [28, 29]. There is con-
cern that other variables such as autonomic function or pain
cannot reliably or consistently be evaluated [27].

Injury

AdS carry risk for various types of injury with unique chal-
 lenges. Concussion, for example, is a clinical diagnosis
which may be difficult to identify given a “normal” baseline
function cannot be applied across adaptive athletes [30]. It
is therefore recommended clinicians familiarize themselves
with each athlete’s baseline cognitive and neuromuscular
function via frequent examinations and use a revised sport
concussion assessment tool 5 (SCAT5) that takes special
considerations for various disabilities [30]. Until further
research is done, clinicians should err on the side of caution
with adaptive athletes and tailor concussion guidelines to
each patient [30].

While minor soft tissue injuries are relatively common
in both traditional and AdS, there is higher prevalence of
upper extremity injury in adaptive athletes compared to able-
bodied athletes [31, 32]. Increased age and manual wheel-
chair use pose higher risks of developing common extensor
tendinopathy, lateral epicondylitis, and rotator cuff tears
[31, 33]. Females were also found to have a higher risk than
males in developing rotator cuff tears, especially when par-
ticipating in a wheelchair sport [33]. A retrospective survey
of 43 non-elite adaptive athletes demonstrated that 39.5%
sustained an injury that required them to miss a competi-
tion or practice in 12 months, and most of those injured had
no injury prevention education [34]. Additionally, approxi-
mately 42%, mostly those with SCI, experienced spasticity
[34]. Over half of those injured sought medical care from
multiple medical providers, and 25% of those who did not
seek medical care did not because they were unsure of who
to see [34].

Recently, an addendum to the International Olympic
Committee’s universal methodology for recording and
reporting injury was made to include special measures for
individuals with impairment [35]. The addendum provides
more specific definitions and recommendations for respec-
tive impairments, such as how to define and classify health
problems, injury mechanism, onset, duration, and more.

Nutrition

Athletes with SCI face unique nutritional requirements
compared to able-bodied athletes due to a variety of factors,
such as physiological adaptations or limitations after injury,
reduced exercise capacity, insufficient guidance, or second-
ary health complications [36, 37]. It is estimated that actual
energy intake and expenditure is lower in adaptive athletes
relative to able-bodied counterparts [38]. Adaptive athletes
may also be at higher risk for low energy availability, which
may cause a myriad of health issues [37, 38]. Sleep distur-
bances may also affect nutrition status and athletic perfor-
mance [37] and attending training camps can cause signifi-
cant reduction in total sleep time and worsen sleep quality
[39]. Despite a growing body of literature, guidelines for
nutrition in AdS remain unclear given the heterogeneity of
the population, type of sport, and injury levels [36]. While
carbohydrates, protein, vitamin D, and iron are vital nutri-
ents that can affect performance across all types of sports
and injuries, individualized nutritional plans are most effec-
tive at empowering athletes [37]. For example, many para-
athletes with SCI were found to be deficient in vitamin D
independent of diet and lifestyle. A sliding-scale supple-
mentation of vitamin D in these para-athletes can maintain
sufficient vitamin D levels, improve vitamin D deficiencies,
and may result in increased muscle strength [40]. Overall,
the nutritional guidelines for able-bodied athletes may not
be well-suited for adaptive athletes and additional research
needs to be done.

Psychosocial Impacts of Adaptive Sports

In addition to the physical benefits for adaptive athletes,
there is a growing body of literature focusing on the psy-
chosocial benefits of AdS in individuals with SCI and other
disabilities. Despite the heterogeneity of study design, back-
grounds of participants, and type of sport, similar themes
have emerged regarding how psychosocial benefits are medi-
ated through intrapersonal and interpersonal effects.
Intrapersonal

AdS has been shown to increase life satisfaction and QoL in individuals with SCI [41, 42]. Persons with disabilities commonly participate in AdS for personal enrichment. Adaptive athletes may use sports to hold onto their pre-injury identity or they may view physical activities as transformative to their character, allowing them to move past their disability identity [8, 9, 43–45]. Disability acceptance can help mediate a sense of self-efficacy and positive life satisfaction in individuals with SCI and AdS may help mediate this transition [46]. Recent literature suggests that AdS provide persons with disabilities a sense of belonging and purpose [10, 43], self-efficacy or confidence [8, 9, 44, 47–49], freedom and autonomy [9, 50], continuity and structure [45, 50], and hope and motivation [9, 10, 45]. Furthermore, besides recreational purposes alone, some individuals report that sports help regulate their mood and reduce stress [8, 9, 50].

Interpersonal

AdS have also been shown to help individuals with SCI build or solidify interpersonal relationships and reintegrate into the community. In a Turkish study involving persons with disabilities, it was reported that wheelchair users were less likely to participate in community activities, but those who played AdS professionally had higher rates of community reintegration [51]. Participants with SCI have reported that AdS provided opportunities to build new relationships with their peers and community as well as strengthen current connections with family and friends [9, 45]. Some individuals pursue AdS to fulfill a need for social acceptance [43, 47], while others report that participation offers a means to socialize [44, 47, 48, 50]. Furthermore, AdS programs foster environments where individuals can comfortably discuss issues they face with their disability [10] and serve as a source of information, opportunities, and resources [44, 48, 50]. These findings are worth considering when individuals with SCI are reintegrating into their communities.

Veterans and Adaptive Sports

U.S. military veterans represent a large proportion of the individuals participating in AdS with 76% of community organizations affiliated with Veterans Affairs Medical Centers [52]. With Veterans Affairs (VA) being one of the largest healthcare systems in the world, it has been in the forefront of advocating for AdS for veterans with disability. A highly respected and active program is the National Veterans Sports Program & Special Events (NVSPSE), which has engaged in AdS since 1981 with the National Veterans Wheelchair Games [3]. Currently, NVSPSE runs six programs that have been serving veterans with various sports and recreation activities [53]. In addition, Congress has approved continued support of community organizations through NVSPSE with adaptive sports grants [54]. This grant program started in 2013 with $8,000,000 and has grown to $14,500,000 allocated for 2022. This exemplifies the importance and continuing effort by the VA to continue to break down barriers and facilitate the wellbeing of veterans with disability in achieving healthy and meaningful lives.

Barriers and Facilitators to Participation

While individuals with SCI are often included in the target populations for studies evaluating access to sporting activities, few have focused solely on SCI or other disabilities. Nonetheless, when taken together, these studies help uncover common barriers and facilitators to participation in AdS.

Barriers

One of the largest factors influencing participation in AdS throughout multiple recent studies was financial costs [44, 55–59]. Although expenses were reported as barriers for both adults and parents of children with disabilities, cost was a more significant challenge for adults [57]. A study in Brazil found that approximately 57% of the adaptive athletes sampled purchased assistive devices out of their own money, despite most of the participants belonging to low-income backgrounds and utilizing public resources designed to subsidize costs [60]. Although there are differing and complex influencing factors across countries, it is evident that financial limitations pose significant barriers to entry in AdS.

Time constraints and transportation concerns were also reported as significant barriers [44, 55, 57, 58]. Other barriers cited included lack of fellow athletes, coaches, or local facilities to train [58, 59, 61] as well as lack of awareness of sport opportunities among adaptive athletes [44, 47]. In line with the lack of coaches, there is also a lack of experienced healthcare professionals to guide adaptive athletes in their sport [3]. Persons with disabilities are a heterogeneous group; therefore, a healthcare provider must be knowledgeable of various disabilities, secondary health issues, and personal factors to tailor an appropriate training protocol [3]. Additional barriers reported by adult participants in AdS studies included limitations related to their condition, injury, or fear of injury [44, 47, 58] as well as environmental barriers such as lack of practical parking, ramps, or elevators [55–58, 61]. It should be noted, however, that consistent exercise is feasible even among individuals with SCI who had significantly lower mobility scores on the World Health Organization Disability Assessment Schedule (WHODAS) 2.0 relative to other attendees when adequate facilities are available [62].
Facilitators

In a study focused on youth (age 14–20 years old) with SCI, it was found that male gender, younger age, better health, and higher levels of trust were significant factors that facilitated AdS participation [63]. Other unique facilitators for AdS participation included early or pre-injury interest in sports [44, 47, 64]. People also endorsed accommodating environmental factors such as parking or having other individuals with disability in attendance [57]. In a case–control study involving interviews of 65 individuals with SCI found that being able to drive a vehicle and being employed were significantly associated with participation in AdS [64]. Similar findings showing positive associations between employment among individuals with disability and community participation were reported in Turkey [51]. Social support from coaches, peers, or family were found to be important factors influencing or motivating participation [44, 47, 55–57]. Additional motivators included health improvement, fun and relaxation, and improving physical strength [57, 58].

Additional research into the barriers and facilitators of AdS participation is warranted given the complex factors influencing participation and the significant effects it may have on QoL in individuals with SCI.

Adaptive Sport and Future Considerations

Despite growing popularity and focus on AdS and exercise in persons with disabilities, there are still gaps in this field. A comprehensive literature review by Martin Ginis and her colleagues brings the current state of global research regarding people living with disabilities and their exercise rates into focus [4]. Taking these findings in context with other recent studies, directions for future research become clearer.

In their review, Martin Ginis et al. note that an estimated 20–60% of adults with disabilities do not reach the World Health Organization’s recommended physical activity levels [4]. This is consistent with a study analyzing physical activity levels with a wrist accelerometer in 96 manual wheelchair users with SCI in Spain, where approximately 43% of participants reached weekly recommended threshold of moderate-vigorous exercise, and approximately 37% who self-identified as regular exercisers did not reach recommended threshold of moderate-vigorous exercise [65]. These deficits in physical activity among individuals with disabilities were compounded by the COVID-19 pandemic. One study showed significant decreases in self-reported physical activity among individuals with SCI during lockdown in Spain [66]. However, there have been strategies implemented to promote participation in AdS and improve QoL even with social distancing.

Amid the COVID-19 pandemic, a virtual AdS exercise class was established and enrolled 47 participants with disabilities [67]. The virtual sports program had advantages and pitfalls. The nature of virtual exercise classes reduced barriers to participation, was able to reach a wider population, and was more cost-effective in staffing and in startup since it was designed to use equipment at participants’ homes [67]. The virtual platform also posed challenges. Registration and waiver completion were more difficult, the exact locations of each participant had to be tracked in case of medical emergencies, patient confidentiality was harder to protect in the virtual format, participants had less peer mentoring, and the class was less amenable to certain sports [67].

Competitive and organized video gaming, also known as E-sports, is an alternate and interesting form of AdS. While E-sports do not involve significant physical activity, there is potential to improve mental and social wellbeing. Tobacof et al. worked to design custom, adaptive video game setups to facilitate participation in E-sports among seven individuals with SCI [68]. Although social connectedness was negatively correlated with time since injury among participants, all seven members reported positive impacts on their feelings of social connectedness after participation in the program [68].

Some additional breakthroughs in recent years include new guidelines for physical activity tailored specifically towards individuals with SCI. In a separate literature review, Martin Ginis et al. propose new physical activity guidelines for people with SCI that require lower levels compared to the general population but are more achievable [69]. Specifically, they strongly recommend for adults with SCI to engage in 20 min of moderate to vigorous aerobic exercise in addition to three sets of strength training for functioning major muscle groups, at moderate to vigorous intensity for both, twice weekly for optimal cardiorespiratory and muscle strength benefits [69]. They also provide a conditional recommendation of at least 30 min of moderate to vigorous aerobic exercise three times weekly for cardiometabolic health [69]. It is recommended that healthcare professionals provide individuals with SCI information on exercise, and that the guidance should include theory-based interventions, such as behavioral change techniques, delivered via direct counseling or individualized action plans [70].

What meaningful and effective participation entails is an important perspective to consider when encouraging individuals with SCI to take on sports or exercise. A holistic definition regarding meaningful participation for persons with disabilities was proposed and goes beyond objective measures of performance to account for the subjective experience [71]. This new definition argues that autonomy, belongingness, challenge, engagement, mastery, and meaning are all vital to an impactful experience [71]. A separate study identified characteristics of quality physical activity including group
cohesion and sense of community, challenge and growth, having a role, independence, and choice, based on responses from veterans with disabilities and their providers [61, 72].

There are arguments that the technology itself in AdS can be improved. Even if one overcomes the barriers to participation, there are little to no effective tools to track performance, calories burned, or longitudinal training in adaptive athletes [59]. This also poses challenges in customizing and optimizing assistive equipment, which can help reduce injury [59]. For these reasons, more robust and accurate analytical technology along with more recruitment of fellow athletes and knowledgeable trainers can help advance the field of AdS [59].

Discussion

This literature review was conducted to highlight AdS and their roles in the lives of individuals with SCI. While the primary focus was on new and interesting discoveries over the last 5 years, we discuss how these recent findings have built upon previous knowledge and may guide future studies.

Research continues to show that AdS can have numerous beneficial effects on the physical, mental, and social wellbeing of individuals with SCI and other physical disabilities. However, it should not be thought that AdS are a faultless solution to the health of SCI individuals. There remain challenging barriers in access to AdS, ranging from high financial burdens, difficulties with transportation and environmental barriers, lack of local facilities and knowledgeable trainers or volunteers, or personal limitations due to disability. Nonetheless, awareness of these issues can and should influence interventions targeting persons with disabilities. For example, we have seen AdS translate into a virtual setting to circumvent some of these challenges. While these methods have their own issues, they show potential in improving QoL. Further research into E-sports and remote training programs should be pursued.

Some relationships between AdS and SCI should be further studied. In our literature review, we found limited articles involving children with SCI in their population [48, 57, 63]. The existing literature that does include youth involves a limited range of sports and lacks evaluation of participation outcomes [73]. We also found limited studies from 2017 or later directly looking at the relationship between AdS and return to work. We found just one study in which half of veterans participating at the National Veterans Wheelchair Games believed that AdS had a positive effect on obtaining employment [74]. It was also noted that adaptive athletes who were currently working were significantly more likely to report that participation helped them achieve employment [74]. Given that economic sufficiency was found to have a significant positive association with life satisfaction in individuals with SCI, the mediating effect of AdS should be further investigated [75]. Lastly, research into the benefits of AdS in people with SCI is generally predominated by male participants and future work should strive to be more inclusive of females to address this gap.

AdS have been increasing in popularity and awareness. Beside the barriers and challenges outlined in this article, an important factor as we move into the future is the safety of athletes participating in these sports. Individuals with SCI, by the nature of their wheelchair use for daily locomotion, are at higher risk for upper extremity injuries compared to their able-bodied counterparts. Their co-morbidities can complicate their health during pre, peri, and post events. Such conditions include pressure ulcer injuries, thermoregulation issues, and sports-related concussion (SRC). There is a large gap in research and implementation of programs to prevent and evaluate SRC in AdS. Recently, there have been attempts to increase awareness and care for SRC in adaptive athletes. An international group of experts, Concussion In Parasport (CIPS), published the first position statement to start addressing the SRC in adaptive sport [30]. Shortly after that, the first book on concussion management of wheelchair athletes was published outlining a Concussion Management Program addressing evaluation and examination of SRC in wheelchair athletes [76]. Authors recognize the significant gap in addressing the safety of such athletes and need for future research.

Conclusion

SCI is a life-changing diagnosis. Persons with SCI experience physical, mental, and social consequences from their injuries. AdS are effective methods of increasing health and overall QoL for people with SCI and other disabilities. This review article highlights significant findings pertaining to AdS and SCI from 2017 onwards. It is important that medical providers and AdS programs be cognizant of common barriers to entry and strive to promote meaningful participation. As AdS continue to be recognized and become part of the mainstream sports world, it is also important to evaluate and improve on the safety of these adaptive athletes. As accessibility barriers are broken down via virtual platforms, additional research should also be focused on improving the technology that promotes effective training and participation in adaptive athletes.
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