Upper Ordovician (Hirnantian) to Lower Silurian (Telychian, Llandovery) graptolite biostratigraphy of the Tielugou section, Shennongjia anticline, Hubei Province, China
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Abstract
The Tielugou section, Shennongjia Anticline, Hubei Province (China) includes a relatively complete succession of Hirnantian (latest Ordovician) to basal Telychian (Llandovery, early Silurian) graptolite faunas. The section shows the first record of a fauna of the late Aeronian Stimulograptus halli Biozone from South China, even though the index species was not reported. The Stimulograptus sedgwickii Biozone may not be represented, indicating a possible gap at the base of the Stimulograptus halli Biozone. The interval yields a number of taxa that are elsewhere reported to originate only in the Stimulograptus halli Biozone. The youngest graptolitic levels are included in the Spirograptus guerichi Biozone based on specimens of Para-petalolithus dignus and Parapetalolithus palmeus not known from earlier intervals. Spirograptus guerichi is not represented in the section. The Tielugou section provides the first detailed information on the faunas and thickness of the encountered biostratigraphic units for the Shennongjia region.
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Introduction
Graptolitic successions of Late Ordovician to Early Silurian age are well known from the Yangtze platform of China and a number of faunal descriptions of the Late Ordovician to Early Silurian graptolites exist (Ni 1978; Chen and Lin 1978; Fang et al. 1990; Ge 1990; Li 1995, 1999). Interpretations by Chen et al. (2015, 2017) and Nie et al. (2017) indicated that the Ordovician/Silurian boundary interval is strongly condensed and the thickness of some biostratigraphic intervals shows values of sometimes less than 10 cm per graptolite biozone (Chen et al. 2006). A number of successions on the eastern side of the Huangling massif (Fig. 1a) provide detailed information pertaining to this interval. Also, the GSSP section for the international Hirnantian Stage of the Ordovician System, the Wangjiawan section, is defined in this area. According to Maletz et al. (2019), the Rhuddanian interval is condensed and incomplete in the YD–1 drill core east of the Huangling massif of Hubei Province, China, whereas an extremely thick mid–Aeronian Linigraptus convolutus Biozone was documented. Younger graptolites have not been registered in the drill core, unfortunately. Thus, the precise biostratigraphic correlation of some intervals is uncertain. Loydell (2012) indicated that the Stimulograptus sedgwickii and Stimulograptus halli biozones of the late Aeronian have not been documented unequivocally from South China. The Spirograptus guerichi Biozone is present as the oldest Telychian time interval, whereas the Cyrtograptus sakmaricus Biozone of late Telychian is the youngest interval recognized. Most of this information, however, originated from the northern Sichuan Basin successions (Chen 1984; Ge 1990; Fu et al. 2000; Chen et al. 2003; Wang et al. 2014) and the successions in the Huangling and Shennongjia regions are less well known.
Little information is available about the Lower Palaeozoic succession from the rim of the Shennongjia anticline (Fig. 1a). Bai et al. (2011) discussed the Huangling–Shennongjia area in the western Hubei province as part of the Yangtze continental nucleus with early Precambrian crystalline basement and a Proterozoic to Phanerozoic sedimentary cover. Ye et al. (2019) considered that the tectonic relationships between the Shennongjia terrane and the Huangling massif are unclear. Zhang and Dong (2016), however, interpreted the Shennongjia–Huangling massif as a single, rigid structure in the southern Dabashan unit.

Fan et al. (2011) discussed the Qingquan section, ca. 10 km east of Shennongjia and recognized the Parakidograptus accuminatus Biozone at the base of the Lungmaxi Shale. The Lungmaxi Shale is overlain by yellowish or gray–green shales and mudstones with a Spirograptus guerichi Biozone fauna. Information on the thickness of the lithostratigraphic and biostratigraphic units was not provided and the faunas have not been described. Thus, the here reported succession of the Tielugou section provides for the first time a detailed overview of the graptolite faunal succession of the lower Silurian of the region. It shows that the succession starts at least in the Upper Ordovician Metabolograptus persculptus Biozone and ranges upwards into the Spirograptus guerichi Biozone (basal Telychian). The index species Spirograptus guerichi was not recognized, but appears to be present in the nearby Qingquan section (Fan et al. 2011).

**Materials and methods**

**The Tielugou section**

The Tielugou section (probably the Shennongjia section of Chen et al. 2014) is a roadside section on the Provincial Road S307 to the west of Shennongjia (Fig. 1c–d) in the Shennongjia Forestry district (listed in the UNESCO’s World Network of Biosphere Reserves). The section is about 15 km to the west of the city center of Shennongjia, north of the conspicuous U-turn in the road (Fig. 1c). The section measures ca. 57 m from the Metabolograptus persculptus Biozone to the top of the accessible outcrop. The higher part of the succession consists of silty, light colored siltstones and mudstones and does not bear any graptolite faunas. More than 70 samples with graptolites have been collected from the section (Figs. 2, 3), some of which include a considerable number of identifiable specimens.
Fig. 2 The Tielugou section, exposure and lithology. a Middle part, samples CGS38–CGS48 (higher part during excavation) (Coronograptus leei to Lituigraptus convolutus Biozone). b Lower part, samples 3 and 4 interval (Metabolograptus persculptus Biozone). c Higher part of succession (Stimulograptus halli Biozone), samples CGS68–CGS72 labeled. Photos by Wei Kai.
Fig. 3 The graptolite biostratigraphy of the Tielugou section. Open circles indicate uncertain identifications due to poor preservation.
Lithology

The succession was documented recognizing 114 lithostratigraphic units that were carefully collected for fossils and described lithologically in the field. A shortened report is given here for the lithologies. The strata are in part strongly weathered, but parts closer the road are less weathered due to the fairly recent construction.

CGS1–8 (0–4 m). Black thin-bedded carbonaceous, siliceous mudstone inter-bedded with thin-bedded carbonaceous mudstone (Fig. 2b).

CGS9–12 (4–6 m). Black thin-bedded carbonaceous mudstone inter-bedded with a few thin-bedded siliceous mudstones.

CGS13–26 (6–13 m). Black thin-bedded carbonaceous siliceous mudstone, muddy siliceous mudstone inter-bedded with thin-bedded carbonaceous mudstone. Pyrite bands are more developed in the upper part.

CGS27–64 (13–32 m). Dark gray–black siltstone inter-bedded with carbonaceous mudstone and thin-bedded siltstone. Pyrite bands or nodules are present (Fig. 2a).

CGS65–69 (32–34.5 m). Black carbonaceous mudstone inter-bedded with thin-bedded siltstone or fine-grained sandstone lenses. A medium–thin-bedded (30 cm in total thickness) fine-grained sandstone at the base with plenty of scattered pyrite crystals.

CGS70–81 (34.5–40.5 m). Gray–dark gray silty mudstone or carbonaceous mudstone inter-bedded with thin-bedded siltstone (Fig. 2c).

CGS82–114 (40.5–57 m). Gray thin-bedded mudstone with a few very thin-bedded siltstones or small siltstone lenses (0.5–5 mm) in the lower part; dark gray to gray, thin-bedded muddy siltstone inter-bedded with a few black, thin-bedded carbonaceous mudstones at the middle; gray–dark gray thin-bedded mudstones in the upper part.

Graptolite faunas and preservation

The graptolite samples were taken from 20 to 50 cm thick intervals. A fairly precise graptolite biostratigraphy (Fig. 3) can be established through the samples. The biostratigraphic coverage of the samples shows that the graptolite record is very good in the lower 38 m of the section, whereas it is poor to extremely poor in the upper 20 m. Up to sample CGS76, nearly every collected sample bears graptolite specimens in various amounts, but above this level, only a single sample with graptolites has been secured (sample CGS88).

The invariably flattened graptolites are largely preserved in dark gray to black, often silty shales and siltstones and are generally easily spotted on the rock surface (Fig. 4) due to the contrast of the silvery shining fusellum to the dark background (Fig. 4g–i). In weathered material, the bedding surfaces are lighter colored and the graptolite fusellum appears darker (Fig. 4c). In these specimens, the fusellum is often broken into small pieces and only small amounts of the fusellum are preserved. The preservation ranges from extremely poor in some of the siltstones and silty shales to fair in finer grained sedimentary rocks. The specimens are randomly oriented on the sediment surface and distinct current orientations are rare. Problems in the species identification are based either on the poor preservation of the material or in the amount of specimens on some slabs, but also on the fragmentation of many specimens (cf. Fig. 4a, b: Lituigraptus convolutus fragments). A few layers are so crowded with graptolites that it is not possible to identify individual specimens. The graptolite specimens range in size from single siculae to complete and undistorted biserial and uniserial graptolite specimens more than 10 cm in length, but fragmented material appears to be most common.

The preservation of the graptolites indicates some tectonic distortion and thermal heating of the sedimentary rocks (cf. Hartkopf–Fröder et al. 2015; Maletz and Steiner 2015; Maletz 2020) through the generally silvery color of the graptolite fusellum in less weathered material. Thus, the material (Fig. 4g–i) can be compared with the preservation of more strongly tectonized material from Thuringia, Germany, in which apart from the coalification, stronger tectonic distortion modifies the material (cf. Fig. 4d, e). Clearly, the Thuringian specimens of Pseudorthograptus radiculatus (Fig. 4d, e) are strongly elongated, while the specimens from the Tielugou section (Fig. 4g) show more densely spaced thecae. The color indicates graptolite reflectance reaching values of 3.0% or anchizone metamorphism (Hartkopf–Fröder et al. 2015; Maletz 2020). The fusellum is visible as a thin film of organic material, often surrounded by whitish pressure shadow minerals. In some cases, the tectonic strain is visible through parallel fractures in the fusellum of the specimens (e.g., Fig. 4h: Parapetalolithus dignus), but is difficult to observe in other specimens (Fig. 4i). This may in case provide considerable distortion of specimens and make taxonomic identification difficult. Slabs are also weathered on the surface to a variable degree, depending to the length of surface exposure of the material in the field. The strata are not horizontal, but are inclined towards the NE. Along the outcrop the inclination of the beds does not change considerably. However, no measurements are taken and further information on the tectonic situation is not available.

Repositories

All illustrated graptolites from the Tielugou section are preserved in the type collection at Nanjing Institute of Geology and Palaeontology, Academia Sinica (NIGPAS), Nanjing, China (NIGP). Additional illustrated material
The graptolite biostratigraphy

The Hirnantian includes two graptolite biozones, the lower *Metabolograptus extraordinarius* Biozone and the overlying...
Metabolograptus persculptus Biozone (Loydell 2012). A differentiation of these intervals is not possible in all regions worldwide, possibly due to incompleteness of the successions and the difficulty to identify the graptolite taxa in poorly preserved material. Graptolites from the Hirnantian have been reported frequently from the Yangtze region (Chen and Lin 1978; Wang et al. 1983; Lin and Chen 1984; Mu and Lin 1984; Fang et al. 1990; Chen et al. 2005, 2006). Numerous species have been described and the taxonomy of some important taxa is in need of revision. Many taxa appear to be based on fragments or special preservational aspects (cf. oblique and scalariform views of normalograptids with branched virgella in the Normalograptus bifurcus/coremus/radicatus group, but not only in these; see below).

The Metabolograptus extraordinarius Biozone of South China (cf. Chen et al. 2006) with its mixture of DDO and M graptolite faunas (see Melchin and Mitchell 1991; now Diplopgraptina and Neograptina: Štorch et al. 2011) has not been discovered in the Tielougou section. It appears that the characteristic Kuanyinchiao Limestone with the Hirnantian fauna separating the Upper Ordovician Wufeng Formation and the Llandovery Longmaxi (Lungmachi) Formation (see Wang 1978, 1987; Chen et al. 2005, 2006) in the region is not exposed in this section. Thus, it is unclear, whether the base of the Metabolograptus persculptus Biozone is represented in the local succession as older Ordovician graptolites have not been collected from the region (Fan et al. 2011: fig. 4). Unfortunately, the succession cannot be followed further downwards and additional sections in the region are not available. The oldest graptolites are from sample CGS1, yielding a small number of poorly preserved taxa that belong to the Metabolograptus persculptus Biozone, but most specimens are indeterminable at the species level.

Ordovician: Hirnantian

Metabolograptus persculptus Biozone (0–1.25 m).

The samples CGS1 – CGS3 are here included in the Metabolograptus persculptus Biozone (Fig. 5). The faunas are extremely poor in preservation and the specimens therefore are hard to identify. It is unclear, whether the base of the Metabolograptus persculptus Biozone is represented in the section, as older faunas have not been discovered. Possible specimens of Metabolograptus persculptus (Fig. 5p) have been recognized, but good proximal ends and specimens showing thecal details are not present. The identification of this species is surprisingly uncertain as can be seen by the comparison of material from various descriptions. Štorch and Loydell (1996) discussed this species in some detail including relief material. Loxton (2017) found the species only in the lower part of his Metabolograptus persculptus Biozone and illustrated a single specimen that shows much more densely spaced proximal thecae and is not comparable with the Štorch and Loydell (1996) material. Thus, his Metabolograptus persculptus Biozone does not contain any true specimens of the index species. The material of Rickards and Riva (1981) also has to be questioned. It shows extreme tectonic deformation and is specifically indeterminable.

Normalograptus mirnyensis (Fig. 5o) is common in the interval as is Korenograptus elegantulus (Mu and Ni, 1983) (Fig. 5a, c). A single well-preserved specimen identified as Normalograptus sp. (Fig. 5b), might be referable to Avitograptus acanthocystus (Fang et al., 1990) as described by Muir et al. (2020). A number of robust specimens are here identified as Neodiplograptus sp. (Fig. 5r), but as they do not provide any further information than the general shape of the colony, they cannot be identified to species level.

A number of slender normalograptids were identified as Avitograptus avitus (Fig. 5g, k) following the description in Melchin et al. (2011). Chen et al. (2006) identified comparable material from the Wangjianwan section as Normalograptus rhizinus. Chen et al. (2005) indicate a very short range of Normalograptus rhizinus in the top of the Metabolograptus persculptus Biozone at Wangjiawan. Better material of these taxa is necessary to support or reject the synonymy of both species and the identity of the genus Avitograptus and its various species.

Metabolograptus persculptus Biozone faunas have been described in some detail by Štorch et al. (2011) from north–central Nevada, where they show a considerable mixing of members of the Diplopgraptina and Neograptina. Chen et al. (2006: fig. 6) indicated the presence of Anticostia uniformis and Paraorthograptus brevispinus in the Metabolograptus persculptus Biozone, but these faunal elements were not illustrated. Previously, Chen et al. (2000) indicated the presence of the Diplopgraptina only reaching into the Metabolograptus extraordinarius Biozone. As no specimens of the Diplopgraptina were recorded in the Metabolograptus persculptus Biozone at Tielougou, a precise correlation of the interval may remain uncertain. However, diplograptine faunal elements were only discovered in some regions in the Metabolograptus persculptus Biozone, but not in others (e.g., Štorch et al. 2011).

Silurian: Llandovery (Rhuddanian)

Loydell (2012) differentiated four graptolite biozones in the Rhuddanian of South China, based on data in Chen (1984), Chen et al. (2003) and Fu et al. (2000). The Akidograptus ascensus and Parakidograptus acuminatus biozones are here combined, as they cannot be differentiated in the Tielougou section. Both species appear only in the higher part of the interval. The graptolite faunas around the base of the
Rhuddanian have been thoroughly investigated in the last decades and a revision of the original GSSP definition was proposed differentiating an Akidograptus ascensus Biozone overain by a Parakidograptus acuminatus Biozone in the Dobb’s Linn section (Rong et al. 2008). The GSSP is defined with the FAD of Akidograptus ascensus in the stratotype section. While the Cystograptus vesiculosus Biozone is easily recognizable, it is preferred to identify the overlying interval as the Coronograptus leei Biozone and not the Coronograptus cyphus Biozone, as the latter species is not present.

Akidograptus ascensus/Parakidograptus acuminatus Biozone (1.75–6.7 m).

The base of the Silurian is difficult to determine due to the lack of important index taxa. It is here identified at the FAD of Normalograptus anjiensis (Fig. 5f), but Akidograptus ascensus and Parakidograptus acuminatus first appear much higher in the succession. The local FAD of Parakidograptus acuminatus is in CGS 11, while Akidograptus ascensus appears slightly lower, in CGS 09. These first appearances of the index species may be based on the poor preservation and difficulty to identify most biserial graptolites of the interval. It may also be based on insufficient material available for the investigation and the possibility remains that there is a gap at the base of the biozone.

Loxton (2017) noted that Normalograptus anjiensis first appears in the Akidograptus ascensus Biozone in China and in Yukon, Canada. The species was initially described from the Akidograptus ascensus/Glyptograptus bifurcus Biozone of South China, but later found also in the Kurama range of Usbekistan (Koren’ and Melchin 2000). It is similar to Normalograptus trifilis (Manck, 1923) as described by Storch and Serpagli (1993) and Storch and Feist (2008) and was identified as Normalograptus lubricus in the past (Loxton 2017). The Akidograptus ascensus/Parakidograptus acuminatus Biozone interval contains a number of biserial taxa, but includes also the earliest monographtids, here referred to Atavograptus sp. (Fig. 6h). The material is extremely poor and thecal details are not available. The index taxa of the interval, Akidograptus ascensus (Fig. 6, i) and Parakidograptus acuminatus (Fig. 6) are uncommon in the interval, but a number of easily recognizable species also appear. Poor specimens of Normalograptus trifilis (Fig. 6k) are present in sample CGS9 (4.25 m). Paramplexograptus kiliani (Fig. 6b, d) is a frequent member of the fauna, ranging through the higher part of the interval. Loxton (2017) reported the species only from the Akidograptus ascensus Biozone in Canada. Songxigraptus elongatus (Fig. 6f) is restricted to a single level, in which it is a common member of the fauna. The known material of Songxigraptus elongatus is very poor and details of the development are unknown.

Maletz (2019) synonymized the genus with Talacastograptus Cuerda et al., 1988, but the latter may be considerably younger as the associated fauna indicates (cf. Melchin 2007). Loxton (2017) referred the species to the Akidograptus ascensus Biozone and did not report it from higher intervals. Neodiplograptus lanceolatus (Storch and Serpagli, 1993) (Fig. 5d, i, l, q) is common in the Akidograptus ascensus/Parakidograptus acuminatus Biozone. The species may be identical to Neodiplograptus shangchongensis (Li, 1984).

Slightly higher is the first appearance of the spinose Hirutograptus sininizi (Fig. 6g), associated with the robust Cystograptus ancestralis. Several species of Normalograptus are present in the interval, but often show only the scalariform view, making a specific identification difficult. Poor specimens of Neodiplograptus sp. and Rickardograptus lauti (Fig. 6c) are also present in the upper part of the interval. A number of specimens have been identified as Korenograptus lungmaensis (Sun, 1933) (Fig. 6a), but may be more closely related to Korenograptus laciniosus, based on their characteristic thecal style.

The presence of Cystograptus ancestralis (Fig. 5h) in sample CGS6 could indicate the Akidograptus ascensus Biozone as the species is considered to have its FAD in this interval (Storch 1986). Another taxon is Normalograptus minor (Fig. 5s) with its characteristic development of the distally branching virgella. The species belongs to the Normalograptus bifurcus/coremus/radicatus group of normalograptids characterized by the distal branching of the virgella into a variable number of ‘spines’. Normalograptus minor is common in the Metabolograptus persculptus Biozone in other sections, but ranges into the Akidograptus ascensus/Parakidograptus acuminatus Biozone in Sardinia (Storch and Serpagli 1993). Storch and Schönlaub (2012)
discussed basal Silurian species with branching virgella and included Glyptograptus bifurcus Ye, 1978 with reservation in Rickardsograptus. The thecal style is, unfortunately, unknown for most taxa of the Normalograptus bifurcus/coremus/radicatus group. These species appear to be common in the Akidograptus ascensus/Parakidograptus acuminatus Biozone in China. Chen et al. (2005) considered Clima- cograptus radicatus Chen and Lin, 1978 and Diplograptus coremus Chen and Lin, 1978 as preservational aspects of a single species, identified as Normalograptus coremus, but considered Normalograptus minor (Huang, 1982) to be a separate species. Štorch et al. (2019) referred Normalograptus bifurcus and related taxa to the genus Korenograptus, based on the non-geniculate thecae of the illustrated specimens in lateral view and synonymized several taxa with Korenograptus bifurcus.

Chen et al. (2005) discussed and revised a number of Hirn- nantian taxa from the Upper Yangtze region of South China based on their new collections from the Wangjiawan section. The authors synonymized a number of species that had previously been considered endemic of China with other taxa. Their material of Korenograptus laciniosus (Churkin and Carter, 1970) appears to be identical to material described as Normalograptus elegantulus (Mu and Ni, 1983) by Storch et al. (2011), who separated Korenograptus laciniosus from the very similar Normalograptus elegantulus through a shorter sicula and a more narrow proximal end. Loxton (2017) discussed the identity of Korenograptus laciniosus and the differentiation from other, closely related taxa.

The base of the Akidograptus ascensus Biozone at the base of the Silurian System has been described in great detail from South China. The faunas are especially well documented from the GSSP of the Hirnantian at Wangjia- awan, where the Akidograptus ascensus Biozone is just about 10 cm thick, while the following Parakidograptus acuminatus Biozone measures more than 1 m in thickness (e.g., Chen et al. 2005, 2006). Based on Wang et al. (1983) and Wang (1987), the Parakidograptus acuminatus Zone is 40 cm thick in the Wangjiawan section. Akidograptus ascensus only appears in the lower 17 cm of the zone, and Parakidograptus acuminatus in the upper 23 cm. In the Tielugou section, the combined Akidograptus ascensus and Parakidograptus acuminatus biozone interval measures about 5 m in thickness.

The Akidograptus ascensus/Parakidograptus acuminatus Biozone interval is well known from numerous sections around the world and will not be discussed here in detail. Storch (1996) discussed the distribution in peri-Gondwanan Europe and Masiak et al. (2003) added details of the interval from the Holy Cross Mountains of Poland. Storch and Feist (2008) added new taxa in their descriptions of material from the Montagne Noire of France. Štorch et al. (2019) recorded a number of species from Spain that were earlier known only from China. Loxton (2017) revised and provided detailed taxonomic descriptions of many taxa from the Blackstone River, Yukon, Canada.

Cystograptus vesiculosus Biozone (7.3–9.8 m).

The first appearance of Cystograptus vesiculosus (Fig. 7j) defines the base of the interval. The top can be seen in sample CGS20. The Cystograptus vesiculosus Biozone is a widely distributed and easily recognizable interval due to the characteristic index species with its robust tubarium and the extensive nematularium often found in this species (Fig. 7j). The interval is about 2.5 m thick in the section and includes silty black shales with randomly distributed, often large graptolite specimens. Numerous slender monograptid fragments are typical of the interval in the Tielugou section, but proximal ends have not been collected. Cystograptus vesiculosus appears to have a fairly long biostratigraphic range, originating at the base of the Cys- tograptus vesiculosus Biozone and ranging into the Mon- ograptus revolutus Biozone (Zalasiewicz et al. 2009). In the Tielugou section, slender specimens from the higher part of the Coronograptus leei Biozone have been identified as Cystograptus penna (Fig. 7c). The differentiation of both species is difficult, as it is mainly based on the dorso-ventral width of the colonies and the everted thecal apertures in Cystograptus penna (Hopkinson, 1869), which may be the result of the preservation as internal casts in relief (see Jones and Rickards 1967). Hutt (1974b: 46) considered both taxa to be extreme variants of a single species.

At the base of the interval, the monograptids Atavograp- tus atavus and Huttagraptus solidus have been found, but other slender, unidentifiable monograptids are also present. A specimen of Coronograptus cf. cyphus with its character- istic curvature and simple distal thecae was found at 8.8 m (Fig. 7k). Specimens of Normalograptus are not uncommon and may belong to Normalograptus rectangularis. More slender taxa are also present, but are impossible to identify due to the poor preservation. Bulmanograptus swanstoni (Fig. 7a) is the first truely uni-biserial dimorphograptid
in the succession, showing a long uniserial proximal end. Younger species of *Bulmanograptus* show a shorter uniserial part of the colony as is exemplified by *Bulmanograptus erectus* (Fig. 7b) and *Dimorphograptoides physophora* (Fig. 7g). *Bulmanograptus erectus* only appears in the uppermost sample of the interval, associated with *Paraclimacograptus innotatus* (Fig. 7h) and early specimens of *Dimorphograptoides physophora* (Fig. 7g). While *Paraclimacograptus innotatus* has been discovered only at a single level, the other two taxa range into the overlying *Coronograptus leei* Biozone.

A number of robust specimens of *Rickardsograptus laetus* (Fig. 7i) have been identified in the interval. The species has been described from the *Cystograptus vesiculosus* Biozone of the Montagne Noire, but Štorch and Feist (2008: 948) indicated that the species is also present in the *Cystograptus acuminatus* Biozone, as it is in the Tielugou section.

The *Cystograptus vesiculosus* Biozone can be correlated with the *Atavograptus atavus* and *Huttagraptus acinaces* biozones of Britain (Zalasiewicz et al. 2009; Loydell 2012). Štorch and Feist (2008) described the fauna of the *Cystograptus vesiculosus* Biozone from the Montagne Noire in some detail and provided information on the biostatigraphic ranges of a number of faunal elements. Štorch et al. (2018) discussed the upper part of the *Cystograptus vesiculosus* Biozone from the Czech Republic, underlain by an unconformity in the proposed GSSP section at Hlásná Třebaň. Even though enough faunas of the *Cystograptus vesiculosus* Biozone interval have been described worldwide (Schauer 1971; Chen and Lin 1978; Ni 1978; Wang 1987; Loydell 2007; Loydell et al. 2017), precise biostatigraphic ranges are rarely given. Koren’ and Bjerreskov (1997, 1999) provided information on the monograptid radiation in the *Cystograptus vesiculosus* Biozone using Bornholm, Denmark and the southern Urals, Russia as example. Melchin (1989) and Lukasik and Melchin (1997) provided additional information. They recognized both the *Atavograptus atavus* and *Lagarograptus acinaces* biozones. Thus, the *Lagarograptus acinaces* Biozone of Lukasik and Melchin (1997) is the equivalent of the upper part of the *Cystograptus vesiculosus* Biozone. LeGrand (2003) referred the interval of the North African part of Gondwana to the *Neodiplograptus africanus* Biozone, but *Neodiplograptus africanus* appears much earlier in Jordan (Loydell 2007), indicating that there is a considerable biogeographic differentiation.

*Coronograptus leei* Biozone (10.4–21.4 m).

The base of the *Coronograptus leei* Biozone is found in sample CGS21 with the first occurrence of *Coronograptus leei*. As *Demirastrites triangulatus* appears in sample CGS44 (21.6 m), the top of the *Coronograptus leei* Biozone can be seen in CGS43 (21.4 m). The interval is identified as the *Coronograptus leei* Biozone following Hsü (1934) and Ni (1978). *Coronograptus leei* is characterized by its thecal style and differs considerably from the better-known *Coronograptus cyphus*. *Coronograptus leei* (Hsü, 1934) is widely distributed in China (see Hsü 1934; Ni 1978; Fu 1986; Fu and Song 1986; Mu et al. 2002), but has not been identified in other regions. Wang (1987) identified the interval as the *Huttagraptus acinaces* Biozone in the Yangtze region. Chen and Lin (1978) and Chen (1984) used the *Pristiograptus cyphus–Monoclimacis lunata* Biozone for the interval, as defined in Guizhou, overlain by the *Pristograptus gregarius* Biozone. Maletz et al. (2019) indicated a gap in this interval in the YD–1 drill core on the eastern side of the Huangling massif.

*Coronograptus leei* (Fig. 8i) is especially common in the lower part of the interval, but a number of further species of *Coronograptus* occur in the zone. *Coronograptus hippocideros* (Fig. 8n), *Coronograptus cirrus* (Fig. 8m) and *Coronograptus gregarius* have been identified. Species of *Pernero- graptus* and *Pribylograptus* are present, but the material is largely not identifiable to species level. A few poor specimens are referred to *Huttagraptus acinaces*. Apart from a number of taxa surviving from the *Cystograptus vesiculosus* Biozone (Fig. 3), a number of additional taxa appear. *Rhaphidograptus minutus* (Fig. 8k) is present at the base of the zone, as is *Sidoburigraptus sp., Agietograptus hubeiensis* (Fig. 8f) and *Bulmanograptus compactus* (Fig. 8d) appear slightly higher in the succession. *Rickardsograptus laetus* (Fig. 8b) can be found through the whole interval. The robust *Pseudorthograptus obuti* (Fig. 8o) is found in a number of fairly well-preserved specimens. The specimens show the distinct ancora hub of the retiolitids, but the typically more complex ancora development described by Štorch (2015) is not present in any of the specimens. A single long specimen also bears a long and slender nematularium and a distally narrowing tubarium. Štorch (2015: 862) indicated a possible origin of the petalolithids from *Pseudorthograptus obuti*, as the very similar *Petalolithus minor* (Fig. 9e) from the *Demirastrites triangulatus* Biozone appears to be one of the earliest species of this genus and is quite similar in
Fig. 8 Graptolites characteristic of the Coronograptus leei Biozone. a Rickardsograptus elongatus (Churkin and Carter, 1970), NIGP 173536, long specimen, 10.8 m. b Rickardsograptus laetus (Štorch and Feist, 2008), NIGP 173537, proximal end, 10.8 m. e Rickardsograptus elongatus (Churkin and Carter, 1970), NIGP 173538, proximal end, 10.8 m. d Bulmanograptus compactus (Štorch and Feist, 2008), NIGP 173539, short uniserial part, 10.8 m. e Dimorphograptoides physophora (Nicholson, 1868), NIGP 173540, 13.7 m. f Agetograptus hubeiensis (Ni, 1978) (see Russel–Houston 2001, pl. 1), NIGP 173541, small specimen, 10.8 m. g Cystograptus vesiculosus (Nicholson, 1868), NIGP 173542, 10.8 m. h Bulmanograptus swanstoni (Lapworth, 1876), NIGP 173543, note short uniserial part, 12.8 m. i Pseudorthograptus radiculosus (Manck, 1918), NIGP 173544, 13.1 m. j Rickardsograptus thuringiacus (Kürste, 1919), NIGP 173545, 19.7 m, distally covered by secondary mineral growth. k Rhaphidograptus minus Chen and Lin, 1978, NIGP 173546, 11.3 m. l Coronograptus leei (Hsiu, 1934), NIGP 173547, two specimens, 11.3 m. m Coronograptus cirrus Hutt, 1975, NIGP 173548, 13.7 m. n Coronograptus hipposideros (Toghill, 1968), NIGP 173549, 12.8 m. o Pseudorthograptus obati (Rickards and Koren, 1974), NIGP 173550, long specimen with nematularium, 20.8 m. Scale bar is 1 mm.

shape. Petalolithus ovatoelongatus (Kurck, 1882) has a less protracted proximal end and more horizontal thecal apertures proximally, but was not found during this investigation. Dimorphograptoides physophora (Fig. 8e) extends from the Cystograptus vesiculosus Biozone into the lower part of the Coronograptus leei Biozone. It can be recognized through the short uniserial proximal end including a single theca. Elles and Wood (1908); Schauer (1971) and Koren’ and Rickards (1996) described material of this species with a distinct ancora development from the Cystograptus vesiculosus to the Coronograptus gregarius Biozone of western Europe and the southern Urals. Štorch and Feist (2008) described the lowermost Silurian graptolite faunas from the Montagne Noire, France and referred Dimorphograptus swanstoni and Dimorphograptoides physophora to the higher part of the Cystograptus vesiculosus Biozone.

The genus Coronograptus ranges from the Coronograptus cyphus Biozone to the Lituigraptus convolutus Biozone and a number of species have been differentiated (see Lukasik and Melchin 1997; Sennikov 1998; Štorch 2015). Lukasik and Melchin (1997) showed Coronograptus cyphus to be restricted to the zone bearing its name, but did not report any specimens from their samples. Štorch (1988, Table 1) listed it from the Coronograptus cyphus Biozone. Lenz (1982) did not find Coronograptus cyphus in the Northern Canadian Cordillera. Thus, it seems that Coronograptus cyphus is rare in North America and may not be very useful as an index species in most regions. However, Melchin (1989) illustrated two fragments under this name from the Cape Phillips Formation of the Canadian Arctic Islands.

Zaslaviewicz and Tunncliff (1994: 697) revised the Coronograptus cyphus Biozone of Britain and indicated that the index species ranges downwards into the Huttigraptus acinaces Biozone. The authors regarded the incoming of monograptids of the revolutus/austerus group as indicative of the base of the Coronograptus cyphus Biozone (see also Zaslaviewicz et al. 2009).

Silurian: Llandovery (Aeronian)

The base of the Aeronian is defined at the base of the Demirastrites triangulatus Biozone (e.g., Štorch 1994; Melchin et al. 2012; Štorch et al. 2018), while the top is defined by the base of the Telychian at the FAD of Spirograptus guerichi. However, the Rhuddanian/Aeronian boundary is under discussion due to problems with the original GSSP section (Cocks et al. 1984; Melchin et al. 2012; Štorch et al. 2018). Melchin et al. (2018) provided a report to assess the Rheidol Gorge section as a replacement for this GSSP section, as it includes a good succession of graptolite faunas from the middle Pernereograptus revolutus or Coronograptus cyphus Biozone to the middle Demirastrites triangulatus Biozone. Štorch et al. (2018) proposed a section in the Czech Republic as a replacement of the original GSSP section. Štorch and Melchin (2019) supported the data with a documentation of the precise succession of the Demirastrites species in this section and provided an understanding of the evolution of the group.

A number of local biostratigraphic schemes have been established to subdivide the Aeronian time interval into graptolite biozones (Loydell 2012). While the Avalonia/Baltica succession is subdivided into six biozones, the South China succession appears to be fairly incomplete. Loydell (2012: fig. 4) identified the lower part as an extensive Coronograptus gregarius Biozone interval, followed by the Lituigraptus convolutus Biozone, but he indicated that the upper part of the Aeronian has not been verified by described faunas.

Demirastrites triangulatus Biozone (21.6–23.2 m).

The Demirastrites triangulatus Biozone was recognized from ca. 21.60–23.20 m in the succession (samples CGS44 to CGS47). The fauna is moderately diverse, but poorly preserved graptolite specimens dominate bedding–plane assemblages and many specimens, especially the slender monograptids (cf. Pribyligraptus, Perneroigraptus) are impossible to determine to species level. A gap at the base of the Demirastrites triangulatus Biozone may be...
indicated by the sudden appearance of quite a number of new taxa. The upper part of the biozone does not bear the typical members of the interval, but cannot be included in any other biozone due to the lack of biostatigraphic index species.

The specimens here assigned to *Demirastrites triangulatus* (Fig. 9k) most closely match the material identified as *Demirastrites triangulatus* early form by Štorch and Melchin (2019). They are associated with common *Rastrites guizhouensis* (Fig. 9h). Other monograptids in the interval include *Rastrites longispinus* with its very slender metathecae (Fig. 9g), as well as fragments of *Perneroicratinus* and slender specimens, probably belonging to *Prisiptograptus*. *Demirastrites campograptoideus* (Fig. 9j) has recently been described from the *Demirastrites triangulatus* Biozone of the Czech Republic (Štorch and Melchin 2019) and nothing is known on its further biostatigraphic and palaeobiogeographic distribution. Thus, the record in the Tielugou section adds important new information.

Specimens of *Coronograptus gregarius* (Fig. 9i) are not uncommon through the interval. A number of biserial species are present, including *Petalolithus minor* (Fig. 9e), *Pseudorthograptus insectiformis* (Fig. 9c), *Rivagraptus* sp. (Fig. 9a) and, in the higher part of the interval, *Agetograptus longicaudatus* (Fig. 9d) and *Agetograptus primus* (Fig. 10d). *Pseudorthograptus radiculatus* (Manck, 1918) (= *Pseudorthograptus finneyi* Štorch and Kraft, 2009) is common in the lower part of the interval (Fig. 4g). The earliest specimen of this species is present in the underlying *Coronograptus leei* Biozone at 23.1 m (CGS27) (Fig. 8i). Manck (1918) described the species from a number of well-preserved, flattened specimens found in the *Demirastrites triangulatus* Biozone of Thuringia, but the name has not been used since its original description.

Štorch and Melchin (2019) recently discussed the biostatigraphic importance of *Demirastrites triangulatus* as index of the zone of its name. The authors documented in great detail the faunas from a single section in the Czech Republic and suggested evolutionary relationships or lineages of the various species recognized. It is uncertain, however, how precisely the succession can be matched in other areas. Little is known on the intraspecific variation of the species and their biostatigraphical changes, even though early and late forms of certain species have been illustrated from the Hlásná Třebaň section in the Prague Šynform of the Czech Republic.

The *Demirastrites triangulatus* Biozone has been previously discussed for South China (Wang 1978, 1987; Chen and Lin 1978; Li 1995), but illustrated specimens of *Demirastrites triangulatus* appear to be misidentified according to Štorch and Melchin (2019), who considered the presence of this taxon in China as unproven. The here illustrated specimens can be compared with the early form of *Demirastrites triangulatus*. As the species of the generic *Demirastrites*, *Rastrites* and *Petalolithus* appear all at the same level, there might be the chance of a condensation or a gap at the base of the *Demirastrites triangulatus* Biozone. In other regions like the Czech Republic and in Wales, species of *Rastrites* and *Petalolithus* appear after the FAD of *Demirastrites triangulatus* (Zalasiewicz et al. 2009; Štorch et al. 2018). Thus, the presence of *Demirastrites triangulatus* in the Tielugou section may be regarded as a late appearance.

A number of new taxa also appear within the uppermost part of the *Demirastrites triangulatus* Biozone, in which the index species is lacking. A single specimen of *Petalolithus ovatoelongatus* (Fig. 10b) is present, as are poor specimens of *Pseudoretiolites* sp. (Fig. 10a) showing the typical floored thecae. *Rickardssograptus tcherskyi* shows the characteristic change of its thecal style (Fig. 10e) and slender, spined specimens of *Pseudorthograptus insectiformis* (Fig. 10c) were identified. *Rastrites orbitus* (Fig. 10l) has been discovered in a few fragmentary specimens and the slender, coiled *Monograptus changyangensis* (Fig. 10g) is common. As an interesting faunal element, *Hubeigraptus semilunatus* (Fig. 10j) appears in the top of the interval. Maletz et al. (2019) found the species to be common in the *Litugrataps convolutus* Biozone of the YD–1 drill core.

Wang (1978, 1987) described the *magnus–thuringiacus* and *argenteus* biozones above the *Demirastrites triangulatus* Biozone for the E. Yangtze Gorges region of China, but Maletz et al. (2019) indicated that most of the interval is not recognized in the region. Maletz et al. (2019) discussed
the presence of a *Prybiograpthus leptotheca* Biozone in the YD-1 drillcore, but this species is present at Tielogou only in the *Lituigraptus convolutus* Biozone. Therefore, a strong condensation or a biostратigraphical gap at the top of the *Demirastrites triangulatus* Biozone may have to be postulated for the section. Loydell (2012) referred the early Aeronian of South China to an extensive *Coronograptus gregarius* Biozone followed by the *Lituigraptus convolutus* Biozone. He correlated the *Coronograptus gregarius* Biozone of the region with the *Demirastrites triangulatus* to *Prybiograpthus leptotheca* biozones of Avalonia and Baltica. A similar situation appears to be present in Arctic Canada. Loydell (2012) used the *Campograptus curatus* Biozone for the interval of the *Coronograptus gregarius* Biozone of South China, based on Melchin (1989), Melchin (1989) and Melchin et al. (2017) subdivided the *Campograptus curatus* Zone into lower and upper subzones, the upper one being the *Rastrites orbitus* Subzone. Recently, Melchin (in Strauss et al. 2020) recognized the *Rastrites orbitus* Zone as a distinct zone between the *Demirastrites triangulatus* and *Lituigraptus convolutus* biozones in Yukon, Canada.

**Lituigraptus convolutus** Biozone (23.7–29.8 m).

The base of the *Lituigraptus convolutus* Biozone is defined in sample CGS48. The eponymous species is not uncommon in the interval, but complete specimens have not been recognized (Fig. 1b, a). The interval is about 7 m thick and bears a quite diverse fauna that has been documented recently by Maletz et al. (2019) from the YD-1 drill core on the eastern limb of the Huangling anticline, where the thickness of the *Lituigraptus convolutus* Biozone is considerably higher, measuring about 385 m.

A number of new faunal elements appear at the base of the *Lituigraptus convolutus* Biozone, but also quite a number of long-ranging taxa (e.g., *Normalograptus scalaris*, *Metaclimacograptus hughesi*, *Pseudoretiolites* sp., *Korenograptus nikolayevi* (Fig. 1d) extend their ranges into this interval. *Petalolithus intermedius* (Fig. 1c) and *Petalolithus ulstae* (Fig. 11i) appear at the base of the zone as do *Glyptograptus serratus* (Fig. 11f), *Monograptus mirificus* and *Prybiograpthus argentus*. *Petalolithus ulstae* can be differentiated from other species of *Petalolithus* through its long sicula. It has been described from the *Prybiograpthus leptotheca* Biozone of the Kaliningrad region, Russia (Suyarkova, 2017). *Paramonoctia sidjachenkoii* (Fig. 11n) and *Metaclimacograptus sculptus* (Fig. 11k) appear at the same level. Thus, a subdivision of the *Lituigraptus convolutus* Biozone based on these taxa (cf. Maletz et al. 2019) cannot be provided and the presence of a gap at the base of the interval cannot be excluded. *Cephalolithus cometa* (Fig. 11d) appears in the middle part of the zone. *Petalolithus dubovikovi* (Fig. 11a) and *Petalolithus clandestinus* (Fig. 11g) are also present in the interval. *Petalolithus clandestinus* was first described by Štorch (2001) from the lowermost *Stimulograptus sedgwickii* Biozone of the Czech Republic. It can be recognized by a robust tubarium with a very small ancora and everted, ventrally facing apertures. The similar *Parapetalolithus globosus* (Fig. 13b) can be distinguished by its simple virgella. *Petalolithus clandestinus* is not uncommon in the higher part of the *Lituigraptus convolutus* biozone in the Tielogou section.

*Parapetalolithus kunkojensis* (Figs. 11b, 12c) appears as the earliest species of the genus *Parapetalolithus*, lacking any indications of an ancora. Reticulitids are rare, but include a single specimen of *Aeroretioiites* sp. Fragments of a species of *Pristiograptus* are common, but few proximal ends are present. A few specimens clearly show a strongly curved proximal end and are assigned to *Pristiograptus xiushanensis* (Fig. 12k). They are similar to the younger *Pristiograptus renaudi* (Philippot, 1950) from the *Spirograptus guerichii* Biozone (see Loydell 1993). *Lituigraptus phleoides* was discovered only at a single level in the succession (Fig. 11m). Štorch (1995) discussed a considerable extinction event in the late *Lituigraptus convolutus* Biozone in the Czech Republic and a restructuring of the faunal composition subsequently. He identified this extinction as the *Convolutus* Event and recognized a drop from 51 taxa in the *Lituigraptus convolutus* Biozone to 15 at the base of the *Stimulograptus sedgwickii* Biozone in the Czech Republic. Melchin et al. (1998) concluded that the extinction reached its peak only in the *Stimulograptus sedgwickii* Biozone and renamed it accordingly. This extinction led to the origin and early evolution of the genus *Parapetalolithus* from a *Petalolithus*-type ancestor. Štorch and Frýda (2012) discussed the *Sedgwickii* Event and its faunal differentiation in the Barrandian area, Czech Republic. Loydell (1994) documented the diversity of *Parapetalolithus* (as *Petalolithus*) in the *Spirograptus guerichii* Biozone and Loydell et al. (2015) described the species of *Parapetalolithus* of the *Stimulograptus halli*
Fig. 11 Graptolites characteristic of the *Lituigraptus convolutus* Biozone. a Petalolithus dubovikovi Obut and Sobolevskaya in Obut et al., 1967, NIGP 173576, 27.2 m. b Parapetalolithus kunkojensis Paškevičius, 1979, NIGP 173577, 30.3 m, two specimens. c Petalolithus intermedius (Bouček and Přibyl, 1942), NIGP 173578, 23.7 m. d Cephalograptus cometa (Geinitz, 1852), NIGP 173579, 25.3 m. e Pseudorthograptus insectiformis (Nicholson, 1868), NIGP 173580, 23.7 m. f Rickardsograptus thorungicus (Kirste, 1919), NIGP 173581, 25.3 m. g Petalolithus clandestinus Štorch, 2001, NIGP 173582, small specimen, 29.2 m. h Perisograpthus variabilis (Ni, 1978), NIGP 173583, 25.7 m. i Petalolithus ulstai Suyarkova, 2017, NIGP 173584, 25.3 m. j Monograptus sp., NIGP 173585, 25.3 m. k Metaclimacograptus sculptus (Chen and Lin, 1978), NIGP 173586, 25.3 m. l Glyptograptus serratus (Elles and Wood, 1907), NIGP 173587, 27.2 m. m Lituigraptus pleioides (Törnquist, 1887) and Petalolithus praecursor (Bouček and Přibyl, 1942), NIGP 173588, 27.2 m. n Paramonoclimacis sidjachenkoi (Obut and Sobolevskaya in Obut et al., 1965), NIGP 168419, 25.3 m. o Campograptus millepeda (McCoy, 1850), NIGP 173590, 23.7 m. Scale bar is 1 mm

*Fig. 11* Graptolites characteristic of the *Lituigraptus convolutus* Biozone. a Petalolithus dubovikovi Obut and Sobolevskaya in Obut et al., 1967, NIGP 173576, 27.2 m. b Parapetalolithus kunkojensis Paškevičius, 1979, NIGP 173577, 30.3 m, two specimens. c Petalolithus intermedius (Bouček and Přibyl, 1942), NIGP 173578, 23.7 m. d Cephalograptus cometa (Geinitz, 1852), NIGP 173579, 25.3 m. e Pseudorthograptus insectiformis (Nicholson, 1868), NIGP 173580, 23.7 m. f Rickardsograptus thorungicus (Kirste, 1919), NIGP 173581, 25.3 m. g Petalolithus clandestinus Štorch, 2001, NIGP 173582, small specimen, 29.2 m. h Perisograpthus variabilis (Ni, 1978), NIGP 173583, 25.7 m. i Petalolithus ulstai Suyarkova, 2017, NIGP 173584, 25.3 m. j Monograptus sp., NIGP 173585, 25.3 m. k Metaclimacograptus sculptus (Chen and Lin, 1978), NIGP 173586, 25.3 m. l Glyptograptus serratus (Elles and Wood, 1907), NIGP 173587, 27.2 m. m Lituigraptus pleioides (Törnquist, 1887) and Petalolithus praecursor (Bouček and Přibyl, 1942), NIGP 173588, 27.2 m. n Paramonoclimacis sidjachenkoi (Obut and Sobolevskaya in Obut et al., 1965), NIGP 168419, 25.3 m. o Campograptus millepeda (McCoy, 1850), NIGP 173590, 23.7 m. Scale bar is 1 mm

Spirograptus guerichi Biozone interval of the El Pintado Reservoir, Spain, showing the explosive diversification of the genus. It is unclear, however, when exactly this diversification happened as the petalolithids from the *Stimulograptus sedgwickii* Biozone are not well described from most regions. According to the record at the Tielugou section, the diversification may have happened in the upper part of the *Stimulograptus sedgwickii* Biozone. However, the *Stimulograptus halli* Biozone has not been differentiated in many regions. Loydell (2012) indicated a differentiation of a *Stimulograptus halli* Biozone only in Avalonia and Baltica. Walasek et al. (2018) discussed the succession of Dalarna and recognized a *Stimulograptus halli* Biozone, but indicated the presence of a gap at the base of the interval, where the *Stimulograptus sedgwickii* Biozone is lacking.

**Stimulograptus sedgwickii** Biozone (missing?).

The *Stimulograptus sedgwickii* Biozone appears to be lacking in the Tielugou section, as a number of species are found at the base of this interval that are known only from the *Stimulograptus halli* Biozone upwards (cf. *Parapetalolithus mui*, *Parapetalolithus kunkojensis*, *Torquigraptus linterni*, *Pristiograptus xiushanensis*). However, *Stimulograptus halli* has not been recognized during this investigation, but the closely related *Stimulograptus sedgwicki* is common in many layers. The interval could easily be referred to the *Stimulograptus sedgwickii* Biozone instead unless other faunal elements are considered (cf. Loydell et al. 2015). The biostratigraphic range of *Stimulograptus sedgwickii* and *Stimulograptus halli* strongly overlaps (Loydell et al. 2015: fig. 12) and *Stimulograptus sedgwickii* even ranges through the *Spirograptus guerichi* Biozone.

A gap in the Llandovery graptolite succession is known in southern Scandinavia (Bjørreskov 1975; Loydell et al. 2017; Walasek et al. 2018) and possibly in China (see Loydell 2012), but more complete successions across the *Stimulograptus sedgwickii* and *Stimulograptus halli* biozones can be found in the Southern Uplands of Scotland and in further regions worldwide as discussed by Loydell et al. (2015).

*Stimulograptus halli* Biozone (30.3–36.7 m).

Loydell (2012: fig. 4) questioned the presence of the late Aeronian *Stimulograptus sedgwickii* and *Stimulograptus halli* biozones in South China, but did not provide details for this interpretation. Wang (1987) reported a *Stimulograptus sedgwickii* Biozone that was 138.9 m thick in the Wangjiawan section. The fauna was not described and the single fragment illustrated as *Stimulograptus sedgwickii* is unidentifiable. *Stimulograptus sedgwickii* (Fig. 12s–u) is common at Tielugou, often preserved as long straight fragments. The interval, however, might be referred to the *Stimulograptus halli* Biozone based on the record of *Pristiograptus xiushanensis* (see Loydell et al. 2015: 780) and especially of the genus *Parapetalolithus* first appearing in the *Stimulograptus halli* Biozone (Lenz et al. 2018).

*Stimulograptus sedgwickii* is commonly associated with *Pristiograptus regularis* (Fig. 12q–r) and a number of biserial graptolites in the interval, but *Stimulograptus halli* has not bee recognized so far. Several species of *Parapetalolithus* can be found in the interval, of which *Parapetalolithus mui* (Fig. 13a, h) and *Parapetalolithus fusiformis* (Figs. 12b, 13g) may be the most common species. A few specimens of *Parapetalolithus* sp. A (Fig. 12f) and *Parapetalolithus* sp. B (Fig. 12g) were found in the middle of the interval. The specimens strongly remind of the genus *Cephalograptus*, but their proximal ends are less strongly elongated. They do not possess an ancora and a closer relationship to the genus *Cephalograptus* may not be reasonable to suggest. Similarities can be seen to *Parapetalolithus akinsos* Loydell et al., 2015 with its elongated, slender and low inclined thecae, but the specimens show a distinct distal widening of the tubarium and certainly not represent this species.

Sample CGS67 also bears specimens here identified as *Normalograptus* sp. nov. (Fig. 12h). The specimens are poorly preserved, but appear to show extreme genicular expansions on one or two proximal thecal pairs. The material may show a similar development of the genicula to *Normalograptus* sp. nov. from the *Spirograptus guerichi* Biozone in Russel–Houston (2001: pl. 13f). *Torquigraptus linterni* (Fig. 12j) was found in a specimen possibly showing cladal branching. A number of specimens of *Torquigraptus* may belong to *Torquigraptus minutus* and *Torquigraptus*
Magnificus (Fig. 12m, o). A single fragment has been identified as a possible specimen of *Rastrites peregrinus* (Fig. 12p).

Zalasiewicz et al. (2009) referred *Rastrites perfectus* (Fig. 13l) to the *Stimulograptus halli* Biozone, but the species is present only in the higher part of the interval at Tielugou. A number of species appear at CGS 73 (36.2 m) including *Rastrites robardeti*, *Torquigraptus linterni* (Fig. 13r) and *Parapetalolithus regius* (Fig. 13c) that appear to be typical of the interval. *Spirograptus andrewsi* (Fig. 13t) was found in CGS74 (36.7 m). Loydell et al. (1993) found the species in the *Stimulograptus halli* Biozone, but it appears to be lacking in Spain (see Loydell et al. 2015).

Loydell (1991: fig. 5) discussed the *St. halli* Biozone and listed 31 graptolite species, but he did not illustrate most taxa, but these were treated in detail in Loydell (1992, 1993). Loydell (1993) listed all until then known records of *Stimulograptus halli* including those from China. Mu et al. (2002) discussed *Stimulograptus halli* in China, but most of the known specimens are poorly preserved and could also represent *Stimulograptus sedgwickii*. Thus, the presence of *Stimulograptus halli* in China needs to be revised. Shao et al. (2018) erected a *Spirograptus andrewsi* Biozone for the Llandovery succession in Shaanxi Province and differentiated a lower *Monograptus enshiensis* and an upper *Hubeigraptus* sp. nov. subzone in the late Aeronian. Loydell (1993) synonymized *Monograptus enshiensis* with *Stimulograptus halli*, but it may be better regarded as a synonym of *Stimulograptus sedgwickii*.

Štorch and Frýda (2012) erected the *Lituograptus rastrum* Biozone above the *Stimulograptus sedgwickii* Biozone in the Czech Republic. The interval is characterized by the common occurrence of *Lituograptus rastrum*, which is associated with *Spirograptus andrewsi* throughout the interval. Štorch and Frýda (2012) indicated that *Spirograptus andrewsi* vanished from the record below the base of the Telychian and referred the earliest Telychian fauna to their *Rastrites linnaei* Biozone. *Stimulograptus halli* originates in the lower part of the interval. This biozone may be correlatable with the *Stimulograptus halli* Biozone of Britain (Loydell 1991; Zalasiewicz et al. 2009). Loydell et al. (2015) defined a *Lituograptus rastrum* subzone in the middle part of the *Stimulograptus halli* Biozone in the El Pintado reservoir of Spain and discussed the correlation of this interval in some detail. The authors indicate that the upper half of the *Stimulograptus sedgwickii* Biozone in the Prague syncline may correlate with the lower *Stimulograptus halli* Biozone.

### Silurian: Llandovery (Telychian) (37.7–43.7 m)

Loydell et al. (2015) discussed the base of the Telychian based on the data from the El Pintado section and provided biostratigraphical data from the rich graptolite fauna encountered in the succession. This information may be used to understand and define the base of the Telychian even if important index species are not found. Loydell et al. (1993) described the late Aeronian to early Telychian species of *Spirograptus* in some detail and provided a key to their differentiation, defining the *Spirograptus guerichi* Biozone as the basal Telychian graptolite biozone. As specimens of *Spirograptus guerichi* do not appear in the Tielugou section, a record of early Telychian strata may be questioned. However, the presence of the Telychian *Parapetalolithus dignus* (Fig. 4h, i) associated with a number of taxa already present in the top of the Aeronian, but ranging into the Telychian (Fig. 3), may indicate that the basal Telychian is in fact represented. The presence of *Parapetalolithus palmeus* at 43.7 m (Fig. 13d) definitely indicates the *Spirograptus guerichi* Biozone, as all previously described material of this species originated from the middle part of the *Spirograptus guerichi* Biozone (cf. Loydell 1992; Gutiérrez-Marco and Štorch 1998; Loydell et al. 2015). The higher part of the section did not provide any graptolite faunas. *Spirograptus guerichi* has been described from the Yangtze region (e.g. Mu et al. 2002; Shao et al. 2018) and appears to be common in certain sections. It is not unlikely that this species may be found in the Tielugou section in the future.
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