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ABSTRACT Heritage multimedia, which include photographs, customs, knowledge, arts, rituals, audio, cultural information, and music, are valuable artifacts of any region. The most important attribute of heritage media is the transmission of important features of past generations, which reflect their way of living, innovative attitude, and diversity in archaeological and historical perspectives. However, the proliferation of the Internet has made such data exchange more challenging than ever, allowing unauthorized users to easily access such information. Under such circumstances, securing cultural heritage (CH) media is essential. In that regard, herein, we present a spatial domain-based blind and robust watermarking scheme for the ownership verification of colored CH images; this scheme uses DC coefficient modification. In this scheme, the “Y” element of the YCbCr space is used for inserting a watermark. The “Y” element of a host image is divided into non-overlapping blocks with sizes of 8 × 8. Each 8 × 8 block is then divided into two 4 × 8 subblocks. Instead of calculating the DC coefficients using the discrete cosine transform, we independently calculate the DC coefficient of every 4 × 8 subblock in the spatial domain. We test our method based on standard test images obtained from the USC-SIPI dataset and a self-created dataset of cultural images. Our scheme demonstrates improved robustness and lower computational complexity than frequency-domain-based techniques. The average peak signal-to-noise ratio of the proposed technique for test images is 40.0830 dB, and the structural similarity index matrix value is closer to one under no attack, ensuring the imperceptibility of the technique. Further, we prove the resilience of the proposed algorithm by comparing it with various state-of-the-art techniques.

INDEX TERMS Digital watermarking, copyright protection, cultural heritage, robustness.

I. INTRODUCTION The term “heritage” refers to the cultures, qualities, and traditions in a region/country that have prevailed over generations and are of great significance to the country. Cultural heritage (CH) is a way of livelihood that mankind has inherited from prior generations and is circumvented to the following generations. CH includes natural heritage (culturally remarkable biodiversity and landscapes), intangible culture (festivals, knowledge, oral traditions, expressions, rituals, and languages), and tangible culture (traditional clothing, artifacts, books, and monuments) [1]. It reinforces the
recognition of community culture, thereby enhancing the social economy, and must be leveraged by cultural industries under the protection of intellectual property rights (IPR). Although CH symbolizes an essential asset of a specific society, region, or nation, a justifiable means of its protection is digitization, as proposed by UNESCO in the Convention for Safeguarding the Cultural Heritage in 2003. In addition to ensuring its preservation for future generations, digitization certifies global passage to the various cultures of global heritage and preserves its priceless assets from degradation. However, owing to the rapid advancement in the Internet and the rapid development of multimedia-oriented systems in a variety of fields, access to CH media has become easier. In particular, the development of virtual galleries has allowed people to access information and immerse themselves in art without physical barriers. People of all ages can participate in exhibitions remotely, making them more responsive to learning. However, with these benefits, the latest technologies could also adversely influence digital information by permitting unauthentic data processing, fake information, and illegitimate copying of valuable artifacts, such as statues, buildings, paintings, and other heritage data from galleries. Notably, when an artwork is shared digitally, it is shared in the most appealing manner to attract others. By exploiting this intent, an unauthorized user can copy the original content and make an illegal sale. Therefore, the need of the hour is to use data protection techniques to secure digital multimedia content from unauthorized distribution and illegal copying to provide ultimate protection to artifacts [2]. In that regard, among the numerous methods adopted to protect CH data, digital watermarking has attracted considerable attention in the current scenario owing to its potential in certifying data authentication and validating ownership rights. Using this method, unrevealed data (called watermarks) are hidden inside digital data without threatening the confidentiality of the original data [3]. Digital watermarking methods can be typically categorized into two types: robust and fragile. Among these, fragile watermarking can be used to achieve integrity protection [4]; herein, the watermark cannot ideally be extracted if the watermarked cover image has been tampered with. In contrast, the basic motive behind robust watermarking is ownership protection. Here, even if the watermarked host is modified, the watermark can be extracted. Because the field of robust watermarking is primarily used with the aim of ensuring ownership security, it is interpreted to terminate attacks that attempt to demolish a watermark without appreciably derogating the perceptual representation of the watermarked image. In the process of digital watermarking, robustness is one of the major parameters to be considered, that is, the watermark extracted should be robust enough to guarantee the ownership of the cover image, although the watermarked image may be disclosed to various signal-processing operations. Although various watermarking techniques for improving the resilience of a watermarked picture have been proposed in the literature, designing a robust system that can achieve spectacular robustness and support a better visual representation of watermarked images remains a concern in the field of watermarking.

In particular, the transmission and processing of colored images play an indispensable role in the present information-acquainted civilization. Therefore, greater consideration must be given to color images than grayscale images. Notably, various color models can be utilized for image watermarking; however, the two basic color models used are RGB and YCbCr. The RGB color model is preferred for displaying colors observed in the natural world. On the contrary, the YCbCr color model separates visual information into three constituents: one luminance (Y) and two chrominance constituents (Cb and Cr). Previous studies have indicated that the YCbCr color model demonstrates improved robustness against various signal processing and geometric attacks than the RGB color space model [1]; therefore, we chose to explore it in this study.

Thus, in this paper, a robust, blind, and computationally effective authentication-based watermarking technique is proposed; the technique computes the DC coefficient of a block without using the discrete cosine transform (DCT); this is because the primary motive of this study is to protect the ownership rights of CH images. In this technique, the “Y” element of a host image is divided into non-overlapping blocks with sizes of $8 \times 8$; following this, every $8 \times 8$ block is divided into two $4 \times 8$ subblocks. Instead of calculating the DC coefficient using the DCT, we independently calculate the DC coefficient of every $4 \times 8$ subblock in the spatial domain. Following this, watermark bits are inserted in the spatial domain by altering the DC coefficients of several subblocks. The proposed approach demonstrates improved robustness than frequency-domain-based techniques. In addition, the scheme is computationally less complex, because embedding is performed in the spatial domain. The YCbCr color model is used, rather than the RGB color model, to embed the watermark in the spatial domain. Further, the Y channel is utilized for watermark embedding owing to its high robustness.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. A review of relevant literature is presented in Section II. Section III presents the limitations of previous studies and the objectives of the present study. The mathematical preliminary framework is established in Section IV. The mathematical preliminary framework is established in Section IV. The mathematical preliminary framework is established in Section IV. The mathematical preliminary framework is established in Section IV. The proposed scheme is described in Section V. Section VI presents the experimental results, and a discussion of the results is presented in Section VII. Finally, the conclusion is presented in Section VIII.

II. RELATED RESEARCH

Numerous watermarking schemes for the protection of concealed information, content authentication, and IPR protection have been proposed in numerous studies, either in the spatial or transform domain [3], [4], [5]. This section presents a summary of such previously proposed watermarking strategies. In [6], a watermarking system based on the DCT was proposed by utilizing the psycho-visual threshold standard. The bits of the watermark were placed in the cover
picture by changing the correlation coefficients drawn using a predefined rule. The approach offered better resilience; however, the cover picture used was grayscale. In [7], the authors suggested a binary-tree-based quantization wavelet domain watermarking scheme. To produce a watermarked image, the technique constructed a hierarchical watermarked image/video code stream that could be truncated at any distortion-robust atom. In [8], a blind hybrid watermarking technique using the discrete Fourier transform (DFT) and discrete wavelet transform (DWT) was reported. The algorithm offered better robustness against common signal-processing operations; however, it has not been tested against any hybrid attacks. Khafaji et al. [9] proposed a robust watermarking algorithm by using selected graph Fourier coefficients to embed a watermark. The proposed scheme demonstrated improved robustness against various attacks by establishing a relationship between watermark extraction. In [10], a watermarking technique utilizing the DCT and a reiteration code substitution procedure. The algorithms resulted in greater computational complexity. In [11], the authors introduced a two-dimensional (2D) DCT-based watermarking framework that incorporated a pseudorandom sequence to insert the watermark into the middle-frequency coefficients of a colored picture. Although this framework demonstrated better performance against common signal-processing attacks, no tests have been performed for hybrid attacks. In [12], a watermarking technique relying on the DFT was introduced, wherein different types of Fourier transforms (fractional FT, DFT, and quaternion FT) were used, and the parity of outcome values were utilized for inserting the watermark. In [13], a dual domain-based watermarking method was proposed, and herein, the YCbCr color space was used for embedding a robust watermark, and a fragile watermark was inserted in the R G B color space utilizing an improved form of the least-significant-bit (LSB) substitution procedure. The algorithms resulted in greater performance in the frequency domain of the cover picture. However, this scheme was found to be robust against only common signal-processing attacks. In [14], the authors proposed a DCT-based watermarking strategy, wherein the YCbCr color space was used for embedding dual watermarks, that is, a robust watermark was inserted in the Y component using the DCT, and a fragile watermark was inserted in the Cb component. Although this scheme demonstrated good robustness, it resulted in a higher computational complexity. In [15], the author proposed a DCT-based watermarking strategy, wherein the grayscale and two color spaces (YCbCr and RGB) were used to insert the watermark using the middle-frequency values of the cover picture. In addition, Arnold’s transform and chaotic encryption techniques were used to improve the watermark security. A spatial domain-based watermarking algorithm was introduced in [16], wherein the cover picture used was a grayscale image, and watermarks were directly embedded into the DC coefficients. However, this algorithm is yet to be investigated for combined attacks. In [17], a robust watermarking algorithm utilizing the DCT was proposed, wherein the B channel of the RGB color model was used for watermark embedding based on the quantified DCT coefficient selection method. Although this scheme resulted in a better peak signal-to-noise ratio (PSNR), it lacked robustness. In [18], the author proposed a robust reversible watermarking algorithm for encrypted images. The watermark was embedded using a prediction error expansion procedure based on a protected multiparty computation method. However, the framework has not been investigated for any combined attacks. In [19], a spatial domain watermarking algorithm was proposed for the ownership security of color images using a DC-coefficient-based quantization watermarking procedure. Although the scheme offered less computational complexity, its robustness was not up to mark. In [20], the authors introduced quaternion singular value decomposition (QSVD) and quaternion wavelet transform (QWT)-based watermarking algorithms using the YCbCr color space. A 2D Chebyshev-logistic map was also incorporated to encrypt watermark to enhance the security. However, the scheme was found to be robust against only common signal-processing attacks. In [21], the authors presented a robust watermarking strategy utilizing a combination of two transforms, that is, a redundant DWT and non-subsampled contourlet transform, to insert a watermark. The scheme achieved better imperceptibility; however, it was not resilient to various signal-processing and geometrical attacks.

So far, a few studies on the protection of CH multimedia have been reported in the literature. In [22], the authors used an application-based watermarking strategy, wherein a pseudorandom sequence was used in frequency domain-based DFT coefficients to insert a watermark in the cover image. However, no signal-processing attacks were performed on the scheme to test its robustness. An integrated software (LCI)-based watermarking algorithm was introduced in [23]; this algorithm was designed to provide protection to artifacts using a robust watermarking scheme. Here, DFT-based mid-frequency coefficients were used to embed a watermark in the frequency domain of the cover picture. However, this technique has not been investigated for signal-processing attacks. In [24], the authors introduced a DCT–DWT-based dual domain watermarking method for CH data protection using semi-fragile watermarking techniques. The YIQ color space (where Y stands for luminance, and I and Q denote in-phase and quadrature components, respectively) was used to investigate different applications, such as data authentication, image compression, error correction, and copyright protection. However, this technique was tested only for singular attacks. In [25], the author adopted the difference expansion of Tian’s algorithm as the primary technique for watermarking in a reversible format and also incorporated channel and lifting encoding to secure CH pictures. A lifting-based two-level DWT in the transform domain was incorporated as a transformation tool to embed a watermark. However, the proposed technique is unsuitable for real-time applications owing to its high mean running time of 48.55 s. In [26], the author proposed a robust watermarking algorithm that used the principal constituents of multichannel images, instead of
watermarking through their channels. In the principal constituent technique, the watermark was placed in the strongest element of each channel in the image for protection. The scheme was computationally efficient; however, it has not been tested for robustness.

III. SHORTCOMINGS OF PREVIOUS STUDIES AND OBJECTIVES OF THE PROPOSED SCHEME

A few shortcomings of previous studies reported in the literature are listed below:

- Several watermarking systems presented in the available literature perform better against common signal-processing attacks but exhibit less resilience against different geometric attacks.
- The capacity and imperceptibility of most of the techniques are not up to the mark.
- Limited techniques reported in the literature have been investigated for their computational complexity.
- The majority of techniques introduced for CH image authentication and IPR protection are based only on grayscale images.
- Generally, the schemes reported in previous studies for robust watermarking have been examined explicitly against singular attacks, and very little attention has been paid to the analysis of simultaneous attacks.

Therefore, considering the shortcomings of previous methods, as listed in Table 1, we developed a time-efficient, blind, and robust authentication-based watermarking algorithm in this study; this method demonstrates resilience for copyright protection of CH images while simultaneously addressing all the aforementioned limitations. The contributions of this study can be summarized as follows:

- The system was made robust by inserting watermark bits in the spatial domain instead of embedding them in the transform domain.
- The watermark was embedded in the “Y” element of the YCbCr space, which offered better resilience, and the scheme could be used for applications involving visual sensors.
- The proposed scheme offered better resistance against various simultaneous and singular attacks compared with various other state-of-the-art schemes.
- One of the most important characteristics of this scheme is its low computational complexity, which makes it suitable for real-time applications.

IV. DCT

The DCT is widely used to convert a signal from the spatial domain to the transform domain. For digital watermarking applications, DCT-based transformation is typically used because it employs the standard compression technique (JPEG).

For an image with a size of $M \times N$, the 2D DCT can be computed as follows:

$$T(u, v) = D(u) D(v) \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} s(i, j) \times \cos \left[ \frac{(2i + 1)u\pi}{2M} \right] \cos \left[ \frac{(2j + 1)v\pi}{2N} \right]$$

$$D(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \text{ if } u = 0, \text{ else } \sqrt{\frac{2}{M}} \text{ if } u > 0$$

$$D(v) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \text{ if } v = 0, \text{ else } \sqrt{\frac{2}{N}} \text{ if } v > 0$$

From (1), the DC coefficient can be calculated as follows:

$$T(0, 0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M \times N}} \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} s(i, j)$$

Therefore, from (4), the DC coefficient $T(0, 0)$ can be calculated by directly considering the mean summation of the overall pixels of $s(i, j)$ in the spatial domain. Thus, it can be observed that adding a watermark directly to the DC coefficient does not affect any losses after the application of the inverse DCT [16]. In our study, we mimicked the transform domain behavior by implementing the algorithm in the spatial domain. Notably, the proposed technique required less computational time, similar to techniques based on the spatial domain, and simultaneously provided robustness, similar to those based on the transform domain. This is because we did not use the actual DCT for the transformation of the cover image; instead, we used the fundamental concept directing that the DC coefficient of a transformed image can be computed by determining the mean of its intensities.

V. PROPOSED FRAMEWORK

As stated, herein, a blind and robust authentication-based watermarking technique operating in the spatial domain is proposed for CH images. The watermarking system is divided into two steps: embedding and extraction processes.

A. EMBEDDING PROCESS

Fig. 1 presents a block diagram of the proposed watermark embedding process. The cover image is first converted into the YCbCr space from the RGB space, and the luminance element “Y” is selected for hiding the watermark. In the “Y” element, watermark insertion is executed according to the following steps:

**Step 1:** Split a $512 \times 512$ “Y” element into non-overlapping blocks with sizes of $8 \times 8$, where “A” denotes a random $8 \times 8$ block.
| Scheme                  | Method used                        | Pros                                                                 | Cons                                                                 |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Cappellini et al. (2000) | DFT                                | The scheme is partially developed by the framework of the CNR (the Italian Research Council). | The method has not been evaluated against any type of attack. |
| Zhao et al. (2004)      | DCT + DWT                          | A dual domain watermarking framework is proposed. The scheme is robust against different types of attacks. | The proposed algorithm is computationally complex. |
| Mastico et al. (2007)   | DFT                                | The scheme is assisted by the European Union, EU–India economic cross-cultural programme: Culture tech. The scheme has the capacity of embedding 64 bits. | The framework has not been evaluated against any type of attack. Further, the framework is not compared to any state-of-the-art techniques. |
| Parah et al. (2017)     | Spatial domain based.              | A watermark is directly added to the host image in the spatial domain without any transformation. A robust watermarking application is developed. | The algorithm has not been analyzed for hybrid attacks. The robustness of the scheme can be further improved. |
| Lone et al. (2018)      | DCT                                | The algorithm is appropriate for both color and grayscale images. The technique achieves better robustness. | The robustness of the system can be further improved. |
| Liu et al. (2018)       | DWT + LSB substitution method      | A dual watermarking technique is introduced for copyright protection and data authentication. The technique demonstrates better robustness for singular attacks. | The technique has not been tested against any hybrid attacks. |
| Fares et al. (2020)     | DFT                                | Multiple types of DFTs are utilized for embedding a watermark. The technique offers better robustness against several types of attacks. | The algorithm has not been analyzed against hybrid attacks. |
| Roy et al. (2020)       | DCT                                | The reversible watermarking technique is developed using the optimal linear prediction (wiener filtering) method. A low distortion capacity-based robust watermarking scheme is proposed. | The scheme has not been evaluated for color images. |
| Kamili et al. (2021)    | Four-point neighborhood method + DCT | For copyright protection, the robust watermarking framework is implemented, and for data authentication, fragile watermarking is incorporated. The scheme achieves better robustness. | The computational complexity of the system is better. The robustness of the system can be further enhanced. |
| Xiong et al (2022)      | Secure multiparty computation      | A reversible and robust watermarking framework is achieved for encrypted images. The protected multiparty computational method is incorporated to embed a watermark. | The scheme has not been evaluated for hybrid attacks. The computational complexity of the system is greater. |
| Proposed method         | DC coefficient modification in the spatial domain. | A robust and time-efficient watermarking framework is proposed for CIH images, offering resistance against both singular and hybrid attacks. | The proposed framework is less robust against high-degree rotation attacks. |
Step 2: Split the block “A” into two subblocks, each with a size of $4 \times 8$, consequently dividing the odd and even location pixels, as depicted in Fig. 2. The pixel subblocks of odd and even locations are represented as $A_{\text{odd}}$ and $A_{\text{even}}$, respectively.

Step 3: Calculate the DC coefficients of $A_{\text{odd}}$ and $A_{\text{even}}$ using (4). The obtained DC coefficients are represented by $D_{\text{odd}}$ and $D_{\text{even}}$, as expressed in (5) and (6), respectively.

$$
D_{\text{odd}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \times 8}} \sum_{i=0}^{3} \sum_{j=0}^{7} A_{\text{odd}}(i,j) 
$$

(5)

$$
D_{\text{even}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \times 8}} \sum_{i=0}^{3} \sum_{j=0}^{7} A_{\text{even}}(i,j) 
$$

(6)

Here, (5) and (6) indicate that the DC coefficient of a block can be directly computed based on the mean summation of all pixels in that block, instead of calculating the DCT of a block. A watermark is inserted by changing the DC coefficients of $A_{\text{odd}}$ and $A_{\text{even}}$ so that $D_{\text{odd}}$ becomes greater than $D_{\text{even}}$ for watermark bit “1” and vice versa for watermark bit “0.”

Step 4: Insert watermark bit “0” or “1.”

The watermark bit “1” is embedded using the following expression:

If $D_{\text{odd}} < D_{\text{even}}$, then

$$
D'_{\text{odd}} = D_{\text{even}} \\
D'_{\text{even}} = D_{\text{odd}}
$$

End

To increase the resilience of the system, the difference between the two DC coefficients is maintained greater than a predefined embedding factor $\mu$, as follows:

If $D'_{\text{odd}} - D'_{\text{even}} < \mu$ then

$$
D'_{\text{odd}} = D_{\text{odd}} + \frac{\mu}{2} \\
D'_{\text{even}} = D_{\text{even}} - \frac{\mu}{2}
$$

End

For the insertion of watermark bit “0,” the following expressions are used:

If $D_{\text{odd}} > D_{\text{even}}$, then

$$
D'_{\text{odd}} = D_{\text{even}} \\
D'_{\text{even}} = D_{\text{odd}}
$$

End

To increase the resilience of the system, the difference between the two DC coefficients is maintained greater than a predefined embedding factor $\mu$, as follows:

If $D'_{\text{even}} - D'_{\text{odd}} < \mu$ then

$$
D'_{\text{even}} = D_{\text{even}} + \frac{\mu}{2} \\
D'_{\text{odd}} = D_{\text{odd}} - \frac{\mu}{2}
$$

End

Step 5: Determine the magnitude of change resulting from the pixel values of $A_{\text{odd}}$ and $A_{\text{even}}$, as follows:

$$
\vartheta_{\text{odd}} = \frac{D'_{\text{odd}} - D_{\text{odd}}}{\sqrt{4 \times 8}} \\
\vartheta_{\text{even}} = \frac{D'_{\text{even}} - D_{\text{even}}}{\sqrt{4 \times 8}}
$$

(7)

(8)

Step 6: Obtain the changed subblocks as follows:

$$
A^*_{\text{odd}} = A_{\text{odd}} + \vartheta_{\text{odd}} \\
A^*_{\text{even}} = A_{\text{even}} + \vartheta_{\text{even}}
$$

(9)

(10)

Step 7: Combine $A^*_{\text{odd}}$ and $A^*_{\text{even}}$ to obtain the watermarked block $A^*$.

Step 8: Repeat Steps 2–7 until all bits of the watermark are inserted in the “Y” element blocks, resulting in the watermarked luminance element. The value of $\mu$ used in this experiment is 20. Further, we also conducted an experiment using various values of $\mu$. Increasing the value of $\mu$ above 20 resulted in better robustness but degraded the perceptual quality of the image; by contrast, decreasing $\mu$ below 20 improved the perceptual quality of the image but degraded the robustness of the algorithm. Thus, we selected an optimum value of $\mu$ to retain the robustness and visual quality of the image. The final watermarked image was obtained after converting the watermarked “Y” element to the RGB space from the YCbCr space.

**B. EXTRACTION PROCESS**

The extraction process of the digital watermark is similar to the watermark embedding process. Fig. 3 presents a block diagram of the extraction process. The watermarked picture was converted to the YCbCr space from the RGB space, where the luminance component was forwarded for watermark extraction. The steps involved in the extraction of the watermark from the watermarked luminance component can be outlined as follows:

Step 1: Divide the $512 \times 512$ watermarked “Y” element into non-overlapping blocks with sizes of $8 \times 8$, where “A” denotes an arbitrary $8 \times 8$ block.

Step 2: Split the block “A” into two subblocks with sizes of $4 \times 8$, dividing the odd and even location pixels, as indicated in Fig. 3. The pixel subblocks of odd and even locations are represented by $A_{\text{odd}}$ and $A_{\text{even}}$, respectively.

Step 3: Calculate the DC coefficients of $A_{\text{odd}}$ and $A_{\text{even}}$ using (5) and (6), respectively. Suppose that the DC coefficients of $A_{\text{odd}}$ and $A_{\text{even}}$ are represented using $D_{\text{odd}}$ and $D_{\text{even}}$, respectively.
FIGURE 1. Framework of the proposed watermark embedding scheme.

Step 4: Follow the watermark extraction steps to obtain the watermark bits as follows:

\[
\text{If } D_{\text{odd}} < D_{\text{even}}, \text{then} \\
\text{Watermark bit } = 0 \\
\text{Otherwise} \\
\text{Watermark bit } = 1
\]

End

Step 5: Repeat Steps 2–4 until the bits of the watermark are obtained from all the blocks of “Y” and produce the extracted watermark.

VI. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We conducted our experimental analysis on the following test images: “Airplane” and “Lena” with a size of 512 × 512 procured from the USC-SIPI database and 176 CH images with a size of 512 × 512 extracted from our self-created database; a few of them are labeled as Image “A” to Image “F.” In addition, we used watermarks with various sizes for the experimental analysis. Fig. 4 presents a few test images and watermarks used in the experiment. Various evaluation quality parameters, such as the normalized correlation coefficient (NCC), similarity index matrix (SSIM), bit error rate (BER), and PSNR, were utilized for the proposed framework analysis [15].

A. IMPERCEPTIBILITY ANALYSIS

Note that any watermarking algorithm must be imperceptible; that is, after embedding the watermark, the visual quality of the cover image should not change, and the watermarked image should not appear degraded compared to the actual cover image. The watermarked images without attack
subjugation, along with their extracted watermarks, are presented in Fig. 5, where the NCC is equal to one. The attacked and extracted images presented in Fig. 5 reveal that the proposed embedding algorithm demonstrates good visual quality. Table 2 lists the SSIM and PSNR values of the standard test and CH images obtained under a no attack scenario. The achieved PSNR for watermarked images was approximately 40 dB, indicating the ability of this technique in providing a better perceptual quality of watermarked images.

**TABLE 2.** Perceptual quality of the proposed scheme under no attack after embedding a watermark in the Y component of the YCbCr model.

| Test Images   | PSNR (dB) | SSIM |
|---------------|-----------|------|
| Airplane      | 41.0830   | 0.9717 |
| Lena          | 40.2680   | 0.9975 |
| Image “A”     | 38.7140   | 0.9932 |
| Image “B”     | 40.3981   | 0.9891 |
| Image “C”     | 40.2358   | 0.9893 |
| Image “D”     | 40.1982   | 0.9911 |
| Image “E”     | 40.1782   | 0.9915 |
| Image “F”     | 39.9176   | 0.9946 |
| **Average**   | **40.3384** | **0.9864** |

**TABLE 3.** Performance analysis on test images.

| Attack Type     | Airplane | Lena | 170 Test Heritage Images |
|-----------------|----------|------|--------------------------|
| NCC             | 0.9537   | 0.9663 | 0.9637 |
| Average NCC     | 0.9991   | 0.9988 | 0.9965 |
| Median Filtering (3x3) | 0.9149 | 0.9176 | 0.8587 |
| Cropping (10% center) | 0.9420 | 0.9420 | 0.9419 |
| Poisson         | 0.9720   | 0.9894 | 0.9841 |
| LSB Reset       | 1        | 1     | 1 |
| (1 or 2)        | adaptive Histogram | 1 | 1 | 0.9998 |
| Smoothing       | 0.9220   | 0.9976 | 0.9646 |
| Log Transformation | 1 | 1 | 1 |

**TABLE 4.** Performance evaluation of the proposed scheme for resolution scalability and content cropping attacks.

**B. ROBUSTNESS ANALYSIS**

Robustness is a basic parameter that indicates the resistance of an algorithm to different geometric and signal-processing operations. The robustness is measured using parameters such as the NCC and BER. In our experiments, the test and CH images were subjected to various singular attacks (including “salt and pepper,” “JPEG compression,” “filtering,” “sharpening,” “speckle noise,” etc.) and hybrid attacks, and the objective analysis is presented in Tables 3 and 4. Fig. 6 illustrates the images with the applied watermarks. The results of the performance analysis of the algorithm after various simultaneous attacks in terms of the NCC are presented in Fig. 7. In addition, the efficiency of the algorithm is compared with that of multiple state-of-the-art schemes, and the corresponding results are presented in Table 5 (a), Table 5 (b), Fig. 8, Fig. 9, and Fig. 10.

**C. PAYLOAD**

Notably, payload defines the number of watermark bits that can be inserted into a host image. In the proposed scheme, the host image used a 24-bit color image (RGB image), which was then converted into the YCbCr color space, where one watermark bit was embedded in each $8 \times 8$ block of the Y component of the host image. Therefore, the payload varied with the size of the host image. Table 7 summarizes the payload of the proposed scheme for host images with different sizes.
TABLE 5. Comparative evaluation of the proposed algorithm with various other schemes.

| Schemes | [13] | [14] | [15] | [20] | Proposed |
|---------|------|------|------|------|----------|
| PSNR (dB) | 42.42 | 42.02 | 41.24 | 39.88 | 41.0830 |

| (a) | Salt and Pepper Noise (0.01) | Sharpening | Gaussian Noise (0.001) | Gaussian Noise (0.005) | Gaussian LPF | Histogram Equalization JPEG (90) | Cropping (center) |
|------|-----------------------------|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| PSNR (dB) | 0.8739 | 0.9291 | 0.9169 | 0.9253 | 0.9663 | N/A | N/A |

**D. COMPLEXITY**

An Intel (R) core (TM) i7-7700 central processing unit operating at 3.60 GHz was used for objective assessment of the proposed framework on MATLAB 2017a with a Windows operating system, and the obtained results are listed in Table 8. In this table, the average time (in seconds) is provided for a few test images. A timing comparison of the proposed scheme with that proposed in [14] is presented in Fig. 11. The scheme proposed in [14] was first tested under the same experimental conditions as the proposed algorithm. After maintaining the same experimental conditions, we compared the computational time of the proposed scheme with that of the state-of-the-art technique.

**VII. DISCUSSION**

As stated, the proposed technique was tested for its visual efficiency and robustness using evaluation parameters such as the PSNR, SSIM, and NCC. The mean PSNR of the proposed scheme under a no attack scenario was greater than 40 dB, and the SSIM values were closer to one, as listed in Table 2, which ensured the imperceptibility of the proposed
framework. Fig. 5 and Table 2 present the perceptual quality of the proposed scheme. The proposed technique could insert a total of 4096 bits of a watermark in a host image with a size of $512 \times 512$ by inserting one bit in every $8 \times 8$ block, which is further illustrated in Table 7. The proposed scheme was

| Attacks          | Airplane | Image “A” |
|------------------|----------|-----------|
| Salt and Pepper  | Salt     | Salt      |
| (0.01)           | and Pepper (0.01) | and Pepper (0.01) |

| Attacks          | Image “B” |
|------------------|-----------|
| Salt and Pepper  | Salt      |
| (0.01)           | and Pepper (0.01) |

| Attacked Image   | NCC       |
|------------------|----------|
| 0.9537           | 0.9783   |
| 0.9661           |          |

| Extracted Watermarks |
|----------------------|
| DOE UOK DOE UOK UOK UOK |

| Attacks          | Extracted Watermarks |
|------------------|----------------------|
| Histogram        | DOE DOE DOE UOK UOK |
| Equalization     | NCC 0.9814 0.9850 0.9743 |

| Further Attacks  | UOK |
|------------------|-----|
| JPEG (90)        |     |
| Salt and Pepper  |     |
| (0.01)           |     |

| Attacks          | Attacked Image       |
|------------------|----------------------|
| Gaussian Noise   | 0.9983 0.9979 0.9991 |
| (0.001)          |                      |

| Extracted Watermarks |
|----------------------|
| DOE DOE DOE UOK UOK |

| Attacks          | Extracted Watermarks |
|------------------|----------------------|
| Histogram        | DOE DOE DOE UOK UOK |
| Equalization     | NCC 0.9399 0.9392 0.9418 |

| Further Attacks  | UOK |
|------------------|-----|
| Rotation         |     |
| (0.1)            | UOK |

| Attacks          | Attacked Image       |
|------------------|----------------------|
| JPEG (90)        | 0.9980 0.9981 0.9840 |
| Salt and Pepper  |                      |
| (0.01)           |                      |

| Extracted Watermarks |
|----------------------|
| DOE DOE DOE UOK UOK |

| Attacks          | Extracted Watermarks |
|------------------|----------------------|
| Histogram        | DOE DOE DOE UOK UOK |
| Equalization     | NCC 0.9983 0.9943 0.9972 |

| Further Attacks  | UOK |
|------------------|-----|
| Rotation         |     |
| (0.1)            | UOK |

| FIGURE 6. Attacked watermarked images and their extracted watermarks. |
|----------------------------------------------------------|
| FIGURE 7. Extracted watermarks under various hybrid attacks. |
further tested against various singular and hybrid attacks, and watermarks obtained from the watermarked images subjected to various attacks are illustrated in Figs 6 and 7; the results obtained indicate the robustness of the proposed technique. The NCC values listed in Tables 3 to 5 are either closer to one or equal to one, which indicates that the obtained watermarks can still be recognized after being subjected to various singular and simultaneous attacks. The proposed scheme (Tables 5 (a) and 5(b)) was then compared with various well-known state-of-the-art schemes, revealing that the proposed technique offered better resilience than the available watermarking schemes. In addition, the proposed framework was evaluated for different watermarks with varying sizes, and the results are presented in Table 6; these results also indicate the resilience of the scheme for different-sized watermarks. The results of the timing analysis of the framework are presented in Table 8. Furthermore, the results of the timing comparison of the proposed algorithm with that proposed in [14] (Fig. 11) also prove the capability of the technique for use in real-time applications.

**VIII. CONCLUSION**

CH images are crucial assets of any region. In the ongoing scenario of Internet dominance, any unauthorized user can effortlessly access valuable data and alter their original ownership. Thus, the security and protection of CH data in such scenarios are significant challenges for researchers worldwide. Therefore, it is the need of the hour to ensure copyright protection of CH images. To that end, we developed a blind and robust pixel-domain-based watermarking scheme.
that offered better imperceptibility and robustness. The performance of our scheme was investigated for different image processing operations, such as salt and pepper noise, low-pass filtering, sharpening, and hybrid attacks. The experimental results indicate that in addition to offering resilience against singular attacks, the proposed algorithm also offers robustness against simultaneous attacks. The comparison analysis reveals that our proposed technique performs better in terms of the robustness and imperceptibility compared to various state-of-the-art techniques, while demonstrating a low computational complexity owing to the embedding performed in the spatial domain. We believe that our scheme may be appropriate for authentication and copyright protection of CH images in real-time applications.
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