Substrate preference of an ABC importer corresponds to selective growth on β-(1,6)-galactosides in Bifidobacterium animalis subsp. lactis
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Bifidobacteria are exposed to substantial amounts of dietary β-galactosides. Distinctive preferences for growth on different β-galactosides are observed within Bifidobacterium members, but the basis of these preferences remains unclear. We previously described the first β-(1,6)/(1,3)-galactosidase from Bifidobacterium animalis subsp. lactis BL-04. This enzyme is relatively promiscuous, exhibiting only 5-fold higher efficiency on the preferred β-(1,6)-galactobiose than the β-(1,4) isomer. Here, we characterize the solute-binding protein (Bal6GBP) that governs the specificity of the ABC transporter encoded by the same β-galactoside utilization locus. We observed that although Bal6GBP recognizes both β-(1,6)- and β-(1,4)-galactobiase, Bal6GBP has a 1630-fold higher selectivity for the former, reflected in dramatic differences in growth, with several hours lag on less preferred β-(1,4)- and β-(1,3)-galactobiase. Experiments performed in the presence of varying proportions of β-(1,4)/β-(1,6)-galactobiases indicated that the preferred substrate was preferentially depleted from the culture supernatant. This established that the poor growth on the nonpreferred β-(1,4) was due to inefficient uptake. We solved the structure of Bal6GBP in complex with β-(1,6)-galactobiase at 1.39 Å resolution, revealing the structural basis of this strict selectivity. Moreover, we observed a close evolutionary relationship with the human milk disaccharide lacto-N-biose-binding protein from Bifidobacterium longum, indicating that the recognition of the nonreducing galactosyl is essentially conserved, whereas the adjacent position is diversified to fit different glycosidic linkages and monosaccharide residues. These findings indicate that oligosaccharide uptake has a pivotal role in governing selectivity for distinct growth substrates and have uncovered evolutionary trajectories that shape the diversification of sugar uptake proteins within Bifidobacterium.

The human gut microbiota (HGM) is increasingly acknowledged as a major contributor to human health as well as a modulator of host metabolism (1) and immune-homeostasis (2). Specific microbiota signatures are associated with serious disease states, including inflammatory disorders (3), obesity, type 2 diabetes (4), and colorectal cancer (5), underscoring the crucial impact of a balanced HGM composition. Diet is a major external factor affecting the composition of the microbiota (6), and oligo- as well as polysaccharides that are nondigestible to the human host are instrumental in shaping this community (7). The abundance and the diversity of dietary glycans have driven the evolution of different microbial strategies to harvest energy from these metabolic resources. Dominant commensals from the Bacteroides genus are considered generalists that target a broad range of glycans via outer membrane–bound extracellular enzymes (7, 8). Other taxonomic groups (e.g. bifidobacteria) typically possess fewer extracellular enzymes compared with Bacteroides. Instead, bifidobacteria rely on effective transport systems, mainly ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters, to access oligosaccharides that are either naturally present in diet (9) or produced by hydrolytic enzymes from other HGM members, thereby facilitating cross-feeding (10, 11). Genomes of bifidobacteria typically encode a multitude of oligosaccharide-specific ABC transporters (12), which mediate high-affinity capture of oligosaccharides via their extracellular lipid-anchored solute-binding proteins (SBPs). Uptake of oligosaccharides by these transporters is likely to confer an important advantage in the competitive human gut niche, particularly in the colon, which harbors the highest bacterial density. Despite this key role, our insight into oligosaccharide uptake in the human gut niche remains limited.
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β-Galactosides are ubiquitous in the human diet (e.g., in both human and animal milk) (13) and in fruits and vegetables, where they are omnipresent in primary cell wall pectic polysaccharides (14)). Oligomeric β-galactosides of different origin have been shown to selectively promote members of the *Bifidobacterium* genus that harbor many probiotic health-promoting strains (15). Dietary β-galactosides display source-dependent diversity with respect to degree of polymerization (DP), glycosidic linkages, and the presence of other monosaccharides. β-Galactosides are also considered prime prebiotic dietary supplements (galactooligosaccharides (GOS)) produced by transglycosylation of lactose, partially due to their bifidogenic effect. Notably, commercial GOS preparations typically comprise tens of compounds of DP 2–6 and contain β-(1,3)-, β-(1,4)-, and β-(1,6)-galactosidic linkages (16). Only some of these oligosaccharides are metabolized by bifidobacteria in a species- and strain-specific manner (17–19). Knowledge about β-galactoside preferences of bifidobacteria largely stems from characterization of β-galactosidases (20) or from growth and oligosaccharide consumption profiles (19). The role of oligosaccharide transporters in β-galactooligosaccharide utilization selectivity has received less attention, with two recent studies having addressed the identification and binding properties of β-galactooligosaccharide transport proteins in *Bifidobacterium breve* (21, 22).

We have previously identified a transcriptionally induced operon during growth of the probiotic strain *Bifidobacterium animalis* subsp. *lactis* Bl-04 on GOS (a commercial β-galactoside mixture). This operon encodes a transcriptional regulator, a β-galactosidase of glycoside hydrolase family 42 (BLGH42A), and an ABC transporter (23). The β-galactosidase revealed a broad specificity, hydrolyzing β-(1,6)-, β-(1,3)-, and β-(1,4)-galactosidic bonds with a modest 5-fold preference for β-(1,6)-galactobiose (β6Gal2) as compared with the β-(1,4) isomer (24). Here, we report the molecular characterization of the β-galactoside–specific SBP (Bal6GBP) associated with the ABC transporter. In contrast to the relatively promiscuous β-gal, Bal6GBP displayed selectivity exceeding 3 orders of magnitude for β6Gal2 as compared with the β-(1,4) isomers, which was explained by the structure of Bal6GBP in complex with β6Gal2. The high selectivity of Bal6GBP is reflected in a dramatic difference in growth profiles of *B. animalis* subsp. *lactis* Bl-04 showing several hours of lag phase on less preferred substrates. This was shown to be attributed to the inefficient uptake of ligands that are less preferred by the binding protein.

Structural analyses allowed tracking the evolutionary relationship with the lacto-N-biose (human milk-derived) binding protein from *Bifidobacterium longum* subsp. *longum* (25), which shares only 26% sequence identity with Bal6GBP. Despite the low primary structure identities, these two binding proteins share a similar architecture of the side chains interacting with the nonreducing galactosyl moiety but diverge in the recognition of the penultimate carbohydrate ring from the nonreducing end.

Altogether, the present study constitutes a unique case enabling the comparison of ligand selectivity of an ABC-associated transport protein and the intracellular glycoside hydrolase mediating uptake and breakdown, respectively, of the same substrates. These findings underscore the leading role of ABC-mediated glycan transport in governing the growth preferences of core gut microbiota members on β-galactosides. This insight paves the way to tailored editing of specific members of the microbiota based on uptake profiles in future interventions to promote health and to alleviate disease.

**Results**

*The ABC transporter–associated binding protein from B. animalis subsp. lactis Bl-04 is highly specific toward β-(1,6)-galactosides*

The β-galactoside SBP from *B. animalis* subsp. *lactis* Bl-04 (Bal6GBP) was recombinantly produced and purified (yield = 85 mg liter−1 medium). The binding of a panel of di- and oligosaccharides to Bal6GBP was screened using surface plasmon resonance (SPR), which established the specificity toward β-galactopyranosyl–linked ligands (Table S1). The binding affinities and kinetic parameters of the β-galactoside ligands (Fig. 1) were discerned by SPR (Fig. 2A and Table 1). The highest affinity of Bal6GBP was for β6Gal2 (K_D ~ 100 nM), and the selectivity toward this galactosidic linkage was striking as the affinity dropped by 304- and 1630-fold for β-(1,4) galactotetraose (β4Gal2) and β-(1,4)-galactobiose (β4Gal2), respectively. The selectivity was governed by staggering (up to 3 × 10^4-fold) changes in the association rate constant (k_off), whereas the dissociation rate constants (k_on) varied modestly with glycosidic linkage. The affinity appeared to decrease with size for β-(1,6)-galactosides with a 26-fold drop for β-(1,6)-galactotetraose (β6Gal4) as compared with β6Gal2. This trend was not valid for the less preferred β-(1,4)-galactosides. The affinity of Bal6GBP
was highest at pH 6.5 but varied only modestly in the pH range 5.4–8.2, consistent with the slightly acidic pH prevalent in the colon.

Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) analyses confirmed the binding magnitude and preference of Bal6GBP for β-(1,6)-galactosides (Fig. 2B and Table 2).

**Overall three-dimensional structure of Bal6GBP in complex with the preferred ligand**

The crystal structure of Bal6GBP in the free open conformation was solved to a resolution of 1.94 Å by SeMet single anomalous dispersion phasing. Coordinates of the separate domains from the open conformation were used as molecular replacement (MR) models to solve the structure of Bal6GBP in complex with the preferred ligand β6Gal2 to 1.39 Å (Table 3).

---

**Table 2**

| Ligand Sites (n) | K_D (µM) | ΔG (kJ mol⁻¹) | ΔH (kJ mol⁻¹) | −ΔS (kJ mol⁻¹) |
|------------------|----------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| β6Gal2          | 0.6 µM   | 1.4 ± 0.2 × 10⁻⁵ | 97.7 ± 0.5     | −25.7 ± 0.5    |
| β6Gal4          | 1.1 µM   | 4.1 ± 0.2 × 10⁻⁶ | 30.7 ± 0.6     | −13.2 ± 0.1    | −17.5 |

*Average of two experiments.
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---

**Figure 2. Binding analyses of Bal6GBP.** A, binding of β-(1,6)-galactotetraose to Bal6GBP as analyzed by surface plasmon resonance at 25 °C and pH 6.5. Shown are blank and reference cell–corrected sensograms (top) and one binding site steady-state analysis (bottom) to seven ligand concentrations (0.2–25.6 µM). B, isothermal titration calorimetry binding analysis of Bal6GBP (246 µM) to β-(1,6)-galactobiose (2.4 mM) at 25 °C and pH 6.5. Top, baseline-adjusted thermogram; bottom, binding isotherm and a one-site binding model fit to the data (solid line).
resulting in an open ligand-free form and a closed ligand-revealed high flexibility between domain 1 and domain 2, and potentiates structures (27). The hinge region that connects the two architecture of these two domains is similar to other SBP domains and 374–447) consists of 10 α-helices and six β-strands. The architecture of these two domains is similar to other SBP structures (27). The hinge region that connects the two domains and potentiates domain movement between the open and closed conformation (Fig. S1) consists of two loop regions (residues 145–148 and 307–310) and an anti-parallel β-sheet formed by two short β-strands. The crystal obtained for phasing from the SeMet-labeled protein belongs to the same space group as the ligand-bound form, but with a different angle and slightly different cell lengths (Table 3). The structure of the open form of the protein was determined from the SeMet protein crystals, which revealed high flexibility between domain 1 and domain 2, resulting in an open ligand-free form and a closed ligand-bound form (29). The transition between these two states involves a rotation angle of 32.3° between the domains of Bal6GBP, as estimated with the DynDom server (30) (Fig. S1). Thus, closure of the protein involves a rigid-body movement of domains with changes mainly occurring in the hinge region.

### β-(1,6)-Galactobiose-binding site in Bal6GBP

Bal6GBP in complex with β6Gal2 showed a well-defined density of the ligand that primarily assumes the β-configuration. The ligand is almost completely engulfed in the largely solvent-inaccessible binding site (Fig. S2). The nonreducing galactosyl stacks onto Trp-275 from domain 2, which defines position 1. A total of 12 potential hydrogen bonds recognize β6Gal2: three from domain 1, six from domain 2, and three from the hinge region (Table S2 and Fig. 3A). Five of the six water molecules that coordinate the ligand also mediate hydrogen-bonding networks to residues in the binding pocket. All hydroxyl groups of the galactosyl at position 1 are recognized by polar interactions to Gln-96, Glu-98, Asp-145, Asn-279, Gly-310, and Ser-311. This correlates well with the strict requirement for β-galactosyl at position 1 for recognition by Bal6GBP. By contrast, only the C2-OH and C4-OH of the

| Data collection | PDB entry 6H0H (complex with β6Gal2) | PDB entry 6Q5G (SeMet open form) |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Wavelength (Å)  | 1.000                              | 0.979                            |
| Resolution range (Å) | 30.21–1.39 (1.44–1.39) | 46.19–1.94 (2.01–1.94) |
| Space group     | P1 2 1                             | P1 2 1                           |
| a, b, c (Å)     | 56.35, 71.69, 88.71                 | 62.64, 69.36, 97.30              |
| β (degrees)     | 95.04                              | 104.43                           |
| Total reflections | 489,576 (19,734)                  | 378,068 (21,326)                 |
| Unique reflections | 130,307 (8129)                  | 57,952 (4289)                    |
| Multiplicity    | 3.8 (2.4)                          | 6.5 (5.0)                        |
| Completeness (%)| 91.81 (57.69)                       | 96.38 (71.74)                    |
| Mean I/σ(I)     | 18.59 (2.39)                       | 12.69 (2.64)                     |
| Reflection used in refinement | 129,672 (8129)                  | 57,952 (4289)                    |
| Reflections used for Rfree | 6526 (427)                     | 1078 (80)                       |
| Rwork           | 0.1161 (0.2066)                     | 0.1588 (0.2408)                  |
| Rsigma          | 0.0743 (0.1344)                     | 0.0528 (0.0848)                  |
| CC(merge)       | 1.000 (0.943)                       | 0.999 (0.982)                    |
| CC(mean)        | 0.999 (0.799)                       | 0.996 (0.931)                    |
| Number of non-hydrogen atoms | 8154                        | 7148                            |
| Macromolecules  | 6765                               | 6444                            |
| Ligands         | 138                                | None                            |
| Solvent         | 1231                               | 704                             |
| Protein residues| 820                                | 832                            |
| RMSD (bonds)    | 0.007                              | 0.010                           |
| RMSD (angles)   | 0.92                               | 0.96                            |
| Ramachandran favored (%) | 97.79                    | 97.58                           |
| Ramachandran allowed (%) | 1.96                        | 2.29                           |
| Ramachandran outliers (%) | 0.25                      | 0.12                           |
| Rotamer outliers (%) | 0.86                        | 1.97                           |
| clashscore      | 1.91                               | 2.30                            |
| Average B-factor | 16.31                      | 33.39                           |
| Number of TLS groups | 14                        | None                            |

Table 3

Data collection and refinement statistics of the X-ray crystal structure of native Bal6GBP in complex with β-(1,6)-galactobiose and the selenomethionine-labeled protein in open form
affinity for the disaccharide allolactose as compared with β6Gal2 (Table 2), probably due to the recognition of the axial C4-OH in β6Gal2 by Asn-74 and Glu-98, which also interacts with the C2-OH from the reducing end galactosyl unit. Both hemiacetal ring oxygens in β6Gal2 form hydrogen bonds with indole nitrogens of tryptophans (Trp-47 and Trp-254; Fig. 3B).

**Transporter preference is reflected in the β-galactobiose isomer growth profiles of B. animalis subsp. lactis BI-04**

Only two loci were previously observed to be highly up-regulated during growth on galactooligosaccharides, the canonical lactose metabolism locus encoding a LacS transport system and the ABC system characterized in the present study (23), suggesting that this ABC system is the only β-galactoside–specific locus. To evaluate the impact of the preference of Bal6GBP on growth of B. animalis sp. lactis BI-04, the bacterium was cultivated for 48 h on the β-(1,3), β-(1,4), or β-(1,6) structural isomers of β-galactobiose as a carbon source (Fig. 4A). Starting from the same inoculum, the most rapid growth was on β6Gal2 with no observable lag phase. Conversely, growth on β3Gal2 and β4Gal2 commenced after lag phases of 9 and 15 h, respectively. We carried out another growth experiment to verify whether the growth delay on less preferred ligands was related to inefficient transcriptional response or due to poor uptake. The growth in this case was performed on 0.5% 4Gal2 and 0.5% 6Gal2, respectively (all w/v) (Fig. 4B). The growth level was proportional to the amount of the preferred β6Gal2 after 40 h, although the final one-point OD measurement before the culture harvest at about 48 h was higher (data not shown) compared with the 40-h sample, consistent with the delayed growth pattern observed on β4Gal2 (Fig. 4A). The reason for using the lower concentration in the 1:1 galactobiose mixture was to have higher sensitivity in the uptake assay for monitoring uptake during the exponential phase. The uptake preference was evaluated by analysis of supernatants from a culture growing on the same equimolar mixture of β4Gal2 and β6Gal2 as in Fig. 4B. The preferred β6Gal2 was depleted after 12 h, whereas consumption of β4Gal2 was only observed after 24 h and was not completed after 72 h of growth (Fig. 4C). These experiments suggest that the uptake preference is a key factor that governs the onset and the extent of growth on both pure substrates and mixtures of those.

**Discussion**

**Transporter proteins as sensitive probes of the evolution of microbial glycan preferences in the gut niche**

The evolution of bifidobacteria has been shaped by the acquisition of genes that support the adaptation to the digestive tracts of animals that provide parental care, especially mammals (31, 32). The evolution of unique glycan utilization capabilities by *Bifidobacterium* members underscores the impact of metabolic specialization as a driving force for species differentiation and niche adaptation (12). Considerable acquisition of carbohydrate transporters, especially of the ABC type, has been proposed in *Bifidobacterium* (12). The evolution of oligosaccharide transporter specificities to support the adaptation of
bifidobacteria to distinct niches in host guts has not received sufficient attention. Transport proteins are suitable probes of the evolution of glycan metabolic preferences due to their superior selectivities as demonstrated in the present study. Biochemical and structural characterization of carbohydrate transporters is key to enable the assignment of metabolic preferences based on genome sequence data. To illustrate this, we performed structural and multiple sequence alignments of Bal6GBP and GL-BP, the homologue conferring uptake of the mucin-derived GNB and the human milk–derived LNB from B. longum sp. longum (25), together with closely related sequences that cluster with these two characterized proteins in the phylogenetic analysis (Fig. S3). The aromatic platform, which is the hallmark of carbohydrate recognition by SBPs at position 1, is strictly conserved in both the primary and tertiary structural alignment together with the four residues recognizing the nonreducing N-acetyl hexosamine ring in GL-BP, whereas in Bal6GBP, the indole ring is orthogonal to the galactosyl plane and forms a polar interaction with the hemiacetal oxygen.

A key difference is the substitution of Trp-47 in Bal6GBP with Arg-49 in GL-BP. Importantly, Arg-49 recognizes the N-acetyl group unique to GL-BP substrates via direct and water-mediated hydrogen bonds (Fig. 5B). This residue together with Glu-110 also engages the galactosyl at position 1 (ring oxygen and C2-OH) and the C4-OH of the N-acetyl hexosamine at position 2. This arginine is strictly conserved in close homologs of GL-BP, thereby constituting a specificity signature. Another facet underpinning the divergence of GL-BP toward LNB/GNB recognition entails amino acid changes that reduce the volume of side chains flanking the bulky N-acetyl group to enable its accommodation.

The structure of Bal6GBP also gives an explanation for the extreme preference for the longer β-(1,6)-galactosidic bond that allows higher rotational freedom between the two monosaccharide rings as compared with β4Gal2, with β3Gal2 having intermediate rotational freedom. The rotational flexibility of β6Gal2 allows the positioning the sugar planes at an angle of about 140°, a conformation that is enforced by Glu-98. This residue locks the orientation of the galactosyl at position 2 through a bidentate polar interaction that connects the galac-
Dietary glycans are instrumental in shaping the composition of the HGM (7, 8). For bacteria that lack extracellular polysaccharide-degrading enzymes, high-affinity transporters were recently demonstrated in B. animalis subsp. lactis in co-culture with the human gut symbiont Bacteroides ovatus during growth on raffinose (9). This transporter is highly conserved in adult human gut bifidobacterial species, consistent with the stimulation of bifidobacteria on α-raffinose family α-galactosides from legumes. Similar observations were recently reported in other taxa (e.g. competitive growth of the xylan-degrading symbiont Roseburia intestinalis grown on a preferred ligand for its xylooligosaccharide ABC transporter in a mixed culture with B. ovatus) (35). Most bifidobacteria lack extracellular xylanases but are able to cross-feed on shorter arabinoxyloligosaccharides produced by other primary degraders using an efficient ABC system (10, 11), further supporting the pivotal role of ABC transporters in bifidobacterial adaptation as secondary degraders that efficiently target oligosaccharides.

Bifidobacteria selectively ferment different dietary β-galactosides (e.g. B. animalis subsp. lactis grows well on β-(1,4)-galactosyl lactose, but not on the β-(1,4) version of this trisaccharide (both from bovine milk)) (36). Recent studies have identified an ABC transporter that mediates the uptake of β-(1,6)-galactosyl-lactose from B. breve and characterized the preference of the associated SBP (22, 37). Notably, B. breve was selected as a β-galactoside capture model system that is advantageous because of its ability to ferment both α- and β-galactosides on a range of substrates.

The selectivity of transport binding proteins correlates to rapid growth and competitiveness on preferred substrates

Dietary glycans are instrumental in shaping the composition of the HGM (7, 8). For bacteria that lack extracellular polysaccharide-degrading enzymes, high-affinity capture and transport of oligosaccharides is crucial to cross-feed on glycan oligomers produced by enzymes of primary degraders. The early dominance of bifidobacteria in the human gut relies partially on their arsenal of ABC transporters that confer the uptake of abundant human milk galactosides (33, 34). The competitiveness of bifidobacteria on preferred substrates for their ABC transporters was recently demonstrated in B. animalis subsp. lactis in co-culture with the human gut symbiont Bacteroides ovatus during growth on raffinose (9). This transporter is highly conserved in adult human gut bifidobacterial species, consistent with the stimulation of bifidobacteria on α-raffinose family α-galactosides from legumes. Similar observations were recently reported in other taxa (e.g. competitive growth of the xylan-degrading symbiont Roseburia intestinalis grown on a preferred ligand for its xylooligosaccharide ABC transporter in a mixed culture with B. ovatus) (35). Most bifidobacteria lack extracellular xylanases but are able to cross-feed on shorter arabinoxyloligosaccharides produced by other primary degraders using an efficient ABC system (10, 11), further supporting the pivotal role of ABC transporters in bifidobacterial adaptation as secondary degraders that efficiently target oligosaccharides.

Bifidobacteria selectively ferment different dietary β-galactosides (e.g. B. animalis subsp. lactis grows well on β-(1,4)-galactosyl lactose, but not on the β-(1,4) version of this trisaccharide (both from bovine milk)) (36). Recent studies have identified an ABC transporter that mediates the uptake of β-(1,6)-galactosyl-lactose from B. breve and characterized the preference of the associated SBP (22, 37). Notably, B. breve was selected as a β-galactoside capture model system that is advantageous because of its ability to ferment both α- and β-galactosides on a range of substrates.
able to grow comparably well on the β-(1,3)-, β-(1,4)-, and β-(1,6)-galactosyl-lactose (GL) isomers. Different ABC transporters appear to mediate this utilization, and the closest homolog of Bal6GBP (WP_003828494.1; amino acid sequence identity 76%) showed the highest affinity for β-(1,6)-GL (KD = 0.357 μM). This protein has a markedly different selectivity as compared with Bal6GBP, based on a decrease in affinity of only 16-fold for β-(1,4)-GL and 460-fold lower affinity for β-(1,3)-GL (22). The shared preference for β-(1,6)-galactosides, but large selectivity differences, are consistent with these SBPs populating different branches in the same clade in the phylogenetic tree (clade 1, Fig. S3). The notable difference in selectivity despite the relatedness of these homologues highlights the need for more structural and biochemical data for reliable assignments of transport proteins from this niche. The second closest homolog (WP_003828303.1; sequence identity 45%) is specific for only β-(1,4)-galactosides with the highest affinity for β4Gal2 (KD = 23.7 nM) followed by β-(1,4)-GL (KD = 10.4 μM) (21). β-(1,6)-Galactoside motifs are present in plant cell wall pectic arabinogalactans (types I and II) and arabinogalactan proteins (type II) (38, 39). We have identified homologs (~80% identity) of galactan β-(1,3)-galactosidas (40) and an endo-β-(1,6)-galactanase (41) in the Human Microbiome Project database (42), suggesting that β-(1,6)-galactosides are likely to be accessible degradation products from especially type II arabinogalactan in the diet. The about 100-fold lower selectivity of the B. breve closest homolog for the β-(1,6)-galactosides may suggest an adaptation to bovine milk, whereas the strict selectivity of Bal6GBP may suggest an adaptation to different ligands (e.g. from pectin). The selectivity of Bal6GBP for β6Gal2 (1630-fold preference over β4Gal2) demonstrates the role of oligosaccharide transporters in defining metabolic preferences as compared with intracellular enzymes, which may display more promiscuity (24). The preference of Bal6GBP correlates to rapid growth on the preferred ligand, whereas relative delay of several hours was observed on less-preferred ligands (Fig. 4A). The preferential depletion of β6Gal2 before β4Gal2 (Fig. 4C) provides compelling evidence that the large delay in the onset of growth on β6Gal2 is attributable to the inefficient uptake. The impact of the poor uptake is amplified by the lower affinity of the intracellular β-gal. The observed correlation between the selectivity of transport systems and early growth efficiency is likely to be crucial for resource allocation in the competitive human gut niche. In conclusion, the study gives an unprecedented insight into the selectivity of transport proteins as compared with intracellular enzymes targeting the same substrates and the large impact of this selectivity on bacterial growth patterns. Access to the first structure of a βGal2-binding protein uniquely allowed analyses of the structural elements that support the evolutionary divergence of bifidobacterial β-galactoside transport proteins, which is otherwise challenging due to low primary structure conservation. Altogether, these findings promote our understanding of the structural and functional aspect of oligosaccharide transport in prevalent core microbiota.

Experimental procedures

Carbohydrate ligands and chemicals

The carbohydrate tested for binding interactions with Bal6GBP are listed in Table S1 and were 94–99% pure. All other chemicals were of analytical grade.

Cloning, expression, and purification of the galactooligosaccharide-binding protein from B. animalis subsp. lactis

The gene fragment encoding Bal6GBP (locus tag: Balac_0483; GenBank™; NC_012814.1) without the signal peptide (residues 1–21 as predicted by SignalP 4.0 (43)) was amplified from genomic B. animalis subsp. lactis BI-04 DNA (kindly provided by DuPont Nutrition & Health, Kantvik Finland) using the sense primer 5′-ATACATATGCGAGGCTGTGAAAAT-GCC-3′ and the antisense primer 5′-ACTGGATCCCTACT-CCCTCATGAAGCCCTTG-3′ with Ndel and BamHI restriction sites highlighted in boldface type and the amplicon was cloned into the same sites of pET28a(+) (Novagen). The resulting recombinant plasmid, pET28 Balac_0483, was verified by full sequencing and transformed into the strains Escherichia coli Rosetta (DE3) and B834 (DE3) (Novagen) to allow production of the unlabelled and seleno-l-methionine–labelled recombinant proteins, respectively. Transformants were grown in lysogeny broth medium with kanamycin (50 μg ml⁻¹) and chloramphenicol (34 μg ml⁻¹) at 30 °C to A600 = 0.5. Expression was induced by isopropyl β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (250 μM); cells were harvested after 5 h by centrifugation (10,000 × g, 30 min) and lysed with Bugbuster® (Millipore); and debris was removed by centrifugation (20,000 × g, 2 × 30 min). Clarified lysates were sterile-filtered (0.45 μm) and purified on a HisTrap HP column (GE Healthcare) as described previously (10). Pure fractions were applied onto an HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 75 preparation grade column and the proteins were eluted during 1.2 column volumes in 20 mM phosphate, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM DTT, pH 6.5, and concentrated by 10-kDa Amicon Ultracentrifugal filters (Millipore). The fractions containing pure Bal6GBP were pooled and dialyzed against 20 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.5. The N-terminal hexahistidine tag of Bal6GBP was cleaved using human thrombin (Calbiochem), recovered after passing through a 1-ml HiTrap HP column, and buffer-exchanged into 10 mM MES buffer, pH 6.5. Protein purity was verified by SDS-PAGE, and protein concentration was determined by measuring A280 using the theoretical molar extinction coefficient ε280 nm = 93,280 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ calculated by ProtParam on the EXPASY server (http://web.expasy.org/protparam).
using 10 concentrations in the range of 0.05–10 \(K_D\) in at least duplicates. The pH and temperature dependence of \(\beta-(1,4)\)-galactobiose binding to Bal6GBP was analyzed at eight temperatures (15–40 °C) and at nine pH values (3.6–8.2) in 20 mM phosphate citrate buffer. The BIA Evaluation software (GE Healthcare) was used to analyze the data as described previously (10) to determine dissociation constants (\(K_D\)) as well as association (\(k_{\text{on}}\)) and dissociation rate (\(k_{\text{off}}\)) constants where possible.

**ITC**

Binding thermodynamics of Bal6GBP (8–600 \(\mu M\)) and preferred galactosides were measured at 25 °C in 20 mM phosphate citrate, pH 6.5, using an iTC200 microcalorimeter (MicroCal). The titration was initiated by a 0.3-\(\mu\)l injection followed by 19 2-\(\mu\)l injections of ligand. The concentrations used were 80 \(\mu M\) \(\beta\)6Gal2 injected into 8 \(\mu M\) Bal6GBP and 2.6 (or 2.4 in the duplicate experiment) \(mM\) \(\beta\)6Gal4 injected into 222 (or 246 in the duplicate) \(\mu M\) Bal6GBP. A one-binding site model was fitted to the ITC data after baseline adjustment and correction for the dilution enthalpy using Origin software 7.0552 supplied with the instrument to determine the equilibrium association constant (\(K_D\)), the stoichiometry of binding (\(N\)), and the molar binding enthalpy (\(\Delta H\)).

**Crystallization, data processing, and protein structure determination**

Crystallization of Bal6GBP was initially tested with the purified protein in 10 mM MES buffer, pH 6.5, at a concentration of 10 mg ml\(^{-1}\) and 2.5 mM \(\beta\)6Gal2 with a reservoir from the JCSG+ screen (Molecular Dimensions) set up using a Mosquito® liquid-handling robot (TTP Labtech) with 200 nl of protein mixed with a 100-nl reservoir in MRC 3-well plates, and after several months at room temperature (297 K), a crystal was obtained in C12 (reservoir consisting of 10% PEG 1000 and 10% PEG 8000) that diffracted beyond 1.4 Å resolution. Data were collected at the I911-3 beamline at MAX-II (44), and a total of 390 images (195° of data) were collected to a maximum resolution of 1.5 Å on the edge of the square detector, which impacted the completeness of the data in the outer shell (Table 3). The SeMet-labeled protein was crystallized in MRC 2-well plates using an Oryx 8 liquid-handling robot (Douglas Instruments) at a concentration of 20 mg ml\(^{-1}\). Standard screens JCSG+, PACT, and Morpheus (Molecular Dimensions) were initially carried out. Drops consisting of 200 nl of protein and 100 nl of reservoir or 150 nl of each were set up and kept at room temperature (around 297 K). Crystals appeared under several conditions. Data were collected on a crystal obtained with the Morpheus screen, condition E4 (12.5% PEG 1000, 12.5% PEG 3350, 12.5% MDP, 30 mM each di-, tri-, tetra-, and pentaethyleneglycol with 0.1 mM MES/imidazole at pH 6.5) at the ESRF on beamline ID29 (45) at a wavelength of 0.979 Å and a temperature of 100 K. A total of 360° were collected over 2400 images to a resolution of 2 Å. For both data sets, the diffraction data were processed and scaled with XDS (46) using XDSAPP (47). Both native and SeMet Bal6GBP crystals belong to space group P2\(_1\) but are not isomorphous. Phenix.AutoSol (48) found a total of 19 selenium sites with a figure of merit of 0.382, and the density-modified map was used in phenix.autobuild (49) to produce a preliminary model for the SeMet-labeled protein. This model was split into domain 1 and domain 2 and used in molecular replacement with phaser. After automated model building with phenix.autobuild, the model was completed using Coot (50) and phenix.refine (51) and analyzed with Molprobity (52) (see Table 3). The Bal6GBP structures with \(\beta\)6Gal2 (PDB code 6H0H) and in the open SeMet form (PDB code 6Q5G) were submitted to the Protein Data Bank.

**Galactobiose uptake preference of B. animalis sp. lactis BI-04**

Growth of BI-04 was performed as previously described (9). Freeze-dried B. animalis subsp. lactis BI-04 was grown anaerobically overnight at 37 °C in Bifidus Selective Medium, and a 2% inoculum of the overnight culture was similarly propagated twice in de Man, Rogosa, and Sharpe (MRS) broth supplemented with 0.5 g liter\(^{-1}\) L-cysteine, before 2% (v/v) of this culture was used to inoculate a fresh culture in the modified MRS medium supplemented with either 0.5% (w/v) \(\beta\)3Gal2, \(\beta\)4Gal2, or \(\beta\)6Gal2 in total volumes of 200 μl in a 96-well microtiter plate. In another experiment, the same procedure was used to inoculate 0.5% (w/v) \(\beta\)6Gal2 or mixtures of \(\beta\)6Gal2 and \(\beta\)4Gal2 (0.20% + 0.20% or 0.05% + 0.45%, respectively). Growth was continued for 48 h at 37 °C and monitored at \(A_{600}\) by the 2030 Multilabel Reader Victor™ X4 (PerkinElmer Life Sciences). The growth was performed in five replicates in both cases.

Preferential culture supernatant utilization of the \(\beta\)6Gal2 and \(\beta\)4Gal2 isomers by BI-04 was analyzed by high-performance anion-exchange chromatography with pulsed amperometric detection ( Dionex, Sunnyvale, CA) in a time-dependent manner of a growth experiment, performed in Eppendorf tubes, otherwise as described above with a 0.5% (v/v) equimolar mixture of \(\beta\)4Gal2 and \(\beta\)6Gal2, and aliquots were collected for 72 h. An injection volume of 10 μl on a Carbo pac PA200 column and a mobile phase (0.35 ml min\(^{-1}\) of constant 100 mM NaOH and a gradient of sodium acetate of 0–30 min at 0–100 mM and 30–35 min at 100–400 mM was used. Standards and samples were diluted 10× in 100 mM NaOH before analysis, and standards of the two isomers (0.58 mM) were used to identify corresponding peaks in the chromatograms.

**Bioinformatic analyses**

A BLAST was performed with the native Bal6GBP sequence (GenBank™ accession number ACS45862.1) as the query against all proteins of the Bifidobacterium genus. The 795 resulting protein sequences (length 350–550 amino acids) with maximum scores ≥100 were aligned by MAFFT with default settings (53). From these sequences, 40 and 123 protein sequences clustered together with Bal6GP and GL-BP (the GNB/LNB binder from B. longum sp. longum), respectively, in a neighbor-joining consensus phylogenetic analysis (S3) performed in Geneious version 11.1.5 (54) including bootstrap resampling with 1000 replicates. Amino acid sequence logos of the residues involved in ligand binding were generated by TExshade (55) for the orthologue groups.
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