Cardiovascular Manifestations in Inflammatory Bowel Disease: A Systematic Review of the Pathogenesis and Management of Pericarditis
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Abstract

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is a chronic condition of the bowel that can be further categorized into ulcerative colitis and Crohn’s disease. Rarely, this condition can be associated with pericarditis, which can be an extraintestinal manifestation of the disease or drug-induced. This review aims to determine the pathogenesis and management of pericarditis in IBD. In this review, the goal is to elucidate the pathogenesis of pericarditis in IBD and determine if pericarditis is an extraintestinal manifestation of IBD or a complication of current drug therapy used to manage IBD. Additionally, this review intends to explain the first-line management of pericarditis in IBD and explore the role of biologicals in attenuating pericarditis. An electronic search was conducted to identify relevant reports of pericarditis in IBD, and a quality assessment was conducted to identify high-quality articles according to the inclusion criteria. Full-text articles from inception to November 2020 were included, while non-English articles, gray literature, and animal studies were excluded. The majority of studies suggest that pericarditis arises as a complication of drug therapy by 5-aminosalicylic acid derivatives such as sulfasalazine, mesalamine, and balsalazide, and it occurs due to IgE-mediated allergic reactions, direct cardiac toxicity, cell-mediated hypersensitivity reactions, and humoral antibody response to therapy. Drug cessation or the initiation of a corticosteroid regimen seems to be the most effective means of managing pericarditis in IBD due to drug therapy or an extraintestinal manifestation.

Introduction And Background

Over 1.5 million Americans currently live with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) [1]. IBD is a chronic gastrointestinal tract condition that includes either ulcerative colitis or Crohn’s disease. Ulcerative colitis involves mucosal inflammation that primarily involves the colon, while Crohn’s disease involves transmural inflammation that can manifest as skip lesions throughout the gastrointestinal tract [2]. IBD has equal gender predominance that usually affects young adults less than 30 years old or adults over 50 years of age [3]. Besides gastrointestinal involvement, IBD is associated with various extraintestinal manifestations that commonly involve the musculoskeletal, dermatologic, hepatic, pancreatic, biliary, ocular, renal, and pulmonary systems [4]. Although rare, extraintestinal manifestations involving the heart have been reported, including pericarditis, myocarditis, arrhythmia, and heart failure [5]. Pericarditis is the most common cardiovascular manifestations, comprising 70% of all cardiovascular complications [5,6].

Currently, the pathogenesis of pericarditis in IBD is unclear. Some authors are unaware of the mechanism by which pericarditis arises, while others speculate or briefly outline the pathogenesis. These include immune-mediated pericarditis, drug-induced hypersensitivity reactions, and cardiotoxicity due to drug treatment [7-10]. Additionally, various treatment modalities are available for the management of extraintestinal pericarditis. However, a consensus on managing this condition has not been met, and various patient outcomes have been reported. 5-Aminosalicylic acid (5-ASA) derivatives, corticosteroids, and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are among the various options that physicians have been prescribing currently [6-9]. As of now, there are various theories of how pericarditis arises in IBD. By understanding the pathogenesis in which pericarditis arises, we can better understand the mechanism of extraintestinal cardiac conditions and prevent them in the management of future patients. If a standard drug regimen is established to treat pericarditis in IBD, unnecessary drug complications and delay in effective treatment can be avoided, and outcomes in future patients can be improved.

In this systematic review, the goal is to elucidate the pathogenesis of pericarditis in IBD and determine if pericarditis is an extraintestinal manifestation of IBD or a complication of current drug therapy used to manage IBD. Additionally, this review intends to explain the first-line management of pericarditis in IBD.
and explore the role of biologicals in attenuating pericarditis.

**Review**

**Methods**

The following systematic review was conducted as per the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines. The search strategy included an electronic search through the PubMed database by two different authors. Keywords used were “Pericarditis and Inflammatory Bowel Disease,” “Pericarditis and Ulcerative Colitis,” and “Pericarditis and Crohn’s Disease.” Additionally, the following MeSH terms were used: (“Pericarditis”[Mesh] AND “Inflammatory Bowel Diseases”[Mesh], (“Pericarditis”[Mesh] AND “Colitis, Ulcerative”[Mesh], (“Pericarditis”[Mesh] AND “Crohn Disease”[Mesh]. For each keyword or MeSH term, the number of hits on PubMed were noted. Each article was screened based on a title review initially by both authors. All articles included based on title review were further evaluated and either included or excluded for relevancy based on an abstract review. Following the abstract review, a complete article review was done to exclude any other irrelevant articles. Any duplicate articles that coincided with multiple key terms or MeSH terms were also excluded. Any disagreement was resolved with discussion.

Multiple quality assessment tools were utilized to assess the selected articles. The Joanna Briggs Institute checklist was used for case report critical appraisal, while the Scale for the Quality Assessment of Narrative Review Articles checklist was used to assess narrative review articles and letters to the editor. Additionally, the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale was used to assess the quality of cross-sectional studies. For all the scales, a cut-off value of greater than or equal to seven was assigned to designate the articles included in this study.

The eligibility criteria were defined following the Patient, Intervention, Comparison, Outcome approach. The inclusion criteria include all study types and designs from inception to the present day that are related to the topic of pericarditis in IBD. All population groups were included in this study. Only full-text articles were used, and any gray literature was excluded. Non-English articles and animal studies were also excluded from this study. Table 1 and Table 2 show the keywords and MeSH terms used, respectively.

### TABLE 1: Regular keywords used in the data search and the number of results.

| Regular keywords                                      | Database used | Number of papers |
|-------------------------------------------------------|---------------|------------------|
| Pericarditis and inflammatory bowel disease           | PubMed        | 90               |
| Pericarditis and ulcerative colitis                   | PubMed        | 60               |
| Pericarditis and Crohn’s disease                      | PubMed        | 35               |

### TABLE 2: MeSH keywords used in the data search and the number of results.

| MeSH keywords                                                                 | Database used | Number of papers |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|------------------|
| (“Pericarditis”[Mesh] AND “Inflammatory Bowel Diseases”[Mesh]]               | PubMed        | 69               |
| (“Pericarditis”[Mesh] AND “Colitis, Ulcerative”[Mesh])                       | PubMed        | 43               |
| (“Pericarditis”[Mesh] AND “Crohn Disease”[Mesh])                            | PubMed        | 25               |

### Results

**Search Results**

Initial screening of the electronic database PubMed yielded 322 records. Of these, 226 were duplicates. Of the 96 records that were relevant, 31 were excluded based on the relevancy of title and abstract review. A total of 65 records underwent full title review, of which 15 were excluded due to irrelevancy. The remaining 50 articles underwent quality assessment, of which 11 articles were excluded as the score was less than seven. According to the inclusion criteria, 39 total articles were analyzed in this qualitative study.

**Study Characteristics**
This analysis included 36 patients, of whom 12 were female and 24 were male. The patients’ age ranged from nine to 76 years, with an average age of 30.8 years. Of the patients with IBD, 11 had Crohn’s disease and 25 had ulcerative colitis. Geographically, 19 studies were from the United States, 12 studies were from Europe, three studies were from Canada, three studies were from Japan, one study was from Israel, and one study was from Turkey.

Study Quality

Of the 50 articles that underwent quality assessment, 39 were considered high quality with a score of greater than or equal to seven. A total of 11 articles were excluded due to a score of less than seven. Of the 39 included studies, 22 had a quality assessment score of 8/8, and 17 studies had a score of 7/8.

Actual Results

A total of 16 studies offered information related to the pathogenesis of pericarditis arising in IBD. The majority of studies suggest that pericarditis arises from IBD complications due to drug therapy by 5-ASA derivatives such as sulfasalazine, mesalamine, and balsalazide. Additionally, drug-induced pericarditis in patients with IBD has been reported with infliximab and azathioprine therapy. Proposed mechanisms include IgE-mediated allergic reactions by 5-ASA drugs, direct cardiac toxicity induced by medical treatment, cell-mediated hypersensitivity reactions due to therapy, and humoral antibody response to therapy. Additionally, drug-induced lupus reactions and serum sickness-like reactions have been suggested.

A total of 38 studies provided information related to the management of pericarditis in IBD patients. Nine cases of pericarditis resolved with drug cessation alone, and nine cases required drug cessation along with corticosteroid treatment. Eight cases only required steroid therapy to resolve the condition. Five cases required drug cessation and NSAID use, while two cases resolved solely with colectomy. Three patients developed a pericardial tamponade that resolved upon pericardiocentesis. In two cases, mesalamine drug treatment was stopped and replaced with either azathioprine or infliximab to resolve the patient’s pericarditis. Figure 1 illustrates the process of identifying relevant studies.
Discussion

Pathogenesis

Interpretation: In most studies included in this review, pericarditis occurred after drug therapy was initiated to treat either Crohn’s disease or ulcerative colitis. Out of the 36 patients included in this study, only four presented with pericarditis that was not induced by drug therapy [11-14]. Although a rare complication, this suggests that pericarditis arises more often as an adverse drug reaction rather than as an extraintestinal manifestation of the disease course itself. Of the drug-induced cases, 5-ASA derivatives such as sulfasalazine, mesalamine, and balsalazide were responsible for pericarditis in most patients.

Analysis: Pericarditis arising due to 5-ASA derivatives have various pathological mechanisms. Mitchell et al. and Bernardo et al. suggest that an IgE-mediated hypersensitivity reaction may be responsible [15,16]. Direct cardiotoxicity has also been proposed where 5-ASA derivatives directly damage myocytes, exposing antigens and releasing inflammatory mediators, with the latter eliciting an immune response [17]. Cell-mediated hypersensitivity and humoral antibody responses to 5-ASA derivatives have also been suggested. The humoral antibody theory, where antibodies are generated against pericardial antigens due to 5-ASA drug exposure, is considered to be one of the most plausible explanations. Owing to sulfasalazine or mesalamine intake, antibodies are generated against pericardial antigens leading to inflammation [16-21]. Patients taking sulfasalazine who develop pericarditis are thought to have developed a lupus-like reaction [22-24]. This is supported by lab results seen in patients with sulfasalazine-induced pericarditis. Patients present with positive antinuclear antibodies (ANA), elevated erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), fevers, arthralgia, and arthritis months to years after starting treatment, which suggest lupus-like reaction.

Mesalamine is thought to induce a type IV drug-induced hypersensitivity reaction, which is supported by ANA +/-, ESR raised +/-, lymphocyte stimulation test +, with a resolution of pericarditis on drug cessation and initiation of a steroid regimen [23,25]. When pericarditis arises solely as an extraintestinal manifestation of IBD, lab reports usually show ANA - and ESR raised -. However, most cases in this study had ANA +, elevated ESR, and drug history of a 5-ASA derivative, which suggests that pericarditis is more likely to arise due to drug therapy rather than disease progression in IBD. In our study of 18 cases, drug cessation with or without initiating a steroid regimen was effective at resolving the patients’ condition.

Additionally, Ishikawa et al. and Coman et al. have presented cases where pericarditis arose after 5-ASA therapy, resolved upon drug cessation, and reoccurred on reintroduction of the causative drug [25,26]. Patients on 5-ASA therapy for the treatment of pericarditis usually develop symptoms within two weeks of treatment initiation, which resolves upon drug cessation and initiation of a steroid regimen [23]. This further suggests that drug therapy is more likely to lead to pericarditis versus an extraintestinal manifestation of IBD. In two cases, infliximab was suspected of causing pericarditis in IBD patients. Two different mechanisms have been proposed. Burke et al. suggests that infliximab can cause a drug-induced SLE reaction leading to various inflammatory manifestations such as pericarditis [27]. On the other hand, Devasahayam et al. suggests that infliximab therapy has a pro-inflammatory effect on the pericardium leading to a serum sickness-like reaction. This is supported by the fact that patients may develop fever, rash, myalgia, and polyarthralgia one to two weeks after initiating therapy [28]. One patient also developed pericarditis while on azathioprine therapy. Adverse reactions due to azathioprine have been known to be caused by a hypersensitivity reaction [29]. This patient developed pericarditis within one to two weeks after azathioprine was initiated, and his condition resolved once the drug was stopped. Azathioprine-induced hypersensitivity is more likely to be responsible for the development of pericarditis versus an extraintestinal manifestation because rechallenge causes symptoms to develop more rapidly than the initial one to two weeks of using the drug [29]. However, one case pointed more towards an extraintestinal manifestation of pericarditis. Perrot explains that when sulfasalazine was given to a patient, pericarditis developed two weeks later. After drug cessation, pericarditis resolved. To maintain remission, sulfasalazine was given once more, but pericarditis did not recur. Although uncertain, pericarditis may not have recurred as it may have arisen as an extraintestinal manifestation or possibly due to a lowered sulfasalazine dose to maintain remission [30]. Table 3 lists the intervention given during each study and the pathogenesis of pericarditis in IBD.
### TABLE 3: Detailing the results and pathogenesis of pericarditis in IBD.

| Author                  | Year of publication | Type of study | Result (intervention given)                                                                 | Conclusion                                                                 |
|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Bunu et al. [17]        | 2010                | Clinical review | No specific intervention mentioned                                                            | Pericarditis can be caused by immune-mediated myocarditis in IBD as a result of exposure to autoantigens or cardiotoxicity as an adverse effect of the treatment with 5-ASA and its derivatives |
| Mitchell et al. [19]    | 2018                | Clinical review | No specific intervention mentioned                                                            | Aminosalicylate therapy leads to IgE-mediated allergic reactions, direct cardiac toxicity, cell-mediated hypersensitivity, or a humoral antibody response against 5-ASA derivatives |
| DiPasquale et al. [21]  | 2017                | Case report    | Infliximab was given to treat IBD. Pericarditis occurred and was managed with steroids      | Infliximab-induced pericarditis can occur through the following mechanisms: direct cardiac toxicity, IgE-mediated allergic reaction, humoral antibody response, cell-mediated hypersensitivity, or serum sickness-like reaction, and drug-induced lupus |
| Bernardo et al. [16]    | 2016                | Case report    | Mesalamine therapy stopped after pericarditis occurred. Steroids and azathioprine therapy started to resolve pericarditis | Pericarditis arises due to IgE-mediated allergic reaction, direct cardiac toxicity, cell-mediated hypersensitivity, or a humoral antibody response |
| Coman et al. [20]       | 2014                | Clinical report | Balsalazide given with mesalamine which lead to pericarditis. Cessation of both drugs rapidly resolved the condition | Balsalazide causes a drug-induced hypersensitivity reaction |
| Nair et al. [21]        | 2014                | Case report    | Mesalamine therapy lead to pericarditis and resolved on drug cessation                         | Mesalamine leads to a humoral-mediated hypersensitivity reaction where antibodies are generated against cardiac antigens |
| Sonu et al. [18]        | 2013                | Case report    | Patient on mesalamine and sulfasalazine therapy developed pericarditis. Both drugs were stopped, and pericarditis resolved. On initiation of another 5-ASA derivative, balsalazide, pericarditis recurred and was more severe. Balsalazide cessation resolved pericarditis | A patient who develops pericarditis on 5-ASA derivatives may have a more severe reaction on replacement with another derivative. Immediate cessation of 5-ASA derivatives in both instances of myopericarditis suggests that there is a drug-induced hypersensitivity reaction |
| Burke et al. [27]       | 2007                | Letter to the editor | Infliximab caused lupus-like symptoms, including pericarditis. Drug cessation resolved pericarditis | Infliximab can cause a drug-induced SLE reaction leading to various inflammatory manifestations such as pericarditis |
| Devassayam et al. [28]  | 2007                | Letter to the editor | Infliximab therapy lead to pericarditis. Infliximab discontinued, and NSAIDS given to resolve the condition | Infliximab may have pro-inflammatory activity in certain tissues, including the pericardium leading to a serum sickness-like reaction |
| Ceutenkko et al. [29]   | 2000                | Case report    | Mesalamine given initially leading to pericarditis. Steroids were given to resolve pericarditis | Mesalamine can lead to pericarditis due to a direct cardiotoxic effect, cell-mediated hypersensitivity reaction, IgE-mediated allergic reaction, or a humoral antibody response. Most patients with mesalamine-induced pericarditis have presented within two weeks of initiating the drug |
| Mehdipan et al. [30]    | 2001                | Case report    | Mesalamine treatment was initiated and then stopped after pericarditis occurred. Methotrexate/budesonide was then given, which resolved pericarditis | Mesalamine may lead to a hypersensitivity reaction. Sulfasalazine can cause a lupus-like reaction |
| Vign et al. [24]        | 1598                | Letter to the editor | Patient admitted with pericarditis and IBD. Mesalamine cessation resolved pericarditis | Sulfasalazine leads to a lupus-like reaction causing pericarditis |
| Grant et al. [32]       | 1598                | Clinical review | Aspirin was given to resolve pericarditis                                                      | 5-ASA may inhibit prostaglandin function and metabolism and may also disrupt polymorphonuclear WBCs |

### Management

Interpretation: Most patients responded well to drug cessation with or without initiation of corticosteroid treatment. Additionally, a handful of patients responded well to steroids alone. This accounted for 26 of the 36 patients, suggesting the efficacy of drug cessation and corticosteroid therapy as the first-line management of pericarditis in IBD. NSAID therapy, colectomy, pericardiocentesis, and initiation of alternative therapies to 5-ASA derivatives (e.g., azathioprine, infliximab) comprised the management of the remaining 10 patients, suggesting a less preferred or alternative management if drug cessation and corticosteroids are ineffective.
Analysis: As pericarditis is likely to occur due to a drug-induced hypersensitivity reaction, many pericarditis cases are resolved simply by drug cessation. Obtaining a thorough patient history and a past and present drug history is crucial to identify the etiology of the patient’s condition, which may be managed by changing the drug therapy. Most patients are advised to discontinue aminosalicylate therapy and are given a steroid regimen, which allows the condition to resolve within two weeks [15]. Several studies suggest that solely giving high-dose corticosteroids is adequate to resolve pericarditis [12,14,20,33-37]. However, Dias et al. suggest that the efficacy of corticosteroids is uncertain because the time of resolution of pericarditis with steroids is similar to the time of resolution by simply stopping drug therapy [38]. Sposato further supports this idea as corticosteroids alone were not sufficient to resolve their patient’s pericarditis. It was only until mesalazine therapy was withdrawn that their patient began to recover [59]. This suggests that when managing a patient with pericarditis in IBD, known causative drugs should be immediately stopped, and a corticosteroid regimen can be then considered. However, if pericarditis recurs, the dose of steroids given should be increased [11]. Furthermore, it is essential to rule out any infectious etiology or contraindications to immunosuppressive therapy before initiating steroids. NSAIDs such as aspirin or indomethacin are other alternative treatments for managing pericarditis in IBD [17,18,32,40,41]. The treatment of IBD-induced pericarditis is steroids in 80% of the cases. The remainder of cases can be managed with aspirin or indomethacin, in which pericarditis responds well. Aspirin and indomethacin can be preferred before giving steroids as long as IBD is dormant. Although effective at managing pericarditis, both aspirin and indomethacin can exacerbate a patient’s IBD. In active bowel disease, selective COX-2 inhibitors such as celecoxib can be given [17,20]. Colchicine is another alternative drug that can be given, although active bowel disease should not be present as it may lead to exacerbation of diarrhea [17]. In two cases, azathioprine and infliximab were given to replace mesalamine therapy. Although pericarditis did not recur, these drugs are not recommended as they are responsible for leading to pericarditis in several other cases [31,33]. In three cases, a colectomy was done. However, steroids were also initiated in two of the three cases; hence, the efficacy of colectomy alone is difficult to ascertain [42-44]. As a complication of pericarditis, pericardial effusion and pericardial tamponade may arise. Drainage by pericardiocentesis is effective at resolving the effusion. Alternatively, pericardiectomy can be done if pericarditis complications arise [11,12,41,44].

It is difficult to distinguish that a patient who has developed pericarditis is due to an extraintestinal manifestation of IBD disease progression or an adverse effect of drug therapy. Thorough patient history and careful monitoring of the patient’s condition upon drug removal and initiation are needed to manage the patient better. There is also uncertainty about the use of infliximab and azathioprine therapy in managing pericarditis with IBD. Although some cases were resolved with such therapy, others were incited by the same. The risk factors leading to pericarditis in IBD are unclear. Understanding the risk factors and etiology can help in the prevention and immediate management of pericarditis in IBD. Table 4 shows the intervention given for each study and the management of pericarditis in IBD.

| Author | Year of publication | Type of study | Result (intervention given) | Conclusion |
|--------|---------------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------|
| Bantu et al. [17] | 2019 | Clinical review | No specific intervention was given | NSAIDs can be given to treat pericarditis. Selective COX-2 inhibitors are preferred to avoid gastrointestinal toxicity. Colchicine is an option for therapy but causes diarrhea. Immunosuppressives (corticosteroids, azathioprine, cyclosporine) are another option, but you must rule out an infectious etiology first. |
| Mitchell et al. [15] | 2018 | Clinical review | No specific intervention was given | The majority of patients should discontinue aminosalicylates and give steroids, which leads to resolution within two weeks. Aspirin or colchicine must be used with caution because it has gastrointestinal side effects. Infliximab and azathioprine may induce pericarditis when treating IBD. Pericarditis can arise as an extraintestinal manifestation outside of drug induction. |
| Dias et al. [39] | 2018 | Case report | UC treated with mesalazine and pericarditis developed later and resolved after drug cessation. Pericarditis recurred once mesalazine therapy continued | 5-ASA drug cessation is adequate to treat pericarditis with IBD. Efficacy of corticosteroids is uncertain because the time of resolution of pericarditis with steroids is similar to just stopping drug therapy. |
| Bernard et al. [20] | 2016 | Case report | Mesalazine therapy stopped after pericarditis arose. Steroid and azathioprine therapy resolved pericarditis | Clinical manifestations occur 2-4 weeks of mesalamine treatment. Stopping mesalamine resolves pericarditis within 7-14 days. Reintroducing mesalamine leads to recurrent pericarditis. Changing the route of administration (oral to enema) for mesalazine may lead to pericarditis. |
| Kiyomatsu et al. [12] | 2015 | Case report | Mesalazine-induced pericarditis occurred and resolved on drug cessation. Infliximab was used to replace mesalamine | Drug cessation of mesalazine is adequate to resolve pericarditis in IBD. Pericarditis can arise as an EIM or due to drug therapy for IBD. |
| Nair et al. [20] | 2014 | Case report | Mesalazine initiated, and pericarditis developed. It resolved on drug cessation | Treatment includes drug cessation, supportive care, and monitoring of the patient. It is important to take a proper patient history, including past and present drug therapy, and carry out lab tests to differentiate EIM or drug-induced pericarditis. |

A patient on mesalazine and sulfasalazine developed pericarditis. When a patient who developed pericarditis on 5-ASA derivatives may have a more severe reaction if therapy is...
Pericarditis arose as an EIM. Steroid treatment resolved the pericarditis.

Steroids are effective at treating IBD with pericarditis and pericardial effusion.

Cardiac tamponade can be managed with pericardiocentesis.

Steroids are effective at treating IBD with pericarditis. Indomethacin initially was given for pericarditis, but in the first three episodes of IBD, no mesalazine was given until the fifth episode occurred. Pericarditis developed in the first three episodes without mesalazine. It was discontinued, and steroids resolved pericarditis.

Drug cessation and NSAIDS can be given to manage pericarditis in IBD. NSAIDs can be used as an alternative treatment. Drugs are effective at treating pericarditis. NSAIDs can be used as an alternative treatment.

Steroids are effective at treating IBD and pericarditis. Prednisolone should be preferred over NSAIDs as it can treat both IBD and pericarditis, while NSAIDs only treat the latter and exacerbate the former. While tapering doses of steroids, always check for recurring pericarditis; if present, increase dose of steroid. Pericardiectomy can be done to resolve life-threatening cardiac tamponade.

Aspirin may help resolve pericarditis, but most cases respond to corticosteroids. Steroids are effective at treating pericarditis. NSAIDs can be used as an alternative treatment.

Cardiac tamponade can be managed with pericardiectomy. Treatment of IBD-induced pericarditis is steroids in 80% of cases. Remainder of cases can be managed with aspirin or indomethacin. Pericarditis responds well to aspirin and indomethacin and can be preferred before giving steroids as long as IBD is dormant.

Steroids are effective at treating pericarditis. NSAIDs can be used as an alternative treatment. Prednisolone was given for pericarditis, but in the first three episodes of IBD, no mesalazine was given until the fifth episode occurred. Pericarditis developed in the first three episodes without mesalazine. It was discontinued, and steroids resolved pericarditis.

IBD causes pericarditis if bowel disease is active, and pericarditis is not solely drug-induced.

Steroids are effective at treating pericarditis. NSAIDs can be used as an alternative treatment. Steroids are effective at treating IBD and pericarditis. Prednisolone was given for pericarditis, but in the first three episodes of IBD, no mesalazine was given until the fifth episode occurred. Pericarditis developed in the first three episodes without mesalazine. It was discontinued, and steroids resolved pericarditis.

IBD causes pericarditis if bowel disease is active, and pericarditis is not solely drug-induced.
TABLE 4: Detailing the intervention and management of pericarditis in IBD.

IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; 5-ASA, 5-aminosalicylic acid; UC, ulcerative colitis; NSAIDs, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; EIM, extraintestinal manifestations

Limitations

This study’s limitations include the limited availability of clinical reviews, systematic reviews, cohort, and case-control studies. Although some clinical reviews and systematic reviews were included, most studies were case reports and letters to the editor. Additionally, non-English papers were excluded, and animal studies were not used in this review. The studies used in this review included all available studies from the inception to the present rather than only recent studies. Full-text articles, if not available, were also not included in this study. The studies that were excluded or not available could have provided relevant information about the pathogenesis or management of pericarditis in IBD and improved the overall results.

Conclusions

Cardiovascular manifestations of IBD, particularly pericarditis, is an uncommon occurrence in which the pathogenesis and management have previously not been explored in detail. Pericarditis in IBD can arise due to drug therapy or, less commonly, as an extraintestinal manifestation. 5-ASA derivatives are primarily responsible for inducing pericarditis through IgE-mediated hypersensitivity reactions, direct cardiotoxicity, cell-mediated hypersensitivity, and humoral antibody reactions. Infliximab and azathioprine are less common causes of pericarditis and are most likely caused by a lupus-like reaction and drug-induced hypersensitivity, respectively, making them less suitable options when trying to replace 5-ASA drug therapy. Most patients respond well to drug cessation and corticosteroid therapy. Aspirin, indomethacin, and colchicine can be used as an alternative therapy as long as bowel disease is not active, and drainage may be required in the case of effusion or cardiac tamponade. Additional studies should be conducted to identify how to differentiate drug-induced pericarditis from extraintestinal manifestations of IBD. Additionally, the risk factors associated with developing pericarditis in an IBD patient should be studied to help prevent and manage this condition.
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