Non-Stationary in Extreme Share Return: World Indices Application

Muhammad Fadhil Marsani¹,² and Ani Shabri¹

¹Department of Mathematical Science, Faculty of Science, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 81310 UTM Johor Bahru, Johor, Malaysia
²School of Mathematical Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 Minden, Penang, Malaysia

This paper investigates the behaviour of the extreme share return for the 26 different major indices by exploring their stationarity. Extreme return for weekly and monthly series is generated by using block maxima method. Four-employed test permits us to spot non-stationarity in extreme movement. The Augmented Dickey-Fuller and Kwiatkowski Phillips Schmidt Shin (KPSS) test scanned the unit root and the stationarity, and Mann-Kendall and Spearman's test inspected the trend and correlation in the series. Our approach approximates global stock returns for weekly and monthly series market activity. We find most of the extreme stock to be active in shift movement, and we have confirmed that the movement of extreme share return for the majority of the stock indices in the weekly and monthly interval is non-stationary. This testified statistical property in the series can be used as the first crucial appraisal when scrutinizing extreme share return for future research.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The uncertainty in stock return is a fundamental concept of risk encountering by an investor in the share market. The risk in is profoundly influenced by extreme volatility movement, and the magnitude of risk rely on statistical properties, e.g. the stationarity, tail, skewness and kurtosis. Most research on risk measurement portfolio has been carried out by setting in stationarity idea or fluctuation stability throughout time see (Jensen 1969; Kon & Jen 1978; Roll 1977). The stationary process can be termed as a stochastic process associated with unrestricted joint probability distribution constant over the time. On the other hand, non-stationary in the time series defined as an alteration in mean, variances and covariance either with the existence of random walks, trends, cycle or mixture of it. Non-stationary comportment suggests that the series has an unfixed mean by diverting away from initial time range over the time. A critical aspect of non-stationary in time series is the series random and unpredictable since substantial variation in the series made it difficult to clarify. In this respect, an element of random processes by the existence of non-stationary behaviour in the series may trouble the statistical inference relating the time series paradigms. Rozelle and Fielitz (1980) state that probability distributions of past share returns should provide consideration on the series stationarity. This view is parallel with Hsu (1984) which points out that the idea of risk and the components that clarifying risk are incredibly unclear in monetary theories, poor comprehension in the character of risk or series fluctuation, made it hard to grasp the share return behaviour. For this, it is vital for the investor to comprehend stationarity in extreme time series before formulating an accurate projection.

Since the 1970s, non-stationarity of time series has been at the centre of much attention. Black and Scholes (1973) in their comparative study state that pricing models for share options frequently require adequate measurement of the stationarity in the variance to create proper estimates of
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share return. Nelson and Plosser (1982) presented a
discussion on the importance of considering non-stationery
and unit root properties in the analysis. They inspect
historical time series to study the significance of real factor
element in economic volatility using the Dickey-Fuller testing
method and conclude that a basis of stationary fluctuations
cannot clarify the enormous portion of output discrepancy,
although real factors with the foundation of the non-
stationary module may offer a definite function in output
oscillations. Perron (1988) state that the character of the non-
stationarity in the series caused by the existence of a unit root,
this presence suggests a stochastic non-stationary as opposed
to a deterministic trend. He remarked that existence of a non-
stationary in the series frequently has theoretical effect in the
model and suggest making use of information available.

Recently, researchers have adopted the importance of non-
stationarity behaviour in a financial and monetary economics
data. Study on time series model and analysis suggest that it
is not appropriate to employ methods invented for stationary
series on non-stationary series as a result is always deceiving
(Nason, 2006). Stărică and Granger (2005) give some
reliable methods for analysing daily stock returns by
abandoning the idea of global stationarity. They have
established that the daily stock return behaviour is
nonstationarity, uncorrelated and homoscedastic. Also, it has
commonly been stressing the existence of stationarity and
unit root properties in the analysis see (Behr and Pötter,
2009; Masood et al., 2010; Khan, 2011; Birău and Trivedi,
2013; Petrică, Stancu and Tindeche, 2016; Petrică, Stancu
and Ghîțulescu, 2017). Taken together, literature supports
the notion that non-stationarity behaviour in time series
evokes critical examination before one developing the
statistical models. The existence of a nonstationarity has an
inevitability effect on the methodology consumed in the later
interpretation. There is a risk in every series fluctuation, and
this information can be extracted in terms of statistical
interpretation.

So far, there has been little discussion about the stationary
condition in extreme return environment. The rationale for
the present study is to confirm the movement of the
worldwide extreme share return whether it is significantly
stationary or not since the impact of having non-stationary in
time series analysis may lead to a spurious and unreliable
result. Our work is stimulated by increasing sign of
uncertainty in the qualities of share returns and swollen
recognition of the effects when adopting inaccurate
stationarity assumption while analysing the series. Based on
recent literature see (Tolikas, 2014; Hussain & Li, 2015;
Marsani, Shabri & Jan, 2017) that has drawn massive
attention on the analysis of share return using Extreme Value
Theory (EVT) has inspired us to investigate the stationarity
behaviour in the extreme share returns for the global stock
indices.

Stationarity validation in extreme share return in global
stock indices still not inclusive and ought further
consideration. Extreme share return fluctuation movement
has not yet to be understood, and this study seeks to obtain
validation. The study presented here is one of the first
investigations to examine in detail the stationarity in extreme
stock return by addressing how can one endorse extreme
share returns is theoretically nonstationarity. The objective of
our approach is detecting the non-stationarity for the
extreme series period using nonstationarity tests and trend
analysis. The primary instrument in recognising the non-
stationary behaviours in the series is by using the Augmented
Dickey-Fuller (ADF) and Kwiatkowski Phillips Schmidt Shin
(KPSS) test to scan for unit root and stationarity. Mann-
Kendall and Spearman’s analysis will be used to inspect the
trend and correlation in the series. Therefore, this study
makes a major contribution to developing risk management
by demonstrating 26 global stock indices in clarifying the
stationarity in extreme stock return that has been less
perceived in the analysis.

II. MATERIALSANDMETHODS

A. Data Description

The stock market indices comprise various share markets
around the world. Twenty-six set of global share price namely
^000001 (SSE Composite Index), ^AORD (ALL
ORDINARIES), ^AXJO (S&P/ASX 200), ^BFX (BEL 20),
^BSESN (S&P BSE SENSEX), ^BVSP (IBOVESPA), ^DJI
(Dow 30), ^FCHI (CAC 40), ^GDAI (DAX
PERFORMANCE-INDEX), ^GSPTSE (S&P 500), ^GSPTE
(S&P/TXS Composite index), ^HSI (HANG SENG INDEX),
^IXIC (Nasdaq), ^JKSE (Jakarta Composite Index), ^KS11
(KOSPI Composite Index), ^MERV (MERVAL), ^MXM (IPC
MEXICO), ^N100 (EURONEXT 100), ^N225 (Nikkei 225),
^NYA (NYSE COMPOSITE (DJ)), ^RUT (Russell 2000),
^STOXX50E (ESTX 50 PR.EUR), ^TWII (TSEC weighted
index), ^VIX (Vix), ^XAX (NYSE AMEX COMPOSITE
INDEX), and ^KLSE (FTSE Bursa Malaysia KLCI) is
obtained from yahoo finance source. The sample of the secondary data has been taken according to major stock price indices seeing as investor always consult this as the benchmarks to measure the current performance of the market. To be consistent, each of the global share price data is standardized by taking out from 2000 to 2017 (17 years). The extreme value theory (EVT) method applied to generate weekly and monthly extreme share return.

B. Block Maxima-Minima

In extreme value theory, there are two primary methods generally applied namely the block maxima-minima method (BMM) and the peaks over threshold (POT) method. In this paper, we opt only for BMM because this approach is outrivalled in demonstrating extreme share price volatility for a given interval. For a weekly and monthly interval, the maximum and minimum series developed after a chosen block \( m \) of 5 and 20 trading days for weekly and monthly respectively. For maximum return, this approach can be written as:

\[
\begin{align*}
x_1 &= \max (R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_m), \\
x_2 &= \max (R_{m+1}, R_{m+2}, \ldots, R_{2m}) \\
M &= \frac{x_n}{m} = \max (R_{n-m}, R_{n-m+1}, \ldots, R_n)
\end{align*}
\]

\( x \) is extreme maximum return in each block, \( R \) stand for daily share returns, \( n \) denote total sample observation, \( m \) is the size of the timespan block, and \( n/m \) is the last maximum observation of extreme return.

C. Trend

To produce a precise model, comprehending statistical properties of the empirical data is crucial. For that, unit root and stationarity examination used to inspect the trend, random walks and cycle. In this study, nonparametric methods are apposite for non-normally distributed data and censored data which commonly encountered in share return series see (Fama, 1965; Gray and French, 1990; Peiro, 1994; Theodossiou, 1998).

1. Mann-Kendall (MK)

The non-parametric Mann-Kendall test is used to spot monotonic trends in series with a hypothesis,

\[
\begin{align*}
H_0 &: \text{ No monotonic trend in the data series VS} \\
H_1 &: \text{ Data series follow a monotonic trend}
\end{align*}
\]

The Mann-Kendall test statistic \( S \) computed from this equation:

\[
S = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} \text{sign}(X_j - X_k).
\]  

where \( x_j \) are the sequential data values, \( n \) is the length of the data set with,

\[
\text{sign}(x) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } x > 0 \\
0 & \text{if } x = 0 \\
-1 & \text{if } x < 0
\end{cases}
\]

The mean \( E[S] = 0 \) and the variance \( \sigma^2[S] \) is asymptotically normal given as,

\[
\sigma^2[S] = \frac{n(n-1)(2n+5)}{18} \sum_{j=1}^{p} t_j (t_j - 1)(2t_j + 5)
\]

where \( t_j \) is the number of data points in the \( j \)th attached group. The Z-transformation denoted by:

\[
Z = \begin{cases} 
\frac{S - 1}{\sigma} & \text{if } S > 0 \\
0 & \text{if } S = 0 \\
\frac{S + 1}{\sigma} & \text{if } S < 0
\end{cases}
\]

Moreover, the statistic \( S \) is strongly related to Kendall’s \( \tau \) as,

\[
\tau = \frac{S}{D}
\]

where,
\[ D = \left[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (t_j - 1) \right]^{6} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \right]^{6} \]

2. Spearman’s rho (SR)

Spearman’s rho (SR) test is an additional non-parametric rank-order test to spot the trend. The hypothesis is given as,

- \( H_0 \) : all the \( X_i \) are independent and identically distributed
- \( H_1 \) : \( X_i \) increases or decreases with \( i \)

The test statistic as following,

\[ D = 1 - \frac{6}{n(n^2-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( R(X_i) - i \right)^2 \quad (7) \]

where \( \{ X_i, i = 1, 2, ..., n \} \) is sample data set, \( R(X_i) \) is the rank of \( i \) th observation \( X_i \) in the sample of size \( n \).

The test statistic as following,

\[ E(D) = 0 \quad (8) \]
\[ V(D) = \frac{1}{n-1} \]

the mean and variance for test statistic \( D \) written above are approximately normally distributed. The following standardized statistic \( Z \),

\[ Z_{SR} = \frac{D}{\sqrt{V(D)}} \quad (9) \]

follows the standard normal distribution \( Z \sim N(0,1) \).

D. Nonstationarity Analysis

Next, three approaches used to check the existence of nonstationarity in the series that is Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) and Kwiatkowski Phillips Schmidt Shin (KPSS).

1. The Augmented Dickey-Fuller test

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test (ADF) is one of the most common procedures for determining unit root in atime series sample. The hypothesis for ADF test is,

- \( H_0 \) : unit root is present in a time series sample/ non-stationary
- \( H_1 \) : no unit root

The ADF statistic is always represented by a negative value, more negative the value suggesting higher chances for null hypothesis rejection. ADF statistic can be defined as this model:

\[ z_t = \rho z_{t-1} + y'_i \delta + \epsilon_t \quad (10) \]

where \( \rho \) and \( \delta \) are the two parameters for estimation, \( \epsilon_t \) is a random disturbance, and \( y_i \) is the independent term which allowed to have a constant, a trend, or both constant and a trend. A time series \( z \) is assumed to be non-stationary if the estimated parameter \( \rho \) is larger than or equal to 1. By eliminating \( z_{t-1} \) from mutual sides of the first equation, we can write,

\[ Vz_t = \alpha z_{t-1} + y'_i \delta + \epsilon_t \quad (11) \]

where \( \alpha = \rho - 1 \), and t-ratio for \( \alpha \) is calculated as:

\[ t_{\alpha} = \frac{\hat{\alpha}}{se(\hat{\alpha})} \quad (12) \]

where \( \hat{\alpha} \) is the estimated parameter of \( \alpha \), and \( se(\hat{\alpha}) \) is the coefficient of standard error.

2. The Kwiatkowski, Phillips, Schmidt, and Shin (KPSS) Test

The Kwiatkowski Phillips Schmidt Shin (KPSS) is another general approach to check non-stationarity in the analysis. The hypothesis for KPSS test given as,

- \( H_0 \) : series is stationary around a deterministic trend
- \( H_1 \) : unit root /non-stationary

where the KPSS statistic is defined by the following equation:
\[ z_t = y_t' \delta + u_t \] (13)

and the residuals are written as,

\[ \hat{u}_t = z_t - y_t' \hat{\delta}(0) \] (14)

moreover, denoted as a cumulative residual function:

\[ S(t) = \sum_{r=1}^{t} \hat{u}_r \] (15)

Hence, the LM statistic is represented as:

\[ LM = \sum_{t} S(t)^2 / T^2 f_0 \] (16)

where \( f_0 \) is an estimator of the residual scale at occurrence zero.

which is daily, weekly maximum, weekly minimum, monthly maximum and monthly minimum.

Next, our analysis further inspected by checking the unit root and stationarity of the price return using ADF and KPSS test. In ADF test, the null hypothesis defined unit root is present in a time series. Unlike in KPSS test, the null hypothesis defined the series is stationary around a deterministic trend. Consulting on the p-value for ADF test, 16 stocks specifically ^AORD, ^AXJO, ^BFX, ^BSESN, ^DJI, ^FCHI, ^GDAXI, ^GSPC, ^GSPTSE, ^HSI, ^NYA, ^RUT, ^STOXX50E, ^XAX, and ^KLSE demonstrated p-value more than \( \alpha = 5\% \) at all extreme return interval except for daily return suggesting that unit root is not present in the series. Closer inspection of the table 1 for ADF test shows ^KS11, and ^VIX appears to be the only two share market that displays existence of unit root at all interval. The non-stationarity of extreme share returns further inspected by applying statistical KPSS tests.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Table 1 is the result for Mann–Kendall, Spearman, ADF, and KPSS in terms of p-value for Twenty-six global indices. A few notes can be built related to size each of the share price. On average, length of the daily sample is around 4300, weekly 900, and monthly 200 observations. These values just about the same due the 17 years-controlled duration each of the data sample. We first focus on determining the trend for each of the stocks in explaining price return volatility, for that Mann–Kendall and Spearman test is utilized. The null hypothesis for Mann–Kendall test defines as the series has no trend. Meanwhile, the null hypothesis for Spearman test describes the series is independent and identically distributed. Referring on the p-value for Mann–Kendall and Spearman test, 16 stocks namely ^AORD, ^AXJO, ^BFX, ^BSESN, ^FCHI, ^GDAXI, ^GSPC, ^GSPTSE, ^HSI, ^NYA, ^RUT, ^STOXX50E, ^TWII, ^VIX, and ^KLSE display p-value less than \( \alpha = 5\% \) at all extreme return interval except for daily return signifying the existence of trend and the price return movement is affected by the time. Only three stocks which are ^DJI, ^GSPC, ^IXIC is significant at all interval.
Table 1. The p-value results for Mk, Spearman, ADF, and KPSS Test

| indices | daily | weekly.max | weekly.min | monthly.max | monthly.min |
|---------|-------|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|
| D00001  | 4481  | 0.3989     | 0.3938     | 0.0100      | 0.1000      |
| AORD    | 4524  | 0.8526     | 0.9445     | 0.0356      | 0.1000      |
| AXIO    | 4512  | 0.8526     | 0.9445     | 0.0356      | 0.1000      |
| BFX     | 4569  | 0.4203     | 0.3971     | 0.0868      | 0.1000      |
| BSE35   | 4366  | 0.3752     | 0.2946     | 0.0210      | 0.1000      |
| BVSP    | 4385  | 0.3632     | 0.4120     | 0.0100      | 0.1000      |
| DII     | 4528  | 0.0766     | 0.0743     | 0.0208      | 0.1000      |
| FCHI    | 4574  | 0.3872     | 0.3618     | 0.0453      | 0.1000      |
| GDAXI   | 4444  | 0.2438     | 0.2058     | 0.0498      | 0.1000      |
| GSPC    | 4558  | 0.0567     | 0.0456     | 0.0392      | 0.0969      |
| GSPTE  | 4529  | 0.8235     | 0.7851     | 0.0268      | 0.1000      |
| HSI     | 4380  | 0.1904     | 0.2292     | 0.0287      | 0.1000      |
| IIXC    | 4282  | 0.0686     | 0.0710     | 0.0213      | 0.0283      |
| JSE     | 4247  | 0.4967     | 0.5680     | 0.0156      | 0.1000      |
| KS11    | 4354  | 0.3356     | 0.3783     | 0.0100      | 0.1000      |
| MERV    | 4308  | 0.0224     | 0.0200     | 0.0766      | 0.1000      |
| MXX     | 4448  | 0.0940     | 0.1104     | 0.0209      | 0.1000      |
| N1000   | 4581  | 0.3248     | 0.3004     | 0.0398      | 0.1000      |
| N225    | 4533  | 0.0149     | 0.0131     | 0.0556      | 0.0324      |
| NYA     | 4528  | 0.3508     | 0.3168     | 0.0306      | 0.1000      |
| RUT     | 4528  | 0.0235     | 0.0492     | 0.0243      | 0.1000      |
| STOXX   | 4372  | 0.2793     | 0.2747     | 0.0538      | 0.1000      |
| TWIN    | 4372  | 0.1402     | 0.1069     | 0.0100      | 0.1000      |
| VIX     | 4528  | 0.3410     | 0.3620     | 0.0323      | 0.1000      |
| XAX     | 4528  | 0.4245     | 0.3874     | 0.0396      | 0.1000      |
| XLE     | 4143  | 0.7592     | 0.6844     | 0.0137      | 0.1000      |

Values in bold indicate that p-value is significant for the series has trend and non-stationary. MK denote Mann-Kendall and SP represent the Spearman test.
Table 2. Summary for the existing trend and non-stationarity

| Test       | daily | weekly.max | weekly.min | monthly.max | monthly.min |
|------------|-------|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|
| **Trend**  |       |            |            |             |             |
| Mann-Kendall | 6/26  | 21/26      | 26/26      | 22/26       | 23/26       |
| Spearman   | 5/26  | 21/26      | 26/26      | 21/26       | 24/26       |
| **Non-Stationary** |       |            |            |             |             |
| Adf        | 0/26  | 18/26      | 17/26      | 20/26       | 23/26       |
| Kpss       | 3/26  | 24/26      | 26/26      | 22/26       | 20/26       |
The results of the KPSS test in table 2 exposed strong evidence of non-stationarity in share price return was found when 19 stocks namely ^AORD, ^AXJO, ^BFX, ^BSESN, ^BVSP, ^DJI, ^FCHI, ^GDAXI, ^GSPTSE, ^HSI, ^NYA, ^JKSE, ^KS11, ^MXX, ^NYA, ^RUT, ^TWII, ^VIX, and ^KLSE show that p-value less than $\alpha = 5\%$ for all extreme return interval except for daily return. Furthermore, it is apparent from KPSS test that ^GSPC and ^IXIC are the only two share market demonstrates the non-stationarity behaviour at all intervals.

**IV. CONCLUSION**

The primary objective of this paper was to detect the non-stationarity for the extreme series period generated from block maxima-minima approach using non-stationarity tests and trend analysis. We inspect different financial stock price return in major indices to study the general pattern behaviour, which might allow stockholders to attain more information on the return. With twenty-six set of global share price data collected from 4th January 2000 to 29th December 2017, we underline the following findings of the non-stationarity in the extreme weekly and monthly series:

i. For trend test analysis, at least 21 financial stock arise had a monotonic trend confirmed by MK and SR test.

ii. For non-stationary analysis using ADF and KPSS test, at least 17 difference financial stock series happen to be non-stationary. On the other hand, daily series has little evidence for monotonic trend and non-stationary with only at most six financial stock has trend and three stock is non-stationary verified by trend and non-stationary test. This paper illustrates acute examination in share return series specifically for an extreme environment to reinforce the use of appropriate methods in the analysis. One cannot merely apply stationary analysis in non-stationary series as this feature may lead to offensive forecasts due to different behaviour of the stability.

In the occasion of this study, our calculations confirmed that most of the extreme stock primarily in weekly and monthly interval act as non-stationary. Anomalies and uncertainty embarking on non-stationary behaviour in the financial market is an important issue to be considered for future research. By knowing the extreme share return is non-stationary, proper mathematical models’ approach when analysing the extreme share return is crucial for better comprehension.
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