A novel single-well prototype high throughput microwave reactor geometry has been produced and shown to be capable of synthesizing an array of non-commercially available methacrylate monomers. The reactor, which delivers the energy required via a dedicated coaxial line, has been shown experimentally to outperform other conventional/microwave formats. It is demonstrated to achieve significantly higher conversions than the alternative reactor types, whilst requiring (a) low levels of input power, (b) no additional energy for agitation/mass transfer, (c) no solvent and (d) no environmentally impacting thermos-fluids.

In recent years, high throughput materials discovery (HTMD) programs have accelerated the identification of novel materials ideally suited for target applications. Such HTMD campaigns often make use of platform technologies such as polymer microarrays.¹⁻³ Consequently, microarrays have enabled thousands of materials to be screened in a single experiment, allowing for novel biomaterials to be developed for applications such as reducing bacterial attachment to medical devices, the expansion of pluripotent stem cells, increasing the maturity of cardiomyocytes derived from stem cells and providing bio instructive implant materials.⁴⁻⁹

The adoption of such HTMD techniques can radically change the sustainability profile of such extended research programs targeted at identifying maps the links between molecular structure and application performance. The use of polymer microarrays for initial activity assessments means that very small quantities (i.e. microliters) of feedstock are used and one researcher can complete a series of up to 350 reagent mixture evaluations in triplicate in less than a day.⁵ To conduct the same number of synthetic preparations in conventional glassware would take months/years of manual effort and multiple kilograms of feedstock. Rather, these HTMD strategies allow the conventional labour and reagent intensive work to be focused down onto a very few target combinations. Reducing waste in terms of reagents, solvents, energy, and researcher time needed to identify the key data sought.

Typically, due to the large amounts of different materials required to build the large data sets required to draw strong conclusions from a HTMD study, readily accessible commercially available chemicals are utilized to create a material library.¹⁰ However, this leads to two issues; a) commercial materials often have limited chemical diversity thus a vast majority of chemistries are left unexplored, b) an inability to probe the effect of finite changes in chemical moieties on specific structure-activity relationships. Thus, synthesizing specific monomers for HTMD programs designed using the predictive models built on data from assessing commercial moieties can produce a monomer library predicted to be fit for purpose. The continued refinement of molecular structures is vital to optimizing the performance of subsequent biomedical devices.

Therefore, an area where the sustainability of HTMD programs can be improved is by the development of more efficient, multi-well library apparatus that allow reliable rapid throughput synthesis (RTPS) of novel monomers. Many of the RTPS systems marketed to-date involve designs that are based on combinations of multiple, conventional thermo-fluid heated and agitated reactors, which have not included any consideration of minimizing environmental impact, carbon footprint or chemical waste.¹¹ Microwave heating (MWH) has been shown in literature to increase reaction rates with lower power inputs (i.e. 10’s of Watts in total) compared to conventional heating methods.¹²,¹³ Thus MWH has significant potential to remove the need to use highly environmentally impacting thermo-fluids and reduce RTPS energy usage. The volumetric nature of MWH has also been shown to deliver greater control over reaction medium temperature, so reducing/removing the need to input additional energy to agitate the contents to overcome any thermal gradients in the wells.¹² Solvent free chemistry has also been extensively reported using microwaves.¹⁴
The work herein describes the development and use of an individual microwave heated “well” reactor (“micro-well”) for a target, solvent-free transesterification reaction. It will ultimately form the basis of a multi-well microwave synthesis system, which involves minimal energy and waste and a schematic can be found in Figure S1.

Figure 1. Schematic showing proposed materials discovery feedback loop, where predicted materials are synthesised using the RTPS microwave synthesis reactor.

Computational simulations led to the construction of a working single micro-well applicator capable of reaching 160 °C with only 40 W of applied power. The wide utility of this system was demonstrated by the synthesis of a range of novel methacrylate monomers.

A commercially available electromagnetic simulation software Concerto®, supplied by Vector Fields, was used to simulate the microwave field pattern for the safe design of a micro-well capable of conducting the target (trans)esterification mechanism using methanol as a model alcohol (Figure 2).

Figure 2. Solvent free transesterification method used to synthesize novel methacrylates in the micro-well at 160 °C with titanium butoxide (TnBT) as catalyst.

The electromagnetic study of the geometrical design of the micro-well used finite-difference time domain (FDTD) simulations. Such FDTD simulations have been used to simulate a range of antennas for different applications, including medical imaging and selective heating of tumors. These simulations were used to optimize well radius, antenna length and shape (see supplementary information) to achieve the most uniform field density throughout the medium. Figure 3 shows the power density distribution when the reactor is filled with methanol. Methanol was selected because it represents a worst case scenario in terms of its dielectrics properties and thus penetration depth of the electromagnetic energy through-out the bulk. Therefore, during our chemical synthesis experiments, we expect a power density uniformity which is equivalent to that depicted in Figure 3. Consequently, we can infer that the expected temperature distribution, allowing for heat losses, will also be similar.

The cavity designs in Figure 3 were shown to operate below the cut-off frequency to prevent microwave leakage at a frequency of 2.45 GHz. It was shown during a computer simulation that for the optimum design (Figure 3b), only ~0.1 µW/cm² was

Figure 3. Schematic of the internal reactor structure and computational simulations showing the power density distribution when the reactor is filled with methanol via the open top prior of the evaluation at 2.45 GHz for a) no antenna and b) cylindrical antenna. Well geometries are 1) 30 mm diameter, 2) 50 mm length, 3) 30 mm diameter 4) 50 mm length and 5) 10 mm length. All simulations used a coaxial power of outer diameter D = 7 mm and internal diameter of 3.5 mm to deliver power to reactor. Geometries were described using a mesh of 850,000 cells over 30,000 iterations which were applied with 10 W of power and analyzed at XY = 10 mm and XZ = 2.5 mm respectively.
detected outside of the well, where the maximum permissible dosage limit is 5 mW/cm² (Figure S6). This demonstrated that the design was working below the cut-off frequency at 2.45 GHz. Calculating the cut off frequency for the design also allowed us to design a choke which is as short as feasibly possible to allow for a higher efficiency of mass transfer of by-products, which is an element of design not possible in conventional microwave heating. More detail can be found in supplementary Figure S7.

This also demonstrated the benefit of utilizing a monopole antenna as this format allowed microwaves to couple with the antenna and hence increase penetration into the mixture and also resulted in a more uniform power density field distribution (Figure 3). Similar monopole antennas designs have been shown to be highly effective at manipulating the power density distribution to optimize drilling in ceramic materials. The final optimized micro-well shown is shown in Figure 3b.

Following simulation studies, a working prototype micro-well was manufactured and linked to a solid-state microwave generator (Miniflow 200SS) via a coaxial tuner, which was used to match the input power into the sample. A feedback loop using a fibre optic cable monitored and controlled the reaction temperature. An alcohol featuring the desired functionality was used with butyl methacrylate (BMA) to develop the novel methacrylate monomers. The transesterification chemistry applied utilized TNBT as a catalyst at a 1 % molar ratio relative to butyl methacrylate. This chemistry (Figure 2) was chosen because it is well understood and industrially applied. Thus, any deficiency in the results would be attributed to the reactor design and the results should be scalable. This allowed a diverse range of monomers to be synthesized as there are many commercial alcohols available where the corresponding (meth)acrylate is not commercially available. The reaction is limited to the use of alcohols with boiling point’s similar or above that of the set reaction temperature chosen to prevent excessive loss of alcohol. The optimized Microwell format reactions to synthesize cyclododecyl methacrylate (CyDMA) and 3-phenyl-2-propenyl methacrylate (PpMA) were conducted at 160 °C whilst for 4-methyl-2-pentyl methacrylate 140 °C (MpMA) was used due to 4-methyl-2-pentanol’s boiling point (132 °C), with the structures shown in Figure 4.

The conversions achieved were also compared to the same reaction conditions conducted using a standard conventional oil bath and a single mode microwave reactor with waveguide (Sairem Miniflow 200SS) with both methods using identical glassware such that the Micro-well reactor performance could be compared to both standard microwave and conventional heating methods (Table 1). Conversion characterization was conducted using 1H NMR to determine reactor performance. Mass transfer was examined by using 1H NMR to observe residual butanol in the final reaction mixture. Example NMR spectra and conversions can be found in the supplementary information.

Table 1. Transesterification synthesis comparison using conventional, microwave and micro-well prototype methodologies for the target novel monomers. Samples were prepared in a ratio of 7:5 BMA: alcohol with 1 % molar ratio of TNBT relative to BMA and then heated for 150 minutes. Conversions were obtained through 1H NMR. All reaction conversions have been quoted relative to the consumption of alcohol.

| Apparatus type | Conversion (%) |
|----------------|----------------|
| CyDMA          | PpMA           | MpMA          |
| Conventional   | 48             | 41            | 45            |
| Microwave      | 52             | 15            | 48            |
| Micro-well     | 78             | 80            | 73            |

Table 2. Remaining butanol leftover in transesterification experiments after 150 min. Butanol percentage was calculated relative to the final product.

| Apparatus type | Remaining Butanol (%) |
|----------------|-----------------------|
| CyDMA          | PpMA                  | MpMA                  |
| Conventional   | 50                    | 47                    | 48                    |
| Microwave      | 44                    | 58                    | 49                    |
| Micro-well     | 2                     | 3                     | 16                    |

Conversions in the conventional and standard microwave methods were largely limited to below 50% whilst using identical glassware. This was attributed to mass-transfer limitations within the reaction vessel which only have small ports, i.e. an inability to remove the by-product butanol from the reaction mixture influencing the reversibility of the reaction equilibrium (Figure 2). However, the open topped micro-well reactor design shown in Figure 3b overcame these mass-transfer effects such that it exhibited conversions of 70-80%. This was also demonstrated in Table 2 which showed the large reduction in residual butanol in the final product compared to both conventional and conventional microwave heating methods. The open top vessel could also allow for constant monitoring systems such as heating profiles to aid reaction progression. This showed that the micro-well was able to synthesise a range of different structures of monomers including large sterically hindered monomers such as CyDMA and outperformed conventional and microwave heating methods for the transesterification of bespoke methacrylate monomers.

These results showed the utility of this initial micro-well design and its potential to be scaled into a multi-well system based on its “chemical flexibility”. Moreover, whilst the track record of up-scaling microwave processes has been poor. This has predominantly related to an inability to increase the reactor volume and retain the microwave heating benefits e.g. problems with energy penetration depth. This case is different...
in that the reactor volume is going to be retained, as should be the microwave benefits. Rather it is the number of individual reactors that will change, so scale up is related to the electrical engineering design of a system to supply energy multiple reactors via coaxial lines. Thus, to test the viability of creating a multi-well system, a series of experiments were performed to test the minimum power input required to achieve desired reaction conditions to simply this electrical engineering design. The reaction to generate CyDMA was heated in the micro-well applying 20, 40 and 60 W (Figure 5).

Figure 5. Heating profiles for synthesis of CyDMA using different input powers for a target temperature of 160 °C

| Power (W) | Time (mins) | 20 W | 40 W | 60 W |
|----------|-------------|------|------|------|
| 1        | N/A         | 50   | 5    |

20 W was shown to be insufficient to heat the reaction mixture to the target of 160 °C, but this target was attained with 40 W and 60 W in 50 and 5 minutes respectively. Hence, this data suggests that a 12-well system would require a constant energy demand of 720 W for the first 5 minutes, followed by lower levels of pulsed microwave power thereafter to maintain reaction temperature. Furthermore, such microwave powers are readily available commercially so the construction of a 12 well system is eminently possible with current equipment.

Conclusions

We have developed a novel, operational, 15 ml scale, single-well microwave prototype reactor geometry and demonstrated that it is capable of synthesising new methacrylate monomers. The performance of this bespoke design was shown exhibit a combination of benefits that differentiated its performance from most conventionally applied synthetic methods. It required very low levels of input power (40 - 60 W), no additional energy for agitation/increasing mass transfer effects, no solvent and no environmentally impacting thermos-fluids. Therefore, this reactor has shown potential to form the basis of design for developing a low-environmentally impacting, multiple well microwave heated RTPS reactor. The aim is to employ such an apparatus to develop large numbers of novel materials for HTMD programs and so vastly increase the rate at which library candidates can be synthesised. The micro-well reactor was shown to outperform both standard conventional and microwave techniques by overcoming mass transfer effects. It has also been shown the benefits of using monopole antennas to improve microwave penetration, achieve more uniform power density distributions and therefore greater reactor efficiencies.

During this study we have demonstrated the capability of heating a transesterification experiment to 160 °C with only 40 W of power to develop a range of novel methacrylate monomers including branched, aromatic and cyclic methacrylate structures. This has proven the feasibility of the apparatus depicted in Figure 1 to be able to produce a RTPS library synthesiser capable of supporting HTMD programs with judiciously chosen libraries.
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