Introduction

The term interstitial lung disease (ILD) is used to describe a heterogeneous group of parenchymal lung disorders that share common radiologic, pathologic, and clinical manifestations. ILD in its various guises can be asymptomatic but detected by high-resolution computed tomography (HRCT) of the chest or by pulmonary function tests. The fibrosing forms of ILD are often incurable, and are associated with significant morbidity and mortality.

ILD is subdivided into idiopathic interstitial pneumonia, of which idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) is one subset, and diffuse parenchymal lung diseases, which may be secondary to a variety of occupational or environmental exposures, or – as discussed in the present review – can complicate multiple rheumatic or connective tissue diseases (CTDs). These diseases include systemic sclerosis (SSc), where ILD occurs in a majority of patients, and rheumatoid arthritis (RA), polymyositis/dermatomyositis (PM/DM), Sjögren’s syndrome, systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), undifferentiated CTD, and mixed CTD, where ILD is a less frequent complication (Table 1). In addition to ILD, other forms of lung damage involving the pleura, vasculature, airways, and lymphatic tissues can complicate CTDs. These complications will not be covered in the present review.

The frequency of ILD in CTDs varies based on patient selection and the methods used for detection. In general, the prevalence appears to be higher than previously thought. The clinical presentation is variable, ranging from cough to pleuritic pain and progressive shortness of breath. In some patients, ILD may be the presenting feature that predates the rheumatic disease, while in others the rheumatic symptoms precede ILD. Early recognition of pulmonary involvement in these patients is important for initiating appropriate therapy.

Multidisciplinary combined connective tissue disease-associated interstitial lung disease (CTD-ILD) clinics with rheumatologists and respiratory specialists are being established at many academic medical centers. Recent experience from one CTD-ILD clinic (at Brigham and Women’s Hospital, Boston, MA, USA) indicates that, after combined evaluation by both a pulmonologist and a rheumatologist, 50% of patients referred with an initial concern for IPF or another CTD-ILD had their diagnosis changed to a CTD-ILD [1].

The underlying pathology in CTD-ILD is dominated by inflammation or fibrosis, or a combination of both with distinct radiologic and histopathologic patterns. These patterns are nonspecific interstitial pneumonia (NSIP), usual interstitial pneumonia (UIP), desquamative interstitial pneumonia, cryptogenic organizing pneumonia, diffuse alveolar damage, acute interstitial pneumonia,
and lymphocytic interstitial pneumonia. Table 2 outlines the characteristic histopathologic and radiologic features of the different forms of ILD. The present review will primarily focus on the pathogenesis and treatment of SSc-associated ILD, with a brief overview of the other CTD-ILDs.

### Systemic sclerosis
SSc is characterized by tissue injury leading to excessive collagen deposition, and pulmonary disease is a leading cause of death in these patients.

Most patients with SSc have evidence of ILD by HRCT of the chest or at autopsy. Close to one-half of cases develop clinically significant ILD. In a multiethnic study, the risk for ILD in cases of SSc was greater in patients of African-American ethnicity and in those patients with more extensive skin and cardiac involvement [2]. Autoantibody expression is a predictor of internal organ involvement, particularly lung involvement. The presence of anti-topoisomerase antibodies (Scl-70) is strongly associated with development of significant ILD, while anti-centromere antibodies appear to be protective – although patients with limited SSc are not excluded from developing ILD [2,3]. A recent European League Against Rheumatism Scleroderma Trials and Research analysis revealed in a cohort of 3,656 SSc patients that ILD was present in 53% of cases with diffuse cutaneous SSc and in 35% of cases with limited cutaneous SSc [4].

Biomarkers, although currently not available for clinical testing, may serve as indicators of disease and as predictors of progression. Serum levels of surfactant proteins A and D (SP-A and SP-D) and the glycoprotein Krebs von den lungen-6 (KL-6), produced by type II alveolar epithelial cells, are elevated in sera of patients with ILD [5]. A comparison of SP-D and KL-6 serum concentrations showed that both markers were elevated in patients with SSc-associated ILD compared with healthy controls, with SP-D being more sensitive and KL-6 more specific [5]. There is a great deal of current interest in novel biomarkers such as chitinas e-like protein YKL-40, which is already shown to be useful in asthma [6].

Histologically, SSc-associated ILD is characterized by early pulmonary infiltration of inflammatory cells and subsequent fibrosis of the lung parenchyma. The most...
common histologic pattern seen in SSc-associated ILD is NSIP; the UIP pattern is less common. Histologically, NSIP is characterized by varying degrees of inflammation and fibrosis, with the majority of patients showing prominent inflammation. In contrast, UIP is characterized by dense patchy fibrosis with honeycombing, primarily in a subpleural distribution.

Radiologic findings associated with CTDs are summarized in Table 2. SSc-associated ILD is characterized on chest X-ray by hazy, reticular infiltrates that are prominent in the lower lobes. HRCT characteristically reveals ground glass opacities, traction bronchiectasis, and minimal honeycombing consistent with an NSIP pattern (Figure 1a). In contrast, the UIP pattern of IPF is characterized by patchy reticular opacities associated with traction bronchiectasis and honeycombing with a predominantly basal and peripheral reticular pattern (Figure 1b). The utility of HRCT to detect histologic pattern is sufficient to make the diagnosis of UIP/IPF in 50 to 60% of cases [7].

Pathogenesis of connective tissue disease-associated interstitial lung diseases

Mediators of lung fibrosis in systemic sclerosis

Interstitial lung involvement in SSc develops from an interplay of autoimmunity, inflammation, and vascular injury. Endothelial or epithelial injury is thought to precede inflammation and fibrosis, but the mechanisms that perpetuate pulmonary fibrosis are still not fully understood (Figure 2).

A number of proinflammatory and profibrotic extracellular mediators have been implicated in the pathogenesis of interstitial lung diseases and IPF, and are also likely to have important roles in SSc-associated ILD. These include chemokines, cytokines, growth factors, lipids, and prostanoids. The pivotal mediator of fibrosis is the multifunctional cytokine, transforming growth factor beta (TGFβ). Substantial evidence implicates TGFβ – along with platelet-derived growth factor, endothelin-1 (ET-1), and other cytokines – in the pathogenesis of SSc. Accordingly, targeting the intracellular signaling pathways activated by TGFβ and other profibrotic mediators is a rational treatment strategy for controlling fibrosis and is an active area of current research.

Mediators of TGFβ responses

Canonical Smad signaling

The canonical TGFβ signal transduction pathway involves sequential phosphorylation of the activin-like kinase-5 type I TGFβ receptor and a group of intracellular signaling proteins called Smads [8]. When bound by active TGFβ, the cell surface TGFβ receptors transmit signals through phosphorylation of cytoplasmic Smad proteins, which translocate into the cell nucleus and trigger transcription of genes such as type I collagen, fibronectin, α-smooth muscle actin and connective tissue growth factor (CTGF), each of which plays important roles in fibrogenesis [9]. Smad3 null mice are protected against bleomycin-induced fibrosis of the skin and lungs [10,11]. In addition, pharmacologic blockade of activin-like kinase-5 activity with small molecule inhibitors such as SB431542 and SD208 results in complete abrogation of profibrotic responses induced by TGFβ, and normalization of the autonomously activated phenotype of SSc fibroblasts in vitro [12,13]. Selective blockade of Smad phosphorylation or of non-Smad signaling downstream of TGFβ using small molecules are promising novel approaches to the treatment of fibrosis that are under investigation.
c-Abelson tyrosine kinase

In normal fibroblasts TGFβ induces activation of c-Abelson tyrosine kinase (c-Abl), a member of the Src family of nonreceptor protein tyrosine kinases [14]. Transforming mutations of c-Abl are found in 95% of patients with chronic myelogenous leukemia, and result in constitutive kinase activity that is directly responsible for myeloid cell hyperproliferation [15]. Recent studies of c-Abl function in nonmyeloid cells reveal that c-Abl is directly activated by TGFβ, and integrates serine-threonine kinase signaling with nonreceptor tyrosine kinase pathways [16].

Imatinib mesylate is a potent small molecule inhibitor of c-Abl, as well as of platelet-derived growth factor receptor activity. Inhibition of c-Abl kinase activity using imatinib was recently demonstrated to abrogate the stimulation of collagen gene expression in vitro, and to prevent the development of skin and lung fibrosis in vivo in animal models [14,17]. Preclinical studies show that, in explanted normal skin and lung fibroblasts, imatinib effectively blocked TGFβ-induced stimulation of collagen synthesis and myofibroblast transformation, which are key events in the fibrotic response [18]. Furthermore, imatinib partially reversed the abnormal phenotype of SSc fibroblasts [17]. Since one of the downstream targets of c-Abl is the profibrotic transcription factor Egr-1 (see below), blockade of c-Abl activity might prevent fibrosis by inhibiting Egr-1 activation [19].

Anecdotal reports indicate therapeutic efficacy of imatinib in SSc, graft versus host disease, nephrogenic fibrosis, and other fibrosing conditions. Ongoing clinical trials are evaluating the efficacy and safety of imatinib in SSc-associated ILD. Of note, however, a recently completed randomized controlled trial showed no benefit of imatinib compared with placebo in patients with IPF [20].
Egr-1

Egr-1 is a zinc finger DNA binding transcription factor that is rapidly and transiently induced at sites of injury. Egr-1 is implicated in cell proliferation, differentiation and survival, and plays a central role in orchestrating acute tissue responses to injury [21]. Egr-1 null mice were protected from pulmonary and skin fibrosis induced by TGFβ or by bleomycin, and Egr-1 was shown to be sufficient and necessary for the stimulation of type 1 collagen production in vitro [22]. Genome-wide expression profiling using microarrays has demonstrated that abnormal Egr-1 expression in the lung was strongly associated with rapid progression of lung fibrosis in patients with IPF [23]. In addition, both Egr-1 mRNA and protein were elevated in explanted SSc skin fibroblasts in vitro [24]. Egr-1 was also shown to be a key mediator of lung fibroblast activation induced by insulin-like growth factor binding protein 5 [25].

These observations identify Egr-1 as a critical intra-cellular mediator of lung fibrosis in humans and in mouse models. Ongoing studies are investigating blocking Egr-1 expression or activity with drugs such as imatinib as potential strategies to control pathologic fibrosis.

Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma

Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPARγ) is a nuclear steroid hormone receptor and a ligand-activated transcription factor. Originally described in adipocytes, it is now recognized that PPARγ is widely expressed in tissues and plays key regulatory roles not only in adipogenesis and insulin sensitivity, but also in inflammation and immunity.

An emerging novel function for PPARγ is as an endogenous anti-fibrotic defense mechanism. Ligand activation of cellular PPARγ potently inhibited the activation of TGFβ-inducible responses in normal skin and lung fibroblasts [26]. It is notable that the expression of PPARγ is markedly reduced in lung biopsies from patients with SSc-associated ILD [27]. Ligands for inducing the activity of PPARγ include endogenous natural agonists such as fatty acids or prostaglandins (PGJ₂), and synthetic pharmacologic agents such as rosiglitazone and pioglitazone [28]. These drugs are in wide use for the treatment of type 2 diabetes. Rosiglitazone was recently shown to attenuate bleomycin-induced dermal fibrosis and inflammation in vivo. Furthermore, rosiglitazone prevents alveolar epithelial mesenchymal transition and also TGFβ-induced stimulation of collagen gene transcription, myofibroblast transdifferentiation, and cell migration in normal fibroblasts [29].

In light of its potent anti-inflammatory and anti-fibrotic activities and relative safety in clinical practice, studies of existing PPARγ agonists – and novel selective agonists under development – are now warranted for treatment of ILD.

Endothelin-1

Endothelial injury in small and medium-sized arteries is a defining feature of SSc that leads to activation of the coagulation cascade followed by myofibroblast differentiation, activation of endothelial cells, and capillary loss. ET-1 is a potent vasoconstrictor released by endothelial cells, epithelial cells and mesenchymal cells. In lung injury, ET-1 binds to ET-1A and ET-1B receptors, recruits fibroblasts and stimulates matrix production [30]. Transgenic mice overexpressing ET-1 develop lung fibrosis [31] and ET-1 levels are elevated in mouse models of bleomycin-induced fibrosis [32]. ET-1 also has been found to stimulate TGFβ secretion in lung fibroblasts [33]. Studies with bosentan, a dual-receptor ET-1 antagonist, are underway for the treatment of IPF and SSc-associated ILD.

Growth factors and chemokines

Lysophosphatidic acid

The bioactive phospholipid lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) and its receptor LPA₁ have recently been implicated in the pathogenesis of IPF [34]. LPA is produced by activated platelets, as well as by fibroblasts. The LPA₁ receptor is expressed in fibroblasts, endothelial cells, and epithelial cells, and enables LPA to induce diverse biologic effects involved in tissue responses to injury.

Both LPA and its receptor are required for the development of lung fibrosis in a mouse model of IPF induced by bleomycin [34]. These studies revealed that the fibroblast chemoattractant activity present in the lungs of IPF patients is largely attributable to LPA, suggesting that LPA mediates fibroblast recruitment during the development of lung fibrosis. Preliminary results indicate that mice lacking the LPA₁ receptor are protected from bleomycin-induced dermal fibrosis compared with wild-type mice (FV Castelino, AM Tager, unpublished data). The LPA-LPA₁ pathway therefore appears to be a promising novel therapeutic target for SSc-associated pulmonary fibrosis.

Insulin-like growth factor

IGFs and their binding proteins have been implicated in the pathogenesis of pulmonary fibrosis and SSc. Increased levels of IGF-1 are detected in the serum as well as in the bronchoalveolar lavage of patients with SSc-associated ILD [35]. In addition, blockade of the IGF pathway leads to resolution of pulmonary fibrosis in a mouse model of pulmonary fibrosis [36]. These observations raise the possibility that targeting the IGF pathway may be a potential treatment of CTD-ILD.

Connective tissue growth factor

CTGF, also known as CCN2, is a small cysteine-rich matricellular protein with an important role in
angiogenesis and the formation of connective tissue [37]. Although the specific receptors for CTGF or the precise mechanism of action are poorly understood, CTGF acts as a downstream mediator of TGFβ, and may play a role in the stimulation of extracellular matrix production and myofibroblast differentiation.

Levels of CTGF are elevated in the skin and lungs from patients with SSC, as serum levels of CTGF reflect disease severity. Lung fibroblasts explanted from bleomycin-injected mice have a high expression of CTGF [38]. CTGF is therefore an attractive target for the treatment of pulmonary fibrosis, and clinical trials using a monoclonal anti-CTGF antibody are under preparation.

**Treatment considerations**

To date there is no cure or effective disease-modifying therapy for any form of CTD-ILD. n-Penicillamine and colchicine are largely ineffective [39,40]. Because evidence of inflammation is commonly present in early-stage disease, current therapies for SSc-associated ILD target the inflammatory response. The immunosuppressive agents most widely used for this purpose are corticosteroids, cyclophosphamide, azathioprine, and mycophenolate mofetil. While corticosteroids are generally ineffective, other agents have demonstrated a modest beneficial effect.

In contrast to various rheumatic diseases where immunosuppressives have been helpful, immunosuppressive therapies in CTD-ILD have not led to complete responses. There is only limited experience with newer biologicals such as anti-TNF therapies or rituximab.

**Cyclophosphamide**

Multiple studies and uncontrolled trials of CTD-ILD have reported beneficial effects of cyclophosphamide administered orally or intravenously [40-42]. These studies showed improvement in respiratory symptoms, lung function, radiologic findings, and bronchoalveolar lavage inflammation, as well as survival.

The Scleroderma Lung Study was the first multicenter, randomized placebo-controlled clinical trial to evaluate the effectiveness of oral cyclophosphamide in SSc-associated ILD [43]. In the study, 158 patients with early-stage SSc and symptomatic ILD with radiologic or bronchoalveolar lavage evidence of alveolar inflammation were randomized to cyclophosphamide or placebo. A 12-month course of active therapy was associated with a modest but statistically significant improvement in forced vital capacity (FVC), but no change in diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide. Furthermore, respiratory symptoms and chest radiologic abnormalities showed improvement [43,44]. The response in pulmonary function was most pronounced in those patients with the most advanced lung disease at baseline. At 24-month follow-up, the beneficial effect of cyclophosphamide on pulmonary function largely disappeared. In contrast, beneficial responses in skin score and quality of life measures persisted at 2 years.

A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study from the UK compared the efficacy of intravenous cyclophosphamide combined with corticosteroids and followed by azathioprine with that of placebo. This study of 45 SSC patients with early ILD demonstrated a favorable outcome in the treatment group, but, due to the small size of the study, the results did not achieve statistical significance [45].

**Mycophenolate mofetil**

Mycophenolate mofetil is an immunosuppressive drug with less toxicity compared with cyclophosphamide. One study evaluated 17 patients with SSc-associated ILD treated with mycophenolate mofetil for up to 24 months [46]. At 12 months the FVC and diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide had improved by 2.6% and 1.4%, respectively, while at 24 months the increase in FVC was 2.4% [46]. Gerbino and colleagues evaluated mycophenolate mofetil in 13 patients with early SSc-associated ILD [47]. The FVC improved by a mean of 4% predicted at a median of 21 months. The ongoing Scleroderma Lung Study II will compare the efficacy and safety of mycophenolate mofetil with cyclophosphamide in patients with SSc-associated ILD.

**Azathioprine**

Azathioprine is an alternative agent for SSc-associated ILD. Patients with a milder form of ILD or those unable to tolerate cyclophosphamide may be potential candidates. A retrospective analysis described 11 patients with SSc-associated ILD who received azathioprine and prednisone [48]. In this study, 8/11 patients showed an improvement in FVC and dyspnea scores at 12 months.

Data also suggest a role for azathioprine as maintenance therapy following intravenous cyclophosphamide. A retrospective series of 27 patients with SSc-associated ILD showed stabilization or improvement of lung function with a combination regimen of monthly intravenous cyclophosphamide given for 6 months followed by 18 months of azathioprine [49].

**Endothelin-1 receptor antagonists**

Bosentan is a dual ET-1 receptor antagonist approved for the treatment of pulmonary arterial hypertension. ET-1 is overexpressed in SSc skin and lungs, and can act as a profibrotic cytokine that promotes myofibroblast proliferation.

The Bosentan in Interstitial Lung Disease (BUILD 1) study examined the potential anti-fibrotic efficacy of bosentan in IPF. One hundred and fifty-eight patients
with IPF were randomized to receive either bosentan or placebo [50]. With the 6-minute walk test as primary outcome at 12 months, bosentan was no better than placebo. Moreover, a recent placebo-controlled trial of bosentan for the treatment of SSc-associated ILD patients (BUILD 2) was terminated due to lack of efficacy [51], and BUILD 3 – evaluating the safety and efficacy of bosentan in IPF patients – did not meet the primary endpoint of a reduction in morbidity and mortality (unpublished data).

**Tyrosine kinase inhibitors**

The therapeutic use of small molecule kinase inhibitors for nonmalignant diseases has generated a great deal of interest, but their use is limited by toxicity. In contrast to inhibitors of ubiquitous protein kinases such as p38, imatinib mesylate (Gleevec®; Imatinib mesylate, Novartis, Basel, Switzerland) selectively blocks the activity of the c-Abl tyrosine kinase and, to a lesser degree, the platelet-derived growth factor receptor and c-kit, and appears to have a relatively favorable long-term safety profile in a large number of chronic myelogenous leukemia patients.

Individual case reports provide support for the use of imatinib in SSc. Van Daele and colleagues described a patient with SSc who had progressive pulmonary fibrosis despite treatment with intravenous cyclophosphamide [52]. After 5 months of imatinib, improvement in skin score (from 18 to 12) and pulmonary function was noted. Another report described a woman with longstanding and progressive SSc unresponsive to intravenous cyclophosphamide and mycophenolate mofetil [53]. This patient showed improved skin and stabilization in lung function after 6 months of imatinib therapy [53]. In contrast, a recent large, multicenter, randomized controlled trial of imatinib versus placebo in the treatment of IPF showed no significant benefit [20].

**Pirfenidone**

Pirfenidone is a pyridone with both anti-inflammatory and anti-fibrotic effects. Pirfenidone was shown to inhibit collagen synthesis and TGFβ production in vivo in animal models of IPF [54]. In clinical studies, pirfenidone slowed a decline in lung function and exercise capacity [55]. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase III trial in IPF (CAPACITY 1 trial) demonstrated a decrease in the rate of decline of vital capacity and an increase in progression-free survival time over 52 weeks; however, the primary endpoint of change in the percentage predicted FVC at week 72 was not met [56]. The CAPACITY 2 trial, using a lower dose of pirfenidone, reached its primary endpoint [57]. Pirfenidone was administered to two patients with SSc-associated ILD [58]. These patients showed no significant radiological progression or functional deterioration. One should note, however, that the Food and Drug Administration recently rejected the use of pirfenidone for the treatment of IPF, citing the need for an additional clinical trial to prove efficacy given that the drug worked in one of the two trials and questioning whether the benefit provided by the drug was meaningful (InterMune press release).

**Lung transplantation**

Lung transplantation remains an option for SSc patients with ILD who fail to respond to pharmacologic therapy. A recent study comparing lung transplantation in 29 patients presenting SSc-associated ILD with 70 patients presenting IPF showed comparable cumulative survival (64%) at 2 years [59]. In another retrospective analysis, 23 of 47 SSc patients were alive at 24 months post lung transplantation [60].

**Prognosis of systemic sclerosis-associated interstitial lung disease**

ILD is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality in SSc. The prognosis of SSc-associated ILD depends on the underlying pathology. The NSIP pattern has a more favorable outcome compared with the UIP pattern characteristically associated with IPF [61]. A recent retrospective review of 80 patients with SSc-associated ILD showed that 76% had an NSIP pattern and 11% had a UIP pattern [61,62]. In this study, the 5-year survival rates were similar for patients with the NSIP pattern and the UIP pattern (82% and 91%, respectively). It is difficult to predict whether IPF patients with a UIP pattern would have similar survival as SSc patients with a UIP pattern.

**Other connective tissue disease-associated interstitial lung diseases**

**Rheumatoid arthritis**

Lung disease is a leading cause of death in RA, second only to infection. Evidence of ILD is seen in 20 to 30% of patients, but the reported prevalence varies depending on the criteria used for diagnosis [63]. Most RA patients show pulmonary parenchymal abnormalities on HRCT, including bronchial wall thickening, bronchial dilation, micronodules, and opacities, along with pleural effusions.

The main finding in patients with ILD is bibasilar symmetrical reticular infiltrates followed by honeycombing. The histologic NSIP pattern was previously thought to be most frequent in RA, but recent studies of surgical lung biopsies reveal that the UIP pattern may be more common [64]. The 5-year survival in RA patients with UIP is less than 50%. In one study, RA patients had a greater number of CD4-positive T cells in the bronchoalveolar lavage fluid than IPF patients [65].

Little is known regarding the optimal therapy of RA-associated lung disease, and randomized trials are lacking. Corticosteroids and immunosuppressive agents
are widely used, but corticosteroids by themselves are of limited benefit in RA UIP [66]. In IPF, N-acetylcysteine added to a regimen of prednisone and azathioprine slowed deterioration of the FVC and diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide at 12 months [67]. Mycophenolate mofetil is another therapeutic consideration in patients with RA-associated ILD. One report of two RA patients with ILD showed benefit of mycophenolate mofetil on pulmonary function and radiologic abnormalities [68]. While the course of RA-associated ILD varies from a slow progression to a fulminant course, the prognosis is generally better than that of IPF.

**Polymyositis/dermatomyositis**

The presence of ILD markedly influences the disease course in inflammatory myositis. The reported incidence of ILD varies from 20 to 54% depending on the criteria used for diagnosis [69,70]. The strongest predictive factor is the presence of autoantibodies to aminoacyl tRNA synthetase, most commonly anti-Jo-1 [71]. Another serum marker of increased risk for ILD is antibody to KL-6, a glycoprotein expressed on type II alveolar and bronchiolar epithelial cells [72]. Amyopathic dermatomyositis is also associated with ILD and can have a poor prognosis [73]. In addition, anti-clinically amyopathic dermatomyositis antibodies associated with this subset suggest rapidly progressive ILD [74].

The histopathology of ILD in PM/DM includes cryptogenic organizing pneumonia, diffuse alveolar damage, and NSIP and UIP patterns [75]. One study suggested that patients with a cryptogenic organizing pneumonia pattern respond to corticosteroids, while those with diffuse alveolar damage and UIP patterns do not [75].

There are no controlled trials evaluating the treatment of PM/DM-associated ILD. The most common initial therapy uses corticosteroids, generally at a dose of 1 mg/kg/day prednisone for 6 to 8 weeks, followed by a gradual taper. Steroid-sparing immunosuppressive agents such as cyclophosphamide, azathioprine, and methotrexate are frequently used. For some patients whose disease is rapidly progressive, either oral steroids or pulse methylprednisolone combined with monthly intravenous cyclophosphamide has been reported to show a favorable response [76].

One report described the use of tacrolimus in two myositis patients with progressive ILD who had failed cyclophosphamide and high-dose corticosteroid treatment [77]. These patients showed significant improvement in symptoms and radiologic abnormalities. In another report, 12 out of 15 PM/DM patients treated with tacrolimus for up to 36 months showed significant improvement in all pulmonary parameters [78].

Rituximab has also been used in the treatment of myositis and anti-synthetase syndromes. In a retrospective case series, rituximab appeared to stabilize ILD in seven out of 11 patients during the first 6 months after treatment [79]. In addition, in a study of 49 patients with DM/PM, 75% showed a good response in myositis features after treatment with rituximab [80].

**Sjögren’s syndrome**

ILD develops in approximately 25% of patients with Sjögren’s syndrome [81]. In these patients, ILD characteristically presents with cough, dyspnea, and bilateral pulmonary infiltrates on chest radiographs.

The lymphocytic interstitial pneumonia pattern was previously suggested to be the most characteristic histopathology in Sjögren’s syndrome, but recent studies show that the NSIP pattern is more prevalent [81,82]. Lymphocytic interstitial pneumonia represents a benign polyclonal proliferation of mature B cells or T cells that can involve the lung either diffusely or focally. Lymphocytic interstitial pneumonia is also considered relatively responsive to steroid therapy [81].

The optimal treatment for patients with Sjögren’s syndrome-associated ILD is not known. Anecdotal reports and small case series suggest the disease is steroid responsive. While the majority of patients experienced rapid subjective improvement, pulmonary function tests and radiological abnormalities showed a slower response over several months [82]. Some patients require additional immunosuppressive agents such as azathioprine or cyclophosphamide.

**Systemic lupus erythematosus**

Pulmonary involvement is frequent in SLE, and can affect the pleura, pulmonary vasculature, and parenchyma. The prevalence and severity of ILD appears to be lower in SLE than in the other CTDs. Acute lupus pneumonitis is an uncommon manifestation of SLE [83]. The disease typically presents with acute dyspnea, cough, fever, and pleuritic pain, and occasionally with pulmonary hemorrhage. Diffuse ILD or chronic pneumonitis in SLE occurs in 3 to 8% of patients [83].

The treatment for SLE-associated ILD is to some extent dictated by the predominant lung pathology. In patients with acute lupus pneumonitis, the mainstay of treatment is oral prednisone (1 mg/kg/day). If there is no prompt improvement, then intravenous methylprednisolone with an immunosuppressive agent such as cyclophosphamide is commonly used. One report described a patient with acute lupus pneumonitis who responded to weekly rituximab with a rapid improvement in subjective symptoms and pulmonary function test abnormalities [84].

**Undifferentiated connective tissue disease**

Patients with undifferentiated CTD often have some features of a rheumatic disease but do not have sufficient
findings for a discrete rheumatic diagnosis [85]. These patients may have a concomitant ILD that either precedes or occurs concomitantly with their rheumatic symptoms. In a case–control study evaluating 28 patients with idiopathic interstitial pneumonia, 88% of patients classified with an initial histologic pattern of idiopathic NSIP had features of an undifferentiated CTD [86]. In addition, patients with undifferentiated CTD had a substantial improvement in FVC during a follow-up period of 8 months compared with IPF patients [87]. Treatment of undifferentiated CTD-ILD is similar to other CTD-ILDs with an NSIP pattern.

Mixed connective tissue disease
Pulmonary involvement is a common complication of mixed CTD. Up to two-thirds of patients have a reduced diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide, and approximately one-half have evidence of restrictive abnormalities on pulmonary function tests [88]. The predominant radiologic abnormality in the chest is ground glass opacities associated with septal thickening with a lower lobe predominance [89]. These findings are similar to those seen in SSc-associated ILD. Treatment of ILD in mixed CTD is similar to that of other CTD-ILDs. In one study, 47% of patients with mixed CTD-ILD responded to corticosteroids at a dose of 2 mg/kg/day [89].

Conclusion
ILD is now increasingly recognized as a frequent and serious complication of rheumatic diseases and CTDs. Effective disease-modifying therapies are still lacking, and many of the currently used treatments are largely ineffective. Stem cell therapies and novel agents including rituximab, angiotensin II inhibitors, tyrosine kinase inhibitors, PPARy agonists, intravenous immunoglobulin, and biologicals targeting chemokines, cytokines, and growth factors are in preclinical or clinical studies. There is progress towards better understanding the pathogenesis of CTD-ILD, and the role of growth factors, chemokines, and lipid mediators. Serum biomarkers as either indicators of pulmonary fibrosis or indicators of disease progression are under active investigation. Despite these impressive recent advances, the management of patients with CTD-ILD remains unsatisfactory. Further study into the cell types, mediators, and pathways involved in lung fibrosis is urgently needed. These further studies may lead to a better understanding of lung fibrosis, and to the development of safer and more effective rational therapies.
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