Frozen-dried allograft-mediated gene or protein delivery of growth and differentiation factor 5 reduces reconstructed murine flexor tendon adhesions
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Abstract
Advances in allograft processing have opened new horizons for clinical adaptation of flexor tendon allografts as delivery scaffolds for antifibrotic therapeutics. Recombinant adeno-associated-virus (rAAV) gene delivery of the growth and differentiation factor 5 (GDF-5) has been previously associated with antifibrotic effects in a mouse model of flexor tendoplasty. In this study, we compared the effects of loading freeze-dried allografts with different doses of GDF-5 protein or rAAV-Gdf5 on flexor tendon healing and adhesions. We first optimized the protein and viral loading parameters using reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), and in vivo bioluminescent imaging. We then reconstructed flexor digitorum longus (FDL) tendons of the mouse hindlimb with allografts loaded with low and high doses of recombinant GDF-5 protein and rAAV-Gdf5 and evaluated joint flexion and biomechanical properties of the reconstructed tendon. In vitro optimization studies determined that both the loading time and concentration of the growth factor and viral vector had dose-dependent effects on their retention on the freeze-dried allograft. In vivo data suggest that protein and gene delivery of GDF-5 had equivalent effects on improving joint flexion function, in the range of doses used. Within the doses tested, the lower doses of GDF-5 had more potent effects on suppressing adhesions without adversely affecting the strength of the repair. These findings indicate equivalent antifibrotic effects of Gdf5 gene and protein delivery, but suggest that localized delivery of this potent factor should also carefully consider the dosage used to eliminate untoward effects, regardless of the delivery mode.
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Introduction
Fibrosis and adhesions are frequent complications of flexor tendon injury in the hand. Despite decades of research, an excellent outcome after flexor tendon surgery is still dependent on a skilled and experienced surgeon, a qualified team of occupational therapists, and a very motivated patient. One of the most effective advances in flexor tendon repair is the implementation of early post-operative mobilization, which has become feasible in part due to the development of stronger and more refined suturing techniques. Because of these advances, primary repair outcomes in Zone II injuries are now more successful, and grafts are less frequently used in flexor tendon reconstruction. However, tendon allografts can be the only option in cases of revision surgery and multi-tendon injuries in mutilating scenarios such as combat injuries, especially
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with limitations associated with autografts availability\textsuperscript{4,5} and the lack of clinically proven tissue-engineered biomaterial scaffolds.\textsuperscript{6}

While clinical use of allografts has not been favored in flexor tendonoplasty, recent advances in graft processing have enabled novel regenerative applications on the bench and in preclinical models. For example, intrasynovial flexor tendon allografts have been successfully decellularized without affecting the mechanical properties or chemical composition of the tissue\textsuperscript{7} and then revitalized by seeding different types of cells (tendon and sheath fibroblasts and stem cells).\textsuperscript{8} These studies demonstrate the conceptual feasibility of engineering intrasynovial flexor tendon grafts with epitoten cell layer seeding. However, cell-based tissue engineering approaches still face significant regulatory hurdles before they can become a clinical option.

Alternatively, tendon allografts can be decellularized to minimize the recipient’s immune response and can be modified with growth factors to enable their remodeling and incorporation into the host. The growth and differentiation factors (GDFs) 5, 6, and 7 are members of the bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) family and have been implicated in tendon development and repair.\textsuperscript{9–12} It has been previously demonstrated that freeze-dried tendon allografts loaded with recombinant adeno-associated virus (rAAV) for local and transient Gdf5 gene delivery significantly reduced tendon adhesions and restored the metatarsophalangeal (MTP) joint flexion in mice.\textsuperscript{13} Given that these growth factors are morphogens with varied and dose-dependent effects throughout the body that are not limited to tendon biology,\textsuperscript{14} we therefore sought to investigate doses that might enhance the repair strength while abating any fibrotic scarring. Considering the differences in kinetics of action of protein (immediate signaling effects) and viral gene delivery (delayed effects that involve transfection, gene expression, protein translation, and signaling), we hypothesized that rAAV-Gdf5 delivery via freeze-dried tendon allografts will provide a prolonged window of sustained therapeutic effects to improve the tendon biomechanical properties and abolish the fibrotic adhesions. To test this hypothesis, we set out first to optimize the retention of the rAAV particles or the recombinant GDF-5 protein on freeze-dried tendon allograft. We then compared the dose-dependent effects of rAAV-Gdf5 or GDF-5 protein on the MTP joint flexion and biomechanics of reconstructed mouse flexor digitorum longus (FDL) tendons.

**Materials and methods**

**Preparation of FDL tendon allografts**

FDL tendon grafts were aseptically dissected from donors (C57Bl/6 mice) and lyophilized as previously described.\textsuperscript{15} The grafts were then digitally imaged to determine their surface area (Image J software, http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij). The lyophilized tendon grafts were placed in 100µL phosphate buffer solution (PBS) on ice containing rAAV2.5/CMV-LacZ (Virus Vector Core Facility, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC), rAAV2.5/CMV-Gdf5 (custom clone previously published\textsuperscript{11}), or recombinant murine GDF-5 protein (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN). After the tendon grafts have been dipped in the rAAV or protein solution for a designated time (as described later), they were lyophilized and stored frozen at −80°C for 1–7 days until analyzed or used for tendon surgeries.

**Assessment of rAAV loading and retention**

To optimize the viral particle loading conditions, several experiments were performed. In the first experiment, the lyophilized grafts were rehydrated in a solution containing rAAV-LacZ (5 × 10^9 particles/100 µL) for 5, 15, 30, and 120 min as well as 24 h. In the second experiment, different concentrations of rAAV-LacZ (5 × 10^9 to 5 × 10^10 particles/100 µL) were used to rehydrate the allografts for 120 min. To assess the retention of rAAV particles, the processed rAAV-LacZ-loaded FDL tendon grafts were digested in proteinase K (10 µg/mL). Real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) was used to calculate the rAAV content in the tendon samples based on a standard curve in the range of 10^4–10^10 particles/100 µL. Gene expression was measured in 9–12 grafts.

**Assessment of rmGDF-5 protein loading and retention**

To optimize therapeutic protein–loading conditions, FDL tendon grafts were processed aseptically by freeze-drying and then dipped in PBS solutions containing rmGDF-5 (10 or 50 ng/µL with 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA) as a carrier protein) for 2 or 24 h. To assess the retention of the protein, the processed rmGDF-5-loaded FDL tendon grafts were eluted in 120 µL blocking buffer (PBS with 3% BSA and 0.05% Tween-20) for 2 h on ice, and the eluate was analyzed by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA).\textsuperscript{16} The optical density (OD) for each well was read with a plate reader (Synergy Mx Multi-Mode Reader, BioTek, Winooski, VT) at 450 nm wavelength, and calibrated for GDF-5 concentration against a standard curve (10–1000 ng/mL), which was included in each ELISA plate. The limit of detection of the assay was 5 ng/mL, and the coefficient of variance for the assay <<1%. GDF-5 protein retention was assayed in 9–12 grafts.

**Surgical procedure—FDL tendon defect reconstruction (tendoplasty)**

All animal studies utilized C57Bl/6 mice, were performed in compliance with institutionally approved animal use and care protocols, and followed the latest version of the Declaration of Helsinki. A total of 24 h before the tendon
reconstruction surgery, the flexor (calf) muscles of the left hind limb of the mouse was injected with BOTOX® (Allergan Pharmaceuticals, Irvine, CA) to induce transient paralysis of the flexor muscles in order to protect the reconstructed tendon from rupture upon recovery, while allowing controlled, incremental recovery of muscle forces (see Supplementary Material). The next day, aseptic FDL tendolasty surgeries were preformed as previously described.13,15 Preoperatively, the animals were treated with one subcutaneous injection of buprenophine (0.05 mg/kg). Next, the distal FDL tendon of the left hind paw was exposed and transected to create a 3 mm defect at the metatarsal level. A lyophilized allograft loaded with rAAV or recombinant protein (using the dip time of 2 h) was used to reconstruct the severed tendon using modified horizontal mattress suturing (8–0 nylon suture). Following recovery, the animals were allowed to ambulate freely, and post-operative subcutaneous injections of banamine (0.5 mg/kg) were given every 24 h for up to 3 days.

Bioluminescent imaging

To investigate the dose effects on gene delivery kinetics and biodistribution up to 14 days, which corresponds to peak adhesion formation in previous studies,13 rAAV-Luc-loaded allografts were implanted in the FDL tendons. Prior to bioluminescent imaging (BLI), an intraperitoneal injection of D-luciferin potassium salt (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA) was administered to each animal. The rAAV-induced bioluminescence was then imaged using a 3-min exposure on the IVIS Spectrum Imaging System (PerkinElmer), and the signal intensity was quantified over a consistent region of interest encompassing the operated foot (n = 4 per treatment), as previously described.13

Assessment of MTP joint flexion

After 14 days of healing, the mice (n = 8 per treatment) were euthanized, and the hind limbs were dissected below the knee and stored frozen (−20°C) until tested. On the day of testing, the proximal FDL tendon was severed from the muscle at the tibia without compromising the healing tissue in the foot. The free tendon end was reinforced using tape and cyanoacrylate. The limb was then inversely suspended in a custom jig where the tibia was secured to prevent sliding and rotation. The flexion angle of the MTP joint under incremental loading was then measured as previously described.15 The flexion data were used to derive functional parameters, including the flexion range of motion (ROM) as previously described.13,15

Biomechanical tensile testing

Immediately following the assessment of MTP joint flexion, the tendon was released at the tarsal tunnel, and then tested in tension at a rate of 30 mm/min to failure on the Instron 8841 DynaMight™ axial servohydraulic testing system (Instron Corporation, Norwood, MA) as described.13,15 The maximum tensile force and stiffness were derived from force–displacement plots.

Statistical analysis

Data analysis included t-tests, analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Fisher’s least significant difference (LSD) post hoc multiple comparisons (α = 0.05), and non-linear regression to derive the MTP flexion parameters.

Results

Assessment of retention of rAAV particles and protein in tendon grafts in vitro

To optimize the performance of rAAV and rmGDF-5-loaded tendon grafts in vivo, we first sought to determine the effects of the concentration and dipping time on graft retention in vitro. Not surprisingly, we found significant incremental effects on the retention of rAAV-LacZ due to increasing the dipping time (Figure 1(a)). While there were no differences in retention between 5 and 60 min, increasing dip-coating time to 120 min significantly increased the retention of rAAV particles on the freeze-dried tendon graft compared to 5 and 15 min. Increasing the dip-coating time to 24 h significantly increased the retention of rAAV particles on the freeze-dried tendon graft compared to all other loading times. We also investigated the effects of rAAV concentration in the dipping solution, and observed a dose-dependent improvement in the retention of rAAV particles on the graft (Figure 1(b)) with increased dipping solution concentration.

There were no differences in retention of rmGDF-5 between 2 and 24 h dipping times (Figure 2(a)). However, there were significant concentration-dependent effects on the retention of rmGDF-5 on the graft (Figure 2(b)).

Given that we determined from the in vitro loading and retention studies that 2 h is an ideal time for loading that would in theory enable point-of-care reconstitution of the grafts, all subsequent in vivo experiments involved grafts that have been reconstituted with either rAAV or protein suspensions for 2 h.

Longitudinal assessment of rAAV-mediated gene delivery in vivo

To determine the dose effects on the biodistribution and kinetics of reporter gene delivery and transduction in vivo, allografts were loaded with 5 × 10⁷ or 5 × 10¹⁰ particles of rAAV-Luc, and implanted in FDL tendon defects. BLI was performed on days 3, 7, and 14 after grafting. As previously reported,13 bioluminescence was restricted to the grafted foot (Figure 3(a)). Furthermore, gene transduction, measured by BLI signal intensity, was dose-dependent,
with the lower dose ($5 \times 10^7$ particles/100 µL) inducing significantly less-intense bioluminescence at days 7 and 14 compared to the higher dose ($5 \times 10^{10}$ particles/100 µL) allografts were not significantly different from rAAV-LacZ-loaded controls. Similarly, the lower dose of rmGDF-5-loaded allografts (10 ng/µL) significantly improved MTP ROM compared to controls ($p < 0.05$; Figure 4) at 14 days post reconstruction, while the higher doses (50 ng/µL) were not significantly different from controls.

The tensile strength and elasticity (maximum force and stiffness, respectively) tended to increase with both doses of rmGDF-5-loaded allografts but not the rAAV-Gdf5-loaded allografts (Figure 5), but these differences were not statistically different from the untreated controls.

**Discussion**

Localized and sustained delivery systems of growth factors to sites of skeletal injury remain a substantial barrier in tissue engineering. A common component of growth factor delivery systems is a biomaterial carrier to provide localization and spatiotemporal regulation of their bioavailability after implantation. Biomaterial carriers can be classified in general terms into extracellular matrix (ECM)-mimicking polymer scaffolds or naturally derived ECM scaffolds. ECM scaffolds such as freeze-dried allografts have a number of desirable characteristics over synthetic polymers in tendon tissue engineering. Tendon allografts have been shown to maintain their biomechanical properties when freeze-dried. Their lack of cells and immunologically mismatched cell surface antigens minimize the foreign body response of the recipient’s immune system. They can also be infiltrated by host cells, including fibroblasts, allowing for their incorporation and remodeling in vivo. Previous studies have demonstrated the feasibility of creating tendon/ligament scaffolds from freeze-dried allografts, and others have demonstrated that decellularized allograft tendon can potentially be combined with donor cells to repair the anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) or flexor tendon. In addition, tendon allografts remain hydrophilic, which enables robust hydration and loading of therapeutics by simply dipping the grafts in an aqueous pharmaceutical solution. In this study, we optimized techniques to use freeze-dried flexor tendon allografts as growth factor and viral gene delivery systems. Both the concentration of the growth factor and titer of the viral vector had a dose-dependent effect on the retention of the therapeutics on the freeze-dried allograft. Maximum retention of GDF-5 protein was achieved within 2 h of reconstituting the graft in the therapeutic solution. More importantly, we found no significant differences between the therapeutic effects of the recombinant protein of rAAV-mediated gene delivery of GDF-5 in the range of doses used. This latter observation is consistent with some
Figure 2. Retention of recombinant GDF-5 protein in tendon grafts determined using an ELISA assay. Data are presented as mean ± SEM, normalized to the surface area of the graft. Asterisks represent significant differences, **p < 0.01. 
GDF-5: growth and differentiation factor 5; ELISA: enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; SEM: standard error of the mean.

Figure 3. Kinetics and biodistribution of rAAV and allograft-mediated gene expression. (a) Representative BLI of a mouse grafted with a freeze-dried FDL allograft loaded with $5 \times 10^{10}$ particles of rAAV-Luc. (b) In vivo kinetics of Luc gene expression, based on bioluminescence intensity in a ROI encompassing the foot (mean value ± SEM). 
BLI: bioluminescent imaging; ROI: region of interest; rAAV: recombinant adeno-associated-virus; SEM: standard error of the mean; FDL: flexor digitorum longus.
previous results that demonstrated that low doses of rmGDF-5 and rAAV-Gdf5 have significant effects on scratch closure rate of monolayer fibroblasts in vitro. The allograft-mediated delivery approach is a clinically compatible procedure. Conceptually, a Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved drug or factor can be combined with the allograft at the point-of-care (e.g. the operating room). However, while rAAV represents a class of gene delivery viral vectors with an acceptable safety profile and is being clinically tested in numerous of FDA-approved protocols (http://www.clinicaltrials.gov), there are currently no approved viral vectors for wide orthopedic use. Given that the effects of the protein and the rAAV gene delivery vector were equivalent, it is more likely that recombinant forms of the protein GDF-5 will have a faster route to the clinic, especially since recombinant BMPs are currently in clinical use in orthopedic surgery.

The effects of various growth factors on tendon healing have been extensively studied. Members of the BMP family, known as GDFs, have been of particular interest in this area because of their demonstrable induction of tendon phenotype in vitro and in vivo and their acceleration of tendon healing in preclinical models. GDF-5 (also called BMP-14) is one of the GDF isoforms whose genetic knockout in mice deregulates tail and Achilles tendon (collagen) ultrastructure leading to inferior biomechanics. It is for these observations that a number of therapeutic and tissue engineering strategies in tendon repair have focused on GDF-5. For example, Rickert et al. demonstrated that coating of surgical suture with GDF-5 accelerates Achilles tendon healing in a rodent model. Yet, the antifibrotic effects of GDF-5 on flexor tendon adhesions have only been recently reported, and confirmed in this study.

While growth factors often exert potent therapeutic effects, they can also trigger ectopic or untoward responses from targeted or untargeted tissues and cells. For example, factors such as GDFs are capable of driving ectopic differentiation of stem cells to tendon, cartilage, and bone at varying dosages. Indeed, within the doses tested, our findings suggest that the lower doses of GDF-5 (delivered either as protein or via rAAV) have more potent effects on suppressing the fibrotic response in tendon healing that leads to adhesions. Interestingly, in vivo investigations of tendon repair have previously raised concerns regarding dosage of GDF. For example, ectopic cartilage formation has been reported in preclinical animal models investigating GDF-5, especially at higher doses. A time course histological analysis of the stages of the repair response was beyond the scope of this study, but will be pursued in future studies to delineate the dose-dependent differences in the biology of tendon healing and the emergences of aberrant tissue differentiation, if any. Nevertheless, our data suggest that localized delivery of GDF-5 delivery must employ a minimal dosage of the growth factor to suppress fibrotic adhesions in our murine model. A more formal investigation of the dose-response effects of GDF-5 should be pursued in future studies.

The antifibrotic mechanism of action of GDF-5 is not understood. A common denominator in the abnormal fibrosis in a number of tissues is transforming growth factor β (TGF-β) presumably through inactivation of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs).

Figure 4. Assessment of MTP joint flexion (inset) following reconstruction with rmGDF-5 or rAAV-Gdf5-loaded allografts at 14 days post surgery. (a and b) Average MTP joint flexion curves and (c) maximum MTP flexion ROM over the range of applied weights of the control (rAAV-LacZ loaded) allografts, rmGDF-5-loaded allografts, and rAAV-Gdf5-loaded allografts. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Asterisks represent significant differences from control repairs, *p < 0.05.

MTP: metatarsophalangeal; GDF-5: growth and differentiation factor 5; ROM: range of motion; rAAV: recombinant adeno-associated-virus; SEM: standard error of the mean.
cells, TGF-β1 increases cell-associated ECM, including collagen IV and fibronectin and decreases the level and activity of MMP-2, thereby causing renal fibrosis. It has been demonstrated that BMP-7 antagonizes TGF-β1 effects by rescuing MMP-2 activity. Given that GDF-5 shares similar attributes with BMP-7 in terms of its receptor binding affinity and signaling, it is plausible that GDF-5 could exert similar effects on rescuing MMP-mediated remodeling, albeit this has yet to be demonstrated experimentally.

In summary, this study demonstrates that flexor tendon allografts can be manipulated effectively for localized therapeutic delivery, which opens new horizons for clinical utility of flexor tendon allografts, and suggests that localized delivery of potent growth factors, such as GDF-5, should carefully consider the dosage used to obtain the desired efficacy and eliminate untoward effects.
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