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A B S T R A C T

In all health care settings, particularly those in developing countries, healthcare workers have a high risk for exposure to tuberculosis. Tuberculosis can involve any organ, including the skin. Cutaneous tuberculosis is uncommon and may be secondary to an exogenous inoculation.

We report the case of a surgical resident at a tertiary care hospital in Lima, Peru who developed cutaneous inoculation tuberculosis after sustaining a needlestick injury while performing a diagnostic cervical lymph node aspiration from a patient with HIV infection and tuberculous cervical lymphadenopathy.

© 2020 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

Tuberculosis is a known public health problem in Peru, with the second highest incidence in the Americas (116.4 per 100,000 population) [1]. Healthcare workers (HCWs) were found to have an approximately 2 and 3 times greater risk of latent tuberculosis infection and active tuberculosis disease, respectively, compared to the general population [2].

Mycobacterium tuberculosis skin involvement is infrequent and may occur as a result of hematogenous spread, continuity extension of a focus underlying the skin (osteomyelitis, epididymitis or lymphadenitis) and as a result of exogenous inoculation [3].

We identified 21 cutaneous inoculation tuberculosis case reports in HCWs in the literature, including the present case.

Case report

A 26-year-old man surgical resident at a tertiary care hospital in Lima, Peru with no relevant medical history, developed a cutaneous lesion one week after sustaining a needlestick injury in his third left hand finger while performing a cervical lymphadenopathy aspiration. Source patient had been admitted to the hospital with a known diagnosis of HIV infection, failing HAART and cervical lymphadenopathy. He received prompt HIV post-exposure prophylaxis with tenofovir/emtricitabine and raltegravir with negative baseline and follow up HIV test.

One week after accident swelling and erythema developed in the site of puncture (Fig. 1A). Lesion progressed to ulceration with sero-purulent discharge despite receiving oral and parenteral antimicrobials for 3 weeks. He was admitted for diagnostic work up and surgical management of wound. On examination: He was in good overall condition and vital signs within normal range, throughout the course of illness. A cutaneous skin ulcer was noted in his third finger of left hand with erythema of the ulcer margins and yellowish secretion (Fig. 1B) and limitation of affected finger mobility secondary to presence of the lesion. There were no enlarged regional lymph nodes and the rest of exam unremarkable. Blood-work including complete cell count, liver and renal function and chest and finger radiographs were normal.

A surgical exploration and debridement of the finger lesion was performed and tissue for biopsy and bacterial, fungi and mycobacterial cultures were sent. Histopathologic findings revealed an acute and chronic inflammation, with epithelioid granulomas, Langhan’s giant cells and foci of caseous necrosis (Fig. 2A). Ziehl–Neelsen (ZN) stain detected the presence of acid-fast bacilli (Fig. 2B). Six weeks later, tissue culture grew one colony of Mycobacterium tuberculosis and Genotype MTBDR Plus showed isoniazid and rifampicin sensitivity.
The patient was treated with weight-adjusted doses of four-drug tuberculosis treatment (isoniazid, rifampin, pyrazinamide and ethambutol) for 2 months followed by 6 months of a two-drug regimen with gradual resolution of lesion (Fig. 1C) and full functional recovery.

Additional management included restriction to perform procedures and direct patient care until complete healing of ulcer.

Discussion

Tuberculosis is one of the most important occupational exposure diseases and is mostly transmitted through inhalation of aerosolized droplets from infected patients [4]. Other mechanisms such as contact direct with secretions [5], through mucous membranes [6] and solutions of continuity of the skin or accidental needlestick or cut [7,8] have been more infrequently described.

Cutaneous tuberculosis from an exogenous source can be classified in primary and post-primary cutaneous inoculation tuberculosis [3]. The primary form results from the entry of mycobacteria into the skin or the mucosa in a tuberculous infection naive host, and is characterized by the development of a nodule that progresses into an ulcer with associated regional lymphadenopathy 3–6 weeks after the trauma (cutaneous analog of the Ghon complex in the lungs). The histologic picture can be an acute neutrophilic reaction with areas of necrosis associated with numerous acid-fast bacilli (AFB) during early stages, but 3–6 weeks later, the infiltrate becomes granulomatous and caseous necrosis is evident [3].

On the other hand, in patients with preexisting immunity to tuberculosis, post-primary cutaneous inoculation develops, characterized by a hyperkeratotic papule which eventually becomes verrucous (the prospector’s wart described by Renée Laennec in 1826), that rarely ulcerates, with no adenopathy associated and spontaneous involution, that may occur over several months to years [3].

Our case has characteristics of both types of cutaneous inoculation tuberculosis because the lesion that we describe is an ulcerated erythematous papule without hyperkeratosis and regional lymphadenopathy, in a previously immunized host (BCG vaccine at birth), in which granulomatous inflammation with caseous necrosis were described.
We identified 21 cases of cutaneous inoculation tuberculosis in healthcare workers since 1965, including our case [5–19] (Table 1). The mean age was 33 years, with no gender predominance. Physicians were more frequently affected (47 %) than other healthcare workers. The accidental needlestick injury was the main mechanism of trauma in these cases (63 %), as in our case. The most common clinical manifestation of cutaneous inoculation tuberculosis was the papular lesion. Diagnosis was mainly achieved through histopathological studies with or without microbiological criteria (mycobacterial cultures or PCR of tissue, ZN stain of secretions).

Most patients required medical treatment with antituberculous drugs. Three (14 %) required additionally surgery, such as in our case [5–19]. Prophylaxis with isoniazid after exposure has been suggested by some authors, although there is no established post-exposure prophylaxis treatment for these accidents [7,11,19] and it is necessary to evaluate potential prevention regimens for percutaneous exposure to tuberculosis.

As our review shows, 47 % of affected persons were in training (4 physicians in training and 6 students). Hence, it is of great importance to prevent these accidents with regular information, training and supervision of compliance with protective measures. Our case underscores the possibility of this mechanism of transmission, in particular in areas of high endemicity and limited resources.

### Conclusion

Cutaneous inoculation of *M. tuberculosis* in an unusual but potential occupational illness following accidental needlestick. It should be suspected in case of a persistent skin lesion in particular in areas of high endemicity.
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