Epigenetics Evolution and Replacement Histones: Evolutionary Changes at Drosophila H4r
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Introduction

Knowledge about histone variants and histone modifications has become very important for studying topics in cell biology such as gene expression, DNA replication, development, cell memory, and chromatin remodeling [1-3]. New information in these fields might be also helpful for understanding quantitative genetics and phenotypic evolution [4-10]. Gene structures of replication-dependent histones (RDHs) and replication-independent histones (RIHs) were studied in a broad range of the species [11-12]. However, detailed comparisons between these two types of histone genes with regard to genome organization, control region, and codon usage have not been published. The differences could be related to the function of a specific histone.

Histone 4 is a small (about 102 amino acids) and highly conserved protein [13]. H4 binds H3 to form the H3-H4 dimer, which is then assembled into the histone core of the nucleosome [13]. In Drosophila, there are some histone variants; for example, H3.3 is a H3 variant [14] but there is no such variant for H4. However, a replacement H4 (H4r) that replaces H4 has been reported [15]. These two types of histone 4, H4 and H4r, have identical amino acid sequences [15]. This is probably because the H4 is highly conservative and even a single amino acid substitution was not permitted during the evolution of the two types. This identity is why H4r is called a replacement histone not a histone variant.

In Drosophila, a single unit that contains five RDH genes (H1, H2A, H2B, H3, and H4) is repeated in tandem in a large gene cluster [16-23]. Therefore, each of the RDH genes exists in multiple copies (about 110 copies) [24-25]. Notably, the H4r gene structure differs substantially from the H4 gene structure; the H4r gene is a single copy gene with two introns and generates polyadenylated transcripts. The codon usage bias at particular sites differed between H4r and H4. The H4r gene has more GC pairs at 3rd codon position. Strongly conserved signal sequence was not found in the 5'-region or 3'-region of the H4r gene. These results suggested that the post transcriptional process such as modifying histone or after translation will be important for replacing histones and remodeling the chromatin. The evolutionary changes that affect gene structure and codon usage might be a key step to develop epigenetic systems by replacement histones.

Abstract

Histone 4 replacement (H4r) can replace replication-dependent H4 in Drosophila. To study the evolution of epigenetic mechanisms, the H4 and H4r genes from 14 Drosophila species were compared with regard to gene arrangement, codon bias and flanking sequences. Although the amino acid sequences of H4 and H4r are identical or nearly identical, the gene structures are quite different. The H4r gene is a single copy gene located 3R88C9 in D. melanogaster between punt and CEP78K, as it is in 11 closely related Drosophila species, but not in the three distantly related species. The H4r gene, unlike the H4 gene, has two introns and generates polyadenylated transcripts. The H4r gene is highly conserved and even a single amino acid substitution was not permitted during the evolution of the two types. This identity is why H4r is called a replacement histone not a histone variant.
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Materials and Methods

PCR and cloning

Drosophila strains from D. mauritiana, D. erecta, and D. orena were donated by Kyushu University. A DNA extraction kit (Sepa Gene Kit, Sanko Junyaku, Co., Ltd.) was used to extract genomic DNA from Drosophila larvae. PCR reactions were conducted with Takara Ex Taq as follows [27]: for D. mauritiana and D. orena, denaturation occurred at 94℃ for 1 min, annealing at 54℃ for 2 min, followed by polymerization at 70℃ for 2 min with extension for 5 sec for 40 cycles. For D. erecta, the conditions for PCR were the same as above except that the annealing temperature was 53℃. The primers used for PCR were 5’-TTTGTGCCAACGGG-3’ (H4rF) and 5’-TGTGCTCCCTAAGC-3’ (H4rR). The locations of primers for cloning of the H4r genes are shown in Figure 1. Plasmid vector PCR2.1 (Invitrogen) was used to clone each PCR product.

Determination of nucleotide sequence

Nucleotide sequence was determined with a Dye Terminator sequence kit (Applied Biosystems) and an ABI 310 sequencer [28]. The sequencing strategy for the histone gene region is depicted
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in Figure 1. The primers used for sequencing were 5'-GTTCT-CAAGCAGGT-3' (U-19), 5'-CAGGAAACAGCTATGAC-3' (Reverse), 5'-AAGCGTATCTCTGG-3' (H4rF3), and 5'-CCAGAGA-TAGCCTT-3' (H4rR3).

**Data analysis**

The nucleotide sequence of the replacement histone 4 gene (H4r) from each of three Drosophila species (D. mauritiana, D. erecta, and D. orena) has been deposited into the DNA Data Bank of Japan (DDBJ).

The accession numbers for the H4r genes from D. orena (D. orena) has been deposited into the DNA Data Bank of Japan (DDBJ).

Clustal W (ver 2.1) [29] available from DDBJ was used for multiple sequence alignment.

Table 1 lists all 14 Drosophila species compared here; the DNA sequences of histone genes from the 11 other Drosophila species were obtained from either FlyBase (FB2015-01) or DDBJ (Table 1). Clustal W (ver 2.1) [29] available from DDBJ was used for multiple sequence alignment.

**Results**

**Genome organization of H4r genes in fourteen Drosophila species**

Genomic arrangement of each H4r gene from the 14 Drosophila species is shown diagrammatically in Figure 2. For 11 of the 14 species, the H4r gene was located between a punt gene and a Cep78K gene. The punt gene encodes a transforming growth factor-beta receptor with protein kinase activity. The Cep78K gene encodes a centrosomal protein of 78 KDa. In these 11 species, the transcriptional orientation of the H4r gene is head-to-head relative to punt and tail-to-tail relative to Cep78K. In eight closely related species, the length of spacers between these three genes was highly similar. The spacer between punt and the H4r gene was only slightly longer in D. pseudoobscura and D. persimilis than in the other eight, but it was much longer in D. willistoni. For three more distantly related species (D. mojavensis, D. virilis, and D. grimshawi), punt could not be found upstream of H4r gene. In D. virilis and D. grimshawi, another gene containing a MADF (myb/SANT-like domain in Adf-1) domain was found upstream of the H4r gene. These findings suggested that a head-to-head pairing of the H4r and punt genes was not always necessary for proper expression of H4r; notably, head-to-head pairing is necessary for proper expression of the replication-dependent genes of H3 and H4 [30]. A Cep78 K gene was located downstream of H4r in all 14 species, and the intergenic spacing was similar length in all species. Because of the tail-to-tail arrangement, it was not clear whether a Cep78K gene positioned a short distance downstream of H4r is necessary for proper H4r expression.

**Comparative analysis of the coding regions for H4 and H4r genes in Drosophila**

The amino acid sequences deduced from the H4 and H4r nucleotide sequences were aligned for all 14 Drosophila species (supplementary data). Of 28 histone 4 genes, 26 showed identical predicted amino acid sequences (consensus sequence) except for two variant H4 genes, one each in D. sechelia and in D. willistoni (supplementary data). In these two H4 variants, only one (D. willistoni) or two (D. sechelia) amino acid sites differed from the consensus sequence. Notably, the amino acid sequences of H4r homologs were highly conserved; there were no amino acid substitutions at any site among the 14 Drosophila species.
species. This finding suggested that the function of H4r gene was very important and was expected to be the same or similar to that of H4.

Although the amino acid sequences deduced from these genes were identical for all H4r homologs, the nucleotide sequences encoding these amino acids differed among all the genes; no two nucleotide sequences were identical. This means that there were cases in which the nucleotide sequence at synonymous sites differed. Codon usage bias for these genes is shown in Figure 3. For each histone gene, the total codon usage, the number of codon used summed over Drosophila species, was calculated. Although the difference of codon usage for the gene among species is known, a comparison for the gene is possible using the data from the same species. A bias in codon usage was observed for most amino acids, as has been previously reported for other genes [31-32]. However, any difference between two histone types in codon usage was the issue investigated here (Table 2). Although no significant difference in codon usage was observed between the two histone types for six amino acids (His, Asn, Pro, Ser, Tyr and Phe), highly significant differences were found for six other amino acids (Thr, Gly, Arg, Lys, Asp, and Gln). Additionally, moderate differences were found for five other amino acids (Leu, Ala, Val, Glu and Ile). To determine the distribution of codon bias, the codon usage at each amino acid site was listed for two histone 4 genes (Figure 4). At several specific sites, codon usage differed notably between these two histone genes. For example, there was an extreme difference of codon bias at two Lys sites, Lys5 ($\chi^2_{d.f.}= 24.3, P<0.001$) and Lys20 ($\chi^2_{d.f.}= 17.4, P<0.001$); however, no such difference was observed at other Lys sites. Similar site-specific codon bias was also evident for Arg, Gly and Leu. Whether these specific sites that exhibited biased codon usage are subject to histone modification is a matter of interest. A possible relationship between codon usage bias and histone modification [7,33] is indicated in Figure 4. Several sites that exhibit codon biased (e.g., Lys 5 and Lys 20) seemed to have strong connections to histone modification. Thus the codon usage could be related to the functional difference between H4 and H4r genes.

The GC content at the 3rd codon position in the histone 4 genes (H4 and H4r) for the 14 Drosophila species is depicted in Figure 5. Although variability in GC content among the species was observed, the GC content of the H4r gene was higher than that of the H4 gene in each species studied. This finding indicated that G or C was used more frequently at synonymous sites in the H4r gene than in the H4 gene.

Conserved sequences in the 5'-region, the intron, and the 3'-region of H4r genes

Regions upstream of the H4r genes were compared among seven species in the melanogaster group (Figure 6). The spacer between the H4r and punt genes in each of these species was only 50 or 51bp long; in contrast, this spacer length between genes was not as strictly conserved relative to the more distantly related species (Figures 2 and 6). Notably, nine base pairs (AGGGCTGGT) upstream of the transcription start site for punt and seven base pair (ATACCTAG) in the middle of spacer were relatively conserved among the melanogaster subgroup species, but not the more distantly related species. Thus in the 5'-region of the H4r gene, there seemed to be no signal sequence that has been conserved strongly as is the case for H3-H4 gene pair [23].

Additionally, the second half of the first intron was relatively conserved among the seven melanogaster subgroup species (Figure 7), although it was not conserved in the distantly related species.

Regions downstream of the H4r genes were also compared among the seven melanogaster subgroup species (Figure 8). The spacer between H4r gene and CEP78K gene was short (60 ~ 96 bp) and relatively constant length even in the distantly related species (Figure 2). However, no conserved sequences were found in this spacer region.

---

**Figure 2:** Gene arrangement for punt (green), H4r (red), and CEP78K (blue) in Drosophila genomes. Orientation of transcription is indicated by arrows. Phylogenetic relationship of the 14 Drosophila species is indicated at right side of the figure.
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Figure 3: Codon usage of histone genes for H4 and H4r in Drosophila. Numbers within the parenthesis indicate the number of constituent amino acids in H4 and H4r.
Figure 5: GC content at 3rd codon positions of the H4 (blue) and H4r (red) genes in Drosophila.

Figure 4: Codon usage at each amino acid site of the respective histone 4 genes in Drosophila. The locations of histone modifications are indicated as follows: *(Acetylation mark), **(Methylation mark) and *** (Acetylation and methylation mark) after the position number.
Figure 6: Nucleotide sequence comparison of the 5' flanking region of H4r genes in Drosophila. Nucleotide sequences of the melanogaster group are aligned. Asterisk under the sequence indicates identical nucleotides at the respective sites in seven Drosophila species. The regions are shown by the same colors as indicated in Figure 1.

Figure 7: Nucleotide sequence comparison of the first intron in H4r genes in seven Drosophila species. Nucleotide sequences of the melanogaster group are aligned. Asterisk under the sequence indicates identical nucleotides at the respective sites in seven Drosophila species. The regions are shown by the same colors as indicated in Figure 1.
Figure 8: Nucleotide sequence comparison of the 3’ flanking region of H4r genes in seven Drosophila. Nucleotide sequences of the melanogaster group are aligned. Asterisk under the sequence indicates identical nucleotides at the respective sites in seven Drosophila species. The regions are shown by the same colors as indicated in Figure 1.

diverse gene structures and codon bias might be important for the histone replacement system and chromatin remodeling. To understand the evolution of histone replacement system, other histones variant, such as variants of H3 and H2A, should be also investigated.

Conclusions

In this study, the replacement histone 4 genes from Drosophila are analyzed to investigate the functional differentiation and conservation. The results suggested that the post-transcriptional and post-translational processes such as histone modifications are important for replacing histones and remodeling chromatin. Evolutionary mechanisms that affect gene structure and codon usage might be important in the emergence of epigenetic systems that depend on replacement histones.
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Additional Figure S1 is available.
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