Performance and Mechanism of Layered Double Hydroxide to Remove Graphene Oxide in Aqueous Solution
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ABSTRACT

Intending to remove toxic graphene oxide (GO) from wastewater, LDH (layered double hydroxide) was employed to recover GO by adsorption method. The adsorption performance and the mechanism of LDH for GO have been systematically studied by diverse characterization technologies and methods. The relevant effects of solution pH (2-9), absorbent dosage (5-25 mg) and the concentration of GO (20-160 mg/L) were investigated in detail. The main driving force of GO condensation on LDH may be electrostatic interaction and hydrogen bonding, SEM, TEM, AFM, FT-IR and XRD analysis further confirmed this. XPS test shows that the adsorption process is carried out through C–O and O–C=O. We have got a high removal rate of 92% and an adsorption capacity of 1472 mg/g under an optimized conditions (pH = 3.0, equilibrium time = 6.0 h, dosage = 10mg, C0 = 160 mg/L). The analyses implied that LDH will be a very promising candidate for recovery of GO from wastewater.

INTRODUCTION

Graphene oxide (GO) is synthesized by the oxidation of two-dimensional carbon allotrope graphene, known for its extraordinary specific surface area and abundant O-containing functional groups (such as -COOH and -OH). GO shows great potential in multi-disciplinary fields such as medical, energy, environmental pollution (Azadian et al. 2020, Baragaño et al. 2020, Wu et al. 2020). Particularly, these characteristics provide graphene oxide with many adsorption sites for various heavy metal ions and organic pollutants (Awad et al. 2020, Duru et al. 2016, Xing et al. 2020). For example, magnetic GO composite materials have been synthesized and used to remove Cd(II) and Pb(II) from aqueous solutions (Bao et al. 2020). A graphene oxide/bentonite-loaded nano-iron synthesized by liquid-phase redox method was used to treat lead-contaminated water (Yu et al. 2020). Continuously photocatalytic removal of chromium (VI) reduced graphene oxide microspheres (Liu et al. 2020) by using structured porous Ag/Ag3PO4.

Although GO and GO-based nanomaterials have been widely used as adsorbents for clean environmental pollution, GO will inevitably be released into the environment and ecosystems, including surface water and groundwater (Zhang et al. 2020). Once in natural and drinking water, GO may undergo physical and chemical changes due to exposure to sunlight and chlorination disinfection, respectively. Besides, the transformation of GO under light leads to the increase of toxicity of GO to biological cells (Gao et al. 2019). Because of these potential negative risks associated with GO, it is important to remove GO during drinking and wastewater treatment. Besides, in mammals, GO exposure may lead to lung or reproductive toxicity (Liang et al. 2015, Yang et al. 2013a), exposure to GO may have adverse effects on organisms, such as fish and shrimp (Batista de Melo et al. 2019, Païtal et al. 2019).

Generally, layered double hydroxide (LDH) adopts an anionic layered structure like hydrotalcite, which is assembled through a non-covalent bond interaction between the cationic host layer and the anion guest intermediate layer (Pang et al. 2019). LDH materials can be expressed according to the general formula $[\text{M}^{2+}_{p}\text{M}^{2+}_{p}\text{M}^{2+}_{q}(\text{OH})_{2}]^{p+}[\text{A}^{q-}]_{p/q}r\cdot y\text{H}_2\text{O}$, in which M2+ and M3+ represent bi-/trivalent metal cations (Mg2+, Ca2+, Ni2+, Al3+ and Fe3+), Aq- represents non-framework (in)organic q-valent interlayer anions (NO3-, ClO4-, and SO42-), p denotes the molar ratio of M2+/ (M2+ + M3+), and y denotes the molar amount of intercalated water. Due to its special structural advantages, such as larger interlayer spacing, wider chemical composition, and ion exchange capacity, LDH materials are widely used as catalysts or adsorbents in the field of water remediation (Song et al. 2018, Wang et al. 2016, Wang et al. 2018, Yang et al. 2017, Yu et al. 2017). Compared with conventional flocculants, LDHs can be produced from the natural
environment and can aggregate with nanomaterials, which can form target stable complexes in aqueous solutions and form new minerals (secondary minerals). However, as far as we know, there are few studies on the interaction between GO and LDH in aqueous solution. This knowledge is very important to improve the surface condensation/precipitation model and to better assess the environmental fate of toxic GO nanomaterials.

In this work, LDH was used as an adsorbent to remove GO from aqueous solutions. Environmental conditions such as pH, LDH content, and initial GO concentration were studied to evaluate the removal performance in detail. X-ray diffraction (XRD), Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR), scanning electron microscope (SEM), transmission electron microscope (TEM), atomic Force Microscope (AFM), and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) were used for characterization of the interactive mechanism. The results may contribute to new insights into the transportation and environmental fate of GO in the aquatic environment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Adsorption of GO

At room temperature, macro-batch experiments were carried out on the adsorption and co-adsorption of GO on the LDH surface in 100mL glass bottles. Simply put, add LDH suspension and GO stock solution to a glass bottle to obtain different components with specified concentrations. By adding a negligible 0.1 mol/L HCl and/or NaOH solution, the pH value of these interaction systems is adjusted in the range of 1.0-8.0. Similarly, a slight increase in the total volume of the interaction system has almost no obvious effect on the solid/liquid ratio. The interacting suspension is gently shaken for 6 hours to achieve adsorption equilibrium. The bottle is then left on a flat surface for 1.0 days to completely settle the large GO aggregate. Finally, LDH was separated from the solution by centrifugation at 18,000 RPM for 30 minutes.

The concentration of GO remaining in the supernatant was analysed with an ultraviolet-visible spectrophotometer (UV1800, chemical) at a wavelength of 210 nm. To calculate the GO removal R and distribution coefficient K_d, the equations (1), (2) and (3) were used.

\[ R = \frac{C_0 - C_e}{C_0} \times 100\% \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(1)}

\[ Q_e = \frac{(C_0 - C_e) \times V}{m} \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(2)}

\[ K_d = \frac{Q_e}{C_e} \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(3)}

Where \( C_0 \) (mg/L) and \( C_e \) (mg/L) are the initial and equilibrium concentrations of GO, \( Q_e \) is the amount of adsorption. Considering GO loss required for LDH adsorption (GO adsorption on glass bottle wall), calibration curves were obtained under the same conditions as the adsorption process, but without LDH. According to the calibration curve, the adsorption capacity of GO was calculated by subtracting the mass in solution from the added mass. To confirm the accuracy of data repeatability, experiments were carried out in duplicate, and each data were measured in triplicate. The relative error is less than 5% (Wang et al. 2016, Zou et al. 2016).

Materials

All the chemicals used in the experiment were purchased from China Petroleum & Chemical Corporation (Beijing, China). Mg/Al layered double hydroxide (LDH) was prepared by the co-precipitation method (Chang et al. 2017, Hu et al. 2017). The graphene oxide aqueous solution was purchased from Suzhou Tanfeng Graphene Technology Co., Ltd. (Jiangsu, China).

Characterization

The crystal structure of the absorber was examined with X-ray diffractometer (XRD, Empyrean) using Cu Kα radiation. The functional groups were identified by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR, NEXUS) with a scanning range of 400-4000 cm⁻¹. Scanning electron microscope (SEM, JSM-6360LV), atomic force microscopy (AFM, SPA 400), and high-resolution transmission electron microscope (HRTEM, JEM-2100F) were used to study morphology and elemental composition of the synthetic materials. Thermo ESCALAB 250 performs X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) spectroscopic analysis using a focused monochromatic Al Ka X-ray source (hm = 1486.6 eV).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Characterization

The surface morphology of the material can be observed from the scanning electron microscope (SEM) and transmission electron microscope (TEM) images. The results show that the LDH of hexagonal overlapping crystals is smooth and well-shaped (Fig. 1A and B). The GO agglomerates are multi-layered, with lateral dimensions ranging from a few nanometres to tens of nanometres (C and D). Besides, The LDH/GO-pH < 7 presents uneven morphology (Fig. 1E). Compared with LDH/GO-pH < 7, the LDH/GO-pH>7 shows the characteristic of smooth surface and relative homogeneous flaky structure (Fig. 1 G). Besides, TEM images revealed...
the sheet-like structure of LDH/GO more clearly (Fig. 1 F). On the other hand, compared with GO and LDH before adsorption, after adsorption, TEM of LDH/GO adhered to black substance, with irregular shape and uneven thickness, indicating that GO adsorbed on LDH surface.

To reveal the adsorption mechanism, XRD technology was used to characterize the composite material. From the X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern of GO (Fig. 2 a), a characteristic peak can be observed at 2θ=10.32, indicating GO’s (001) reflection. As shown in Fig. 2 compared with

![Fig. 1: SEM (A) and TEM (B) of LDH, SEM (C) and TEM (D) of GO, SEM (E) and TEM (F) of LDH-pH < 7, SEM (G) and TEM (H) of LDH/GO-pH > 7.](image-url)
the characteristic diffraction peaks of GO and LDH before adsorption, the characteristic diffraction peaks under acidic conditions are similar to GO, indicating that GO adsorption under acidic conditions occurs on the surface of LDH. However, the characteristic diffraction peak under alkaline conditions is almost unchanged from that of LDH, which shows that there is no-GO aggregation on the surface of LDH under alkaline conditions.

FT-IR spectroscopy is very helpful for characterizing surface functional groups. As shown in Fig. 2 b, the band at 3500 cm\(^{-1}\) indicates the O–H stretching mode, which involves hydrogen bonding or interlayer water molecules, the band at \(\sim 1006\) cm\(^{-1}\) is attributed to C-O group, the band at \(\sim 1643\) cm\(^{-1}\) is attributed to C=C group, the band at \(\sim 1710\) cm\(^{-1}\) is attributed to C=O group, indicating the presence of an amount of oxygen-containing functional groups on GO. The broadband at 3446 cm\(^{-1}\) is attributed to the hydrogen bonding or the stretching mode of the OH group with the interlayer water molecules involved. The C=C stretching vibration of GO shows the energy band in the energy range of \(\sim 1643\text{cm}^{-1}\) (Goh & Lim 2010, Rao et al. 2005), which also appeared in the spectra of LDH/GO-pH < 7 and LDH/GO-pH > 7, indicating that GO was successfully adsorbed on the surface of LDH.

**Effect of pH**

The adsorption of GO on non-specific and specific adsorbents depends on pH. pH significantly affects the surface chemistry and adsorption chemistry of LDH-containing mixtures (González et al. 2014). The removal rate, removal efficiency, and distribution coefficient of GO on LDH as a function of pH are shown in Fig. 3. The removal of GO on LDH was studied with a different pH value of 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 respectively shows that the removal capabilities are influenced by pH values. It can be seen from Fig. 3 that when pH < 5, the main interaction is controlled by chemical adsorption, electrostatic attraction and hydrogen bonding. Because of electrostatic attraction, the negatively charged graphene oxide is easy to agglomerate and adsorb on positively charged LDH. However, at a pH above 5.0, by increasing the pH value, the carboxyl group on the GO group is deprotonated and inhibits the bonding and accumulation of cations and graphene oxide.
thereby weakening the electron acceptor ability of these substances (Yang et al. 2013b). On the other hand, the GO carried when the pH value changes from 5 to 9 will cause the electrostatic repulsion between GO and LDH to increase, so the adsorption capacity decreases (Tang et al. 2020), and the main interaction is controlled by physical adsorption.

Therefore, it can be concluded that low pH facilitates the adsorption of GO by LDH and alkaline conditions will inhibit the adsorption of GO by the LDH, which is the adsorption of LDH to GO is pH-dependent, it is recommended to carry out the adsorption process at a pH close to strong acidity.

Effect of Adsorbent Dosage

Adsorption dosage is also one of the parameters which directly affects the removal of pollutants from aqueous solutions. The removal of GO on LDH was studied with different LDH content of 5, 10, 15, 20 and 25 mg, respectively shows the removal capabilities are influenced by LDH content. Fig. 4 shows the effect of LDH content on adsorption capacity, efficiency and distribution coefficient. In the solution with pH 3.0 and GO=100mg/L, the LDH content was changed to 5-25mg, the equilibrium time was 6h, and the corresponding tests were carried out. It can be seen from the figure that as the LDH content increases, the removal rate gradually decreases and tends to 0%. Similarly, the adsorption amount and distribution coefficient are also oriented to 0. This shows that, while maintaining GO concentration to a certain extent, adding LDH can greatly decrease the adsorption capacity of LDH. It shows that LDH has high coagulation ability at low concentration, which is crucial for the application of LDH in the coagulation treatment of GO in aqueous solution.

When the content of LDH increased from 5mg to 15mg, the adsorption ability decreased, although the removal rate of GO was maintained. This was because the adsorption sites in aqueous solution increased with the increase of the LDH, the concentration of adsorption sites with higher energy may lead to the reduction of active sites with lower energy, resulting in the reduction of adsorption (Zubair et al. 2017). Therefore, reducing the amount of LDH can not only improve the removal rate of GO in the aqueous solution but also save costs.

Effect of GO Initial Concentration

The removal of GO on LDH were studied with different GO initial concentrations of 20, 40, 60, 80, 100, 120, 140 and 160 mg/L, respectively. The result demonstrates the removal capabilities are influenced by GO initial concentrations. Fig. 5 shows the effect of GO concentration on adsorption capacity, efficiency, and distribution coefficient. In the solution with pH 3.0 and LDH = 10mg/L, the GO concentration was changed to 20-160mg/L, the equilibrium time was 6h, and the corresponding tests were carried out. There is little difference at GO initial concentration less than 60 mg/L, maintain at 60%. However, it can be seen from the Fig. 5 that as the GO concentration increases, the removal rate gradually increases and tends to 92%, the fastest rising stage is within the range of 60-100 mg/L, there is a similar trend in adsorption amount and distribution coefficient. This shows that, while maintaining LDH to a certain extent, adding GO can greatly increase the adsorption capacity of LDH, which may be due to the increased GO concentration increasing the electrostatic interaction with LDH.

Therefore, between the above description, LDH has great potential for the adsorption of GO in aqueous solution. Due to the limitation of the experimental conditions, the maximum adsorption amount of GO for further research is still needed.

Fig. 4: Removal of GO on LDH as a function of LDH contents.

Fig. 5: Removal of GO on LDH as a function of GO contents.
Adsorption Mechanism

To further explore the adsorption mechanism of LDH to GO, the LDH/GO solution of pH=3 was analysed by XPS and AFM, and the results are shown in Fig. 6 and Fig. 7. As shown in Fig. 6 (a), various strong peaks can be observed, such as Al 2p, Mg 1s, Ca 2p, S2p, O 1s, C 1s. From the C 1s high-resolution spectra before and after the adsorption of GO, the C1 spectral deconvolution can be divided into three components, which are about 284.7 eV, 285.8eV, and 289.3 eV, respectively. However, after the adsorption and condensation of GO, the strength and position of the C 1s component of LDH/GO changed obviously. Compared with that before the adsorption of GO, the relative area of C-O and O-C=O assigned to LDH/GO after GO adsorption increased significantly, and the peak position of O-C=O changed from 289.3eV to 287.8eV. The interaction between GO and LDH is carried out by C-O and O-C=O during the coagulation process. From the height of the AFM image and its corresponding morphology, the thickness of GO is 2.78 nm, and the thickness of LDH/GO is 3.89 nm, which indicates that GO is adsorbed on the surface of LDH, which is consistent with the TEM results. Based on the above analysis, it is shown that through the condensation of GO on the surface of LDH, LDH can effectively remove GO.

Fig. 6: XPS spectra of LDH/GO before and after GO removal (a), the high C 1s deconvolution of GO (b), before (c), and after (d) GO coagulation on LDH/GO.
CONCLUSION

With the rapid development and wide application of GO products, it is inevitable to release them into the natural environment. Therefore, the rapid coagulation of GO is particularly important and urgent due to its high activity and toxicity in the environment. Here, we found that LDH can effectively remove GO from the aqueous solution and came to some meaningful conclusions: The significant change in GO removal indicates that the coagulation behaviour is pH dependent. Its adsorption capacity depends largely on the pH of the solution, LDH content, and GO content, the maximum removal rate of GO by LDH can reach 92%, the adsorption capacity can reach 1472mg/g. On the other hand, XPS spectroscopy has been fully studied, the interaction between GO and LDH is carried out by C-O and O-C=O during the coagulation process.

In a word, LDH material has high condensation ability to GO in aqueous solution, which indicates that LDH material can be a promising material to effectively remove GO from aqueous solution by simple and rapid chemical condensation. Therefore, the results of this work may contribute to a better understanding of the condensation behaviour of GO and other carbon-based materials in natural and engineering aqueous solutions, which is essential for eliminating GO in aqueous solutions and reducing the environmental toxicity of GO in natural environments.
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