Ectopic Expression of the Wild Grape WRKY Transcription Factor VqWRKY52 in Arabidopsis thaliana Enhances Resistance to the Biotrophic Pathogen Powdery Mildew But Not to the Necrotrophic Pathogen Botrytis cinerea
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WRKY transcription factors are known to play important roles in plant responses to biotic stresses. We previously showed that the expression of the WRKY gene, VqWRKY52, from Chinese wild Vitis quinquangularis was strongly induced 24 h post inoculation with powdery mildew. In this study, we analyzed the expression levels of VqWRKY52 following treatment with the defense related hormones salicylic acid (SA) and methyl jasmonate, revealing that VqWRKY52 was strongly induced by SA but not JA. We characterized the VqWRKY52 gene, which encodes a WRKY III gene family member, and found that ectopic expression in Arabidopsis thaliana enhanced resistance to powdery mildew and Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000, but increased susceptibility to Botrytis cinerea, compared with wild type (WT) plants. The transgenic A. thaliana lines displayed strong cell death induced by the biotrophic powdery mildew pathogen, the hemibiotrophic P. syringae pathogen and the necrotrophic pathogen B. cinerea. In addition, the relative expression levels of various defense-related genes were compared between the transgenic A. thaliana lines and WT plants following the infection by different pathogens. Collectively, the results indicated that VqWRKY52 plays essential roles in the SA dependent signal transduction pathway and that it can enhance the hypersensitive response cell death triggered by microbial pathogens.
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INTRODUCTION

Grapevine (Vitis vinifera L.) is an important fruit crop that is cultivated world-wide, however, lots of grapevine varieties are highly susceptible to infection by a large variety of pathogens. For example, powdery mildew, Botrytis cinerea and downy mildew all affect the growth of grapevine and reduce its fruit quality (Mzid et al., 2007). Chemicals are often used in vineyards to prevent, or limit, disease
out breaks and to increase production, but this practice leads to an increase in production costs and increased risk of environmental pollution and pesticide residues, with consequent deleterious effects on human health (Zhu et al., 2012). There is therefore considerable interest in developing new cultivated grapevine varieties that are highly resistant to pathogens and that retain high quality fruit. To this end, classical crossbreeding is commonly used, but this is time consuming and the phenotypic traits of the filial generation are typically unstable, so this approach has limited potential. In contrast, the use of molecular breeding to obtain new cultivated grapevine varieties is, in many ways, easier than conventional methods. However, molecular breeding of disease-resistant grape varieties has, to date, been limited by the rudimentary understanding of the networks of defense related genes, and so identifying these in grape is an important objective.

Plants are both lack of mobile defender cells and the somatic adaptive immune system to fight against microbial pathogens that may impair plant growth and reproduction. Instead, they have developed their unique immunity mechanisms to protect themselves, which include two-branched innate immune system, namely the PAMP-triggered immunity (PTI) and effector-triggered immunity (ETI) (Dangl, 2001; Jones and Dangl, 2006). These plant immunities may share some common signaling components such as hypersensitive response (HR), reactive oxygen species (ROS), activating the expression of PATHOGENESIS-RELATED (PR) genes (Tena et al., 2011). Pathogen induced HR and ROS play important roles in plant defense. In grape, HR could limit the supply of nutrients required by the biotrophic fungus for further growth and development (Qiu et al., 2015). Meanwhile, ROS, which are generated in response to pathogen attacks, play an important role in regulating HR cell death. Besides, they are also involved in local and systemic resistance to different plant pathogens (Torres et al., 2002; Mur et al., 2008; Miller et al., 2009; Mersmann et al., 2010; Adachi et al., 2015). HR and the induction of PR proteins can be triggered by SA regulated defense mechanisms at the infection site or in distal parts of the plant, leading to the development of systemic acquired resistance (SAR) (Thomma et al., 1998; Wu et al., 2015).

Hypersensitive response and ROS are often associated with hormone regulated defense signaling pathways such as salicylic acid (SA), jasmonic acid (JA), and ethylene (ET) (Tena et al., 2011). SA signaling pathways play important roles in plant defense responses (Wu et al., 2015). In Arabidopsis, enhanced disease susceptibility 1 (EDS1) and phytoalexin deficient 4 (PAD4) are involved in SA signaling pathways. They play essential roles upstream of SA biosynthesis and HR (Rustérucci and Parker, 2001). Arabidopsis isochorismate synthase functional (ICS1) is involved in pathogen-induced accumulation of SA and plays essential roles in diverse stress responses (Strawn et al., 2007). In grape, EDS1 like and PAD4 of two grapevine species, Vitis vinifera cv. Cabernet Sauvignon and V. aestivalis cv. Norton, are associated with the SA pathway, also play important roles in grapevine defenses against powdery mildew (Gao et al., 2014).

In addition to phytohormones, diverse families of transcription factors are known to regulate plant defenses. As an example, the WRKY gene family, which is one of the largest families of transcription factors in plants (Ülker and Somssich, 2004), had been shown to modulate plant defense signaling pathways (Eulgem and Somssich, 2007; Phukan et al., 2016). Arabidopsis thaliana WRKY18, WRKY40, and WRKY60 are all involved in responses to Pseudomonas syringae and B. cinerea, with WRKY18 playing a more substantial part in the process (Xu et al., 2006). In addition, A. thaliana WRKY33 is required for defense against necrotrophic fungi, and the wrky33 mutant is highly susceptible to B. cinerea (Liu et al., 2015). However, loss of function of WRKY57 has been reported to enhance host resistance to this pathogen, since WRKY57 usually compromises B. cinerea resistance by competing with WRKY33 to regulate the expression levels of jasmonate ZIM-domain (JAZ) genes, JAZ1 and JAZ5, which in turn act as repressors of the JA signaling pathway (Yan and Yu, 2016).

Although little is known regarding disease resistance-related genes in grapevine, several WRKY genes have been identified that may have essential roles in defense responses. VvWRKY1 can increase the resistance to downy mildew through jasmonic acid signaling pathway (Marchive et al., 2013). Ectopic expression of VvWRKY2 in tobacco was reported to result in high resistance to B. cinerea (Mzid et al., 2007), while Chinese wild grapevine Vitis pseudoreticulata (Vp) WRKY3 was shown to be specifically induced by pathogen infection, SA and ET, and its over-expression in tobacco enhanced resistance to Ralstonia solanacearum (Zhu et al., 2012). In addition, the expression of VpWRKY1 and VpWRKY2 is strongly induced by Erysiphe necator infection, and VpWRKY1 or VpWRKY2 over-expressing transgenic A. thaliana lines had increased resistance to powdery mildew (Li et al., 2010). The V. pseudoreticulata EIRP1 E3 ligase has been shown to interact with VpWRKY11 and this interaction may affect disease resistance by mediating proteolysis of the protein (Yu et al., 2013).

WRKY proteins can be phosphorylated by mitogen activated protein kinases (MAPKs) at specific sites to regulate plant defense signals (Ishihama and Yoshioka, 2012). For example, when WRKY7, WRKY8, WRKY9, and WRKY11 are phosphorylated by a MAPK, they can regulate the expression of NADPH oxidase, which triggers a ROS burst and cell death in Nicotiana benthamiana (Adachi et al., 2015). Other protein modifications, such as acetylation, may also affect WRKY protein function. For example, two effectors, PopP2 and AvrRps4, which are delivered by plant pathogens to suppress host defense, have evolved to block the function of WRKY transcription factors, potentially, though acetylating lysine residues in the WRKY domain, thereby affecting binding activity (Leroux et al., 2015; Sarris et al., 2015). The WRKY transcription factors can be classified into groups I–III, based on their WRKY domains and zinc-finger motifs (Eulgem et al., 2000; Wang et al., 2014). Group III genes are thought to be the most evolutionarily advanced and exhibit a high degree of adaptability (Zhang and Wang, 2005). In grapevine, 59 VvWRKY genes have been identified and classified into the three main groups (I–III) (Guo et al., 2014).

A range of wild grape genotypes have been identified in China, some of which show far greater resistance than cultivated grapevine varieties to some microbial pathogens (Wang et al.,
1995). For example, Chinese wild *Vitis quinquangularis* clone Shang-24 was shown to be resistant to a number of fungal pathogens, particularly to *E. necator* (Wang et al., 1995; Wan et al., 2007). This wild grape species therefore has considerable potential as a resource for identifying disease resistance genes. In the current study, we characterized the expression of WRKY52 from Shang-24 that had been treated with SA or methyl-jasmonate (MeJA). We also over-expressed VqWRKY52 from Shang-24 that had been treated with SA or methyl-jasmonate (MeJA). We also over-expressed VqWRKY52 in *A. thaliana* and analyzed the responses of the transgenic lines to inoculation with *Golovinomyces cichoracearum*, *B. cinerea*, and *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 (PstDC3000). The results are presented and discussed in the context of a role for VqWRKY52 in an SA dependent signal transduction pathway and in HR cell death.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant Materials, Growth Condition, and Pathogen**

Chinese wild *V. quinquangularis* clone Shang-24 seedlings were grown in the grape germplasm resources orchard at the Northwest A&F University, Yangling, Shaanxi, China. Wild type (*A. thaliana*) (ecotype type, Columbia-0), the pad4 mutant and *N. benthamiana* were preserved in our lab. *A. thaliana* plants were grown under the following conditions: 21°C, 50% relative humidity and a long-day photoperiod (16 h-light/ 8 h-dark). *N. benthamiana* was grown in a growth chamber under the following conditions: 26°C, 50% relative humidity and a long-day photoperiod (16 h-light/ 8 h-dark). *G. cichoracearum* was cultured on *A. thaliana* pad4 mutant plants at 21°C and a photoperiod of 16 h light/8 h dark. *PstDC3000* was preserved at −80°C. *B. cinerea* was maintained at 22°C on Potato Glucose Agar as described by Wan et al. (2015).

**Grape Hormone Treatments**

Young leaves of 2-year-old grapes were sprayed with 100 µM SA or 50 µM MeJA (Repka et al., 2004; Wang and Li, 2006). Sterile distilled water was used as a mock control. Samples were collected at 1, 12, 24, and 48 h post treatment (hpt) and frozen at −80°C.

**Quantitative Real-Time PCR**

Quantitative real-time PCR analysis was performed as previously described (Tu et al., 2016). The E.Z.N.A.® Plant RNA Kit (Omega Bio-tek, USA, R8627-01) was used to extract grapevine total RNA and the RNA prep plant kit (Tiangen Biotech., China) was used to extract *A. thaliana* RNA. Prime Script TMR Tase (TaKaRa Biotechnology, Dalian, China) was used to synthesize first-strand cDNA. We used SYBR green (TaKaRa Biotechnology) and an IQ5 real-time PCR instrument (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) to conduct quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis. All of the above procedures were carried out according to the manufacturer’s instructions. VvActin1 or AtActin2 were used as references genes. Primers used for the qRT-PCR analyses are listed in Supplementary Table S1. Three biological replicates were analyzed for each experiment and three technical replicates for each biological replicate. Relative expression levels were analyzed with the IQ5 software using the Normalized Expression Method.

**Vector Construction**

Total RNA extractions from leaves of *V. quinquangularis* clone Shang-24 and first-strand cDNA synthesis were performed as described above. The open reading frame (ORF) of VqWRKY52 was amplified by PCR using the specific primers F1 (5′-GGATCCATTGGAGAAGATGGGAAGTTTGGAAC-3′) and R1 (5′-GGGTTAAGGTTCAAGGTGAATTTGAAC-3′). The PCR product was cloned into the pGEM-T easy vector (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), to give the construct pGEM-Teasy-VqWRKY52, which was then sequenced. To obtain the over-expression vector, the VqWRKY52 ORF from pGEM-Teasy-VqWRKY52 was inserted immediately downstream of the CaMV35S promoter in the plant over-expression vector, pCamiba 2300 (Cambia, Brisbane, QLD, Australia) using the BamHI and KpnI restriction endonucleases. Grapevine DNA was extracted from leaves of *V. quinquangularis* clone Shang-24 as previously described (Tu et al., 2016). A 2107 bp VqWRKY52 promoter fragment was amplified by PCR from genomic DNA using the gene specific primers F2 (5′-CCCAGTTCCGGAATTCGGAAGTACAAA GGAACGAGTAATTGAGG-3′) and R2 (5′-TCCCCGGGTTTTTAACA ACCCAGAAGAGAA-3′), and inserted into the binary vector pBI121 (Clontech, Palo Alto, CA, USA), to replace the CaMV 35S promoter, upstream from the β-glucuronidase (GUS) reporter gene, using the HindIII and Smal restriction endonucleases. The resulting vector was named proVqWRKY52:GUS. pBI121 was used as a positive control and renamed pro35S:GUS.

**Plant Transformation**

Each of the above constructs was introduced into Agrobacterium *tumefaciens* strain GV3101, and these resulting *A. tumefaciens* were used to transform *A. thaliana*, using the floral dip method (Clough and Bent, 1998). Transient expression in *N. benthamiana* was performed by infiltration as previously described (Guan et al., 2014). The infiltrated plants were maintained for an additional 3 days under the same conditions and the hormone treatments were performed as described above. For the *A. thaliana* transformation, the three T3 homozygous lines with the strongest resistance to powdery mildew (#28, #30, and #33) were selected and used for all subsequent experiments. To assess GUS activity in the transgenic proVqWRKY52:GUS plants, three independent transgenic T3 lines were analyzed.

**GUS Assays**

β-Glucuronidase activity assays were performed as previously described (Jefferson et al., 1987). A vacuum was applied to the samples for 30 min prior to incubation at 37°C for 24–48 h in the staining solution [1 mM 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-glucuronide (X-gluc; Biosynth AG), 100 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7.0), 0.5 mM K3Fe(CN)6, 0.5 mM K4Fe(CN)6, 0.1% Triton X-100 and 0.1 mM EDTA]. Chlorophyll was then cleared from the samples with 70% ethanol, and the samples were viewed under a light microscope (BX53, Olympus, Japan).
Inoculation of A. thaliana with Pathogen

Four-week-old T3 transgenic and WT plants were inoculated with G. cichoracearum, and the number of conidiophores per colony was counted at 7 days post inoculation (dpi), as previously described (Wang et al., 2011). Samples for the expression profile analysis of defense related genes and the accumulation of O$_2^-$ were collected at 0, 24, 48, and 72 h post inoculation (hpi), samples used for analysis of fungal structures and monitoring cell death were collected at 7 dpi.

Four-week-old plants were inoculated with PstDC3000 by dipping whole rosettes in PstDC3000 solutions (10^8 cfu/mL, in 10 mM MgSO$_4$ supplemented with 0.025% Silwet77) as previously described (Wen et al., 2015; Guo et al., 2016). The inoculated plants were maintained under 90% relative humidity for 24 h before being moved to normal growth conditions. Samples used for morphological observation were taken at 5 dpi. Samples used for the expression analysis of defense related genes were collected at 0, 6, 12, and 24 hpi. Leaves inoculated with PstDC3000 by infiltration (Varet et al., 2003) were used to monitor the bacterial growth at 3 dpi, and the detection of cell death was performed at 0, 24, 48, and 72 hpi, while accumulation of O$_2^-$ and H$_2$O$_2$ was measured at 0 and 72 hpi.

The B. cinerea conidial suspension (1.5 × 10^6 conidia/ml) used for inoculation was prepared as previously described (Wan et al., 2015). Detached leaves were used for morphological observation and the lesion diameter analysis, which were performed by droplet inoculating with 10 µL of the conidial suspension, as previously described (Guo et al., 2016). Samples used for morphological observation and lesion diameters analysis were photographed and measured at 3 dpi. Adult plants were inoculated by spraying, as previously described (Wan et al., 2015), and were then used for analyzing the expression of defense related genes at 0, 12, 24, and 48 hpi. Cell death was measured at 0, 24, 48, and 72 hpi and the accumulation of O$_2^-$ and H$_2$O$_2$ was measured at 0 and 48 hpi.

ROS Levels and Cell Death Assay

Cell death and the fungal structures were visualized by staining with trypan blue as previously described (Vogel and Somerville, 2000). Diaminobenzidine (DAB) staining was used to detect the accumulation of H$_2$O$_2$ (Fryer, 2002), and nitro blue tetrizolium (NBT) staining was used to detect the accumulation of O$_2^-$ (Kim et al., 2011), as previously described. All samples were imaged with a light microscope (Olympus, Japan). At least six leaves were used for each independent experiment and three biological replicates were analyzed.

Statistical Analysis

Data analysis and plotting were performed using Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Corporation, USA) and Sigma plot (v. 10.0, Systat, Inc., Point Richmond, CA, USA). Significant differences were assessed through paired t-test using the SPSS Statistics software (IBM China Company, Ltd, Beijing, China) as previously described (Tu et al., 2016). All experiments were performed using three biological replicates, with each biological replicate having three technical replicates.

RESULTS

VqWRKY52 Expression is Induced by SA Treatment

In previous studies, a transcriptome analysis of Chinese wild V. quinquangularis clone Shang-24 at different time points after inoculation with E. necator indicated that VqWRKY52 was highly induced by this treatment (Jiao et al., 2015). To identify which hormones could affect the expression of VqWRKY52, we measured its expression levels in V. quinquangularis clone Shang-24 at 1, 12, 24, and 48 h post treatment with SA or MeJA. We observed that the expression of VqWRKY52 was strongly induced by SA treatment, but not by MeJA, at 12 h post treatment, compared to the mock treatment, followed by a decrease at 24 h compared with the expression levels at 1 and 12 h (Figure 1A).

To validate this result, a 2107 bp VqWRKY52 promoter fragment was fused to the GUS reporter gene and transiently expressed in N. benthamiana, using a Pro$_{35S}$:GUS construct as a positive control. The transiently expressing leaves were treated with SA, MeJA or a negative ddH$_2$O control and then subjected to GUS staining. Leaves expressing the Pro$_{35S}$:GUS construct showed strong GUS activity, while little activity was detected in the leaves transiently expressing the Pro$_{VqWRKY52}$:GUS construct. However, when Pro$_{VqWRKY52}$:GUS expressing leaves were treated with SA, high GUS activity levels were observed, while only low levels of activity were detected in MeJA treated leaves (Figure 1B). This was consistent with the expression results presented in Figure 1A.

Cloning and Sequence Analysis of VqWRKY52

Gene specific primers were designed according to the VvWRKY52 (GSVIVT01028718001) cDNA sequence from the Grape Genome Database (12×2) and used to amplify the VqWRKY52 ORF. The VqWRKY52 coding sequence (CDS) is 1092 bp, encoding a 364 amino acid protein, and the nucleotide sequence had 99.27% identity to the V. vinifera homolog, with only eight single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) found between the CDS from the two grape genotypes (Supplementary Figure S1). The corresponding deduced amino acid sequences shared 99.18% identity (Supplementary Figure S2).

Accession Numbers

The accession numbers of the genes used in this paper are found in The Arabidopsis Information Resource1 and the grape genome Sequence2: AtActin2 (AT3G18780), AtEDS1 (AT3G48090), AtPR1 (AT2G14610), AtPR2 (AT3G57260), AtPR5 (AT1G75040), AtPDF1.2 (At5g44420), AtICS1 (At1g74710), VvActin1 (AY680701), VqWRKY52 (KY411919).

1http://www.arabidopsis.org/index.jsp
2http://www.genoscope.cns.fr
Wang et al.

**FIGURE 1** | Expression of *VqWRKY52* following salicylic acid (SA) and methyl jasmonate (MeJA) treatments. (A) Relative expression levels in *Vitis pseudoreticulata* by qRT-PCR. (B) Analysis of *VqWRKY52* promoter activity in *Nicotiana benthamiana*. Bars represent the mean ± SD from three independent experiments. Asterisks indicate statistical significance between treatment and mock (*P* < 0.01 < *P* < 0.05, Student’s *t*-test).

**FIGURE 2** | Expression of *VqWRKY52* in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. T3 homozygous *Pro*-*VqWRKY52*:GUS plants were stained with 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-glucuronic acid at different growth stages. (A) Mature embryos were cultivated on Murashige-Skoog (MS) basal medium for 24 h. Scale bar = 200 μm. (B) 5-day-old seedling. Scale bar = 500 μm. (C) 2-week-old plant. Scale bar = 2 mm. (D) 3-week-old plant. (E) Stalk. Scale bar = 2 mm. (F) Inflorescence. Scale bar = 1 mm. (G) Flower. Scale bar = 200 μm. (H) Silique. Scale bar = 1 mm.

**VqWRKY52 Expression Patterns**

To further understand the temporal and spatial expression profile of *VqWRKY52*, transgenic *A. thaliana* lines constitutively expressing the *Pro*-*VqWRKY52*:GUS construct were generated. Plants from the T3 generation were stained for GUS activity and while no activity was detected at the germination stage (Figure 2A), during early seedling growth, low GUS activity was observed in the cotyledon tips and roots (Figure 2B). Two-week-old plants grown on Murashige-Skoog (MS) basal medium showed strong GUS activity in all organs, but especially in leaves.
(Figure 2C). Aging leaves of 3-week-old plants in soil showed more GUS activity than the young leaves (Figure 2D), and strong GUS activity was observed in flowers and siliques, but not in the seeds and anthers (Figures 2E–H).

**Over-Expressing VqWRKY52 in A. thaliana Enhances Resistance to Powdery Mildew**

To further investigate the putative function of VqWRKY52 in defense process, three T3 generation transgenic A. thaliana lines expressing VqWRKY52 (Supplementary Figure S3), together with WT, and the A. thaliana pad4 mutant, which is susceptible to powdery mildew, were inoculated with G. cichoracearum. Over-expressing lines showed enhanced resistance to G. cichoracearum at 7 dpi (Figure 3A) and showed a large number of dead cells, while minimal cell death was observed in the WT plants and no obvious cell death occurred in the pad4 mutant (Figure 3B). The G. cichoracearum colonies growing on the pad4 mutant were the largest, followed by those on the WT plant, while the three over-expressing lines had the smallest colonies. This correlated with the extent of the cell death triggered by G. cichoracearum that was observed at the infection site, which is consistent with cell death restricting fungal growth (Figure 3B). We also counted the number of conidiophores per colony from the five different genotypes (Figure 3C) and determined that the three transgenic lines had a significantly fewer than the WT plants.

Since the accumulation of the superoxide anion (O$_2^-$) is associated with cell death (Yi et al., 2011), we measured O$_2^-$ levels in the different genotypes at 0, 24, 48, and 72 hpi. A significant difference was observed between WT, pad4, and the three transgenic lines at 48, 72 hpi, with high levels in the latter. Interesingly, almost all of the leaves from the tested lines accumulated O$_2^-$ at 24 hpi, while the mottled leaves with spots of O$_2^-$ accumulation were appeared at 48 and 72 hpi (Figure 3D).

**The Expression of Defense Related Genes Post Inoculation with G. cichoracearum**

Since VqWRKY52 expression was induced by SA (Figures 1A,B), we hypothesized that it operates via SA mediated signaling pathways and the expression levels of marker genes involved in SA signaling pathways in Arabidopsis will be affected in three over-expressing lines. To test this, we measured the expression of four marker genes. The expression of AtICS1, which is involved in SA biosynthesis and affects SA accumulation (Strawn et al., 2007), was higher in the over-expressing lines than in the WT at all three time point post-inoculation, showing an initial increase at 24 hpi, peaking at 48 hpi and declining again at 72 hpi. AtEDS1 is involved in the SA related signaling pathway and plays essential roles upstream of SA biosynthesis (Rustérucci and Parker, 2001). The expression of this gene was similar to AtICS1 at 24 and 48 hpi; however, its expression levels were lower in the over-expressing lines than in the WT at 72 hpi. In addition, the expression of AtPR1 and AtPR5 increased at 24, 48, and 72 hpi compared to 0 hpi, with expression being higher in the three over-expression lines than in the WT (Figure 4).

**Over-Expressing VqWRKY52 in A. thaliana Enhances Resistance to Pseudomonas**

To examine the association between VqWRKY52 and responses to infection by PstDC3000, three T3 generation transgenic lines over-expressing VqWRKY52 and WT plants were inoculated with PstDC3000 and examined at 5 dpi. The three transgenic lines showed increased resistance to PstDC3000, based on less severe disease symptoms and fewer diseased leaves than the WT plants (Figure 5A). We also measured the growth of PstDC3000 by counting the bacterial numbers per unit leaf area at 3 dpi. As shown in Figure 5B, we observed less growth in the three over-expressing lines than in WT plants, which suggested VqWRKY52 mediated suppression of bacterial growth. The transgenic lines showed enhanced resistance to PstDC3000 and strongly induced cell death. Specifically, no cell death was detected in the three transgenic lines and WT plants at 0 hpi, and less cell death was observed in the transgenic lines than in the WT plants at 24 hpi. Strong cell death was apparent at 48 hpi and was enhanced in the overexpression lines at 72 hpi. At this time point less cell death was detected in the WT plants to an extent that was similar to that observed in the transgenic lines at 24 hpi (Figure 5C). Strong cell death may be associated with a burst of ROS production and so we examined the accumulation of O$_2^-$ and H$_2$O$_2$ at 72 hpi, where more cell death was detected in the transgenic lines than in the WT plants. We found a larger accumulation of O$_2^-$ and H$_2$O$_2$ in the transgenic lines than in the WT plants (Figure 5D).

**The Expression Levels of Defense Related Genes Post Inoculation with PstDC3000**

Since cell death induced by PstDC3000 first appeared at 24 hpi in the three over-expressing lines and WT plants, we analyzed the expression levels of defense related genes at earlier times points, specifically 0, 6, 12, and 24 hpi. The expression of AtPDF1.2, AtPR1, AtPR5, and AtEDS1 was induced in WT plants, but inhibited in the transgenic lines to varying degrees, which is the opposite of their expression pattern following the powdery mildew infection. This was also true for the AtPDF1.2 gene, which is associated with the MejA signaling (Xu et al., 2006) (Figure 6).

**Over-Expressing VqWRKY52 in A. thaliana Enhances Susceptibility to B. cinerea**

Cell death induced by pathogens is important in the resistance to biotrophic pathogens, and we also wanted to determine whether this was also true for necrotrophic pathogens, such as B. cinerea. We observed the detached leaves of three overexpression lines and WT plants that had been inoculated with B. cinerea at 3 dpi and measured the lesion diameters of infected leaves, which were larger in the overexpression lines (Figures 7A,B). Subsequently, three plants from each genotype were incubated with B. cinerea by spraying, and cell death was detected at 0, 24, 48, and 72 hpi, with ROS staining at 0 and 48 hpi. Compared with the WT plants, cell death was more extensive in the transgenic lines at 24, 48, and
FIGURE 3 | Phenotype of VqWRKY52 over-expressing lines inoculated with powdery mildew (G. cichoracearum). (A) Over-expressing lines (#28, #30, #33), pad4 mutant plants and wild type (WT) plants were infected with powdery mildew. Plants were photographed 7 days post-inoculation (dpi). (B) Fungal structures and plant cell death were stained with trypan blue at 7 dpi. The cell death induced by G. cichoracearum colonies is was highlighted by the arrow. Scale bar = 100 µm. (C) The accumulation of O$_2^•$ in different plants at 0, 24, 48, and 72 h post-inoculation (hpi). O$_2^•$ was visualized with nitro blue tetrazolium (NBT). Scale bar = 2 cm. (D) Quantitative analysis of conidiophore formation on different plants at 7 dpi. Bars represent the mean ± SD from three independent experiments. Asterisks indicate statistical significance between the over-expressing lines, the pad4 mutant plants and WT plants (*0.01 < P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, Student’s t-test).

FIGURE 4 | Quantitative analysis of the expression of defense-related genes in VqWRKY52 over-expressing lines and WT plants following G. cichoracearum infection. Relative expression levels of AtICS1, AtEDS1, AtPR1, and AtPR5 were analyzed using qRT-PCR. Bars represent the mean ± SD from three independent experiments. Asterisks indicate statistical significance between the over-expressing lines and WT plants (*0.01 < P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, Student’s t-test).
72 hpi, but was not observed at 0 hpi (Figure 7C). Minimal \( \text{O}_2^- \) and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) accumulation was observed at 0 hpi in the \( VqWRKY52 \) over-expressing lines and WT plants, while higher levels were detected in the three over-expressing lines than in WT plants at 48 hpi (Figure 7D).

### DISCUSSION

The WRKY transcription factor family is one of the largest in plants and members play important roles in signaling networks that regulate many plant processes, including defense signaling (Rushton et al., 2010). In grape, 59 grape WRKY genes (\( \text{VvWRKY} \)) have been identified and classified into three main groups (I–III) (Guo et al., 2014). Recent studies have shown that the WRKY domain of the NOD-like receptor \( \text{RRS1-R} \), which is blocked by the pathogen effectors \( \text{PopP2 and AvrRps4} \), belong to Group III (Leroux et al., 2015; Sarris et al., 2015), indicating the importance of the Group III genes in plant defense. The \( VqWRKY52 \) gene belongs to Group III (Guo et al., 2014). An earlier analysis revealed that \( VqWRKY52 \) is strongly induced post inoculation with \( \text{E. necator} \) (Guo et al., 2014). Here, we observed a strong increase in expression by SA treatment, suggesting a role for \( VqWRKY52 \) in disease resistance. So we tested the responses to powdery mildew, \( \text{PstDC3000} \) and \( \text{B. cinerea} \) in \( A. thaliana \) \( VqWRKY52 \) over-expressing lines and WT plant.

It is known that SA signaling plays important roles in plant defense. Previous studies of \( \text{WRKY70} \), a member of the group III in \( A. thaliana \), indicated that its expression was strongly
FIGURE 6 | Quantitative analysis of defense-related genes in VqWRKY52 over-expressing lines and WT plants following PstDC3000 inoculation. Relative expression levels of AtEDS1, AtPR1, AtPR5, and AtPDF1.2 were analyzed using qRT-PCR. Bars represent the mean ± SD of three independent experiments. Asterisks indicate statistical significance between transgenic lines and WT (*0.01 < P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, Student’s t-test).

FIGURE 7 | The responses of VqWRKY52 over-expressing lines and WT to Botrytis cinerea inoculation. (A) Infected leaves from transgenic lines and WT plants were photographed 3 dpi. (B) The average diameters of lesions of infected leaves at 3 dpi. (C) The plant cell death induced by B. cinerea at 0, 24, 48, and 72 hpi were stained with trypan blue. Scale bar = 2 cm. (D) H$_2$O$_2$ and O$_2^-$ accumulation in transgenic lines and WT at 0 and 48 hpi. H$_2$O$_2$ were stained with DAB and O$_2^-$ with NBT in WT and over-expressing plants. The experiment was repeated three times, at least six leaves were used in each independent experiment. Black bar = 2 cm; White bar = 200 µm. Data represent mean values ± SD from three independent experiments. Asterisks indicate statistical significance between transgenic lines and WT (*0.01 < P < 0.05, Student’s t-test).
induced by SA (Li et al., 2004). Furthermore, WRKY70 over-expressing lines showed an increased resistance to the biotrophic fungi Erysiphe cichoracearum (Eci), but decreased resistance to a necrotroph fungi (Li et al., 2006). In this current study, the expression of VqWRKY52 in V. quinquangular was induced by SA treatment but not by MeJA (Figure 1A), suggesting that it may be involved in the SA related defense signaling. The expression analysis of VqWRKY52 promoter in N. benthamiana together with the enhanced expression of AtEDS1 and AtICS1 post inoculation of G. cichoracearum were consistent with it being involved in the SA signaling pathway but not in JA mediated responses (Figure 1B), further indicating that it plays a role in resistance to biotrophs.

When WT plants, the pad4 mutant and the VqWRKY52 over-expressing lines were incubated with G. cichoracearum, the latter showed greater resistance than the others (Figure 3). Interestingly, G. cichoracearum inoculation induced strong cell death and, accordingly, fungal growth was inhibited to a greater degree, in the three over-expressing lines (Figures 3A, B). Pathogen-induced HR cell death is thought to be an important plant defense response (Wang et al., 2011), and many genes involved in cell death and plant defense have been identified. These genes can be grouped into two classes: genes involved in spontaneous cell death and genes involved in enhanced pathogen-induced cell death. The A. thaliana enhanced disease resistance 1 (EDR1) is a suppressor of plant defenses, and associated with pathogen-induced cell death, and the edr1 mutant shows enhanced disease resistance and powdery mildew-induced cell death (Serrano et al., 2014). This suggests an important role for VqWRKY52 in resistance of powdery mildew associated with pathogen-induced cell death.

PAD4 is also involved in defense responses, such as oxidative stress-related events and the HR (Serrano et al., 2014). In our studies, the pad4 mutant showed decreased resistance to powdery mildew, and had the largest number of fungi growth of the tested lines, while no obvious cell death was detected (Figure 3B). This indicated that the cell death induced by the pathogen was an active defense, rather than affecting by fungal growth. We generated pad4 mutant plants over-expressing VqWRKY52, but the pathogen-induced cell death was blocked compared with the overexpression lines in WT plants (Supplementary Figure S4), suggesting that the function of VqWRKY52 in pathogen-induced cell death relies on the AtPAD4 gene in A. thaliana.

There are two VqWRKY52 homologs in A. thaliana, AtWRKY41 and AtWRKY53. AtWRKY41 has been shown to be involved in seed development and defense response (Higashi et al., 2008; Zhong et al., 2014), while AtWRKY53 mainly plays a role in leaf development, senescence and defense response (Murray et al., 2007; Ay et al., 2009; Ying and Zentgraf, 2010; Xie et al., 2011). Over-expressing WRKY41 transgenic lines had increased resistance to PstDC3000 and enhanced susceptibility.
to *Erwinia carotovora*, but reduced expression of *AtPDF1.2*, which was induced by MeJA (Higashi et al., 2008). *AtWRKY53* was found to promote basal resistance and *wrky53* mutants had increased susceptibility to *PstDC3000* inoculation (Murray et al., 2007). When we analyzed the response of the *VqWRKY52* over-expressing lines to *PstDC3000*, similar results were obtained. The *VqWRKY52* overexpression lines showed an increased resistance to *PstDC3000* (Figure 5), and suppressed *AtPDF1.2* expression (Figure 6). Strong cell death induced by *PstDC3000* was also detected (Figure 5C). In contrast to *AtEDS1*, *AtPR1* and *AtPR5* expression following powdery mildew inoculation (Figure 4), expression of these genes was inhibited following *PstDC3000* inoculation compared with WT plants at an early stage (Figure 6), consistent with previous studies (Huang et al., 2016). This indicated that the cell death induced by *PstDC3000* and the expression of *AtPR1* and *AtPR5* in the overexpression lines are uncoupled. *PopP2* and *AvrRps4* are believed to block the functions of WRKY transcription factors, potentially, through acetylating lysine residues in the WRKY domain (Leroux et al., 2015; Sarris et al., 2015). Therefore, the inhibition of *AtPR1* and *AtPR5* post *PstDC3000* inoculation in the three overexpression lines may be affected by *PopP2* or *AvrRps4*. Alternatively, *VqWRKY52* proteins without DNA binding activity may partly interfere with regulating the expression of *AtPR1* and *AtPR5*.

We also tested the response of the *VqWRKY52* over-expressing lines to *B. cinerea*, a necrotrophic pathogen. It is known that necrotrophic pathogens have virulence strategies to promote host cell death and acquire nutrients from dead cells (Kan, 2006; Mengiste, 2012). Here, a stronger cell death was also induced by *B. cinerea* in the overexpression lines than in WT plants, and it is possible that they had an increased susceptibility, since the strong cell death (Figure 7C) promoted the growth of *B. cinerea*. In addition, the expression of *AtPR2* and *AtPR5* was strongly inhibited in the WT plants post inoculation compared to the transgenic lines. This suggested that overexpression of *VqWRKY52* can reduce the inhibition of *AtPR2* and *AtPR5* expression. The expression levels of *AtPR1* showed significant difference with post inoculation of *G. cichoracearum* and *PstDC3000* among WT and three overexpression lines (Figure 6). However, no significant difference was found on post *B. cinerea* inoculation (Figure 8). This indicated that over-expressed *VqWRKY52* can’t affect the expression of *AtPR1*. The expression levels of *AtPDF1.2* was enhanced at 24 hpi while suppressed at 48 hpi (Figure 8). Since *AtPDF1.2* was induced by MeJA (Higashi et al., 2008), this suggested that MeJA signaling was enhanced in an early stage. However, strong cell death facilitated the infection progress, which finally resulted in the fact that even enhanced MeJA signaling did not increase the resistance. Our results also indicated that over-expressing *VqWRKY52* increased the expression of SA signaling pathway related genes, which promote *B. cinerea* infection.

The ROS burst occurs hours after pathogen attacks, and is essential in regulating HR cell death (Mur et al., 2008). *WRKY7, 8, 9, and 11* can regulate the ROS burst and cell death in *N. benthamiana* through controlling the expression of NADPH oxidase (Adachi et al., 2015). In our studies, the accumulation of O$_2^-$ and H$_2$O$_2$ was detected following the inoculation with three different pathogens (Figures 3D, 5D, and 7D) in the transgenic lines and WT plants, and accumulation levels correlated positively with HR related cell death. This suggested that the ROS burst may control pathogen-induced cell death in *VqWRKY52* overexpression lines.

Interestingly, leaves of 3-week-old plants in soil showed low promoter activity. This was consistent with our result (Figure 1). However, 2-week-old plants grown on Murashige-Skoog (MS) basal medium showed strong promoter activity. Although the age of the plants may impact the promoter activity, we suppose that the high humidity also plays important roles. High humidity often occurred together with pathogen infection and can promote pathogen growth and compromise plant disease responses (Zhou et al., 2004; Cai et al., 2015). This may suggest that *VqWRKY52* plays essential roles in plant defense. In conclusion, our results suggest that *VqWRKY52* may be involved in SA dependent signaling and pathogen-induced cell death. Future studies are needed to investigate the regulatory mechanisms of *VqWRKY52* mediated HR related cell death.
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