Origin of meteoritic stardust unveiled by a revised proton-capture rate of $^{17}$O
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Stardust grains recovered from meteorites provide high-precision snapshots of the isotopic composition of the stellar environment in which they formed.Attributing their origin to specific types of stars, however, often proves difficult. Intermediate-mass stars of 4–8 solar masses are expected to have contributed a large fraction of meteoritic stardust. Yet, no grains have been found with the characteristic isotopic compositions expected for such stars. This is a long-standing puzzle, which points to serious gaps in our understanding of the lifecycle of stars and dust in our Galaxy. Here we show that the increased proton-capture rate of $^{17}$O reported by a recent underground experiment leads to $^{17}$O/$^{18}$O isotopic ratios that match those observed in a population of stardust grains for proton-burning temperatures of 60–80 MK. These temperatures are achieved at the base of the convective envelope during the late evolution of intermediate-mass stars of 4–8 solar masses, which reveals them as the most likely site of origin of the grains. This result provides direct evidence that these stars contributed to the dust inventory from which the Solar System formed.

Stardust grains found in meteorites (and also interplanetary dust particles and samples returned from the comet Wild 2) represent the very small fraction of presolar dust that survived destruction in the protosolar nebula. They originally condensed in the atmospheres of evolved stars and in nova and supernova ejecta and were preserved inside meteorites. Their isotopic compositions are measured with high precision (a few percent uncertainty) via mass spectrometry and provide us with deep insights into stellar physics and the origin of elements and of dust in the Galaxy. Identified stardust includes both carbon-rich (diamond, graphite, silicon carbide) and oxygen-rich (for example, silicates and Al-rich oxides) grains, with carbon-rich grains condensing from gas where carbon atoms outnumber oxygen ($C/O$), and oxygen-rich grains from gas with $C/O$. Here we focus on oxide and silicate grains, which are classified into different groups mostly based on their oxygen isotopic compositions. Group I grains make up the majority (~75%) of oxide and silicate grains and show excesses in $^{17}$O with a maximum $^{17}$O/$^{16}$O ratio of ~0.003. Their origin is generally well understood and attributed to the O-rich phases of the subsequent asymptotic giant branch (AGB), where large amounts of dust condense in the cool, expanding stellar envelopes. Group II grains represent roughly 10% of all presolar oxide grains, although this is a lower limit since measured compositions may suffer from isotopic dilution during ion probe analysis. Like Group I grains, they display excesses in $^{17}$O ($^{17}$O/$^{16}$O up to 0.0015), but are also highly depleted in $^{18}$O, having $^{16}$O/$^{18}$O ratios that are up to two orders of magnitude less than the corresponding value for the Sun. The initial ratio of the radioactive $^{26}$Al (half life, $t_{1/2} = 0.7$ Myr) to $^{27}$Al is inferred from $^{26}$Mg excesses, and in Group II grains it reaches 0.1, almost an order of magnitude higher than the average ratio for Group I grains. While this composition is the indisputable signature of H burning activating proton captures on the oxygen isotopes and $^{26}$Mg (the $^{26}$Mg(p, $\gamma$)$^{27}$Al reaction), hypotheses on the site of formation for Group II grains are still tentative.

Hydrogen burning affects the surface composition of massive (>4 $M_\odot$) AGB stars when the base of the convective envelope becomes hot enough for proton-capture nucleosynthesis to occur.
('hot bottom burning', HBB; Fig. 1). These are the brightest AGB stars, and the fact that they mostly show C/O < 1 is attributed to the operation of the carbon–nitrogen (CN) cycle, which depletes carbon\textsuperscript{11}. In contrast, their less bright counterparts mostly show C/O > 1 as a result of the dredge-up of He-burning material rich in carbon. Characteristic temperatures of HBB exceed ~60 MK and, thanks to the fast convective turnover time (~1 yr), the composition of the whole envelope is quickly transmuted into the H-burning equilibrium abundances produced at the base of the envelope. Massive AGB stars are observed to generate significant amounts of dust, and based on current models of Galactic dust evolution, are expected to have contributed almost half of the O-rich dust of AGB dust, and based on current models of Galactic dust evolution, are excluded for the parent stars of the grains. However, their \( ^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O} \) ratios are roughly two times lower than predicted\textsuperscript{4,5} using the available reaction rates\textsuperscript{12}.

Currently, the preferred suggestion for the origin of Group II grains is that they formed in AGB stars of low mass (<1.5\( M_{\odot} \)) that did not dredge-up enough carbon to become C-rich but experienced extra mixing below the bottom of the convective envelope ('cool bottom processing'; CBP\textsuperscript{13,14}; Fig. 1). In this scenario, material from the bottom of the convective envelope penetrates the thin radiative region located between the base of the convective envelope and the top of the H-burning shell, where the temperature and density increase steeply with mass depth and proton captures can occur (Fig. 1). While mechanisms have been proposed to explain the physical process driving this extra mixing\textsuperscript{13}, the current model of CBP is parametric: both the rate of the extra mixing and the depth reached are treated as free parameters, with the depth adjusted to reach temperatures in the range of 40–55 MK.

Whichever scenario we consider, the equilibrium \( ^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O} \) ratio produced by H burning is determined by the competition between the processes that generate and destroy \( ^{17}\text{O} \). Specifically, it depends on the ratio between the rate of the \( ^{16}\text{O}(p, \gamma)^{17}\text{F} \) reaction, which produces \( ^{17}\text{O} \) following the beta decay of \( ^{17}\text{F} \) (\( t_{1/2} = 6.4 \) s), and the rate of the \( ^{17}\text{O}(p, \alpha)^{14}\text{N} \) reaction, which destroys \( ^{17}\text{O} \). (Note that the \( ^{17}\text{O}(p, \gamma)^{18}\text{F} \) reaction rate is comparatively negligible at all temperatures considered here.) The \( ^{16}\text{O}(p, \gamma)^{17}\text{F} \) reaction rate is known to within 7\%\textsuperscript{5,12} and the \( ^{17}\text{O}(p, \alpha)^{14}\text{N} \) rate has recently been determined\textsuperscript{12} from a direct measurement of the strength of the 64.5 keV resonance that dominates the reaction rate at temperatures between 10 and 100 MK\textsuperscript{12} (the entire range of interest here). The experiment took place at the Laboratory for Underground Nuclear Astrophysics (LUNA) at Gran Sasso, Italy, where improved experimental procedures and a background for \( \alpha \)-particle detection 15 times lower than in surface laboratories allowed for the most sensitive measurement to date\textsuperscript{6}. The new rate is 2.0–2.5 times higher than previous evaluations\textsuperscript{2,16}. At temperatures typical of CBP (40–55 MK), the new rate reproduces only the lowest \( ^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O} \) values observed in Group II grains (Fig. 2). However, at 60–80 MK, the typical temperatures for HBB, the new rate reproduces most of the observed \( ^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O} \) range, revealing the expected signature of HBB in stardust grains. HBB temperatures higher than ~80 MK are excluded for the parent stars of the grains.

Although the initial stellar mass and metallicity ranges at which HBB occurs as well as the AGB lifetime are model dependent\textsuperscript{12}, our result is robust because any massive AGB model experiencing HBB with temperatures between 60 and 80 MK will necessarily produce \( ^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O} \) ratios in agreement with those observed in most Group II grains. Figure 3 shows the surface evolution of the oxygen isotopic ratios for three AGB models (of initial mass 4.5, 5.0 and 6.0\( M_{\odot} \) and solar metallicity) that experience HBB (see ‘Methods’), compared with observed isotopic ratios for Group II stardust grains. The models evolve through the first and second dredge-ups at the end of core H and He burning, respectively, which increase the \( ^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O} \) ratio by roughly a factor of five. During the subsequent AGB phase, HBB quickly (for example, for a 6.0\( M_{\odot} \) star, after about one-fifth of its total thermally pulsing AGB lifetime) shifts the oxygen isotopic composition to the equilibrium values corresponding to the burning temperature. Using the LUNA rate, the \( ^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O} \) ratio produced

\[ \alpha = \frac{17}{16} F \]
HBB material mixed with only 1% of solar material increases the
and 22 indicate that the star evolved, respectively, through 34 and 22 thermal instabilities of the He shell out of the 53 computed in the models). Consistent with an origin in massive AGB stars. The 25Mg/24Mg ratios are enhanced in massive AGB stars by the third dredge-up of oxygen (for example, from terrestrial or non-presolar material) during isotopic measurements, which can result in 18O/16O up to ∼10⁻²; however, laboratory contamination cannot easily explain grains with higher 18O/16O ratio values. For these, a dilution with 50% of Solar System material to decrease the 17O/16O and 25Mg/24Mg ratios by a factor of two.

Dilution can be caused by percent-level traces of contaminant oxygen (for example, from terrestrial or non-presolar material) during isotopic measurements, which can result in 18O/16O up to ∼10⁻²; however, laboratory contamination cannot easily explain grains with higher 18O/16O ratio values. For these, a dilution of the HBB signature composition with Solar System material at the level of up to a few tens of percent is required. Even higher dilution would result in a fraction of Group I grains also originating from massive AGB stars. Possible processes may involve dilution with previously ejected gas within the dust formation region; dilution with material in the interstellar medium; and/or a significantly lower value of the 17O(p, α)14N reaction rate. A study of this reaction has recently been completed at LUNA and data analysis is in progress.

The other isotopic pairs measured in Group II grains are also consistent with an origin in massive AGB stars. The 25Mg/24Mg ratios are enhanced in massive AGB stars by the third dredge-up of material from the He inter-shell, where the 22Ne(α, n)25Mg reaction is activated, and such a signature is seen in some presolar spinel (MgAl₂O₄) grains (Fig. 4a). Specifically, the value observed in a spinel grain named 14-12-7 (ref. 19) (twice the 25Mg/24Mg ratio of the Sun) is close to that obtained in the final composition of the 5.0 M⊙ model. However, grain OC2 (ref. 1) and the majority of the other grains show a spread in the 25Mg/24Mg ratio from 1.0 to 1.5 times the solar value, that is, lower than predicted by the dilution computed using the final AGB composition. This may reflect partial equilibration of Mg isotopes in the grains themselves. Alternatively, the lower 25Mg/24Mg ratios may be explained by truncating the AGB evolution to one-half or one-third of the total computed evolution (as illustrated in Figs. 3 and 4). This could result from a higher mass-loss rate and/or the effect of binary interactions. Another solution allowed within current model uncertainties is a third dredge-up that is less efficient than that calculated in our models. Finally, the high 26Al/27Al ratios typical of Group II grains (up to ∼0.1) are also consistent with HBB (Fig. 4b), although an accurate analysis is currently hampered by the uncertainties in the 25Mg and 26Al proton-capture rates 12,20.

Our evidence that some meteoritic stardust grains exist whose O, Mg and Al isotopic composition is best accounted for by H-burning conditions characteristic of massive AGB stars proves that these stars were contributors of dust to the early Solar System. It further provides us with a new tool to deepen our understanding of uncertain physical processes in massive AGB stars, for which observational constraints are still scarce.

Methods
Stellar models. Stellar structure models with metallicities (Z) from half to double the solar Z (0.014; ref. 22) were selected from the large set presented by Karakas17 (computed with the Monash-Stromlo code 24). No mass loss was assumed on the red giant branch and the Vassiliadis and Wood25 mass-loss formulation was used on the AGB. The C-rich and N-rich low-temperature opacity tables were taken from Marigo & Arminger30. Convection was approximated using mixing length theory with a mixing-length parameter of 1.86 for all calculations. No convective overshoot was applied, although the algorithm

![Figure 3](https://example.com/figure3.png) Evolution of the oxygen isotopic ratios at the surface of AGB models of different masses. The evolutionary (solid) lines in the left and right panels were calculated using the old (Iliadis12) and new (LUNA6) 17O(p, α)14N reaction rates, respectively. Uncertainties in either rate translates into changes in the 18O/16O ratio by at most 20%, that is, within the differences between the different stellar models. Isotopic ratios observed in Group II grains (filled square symbols) with error bars of 1σ, typically within the size of the symbol) cannot be reproduced by the old rate, regardless of the amount of dilution of AGB material with solar material (dotted lines), but are well reproduced with the new rate. The dilution is applied to the AGB composition at the end of the evolution for the three masses and, as examples, also at one-half and one-third of the AGB lifetime for the 6.0 M⊙ star (labels TP34 and 22 indicate that the star evolved, respectively, through 34 and 22 thermal instabilities of the He shell out of the 53 computed in the models). Dashed vertical and horizontal lines indicate solar ratios for reference.
HBB is not activated, efficient third dredge-up of $^{16}$O would be accompanied by efficient third dredge-up of $^{12}$C, producing a C-rich envelope where the oxide and silicate grains considered here do not form.

Because of both the large dilution and the effect of HBB, most of the models lead to O-rich surfaces—the condition for formation of the oxide and silicate grains of interest here—during their whole evolution, except for the 4.5 $M_\odot$ model with $Z=0.014$ and the 5.0 $M_\odot$ model with $Z=0.007$. These exceptions become C-rich after the second last and last TP, respectively, which results in 20–30% of the ejected material being C-rich. For all the models, a relatively large fraction of the envelope material (20–30%) is still present when our calculations stopped converging. The abundances we calculated for the last model are either lower limits or a good approximation to the final enrichment, depending on possible further occurrence of third dredge-up episodes beyond the point where our models stop converging.

We fed the computed stellar structure into the Monash post-processing code to calculate the detailed nucleosynthesis by simultaneously solving for the abundance changes brought about by nuclear reactions and by convection using a donor cell advective scheme with two-stream (up and down) mixing. The simultaneous treatment of mixing and burning is required to model HBB in detail because the nuclear reactions may have timescales similar to or shorter than the mixing timescales, depending on where they occur in the envelope. In these cases it is not possible to make the assumption of instantaneous mixing at an average burning rate. Essentially our method couples mixing and burning together in the post-processing to obtain the nucleosynthesis, while the energy feedback of HBB is taken from the structure calculations performed using instantaneous mixing.

The nucleosynthesis of elements up to Pb and Bi from the complete set of models from Karakas with He canonical abundance can be found in Karakas & Lugaro\textsuperscript{29}, together with a full discussion of the results. Briefly, in models that experience HBB, $^{16}$O is the main feature controlling the composition of the stellar surface, and specifically the oxygen and aluminum ratios that are measured in oxide and silicate stardust grains. In massive AGB stars of roughly solar metallicity, the Mg composition is affected mainly by the activation of $^{16}$O($p$, $\alpha$)$^{20}$Ne and $^{22}$Ne($p$, $\alpha$)$^{18}$O reactions in the He-rich inter-shell ($T_{\text{max}} - 0.003$ $M_\odot$) is well above the activation temperature of these reactions, $~300$ MK, for all the models) and the subsequent third dredge-up of this material to the stellar surface. In comparison to this previous study\textsuperscript{30,31}, we updated the $^{22}$Ne+$p$ and $^{25}$Mg+$p$ reaction rates of Iliadis et al.\textsuperscript{32} to those of Longland et al.\textsuperscript{33} and Straniero et al.\textsuperscript{34}, respectively. Also, in the present study, we limited our calculations to a small network of 77 nuclear species, from neutrons to sulfur, plus the elements around the Fe peak, as described in Karakas\textsuperscript{35}. This choice allowed us to run each model in a few hours and test different values of the $^{16}$O($p$, $\alpha$)$^{20}$Ne reaction rate: the recommended, the upper limit, and the lower limit from both Iliadis et al.\textsuperscript{32} and LUNA\textsuperscript{36}. For the $^{16}$O($p$, $\gamma$)$^{17}$F rate, we used the value recommended by Iliadis et al.\textsuperscript{31}, which has an uncertainty of 7%\textsuperscript{35}. For the initial abundances, we used Asplund et al.\textsuperscript{37} for the solar metallicity models, scaled down or up by factor of two for the $Z=0.007$ and $Z=0.03$ models, respectively. Although we calculated detailed predictions for all the models listed in Supplementary Table 1, for sake of clarity, we have restricted the content of our figures and discussion to the 4.5, 5.0 and 6.0 $M_\odot$ models with $Z=0.03$. Models with different metallicities in the same mass range have similar $T_{\text{max}}$ and provide similar results, except for the 5.0 $M_\odot$ model with $Z=0.03$, which does not exhibit HBB but remains O-rich due to the low efficiency of the third dredge-up combined with the high initial O abundance. On the other hand, in the 8.0 $M_\odot$ models, $T_{\text{max}}$ is too high to provide a match with the grain data (see Fig. 2). Stellar population synthesis models are needed to assess whether a number of Group I grains may also have originated from massive, super-solar-metallicity AGB stars that are O-rich and do not exhibit HBB.

**Data availability.** The data that support the plots within this paper and other findings of this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.
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