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Abstract:
Network security is defined as a set of policies and actions taken by a network administrator in order to prevent unauthorized access, penetrated the defenses and infiltrated the network from unnecessary intervention. The network security also involves granting access to data using a pre-defined policy. A network firewall, on the other hand, is a network appliance that controls incoming and outgoing traffic by examining the traffic flowing through the network. This security measure establishes a secure wall [firewall] between a trusted internal network and the outside world were a security threat in shape of a hacker or a virus might have existed.
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Introduction:
The main security issue challenges that confront the service provider’s community are resource depletion and excessive traffic by malware machines that may generate issues for service providers. Attacking [BGP] routing and injecting malicious BGP paths to redirect traffic is a technique attacker use to get the traffic [1]. Distributed Denial of service [DDoS] attack is targeted to disable authorized users to access different Internet services [2]. Domain Name System [DNS] sometimes information used in order to redirect Internet traffic to benefit those with criminal intentions [3].

These threats are linked with the following factors that are limited to service provider networks. Service providers must be able to fast deploy security measures against a huge number of users that may be attacked, and deploy these measures on a large number of nodes, usually the network entry nodes. In the enterprise universe, the number of devices the service providers have to take care of are typically smaller than those in the service provider space. Although some of the players in the enterprises have huge networks, this is still an exception in this case.
Size is one of the most important differences existed between the service provider and the enterprise security component. The number of the possible targets of and entry points for an attack is also much higher in the service provider space than that in the enterprise world, where usually a number of smaller clearly identified users frequently enjoy a maximum level of protection. Consequently, service providers must be able to secure multiple goals from multiple concurrent attacks [4].

Securing infrastructure of the carrying transit paths may not essentially secure the endpoints carries the set of encounters. Many of the standard edge-security actions that are valid in the enterprise world are not valid in the service provider security paradigm. The main variance is that firewall and [IDS/IPS] devices cannot be applied on those paths in service provider networks. Service providers cannot manage to provide granular access control. One of the main functionalities of the firewall is to transit traffic. However, firewalls cannot afford high level monitoring to transit traffic in order to detect indications of exploitation attempts in that way that IDSs/IPSs usually do. At the end, the whole set of security precautions available for securing endpoints, like host IPSs and antivirus software packages, is not of much interest in the service provider world of operation [5].
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The incentive to this research is to investigate the service provider network’s performance showed by the average response time, average database response time and the average database Query response time. When we replace the firewall functionality with a router, what will happen to the network performance? how the performance will be affected and the magnitude of that effect.

**The contribution of this research can be summed up in the following points.**
1. Service provider network design topology.
2. Boosting the network performance while maintaining security.
3. The performance target was aimed at the service provider data center hence the DB performance effect was optimized.

**Existing related work**
Santoso improved the security performance and accuracy of the cloud-based network using a system called [NIDS] which stands for network intrusion detection system [6] Jun Ren et al attempted to increase the security of the data at the datacenter using a deferent privacy scheme for data duplication [7].

Theodoros et al, suggested an architecture of multilayer security in which the author suggested to divide the network infrastructure into zones to increase security control [8].

Hong Liang et al. Used an intrusion detection system collaboratively in the network to be secured. High performance cloud infrastructure are used to deploy the IDS collaboratively and efficiently [9].

Yongxin et al. evaluated major operational firewalls existed, because the operation of the organization depends on how well firewall in that organization will perform. Many performance criteria were compared in order for the service provider to know the best choice for the business needs [10].

Sheth et al. analyzed reported issues with the existing firewalls. Detailed comparison and analysis have been achieved in terms of security, cost, and implementation of an open source packet filter firewall. [11].

Tantipongsakul et al. proposed transferring the rules of the firewall using routing protocols. This will choose the optimum route to transit packets to. This will also reduce cost and evade issues encountered usually when using network routers [12].

M. Abdulkadhim measured the impact of a Routing Protocols Convergence Activity on the Network. [13] M. A. Neamah evaluated the Service Performance for WiMAX Networks Based on Node Trajectory.[14].

M. Abdulkadhim et al discussed a Future System: that uses Manet in Smartphones and created a new efficient routing protocol that makes the job easy for routing in manets. [15].

M. A. Neamah discussed the Design and Implementation of an application framework in Wireless Sensor . [16].

M. Abdulkadhim proposed An End To End IoE-Based Solution for Healthcareststem. [17].

M. Abdulkadhim et al discussed a improving the reliability of Routing in order to obtain QoS for Mobile Ad-Hoc Networks. [18].

**The simulated network model**
In order to examine the performance of the network with and without a network firewall, two scenarios were created, as shown below:

A. **scenario#1 Service provider network with a backbone router**
   In this scenario as shown in Figure-1, a network router is simulated to connect the service provider server to the internet and to technical departments as shown:
B. scenario #2 service provider network with a firewall router
As shown in Figure 2 above, the main backbone router was replaced by a firewall in order to examine the performance load that the firewall adds as compared to a network device like the router.

Performance Analysis
Http and database traffic were simulated through the network, passing as requests from clients to the company server; Passing through the router in scenario 1 and the firewall in scenario 2.

a. HTTP response time
Firstly, compare the response time for an HTTP page to load. The results are shown in Fig.3:
From Figure 3 above, an observation may be noticed that the response time without a firewall in the network is relatively higher due to the fact that its existence will add security but also delay packet processing.

b. Database response time

The response time for database also is faster - as shown in Figure 4, in the existence of a router than that of the firewall due to the same reason.

In Figure 5, the traffic sent from the server to the clients was measured. We can see that the traffic sent without the existence of a security device [in this case the firewall] is higher than that with the existence of the firewall in the network.

This is due to the fact that it adds processing time to the traffic before being sent and creates a bottleneck like an effect on the network traffic for packets screening.
Conclusions
The achievement security in service provider networks is vital and advantageous to the service provider as a feature to compete in the industry and satisfy the potential clients. However, the downside for securing the network is sacrificing some performance. This paper has investigated by simulating both scenarios to show how the performance might be affected.

The future work of this project is to implement both scenarios in ASIC or FPGA [19-24].
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