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Abstract

OBJECTIVE: The primary objective of this work was to confirm the occurrence of rare BCR ABL fusion variant involving the a3 region of the ABL gene in a patient positive for t(9;22) translocation but negative for common major and minor breakpoint cluster regions and the challenges and threats that it poses in a routine laboratory setting which use commercial kits for monitoring the minimal residual disease.

METHODS: A patient with elevated white blood cell count was subjected to classical cytogenetics, FISH as well as RT-PCR testing using commercial kits as well as published primers and in house testing protocol. PCR amplicon generated from in the process was sequenced and analyzed.

RESULTS: The translocation event in chromosome 9 and 22 could be successfully detected. BCR/ABL dual color, dual fusion probe generated a classical balanced translocation scenario within the nucleus of affected cells and presented a ‘1O1G2F’ signal pattern. RT-PCR with probes from commercial kit designed to detect common breakpoints within the M- and m-BCR regions involving e13a2, e14a2 and e1a2 fusion variants respectively failed to generate any signal. Further investigation revealed presence of the rare e14a3 (b3a3) fusion.

DISCUSSION: This is the first report of rare e14a3 fusion in the BCR ABL gene in a CML patient from India. The observation indicates the need for interrogating rare BCR ABL fusions when common breakpoint cluster regions are absent such that minimal residual disease (MRD), critical for disease monitoring, can be performed and false positive remission cases can be avoided. It also emphasizes the utility and significance of cytogenetics and FISH techniques in primary diagnosis of CML and use of RT-PCR based assays only for generating secondary information within special reference to MRD.

CONCLUSION: The rare e14a3 (b3a3) fusion of the BCR ABL gene is present in Indian population as demonstrated from this first report and clinical laboratories using commercial kit that do not cover such rare fusions are likely to generate false result thereby declaring complete molecular remission in CML patients under therapy while conducting MRD assay using RT-PCR technology.
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1. Introduction

More than 90% of all Chronic Myeloid Leukemia (CML) [1] and around 10–25% of acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) cases [2] are associated with a translocation between chromosome 9 and 22. This results in birth of a new hybrid BCR ABL gene on the translocation-derived Philadelphia chromosome. The breaks on chromosome 22q11.2 generally take place in the major (M-bcr) and minor (m-bcr) breakpoint cluster region or (rarely) in the neighboring sites. On the other hand, the breaks in chromosome 9q34 involve the ABL gene, the name being derived from the Abelson murine leukemia virus where this category of gene was first reported. This fusion of part of the BCR and the ABL genes brings forth the 5′ end of the former into juxtaposition with tyrosine kinase domain of the later [3] retaining the phosphorylation activity of the ABL gene. Depending on whether the breakpoint is major (M-BCR) or minor (m-BCR), the transcription of this newly formed fusion gene results in mRNA coding for either 210 Kd or 190 Kd protein respectively. This fusion protein has immense pathological significance and is unequivocally associated with conditions of CML.

Detection of t(9;22) translocation is important in cases of CML and karyotyping technique is one of the robust assays prescribed even today for newly diagnosed leukemia patients. The method has advantage of very high specificity and can detect additional chromosomal aberrations also since the entire set of chromosomes comes under the analysis purview. However, it is worthwhile to state that this method requires viable marrow cells or greater than 10% blasts to culture the cells and detect metaphase conditions. Hindrances include occasional fibrosis that interferes in the process of aspiration of marrow which in turn lead to lesser number of metaphase cells [4].

Fluorescent in situ hybridization offers a wider choice of detection methods including the option of identifying BCR ABL translocation in either metaphase or interphase cells. Since interphase cells are also suitable, this technique can be applied to blood leukocytes and other non-dividing cells. It can also detect complex BCR ABL rearrangements such as three-way translocation or breaks outside the minor and major cluster regions [4].

With time, reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) has evolved as a sensitive method for detecting BCR ABL translocation. One of the prominent features of this technique is its ability to target messenger RNA population rather than genomic DNA. The later is far more homogenous in nature and allows PCR primers to anneal at shorter distances from each other compared to corresponding location within the genomic DNA [5].

CML is one of the first and perhaps the best success stories for allogenic transplantation and it is in this context that the necessity of molecular monitoring came into prominence in recent times. Commonly known as determination of the minimal residual disease or MRD, this is done by the method of RT-PCR which is found to be useful in predicting future relapses [6–8]. The advent of tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) further enhanced the significance and importance of RT-PCR-based detection of MRD in CML patients since it assists in providing the much-needed quantitative estimate of efficacy of a drug in the patient.

The rapid success of RT-PCR based detection of MRD is primarily based on accurate understanding of the breakpoint regions that are involved in birth of the chimerical BCR-ABL gene apart from the revolutionary discovery of reverse transcription and PCR technology [9]. This in turn led to determination of the exact hybridization location of the synthetic probes, crucial for the assay.

Designing of RT-PCR primers rely heavily on the precise location of the breakpoints associated with t(9;22) translocation and formation of the BCR-ABL gene. In CML, over 95% of the breakpoints involving the M-bcr region comprise of those downstream of either exon 13 (e13; earlier known as b2) or 14 (e14; earlier known as b3) and that of the ABL gene upstream of exon 2 (a2). In other words the e1- e13 or e1- e14 region of the BCR gene fuses with a2 – a11 region of the ABL gene to give rise to the chimerical gene coding for a 210 Kd protein with pathological tyrosine kinase activity [10]. Two less common translocations are also known between BCR exon 2 (m-bcr) and exons 19/20 (μ-bcr) which code for a 190 Kd (e1a2) and 230 Kd (e19a2) proteins respectively [11, 12].

Apart from these, some rare breakpoints are also reported which may be broadly categorized into 4 groups. BCR breakpoints located within introns that exist outside M-bcr, m-bcr & μ-bcr and fused to ABL a2; BCR breakpoints that occur within its exons and fused to ABL a2: M-bcr, m-bcr & μ-bcr breakpoints fused to ABL gene downstream of a2 and transcripts comprising of intervening sequences between BCR and ABL a2 genes [13].
The high degree of sensitivity by way of detection of around 0.01% or fewer BCR-ABL fusion transcript now place quantitative RT-PCR test in the list of standard protocols for estimating MRD of patients undergoing Tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) treatment as laid down in the 2009 National Comprehensive Cancer Network clinical practice guidelines for CML (Minimal Residual Disease MRD-A Participant Summary: College of American Pathologists, 2008, p 17). Therefore it is necessary to maintain a strict vigil on the efficacy of commonly used RT-PCR tests with special reference to the hybridization locations of the PCR oligonucleotide primers such that false negativity is avoided even if a certain version of breakpoint is less common in the population.

In this study we investigated a patient with t(9;22) translocation and encountered a rare variant of BCR-ABL gene fusion which require a different protocol to be followed for estimating MRD. To our knowledge, this is the first report of a specific rare BCR-ABL fusion variant from India.

2. Materials and methods

Before initiation of the study written consent was taken from the concerned patient. The study was duly approved by bio-safety and ethics committee of our institute (SNGL/2013/24W). Blood and bone marrow samples were collected in K2-EDTA and sodium heparin vacutainer tubes (Becton Dickinson, san Diego, Calif) for molecular and cytogenetic studies respectively.

2.1. Cytogenetics study and fluorescent in situ hybridization analysis

Bone marrow cells from the patient were cultured in vitro according to standard laboratory protocol and 30 G-banded metaphases and two karyotypes were prepared and analyzed respectively. FISH study was performed using the LSI BCR/ABL dual color, dual fusion probe set (Abbott Dickinson, san Diego, Calif) for molecular and cytogenetic studies respectively.

2.2. Reverse Transcription PCR for detecting BCR-ABL breakpoint

Total RNA was isolated from patient sample (peripheral blood and bone marrow) using QIAamp RNA Mini blood kit (Qiagen Inc., Valencia, CA) and quantified by spectrophotometric analysis at 260 and 280 nm.

2.3. Breakpoint b2a2, b3a2 & e1a2

Routine BCR-ABL transcript detection was performed using the BCR-ABL Mbcr/ABL FusionQuant kit (Ipsogen, New Haven, CT) as per manufacturer’s protocol. This kit allows amplification of BCR-ABL and ABL transcripts in two independent reactions. The M-BCR and m-BCR regions are targeted and the breakpoints covered are e1a2 (b2a2) and e1a2 (b3a2) in the M-BCR while e1a2 in the m-BCR region.

2.4. Breakpoint e14a3

For detecting the rare transcript with e14a3 breakpoint, cDNA synthesis was performed using 1 µg of extracted RNA isolated from the bone marrow specimen using the PrimeScript 1st strand cDNA synthesis kit (TaKara-bio, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Thermal amplification of the region harboring the e14a3 breakpoint was performed as described by Dongen et al., 1999. Briefly, the PCR reactions contained 1X PCR buffer, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 200 mM (each) deoxynucleotide triphosphates, 10 pmoles of forward and reverse primers and 1.0 unit of Taq DNA polymerase (Life Technologies, USA) in a 25 µl reaction using a Veriti 96 well thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems; USA). The thermal cycling condition was as follows: 95°C for 2 min followed by 95°C for 30 sec, 65°C for 30 sec and 72°C for 40 sec for a total of 35 cycles and a 5 min final extension at 72°C. PCR products were resolved on a 2% agarose gel (Promega Corporation, Madison, USA), stained with ethidium bromide (0.5 µg/ml) and image captured using a Bio Rad gel documentation system (BIORAD, USA).

PCR amplicon was purified using QIAGEN PCR purification kit (QIAGEN, Germany), and the purified product was subjected to double stranded fluorescent nucleotide sequencing using the BigDye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit and an ABI PRISM® 3500
2.5. Case report

A 30 year old patient with persistently elevated white blood cell count (WBC) was referred to our clinical laboratory for cytogenetics investigation related to condition of CML. His peripheral blood differential was left-shifted but presented no peripheral blasts. The peripheral blood smear too did not present evidence of acute leukemia or dysplasia although the white blood cell count remained elevated. At this point blood was taken for detection of Philadelphia chromosome (Ph) by cytogenetics and also for BCR-ABL rearrangement by RT-PCR. At this stage we found the sample to be positive for Ph chromosome but consistently negative for the most common rearrangements associated with M-BCR and m-BCR respectively. We thereafter proceeded to undertake FISH analysis and also investigate for other BCR ABL rearrangements using different primer pairs.

3. Results and discussion

Following proper study of the medical history of the patient a repeat bone marrow aspiration and biopsy with complete morphological, cytological and molecular testing was performed. Bone marrow biopsy test indicated a markedly hyper-cellular marrow with myeloid predominance. Cytogenetic analysis presented a karyotype of 46, XY, t(9;22)(q34;q11.2) [25/25] (Fig. 1). FISH analysis confirmed BCR-ABL translocation with >90% of cells showing balanced translocation between chromosome 9 and 22 and with 1O1G2F pattern (Fig. 2). White blood cell count of peripheral blood sample was also found to be approximately 45,000/mm³ and demonstrating 90% BCR-ABL FISH positivity.

Fig. 1. Cytogenetic analysis showing chromosomal translocation [t(9;22)] in chromosome 9 and 22 (black boxes).
Fig. 2. FISH analysis using LSI BCR/ABL dual color, dual fusion probe set (Abbott Molecular Inc., Des Plaines, IL). A nucleus showing reciprocal translocation [t(9;22)] with ‘1O1G2F’ pattern. 1G: 1 green signal; 1O: 1 orange signal; 1F: 1 fusion signal showing both orange and green color.

RT PCR was performed to target three most common BCR ABL breakpoints. These were b2a2 (e13a2) and b3a2 (e14a2) representing the major breakpoint cluster region (M-BCR) and e1a2 from the minor breakpoint cluster region (m-BCR). Repeat RT-PCR tests for all these three loci were found to be negative (Fig. 3).

In view of the persistent and confirmed presence of miniature Ph chromosome detected in cytogenetic test and the 1O1G2F pattern in FISH coupled with absence of common BCR-ABL breakpoints, we proceeded to test for the presence of rare variants of BCR-ABL gene fusion.

Thermal amplification of the e14a3-harboring BCR-ABL hybrid cDNA with the forward primer annealing to BCR (NM_021574; nucleotide position 3194–3215) and the reverse primer annealing to ABL (NM_005157′ nucleotide position 314–294) region (Fig. 4A & B) as described by Dongen et al. (1999) generated a 243 bp PCR amplicon (Fig. 4C). Since interrogation of the breakpoint cannot be detected by classical methods of identifying DNA mutation such as PCR-RFLP, we sequenced the amplicon (Fig. 4D) using both the forward and the reverse primers. Results indicated that the break occurred in nucleotide position 3378 of the BCR and 257 of the ABL gene (Fig. 4E) making this case a rare e14a3 category of BCR ABL translocation.

The p210 and p190 protein-coding transcripts from b2a2, b3a2 and e1a2 rearrangements respectively dominate the clinical testing services in developing countries. The other less common variants that generate transcripts from b3a3 or b2a3 (p203 protein) and e19a2 (p230 protein) [14] are rare and often not covered in routine screening and analysis. Fusion transcripts involving a3 region of ABL gene such as one reported in this study (e14a3 or b3a3) needs a special mention. The BCR ABL a3 transcript does not have part of the ABL SH3 domain which is present in all other transcripts involving a2 part of the ABL gene. This SH3 domain induces leukemogenesis by down-regulating the kinase domain (SH1) and activating the STATS signaling pathway [15, 16]. Hence it is theoretically expected that the clinical expression of a3 variants of CML would be different than those associated with a2 ones. Interestingly, this ABL a3 breakpoint does not affect the sequence associated with ATP/ imatinib mesylate-binding domain of the kinase although alteration in the tertiary structure and subsequent effect on drug response cannot be ruled out. At least 3 reported cases involving this category of breakpoint within the BCR ABL gene were found to respond well to imatinib mesylate and indicated classical disease progression [17].

According to a report, around 9 cases (10 including the one reported in this study) of BCR ABL translocations involving a3 region of the ABL gene has been reported in the world [18] and >90% of the patients...
Fig. 3. Reverse Transcription – Real Time PCR qualitative analysis of the clinical sample using two primer sets targeting the common BCR-ABL breakpoints. A: PCR probes that detect e13a2 (b2a2) and e14a2 (b3a2) rearrangements within the Major Breakpoint Cluster Region (M-BCR). The corresponding real time PCR result shows target signal below the threshold line while positive control (ABL gene target) generating a ‘S’ curve with Ct value between 20–30. B: PCR probe designed to detect e1a2 breakpoint within the minor Breakpoint Cluster Region. The corresponding real time PCR result is similar to that shown for ‘A’ with the target signal running below threshold line while the positive control (ABL gene target) showing a Ct value between 20–25. In both ‘A’ and ‘B’, the signal is negative indicating that the translocation breakpoints targeted in both these reactions were not present.

were below the age of 40 [19, 20]. Given the fact that our patient was also aged 30 years, it may be possible that this specific breakpoint is associated with younger patients. Further, such patients were also associated with very high WBC count and demonstrated progression of the disease during a-IFN therapy [18]. Given the fact that in our case we observed high WBC count, it warrants further study to know whether this feature of disease progression during a-IFN therapy is specific for a3-ABL category of translocation breakpoints.

In a study by College of American Pathology in the year 2008, almost half of 82 different clinical laboratories used commercial kit for conducting minimal residual disease of BCR ABL in CML patients [14]. The commercial kit market is dominated by Ipsogen (New Haven, CT) and Cepheid (Sunnyvale, CA) and both these assays use primer sets located in exon 13 of BCR and exon 2 of ABL, which allow for detection of common e13a2 and e14a2 translocations (M-bcr, p210) but fail to amplify the rare variants lacking ABL exon 2 (BCR-ABL a3 type transcripts). In such a scenario, rare BCR ABL fusants such as the one reported in this study are likely to generate false negative results giving the impression of complete molecular remission (CMR) [21].

To conclude, we report here the first CML case from India involving e14a3 (b3a3) breakpoint within the BCR ABL gene. This is a challenging scenario since classical quantitative (q) or qualitative RT-PCR tests [22] do not cover this rare breakpoint and is likely to generate false negative results and an impression of CMR. The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Practice Guidelines (v2. 2009) profess use of cytogenetics, FISH and qRT-PCR for addressing chronic phase of this disease. CML in adults should ideally bypass this problem since both cytogenetics as well as FISH effectively identify the translocation though not the specific breakpoints as was effectively shown in our study. But qRT-PCR based monitoring of the disease every 3–6 months as stipulated in NCCN guidelines will be difficult using standard commercial kits that does not allow screening of rare BCR ABL fusants. These important points coupled with the probable variation in disease progression and drug response
Fig. 4. Detection of a rare breakpoint (e14a3 or b3a3) from cDNA generated from a clinical sample showing t(9;22) translocation. A: Coordinates of the BCR and the ABL gene where the forward and the reverse primers hybridize respectively to generate the 243 bp PCR amplicon. B: Exonic regions of the BCR and the ABL genes that were amplified for detection of the e14a3 breakpoint. C: A 243 bp PCR amplicon generated that contain the rare e14a3 breakpoint. D: Electropherogram showing the exact point of fusion of the BCR and ABL genes to generate the e14a3 breakpoint. E: Schematic diagram showing nucleotide positions of the BCR and the ABL genes respectively where break occurred to generate the e14a3 breakpoint.

that might be associated with such rare breakpoints suggest that further study and screening is necessary in the population for detecting such rare breakpoints. It is also necessary to estimate the trend of their horizontal and vertical spread in the population irrespective of their incidence rate and geographical distribution.
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