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Highlights

- *S. boveanum* and *S. trinodis*, Phaeophyta algal species, showed the highest value of ash and polyunsaturated fatty acids contents.

- Chlorophyta species "*C. racemose, C. sertularioides* and *B. corticolans*" showed the highest level of lipid and protein contents

- *G. rugosa* and *P. perforata* as a Rhodophyta species showed the highest essential amino acid contents
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Abstract: Nowadays the exploration and utilisation of food and feed from marine origin is becoming more important with the increase of human population. Macroalgae are rich in nutritious compounds, which can directly be used in human and animal feed industries. The current study presents the screening of chemical components of eight macroalgae species, Sargassum boveanum, Sirophysalis trinodis, Hypnea caroides, Palisda perforata, Galaxaura rugosa, Caulerpa racemose, Caulerpa sertularioides and Bryopsis corticolans from the Persian Gulf. The results revealed that the eight studied algal species possess high protein (14.46% to 38.20%), lipid (1.27% to 9.13%) and ash (15.50% to 49.14%) contents. The fatty acids and amino acids profile showed the presence of essential fatty acids and amino acids with high nutritional value. Phaeophyta species, S. boveanum and S. trinodis, showed the highest value of ash content and polysaturated fatty acids while Chlorophyta species, C. racemose, C. sertularioides and B. corticolans, showed the highest level of lipid and protein contents. Rhodophyta species, G. rugosa and P. perforata, showed the highest essential amino acid content. In conclusion, this study demonstrates the potential of the studied marine species as a nutritional source for human and animal uses.
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INTRODUCTION

Fatty acids (FAs) and amino acids (AAs) are important nutritious substances and metabolites in living organisms (Chem & Chung 2002). Sixty-eight percent (68%) of all people die from degenerative diseases, i.e., cardiovascular diseases (43.8%), cancer (22.4%) and diabetes (1.8%), which are related to inappropriate FA consumption (Salem et al. 1996; Terry et al. 2001). Some studies have recognised the vital role of conjugated FAs as bioactive molecules in the treatment of tumors and other cancer-related problems, with varying degree of cytotoxic effects on the cancer cells (Kawagishi et al. 2002). The two main polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA) classes, n-3 (omega-3) and n-6 (omega-6), play an important role in the prevention of cardiovascular diseases, osteoarthritis and diabetes. It is important to maintain an appropriate balance of omega-3 and omega-6 in the diet (Hibbeln et al. 2006; Miyake et al. 2010). PUFAs are essential nutrients which cannot or only to a limited extent be synthesised by mammals, so they must be ingested via dietary sources (Brodhurst et al. 2000).

Protein is one of the expensive macro-nutrients in ecologic and economic terms and therefore the one requiring the most attention with respect to sustainability (Swanson et al. 2013). Proteins provide nitrogen and carbon for the synthesis of gluconeogenesis and energy. Proteins are composed of different AAs and hence the content, proportion and availability of protein’s amino acids have been affected in protein nutritional quality. Essential amino acids (EAA) including arginine, histidine, isoleucine, leucine, lysine, methionine, phenylalanine, threonine, tryptophan and valine cannot be synthesised by mammals, so they must be ingested from their diet.

Global nutritional security concerns have been raised in relation to the increasing human population. Consequently, a quest to explore and utilise foods from unconventional sources of both terrestrial and marine origins has been made (Dawczynski et al. 2007).

Marine macroalgae consist of more than thousands of species and represent a significant part of the littoral biomass. Macroalgae are classified as brown (Phaeophyta), red (Rhodophyta) and green algae (Chlorophyta) depending on their chemical composition and pigments (Dawczynski et al. 2007). Macroalgae are rich in the quantity of nutritious compounds, such as proteins, amino acids, carbohydrates, lipids, fatty acids, vitamins, pigments, minerals and they can be used directly in human nutrition (Aguilera-Morales et al. 2005, Ortiz et al. 2006, Cardozo et al. 2007). The amino acid and fatty acid composition of macroalgae have a wide application in human and animal feed nutrition industries.

Approximately 350 species of marine macroalgae have been reported from the coastline of the Persian Gulf and Oman Sea (Sohrabipour & Rabiei 1996; 1999). Only a limited number of them have been investigated for their chemical composition (Rohani-Ghadikolaei & Abdulalian 2012; Tabarsa et al. 2012; Pirian et al. 2016; 2017). In the light of the presence of the essential nutritional components for human diet in algae, algae have been recommended as valuable sources of nutritional compounds that may have food applications. The current
Chemical Compounds of Macroalgae

study presents the screening of chemical components, especially AA and FA contents, of eight species of macroalgae from the Persian Gulf. The aim of this study was to evaluate the nutritional value of the macroalgal species as a source of human complementary food for the management of nutrition deficiencies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling

Bandar Abbas, Hormuz and Qeshm Islands were selected as the algal sampling sites. They are located close to each other in the Strait of Hormuz in the Persian Gulf and have similar oceanographic conditions with temperature 18ºC–20ºC, salinity 39–40 PSU and pH 7.8–8.0 during the sampling period from 15 January 2017 to 3 March 2017 (Table 1, Fig. 1).

Table 1: Macroalgal species with collection details, voucher number and habitat. All sites are located in the Persian Gulf, Iran.

| Species | Voucher No. | Source | Latitude and longitude | Habitat | Collection Date |
|---------|-------------|--------|------------------------|---------|-----------------|
| **Brown algae** | | | | | |
| *Sargassum boveanum* | 3031 | Ghadir Blvd, Bandar Abbas | N 27° 10.88’ E 56° 22.13’ | Sandy | March 2017 |
| *Sirophysalis trinodis* | 3039 | Shahrdari Park, Qeshm Island | N 26° 57.74’ E 56° 16.6’ | Rocky | February 2017 |
| **Red algae** | | | | | |
| *Hypnea caroides* | 3045 | Portuguese Fortress, Hormuz Island | N 27° 6.08’ E 56° 27.10’ | Rocky | January 2017 |
| *Palisada perforata* | 3041 | Botany Park, Qeshm Island | N 26° 58.42’ E 56° 15.30’ | Rocky | February 2017 |
| *Galaxaura rugosa* | 3038 | Beach Road, Hormuz Island | N 27° 5.6’ E 56° 26.77’ | Rocky | January 2017 |
| **Green algae** | | | | | |
| *Caulerpa racemosa* | 3042 | Botany Park, Qeshm Island | N 26° 58.42’ E 56° 15.30’ | Sandy | March 2017 |
| *Caulerpa serrulatiroides* | 3043 | Shahrdari Park, Qeshm Island | N 26° 57.74’ E 56° 16.6’ | Rocky | February 2017 |
| *Bryopsis corticolans* | 3044 | Zeytoon Park, Qeshm Island | N 26° 56.08’ E 56° 16.50’ | Sandy | March 2017 |
Figure 1: Map of sampling localities in the Persian Gulf, Iran. The sample details are shown in Table 1.

Algal samples were handpicked from the sandy and rocky seashores of the intertidal zone, first identified in the field, and then transferred to the laboratory for phytochemical analyses. In the laboratory, the samples were washed thoroughly in seawater to remove debris and epiphytes, and prior to further analyses were rinsed with distilled water. After washing, a part of each sample was separated for a part of detailed morphological identification and the rest of the sample was air-dried in freeze dryer and preserved for further analysis in air-tight plastic bags in desiccators at room temperature (25°C). Identification of the tested algae was carried out with standard keys by Gabrielson et al. (2006) and Sohrabipour and Rabiei (1996; 1999). Herbarium specimens were deposited at the Algal Herbarium of the Hormozgan Agricultural and Natural Resource Research and Education Centre, Bandar Abbas, Iran. Fig. 2 showed the herbarium specimens of the all eight identified algal samples from the Persian Gulf. Two samples of each of the eight species were processed further chemical analyses.
Figure 2: Herbarium specimens of algal samples from the Persian Gulf, Iran. (A) *Sargassum boveanum*; (B) *Sirophysalis trinodis*; (C) *Hypnea caroides*; (D) *Palisda perforata*; (E) *Galaxaura rugosa*; (F) *Caulerpasertularioides*; (G) *Caulerpa racemose*; (H) *Beriopsis corticolans*. 
Total Lipid Content

The total lipid content of the samples was extracted according to Folch et al. (1956). Briefly, freeze-dried algal powder was placed into a glass vial and chloroform: methanol (2:1v/v) mixture was added into it. The mixture was heated at 60°C for 1 h, followed by a filtration step (Whatman GF/A filter) to remove particles. The filtered crude extract was washed with 0.9% NaCl solution, mixed with a vortex spin and let to stand for several minutes until an upper and lower phase established. The upper phase was removed and the lower one, containing the lipids, was evaporated under a gentle stream of nitrogen. The lipid extract was then weighed and expressed as g of total lipids per 100 g dry weight of the sample.

Total Protein Content

The total protein content of the samples was estimated by using the method by Bradford (1976). The protein contents of the algae were measured by the absorbance (595 nm) and different concentrations of bovine serum albumin (BSA) was prepared as a standard. Finally, the protein contents of the samples were estimated based on the BSA curve and expressed in percentage of dry weight.

Ash Content

Ash contents of the samples were determined according to the method described by Association of Official Analytical Chemists, AOAC (1995). Briefly, 5 g of a dried algal sample was kept at 525°C for 6 h in blast furnace and weighed. The ash content was expressed as g of ash obtained per 100 g of dry weight sample.

Fatty Acid

The fatty acid (FA) composition was analysed with Gas Chromatography–Flame Ionisation Detector (GC-FID) after the preparation of fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) according to the method by Miller and Berger (1985) with some modifications. Initially for saponification process, the starting solution, containing the sample and NaOH (1.2 mol L\(^{-1}\)) in an aqueous methanol (50%), was boiled for 30 min. For the methylation process, the sample was acidified with HCl (10 mol L\(^{-1}\)) and methanolic BCL3 (12%) (catalyst) was added and heated for 10 min. Hexane/diethylether (1:1) was added to the sample for the extraction of the FAMEs. Finally, NaOH (0.3 mol L\(^{-1}\)) was added to the organic extract (FAMEs). The FAME phase was transferred and evaporated completely using nitrogen flushing. The samples could be stored at −20°C for several weeks. FAME samples were analysed using a gas chromatograph (Varian, 3800) equipped with a fused silica capillary column BPX 70 (25 m × 0.32 mm, film thickness 0.25 μm) and a flame ionisation detector. The run was carried out through a temperature gradient of 160°C–230°C, with an increase rate of 1.5°C min\(^{-1}\). FA identification
was performed using external standards (SUPELCO F.A.M.E. Mix C4-C24). FA composition was calculated from the total identified FA area and the values were averages of at least three injections of each sample.

**Amino Acids**

For amino acid (AA) analyses, triplicate sub-samples were hydrolysed with hydrochloric acid (6 N) in evacuated sealed tubes for 24 h at 110°C. The hydrolysed samples were then analysed in high performance liquid chromatography [HPLC] (Knauer-Germany) Amino Acid Analysis System (Column: C18, Detector: Knauer rf-530, UV Absorbance Detector). Sulphur AAs get partially or completely destroyed during acid hydrolysis. Therefore, cysteine and methionine were first oxidised and then transformed to cysteic acid and methionine sulphone, determined after acid hydrolysis. A set of amino acid standards (Sigma chemicals) was analysed with each set of experimental samples. The identification of the amino acid in the sample was carried out by comparison with retention times of the standards.

**Statistical Analysis**

The measuring of chemical contents of all algal samples was carried out in triplicate sub samples, and the experimental results were expressed as means with ± standard deviation (SD). The means of all factors were studied by one-way analysis of variance (one-way ANOVA) by using the SPSS 18 (SPSS Inc., Chicago). Then the individual means were compared using Duncan’s post-hoc test. Finally, the results were considered as significantly different if $P$ values were less than 0.05 ($P < 0.05$).

**RESULTS**

**Total Lipid, Protein and Ash Content**

The total lipid, protein and ash contents of eight studied algal species are shown in Table 2. Statistical differences were found between the lipid content of the algal species ($P < 0.05$, Table 2). The total lipid content varied between 1.27% and 9.13% d.w., depending on the algal species. The highest and the lowest lipid content were observed in *Caulerpa sertularioides* (9.13% d.w.) and *Sirophysalis trinodis* (1.27% d.w.), respectively. The high value of lipid content in our study was shown in the studied green algal species (*C. sertularioides*, *Bryopsis corticolans* and *C. racemose*) (9.13%–6.12% d.w.).

The protein content of studied algal species (38.20%–14.64% d.w.) showed significant differences between species ($P < 0.05$, Table 2). The *B. corticolans* showed the highest protein content (38.20% d.w.) while *S. trinodis* and *Galaxaura rugosa* showed the lowest protein content (14.64% and 17.82%...
d.w., respectively) among the studied species (Table 2). The high value of protein content (35.06%–38.20% d.w.) was observed in two green algal species (*B. corticolans* and *C. sertularioides*). The studied algal species showed significant variation in ash content (*P* < 0.05, Table 2). The ash content of studied algal species varied between 15.50 to 49.14% d.w. The highest ash content was observed in *Sargassum boveanum* (49.14% d.w.) and the lowest ash content was observed in *Hypnea caroides* (15.50% d.w.) among algal species (Table 2).

**Table 2:** Total lipid, protein and ash contents of the eight different algal species (as % of algal dry weight).

| Species               | Total lipid | Total protein | Total ash   |
|-----------------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|
| *Sargassum boveanum*  | 2.02 ± 0.05 | 21.33 ± 0.35  | 49.14 ± 1.00 |
| *Sirophysalis trinodis* | 1.27 ± 0.07 | 14.64 ± 0.20  | 22.57 ± 0.15 |
| *Hypnea caroides*     | 3.04 ± 0.05 | 25.63 ± 0.46  | 15.50 ± 0.20 |
| *Palisada perforata*  | 2.12 ± 0.02 | 32.05 ± 0.78  | 26.21 ± 0.10 |
| *Galaxaura rugosa*    | 4.02 ± 0.12 | 17.82 ± 0.15  | 38.31 ± 0.57 |
| *Caulerpa racemosa*   | 6.12 ± 0.10 | 29.10 ± 0.23  | 45.34 ± 0.81 |
| *Caulerpa sertularioides* | 9.13 ± 0.23 | 35.06 ± 0.55  | 41.18 ± 0.43 |
| *Bryopsis corticolans*| 6.52 ± 0.15 | 38.20 ± 0.62  | 30.17 ± 0.18 |

*Note: * Different superscript letters within each column show significant differences between algal species as determined by Duncan’s post-hoc multiple comparison (*P* < 0.05).

**FA Content**

The profile and content of the FAs in the studied algal species are shown in Table 3. The saturated fatty acids (SFA) in the studied species ranged from 142.9 mg g⁻¹ FAME in *S. boveanum* to 427.9 mg g⁻¹ FAME in *C. racemosa* (Table 3). Palmitic acid (C16:0) was the most abundant SFA and its highest content was observed in green algae; *C. racemosa*, *C. sertularioides* and *B. corticolans* (342.8 to 361.6 mg g⁻¹ FAME) and the lowest in *S. boveanum* (73.4 mg g⁻¹ FAME) (*P* < 0.05). The total contents of monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFAs) varied from 282.4 mg g⁻¹ FAME in *H. caroides* to 350.7 mg g⁻¹ FAME in *C. racemosa* (*P* < 0.05). PUFAs ranged from 212.1 to 530.2 mg g⁻¹ FAME. *S. trinodis* and *S. boveanum* showed the highest PUFA content (553.2 to 536.7 mg g⁻¹ FAME), while *B. corticolans* showed the lowest PUFA content (212.1 mg g⁻¹ FAME). There were significant differences between species in their MUFA and PUFA contents (*P* < 0.05) (Table 3). Oleic acid (C18:1) was the most abundant unsaturated fatty acid which showed the ranged from 193.2 mg g⁻¹ FAME in *S. boveanum* to 277.2 mg g⁻¹ FAME in *B. corticolans*. Arachidonic acid (C20:4, AA), as the most abundant PUFA, varied from 45.9 mg g⁻¹ FAME in *B. corticolans* to 155.5 mg g⁻¹ FAME in *S. trinodis*. The SFA/UFA ratio showed lower than 1 in all eight algal species (0.16 to 0.80) (Table 3).
| Fatty acids | Sargassum boveanum | Sirophysalis trinodis | Hypnea caroides | Palisada perforata | Galaxaura rugosa | Caulerpa racemosa | Caulerpa sertularioides | Bryopsis corticolans |
|------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| C12:0      | 4.3d              | 5.4c                 | 3.6e           | 7.3a              | 6.8b           | 3.0c                | 3.6e                  | 3.9e                |
| C14:0      | 27.3c             | 22.5e                | 36.9c          | 21.8a             | 31.1d          | 52.8c               | 57.6b                 | 48.1c               |
| C16:0      | 73.4a             | 82.5de               | 153.6b         | 98.9d             | 114.6c         | 361.6a              | 342.8a                | 360.3a              |
| C18:0      | 22.5a             | 17.9b                | 11.4c          | 15.8d             | 16.7b          | 4.1d                | 1.5e                  | 1.3e                |
| C20:0      | 9.3b              | 7.2d                 | 4.5e           | 6.0e              | 5.4cd          | 4.9d                | 4.1e                  | 3.7e                |
| C22:0      | 6.1b              | 7.8e                 | 4.6d           | 5.6e              | 4.4e           | 4.2cd               | 4.6c                  | 4.0d                |
| C16:1      | 90.4b             | 102.3e               | 79.5d          | 85.1e             | 82.2c          | 37.3f               | 43.0a                 | 31.2d               |
| C18:1      | 193.2e            | 214.7d               | 255.9b         | 201.2c            | 214.5e         | 231.6c              | 259.2b                | 277.2a              |
| C20:1      | 19.6c             | 22.6a                | 14.3c          | 21.9b             | 20.0ab         | 13.5c               | 14.8e                 | 12.4c               |
| C18:2      | 125.7a            | 128.2ab              | 133.3a         | 111.1c            | 103.2e         | 42.5p               | 33.8c                 | 30.2d               |
| C18:3      | 44.9a             | 39.5ab               | 31.4b          | 33.2e             | 30.5bc         | 29.6c               | 31.8b                 | 30.3c               |
| C18:4      | 42.5c             | 48.2c                | 58.6a          | 44.5cd            | 49.5bc         | 54.3ab              | 59.3a                 | 50.8b               |
| C20:4      | 145.8a            | 155.5a               | 140.7b         | 135.8c            | 122.4d         | 62.6e               | 56.5f                 | 46.9f               |
| C20:5      | 74.1d             | 85.3a                | 52.8d          | 60.1c             | 62.2e          | 49.9ae              | 40.6f                 | 45.9e               |
| C22:4      | 104.6a            | 96.7b                | 9.5d           | 80.9c             | 98.7b          | 8.9f                | 9.6e                  | 8.0f                |
| ΣSFA       | 142.9d            | 143.3d               | 214.6b         | 155.4c            | 179.0d         | 427.9a              | 414.2a                | 421.3a              |
| ΣMUFA      | 303.2a            | 339.6b               | 350.7a         | 308.2a            | 316.7c         | 282.4e              | 317.0c                | 320.8c              |
| ΣPUFA      | 536.7a            | 553.2a               | 426.3b         | 466.8c            | 477.4b         | 247.8c              | 231.6c                | 212.1c              |
| SFA/UFA    | 0.17              | 0.16                 | 0.28           | 0.19              | 0.22           | 0.80                | 0.75                  | 0.79                |

Note: a-h different superscript letters within each row show significant differences between eight algal species as determined by Duncan’s post-hoc multiple comparison (P < 0.05). ΣSFA: sum of saturated fatty acids, ΣMUFA: sum of monounsaturated fatty acids, ΣPUFA: sum of polyunsaturated fatty acids, SFA/UFA: saturated fatty acids to unsaturated fatty acids.
Amino Acids

The total amino acid content (ΣAA) of the eight algal species ranged from 492.5 to 800.9 g kg⁻¹ protein, the highest content found in *G. rugose* (800.9 g kg⁻¹ protein) and the lowest in *C. sertularioides* (492.5 g kg⁻¹ protein) (*P < 0.05*) (Table 4). All eight studied algal species contained all essential amino acids (EAA) for humans, i.e. methionine, leucine, threonine, histidine, lysine, valine and phenylalanine, and nine non-essential amino acids (NEAA) in different proportions. The sum of EAAs (ΣEAA) ranged from 190.8 g kg⁻¹ protein in *C. sertularioides* to 412.9 g kg⁻¹ protein in *G. rugosa*. Leucine and phenyl alanine constituted together the biggest part of the EAA fraction (109.8−63.6 and 80.7−36.1 g kg⁻¹ protein, respectively) in all species, except of *Palisada perforata* in which leucine and lysine showed together the biggest part of EAAs (109.8 and 91.0 g kg⁻¹ protein). Among NEAAs, aspartic and glutamic acids showed the largest part of the NEAA fraction in green and brown algal species (92.1−63.6 g kg⁻¹ and 85.4−66.6 g kg⁻¹ protein, respectively) whereas arginine and glutamic acids showed the largest part of the NEAA fraction in the red algal species studied (82.5−66.8 and 113.2−64.3 g kg⁻¹ protein, respectively) (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

Algae have been one of the most versatile sources of bioactive compounds and research on their chemical composition has significantly extended in the past three decades (Cardozo et al. 2007; O’Sullivan et al. 2010).

In this study, green algae showed higher lipid content (6.12%–9.13% d.w.) compared to red and brown algae. This is in agreement with previous studies (see Chakraborty & Santra 2008; Anantharaman et al. 2013; Khairy & El-Shafay 2013), which shown that green algae in general have higher lipid contents than red and brown algae. The lipid content of *Caulerpa sertularioides* (9.13% d.w.) was higher than the lipid content of green algae previously reported from the Persian Gulf; *Ulva prolifera* (6.06% d.w.), *U. paschima* (5.36% d.w.), *U. lactuca* (5.2% d.w.) and *Caulerpa sertularioides* (2.7% d.w.) (Rohani-Ghadikolaei & Abdulalian 2012; Mohammadi et al. 2013; Pirian et al. 2016; 2018). Similarly, the lipid content of *C. racemosa* and *C. sertularioides* were higher than previously reported for other areas in the world; 0.32% and 3.58% d.w. for *Caulerpa taxifolia* in India, 4.4% and 0.9% d.w. for *C. racemosa* in India, 3.6% d.w. for *C. scalpelliformis* in India, 0.86% d.w. for *C. lentillifera* in Thailand (Ratana-arporn & Chirapart 2006; Chakraborty & Bhattacharya 2012; Murugaiyan et al. 2012; Kokilam & Vasuki 2013). The lipid content of *S. boveanum* (2.02% d.w.) was lower than reported for other *Sargassum* species [*S. vulgar* (4.15% d.w.), *S. subrepanum* (3.61% d.w.) and *S. wightii* (2.33% d.w.)] but was higher than other *Sargassum* species [*S. muticum* (1.45% d.w.), *S. polycystum* (0.9% d.w.), *S. wightii* (1.4% d.w.)] (Manivannan et al. 2008; Abou-El-Wafa et al. 2011; Murugaiyan et al. 2012; Chakraborty & Bhattacharya
Table 4: Amino acid profiles of eight algal species from the Persian Gulf (in g of amino acids kg\(^{-1}\) of protein).

| Amino acids | Sargassum boveanum | Sirophysalis trinodis | Hypnea carioides | Palisada perforata | Galaxaura rugosa | Caulerpa racemosa | Caulerpa sertularioides | Beriopsis corticolans |
|-------------|---------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Thr         | 41.3\(^b\)          | 37.1\(^c\)            | 32.7\(^d\)       | 35.1\(^{cd}\)     | 55.4\(^a\)      | 44.2\(^{e}\)       | 18.4\(^{e}\)           | 33.9\(^d\)           |
| Phe         | 80.7\(^b\)          | 63.2\(^{a}\)          | 68.5\(^{d}\)     | 52.1\(^f\)        | 86.2\(^{a}\)     | 71.2\(^{a}\)       | 36.1\(^{a}\)           | 70.9\(^{c}\)         |
| Val         | 22.7\(^{d}\)        | 35.2\(^{c}\)          | 23.0\(^{d}\)     | 47.3\(^{a}\)      | 40.6\(^{b}\)     | 33.2\(^{a}\)       | 19.8\(^{a}\)           | 23.9\(^{d}\)         |
| Met         | 30.5\(^{c}\)        | 42.9\(^{b}\)          | 26.8\(^{d}\)     | 28.5\(^{d}\)      | 51.2\(^{a}\)     | 30.5\(^{a}\)       | 26.6\(^{d}\)           | 27.7\(^{d}\)         |
| Leu         | 72.8\(^{a}\)        | 101.1\(^{b}\)         | 69.2\(^{a}\)     | 109.8\(^{b}\)     | 93.9\(^{c}\)     | 87.2\(^{d}\)       | 63.6\(^{d}\)           | 71.7\(^{e}\)         |
| Lys         | 21.1\(^{e}\)        | 61.2\(^{b}\)          | 21.1\(^{e}\)     | 91.0\(^{b}\)      | 47.7\(^{c}\)     | 25.0\(^{d}\)       | 18.4\(^{e}\)           | 21.9\(^{e}\)         |
| His         | 9.1\(^{d}\)         | 21.2\(^{b}\)          | 5.6\(^{d}\)      | 16.7\(^{c}\)      | 37.9\(^{a}\)     | 7.7\(^{a}\)        | 7.9\(^{e}\)            | 5.8\(^{b}\)          |
| Tyr         | 40.2\(^{b}\)        | 58.4\(^{a}\)          | 32.7\(^{cd}\)    | 42.3\(^{d}\)      | 32.3\(^{a}\)     | 31.7\(^{a}\)       | 35.0\(^{a}\)           | 33.9\(^{c}\)         |
| Cys         | 1.2\(^{d}\)         | 28.9\(^{a}\)          | 7.2\(^{c}\)      | 21.1\(^{b}\)      | 1.3\(^{d}\)      | 8.6\(^{a}\)        | 1.0\(^{d}\)            | 7.5\(^{c}\)          |
| Asp         | 72.8\(^{b}\)        | 92.1\(^{a}\)          | 24.5\(^{d}\)     | 22.1\(^{f}\)      | 55.4\(^{a}\)     | 73.5\(^{b}\)       | 63.6\(^{d}\)           | 69.2\(^{c}\)         |
| Gly         | 12.8\(^{cd}\)       | 6.4\(^{d}\)           | 10.2\(^{c}\)     | 28.9\(^{e}\)      | 16.0\(^{b}\)     | 11.5\(^{d}\)       | 11.2\(^{c}\)           | 10.5\(^{c}\)         |
| Glu         | 83.6\(^{c}\)        | 91.2\(^{c}\)          | 64.3\(^{b}\)     | 113.2\(^{b}\)     | 105.2\(^{b}\)    | 85.4\(^{d}\)       | 73.0\(^{b}\)           | 66.6\(^{b}\)         |
| Pro         | 51.2\(^{2c}\)       | 61.5\(^{a}\)          | 35.7\(^{a}\)     | 40.3\(^{d}\)      | 42.1\(^{d}\)     | 47.8\(^{b}\)       | 44.7\(^{cd}\)          | 30.7\(^{f}\)         |
| Ser         | 18.0\(^{c}\)        | 6.5\(^{d}\)           | 14.6\(^{b}\)     | 10.5\(^{e}\)      | 35.1\(^{a}\)     | 20.6\(^{b}\)       | 15.7\(^{d}\)           | 15.1\(^{d}\)         |
| Arg         | 32.5\(^{d}\)        | 38.9\(^{c}\)          | 66.8\(^{b}\)     | 67.3\(^{b}\)      | 82.5\(^{a}\)     | 15.0\(^{b}\)       | 28.3\(^{e}\)           | 25.4\(^{d}\)         |
| Ala         | 33.5\(^{cd}\)       | 15.2\(^{d}\)          | 35.6\(^{b}\)     | 31.2\(^{d}\)      | 27.2\(^{d}\)     | 48.4\(^{a}\)       | 29.2\(^{de}\)          | 36.9\(^{b}\)         |
| ΣEAA        | 278.2\(^{a}\)       | 361.9\(^{b}\)         | 246.9\(^{a}\)    | 380.5\(^{b}\)     | 412.9\(^{a}\)    | 299.0\(^{b}\)      | 190.8\(^{a}\)          | 255.8\(^{b}\)        |
| ΣAA         | 624.3\(^{c}\)       | 761.5\(^{b}\)         | 538.5\(^{a}\)    | 757.4\(^{b}\)     | 800.9\(^{a}\)    | 641.5\(^{b}\)      | 492.5\(^{b}\)          | 551.6\(^{c}\)        |

*Note:* \(^{ah}\) superscript letters within each row show significant differences between eight algal species as determined by Duncan’s post-hoc multiple comparison (\(P < 0.05\)). ΣAA: Sum of amino acids, ΣEAA: Sum of essential amino acids.
Hypnea cavoides lipid content (3.04% d.w.) was similar to those reported by other authors for Hypnea spp. (H. musciformis and H. cervicornis) (Anantharaman et al. 2013; Mohammadi et al. 2013). Biodiesel can be produced from green macroalgae with high lipid contents (Hossain et al. 2008). Macroalgae with high lipid contents because of their commonly and low harvest costs can be used as economical option for bioenergy.

Quantitative analysis of protein content of the eight species studied showed that the highest protein content was in the green alga Bryopsis corticolans and lowest in the brown alga Sirophysalis trinodis. Similarly, Chakraborty and Santra (2008) studied some algal species and recorded the highest protein content in the green alga Lola capillaris (40.87%) and the lowest in the brown alga Dictyota ceylanica (3.33%). Pycke and Faasse (2015) reported higher protein content in the green alga Ulva sp. (33.6%) and lower in the brown alga Fucus vesiculosus (5%–8%). In general, red and green algae are characterised by higher protein content compared to brown algae (Ibañez & Cifuentes 2013). Protein content varies between genera but also between species of the same genus. Lower protein contents compared to this study have been recorded for Sargassum subrepandum (3.2%) (Abou-El-Wafa et al. 2011), Sargassum tenerimum (12.42%) and Hypnea valentiae (8.34%) (Manivannan et al. 2008), Sargassum corifolium (16.07%) (Haque et al. 2009), Hypnea pannosa (16.31%) and Hypnea musciformis (18.64%) (Siddique et al. 2013), Caulerpa taxifolia (12.44%) (Kokilam & Vasuki 2013), Sargassum muticum (16.9%) (Rodrigues et al. 2015) and Caulerpa lentillifera (12.49%) (Ratanarpon & Chirapart 2006). Chakraborty and Bhattacharya (2012) recorded similar values to our study in Caulerpa scalpeliformis (32.4%) and Caulerpa racemosa (24.8%) from the Gulf of Kutch coastline. These variations in the crude protein content of macroalgae can be due to species, season, environmental conditions and the geographic area (Zucchi & Necchi 2001; Ratanarpon & Chirapart 2006; Stirk et al. 2007). The direct relationship of protein percentage in macroalgae with nitrate of the ambient water was reported by several workers (Banerjee et al. 2009). Marine algae are high value food because of their high protein content. Our study also revealed considerably high concentration of protein in the studied algal species from the Persian Gulf.

All samples, with the ash content of 15.50% to 49.14%, fall within the wide variation of ash contents reported for macroalgae. The ash content of S. boveanum (49.14%) was much higher than that recorded for other species from the Persian Gulf in previous studies (Rohani-Ghadikolaei & Abdulalian 2012; Pirian et al. 2016; 2017; 2018). Khairy and El-Shafay (2013) reported higher levels of ash content of algal species during autumn (with low temperature) compared to spring and summer (with high temperature). Therefore, the high ash content found in our study is likely to be explained by the fact that our samples were collected during the low-temperature season. In general, high level of ash is associated with the amount of mineral elements.
FA are important bio-regulators of many cellular processes and they are precursors in the biosynthesis of eicosanoids, which are essential signaling molecules in humans (Gressler et al. 2010). Fatty acids play also important roles in algal physiology, so they may be very responsive to species and environmental changes (Sánchez-Machado et al. 2004; Ratana-arporn & Chirapart 2006). In our study, algae mainly composed of saturated FA (SFA) and unsaturated FA (UFA) ranged from the C12:0 to C22:4. Despite the differences among the FA concentrations, palmitic acid (C16:0) was the dominant FA in all eight studied algal species. This is similar to previous studies (Gressler et al. 2010; Caf et al. 2015, Pirian et al. 2016; 2017). In general, all our samples contained the essential FA; C18:2(n6) (linoleic acid), C18:3 (n3) (alpha-linolenic acid), C20:4 (n6) (arachidonic acid) and C20:5 (n3) (eicosapentaenoic acid). C18:1 (oleic acid, n9) was the most abundant MUFA in the species analysed. Lower oleic acid content has been recorded for Ulva lactuca, Taonia atomaria and Padina pavonica (Caf et al. 2015), Ulva rigida (Satpati & Pal 2011), Ulva intestinalis, Lola capillaris, Ulva lactuca, Dictyota ceylanica, Catenella repens, Polysiphonia mollis (Chakraborty & Santra 2008), but higher content for Ulva reticulata, Porphyra sp., Palmaria sp., Gracilaria changgi (Ratana-arporn & Chirapart 2006), Rhizoclonium riparium and Gelidiella acerosa (Chakraborty & Santra 2008), than our studied algae. In this study, brown algae exhibited the highest concentrations of PUFA. Our results were in agreement with previous studies in which brown algae showed higher concentrations of PUFA compared to green algae (Dawczynski et al. 2007, Caf et al. 2015, Pirian et al. 2017), and suggest that green algae have a lower potential, compared to the brown algae studied, as a nutritional source of PUFA for human consumption. According to the World Health Organisation (WHO 2008), the ratio of SFA/UFA should be lower than one in the human diet. Ratio values lower than one were observed for all eight studied algae, making these species potential sources for food and feed products, and from the lipid point of view, they can be incorporated in a more balanced diet.

Unlike most plant protein, algal protein is called complete protein as it contains all essential amino acids for humans. The amount of amino acids varied in the studied algal species, and all species contained all essential amino acids (EAA) for humans. The highest amino acid content was found in the red alga Galaxaura rugosa. Similar to our results, in most studies red algae showed considerable levels of EAAs (Gressler et al. 2010; Tabarsa et al. 2012). In our study, leucine and phenyl alanine were the most common EAAs. The limiting amino acid varies depending on the product: lysine in cereals, methionine in legumes, and cysteine in soybean-fermented products (Li et al. 2011; Boland et al. 2013). The studied algae contained considerable level of lysine, methionine and cysteine amino acids, suggesting that they would be suitable to complement terrestrial plant protein meals in food and feed industries. These results agreed with previous reports for other algal species (Kolb et al. 2004; Mata et al. 2016).
CONCLUSION

Our findings suggested that the studied algal species can be used as alternative nutrient sources of mineral, fatty acids, protein and amino acid for human and animal consumption. Two green studied algal species, *C. sertularioides* and *B. corticolans*, can be used as protein and lipid sources. Two brown algal species, *S. boveanum* and *S. trinodis* can be considered as a nutritional source of PUFA for human consumption. In general, the ratio values of lower than one (SFA/UFA) for all eight studied algae, making them healthy sources for food and feed products. Two red algal species, *G. rugosa* and *P. perforata*, would be suitable as a nutritional sources of EAA. Therefore, the Persian Gulf algae have a great potential for economic application and deserve more attention.
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