Cavity optomechanics provides a platform for exquisitely controlling coherent interactions between photons and mesoscopic mechanical excitations. Nanophotonic implementations of cavity optomechanics have recently been used to demonstrate laser cooling, optomechanically induced transparency, and coherent coupling between photons and phonons in these high refractive index systems. GaP is a promising material for realizing cavity optomechanical systems with intrinsic quality factors exceeding $10^7$ and mode volumes of $10(\lambda/n)^3$, and study their nonlinear and optomechanical properties. For optical intensities up to $10^4$ intracavity photons, we observe optical loss in the microcavity to decrease with increasing intensity, indicating that saturable absorption sites are present in the GaP material, and that two-photon absorption is not significant. We observe optomechanical coupling between optical modes of the microdisk around $1.5 \mu m$ and several mechanical resonances, and measure an optical spring effect consistent with a theoretically predicted optomechanical coupling rate $g_0/2\pi \sim 30 \ kHz$ for the fundamental mechanical radial breathing mode at 488 MHz.

We demonstrate gallium phosphide (GaP) microdisk optical cavities with intrinsic quality factors exceeding $2.8 \times 10^7$ and mode volumes of $10(\lambda/n)^3$, and study their nonlinear and optomechanical properties. For optical intensities up to $8 \times 10^3$ intracavity photons, we observe optical loss in the microcavity to decrease with increasing intensity, indicating that saturable absorption sites are present in the GaP material, and that two-photon absorption is not significant. We observe optomechanical coupling between optical modes of the microdisk around $1.5 \mu m$ and several mechanical resonances, and measure an optical spring effect consistent with a theoretically predicted optomechanical coupling rate $g_0/2\pi \sim 30 \ kHz$ for the fundamental mechanical radial breathing mode at 488 MHz.

Cavity optomechanics provides a platform for exquisitely controlling coherent interactions between photons and mesoscopic mechanical excitations. Nanophotonic implementations of cavity optomechanics have recently been used to demonstrate laser cooling, optomechanically induced transparency, and coherent coupling between photons and phonons in these high refractive index systems. GaP is a promising material for realizing cavity optomechanical systems with intrinsic quality factors exceeding $10^7$ and mode volumes of $10(\lambda/n)^3$, and study their nonlinear and optomechanical properties. For optical intensities up to $10^4$ intracavity photons, we observe optical loss in the microcavity to decrease with increasing intensity, indicating that saturable absorption sites are present in the GaP material, and that two-photon absorption is not significant. We observe optomechanical coupling between optical modes of the microdisk around $1.5 \mu m$ and several mechanical resonances, and measure an optical spring effect consistent with a theoretically predicted optomechanical coupling rate $g_0/2\pi \sim 30 \ kHz$ for the fundamental mechanical radial breathing mode at 488 MHz.

The optomechanical system studied in this letter, an example of which is shown in Fig. 1(a)–(c), is based on whispering-gallery mode microdisk optical cavities fabricated from gallium phosphide (GaP). GaP is a semiconductor with a desirable combination of high refractive index ($n_{GaP} \sim 3.05$ at 1550 nm) and large electronic bandgap (2.26 eV); it is therefore optically transparent from 550 nm to IR wavelengths and has low two-photon absorption at 1550 nm. Compared to other materials with similar transparency windows used in cavity optomechanics, such as SiO$_2$ ($n_{SiO_2} = 1.45$), Si$_3$N$_4$ ($n_{Si_3N_4} = 2.0–2.2$), and AlN ($n_{AlN} = 2.0–2.2$), GaP has a larger refractive index, enabling the fabrication of optical nanocavities with ultrasmall mode volumes. As such, GaP is a promising material for realizing cavity optomechanical systems with $g_0/2\pi$ approaching 1 MHz, as observed in Si and GaAs.

![FIG. 1: (a)–(c) Scanning electron micrographs of a GaP microdisk resonator with a close-up of the disk sidewall. (d) Electric field distributions of the second ($n = 2$) and third ($n = 3$) radial order TE$_{m,n}$ microdisk modes, where $m$ represents the azimuthal mode index and is chosen such that the mode wavelength is near 1550 nm.](image-url)
devices [12,14,22,23]. In this letter, we show that GaP microcavities combine high optical quality factor $Q_i \sim 2.8 \times 10^5$, large optomechanical coupling $g_{0i}/2\pi > 30$ kHz, and no observed two-photon absorption at 1550 nm band wavelengths. These properties allow the devices to operate close to the resolved-sideband regime with an intracavity photon number sufficient to allow observation of the optical spring effect.

The microdisks studied here were fabricated from an epitaxially grown wafer (supplied by IQE) consisting of a 250-nm-thick GaP device layer supported by a 750-nm-thick sacrificial aluminum gallium phosphide (AlGaP) layer and a GaP substrate. Microdisk patterns were defined using electron-beam lithography with ZEP520A resist, followed by a resist reflow step at 165 °C for 5 min. This step decreases imperfections in the circular electron-beam resist pattern, resulting in smoother sidewalls and an increased $Q_i$ [24]. The resulting pattern was transferred into the GaP layer using a low-DC-bias inductively-coupled plasma reactive-ion etch with Ar/Cl$_2$ chemistry. The refloved nature of the mask results in an angled GaP sidewall etch profile during this step, as seen in Figs. 1(a)−(c). This angle is not expected to limit $Q_i$ in these devices, as microdisks with similar profiles [24,25] have been reported with $Q_i > 10^6$ in other material systems. The resist was then removed with a 10 min deep-UV exposure (1.24 mW/cm$^2$ at 254 nm), followed by a 5 min soak in Remover PG®, and subsequent rinsing in acetone, isopropyl alcohol, and de-ionized water. The microdisks were undercut by selectively removing the AlGaP layer using a hydrofluoric acid wet etch (H$_2$O: 49% HF = 3:1). Microdisks with radii of $\sim 4 \mu m$ were studied in the work presented below.

The optomechanical properties of the microdisks were probed using a dimpled optical fiber taper [26] to evanescently couple light into and out of the cavity optical modes. The dimpled fiber taper was fabricated by modifying the process of Michael et al. [25] to use a ceramic edge as the dimple mold. The resulting dimple can be positioned within the optical near field of the microdisk, as shown in Fig. 2(b). Two tunable laser sources (New Focus Velocity) were used to measure the transmission of the fiber taper over the 1520–1625 nm wavelength range. The transmitted signal was split using a 10:90 fiber coupler, detected using low- (Newport 1621) and high-speed (Newport 1554-B) photodetectors, and recorded using a data acquisition card and a real-time spectrum analyser (Tektronix RSA5106A), respectively.

The optical response of a typical microdisk as a function of input wavelength, shown in Fig. 2(a) when the fiber taper dimple is positioned in the microdisk near field as in 2(b), consists of numerous resonances corresponding to excitation of high-$Q_i$ whispering-gallery modes (WGMs) of the microdisk. The modal indices of each resonance, describing their azimuthal ($m$) and radial ($n$) order, were determined from comparisons of the measured $Q_i$ and mode spacing with the mode spectrum predicted by finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) simulations [27] of the device. Note that this identification of azimuthal mode number should be considered approximate as our simulations used the nominal refractive index of GaP, and do not take into account perturbations to the refractive index from material impurities. For the device geometry used here, only TE-like modes (electric field dominantly radially polarized) with fundamental vertical field profile have sufficiently high $Q_i$ to be observed. Figure 2(c) displays the optical response of the highest-$Q_i$ mode observed in the fabricated GaP microdisks, which has $Q_i \sim 2.8 \times 10^5$ with minimal fiber loading. These are the highest optical quality factors observed to date in GaP nanophotonic devices. A mechanism limiting $Q_i < 10^6$ in these disks is the pedestal height (750 nm), which is determined by the thickness of the AlGaP layer. The choice of AlGaP layer thickness was determined by material availability, and should be further optimized in future devices. The doublet resonance structure is a result of scattering and modal coupling from imperfections in the microdisk, and indicates that the observed modes are standing waves [28]. Based on the comparisons with simulations, this mode is predicted to have modal indices $[m,n] = [28,2]$, field pro-

FIG. 2: (a) Fiber taper transmission spectrum of a GaP microdisk with a radius of 4 μm, normalized by the fiber taper transmission when it is positioned far from the microdisk. Modes with common free spectral range are color coded and labeled as $TE_{m,n}$, with azimuthal and radial mode indices $m$ and $n$, respectively. (b) Microscope image of dimpled fiber taper side-coupled to micro disk. (c) Narrow-wavelength scan of a high-$Q_i$ mode, associated with the $[m,n] = 28,2$ mode indices.
file shown in Fig. 4(d), and standing-wave mode volume $V_o = 9.7 \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu_m}\right)^3$.

To probe for nonlinear optical absorption in these microdisks, we measured their response as a function of fiber taper input power, $P_i$. Figure 3(a) shows the fiber taper transmission for varying $P_i$ when the source laser is scanned with increasing wavelength across the TE$_{30,2}$ microdisk resonance. Although a power-dependent optical response is clearly observed, its behavior is indicative of a decrease in the internal optical loss of the microdisk, $\gamma_i$, with increasing $P_i$. This anomalous effect is illustrated in Fig. 3(b) for the TE$_{34,1}$ mode, which shows that the resonance contrast, $\Delta T_o = 4K/(1 + K)^2$, increases with $P_i$, where $K = \gamma_e/(\gamma_i + \gamma_i(P_i))$, and $\gamma_e$ is coupling rate between the microdisk standing wave mode and the forward- or backward-propagating fiber taper mode (i.e., $\gamma_o = \gamma_i + 2\gamma_e$). This is contrary to the behavior of cavities exhibiting multiphoton absorption, such as those fabricated from Si [16]. Figure 3(c) shows the corresponding increase of $Q_i$ as a function of $N$, calculated according to:

$$\hbar \omega_{cav} N = \Delta T_o P_i \frac{Q_i}{\omega_{cav}}.$$  \hfill (1)

This effect may be the result of saturable absorbers in the microcavity, possibly in the form of O$_2$-related impurities [29, 30] and will be investigated further in future work. A redshift in the cavity resonance wavelength $\lambda_o$, is also observed with increasing $P_i$. This dispersive effect is the result of heating of the microcavity due to optical absorption, and can be suppressed by operating at lower temperatures [11].

To study the optomechanical properties of the microdisks, the effect of the thermal motion of the microdisk mechanical modes on the noise spectrum of the optical power transmitted through the fiber taper was measured. The dimensions of the microdisks were chosen to maximize $g_0$ and the mechanical frequency, $\Omega_m$, of the fun-
fundamental radial breathing mode (RBM), without compromising $Q_i$. The 4 µm radius of the microdisks studied here is small enough to allow the mechanical breathing mode to have a high frequency ($\Omega_m/2\pi \sim 0.5$ GHz) and large enough to avoid optical radiation loss at a rate above other loss mechanisms of the microdisk. A typical spectrum, obtained in ambient conditions using the TE$_{26,3}$ mode, with input laser frequency $\omega_i$ blue detuned ($\omega_i \approx \omega_c + \gamma_o/2$), where $\omega_c$ is the cold cavity optical resonance frequency, is given in Fig. 4(a). Peaks associated with three mechanical resonances are visible; of particular interest is the fundamental RBM at $\Omega_m/2\pi = 488$ MHz. The RBM was found to have a mechanical zero-point fluctuation amplitude of 0.66 fm and displacement sensitivity of $4.43 \times 10^{-17}$ m/√Hz for $P_i$ of $\sim 600$ µW. The mode profiles of the mechanical resonances, and their effective mass $m_{\text{eff}}$, were identified using COMSOL finite element simulations. The fundamental RBM ($m_{\text{eff}} = 40$ pg) was found to have a mechanical quality factor, measured at low $P_i$ to avoid optical backaction effects, of $Q_m \sim 640$, while the $\Omega_m/2\pi = 462$ MHz and $\Omega_m/2\pi = 498$ MHz modes have $Q_m \sim 360$ and 450, respectively. $Q_m$ can be improved by engineering the device geometry and testing environment. While the RBM should not be limited by squeeze film damping, operation in vacuum could increase $Q_m$ by eliminating air damping [31][33]. Mechanical clamping and phonon radiation loss can be reduced by further undercutting the microdisks to create ultra-small pedestals [11][35][36]. Phononic Bragg mirror inspired pedestal structures as seen in Ref. [30] could also be used to reduce clamping losses. This would require the growth of hetero-structured wafers, which would also allow control over pedestal height, as well as provide an opportunity to reduce impurities present in the current device layer. Through a combination of these techniques a 10$^2$ increase in $Q_m$ is reasonable.

The optomechanical coupling coefficient $G$ and rate $g_0$ [12] between the fundamental RBM and the various optical WGMs of the microdisk were calculated using COMSOL finite element simulations and are given in Table I. For the devices under study, $g_0/2\pi \sim 30$ kHz and $G/2\pi \sim 50$ GHz/nm are comparable to record values [13]. The realizable cooperativity parameter for this device can be predicted from $g_0$ and the measured dissipation rates, $\gamma_m/2\pi \sim 7.63 \times 10^5$ Hz and $\gamma_o/2\pi \sim 6.80 \times 10^8$ Hz, of the high-$Q_i$ TE$_{28,2}$ mode. These rates correspond to a single-photon cooperativity of $\sim 1.3 \times 10^{-6}$, which is on the same order of magnitude as similar WGM microcavity devices [2][4]. It is predicted that $C > 1$ for $N > 7.53 \times 10^5$ intracavity photons for the TE$_{28,2}$ mode. The largest experimental $C$ inferred was $C \sim 0.53$ for the TE$_{30,2}$ mode, with $N \sim 4.15 \times 10^5$ (corresponding to $\sim 700$ µW of dropped power). Note that this $C$ is not a fundamental limit of our system, as $C$ can be enhanced in future by improving the fiber–microdisk coupling efficiency or increasing $P_i$.

| Mode       | $Q_i^{\text{ref}}$ | $Q_i^{\text{sub}}$ | $Q_i$ | $G/2\pi$ (GHz/m) | $g_0/2\pi$ (kHz) |
|------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------|-----------------|-----------------|
| TE$_{26,3}$ | $> 10^8$           | $1.1 \times 10^6$  | $2.6 \times 10^7$ | 48              | 31              |
| TE$_{28,2}$ | $> 10^8$           | $3.9 \times 10^5$  | $2.8 \times 10^6$ | 40              | 26              |
| TE$_{25,3}$ | $6.0 \times 10^7$  | $1.4 \times 10^6$  | $0.9 \times 10^7$ | 35              | 23              |
| TE$_{22,4}$ | $1.8 \times 10^7$  | $2.1 \times 10^6$  | $0.5 \times 10^7$ | 32              | 21              |

The measurement noise background in Fig. 4 was dominated by detector noise ($\sqrt{S_{xx}^{\text{DET}} \sim 2 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m/√Hz}}$), and shot noise ($\sqrt{S_{xx}^{\text{SN}}} \sim 5 \times 10^{-18} \text{ m/√Hz}$). This noise level is roughly a factor of 100 times the standard quantum limited displacement noise ($\sqrt{S_{xx}^{\text{SQL}}} = 6 \times 10^{-19} \text{ m/√Hz}$). For the current device, at higher operating $P_i$, where optomechanical backaction noise is equal to shot noise ($S_{xx}^{BA} = S_{xx}^{SN}$), detector noise will remain dominant. As such, detection of the RBM with SQL precision using the TE$_{26,3}$ optical mode, would require lower noise photodetection. Alternatively, using higher $Q_i$ modes such as the TE$_{28,2}$ mode in Fig. 2(c), would further increase the measurement precision. However, reaching the SQL using these high-$Q_i$ modes will not be possible without significantly improved fiber coupling efficiency, as critical coupling is a requirement for SQL measurements [2].

We further explored the optomechanical coupling by measuring the dependence of the lineshape of the fundamental RBM on $N$. Figure 4(c) illustrates the dependence of the mechanical spectrum on laser wavelength as it is swept across the TE$_{26,3}$ optical cavity resonance, at a fixed $P_i = 2.1$ mW. As the laser approaches the cavity resonance and $N$ increases, $\Omega_m$ is observed to increase by up to 250 kHz. This is the result of the optical spring effect, and is further illustrated in Figs. 4(d) and (e), which show the effect of varying $P_i$ for fixed $\omega_i = \omega_c + \gamma_o/2$. Note that for $P_i \geq 200µW$, $\omega_c$ red-shifts due to the heating of the microdisk by the intracavity saturable absorption described above. The fit in Fig. 4(e) was obtained using the model for the optical spring effect described by Aspelmeyer et al. [2], taking into account the measured dependence of $\Delta \omega = \omega_i - \omega_c$, and $\Delta T_o$ on $N$. The observed power dependent shift in $\Omega_m$ of up to 218 kHz is in good agreement with this model. We also observe mechanical damping while blue detuned from the cavity resonance, as can be observed from Fig. 4(d). This effect is a result of thermo-optic damping [38][37]. For small $N$, the TE$_{26,3}$ mode used in these spring effect measurements had an intrinsic $Q_i \sim 6.3 \times 10^4$ and a resonance contrast of $\Delta T_o \sim 0.63$. From FDTD simulations, this mode is predicted to have a standing-wave mode volume of $V_o = 11.1(V_m/\text{GaP})^3$ and the field profile shown in Fig. 2(b) would require lower noise photodetection.
For optomechanical cooling of a mechanical resonator, it is desirable to be in the sideband-resolved regime. In our case, the optical linewidth of the highest-$Q_i$ mode is $\gamma_o / 2\pi \sim 668$ MHz. As seen in Table I, our measured $Q_i$ values are significantly lower than the radiation-loss-limited quality factor, $Q_{rad}$, which includes leakage into the substrate. We also observe that the $TE_{33,1}$ and $TE_{28,2}$ modes have similar $Q_i$, despite the lower predicted radiation loss of the $TE_{33,1}$ mode. This suggests that either material absorption or surface scattering is placing the upper limit on $Q_i$ for these devices. The sideband-resolved regime may be attainable through further optimization of $Q_i$ by fabricating devices from wafers with a thicker sacrificial AlGaP layer and lower GaP linear absorption, and with reduced surface roughness.

In conclusion, we have fabricated GaP microdisks with the highest intrinsic optical quality factors to date ($Q_i > 2.8 \times 10^5$) and have demonstrated the first instance of cavity optomechanics in GaP devices. The observed increase in $Q_i$ with input power, paired with the absence of nonlinear absorption for $N > 10^5$, illustrates the potential that GaP holds for experiments in quantum optomechanics. These devices are also promising for implementing high-frequency cavity optomechanics at visible wavelengths and for applications in non-linear optics.
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