Analysis of Caputo fractional-order model for COVID-19 with lockdown
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Abstract

One of the control measures available that are believed to be the most reliable methods of curbing the spread of coronavirus at the moment if they were to be successfully applied is lockdown. In this paper a mathematical model of fractional order is constructed to study the significance of the lockdown in mitigating the virus spread. The model consists of a system of five nonlinear fractional-order differential equations in the Caputo sense. In addition, existence and uniqueness of solutions for the fractional-order coronavirus model under lockdown are examined via the well-known Schauder and Banach fixed theorem technique, and stability analysis in the context of Ulam–Hyers and generalized Ulam–Hyers criteria is discussed. The well-known and effective numerical scheme called fractional Euler method has been employed to analyze the approximate solution and dynamical behavior of the model under consideration. It is worth noting that, unlike many studies recently conducted, dimensional consistency has been taken into account during the fractionalization process of the classical model.
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1 Introduction

Coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic cut across more than 190 countries in the first 20 weeks after its emergence. It started from Wuhan in China, and by mid-March 2020 more than 334,000 people were affected with about 14,500 death cases. The number of positive cases is about 1,210,956 and the number of fatality cases reaches 67,594 by the beginning of April 2020 [1]. It is clear that different people exhibit different behavior regarding the epidemic within the same country and from one country to the other. Mathematical models help in predicting the course of the pandemic and also help in determining why the infection is not uniform [2, 3]. Health systems also use such results as tools in deciding the type of control measures to be adopted. They also help them in deciding the time and places that need applications of the controls. Therefore, it is of paramount importance to understand the transmission dynamics of the disease and to predict whether the control measures available will help in curtailting the spread of the disease [4, 5].
The first case of COVID-19 in Nigeria was recorded on February 27, 2020, in Lagos [6]. Federal Ministry of Health in Nigeria started the course of action by screening travelers and shutting down schools from the mid of March 2020. However, compared to the course of the epidemic in western countries, either the number of asymptomatic cases in Nigeria was higher or the epidemic in Nigeria progressed through a slow phase. In the absence of an effective vaccine for COVID-19 prevention, the only remaining options are prevention of further influx of migrant cases at airports and seaports and contact tracing. China learned from its experience that only complete shut down prevented further spread, and Italy learned from its experience that negligence of communities towards simple public health strategies leads to uncontrolled morbidity and mortality. This prompted the action of the government of Nigeria in imposing 14-day lockdown in some of its states starting on March 30, 2020 [6].

Many studies suggest that from the beginning of coronavirus infection to hospitalization takes the maximum of 10 days, and the incubation period is 2 to 14 days maximum [7, 8]. Also the time between the start of symptomatic manifestations and death is approximately 2–8 weeks [9]. Another study reports that the duration of viral shedding is 8–37 days [10]. A lot of factors help in providing the effectiveness of the intervention strategies, and as recommended by a recent report, it is vital to estimate the optimal periods to implement each intervention [11]. A lot of countries have implemented the strategy of self-quarantine to prevent spread of the virus. Hence, it is of paramount importance to mathematically study the significance of the lockdown in mitigating the spread of COVID-19 with respect to each country, since the contact patterns between individuals are highly non-homogeneous across each population.

It is important to note that, in the classical order model, the state of epidemic model does not depend on its history. However, in real life memory plays a vital role in studying the pattern of spread of any epidemic disease. It was found that the waiting times between doctor visits for a patient follow a power law model [12]. It is worth to know that Caputo fractional time derivative is a consequence of power law [13]. When dealing with real world problem, Caputo fractional-order derivatives allow traditional initial and boundary conditions. Furthermore, due to its nonlocal behavior and its ability to change at every instant of time, Caputo fractional order gives better result than the integer order [14–20].

In recent studies, Khan et al. [21] studied a fractional-order model that describes the interaction among bats and unknown hosts, then among people and seafood market. To predict the trend of the coronavirus Yu et al. [22] constructed a fractional time delay dynamic system that studied the local outbreak of COVID-19. Also, to predict the possible outbreak of infectious diseases like COVID-19 and other diseases in the future, Xu et al. [23] proposed a generalized fractional-order SEIRQD model. Shaikh et al. [24] used bats-hosts-reservoir-people transmission fractional-order COVID-19 model to estimate the effectiveness of preventive measures and various mitigation strategies, predicting future outbreaks and potential control strategies. Many models in the literature studied the dynamics of COVID-19, some predicted the number of susceptible individuals in a given community, but none of them to our knowledge studied the significance or otherwise of the embattled lockdown. Also most of these models were integer-order models.

The main aim of this research is to study a fractional-order epidemic model that investigates the significance of lockdown in mitigating the spread of COVID-19. Based on the memorability nature of Caputo fractional-order derivatives, this model can be fitted with
data reasonably well. Then, based on the official data given by NCDC every day, several numerical examples are exhibited to verify the rationality of the fractional-order model and the effectiveness of the lockdown. Compared with an integer-order system ($\nu = 1$), the fractional-order model without network is validated to have a better fitting of the data on Nigeria.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, preliminary definitions are given. In Sect. 3, the fractional-order model for COVID-19 in the Caputo sense is formulated. In Sect. 4, existence and uniqueness of the solution of the model is established. In Sect. 5, stability analysis of the solution of the model in the frame of Ulam–Hyers and generalized Ulam–Hyers is given. Section 6 contains the numerical scheme and numerical simulations to illustrate the theoretical results. Finally, conclusion is given in Sect. 7.

2 Preliminaries

**Definition 1** ([25]) Suppose $\nu > 0$ and $g \in L^1([0, b], \mathbb{R})$ where $[0, b] \subset \mathbb{R}_+$. Then fractional integral of order $\nu$ for a function $g$ in the sense of Riemann–Liouville is defined as

$$I_0^\nu g(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\nu-1} g(\tau) \, d\tau, \quad t > 0,$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the classical gamma function defined by

$$\Gamma(\nu) = \int_0^\infty t^{\nu-1} e^{-t} \, dt. \quad (1)$$

**Definition 2** ([25]) Let $n - 1 < \nu < n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $g \in C^n[0, b]$. The Caputo fractional derivative of order $\nu$ for a function $g$ is defined as

$$C_{D_0}^\nu g(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\nu)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{n-\nu-1} g^n(\tau) \, d\tau, \quad t > 0.$$

**Lemma 1** ([25]) Let $\text{Re}(\nu) > 0$, $n = \lfloor \text{Re}(\nu) \rfloor + 1$, and $g \in AC^n(0, b)$. Then

$$\left( J_0^\nu C_{D_0}^\nu, g \right)(t) = g(t) - \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{(D_0^k g)(0^+)}{k!} t^k. \quad (2)$$

In particular, if $0 < \nu \leq 1$, then

$$\left( J_0^\nu C_{D_0}^\nu, g \right)(t) = g(t) - g_0. \quad (3)$$

3 Formulation of the model

Let the total population be $N(t)$. The population is divided into four compartments, namely susceptible population that are not under lockdown $S(t)$, susceptible population that are under lockdown $S_L(t)$, infective population that are not under lockdown $I(t)$ (here we refer to isolation as lockdown for convenience), infective population that are under lockdown $I_L(t)$, and then cumulative density of the lockdown program $L(t)$. The dynamics of this population is represented by the following system of fractional-order differential
where solutions of the proposed model using fixed point theorems. We simplify the proposed model for some of the recent growth. In this section, we discuss the existence and uniqueness of solutions in the theory of fractional-order differential equations. The theory has recently attracted the attention of many researchers, we are referring to [26–31] and the references therein for some of the recent growth. In this section, we discuss the existence and uniqueness of solutions of the proposed model using fixed point theorems. We simplify the proposed model (4) in the following setting:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{C}D_0^\nu S(t) &= \Lambda^\nu - \beta^\nu SL - \lambda_1^\nu SL - \bar{d}^\nu S + \gamma_1^\nu I + \gamma_2^\nu IL + \theta_1^\nu SL, \\
\mathcal{C}D_0^\nu S_L(t) &= \lambda_1^\nu SL - \bar{d}^\nu S_L - \theta_1^\nu S_L, \\
\mathcal{C}D_0^\nu I(t) &= \beta^\nu SI - \gamma_1^\nu I - \alpha_1^\nu I - \bar{d}^\nu I + \lambda_2^\nu IL + \theta_2^\nu IL, \\
\mathcal{C}D_0^\nu I_L(t) &= \lambda_2^\nu IL - \bar{d}^\nu I_L - \theta_2^\nu I_L - \gamma_2^\nu IL - \alpha_2^\nu I_L, \\
\mathcal{C}D_0^\nu L(t) &= \mu^\nu I - \phi^\nu L.
\end{align*}
\]

### 4 Existence and uniqueness results

The theory of existence and uniqueness of solutions is one of the most dominant fields in the theory of fractional-order differential equations. The theory has recently attracted the attention of many researchers, we are referring to [26–31] and the references therein for some of the recent growth. In this section, we discuss the existence and uniqueness of solutions of the proposed model using fixed point theorems. We simplify the proposed model (4) in the following setting:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{C}D_0^\nu S(t) &= \Theta_1(t, S, S_L, I, I_L, L), \\
\mathcal{C}D_0^\nu S_L(t) &= \Theta_2(t, S, S_L, I, I_L, L), \\
\mathcal{C}D_0^\nu I(t) &= \Theta_3(t, S, S_L, I, I_L, L), \\
\mathcal{C}D_0^\nu I_L(t) &= \Theta_4(t, S, S_L, I, I_L, L), \\
\mathcal{C}D_0^\nu L(t) &= \Theta_5(t, S, S_L, I, I_L, L),
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
\Theta_1(t, S, S_L, I, I_L, L) &= \Lambda^\nu - \beta^\nu SL - \lambda_1^\nu SL - \bar{d}^\nu S + \gamma_1^\nu I + \gamma_2^\nu IL + \theta_1^\nu S_L, \\
\Theta_2(t, S, S_L, I, I_L, L) &= \lambda_1^\nu SL - \bar{d}^\nu S_L - \theta_1^\nu S_L, \\
\Theta_3(t, S, S_L, I, I_L, L) &= \beta^\nu SI - \gamma_1^\nu I - \alpha_1^\nu I - \bar{d}^\nu I + \lambda_2^\nu IL + \theta_2^\nu IL, \\
\Theta_4(t, S, S_L, I, I_L, L) &= \lambda_2^\nu IL - \bar{d}^\nu I_L - \theta_2^\nu I_L - \gamma_2^\nu IL - \alpha_2^\nu I_L, \\
\Theta_5(t, S, S_L, I, I_L, L) &= \mu^\nu I - \phi^\nu L.
\end{align*}
\]
Thus, the proposed model (4) takes the form

\[
\begin{align*}
C_{\alpha}D_{0}^{\alpha}\Phi(t) & = K(t, \Phi(t)), \quad t \in J = [0, b], 0 < \alpha \leq 1, \\
\Phi(0) & = \Phi_0 \geq 0,
\end{align*}
\]

(7)

on condition that

\[
\begin{align*}
\Phi(t) & = (S, S_L, I, I_L, L)^T, \\
\Phi(0) & = (S_0, S_L_0, I_0, I_L_0, L_0)^T, \\
K(t, \Phi(t)) & = (\Theta_i(t, S, S_L, I, I_L, L))^T, \quad i = 1, \ldots, 5,
\end{align*}
\]

(8)

where \((\cdot)^T\) represents the transpose operation. In view of Lemma 1, the integral representation of problem (7) which is equivalent to model (4) is given by

\[
\Phi(t) = \Phi_0 + J_0^{\upsilon} K(t, \Phi(t)) = \Phi_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\upsilon)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\upsilon-1} K(\tau, \Phi(\tau)) \, d\tau.
\]

(9)

Let \(E = C([0, b]; \mathbb{R})\) denote the Banach space of all continuous functions from \([0, b]\) to \(\mathbb{R}\) endowed with the norm defined by

\[
\|\Phi\|_E = \sup_{t \in J} |\Phi(t)|,
\]

where

\[
|\Phi(t)| = |S(t)| + |S_L(t)| + |I(t)| + |I_L| + |L(t)|,
\]

and \(S, S_L, I, I_L, L \in C([0, b], \mathbb{R}).\)

**Theorem 1** Suppose that the function \(K \in C([J, \mathbb{R}])\) and maps a bounded subset of \(J \times \mathbb{R}^5\) into relatively compact subsets of \(\mathbb{R}\). In addition, there exists constant \(L_K > 0\) such that

\[
|K(t, \Phi_1(t)) - K(t, \Phi_2(t))| \leq L_K |\Phi_1(t) - \Phi_2(t)| \quad \text{for all } t \in J \text{ and each } \Phi_1, \Phi_2 \in C([J, \mathbb{R}]).
\]

Then problem (7) which is equivalent to the proposed model (4) has a unique solution provided that \(\Omega L_K < 1\), where

\[
\Omega = \frac{b^\upsilon}{\Gamma(\upsilon + 1)}.
\]

**Proof** Consider the operator \(P : E \to E\) defined by

\[
(P\Phi)(t) = \Phi_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\upsilon)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\upsilon-1} K(\tau, \Phi(\tau)) \, d\tau.
\]

(10)

Obviously, the operator \(P\) is well defined and the unique solution of model (4) is just the fixed point of \(P\). Indeed, let us take \(\sup_{t \in J} \|K(t, 0)\| = M_1\) and \(\kappa \geq \|\Phi_0\| + \Omega M_1\). Thus, it
is enough to show that $P\mathbb{B}_\kappa \subset \mathbb{B}_\kappa$, where the set $\mathbb{B}_\kappa = \{ \Phi \in \mathbb{E} : \| \Phi \| \leq \kappa \}$ is closed and convex. Now, for any $\Phi \in \mathbb{B}_\kappa$, it yields

$$
\begin{align*}
|P\Phi(t)| & \leq |\Phi_0| + \frac{1}{\Gamma (v)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{v-1} |\mathcal{K}(\tau, \Phi(\tau))| d\tau \\
& \leq \Phi_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma (v)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{v-1} \left[ |\mathcal{K}(\tau, \Phi(\tau))| - |\mathcal{K}(\tau, 0)| + |\mathcal{K}(\tau, 0)| \right] d\tau \\
& \leq \Phi_0 + \frac{(\mathcal{L}_K \kappa + M_1)}{\Gamma (v)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{v-1} d\tau \\
& \leq \Phi_0 + \Omega (\mathcal{L}_K \kappa + M_1) \\
& \leq \kappa. 
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, the results follow. Also, given any $\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \in \mathbb{E}$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
|P\Phi_1(t) - P\Phi_2(t)| & \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma (v)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{v-1} \left| \mathcal{K}(\tau, \Phi_1(\tau)) - \mathcal{K}(\tau, \Phi_2(\tau)) \right| d\tau \\
& \leq \frac{\mathcal{L}_K}{\Gamma (v)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{v-1} \left| \Phi_1(\tau) - \Phi_2(\tau) \right| d\tau \\
& \leq \Omega \mathcal{L}_K |\Phi_1(t) - \Phi_2(t)|, 
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that $\|P\Phi_1(t) - P\Phi_2(t)\| \leq \Omega \mathcal{L}_K \| \Phi_1 - \Phi_2 \|$. Therefore, as a consequence of the Banach contraction principle, the proposed model (4) has a unique solution. $\square$

Next, we prove the existence of solutions of problem (7) which is equivalent to the proposed model (4) by employing the concept of Schauder fixed point theorem. Thus, the following assumption is needed.

(A2) Suppose that there exist $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \mathbb{E}$ such that

$$
|\mathcal{K}(t, \Phi(t))| \leq \sigma_1(t) + \sigma_2|\Phi(t)| \quad \text{for any} \ \Phi \in \mathbb{E}, t \in J,
$$

such that $\sigma_1^* = \sup_{t \in J} |\sigma_1(t)|, \sigma_2^* = \sup_{t \in J} |\sigma_2(t)| < 1$.

**Lemma 2** The operator $P$ defined in (10) is completely continuous.

**Proof** Obviously, the continuity of the function $\mathcal{K}$ gives the continuity of the operator $P$. Thus, for any $\Phi \in \mathbb{B}_\kappa$, where $\mathbb{B}_\kappa$ is defined above, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
|P\Phi(t)| & = \left| \Phi_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma (v)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{v-1} \mathcal{K}(\tau, \Phi(\tau)) d\tau \right| \\
& \leq \| \Phi_0 \| + \frac{1}{\Gamma (v)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{v-1} \| \mathcal{K}(\tau, \Phi(\tau)) \| d\tau \\
& \leq \| \Phi_0 \| + \frac{(\sigma_1^* + \sigma_2^* \| \Phi \|)}{\Gamma (v)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{v-1} d\tau
\end{align*}
$$
≤ \|\Phi_0\| + \frac{\sigma_1^* + \sigma_2^* \|\Phi\|}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} b^\nu

= \|\Phi_0\| + \Omega (\sigma_1^* + \sigma_2^* \|\Phi\|)

< +\infty. \quad (13)

So, the operator \(P\) is uniformly bounded. Next, we prove the equicontinuity of \(P\). To do so, we let \(\sup_{(t, \Phi) \in J \times B_\kappa} |K(t, \Phi(t))| = K^*\). Then, for any \(t_1, t_2 \in J\) such that \(t_2 \geq t_1\), it gives

\[
\left|\left(P\Phi\right)(t_2) - \left(P\Phi\right)(t_1)\right| = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \left| \int_0^{t_1} \left[ \left( t_2 - \tau \right)^{\nu - 1} - \left( t_1 - \tau \right)^{\nu - 1} \right] K(\tau, \Phi(\tau)) \, d\tau \right|

+ \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left( t_2 - \tau \right)^{\nu - 1} K(\tau, \Phi(\tau)) \, d\tau \right|

\leq \frac{K^*}{\Gamma(\nu)} \left[ 2(t_2 - t_1)^\nu + (t_2^\nu - t_1^\nu) \right]

\rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as} \; t_2 \rightarrow t_1. \quad (14)

Hence, the operator \(P\) is equicontinuous and so is relatively compact on \(B_\kappa\). Therefore, as a consequence of Arzelá–Ascoli theorem, \(P\) is completely continuous.

\textbf{Theorem 2} Suppose that the function \(K : J \times \mathbb{R}^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) is continuous and satisfies assumption \((A_2)\). Then problem (7) which is equivalent with the proposed model (4) has at least one solution.

\textbf{Proof} We define a set \(\mathcal{U} = \{ \Phi \in E : \Phi = o(P\Phi)(t), 0 < o < 1 \}\). Clearly, in view of Lemma 2, the operator \(P : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow E\) as defined in (10) is completely continuous. Now, for any \(\Phi \in \mathcal{U}\) and assumption \((A_2)\), it yields

\[
\left|\left(\Phi\right)(t)\right| = \left|o(P\Phi)(t)\right|

\leq |\Phi_0| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^{t} (t - \tau)^{\nu - 1} |K(\tau, \Phi(\tau))| \, d\tau

\leq \|\Phi_0\| + \frac{\sigma_1^* + \sigma_2^* \|\Phi\|}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} b^\nu

= \|\Phi_0\| + \Omega (\sigma_1^* + \sigma_2^* \|\Phi\|)

< +\infty. \quad (15)

Thus, the set \(\mathcal{U}\) is bounded. So the operator \(P\) has at least one fixed point which is just the solution of the proposed model (4). Hence the desired result. \qed

\section{Stability results}

In this section, we derive the stability of the proposed model (4) in the frame of Ulam–Hyers and generalized Ulam–Hyers stability. The concept of Ulam stability was introduced by Ulam [32, 33]. Then, in several research papers on classical fractional derivatives, the aforementioned stability was investigated, see for example [34–38]. Moreover, since stability is fundamental for approximate solution, we strive to use nonlinear functional analysis on Ulam–Hyers and generalized stability of the proposed model (4). Thus
the following definitions are needed. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and consider the following inequality:

$$\left|\mathcal{C}D_{0+}^{\nu}\Phi(t) - K(t, \Phi(t))\right| \leq \epsilon, \quad t \in J,$$

(16)

where $\epsilon = \max(\epsilon_j)^T, j = 1, \ldots, 5.$

**Definition 3** The proposed problem (7), which is equivalent to model (4), is Ulam–Hyers stable if there exists $C_K > 0$ such that, for every $\epsilon > 0$ and for each solution $\tilde{\Phi} \in \mathbb{E}$ satisfying inequality (3), there exist a solution $\Phi \in \mathbb{E}$ of problem (7), with

$$\left|\tilde{\Phi}(t) - \Phi(t)\right| \leq C_K \epsilon, \quad t \in J,$$

where $C_K = \max(C_{Kj})^T.$

**Definition 4** Problem (7), which is equivalent to model (4), is referred to as being generalized Ulam–Hyers stable if there exists a continuous function $\varphi_K: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, with $\varphi_K(0) = 0$, such that, for each solution $\tilde{\Phi} \in \mathbb{E}$ of the inequality (16), there is exist a solution $\Phi \in \mathbb{E}$ of problem (7) such that

$$\left|\tilde{\Phi}(t) - \Phi(t)\right| \leq \varphi_K \epsilon, \quad t \in J,$$

where $\varphi_K = \max(\varphi_{Kj})^T.$

**Remark 1** A function $\tilde{\Phi} \in \mathbb{E}$ is a solution of the inequality (16) if and only if there exist a function $h \in \mathbb{E}$ with the following property:

(i) $|h(t)| \leq \epsilon, h = \max(h_j)^T, t \in J$;

(ii) $\mathcal{C}D_{0+}^{\nu}\tilde{\Phi}(t) = K(t, \tilde{\Phi}(t)) + h(t), t \in J.$

**Lemma 3** Assume that $\tilde{\Phi} \in \mathbb{E}$ satisfies inequality (16), then $\tilde{\Phi}$ satisfies the integral inequality described by

$$\left|\tilde{\Phi}(t) - \Phi_0 - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\nu-1} K(\tau, \tilde{\Phi}(\tau)) \, d\tau\right| \leq \Omega \epsilon.$$

(17)

**Proof** Thanks to (ii) of Remark 1,

$$\mathcal{C}D_{0+}^{\nu}\tilde{\Phi}(t) = K(t, \tilde{\Phi}(t)) + h(t),$$

and Lemma 1 gives

$$\Phi(t) = \Phi_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\nu-1} K(\tau, \Phi(\tau)) \, d\tau + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\nu-1} h(\tau) \, d\tau.$$

(18)

Using (i) of Remark 1, we get

$$\left|\Phi(t) - \tilde{\Phi}_0 - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\nu-1} K(\tau, \tilde{\Phi}(\tau)) \, d\tau\right| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\nu-1} |h(\tau)| \, d\tau \leq \Omega \epsilon.$$

(19)

Hence, the desired results. \qed
Theorem 3 Suppose that $K : J \times \mathbb{R}^5 \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous for every $\Phi \in \mathbb{E}$ and assumption $(A_1)$ holds with $1 - \Omega L_K > 0$. Thus, problem (7) which is equivalent to model (4) is Ulam–Hyers and, consequently, generalized Ulam–Hyers stable.

Proof Suppose that $\bar{\Phi} \in \mathbb{E}$ satisfies inequality (16) and $\Phi \in \mathbb{E}$ is a unique solution of problem (7). Thus, for any $\epsilon > 0$, $t \in J$ and Lemma 3, it gives

$$
|\Phi(t) - \bar{\Phi}(t)| = \max_{t \in J} |\Phi(t) - \Phi_0 - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\upsilon)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\upsilon-1} K(\tau, \Phi(\tau)) d\tau|
$$

$$
\leq \max_{t \in J} |\bar{\Phi}(t) - \Phi_0 - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\upsilon)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\upsilon-1} K(\tau, \bar{\Phi}(\tau)) d\tau|
$$

$$
+ \max_{t \in J} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\upsilon)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\upsilon-1} |K(\tau, \Phi(\tau)) - K(\tau, \bar{\Phi}(\tau))| d\tau
$$

$$
\leq \left| \Phi(t) - \bar{\Phi}_0 - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\upsilon)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\upsilon-1} K(\tau, \Phi(\tau)) d\tau \right|
$$

$$
+ \frac{L_K}{\Gamma(\upsilon)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\upsilon-1} |\Phi(\tau) - \bar{\Phi}(\tau)| d\tau
$$

$$
\leq \Omega \epsilon + \Omega L_K |\Phi(t) - \bar{\Phi}(t)|.
$$

So,

$$
\|\bar{\Phi} - \Phi\| \leq C_K \epsilon,
$$

where

$$
C_K = \frac{\Omega}{1 - \Omega L_K}.
$$

Setting $\varphi_K(\epsilon) = C_K \epsilon$ such that $\varphi_K(0) = 0$, we conclude that the proposed problem (4) is both Ulam–Hyers and generalized Ulam–Hyers stable. $\square$

6 Numerical simulations and discussion

Herein, the fractional variant of the model under consideration via Caputo fractional operator is numerically simulated via first-order convergent numerical techniques as proposed in [39–41]. These numerical techniques are accurate, conditionally stable, and convergent for solving fractional-order both linear and nonlinear systems of ordinary differential equations.

Consider a general Cauchy problem of fractional order having autonomous nature

$$
^\upsilon D_0^\upsilon(y(t)) = g(y(t)), \quad \upsilon \in (0,1], t \in [0, T], y(0) = y_0,
$$

(20)

where $y = (a, b, c, w) \in \mathbb{R}_+^4$ is a real-valued continuous vector function which satisfies the Lipschitz criterion given as

$$
\|g(y_1(t)) - g(y_2(t))\| \leq M\|y_1(t) - y_2(t)\|,
$$

(21)

where $M$ is a positive real Lipschitz constant.
Using the fractional-order integral operators, one obtains

\[ y(t) = y_0 + \int_{0}^{t} g(y(s)) \, ds, \quad t \in [0, T], \tag{22} \]

where \( \int_{0}^{t} \) is the fractional-order integral operator in Riemann–Liouville. Consider an equi-spaced integration intervals over \([0, T]\) with the fixed step size \( h (= 10^{-2} \text{ for simulation}) = \frac{T}{n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \) Suppose that \( y_q \) is the approximation of \( y(t) \) at \( t = t_q \) for \( q = 0, 1, \ldots, n. \) The numerical technique for the governing model under Caputo fractional derivative operator takes the form

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{c}S_{p+1} &= a_0 + \frac{h^\nu}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} \sum_{k=0}^{p} ((p - k + 1)^\nu - (p - k)^\nu) (A - \beta SI - \lambda_1 SL - \bar{d}S + \gamma_1 I + \gamma_2 IL + \theta_1 SL), \\
\text{c}SL_{p+1} &= b_0 + \frac{h^\nu}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} \sum_{k=0}^{p} ((p - k + 1)^\nu - (p - k)^\nu) (\lambda_1 SL - \bar{d}SL - \theta_1 SL), \\
\text{c}I_{p+1} &= d_0 + \frac{h^\nu}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} \sum_{k=0}^{p} ((p - k + 1)^\nu - (p - k)^\nu) (\beta SI - \gamma_1 I - \alpha_1 I - \bar{d}I + \lambda_2 IL + \theta_2 IL), \\
\text{c}IL_{p+1} &= e_0 + \frac{h^\nu}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} \sum_{k=0}^{p} ((p - k + 1)^\nu - (p - k)^\nu) (\lambda_2 IL - \bar{d}IL - \theta_2 IL - \gamma_2 IL - \alpha_2 IL), \\
\text{c}L_{p+1} &= f_0 + \frac{h^\nu}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} \sum_{k=0}^{p} ((p - k + 1)^\nu - (p - k)^\nu) (\mu I - \phi L). 
\end{align*}
\tag{23} \]

Now we discuss the obtained numerical outcomes of the governing model in respect of the approximate solutions. To this aim, we employed the effective Euler method under the Caputo fractional operator to do the job. The initial conditions are assumed as \( S(0) = 900, \) \( S_L(0) = 300, \) \( I(0) = 300, \) \( I_L(0) = 497, \) \( L(0) = 200 \) and the parameter values are taken as in Table 2.

Considering the values in the table, we depicted the profiles of each variable under Caputo fractional derivative in Fig. 1 with the fractional-order value \( \nu = 0.67, \) while Figs. 2

| Parameters | Values | References |
|------------|--------|------------|
| \( A \)    | 400    | [42]       |
| \( \beta \)| 0.00017| [43]       |
| \( \lambda_1 \)| 0.0002 | [42]       |
| \( \lambda_2 \)| 0.002  | Assumed    |
| \( \gamma_1 \)| 0.16979| [6]        |
| \( \gamma_2 \)| 0.16979| [6]        |
| \( \sigma_1 \)| 0.03275| [6]        |
| \( \sigma_2 \)| 0.03275| [6]        |
| \( d \)    | 0.0096 | [44]       |
| \( \theta_1 \)| 0.2   | [42]       |
| \( \theta_2 \)| 0.02  | Assumed    |
| \( \mu \)  | 0.0005 | [42]       |
| \( \phi \) | 0.06   | [42]       |
Profiles for behavior of each state variable for the Caputo version of the fractional model using the values of the parameters.

Figure 1 Profiles for behavior of each state variable for the Caputo version of the fractional model using the values of the parameters.
als. This could affect the death rate to get higher thereby bringing the number of lockdown people to get a vehement decrease.

7 Conclusions
In conclusion, we studied a system of five nonlinear fractional-order equations in the Caputo sense to examine the significance of lockdown in mitigating the spread of coron-
avirus. The fixed point theorems of Schauder and Banach respectively were employed to prove the existence and uniqueness of solutions of the proposed coronavirus model under lockdown. Stability analysis in the frame of Ulam–Hyers and generalized Ulam–Hyers was established. The fractional variant of the model under consideration via Caputo fractional operator has numerically been simulated via first-order convergent numerical technique called fractional Euler method. We depicted the profiles of each variable under Caputo fractional derivative with the fractional-order value \( \nu = 0.67 \). The illustration and dynamical outlook of each variable with different fractional-order values were examined.

Acknowledgements
The first author was supported by the “Petchra Pra Jom Klang Ph.D Research Scholarship from King Mongkut’s University of Technology Thonburi” (Grant No. 13/2561). Furthermore, Wiyada Kumam was financially supported by the Rajamangala University of Technology Thanyaburi (RMUTT) (Grant No. NSF62D0604). Moreover, the authors express their gratitude for the positive comments received from the anonymous reviewers and the editor which improved the paper’s readability.

Funding
Petchra Pra Jom Klang Doctoral Scholarship for Ph.D. program of King Mongkut’s University of Technology Thonburi (KMUTT). The Center of Excellence in Theoretical and Computational Science (TaCS-CoE), KMUTT. Rajamangala University of Technology Thanyaburi (RMUTT) (Grant No. NSF62D0604).

Availability of data and materials
All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article.

Competing interests
The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Authors’ contributions
In writing this article the authors have contributed equally. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details
1 KMUTT Fixed Point Research Laboratory, Department of Mathematics, Room SCL 802 Fixed Point Laboratory, Science Laboratory Building, Faculty of Science, King Mongkut’s University of Technology Thonburi (KMUTT), 126 Pracha-Uthit Road, Bang Mod, Thung Khru, Bangkok 10140, Thailand. 2 KMUTT Fixed Point Theory and Applications Research Group (KMUTT-FPTA), Theoretical and Computational Science Center (TaCS), Science Laboratory Building, Faculty of Science, King Mongkut’s University of Technology Thonburi (KMUTT), 126 Pracha-Uthit Road, Bang Mod, Thung Khru, Bangkok 10140, Thailand. 3 Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Sule Lamido University, P.M.B 048, Kafin-Hausa, Jigawa State, Nigeria. 4 Department of Mathematical Science, Bayero University Kano, Kano, Nigeria. 5 Department of Computer Engineering, Biruni University, Istanbul 34010, Turkey. 6 Department of Mathematics, Federal University Dutse, Jigawa 7156, Nigeria. 7 Program in Applied Statistics, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Rajamangala University of Technology Thanyaburi, Thanyaburi, Pathumthani 12110, Thailand.

Publisher’s Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 19 June 2020 Accepted: 23 July 2020 Published online: 03 August 2020

References
1. World Health Organization: Novel coronavirus diseases 2019. https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019. Accessed: 2020-05-05
2. Hethcote, H.W.: Three basic epidemiological models. In: Applied Mathematical Ecology, pp. 119–144. Springer, Berlin (1989)
3. Remuzzi, A., Remuzzi, G.: COVID-19 and Italy: what next? Lancet 395, 1225–1228 (2020)
4. Funk, S., Ciglenecki, I., Tiffany, A., Gignoux, É., Camacho, A., Eggo, R.M., Kucharski, A.J., Edmunds, W.J., Bolon, J., Azuma, P., et al.: The impact of control strategies and behavioural changes on the elimination of Ebola from Lofa county, Liberia. Philos. Trans. - R. Soc., Biol Sci. 372(1721), 20160302 (2017)
5. Riley, S., Fraser, C., Donnelly, C.A., Ghani, A.C., Abu-Raddad, L.J., Hedley, A.J., Leung, G.M., Ho, L.-M., Lam, T.-H., Thach, T.Q., et al.: Transmission dynamics of the etiological agent of SARS in Hong Kong: impact of public health interventions. Science 300(5627), 1961–1966 (2003)
6. Nigeria Centre for Disease Control: COVID-19 outbreak in Nigeria: situation report. https://ncdc.gov.ng/diseases/sitreps/cat14&name=Ar%20update%20COVID-19%20outbreak%20in%20Nigeria. Accessed: 2020-05-05
7. Linton, N.M., Kobayashi, T., Yang, Y., Hayashi, K., Akhmetzhanov, A.R., Jung, S.-m., Yuan, B., Kinoshita, R., Nishiura, H.: Incubation period and other epidemiological characteristics of 2019 novel coronavirus infections with right truncation: a statistical analysis of publicly available case data. J. Clin. Med. 9(2), 538 (2020)
8. Baud, D., Qi, X., Nielsen-Saines, K., Musso, D., Ponsar, L., Favre, G.: Real estimates of mortality following COVID-19 infection. Lancet Infect. Dis. 20, 773 (2020)
31. Khan, A., Gómez-Aguilar, J., Abdeljawad, T., Khan, H.: Stability and numerical simulation of a fractional order

29. Khan, H., Abdeljawad, T., Aslam, M., Khan, R.A., Khan, A.: Existence of positive solution and Hyers–Ulam stability for a

44. National Population Commission, ICF Macro. Nigeria Demographic and Health Survey 2008. National Population Commission and ICF Macro, Abuja (2009)