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Case Report
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Delayed gastric emptying is a significant postoperative complication of living donor hepatectomy for liver transplantation and may require endoscopic or surgical intervention in severe cases. Although the mechanism of posthepatectomy delayed gastric emptying remains unknown, vagal nerve injury during intraoperative dissection and adhesion formation postoperatively between the stomach and cut liver surface are possible explanations. Here, we present the first reported case of delayed gastric emptying following fully laparoscopic hepatectomy for living donor liver transplantation. Additionally, we also present a case in which symptoms developed after open right hepatectomy, but for which dissection for left hepatectomy was first performed. Through our experience and these two specific cases, we favor a neurovascular etiology for delayed gastric emptying after hepatectomy.

1. Introduction

Delayed gastric emptying (DGE) complicates living donor hepatectomy for liver transplantation in 2% of patients and is almost exclusively associated with left-sided hepatectomy [1, 2]. Symptoms include nausea, vomiting, bloating, and epigastric discomfort, which typically resolve with conservative treatment, but severe cases may require endoscopic or surgical intervention. Because living donors are generally healthy prior to surgery, postoperative DGE is an important complication to recognize, treat, and prevent. Understanding the mechanism of posthepatectomy DGE is therefore essential.

Here, we present two cases of DGE that developed after hepatectomy for living donor liver transplantation, including the first reported example of this complication following laparoscopic hepatectomy. Importantly, we also present a case in which DGE developed after an open right hepatectomy, but for which dissection for a left hepatectomy was first performed. All patient data was reviewed under IRB-approved study protocol IRB-AAAM5707. Through our experience, we favor a neurovascular etiology as the primary cause of DGE after hepatectomy.

2. Case 1

KS, a 31-year-old woman with mild gastroesophageal reflux disease requiring no home medications, presented for planned open left hepatectomy (our preferred technique at the time) for liver donation. During the procedure, a replaced left hepatic artery was visualized and dissected to the level of its origin from the left gastric artery. To completely mobilize the replaced artery, neurovascular branches supplying the lesser curvature of the stomach were divided. Upon examination of the hepatic hilum, a standard left hepatic artery was found, as well as a small segment-4 artery from the proximal right hepatic artery. These additional arteries were not seen on preoperative imaging. Due to concern for increased risk of
Figure 1: Computed tomography scan of the abdomen with intravenous contrast for patient KS, one month following open right hepatectomy. There is no evidence of adhesion formation between the stomach and cut liver surface. The stomach (black arrow) is far removed from the cut surface of the liver.

After removal of the right lobe graft, omentum and colon were placed in the resection bed, and Seprafilm adhesion barrier was applied to the cut liver surface. During the first postoperative week, the patient complained of nausea, heartburn, reflux, and nonbilious vomiting. The patient gradually improved with medical management including a proton pump inhibitor, sucralfate, and metoclopramide, and she was discharged home on postoperative day (POD) 9. On POD 31, the patient was readmitted for severe nausea, bilious vomiting, and burning epigastric pain. A computed tomography (CT) scan of the abdomen did not suggest bowel obstruction or adhesion formation between the stomach and cut liver surface (Figure 1). Endoscopy revealed retained food products in the stomach, and a gastric emptying study demonstrated absent emptying over one hour (Figure 2). Following initial improvement with medical management as described above, the patient experienced worsening emesis on POD 43 with solid food trial. During repeat endoscopy, 200 units of botulinum toxin were injected at the pylorus. Afterward, the patient experienced no further emesis and tolerated oral medications. An upper gastrointestinal series with small bowel follow-through showed no abnormalities. The patient was discharged home on POD 49. She underwent a repeat gastric emptying study one week after discharge, which showed normal gastric emptying. (Figure 3). In subsequent follow-up, she reports feeling well and eating normally. She is currently over four years postdonation.

3. Case 2

IS, a healthy 46-year-old woman, underwent a totally laparoscopic full left hepatectomy for liver donation, using our previously described technique [3]. A replaced left hepatic artery was visualized during the procedure. To have a larger arterial orifice, branches to the lesser curvature of the stomach were taken and the artery was divided at the level of the left gastric artery. The operation proceeded without complications. The patient had a postoperative course notable for mild heartburn and bloating, controlled with a proton pump inhibitor and H2 receptor antagonist, and was discharged home on POD 6. On POD 10, the patient was readmitted for nausea, epigastric pain, and heartburn. A gastric emptying study demonstrated markedly prolonged, with absent emptying during the 60-minute period.

4. Discussion

Postoperative DGE occurs in 2% of all living liver donors and is primarily associated with left-sided hepatectomy [1, 2],
although Kim et al. reported six cases (1.2%) in a series of 500 right-sided liver donors [4]. The mechanism of posthepatectomy DGE remains unknown. One hypothesis involves adhesion formation between the stomach and cut liver surface. After a left-sided hepatectomy, the stomach may twist and fall into the resection bed. As a result, the dislocated stomach may contact the raw surface of the remaining liver and ultimately become fixed via adhesions. Gastric obstruction may develop due to disruption of motility at the points of adhesion, leading to symptoms of DGE.

Direct visualization of gastrohepatic adhesions after left-sided hepatectomy for living liver donation has been documented via surgical reexploration in two cases by Umeshita et al. [1] and one by Kinoshita et al. [5]. All three patients reportedly experienced resolution of symptoms after lysis of adhesions. In addition, some studies have suggested that wrapping the liver with greater omentum [5] or suturing the greater omentum to the peritoneum [6, 7] may decrease the risk of posthepatectomy DGE by preventing contact between the stomach and cut liver surface. Several other studies, however, found no significant effect using the same and/or different techniques to prevent adhesions [8–12]. Overall, the majority of these studies are small, single-center, nonrandomized trials, and the collective data is ambiguous.
The cases of KS and IS add insight to our understanding of posthepatectomy DGE. To our knowledge, the case of IS represents the first report of DGE following laparoscopic hepatectomy for living donor liver transplantation. Laparoscopic abdominal surgeries generally result in fewer adhesions compared to open procedures [13]. Indeed, one study involving pigs found that, compared to open resection of the hepatic left lateral segment, laparoscopic resection resulted in a marked decrease in postoperative adhesions [14]. Despite not using an adhesion barrier in the case of IS, we did not find evidence of postoperative adhesions between the stomach and cut liver surface on CT scan.

The case of KS is particularly noteworthy in the fact that we initially performed the dissection for a left hepatectomy but ultimately used a right lobe graft. As a result, the resection bed was far removed from the stomach, and the cut liver surface was faced away from the stomach. The absence of apposition significantly decreases the probability of adhesion formation between the stomach and the cut surface of the liver. Indeed, we did not find evidence of postoperative gastrohepatic adhesions on CT scan for KS.

An alternative hypothesis for the cause of posthepatectomy DGE involves injury to branches of the anterior vagal trunk coursing through the gastrohepatic ligament, which is typically divided during dissection and mobilization of the liver [15]. In particular, injury to the nerves of Latarjet, the typically divided during dissection and mobilization of the hepatic left lateral segment, laparoscopic resection resulted in a marked decrease in postoperative adhesions [14]. Despite not using an adhesion barrier in the case of IS, we did not find evidence of postoperative adhesions between the stomach and cut liver surface on CT scan.

Notably, both of our cases involved a replaced left hepatic artery, an anatomical variant in which the left hepatic artery arises not from the proper hepatic artery as in normal anatomy but from the left gastric artery. In the context of performing a left hepatectomy for liver donation, a replaced left hepatic artery requires surgeons to perform a more extensive dissection of the gastrohepatic ligament in order to prepare the arterial graft from the left gastric artery. Greater dissection within this area increases the risk of vagal nerve injury and may therefore increase the risk of DGE. To our knowledge, the relationship between replaced vascular anatomy and risk of DGE after hepatectomy has not yet been investigated, but several groups have previously suggested that increased manipulation of the hepatic hilum may result in higher risk of DGE after surgery [8, 9, 18]. The presence of a replaced left hepatic artery in both cases of KS and IS required us to thoroughly dissect the gastrohepatic ligament and take down neurovascular branches to the lesser curvature of the stomach, a process that may have contributed to the onset of DGE in the immediate postoperative period.

Overall, based on our experience and specifically the cases of KS and IS, we favor the hypothesis that extensive dissection of the gastrohepatic ligament may increase the risk of posthepatectomy DGE due to increased risk of damage to vagal branches in the area. Further studies involving larger case collections and multicenter experiences are required to elucidate the true mechanism underlying the development of DGE after living donor hepatectomy.
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