Protection by Classical and Vectored Vaccines against Endemic Velogenic Newcastle Disease in broiler Farms and Evaluation of a Developed Autogenous Vaccine against Predominant Genotype VI

Abstract

This research had three complementary parts, in which the first investigated the broiler’s protection on endemic areas with prevalence of v-NDV (genotype VI) by priming day-old broilers on farms with vectored-NDV vaccines and boosting with classical NDV Clone 30 versus priming and boosting on another farms with NDV Clone 30. The second part evaluated protection and immunity by a developed autogenous killed v-NDV in broilers against controlled challenge by genotype VI. The third part included seven broiler flocks on seven different farms located in v-NDV (genotype VI)-endemic area, introducing an additional subcutaneous dose of the developed autogenous vaccine at 6 days of age to their program of priming at d1 with vectored-NDV vaccine and boosting with NDV Clone 30 at d14. The additional autogenous vaccination was delivered to 100 tagged birds embedded in each flock of 22,000 birds. Results of the first part showed protection against genotype VI by NDV clone 30 alone and by combination of vectored-NDV vaccine and Clone 30 of 47.1 and 94.7%, respectively (P<0.05). Results of the second part showed that priming and boosting with the developed autogenous vaccine conferred a 100 % survival in Eimeria infected and non-infected birds that were challenged with v-NDV compared to 0.0 % survivals in unvaccinated-challenged controls (P<0.05). The acquired HI titers to hemagglutinin and fusion proteins of genotype VI were significantly higher in the vaccinated birds compared to unvaccinated-challenged controls (P<0.05). The third part showed that the inclusion of an additional one dose of the autogenous NDV vaccine at 6 d of age improves protection in broilers by 6.5 % compared to flocks deprived of this additional dose (P<0.05), and higher their HI titers at 21 d and 42 d of age.
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Introduction

Velogenic Newcastle Disease Virus (v-NDV) belongs to the avian paramyxovirus serotype 1 (APMV-1), with a genome of 16 kb that encodes for six proteins [1]. The endemicity of the v-NDV is still reported during the last 5 years from many parts of the world, resulting in devastating disease outbreaks in poultry, including breeders, commercial layers, and broilers [2-5]. Despite the inclusion of different classical and vectored commercial vaccines for the control of different forms of the NDV, the disease is still endemic in poultry of many countries, located in the five continents of our planet, except that of Antarctica [6]. The presence of a cleavage site motif (\textsuperscript{11}RRQR\textsubscript{14}) in the F protein of a NDV strain enables it to be characterized as velogenic, due to its ability to infect systemically [7]. The hypervariable region of F protein is also used in dividing the NDV into two classes, under which the genotypes are distributed, and given Roman number-nomenclature [8-10]. Among the different genotypes, the velogenic-neurotropic genotype VI of NDV has been recently reported in outbreaks of poultry from different parts of the world [5,11]. In spite of all the efforts, introducing new commercial vaccines, aiming at protection against a vast range of v-NDV
genotypes, still most, if not all of these v-NDV strains are not fully protected against by the available products on the market [12,13]. This is the main reason behind the regulations in most developed countries to eradicate the poultry that are infected by v-NDV [14], aiming at keeping their poultry sector free of this List A disease [15]. Unfortunately, and due to the absence of National Poultry Improvement Plans (NPIP) from most developing countries, and the unavailability of compensation to the farmers for eradication, due mostly to poor economy, most of the poultry managers in these countries still introduce vaccines and other biologic enhancers [5], hoping to protect against the endemic v-NDV outbreaks in their vicinities. The objective of this research is to report the protection against genotype VI by classical NDV Clone 30 and vectored vaccines on different broiler farms that exist in endemic areas of the Middle East region, and to evaluate the degree of protection and immunity against this genotype in broilers that are vaccinated by a developed autogenous killed vaccine and subjected to controlled challenge in isolation, and in broilers flocks exposed to field challenge on seven different farms, located in an endemic area of Genotype VI of v-NDV.

Materials and Methods

The materials and methods for the three parts of this research are shown below:

**Part I**
Part I of this research aimed at comparison of broiler survival rates on 10 farms, located in a v-NDV endemic area, reared under different vaccination programs against Newcastle disease.  

**Prevalent v-NDV:** The prevalent v-NDV strains were isolated from broiler outbreaks in an endemic area, at the eastern side of the Mediterranean Sea, located at 34.5440° N, 36.0798° E. The recovery of the strains was from tracheal swabs, suspended in Transport medium recommended by AAAP [15], and inoculated in 100 ul volumes of 10 day-old chicken embryonated eggs through the allantoicroute [15]. The inoculated eggs were incubated at 99.5°F for three days, followed by collection of their allantoic fluid, and testing for its Hemagglutination (HA) activity against 1% of chicken RBC suspension. An allantoic fluid with positive HA activity indicates presence of a propagated virus carrying the hemaaglutinin protein. The confirmation of the v-NDV presence in the tested fluids was done by PCR, using forward and reverse primer sequences targeting the amplification of the viral antigen used in the test was of 4 HA units [15]. The sequencings of the eluted amplicons was performed on Agarose gel, with expectation of its positioning at 254 bp [16]. The sequencing of the eluted amplicons was performed by using 3500 Avant Genetic Analyzer- ABI PRISM (Applied Biosystems, Hitachi), aiming at revealing the genotype of the v-NDV [9,10] and the uncovering of the structure of its cleavage site motif. The field experimental design in Part I of this research included 10 open-system broiler farms, located in the endemic area of v-NDV of genotype VI (34.5440° N, 36.0798° E.), with recurrent history of Newcastle disease outbreaks. The average broiler flock size on the 10 farms was 15,000 birds. Five flocks on five respective farms were primed at day-old with same vectored-NDV vaccine (Vectorumne® ND, CevaSanteAnimale, 10 Avenue de la Ballastiere, 33500 Libourne, France), receiving subcutaneously 0.2 ml per bird of the thawed vaccine. Boosting of these five flocks was performed by administration of NDV clone 30 vaccine in drinking water at an age of 14 days. The other five broiler flocks on another five respective farms, located in the same area, were primed and boosted by administration of NDV clone 30 in drinking water at an age of 1 and 14 days. The means of cumulative percent survival of healthy marketed broilers at an age of 35-37 days were compared statistically between the two treatments by different vaccination programs, using ANOVA and Tukey’s test, reporting significant differences at the level of P<0.05.

**Part II**
Part II of this research was dedicated to evaluate the protection in broilers by an experimental autogenous killed v-NDV, using the complete antigens of the prevalent strain of v-NDV of genotype VI against a controlled challenge that kills 100% of non-vaccinated birds. This part of research was accomplished in isolation units.  

**Autogenous vaccine preparation:** The isolated and sequenced prevalent v-NDV of genotype VI was propagated in 10 day-old chick embryos, using an inoculum of 100 viral particles/100ul/egg, followed by an incubation for three days, and harvesting of the allotropic fluids from all embryos. Allotrophic fluids with HA activity were selected and pooled. The HA titer of the pooled fluid was determined against 1.0% of chicken RBC suspension. The v-NDV virus in the pooled allotic fluid was inactivated with 0.3% formalin at room temperature and a contact time of 2 hrs. The inactivation was confirmed by culturing the formalized-pooled allotrophic fluid in 10 day-old embryos and proof of non-existing HA activity in their allotrophic fluids after an incubation period of 3 days [15]. An equal volume of the inactivated allotrophic fluid and incomplete Freund’s adjuvant were emulsified by adding slowly the aqueous phase into the stirring adjuvant, and passing the preformed emulsion through a Colloidal Mill at stator gap setting of 0.002 inches (Greerco, Ohio 45401 USA).

**Protection and immunity by autogenous vaccine against v-NDV:** The protection and immunity by the autogenous vaccine against controlled v-NDV strain of genotype VI was determined by allocation of three differently treated birds in separate isolation rooms. Each treatment had 10 day-old broilers. Birds in the first treatment received the autogenous vaccine subcutaneously in the neck at 1d (0.2 ml/bird) and at 14 d (0.5 ml/bird) of age, followed by an intra-muscular challenge in the pectoral muscle at 28 d of age with v-NDV strain (1.2x10^6 TCID_0/0.5 ml/bird) and an intra-esophageal immunosuppressive challenge at 21 d of age with equivalent number of non-attenuated sporulated oocyste of eight Eimeria spp (1x10^6 sporulated oocyste/bird). The introduction of Eimeria spp. challenge in this experiment aimed at stimulating the common situation in the field of continuous exposure of broilers to immunosuppressive organisms of coccidian [17] that affect the immune responses to vaccination. Birds in the second treatment were deprived of the autogenous v-NDV vaccination, but administered the same two challenges at the same schedule followed in the first treatment. Birds in the third treatment had the same autogenous v-NDV vaccination as that followed in the first treatment, but deprived of both challenges. The survival of the birds, up to market age of 40 days, was recorded. In addition, the mean HI titers of birds in each treatment was determined at 1, 14, 28, and 34 d of age, including in the test the same strain of v-NDV as that used in construction of the vaccine. It is worth noting that most mortalities occurred after 34 d of age. The strength of the viral antigen used in the test was of 4 HA units [15]. The
SDS-PAGE followed by Western Immunoblotting were applied on the sera of all birds [18] to quantify the mean specific immune responses in the sera collected at different ages (1, 4, 28, and 34 d) to Trans-blotted fusion protein carried from the SDS-resolving gel to the cellulose membrane of the Western Blotting (Figure 1). The quantification of these intensities was performed by Quantity 1 program (Biorad, 1000 Alfred Nobel Drive Hercules, California 94547, USA). The means of HI titers and those of quantified intensities at the fusion band were compared statistically among the three treatments by One Way ANOVA, followed by Tukey’s test. Significant differences among the means were presented at P<0.05.

Results and Discussion

Part I

Genome of prevalent v-NDV in the endemic area: A representative of the banded fusion genome amplicon of the isolated v-NDV strains from economic outbreaks in broilers of the endemic area, located at 34.5440°N, 36.0798°E area of the eastern side of the Mediterranean Sea, is shown in Figure 1. The amplicon is positioned at 254bp, a place that is in agreement with that documented for fusion gene of v-NDV [16]. The sequence of the translated amino acids coded by the eluted nucleotides of the fusion gene amplicon aligned with that of genotype VI (Genbank, KC425723.1). In addition, the translated cleavage site motif was identified between positions 112 and 117 (RRQKR117), a cleavage motif that belongs to velogenic nature of Newcastle Disease viruses [14].

Comparison of protection by two different vaccination programs: The comparison of the percent survivors of five versus five broiler flocks, subjected respectively to two different vaccination programs, in an endemic area of v-NDV of genotype VI, is presented in Table 1. The means of % healthy survivors on five broiler farms subjected to vaccination in drinking water and 84.7 %, respectively (P<0.05). This result is in agreement with previous document, reporting the ability of vectored ND vaccines to induce additional specific response to the virulence factor of fusion protein, and not restricted to immune responses to the hemagglutinin (HA) protein of NDV [19,20]. The humoral immunity produced by NDV clone 30 against HA protein of the virus seems insufficient to provide protection against the virulent v-NDV in this endemic area. Previous reports indicated a failure in correlation of humoral response to HA protein of NDV and protection [20,21]. Another reports documented the benefit of vectored ND vaccine in its ability to induce humoral immunity to fusion and HA proteins, and cell-mediated immunity, two major components of the chicken immune system that are essential in protection against v-NDV [21].

Part II

This part dealt with evaluation of protection and immunity induced by the experimental autogenous vaccine in broilers raised in isolation units and subjected to controlled challenge by v-NDV of genotype VI.
Autogenous vaccine: The experimental autogenous inactivated ND vaccine formed a water-in-oil emulsion (1/1 v/v), with average homogeneous micelle diameter of 1 um, when refined by the colloidal mill that was set at a Stator Gap of 0.002 inches. The HA strength of its aqueous phase was 128units. This HA strength is within compliance with that recommended in literature [22,23], while the micelle fine average diameter was appropriate in preventing the split of its oil and aqueous phases, thus enhancing its stability [24].

Protection and immunity by the autogenous ND vaccine: The broiler survivor rate in the first treatment was 100 %, receiving autogenous vaccine at d1 and d14 and challenged with v-NDV at d 28 and with eight Eimeria spp at d21 (Table 2). On the contrary, the survival in the positive control birds of the second treatment, that were deprived of the autogenous vaccine and receiving the same challenge as that of first treatment, was null. The negative control birds of the third treatment, that were vaccinated and deprived of both challenges, had a 100 % survival. The significant survival of vaccinated and challenged birds of first treatment is in agreement with other workers that documented a full protection against devastating diseases in poultry by autogenous killed vaccines, incorporating in it the homologous strain that is used in the challenge [25,26]. Researchers showed also the high efficacy of autogenous killed ND vaccine in protection against homologous v-NDV [27]. It is worth noting that Eimeria spp. infection is prevalent in chicken, causing suppression of acquired immunity to vaccines, and more specifically to ND vaccines [28]. In spite of the known fact about the suppression of immunity by Eimeria spp., still the autogenous vaccine was highly protective against a homologous challenge with v-NDV of genotype VI. In addition, the survival of all birds in the third treatment that received the vaccine and deprived of both challenges indicates the safety of the administered vaccine. Actually, the use of killed vaccines in poultry husbandry is favored, since it is devoid of living organisms that might cause a vaccine reaction or even significant loss in production under certain stressful situations [29,30]. Regarding the acquired immunity in birds of the three treatments (Table 3), the autogenous vaccine administered at 1 and 14 d of age in birds of first and third treatments was able to induce significant HI titers and specific immunity to fusion protein of v-NDV at 28 d and 34 d of age compared to birds deprived of the vaccine in the second treatment (P<0.05). The higher HI titers specific to Hemagglutinin protein and the higher specific antibodies to fusion protein are most likely the reason behind having a full protection by the autogenous vaccine in birds of Treatment 1. Figure 2 is selected as a demo to show differences in intensity of specific antibodies reacting to banded fusion protein on cellulose membranes as a result of acquired immunity to autogenous vaccine and to controlled challenge by v-NDV of Genotype VI. Actually, previous workers were able to relate the high protection in chicken against v-NDV to the significant immune responses to hemagglutinin and to fusion proteins [31,32].

Table 1: The percent survival of broilers administered vectored vaccine and/or classical NDV clone 30 in an endemic area with prevalence of velogenic genotype VI.

| Broiler Farm | Administered Vaccine | Vaccination Age (days) | % Survival |
|--------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------|
| 1            | NDV Clone 30         | 1, 14                  | 47.4       |
| 2            | NDV Clone 30         | 1, 14                  | 43.7       |
| 3            | NDV Clone 30         | 1, 14                  | 45.2       |
| 4            | NDV Clone 30         | 1, 14                  | 51         |
| 5            | NDV Clone 30         | 1, 14                  | 48.4       |
| Mean         |                      |                        | 47.1a      |
| 6            | Vectored Vaccine     | 1                      | 80.7       |
|              | NDV Clone 30         | 14                     |            |
| 7            | Vectored Vaccine     | 1                      | 85.8       |
|              | NDV Clone 30         | 14                     |            |
| 8            | Vectored Vaccine     | 1                      | 87.3       |
|              | NDV Clone 30         | 14                     |            |
| 9            | Vectored Vaccine     | 1                      | 86.6       |
|              | NDV Clone 30         | 14                     |            |
| 10           | Vectored Vaccine     | 1                      | 83         |
|              | NDV Clone 30         | 14                     |            |
| Mean         |                      |                        | 84.7b      |
| SEM          |                      |                        | 6.3        |

The two means in the last column followed by different alphabetic superscripts are significantly different at  P<0.05. The SEM stands for Standard Error of Means.
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Part III

This part of research, accomplished on seven broiler farms located in an endemic area of v-NDV, aimed at the evaluation of introduction of an additional subcutaneous dose of the experimental autogenous v-NDV vaccine, at six days of age, to the established program of priming broilers subcutaneously at d 1 with Vectormune ND, and boosting at d 14 with NDV Clone 30. The mean survivors of the major flocks on the seven farms, deprived of the additional autogenous vaccination at six days of age compared to the mean survivors of embedded birds in the seven major flocks that received the additional autogenous vaccine was 84.5 % and 91 %, respectively [P<0.05]. This statistical improvement of protection in the embedded birds could be due to the presence of conserved homologous fusion protein of genotype VI in the inactivated strain present in the autogenous vaccine and in the live prevalent field strains. Other researchers documented an improvement in protection of broilers due to the presence of similar fusion antigens in the NDV vaccine and in the field v-NDV strains [32]. The acquired immunity, by the major flocks versus the embedded ones, to the H-protein of the v-NDV (genotype VI) strain that was incorporated in the HI-test, is shown in Table 4. There was a higher mean HI titer in embedded birds of the seven flocks compared to their respective major parts at both the 21 and 42 d of age (P>0.05). This improvement in immune titers of embedded birds could be more specific to the protective H and fusion proteins, due to the inclusion in the autogenous vaccine the v-NDV strain of genotype VI. Actually, most scientists in poultry vaccinology area attempted to identify the protective proteins in etiologic agents, aiming at inducing acquired immunity to them, that leads to higher protection [34,35]. These attempts included raising protection to v-NDV by the acquired immunity to its homologous protective proteins, mainly the H and fusion proteins [31,36].

Table 2: Study of the protection by the autogenous vaccine against genotype VI of v-NDV in presence and absence of 8 Eimeria spp. Infection.

| Treatment | Autogenous Vaccine for v-NDV | Challenge | % Survivors |
|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------|-------------|
|           | Eimeria spp                  | v-NDV     |             |
| 1         | Yes                         | Yes       | Yes         | 100.0\% |
| 2         | No                          | Yes       | Yes         | 0.0\%   |
| 3         | Yes                         | No        | No          | 100.0\% |

1The Autogenous vaccine is a water-in-oil emulsion, in which the aqueous phase contained 128HA units of formalized v-NDV of genotype VI.
2The challenge was at 21 d of age, by equivalent number of sporulated Oocyst of 8 Eimeria spp (1x10⁶sporulated oocysts/bird). The 8 Eimeria spp, were E. necatrix, E. acervulina, E. mivati, E. tenella, E. brunetti, E. maxima, E. hagani and E. praecox.
3The challenge was intramuscular at 28 d of age, by v-NDV of genotype VI containing 1.2x10⁶TCID₅₀/0.5 ml/bird.
4Survivors up to market age of 40 days. Most of mortalities in Treatment 2 occurred after 34 days of age.
5Percentages in a column followed by different alphabetic superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05).

Table 3: Acquired humoral immunity specific to haemagglutinin and fusion proteins of genotype VI of v-NDV by the developed autogenous vaccine in presence and absence of 8 Eimeria spp. Infection.

| Treatment | Autogenous Vaccine for v-NDV | Challenge | HI Titers at Age (d) | Intensity of Fusion Band x 1000 at Age (d) |
|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------|----------------------|------------------------------------------|
|           | Eimeria spp                  | v-NDV     |                      |                                          |
| 1         | Yes                         | Yes       | 1:23\^a             | 14:4\^a                                 |
| 2         | No                          | Yes       | 1:23\^b             | 1:138\^c                                |
| 3         | Yes                         | No        | 1:23\^b             | 1:4:2\^c                                |

1The specific humoral immunity to haemagglutinin in protein on v-NDV was measured by Hemaagglutination-Inhibition test.
2The specific humoral immunity to fusion protein on v-NDV was measured by the intensity of its band on cellulose membrane of the Western Immunoblotting.
3The Autogenous vaccine is a water-in-oil emulsion, in which the aqueous phase contained 128HA units of formalized v-NDV of genotype VI.
4The challenge was at 21 d of age, by equivalent number of sporulated Oocyst of 8 Eimeria spp (1x10⁶sporulated oocysts /spp.). The 8 Eimeria spp were E. necatrix, E. acervulina, E. mivati, E. tenella, E. brunetti, E. maxima, E. hagani and E. praecox.
5The challenge was intramuscular at 28 d of age, by v-NDV of genotype VI containing 1.2x10⁶TCID₅₀/0.5 ml/bird.
6Values in a column with different alphabet superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05)
Table 4: Evaluation of the developed autogenous vaccine<sup>1</sup> administered to embedded birds<sup>2</sup> of six respective flocks<sup>3</sup> located on 6 farms in an area with recurrent outbreaks by genotype VI of v-NDV.

| Flocks | % Survivors | Mean HI titers at different ages in days (d) |
|--------|-------------|--------------------------------------------|
|        | Major Flock | Embedded Birds | Major Flock | Embedded Birds | Major Flock | Embedded Birds |
| 1      | 87.7        | 93.0           | 2.0         | 2.2           | 6.5         | 9.2           |
| 2      | 85.8        | 92.0           | 9.8         | 42.4          | -           | -             |
| 3      | 85.2        | 88.0           | 8.8         | 15.6          | -           | -             |
| 4      | -           | -              | 7.0         | 6.4           | 22.4        | 32.0          |
| 5      | 78.0        | 87.0           | 2.8         | 8.0           | 4.8         | 14.8          |
| 6      | 86.3        | 93.0           | 0.8         | 2.6           | 13.2        | 18.8          |
| 7      | 84.2        | 93.0           | 0.8         | 3.2           | 20.8        | 38.4          |
| Means  | 84.5<sup>a</sup> | 91.0<sup>b</sup> | 4.6<sup>a</sup> | 11.5<sup>a</sup> | 13.5<sup>a</sup> | 22.6<sup>a</sup> |

<sup>1</sup>The Autogenous vaccine is a water-in-oil emulsion, in which the aqueous phase contained 128 HA units of formalized v-NDV of genotype VI.

<sup>2</sup>One hundred birds were randomly selected and tagged and embedded in each of the major 6 flocks. Each of these 100s were administered an additional subcutaneous injection of 0.2 ml of the developed autogenous vaccine at six days of age. Both the birds in the major flocks and the 100 birds embedded in each flock received the same feed and water, same vaccination program, and living in identical environment.

<sup>3</sup>The total number of each major flock plus the 100 embedded birds in each was 22,000.

Each compared two means in the last row of this table, located under the same subtitle, and followed by different alphabet superscripts, are significantly different (P<0.05).

Figure 2: Western Immunoblotting showing the intensities of the reaction between humoral antibodies and the banded fusion protein of genotype VI of v-NDV, positioned at 62 Kda. Birds of Treatment 1 received the autogenous vaccine at d1 and d14, and challenged with v-NDV at d 28. Birds in Treatment 2 were negative controls, deprived of vaccination and administered challenge by v-NDV at 28 d of age.

a. Lane 1: Molecular Ladder (Precision Plus Protein Kaleidoscope Standard, Biorad).
b. Lane 2: Standard negative serum.
c. Lane 3: Treatment 2, bird serum collected at d14.
d. Lane 4: Treatment 2, bird serum collected at d28.
e. Lane 5: Treatment 2, bird serum collected at d34.
f. Lane 6: Treatment 1, bird serum collected at d14.
g. Lane 7: Treatment 1, bird serum collected at d28.
h. Lane 8: Treatment 1, bird serum collected at d34.

Conclusion

In conclusion, part I of this research was able to observe the benefit of priming with vectored-NDV vaccine at d1 and boosting with NDV Clone 30 compared to priming and boosting by NDV Clone 30 on farms present in an endemic area of v-NDV of genotype VI. In addition, part II uncovered the high protection by killed autogenous NDV vaccine against a controlled bivalent challenge with homologous v-NDV of genotype VI and immunosuppressive Eimeria spp. Moreover, part III showed the advantage in protection and immunity of broilers raised in an endemic area of v-NDV by introducing an additional administration of autogenous NDV vaccine at 6 days of age to established vaccination program, involving priming at d1 with vectored-NDV vaccine and boosting at d14 with NDV Clone 30. It is recommended in the future to investigate the protection and immunity by priming and boosting with the developed autogenous v-NDV vaccine alone in broilers present in such endemic areas.
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