RNA Interference-Mediated Silencing of Ornithine Decarboxylase and Spermidine Synthase Genes in Trypanosoma brucei Provides Insight into Regulation of Polyamine Biosynthesis
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Polyamine biosynthesis is a drug target for the treatment of African sleeping sickness; however, mechanisms regulating the pathway in Trypanosoma brucei are not well understood. Recently, we showed that RNA interference (RNAi)-mediated gene silencing or the inhibition of S-adenosylmethionine decarboxylase (AdoMetDC) led to the upregulation of the AdoMetDC activator, prozyme, and ornithine decarboxylase (ODC) proteins. To determine if this regulatory response is specific to AdoMetDC, we studied the effects of the RNAi-induced silencing of the spermidine synthase (SpdSyn) and ODC genes in bloodstream form T. brucei. The knockdown of either gene product led to the depletion of the polyamine and trypanothione pools and to cell death. Decarboxylated AdoMet levels were elevated, while AdoMet was not affected. There was no significant effect on the protein levels of other polyamine pathway enzymes. The treatment of parasites with the ODC inhibitor α-difluoromethylornithine gave similar results to those observed for ODC knockdown. Thus, the cellular response to the loss of AdoMetDC activity is distinctive, suggesting that AdoMetDC activity controls the expression levels of the other spermidine biosynthetic enzymes. RNAi-mediated cell death occurred more rapidly for ODC than for SpdSyn. Further, the ODC RNAi cells were rescued by putrescine, but not spermidine, suggesting that the depletion of both putrescine and spermidine is more detrimental than the depletion of spermidine alone. This finding may contribute to the effectiveness of ODC as a target for the treatment of African sleeping sickness, thus providing important insight into the mechanism of action of a key antitrypanosomal agent.

Human African trypanosomiasis (HAT) is a severe disease that is endemic in central Africa and is caused by the protozoan parasite Trypanosoma brucei. The drugs currently available to treat HAT are limited by toxicity and difficult dosing schemes (6). There is an unprecedented need to develop a new class of safe and effective drugs for HAT treatment. The polyamine biosynthesis pathway has been extensively studied as a class of safe and effective drugs for HAT treatment. The polyamines putrescine and spermidine are synthesized from ornithine and S-adenosylmethionine (AdoMet) (Fig. 1). ODC catalyzes the first committed step, producing putrescine, while S-adenosylmethionine decarboxylase (AdoMetDC) generates decarboxylated S-adenosylmethionine (dcAdoMet), which serves as the aminopropyl group donor for spermidine synthesis. In the trypanosomatids, spermidine is conjugated to two molecules of glutathione to form trypanothione, which is required to maintain cellular redox balance (12). Thus, polyamines play a unique role in these protozoan pathogens.

Both genetic and chemical data have demonstrated that the polyamine and trypanothione biosynthetic pathways are essential in T. brucei. Gene knockout or RNA interference (RNAi) studies in Trypanosoma brucei have shown that the polyamine and trypanothione biosynthetic enzymes are required for parasite growth (1, 19, 23, 24, 35, 40). In addition to DFMO, inhibitors of AdoMetDC have also been reported to have antitrypanosomal activity (3, 5, 7), further demonstrating that polyamine metabolism is of clear medical and pharmacological importance in these parasites. DFMO treatment depletes the cells of putrescine and trypanothione, while leading to a partial depletion of spermidine (11). The loss of these polyamine pools has been clearly implicated in the mechanism of action of DFMO killing. Additionally, DFMO has been reported to elevate AdoMet levels in some T. brucei isolates, and this response has been put forward as a potential mechanism accounting for species differences in DFMO sensitivity (41).

Eukaryotic cells tightly regulate the polyamine biosynthetic pathway to maintain polyamine homeostasis (10, 27–29). Excess polyamine biosynthesis is associated with tumor promotion in mammals, while a deficiency of polyamines leads to cell growth arrest. Polyamine synthesis, degradation, and transport have each been shown to be under regulatory control. The key rate-limiting enzymes ODC and AdoMetDC are regulated at the levels of transcription, translation, and posttranslational
**FIG. 1.** Polyamine and trypanothione biosynthetic pathways.

Modification (8, 9, 28, 34). ODC is further regulated by a protein inhibitor termed antizyme, which regulates its rate of degradation in response to polyamine concentration (10). Finally, antizyme levels are modulated by translational frame shifting and by binding to antizyme inhibitor (25).

In contrast to this wealth of regulatory control in mammalian cells, an understanding of the mechanisms that regulate the polyamine biosynthesis pathway in trypanosomes is only just emerging. The regulatory mechanisms found in mammalian cells do not appear to operate in *T. brucei*, and they appear to have evolved novel control mechanisms. There is no evidence for the regulation of ODC and AdoMetDC protein turnover (15, 30, 40). An antizyme homolog has not been found in the *T. brucei* genome, and the AdoMetDC gene does not appear to carry an upstream open reading frame that could regulate translation. Instead, we recently discovered a unique mechanism for the regulation of AdoMetDC in trypanosomatids. *T. brucei* AdoMetDC is activated 1,200-fold by forming a heterodimer with an inactive homolog termed prozyme, which is found only in the trypanosomatids (39). Gene silencing by RNAi or the chemical inhibition of AdoMetDC led to 25-fold and 7-fold increases in the protein levels of prozyme and ODC, respectively, with the data suggesting that the expression of these enzymes is transcriptionally regulated (40).

To determine if additional enzymes in the polyamine biosynthetic pathway are also involved in the regulatory response, we studied the effect of the RNAi-mediated silencing of the spermidine synthase (SpdSyn) and ODC genes in bloodstream form (BSF) *T. brucei* cells. The effects of DFMO treatment were studied as a comparison. The knockdown of ODC or SpdSyn led to polyamine and trypanothione depletion and to cell growth arrest. However, neither ODC nor SpdSyn knockdown, nor treatment with DFMO, resulted in any significant effects on the expression levels of other polyamine pathway enzymes. These data demonstrate that the regulatory response observed upon the depletion of AdoMetDC activity is unique and suggest that AdoMetDC is the central player in the control of pathway flux. Finally, in comparison to other pathway enzymes, the knockdown of ODC by RNAi led to a rapid cell death that could not be rescued by spermidine, suggesting alternative roles for putrescine or the possibility that mechanisms other than polyamine depletion contribute to cell death. These studies contribute to our understanding of the regulatory control points in the polyamine biosynthetic pathway, providing important insight into the enzymes in the pathway that are best targeted for drug discovery projects.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials.** All reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich unless otherwise indicated.

**Trypanosome cultures.** BSF trypanosomes were cultured in HMI-9 medium with 10% fetal bovine serum at 37°C under 5% CO₂ (18). For the spermidine rescue experiments, chicken serum was substituted for bovine serum to avoid polyamine oxidase-driven toxicity (31). Cells were maintained in the mid-log phase (10⁵ to 10⁶ cells/ml) and selected in medium containing the appropriate antibiotics (15 µg/ml of G418, 50 µg/ml of hygromycin, and 2.5 µg/ml of phleomycin). Cell densities were determined by counting with a hemocytometer (Brightline; Fisher). Growth curves were plotted as time versus total cell numbers, calculated as the product of the cell density and the total dilution factors (38).

**SpdSyn RNAi and ODC RNAi constructs.** The SpdSyn (EC 2.5.1.16) and ODC (EC 4.1.1.17) RNAi constructs were generated as described previously (38). Briefly, a 549-bp fragment (corresponding to coding nucleotides 108 to 656) from the *T. brucei* SpdSyn gene or a 420-bp fragment (corresponding to coding nucleotides 894 to 1313) from the *T. brucei* ODC gene was amplified by PCR using gene-specific primers and ligated into the pJM326 vector in the forward direction and into the pLEW100 vector in the reverse direction. The HindIII and XbaI fragments from the resulting pJM326 construct, containing the gene fragment plus a stuffer region, were ligated into the pLEW100 vector containing the XbaI-MluI gene fragment. The final constructs have two copies of the target gene in opposite directions separated by a stuffer region. The primers were as follows: for SpdSyn, HindIII-TCAAGCTTAAACAGGTCCGACCCGTC, NheI-AAGCTTAGACGAAACCGTTGCCTCGACCC, MluI-AAAGCTGTAGACGCCACAGGTCGCTCCAG, and XbaI-TCTACTGAAATATACCTGTCCTCAGCTACCTTACG; for ODC, HindIII-AAAGCTTCTACGTTGCTTCAGCTACCTTACG, NheI-GCTAGCCGACACATCGTCTGCTG, and XbaI-TCTAGACGCAACACTGCTGCTGCTAGAG.

**Transfection of T. brucei parasites.** 90-13 BSF cells (a gift from George Cross) were grown to mid-log phase (10⁵ to 10⁶ cells/ml). DNA (100 µg of linearized construct) was electroporated into cells (5 × 10⁶ to 8 × 10⁶) at room
temperature by a single pulse on the Bio-Rad gene pulser electroporation system as described previously (19). Cells were suspended into HMI-9 medium (24 ml) plus G418 (15 μg/ml) and hygromycin (50 μg/ml), dispensed into a 24-well plate at 1 ml/well, and incubated for 6 h at 37°C before phleomycin (5 μg/ml) was added to select for plasmid integration into the rRNA locus. RNAi targeting SpdSyn or ODC message was induced by the addition of tetracycline (Tet; 1 μg/ml) into the culture every 24 h. Spermidine (100 μM) or putrescine (500 μM) was included in the medium as a rescue agent where indicated.

**Northern analysis.** mRNA was prepared from at least 1 × 10^6 cells, separated on 1% denaturing agarose gels (1 μg/lane), and transferred to a positively charged nylon membrane (BrightStar-Plus; Ambion). Northern blot analysis was performed as recommended by the manufacturer using a Micro Poly(A) Purist kit (Ambion) as previously described (40). Western analysis. Protein levels were evaluated by Western blotting as described previously (19, 40). Briefly, log-phase cells (1 × 10^6) were collected after centrifugation, and transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Hybond-P; Amersham SpdSyn; and rat polyclonal antibodies raised against donovani/H9253 recombinant ODC and procyclic 29-13 cell lysates in which higher levels of the ODC and the γ-GCS antibodies were also run on gels; these included recombinant ODC and procytic 29-13 cell lysates in which higher levels of γ-GCS expression are observed. The concentrations were then determined from a standard curve of concentration versus peak area that was created using known concentrations of the etheno derivatives of AdoMet and decAdoMet. The concentrations were then determined from a standard curve of concentration versus peak area that was created using known concentrations of the etheno derivatives of AdoMet and decAdoMet.

**Gene accession numbers.** The GeneDB accession numbers are as follows: ODC, Tb11.01.5300; SpdSyn, Tb09.v1.0380; AdoMetDC, Tb927.6.4410, Tb927.6.4460; prozyme, Tb927.6.4470; γ-GCS, Tb10.389.1360; TrypSyn, Tb927.2.4370; and TrypRed, Tb10.406.0520.

**RESULTS**

The silencing of SpdSyn or ODC genes by RNAi leads to the death of *T. brucei* BSF cells. To test the effects of reduced SpdSyn or ODC expression on BSF *T. brucei* parasites, we generated stable cell lines with an inducible RNAi construct targeting each gene. These lines contain a Tet-inducible stem-loop vector with portions of the SpdSyn (549 bp) or ODC (420 bp) coding regions cloned in opposite orientations around a spacer sequence and integrated into the rRNA gene locus of *T. brucei* BSF 90-13 cells. The addition of Tet leads to the production of a double-stranded stem-loop RNA targeting either SpdSyn or ODC mRNA for degradation. Northern and Western blot analyses indicated that SpdSyn mRNA (Fig. 2A, inset, S panel) and protein (Fig. 2B) were reduced >90% by day 2 after induction of the SpdSyn RNAi line with Tet, while the induction of ODC RNAI led to a 90% depletion of ODC mRNA (Fig. 3A, inset, O panel) and protein (Fig. 3B) within 24 h of induction. Tubulin mRNA (Fig. 2A and 3A, insets, T panels) and protein levels (Fig. 2B and 3B) were evaluated as a loading control.

The mRNA knockdown of SpdSyn or ODC led to cell growth arrest and to cell death after several cell cycles, similarly to previous reports (24, 35, 38). SpdSyn RNAi cells induced with Tet grew slowly from day 3 and began to die after day 7 (Fig. 2A). The addition of exogenous spermidine (0.1 mM) to the Tet-induced SpdSyn RNAi cells restored normal growth relative to the uninhibited cells, while the addition of exogenous putrescine (0.5 mM) did not. The RNAi-mediated silencing of the ODC gene led to more rapid growth arrest, which occurred by day 2, followed by cell death by day 4 (Fig. 3A). The Tet-induced ODC RNAi line was rescued by the addition of putrescine (0.5 mM) but not spermidine (0.1 mM).

The demonstration that exogenous spermidine rescues the growth defect caused by the RNAi-mediated silencing of the SpdSyn gene differs from previous reports that found spermidine to be toxic to the cells and unable to rescue the effects of SpdSyn knockdown by RNAi (35). This difference can be attributed to the fact that in the prior report, bovine serum was used to culture the parasites, whereas we used chicken serum. Bovine serum contains polyamine oxidases that utilize spermidine as a substrate and generate hydrogen peroxide, which is toxic to the cells (31, 32). Chicken serum does not have these oxidases, and spermidine at the concentrations used is not toxic to BSF parasites under these conditions.

**Effects of SpdSyn or ODC RNAi-mediated gene silencing on the expression of polyamine and trypanothonine biosynthetic enzymes.** To examine the possibility of cross-regulation between polyamine and trypanothonine biosynthesis, the protein levels of the other enzymes in both pathways were evaluated by Western blotting after Tet induction of SpdSyn or ODC RNAi. The SpdSyn RNAi cells were followed for 6 days after induction, and a modest increase (two- to threefold) was observed in

**Effect of SpdSyn or ODC RNAi-mediated gene silencing on the expression of polyamine and trypanothonine biosynthetic enzymes.** To examine the possibility of cross-regulation between polyamine and trypanothonine biosynthesis, the protein levels of the other enzymes in both pathways were evaluated by Western blotting after Tet induction of SpdSyn or ODC RNAi. The SpdSyn RNAi cells were followed for 6 days after induction, and a modest increase (two- to threefold) was observed in
the steady-state levels of the AdoMetDC protein while the expression levels of the remaining enzymes were unchanged (Fig. 2B). The ODC RNAi cells were followed for only 48 h after induction because of the rapid cell death phenotype. Over this time course, the depletion of ODC did not affect the steady-state protein levels of other enzymes in the pathway (Fig. 3B). As a control, the protein expression levels were also examined in untransformed 90-13 cells with or without the addition of Tet, demonstrating that Tet itself did not affect the expression profile of pathway enzymes (data not shown).

**SpdSyn or ODC RNAi-mediated gene silencing leads to reduced polyamine and trypanothione levels.** The effects of SpdSyn and ODC knockdown on intracellular polyamine and reduced thiol pools were examined by HPLC analysis (Table 1 and Fig. 2 and 3). After 6 days of Tet induction, the spermidine levels in SpdSyn RNAi cells decreased to 20% of the uninduced control (Fig. 2C), while both the GSH-Spd and T(SH)₂ levels were depleted to <5% of those of the controls (Fig. 2D). The spermidine and GSH-Spd levels began to drop after 2 days of induction, while the depletion of T(SH)₂ did not occur until 6 days after induction. Exogenous spermidine (0.1 mM) partially restored the spermidine and GSH-Spd levels, while fully restoring the T(SH)₂ levels in these cells. In contrast, putrescine (0.5 mM) was unable to replenish the spermidine, GSH-Spd, or T(SH)₂ pool, a result which correlates with the observation that cell growth arrest was rescued by spermidine but not putrescine. The silencing of the ODC gene caused a near complete depletion of putrescine, a 50% reduction in spermidine (Fig. 3C), and a >90% reduction in GSH-Spd and T(SH)₂ levels (Fig. 3D) 48 h after induction. Exogenous putrescine (0.5 mM) completely restored both the polyamine and thiol pools. In contrast, while the addition of exogenous spermidine (0.1 mM) restored the GSH-Spd and T(SH)₂ pools to >70% of the control levels, the spermidine and putrescine levels remained low at only 50% and 24%, respectively, of the levels in the uninduced controls. The cells were not viable under these conditions. GSH levels remained similar to wild-type levels in all of the experimental systems.

**Decarboxylated AdoMet but not AdoMet levels are increased upon SpdSyn or ODC RNAi-mediated gene silencing.** To explore additional mechanisms that contribute to cell death beyond polyamine and T(SH)₂ depletion, we evaluated the
effects of SpdSyn and ODC RNAi-mediated gene silencing on AdoMet and dcAdoMet levels (Table 1 and Fig. 4). Intracellular dcAdoMet levels increased \( \times 100 \)-fold upon Tet induction of SpdSyn RNAi, while the addition of exogenous spermidine (0.1 mM) restored the wild-type levels. The induction of ODC RNAi by Tet led to a small (fourfold) increase in dcAdoMet levels. The intracellular AdoMet levels were not significantly affected by the knockdown of either ODC or SpdSyn.

**Comparative effects of DFMO on polyamine and trypanothione biosynthesis.** The growth effect of DFMO on 90-13 BSF parasites was evaluated by generating a dose-response curve (50% effective concentration, 25 \( \mu \)M) to determine the level of

| Genetic knockdown/chemical inhibition | Putrescine | Spermidine | GSH-Spd | (SH)\(_2\) | AdoMet | dcAdoMet | Pathway enzymes |
|-------------------------------------|------------|------------|---------|-----------|--------|----------|----------------|
| ODC \(a\)                           | 0          | 50         | <5      | <5        | 100    | 400      | nc             |
| DFMO treatment                      | 0          | 40         | 20      | 40        | 100    | 4,000    | nc             |
| SpdSyn \(b\)                        | 30         | 20         | <5      | <5        | 100    | 18,000   | nc             |
| AdoMetDC \(c\)                      | 700        | 40         | <5      | <5        | ND     | ND       | 2,500, prozyme; 700, ODC |
| Prozyme \(c\)                       | 1,000      | <5         | <5      | <5        | ND     | ND       | 500, ODC       |

\(a\) For ODC, SpdSyn, and AdoMetDC, the results are from RNAi-mediated gene silencing, while for prozyme, the results are taken from a gene knockout cell line expressing a Tet-inducible regulated copy of the gene. For ODC, data represent the 48-h time point after Tet induction; for SpdSyn and AdoMetDC, data represent the 6-day time point after Tet induction; and for prozyme, data represent the 4-day time point after Tet withdrawal.

\(b\) ND, not determined; nc, no significant change.

\(c\) Data taken from reference 40.
DFMO to be used in the subsequent experiments. The cells were next treated with DFMO at the 50% effective concentration (12.5 μM) for 3 days in the presence or in the absence of putrescine (0.5 mM). The effects of DFMO on the polyamine, trypanothione, AdoMet, and dcAdoMet pools were examined by HPLC analysis (Table 1 and Fig. 5A), and the protein levels of the polyamine pathway enzymes were evaluated by Western blotting (Fig. 5B). As previously reported (11), DFMO treatment led to a complete depletion of putrescine, whereas the spermidine, GSH-Spd, and T(SH)₂ levels were decreased to 20 to 40% of that of the DFMO (−) control. The addition of exogenous putrescine restored the spermidine, putrescine, GSH-Spd, and T(SH)₂ levels. The GSH level was also reduced to 40% of that of the control cells but was restored to 60% of that of the untreated control by the addition of putrescine. The effects on GSH levels have not previously been reported, and these data suggest that GSH may become oxidized due to the accumulation of oxidized trypanothione. The dcAdoMet levels increased by ~40-fold in DFMO-treated T. brucei cells, and this effect was reversed by the addition of putrescine. No significant change in the AdoMet level was observed. Finally, DFMO treatment led to an approximately twofold increase in
the ODC protein level, while the steady-state protein levels of SpdSyn, AdoMetDC, γ-GCS, prozyme, TrypSyn, and TrypRed were unaffected.

**DISCUSSION**

Polyamine metabolism is tightly regulated in eukaryotic cells to maintain a balance of polyamine biosynthesis, degradation, and transport; however, the previously described mechanisms do not appear to operate in trypanosomes. We have now completed a systematic analysis of the effects of the genetic knockdown of the four proteins involved in spermidine biosynthesis in BSF *T. brucei* (summarized in Table 1). This experimental data set provides important insight into polyamine regulation in *T. brucei*, and it is a valuable tool for further analysis of pathway flux, including the use of mathematical modeling, as was recently described for the mammalian pathway (33).

ODC, SpdSyn, and AdoMetDC (40) were evaluated by RNAi-mediated gene silencing, while prozyme was evaluated using a regulated knockout strategy (40). The knockdown of each of these proteins leads to cell growth arrest, correlating with a near-complete depletion of T(SH)₂ and GSH-Spd. The effects on the polyamines putrescine and spermidine are, however, specific to the point where the pathway was perturbed. Putrescine is only depleted by ODC knockdown or inhibition, while spermidine was, in contrast, elevated only by AdoMetDC knockdown or inhibition (either chemical or by the loss of the activator prozyme). Interestingly, while T(SH)₂ is completely depleted by the knockdown of any one of the pathway enzymes, spermidine pools are retained at 20 to 50% of the wild-type levels in all cell lines except for the prozyme knock-out cell line. The ODC and SpdSyn knockdown data provide further support for the hypothesis that the catabolism of T(SH)₂ may contribute to spermidine homeostasis under the stress of reduced synthesis from putrescine (40). Trypanothione synthase contains both a synthetic domain and a catabolic domain, though the exact role of the latter in T(SH)₂ metabolism has not been determined (13).

The data from the genetic knockdown of the pathway enzymes demonstrate that AdoMetDC is the key regulatory control point for polyamine biosynthesis in *T. brucei*, as it is the only enzyme for which perturbation led to changes in the expression of other pathway enzymes. The finding that AdoMetDC activity levels are correlated to ODC and prozyme expression also suggests that the rate-limiting steps in polyamine biosynthesis are likely to be catalyzed by ODC and AdoMetDC. In mammalian cells, spermidine concentration controls protein expression levels of ODC, AdoMetDC, and the ODC protein inhibitor antizyme by affecting translation (10, 27–29). In *T. brucei*, polyamine pool analysis of the genetic knockdown cell lines suggests that a different mechanism must exist to control prozyme and ODC protein expression. While the data support a translational control mechanism (40), fluctuations in spermidine concentration do not appear to play a regulatory role. Spermidine pools are similarly decreased by AdoMetDC, ODC, and SpdSyn knockdown, yet prozyme and ODC protein levels increase upon the knockdown of AdoMetDC but not upon the knockdown of ODC and SpdSyn. The only unique difference in the polyamine levels between these lines is the elevation of putrescine observed upon AdoMetDC knockdown or inhibition. However, this effect is most likely a consequence of the loss of the downstream metabolic pathway for putrescine utilization in spermidine biosynthesis. Thus, the regulatory switch that controls ODC and prozyme translation has yet to be identified.

An analysis of the ODC RNAi line suggests that additional cell-killing mechanisms beyond the depletion of the spermidine and T(SH)₂ pools contribute to cell death when ODC protein is inhibited or depleted. ODC RNAi-mediated gene silencing led to more rapid cell death than was observed for SpdSyn or AdoMetDC knockdown (within 48 h versus 4 to 6 days) (40). Further cell growth could only be rescued by the addition of putrescine but not by spermidine, despite the finding that GSH-Spd and T(SH)₂ pools were restored by the addition of either amine. These results are in contrast to results reported for *L. donovani* for which either putrescine or spermidine rescued the genetic knockout of ODC (20).

One plausible hypothesis for the finding that ODC knockdown is not rescued by spermidine was that elevated AdoMet and dcAdoMet levels contribute to cell death when ODC is inhibited or depleted from the cell. It was previously reported that DFMO treatment of sensitive *T. brucei rhodesiense* clinical isolates, but not refractory strains, led to a >60-fold elevation in AdoMet and dcAdoMet levels and consequently to a significant increase of the methylation index that was postulated to have a role in cell killing (41). Similar changes were observed in the lab strain of *T. brucei brucei* (EATRO 110). However, in our experimental system, while dcAdoMet levels are increased fourfold by the RNAi-mediated knockdown of ODC, and 40-fold by DFMO treatment, we observe no effect on the AdoMet levels in these cells. This alternative response may reflect heterogeneity between *T. brucei brucei* laboratory strains. Indeed, a recent study suggests that *T. brucei rhodesiense* field isolates show significant genetic diversity and polymorphisms throughout the genome (16).

The increase in dcAdoMet levels after the knockdown of either ODC or SpdSyn is consistent with the fact that the cells can no longer utilize this metabolite for the synthesis of spermidine. These data further suggest that AdoMetDC levels do not decrease to compensate for this build-up. In contrast, the data suggest that AdoMet synthetase may be a potential control point and that the levels of this enzyme may decrease in response to the buildup of dcAdoMet, thus allowing AdoMet levels to remain constant.

While elevated dcAdoMet levels were observed after ODC gene silencing, the high dcAdoMet levels do not appear to play a role in spermidine-independent growth arrest, as dcAdoMet levels were similarly increased by the RNAi-mediated gene silencing of SpdSyn. It remains possible that putrescine plays a novel role in *T. brucei* beyond serving as a precursor for spermidine biosynthesis. Putrescine has been shown to be an important substrate for transglutaminase in plants (14), and solute transporters (antiporter or symporter) that utilize putrescine have been described for *Escherichia coli* cells and colon epithelial cells (21, 22, 36).

Alternatively, these data may suggest that an irreversible event caused by polyamine or trypanothione depletion occurs before sufficient spermidine is incorporated to protect the cells. In support, spermidine is poorly taken up by *T. brucei*.
BSF cells, and it is unable to rescue the growth arrest caused by the knockout of prozyme, which also occurs with a similarly rapid time course as observed for ODC RNAi-mediated knockout (40). In contrast, spermidine is able to rescue the growth effects caused by the RNAi-mediated knockdowns of AdoMetDC and SpdSyn, which are both slower events providing more time to incorporate sufficient spermidine into the cells to protect them from cell death.

Finally, our data provide important mechanistic insights into the effectiveness of DFMO as an antitrypanosomal agent. The knockdown of each of the spermidine biosynthetic enzymes (ODC, SpdSyn, and AdoMetDC) and the regulator prozyme providing more time to incorporate sufficient spermidine into the growth effects caused by the RNAi-mediated knockdowns similarly rapid time course as observed for ODC RNAi-mediated knockdown in BSF cells, and it is unable to rescue the growth arrest caused by the RNAi-mediated gene silencing of TrypRed (1, 23). In contrast, the loss of ODC and prozyme proteins by genetic knockout strategies leads to very rapid cell killing, and the spermidine rescue data suggest that an irreversible event occurs early in the time course after gene expression is silenced, showing that the parasite is highly sensitive to polyamine depletion. Thus, the data suggest that the inhibition of the early, rate-limiting enzymes in the pathway (ODC and AdoMetDC/prozyme) provides a more effective strategy to inhibit polyamine/trypanthine biosynthesis and parasite growth than the inhibition of enzymes later in the pathway (e.g., TrpSyn and TrpRed). These data provide an explanation as to why, despite the relatively low potency of DFMO on the parasite, DFMO is an effective antitrypanosomal agent and ODC is a particularly effective target in this organism.
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