Thorax computed tomography findings and anti-SARS-CoV-2 immunoglobulin G levels in polymerase chain reaction-negative probable COVID-19 cases
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SUMMARY
OBJECTIVE: This study aimed to evaluate the SARS-CoV-2 immunoglobulin G (IgG) levels after 6 months of polymerase chain reaction (PCR) negative but assumed to be COVID-19 positive cases to investigate the relationship between IgG levels and thoracic computed tomography (CT) findings.

METHODS: This was a single-center study that included patients whose PCR test results were negative at least three times using nasopharyngeal swabs but had clinical findings of COVID-19 and thoracic CT findings compatible with viral pneumonia. Six months after discharge, the IgG antibodies were analyzed. The cutoff value for negative and positive serology was defined as <1.4 (index S/C) and ≥1.4 (index S/C), respectively. In addition, the patients were categorized according to their thoracic CT findings as high (typical) and low (atypical). Also, the patients were grouped into classes as <5% lung involvement versus ≥5% lung involvement.

RESULTS: The patients’ mean age was 49.78 ± 12.96 years. PCR was negative, but patients with COVID-19 symptoms who had SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive were 81.9% (n=95). The antibody titer and lung involvement ≥5% were statistically significantly higher in SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive cases (p<0.001 and p=0.021). Age and chest CT findings were the risk factors for lung involvement (OR=1.08, p<0.001 and OR=2.19, p=0.010, respectively).

CONCLUSION: This study is valuable because increasing severity (≥5%) of lung involvement appears to be associated with high and persistent IgG antibody titers. In probable cases of COVID-19, even if the PCR test is negative, high IgG titers 6 months after discharge can predict the rate of lung parenchymal involvement.
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INTRODUCTION
Severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is a respiratory disease caused by a novel coronavirus-2019 (COVID-19) that has rapidly spread worldwide and affected every aspect of life. The definitive diagnosis is made based on viral testing (nucleic acid amplification or antigen tests) in patients having clinical signs and symptoms or close contacts1. Determining viral genome targets by reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) is the gold standard in COVID-19 diagnosis. However, the sensitivity (i.e., the ability of a test to correctly identify those with the disease) and specificity (i.e., the ability of a test to correctly identify those without the disease) of RT-PCR testing through nasopharyngeal swabs are relatively low with a varying degree of false negativity2,3. As in all laboratory tests, inadequate sampling, improper transport, improper ribonucleic acid extraction process, and the presence of amplification inhibitors may yield false-positive or false-negative results4,5. A negative test result does not rule out the possibility of COVID-19, while a positive test often indicates the presence of the infection6.

Previous studies have shown a specificity of >95% for enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay-based immunoglobulin (Ig) M and G antibody testing in the diagnosis of COVID-197. Although antibody response to SARS-CoV-2 infection usually occurs in the first 7–11 days after infection, delayed antibody production may be seen in some cases. Therefore, antibody testing is not helpful and not recommended in diagnosing current infection6. Serological tests also have limited utility in
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diagnosing acute infections, as they depend on the duration of symptom onset. Therefore, antibody testing is not feasible in diagnosing acute infections.

Due to the limited utility of RT-PCR and antibody testing in COVID-19, imaging studies have become an integral part of the diagnostic work-up of these patients. Although thoracic computed tomography (CT) findings showing ground-glass opacities in the bilateral, multifocal, and peripheral ground or interlobular septal consolidation suggest COVID-19 pneumonia in patients with lower respiratory tract involvement, these findings may be seen in non-COVID-19 viral pneumonia. The definitive diagnosis can be more challenging in the absence of typical lung involvement and the presence of RT-PCR negativity.

The antibody status and titers are unknown 6 months after the initial diagnosis in probable COVID-19 cases, and the protective role of IgG antibodies is uncertain. Therefore, in this study, we aimed to evaluate the SARS-CoV-2 immunoglobulin G (IgG) levels at 6 months in probable COVID-19 cases and investigate the relationship between anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG levels and thoracic CT findings.

**METHODS**

**Study design and study population**

In this single-center, retrospective, cross-sectional study, a total of 380 hospitalized patients with probable COVID-19 infection who had persistent fever (>38°C), hypotension (<90/60 mmHg), tachycardia (>100 bpm), tachypnea (>30 breaths per min), an oxygen saturation (SpO2) of <92%, and elevated acute phase response (C-reactive protein >40 mg/L, ferritin >500 ng/mL, and D-dimer >1,000 ng/mL) were screened. Those having antigen positivity for influenza, heart failure, bacterial pneumonia, and restrictive lung disease were excluded from the study. Medical data of the patients who were hospitalized with the diagnosis of PCR-negative probable COVID-19 infection between March 2020 and May 2020 were reviewed.

Inclusion criteria were as follows: >18 years of age at the time of admission, at least three consecutive negative results of RT-PCR assay using nasopharyngeal swabs, having at least one thoracic CT scan during the hospital stay, having no need for intensive care, and discharge with full recovery. Those unwilling to participate in the study, deceased patients, pregnant and breastfeeding women, and those lost to follow-up were excluded. Finally, 116 patients whose RT-PCR test results were negative using oropharyngeal and nasopharyngeal swabs but had clinical findings of COVID-19 and thoracic CT findings compatible with viral pneumonia were included. Six months after discharge, all patients’ SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies and thoracic CT findings were analyzed.

According to this scheme, the patients were classified into two categories of thoracic CT findings: a high (typical) and a low (atypical) level of suspicion of consistency with COVID-19. We also evaluated the possible relationship between anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG levels and the thoracic CT findings. The patients were categorized into two groups: <5% lung involvement and ≥5% lung involvement. Similarly, we compared the chest CT findings of the patients with <5% lung involvement versus ≥5% lung involvement to elucidate the effect of lung involvement on anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies.

**Data collection**

The patients who were included in the study were reached by phone calls and scheduled for a visit at 6 months in the outpatient setting. Clinical data, COVID-19 reinfection, and comorbidities of the patients were recorded. Venous blood samples were collected from each patient for antibody testing. Baseline thoracic CT scans were assessed by a single radiologist who was blinded to the clinical findings and antibody test results of the patients, and the severity of lung involvement was examined. Demographic, clinical, laboratory, and imaging characteristics of the patients were recorded.

**Antibody testing**

The chemiluminescence microparticle immunoassay was used for the qualitative detection of anti-SARS-CoV-2-specific IgG antibodies in human serum and plasma. In clinical practice, the SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody testing is used to detect IgG antibodies against the nucleocapsid protein of the SARS-CoV-2 in human serum and plasma in suspected COVID-19 cases having signs and symptoms or confirmed cases. In this study, the antibody testing was performed using the ARCHITECT autoanalyzer (Abbott Laboratories, IL, USA). The cutoff value for negative and positive serology was defined as <1.4 (index S/C) and ≥1.4 (index S/C), respectively. The sensitivity and specificity of the assay were calculated as 99.63% (Abbott ARCHITECTTM SARS-CoV-2 IgG Instructions for Use. H14806R01. April 2020).

**Imaging studies**

Baseline thoracic CT scans of all patients during the hospital stay were retrospectively evaluated. Chest computed tomography score, in which each lobe could be awarded a CT score from 0 to 5, depending on the percentage of the involved lobe, can be presented as follows:
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- Score 0: 0% involvement;
- Score 1: less than 5% involvement;
- Score 2: 5–25% involvement;
- Score 3: 26–49% involvement;
- Score 4: 50–75% involvement;
- Score 5: greater than 75% involvement.

Exemplary thorax CT findings demonstrating COVID-19 infiltration in the axial view of our patients are shown in Figure 1. Thoracic CT findings of patients with <5% lung involvement versus ≥5% lung involvement were compared.

Ethical consideration
Prior to the study, all patients were informed about the nature of the study and a written informed consent was obtained. The study protocol was approved by the institutional Ethics Committee with Approval No. 71306642-050.05.04. The study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Statistical analysis
Descriptive statistics were given median (minimum-maximum), frequencies with percentages, and mean±standard deviation. Fisher’s exact test, chi-square test, Mann-Whitney U test, and independent samples t-tests were performed for comparisons. The risk factors of lung involvement were analyzed with binary logistic regression analysis using the enter method. The SPSS (IBM Corp., Released 2021, IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 28.0, Armonk, NY) software was used for analyses. The level of significance was taken as α=0.05.

RESULTS
In this study, we included only 116 PCR-negative patients, i.e., 64 (55.2%) men and 52 (44.8%) women, who had COVID-19 symptoms with a mean age of 49.78±12.96 years. COVID-19 symptoms were compared with the patients’ investigation results that were presented in Table 1 and the risk factors of lung involvement that were presented in Table 2. Chest CT

| Antibody titer (S/C) | SARS-CoV-2 IgG | p-value |
|----------------------|----------------|---------|
| Positive (n=95)      | 6.59 (1.40–49.71) | 0.16 (0.01–0.85) | <0.001 |
| Negative (n=21)      | 50.08±12.35     | 48.43±15.72     | 0.654 |

| Gender               | SARS-CoV-2 IgG | p-value |
|----------------------|----------------|---------|
| Male                 | 51 (53.7%)     | 13 (61.9%) |
| Female               | 44 (46.3%)     | 8 (38.1%) |

| Lung involvement of chest CT findings | SARS-CoV-2 IgG | p-value |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| <5%                                  | 29 (30.5%)     | 12 (57.1%) |
| ≥5%                                  | 66 (69.5%)     | 9 (42.9%) |

| Chest CT findings                   | SARS-CoV-2 IgG | p-value |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Typical COVID-19                    | 80 (84.2%)     | 14 (66.7%) |
| Atypical COVID-19                   | 15 (15.8%)     | 7 (33.3%) |

Nagelkerke R-square=0.310. CI: confidence interval; IgG: immunoglobulin G; CT: computed tomography.

Table 1. COVID-19 symptoms comparisons with patients’ investigation results.

Table 2. Risk factors of lung involvement.
findings did not have a statistically significant difference between SARS-CoV-2 IgG groups (p=0.073). Patients who had ≥5% lung involvement were statistically significantly high in SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive group (p=0.021). Age, gender, and chest CT findings did not have a statistically significant difference between SARS-CoV-2 IgG groups (p=0.654, p=0.493, and p=0.073, respectively). Conversely, age and chest CT findings consistent with COVID-19 were the risk factors for lung involvement. As the age increased, the risk of ≥5% lung involvement increased 1.08 times; while the chest CT findings were consistent with COVID-19, the risk of ≥5% lung involvement increased 2.19 times compared to inconsistent with COVID-19 (OR (95%CI)=1.08 (1.03–1.12) p<0.001 and OR (95%CI)=2.19 (1.21–3.96) p=0.010, respectively).

In most of the patients with negative RT-PCR, there were typical chest CT findings consistent with COVID-19. However, atypical findings were also observed in some cases. In addition, we found no significant difference in anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody titers between the typical and atypical cases.

All patients were evaluated in terms of COVID-19 reinfection at 6 months. RT-PCR positivity was detected in three patients. Of these, anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG negativity was detected in two patients, despite RT-PCR positivity. In the other patient, anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody titers were significantly higher (6.18 S/C) at the time of diagnosis as confirmed by RT-PCR positivity. However, as the antibody testing was performed after the diagnosis of COVID-19, it remained unclear whether antibody production occurred after the initial infection.

DISCUSSION

Several reports in the literature have shown viral pneumonia based on clinical and/or chest CT findings, despite having RT-PCR negativity using oropharyngeal and nasopharyngeal swabs. In parallel with the practices of the world, these patients were considered probable COVID-19 cases and treated with current treatment protocols in the in-hospital setting. This study evaluated the possible relationship between anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG levels and thoracic CT findings8-11. Our study results showed that 81.9% of the probable COVID-19 cases had significantly higher anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG titers 6 months after the diagnosis. These results indicate that a negative RT-PCR test result does not exclude the possibility of COVID-19 and that a high number of probable COVID-19 cases are, indeed, COVID-19-positive cases. In addition, we observed antibody production in the probable COVID-19 cases at 6 months, despite negative RT-PCR results, and anti-SARS-COV-2 IgG titers remained high in 81.9% of the cases.

Dimoglio et al.12 reported that 30 patients had positive chest findings with a negative RT-PCR test result. Two months after the symptom onset, 14.3% of these patients had positive anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies. The authors suggested that non-COVID-19 pneumonia should be investigated in these patients. However, this finding can be attributed to this study’s low number of RT-PCR-negative patients. In addition, non-COVID-19 pneumonia cases were not excluded from the study, and clinical evaluation was performed later. Unlike this study, we observed anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody positivity in most probable COVID-19 cases, indicating that these patients recovered from COVID-19.

In April 2020, a group of radiologists from the University of Southern California proposed a 5-point scale of suspicion (low, moderate, and high) for pulmonary involvement of COVID-19 on thoracic CT, namely, the COVID-19 imaging reporting and data system (COVID-RADS)11-12. According to this scheme, probable COVID-19 patients may present with typical and atypical chest CT findings13. Our study’s relatively high number of patients may have provided sufficient power to achieve reliable statistical results. Of note, our findings are consistent with the report of De Smet et al.14.

In this study, we compared the chest CT findings of patients with <5% lung involvement versus ≥5% lung involvement to elucidate the effect of lung involvement on anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies and titers. A significantly higher number of patients with anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG positivity with high antibody titers had ≥5% lung involvement. Based on these findings, we can speculate that antibody production and titers may be high in patients with severe COVID-19 infection. Also, anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies may persist after infection even in the long term, although it is an issue to be elucidated due to the scarcity of data in the literature.

Furthermore, our study evaluated all patients in terms of COVID-19 reinfection at 6 months. RT-PCR positivity was detected in three patients. In these cases, the RT-PCR test results were positive using nasopharyngeal swabs. Despite RT-PCR negativity at the time of the initial admission, reinfection was confirmed by RT-PCR positivity. Similarly, previous studies have shown varying amounts of RT-PCR positivity using nasopharyngeal swabs14-15. In two of three patients, anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG negativity was detected. In the other patient, anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody titers were significantly higher at the time of diagnosis, as confirmed by RT-PCR positivity. Consistent with our findings, the COVID-19 reinfection rate was reported as 1.09/10,000 in antibody-negative cases and 0.13/10,000 in antibody-positive cases in the literature15. Ozturk et al. did a retrospective study on 320 patients
who had COVID-19 symptoms and were admitted to the hospital. The importance of this study was to show the accurate and equivalent performance of serological antibody assays and chest CT in diagnosing COVID-19 within 0–7 days from the onset of COVID-19 symptoms.

CONCLUSION

This study is valuable as it highlights the evidence that increasing severity (25%) of chest CT findings of lung involvement appears to be associated with high and persistent anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody titers 6 months after patients’ discharge. In conclusion, even if the RT-PCR test is negative, anti-SARS-CoV-2 specific IgG positivity may indicate previous evidence of COVID-19. After 6 months, high SARS-CoV-2 IgG titers can predict the rate of lung parenchymal involvement in probable cases of COVID-19 with negative PCR testing.
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