Case report

Imaging features of ultrasound and contrast-enhanced ultrasound in primary hepatic carcinosarcoma: three cases report
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Abstract
Primary hepatic carcinosarcoma (PHCS) is a very rare malignant tumor with a poor prognosis. Previous studies in the English-language literature were case reports, focused on the clinical aspects, pathology and pathogenesis. Much is unknown about the imaging characteristics of PHCS while ultrasound is the first imaging examination for hepatic disease. Contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) is a proven method that improves the detection and characterization of focal liver lesions. The purpose of the paper is to present the ultrasonographic and other imaging appearance of three cases of PHCS.
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Introduction

The WHO defines carcinosarcoma as a malignant tumor composed of a mixture of carcinomatous and sarcomatous elements. Primary hepatic carcinosarcoma (PHCS) is a very rare malignant tumor which is highly invasive, metastatic and with a poor prognosis [1]. The symptoms and serological tumor markers are nonspecific and the prognosis of PHCS is mainly related to tumor size, differentiation degree, clinical stage and surgical excision range.

Previous published papers mostly reviewed the pathogenesis and clinical characteristics [2-5] or described the imaging features in computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (PET) of PHCS [6-8]. Little is known about ultrasound (US) and contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) [9]. We summarized three cases of PHCS confirmed by pathology and immunohistochemistry (IHC) after surgery or biopsy. The findings of relevant imaging features and literature were reviewed.

Case report

Case 1
A 59-year-old man was admitted for an asymptomatic hepatic nodule which was incidentally found by US on a routine medical check-up. Carbohydrate antigen 125 (CA125) was in normal range (41.68 U/ml). US, CT and
PET examinations showed multiple lesions in the right hepatic lobe, right diaphragm and right pleura (fig 1 and 2). Right hemihepatectomy and phrenectomy was performed. Pathological diagnosis revealed spindle cells were positive for vimentin (+++), CK8/18 and Ki 67 positive in 80% of cells. The patient died five months later.

**Case 2**

An 85-year-old woman was referred to our hospital because of fever and right upper abdominal pain for one month. The highest temperature was 38.5 °C. She had a history of coronary heart disease, cerebral infarction and hypertension for more than 10 years. The serum alphafetoprotein (AFP) was 25.7 ng/ml. US and MRI findings are shown in fig 3 and 4. Liver abscess was considered. Ultrasound-guided percutaneous liver biopsy was performed. IHC were positive for vimentin (++), S100, CK8/18. The patient died five months later.

**Case 3**

A 76-year-old woman presented in our hospital due to abdominal pain and distension for 12 months. Past medical history included hepatic B virus infection and entecavir anti-viral treatment for 5 years. Tumor markers were normal. US diagnosis was likely to be primary hepatic carcinoma (HCC) (fig 5). The patient underwent laparoscopic right posterior lobe hepatectomy. IHC was positive for vimentin (++), CK7/20, CD 10 and CK19. Patient was alive during preparation of the manuscript.

**Discussions**

On US firstly, PHCS appears to be solitary and very large, such as Cases 1 and 2, occupying more than one segment and even a whole lobe. The tumor was heterogeneous hypoechoic or mix-echoic mass lesions with irregular and obscure margin. However, when a tumor was...
small, like Case 3, it appeared a homogenous hypoechoic nodule with regular and distinct margin. Secondly, the tumor located close to the liver capsule presents extrahepatic involvement due to the fact that sarcomatous cell proliferation is very rapid. Case 1 was associated with a diaphragmatic tumor. Thirdly, calcification is rare in HCC, but when sarcomatous components demonstrate typically specialized differentiation such as osteoid,

**Fig 3.** Case 2: Ultrasound showed a heterogeneous hypoechoic mass sized 7.9 cm with poorly defined margin (a) and punctiform blood signals (b). CEUS images obtained at 16, 35 and 96 seconds showed heterogeneous arterial hyperenhancement with necrotic areas (c), peripheral irregular rim-like enhancement (d) and marked washout comparison with adjacent-liver tissue (e).

**Fig 4.** Case 2: T1-weighted revealed a heterogeneous hypointense mass in the left lobe (a). Fat suppressed T2-weighted showed a heterogeneous hyperintense mass (b). Diffusion-weighted imaging showed higher intensity than normal liver parenchyma (c). Contrast-enhanced MR revealed heterogeneous significant enhancement in the arterial phase (d), fading fast washout in the portal venous (e) and delay phase (f).

**Fig 5.** Case 3: Ultrasound showed a hypoechoic solid nodule sized 2.5 cm and a highly echogenic within the mass (a). There was no blood flow on CDFI (b). CEUS images obtained at 26, 49 and 94 seconds showed diffuse iso-enhancement (c) with a blurring boundary (d) and a marked washout comparison with adjacent-liver tissue (e).
rocky hyper echo may be seen within the mass [10]. We found this in Case 3. Hyperechoic calcification may be the classic sign of PHCS. Our cases were hypovascular tumors (punctiform or inconspicuous blood flow signals).

On CEUS, PHCS displayed peripheral nodular enhancement in the arterial phase and hypo-enhancing in the later phase. The tumor also displayed a large internal non-enhancing portion, because the interior of PHCS often exhibits hemorrhage and necrosis [9]. The added value of CEUS is related to the fact that the technique can demonstrate the boundary and internal components of PHCS and assist in differential with HCC, liver abscess or intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (ICC). HCC shows irregular hypervascularization while PHCS shows peripheral nodular enhancement in the arterial phase. Although liver abscess shows rim enhancement, the internal of abscess shows no enhancement areas or honeycomb appearance. It is very difficult to differentiate ICC from PHCS. ICC also shows peripheral enhancement and central hypo-enhancing [11,12].

Plain CT of PHCS revealed an irregularly demarcated hypodensity intrahepatic mass [10]. On MR, the tumor appeared as a heterogeneous hypointense on T1-weighted and heterogeneous hyperintense lesion on T2-weighted [7]. The enhancement of CT and MR is like that of US. PET may be a useful diagnostic tool for PHCS because the high fluorodeoxyglucose uptake of sarcomatous element seems to be related to its progression or aggressiveness [8].

In conclusion, PHCS is an extremely rare and aggressive tumor. Imaging modalities are useful for detecting the differential diagnosis of tumors. US and CEUS are excellent tools for the characterization of liver lesions; more cases remain to be identified and summarized.
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