Detection and Prediction of Bioprosthetic Aortic Valve Degeneration
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND Bioprosthetic aortic valve degeneration is increasingly common, often unheralded, and can have catastrophic consequences.

OBJECTIVES The authors sought to assess whether 18F-fluoride positron emission tomography (PET)-computed tomography (CT) can detect bioprosthetic aortic valve degeneration and predict valve dysfunction.

METHODS Explanted degenerate bioprosthetic valves were examined ex vivo. Patients with bioprosthetic aortic valves were recruited into 2 cohorts with and without prosthetic valve dysfunction and underwent in vivo contrast-enhanced CT angiography, 18F-fluoride PET, and serial echocardiography during 2 years of follow-up.

RESULTS All ex vivo, degenerate bioprosthetic valves displayed 18F-fluoride PET uptake that colocalized with tissue degeneration on histology. In 71 patients without known bioprosthesis dysfunction, 14 had abnormal leaflet pathology on CT, and 24 demonstrated 18F-fluoride PET uptake (target-to-background ratio 1.55 [interquartile range (IQR): 1.44 to 1.88]). Patients with increased 18F-fluoride uptake exhibited more rapid deterioration in valve function compared with those without (annualized change in peak transvalvular velocity 0.30 [IQR: 0.13 to 0.61] vs. 0.01 [IQR: –0.05 to 0.16] m/s/year; p < 0.001). Indeed 18F-fluoride uptake correlated with deterioration in all the conventional echocardiographic measures of valve function assessed (e.g., change in peak velocity, r = 0.72; p < 0.001). Each of the 10 patients who developed new overt bioprosthesis dysfunction during follow-up had evidence of 18F-fluoride uptake at baseline (target-to-background ratio 1.89 [IQR: 1.46 to 2.59]). On multivariable analysis, 18F-fluoride uptake was the only independent predictor of future bioprosthetic dysfunction.

CONCLUSIONS 18F-fluoride PET-CT identifies subclinical bioprosthetic valve degeneration, providing powerful prediction of subsequent valvular dysfunction and highlighting patients at risk of valve failure. This technique holds major promise in the diagnosis of valvular degeneration and the surveillance of patients with bioprosthetic valves. (18F-Fluoride Assessment of Aortic Bioprosthesis Durability and Outcome [18F-FAABULOUS]; NCT02304276) (J Am Coll Cardiol 2019;73:1107–19) © 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier on behalf of the American College of Cardiology Foundation. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).
The implantation of bioprosthetic heart valves is increasing rapidly due to patient preference, the increasing prevalence of valve disease in an aging population, and the emergence of transcatheter aortic valve implantation (1-4). In the United States, 90,000 surgical aortic valve replacements are performed annually, with over three-quarters incorporating bioprosthetic valves (3). In addition, >80,000 transcatheter aortic valve implantation procedures have been performed since U.S. Food and Drug Administration approval in 2011 (4). Given the finite lifespan of these valves and the rapid expansion of the population of patients requiring regular surveillance, bioprosthetic valve degeneration will become a major cause of cardiovascular morbidity and health care burden over the coming decades.

EX Vivo Assessment of Degenerated Bioprosthetic Aortic Valves. Explanted degenerated aortic valve bioprostheses were obtained with written consent from patients undergoing repeat surgical aortic valve replacement for bioprosthetic valve failure. Valves were weighed, photographed, and their macroscopic features documented. Ex vivo micro-PET-CT was performed with a nano-PET-CT scanner (Mediso, Budapest, Hungary) and x-ray microtomograph (Bruker, Kontich, Belgium) before undergoing histological evaluation (Online Appendix).

Clinical Study Design and Population. Patients over 40 years of age who had undergone previous surgical aortic valve replacement using a bioprosthetic valve were prospectively recruited into a single-center cohort study according to defined inclusion and exclusion criteria (Online Figure 1). Participants were recruited if they were under routine clinical review and had: 1) known evidence of bioprosthetic valve failure on echocardiography and had been referred for repeat aortic valve intervention (16,17); or 2) no known evidence of valve dysfunction or degeneration. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. The study (NCT02304276) was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by an NHS Scotland Research Ethics Committee (14/SS/1049) and the Administration of Radioactive Substances Advisory Committee.

Study Assessments and Data Collection. All participants underwent baseline clinical assessment including Doppler and 2-dimensional echocardiography, noncontrast CT calcium scoring, contrast-enhanced CT angiography, and in vivo 18F-fluoride positron emission tomography (PET). Detection of bioprosthetic valve degeneration is therefore highly desirable, allowing at-risk patients to be identified early, offered close tailored monitoring, and optimized timing of repeat elective intervention, thereby avoiding potentially catastrophic valve failure. PET has recently been used to image tissue calcification activity in a range of cardiovascular diseases (9-12). 18F-fluoride preferentially binds to areas of developing microcalcification indicative of tissue degeneration (13) that precede the macrocalcification detectable by computed tomography (CT) (14,15). Given that calcification is one of the key pathological processes underlying bioprosthetic valve degeneration, we hypothesized that increased 18F-fluoride uptake would identify prosthetic valve degeneration and predict subsequent deterioration in bioprosthetic valve function.
PET (Online Appendix, Online Table 1). Participants were invited to return annually for 2 years for repeat clinical assessments and echocardiography to assess changes in bioprosthesis performance. Definitions of bioprosthetic degeneration and dysfunction vary, but in this study, we used definitions from both contemporary international guideline criteria (16,17) and a recent expert consensus statement (18) (Online Appendix). Bioprosthetic valve failure was defined as the development of severe hemodynamic valve dysfunction combined with patient symptoms, the need for redo valve intervention, or valve-related death (19). Patients were followed up for the development of valve failure beyond the 2-year timeframe using electronic patient record data.

**PET and CT Image Analysis.** Fused PET and contrast-enhanced CT images were reconstructed in diastole, coregistered and reoriented to provide en face images of the bioprosthetic valve with corresponding long-axis views (Online Figure 2). Images were analyzed by 2 experienced PET-CT observers (T.R.G.C. and M.R.D.). Using pre-specified criteria, CT scans were adjudicated to be abnormal if there was pannus (circumferential low-attenuation [noncalcific] material with radial thickness ≥2 mm and encroachment on the valve cusps) (16,20), noncalcific leaflet thickening (focal areas of low attenuation [30 to 200 Hounsfield Units (HU)] cusp thickening ≥2 mm visualized in at least 2 planes) (20,21), or leaflet calcification (calcium >500 HU localized to valve cusp in ≥2 planes) (22). Leaflet calcification was further subdivided into spotty calcification if maximum diameter was <3 mm and large calcification if maximum diameter was ≥3 mm (23). 18F-fluoride uptake was quantified primarily using the most-diseased-segment mean target-to-background ratio (TBR) method in keeping with prior studies of the native valve, although other measures were also reported (24). PET scans were adjudicated to be abnormal if increased 18F-fluoride uptake (threshold TBR >1.3) (11) was observed originating from the valve cusps on both en face and long-axis views (Online Appendix).

**Statistics and Data Analysis.** Baseline characteristics are reported as number (percentages) for categorical variables and mean ± SD or median (interquartile range) for continuous variables depending on whether variables were normally distributed. Categorical data were compared using chi-square or Fisher exact tests. Continuous variables were log-transformed [log10(x+1)] for positive values and −log10(−x+1) for negative values where not normally distributed. The point-biserial correlation coefficient was used to measure the strength and direction of the association between 1 dichotomous variable and 1 continuous variable. One-way analysis of variance was used to compare continuous data across multiple factors, with post hoc analysis using the Bonferroni test where appropriate. The Student’s t-test or Mann-Whitney U test were used to compare continuous outcomes between 2 independent groups depending on whether they were normally distributed. The Student’s t-test or Wilcoxon signed rank test were used to compare paired variables. Two-tailed Pearson’s correlation analysis was performed to investigate the relationship between 18F-fluoride uptake and echocardiographic measures of valve function. Multivariable analysis was performed to assess the predictors of deterioration in bioprosthetic valve dysfunction (annualized change in peak velocity after 2 years). Statistical analysis was undertaken using IBM SPSS Statistics version 23 software (IBM, Armonk, New York), and significance was taken at the 2-sided 5% level (p < 0.05).

**RESULTS**

**Explanted Degenerate Bioprosthetic Aortic Valves.** Fifteen failed explanted bioprosthetic aortic valves were obtained (Online Appendix) for ex vivo investigation. Micro-CT detected leaflet calcification in 13 valves, which was confirmed on histology. By contrast, all 15 valves demonstrated 18F-fluoride leaflet uptake that correlated with a range of histological markers of bioprosthetic tissue degeneration. In particular, 18F-fluoride activity detected both micro- and macrocalcific deposits within the valve leaflets that colocalized predominantly with regions of pannus (fibrous thickening) and thrombus formation on histology. However, 18F-fluoride uptake was additionally observed in the absence of calcification on histology at sites of leaflet thickening, fluid insulation, and disrupted collagen architecture (Figure 1).

**Clinical Cohort Study Population.** Eighty participants were recruited to the clinical cohort study and underwent in vivo PET-CT imaging, although 2 patients were unable to complete the baseline scan (claustrophobia) and were excluded. The remaining 78 patients were 75 ± 7 years of age, with a range of bioprosthetic valve models (Online Tables 2 and 3), a high prevalence of coronary artery disease, and associated risk factors (Table 1).

**Patients with Suspected Bioprosthetic Valve Failure.** Seven participants were recruited to the cohort with suspected bioprosthetic valve failure. One subject proceeded to repeat surgical aortic valve replacement, 5 underwent valve-in-valve
transcatheter valve implantation, and 1 subject was deemed to have severe patient–prosthesis mismatch according to guideline criteria and therefore not considered to have valve failure (16,17). All 6 subjects with confirmed bioprosthetic valve failure demonstrated abnormalities on both CT and 18F-fluoride PET studies (Figure 2). CT revealed evidence of leaflet calcification in all patients (spotty calcification n = 3, large calcification n = 3) and less commonly noncalcific leaflet thickening (n = 2),

| Valve 1 | Valve 2 | Valve 3 | Valve 4 |
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(Row A) Macroscopic visual appearances of failed and explanted bioprosthetic valves. (Row B) CT en face images of the valves. (Row C) PET en face images demonstrating increased 18F-fluoride uptake in all valves. (Row D) Histology staining of sections taken from valve leaflet as indicated, with von Kossa (top row, calcium appears black), Movat Pentachrome (bottom row, valves 1 and 4), and hematoxylin and eosin (bottom row, valves 2 and 3) stains. All 4 degenerate bioprostheses demonstrate increased 18F-fluoride uptake in the valve leaflets. In valve 1, this uptake corresponds to gross leaflet calcification observed macroscopically and on CT images with confirmation on histology (extensive black staining). In valve 2, increased 18F-fluoride uptake is observed in association with fibrotic leaflet thickening and pannus (red arrows) with associated calcification (black arrows) observed macroscopically and on CT with confirmation on histology. In valve 3, increased 18F-fluoride uptake is observed at the site of valve leaflet thrombus (red arrow) observed macroscopically at the base of leaflet 1, with confirmation of thrombus (red arrow) and colocalized calcification (black arrow) on histology. In valve 4, extensive 18F-fluoride uptake is observed in the absence of calcification on CT and histology but instead in areas of leaflet thickening, marked fluid insudation, and disrupted collagen architecture. CT = computed tomography, PET = positron emission tomography.
| Clinical | Patients With Valve Failure | Patients Without Known Valve Degeneration |
|---------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Subjects |                             |                                          |
| Female   | 6 (33.3)                    | 71 (46.5)                                |
| Age, yrs | 81.3 ± 3.2                  | 73.9 ± 7.0                               |
| Body mass index, kg/m² | 27.5 ± 4.5                  | 26.9 ± 5.6                               |
| Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg | 144.8 ± 18.0                | 153.8 ± 21.9                             |
| Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg | 58.0 ± 8.4                  | 79.0 ± 11.0                              |
| Heart rate, beats/min | 72.2 ± 17.0                  | 71.2 ± 11.8                              |
| Medical history |                             |                                          |
| Hypertension | 4 (66.7)                    | 50 (70.4)                                |
| Coronary artery disease | 4 (66.7)                    | 29 (40.9)                                |
| Coronary bypass surgery | 3 (50.0)                    | 23 (32.4)                                |
| Diabetes | 1 (16.7)                    | 4 (5.6)                                  |
| Hypercholesterolemia | 5 (83.3)                    | 55 (77.5)                                |
| Current smoker | 0 (0.0)                    | 8 (11.4)                                 |
| Ex-smoker | 3 (50.0)                    | 29 (40.8)                                |
| Medication |                             |                                          |
| Aspirin | 4 (66.7)                    | 51 (71.8)                                |
| Clopidogrel | 1 (16.7)                    | 9 (12.7)                                 |
| Warfarin | 2 (33.3)                    | 4 (5.6)                                  |
| Other anticoagulant | 0 (0.0)                    | 2 (2.8)                                  |
| ACEi or ARB | 0 (0.0)                    | 39 (54.9)                                |
| Beta-blocker | 2 (33.3)                    | 32 (45.1)                                |
| Statin | 4 (66.7)                    | 50 (70.4)                                |
| Biochemistry |                             |                                          |
| Creatinine, μmol/l | 102.2 ± 37.2                | 84.5 ± 23.4                              |
| eGFR, ml/min/1.73 m² | 48.5 ± 13.0                  | 58 ± 7.5                                 |
| Electrocardiogram |                             |                                          |
| Sinus rhythm | 4 (66.7)                    | 64 (91.4)                                |
| Paced rhythm | 0 (0.0)                    | 4 (5.7)                                  |
| Atrial fibrillation | 2 (33.3)                    | 2 (2.9)                                  |
| LV hypertrophy | 3 (50.0)                    | 22 (32.4)                                |
| Strain pattern | 3 (50.0)                    | 14 (20.6)                                |
| Baseline echocardiography |                             |                                          |
| LV systolic dysfunction | 4 (66.7)                    | 11 (15.5)                                |
| Peak valve velocity, m/s | 3.19 (2.84-4.47)            | 2.73 (2.38-3.07)                         |
| Mean valve gradient, mm Hg | 20.6 (14.0-39.5)            | 15.0 (11.3-39.3)                         |
| Effective orifice area, cm² | 0.52 (0.52-0.52)            | 1.13 (0.94-1.46)                         |
| Dimensionless velocity index | 0.29 (0.20-0.42)            | 0.39 (0.33-0.44)                         |
| Prosthetic regurgitation, ≤ moderate | 5 (83.3)               | 2 (2.8)                                  |
| Acceleration time, ms | *                          | 80.3 (75.2-87.4)                         |
| Acceleration time/LV ejection time | *                      | 0.25 (0.24-0.28)                         |
| Computed tomography |                             |                                          |
| Abnormal findings | 6 (100.0)                  | 14 (19.7)                                |
| Spotty calcification | 3 (50.0)                    | 5 (7.0)                                  |
| Large calcification | 3 (50.0)                    | 0 (0.0)                                  |
| Noncalcific leaflet thickening | 2 (33.3)               | 5 (7.0)                                  |
| Pannus | 1 (16.7)                    | 7 (9.9)                                  |

*82F-fluoride positron emission tomography
| Leaflet uptake | 6 (100.0)                  | 24 (33.8)                                |
| SUIV MDS max | 4.66 (3.16-7.57)            | 1.73 (1.42-2.02)                         |
| SUIV MDS mean | 3.50 (2.64-5.90)            | 1.48 (1.27-1.84)                         |
| TBR MDS max | 3.61 (2.37-5.82)            | 1.31 (1.18-1.70)                         |
| TBR MDS mean | 2.91 (2.10-4.09)            | 1.12 (1.04-1.51)                         |

Values are n (n (%), mean ± SD, or median (interquartile range). *Incomplete data.
ACE = angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB = angiotensin receptor blocker; CT = computed tomography imaging; eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate; LV = left ventricle; MDS = most diseased segment; PET = positron emission tomography; SUV = standardized uptake value; TBR = target-to-background ratio.
circumferential pannus (n = 1), and distorted leaflet morphology (n = 1). Increased 18F-fluoride PET leaflet uptake was observed in the bioprosthetic valves of all these patients, and TBR values were nearly 3 times higher than in patients without known valvular dysfunction (TBR 2.91 [interquartile range (IQR): 1.75 to 4.09] vs. 1.12 [IQR: 1.04 to 1.51]; p < 0.001). In the 1 patient with severe patient-prosthesis mismatch, there was no CT abnormality or 18F-fluoride uptake.

**PATIENTS WITHOUT KNOWN BIOPROSTHETIC VALVE DYSFUNCTION.** In the 71 patients without known bioprosthetic valve dysfunction, surgical aortic valve replacement had been conducted 1 month (n = 9), 2 years (n = 22), 5 years (n = 20), and >10 years (n = 20) previously. The function of these different-aged valves was generally within normal limits at baseline (peak velocity 2.76 ± 0.52 m/s, mean gradient 16.4 ± 7.0 mm Hg) (Table 1).
Contrast-enhanced CT images were not interpretable in 8 patients (11%) due to motion degradation and metallic blooming artefact from the valve struts. Fourteen subjects (19%) had 1 or more leaflet abnormalities on CT: spotty calcification (n = 5), noncalcific leaflet thickening (n = 5), and pannus (n = 7) (Figure 2).

PET scans were interpretable in all patients. Increased 18F-fluoride uptake was more common than abnormalities on CT and was seen in 24 patients (34%) (TBR 1.55 [IQR: 1.44 to 1.88]) (Figure 2, Online Figure 3). Similar to the ex vivo findings, increased 18F-fluoride uptake colocalized with areas of spotty calcification, noncalcific leaflet thickening (suggestive of thrombus), and pannus observed on the CT, but was also observed remote from CT abnormalities (Figure 2).

**PREDICTION OF BIOPROSTHETIC VALVE DYSFUNCTION.**

Sixty-seven of the patients without established valve degeneration at baseline underwent repeat echocardiography at 2 years and demonstrated increased peak velocities compared with baseline (2.87 [IQR: 2.52 to 3.13] m/s vs. 2.73 [IQR: 2.38 to 3.07] m/s; p = 0.002). There was no association between hemodynamic progression and either the type or the age of the bioprosthesis (Online Table 4).

The 14 patients with abnormal CT findings appeared to demonstrate deterioration in bioprothetic valve function after 2 years, but there was no statistical difference in disease progression compared with patients with a normal CT (change in peak velocity: 0.25 [IQR: −0.06 to 0.57] m/s vs. 0.10 [IQR: −0.04 to 0.31] m/s; p = 0.232).

By contrast, the 24 patients with increased 18F-fluoride uptake at baseline demonstrated clear evidence of deteriorating bioprosthesis function after 2 years, whereas patients without uptake displayed no change in valve function (change in peak velocity: 0.30 [IQR: 0.13 to 0.61] vs. 0.01 [IQR: −0.05 to 0.16] m/s/year; p < 0.001). Similarly, valves demonstrated progressive hemodynamic deterioration during follow-up on moving across tertiles of 18F-fluoride uptake (Online Figure 3). Moreover, baseline 18F-fluoride uptake correlated strongly with all echocardiographic measures of hemodynamic progression regardless of the method used to quantify 18F-fluoride uptake (Table 2).

On the basis of international guideline criteria (16,17), 10 patients developed new bioprosthesis valve dysfunction during follow-up: 2 with valve regurgitation, 6 with valve stenosis, and 2 with mixed dysfunction. Median time from valve implantation to their assessment in the study was 7.5 (5 to 10) years. Of these patients, 5 had an abnormal baseline CT, whereas all 10 patients had increased 18F-fluoride uptake (TBR 1.89 [IQR: 1.46 to 2.59]), and this included the 7 patients with the highest TBR values in the cohort. Two proceeded to urgent valve reintervention, and another died of valve failure. Using the alternative criteria suggested by the recent international consensus statement (18), 16 patients met the definition of structural valve degeneration, 15 of whom demonstrated increased 18F-fluoride uptake (TBR 1.54 [IQR: 1.38 to 1.96] vs. 1.08 [IQR: 1.02 to 1.19]; p < 0.001). Seven patients fulfilled criteria for stage 2 or 3 structural valve degeneration, signifying the development of valve dysfunction, and they all exhibited increased baseline 18F-fluoride uptake (TBR 1.89 [IQR: 1.47 to 3.15]) (Figure 3). Moreover, 18F-fluoride activity increased in a stepwise fashion across these progressive stages of structural degeneration (TBR no degeneration: 1.08 [IQR: 1.02 to 1.19], stage 1: 1.48 [IQR: 1.34 to 1.64], stage 2: 1.72 [IQR: 1.42 to 1.94], stage 3: 4.23 [IQR: 3.15 to 5.36]; post hoc linear trend p < 0.001) (Figure 3). Two patients developed overt bioprosthetic valve failure during 2-year follow-up, and a further 2 patients developed valve failure on subsequent follow-up, all demonstrating high-intensity 18F-fluoride uptake (TBR 2.57 [IQR: 1.96 to 3.70]) (19) (Figure 4).

On univariable analysis, the only predictors of deterioration in bioprosthetic valve function (annualized change in bioprosthetic valve peak velocity) were current smoking habit (p = 0.047) and 18F-fluoride PET uptake, irrespective of whether the latter was considered as a categorical or continuous variable (both p < 0.001) (Online Table 4). On multivariable analysis incorporating age, sex, duration of valve implantation, baseline peak prosthetic valve velocity, and CT findings, 18F-fluoride uptake emerged as the only predictor of deterioration in bioprosthetic valve function (unstandardized

### Table 2: Correlation Between Bioprosthetic 18F-Fluoride Uptake and Subsequent Deterioration in Valve Function by Echocardiography

| Annualized Change | SUV Mean (LogN) | SUV Max (LogN) | TBR Mean (LogN) | TBR Max (LogN) |
|-------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| Peak valve velocity, LogN | r = 0.58 | r = 0.62 | r = 0.72 | r = 0.72 |
| p < 0.001* | p < 0.001* | p < 0.001* | p < 0.001* |
| Mean valve gradient, LogN | r = 0.42 | r = 0.45 | r = 0.52 | r = 0.52 |
| p < 0.001* | p < 0.001* | p < 0.001* | p < 0.001* |
| Effective orifice area, cm²/yr | r = -0.45 | r = -0.52 | r = -0.57 | r = -0.60 |
| p < 0.001* | p < 0.001* | p < 0.001* | p < 0.001* |
| Doppler velocity index | r = -0.46 | r = -0.51 | r = -0.59 | r = -0.60 |
| p < 0.001* | p < 0.001* | p < 0.001* | p < 0.001* |

*Statistically significant.

In 71 volunteers with bioprosthetic aortic valves without known valve dysfunction, baseline 18F-fluoride uptake measured by positron emission tomography using any conventional method of quantification (SUV or TBR) was associated with subsequent deterioration in each echocardiographic measure of valve function. Note all values are based upon the most-diseased-segment approach. The TBR mean values were used as the primary comparison and are referred to elsewhere in the paper simply as the TBR abbreviation. Abbreviations as in Table 1.
coefficient 0.79 [95% confidence interval: 0.62 to 0.96]; p < 0.001) (Figure 3, Online Table 5).

Irrespective of the definition used (16–18), similar results were observed when the development of bioprosthetic valve dysfunction was considered as a categorical variable, with baseline $^{18}$F-fluoride uptake emerging each time as an independent predictor on multivariable analyses (international guideline criteria [16,17]: unstandardized coefficient 7.57 [standard error 2.66]; p = 0.004); expert consensus statement [18]: unstandardized coefficient 6.81 [standard error 2.92]; p = 0.02) (Online Table 6).

**DISCUSSION**

In this multimodality prospective imaging study, we have identified $^{18}$F-fluoride PET-CT as the first noninvasive technique capable of detecting early
bioprosthetic valve degeneration and of predicting future valve dysfunction (Central Illustration). We provide extensive validation of the technique against state-of-the-art ex vivo imaging and histology. This consistently demonstrated increased $^{18}$F-fluoride uptake in each of the failed bioprosthetic aortic valves examined, with PET activity colocalizing to areas of calcification, pannus, thrombus, and disrupted tissue architecture on histology. When applied to patients in the clinical setting, $^{18}$F-fluoride PET identified early valve degeneration beyond the resolution of conventional assessments and predicted the development of new valvular dysfunction and overt valve failure within the 2-year follow-up period. Indeed, $^{18}$F-fluoride uptake was an independent predictor of deteriorating bioprosthetic valve performance, outperforming all other variables, including valve type and age, and echocardiographic and CT findings. $^{18}$F-fluoride PET-CT, therefore, provides a readily applicable measure of valve degeneration with the potential to transform how we monitor and treat the expanding population of patients living with bioprosthetic valves.

Our study has several major strengths. This is a comprehensive multimodal imaging study using ultrasound, CT, and PET in patients with
bioprosthetic aortic valves of varying implant ages. Uniquely, we have been able to correlate imaging findings with state-of-the-art ex vivo imaging and histological characterization of explanted valves, enabling us to validate our imaging technique and provide novel insights into the pathogenesis of bioprosthetic valve degeneration. Moreover, our systematic prospective study design has allowed us to confirm the utility of baseline $^{18}$F-fluoride PET in identifying patients at risk of developing future bioprosthetic dysfunction and overt valve failure.

**A CLINICAL CHALLENGE.** Although bioprosthetic valves offer important advantages over mechanical valves, they have only limited durability (25,26). Indeed, bioprosthetic valve degeneration has become a major clinical issue with the growing rate of bioprosthetic valve implantation and improved long-term patient survival. Although international guidelines recommend serial echocardiography for the detection of bioprosthetic degeneration (16,17), visualization of the valve is often poor due to acoustic artefacts from the prosthesis, limiting its sensitivity. This means that valve degeneration is frequently well advanced before clinically overt valve dysfunction is apparent. Moreover, valve obstruction often evolves rapidly, whereas the catastrophic development of valvular regurgitation due to leaflet tears is frequently unheralded and unpredictable. Novel techniques capable of detecting the earlier stages of valve degeneration and allowing personalized and better planned management strategies for patients with bioprosthetic valves are therefore highly desirable.
INSIGHTS INTO THE MECHANISM OF BIOPROSTHETIC VALVE DEGENERATION. We and others have used $^{18}$F-fluoride PET to assess cardiovascular calcification activity and tissue degeneration in a range of conditions including coronary heart disease, stroke, abdominal aortic aneurysms, and aortic stenosis (9–12). We now extend these observations to bioprosthetic valves and confirm that $^{18}$F-fluoride localizes to regions of developing leaflet calcification on histology and high-resolution micro-PET-CT imaging. Calcification is believed to be the final common response to bioprosthetic valve injury and a major driver toward valve dysfunction, causing both progressive cusp stiffness and obstruction as well as leaflet fragility and tears. In this study, all bioprosthetic valves with established degeneration and valve failure demonstrated increased $^{18}$F-fluoride uptake. Moreover, in both our ex vivo and in vivo studies, we observed a close spatial interaction of calcification with both leaflet thrombosis and pannus, suggesting these may be potential upstream triggers. By contrast, a minority of bioprosthetic valves appear to degenerate without any histological evidence of calcification. In these cases, the remarkable histological features were gross leaflet thickening, fluid insudation, and disruption of normal collagen architecture. Interestingly, these valves also exhibited high levels of $^{18}$F-fluoride uptake. We hypothesize that up-regulation of matrix metalloproteinases may be implicated in the degradation of bioprosthetic leaflet tissue and that these proteins have the potential to bind $^{18}$F-fluoride (27,28). Further investigation of the mechanism of uptake is required.

Recent reports have described focal noncalcific leaflet thickening as a marker of thrombus formation (20,29). Although these areas can cause acute valve obstruction, more commonly, they do not result in any immediate hemodynamic disturbance, leading some to question their clinical relevance. In our study, we also observed low-attenuation noncalcific leaflet thickening on CT, suggestive of nonobstructive thrombus, that was associated with both increased $^{18}$F-fluoride activity and delayed deterioration in valve performance over the following 2 years. Similar findings were observed in explanted valves, where areas of thrombus colocalized with calcium on Von Kossa staining and exhibited increased $^{18}$F-fluoride PET activity. This raises the hypothesis that bioprosthetic valve thrombosis may act as one potential trigger to valve degeneration that might be prevented with prompt detection and anticoagulation. Interestingly, recent observational data have suggested that bioprosthetic durability may be enhanced by concomitant anticoagulation therapy (30).

CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS. Our findings have several major implications for clinical practice. First, in addition to micro- and macrocalcification, $^{18}$F-fluoride PET-CT can identify a range of degenerative processes including fibrosis, thrombosis, and leaflet degradation with disrupted collagen architecture and fluid insudation. This has provided important insights into the pathogenesis of bioprosthetic valve failure and highlights potential targets for novel therapies aimed at improving valve durability. Second, $^{18}$F-fluoride PET can detect and quantify the early stages of valvular degeneration and identify patients otherwise thought to have normal valves but who are in fact at high risk of subsequent valve failure. Given the high mortality associated with emergency repeat valve replacement (8), these patients are likely to benefit from both close tailored surveillance and early elective intervention before the onset of abrupt valve failure. Conversely, patients without PET uptake, who here demonstrated very stable valve function during follow-up, could undergo less intensive surveillance. Our data suggest that 5 years post-implantation may be an appropriate stage at which to offer a PET-CT scan and guide follow-up, with further studies needed. Third, $^{18}$F-fluoride PET-CT appears of value in determining the presence of valvular degeneration in cases where the diagnosis and clinical management is uncertain, for example in patients with suspected patient-prosthesis mismatch. Finally, $^{18}$F-fluoride PET-CT provides a readily applicable measure of valve durability that may prove useful in assessing novel prosthetic designs such as transcatheter valves before these are extended into younger and healthier patient populations.

STUDY LIMITATIONS. It was initially envisaged that serial CT calcium scoring of bioprosthetic aortic valves would also provide a marker of valve degeneration. However, in our hands, we observed major artefact related to motion and the valve frame that precluded accurate analysis of CT calcium scores. Leaflet pathology was instead more successfully identified by contrast-enhanced CT, where superior anatomic detail allowed differentiation between pannus ingrowth, thrombosis, or calcification, although a minority of scans still could not be accurately adjudicated. Alongside the robust information provided by $^{18}$F-fluoride PET this is an important advantage of the hybrid PET/CT technique.

Although our study population is relatively large compared with other cardiovascular PET studies,
external validation in a larger study population with longer follow-up would be welcome. Future studies may wish to investigate 18F-fluoride activity in novel bioprostheses, in particular aortic and mitral transcatheter valves, as well as assessing whether the bioprosthetic 18F-fluoride signal is modifiable with adjuvant therapies, such as anticoagulation in patients with associated thrombus or drugs that modify calcium metabolism (e.g., bisphosphonates, denosumab).

CONCLUSIONS

18F-fluoride PET-CT detects early bioprosthetic valve degeneration, providing powerful prediction of subsequent deterioration in valve performance and highlighting patients at imminent risk of valve failure. This novel imaging approach has the potential to transform our understanding of bioprosthetic valve failure and the way in which we monitor and treat patients with bioprosthetic valves.
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