The dopamine D2 receptor (D2R) plays a crucial role in the regulation of diverse key physiological functions, including motor control, reward, learning, and memory. This receptor is present in vivo in two isoforms, D2L and D2S, generated from the same gene by alternative pre-mRNA splicing. Each isoform has a specific role: D2L is involved mainly in the control of postsynaptic functions, whereas D2S is involved in the control of dopamine neuron firing and dopamine release (6, 13). Indeed, analyses of D2L knock-out mice suggest that D2L is involved mainly in the control of postsynaptic functions, whereas D2S is involved in the control of dopamine neuron firing and dopamine release (6, 12). Therefore, control of the synthesis of D2L relative to D2S is critical for proper neuronal activities (14). However, the mechanisms controlling the production of D2L relative to D2S are not yet known.

Alternative pre-mRNA splicing is a powerful and versatile regulatory mechanism that produces protein diversity from a single gene, which contributes to the control of almost every cellular process (15). Tissue- or developmental stage-specific alternative RNA splicing including neuronal splicing can be explained by various combinations of ubiquitously expressed and tissue-specific splicing factors (16). For example, SRp30c enhances SMN (survival of motor neurons) exon 7 inclusion, and its association with exon 7 is mediated by a direct interaction with hTra2β1 (17). In addition, inclusion of the neuron-specific c-src N1 exon during alternative splicing is facilitated by the neuron-enriched KSRP protein (18).

In this study, we identify heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein M (hnRNP M) and neuro-ontological ventral antigen-1 (Nova-1) as splicing regulators that bind to D2R exon 6 and show their functional relevance to the molecular mechanism generating the D2L and D2S isoforms of the D2R gene. In transfected cells, hnRNP M enhanced D2R exon 6 excision, leading to D2S mRNA production, whereas Nova-1 antagonized it, leading to D2L mRNA production. When the binding sequence of Nova-1 was mutated, the inhibitory effect of Nova-1 on hnRNP M was decreased. These results demonstrate that...
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| Table 1: Primer sequences for plasmid constructs |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Name      | Primer sequence                          |
| T7-E6     | 5’-TTATACGACTCTACATAGGGGCAACTGATACCCACCCT-3’ |
| E6-1      | 5’-ATCCCTCCGCTCTTCA-3’                    |
| E6-2      | 5’-ATCCCTCCGCTCTTCA-3’                    |
| T7-E6-m1  | 5’-TAATACGACTCTACTATAGGGGCAACTGATACCCACCCTG-3’ |
| T7-E6-m2  | 5’-CATCCCTCCGCTCTTCA-3’                    |
| T7-E6-m3  | 5’-CATCCCTCCGCTCTTCA-3’                    |
| T7-E6-m4  | 5’-TAATACGACTCTACTATAGGGGCAACTGATACCCACCCTG-3’ |
| T7-E6-m5  | 5’-TAATACGACTCTACTATAGGGGCAACTGATACCCACCCTG-3’ |
| D2R-m2    | 5’-CTCCACAGGGGAACAGTTACCCACCTGAGGAGGG-3’   |
| D2R-m5    | 5’-GAAAACACTCCATTAGACTCTTCAAAATAGCGTACATCTTAGTTCTAG-3’ |

hnRNP M and Nova-1 regulate alternative D2R pre-mRNA splicing in an antagonistic manner.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Reagents and Antibodies—All materials for cell culture were obtained from Invitrogen. Other chemicals, if not specified, were purchased from Sigma. Anti-His antibody was purchased from Chemicon, anti-Nova-1 antibody from Upstate Cell Signaling Solutions (Lake Placid, NY), anti-hnRNP M antibody from Novus Biologicals (Littleton, CO), and anti-FLAG M2 affinity gel from Sigma.

Oligonucleotides for PCR and Plasmid Constructions—To generate the D2R expression plasmid for the RT-PCR that determined the splicing efficiencies of D2R pre-mRNA, D2L cDNA that had been cloned into the eukaryotic expression vector pTL1, named pTL1-D2L (11), was digested with PstI and subsequently self-ligated. Next, the intron 5- and intron 6-containing minigene (pTL1-D2R) was inserted into PstI-digested pTL1, named pTL1-D2L (11), was digested with PstI and subjected to RT-PCR and immunoprecipitation.

Cell Culture and Transient Transfection— COS-1 cells and NIH3T3 cells were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 4 mM glutamine, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 100 units/ml penicillin/streptomycin, and 10% FBS; MMQ rat pituitary tumor cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 medium containing 10% horse serum under a humidifying atmosphere at 5% CO2 at 37 °C. NIH3T3 cells were plated into 6-well plates and grown to 60–80% confluence for 1 day. For transfection experiments, cells were washed twice with Dulbecco’s PBS, and the medium was then changed to serum- and antibiotic-free DMEM before transfection. Plasmids for transfection experiments were purified using Qiagen columns according to the manufacturer’s instructions and dissolved in 1 mM Tris (pH 8.0) and 0.1 mM EDTA. The cells were transfected with plasmid DNAs using Lipofectamine PLUS reagent (Invitrogen), and excess DNA complexes were washed away with Dulbecco’s PBS the next day, after which regular medium was added. After 48 h of incubation in regular medium, cells were harvested. Lysates were subjected to RT-PCR and immunoprecipitation.

RT-PCR Analysis—Total cell RNA was prepared. To eliminate possible DNA contamination, 3 μg of RNA was further treated with 10 units of DNase I (Takara Bio Inc.) for 30 min at 37 °C. DNase-treated RNA was heated for 10 min at 75 °C. The RNA was reverse-transcribed using random hexamers, and the resulting cDNA was amplified by PCR.

UV Cross-linking Assay—RNA-protein binding reactions were performed in a reaction mixture with 0.4 mM ATP, 20 mM creatine phosphate, 3 mM MgCl2, 20 units of ribonuclease inhibitor, 5 μg of yeast tRNA, 32P-labeled RNA probe, and purified proteins for 30 min at 30 °C according to a previously described method with minor modifications (19). UV cross-linking was performed on ice, 4.5 cm away from a 1.2-J UV
source (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA). Each sample was then incubated with 200 units of RNase T1 and 200 units of RNase A for 10 min at 37 °C. The resulting RNA-protein complexes were denatured and resolved by SDS-PAGE. Gels were dried and autoradiographed at −70 °C for 1 day.

EMSA—EMSA were carried out as described previously (19). RNA-protein binding reactions were performed in a 30-μl reaction mixture containing 0.4 mM ATP, 20 mM creatine phosphate, 3 mM MgCl₂, 20 units of ribonuclease inhibitor, 5 μg of yeast tRNA, 32P-labeled RNA probe, and the indicated GST-tagged recombinant protein for 30 min at 30 °C. In the case of supershift experiments, 200 ng of anti-Nova-1 antibody was added and incubated for another 30 min. After incubation, 6 μl of glycerol was added to each reaction mixture. Mixtures were immediately separated on a 5% nondenaturing polyacrylamide gel. Thereafter, the gel was dried and exposed to x-ray film (Fuji) at −70 °C for 1 day.

Immunoprecipitation—Cell pellets from 60-mm dishes of transfected NIH3T3 cells were suspended in 1 ml of lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 100 mM NaCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, and 0.1% (v/v) Nonidet P-40) containing protease inhibitors. Lysates were incubated in the presence or absence of RNase A (125 μg/ml) for 10 min on ice and then incubated with 20 μl of anti-FLAG M2 affinity gel for 1 h at 4 °C. After centrifugation, the pelleted resin was washed three times with 1 ml of lysis buffer and resuspended in 30 ml of SDS lysis buffer (40 mM Tris-HCl (pH 6.8), 10% glycerol, and 1% SDS). After centrifugation, the supernatant was transferred to new tube and mixed with 2× SDS loading buffer (80 mM Tris–HCl (pH 6.8), 20% glycerol, 2% SDS, 4% β-mercaptoethanol and 0.02% bromphenol blue). Samples were boiled for 5 min, resolved by SDS-PAGE, and analyzed by immunoblotting.

Western Blot Analysis—For immunoblotting, proteins were resolved by 8% SDS-PAGE and transferred onto PVDF membranes (Millipore, Bedford, MA). After blocking with 10% nonfat milk in TBS (10 mM Tris (pH 7.5) and 150 mM NaCl) with 0.3% Tween 20 (TBS/Tween), primary antibody (anti-His at a final dilution of 1:2000, anti-β-actin at 1:5000, anti-Nova-1 at 1:2000, anti-FLAG at 1:5000, and anti-hnRNP M at 1:1000) was applied to the membrane and incubated overnight at 4 °C. Thereafter, blots were washed five times with TBS/Tween and incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, West Grove, PA) for 1 h at room temperature. After five washes with TBS/Tween, immunoreactive bands were visualized using SuperSignal West Pico chemiluminescent Substrate (Pierce) following the manufacturer’s instructions.

Bimolecular Fluorescence Complementation and Immunocytochemistry—Bimolecular fluorescence complementation assays were performed as described previously (20). The partial sequences encoding amino acid residues 1–173 and 155–238 of the enhanced variant of yellow fluorescent protein (Venus) were fused with hnRNP M (VN-hnRNP M) and Nova-1 (VC-Nova-1), respectively. HeLa cells transfected with the indicated constructs were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. For immunocytochemistry, cells were fixed with 3.75% paraformaldehyde in PBS, and anti-FLAG and anti-GFP antibodies were applied to visualize hnRNP M and Nova-1, respectively.

RESULTS
hnRNP M Directly Interacts with D2R Exon 6—To investigate cis-acting elements and trans-acting factors that regulate alternative D2R pre-mRNA splicing, we prepared two RNA probes by subdividing D2R exon 6 (E6) into two fragments (E6-1 and E6-2) (Fig. 1A). Each of these RNA probes or an unrelated sequence (polylinker sequence) was utilized in EMSA that employed nuclear extracts from the lactotroph cell line MMQ. MMQ expresses D2R, and as in most brain regions, D2L mRNA is more abundant than D2S mRNA (21). The electrophoretic mobilities of all three RNA probes were shifted; however, only E6-1 RNA–protein and E6-2 RNA–protein complexes were decreased by an unlabeled molar excess of each probe (Fig. 1B). These results demonstrated that trans-acting factor(s) that can bind D2R E6 RNA specifically exist. To identify protein(s) that bind to D2R E6 RNA, we prepared nuclear extracts from HeLa cells, followed by fractionation by ammonium sulfate precipitation. EMSA was performed with four different fractions (20, 40, 60, and 75% ammonium sulfate concentrations). An E6-1 RNA–protein complex was found in the 60% ammonium sulfate fraction, whereas E6-2 associated with proteins in the 40% fraction (data not shown). Proteins contained in the 60 and 40% fractions were further purified by affinity chromatography using SP-Sepharose Fast Flow and then tested by EMSA using the E6-1 or E6-2 RNA probe. We found an E6-1 RNA–protein complex in the 80 mM NaCl fraction, whereas E6-2 failed to form a complex in any fraction (data not shown). The 60% ammonium sulfate SP fraction was then separated on by 10% SDS-PAGE. This procedure identified a protein of ~75 kDa in

FIGURE 1. Identification of D2R exon 6-interacting protein. A, schematic diagram of E6-1 and E6-2 (upper) and sequences of E6-1 and E6-2 (lower). B, EMSA using MMQ nuclear extracts was performed with radiolabeled E6-1, E6-2, or a linker RNA together with an unlabeled molar excess of E6-1, E6-2, or linker RNA (Comp). Arrowheads indicate the interacting complex with each probe. C, COS-1 cells were transiently transfected with a His-tagged hnRNP M expression vector. His-tagged hnRNP M protein was purified using His-binding resin. UV cross-linking assays using purified His-tagged hnRNP M protein were performed with radiolabeled E6-1 and E6-2 riboprobes (upper panel). Expression of transfected His-tagged hnRNP M was confirmed by immunoblotting (IB) using anti-His antibody (lower panel).
the 80 mM NaCl SP fraction that was absent in other SP fractions (data not shown). These experiments were repeated several times with the same result. The identity of the 75-kDa protein was obtained by MALDI-TOF MS, which identified hnRNP M as a candidate protein that interacts with E6-1 RNA. hnRNP M was identified by nine unique peptides (p < 0.05) (supplemental Fig. S1).

To confirm the interaction between hnRNP M and E6-1, we purified His-tagged recombinant hnRNP M protein from COS-1 cells transfected with pTL1-His-hnRNP M plasmid, and this protein was used in UV cross-linking assays. As shown in Fig. 1C, hnRNP M interacted with the E6-1 RNA sequence directly, confirming that hnRNP M recognizes a specific cis-acting element(s) that is present within the proximal region of D2R exon 6. Transfections of mutants generated by substitution of partial regions of D2R exon 6 (supplemental Fig. S2A) showed that the distal region of E6-1 (substituted region of the D2R-B mutant) is crucial for D2S mRNA production (supplemental Fig. S2, A and B). Importantly, transfection of hnRNP M was inefficient in increasing the D2S/D2L mRNA ratio when this region was mutated (supplemental Fig. S2C).

hnRNP M Enhances D25 mRNA Production—To assess the role of hnRNP M in the alternative splicing of D2R pre-mRNA, increasing amounts of the hnRNP M expression vector were cotransfected with a D2R minigene in cultured cells, and the resulting D2S/D2L mRNA ratio was calculated by RT-PCR. As shown in Fig. 2, hnRNP M overexpression increased the D2S/D2L mRNA ratio in an hnRNP M dose-dependent manner. The specific role of hnRNP M overexpression in D2S mRNA production was further supported by results obtained by cotransfection of an antisense hnRNP M expression vector (Fig. 2). On the contrary, cotransfection of the D2R minigene with 9G8 or ASF/SF2, two members of the SR protein family involved in alternative RNA splicing mechanisms, did not influence the D2S/D2L ratio (supplemental Fig. S3A). To test the specificity of hnRNP M in promoting D2S mRNA production, we tested its ability in the splicing of lymphotoxin α pre-mRNA. These experiments showed that although D2S mRNA production was enhanced in the presence of hnRNP M, the RNA splicing of the lymphotoxin α minigene was not (supplemental Fig. S3B).

Novα-1 Protein Specifically Binds to D2R Exon 6—Alternative splicing, to include or exclude a cassette exon, is regulated by dynamic interactions of particular splicing factors (22). Because region-specific mutations within D2R exon 6 resulted in both increased and decreased ratios of D2S/D2L mRNA expression (supplemental Fig. S2), we examined the possibility that there might be other proteins that bind to this exon and that affect the mRNA ratio. To screen for this unidentified protein(s), in silico analyses were performed on the mouse D2R exon 6 sequences to identify putative splicing factor-binding sites. Indeed, in this region, we found YCAY element-like sequences (Fig. 3A), which are known as Nova-1-binding sites (23). To validate Nova-1 as a potential splicing factor of exon 6 of the D2R pre-mRNA, we performed UV cross-linking assays and EMSAs. These experiments were done using purified GST-tagged recombinant Nova-1 protein and a radioactive exon 6 riboprobe (Fig. 3, B and C). These assays revealed that Nova-1 bound directly to exon 6; in agreement with this, anti-Nova-1 antibodies evoked a supershift in EMSA (Fig. 3C).

To determine the sequences within exon 6 that bind Nova-1, we converted several CA dinucleotides to UU (m1, m2, m3, m4, and m5) (Fig. 4A). The resulting mutated probes were used for binding assays with the Nova-1 protein. As shown in Fig. 4B, only the m5 mutant failed to form an RNA-protein complex, whereas the other mutated probes were electrophoretically shifted in the presence of the Nova-1 protein. These results are consistent with data indicating that Nova-1 binds directly to the YCAY tetramer (24). Moreover, this sequence is highly conserved among mammalian species, including mouse, rat, human, chimpanzee, dog, and cow (supplemental Fig. S4). To
determine the splicing efficiencies of transcripts generated from the D2R mutants that contained the m2 or m5 mutation (D2R-m2 or D2R-m5), we performed RT-PCR using total RNA from transfected cells. We observed that the m5 mutation increased the D2S/D2L mRNA ratio compared with the corresponding wild-type sequence, whereas the m2 mutation did not (Fig. 4C). These results indicate that Nova-1 recognizes UCAU sequences within the m5 region of D2R exon 6 and critically regulates D2L mRNA formation.

**Nova-1 Blocks the Effect of hnRNP M on D2S mRNA Production via Exon 6 Binding**—To investigate whether Nova-1 can affect the hnRNP M-mediated regulation of alternative D2R pre-mRNA splicing, we transiently transfected NIH3T3 cells with hnRNP M and/or Nova-1 expression vectors and the D2R-WT, -m2, or -m5 minigene. RT-PCR analyses showed that the inhibitory effect of Nova-1 on hnRNP M activity in alternative D2R pre-mRNA splicing was blunted with the D2R-m5 mutation (Fig. 6, right panel), in agreement with the impaired Nova-1 binding of this mutant. Conversely, transfection of the D2R-m2 minigene provided results similar to those obtained using the wild-type minigene (Fig. 6, middle panel). These results indicate that Nova-1 counteracts hnRNP M activity in D2S mRNA production via direct binding to exon 6.

**hnRNP M and Nova-1 Physically Interact with Each Other**—Because both hnRNP M and Nova-1 proteins bind to D2R exon 6, we used EMSA to investigate the effect and pattern of binding of both proteins to this exon. In extracts containing both proteins, we observed that the m5 mutation increased the D2S/D2L mRNA ratio compared with the corresponding wild-type sequence, whereas the m2 mutation did not (Fig. 4C). These results indicate that Nova-1 recognizes UCAU sequences within the m5 region of D2R exon 6 and critically regulates D2L mRNA formation.

Next, we assessed whether binding of Nova-1 to exon 6 is required to elicit its inhibitory effect on the role of hnRNP M in D2R pre-mRNA splicing. NIH3T3 cells were cotransfected with hnRNP M and/or Nova-1 expression vectors. Western blotting (WB) was performed using anti-hnRNP M, anti-FLAG, or anti-β-actin antibody to detect hnRNP M, Nova-1, or β-actin, respectively (upper panels). The ratio of D2S to D2L mRNA was analyzed by RT-PCR (lower panels). Error bars represent the mean ± S.E. (n = 3). *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01 versus mock-transfected cells. HPRT, hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase.
or anti-FLAG antibody. As shown in Fig. 7C, Nova-1 interacted with hnRNP M in an RNase A-insensitive manner. Interactions between hnRNP M and Nova-1 were further analyzed by the bimolecular fluorescence complementation assay. Coexpression of the N terminus of Venus (VN)-tagged hnRNP M and the C terminus of Venus (VC)-tagged Nova-1 exhibited a yellow fluorescence signal, showing an interaction between these proteins (Fig. 7D). These results suggest that hnRNP M and Nova-1 interact physically and that this interaction does not affect the binding affinity of Nova-1 for exon 6.
DISCUSSION

Dopamine plays a crucial role in the regulation of a variety of physiological functions. Among dopamine receptors, D2R has important functions in both dopamine signaling and dopaminergic system homeostasis (10). This receptor exists in two isoforms, D2L and D2S, which are produced by alternative pre-mRNA splicing. Importantly, splicing of D2R is a highly conserved feature present in all mammals. Studies performed in vitro and most importantly on genetically engineered animals have shown that D2L and D2S signaling differentially affects physiological responses, and therefore, these isoforms do not have redundant functions. An altered D2S/D2L ratio has recently been found in schizophrenic patients (14), and importantly, most antipsychotics have D2R as a major target. Thus, control of alternative D2R pre-mRNA splicing might have major consequences on dopamine-mediated responses. D2L plays an important role in postsynaptic activity and striatal signaling (12, 13), whereas D2S appears to have major autoreceptor function that modulates dopamine release at presynaptic sites (9, 13). This shows that each D2R isoform has distinct functions in vivo. However, the molecular regulatory mechanism of alternative D2R pre-mRNA splicing is not fully elucidated.

In this study, we have revealed that alternative D2R pre-mRNA splicing is controlled by two splicing regulators, hnRNP M and Nova-1. hnRNP M recognizes specific elements within D2R exon 6, and it enhances exon 6 excision during alternative pre-mRNA splicing. In contrast, Nova-1 antagonizes this effect via direct binding to both exon 6 and hnRNP M.

The identification and characterization of the factors binding to the cis-acting regulatory elements are important in unraveling mechanisms that modulate gene expression by affecting alternative pre-mRNA splicing. We have identified hnRNP M as a D2R exon 6-binding protein using EMSA, followed by MALDI-TOF MS and UV cross-linking. hnRNP M was first identified in 1993 by Swanson and co-workers (25). hnRNP M is a member of a family of RNA-binding proteins; it contains three RNA recognition motifs. hnRNPs bind to RNA via RNA recognition motifs and influence diverse cellular events such as transcription; telomere maintenance; RNA splicing; polyadenylation; and mRNA localization, translation, and decay (26, 27). hnRNPs are known to be negative splicing regulators by directly antagonizing the recognition of splice sites or interfering with the binding of splicing regulators to splicing enhancer sequences (28). More recently, Drosophila hnRNPM, HRP59, has been shown to bind to its own mRNA, inhibiting HRP59-mediated exon 3 inclusion (29, 30). In addition, hnRNPM binds to the intronic splicing enhancer/intronic splicing silencer-3 of FGFR2 (FGF receptor 2) pre-mRNA and promotes FGFR2 exon IIIC skipping and also enhances the excision of preprotachykinin exon 4 (31). hnRNPM affects both 5’- and 3’-alternative splice site choices and activates C4 exon skipping of the calcitonin/dhfr pre-mRNA reporter gene (32). In this study, we have shown that hnRNPM directly binds to D2R exon 6 and inhibits its inclusion, thus favoring D2S mRNA production in a dose-dependent manner (Figs. 1 and 2). This observation is consistent with previous studies implicating hnRNPM as a negative regulator of alternative pre-mRNA splicing.

Although hnRNPM enhances D2S mRNA production, D2L mRNA is dominant in most brain regions. Thus, positive splicing regulators certainly exist that enhance D2R exon 6 inclusion during the D2R pre-mRNA splicing process. Recently, it was reported that PTBP1 (polypyrimidine tract-binding protein 1) enhances exon 6 inclusion (33), but there is no evidence that it binds to pre-mRNA of D2R directly. We analyzed exon 6 sequences using a bioinformatics approach. Remarkably, this search revealed the presence of a putative binding site for brain-enriched Nova-1. Indeed, Nova-1 directly binds to UCAU sequences within D2R exon 6 RNA (Fig. 3). Nova-1 is known as a splicing factor that regulates the inclusion or exclusion of exons depending on the position of Nova binding relative to splice sites (34). When Nova binds to the 3’-region of the cassette exon, it promotes inclusion of this exon (34). Consistent with this, overexpression of Nova-1 dose-dependently increases D2R exon 6 inclusion likely by blocking hnRNPM function (Fig. 6). The antagonistic action of Nova-1 is very likely mediated by its binding to D2R exon 6. Indeed, mutation of a UCAU Nova-1-binding site causes an increase in the D2S/D2L mRNA ratio (Fig. 4C). Thus, it appears that Nova-1 enhances D2L mRNA production via direct binding to a UCAU motif on D2R exon 6, and converting UCAU to UUUU abolishes the Nova-1 effect on D2R RNA. We have thus identified two splicing proteins that, by interacting with each other, contribute to the regulation of alternative D2R pre-mRNA splicing.

Several possible mechanisms might be evoked to explain how D2R pre-mRNA splicing can be regulated by an antagonistic interaction between hnRNPM and Nova-1. One is that hnRNPM competes with the ability of Nova-1 to bind D2R exon 6. However, hnRNPM may bind to the upstream region of exon 6, and it appears to supershift a complex between Nova-1 and RNA (Figs. 1 and 7A and supplemental Fig. S2). Moreover, hnRNPM does not interfere with the ability of Nova-1 to bind to exon 6 (Fig. 7B). A Drosophila hnRNPM homolog, Rumpelstiltskin (Rump), is known to specifically bind to a CGUU sequence (35), and interestingly, D2R exon 6 contains a CGUU motif immediately upstream of the UCAU Nova-1-binding site. This sequence is included in E6-1 and in the region substituted in the D2R-B mutant. This possibility is in line with our data suggesting that hnRNPM and Nova-1 may bind to adjacent sequence within exon 6, rather than competing for the same site.

We favor the possibility that, by binding to exon 6, Nova-1 blocks the binding of hnRNPM to it. Indeed, disruption of Nova-1 binding to exon 6 decreases the inhibitory effect on hnRNPM activity during D2R pre-mRNA splicing (Fig. 7). This is the case for the alternative pre-mRNA splicing of inhibitory glycine receptor α2 exons 3A and 3B, in which Nova-1 binding to an intron that is situated near exon 3A enhances the inclusion of exon 3A rather than exon 3B by a mechanism that is antagonized by brain-enriched polypyrimidine tract-binding protein. Moreover, the latter protein interacts with Nova-1 and binds glycine receptor α2 RNA at a position that is situated 90 nucleotides from the Nova-1-binding site (36). However, blocking Nova-1 assembly on D2R exon 6 by the m5 point mutation
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FIGURE 8. Schematic model of the molecular regulatory mechanisms for the alternative pre-mRNA splicing of D2R by Novo-1 and hnRNP M. Novo-1 and hnRNP M regulate alternative D2R pre-mRNA splicing antagonistically. Both Novo-1 and hnRNP M specifically interact with D2R exon 6, but hnRNP M inhibits the binding of Novo-1 to D2R exon 6. Novo-1 antagonizes the exon 6 exclusion activity of hnRNP M and enhances exon 6 inclusion.

does not completely abolish the effect of Novo-1 on hnRNP M function in the process of D2R pre-mRNA splicing (Fig. 6). Thus, we cannot exclude the possibility that other Novo-1 recognition sites exist within D2R pre-mRNA or that Novo-1 may inhibit the function of hnRNP M by direct interaction. Because Novo-1 interacts with hnRNP M RNA-independently, it may block the binding of hnRNP M to exon 6 both by RNA binding and by protein-protein interaction. The simplest model consistent with these data is that Novo-1 binds D2R exon 6 at a site that is adjacent to the hnRNP M-binding motif so as to mediate an antagonistic effect on alternative D2R pre-mRNA splicing via protein-protein interactions (Fig. 8). An additional finding in support of the possible role of Novo-1 in the splicing mechanism regulating the D2R gene is that Novo-1 has been localized in inhibitory neurons. Furthermore, Novo-1-dependent mechanisms have been reported for the localization of glycine receptor α2 and G-protein-activated inwardly rectifying potassium channel subunit 2 (GIRK2) mRNAs in dendrites (37). Interestingly, striatal medium spiny neurons, where D2R is mainly expressed, are GABAergic, and D2R is functionally linked to GIRK2 channel activity. Thus, we speculate that D2R mRNA might be processed in a similar way as glycine receptor α2 and GIRK2.

In conclusion, hnRNP M promotes D2R exon 6 excision, leading to production of a D2S mRNA variant during D2R pre-mRNA splicing, whereas Novo-1 protein abolishes hnRNP M function and enhances D2L mRNA expression via RNA-independent interaction with hnRNP M and/or specific binding to D2R RNA. An increased understanding of how alternative D2R pre-mRNA splicing is regulated by the antagonistic functions of hnRNP M and Novo-1 may provide novel insight into key mechanisms that regulate the ratio of D2L and D2S isoforms.
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