Lack of Augmenter of Liver Regeneration Disrupts Cholesterol Homeostasis of Liver in Mice by Inhibiting the AMPK Pathway
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It is well known that excessive cholesterol accumulation within hepatocytes deteriorates nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). Augmenter of liver regeneration (ALR) has been reported to alleviate NAFLD through anti-apoptosis; however, whether ALR could protect liver from cholesterol-induced NAFLD remains unclear. Mice with heterozygous deletion of Gfer (the gene for ALR, Gfer+/−) were generated, and liver steatosis was induced by either choline-deficient ethionine-supplemented, methionine choline-deficient diet for 4 weeks, or high-fat diet for 16 weeks. The results showed that Gfer+/− mice developed a more severe fatty liver phenotype than Gfer+/+ mice. The livers of Gfer+/− mice exhibited a higher concentration of cholesterol and low-density lipoprotein compared with the normal mice. Transcriptome-based analysis predicts low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR) primarily involved in the metabolic pathway. The experiments further indicate that cholesterol accumulation within hepatocytes is closely associated with enhancing the expression of LDLR and activation of sterol regulatory element binding protein 2 (SREBP2). Because adenosine monophosphate–activated protein kinase (AMPK) is a critical regulator of SREBP2 activation, we measured whether the activity of AMPK was regulated by ALR. We found that knockdown of ALR expression inhibited the phosphorylation of LKB1, an upstream activator of AMPK, followed by AMPK inactivation and SREBP2 maturation/nuclear translocation, leading to extensive cholesterol accumulation. Meanwhile, cellular oxidative stress increased as a result of ALR knockdown, indicating that ALR might also have a role in suppressing reactive oxygen species production.

Conclusion: Our results confirm that ALR regulates cholesterol metabolism and alleviates hepatic steatosis probably through the LKB1-AMPK-SREBP2-LDLR pathway in vivo and in vitro, providing a putative mechanism for combating fatty liver disease. (Hepatology Communications 2020;4:1149-1167).

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is becoming one of the most common chronic liver diseases worldwide. Pathologically, NAFLD can be divided into two stages: simple steatosis or steatohepatitis with hepatic inflammation and ballooning (i.e., nonalcoholic steatohepatitis [NASH]). Increasing evidence suggests that a significant portion of patients with NASH may progress toward liver fibrosis and subsequently develop advanced stage liver disease, including cirrhosis, hepatic decompensation, and hepatocellular carcinoma. The pathogenesis of NAFLD is closely correlated with all aspects of the metabolic syndrome, such as obesity, dyslipidemia and insulin resistance, complicating endpoint definitions of the disease and impeding drug intervention clinically.
Although the pathogenesis of NASH is complex and incompletely understood, excessive lipid deposition in hepatocytes is now considered an essential step toward liver inflammation and hepatic toxicity. In contrast to the lipotoxicity caused by triglycerides, the contribution of cholesterol to NASH development and hepatic steatosis has been inadequately defined. A large number of investigations indicate that free cholesterol accumulation can trigger hepatic inflammation and deteriorate NASH development. Recently, several studies reported that the lipotoxicity of free cholesterol in hepatocytes could be associated primarily with impairment on mitochondrial dynamics and biogenesis. However, detailed mechanisms of cholesterol regulation in mitochondrial dynamics remain rather obscure.

Hepatic stimulator substance (HSS) was initially isolated from regenerating livers of rats. Partially purified HSS can stimulate the regeneration of the liver after partial hepatectomy. The effect of HSS stimulation on hepatic proliferation appears to be liver-specific, but not species-specific. Intriguingly, HSS on its own did not induce proliferation of quiescent hepatocytes, but amplified the combined mitogenic effects of the epidermal growth factor and the hepatocyte growth factor. As a consequence, HSS was renamed “augmenter of liver regeneration” (ALR). Nowadays, it is known that alternative splicing of the ALR gene (also known as Gfer) produces two proteins: a short form (sf) with 15 kDa and a long form (lf) with 22 kDa. The sf-ALR is believed to be a candidate of cytokines with a yet uncharacterized function. The lf-ALR is a sulfhydryl oxidase that resides primarily in the mitochondrial intermembrane space and exerts liver protection against various types of injury, including NASH. We have demonstrated that enhancement of ALR expression in mice attenuated liver injury caused by high-fat diet or alcohol. We also previously reported that transfection of ALR into steatotic hepatocytes could up-regulate carnitine palmitoyl transferase I (CPT1), which is propitious to the transport of long-chain fatty acid into the mitochondria. Consistently, Gandhi et al. demonstrated that ALR liver-specific deletion in mice accelerates development of steatohepatitis and hepatocellular carcinoma. They found that the association of ALR deletion with hepatic steatosis is partially attributed to the down-regulation of CPT1. These data clearly imply that ALR could be an important regulator for lipid metabolism in the mitochondria, and dysfunction of ALR might be related with the pathogenesis of NASH. In contrast to increasing the amount of investigations exploring the ALR combat to NASH caused by abnormal metabolism of fatty acids in the mitochondria, there is still a lack of evidence displaying cholesterol metabolism regulated by ALR and its contribution to NASH development.
Loss of hepatic cholesterol homeostasis deteriorates NASH progression. In hepatocytes, intracellular cholesterol homeostasis is controlled by a coordinate network involving cholesterol sensors and nuclear transcription factors regulating cholesterol synthesis, uptake, intracellular transport, and excretion. Mature transcription factor SREBP2 is transported into the nucleus, binds to sterol regulatory elements (SRE), and activates downstream target genes such as 3-hydroxy-3-methyl-glutaryl-coenzyme A reductase (HMGCR), Niemann pick c1-like 1, and low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR). Thus, regulation of sterol regulatory element binding protein 2 (SREBP2) is a cardinal step in maintaining cholesterol homeostasis. In this study, we aim to elucidate whether the ALR attenuating NASH could be associated with regulation of SREBP2. Moreover, we explore the potential mechanism by which SREBP2 expression is regulated. We report that inhibition of ALR expression in murine liver and hepatocytes decreased the liver kinase B1 (LKB1) phosphorylation, leading to inactivation of adenosine monophosphate–activated protein kinase (AMPK) and consequently promoting SREBP2 maturation. Activation of this signaling pathway mobilizes LDLR-mediated cholesterol transport more inward into hepatocytes, and accelerates NASH progression. In conclusion, this study unveils a pathway that explains how ALR expression attenuates NASH progression.

Materials and Methods

ANIMALS AND EXPERIMENTAL MODELS

Mice with heterozygous deletion of the ALR gene (designated as Gfer+/-, C57BL/6J background) were generated as previously reported. Two-month-old male Gfer+/- mice and wild-type (WT) C57BL/6J mice were fed with standard chow or methionine choline-deficient diet (MCD) for 4 weeks, or choline-deficient, ethionine-supplemented diet (CDE, 60% high fat plus drinking water supplemented with 0.165% ethionine) for 4 weeks, or high-fat diet (HFD) for 16 weeks. The animals received humane treatment and were maintained in specific pathogen-free conditions. All of the protocols were approved in accordance with the guideline of the Ethics Committee of the Capital Medical University, Beijing, China.

MEASUREMENT OF SERUM AND HEPATIC LIPIDS

After 4 weeks of MCD or CDE diet, or 16 weeks of HFD, mice were sacrificed at termination of the experiments. The livers were collected for histological analysis, and blood samples were collected for the assay of alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), triglyceride (TG), cholesterol (TC), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) with an Hitachi 7600-020 clinical analyzer (Tokyo, Japan). Briefly, the liver tissues (50 mg) were homogenized for measurement of tissue lipid content of TG/TC and HDL-C/LDL-C as well using commercial kits (for TG/TC, Applygen Technologies [Beijing, China]; for HDL-C/LDL-C, Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute [Nanjing, China]).

RNA SEQUENCING AND DATA ANALYSIS

Total RNA was isolated from the livers of Gfer+-/ mice or Gfer+/- mice fed the CDE diet with TRIzol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and then sequenced by Illumina Xten sequencing (San Diego, CA). The analysis of RNA sequence (RNA-seq) was performed as previously described. The Kyoto Encyclopedia of Gene and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analysis was used for enrichment analysis of different expressed genes. The expressed genes with a corrected P value of less than 0.05 were considered significantly different and being enriched. All data analyses were performed in the R statistical environment (version 3.5.1).

CELL CULTURE

HepG2 cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA). The stable ALR-knockdown (shALR) and ALR-overexpression (ALR-Tx) cells were established as described previously. Cells were cultured with Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with
10% fetal bovine serum in an incubator with 5% CO₂ at 37°C. Primary hepatocytes were isolated from the livers of 2-month-old Gfer+/− and WT mice, and maintained in hepatocyte medium (ScienCell, Carlsbad, CA). To induce hepatocyte steatosis, cells were cultured in sterol depletion medium (DMEM supplemented with 10% lipoprotein-deficient serum [LPDS]), 5 μm simvastatin, and 10 μm mevalonic acid for 24 hours.

For primary hepatocytes of Gfer+/− mice, cells were transfected with adenoviral vector containing the ALR gene (Adv-ALR) or control Adv vector for 48 hours. Meanwhile, the Adv-ALR bearing green fluorescent protein was used to calibrate the transfection efficiency.

**LDL UPTAKE ASSAY**

Cells were washed three times with phosphate-buffered solution (PBS) and incubated with 5 μg/mL DiI-labeled LDL or 0.5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) as a control at 37°C for 1 hour. Then, cells were washed three times with ice-cold PBS supplemented with 0.5% BSA and fixed by 4% paraformaldehyde and analyzed by confocal microscope (TCS-NT SP8; Leica, Wetzlar, Germany). The relative fluorescence signal of every single cell was quantified by Image-Pro Plus (Media Cybernetics, Rockville, MD). Fluorescence in control cells was set to 100%.

**QUANTITATIVE REAL-TIME PCR AND WESTERN BLOTTING**

Total RNA was isolated from liver tissue or cultured cells using the RNeasy mini-kit (QIAGEN, Maryland) and reverse-transcribed into complementary DNA for quantitative real-time PCR assay as described previously.

To visualize the expressions of cholesterol-related proteins, western blotting was used, and the primary antibodies against LDLR (diluted 1:1000; Abcam, Cambridge, United Kingdom), SREBP2 (diluted 1:2,000; Abcam), AMPK (diluted 1:1,000), phosphorylated AMPK (diluted 1:1,000; Abcam), ALR (diluted 1:1,000; Proteintech, Chicago, IL), phosphorylated LKB1 (diluted 1:500, Cell Signaling Technology, Beverly, MA), LKB1 (diluted 1:800, Abcam), SREBP1c (diluted 1:1,000; Abcam), and goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody (diluted 1:5,000; Proteintech) were used.

**TISSUE STAINING AND HISTOLOGY**

For staining, 5-μm-thick liver tissue slices were sectioned and stained with hematoxylin and eosin or Oil Red O. The hepatic histology was observed by light microscopy DM500 B (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany).

For LDLR staining, liver sections (5 μm) were incubated with anti-LDLR primary antibody at 1:200 in 3% BSA dissolved in PBS at 4°C for overnight. After three washes with PBS, liver sections were incubated with goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G (IgG) secondary antibody at 1:200 with 3% BSA for 30 minutes. Quantitative results were calculated by Image-Pro Plus software to average the percentage of LDLR-positive area for five fields in each section.

For immunofluorescence, cells were plated at a density of 2 × 10⁵ cells per confocal dish. After 24 hours of LPDS treatment, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and incubated with anti-LDLR primary antibody (1:200) at 4°C overnight. After staining with Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody (1:200), fluorescence images were viewed and captured by laser confocal microscopy (Leica).

**CHROMATIN IMMUNOPRECIPITATION ASSAY**

Mouse monoclonal anti-SREBP2 antibody (Santa Cruz Biotech, Dallas, TX) was used for immunoprecipitation assay. The following PCR primers were used: human LDLR-SRE forward, 5′-GGGACCCAATA CAACAAAATCAAGT-3′; reverse, 5′-TG AGGT'TT CTAGCAGGGGGAGG-3′; mouse LDLR-SRE forward, 5′-TCAGGGGTTT AAAAGAGACGATG-3′; reverse, 5′-CCAGTACTAGGGGCGAGGTTT-3′. Ultimately, a 188-bp (human) or 129-bp (mouse) fragment containing a SRE sequence of LDLR promoter was obtained.

**ATP ASSAY**

A Cell Titer-Glo luminescent cell viability assay kit (Promega, Madison, WI) was used for the
detection of intracellular ATP content. After centrifugation, the supernatant of cells or homogenized tissues were mixed with the reaction reagent for 10 minutes, and measured by a Glomax 96 Microplate Luminometer (Promega) following the manufacturer’s instructions.

**SEAHORSE XF ASSAY**

HepG2 cells (1 × 10^5 cells/well) were plated in a Seahorse XFp culture microplate (Seahorse Bioscience, Santa Clara, CA). The measurement of oxygen consumption rate (OCR) was as previously described.\(^{(25)}\) HepG2 cells were stimulated with 0.25 μm carbonyl cyanide 4-(tri-fluoromethoxy) phenylhydrazone and 10 μm oligomycin (Seahorse Bioscience). Data of OCR were recorded using the Seahorse Metabolism Analyzer (Seahorse XFp).

**FLOW CYTOMETRY**

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) induced by LPDS in hepatocytes can be detected by flow cytometry. Cells (5 × 10^5) were seeded in 6-well plates. After stimulation, dichloro-dihydro-fluorescein diacetate was added to cells and incubated for 30 minutes in the dark at 37°C. Fluorescence measurement was performed as previously described.\(^{(26)}\)

**ROS STAINING**

For ROS staining, 5-μm-thick frozen liver tissue slices were sectioned and stained with ROS staining solution (Servicebio Technology, Wuhan, China) at 37°C in the dark for 30 minutes. After washing three times with PBS, the nucleus was counterstained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole for 10 minutes. Quantitative results were calculated by Image-Pro Plus software to average the percentage of ROS-positive area for three fields in each section.

**MEASUREMENT OF MALONDIALDEHYDE**

The liver tissues (50 mg) were homogenized for measurement of tissue malondialdehyde (MDA) content as well using commercial kits (Applygen Technologies).

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Experimental results are presented as the mean ± SD. Comparisons between the two groups were performed with GraphPad Prism 7 software (San Diego, CA). Student t test was used to determine the statistical significances between the two groups. Bonferroni correction was used to adjust the significance the levels for differences among more than two groups in which \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**INHIBITION OF ALR DETERIORATED HEPATIC CHOLESTEROL ACCUMULATION IN MICE WITH CDE OR MCD DIET**

Heterozygous knockout (KO) of \( Gfer \) resulted in a remarkable reduction of hepatic ALR expression (Fig. 1A). As shown in Fig. 1B, the MCD and CDE diets actually cause weight loss in mice, but the weight of CDE-fed mice decreased at the first week and then increased again, and still lower than that of chow diet group mice at the fourth week. Nevertheless, the ratio of liver/body weight showed no significant difference between \( Gfer^{+/−} \) and \( Gfer^{+/+} \) mice after CDE or MCD for 4 weeks (Fig. 1C). However, the hepatic steatosis was more pronounced in \( Gfer^{+/−} \) mice than that in \( Gfer^{+/+} \) mice (Fig. 1D,E). Although liver function tests such as serum ALT and AST showed no significant differences between the \( Gfer^{+/−} \) and \( Gfer^{+/+} \) mice (Fig. 1F,G), the severity of hepatic steatosis based on NAFLD scoring\(^{(27)}\) and inflammation in \( Gfer^{+/−} \) mice was significantly higher than in \( Gfer^{+/+} \) mice (Table 1). It is worth noting that, after the MCD and CDE diet for 4 weeks, the amounts of serum TC and LDL-C were significantly reduced in \( Gfer^{+/−} \) mice as compared with the WT mice, whereas hepatic cholesterol deposition in \( Gfer^{+/−} \) mice was significantly higher in both CDE and MCD animals (Table 2). Taken together, these results suggest that liver steatosis generated by administering the CDE or MCD is more severe in \( Gfer^{+/−} \) mice and could be partially a result of dysregulation of cholesterol homeostasis.
**Fig. 1.** Inhibition of ALR-deteriorated hepatic lipid accumulation in CDE or MCD diet. (A) Western blot Analysis of ALR protein levels in Gfer<sup>++</sup> and Gfer<sup>−/−</sup> mice liver tissues (Gfer<sup>++</sup> mice, n = 5; Gfer<sup>−/−</sup> mice, n = 4). The experiment was repeated at least three times. (B) Fluctuation curves of mouse body weights. The body weight was recorded every 3 days. "***" represents Gfer<sup>++</sup> mice versus Gfer<sup>−/−</sup> mice fed with MCD diet, P < 0.05. (C) Comparison of liver weight/body weight between Gfer<sup>++</sup> and Gfer<sup>−/−</sup> mice after CDE or MCD diet (chow diet, n = 3 mice per group; CDE diet, n = 6 mice per group; and MCD diet, n = 10 mice per group). (D) Hematoxylin and eosin staining of mouse liver tissue (chow diet, n = 3 mice per group; CDE diet, n = 6 Gfer<sup>++</sup> mice and n = 8 Gfer<sup>−/−</sup> mice; and MCD diet, n = 7 mice per group). Scale bars: 200 μm and 100 μm. (E) Oil Red O staining revealed the condition of liver lipid accumulation, and the results were quantified five images/mouse by Image-Pro Plus (n = 6 mice per group). Scale bars: 200 μm and 100 μm. (F, G) Mouse serum ALT and AST levels were measured to evaluate liver function (chow diet, n = 3 mice per group; CDE diet, n = 6 Gfer<sup>++</sup> mice and n = 8 Gfer<sup>−/−</sup> mice; and MCD diet, n = 7 mice per group). In (A), (B), and (E), data are expressed as the mean ± SD. *P < 0.05, ***P < 0.0001.
Association of Liver Steatosis with Impairment on Cholesterol Uptake by LDLR in ALR-Deficient Mice

To predict the possible mechanism associated with hepatic cholesterol deposition during NAFLD in Gfer+/− mice, liver tissues from CDE Gfer+/− and Gfer+/+ mice were taken, and whole genome transcriptome analysis was conducted by using RNA-seq (Fig. 2A). A total of 1,088 genes were detected and expressed differentially, including 610 up-regulated genes and 478 down-regulated genes with fold changes of 1.5 or higher in Gfer+/− mice compared with the Gfer+/+ mice (Fig. 2B). All of the differentially expressed genes were analyzed by KEGG enrichment analysis, and approximately 20 pathways with the greatest significance in Gfer+/− mice compared with Gfer+/+ mice are summarized in Fig. 2C. Most of the significant pathways were related to complement and coagulation, focal adhesion, extracellular matrix–receptor interaction, indicating that ALR knockdown may affect cell migration. Interestingly, we noticed that pathways of cholesterol-related metabolism were significantly enriched between Gfer+/− and Gfer+/+ mice. In particular, in the up-regulated gene panel, two important genes, HMGCR and LDLR, which regulate cholesterol synthesis and uptake, were overexpressed (Fig. 2D). Accordingly, messenger RNA (mRNA) expressions of these two genes were measured and revealed that hepatic Ldlr mRNA of Gfer+/− mice increased by two-fold as compared with Gfer+/+ mice after the CDE diet, and increased by about 50% in Gfer+/− mice in comparison to the WT littermates subjected to MCD (Fig. 2E). Likewise, Hmgcr mRNA was also elevated more than two-fold in Gfer+/− mice compared with Gfer+/+ mice after the CDE diet; however, no significant variation was observed in the MCD mice (Fig. 2F). The expression of ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters such as Abcg5, Abcg8, and Abca1 and cytochrome P450 enzymes for bile acid synthesis (Cyp7a1 and Cyp27a1) were not altered (Fig. 2G). Increased expression of Ldlr and Hmgcr genes, to a large extent, explains cholesterol deposition by ALR depletion. Consistent with Ldlr mRNA, LDLR protein levels were also increased as shown by western blot (Fig. 3A) and immunohistochemistry in Gfer+/− mice (Fig. 3B).

Table 1. Effects of ALR on Liver Injury After 4 Weeks of CDE or MCD Diet

| Parameters      | Gfer+/+(n = 5) | Gfer+/−(n = 8) | Gfer+/+(n = 7) | Gfer+/−(n = 7) |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Inflammation    | 0.76 ± 0.51    | 1.42 ± 0.53*   | 0.89 ± 0.53    | 0.99 ± 0.49*   |
| Steatosis       | 2.08 ± 0.09    | 2.69 ± 0.21*   | 1.21 ± 0.39    | 2.29 ± 0.81*   |

Note: Liver damage is evaluated by inflammation and steatosis score. *P < 0.05 versus Gfer+/+.

Table 2. Lipid Profile of Serum and Livers of Mice After CDE or MCD Diet for 4 Weeks

| Parameters      | Serum (4-Week CDE diet) | Serum (4-Week MCD diet) | Liver (4-Week CDE diet) | Liver (4-Week MCD diet) |
|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Parameters      | Gfer+/+(n = 7) | Gfer+/−(n = 7) | Gfer+/+(n = 7) | Gfer+/−(n = 7) |
| Serum           |                     |                        |                        |                        |
| TG (mmol/L)     | 0.99 ± 0.09          | 1.407 ± 0.23           | 0.65 ± 0.7             | 0.53 ± 0.08*           |
| TC (mmol/L)     | 2.35 ± 1.01          | 1.62 ± 0.4*            | 1.76 ± 0.29            | 1.48 ± 0.27†           |
| HDL-C (mmol/L)  | 1.02 ± 0.52          | 1.19 ± 0.54            | 0.9 ± 0.44             | 0.71 ± 0.29            |
| LDL-C (mmol/L)  | 0.56 ± 0.13          | 0.17 ± 0.07†           | 0.1 ± 0.04             | 0.067 ± 0.04*          |
| Liver           | 496.6 ± 433.6        | 760.4 ± 467.5          | 94.26 ± 97.32          | 186.99 ± 75.81*        |
| TG (μmol/g PR)  | 55.18 ± 24.82        | 88.25 ± 23.0†          | 39.74 ± 9.0            | 51.96 ± 9.49*          |
| TC (μmol/g PR)  | 44.18 ± 23.26        | 36.57 ± 14.2           | 0.23 ± 0.24            | 0.39 ± 0.33            |
| HDL-C (mmol/g PR) | 1.69 ± 0.48        | 2.14 ± 0.47†           | 0.64 ± 0.12            | 0.9 ± 0.25*            |

Note: The TC, TC, HDL-C, and LDL-C levels were measured in serum and liver tissue. *P < 0.05. †P < 0.01 versus Gfer+/+.
In accordance with hepatic cholesterol accumulation in livers of $Gfer$<sup>+/−</sup> mice, the cellular cholesterol contents were significantly increased in ALR-deficient cells (Supporting Fig. S3). Therefore, our research focuses on ALR regulation on LDLR in hepatic steatosis.

As shown in Fig. 4A, the cellular uptake of DiI-LDL was remarkably enhanced to approximately 1.5-4.0-fold higher in short hairpin RNA (shRNA)-ALR-HepG2 cells, and ALR-deficient primary hepatocytes isolated from $Gfer$<sup>+/−</sup> livers. Meanwhile,
Ldlr mRNA levels and protein expression were also drastically elevated in ALR-deficient cells (Fig. 4B-D). Based on these data, we speculate that enhanced cholesterol uptake into hepatocytes through LDLR might be attributed to partial ALR knockdown and could definitively aggravate hepatic steatosis.

ENHANCEMENT OF LDLR EXPRESSION IS ASSOCIATED WITH SREBP2 MATURATION

LDLR-mediated cholesterol transport is closely regulated by two different transcription factors. One of them, SREBP2, following activation, can up-regulate the expression of genes dealt with cholesterol uptake. Thus, the protein levels of SREBP2 were measured. As shown in Fig. 5, mature SREBP2 (SREBP2-M) was significantly elevated in livers of Gfer+/− mice compared with those of Gfer+/+ mice. Similarly, down-regulation of ALR also enhanced SREBP2 maturation and translocation into nucleus following sterol depletion (Fig. 5B,C). The chromatin immunoprecipitation assay verified that the nuclear–translocated SREBP2 could bind with LDLR promoter DNA extracted either from liver tissue or from cultured cells, and this binding increased prominently in the Gfer+/− livers or ALR-lacking hepatocytes (Fig. 5D,E), implying that down-regulation of ALR could potentially promote Ldlr transcription, thereby favoring LDL uptake into hepatocytes.
It is known that SREBP maturation is regulated by AMPK phosphorylation. Phosphorylated AMPK restricts the SREBP2 to nuclear translocation. As indicated in Fig. 6A, AMPK phosphorylation at Thr172 was effectively inhibited in liver tissue of Gfer+/− mice after CDE or MCD diet. Meanwhile, phosphorylated AMPKThr172 (p-AMPKThr172) was significantly reduced in ALR-shRNA HepG2 and in primary hepatocytes of Gfer+/− mice in sterol-depletion conditions.
A769662, a potent activator of AMPKα catalytic subunit, could concentration-dependently activate the phosphorylation AMPK-Thr172. Meanwhile, SREBP2 maturation and LDLR expression were dominantly inhibited (Fig. 6C), resulting in a gradual decrease in cellular LDL uptake (Fig. 6D).

It is also noted that the hepatic or serum levels of TC and TG were significantly increased in Gfer+/- mice as compared with Gfer+/+ mice after HFD for 16 weeks (Fig. 7B,C). The expression levels of different proteins were also consistent with the results of CDE and MCD diets (Fig. 7D). Additionally, regulation of AMPK-SREBP2-LDLR provided by ALR was analyzed with western blot, of which the phosphorylation of AMPK presents a pattern apparently identical to that exhibited in CDE/MCD mice for 4 weeks.

Surprisingly, rescue experiments indicated that if the ALR gene was re-introduced into ALR-deficient primary hepatocytes (Fig. 8A, right panel), the AMPK- Thr172 phosphorylation appeared elevated again; at the same time, the LDL uptake decreased by up to 75% of the control (Fig. 8B,C). These results indicate that the regulation of ALR on SREBP2 and subsequent impact on LDL uptake are probably dependent on phosphorylation of AMPK at residue Thr172.

**DOWN-REGULATION OF ALR-IMPAIRED MITOCHONDRIAL FUNCTION**

In patients with NAFLD, hepatic AMPK activity and ATP synthesis significantly decreased. Next, we questioned whether ALR deletion could affect mitochondrial ATP synthesis and function as well. As
shown in Fig. 9A, ALR knockdown in HepG2 cells inhibited the use of oxygen for oxidative phosphorylation, as indexed by OCR. As a result, hepatic ATP contents decreased significantly in partially ALR-deficient mice (Fig. 9B). It is known that AMPK is an essential enzyme that regulates cellular energy homeostasis. The activity of AMPK is regulated by a rational ratio of (AMP) ADP/ATP and its upstream kinase LKB1 as well. Thus, LKB1 activity was measured in ALR-lacking cells to estimate whether ALR might be a putative regulator on LKB1. As shown in Fig. 9C,D, the hepatic LKB1 phosphorylation at Ser 426 was greatly inhibited in Gfer \(^{+/−}\) mice. To elucidate whether a decrease in ATP synthesis exerts a regulatory impact on AMPK activity by suppressing LKB1 phosphorylation in hepatocytes, HepG2 cells were simultaneously treated with oleic acid (200 µm) and oligomycin A (an inhibitor of ATP synthase), ATP synthesis and LKB1 phosphorylation were measured. As indicated in Supporting Fig. S4A,B, these stimuli could dose-dependently inhibit cellular ATP production and LKB1 phosphorylation. To this end, we conclude that the lack of ALR could affect the LKB1-AMPK-SREBP2 pathway to promote hepatic cholesterol accumulation by enhancing LDL uptake.

**DOWN-REGULATION OF ALR INCREASES THE LEVEL OF ROS AND PROMOTES SREBP2 MATURATION**

It is known that ROS can activate SREBP2 directly.\(^{(32)}\) In our study, we found that a decrease
in the expression of ALR could elevate the levels of hepatic ROS or MDA (Fig. 10A,B). Treatment of ALR-shRNA HepG2 cells with antioxidant/reductant (N-acetylcysteine, NAC) reduced the cellular ROS level (Fig. 10C) and restored the cellular ATP contents to a certain degree (Fig. 10D). Next, we sought to verify the role of ROS in SREBP2 maturation. For this purpose, we directly measured the protein level and found significant reduction in the SREBP2-M and piAMPK level in the NAC pretreatment group (Fig. 10E). These data suggest that increasing the production of ROS could destroy the intracellular cholesterol homeostasis through SREBP2, and this process may be AMPK-independent.

**Discussion**

In this study, we show that the partial inhibition of ALR causes reduced activation of AMPK, which in turn causes up-regulation of SREBP2-mediated LDLR expression, leading to increased cholesterol uptake into hepatocytes. Several studies have indicated that ALR could protect the liver against NAFLD injury through the regulation of lipid metabolism in mice.\(^{16,19,33}\) Our previous study has shown that ALR could preserve mitochondrial CPT1 activity, which assists the transport of long fatty acids into mitochondria for \(\beta\)-oxidation,\(^{19,34}\) and as a result, facilitates the clearance of excessive hepatic lipids during the development of NASH.\(^{19}\) ALR-L-KO (liver-specific depletion of ALR) mice develop extensive steatosis at the age of 2 weeks, which could be related to inhibition of the expression and activity of CPT1 in the livers and impaired fatty acid \(\beta\)-oxidation.\(^{16}\) Furthermore, a recent report shows that cellular ALR increases the expression of fatty acid binding protein 1, which binds toxic free fatty acids, promoting mitochondrial \(\beta\)-oxidation by elevating CPT1.\(^{33}\) These
studies strongly indicate that ALR-attenuated hepatic lipid accumulation is due, at least in part, to increasing mitochondrial β-oxidation. However, it is still unclear, apart from enhancement of fatty acid burnout offered by ALR, whether ALR itself could regulate the hepatic deposition of fatty acids, particularly cholesterol. Our present study demonstrates that the suppression of cholesterol uptake provided by ALR could be an alternative mechanism to reduce the lipid accumulation within hepatocytes. This conclusion is supported by the following observations: (1) increase in the hepatic lipid profile levels in MCD, CDE, or HFD ALR-lacking mice; (2) significant increase in the cholesterol levels in the livers of MCD, CDE, or HFD ALR-lacking mice and cultured ALR-lacking hepatocytes; (3) enhanced activation of SREBP2 and subsequent transcription of LDLR in ALR-lacking cells; and (4) negligible effect of ALR on the gene expression relevant to cholesterol synthesis, transformation, and efflux in mice.

Hepatic free cholesterol (FC) is a major lipotoxic molecule that promotes oxidative stress and liver damage, sensitizes to a more severe damage, and contributes to NASH pathogenesis.\(^{10,35}\) Moreover, in patients and animal models of NASH, an increased amount of cholesterol, and in particular of FC, can be observed.\(^{10,36}\) Extensive dysregulation of hepatic cholesterol homeostasis has been documented in NAFLD, leading to increased hepatic cholesterol levels.\(^{6,9}\) This dysregulation likely occurs at multiple levels, such as increased hepatic cholesterol synthesis related to increased expression and activity of HMGCR,\(^{37}\) increased hepatic levels of active SREBP2,\(^{38}\) and increased uptake of cholesterol-rich-lipoproteins.\(^{9}\) SREBP2 is an important transcription factor that regulates genes controlling both cholesterol synthesis and uptake events, including HMGCR and LDLR.\(^{20}\) SREBP2 mRNA levels are higher in the liver of NASH patients.\(^{38}\) In the present study, down-regulation of ALR-induced cholesterol accumulation was accompanied by the activation of SREBP2 and LDLR expression in the liver, but little with HMGCR alternation, suggesting clearly that the hepatic cholesterol accumulation caused by ALR deficiency is predominantly due to an increase in cholesterol uptake into hepatocytes, rather than its synthesis.

Previous studies have indicated that activation of AMPK could inhibit the expression and nuclear translocation of SREBP2.\(^{32,33,40}\) Our current study also suggests that down-regulation of ALR may suppress AMPK activity, subsequently triggering SREBP2 and
phosphorylating AMPK-mediated target substrates (SREBP2, SREBP1c, and acetyl-coenzyme-A [CoA] carboxylase). Among this cascade of events, the regulation of AMPK activity plays a critical role. SREBP1c is the main transcription factor to regulate de novo lipogenesis and enhances transcription of genes required for fatty acid synthesis. Our previous study showed that ALR was able to suppress activation of SREBP1c, which would help the cells resist steatosis injury and apoptosis. However, in this study we reveal that down-regulation of ALR, to some extent, did not elevate the levels of triglyceride and the expression and activation of SREBP1c in the liver significantly (Supporting Fig. S5). A robust explanation for these paradoxical observations could not be concluded from the current experimental data; however, we predict that this inconsistency might be due to differential responses to dietary challenge in in vivo models of steatohepatitis versus in vitro hepatocytes treated with sterol-free serum. The molecular mechanism underlying the selection of SBEFP2 over SREBP1 following down-regulation of ALR in hepatocytes is currently unknown. AMPK also phosphorylates and inactivates acetyl-CoA carboxylase 1/2 (ACC1/2), leading to a reduction in malonyl-CoA, which is an inhibitor of CPT1, the rate-limiting enzyme for mitochondrial fatty acid oxidation, and then increases fatty acid oxidation. This pathway may be consistent with previous reports demonstrating that ALR reduces lipid accumulation by increasing the activity of CPT1. Our study did not detect the relationship between the activity of AMPK and the levels of free fatty acids and activity of CPT1 in ALR-lacking hepatocytes; therefore, these need further research.

FIG. 9. Partial deletion of ALR impaired the mitochondrial functions. (A) OCR was detected by Seahorse technology. ALR-Tx and shALR HepG2 cells (1 × 10⁶) were stimulated (arrow) with oligomycin and carbonyl cyanide 4-(tri-fluoromethoxy) phenylhydrazone. (B) Hepatic (chow diet, n = 5 mice per group; CDE diet, n = 5 mice per group; MCD diet, n = 6 mice per group) and cellular ATP content were detected by CellTiter-Glo reagent (Promega, Madison, WI). (C,D) The phosphorylation of LKB1 in mice primary mouse hepatocytes was measured by western blot. In (A)-(D), data are expressed as the mean ± SD for three independent experiments, and each cell experiment was equipped with three multiple holes in each repetition. *P < 0.05.
The LKB1/AMPK pathway plays a crucial role in maintaining cellular metabolic homeostasis, especially in energy and lipid metabolism. In light of ALR association with the LKB1/AMPK pathway, we first examined the energy status of the ALR-shRNA cells.

**FIG. 10.** Down-regulation of ALR expression increased the level of ROS and promoted SREBP2 maturation. (A) Detection of the localization and content of ROS in liver tissue by ROS probe for 30 minutes at 37°C. Relative fluorescence signals were evaluated at least three images per mouse by Imaging-Pro Plus (n = 5 mice per group). Scale bars: 75 μm. (B) After 4 weeks of CDE or MCD diet, hepatic MDA levels were measured (n = 5 mice per group). (C) Cellular ROS levels of HepG2 cells after LPDS treatment were detected. HepG2-shALR cells were pretreated with 5 mM NAC for 2 hours; after that, cells were lysed for the assay of cellular ROS (C) and ATP level (D). (E) Protein level of SREBP2-M and p-AMPK levels in NAC pretreated HepG2-shALR cells were measured. In (A)-(F), data are expressed as the mean ± SD for more than three independent experiments, with multiple holes in each repetition. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.
ROS is known to regulate activity of both SREBP2 and AMPK,(32) knowledge of ROS to initiating AMPK-SREBP2 signaling in ALR-deficient hepatocytes is still lacking. Here we show that the activity of AMPK decreased after NAC was used; however, this decrease did not induce SREBP2 activation, suggesting that the redox-sensitive SREBP2-LDLR pathway is an AMPK-independent pattern, at least in the ALR-knockdown cells.

In conclusion, the hepatic accumulation of cholesterol caused by partial deletion of ALR is associated with SREBP2 activation triggered both by LKB1/AMPK signaling and ROS-induced stress.
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