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Growth and economic performance of different supplementary feed on female mud crab (Scylla olivacea) fattening in plastic box
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Abstract: The present study was conducted to identify low cost feed for female mud crab (Scylla olivacea) fattening practice in plastic box and the effect of different feeds on growth and survival rate of mud crab. A number of 30 adult non-gravid female crabs were reared into rectangular shaped plastic boxes, each box containing one crab. Three types of feed such as Chicken intestine, Tilapia and Apple Snail meat were supplied as feed at 10% of body weight of the crabs once daily considered as Treatment-1, Treatment-2 and Treatment-3 respectively with 10 replications each to compare the fattening system. The crabs in plastic boxes were floating into water to fatten for 10 days. Survival rate of crab was found 100%, 90% and 100% respectively in chicken intestine, tilapia and apple snail meat. In the experiment food conversion ratio (FCR) and specific growth rate in weight (SGRw) were varied significantly among different foods (P >0.05). Comparative benefit-cost analysis showed that use of chicken intestine as feed in crab fattening attained higher net profit than crab fattening used tilapia and apple snail s feed from 7-12 days fattening period The present study revealed that utilization of chicken intestine as feed for mud crab fattening might be better than any other feeds and cost effective in Bangladesh.
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1. Introduction

Bangladesh has a vast area of coastline including 618,780 ha of mangrove tidal flat and 80,000 ha of prime area which is suitable for brackish water aquaculture (Anon, 2003) especially for crab culture. The mud crab fishery is absolutely based on wild catch mainly from the swamps of the mangrove (Hoque et al., 2015; Kaleem et al., 2016) and vast areas of the traditional shrimp ghers along the coastal region of Bangladesh. Its actual culture technique of mud crab has not yet been developed in our country. People of the coastal region follow traditional fattening process to grow crab. Fattening usually requires 7-15 days to complete. Within this time, premature crabs are well fed to develop their gonad fully. Subsequently, there is a great potential of crab culture throughout the coastal area in Bangladesh. Millions of poor fishers, traders and transporters are directly or indirectly dependent on crab fishery in Bangladesh (Zafar and Ahsan, 2006; Hussain et al., 2018; Hussain et al., 2015; Asif et al., 2014; Rahaman et al., 2015; Islam et al., 2017; Leela et al., 2018). The mud crab was first exported in 1977-78 fiscal year and become a stable business in 1982. Before 1977 it was a virgin stock and the local market was very small. During 2006-07 fiscal year mud crabs has taken the 3rd rank among the fish and fisheries export earnings from Bangladesh. Therefore, the export of live mud crab from Bangladesh has increased many folds in the last decades. Mud crab is popular as a palatable and valued food item globally and
the most popular and costly sea foods in the South-East Asian countries (Chandra et al., 2012). It also has a good nutritional value. It contains, on a wet weight basis, 15-25 percent protein, 1 percent fat and 2-3 percent minerals (Huq et al., 2015). The mud crab (Scylla sp.) trade, a high-value export fishery encompassing nearly 23 countries throughout the world. China is the single largest crab importer from Bangladesh. Crab is also being exported to Malaysia, Indonesia, Taiwan, Japan, Singapore, Korea, the US, Myanmar and some European countries. Also there is a rising market for mud crab meats as a value added product and frozen soft-shelled mud crab in U.S.A. (Keenan, 1999). Three kinds of crab culture, such as soft shell culture, hard shell culture and fattening of female mud crab are widely practiced in Bangladesh. Soft shell culture is highly labor intensive and thus expensive and unreasonable for most of the coastal farmer. Hard shell culture is comparatively easier but it is a long-time process. Crab fattening is a short time practice and very lucrative. It requires less investment, labor and area which make it affordable for a wide range of farmer. The female with fully grown gonad is opaque while the ones with less developed gonad are transparent and look orange in color. Female crabs with fully grown gonad are called fattened crab. Depot owners won’t buy female crabs unless they are full of eggs and meat. A farmer can purchase an empty female with no eggs for 100-150 BDT and sell it when full of eggs and meat after a couple of weeks for 200-250 BDT a piece (Hossain et al., 2018). This is a very quick culture-venture of around 7-15 days; can be done in very small area of ponds. Normally several types of culture techniques are being widely used to culture the crab in south-western part of Bangladesh such as earthen pond culture, pen culture, cage culture etc. However, a new technology is practicing in crab fattening culture at south-west regions of Bangladesh and it is known as the plastic box method. Plastic box method is more effective than cage and pen culture methods since there is no cannibalism, thus survival rate is almost 100% in plastic box. Plastic box is reusable, cost effective and require less maintenance. This method has an additional advantage to harvest mature crab easily from the plastic box. Therefore, this experiment mainly focuses on fattening of female mud crab by giving three different feeds that minimize the cost of the farmers. Three feeds were Broiler Chicken Intestine, Tilapia and Apple Snail and it contain 11.78 ± 0.17 % (Jokanovic et al., 2014), 13.66 ± 2.19 % (Olopad et al., 2016) and 10.67 ± 0.15 % (Obande et al., 2013) crude protein respectively. In this system no cannibalism is occurred and crab can be fattened at demandable size within around 7-12 days providing feeding and other management. In this experiment, female mud crabs were fattened for 10 days. In addition, the present study also pointed out economic performance of female mud crab fattening practice. So far, the present investigation was undertaken to encounter the effect of different food types on female mud crab fattening in plastic box; to find out low cost feed for female mud crab (Scylla Sp.) fattening practice; and to evaluate the economic performance of female mud crab for each feed type during fattening.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Study site and periods
This study was conducted at Bahadurpur village of Kullya union near Budhata bazar of Assashuni upazila of Satkhira district, Bangladesh during April 22, 2018 to May 1, 2018. The fattening period of female mud crab was 10 days (Figure 1).
2.2. Experimental setup and rearing design

Three types of food (tilapia, snail meat, chicken intestine) were given at 10% of the body weight. There were 3 treatments in the experiment. Each treatment had 10 replications. 30 pieces of identical plastic box were used for the experimental trial. A total of 30 immature female mud crabs were brought from the local crab collectors (Table 1).

| Treatment no. | Box no.  | Food type          | Feeding rate | Number of replication |
|---------------|----------|--------------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| Treatment 1   | 1-10     | Chicken intestine  | 10%          | 10                    |
| Treatment 2   | 11-20    | Tilapia            | 10%          | 10                    |
| Treatment 3   | 21-30    | Apple snail meat   | 10%          | 10                    |

2.3. Feeding

Gut content of tilapia, shell of snail and food waste from the broiler chicken intestine were removed before feeding the crab. All food was chopped to match the desired amount for each treatment and was given as live food. Feed was given daily at the around 11:30 AM.

2.4. Measurement of water quality parameters

Water quality parameters such as pH, salinity, temperature, DO were measured during stocking and every day at 11.30 AM during the study period. Water temperature, pH, salinity and DO were measured by thermometer, pH test kit (Bio pH) refracto-meter and titration method respectively.

2.5. Maturity test and harvesting

Maturities were tested by traditional light method (sunlight or torch light) after 5 days and continued until gonad of female mud crabs developed fully.

2.6. Calculation

2.6.1. Estimation of survival rate

Survival rate was estimated in percentage using the formula (SR, %). The survival rates examined based on Jobling (1995).

2.6.2. Growth performance

Growth performance of the mud crab was evaluated using the following parameters average weight gain, percent weight gain. Specific growth rate (SGR), measurement of the increase in carapace width (CW) and total weight gained (TWG) for the different weight groups of crabs was calculated based on Tacon (1990) and De Silva and Anderson (1995).

2.6.3. Calculation of total production

The production of each treatment was determined by multiplying average weight (g) gained by the number of crab survived at the end of the experiment. Production was calculated by the following formula,

\[ \text{Total production} = \text{Number of crab harvested} \times \text{mean final weight (g)} \]

2.6.4. Feed utilization

The following parameters were used to determine feed utilization by mud crab such as FCR, FCE.

a. **Feed conversion ratio (FCR)**

\[ \text{FCR} = \frac{\text{Feed fed (dry weight)}}{\text{Live weight gain}} \]

b. **Feed Conversion Efficiency (FCE)**

\[ \text{FCE} = \frac{\text{Live weight gain}}{\text{Feed fed (dry weight)}} \]

2.7. Economic analysis

To evaluate economic efficiency of the culture system, income and cost was compared. Gross revenue and net return were calculated according to Cholik and Hanafi (1992).
2.8. Statistical analysis

All data were analyzed using SPSS (v.16) and Microsoft office excel packages (Office 2013). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was applied to find significant differences between treatments with respect to survival rate and growth rates. Pearson’s Correlation was done to find out relationship between survival rate and production.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Water quality

3.1.1. Water temperature

Throughout the trial period water temperature fluctuated between 28.4 °C to 32.7 °C while the highest temperature was documented on April 24, 2018 and the lowest temperature was recorded on April 28, 2018. The mean temperature of water was noted 30.42 ± 1.29 °C during the trial period (Table 2 and Figure 2).

Table 2. Water quality parameters variation during mud crab fattening practice.

| Water quality parameter | Maximum | Minimum | Average (average ± se) | Acceptable range |
|-------------------------|---------|---------|------------------------|------------------|
| pH                      | 8.1     | 7.7     | 7.89 ± 0.17            | 4-9              |
| Salinity (ppt.)         | 19.3    | 17.1    | 18.29 ± 0.71           | 10-35            |
| Temperature (°C)        | 32.7    | 28.4    | 30.40 ± 1.21           | 21-35            |
| DO (mg/l)               | 8.3     | 7.8     | 8.16 ± 0.23            | >4               |

Figure 2. Temperature fluctuation during mud crab fattening practice.

3.1.2. Salinity

The salinity of the trial pond water varied between 17.1 ppt. to 19.3 ppt. Salinity was fluctuated due to rainfall and evaporation by sunlight. The highest value was observed on April 27, 2018 and lowest value was recorded on April 25, 2018. The average value of salinity of water was documented as 18.29 ± 0.75 ppt (Figure 3).

Figure 3. Salinity variation during mud crab fattening practice.
3.1.3. Water pH
The pH values of trial pond water ranged from 7.5 to 8.5 with a mean value of 7.96 ± 0.39. The highest value of pH was recorded on April 29, 2018 and the lowest value of pH was documented on April 23 and 24, 2018 (Figure 4).

3.1.4. Dissolved oxygen
Dissolved oxygen content of water varied from 7.8 mgl\(^{-1}\) to 8.3 mgl\(^{-1}\) during the fattening period. The peak value was documented on April 25 and 26, 2018 and the lowest value was documented on April 28, 2018. The mean value was 8.14 ± 0.26 mg/l and the variation of DO were within acceptable range for mud crab fattening (Figure 5).

3.2. Survival rate
At the end of the experiment treatment 1 and treatment 3 were signified 100 % survival rate while treatment 2 was 90% survival rate. Average survival rate was 96.67 ± 5.77 % (Table 3).

Table 3. Variation of survival rate (%) of crab among different treatments.

| Experiment   | No. of stocked | No. of harvested | Survival rate (%) |
|--------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Treatment 1  | 10             | 10               | 100               |
| Treatment 2  | 10             | 9                | 90                |
| Treatment 3  | 10             | 10               | 100               |
| Average survival rate | 10             | 10               | 96.67 ± 5.77      |
3.3. Growth performance
In the present study, growth performance of female mud crab was being investigated against three treatments. The initial weight and final weight significantly different among the treatments (P<0.05) in this trial.

3.3.1. Weight gain
Average weight gain of mud crab (*Scylla olivacea*) fattening practice were 19.14 ± 2.67; 13.29 ± 2.03 and 18.68 ± 1.94 for treatment 1, treatment 2 and treatment 3 respectively. Average weight gain was 17.04 ± 3.25. Treatment 1 (Chicken intestine) indicated highest average weight gain than other two feeds in crab fattening practice of 10 days (Figure 6).

![Figure 6. Variation of weight gain by different feeding trial.](image)

3.3.2. Weight gain percentage
Weight gain percentage was observed and noted as 9.59%; 6.78% and 8.89% for treatment 1, treatment 2 and treatment 3 respectively. Average weight gain percentage 8.64 ± 1.24%. Treatment 1 (chicken intestine) exhibited highest percent weight gain (Figure 7).

![Figure 7. Variation of percent weight gain in different treatment.](image)

3.3.3. Growth rate
Growth rate per day was recorded as following 1.91, 1.33 and 1.87 of treatment 1, treatment 2 and treatment 3 correspondingly. Among three feeding trial treatment 1 showed the highest growth rate (1.91 gday⁻¹) and treatment 2 showed the lowest growth rate (1.33 gday⁻¹). Average growth rate was recorded 1.70 ± 0.32 gday⁻¹ (Figure 8).
3.3.4. Specific growth rate (SGR)
There is no alteration in carapace length and carapace width was observed in initial and final stage (Table 4). As a result, specific growth rate of crab for carapace length or width (SGRCLW) was zero.

Table 4. Carapace length variation.

| Treatment types | Minimum carapace length (mm) | Maximum carapace length (mm) | Average carapace length (± sd) (mm) |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Treatment 1     | 83                            | 115                         | 100.45 ± 9.01                     |
| Treatment 2     | 89                            | 109                         | 100.20 ± 6.37                     |
| Treatment 3     | 89                            | 108                         | 99.60 ± 5.67                      |

3.4. Total production
In the experiment highest production (1981.6g) was obtained from treatment 1 where chicken intestine was used as diet. Treatment 2 where tilapia was used as diet showed lowest production (1739.88g). Average total production was 1897.16 g (Table 5).

Table 5. Variation of total production throughout different treatments.

| Kinds of treatment | Average final weight (g) | No. of crab harvested | Total production (kg) |
|--------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Treatment 1        | 198.16 ± 11.68            | 10                    | 19.82                 |
| Treatment 2        | 193.32 ± 13.18            | 9                     | 17.40                 |
| Treatment 3        | 197.90 ± 12.20            | 10                    | 19.70                 |
| Mean total production (g) | 18.98 ± 1.36         |                       |                       |

3.5. Feed utilization
3.5.1. Food conversion ratio (FCR)
In the study FCR of the three treatments were obtained as 9.54; 13.90 and 9.90 for treatment 1, treatment 2 and treatment 3 respectively. FCR was significantly higher in treatment 2 (tilapia) and treatment 1 (chicken intestine) exhibit lowest FCR (Figure 9). FCR was highly significant (p<.001) among the treatments.

Figure 8. Variation of growth rate.

Figure 9. Variation in FCR for different feed.
3.5.2. Food conversion efficiency (FCE)
FCE of the three treatments were obtained as 0.106; 0.073 and 0.101 for treatment 1, treatment 2 and treatment 3 respectively. FCE was significantly higher in treatment 1 (chicken intestine) and treatment 2 (tilapia) showed lowest FCR (Table 6).

Table 6. Different FCE of different feed.

| Treatment types | Initial Weight (g) | Final Weight (g) | FCE     |
|----------------|--------------------|------------------|---------|
| Treatment 1    | 180.28 ± 9.78      | 198.16 ± 11.68   | 0.106 ±0.012 |
| Treatment 2    | 182.67 ± 12.20     | 195.96 ± 13.37   | 0.073 ± 0.010 |
| Treatment 3    | 184.12 ± 12.40     | 203.01 ± 14.02   | 0.101 ± 0.011 |
| Average FCE    |                    |                  | 0.0933 ± 0.01 |

3.6. Relationship between survival rate and production rate
The statistical analysis showed that the production rate was significantly (p<0.05) correlated with survival rate. It was positively correlated at 0.05% significance level (Figure 10).

3.7. Relationship between SGR and FCR
Specific Growth Rate was not significantly (p<0.05) correlated with feed conversion ratio. It was negatively correlated at 0.05% significance level (Figure 11).

3.8. Economic analysis
Cost for crab fattening are categorized into two types: Capital cost or permanent cost and experimental cost or crop cost (Tables 7, 8 and 9; and Figure 12).
Table 7. Cost and benefit of the female mud crab fattening for chicken intestine as feed.

| Fixed Cost | Items                | Unit Cost | Quantity | Total Cost |
|------------|----------------------|-----------|----------|------------|
|            | Plastic box          | 1         | 100      | 100        |
|            | PVC Pipe             | 2.5       | 10       | 25         |
|            | Bamboo               | 2.5       | 10       | 25         |
|            | Aluminum wire        | -         | -        | 2          |
|            | Nylon wire           | -         | -        | 1.5        |
|            | Basket               | 1.5       | 1        | 1.5        |
|            | Others               | -         | -        | 43         |
|            |                      |           |          | 198        |
| b. Operational Cost | Immature female mud crab | 1.25 | 100 | 125  |
|            | Feed (chicken intestine) | 0.138 | 18kg | 2.5 |
|            | Labor cost           |           |          | 11         |
|            | Transport cost       |           |          | 1          |
|            | Depreciation of fixed cost | 12.5 |              | 152    |
| Gross Income | Items | Unit Price | Quantity | Total Price |
|            | Mature mud crab      | 12        | 19.94 kg | 239        |
| Net Benefit | b - c | 239-152 |                      | 87     |
| Benefit Cost Ratio | c/b | 87/152 |                       | 0.57   |

Table 8. Cost and benefit of the female mud crab fattening for Tilapia fish as feed.

| Fixed Cost | Items                | Unit Cost | Quantity | Total Cost |
|------------|----------------------|-----------|----------|------------|
|            | Plastic box          | 1         | 100      | 100        |
|            | PVC Pipe             | 2.5       | 10       | 25         |
|            | Bamboo               | 2.5       | 10       | 25         |
|            | Aluminum wire        | -         | -        | 2          |
|            | Nylon wire           | -         | -        | 1.5        |
|            | Basket               | 1.5       | 1        | 1.5        |
|            | Others               | -         | -        | 43         |
|            |                      |           |          | 198        |
| B. Operational cost | Immature female mud crab | 1.25 | 100 | 125  |
|            | Feed (tilapia fish)  | 0.355     | 18.2 kg  | 6.5        |
|            | Labor cost           |           |          | 11         |
|            | Transport cost       |           |          | 1          |
|            | Depreciation of fixed cost | 12.5 |              | 156    |
| Gross Income | Items | Unit Price | Quantity | Total Price |
|            | Mature mud crab      | 12        | 17.58 kg | 211        |
| Net Benefit | b - c | 211-156 |                      | 55     |
| Benefit Cost Ratio | c/b | 55/156 |                       | 0.33   |
Table 9. Cost and benefit of the female mud crab fattening for apple snail as feed.

| Fixed Cost                      | Items       | Unit Cost | Quantity | Total Cost (USD) |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-----------|----------|------------------|
| Plastic box                     | 1           | 100       | 100      |                  |
| PVC Pipe                        | 2.5         | 10        | 25       |                  |
| Bamboo                          | 2.5         | 10        | 25       |                  |
| Aluminum wire                   | -           | -         | 2        |                  |
| Nylon wire                      | -           | -         | 1.5      |                  |
| Basket                          | 1.5         | 1         | 1.5      |                  |
| Others                          | -           | -         | 43       |                  |
| **Total**                       |             |           |          | **198**          |

b. Operational Cost

| Items                       | Unit Cost | Quantity | Total Cost (USD) |
|-----------------------------|-----------|----------|------------------|
| Immature female mud crab    | 1.25      | 100      | 125              |
| Feed (apple snail)          | 0.556     | 18.4 kg  | 10.25            |
| Labor cost                  |           |          | 11               |
| Transport cost              |           |          | 6.25             |
| Depreciation of fixed cost  |           |          | 12.5             |
| **Total**                   |           |          | **165**          |

Gross Income

| Items                       | Unit Price | Quantity   | Total Price |
|-----------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| Mature mud crab             | 12         | 20.27 kg   | 243         |

Net Benefit: b - c = 243-165 = 78

Benefit Cost Ratio: c/b = 78/165 = 0.47

Use of Chicken intestine as feed for mud crab fattening practice provided more revenue than rest two other feed.

Figure 12. Variation of BCR for different feeds.

4. Discussion

Optimum water quality parameters for crab growth such as salinity range from 10-35ppt, temperature 21-35°C, pH 4-9 and DO over 4 reported by Cholik and Hanafi (1992). Growth of crab is reduced below 20°C (Hill, 1980). The water quality parameters for crab fattening were reported by Begum et al., (2009) salinity, 10-18 ppt; temperature: 26-31°C; pH, 7.5-8.7 and dissolved oxygen, 4.0-7.9 mg/l. In the experiment water parameters, such as pH, temperature, salinity and DO were varied from 7.7-8.1 28.4-32.7°C, 17.1-19.3 ppt and 7.7-8.3 mg/L respectively which is acceptable range for crab fattening according to Cholik and Hanafi (1992) and showed similarity with Begum et al., (2009). The water quality management is also supported by the study of different researcher (Ali et al., 2016; Shabuj et al., 2016; Shajib et al., 2017; Zafar et al., 2017; Rahman et al., 2018; Akter et al., 2018; Faruk et al., 2018; Biswas et al., 2018; Billah et al., 2019). In the present experiment, 100% survival was obtained for crabs fed with Chicken intestine and Apple snail meat. The other feeds had lower survival rate and it was 90%. The survival rate was not only accredited to the diets but also to the rearing condition (i.e. water quality, management). This observation settled with what has been noted by Baliao et al. (1981) and Trino et al. (1999), who reported survival rates of 88% and 98%, respectively, at a low stocking
density of 0.5 crabs per m². Also Sheen and Wu (1999) had a survival of 93–100% after 63 days using purified diets and reared individually. In the present study, survival was also enhanced by proper feeding and management. Major cause of mud crab mortality during fattening of mud crab is cannibalism (Mirera and Moksens, 2013). No cannibalism occurred in this experiment occur in this experiment as each crab was kept in a separate plastic box. Average weight gain of mud crab (Scylla Olivacea) fattening practice was found in the experiment 17.04 ± 3.25g and which is near to Begum et al., (2009) (16.24±0.85g). Growth rate per day of Scylla Serrata was recorded 1.91, 1.33 and 1.87 for the treatment 1, treatment 2 and treatment 3. Average growth rate was 1.70 ± 0.32 g/day. The result has similarity with the findings of Zafar and Ahsan (2006) and Obayed (1998) who recorded 1.89-1.95 g/day. Specific growth rate was highest in mud crab fed with chicken intestine and it was 1.01 % day⁻¹. Tilapia fish and golden apple snail were showed 0.70% day⁻¹ and 0.98% day⁻¹. Rabia (2016) reported that specific growth rate was highest in mud crab fed with golden apple snails and combination of trash fish and golden apple snails at both 1.54% day⁻¹. Average Specific growth rate of mud crab in all the treatments was 1.70 ± 0.32 % day⁻¹ and which was comparable to that of mixed sex mud crab reared in ponds fed with trash fish for 20 days with specific growth rate of 0.8± 0.12% day⁻¹ (Trino and Rodriguez, 2001). In the present study production of the crabs were 19.82 kg, 17.40 kg and 19.70 kg for the treatment 1, treatment 2 and treatment 3. Average production of crab was 18.97 kg which is near to Rahman (2016). This production rate may be considered good for crab fattening in the plastic box. Significantly higher FCR was observed in Treatment two. FCR was higher in tilapia than other foods. Higher FCR indicated low growth as SGR is inversely related to FCR. Specific growth rate for weight SGRw varied significantly among the treatment. Treatment 1 and Treatment 3 showed comparatively higher growth rate. Statistical analysis indicated that better growth rate was observed in Chicken intestine compared with tilapia and apple snail meat. This result is similar to the findings of Rodriguez et al. (2003).The boxes that were used was collected from collection of the farmer. However, he made these boxes by creating holes in color boxes. Each box costs 80 takas including the labor cost. Each of the box can be used for 20 cycle so cost of box per culture is very low. In this study, locally available low cost chicken intestine, tilapia fish (O. mossambicus), aplle snail (Pila globosa) were used as feed. The earlier studies on the food and feeding of Scylla sp. Hill (1976); Prasad and Neelakantan (1988); Joel and Raj (1983) were used crustaceans, molluscs, fishes etc. Commonly available low cost trash fishes were the main feed used in crab fattening by Rattanachote and Dangwatanakul, (1992). According to the report of Zafar and Ahsan (2006), farmers usually applied the feed at the rate of 5 to 8% of the body weight. Chilok and Hanafi (1992) were used 10-15% of body weight feed rate in every day to reduce the mortality and increased the survival rate up to 85 to 90%. The statistical analysis showed that the correlation coefficient ‘r’ was 0.999 which was near to +1. So the production rate was positively correlated with survival rate that meant when survival rate increased production rate also increased. Specific growth rate was not significantly (p<0.05) correlated with feed conversion ratio. Correlation coefficient ‘r’ was -1 so there was negatively correlation between FCR and SGR that meant when FCR increased SGR decreased. The revenue that is gained from the experiment is significantly higher than the other two feeds. Revenue that is gained from mud crab treated with snail and tilapia did not varied significantly. The present study showed that chicken intestine could be alternative inexpensive feed for mud crab with higher growth and economic performance and it was comparable to Chilok and Hanafi (1992) and Rahman et al. (2017). Rabia (2016) reported that Mud crab fed with golden apple snail constitute the high return of investment compared to the other treatments as indicated that low expenses incurred during the conduct of the study because feeds are readily available and this statement was similar for the current experiment where chicken intestine provided highest return.

5. Conclusions
Present study indicates the utilization of waste as feed for crab fattening practice and reveal potentiality of chicken intestine. From the results of this study, it may be concluded that usage of chicken intestine as feed for fattening of mud crab in plastic box might be better than that of tilapia or apple snail meat as feed. This result might be helpful to reduce the traditional fattening method and motivate the people to adopt the scientific method as well as introducing inexpensive feed. Economic analysis from the present study showed that female mud crab fattening in plastic box can be economically viable through its higher profitability with low cost of investment. Further studies should be done to analyze the quality of meat of crab by feeding chicken intestine as feed and effect of this by product on human health.
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