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The ATLAS Pixel Detector: Barrel and Disks

Barrel and Disks

- Three barrel layers, radii 5.5, 88.5, 122.5 mm
  - Innermost layer known as B-Layer
  - Total active area 1.45 m², 67 M channels
- 2 x 3 end cap disks
  - Total active area 0.28 m², 13 M channels
- In operation since 2008
- FE-I3 front ends, C₃F₈ cooling

Module

- 16 FE-I3 front end chips in 250 nm CMOS + one Module Control Chip
- 1 planar n-on-n sensor, 60.8 x 16.4 mm² active area, 250 μm thick
- 46,080 pixels per module, pitch of 50 x 400 μm²
- 8 bit Time-Over-Threshold information per hit
- Radiation hard to 50 Mrad, ~1 x 10¹⁵ neq cm⁻²
The ATLAS Pixel Detector: IBL

Insertable B-Layer

- Insertable B-Layer (IBL) inserted in 2013 - 2014 LHC shutdown (Long Shutdown 1), adding a 4th barrel layer
- 14 staves, each at radius 3.35 cm
- Total active area 0.15 m², 12 M channels
- 448 FE-I4 front ends, CO₂ cooling
- Radiation hard to 250 Mrad, ~ 5 x 10¹⁵ neq cm⁻²

Front End and Sensors

- n+-in-n and n+-in-p for planar and 3D sensors
- FE-I4 in 130 nm CMOS
- 200 / 230 μm thick
- 26,880 pixels per FE-I4, pitch of 50 x 250 μm²
- 4 bit Time-Over-Threshold information
- 99.8 % data quality efficiency for Pixel in Run 3
- Average deadtime of 0.4 % for 2023 pp
- Very stable running with Pixel, no major issues
  - DAQ and detector configuration improvements
  - Enhancement of operational tools

The 2023 pp run was proceeding well with higher pile up than 2022, but cut short in early July. A vacuum leak on a bellow in an essential piece of beam protection equipment prevented the restart of high intensity proton proton running.
Operational Challenges and Mitigations
Operational Challenges

Pile up

- Original Pixel designed to cope with average pile up, \( \mu \), of 23
- Pixel measured to cope with \( \mu \) of 68.5, with the aid of DAQ and detector configuration optimisations
  - Legacy detector pushed to within a factor 3 \( \mu \) of forecast for HiLumi LHC trackers

Radiation Damage

- B-Layer is designed to cope with \( 1 \times 10^{15} \) neq cm\(^{-2}\), 50 Mrad. By the end of Run 3, expected fluence and dose will be \( 1.5 \times 10^{15} \) neq cm\(^{-2}\) and 63 Mrad respectively
- All other layers will be within design limits
Handling Higher Pile Up: Smart L1 Forwarding

- Pixel and IBL modules can only handle a maximum number of triggers at a time.
- An extra trigger causes the module to be desynchronised until the next ATLAS Event Counter Reset (ECR), every 5 seconds.
- Smart L1 Forwarding Firmware loaded in the Read Out Driver (ROD) keeps track of triggers propagated to each module, and does not propagate a new trigger if the module is already handling the max number of triggers.
- Only a few events are lost, and the module stays synchronised.

Smart L1 FW deployed in stages throughout Pixel in 2023. Order of magnitude reduction in desynchronisation. In development for IBL.
Handling SEEs: reco@ECR

- The front end chips are susceptible to Single Event Effects (SEEs)
- In Run 2, global registers in IBL were reconfigured every ECR
- In 2023, IBL pixel registers are reconfigured every ECR, such that every register is configured within 11 mins
- Also global registers in Pixel are reconfigured every ECR
- Significant reduction in noise and silent pixels in IBL, with no additional deadtime for ATLAS since it happens within the 2ms gap

SEEs in local pixel latches can result in increase in noisy or quiet pixels

SEU in FE-I4 global register results in drop in occupancy and LV current for one module
Probing Harshier Conditions

- Special fill to scan over rate and $\mu$, reaching $\langle \mu \rangle$ of 68.5
  - Fill 8891, including smart L1, reco@ECR, raised thresholds
- Both IBL and B-Layer kept up tracking performance
- Comfortably running at $\langle \mu \rangle$ of 62 at 96 kHz with minimal degradation in hits on track

2023 fill includes reco@ECR, Smart L1 FW and raised thresholds. Number of pixel hits on track much more stable at higher $\mu$
Radiation Damage Studies and Outlook
Before we start: IBL 3D vs Planar sensors

- 3D sensors use column electrodes penetrating the silicon substrate, instead of implants on the surface as for planar sensors.
- In the IBL, 3D modules are installed in the outermost positions on a stave.
- Response of 3D sensors is intrinsically more resistant to the effects of bulk damage because of the reduced charge drift path and collection time, without reducing thickness of active silicon.
- Radiation hardness make 3D pixels the choice for HL LHC upgrade programs for innermost layers.

Total thickness for both FBK and CNM is 230 μm. CNM columns stop ~ 15 μm from opposite edge, leaving ~ 200 μm overlap of n+ and p+ electrodes.
Radiation Damage: MC

- Charge deposited in sensor bulk drift toward collecting electrode due to E field, deformed by radiation damage. Path is deflected by B field (Lorentz angle) and diffusion
- Radiation damage increases charge trapping, inducing / screening a fraction of charge (Ramo potential)
- Total induced charge is digitised and clustered
- E field map, Lorentz and Ramo potential are input from TCAD simulation

E-field as a function of bulk depth for planar sensors. Electrons drift towards lower values of bulk depth. Fluences correspond to end of Run 2, end of 2023 pp, and projected end of Run 3.

E-field profile for 3D sensors

Radiation damage digitizer for 3D is currently off because 3D modules are outside tracking volume
The main effect of bulk radiation damage is seen in modifications of charge collection properties:

- Increase in leakage current, proportional to particle fluence
- Change in depletion voltage due to change in effective doping concentration
- Decrease in charge collection efficiency due to signal reduction caused by charge trapping

Cluster Charge Properties

**IBL cluster charge**

\[ \text{Fluence est: } \sim 8.7 \times 10^{14} \text{ neq cm}^{-2} \]

\[ \text{Fluence est: } \sim 6.6 \times 10^{14} \text{ neq cm}^{-2} \]

**B-Layer cluster charge**

Effect of radiation damage on cluster charge well reproduced by MC, with predicted MPV matching to within 1 %

Significant improvement with respect to constant charge MC

*Corrected by particle path in silicon*
Charge Collection Efficiency

- IBL planar and B-Layer reduction of ~ 35 % in charge collection efficiency with respect to the start of operation
  - IBL 3D see a reduction of ~ 22 %

By adapting bias voltage and thresholds, charge collection efficiency will be kept within ~ 50 % of original value until the end of Run 3 (total of ~ 400 fb⁻¹), keeping hit efficiency well above 97 %
Depletion Voltage in IBL

- Depletion voltage at 270 V for planar, 37 V for CNM 3D and 28 V for FBK 3D
- In 2023, biased at 450 V for planar and 60 V for 3D
  - Well below power supply limits of 1000 V for planar and 500 V for 3D
  - Below max of 900 V for planar and 150 V for 3D sensors reached in test beam
- Operations in 2023 has been especially smooth on the Pixel side
- Studies of charge collection efficiency and depletion voltage evolution show good agreement with MC and forecast permissible degradation until the end of Run 3
- Pixel is able to perform at a higher pile up than it was designed for ($\langle \mu \rangle$ of 23 -> 68.5), thanks to DAQ improvements and detector configuration adjustments
Back up
Handling Higher Pile Up: Threshold

- Thresholds need to be adjusted to account for:
  - Loss in charge collection efficiency with radiation damage (increased trapping)
  - Increased FE activity with more demanding running conditions
  - Bandwidth saturation from modules to ROD
- If FE activity is high, single FEs can desynchronise, leading to unusable events until the next ECR
- Raising the thresholds reduces digital activity, reducing FE level desynchronisation

Same conditions: $\mu$ of 65, 90 kHz. 'hi thr' is 4700 e, otherwise 3500 e.
Luminosity to Fluence

- No direct measure of fluence
- Measure integrated luminosity and extrapolate to fluence
  - Needs simulation of inelastic pp collisions (Pythia 8), then transport through detector (FLUKA / GEANT4)
- Can also make an indirect measurement of fluence via leakage current
  - Leakage current is proportional to voltage and fluence
  - Leakage current modelled with Hamburg model

Data / MC discrepancy in z profile under investigation
- Columns etched from both sides of wafer in an 8 mask process
- Columns are doped by a deposition of n+/p+ poly-silicon
- Metal contacts at the top of the column, on top of the poly-silicon
- p-stops provide surface isolation between junction columns on the front side
- Column etched from both sides of the wafer in a 12 mask process
- Columns are doped via thermal diffusion and then passivated by silicon oxide
- Metal contacts at highly doped regions on surface
- p-spray layer provides surface isolation between junction columns, on both sides