Changing the Electronic Spectrum of a Quantum Dot by Adding Electrons
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The temperature dependence of Coulomb blockade peak height correlation is used to investigate how adding electrons to a quantum dot alters or “scrambles” its electronic spectrum. Deviations from finite-temperature random matrix theory with an unchanging spectrum indicate spectral scrambling after a small number of electrons are added. Enhanced peak-to-peak correlations at low temperature are observed. Peak height statistics show similar behavior in several dot configurations despite significant differences in correlations.

Electron transport through irregular quantum dots – i.e. micron-scale islands of confined charge weakly connected to electronic reservoirs – are expected to, and in some cases actually do, exhibit universal statistics associated with quantum chaos. An example where theory and experiment agree well is the distribution of Coulomb blockade (CB) peak heights. At temperatures \( T \) that are much smaller than the mean level spacing of the dot \( \Delta \), transport on a CB peak is mediated by resonant tunneling through a single level. Large fluctuations in CB peak heights in this regime reflect the fluctuating strength of coupling of the chaotic wavefunction in the dot to the modes in the leads, leading to universal statistics sensitive only to time-reversal symmetry, in agreement with experiment.

At higher temperatures, \( \Delta < k_B T < E_C \), where \( E_C \) is the classical charging energy, each CB peak contains contributions from \( \sim k_B T / \Delta \) quantum levels, and one would expect roughly this number of adjacent peaks to be correlated in height. This assumes that the spectrum of the dot does not change as electrons are added. On the other hand, if adding electrons alters the spectrum, then the correlation length in peak number, \( n_c \), will not grow beyond a certain value, \( m \), which roughly measures (but is not equivalent to) the number of added electrons needed to completely “scramble” the electronic spectrum.

This Letter presents measurements of the temperature dependence of the CB peak-to-peak height correlation and peak height statistics for gate-confined GaAs quantum dots, and compares these results to finite temperature random matrix theory (RMT) calculations that neglect spectral scrambling. We find that the number of correlated peaks \( n_c(T) \) saturates at \( m \sim 2 - 5 \), with smaller dots saturating at smaller \( m \). At the low temperature end, we find that \( n_c(T) \) is larger than the value predicted by RMT. That is, correlations in peak heights beyond thermal smearing exist for reasons that are not clear. Some possible explanations are considered below.

In contrast to the dependence on dot configuration found for the peak height correlations (as reflected in \( n_c(T) \) and \( m \)), peak height statistics are found to be very similar for all device configurations. This suggests that peak statistics are more robustly “universal” than peak correlations, not surprising considering that distributions are not sensitive to spectral scrambling. The ratio of the standard deviation to mean of peak heights is found to be smaller than predicted, possibly due to the effects of decoherence.

What does one expect to be the effect of adding electrons on the spectrum of a quantum dot? In the limit of weak electron-electron interactions (and neglecting shape deformations caused by changing gate voltages) a fixed spectrum of single-particle states is simply filled one at a time, leading to \( n_c(T) \sim k_B T / \Delta \) and \( m \gg 1 \). In the opposite limit of strong interactions, the spectrum could be totally scrambled with the addition of each electron, giving \( m \sim 1 \). For a GaAs quantum dot containing many (~100 or more) electrons, RPA calculations (appropriate for weak interactions) indicate that fluctuations in the ground state energy due to interactions are small, of order \( r_g g^{-1/2} \Delta \) where \( g \) is the dimensionless conductance of the dot and \( r_g \) is the so-called gas parameter, the ratio of potential to kinetic energy of the electrons (~1 in GaAs heterostructures). For a ballistic-chaotic dot containing \( N \) electrons \( g \sim N^{1/2} \), giving a rough estimate for the number of electrons needed to scramble the spectrum, \( 1 < m < \sim N^{1/2} / r_g^2 \), assuming that fluctuations accumulate randomly as electrons are added to the dot.

Measurements of CB peak spacing statistics have in some cases found rms fluctuations in \( E_C \) as large as 15% consistent with classical estimates and numerics for strong interactions (where RPA fails), suggesting that one or a few electrons can significantly
alter the charge arrangement of the dot. Other experiments [14] have found smaller fluctuations, of order $\Delta$, suggesting a lesser degree of rearrangement. In all experiments the peak-spacing distribution is found to be roughly Gaussian, which is surprising considering that spin degeneracy would naively imply a bimodal distribution. Suggested explanations include wave-function dependent interactions [13] and scrambling due to shape deformation [16]. Magnetofingerprints of CB peaks in dots with $\sim 50 - 100$ electrons show a persistence of both the addition and excitation spectrum over at least 6 peaks, with changes in the excitation spectrum (measured by nonlinear magnetotransport) of order $\Delta$ upon adding one electron, leading occasionally to an exchange of a pair of levels in the spectrum [17]. Symmetric, few-electron dots also show a sort of scrambling in the sense that the ground state shell-filling structure may differ from the corresponding excited state before the $N^{th}$ electron is added, for as few as $N = 4$ electrons [13]. For such small systems ($N < \sim 5 - 6$) exact calculations are possible, and a statistical approach to scrambling is not necessary.

Before describing the experiment, we discuss the generalization of the theory of CB peak height fluctuations to temperatures that are comparable or greater than $\Delta$, but without including spectral scrambling [13]. Well-formed CB peaks require weak tunneling from each of the levels $\lambda$ coupling left and right leads to the dot, with tunneling rates $(\Gamma_{\lambda}^L, \Gamma_{\lambda}^R) \ll \Delta/h$, and also low bias and temperature, $(eV_{ds}, k_BT) \ll E_C$, where $V_{ds}$ is the voltage bias across the dot. In this regime, the peak conductance $G_{max}$ has the form

$$G_{max} = \frac{e^2}{h} \frac{\hbar \Gamma}{8 \delta g_{B}} \alpha(T),$$

where $\alpha(T) = \sum_\lambda \alpha_\lambda w_\lambda(T)$ is a weighted sum of normalized lead-dot lead conductances, $\alpha_\lambda = 2T \Gamma_{\lambda}^L / (\Gamma_{\lambda}^L + \Gamma_{\lambda}^R)$. The dot is assumed to be symmetrically coupled to the leads, with average tunneling rates $\overline{\Gamma}_\lambda^L = \overline{\Gamma}_\lambda^R = \overline{\Gamma}/2$. In the experimentally relevant regime $(k_BT, \Delta) \ll E_C$, the thermal weights $w_\lambda(T)$ are given by $w_\lambda(T) = 4f(\Delta F_N - \overline{E}_F) \langle n_\lambda \rangle_N \{1 - f(E_\lambda - \overline{E}_F)\}$, where $\Delta F_N = F_N - F_{N-1}$ is the difference in the canonical free energy of $N$ and $N - 1$ non-interacting electrons on the dot, $\langle n_\lambda \rangle_N$ is the canonical occupation of level $\lambda$ with $N$ electrons on the dot, $\overline{E}_F = [E_F + e\eta V_g - (N - 1/2)E_C]$ is the effective Fermi energy with $V_g$ tuned between $N - 1$ and $N$ electrons on the dot, $E_\lambda$ is the energy of level $\lambda$, and $f(\epsilon) = 1/(1 + e^{\epsilon/k_BT})$ is the Fermi function. Equation (1) generalizes previous results for low temperatures, $\hbar \Gamma \ll k_BT \ll \Delta$, and yields the known distributions for $\alpha$ in that limit [13].

Within a noninteracting model, no correlations between neighboring peak heights are expected for $k_BT \ll \Delta$. At higher temperatures, correlations appear as each level is able to contribute to several nearby peaks. For both numerical RMT data and experimental data, we compute a discrete correlation function $C(n)$ from a sequence of $N$ peaks $(G_{max})_i$

$$C(n) = \frac{1}{N-n} \sum_{i=1}^{N-n} \delta g_i \delta g_{i+n} \left/ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta g_i \delta g_i \right.,$$

where $\delta g_i = (\langle (G_{max})_i \rangle - \langle (G_{max})_j \rangle)_{N,B}$ is the fluctuation of the $i^{th}$ peak height around the average (calculated over both peak number and magnetic field). The correlation length $n_c$ is then calculated from a Gaussian fit, $C(n) = e^{-(n/n_c)^2}$. The gaussian form is not based on any theoretical model but appears to accurately describe the shape of both the RMT and experimental data.

The quantum dots we discuss are fabricated using e-beam lithography to pattern Cr/Au gates on the surface of a GaAs/AlGaAs heterostructure 900˚A above the 2DEG layer. Multi-gate dot design allows changes in device size and shape by changing gate voltages. Active control of point contact gates during sweeps of the “plunger” gate $V_g$ compensates any unintentional capacitive coupling, allowing many peaks to be swept over without changing the average transmission of the leads. All data were taken using two-wire lock-in techniques with 2 $\mu$eV bias at 13 Hz. An electron base temperature of 45 $mK$ and ratio $\eta$ of gate voltage to dot energy were extracted from the CB peak width versus temperature using standard methods [16]. The charging energy $E_C$ in each configuration was calculated using $\eta$ and the average peak spacing in gate voltage [1]. The mean level spacing $\Delta$ was measured from the sub-structure (corresponding to excited states) in the differential conductance at finite bias using a small ac signal added to a dc bias. Measurements were made with broken time-reversal symmetry, with $3 - 10 \phi_o$ through the device area. For each temperature, $V_g$ was scanned over 50-100 peaks and $B$ was then changed by $\sim \phi_o/A_{dot}$ to give independent peak height statistics.

Figure 2 shows two typical series of CB peaks at lower and higher $T$, where the increased correlation between peaks at higher $T$ is clearly evident. Peak height fluctuations $\delta g_i$ (right insets in Fig. 2) are extracted from each series using fits to cosh$^{-2}$ lineshapes around each peak, and the correlations $C(n)$ are then calculated according to Eq. (2). Plots of $C(n)$ for two dots are shown in Figs. 2(a, b). For both configurations, the correlation length $n_c$ increases with increasing $T$ at lower $T$. However, for the smaller configuration $n_c$ saturates above 300 $mK$, while for the larger configuration $n_c$ continues to increase well above this temperature. In still larger devices, saturation is not observed up to temperatures of 700 $mK$.

Correlation lengths $n_c(T)$ for three measured dot configurations ($\Delta = 20, 28$, and $38 \mu eV$) are shown in Fig. 2(c) along with the RMT results. Each data point in Fig. 2(c) represents data from $\sim 500$ CB peaks. The
The larger dot begins to saturate for larger \( n \) for \( k \) the larger dot is less prone to scrambling. The observed a larger ratio \( k \) responds to pure shape distortion with fixed while a downward diagonal following a single peak correlation in the same dot measured at fixed \( N \),ing gates, which allows pure shape distortion without changing other. In practice, it is easier to raster over the two gate voltages, which results in decreasing \( N \). This second effect was considered recently in Ref. [15,19,20] to explain the nearly-Gaussian peak spacing distribution seen in several experiments [11,12,14]. The two effects can be separated using a dot with two plunger gates, which allows pure shape distortion without changing \( N \) by increasing one gate voltage and decreasing the other. In practice, it is easier to raster over the two gate voltages, as seen in Fig. 3(a). Horizontal and vertical directions correspond to single-gate CB measurements, while a downward diagonal following a single peak corresponds to pure shape distortion with fixed \( N \). Correlations in the same dot measured at fixed \( N \) (measured along diagonals) and changing \( N \) (measured along horizontals) can be compared by evaluating both correlations in terms of \( V_g \) rather than \( n \). Comparing \( C(\Delta V_g) \) for the two cases (Fig. 3(b)) shows that the correlation length associated with shape deformation is larger by a factor of \( \sim 4 \) than that associated with a changing \( N \). This indicates that the saturation of \( n_e \) (scrambling) is dominated by changes in electron number rather than by shape distortion. Further work is needed to determine if this result is universally true, but it appears to hold in a variety of gate-confined dots that we have measured.

All dot configurations show an enhanced correlation length \( n_e(T) \) at low \( T \) compared to RMT, as seen in Fig. 3(c). Thus the data suggest that temperature alone does not explain the enhancement, at least within RMT. Several possible explanations for this have been proposed [15,19,20]: we further note that correlations at low \( T \) can result in the mixing of peaks in spin-paired levels (see Fig. 3(c), inset) which may appear in either adjacent or non-adjacent peaks, depending on the size of interaction-induced spin splitting.

Finally, we investigate the statistics of peak height fluctuations as a function of temperature. It is convenient to consider a normalized distribution to remove any temperature dependence of the average peak height, \( \langle \alpha(T) \rangle \). We define \( \hat{\alpha} = \alpha / \langle \alpha \rangle \), so that the distribution \( P(\hat{\alpha}) \)
will have $\langle \tilde{\alpha}(T) \rangle = 1$ for all $T$. The standard deviation $\sigma(\tilde{\alpha}) \equiv \sqrt{\langle \tilde{\alpha}^2 \rangle - 1}$, which characterizes the width of the distribution relative to its mean (i.e., $\sigma(\tilde{\alpha}) = \sigma(\alpha)/\langle \alpha \rangle$), is shown for three dot configurations along with RMT results in Fig. 4. All experimental data show very similar temperature dependences despite significant differences in correlations described above. We conclude that peak height distributions, which are not sensitive to scrambling, show more “universal” behavior than correlations. Notice, however, that the experimental data all have smaller height fluctuations than predicted by RMT. This can also be seen in the full distributions $P(\tilde{\alpha})$ comparing experiment (histograms) and RMT (solid lines), shown as insets in Fig. 4. The departure from finite-temperature RMT is likely due to decoherence effects, and might provide a novel tool for measuring decoherence in nearly isolated structures. Ongoing work in this direction is in progress.
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