Circular Dichroisms of Mono- and Dibromo[2.2]paracyclophanes: A Combined Experimental and Theoretical Study
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ABSTRACT: Circular dichroisms (CDs) of planar chiral 4-bromo[2.2]paracyclophane (1) and three isomeric dibromo[2.2]paracyclophanes (p-2, m’−2, and o’−2) were investigated experimentally and theoretically. They all exhibited strong multisignate Cotton effects (CEs) at the 1Lb, 1La, and 1B transitions of the component (bromo)benzene chromophore and were comparable to each other. For all of the cyclophanes examined, the enantiomer that eluted earlier from a chiral high-performance liquid chromatography column (Chiralcel IA or IB) exhibited negative and positive CEs at the 1Lb and 1La bands, respectively, which were followed by a more complicated pattern of CDs at the higher-energy bands. These CD features were well reproduced by quantum chemical calculations, allowing us to unambiguously assign the absolute configurations of the first-eluted enantiomers as Rp in all of the cases examined. Interestingly, the CDs of 1 and 2, although largely comparable in shape, were still sensitive to the number and pattern of bromine substitution, showing closer resemblance between m’−2 and o’−2 and between p-2 and 1. The theoretical calculations also reproduced successfully these spectral resemblance between them. The anisotropy (g) factors for the 1Lb bands of these cyclophanes were considerably large (∼10−2), whereas those for the 1La band were conventional in the order of 10−3. In addition, a weak CE was observed in the low-energy region at around 320 nm, which turned out to originate from the interplanar interaction and is hence assigned to the “cyclophane band”. The experimental g factors of this band were fairly large in the order of 10−2, but the computation turned out to be quite challenging and were less well reproduced theoretically, ascribable to the forbidden nature of the transition.

INTRODUCTION

Possessing unique planar chirality,[1−3] [2.2]paracyclophanes have been utilized in various applications, such as coordinated metal catalysts and supramolecular assemblies.[4−7] Brominated cyclophanes are versatile starting materials for the preparation of more complex cyclophane derivatives. Somewhat surprisingly, the study on the circular dichroism (CD) arising from the planar chirality of these cyclophanes is still quite limited,[8] and practically no systematic efforts have hitherto been devoted to the investigation of the chiroptical properties of substituted [2.2]paracyclophanes.[9,10] In particular, the “cyclophane band”,[11] observed in the low-energy region specifically for smaller [2.n]cyclophanes due to the interplanar interactions, has rarely been examined comprehensively from the chiroptical viewpoint. In the present study, we compared the CD spectra of monobrominated cyclophane (1) as well as all of the possible C2-symmetric chiral dibromo[2.2]paracyclophanes (p-2, m’−2, and o’−2) (see Chart 1).[12] As anticipated, all of these cyclophanes exhibited strong, mutually resembling Cotton effects (CEs) at the 1Lb, 1La, and 1B transitions, owing to their planar chirality. Additionally, the cyclophane band appearing in the low-energy region gave appreciable CE with high anisotropy (g) factors in the order of 10−2. These brominated cyclophanes serve as a model system suitable for not only understanding the chiroptical consequences of planar chirality but also evaluating the strengths and
weaknesses of the state-of-the-art theoretical CD prediction. We found that the advanced theoretical calculations successfully reproduce the characteristic experimental CD patterns in sign and order for the strong $^1L_{gg}$, $^1L_{eg}$ and $^1B$ transitions of 1−2, allowing unambiguous determination of the absolute configurations. However, the overall reproducibility was slightly lower, when compared with the results for other rigid chiral organic molecules of similar or slightly larger sizes, due to the complicated origin of transitions associated with the interplanar $\pi-\pi$ and linker-incorporated $\sigma-\pi$ interactions in the current system. Inspection of the weak CEs at the cyclophane band will provide additional insights for better understanding the nature of the interplanar interactions in cyclophane. It turned out, however, to be more challenging to accurately reproduce the observed CEs at the cyclophane band by any of the current theoretical methods, possible reasons for which will be discussed below.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Structure.** The structure of [2.2]paracyclophanes has attracted much attention and has long been a target of extensive experimental and theoretical investigations. The most accurate X-ray crystallographic structure of parent [2.2]paracyclophane shows twisted $D_2$ symmetry with a dihedral angle $\Phi_{c(Ar)-C-C-C-c(Ar)}$ of 12.6° and an interplane distance $d$ of 3.10 Å (Table 1). The theoretical reproduction of

### Table 1. Selected Geometrical Parameters of Parent and Brominated [2.2]Paracyclophanes 1−2

| cyclophane | method | $d$ (Å) | $\alpha$ (deg) | $\beta$ (deg) | $\Phi$ (deg) |
|------------|--------|---------|----------------|---------------|--------------|
| PCP$^{10}$ | calc   | 3.08 (2.78) | 11.9/11.0 | 7.1 |
|           |        | [3.08 (2.77)] | [12.2/11.5] | [17.6] |
| 1         | exp$^c$| 3.10 (2.78) | 12.5/11.0 | 12.6 |
| p$'2$     | calc   | 3.08 (2.78) | 12.0/11.3 | 17.0/11.0 |
| m$'2$     | calc   | 3.08 (2.77) | 11.9/11.3 | 18.3/8.6 |
| exp$^d$   | 3.09 (2.76) | 13.6/10.0 | 20.6/10.1 |
| o$'2$     | calc   | 3.08 (2.77) | 12.0/11.5 | 18.0 |
| exp$^e$   | 3.08 (2.77) | 11.5/11.8 | 19.4 |

“Selected structural parameters: $d$, the interplanar distance between the mean planes defined by the unbridged atoms of the (bromo)-benzene unit; $d'$, the averaged distance of the two sets of the facing bridgehead atoms; $\alpha$, the averaged deformation angle between the mean plane and the bridgehead atom; $\beta$, the additional deformation angle of the linker atom from the mean plane; $\Phi$, the dihedral angle around bridgehead and linker atoms. Geometries were optimized at the DFT-D3(BJ)-TPSS/def2-TZVP level. The values for SCS-MP2 geometry are within brackets.”

[2.2]paracyclophane structure has been challenged by a number of methods, rendering this system a benchmark for assessing the accuracy of the theory employed. The standard density functional theory (DFT) with B3LYP functional failed to provide the correct structure, and the structure with $D_{2h}$ symmetry ($\Phi = 0$) was obtained as a minimum. Although the MP2 calculation circumvented such deficiency, it overestimated the dihedral angle ($\Phi = 18.7^\circ$). The situation was slightly improved using the spin-component scaled second-order Möller–Plesset (SCS-MP2)$^{12}$ method ($\Phi = 17.6^\circ$), which has been frequently employed as an alternative to the gold standard CCSD(T) ansatz. The recent investigation has underlined that the medium-range dispersion correction is crucial for a cost-effective, yet accurate prediction of the cyclophane structures. For instance, interplane distances of various [2.2]cyclophanes can be precisely predicted with errors much less than 0.01 Å using the dispersion-corrected DFT method with Becke–Johnson (BJ) dumping function. Accordingly, we calculated the optimized geometries for all of the brominated [2.2]paracyclophanes 1−2 at the DFT-D3(BJ)-TPSS/def2-TZVP level, which was quite successful indeed, affording the geometrical parameters comparable to those obtained by X-ray crystallography (Table 1). Compatibility of the theoretical method of choice with cyclophane structure has also been justified by our recent investigations on pyridino-phane systems. Because crystal structures are not available for some cyclophanes, we employed these DFT-D3-optimized structures for the following theoretical CD investigation. The parent [2.2]paracyclophane possesses a shallow double-minimum potential along the dihedral-angle coordinate (two minima at $\Phi = \pm 12.6^\circ$). As such, the weighted average of the two conformers at the TD-DFT-B3LYP/TZVP$^{'}/$MP2/TZVP level was used to reproduce the experimental CD spectrum of 4-fluoro[2.2]paracyclophane, whereas the minor conformer was missing at the B3LYP/TZVP-level geometry optimization.

In all of the brominated [2.2]paracyclophanes employed in this study, the twisting of ethylene bridge toward the bromine atom is avoided due to the greater steric hindrance in these brominated cyclophanes. In this relation, Grimme and Bahlmann pointed out an additional possibility in conformer structure, i.e., the parallel-displaced, rather than twisted, conformer observed in methylocyclophane thoroughly discussed previously. We were unable to find the corresponding conformer in the case of brominated cyclophanes. Accordingly, a single conformer possessing a positive dihedral angle was obtained as the optimized structure for each of cyclophanes 1−2. The overall twist of $\Phi = 8.6^\circ-18.3^\circ$ in brominated cyclophanes was considerably larger than that of parent [2.2]paracyclophane, whereas the interplane distance and the degree of deformation were found quite comparable (vide infra).

Table 1 compares the characteristic structural parameters for cyclophanes 1−2. The interplane distances measured at the bottom center of each boat-shaped benzene unit ($d$) and at the bridgehead atom ($d'$) can be used as indices for evaluating the interplanar orbital interactions, which primarily affect the excitation energy and degree of degeneracy in CD spectrum. The boat-type deformation in each benzene unit, which is known to enhance the degeneracy in valence orbitals and increase the energy separation, is represented by the deformation angles $\alpha$ (for the bridgehead atom) and $\beta$ (for the linker atom). Crucially, these parameters ($d$, $d'$, $\alpha$, and $\beta$) were essentially the same in all of the brominated [2.2]-paracyclophanes examined, indicating that the common structural features are preserved throughout the phenes employed, despite the bromine substitution. Consequently, the interplanar interactions, such as dispersion (van der Waals) and electrostatic (quadrupole–quadrupole) contributions between any two of the dibromo-, monobromo-, and unsubstituted benzene units, can be considered essentially the same for cyclophanes 1−2. In other words, the electronic contribution of bromine atom(s) on the overall cyclophane structure is much less pronounced than the steric effect of bromine(s) on the ethylene linker. Accordingly, the twist angle, which is parameterized by $C(Ar)-C-C-C(Ar)$ dihedral angle ($\Phi$), was found fairly sensitive to the number and pattern of
bromine substitution. It is to note that although the formal classification defines the [2.2]paracyclophanes planar chiral, the observed CEs at the main transitions are mostly determined by the twisting between the phenes. Thus, changing the twist angle is expected to significantly affect the chiroptical properties of cyclophanes. In fact, the angle becomes progressively larger by increasing the number of bromine substitution and the angle is significantly larger on the brominated side ($17.0° - 18.3°$) than on the nonbrominated side ($7.1° - 11.0°$). In brief, the structural features of cyclophanes are very well reproduced by the conventional theoretical calculations, when appropriately selected for the required accuracy. The steric, rather than the electronic, effect is more important in determining the structures of brominated paracyclophanes.

**Experimental CD Spectra.** The experimental CD spectra of brominated [2.2]paracyclophens 1–2 were obtained in acetonitrile at 25 °C (Figure 1, left). The corresponding UV–vis spectra and anisotropy ($g = \Delta \varepsilon / \varepsilon$) factor profiles are provided in Figures S5–S7 in the Supporting Information. The characteristic spectral features based on the distinctive electronic structure of [2.2]paracyclophane are apparent in their UV–vis spectra, exhibiting absorption peaks at around 270, 230, and 200 nm, which are assignable to the $^1L_u$, $^1L_a$, and $^1B$ bands, respectively. Additionally, weak absorption assignable to the cyclophane band was observed as a tail at around 310 nm, which is known as the specific transition observable only for $[2.n]$cyclophanes. In parent [2.2]paracyclophane, the lowest transition of $B_{2u}$ symmetry appears at 329 nm in single crystals at 20 K and at $\approx305$ nm in liquid media. The corresponding CD peaks were observed for all of the brominated cyclophanes 1–2. Accordingly, the band A with positive CE is assignable to the cyclophane band. Although the intensity of CE was relatively weak ($\Delta \varepsilon \leq 1$), the anisotropy factor was remarkably high in the order of $10^{-2}$, due to the forbidden nature of this transition. Naturally, the $g$ factor is dependent on both the electronic and magnetic transition moments, but the former seems more important as shown by the weak absorption in the experimental UV spectra. Theoretical analysis on the relevant transition moments was not feasible, as our current theoretical calculations could not successfully reproduce the CD spectral behavior of the cyclophane band (vide infra). The next CE of moderate strength labeled band B was not decisive, but likely to originate from the transition related to the $^1L_b$ transition. The bands C and D are attributed to the $^1L_a$ and $^1B$ transitions of phene chromophore. Their CE intensities are high and comparable to each other ($|\Delta \varepsilon| = 16–38$ M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$), whereas the anisotropy factor differs in 1 order of magnitude between the two bands, affording $g = 10^{-3}$ for the former but much larger, $10^{-2}$, for the latter. The CE at band E and the higher-energy portion of band D are mainly ascribable to the $^1B$ transition. It is to note that due to the complexity caused by the dense population of
Table 2. Experimental Cotton Effects Observed for Bromo[2.2]paracyclophanes 1–2a

| band | λ/nm | Δε/M−1 cm−1 | λ’/nm | Δε/M−1 cm−1 | λ’/nm | Δε/M−1 cm−1 | λ’/nm | Δε/M−1 cm−1 |
|------|------|--------------|------|--------------|------|--------------|------|--------------|
| A    | 322  | 0.1          | 290  | 0.1          | 316  | 0.1          | 309  | 0.1          |
| B    | 290  | 0.1          | 267  | 0.1          | 250  | 0.1          | 243  | 0.1          |
| C    | 261  | 0.1          | 237  | 0.1          | 215  | 0.1          | 205  | 0.1          |
| D    | 215  | 0.1          | 19.3 | 0.1          | 17.2 | 0.1          | 15.5 | 0.1          |
| E    | 202  | 0.1          | 203  | 0.1          | 205  | 0.1          | 205  | 0.1          |

aIn acetonitrile at 25 °C. bShoulder.

various states, the assignment of the apparent CD peak to specific transition(s) is not immediately feasible and hence our present assignment is rather tentative and may be used for a guiding purpose only. For more detailed band assignment, one can refer to the specific transition configuration through the computational results (for the examples of densely populated transitions, see the bar spectra in Figure S8 in the Supporting Information). A more precise assignment of the UV−vis spectra of unsubstituted cyclophanes can be found in the literature.28,29

Table 2 summarizes the CEs observed for brominated [2.2]paracyclophanes 1−2, where the signs are of the first elutes from chiral HPLC. As clearly seen, all of these cyclophanes share the common characteristics, affording the identical CE signs with similar magnitudes at the closely located excitation wavelengths for all of the bands A−E. It should be stressed that the CD spectral investigation of disubstituted [2.2]paracyclophanes (although limited to the pseudo-meta-isomers) has appeared only recently.30 The CE sign of the 1Lb band has been correlated with the absolute configuration of substituted [2.2]paracyclophanes, assigning negative and positive CEs to Rp and Sp configurations, respectively, which is theoretically validated by our calculations. Moreover, the whole CD spectral pattern was well reproduced by the theoretical calculation (vide infra), and the absolute configurations of the first HPLC elutes of all brominated cyclophanes 1−2 were unequivocally assigned to Rp (Figure 1).

A closer look at the experimental CD spectra (Figure 1) and their g factor profiles (Figure S7 in the Supporting Information) provided us with additional insights into the planar chirality of substituted cyclophanes. Although the apparent resemblance is noted among all of the brominated cyclophanes 1−2, the similarity is much higher between 1 and p-2 (cf. black versus green line in Figure 1) and between m’-2 and o’-2 (red versus blue line). The strength of observed CEs is slightly more enhanced in 1, except for the band E. In contrast, all CEs, but the band A, are nearly comparable in strength between m’-2 and o’-2. This chiroptical behavior seems reasonable, as two exactly the same bromobenzene chromophores are aligned in the latter pair (m’-2 and o’-2), whereas the facing chromophore units are not equal to each other in the former pair (1 and p-2; see Chart 2). In the former equal chromophore pair, the relative orientation of electronic transition moments becomes nearly identical between the phenes due to the existence of C2 axis. In the latter unequal pair, the enhanced intensity in p-2 is explained by the additional bromine substituent introduced to 1. It is also to stress that the geometrical similarity is not a determining factor, as the cyclophanes are more resembling between 1 and m’-2 and between p-2 and o’-2 in terms of the twist angle Φ (Table 1). The observed CD spectra, however, are not simply explained by the conventional excitonic coupling between the two substituted benzene chromophores.32,35 Due to the effective σ−π interaction with the bridging linker, the relevant orbitals are degenerated to afford a more complex mixture of states.34

The cyclophane band is described by exciton and charge resonance interactions between the two facing phenes.25,26

Thus, the apparent difference in the CD shapes of 1 and p-2 and of m’-2 and o’-2 pairs is ascribable to the altered energy splitting of relevant orbitals due to the different interplanar interaction between the phenes. It is reasonable that the strengths of CEs in dibromocyclophanes are always comparable, as the number of bromine atoms or the steric hindrance derived therefrom is a decisive factor for the cyclophane structure (i.e., dihedral angle Φ) rather than the electronic factor. The CE at the cyclophane band was almost negligible in 1 (Δε = +0.1 M−1 cm−1), which was enhanced to +0.6 M−1 cm−1 for p-2 and o’-2. This was doubled to +1.2 M−1 cm−1 in m’-2, where both the bromine atoms are located adjacent to the same linker chain, which affects the associated σ−π interaction more rigorously, leading to the higher anisotropy for this transition.

Theoretical Investigation. When the theoretical calculation successfully reproduces the experimental CD, all of the associated estimates, including geometry, excitation energy, and rotational strength, are well reproduced in required accuracy. With such a calculation result, one can also extract a breadth of information regarding the molecular orbital, electron/charge distribution, energy contribution, intramolecular interaction, and so on. To better interpret the observed CD spectra by theory, we employed the approximate second-order coupled cluster approach and the algebraic diagrammatic construction scheme that combines perturbation theory with configuration...
interaction, both combined with the density-fitting procedure. These methods, RI-CC2 and RI-ADC(2) methods for short, have been successfully used recently for reproducing the CD spectra of various molecular and supramolecular systems. Practically, these are often the only available options, where simulation with more familiar time-dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT) method fails. Indeed, both methods successfully predicted the comparable spectra for the brominated cyclophane systems. Furthermore, the excitation energies were considerably underestimated by this method. Among three functionals in the TD-DFT method, the M06-2X method outperformed in overall reproducibility for all of the cyclophanes examined here, but in general, the TD-DFT method is not recommended for accurate prediction of the CD spectra of planar chiral cyclophanes. Nevertheless, direct comparison of the calculated spectra with the experimental counterparts allows us to unambiguously determine the absolute conformations of planar chirality of brominated [2.2]paracyclophanes 1–2 as $R_1$ for the first HPLC elutes.

Because both the RI-CC2 and RI-ADC(2) provided essentially the same results and outperformed any of the TD-DFT and SAC-CI methods, we focus on the results obtained by the RI-CC2/def2-TZVPP, RI-ADC(2)/def2-TZVPP, SAC-CI/def2-TZVP, or TD-DFT/def2-TZVP level. Excitation energies and intensities were not shifted or scaled.

**Table 3. Calculated Excitation Energy, Oscillator Strength, and Rotatory Strength for First Three Transitions in Brominated [2.2]Paracyclophanes 1–2**

| cyclophane | method          | $E_1$  | $f_1$     | $R_1$  | $E_2$  | $f_2$     | $R_2$  | $E_3$  | $f_3$     | $R_3$  |
|------------|----------------|--------|-----------|--------|--------|-----------|--------|--------|-----------|--------|
| 1          | RI-CC2         | 4.27   | 0.0001    | −1.9   | 4.57   | 0.0008    | −1.2   | 5.03   | 0.013     | −86    |
|            | RI-ADC(2)      | 4.27   | 0.0001    | −2.9   | 4.58   | 0.0007    | −1.8   | 5.02   | 0.015     | −87    |
|            | SAC-CI         | 3.17   | 0.0001    | −3.2   | 3.55   | 0.002     | +4.6   | 4.17   | 0.009     | −74    |
|            | M06-2X         | 4.47   | 0.0001    | −3.9   | 4.80   | 0.001     | −1.0   | 4.96   | 0.012     | −83    |
|            | CAM-B3LYP      | 4.46   | 0.0002    | −4.8   | 4.78   | 0.001     | −4.8   | 4.91   | 0.010     | −39    |
|            | BHLYP          | 4.54   | 0.0003    | −7.6   | 4.82   | 0.002     | −20    | 4.96   | 0.006     | −15    |
| 2          | RI-CC2         | 4.19   | 0.0001    | +2.8   | 4.50   | 0.001     | −13    | 4.91   | 0.031     | −98    |
|            | RI-ADC(2)      | 4.19   | 0.0001    | +1.8   | 4.50   | 0.001     | −14    | 4.89   | 0.041     | −109   |
|            | SAC-CI         | 3.11   | 0.0003    | +1.4   | 3.48   | 0.001     | −6.6   | 4.04   | 0.028     | −83    |
|            | M06-2X         | 4.43   | 0.0006    | +3.5   | 4.71   | 0.002     | −27    | 4.88   | 0.035     | −134   |
|            | CAM-B3LYP      | 4.41   | 0.0003    | +1.6   | 4.69   | 0.003     | −25    | 4.83   | 0.026     | −71    |
|            | BHLYP          | 4.50   | 0.0003    | −1.8   | 4.75   | 0.013     | −37    | 4.87   | 0.011     | −49    |
| $p^2$      | RI-CC2         | 4.27   | 0.0001    | +3.5   | 4.54   | 0.005     | −1.1   | 4.99   | 0.039     | −143   |
|            | RI-ADC(2)      | 4.27   | 0.0001    | +2.8   | 4.55   | 0.005     | −1.3   | 4.98   | 0.041     | −148   |
|            | SAC-CI         | 3.19   | 0.0001    | +1.5   | 3.53   | 0.001     | +0.8   | 4.12   | 0.024     | −130   |
|            | M06-2X         | 4.50   | 0.0002    | +6.6   | 4.80   | 0.001     | −0.3   | 4.95   | 0.033     | −130   |
|            | CAM-B3LYP      | 4.48   | 0.0001    | +4.1   | 4.78   | 0.001     | −1.2   | 4.90   | 0.027     | −64    |
|            | BHLYP          | 4.57   | 0.0001    | +2.9   | 4.84   | 0.009     | −23    | 4.95   | 0.015     | −26    |
| $m^2$      | RI-CC2         | 4.27   | 0.0001    | +1.1   | 4.54   | 0.0001    | +0.7   | 5.00   | 0.034     | −132   |
|            | RI-ADC(2)      | 4.27   | 0.0001    | +0.4   | 4.54   | 0.0001    | +0.5   | 4.98   | 0.038     | −138   |
|            | SAC-CI         | 3.28   | 0.0001    | +0.1   | 3.61   | 0.001     | +4.7   | 4.21   | 0.024     | −124   |
|            | M06-2X         | 4.50   | 0.0002    | +3.2   | 4.79   | 0.0001    | +1.1   | 4.96   | 0.029     | −115   |
|            | CAM-B3LYP      | 4.48   | 0.0001    | +1.1   | 4.76   | 0.0003    | −3.6   | 4.92   | 0.023     | −50    |
|            | BHLYP          | 4.57   | 0.0001    | −0.6   | 4.83   | 0.007     | −23    | 4.97   | 0.013     | −14    |

*Calculated n-th excitation energy ($E_n$ in eV), oscillator strength ($f_n$), and rotatory strength ($R_n$) at the RI-CC2/def2-TZVPP, RI-ADC(2)/def2-TZVPP, SAC-CI/def2-TZVP, or TD-DFT/def2-TZVP level. Excitation energies and intensities were not shifted or scaled.*
band B was not observed well. Both bands C and D were properly reproduced, but the experimentally observed energy splitting was not apparent in the theoretical spectra. For band E, additional peaks were overlaid in theory. It is to note that such observations were shared by $\sigma'$-2 and $m'$-2.

Table 3 numerically compares the first three low-energy transitions (including the cyclophane band and the $1L_s$ transition) of brominated [2.2]paracyclophanes 1–2 calculated by a variety of theoretical methods. The treatment of these transitions is relatively straightforward due to the reduced complexity of possible mixing, changed order, and/or missing transitions, which often complicate the analysis in higher-energy regions. The very weak positive CE at band A observed for monobromocyclophane I, however, was not correctly reproduced by any of the examined calculations, all of which predicted a weak negative CE as the lowest-energy transition. This may be related to the severely forbidden nature of this transition, the calculated oscillator strength being far less than 0.0001, which is in accord with the experimental UV–vis spectral observation. The relative intensity of CE and the sign of the cyclophane band (band A) were properly predicted for all of the dibromocyclophanes by both the RI-CC2 and RI-ADC(2) methods, where improved oscillator strengths were observed. The TD-DFT method with M06-2X and CAM-B3LYP functionals afforded better results, at least the correct signs, but that with the BHLYP functional did not provide any satisfactory clue. The prediction of band B was most challenging and generally not well reproduced by any examined methods. The CEs at band C, which corresponds to the $1L_h$ transition, were well reproduced by most of the theories for all cyclophanes 1–2, but the TD-DFT with CAM-B3LYP and BHLYP functionals considerably underestimated the CE intensity.

The CE in the low-energy region is known to be very sensitive to the conformation of cyclophanes, as these conformations are associated with the states affected by the strong $\sigma-\pi$ interaction of the linker. These unsatisfactory theoretical results would be ascribed at least in part to our failure to include the parallel-displaced conformer or other minor conformers with various dihedral angles that are thermally populated across the shallow dihedral-angle coordinate and/or to the improper estimation through the single-referenced theoretical ansatz, which is insufficient to describe the complex transitions with interplanar interaction between phenes with severe deformation. Thus, further experimental and theoretical investigations are certainly needed to fully comprehend the chiroptical behavior of the cyclophane band.

## CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we investigated the chiroptical properties of mono- and dibromo[2.2]paracyclophanes 1–2 experimentally and theoretically. All of the cyclophanes exhibited a common characteristic pattern of strong Cotton effects in the CD spectra, owing to their planar chirality. In addition, a weak but distinctive CE was also observed in the lowest-energy region due to the interplanar interaction and is assignable to the cyclophane band characteristic to [2.n]cyclophanes. In-depth analysis revealed that these cyclophanes can be grouped into two different families. Thus, the detailed pattern and relative intensity of CEs are more resembling between cyclophanes 1 and p-2 and between m-2 and $\sigma'$-2, which is attributable not to the subtle differences in cyclophane structure but to the pattern of bromine substitution.

Theoretical calculations of the CDs of these cyclophanes were successful to properly reproduce the characteristic pattern (and the above resemblance) of the strong CEs in the main bands, which also allowed effective and unambiguous determination of the absolute configurations of planar chiral cyclophanes 1–2, i.e., the enantiomers that elute earlier from Chiralcel IA or IB column are $R_p$ without any exceptions.

In contrast, predicting CEs of the cyclophane band with a comparable accuracy turned out to be more challenging, most probably due to the severe interplanar interaction. The CEs at the cyclophane band calculated for dibromocyclophanes 2 were reasonable at least in relative strength, but that for simpler 4-bromo[2.2]paracyclophane 1 was not well reproduced. Despite the relatively simple model systems exploited for the investigation of planar chirality of cyclophane, we still encountered the unexpected chiroptical responses for the most intriguing cyclophane band, i.e., none of the state-of-the-art theoretical calculations, including RI-CC2, RI-ADC(2), SAC-CI, and TD-DFT methods, could properly reproduce its chiroptical properties. This fact urges us to further scrutinize the chiroptical properties of the cyclophane band both experimentally and theoretically by employing a wider variety of [2.n]cyclophanes and more accurate theoretical calculations to comprehend the nature and behavior of planar chirality.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Racemic 4-bromo[2.2]paracyclophane 1 was commercially available (Strem Chemicals). Racemic dibromo[2.2]-paracyclophanes ($p$-2, $m$-2, $o$-2) and $\sigma$-2 were prepared as described in the literature. Optical resolution of each cyclophane was achieved by chiral HPLC on Chiralcel IA or IB column (Figures S1–S4 in the Supporting Information). For clarity, the CD spectra of first HPLC elutes are reported and discussed throughout the work; the absolute configurations of these cyclophanes were assigned as $R_p$ by comparing the experimental and theoretical CD spectra (vide infra). Details of the spectral measurement as well as the computation can be found in the Supporting Information.
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