Re-collection of *Dermea prunus* in China, with a description of *D. chinensis* sp. nov.
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Abstract

*Dermea* was protected against its synonym, *Foveostroma*, due to its well-circumscribed generic concept and more frequent use. We describe and illustrate *Dermea chinensis* sp. nov. based on its morphological characteristics and a molecular analysis of the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) and large subunit (LSU) sequence data. *Dermea chinensis* is isolated from *Betula albosinensis* with sexual and asexual morphs and can be distinguished from *D. molliuscula* on *Betula* trees by its aseptate and wider ascospores. The connection between the two morphs is proved based on sequence data. Here, we describe the asexual morph of *D. pruni* for the first time based on morphological and molecular data from the same host and country of origin, and compare it with other species of *Prunus*.
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Introduction

*Dermea* Fr. (Dermateaceae, Helotiales) was first proposed based on *D. cerasi* (Fries, 1825), which is the sexual morph of the type species of *Micropera* Lév. (Léveillé, 1846) and *Foveostroma* DiCosmo (DiCosmo 1978), namely *M. drupacearum* and *F. drupacearum*, respectively. Due to the well-circumscribed concept and its more frequent use, *Dermea* was protected as the legitimate generic name (Johnston et al. 2014).

Groves (1946) accepted 16 species in *Dermea* and proposed a key for this genus based mainly on the characteristics of apothecia, asci, ascospores, and conidia, along with host associations. Subsequently, *Dermea tumifaciens* (Ramakrishnan & Ramakrishnan, 1948), *D. pruni* (Groves, 1951), *D. grovesii* (Reid & Pirozynski, 1966), *D. rhytidiformans* (Funk &
Kuijt, 1970), *D. tetrasperma* (Funk, 1976), *D. abietinum* (Johnston et al., 2014), *D. boycei* (Johnston et al., 2014), *D. stellata* (Johnston et al., 2014), and *D. persica* (Mehrabi et al., 2018) were added to this genus. However, *D. balsamea* and *D. peckiana*, which were accepted by Groves (1946), were later synonymised with *D. abietinum* and *D. stellata*, respectively (Johnston et al. 2014). Thus, 23 species were included in this genus before this study.

*Dermea* is a well-characterized genus with hard, leathery, dark brown to black apothecia; cylindrical to clavate-cylindrical, usually eight-spored asci; and ellipsoid-fusiform to ellipsoidal, hyaline to yellowish-brown, aseptate to 3-septate ascospores (Groves 1946; Mehrabi et al. 2018). The asexual morph of *Dermea* contains rather diverse conidiomatal structures, which usually accompany the apothecia (Groves 1946; Mehrabi et al. 2018). Additionally, two kinds of conidia are characterized: elongate-fusiform to sickle-shaped macroconidia and bacillari to filiform microconidia (Groves 1946; Mehrabi et al. 2018).

*Dermea* species are generally considered highly host-specific (Groves 1946, 1951). The plant genus *Prunus* is the major host for *Dermea*, with *D. cerasi*, *D. padi*, *D. prunastri*, and *D. pruni* described from them (Groves 1946, 1951). However, ascospores in *D. pruni* are larger than those from the other three species (Groves 1951). *Dermea cerasi*, *D. padi*, and *D. prunastri* can be easily distinguished by the macroconidial and microconidial dimensions (Groves 1946). Among these four species, *D. cerasi*, *D. padi*, and *D. prunastri* were recognized based on both sexual and asexual fruiting bodies (Groves 1946), but *D. pruni* was proposed only with a sexual morph based on a specimen (Teng #3352, preserved in the herbarium of the University of Michigan) collected from China (Groves 1951). Hence, the re-collection of *D. pruni* specimens aiming for an asexual morph from the original host and country seems meaningful. Additionally, few sequence data are available for most *Dermea* species, and considering that the host associations may be incorrect and that many geographical areas are still insufficiently studied, the synonymies and actual numbers of *Dermea* species are still unclear.

*Dermea* species were considered pathogenic to their hosts (Groves 1951; Abeln et al. 2000). For example, *D. abietinum* (syn. *D. balsamea*) caused hemlock dieback (Dodge 1932) and *D. prunastri* was considered the cause of greengage plums die-back (Dowson 1913). However, members of *Dermea* have not been recently reported to cause serious plant diseases.

During our fungal collection surveys conducted in China, we collected several *Dermea* specimens from two species of tree, *Betula albosinensis* and *Prunus cerasifera* f. *atropurpurea*. We identified fungi species using both morphological and molecular approaches; as a result, a novel species and the asexual morph of *D. pruni* are described herein for the first time.

### Materials and methods

#### Sample collections and fungal isolates

Fresh specimens of *Dermea* were collected from tree barks during our fungal collection trip in China. We obtained single ascospore and conidia isolates by removing a mucoid spore mass from apothecia or conidiomata and spreading the suspension on the surface
of 2% malt extract agar (MEA; 20 g malt extract, 20 g agar, 1 L water). After inoculation, agar plates were incubated at 25 ºC to induce germination of spores. Single germinating spores were then transferred to clean plates under a dissecting microscope with a sterile needle. Specimens and isolates were deposited in the Museum of Beijing Forestry University (BJFC). Axenic cultures are maintained in the China Forestry Culture Collection Center (CFCC).

**Morphological analysis**

Species identification was based on the morphological characters of apothecia and conidiomata produced on natural substrates. Cross-sections were prepared manually using a double-edged blade under a Leica stereomicroscope (M205 FA). Photomicrographs were captured with a Nikon Eclipse 80i microscope equipped with a Nikon digital sight DS-Ri2 high-definition colour camera, using differential interference contrast (DIC) illumination and the Nikon software, NIS-Elements D Package 3.00. Measurements of ascospores and conidia are reported as the maximum and minimum in parentheses and the range representing the mean ± standard deviation of the number of measurements is given in parentheses. Cultural characteristics of isolates incubated on MEA in the dark at 25 ºC were recorded.

**DNA extraction, PCR amplification and sequencing**

Genomic DNA was extracted from axenic living cultures on MEA with cellophane using a modified CTAB method (Doyle and Doyle 1990). The internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region was amplified with primers ITS1 and ITS4 (White et al. 1990), and the large subunit (LSU) region with the primers LR0R and LR5 (Vilgalys and Hester 1990). Amplification of ITS and LSU were accomplished by an initial step of 2 min at 95 ºC, followed by 35 cycles of 30 s at 95 ºC, 30 s at 51 ºC, and 40 s at 72 ºC, with a final extension of 10 min at 72 ºC. DNA sequencing was performed on an ABI PRISM 3730XL DNA Analyzer using BigDye Terminater Kit 3.1 (Invitrogen) at the Shanghai Invitrogen Biological Technology Company Limited (Beijing, China).

**Phylogenetic analyses**

Sequences from this study and reference sequences obtained from GenBank (Table 1) were aligned and edited manually using MEGA6 (Tamura et al. 2013). The alignments were concatenated for phylogenetic analyses. Maximum parsimony (MP) analyses were conducted with PAUP 4.0b10 (Swofford 2003), using 1000 heuristic search replicates with random-additions of sequences along with the tree bisection and reconnection (TBR) branch swapping algorithm (MULTREES option in effect, steepest descent option not in effect). All molecular characters were unordered and given equal weight; analyses were performed with gaps treated as missing data; the COLLAPSE command
Table 1. Strains and NCBI GenBank accession numbers used in this study. Strains from this study are in bold.

| Species                  | Strain         | Genbank          |
|--------------------------|----------------|------------------|
|                          |                | ITS              | LSU              |
| Davidhawksworthia ilicicola | CBS 734.94    | KU728517         | KU728556         |
| Davidhawksworthia ilicicola | CBS 261.95    | KU728516         | KU728555         |
| Dermea acerina           | CBS 161.38     | AF141164         | DQ247801         |
| Dermea ariete            | CBS 134.46     | AF141158         | NA               |
| Dermea cerasi            | CBS 136.46     | AF141159         | NA               |
| Dermea chinensis         | CFCC 53008     | MK330013         | MK626645         |
| Dermea chinensis         | CFCC 53009     | MK330014         | MK626646         |
| Dermea hamamelidii       | CBS 137.46     | AF141157         | NA               |
| Dermea padi              | CBS 140.46     | AF141160         | NA               |
| Dermea persica           | MFLU 16-0259   | MH104719         | MH104720         |
| Dermea prunastri         | CBS 143.46     | AF141162         | NA               |
| Dermea pruni             | CFCC 53006     | MK330016         | MK626648         |
| Dermea pruni             | CFCC 53007     | MK330017         | MK626649         |
| Dermera vilursi          | CBS 145.46     | AF141163         | NA               |
| Mollisia dextrinospora    | ICMP 18083     | HM116746         | HM116757         |
| Neofabraea inequivaldi   | CBS 326.75     | KR859081         | KR858872         |
| Neofabraea kienholzii    | CBS 126461     | KR859082         | KR858873         |
| Neofabraea malitoxicici  | CBS 122030     | KR859086         | KR858877         |
| Neofabraea perennanii    | CBS 102869     | KR859087         | KR858878         |
| Pezicula aurantiaca      | CBS 201.46     | KR859102         | KR858893         |
| Pezicula cinnamomea      | CBS 285.39     | KR859163         | KR858915         |
| Pezicula cornina         | CBS 239.96     | KR859124         | KR858955         |
| Pezicula eucrita         | CBS 259.97     | KR859179         | KR858971         |
| Pezicula nevopolosa      | CBS 101.96     | KR859223         | KR859015         |
| Pezicula pseudocinnamomea| CBS 101000     | KR859235         | KR859027         |
| Pezicula sporulina       | CBS 224.96     | KR859261         | KR859053         |
| Phlyctema vincetoxici    | CBS 123727     | KF251207         | KF251710         |
| Phlyctema vincetoxici    | CBS 123743     | KF251208         | KF251711         |
| Pseudofabraea citricarpa | CBS 130533     | KR859281         | KR859075         |
| Pseudofabraea citricarpa | CBS 130297     | KR859279         | KR859073         |

was set to minbrlen, maxtrees were set to 5000. All equally parsimonious trees found were saved in the MP analyses. Other calculated parsimony scores were tree length (TL), consistency index (CI), retention index (RI), and rescaled consistency (RC). MP bootstrap analyses with 1000 replicates were performed in the same manner, with 10 rounds of heuristic search replicates with random addition of sequences and subsequent TBR branch swapping during each bootstrap replicate. ML analyses were conducted using RAxML (Stamatakis 2006) as implemented in raxmlGUI 1.3 (Silvestro and Michalak 2012), using the ML + rapid bootstrap setting and the GTRGAMMA substitution model with 1000 bootstrap replicates. Taxonomic novelties were deposited in MycoBank.

Results

Phylogenetic analyses

The alignment based on the combined sequence dataset (ITS and LSU) contained 1431 characters. Of these, 1136 characters were constant, 103 variable characters were parsi-
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Phylogram of *Dermea* and related genera based on combined ITS and LSU sequence data. Values above or below the branches indicate maximum parsimony and maximum likelihood bootstrap support. Scale bar: 30 nucleotide substitutions.

**Figure 1.** Phylogram of *Dermea* and related genera based on combined ITS and LSU sequence data. Values above or below the branches indicate maximum parsimony and maximum likelihood bootstrap support. Scale bar: 30 nucleotide substitutions.
Taxonomy

*Dermea chinensis* C.M. Tian & N. Jiang, sp. nov.
MycoBank: MB828880
Figures 2, 3

**Diagnosis.** *Dermea chinensis* differs from *D. molliuscula* by its wider ascospores

**Holotype.** CHINA. SHAANXI PROVINCE, Ankang City, Huoditang forest park, 33°26'12"N, 108°26'42"E, 1650 m a.s.l., on branches of *Betula albosinensis*, N. Jiang & C.M. Tian leg., 18 Jul 2018 (holotype BJFC-S1729). Ex-type culture from sexual fruiting body: CFCC 53008; living culture from asexual fruiting body: CFCC 53009.

**Etymology.** Named after the country where it was first discovered, China.

**Description.** *Sexual morph.* apothecia erumpent, scattered or sometimes gregarious, circular, sinuate, sessile to substipitate, 2.1–3.5 mm wide, 0.8–1.2 mm high (av. = 2.7 × 0.9 mm, n = 10), dark brown to black, hard, leathery to horny in consistency, hymenium at the first concave, becoming plane or convex, roughened, sometimes cracked, occasionally slightly umbilicate; tissue of the basal stroma pseudoparenchymatous, composed of closely interwoven hyphae with elongated cells about 8 μm in diameter, hyaline to brownish, thick walled, curving towards the outside, forming a darker, pseudoparenchymatous excipulum of thick-walled cells about 8 μm in diameter; subhymenium a narrow zone of closely interwoven hyphae about 3 μm in diameter. *Asci* 85–118 × 14–19 μm (av. = 96.5 × 16.4 μm, n = 10), cylindric-clavate, tapering below into a short stalk, 8-spored. Paraphyses hyaline, filiform, septate, simple or branched, 1.5–2.5 in diameter, the tips slightly swollen up to 4 μm and glued together forming a yellowish epithecium. *Ascospores* (14.2–)16.3–17.1(–18.6) × (7.3–)7.5–8.5(–8.9) μm, l/w = (1.8–)1.9–2.2(–2.3) (n = 50), ellipsoid-fusiform, hyaline to yellowish-brown, straight or slightly curved, aseptate, irregular biseriate. *Asexual morph.* conidial fruiting bodies erumpent, gregarious, columnar to subconical, 0.5–2.5 mm wide, 0.4–0.7 mm high (av. = 1.6 × 0.6 mm, n = 10), yellowish, furfuraceous to glabrous, tearing open irregularly and widely at the top, waxy in consistency, more fresh when moist, usually containing 3–8 more or less lobed cavity. *Conidiophores* 7–18 × 2–3.5 μm, hyaline, aseptate, unbranched, tapering to a slender tip. *Conidiogenous cells* 5–15 × 1.5–3 μm, determinate, phialidic, cylindrical, hyaline. *Conidia* (54–)60–72(–78) × (3.2–)3.5–4(–4.2) μm, hyaline, filiform, straight or curved, one-celled. *Microconidia* absent.

**Culture characters.** On MEA at 25 °C colonies grow slowly, reaching 50 mm diameter within 60 d, pale yellow at first, gradually turning dark brown with scanty aerial mycelium.

**Habitat and host range.** On dead corticated branches of *Betula albosinensis*.

**Additional specimen examined.** CHINA. SHAANXI PROVINCE, Ankang City, Qinling Mountain, 33°26'12"N, 108°26'42"E, 1570 m a.s.l., on branches of *Betula albosinensis*, N. Jiang & C.M. Tian leg., 15 Jul 2018 (BJFC-S1730, living culture CFCC 53010).
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**Figure 2.** Sexual morph of *Dermea chinensis* from *Betula albosinensis* (BJFC-S1729, holotype) **A–C** apothecia on the natural substrate in surface view **D** longitudinal section through apothecium **E** ascus and paraphyses **F–H** ascospores. Scale bars: 1 mm (**B–D**); 10 μm (**E–H**).
Figure 3. Asexual morph of *Dermea chinensis* from *Betula albosinensis* (BJFC-S1729, holotype) A, B conidiomata on the natural substrate in surface view C transverse section through conidioma D longitudinal section through conidioma E, G conidiophores F, H conidia. Scale bars: 1 mm (B); 0.5 mm (C, D); 10 μm (E–H).

Notes. Three isolates of *D. chinensis* were obtained from *Betula albosinensis* cluster in a well-supported clade (MP/ML = 100/100) and appeared closely related to *D. cerasi* from *Prunus* branches. *Dermea chinensis* and *D. cerasi* are similar in macroconidia dimensions (54–78 × 3.2–4.2 μm in *D. chinensis* vs 40–60 × 2.5–4.5 μm in *D. cerasi*) but different in ascospore dimensions (14.2–18.6 × 7.3–8.9 μm in *D. chinensis* vs 15–20 × 5–7.5 μm in *D. cerasi*) and host associations (Groves 1946). Furthermore, the two species are separated by 51 bp differences in their ITS. *Dermea molluscula*, which occurs in the USA and Canada, is the other species inhabiting...
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Betula trees. However, D. chinensis is distinguished from D. molliuscula by aseptate ascospores and in width (7.3–8.9 μm in D. chinensis vs 4–7 μm in D. molliuscula) (Groves 1946).

**Dermea pruni** (Teng) J.W. Groves, Mycologia 43(6): 721. 1952.

**Figure 4**

**Description.** Sexual morph: see Groves (1952). Asexual morph: conidial fruiting bodies erumpent, gregarious, pulvinate, 0.6–2.3 mm wide, 0.2–0.35 mm high (av. = 1.8 × 0.28 mm, n = 10), yellowish, furfuraceous to glabrous, tearing open irregularly and widely at the top, waxy in consistency, more fresh when moist, usually containing up to 30 more or less lobed cavities. **Conidiophores** 4–15 × 1.5–2.5 μm, hyaline, aseptate, unbranched, tapering to a slender tip. **Conidiogenous cells** 3.5–15 × 1.5–2.5 μm, determinate, phialidic, cylindrical, hyaline. **Conidia** (62–)75–88(–95) × (2–)2.5–3.3(–3.5) μm, hyaline, fifiform, straight or curved, two-celled. Microconidia absent.

**Culture characters.** On MEA at 25 °C colonies grow slowly, reaching 50 mm diameter within 50 d, at first pale yellow, gradually becoming dark brown with scanty aerial mycelium.

**Habitat and host range.** On dying stems and branches of Prunus cerasifera f. atropurpurea.

**Specimens examined.** CHINA. SHAANXI PROVINCE, Ankang City, Qinling Mountain, 33°26′7″N, 108°26′48″E, 1570 m asl, on branches of Prunus cerasifera f. atropurpurea, N. Jiang & C.M. Tian leg., 23 Jul 2018 (BJFC-S1727, living culture CFCC 53006). CHINA. SHAANXI PROVINCE, Ankang City, Qinling Mountain, 33°26′7″N, 108°26′48″E, 1570 m asl, on branches of Prunus cerasifera f. atropurpurea, N. Jiang & C.M. Tian leg., 23 Jul 2018 (BJFC-S1728, living culture CFCC 53007).

**Notes.** Dermea pruni was proposed based on a specimen collected from Prunus branches in Sichuan province, China. However, no living culture or DNA data were available (Groves 1951). In addition, the asexual morph was not included in the original description (Groves 1951). During our fungal collection trip in China, two Dermea specimens were accidentally discovered on a common road tree, Prunus cerasifera f. atropurpurea in Shaanxi province, which borders Sichuan province, the original collection province of the holotype. Asexual fruiting bodies were observed on the whole trees, from stems to branches. However, no sexual morph was found, even though we investigated all Prunus trees along the road. Conidial size was compared among our collections, D. cerasi, D. padi, and D. prunastri, which can distinguish them (Table 2). Considering that our collections and the type specimen (Teng #3352, preserved in the herbarium of the University of Michigan) of D. pruni were collected from the same hosts and from nearby regions (Groves 1951), our specimens were identified and treated here as D. pruni. However, more detailed taxonomic studies are needed, including DNA extraction from the holotype of D. pruni to compare ITS sequences of our collections and the holotype.
Figure 4. Asexual morph of *Dernea pruni* from *Prunus cerasifera f. atropurpurea* (BJFC-S1727) A, B conidiomata on the natural substrate in surface view C transverse section through conidioma D longitudinal section through conidioma E conidiophores F conidia. Scale bars: 1 mm (B, C); 0.5 mm (D); 10 μm (E, F).
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**Table 2.** Comparison of phenotypic characters of currently accepted *Dermea* species.

| Species     | Host genera | Ascospores dimension (µm); septation | Macroconidia dimension (µm); septation | Microconidia dimension (µm) | Reference                |
|-------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| *D. abietinum* | Abies; Tsuga | 20–30 x 6–8; 1–4-celled               | 60–75 x 4–5; 1–4-celled               | 11–22 x 1.0–1.5            | Groves 1946; Johnston 2014 |
| *D. acerina*  | *Acer*      | 13–20 x 5–8; 1–4-celled               | 15–25 x 5–8; 1-celled                | 6–10 x 1.0–2.0             | Groves 1946               |
| *D. ariet*    | *Sorbus*    | 12–18 x 3–5; 1–4-celled               | 15–20 x 2.0–4.0; 1–2-celled          | NA                         | Groves 1946               |
| *D. bicolor*  | *Amelanchier* | 12–15 x 3–4; 1–2-celled               | 15–20 x 2.5–4.0; 1–2-celled          | NA                         | Groves 1946               |
| *D. boycei*   | *Pseudotsuga* | 16–28 x 4–7; 1–4-celled               | 42–56 x 3–4; 1–4-celled              | 8–14 x 1–2                 | Funk 1967; Johnston 2014  |
| *D. cerasi*   | *Prunus*    | 15–20 x 5–7; 1–4-celled               | 40–60 x 2.5–4.5; 1–2-celled          | 12–23 x 1.0–1.5            | Groves 1946               |
| *D. chinensis* | *Betula*    | 14–19 x 7–9; 1-celled                 | 54–78 x 3.2–4.2; 1-celled            | NA                         | This study                |
| *D. chionanthi* | *Chionanthus* | 18–25 x 7–9; 1–2(–4)-celled          | 25–35 x 5–7; 1–2-celled              | NA                         | Groves 1946               |
| *D. grovesii* | *Picea*     | 16.5–21.5 x 6–5; 1–3-celled           | 60–95 x 6.5–8; 7–11-celled           | NA                         | Reid and Pirozynski 1966  |
| *D. hamamelidis* | *Hamamelis* | 15–20 x 5.0–7.5; 1–4-celled           | 18–25 x 4.5–6.0; 1–2-celled          | NA                         | Groves 1946               |
| *D. libocedri* | *Libocedrus* | 15–20 x 6–8; 1–4-celled               | 42–65 x 4–6; 1–4-celled              | 10–18 x 1.0–1.5            | Groves 1946               |
| *D. mollisulca* | *Betula*    | 15–20 x 4–7; 1–4-celled               | 50–75 x 2.5–3.5; 1–4-celled          | 7–12 x 1.0–1.5             | Groves 1946               |
| *D. padi*     | *Prunus*    | 15–20 x 5–7; 1–4-celled               | 20–28 x 2.5–4.0; 1–2-celled          | 4–6 x 1.5                  | Groves 1946               |
| *D. persica*  | *NA*        | 20–25 x 2.5–3.5; 1-celled             | NA                                      | NA                         | Mehrabi et al. 2018       |
| *D. piceina*  | *Picea*     | 12–14 x 6–8; 1–2(–4)-celled           | 22–40 x 3–5; 1–4-celled              | 9–15 x 1.0–1.5             | Groves 1946               |
| *D. pinicola* | *Pinus*     | 13–18 x 5.0–7.5; 1–2-celled           | 30–40 x 4–6; 1–4-celled              | NA                         | Groves 1946               |
| *D. prunastri* | *Prunus*    | 15–20 x 5.0–7.5; 1–4-celled           | 20–30 x 5–7; 1-celled                | 7–10 x 1.5                 | Groves 1946               |
| *D. pruni*    | *Prunus*    | 15–20 x 8–10; 1(=)-4-celled           | 62–95 x 2–3.5; 2-celled              | NA                         | Groves 1951; This study   |
| *D. rhytidiformans* | *Abies* | 18–28 x 8–11; 1-celled               | 25–65 x 3.5–5.5; 1–4-celled          | 10–22 x 1.5                | Funk and Kuijt 1970       |
| *D. stellata* | *Nemopanthus* | 12–18 x 4–6; 1–2(–4)-celled           | 40–55 x 2.5–4.5; 1–2-celled          | 8–13 x 1.5–2.0            | Groves 1946; Johnston 2014 |
| *D. tetrasperma* | *Pseudotsuga* | 14–17 x 4–6; 1-celled               | 15–22 x 5–6; 1-celled                | NA                         | Funk 1976                 |
| *D. tulipanii* | *Fraxinus*  | 15–20 x 6–8; 1–4-celled               | 25–40 x 6–8; 1-celled                | NA                         | Groves 1946               |
| *D. tumidificiens* | *Capparis* | 13 x 5.4 / 10–19 x 4.8–9.6; 2-celled | 18 x 7 / 15–22 x 4–9; 2-celled       | NA                         | Ramakrishnan and Ramakrishnan 1948 |
| *D. viburni*  | *Viburnum*  | 14–18 x 3.5–5.5; 1–2-celled          | 30–45 x 2.5–4.0; 1–4-celled          | NA                         | Groves 1946               |

**Discussion**

In this study, we collected several *Dermea* specimens from China and morphologically and molecularly examined them. *Dermea chinensis* from *Betula* trees is introduced, which can be distinguished from *D. mollisulca* by aseptate and wider ascospores, and from other species by host association (Table 2). Four *Dermea* species, *D. cerasi*, *D. padi*, *D. prunastri*, and *D. pruni* have been reported from *Prunus* trees.
These four species can be obviously distinguished by both morphological and molecular approaches. We update the asexual morph and molecular data of *D. pruni*.

The genus *Pezicula* is a phylogenetically close to *Dermea* species and has recently been confirmed based on an ITS-28S-16S rDNA analysis (Mehrabi et al. 2018). However, *Pezicula* is characterized by typically bright-coloured, yellowish to ochraceous, more fleshy-waxy apothecia, broader and more clavate asci, and more broadly ellipsoid to oblong-ellipsoid or ovoid ascospores (Groves 1946). Our phylogenetic analysis of *Dermea* and related genera based on the combined ITS and LSU sequence data (Fig. 1) showed that *Pezicula* is well-supported as a separate clade with high values (MP/ML = 96/98). *Dermea* was thought to be a monophyletic group (Abeln et al. 2000), but *Dermea* was not well-supported, as *D. persica* was included in the analysis (Mehrabi et al. 2018). We added additional DNA sequence data in our study (Fig. 1), which indicates that *Dermea* is not monophyletic.

Species of *Dermea* are well-circumscribed by morphological characteristics. However, only 10 species (Table 1) are currently characterized by molecular data, and most species remain unconfirmed by phylogenetic examination. Hence, DNA data from type or ex-strains and newly obtained collections are essential in subsequent taxonomic work.

**Acknowledgements**

This study was financed by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Project No.: 31670647). We are grateful to Chungen Piao and Minwei Guo (China Forestry Culture Collection Center (CFCC), Chinese Academy of Forestry, Beijing) for support with strain preservation during this study.

**References**

Abeln ECA, de Pagter MA, Verkley GJM (2000) Phylogeny of *Pezicula, Dermea* and *Neofabraea* inferred from partial sequences of the nuclear ribosomal RNA gene cluster. Mycologia 92: 685–693. https://doi.org/10.2307/3761426

DiCosmo F (1978) A revision of *Corniculariella*. Canadian Journal of Botany 56: 1665–1690. https://doi.org/10.1139/b78-197

Dodge BO (1932) Notes on three hemlock fungi. Mycologia 24: 421–430. https://doi.org/10.2307/3753985

Dowson WJ (1913) On a disease of greengage trees caused by *Dermatella prunastri* Pers. New Phytologist 12: 207–216. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1913.tb05695.x

Groves JW (1946) North American species of *Dermea*. Mycologia 38: 351–431. https://doi.org/10.1080/00275514.1946.12024065
Re-collection of *Dermea prunus* in China, with a description of *D. chinensis* sp. nov.

Groves JW (1951) *A Dermea on Prunus* from China. Mycologia 43: 719–722. https://doi.org/10.1080/00275514.1951.12024165

Fries EM (1825) *Systema orbis vegetabilis*. Typographia academica 1: 1–374.

Funk A (1976) The genus *Dermea* and related conidial states on Douglas fir. Canadian Journal of Botany 54: 2852–2856. https://doi.org/10.1139/b76-306

Funk A, Kuijt J (1970) *Dermea rhizidiformans* n. sp., the fungus associated with the cork-bark of alpine fir. Canadian Journal of Botany 48: 1481–1483. https://doi.org/10.1139/b70-223

Johnston PR, Seifert KA, Stone JK, RosmanAY, Marvanová L (2014) Recommendations on generic names competing for use in Leotiomycetes (Ascomycota). IMA Fungus 5: 91–120. https://doi.org/10.5598/imafungus.2014.05.01.11

Léveillé JH (1846) *Descriptions des champignons de l’herbier du Muséum de Paris*. Annales des Sciences Naturelles Botanique 5: 249–305.

Mehrabi M, Asgari B, Wijayaawardene NN, Hyde KD (2018) Description of *Dermea persica* (Dermateaceae, Helotiales), a new asexual Ascomycete from Iran, and an updated key to *Dermea* species. Phytotaxa 367 (1): 25–37. https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.367.1.3

Ramakrishnan TS, Ramakrishnan K (1948) Additions to fungi of Madras IV. Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences (Section B) 27: 33–46.

Reid J, Pirozynski KA (1966) Notes on some interesting North American fungi. Canadian Journal of Botany 44: 645–653. https://doi.org/10.1139/b66-0777

Silvestro D, Michalak I (2012) raxmlGUI: A graphical front-end for RAxML. Organisms Diversity & Evolution 12: 335–337. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13127-011-0056-0

Stamatakis E (2006) RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. Bioinformatics 22: 2688–2690. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btl4466

Swofford DL (2003) *PAUP**: Phylogenetic Analyses Using Parsimony and Other Methods, Version 4.0b10. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland.

Taylor JW, Jacobson DJ, Kroken S, Kasuga T, Geiser DM, Hibbett DS, Fisher MC (2000) Phylogenetic species recognition and species concepts in fungi. Fungal Genetics and Biology 31: 21–32. https://doi.org/10.1006/fgbi.2000.1228

Vilgalys R, Hester M (1990) Rapid genetic identification and mapping of enzymatically amplified ribosomal DNA from several *Cryptococcus* species. Journal of Bacteriology 172: 4238–4246. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.172.8.4238-4246.1990

White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor JM (1990) Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JJ, White TJ (Eds) *PCR protocols: A Guide to the Methods and Applications*. New York: Academic Press, 315–322. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-372180-8.50042-1