Whey Protein Isolate, Tween 20 and Casein Hydrolysate Stabilized O/W Nano-Vesicular Emulsion Systems with Curcumin Cargo
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Abstract

Stable oil-in-water nanoemulsions were generated by ultra-high-pressure homogenization (UHPH) at 140 and 210 MPa for use as nano-vesicular vehicles (NVV) to carry hydrophobic generally recognized as safe (GRAS) curcumin (CU) by whey protein isolate (WPI) in aqueous nutraceutical systems. Curcumin was used for its antioxidant activity and participation in the Michael reaction with nucleophiles at pHs above 8.0. Two variables, (1) addition of casein hydrolysate (CH) (2%, w/w of WPI) and, (2) use of UHPH (140 and 210 MPa), were studied for their effect on the stabilization of monodispersed NVV and antioxidant capacity of the CU as cargo in the NVV throughout storage. CH and Tween 20 both were added to increase dispersibility and stability of the NVV. Addition of CH reduced nano-particle size (d₅₀) by 17% at 210 MPa when compared to 140 MPa (P<0.05), and increased the stability with UHPH pressure as reflected by a 63% smaller d₅₀ at 210 MPa as compared to 140 MPa (P<0.05). The nanoparticle distribution was not changed by the addition of CU, with d₅₀’s of 101 and 93 nm at 140 MPa and 73 and 92 at 210 MPa for NVV and CU-NVV, respectively. The NVV system was stable for 28 days as observed in zeta-potential, contact angle, and surface energy, and can be used to deliver CU and maintain its antioxidant activity.
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1. Introduction

Curcumin (CU) is used as a condiment and an herbal medicine in Asian countries for its nutraceutical properties (Chin et al., 2014). It is a diarylheptanoid in the form of a bright yellow-orange colored powder, with a high melting point (183°C) that has been correlated with antioxidant (Sharma, 1976), anti-inflammatory (Chainani-Wu, 2003), anti-cancerous (Aggarwal et al., 2003), and antimicrobial (De et al., 2009) properties that were established in mouse studies. CU has also been linked to decreased incidence of depression, myelodysplastic syndrome and Alzheimer’s (Tsai et al., 2011; Donsi et al., 2012; Ahmed et al., 2012; Alizadeh et al., 2012; Souguir et al., 2013; Aditya et al., 2017). However, metabolism and absorption research revealed that either no curcumin or a minute amount of curcumin was detected in tissue or blood serum after ingestion (Dhillon et al., 2008). The main constraints of delivering CU in foods includes poor solubility (Tonnesen et al., 2002) in water (11ng/ml) and rapid hydrolysis at physiological pH, which results in the formation of an inactive metabolic component. Delivery of CU in food-based emulsion systems is challenging due to its lack of solubility, instability, strong color, and distinct flavor (Yu and Huang, 2010; Shetty et al., 2015). The amount of curcumin that can be delivered without negatively impacting the taste, color and texture of the incorporated food is another important point of consideration when designing a delivery system. It has already been established that at levels less than 0.9 g/day CU ingestion and 20 mg per serving causes no adverse effects (Nelson et al., 2017). A nano-emulsion system could be utilized to decrease the amount of CU that needs to be included in a food product to impart antioxidant capacity, while being acceptable to consumers.

Various phytochemical compounds (hydrophobic) have been delivered with accelerated release and rapid absorption using nanoemulsion systems (Zou et al., 2015). Nanoemulsions are made of extremely small droplets (average globule size of 100 to 500 nm) of either water (water-in-oil, W/O) or oil (oil-in-water, O/W) systems, which form non-equilibrium, isotopically transparent dispersions that are stabilized by the addition of surfactant...
molecules to form an interfacial layer around the droplet (Lovely and Attama, 2011; Gutierrez et al., 2008). Nanoemulsions are optically transparent, physically stable, and have enhanced bulk viscosity. These characteristics are attributed to small droplets and a reduced particle size distribution (Donsi et al., 2012). However, nanoemulsions are not thermodynamically stable systems and tend to aggregate, which leads to sedimentation, coalescence, creaming and flocculation (Van de Ven et al., 2011). The generation of small and uniform sized dispersed phase droplets alleviates nanoemulsion instability (Kentish et al., 2008). Globular sizes of 20-200 nm create stable emulsion systems (Maali and Mosavian, 2013), with increasing stability as the globule diameter decreases (Pascual-Pineda et al., 2015). The emulsifier in the nanoemulsion system plays a critical role in forming a stable system.

Whey protein isolate (WPI) is used as an emulsifier in food grade emulsion systems due to its amphipathic nature (Adjou et al., 2014; Chanamai and McClements, 2002; Euston et al., 2002). Whey protein’s ability to form strong, cohesive protective films prevents emulsion droplet aggregation (Ramos et al., 2012). WPI has been used in nanoemulsion systems to deliver drugs that are not water-soluble (He et al., 2011), WPI-dextran conjugates (Fan et al., 2017), and lutein (Zhao et al., 2018).

The objectives of this study were to generate a nanoemulsion system using WPI as the emulsifier by UHPH and to evaluate its efficacy at delivering curcumin by measuring the particle size, zeta-potential, contact angle, surface energy, antioxidant activity and antioxidant persistence of the nanoemulsion.

2. Materials and Methods

Whey protein isolate (WPI) (Provon®292, Lot# 0855701) was provided by Glanbia Nutritionals (Fitchburg WI). Peanut oil (Fresh salad oil, Great Value, Walmart, Benton, AR) was the dispersed phase. Curcumin (CU), (±)-6-Hydroxy-2,5, 7,8-tetramethylchromane-2-carboxylic acid (Trolox), the antioxidant standard Tween 20 (Polysorbate 20), 2,2’-Azobis(2-methylpropionamidine) dihydrochloride (ABAP), and chymotrypsin were acquired from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO).

2.1 Dialysis of WPI

Forty grams of WPI was weighed and dispersed in 400 ml of de-ionized (DI) water, followed by gentle stirring for 2 h using a magnetic stirrer at 20°C, and the dispersed WPI was kept in dialysis tubing (50 ml per tubing). Four dialysis tubes were placed in a container with 4 L of deionized water, and dialysis of the entire volume of dispersed WPI was conducted in two batches. The containers with the tubing were stored at 4°C with magnetic stirring and water and water was changed every 4 h. Following the third change of water, WPI samples (which had undergone a 10,000 fold dialysis) were collected, freeze dried in a FreeZone® Triad™ Freeze Dry System (Labconco Corp., Kansas City, MO) and stored in airtight containers at -20°C (Mukherjee et al., 2019).

2.2 Preparation of Enzymatic Hydrolysate of Casein

The casein curd was prepared using 0.1 N HCl with skimmed milk that was allowed to stand at 22°C for 30 min. The curd was strained and re-dispersed by vortexing in 0.1 N NaOH (final pH 7.8) to obtain a 1% (w/v) dispersion. Chymotrypsin (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MD) was used to digest freshly prepared acid casein (Haque et al., 1993) at a chymotrypsin to casein ratio of 1:60. The digestion mixture was adjusted to pH 7.8 using 100 mM Tris HCl, that contained 10 mM CaCl₂ at 37°C for 1 h. Digestion was terminated using 0.1N HCl to adjust the pH to 2.0. After digestion, the mixture was centrifuged, and the pellet was freeze dried and stored in an airtight container (7-1/2" x 5-1/4" x 8" h, SKU # 10062481 model, Oxo Good Grip, Chambersburg, PA 17201) at -20°C.

2.3 Emulsion Preparation

WPI (1%, w/v), tween 20 (20%, w/w of WPI), and CU (0.22%, w/v) were gently stirred into the continuous phase for 3 h at 22°C, which consisted of 200 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 8.0). The reaction was stopped immediately by adding 10N HCl and lowering the pH to 5.6. The dispersed phase (1%, v/v of the continuous phase) was then introduced. A coarse emulsion was prepared by blending the incubated mixture for 3 min on low speed, followed immediately by a single pass (5-10 sec) of UHPH through a benchtop ultra-high-pressure homogenizer (FPG 11300, Stansted Fluid Power Ltd., Essex, U.K.) at 140 or 210 MPa at 22°C to generate the CU-cargo loaded nano vesicular vehicle (NVV) (Calligaris et al., 2016).

2.4 Particle Size Measurement

Dispersed phase volume-surface average diameter (d₃₂) was measured using a Microtrac S3500 Particle Size Analyzer (Nikkiso, Tokyo, Japan) based on the expression:
where \( V_i \) is denoted as volume of globules in a size class of mean diameter \( d_i \).

### 2.5 Zeta-potential Measurement

The zeta-potential of the emulsions was determined with a Zetasizer Nano ZS-90 (Malvern Instruments, Worcestershire, U.K.). Emulsions were diluted 1/1,000 (w/w) in 10 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 5.6) to avoid multiple scattering effects. The zeta-potential of the sample was calculated using the Smoluchowski model that is based on particle electrophoretic mobility.

### 2.6 Contact angle and Surface Energy Measurements

Contact angle of the emulsion was determined using a Goniometer model 260 (Ramé-Hart instrument Co., Succasunna, NJ), with DROPimage Advanced software for image acquisition and analysis. The surface energy was calculated using the Surface Energy Tool according to Young’s equation.

### 2.7 Antioxidant Activity (AA) and Antioxidant Persistence (AP)

The AA and AP of the NVV were determined following a method that was described by Haque et al. (2013). Assays were conducted in clear bottom 96 well plates and each reaction mixture consisted of 50 \( \mu \)L of each component including the emulsion sample (subjected to specific treatments), 12.5 mM ABAP, 10 mM luminol and oxygen-saturated potassium phosphate buffer (pH 5.6). Trolox (20 mM) was used as a positive control. Peroxyl and alkoxyl radicals that were generated \textit{in vitro} by pyrolysis of ABAP and unquenched radicals were detected by luminol-induced chemiluminescence (relative light unit, RLU) and used to determine the AA of the NVV. AA was expressed by its chemiluminescence value that corresponded to the chemiluminescence maxima of the blank (the buffer on its own), which indicated maximum free radical production. Thus, AA for NVV depicted its efficacy at scavenging radicals at their highest concentration in an \textit{in vitro} model system. When chemiluminescence of the blank ceased after 60 min, depicting an apparent reduction in radical generation, a second luminescence curve was initiated. This was done by re-induction of pyrolysis of an equal volume and concentration of ABAP that was induced in the same reaction chambers (wells). Residual antioxidant activity of NVV was a reflection of its AP, which was calculated using the same method that was used to calculate AA. Detection of unquenched radicals was based on the chemiluminescence of luminol that was measured at 1.50 min intervals using a FlexStation 3 Microplate Reader (Molecular Devices, CA), that was assembled with SoftMax®Pro Microplate Data Acquisition & Analysis Software. Greater AA and AP are indicated by lesser RLUs.

### 2.8 Morphology of Stabilized Nano-emulsions

The nano-emulsions (2.0 \( \mu \)L) were diluted 50 times using 200 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 8.0) buffer and smeared to the carbon-coated grids. Excess buffer solution was blotted with filter paper. The grids were dried under ambient condition and imaged without staining. Imaging was performed using a JEOL 1230 Transmission electron Microscope (TEM) operating at 120 kV (Tokyo, Japan).

### 2.9 Statistical Analysis

The experiments were designed in \( 2 \times 4 \) factorial arrangement with 3 replications with pressure (140 MPa and 210 MPa) and treatment (WPI, WPICU, WPICH, WPICUCH) and a split plot factor of storage time within the factorial design. All data analysis was performed using SAS 9.3 (SAS institute Inc., Cary, NC USA). Means were separated using Tukey’s Honestly Significant Difference Test (P<0.05) for main and interaction effects to evaluate the impact of treatment and pressure over storage time on the emulsion stability (\( n = 3 \)), antioxidant activity (AA, \( n = 5 \)), and antioxidant persistence (AP, \( n = 5 \)) of the emulsion systems.

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1 Mean Surface Globular Diameter (\( d_{s_i} \))

When averaged over pressure and storage time, the WPICU treatment had a greater (P<0.05) mean globular diameter (\( d_{s_i} \)) than all other treatments. The addition of CH to both WPI and WPICU caused a decrease in \( d_{s_i} \), (P<0.05) (Table 1). This indicates that the addition of CU decreased the emulsion stability of WPI, while the addition of CH increased emulsion stability, both with and without CU. Increasing protein concentration in nanoemulsion decrease of globule diameter was supported by the previous studies (Li et al. 2014). When averaged over treatment and storage time, there was no difference in \( d_{s_i} \) between the 140 and 210 MPa pressure treatments. For storage time, averaged over treatment and pressure, no difference existed in \( d_{s_i} \) from 0 to 4 d, \( d_{s_i} \),
increased (P<0.05) from 4 to 8 d of storage and then increased again between 12 and 16 d of storage. This indicates that emulsion stability generally decreased over time. When the treatment × pressure interaction was averaged over time, the WPICH treatments at 140 and 210 MPa and WPI treatment at 140 MPa had a smaller d_v (P<0.05) than all other treatment combinations. Addition of CH increased (P<0.05) the stability (decreased d_v) of the WPICU treatment at 140 MPa but did not decrease d_v (P>0.05) at 210 MPa. When the pressure × time interaction was averaged over treatment, the d_v increased (P<0.05) more quickly over time at 140 MPa than at 210 MPa. When treatment × time was averaged over pressure, the WPICU treatment had increased from its initial d_v by 8 days but the d_v of the WPICUCH treatment did not increase to values similar to WPICU until 20 days of storage. The mean separation for the treatment × pressure × time interaction indicated that the most stable emulsion was the WPI primary emulsion treatment with the addition of casein hydrolysate over 28 days of storage. In addition, the 210 MPa treatments of WPICH were generally more stable than those at 140 MPa over time with the exception of the primary WPI emulsion. Addition of CU to WPI led to a greater d_v (P<0.05) after 8 days of storage at 140 MPa. Addition of CH and/or pressure of 210 MPa had lower d_v than WPICU at 140 MPa by 8 days through the 28 d storage time. Use of CH improved the storage stability of the nanoemulsion, which may have been due to concentration and viscosity effects. Results are consistent with researchers who reported that casein hydrolysate was an effective emulsifier in cheese (Adjou et al., 2014; Kwak et al., 2002) due to its ability to reduce the particle size in micro and nanoemulsions (Su and Zhong, 2016). Size reduction of the particles previously imparted stability in WPI based emulsions that carried the bioactive component, naringin (Hu et al. 2020).

The mean NVV monomodal distribution of the emulsion was 17% smaller at 210 MPa when compared to 140 MPa. Addition of CH also increased the stability with UHPH pressure as reflected by 63% smaller d_v at 210 MPa as compared to 140 MPa.

Table 1. Mean globular diameter (d_v, µm) of the WPI (1%), tween 20 (20% of WPI), peanut oil (Φ = 0.03), and/or casein hydrolysate (2% of WPI) formulated nano-vesicular vehicles and curcumin loaded vesicular vehicles that were subjected to 140 and 210 MPa of homogenization (single pass) over 28 days of storage

| Treatment     | Pressure (MPa) | Day 0 | Day 2 | Day 4 | Day 8 | Day 12 | Day 16 | Day 20 | Day 24 | Day 28 |
|---------------|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| WPI           | 140           | 113   | 87    | 68    | 65    | 105   | 94    | 94    | 83    |
| WPI           | 210           | 151   | 175   | 114   | 142   | 107   | 181   | 184   | 205   |
| WPICU         | 140           | 80    | 68    | 154   | 234   | 264   | 218   | 251   | 213   |
| WPICU         | 210           | 86    | 98    | 77    | 100   | 112   | 131   | 135   | 176   |
| WPICH         | 140           | 101   | 78    | 81    | 95    | 150   | 101   | 105   | 106   |
| WPICU         | 210           | 73    | 77    | 135   | 89    | 91    | 95    | 82    | 73    |
| WPICUCH       | 140           | 88    | 96    | 96    | 122   | 108   | 189   | 165   | 166   |
| WPICUCH       | 210           | 92    | 130   | 93    | 115   | 152   | 203   | 162   | 169   |

WPI: whey protein isolate, CU: curcumin, CH: casein hydrolysate.

Significant differences within each row (lowercase) or each column (uppercase) are indicated by different letters (P<0.05).

### 3.2 Zeta Potential (ζ)

The zeta potential (ζ-potential), also known as the electrokinetic potential, is a measurement of the electrical charge of particles that are suspended in a liquid and is often used to describe double-layer properties of a colloidal dispersion. Typically, the higher the absolute values of the zeta-potential, the more stable the colloid. The absolute value of zeta potential and colloidal stability was also supported by previous study on extracellular vesicles which is similar to our colloidal NVV system (Medikessa et al., 2020). Zeta potentials less negative than -15 mV typically represent the initial stage of particle agglomeration. When the zeta-potential equals zero, the colloid will precipitate into a solid (Hunter, 2013). When averaged over pressure and storage time, WPI had the least absolute zeta potential (P<0.05) followed by WPICU, WPICUCH, and WPICH (Table 2). The emulsions with CH had greater absolute zeta potential (P<0.05) than the WPICU and WPI treatments, regardless of whether CU was present in the emulsion or not. These treatments had zetas of approximately -50 mV, which are reasonably stable (-40 to -60 mV) (Riddick, 1968). This is in comparison to the emulsions without CH, which were moderately stable (-30 to -40 mV). When pressure was averaged over treatment and time, the 210 MPa had a greater absolute zeta potential (P<0.05) than 140 MPa. When treatment × pressure was averaged over storage time, the addition of CH to WPI and WPICU slightly increased (P<0.05) absolute zeta potential at 140 MPa. However, at 210 MPa, the addition of CH to WPI and WPICU greatly increased (P<0.05) absolute zeta potential by approximately 20 units in comparison to a 5 unit approximate increase at 140 MPa. When means were separated for the treatment × pressure × time interaction, the WPICU treatments at 210 MPa had greater absolute zeta potentials (P<0.05) than all other treatments, with the exception of WPICUCH at 210 MPa at 2-4 days of storage. The absolute zeta potentials of WPICU and WPICUCH treatments at 210 MPa were between 57 and 60.
mV, which ranged right on the border between an emulsion with very good stability and reasonable stability. The results indicate that the pressure of 210 MPa and the use of CH might promote limited hydrolysis of WPI. A similar process has been utilized to generate peptides of smaller size with few secondary and tertiary protein conformations and a partially revealed hydrophobic core that enhances emulsification (Gauthier & Pouliot, 2003; Tirok et al., 2001). In general, a higher rate of diffusion has been exhibited by hydrolysates such as CH at the O/W interface, which can spread a larger area of the interface than the uncleaved or native protein and therefore has a greater ability to emulsify and stabilize the oil phase (Davis et al., 2005; O’Regan & Mulvihill, 2010). The NVV (WPICH) prepared with 210 MPa UHPH had the highest absolute ζ value (60.1 mV) compared to other treatments after 28 d of storage. The next highest absolute ζ value (57.4 mV) was WPICUCH that was prepared with 210 MPa UHPH. This is likely due to the increased surface charge that CH is known to contribute to the NVV+CU surface (Basumallick et al., 2016).

Table 2. Mean absolute zeta potential (ζ, mV) of the WPI (1%), tween 20 (20% of WPI), and/or casein hydrolysate (2% of WPI) formulated nano-vesicular vehicles and curcumin loaded vesicular vehicles that were subjected to 140 and 210 MPa of homogenization (single pass) over 28 days of storage

| Treatment   | Pressure (MPa) | Day 0  | Day 2  | Day 4  | Day 8  | Day 12 | Day 16 | Day 20 | Day 24 | Day 28 |
|-------------|---------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| WPI         | 140           | 33.9B  | 40.9Bc | 34.9E  | 42.0E  | 37.1C  | 39.5m  | 38.6Bc | 40.1Bc | 41.3Bc |
| WPI         | 210           | 30.2Bc | 33.2Bc | 28.6Bc | 37.5Bc | 37.6Bc | 39.4C  | 38.7Bc | 37.7Bc | 37.5Bc |
| WPICU       | 140           | 30.5BE | 34.7Dc | 32.0Bc | 36.4Bc | 52.8C  | 42.0Bc | 43.6C  | 42.5C  | 42.1CD  |
| WPICU       | 210           | 29.4BE | 38.1Dc | 32.3Bc | 33.6Bc | 44.7Bc | 44.3Bc | 42.7C  | 40.9CD  | 40.2CD  |
| WPICH       | 140           | 42.4Bc | 42.3Bb | 42.7Bb | 42.6Bc | 44.3Bc | 43.0C  | 43.3Bc | 42.5C  | 43.2CB  |
| WPICH       | 210           | 60.1A  | 59.3A  | 59.7A  | 59.7A  | 59.8A  | 59.8A  | 60.5A  | 60.4A  | 60.1A  |
| WPICUCH     | 140           | 41.3C  | 41.6B  | 41.3B  | 41.0E  | 41.0J  | 41.0JE | 41.0D  | 41.0CD  | 41.3D  |
| WPICUCH     | 210           | 58.2B  | 58.0A  | 58.2B  | 57.2B  | 57.1B  | 57.1B  | 57.1B  | 57.1B  | 57.5B  |

WPI: whey protein isolate, CU: curcumin

Significant differences within each row (lowercase) or each column (uppercase) are indicated by different letters (P<0.05).

3.3 Contact Angle and Surface Energy

Contact angle (CA) is the measurement of wettability of the oil in water or water in oil emulsions (Sjöblom et al., 1992). A contact angle of θ=90° for an oil/water/solid emulsion means that the dispersed particles are optimally stabilized in an emulsion system. If θ is less than 90°, an oil soluble surfactant must be added to stabilize the emulsion (Sjöblom et al., 1992). Contact angle values were 35° to 65° in the current study since the hydrophilic protein, WPI, is included in the emulsion (Table 3). These values are indicative of stabilized emulsions with greater values indicating greater stability (Destribats et al., 2014). When averaged over storage time and pressure, WPICH had the greatest CA (P<0.05), followed by WPICUCH, WPI, and then WPICU, with less than a 2-unit difference between WPICH and WPICUCH (Table 3). However, the WPI and WPICU treatments that did not contain CH had CA values that were 10 units less than treatments with CH (P<0.05). When averaged over treatment and storage time, the 210 MPa pressure increased (P<0.05) the CA by almost 8 units compared to 140 MPa pressure, which was less than the increase in CA due to the combination effects of CH and a pressure of 210 MPa that stabilized the emulsion. In contrast to the CA results, the WPICUCH treatment at 210 MPa had a greater SE (P<0.05) than all other treatments at day 28 (Table 4), indicating greater electrostatic repulsion at the surface than in any other treatments. The aggregation pattern of WPI without CH and CU supported the assumption that UHPH increased the temperature of the emulsion, which created a high cavitation force that exceeded the Laplace pressure (the differential pressure between the inside and outside of a curved surface), therefore reducing the wettability of WPI (Paunov et al., 2007). The addition of CH as an amphiphile may create a wedging effect that reduces contact angle and enhances the stability of the emulsion system.
Table 3. Mean contact angle (°) of the WPI (1%), tween 20 (20% of WPI), peanut oil (Φ = 0.05), and/or casein hydrolysate (2% of WPI) formulated nano-vesicular vehicles and curcumin loaded vesicular vehicles that were subjected to 140 and 210 MPa of homogenization (single pass) over 28 days of storage

| Treatment | Pressure (MPa) | Day 0 | Day 2 | Day 4 | Day 8 | Day 12 | Day 16 | Day 24 | Day 28 |
|-----------|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| WPI       | 140           | 36.7^bc | 39.2^bc | 46.2^ab | 40.2^ab | 39.9^bc | 39.6^bc | 39.2^bc | 38.5^bc |
| WPI       | 210           | 43.8^bc | 46.3^bc | 42.7^bc | 37.1^bc | 37.6^d | 37.1^bc | 36.8^bc | 36.8^bc |
| WPICU     | 140           | 38.0^b | 35.5^d | 37.0^c | 39.3^b | 39.2^b | 38.4^b | 38.2^b | 38.3^b |
| WPICU     | 210           | 36.5^c | 36.1^c | 41.0^a | 37.9^a | 37.6^b | 36.6^c | 36.0^c | 36.0^c |
| WPICH     | 140           | 41.3^c | 41.6^c | 41.3^c | 41.0^c | 41.0^c | 41.0^c | 42.5^c | 48.5^a |
| WPICH     | 210           | 60.1^a | 59.3^a | 59.7^a | 59.8^a | 59.8^a | 60.5^a | 60.4^a | 62.6^a |
| WPICHUCU  | 140           | 42.3^c | 42.7^b | 42.6^c | 44.3^c | 43.0^c | 43.3^c | 40.4^c | 43.4^b |
| WPICHUCU  | 210           | 58.2^b | 58.0^b | 58.2^b | 57.2^a | 57.1^a | 57.1^a | 60.4^a | 57.1^a |

WPI: whey protein isolate, CU: curcumin, CH: casein hydrolysate. Significant differences within each row (lowercase) or each column (uppercase) are indicated by different letters (P<0.05).

Table 4. Mean surface energy (mJ/m²) of the WPI (1%), tween 20 (20% of WPI), peanut oil (Φ = 0.05), and/or casein hydrolysate (2% of WPI) formulated nano-vesicular vehicles and curcumin loaded vesicular vehicles that were subjected to 140 and 210 MPa of homogenization (single pass) over 28 days of storage

| Treatment | Pressure (MPa) | Day 0 | Day 2 | Day 4 | Day 8 | Day 12 | Day 16 | Day 24 | Day 28 |
|-----------|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| WPI       | 140           | 60.0^ab | 60.3^abc | 57.5^c | 58.0^bc | 59.3^ab | 60.4^ab | 61.3^bc | 61.4^bc |
| WPI       | 210           | 59.5^bc | 60.2^bc | 57.7^c | 58.1^bc | 57.9^bc | 61.3^a | 58.5^bc | 58.5^bc |
| WPICU     | 140           | 59.9^bc | 57.2^c | 57.9^c | 58.4^b | 58.5^a | 60.3^c | 60.0^c | 60.3^c |
| WPICU     | 210           | 60.1^c | 57.5^c | 57.9^c | 58.4^b | 60.0^a | 60.3^a | 60.4^c | 60.4^c |
| WPICH     | 140           | 59.0^b | 60.8^bc | 58.8^b | 60.4^a | 59.2^b | 60.5^a | 60.9^c | 60.6^bc |
| WPICH     | 210           | 59.2^b | 61.7^a | 58.6^a | 56.9^c | 56.1^a | 60.6^ab | 61.2^a | 61.2^a |
| WPICHUCU  | 140           | 60.0^b | 59.9^bc | 53.4^d | 58.0^bc | 60.4^ab | 60.6^ab | 62.4^b | 62.1^b |
| WPICHUCU  | 210           | 62.0^b | 59.7^c | 54.1^e | 58.2^d | 60.3^bc | 60.4^a | 64.3^a | 64.4^a |

WPI: whey protein isolate, CU: curcumin, CH: casein hydrolysate. Significant differences within each row (lowercase) or each column (uppercase) are indicated by different letters (P<0.05).

3.4 Antioxidant Activity and Persistence

When averaged over storage time and pressure, the WPICU treatment had greater antioxidant activity (P<0.05) than all other treatments, followed by WPICUCH, which had greater AA and AP (P<0.05) than the treatments without CU (Tables 5 and 6). Adding CH to the treatment with CU slightly decreased the AA and AP (Tables 5 and 6). In contrast, adding CH to WPI, slightly decreased AA, but slightly increased AP. This is likely due to a dilution effect when CH is included in the formulation since CU is a strong antioxidant due to its high radical scavenging ability. Casein –pectin/protein nano complexes were shown to exhibit higher antioxidant activity carrying curcumin (Hua et al., 2021). On average, the AA and AP of WPICUCH and WPICU were less than that of Trolox (20 mM), indicating greater AA and AP. The AA and AP values for WPICH and WPI treatments were much greater than Trolox, indicating less AA and AP. Since the differences were so vast between treatments with and without CU added, results were analyzed separately for the data with CU and the data without curcumin. For treatments with CU, the maximum AA was at d 0, with an RLU value of 3.1 when averaged over storage times (Table 5). The value at d 0 was less than all other treatments (P<0.05). As storage time increased to day 8, AA increased, signifying a decreased antioxidant activity. No differences existed in AA (P>0.05) between 8 and 24 d of storage with values of approximately 10 at 140 MPa and 12 at 210 MPa.

Table 5. Mean antioxidant activity (relative light unit, RLU) of the WPI (1%), tween 20 (20% of WPI), peanut oil (Φ = 0.05), and/or casein hydrolysate (2% of WPI) formulated nano-vesicular vehicles and curcumin loaded vesicular vehicles that were subjected to 140 and 210 MPa of homogenization (single pass) over 28 days of storage

| Treatment | Pressure (MPa) | Day 0 | Day 2 | Day 4 | Day 8 | Day 12 | Day 16 | Day 24 | Day 28 |
|-----------|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Without CU | WPI          | 140    | 64.5^b | 72.7^ab | 97.0^a | 71.8^ab | 72.1^b | 72.9^b | 72.8^b |
|           | WPI          | 210    | 64.8^bc | 77.7^bc | 90.3^a | 71.6^bc | 59.3^a | 63.1^bc | 62.5^bc |
|           | WPICH        | 140    | 76.2^b | 82.6^a | 79.9^b | 56.6^a | 45.9^a | 64.6^a | 64.8^a |
|           | WPICH        | 210    | 65.2^ab | 76.3^ab | 72.9^ab | 65.4^ab | 51.9^bc | 72.2^ab | 72.3^ab |
| With CU   | WPI          | 140    | 3.3^a | 3.3^a | 8.1^b | 13.5^a | 9.4^b | 10.4^b | 10.1^b |
|           | WPI          | 210    | 2.7^a | 3.1^a | 6.7^b | 10.8^a | 8.4^b | 8.9^b | 8.8^b |
|           | WPICHUCU     | 140    | 3.0^a | 6.6^b | 10.8^a | 7.6^c | 10.3^b | 10.7^b | 10.8^b |
|           | WPICHUCU     | 210    | 3.2^a | 7.2^a | 10.8^a | 9.4^c | 12.2^a | 12.1^b | 12.0^b |
RLU values increased until 12 days of storage, indicating decreased antioxidant persistence (Table 6). After 12 d of storage (Table 6), AP decreased until 28 d of storage but was still greater than the AP after 2 d of storage. When averaged over storage time, inclusion of CH increased AA and AP at 210 MPa (P<0.05) but did not impact AA and AP at 140 MPa (P>0.05). This may have occurred due to heat generation at 210 MPa that could have led to decreased antioxidant activity as evidenced in Sun et al. (2019) that heat treatment of curcuminoids led to a decrease in antioxidant activity. When means were separated based on treatment × pressure × time storage time, no differences existed in AA (P>0.05) between treatments at d 0 (Table 5). After 2 d of storage, the WPICU treatments at 140 and 210 MPa had less AA (P<0.05) than the WPICUCH treatments at 140 and 210 MPa, indicating that the addition of CH rapidly decreases antioxidant capacity in comparison to CU alone. After 4 d of storage, the WPICUCH treatments at 140 MPa had greater AA (P<0.05) than the WPICU treatment at 210 MPa, and the WPICUCH treatment at 210 MPa had greater AA than WPICU treatment at both 140 and 210 MPa (Table 5). Though the 210 MPa treatments provided the greatest emulsion stability in the WPICUCH treatment, its antioxidant activity was not as great as the WPICUCH treatment at 140 MPa and WPICU treatments at both pressures throughout the storage time of the emulsions. Similar to AA, there were no differences in AP (P<0.05) among treatments at d 0 (Table 5). After 2 d of storage, AP was greater (P<0.05) in WPICU than WPICUCH at 210 MPa (Table 6). After 4 d of storage, AP was greater (P<0.05) at WPICU and 210 MPa than the other treatment and pressure combinations. WPICUCH at 140 MPa had greater AP (P<0.05) after 8 d of storage than WPICU at 140 MPa and WPICUCH at 210 MPa. In addition, WPICU at 210 MPa had greater AP (P<0.05) than WPICU after 8 days of storage. The WPICUCH treatment at 210 MPa had the least AP out of any treatments, and the WPICUCH treatment at 140 MPa was less persistent (P<0.05) than the WPICU at either 140 or 210 MPa after 12 days of storage. By day 16, no differences existed in AP (P>0.05) among treatments, a result that stayed consistent through the rest of the 28 d storage period.

Table 6. Mean antioxidant persistence (relative light unit, RLU) of the WPI (1%), tween 20 (20% of WPI), peanut oil (Φ = 0.05), and/or casein hydrolysate (2% of WPI) formulated nano-vesicular vehicles and curcumin loaded vesicular vehicles that were subjected to 140 and 210 MPa of homogenization (single pass) over 28 days of storage

| Treatment | Pressure (MPa) | Day 0 | Day 2 | Day 4 | Day 8 | Day 12 | Day 16 | Day 20 | Day 24 | Day 28 |
|-----------|----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Without CU |                |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| WPI       | 140            | 61.1bc | 54.7b  | 76.7a  | 60.3bc | 62.0bc | 55.7bc | 55.2bc | 55.4bc | 55.7bc |
| WPI       | 210            | 57.3bc | 56.0b  | 67.8a  | 64.7a  | 52.2bc | 48.6bc | 49.8bc | 49.8bc | 49.8bc |
| WPICH     | 140            | 65.8a  | 69.5a  | 66.4bc | 47.9bc | 50.0b  | 52.6Abc | 52.5b  | 52.6b  | 53.1Abc |
| WPICH     | 210            | 54.9b  | 61.7Ab  | 59.7c  | 58.7a  | 60.0Ab  | 60.0A  | 61.0Ab  | 62.0c  | 61.0c  |
| With CU   |                |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| WPICU     | 140            | 3.4c   | 3.5bd  | 9.0b   | 10.8Ab  | 7.1C   | 7.8bc  | 7.7bc  | 7.9Abc | 7.9b  |
| WPICU     | 210            | 2.6c   | 2.8bc  | 6.0b   | 8.1bs   | 7.1Ca  | 7.2As  | 7.3As  | 7.3b  | 7.4d  |
| WPICUCH   | 140            | 2.9c   | 4.6Ad  | 9.8a   | 6.3Cc  | 10.8As  | 8.3Ab  | 8.1Ab  | 7.2Bbc | 7.5b  |
| WPICUCH   | 210            | 2.9c   | 5.6Ad  | 8.7Abc | 9.8ab  | 13.9Aa  | 9.0b   | 9.1Ab  | 8.9Ab  | 7.8c  |

Note: Control, 1024.9; Trolox (20 mM), 13.7.
WPI: whey protein isolate, CU: curcumin, CH: casein hydrolysate.
For treatments without or with CU significant differences within each row (lowercase) or each column (uppercase) are indicated by different letters (P<0.05).

Even though the treatments without CU had much less AA and AP than the treatments with CU, the WPI and WPICH treatments ranged between 40 to 100, which was much less than the RLU of 600 for the control samples. This indicates that the whey protein and casein hydrolysate still functioned as antioxidants in the emulsion system. The CU molecule ([1,7-bis(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-1,6-heptadiene-3,5-dione) exists in the enolate form at the pH of this study which allows the donation of a hydrogen atom in polar solvents. Moreover, it can readily transfer electrons and donate H+ atoms from its phenolic sites (Barzegar and Moosavi-Movahedi, 2011). AA and AP of the NVV + CU were stable and preserved throughout storage when compared to the coarse emulsion (3.4 at 0 d and 23.5 at 28 d). It is possible that covalent attachment to the nucleophilic residues of whey proteins occurred in the intense cavitation environment during UHPH, which led to enhanced stability due to a synergistic relationship between CU and other components that have been reported previously (Hewlings et al., 2017). CU has three reactive groups that include one diketone moiety (the linker region), and two phenolic...
groups that lead to its high antioxidant effectiveness and persistence (Priyadarsini, 2013).

3.5 Surface Morphology of Transmission Electron Micrograph (TEM)

The images obtained by TEM showed distinct visual differences. Surface morphology (Fig. 1a) of the dried emulsion with WPICH at 140 MPa of 83 µm globular diameter exhibited small-size (2-3 µm) oval pores when compared to larger pores in the 210 MPa treated samples with globular diameter of 205 µm (Fig. 1b). Surface morphology of the emulsion with WPICU (Fig. 1c) at 140 MPa with globular size of 213 µm indicated a few cracked fissures with the rest of the surface granular in appearance. The immiscible curcumin particle after dehydration produce rough surface and dehydration process created cracked appearance to facilitate the evaporation process. This result is consistent with the study that also showed similar oval cracked surface after dehydration (Ahmed et al. 2019). The same feature was observed in the sample with 210 MPa (178 µm) but with less consistency in emulsion structure (Fig. 1d). This change of consistency was attributed due to change in globule size of the emulsion (decrease in mean diameter of the globule). Surface morphology of the WPICUCH sample at 140 MPa with globule droplet size of 169 µm exhibited smooth gelling after drying (Fig. 1e). However, the 210 MPa treatment (162 µm) decreased the emulsion consistency as high pressure treatment imparted coalescence effect (Fig. 1f) which was also observed in previous investigations of TEM images (Abbas et al., 2015).

![Figure 1. Transmission Electron Microscopy of the following treatments: (a) WPICH at 140 MPa; (b) WPICH at 210 MPa; (c) WPICU at 140 MPa; (d) WPICU at 210 MPa; (e) WPICUCH at 140 MPa; (f) WPICUCH at 210 MPa](image)

4. Conclusions

Use of CH and the pressure of 210 MPa led to the most stable emulsions over storage time. Therefore, the WPICH system, namely the NVV system in this study, can deliver bioactive ingredients such as CU. In this
NVV system, the incorporation of CU remained stable as well as preserved the AA and AP of CU over 28 days of storage. Thus whey protein isolate, tween 20, casein hydrolysate can effectively stabilize NVV and effectively deliver bioactive curcumin. Further research needs to be conducted in a beverage application to determine the emulsion stability and antioxidant properties in real world applications.
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