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ABSTRACT

Background: Wearing a face mask is a primary public health method to reduce SARS-CoV-2 transmission.

Methods: We performed a nested case-control analysis within the North Carolina COVID-19 Community Research Partnership (NC-CCRP) of adults who completed daily surveillance surveys, April 2020 - February 2022. We assessed the association between self-reported mask wearing behavior during non-household interactions and COVID-19 infection during 3 pandemic periods using conditional logistic regression models of risk of infection that were adjusted for demographics, vaccination status, and recent known exposure to COVID-19.

Results: Among 3,901 cases and 27,813 date-matched controls, there was a significant interaction between mask use and time period (P < .001). Prior to July 2021, the odds of a reported infection were 66% higher (aOR = 1.66, 95% CI = 1.43-1.91) among participants reporting ≥1 day not wearing a mask compared to those who reported no days (1,592 cases, 11,717 controls). During the Delta-predominant period, the results were similar (aOR = 1.53, 95% CI = 1.23-1.89; 659 cases, 4,649 controls). This association was attenuated during the Omicron-predominant period, where odds of an infection was 16% higher (aOR = 1.16, 95% CI = 1.03-1.32; 1,563 cases, 10,960 controls).

Conclusions: While the effect of not wearing a mask remains significant, during the Omicron-predominant period we observed a decrease in the association between self-reported mask wearing and risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection.

© 2022 Association for Professionals in Infection Control and Epidemiology, Inc. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Key words:
Mask use
Epidemiology
Infection prevention and control
by reducing inhalation of droplets, protects others around a potentially infectious individual by reducing emission of droplets by the wearer, and lastly provides community protection with widespread mask wearing. As SARS-CoV-2 becomes endemic, vaccinated individuals may consider layers of protection. Information about the effectiveness of different methods to minimize risk for the wearer is particularly important in light of the highly transmissible Omicron variant and its subvariants.

Most prior studies, however, have focused on community spread rather than protection for the individual mask-wearer or are limited to a specific setting or set of conditions. There remains limited information on the benefit of real-world mask use to the individual mask-wearer and specifically in the era after the introduction of vaccines. How well typical mask-wearing behavior conveyed protection during each variant wave, including the most recent Omicron wave, has yet to be evaluated. Using prospectively collected data from the North Carolina COVID-19 Community Research Partnership (CCRP), we assessed the association between self-reported mask use when interacting with others outside the household and risk of COVID-19 infection during three periods of the pandemic.

METHODS

Study participants

The NC-CCRP is a prospective, multi-site cohort COVID-19 syndromic surveillance study of a convenience sample of adults enrolled at 6 NC healthcare systems from April 2020 through June 2021 (http://www.covid19communitystudy.org/). Participants were recruited through patient portals, public websites, and community outreach. Data were collected via a secure, Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA)-compliant, online platform through March 2022. All participants provided informed consent, and Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval was provided by the Wake Forest School of Medicine IRB. Study sites included: Atrium Health (Charlotte, NC, USA), Campbell University School of Osteopathic Medicine (Lillington, NC, USA), New Hanover Regional Medical Center (Wilmington, NC, USA), Vidant Health (Greenville, NC, USA), Wake Forest Baptist Health (Winston-Salem, NC, USA), and WakeMed Health and Hospitals (Raleigh, NC, USA). The study is registered with ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT04342884.

Data collection

For this report, eligible participants were ≥18 years, completed daily surveys, did not participate in a vaccine trial, and did not self-report prior COVID-19 infection at enrollment (Fig 1). Demographic data including age, sex, race/ethnicity, county of residence and healthcare worker status were self-reported at the time of enrollment. We classified counties of residence as urban, rural, or suburban based on population density estimates. Education level, household size and comorbidities were collected on a subset of participants using a supplemental survey. For a subset of participants, EHR data were available. Daily online surveys asked about COVID-19-like symptoms (fever, chills, cough, shortness of breath, fatigue, muscle pain, headache, loss of taste/smell, sore throat, congestion/runny nose, nausea/vomiting), test results, receipt of COVID-19 vaccination, and risk behavior including mask wearing and contact with a person with COVID-19. Not wearing a mask was defined as responding “no” at least once in the ten days preceding the match date to the daily survey question, “In the last 24 hours, have you worn a face mask or face covering every time you interacted with others (not in your household) within a distance of less than 6 feet?” Known exposure to COVID-19 was reported daily as “Yes” or “No” to the question: “Did you have close contact with someone who has tested positive for COVID-19 infection?” Vaccination status was ascertained using a combination of data from the daily survey and an updated set of daily survey questions that began in September 2021, and included date, dose, and product of any COVID-19 vaccine received, along with EHR data when available.

Analysis design

To summarize mask use over time, we categorized mask use as “yes” or “no” based on whether there were any days not wearing a mask “when interacting with others outside the household” in the 10 days preceding the match date. Similarly, we categorized recent exposure as “yes” or “no” based on whether a participant had one or more close contacts with a person with COVID-19 during the ten days before the match date.

We performed a nested case-control analysis to compare self-reported cases to controls who never self-reported a positive test for SARS-CoV-2 infection. To account for differences in the risk of infection over calendar time and to allow for stratification by variant-prevalent period, we matched up to 10 controls to each case on calendar time of first self-reported positive test. Case participants self-reported at least positive viral test during study follow-up. We

Fig 1. Inclusion flow.
used an optimal matching algorithm maximizing the number of case-participants with at least one self-reported positive test included in the analysis and number of matching controls per case.\(^7\) The number of controls per case ranged from 1 to 10 (median = 7). Conditional logistic regression models of COVID-19 infection were adjusted for enrollment site, age group, race/ethnicity, county population density (urban/suburban/rural), healthcare worker occupation, vaccination status, and recent known exposure to COVID-19 (within 10 days preceding match date). After assessing whether there was an interaction between variant predominant period and mask use, we evaluated 3 periods during the pandemic: Pre-Delta (July 1 2020-June 30, 2021), Delta (July 1, 2021-November 30, 2021), and Omicron (December 1, 2021-February 28, 2022) predominance. Analyses were performed using R (V.4.0.3, R Foundation for Statistical Computing).

**RESULTS**

Of 3,901 cases and 27,813 date-matched controls, participants were majority female (71.5% of cases; 68.6% of controls) and non-Hispanic white (89.1% of cases; 87.5% of controls). Healthcare worker occupation was common (35.4% of cases; 26.8% of controls) and most participants were \(\geq\)14 days after a second mRNA vaccine dose (53.7% of cases; 55.7% of controls) (Table 1). The pre-Delta predominant period accounted for 42.5% of cases, compared to 17.1% during the Delta-predominant period and 40.5% during the Omicron-predominant period.

The survey response rate in the ten days preceding the match date was 73.1% for cases and 65.3% for controls. Reporting not wearing a facemask when interacting with others outside the household was more prominent among cases prior to the index date (date self-

### Table 1

Characteristics of participants

|                          | Case participants (Self-reported positive test) | Control participants (Never self-reported positive test) | \(P\)-value* |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| N                        | 3,901                                         | 27,813                                                  | <.001        |
| Site of enrollment       |                                               |                                                         |              |
| Atrium                   | 1,106 (28.4%)                                 | 6,101 (21.9%)                                           |              |
| Campbell                 | 44 (1.1%)                                     | 553 (2.0%)                                              | <.001        |
| New Hanover              | 38 (1.0%)                                     | 617 (2.2%)                                              |              |
| Vidant                   | 48 (1.2%)                                     | 1,034 (3.7%)                                            |              |
| Wake Forest              | 2,356 (60.4%)                                 | 17,089 (61.4%)                                          |              |
| Wake Med                 | 309 (7.9%)                                    | 2,419 (8.7%)                                            |              |
| Period of Match          |                                               |                                                         | .424         |
| Pre-Delta (July2020-June2021) | 1,641 (42.5%)                             | 12,062 (43.6%)                                          |              |
| Delta (July-Nov 2021)    | 659 (17.1%)                                   | 4,649 (16.8%)                                           |              |
| Omicron (Dec 2021-March 2022) | 1,563 (40.5%)                           | 10,960 (39.6%)                                          | <.001        |
| Age in years             |                                               |                                                         |              |
| 18-39 y                  | 1,067 (27.4%)                                 | 8,025 (28.9%)                                           |              |
| 40-54 y                  | 1,463 (37.5%)                                 | 8,072 (29.0%)                                           |              |
| 55-64 y                  | 844 (21.6%)                                   | 5,735 (20.6%)                                           |              |
| 65 y\(^\text{a}\)         | 527 (13.5%)                                   | 5,981 (21.5%)                                           |              |
| Sex                      |                                               |                                                         | <.001        |
| Female                   | 2,788 (71.5%)                                 | 19,074 (68.6%)                                          |              |
| Male                     | 1,113 (28.5%)                                 | 8,739 (31.4%)                                           |              |
| Race and ethnicity       |                                               |                                                         | <.001        |
| Hispanic                 | 114 (2.9%)                                    | 661 (2.4%)                                              |              |
| NH Black                 | 169 (4.3%)                                    | 1,505 (5.4%)                                            |              |
| NH White                 | 3,477 (89.1%)                                 | 24,336 (87.3%)                                          |              |
| Other                    | 141 (3.6%)                                    | 1,311 (4.7%)                                            |              |
| County classification    |                                               |                                                         | <.001        |
| Rural                    | 907 (23.3%)                                   | 5,758 (20.7%)                                           |              |
| Suburban                 | 884 (22.7%)                                   | 5,363 (19.3%)                                           |              |
| Urban                    | 2,110 (54.1%)                                 | 16,692 (60.0%)                                          |              |
| Healthcare worker occupation |                                          |                                                         | <.001        |
| No                       | 2,521 (64.6%)                                 | 20,365 (73.2%)                                          |              |
| Yes                      | 1,380 (35.4%)                                 | 7,448 (26.8%)                                           | .001         |
| Vaccination status\(^\text{a}\) |                              |                                                         | <.001        |
| mRNA, 14 days after 2nd dose | 2,096 (53.7%)                         | 15,490 (55.7%)                                          |              |
| mRNA \(\geq\) 1 dose    | 158 (4.1%)                                    | 1,358 (4.9%)                                            |              |
| Unvaccinated             | 1,647 (42.2%)                                 | 10,965 (39.4%)                                          | .001         |
| Education level\(^\text{a}\) |                                          |                                                         | <.001        |
| College degree           | 2,469 (71.7%)                                 | 14,371 (75.3%)                                          |              |
| No College degree        | 974 (28.3%)                                   | 4,713 (24.7%)                                           |              |
| Household size\(^\text{a}\) |             |                                                         | <.001        |
| \(\geq\) 2 people        | 1,576 (60.0%)                                 | 6,358 (46.2%)                                           |              |
| 1-2 people               | 1,050 (40.0%)                                 | 7,401 (53.8%)                                           | .009         |
| Any comorbidity\(^\text{a}\) |                                          |                                                         |              |
| Yes                      | 979 (25.8%)                                   | 7,009 (27.9%)                                           |              |
| No                       | 2,811 (74.2%)                                 | 18,132 (72.1%)                                          |              |

*\(P\)-values for Pearson’s Chi-squared test comparing cases to controls.

\(^{a}\)Vaccination status at the time of index/match date. We defined vaccination using self-report and categorized participants into 3 categories: \(\geq\)14 days after receiving second dose of either the Pfizer BioNTech BNT162b2 or Moderna mRNA-1273 vaccine, receiving at least one dose of either mRNA vaccine or unvaccinated. Participants who reported receiving a non-mRNA vaccine or had an undetermined vaccine status were excluded.

\(^{b}\)Available on a subset of participants who completed a supplemental survey (N = 3,443 case-participants and N=19,084 control-participants).

\(^{c}\)Defined as any comorbidity self-reported or in EHR (autoimmune disease, cancer, CVD, diabetes, immunocompromised, liver disease, renal disease, obesity, pulmonary disease, other disease, neurologic disease, substance use disorder, mental health condition). Available on a subset of participants who completed a supplemental survey or had linked EHR data available (N = 3,790 case-participants and N = 25,141 control-participants).
reported positive test) and less prominent afterwards. Approximately 42.5% of cases and 36.3% of controls responded at least once that they did not wear a mask in the 10 days preceding the match date (Table 2). Over half of the cases (54.1%) reported a known exposure to someone who recently tested positive for COVID-19 in the ten days preceding the index date compared to only 9.3% of controls.

Mask wearing behavior varied by period in the pandemic (Fig 2). During the Pre-Delta period, reports of not wearing a mask was the lowest of the 3 periods for both cases and controls; whereas, during the Delta-predominant period, reports of not wearing a mask was comparatively highest among both cases and controls compared to behavior reported in the other 2 periods. The relative relationship between cases and controls was consistent over time with a greater proportion of cases reporting days not wearing a mask prior to the match date and fewer cases reporting days not wearing a mask immediately following their self-reported infection.

The association between mask use and SARS-CoV-2 infection varied by period ($P$-interaction <.001). During the pre-Delta
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Table 3
Effect of self-reporting any days not wearing a mask when interacting with others outside household on self-reported SARS-CoV-2 infection by period

| Time     | N cases | N controls | aOR   | Cl lower | Cl upper |
|----------|---------|------------|-------|----------|----------|
| Pre-Delta| 1,592   | 11,717     | 1.655 | 1.433    | 1.911    |
| Delta    | 659     | 4,649      | 1.527 | 1.232    | 1.892    |
| Omicron  | 1,563   | 10,960     | 1.162 | 1.025    | 1.317    |

Conditional logistic regression models (date-matched) adjusted for site, age group, race/ethnicity, urban/rural, vaccination status, healthcare worker occupation, known exposure in the 10 days preceding the match date.

predominant period, the odds of SARS-CoV-2 was 66% higher (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] = 1.66, 95% CI = 1.43-1.91) among participants reporting at least one day not wearing a mask in the 10 days preceding the index date compared to those who reported no days (Table 3). During the Delta-predominant period, the results were similar (aOR = 1.53, 95% CI = 1.23-1.89). This association was attenuated but remained significant during the Omicron-predominant period, where the odds of SARS-CoV-2 was 16% higher (aOR = 1.16, 95% CI = 1.03-1.32) for those reporting at least one day not wearing a mask compared with those who reported consistent mask wearing.

DISCUSSION

In this community-based observational study, we found not wearing a mask was associated with increased odds of SARS-CoV-2 infection after adjusting for demographics and recent known exposure. While the effect of not wearing a mask on disease transmission during non-household interactions remained significant during the entire study period, we observed a decrease in this association during the Omicron-predominant period. This variation was seen against decreasing overall mask use across the 3 periods.

Our findings during the pre-Delta and Delta predominant periods are consistent with previous studies suggesting that wearing a mask consistently is related to lowered odds of infection. Population-based studies,6-15 specific settings,16-18 and studies outside the U.S. have all demonstrated the benefits of mask-wearing.19-22 These studies focused largely on the impact of masking mandates and of universal masking on community rates of COVID-19 and were unable to draw conclusions about benefit to the individual mask wearer.6-15 Additionally, all of these studies took place in 2020 prior to the Delta or Omicron predominant periods and prior to availability of vaccines in the U.S. Only a few studies focused on individual benefit of mask-wearing include data collected after the widespread availability of vaccines and only extend through December 2021.23-25 To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to extend into the Omicron predominant period and compare the protective effects of masks.

This study includes prospectively collected data over 2 years on a large number of participants, reducing recall bias following infection, with data available throughout much of the pandemic (through February 28, 2022). However, our findings may be limited by selection and reporting bias and may not be generalizable to other geographic regions. Other limitations include the use of self-report to determine mask use and a lack of nuance in the masking question to allow for improper use, type of mask, duration of use, and frequency and duration of interactions.

Our results suggest decreased protection for the wearer from masks during the Omicron-predominant wave. Findings may also be explained by more frequent exposures outside of one’s household, increased transmissibility of the Omicron variant, high rates of vaccination and increasing population immunity, and a decrease in mask wearing as guidance for vaccinated individuals evolved over time.24-25 Within the NC-CCRP study population, while masking continued to be one of the valuable tools to decrease risk of COVID-19 infection, the association between consistent mask-wearing behavior and COVID-19 infection decreased during the Omicron phase of the pandemic.
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