Observation of excess $J/\psi$ yield at very low transverse momenta in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV and U+U collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 193$ GeV
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We report on the first measurements of J/ψ production at very low transverse momentum (p_T < 0.2 GeV/c) in hadronic Au+Au collisions at √s_{NN} = 200 GeV and U+U collisions at √s_{NN} = 193 GeV. Remarkably, the inferred nuclear modification factor of J/ψ at mid-rapidity in Au+Au (U+U) collisions reaches about 24 (52) for p_T < 0.05 GeV/c in the 60-80% collision centrality class. This noteworthy enhancement cannot be explained by hadronic production accompanied by cold and hot medium effects. In addition, the dN/dt distribution of J/ψ for the very low p_T range is presented for the first time. The distribution is consistent with that expected from the Au nucleus and shows a hint of interference. Comparison of the measurements to theoretical calculations of coherent production shows that the excess yield can be described reasonably well and reveals a partial disruption of coherent production in semi-central collisions, perhaps due to the violent hadronic interactions.
In ultra-relativistic heavy-ion collisions, one aims to examine the properties of a new form of matter - the quark-gluon plasma (QGP), which was predicted using lattice Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) calculations \(^1\), and study its properties in the laboratory. J/\(\psi\) suppression in heavy-ion collisions has been proposed as a “smoking gun” of QGP formation \(^2\) owing to the color screening effect in the deconfined medium. Over the past twenty years, various measurements of J/\(\psi\) production in heavy-ion collisions have been carried out in different collision systems and at different energies \(^3\)-\(^10\). The interpretation of these observations impelled an introduction of a regeneration effect (recombination of charm quarks in the QGP) \(^11\) and Cold Nuclear Matter (CNM; nuclear shadowing, initial energy loss, crion, etc.) effects \(^12\) to the J/\(\psi\) modification in heavy-ion collisions. At present, the interplay of color screening, regeneration, and CNM effects can reasonably well describe the J/\(\psi\) suppression at SPS, RHIC, and LHC energies in heavy-ion collisions \(^13\).

The strong electromagnetic fields generated by the colliding ions can be represented by a spectrum of equivalent photons \(^13\), \(^15\); therefore heavy-ion collisions can be used to study coherent photonuclear interactions \(^10\). J/\(\psi\) can be produced in photon-nucleus interactions via Pomeron-exchange, the perturbative-QCD equivalent of which is the exchange of two gluons or a gluon ladder \(^17\). Coherently produced J/\(\psi\) in heavy-ion collisions are expected to probe the nuclear gluon-distribution at low Bjorken-\(x\) \(^18\), for which there is still considerable uncertainty \(^19\). The coherent nature of the interactions leads to a distinctive configuration; the final products consist of two intact nuclei and only a J/\(\psi\) with very low transverse momentum (\(p_T < 0.1\) GeV/c). Conventionally, the products of these reactions are only detectable when there are no accompanying hadronic interactions, i.e. in the so-called Ultra-Peripheral Collisions (UPC) where the impact parameter (\(b\)) is larger than twice the nuclear radius (\(R_A\)). Several results of J/\(\psi\) production in UPC are already available at RHIC \(^20\) and LHC \(^21\)-\(^23\), which provide valuable insights into the gluon distribution in the colliding nuclei \(^24\).

Can the coherent photonuclear interaction also occur in hadronic heavy-ion collisions (\(b < 2R_A\)), where the nuclei collide and break up? Recently, a significant excess of J/\(\psi\) yield at very low \(p_T \approx 0.3\) GeV/c has been observed by the ALICE Collaboration in peripheral hadronic Pb+Pb collisions at \(\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76\) TeV at forward-rapidity \(^25\). It cannot be explained by the scenario of hadronic production modified by color screening, regeneration, and CNM effects. The observed excess may originate from coherent photoproduction, which imposes great challenges for the existing coherent photoproduction models, e.g., how the broken nuclei satisfy the requirement of coherence. Measurements of J/\(\psi\) production at very low \(p_T\) at different collision energies, collision systems, and centralities can shed new light on the origin of the excess.

In this letter, the first RHIC results on J/\(\psi\) production at very low \(p_T\) in hadronic heavy-ion collisions are presented. J/\(\psi\) production yields in Au+Au collisions at \(\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200\) GeV and U+U collisions at \(\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 193\) GeV are measured at mid-rapidity via the dielectron decay channel. Significant enhancement of J/\(\psi\) production at very low \(p_T\) has been observed with respect to expectations from hadroproduction. Furthermore, the excess yield is studied as functions of centrality, transverse momentum, and collision system and is compared to model calculations incorporating the coherent photoproduction scenario.

The STAR experiment is a large-acceptance multi-purpose detector which covers the full azimuth in the pseudorapidity interval of \(\eta < 1\) \(^26\). The Vertex Position Detector (VPD) \(^27\), which is located at 4.24 < \(|\eta|\) < 5.1, was used to select collisions that were within ±30 cm of the center of the STAR detector along the beam direction. The minimum-bias trigger used in this analysis requires a coincidence between the East and West VPD. The Au+Au data at \(\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200\) GeV were collected during the 2010 and 2011 RHIC runs, while the U+U data at \(\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 193\) GeV were collected in 2012. The total numbers of events used in Au+Au and U+U collisions are 720 million and 270 million, respectively. The collision centrality is determined by comparing the measured charged particle multiplicity within \(|\eta| < 0.5\) with a Monte Carlo Glauber model simulation \(^28\). The effects of acceptance and efficiency changes on the measured \(dN/d\eta\) due to the luminosity and collision vertex variations have been taken into account. In order to avoid the significant inefficiency of the VPD in peripheral collisions, only data in 0-80% central collisions are accepted. For each collision centrality, an average nuclear overlap function, \(T_{AA}\), average number of participants, \(\langle N_{\text{part}}\rangle\), and average number of binary collisions, \(\langle N_{\text{coll}}\rangle\), are calculated based on the Glauber model. The primary sub-detectors used in this analysis include the Time Projection Chamber (TPC) \(^29\), the Time-of-Flight (TOF) detector \(^30\), and the Barrel Electromagnetic Calorimeter (BEMC) \(^31\). The TPC provides tracking and particle identification via the ionization energy loss (\(dE/dx\)) of charged particles. The TOF \(^30\) measures the velocity of particles, which greatly improved electron identification at low momenta. The BEMC \(^31\), a lead-scintillator calorimeter, is used to improve electron identification at relative high momenta (\(p > 1.5\) GeV/c).
pairs of electron-positron candidates with range is over 90%. The J/ψ purity integrated over the measured momentum region enables the identification of electrons and positrons over the BEMC is used to further suppress hadrons for high normalized by the speed of light. In Au+Au collisions, GeV/c and $|y| < 1$. The error bars depict the statistical errors while the boxes represent the systematic uncertainties. The daughter tracks are required to have at least 25 hits were required to calculate $\langle dE/dx \rangle$. Electron and positron candidates are further separated from hadrons by selecting on the inverse velocity $1/\beta$, where $\beta$ is the velocity determined from TOF information and normalized by the speed of light. In Au+Au collisions, a cut on the ratio of momentum to energy deposited in the BEMC is used to further suppress hadrons for high momentum candidates. The combination of these cuts enables the identification of electrons and positrons over a wide momentum range. The electron sample purity integrated over the measured momentum region is over 90%. The J/ψ measurements cover the rapidity range $|y| < 1$ due to the STAR acceptance and decay kinematics.

The J/ψ candidates are reconstructed by combining pairs of electron-positron candidates with $p_T \geq 0.2$ GeV/c and $|y| \leq 1$ in the same event. The combinatorial background in Au+Au collisions is estimated via the mixed-event technique, which could significantly reduce the statistical uncertainty in comparison to the like-sign technique. However, in U+U collisions, the like-sign technique is employed, since the mixed-event technique could not reproduce the combinatorial background well. The invariant mass distributions of $e^+e^-$ pairs in 40-80% central Au+Au collisions and U+U collisions are shown in Fig. 1. The invariant mass distribution of $e^+e^-$ pairs after combinatorial background subtraction is then fitted using the J/ψ signal shape obtained from MC simulation, which includes momentum resolution, electron bremsstrahlung, and J/ψ internal radiation, combined with an exponential function for the residual background. The residual background mainly originates from the decays of correlated charm hadrons, Drell-Yan processes and possible coherent photon-photon interactions. The raw J/ψ signal is obtained from bin counting in the mass range 2.9 - 3.2 GeV/c² after subtraction of the combinatorial background, while the residual background is assigned as a source of uncertainty. The raw counts in this mass range are 149 ± 13 for Au+Au collisions and 51 ± 9 for U+U collisions. The fraction of J/ψ counts outside of the bin counting window is determined from the simulated J/ψ signal shape and is found to be $\sim 5\%$, which is used to correct the raw J/ψ counts.

The acceptance and efficiency corrections, such as TPC tracking, BEMC matching, and $p/E$ cut, are evaluated via a GEANT3 simulation of the STAR detector. Other efficiency corrections such as those corresponding to the $dE/dx$ and TOF related cuts are obtained directly from data. The acceptance and efficiency correction procedure used is very similar to Refs. [6, 13, 33], except that the J/ψ’s at very low $p_T$ ($p_T < 0.2$ GeV/c) are set to be transversely polarized to meet the coherent production requirement [10].

In this analysis, the systematic uncertainties on the efficiency correction from the GEANT3 simulation are estimated by comparing the related cut variable distributions between simulation and data, while the systematic uncertainties on data driven efficiencies are extracted by varying electron samples with different purities. The systematic uncertainties from yield extraction are evaluated by taking the residual background contribution under the mass-counting region and changing the normalization range for mixed-events. The associated uncertainties include uncertainties from the TPC tracking (Au+Au: $\sim 4\%$; U+U: $\sim 4\%$), the electron identification in the TPC (Au+Au: $\sim 1\%$; U+U: $\sim 1\%$), the extrapolations of the fits.
U+U: ∼1%), TOF (Au+Au: ∼1%; U+U: ∼3%), and BEMC (Au+Au: ∼3%), internal radiation (Au+Au: ∼4%; U+U: ∼4%), and the yield extraction procedure (Au+Au: ∼6%; U+U: ∼13%). The total systematic uncertainties are the quadratic sums of the individual sources (Au+Au: ∼9%; U+U: ∼14%).

Figure 2 shows the J/ψ invariant yields for Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV and U+U collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 193$ GeV as a function of $p_T$ for different centralities at mid-rapidity ($|y| < 1$). It should be pointed out that the data points used in this letter with $p_T > 1$ GeV/c for collision centralities 20-40% and 40-60% are from previous STAR measurements [6] using the same datasets. Compared with the data points at $p_T > 0.2$ GeV/c, the results in the region of $p_T < 0.2$ GeV/c seem to follow a different trend, especially in 40-80% peripheral collisions. The solid lines in the figure are the fits to data points in the range of $p_T > 0.2$ GeV/c using Eq. 1

$$\frac{d^2N}{2\pi p_T dp_T dy} = \frac{a}{(1 + b^2 p_T^2)^n},$$

(1)

where $a$, $b$, and $n$ are free parameters. This empirical functional form can well describe the world-wide $p_T$ spectra of J/ψ both in p+p [37]. The extrapolations of the fits to the range of $p_T < 0.2$ GeV/c, shown as dashed lines, have been made to illustrate the expected contribution of J/ψ production in this $p_T$ range. As shown in the figure, the fits describe the data points above 0.2 GeV/c very well, but significantly underestimate the yields below 0.2 GeV/c for non-central collisions (20-80%).

Figure 3 represents the J/ψ nuclear modification factor ($R_{AA}$) as a function of $p_T$ in Au+Au collisions and U+U collisions for different centrality classes. The p+p baseline of $R_{AA}$ estimation for $0 < p_T < 0.2$ GeV/c is derived by the approach described in Ref. [37] using the world-wide experimental data, since there is no measurement at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV. Suppression of J/ψ production is observed for $p_T > 0.2$ GeV/c in all collision centrality classes, which is consistent with the previous measurements [6, 13, 33, 38] and can be well described by the transport models [39, 40] incorporating cold and hot medium effects. However, in the extremely low $p_T$ range, i.e., $p_T < 0.2$ GeV/c, a large enhancement of $R_{AA}$ above unity is observed in peripheral collisions (40-80%) both for Au+Au and U+U collisions. In this $p_T$ range, the color screening and CNM effects would suppress J/ψ production, and the only gain effect, which is regeneration, is negligible in peripheral collisions [10]. The overall effect would lead to $R_{AA} < 1$ for hadronic production, which is far below the current measurement. For $p_T < 0.05$ GeV/c in the 60-80% centrality class, the $R_{AA}$ is $24 \pm 5 \pm 9$ (syst.) for Au+Au collisions and $52 \pm 18 \pm 16$ (syst.) for U+U collisions, strongly suggesting that an additional production mechanism other than hadronic production is responsible for the observed yield at very low $p_T$.

Considering the fact that the excess is observed in the extremely low $p_T$ region, a plausible scenario for the additional production mechanism is coherent photoproduction. Coherent photoproduction has been studied in detail for UPC in heavy-ion collisions [20, 22]. The differential cross section $d\sigma/dt$ for coherent products is a key measurement in UPC. It reveals the distribution of interaction sites and is closely related to the parton distribution in the nucleus. The Mandelstam variable $t \approx -p_T^2$ at RHIC top energy. Figure 4 shows the J/ψ yield with the expected hadronic contribution subtracted as a function of $-t$ for the 40-80% centrality class in Au+Au and U+U collisions in the low $p_T$ range. The
expected hadronic contributions are extracted from the fit extrapolations shown in Fig. 2. In order to assess systematic uncertainties, the following parametrization of \(J/\psi\) production from hadronic contribution as a function of \(p_T\) in a given centrality class has been used:

\[
\frac{dN_{AA}^h}{dp_T} = \langle T_{AA}\rangle \times \frac{d\sigma_{pp}^{J/\psi}}{dp_T} \times R_{AA}^{J/\psi_h},
\]

where \(R_{AA}^{J/\psi_h}\) is given by the transport model calculations [89, 10]. The shape of the \(dN/dt\) distribution is very similar to that observed in UPC [12]. An exponential fit has been applied to the distribution in the \(-t\) range of 0.001-0.015 (GeV/c)\(^2\) for Au+Au collisions. The slope parameter of this fit can be related to the position of the interaction sites within the target. The extracted slope parameter is 177±23 (GeV/c)\(^{-2}\), which is consistent with that expected for an Au nucleus (199 (GeV/c)\(^{-2}\)) [13, 15] within uncertainties. As shown in the figure the data point at \(-t < 0.001\) (GeV/c)\(^2\) is significantly lower than the extrapolation of the exponential fit. This suppression may be an indication of interference, which has been confirmed by STAR [16] in the UPC case. The theoretical calculation with interference from [11], shown as the blue curve in the plot, can describe the Au+Au data reasonably well \((\chi^2/NDF = 4.8/4)\) for \(-t < 0.015\) (GeV/c)\(^2\). It should be aware that there also exists possible contribution from incoherent \(J/\psi\) photoproduction. The fitting \(-t\) range is chosen to ensure that the coherent production is dominant over the incoherent production. Due to the different nuclear profile, the \(-t\) distribution in U+U collisions is expected to be different from that in Au+Au collisions, however, as shown in the figure, the difference is not observed due to the large uncertainties. We would like to point out that the probability of a random coincidence of a minimum bias event with the coherent production of a \(J/\psi\) in a UPC event in the same bunch crossing was found to be negligible. In the overall data sample, only 0.2 \(J/\psi\) events from the random coincidence are expected for the full centrality range with the STAR detector acceptance and efficiencies.

Figure 5 shows \(p_T\)-integrated \(J/\psi\) yields for \(p_T < 0.1\) GeV/c with the expected hadronic contribution subtracted as a function of \(N_{part}\) for 30-80% Au+Au and 40-80% U+U collisions. The expected hadronic contributions in Au+Au collisions, extracted from the fit extrapolations in Fig. 2, are also plotted for comparison. As depicted in the figure, the contribution from hadronic production is not dominant for the low-\(p_T\) range in the measured centrality classes. Furthermore, the hadronic contribution increases dramatically toward central collisions, while the measured excess shows no sign of significant centrality dependence within uncertainties. Assuming that coherent photoproduction causes the excess at the very low \(p_T\), the excess in U+U collisions should be larger than that in Au+Au collisions. Indeed the central value of measurements in U+U collisions is larger than that in Au+Au collisions. However, limited by the current measurement precision, the observed difference is not significant. The model calculations for Au+Au collisions with the coherent photoproduction assumption [11] are also plotted for comparison. In the model calculations, the authors consider either the whole nucleus or only the spectator nucleons as photon and Pomeron emitters, resulting in four configuration for photon emitter + Pomeron emitter: (1) Nucleus + Nucleus; (2) Nucleus + Spectator; (3) Spectator + Nucleus; (4) Spectator + Spectator. All four scenarios can describe the data points in the most peripheral centrality bins (60-80%). However, in more central collisions, the Nucleus + Nucleus scenario significantly overestimates the data, which suggests that there may exist a partial disruption of the coherent production by the violent hadronic interactions in the overlapping region. The measurements in semi-central collisions seem to favor the Nucleus + Spectator or Spectator + Nucleus scenarios. The approach used in the model effectively incorporates the shadowing effect, which can describe the UPC results in the \(x\)-range probed by the RHIC measurement. However, the coherently produced \(J/\psi\) could be modified by hot medium effects, e.g. color screening, which is not included in the model. More precise measurements toward central collisions and advanced modeling with hot medium effects included are essential to distinguish the different scenarios.

In summary, we report on the recent STAR measurements of \(J/\psi\) production at very low \(p_T\) in hadronic Au+Au collisions at \(\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200\) GeV and U+U collisions at \(\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 193\) GeV at mid-rapidity.
Dramatic enhancements of yields are observed for $p_T < 0.2 \text{ GeV/c}$ in peripheral collisions (40-80$\%$) beyond the conventional hadronic production modified by cold and hot medium effects. The observed excess shows no centrality dependence within uncertainties. In particular, the $dN/dt$ distribution in the very low $p_T$ range is presented for the first time, and shows apparent similarity to that of coherently produced vector mesons in ultra-peripheral collisions. The slope parameter extracted from the distribution is consistent with that expected for a Au nucleus, and an indication of interference is seen at the lowest $t$ values. Furthermore, theoretical calculations of coherent photoproduction can describe the excess yield in the most peripheral centrality class (60-80$\%$) reasonably well. On the other hand, the comparison between data and model calculations in semi-central collisions reveals that the coherent production may be partially disrupted by the concurrent hadronic interactions in the overlapping region. Based on the aforementioned observations, this strongly suggests that the significant excess observed at extremely low $p_T$ is likely to originate from coherent photoproduction in hadronic collisions. The coherently produced $J/\psi$ in hadronic collisions may serve as an additional probe of QGP, and provide an opportunity to explore the gluon distribution in a nucleus. More differential measurements with better precision toward central collisions are called for in the future to better understand the origin of the low $p_T$ $J/\psi$ excess as well as to quantify its properties.
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