Chaetopteryx bucari sp. n., a new species from the Chaetopteryx rugulosa group from Croatia (Insecta, Trichoptera, Limnephilidae) with molecular, taxonomic and ecological notes on the group
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Abstract
We describe a new autumnal caddisfly species Chaetopteryx bucari sp. n. from 8 localities in the Banovina region of Croatia. We also present molecular, taxonomic and ecological notes (emergence, sex ratio and seasonal dynamics) on the new species and discuss the distribution of Chaetopteryx species in general and the C. rugulosa group in particular. Based on Bayesian phylogenetic analysis C. rugulosa schmidi was sepa-
rated from the clade containing the other subspecies of *C. rugulosa*. Thus the subspecies *C. r. schmidtii* is here raised to species level, *C. schmidtii*, as it was described originally. We further present distribution data on rare species in the genus *Chaetopteryx* in Croatia.
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**Introduction**

The genus *Chaetopteryx* belongs to a small number of caddisfly genera with adults that are adapted to low air temperatures and emerge in autumn or winter, mostly from October-January. The larvae of most species live in small headwater streams and springs. This genus is distributed in Europe and parts of Asia (e.g., Asia Minor, Iran) (Malicky 2004, Lodovici and Valle 2007, Sipahiler 2010). In Europe, *Chaetopteryx* comprises 25 species (Malicky 2004, Lodovici and Valle 2007, Oláh 2011a, 2011b). A particularly interesting species group in the genus is the *Chaetopteryx rugulosa* group. This radiation consists of 6 species and 3 subspecies: *Chaetopteryx rugulosa rugulosa* Kolenati, 1848; *Chaetopteryx rugulosa mecsekensis* Nógrádi, 1986; *Chaetopteryx rugulosa noricum* Malicky, 1976; *Chaetopteryx rugulosa schmidtii* Botsaneanu, 1957; *Chaetopteryx clara* McLachlan, 1876; *Chaetopteryx euganea* Moretti & Malicky, 1986; *Chaetopteryx goricensis* Malicky and Krušnik, 1986; *Chaetopteryx irenae* Krušnik & Malicky, 1986 and *Chaetopteryx marinkovicae* Malicky and Krušnik, 1988 (Malicky 2004).

Four years ago we started systematically collecting adults of the genus *Chaetopteryx*, including members of the *C. rugulosa* group in Croatia. This paper has 2 main objectives, first to present and describe a new species from the *C. rugulosa* group found in Croatia, and second to present new molecular, taxonomic, distributional, and ecological information on the *C. rugulosa* group.

**Material and methods**

**Fieldwork.** We collected specimens of *Chaetopteryx* including *C. rugulosa* group species in the continental (central Croatia, Banovina, Hrvatsko zagorje, Kordun, Slavonia), mountain (Gorski kotar, Lika regions) and Mediterranean (Istria and Dalmatia) regions of Croatia. Collecting methods included the use of entomological nets and handpicking specimens from walls of small buildings or wells, or from the riparian vegetation near springs and headwater streams. In one spring (Pecki spring, Banovina region) (Table 1) we installed 5 pyramid-type emergence traps in 2010 and 2011 to investigate the emergence dynamics of caddisflies (Figure 1). This investigation is part of a multi-year study on emergence dynamics of aquatic insects in springs and other aquatic habitats in Croatia and the Dinaric karst of the Balkan Peninsula (Bosnia and Herzegovina) (Kučinić 2002, Previšić et al. 2007, Ivković et al. 2011, Semnički et al.
Table 1. Localities where *Chaetopteryx bucari*, sp. n., was collected, including habitat type, elevation (m a.s.l.), and geographic coordinates.

| Location         | Character of location      | Altitude (m) | Geographic coordinates          |
|------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|
| Bijele stijene   | wellspring and stream      | 144          | 45°25'23"N, 16°13'23"E         |
| Gore             | wellspring                 | 165          | 45°24'21"N, 16°14'22"E         |
| Hrvatski Čuntić  | stream                     | 159          | 45°21'28"N, 16°17'04"E         |
| Marića točak    | wellspring                 | 163          | 45°21'29"N, 16°17'03"E         |
| Pašino vrelo    | spring                     | 185          | 45°17'16"N, 16°25'13"E         |
| Pecki            | spring                     | 161          | 45°23'50"N, 16°14'40"E         |
| Slabinja         | wellspring                 | 104          | 45°13'05"N, 16°37'52"E         |
| Varoški bunar   | wellspring                 | 130          | 45°13'34"N, 16°33'12"E         |

Figure 1. Type locality of *Chaetopteryx bucari*, sp. n., showing pyramid-type emergence traps, Pecki spring, Croatia.
2011, 2012, M. Kučinić unpublished data). The emergence trapping methodology was presented in detail by Kučinić (2002) and Previšić et al. (2007).

In pyramid-type emergence traps caddisflies were collected in 1% formaldehyde and thereafter stored in 80% alcohol. All other collected specimens were stored directly in 80% or 96% alcohol. All specimens were deposited in the collections of the first and second authors. The holotype is deposited in the Croatian Natural History Museum in Zagreb.

**Laboratory work.** For the phylogenetic analysis we compiled mtCOI DNA sequence data for 103 specimens from the *C. rugulosa* group (Table 2). We also sequenced several outgroup taxa of varying putative phylogenetic depths including congeneric species (e.g., *Chaetopteryx gessneri* McLachlan, 1876, *Chaetopteryx fusca* Brauer, 1857, *Chaetopteryx major* McLachalan, 1876, *Chaetopteryx villosa* (Fabricius, 1798)), other members of the tribe Chaetopterygini (*Chaetopterygopsis maclachlanii* Stein, 1874), other members of the subfamily Limnephilinae (*Limnephilus centralis* Curtis, 1834), and members of a different subfamily of Limnephilidae (e.g. *Metanoea rhaetica* Schmid, 1955, *Drusus alpinus* (Meyer-Dür, 1875), *Drusus rectus* McLachlan, 1868).

Systematic presentation follows Morse (2013). The terminology and morphological assessment of the *C. rugulosa* group follows Malicky et al. (1986), Malicky and Krušnik (1988), Urbanič and Krušnik (2003), Botosaneanu and Giudicelli (2004), Holzenthal et al. (2007), Oláh (2011a), and Vučković et al. (2011). Comparative assessments of morphological features of *C. bucari* were based on the other specimens collected in Croatia (*C. r. rugulosa*, *C. marinkovicae*) or based on literature (e.g., *C. r. schmidtii*, *C. r. mecsekensis*, Malicky et al. 1986, Malicky 2004). Morphological features of genitalia of *C. bucari* were analysed from 84 specimens (40 males and 44 females).

The mitochondrial COI barcodes were generated at the Canadian Centre for DNA Barcoding, University of Guelph, Canada. Standard barcoding protocols for DNA extraction (Ivanova et al. 2006), PCR amplification and COI sequencing (Hajibabaei et al. 2005, de Waard et al. 2008) were used. Full-length COI-5P DNA barcodes were amplified using C_LepFolF/C_LepFolR (Folmer et al. 1994, Hajibabaei et al. 2006) and LCO1490/HCO2198 (Folmer et al. 1994) primer sets. COI barcodes and detailed specimen information can be found in the Barcode of Life Data Systems (BOLD; http://www.boldsystems.org/) (Ratnasingham and Hebert 2007) within the project “Chaetopteryx of Europe.” Unpublished COI barcodes of additional *Chaetopteryx* outgroups were provided by Karl Kjer, Rutgers University, USA (Table 2). The sequence of *Limnephilus centralis* Curtis, 1834 was taken from Malm and Johanson (2011) (Table 2).

**Phylogenetic analysis.** Sequences were edited manually and aligned using the program Geneious 5.4 (Drummond et al. 2011). The final alignment was 617 base pairs (bp) long. Bayesian phylogenetic analyses were performed using the Markov chain Monte Carlo method (B/MCMC) using MrBayes 3.2 (Buckley et al. 2002, Ronquist and Huelsenbeck 2003). We selected the best-fitting models of DNA substitution using Akaike information criterion (AIC) implemented in jModelTest 0.1.1 (Guindon and Gascuel 2003, Posada 2008). jModelTest indicated a general time re-
**Table 2.** List of species included in the DNA analysis (mtCOI sequences). Localities are given with country code, locality/specimen data, and collection date.

| Species name       | Locality                                      | Specimen ID  | Accession number | Collectors/ Source     |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------|------------------|------------------------|
| Chaetopteryx aproka| ROU, Ignis Mts., springs near Desesti-Statiunea Izvoare, 21.10.2010 | CAxJC0101    | HE858253         | Ecsedi, Olah & Szivak  |
| Chaetopteryx aproka| ROU, Ignis Mts., springs near Desesti-Statiunea Izvoare, 21.10.2010 | CAxJC0102    | HE858254         | Ecsedi, Olah & Szivak  |
| Chaetopteryx aproka| ROU, Ignis Mts., springs near Desesti-Statiunea Izvoare, 21.10.2010 | CAxJC0103    | HE858255         | Ecsedi, Olah & Szivak  |
| Chaetopteryx bosniaca | BIH, Livno, Sturba river, 08.11.2009       | CBxED0101    |                  | Kučinić, Delić & Mihoci |
| Chaetopteryx bosniaca | BIH, Livno, Sturba river, 08.11.2009       | CBxED0102    |                  | Kučinić, Delić & Mihoci |
| Chaetopteryx bosniaca | BIH, Livno, Sturba river, 08.11.2009       | CBxED0103    |                  | Kučinić, Delić & Mihoci |
| Chaetopteryx bosniaca | BIH, Livno, Sturba river, 08.11.2009       | CBxED0104    |                  | Kučinić, Delić & Mihoci |
| Chaetopteryx bosniaca | BIH, Livno, Sturba river, 08.11.2009       | CBxED0105    |                  | Kučinić, Delić & Mihoci |
| Chaetopteryx clara | SLO, Ljubljana, Mostec park, Przanec stream, 06.12.2009 | CCxEA0101    | JF891164         | Dery & Szivak          |
| Chaetopteryx clara | SLO, Ljubljana, Mostec park, Przanec stream, 06.12.2009 | CCxEA0102    | JF891165         | Dery & Szivak          |
| Chaetopteryx clara | SLO, Ljubljana, Mostec park, Przanec stream, 06.12.2009 | CCxEA0103    | JF891166         | Dery & Szivak          |
| Chaetopteryx clara | SLO, Ljubljana, Mostec park, Przanec stream, 06.12.2009 | CCxEA0104    | JF891167         | Dery & Szivak          |
| Chaetopteryx clara | SLO, Ljubljana, Mostec park, Przanec stream, 06.12.2009 | CCxEA0105    | JF891168         | Dery & Szivak          |
| Chaetopteryx goricensis | SLO, spring of Lokavšček stream near Predmeja, 06.12.2009 | CGREG0101    | JF891159         | Dery & Szivak          |
| Chaetopteryx goricensis | SLO, spring of Lokavšček stream near Predmeja, 06.12.2009 | CGREG0102    | JF891160         | Dery & Szivak          |
| Chaetopteryx goricensis | SLO, spring of Lokavšček stream near Predmeja, 06.12.2009 | CGREG0103    | JF891161         | Dery & Szivak          |
| Chaetopteryx goricensis | SLO, spring of Lokavšček stream near Predmeja, 06.12.2009 | CGREG0104    | JF891162         | Dery & Szivak          |
| Chaetopteryx goricensis | SLO, spring of Lokavšček stream near Predmeja, 06.12.2009 | CGREG0105    | JF891163         | Dery & Szivak          |
| Chaetopteryx goricensis | SLO, spring near Čekovnik (Hlevise), 05.12.2009 | CGREG0201    | JF891154         | Dery & Szivak          |
| Chaetopteryx goricensis | SLO, spring near Čekovnik (Blask), 05.12.2009 | CGREG0301    | JF891155         | Dery & Szivak          |
| Chaetopteryx goricensis | SLO, spring near Čekovnik (Blask), 05.12.2009 | CGREG0302    | JF891156         | Dery & Szivak          |
| Chaetopteryx goricensis | SLO, spring near Čekovnik (Blask), 05.12.2009 | CGREG0303    | JF891157         | Dery & Szivak          |
| Species name                      | Locality                                      | Specimen ID | Accession number | Collectors/ Source |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Chaetopteryx goricensis          | SLO, spring near Čekovnik (Blask), 05.12.2009 | CGREG0304   | JF891158         | Dery & Szivak      |
| Chaetopteryx irenae              | SLO, Susica stream near Misliče, 06.12.2009   | CIxEI0101   | JF891169         | Dery & Szivak      |
| Chaetopteryx irenae              | SLO, Susica stream near Misliče, 06.12.2009   | CIxEI0102   | JF891170         | Dery & Szivak      |
| Chaetopteryx irenae              | SLO, Misliče, Susica stream, 06.12.2009       | CIxEI0103   | JF891171         | Dery & Szivak      |
| Chaetopteryx irenae              | SLO, Misliče, Susica stream, 06.12.2009       | CIxEI0104   | JF891172         | Dery & Szivak      |
| Chaetopteryx irenae              | SLO, Misliče, Susica stream, 06.12.2009       | CIxEI0105   | JF891173         | Dery & Szivak      |
| Chaetopteryx major               | HUN, Mecsek Mts., Vár valley, Pásztor spring 05.11.2010 | CMJKB0101 | JF891233         | Olah, Szivak & Uherkovich |
| Chaetopteryx major               | HUN, Mecsek Mts., Vár valley, Pásztor spring 05.11.2010 | CMJKB0102 | HE858256         | Olah, Szivak & Uherkovich |
| Chaetopteryx major               | HUN, Mecsek Mts., Vár valley, Pásztor spring 05.11.2010 | CMJKB0103 | HE858257         | Olah, Szivak & Uherkovich |
| Chaetopteryx major               | HUN, Mecsek Mts., Vár valley, Pásztor spring 05.11.2010 | CMJKB0104 | HE858258         | Olah, Szivak & Uherkovich |
| Chaetopteryx major               | AUT, valley Hottmannsgraben, Unteraspang (Aspang Markt) 19.11.2009 | CMJDJ0101 | JF891234         | Dery & Szivak      |
| Chaetopteryx marinkovicae        | CRO, Kompanj, 14.11.2009                      | CMREI0101   | JF891174         | Kučinić & Vučković |
| Chaetopteryx marinkovicae        | CRO, Kompanj, 14.11.2009                      | CMREI0102   | JF891175         | Kučinić & Vučković |
| Chaetopteryx marinkovicae        | CRO, Kompanj, 14.11.2009                      | CMREI0103   | JF891176         | Kučinić & Vučković |
| Chaetopteryx marinkovicae        | CRO, Kompanj, 14.11.2009                      | CMREI0104   | JF891177         | Kučinić & Vučković |
| Chaetopteryx marinkovicae        | CRO, Kompanj, 14.11.2009                      | CMREI0105   | JF891178         | Kučinić & Vučković |
| Chaetopteryx rugulosa mecsekensis| HUN, Mecsek Mts., Nagy-Mély valley, Kánya spring, 14.11.2009 | CRMKB0101 | JF891179         | Szivak             |
| Chaetopteryx rugulosa mecsekensis| HUN, Mecsek Mts., Vár valley, Pásztor spring, 06.11.2009 | CRMKB0201 | JF891180         | Szivak & Uherkovich |
| Chaetopteryx rugulosa mecsekensis| HUN, Mecsek Mts., Melegmányi valley, Méstufa spring, 14.11.2009 | CRMKB0301 | JF891203         | Szivak             |
| Chaetopteryx rugulosa mecsekensis| HUN, Mecsek Mts., Vár valley, Iharos spring, 06.11.2009 | CRMKB0401 | JF891204         | Szivak             |
| Chaetopteryx rugulosa noricum    | AUT, Saualpe, Klieningbach stream near Kliening, 21.11.2009 | CRNDI0101 | JF891187         | Dery & Szivak      |
| Chaetopteryx rugulosa noricum    | AUT, Saualpe, springs of the Klippitzbach stream near Klippitztörl, 21.11.2009 | CRNDI0201 | JF891188         | Dery & Szivak      |
| Chaetopteryx rugulosa noricum    | AUT, Saualpe, springs of the Klippitzbach stream near Klippitztörl, 21.11.2009 | CRNDI0202 | JF891189         | Dery & Szivak      |
| Species name                  | Locality                                                                 | Specimen ID | Accession number | Collectors/ Source |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Chaetopteryx rugulosa noricum | AUT, Saulape, springs of the Klippitzbach stream near Klippitztörl 21.11.2009 | CRNDI0203   | JF891219         | Dery & Szivak       |
| Chaetopteryx rugulosa noricum | AUT, Saulape, springs of the Klippitzbach stream near Klippitztörl 21.11.2009 | CRNDI0204   | JF891220         | Dery & Szivak       |
| Chaetopteryx rugulosa noricum | AUT, Saulape, spring of the Löllingbach stream near Stranach, 21.11.2009   | CRNDI0301   | JF891190         | Dery & Szivak       |
| Chaetopteryx rugulosa noricum | AUT, Saulape, spring of the Löllingbach stream near Stranach, 21.11.2009   | CRNDI0302   | JF891191         | Dery & Szivak       |
| Chaetopteryx rugulosa noricum | AUT, Saulape, spring of the Löllingbach stream near Stranach, 21.11.2009   | CRNDI0303   | JF891217         | Dery & Szivak       |
| Chaetopteryx rugulosa noricum | AUT, Saulape, spring of the Löllingbach stream near Stranach, 21.11.2009   | CRNDI0304   | JF891218         | Dery & Szivak       |
| Chaetopteryx rugulosa rugulosa | HUN, Kőszegi Mts., Hörmann spring near Velem, 18.11.2009                | CRRDJ0101   |                  | Szivak              |
| Chaetopteryx rugulosa rugulosa | HUN, Kőszegi Mts., Hörmann spring near Velem, 18.11.2009                | CRRDJ0102   |                  | Szivak              |
| Chaetopteryx rugulosa rugulosa | AUT, Mitterneuwald, Hermann spring, 19.11.2009                         | CRRDJ0201   | JF891184         | Dery & Szivak       |
| Chaetopteryx rugulosa rugulosa | AUT, Sommeralm, Mixnitzbach stream, 20.11.2009                          | CRRDJ0301   |                  | Dery & Szivak       |
| Chaetopteryx rugulosa rugulosa | AUT, Sommeralm, Mixnitzbach stream, 20.11.2009                          | CRRDJ0302   | JF891214         | Dery & Szivak       |
| Chaetopteryx rugulosa rugulosa | AUT, Hochegg bei Grimmenstein, spring and its outlet, 19.11.2009        | CRRDJ0401   | JF891205         | Dery & Szivak       |
| Chaetopteryx rugulosa rugulosa | AUT, Hochegg bei Grimmenstein, spring and its outlet, 19.11.2009        | CRRDJ0402   | JF891206         | Dery & Szivak       |
| Chaetopteryx rugulosa rugulosa | AUT, Hochegg bei Grimmenstein, spring and its outlet, 19.11.2009        | CRRDJ0403   | JF891207         | Dery & Szivak       |
| Chaetopteryx rugulosa rugulosa | AUT, Ausserneuwald, spring, 19.11.2009                                  | CRRDJ0501   | JF891208         | Dery & Szivak       |
| Chaetopteryx rugulosa rugulosa | AUT, Ausserneuwald, spring, 19.11.2009                                  | CRRDJ0502   | JF891209         | Dery & Szivak       |
| Chaetopteryx rugulosa rugulosa | AUT, Plenzengreith, upper reach of stream Schöcklbach, 20.11.2009       | CRRDJ0601   | JF891230         | Dery & Szivak       |
| Chaetopteryx rugulosa rugulosa | AUT, Plenzengreith, upper reach of stream Schöcklbach, 20.11.2009       | CRRDJ0602   | JF891231         | Dery & Szivak       |
| Chaetopteryx rugulosa rugulosa | AUT, Plenzengreith, upper reach of stream Schöcklbach, 20.11.2009       | CRRDJ0603   | JF891232         | Dery & Szivak       |
| Chaetopteryx rugulosa rugulosa | SLO, Pohorje Mts., Osankarica (Lukanja), 10.11.2008                      | CRRDG0101   | JF891186         | Popijač             |
| Chaetopteryx rugulosa rugulosa | SLO, Pohorje Mts., Osankarica (Lukanja), 10.11.2008                      | CRRDG0102   | JF891215         | Popijač             |
| Species name | Locality | Specimen ID/Accession number | Collectors/Source |
|--------------|----------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| *Chaetopteryx rugulosa* | SLO, Pohorje Mts., Osankarica (Lukanja), 10.11.2008 | CRRDG0103 JF891216 | Popijač |
| *Chaetopteryx rugulosa* | CRO, Medvednica Mts., Mrzlak spring near Sljeme, 18.11.2006 | CRREE0101 JF891185 | Popijač |
| *Chaetopteryx rugulosa* | CRO, Medvednica Mts., Mrzlak spring near Sljeme, 18.11.2006 | CRREE0102 JF891213 | Popijač |
| *Chaetopteryx rugulosa* | CRO, Medvednica Mts., Kraljičin Zdenac spring, Kraljičin Zdenac, 19.11.2009 | CRREE0201 JF891210 | Kučinić & Vučković |
| *Chaetopteryx rugulosa* | CRO, Medvednica Mts., Bliznec stream, Podsjeme (Pilana), 09.12.2009 | CRREE0301 JF891211 | Kučinić & Vučković |
| *Chaetopteryx rugulosa* | CRO, Žumberak Mts., Slapnica stream, Ribička kuća, 28.10.2009 | CRREF0101 JF891212 | Kučinić & Vučković |
| *Chaetopteryx schmidi* | ROU, spring brook in Cerna valley near Tatu, 13.11.2010 | CRSJF0101 HE858259 | Ecsedi & Szivak |
| *Chaetopteryx schmidi* | ROU, spring brook in Cerna valley near Tatu, 13.11.2010 | CRSJF0102 HE858260 | Ecsedi & Szivak |
| *Chaetopteryx schmidi* | ROU, spring brook in Cerna valley near Tatu, 13.11.2010 | CRSJF0103 HE858261 | Ecsedi & Szivak |
| *Chaetopteryx schmidtii* | SRB, Derdap Mts., stream valley N of Golubinje, 13.10.2006 | CRSGE0101 JF891182 | Danyi, Kontschyan & Muranyi |
| *Chaetopteryx schmidtii* | SRB, Derdap Mts., stream valley N of Golubinje, 13.10.2006 | CRSGE0102 JF891201 | Danyi, Kontschyan & Muranyi |
| *Chaetopteryx schmidtii* | SRB, Derdap Mts., Grgeci spring, Donji Milankovac, 13.10.2006 | CRSGE0201 JF891183 | Danyi, Kontschyan & Muranyi |
| *Chaetopteryx schmidtii* | SRB, Derdap Mts., Grgeci spring, Donji Milankovac, 13.10.2006 | CRSGE0203 JF891202 | Danyi, Kontschyan & Muranyi |
| *Chaetopteryx bucari* sp. n. | CRO, Kriz spring near Petrinja, 08.12.2009 | CxxEC0101 JF891192 | Kučinić, Delić & Bučar |
| *Chaetopteryx bucari* sp. n. | CRO, Kriz spring near Petrinja, 07.11.2009 | CxxEC0102 JF891222 | Kučinić, Delić & Bučar |
| *Chaetopteryx bucari* sp. n. | CRO, Kriz spring near Petrinja, 07.11.2009 | CxxEC0103 JF891223 | Kučinić, Delić & Bučar |
| *Chaetopteryx bucari* sp. n. | CRO, Kriz spring near Petrinja, 04.11.2009 | CxxEC0104 JF891224 | Bučar |
| *Chaetopteryx bucari* sp. n. | CRO, Kriz spring near Petrinja, 04.11.2009 | CxxEC0105 JF891225 | Kučinić, Delić, Bučar & Vučković |
| *Chaetopteryx bucari* sp. n. | CRO, Hrvatski Cuntic, Marića točak spring, 22.11.2009 | CxxEC0201 JF891193 | Kučinić, Delić & Bučar |
| *Chaetopteryx bucari* sp. n. | CRO, Hrvatski Cuntic, Marića točak spring, 21.11.2009 | CxxEC0202 JF891221 | Kučinić, Delić & Bučar |
| *Chaetopteryx bucari* sp. n. | CRO, Hrvatska Kostajnica, Varoški bunar spring, 06.12.2009 | CxxEC0301 JF891221 | Kučinić, Delić & Bučar |
Chaetopteryx bucari sp. n., a new species from the Chaetopteryx rugulosa group...

| Species name               | Locality                      | Specimen ID | Accession number | Collectors/ Source |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Chaetopteryx bucari sp. n. | CRO, Šupljí Kamen, Slabinja spring, 29.11.2009 | CxxEC0401 | JF891194         | Kučinić, Delić & Bučar |
| Chaetopteryx bucari sp. n. | CRO, Banovina region, Pecki spring, 15.12.2009 | CxxEC0501 | JF891195         | Kučinić, Delić & Bučar |
| Chaetopteryx bucari sp. n. | CRO, Banovina region, Pecki spring, 21.11.2009 | CxxEC0502 | JF891228         | Kučinić, Delić & Bučar |
| Chaetopteryx bucari sp. n. | CRO, Banovina region, Pecki spring, 21.11.2009 | CxxEC0503 | JF891229         | Kučinić, Delić & Bučar |
| Chaetopteryx bucari sp. n. | CRO, Banovina region, Gora spring, 10.12.2009 | CxxEC0601 | JF891226         | Bučar               |
| Chaetopteryx bucari sp. n. | CRO, Mečenčani, Pašino vrelo, 29.11.2009 | CxxEC0701 | JF891227         | Kučinić, Delić & Bučar |
| Chaetopterygopsis maclachlani | AUT, Lower Austria, Rohrwiesteich, 20.10.2004 | 08HMCAD-331* | HMTRI331-09* | Malicky          |
| Chaetopteryx fusca         | AUT, Lower Austria, Rohrwiesteich, 20.10.2004 | 08HMCAD-333* | HMTRI333-09* | Malicky          |
| Chaetopteryx gessneri      | ITA, Umbria, Perugia, Fium Nera above Visso, 11.12.2005 | 07HMCAD-0177* | HMCD177-08* | Malicky          |
| Chaetopteryx moretti       | ITA, Belluno, Val Canzoi, Veneto, 31.10.2003 | HM09Cm7*   | HMTRI421-09*     | Malicky          |
| Chaetopteryx villosa       | AUT, Lower Austria, Sarleinsbach, 27.06.2005 | 07HMCAD-0134* | HMCD134-08* | Malicky          |
| Drusus alpinus             | IT, Valprato Soana, Ronchietto, 10.07.2004 | HM09Dalp8* | HMTRI456-09*     | Delmaistro       |
| Drusus discolor            | SK, Lower Tatra, Stream above Partizanska L'upča, 09.06.2008 | ESCAD909-17* | KKCD497-09* | Bonada           |
| Drusus rectus              | ES, Camprodon/Setcases Alta Val de Ter, 27.07.2004 | HM09Drec8* | HMTRI423-09*     | Aistleitner      |
| Metanoea rhaetica          | AUT, Carinthia, Valentinbach, Plockenstrasse, 08.07.2007 | 08HMCAD-020* | HMTRI020-08* | Malicky          |
| Limnephilus centralis      | NORWAY                        | NHRS:Ft9    | FN601020         | Malm & Johanson 2011 |

versible model (Rodríguez et al. 1990) with a significant proportion of invariant sites (I=0.607) and with gamma-distributed rate heterogeneity (α=1.049) (GTR+I+G). We conducted Bayesian tree construction with 6 chains, 2 independent runs and 8 million generations. Trees were sampled every 1000th generation. The first 9000 generations were discarded as burn-in. We plotted the log-likelihood scores of sample points against generation time using Tracer 1.5 (Rambaut and Drummond 2009) to ensure that stationary was achieved after the first 9000 generations by checking whether the log-likelihood values of the sample points reached a stable equilibrium plateau. We used the remaining trees with average branch lengths to create a 50% majority-rule consensus tree with the sumt option of MrBayes. Posterior probabilities (pp) were obtained for each clade, whereby pp≥0.95 indicated significant support for clades. Finally, we also calculated the uncorrected pairwise distances between individuals based on mtCOI sequences using MEGA 5.1 (Tamura et al. 2011).
Figure 2. Bayesian tree for members of the *Chaetopteryx rugulosa* species group based on mitochondrial COI sequence. Black circles on nodes mark Bayesian posterior probabilities pp>0.95.

**Microphotography and measuring.** Microphotographic images of genitalia and forewing measurements were taken using a Leica Wild MZ8 stereomicroscope and Olympus SP-500 UZ digital camera. The photographs were processed with
the Olympus Quick Photo Camera 2.2. software package. Geographic coordinates and altitudes of sampling localities were recorded with a Garmin ‘Oregon 450′ GPS device.

Results

Phylogenetic analyses. In the Bayesian phylogenetic tree based on mtCOI sequences the C. rugulosa group species clustered into 4 strongly supported clades (Figure 2). Chaetopteryx matrinkovicai was basal within the species group. The remaining species fell into 3 clades: a basal clade with C. r. schmidi, C. bucari sp. n., and 2 derived sister clades comprising C. clara, C. goricensis, C. irenae, and C. r. rugulosa, C. r. noricum, C. r. mecekeensis. Chaetopteryx bucari sp. n. is sister to the highly supported C. r. schmidi. The mean value of the uncorrected pairwise distance (p distance) was 2.02% between them (Table 3). The p distance did not reach 1% within the 2 clades (C. bucari sp. n.: 0.17%; C. r. schmidi: 0.75%). The relationship of the nominal species of the group C. r. rugulosa and C. r. noricum was not resolved, as the 4 subclades formed a polytomy. In the phylogenetic tree C. r. schmidi was clearly separated from the clade containing the subspecies of C. rugulosa (Figure 2). The mean values of p distance between the 3 subspecies of C. rugulosa ranged between 1.61–3.02 %, while the mean values between the C. r. schmedi and the other subspecies of C. rugulosa were distinctly higher (4.66 – 5.85%) (Table 3).

Chaetopteryx bucari Kučinić, Szivák & Delić, sp. n.
http://zoobank.org/E775EC69-0E8A-4AF0-A027-F290BB31E76E
http://species-id.net/wiki/Chaetopteryx_bucari
Figures 3–16

Type material. Holotype male: CROATIA, Pecki spring, 45°23′50″N, 16°14′40″E, 161 m a.s.l., 15 December 2009, leg. Bučar, Delić, Kučinić, dry specimen, DNA Barcode ID: HGCAD046-10, deposited in the Croatian Natural History Museum in Zagreb.

Paratype: CROATIA, ♂ and ♀ (n=49): 1 female, Pecki spring, 21 November 2009, leg. Bučar, Delić, Kučinić, dry specimen, DNA Barcode ID: HGCAD087-10; 14 males, Pecki spring, 31 October 2011; 9 females, Pecki spring, 31 October 2010; 20 females, Pecki spring, 30 November 2011; 2 males and 2 females, Hrvatski Čuntić stream, 45°21′28″N, 16°17′04″E, 159 m a.s.l., 22 October 2010; 1 male, Marića točak, 45°21′29″N, 16°17′03″E, 163 m a.s.l., 23 November 2012, leg. Bučar, Delić, Kučinić (all specimens in alcohol).

Diagnosis. Male of C. bucari is most similar to C. r. mecekeensis and C. r. schmidi but differs in the following features: 1. In lateral view the inferior appendages in C. bucari are always with a pointed apex on the dorsal side, not rounded as in C. r. mecekeensis; 2. Bristles in C. bucari are set more distally from the membranous part of the aedeagus than in C. r. mecekeensis and C. r. schmidi and never reach (touch) the lateral
**Table 3.** Estimates of evolutionary divergence over sequence pairs within and between phylogenetically defined species and subspecies based on mtCOI sequence data. Distance matrix is shown with the mean ± SD values of the intraspecific and interspecific pairwise genetic distances for the all Chaetopterygini species included in the analysis. Abbrev.: CRR – *Chaetopteryx rugulosa rugulosa*, CRN – *C. r. noricum*, CRM – *C. r. mesekensis*, CCX – *C. clara*, CGR – *C. goricensis*, CIX – *C. irenae*, CBU – *C. bucari* sp.n., CRS – *C. schmidi*, CMR – *C. marinkovicae*, CBO – *C. bosniaca*, CMO – *C. morettii*, CFU – *C. fusca*, CVI – *C. villosa*, CGE – *C. gessneri*, CAX – *C. aproka*, CMA – *Chaetopterygopsis maclachlani*, CMJ – *Chaetopteryx major*.

|     | CRR     | CRN     | CRM     | CCX     | CGR     | CIX     | CBU     | CRS     | CMR     |
|-----|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| CRR | 1.05±0.97 | 1.61±0.49 | 3.02±0.17 | 4.87±0.24 | 4.55±0.24 | 4.79±0.27 | 4.63±0.30 | 5.44±0.39 | 9.24±0.46 |
| CRN | 1.20±0.89 | 2.83±0.31 | 5.06±0.23 | 4.74±0.24 | 5.26±0.20 | 5.17±0.18 | 5.85±0.11 | 9.45±0.27 |
| CRM | 0.17±0.11 | 4.79±0.09 | 4.47±0.10 | 4.69±0.12 | 4.38±0.11 | 4.66±0.13 | 8.87±0.07 |
| CCX | 0.00±0.00 | 0.37±0.07 | 3.80±0.08 | 5.86±0.14 | 5.69±0.06 | 9.92±0.00 |
| CGR | 0.03±0.07 | 3.48±0.10 | 5.54±0.15 | 5.37±0.08 | 9.93±0.05 |
| CIX | 0.10±0.09 | 4.41±0.16 | 5.11±0.18 | 10.15±0.08 |
| CBU | 0.17±0.13 | 2.02±0.20 | 8.79±0.13 |
| CRS | 0.75±0.57 | 8.72±0.06 |
| CMR | 0.00±0.00 |
| CBO | 0.00±0.00 |
| CMO | 0.00±0.00 |
| CFU | 0.00±0.00 |
| CVI | 0.00±0.00 |
| CGE | 0.00±0.00 |
| CAX | 0.00±0.00 |
| CMA | 0.00±0.00 |
| CMJ | 0.47±0.51 |
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Figure 3. Chaetopteryx bucari, sp. n., adults at type locality, Pecki spring, Croatia.

Figure 4. Chaetopteryx bucari sp. n., male genitalia, lateral view.
Figure 5. Chaetopteryx bucari sp. n., male genitalia, lateral view.

Figure 6. Chaetopteryx bucari sp. n., male genitalia, lateral view.
membranous finger, as in *C. r. mecsekensis*. Female of *C. bucari* is clearly different from other species in the *C. rugulosa* group (e.g., form of the visible finger on lateral side, form of the anal tube, form of the supragenital plate of segment X in lateral and ventral views, form of the median lobe of the vulvar scale in ventral view). We did not find strong morphological variability among the females of the new species (except the median lobe of the vulvar scale). Females of *C. bucari* have in lateral, ventral and dorsal views very visible finger-shaped proturbances (ventral lobes of tergite IX) on the anal tube which is lacking in *C. r. mecsekensis* and *C. r. schmidi*. In lateral view the excision of the anal tube in *C. r. rugulosa* is more pronounced than in *C. bucari*. The median lobe of the vulvar scale in *C. r. mecsekensis*, *C. r. rugulosa* and *C. r. schmidi* is longer and more visible than in *C. bucari*.

**Description.** Wings and legs yellow to yellowish-brown; veins darker in both sexes (Figure 3). Antennae long, grey to fuscous. Scapus yellow to yellowish-brown, thorax and abdomen yellow. Spur formula male 0,3,3, female 1,3,3. Ocelli present. Forewing with round apex; length 7.7–9.9 mm in males, 7.2–10.1 mm in females.

Male genitalia (Figures 4–11). In dorsal view, spinulose zone of tergite VIII well developed with yellow setae. Segment IX ventrally broad, dorsally narrow in lateral view (Figures 4–5). Superior appendages with small yellow setae, shape of superior appendages variable (Figures 4–7b–d), usually in one of two forms (Figures 4–6). In lateral view, 1st form with posterior edge slightly rounded apically, concave at middle (Figure 5); in 2nd form, dorsal side more protuberant with round or irregular apex (Figures 4, 7b). In some specimens triangular or rectangular intermediate forms are found (Figure 7c–d). Inferior appendages in lateral view rectangular, anterior part broad, posterior part narrow (Figures 4–7a). Apical flap of inferior appendage developed, in lateral view with pointed apex (tip) and ventral side slightly rounded; or with apex forked, long setae present on ventral side (Figures 4–7a). Intermediate appendages (paraproctal complex) elongated in lateral view with long, connecting middle section, apical hook narrowing with upward–curving apex (Figures 4–5), basal triangular part of paraproct relatively large in caudal view (Figures 8–9). Phallic organ (phallus) a single tube consisting of phallic apodeme, phallobase, aedeagus and parameres. Aedeagus relatively long, sclerotized, in posterior part with membranous lobes, lateral lobes membranous finger-like proturbances (endophallus) (Figures 10a–d). Two relatively short parameres set very distant from posterior membranous part of aedeagus (Figures 10a–b, 10d); parameres with sclerotized, straight, stout, brown bristles (Figures 10a–b, 10d, 11a–f). Bristles vary in width and length (Figure 11a–f); lateral bristles shorter; bristles arranged in 1 fan-like row (Figure 11a–f); in specimens with more bristles, some form 2nd row; bristles vary from 5-10.

Female genitalia (Figures 12–16). Anal tube (fusion of tergites IX and X) in lateral view broad, relatively elongated with one excision and very distinct finger-shaped proturbance (lobes of tergite IX) on ventral side (Figures 12–13). Apex of proturbance rounded or slightly pointed with small yellow setae (Figures 12–15). In 2/3rds of specimens examined ventral and dorsal lips of anal tube equal in length, in 1/3rd ventral lip longer. In dorsal view anal tube thickened with digitate proturbance on lateral side
Figure 7. *Chaetopteryx bucari* sp. n., male genitalia, lateral view. **a** inferior appendages **b–d** superior appendages.

Figure 8. *Chaetopteryx bucari* sp. n., male genitalia, caudal view.
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Figure 9. Chaetopteryx bucari sp. n., male genitalia, intermediate appendages (paraproctal complex), caudal view.

Figure 10. Chaetopteryx bucari sp. n., male genitalia, phallic organ (phallus): a dorsal view b ventral view c posterior membranous part of aedeagus d lateral view.

and small excision (recess) in middle (Figure 14). In ventral view anal tube broad with larger excision (recess) in middle than in dorsal side (Figure 15). Supragnital plate of segment X well-developed, triangular in shape in lateral and ventral views (Figures 12, 15). Lateral segment of vulvar scale relatively short in ventral view, with flat or slightly rounded apex (Figure 16a–c). Median lobe of vulvar scale (lower vulvar lip) with very small rounded or pointed apex (Figure 16b–d). In ca. 1/3rd of specimens' median lobe of vulvar scale not visible (Figure 16a).

Etymology. The species is dedicated to Professor Matija Bučar from the Faculty of Education, Department in Petrinja, University of Zagreb.
Figure 11. *Chaetopteryx bucari* sp. n., male genitalia a-f parameres with sclerotized bristles.

Figure 12. *Chaetopteryx bucari* sp. n., female genitalia, lateral view.
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Ecological notes and distribution. During our recent faunal surveys in Croatia and the Western Dinaric Balkan Chaetopteryx bucari was found only at 8 localities in the Banovina region (Table 1). The most distant sampling sites are 40 km apart (Slabi-
Figure 15. *Chaetopteryx bucari* sp. n., female genitalia, ventral view.
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Figure 16. *Chaetopteryx bucari* sp. n., female genitalia a–d vulvar scale and median lobe of vulvar scale, ventral view.
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We collected *C. bucari* from 2 springs, 5 wellsprings and 1 location in the stream (Table 1). In total, we collected more than 580 specimens of *C. bucari* (85% were collected in pyramid-type emergence traps). The most abundant populations were found at Pecki spring and a headwater stream in Hrvatski Ćuntić. Over 150 specimens of *C. bucari* were observed on the night of October 14, 2010 on the walls of a small building next to the stream in Hrvatski Ćuntić. In Pecki spring more than 50 specimens were observed on the night of October 31, 2010. *Chaetopteryx bucari* was recorded at low altitudes between 104–185 m a.s.l. (Table 1).

*Chaetopteryx bucari* was collected in pyramid-type emergence traps from the end of September–December. The highest number of specimens was collected in October and November in both years. The sex ratio in both years was biased toward males, 1:1.37 (♀:♂) in 2010, and 1:1.40 (♀:♂) in 2011. Besides *C. bucari*, Chae-
Chaetopteryx bucari sp. n., a new species from the Chaetopteryx rugulosa group...

...topteryx gonospina Marinković-Gospodnetić, 1966 and 2 additional caddisfly species (*Limnephilus rhombicus* (Linnaeus, 1758), *Potamophylax pallidus* Klapálek, 1898) were recorded in the emergence traps.

In addition to *C. bucari* 2 other species of the *C. rugulosa* group were collected in Croatia during our recent surveys. *Chaetopteryx marinkovicae* was collected from its type locality on the stream and spring in Kompanj village (Istria region); *C. r. rugulosa* was caught on Mt. Žumberak and Mt. Medvednica (northeast and central Croatia). Other species of *Chaetopteryx* found during this investigation were *Chaetopteryx bosniaca* Marinković-Gospodnetić, 1959 (Lika region), *Chaetopteryx gonospina* Marinković-Gospodnetić, 1966 (Banovina region), *C. fusca* (central Croatia, Dalmatia and Lika regions), and *C. major* (central Croatia).

**Discussion**

**Systematic and taxonomic implications.** Based on molecular evidence, we could confirm the hypothesis that *Chaetopteryx bucari* is a distinct species. Although *C. bucari* does not have a pp >0.95, it represents the sister taxon (pp>0.95) to the highly supported *C. r. schmidtii*. Furthermore, the mean genetic distance (2.02%) between *C. bucari* and *C. r. schmidtii* barely reached the 2-3% divergence observed as an interspecific genetic divergence in mtCOI sequences among some well-defined caddisfly species (Bálint et al. 2009, Pauls et al. 2009, Kučinić et al. 2011). However, among other well-defined caddisfly species this value can reach much higher levels (e.g., Zhou et al. 2007, Pauls et al. 2010), but also much lower values (e.g., Waringer et al. 2007). Thus reliance on distance methods alone for defining species boundaries is not advisable and species boundaries should be supported by additional lines of evidence such as additional, independent genes, morphology, or other independent characteristics (Zhou et al. 2007), particularly in taxa where hybridization is possible as is the case in *Chaetopteryx* (Malicky et al. 1986, Malicky and Pauls 2012). In the present study the genetic distinctiveness of *C. bucari* in combination with differences in morphological characters compared to its congeners, provide strong evidence to justify describing it as a new species.

In both sexes, especially in the adult female, *C. bucari* is relatively easily distinguishable from other taxa of the *C. rugulosa* group. The genetic data also show that specimens from 7 populations across the known range of the species from a clearly distinct clade from all other analysed *Chaetopteryx*. It is interesting that the female of *C. bucari* is particularly informative in diagnosing the species. In caddisflies this is quite unusual as males are generally more easily distinguished and females are often very difficult to differentiate from one another.

Based on the phylogenetic position of *C. r. schmidtii* in relation to *C. r. rugulosa* and the other *C. rugulosa* subspecies, *C. r. schmidtii* is well-defined and quite divergent from other members of the *C. rugulosa* clade based on molecular data. Thus, the subspecies *C. r. schmidtii* is here re-established as a distinct species, *C. schmidtii*, as it was described...
originally by Botosaneanu (1957) (Table 2) and not recognized as a subspecies of *C. rugulosa* as proposed by Malicky (2004, 2005).

**Ecology.** The emergence pattern of *C. bucari* corresponds with the general autumnal emergence patterns of the genus, usually from September-December, though emergence can be prolonged through January for some *Chaetopteryx* species (Kučinić 2002), including *C. bucari* (some specimens were collected by handpicking during January 2011). The emergence data from 2 years revealed that the sex ratio of *C. bucari* at the spring of Pecki stream is not exactly 1:1, but biased towards a surplus of males. In other studies applying the same methodology only a few species had 1:1 sex ratios (Kučinić 2002). In some species the sex ratio was 1:6 in favour of females (Previšić et al. 2007) and in other species males were dominant (Kučinić 2002, Semnički et al. 2011). These results are influenced by biological features of the species (e.g., emergence, oviposition behaviour of females), but may also be affected by trapping method (e.g., types of emergence pyramid-traps) (Malicky 2002).

Research on the diversity of large karst springs on the Balkan Peninsula has revealed high levels of caddisfly diversity. In some cases more than 20 species were collected from a single spring (Marinković-Gospodnetić 1979, Kučinić et al. 2008). This high alpha diversity of large karst springs does not, however, diminish the faunal significance of smaller springs. These are usually characterized by a small number of species, but often these species are highly specialized or local endemic species, such as *C. bucari* at the Pecki spring.

**Distribution of *Chaetopteryx rugulosa* group in Croatia.** At present, the genus *Chaetopteryx* is represented by 9 taxa in Croatia (Marinković-Gospodnetić 1979, Malicky and Krušnik 1988, Malicky 1996, 2004, Kučinić 2002, Kučinić et al. 2010, Previšić and Popijač 2010, Oláh 2010, 2011a). Including the new species *C. bucari*, 4 species from the *Chaetopteryx rugulosa* group (Malicky and Krušnik 1988, Malicky 1996, 2004, Oláh 2010) are now known from Croatia (Figure 17). Rare species from the genus *Chaetopteryx* are *Chaetopteryx uherkovichi* Oláh, 2011 distributed in eastern Croatia (Slavonia region) so far recorded only at the type locality (Oláh 2011a), *C. r. mcesekensis* known from only 1 locality in Croatia (Malicky 1996, 2004, Oláh 2010), but also distributed in Hungary (Malicky et al. 1986, Malicky 2004) and Serbia (Oláh 2010), and *C. marinkovicae* established in 3 localities in Istria (Malicky and Krušnik 1988). Our research did not confirm the presence of the latter species in 2 of these localities (Malicky and Krušnik 1988), but found specimens at the type locality in Kompanj village. *Chaetopteryx marinkovicae* is also known from Slovenia (Urbanič 2004).

Until now, the new species *C. bucari* was found only in the Banovina region, which is situated between rivers Sava and Kupa to the north and the state border with Bosnia and Herzegovina to the south and east (Figure 17). The Banovina region is characterised by rolling hills up to 600 m a.s.l. There are many small springs and streams in the region, and 3 large rivers, Una, Kupa and Sava, that form the border of the region. It is possible that *C. bucari* is also distributed in some other parts of continental Croatia or in Bosnia and Herzegovina, because we found this species in the valley of the Una River (Slabina spring, Varoški bunar spring), which forms the border between these 2 countries.
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According to the current findings, C. bucari is not rare in the Croatian fauna. In fact, it is one of the most dominant caddisflies in the Banovina region. Along with C. fusca (Kučinić 2002, Semnički et al. 2011, Cerjanec 2012, M. Kučinić unpublished data) it is one of the most frequently found species from genus Chaetopteryx in Croatia. C. bucari inhabits springs and headwaters of small streams. The only known larger limnocrene spring that C. bucari inhabits is the Pašino vrelo spring.

Taxa from the C. rugulosa group have allopatric distributions in Croatia (Figure 17): C. bucari is distributed in the Banovina region, C. r. rugulosa in northern Croatia on Mt. Medvednica and Mt. Žumberak, C. r. mecsekensis in eastern Croatia on Mt. Papuk and C. marinkovicae in the sub-Mediterranean part of Croatia in Istria (Malicky and Krušnik 1988, Malicky 1996, 2004, Oláh 2010). Systematic research in mountain areas in Lika and Gorski kotar (Kučinić 2002, Kučinić et al. 2008, Previšić and Popijač 2010, Cerjanec 2012, Semnički et al. 2011, 2012) and the Mediterranean part of Croatia (Dalmatia region) (Graf et al. 2008, Waringer et al. 2009, Vučković 2011, Vučković et al. 2011, M. Kučinić unpublished data) did not result in collections of C. rugulosa group species in these areas.

Many members of the genus Chaetopteryx are either small-scale endemics or species with a low number of disjunct populations. This makes the group very interesting for biogeographic studies. There are several reasons that could explain the observed pattern...
of distribution: small populations, poor mobility of the winter emerging adults, and distribution in springs and in headwater reaches of small streams. Besides naturally isolating individual populations from one another, these aspects can also cause difficulties for investigating the genus, as it is hard to access many of the sites, especially in winter. Future investigations of this genus will be focused on poorly researched areas in Croatia and the western Balkans to gain a better understanding of the distribution and biogeography of *Chaetopteryx* in the region.
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