Effect of tranexamic acid on blood loss, coagulation profile, and quality of surgical field in intracranial meningioma resection: A prospective randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study
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INTRODUCTION

Intracranial meningiomas account for 13–40% of primary brain tumors.[14] Symptomatic meningiomas are treated with either conventional surgery or radiosurgery. Patients undergoing...
excision of meningioma present significantly increased risks of intraoperative bleeding as they are highly vascular. Studies have also found that there is intraoperative hyperfibrinolysis and deranged coagulogram in meningioma surgeries. Different approaches to deal with massive blood transfusion include preoperative and intraoperative methods. Preoperative methods include preoperative erythropoietin administration, preoperative autologous blood donation while normovolemic hemodilution, controlled hypotension, and blood cell saver techniques can be used during intraoperative period. None of these methods are immune to complications. Hence, alternative methods are devised, such as aprotinin, e-aminocaproic acid, tranexamic acid, and desmopressin, as hemostatic agents.

Tranexamic acid (trans-4-aminomethyl-cyclohexane-1-carboxylic acid) is a synthetic lysine analog which acts by competitively blocking the lysine-binding residues on fibrin clot and prevents the conversion of plasminogen to plasmin, thus inhibiting clot lysis and acts as antifibrinolytic agents. It has been used to reduce blood loss during coronary revascularization, liver resection, and obstetric and orthopedic procedures. Studies have shown that it decreases intraoperative blood loss by up to 40%. Tranexamic acid has also been shown to reduce blood loss in patients after trauma, with no apparent increase in vascular occlusive events. Following wartime injury during emergency resuscitation, application of tranexamic acid in trauma patients has led to 6.5% absolute reduction in mortality (MATTERs trial). The spectrum of its use is expanding in neurosurgery with use in the treatment of aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage, intracerebral bleeds, head injury, skull base tumors, craniosynostosis, and corrective spine surgery.

The aim of this trial was to assess the efficacy of tranexamic acid on bleeding in the intraoperative and postoperative period as primary outcome, while the secondary outcomes were the quality of the surgical field, requirement of blood transfusion, and the coagulation profile of the patient preoperatively, intraoperatively and postoperatively during intracranial meningioma surgery.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A prospective, randomized, and double-blind controlled trial was carried out after approval from Institutional Ethics Committee and written informed consent from patient or relative. Thirty American Society of Anesthesiologists’ I and II patients, aged between 18 and 65 years, undergoing elective surgery for excision of meningiomas were included in the study. These meningiomas were more than 5 cm in size (in largest of dimension). Patients with previous thromboembolic events, coagulopathy or on anticoagulation therapy, impaired renal function (Creatinine >1.1 mg/dl), abnormal liver function, or allergic to tranexamic acid were excluded from the study. Patients who received any kind of pretreatment embolization were not included in the study.

Randomization and blinding

Patients were randomized using a computer generated 1:1 allocation ratio random table into two groups. The results were kept in sealed envelopes. Patients were allocated randomly into Group T and Group P. Patients in Group T received a bolus dose of 20 mg/kg of tranexamic acid (Cyclokapron, Pfizer, New York) before starting the surgery followed by an infusion at a rate 1 mg/kg/h till the end of the surgery and patients in Group P received the corresponding volume of normal saline as placebo for blinding of the study drug. The anesthesiologist who prepared the study drug according to the random number assigned did not participate in anesthetizing the patient or collecting the data. The anesthesiologist who collected the data and the operating surgeon was blinded to the study drug.

Anesthesia protocol

A standard anesthesia protocol was followed in all the patients. The patients were induced with propofol 1–2 mg/kg and trachea were intubated with vecuronium 0.1 mg/kg. Anesthesia was maintained by propofol infusion 75–150 μg/kg/h, nitrous oxide-oxygen mixture (50:50) with 2L of fresh gas flow, and intermittent doses of vecuronium 0.02 mg/kg. Intraoperative analgesia was maintained with fentanyl 2 μg/kg bolus followed by 1 μg/kg/h infusion and ventilation was maintained keeping PaCO2 of 35–38 mm Hg. All patients both in intervention and control arm received prophylactic anticonvulsant (Inj. Phenytoin 15 mg/kg IV) after anesthetic induction as an institute protocol.

An arterial line and a central venous line were secured. A heparinized arterial blood sample was sent before starting of surgery to know “Baseline hematocrit.” Quality of surgical field was assessed by a single surgeon (NS) who operated all the cases and evaluated the quality of the field of surgery using a 5-point Likert scale where scoring was done as excellent=5, very good=4, good=3, satisfactory=2, and bad=1. The surgeon was blinded to the group patient was allocated and test drug received.

Coagulation profile of all patients was assessed using five tests, namely prothrombin time (PT), activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT), D-mimer level, fibrinogen level, and tissue plasminogen activator level preoperatively, intraoperatively (90 min after start of surgery), and postoperatively (24 h after surgery). The PT, aPTT, fibrinogen, and D-mimer levels were analyzed using STA Compact Coagulation Analyzer (STASD, France). Fibrinogen and D-mimer levels were measured using Clauss method and Latex Immunoassay, respectively. The tissue plasminogen
activator level was assessed using a commercial enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kit (Assaypro, Missouri, USA).

The infusion of tranexamic acid was stopped at the end of the surgery just before skin closure. Arterial blood sample was evaluated for “Final hematocrit” estimation at the end of skin closure. The hematocrit was analyzed using Siemens Bayer 248 Blood Gas Analysis machine (Siemens, Minnesota). Blood was transfused if the intraoperative hematocrit was ≤ 27%.

Postoperatively, the patients were assessed for the volume of blood collected in the closed suction drain in 24 h postsurgery and blood sample was collected for postoperative coagulation profile of the patient.

The blood loss was calculated using Modified Gross formula and if there was intraoperative blood transfusion then, formula by Brecher et al. was used.[1,7]

Using Modified Gross Formula → Blood Loss = EBV x [Hct (i) - Hct (f)]/Hct (m)

where EBV was Estimated Blood Volume [Body Weight in kgs × (70–75) ml/kg] (where 70 ml/kg-females, 75 ml/kg-male), Hct (i) is initial hematocrit, Hct (m) is mean hematocrit and Hct (f) is final hematocrit.

Using formula by Brecher et al. (when patient received intraoperative blood transfusion)[1]

Blood loss = EBV × ln [Hct (i)/Hct (min)] 

where EBV was estimated blood volume, Hct (i) was the hematocrit at the start of surgery and Hct (min) was the minimal Hct (≤27%).

Blood Loss = (RBCt−RBCf)/Hct(min) 

where RBCt (total amount of red blood cell transfused) = No. of units of PRBC transfused x volume of each PRBC x hematocrit of PRBC transfused. The hematocrit of the PRBC was taken as 60% as per blood bank standards, while the volume was mentioned on individual units.

RBCt = Hematocrit at the end of surgery

RBCf = (Hematocrit at the end of surgery-Minimum hematocrit) × EBV

Hct (min) = transfusion trigger kept as 27%.

Total blood loss = (A) ± (B).

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences Inc., Chicago, IL, version 21. The discrete categorical data were presented as n (%); continuous data were analyzed by the mean and standard deviation or median and interquartile range (IQR). Demographic variables such as age, weight, duration of surgery, and anesthesia were presented as mean ± standard deviation and were compared among the two groups using one-way analysis of variance. Categorical variables such as sex and quality of surgical field were presented as frequency or percentage and were compared among the two groups using Chi-square/Fisher’s exact test. The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was applied to assess the normality of the measured data such as blood loss. In normally distributed data, Student’s t-test was used and in skewed data, Mann–Whitney U test was applied to compare between the two groups. P < 0.05 was considered as significant.

Power analysis

Sample size was estimated after conducting a pilot study in 10 patients undergoing surgery for intracranial meningioma (>5 cm). Intraoperative mean blood loss was estimated to be 1000 ml with a standard deviation of ±450 ml. To detect a 50% change in blood loss, the sample size calculated to 15 per group at a power of 80% and confidence interval of 95%. Therefore, 30 patients were included in this study and randomly divided equally into two groups, placebo group (Group P) and study group (Group T).

RESULTS

Patients in both the groups (n = 15/group) were enrolled into the study and followed up in perioperative period for the coagulation profile and volume of blood loss in closed drain 24 h after surgery [Figure 1]. Both the groups were comparable with respect to demographic characteristics [Table 1]. The tumor volumes in both the groups were comparable among the two groups using one-way analysis of variance [Table 1]. Majority of the meningiomas were supratentorial meningiomas [Table 2]. The hemodynamic parameters in preoperative and intraoperative period were comparable in between the groups [Table 3 and Figure 2].

There was a statistically significant decrease in blood loss in Group T (616.4 ± 393.4 ml vs. 1150 ± 416.1 ml, P = 0.002). This equated to 46.43% blood loss reduction in Group T compared to Group P [Figure 3].

The quality of the surgical field was graded by a blinded, single neurosurgeon who operated all the cases using a 5-point Likert scale. The median score in Group T was 4 (good) (IQR 3–5), while in Group P, it was 2 (satisfactory) (IQR 2–3) [Table 4]. The histology of the tumors was mostly World Health Organization Grade I and extent of tumor excision were Simpson Grade II, though it was not noted individually in the cases.

The number of patients requiring blood transfusion was six and all these patients belonged to group P and none of the
Patients in Group T required blood transfusion ($P = 0.016$) [Table 5]. There was a significant difference between two groups in volume of blood collected in the closed suction drain in 24 h after surgery. In Group T, it was less compared to Group P and difference was statistically significant (84.7 ± 50.4 ml vs. 127.62 ± 62.22 ml, $P = 0.047$) [Table 5].

The coagulation tests were compared among the two groups using $t$-test for equality of means. The values of PT and

---

**Table 1:** Demographic characteristics.

|                      | Group T \(n=15\) | Group P \(n=15\) | $P$-value |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------|
| Age (years)          | 48.93±10.71      | 52.00±13.18      | 0.490     |
| Weight (kg)          | 67.87±4.68       | 62.87±10.53      | 0.108     |
| American Society of Anesthesiologists Status I/II | 11/4 | 9/6 | 0.700 |
| Gender M:F           | 5:10             | 5:10             | 1.000     |
| Tumor volume (in ml) | 63.79±31.11      | 43.36±24.32      | 0.055     |
| Duration of surgery (min) | 195.07±29.11 | 211.6±44.25 | 0.237 |
| Duration of anesthesia (min) | 217.13±24.21 | 240.13±41.38 | 0.740 |
| Total IV fluids (ml) | 2900±455.4       | 3306.6±1229.6    | 0.320     |

Values expressed as mean±SD. Compared using independent sample $t$-test *$P<0.05$ being significant

**Table 2:** Location of meningioma.

| Location                  | Group T \(n=15\) | Group P \(n=15\) |
|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Parasagittal              | 2                | 2                |
| Convexity                 | 4                | 4                |
| Sphenoid wing             | 3                | 3                |
| Posterior fossa           | 2                | 2                |
| Tuberculum sellae         | 1                | 1                |
| Falx                      | 1                | 1                |
| Clival                    | 1                | 0                |
| Postclinoideal            | 0                | 1                |
| Olfactory groove          | 1                | 1                |
| Total                     | 15               | 15               |

Values expressed in number ($n$)
Table 3: Intraoperative hemodynamics.

| Parameter                  | Group T (n=15) | Group P (n=15) | P-value |
|----------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Heart rate (beats/min)     | 81.80±6.45     | 81.33±6.75     | 0.848   |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 130.73±7.48  | 134.67±6.95    | 0.147   |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg) | 83.33±6.08   | 86.13±3.15     | 0.125   |
| Mean arterial pressure (mm Hg) | 105.33±5.93  | 109.07±4.59    | 0.064   |

Values expressed as mean±SD. Compared using independent sample t-test. *P<0.05 being significant

Table 4: Quality of surgical field.

| Likert score (5-point) | Group T (n=15) | Group P (n=15) | P-value |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| 5                      | 5              | 0              | <0.001* |
| 4                      | 6              | 6              |         |
| 3                      | 3              | 6              |         |
| 2                      | 1              | 6              |         |
| 1                      | 0              | 3              |         |
| Median score           | 4              | 2              |         |

Comparing using Fischer’s exact test. *Indicates P<0.05 when comparing among the two groups

Table 5: Intraoperative and postoperative blood loss and blood transfusion requirement.

| Blood loss (in ml) | Group T (n=15) | Group P (n=15) | P-value |
|--------------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Intraoperative     | 616.42±393.42  | 1150.02±416.68 | 0.002*  |
| Postoperative (in closed suction drain in 24 h) | 84.70±50.40 | 127.60±62.22 | 0.047* |
| BT requirement (no of patients) | 0 | 6 | 0.016** |

*Values expressed as mean±SD. Compared using independent sample t-test. *P<0.05 being significant. **Indicates P<0.05 when comparing among the two groups and compared using Fischer’s Exact test

aPTT were longer intraoperatively and postoperatively in Group P compared to Group T and it was statistically significant. The D-dimer and fibrinogen levels were elevated preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperatively in Group P compared to Group T and were statistically significant [Table 6].

None of the patients in either group had seizures, thromboembolic episode, or any other major complication in the intraoperative and 24 h postoperative period.

DISCUSSION

This study showed a significant decrease in intraoperative blood loss and 24 h collection of blood in a closed drain in patients receiving intraoperative Tranexamic acid bolus and infusion in patients undergoing intracranial meningioma excision. Surgical field and perioperative coagulation profile were also found to be better in the same group.

Intracranial meningioma excision surgeries are one of the commonly performed procedures in major neurosurgical centers around the world. In this surgery, increased vascularity of tumor, increased tumor size, and prolonged operation time increase the risk of major intraoperative bleeding.[11] Tranexamic acid has been used in neurosurgical
cases by Vel et al. to evaluate effect of low dose of the drug on intraoperative blood loss.\textsuperscript{23} They observed a significant reduction in the total amount of blood loss in patients who received tranexamic acid. There is limited published literature regarding the efficacy and significance in the use of intraoperative antifibrinolytics in meningioma surgeries.

Tranexamic acid was used in our study in a loading dose of 20 mg/kg followed by infusion of 1 mg/kg/h throughout intraoperative period. We observed a significant difference ($P = 0.002$) in the blood loss among the two groups. The mean blood loss in the tranexamic acid group was $616.23 \pm 393.41$ ml and in the placebo group, it was $1150.28 \pm 474.45$ ml. There was an absolute reduction in blood loss by 46.43% in this study when tranexamic acid was used. All the patients were followed up for 24 h and observed that blood collected in closed suction drain which was significantly less in the tranexamic acid group as compared to the placebo group ($84.7 \pm 50.4$ ml vs. $127.6 \pm 62.2$ ml, $P < 0.05$). None of the patients in tranexamic acid group and six patients in the placebo group required intraoperative blood transfusion and the difference was statistically significant ($P = 0.016$). Similar to our result Hooda et al. observed a significant decrease in intraoperative blood loss in patients who received intraoperative tranexamic acid in the same dose, but they did not observe any difference in blood transfusion requirement between the groups.\textsuperscript{9}

In our study, the surgical field was evaluated by a blinded surgeon who operated all the cases using 5 points Likert scale. We observed surgical field was better in the tranexamic acid group (median score 4) (good surgical field) compared to placebo group (median score 2) (satisfactory surgical field) ($P < 0.001$). The coagulation profile of our patients was assessed preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperatively. The PT was within the normal limits in both the groups preoperatively, but they were found to be significantly prolonged in the placebo group intraoperatively and postoperatively ($P < 0.001$) as compared to tranexamic group. The intraoperatively and postoperative PT in patient receiving tranexamic acid were better than those who did not receive Tranexamic acid ($P = 0.002$, $P = 0.008$, respectively). This difference can be explained because of the fact that brain tissue is rich in tissue factor and these factors are released during tissue dissection in brain.\textsuperscript{18} Meningiomas are also associated with high content of tissue plasminogen activator and studies have shown that these patients can have deranged coagulation in perioperative period.\textsuperscript{6,22} Tranexamic acid use has shown that the PT did not increase further compared to placebo group. Although tranexamic acid does not have a direct effect on PT, this decrease could be because of preventing the breakdown of fibrin strands and hence stabilizing the clot and preventing the vicious cycle of fibrinolysis and increased PT. The preoperative APTT in both groups of patients in this study was within normal limits, but the intraoperative and postoperative values were prolonged in the placebo group compared to the tranexamic group ($P < 0.05$).

The fibrinogen levels were within the normal limits in the tranexamic group while it is decreased significantly in the placebo group in preoperatively, intraoperatively, and postoperatively ($P < 0.001$) period. The fibrinolysis due to release of tissue plasminogen activator and tissue factor leads to increased conversion of fibrinogen to fibrin and hence decreasing the fibrinogen levels.\textsuperscript{13}

The D-dimer levels were lower in the tranexamic acid group compared to the placebo group preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperatively and they were statistically significant ($P < 0.05$). The D-dimer levels were raised in both the groups preoperatively ($>0.5 \mu g/L$). Hence, indicating preoperative fibrinolysis but the values increased intraoperatively and postoperatively in the placebo group not in tranexamic acid group due to fibrin stabilizing action of the drug (tranexamic acid) by preventing the conversion of plasminogen to plasmin.\textsuperscript{18}

The decreased fibrinogen level and increased D-dimer levels in the placebo group preoperatively, which was statistically different compared to tranexamic acid group, could be a reason for increased blood loss and is a limitation of our study.

The tissue plasminogen activators were within the normal range in both the groups throughout surgery and they were not statistically significant. Hence, from the above coagulation tests performed in our patients among the tranexamic acid and placebo groups, we observed that patients with intracranial meningioma are in a fibrinolytic state leading to deranged coagulation tests perioperatively and this can be corrected by the use of anti-fibrinolytic drugs like tranexamic acid which can correct the hyperfibrinolytic state, thereby reducing blood loss and decreasing the need for intraoperative blood transfusion. We have

Figure 3: Intraoperative blood loss, *$P<0.05$. 

The intraoperatively and postoperative PT in patient receiving tranexamic acid were better than those who did not receive Tranexamic acid ($P = 0.002$, $P = 0.008$, respectively). This difference can be explained because of the fact that brain tissue is rich in tissue factor and these factors are released during tissue dissection in brain.\textsuperscript{18} Meningiomas are also associated with high content of tissue plasminogen activator and studies have shown that these patients can have deranged coagulation in perioperative period.\textsuperscript{6,22} Tranexamic acid use has shown that the PT did not increase further compared to placebo group. Although tranexamic acid does not have a direct effect on PT, this decrease could be because of preventing the breakdown of fibrin strands and hence stabilizing the clot and preventing the vicious cycle of fibrinolysis and increased PT. The preoperative APTT in both groups of patients in this study was within normal limits, but the intraoperative and postoperative values were prolonged in the placebo group compared to the tranexamic group ($P < 0.05$).

The fibrinogen levels were within the normal limits in the tranexamic group while it is decreased significantly in the placebo group in preoperatively, intraoperatively, and postoperatively ($P < 0.001$) period. The fibrinolysis due to release of tissue plasminogen activator and tissue factor leads to increased conversion of fibrinogen to fibrin and hence decreasing the fibrinogen levels.\textsuperscript{13}

The D-dimer levels were lower in the tranexamic acid group compared to the placebo group preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperatively and they were statistically significant ($P < 0.05$). The D-dimer levels were raised in both the groups preoperatively ($>0.5 \mu g/L$). Hence, indicating preoperative fibrinolysis but the values increased intraoperatively and postoperatively in the placebo group not in tranexamic acid group due to fibrin stabilizing action of the drug (tranexamic acid) by preventing the conversion of plasminogen to plasmin.\textsuperscript{18}

The decreased fibrinogen level and increased D-dimer levels in the placebo group preoperatively, which was statistically different compared to tranexamic acid group, could be a reason for increased blood loss and is a limitation of our study.

The tissue plasminogen activators were within the normal range in both the groups throughout surgery and they were not statistically significant. Hence, from the above coagulation tests performed in our patients among the tranexamic acid and placebo groups, we observed that patients with intracranial meningioma are in a fibrinolytic state leading to deranged coagulation tests perioperatively and this can be corrected by the use of anti-fibrinolytic drugs like tranexamic acid which can correct the hyperfibrinolytic state, thereby reducing blood loss and decreasing the need for intraoperative blood transfusion. We have
not observed any thromboembolic events, postoperative seizures, or any major complications in all patients included in the study till 24 h postoperatively. Our result about safety of tranexamic acid is supported by the meta-analysis done by Yokobori et al. They assessed 5076 patients who had received tranexamic acid from seven studies and observed that thromboembolic events were not more than that of the control group.\[23\]

The dose of tranexamic acid varies based on indications of its use. In cardiac surgeries, a recent review showed that maximum dose of 30 mg/kg can be used and it was found to be safe without risk of complications like seizures.\[9\] A similar study showed the use of as less as 10 mg/kg showed decreased blood loss in neurosurgical patients undergoing tumor resection.\[23\] We preferred to use an intermediate dose of tranexamic acid, that is, 20 mg/kg in this study followed by minimal infusion dose of 1 mg/kg/h.

Another landmark study on use of tranexamic acid is CRASH-3 trial, which concluded that its use is beneficial in early (<3 h) mild to moderate traumatic brain injury with mortality benefit (18% vs. 19.5%, \(P = 0.03\)) and decreased risk of death (RR 0.78 [95% CI 0.64–0.95]) and no difference in adverse outcomes including incidence of vaso-occlusive events and seizures.\[4\] Similarly TICH-2 trial showed that tranexamic acid is safe in acute intracerebral hemorrhage with a reduction in hematoma growth (25% vs. 29%, adjusted odds ratio 0.80, 95% CI 0.66–0.98, \(P = 0.03\)). However, it did not show any difference in neurological outcome (ordinal shift analysis for modified Rankin scale at 3 months, odds ratio 0.88, 95% CI 0.76–1.03, \(P = 0.11\)).\[19\] A meta-analysis on use of tranexamic acid also concluded that its use is associated with a reduction in hematoma expansion with no difference in mortality and increased risk of combined ischemic events.\[12\]

Ageron et al. observed that tranexamic acid has been shown to significantly increase overall survival from bleeding with no heterogeneity by site of bleeding. However, treatment delay reduced the treatment benefit and early treatment with tranexamic acid improved survival by more than 70%.\[5\]

The strength of our study includes evaluation of coagulation profile in patients undergoing meningioma excision receiving tranexamic acid which no previous published study had done. The second point of strength of this study is that surgical field assessment was done by a single-blinded neurosurgeon in all the cases. It provides uniformity in the assessment of subjective criteria.

**Limitations**

Limitations of the study include small size of the study population which is though sufficient to check decrease in blood loss but not adequate to check any postoperative complications. The histology of the tumor and extent of tumor resection was not noted individually in all the cases which could have added strength to our conclusion of the efficacy of tranexamic acid in meningioma surgery.

**CONCLUSION**

Tranexamic acid administration (bolus followed by intraoperative infusion) reduces intraoperative blood loss in meningioma resection surgeries by 46.43% and decreases the need for intraoperative packed cell transfusion and improves the quality of surgical field. It also decreases the 24 h closed suction drain output and improves the coagulation parameters. No thromboembolic complications within 24 h were observed in any of the patient received tranexamic acid. A large population study is necessary to firmly establish the efficacy and complications of tranexamic acid in patients undergoing intracranial meningioma.
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