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Abstract

According to the Study Group on Forensic Age Diagnostics (AGFAD), the radiological evaluation of third molar mineralization is a major criterion for chronological age estimation of living individuals.

This investigation studied third molar development in 329 orthopantomograms (40.4% males) of Portuguese individuals, patients of Dental Medicine Faculty of Lisbon University, with an age range between 14.0 to 22.8 years, through five different methods (Demirjian, Haavikko, Harris and Nortjé, Kullman and Solari).

The results show a better correlation, between real and estimated age, in Demirjian and Solari methods. Demirjian method was used to analyse the other results statistical variables. Third molar development occurs earlier, in average 9 months, in males, in stages D-G. In stage H there’s no significant difference. There’s no significant difference between mineralization of molars in the same arch, however, in the inter-arch comparison, the maxillary molars have a premature development, statistically different in stages F (males), G and H.

The age 18 is reach in stage G and H, respectively, by females and males. Under the Portuguese Penal Code, section 19, the age is one of the factors of exceptional of criminal responsibility. For criminal pursuit, they state being minor than 16 years of age. This limit is reached for both sexes in the stadium F. However in any stage we can deduce the majority or minority in relation to the age of criminal responsibility.

New studies about the influence of socioeconomic and genetic factors, in third molar development, are necessary.
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Introduction

In Forensic Sciences, chronological age estimation, in medico-legal cases, has been increasingly important [1], being one of the application fields of Forensic Dentistry [2]. Traditionally used in cadavers and human remains identification, the importance in living individuals has been expanding in the last years [2-4], especially because the increase of immigration phenomenon [5]. The applicability is in criminal law (document validation, imputability and political asylum) and civil law (social benefits, job and marriage) [5,6].

In a way to obtain consensus between scientists and clarify the protocol to apply, when estimating age, it was founded in March 2000, in Berlin (Germany), the international and interdisciplinary Study Group on Forensic Age Diagnostics (AGFAD) [4], defining that age estimation, in living individuals, should consist in [2-4,7]:

> Physical examination – anthropometrical measures (such as height and weight); signs of sexual maturation; identification of age-relevant development disorders.

> X-ray examination of the left hand.

> Dental examination – dentition status and orthopantomogram.

> X-ray or CT examination of the medial clavicular epiphysis (exam indicated when the hand development is complete).

The methods should be used together to improve the precision [4], but recorded separately and the age estimation declared has the more probable, specifying the degree of probability associated to each result [3]. While in the childhood and preadolescence, the age estimation, based on morphologic methods by radiological evaluation of dental and bone development, is accurate, with increasing age, the precision is, progressively, smaller [6]. Between 14 and 22 years old, medico-legal age estimation is very important but also very problematic to estimate with higher accuracy [4,5,8]. In Portuguese Legislation, imputability, by age, is over 16 years old, according to 19th article of Portuguese Penal Code. Based on the Portuguese jurisdiction of criminal law, this is the age range with major legal implications and with higher number of forensic cases to do age estimation in living persons.

In this age range, the only indicator, by dental methods, is the mineralization and eruption of third molar [5,8], but this methodology has been questioned [8]. Mainly because of population intra and inter-variability [5,9,10,]. However, several recent studies consider it an appropriated marker [5,8,9,11]. The mineralization of third molar is determined, primarily, by genetic factors [5] and less influenced, than eruption, by environmental factors, like malnutrition, illness and stress.
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The variability could be related to sex, age, rate of dental maturation [10] and ethnic origin [2].

There are several methods to classify dental mineralization by stages, although the validity of forensic age estimation in the courts depends, mainly, in the accuracy of the method used [10]. Dental development has an intra and inter-population variability, so there’s a need to study distinct population affinities [8,12]. In Portugal, medico-legal age estimation, from dental classification systems, is based on methods published for other populations, so it’s necessary to do it also based on a Portuguese population, because of the internationality of criminal law. The main goal of this investigation was to estimate the age, in a Portuguese population, under medico-legal investigation, through estimate of chronological age, applying several methods performed in other populations.

The main goal results in the formulation of several secondary objectives:

- Evaluate the influence of sex in third molar mineralization.
- Evaluate the symmetry between dental development by the third molar in right and left side and between upper and lower jaw.
- Evaluate the accuracy of the dental development stages of the third molar in the Portuguese population according to their application in criminal law.
- Validation of standard dental methods of medico-legal age estimation through the third molar mineralization for the Portuguese population.
- Evaluate the quality assurance of medico-legal age estimation in the Portuguese medico-legal system.

Materials and Methods

In this study were analyzed 329 orthopantomograms of 133 males and 196 females Portuguese individuals with known age, sex and full name, all of whom were from Portugal including their father and mother. The X-rays were selected from the clinical files of the patients from the Dental Faculty of University from Lisbon, between 2006 and 2010. The present investigation was approved and realized with the consent of Ethics for Health Committee (Process 3/2006) of the faculty.

Additional criteria of inclusion were: absence of dental pathology; existence of at least two third molars, and where one was mandibular; absence of deformities in the area of interest, which affected the analyses. The information such as file number, sex, date of birth and date of the orthopantomogram were registered. A document was created associating the file number to a specific number of the project, in order to enable observer’s access to data and ensure data confidentiality. The document was destroyed in the end of the study according to Ethic Committee.

The chronological age, in each case, was calculated from the birth and orthopantomogram date. The age range was 14,0 to 22,8 years old. The maturation stage of third molar (maxillary and mandibular) was obtained by the analysis of orthopantomograms. The classification methods of dental mineralization used were: Demirjian Method [13], Haavikko Method [14], Harris and Nortjè Method [15], Kullman Method [16] and Solari Method – modification of Demirjian Method [9].

The orthopantomograms were analyzed by the same observer that gave, to each of the third molars, presents on the x-ray, a rate, corresponding to the development stage, following the description criteria and/or comparing the x-rays to representative schemes of each stage. In case of significant differences in development stages, between roots of the same teeth, the lowest value was endorsed, except in Harris and Nortjè Method, because evaluated root was the mesial one, due to the method’s specification. The procedure was repeated for each method. When third molar wasn’t present and/or had an atypical anatomy, wasn’t included on the study. In Harris and Nortjè and Kullman Methods was attributed the rate “NA” (Not applied), when the molar presented crown development, because those methods only consign root development stages.

The kappa coefficient (k) was used to calculate the intra-observer agreement. Was performed one month after initial analysis on a random sample of 20% of all radiographs analyzed. According to central limit theorem and knowing that the sample was N≥30, then it was assumed that the sample approximated to a normal uniform distribution, being analysed by parametric tests.

The Pearson correlation coefficient was used to determine the dental method with a better interdependency between chronological and estimated age and between contra lateral third molars to determine the left-right symmetry for Portuguese population. The p-value was calculated to determine the significance of the sample. Significance was established to p<0.05 and determined to evaluate the occurrence of sexual dimorphism and statistically differences in development stages between maxillary and mandibular third molars.

The statistical analysis was realized using date analysis options of Microsoft Office Excel 2007 to Windows Vista.

Results

From the 329 orthopantomograms analyzed, 40.4% (N = 133) correspond to males and 59.6% (N = 196) to females. The age distribution by sex is very similar for both sexes (Table 1).

There were no statistically significant intra-observer differences between the two times of measurements. The k coefficient determine was 0.89.

The Pearson correlation coefficient was used to determine the correlation between chronological and estimated age, based on the stages for each method analyzed to determine statistically which method had the best correlation for Portuguese population (Tables 2 and 3). The Demirjian and Solari methods for both sex were the methods with best results for Portuguese population. The highest score was obtained with the Demirjian methods (0.828) apply to the maxillary incisors.

Table 1: Age distribution by sex.

| Age       | Males (N = 133) | Females (N = 196) |
|-----------|----------------|-------------------|
| Mean age  | 18.9           | 19.3              |
| Minimum age | 14.0           | 14.2              |
| Maximum age | 22.8           | 22.7              |
| Standard deviation | 2.29           | 1.95              |

Table 2: Pearson correlation coefficient – Males.
tooth 38 in males. The Kullman method also gave very good results for this population. The results with the Harris and Nortje and Haavikko methods were the worsted when applied to Portuguese population. The third mandibular molars (38 and 48) showed statistically better results than the maxillary ones. The correlation obtained in males was highest than in females, being this difference statistically significant ($p = 0.005$). Based on the previous results such as the method with the best correlation we will emphasize the Demirjian method in this paper to analyze the further data.

Table 4 list the mean age and standard deviation for each third molar development stages, by sex and teeth. None of the analyzed teeth had A and B stages. The C stage had a reduced sample (N = 1), so wasn’t include in the statistical analysis.

Regarding the data we concluded there was statistically significant differences between sexual discrimination and stages: D ($p = 0.009$), E ($p = 0.007$), F ($p < 0.001$) and G ($p < 0.001$). Therefore, males have a earlier third molar maturation (average 9 months) than females. In H stage, the differences between sexes was statistically irrelevant ($p = 0.233$). These results were similar for both jaws.

Comparing maxillary and mandibular molars, there was a tendency to an earlier mineralization of the firsts that is statistically significant in stages: F (males: $p = 0.023$), G (males: $p = 0.011$; females: $p = 0.003$) and H (males: $p = 0.041$; females: $p = 0.016$).

Tables 5 and 6 are a cross tabulation, with the goal to establishing the synchrony of dental development, between teeth of the same jaw (left-right symmetry). Pearson correlation coefficient, in males, was 0.96 (maxillary teeth) and 0.97 (mandibular teeth). In females, despite lower (0.94 to both jaws), revealed also a very importance evidence. Tables 7 and 8 corroborate the previously conclusions, since males presents development stages in a premature phase. Individuals in stage D has a zero probability of being at least 18 years old, meanwhile individuals in stage G and H has high probability of being at least 16 years old. The stage H also presents high probability of an individual, in that stage, has a chronological age of at least 18 years old. However, in all this stages were observed individuals with less than 16 and 18 years old.

Another approach of data analyses would be the combined use of the 5 methods. Then, for each individual, depending of the development stage, in the different methods, would be calculated the 95% confidence bounds for each stage, based in the available data base. The final result, for each tooth, would result in the intersection of the 3 or 5 confidence bounds, depending if it’s a upper or lower molar, respectively. For example, selecting a female, randomly, with the following mineralization stages (table 9). Checking the tables were defined the confidence bounds, for each tooth, choosing the maximum value of the inferior limit and the minimum value of superior limit. Therefore, the confidence bounds, for each tooth, are: 18 (16,125-21,285), 28 (17,264-23,052), 38 (17,186-22,327), 48 (16,309-21,000). The final result, for this specific individual, would result in the intersection of the confidence bounds associated to each tooth, then the estimated age would be between 17,264-21,000 years old.

**Discussion**

The guidelines of AGFAD about medico-legal age estimation in living individuals, comprehend a physical and dental examination, x-ray of the left hand and/clavicle and orthopantomogram [4]. The combined use of these methods, assure an increased precision [17], more important it is in criminal law in the living individuals than in cadaver [18]. This evidence is supported by Garamendi et al. [3], that realized a general physical examination by Tanner’s method, left hand x-ray with application of the Greulich and Pyle method and a general dental examination complemented with orthopantomogram, using the Demirjian method. This study analyzed 114 Moroccan individuals with age range between 13-25 years old and determined that the combination of dental and skeletal methods, improve, significantly, the chronological age prediction, recommending, consequently, the guidelines of AGFAD.

The utilization of x-ray or CT of the clavicle [19] is consigned to the cases where the wrist ossification is complete [20]. The complete ossification of medial clavicular epiphysis is, primarily, observed in ages of 21 years [21] or 22 years [22]. This result has a forensic importance, because the complete ossification allows classifying the majority of an individual, relatively, to the ages of legal relevance [20]. However, the results must be analyzed with precaution. The inter-group variability and the results from the group studied may be different in another group with a different status socio-economical and with a different impact on ossification [21]. The only study that contradicts is from Galstaun, from 1937, and he referred the complete ossification at the age of 19, however, there’s no differentiation between partial and complete ossification, what, probably, influenced the results [cit in. 22].

A better precision is accomplished when we have more teeth under development [9,23]. However, during late adolescence, only the third molar isn’t completed mineralized [5,23]. This is the reason why it is being proposed by the lack of another reliable biological marker for forensic age estimation [9,24]. Furthermore the wrist ossification, that showed good results [3,20], can be used, approximately, until 16 years old [25] and extend until 19 years old [cit in. 20]. This feature, additionally, with the apex closure of the second molar completed,
approximately, at the age of 14 [25], delineate this age, as the minimum age of inclusion in this study. The maximum age is 22 years, according to Solari & Abramovitch recommendations [9].

The orthopantomogram by its convenience, quickness and information quality is the technique of choice, to assess third molar mineralization [9], which is compounded by the fact that is used in all studies accessed by the authors, as well as been proposed by AGFAD guidelines. The major disadvantage, during the use of the technique, was the superposition of anatomical structures, that difficult mainly the analysis of maxillary third molar [10,26].

The inclusion criteria of to include only the orthopantomograms that had a minimum of one inferior third molar, was due to the specificity of Harris and Nortjè and Kullman methods [15,16], which are only consign to lower molars, allowing us the application of the 5 methods to all of the orthopantomograms of this study. To the absent molars wasn’t endorse a specific nomenclature, because the research of agenesia prevalence wasn’t defined as a study goal, unlike other studies [10]. The attribution of the lowest value, when differences between roots of the same tooth occurred, meted the protocols established in previous studies [5,27], in a way to standardize the procedure. The definition of the population of Portuguese origin by the family name, followed the same guidelines [5,9,24,28,29,30]. The methodology in Harris and Nortjè method, apply that the evaluation was done using the third molar mesial root [15].

According to AGFAD recommendations should be defined the socio-economical status and health to outwit the existence of exogenous factors that may influence the psychosomatic development. However, like it was proved by others studies, dental mineralization is an independent variable without influence of those factors, on the contrary, of skeletal development and their anthropometric measurements [3,5,23,24,31]. So, in the present study, no data about external factors were collected. The orthopantomogram was dropout of the study when revealed obvious signs of dental pathology.

Nowadays, one of the most important question, is concerning to the influence of population affinity in individual development. There are different studies that compare different populations and concluded that there is a difference between the dental mineralization timing between different populations [8,24,30,31,32,33]. Comparing our results from our population with previous ones (Table 10), Portuguese are similar to Canadian and American populations, having the Spanish population a faster development [2,8,9,13,34]. The Hispanics had an earlier development in the stages F and G, comparing to Portuguese population. Regarding German, Japanese and South-African populations, they have a slower development than the Portuguese population [2,8,9,13,34]. However, these results must be analysed with scientific criteria, since Olze et al. [2] had a age range between 12-26 years old, wider than the one of this study and the other ones compared to [3,5,8-10,13,24,27,28,32,33,34] (Table 11). This observation may explain our results of highest values in mean age, with more relevance, in latter development stages, specially, in stage H. Therefore, the use of an inferior maximum age limit most decreases the mean age values presented [9]. Consequently, more studies are needed to compare different populations, with higher sample size and under the same methodological criteria, in order to identify the influence of social and genetic factors in dental development [24].

Demirjian method is consider to be the simplest and the more objective method with the highest results in the correlation between estimated and real age and in the inter-observer correlation [31]. His major advantage is the methodology that is based on shape and/or proportion changes, without metric estimation [8]. Originally, wasn’t described to the third molar, nowadays it is recommended by the American Board of Forensic Odontologists (ABFO) and applied in many studies [24]. The adaptation to the third molar was proposed by Mincer et al. [13]. An alteration by Kasper et al. [27], added representative x-ray images to each stage to simplify the evaluation process. One of the disadvantages is the reduced number of stages in the development of the last half of the root [18], so was added two intermediate stages (F₃ and G₃), with the goal to increase the accuracy in the assessment [9].

The Demirjian and Kullman methods were the two methods chosen in our study due to the good correlation between the two variables: chronological and estimated age [31]. The other ones chosen, Harris and Nortjè and Haavikko methods, despite the weak evidence of the first one, are methods that betake to the estimation of root length, with or without specification to the mandibular molars, so were introduce to compare the less or higher correlation between estimate and real age, with methods based on size and/or proportion changes. The use of Solari method was due to the possible improves of his modification.
Table 7: Probability of an individual be less than 18 years old, based on development stages (Demirjian method).

| Stages | D   | E   | F   | G   | H   |
|--------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Tooth 18 | F | 100% (11) | 92% (12) | 58% (7) | 14% (2) | 5% (7) |
|         | M | 100% (9) | 100% (8) | 100% (7) | 67% (4) | 12% (11) |
| Tooth 28 | F | 100% (6) | 89% (16) | 54% (7) | 18% (5) | 3% (4) |
|         | M | 100% (8) | 92% (11) | 100% (7) | 60% (6) | 10% (8) |
| Tooth 38 | F | 100% (16) | 62% (8) | 44% (11) | 6% (3) | 3% (2) |
|         | M | 100% (9) | 90% (9) | 82% (14) | 35% (6) | 5% (4) |
| Tooth 48 | F | 100% (9) | 82% (14) | 39% (11) | 11% (6) | 0% (0) |
|         | M | 100% (6) | 90% (9) | 88% (14) | 44% (6) | 4% (3) |

Table 8: Probability of an individual be at least 16 years old, based on development stages (Demirjian method).

| Method            | Tooth 18 | Tooth 28 | Tooth 38 | Tooth 48 |
|-------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|                   | D | E | F | G | H | D | E | F | G | H | D | E | F | G | H | D | E | F | G | H |
| Harris and Nortë  | F | 27% (3) | 69% (9) | 83% (10) | 100% (14) | 99% (135) |
|                   | M | 11% (1) | 25% (2) | 43% (3) | 100% (6) | 99% (90) |
| Kullman           | F | 17% (1) | 61% (11) | 85% (11) | 100% (28) | 99% (122) |
|                   | M | 13% (1) | 25% (3) | 57% (4) | 100% (10) | 99% (87) |
| Haavikko         | F | 31% (5) | 69% (9) | 100% (25) | 100% (52) | 99% (77) |
|                   | M | 11% (1) | 30% (3) | 71% (12) | 100% (17) | 100% (79) |
| Solari           | F | 33% (3) | 47% (6) | 100% (28) | 98% (52) | 100% (80) |
|                   | M | 0% (0) | 30% (3) | 66% (11) | 94% (17) | 100% (76) |

Table 9: Development stages for each tooth and stage of the individual.

This study (Table 2 and 3) found that the methods with better correlation, between estimated and real age, are Demirjian and Solari methods. Results showed that there’s a strong correlation to both methods [31]. The option of assess the remaining data based in Demirjian method was due to the small number of cases in F, and G stages, what also doesn’t allow to deduced the increased precision of Solari method [9].

The development of third molar presents sexual dimorphism (Table 4), occurring earlier in males in the Portuguese population. This difference is statistically significant (9 months in average) to the results between stages D-G, in both jaws, similar to the results presented in other studies [5,9,12], where the variation is between 6-9 months [8] to one year [32]. The difference, in stage H, hasn’t statistical significant [24], probably, due to a tendency to equalize in maturity [8]. The fact that development occurs earlier in males is characteristic of third molars, whereas in the other permanent teeth, dental development is faster in females [5]. Of all the consulted literature, only, Bolaños et al. [10], show similar development between both sexes. This divergence, to our study, may be due to: (1) the difference between age range (4-20 years old in Bolaños); (2) the major goal for his study was to determine the agenesis prevalence. Olze et al. [31] limited his study to females, however, the mainly aim was to verify the most effective method of age estimation, in forensic field, and the age range was wider that in other study (12-25 years old). Garamendi et al. [3] just analysed males. Nowadays, this author, points as a restriction the fact that the results can’t be extrapolated to females.

The same table, table 4, allows us to assess the earliest development in upper teeth, comparing to the lower ones. The fact is statistically significant in F (males), G and H stages. However, in all other stages there are a tendency to a later mineralization of lower molars. This result is transversal to all studies consulted (Table 11). The fact that the upper molars mineralize earlier, may disown them to secondary importance [32], once the mineralization of lower teeth allows the assessment of real age for a longer period, more so, the statistic evidence is more significant in advanced stages. In addition, we could add the fact that the observation of the upper teeth is difficult by the overlap of anatomical structures [10].

The analysis of table 4 also let us to verify that the individuals distribution isn’t as homogenous as the authors wanted, since a high percentage of cases is encompassed in the final stages of dental maturation, particularly, in stage H, being more pronounced in males. This could be explain by the high average age (Table 1). Then is recommended that in a next study in Portuguese population, the number of individuals in earlier ages will need to be higher, in order to standardize the sample size. The table 5 and 6 allow us to analyse the left-right asymmetry. In the present study, the correlation rate is very strong and there aren’t differences between arches, unlike the results obtain with the studies from Gunst et al. [5], Solari & Abramovitch [9] and Mincé et al. [13] in which all of them the agreement is greater in maxilla than in mandible (Table 11). This observation could be explained by the difficulty in assess the maxillary third molar that remits probably the observer to the development stages similar to both maxillary teeth. Besides that, the results of this study suggest as very strong to strong the correlation between teeth in the same arch. Regarding sex, symmetry is higher in males. When the values analyzed are extended to a stage below or above the concordance value, the correlation rate is between 0.99-1.00, like in Kasper et al. [27]. The only study in which the symmetry is absent (Table 11) is from Mincé et al. [13], that had a medium concordance of 0.78. In spite of the high evidence in left-right symmetry, in medico-legal age estimation, all teeth should be assessed, independently [27]. The same should be applied to studies in medico-legal chronological age estimation, to avoid unnecessary errors.

One of the major goals of this study was to evaluate the dental development in the ages of Portuguese criminal law: 16 and 18 years old. In the tables 7 and 8 are represented the probability of an individual in the different stages of dental development be respectively less than 18 years old or at least 16 years old. The probability of an individual be less or at least 18 years old is high, respectively, in D and H stages, while the G and H stages present high probability of an individual be over 16 years old. However, isn’t possible to have statistical differences. Therefore, the error associated to the methodology, doesn’t allow us to consider it great in medico-legal age estimation [3,24]. This could let to methodology unacceptable errors or ethically unacceptable errors. In the first case fall the individuals that, being at least 16 or 18 years old (according to the criminal law) are considerer minors, taking to a more benevolent conduct and extra charges, due to the necessity of special protection measures, relagate only to minors. In the second case fall the individuals that being under the age set down by the law are considerer over 16 or 18 years old, leading to a violation of humans minors rights [3]. Therefore, we conclude that as an isolated criteria the third molar development isn’t valid [32], however, the association to other methods raise, considerably, the accuracy [3], so the analysis of the methods, with the knowledge of their limitations and the agreement and discrepancy between each other, should be done, to establish with the highest efficiency that it is possible, the chronological age of the individual [8] and decrease the number of false positives – ethically unacceptable errors – and false negatives – technically unacceptable errors [3].
Table 10: Mean age (standard deviation), in years, in different populations, based on Demirjian method (adapted from Prieto et al, 2005). a – Difference (in years) comparing to our study with Portuguese population.

| Study | Population | AD | N-SD | IA-S | Method | EA-S | Notes |
|-------|------------|----|------|------|--------|------|-------|
| Solari A & Abramovitch K [9] | Hispanics | 14-25 | 679 | Present (♀) | Present | Solari | Absent (Maxilla) |
| Prieto JL et al. [8] | Spanish | 14-21 | 1054 | Present (♀) | Present | Demirjian | — Study in IM |
| Garamendi PM et al. [3] | Moroccan | 13-25 | 114 | Present (♂) | Present | Demirjian | — Study in IM of ♂ |
| Martín-de las Heras et al. [24] | Spanish Magrebíen | 14-23 | 477 | Present (♂) | Present | Demirjian | Absent (Maxilla) |
| Gunst K et al. [5] | Belgian | 15-23 | 2513 | Present (♀) | Present | Kühler | Absent (Maxilla) |
| Balóafs MV et al. [10] | Spanish | 4-20 | 786 | Absent | Present | Nolla | Absent (Maxilla) |
| Kneill B et al. [32] | Swiss | 15-22 | 1260 | Present (♀) | Present | Demirjian | — Study in IM |
| Zeng DL et al. [33] | Chinese | 4-26 | 3100 | Present (♀) | Present | Demirjian | Absent (Maxilla) |
| Kasper KA et al. [27] | Hispanics (Texas) | 12-22 | 950 | Present (♀) | Present | Demirjian | Absent (Maxilla) |
| Salvia AD et al. [28] | Spanish | 14-25 | 400 | Present (♂) | — | Demirjian | Solari — Study in one IM |
| Mincer HH et al. [13] | American | 14-24 | 823 | Present (♂) | Absent | Demirjian | Absent (Maxilla) |
| Demirjian A et al. [34] | French | 2-20 | 2928 | Present (♀) | Present | Demirjian | — Evaluation from CI to 2º M |

Table 11: Resume board of the results obtained in different studies consulted. AD – Age distribution (in years); N – Number of individuals; SD – Sexual dimorphism (gender with early development); IA-S – Intra-arch synchrony; EA-S – Inter-arch synchrony (arch with early development); IM – Inferior molar; CI – Central incisor; Notes: E - 9,76% of the sample were from other European countries (unspecified).

| Tooth | Gender | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-------|--------|---|---|---|---|---|
| 38    | F      | 11,712-20,667 | 14,407-20,777 | 14,310-21,265 | 14,885-21,475 | 17,186-22,988 |
|       | M      | 13,229-16,900 | *            | 13,322-20,458 | 14,692-18,768 | 17,336-22,767 |
| 48    | F      | 12,932-18,314 | 12,080-23,224 | 15,112-20,528 | 14,519-21,889 | 16,204-23,149 |
|       | M      | 12,850-17,455 | *            | 12,948-20,619 | 15,557-19,061 | 16,666-23,305 |

Table 12: 95% Confidence bounds to Harris and Nortjè Method. F – Female; M – Male; * - N (sample size) insufficient.

| Tooth | Gender | R₁ | R₃/₄ | R₅/₄ | R₂/₃ | R₂ | A_c1 |
|-------|--------|----|------|------|------|----|------|
| 38    | F      | 12,428-18,541 | *            | 13,528-21,178 | 14,875-21,108 | 14,401-22,617 | 16,680-22,425 | 17,186-22,988 |
|       | M      | 12,730-17,495 | 13,414-16,609 | 13,465-19,606 | 13,395-20,862 | 13,169-22,238 | 15,403-21,977 | 17,774-22,762 |
| 48    | F      | 12,878-17,847 | 12,014-20,210 | 13,546-21,810 | 14,944-21,141 | 15,243-21,402 | 16,379-22,569 | 18,093-22,959 |
|       | M      | 13,366-16,161 | 12,159-18,393 | 11,835-21,631 | 13,215-20,619 | 13,995-23,302 | 13,962-22,344 | 17,412-23,129 |

Table 13: 95% Confidence bounds to Kullman Method. F – Female; M – Male; * - N (sample size) insufficient.
The statistical analysis based on the 5 methods of dental mineralization wasn't described by any study consulted until this was realized according to our proposal at the Ethics Committee. The approach was to determine for each method, tooth and stage, the 95% confidence bounds, instead of a single value, is given instead of a single value, is given an interval of probable estimations (Tables 12, 13, 14 and 16). The confidence bounds were, in general, wider, however, they could decrease, with a higher sample size in future studies with Portuguese population.

The confidence bounds were, in general, wider, however, they could decrease, with a higher sample size in future studies with Portuguese population. However, it is possible like is recommend it, to increase the accuracy and the validation of age estimation. The third molar, unlike the permanent teeth, mineralize earlier in Portuguese males, principally, in D to G stages. In stage H this difference isn't statistically significant for Portuguese population. For this population exist left-right symmetry statistically significant, i.e., the tooth 18/28 and 38/48 have a mineralization stage extremely concordant. The upper third molars mineralized earlier in Portuguese males, with orthopantomogram, give more reliable results to criminal law application. In late adolescence, the medico-legal age estimation is done scientific by dental criteria, particularly by the evaluation of the third molar mineralization, since all teeth had completed their formation. In spite of their variability in terms of size, agenesis, time of mineralization and eruption, the third molar is at present a good criteria.

The results from this study suggest the third molar as good criteria to chronological age estimation for Portuguese population. However, its use should be always associated to the remaining parameters however it is possible like is recommend it, to increase the accuracy and the validation of age estimation. The third molar, unlike the remaining permanent teeth, mineralize earlier in Portuguese males, principally, in D to G stages. In stage H this difference isn't statistically significant for Portuguese population. For this population exist left-right symmetry statistically significant, i.e., the tooth 18/28 and 38/48 have a mineralization stage extremely concordant. The upper third molars mineralize earlier that the lower ones. In Portuguese criminal law, the ages 16 and 18 years old are the mainly ages for the medico-legal examination according to the court. The present study concluded that in any development stage it is possible to estimate the majority or minority of a Portuguese individual, based exclusively in third molar mineralization.
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