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Abstract

Cytokines have been associated with the development of cancer cachexia. Cytokine dysregulation is thought to cause cachexia and its associated symptoms by negatively affecting physiological homeostasis. Cytokines that have been associated with cachexia are thought to be associated with symptom development. Despite this increased association, there is mixed data regarding the development of symptoms, such as pain, anorexia, and lethargy. The purpose of this retrospective study was to examine the association of cytokine and C-reactive protein levels over time to determine if levels were associated with symptom development.

In addition to targeting cancer as a disease process in the hopes of driving remission, the advanced practice provider must contend with a myriad of symptoms that patients often endure during their illness, such as weight loss, depression, and fatigue. Currently, there is no way of deciphering which patients will develop mild to severe symptoms during the course of their illness. A potential tool for understanding which patients will develop negative symptoms during their illness may lie within our increasing understanding of the role of cytokines in cancer.

Cytokines have been associated with the development of cancer cachexia. Estimates indicate that up to 80% of all cancer patients experience cachexia (Walz, 2010). Cancer cachexia is a complex metabolic condition that is characterized by loss of skeletal muscle with or without loss of adipose tissue (Dodson et al., 2011; Fearon, Arends, & Baracos, 2013). About 20% to 30% of all United States cancer deaths are due to the debilitating effects of cachexia (Caro, Laviano, Pichard, & Candela, 2007; von Haeling & Anker, 2010). Cachexia has a significant impact on patient morbidity and mortality, treatment tolerance, outcomes, and is a source of extraordinary psychological distress for patients and their families (Hopkinson, 2010;
Higher levels of depression, pain, decreased physical energy, performance, and fatigue have been reported in patients with cachexia compared with those who do not have cachexia (Giannousi et al., 2012; Laird et al., 2011; Oz, Theilla, & Singer, 2008; Roberts, Frye, Ahn, Ferreira, & Judge, 2013; Wallengren, Lundholm, & Bosaeus, 2013). Cytokine dysregulation is thought to cause cachexia and its associated symptoms by negatively affecting physiological homeostasis.

Three physiological processes are affected by cytokines in the development of cachexia: (1) Cytokines promote catabolism, specifically of muscle. The body responds to cancer, mediated by cytokines, by slowing the rate at which new muscle is produced; (2) Adipose breakdown is promoted through various pathways. This lipolytic degradation promotes the breakdown of triacylglycerols into glycerol and nonessential fatty acids. Glycerol stimulates liver gluconeogenesis. The tumor subsequently uses this additional fuel for growth and production of further cytokines, enhancing the effects of cytokines; (3) Altered metabolic processes caused by muscle and adipose breakdown work in concert to continue the production of “feeding” tumors through glucose and nonessential fatty acids, which continues to stress the body through increasing energy consumption. The body, therefore, responds to the tumor by increasing cytokine production, which also contributes to further altered metabolic processes experienced in cancer. Currently, the exact feedback mechanisms for the multiple processes that enhance cachexia are not entirely understood.

In addition to the initiation of cachexia, cytokines that have been associated with cachexia are thought to be associated with symptom development. Recent scientific research suggests that some of the most common symptoms reported by oncology patients are associated with changes in the levels of pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines (Cleeland et al., 2003; Gupta et al., 2011; Imayama et al., 2013; Yakovenko, Cameron, & Trevino, 2018). Despite this increased association, there is mixed data regarding the development of symptoms, such as pain, anorexia, and lethargy. This is partially because of a lag between an elevation of cytokines and symptom development (Lucia, Esposito, Fanelli, & Muscaritoli, 2012). Also, there is an indication that symptoms can be present, such as pain, lethargy, and depression, prior to muscle and adipose wasting (Laird et al., 2011).

The purpose of this study was to examine the association of cytokine and C-reactive protein (CRP) levels over time and determine if levels were associated with symptom development.

METHODS
This study was a secondary analysis of data from a 4-year prospective, longitudinal, descriptive study. The aim was to determine if long-term associations between baseline cytokines and symptom progression could be better understood. To examine these assumptions, this secondary analysis examined cytokines, red blood cells (RBCs), albumin, and changes in symptoms and weight over a 12-month period posttreatment. The target population for the parent study consisted of patients with carcinoma of the head and neck. Eligibility criteria included (a) newly diagnosed, histologically proven carcinoma involving the head and neck; (b) stage 2 or greater; (c) age 21 or over; (d) willing and able to undergo baseline and follow-up; and (e) able to speak English. Patients were excluded if (a) they had medical record documentation of cognitive impairment that would preclude the capacity to provide informed consent; (b) were unwilling to undergo routine follow-up; or (c) had recurrent cancer. No restriction was placed on the type of treatment. Only persons completing the 48-week assessment were included in this analysis. The data from the parent study were obtained through institutional review board–approved procedures. All participants gave informed consent.

Interleukin (IL)-1β, IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, IL-12p70, tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFα), interferon gamma (IFNγ), matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-2A, and MMP9A were measured using a sensitive flow cytometric bead array (CBA; Becton Dickinson) assay that allows multiple cytokine analyses of a single sample. The Vanderbilt Head and Neck Symptom Survey (VHNSS) version 2.0 is a 50-item patient-reported outcome measure with a Cronbach’s α of > 0.89 that identifies and quantitates acute and late symptom burden and functional deficits experienced by head and neck can-
cer (HNC) patients. The VHNSS has 13 domains, including nutrition, swallowing, xerostomia, mucositis, excess mucus, speech, hearing, taste change, smell, and dental health. Items are scored on a Likert scale rating the severity of the symptom from 0 (none) to 10 (severe). The Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D; depressive symptomatology) is a 20-item self-reported measure that assesses the presence and severity of depressive symptoms occurring over the past week from the patient's perspective with a Cronbach’s $\alpha$ of 0.89 (Ridner & Dietrich, 2008).

**DATA ANALYSIS**

Ninety-six individuals originally enrolled in the parent study. The inclusion criteria resulted in a sample size of 56 persons. Randomly missing responses to items within the self-reported assessment tools were handled via protocols specified by the instrument developers. Within this sample, randomly missing values occurred for baseline, 6 months, and 12 months posttreatment lab and self-reported measures, resulting in minimally differing sample sizes for specific analyses.

Data were analyzed using SPSS Version 25 (Chicago). Due to extreme skewness, which is common to symptom data, cytokine distributions, and outlying values, all of the continuous data were summarized using median, 25th to 75th interquartile range (IQR) percentiles, and minimum and maximum values. Distributions were rank transformed for use in parametric statistical methods.

Chi-square tests of independence (nominal, ordinal) and Mann-Whitney tests were used to test for differences between the samples of 56 individuals from the parent study who were included in this study and the remaining 40 individuals from the parent study who were not included. An $\alpha$ of 0.05 was used for each test. Multiple linear regressions were performed on the pretreatment cytokine values, and 1-year symptom measurements were obtained from the VHNSS. Each variable prior to analysis was ranked and transformed.

**RESULTS**

The demographic characteristics of all participants in the parent study ($N = 96$), the subsample included in this study ($N = 56$), and those not included ($N = 40$) are summarized in Table 1. There were no statistically significant differences in demographic characteristics between those who were included and not included in this study. The average age of patients in this study was 57.7 years. The minimum age was 29, and the maximum age was 80.

The disease and treatment characteristics of all participants in the parent study ($N = 96$), the subsample included in this study ($N = 56$), and those not included ($N = 40$) are summarized in Table 2. The most common type of cancer diagnosis in the current study sample was squamous cell carcinoma ($N = 48$, 84.9%). The predominant location of the cancer was in the oropharynx ($N = 28$, 50.0%). Additionally, most patients underwent chemotherapy ($N = 54$, 96.4%). There was a statistically significant difference in the rates of viral etiology within the set of patients in the current study and those not in this study ($p = .038$). This rate was higher in the study sample ($N = 30$ of 56, 53.8%) than it was in the sample not included ($N = 15$ of 40, 37.5%). There were no other statistically significant differences in disease and treatment characteristics between the groups.

**Depressive Symptoms**

Descriptions of the CES-D measured at baseline and changes over time are provided in Table 3. There was considerable variability in self-reported CES-D scores over the duration of this study. Associations of initial levels of cytokines with changes in levels of depression are presented in Table 4. Lower levels (relative to higher levels) of TGF-$\beta_2$ prior to treatment were statistically significantly associated with greater increases in CES-D scores from prior to treatment to 12 months posttreatment ($\beta = -0.41$, $p = .002$). In addition, a positive association with pretreatment CRP levels was observed ($\beta = 0.28$, $p = .035$), indicating that patients with higher CRP levels pretreatment tended to have greater increases in depressive symptoms in the 12 months posttreatment (relative to their symptoms prior to treatment) than did patients with lower pretreatment CRP levels.

**Nutrition-Related Symptoms**

Descriptions of the VHNSS nutrition cluster scores at baseline and changes over time are presented in Table 5. The median baseline score was < 0.3 on a 0 to 10 scale. Associations of initial levels
of cytokines and changes in the VHNSS nutrition cluster scores over time are presented in Table 6. Higher levels of IL-6, IL-10, and MMP2A at baseline were associated with worsening nutrition consumption at 6 months (IL-6: $\beta = 0.35, p = .006$; IL-10: $\beta = 0.33, p = .008$; MMP2A: $\beta = 0.27, p = .035$). Lower levels of TGF-$\beta$1 and IFN-$\gamma$ at baseline were associated with worsening nutrition symptoms.

### Table 1. Demographic Characteristics

|                      | Parent study (N = 96), total (%) | Not in current study (N = 40), total (%) | In current study (N = 56), total (%) | $p$ value |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| Gender               |                                  |                                        |                                     | $.698     |
| Male                 | 70 (72.9)                        | 30 (75.0)                              | 40 (71.4)                           |           |
| Female               | 26 (27.1)                        | 10 (25.0)                              | 16 (28.6)                           |           |
| Race                 |                                  |                                        |                                     | $.314     |
| White                | 86 (89.6)                        | 35 (87.5)                              | 51 (91.1)                           |           |
| Black or African American | 7 (7.3)                        | 4 (10.0)                               | 3 (5.4)                             |           |
| Other                | 3 (3.1)                          | 1 (2.5)                                | 2 (3.6)                             |           |
| Marital status       |                                  |                                        |                                     | $.952     |
| Single/widowed       | 21 (21.9)                        | 9 (22.5)                               | 12 (21.4)                           |           |
| Married/partnered    | 72 (75.0)                        | 30 (75.0)                              | 42 (75.0)                           |           |
| Other                | 3 (3.1)                          | 1 (2.5)                                | 2 (3.6)                             |           |
| Employment           |                                  |                                        |                                     | $.492     |
| Full and part time   | 42 (43.8)                        | 15 (37.5)                              | 27 (48.2)                           |           |
| Unemployed/retired/homemaker/other | 54 (56.2) | 25 (62.5)                              | 29 (51.8)                           |           |
| Location of residence|                                  |                                        |                                     | $.130     |
| City                 | 42 (43.8)                        | 22 (55.0)                              | 20 (35.7)                           |           |
| Country              | 45 (46.9)                        | 14 (35.0)                              | 31 (55.4)                           |           |
| Other                | 9 (9.4)                          | 4 (10.0)                               | 5 (8.9)                             |           |
| Smoking (now or past use) |                         |                                        |                                     | $.448     |
| Yes                  | 68 (70.8)                        | 30 (75.0)                              | 38 (67.9)                           |           |
| No                   | 28 (29.2)                        | 10 (25.0)                              | 18 (32.1)                           |           |
| Alcohol history (now or past use) |                         |                                        |                                     | $.972     |
| Yes                  | 55 (57.3)                        | 23 (57.5)                              | 32 (57.1)                           |           |
| No                   | 41 (42.7)                        | 17 (42.5)                              | 24 (42.9)                           |           |
| Current alcohol intake|                                  |                                        |                                     | $.309     |
| Yes                  | 27 (54.0)                        | 12 (63.3)                              | 15 (48.4)                           |           |
| No                   | 23 (46.0)                        | 7 (36.8)                               | 16 (51.6)                           |           |
| Income per year      |                                  |                                        |                                     | $.496     |
| < $50,000            | 51 (53.1)                        | 24 (60.0)                              | 27 (48.2)                           |           |
| > 50,000             | 28 (29.2)                        | 10 (25.0)                              | 18 (32.1)                           |           |
| Did not respond      | 17 (17.7)                        | 6 (15.0)                               | 11 (19.6)                           |           |
| Education            |                                  |                                        |                                     | $.258     |
| < High school        | 9 (9.4)                          | 4 (10.0)                               | 4 (7.1)                             |           |
| > High school        | 85 (88.5)                        | 30 (90.0)                              | 52 (92.9)                           |           |
Table 2. Description of Disease and Treatment

|                                      | Parent study (N = 96), total (%) | Not in current study (N = 40), total (%) | In current study (N = 56), total (%) | p value |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------|
| Type of cancer                       |                                  |                                          |                                      | .517    |
| Squamous cell carcinoma              | 81 (84.4)                        | 33 (82.5)                                | 48 (84.9)                            |         |
| Other                                | 15 (15.6)                        | 7 (17.5)                                 | 8 (14.3)                             |         |
| Location of cancer                   |                                  |                                          |                                      | .427    |
| Oral cavity                          | 20 (20.8)                        | 9 (22.5)                                 | 11 (19.6)                            |         |
| Oropharynx                           | 41 (42.7)                        | 13 (32.5)                                | 28 (50.0)                            |         |
| Larynx                               | 13 (13.5)                        | 8 (20.0)                                 | 5 (8.9)                              |         |
| Other                                | 22 (22.9)                        | 10 (25.0)                                | 9 (16.1)                             |         |
| Known viral etiology                 |                                  |                                          |                                      | .039    |
| None                                 | 51 (53.1)                        | 25 (62.5)                                | 26 (46.4)                            |         |
| HPV                                  | 28 (29.2)                        | 5 (12.5)                                 | 23 (41.1)                            |         |
| Other                                | 17 (17.7)                        | 10 (25.0)                                | 7 (12.5)                             |         |
| Surgery                              |                                  |                                          |                                      | .422    |
| Yes                                  | 41 (42.7)                        | 19 (47.5)                                | 22 (39.4)                            |         |
| No                                   | 55 (57.3)                        | 21 (52.5)                                | 34 (60.7)                            |         |
| Chemotherapy                         |                                  |                                          |                                      | .227    |
| Yes                                  | 94 (97.9)                        | 40 (100)                                 | 54 (96.4)                            |         |
| No                                   | 2 (2.1)                          | 0 (0)                                    | 2 (3.6)                              |         |
| Type of surgery                      | (N = 41)                         | (N = 19)                                 | (N = 22)                             | .764    |
| RND                                  | 2 (4.9)                          | 1 (5.3)                                  | 1 (4.6)                              |         |
| Modified ND                          | 25 (61.0)                        | 10 (52.6)                                | 15 (68.2)                            |         |
| Total/Partial laryngectomy           | 4 (9.8)                          | 3 (15.8)                                 | 1 (4.6)                              |         |
| Other                                | 10 (24.4)                        | 5 (26.3)                                 | 5 (22.7)                             |         |
| Concurrent radiation                 |                                  |                                          |                                      | .239    |
| Yes                                  | 94 (97.9)                        | 38 (100)                                 | 54 (96.4)                            |         |
| No                                   | 2 (2.1)                          | 0 (0)                                    | 2 (3.6)                              |         |
| PEG                                  |                                  |                                          |                                      | .078    |
| Yes                                  | 49 (52.1)                        | 24 (63.2)                                | 25 (44.6)                            |         |
| No                                   | 45 (47.9)                        | 14 (36.8)                                | 31 (55.4)                            |         |
| Type of treatment                    |                                  |                                          |                                      | .380    |
| Chemo XRT                            | 15 (16.0)                        | 7 (18.4)                                 | 8 (14.3)                             |         |
| Induction + chemo XRT                | 42 (44.7)                        | 14 (36.8)                                | 28 (50.0)                            |         |
| Surgery + chemo XRT                  | 25 (26.6)                        | 11 (28.9)                                | 14 (25.0)                            |         |
| Surgery + radiotherapy               | 2 (2.1)                          | 0 (0)                                    | 2 (2.1)                              |         |
| Induction + chemo XRT + surgery      | 10 (10.6)                        | 6 (15.8)                                 | 4 (7.1)                              |         |

Note. HPV = human papillomavirus; PEG = percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy; RND = radical neck dissection; ND = neck dissection; XRT = radiation.
at 6 months (TGF-β1: β = –0.31, p = .014; IFN-γ: β = –0.25, p = 0.048). IL-6 was the only biomarker with short-term effects that remained at a statistically significant level over the longer 12-month posttreatment period (β = 0.21, p = 0.041).

**Swallowing**

Descriptions of patients’ self-reported difficulties with swallowing solids as assessed by the VHNSS swallowing solids cluster score at baseline and changes over time are presented in Table 7. Associations of initial levels of cytokines with changes over time are presented in Table 8. Higher initial levels of IL-6, IL-10, and TNFα were associated with increased difficulty swallowing solids 1 year later (β = 0.27, p = .048).

**Taste-Smell**

Descriptions of the VHNSS taste/smell cluster scores at baseline and changes over time are presented in Table 9. The median baseline score was 0 on a 0 to 10 scale and did not change more than a point over the course of the study. There was moderate variability in self-reported taste/smell scores throughout the duration of the study. As shown in Table 10, higher baseline levels of IL-1β and IL-6 were associated with worsening taste/smell symptoms at 6 and 12 months posttreatment (all βs > 0.30, p < .05).

**DISCUSSION**

A primary goal of this study was to assess how initial baseline cytokines and CRP correlated with long-term symptom development in patients posttreatment for HNC. Many patients within this study had advanced cancer at the time of diagnosis (stage 3 or greater); therefore, the inflammatory mediators responsible for the development of cachexia and symptoms were already promoting metabolic derangements at the time of enrollment. Additionally, there was no statistical difference in cytokines according to cancer stage and intensity of treatments. Due to the fact that cytokines have variable half-lives ranging from seconds to a few minutes, examining how these biomarkers influence long-term symptom development was important so that fu-
ture research can target modalities that may interfere with cytokines that appear to promote worse symptoms. The caveat to understanding cytokines is that the ability to understand the development of cytokines in human cancer patients during early stages is limited, because cancers are generally not detected at the onset of the disease. Thus, determining the progression of cytokine initiation from the tumor is difficult to elucidate.

**Depression**

Within this study, two significant associations with increased levels of depression were lower baseline levels of TGF-β2 and higher initial levels of CRP. No similar associations of TGF-β2 have been found in regards to depression in previous research. However, TGF-β levels have been associated with higher levels of depression in some recent non-cancer studies (Davami et al., 2016). TGF has been associated with increased fatigue during cancer (Breitbart et al., 2014). Regarding CRP, an association with increased CRP levels and increased levels of depressive symptoms has previously been described in the literature (Archer, Buxton, & Sheffield, 2015). Depression is a common symptom that is associated with cancer

| Biomarker    | 6-month change | p value | 12-month change | p value |
|--------------|----------------|---------|-----------------|---------|
| IL-β1        | < 0.01         | 0.987   | -0.01           | 0.957   |
| IL-6         | 0.22           | 0.079   | 0.04            | 0.750   |
| IL-8         | 0.17           | 0.184   | 0.20            | 0.139   |
| IL-10        | 0.08           | 0.543   | -0.13           | 0.347   |
| IL-12p70     | -0.08          | 0.511   | 0.12            | 0.362   |
| MMP2A        | -0.08          | 0.545   | -0.15           | 0.255   |
| MMP9A        | -0.19          | 0.129   | -0.10           | 0.445   |
| TGF-β1       | -0.21          | 0.099   | 0.07            | 0.584   |
| TGF-β2       | -0.21          | 0.119   | -0.41           | 0.002*  |
| TGF-β3*      | 0.12           | 0.443   | -0.02           | 0.902   |
| TNFα         | 0.16           | 0.207   | -0.07           | 0.599   |
| IFNγ         | 0.14           | 0.270   | 0.20            | 0.125   |
| CRP          | 0.17           | 0.168   | 0.28            | 0.035*  |
| RBC          | -0.20          | 0.112   | 0.01            | 0.966   |
| Albumin      | 0.01           | 0.941   | -0.03           | 0.857   |

Note: IL = interleukin; MMP = matrix metalloproteinase; TGF = transforming growth factor; TNF = tumor necrosis factor; IFN = interferon; CRP = C-reactive protein; RBC = red blood cell.

*Statistically significant. *N = 25 with data for TGF-β3 pretreatment, 6 and 12 months posttreatment.
(Archer, Hutchison, Dorudi, Stansfeld, & Korszun, 2012; Kamath, 2012; Moubayed et al., 2014). Several studies indicate an association between cytokines and the development of depression in cancer patients. With the exception of CRP (which is not a cytokine), no other cytokine correlated with worsening symptoms of depression. TGF is considered inflammatory in nature, and this inflammation may associate with the development of depression. Although it is possible the finding is spurious, further studies examining this association will need to be conducted. This study indicates that a biomarker for depression prediction is not sophisticated enough to be employed by providers to help understand depression trajectory. C-reactive protein is a generic marker of inflammation within the body and has been linked with not only depressive symptoms, but that of fatigue and pain in cancer patients (Myers, 2008). Most studies report a negative association with outcomes and cancer when CRP levels are elevated (Chung & Chang, 2003; Wallengren et al., 2013).

**Nutrition Consumption**

Head and neck cancer patients are prone to developing difficulties obtaining adequate nutritional

| Table 5. Reported Difficulties With Consuming Nutrition at Baseline and Changes From Baseline at 6 and 12 Months |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Biomarker** | Baseline | 6-month change | 12-month change |
|---------------|-----------|----------------|-----------------|
| N             | 56        | 54             | 54              |
| Median        | 0.25      | 0.00           | 0.00            |
| IQR           | 0.00, 1.50| -0.50, 0.75    | -1.00, 0.25     |
| Min, max      | 0.00, 7.00| -5.25, 7.75    | -7.00, 3.75     |

*Note. IQR = interquartile range.*

| Table 6. Initial Biomarker Levels and Changes in Nutrition-Related Symptoms From Baseline at 6 and 12 Months |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Biomarker** | 6-month change | 12-month change |
|---------------|----------------|-----------------|
| β  | p value | β  | p value |
| IL-β1 | 0.06 | 0.660 | 0.06 | 0.592 |
| IL-6 | 0.35 | 0.006 | 0.21 | 0.041a |
| IL-8 | -0.06 | 0.641 | 0.06 | 0.568 |
| IL-10 | 0.33 | 0.008a | 0.12 | 0.237 |
| IL-12p70 | -0.06 | 0.631 | -0.03 | 0.764 |
| MMP2A | 0.27 | 0.035a | 0.11 | 0.297 |
| MMP9A | -0.17 | 0.202 | 0.03 | 0.765 |
| TGF-β1 | -0.31 | 0.014a | -0.06 | 0.600 |
| TGF-β2 | 0.12 | 0.359 | -0.14 | 0.201 |
| TGF-β3a | -0.04 | 0.854 | -0.36 | 0.096 |
| TNFα | 0.18 | 0.155 | 0.17 | 0.112 |
| IFNγ | -0.25 | 0.048a | -0.03 | 0.807 |
| CRP | -0.24 | 0.064 | -0.03 | 0.789 |
| RBC | -0.13 | 0.321 | -0.04 | 0.727 |
| Albumin | -0.01 | 0.930 | -0.10 | 0.369 |

*Note. IL = interleukin; MMP = matrix metalloproteinase; TGF = transforming growth factor; TNF = tumor necrosis factor; IFN = interferon; CRP = C-reactive protein; RBC = red blood cell. |

*aStatistically significant. *N = ~25 with data for TGF-β3 pretreatment, 6 and 12 months posttreatment.*
intake due to the location of their tumor. Furthermore, there is a direct link between lack of caloric intake and increased mortality (Jager-Wittenaar et al., 2011; O’Neill & Shaha, 2011) and decreased quality of life (Caro et al., 2007). The role of cytokines and their mediation of caloric intake by influencing the brain, specifically the hypothalamus, have become increasingly researched. Increased inducible nitric oxide synthase production in the hypothalamus leads to severe anorexia, which is a possible pathway through which proinflammatory cytokines lead to anorexia (Morley & Farr, 2008). Various cytokines influence several neuropeptides that directly affect the desire to eat (Patra & Arora, 2012). This study did not demonstrate any association between cytokines and weight loss.

Individuals with higher levels of IL-6, IL-10, MMP2A, TGF-β1, and IFNγ at baseline were prone to reporting greater difficulties obtaining nutrition. The precise role of cytokines on the progression of anorexia and cachexia is not fully understood, but there are two hypotheses: (1) Cytokines influence neuropeptide interaction through the blood-brain barrier, promoting early satiety and anorexia (Banks, 2001); and (2) IL-6 specifically alters the JAK/STAT pathway, promoting

| Table 7. Reported Difficulties With Swallowing Solids From Baseline and Changes From Baseline at 6 and 12 Months |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Baseline** | **6-month change** | **12-month change** |
| N | 56 | 54 | 54 |
| Median | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.38 |
| IQR | 0.03, 2.63 | -0.38, 2.91 | -0.38, 1.16 |
| Min, max | 0.00, 8.00 | -3.50, 5.63 | -4.63, 6.13 |

*Note. IQR = interquartile range.*

| Table 8. Initial Biomarker Levels and Changes in Swallowing Solids From Baseline at 6 and 12 Months |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Biomarker** | **6-month change** | **12-month change** |
| | **β** | **p value** | **β** | **p value** |
| IL-β1 | 0.26 | 0.057 | 0.25 | 0.060 |
| IL-6 | 0.34 | 0.009 | 0.36 | 0.006* |
| IL-8 | 0.22 | 0.107 | 0.21 | 0.116 |
| IL-10 | 0.31 | 0.023 | 0.39 | 0.003* |
| IL-12p70 | -0.04 | 0.770 | -0.11 | 0.394 |
| MMP2A | 0.03 | 0.828 | 0.16 | 0.233 |
| MMP9A | 0.16 | 0.262 | 0.11 | 0.416 |
| TGF-β1 | -0.17 | 0.223 | -0.11 | 0.445 |
| TGF-β2 | -0.12 | 0.399 | -0.14 | 0.303 |
| TGF-β3b | -0.17 | 0.448 | -0.10 | 0.628 |
| TNFα | 0.31 | 0.019* | 0.23 | 0.091 |
| IFNγ | -0.07 | 0.625 | -0.05 | 0.711 |
| CRP | -0.09 | 0.509 | -0.15 | 0.253 |
| RBC | 0.18 | 0.198 | 0.24 | 0.085 |
| Albumin | -0.15 | 0.292 | -0.27 | 0.048* |

*Note. IL = interleukin; MMP = matrix metalloproteinase; TGF = transforming growth factor; TNF = tumor necrosis factor; IFN = interferon; CRP = C-reactive protein; RBC = red blood cell.

*Statistically significant. aN = -25 with data for TGF-β3 pretreatment, 6 and 12 months posttreatment.
muscle catabolism and decreases muscle production by promoting an increase in circulating levels of myostatin, which inhibits muscle regeneration.

The role of IL-10 and MMP2 on cachexia is less clear than that of IL-6. In a large study of 203 patients examining multiple cytokine polymorphisms, only IL-10-1082 polymorphism was linked to the development of cachexia in gastric cancer (Deans et al., 2009). Increased IL-10 serum expression was significantly associated with worse survival in early-stage oral squamous cell carcinoma (Chen et al., 2013). Another recent study indicates that certain IL-10 genotypes increase the susceptibility of oral cancer (Tsai et al., 2014).

MMP2 has generally been correlated with tumor promotion and progression, as its role in the healthy individual is tissue repair and regulation of vascularization. Currently, an association with MMP2 and cachexia remains unclear. However, MMP2 may play a role in the vascularization of tumors, which help them grow and subsequently enhances the release of cachexia-inducing cytokines.

The TGF-β superfamily cytokine MIC-1/GDF15 circulates in all humans and leads to anorexia/cachexia when overproduced in cancer by

| Table 9. Reported Changes in Taste/Smell From Baseline and Changes From Baseline at 6 and 12 Months |
|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Biomarker | Baseline | 6-month change | 12-month change |
|-----------|----------|----------------|-----------------|
| N         | 56       | 53             | 54              |
| Median    | 0.00     | 0.67           | 0.00            |
| IQR       | 0.00, 1.33 | 0.00, 2.33 | -0.42, 1.00 |
| Min, max  | 0.00, 8.00 | -5.50, 7.00 | -5.17, 6.50 |

*Note. IQR = interquartile range.*

---

| Table 10. Initial Biomarker Levels and Changes in Taste/Smell Symptoms From Baseline at 6 and 12 months |
|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Biomarker | 6-month change | 12-month change |
|-----------|----------------|-----------------|
| IL-β1     | 0.37 | 0.005 | 0.32 | 0.003* |
| IL-6      | 0.31 | 0.022 | 0.36 | 0.001* |
| IL-8      | 0.02 | 0.897 | 0.16 | 0.148 |
| IL-10     | 0.26 | 0.055 | 0.16 | 0.154 |
| IL-12p70  | 0.02 | 0.867 | 0.05 | 0.680 |
| MMP2A     | 0.14 | 0.312 | 0.04 | 0.719 |
| MMP9A     | 0.04 | 0.749 | 0.16 | 0.148 |
| TGF-β1    | -0.24 | 0.079 | -0.06 | 0.563 |
| TGF-β2    | 0.19 | 0.169 | -0.02 | 0.870 |
| TGF-β3*   | -0.02 | 0.946 | 0.30 | 0.149 |
| TNFα      | 0.20 | 0.150 | 0.19 | 0.088 |
| IFNγ      | -0.27 | 0.050 | -0.14 | 0.217 |
| CRP       | -0.17 | 0.209 | 0.01 | 0.904 |
| RBC       | -0.03 | 0.811 | -0.07 | 0.512 |
| Albumin   | 0.17 | 0.221 | -0.05 | 0.685 |

*Note. IL = interleukin; MMP = matrix metalloproteinase; TGF = transforming growth factor; TNF = tumor necrosis factor; IFN = interferon; CRP = C-reactive protein; RBC = red blood cell.
*Statistically significant. *N = ~25 with data for TGF-β3 pretreatment, 6 and 12 months posttreatment.
direct action on brain-feeding centers, specifically the hypothalamus. However, within this study, lower levels of TGF-β1 were associated with worsening nutrition symptoms. This finding was only statistically significant at the 6-month mark and is likely spurious. It is possible that an unknown feedback mechanism between these cytokines as well as the multiple pathways a cytokine was acting upon in head and neck cancer patients was occurring. Further studies examining this association will need to be performed to determine if this is indeed the case. Both higher levels of IFNγ and TGF-β1 have been associated with worsening cachexia (Argilés, Busquets, Felipe, & López-Soriano, 2005; Bing, 2011; Blum et al., 2011; Cahlin et al., 2000; Donohoe, Ryan, & Reynolds, 2011; Patra & Arora, 2012).

Swallowing-Related Symptoms
Difficulties swallowing are directly related to several factors such as the direct impact of the tumor, cancer resection, chemotherapy, and radiotherapy (Raber-Durlacher et al., 2012). What is not well described in the literature is the association between cytokines and swallowing dysfunction in the HNC patient over time. Interestingly, IL-6 and IL-10 also correlated with difficulties in regards to nutrition consumption. However, in this case, IL-6 and IL-10 were associated with difficulty swallowing at 12 months, but this was not the case when patients self-reported difficulties obtaining nutrition on the VHNSS. This perhaps indicates that some patients were able to adapt to their difficulty obtaining nutrition over the year by consuming different foods. Their perception of obtaining nutrition may have changed over the duration of their illness, but they still experienced “trouble” swallowing.

TNFα has been implicated in the development of cachexia in several studies (Tisdale, 2009). TNFα appears to be a stimulus for the production of other catabolic cytokines that subsequently cause the development of cachexia (Argilés, Busquets, Stemmler, & López-Soriano, 2014). Additionally, TNFα appears to affect the hypothalamus through proinflammatory signals that affect neurotransmitters and subsequent hunger signaling to patients, perpetuating the cycle of cachexia by inducing anorexia (Amaral et al., 2006). TNFα also promotes fat lipolysis and fat depletion (Tsoli & Robertson, 2013). TNFα has also been recently identified to regulate lipolysis through the G0/G1 switch gene 2 (G0S2). By inhibiting expression of G0S2, an increased level of lipolysis occurs (Yang, Zhang, Heckmann, Lu, & Liu, 2011). Presently, it appears that TNFα directly relates to adipose tissue loss, but not directly to the loss of muscle protein (van Hall, 2012). With limited effects on muscle loss, the association with decreased ability to swallow and increased TNFα levels in HNC is not clear. It is possible that the elevation of TNFα promoted the metabolic effects that promoted muscle degradation through the promotion of myostatin.

Taste Dysfunction
Higher levels of baseline IL-1β and IL-6 correlated with increased taste dysfunction at both 6 and 12 months. IL-1β is involved in olfactory abilities (Poretti et al., 2015). An examination of the influence of IL-1β on the influence of smell and taste in HNC patients has not been conducted. Increasing levels of IL-1β may inhibit the ability to smell, which is the predominant sense that humans utilize for taste. In a recent study, an injury to the tongue correlated with higher IL-1β levels approximately 2 days after an initial injury (Shi, He, Sarvepalli, & McCluskey, 2012). This same process could also be occurring in the HNC population both through tumor mediation as well as through the negative sequela of treatment.

CONCLUSION
The search for a biomarker to assist in understanding disease trajectories is ongoing. This is likely confounded by the multiple modalities that a cytokine can undertake in the human body. Based upon the evidence, IL-6 and CRP levels at the time of diagnosis may give insight into issues surrounding negative sequela that patients will experience through their illness. Further studies examining these relationships will need to be conducted in prospective clinical trials. Specifically, studies of a much longer duration examining patients who remain disease free and their cytokine profiles should be conducted. Due to many cancers typically not being diagnosed until later stages, longer randomized controlled trials examining cytokine profiles and stages of cancer should be conducted to better understand the association of cytokines and long-term effects on symptoms and disease-free status.
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