Atypical preeclampsia and eclampsia: report of four cases and review of the literature
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Abstract

Classically, most women who develop preeclampsia (hypertension and proteinuria) present some time after 20 weeks of gestation up to 48 h postpartum, and this is especially true in otherwise healthy, nulliparous pregnancies. Recent data suggest that in some women, preeclampsia and even eclampsia may develop in the absence of hypertension or proteinuria. Here, we report four atypical cases: eclampsia in the absence of hypertension and proteinuria (case 1), a partial seizure following eclampsia with antecedent proteinuria, but no hypertension (case 2), a case presenting with fetal distress, but no hypertension (case 3), and a case with unusually rapid progression and massive proteinuria that was unresponsive to therapy (case 4). Problems with atypical forms of eclampsia lie in its unpredictable onset; timely diagnosis and management are critical in avoiding complications. The purpose of this review is to increase the awareness of atypical forms of hypertensive disorders during pregnancy.
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Introduction

The classic triad of preeclampsia is hypertension, proteinuria, and edema. Today, edema is no longer considered an important part of this condition, because it is a common finding in normal pregnancy, and approximately one-third of eclamptic women do not develop edema (1). In recent years, the new term “atypical preeclampsia-eclampsia” has been used to describe non-classical forms of hypertensive disorders arising during pregnancy (1-3). Although there is no strict definition of atypical preeclampsia-eclampsia, it has come to include cases with minimal or no proteinuria, but with hypertension, proteinuria that was unresponsive to therapy (case 4). Problems with the atypical forms are their unpredictable onset and thus the difficulty in making a timely diagnosis to initiate management, which is critical in avoiding complications. We present four cases of atypical preeclampsia-eclampsia and discuss the challenges with these atypical forms, with an overview of the literature.

Case 1

A 20-year-old primigravida was hospitalized at 37 weeks with regular contractions. She had had irregular antenatal visits, which revealed no abnormality. She had a blood pressure (BP) between 130/80 and 100/60 mmHg on admission. Laboratory findings were unremarkable (hemoglobin 12 mg/dL, hematocrit: 37.2%, thrombocyte: 122000/mm³, glucose: 70 mg/dL, creatinine: 0.58, ALT: 31 U/L, AST: 35 U/L, LDH: 519 U/L), with a trace of proteinuria in the urinalysis. She had no prodromes suggestive of hypertensive disease. She delivered a healthy female baby vaginally a few hours later, uneventfully. At 4 h after delivery, she developed a generalized convulsion, lasting 23 min, despite being normotensive, and soon after this she had two other seizures. MgSO₄ was given (loading dose of 46 g over 1520 min, followed by a...
A 31-year-old multipara presented with contractions at 33 weeks gestation with the cervix 2 cm dilated and 40% effaced. Her BP was 110/70 to 110/60 mmHg on admission. Her CBC, routine biochemical tests, and coagulation studies were normal, but she had a dipstick proteinuria of 3+. On admission, the intrapartum fetal heart rate recording revealed poor variability and late decelerations. An emergency Cesarean delivery was performed for fetal distress and a male fetus weighing 1900 g was delivered with APGAR scores of 4 and 6 at 1 and 5 min, respectively. The baby was admitted to the intensive care unit for respiratory distress syndrome. The placenta was atrophic, but not abrupted. Then, 2 h postoperatively, the mother became hypertensive, with a BP of 160/100 to 150/100 mmHg, a severe headache and visual blurring. A MgSO4 infusion was started with a loading dose 4.5 g over 20 minutes, followed by a maintenance dose of 2 g/h as a continuous intravenous infusion for 24 h. Three days later, she became normotensive and her complaints resolved. She was discharged on the 4th postoperative day.

**Case 2**

A 20-year-old nulligravida was admitted with regular contractions at 37 weeks gestation. All her prenatal visits had been normal, including BP, which was recorded as 120/80 to 110/70 mmHg. There was no prodrum of hypertensive disease and no laboratory abnormality, including platelet count, liver enzymes, LDH, electrolytes, and glucose, although proteinuria (3+) on dipstick was noticed on admission. She delivered a 2800-g male fetus vaginally, uneventfully. Following the delivery, her BP increased suddenly to 150/100 to 140/90 mmHg. She had 3+ proteinuria, a reactive non-stress test, and normal amniotic fluid volume and Doppler indexes. She had no symptom or laboratory abnormality. On the day of readmission, she had eclampsia and the fetus was lost in utero. Labor was induced with a 50 mcg misoprostol intravaginally, but 6 h later she had nine convulsions despite the MgSO4 infusion. Subsequently, she underwent delivery by Cesarean section under general anesthesia, due to failure of induction, and she was anesthetized for 24 h in the intensive care unit postoperatively. She had normal CT and a calculated proteinuria of 36 g/24 h. After weaning from the ventilator on the second day, she continued to convulse in the intensive care unit. However, she had no further convulsions from 48 h after awakening and was transferred to a normal ward and discharged 6 days later.

**Discussion**

The classic teaching that eclampsia is the end point of a disease process, starting subclinically and proceeding to mild preeclampsia and then severe preeclampsia implies that hypertension and proteinuria should precede the onset of eclampsia. In contrast to this paradigm, eclampsia can potentially be encountered at the beginning of the disease process before hypertension and proteinuria develop. Consequently, the term 'preeclampsia' has been criticized as misleading (6). In classic cases, the disease usually first involves the arteries and kidneys, manifesting as hypertension and proteinuria before other organ systems are involved. In atypical cases, however, the organ involvement may start with other systems, such as cerebral involvement, which presents initially as eclampsia. In our first, second, and third cases, the first mani-
The presence of neurologic causes of seizures (Table 2). Cerebral eclampsia should undergo a neurological evaluation to rule out more than four weeks, after delivery (2). All patients with atypical-onset is defined as eclampsia that occurs more than 48 h, but less hypertension or proteinuria during pregnancy or immediately consuming diagnostic investigations for a differential diagnosis should be deferred until the patient is stabilized. A management plan should be started immediately for atypical forms, rather than searching for a rare disease in a differential diagnosis (Table 2) (8). Even a minor sign, such as the trace proteinuria in our first case, should not be overlooked and could be alarming in the absence of overt hypertension and proteinuria. In the second case, if the proteinuria per se had alarmed us earlier, despite the absence of hypertension, the patient could have been watched more closely for a postpartum rise in BP and pain management might have prevented the sudden increase in BP that provoked the convulsion. The absence of classic features results in unnecessary consultations and investigations or a delay in diagnosis and MgSO4 infusion. This is especially true for healthcare providers unfamiliar with atypical forms of eclampsia. The rapidly progressive case of eclampsia in our fourth case was another example of an atypical form. She progressed to severe preeclampsia in four days and had resistant eclampsia, unresponsive to anticonvulsive therapy. This patient is a typical case of non-preventable eclampsia, because her convulsions could not be predicted or prevented even under hospital care. Sibai et al. reported that 56 of 179 cases of eclampsia were potentially unavoidable, despite proper antenatal care and management. Of the 56 patients, 24 convulsed, despite a recent prenatal visit with no previous hypertension, proteinuria, or symptoms suggestive of the forthcoming eclampsia (9). The extension of this series to 254 eclamptic cases found that 80 patients (32%) did not have edema, 58 (23%) had minimal or no hypertension, and 49 (19%) did not have proteinuria at the time of convulsions (10). In a comprehensive study of 383 eclamptic women in the United Kingdom, 38% of the eclamptic convulsions occurred before the documentation of proteinuria and hypertension and 75% developed in hospital, while under supervision (6). These findings reflect the challenges in the early recognition and management of atypical presentations. The most common cause of convulsions in association with hypertension or proteinuria during pregnancy or immediately postpartum is eclampsia. However, late postpartum eclampsia is defined as eclampsia that occurs more than 48 h, but less than four weeks, after delivery (2). All patients with atypical-onset eclampsia should undergo a neurological evaluation to rule out the presence of neurologic causes of seizures (Table 2). Cerebral imaging is indicated for patients with focal neurologic signs, such as hemiparesis, an unconscious state, and prolonged coma. Additionally, cerebral imaging may be helpful in patients who have an atypical presentation of eclampsia (onset before 20 weeks or more than 48 h after delivery, refractory to magnesium sulfate therapy, and recurrent seizures). In conclusion, the absence of hypertension or proteinuria should not preclude diagnosing preeclampsia/eclampsia. Eclampsia or fetal distress may be an unusual presenting scenario in atypical cases before the detection of overt hypertension or proteinuria. Even minor clues in diagnoses, such as a marginally elevated BP or trace proteinuria, may be critical for appropriate, timely management. Obstetricians should be aware of atypical presentations, maintain a high level of suspicion, and be ready to take immediate steps. Moreover, valuable time should not be spent conducting detailed investigations.
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