Clinical factors associated with death in 3044 COVID-19 patients managed in internal medicine wards in Italy: comment
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Dear Editor,

Recently in this journal Corradini et al. [1] reported the results of a nationwide registry of 3044 patients (mean age 67 ± 15 years) hospitalized in Italian internal medicine wards during the time period spanning from February 2 to May 8, 2020, when the country was the first in Europe and the second in the world after China to be dramatically hit by the infection with the coronavirus SARS-CoV2 and the dire clinical manifestations of COVID-19. The main results obtained from the registry data collected in 41 medical wards across the country showed that 351 infected patients died during their hospital stay in the medical wards, with an additional 310 cases who died after transferral to intensive care units (ICU), with a total in-hospital mortality rate of 21.7% (n = 661).

In the context of these findings, Corradini et al. [1] chose to evaluate which patient features recorded at the time of admission were positively or negatively associated with mortality. First and importantly, age was independently associated with in-hospital death (OR 2.46). The authors also evaluated the association of death with the main symptoms on admission and found that the presence of productive cough was positively associated, this symptom being a plausible proxy of bacterial superinfection, whereas fever was negatively associated with death, perhaps a proxy of a useful inflammation response. Then the authors evaluated the association with deaths of a number of pre-existing or current comorbidities recorded at admission. The strongest signals of positive associations were for dementia (OR 1.90), chronic heart failure (OR 1.58), atrial fibrillation (OR 1.51), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (OR 1.23) and chronic renal failure (OR 1.30). Other variables recorded at admission with a positive association with mortality were the number of pre-existing comorbidities (OR 1.36) and domiciliary polypharmacy (OR 1.20) [1], in broad agreement with a previous nationwide observational study [2].

With these results as background, we elected to compare them with those obtained in the frame of another registry conducted in the same period of the first burst of SARS-CoV-2 infection under the initiative and coordination of a research hospital that acted as a hub for COVID-19 in the metropolitan area of Milan, the capital city of the Northern Italian Region Lombardy [3]. This most populated Italian region (approximately 10 million, 16% of the country population) had the peculiar feature of being the first to be heavily hit from the pandemic from February to May 2020. The registry was also promoted by the Mario Negri Institute of Pharmacological Research that, besides preparing the protocol and case report form together with the hub hospital, did the data analysis. A few hospitals, mainly from Lombardy but also Veneto, Abruzzo and Emilia Romagna, chose to join the registry, but 80% of patients were enrolled in the two research and teaching hospitals in Milan, i.e., IRCCS
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Maggiore Policlinico (who contributed for 61% of the study population) and San Giuseppe Multimedica (15%).

At variance with the patients reported by Corradini et al. [1] admitted upfront to medical wards, 1018 patients with COVID-19 (mean age 65 ± 16) enrolled in this registry were first screened at the emergency room and found to be positive for SARS-CoV2 RNA by RT-PCR. Then those who, owing to their moderately severe pulmonary involvement, did not need admission to intensive care units were preferentially admitted to pneumology wards, where continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) non-invasive ventilation (NIV) or high flow-nasal cannula (HFNC) was initiated [4]. When lung involvement was relatively mild patients were preferentially admitted to infectious disease and internal medicine wards, where they were mainly handled by means of conventional oxygen supplementation (i.e., nasal cannula, oral nasal face mask, ventimask, reservoir) and more seldom with CPAP/NIV. This triage strategy was quite effective, because only a minority of patients admitted to these hospital wards needed transfer to intensive care units (3.1%). All admitted patients were evaluated and data collected until discharge or death.

To offer a comparison with the results obtained by Corradini et al. [1] in internal medicine wards, we chose like them to compare the patients who died (n = 220) with those who survived (n = 798) (mortality rate 21.6%), by means of logistic regression analysis adjusted for age and sex and multivariable logistic regression adjusted also for the comorbidities ascertained at admission, in order to assess the association between a number of variables and death. Table 1 reports the results, with particular but not exclusive emphasis on the variables analyzed and reported by Corradini et al. [1]. Our findings in the frame of the first and most heavily affected Italian region are largely confirmatory of those of the nationwide SIMI cohort. On statistical analysis fully adjusted for all comorbidities, there was a positive association of age with in-hospital mortality (OR 1.07, CI 1.05–1.09), particularly strong for the quintiles above 75 years [OR for 75–84 years, 7.78 (2.26–26.8); for 85 or more OR 11.8 (3.27–42.5)]. We also found that respiratory and heart rate measured at hospital entry were positively associated with mortality, as well as body temperature. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure were negatively associated, perhaps as a proxy of a greater cardiovascular reserve. We also confirm the findings of Corradini et al. that the number of comorbidities and chronic polypharmacy were associated with mortality, and that dementia and chronic heart failure were the pre-existing comorbidities recorded at admission more strongly associated. This was also true but with lower strength for cancer and diabetes, with a definite trend of positive association for a history of myocardial infarction and atrial fibrillation but only in the frame of the univariate analysis. At variance with Corradini et al. [1], COPD was not associated with the mortality risk but this difference may

| Variable                        | OR (95% CI)* | P value | OR (95% CI)** | P value |
|---------------------------------|-------------|---------|---------------|---------|
| Age                             | 1.09 (1.07–1.10) | < 0.001 | 1.07 (1.05–1.09) | < 0.001 |
| Body temperature                | 1.16 (0.95–1.41) | 0.154 | 1.30 (1.03–1.64) | 0.026 |
| Heart rate                      | 1.02 (1.01–1.04) | < 0.001 | 1.02 (1.01–1.04) | 0.001 |
| Respiratory rate                | 1.13 (1.09–1.16) | < 0.001 | 1.13 (1.09–1.17) | < 0.001 |
| Systolic BP                     | 0.98 (0.97–0.99) | < 0.001 | 0.99 (0.98–0.99) | 0.008 |
| Diastolic BP                    | 0.98 (0.96–0.99) | 0.006 | 0.99 (0.97–1.00) | 0.096 |
| Number of comorbidities         | 1.44 (1.31–1.59) | < 0.001 | 1.80 (1.15–2.80) | 0.010 |
| Number of drugs                 | 1.08 (1.04–1.12) | < 0.001 | 1.07 (1.02–1.12) | 0.002 |
| Dementia                        | 3.03 (1.75–5.24) | < 0.001 | 4.60 (2.48–8.52) | 0.001 |
| Chronic heart failure           | 3.38 (1.89–6.05) | < 0.001 | 3.51 (1.73–7.16) | < 0.001 |
| Cancer                          | 3.34 (1.93–5.78) | < 0.001 | 3.43 (1.87–6.29) | < 0.001 |
| Diabetes                        | 2.04 (1.38–3.00) | < 0.001 | 1.63 (1.04–2.56) | 0.035 |
| COPD                            | 1.58 (0.97–2.56) | 0.064 | 0.89 (0.48–1.63) | 0.700 |
| Asthma                          | 0.42 (0.14–1.29) | 0.130 | 0.48 (0.12–1.86) | 0.289 |
| Previous myocardial infarction  | 2.20 (1.39–3.49) | 0.001 | 1.69 (0.99–2.88) | 0.054 |
| Previous stroke/TIA             | 1.72 (0.99–2.98) | 0.054 | 1.15 (0.60–2.19) | 0.680 |
| Atrial fibrillation             | 1.64 (1.02–2.63) | 0.042 | 1.62 (0.92–2.84) | 0.094 |
| Malnutrition                    | 9.35 (3.04–28.76) | < 0.001 | 17.20 (3.19–92.65) | 0.001 |

Univariate analysis (adjusted for sex and age) (left column), multivariate analysis adjusted also for comorbidities (right column)
*Age and sex adjusted
**Adjusted for age, sex and all comorbidities
be explained by the size of our cohort that was one third smaller than that based on the whole country. Regarding oxygen supplementation, similarly to the findings from the SIMI-COVID-19 cohort, 69.6% of patients enrolled in our registry was treated with oxygen support during hospitalization and 20.5% received non-invasive ventilation (CPAP, NIV or HFNC). At multivariable analysis, when compared to subjects without oxygen support, patients who needed non-invasive ventilation had a significantly higher risk of in-hospital mortality (OR 4.31, 2.69–6.89), while the need for low-flow oxygen support was not associated with the risk of death (OR 0.84, 0.57–1.25).

All in all, the combined perusal of registry data obtained from these Italian cohorts is justified because, even though the criteria chosen for admission to hospital wards were different, age and total mortality rates were quasi identical in patients hospitalized during the first bout of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. Both data broadly confirm the primary role of aging and pre-existing chronic diseases in causing the similarly high mortality rate observed in both cohorts. Like Corradini et al. [1], we chose to evaluate the potency of the association with death of variables recorded at admission because a more focused therapeutic approach on the basis of these symptoms and comorbidities may help to better plan and tailor the therapeutic strategies, at a time when specific antiviral agents were not available for COVID-19.
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