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Developmental toxicity and its affiliation to long-term health, particularly neurodegenerative disease (ND) has attracted significant attentions in recent years. There is, however, a significant gap in current models to track longitudinal changes arising from developmental toxicity. The advent of induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC) derived neuronal culture has allowed for more complex and functionally active *in vitro* neuronal models. Coupled with recent progress in the detection of ND biomarkers, we are equipped with promising new tools to understand neurotoxicity arising from developmental exposure. This review provides a brief overview of current progress in neuronal culture derived from iPSC and in ND markers.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Developmental exposure to environmental chemicals, such as heavy metal [e.g., lead (Bellinger et al., 1987), manganese (Lynam et al., 1999), mercury (Falluel-Morel et al., 2007)] and organic chemicals (Thiruchelvam et al., 2002) (e.g., pesticides, herbicide, and industrial surfactants) has been associated with various neurodevelopmental and neurodegenerative diseases (NDs) in the past decades and attracted significant attention in the scientific area. These chemicals have pervaded nearly all parts of our environment, including the food chain (Watras et al., 1998), drinking water (Remoundaki et al., 2016), and atmosphere (Lynam et al., 1999) due to decades of industrial and commercial use. Chronic exposure to low-doses of environmental chemicals does not necessarily illicit immediate response in the exposed population but can have significant bearings on shaping the health of individuals later in life (Lee et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2015). Among different exposure windows, the developmental window has been considered the most sensitive to chemical exposure, which has led to the establishment of the Developmental Origins of Health and Disease (DOHaD) hypothesis. The DOHaD hypothesis postulates that the developmental and growth window presents a critical period of time where exposure to certain environmental chemicals can impose significant consequences on an individual's short and long-term health (Barker, 2007). The attempts to elucidate toxicity arising from developmental exposure, however, have been very challenging because of the latent time between exposure and disease on-set; as well as the lack of established biomarkers that "record" past exposure events and trigger disease on-set later in life. Longitudinal epidemiology studies have been commonly used to establish the connection between developmental exposure and disease onset later in life. For example, developmental
exposure to lead (Pb) can result in an increased risk of developing learning disabilities in exposed children (Needleman et al., 1979) and NDs such as Alzheimer’s disease later in life as suggested in rodent models (Eid et al., 2016). Exposure to organic pesticides such as the herbicide paraquat and fungicide maneb during the developmental stage can cause a decrease of striatal dopamine production and impairment of locomotor activity which aligns with Parkinson’s disease phenotype (Thiruchelvam et al., 2002). Unfortunately, the majority of studies provide limited mechanistic insights into how toxicity affects cells. Various animal models have also been adopted, including rodent (Filipov et al., 2007; Eid et al., 2016), fish (Weber et al., 2013; Wibisky et al., 2016), and monkey (Lasky et al., 2005) models. These have had success in assessing survival toxicity (Gad, 2014) and damage to reproductive tissues (Song et al., 2014) but have had only limited success for assessing neurotoxicity because of the vast difference between human and non-primate brains. For example, rodent models are extremely popular for the study of cancer (Ding et al., 2011) and psychiatric (Majdak et al., 2016) diseases; however, it has become increasingly clear that rodent models do not necessarily recapitulate human brain function as accurately as previously believed and thus may not be an ideal surrogate system for studying neurotoxicity arising from environmental exposures (Hodge et al., 2019). Although rodent and human brains share a conserved structure, RNA-seq studies have revealed that the expression of ion channels, neurotransmitter synthetase, and neurotransmitter receptors varies greatly between species (Hodge et al., 2019). Uncertainty in the accuracy of animal models for studying brain-related diseases has led to the popularization of human stem cells, including embryonic (ESC) and induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) as an in vitro system for assessing neurotoxicity. In contrast to ESC, which must be collected from blastocysts, iPSCs are derived from fibroblasts of human patients. They are easier to collect; account for genetic variations among human patients and thus are becoming a preferred cell model in studying neurotoxicity. iPSCs have been differentiated into various lineages to partially mimic human organs since their debut in 2006 (Takahashi and Yamanaka, 2006). Of particular interest in assessing environmental exposure, iPSCs can be differentiated into neural stem cells (NSCs) (D’Aiuto et al., 2014) and later glutaminergic (Anderson et al., 2015), dopaminergic (Suzuki et al., 2017), and GABAergic (Lin et al., 2015) neurons that mimic part of the brain functions. Here, we will review the recent progress in using human iPSCs as a cell culture model to study neurotoxicity arising from developmental exposure.

TOWARDS RECAPTULATING (PART OF) BRAIN COMPLEXITY IN HUMAN CELL CULTURE

The brain is a complex organ and thus understandably difficult to reconstruct in vitro given its composition, structural, and functional complexity. However, significant progress has been made in recent years enabling partial recapitulation of a human brain in a culture dish.

Composition Complexity

The human brain consists of various cell types, including neurons (Lake et al., 2016), astrocytes (Lewis et al., 2010), and microglia (Hickey and Kimura, 1988). To address the challenge in composition complexity, various protocols have been developed for incorporating multiple cell types via co-culture systems [i.e., neuron- astrocyte (Odawara et al., 2014; Kuijlaars et al., 2016) and neuron- microglia (Haenseler et al., 2017)] differentiated from iPSC and neural progenitor cells (NPCs). Figure 1 illustrates some commonly used co-culturing techniques that can be used to reconstruct brain-like tissues on a dish. These co-culture systems facilitate the reconstruction of complex neural systems resembling neural development (Kuijlaars et al., 2016) or mimicking inflammatory responses that are provoked in NDs such as Alzheimer’s and Parkinson’s disease (McGeer et al., 1988; Sapp et al., 2001). It is worth noting that not all cells found in the brain are from the same stem cell lineage. For example, microglial cells in the brain result from the differentiation of mesodermal progenitors (Murabe and Sano, 1982), rather than the neuroectoderm, as is the case with neurons (jiang et al., 2003). The combination of multiple types of cells in vitro has arisen as a critical tool in the study of brain development, particularly in the study of NDs, where the interaction between multiple cell types is crucial for disease pathology (Di Malta et al., 2012; Heneka et al., 2013).

Structure Complexity

Significant advances in cell culturing approaches have occurred in recent years that enabled the transition from 2D to 3D cell culture to reconstruct the architecture of the brain. Compared to 3D culture, 2D cell cultures are typically easier to perform and more compatible with imaging-based analysis, including neurite morphology analysis and live-cell tracing (Shin et al., 2018). 3D culture, however, takes advantage of the self-assembly that iPSCs undergo when grown in a 3D environment (Lancaster et al., 2013). This self-assembly causes iPSCs to form distinct cell types native to specific areas of the brain, such as the hippocampus, ventral forebrain, and cerebral cortex (Lancaster et al., 2017; Quadro et al., 2017). Using this method, researchers can create brain organoids that contain various discrete but interdependent brain regions (Lancaster et al., 2013). This structure complexity, particularly the development and interaction of different brain regions, can produce organoids with active neural networks capable of firing in response to stimuli (Giandomenico et al., 2019). 3D organoids provide an ideal testing platform for drug discovery (Phan et al., 2019), modeling neurological diseases (Lancaster et al., 2013), and assessing environmental toxin exposure (Forsythe et al., 2018). While early brain organoids showed significant heterogeneity (Camp et al., 2015), brain organoids generated via more recent protocols have improved reproducibility (Velasco et al., 2019; Nickels et al., 2020) but still require further optimization.

Functional Connectivity

Although iPSCs can differentiate into neurons and express the mature neuronal markers such as MAP2 and NeuN, they
do not necessarily exhibit the correct electrophysiological properties, hampering their uses in assessing neural circuitry activities. Researchers have developed simplified protocols for differentiating neuronal networks that are electro-physiologically active in 2D (Gunhanlar et al., 2018) and 3D (Paşca et al., 2015; Birey et al., 2017). These protocols typically include cAMP in their culture to facilitate the establishment of neuronal connectivity (Kang et al., 2017; Gunhanlar et al., 2018). With the initial claim of success, the prevalence, composition, properties, and relevance of these established neuronal connections remain to be tested by time. The occurrence of in vivo-like complex neuronal activity and functional circuitry remains elusive and more in-depth analysis will be crucial for unraveling the connectivity in cultures, particularly organoids, to understand the impact of genetic and environmental chemical perturbations on human synaptogenesis, neuronal activity, and network function.

MIMICKING DEVELOPMENTAL EXPOSURE USING STEM CELL MODELS

Stem cells can undergo differentiation and maturation in a similar manner compared to animal models, providing multiple assessment windows for studying neurotoxicity. Human brains contain many unique features that define cognition (Lui et al., 2011). On average, 86.1 billion neurons can be found in the brain and spinal cord of an adult male and 16.34 billion of these are located in the cerebral cortex (Azevedo et al., 2009; Herculano-Houzel et al., 2016). 80% of the neurons in the cerebral cortex are thought to be excitatory glutaminergic neurons which were differentiated in the ventricular zone and subventricular zone of the cortical wall during prenatal development from 50 postconceptional days (pcd) to 24 postconceptional weeks (pcw) at a rate of ~3.86 million neurons per hour (Workman et al., 2013). Neurogenesis occurs in the spinal cord and brain stem beginning at 32 pcd followed by cerebral cortex (O’Rahilly, 2006). Most of this neuron generation is completed before birth. Neocortical interneurons and inhibitory GABAergic neurons are two of the few neuron types that are known to undergo differentiation after birth (Sanai et al., 2011; Radonić et al., 2014). Although most neurons have completed differentiation before birth, the neuronal network is yet to be established. Recent studies have revealed that synaptogenesis (Peter, 1979; Kang et al., 2011), myelination (Miller et al., 2012), and synaptic pruning (Petanjek et al., 2011) are still ongoing until 20 years of age. Figure 2 summarizes the neuronal developmental processes at different time windows in the human brain matched to various stages of stem cell differentiation. Specifically, the procedure of generating mature neurons from NPCs can be divided into two sequential steps, namely, differentiation followed by maturation. During the differentiation step, retinoic acid or bone morphogenetic protein is used to mediate neuronal differentiation signaling pathways (Cazillis et al., 2006). After that, brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) and dibutyryl cyclic adenosine monophosphate (db-cAMP) are added to facilitate neuron maturation and promote synaptogenesis (Kang et al., 2017; Gunhanlar et al., 2018). The initial differentiation stage is completed after 8 days but it can take up to 6–8 weeks for neurons
FIGURE 2 | An illustration of neurodevelopmental processes matched to different stem cell differentiation time windows resembling human brain development.

ASSESS NEUROTOXICITY USING CELL CULTURE MODEL

Compared to animal models, cell culture presents several advantages for assessing neurotoxicity, including homogeneity in cell identity, compatibility with long-term monitoring, and controllable dosing. Several disadvantages remain, most notably the inability to perform behavior and aging related assessments. We will thus focus on summarizing molecular markers that have been previously established to facilitate the assessment of neurotoxicity.

Disease Biomarkers

Cell viability and proliferation are conventionally used as the starting point for assessing neurotoxicity. Although insightful for acute toxicity, limited knowledge can be gained regarding the chronic effects of neurotoxicity arising from environmental exposures. Early-stage disease biomarkers with established correlations of disease on-set thus play a critical role in assessing neurotoxicity. Several good reviews exist summarizing prevalent early-stage biomarkers that have been used to assess ND risks (Molinuovo et al., 2018; Ehrenberg et al., 2020). For example, Aβ42/Aβ40 ratio (Doecke et al., 2020) and phosphorylated tau p-Thr181 tau (Thijssen et al., 2020) and p-Thr205 tau (Barthélemy et al., 2020) can be used to assist the diagnosis and prognosis of AD. α-synuclein and α-synuclein phosphorylation can be used in PD for similar purposes (Wang et al., 2012; Lin et al., 2017). These biomarkers can be visualized in cells exposed to environmental chemicals using either immunofluorescence or immunohistology methods, or quantified via ELISA, providing a robust approach to connect environmental exposure with neurodevelopmental and NDs.

Neuronal Activity

Recent expansion of toolsets to conduct electrophysiology measurements have further expanded our capability to probe neural circuit activities related to environmental exposure. Synaptic and ion channel activities, membrane potential, and action potential can be conventionally recorded using patch-clamp and multi-electrode array (MEA). These approaches have been primarily used to record changes in neural circuits of animal brain slices (Cholanian et al., 2017), cultured primary cells (Cannady et al., 2017; Dunn et al., 2018), and cell cultures (James et al., 2017) responding to various environmental chemical exposures. Neurons derived from iPSCs can establish electro-physiologically mature neuronal networks that closely resemble mature primary neurons (Gunhanlar et al., 2018). Recently, patch-clamp and MEA have been applied to cortical neurons derived from iPSCs of AD patient and healthy control; and demonstrated that AD neuronal cultures exhibit increased spontaneous firing, slow oscillatory events, and hypersynchronous circuit activity (Ghatak et al., 2020). Furthermore, various imaging probes, including calcium indicators, i.e., Fura-2 (Tsien et al., 1982; Grynkiewicz et al., 1985) and GCaMP (Chen et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2018); membrane potential probes, i.e., ASAP2 (Yang et al., 2016; Chamberland et al., 2017) and Voltron (Abdelfattah et al., 2019); and neurotransmitter probes, i.e., iGluSnFR (Marvin et al., 2013) and dLight (Patriarchi et al., 2018) can be introduced to neuronal cultures to monitor neural circuit activity in situ. These measurements collectively provide a viable approach to characterize abnormal circuitry activity that are known to be altered in various neurodevelopmental and neurodegenerative conditions. For example, AD neuronal cultures show oscillatory
Epigenetic modifications account for inheritable changes in chromatin that are not accounted for by DNA mutations. Epigenetic modifications have been increasingly recognized as viable markers for various neurological diseases and are being actively researched. Among different epigenetic modifications, DNA methylation, such as cytosine methylation (5mC), hydroxymethylation (5hmC), and histone acetylation have attracted the most significant attention. Mutations in DNA and histone methyltransferase have been identified as risk factors in autism (Satterstrom et al., 2020). In addition, abnormal alterations in H3K9ac and H3K27ac were identified as the major distinctions between aging and AD brains (Nativio et al., 2020). Furthermore, CpH hypomethylation is accelerated in AD brains compared to normal aging ones (Li et al., 2019). Abnormal DNA methylation of ASCC1 and SLC7A11 has also been linked to PD (Vallerga et al., 2020). Similar methylation features were also revealed in iPSC models reprogrammed from patients iPSCs (Fetahu et al., 2019). Interestingly, environmental exposure of neuronal cells can also lead to major changes in DNA methylation, histone methylation, and acetylation. This suggests that epigenetic mechanisms may serve as a potential bridging marker between environmental exposure and neurological conditions (Pavanello et al., 2009; Luo et al., 2014; Wirbisky-Hershberger et al., 2017; Lin et al., 2020). The detection of epigenetic changes by protein-based fluorescent probes allows for real-time analysis of the changes to the global epigenome levels. So far protein probes have been developed for binding and visualization of both DNA methylation and histone modifications (Hendrich and Bird, 1998; Lungu et al., 2017; Sanchez et al., 2017; Sanchez et al., 2019). Further development of nanobody fragments have provided an additional domain that allows for high specificity and affinity for selected epigenetic targets (Hattori et al., 2016; Jullien et al., 2016). Accurate understanding and quantification of epigenetic changes that proceed chemically induced disease development could provide a system that can detect neurological conditions at an earlier time point.

CONCLUSION

In closing, patient derived iPSCs offer a promising platform to assess neurotoxicity given its ability to partially recapitulate the complexity and functionality of in vivo neurological structures. The advent and exploration of both 2D and 3D iPSC systems provide great promise for the examination of environmental exposure effects on adolescent brains. In addition, these cell assemblies provide a platform that more closely matches the developmental timeline and disease phenotypes of a human brain in comparison to rodent models. The application of environmental chemicals to iPSC is in its early stages, but provides a clear opportunity to understand the mechanisms that affect the human brain when exposed to heavy metals and pesticides. The use of phenotypic assays that quantify ND risk factors, neuron activity, and epigenetic changes can be used to elucidate the molecular mechanisms that are perturbed by environmental exposure. With the application of the numerous live-cell compatible techniques to human iPSCs, we are at an optimal position to understand the biological response to chronic toxin exposure and how it informs long-term disease development.
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