A three-branch 3D convolutional neural network for EEG-based different hand movement stages classification
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Motor Imagery is a classical method of Brain Computer Interaction, in which electroencephalogram (EEG) signal features evoked by the imaginary body movements are recognized, and relevant information is extracted. Recently, various deep learning methods are being focused on finding an easy-to-use EEG representation method that can preserve both temporal information as well as spatial information. To further utilize the spatial and temporal features of EEG signals, we proposed a 3D representation of EEG and an end-to-end EEG three-branch 3D convolutional neural network, to address the class imbalance problem (dataset show unequal distribution among their classes), we proposed a class balance cropped strategy. Experimental results indicated that there are also a problem of the different classification difficulty for different classes in motor stages classification tasks, we introduce focal loss to address problem of ‘easy-hard’ examples, when trained with the focal loss, the three-branch 3D-CNN network achieve good performance (relatively more balanced classification accuracy of binary classifications) on the WAY-EEG-GAL data set. Experimental results show that the proposed method is a good method, which can improve classification effect of different motor stages classification.

Modern neurophysiological studies shows that the power spectrum of some characteristic frequency components in EEG signals can be changed by actual body movement or imaginary brain movement. The decrease of power spectral ratio is called event-related desynchronization (ERD), and the increase of power spectral ratio is called event related synchronization (ERS)\(^1\),\(^2\). Brain computer interface (BCI) based on ERS/ERD phenomenon provides a way for communication between computers and human brain by analyzing the electrical signals generated by brain nervous system\(^3\). Electroencephalogram (EEG) signal is a one of electrical signal widely used in brain computer interface (BCI) systems. A large number of motor imagery classification methods have been proposed. In previous studies, machine learning methods like dynamic connectivity analysis\(^5\), frequency band analysis\(^4\), continuous wavelet transform\(^6\), and Filter Bank Common Spatial Pattern (FBCSP)\(^7\) have been widely proposed for EEG decoding. These methods artificially extracted time–frequency features from EEG signals, and then combine these artificially extracted features into feature vectors, which are then used to train classifiers such as support vector machine (SVM)\(^8\),\(^9\) or decision tree\(^10\) to classify EEG signals. Therefore, in the above-mentioned methods, the selection of the best filter band is usually subject specific, and it depends heavily on the quality of the hand-made features\(^11\) thus if the suboptimal frequency band is selected in the feature extraction process, the classification performance may not be the best. Moreover, these methods can not be widely used in large population due to the non universality of subjects.

Compared with machine learning frameworks\(^12\),\(^14\), deep learning methods does not need to extract features manually, and embeds all calculations, including extracting feature and classification, into a single end-to-end network, which can overcome the disadvantages of traditional machine learning\(^15\),\(^16\). In order to apply deep learning method to MI classification, EEG signals need to be represented as a processable form, which is a prerequisite to be satisfied, to meet this premise, EEG are often represented as a two-dimensional array, which taking the number of sampling electrodes as the height and the time step as the width. A typical method\(^17\) is to represent EEG signals as 2D images by a short-time Fourier transform (STFT) method. In particular, the spectral content of Mu and beta bands becomes obvious by maintaining the activation mode at different positions, times and frequencies. However, this two-dimensional representation can not keep the spatial information of
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EEG and the correlation between adjacent electrodes can not be reflected in the two-dimensional array, which leads to the unsatisfactory classification performance of EEG coding. In view of the shortcomings of the above two-dimensional representation methods, to obtain better performance, some more dimensions representation methods were introduced. Zhao et al.\(^\text{18}\) first introduced a three-dimensional representation method of EEG signals, which retains both temporal information and spatial information. Based on this representation, a three-branch 3D CNN is proposed to extract the EEG signal features and complete classification tasks, their architecture achieves an excellent classification performance on BCI competition IV-2a. Compared with the most advanced methods, the performance of this method is significantly improved, indicating that spatial information are important for EEG-based classification tasks.

However, all these methods rarely notice the class imbalance and the different classification difficulty for different classes. In the classification problem, the class imbalance problem (data sets show unequal distribution among their classes) is very common. When the class imbalance is serious, the performance of the model will further get degraded\(^{19}\).

To solve the problem of class imbalance, various methods have been designed to obtain a more practical classification model, the most common method is to use resampling techniques (for example, oversampling and undersampling) to modify the class distribution of the training set and make it more balanced, thereby allowing conventional learning algorithms to perform well\(^{20–24}\). Another popular method is cost-sensitive learning, which allocates higher cost when misclassifying a minority class instances at the algorithm level\(^{25,26}\), or using SMOTE (synthetic minority oversampling technology) and its variants\(^{27–30}\) to generate synthetic minority samples. However, SMOTE have difficulties in processing high-dimensional data\(^{31}\). Another method is to weight the training samples based on the class imbalance in the optimization function of the classifier\(^{32}\). To further address this problem, Su et al.\(^{33}\) proposed four methods to overcome the problem of class imbalance. They tested these methods and three types of unbalanced EEG classification problems, and observed significant improvements.

Class imbalance is addressed by a two-stage cascade and sampling heuristics in object detection. The proposal stage (e.g., RPN\(^{37}\), Selective Search\(^{34}\), DeepMask\(^{36}\), EdgeBoxes\(^{35}\)) reduce the objects to a smaller number (for example, 1–2 k), and filter out a large number of background samples. In the second stage, to keep a acceptable balance between background and foreground, sampling heuristics are implemented\(^{38}\). The two-stage detection method can achieve very high results, but it also has a big disadvantage: time-consuming. To reduce the time-consuming while not reducing the detection effect, a one stage object detector\(^{39}\) have been presented to matches the state-of-the-art COCO AP of more complex two-stage detectors. They suggest that the standard cross entropy loss should be reshaped to solve this kind of imbalance, so as to reduce the weight of the loss assigned to well classified examples. Focal loss can also be migrated to other classification tasks with class imbalance.

In this study, similar to method in\(^{18}\), a 3D representation of EEG signal is introduced, which preserves both temporal and spatial information, on this basis, we designed a three-branch 3D CNN to complete feature extraction and classification. One of the primary contributions of the proposed framework is that a class equal cropped strategy are proposed for the WAY-EEG-GAL data set(a class imbalance datasets). At the same time, we think that there are not only a problem of class imbalance in EEG classification, but also a issue of ‘easy-hard’ example (the different classification difficulty for different classes). So Another contribution of the proposed method is that we introduce the focal loss to address this problem and achieve good performance (more balanced results of binary classifications) on the WAY-EEG-GAL data set. In addition, The proposed methods were evaluated on the BCI competition IV 2a datasets(a well-balanced dataset) to verify the effectiveness of our proposed framework on well-balanced dataset.

Methods

In the following sections, we will describe 3D representation of EEG, three-branch 3D CNN, focal loss and classification strategy.

3D representation of EEG. Zhao et al.\(^{18}\) designed a three-dimensional model of EEG. Firstly, according to the distribution of the sampling electrodes, the EEG signal is converted into a two-dimensional array, and then the points without electrodes are filled into 0. After that, this 2D array was expanded to 3D array by using temporal information of EEG signals. In this study, we designed a 3D representation of EEG similar to method in Ref.\(^{18}\).

At the same time, because of the insensitivity of the network proposed in this study to the filtering, we does not use the filtering method, but only does the subtraction average value processing to improve the classification effect of the network. In this study, since the EEG signal of the WAY-EEG-GAL dataset has 32 sampling electrodes with spatial distribution according to the international 10–20 system, the same representation method is also to represent the EEG signal of these 32 channels. The specific representation process is shown in Fig. 1.

The adjusted three-branch 3D CNN. Based on the 3D representation of EEG, a three-branch 3D CNN is also used to classify the motion intention in different stages. However, because in this study, our research is based on binary MI Classification method, the three-branch 3D CNN used in this section has been adjusted on the basis of Ref.\(^{18}\). The adjusted network is shown in Fig. 2.

As can be seen from Fig. 2 in Ref.\(^{18}\) and Fig. 2 in this paper. The adjustment of the model includes the following points. Firstly, the overall structure and parameters of the model are adjusted. Secondly, in this study, the problem of classification is changed from four-classes MI Classification to binary MI Classification, that is, the number of fully connected network nodes in the penultimate layer of the network is reduced from 4 to 2, at the same time, due to the reduction of classification classes, the number of nodes in other full connection
layers is reduced. Thirdly, to prevent over-fitting problem, we introduce dropout method (dropout values = 0.4) described in 40 at the full connection layer (The optimal dropout percentages are obtained by many experiments with different dropout percentages range from 0.3 to 0.7 with an interval of 0.1).

**Focal loss.** The focal loss is designed to address the one-stage object detection tasks 41. In this study, we introduce focal loss to address problem of ‘easy-hard’ example.

To understand the focal loss function clearly, starting from the cross entropy (CE) of binary classification, we introduce the focal loss.
\[
\text{CE}(p, y) = \begin{cases} 
-\log(p) & \text{if } y = 1 \\
-\log(1 - p) & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

\(y \in \{\pm 1\}\) allocates the ground-truth class in the above and \(p \in [0, 1]\) is the model's estimated probability for the class with label \(y = 1\). For convenience, we define \(p_t\):

\[
p_t = \begin{cases} 
p & \text{if } y = 1 \\
1 - p & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

and rewrite it as \(\text{CE}(p, y) = \text{CE}(p_t) = -\log(p_t)\).

A notable feature of this loss is that even the easy examples \((p_t \gg 0.5)\) will result in non-trivial loss. These small loss values can overwhelm the less class when summed over a large number of easy examples. In this study, focal loss is introduced to address the problem of ‘easy-hard’ example. Focal loss is a function that add a modulating factor \((1 - p_t)\gamma\) to the cross entropy loss, \(\gamma \geq 0\), is a tunable focusing parameter.

The focal loss had been defined as:

\[
\text{FL}(p_t) = -((1 - p_t)^\gamma \log(p_t)) 
\]

Focal loss have two properties. 1) When an example is misclassified and \(p_t\) is small, the modulating factor is near 1 and the loss is unaffected. As \(p_t \rightarrow 1\), the factor goes to 0 and the loss for well-classified examples is down-weighted, so model will pay more attention to hard example. 2) The focusing parameter \(\gamma\) smoothly adjusts the rate at which easy examples are down-weighted. When \(\gamma = 0\), FL is equivalent to CE, and as \(\gamma\) is increased the effect of the modulating factor is likewise increased (We found that each binary classification task has its own \(\gamma\) value to work best in our experiments).

Furthermore, we can also slightly improve the model recognition effect by adding \(\alpha\)-balanced variant, note that adding only \(\alpha\) can balance the importance of negative and positive samples, but it can not address the problem of “easy-hard” examples. To ensure the loss value not too small to stop the training, we multiply the formula by one thousand, just like this:

\[
\text{FL}(p_t) = -\alpha((1 - p_t)^\gamma \log(p_t)) \times 1000
\]

Intuitively, the modulating factor reduces the loss contribution from easy examples and extends the range in which an example receives low loss. For example, if the \(\gamma = 2\), an example classified with \(p = 0.9\) would have 100\(\times\) lower loss compared with CE and with \(\approx 0.968\) would have 1000\(\times\) lower loss. However, the loss of the sample with a prediction probability of 0.3 is relatively large. When the prediction probability is 0.5, the loss is only reduced by 0.25 times, so model pay more attention to the hard example. In this way, the influence of easy example is reduced.

In this study, we use \(\alpha\) to balance sample size, \(\alpha\) have been defined in this study:

\[
\alpha = \frac{\text{num}_c2}{\text{num}_c1 + \text{num}_c2} 
\]

\[
\alpha = \begin{cases} 
\alpha & y = 1 \\
1 - \alpha & y = 0
\end{cases}
\]

In Eq. (5), \(\text{num}_c1\) is the amount of class 1, and \(\text{num}_c2\) is the amount of class 2.

**Classification strategy.** Cropped strategy. Cropped training has been applied to the image recognition field for increasing the training data and improving the training effect. In Ref. 18, they adopted a cropped training approach for EEG 3D representation by sliding a 3D window which covers all sampling electrodes on each EEG data trial along the time dimension with a data stride 1, in this way, they obtained more training data, in this study, we use the same cropped method as in Ref. 18. We first extract the EEG data with a length of 500, and then cropped it with a length of 480, through this approach, the cropped strategy will generate some cropped data just as Table 1. Note that the amount of training data we got is unbalanced.

Table 1 shows that the cropped data of each experimenter is different and unbalanced, and the mount of cropped data in the second stage is generally more than that of other stages.

In order to balance the training data set, another data cropped method is also proposed. The adjustment method follows the principle of keeping the data amount of each class around 6000 or less, there are two different cropped methods for different situations.

If the amount of cropped data for some classes is still less than 6000 when clipping at a cropped step with 1, we would crop this data with 1, based on amount of this data, crop another class. That is, the cropped step size can be calculated like this:

\[
\text{step} = \frac{\text{num}_c1}{\text{num}_c2} 
\]

"\text{num}_c1" is the amount of class more than 6000, "\text{num}_c2" is the amount of another class less than 6000.

If the amount of data for both classes is more than 6000 when clipping at a cropped step with 1, we would keep the amount of cropped data around 6000 through clipping them with step like Eqs. (8) and (9).
“num_c1” is the amount of the class 1, “num_c2” is the amount of the another class. Note that this cropped method just can ensure that the training data of each class is approximately equal rather than completely equal. The purpose of this method is to balance different kinds of EEG data to achieve a better classification effect.

Network optimization. When it comes to network optimization, similar to the earlier work\textsuperscript{18}, all weights, as well as the initial value, are initialized using the normalized initialization method in Ref.\textsuperscript{39}, and the learning rate is 0.01. The negative log-likelihood cost has been adopted as the optimization criterion\textsuperscript{44}, and the optimization method uses ADAM with default parameter values described in Ref.\textsuperscript{45}. In the training process, if the cost does not reduce within 20 epochs, the training will be stopped, and the network weight with the lowest cost will be restored from the epoch.

Experiment and results

EEG data. The WAY-EEG-GAL is not only the first but also the only published data set of brain wave signals related to different stages of action identification. The EEG data in this data set includes all the EEG data in the whole process of experimental paradigm. For EEG signal recording, 32 EEG sampling electrodes are used, which meet the international 10–20 standard. The EEG sampling electrode continuously samples the EEG signal in the process of each sub-experiment with a sampling frequency of 500 Hz. In terms of time point recording of experimental data, the data set provides 43 time point information such as the start time of each sub-experiment, the time when the LED indicator lights up, and the time when the LED indicator lights out. Through these time point information, we can map the brain wave signal data with different events one by one. These time point information are all placed in the human joints or moved from sensors on the surface of the animal. A complete description of the WAY-EEG-GAL data set is available in Ref.\textsuperscript{46}.

Because the purpose of this study is to identify the movement intention in different stages of the action, this study extracts four EEG data in 3936 * 12 sub-experiments of all 12 subjects by using the time information and brain wave signal data of these several time points. The definition of EEG data of four different motion stages is shown in the Table \ref{tab:2}.

In this study, in consideration of the relationship between the stages of the action, we transfer four-classes MI classification experiments to three continuous binary classification experiments. Note that this cropped method

| Subject ID | C1   | C2   | C3   | C4   |
|------------|------|------|------|------|
| S1         | 5512 | 196,238 | 5560 | 5295 |
| S2         | 52,032 | 276,729 | 6327 | 14,673 |
| S3         | 6065 | 186,403 | 5487 | 5530 |
| S4         | 6278 | 201,843 | 8543 | 8792 |
| S5         | 27,890 | 228,718 | 6202 | 7210 |
| S6         | 26,462 | 233,637 | 6147 | 14,712 |
| S7         | 78,849 | 239,767 | 21,997 | 26,298 |
| S8         | 10,791 | 217,182 | 5676 | 6036 |
| S9         | 27,349 | 210,387 | 7009 | 14,817 |
| S10        | 25,707 | 251,869 | 8154 | 9750 |
| S11        | 56,070 | 233,336 | 7971 | 19,627 |
| S12        | 51,158 | 247,668 | 19,423 | 41,810 |

| Action state               | Meaning of time period               | Start time               | End time               |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| First movement state      | Hands start to move                  | tHandStart              | tBothStartLoadPhase   |
| Second movement state     | Finger start to apply load force     | tBothStartLoadPhase     | LEDOff                |
| Third movement state      | Fingers apply load and hands return objects | LEDOff            | tReplace              |
| Fourth movement state     | Return the hand to its original position | tReplace            | tHandStop             |

Table 1. The amount of training data of four motion stages obtained by cropped strategy. “Sx” means “Subject x”, “Cx” means different class “class x”.

Table 2. The definition of EEG Data of four different motion stages.

\begin{align}
\text{step1} &= \frac{\text{num}_c1}{3000} \\
\text{step2} &= \frac{\text{num}_c2}{3000}
\end{align}

\(\text{num}_c1\) is the amount of the class 1, \(\text{num}_c2\) is the amount of the another class.

Note that this cropped method just can ensure that the training data of each class is approximately equal rather than completely equal. The purpose of this method is to balance different kinds of EEG data to achieve a better classification effect.
just can ensure that the training data of each class is approximately equal rather than completely equal. The purpose of this method is to balance different kinds of EEG data to improve the classification effect of the model. For the evaluations using cross-validation of the WAY-EEG-GAL datasets, the training and testing datasets are combined and then randomly divided into nine subsets of equal size, which eight subsets were used as training data and a single subset was used as the testing data in each run.

The BCI competition IV 2a dataset consists of EEG data from 9 subjects, using 22 Ag/AgCl electrodes to record the EEG signals. Each subject recorded two sessions on different days and the recorded signals were sampled with 250 Hz. The recorded signals were sampled with 250 Hz and bandpass-filtered between 0.5 Hz and 100 Hz. A single run consisted of 48 trials, which yielded 288 trials per session. The duration of each trial consisted of a fixed period of 2 s and a reminder period of 1.25 s, followed by a period of 4 s of motor imagery. More details on the datasets are available in Ref.47. For this dataset, we adopt a same cropped strategy in Ref.18.

In the presented study18, a 1.25 s period of EEG data is chosen as the experimental data, after the visual cue in each trial. These are further represented as 3D representation without any preprocessing. The sampling frequency is 250 Hz, so 313 sampling points can be generated in 1.25 s sampling time. It can be concluded from the results of Ref.18 that for the EEG signal with 250 Hz sampling frequency, the EEG signal with 240 sampling points has covered the features related to motor imagery.

### Classification performance by different model’s depth and branch.

#### Comparison of different model depths.

In Ref.17, three different depths CNNs are used to do EEG signals classification. Experimental results show that the depth of CNN has a remarkable impact on classification effect, and the classification effect of shallow CNN is better than that of deep CNN. To find the best appropriate model depth, we changed the depth of the model to what the Tables 3 and 4 shows, and then compare the classification effects. The network shown in Table 3 is shallower than the proposed network, on the contrary, the model shown in Table 4 is deeper than our proposed model.

We completed the experiment with cropped strategy but without Focalloss on the WAY-EEG-GAL dataset, by comparing classification effect shown in Table 5 of three different network mentioned above with each other, it can be found that except for the c3&c4 experiment, our proposed three-branch 3D CNN perform best in all binary classification experiments. This indicates that if the model depth is too shallow, it will not extract features very well, and if the model is too deep, it will result in over-fitting to reduce slightly the training effect, so our proposed network’ depth is the most appropriate depth to achieve the best classification effects.

#### Comparison of the different number of network’s branches.

In this section, To further explore the influence of the number of branches on the classification accuracy, a set of experiments has been carried out on three networks with a different number of branches, which are, respectively composed of SRF and MRF, and our proposed three-branch 3D CNN, and a more complex four-branch network just like Fig. 3. We can observe that in total, the proposed 3D CNN can reach higher accuracy than the two-branch network, and achieved a probably similar accuracy to complex network shown on Table 6, but the complex network also has a big disadvantage: more parameters and more time consuming, this means, the three-branch network is more effective than other multi-branch network for the WAY-EEG-GAL dataset.
Influence of focal loss. In the previous section, experimental shows that after using the data equal cropped strategy, we get the more balanced training data, but there are still a big gap in test accuracy between two different classes. Therefore, we introduce the focal loss function when two classes test accuracy gap is greater than 0.3 and use the same training strategy as proposed above. We try with $\gamma = 0$–11 (step size is 0.5) to obtain the best accuracy and corresponding $\gamma$ value.

As shown in Fig. 4, when the class test accuracy of framework trained with CE function is extremely imbalanced (class1: 1.000, class2: 0.043), how the test accuracy changes as the $\gamma$ value increases. When $\gamma$ is between 0 and 7.5, with the $\gamma$ increase, the accuracy of class 2 fluctuates below 0.2, while the accuracy of class 1 does not change much. When $\gamma$ is between 7.5 and 10.0, the accuracy of class 1 decrease to about 0.9, while the accuracy of class 2 increases with a larger value (about 0.3). At the same time, the accuracy has been fluctuating in the middle of a relatively considerable value. From the Fig. 4, we found the optimal value (class1: 0.907, class2: 0.435) when $\gamma = 10.0$, then we obtained the final accuracy by averaging thirty results with $\gamma = 10.0$, in this way, we obtained all the binary classification accuracy in Table 7.

The box-plot of Fig. 5 shows the accuracy distribution of all experiments before and after the introduction of focal loss. From Table 7 and Fig. 5, it can be seen that after the introduction of focal loss, the classification effect is improved, and the classification accuracy is mostly above 0.4. However, with the accuracy of class with low test accuracy (hard example) in experiment with CE increase, that of class with high test accuracy (easy example) in experiment with CE decrease slightly, just as Fig. 5, we think this is because focal loss makes the model pay more attention to hard example, but the average decrease value (about 0.06) is far less than the average increase value (0.22), so we think focal loss function improves the classification effect of the framework.

We obtained the final test accuracy after training with focal loss on test accuracy imbalance experiment shown in Table 8. These results indicated that focal loss can indeed improve the EEG decoding performance.

Overall comparison. In this section, the proposed methods were evaluated on the WAY-EEG-GAL(a class unbalanced dataset) and the BCI competition IV 2a datasets(a well-balanced dataset) to verify the effectiveness of our proposed framework on class unbalanced dataset as well as well-balanced dataset.

Here Cohen's kappa coefficient \(^{68}\) is used to measure the performance of different networks on the BCI IV 2a(\(^{66}\) It is also used to measure the classification effect in later section). The kappa values reported in Table 9 are all averaged over 50 results using different model initialization. Kappa value is defined as \((10)\) where $P_0$ is the proportion of observed agreement and $P_e$ is the probability that agreement is due to chance. And we use mean classification accuracy to evaluate the performance of different networks on the WAY-EEG-GAL datasets. The mean values of 12 subject reported in Table 10 are all averaged over 50 results using different model initialization.

$$Kappa = \frac{P_0 - P_e}{1 - P_e}$$ \hspace{1cm} (10)

Three state of the art MI classification methods in the literature and compared these methods with our proposed 3D CNN are introduced in Tables 9 and 10.

We briefly introduce three state of the art algorithms.
FBCSP: FBCSP is a two-stage method. Firstly, they adopt a group of band-pass filters and CSP algorithm to extract the optimal spatial features from a specific frequency band, and then the classifier is trained to classify the extracted features.

C2CM: C2CM first uses FBCSP as data preprocessing method, and then uses CNN to extract features. The performance of this method is better than that of FBCSP, but there are troubles; it is difficult to change the parameters according to different objects.

Multi-branch 3D CNN: Multi-branch 3D CNN is a deep learning framework with three branch 3D CNN, where each branch has a distinct receptive field. Based on the previous studies, the Multi-branch 3D CNN is considered to be a state-of-the-art classification method on the BCI IV 2a.

The experiment was carried out on the BCI IV 2a with cross entropy (CE) thanks to its balanced class. It can be seen from Table 9 that our network has achieved the same effect as Multi-branch 3D CNN, because the depth of our network is the same as its depth, which is three convolution layers to extract the features of EEG signal. At the same time, our network is better than FBCSP in classification effect and C2CM in robustness, which effectively shows that our network has good classification effect on well balanced dataset.

In order to further demonstrate better classification performance of our proposed network on class unbalanced dataset, we completed experiment on WAY-EEG-GAL datasets with our proposed cropped strategy and Focalloss and then compared the effectiveness of our network with other state of the art MI classification methods. Table 10 compared the classification results of our proposed network with other state of the art networks, these networks can't solve the problem of class imbalance in binary MI Classification. The accuracy of one class is much higher than the other, just like FBCSP in experiment C1&C2, the accuracy of C1 is much higher than that of C2 due to class imbalance and 'easy-haed' example, in contrast, thanks to our cropped strategy and Focalloss function, our proposed network can solve these two problems well to obtain better and more balance classification affect.

**Figure 3.** The architecture of four-branch 3D-CNNs. Three branch networks are, respectively, framed by three dashed boxes with different colors, and the input of different convolution layers is distinguished by connecting lines of different colors. Dropout(0.4) means dropout method (dropout percentages = 0.4).
Discussion

Multi-branch architecture. Many deep learning studies for EEG classification such as Multi-branch 3D CNN\textsuperscript{18} have used multi-branch structure, Zhao et al.\textsuperscript{18} compared the classification effect of three single-branch 3D CNN with multi-branch 3D CNN and verified the advantages of a multi-branch framework. In this study, classification effect of two-branch 3D CNN, three-branch 3D CNN and four-branch 3D CNN were compared. Experimental results shows that with the increase of network branches, the classification effect can be improved to a certain extent, but it will inevitably increase the complexity of the network to increase the training time, so it is necessary to find a suitable number of branches according to the actual situation such as computational power and time limit for BCI equipment.

Extreme imbalance problem. We adapt a cropped strategy to address class imbalance problem, but there are still a ‘easy-hard’ problem, and we introduce focal loss to solve this problem because of its two properties. (1) When an example is misclassified and $p_t$ is small, the modulating factor is near 1 and the loss is unaffected. As

| Experiment | Class | 3D CNN type | ACC_mean |
|------------|-------|-------------|----------|
| C1&C2      | C1    | Two-branch  | 0.747    |
|           |       | Three-branch| 0.771    |
|           |       | Four-branch | 0.769    |
|           | C2    | Two-branch  | 0.601    |
|           |       | Three-branch| 0.635    |
|           |       | Four-branch | 0.621    |
| C2&C3      | C2    | Two-branch  | 0.904    |
|           |       | Three-branch| 0.939    |
|           |       | Four-branch | 0.934    |
|           | C3    | Two-branch  | 0.527    |
|           |       | Three-branch| 0.595    |
|           |       | Four-branch | 0.596    |
| C3&C4      | C3    | Two-branch  | 0.647    |
|           |       | Three-branch| 0.686    |
|           |       | Four-branch | 0.681    |
|           | C4    | Two-branch  | 0.773    |
|           |       | Three-branch| 0.785    |
|           |       | Four-branch | 0.789    |

Table 6. Results of ninefold cross-validation training corresponding to different number of network branch. ACC_mean means the mean accuracy of all subjects.

Figure 4. The binary classification (the first and second stages in object2.) test accuracy corresponding to different $\gamma$ values.
The factor goes to 0 and the loss for well-classified examples is down-weighted, so the model will pay more attention to hard examples. (2) The focusing parameter $\gamma$ smoothly adjusts the rate at which easy examples are down-weighted. From the experiment and results part, we can see that there will be an appropriate $\gamma$ value for different subjects. We can find the best $\gamma$ value through a large number of experiments to optimize our classification effect. In this work, we don't rely entirely on focal loss to solve the problem. For the extreme imbalance problem, we may need a combination of various methods to solve this problem. In this study, we first use the cropped strategy to balance the amount of data, and then address focal loss to solve the "easy hard" problem. In the field of machine learning, class imbalance is always a trouble. In order to solve this problem, maybe we can use more methods such as expanding data or combination of these methods to solve this problem in the future work.

**Limitation and future work.** Although our research has solved the class imbalance problem to a certain extent, there are still some room for improvements. (1) 3D representation, our proposed 3D presentation pads the no electrode point with 0, which has no features of EEG signals, maybe we can use other padding methods which contains the features of all the electrode signals instead of this one to make full use of the 3D representation. (2) 3D CNN structure. A large number of studies have proved that deeper network can extract features better. In general, our proposed 3D CNN can achieve a better classification effect, we find that the classification

| Subject ID | Binary experiment no. | ACC With CE | With focal loss |
|------------|-----------------------|-------------|----------------|
| S2         | C1&C2                 | 1.0         | 0.043 0.897 0.495 |
|            | C2&C3                 | 1.0         | 0.245 0.913 0.422 |
|            | C3&C4                 | 0.206       | 0.959 0.343 0.885 |
| S3         | C2&C3                 | 0.865       | 0.382 0.833 0.529 |
| S5         | C1&C2                 | 0.949       | 0.452 0.920 0.591 |
|            | C2&C3                 | 0.929       | 0.463 0.912 0.622 |
| S9         | C1&C2                 | 0.259       | 0.981 0.520 0.888 |
|            | C2&C3                 | 0.981       | 0.283 0.892 0.430 |
|            | C3&C4                 | 0.550       | 0.910 0.646 0.873 |
| S10        | C1&C2                 | 0.802       | 0.500 0.753 0.652 |
|            | C2&C3                 | 1.000       | 0.132 0.978 0.378 |
| S11        | C1&C2                 | 0.908       | 0.294 0.801 0.497 |

Table 7. Results of ninefold cross-validation training with focal loss function.

| Experiment | Class | ACC before introducing FL | ACC after introducing FL |
|------------|-------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
|            | C1    | 0.771                     | 0.747                    |
|            | C2    | 0.635                     | 0.698                    |
|            | C2    | 0.939                     | 0.918                    |
|            | C3    | 0.595                     | 0.669                    |
|            | C3    | 0.686                     | 0.705                    |
|            | C4    | 0.785                     | 0.776                    |

Table 8. Comparison of mean classification accuracy of all subject before and after introducing Focaloss.
effect of the network with three convolution layers is better than that of the network with two convolution layers, but adding another convolution layer do not improve the classification effect of the network. This shows that the current network structure can not simply improve the classification performance by increasing the depth of the network. Maybe we can get inspiration from these state of the art deep networks such as ResNet50 and Densenet51, and improve the network structure of 3D CNN to increase the depth of network to achieve a better classification performance.

Conclusions
In this work, we proposed a three-branch 3D convolutional neural network with a class equal cropped strategy for class imbalance problem to tackle hand movement stages classification tasks. In addition, to address problem of ‘easy-hard’ examples, we introduce focal loss and adjust slightly it to meet our experiment, after that, we got more balanced and high test accuracy on the WAY-EEG-GAL data set, which shows that focal loss can address
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