Metallicity of the Fossil Group NGC 1550 Observed with Suzaku
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Abstract

We studied the temperature and metal abundance distributions of the intra-cluster medium (ICM) in a group of galaxies, NGC 1550, observed with Suzaku. NGC 1550 is classified as a fossil group, which has few bright member galaxies, except for the central galaxy. Thus, such a type of galaxy is important to investigate how metals are enriched to the ICM. With the Suzaku XIS instrument, we directly measured not only the Si, S, and Fe lines, but also the O and Mg lines, and obtained those abundances to an outer region of \( r < 0.1 r_{180} \) for the first time, and confirmed that the metals in the ICM of such a fossil group are indeed extending to a large radius. We found steeper gradients for the Mg, Si, O, and Fe abundances, while O showed an almost flat abundance distribution. Abundance ratios of \( \alpha \)-elements to Fe were similar to those of the other groups and poor clusters. We calculated the number ratio of type II to type Ia supernovae for the ICM enrichment to be \( 2.9 \pm 0.5 \) within \( 0.1 r_{180} \); the value is consistent with those for the other groups and poor clusters observed with Suzaku. We also calculated the metal mass-to-light ratios (MLRs) for Fe, O, and Mg with the \( B \)-band and \( K \)-band luminosities of the member galaxies of NGC 1550. The derived MLRs are comparable to those of the NGC 5044 group in the \( r < 0.1 r_{180} \) region, while those of NGC 1550 are slightly higher than those of NGC 5044 in the outer region.
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1. Introduction

Groups and clusters of galaxies play key roles for investigating the formation of the universe, and act as building blocks in the framework of a hierarchical formation of structures. The metal abundances of the intra-cluster medium (ICM) in groups and clusters carry a lot of information for understanding the chemical history and evolution of groups and clusters. Recent X-ray observations allow us to measure the temperature and the metal abundance distributions in the ICM based on spatially resolved spectra. A large amount of metals of the ICM are mainly produced by supernovae (SNe) in early-type galaxies (Arnaud et al. 1992; Renzini et al. 1993), which are classified roughly as type Ia (SNe Ia) and type II (SNe II). Because Si and Fe are both synthesized in SNe Ia and II, we need to know the O and Mg abundances, which are synthesized predominantly in SNe II, for resolving the past metal enrichment process in the ICM by SNe. In order to know how the ICM has been enriched, we need to measure the amount and distribution of all the metals from O to Fe in the ICM.

Renzini (1997) and Makishima et al. (2001) summarized the iron-mass-to-light ratios (IMLRs) with the \( B \)-band luminosity for various objects with ASCA as a function of their plasma temperature serving as a measure of the system richness, and IMLRs in groups were found to be smaller than those in clusters. They also showed that early-type galaxies released a large amount of metals, which were probably formed through past SNe explosions, as shown earlier by Arnaud et al. (1992). In order to obtain a correct modeling of the ICM, we need to know the correct temperature and metal abundance profiles without biases (e.g., Buote 2000; Sanders & Fabian 2002). Especially for the ICM of cooler systems, such as elliptical galaxies, and groups of galaxies, careful analyses are required, as mentioned in Arimoto et al. (1997) and Matsushita, Ohashi, and Makishima (2000).

The spatial distribution and elemental abundance pattern of the ICM metals were determined with the large effective area of XMM-Newton (Matsushita et al. 2007b; Tamura et al. 2004; Böhringer et al. 2005; O’Sullivan et al. 2005; Sanders & Fabian 2006; de Plaa et al. 2006, 2007; Werner et al. 2006; Simionescu et al. 2008). On the other hand, abundance measurements of O and Mg with XMM-Newton were possible only for the central regions of the brightest cooling core clusters due to the relatively high intrinsic background. Rasmussen and Ponman (2007, 2009) reported on the Si and Fe profiles of 15 groups of galaxies observed with Chandra. They suggested that the Si to Fe ratios in groups tend to increase with the radius, and those IMLRs within \( r_{500} \) show a positive correlation with...
the total group mass (temperature). Suzaku XIS can measure all of the main elements from O to Fe, because it realizes a lower background level and a higher spectral sensitivity, especially below 1 keV (Koyama et al. 2007). Suzaku observations have shown the abundance profiles of O, Mg, Si, S, and Fe to the outer regions with good precision for several clusters (Matsushita et al. 2007a; Sato et al. 2007a, 2008, 2009a, 2009b; Tokoi et al. 2008; Komiyama et al. 2009). Combining the Suzaku results with SN nucleosynthesis models, Sato et al. (2007b) showed the number ratios of SNe II to Ia to be 3.5.

NGC 1550 is an S0 galaxy and one of the nearest (\(z = 0.0124\)) X-ray bright galaxies. NGC 1550 is also classified as a fossil group (Jones et al. 2003), and an X-ray extended object, RX J0419+0225, was first discovered by the ROSAT ALL SKY SURVEY from a position centered on the NGC 1550 galaxy. From ASCA observations (Kawaharada et al. 2003; Fukazawa et al. 2004), the MLRs with the B-band were found to be comparable to those of clusters. Sun et al. (2003) reported a temperature drop at the central region, which also declines beyond 0.1-times the virial radius, \(r_{180}\), with the Chandra observation. Kawaharada et al. (2010) showed the gas mass and metal mass from the temperature and metal abundances observed with XMM-Newton. In addition, they derived the MLRs of O, Si, and Fe with the near-infrared (\(K\)-band) luminosity. The resultant IMLR within \(\sim 200h_{72}^{-1}\) kpc exhibits a 2-order of magnitude decrease toward the center. NGC 1550, such a fossil group, is an important object to investigate how the metals have been enriched to the ICM, because of little metal supply from the present-day member galaxies.

This paper reports on results from Suzaku observations of NGC 1550 out to 30' \(\approx 457h_{70}^{-1}\) kpc, corresponding to \(\sim 0.47r_{180}\). We use \(H_0 = 70\) km s\(^{-1}\) Mpc\(^{-1}\), \(\Omega_\Lambda = 1 - \Omega_M = 0.73\) in this paper. At a redshift of \(z = 0.0124\), 1' corresponds to 15.2 kpc, and the virial radius, \(r_{180} = 1.95h_{100}^{-1} \sqrt{k(T)/10\text{keV}\text{Mpc}}\) (Markevitch et al. 1998), is 0.97 Mpc (63.5) for an average temperature of \(k(T) = 1.2\) keV. Throughout this paper we adopt a Galactic hydrogen density \(N_H = 1.15 \times 10^{21}\) cm\(^{-2}\) (Dickey & Lockman 1990) in the direction of NGC 1550. Unless noted otherwise, the solar abundance table is given by Anders and Grevesse (1989), and the errors are in the 90% confidence region for a single interesting parameter.

## 2. Observations and Data Reduction

### 2.1. Observations

Suzaku observed the central and offset regions of NGC 1550 in 2008 August (PI: K. Sato). The observation logs are given in table 1, and the XIS image in 0.5–2 keV is shown in figure 1.

### Table 1. Suzaku observation logs for NGC 1550.

| Region  | Sequence No. | Observation date (RA, Dec)* | (RA, Dec)* | Exposure (ks) | After screening (BI/FI) (ks) |
|---------|--------------|-----------------------------|------------|--------------|-----------------------------|
| center  | 803017010    | 2008-08-16T04:27:05 (04h19m47s7, +02°24′38″) | 83.3 | 82.0/83.3 |
| offset  | 803018010    | 2008-08-15T02:44:04 (04h20m59s5, +02°24′31″) | 41.1 | 40.5/40.7 |

* Average pointing direction of the XIS, written in the RA\_NOM and DEC\_NOM keywords of the event FITS files.

Fig. 1. Combined XIS image in the 0.5–2.0 keV energy range. The observed XIS 0, 1, and 3 images were added on the sky coordinate after removing each calibration source region, and smoothed with \(\sigma = 16\) pixel \(\times 17\)″ Gaussian. Estimated components of extragalactic X-ray background (CBX) and instrumental background (NXB) were subtracted, and the exposure was corrected, though vignetting was not corrected.

We analyze only the XIS data in this paper, because the temperature of the HXD PIN was slightly higher during the observations. The XIS instrument consists of three sets of X-ray CCDs (XIS 0, 1, and 3). XIS 1 is a back-illuminated (BI) sensor, while XIS 0 and 3 are front-illuminated (FI). The instrument was operated in the normal clocking mode (8 s exposure per frame), with the standard 5 × 5 or 3 × 3 editing mode. During these observations, the significant effect of the Solar Wind Charge eXchange (SWCX) was not confirmed in ACE data,\(^1\) although it was known that the SWCX affected the Suzaku spectra in the lower energy range, as reported in Fujimoto et al. (2007) and Yoshino et al. (2009).

### 2.2. Data Reduction

We used version 2.2 processing data, and the analysis was performed with HEAsoft version 6.6.3 and XSPEC 12.5.0ac. Here we give just a brief description of the data reduction. The light curve of each sensor in the 0.3–10 keV range with a 16 s time bin was examined in order to exclude periods with anomalous event rates that were greater or less than \(\pm 3\sigma\) around the mean to remove the SWCX contamination reported in Fujimoto et al. (2007), while Suzaku data were little affected by the soft proton flare compared with XMM data. The exposure after screening was essentially the same as that before screening in table 1, which indicated that the non X-ray background (NXB) was almost stable during the observation. Event screening with cut-off rigidity (COR) was not performed to

\(^1\) (http://www.srl.caltech.edu/ACE/ASC).
3. Temperature and Abundance Profiles

We extracted spectra from four annular regions of 0’–3’, 3’–6’, and 6’–12’ for the central observation, and the whole area, which corresponds to 12’–30’ for the offset region, centered on (RA, Dec) = (4h19m37.9s, +02°24’36”). Each annular spectrum is shown in figure 2. The ionized Mg, Si, S, Fe lines are clearly seen in each region. The O VII and O VIII lines were prominent in the outer rings; however, most of the O VII line was considered to come from the local Galactic emission, and we dealt with those in the same way as mentioned in Sato et al. (2007a, 2008, 2009a) and Sato, Matsushita, and Gastaldello (2009b).

The spectra with BI and FI for all regions were fitted simultaneously in the energy range, 0.4–7.1/0.4–5.0 keV (BI) and 0.6–7.1/0.6–5.0 (FI) for the central/offset observations, respectively. In simultaneous fits, the common Galactic emission and CXB components were included for all regions. We excluded the narrow energy band around the Si K-edge (1.825–1.840 keV) because its response was not modeled correctly. The energy range below 0.4 keV was also excluded because the C edge (0.284 keV) seen in the BI spectra could not be reproduced well in our data. The range above 7.1 keV was also ignored because the Ni line (∼7.5 keV) in the background left a spurious feature after NXB subtraction at large radii. In the simultaneous fits of BI and FI data, only the normalization parameter was allowed to take different values between them.

It is important to estimate the Galactic component precisely, because it has a significant contribution, especially in the outer regions, as shown in figure 2. However, the ICM component is still dominant in almost all of the energy range, except for the O VII line. We assumed a two-temperature apec model (assuming 1 solar abundance and zero redshift) for the Galactic component, and fitted the data with the following model formula: constant × [apec\_cool + phabs × (apec\_hot + ICM)], as shown in Yoshino et al. (2009). As a result, the best-fit temperatures of 0.98 and 2.19 keV for the Galactic models as given in table 2 are consistent with the values given in Yoshino et al. (2009) and Lumb et al. (2002). Thus, we concluded that the two-temperature model of Galactic emission was sufficient to represent the NGC 1550 data. The resultant normalizations of the apec models given in table 2 were scaled so that they would give the surface brightness in units of solid angle of arcmin², and were constrained to give the same surface brightness and the same temperature for simultaneous fits of all annuli.

The ICM spectra for the central observation, r < 12’, were clearly better represented by two apec models than one apec model in the χ² test. On the other hand, the ICM spectra for the offset observation, r = 12’–30’, were well-presented by a single temperature model. Thus, we carried out simultaneous fit with the following formula of the Galactic and ICM components: constant × [apec\_cool + phabs × (apec\_hot + vpec\_0<30’ + vpec\_0<12’)]. The fit results are given in table 2. The abundances were linked in the following way: Mg = Al, S = Ar = Ca, Fe = Ni, which gave good constraint.

The normalizations of “norm” in table 5 in Sato et al. (2008) are an order of magnitude larger than the actual values.
especially for the offset regions. The abundances were also linked between the two vapec components for the \( r < 12' \) region. The results of the spectral fit for individual annulus are summarized in table 2 and figure 3, in which systematic errors due to the OBF contamination and NXB estimation are shown.

### 3.2. Temperature Profile

The radial temperature profile and the ratio of the vapec normalizations between the hot and cool ICM components are shown in figure 3a and table 2. The ICM temperature and the radial temperature profile and the ratio of the vapec components at the central region was shown in figure 3a and table 2. The ICM temperature of normalizations between the hot and cool ICM components are due to the OBF contamination and NXB estimation are shown. The results of the spectral fit for individual annulus are especially for the offset regions. The abundances were also linked between the two vapec components for the \( r < 12' \) region. The results of the spectral fit for individual annulus are summarized in table 2 and figure 3, in which systematic errors due to the OBF contamination and NXB estimation are shown.

### 3.3. Abundance Profiles

The metal abundances were determined for the six element groups individually, as shown in figures 3b–3f. The four abundance values for Mg, Si, S, and Fe and their radial variation look similar to each other. The central abundances lie around \( \sim 0.5-0.6 \) solar, and they commonly decline to about \( 1/4 \) of the central value in the outermost annulus. On the other hand, the O profile looks flatter compared with the other elements. Because the results for the offset regions had large errors, we examined the summed spectra for these regions. We note that, when we allowed all of abundances to be free in the fits, the resultant parameters did not change within the statistical errors. In addition, even if all regions were fitted by a two-temperature model, the resultant abundance profiles did not change within the statistical errors.

We also examined the systematic error of our results by changing the background normalization by \( \pm 10\% \); the error range is plotted with light-gray dashed lines in figure 3. The systematic error due to the background estimation is almost negligible. The other systematic error concerning the uncertainty in the OBF contaminant is shown by black dashed lines in figure 3. A list of \( \chi^2/dof \) by changing the systematic errors is presented in table 3. We note that the Ne abundance is not reliably determined due to an overlap with the strong and complex Fe-L line emissions; however, we left these abundance to vary freely during the spectral fit.

### 4. Discussion

#### 4.1. Metallicity Distribution in the ICM

Suzaku observations of NGC 1550 confirmed that the metals in the ICM of this fossil group are indeed extending to a large radius. The measured elements were O, Mg, Si, S, and Fe out...
to a radius of 30$'$ ≈ 457 kpc, which corresponds to $\sim 0.47 r_{180}$, as shown in figure 3. The Ne abundance had a large uncertainty due to strong coupling with the Fe-L lines. The distributions of Mg, Si, S, and Fe are similar to each other, while the O profile shows no central peak, and a large error in the outer region at $r > 12'$. We plotted the abundance ratios of O, Mg, Si, and S to Fe as a function of the projected radius in figure 4. The ratios Mg/Fe, Si/Fe, and S/Fe are consistent to be a constant value of around 1.5–2, while the O/Fe ratio in the innermost region ($r < 3'$) is significantly lower at around 0.5. In addition, the O/Fe ratio suggests some increase with radius. Note that these abundance profiles were not deconvolved, and were averaged over the line of sight.

Recent Suzaku observations have presented abundance profiles in several relaxed cooling core groups and clusters: a group of galaxies NGC 5044 (Komiyama et al. 2009), a poor cluster of galaxies Abell 262 (Sato et al. 2009b), and AWM 7 (Sato et al. 2008). We compared the Fe abundance and O, Mg, Si, S to Fe abundance ratios of NGC 1550 with those of NGC 5044, Abell 262, and AWM 7, as shown in figure 4. While NGC 1550 shows a slightly lower Fe abundance than those of NGC 5044, Abell 262, and AWM 7 in the central region ($r \lesssim 0.1 r_{180}$), the abundances in the outer region ($r \gtrsim 0.3 r_{180}$) are quite similar, showing a decrease to $\sim 0.2$ solar. On the other hand, the abundance ratios of O/Fe, Mg/Fe, Si/Fe, and S/Fe are quite similar between the four systems.

Matsushita (2010) reported the Fe radial profiles of 28 clusters of galaxies observed with XMM. The Fe abundance profile of NGC 1550 also has a similar feature to those of clusters up to $\sim 0.5 r_{180}$. Although Rasmussen and Ponman (2009) suggested that the radial Si profiles in the group are softer than the Fe profiles, our results show constant values of the Si to Fe ratios up to $\sim 0.5 r_{180}$ as shown in figure 4.

### 4.2. Number Ratio of SNe II to SNe Ia

In order to examine the relative contributions from SNe Ia and SNe II to the ICM metals, the elemental abundance patterns of O, Mg, Si, S, and Fe were examined for the inner ($r < 0.1 r_{180}$) and the immediate outer (0.1–0.2 $r_{180}$) regions. The abundance ratios to Fe were fitted by a combination of the average SNe Ia and SNe II yields per supernova, as shown in figure 5. The fit parameters were the integrated number of SNe Ia ($N_{\text{Ia}}$) and the number ratio of SNe II to SNe Ia ($N_{\text{II}}/N_{\text{Ia}}$), because $N_{\text{Ia}}$ could be well constrained by the relatively small errors in the Fe abundance. The SNe Ia and II yields were taken from Iwamoto et al. (1999) and Nomoto et al. (2006), respectively. We assumed the Salpeter initial...
Table 4. Integrated number of SNe I ($N_{Ia}$) and number ratio of SNe II to SNe Ia ($N_{II}/N_{Ia}$).

| Region       | SNe Ia model | $N_{Ia}$       | $N_{II}/N_{Ia}$ | $\chi^2$/dof |
|--------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|
| $<0.1r_{180}$| W7           | $1.3 \pm 0.1 \times 10^8$ | $2.9 \pm 0.5$  | 3.7/3        |
| $0.1–0.2r_{180}$| W7       | $2.6 \pm 0.4 \times 10^8$ | $2.8 \pm 1.0$  | 5.7/3        |
| $<0.1r_{180}$| WDD1        | $1.3 \pm 0.1 \times 10^8$ | $2.1 \pm 0.5$  | 44.2/3       |
| $0.1–0.2r_{180}$| WDD1    | $2.8 \pm 0.5 \times 10^8$ | $2.0 \pm 1.0$  | 21.0/3       |
| $<0.1r_{180}$| WDD2        | $1.2 \pm 0.1 \times 10^8$ | $2.8 \pm 0.6$  | 10.4/3       |
| $0.1–0.2r_{180}$| WDD2   | $2.4 \pm 0.4 \times 10^8$ | $2.8 \pm 1.1$  | 8.9/3        |

Fig. 4. Comparison of the Fe abundance and the other metals to the Fe abundance ratios for NGC 1550 (red crosses) with those for the NGC 5044 group (black crosses: Komiyama et al. 2009), A 262 cluster (light gray crosses: Sato et al. 2009b), and AWM 7 cluster (light gray diamonds: Sato et al. 2008). The Fe abundance and the O/Fe, Mg/Fe, Si/Fe, and S/Fe abundance ratios in solar units (Anders & Grevesse 1989) are plotted against the projected radius scaled by the virial radius, $r_{180}$, in all panels.

Fig. 5. Fit results of number ratios of elements to Fe of NGC 1550. Top and second panels show the abundance number ratios in solar unit in $0.1 < r < 0.2r_{180}$ (black) and $<0.1r_{180}$ (red) regions, respectively. Blue dashed and solid lines in the second panel correspond to the contributions of SNe Ia (W7) and SNe II within $0.1r_{180}$, respectively. Ne (atomic number = 10) is excluded in the fit. Third panel shows the comparison with the solar abundance table of Anders and Grevesse (1989) (light-gray line) and Lodders (2003) (dashed light-gray line). Forth panel indicates ratios of data points to the best-fit model. The bottom panel indicates fractions of the SNe Ia contribution to the total metals in the best-fit model for each element, respectively.

mass function (IMF) for stellar masses from 10 to 50 $M_\odot$ with a progenitor metallicity of $Z = 0.02$ for SNe II, and W7, WDD1, or WDD2 models for SNe Ia. Table 4 and figure 5 summarize the fit results. The number ratios were better represented by the W7 SNe Ia yield model than by WDD1. The number ratio of SNe II to SNe Ia with W7 is $\sim 2.9$ within $0.1r_{180}$, while the ratio assuming WDD1 is $\sim 2.1$. The WDD2 model gave a result very similar to the W7 value. The resultant number ratios are consistent with the previous result by Sato et al. (2007b). We also compared the abundance pattern of NGC 1550 with the solar abundance. The third panel in figure 5 shows this comparison for $r < 0.1r_{180}$ of NGC 1550 with two different solar abundance patterns given by Anders and Grevesse (1989) and Lodders (2003). Abundances of Mg, Si, and S fall between the two solar abundance patterns. Almost $\sim 80\%$ of Fe and $\sim 40\%$ of Si and S were synthesized by SNe Ia in the W7 model, as demonstrated in the bottom panel of figure 5. These observed features of the fossil group are similar to those for clusters with $kT = 2–4$ keV studied by Sato et al. (2007b) and Sato, Matsushita, and Gastaldello (2009b). The values in table 4 imply that the $N_{II}/N_{Ia}$ ratios for the inner and outer regions behave in a similar manner for different SN models. We note that the fit was not formally...
able based on the $\chi^2$ value in table 4. As described in Sato et al. (2007b), the models adapted here (SNe yield, Salpeter IMF, etc.) are probably too simplified.

4.3. Metal Mass-to-Light Ratio

We derived a 3-dimensional gas mass profile by extending the previous XMM-Newton result (Kawaharada et al. 2010) for the region within $14''$ ($\sim 0.2r_{180}$). Combining it with the abundance profiles obtained with Suzaku, we calculated the cumulative metal mass. The derived masses of Fe and Mg within a 3-dimensional radius of $r < 457$ kpc ($r \sim 0.5r_{180}$) are $1.3 \times 10^9$, $3.4 \times 10^9 M_\odot$, respectively, and the O mass within $r < 183$ kpc is $1.7 \times 10^9 M_\odot$. The errors of the metal mass, which were used to calculate MLRs, were taken from the statistical errors of each elemental abundance in the spectral fits, because these are much larger than the error of the gas mass by Kawaharada et al. (2010).

We examined the MLRs for O, Fe, and Mg (OMLR, IMLR, and MMLR, respectively), which enabled us to compare the ICM metal distribution with the stellar mass profile. Historically, the $B$-band luminosity has been used for estimating the stellar mass (Makishima et al. 2001); however, we calculated it using the $K$-band luminosity in NGC 1550 based on the Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS) catalogue. This method is useful when performing a uniform comparison with the properties in other groups and clusters based on the same $K$-band galaxy catalogue to trace the distribution of member elliptical galaxies.

In the 2MASS catalog, we used all of the data in a $2'' \times 2''$ region around NGC 1550 without selecting the galaxy morphology, and subtracted the luminosity in an $r > 1''$ region, which corresponds to about $r_{180}$, as the background. We then deprojected the luminosity profile as a function of the radius assuming spherical symmetry. In order to convert the $K$-band magnitude of each galaxy to the $B$-band value, we assumed the luminosity distance to be $D_L = 53.6$ Mpc, and an appropriate color of $B - K = 4.2$ for early-type galaxies given by Lin and Mohr (2004), along with the Galactic extinction, $A_B = 0.583$, from NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED) in the direction of NGC 1550.

The integrated values of OMLR, IMLR, and MMLR using the estimated $B$-band luminosity within $r \lesssim 183$ kpc ($r \lesssim 0.2r_{180}$) turned out to be $\sim 3.3 \times 10^{-2}$, $\sim 7.4 \times 10^{-3}$, and $\sim 3.3 \times 10^{-2} M_\odot/L_B$, respectively, as shown in table 5. The errors are based only on the statistical errors of the metal abundance in the spectral fit; the uncertainties in the gas mass profile and the luminosities of member galaxies are not included. Note that we did not adjust the metal mass and $K$-band profiles by considering the Suzaku PSF effect, because the uncertainties in the metal mass had the dominant effect in our MLR estimation.

We compared these $B$-band MLRs for NGC 1550 with those of other groups and clusters. The MLRs were all measured within the inner ($\sim 0.1r_{180}$) and outer ($\sim 0.25r_{180}$) regions, as shown in table 5 and figure 6. As for Fe (IMLR), NGC 1550 shows a similar value with the other groups and poor clusters in the inner region. As mentioned in subsection 4.1, the Fe abundance, itself, of NGC 1550 in the inner region is slightly smaller than those in other groups and clusters.

Table 5. Comparison of IMLR, OMLR, and MMLR with the $B$-band luminosity for all systems.

| Reference | IMLR ($M_\odot/L_B$) | OMLR ($M_\odot/L_B$) | MMLR ($M_\odot/L_B$) | $r$ (kpc) | $k(T)$ (keV) |
|-----------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------|--------------|
| Suzaku    |                     |                     |                     |           |              |
| NGC 5044  | $2.6^{+0.2}_{-0.1} \times 10^{-3}$ | $6.6^{+1.9}_{-1.7} \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.6^{+0.2}_{-0.1} \times 10^{-3}$ | 88        | 0.10         | $\sim 1.0$ | Komiyama et al. (2009) |
|           | $3.6^{+0.4}_{-0.3} \times 10^{-3}$ | $9.4^{+5.2}_{-2.1} \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.6^{+0.4}_{-0.3} \times 10^{-3}$ | 260       | 0.30         |
| NGC 1550  | $2.6^{+0.1}_{-0.1} \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.2^{+0.3}_{-0.2} \times 10^{-3}$ | $9.4^{+1.2}_{-1.1} \times 10^{-4}$ | 46        | 0.09         | $\sim 1.2$ | This work |
|           | $7.4^{+0.4}_{-0.3} \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.3^{+1.1}_{-0.8} \times 10^{-2}$ | $3.3^{+0.5}_{-0.5} \times 10^{-3}$ | 183       | 0.19         |
| Fornax    | $2.6^{+0.1}_{-0.1} \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.6^{+0.6}_{-0.5} \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.7^{+0.4}_{-0.4} \times 10^{-4}$ | 120       | 0.11         | $\sim 1.5$ | Sato et al. (2009a) |
|           | $1.7^{+0.3}_{-0.2} \times 10^{-3}$ | $6.6^{+3.3}_{-2.5} \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.1^{+0.2}_{-0.2} \times 10^{-3}$ | 260       | 0.24         |
| HCG 62    | $2.0^{+0.2}_{-0.1} \times 10^{-3}$ | $6.4^{+0.4}_{-0.4} \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.0^{+0.2}_{-0.2} \times 10^{-3}$ | 120       | 0.11         | $\sim 1.5$ | Tokoi et al. (2008) |
|           | $4.6^{+0.7}_{-0.6} \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.8^{+2.7}_{-1.4} \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.5^{+0.4}_{-0.4} \times 10^{-3}$ | 230       | 0.21         |
| A 262     | $3.6^{+0.1}_{-0.1} \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.2^{+0.3}_{-0.4} \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.6^{+0.2}_{-0.2} \times 10^{-3}$ | 130       | 0.10         | $\sim 2$  | Sato et al. (2009b) |
|           | $6.7^{+0.4}_{-0.4} \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.7^{+1.2}_{-1.2} \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.7^{+0.7}_{-0.6} \times 10^{-3}$ | 340       | 0.27         |
| A 1060    | $5.7^{+0.4}_{-0.4} \times 10^{-3}$ | $4.3^{+0.8}_{-0.8} \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.4^{+0.5}_{-0.5} \times 10^{-3}$ | 180       | 0.12         | $\sim 3$  | Sato et al. (2007a) |
|           | $4.0^{+0.4}_{-0.4} \times 10^{-3}$ | $4.3^{+2.0}_{-0.8} \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.6^{+0.8}_{-0.7} \times 10^{-3}$ | 380       | 0.25         |
| AWM 7     | $4.8^{+0.8}_{-0.5} \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.6^{+0.8}_{-0.8} \times 10^{-2}$ | $3.4^{+0.5}_{-0.5} \times 10^{-3}$ | 180       | 0.11         | $\sim 3.5$ | Sato et al. (2008) |
|           | $7.6^{+0.4}_{-0.3} \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.1^{+1.9}_{-1.2} \times 10^{-2}$ | $6.7^{+1.1}_{-1.1} \times 10^{-3}$ | 360       | 0.22         |

XMM-Newton

| Centaurus | $4 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3 \times 10^{-2}$ | —         | 190       | 0.11         | $\sim 4$  | Matsushita et al. (2007a) |

3 The database address: (http://www.ipac.caltech.edu/2mass/).
Fig. 6. Comparison of IMLR (a) and OMLR (b) with the $B$-band luminosity to the other clusters and groups within $r_{180}$ (black) and $0.25r_{180}$ (light gray) regions. The MLRs of NGC 1550 are shown by the diamonds.

Fig. 7. (a) Gas mass, $M(< R)$, and $K$-band luminosity, $L_K$ within the 3-dimensional radius, $R$, in NGC 1550, NGC 5044, and Abell 262. The gas mass profiles were derived from XMM-Newton observations for NGC 1550 (Kawaharada et al. 2010), NGC 5044 (Komiyama et al. 2009), and Abell 262 (Gastaldello et al. 2007). The red, black, and light-gray lines show each value for NGC 1550, NGC 5044, and Abell 262, respectively. The solid and dashed lines correspond to each gas mass and $K$-band luminosity, respectively. (b) Ratios of the O and Fe mass in units of $M_\odot$ to the $K$-band luminosity in units of $L_\odot$ (OMLR and IMLR, respectively) for NGC 1550, NGC 5044, and Abell 262 against the 3-dimensional radius. The solid and dashed lines correspond to each OMLR and IMLR, respectively.

However, the IMLR in this region is almost the same as the others, due to a somewhat low value of the stellar mass in NGC 1550. Looking at the outer region, NGC 1550 shows a slightly higher IMLR than NGC 5044, and is comparable to those in other poor clusters. On the other hand for the OMLR in the inner region, the poor systems show much lower values than the larger high-temperature systems. Interestingly, the poor systems (including NGC 1550) show a higher OMLR in the outer region, comparable to those in the larger systems. The spatial extent of O looks to be relatively large in these very small systems.

Rasmussen and Ponman (2009) suggested that the IMLRs within $r_{500}$ of 15 groups of galaxies observed with Chandra have a positive correlation with the groups mass (temperature). Our results and Chandra’s results are also almost consistent, and the IMLRs of groups are slightly lower than those of clusters.

We also calculated the MLRs by directly using the $K$-band luminosity, assuming the Galactic extinction to be $A_K = 0.050$ in the direction of NGC 1550, and the absolute $K$-band solar magnitude to be 3.34. The resultant $K$-band luminosity within the Suzaku observed region, $r < 0.1r_{180}$, is $3.8 \times 10^{11} L_{K,\odot}$, and the radial luminosity profile is also plotted in figure 7a. We calculated the radial profile of the OMLR, IMLR, and MMLR values using the $K$-band luminosity out to a radius $r \sim 180$ kpc ($r \leq 0.2r_{180}$), as shown in figure 7b and table 6. The values at the outermost radius are $\sim 9.2 \times 10^{-3}$, $\sim 2.0 \times 10^{-3}$, and $\sim 9.0 \times 10^{-3} M_\odot/L_{K,\odot}$, respectively.

In order to investigate the dependence on the system size, we compared the MLRs of NGC 1550 ($kT \approx 1.2$ keV) with those of NGC 5044 (1 keV) and Abell 262 (2 keV), which are all poor relaxed cooling-core groups and clusters. Although they show similar IMLRs in the inner region, NGC 1550 and Abell 262 show the gas mass ratio to the $K$-band luminosity...
to be higher than NGC 5044, as shown in figure 7a. As one goes outside ($r > 0.1 r_{180}$), NGC 1550 shows fairly consistent MLRs with Abell 262, larger than those in NGC 5044, as shown in figure 6b. The radial profiles of IMLR and OMLR for NGC 1550 look quite similar to those for Abell 262, rather than for NGC 5044.

The radius, $r \sim 0.1 r_{180}$, corresponds to the region where the gas mass and $K$-band luminosity seem to overlap, as shown in figure 7a. Assuming the stellar MLR, $M_{\text{star}}/L_K \sim 1$, as shown in Arnouts et al. (2007) (see also Nagino & Matsushita 2009), this radius indeed corresponds to the point where the gas and stellar masses are comparable. The similarity of MLRs for the 3 systems examined here in the inner region ($r < 0.1 r_{180}$) suggests that the metal enrichment within the past few Gyr has occurred in a similar way. On the other hand, in the outer region ($r > 0.1 r_{180}$), NGC 5044 shows a lower IMLR than those in NGC 1550 and Abell 262, even though the Fe abundances, themselves, are comparable in these regions. This suggests that the distribution of the stellar mass has some different history between these systems.

We will consider how the observed features of the abundance and the MLR profiles can constrain the enrichment scenario. First, metals in the inner region ($r < 0.1 r_{180}$) have been mostly supplied by the central galaxy, so this region should be set aside in the present discussion. In the immediate outer region ($r > 0.1 r_{180}$), metals from the central galaxy could not reach in a few Gyr time scale. Also, we may assume that almost the same amount of metals per stellar mass was synthesized in all systems before the collapse of groups and clusters. In this case, we expect very similar MLRs in different systems, contrary to the observed feature. As shown in figure 7b, at least NGC 5044 shows lower IMLR and OMLR profiles compared with those for two other systems. This implies that the thermal and/or dynamical evolutions of the gas had different history among different systems during or after the collapsing period of individual group and cluster.

Renzini (2005) showed the expected MLRs as a function of the IMF slope. As for OMLR, the expected value of $\sim 0.1 M_\odot/L_B$ at a Salpeter IMF is slightly higher than our results of $\sim 0.03 M_\odot/L_B$ within $\sim 0.25 r_{180}$, as shown in figure 6b. However, as shown in figure 7b, because the OMLRs look to be increasing toward the outer region, the OMLRs within the virial radius would be represented with the Salpeter IMF. Renzini (2005) also suggested that a top-heavy IMF would overproduce metals by more than a factor of 20, which is much larger than the observed values, including our results.

We stress that high-sensitivity abundance observations to the outer regions of clusters will give important clues about their evolution. If the O distribution, as well as Fe, could be measured to the very outer region ($r \sim r_{180}$), we may obtain a clear view about when O and Fe were supplied to the intergalactic space, because most of the O should have been synthesized by SNe II and supplied in the starburst era. Another possibility is a very early metal enrichment of O by galaxies or massive Population III stars (e.g., Matteucci et al. 2006) before groups and clusters assemble. In this case, a large part of the intergalactic space would be enriched quite uniformly with $O$ and other elements. Metallicity information in cluster outskirts would thus give us unique information about the enrichment history. For this purpose, instruments with much higher energy resolution, such as microcalorimeters, and optics with a larger effective area will play a key role in carrying out these studies.

### 5. Summary and Conclusion

Suzaku observations of the fossil group NGC 1550 have shown the spatial distributions of the temperature and the metal abundances for O, Mg, Si, S, and Fe up to $\sim 0.5 r_{180}$ for the first time, and confirmed that the metals in the ICM of this fossil group are indeed extending to a large radius. The ICM temperature decreases mildly from $\sim 1.5$ keV to $\sim 1.0$ keV in the outer region, similar to the feature seen in other clusters. The abundances of Mg, Si, S, and Fe drop from subsolar levels at the center to $\sim 1/4$ solar in the outermost region, while the O abundance drops from a flatter distribution at around $\sim 0.5$ solar without a strong concentration in the center. The abundance ratios (O/Fe, Mg/Fe, Si/Fe, and S/Fe) for NGC 1550 are generally similar to those in groups and poor clusters. The abundance pattern from O to Fe enabled us to constrain the number ratio of SNe II to Ia as $2.9 \pm 0.5$, which is consistent with the values obtained for other groups and clusters. The derived MLRs of NGC 1550 using the $B$-band and $K$-band luminosities are consistent with those in the NGC 5044 group for the inner region, $r \lesssim 0.1 r_{180}$, while NGC 1550 shows slightly higher MLRs than NGC 5044 in the outer region. This suggests that metal enrichment process may reflect the size of the system in the sense that larger systems contain greater amounts of metals for a given stellar mass.
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