Differentiation of human adipose-derived stem cells into “brite” (brown-in-white) adipocytes

Didier F. Pisani1,2, Mansour Djedaini1,2, Guillaume E. Beranger1,2, Christian Elabd1,2,3, Marcel Scheideler4, Gérard Allhau1,2 and Ez-Zoubir Amri1,2,*

1 Institut de Biologie du Développement et Cancer, Université de Nice Sophia-Antipolis, Nice, France
2 CNRS UMR 6543, Institut de Biologie du Développement et Cancer, Nice, France
3 Department of Bioengineering, Institute for Quantitative Biosciences, University of California Berkeley, Berkeley, CA, USA
4 Institute for Genomics and Bioinformatics, Graz University of Technology, Graz, Austria

INTRODUCTION

Obesity has reached epidemic proportions globally, with more than one billion adults overweight and at least 300 million of them clinically obese. In addition, at least 155 million children worldwide are overweight or obese, according to the International Obesity Task Force (Hossain et al., 2007). Obesity constitutes a substantial risk factor for hypertension, type 2 diabetes, and cardiovascular diseases implying tremendous burdens for the public health care system. White adipose tissue (WAT) plays a central role in the control of energy homeostasis (Ailhaud et al., 1992; Golozoubova et al., 2005). It is well established now that adult humans possess active brown adipose tissue (BAT) which represents a potential pharmacological target to combat obesity and associated diseases. Moreover thermogenic brown-like adipocytes (“brite adipocytes”) appear also in mouse white adipose tissue (WAT) upon β3-adrenergic stimulation. We had previously shown that human multipotent adipose-derived stem cells (hMADS) are able to differentiate into cells which exhibit the key properties of human white adipocytes, and then to convert into functional brown adipocytes upon PPARγ activation. In light of a wealth of data indicating that thermogenic adipocytes from BAT and WAT have a distinct cellular origin, we have characterized at the molecular level UCP1 positive hMADS adipocytes from both sexes as brite adipocytes. Conversion of white to brown hMADS adipocytes is dependent on PPARγ activation with rosiglitazone as the most potent agonist and is inhibited by a PPARγ antagonist. In contrast to mouse cellular models, hMADS cells conversion into brown adipocytes is weakly induced by BMP7 treatment and not modulated by activation of the Hedgehog pathway. So far no primary or clonal precursor cells of human brown adipocytes have been obtained that can be used as a tool to develop therapeutic drugs and to gain further insights into the molecular mechanisms of brown adipogenesis in humans. Thus hMADS cells represent a suitable human cell model to delineate the formation and/or the uncoupling capacity of brown/brite adipocytes that could help to dissipate caloric excess intake among individuals.
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At the cellular level, a myogenic signature of brown adipocytes and cell sorting of muscle and WAT derived progenitors favor a distinct origin from that of white adipocytes (Timmons et al., 2007; Crisan et al., 2008). In vivo lineage studies showed that brown adipocytes from brown-fat depots share a common developmental origin with myoblasts (Seale et al., 2008). However, islands of brown adipocytes are also detected in white fat depots of rodents following chronic exposure to cold or pharmacological stimulation of β3-adrenoreceptor (β3-AR). There is indeed evidence that white adipocytes can be converted to brown-like fat cells (Tiraby et al., 2003; Cinti, 2009a,b; Barbieri et al., 2010). Within traditional WAT depots, a particular type of adipocytes occurs in rodents, corresponding to the “brite” (brown-in-white) adipocytes. These cells express UCP1 and exhibit in vitro thermogenic response to β-AR agonists (Petrovic et al., 2010) that could explain UCP1 expressing cells present as islets surrounded by white adipocytes (Cousin et al., 1992; Xue et al., 2009). Most importantly, in vivo, the capacity of obese mice to reduce their fat mass in response to adrenergic stimulation by cold or β3-AR agonist does not depend upon BAT whose amount is genetically invariant among mouse strains but depends upon a genetic variability which affects the development of “brite” adipocytes within...
WAT depots (Guerra et al., 1998; Xue et al., 2007). Other external cues are favoring the brown-fat differentiation, e.g., Hedgehog signaling has been characterized as a determinant of brown-fat cell fate (Pospisilik et al., 2010) whereas among bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs), BMP7 specifically promotes brown adipogenesis of murine multipotent mesenchymal stem cells (Tseng et al., 2008; Schulz et al., 2011).

We have reported the characterization of mesenchymal stem cells from human adipose tissue of young male and female donors [termed human multipotent adipose-derived stem cells (hMADS)] which exhibit at a clonal level a normal karyotype, self-renewal ability, the absence of tumorigenicity (Rodriguez et al., 2004, 2005a; Zaragosi et al., 2006; Elabd et al., 2007; Fontaine et al., 2008), and are able to convert into functional brown-like adipocytes (Elabd et al., 2009). Owing to recent data showing that thermogenic adipocytes from BAT and WAT originate from distinct origin, the question raised whether UCP1-positive adipocytes (Elabd et al., 2009). Activation of the Hedgehog pathway. Thus hMADS cells represent only slightly induced by BMP7 treatment and not modulated by ever, in contrast to mouse cellular models, brown adipogenesis is these cells share similarities with mouse brite adipocytes. How- ever, in contrast to mouse cellular models, brown adipogenesis is only slightly induced by BMP7 treatment and not modulated by activation of the Hedgehog pathway. Thus hMADS cells represent a suitable human cell model to gain insights in the formation of brite adipocytes that could help to dissipate caloric excess intake.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

REAGENTS

Cell culture media, serum, buffers, and trypsin were purchased from Lonza Verviers (Verviers, Belgium) and cell culture reagents from Sigma-Aldrich Chimie (Saint-Quentin Fallavier, France). Rosiglitazone was purchased from BertinPharma (Montigny le bretonneux, France).

CELL CULTURE

The establishment and characterization of the multipotency and self-renewal capacity of hMADS cells have been described (Rodriguez et al., 2004, 2005b; Elabd et al., 2007, 2009). In the experiments reported herein hMADS-1, 2, and 3 cells, established respectively, from the umbilical fat pad of a 31-month-old female donor, from the pubic region fat pad of a 5-year-old male donor and the prepubic fat pad of a 4-month-old male, were used between passages 16 and 35 corresponding from 35 to 100 population doublings. Cells were seeded at a density of 4500 cells/cm² in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% FCS, 2.5 ng/ml hFGF2, 60 μg/ml penicillin, and 50 μg/ml streptomycin. The medium was changed every other day and hFGF2 was removed when cells reached confluence and were triggered for differentiation at day 2 post-confluence (designated as day 0). Cells were then maintained in DMEM/Ham’s F12 media supplemented with 10 μg/ml transferrin, 0.85 μM insulin, 0.2 mM triiodothyronine, 1 μM dexamethasone, 500 μM isobutyl-methylxanthine. Three days later, the medium was changed (dexamethasone and isobutyl-methylxanthine were omitted) and 100 nM rosiglitazone were added for the indicated periods. Media were then changed every other day and cells used at the indicated days. Glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GPDH) activity measurements and Oil Red O staining were performed as described previously (Negrel et al., 1978; Bezy et al., 2005).

ISOLATION AND ANALYSIS OF RNA

Total RNA was extracted using TRI-Reagent kit (Euromedex, Souffelweyersheim, France) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Quality control for purity and integrity of RNA were tested by OD (260/280 nM) measurements and ethidium bromide-stained agarose analysis. Reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) analysis was conducted as described previously (Rodriguez et al., 2004; Bezy et al., 2005; Zaragosi et al., 2006; Elabd et al., 2007). Primer sequences, designed using Primer Express software (Applied Biosystems, Courtaboeuf, France), are listed in Table 1 and were tested for their specificity, efficiency, reproducibility, and dynamic range. For quantitative PCR, final reaction volume was 20 μl using SYBR green master mix (Eurogentec, Angers, France) and assays were run on an ABI Prism 7700 real-time PCR machine (PerkinElmer Life and Analytical Sciences, Boston, MA, USA). An aliquot of PCR products was analyzed on 2% ethidium bromide-stained agarose. The expression of selected genes was normalized to that of TATA-box binding protein (TBP) gene and quantified using the comparative-ΔΔCt method. TBP expression did not vary along the adipocyte differentiation of hMADS cells; we used also 36B4 and POLR2A genes as housekeeping genes which gave similar data. Human skeletal muscle RNA extracts were obtained from a previous study (Pisani et al., 2010).

MITOCHONDRIA ANALYSIS

Living cells were submitted to 100 nM MitoTracker Red FM (Invitrogen) for 45 min at 37°C. Cells were finally washed with pre-warmed culture medium and visualized with an Axiovert microscope (Carl Zeiss, Le Pecq, France) and pictures were captured and treated with AxioVision software (Carl Zeiss).

CYTOCHROME C OXIDASE ACTIVITY DETERMINATIONS

Cells were disrupted using a polytron in 10 mM Tris pH 8, 1 mM EDTA, and 0.25 M Sucrose containing protease inhibitors. Cell lysate was centrifuged at 750 g for 10 min with a pellet corresponding to a nuclear–enriched fraction. The supernatant was then centrifuged at 10 000 g for 20 min, the pellet corresponding to a mitochondrial-enriched fraction. The mitochondrial-enriched fraction was used to determine Cytochrome c Oxidase activity according to manufacturer’s instructions (Cytochrome c Oxidase Assay kit, Sigma).

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Data are expressed as mean values ± SEM and are analyzed using the 2-tailed Student’s t-test. Differences were considered statistically significant at P ≤ 0.05.

RESULTS

UCP1, 2, AND 3 EXPRESSION DURING HMADS CELL DIFFERENTIATION

We showed previously that hMADS cells were able to differentiate into white and brown adipocytes depending on the length of activation of PPARγ by rosiglitazone (Elabd et al., 2009). For hMADS-1 cells, originally established from the umbilical fat pad
Table 1 | Sequence of primers used for gene expression analysis.

| Gene          | Complete name | Forward primer | Reverse primer | Accession N˚ |
|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|
| UCP1          | Uncoupling protein 1 | GTGTGCCCAACTGTGCAATG | CCGAGTCACAATCGCAAGA | NM021833   |
| UCP2          | Uncoupling protein 2 | GGCCTCAGGTAGACCTTAC | TGCCCTGACCCCAACCAT | NM003355   |
| UCP3          | Uncoupling protein 3 | TCAAGGACTCTGGCTATG | ACTCTTCAGGAGCACTTTT | NM003356.3 |
| PPARγ         | Peroxisome proliferator activated receptor gamma | AGCCTCAGTGAAAGCTTCCA | TCCGAGAAGACCCCTGCA | NM050376   |
| PGC-1α        | PPARγ coactivator 1 alpha | CTGTCGTCAACCTGGGACTT | TGTCTGCAAGAAGACCTTG | NM013261   |
| PGC-1β        | PPARγ coactivator 1 beta | GCGAGGAGATGGAAGCTTAC | CAGGCCTGAGCTTTCAAGAG | NM013363   |
| PRDM15        | PR domain containing 16 | GAAAATTCATGGAATGTGAGATGA | CGCTCAAGCCAGCTTGA | NM022114   |
| CIDEA         | Cell death-inducing DFFA-like effector A | GGCAGTTTCAGTGTGGGATA | GAAACATGTTGGGCTGCAA | NM001279   |
| CPT1B         | Carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1B | AAACAGGTCCAGGGGTC | GCTGCTCAAGCCAGCTTGA | NM152246   |
| ELOVL3        | Fatty acid elongase 3 | TGGAGCTTCTGCTTCTGCAA | GGGCTATGGGAGAAGAGG | NM152310.1 |
| AGT           | Angiotensinogen | ACGTACCGTCACTGCTTTCAA | AAGTTTTCCTAGTATGCTTGG | NM005924.4 |
| MEOX2         | Mesenchyme homeobox 2 | AGAGAAGAACGAGCAATCT | AGCATTCCACCAAGGACTT | NM003432.4 |
| ZIC1          | Zic family member 1 | TGGCAGGCTCTATTTTCTTAT | GACATCTGCAAGGCTTTG | NM001012614 |
| LHX8          | LIM homeobox 8 | GTGCGACGTCTGTTGGTTT | AGCGAGAAATAGGGGAAGG | NM000101933.1 |
| CtBP1         | C-terminal binding protein 1 | GCCTCAAGAGCAACAAACA | ACCAGGAAGCCCTTGTGCA | NM0012614.1 |
| CtBP2         | C-terminal binding protein 2 | CTGTGAGCAAGCAAGCTTGA | GTCTGAGCAAGGCTTGGCA | NM0022802.2 |
| NRIP1         | Nuclear receptor interacting protein 1 | TGGAGCAAGGACGAAACTGCA | TACTGCAAGGGAAGAAGA | NM003438.3 |
| LXR           | Liver-X-receptor | CAGGCTCCAGAAGAGATG | AGAGTCCACCGCAAGT | NM006963.2 |
| GPBAR1        | G protein-coupled bile acid receptor 1 | CCGAGGATCTTCTTCTTACG | GCCAGAAGGCGCAGTCAG | NM001077191.1 |
| DiO2          | Deiodinase type 2 | GTACGTGTCAGGTGCTTCTT | TTTCACTACAATCCAACTT | NM000793.5 |
| COX1          | Cyclooxygenase 1 | AGCAGTCTAGTGTTCTTCTT | CAGTCTCAATACCAAGCCAG | NM008591.1 |
| COX2          | Cyclooxygenase 2 | GAATCATTCCAGCCATGAGT | TGTCTGCGGTGGTGAAC | NM00966.2 |
| PTGI          | Prostaglandine I2 synthase | GCCACATAGCCTATAAGCTGTGAAC | AGTTGCTCATCAGGACTT | NM000961.3 |
| PTGIR         | Prostaglandine I2 receptor | AGGTCCTGAGAACACCTGAG | TAGTGAGCTTGGTGGC | NM00960.3 |
| TKP1          | Thiamin pyrophosphokinase 1 | CAAGGCTGTGTTCTTCTG | TTGAAGGAGGCTTGGGAC | NM00104282.1 |
| FABP4         | Fatty acid binding protein 4 | TGGAGCAAGGAGGATGAAA | CAACTGCTTCTAGTATGCTT | NM001442.2 |
| ADIPOQ        | Adiponectin | GCAATCTGCTGTTCTTGATTCCAT | GCCACTGAGTGTTGTCC | NM000479.3 |
| RARRES2       | Chemerin | GGAATATTGCTGAGGCTTGGA | CAGGATCTGCTGTTGTCC | NM002889.3 |
| PANK3         | Pantothenate kinase 3 | CATGCGAGAGGTGCTTATTG | GTTTGCGAGGTTGGAT | NM0025494.3 |
| HOXC9         | Homeobox C9 | CGAGGAAACCAAGAGAAGAGA | AGAGGAGTCCCTGTTTAAAT | NM008897.1 |
| DPT           | Dermatoponcin | CGAGGAGGACCAACACCTT | GCACGACATATGAACTTCA | NM0019374.1 |
| Lept | Leptin | AGGGAGAGGAGGCTTCTT | GACATCTCCACACCAAC | NM0015250.3 |
| Glil | Gioma-associated oncogene homolog 1 | TCTGAGAAGGCTTGATCGG | TTTTCGACCACTGAGCTT | NM001167609.1 |
| Myf5 | Myogenic factor 5 | GATCACTTCTTGCAGAACACTT | GTCGCTCAACAGGAGAGA | NM005593.2 |
| TBP | TATA-box binding protein | CACGGAAACGGCAGACTT | TTTTCCTGCTGAGCTT | NM003194 |

of a 31-month-old female donor, a 6-day exposure to rosiglitazone (between days 3 and 9) proved to be optimal for the cells to become white adipocytes. As shown in Figures 1A, B, further exposure of the cells to rosiglitazone did not alter the overall adipogenesis level per se since treatment between days 3 and 16 brought no change in triglyceride accumulation (Oil Red O staining) and GPDH activity. Under this condition, as shown in Figure 1C, a dramatic increase in the expression of UCP1 mRNA was observed. Interestingly, when hMADS-1 cells were first differentiated as above into white adipocytes and rosiglitazone being removed for the next 5 days, a further 2-day rosiglitazone exposure (between days 14 and 16) was sufficient to stimulate the expression of UCP1 (Figure 1C). In order to extend these observations, hMADS-2 and hMADS-3 cells, established respectively from the pubic fat pad of a 5-year-old male donor and the prepubic fat pad of a 4-month-old male, were used and gave similar results (Figure 1C). Under these conditions, UCP1 protein could be detected by immunoblotting analysis (data not shown).

We then measured for the expression of two other members of the UCP family, UCP2 and UCP3. The expression of UCP2 mRNA was induced during adipogenesis of hMADS-1, 2, and 3 cells, and its expression was partially but not significantly enhanced by the duration of rosiglitazone treatment (Figure 1D). Interestingly, UCP3 mRNA was barely detected (100–200 times lower as compared to skeletal muscle cells), was not induced during adipogenesis and remained insensitive to rosiglitazone treatment (Figure 1E).

UCP1 INDUCTION IS DEPENDENT UPON PPARγ ACTIVATION
Among members of the thiazolidinedione family, rosiglitazone is known as a high-affinity ligand of PPARγ. We therefore carried out a comparative study with other thiazolidinediones, i.e.,
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FIGURE 1 | Conversion of hMADS cells into brown-like adipocytes. hMADS-1, 2, and 3 cells were induced to differentiate into adipocytes as described under the Section “Materials and Methods.” Hundred nanometer rosiglitazone was added during the indicated periods of treatment. At day 16, (A) cells were fixed and stained by Oil Red O, (B) GPDH activity was determined, and (C–E) UCP1, UCP2, and UCP3 mRNA levels, respectively, were measured by quantitative RT-PCR. Results are mean ± SEM of three (B,C) or two (D,E) independent experiments. Results in (C) and (D) are expressed by taking as 100% the value obtained for rosiglitazone treatment between days 3 and 9. In (E), human skeletal muscle cells were used as positive control for UCP3 expression. Results in (E) are expressed taking as 100% the value obtained at 100 nM rosiglitazone. *p < 0.05 vs. cells treated between days 3–9.

FIGURE 2 | Activation of PPARγ is involved in the conversion of white to brown adipocytes. hMADS-2 cells were induced to differentiate into white adipocytes in the presence of 100 nM rosiglitazone between days 3 and 9, and then treated with various component as indicated between days 14 and 16. (A) Effect of various PPARγ agonists (Rosiglitazone, Troglitazone, and Ciglitazone) analyzed by quantitative RT-PCR of UCP1 gene expression. (B) Effect of the PPARγ antagonist GW9662 on UCP1 expression stimulated by rosiglitazone. Results are the mean ± SEM of two independent experiments. Results are expressed by taking as 100% the value obtained at 100 nM rosiglitazone. *p < 0.05 vs. cells exposed to 100 nM rosiglitazone between days 3–9 and days 14–16.

troglitazone and ciglitazone, with respect to the induction of UCP1 gene expression. For this purpose, hMADS-2 cells were first differentiated into white adipocytes as above, i.e., rosiglitazone treatment between days 3 and 9 followed by its removal for the next 5 days, were then exposed to increasing concentrations of various thiazolidinediones between days 14 and 16. The levels of UCP1 mRNA were analyzed by quantitative RT-PCR at day 16. As shown in Figure 2A, troglitazone and ciglitazone were able to induce UCP1 expression in a dose-dependent manner.

CHARACTERIZATION OF MITOCHONDRIOGENESIS IN DIFFERENTIATED hMADS ADIPOCYTES

As abundance of mitochondria is higher in brown as compared to white fat cells, we tested whether mitochondriogenesis was affected in the “browning” process of hMADS cells. Clearly, more mitochondria were observed in brown-like adipocytes as compared to white adipocytes (Figure 3A). This observation was further supported by quantitative RT-PCR analysis of CPT1B, a fatty acid transporter of the outer mitochondrial membrane (Figure 3B). Under these conditions, CPT1B mRNA levels were 10-fold higher in brown-like adipocytes as compared to white adipocytes. Furthermore, the increase of CPT1B mRNA levels upon rosiglitazone treatment was similar to that observed for UCP1 (Figure 1C).

Finally, we measured the Cytochrome c oxidase activity in this fraction as an index of respiratory chain activity. As expected, Cytochrome c oxidase activity was higher in UCP1-containing fractions from hMADS cells chronically treated as compared to cells treated between days 3 and 9 (Figure 3C). Thus, hMADS...
adipocytes expressing UCP1 display a significant increase in mitochondriogenesis accompanied by an increase in their respiratory activity; both indicating the acquisition of a brown-like phenotype. As brown-like adipocytes arise from white adipocytes, brown-like adipocytes can be defined at first sight under our conditions as brite adipocytes.

**ANALYSIS OF GENE EXPRESSION IN hMADS-DERIVED BRITE ADIPOCYTES**

Recently, studies of mouse model have described genes with differential expression in white vs. brown adipocytes (Vernochet et al., 2009; Petrovic et al., 2010). Therefore we aimed at analyzing the expression of a large set of these genes in white vs. brown-like hMADS adipocytes.

First, we analyzed the expression of Myf5 known to be a molecular signature common to brown-fat and skeletal muscle cells (Seale et al., 2008). Myf5 expression was not detectable in proliferating or differentiated hMADS cells originating from three donors (data not shown).

Table 2 summarized the expression values (ΔCt referred to TBP) of different genes in hMADS cells at day 9 of adipocyte differentiation as well as day 16 of white and brite differentiation of hMADS-2 and 3 cells. White and brite hMADS adipocytes expressed classical adipogenic markers (FABP4, ADIPOQ, RARRES2, PANK3, PPARγ, and low level of LXR) at similar levels. In addition, hMADS brite adipocytes expressed UCP1, CPT1B, CIDEA, and ELOVL3, four genes found to be highly expressed in mouse brite adipocytes (Petrovic et al., 2010). Conversely, these cells did not express ZIC1 and LHX8, two genuine brown adipocyte specific genes (Vernochet et al., 2009). Interestingly, hMADS cells expressed PRDM16, MEOX2, and AGT at the same level in both white and brite adipocytes. With respect to white adipocyte specific genes, it is noticeable that leptin (LEP) and DPT but not HOXC9 levels decreased with brite adipocyte formation.

Co-activators (PGC1α, PGC1β) and co-repressors (CtBP1, CtBP2, NRP1) of PPARγ were also expressed, demonstrating the ability of these cells to modulate PPAR signaling pathways. hMADS cells also expressed other classical components of brown adipocyte signaling such as Dio2 (thyroid hormone pathway), COX1, COX2, PGTiS, and PGTiR (arachidonic acid pathway) and interestingly, an increase in the bile acid receptor, GPBAR1.

Altogether, these observations clearly confirmed the “brite” phenotype of hMADS adipocytes as they display both an origin and a molecular signature distinct from those of genuine brown adipocytes.

**EFFECTS OF HEDGEHOG AND BMP SIGNALING EFFECTORS ON BRITE hMADS CELL FORMATION**

As conversion of hMADS cells into brite adipocytes is dependent upon PPARγ activation, we became interested in deciphering pathways that are independent of rosiglitazone treatment. It has been shown in mouse models that Hedgehog and BMP pathways modulated the formation of brown adipocytes (Lee et al., 2008; Pospisilik et al., 2010; Schulz et al., 2011). We analyzed UCP1 expression after activation of the Hedgehog pathway, using two known activators of
Table 2 | Analysis of white and brown specific markers.

|                      | hMADS-2 |          | hMADS-3 |          |          |
|----------------------|---------|----------|---------|----------|----------|
|                      | Day 9   | Day 16   | Brite vs. white | Day 9   | Day 16   | Brite vs. white |
|                      |         |          |         |          |          |
| **Brown markers**    |         |          |         |          |          |
| UCP1                 | 0.376   | 0.231    | 138.1   | 0.060    | 2.400    | 45.50       | 18.958   |
| CPT1B                | 0.322   | 0.147    | 1.757   | 0.020    | 0.110    | 1.340       | 12.182   |
| CIDEA                | 0.019   | 0.041    | 0.076   | 0.020    | 0.010    | 0.028       | 2.800    |
| ELOVL3               | 0.449   | 1.246    | 2.006   | 0.600    | 0.788    | 1.332       | 1.691    |
| AGT                  | 0.805   | 0.140    | 0.131   | 0.938    | 0.059    | 0.033       | 0.564    |
| PRDM16               | 0.027   | 0.024    | 0.017   | 0.731    | 0.028    | 0.027       | 0.750    |
| MEOX2                | 0.016   | 0.019    | 0.020   | 1.035    | 0.030    | 0.013       | 0.009    |
| ZIC1                 | nd      | nd       | nd      | nd       | 0.027    | 0.018       | 0.018    |
| LHX8                 | nd      | nd       | nd      | nd       | nd       | nd          |          |
|                      |         |          |         |          |          |
| **Brown/white markers** |       |          |         |          |          |
| FABP4                | 263.0   | 94.0     | 305.0   | 317.0    | 206.0    | 390.0       | 1.893    |
| ADIPOQ               | 105.0   | 31.0     | 138.0   | 4.452    | 69.00    | 27.00       | 96.00    |
| RARRES2              | 0.237   | 0.513    | 0.650   | 1.266    | 1.002    | 1.976       | 1.425    |
| PANK3                | 2.397   | 5.035    | 5.996   | 1.191    | 0.979    | 3.421       | 2.454    |
| HoxC9                | 0.679   | 0.514    | 0.710   | 1.381    | 0.591    | 0.648       | 0.550    |
| DPT                  | 3.264   | 1.964    | 1.096   | 0.558    | 18.306   | 7.310       | 6.908    |
| LEP                  | 0.707   | 0.406    | 0.25    | 0.616    | 0.139    | 0.244       | 0.158    |
|                      |         |          |         |          |          |
| **White markers**    |         |          |         |          |          |
| PGC1α                | 0.431   | 0.340    | 0.494   | 1.453    | 0.940    | 0.320       | 0.400    |
| PGC1β                | 0.229   | 0.211    | 0.220   | 1.042    | 0.190    | 0.190       | 0.240    |
| PPARγ                | 3.145   | 13.310   | 10.598  | 0.796    | 10.200   | 9.500       | 10.500   |
| CtBP1                | 2.260   | 7.300    | 6.200   | 0.849    | 1.820    | 6.100       | 6.400    |
| CtBP2                | 1.110   | 1.910    | 18.200  | 9.529    | 2.280    | 2.540       | 11.700   |
| NRIIP1               | 1.442   | 1.066    | 1.597   | 1.499    | 1.140    | 0.630       | 0.750    |
| LXR                  | 0.110   | 8.000    | 12.600  | 1.575    | 0.150    | 8.500       | 20.300   |
|                      |         |          |         |          |          |
| **Receptor/enzyme**  |         |          |         |          |          |
| GPBAR1               | 0.309   | 0.120    | 0.209   | 1.743    | 0.118    | 0.056       | 0.084    |
| DIO2                 | 0.060   | 0.051    | 0.056   | 1.103    | 0.041    | 0.055       | 0.057    |
| COX2                 | 0.004   | 0.019    | 0.010   | 0.538    | 0.030    | 0.041       | 0.039    |
| COX1                 | 0.708   | 0.135    | 0.125   | 0.926    | 0.440    | 0.140       | 0.150    |
| PTGIS                | 1.416   | nd       | nd      | 0.833    | 0.390    | 0.100       | 0.440    |
| PTGIR                | 0.008   | 0.123    | 0.145   | 1.178    | 0.040    | 0.080       | 0.118    |
| TPK1                 | 1.621   | 1.551    | 1.292   | 1.691    | 1.633    | 1.040       | 0.841    |

hMADS-2 and 3 cells were induced to differentiate into adipocytes in the presence of 100 nM rosiglitazone between days 3 and 9 or between days 3 and 16. Expression of various markers described previously was analyzed by quantitative RT-PCR. The expression values (ΔCt referred to TBP) mRNA in hMADS cells at the indicated.

Genes indicated in italics and bold are highly expressed in white adipocyte and in brown adipocyte conditions, respectively.

smoothen, i.e., purmorphamine and smoothened agonist (SAG). hMADS cells that were differentiated in the presence of rosiglitazone either between day 3 and 9 or between day 3 and 16 were exposed to the two compounds and analyzed at day 16. As expected, the expression of Gli1 mRNA (Figure 4A), a marker of the activation of Hedgehog signaling (Hooper and Scott, 2005), was observed. However, neither purmorphamine nor SAG were able to substitute to rosiglitazone and to modulate the expression of UCP1 or FABP4.

In a similar way we tested whether BMPs could induce the “browning” of hMADS cells. hMADS cells, in the presence or absence of rosiglitazone between days 3 and 9, were treated with or without 10 nM BMP2 or BMP7. As shown in Figure 4B, neither BMP2 nor BMP7 affected adipogenesis of hMADS cells, as illustrated by the expression of FABP4 and only induced weakly the formation of brite adipocytes as illustrated by the expression of UCP1 and CIDEA.

DISCUSSION

Recent advances in the developmental analysis of white and brown progenitors demonstrated a distinct origin (Timmons et al., 2007; Crisan et al., 2008). Furthermore, brown-like adipocytes are found in white fat depots upon physiological or pharmacological stimulation and there is evidence that white adipocytes can be converted to brown-like fat cells (Tiraby et al., 2003; Cinti, 2009a,b). A recent study described mouse “brite” adipocytes, obtained upon chronic PPARγ activation of primary cultures of white adipocyte precursors (Petrovic et al., 2010), which may
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FIGURE 4 | Effect of Hedgehog and BMP signaling in the conversion of white to brown adipocytes. hMADS-2 cells were induced to differentiate into adipocytes in presence of 100 nM rosiglitazone at the indicate times. (A) Purmorphamine (purmo) or smoothened agonist (SAG) as effectors of the Hedgehog signaling pathway were added between days 14 and 18. Their effects were analyzed by measuring UCP1, FABP4, and Gli1 mRNA expression. (B) hMADS-2 cells were treated or not with 10 nM BMP2 or BMP7 between days 0 and 16, and analyzed at day 16. Results are the mean ± SEM of three independent experiments and are expressed by taking as 100% the value obtained for 100 nM rosiglitazone treatment between days 14 and 18. *p < 0.05 vs. cells treated only by rosiglitazone 100 nM.

explain the UCP1 expression found in islets surrounded by white adipocytes (Cousin et al., 1992; Xue et al., 2009). In adult humans, the increase of white to “brite” adipocyte conversion and activity, taking advantage of the important mass of WAT, could represent a novel strategy to combat overweight/obesity as a result of energy imbalance. Unfortunately, detailed investigations are hampered ex vivo by the unavailability of human brite and genuine brown adipocytes.

The observations reported herein emphasize that hMADS cells can differentiate into brite adipocytes. Their differentiation is associated with an increase of mitochondrial markers (Figure 3). During proliferation and differentiation, hMADS cells do not express Myf5 indicating that a common signature with myoblasts can be ruled out. Analyzing the expression pattern of genes known to be associated with brown or brite murine adipocytes, clearly demonstrate the brite signature of converted hMADS cells. Indeed, these cells express brown adipocytes markers (PPARα, CPT1B, ELOVL3, CIDEA, PGC1α, and UCP1) and do not (ZIC1, LHX8) or barely express other specific markers (PRDM16, MEOX2) of genuine mouse brown adipocytes. Moreover, hMADS cells express well-known components of brown adipocyte signaling, demonstrating that these cells are able to respond to various signals such as α- and β-adrenergic agonists, bile acids, fatty acids and prostaglandins, thyroid hormone, and the natriuretic peptide (Table 2; Rodriguez et al., 2004; Elabd et al., 2009). Therefore, hMADS cells can be used as a human cell model to analyze various brown modulating signals that have been described in rodents. Our results clearly emphasize Hedgehog and BMPs signaling as striking examples of the discrepancies existing between human and rodents (Fontaine et al., 2008; Svensson et al., 2011). Actually, it has been previously shown that activation of Hedgehog signaling in vivo and in vitro impairs white but not brown adipocyte differentiation (Pospisilik et al., 2010). Herein we showed that treatment of hMADS cells with Hedgehog activators did not affect white or brite adipocyte formation (Figure 4A). Furthermore, our data show that BMP7 induces weakly brite adipocyte formation of hMADS cells, in contrast to earlier observations in mouse cell models (Tseng et al., 2008; Schulz et al., 2011) despite the fact that hMADS cells do express functional BMP receptors (Zaragosi et al., 2010; and data not shown).

Taken together, our data demonstrated that differentiated brown-like hMADS adipocytes are representative of the so-called brite adipocytes recently described in mice (Petrovic et al., 2010) though significant differences are observed with regard to signaling cues favoring brown adipogenesis. In conclusion, our human cell model could contribute to shed some light on the mechanisms involved in the browning process of white adipocytes and could help in identifying drugs involved in this phenomenon.
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