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Abstract: Amidation of unprotected amino acids has been investigated using a variety of “classical” coupling reagents, stoichiometric or catalytic group(IV) metal salts, and boron Lewis acids. The scope of the reaction was explored through the attempted synthesis of amides derived from twenty natural, and several unnatural, amino acids, as well as a wide selection of primary and secondary amines. The study also examines the synthesis of medicinally relevant compounds, and the scalability of this direct amidation approach. Finally, we provide insight into the chemoselectivity observed in these reactions.

Introduction

Chemical reactions for the formation of amide bonds are among the most commonly employed transformations in organic chemistry; amides featuring in 25% of industrially important pharmaceuticals as well as a wide selection of bioactive natural products and polymeric materials.[1] The synthesis of amides derived from amino acids constitutes a major application of amide bond formation chemistry. While the synthesis of amino amides by the assembly of N-protected α-amino acids and amines is a well-known and accepted methodology,[2] the synthesis of amides directly derived from unprotected amino acids (Scheme 1B) is rare, despite shortening the synthetic sequence by two steps. This class of amidation reaction presents issues relating to control of reactivity, but the lack of research effort in this area is probably due to the preconception that such a reaction simply would not work (Scheme 1C). Nevertheless, a small number of methods for direct amidation of unprotected amino acids have been developed.

The first known method for the chemoselective amidation of unprotected amino acids was developed by Leuchs, and employed phosgene or derivatives thereof to generate reactive N-carboxyanhydrides (NCAs) for subsequent reaction with amines (Scheme 2).[3] However, the activation occurs to such an extent that it leads to polymerization and the amino acid still requires protection for a fully selective monoacylation.[5]

Since then, there have been several reports of methods for the synthesis of amides derived from amino acids employing a transient activating group for the carboxylic acid that simultaneously protects the amine (Scheme 2A). Burger et al. reported the use of gaseous hexafluoroacetone (HFA) for the formation of activated oxazolidinones,[6,7] which are reactive towards amines. The applicability of this method was demonstrated through the shortest synthesis of aspartame to date (Scheme 2B).[6] Later, similar approaches were exploited by Lis- kamp et al. using dichloroalkyl silanes[8] or boron trifluoride[9] to produce activated Lewis acid conjugates in which the amino acid was proposed to coordinate in a bidentate fashion. Remarkably, Bristol–Meyers–Squibb have employed the silane-
based methodology for the synthesis of an amino amide drug candidate,[10] demonstrating benefits with regard to both cost-effectiveness and atom economy (Scheme 2B). More recently, Sharma et al.[11] reported the amidation of some unprotected amino acids employing a “classical” coupling reagent, 1'-carbonyldiimidazole (CDI), in water. Nevertheless, the method displayed serious drawbacks with regard to scope, only succeeding in the amidation of four amino acids (Scheme 2C).

We have recently reported that the borate ester B(OCH$_2$CF$_3$)$_3$ is an effective reagent for the direct synthesis of $\alpha$-amino amides from unprotected amino acids and amines.[13, 14] In this study, we outline the full scope of the direct amidation of unprotected amino acids employing both stoichiometric and catalytic quantities of group(IV) metal salts and “classical” coupling reagents.

### Results and Discussion

#### Classical coupling reagents

The acylation of amines with activated carboxylic acids is the most common way to make an amide, as a consequence of the widespread availability and high stability of both of these building blocks. In fact, based on literature surveys, the most commonly used methods for amidation involve the formation of intermediary acid chlorides, O-acyl ureas or (mixed) anhydrides.[15] We therefore began our investigation by looking at the efficiency of classical coupling reagents for the chemoselective amidation of unprotected phenylalanine with benzylamine. Using polar solvents with the potential to partially solubilize unprotected amino acids (H$_2$O, EtOH), biphasic systems (CH$_2$Cl$_2$/H$_2$O) as well as non-protic solvents (DMF, CH$_2$Cl$_2$), we looked at the competency of “classical” coupling reagents in amidation. In most cases, little or no amino amide was produced (Table 1, entries 1–6). In the case of amidation employing CDI in water (entry 7), the formation of the desired amino amide was observed in small quantities, accompanied by the formation of three other amide species, highlighting issues relating to reactivity. Overall, we believe that the lack of reactivity, as suggested previously,[12] is mainly due to the poor solubility of zwitterionic $\alpha$-amino acids both in organic solvents and in aqueous solution.

### Stoichiometric amidation with Lewis acids

We have recently reported the use of B(OCH$_2$CF$_3$)$_3$ as an effective reagent for the direct synthesis of $\alpha$-amino amides from

---

**Scheme 2.** Chemoselective amidation of unprotected amino acids: A) One-pot chemoselective methods.[3–9, 13] B) Applications to the synthesis of drug/natural products.[6, 10] C) “Classical” coupling reagents with unprotected amino acids:[12]

**Table 1.** “Classical” coupling reagents in amidation of amino acids.

| Entry | Coupling agent | Solvents | Yield [%] |
|-------|----------------|----------|-----------|
| 1     | EDC/HOBt       | CH$_2$Cl$_2$/H$_2$O | <5        |
| 2     | EDC-HCl/HOBt   | NMM, H$_2$O   | <5        |
| 3     | EDC-HCl/HOBt   | NMM, EtOH    | <5        |
| 4     | T3P            | DMF        | 0         |
| 5     | HATU           | DMF        | 0         |
| 6     | SOCl$_2$       | Et$_2$N/CH$_2$Cl$_2$ | 0        |
| 7     | CDI            | H$_2$O     | <10       |

[a] 1,4-dimethoxybenzene was used as an internal standard. Complete reaction conditions and spectra of crude reaction mixtures as can be found in the Supporting Information. EDC-HCl = N-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-N’-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride; HATU = (2-(1H-benzotriazol-1-yl)-1,1,3,3-tetramethyluronium-hexafluorophosphate); HOBt = 1-hydroxybenzotriazole; NMM = N-methylmorpholine.
unprotected amino acids and excess amine. In most cases, the pure amino amide could be obtained using a solid phase workup to remove amino acid and boron compounds, followed by evaporation of the volatile components. All 20 common proteogenic amino acids (Table 2, entries 1–20) as well as six unnatural amino acids (entries 21–26) were evaluated in the reaction. Although polar amino acids (namely arginine (2b), asparagine (2c) and glutamine (2f)) did not efficiently undergo amidation, the method was extremely successful with the less polar amino acids (2a, 2g–2h, 2i–2k, 2m–2o, 2q–2t). Overall, 60% of the natural amino acids and 5/6 of the unnatural amino acids (2u–2z) underwent amidation to give amides in good yields and with high enantiomeric ratio (e.r.) (>90:10 e.r.).

Following this study, we went on to look at the reaction conditions in more detail in order to minimize the level of racemization seen for some examples. The reaction of tryptophan with propylamine was examined with various conditions, and it was found that racemization could be minimized by shortening the reaction time and/or by adding the borate reagent dropwise (Table 3).

We have also attempted the reaction to synthesise 1a under similar conditions with a variety of group (IV) metal salts (Table 4), as these types of species have been reported to be active catalysts for amide bond formation in recent years. While reactions employing zirconium-based reagents solidified and produced only minor quantities of amide 1a, Ti(OiPr)4 was identified as a suitable alternative amidation reagent. The reactions were also attempted in the presence of molecular sieves but the resulting yields were slightly lower. A selection of amino amides were synthesised using 1 equiv of Ti(OiPr)4 (Figure 1). Amide 2n was synthesised in high yield but with significant racemisation. Although lower yields were seen for the synthesis of 2r and 2t, the use of Ti(OiPr)4 furnished products with higher enantiopurity (≥95:5 e.r.) than the reactions mediated by B(OCH2CF3)3. Hence, Ti(OiPr)4 represents an easily accessible and lower cost alternative to our borate ester, especially for more reactive amino acids.

Table 2. B(OCH2CF3)3-mediated amidation of free amino acids with propylamine. Sarcosine (Sar), α-aminoisobutyric acid (AIB); Homoserine (Hse); l-2-Aminobutyric acid (AABA). e.r. could not be determined for 2c, 2e, 2f, 2i, 2l.

| Entry | Amino acid | Amide | Yield | e.r. |
|-------|------------|-------|-------|------|
| 1     | Ala        | 2a    | 92%   | >90:10 |
| 2     | Arg        | 2b    | 90%   | >90:10 |
| 3     | Asn        | 2c    | 92%   | >90:10 |
| 4     | Asp        | 2d    | 85%   | >90:10 |
| 5     | Cys        | 2e    | 90%   | >90:10 |
| 6     | Gin        | 2f    | 90%   | >90:10 |
| 7     | Glu        | 2g    | 90%   | >90:10 |
| 8     | Gly        | 2h    | 90%   | >90:10 |
| 9     | His        | 2i    | 90%   | >90:10 |
| 10    | Ile        | 2j    | 90%   | >90:10 |
| 11    | Leu        | 2k    | 90%   | >90:10 |
| 12    | Lys        | 2l    | 90%   | >90:10 |
| 13    | Met        | 2m    | 90%   | >90:10 |
| 14    | Phe        | 2n    | 90%   | >90:10 |
| 15    | Pro        | 2o    | 90%   | >90:10 |
| 16    | Ser        | 2p    | 90%   | >90:10 |
| 17    | Thr        | 2q    | 90%   | >90:10 |
| 18    | Trp        | 2r    | 90%   | >90:10 |
| 19    | Tyr        | 2s    | 90%   | >90:10 |
| 20    | Val        | 2t    | 90%   | >90:10 |
| 21    | β-Ala      | 2u    | 90%   | >90:10 |
| 22    | Ser        | 2v    | 90%   | >90:10 |
| 23    | Thr        | 2w    | 90%   | >90:10 |
| 24    | PheGly     | 2x    | 90%   | >90:10 |
| 25    | Hse        | 2y    | 90%   | >90:10 |
| 26    | AABA       | 2z    | 90%   | >90:10 |

Table 3. Reducing racemization through the dropwise addition of B(OCH2CF3)3 and by decreasing the reaction time.

| Entry | Reaction time [h] | Borate added dropwise | Yield [%] | e.r. |
|-------|-------------------|-----------------------|---------|-----|
| 1     | 15                | N                     | 93      | 80:20 |
| 2     | 5                 | N                     | 87      | 83:17 |
| 3     | 15                | Y                     | 93      | 84:16 |
| 4     | 5                 | Y                     | 83      | 91:9  |

Table 4. Ti/Zr in amidation of amino acids.

| Entry | Lewis acid | Equiv | Yield [%] |
|-------|------------|-------|-----------|
| 1     | B(OCH2CF3)3 | 3     | 85        |
| 2     | (Cp2ZrCl2) | 1     | 0         |
| 3     | ZrCl4      | 1     | 32        |
| 4     | Ti(OiPr)4  | 1     | 85        |
| 5     | Ti(OiPr)4[a] | 1   | 75        |

[a] Reaction run with mol. sieves. Cp = 1,5-cyclopentadiene.
Catalytic amidation employing Lewis acids

Having recently developed a method for general amidation employing catalytic B(OCH$_3$)$_3$,[14] we wished to explore the applicability of this approach in the amidation of unprotected amino acids. In the optimisation of the general catalytic amidation reaction, we screened a wide selection of organic solvents under Dean–Stark conditions and identified tert-amyl methyl ether (TAME) as a suitable alternative to CPME and PhMe, which crucially allows for azeotropic removal of water to be conducted at lower temperatures (86 °C).[14] Design of Experiments (DoE) reaction optimisation[18] was then conducted to understand the factors playing a role in the amidation of an unprotected amino acid, and to maximise the yield of product 3a and minimise the formation of diamide byproduct 4a, the product of amidation of the amino acid with the desired amino amide product. The catalyst loading, amine loading and volume of solvent used were investigated as factors (Scheme 3).

![Scheme 3. DoE study on the direct amidation reaction to form 3a. For further details, please see the Supporting Information.](image)

Good quality models for predicting the yield of both products were obtained from the DoE study. The results indicated that only the amine loading and catalyst loading had a significant effect on the yield of the desired amino amide. Unsurprisingly, we found that excess amine was beneficial for minimising byproduct formation. Increasing the catalyst loading led to increased formation of both products. As a result of this study, we were able to identify conditions to obtain high yields of the desired amino amide by running the reactions with only 1.5 equivalents of amine and 20 mol % B(OCH$_3$)$_3$ catalyst. Lowering the amounts of amine further led to increased formation of diamino amide 4a, although less than statistically expected (usually ranging between 1–8%, separable during purification).

With effective conditions in hand for the use of catalytic B(OCH$_3$)$_3$, for the direct amidation of an unprotected amino acid, we then went on to explore the use of alternative Lewis acid catalysts under these conditions. The use of 2-Cl and 3,4,5-trifluorophenylboronic acids (Table 5, entries 2–3, 9) has been previously reported to be effective for amidation under dehydrative conditions.[19,20] Such catalysts are generally unsuccessful even for amidation of protected amino acids, and, to the best of our knowledge, they have never been explored with unprotected amino acids.[19–21] However, to our surprise, these boronic acid catalysts were reasonably effective for the amidation of Phe with benzylamine under Dean–Stark conditions. Group (IV) metals (entries 4–5) were, as with the stoicho-

### Table 5. Lewis acids in the catalytic amidation of unprotected amino acids.[19–21]

| Entry | R      | Catalyst | Yield 4a [%] |
|-------|--------|----------|-------------|
| 1     | Bn     | B(OCH$_3$)$_3$ | 90          |
| 2     | Bn     | o-CIC$_6$H$_4$B(OH)$_2$ | 63          |
| 3     | Bn     | 3,4,5-F$_3$C$_6$H$_2$B(OH)$_2$ | 71          |
| 4     | Bn     | Ti(OPr)$_4$ | 85          |
| 5     | Bn     | Zr(Cl)$_4$ | 41          |
| 6     | iPr    | B(OCH$_3$)$_3$ | 40          |
| 7     | iPr    | Zr(Cl)$_4$ | 43          |
| 8     | iPr    | B(OMe)$_4$ | 8           |
| 9     | iPr    | o-CIC$_6$H$_4$B(OH)$_2$ | 7           |
| 10    | iPr    | Ti(OPr)$_4$ | 13          |
| 11    | iPr    | Hf(Cp)$_2$Cl$_2$ | 25          |

[a] Amino acid (5 mmol), benzylamine (7.5 mmol), 20 mol% catalyst, TAME (5 mL), Dean–Stark. [b] 1,4-dimethoxybenzene was used as an internal standard.

metric conditions, suitable catalysts for this transformation, with Ti(OPr)$_4$, a particularly cost-effective alternative to our borate ester providing amid e 1a in excellent yield. When employing ZrCl$_4$, isolation of the product was problematic (product lost in workup), most likely due to the formation of complexes between amino amides and Zr and the formation of hydrochloride salts of the amines present in the reaction mixture.

The high levels of conversion to 1a in these reactions prevent accurate differentiation of the reactivity of the various catalysts, so we went on to screen selected catalysts for the direct amidation of valine, a much less reactive substrate. Again, the amidation could be achieved chemoselectively with both group(IV) catalysts and boron-based catalysts. Although amidation employing ZrCl$_4$ gave the highest level of conversion, attempts at isolation failed due to issues relating to adduct/salt formation (vide supra, for use of stoichiometric ZrCl$_4$. B(OCH$_3$)$_3$ was therefore identified as the catalyst of choice, but Ti(OPr)$_4$ is a suitable alternative for more reactive amino acids.

Substrate scope of borate-catalysed amidation

With B(OCH$_3$)$_3$ as the catalyst, we explored the scope of the amino acid component with benzylamine as the amine (Scheme 4). All 20 common proteinogenic, as well as nine non-proteinogenic amino acids were tested. In general, the less polar amino acids were good substrates for the reaction, with two aromatic (1a, 1d) and most aliphatic (1f–i) amino acids yielding the corresponding amide in good to excellent yields (Scheme 4A,B). The amide derived from alanine and benzylamine could not be readily separated from untreated benzylamine during purification, so a reaction was therefore, per-
formed with phenethylamine to give amide 1f in excellent yield. The reaction with glycine led to the formation of a mixture of the desired amide 1e and its diamido counterpart 5e under the standard conditions, so a larger excess of amine had to be employed (3 equiv) and only a moderate yield of 1e was obtained. We were also able to use catalytic quantities of Ti(OiPr)₄ for the preparation of amino amides derived from more reactive amino acids (e.g. 1a, 1g). This catalyst was much less effective for more hindered amino acids, however (e.g. 1b). Pleasingly, by using B(OCH₂CF₃)₃, we were also able to access amides of more polar amino acids with hydroxyl (1l–m) and sulfur (1j, 1k) moieties present, and good conversions were observed (Scheme 4C). Amide 1k (from cysteine) was partially oxidized to the corresponding disulfide over the course of the reaction and upon exposure to air.

Acidic amino acids underwent amidation effectively (Scheme 4D), with glutamic acid cyclizing intramolecularly to give pyroglutamide 1n and aspartic acid undergoing double amidation to give a diamide (1o). The increased degree of racemization for 1o is probably due to a competing dehydrative mechanism involving a 5-membered anhydride, known to have a propensity for racemization.10 The basic (1q–s) and amido (1t–u) amino acids were somewhat less reactive (Scheme 4E,F), with only histidine giving an amide derived from benzylamine (1r). As expected, lysine spontaneously cyclized to form α-aminocaprolactam 1s. β-Amino acids generally worked less well than their α-amino counterparts (Scheme 4G). As with glycine, β-alanine underwent extensive over-reaction (1v), and even with three equivalents of amine did not give a clean amino amide product. However, β-amino acids could be coupled successfully to give amides 1w–x, albeit in lower yields relative to their α-amino acid counterparts.

Amino acids with protected side chains were also tested (Scheme 4H). Ester-protected glutamic acid and the methyl-ether derivative of serine gave amides 1y and 1z, respectively, in good yields, despite showing signs of significant racemization. Sarcosine, L-α-amino butyric acid and phenylglycine all underwent successful amidation (Scheme 4I), although the latter (1ee) underwent complete racemization, as expected based on its known propensity to racemise even under mild conditions (e.g. Cbz-PhGly + NH₄Cl yields amide in 43% yield, 46% ee using ethyl chloroformate, 5°C, 1 h).25 Overall, the method showed similar trends in reactivity to the stoichiometrical conditions.
ric approach (vide supra, Table 2). The relevance of this chemistry can easily be exemplified by the fact that most of the amides synthesized in Scheme 4 (or their enantiomers) are members of a well-documented class of potent anticonvulsants and agents for neuropathic pain treatment, commonly referred to as primary amine acid derivatives (PAADs).\textsuperscript{[22,23]}

The scope of the reaction with regard to the amine component was investigated next through the preparation of amides derived from a selection of different amines employing both stoichiometric B(OCH\textsubscript{2}CF\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3} (denoted as [A]) and the catalytic reaction conditions (denoted as [B], Scheme 5).

Simple aliphatic amines worked well under both sets of conditions (6a–g, Scheme 5A). However, the reaction of isobutylamine with tryptophan under catalytic conditions resulted in a low yield of amide most likely due to the volatility of the amine, which is probably removed into the side arm of the Dean–Stark apparatus. Benzylc and allylic amines were also successful (Scheme 5B), with substituted benzylamines bearing a methoxy group (6i, 6l), fluoride (6j) or polyfluoroalkane (6n) giving good yields of the corresponding \(\alpha\)-amino amides under both sets of conditions. Heterocyclic tryptamine (6m) and 2-picolylamine (6o) also underwent amidation successfully, with significant amounts of racemization in the latter case when stoichiometric B(OCH\textsubscript{2}CF\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3} was used. Pleasingly, the degree of racemization was significantly reduced under catalytic conditions.

Amino amides could also be prepared from reactive secondary amines in good yield (6p–s, 6u–w, Scheme 5C), but only with the stoichiometric method. The reactions with leucine and phenylalanine with pyrrolidine required forcing reaction conditions which led to significant levels of racemization (6s, 6u). When employing catalytic B(OCH\textsubscript{2}CF\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3} for the synthesis of a tertiary amide, even with a large excess of amine (3 equiv) the reaction was not selective and led to further reaction of 6t to give diamide 7t. Under the catalytic set of conditions, glutamic acid was the only amino acid to show selectivity for mono-

### Scheme 5

Scope of amine component for amidation of unprotected amino acids. A) Aliphatic amine scope. B) Aromatic/allylic amine scope. C) Secondary amine scope. D) Synthesis of dipeptides. E) Medically relevant substrates. Enantiomeric/diastereomeric ratios > 95:5, unless otherwise stated. [a] Reaction run at 125 °C. [b] B(OCH\textsubscript{2}CF\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3} added dropwise. [c] 2 equiv of amine used. [d] 3 equiv of amine used. [e] 30 mol % B(OCH\textsubscript{2}CF\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}.
amidation with a secondary amine, due to intramolecular cyclisation. Indeed, it was possible to synthesise 6w and the pharmaceutical Fasoracetam 6z (Scheme 5E) in one step from glutamic acid.

It was also possible to prepare dipeptide derivatives using glycine and alanine tert-butyl esters as the nucleophiles (Scheme 5D,E). Reaction of both Phe (6y) and Pro (6aa) was attempted with OtBu Gly, although the latter gave a good yield and enantiopurity, with phenylalanine undergoing significant racemization (6y). Conveniently, 6aa is a precursor to a marketed nootropic, Noopept, which can be accessed through a further condensation employing our catalytic amidation conditions.[14]

Glutamic acid also underwent successful cyclisation/amidation with Ala-OtBu to give 6x in good yield. We were also able to synthesise a benzodiazepine derivative 8, which belongs to a class of anti-anxiolytic drugs, from 2-aminobenzophenone and L-Phe in 52% yield, albeit under forcing conditions, which again led to a near full racemisation of the final product.

As the synthesis of tertiary amides was problematic in most cases, we set out to explore an alternative protocol using aminoboranes (Scheme 6), which have been previously demonstrated to promote amidation of carboxylic acids[26,27] and esters.[28] Commercially available tris(amino)boranes were found to be effective for direct amidation of unprotected amino acids, affording amides in moderate yield and enantio-purity. Due to the volatility of amines, such as dimethylamine, these amides would be difficult to prepare using the borate-mediated reactions outlined above. Using equimolar amounts of aminoborane under conditions analogous to the stoichiometric borate reaction, amino amides 6u, 6s and 6bb–gg were synthesized in low to good yields and with little sign of racemization. In acetonitrile, the remarkable reactivity of these aminoboranes enabled the synthesis of amides 6ff–gg and 6ee under very mild conditions at room temperature. This method is, however, limited by the requirement to employ an excess of amine in the synthesis of the tris(amino) borane, something which is only likely to be economically viable with low-cost readily available amines.

**Sequential amidation reactions**

With a method to provide direct access to amino amide derivatives, we reasoned that we could use the free amine group in further transformations to access useful compounds. We envisaged that the direct synthesis of free amino amides could be combined with our previously reported amidation processes[13] to provide access to relatively complex α-amido amides in a simple operation.

We started by exploring sequential amidation reactions with our stoichiometric reaction conditions. To this end, direct amidation of a free amino acid with propylamine was followed by a filtration workup to remove unreacted amino acid and boron residues and give the crude α-amino amide. This was then subjected to direct borate-mediated amidation with a carboxylic acid to give an α-amido amide, which was purified by a second filtration workup (Table 6). The diamides 10a–e were obtained efficiently over the two-step sequence in all cases, with no requirement for chromatographic purification.

We then went on to explore an analogous transformation employing the catalytic conditions in a one-pot procedure (Table 7). Following the standard protocol for direct amidation of a free amino acid, a solution of carboxylic acid in TAME was

**Table 6. Sequential double amidation reactions.**

| Amide Step 1 | Yield [%] | Step 2 yield [%] (e.r.) |
|--------------|-----------|------------------------|
| 6u           | 72%       | 95:5                   |
| 6s           | 41%       | 93:7                   |
| 6bb          | 63%       |                         |
| 6cc          | 14%       | 95:5 (e.r. 93:7)       |
| 6dd          | 25%       |                         |
| 6ee          | 26%       | 95:5 (e.r. 95:5)       |
| 6ff          | 54%       | 95:5                   |
| 6gg          | 39%       | 95:5                   |
| 6oo          | 52%       | 95:5                   |

**Scheme 6. Tris(amine)boranes in amidation.** Enantiomeric/diastereomeric ratios > 95:5, unless otherwise stated.
added to enable a second amidation reaction to take place. In general these double amidation reactions gave high conver-
sions, although in the case of chiral substrates, significant epi-
merization took place most likely due to the extended reaction
times. Purification for diamides employing column chroma-
tography was particularly difficult, due to the formation of
amide side products from the reaction of the reactant amine
with the second carboxylic acid coupling partner. Nonetheless,
the one-pot synthesis of Lacosamide was successful with this
method, yielding desired amide 11d, albeit with a low enantio-
purity. It is worth noting, however, that this substrate is partic-
ularly prone to racemization, and that the first step of the
amidation reaction (11z, Scheme 4) also showed erosion of
enantipurity (vide supra).

### Scalability and green metrics

As our goal was to develop a highly efficient and scalable amida-
tion protocol, we sought to test the synthesis of a set of
substrates on a larger scale. Both the chemoselective amidation
protocol (25–50 mmol) and the sequential amidation/con-
densation procedures (250 mmol) were amenable to scale up
to access multigram quantities of material, although in slightly
lower yields than the smaller scale reactions in the case of 1b
and 1d (Figure 2). This is likely due to the heterogeneity of the
system, which makes adequate mixing more difficult on a
larger scale.

### Sequential condensation reactions

Given that B(OCH$_2$CF$_3$)$_3$ has previously been shown to promote
imine formation when used stoichiometrically,[31] we also ex-
plored a one-pot unprotected amino acid amidation/condensa-
tion reaction to provide access to imidazolidinones in a single
step (Scheme 7). In this case the cyclisation reaction was much
quicker (1–2 h), and yielded products with very little or no
signs of racemization. Imidazolidinones derived from a selec-
tion of amino acids (Phe, Ala and Sar) were cyclized with ali-
phatic (12c,d, 12g,i, 12k), benzylic (12a), heterocyclic (12b,
12j) and hydroxyl containing (12a) aldehydes or ketones in
good to excellent yields. Only the reaction of camphor (12e)
failed to yield the desired heterocycle. We were also able to
synthesize precursors to two natural products (±)-Tricladin A
and B from alanine in one step.[32]

### Table 7. Sequential catalytic double amidation reactions (one-pot).

| Amide | Yield [%] |
|-------|-----------|
| Ph     | 11z       | 80       |
| Ph     | 11b       | 61 (85:15 d.r.) |
| Ph     | 11c       | 69 (98:2 e.r.) (recryst) |
| MeO    | 11d       | 73 (66:34 e.r.) (SM e.r. 94:6) |

### Scheme 7. Sequential condensation reactions for the synthesis of imidazoli-
dinones. Enantiomeric ratios > 95:5, unless otherwise stated. The e.r. of 12f
could not be determined.

### Figure 2. Scale-up amidations and sequential condensations. See the Sup-
porting Information for detailed procedures. [a] 40 mol % B(OCH$_2$CF$_3$)$_3$.

Next we set out to demonstrate the efficiency and cost-ef-
fecitiveness of our method by benchmarking it against “classi-
cal” amide coupling approaches (Scheme 8). We examined the
synthesis of amide 1a by using our method, and using litera-
ture approaches starting from either the free amino acid or the
tert-butyloxycarbonyl (Boc)-protected derivative, which is also
commercially available and commonly used as a starting mate-
Despite Boc-Phe-OH being available at what is often considered a nominal cost, it is 20 times more expensive than the free amino acid (198 vs. 10 €/mol). It is clear on the basis of the total material input required in terms of reagents and solvents, that the direct chemoselective amidation route offers significant benefits. The literature route from the free amino acid requires a total material input of 308 Kg per Kg of amide product, and the approach from the Boc-protected amino acid requires an even larger material input (412 Kg per Kg of amide product). In comparison, our chemoselective amidation method requires a material input of only 5 Kg per Kg of amide product obtained, 60–80 times more efficient. As these methods for the syntheses of amino amides employ column chromatographic purification, we are unable to calculate the process mass intensities (PMIs). However, the synthesis of amide 1a was carried out on a 25 mmol scale and crystallization was used to obtain the product with high purity, though in a lower yield (57%) than from chromatographic purification. Nevertheless, this process proceeds with an impressive PMI of only 13, which compares very favourably to established amidation methods (Typical PMI values in the range of 150–300).

**Origins of chemoselectivity**

The interactions between amino acids and boron Lewis acids were investigated by NMR studies. The NMR spectra suggest that the Lewis acid coordinates to the amino acid to form a cyclic intermediate such as 13 or 14 (Scheme 9). A shift in the $^{11}$B NMR spectrum from the trigonal to the tetrahedral region suggests the formation of a structure such as 13 (Figure 3). The interaction between the borate species and the amino acid effectively solubilizes the amino acid in organic solvent, allowing it to react in an amidation reaction.

**Scheme 8. Efficiency and cost-effectiveness of chemoselective amidation in comparison to regular amide bond-formation processes.**

**Scheme 9. Interaction of boron Lewis acids with amino acids.**

**Scheme 10. Reactivity of amino acids with carboxylic acids (top) and themselves (bottom).**

Interestingly, amino acids do not react with an external carboxylic acid such as phenylacetic acid under our standard catalytic amidation conditions (Scheme 10). This suggests that complexes such as 13 are not reactive at the amine. Similarly, in the absence of an amine reaction partner self-condensation...
of the amino acid to give diketopiperazine did not take place to any significant extent (Scheme 10). Of all the amino acids screened, only proline formed trace amounts of diketopiperazine when subjected to the standard catalytic amidation conditions (< 5% yield over 24 h). From these observations, it can be deduced that, only small quantities of amino acid will be solubilized when employing catalytic amounts of Lewis acid, creating a system in which the amine is in large excess to the solubilized amino acid, which is not reactive as a nucleophile (Scheme 11). This explains how self-condensation of the amino acid is prevented, and also explains the lack of reactivity of the amino acid with a carboxylic acid. The solubilized amino acid complex 13 is then able to undergo boron-catalysed amidation with the amine to generate the amino amide product coordinated to the catalyst, which then undergoes exchange with another molecule of free amino acid to continue the cycle. On the basis of our recent studies,[11] the amidation of the amino acid complex is likely to be mediated by interaction with a second catalyst molecule to form a species with a bridging acylboron unit.

Conclusions

In summary, we have identified effective methods for the direct amidation of unprotected amino acids with amines by using catalytic or stoichiometric quantities of boron or titanium Lewis acids. In this study, a detailed exploration of the scope of these reactions has been carried out, enabling the advantages and limitations of each approach to be identified. In Scheme 12, we provide a flowchart to enable the best method for a particular amidation reaction to be identified. We hope that this guide will prove useful in promoting the direct amidation of amino acids as a useful transformation for the chemistry community. With burgeoning interest in the development of novel catalytic methods for amide bond formation,[12] we anticipate that other amidation catalysts may well be applicable to this reaction. We also anticipate that implementation of this synthetic strategy in the pharmaceutical sector can lead to improved cost-effectiveness and reduced levels of waste in the synthesis of complex medicinally relevant compounds.

Experimental Section

Experimental procedures,[1] and 1H and 13C NMR spectra, and characterization data for all compounds are available in the Supporting Information.
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Scheme 11. Solubilization of amino acid into solution and subsequent acylation.

Scheme 12. Method selection flowchart for chemoselective amidation of unprotected amino acids. For amides derived from His, Lys or Arg the method using CDI reported by Sharma et al. should be considered.[12]
