A prospective observational study to assess the functional outcome of fracture distal end of radius treated with locking compression plate by volar approach

Dr. Giddi Rajani Kumar, Dr. Mohammad Mateen, Dr. Syed Azmal Shahuddin and Dr. Manoj Kumar Duppala

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22271/ortho.2021.v7.i3h.2800

Abstract

Introduction: Distal radius fractures are one of the most common skeletal injuries in the daily orthopaedic practice. They represent approximately 16% of all adult fractures treated by orthopedic surgeons. Outcome of the displaced extra- or intra-articular fractures require a good anatomical reduction. Various treatments such as manipulation and casting, percutaneous pinning with Kirschner (K) wires, External fixators were being practiced to treat distal radius fractures. Recent advances with Locking compression plates restore anatomical alignment and allow patient to start early mobilisation. The aim of our study is to compare the advantages and disadvantages of intraarticular distal radius fractures fixed with internal fixation using locking compression plate and to analyze the functional and radiological outcomes.

Methods: The present study was carried out on 52 cases of intraarticular distal radius fractures treated by open reduction and internal fixation using locking compression plating (LCP) in Department of Orthopaedics, Guntur medical college, Andhra Pradesh between November 2014 and October 2015. Functional outcome of the patients was evaluated using Mayo modified wrist score and Lidstrom classification score. Data was analysed using SPSS v17 Software.

Results: 52 Patients with intra articular distal radius fractures who fit the inclusion criteria, were selected and operated. Follow up of patients at 10 days, 6 weeks, 3 months and 6 months were studied according to Mayo modified wrist score. 47 patients exhibited excellent results and 5 patients exhibited good results. Radiological outcome using Lidstrom classification score were measured and 29 patients exhibited excellent results, 19 patients exhibited good results and 4 patients exhibited satisfactory results. Out of 4 patients One developed infection and implant exit was done and another 2 patients developed wrist stiffness and one patient developed hypertrophic scar.

Conclusion: In the present study open reduction internal fixation using locking compression plate with screws was done for intra articular distal radius fractures. This resulted in successful outcome in terms of anatomic realignment, better access with minimal surgical trauma, functional outcomes and fewer complications.
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Introduction

Fractures of the distal end of radius are one of the most common skeletal injuries encountered in the Orthopedic Department. Fractures of distal radius represent approximately 16% of all adult fractures treated by orthopedic surgeons [1]. Management of these fractures has remained a controversial issue [2]. Various operative and non-operative treatment options are available, without there being a consensus as to an optimal method [3, 4]. In spite of various new advances, closed reduction and cast immobilization has been the mainstay of treatment of these fractures but mal-union of fracture and subluxation/dislocation of distal radio ulnar joint resulting in poor functional and cosmetic results is the usual outcome [5, 6]. Numerous other methods of treating injuries of this nature like closed percutaneous pinning, external fixation, buttress plating have enjoyed recognition from time to time, testifying the fact that there is no ideal modality of treatment.
In the early 1950s, James Ellis from England started using a specially designed T plate to buttress the small marginal fragment in Volar Barton’s fractures. In the 1970s, AO group designed plates specifically for the treatment of distal radius fractures. There are two types of plates for fractures of the distal radius: (a) Conventional plates and (b) Fixed angle locking compression plates. With conventional plates and screws, stability is achieved by compression of the plate to a bone by bi-cortical screws. With fixed angle locking plates, the locking screws support sub-chondral bone and resist axial forces.

The present study is conducted to study the advantages & disadvantages of open reduction internal fixation using locking compression plate with screws, for intra articular distal radius fractures and to analyze the functional and radiological outcomes.

Methods

This prospective observational study was undertaken in the Department of Orthopedics, Guntur Medical College, Andhra Pradesh for a period of one year between November 2014 to October 2015. 52 patients, aged more than 18 years, with fracture of distal end of radius without fracture of distal ulna were included in the study. Patients with compound injuries and skeletal injuries other than fracture of lower end of radius and ulna were excluded from the study.

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from Institutional Ethics Committee. Written informed consent was obtained from all eligible patients. History was obtained from the patients and they were examined clinically and radiologically. All necessary investigations like blood tests, 2D Echo, ECG and Chest X-ray were done. Pre-anaesthetic checkup was done for all the patients. Surgery was performed, under spinal or general anesthesia, as per standard procedure. Physiotherapy was started on first post-operative day.

All the patients were followed up at 10 days, 6 weeks, 3 months & 6 months after the operation and assessed clinically and/or radiologically. Functional outcome of patients was evaluated using Mayo modified wrist score and Lidstrom classification score.

Data was analysed using SPSS v17. Categorical data was expressed as frequencies and percentages. Continuous data was expressed as mean and standard deviation. Paired T test was used to compare before (pre operative) and after (post operative visits at 10 days, 6 weeks, 3 months and 6 months) mean values. P value less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results

Of the 52 total study participants 43(82.69%) were males and 9 were females (17.30%). 29 (55.77%) belonged to 40-60 years age group, 15 (28.85%) to above 60 year age group and 7 (13.73%) to 25-40 years age group. Only 1(1.96%) patient belonged to youngest age group i.e. 18-25 years age group (Table 1a).

Majority i.e. 35(67.31%) of the study participants sustained fracture by road traffic accidents, followed by fall from height or any other way in 17(32.69%). Most of the fractures were on the right side i.e. 30(57.69%) (Figure 1) (Table 1b).

The mean radial inclination pre operatively was found to be 8.58°±3.31°. At first follow up after 10 days post operatively, mean radial inclination has increased and found to be 19.1°±3.09° and this rise in mean radial inclination is found to be statistically significant (p<0.0001). Similarly, mean radial inclination at second follow up was found to be 18.83±3.28, at 3rd follow up 18.54±3.38 and at last follow up 18.67±3.34°. Increased mean radial inclination on post operative follow up was found to be statistically significant (p<0.0001) in comparison to pre-operative value.

Mean volar tilt pre operatively was 14.56°±5.81°, at first follow up post operatively 9.56°±1.43°, at second follow up 9.43°±1.78° and at 3rd and last follow up 9.23°±1.54° and 9.17°±1.79° respectively. The mean volar tilt decreased post operatively at every follow up in comparison to pre-operative mean volar tilt and it is found to be statistically significant (p<0.0001) (Table 2a).

Mean intra articular steps pre operatively was 2.23mm ± 0.85mm and post operatively at first follow up it was 0.93mm ± 0.53mm, at second follow up 0.89mm ± 0.64mm and at 3rd and last follow up 0.75mm ± 0.67mm and 0.81mm ± 0.71mm respectively. The mean intra articular steps decreased post operatively at every follow up in comparison to pre-operative mean intra articular steps and is found to be statistically significant [p<0.0001].

Mean ulnar variation pre operatively was 1.51mm ± 0.82mm and post operatively at first follow up it was - 0.60mm ± 0.70mm, at second follow up -0.60mm ± 0.70mm and at 3rd and last follow up -0.60mm ± 0.72mm and -0.81mm ± 0.34mm respectively. The mean ulnar variation decreased post operatively at every follow up in comparison to pre-operative mean ulnar variation and is found to be statistically significant (p<0.0001) (Table 2b).

At first follow up 10 days post operatively 13(25%) patients showed satisfactory and 39(75%) patients showed poor results whereas no patient showed excellent or good results. At second follow up 6 weeks post operatively, results improved and 9(17.31%) patients showed excellent, 37(71.15%) patients showed good and 6(11.54%) patients showed satisfactory results while no patients reported poor result.

Further at 3rd follow up 3 months post operatively, 35(67.31%) patients exhibited excellent and 13(25%) patients exhibited good results; only 4(7.69%) patients showed satisfactory results whereas no patients reported poor result.

At last follow up 6 months post operatively, 47(90.38%) patients exhibited excellent and 5(9.62%) patients exhibited good results while no patient exhibited satisfactory or poor results (Figure 2) (Table3).

Radiological outcome according to Lidstrom classification score system was measured and 29(55.77%) patients exhibited excellent results, 19 (36.54%) patients exhibited good results and only 4(7.69%) patients exhibited satisfactory results whereas no patient exhibited poor result (Table 4).

We had to remove the implant in one patient due to infection while another patient had developed reflex sympathetic dystrophy which subsided during the follow up period after conservative management. One patient had developed features of teno-synovitis initially which was treated with anti-inflammatory drugs and the same patient had prominent plaque in final follow up. Wrist stiffness developed in two patients and hypertrophic scar in one patient.
| S.N. | Age groups (Years) | Male | Female | Total |
|------|-------------------|------|--------|-------|
| No.  | %                 | No.  | %      | No.  | %      |
| 1    | 18-25             | 1    | 2.33   | 0    | 0      | 1     | 1.92  |
| 2    | 25-40             | 6    | 13.95  | 1    | 11.11  | 7     | 13.46 |
| 3    | 40-60             | 24   | 55.82  | 5    | 55.56  | 29    | 55.77 |
| 4.   | > 60              | 12   | 27.90  | 3    | 33.33  | 15    | 28.85 |
| Total|                   | 43   | 100    | 9    | 100    | 52    | 100   |

### Table 1b: Distribution of other demographic and other variables

| S.N. | Variables                      | Respected figure |
|------|--------------------------------|------------------|
| 1.   | Mean age at presentation       | 40.03±30.76 years|
| 2.   | Mode of injury                 |                  |
|      | a) Road traffic accident       | 35 (67.31%)      |
|      | b) Fall                        | 17 (32.69%)      |
| 3.   | Laterality of injured hands    |                  |
|      | a) Right                       | 30 (57.69%)      |
|      | b) left                        | 22 (42.31%)      |

### Table 2a: Assessment and comparison of various radiological parameters

| Follow up | Radial Inclination (Mean ± SD) | Paired t test (Between pre op and every follow up) | Volar Tilt (Mean ± SD) | Paired t test (Between pre op and every follow up) |
|-----------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| 1. Pre-op | 8.58º ± 3.31º                  | t=3.11, p<0.0001                                  | 14.56º ± 5.81º         | t=5.61, p<0.0001                                  |
| 2. 10 days post op | 19.1º ± 3.09º | t=15.9, p<0.0001 | 9.56º ± 1.43º | t=5.96, p<0.0001 |
| 3. 6 week post op | 18.83º ± 3.28º | t=15.7, p<0.0001 | 9.43º ± 1.78º | t=6.02, p<0.0001 |
| 4. 3 month post op | 18.54º ± 3.38º | t=15.03, p<0.0001 | 9.23º ± 1.54º | t=6.33, p<0.0001 |
| 5. 6 month post op | 18.67º ± 3.34º | t=15.32, p<0.0001 | 9.17º ± 1.79º | t=6.33, p<0.0001 |

### Table 2b: Assessment and comparison of various radiological parameters

| Follow up | Intra articular steps (mm) (Mean ± SD) | Paired t test (Between pre op and every follow up) | Ulnar variance (mm) (Mean ± SD) | Paired t test (Between pre op and every follow up) |
|-----------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| 1. Pre-op | 2.23± 0.85                            | t=9.2, p<0.0001                                   | 1.51± 0.82                      | t=6.02, p<0.0001                                  |
| 2. 10 days post Op | 0.93 ± 0.53 | p<0.0001 | -0.60 ± 0.70 | t=5.96, p<0.0001 |
| 3. 6 week Post Op | 0.89 ± 0.64 | t=8.9, p<0.0001 | -0.60 ± 0.70 | t=6.02, p<0.0001 |
| 4. 3 month Post Op | 0.75 ± 0.67 | t=9.7, p<0.0001 | -0.60 ± 0.72 | t=5.95, p<0.0001 |
| 5. 6 month Post Op | 0.81 ± 0.71 | t=9.15, p<0.0001 | -0.81 ± 0.34 | t=5.63, p<0.0001 |

### Table 3: Grading of functional recovery of patients according to Mayo scoring system

| S.N. | Functional grading | At 10 days (%) | At 6 wk (%) | At 3month (%) | At 6 month (%) |
|------|--------------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|---------------|
| 1    | Excellent          | 0(0)           | 9 (17.31)   | 35 (67.31)   | 47 (90.38)    |
| 2    | Good               | 0(0)           | 37 (71.15)  | 13 (25)      | 5 (9.62)      |
| 3    | Satisfactory       | 13(25)         | 6 (11.54)   | 4 (7.69)     | 0(0)          |
| 4    | Poor               | 39(75)         | 0(0)        | 0(0)         | 0(0)          |

### Table 4: Radio logical outcome according to Lidstrom classification score system at last follow up [6 months]

| S.N. | Functional grading | Out come at 6 month |
|------|--------------------|---------------------|
|      |                    | Patients No | Percentage |
| 1    | Excellent          | 29           | 55.77      |
| 2    | Good               | 19           | 36.54      |
| 3    | Satisfactory       | 4            | 7.69       |
| 4    | Poor               | 0            | 0          |
The results in 8.6% using Lidstrom grading of 42.8 years, and this significantly reduced to 8.53% due to natural reflex response. In this study pre operative mean radial inclination was observed to be 8.58° ± 3.31° and post operatively at 10 day follow up it became 19.1°± 3.09°and this change was found to be statistically significant (p<0.0001). After the 1st follow up mean radial inclination remained almost same at every follow up. Similar findings have been reported by Agarwal P et al. [11] in their study.

In the present study mean volar tilt was observed to be 14.56° ±5.81° pre operatively and it changed significantly to 9.56° ± 1.43° at 10° post operative day (p<0.0001). After the 1st follow up it did not change significantly at subsequent follow ups. Similar findings and pattern have been reported by Agarwal P et al. [11] in their study. Agarwal et al. [12] in their study have described an average change in volar tilt of 0.8° and none of the patients had shown a change in volar tilt greater than 2°. This is not in line with the findings in the present study but they had not mentioned pre-operative values.

Mean pre-operative intra articular steps was found to be 2.23mm ± 0.85mm and significantly changed to 0.93mm ± 0.53mm at first follow up (p<0.0001). There was no significant change when comparison was made between the post operative values. Similar findings and pattern has been reported by Agarwal P et al. [11].

Mean ulnar variance was 1.51mm ± 0.82mm preoperatively and this significantly reduced to -0.60mm ± 0.70mm at 1st follow up (t=6.02 p<0.0001). There was no significant change when comparison was made between the post operative values. Similar findings and pattern has been reported by Agarwal P et al. [11].

In this study functional outcomes were assessed by Mayo Scoring system. At 1st follow up on 10° post operative day 25% have shown satisfactory and remaining i.e.75% poor response. As time passed the functional outcome improved progressively at subsequent follow ups This pattern may indicate the time required for healing. Agarwal et al. [12] in their study reported similar pattern. Chauhan AN et al. [7] in their study reported excellent results in 45.7%, good results in 42.95% and satisfactory results in 11.4% which were different from the present study findings. This may be due to the fact that Mayo score system was not used in their study.

In the present study radiological outcomes were assessed at last follow up i.e. after 6months by Lidstrom classification score system. Excellent results were found in 55.77% of patients, good results in 36.54% and satisfactory results in 7.69% cases. None of the patients showed poor response. Chauhan AN et al. [7] reported similar finding with excellent results in 54.3%, good results in 37.1% and fair results in 8.6% using Lidstrom classification score system.

In the present study one patient had developed infection during treatment which required removal of the implant. Pratap et al. [8] also reported similar complication but infection was the only complication in their study. One patient had developed reflex sympathetic dystrophy which subsided during the follow up period after conservative management. One patient had developed features of teno-synovitis initially which was treated with anti-inflammatory drugs and the same patient had prominent plate in final follow up. Similar complication was reported by Jagdev SS et al. [9] in their study. Wrist stiffness developed in two patients and hypertrophic scar in one patient.

Conclusion

In the present study open reduction internal fixation using locking compression plate with screws was done for intra.
articular distal radius fractures. This resulted in successful outcome in terms of anatomic realignment, better access with minimal surgical trauma, functional outcomes and fewer complications.

References
1. Court brown CM, Caeser B. Rockwood & Green’s, USA, Lippincott: Williams & Wilkins, Fractures in adults 7th edition, chapter 30, 831.
2. Kapoor H, Agarwal A, Dhaon BK. Displaced intra-articular fractures of distal radius; a comparative evaluation of results following closed reduction, external fixation and open reduction with internal fixation. Injury. 2000;31(2):75-79.
3. Lichtman DM, Bindra RR, Boyer MI, Putnam MD, Ring D. Distal radius work group: The treatment of distal radius fractures. J Am Acad Orthop Surg 2010;18:180-9.
4. Handoll HH, Huntley JS, Madhok R. External fixation versus conservative management for distal radius fractures in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2007;18(3):CD006194.
5. Bacorn RW, Kurtzke JF. Colles’ fracture; a study of two thousand cases from the New York State Workmen’s Compensation Board. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1953;35A:643-58.
6. Knirk JL, Jupiter JB. Intra articular fractures of the distal end of radius in young adults. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1986;68:647-59.
7. Chavhan AN, Dudhekar UJ, Badole CM, Wandile KN. Functional and radiological outcome in distal radius fractures treated with locking compression plate. Int J Res Med Sci 2017;5:574-82.
8. Pratap P, Arjun H, Nagarjun B. Credibility of locking plate in treatment of distal end radius fractures with intra articular extension. International Journal of Orthopaedics Sciences 2017;3(2):774-778.
9. Jagdev S, Kedia R, Pathak S, Salunke A. Comparison of outcome of extra articular lower end radius fracture with percutaneous pinning and volar locking plate. Int J Res Orthop 2017;3(4):651-655.
10. Vishwanath C, Harish K, Gunnaiah KG, Ravoof A. Surgical outcome of distal end radius fractures by ligamentotaxis. J Orthop Allied Sci 2017;5:68-73.
11. Agarwal P, Kapoor H, Dhaon BK. Displaced intra-articular fractures of distal radius: a comparative evaluation of results following closed reduction, external fixation and open reduction with internal fixation. Injury. 2000;31(2):75-79.
12. Agarwal S, Mohrir G, Gadiya S. Functional Outcome in Distal Radius Fractures Treated with Locking Compression Plate. Bombay Hospital Journal 2012, 54(2).