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Abstract. It is an open question how the chemical structure of prebiotic vesicle-forming amphiphiles complexified to produce robust primitive compartments that could safely host foreign molecules. Previous work suggests that comparably labile vesicles composed of plausibly prebiotic fatty acids were eventually chemically transformed with glycerol and a suitable phosphate source into phospholipids that would form robust vesicles. Here we show that phosphatidic acid (PA) and phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) lipids can be obtained from racemic dioleoyl glycerol under plausibly prebiotic phosphorylation conditions. Upon in situ hydration of the crude phosphorylation mixtures only those that contained rac-DOPA (not rac-DOPE) generated stable giant vesicles that were capable of encapsulating water-soluble probes, as evidenced by confocal microscopy and flow cytometry. Chemical reaction side-products (identified by IR and MS and quantified by 1H NMR) acted as co-surfactants and facilitated vesicle formation. To mimic the compositional variation of such primitive lipid mixtures, self-assembly of a combinatorial set of the above amphiphiles was tested, revealing that too high dioleoyl glycerol contents inhibited vesicle formation. We conclude that a decisive driving force for the gradual transition from unstable fatty acid vesicles to robust diacylglycerol phosphate vesicles, was to avoid the accumulation of unphosphorylated diacylglycerols in primitive vesicle membranes.
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I. Materials and Methods

Oleic acid was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and TCI-Europe and used without further purification. 1,2-dioleoyl--sn-glycero-3-phosphate (DOPA), 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine (DOPE), 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DOPC) and 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphatidylethanolamine-N-lysamine rhodamine B sulphonate (DOPE-Rh) were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids, Alabaster AL (USA). All the other reagents and solvents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and TCI Europe and were used without further purification. HPLC solvents were purchased from Thermo-Fisher Scientific (mass spectrometry grade).

Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was carried out on aluminium sheets coated with silica gel 60 F254 (Merck). TLC plates were inspected by UV light (λ = 254 nm) and developed by treatment with a mixture of 10% H₂SO₄ in EtOH/H₂O (1:1 v/v), KMnO₄ 10% solution or the Pancaldi reagent ((NH₄)₂MoO₄, Ce(SO₄)₂, H₂SO₄, H₂O) followed by heating.

IR data were acquired with a Thermo Scientific Nicolet iS10 spectrometer equipped with a DTGS detector. The IR spectra were recorded with 64 interferograms at 4 cm⁻¹ resolution each and then Fourier transformed.

Optical rotations were measured as CHCl₃ solutions on a JASCO P-1010 digital polarimeter and converted to specific rotations [α]D.

ESI–MS and UPLC–HRMS analyses were performed on a Bruker Impact II equipped with a hybrid mass spectrometer quadrupole type.

NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl₃ on a Bruker Avance 300MHz spectrometer at 300 for ¹H nuclei and 75 for ¹³C nuclei and on a Bruker Avance 500 MHz for ¹H nuclei. Chemical shifts of solvents (CDCl₃: δH=7.26 and δC=77.23) served as internal references. Signal shapes and multiplicities are abbreviated as br (broad), s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet), quint (quintet) and m (multiplet). Where possible, a scalar coupling constant J is given in Hertz (Hz).

I.a Giant vesicle (GV) preparation by the natural swelling method

Lipids (mixture of commercial lipids or crude extracts from reaction mixtures, with or without the addition of 0.01–0.2 mol% DOPE-Rh) were dissolved in dichloromethane (typically, 2 mL) and poured in a 10 mL cylindrical thick-walled glass tube. The solvent was completely evaporated under reduced pressure using a rotatory evaporator. The resulting thin lipidic film was further dried for 30 minutes at 1 mbar/25 °C, and next hydrated for 16 hours – without shaking – with the aqueous buffer, termed “I-solution” (composed of 200 mM sucrose in 5 mM or 200 mM of Na-bicine, pH 8.5 or 200 mM sucrose in 25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5), in order to obtain an overall 1-2 mM lipid concentration. The hydration temperature was 25 °C. When needed, 1-10 μM calcein was included in the I-solution. Three volumes of the thus obtained GVs were diluted with one volume of an aqueous isotonic buffer solution termed “O-solution” (composed of 200 mM glucose in 5 mM or 200 mM of Na-bicine, pH 8.5 or 200 mM glucose in 25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5), and centrifuged at 5,000 rpm for 10 minutes in a bench-top Eppendorf mini-centrifuge. GVs were pelleted down in the Eppendorf tube due to the density difference between the I-solution and the O-solution. The supernatant was carefully removed and the pellet – which appears pink-red when DOPE-Rh is present – was re-suspended in 100 μL of fresh O-solution.

Note that Mix A and Mix B were hydrated by using one of the above mentioned I-solutions (pH 7.5 or pH 8.5 ) depending on the lipid composition. Exploratory experiments showed that a lower pH value (7.5) is advantageous for the hydration of PA-containing lipid mixtures. Consequently, reconstituted lipid mixtures (main text, Table 1) were treated with the I-solution based on 25 mM Tris-HCl, 200 mM sucrose (pH 7.5). These observations fit with previously published reports on conventional PA-based vesicles (Hauser and Gains, 1982; Hauser et al., 1983).

I.b GV imaging by confocal laser scanning fluorescence microscopy and image analysis

GV samples, being further diluted with O-solution when needed, were placed in a micro-well plate (#81821, ibidi GmbH, Martinsried, Germany). Each circular micro-well has a diameter of 5 mm and can hold a maximum of about 35 μL Owing to the density difference between the I-solution and the O-solution the GVs accumulate after 10-20 minutes at the bottom of the micro-wells. Then GVs can be imaged by an inverted TCS SP5 confocal microscope (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany). Samples
without DOPE-Rh could be membrane-stained directly in the micro-well by direct addition of Trypan Blue (final concentration 0.06% w/v). Fluorescence was measured by employing standard settings for sequential acquisition of green and orange/red fluorescence; objectives 40× and 63×. Digital image processing and analysis was carried out using ImageJ public domain software (Rasband, W.S., ImageJ, U.S. National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA, https://imagej.nih.gov/ij, 1997-2016)

I.c Flow cytometry

GV samples were analysed using a BD LSRFortessa X-20 (Becton, Dickinson and Company, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) cell analyser. Forward scatter, side scatter and green fluorescence data were collected using a 488 nm laser with a power of 50 mW as excitation light source. For the forward scatter and the side scatter a photodiode detector with a 488/10 bandpass filter and a photomultiplier tube with a 488/10 bandpass filter have been used, respectively. The quartz cuvette flow cell is gel-coupled by refractive index-matching optical gel to the fluorescence objective lens (1.2 NA) for optimal collection efficiency. Emitted light from the gel-coupled cuvette was delivered by fiber optics to the detector arrays. The flow rate was 12 µL/min. The morphologic plot was side scatter vs. forward scatter and green emission was recorded in the wavelength range 500-550 nm. The number of GVs analysed in each run was about 10,000.

II Experimental Procedures

II.a General procedure for the simulated prebiotic formation of amphiphiles

In a typical experiment an Eppendorf tube (volume 2 ml), was filled with 0.025 mmol of rac-DOG (1, 15.2 mg) and mixed with 10 equivalents (0.25 mmol) of cyanamide (2a, 52.5 mg) or urea (2b, 75.1 mg) in the presence of 10 equivalents (0.25 mmol) of ammonium dihydrogen phosphate (3a, 28.7 mg) or 2-aminoethyl phosphate (AEP, 3b, 35.2 mg). The heterogeneous mixture was suspended in 0.9 mL of ultrapure water and 0.1 mL of ethanol were added in order to dissolve 1 in water and avoid the formation of giant oil droplets. The obtained suspension was vortexed (1 minute), sonicated (5 minutes) and the obtained clear solution was left heated without any cap between 24 and 48 hrs in a thermo-shaker apparatus set to 80°C/500 rpm until dryness of the former water/ethanol mixture. Reaction mixtures were monitored by analytical TLC using eluent A (CHCl₃ 100%) and eluent B (CHCl₃:MeOH:H₂O, 65/25/4 v/v/v). A variant of this procedure was to mix all the reactants and to let them melt at 80°C without adding any solvent (neat conditions). All reactions were made in triplicate. For both reaction conditions, a solid yellowish residue was obtained.

II.b General procedure for control experiments

In a typical experiment rac-DOG (1) was mixed with cyanamide (2a) or urea (2b) without phosphate sources 3a or 3b. Similar reactions were performed in the absence of 2a or 2b but in the presence of 3a or 3b. Molar ratios, reaction times and conditions were the same for all control experiments. All control reactions were made in triplicate and in both reaction conditions used in the general procedures.

II.c General procedure for the extraction of amphiphiles from crude prebiotic mixtures

The crude mixtures were carefully dissolved in a minimum amount of water (1–3 mL) and transferred in a small separation funnel (10 mL) where the mixture was extracted three times with CHCl₃ or CH₂Cl₂ (3x5 mL). The combined organic layers were collected and evaporated in a small round bottom flask. Aliquots of those residues were submitted to NMR spectroscopic and vesiculation studies. TLC (eluent systems A or B) of the water phases showed no presence of amphiphiles and or lipophilic compounds.
III Results and Supplementary Discussion

III.a Specific rotation of prebiotic mixtures containing rac-DOPA (Mix A), rac-DOPE (Mix B), pure DOPA and pure DOPE

Crude mixtures “Mix A” and “Mix B”, 10 mg each, were dissolved in chloroform (HPLC grade, 10 mL). Optical rotations were measured in triplicate and resulted in specific rotations \([\alpha]_{20}^{D} = 0.00 \, (c \, 0.1, \, CHCl_3)\) for both mixtures. The specific rotations of pure commercial DOPA and DOPE under the same conditions were \([\alpha]_{20}^{D} = +6.74 \, (c \, 0.1, \, CHCl_3)\) and, respectively, \([\alpha]_{20}^{D} = +5.29 \, (c \, 0.1, \, CHCl_3)\).

III.b \(^1\)H NMR analysis of prebiotic mixtures containing rac-DOPA (Mix A) and rac-DOPE (Mix B)

Table S1. Composition of crude prebiotic mixtures containing racemic DOPA (4) and DOPE (5) together with starting material rac-DOG (1), by-products rac-MOPA (4b), rac-MOG (7a), sec-MOG (7b) and oleic acid (8) as determined by \(^1\)H NMR spectroscopic analysis.

| Entry | Molecules | \(\delta_H\) (ppm)\[^{[a]}\] | Relative signal intensity \[^{[b]}\] | mol %\[^{[c]}\] |
|-------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|
| **Mix A** (end product: rac-DOPA) | 8 (oleic acid) | – | – | 32.0 |
| | 7a (rac-MOG) | 3.96 | 0.45 | 14.3 |
| | 7b (sec-MOG) | 4.85 | 0.10 | 3.2 |
| | 1 (rac-DOG) | 5.01 | 0.26 | 8.3 |
| | 4a (rac-DOPA) | 5.15 | 1.00 | 37.4 |
| | 4b (rac-MOPA) | 5.17 | 0.10 | 4.2 |
| **Mix B** (end product: rac-DOPE) | 8 (oleic acid) | – | – | 21.9 |
| | 7a (rac-MOG) | 3.96 | 0.63 | 20.0 |
| | 7b (sec-MOG) | 4.85 | 0.05 | 1.6 |
| | 1 (rac-DOG) | 5.01 | 0.77 | 24.6 |
| | 5 (rac-DOPE) | 5.20 | 1.00 | 31.9 |

\[^{[a]}\] of the central glycerol CH proton signal recorded at 500MHz; \[^{[b]}\] from integration; \[^{[c]}\] molar percentage in the mixture.
Figure S1. $^1$H NMR recorded at 500 MHz in CDCl$_3$; chemical shift region for protons bound to carbon atoms in $\alpha$-position to an oxygen atom or part of a –C=C– double bond, i.e. glycerol –CH– and –CH$_2$– groups and Z–CH=CH– of the oleoyl chains. a) Commercial DOPA; b) Commercial DOPE; c) rac-DOG (1); d) rac-MOG (7a) that contains traces of sec-MOG (7b) indicated by a black arrow; e) Mix A (containing 1, 4a, 4b, 7a, 7b and 8); f) Mix B (containing 1, 5, 7a, 7b and 8); Asterisks indicate the secondary hydrogen atom of the glycerol backbone. Small amounts of adduct 6 were identified only by ESI-MS and formed only when 2a was used as an activator. Samples of commercial and synthetic compounds were prepared as 25 mg of pure compound dissolved in 660 µL of CDCl$_3$. 
Figure S2. $^1$H NMR (500MHz, CDCl$_3$) of crude extract of Mix A containing 1, 4a, 4b, 6, 7a, 7b and 8. Molecules were identified (except 4b, 6 and 7b) by comparison with commercial or synthetic versions of the molecules.

Figure S3. TOCSY NMR (500MHz, CDCl$_3$, region 5.5-3.0 ppm) of crude extract of Mix A containing 1, 4a, 4b, 7a, 7b and 8. The green circles represent the 2D integration of the central glycerol backbone CH proton signal. In 1H NMR (Figure S3, the signals of 4a and 4b overlaps)
Figure S4. $^1$H NMR (500MHz, CDCl$_3$) of crude extract of Mix B containing 1, 5, 7a-b and 8.

Figure S6. TOCSY NMR (500MHz, CDCl$_3$, region 5.5-3.0 ppm) of crude extract of Mix B containing 1, 5, 7a-b and 8.
III.c  ESI-MS analysis of crude prebiotic reaction mixtures

Figure S7. ESI-MS (positive ion mode) of crude reaction mixture obtained by heating $1 + 2a + 3a$ at 80°C for 48h. The use of urea (2b) gave similar results except the formation of $6$ (m/z 663 [M+H]$^+$). Neat conditions gave similar results with 2a or 2b.

Figure S8. ESI-MS (positive ion mode) of crude reaction mixture obtained by heating $1 + 2a + 3a$ at 80°C for 48h. Fragmentation of the residual starting material as $m/z$ 621 [M+H]$^+$, $m/z$ 643 [M+Na]$^+$, $m/z$ 603 [M-H$_2$O]$^+$ and fragmentation of the formed rac-DOPA (4a) as $m/z$ 723 [M+Na]$^+$. Neat conditions gave same results with 2a or 2b.
Figure S9. ESI-MS (positive ion mode) of crude reaction mixture obtained by heating $1 + 2a + 3a$ at 80°C for 48h. Fragmentation of the residual starting material $1$ $m/z$ 621 [M+H]$^+$ into $m/z$ 603 (–H$_2$O) and 339.3 (–oleate+OH). Neat conditions gave same results with $2a$ or $2b$.

Figure S10. ESI-MS (positive ion mode) of: upper lane crude reaction mixture obtained by heating $1 + 2a + 3a$ at 80°C for 48h. Residual starting material $1$. Neat conditions gave similar results with $2a$ or $2b$. 
Figure S11. ESI-MS (negative ion mode) of crude reaction mixture obtained by heating $1 + 2a + 3a$ at 80°C for 48h. Fragmentation of the rac-DOPA (4a) as $m/z$ 699 [M–H]$^-$: Mono-acyl glycerol phosphate (4b) was recorded as $m/z$ 435 [M–H]$^-$. Neat conditions gave same results with 2a or 2b.

Figure S12. ESI-MS (negative ion mode) of crude reaction mixture obtained by heating $1 + 2a + 3a$ at 80°C for 48h. Fragmentation of the rac-DOPA (4b) $m/z$ 699 [M–H]$^-$ and simulated spectra. Neat conditions gave same results with 2a or 2b.
Figure S13. ESI-MS (positive ion mode) of crude reaction mixture obtained by heating 1 + 2a + 3b at 80°C for 48h. The use of urea (2b) gave similar results except the formation of 6 (m/z 663 [M+H]+). Neat conditions gave same results with 2a or 2b.

Figure S14. ESI-MS (positive ion mode) of crude reaction mixture (upper lane) obtained by heating 1 + 2b + 3b at 80°C for 48h. The use of cyanamide (2a) gave similar results. Fragmentation of the starting material 1 and simulated ESI-MS spectra are reported in the lower lane. Neat conditions gave same results with 2a or 2b.
Figure S15. ESI-MS (positive ion mode) of crude reaction mixture obtained by heating 1 + 2b + 3b at 80°C for 48h. Fragmentation of the starting material 1. The use of cyanamide (2a) gave similar results.

Figure S16. ESI-MS (positive ion mode, upper lane) and simulated ESI-MS spectra (down lane) of crude reaction mixture obtained by heating 1 + 2b + 3b at 80°C for 48h. Fragmentation of the starting material rac-DOPE (5) as m/z 744 [M+H]+. The use of cyanamide (2a) gave similar results.
Figure S17. ESI-MS (negative ion mode) of crude reaction mixture obtained by heating 1 + 2b + 3b at 80°C for 48h. Compound rac-DOPE (5) detected as m/z 742 [M–H]⁻ and residual starting material 1 as m/z 655 [M–Cl]⁻ and m/z 665 [M+HCOO⁻].

Figure S18. ESI-MS (negative ion mode, upper lane) and simulated spectrum (lower lane) of crude reaction mixture obtained by heating 1 + 2b + 3b at 80°C for 48h. Compound rac-DOPE (5) detected as m/z 742 [M–H]⁻.
IV  NMR spectroscopic characterization of commercial compounds

Chloroform solutions of commercial DOPA and DOPE (Avanti Lipids, Alabaster, USA) were used to this purpose. 1 mL of solution (corresponding to 25 mg) was dried under vacuum and the resulting lipidic film was dissolved in 660 µL of CDCl₃. Oleic acid (24.6 mg, 0.1 mmol) was dissolved in 660 µL of CDCl₃.

IV.a 1,2-Dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphate (commercial DOPA)

¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ= 5.36 – 5.29 (m, 4H, 2 x Z–CH=CH), 5.24 (br s, 1H, CH₂CH₂CH₂ glycerol), 4.40-4.37 (m, 1H, CH₂CHCH₂ glycerol), 4.21 (br s, 1H, CH₂CH=CH₂ glycerol), 3.91 (br s, 2H, CH₂CHCH₂ glycerol), 4.40–4.37 (m, 1H, CH₂CH=CH₂ glycerol), 3.91 (br s, 2H, CH₂CHCH₂ glycerol), 3.6–2.9 (br, PO₂>2(OH)<₂), 2.32–2.26 (m, 4H, 2 x CH₂COOR), 2.02–1.98 (m, 8H, 2 x CH₂CH=CH=CH₂), 1.57 (br s, 4H, 2 x CH₂CH₂COOR), 1.38–1.22 (br s, 40H, 20 x CH₂), 0.88 (t, J = 10.0 Hz, 6H, 2 x CH₃).

IV.b 1,2-Dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine (commercial DOPE)

¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ= 8.38 (br s, 2.5H, NH₂⇌NH₃⁺), 5.37-5.30 (m, 4H, 2 x Z–CH=CH), 5.24-5.18 (m, 1H, CH₂CH₂CH₂ glycerol), 4.37 (dd, J = 5.0Hz, 1H, CH₂CHCH₂ glycerol), 4.16 – 4.10 (m, 3H, CH₂CHCH₂CH₂ glycerol), 3.97 (br s, 2H, OCH₂CH₂NH₂), 3.20 (br s, 2H, OCH₂CH₂NH₂), 2.35–2.21 (m, 4H, 2 x CH₂COOR), 2.02–1.99 (m, 8H, 2 x CH₂CH=CH=CH₂), 1.60 (br s, 4H, 2 x CH₂CH₂COOR), 1.39–1.22 (br s, 40H, 20 x CH₂), 0.88 (t, J = 10.0 Hz, 6H, 2 x CH₃).

IV.c (9Z)–octadec-9-enoic acid (commercial OA)

¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ= 5.38 – 5.30 (m, 2H, Z–CH=CH), 2.35 (t, J = 5.0 Hz, 2H, CH₂COOR), 2.03–1.99 (m, 2H, CH₂CH=CH=CH₂), 1.66-1.60 (m, 2H, CH₂CH₂COOR), 1.31–1.24 (br s, 20H, 10 x CH₂), 0.88 (t, J = 10.0 Hz, 3H, CH₃).

Figure S19 – ¹H NMR (500MHz, CDCl₃) of commercial DOPA.
Figure S20 – $^1$H NMR (500MHz, CDCl$_3$) of commercial DOPE.

Figure S21 – $^1$H NMR (500MHz, CDCl$_3$) of commercial oleic acid (8).
V Synthesis of rac-DOG (1) and rac-MOG (7a)

Racemic dioleoyl glycerol (rac-DOG, 1) was obtained in three steps from commercial glycerol. Selective protection was performed with triphenylmethyl chloride (TrtCl) in the presence of a catalytic amount of 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP). Racemic glycerol (9) was crystallized from dichloromethane/pentane and used without further purification. Subsequently, 9 was acylated with oleoyl chloride in the presence of DMAP. Compound 10 was obtained as a viscous oil and the deprotection of 10 was carried out with a catalytic amount of HCl in MeOH/CHCl3 1:1 v/v.

Scheme S1. Synthetic pathway of rac-1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycerol (1, rac-DOG)

Racemic mono-oleoyl glycerol (rac-MOG, 7a) was obtained in two steps from commercial 2,3-isopropylidene-DL-glycerol. Acylation was performed as described for the synthesis of compound 10. The compound 11 was deprotected by using a small amount of acidic resin (Amberlyst® 15) and the product was obtained after filtration and evaporation. This kind of synthesis represents a novelty with respect to other syntheses reported in the literature for obtaining these type of compounds, including an enzymatic synthesis.

Scheme S2. Synthetic pathway of (2R/S)-2,3-dihydroxypropyl-1-oleate (7a, rac-MOG).

V.a 3-O-Triphenylmethyl-DL-glycerol (9)

To a stirred solution of glycerol (10.0 g, 109.6 mmol), DMAP (0.075 g, 0.6 mmol) and trityl chloride (7.5 g, 26.9 mmol) in 20 mL of anhydrous THF at 0 °C were added 4.5 mL of anhydrous triethylamine. The reaction mixture was stirred at r. t. overnight. A solution of NaHCO3 (2.0 g in 50 mL of H2O) was added followed by stirring for 15 min. The product was then extracted with ethyl acetate (2 × 35 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (2 × 50 mL) and dried over anhydrous Na2SO4. The crude material obtained after evaporation of the solvent was crystallized from dichloromethane/pentane to give 32.57 g (89.7%) of a white powder. Rf (hexane/ETOAc 1:1) 0.42; 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 7.57–7.07 (m, 15H, 3 x Ph), 3.92–3.73 (m, 1H, CH2C(CH3)2), 3.68–3.63 (m, 2H, CH2CH(CH3)2), 3.36–3.18 (m, 2H, C3H(CH3)2).
V.b 1,2-O,O-Dioleoyl-dl-glyceryl-3-O-triphenylmethyl ether (10)

To a stirred solution of 9 (2.23 g, 6.7 mmol) in 50 mL of CHCl₃ was added oleoyl chloride (5.03 g, 5.52 ml, 16.7 mmol) and DMAP (2.06 g, 16.7 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred overnight at room temperature. The excess of oleoyl chloride was decomposed by addition of 50 mL solution of NaHCO₃ (0.4 M) and the resulting biphasic solution was left stirring for 15 min. The biphasic solution was extracted with CHCl₃ (2 × 50 ml), and the combined organic phases were washed with 2 × 10 mL of brine and dried over Na₂SO₄. Evaporation of the solvent followed by chromatography over freshly activated SiO₂ with CHCl₃ gave 3.40 g (3.39 mmol, 58.2%) 10 as a white solid. Rf (hexane/EtOAc 4:1) 0.36. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ= 7.40–7.11 (m, 15H, 3 x Ph), 5.27 (m, 4H, 2 x Z-CH=CH), 5.21–5.17 (m, 1H, CH₂CHCH₂, glycerol), 4.33–4.01 (m, 2H, CH₂CHCH₂, glycerol), 3.16 (m, 2H, CH₂CHCH₂, glycerol), 2.34–2.21 (m, 4H, 2 x CH₂COOR), 2.02–1.85 (m, 8H, 2 x CH₂CH=CH-CH₂), 1.62–1.42 (2 x br, 4H, 2 x CH₂CH₂COOR), 1.21 (s, 40H, 20 x CH₂), 0.81 (t, J= 8.0 Hz, 6H, 2 x CH₃).

V.c 1,2-O,O-Dioleoyl-dl-glycerol (1)

A solution of CHCl₃-MeOH containing 0.22 ml of concentrated HCl (37%) was cooled to 0°C and to this a solution, prepared by dissolving 2g of 9 (2.31 mmol) in 100 mL of CHCl₃-MeOH solution 1:1 v/v, was added drop wise during 6hrs. The clear solution was left stirring at 4°C overnight. A saturated solution of NaHCO₃ was added slowly (15 minutes) and the resulting heterogeneous biphasic solution was left stirring up to room temperature. The resulting solution was extracted with CHCl₃ (3× 250 ml), and the combined organic phases was washed with 3× 100 mlof brine and dried over Na₂SO₄. Evaporation of the solvent followed by chromatography over freshly activated SiO₂ with CHCl₃ gave 0.70 g (51%) 1 as pale yellow oil. Rf (2:1 hexane/EtOAc): 0.46. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ= 4.31 (dd, J = 11.9, 4.6 Hz, 1H, C(1)H₃), 2.42 (dd, J = 12.2, 4.7 Hz, 1H, C(3)H₃), 3.74 (dd, J = 12.2, 4.7 Hz, 1H, C(3)H₃), 3.72 (dd, J = 12.2, 4.7 Hz, 1H, C(3)H₃), 2.39–2.37 (2 x t, J = 7.5 Hz, 4H, 2 x CH₂COOR), 2.08–1.90 (m, 8H, 2 x CH₂CH=CH-CH₂), 1.67–1.56 (m, 4H, 2 x CH₂CH₂COOR), 1.30, 1.26 (2 x br, 40H, 20 x CH₃), 0.87 (t, J= 6.8 Hz, 6H, 2 x CH₃). ¹³C NMR (75MHz, CDCl₃): δc = 141.1 (CH₃), 122.1 (CH₂), 24.8 (CH₃), 24.9 (CH₂), 25.6 (CH₃), 27.0 (CH₂), 27.1 (CH₂), 27.2 (CH₂), 29.0–29.2 (4xCH₃), 29.3 (CH₂), 29.5 (CH₂), 29.7 (CH₂), 29.8 (CH₂), 31.9 (CH₂), 34.0 (CH₂), 34.3 (CH₂), 61.5 (C₁), 62.0 (C₁), 72.2 (C₁), 129.8 (Z-CH=CH), 130.0 (Z-CH=CH), 173.5 (C=O), 173.9 (C=O); [M]+ = 0.00 (c 0.1, CHCl₃).

ESI-MS m/z 643 as M+Na⁺; HRMS (m/z): [M]+ calcd. for C₇₉H₇₂NO₄: 634.5410, found C₇₉H₇₂NaO₄: 643.5272.

V.d (4R/S)-(2,2-Dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-4-yl)methyl oleate (11)

2.15 gr (15.13 mmol, 1 eq) of commercial DL-isopropylidenglycerol were dissolved in 50 mL of CHCl₃ and 2.31 gr (18.9 mmol, 1.25 eq) of 4-dimethylaminopyridine were added portiowise. The obtained solution was let stirring for 48hrs. A saturated solution of NaHCO₃ was added slowly (15 minutes) and the resulting heterogeneous biphasic solution was extracted with CHCl₃ (2× 50 ml), and the combined organic phases was washed with 2× 50 m of brine and dried over Na₂SO₄. Evaporation of the solvent followed by chromatography over freshly activated SiO₂ with petrol ethers/AcOEt 3:1 (Rf=0.63) gave 5.40 g (90%) pure 11 as colorless oil. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ= 5.39–5.25 (m, 2H, Z-CH=CH), 4.30 (dt, J= 10.9, 6.2 Hz, 1H, C(4)), 4.19–4.04 (m, 3H, RCOOCH₂H₂O, RCOOC₂H₅, C(5)H₃), 3.72 (dd, J= 8.4, 6.2 Hz, 1H, C(5)H₃), 2.33 (t, J= 6.0 Hz, 2H, CH₂COOR), 2.08–1.90 (m, 4H, CH₂CH=CHCH₂), 1.74–1.52 (m, 2H, CH₂CH₂COOR), 1.42, 1.36 (2 x s, 6H, (CH₂)₂C(2)), 1.29 (m, 18H, 9 x CH₃), 0.89 (t, J= 8.0 Hz, 3H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR: δc = 141.1 (CH₃), 22.7 (CH₂), 24.8 (CH₃), 25.4 (CH₂), 26.7 (CH₂), 27.1 (CH₂), 27.2 (CH₂), 29.1
One gramme of 11 (2.5 mmol) were dissolved in MeOH (25 ml) and 2.5 gr of Amberlyst® 15 were added in 3 portions. The heterogeneous solution was let stirring overnight at room temperature. TLC analysis (petrol ethers:AcOEt 3:1) showed the total disappearance of starting material with the appearance of a more polar compound at Rf 0.30. The solution was filtered off and the residual solid washed 5 times with MeOH. After MeOH removal the yellowish solid was filtered thought a pad of fresh dried SiO2 using petrol ethers/AcOEt 1:1 as eluent yielding 0.62 gr (1.7 mmol, 70%) 7a (containing 7 mol% 7b) as colorless oil. Rf (petrol ethers/AcOEt 1:1)=0.45; 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3): δH = 5.33-5.24 (m, 2H, Z-CH=CH), 4.21 (dd, J = 11.7, 4.6 Hz, 1H, C(1)Hb), 4.15 (dd, J = 11.7, 4.6 Hz, 1H, C(1)Ha), 3.95-3.90 (m, 1H, C(2)H), 3.70 (dd, J = 11.4, 4.0 Hz, 2H; C(3)Hb), 3.60 (dd, J = 11.4, 4.0 Hz, 2H; C(3)Ha), 2.33 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H, CH2-COOCH3), 2.05-1.90 (m, 4H, CH2-CH=CH-CH2), 1.63-1.50 (m, 2H, CH2-CH2COOR), 1.30, 1.27 (2 x br, 20H, 10 x CH2), 0.89 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H, CH3). 13C NMR: δC = 14.1 (CH3), 22.7 (CH3), 24.9 (CH2), 27.1 (CH2), 27.2 (CH2), 29.0 (CH2), 29.1 (CH2), 29.2 (CH2), 29.3 (CH2), 29.5 (CH2), 29.7 (CH2), 29.8 (CH2), 31.9 (CH2), 34.1 (CH2), 63.4 (C3), 65.1 (C1), 70.3 (C2), 129.7 (Z-CH=CH), 130.0 (Z-CH=CH), 174.3 (C=O); [α]D25 = 0.00 (c 0.1, CHCl3). ESI-MS m/z 379.3 as [M+Na]+.

7b. (300MHz, CDCl3) : δH = 4.92 (quint, 1H, J = 4.7 Hz), 3.83 (d, 1H, J= 5.7 Hz); other signals are masked under those of 7a.

Figure S22. 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) of product 9.
Figure S23. $^1$H NMR (300MHz, CDCl$_3$) of product 10.

Figure S24 – $^1$H NMR (300MHz, CDCl$_3$) of rac-DOG 1.
Figure S25. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of rac-DOG 1.

Figure S26. COSY (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of 1.
Figure S27. $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of 1.

Figure S28. DEPT (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of 1.
Figure S29. HSQC (75 MHz, CDCl₃) NMR of 1.
Figure S30. HR-ESI MS of 1.
Figure S31. $^1$H (300MHz, CDCl$_3$) of 11.

Figure S32. COSY (300MHz, CDCl$_3$) of 1.
Figure S33. $^{13}$C NMR (75MHz, CDCl$_3$) of 11.

Figure S34. $^1$H NMR (300MHz, CDCl$_3$) of 7a.
Figure S35. $^1$H NMR (500MHz, CDCl$_3$) of 7a.

Figure S36. COSY (300MHz) of 7a.
Figure S37. $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of 7a

Figure S38. ESI–MS (positive ion mode) of 7a.
VI  Quantitative analysis of Mix A vesicles as derived from flow cytometry

**Table S2.** Quantitative analysis of Mix A vesicles as derived from flow cytometry

|                  | P1 (low SSC) | P2 (high SSC) | Total |
|------------------|--------------|---------------|-------|
| **Normally filled (FITC < 10^4 a.u.)** | 81%          | 19%           | 100%  |
|                  | 79.5%        | 17.8%         | 97.3% |
| **Highly filled (FITC > 10^4 a.u.)** | 1.5%         | 1.2%          | 2.7%  |
|                  | 1.5%         | 1.2%          | 2.7%  |
| **Highly filled (%)** | 1.8% (p12/P1) | 6.3% (p22/P2) |       |

Figure S39. An additional image of GVs from Mix A hydration (in 5 mM bicine, pH 8.5; 200 mM sucrose inside/glucose outside), stained with DOPE-Rh (0.1 mol%). The red staining allows the detection of lipid-rich regions in the pictured particles. Calcein filled vesicles appear as a green circle surrounded by a thin red layer. In contrast, particles appearing red are lipid-rich. They might include quite large lipid particles (a), calcein-containing in the presence of captured small lipid particles or small vesicles (b). GVs with foam-like internal structure (c), or lipid clumps (d). In some cases GVs inside GVs (multivesicular vesicles or vesosomes) can be observed, as in the inset (note the different calcein-filling pattern).
Figure S40. Pictures of GVs prepared by hydrating reconstituted mixtures M1 (a), M2 (b), M3 (c), M4 (d) (see Table 1 in the article). M1 and M3, which contain 30 and 60 mol% of DOPA, have been hydrated in the I-solution made of 25 mM Tris-HCl, 200 mM sucrose (pH 7.5). In contrast, M2 and M4, which contain 30 and 60 mol% of DOPE, have been hydrated with 200 mM Na-bicine, 200 mM sucrose (pH 8.5). Calcein-containing GVs are found in good amounts in samples M1 and M3, whereas the mixture remained essentially not hydrated for samples M2 and M4. Arrow 1 indicates a GVs which is probably multilamellar, as evident by its highly red fluorescence due to high amount of the lipid marker DOPE-Rh; arrow 2 indicates a lipid-filled spherical particle, probably surrounded by a lipid bilayer; arrow 3 indicates poorly hydrated lipid clumps; arrow 4 indicates a GVs in a sample which contain also lipid-rich particles, which appear red due to abundance of DOPE-Rh (arrow 5).
**Figure S41.** Pictures of GVs prepared from mixture M5 in 200 mM bicine pH 8.5; and 200 mM sucrose inside/200 mM glucose outside. Note that DOPC is 60 mol% (Table S3). GVs lumen appears green due to encapsulated calcein fluorescence, lipid membranes appear red due to the co-hydration of DOPE-Rh (0.02 % w/v).

| Entry | Oleic Acid (8) | rac-MOG (7a) | rac-DOG (1) | DOPC | Vesicles size |
|-------|----------------|--------------|-------------|------|---------------|
| M5    | 1              | 1            | 2           | 6    | 5-10 µm       |