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Abstract: Mutational witches’ broom is a part of the tree crown with abnormally dense branching and slow shoot growth, which putatively originates from a cell in a bud apical meristem and can be visually differentiated from the normal crown. The witches’ broom forms a large branching system which competes sufficiently with other parts of the tree crown. However, the competitive relationship and the interaction between the mutant and normal crown parts have not yet been studied. We investigated the patterns and dynamics of the competitive relationship between witches’ broom and normal branching systems of the same tree of five Pinaceae species by tree ring analysis. Three saw-cuts were made in each tree: on the lateral branch with the witches’ broom, on the proximal part of the axial branch in front of the branch with the witches’ broom, on the distal part of the axial branch right after the branch with the witches’ broom. Annual radial growth was measured to the nearest 0.01 mm, and annual ring areas from three saw-cuts were analysed to compare the growth dynamics before and after witches’ broom appearance. The growth of the lateral branch with the witches’ broom sharply increased in the year of witches’ broom appearance by 2–10 times. The growth of the distal part of the axial branch sharply decreased in the same year, which could be explained only by the witches’ broom appearance. All branches with witches’ brooms gradually became thicker than the distal part of the branch, 3–150 times at the peak of growth, and occasionally outgrew even the proximal part of the branch. Thus, witches’ broom is drastically morphologically and physiologically different from the rest of the crown. All witches’ brooms had their own vertically oriented axis of symmetry and represented autonomous branching systems that were not subordinate to any other branching systems. They break the normal donor-acceptor relationship in a tree and eagerly consume resources without being fully involved in their production. The mutation clearly affects the hormonal regulation of growth and morphogenesis and turns a witches’ broom into a new metabolic sink.
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Introduction

Witches’ broom (WB) is defined as a part of the tree crown with abnormally dense branching and slow shoot growth, visually differing from the normal crown (NC) (Fig. 1). Formation of WB can be caused by two factors. The first factor is fungal or microbial infection (Ghosh et al., 1999; Yun et al., 2009). Pathological WB usually has an unhealthy appearance, depressed reproduction and a short life span, with a focal distribution (Hogenhout et al., 2008; Mayr et al., 2010).

The second factor is a somatic mutation that putatively occurred in the apical meristem of a bud. As
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Mutational witches’ broom (WB) is evidenced, seed progeny of WB segregate in a 1:1 ratio of dwarf vs normal seedlings (Liernur, 1927; Duffield & Wheat, 1963; Johnson et al., 1968; Waxman, 1975). Mutational WB has a healthy appearance, a normal reproduction and a long life span (Buckland & Kuijt, 1957; Fordham, 1967). Generally, it is sporadically distributed, with an approximate occurrence of one per 5–10 thousand trees (Yamburov & Goroshkevich, 2007). The main differences between WB an NC are increased branching, reduced shoot length and decreased apical dominance (Zhuk et al., 2015). Mutational WB is a principal source of plant genetic material for ornamental and dwarf cultivars in tree breeding, especially in conifers (Farjon, 2017).

Although WB most likely originates from a single cell in an apical meristem (Duffield & Wheat, 1963; Grasso, 1969), the mutation manifests phenotypically in the formation of a large or even huge branching system, which competes sufficiently with the other parts of the tree crown. However, the competitive relationship and the interaction between WB and NC have not yet been studied. Our visual observations showed that the branch at the base of the WB is much thicker than the surrounding branches. It can be assumed that a large and vigorous WB somehow attracted too many resources from the rest of the crown possibly depriving it. Such interaction can be a long process, as the life span of WB is estimated in dozens or even hundreds of years. Therefore, direct observations in this case are hardly possible. Retrospective reconstruction of the dynamics of tree vital activity is traditionally carried out by counting and measuring of tree rings. In this context, the aim of this study was to investigate the pattern and dynamics of the competitive relationship between WB and normal branching systems of the same tree of several Pinaceae species by means of tree ring analysis.

Material and methods

Plant material was collected from 11 trees belonging to five Pinaceae species and bearing mutational WB (Table 1). These trees grew in different parts of the Asian part of Russia, with different environmental conditions. All trees with WB were from the first stand layer and had a healthy appearance. Parental tree age was determined by the analysis of cores taken from the tree trunk at 50 cm above the ground. Dimensions and positions of WBs in the parental tree crowns were essentially different. The WBs were located in different parts of the crown, from the lower shaded part to the upper lighted one. We evaluated WB density by eye compared to the neighbour NC branch of the same tree. The WB crown density was classified as “low” when it was less than twice as dense as the NC, as “medium” when it was 2–3 times denser than the NC, and as “high” when it was more than three times as dense as the NC (Zhuk et al., 2015). Abundant drying of large parts of the WB crown was noted in P. sibirica-2, -4, -5, A. sibirica and L. gmelinii-2 because of their extreme density.

Three saw-cuts were made in each tree: lateral branch with WB (LBWB), proximal part of axial branch (PPAB) and distal part of axial branch (DPAB) (Fig. 2). The distance between proximal and
distal saw-cuts was 2–3 cm from LBWB. Annual radial growth was measured to the nearest 0.01 mm using an MBS-9 microscope (ASMA-Pribor, Russia). The horizontal branch surface was considered as a circle. Annual ring area was calculated as the area of circle to the circumference area. Annual ring area from three different parts near WB for all trees and all years was used as raw data and analysed to compare the dynamics of annual growth before and after WB formation. Series were not standardised because no chronology statistics were made.

Results

Basically, the diameter of the WB branch depended on its age (Table 1). In the same species, WBs of a similar age had a large branch thickness when they grew in the upper part of the crown in full light and when crown density was high.

In the crown of an ordinary tree, there is always one dominant pole and one axis of symmetry, namely a strictly orthotropic leading shoot. The other branches are subordinate to it, organised hierarchically, oriented at an angle to the gravity vector and with only lateral symmetry. Without exception, all WBs, even the weakest and the shaded ones, fundamentally differed from any other local branching system because they had their own vertically oriented axis of symmetry and represented autonomous branching systems that were not subordinate to any other branching system.

In *P. sibirica*-1, -2 and -3, the number of tree rings in the PPAB and the LBWB was one more than in the DPAB (Fig. 3A–3C). This means that the lateral branch, on which the WB subsequently formed, arose from the usual bud. The WB in *P. sibirica*-1 was very young, providing the opportunity to accurately trace its growth trend back and compare it with the growth of the maternal branch. The first annual shoot in 2012 was normal and ended with one terminal and several lateral shoots. In spring 2013, all these buds began to grow and formed one terminal and several lateral shoots. Typically, a terminal shoot significantly exceeds all lateral shoots in diameter. In this case, one of the lateral shoots became abnormally thick, 6 times larger than that in the terminal shoot. This lateral shoot was the first annual shoot in the newly formed WB. In 2016, the LBWB annual ring area again increased sharply, while in the DPAB, it began to decline. Thus, by the end of the 4th year, the annual ring area in the LBWB surpassed that of the DPAB by 7.7 times. In *P. sibirica*-2 and -3, similar trends in the growth dynamics with different growth rate of the DPAB and the LBWB after WB formation were also pronounced.

Table 1. Characteristics of trees with witches broom (WB) in Russia. LBWB – lateral branch with WB, PPAB – proximal part of axial branch, DPAB – distal part of axial branch

| Code       | Species                  | Geographic area          | Tree age, years | Age of PPAB, years | Age of LBWB, years | WB length x width, m | PPAB Density, cm | LBWB Density, cm | DPAB Density, cm | Diameter of PPAB, cm | Diameter of LBWB, cm | Position of neck | Diameter of DPAB, cm |
|------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| *P. sibirica*-1 | *Pinus sibirica* Du Tour | West Siberian plain (56°30′N, 84°38′E) | 60             | 5                | 4               | 0.25 x 0.25        | –                | 2.6              | 3.1              | 1.2                   |                      | Bottom*          |                     |
| *P. sibirica*-2 | *Pinus sibirica* Du Tour | Western Sayan (52°30′N, 90°00′E) | 220            | 42               | 41              | 0.90 x 1.00       | Bottom High       | 9.4              | 13.2             | 4.1                   |                      |                   |                     |
| *P. sibirica*-3 | *Pinus sibirica* Du Tour | Western Sayan (52°30′N, 90°00′E) | 220            | 37               | 36              | 1.30 x 0.90       | Bottom Low        | 10.6             | 14.2             | 10.9                  |                      |                   |                     |
| *P. sibirica*-4 | *Pinus sibirica* Du Tour | Western Siberian plain (56°30′N, 84°38′E) | 170            | 37               | 19              | 1.10 x 1.10       | Top Medium        | 7.9              | 10.8             | 7.1                   |                      |                   |                     |
| *P. sibirica*-5 | *Pinus sibirica* Du Tour | Western Sayan (52°30′N, 90°00′E) | 240            | 54               | 22              | 1.10 x 1.20       | Bottom High       | 8.6              | 9.1              | 2.8                   |                      |                   |                     |
| *P. sylvestris* | *Pinus sylvestris* L. | Severomuysky Range (58°15′N, 112°48′E) | 120            | 54               | 48              | 1.20 x 1.30       | Top High          | 14.6             | 18.1             | 8.9                   |                      |                   |                     |
| *P. obovata* | *Picea obovata* Ledeb. | Severomuysky Range (58°15′N, 112°48′E) | 180            | 48               | 29              | 0.25 x 0.40       | Bottom Low        | 4.9              | 7.8              | 5.6                   |                      |                   |                     |
| *A. sibirica* | *Abies sibirica* Ledeb. | Baikalsky Range (55°40′N, 109°00′E) | 160            | 35               | 22              | 0.60 x 0.65       | Bottom Medium     | 2.6              | 3.9              | 2.4                   |                      |                   |                     |
| *L. gmelinii*-1 | *Larix gmelinii* var. japonica (Maxim.ex Regel) Prlg. | Southern part of Sakhalin Island (46°50′N, 142°44′E) | 80             | 32               | 16              | 0.55 x 0.60       | Bottom Medium     | 3.3              | 4.2              | 2.4                   |                      |                   |                     |
| *L. gmelinii*-2 | *Larix gmelinii* var. gmelinii (Rupr.) Kuzen. | Severomuysky Range (56°15′N, 112°48′E) | 220            | 102              | 69              | 1.60 x 1.90       | Bottom Medium     | 11.5             | 14.9             | 11.7                  |                      |                   |                     |
| *L. gmelinii*-3 | *Larix gmelinii* var. gmelinii (Rupr.) Kuzen. | Severomuysky Range (56°15′N, 112°48′E) | 320            | 241              | 107             | 1.80 x 2.10       | Bottom Medium     | 11.6             | 15.0             | 11.4                  |                      |                   |                     |

* In spite of lower position of WB, the light level was fairly high.
Fig. 3. Growth dynamics of tree ring area in proximal part of axial branch – PPAB (green), distal part of axial branch – DPAB (blue) and lateral branch with witches’ broom – LBWB (red) in A – Pinus sibirica-1; B – Pinus sibirica-2; C – Pinus sibirica-3; D – Pinus sibirica-4; E – Pinus sibirica-5; F – Pinus sylvestris. The arrow shows the year of the witches’ broom formation.
Fig. 3. Growth dynamics of tree ring area in proximal part of axial branch – PPAB (green), distal part of axial branch – DPAB (blue) and lateral branch with witches' broom – LBWB (red) in G – *Picea obovata*, H – *Abies sibirica*, I – *Larix gmelinii*-1, J – *Larix gmelinii*-2, K – *Larix gmelinii*-3. The arrow shows the year of the witches' broom formation.
In all other cases (Fig. 3D–3K), the number of growth rings in the LBWB was significantly lower than that in the DPAB, and the size of the first rings was relatively small. This means that all LBWBs originated either from brachyblasts or from latent buds. In each of these specimens, the growth of the lateral branch prior to WB formation was extremely slow. The WB formation was well marked by a sharp (over 1 year) increase in lateral branch growth, which cannot be explained by any other reasons. The growth of the LBWB continued to increase rapidly after WB formation. This increase was not exclusively progressive, but cyclical, when in some years, the growth decreased compared to the previous year. In \textit{P. sibirica}-5, \textit{L. gmelinii}-2 and \textit{L. gmelinii}-3, the LBWB outperformed the PPAB. At the same time, a sharp decrease in growth began in the DPAB. In \textit{P. sylvestris}, the DPAB died in the 21st year after WB appearance due to nutrient and water deprivation, as the supply was locked in the WB.

Based on these results, we suggest that the WB arises from the buds from any crown part (top, middle, bottom) on branches of any size and origin: from ordinary branches with good growth to the smallest branches with barely expressed growth, which were formed shortly before from the latent buds. According to our observations, a WB has never arisen from large buds, perhaps because the proportion of such buds is negligible, and the WB formation in any bud is equally probable.

In all cases, the reconstruction of the year of WB formation by the annual rings does not raise any doubt: the LBWB growth sharply increases in this year by 2–10 times, usually by 3–4 times. This phenomenon never happens with the usual intact branches for the climatic causes. The year of WB formation is marked even more reliably by a sharp decrease in DPAB growth, which cannot be explained by any other reasons, especially if we consider it in comparison with PPAB growth.

In the first years after WB formation, the LBWB growth continued to increase more or less rapidly. The period of growth increase took 15–20 years on average, ranging from 8 to 54 years. During this time, the LBWB growth increased many times in comparison with the state prior to WB formation, ranging from 4.5 to 140 times. The LBWB outperformed the DPAB 3–150 times at the peak of growth. In the extreme case, which was the only one among our samples, the DPAB died after a critical decrease in growth.

The heterogeneity of our material does not allow us to correctly identify the nature of the WB diversity according to aggressiveness. However, it is obvious that there are both external and internal factors of diversity.

The relative LBWB growth rate after WB formation clearly depended on the initial growth rate. When the WB appeared on medium-sized branches, LBWB growth increased by several times; in contrast, when it appeared on small branches, growth increased by dozens of times. For the same reason, the relative LBWB growth rate was higher when the WB was located in the lower part of the crown, where the branch growth was low. To a certain extent, the absolute LBWB growth rate and the WB size depended on the position in the tree crown; WBs from the upper, well-lit crown part were generally larger.

After WB formation, some LBWBs, unlike ordinary branches, had sharp growth fluctuations: growth decreased by 3–5 times over 3–5 years. This was mainly observed for \textit{P. sibirica}, \textit{A. sibirica} and \textit{L. gmelinii} and was clearly associated with the massive drying of large fragments of the WB due to its excess density, which was noted during sample collection. The densest parts of the WB were inhabited by pests and gradually died over several years. Due to this phenomenon, the annual increments decreased and then completely or partially restored over time.

**Discussion**

Witches’ brooms are formed from scratch and can be distinguished from the normal part of the crown. If the WB is located in an advantageous place, for example, on top of a tree, it may even take a dominant position in the tree crown (Yamburov & Goroshkevich, 2007). Our results allowed us to quantify the relationship between the WB and the tree crown and to trace their development over time. In all cases, the WB gradually became thicker than the DPAB and, occasionally, than the PPAB. When competing for nutrients with normal branches, the WB was usually the winner. In the literature, there are cases in which the WB looked much more vigorous than the unaffected crown of the same tree (Brown et al., 1994). When grafting to a normal rootstock, the WB scion is often thicker than the rootstock (Zhuk et al., 2015).

The growth dynamics of ordinary branches, like any growth dynamics, develops under the influence of three groups of factors: age-related growth trend, climate, random factors. The age trend usually includes rising, peak and declining growth (Matsushita et al., 2015). The branches in a relatively closed stand usually reach their growth peak quite soon after the start of their life, because branch lighting conditions are rapidly deteriorating (Martínez-Vilalta et al., 2007). Both extreme and intermediate variants were presented in our plant material. There were relatively old branches, which had reached their growth peak long time ago (\textit{L. gmelinii}-2 and -3), branches with recent growth peaks (\textit{P. sibirica}-3, \textit{P. sylvestris}, \textit{L. gmelinii}...
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sharp decrease in WB growth, which do not coincide with the area growth, this was expressed in the periods of a
death of the WB part.

The effect of mutational WB to the branch radial growth is similar to mistletoe (Viscum album L.) effects to some extent. Pine mistletoe infection leads to crown degradation in its host by reducing the radial increment and affected the needle characteristics (Rigling et al., 2010; Catal & Carus, 2011; Ozturk et al., 2019). Mistletoe removal leads to increased growth rates of the hosts (Yan et al., 2016), which is similar to the effect of increased growth after the death of the WB part.

The WB is drastically morphologically and physiologically different from the rest of the crown. The WB breaks the normal donor-acceptor relationship in a tree and actively consumes the resources without being involved in their production due to active branching with reduced apical dominance. This suggests that the mutation affects the hormonal regulation of growth and morphogenesis and turns the WB into a new metabolic sink. Against this background, the WB does not just look unusual, it also behaves unusual, like something fundamentally foreign to the normal crown of the tree.

The mutation initiates a complex set of physiological changes, most likely caused by a change in the hormonal balance. This, in turn, leads to changes in morphological development, including an atypical abundance of buds, which further enhances the attracting ability of the WB. Leading shoot apical meristem can act as a strong metabolic sink because of its high growth rate and hormone level, depriving nutrients from latent axillary buds (Cline, 1997; Cline et al., 2009). This leads to an increased influx of nutrients to the LBWB, while the other branches are provided with less nutrients. Normally, cambial growth is harmoniously distributed throughout the branching system, and its work depends on the interaction of the genotype and the environment (Savidge, 2001). This is the basis of both mechanical stability and the optimal allocation of resources along the tree parts. The harmonious distribution of cambial growth is often violated in the WB, mainly due to faster mitotic divisions (Brown et al., 1994): the small centre of abnormal activity cannot organically integrate into the normal system. This indicates the physiological heterogeneity of the WB and the NC, because the WB grows that rapidly that the NC does not have time to form an adequate “infrastructure” for growth. Therefore, the branch or trunk above the WB first appears outside the active root-leaf exchange, then weakens and sometimes eventually dies.
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