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Abstract: Problem statement: Ergonomics so far has had little impact in Malaysia. For most Malaysian managers, ergonomics is not considered to be associated with performance, but rather with occupational health and safety and legislation. Approach: This study reviews the development of ergonomics in Malaysia and the underlying issues related to national development. Results: Many changes need to be made within the ergonomics research, education and practice community by integrating concepts from the social sciences with technological advances into Malaysian culture to enhance productivity and sustainable improvements in the quality of life, while achieving essential health and safety goals. Conclusion/Recommendation: Ergonomics helps to improve performance besides enhancing workplace OSH. It is essential to promote ergonomics concepts and practice to various industries in Malaysia. More effort and skills are required to compensate for the lack of infrastructure in providing a framework within which ergonomics recommendations can be disseminated and realized.
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INTRODUCTION

In August 2000, the International Ergonomics Association (IEA) asserted that “Ergonomics (or human factors) is the scientific discipline concerned with the understanding of interactions among humans and other elements of a system and the profession that applies theory, principles, data and methods to design in order to optimize human well-being and overall system performance” (IEA Council, 2000). Simply, ergonomics seeks to adapt tasks, working conditions, work methods, tools, machines to maximise their suitability for people. It is a way of looking at the overall organization of the design of tasks, tools, equipment, workplace layouts, work methods to fit jobs to the workers, but personal selection is important too. Therefore, ergonomics is all embracing, as long as machines and their users exist. In any well designed work system, health and safety should embody ergonomics principles. An effective application of such principles can achieve a balance between worker characteristics and task demands, enhance productivity and provide workplace safety, physical and mental well being and job satisfaction (Grandjean, 1988; Konz 1984; Sanders and McCormick, 1993). On the other hand, neglect of ergonomics principles brings inefficiency and pain to the operator. An ergonomically deficient workplace can cause physical and emotional stress, low productivity and poor quality of work (Karwowski, 1998). The logic behind ergonomics and its benefits is that ergonomics optimizes the interface of human physical and mental aspects with machines and the environment in developing a conducive working environment. Thus, an ergonomically-designed workplace is less error-prone and more productive than otherwise it might be. Better quality products can be produced and workers will have better Occupational Safety and Health (OSH). A low cost ergonomics investment giving many benefits is cost effective.

The discipline of ergonomics has been regarded as rather new (Sen, 1984; 1998; O’Neill, 2000) in Malaysia, an industrially developing country. Few research studies in this discipline have been made compared to industrially advanced countries. In this study, the growth of ergonomics in Malaysia since its inception is discussed. Results from various related surveys are also discussed, along with some issues highlighted. The aim of this study is to stress the need to address ergonomics problems in Malaysia.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ergonomics developments and research: The use of ergonomics concepts and principles started in Malaysia several decades ago (Fox and Richardson, 1968; Richardson et al., 1972; Sen, 1984; 1998). In terms of ergonomics awareness, most manufacturing and service industries in the country may suffer, as the discipline is either new or unknown.

During the mid 1970s, Malaysia became one of the new Industrially Developing Countries (IDCs). Its economic development changed from agricultural-based to that of manufacturing. Foreign investors from many developed countries, such as Sony, Siemens, Intel, Motorola, National Semiconductor, Panasonic and Advanced Micro Devices established their manufacturing and assembly plants in the country because of English language comprehension, abundant workforce, cheap labor and a stable political situation. This phenomenon gave the impetus to the growth of local Small and Medium Industries (SMIs) which complement Foreign Direct Investments (FDIs).

Industry: Early industrial workers were those who used to work in the agricultural sector, hence, many of them had to readjust their physiological and psychological capabilities to suit industrial procedures different from their agricultural-based work (Ali et al., 2001). Specific work instructions, tools and work schedules were given and enforced such that activities could be standardized and outputs with uniform quality could be achieved. The importance of industrial OSH requires constant attention in Malaysia: ergonomic implications are discussed in Vijay et al. (2006).

Discomfort at work: Chee and Rampal (2004) investigated the ergonomic risk factors relevant to work-related musculoskeletal problems among 906 women semiconductor workers in Malaysia. They discovered, inter alia, that neck/shoulder pain was significantly higher for workers with shorter working durations, while lower-limb pain was significantly higher for those with longer working durations. With the same sample, Chee et al. (2004) discovered that “…front of line workers, who mostly walked around to operate machines...had the lowest prevalences of body pain...middle of line workers...who did frequent lifting, had high pain prevalences in the neck/shoulders (54.8%) and upper back (43.5%).” Those who had to climb steps to load units had a high prevalence of lower limb pain (68.0%).

Two examples of human computer interaction problems in Malaysia are in Sen and Richardson (2004) Sen and Richardson (2007). The first of these demonstrates cross-cultural differences. The second surveyed the prevalence of Computer Vision Syndrome (CVS) of 136 students and office staff using computers. It was found that many were using equipment without ergonomic modifications and around half the subjects with low back pain had no adjustable backrest on their seats. The increase of CVS scores (suggesting more subjective symptoms) correlated with increase in computer usage spills.

Public payphones redesign: Khong et al. (2010) conducted an ergonomics research to determine the effectiveness and efficiency of icon recognition on public payphones in Malaysia. The study was conducted using surveys and usability testing. A preliminary study was conducted at Multimedia University Cyberjaya campus. A similar approach to include redesigned icons was conducted in Kuala Lumpur, Putrajaya, Selangor, Perak, Penang, Pahang, Johor, Melaka, Negeri Sembilan, Sabah and Sarawak. Participants were requested to describe public payphone icons in less than 5 words and to guess the meaning for icons that they were uncertain. All icons were randomly located and coded. There were 7 icon categories namely, insert card/coin, adjust volume, redial, follow-on-call, language select, operator assist and emergency. A similar approach was conducted in a public survey using new and redesigned icons in Kuala Lumpur, Putrajaya and several Malaysia states. Significant icon design changes were suggested.

Ergonomic interventions in factories: Loo and Yeow (2007) and Soo (2008) introduced a brazing tool design-twin brazing torch to a real life brazing workstation of an air handler coil assembly plant to produce quality coil assembly, improve productivity and reduce manufacturing cost. The ergonomics intervention implemented also resulted in stress relief as well as reducing Work-related Musculoskeletal Disorder (WMSD) among the brazing operators. Yeow and Loo (2009) employed ergonomics methods to identify industrial risks in an air handler factory in Malaysia. They conducted ergonomics intervention to rectify the risks via the use of twin brazing tools for the brazing process along with the brazing method to reduce WMSDs.

Ergonomics problems and awareness: According to Shameem et al. (2001), industrial workers in Malaysia experienced little work freedom and relaxation and significant overtime work. They did not complain strongly about poor work conditions, such as background stressors i.e., noise, heat stress due to poor
Due to limited education in the field of ergonomics, the level of knowledge and awareness of the discipline among Malaysian engineers and managers is low. Out of many colleges and universities in the country, only a few offer or have offered ergonomics courses, i.e. Universiti Teknologi MARA, Multimedia University, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Universiti Malaya, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak and Universiti Malaysia Perlis.

In a recent study on ergonomics awareness in Malaysian manufacturing industries by Mustafa et al. (2009), the researchers discovered that:

- 35.6% of Malaysian manufacturing industries have a high level of ergonomics awareness
- 33.3% of the manufacturing industries implemented ergonomics programmes
- Among the types of ergonomics programmes implemented, orientation was mostly used (44.4%) and proves the most effective
- The main factors for the lack of ergonomics awareness were the lack of information/education/training and no pressure from the top management to initiate the ergonomics programmes

From this study, it is not just the poor local educational emphasis but also poor corporate education and training that contribute to the low ergonomics awareness in Malaysia. There have been some efforts to curb these problems such as the establishment of the ergonomics division in the National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), the founding of the MMU Centre of Excellence for Ergonomics in 1998 and the launching of the Institute of Design and Ergonomics Application by University Malaysia Sarawak (1997). However, such efforts have hardly raised the awareness level in the corporate sectors.

The third Industrial Master Plan (IMP3): Malaysia has a vision to become a developed country by the year 2020. One of the strategies to achieve this is through the implementation of the 3rd Industrial Master Plan (IMP3), unveiled on 18 August 2006. The Government of Malaysia aims to improve and strengthen the competitiveness of three economic pillars of the country (manufacturing, services and agriculture) with the theme: Malaysia-Towards Global Competitiveness.

As the seed of ergonomics has been planted, it is imperative that its progress in both education and practice is steadfast via government interventions along with funding contributions.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Many ergonomics problems in Malaysia remain unsolved. Most newly developing countries, like Malaysia, are tropical, or sub-tropical. Thus there is little variation in daily exposure to sunlight, in contrast to most developed countries (e.g., in Europe and North America). The effects these differences have on workload and stress have hardly been researched. It would be interesting to investigate the introduction of a siesta in Malaysia, although cynics will say we have it already. It seems that considerable energy at work is expended too little purpose, e.g., in agriculture (Sen et al., 1983). “Assessing the value of work is as important as the measurement of workload” (Singleton, 1990) which underlines the importance of stress at work (Tang, 1986; Richardson et al., 1986). Little research has been done on work stress in Malaysia.

One gap in this study is the ergonomic importance of cross-cultural differences within Malaysia (Sen and Richardson, 2004) and between Malaysia and developed countries, especially regarding machine and tool design. Examples of relevant research outside Malaysia are from Singapore (Richardson et al., 1972) and Hong Kong (Courtney, 1994). The importance of cross-cultural differences in Malaysia has been discussed, for instance, by Fontaine and Richardson (2005). But the topic requires continuing attention.

CONCLUSION

It is a fact that in developed countries, ergonomics helps to improve productivity and enhance workplace Occupational Safety and Health (OSH). For industrially developing countries such as Malaysia, in which ergonomics is in its infant stage, it is essential to promote ergonomics concepts and practice by disseminating their applications to various industries so that employers and employees become aware of design concepts and work methods that can help to improve workplace conditions and enhance workers’ OSH.

Ergonomics in Malaysia is developing at a relatively slow pace. This does little to solve the existing problems in our industries today. It is important to promote appropriate attention and awareness of the benefits of ergonomics by fitting the latter into the culture and strengthening the position of ergonomics and ergonomists in the public and private sectors. In order to realize the desired situation, substantial changes must take place within the ergonomics research, education and practice community by integrating concepts from the social sciences with technological advances to enhance productivity and improve people’s health. More effort and skills are required to compensate for the lack of infrastructure in providing a framework within which ergonomists can operate and ergonomics recommendations can be disseminated and realized. It is desirable to trigger more interest in ergonomics research studies and to provide an approach which must be integrated into all national development plans aimed at gathering strength to help maintain the thrust of our national mission, which is to move the national economy up the value chain, from the middle development stage of today to a more productive, value-added, knowledge intensive, more mature and innovative stage by the year 2020.
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