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ABSTRACT

Radiation-dominated accretion disks are likely to be subject to the “photon bubble” instability, which may lead to strong density inhomogeneities on scales much shorter than the disk scale height. Such disks, and magnetized, radiation-dominated atmospheres in general, could radiate well above the Eddington limit without being disrupted. When density contrasts become large over distances of the order of the photon mean free path, radiative transfer cannot be described adequately using either the standard diffusion approximation or existing prescriptions for flux-limited diffusion. Using analytical and Monte Carlo techniques, we consider the effects of strong density gradients deep within radiation- and scattering-dominated atmospheres. We find that radiation viscosity, i.e., the off-diagonal elements of the radiation stress tensor, has an important effect on radiative transfer under such conditions. We compare analytical and numerical results in the specific case of a plane-parallel density-wave structure and calculate Eddington enhancement factors due to the porosity of the atmosphere. Our results can be applied to the study of dynamical coupling between radiation forces and density inhomogeneities in radiation-dominated accretion disks in two or three dimensions.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Radiation-dominated atmospheres of accretion disks and massive stars permeated by a moderately strong magnetic field are susceptible to the “photon bubble” instability (Arons 1992; Gammie 1998; Begelman 2001). In this process, high-density regions tend to be pulled downward along the field lines, and low-density regions are pushed upward by radiation forces. The gas elements accelerated by radiation forces enter density maxima, where radiation forces decrease and then progress downward again, completing the cycle. Because subsequent acceleration episodes are increasingly large and magnetic tension prevents high-density regions from spreading sideways, the density contrast increases. This leads to density inhomogeneities on scales shorter than the characteristic scale height of the accretion disk or stellar atmosphere. Under such conditions, radiation tends to bypass high-density regions and travel more freely through tenuous ones. If the low- and high-density regions are dynamically coupled and most of the mass is in the high-density phase, then the flux necessary to support the atmosphere against gravity can exceed the Eddington limit. The photon bubble instability may be applicable to objects suspected of having super-Eddington luminosities, such as “ultraluminous X-ray sources” (Begelman 2002) and narrow-line Seyfert 1 galaxies (King & Puchnarewicz 2002). It has also been argued that the instability may occur in simple nonmagnetized Thomson atmospheres as they approach the Eddington limit (Shaviv 2001b). The origin of this instability and the statistical properties of the inhomogeneities, such as their size relative to the size of the atmosphere, are different from that predicted by the magnetic photon bubble instability. This mechanism has been invoked to explain the discrepancy between relatively high outburst luminosities and relatively low outflow velocities in novae (Shaviv 1998, 2000, 2001a).

Radiative transfer in a medium where parts of the gas are optically thin, such as the upper regions of atmospheres in particular, cannot be treated using the standard diffusion approximation. The application of this approximation in the optically thin regime may lead to the radiation propagation rate exceeding the free-streaming rate $\mathbf{F} = c u$, where $\mathbf{F}$ and $u$ are the radiation flux and energy density, respectively. Previous work on radiative transfer under such conditions focused on the development of flux-limited diffusion approximations (e.g., Levermore & Pomraning 1981; Melia & Zylstra 1991; Anile & Romano 1992). A serious limitation of these methods is that they are applicable only in cases where the angular distribution of the specific intensity is a slowly varying function of space and time.

In this paper, we focus on radiative transfer deep within atmospheres (i.e., at high optical depth), where the effects of flux-limited diffusion are less important. However, we consider the case where large density gradients, on scales of the order of the photon mean free path, lead to rapid fluctuations in the angular distribution function. It is easy to see why the standard diffusion approximations (with or without flux-limiting) fail under these circumstances. The standard diffusion approach predicts that the flux responds instantaneously to local changes in density, but in reality the flux can only respond to inhomogeneities provided that the density changes on scales much larger than the photon mean free path. When density inhomogeneities are optically thin, radiation does not “see” any density contrasts. Using a simple Ansatz, we derive a modified diffusion equation and show that the effects of “photon viscosity” (i.e., off-diagonal elements of the radiation stress tensor) play an important role in radiative transfer in this case. Using analytical and Monte Carlo techniques, we find that our analytical approach is much more accurate than standard diffusion or multistream approximations. Our results can be applied to the study of dynamical coupling between radiation forces and density inhomogeneities in radiation-dominated accretion disks.
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2. RADIATIVE TRANSFER IN AN INHOMOGENEOUS ATMOSPHERE

We consider radiative transfer within infinite highly inhomogeneous atmospheres where the effects of flux-limited diffusion are very much reduced. Moreover, in a porous atmosphere, the radiation diffusion timescale is most likely much shorter than the characteristic gasdynamical timescale; i.e., radiation is probably not trapped by the motion of gaseous inhomogeneities (Begelman 2001). Therefore, for the purpose of calculating radiative transfer, we can neglect the time dependence and motion of the gas distribution. We approximate the intensity distribution as

\[ I(x, \Omega) = I_0(x, \Omega) + \frac{3}{4\pi} \Omega \cdot F(x), \quad (1) \]

where \( F \) is the local flux vector, \( \Omega \) is the directional unit vector, and \( x \) is the position vector. This approximation means that the first nontrivial correction to intensity \( I_0(x, \Omega) \) is symmetric with respect to the direction defined by the local flux. This has to be contrasted with the familiar case of a plane-parallel atmosphere. In such a case, it is customary to assume that the directional distribution of intensity \( I(x, \Omega) \) is symmetric relative to the vertical direction, which coincides with the direction of the flux vector. However, in the case of a highly inhomogeneous atmosphere, radiation bypasses denser regions, the flux vector can rapidly change its orientation, and only the volume-averaged flux is vertical. Thus, in our approach, the local “symmetry axis” is changing direction throughout the atmosphere, and no global symmetry is required. We also assume that all the odd moments of \( I_0 \) vanish, i.e., \( \int \Omega I_0 d\Omega = 0 \), but otherwise make no assumptions about the directional dependence of \( I_0 \). The equation of radiative transfer for a three-dimensional scattering atmosphere reads

\[ \frac{1}{\sigma} \Omega \cdot \nabla I = -I + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int I d\Omega, \quad (2) \]

where \( \sigma = \rho c \) is the scattering coefficient. Taking zeroth and first moments of equation (2), we obtain

\[ \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{F} = 0, \quad (3) \]

\[ \mathbf{F} = -\frac{c}{\sigma} \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{T}, \quad (4) \]

where \( \mathbf{T} \) is the radiation stress tensor, the components of which are

\[ T_{ij} = \frac{1}{c} \int \hat{\Omega} \hat{T}_{ij} I_0 d\Omega. \quad (5) \]

The closure relation for \( T_{ij} \) in terms of \( F_i \) and \( J = (1/4\pi) \int I d\Omega \) can be obtained by calculating the second moment of equation (2), assuming the form of the intensity given by equation (1). This leads to the equation for the radiation stress tensor:

\[ T_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{u}{3} - \frac{1}{5\sigma} \left( \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial x^i} \right), & i = j, \\ -\frac{1}{10\sigma} \left( \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial x^i} \right), & i \neq j. \end{cases} \quad (6) \]

where \( u = 4\pi J/c \) is the energy density and \( J \) is the mean intensity. Note that (1) the diagonal terms \( T_{ii} \) may be different from one another, and (2) the off-diagonal elements of the radiation stress tensor do not vanish. The first point implies that this approach incorporates variable Eddington factors. The off-diagonal elements are responsible for photon viscosity and are nonzero, even though bulk gas motions in the atmosphere were assumed to be negligible. This is because the photon fluid is moving through the gas and may exert shear stresses. Substituting equation (6) into equation (4) and using equation (3), we obtain

\[ F_i = -\frac{c}{3\sigma} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^i} + \frac{1}{10\sigma^2} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 F_i}{\partial x_j \partial x^j} + 2 \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial x^i} \right] - \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \left( \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial x^i} \right). \quad (7) \]

In the above equation, summation is imposed only over repeated \( j \) indices. Equations (7) and (3) are the governing equations of radiative transfer in our approximation.

3. TWO-DIMENSIONAL DENSITY INHOMOGENEITIES

In order to illustrate our method, we now consider the simplified case of a plane-parallel wave density pattern (see Fig. 1). The scattering coefficient is assumed to be only a function of the distance \( \xi \) perpendicular to the slabs, i.e., \( \sigma = \rho c = \sigma(\xi) \) with \( \xi = \mu x + (1 - \mu^2)^{1/2} z \), where \( \mu = \cos \psi \).

![Fig. 1.—Density structure of an inhomogeneous atmosphere. Shaded and unshaded zones denote higher and lower density regions, respectively. The region shown is much smaller than the overall radiation pressure scale height \( |u/\nabla u| \).](image-url)
We also assume that $\partial / \partial y = 0$ and that the components of flux depend only on $\xi$. We consider an atmosphere that is in global (i.e., volume-averaged) hydrostatic equilibrium, where radiation pressure balances gravity $q z$. This implies that the gradient of energy density must be of the form

$$\nabla u = u'(\xi)\nabla \xi - 3(\sigma) / c F_{\text{Edd}} z ,$$

(8)

where a prime denotes differentiation with respect to $\xi$, $(\sigma)$ is the volume average of the scattering coefficient, and $F_{\text{Edd}} = g_c / \kappa$ is the Eddington flux. From equations (7) and (3) we obtain

$$\mu F_x - (1 - \mu^2)^{1/2} F_x = \mu(\sigma) / F_{\text{Edd}} + \frac{1}{\alpha^2 \sigma} \left( \frac{F_x}{\sigma} \right)' ,$$

(9)

where $\sigma = 10/(1 + 4\mu^2 - 4\mu^4)$. Integrating equation (3) over $\xi$, we obtain

$$\mu F_x + (1 - \mu^2)^{1/2} F_x = \text{const} \equiv (1 - \mu^2)^{1/2} F_0 ,$$

(10)

where $F_0$ is the integration constant. Using equation (10) to eliminate $F_x$ from equation (9), we get

$$F_z = (1 - \mu^2) F_0 + \mu^2 \left( \frac{\sigma}{\sigma} \right) F_{\text{Edd}} + \frac{1}{\alpha^2 \sigma} \left( \frac{F_z}{\sigma} \right)' .$$

(11)

Multiplying equation (11) by $\sigma$ and then volume-averaging it and demanding that the solution be bounded, we have

$$\langle \sigma \rangle F_{\text{Edd}} = \langle \sigma F_z \rangle = (1 - \mu^2) \langle \sigma F_0 \rangle + \mu^2 \langle \sigma \rangle F_{\text{Edd}} ,$$

(12)

where the first equality in equation (12) comes from the requirement that the atmosphere be in global hydrostatic equilibrium. Therefore, $F_0 = F_{\text{Edd}}$, and the final equation for the vertical flux is

$$F_z = \left( 1 - \mu^2 + \mu^2 \left( \frac{\sigma}{\sigma} \right) \right) F_{\text{Edd}} + \frac{1}{\alpha^2 \sigma} \left( \frac{F_z}{\sigma} \right)' .$$

(13)

### 3.1. Eddington Enhancement Factor

Using equation (13), we can now calculate the Eddington enhancement factor $l \equiv \langle F_z / F_{\text{Edd}} \rangle$. We can simplify and nondimensionalize equation (13) by defining

$$f \equiv \frac{F_z}{F_{\text{Edd}}} - (1 - \mu^2) .$$

Thus, the Eddington factor is

$$l \equiv (1 - \mu^2) + \langle f \rangle ,$$

(15)

where $\langle f \rangle$ is the volume average of $f$. Defining optical depth $d \tau = \sigma d \xi$, letting the prime now denote differentiation with respect to $\tau$, and normalizing the scattering coefficient to its mean value (i.e., $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma / \langle \sigma \rangle$), we obtain a simplified form of equation (13):

$$f'' - \alpha^2 f = - \frac{\alpha^2 \mu^2}{\sigma} .$$

(16)

We now consider a periodic slab model in which the scattering coefficient is given by

$$\sigma = \begin{cases} \sigma_1, & -\tau_1 < \tau < 0 \quad \text{(region 1)}, \\ \sigma_2, & 0 < \tau < \tau_2 \quad \text{(region 2)}. \end{cases}$$

(17)

The solutions to equation (16) in regions 1 and 2 are

$$f_{1,2}(\tau) = a_{1,2} \sinh(\alpha \tau) + b_{1,2} \cosh(\alpha \tau) + \frac{\mu^2}{\sigma_{1,2}} ,$$

(18)

where $a_{1,2}$ and $b_{1,2}$ are the integration constants. Functions $f$ and $f'$ have to be continuous across each slab boundary. Therefore, the matching conditions are

$$f_1(0) = f_2(0) , \quad f_1'(0) = f_2'(0) ,$$

$$f_1(-\tau_1) = f_2(\tau_2) , \quad f_1'(-\tau_1) = f_2'(\tau_2) .$$

(19)

Using the above matching conditions to derive the integration constants $a_{1,2}$ and $b_{1,2}$ and then volume-averaging the solution for $f$, we obtain the expression for $\langle f \rangle$:

$$\langle f \rangle = \frac{\mu^2}{\xi_1 + \xi_2} \left[ \frac{\xi_1}{\sigma_1} + \frac{\xi_2}{\sigma_2} - \frac{2}{\alpha} \frac{\Delta \sigma}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} \sinh(x \sinh y) \right] ,$$

(21)

where $x = \alpha \tau_1 / 2, y = \alpha \tau_2 / 2, \Delta \sigma = \sigma_2 - \sigma_1$, and $\xi_{1,2} = \tau_{1,2} / \sigma_{1,2}$. The Eddington enhancement factor $l$ follows directly from equations (21) and (15).

### 4. COMPARISON WITH MONTE CARLO SIMULATIONS

We now compare our analytical results with Monte Carlo simulations. The setup of the numerical experiment was as follows. We instantaneously injected a large number of photons in the equatorial plane (i.e., $z = 0$) of a very flat, threedimensional box (i.e., $z \in [-z_0, z_0]; x, y \in [-w_0, w_0]$), where $z_0 \ll w_0$. The densities and height of the computational box were chosen in such a way as to assure that the optical thickness in the vertical direction would always be large throughout the box. The initial photon angular distribution was uniform. Although we included the anisotropy due to the Thomson scattering cross section, we found this effect to have no influence on our final results. We followed the trajectories of all photons and calculated photon travel times between scatterings. Momentum transfer for every scattering was calculated using the method of weights (Pozdnyakov, Sobel, & Sunyaev 1983). We then computed the force exerted on the atmosphere as a function of the time delay following the instantaneous photon injection. Of course, as photons diffuse out of the atmosphere, the force exerted on the gas gradually declines. Therefore, the total force, corresponding to a continuous photon flux, was calculated by superposing many such time-dependent force distributions due to groups of photons injected (instantaneously) at uniform time intervals. The total force exerted on the atmosphere was characterized by a gradual increase with time followed by a flat maximum. The Eddington enhancement factor is then given by the ratio of the “saturated” total force (i.e., total, constant force at late times) exerted on a homogeneous atmosphere to the total force acting on an inhomogeneous atmosphere characterized by the same mean density. Note that the Eddington enhancement factor, defined in this way, can also be interpreted as the ratio of fluxes necessary to exert the same amount of force on an inhomogeneous atmosphere as on the corresponding homogeneous atmosphere. This ratio is the same even if the homogeneous atmosphere is sub-Eddington, i.e., only partially supported against gravity by radiation.
Figure 2 shows the Eddington enhancement factor for variable inclination of slabs (top panels) and for changing density contrast of vertical slabs (bottom panels). In all cases, the Thomson depth of the high-density slabs $\tau_h$ is constant, but the optical depth across low-density regions increases from values $\tau_l < 1$ to $\tau_l > 1$ from left to right (see Fig. 2 legend for more details). As expected, the Eddington factor increases as the slabs rotate toward the vertical direction because the atmosphere effectively becomes more porous (top panels). When the slabs are vertical, the flux enhancement factor increases as the density contrast $\sigma_h/\sigma_l$ becomes larger for constant mean density. This is due to the fact that the volume filling factor of the high-density gas decreases while that of the low-density gas increases, but the respective masses of the two density phases remain the same. Therefore, the mean volume-weighted flux is

$$\langle F \rangle = (1 - f_v) F_l + f_v F_h \approx F_l ,$$

where $f_v$ is the volume filling factor of the dense gas and $F_l$ and $F_h$ are the fluxes propagating through tenuous and dense regions, respectively. As the density contrast increases and $f_v$ decreases, radiation tends to "flow" primarily through the low-density channels, and therefore more flux is necessary to exert the same total force as in the homogeneous case because radiation interacts less efficiently with tenuous gas. Quantitatively, in the diffusion limit, we have (Shaviv 1998)

$$l \approx f_v \rho_h / \rho_l \text{ if } f_v \gg 1 \rho_h / \rho_l .$$

This qualitatively explains why $l$ decreases with $\tau_l \geq 1$ at constant density contrast $\sigma_h/\sigma_l$ (cf. third and fourth columns of Fig. 2). At small optical depth $\tau_l$, equation (23) would lead to very inaccurate answers. For example, equation (23) predicts $l \sim 23$ for vertical slabs with $\tau_l = 0.1$ and $\sigma_h/\sigma_l = 100$, compared to the actual value $l \sim 5$ and our analytic result of $l \sim 4$ from equation (21) (bottom left panel). This discrepancy is due largely to neglect of the anisotropy of the radiation field, whereas our approach gives much more accurate results, even in such an extreme case. Moreover, note that the "anisotropy term" in our expression for the flux enhancement factor, which is proportional to $\langle \Delta \sigma \rangle^2 = (\sigma_h - \sigma_l)^2$, vanishes for large Thomson depths, and thus equations (21) and (15) reduce to equation (23) in the diffusion limit. We also considered multistream approximation schemes in order to account for the radiation anisotropy but found the "intensity moment" approach developed here to be in significantly better agreement with Monte Carlo simulations.

5. SUMMARY

We have considered radiative transfer deep within extremely inhomogeneous atmospheres and have demonstrated that, under such conditions, radiation viscosity, i.e., the off-diagonal elements of the radiation stress tensor, plays an important role. Our approach is significantly more accurate than approaches based on the diffusion equation and multistream approximation. The technique developed here can be applied to the nonlinear evolution of radiation-driven instabilities in accretion disks. In particular, it can be used to study the dynamical coupling of matter and
radiation in order to determine the characteristic length scales and density contrasts arising from the photon bubble instability. This, in turn, will permit a self-consistent determination of the magnitude of the Eddington enhancement factor in radiation-dominated accretion disks. We also suggest that our method could be incorporated into radiation hydrodynamics codes such as the RHD module for ZEUS (Turner & Stone 2001).
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