Full Factorial Design Analysis and Characterization of Polyethylene, Starch and Aloe Vera Gel Thin Film Formulation
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Abstract—The polyethylene-thermoplastic (PE/TPS) based film was introduced many years ago, but the compatibility of PE/TPS still an issue because synthetic compatibilizer has a safety drawback. In this work, aloe vera (AV) was introduced as a compatibilizer to enhance stress and characteristics of PE/TPS film. This paper determines the optimum PE/TPS/AV film formulation using full factorial design (FFD) analysis. Melt blending and hot-press techniques were used to prepare the film. Four selected PE/TPS/AV samples were chosen to discuss mechanical properties, functional groups, thermal degradation, and thermal properties changes. Based on FFD, PE was the most significant material that caused substantial changes in the film’s mechanical properties. Concurrently, the interaction between PE/TPS and TPS/AV significantly influenced the value of the secant modulus. The addition of AV into TPS improved the stress and reduced the strain. New peaks are present in TPS/AV that share the same functional group with PE. Thus, improving the stress of the film. The presence of AV caused peaks 2916 cm\(^{-1}\) and 2849 cm\(^{-1}\) of TPS to strengthen at once; the thermal degradation increases tremendously from 282 °C to 354.70 °C. The melting temperature showed a reduction when TPS/AV was added into PE, but the crystallization temperature did not significantly change. However, significant changes occurred for crystallization enthalpy when TPS/AV was incorporated in PE at once, affecting the degree of crystallinity. In conclusion, AV was suggested to act as a compatibilizer/crosslinker or plasticizer to improve PE film packaging properties.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The development of degradable plastic increases every year since the government wants to eliminate unavoidable plastic waste and move towards a more circular economy. The combination of polyethylene (PE) and thermoplastic starch (TPS) has become an alternative by researchers in improving the degradation ability of PE film and enhancing the mechanical properties of TPS film [1]. TPS added reduced the mechanical properties of PE film because of high moisture sensitivity [2]. Unfortunately, the combination between PE/TPS caused high interfacial tension [3] due to the hydrophilic nature of PE and the hydrophobic nature of starch [4]. Compatibilizer was introduced for better dispersion of TPS in PE matrix at once, enhancing the mechanical performance [3].

Common PE-based compatibilizers are polyethylene grafted maleic anhydride (PE-g-MA) [2], [5], ethylene acrylic acid copolymer (EAA) [5], polyethylene-covinyl alcohol, and synthetic polymer grafted with acrylamide [6]. Starch-based compatibilizers examples are starch-g-poly(acrylic acid), stearic acid-grafted starch [7] and octanoate starch (OCST) [8]. PE-based compatibilizer modifies the surface of PE [9], while starch-based improve the starch hydrophobic character to enhance the distribution of starch [10].

The addition of PE-g-MA did not encourage results, especially on mechanical performance [11]–[13]. Besides, the toxic properties in PE-g-MA had limited its application in food packaging material due to safety concerns [14], [15]. Therefore, additives or filler have been added into PE/TPS film to improve the film performance, such as green tea powder [16], starch nanoparticles [17], cellulose nanocrystals [18], and organic acid [19]. The results on the film’s mechanical properties seem promising because they improved. However, others found that organic material such as ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) and date seed extract decreased the mechanical properties [20].
Aloe vera gel consists of a clear inner gel composed of 99% water [21] and some active components such as phenolics, sugar, amino acids, saponins, and minerals [22]. A few researchers used AV in film sheet packaging [21]–[24]. AV being used as a function of antimicrobial agent [23], antifungal agent [25], bioplasticizer [26], or as a crosslinking agent [21], [27]. AV can form a thicker starch film by improving the crosslink in the starch matrix [24]. Thus, affecting the crystallinity, morphology, and mechanical properties. The organic acid present in AV improves compatibility between plasticizer-starch. In addition, avoiding intermolecular interactions between the macromolecules of starch [24]. This condition causes higher hydrogen bonding interactions between AV and starch, resulting in smooth and compact morphology [21]. Other research found a reduction in hydrophilic groups in chitosan starch to interact with water when AV is presented in the film [28].

Full factorial design (FFD) technique from Design-Expert software was a method to study the effect of each factor towards the decided response variables and the effect of factors interaction. There is a limited study conducted on using FFD for optimizing PE/TPS starch formulation. Verma [29] used 32 FFD to develop a fast-dissolving film of chitosan embedded famotidine. At the same time, Vundadda [30] applied 32 experiments to evaluate the influence of plasticizer type and concentration on film performance. The experimental design technique proved a helpful tool in assessing the factors that change the response variables result. Experimental design quantified each process's effect, demonstrating variables interactions to the potential surface decay of polyethylene terephthalate film. Therefore, this paper consists of two sections: 1) determination of optimized PE/TPS/AV film using FFD technique; 2) To discuss the changes upon addition of TPS/AV into mechanical properties and characteristics of PE-film.

II. MATERIALS AND METHOD

A. Materials

Low-density polyethylene (MW:0.915 g/cm^3, Lotte Chemical, Titans, Malaysia), potato soluble starch (Bendosen, Malaysia), Glycerine (MW:92.094 g/mol, 99.5% USP, In- scent, Malaysia), Aloe Vera (Chemieconnex, Malaysia).

B. Design of Experiment (Full Factorial Design)

The purpose of conducting a two-level full factorial design was to determine the factors that significantly influenced the experiment's outcome. The range for PE and TPS was between 40 – 70 % and 30 – 60 % of the total weight, respectively, because more than 30 % guarantees film degradability satisfaction [31], [32]. The lower and upper limit for AV concentration was obtained from preliminary testing on the combination of PE/AV [33] with some modifications to prevent the negative value suggested by FFD. The formula obtained is presented in Table 1.

C. Film Preparation

The film prepared was based on a previous method conducted [33]. Pre-mixed raw materials started in Banbury Thermo Haake Polylab Internal mixer at 160 °C, 60 rpm. The mixture was considered homogeneous when constant torque occurred for around 6 minutes, as pictured in Fig. 1. The crushing process in Rexmac Compact Crusher continued after the mixture became homogeneous to get small resin parts to enhance consistent heat transfer distribution during hot-press. Then, the crushed resin was compressed using Comitech Hot Press at three stages condition which was, 1) pre-heat for 10 minutes on 130 °C to ensure consistent heat transfer occurred throughout the sprinkled resin on the plate, 2) hot-pressed for 10 minutes, 130 °C with 700 psi pressured, and 3) cooled the film to 40 °C while tab water was running. Finally, the film then peeled off from the plate. The formulation was based on calculated value from full factorial design (FFD), as stated in Table 1.

![Fig. 1 Torque value monitored for all prepared films.](image)

D. Film Characterization

The characterization started with measuring the mechanical properties of the samples since it was the primary performance required for thin film. Universal Testing Machine (Instron 3382) used at 25 mm/min strained rate. The film dimension is based on ASTM D882 (100 mm length x 25 mm width). The raw materials and films then underwent a few testings using Fourier Transformed Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR, Mettler Toledo), Gravimetric Analyzer instrument (TGA, TGA/SDTA581, Mettler Toledo), and Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC, Mettler Toledo) instruments for further characterization.

FTIR spectrum shows the % transmittance at the range of frequency between 515 – 4000 cm^-1, at 4 cm^-1 resolutions. The IR transmittance spectra show the presence of a functional group in the thin films. The thermal degradation properties (weight loss) were measured using TGA, 20 mg sample at a temperature range of 25 – 600 °C, 10 °C/min heating rate, and under 50 ml/min flow of nitrogen atmosphere. Thermal properties were taken from DSC using a cycle process using 5 mg samples and a 50 ml/min flow rate of nitrogen. First, heating (25 to 190 °C) is considered to remove the film's thermal history. Cooling (190 to -10 °C) was applied to determine the crystallization temperature, while second heating (-10 to 190 °C) to obtain the melting temperature of the film.
III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION
The discussion was divided into part 1 and part 2.

A. Part 1: optimizing the formula using FFD
This section covered the film's appearance and the determination of optimized sample formula based on FFD.

1) The film's visual appearance: Fig. 2 displays the film's visual appearance from the naked eye. All films stand alone, showing the uniform condition, and are easily removed from the hot metal plate. The thickness is then measured because it is an essential character for using the film for packaging.

| LDPE | TPS | TPS/AV |
|------|-----|--------|
| 12PE/9TPS/0.6AV | 21PE/9TPS/0.6AV | 12PE/18TPS/0.6AV |
| 21PE/18TPS/0.6AV | 12PE/9TPS/1.98AV | 21PE/9TPS/1.98AV |
| 12PE/18TPS/1.98AV | 21PE/18TPS/1.98AV | |

Fig. 2 Visual appearance of films for pure PE, TPS, TPS/AV, and PE/TPS/AV at a different formulation

2) Preliminary analysis of FFD: Table 1 tabulated the results inserted into FFD in DesignExpert11 trial software. The data obtained from Table 1 were converted into the preliminary analysis, as shown in Fig. 3. The correlation value is represented by the red (positive correlation, +1) or blue (negative correlation, -1) color. Based on Fig. 3, PE has the highest negative correlation on thickness with -0.658, while strong positive correlation on ultimate stress, ultimate strain, break stress, break strain, and break energy, with 0.885, 0.797, 0.848, 0.726, and 0.864, respectively. TPS and AV concentration do not positively or negatively correlate because the pale red and pale blue color showing value less than 0.5 represents a weak correlation. From preliminary analysis, PE would have a significant impact on ultimate stress, ultimate strain, break stress, break strain, and break energy. However, further discussion was needed to support the preliminary analysis conclusion.

![Fig. 3 The correlation obtained during pre-analysis data](image)

| TABLE I  
THE RESULTS OBTAINED FROM THE EXPERIMENT |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| No | PE (g) | TPS (g) | AV (g) | Thickness, Thick (mm) | Ultimate stress, UT (MPa) | Ultimate strain, US (%) | Break stress, BT (MPa) | Break strain, BS (%) | Modulus, Mod (MPa) | Secant Modulus, S.Mod (MPa) | Break Energy, BE (MJ/m²) |
|-----|--------|--------|-------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1   | 12     | 9      | 0.6   | 0.205            | 3.40            | 5.6             | 3.17            | 6.0             | 102.63          | 97.83           | 41167           |
| 2   | 21     | 9      | 0.6   | 0.177            | 5.13            | 9.1             | 5.01            | 9.6             | 101.27          | 100.43          | 398000          |
| 3   | 12     | 18     | 0.6   | 0.275            | 1.99            | 3.8             | 1.69            | 4.9             | 66.07           | 52.67           | 64600           |
| 4   | 21     | 18     | 0.6   | 0.183            | 4.36            | 8.0             | 4.19            | 8.4             | 107.63          | 88.50           | 240267          |
| 5   | 12     | 9      | 1.98  | 0.220            | 1.78            | 3.9             | 0.45            | 8.3             | 75.03           | 75.13           | 57800           |
| 6   | 21     | 9      | 1.98  | 0.182            | 6.12            | 12.9            | 6.00            | 12.9            | 92.67           | 84.90           | 520000          |
| 7   | 12     | 18     | 1.98  | 0.202            | 2.76            | 5.8             | 2.61            | 6.1             | 83.40           | 78.67           | 88300           |
| 8   | 21     | 18     | 1.98  | 0.205            | 4.50            | 7.0             | 4.21            | 8.1             | 86.07           | 94.90           | 241667          |

3) Detail analysis of FFD: The results from the screening experiment illustrated in Table 2 showed that the influence of PE, TPS, and AV was found significant (p < 0.05) within the selected range. Only thickness and modulus gave nominal value for all factors. The most significant factor was PE which significantly affected most of the mechanical properties of the film. AB significantly affects the secant modulus and break energy, while the interaction between BC significantly influences the secant modulus only. Secant modulus represents the material's rigidity, which is the higher flexibility obtained with a higher modulus of elasticity. The suggested optimum PE, TPS, and AV suggested optimum value was 18.91g, 16.54g, and 0.84g, respectively, with the expected mechanical properties as shown in Table 3. The value was obtained from the optimization analysis of the preliminary data using FFD analysis. The validation experiment was then conducted, and the percentage of error percentage was calculated to compare the predicted and experimental values for the optimized formula suggested by FFD. Thickness, ultimate stress, and break stress show less than 5% error, while other properties show a vast error. The discussion proceeded on AV and TPS ratio's effect on stress-strain, functional group, thermal degradation, and thermal properties of PE film. Four samples with the highest stress
value have been selected from 13 samples listed in Table 1 for further characterization.

| Factor | A | B | C | AB | AC | BC | ABC |
|--------|---|---|---|----|----|----|-----|
| Res    |   | p < 0.05 |   |    |    |    |     |
| Thic   |   |   |   |    |    |    |     |
| UT     | 0.0035 |   |   |    |    |    |     |
| US     | 0.0179 |   |   |    |    |    |     |
| BT     | 0.0078 |   |   |    |    |    |     |
| BS     | 0.0106 | 0.0358 | 0.0963 |   |    |    |     |
| Mod    |   |   |   |    |    |    |     |
| SMod   | 0.0341 | 0.0871 | 0.1064 | 0.0268 |   |    |     |
| BE     | 0.0008 | 0.0381 | 0.0174 |   |    |    |     |

A – PE, B – TPS, C - AV
Res (Response), Thic (Thickness), UT (Ultimate stress), US (Ultimate strain), BT (Break stress), BS (Break strain), Mod (Modulus), SMod (Secant modulus), BE (Break energy)

For the strain, at 0.6g or 1.98g AV, the addition of 9g of TPS reduced the strain by 15% and 26%, respectively. Sabetzadeh [35] mentioned that thermoplastic oxidized starch added into linear low-density polyethylene (LLDPE) and low-density polyethylene film caused a reduction in stress and strain due to the starch acting as a reinforcing agent that weakening the interfacial interactions between polymers. The strain of LLDPE decreases upon the addition of TPS due to a weak interface between LLDPE and TPS that leads to TPS aggregation [13]. However, more AVs gave higher strain at 9g of TPS because AV acted at the plasticizer. The ratio of TPS and AV influenced the stress and strain value. It shows that the optimization process is crucial to determine the best formulation that contributes to good stress and strain value.

**B. Part 2: The effect of TPS and AV concentration towards characteristics of PE**

1) **Stress-Strain:** Fig. 4 displays the ultimate stress value for all films, while Fig. 5 shows the ultimate strain obtained for all films. The combination of TPS with PE reduced PE’s stress and strain and has been mentioned by a few researchers before [18], [34]. From Fig. 4, the highest stress obtained was 6.1167 MPa for 21PE/9TPS/1.98AV, and the same film shows the highest strain at 12.93%, as presented in Fig. 5. At 0.6g or 1.98g AV, the addition of more TPS reduced the stress because it functioned as a reinforcing agent to improve the degradation ability of PE film but reduced the stress. Besides, because of the hydrogen bonding in the starch structure that stiffer [13]. This behavior is commonly found in PE reinforced with biodegradable polymer [11], [14], [35].

At 9g TPS, the stress reduced with a higher amount of AV, while at 18g TPS, the stress slightly increased with a higher amount of AV. The stress improved due to the presence of fatty acid in AV. AV acted as a crosslinker that toughens the internal bonds of biopolymers (TPS). The fatty acid could also act as a plasticizer (hydroxyl group) or crosslinker (carbonyl group). AV served as a crosslinker at high TPS, strengthening the intermolecular binding by covalent bonds, increasing the stress. Higher AV had caused AV to act as a plasticizer at a lower TPS amount, thus reducing the stress. Similar results were obtained by others, where a certain amount of citric acid improves stress [22].

**TABLE II**

**EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS OF FFD IN IDENTIFYING THE MOST SIGNIFICANT FACTOR**

| Properties | Predicted Value | Experimental Value | % Error |
|------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------|
| Thickness  | 0.2000          | 0.2060             | 3.00    |
| Ultimate stress | 4.40          | 4.60              | 4.55    |
| Ultimate strain | 8.20         | 5.16              | 37.07   |
| Break Stress | 4.80          | 4.90              | 2.08    |
| Break strain | 7.60          | 6.10              | 19.74   |
| Modulus    | 94.90           | 123.00             | 29.61   |
| Secant Modulus | 82.30      | 135.00             | 64.03   |
| Break Energy | 228913.24    | 2080000           | 9.14    |

**TABLE III**

**PERCENTAGE ERROR FOR THE OPTIMUM VALUE OF COMPOUNDING**

| Properties | Predicted Value | Experimental Value | % Error |
|------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------|
| Thickness  | 0.2000          | 0.2060             | 3.00    |
| Ultimate stress | 4.40          | 4.60              | 4.55    |
| Ultimate strain | 8.20         | 5.16              | 37.07   |
| Break Stress | 4.80          | 4.90              | 2.08    |
| Break strain | 7.60          | 6.10              | 19.74   |
| Modulus    | 94.90           | 123.00             | 29.61   |
| Secant Modulus | 82.30      | 135.00             | 64.03   |
| Break Energy | 228913.24    | 2080000           | 9.14    |

**Fig. 4 The ultimate stress value of PE/TPS/AV films**

**Fig. 5 The ultimate strain value of PE/TPS/AV films**
An almost similar peak for LDPE has also been observed by reference [39]. A peak at 1640 cm\(^{-1}\) from AV and 1647 cm\(^{-1}\) from TPS representing carbonyl group of cellulose in AV and TPS [40] has shifted, and the peak becomes smaller at 1652 cm\(^{-1}\), TPS/AV form two new peaks which was 1464 cm\(^{-1}\) and 718 cm\(^{-1}\) which created the same C-H bending and rocking vibration of methylene groups that majorly shown in PE FTIR spectrum. Thus, it suggested that AVs' addition to TPS can increase the hydrophobic character of TPS by forming a PE functional group.

Based on Fig. 7, significant peaks were observed in the PE/TPS/AV combination were 3318 cm\(^{-1}\). The signal at 3300 cm\(^{-1}\) correspondingly to the hydroxyl group's stretching vibrations (-OH) of the glucosidic unit of starch. It showed a decrease in its intensity due to the chemical interaction with the functional group of PE [41]. A more prominent and sharper peak was obtained at 2915 cm\(^{-1}\) and 2848 cm\(^{-1}\) than TPS/AV, suggesting that interaction happened between PE and TPS/AV. More TPS amount had caused 1738 cm\(^{-1}\) to sharpen because of a stronger sense of the existence of C=O stretching for the ester group in this combination. This interaction occurred due to the interaction between starch and glycerol (plasticization effect) in TPS formation [42]. The results improve with a higher amount of AV at constant PE/TPS because the fatty acid in AV indicates the crosslinking with TPS [37]. Between 515 to 1500 cm\(^{-1}\), the spectrum was the fingerprint region for polymer blends [14]. The above findings concluded that there is a chemical interaction between PE and TPS/AV. Thus, enhancing adhesion between PE and TPS and at once better stress obtained. Camilo et al. [43] predicts the mechanical properties of wey-protein film using FTIR and chemometric tools. The findings concluded that FTIR profiles coupled to chemometric tools could be adequate to predict mechanical properties of the wey-protein film under accelerated ageing conditions.

| Sample / functional group present | AV | TPS | PE | TPS/AV | PE/TPS/AV |
|----------------------------------|----|-----|----|--------|-----------|
| Stretching vibration O-H         | 3275 | 3288 | -  | 3289   | 3318      |
| Stretching vibration C-H (methyl and methylene group) | - | 2920 | 2915 | 2916 | 2915 |
| Stretching vibration C-H (methoxy group) | - | - | 2849 | 2849 | 2848 |
| Stretching vibration C=O         | 2159 | - | - | - | - |
| Stretching vibration C=O         | 1640 | 1647 | - | 1652   | 1738      |
| Bending C-H                     | - | - | 1471 | 1464 | - |
| Deformation vibration CHy –CH\(_2\) | - | 1334 | 1377 | - | 1366 |
| Stretching vibration C-O        | - | 1150, 1077 | - | 996   | 1217, 1040 |
| Stretching vibrations of glycosidic linkages alpha 1-6 | - | 925 | - | - | - |
| Skeletal vibration of the methylene groups CH\(_2\) | - | - | 718 | - | 718 |

*All values in cm\(^{-1}\)*

3) **TGA**: Fig. 8a shows the thermogravimetric graph for the AV gel, glycerine, starch powder, TPS film, PE film, and TPS/AV film, while Fig. 8b represents the derivative thermogravimetric condition of all samples. Generally, based on Fig. 8a and Fig. 8b, only one degradation peak was observed at samples except for starch powder (two degradation peaks). AV gel shows the degradation started as soon as the heating was applied to the material, and it completely degraded at 100 °C with a degradation rate of 3.88 mg/min. Khor [44] found that AV gel weight declined when the heat was applied to the sample, and significant burn happened towards AV at 110 °C. It completely degraded at 130 °C. The decomposition temperature (\(T_d\)) of TPS started at 50 °C that, representing the decrement of physisorbed water molecules that resulted during the sample preparation. Datta [45] found the water molecule in LDPE/starch/silica vaporize.
and decompose at a temperature of 90 °C, while Liu [46] obtained the starch decompose immediately when the temperature rises and end at 110 °C. The constant degradation rate occurred at TPS from the starting heating process, representing the evaporation of water and glycerine-rich phases, which results from a similar result obtained by others [47].

The decomposition of glycerine-starch continued at 280 °C representing the evaporation of glycerine-starch, which initially the T_d for glycerine and starch was 133.87 °C and 262 °C, respectively. The T_d of TPS shifted to a higher value showing glycerine and starch combined. Similar changes shown by the addition of glycerol into corn-starch; the T_d at 10% degradation increased from 113.3 °C to 197.2 °C [48]. Others found glycerin-starch decompose at 160 °C and 290 °C while starch decomposed at 330 °C. TPS/AV and PE decomposition temperature were observed at 354.70 and 366.86 °C, respectively. LDPE experienced a maximum temperature of 478.32 °C, and decomposition temperature was 355 °C [38]. The addition of AV in TPS shows tremendous changes on the T_d, which is almost similar to PE film. These results are supported by FTIR transmittance peak where one similar functional group of PE observed in TPS/AV that suggested TPS/AV shows similar PE thermal character. Complete degradation was observed for AV gel and TPS/AV, while PE, glycerine, starch powder, and TPS film showed some residue with 7.53 mg, 7.38 mg, 4.73 mg, 4.32 mg, respectively. Hence, degradation of TPS/AV can reduce the greenhouse gas emission/unwanted residue rather than PE film as the degradation of PE will release toxic gas to the environment.

The thermal analysis proceeded on the combination of all raw materials at different formulations. Fig. 9a and Fig. 9b show the thermogravimetric and derivative thermogravimetric graphs for the films. A similar graph pattern was observed for all films showing three important stages occurred; 1) evaporation of physisorbed water, maximum temperature (T_max) between 107.10 – 107.88 °C, 2) evaporation of glycerine-starch, T_max between 298.75 – 304.81 °C, and 3) decomposition of PE and TPS-AV, T_max between 474.63 – 477.53 °C. A combination of banana starch and AV gel obtained a similar trend of decomposition covered water evaporation (T_max, 105 °C) and decomposition of amylose (T_max, 180 °C) and amylpectin (T_max, 250 °C) [49]. The T_max almost similar for all films, but the degradation rate differed with a different formulation. Only a slight change occurred at T_max of glycerine-starch. At constant 9g and 18g of TPS, reduction of AV caused increment of T_max, from 301.94 °C to 303.34 °C and 298.75 to 304.81, respectively. The amount of starch gives changes to the degradation rate of the films. At stage 2, evaporation of glycerine-starch, 21PE/9TPS/0.6 AV or 1.98 AV undergo 0.87 and 0.69 mg/min while 21PE/18TPS/0.6 AV or 1.98 AV was 1.07 and 1.37 mg/min. Higher TPS contributed to high intensity of degradation rate at the evaporation of glycerine starch. This condition may be attributed to the depolymerization and decomposition process of the glycerine starch that improved with AV. The same condition occurred for acetylated and deacetylated groups in the modified starch nanoparticles [49]. For the third stage, the higher TPS content leads to a low intensity of degradation rate due to two decomposition taking place at the same temperature range, decomposition of PE and decomposition of TPS/AV. Tomy, [24] mentioned that more TPS creates greater glycerol-flour interaction with the presence of AV. Thus, it suggested that the degradation rate was lower, and intensity increased.

The thermal analysis proceeded on the combination of all raw materials at different formulations. Fig. 9a and Fig. 9b show the thermogravimetric and derivative thermogravimetric graphs for the films. A similar graph pattern was observed for all films showing three important stages occurred; 1) evaporation of physisorbed water, maximum temperature (T_max) between 107.10 – 107.88 °C, 2) evaporation of glycerine-starch, T_max between 298.75 – 304.81 °C, and 3) decomposition of PE and TPS-AV, T_max between 474.63 – 477.53 °C. A combination of banana starch and AV gel obtained a similar trend of decomposition covered water evaporation (T_max, 105 °C) and decomposition of amylose (T_max, 180 °C) and amylpectin (T_max, 250 °C) [49]. The T_max almost similar for all films, but the degradation rate differed with a different formulation. Only a slight change occurred at T_max of glycerine-starch. At constant 9g and 18g of TPS, reduction of AV caused increment of T_max, from 301.94 °C to 303.34 °C and 298.75 to 304.81, respectively. The amount of starch gives changes to the degradation rate of the films. At stage 2, evaporation of glycerine-starch, 21PE/9TPS/0.6 AV or 1.98 AV undergo 0.87 and 0.69 mg/min while 21PE/18TPS/0.6 AV or 1.98 AV was 1.07 and 1.37 mg/min. Higher TPS contributed to high intensity of degradation rate at the evaporation of glycerine starch. This condition may be attributed to the depolymerization and decomposition process of the glycerine starch that improved with AV. The same condition occurred for acetylated and deacetylated groups in the modified starch nanoparticles [49]. For the third stage, the higher TPS content leads to a low intensity of degradation rate due to two decomposition taking place at the same temperature range, decomposition of PE and decomposition of TPS/AV. Tomy, [24] mentioned that more TPS creates greater glycerol-flour interaction with the presence of AV. Thus, it suggested that the degradation rate was lower, and intensity increased.
peak obtained for AV, TPS, and PE which the melting and PE/TPS/AV film at a different formulation

Fig. 11: DSC curve for cooling and second heating for PE film, TPS/AV film, and PE/TPS/AV film at a different formulation

4) \textbf{DSC:} DSC curves for the 1st heating process were shown in Fig. 10, representing the thermal properties of AV, TPS, PE, and TPS/AV. It shows that only one endothermic peak obtained for AV, TPS, and PE which the melting temperature (T_m) value was 125, 167, and 107 °C.

| Films | T_m | ΔH_m | T_c | ΔH_c | X_c |
|-------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|
| PE    | 105.18 | 69.86 | 90.32 | 65.89 | 23.84 |
| TPS/AV | 105.05 | 14.18 | 89.63 | 13.14 | 4.84 |
| 21PE/9TPS/1.98AV | 103.87 | 53.1 | 90.34 | 49.88 | 18.12 |
| 21PE/9TPS/0.6AV | 103.89 | 38.99 | 90.65 | 50.74 | 13.31 |
| 21PE/18TPS/0.6AV | 104.93 | 54.64 | 89.89 | 38.67 | 18.65 |
| 21PE/18TPS/1.98AV | 104.65 | 36.92 | 92.15 | 35.81 | 12.60 |

In contrast, two endothermic peaks appeared for the combination of TPS/AV for T_m1, 105.18 °C, and T_m2, 159.01 °C, both shifted to lower value from 125 °C (T_m, AV) and 167 °C (T_m, TPS). The previous study found T_m for commercial AV was 50 – 75 °C depending on the phase and the active content inside AV gel [50], 167.51 °C, T_m for TPS [51], and 108 °C, T_m for PE [52]. Fig. 11 shows the DSC cooling and heating curve for PE film, TPS/AV film and PE/TPS/AV films. It presents one endothermic peak and one exothermic peak for all films. Table 5 listed the parameters obtained from the DSC cooling and heating curve, as shown in Fig.11.

From Table 5, the highest T_m obtained by PE and combination of TPS/AV produced almost the same T_m as PE because of the presence of the C-H group, as explained in section FTIR. The T_m of PE reduced with the addition of TPS/AV because the PE relaxation decreased where this blending caused steric hindrance that prevents PE movement [14]. The hydrophobic character in TPS/AV inhibited PE relaxation. Hammache [4] mentioned that water and glycerol in TPS with low solubility caused a reduction in T_m of PE. Allessandra [53] stated that T_m lowered was due to a reduction in molar mass due to either decrement of crystalline size and/or impurity in the sample.

Incorporating AV into TPS produced a semicrystalline structure. The presence of crystallization temperature (T_c) in TPS/AV supports the FTIR findings showing some crystalline peak (C-H functional group) presence. The T_c obtained for PE was 90.32 °C. The T_c of PE that consists of TPS/AV shows insignificant changes, but the ΔHc changed drastically with TPS/AV. Lower TPS gave higher ΔHc (more energy released) than higher TPS, and higher AV at constant TPS gave a lower ΔHc (less energy released). Similar results were found by others in which an increasing amount of TPS reduced the ΔHc.

The ΔHc represents the crystal's growth in the polymer, which shows that PE has the highest crystal growth and is proved by the value of the degree of crystallinity (X_c). The X_c was reduced in PE/TPS/AV because TPS/AV dispersion in the PE matrix had disturbed the film's structure. TPS/AV shows the lowest X_c because of the small value of enthalpy of fusion. The small ΔHc suggests that the C-H chain structure developed by TPS/AV was not as long as the C-H chain presence in PE. The PE chain structure has been disturbed by TPS/AV and reduced the ΔHm and X_c. The hydrophilic phase of TPS/AV hindered starch recrystallization, thus giving a lower amount of X_c. Allessandra [53] mentioned that more linear structures in synthetic polymers destroyed and affected
the size of synthetic polymer crystal structure limited by amylopectin. Thus, it suggested a reduction in $\Delta H_m$. Similar conditions were obtained with the addition of starch into linear low-density polyethylene (LLDPE) [14].

IV. CONCLUSION

The findings presented in this paper suggested that AV has potential criteria that can create a crosslinking between TPS (starch molecules) that contributed to forming a more substantial functional group that represents PE’s primary FTIR spectrum. Thus, it contributed to the high thermal degradation of TPS with AV inside the film. At the same time, the thermal properties, especially the enthalpy of crystallization, increased due to AV-caused PE peaks obtained in TPS. Then, it improved the crystallinity percentage. However, at the presented PE/TPS/AV, the optimum formula obtained was 18.91PE/16.54TPS/0.84AV and PE become significant for the film. So, a new range should be applied to determine better changes on PE film so that a small percentage of PE with a high percentage of TPS can be combined to obtain better degradation PE/TPS ratio.
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