Pyrocarbon Arthroplasty: An Innovative Alternative for Advanced Post-Traumatic Hamatometacarpal Arthritis
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Abstract

Background: Post-traumatic arthritis of the hamatometacarpal complex (HMC) is a very rare disease and it occurs as an early secondary effect to undiagnosed or non-well treated fractures or fracture/dislocations of the 5th metacarpal basis. It frequently occurs to young patients and manual workers requiring an important strength and hand mobiliy. Treatment is not consensual and ranges from medical management to intermetacarpal arthrodesis. We propose an alternative technique: a pyrocarbon arthroplasty.

Hypothesis: The main objective was to assess the clinical and radio graphical results of the pyrocarbon arthroplasty in the case of post-traumatic arthritis of the hamatometacarpal (HM) joint at a mean follow up of 20 months.

Methods: Between 2014 and 2016, we reported the case of 4 patients suffering from a post-traumatic HM arthritis. The sample include 4 men, the average age was 41 years old (35 – 51). The volar approach procedure was performed, then a 2mm resection of the hamate and the 5th metacarpal basis was performed, implant sizes (STPI Tornier, initially used for scaphotrapeziotrapezoid arthritis) were tried before setting up the definitive component. During the last follow-up, we assessed the pain (VAS pain score), DASH score, average grip strength on both hands patients satisfaction, and return to work time. On AP X-rays and stress views, we were looking for luxations, subluxations or osteoarthritis signs.

Results: With a mean follow-up of 20 months, the average VAS pain score was 2 (0-6), the average DASH was 8, 75 (0 – 15 and the average grip strength was 69, 75% (55 – 96) compared to the contralateral side. All patients declared to be satisfied or very satisfied by the surgical procedure and the average return-to-work time was 2 months. All patients were able to go back to their work without any professional reclassification. No patients declared to have had postoperative complications. Radiological examinations displayed a good position of the implant and no further evolution of carp metacarpal arthritis.

Conclusion: Pyrocarbon implant in case of hamatometacarpal arthritis is an easy and safe procedure which offered promising results concerning satisfaction, functionality and hand strength in young and manual workers patients. The sample is small and previous studies about surgical solution for this disease are too heterogeneous to compare the different procedures. Preliminary results are satisfying. It could be an option to consider for patients with an advanced disease of this joint.

Level of evidence: III, retrospective study
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1. INTRODUCTION

Hamatometacarpal fractures dislocations (HMFD) are rare disorders that affect 1% of hand trauma and are largely under-diagnosed [1] [2] [3]. Cain and AI have proposed a classification according to the severity and then describe therapeutic principles ranging from simple reduction by external maneuvers to open surgical reduction associated with osteosynthesis. Sequelae lead to a loss of axial length, a decrease in the clamping force and result in painful carpo-metacarpal osteoarthritis [4] [5]. Even operated Papaloizos found 40% hamato-metacarpal arthritis in a retrospective series at 3 years [6]. Historically, these sequential lesions were surgically treated by HM arthrodesis.
Recently Dubert [7-9] popularized a procedure involving arthroplastic resection of the 5th metacarpal (M5) base and inter-metacarpal fusion of the bases of M4 and M5 restoring certainly the length of the 4th and 5th metacarpal but decreasing the rank of motion the fifth ray [8] [7]. The "scaphoid trapezium pyrocarbon implant" type pyrocarbon implant (STPI) was initially developed to treat scapho-trapezo-trapezoidal arthritis [10] [11] [12] (Fig 1). It benefits of a Young's modulus close to the bone without adverse reaction of the neighboring tissues. This implant has a button shape with a concave face and another convex one that can reproduce the anatomy of the hamatometacarpal (HM) joint. We propose a therapeutic alternative to the Dubert technique in post-traumatic arthritis of the HM by hydrocarbon implant arthroplasty in order to restore both length and mobility as close as possible to anatomical conditions [13]. We want to describe this innovative technique and evaluate the early clinical and radiological results.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Participants

Between 2014 and 2016, we report four cases with severe HM arthritis M5 following a HMFD. The written consent of the patients was collected prior to the study. The patients were four men, all right-handed. The initial lesioned mechanism was in all cases a direct trauma in the axis of the V-ray closed fist against a solid surface causing an articular fracture of the base of the fifth metacarpal (4 cases), associated with a fracture of the articular base of the IV metacarpal (2 cases) and a carp metacarpal dislocation of the 5th ray (1 case) [14] [15] [16] [17] [18]. All patients were initially treated by emergency services in our area by immobilization in intrinsic position and associated with a closed reduction in 1 case. The surgical indication was considered in the presence of M5 base osteoarthritis, a high functional demand of the patient and six months of medical treatment including anti-inflammatory, analgesics, a nocturnal wrist orthosis and physiotherapy sessions.

2.2. Surgical Technique

Surgery was performed in outpatient surgery under loco-regional anesthesia and pneumatic tourniquet by the same operator, a senior surgeon. The primary approach was an S-shaped longitudinal dorsal approach centered on the HM joint of the 5th ray. The ulnar dorsal cutaneous sensory branch was identified, and the extensor tendons of the fifth ray were retracted. A transverse dorsal capsular incision of the hamatometacarpal joint was performed. Resection of the injured articular surfaces of the hamate and M5 base was performed using an oscillating saw on 2mm on the metacarpal side and 2mm on the hamate. The implant test was performed (Fig 1).

![Intra-operative view of the implant](image)

After testing in flexion, extension, ulnar and forced radial inclination, the implant was put in place, the capsular plane was closed after washing and then a subcutaneous redon was left for a few hours. A flexible wrist orthosis was put in place for one month [19]. The patients were seen after one month with X-rays. The splint was removed and rehabilitation was started with self-rehabilitation instructions.
2.3. Evaluation

The clinical criteria included pain assessed on a visual analogue scale (VAS), the French version of the Disabilities of the Arm score, Shoulder and Hand (DASH) [20], the recovery time and the type of professional activity; clamping force to Jamar's dynamometer with respect to the opposite side [21]. Hypoesthesia of the ulnar area was assessed by a monofilament, as well as a subjective episode of instability. Patient satisfaction was appreciated by asking them how they rated the function of their hand: much better improved (very satisfied); better (satisfied); identical; worse (unhappy). Preoperative and postoperative radiological analysis as well as the last follow-up included AP X-ray of the full face and profile of the wrist, as well as a tight fist cliché allowing the assessment of osteoarthritis, the study of positioning and dislocation or subluxation of the implant.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Clinical results

The mean follow-up was 20 months. The mean age at the time of surgery was 41 years (35 - 51). The mean delay between initial trauma and arthroplasty was 32.2 months (17 - 51). We summarize the postoperative clinical results in Table 1 (Tab 1).

| Patient | Clinical Outcomes | Radiographic Outcomes |
|---------|------------------|-----------------------|
| Case    | Age (Years) | Work | VAS | Dash-Score | Postoperative Complications | Implant Luxation | Jamar Operated Hand (Kg) | Control Jamar Test (Kg) | Satisfaction (0-5) | Implant Displacement Or Subluxation |
| 1      | 38         | Yes  | 2 Months | 15 | None | None | None | 55 | 4 | No | No |
| 2      | 35         | No   | Unemployed | 0 | 0 | None | None | 49 | 51 | 96 | 5 | No | No |
| 3      | 51         | Yes  | 2 Months | 3 | 7.5 | None | None | 42 | 62 | 67 | 4 | No | No |
| 4      | 39         | Yes  | 2 Months | 6 | 12.5 | None | None | 30 | 50 | 60 | 4 | No | No |

All patients returned to the same professional activity at the end of the sick leave period initially prescribed after surgery (2 months) with the exception of one unemployed patient. Regarding the pain, the average VAS is 2/10 (0-6), the average DASH score is calculated to be 8.75 (0 - 15), all the patients declared themselves satisfied or very satisfied, no hypoesthesia of the ulnar margin was found in the monofilament, and no episode of dislocation or instability was reported by patients. We also know that there was no immediate postoperative complication.

3.2 X-ray results

Preoperative radiographs showed HM osteoarthritis at M5 for 2 patients and hamatometacarpal osteoarthritis at M4 and M5 in 2 cases. Radiological analysis at the last follow-up, showed that the implant was in place compared to the full-face postoperative (Fig2) and profile (Fig3) postoperative radiographs in all patients. There was no hamatometacarpal osteoarthritis. The cliches in closed fist stress (Fig 4) did not find dislocation or subluxation of the implant.
4. DISCUSSION

The objective of the treatment of post-traumatic HM osteoarthritis is on the one hand to reduce the pain phenomena, to recover a clamping force and to restore an anatomy closest to the normal. We were able to show that the STPI implant meets these three objectives and that the clinical and radiological results on the medium-term are good; unlike HM arthrodesis, an effective technique to reduce painful phenomena, but that does not allow to recover the curve of the hand and the original mobility of the V ray, essential elements to the "strength hand" and the "sharpness hand", and this is due to the decrease in the length of M5 and the mobility of the HM joint. Yang, Bain and Meraghni's studies of Dubert's intervention appeared to provide good clinical results and restore the length of M5, but give M5 the 4th ray mobility segment [7, 9, and 22]. In addition, the role of "spacer" of the STPI implant allowed on the one hand restoring the height and on the other hand the absence of fixation associated with the interposition to restore the hamatometacarpal original mobility. In 1991, Gainor [23] proposed to perform an « anchovy » tendon interposition "anchovy" to restore the height and mobility on the short term, but this intervention required the sacrifice of the long palmar, and we do not know the long-term survival of the tendon in the joint.

One of the limiting points of this work is the small number of patients included as well as its retrospective nature. Although none of the published series has a large cohort, those described with the Dubert procedure by Bain and Meraghni respectively include five and six patients [7] [9]. On the other hand, in the case of associated carpometacarpal arthritis of the 4th ray, it is possible to imagine that the results would be worse than the existing osteoarthritis. It would be interesting to carry out a study involving a larger number of patients, and to be able to distinguish between patients with and without associated involvement of the fourth CMC joint for whom the Dubert procedure is not recommended [7]. It is also noted that the two patients with the most pain also had arthritic sequelae in the 4th CMC. Moreover, it is difficult to compare the results with those of the Dubert series on series of this size, but the results tend to be comparable. Thus, this intervention is a useful and simple alternative in the therapeutic arsenal, which does not compromise a more invasive gesture.

5. CONCLUSION

HM stent arthroplasty STPI is a reliable, simple and reproducible surgical technique. It can be offered as first-line treatment for painful HM osteoarthritis since it preserves the bone mass and does not prevent subsequent arthrodesis. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
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