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We present momentum resolved single-particle spectra for the three-dimensional Hubbard model for the paramagnetic and antiferromagnetically ordered phase obtained within the dynamical cluster approximation. The effective cluster problem is solved by continuous-time Quantum Monte Carlo simulations. The absence of a time discretization error and the ability to perform Monte Carlo measurements directly in Matsubara frequencies enable us to analytically continue the self-energies by maximum entropy, which is essential to obtain momentum resolved spectral functions for the Néel state. We investigate the dependence on temperature and interaction strength and the effect of magnetic frustration introduced by a next-nearest neighbor hopping. One particular question we address here is the influence of the frustrating interaction on the metal insulator transition of the three-dimensional Hubbard model.
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I. INTRODUCTION

One of the paradigms for correlation effects and competing orders in solid state physics is the Hubbard model.\[H = -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma} - t' \sum_{\langle\langle i,j \rangle\rangle} c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma} + U \sum_i \left( n_{i\uparrow} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( n_{i\downarrow} - \frac{1}{2} \right).\] (1)

The operators \(c_{i\sigma}^\dagger (c_{i\sigma})\) create (annihilate) an electron with spin \(\sigma \in \{\uparrow, \downarrow\}\) at lattice site \(i\), \(n_{i\sigma} = c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{i\sigma}\) is the particle number operator, \(t\) describes the hopping between neighboring sites (denoted by \(\langle i,j \rangle\)), \(t'\) the hopping between next-nearest neighbors (denoted by \(\langle\langle i,j \rangle\rangle\)) and \(U\) implements the local Coulomb repulsion.

The Hubbard model – despite its simple structure – can only be solved exactly in one-\footnote{Luttinger liquid} and infinite-dimensional systems. There are several expectations one can deduce from general energetic arguments and in particular from the connection between the Hubbard model and the Heisenberg hamiltonian in the limit of strong interaction strength \(t/U \to 0\). For a three-dimensional simple-cubic lattice and \(t' = 0\), the Hubbard model at half filling shows antiferromagnetic order at finite temperature for any value \(U > 0\). The doubling of the unit cell causes this ordered state to be an insulator. With increased next-nearest neighbor hopping this transition is suppressed and a Mott-Hubbard metal-insulator transition (MH-MIT) is expected to appear in the paramagnetic state at some non-zero critical value \(U_c\) of the interaction.

Quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) methods are powerful tools that enable the controlled calculation of properties of large interacting quantum many-particle systems. Examples include spin models and many bosonic systems. However, simulations of fermionic models away from particle-hole symmetry are often severely hampered by the fermionic sign problem. In particular, the identification of ordered phases, which requires a reliable finite size scaling, becomes exceedingly complicated. Independent of the sign problem, the direct investigation of the properties of ordered phases possibly present in the thermodynamic limit is not possible as any QMC simulation is performed on a finite system which cannot exhibit a spontaneously broken symmetry.

Therefore an approximation scheme allowing (i) calculations in the thermodynamic limit while (ii) including dynamical correlations in a controlled way is highly desirable. The dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT) and its cluster extensions are such theories. The DMFT maps the lattice problem onto an effective single-site impurity model, at the cost of neglecting non-local many-body correlation effects. In many cases, the sign problem of the resulting impurity model is either absent or manageable. However, these non-local correlation effects are often crucial for the interplay between Fermi liquid and more exotic states of matter. Cluster mean-field theories are extensions of the DMFT to finite clusters, re-introducing non-local (short-ranged) correlations in a systematic manner but at the same time increasing the complexity of a simulation. In the limit of infinite cluster size they become exact. The controlled extrapolation of cluster results to the thermodynamic limit is often feasible in practice.

DMFT has proven to be a very powerful tool to study the fundamental aspects of the MH-MIT. Within the DMFT, however, the MH-MIT is completely hidden inside an antiferromagnetic phase, which is insulating by symmetry. Introducing magnetic frustration, e.g. by a non-zero \(t'\), tends to suppress the magnetic order and shift the critical interaction strength \(U_c\) towards lower values. Thus the MH-MIT eventually emerges from the
antiferromagnetic phase for large values of $t^{13}$. In low-dimensional systems (single site) DMFT is in general not a good approximation. In particular, for the one-dimensional Hubbard model non-local correlations are in fact dominant, leading to a complete breakdown of Fermi liquid physics and the formation of a novel low-energy fixed point, the Luttinger liquid. Similarly, in two dimensions a strong influence of spin-fluctuations in the Hubbard model is expected, in particular at and close to half filling. Since the Mermin-Wagner theorem forbids the formation of an ordered state in two dimensions at finite temperature, the existing strong magnetic correlations will lead to correspondingly strong dynamical fluctuations at $T > 0$ and will possibly trigger a similar breakdown of Fermi liquid physics as in the one-dimensional case. Evidence for this behavior has indeed been observed in various numerical simulations.$^{9,15–25}$

The importance of short-ranged correlations in the three-dimensional Hubbard model is less clear and less well studied, and detailed studies of the phase diagram at high temperature have only recently begun to appear.$^{10,26}$ On the one hand, the precise value of the Néel temperature and critical exponents for the transition into the antiferromagnetic state will be directly influenced by the presence of spin fluctuations.$^{22}$ Since the antiferromagnetically ordered phase is an insulator, we expect the antiferromagnetic spin fluctuations in the paramagnetic phase to stabilize the MH-MIT, thus shifting the critical $U_c$ towards lower values. Adding frustration by e.g. next-nearest neighbor hopping $t'$ will further enhance this effect. One may surmise that the MH-MIT will eventually emerge from the antiferromagnetic phase as in DMFT. To our knowledge this has not yet been investigated in detail.

In this work we study the Hubbard model for a three-dimensional cubic lattice using the dynamical cluster approximation (DCA)$^{28,39}$ cluster dynamical mean field algorithm on clusters of size 18. We present momentum resolved single-particle spectra in the paramagnetic and in the antiferromagnetic phase, and investigate the influence of frustration effects caused by a next-nearest neighbor hopping $t'$. We focus our investigation on the interplay of frustration and spin fluctuations in the vicinity of the paramagnetic metal insulator transition.

II. METHOD

We study the Hubbard model in three dimensions within the DCA to include both the short- to medium-ranged antiferromagnetic fluctuations and the possibility of actual long-range antiferromagnetic order. Since the DCA maps the lattice problem onto an effective periodic cluster coupled to a dynamic bath, numerically exact quantum Monte-Carlo (QMC) algorithms are ideally suited to solve this effective model.

Of particular interest in correlated electron systems are dynamical correlation functions such as single-particle spectra. However, QMC provides data only on the imaginary time or frequency axis, and the necessary analytic continuation of these data has proven to be difficult. The standard tool to solve this problem is the maximum entropy method (MEM)$^{30}$.

Previously, the quasi-standard for simulations of fermionic many-particle systems was the Hirsch-Fye algorithm$^{31}$, which uses a discretization of the imaginary time axis. An alternative has evolved in recent years by the development of QMC algorithms in continuous imaginary time$^{32–36}$. The absence of a time discretization error and the possibility of Monte Carlo measurements directly in Matsubara frequencies$^{32}$ enhance the quality of the data significantly$^{35}$ and hence enable us to directly analytically continue self-energies$^{35}$. This avoids the extraction of the self-energies from already continued Green functions by a numerically difficult multidimensional root finding algorithm$^{39}$. In this paper we use an implementation of the CT-INT QMC algorithm initially described by Rubtsov and co-workers$^{32–33}$ which performs a systematic expansion in the interaction term of the Hamiltonian.

For a simple cubic lattice in three dimensions the dispersion including nearest and next-nearest neighbor hopping reads

$$\epsilon_k = -2t \sum_{i=1}^{3} \cos(k_i) - 4t' \left[ \cos(k_1) \cos(k_2) + \cos(k_2) \cos(k_3) + \cos(k_1) \cos(k_3) \right], \quad (2)$$

where $k = (k_1, k_2, k_3)$ is an element of the first Brillouin zone of the simple cubic lattice. The full bandwidth

$$W = \begin{cases} 12t & \text{for } |t'| \leq t/4 \\ 8t + 16|t'| & \text{for } |t'| > t/4 \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

of this dispersion is used as energy scale in this paper.

Let us briefly recall the essential aspects of the DCA. The central quantity is the single particle Green function in imaginary time $\tau$, defined by

$$G_{\sigma ij}(\tau) = \langle T c_{\sigma i}(\tau)c_{\sigma j}^{\dagger} \rangle. \quad (4)$$

Here $T$ is the imaginary-time ordering operator, $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes a thermal expectation value and $c_{\sigma i}(\tau) = e^{-\beta \tau} c_{\sigma i} e^{\beta \tau}$. The spatial and temporal Fourier transform of the Green function is

$$G_{\sigma k}(i\omega_n) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{ij} \exp[i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{R}_i - \mathbf{R}_j)] \times \beta \int_0^\beta \exp(i\omega_n \tau) G_{\sigma ij}(\tau), \quad (5)$$

where $\mathbf{k}$ is located in the first Brillouin zone and $\omega_n = (2n + 1)\pi/\beta$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\beta = 1/k_B T$ denotes the fermionic Matsubara frequencies. $T$ is the temperature.
The dynamical cluster approximation illustrated for a 8-site cluster in two dimensions. In real space (a) the origin of a cluster is labeled by \( \tilde{r} \). Each site of the cluster is identified by \( \textbf{R} \). A Fourier transformation maps the coordinate \( \textbf{r} = \tilde{r} + \textbf{R} \) of each lattice site to a vector \( \textbf{k} \) in the first Brillouin zone (b). The cluster momentum \( \textbf{K} \) now identifies the center of a cell in momentum space. All points inside this patch can be reached by \( \tilde{\textbf{k}} \). The DCA integrates out \( \tilde{\textbf{k}} \) and thus replaces the full \( \textbf{k} \)-dependence of the lattice by the cells labeled by \( \textbf{K} \).

With k_B Boltzmann's constant. With Dyson’s equation, we write the Green function as

\[
G_{\sigma \textbf{k}}(i\omega_n) = \frac{1}{i\omega_n + \mu - \epsilon_{\textbf{k}} - \Sigma_{\sigma \textbf{k}}(i\omega_n)}
\]

thus introducing the single-particle self energy \( \Sigma_{\sigma \textbf{k}}(i\omega_n) \) which contains all many-body correlations effects in the system and, in general, is a function of both momentum \( \textbf{k} \) and energy \( \omega_n \).

Within DCA, the full lattice model is approximated by a finite cluster of size \( N \) embedded in a mean field. We tile the first Brillouin zone into \( N \) non-overlapping cells, each represented by its central momentum \( \textbf{K} \) (see Fig.1b for an example). The full \( \textbf{k} \)-dependence of the model is approximated by the discrete set of \( N \) cluster momenta \( \textbf{K} \) by setting \( \Sigma_{\sigma \textbf{k}}(i\omega_n) \approx \Sigma_{\sigma \textbf{K}}(i\omega_n) \). Averaging over the volume \( V \) of the cell corresponding to cluster momentum \( \textbf{K} \) one obtains the quantity

\[
\bar{G}_{\sigma \textbf{K}}(i\omega_n) = \frac{1}{V} \int d\textbf{k} \frac{1}{i\omega_n + \mu - \epsilon_{\textbf{k}} - \Sigma_{\sigma \textbf{k}}(i\omega_n)}
\]

which defines an effective non-interacting cluster via

\[
\bar{G}_{\sigma \textbf{K}}(i\omega_n)^{-1} = \bar{G}_{\sigma \textbf{K}}(i\omega_n)^{-1} + \Sigma_{\sigma \textbf{K}}(i\omega_n)
\]

With this set of quantities, a suitable method to solve the effective cluster defined by \( \bar{G}_{\sigma \textbf{K}}(i\omega_n) \) and the interaction \( U \), one can determine the self-energy \( \Sigma_{\sigma \textbf{K}}(i\omega_n) \) iteratively as depicted in Fig.2.

From the QMC algorithm used to solve the effective cluster, we obtain the cluster Green function \( \bar{G}_{\sigma \textbf{K}}(i\omega_n) \). Usually one then uses the maximum entropy method to analytically continue this quantity to the real axis. In order to be able to reverse the coarse-graining, i.e., calculate \( G_{\sigma \textbf{k}}(\omega + i0^+) \) for all \( \textbf{k} \) from the first Brillouin zone, one needs access to the self-energy \( \Sigma_{\sigma \textbf{K}}(\omega + i0^+) \), which needs to be obtained by numerical inversion of Eq. 7. While this is feasible in the paramagnetic phase, the matrix structure appearing in the antiferromagnetically ordered phase (see section IV) renders this approach impractical.

We follow here an alternative route and analytically continue the self-energy instead, which is related to the cluster Green function by

\[
\Sigma_{\sigma \textbf{K}}(i\omega_n) = \bar{G}_{\sigma \textbf{K}}(i\omega_n)^{-1} - G_{\sigma \textbf{K}}(i\omega_n)^{-1},
\]

i.e., an inversion of \( G_{\sigma \textbf{K}}(i\omega_n) \). This inverse is calculated directly from the Monte Carlo bins using a jackknife procedure and therefore incorporates a full error propagation of the covariance matrix. The bare Green’s function \( \bar{G}_{\sigma \textbf{K}}(i\omega_n) \) is viewed here as an input parameter and error propagation of errors contained in our estimate of it, which would require error propagation over subsequent iterations, is not considered here, such that all errors in \( \bar{G}_{\sigma \textbf{K}}(i\omega_n) \) are neglected.

The analytic continuation of the self-energy from imaginary to real frequencies is then performed by the maximum entropy method using a standard implementation of the algorithm following. To accurately continue self-energies with MEM, their high frequency behavior has to be known. To this end we perform a high-
frequency expansion of the self-energy
\[
\Sigma_{\sigma K}(i\omega_n) = \Sigma^0_{\sigma} + \frac{\Sigma^1_{\sigma}}{i\omega_n} + O((i\omega_n)^{-2}) , \tag{10}
\]
where the coefficients are given by (see Appendix)
\[
\Sigma^0_{\sigma} = U \left( \langle n_{-\sigma} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \right) \tag{11}
\]
and
\[
\Sigma^1_{\sigma} = U^2 \langle n_{-\sigma} \rangle \left( 1 - \langle n_{-\sigma} \rangle \right) . \tag{12}
\]
We now define the quantity
\[
\Sigma'_{\sigma K}(i\omega_n) := \frac{\Sigma_{\sigma K}(i\omega_n) - \Sigma^0_{\sigma}}{\Sigma^1_{\sigma}} . \tag{13}
\]
Since the average number density \( \langle n_{-\sigma} \rangle \) is a Monte Carlo measurement, we estimate \( \Sigma'_{\sigma K}(i\omega_n) \) and its covariance matrix by a jackknife procedure. The rescaled self-energy \( \Sigma'_{\sigma K}(i\omega_n) \) as function of Matsubara frequencies is related to the imaginary part \( \text{Im} \Sigma'_{\sigma K}(\omega + i0^+) \) on the real frequency axis through the Hilbert transform
\[
\Sigma'_{\sigma K}(i\omega_n) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\text{Im} \Sigma'_{\sigma K}(\omega')}{i\omega_n - \omega'} d\omega' . \tag{14}
\]
By virtue of the rescaling Eq. 13 we furthermore have
\[
-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \text{Im} \Sigma'_{\sigma K}(\omega) = 1 , \tag{15}
\]
i.e., the spectral function \(-\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \Sigma'_{\sigma K}(\omega)\) is non-negative, normalized to one, and can thus be calculated by the MEM from the data on the imaginary axis. The real part of the self-energy then follows from the Kramers-Kronig relation
\[
\text{Re} \Sigma_{\sigma K}(\omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{P} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega' \frac{\text{Im} \Sigma'_{\sigma K}(\omega')}{\omega - \omega'} + \Sigma^0_{\sigma} , \tag{16}
\]
where \( \text{P} \int \) denotes a principal value integral. An example for a full self-energy on the real-frequency axis is shown in Fig. 3b. An interpolation of the coarse-grained self-energies yields the self-energy \( \Sigma_{\sigma k}(\omega) \) for all momenta \( k \) of the Brillouin zone. We use a three-dimensional interpolation based on Akima splines\(^2\) which provide a smooth interpolation along the momentum points while avoiding spurious oscillations. Finally, the single-particle spectral function \( A_{\sigma k}(\omega) \) is calculated using Dyson’s equation:
\[
A_{\sigma k}(\omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \frac{1}{\omega + \mu - \epsilon_k - \Sigma_{\sigma k}(\omega)} . \tag{17}
\]
The quantity \( A_{\sigma k}(\omega) \) could in principle also be calculated by a analytic continuation of the Green function.

**FIG. 3:** (color online) The self-energy on the real-frequency axis in the paramagnetic phase for \( K = \Gamma, U = W, t' = 0 \) and \( T = 0.02 W \) (a). The default model that entered the MEM calculation of the imaginary part is also shown. The real part is obtained from the imaginary part via Eq. 16. Panel (b) shows real and imaginary parts of the interpolated self-energy \( \Sigma_{k}(i\omega) \) for the smallest Matsubara frequency for an 18 site cluster, \( U = 0.67 W \) and \( T = 0.03 W \) at half filling. The self-energy values at momenta between the discrete cluster momenta are obtained from an interpolation using Akima splines\(^2\) in three dimensions. The horizontal straight lines denote DMFT results. The interpolation follows the path along the high symmetry points of the first Brillouin zone depicted in panel (c). For an estimate of finite size effects in (b) see also Ref.\(^{11}\).
at each cluster momentum $K$ followed by an interpolation of the Green function values directly. However, this procedure neglects the exactly known momentum dependence of the dispersion $\epsilon_K$, which causes a significant loss of momentum resolution. We will not consider it any further.

As an example, Fig. 3b shows the interpolated momentum-resolved self-energy for the smallest Matsubara frequency $\omega_0$ (full and dashed lines). The results were obtained for a cluster with 18 $K$ momenta and a Coulomb repulsion $U = 0.67W$ at $T = 0.03W$. The comparison with the corresponding DMFT result (dotted lines) shows that the momentum resolution of the DCA adds significant $k$ dependence to the self-energy. Thus the many-particle renormalizations acquire a significant $k$-dependence in DCA, even for the three-dimensional Hubbard model at comparatively weak coupling $^{10,11}$.

The available computational resources and the quality of data needed for high precision analytic continuation limit us to study clusters of comparatively small size. We limit ourselves to a study of a cluster of size $N = 18$ described by the basis vectors $a_1 = (1, 1, 2)$, $a_2 = (2, 2, -2)$, and $a_3 = (2, -1, -1)$. This cluster is the optimal bipartite cluster of this size following the criteria proposed by Betts et al.\textsuperscript{22}. Since we are primarily interested in identifying trends and basic physical effects we do not perform calculations for larger clusters to obtain a finite size scaling as would have been necessary, e.g., for a precise estimation of the equation of state or the Néel temperature in the thermodynamic limit $^{10,27}$.

III. PROPERTIES OF THE PARAMAGNETIC PHASE

We begin the discussion of our results by presenting spectral functions in the paramagnetic phase of the model, i.e., we manually suppress long range order. This allows us to distinguish dynamical effects coming from fluctuations from effects caused by the (static) symmetry breaking.

A. Metallic phase

Fig. 4 presents single particle dispersions in the paramagnetic phase for $T = 0.02W$, $t' = 0$ and four different Coulomb repulsions $U = 0.67W$, $U = 0.8W$, $U = 0.87W$, and $U = W$. The selected $k$ points follow a path along the high symmetry points of the first Brillouin zone depicted in Fig. 3c. Local single particle spectra are shown on the left of each panel. For small $U = 0.67W$ we observe a clear quasi-particle peak at the Fermi level, both in the DOS and the spectral function. The momentum resolved spectra show that the main contributions to the quasi-particle peak are situated halfway between the...
FIG. 5: (color online) Momentum resolved single-particle spectra for \( T = 0.02 \) \( W \), \( t' = 0 \), and \( U = 0.93 \) \( W \) using a non-dispersive DMFT self-energy (a) and a momentum dependent cluster self-energy (b). The inset highlights a part of the spectrum using an alternative color scheme.

\( \Gamma \) and \( R \) points and the \( X \) and \( M \) points, respectively. Comparison of the peak dispersion in these regions to the non-interacting dispersion (dashed line) reveals a clear flattening at the Fermi energy, i.e., an increased effective mass of the quasi-particles. At higher energies additional structures – the lower and upper Hubbard bands – are visible. They follow the curvature of the bare dispersion but are shifted to higher energies and connected to the quasi-particle band through broad “waterfall”-like pieces reminiscent of structures observed in angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy of cuprates\(^{44}\). For increasing Coulomb repulsion \( U \) the quasi-particle band at the Fermi energy vanishes and is replaced by an insulating gap. At the same time the dispersion of the high-energy structures flattens: the system becomes more localized. Thus for the temperature studied a crossover from a metallic dispersion at \( U = 0.67 \) \( W \) to a Mott insulating phase at \( U = W \) is clearly visible. We will return to the details of the Mott-Hubbard metal-insulator transition in section 1113.

To make the influence of the momentum dependence of the self-energy in the spectra more transparent, Fig. 5 compares an insulating spectrum based on self-energies of the 18 site cluster to the corresponding spectrum based on a momentum-independent DMFT self-energy. While many overall features are similar, there are qualitative differences. For example, a blowup of the details of the spectra close to the \( \Gamma \) point (insets to Fig. 5) shows that a substantial part of the DMFT spectrum around the \( \Gamma \) point is located just above the Fermi energy. This contribution is shifted to higher frequencies in the cluster calculation, and the curvature is reversed, more resembling the structure of the lower Hubbard band but with much less spectral weight. The feature can be interpreted as a precursor of the complete symmetry with respect to the Fermi energy occurring for spectra in the antiferromagnetically ordered phase (see section \( \text{IV} \)). Thus, we attribute these pale reflections of the lower Hubbard band to the so-called shadow bands\(^{45}\) arising due to antiferromagnetic fluctuations not contained in the DMFT simulation.

Next we examine the influence of a next-nearest neighbor hopping \( t' \). In quantum Monte Carlo calculations, a non-zero value of \( t' \) introduces a fermionic sign problem even at half filling that may lead to a significantly larger computational cost. However, for the temperatures, Coulomb repulsions and cluster size studied here the average sign is always greater than 0.94 and thus affects the efficiency of the simulations only marginally.

Fig. 6 shows results of calculations for \( U = 0.67 \) \( W \) and different values for \( t' \). The particle-hole symmetric spectrum of Fig. 4h becomes more and more asymmetric with increasing \( t' \). We attribute most of these changes to the changes in the bare dispersion \( \varepsilon_k \). However, while for small to moderate \( t' \) the quasi-particle properties do not seem to change much, one observes a significant reduction in the spectral weight at the Fermi energy for larger \( t' \), resulting in a reduction of the quasiparticle peak in the analytically continued spectra. For example, at \( t' = -t \) the integrated weight of the quasi-particle peak is reduced by 50\% compared to the value for \( t' = 0 \) in Fig. 4h. These observations indicate a reduction of the quasi-particle mass with increasing \( t' \), in accordance with previous DMFT findings\(^{13}\).

Frustration effects on the insulating spectrum Fig. 4h \((U = W)\) are illustrated in Fig. 6i. As for the metallic spectrum, the features present in Fig. 4h for \( t' = 0 \) initially change only weakly and in particular the “shadow” structures seem to be present, albeit with reduced weight. For strong frustration Hubbard bands become dominant. These Hubbard bands have a rather well-defined structure and dispersion reminiscent of the bare dispersion. Furthermore, a peak develops just below the Fermi energy, which becomes more pronounced with increasing \( t' \) and appears to have only small momentum dependence.

B. Mott-Hubbard Metal-Insulator Transition

One of the interesting properties of the Hubbard model is the formation of a correlation driven metal-insulator
FIG. 6: (color online) Single-particle spectra for $U = 0.67W$, $T = 0.02W$ and non-zero $t'$.

FIG. 7: (color online) Single-particle spectra for $U = W$, $T = 0.02W$ and non-zero $t'$. 
transition in the paramagnetic phase, the so-called Mott-Hubbard metal-insulator transition (MH-MIT). Different from the conventional band or Slater insulators for even electron number, where the insulating behavior is due to a completely filled band, the MH-MIT occurs in a partially filled band, which within a simple single-particle picture would thus be conducting. Such a transition is believed to frequently be present in transition metal oxides, and has been discussed both from an experimental and theoretical point of view over the past 50 years. Note that it is also different from the insulating state originating from an antiferromagnetic order; the latter implies a broken translational invariance and can hence be purely explained by band structure effects (see also section IV).

On a simple cubic lattice with only nearest-neighbor hopping the MH-MIT of the Hubbard model at half filling is completely covered by the antiferromagnetic phase. Nevertheless, one may study it within a generalized mean-field theory by suppressing long-range antiferromagnetic order in the system. This is accomplished by enforcing translational symmetry on the bath and thereby preventing any symmetry-breaking. One of the clearest signs, numerically, for identifying the MH-MIT is given by the value of the DOS at the Fermi energy: A non-zero value at \( T = 0 \) is indicative of a metal, a zero value of an insulator. Identifying the MIT at \( T > 0 \) without a detailed analysis of the temperature dependence is more subtle, but again the DOS at the Fermi level can serve as “order parameter”: Away from the MH-MIT the DOS varies smoothly as function of temperature. When approaching the MH-MIT the DOS will show a jump below a critical endpoint. Furthermore, the transition is of first order with a nice hysteresis in the critical region. This behavior has been confirmed for two-dimensional systems within cluster DMFT on small clusters and larger clusters. Note that we do not discuss the approach to the MH-MIT as function of doping. The behavior of the system at this transition is very different, and has been addressed by a number of groups both in DMFT and cluster variants on a wide range of systems.

We estimate the density of states at the Fermi level from the low-frequency behavior of our Matsubara Green’s function \( G_{\text{loc}}(i\omega_n) \) that is available as direct Monte Carlo measurement and does not require analytic continuation. This approach is based on the relation

\[
\beta G_K \left( \frac{\beta}{2} \right) = -\beta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \frac{\mathcal{A}_K(\omega)}{\cosh \frac{\beta \omega}{2}} \rightarrow -\pi \mathcal{A}_K(0) \tag{18}
\]

for the long-time behavior of the imaginary time Green’s function and the fact that the long-time behavior translates into the low-energy behavior under the Fourier transform.

Fig. 8a shows the imaginary part of \( G_{\text{loc}}(i\omega_n) := G_{\text{loc}}(i\omega_n) \) for \( t' = 0 \) and \( T = 0.01 \) W obtained within the DCA for a cluster size of 18. The jump from an insulating Green function at \( U = 0.80 \) W to a metallic solution at \( U = 0.766 \) W clearly shows the location of the MH-MIT at this temperature. For \( T = 0.015 \) W we could only detect a crossover from insulating to metallic behavior (see Fig. 8b). This indicates that the critical endpoint of the MH-MIT transition line is located between \( T = 0.01 \) W and \( T = 0.015 \) W, substantially below the Neél temperature at this interaction strength (\( T_N = 0.030(3) \) W at \( U = 0.8 \) W).

Another observable that shows a clear signal of the MH-MIT is the effective mass, defined as

\[
m^*_m = 1 - \left. \frac{\partial \text{Re} \Sigma_k(\omega)}{\partial \omega} \right|_{\omega=0} \tag{19}
\]

where \( m \) denotes the bare carrier mass. The effective mass at non-zero (but sufficiently low) temperature may be estimated from the QMC without resorting to analytical continuations as

\[
m_k^* \approx 1 - \frac{\text{Im} \Sigma_k(i\omega_0)}{\omega_0} \tag{20}
\]

where \( \omega_0 \) is the lowest Matsubara frequency (see e.g.
The estimate for the effective mass obtained that way is shown in Fig. 9(a) for two different values \( U = 0.73 \) and \( U = 0.8 \). We do not show the result for \( m_K^* \) from the interpolated data, as the division by \( \omega_0 \) with \( \omega_0/W \ll 1 \) also strongly enhances spurious artificial oscillations due to interpolation, but rather present the masses \( m_K^* \) for each of the 18 cluster momenta as function of their mean distance to the non-interacting Fermi surface for \( t' = 0 \). The mean distance is calculated by averaging the distance to the Fermi surface of all points inside the cluster cell around \( K \). Due to symmetry, some cluster momenta are equivalent and thus we obtain only five different effective masses. Fig. 9b depicts one representative cluster momentum for each one of these five equivalence classes.

For both values of \( t' \) the \( K \)-dependence of \( m_K^* \) for \( U \) deep inside the metallic phase is rather weak, although nevertheless visible. The insulating phase, in contrast, shows a dramatic increase of the effective mass for the cluster momenta near the Fermi surface. For example, point 1 with the strongest enhancement is situated on the Fermi surface of the non-interacting system. Thus, the natural interpretation is that MH-MIT appears first for \( k \)-points at or close to the Fermi surface. Points far away from the Fermi surface, on the other hand, like points 4 and 5 (5 for example corresponding to \( \Gamma \) respectively \( R \)), experience only weak renormalizations. The interpretation is further supported by the influence of non-zero \( t' \), which moderately modifies the mass due to changing distances of the cluster \( K \) points from the Fermi surface and a different critical \( U_c \), but otherwise shows a similar behavior. We however do not observe a significant variation of \( m_K^* \) across the Fermi surface. The difference between points 1 and 2 in Fig. 9 can be explained by the large distance of the cluster center from the Fermi surface.

Note that this behavior of the three-dimensional model is different from the two-dimensional Hubbard model, where the Mott transition within DCA has been investigated in some detail. In this case, the so-called “momentum selective Mott transition” has been observed, where different parts of the Fermi surface undergo a metal insulator transition for different interaction strengths. To conclusively exclude the possibility of a momentum selective MH-MIT in three dimensions, larger clusters with a finer grid of \( K \) points on different parts of the Fermi surface would be needed.

In the following we focus on cluster momentum 1, which is situated directly on the Fermi surface, midway between \( \Gamma \) and \( M \). Since this point exhibits the strongest mass enhancement in the insulating phase, it is an ideal candidate to study the MH-MIT. The effective mass of this \( K \) point is plotted in Fig. 10 as a function of \( U \).

At \( U_c = 0.766 \) both an insulating and a metallic solution can be stabilized, depending on the initial Green function used to start the DCA self-consistency. This behavior indicates a coexistence region in this regime of interaction parameters and tells us that the qualitative physical properties of the paramagnetic MH-MIT do not change at least qualitatively for a true 3D system. The figure also shows the corresponding curves for next-nearest neighbor hopping parameters \( t'' = -0.1 t \) and \( t'' = -0.2 t \). Here the coexistence region has vanished at the temperature for which the simulations were done, while the relatively smooth shape of the curve indicates that one is still observing a crossover and not yet a sharp phase transition as in the case of \( t' = 0 \). This is again in accordance with previous DMFT calculations, where a reduction of the critical temperature and also critical value of \( U \) was observed with increasing \( t'' \). It is however different from the interaction transition in the two-dimensional Hubbard model, where increasing \( |t'| \) lead to an increase of the critical interaction strength.
It would be highly desirable to perform simulations at lower temperatures for non-zero \(t'\), but as the computational effort necessary increases dramatically with decreasing temperature, we were not yet able to do these simulations for the time being.

Previous studies of the interaction driven MH-MIT at non-zero temperature were largely performed on a Bethe lattice in the limit of infinite dimension within the DMFT approximation\(^\text{28,59}\), respectively for a two-dimensional Hubbard model using the correlator projection method\(^\text{17,19}\) or cluster DMFT\(^\text{16,18,20–25}\). While the general features of the MH-MIT appear to be rather insensitive to the actual non-interacting band structure, the details like critical values for temperature and Coulomb interactions vary strongly with details of the model as well as the approximations involved in the computation and finite size effects.

In order to compare values for lattices with different noninteracting density of states \(\rho(\omega)\), Bulla\(^\text{60}\) suggested to use the second moment of the DOS

\[
W_{\text{eff}} = 4 \int_{-W/2}^{W/2} d\omega \omega^2 \rho(\omega), \tag{21}
\]

as characteristic energy scale instead of the bandwidth \(W\). From Eq. 21 one obtains \(W_{\text{eff}} = W\) for the Bethe lattice and \(W_{\text{eff}} \approx 0.816 W\) for the simple cubic lattice, and a rather good agreement of critical values when relating them to \(W_{\text{e}}\)\(^\text{60,61}\). Our result \(U_c = 0.77(3) W\) for the coexistence region then translates to \(U_c = 0.94(3) W_{\text{eff}}\) at \(T = 0.012 W_{\text{eff}}\). For a conventional DMFT calculation, refs.\(^\text{28}\) and\(^\text{59}\) located the coexistence region for this temperature around \(U_c = 1.18(2) W_{\text{eff}}\). This indicates that for a true three-dimensional system the critical values of the MH-MIT will be renormalized, and in particular the critical \(U_c\) will be shifted to lower values. We attribute these renormalizations to the short-ranged antiferromagnetic fluctuations present in the DCA. They will have the tendency to suppress the formation of quasi-particles and will thus cause the transition to shift to smaller Coulomb repulsions \(U\). A detailed investigation of the location of the transition in the thermodynamic limit would require the study of a range of cluster sizes and a careful finite size analysis along the lines of\(^\text{10,11,29,62}\).

**IV. ANTI-FERROMAGNETIC PHASE**

As previously mentioned the thermodynamically stable low-temperature phase of the Hubbard model at half filling is, for \(t' = 0\), antiferromagnetic. This phase completely covers the MH-MIT\(^\text{13,17}\). Conventionally, the onset of antiferromagnetic long-ranged order is indicated by a divergence of the staggered susceptibility upon cooling from the paramagnetic state at high temperature\(^\text{65}\). Complementary to a paramagnetic simulation and analysis of the susceptibility, the symmetry-broken phase may be simulated directly. While this scheme is less accurate at determining the location of phase boundaries, it can address the properties within the ordered phase and thus is relevant for comparison to experiments within that phase. Furthermore, it often is desirable to investigate the direct change of quantities in the presence of competing phases or orders. For these reasons we present in this paper results obtained in the antiferromagnetically ordered state. We will show that within the same framework, with minor modifications, we can also obtain high-quality spectra from QMC data in a phase with non-trivial broken symmetry.

The antiferromagnetic order breaks the translational symmetry of the lattice, leading to a doubling of the unit cell. This implies that the first Brillouin zone, corresponding, halves its size. The resulting magnetic Brillouin zone (MBZ) is shown in Fig. 11.

In order to explicitly break the full translational symmetry alongside with the SU(2) symmetry, we add a staggered magnetic field \(h_i = h_0 \exp(iQ \cdot r_i)\) with \(Q = (\pi, \pi, \pi)\) to
the Hamiltonian Eq. [1] as

$$H_h = H + \sum_i h_i m_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)$$

where \(m_i = n_{i,\uparrow} - n_{i,\downarrow}\) is the spin polarization at lattice site \(i\). In principle this allows the study of properties as function of this staggered field. However, because such a field is of little experimental relevance, one is conventionally only interested in the limit \(h_0 \to 0\). If in this limit a non-zero polarization remains, we have found a state with spontaneous symmetry breaking.

In the actual simulation we add a small field and explicitly break the symmetry (in our case we chose \(h_0 = 0.01\)) in the initialization of our iteration process. The field is switched off after the first few iterations and the system is allowed to evolve freely. Eventually, the process converges either to a solution with vanishing staggered magnetization \(M_i(T) \propto \langle m_i \rangle = e^{iQ \cdot r_i} m_s(T) = 0\), indicating a parameter regime where the thermodynamically stable state is paramagnetic, or else have \(M_i \neq 0\) and thus an antiferromagnetically ordered state.

In order to be able to include such a field in our simulations, we have to ensure that the cluster we use has the proper translational symmetry with respect to a double translation, \(
\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
\). Thus an antiferromagnetically ordered state.

For the following we will adopt the notation

$$G_{\sigma K', K} (i\omega_n) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{ij} \exp \left( i (K_1 \cdot R_i - K_2 \cdot R_j) \right) G_{\sigma ij} (i\omega_n)$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)$$

as extension of Eq. [5] for Green functions with momenta \(K_1 \neq K_2\). With this notation, the Green function in the antiferromagnetic phase can be represented by the \(2 \times 2\) matrix

$$G_{\sigma K'} (i\omega_n) := \begin{pmatrix} G_{00K'} (i\omega_n) & G_{01K'} (i\omega_n) \\ G_{10K'} (i\omega_n) & G_{11K'} (i\omega_n) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$:= \begin{pmatrix} G_{\sigma K'} (i\omega_n) & G_{\sigma K'} (Q (i\omega_n)) \\ G_{\sigma K'} (Q (i\omega_n)) & G_{\sigma K'} (Q + Q (i\omega_n)) \end{pmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)$$

where \(K'\) is an element of the MBZ. The symmetry relations \(G_{00K'} (i\omega_n) = G_{11K'} (i\omega_n) = - (G_{10K'} (i\omega_n))^{\ast}\) and \(G_{10K'} (i\omega_n) = G_{01K'} (i\omega_n) = - (G_{00K'} (i\omega_n))^{\ast}\) hold for the Green function as well as for the self-energy. The latter is still defined via Dyson’s equation

$$\Sigma_{\sigma K'} (i\omega_n) = G_{\sigma K'} (i\omega_n)^{-1} - G_{\sigma K'} (i\omega_n)^{-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)$$

which however now involves quantities which are \(2 \times 2\) matrices.

This matrix structure makes it necessary to adapt the CT-QMC algorithm accordingly. This can most conveniently be done using a spinor representation for the field operators, and rewriting the formulae with these new composite operators. A detailed account of this procedure will be given elsewhere. Here we just want to note that one can again perform measurements in Matsubara space directly and thus obtain an accurate estimate of the self-energy, which can then be analytically continued as before.

A first simple test of the method is to calculate the staggered moment

$$m_s = \sum_i m_i \exp (i Q \cdot r_i)$$  \hspace{1cm} (26)$$

and thus locate the antiferromagnetic phase. The results of such a calculation for \(U = 0.67W\) as function of temperature are shown in Fig. 12 for DCA simulations (circles) and, for comparison, DMFT calculations using Wilson’s numerical renormalization group algorithm as impurity solver (squares). The first thing to note is that within DCA the critical temperature is reduced by roughly 30% as compared to the DMFT. The values of \(T_N \approx 0.03W\) for DCA and \(T_N \approx 0.04W\) for DMFT nicely agree with the results obtained by Kent et al.\[27\]. This is in agreement with the expectation, that for a 3D system far enough away from the critical region one should not see dramatic influence by the order parameter fluctuations any more. Note, however, that \(m_s (0) \approx 0.39\) for both DMFT and DCA is reduced as compared to the Hartree approximation, where \(m_s H(0) \approx 0.426\). Finally, while the functional shape of \(m_s (T)\) for the DMFT nicely follows the standard mean-field behavior \(m_s (T \gg T_N) \propto \sqrt{1 - T/T_N}\) respectively \(m_s (T \to 0) \propto 1 - 2e^{-2T/T_N}\), the form obtained from DCA is very different, rather exhibiting a linear behavior just

![FIG. 12: (color online) Staggered magnetization \(m_s (T)\) as function of \(T\) for \(U = 0.67W\) as obtained from a DCA calculation with \(N = 18\) (circles) and the DMFT (squares). Dashed lines: guide to the eye.](image-url)
below $T_N$ and a constant value for $T \lesssim 0.02 W$.

With the ability to perform reliable calculations in the
symmetry-broken phase, one is of course interested in
extracting dynamics from the simulations, preferably by
analytically continuing the single-particle self-energy. To
this end we again need the high-frequency behavior of the
self-energy, which can be obtained from a high-frequency
expansion (see Appendix) as

\[\Sigma_{\sigma'K'}(i\omega_n) = O((i\omega_n)^{-2}) + U \left(\frac{\langle n_\sigma}\rangle - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\langle m_\sigma\rangle}{\langle n_\sigma\rangle} - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{U^2}{i\omega_n} \left(\frac{\langle n_\sigma\rangle (1 - \langle n_\sigma\rangle) + \langle m_\sigma\rangle^2}{\langle m_\sigma\rangle (1 - 2\langle n_\sigma\rangle)} - \frac{\langle n_\sigma\rangle (1 - 2\langle n_\sigma\rangle) + \langle m_\sigma\rangle^2}{\langle m_\sigma\rangle (1 - \langle n_\sigma\rangle)}\right)\]  

(27)

using the staggered spin polarization

\[\langle m_\sigma\rangle = \sum_i e^{iQ \cdot r_i} \langle n_{\sigma i} - n_{\bar{\sigma} i}\rangle\]  

(28)

The direct analytic continuation of non-diagonal self-
energies – or Green functions – is not possible, since the
non-diagonal spectral function $-\frac{1}{2} \text{Im} \Sigma_{\sigma'\sigma K'}(\omega)$ has both
negative and positive values while the standard MEM
algorithm can only deal with non-negative spectral func-
tions. In order to solve this problem, we employ the linear transformation\(a\) (omitting spin and frequency
dependencies)

\[\Sigma_{\sigma'K'}^\pm = \frac{\Sigma_{\sigma'K'}^{00}}{2} + \Sigma_{\sigma'K'}^{11} \pm \Sigma_{\sigma'K'}^{10},\]  

(29)

and determine $\text{Im} \Sigma_{\sigma'K'}^\pm(\omega)$ along with the diagonal
elements $\text{Im} \Sigma_{\sigma'K'}^{00}(\omega)$ and $\text{Im} \Sigma_{\sigma'K'}^{11}(\omega)$ using the MEM. As
in the paramagnetic case, the high-frequency coefficients
Eq. (29) are used to normalize the self-energies prior to the
analytic continuation. Finally, the real parts are calcu-
lated by a Kramers-Kronig relation analogous to Eq. (10).

Since the transformation Eq. (29) is linear, it holds for the
analytically continued functions as well and can thus
be solved for the non-diagonal element $\Sigma_{\sigma'K'}(\omega)$. An
example for a complete self-energy matrix on the real
frequency axis obtained by this procedure is shown in
Fig. 13. Note that the diagonal and off-diagonal elements
have different symmetry properties. For the particle-hole
symmetric situation presented here, the former obey the
relation $\Sigma_{\sigma'K'}(\omega + i0^+) = \Sigma_{\bar{\sigma}K'}(\omega + i0^+)$ respectively
$\Sigma_{\bar{\sigma}'K'}(\omega + i0^+) = \Sigma_{\sigma K'}(\omega + i0^+)$ following from the
structure of the Neél state, while the latter are all identi-
cal but obey $\Sigma_{\bar{\sigma}'K'}(\omega + i0^+) = -\Sigma_{\sigma'K'}(\omega + i0^+)$. This
last relation in particular implies that the real part is an
even function of $\omega$, and the imaginary part is odd.

The resulting self-energies for the cluster $K'$ points are
then interpolated as in the paramagnetic case, and finally
the spin-averaged spectral function for all momenta $K'$ of
the magnetic Brillouin zone follows from

\[A_{K'}(\omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \text{Tr} \left[\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\omega + \mu - \epsilon_{K'} & 0 \\
0 & \omega + \mu - \epsilon_{K'Q}
\end{array}\right) - \Sigma_{K'}(\omega)\right]^{-1},\]  

(30)

\[\Sigma_{\sigma K'}(\omega) = \Sigma_{\sigma K'}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{\bar{\sigma}'K'}(\omega) = \Sigma_{\bar{\sigma}'K'}(\omega) \]  

for $\sigma = \bar{\sigma}$.

\[\text{FIG. 13: (color online) The self-energy for } K' = \Gamma,\]  

$U = 0.5 W$, $t' = 0$ and $T = 0.02 W$ in the antiferro-
magnetic phase. The real and imaginary parts of the ele-
ments $\Sigma_{000}^{00}(\omega) = \Sigma_{111}^{11}(\omega) = \Sigma_{111}^{01}(\omega) = \Sigma_{000}^{11}(\omega)$ are shown.

where Tr denotes the trace over the $2 \times 2$-matrix.

Results for single-particle spectra in the antiferro-
magnetically ordered phase and different values of $U$ for
$T = 0.02 W$ are shown in Fig. 14a–c for paths connecting
high symmetry points in the magnetic Brillouin zone in
Fig. 13b. As expected, the spectral function and DOS
have a gap around the Fermi energy, i.e., we always have
an insulating state. Furthermore, it is symmetric with re-
spect to the Fermi energy, reflecting the back-folding of
the spectrum due to the broken translational symmetry.
Along a large part of the Brillouin zone one has rather
flat bands. For weak and moderate coupling these struc-
tures have a rather high spectral weight, which results in
the formation of characteristic van Hove singularities at
the gap edges. This is a typical weak-coupling result con-
sistent with a conventional Hartree approximation. The
gap increases for increasing $U$, while at the same time the
weight in the structures at the gap edges is redistributed
to larger energies, leading to a softening of the structures.
FIG. 14: (color online) Spin-averaged single-particle spectra for $T = 0.02 W$ in the antiferromagnetic phase for different interaction strengths. The lower right panel (d) shows a spectrum for non-zero next-nearest-neighbor hopping $t' = -0.2 t$. The left part of each figure depicts the local single-particle spectrum for both the majority spins (full line, red) and the minority spins (dashed, blue). In figure (a) the edges of the gap are too sharp to resolve properly. In order to avoid a numerical division by zero, an artificial imaginary shift $-i \delta$ with $\delta = 0.03 W$ was added to the self-energy. The result is a slight broadening of the gap edges. The interpolation follows the path along the high symmetry points of the reduced Brillouin zone depicted in Fig. 13b.

in the DOS.

Fig. [14] shows an antiferromagnetic spectrum for non-zero $t' = -0.2 t$. The next-nearest neighbor hopping breaks the symmetry with respect to the Fermi energy analogous to the paramagnetic case. Since magnetically frustrating interactions cause the antiferromagnetic phase to quickly vanish at the present temperature, no larger value of $t'$ was simulated.

V. CONCLUSION

The dynamical-cluster approximation is a controlled, systematically improvable approach to the solution of strongly correlated electronic model systems. Due to the size and complexity of the cluster impurity problem, only quantum Monte Carlo methods are available as efficient and unbiased quantum impurity solver algorithms. While they yield in principle arbitrarily accurate imaginary frequency data, extracting spectral functions from QMC data is an ill-posed numerical problem and hence remains a difficult task. It requires an analytical continuation based on maximum entropy or a similar procedure and a thorough error analysis for the quantity to be continued including analytically supplemented high-frequency information. The previously used Hirsch-Fye QMC impurity solver algorithm made the direct continuation of the irreducible self-energy prohibitively expensive and made comparatively unreliable root-searching techniques necessary.

The advent of modern continuous-time Monte-Carlo algorithms allows for a direct simulation of data in frequency space and moreover yields high-quality data for the self-energy with reliable error estimates, thus allowing for a direct analytical continuation of the self-energy.

Based on this new route we presented a method to extract momentum resolved dynamical correlation functions from QMC simulations of strongly correlated electron systems. We showed that QMC simulation of clusters within the dynamical cluster approximation can provide data accurate enough to enable the calculation of both momentum and frequency resolved single-particle spectra. The method can resolve detailed structures in the spectral functions, including waterfall-like features. We observe that even in three dimensions momentum resolved self-energies lead to spectra that are qualitatively different from dynamical mean field spectra, and present a more reliable starting point for an extrapolation to the lattice system.

In addition we showed that we can access momentum
and frequency resolved spectra in the paramagnetic state as well as in more complex ordered phases. As an example we discussed spectral properties of the Hubbard model inside the antiferromagnetic phase, also including an additional magnetic frustration introduced by a next-nearest neighbor hopping.

We detected the interaction driven metal-insulator transition at $T = 0.012W_{\text{eff}}$ and $U_c = 0.94(3)W_{\text{eff}}$, a value which is substantially smaller than the DMFT result $U_c = 1.18(2)W_{\text{eff}}$. For non-zero values of $t'$ no MH-MIT could be detected for temperatures $T \geq 0.01W$. The presence of a smooth cross-over indicates that the transition has moved to lower temperatures – an effect, that has been previously studied within the context of DMFT\textsuperscript{22}. To clarify this point as well as the $t'$ dependence of $U_c$ further studies at lower temperatures are necessary. Furthermore, in contrast to the standard expectation, the MH-MIT appears not to be purely local, but rather occurs initially for $k$-points at the Fermi surface only. This $k$-dependent behavior is different from the momentum-selective Mott transition found for the two-dimensional Hubbard model where the Mott transition occurs only on selected parts of the Fermi surface. Such a behavior can not be inferred from our data, although for a definite statement on this issue calculations for larger clusters would be necessary.
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Appendix: High-frequency expansion of the self-energies

We expand the results obtained for the dynamical mean field theory\textsuperscript{67,68} to the momentum dependent case suitable for the DCA in both antiferromagnetic and paramagnetic phases.

The antiferromagnetic coarse-grained Green function $\bar{G}_{\sigma \mathbf{K}'}(i\omega_n)$ in the presence of a staggered magnetic field can be described by\textsuperscript{9}

$$\bar{G}_{\sigma \mathbf{K}'}(i\omega_n) = \frac{1}{V} \int d\mathbf{k}' [\left( \begin{array}{c} \xi_{\mathbf{K}'+\mathbf{k}}/\pi \sigma \frac{h_y}{2} \\ \frac{h_y}{2} \xi_{\mathbf{K}'} - \xi_{\mathbf{K}'+\mathbf{Q}+\mathbf{k}} \end{array} \right) - \Sigma_{\mathbf{K}'}(i\omega_n)]^{-1}$$

(A.1)

using the matrix notation Eq.\textsuperscript{22} and $\xi_{\mathbf{K}'} = \epsilon_{\mathbf{K}'} - \mu$. In order to gain an expression for the high-frequency coefficients of the self-energy, we use the ansatz

$$\Sigma_{\sigma \mathbf{K}'}(i\omega_n) = \Sigma_{\sigma \mathbf{K}'}^0 + \frac{\Sigma_{\sigma \mathbf{K}'}^1}{i\omega_n} + \mathcal{O}((i\omega_n)^{-2})$$

(A.2)

and expand the coarse-grained Green function up to fourth order:

$$\bar{G}_{\sigma \mathbf{K}'}(i\omega_n) = \frac{C_{\sigma \mathbf{K}'}^1}{i\omega_n} + \frac{C_{\sigma \mathbf{K}'}^2}{(i\omega_n)^2} + \frac{C_{\sigma \mathbf{K}'}^3}{(i\omega_n)^3} + \mathcal{O}((i\omega_n)^{-4})$$

(A.3)

The result is

$$C_{\sigma \mathbf{K}'}^1 = \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right),$$

(A.4)

$$C_{\sigma \mathbf{K}'}^2 = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \xi_{\mathbf{K}'}/\pi \sigma \frac{h_y}{2} & h_y/2 \xi_{\mathbf{K}'} + \Sigma_{\sigma \mathbf{K}'}^0 \end{array} \right) + \Sigma_{\sigma \mathbf{K}'}^1,$$

(A.5)

$$C_{\sigma \mathbf{K}'}^3 = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \xi_{\mathbf{K}'}/\pi \sigma \frac{h_y}{2} & h_y/2 \xi_{\mathbf{K}'} \end{array} \right) + \Sigma_{\sigma \mathbf{K}'}^0,$$

(A.6)

where the over-lined quantities are coarse grained over the momentum patch centered around $\mathbf{K}'$, e.g.

$$\bar{\xi}_{\mathbf{K}'} = \frac{1}{V} \int d\mathbf{k}' \xi_{\mathbf{K}'+\mathbf{k}}.$$ (A.7)

A direct calculation of the Green function using Heisenberg’s equations of motion provides the information necessary for the determination of the unknown coefficients $\Sigma_{\sigma \mathbf{K}'}^0$ and $\Sigma_{\sigma \mathbf{K}'}^1$. Starting again with the Hamiltonian Eq.\textsuperscript{22} the high frequency coefficients of the single-particle Green function in real space

$$G_{\sigma ij}(i\omega_n) = \frac{C_{\sigma ij}^1}{i\omega_n} + \frac{C_{\sigma ij}^2}{(i\omega_n)^2} + \frac{C_{\sigma ij}^3}{(i\omega_n)^3} + \mathcal{O}((i\omega_n)^{-4})$$ (A.8)

can be obtained\textsuperscript{68} via

$$C_{\sigma ij}^1 = \left\langle \left\{ c_{\sigma i}, c_{\sigma j}^\dagger \right\} \right\rangle,$$ (A.9)

$$C_{\sigma ij}^2 = -\left\langle \left\{ [H_h, c_{\sigma i}], c_{\sigma j}^\dagger \right\} \right\rangle,$$ (A.10)

$$C_{\sigma ij}^3 = \left\langle \left\{ [H_h, [H_h, c_{\sigma i}]], c_{\sigma j}^\dagger \right\} \right\rangle.$$ (A.11)

Here $[A, B] (\{ A, B \})$ denotes the (anti)commutator of the
operators $A$ and $B$. A straightforward calculation yields
\begin{align}
\sigma_{ij}^1 &= \delta_{ij}, \quad \text{(A.12)} \\
\sigma_{ij}^2 &= -\xi_{ij} - [h_{\sigma i} + U\langle n_{\sigma i} \rangle] \delta_{ij}, \quad \text{(A.13)} \\
\sigma_{ij}^3 &= \sum_m \xi_{im}\xi_{mj} + (h_{\sigma i}h_{\sigma j} + U^2\langle n_{\sigma i} \rangle) \delta_{ij} \\
&\quad - (h_{\sigma i} + h_{\sigma j}) \tilde{\xi}_{ij} \\
&\quad - U (n_{\sigma i} + n_{\sigma j}) \left( \tilde{\xi}_{ij} - h_{\sigma i} \delta_{ij} \right), \quad \text{(A.14)}
\end{align}
where $\tilde{\xi}_{ij} = t + \left( \mu + \frac{U}{2} \right) \delta_{ij}$ for nearest neighbors, $\tilde{\xi}_{ij} = t' + \left( \mu + \frac{U}{2} \right) \delta_{ij}$ for next-nearest neighbors, and zero otherwise. The high frequency coefficients of the coarse-grained Green function in cluster momentum space Eq. A.3 are readily calculated by a Fourier transformation of Eqs. A.12–A.14 followed by a coarse-graining in $k$-space. One obtains
\begin{align}
\sigma_{\sigma'K'}^1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{(A.15)} \\
\sigma_{\sigma'K'}^2 &= \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\xi}_{\sigma'K'} + U\langle n_{\bar{\sigma}} \rangle & U\langle m_{\bar{\sigma}} \rangle + h_{\sigma}/2 \\ U\langle m_{\bar{\sigma}} \rangle + h_{\sigma}/2 & \tilde{\xi}_{K'+Q} + U\langle n_{\bar{\sigma}} \rangle \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{(A.16)}
\end{align}
where $\tilde{\xi}_{K'} = \xi_{K'} - U/2$ and
\begin{align}
\langle m_{\sigma} \rangle &= \sum_i e^{iQ \cdot r_i} \langle n_{\sigma i} - n_{\bar{\sigma}i} \rangle. \quad \text{(A.18)}
\end{align}
A comparison with Eqs. A.4–A.6 yields
\begin{align}
\Sigma_{\sigma K'}^0 &= U \left( \langle n_{\bar{\sigma}} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle m_{\bar{\sigma}} \rangle \right), \quad \text{(A.19)} \\
\Sigma_{\sigma K'}^1 &= U^2 \left( \langle n_{\bar{\sigma}} \rangle \left( 1 - \langle n_{\bar{\sigma}} \rangle \right) + \langle m_{\bar{\sigma}} \rangle^2 \right) \left( \langle m_{\bar{\sigma}} \rangle \left( 1 - 2\langle n_{\bar{\sigma}} \rangle \right) + \langle n_{\bar{\sigma}} \rangle \left( 1 - \langle n_{\sigma} \rangle \right) + \langle m_{\bar{\sigma}} \rangle^2 \right), \quad \text{(A.20)}
\end{align}
which is the solution shown in Eq. 27. The non-diagonal parts vanish for $\langle m_{\sigma} \rangle = 0$ and the expression simplifies to the paramagnetic solution Eqs. 11 and 12.
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