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1 Introduction

In high-energy physics symmetries play a fundamental role in model building of both theory and phenomenology multi-scalar theories. Nevertheless, we are often interested on the invariants of these symmetries as they may relate to gauge invariance, physical parameters or the construction of the Lagrangian.

The scalar potential has been thoroughly studied in the physics literature, where various basis-invariant methods [1–4] and more group-theoretical methods such as billinears [5–14] were used. Recent work has developed a new perspective on the group structure of the parameter space by using invariant theory. The characterization of invariants has also been instrumental to study physical parameters and CP violation. The Hilbert series of the 2HDM was first obtained in [15] and later studied in the context of CP violation in [16]. With this technique, the complete roadmap to the basis-invariant description of the 2HDM built on invariant theory was achieved in [17].

Invariant theory, a field of algebraic geometry, concerns the study of precisely these invariants and was developed by many prominent mathematicians such as David Hilbert, Emmy Noether and physicist Hermann Weyl. It has been used in the context of string theory [18]. More recently, an excellent review of these methods was given in [19] along with strategies for handling couplings with derivatives in EFTs. As a theory, it also provides a framework for a full group-theoretical perspective of the parameter space.

As complete as it may be, invariant theory relies heavily on the computation of a formal quantity, known as the Hilbert series. In it lies the full count and characterization of any physical parameter in a theory. A shortcoming of this strategy is the sometimes insurmountable calculation of a very large number of residues of multivariate integrals. Here, we introduce a technique developed by P. MacMahon [20], later called Omega calculus. With it, many challenging complex integrals become attainable, as we will show with the very complicated case of the 3HDM.

By using several results obtained throughout the years in the mathematical literature, we extract properties for the class of NHDM (N Higgs Doublet models). In particular, we show that the Hilbert series is not needed to compute the number of physical parameters in multi-Higgs doublet models.

With the knowledge on how the vector space of a Lagrangian decomposes in irreducible representations of any group, we derive a technique that counts the number of parameters in a Lagrangian with both basis-invariant redundancies and global symmetries. This method does not require knowledge of invariant theory, only of the group structure of the symmetry group \( G \).

2 Group structure of the scalar potential

There are essentially two perspectives regarding the group structure of the scalar sector of a NHDM: the fields and their representations [9, 12], and the parameter space and its representation [15, 16], both under a basis transformation group. We will follow the latter by decomposing the parameter space into irreducible representations of \( SU(N) \). Assigning
this structure will allow us to build the invariant analogue of the parameter space under basis transformations. In other words, build the physical parameter space of any multi-Higgs doublet model.

In the most general NHDM, the Lagrangian potential can be written as

\[ V_{H} = \mu_{ij} (\Phi_{i}^{\dagger} \Phi_{j}) + z_{ij,kl} (\Phi_{i}^{\dagger} \Phi_{j}) (\Phi_{k}^{\dagger} \Phi_{l}), \] (2.1)

where the matrices follow hermiticity and symmetry properties \( \mu_{ij} = \mu_{ji}^{*} \) and \( z_{ij,kl} = z_{kl,ij}^{*} = z_{ji,lk} \). It is well known that eq. (2.1) is not unique and that we can always perform a basis transformation \( SU(N) \) such that we generate the same physical theory. Furthermore, the fields transform under the fundamental representation \( r_{f} \). Thus, \( \mu_{ij} \) and \( z_{ij,kl} \) transform as

\[ \mu_{ij} \rightarrow \bar{r}_{f} \otimes r_{f}, \]
\[ z_{ij,kl} \rightarrow \text{Sym} (r_{f} \otimes r_{f} \otimes \bar{r}_{f} \otimes \bar{r}_{f}), \] (2.2)

where \( \text{Sym} \) denotes essentially the symmetry property of \( z_{ij,kl} \). With this decomposition we find

\[ \text{Sym} (r_{f} \otimes r_{f} \otimes \bar{r}_{f} \otimes \bar{r}_{f}) = \text{Sym} \left( \left[ \text{Sym}^{2}(r_{f}) \oplus \text{Alt}^{2}(r_{f}) \right] \otimes \left[ \text{Sym}^{2}(\bar{r}_{f}) \oplus \text{Alt}^{2}(\bar{r}_{f}) \right] \right) \]
\[ = \left[ \text{Sym}^{2}(r_{f}) \otimes \text{Sym}^{2}(\bar{r}_{f}) \right] \oplus \left[ \text{Alt}^{2}(r_{f}) \otimes \text{Alt}^{2}(\bar{r}_{f}) \right], \] (2.3)

where we follow the well known group theory result \( r \otimes r = \text{Sym}^{2}(r) \oplus \text{Alt}^{2}(r) \), where \( \text{Sym} \) and \( \text{Alt} \) are respectively the symmetric and antisymmetric parts of the tensor product. In section 7 we will revisit eq. (2.3).

The results above point to a full decomposition of the parameter space of the scalar potential in terms of irreducible representations of \( SU(N) \). Thus, we define the vector space of the parameters as \( V \), defined as the space that transforms with

\[ V = \mu \oplus z \rightarrow \bar{r}_{f} \otimes r_{f} \oplus \left[ \text{Sym}^{2}(r_{f}) \otimes \text{Sym}^{2}(\bar{r}_{f}) \right] \oplus \left[ \text{Alt}^{2}(r_{f}) \otimes \text{Alt}^{2}(\bar{r}_{f}) \right]. \] (2.4)

The dimension \( \dim V \) is the number of parameters in the potential. This can be readily computed from eq. (2.4). With \( \dim r_{f} = N \), the number of doublets, and

\[ \dim \left[ \text{Sym}^{2}(r_{f}) \right] = \frac{N(N + 1)}{2}, \]
\[ \dim \left[ \text{Alt}^{2}(r_{f}) \right] = \frac{N(N - 1)}{2}, \] (2.5)

we finally get

\[ \dim V = N^{2} + \left( \frac{N(N + 1)}{2} \right)^{2} + \left( \frac{N(N - 1)}{2} \right)^{2} = \frac{N^{2}(N^{2} + 3)}{2}, \] (2.6)

as the number of parameters in the NHDM [9, 21–23].
Example. In the 2HDM we may decompose

\[ \mu_{ij} \rightarrow 2 \otimes 2 = 1 \oplus 3, \]  

(2.7)

and similarly we decompose

\[ z_{ij,kl} \rightarrow \text{Sym} (2 \otimes 2 \otimes 2 \otimes 2) = [3 \otimes 3] \oplus [1 \otimes 1] \]

\[ = 2(1) \oplus 3 \oplus 5. \]  

(2.8)

Then the vector space of parameters \( V \) transforms as

\[ V \rightarrow 3(1) \oplus 2(3) \oplus 5, \]  

(2.9)

and \( \dim V = 14 \), the number of parameters in the 2HDM.

The decomposition of \( V \) is instrumental to the analysis of the physical parameters of the NHDM. Throughout this paper we won’t concern ourselves with the structure of the representation themselves, as it is not needed for any of our results. Nevertheless, a systematic approach to this calculation is given in ref. [16] with the use of projectors.

3 The invariant space formalism

The decomposition of \( V \) into irreducible representations provides a framework for how a group \( G \) acts on \( V \). Nevertheless, no physical parameter is given in experiment in matrix form. The computation of physical parameters is often built on contracted tensors for which the answer is a number, a polynomial in the Lagrangian parameters [1]. Thus, it is important to introduce the notion of polynomial rings and their properties.

We will be rather formal with our notation in order to compare with the mathematical literature. Consequently we will provide examples to map the formalism to the analysis of the NHDM matrices \( \mu_{ij} \) and \( z_{ij,kl} \).

3.1 The ring of invariants

Let us consider a vector space \( V \) as the space with dimension \( \dim V \) spanned by the basis \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \). The polynomial ring \( K[V] = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \) is then composed by polynomial functions in \( x_i \) and span every algebraic combination of the basis elements \( x_i \) in the field \( K \). We will consider the field \( K \) to be the complexes \( \mathbb{C} \). Furthermore, we consider the action of a group \( G \) on \( V \), for which each element \( g \in G \) has a representation \( \rho(g) \) acting on \( V \). We will abuse the notation by stating \( g \) instead of \( \rho(g) \). Then we may define the ring of invariants \( K[V]^G \) to be

\[ K[V]^G := \{ x \in K[V] \mid g.x = x \}, \]  

(3.1)

which comprises all algebraic combinations of the parameters in \( V \) which are invariant under the action of \( G \). The ring of invariants \( K[V]^G = K[f_1, \ldots, f_r] \) is then generated by \( f_1, \ldots, f_r \), the primary invariants.
There are several noteworthy explanations so far. We begin with a space $V$ to which we apply the group $G$. Then, we collect the invariants of the action of $G$ such that the remaining space is generated by elements called the primary invariants. We already see that $K[V]^G \subseteq K[V]$, i.e. the invariant ring is contained in the original one. The dimension of a ring is called the Krull dimension and it is the minimum number of generators of the ring. The dimension of the initial ring $K[V]$ is given by

$$\dim(K[V]) = \dim V = \dim(K[x_1,\ldots,x_n]) = n,$$

while for the ring of invariants we define the Krull dimension as

$$\dim(K[V]^G) = \dim(K[f_1,\ldots,f_r]^G) = r.$$  

Thus, $r \leq n$. The Krull dimension of $K[V]^G$ also has a crucial interpretation, it is the number of physical parameters of the theory and it will be a meaningful quantity throughout this paper.

**Example.** Let us consider a scalar potential with only $\mu_{ij}$. Then, $V = A$, the space of $2 \times 2$ Hermitian matrices and $\mu \in A$. The dimension of $V$ is then $\dim V = 4$, the parameters $\mu_{11}, \mu_{12}, \mu_{21}, \mu_{22}$. Hence, the polynomial ring in the complexes is

$$\mathbb{C}[V] = \mathbb{C}[\mu_{11}, \mu_{12}, \mu_{21}, \mu_{22}] = \{\mu_{11}, \mu_{12} + 2\mu_{22}^2, \ldots\}$$

consisting on all of the polynomials in the parameters. If we choose to study the invariants under basis transformations $G = SU(2)$ we may define the invariant ring as

$$\mathbb{C}[V]^G = \mathbb{C}[^{\text{Tr}}\mu, \det\mu]^{SU(2)} = \{\text{Tr} \mu, \det \mu + (\text{Tr} \mu)^5, \ldots\},$$

which consists on all polynomials which are SU(2)-invariant. The Krull dimension of $\mathbb{C}[V]^G$ is given by $\dim \mathbb{C}[V]^G = 2$. We chose the two corresponding parameters to be the trace and the determinant of $\mu_{ij}$.

The ring of invariants $K[V]^G$ is solely responsible for all the physical information in a theory. Knowing its generators is equivalent to knowing all of the physical parameters, their CP properties, and in principle, even their impact on physical processes.\(^1\) To this end, we need a tool to describe the generators of the invariant space, the Hilbert series.

For simplicity, while keeping some of the common mathematical notation, we will interchange $K[V]$ with $R$ and $K[V]^G$ with $R^G$.

### 3.2 The Hilbert series

The Hilbert series is a very powerful tool for the characterization of $K[V]^G$, the ring of invariants. The series itself is given by

$$H(K[V]^G, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \dim(R^G_k) t^k,$$

\(^1\)The degrees of the generators may be used in principle to track the order of a process, e.g. an invariant of degree six should not appear in low order Feynman diagrams.
where \( \dim(R^G_k) \) are the number of invariants of degree \( k \) which are invariant under the group \( G \) and \( t \) is a token variable describing the degree of the invariants. The degree of the invariants describes the degree of the polynomials in the parameters, e.g. in the previous example \( \text{Tr} \mu \) is a degree one invariant while \( \text{det} \mu \) is a degree two invariant. Contrary to the primary invariants (generators), these need not be independent, as they total the number of invariants.

The Hilbert series can also be written in a closed form, generally as

\[
H(K[V]^G, t) = \frac{P(t)}{Q(t)} = \frac{P(t)}{(1 - t)^{d_1} (1 - t^2)^{d_2} \cdots (1 - t^m)^{d_m}},
\]

(3.7)

where \( P(t) \) is a polynomial. The denominator of the Hilbert series describes the degree and number of invariants under the action of a group \( G \). In eq. (3.7) we count \( d_1 \) invariants of degree one, \( d_2 \) invariants of degree two, etc.

From eq. (3.7) we can read several properties of the invariant ring \( K[V]^G \). In the context of the Hilbert series, the Krull dimension \( r \) is such that the limit

\[
\lim_{t \to 1} (1 - t)^r H(K[V]^G, t) = \gamma
\]

(3.8)

is neither infinite nor zero. Alternatively, this also means that in eq. (3.7) we can read the dimension as

\[
\dim(K[V]^G) = r = d_1 + d_2 + \cdots + d_m.
\]

(3.9)

In other words, the minimum number of invariants needed to generate the invariant space is given by the Krull dimension, \( r \). As such, we can always expand the Hilbert series as

\[
H(K[V]^G, t) = \frac{\gamma}{(1 - t)^r} + \frac{\tau}{(1 - t)^{r-1}} + \cdots,
\]

(3.10)

where the significance of \( \gamma \) and \( \tau \) will be more clear later on.

**Example.** In the 2HDM the Hilbert series has been fully computed [16], both the ungraded (all token variables equal \( t \)) and the multi-graded (a token variable for each representation). Excluding the three singlets of eq. (2.9), the ungraded series is given by

\[
H(K[V]^G, t) = 1 + 4 t^2 + 4 t^3 + 15 t^4 + 18 t^5 + 53 t^6 + O(t^7),
\]

(3.11)

or in closed form

\[
H(K[V]^G, t) = \frac{1 + t^3 + 4 t^4 + 2 t^5 + 4 t^6 + t^7 + t^{10}}{(1 - t^2)^3 (1 - t^3)^3 (1 - t^4)},
\]

(3.12)

where we can read that \( K[V]^G \) is generated by 4 degree two, 3 degree three and 1 degree four generators. Along with the three singlets, this yields a total of 11 physical parameters. We can also expand it around \( t = 1 \) such that

\[
H(K[V]^G, t) = \frac{7/864}{(1 - t)^8} + \frac{7/576}{(1 - t)^7} + \cdots
\]

(3.13)
3.3 Molien series and the Weyl integration formula

Until now we have just stated general properties of invariant rings and Hilbert series but made no comment on its computation. For this, we separate two cases.

Let $G$ be a finite group and $\rho(g)$ a representation in $\text{GL}_n$. Then we may compute the Molien formula as

$$H(K[V]^G, t) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \frac{\det(I - t\rho(g))}{\det(I - t\rho(g))}.$$  (3.14)

We note that although we sum over the elements of the group, we need only to sum over one element for each conjugacy class times the number of elements in it.

Similarly, one can compute the Hilbert series for an infinite group. Let $G$ be a reductive group, e.g. $\text{SU}(N)$, $\text{SO}(N)$, $\text{SL}(N)$. Then we define the Weyl integration formula to be

$$H(K[V]^G, t) = \int_G d\mu_G \frac{1}{\det(I - t\rho(g))},$$  (3.15)

where $d\mu_G$ stand for the Haar measure. A number of them can be found in [24] for Lie groups, where it is defined as

$$\int_G d\mu_G = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^m} \oint_{|z_1|=1} \cdots \oint_{|z_m|=1} \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \cdots \frac{dz_m}{z_m} \prod_{\alpha^+} \left(1 - \prod_{l=1}^m z_l^{\alpha_l^+} \right),$$  (3.16)

where $\alpha^+$ are the positive roots of the group.

Finally, we add the notion of plethystic exponential and plethystic logarithm, which as far as we know was first introduced in [25, 26] and later for physical applications in [18]. The plethystic exponential is defined as

$$\text{PE}[z_j, t, r] := \exp \left( \sum_{k \geq 1} t^k \chi_r(z_j^k) \right),$$  (3.17)

where $\chi_r(z_j^k)$ is the character of the representation $r = \rho$. It can be interpreted with some trivial steps to be

$$\frac{1}{\det(I - t\rho(g))} = \exp \left( \sum_{k \geq 1} t^k \text{Tr}\{\rho(g)^k\} \right).$$  (3.18)

The plethystic logarithm is defined as

$$\text{PL} \left[ H(K[V]^G, t) \right] := \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} \ln \left[ H(K[V]^G, t^k) \right],$$  (3.19)

where $\mu(k)$ is the Möbius function. The significance of eq. (3.19) as a series is in the counting of possible primary invariants in the positive terms and the determination of the syzygies in negative terms, and has been extensively discussed in [18].

In the context of Lie groups we will always take the integration to be over the maximal torus $T$ of the group $G$. This will be the Abelian group which intersects all conjugacy classes of $G$ and will greatly simplify our analysis.
3.4 General properties

Until now we have discussed several known results in invariant theory as it applies to physics problems. Here we present a collection of formal results in invariant theory. These will be instrumental to describe the class of models with more than one scalar. As with the more formal sections of this text, we will give an example at the end to guide the reader through the properties of the Hilbert series.

We have stated before that we will consider to be working on $K = \mathbb{C}$, unless stated otherwise. We find that the characteristic of the field $\mathbb{C}$ is $\text{char}(\mathbb{C}) = 0$. In characteristic zero fields it suffices to say that for $K[V]^G$ to be finitely generated, $G$ must be reductive. All semi-simple groups, finite groups and tori are examples, as described in [27]. Examples of semi-simple groups are $\text{SL}(N)$, $\text{SU}(N)$ and $\text{O}(N)$.

**Theorem 1.** If $G$ is semi-simple and connected, then $K[V]^G$ is Gorenstein [28]. If $K[V]^G$ is Gorenstein then

$$H(K[V]^G, t^{-1}) = (-1)^r t^q H(K[V]^G, t),$$

where $r$ is the Krull dimension and $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ as shown in [29].

In other words, eq. (3.20) also implies that the numerator of the Hilbert series should be palindromic.

**Theorem 2.** A theorem in [30] states that for almost all representations of a connected, semi-simple group $G$ we have

$$q = \dim V,$$

where $q$ is defined in eq. (3.20) and $\dim V$ is the dimension of our initial space.

We will always assume that this is true. The computation of the Hilbert series will confirm it at the end.

**Remark.** The degree of the Hilbert series is defined by [30]

$$\deg \left( H(K[V]^G, t) \right) = \deg \left( \frac{P(t)}{Q(t)} \right) = \deg (P(t)) - \deg (Q(t)) = -q,$$

with $q$ defined in eq. (3.20). Thus, it follows that for almost all representations of $G$ we have that

$$\deg \left( H(K[V]^G, t) \right) = -q = -\dim V.$$

This is an important result which will enable us to know how to find the correct form of the Hilbert series at the end of the computation.

**Theorem 3.** A theorem of Knop and Littelmann [31] confirms that for all representations of $G$ we have

$$r \leq -\deg \left( H(K[V]^G, t) \right) \leq \dim V.$$

In [30] another important corollary follows.
Corollary 1. If $G$ is a semi-simple, connected group $G$, then for almost all representations we have
\[
\dim G = \frac{2\tau}{\gamma},
\] (3.25)
where $\gamma$ and $\tau$ are defined in eq. (3.10).

The most important result we present here is given in [32].

Remark. If $G$ is semi-simple and connected, the Krull dimension $r$ is given by
\[
r = q - \frac{2\tau}{\gamma} \longrightarrow \dim V - \dim G,
\] (3.26)
where the arrow means “for almost all representations of $G$”, in accordance with eq. (3.21).

Finally we can state a non-uniqueness property of the Hilbert series. Let $H_1(K[V]^G, t)$ be a Hilbert series respecting the properties in eqs. (3.20)–(3.23) and eqs. (3.25)–(3.26). Then there may exist $H_2(K[V]^G, t)$ with the same properties such that
\[
H_1(K[V]^G, t) - H_2(K[V]^G, t) = 0.
\] (3.27)

A more general result is discussed in [33] along with an algorithm to search for an optimal solution which is often, but not always, the minimal solution. We will always search for the minimal solution, i.e. the one where the degrees of the Hilbert series are minimal. An example may be provided in the 2HDM where
\[
H_1(K[V]^G, t) = \frac{1 + t^3 + 4t^4 + 2t^5 + 4t^6 + t^7 + t^{10}}{(1 - t^2)^4 (1 - t^3)^3 (1 - t^4)} ,
\] (3.28)
and an alternative non-minimal Hilbert series,
\[
H_2(K[V]^G, t) = \frac{1 + t^2 + t^3 + 4t^4 + 3t^5 + 8t^6 + 3t^7 + 4t^8 + t^9 + t^{10} + t^{12}}{(1 - t^2)^3 (1 - t^3)^3 (1 - t^4)^2}.
\] (3.29)

We can readily check that the non-minimal solution uses only three degree two primary invariants and two degree four primary invariants. Thus, eqs. (3.28)–(3.29) are an example of the non-uniqueness of a Hilbert series.

Example. Let $H(K[V]^G, t)$ be the Hilbert series of the 2HDM, written in eq. (3.28). Theorem 1 states that its numerator is palindromic, which is true. While all of Popov’s results are true for all but a finite number of representations, the 2HDM is one of them, i.e. the representation $2(3) \oplus 5$ is one of the “almost all representations”. Then,
\[
q = 11 = \dim V ,
\] (3.30)
\[
\deg \left( H(K[V]^G, t) \right) = -11 = -\dim V ,
\] (3.31)
\[
\dim G = \frac{2\tau}{\gamma} = \frac{2 \times 864}{576} = 3 ,
\] (3.32)
\[
r = \dim K[V]^G = \dim V - \dim G = 11 - 3 = 8 .
\] (3.33)

With eq. (3.29), the exact same results can be extracted, although it is not a minimal solution.
4 Computing the Hilbert series

While the computation of the Hilbert series by the Molien formula for finite groups enjoys a large amount of software and information, the computation for infinite groups is much less straightforward.

Calculating eq. (3.15) is usually achieved by the use of the plethystic exponential as the integrand using the characters of the representations of $G$. In [19] there is a collection of such character functions in the appendix. Ungraded and multi-graded Hilbert series are then computed with the residue theorem and the fact that

$$PE[z_j, t, r_1 \oplus r_2] = PE[z_j, t, r_1] \times PE[z_j, t, r_2],$$

(4.1)

as we know that $\text{Tr}(a \oplus b) = \text{Tr}(a) + \text{Tr}(b)$. Nevertheless, the use of the residue theorem has a stark impact on the complexity of the computation. For large representations or multivariate integrations the closed form of the Hilbert series may take too long to compute, too much memory or even be impossible with current technology. This problem has since prevented the use of invariant theory in physics for more complicated problems.

4.1 Omega calculus

Combinatorics has been a constant intersection with invariant theory. In more recent years, the same field has been essential for the computation of invariants.

In the work of Percy MacMahon [20] the author illustrates partition analysis by solving combinatorics problems. Suppose we want to find all non-negative integer solutions to $3a - 2b + c = 0$. Then, the generating function will be an Elliott-rational function, a rational function which can be written as products in the denominator of the type $A-B$, where $A$ and $B$ are monomials in the variables. Then it is characterized by

$$\sum_{3a-2b+c=0; a,b,c \geq 0} t_1^a t_2^b t_3^c.$$

(4.2)

Next, we introduce a new variable $\lambda$ and use an operator $\Omega$ to force the constant term of the series, such that

$$\sum_{3a-2b+c=0; a,b,c \geq 0} t_1^a t_2^b t_3^c = \Omega \sum_{a,b,c \geq 0} \lambda^{3a-2b+c} t_1^a t_2^b t_3^c.$$

(4.3)

It can be shown that both the function before applying the operator and the one after are Elliott-rational functions [20]. In the $3a - 2b + c = 0$ example eq. (4.3) may be written in closed form as

$$\frac{1 + t_1 t_2^2 t_3}{(1 - t_1^3 t_3^2)(1 - t_2 t_3^2)} = \Omega \frac{1}{(1 - \lambda^3 t_1)(1 - \lambda^{-2} t_2)(1 - \lambda t_3)}.$$

(4.4)

The solution can be expanded in $1 + t_2 t_3^2 + t_1 t_2^2 t_3 + \cdots$, all of which are solutions to the Diophantine equation, e.g. $3 \times 0 - 2 \times 1 + 2 = 0$ and $3 \times 1 - 2 \times 2 + 1 = 0$. 
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In general one defines the operator $\Omega$ as in [20]

$$\Omega = \sum_{j_1=1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{j_m=1}^{\infty} a_{j_1,\ldots,j_m} \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_m := a_0, \ldots, 0,$$

(4.5)

where the variables $\lambda_i$ are restricted to the neighbourhood of $|\lambda_i| = 1$. The computation of such operation has been extensively covered by [34] which culminated with the development of the Omega package for Mathematica and later, by Guoce Xin, for Maple [35, 36]. Besides the difference in platform, Guoce Xin’s software uses a faster algorithm based on a different approach detailed in his paper.

The fast algorithm in [35] is a very powerful tool for computing Hilbert series with the Weyl formula. It contrasts with the residue theorem as a faster and less resource hungry method and it is based on the following. Let $G$ be a semi-simple group with a maximal torus $T$ with an action on $V$ given by $	ext{diag}[m_1(z), \ldots, m_n(z)]$ where $m(z)$ are Laurent monomials [27] in $z_1, \ldots, z_m$. Then the character is given by

$$\chi_r = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i(z),$$

(4.6)

with $n = \dim V$. Let us define with eq. (3.15) and eq. (3.16) the Hilbert series

$$H(K[V]^G, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^m} \oint_{|z_1|=1} \cdots \oint_{|z_m|=1} \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \cdots \frac{dz_m}{z_m} \frac{\prod_{\alpha^+} \left(1 - \prod_{l=1}^{m} z_l^{\alpha^+_{l}}\right)}{(1 - m_1(z)t) \cdots (1 - m_n(z)t)}. $$

(4.7)

It then follows [27] that the Hilbert series $H(K[V]^G, t)$ is the coefficient of 1 as series in $z_1, \ldots, z_n$ of

$$\frac{\prod_{\alpha^+} \left(1 - \prod_{l=1}^{m} z_l^{\alpha^+_{l}}\right)}{(1 - m_1(z)t) \cdots (1 - m_n(z)t)}.$$

(4.8)

Thus, using Omega calculus, one can use eq. (4.5) to write the important equality

$$H(K[V]^G, t) = \Omega = \left[ \frac{\prod_{\alpha^+} \left(1 - \prod_{l=1}^{m} z_l^{\alpha^+_{l}}\right)}{(1 - m_1(z)t) \cdots (1 - m_n(z)t)} \right],$$

(4.9)

where instead of $\lambda_i$ we have $z_i$. We note that the assumption that $|z_i| = 1$ is a straightforward assumption in the Weyl formula. It is noteworthy that this algorithm will always work in a function of the type $F(z_1, \ldots, z_m) \in K[z_1, \ldots, z_m, z_1^{-1}, \ldots, z_m^{-1}]$, i.e. it is described by powers of its variables and reciprocals alone, which is a common property in many physical applications.

The speed of Xin’s algorithm is owed to partial fraction decomposition and with it we are able to remove entire rational functions which do not contribute to the final answer. This idea is first attributed to Richard P. Stanley in [37].
4.2 Pratical computation in Maple

The use of Xin’s algorithm is fairly straightforward. It was used in [38] with Ell.mpl shortly after its introduction and in [39] where a version of eq. (4.9) is introduced and the package Ell2.mpl is used. The package is rather straightforward with the main function of our interest to be the command \( \text{E} \_ \text{OeqW}(f, v, v_e) \), where \( f \) is the integrand, \( v \) and \( v_e \) are all the variables and the variables to integrate, respectively.

**Example.** We will compute the Hilbert series for the 2HDM in [16] starting with eq. (5.3). Thus,

\[
H(K[V]^G, q, y, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=1} \frac{dz}{z^2} (1 - z^2) \text{PE}[z, q, 5] \text{PE}[z, y, 3] \text{PE}[z, t, 3],
\]

(4.10)

where

\[
\text{PE}[z, q, 5] \text{PE}[z, y, 3] \text{PE}[z, t, 3] = \frac{1}{(1-t)(1-\frac{t}{z^2})(1-tz^2)(1-y)(1-\frac{y}{z})(1-q)(1-qz^2)(1-\frac{q}{z})(1-qz^2)},
\]

(4.11)

and \((1 - z^2) = \prod_{\alpha +} \left(1 - z^{\alpha^+}\right)\). Then, using eq. (4.9) we have

\[
H(K[V]^G, t) = \Omega \left[ (1 - z^2) \text{PE}[z, q, 5] \text{PE}[z, y, 3] \text{PE}[z, t, 3] \right],
\]

(4.12)

where \( z \) is the variable to eliminate and \( q, y \) and \( t \) are the remaining variables. In Maple we write:

```
restart:
read("/path/to/Ell2.mpl")

integrand:=(q,y,t,z) -> write_our_eq.(4.11) * (1-z^2)
f := E_0eqW(integrand(q,y,t,z), [q,y,t,z], [z]):
g := normal(f)
```

By simply multiplying the numerator and denominator by \((1 - q^2y^2)(1 - qt^2)/[(1 - qy)(1 - qt)]\) it becomes clear that we have successfully reproduced eq. (5.4) of [16].

**Example.** The ungraded Hilbert series in eq. (3.12). While it is clear that we may just do \( q = y = t \) in our first example, we want to demonstrate the method when there are powers in the denominator. Hence, we start with

\[
\text{PE}[z, t, 5] \text{PE}[z, t, 3] \text{PE}[z, t, 3] = \frac{1}{(1-t)^3(1-\frac{t}{z^2})^3(1-tz^2)^3(1-tz^4)},
\]

(4.13)

and thus

\[
H(K[V]^G, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=1} \frac{dz}{z} \frac{(1-z^2)}{(1-t)^3(1-\frac{t}{z^2})^3(1-tz^2)^3(1-tz^4)}
\]

\[
= \Omega \left[ \frac{(1-z^2)}{(1-t)^3(1-\frac{t}{z^2})^3(1-tz^2)^3(1-tz^4)} \right].
\]

(4.14)
Then we use Maple and write:

```maple
restart:
read("/path/to/Ell2.mpl")

integrand:=(t,z) -> (1 -z^2)/((1 - t )^3(1 - t/z^2)^3(1 - t*z^2)^3
(1 -t/z^4)(1 - t*z^4))

f := E_0eqW(integrand(t,z), [t,z], [z]):
g := normal(f)
```

The output will be

\[ H(K[V]^G, t) = \frac{1 - t^2 + t^3 + 5t^4 + t^5 - t^6 + t^8}{(1 - t)^3(1 - t^2)^3(1 - t^3)^2(1 + t)^2(1 + t + t^2)} , \]

which after some algebra we can write as

\[ H(K[V]^G, t) = \frac{1 + t^3 + 4t^4 + 2t^5 + 4t^6 + t^7 + t^{10}}{(1 - t^2)^4(1 - t^3)^3(1 - t^4)} , \]

in agreement with eq. (3.12).

5 The 3HDM

The case of the full characterization and counting of invariants in the 3HDM is still an open
problem. It is clear that the computation of their properties mirrors the one of the 2HDM,
albeit the fact that it is much more complicated. We will present here for the first time the
full computation of the Hilbert series of the 3HDM, in both expanded and closed form.

5.1 Definition of the Hilbert series

Following our previous results in decomposing \( V \) we may quickly arrive at the relevant
decomposition of the 3HDM. The decomposition of \( z_{ij,kl} \) is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
z_{ij,kl} &\rightarrow \left[ \text{Sym}^2(3) \otimes \text{Sym}^2(\bar{3}) \right] \oplus \left[ \text{Alt}^2(3) \otimes \text{Alt}^2(\bar{3}) \right] \\
&= [6 \otimes \bar{6}] \oplus [3 \otimes 3] \\
&= [1 \oplus 8 \oplus 27] \oplus [1 \oplus 8] \\
&= 1 \oplus 1 \oplus 8 \oplus 8 \oplus 27 .
\end{align*}
\]

The decomposition of \( \mu_{ij} \) is straightforward and hence,

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu_{ij} &\rightarrow 1 \oplus 8 , \\
z_{ij,kl} &\rightarrow 1 \oplus 1 \oplus 8 \oplus 8 \oplus 27 .
\end{align*}
\]

a result that we compute differently in appendix A. From here, we can already define the
Hilbert series as in eq. (3.15) with the plethystic exponentials. Thus, the multigraded
Hilbert series we are interested in is defined as
\[
H(K[V]G, s, t, u, q) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \oint_{|z_1|=1} \oint_{|z_2|=1} \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \frac{dz_2}{z_2} (1 - z_1 z_2) \left(1 - \frac{z_2^2}{z_1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{z_1^2}{z_2}\right) \times
\]
\[
\text{PE}_{[z_1, z_2, s]} \text{PE}_{[z_1, z_2, t]} \text{PE}_{[z_1, z_2, u]} \text{PE}_{[z_1, z_2, q, 27]}, \tag{5.3}
\]
where the token variables are \(s, t, u\) for the three adjoint representations and \(q\) for the 27. From eq. (3.17) we can compute the plethystic exponentials of the 8’s and the 27. The plethystic exponential depends only on the character polynomials that we construct with the weight system of the irreducible representations. With LieART [40] it is straightforward to compute
\[
\chi_8(z_1, z_2) = z_1 z_2 + \frac{z_2^2}{z_1} + \frac{z_1^2}{z_2} + 2 + \frac{z_2}{z_1^2} + \frac{z_1}{z_2^2} + \frac{1}{z_1 z_2}, \tag{5.4}
\]
and
\[
\chi_{27}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{z_1}{z_2^2} + \frac{z_2^2}{z_1^2} + \frac{z_1^3}{z_2^3} + \frac{z_2^3}{z_1^3} + \frac{1}{z_1^2} + \frac{z_1^2}{z_2^2} + \frac{z_2^4}{z_1^4} + \frac{z_1^4}{z_2^4} + \frac{1}{z_1^2 z_2^2} + \frac{2 z_2^4}{z_1^5} + \frac{2 z_1^4}{z_2^5} + 2 z_1 z_2 + \frac{2}{z_1 z_2} + \frac{2}{z_2} + 3. \tag{5.5}
\]
Then, through eq. (3.17) we have
\[
\text{PE}_{[z_1, z_2, s]} = \left[ (1-s)^2 \left(1 - s \frac{z_1^2}{z_2^2}\right) \left(1 - s \frac{z_2^2}{z_1^2}\right) \left(1 - s \frac{1}{z_1 z_2}\right) \right]^{-1}, \tag{5.6}
\]
and
\[
\text{PE}_{[z_1, z_2, q, 27]} = \left[ (1-q)^3 \left(1 - q \frac{1}{z_2^2}\right) \left(1 - q \frac{z_1^2}{z_2^2}\right) \left(1 - q \frac{1}{z_1 z_2}\right) \left(1 - q \frac{z_2^2}{z_1^2}\right) \left(1 - q \frac{z_1^2}{z_2^2}\right) \times
\]
\[
\left(1 - q \frac{z_1}{z_2}\right)^2 \left(1 - q \frac{z_2}{z_1}\right)^2 \left(1 - q \frac{z_1^2}{z_2^2}\right) \left(1 - q \frac{z_2^2}{z_1^2}\right) \left(1 - q \frac{z_1^2}{z_2^2}\right) \left(1 - q \frac{z_2^2}{z_1^2}\right) \times
\]
\[
\left(1 - q \frac{z_1^3}{z_2^3}\right) \left(1 - q \frac{z_2^3}{z_1^3}\right) \left(1 - q \frac{1}{z_1 z_2}\right) \left(1 - q \frac{z_1^2}{z_2^2}\right) \left(1 - q \frac{z_2^2}{z_1^2}\right) \times
\]
\[
\left(1 - q \frac{z_1^3}{z_2^3}\right) \left(1 - q \frac{z_2^3}{z_1^3}\right) \left(1 - q \frac{1}{z_2^2}\right) \left(1 - q \frac{z_1^2}{z_2^2}\right) \left(1 - q \frac{z_2^2}{z_1^2}\right) \right]^{-1}, \tag{5.7}
\]
which we already recognize as Elliott-rational functions. It may be inferred by examining eqs. (5.6) and (5.7) that the computation of this particular integral is difficult due to the existence of higher order poles, cubic and quartic polynomials as well as the plain fact that we are dealing with multivariate residues. These are known to be specially difficult to handle.
5.2 Expansions and plethystic logarithm

An alternative to the direct computation of the Hilbert series is an expansion as a formal series in the token variables. As it turns out this expansion is well-behaved and easy to compute. With it we may apply the residue theorem for $z_1, z_2 \to 0$ after truncating the series. Then,

\[
H(K[V]^G, s, t, u, q) = 1 + q^2 + u^2 + tu + t^2 + su + st + s^2 + 2q^3 + q^2 u + qu^2 + u^3 + q^2 t \\
+ qtu + tu^2 + qt^2 + t^2 u + t^3 + q^2 s + qsu + su^2 + qst + 2stu + st^2 \\
+ q^3 s^2 + s^2 + t + st + q + 4q^4 + 2q^3 u + 4q^2 u^2 + qu^3 + u^4 + 2q^3 t + 5q^2 t u \\
+ 3q^2 u^2 + tu^3 + 4q^2 t^2 + 3q^2 t u + 3t^2 u^2 + q^3 t^3 u + t^4 + 2q^3 s + 5q^2 s u \\
+ 3q^2 u^2 + su^3 + 5q^2 s t + 6q s u + 4stu^2 + 3q^2 s t^2 + 4s^2 u + st^3 + 4q^2 t s \\
+ 3qs^2 u + 3s^2 u^2 + 3qs^2 t + 4s^2 t u + 3s^2 t^2 + q^3 s^3 + s^3 u + s^3 t + s^4 + 6q^3 \\
+ 8q^4 u + 11q^4 u^2 + 5q^2 u^3 + 2su^4 + u^5 + 8q^4 t u + 14q^2 tu^2 + 6qtu^3 \\
+ 2tu^4 + 11q^3 t^2 + 14q^2 t^3 u + 10qt^2 u^2 + 3t^2 u^3 + 6q^2 t^3 u + 3t^3 u^2 + 2q^4 t \\
+ 2t^4 u + t^5 + 8q^4 s + 17q^4 s u + 14q^2 s u^2 + 6qs u^3 + 2su^4 + q^3 s t + 27q^2 s t u \\
+ 17q s t u^2 + 6su^3 + 14q^2 s t^2 + 17q^2 s t^3 u + 8st^2 u^2 + 6qs^3 t + 6s^3 t u + 2s t^4 \\
+ 11q^3 s^2 + 14q^2 s^2 u + 10qs^2 u^2 + 3s^2 u^3 + 14q^2 st^2 u + 17qs^2 t u + 8s t^2 u^2 \\
+ 10qs^2 t^2 + 8s^2 t^2 u + 3s^2 t^3 + 5q^2 s^3 + 6qs^3 u + 3s^3 u^2 + 6qs^3 t + 6s^3 t u \\
+ 3s^2 t^2 + 2qs^4 + 2s^4 u + 2s^4 t + s^5 + O((stuq)^6). \quad (5.8)
\]

The ungraded Hilbert series is then given by equaling $t = s = u = q$,

\[
H(K[V]^G, t) = 1 + 7t^2 + 22t^3 + 94t^4 + 438t^5 + 1971t^6 + 8376t^7 + 34973t^8 + 138426t^9 \\
+ 525486t^{10} + 1912602t^{11} + 6685563t^{12} + 22488737t^{13} + 72974065t^{14} \\
+ 228829031t^{15} + 694812413t^{16} + 2046440237t^{17} + 5856320772t^{18} \\
+ 16308266932t^{19} + 44255437022t^{20} + O(t^{21}). \quad (5.9)
\]

where we see a direct interpretation with eq. (3.6). It is important to note that these invariants are not necessarily algebraically independent, as this distinction will be computed only with the closed form of the Hilbert series.

The plethystic logarithm will be given by eq. (3.19) and it will allow us to know the type of invariants relevant for our study. The expansion is very long and we present the degree two and three invariants along with the first syzygy, i.e. the first negative term. The expansion is

\[
\text{PL}[H(K[V]^G, s, t, u, q)] = q^2 + u^2 + tu + t^2 + su + st + s^2 + 2q^3 + q^2 u + qu^2 + u^3 + q^2 t \\
+ qtu + tu^2 + qt^2 + t^2 u + t^3 + q^2 s + qsu + su^2 + qst \\
+ 2stu + st^2 + qs^2 + s^2 u + s^2 t + s^3 + \ldots \\
- s^2 t^2 u^3 + \ldots. \quad (5.10)
\]

From here, we are only missing the information from the closed form of Hilbert series, how many invariants and of what degree.
5.3 The Hilbert series of the 3HDM

The Hilbert series of the 3HDM would naively be computed with the use of the residue theorem. By doing so, one quickly finds the computation to be very complex as various problems come into play. First, by solving higher degree polynomials in the denominator and integrating the first time we arrive at a second integration plagued with square, cubic and quartic roots of the integration variable. Secondly, it is not trivial to deal with the complex roots nor to use substitution of variables in the integrand. Omega calculus, used here for the first time for NHDM physical applications, offers the solution for all of these shortcomings.

In the 3HDM we have

$$\prod_{\alpha^+} \left( 1 - \prod_{l=1}^{m} z_l^{n_l} \right) = (1 - z_1 z_2) \left( 1 - \frac{z_2}{z_1} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{z_2}{z_1} \right)$$

and then by eq. (4.9) and eq. (5.2) we write the ungraded Hilbert series as

$$H(K[V]^G, t) = \Omega \left[ (1 - z_1 z_2) \left( 1 - \frac{z_2}{z_1} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{z_2}{z_1} \right) \right] \text{PE}[z, t, 8]^3 \text{PE}[z, t, 27].$$

The code in Maple is straightforward and described in subsection 4.2. It ran for 56 minutes using 5 Gb of memory in a personal laptop equipped with an Intel Core i7-8750H. The solution, while not immediately in the form most useful to us, consists on the rational function

$$H(K[V]^G, t) = \frac{P_{146}(t)}{(1-t)^{43}(1+t)^{20}(1+t^2)^{10}(1+t+t^2)^{16}(1+t+t^2+t^3+t^4)^9} \times \frac{1}{(1+t^3+t^6)(1+t^2+t^3+t^4+t^5+t^6+t^8)^5},$$

where we refrained from writing the full palindromic polynomial of degree 146 in the numerator.

Before going forward we note several interesting properties of eq. (5.13). First, the Krull dimension is 43, or equivalently the number of physical parameters minus the three singlets. This comes directly from eq. (3.26) as $(27 + 3 \times 8) - 8 = 43$. We will make this connection exact in the next section. Second, by expanding around $t = 1$ we get

$$H(K[V]^G, t) = \frac{\lambda}{(1-t)^{43}} + \frac{\tau}{(1-t)^{42}} + \mathcal{O}((1-t)^{-41}),$$

in agreement with eq. (3.10), and

$$\lambda = \frac{1936873185248320313}{33716902552613683200000000}, \quad \tau = \frac{1936873185248320313}{8429225638153420800000000}.$$  

From eq. (3.25) we also add validity to our earlier assumptions that eq. (3.26) is valid in the 3HDM. Thus,

$$2\frac{\tau}{\gamma} = 8 = \dim G,$$

achieving the expected result.
From eq. (5.13) it is not trivial to find a minimal Hilbert series that satisfies the same Krull dimension and also eqs. (3.20)–(3.23) and eqs. (3.25)–(3.26). Simple algebraic manipulations lead us to thousands of solutions. Thus, we devise a brute-force algorithm. We start by expanding eq. (5.13) to 500 terms and then we multiply it by various possible denominators with Krull dimension 43. Then, we filter the numerator and test it for the palindromic property while requiring the coefficients to be non-negative. After an intensive search using the NumPy package in Python we get to a seemingly minimal solution.\(^2\) Thus, the Hilbert series describing the most general 3HDM is given by\(^3\)

\[
H(K[V]^G, t) = \frac{P_{166}(t)}{(1 - t^2)^7 (1 - t^3)^8 (1 - t^4)^9 (1 - t^5)^3 (1 - t^7)^5 (1 - t^9) (1 - t^{12})^4}
\]

(5.17)

where the palindromic polynomial \(P_{166}(t)\) is too large to write here but we write it in subappendix B.7 and in the supplementary material attached to this paper. We already see that eq. (5.17) also agrees with eq. (3.22) and eq. (3.23) in that

\[
\deg(H(K[V]^G, t)) = -51 = -\dim V = -q.
\]

(5.18)

Furthermore, we note the large degree invariants in eq. (5.17) contrasting with the case of the 2HDM. The question remains if this Hilbert series is minimal. Although we are confident with the result, only a subsequent study on the invariants themselves can point to whether this is an optimal solution. This will be the topic of a future paper \cite{41}.

6 Properties of the NHDM

In this section we will work out a number of interesting properties of the NHDM, which we can learn from the tools used so far. Our first result concerns the counting of the physical parameters of the NHDM.

**Theorem 4.** Let the model be the most general NHDM. Then, the number of physical parameters is given by

\[
N_{\text{physical}} = \frac{N^4 + N^2 + 2}{2},
\]

(6.1)

where \(N\) are the number of doublets.\(^4\)

**Proof.** Let the group \(G = \text{SU}(N)\) be the family transformations of the NHDM and let us define a physical parameter as a family invariant parameter. Then we may define the invariant ring \(K[V]^G\) as having Krull dimension \(N_{\text{physical}}\) and field \(K = \mathbb{C}\). The dimension of the initial space \(\dim V\) is then given by

\[
\dim V = \sum_i \dim r_i = \frac{N^2(N^2 + 3)}{2},
\]

(6.2)

---

\(^2\)NumPy turns out to be much faster with numpy.poly1d() at multiplying and manipulating polynomials than SymPy.

\(^3\)In the spirit of appendix B this is the SU(3) Hilbert series of three 8’s and one 27.

\(^4\)This result was first conjectured and stated by J.P. Silva in a private discussion built on table 1 of \cite{21}. Here, we show a formal proof of it.
Table 1. Physical parameters of the NHDM with a group of family transformations SU(N).

| N  | Number of parameters (dim V) | $N_{\text{physical}} = \dim K[V]^G$ |
|----|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 2  | 14                            | 11                                   |
| 3  | 54                            | 46                                   |
| 4  | 152                           | 137                                  |
| 5  | 350                           | 326                                  |
| 6  | 702                           | 667                                  |
| 7  | 1274                          | 1226                                 |
| ... | ...                           | ...                                  |
| $N$ | $\frac{N^2(N^2+3)}{2}$       | $\frac{N^4+N^2+2}{2}$                |

where $\mathbf{r}_i$ are the representations of the decomposition of the matrices $\mu$ and $z$, and the last equality is given by the counting of total parameters. Then, we use a theorem in [42], asserting that the Krull dimension of $K[V]^G$ is given by

$$\dim K[V]^G = \dim V - \dim G + \dim G_v,$$

where $G_v$ is the stabilizer of $G$.\(^5\) Because we already know that $\dim G = N^2 - 1$, we only need to compute the dimension of the stabilizer for our case. Specifically, whether is zero ($G$ acts freely on $V$) or not. In ref. [43] the authors establish that if the action is reducible, and it is in our case, then $G$ acts freely if at least one irreducible action acts freely. In particular, for the irreducible representation of a simple group, which is the case of SU($N$), $\dim G_v = 0$ if and only if $\dim \mathbf{r}_i > \dim G$. Thus, we only have to show that in the NHDM, there is always an irreducible representation with dimension greater than $N^2 - 1$. This is trivial because the decomposition of the NHDM always implies the computation of $\mathbf{r}_a \otimes \mathbf{r}_a$ for $\mathbf{r}_a$ being the adjoint representation. This will always result in at least a representation of higher dimension than $\dim G$ which will always be needed for the decomposition. Hence, in the NHDM

$$\dim K[V]^G = \dim V - \dim G + \dim G_v = \frac{N^2(N^2+3)}{2} - (N^2 - 1) + 0 = \frac{N^4 + N^2 + 2}{2}. \quad \square$$

Our proof sheds light on the conditions of eq. (3.26) and shows its validity for a number of cases. This result does not hold in general for cases where symmetries are enforced in the Lagrangian. It does however bound the number of physical parameters in any NHDM. We summarize theorem 4 in table 1.

\(^5\)In fact there is a distinction here between the notion of transcendence degree and Krull dimension. Nevertheless, we don’t need to worry about it as they are the same in finitely generated algebras.
Another result we provide regards the decomposition of multi-Higgs doublet models. 

**Theorem 5.** Let the model be the NHDM with $N > 3$. Then the vector space of parameters $V$ is decomposed as

$$V = 3(1) \oplus 3(N^2 - 1) \oplus \left(\frac{N^2(N + 1)(N - 3)}{4}\right) \oplus \left(\frac{N^2(N - 1)(N + 3)}{4}\right),$$  

with

$$\dim V = 3 + 3(N^2 - 1) + \left(\frac{N^2(N + 1)(N - 3)}{4}\right) + \left(\frac{N^2(N - 1)(N + 3)}{4}\right) = \frac{N^2(N^2 + 3)}{2}. \quad (6.6)$$

The decomposition of $\mu_{ij}$ and $z_{ij,kl}$ is given by

$$\mu_{ij} \to 1 \oplus (N^2 - 1),$$

$$z_{ij,kl} \to 2(1) \oplus 2(N^2 - 1) \oplus \left(\frac{N^2(N + 1)(N - 3)}{4}\right) \oplus \left(\frac{N^2(N - 1)(N + 3)}{4}\right). \quad (6.7)$$

The proof for this theorem is given in appendix A.\(^6\) We summarize our results in table 2, where we used the LieART package for Mathematica to confirm results. The functions $a_N$ and $b_N$ are defined as

$$a_N = \frac{N^2(N + 1)(N - 3)}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad b_N = \frac{N^2(N - 1)(N + 3)}{4}, \quad (6.8)$$

and the last line is representative of theorem 5.

\(^6\)We thank Renato Fonseca for providing the outline of this proof in a private communication.
7 Parameter counting with symmetries

So far we have discussed both the decomposition of the matrices of the Lagrangian, and the invariants of the most general multi-Higgs scalar models. However, we have not presented any result towards the use of symmetries in the Lagrangian. In this section we show how to count all of the remaining parameters after imposing a symmetry.

The main idea of this technique is to enumerate invariants for a symmetry group $G$. By doing so, we count the number of parameters of the Lagrangian, which in specific cases might be larger than the number of independent physical parameters. In column two of table 1 we obtained the number of physical parameters needed to describe a generic NHDM. Using the basis freedom, we could reduce the number of parameters to that obtained in column three of table 1. The numbers obtained in this section parallel those obtained in column two of table 1, but now for a symmetry-constrained NHDM. Indeed, specific groups might still allow for some remnant basis freedom, which might be used to reduce the number of independent parameters required. Below, an example is provided in the 2HDM with $Z_2$ symmetry and subsequent discussion after eq. (7.10). As we will see, applying $Z_2$ to the 2HDM still allows for a rephasing of the second doublet; a freedom which may be used in order to cancel the imaginary part of one quartic coupling. In such examples, one may reduce the counting of parameters by choosing a specific basis. Nevertheless, the maximum number of parameters that remain in the Lagrangian are given by the following basis-invariant technique.

**Theorem 6.** Let us consider a symmetry by the action of a group $G$. We choose a representation $\rho(g) = r = \bigoplus r_i$ for the fields. Then the number of parameters is given by the number of singlets in

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu_{ij} &\rightarrow \tilde{r} \otimes r, \\
z_{ij,kl} &\rightarrow \left[\text{Sym}^2(r) \otimes \text{Sym}^2(\bar{r})\right] \oplus \left[\text{Alt}^2(r) \otimes \text{Alt}^2(\bar{r})\right].
\end{align*}
\]

\[\text{(7.1)}\]

**Proof.** By imposing a symmetry in the Lagrangian we are decomposing the vector space $V = \mu \oplus z$ in irreducible representations of $G$. In contrast with the strategy for basis invariants, we know that only the degree one invariants can remain. These correspond to elements $(1 - t)$ in the denominator of the Hilbert series. A remarkable property of these terms is that they can be factored out from the summation as they do not depend on the representation.\(^7\) This can be understood by decomposing

\[
r_T = (\tilde{r} \otimes r) \oplus \left[\text{Sym}^2(r) \otimes \text{Sym}^2(\bar{r})\right] \oplus \left[\text{Alt}^2(r) \otimes \text{Alt}^2(\bar{r})\right]
\]

\[= n(1) \oplus \bigoplus_{r_j \neq 1} r_j,
\]

\[\text{(7.2)}\]

where $r_T$ is the representation of the full decomposition. Then,

\[
H(K[V]^G,t) = \sum_{r_T} \frac{1}{\det (1 - t r_T)} = \frac{1}{(1-t)^n} \sum_{r_j \neq 1} \frac{1}{\det (1 - t \bigoplus r_j)}
\]

\[\text{(7.3)}\]

\(^7\)In fact we had already silently agreed to this when we left out the three singlets of the 3HDM from the computation of the Hilbert series.
and thus,

\[ H(K[V], t) = (1 - t)^{-n}H(K[V'], t) . \]  

(7.4)

The remaining irreducible representations \( r_j \) are not invariant by themselves and require higher degrees to form an invariant. Consequently, we need not compute the Hilbert series to know how many invariants of degree one exist. This quantity is given by \( n \) in eq. (7.2), the number of singlets.

**Example.** We consider the 2HDM with a \( Z_2 \) symmetry. We choose the representation \( r = 1 \oplus 1' \) corresponding to the transformation \( \text{diag}(1, -1) \). Then, with the product rule

\[ 1' \otimes 1' = 1 , \]  

(7.5)

we get

\[ \mu_{ij} \rightarrow (1 \oplus 1')^{\otimes 2} = 2(1) \oplus 2(1') . \]  

(7.6)

For \( z_{ij,kl} \) we need first to know what corresponds to \( \text{Sym} \) and \( \text{Alt} \). This can easily be achieved with the character table and with

\[ \chi_{\text{Sym}^2}(g) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \chi(g)^2 + \chi(g^2) \right) , \]

\[ \chi_{\text{Alt}^2}(g) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \chi(g)^2 - \chi(g^2) \right) , \]  

(7.7)

where \( \chi(g) \) is the character of \( g \). This system always has a solution. Here, by choosing \( g = a \), with \( a^2 = e \), we have

\[ \chi_{\text{Sym}^2}(a) = \frac{1}{2} (0 + 2) = 1 , \]

\[ \chi_{\text{Alt}^2}(a) = \frac{1}{2} (0 - 2) = -1 . \]  

(7.8)

The only possible solution is that

\[ (1 \oplus 1')^{\otimes 2} = \text{Sym}^2(1 \oplus 1') \oplus \text{Alt}^2(1 \oplus 1') = (1 \oplus 1 \oplus 1') \oplus (1') . \]  

(7.9)

Consequently, the matrix \( z_{ij,kl} \) decomposes as

\[ z_{ij,kl} \rightarrow [(1 \oplus 1 \oplus 1') \otimes (1 \oplus 1 \oplus 1')] \oplus [1' \otimes 1'] \]

\[ = 6(1) \oplus 4(1') . \]  

(7.10)

Hence, we conclude that the 2HDM has 8 parameters left after imposing \( Z_2 \), 2 from \( \mu_{ij} \) and 6 from \( z_{ij,kl} \). We note four important facts. First, these 8 parameters are not physical, but 7 will be. Indeed, \( \lambda_5 \) can be made real after rephasing. Second, this result is completely basis-invariant. We might have chosen an equivalent matrix other than \( \text{diag}(1, -1) \), but nevertheless, any equivalent two dimensional representation would still decompose as above. Third, we have not taken rephasings into account as this is a consequence of the action of a global \( U(1) \) for one field alone. This is only possible after \( Z_2 \) is imposed. Lastly, we may even do better than just count the number of parameters in \( z_{ij,kl} \). By knowing how many singlets come from the Alt part, in this case just one, we can use the decomposition in \( SU(2) \) to assign it to a particular group of parameters. Similarly, we can do the same for the Sym part.
Example. We consider the 2HDM with a $\mathbb{Z}_3$ symmetry. We define $\omega = \exp(2i\pi/3)$ and choose $r = 1' \oplus 1''$ corresponding to the action of $\text{diag}(\omega, \omega^2)$. The product rules are given by

$$1' \otimes 1' = 1'', \quad 1'' \otimes 1'' = 1', \quad 1' \otimes 1'' = 1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.11)

Then,

$$\mu_{ij} \to (1' \oplus 1'')^{\otimes 2} = 2(1) \oplus 1' \oplus 1''.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.12)

Choosing the element $g = a$ with $a^3 = e$, the identity element, we find

$$\chi_{\text{Sym}^2}(a) = \frac{1}{2} (1 + (-1)) = 0,$$

$$\chi_{\text{Alt}^2}(a) = \frac{1}{2} (1 - (-1)) = 1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.13)

where we used that $\chi(a) = \text{Tr} [\text{diag}(\omega, \omega^2)] = -1 = \chi(a^2)$. Then, the only possibility is

$$(1' \oplus 1'')^{\otimes 2} = \text{Sym}^2(1' \oplus 1'') \oplus \text{Alt}^2(1' \oplus 1'') = (1 \oplus 1' \oplus 1'') \oplus (1).$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.14)

Therefore, we decompose $z_{ij,kl}$ as

$$z_{ij,kl} \to [(1 \oplus 1' \oplus 1'') \otimes (1 \oplus 1' \oplus 1'')] \oplus [1 \otimes 1]$$

$$= 4(1) \oplus 3(1') \oplus 3(1'').$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.15)

Hence, we conclude that the 2HDM with $\mathbb{Z}_3$ symmetry has 6 parameters, 2 from $\mu_{ij}$ and 4 from $z_{ij,kl}$. Here, the number of parameters coincides with the number of physical parameters.

Example. We consider the 2HDM with a $\text{U}(1)$ symmetry. We use the transformation $\text{diag}(e^{i\xi}, e^{-i\xi})$, which corresponds to $r = \bar{1}' \oplus 1'$. The product rules are given by

$$1' \otimes 1' = 1'', \quad \bar{1}' \otimes \bar{1}' = \bar{1}''', \quad \bar{1}' \otimes 1' = 1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.16)

where contrarily to the other examples, we have another representation appearing in the product rules, a consequence of $G$ infinite. With it, $\mu_{ij}$ decomposes as

$$\mu_{ij} \to (\bar{1}' \oplus 1')^{\otimes 2} = 2(1) \oplus 1'' \oplus \bar{1}''.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.17)

The characters, if we choose $a$ to be the element with representation $\text{diag}(e^{i\xi}, e^{-i\xi})$, are given by

$$\chi_{\text{Sym}^2}(a) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 4 \cos^2(\xi) + 2 \cos(2\xi) \right) = 1 + 2 \cos(2\xi),$$

$$\chi_{\text{Alt}^2}(a) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 4 \cos^2(\xi) - 2 \cos(2\xi) \right) = 1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.18)

Thus, the only solution is given by

$$(\bar{1}' \oplus 1')^{\otimes 2} = \text{Sym}^2(\bar{1}' \oplus 1') \oplus \text{Alt}^2(\bar{1}' \oplus 1') = (1 \oplus 1'' \oplus \bar{1}'') \oplus (1).$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.19)
Therefore, we decompose $z_{ij,kl}$ as

$$z_{ij,kl} \rightarrow \left[ (1 \oplus 1'' \oplus \bar{1}'') \otimes (1 \oplus 1'' \oplus \bar{1}'') \right] \oplus [1 \otimes 1] = 4(1) \oplus 3(1''m) \oplus 3(1'''m). \quad (7.20)$$

This result is remarkably similar to the case of $\mathbb{Z}_3$. This is not coincidental as in fact they lead to the same symmetry constraint in the 2HDM [21]. This can be seen from the fact that it only differs in the representations that are primed, those that we will not keep.

*Example.* We consider the 2HDM with a $S_3$ symmetry. We choose $r = 2$ corresponding to the action of doublet representation in the fields. The product rules are given by

$$1' \otimes 1' = 1, \quad 1' \otimes 2 = 2, \quad 2 \otimes 2 = 1 \oplus 1' \oplus 2. \quad (7.21)$$

Then $\mu_{ij}$ decomposes as

$$\mu_{ij} \rightarrow 2 \otimes 2 = 1 \oplus 1' \oplus 2. \quad (7.22)$$

Choosing $g = (1, 2)$ and consulting the character table we get

$$\chi_{\text{Sym}^2}(g) = \frac{1}{2}(0 + 2) = 1,$$
$$\chi_{\text{Alt}^2}(g) = \frac{1}{2}(0 - 2) = -1. \quad (7.23)$$

Then, the only possibility is

$$2 \otimes 2 = \text{Sym}^2(2) \oplus \text{Alt}^2(2) = (1 \oplus 2) \oplus (1'). \quad (7.24)$$

Thus, $z_{ij,kl}$ decomposes as

$$z_{ij,kl} \rightarrow \left[ (1 \oplus 2) \otimes (1 \oplus 2) \right] \oplus [1' \otimes 1'] = 3(1) \oplus 1' \oplus 3(2). \quad (7.25)$$

Consequently, this model has 4 parameters, 1 from $\mu_{ij}$ and 3 from $z_{ij,kl}$. This can be checked against [44].

*Example.* We consider the 3HDM with a $A_4$ symmetry. We choose $r = 3$ corresponding to the action of doublet representation in the fields. The product rules are given by

$$1' \otimes 1' = 1'', \quad 1'' \otimes 1'' = 1', \quad 1' \otimes 1'' = 1, \quad 3 \otimes 3 = 1 \oplus 1' \oplus 1'' \oplus 2(3). \quad (7.26)$$

Then $\mu_{ij}$ decomposes as

$$\mu_{ij} \rightarrow 3 \otimes 2 = 1 \oplus 1' \oplus 1'' \oplus 2(3). \quad (7.27)$$

Choosing $g = (1, 2)(3, 4)$ and consulting the character table we get

$$\chi_{\text{Sym}^2}(g) = \frac{1}{2}\left((-1)^2 + 3\right) = 2,$$
$$\chi_{\text{Alt}^2}(g) = \frac{1}{2}\left((-1)^2 - 3\right) = -1. \quad (7.28)$$
where we used $g^2 = e$, the identity element. The only possibility is

$$3^\otimes 2 = \text{Sym}^2(3) \oplus \text{Alt}^2(3) = (1 \oplus 1' \oplus 1'' \oplus 3) \oplus (3).$$  \hfill (7.29)

Thus, $z_{ij,kl}$ decomposes as

$$z_{ij,kl} \rightarrow \left[(1 \oplus 1' \oplus 1'' \oplus 3) \otimes (1 \oplus 1' \oplus 1'' \oplus 3)\right] \oplus [3 \otimes 3]$$

$$= 5(1) \oplus 5(1') \oplus 5(1'') \oplus 10(3).$$  \hfill (7.30)

With this we conclude that this model has 6 parameters, 1 from $\mu_{ij}$ and 5 from $z_{ij,kl}$. This can be checked against [45].

Example. Finally we consider the 3HDM with a $S_4$ symmetry. We will not write every tensor product rule in this case they are many. Choosing $r = 3$ we get

$$\mu_{ij} \rightarrow 3^\otimes 2 = 1 \oplus 2 \oplus 3 \oplus 3'.$$  \hfill (7.31)

Choosing $g = (1, 2)$ we get the characters

$$\chi_{\text{Sym}^2}(g) = \frac{1}{2} (1^2 + 3) = 2,$$

$$\chi_{\text{Alt}^2}(g) = \frac{1}{2} (1^2 - 3) = -1,$$  \hfill (7.32)

and then

$$z_{ij,kl} \rightarrow \left[(1 \oplus 2 \oplus 3) \otimes (1 \oplus 2 \oplus 3)\right] \oplus [3' \otimes 3']$$

$$= 4(1) \oplus 1' \oplus 5(2) \oplus 6(3) \oplus 4(3').$$  \hfill (7.33)

Thus, the 3HDM with $S_4$ symmetry has 5 parameters, 1 from $\mu_{ij}$ and 4 from $z_{ij,kl}$. This can be checked against [45].

There are many interesting analysis that one can make from these examples. It is easy to check that choosing the 2HDM with a symmetry diag$(i, -i)$ yields a similar result to the one we obtained with $Z_2$. However, choosing diag$(1, i)$ yields a similar result to the one of $Z_3$ and $U(1)$. Both are cases in which a group effectively acts as another.

One result we can infer from this and previous sections is that due to the decomposition of any NHDM into representations of $\text{SU}(N)$, we are always guaranteed to have three singlets. This result follows from the fact that any $G$ that we choose will be a subgroup of $\text{PSU}(N)$. If $\text{SU}(N)$ guarantees three singlets, so will any symmetry groups. Furthermore, these three will be physical parameters.

8 Conclusions

We studied in detail the group structure of the matrices in the scalar potential of multi-Higgs doublet models. We show its decomposition under irreducible representations of $\text{SU}(N)$ with a simple formula using the symmetric and antisymmetric part of the tensor product. With this decomposition, the study of the physical parameters of the theory becomes attainable.
We have used a tool from partition theory, Omega calculus, to compute complicated Hilbert series without using the residue theorem. Its use in high-energy physics is a first, as most computations depend on the residue theorem. In particular, we compute for the first time the closed form of the Hilbert series of the 3HDM, a result previously very difficult to obtain by standard methods. From this function, we will be able to completely characterize the physical parameters of the 3HDM.

Using a number of formal results in invariant theory we proved that the most general NHDM has \((N^4 + N^2 + 2)/2\) physical parameters. We also showed a theorem on the decomposition of NHDM into irreducible representations of SU(N). We presented a formula to decompose the matrices of the Lagrangian for all \(N > 3\).

For the first time we derived a basis-invariant method for counting parameters in a Lagrangian with both basis-invariant redundancies and global symmetries. We show that the knowledge of tensor product decomposition and character theory is enough for attaining this purpose. Furthermore, this technique does not require analysis of the Lagrangian itself.

With invariant theory, we hope that a clear path to a full basis-invariant overview to the physical parameters of NHDM will soon be possible. There are still many unanswered questions on the CP properties and the physical parameters in theories with symmetries, both of which we have not addressed in this paper.
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A Proof of theorem 5

In section 2 we decomposed the matrices \(\mu\) and \(z\) of the scalar potential

\[
V_H = \mu_{ij}(\Phi_i^\dagger \Phi_j) + z_{ij,kl}(\Phi_i^\dagger \Phi_j)(\Phi_k^\dagger \Phi_l),
\]

such that its bare elements transform under a direct sum of irreducible representations of SU(N). In order to do that we have followed Trautner [16] in the use of projection operators to enforce hermiticity and symmetrization in indices. With it we concluded that the matrices \(\mu\) and \(z\) decompose as

\[
\mu_{ij} \rightarrow \bar{r} \otimes r,
\]

\[
z_{ij,kl} \rightarrow \left[\text{Sym}^2(r) \otimes \text{Sym}^2(\bar{r})\right] \oplus \left[\text{Alt}^2(r) \otimes \text{Alt}^2(\bar{r})\right].
\]

With eq. (A.2) we provide a proof of theorem 5.
Knowing that the decomposition of $\mu$ is trivial, we will focus our attention to the decomposition of $z_{ij,kl}$. To that end, we make use of Young tableaux. Let $G = SU(N)$ with $N > 3$. Then the square of the fundamental and anti-fundamental representations is given by

$$r_f \otimes r_f = \begin{array}{c} \framebox{ } \otimes \framebox{ } \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \framebox{ } \otimes \framebox{ } \end{array} = \text{Alt}^2(r_f) \oplus \text{Sym}^2(r_f), \tag{A.3}$$

and

$$\bar{r}_f \otimes \bar{r}_f = \begin{array}{c} \framebox{ } \otimes \vdots \framebox{ } \otimes \vdots \framebox{ } \otimes \vdots \framebox{ } \end{array} = N - 1 \begin{array}{c} \framebox{ } \otimes \vdots \framebox{ } \otimes \vdots \framebox{ } \otimes \vdots \framebox{ } \end{array} = \text{Alt}^2(\bar{r}_f) \oplus \text{Sym}^2(\bar{r}_f). \tag{A.4}$$

Thus, we compute the terms in eq. (A.2) as

$$\text{Sym}^2(\bar{r}_f) \otimes \text{Sym}^2(r_f) = N - 1 \begin{array}{c} \framebox{ } \otimes \vdots \framebox{ } \otimes \vdots \framebox{ } \otimes \vdots \framebox{ } \end{array} = 1 \oplus N - 1 \begin{array}{c} \framebox{ } \otimes \vdots \framebox{ } \otimes \vdots \framebox{ } \otimes \vdots \end{array} = N - 2 \begin{array}{c} \framebox{ } \otimes \vdots \framebox{ } \otimes \vdots \framebox{ } \otimes \vdots \end{array}, \tag{A.5}$$

and also

$$\text{Alt}^2(\bar{r}_f) \otimes \text{Alt}^2(r_f) = N - 2 \begin{array}{c} \framebox{ } \otimes \vdots \framebox{ } \otimes \vdots \framebox{ } \otimes \vdots \end{array} = 1 \oplus N - 1 \begin{array}{c} \framebox{ } \otimes \vdots \framebox{ } \otimes \vdots \framebox{ } \otimes \vdots \end{array} \oplus N - 2 \begin{array}{c} \framebox{ } \otimes \vdots \framebox{ } \otimes \vdots \framebox{ } \otimes \vdots \end{array}. \tag{A.6}$$

It is clear that this procedure is valid for $N > 3$. For $N = 3$ the last term in eq. (A.6) does not exist, but the remaining terms do. Next, we compute the dimensions of each individual term in eqs. (A.5)–(A.6) by using the known formula for the dimension of Young tableaux in $SU(N)$. Then, we have

$$\dim \begin{array}{c} \framebox{ } \otimes \vdots \framebox{ } \otimes \vdots \framebox{ } \otimes \vdots \end{array} = \frac{(N + 1)N!}{N(N - 2)!} = N^2 - 1, \tag{A.7}$$

the adjoint representation. The dimension of the last term of eq. (A.5) is given by

$$\dim \begin{array}{c} \framebox{ } \otimes \vdots \framebox{ } \otimes \vdots \framebox{ } \otimes \vdots \end{array} = \frac{(N + 2)(N + 3)N!(N + 1)!}{4(N + 1)(N + 2)(N - 1)!(N - 2)!} = \frac{N^2(N - 1)(N + 3)}{4}, \tag{A.8}$$
and the dimension of the last term of eq. (A.6) is given by

\[
\dim \left( N - 2 \right) = \frac{N(N + 1)!}{4(N - 2)(N - 1)(N - 4)!} = \frac{N^2(N + 1)(N - 3)}{4}, \quad (A.9)
\]

where we already recognize the sequences that we presented in table 2 as

\[
a_N = \frac{N^2(N + 1)(N - 3)}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad b_N = \frac{N^2(N - 1)(N + 3)}{4}. \quad (A.10)
\]

Then, the full decomposition is given by

\[
V = 3(1) \oplus 3 \left( N^2 - 1 \right) \oplus \left( \frac{N^2(N + 1)(N - 3)}{4} \right) \oplus \left( \frac{N^2(N - 1)(N + 3)}{4} \right), \quad (A.11)
\]

thus completing the proof.

**B Hilbert series in SU(3)**

For completeness and such that we may provide results for the reader in case there is need for Hilbert series in SU(3) we list the ungraded Hilbert series that we computed before going to the case of the 3HDM.

**B.1 One 8**

For the case of one 8, \( \dim V = 8 \) and the Hilbert series is given by

\[
H(K[V]^G, t) = \frac{1}{(1 - t^2)(1 - t^3)}, \quad (B.1)
\]

where the Krull dimension is given by \( \dim K[V]^G = 2 \).

**B.2 Two 8’s**

For the case of two 8’s, \( \dim V = 16 \) and the Hilbert series is given by

\[
H(K[V]^G, t) = \frac{1 + t^6}{(1 - t^2)^3(1 - t^3)^4(1 - t^4)}, \quad (B.2)
\]

where the Krull dimension is given by \( \dim K[V]^G = 8 \).

**B.3 Three 8’s**

For the case of three 8’s, \( \dim V = 24 \) and the Hilbert series is given by

\[
H(K[V]^G, t) = \frac{1 + 3t^3 + 7t^4 + 9t^5 + 16t^6 + 18t^7 + 25t^8 + 30t^9 + 34t^{10} + \cdots + t^{20}}{(1 - t^2)^6(1 - t^3)^8(1 - t^4)^2}, \quad (B.3)
\]

where we omitted terms in the numerator but since it is palindromic, they are easy to compute. The Krull dimension is given by \( \dim K[V]^G = 16 \).
B.4 One 27

For the case of one 27, \( \dim V = 27 \) and the Hilbert series is given by

\[ H(K[V]^G, t) = \frac{P(t)}{(1-t^2)(1-t^3)^2(1-t^4)^3(1-t^5)^4(1-t^6)^5(1-t^7)^6(1-t^8)^7(1-t^9)^8}, \]  

(B.4)

where the numerator is too large to show in this form. It is given by a palindromic polynomial of degree 74 for which we list the first 37 coefficients

\[
\text{Coefficients} = \{1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 6, 15, 34, 73, 139, 258, 482, 851, 1486, 2531, 4148, 6603, 10222, 15334, 22377, 31836, 44133, 59736, 79024, 102166, 129198, 159916, 193698, 229724, 266860, 303653, 338555, 369956, 396288, 416179, 428567, 432774, 428567, \ldots \}.
\]  

(B.5)

The Krull dimension is given by \( \dim K[V]^G = 19 \).

B.5 One 27 and one 8

For the case of one 27 and one 8, \( \dim V = 35 \) and the Hilbert series is given by

\[ H(K[V]^G, t) = \frac{P(t)}{(1-t^2)^2(1-t^3)^5(1-t^4)^6(1-t^5)^7(1-t^6)^8(1-t^7)^9(1-t^8)^10(1-t^9)^11}, \]  

(B.6)

where the numerator is again too large to show in this form. It is given by a palindromic polynomial of degree 95 for which we list the first 48 coefficients

\[
\text{Coefficients} = \{1, 0, 0, 0, 3, 18, 67, 177, 486, 1257, 3124, 7514, 17381, 38427, 81953, 168322, 333782, 640599, 1191529, 2150336, 3771546, 6434476, 10689459, 17309116, 27342618, 42168281, 63541423, 93612205, 134923454, 190359277, 263038374, 356147162, 472718380, 615332656, 785802717, 984828515, 1211667639, 1463867914, 1737104026, 2025120038, 2319866047, 2611789299, 2890287579, 3144319605, 336313113, 3536884827, 3657565340, 3719405182, \ldots \}\}.
\]  

(B.7)

The Krull dimension is given by \( \dim K[V]^G = 27 \).

B.6 One 27 and two 8’s

For the case of one 27 and two 8’s, \( \dim V = 43 \) and the Hilbert series is given by

\[ H(K[V]^G, t) = \frac{P(t)}{(1-t^2)^4(1-t^3)^7(1-t^4)^7(1-t^5)^6(1-t^6)^5(1-t^7)^4(1-t^8)^3(1-t^9)^4(1-t^{12})^4}, \]  

(B.8)
where the numerator is again too large to show in this form. It is given by a palindromic polynomial of degree 140 for which we list the first 71 coefficients

\[
\text{Coefficients} = \{ 1, 0, 0, 4, 19, 86, 345, 1146, 3827, 12155, 36644, 105650, 291364, 768516, 1948541, 4755476, 11193876, 25464839, 56078682, 119723055, 248171206, 500124587, 981064230, 1875483402, 3497777483, 6370373651, 11340751085, 19751538630, 33682079132, 56282123455, 92221097955, 148276916468, 234088220042, 363086857757, 553623088130, 830279318916, 1225352927988, 1780463739188, 2548218933862, 3593828962209, 4996550018628, 6850786076060, 9266669669316, 123609917820989, 16300770543819, 21211810440177, 27264530683140, 34624537424009, 43455377357385, 53911052118432, 66127418414828, 80212736271900, 96237813744402, 114226219326836, 134145178588251, 155897769157145, 179317092968054, 20416297626575, 230121790218424, 256809679567690, 28377959203437, 310531697108254, 336527558907554, 361207024717583, 38400747202695, 404384397694558, 421832396805165, 435905386086712, 446235036730978, 452546292870718, \ldots \}. \quad (B.9)
\]

The Krull dimension is given by \( \dim K[V]^G = 35 \).

\subsection*{B.7 The 3HDM — one 27 and three 8’s}

For the case of one 27 and three 8’s, \( \dim V = 51 \) and the Hilbert series is given by

\[
H(K[V]^G, t) = \frac{P(t)}{(1-t^2)^7 (1-t^3)^8 (1-t^4)^9 (1-t^5)^{10} (1-t^6)^3 (1-t^7)^{10} (1-t^9)^{10} (1-t^{12})^4}, \quad (B.10)
\]

where the numerator is again too large to show in this form. It is given by a palindromic polynomial of degree 166 for which we list the first 84 coefficients

\[
\text{Coefficients} = \{ 1, 0, 0, 14, 60, 275, 1274, 5155, 20161, 75095, 264240, 885516, 2834022, 8671076, 25445735, 71779143, 195007048, 511236133, 1295636355, 3179224601, 7564677192, 17477956901, 39262235498, 85853683736, 18294543610, 380283856670, 771851230696, 153104209344, 2970515462995, 5641679579607, 10496317684056, 1914336702397, 34248056625345, 60139123080143, 103713241781054, 175754293393734, 292818290150518, 479871564512319, 773908102619016, 1228807989461265, 1921728177626469, 296133258210456, 4498163108992467, 6737417725756358, 9954327329157130, 14512200512939650, 20883028531401563, 29670307379183676, 41633441343039786, 57712749259880728, 79053716812829777, 107028745453572029, \}
\]
The Krull dimension is given by $\dim K[V]^G = 43$.

**Open Access.** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.
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