The dynamical intracluster medium: a combined approach of observations and simulations
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Abstract. Current high resolution observations of galaxy clusters reveal a dynamical intracluster medium (ICM). The wealth of structures includes signatures of interactions between active galactic nuclei (AGN) and the ICM, such as cavities and shocks, as well as signatures of bulk motions, e.g. cold fronts. Aiming at understanding the physics of the ICM, we study individual clusters by both, deep high resolution observations and numerical simulations which include processes suspected to be at work, and aim at reproducing the observed properties. By comparing observations and simulations in detail, we gain deeper insights into cluster properties and processes. Here we present two examples of our approach: the large-scale shock in the Hydra A cluster, and sloshing cold fronts.
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THE LARGE-SCALE SHOCK IN HYDRA A

The galaxy cluster Hydra A is well-known for its AGN activity, evident not only in a pair, but a whole set of X-ray cavities (McNamara et al. 1, Nulsen et al. 2, 3, Wise et al. 4). Moreover, Nulsen et al. [3] and Wise et al. [4] detected a large-scale (~ 400kpc) surface brightness discontinuity encircling the largest pair of cavities (Fig. 1, left panel). These authors interpreted the surface discontinuity as a shock caused by the same AGN outbreak that created the largest pair of X-ray cavities. However, the temperature jump that should be associated with such a shock was not yet detected.

Observations

We analyzed a new deep XMM-Newton observation of Hydra A, focusing on the large-scale shock described above (Simionescu et al. 6). The shock front can be seen, both, in the pressure map and in temperature profiles (Fig. 1, right panel). Thus, we can confirm the shock nature of the surface brightness discontinuity. The Mach number of the shock inferred in several sectors is ~ 1.3. The shape of the shock can be approximated with an ellipse centered ~ 70kpc towards the NE from the cluster center. In addition to this offset, the northern radio lobes appear larger than the southern ones.
Simulations

If the AGN interacts with a dynamical ICM, e.g. a large-scale bulk flow, the resulting structure - the combination of radio lobes, cavities, and shock - could display the observed asymmetry and offset. We explore this scenario by means of 3D hydrodynamical simulations (Simionescu et al. 6). The shock is produced by a symmetrical pair of AGN jets launched in a spherical galaxy cluster. The simulation successfully reproduces the size, ellipticity, and average Mach number of the observed shock front. The predicted age of the shock is 160 Myr and the total input energy $3 \times 10^{61}$ erg. To match the observed 70 kpc offset of the shock ellipse by large-scale coherent motions, these would need to have a high velocity of 670 km s$^{-1}$. Although this scenario can explain the overall structure (Fig. 2), the required velocity is rather high. Alternative scenarios include a motion of the AGN w.r.t. the ICM, a non-spherical cluster structure, or a combination of different effects.

COLD FRONTS

In recent years, cold fronts have been detected in many clusters (see review by Markevitch and Vikhlinin 7 and references therein). They become evident as surface brightness discontinuities, where, in contrast to shocks, the brighter side is the cooler one. The discontinuities in surface brightness, temperature and density tend to very sharp. The pressure is approximately continuous over the front. Besides cold fronts associated with
obviously merging clusters, another, somewhat weaker, variety has been detected to be "wrapped" around the cores of many otherwise relaxed and cool core clusters (Ghizzardi et al. 8, 9). The best-fit scenario available so far to explain these cold fronts is the idea of ICM sloshing, where a subcluster or, in best case, a dark matter only substructure passes near the cluster core, offsets the ICM, which then sloshes inside the cluster potential (Markevitch et al. 10, Ascasibar and Markevitch 11). Recently, also discontinuities in metallicity associated with such cold fronts have been observed (e.g. Fabian et al. 12, Dupke et al. 13), providing additional constraints on models.

We have run high resolution 3D hydrodynamical simulations of the sloshing scenario (Roediger et al. in prep.) which also trace the metal distribution in the ICM. These simulations can produce both, temperature and metallicity discontinuities (Fig. 3). We
apply this model to observed clusters to verify or disprove the sloshing scenario for them. For this purpose, we model ICM sloshing in both, spherical and elliptical clusters, and study the influence of cluster shape on the structure of the cold fronts.
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