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Abstract

In the present study, karyotypes and chromosomes of two species of the families Pomphorhynchidae (\textit{Pomphorhynchus kashmirensis}, Kaw 1941) and Neoechinorhynchidae (\textit{Neoechinorhynchus manasbalensis}, kaw 1951) from intestinal tissues of \textit{Schizothorax} and \textit{Cyprinus} spp., were studied. Karyotypes of both the species is 2n=8 in which \textit{P. kashmirensis} possess 4 submetacentric and 4 subtelocentric chromosome pairs whereas in case of \textit{N. manasbalensis} first two pairs are metacentric, next two pairs are submetacentric and last 4 pairs are telocentric. The karyotype of \textit{N. manasbalensis} seems to be more ancient, because its chromosomes are nearly telocentric. Such uniformity could be regarded as a plesiomorphic character of an ancestral karyotype.
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Introduction

Acanthocephalans, which use vertebrates as definitive hosts and arthropods as intermediate hosts, are a small group of obligate endoparasites with about 1200 species in the world [1]. They were historically classified in the phylum Nematoda [2], but were more recently recognized as a group within the phylum Rotifera in either traditional morphological [3,4] or molecular phylogenetic analyses [5]. Several authors have attempted to examine the phylogenetic relationship of Acanthocephalan classes [5-9] but their phylogenetic relationships remain to be resolved [10].

In Phylum Acanthocephala, we find very few records of the examination of the chromosomal somatops of the various species. Apparently only 5 species belonging to 3 genera have been studied: \textit{Macracanthorhynchus hirudinaceus} (Noe, 1914); of the Archiacanthocephala, and \textit{Echinorhynchus} (\textit{acus, haeruca, and polymorphus}), [11] and \textit{Pomphorhynchus proteus} [12] of the Palaeacanthocephala (Table 3). As far as the actual chromosome numbers are concerned, one can only say that the low numbers reported are in accordance with the high specialization of the Phylum, but do not give recognizable clues as to possible relationships, either within groups above the species level, or as to possible derivation from other phyla. Here for the first time we described the chromosome number and morphology of two Acanthocephalans species belonging to two families.

Materials and Methods

The species studied are \textit{Pomphorhynchus} and \textit{Neoechinorhynchus}, parasites commonly found in several freshwater fish in Kashmir valley (Table 4). In spite of this, \textit{Pomphorhynchus} and \textit{Neoechinorhynchus} appear to grow and develop to maturity in only a small number of host species [13]. \textit{Pomphorhynchus} and \textit{Neoechinorhynchus} specimens were isolated from intestinal tissues of \textit{Schizothorax} and \textit{Cyprinus} spp., sampled in the water bodies of Kashmir valley and brought back to the Zoology laboratory, University of Kashmir. The whole digestive tract was removed, longitudinally opened and examined for the presence of helminths. The parasites were placed in a colchicine saline solution for 1 h. Following hypotonic treatment (normal saline: distilled water, 1:1, for 30 minutes), they were fixed for 1h in 3:1 methanol: glacial acetic acid. The fixed parasites were then transferred to clean slides and the cells dispersed in a drop of 60% acetic acid. Finally, the slides were placed on a warm plate and the cell suspension was allowed to dry while being moved about on the surface. Slides were stained with Giemsa.

For karyotyping, chromosomes were cut out of the photomicrographs and paired on the basis of size and centromere position. The homologues were cut and arranged in metacentric, submetacentric, meta-submetacentric, telocentric, subtelocentric and acrocentric pairs. Relative lengths of chromosomes were calculated by the division of the individual chromosome length by the total haploid length and centromeric indices (ci) were determined by division of the length, i.e:

\[
\text{Relative length} = \frac{\text{Individual chromosome length}}{\text{Total haploid length of chromosome}} \times 100
\]

\[
\text{Centromeric index (CI)} = \frac{\text{Length of short arm}}{\text{Total length of chromosome}} \times 100
\]

Measurements are based on all chromosomes from 10 best metaphase spreads of parasites. The terminology relating to
centromere position follows that of Levan et al. [14]. A chromosome is metacentric (m) if the ci falls in the range of 37.5–50.0, submetacentric (sm) if 25.0–37.5, subtelocentric (st) if 12.5–25.0; acrocentric (a) if<12.5 and telocentric if 0. When the centromere position was on the borderline between two categories, both are listed.

Results

Pomphorhynchus kashmirensis Kaw, 1941

*Pomphorhynchus kashmirensis* belongs to family Pomphorhynchidae were collected from the *Cyprinus carpio communis* and *Schizothorax labiatus* of water bodies of Kashmir valley. The somatic compliment of this species revealed a diploid number of 8 chromosomes (2n=8) comprising 4 submetacentric pairs and 4 subtelocentric pairs (Figure 2). The chromosomes range in length between 4.66 µm to 8.93 µm long. The total length of the haploid complement equals 27.44 µm. Arm ratios of the complement ranges between 2.16–5.05 and the centromeric index ranges between 16.52–31.69 (Table 1). Fundamental arm number is 12. Stastically there is less significant difference between first two and last two pairs of chromosomes in relation to their relative length (P-Value=0.024; P<0.05; Students T-test). There is significant difference between their short and long arms (P-Value=0.000; P<0.01; Students T-test). On the basis of absolute length and centromeric position the chromosomes have been arranged in order of decreasing length in an ideogram (Figures 3 and 4).

**Karyotype Formula:** 2n=8=4sm+4st

| Pair number | Length of short arm (µm) | Length of long arm (µm) | Total Length (µm) L+S | Arm Ratio (L/S) | Relative Length (%) | Centromeric Index (ci) | Classification |
|-------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| 1           | 2.83                     | 6.10                    | 8.93                  | 2.16           | 32.54               | 31.69                 | submetacentric |
| 2           | 2.47                     | 5.88                    | 8.35                  | 2.38           | 30.43               | 29.58                 | submetacentric |
| 3           | 1.17                     | 4.33                    | 5.50                  | 3.70           | 20.04               | 21.27                 | subtelocentric |
| 4           | 0.77                     | 3.89                    | 4.66                  | 5.05           | 16.98               | 16.52                 | subtelocentric |

Table 1: Chromosome measurements and classification for Pomphorhynchus kashmirensis Kaw, 1941.

Neochinorhynchus manasbalensis Kaw, 1951

*Neochinorhynchus manasbalensis* belongs to family Neochinorhynchidae and were collected from the *Cyprinus carpio communis*, *Cyprinus carpio specularis* and *Schizothorax curvifrons* of water bodies of the Kashmir valley. Analysis of mitotic metaphase spreads from ten specimens showed that the modal diploid complement of *Neochinorhynchus manasbalensis* again contains 8 chromosomes (2n=8) (Figure 5). The karyotype (Figure 6) included two metacentric; two submetacentric and four telocentric chromosome pairs. The karyotype formula of Neochinorhynchus manasbalensis can be summarised as 2n=8=2m+2sm+4t. A summary of the results obtained after measuring the Giemsa-stained chromosomes of ten...
complete metaphase plates is given in (Table 2). The chromosomes are middle sized; the largest measured 7.55 µm and the smallest were 5.12 µm long. The total chromosome length of the haploid complement was 26.52 µm. Fundamental arm number is 12. There is a statistically significant difference in their sizes and centromeric indexes (P-Value = 0.003; P<0.05; Students T-test). In order to better visualize the existing differences in chromosome morphology, ideograms were constructed using the centromere indexes and relative length values (Figures 7 and 8).

**Karyotype Formula:** 2n=8=2m+2sm+4t.

**Figure 4:** Error bars with standard Error of Pomphorhynchus kashmirensis Kaw, 1941.

**Figure 5:** Chromosome preparation of Neochinorhynchus manasbalensis Kaw, 1951.

**Figure 6:** Karyotype constructed from mitotic cells of Neochinorhynchus manasbalensis Kaw, 1951.

**Figure 7:** Haploid Idiogram of Neochinorhynchus manasbalensis Kaw, 1951.

**Figure 8:** Error bars with Standard Error of Neochinorhynchus manasbalensis Kaw, 1951.

| Pair number | Length of short arm (µm) 'S' | Length of long arm (µm) 'L' | Total Length (µm) 'L+S' | Arm Ratio (L/S) | Relative Length (%) | Centromeric Index (ci) | Classification |
|-------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| 1           | 3.40                          | 3.60                        | 7.00                    | 1.06           | 26.40               | 48.57                  | Metacentric     |
| 2           | 1.80                          | 5.75                        | 7.55                    | 3.19           | 28.47               | 23.84                  | Submetacentric  |
| 3           | 0                             | 6.85                        | 6.85                    | Infinite       | 25.83               | 0                      | Telocentric     |

**Table:**
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Table 2: Chromosome measurements and classification for *Neochinorhynchus manasbalensis* Kaw, 1951.

| Family          | Species                          | No. and Morphology of Chromosomes | Reference                                      |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| Archicanthocephala | *Macracanthorhynchus hirudinaceus* | 2n=12                             | Noe, Jones & Ward [15]                         |
|                 | *Macracanthorhynchus hirudinaceus* | 2n=6                              | Robinson [16]                                  |
| Echinorhynchidae | *Pomphorhynchus proteus*          | 2n=16                             | Von Voss [12]                                  |
|                 | *Echinorhynchus acus*             | 2n=32                             | Hamann [11]                                    |
|                 | *Echinorhynchus haeruca*          | 2n=32                             | Hamann [11]                                    |
|                 | *Echinorhynchus polymorphus*      | 2n=32                             | Hamann [11]                                    |
|                 | *Echinorhynchus gadi* Mueller, 1776 | 2n=16                         | Robinson [17]                                  |
|                 | *Echinorhynchus gadi*            | 2n=16                             | Walton [18]                                    |
|                 | *Echinorhynchus truttae*         | 2n=7/8                            | Parenti et al. [19]                            |
|                 | *Acanthocephalus ranae* (Schrank, 1788) | 2n=16                       | Robinson [16]                                  |
|                 | *Acanthocephalus ranae*          | 2n=8                              | John [20]                                      |
|                 |                                  | 2n=16                             | Walton [18]                                    |
|                 | *Acanthocephalus lucii*          | 2n=6 (2sm-m+1sm) 1st (X) 1 m (Supernumerary B) | Spakulova et al. [21]                         |
| Moniliformidae  | *Moniliformis dubius*            | 2n=8 (female); 2n=7 (male)        | Robinson [16]                                  |
| Polymorphidae   | *Polymorphus minutes* (Goeze, 1782) | 2n=16                       | Robinson [16]                                  |
|                 | *Polymorphus minutes*            | 2n=16                             | Walton [18]                                    |
| Pomphorhynchidae| *Pomphorhynchus laevis* Mueller, 1776 | 2n=8                        | Robinson [16]                                  |
|                 | *Pomphorhynchus laevis*          | 2n=7/8                            | Mutafova & Nedeva [22], Fontana et al. [23]    |
|                 | *Pomphorhynchus laevis*          | 2n=6+X                            | Bambarova et al. [24]                          |
|                 | *Pomphorhynchus tereticollis*    | 2n=6+X                            | Bambarova et al. [24]                          |
|                 | *Pomphorhynchus kashmirensis* Kaw, 1941 | 2n=8=4sm+4st               | Present study                                  |
| Neoechinorhynchidae | *Neochinorhynchus manasbalensis* Kaw, 1951 | 2n=8=2m+2sm+4t           | Present study                                  |
| Rhadinorhynchidae| *Leptorhynchoide placiceps* (Westrumb, 1821) | 2n=14                     | Fontana et al. [23]                            |
|                 | *Leptorhynchoide thecatus*       | 2n=5/6                            | Bone [25]                                       |

Table 3: Summary of Chromosome number of Acanthocephala from 1891 till Date.
During the present investigation, it was observed that *Pomphorhynchus kashmirensis* showed diploid chromosome number 8 (2n=8) comprising four submetacentric and four subtelocentric pairs which are in accordance with the results of Walton (1959) who showed 8 chromosome of *Pomphorhynchus laevis*. Our results are also in agreement with Mutafova and Nedeva (1988) while studied on *Acanthocephala* studied during the present study are very similar to each other.

They also reported one pair of submetacentric autosomes. In view of the scarce data available and the lack of precise information about the chromosome number, it may seem imprudent to advance any general hypothesis about the cytotoxicity of this group. However, the large size of chromosomes and the low complement number make this animal group very interesting for cytological investigation. We therefore believe that by extending karyological research to other acanthocephalan species it may be possible to shed light on the taxonomical relationships and karyotype evolution of these parasites.

*Neochinorhynchus manasbalensis* also contains 8 (2n=8) chromosomes which includes two metacentric; two submetacentric and four telocentric chromosome pairs and this is the first record from the Kashmir valley. Karyotypes of the two species of the Acanthocephala studied during the present study are very similar to each other. They differ only in the structure of chromosome No. 1, 3 and 4 in their centromeric position. Chromosome no. 1 is submetacentric in *P. kashmirensis* but metacentric in *N. manasbalensis*, pair no. 3 is subtelocentric in *P. kashmirensis* whereas telocentric in *N. manasbalensis* and pair no. 4 is again subtelocentric in *P. kashmirensis* and telocentric in *N. manasbalensis*. The karyotype of *N. manasbalensis* seems to be more ancient, because its chromosomes are nearly telocentric. Such uniformity could be regarded as a plesiomorphic character of an ancestral karyotype. Four species of *Pomphorhynchus* have been studied which supports our results that they possess 8 diploid chromosomes [17,22-24] and none of the *Neochinorhynchus* species have been studied karyologically till date.

In Acanthocephala, we find very few records of the examination of the chromosomal components of the various species; only 15 species belonging to 8 genera of 6 families have been studied till date, in which chromosome number ranges from 5 to 32 (Table 3). Robinson (1964) showed that in *Macracanthorhynchus hirudinaceus* the diploid number is 6, and strong evidence seems to indicate that the male is heterogametic and that an X-Y pair of heterochromosomes is present. *Pomphorhynchus laevis* [24] seems to have 8 somatic chromosomes while the 3 Echinorhynchus species show 16, [11,12,17-20]. This definite ratio relationship between members of the *Echinorhynchidae* may or may not be of phylogenetic importance, but definitely does support the separation of *Pomphorhynchus* from *Echinorhynchus*, a separation which some authorities have questioned on purely morphological grounds. It is interesting to note that in the only genus in which more than one species has been studied there is a common chromosome number. As far as the actual chromosome numbers are concerned, one can only say that the low numbers reported are in accordance with the high specialization of the Phylum, but do not give recognizable clues as to possible relationships, either within groups above the species level, or as to possible derivation from other phyla.

Walton showed how the low chromosome numbers of the Acanthocephala are consistent with the high specialization of the phylum [18]. However, the limited knowledge of their karyology does not give recognizable clues to taxonomic relationships. Nevertheless, since the majority of chromosomal complements is 2n=6 or 8, while three species show a chromosome number more or less doubled, 2n=16, it can be suggested that polyploidy may have occurred. It should be remembered that the data reported by Walton [18] refer to the Hamann’s earliest records [11]. Therefore, confirmation is necessary since we observed in some individuals premature centromere division or endoreduplication with frequencies over 10%. The karyology of this group deserves further study, which may be interesting for the parasitologists, since karyological data are a good approach to taxonomical and phylogenetical problems.

**Conclusion**

Karyological features showed us that *P. kashmirensis* and *N. manasbalensis* possess same number of chromosomes (2n=8) but different chromosome morphology. In former case, four chromosomes are submetacentric and four chromosomes are subtelocentric and in the latter case, two chromosomes are metacentric; two are...
submetacentric and four are telocentric. Both the species also differed with respect to chromosome length; arm ratio; centromeric index; total haploid length of chromosomes and fundamental arm number.
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