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ABSTRACT

Background: Italy experienced the first outbreak of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) in Europe, and was among the most hardly hit European countries. Growing evidence suggests healthcare workers (HCWs) are at increased risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Infection in HCWs can lead to cross-transmission and increase community transmission. Italy was the first country in Europe to introduce mandatory vaccinations against SARS-CoV-2 for HCWs, on April 1, 2021.

Aim: To describe the policy processes and preliminary results of the introduction of compulsory vaccination against SARS-CoV-2 for HCWs in Italy.

Results and conclusion: In Italy, the adoption of the policy was possible in the context of the public health and economic crisis resulting from the pandemic, with support from the scientific community and among favorable political conditions. Preliminary data suggest the policy has so far had a positive impact on increasing vaccine uptake and lowering infection rates among HCWs. Hopefully, the lack of serious vaccine-related adverse events and the growing evidence on vaccine effectiveness will progressively strengthen vaccine confidence among HCWs. In the context of a global pandemic, the Italian experience could provide insight for policymakers in other countries considering similar policies. Further, the ethical, legal, and policy challenges raised by the current public health emergency could be used to inform future pandemic preparedness plans.

1. Purpose of the policy

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), has spread rapidly and extensively, causing more than 200 million cumulative cases and over 4 million deaths worldwide. Italy experienced the first outbreak in Europe, and was among the most hardly hit European countries. As of October 2021, more than 4 million cumulative cases and over 130,000 deaths related to COVID-19 have been identified in Italy, the second highest death toll in Europe [1].

The most severely affected regions in the country remain those in which the earliest outbreaks occurred, mainly in Northern Italy [2]. Healthcare-associated transmission may have initially played an important role in contributing to the community spread in Northern Italy, consistent with reports from China and the UK [3–6]. SARS-CoV-2 spread quickly among healthcare workers (HCWs), with HCWs accounting for 12% of national cases by June 2020 [7]. A study conducted in several hospitals in the UK and in Modena, in Northern Italy, found 12.5% of COVID-19 infections in patients admitted up to April 28, 2020, were hospital-acquired [8]. Growing international evidence supports the potential role of HCWs as superspreaders and of healthcare institutions as infection nodes, where cascades of superspreading events can occur [4,9,10]. This phenomenon might have been particularly salient in the early stages of the pandemic, as mitigation measures had not yet been fully established and infection control efforts were hindered by shortages in personal protective equipment [7,11].

Several reports have found HCWs are at increased risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection [3,11,12], which has important implications, first of all concerning HCWs’ own safety. A review of studies of nosocomial SARS-CoV-2 infection published up to March 2020 found the majority of healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) occurred in medical staff, with the highest proportion among nurses [12]. In their systematic review, Gómez-Ochoa et al. observed a pooled prevalence of severe COVID-19 of 5% among HCWs, with a 0.5% case fatality rate (CFR) [11].
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According to article 32 of the Constitution, the right to benefit from medical treatment is a fundamental right of the individual and as a collective interest that the State must safeguard. Article 35 of the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights refers to the right of access to preventive health care and the right to benefit from medical treatment under any circumstance without violating the limits imposed by respect for the human person. Article 35 of the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights recognizes health as a fundamental right of the individual and as a collective interest that the State must safeguard. According to article 32 of the Constitution, medical interventions can be made obligatory but only under the provisions of the law, which cannot be in conflict with the rights of the human person. Article 35 of the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights refers to the execution of the right of access to preventive health care and the right to benefit from medical treatment under any circumstance without violating the limits imposed by respect for the human person.

On April 8, 2021, the European Court for Human Rights ruled in favor of mandating vaccinations for schoolchildren [19]. The Court held that any compulsory medical intervention is considered an act of interference with the right to privacy, which cannot be in conflict with the rights of the human person. Article 35 of the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights recognizes health as a fundamental right of the individual and as a collective interest that the State must safeguard. According to article 32 of the Constitution, medical interventions can be made obligatory but only under the provisions of the law, which cannot be in conflict with the rights of the human person. Article 35 of the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights refers to the execution of the right of access to preventive health care and the right to benefit from medical treatment under any circumstance without violating the limits imposed by respect for the human person.

On April 8, 2021, the European Court for Human Rights ruled in favor of mandating vaccinations for schoolchildren [19]. The Court held that any compulsory medical intervention is considered an act of interference with the right to privacy, which cannot be in conflict with the rights of the human person. Article 35 of the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights recognizes health as a fundamental right of the individual and as a collective interest that the State must safeguard. According to article 32 of the Constitution, medical interventions can be made obligatory but only under the provisions of the law, which cannot be in conflict with the rights of the human person. Article 35 of the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights refers to the execution of the right of access to preventive health care and the right to benefit from medical treatment under any circumstance without violating the limits imposed by respect for the human person.

The Italian legislation did not contain any specific law concerning compulsory vaccinations for HCWs prior to the imposition of the SARS-CoV-2 vaccine mandate with an emergency decree, issued on April 1, 2021, and later converted into law (n. 76 of May 2021). The legislative process of the policy was subject to some controversy. Article 32 of the Italian Constitution requires mandatory medical interventions to be introduced through laws issued by the Legislative branch of Government, i.e. the Parliament, whereas a decree-law is an act of the Executive. Further, according to article 77 of the Constitution, the employment of a decree-law should be reserved for extraordinary or urgent circumstances, in order to safeguard the separation of powers between the Executive and the Legislative branches of Government. However, it must be noted that the decree was successively converted into law, and therefore was subject to parliamentary scrutiny. Since the introduction of the policy, several groups of HCWs have appealed to Administrative Courts in different regions of Italy, claiming law 76/21 is unconstitutional. To date, all appeals have been denied, on the grounds that the public interest protected by law 76/21 prevails over individual freedom of choice concerning vaccinations [21].

3. Content of the policy

The main regulatory provision is the imposition of mandatory SARS-CoV-2 vaccination for HCWs and workers in healthcare-related professions, as an essential requirement for practicing these professions and for performing healthcare-related services, with validity until December 31, 2021. Law 76/21 states that HCWs can be exempted from the mandate for specific and documented medical reasons, whereas those who refuse to receive the vaccine are to be transferred to duties that do not risk transmission of SARS-CoV-2, when this is possible, or suspended without pay for the remainder of 2021.

From a practical perspective, applying the policy indirectly implies tracking the vaccination status of employees, which has some inherent data protection issues. According to the current Italian legislation (Legislative Decree, LD 81/08), occupational health professionals determine HCWs’ eligibility for specific roles based on individual health assessments, with the purpose of protecting HCWs’ health. The concept of fitness for work in the occupational medical field is mainly focused on protecting the health of the worker and few are the cases where the legislation has prescribed measures for the protection of third parties. Law 76/21 does not assign occupational health professionals a role in the policy implementation process, which is in line with LD 81/08, as preserving public health is not a factor that should be considered to assess the fitness for work of HCWs. It must however be noted that, according to law 76/21, receiving the SARS-CoV-2 vaccine is a prerequisite for practicing any healthcare-related profession and for performing healthcare-related services.

The main tools employed by the policy are coercion and sanctions. Although vaccine mandates have been described as “a blunt instrument to tackle a complex issue” [22], in the case of influenza vaccination for HCWs the strategy has proven more effective and less resource-intensive compared to voluntary campaigns [16]. Further, several healthcare institutions have provided counselling sessions performed by occupational health professionals to inform and attempt to persuade vaccine-hesitant HCWs, although law 76/21 does not include any provision in this regard.

The approach is not entirely new. As aforementioned, prior to the introduction of the vaccine mandate against SARS-CoV-2, HCWs in Italy were already required to be immunized against certain diseases (such as hepatitis B, influenza, measles, mumps, rubella, varicella and pertussis) to be able to work in at-risk areas [15]. However, these vaccinations are recommended rather than mandated, and in practice HCWs refusing to comply are taken off duties that could expose them to disease. More broadly, HCWs are generally expected to modify their practice in the interest of patient safety, even if this may infringe on their autonomy, for instance by respecting infection control measures and avoiding alcohol intake.

4. Political background, actors and stakeholders

The issue of mandatory vaccinations has proven to be extremely divisive and politically salient in Italy, as occurred following the introduction of the 2017 reform on compulsory childhood vaccinations. At the time, several ‘no-vax’ and ‘free vax’ demonstrations were held against the reform, and members of parliament and ministers received
threats and verbal attacks. The coalition opposed to the reform was comprised in civil society by several small associations and informal groups, organized mainly through social networks, and in parliament by the populist Five Star Movement (M5S) and the far-right party Lega Nord [23,24].

In February 2021, Mario Draghi was sworn in as Prime Minister, at the head of a unity government supported by most of the country’s main political parties. Draghi’s government replaced a previous ruling coalition lead by Giuseppe Conte and made up of the M5S and the centre-left Democratic party (PD). Conte was forced to resign after losing the support of the majority of members of Parliament. Draghi is the former head of the European Central Bank and is a widely respected figure, both nationally and internationally. At the time of his appointment, the economic recession and public health crisis due to the pandemic were important political issues, and expectations were high that Draghi would accelerate vaccination efforts [23].

In Italy, the vaccination campaign against SARS-CoV-2 began in December 2020, with HCWs included among the priority groups for vaccine allocation. Even though the regionalized organization of healthcare delivery in Italy created some political tensions, particularly in the early stages of the pandemic [25], leadership and administrative authority for the vaccine roll-out were partly centralized in response to the crisis, which included strengthening human resources, health information systems, as well as massive investments [26].

Between February and March 2021, several small outbreaks of healthcare-acquired SARS-CoV-2 infections were linked to unvaccinated HCWs. Estimates from early April 2021 suggested that up to 15% of HCWs had yet to be vaccinated, with important differences across regions [27]. These circumstances prompted governors of several regions to call for a vaccine mandate for HCWs [28].

The emergency decree concerning the compulsory vaccination of HCWs against SARS-CoV-2 was approved by the Draghi government in April 2021, on the advice of the Ministry of Health, the National Institute of Health and the Scientific Technical Committee (a task force created in February 2020 with the objective of providing guidance concerning preventive measures to combat the spread of SARS-CoV-2). As could be expected, the introduction of the mandate divided public and political opinion.

Fig. 1 summarizes the positions of actors and stakeholders, and their respective political influence. As shown in the Figure, the Ministry of Health, the Scientific Technical Committee, and the National Institute of Health were the main institutional actors in favor of the policy. The political parties most supportive of the policy were the PD and Italia Viva (center). The M5S is historically a vaccine-skeptical movement, but in this instance was divided on the issue. The position shown in the Figure represents Giuseppe Conte, the current head of the M5S, and his supporters. Far-right parties Lega and Fratelli d’Italia were strongly against State interference with individuals’ freedom of choice concerning vaccines, but their lobbying attempts were unsuccessful. The Italian Drug Agency (AIFA), the main workers’ unions, and the union of the national Order of Physicians (FNOMCeO) were supportive towards the policy. Conversely, the most popular Nurses’ union (Nursing up) opposed mandating vaccinations [29–31].

Several demonstrations against the policy were held in Italian cities, which saw the participation of HCWs’ unions and of supporters of the anti-vaccination movement [30]. The head of Nursing up cited staffing issues that could result from suspending unvaccinated HCWs and insufficient information on vaccine safety, effectiveness and long-term adverse effects as their main reservations towards the policy [31]. This position is concerning as vaccine hesitancy among HCWs may influence patient vaccine uptake [32]. A French survey of HCWs found safety concerns intensified following the temporary ban of the AstraZeneca adeno-virus vaccine by the European Medicines Agency [33]. Another study conducted among HCWs in the UK also identified mistrust as an important driver of vaccine hesitancy: of vaccines (in general and of SARS-CoV-2 vaccines in particular), of healthcare systems and of scientific research [32].

Transparency and inclusiveness are considered pillars of ethical decision-making [34]. Due to the time-sensitive nature of the issue, the main stakeholders were mostly excluded from active participation in policy development and in the legislative process. However, national data on vaccine safety and efficacy and on vaccine-related adverse events have consistently been made publicly available in a transparent and timely manner [35,36]. Beyond the pandemic, building vaccine confidence in HCWs and reestablishing trust should become priorities. Improving communication strategies and tailoring them to specific HCWs’ profiles could help achieve and maintain high coverage rates [16,37].

5. Indicators and preliminary outcomes

Law 76/21 does not explicitly foresee a review mechanism, nor does it define any specific indicators for the evaluation of the implementation process or the assessment of the policy’s success. Vaccine uptake among HCWs has markedly increased since the introduction of the mandate: in August 2021, the percentage of HCWs adhering to the campaign ranged from 89% to 97.5% across Italian regions [38]. Data on the number of cases among HCWs also suggest a positive impact of the policy. As shown in Fig. 2, since the beginning of the vaccination campaign there has been a progressive decrease in the daily number of new cases among
HCWs, even though the country was experiencing its third epidemic wave. A second drop in new daily cases among HCWs was registered after the introduction of the policy, reaching a plateau below 30 new cases per day in June 2021. The proportion of cases occurring in HCWs among all national cases decreased from 10.5% at the end of April 2021 to 2.1% in September 2021 [39,40]. The general population also saw an important decrease in the number of daily new cases, from over 10,000 new cases per day at the peak of the third wave (April 2021) to fewer than 1000 new cases per day in July 2021 (Fig. 2).

However, the policy’s separate impact on its main objective, safeguarding public health, is difficult to discern, as the effect of several factors including vaccine uptake in the general population, other mitigation measures and changing viral characteristics must be taken into account [40]. Further, several key elements to understand this relationship remain to be determined, such as the effectiveness of current SARS-CoV-2 vaccines in reducing transmission or the duration of the immune response elicited by vaccination, particularly in light of emerging viral strains [41].

6. Undesirable effects and potential ramifications

The implementation of the policy presented some logistic issues. Partly due to the time-limited validity of the decree, healthcare organizations opted to reorganize available staff in order to replace suspended HCWs, which could have affected healthcare delivery. However, mandating SARS-CoV-2 vaccines could have prevented staff shortages by preserving HCWs from contracting infection. In their study, Woolf et al. highlighted important differences in vaccine hesitancy among minority ethnic UK HCWs, with HCWs belonging to minority ethnic groups in general at significantly higher risk of being hesitant [32]. A German survey conducted among the general population found willingness to get vaccinated against SARS-CoV-2 correlated with several socio-demographic characteristics including gender, age, education and income [42]. Therefore, suspensions and sanctions could disproportionately affect certain groups of HCWs, although further study is warranted to investigate potential discriminatory effects of law 76/21 in Italy.

Issuing a law on compulsory SARS-CoV-2 vaccines could create a precedent to mandate vaccinations against other communicable diseases for HCWs, based on the same scientific rationale. Considering previous voluntary seasonal influenza vaccination campaigns in Italy have failed to reach acceptable coverage levels among HCWs, [43] mandating vaccinations against influenza as well as SARS-CoV-2 could further increase patient safety and reduce the burden on healthcare systems [16]. Several regions of Italy have already introduced compulsory influenza vaccinations for HCWs since the pandemic, [43] although no mandate has yet been issued at the national level.

7. Conclusion

In Italy, the adoption of the emergency decree mandating SARS-CoV-2 vaccinations for HCWs was possible in the context of the public health and economic crisis resulting from the pandemic, with strong support from the scientific community and among favorable political conditions. Preliminary data suggest the policy has so far had a positive impact on increasing vaccine uptake and lowering infection rates among HCWs [44]. Hopefully, the lack of serious vaccine-related adverse events and the growing evidence on vaccine effectiveness will progressively strengthen vaccine confidence among HCWs [45].

HCWs have a professional duty to provide care and to safeguard patient safety. Therefore, HCWs should abide to any necessary and reasonable precaution to minimize harm, including preventive measures for HAIs. Healthcare institutions and governments, for their part, must ensure that HCWs fulfill these obligations. As several countries are considering mandating vaccinations against SARS-CoV-2 for HCWs, Italy’s experience could provide important insight. Further, the ethical, legal, and policy challenges raised by the current public health emergency could be used to inform future pandemic preparedness plans.
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