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A Comparison Between Radioimmunotherapy and Hyperthermic Intraperitoneal Chemotherapy for the Treatment of Peritoneal Carcinomatosis of Colonic Origin in Rats
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Background: Cytoreductive surgery (CS) followed by heated intraperitoneal chemotherapy (HIPEC) is considered the standard of care for the treatment of patients with peritoneal carcinomatosis (PC) of colorectal cancer (CRC). These surgical procedures result in a median survival of 2 years at the cost of considerable morbidity and mortality. In preclinical studies, radioimmunotherapy (RIT) improved survival after CS in a model of induced PC of colonic origin.

In the present studies we aimed to compare the efficacy and toxicity of CS followed by adjuvant RIT in experimental PC to the standard of care, HIPEC.

Methods: PC was induced by intraperitoneal inoculation of CC-531 colon carcinoma cells in three groups of Wag/Rij rats. Treatment comprised CS only, CS + RIT or CS + HIPEC, immediately after surgery. RIT consisted of intraperitoneal administration of 74 MBq Lutetium-177 labeled MG1. HIPEC was performed by a closed abdomen perfusion technique using mitomycin C (16 mg/L during 60 minutes). The primary endpoint was survival.

Results: CS only or combined with RIT was well tolerated. Rats receiving CS + HIPEC were lethargic, suffered from diarrhea, and lost significantly more weight in the first postoperative week. Median survival of rats treated with CS + RIT was significantly longer than after CS alone (97 and 57 days, respectively, \( P < .004 \)), whereas survival after CS + HIPEC or CS alone were not significantly different (76 and 57 days, respectively, \( P = .17 \)).

Conclusion: Survival after CS was significantly improved by RIT with Lutetium-177-MG1 in rats with PC of colorectal origin. Adjuvant HIPEC did not improve survival and was more toxic than adjuvant RIT.
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and the 5-year survival rate is 19–27% at the cost of considerable morbidity and mortality rates of up to 23% and 4%, respectively.6,9

In the latest reported clinical trial on adjuvant RIT in the setting of colon cancer, Liersch et al. reported results of a Phase II trial with 131I-labeled anti-CEA antibody labetuzumab administered to patients after complete resection of colorectal liver metastases. This study, where RIT was applied in an adjuvant setting to complete resection, resulted in a promising 5-year survival rate of 51.5%.10 Radioimmunotherapy using radiolabeled monoclonal antibodies directed against tumor-associated antigens may therefore be an attractive anticancer therapy in patients with small volume disease.

We therefore have studied the application of RIT as adjuvant therapy following cytoreductive surgery (CS) in the setting of PC. In previous studies regarding PC of CRC in a rat model we showed that RIT could be an effective adjuvant treatment after CS. The efficacy of adjuvant RIT in combination with CS was investigated and compared with no treatment, CS only, and RIT only. The results of this study showed a significantly improved survival of animals treated with CS followed by RIT (median 88 days) compared with those treated with CS only (median 51 days) and RIT only (median 61.1 days).11 Based on the encouraging results, showing the observed increase in survival that was achieved with low-dose RIT and concomitant low toxicity, we now aimed to compare the efficacy of this treatment to that of today’s standard of care, HI-PEC,12,13 in a preclinical setting.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animal Model of Peritoneal Carcinomatosis

WAG/Rij rats (10–12 weeks old, body weight 240–290 g, Harlan Horst, The Netherlands) were housed under nonsterile standard conditions (temperature, 20–24°C; relative humidity, 50–60%; 12-h light/dark cycle) in filter-topped cages (two rats per cage), with free access to food (Ssniff, Bio Services Uden, The Netherlands) and water. Rats were accustomed to laboratory conditions for at least 1 week before experimental use. Peritoneal carcinomatosis was induced by intraperitoneal inoculation of 2.0 × 10⁶ CC-531 colon cancer cells, as described previously.14 All experiments were approved by the local Animal Welfare Committee of the Radboud University Nijmegen and were carried out in accordance with the Dutch Animal Welfare Act of 1997.

Operative Procedure

Prior to the laparotomy, all rats were given 10 mL of saline to prevent hypovolemia. Surgical procedures were performed under general anesthesia using isoflurane 3%, O₂ and N₂O 1:1. Thirty minutes prior to and once daily until the third day postoperatively, rats were given buprenorphine (5 μg, 0.1 mL/rat/day) for analgesia. During the operation, rats were placed on a warmed mattress to limit body heat loss. All rats underwent a midline laparotomy. After opening the abdomen the extent of intraperitoneal tumor growth was scored semiquantiitatively, 0 (no macroscopic tumor), 1 (little; located at 1–2 sites with a diameter of 1–2 mm), 2 (moderate; located at 1–2 sites and a diameter 2–5 mm), or 3 (abundant; located at multiple sites and/or diameter >5 mm) in all four quadrants of the abdomen. The sum of the tumor scores of all sites represented the peritoneal cancer index (PCI).11

Subsequently, CS, including a routine omentectomy, was performed in all rats. Irresectable tumor deposits were cauterized using an electrocoagulation device. After completion of the surgical cytoreduction, the abdominal wall was closed in two layers using continuous Vicryl 3/0 sutures for the muscular component and iron wound clips for the skin in animal treated with CS only or CS + RIT.

Monoclonal Antibody, Radiolabeling, and RIT

The murine MG1 monoclonal antibody (MAB), an anti-CC531 IgG2a monoclonal antibody that recognizes a 80 kDa cell surface antigen and localizes preferentially in tumors when injected in rats bearing CC-531 tumors,15 was purchased from Antibodies for Research Applications BV (Gouda, The Netherlands). Labeling of the antibody with 177Lu was carried out as previously described.11 In brief, the MAb was conjugated with 2-(4-isothiocyanatobenzyl)-diethylenetriaminepenta-acetic acid (ITC-DTPA) (Macrocyclics, Dallas, TX) and subsequently labeled with 177Lutetium (IDB Holland, Baarle Nassau The Netherlands) and purified by gel filtration on a PD10 column (Amersham, Pharmacia Biotech, Maarsen, The Netherlands). The purified 177Lu-MG1 preparation was diluted in PBS with 0.5% BSA for injection, the specific activity of the administered 177Lu-MG1 preparation was 0.4 MBq/μg. The labeling procedure using 177Lu was performed under strict metal-free conditions.

RIT (185 μg MG1/rat, radiolabeled with 74 MBq 177Lu in 3.0 mL) was intraperitoneally injected...
immediately after surgery, as this was determined to be
the most optimal time for adjuvant administration.\textsuperscript{16}

Mitomycin-C

Mitomycin-C (MMC) was obtained from Nycomed Christiaens BV (Breda, The Netherlands) as a
powder in glass vial (40 mg/vial). Immediately before use, MMC was dissolved in 0.9\% sodium chloride to
the appropriate concentrations.

HIPEC Procedure

Following CS, while the abdomen was still ex-
posed, two multiperforated catheters (Argyle, Sher-
wood Medical, Ireland) were inserted laterally
through the abdominal wall and subsequently fixed in
the abdominal cavity. The inflow drain was placed in
the right paracolic gutter, the outflow drain in the left
subdiaphragmatic space. The intraperitoneal tem-
perature was monitored with an intra-abdominal
thermometer (PTFE Insulated thermocouple, VWR
International, Amsterdam, The Netherlands), at the
site with generally the highest tumor load (omentum).
In addition, a thermometer was placed inside the
rectum. After placement of the catheters, the
abdominal wall was closed using a continuous suture
(Ethilon 3.0, Johnson & Johnson, Ethicon) (Fig. 1).
During the HIPEC procedure, rats were removed
from the warmed mattress to prevent general hyper-
thermia. The perfusion system was filled with 250 mL
saline, containing 4 mg MMC (Mitomycin-C Kyowa,
Christiaens). The perfusate was heated in a tube coil
using a thermostatically regulated water bath set to a
temperature of 48\textdegree C and infused into the peritoneal
cavity by a roller pump (Ismatec IPS-8, Ismatec SA,
Glattbrugg, Switzerland) for the duration of 60
minutes at 10 mL/min. Abdominal inflow tempera-
ture was set at 44\textdegree C. In order to achieve a uniform
heat distribution, gentle massage of the abdomen was
applied throughout the duration of the HIPEC pro-
cedure. After completion of the perfusion, the
abdominal cavity was flushed with warmed (37\textdegree C)
saline for a period of 10 minutes. The abdomen
was opened again to remove the catheters. Subsequently,
the abdomen was closed as described previously.

Intraperitoneal Distribution of MMC and Dose
Determination

Prior to the therapy experiment with HIPEC, we
investigated the intraperitoneal distribution of the
perfusion fluid using a methylene blue stained per-

\textbf{FIG. 1.} HIPEC Perfusion System; MMC Mitomycin C Kyowa 16 mg/L perfusate. Adapted from Ref. 19. Reproduced with permis-
sion.

fusate. The perfusate was administered in the same
fashion as in the therapeutic experiment. After com-
pletion, the abdominal cavity was inspected for the
presence of blue dye in all quadrants on both parietal
as well as visceral peritoneum of the intra-abdominal
organs and the diaphragm. Subsequently, a study to
determine the dose of MMC that resulted in accept-
able toxicity was performed in nine animals (three
animals per group). Animals underwent a laparot-
omy including an omentectomy and complete bowel
inspection followed by heated perfusion of the
abdominal cavity with MMC at 4 mg/L or 16 mg/L.
Control rats underwent laparotomy and an omen-
tectomy only. Body weight and physical condition
were monitored during 6 days following the proce-
dure to assess treatment-related toxicity.

Treatment Efficacy

Seven days after intraperitoneal tumor induction
with \(2.0 \times 10^6\) CC-531 tumor cells, 45 rats, 15 per
treatment group, were randomly assigned to undergo
either CS only, CS + RIT or CS + HIPEC. The
operative procedures and application of the adjuvant
therapies were performed as described previously.
Toxicity of the treatment was determined clinically
and by weighing the rats. Body weight was expressed
as relative body weight compared with the body
weight on the day of surgery. Survival was scored,
and at autopsy the extent of tumor growth was
determined.

Follow-Up

The primary endpoint was 16-week survival. As
part of monitoring the physical condition during the
immediate postoperative period, the general condition was monitored and the body weight was measured daily during the first 2 weeks. When the humane endpoint (HEP) was reached (signs of massive hemorrhagic ascites, physical inactivity or signs of intra-abdominal tumor growth with invalidating consequences), rats were killed by O₂/CO₂-administration and immediately dissected. The HEP was determined by an experienced biotechnician who was blinded to the therapeutic regimen. At the time of the HEP rats were generally lethargic, showing signs of advanced PC as the presence of ascites. At dissection, the intraperitoneal tumor growth was scored as described previously. At 16 weeks postoperatively, the study was terminated and the remaining rats were euthanized and dissected. In case of absence of macroscopic tumor, all relevant organs, including the greater momentum, the mesentery, and the diaphragm were removed for histopathological staining to determine tumor presence microscopically. Sections were stained using hematoxylin & eosin (H&E) and/or immunohistochemical staining using the murine MG1 antibody in combination with a horse-anti-mouse IgG antibody, HRP conjugated (Vector Laboratories Inc., Burlingame, CA, USA).

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS (Chicago, IL) software and Graphpad Prism (Graphpad Software Inc., San Diego CA) for analysis. Comparison of dichotomous values was done using chi-square or Fisher’s Exact test. Nonparametric testing was performed using two-way ANOVA testing. Survival curves were analyzed using Kaplan–Meier curves and compared by means of the log-rank test. Post-testing using Bonferroni was applied to correct for multiple groups. All tests were two-sided; the level of statistical significance was set at a $P$ value of $<.05$.

RESULTS

Intraperitoneal Distribution of HIPEC and Dose Determination

The intraperitoneal distribution of the perfusate administered according to the previously described procedure, showed a distribution pattern amongst all quadrants, including the diaphragm bilaterally and at the mesenterial root (Fig. 2).

The applied dose of 16 mg MMC/L resulted in a maximum mean weight loss of 13.7 ± 2.9% at 4 days postoperatively. In addition, the first 3 days following the heated perfusion, animals were lethargic and suffered from diarrhea from day 2 until day 4 postoperatively. In contrast, the maximum weight loss in the 4 mg/L was 8.3 ± 2.9% at day 3 and 7.5 ± 2.3% at day 3 in the control group (Fig. 3). None of the animals died during the immediate postoperative period. Based on these observations, HIPEC, when administered at a dose of 16 mg/L for the duration of 60 minutes at the given temperature, was considered to be the maximal tolerable dose to be used for the HIPEC procedure.
Operative Procedure

Preoperative body weight did not differ between groups, $P = .52$ (Table 1) At laparotomy, tumor nodules were present in the omentum, liver hilum, the mesentery, and gonadal fatpads (1–3 mm diameter). Median PCI score at time of surgery was 5 (range 4–8) and was similar in all experimental groups. After surgical cytoreduction, residual disease remained in situ in 7 rats after cauterization and was equally distributed among the groups ($P = .84$). The surgical procedures without adjuvant therapy took 20–30 minutes per animal.

There was no intraoperative mortality. However, one rat in the CS + HIPEC and one rat in the CS + RIT group were euthanized after 2 and 9 days, respectively. The animal in the CS + HIPEC group showed massive weight loss as a result of bowel necrosis and subsequent perforation, the cause of death of the animal in the CS + RIT group remained unclear. The median intra-abdominal temperature during the HIPEC procedure, measured at the site where the greater omentum was removed, was 41.0$^\circ$C (range 40.4–41.6$^\circ$C). In contrast, the median rectal temperature was 34.6$^\circ$C (range 34.1–34.8$^\circ$C) (Fig. 4).

CS and CS + RIT were well tolerated, whereas animals in the CS + HIPEC groups showed signs of physical discomfort; animals of the latter group were generally lethargic and showed pilo erection two days following the procedure. In addition, these animals all suffered from diarrhea up to 4 days after the HIPEC procedure. The relative body weight after of the various treatment groups is depicted in Fig. 5. Maximum body weight loss after CS or CS + RIT was similar (7.3 ± 2.6% vs 9.3 ± 1.8% 4 days postoperatively, $P > .05$). Rats that received adjuvant HIPEC had a maximum body weight loss of 12.3 ± 1.7%, which was significantly higher than that after CS alone ($P < .001$) or CS + RIT ($P < .001$). Rats generally gained weight from the fifth postoperative day onward. In the HIPEC group, however, postoperative mean body weight remained significantly lower than that of the animals in the CS group, until 5 weeks postoperatively.

Treatment Efficacy

During the experiment, 29 animals were euthanized because of massive amounts of ascites that resulted from intraperitoneal tumor growth. The mean

### Table 1. Treatment group characteristics

| PCI                          | CS | CS + HIPEC | CS + RIT |
|------------------------------|----|------------|----------|
| Median (range)               |    |            |          |
| Preoperative body weight (g) | 266 (251–287) | 264 (245–285) | 262 (244–276) |
| Tumor score per site         |    |            |          |
| Greater omentum              | 2 (2–3) | 2 (1–2)    | 2 (1–2)   |
| Liver hilum                  | 1 (0–1) | 1 (0–1)    | 1 (0–1)   |
| Perisplenic                  | 0 (0–1) | 0 (0)      | 0 (0)     |
| Mesentery                    | 1 (0–2) | 1 (0–2)    | 1 (0–2)   |
| Gonadal fatpads              | 0 (0–2) | 0 (0–2)    | 1 (0–2)   |
| Diaphragm                    | 0 (0–1) | 0 (1)      | 0 (0–1)   |
| Parietal peritoneum          | 1 (0–1) | 1 (0–1)    | 1 (0–1)   |
| Total                        | 5 (4–8) | 5 (4–6)    | 5 (4–8)   |
| Resection macroscopically complete | 12  | 13         | 13        |
| Yes                          | 3   | 2          | 2         |
| No                           |    |            |          |

Treatment group characteristics (peritoneal cancer index; PCI) found during laparotomy before the administration of the adjuvant therapy. CS, cytoreductive surgery; HIPEC, heated intraperitoneal chemotherapy; RIT, radioimmunotherapy. PCI is expressed as median and range.
amount of ascites at the humane endpoint was 31 ± 22.6 mL, 26.5 ± 23.8 mL, and 26.4 ± 22.6 mL in the CS, RIT, and HIPEC groups, respectively (P = .82). At the time of death, mean PCI in the CS, CS + HIPEC, and CS + RIT groups was 18 (range 9–22), 12 (range 5–15), and 18 (range 16–19), respectively, with significant differences between the CS + HIPEC and both other treatment groups (P < .001 for both comparisons).

The survival curves of the various treatment groups are depicted in Fig. 6. Median survival of the rats that were treated with CS only was 57 days (range 36–112). Adjuvant HIPEC resulted in a median survival of 76 days (range 33–112), P = .17, when compared with CS only. Median survival of the rats that were treated with CS followed by the adjuvant administration of RIT was improved to a median survival of 97 days (range 49–112), P < .001 compared with CS only. When compared with CS followed by adjuvant HIPEC, the adjuvant administration of RIT to surgery did not result in an improved survival (P = .33).

At the endpoint of the study, 16 weeks after CS, 14 animals (two animals in the CS group, five animals in the HIPEC group, and seven animals in the RIT group) were still alive, without any physical signs of intraperitoneal tumor growth.

Of these 14 animals that were still alive 16 weeks after surgery, one animal in the CS + HIPEC group and three animals in the CS + RIT group showed macroscopic evidence of tumor at dissection. In the remaining 10 animals (two in the CS alone group, four in the HIPEC group, and four in the RIT group) not even microscopic tumor presence was found.

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, adjuvant radioimmunotherapy after cytoreductive surgery for peritoneal carcinomatosis of colorectal origin in rats significantly improved survival, whereas HIPEC did not. In addition, the application of HIPEC was associated with considerably more toxicity as compared to RIT.

The treatment of peritoneal carcinomatosis was studied with CC-531 syngeneic tumors that grew intraperitoneally in Wag/Rij rats. This model is highly reproducible, and the growth and distribution pattern throughout the abdominal cavity are similar to the human entity of PC. Cytoreduction performed at 7 days after tumor reduction resulted in minimal residual disease (<1 mm). In this setting, both HIPEC and RIT result in maximum therapeutic efficacy.

The MG1 MAb preferentially localizes in the CC-531 tumors, with only minor localization in thymus, lymph node, salivary gland tissue, and skin. 177Lu was selected as the radionuclide for RIT of minimal residual disease because of its high tumor uptake and adequate physical properties including a medium-energy β-emission with a maximum penetration range in tissue of 2.5 mm. In our previous studies we have used the combination of 177Lu-MG1 radionuclide-antibody. These studies demonstrated the combination to be highly effective for the improvement of survival in the model of PC as described previously. Moreover, in previous experiments we have shown that...
radioimmunotherapy with a radiolabeled irrelevant antibody is less effective by far compared with therapy with a radiolabeled specific antibody.18 HIPEC has been studied in only a few preclinical studies.19–22 These studies showed that its use was associated with a decreased tumor load compared with control groups.19 However, in these studies, HIPEC was associated with a considerable toxicity, indicated by lethargy, marked body weight loss, and bacterial translocation.23 These results on toxicity are in corroboration with the results of our study and mimic the clinical effects of HIPEC. Clinical studies with postoperative intraperitoneal chemotherapy are associated with a high mortality and morbidity.12

Of 16 published reports on the use of HIPEC in the clinical setting,13 reports described the administration of MMC.12 In vitro, MMC has also shown to inhibit growth of CC531 cells in a concentration thousand-fold lower than the concentration used in the present experiment.24 The applied dose of 16 mg/L MMC in our study is within the range of doses applied in clinical practice (5–20 mg/L)25 and is higher than described in other preclinical studies (2.25 mg/L19 and 4 mg/L22). In addition, in the present study HIPEC was applied as an adjuvant treatment to cytoreduction, whereas Pelz et al.19 applied HIPEC as monotherapy with subsequent killing of the animals after only 10 days.

The intraperitoneal distribution of MMC during the perfusion was studied before the start of the actual experiment and showed equal distribution among all quadrants. The effect of the perfusion technique on distribution differences and their associated differences in survival have never been studied clinically. Glehen and colleagues performed a large clinical study in 506 patients that were treated with both the open and closed abdomen perfusion technique. The authors reported no differences in survival between both perfusion techniques.6 This observation was confirmed by the study of Sugarbaker and colleagues.26

RIT with 74 MBq of the 177Lu-MG1 radionuclide-antibody in 3 mL has previously been shown to be an effective treatment for experimentally induced PC of colonic origin when administered intraperitoneally.11,16 The biodistribution of intraperitoneally injected 111In-labeled MG1 was studied by Koppe et al. and showed a preferential uptake of the radiolabeled antibody in the tumor.11

The extraperitoneal temperature of 48°C necessary to obtain inflow temperatures of 44°C would not have had a negative influence on the cytotoxicity of MMC, since Ahrar et al.27 showed that only temperatures exceeding 60°C decreased its cytotoxic effect. On the other hand, one has to bear in mind the fact that the additive effect of hyperthermia in HIPEC in the clinical setting has not yet been proven in a randomized trial. Elias et al. and Glehen et al. both reported the use of early postoperative chemotherapy (EPIC), without hyperthermia, ranging from day 1 to day 5 after surgery, and HIPEC. Elias et al. found no significant difference in survival. Similarly, in the multicenter study in 506 patients of whom 53.3 and 24.3% underwent HIPEC and EPIC alone, no significant difference was found in survival between the two treatment groups.6 The duration of the heated perfusion, 60 min. in this experiment, is in concordance with the recent consensus statement regarding Cytoreductive Surgery and Hyperthermic Intraperitoneal Chemotherapy in the Management of Peritoneal Surface Malignancies of Colonic Origin, stating that the perfusion should last 60–120 minutes.13 These data on the dose of MMC, the used perfusion time and temperature, together with our results that showed antitumor effect in the model of induced PC from CC531 cells (significantly lower PCI at HEP in favor of CS + HIPEC), we can conclude that the HIPEC model used in our study was able to induce regression of PC of colonic origin.

In the latest reported clinical trial on adjuvant RIT in the setting of colon cancer, Liersch et al. reported results of a Phase II trial with 131I-labeled anti-CEA antibody labetuzumab administered to patients after complete resection of colorectal liver metastases. This study, where RIT was applied in an adjuvant setting to complete resection, resulted in a promising 5-year survival rate of 51.5%.10 The results of this study is in accordance with the conclusion of a recent review on the use of RIT to treat colon cancer.11 In this review, the authors state that the time may have come for clinical trials in which RIT is added to standard regimens to establish the place of this treatment modality as an adjuvant treatment after CS.

To our knowledge, the present study is the first study comparing the use of RIT and HIPEC in an adjuvant setting to CS for the treatment of PC in colorectal cancer. Our preclinical studies indicate that the application of RIT immediately following CS can improve survival in rats with PC of CRC. Moreover, from the present study we conclude that the use of adjuvant RIT is an effective treatment with low toxicity. When compared with today’s standard of care, HIPEC, RIT was at least as effective. RIT consisted of an activity dose of 74 MBq of 177Lu-labeled MG1 per rat, resulting in only minor toxicity, whereas the theoretical MTD of 177Lu-labeled antibodies in 250 g rats could be approximately 150 MBq.11
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There are, however, some challenges to the clinical applications of adjuvant RIT. For example, after cytoreductive surgery, patients are transferred to the intensive care unit. Optimal patient care has to be balanced with radiation safety issues for the medical staff. Previously, we reported on the optimal time intensive care unit. Optimal patient care has to be applications of adjuvant RIT. For example, after surgery of PC of colorectal origin in a clinical trial setting.

Our study therefore justifies the consideration of intraperitoneal radioimmunotherapy after cytoreductive surgery in case of peritoneal carcinomatosis of colorectal cancer. In clinical studies, this approach should be compared with HIPEC.

CONCLUSION

This study showed that RIT adjuvant to CS significantly improved survival compared to CS alone in a rat model of PC of CRC, whereas the contemporary gold standard, HIPEC, did not cause a significant improvement in survival. This improvement of survival was associated with a decreased level of treatment-related toxicity compared with HIPEC. Adjuvant radioimmunotherapy might therefore be an alternative adjuvant treatment after cytoreductive surgery of PC of colorectal origin in a clinical trial setting.
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