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We study theoretically the far-from-equilibrium relaxation dynamics of spin spiral states in the three-dimensional isotropic Heisenberg model. The investigated problem serves as an archetype for understanding quantum dynamics of isolated many-body systems in the vicinity of a spontaneously broken continuous symmetry. We present a field-theoretical formalism that systematically improves on the mean field for describing the real-time quantum dynamics of generic spin-1/2 systems. This is achieved by mapping spins to Majorana fermions followed by a 1/N expansion of the resulting two-particle-irreducible effective action. Our analysis reveals rich fluctuation-induced relaxation dynamics in the unitary evolution of spin spiral states. In particular, we find the sudden appearance of long-lived prethermalized plateaus with diverging lifetimes as the spiral winding is tuned toward the thermodynamically stable ferro- or antiferromagnetic phases. The emerging prethermalized states are characterized by different bosonic modes being thermally populated at different effective temperatures and by a hierarchical relaxation process reminiscent of glassy systems. Spin-spin correlators found by solving the nonequilibrium Bethe-Salpeter equation provide further insight into the dynamic formation of correlations, the fate of unstable collective modes, and the emergence of fluctuation-dissipation relations. Our predictions can be verified experimentally using recent realizations of spin spiral states with ultracold atoms in a quantum gas microscope [S. Hild et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 147205 (2014)].
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I. INTRODUCTION

The equilibration of isolated quantum many-body systems is a fundamental and ubiquitous question in physics. It plays a central role in understanding a broad range of phenomena, including the dynamics of the early Universe [1], the evolution of neutron stars [2], pump-probe experiments in condensed-matter systems [3], and the operation of semiconductor devices [4]. The simplest perspective on the problem is to recognize a dichotomy between ergodic and nonergodic systems. The former exhibit fast relaxation to local equilibrium states occurring at microscopic time scales, followed by a slower relaxation process to global thermal equilibrium described by classical hydrodynamics of a few conserved quantities [5–7]. In contrast, nonergodic systems possess an extensive set of conservation laws that prevent their thermalization [8,9].

Recent theoretical and experimental investigations of strongly correlated systems, however, suggest significant refinements to this dichotomy. For instance, certain systems can be trapped for long times in quasistationary "prethermalized" states with properties strikingly different from true thermal equilibrium [10]. Examples include nearly integrable one-dimensional systems [11–15] and systems with vastly different microscopic energy scales in which slow dynamics results from the slow modes providing configurational disorder and thereby localizing the fast modes [16–18]. Even subtler examples of slow dynamics include the Griffiths phase of interacting disordered systems [19,20] and translationally invariant systems in higher dimensions with emergent slow degrees of freedom [10,21–29].

In this work, we discuss the emergence of slow dynamics and prethermalization in translationally invariant spin systems that possess continuous symmetries. In higher dimensions, these systems can exhibit thermodynamically stable symmetry-broken phases along with gapless Goldstone modes. Here, we show that the relaxation dynamics of low-energy initial states that allow symmetry
breaking upon thermalization is remarkably different from the relaxation of high-energy states, thereby establishing a connection between aspects of equilibrium and nonequilibrium phenomena in these systems. In particular, the slow Goldstone modes in the former case result in the emergence of long-lived nonthermal states with a hierarchical relaxation dynamics that closely resembles aging in systems with quenched disorder. A nonperturbative treatment and beyond mean-field corrections are both found to be crucial for describing the relaxation process.

We specifically study the dynamics of the three-dimensional (3D) isotropic Heisenberg model initially prepared in a spiral state; see Fig. 1(a). Our choice of spin spiral states is motivated by the following considerations. First, the winding of a spiral $Q$ serves as a tuning parameter for the energy density of the state. The full spectrum of the Heisenberg model is traversed from ferromagnetic (FM) to Néel antiferromagnetic (AFM) states upon sweeping $Q$ from 0 to $\pi$, respectively. In light of the eigenstate thermalization hypothesis (ETH) [30–32], the energy density of the initial state fully determines the fate of all local observables at late times in ergodic systems. One of the main objectives of this work is to understand the route toward thermalization of these states. Second, spiral states represent different mean-field solutions of the classical Heisenberg model, all of which are thermodynamically unstable with the exception of $Q = 0, \pi$. The fluctuation-induced destruction of the initial order and the emergence of thermodynamically stable ordered or disordered phases at longer times is another question we address here. Last, spin spiral states have been recently realized in one and two dimensions using ultracold atoms in a quantum gas microscope [33,34]. An extension of these experiments to three dimensions makes a direct experimental scrutiny of our predictions possible.

Our results indicate that spiral states tuned toward FM or AFM states exhibit a slow hierarchical relaxation and can come arbitrarily close to a dynamical arrest; see Figs. 1(b) and 1(c). Surprisingly, the relaxation dynamics is neither compatible with the trivial relaxation to local thermal equilibrium and slow hydrodynamic evolution, since the spin current is not conserved, nor with the linearized dynamics of the collective modes, which predicts exponentially growing out-of-plane instabilities. In fact, we find the instabilities to self-regulate and slow down significantly. As we elaborate in the following sections, the physical phenomena discussed here are expected to generalize to a broad range of models that exhibit a finite-temperature phase transition between a disordered and a symmetry-broken phase.

The relaxation of the Néel spin spiral state with $Q = \pi$ has been previously studied in the 1D Heisenberg model [33,35–37]. In contrast to the 3D case studied in the present work, the 1D Heisenberg model does not exhibit a symmetry-broken thermal phase and, in turn, cannot exhibit the type of prethermalization we discuss here. More recently, the dynamics of the Néel state in the Fermi-Hubbard model on an infinite-dimensional Bethe lattice has been investigated [38]; however, the approach to the steady state could not be studied due to the small effective exchange interaction.

From a technical perspective, our investigation of the nonequilibrium dynamics of spiral states has been enabled by developing a nonperturbative field-theoretic formalism applicable to generic spin-1/2 systems for arbitrary initial states and geometries, which we refer to as the “spin-2PI” formalism. This is achieved using a Majorana fermion representation of spin-1/2 operators [39,40], enlargement of the spin-coordination number by a replica-symmetric extension, and ultimately a systematic $1/N$ fluctuation expansion of the real-time two-particle-irreducible (2PI) effective action [41,42].

The recent rapid progress in the phenomenology of far-from-equilibrium quantum dynamics and its broad applications has been enabled by similar nonperturbative functional techniques. Examples include extensive studies of the $O(N)$ model in nonequilibrium [43–45]; thermalization, prethermalization, and nonthermal fixed points [10,22,46–48]; particle production; reheating and defect
generation in inflationary universe models [49–53]; and
dynamics of ultracold fermionic and bosonic gases
[54–56]. The present work is the first to utilize this
powerful technique to study the far-from-equilibrium
dynamics of interacting quantum spin systems.

Understanding the emergence of slow dynamics near
thermodynamic phase transitions has implications reaching
far beyond the domain of condensed-matter physics. For
instance, studies of nonequilibrium quantum fields in the
context of inflation and early Universe dynamics have
suggested that the slowing down of quantum evolution near
phase transitions is a plausible explanation for the large
number of light particles and broken symmetries in the
observable Universe [57]. Given that the experimental
verification of theories about early Universe phenomena
are typically rather indirect, experiments with synthetic
many-body systems that allow precise monitoring of real-
time dynamics close to phase transitions could play an
important role in elucidating the emergence of slow
evolution. The dynamics of various interacting spin sys-
tems have been already investigated in experiments with
synthetic quantum matter, including domain formation in
spinor condensates [58,59], the precise measurement of the
evolution of spin flips in the ground state of 1D lattice spin
systems [60–63], quantum coherences in long-range mod-
els [64], and the relaxation dynamics of spin spiral states in
1D and 2D Heisenberg models [33,34]. The experimental
observation of the dynamical phenomena discussed here
are thus expected to be within close reach.

This paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II, we
introduce the spin-2PI formalism, a technique we develop
to study the dynamics of interacting spin systems. Complementary technical details are presented in the
Appendix. We discuss the relaxation of spin spiral states in
Sec. III. The phenomenon of dynamical slowing down and
arrest will be presented Sec. III A, the long-time thermalization in Sec. III B, and the dynamic formation of
correlations and instabilities in Sec. III C. We conclude
our findings in Sec. IV.

II. THE SPIN-2PI FORMALISM

Consider a generic Hamiltonian describing the pairwise
interaction between localized spin degrees of freedom on a
given lattice L:

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k \in L} V^{\alpha \beta}_{j,k} \hat{S}_{j}^{\alpha} \hat{S}_{k}^{\beta},$$

(1)

where $V$ is an arbitrary interaction, $j$ and $k$ denote lattice
sites, and $\{\hat{S}_{j}^{\alpha}\}$ are spin-1/2 operators. Summation over the
repeated spin indices is assumed. Had $\hat{S}$ been classical
angular momentum variables, the Hamiltonian dynamics of
the system would be governed by the (nonlinear) Bloch
equation:

$$\frac{d\hat{S}_{j}}{dt} = \varphi_{j} \times \hat{S}_{j}, \quad \varphi_{j} = \sum_{k \in L} V^{\alpha \beta}_{j,k} \hat{S}_{k}^{\beta}. \quad (2)$$

In case of quantum spins, the Bloch equation only describes
the evolution of the spin expectation values $\langle \hat{S}_{j} \rangle$ to the extent
of which the mean-field ansatz $\langle \hat{S}_{j} \rangle \approx \langle \hat{S}_{j} \rangle$ is valid.
The latter, however, is only justified for lattices with large
coordination number, high spin particles, or in the presence
of a high-temperature bath. The crucial role of quantum
fluctuations in the dynamics of isolated spin-1/2 systems in
finite-dimensional lattices is beyond the reach of semi-
classical methods and demands a more careful treatment.

Here, we propose a formalism for transcending
the mean-field approximation for spin evolution by a
systematic inclusion of quantum corrections. This is
achieved using functional methods and a variant of the
large-$N$ expansion technique. As a first step, we con-
struct an auxiliary model in which each spin is replicated
$N$ times, and each bond is promoted to $N^{2}$ bonds between
the replicas, with equal weight but with an overall scale
factor of $1/N$. The Hamiltonian of the auxiliary model is
written as

$$\hat{H}_{N} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in L} \left( \sum_{k \in L} V^{\alpha \beta}_{j,k} \frac{1}{N} N_{\sigma=1}^{\alpha \beta} \hat{S}_{k}^{\beta} \right) \sum_{\sigma=1}^{N} \hat{S}_{j}^{\sigma}. \quad (3)$$

The initial state $|\Psi_{0}\rangle$ is also subsequently promoted to an
uncorrelated product in the replica space $\bigotimes_{\sigma=1}^{N} |\Psi_{0}\rangle_{\sigma}$. The
original problem is recovered by setting $N = 1$. We refer to
the sum appearing in the parentheses in Eq. (3) as the
exchange-field operator $\varphi_{j}$, which plays the role of an
effective fluctuating magnetic field with which the spins
interact. The described large-$N$ construction effectively
increases the coordination number of each spin $z$ to $Nz$,
thereby suppressing the fluctuations of $\varphi_{j}$ according to the
law of large numbers $\varphi_{j} \equiv \varphi_{c,j} + O(1/\sqrt{Nz})$, where
$\varphi_{c,j} \equiv \langle \varphi_{j} \rangle_{N}$ is the mean exchange field. In the limit of
infinite $N$, the exchange-field operator becomes effectively
classical such that mean-field dynamics of the original
model $\hat{H}$ emerges as the asymptotically exact description of
the dynamics in $\lim_{N \to \infty} \hat{H}_{N}$. For large but finite $N$,
the fluctuations of $\varphi$ are small but not negligible and can be
systematically incorporated into the dynamics order by
order in $1/N$. This program can be carried out within the
functional method of 2PI effective actions. Crucially,
truncating the expansion at a finite order in $1/N$ and
taking the limit $N \to 1$ yields nonperturbative and con-
serving approximations for the spin dynamics. We refer to
this method as the spin-2PI formalism, which is illustrated
schematically in Fig. 2. In brief, spins precess about a self-
consistently determined exchange mean field, and quantum
spin fluctuations are mediated by the local and nonlocal
The Majorana operators at each site \( \{ \eta_j^\alpha, \eta_j^\dot{\alpha} \} \) satisfy the Clifford algebra \( \{ \eta_j^\alpha, \eta_k^\dot{\alpha} \} = \delta_{jk} \delta^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \), from which the \( SU(2) \) algebra for spins \( \{ \vec{S}_j, \vec{S}_k \} \) = \( i \delta_{jk} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \vec{S}_j^\alpha \times \vec{S}_k^\beta \) and the Casimir condition \( \vec{S}_j^2 = 3/4 \) follow. The latter ensures a faithful spin-1/2 representation without introducing any unphysical states and obviates the necessity of using constraint gauge fields in contrast to the Schwinger slave-particle approach [65]; see the Appendices of Ref. [66] for a detailed treatment of the Majorana representation for spin-1/2 operators.

Replacing the spin operators in \( \hat{H} \) using Eq. (4), the Hamiltonian is mapped to that of a many-body system of Majorana fermions with quartic interactions. The large-\( N \) program can be identically followed by replicating the slave Majorana particles and assigning a replica index to each. We proceed by constructing a path integral for the Majorana fermions using fermionic coherent states on the closed-time-path (CTP) Schwinger-Keldysh contour. The Lagrangian is given as

\[
\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{L}} \sum_{\sigma} \eta_j^{\alpha\sigma} i \partial_t \eta_j^{\dot{\alpha}\sigma} + \frac{1}{8N} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{L}, \sigma, \sigma'} \sum_{\eta} V_{jk}^{\alpha\beta} (\eta_j \eta_k)_{\alpha\sigma} (\eta_j \eta_k)_{\beta\sigma'}.
\]

The exchange of a real-vector boson whose propagator is suppressed by a factor of \( 1/N \).

In the remainder of this section, we briefly outline the field-theoretical developments that underlie the spin-2PI formalism. Complementary technical details are given in the Appendix. A path integral for the spin-1/2 operators is constructed using a representation invoking Majorana fermions [39,40];

\[
\hat{S}_j = -\frac{i}{2} \eta_j \times \eta_j.
\]

The integer variables are shorthand for the bundle of lattice site, contour time, spin, and replica index. According to Eq. (4), the local spin expectation value is proportional to the fermion tadpole:

\[
\langle \hat{S}_j^\alpha(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} e_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \mathcal{G}^{\gamma\alpha}_{\beta\dot{\gamma}}(t^+, t).
\]

We obtain the real-time evolution equations for \( \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}, \) and \( \varphi_c \) using the 2PI effective action formalism [41]. The effective action \( \Gamma[\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}, \varphi_c] \) is found by sourcing \( \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}, \) and \( \varphi_c \) and performing Legendre transformations:

\[
\Gamma[\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}, \varphi_c] = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \ln \mathcal{G}^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} [\mathcal{G}^{-1} \mathcal{D}] + \Gamma_{\text{int}}[\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}, \varphi_c],
\]

\[
\Gamma_{\text{int}}[\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}, \varphi_c] = -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} [M[\varphi_c] \mathcal{G}] + \frac{i}{2} \varphi_c \mathcal{D}_0^{-1} \varphi_c + \Gamma_2[\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}].
\]

The bare Majorana and exchange propagators are given as \( \mathcal{G}_0^{-1}(1, 2) = i \partial_t \delta(t_1, t_2) \) and \( \mathcal{D}_0^{-1}(1, 2) = N(V^{-1}) \delta(t_1, t_2) \), respectively. \( M[\varphi_c] \) is the leading-order (LO) self-energy [see Eq. (A9)]. The evolution equations follow from making \( \Gamma \) stationary with respect to \( \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}, \) and \( \varphi_c \); see Eqs. (A7a)–(A7c).

Save for \( \Gamma_2[\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}] \), the rest of the terms appearing in \( \Gamma[\varphi_c, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}] \) scale as \( \mathcal{O}(N) \) and together comprise the LO approximation. The next-to-leading-order (NLO) corrections and beyond are represented by \( \Gamma_2[\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}] \), which formally corresponds to the sum of 2PI vacuum diagrams arising from the cubic interaction vertex.
\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}[\eta, \varphi] = \frac{i}{2} \varphi \cdot (\eta \times \eta)^\sigma = \frac{i}{2} \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \varphi^\alpha \eta^\beta \eta^\gamma \sigma. \tag{9}
\]

The \(1/N\) expansion of \(\Gamma_{\text{int}}\) to the next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) is diagrammatically given as

\[
\Gamma_{\text{int}}[\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}] = \sum_{\text{LO} \sim O(N)} + \sum_{\text{NLO} \sim O(1)} + \sum_{\text{NNLO} \sim O(1/N)}.
\]

We have used the stationarity condition, Eq. \(A7c\), to omit \(\varphi_r\) in favor of \(\mathcal{G}\) in the LO interaction terms. The Feynman diagram rules are given in Appendix A2.

Truncating the \(1/N\) expansion of \(\Gamma\) at a finite order and setting \(N = 1\) yields systematic improvements of the mean-field spin dynamics. The ensuing approximate theories are self-consistent and nonperturbative by construction and respect the conservation laws associated with the global symmetries of the microscopic action, such as magnetization and energy. The latter is crucial for the long-time stability of the nonequilibrium dynamics.

The Bloch equation is recovered upon truncating \(\Gamma\) at the LO level; see Appendix A3. Truncations at NLO and beyond give rise to memory effects due to the dynamical fluctuations of the exchange field and result in a two-time Kadanoff-Baym integro-differential equation instead of the mean-field Bloch equation; see Eqs. \((A13a)-(A14b)\).

Finally, higher-order correlators, in particular, the spin-spin correlator \(i\chi(1,2) \equiv \langle T_c [\hat{S}_1(t) \hat{S}_2(2)] \rangle - \langle \hat{S}_1(1) \rangle \langle \hat{S}_2(2) \rangle\), can be reconstructed with the knowledge of \(\mathcal{G}\) and \(\mathcal{D}\) by solving the nonequilibrium Bethe-Salpeter integral equation on the Schwinger-Keldysh contour; see Appendix A3.

We remark that in systems with large spin-coordination number \(z\), fluctuations of the exchange field are inherently suppressed and the expansion parameter is more accurately identified with \(1/\langle zN \rangle\). Therefore, the large-\(N\) expansion of the spin-2PI effective action in models with \(z \geq 1\) is expected to be controlled and rapidly converging, even after taking the limit \(N \to 1\). Studies of the \(O(N)\) model show that the most important correction to the mean-field (LO) approximation is captured by the NLO “fluctuation-exchange” diagram, along with negligible quantitative corrections from the subleading terms [67,68].

The replica-based \(1/N\) expansion proposed here differs from the usual semiclassical \(1/S\) expansion in significant ways even though they improve upon the same mean-field limit. For instance, the replicated Fock space of a single spin is reducible and has many more states compared to a pure spin-\(N/2\) representation. A technical advantage of our approach is that it preserves the underlying spin-1/2 degrees of freedom, which in conjunction to the Majorana representation leads to the familiar diagrammatic and functional methods. As discussed before, these tools significantly simplify and streamline the calculation of higher-order corrections. Furthermore, there is no preferred axis for spin quantization in the spin-2PI formalism, allowing us to study magnetically ordered and disordered states in a unified way.

## III. RELAXATION OF SPIN SPIRAL STATES IN THE 3D HEISENBERG MODEL

In this section, we investigate the unitary evolution of the spin spiral state on a 3D cubic lattice

\[
|\text{sp}(\mathbf{Q})\rangle = e^{-i \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^3} Q^R_j S^z_j \otimes | \to \rangle j},
\]

under the isotropic Heisenberg Hamiltonian \(\hat{H} = -J \sum_{(ij)} \hat{S}_i \cdot \hat{S}_j\) using the spin-2PI formalism developed in the previous section. Here, \(\langle \to \rangle_j\) denotes the \(x\)-polarized state on lattice site \(j\). The spiral is prepared in the \(xy\) plane with a winding wave vector \(\mathbf{Q}\). We assume ferromagnetic couplings \(J > 0\) for concreteness, even though the sign of the \(J\) does not affect the unitary evolution due to the time-reversal symmetry of the Heisenberg model.

The spiral state \(|\text{sp}(\mathbf{Q})\rangle\) is a simultaneous eigenstate of \(\hat{S}_a(\mathbf{Q}) \equiv \hat{T}_a \hat{R}_z(\mathbf{Q}_a), a = x, y, z\), where \(\hat{T}_a\) and \(\hat{R}_z(\mathbf{Q}_a)\) denote the translation by one lattice site along the \(a\) axis and rotation by angle \(Q_a\) about the \(z\) axis, respectively. The translation and rotation symmetries of the isotropic Heisenberg model imply \([\hat{H}, \hat{S}_a] = 0\), so that the spiral state \(|\text{sp}(\mathbf{Q})\rangle\) remains a simultaneous eigenstate of \(\hat{S}_a(\mathbf{Q})\) at all times in the course of unitary evolution. As a result, the out-of-plane magnetization \(\langle \hat{S}^z_j(t)\rangle\) vanishes identically, and the spiral magnetic order with the initial winding \(\mathbf{Q}\) persists at all times. The transverse magnetization

\[
M_{\perp}(\mathbf{Q}, t) = \frac{1}{L^3} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^3} e^{-iQ^R_j \hat{S}^z_j} [(\hat{S}_{+}^z_j(t) + i\hat{S}_{-}^z_j(t))]
\]

is the only degree of freedom at the level of single spin observables. Also, \(M_{\perp}(\mathbf{k}, t) = 0\) for \(\mathbf{k} \neq \mathbf{Q}\). We remark that even though the magnetization dynamics is significantly constrained at the level of single spin observables by symmetries, arbitrary spin correlations are allowed to form in the course of evolution, including both in- and out-of-plane spin correlations at arbitrary wave vectors.

A simplifying aspect of the present problem is that the apparently broken translation symmetry of the spiral state can be restored using an “unwinding” unitary transformation \(\hat{U}_Q \equiv \sum_j Q^R_j \hat{S}^z_j\) under which the spiral state transforms into a uniform \(x\)-polarized product state \(|\tilde{\Psi}_Q\rangle = \hat{U}_Q|\text{sp}(\mathbf{Q})\rangle = |\to\rangle_j\). The unwinding transformation, however, transforms the Hamiltonian...
\[ \hat{H} \rightarrow \hat{H} = \hat{U}_Q \hat{H} \hat{U}_Q^* \] to an anisotropic Heisenberg model with a Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya term:

\[ \hat{H} = -J \sum_{\langle j,k \rangle} [\hat{S}_j^x \hat{S}_k^x + \cos Q \cdot (\mathbf{R}_j - \mathbf{R}_k)(\hat{S}_j^y \hat{S}_k^y + \hat{S}_j^z \hat{S}_k^z) - \sin Q \cdot (\mathbf{R}_j - \mathbf{R}_k)(\hat{S}_j^y \hat{S}_k^y - \hat{S}_j^z \hat{S}_k^z)]. \]  

(13)

The translation invariance of the initial state in the spiral frame significantly simplifies the structure of the spin-2PI equations: \( G \) and \( \Sigma \) become local in the real space while \( D \) depends only on the distance between the sites. These simplifications hold for arbitrary truncations of \( \Gamma_{\text{int}} \). Additionally, the bosonic self-energy \( \Pi \) becomes local in the real space at the NLO truncation. The magnetization is nonvanishing only along the \( x \) direction in the spiral frame due to the symmetry considerations mentioned earlier. The quantities calculated in the spiral frame can be readily transformed to the lab frame using appropriate rotations. In particular, Eq. (7) gives \( M_1(Q,t) = (1/2)G^{23,r}(t) \) with \( G \) calculated in the spiral frame. We choose the winding to be along the diagonal direction \( Q = (Q, Q, Q) \) hereafter and refer to the spiral winding with the single scalar \( Q \in [0, \pi] \).

At the LO level, the spin dynamics is governed by the Bloch equation, Eq. (2). The exchange mean field \( \varphi_\nu \) is parallel to the local magnetization at all lattice sites in a spiral state, implying the absence of any dynamics. In other words, the spiral states are fixed points of the mean-field dynamics for all windings \( Q \).

Going beyond the LO dynamics and including the exchange-field fluctuations by taking into account the NLO corrections, the spiral state exhibits an intriguing fluctuation-induced relaxation dynamics. States with different windings have different energy densities, along with different strengths of in-plane and out-of-plane spin fluctuations, and are found to relax in strikingly different ways. As we discuss below, these factors conspire to give rise to a nontrivial hierarchical relaxation scenario for spiral states lying close to thermodynamically stable orders, exhibiting prethermalization [10], and dynamical arrest resembling glassy systems [69].

A. Relaxation and dynamical arrest of the transverse magnetization

The spiral state for \( Q = 0 \) is a fully polarized FM eigenstate of the Heisenberg model and is therefore stationary. The \( Q = \pi \) spiral, on the other hand, corresponds to an uncorrelated Néel state which in three dimensions has a large overlap with the correlated AFM state lying at the upper end of the spectrum of the FM Heisenberg model. As a result, the system is expected to achieve a steady state marked with a finite staggered magnetization after a short course of dephasing dynamics, provided that the generated effective temperature is below the ordering temperature. The evolution of \( M_\perp \) is shown in Fig. 1(b) for several choices of \( Q \), along with a global surface plot for \( Q \in [0, \pi] \) and \( t_f \in \{0, 30\} \) in Fig. 1(c). The stationarity of the FM state (\( Q = 0 \)) and the rapid settlement of the Néel state (\( Q = \pi \)) to a steady state with finite staggered magnetization is observed.

Short-time dephasing dynamics.—For all \( Q \), the first stage of dynamics is a short-time relaxation of the form \( M_\perp \approx 1/2 - \nu_Q t^2 \) arising from the dephasing between the eigenstates that overlap with the spiral. A straightforward calculation using the short-time expansion \( \langle \hat{S}(t) \rangle = \langle \hat{S} \rangle_0 + it\langle [\hat{H}, \hat{S}] \rangle_0 + (it)^2/2\langle [\hat{H}, [\hat{H}, \hat{S}] \rangle_0 + \cdots \) gives \( \nu_Q = \frac{1}{2} J^2 (\cos Q - 1)^2 \). The values of \( \nu_Q \) extracted from the numerically obtained \( M_\perp \) are in agreement with the exact result; see Fig. 6. The second stage of relaxation dynamics depends on the winding of spiral and is either directly thermalizing or exhibits long-lived prethermalized states preceding the true thermalization. We discuss these cases separately.

Spiral states with \( Q \approx \pi/2 \).—Spin spiral states with \( Q \approx \pi/2 \) have a high-energy density with respect to both the FM and AFM states. Thus, \( Q \approx \pi/2 \) spiral states overlap with a large number of eigenstates of the Heisenberg model. Such a broad superposition of states lead to fast dephasing, which is found to be within a few exchange times. Our results indicate a rapid onset of exponential decay \( M_\perp \approx e^{-\gamma t} \) with the fastest rate occurring at \( Q = 0.55(1) \pi \approx \pi/2 \).

Spiral states with \( Q \approx 0 \) and \( Q \approx \pi \).—A complex multicale scale relaxation scenario emerges for spirals with windings tuned to \( Q \approx 0 \) and \( Q \approx \pi \), lying close to FM and AFM magnetic orders, respectively. The transverse magnetization exhibits an intermediate plateau for these initial states which appears continuously upon tuning \( Q \); see Fig. 1. The plot of \( M_\perp \) shown in Fig. 1(b) for \( Q = 7\pi/8 \) displays the intermediate plateau followed by relaxation at later times. As \( Q \) is tuned closer toward 0 or \( \pi \), the lifetime of the plateau increases abruptly and the magnetization comes to a dynamical arrest. We investigate the nature of such long-lived plateaus in more detail in the following sections.

B. Prethermalization vs thermalization

Because of the nonintegrability of the 3D Heisenberg model, the energy distribution of spin fluctuations is expected to approach a thermal population in the long-time limit, according to the ETH [30–32]. We investigate the nature of steady states emerging in the dynamics by calculating the spin-spin correlation and response functions, corresponding to the Keldysh \( (K) \) and retarded \( (R) \) components of the CTP spin-spin correlator \( \chi(t, t') \), by solving the nonequilibrium Bethe-Salpeter equation (see Appendix A 3). At thermal equilibrium, these quantities are related via the bosonic fluctuation-dissipation relation (FDR):
where $T$ is the effective temperature. Here, $\omega$ refers to the Fourier frequency in the time difference $t - t'$ in the steady state achieved at long times. Likewise, one can define an effective temperature for the exchange-field fluctuations using the bosonic FDR between $D^K$ and $D^K$. We refer to the temperatures obtained from local spin $\chi$ and exchange fluctuations $D$ as $T_{\text{spin}}$ and $T_{\text{fluct}}$, respectively.

The effective temperatures obtained from the FDR in the steady state are shown in Fig. 3(a). For all spiral windings $Q$, we find that FDR is satisfied to an excellent degree for both local spin- and exchange-fluctuation correlators once the steady state is reached; see Fig. 3(b). However, as we discuss below, the effective temperature obtained from spin and exchange fluctuations may disagree with each other. This allows us to distinguish prethermalization from true thermalization.

**FIG. 3.** Thermalization of the spin spiral state. (a) The effective inverse temperature of local spin $T_{\text{spin}}$ and local exchange-field fluctuations $T_{\text{fluct}}$ obtained from the fluctuation-dissipation relations in the steady state. The two temperatures are in agreement for spiral windings near $Q \sim \pi/2$, supporting the true thermalization of the system. The temperatures calculated in the prethermalized plateau $Q \sim 0$, $\pi$ (shaded regions) disagree with each other and generically differ from the temperature of the true thermal states that emerge at later times. Inset: The temperature $k_B T$ as a function of $Q$ (same data as in the main panel) displays a resonance from positive infinite temperature to negative infinite temperature at the classical duality point $Q = \pi/2$. (b) The approach of $T_{\text{fluct}}$ to the steady state (light to dark) as obtained from fluctuation-dissipation relations for $Q = \pi/4$ (left) and $Q = \pi$ (right). The steady-state temperatures are shown on the plots.

**Thermalization of spiral states with $Q \sim \pi/2$.**—For a range of spiral wave vectors $\pi/4 \leq Q \leq 3\pi/4$, the steady-state temperatures obtained from all bosonic modes, i.e., local and nonlocal in- and out-of-plane spin and exchange-field fluctuations, agree with each other, suggesting the complete thermalization of the system and in accordance with the ETH.

Spirals with $Q = \pi/2$ flow to an infinite-temperature thermal state, which is understood from the duality $Q \rightarrow \pi - Q$, $J \rightarrow -J$ present in the classical Heisenberg model. This classical duality extends to the quantum Heisenberg model in the high-temperature regime. The duality point $Q = \pi/2$ further marks the resonance from positive temperatures for $Q < \pi/2$ to negative temperatures for $Q > \pi/2$; see the inset of Fig. 3(a). The $T < 0$ thermal states of the FM Heisenberg model with coupling $-|J|$ correspond to $T > 0$ states of the AFM Heisenberg model with coupling $+|J|$, and vice versa. Negative-temperature states naturally arise in isolated systems with bounded energy spectra as legitimate thermal states and occur when the initial energy density lies closer to the upper edge of the energy spectrum.

**Prethermalization of spiral states with $Q \sim 0$, $\pi$.**—For spiral states with $Q \sim 0$, $\pi$, where the system develops a prethermal plateau, the effective spin- and exchange-field-fluctuation temperatures disagree, even though the FDR is satisfied well for each mode individually. This finding supports the prethermalized nature of such steady states. It is understood that the temperatures calculated within the prethermal plateau [shown as shaded regions in Fig. 3(b)] correspond to the effective temperature of individual modes and not the true thermodynamical temperature. We expect the two temperatures to approach each other at longer times once the system exits the prethermal plateau and progresses toward a fully thermalized state.

The spiral state with $Q = 0$ is an exact ground state of the system, and FDR yields $T = 0$ as expected. In contrast, the $Q = \pi$ state approaches a finite temperature, which is understood by the fact that the uncorrelated Néel state must be “dressed” with spin correlations before the steady state is reached; see the inset of Fig. 3(a). The disparity between the evolution of $Q = 0$ and $Q = \pi$ states reveals the quantum mechanical nature of spins and the breakdown of the classical duality $Q \rightarrow \pi - Q$ in the low-temperature regime.

The 3D Heisenberg model exhibits a finite-temperature equilibrium phase transition from the disordered paramagnetic phase to the ordered FM or AFM phase, depending on the sign of the exchange coupling $J$. For the spiral at $Q = \pi$, the FDRs of the spin fluctuations are not well fulfilled at accessible times while those for exchange-field fluctuations are. The temperature extracted from the latter $|T_{\text{fluct}}(Q = \pi)| = 0.82 J$ lies below the AFM ordering.
temperature $T_{c,AFM}^{FM} = 0.946(1)J$. The latter has been obtained from quantum Monte Carlo simulations [70].

Crucially, the near-thermal distribution of fluctuations in the prethermalized plateaus, the stability of FM and AFM ordered phases at finite energy densities in the 3D Heisenberg model, and the proximity of $Q \sim 0, \pi$ spiral states to these stable orders allow us to draw a connection between the long-time stability of such spiral states and spontaneous symmetry breaking at equilibrium: The spiral winding $Q$ sets the energy density of the system and subsequently the effective temperature $T_c(Q)$ in the prethermal state. $T_c(Q)$ approximately dictates the magnitude of spin fluctuations on the top of the spiral states which locally resemble either FM or AFM for $Q \sim 0, \pi$. Depending on $Q$, $T_c(Q)$ can either lie below or above the critical transition temperature $T_{c,FM}^{FM}$ or $T_{c,AFM}^{AFM}$, thereby providing an approximate condition for the local stability of the spiral order. We will study the global instability of the spiral states and their destruction at longer times in the next section.

According to the above discussions, the connection made between the emergence of slow dynamics and symmetry breaking at equilibrium essentially hinges on the eigenstate thermalization hypothesis and the Mermin-Wagner theorem. Therefore, this connection is expected to reach beyond the present discussion and to generalize to a broader range of initial states and models that exhibit spontaneous continuous symmetry breaking.

### C. Instabilities and correlations

The dynamical stabilization of spirals near the FM and AFM orders, and consequently the appearance of prethermal plateaus, were understood on the basis of thermodynamical arguments in the previous section. However, even though the spiral states are fixed points of the mean-field dynamical equations, they are unstable and have a tendency to form out-of-plane textures as the energy of spiral states can be reduced by an appropriate out-of-plane tilt. Therefore, in a thermodynamical ensemble where arbitrary out-of-plane fluctuations are allowed, these saddle points fail to give rise to symmetry-broken states, leaving $Q = 0$ and $Q = \pi$ as the only thermodynamically stable orders in the Heisenberg model. Therefore, the present situation must be regarded from the perspective of quantum dynamics, i.e., the unitary evolution of a pure state $|\psi(Q)\rangle$ rather than the statistical fluctuations in a mixed thermodynamical ensemble. Here, the system remains in a pure state at all times and the magnetic order is confined to the xy spiral plane due to the symmetries discussed at the beginning of Sec. III. It is therefore conceivable that symmetry-protected dynamical constraints allow thermodynamically unstable saddle points to become long-lived states in the course of unitary dynamics.

**Out-of-plane instability.**—The out-of-plane instability of the spiral state in the Heisenberg model can be studied either by performing a linear response analysis of the Bloch equations, or similarly from the Holstein-Primakoff spin-wave analysis. Either way, the dispersion of out-of-plane spin waves forming on the top of the spiral is found as $\omega_k = \sqrt{c_k^2 - \Delta_k^2}$ [33,71], where

$$c_k = -JS \sum_{d=1}^{3} [(1 + \cos Q \cdot \hat{e}_d) \cos k \cdot \hat{e}_d - 2 \cos Q \cdot \hat{e}_d],$$

$$\Delta_k = -JS \sum_{d=1}^{3} [(1 - \cos Q \cdot \hat{e}_d) \cos k \cdot \hat{e}_d].$$

Unstable modes arise when $\omega_k$ assumes imaginary values. Except for $Q = 0, \pi/2, \pi$, one always finds such unstable modes: for $Q < \pi/2$, the fastest-growing mode is $k = (k, k, k)$ with $k = \cos^{-1} \{\cos^2(Q/2)\}$ along with a sharp cutoff $|k| \leq Q$; for $Q > \pi/2$, unstable modes occur

![FIG. 4. The evolution of spin correlations. Top panels: Growth rate of out-of-plane instable modes obtained from a linear response analysis. Bottom panels: Numerically calculated correlation function $\langle \hat{S}_k \hat{S}_{-k} \rangle(t) = \delta_{\Delta k}(t)$ as a function of the lattice wave vector $k = (k, k, k)$ within the spin-2PI formalism including NLO corrections. (a) $Q = 3\pi/8$, (b) $Q = \pi/2$, and (c) $Q = 3\pi/4$. The inset in (c) shows the connected part of the in-plane correlations $\langle \hat{S}_k \hat{S}_{-k} \rangle(t)$.
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for $|k - \pi| \leq Q$, with the fastest mode always being the staggered $k = \pi$ mode, independent of $Q$.

A simple estimate for the lifetime of the prethermal out-of-plane plateaus is obtained by calculating the time it takes for the typical unstable out-of-plane collective mode to grow to $O(1)$. The rationale behind this estimate is that the in-plane order can not coexist with strong enough out-of-plane fluctuations. Expanding around $Q = 0, \pi$, we obtain a scaling $t \sim 1/Q^2$ for the FM-like and $t \sim 1/(\pi - Q)$ for the AFM-like spirals, up to logarithmic corrections. However, the lifetime of plateaus as found from the spin-2PI formalism exceeds the above estimates; in particular, as $Q$ is tuned closer toward $0$ or $\pi$, we observe a faster increase of the lifetime of prethermal plateaus. As we discuss below, the increased lifetime can be explained on the basis of the self-regulation of out-of-plane spin fluctuations.

The top panels in Fig. 4 show $\text{Im}[\omega_k]$ for several values of $Q$, along with the evolution of equal-time out-of-plane spin correlations $\langle \hat{S}_k(t) \hat{S}_{-k}(t) \rangle$ obtained by solving the nonequilibrium Bethe-Salpeter equation in the NLO approximation; see the bottom panels of Fig. 4. Further, the connected part of in-plane correlations $\langle \hat{S}_k(t) \hat{S}_{-k}(t) \rangle$ is shown in the inset of Fig. 4(c).

At $t = 0$, spin correlations are 0 in accordance with the initial spiral state $|sp(Q)\rangle$ being an uncorrelated product state. The out-of-plane correlations form at times $t \sim J$. The most enhanced correlations coincide with the wave vector predicted by the linear response analysis to a good degree. The sharp cutoffs predicted by this analysis are found to be smeared, which is expected due to the mode coupling embedded in our self-consistent approach. The time scale for the formation of correlations is found to be on the order of the dephasing time, reflecting the fact that the short-time dephasing dynamics and formation of correlations are manifestations of the same phenomenon.

For spiral states that thermalize within the numerically achievable time scales, we observe a smooth shift in both in-plane and out-of-plane spin correlations from the initial $Q$-dependent enhanced modes to either $k = 0$ or $k = \pi$, depending on whether $Q < \pi/2$ or $Q > \pi/2$, respectively [see Figs. 4(a), 4(c), and the inset]. Even though the linear response analysis correctly indicates the wave vector of the fastest-growing out-of-plane mode, the spin correlations rapidly saturate to their maximum values, as opposed to an unbounded exponential growth. A similar rapid dynamical regulation of the growth of unstable modes was previously reported in Refs. [22,49] in the context of parametric resonance in the $O(N)$ model. In the present context, this phenomenon explains why the lifetime of the plateaus exceeds the estimate obtained from the linear response analysis and indicates the important role of mode coupling between spin waves and the necessity of nonperturbative treatments.

For spiral states that exhibit long-lived prethermal plateaus, we study the exchange-field correlations $D$, a quantity that is closely related to $\chi$ but can be calculated for much longer times with fewer computational resources. The evolution of $D^{zz,K}_k(t,t)$ and $D^{\pi-K}_k(t,t)$ for $Q = \pi/4$ and $Q = 7\pi/8$ are shown in Fig. 5(a). The former corresponds to a spiral state that thermalizes at about $20J^{-1}$, while the latter exhibits a magnetization plateau up to $\tau_{M} \sim 20J^{-1}$, as shown in Fig. 1. For $t \lesssim \tau_M$, the most

![Fig. 5. Dynamics of exchange-field fluctuations. (a) The out-of-plane (top) and in-plane (bottom) exchange-field fluctuations as a function of time and momentum $k = (k,k,k)$ for $Q = \pi/4$ (left) and $Q = 7\pi/8$ (right). The red lines indicate the most enhanced mode in the long-time limit; the dashed blue line in the lower right plot corresponds to the $k = Q$ in-plane mode, which initially exhibits the strongest enhancement of correlations. (b) The evolution of the late-time most enhanced mode for $Q = \pi/4, \pi/2$ (left) and $Q = 7\pi/8, \pi$ (right). In cases where the system thermalizes, left column, $SU(2)$ symmetry emerges in the long-time limit, while it is broken in the prethermal case $Q = 7\pi/8$ and for $Q = \pi$, right column. In the latter case, the system can exhibit true long-range order, provided its effective temperature is below the critical temperature of the equilibrium phase transition and can thus be thermal and simultaneously break $SU(2)$ symmetry.](041005-9)
enhanced in-plane mode occurs at \( k = Q \), which upon demagnetization smoothly switches to \( k = \pi \) for \( t \gtrsim \tau_M \).

The most unstable out-of-plane mode is always at \( k = \pi \).

As a further check for thermalizing behavior, we study the restoration of the \( SU(2) \) symmetry in the exchange-field fluctuations \( D_{\pm k}^{\pm K}(t,t) \to (1/2)D_{\pm k}^{\pm K}(t,t) \); see Fig. 5(b). For \( Q = \pi/4 \) and \( Q = \pi/2 \), we find that the \( SU(2) \) symmetry is restored at longer times (left column), while for \( Q = 7\pi/8 \) and the Néel initial state \( Q = \pi \), the \( SU(2) \) symmetry remains broken at all accessible times (right column). Notably, the out-of-plane fluctuations for \( Q = 7\pi/8 \) are found to be an order of magnitude stronger than the \( Q = \pi \), in agreement with the previously mentioned existence of an unstable out-of-plane mode for the former state and its absence in the latter.

The magnitude of in-plane fluctuations remain essentially constant in the plateau for \( Q = 7\pi/8 \) (top right), while the out-of-plane fluctuations monotonically increase and reach a maximum at \( t \sim 20^{-1} \sim \tau_M \), precisely when the prethermal magnetization decays. This finding connects the decay of the spiral to the growth of out-of-plane quantum fluctuations by means of an asymptotic expansion, which is controlled in models with intrinsically large lattice-coordination number.

Comparison to semiclassical methods.—According to the discussions presented so far, the relaxation dynamics of the spiral state accompanies the formation of in- and out-of-plane quantum correlations in the system. In order to study the role of correlations further, we compare our predictions with the results obtained from the discrete truncated Wigner approximation (dTWA) [73,74], a variant of the semiclassical TWA method [75] that relies on mean-field trajectories. The magnetizations obtained from spin-2PI (solid black lines) and dTWA (dashed blue lines) are compared in Fig. 6. The two methods agree with the analytic short-time expansion (thick red lines), with the exception that dTWA does not reproduce the correct short-time dynamics for small \( Q \); see \( Q = \pi/4 \) in Fig. 6 [76]. The two methods, however, predict strikingly different long-time dynamics. Even though dTWA exhibits some degree of dynamical slowing down for FM-like and AFM-like spirals, it produces neither the prethermal plateau for \( Q = 7\pi/8 \) nor the finite steady-state magnetization for \( Q = \pi \). We note that the latter is supported by exact quantum Monte Carlo calculations.

IV. CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

We formulated a nonperturbative and conserving field-theoretic technique for describing the far-from-equilibrium quantum dynamics of strongly interacting spin-1/2 systems for arbitrary lattices and initial states. Referred to as the spin-2PI formalism, this method systematically improves upon the mean-field description by including quantum fluctuations by means of an asymptotic \( 1/N \) expansion, which is controlled in models with intrinsically large lattice-coordination number.

We utilized the spin-2PI technique to study far-from-equilibrium phenomena in spin systems with continuous symmetries. Specifically, we explored the relaxation dynamics of spin spiral states in the 3D Heisenberg model, treating the spiral winding \( Q \) as a tuning parameter. Going beyond the trivial mean-field (LO) dynamics by including the NLO correction, we found the spiral states with different windings to relax in remarkably different ways. In particular, spiral states resembling FM and AFM ordered states, corresponding to \( Q \sim 0 \) and \( \pi \), respectively, get trapped for long times in nonthermal states, i.e., “false vacuums” whose lifetimes diverge as the windings are tuned to \( Q = 0 \) or \( \pi \). In contrast, the spiral states far from \( Q = 0, \pi \) relax rapidly.

We calculated the effective temperature of spin and exchange-field fluctuations from the fluctuation-dissipation relation. For \( Q \sim \pi/2 \) spiral states, all modes reach a single
temperature, supporting full thermalization in accordance with the eigenstate thermalization hypothesis. In contrast, the different bosonic modes of prethermalizing spirals settle at different temperatures.

We investigated the dynamical formation of correlations and found that the collective modes predicted to be unstable from a linear response analysis are self-regularized at rather short time scales, demonstrating the importance of the nonlinear effects and nonperturbative treatments. The growth of out-of-plane fluctuations causes the eventual decay of the prethermal states. The restoration of $SU(2)$ symmetry occurs much later after the decay of magnetization, suggesting a hierarchical relaxation reminiscent of coarsening and aging in classical glassy systems. Our results can be tested readily in ultracold-atom experiments with two-component Mott insulators in 3D optical lattices, such as a 3D extension of the experiments in Refs. [33,34].

This work can be extended in several directions. A straightforward extension is to investigate the relaxation of spiral states in anisotropic models, or in lower dimensions. Another immediately accessible direction is to study spin systems with long-range interactions, as realized, for instance, with Rydberg atoms, polar molecules, or trapped ions, and their instability toward dynamic crystallization. The effect of small deviations from the initial spiral state on the quantum evolution could be studied as well. We expect our predictions to carry over to the case of weakly disordered initial states, provided that the deviations from the pristine spiral state remain small by the time the prethermalization plateau is reached. A conservative bound for the allowed degree of disorder can be estimated from the presented linear response analysis; however, a more realistic calculation must take into account self-regulation and slowing down of the unstable modes in the presence of disorder, which is a computationally challenging task. On related grounds, it is desirable to study the formation of topological defects in quenches to the ordered phase, corresponding to the instantaneous limit of the quantum Kibble-Zurek mechanism [77,78]. For the 3D Heisenberg model with $SU(2)$ symmetry, topologically stable hedgehogs [79] are expected to form with universal scaling laws. Other possible research directions include extension to open spin systems, studying the role of NNLO corrections to assess the robustness of the NLO results, and comparison with other systematic expansions, such as $1/D$ expansion in $D$-dimensional lattices, and the semiclassical $1/S$ expansion.
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APPENDIX: SUMMARY OF THE TRUNCATED SPIN-2PI FORMALISM AT THE NLO LEVEL

In this Appendix, we provide supplemental material for the spin-2PI formalism along with a brief account of the numerical methods. The covered material includes the explicit derivation of the approximate dynamical equations from the NLO truncated 2PI effective action and the reconstruction of real-time spin-spin correlators from the Bethe-Salpeter equation.

1. Correlation functions on the Schwinger-Keldysh time contour

In the Schwinger-Keldysh formalism, the nonequilibrium dynamics of quantum fields is most elegantly derived from a path integral defined on the round-trip contour $C = C^{+} \cup C^{-}$:

$$t = t_{0} \quad C^{+} \quad t = +\infty \quad C^{-}$$

The Majorana operators $\eta$ and real-vector boson $q$ are replaced by Grassmann and real-vector-valued variables in the path integral, along with antiperiodic and periodic boundary conditions at the contour end points, respectively.

The correlation functions defined on the $C$ contour can be thought of $2 \times 2$ matrices in the two-dimensional space of the contour branch index. For example, the Majorana two-point correlator $G$ can be explicitly written as

$$G(t_{1}, t_{2}) = \begin{pmatrix} G^{++}(t_{1}, t_{2}) & G^{+-}(t_{1}, t_{2}) \\ G^{-+}(t_{1}, t_{2}) & G^{--}(t_{1}, t_{2}) \end{pmatrix},$$

where the times appearing in the matrix are ordinary times. We have dropped the discrete indices for brevity. The off-diagonal matrix elements are identified with the “lesser” and “greater” explicitly ordered correlators:

$$G^{+-}(t_{1}, t_{2}) \equiv G^{-}(t_{1}, t_{2}) = +i \langle \eta(t_{2}) \eta(t_{1}) \rangle,$$

$$G^{-+}(t_{1}, t_{2}) \equiv G^{+}(t_{1}, t_{2}) = -i \langle \eta(t_{1}) \eta(t_{2}) \rangle.$$ (A2)

The diagonal matrix elements are related to each other by the virtue of the unitarity of evolution.
\[ \mathcal{G}^{++}(t_1, t_2) = +\theta(t_1 - t_2)[\mathcal{G}^+(t_1, t_2) - \mathcal{G}^-(t_1, t_2)], \]
\[ \mathcal{G}^{--}(t_1, t_2) = -\theta(t_2 - t_1)[\mathcal{G}^+(t_1, t_2) - \mathcal{G}^-(t_1, t_2)], \]

which are identified with the usual retarded and advanced response functions \( \mathcal{G}^{++} \equiv \mathcal{G}^R \) and \( \mathcal{G}^{--} \equiv \mathcal{G}^A \). While the lesser and greater correlation functions are independent functions for Dirac (complex) fermions, they are related to each other for Majorana fermions by transposition and negation, as it can be seen from Eq. (A3):

\[ \mathcal{G}^>(1, 2) = -\mathcal{G}^<(2, 1). \]

In summary, the two-point correlator of Majorana fermions on the contour is fully specified by a single real-time correlator, e.g., \( \mathcal{G}^>(1, 2) \). It is easily shown that the same decomposition and relations hold for the Majorana self-energy \( \Sigma \). The correlator of real bosons \( \mathcal{D} \) and the bosonic self-energy \( \Pi \) admit a similar decomposition, except for the absence of the relative minus sign in the definition of \( \mathcal{D}^> \) and \( \mathcal{D}^< \):

\[ \mathcal{D}^{++}(t_1, t_2) \equiv \mathcal{D}^{<}(t_1, t_2) = -i\langle \varphi(t_2)\varphi(t_1) \rangle, \]
\[ \mathcal{D}^{--}(t_1, t_2) \equiv \mathcal{D}^{>}(t_1, t_2) = -i\langle \varphi(t_1)\varphi(t_2) \rangle, \]

which imply

\[ \mathcal{D}^>(1, 2) = \mathcal{D}^<(2, 1). \]

Similar to Majorana correlators, the two-point correlator of real bosons on the contour is fully specified by a single real-time correlator, e.g., \( \mathcal{D}^> \). The same result holds for the bosonic self-energy \( \Pi \).

2. Feynman rules for the spin-2PI formalism

The conventional Feynman diagram rules are used for interpreting the diagrams appearing throughout this work:

- The integer indices refer to the bundle of lattice site and contour time in the diagrams above. Since the Majorana fermion propagators possess no charge flow direction, one may arbitrarily assign a direction to each line. The overall sign of each diagram, however, must be determined at the end by counting the number of fermion permutations.

- The power counting of the large-\( N \) extension is performed as follows: (1) each Majorana fermion loop introduces a factor of \( N \) resulting from the replica summation and (2) each interaction and boson line introduce a factor of \( 1/N \).

The vacuum diagrams accompany symmetry factors that must be worked out case by case. The self-energy \( \Sigma \), \( \Pi \) and four-point vertex \( \Lambda^{(2)} \) diagrams have an extra factor of \( i \) and \( i^2 \), respectively.

3. Evolution of correlation functions in the spin-2PI formalism

The transition from the path integral to the 2PI effective action \( \Gamma[\varphi, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}] \) was briefly outlined in the main text and is a straightforward generalization of the results of Cornwall, Jackiw, and Tomboulis [41]. Within this formalism, the evolution equations follow from a variational principle, reminiscent of Lagrangian dynamics of classical particles, with the quantum correlators playing the role of generalized coordinates. Going back to Eqs. (8a) and (8b) and making \( \Gamma \) stationary with respect to \( \varphi, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D} \), and \( \varphi \), we obtain

\[ \mathcal{G}^{-1} = \mathcal{G}^0_{-1} - M(\varphi_c) - \Sigma[\varphi, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}], \]
\[ \mathcal{D}^{-1} = \mathcal{D}^0_{-1} - \Pi[\varphi, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}], \]
\[ \varphi^\mu_c(t) = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{\sigma=1}^N V_{\mu
u}^\sigma \epsilon_{\nu\lambda} \mathcal{G}^{\sigma,\lambda,\mu}_{ij}(t^+, t). \]

With the spin, replica, time, and space indices laid out explicitly, the “bare” fermion and boson propagators are written as

\[ \mathcal{G}^0_{-1}(1, 2) = \delta_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2} \delta_{i_1, i_2} \delta_{j_1, j_2} i\delta \delta(t_1 - t_2), \]
\[ \mathcal{D}^0_{-1}(1, 2) = N(V^{-1})_{j_1,j_2}^{\sigma_1,\sigma_2} \delta(t_1 - t_2), \]

respectively. The contour Dirac \( \delta \) function is defined as \( \delta(t_1 - t_2) = \pm \delta(t_1 - t_2) \) with the \( \pm \) sign corresponding to \( t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{C}^\pm \), respectively. In Eq. (A7a), \( M(\varphi_c)(1, 2) = -i\delta_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2} \delta_c(t_1, t_2) \delta_{j_1, j_2} g^\mu_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2}(t) \epsilon_{\mu\nu}^{\sigma_1, \sigma_2} \) the LO interaction effect and describes the coupling of Majorana fermions with the classical spin mean field \( \varphi_c \). According to Eq. (A7c), the latter is instantaneously determined by the Majorana tadpole contracted with a bare interaction line. Thus, we find

\[ M(\varphi_c)(1, 2) = -i \delta_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2} \delta_c(t_1, t_2) \delta_{j_1, j_2} \epsilon_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\sigma_1, \sigma_2} \mu \nu \epsilon_{\nu\lambda} \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{\sigma=1}^N \mathcal{G}^{\sigma,\lambda,\mu}_{jk}(t_1^+, t_1) \times 2 \times, \]

which resembles the familiar Hartree self-energy that describes the mean-field effects. We emphasize that the Majorana tadpole is identified with the magnetization in our formalism. Note that the \( M(1, 2) \propto \delta_c(t_1, t_2) \) is instantaneous and carries no memory effect. We will later show
that truncating the approximation at this level and neglecting the self-energies indeed yields the Bloch equation.

Going beyond the LO approximation, $\Sigma[G, \mathcal{D}]$ and $\Pi[G, \mathcal{D}]$ describe memory effects associated from the spatiotemporal fluctuations of the exchange field. By definition, these self-energies are obtained from the variations of $\Gamma_2[G, \mathcal{D}]$:

$$
\Sigma[G, \mathcal{D}](1, 2) = 2 \frac{\delta \Gamma_2[G, \mathcal{D}]}{\delta \mathcal{D}(1, 2)},
$$

$$
\Pi[G, \mathcal{D}](1, 2) = 2 \frac{\delta \Gamma_2[G, \mathcal{D}]}{\delta \mathcal{D}(1, 2)}.
$$

We recall that $\Gamma_2[G, \mathcal{D}]$ is formally equivalent to the sum of 2PI vacuum diagrams constructed from the interaction vertex $\Gamma$.

$\Pi^{\mathcal{D}}[G, \mathcal{D}](1, 2)$ describe memory effects associated from variations of $\Sigma^{\mathcal{D}}[G, \mathcal{D}](1, 2)$ that truncating the approximation at this level and neglecting the self-energies indeed yields the Bloch equation.

Having derived the explicit expressions for the self-energies, we discuss the derivation of evolution equations as the next step. Our starting points are the coupled Dyson’s equations given in Eqs. (A7a) and (A7b). Strictly speaking, Dyson’s equations are differential identities on the contour functions. They can be cast into a more useful form by acting them from the left- and right-hand sides by $G$ and $\mathcal{D}$, respectively, resulting in a set of contour integro-differential equations:

$$
\Sigma^{\mathcal{NLO}}(1, 2) = 4 \times 1 \times \frac{1}{2} \Pi^{\mathcal{D}}[G, \mathcal{D}](1, 2) = i \varepsilon_{\alpha_1 \beta_1 \mu} D_{\beta_1 j_1 j_2}(t_1, t_2) \varepsilon_{\nu \alpha_2 \beta_2} \mathcal{G}^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}(t_1, t_2),
$$

$$
\Pi^{\mathcal{NLO}}(1, 2) = 2 \times \frac{1}{2} \Pi_0(1, 2).
$$

We have defined shorthand $\delta(1, 2) \equiv \delta_{j_1 j_2} \delta_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} \delta_{\tau_1 \tau_2}$, and the contour integral $\int_{\mathcal{C}} dt A(t) \equiv \int_0^\infty dt A(t \in \mathbb{C}^+) - \int_0^\infty dt A(t \in \mathbb{C}^-)$.

The spatial structure of Eqs. (A13a)–(A14b) can be simplified by noting that physical initial states imply initial correlations between pairs of Majorana operators on the same site, i.e., $
abla^{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)}(t_0, t_0) \propto \delta_{j_1 j_2}$. Crucially, this property extends to all times in the KB dynamics, independent of the order of truncation in $1/N$. To see this, one first establishes that the assumption $
abla^{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)}(t_1, t_2) \propto \delta_{j_1 j_2}$ for $t_0 \leq t_1, t_2 \leq T$ implies $\Sigma^{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)}(t_1, t_2) \propto \delta_{j_1 j_2}$ in the same domain. The causal structure of Eqs. (A13a) and (A13b) subsequently extends this property to infinitesimally larger domains and eventually to all times by induction. Therefore, we can always make the following simplifying substitution in the KB equation:

$$
\nabla^{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)}(t_1, t_2) \rightarrow \delta_{j_1 j_2} \nabla^{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)}(t_1, t_2),
$$

$$
\Sigma^{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)}(t_1, t_2) \rightarrow \delta_{j_1 j_2} \Sigma^{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)}(t_1, t_2).
$$

The LO approximation.—The KB equations reduce to the mean-field Bloch equation upon truncation at the LO
level, which amounts to neglecting fluctuation self-energy corrections $\Sigma \to 0$. In this limit, the KB equations imply

\[ i \partial_t \mathcal{G}^{\alpha \alpha_2 ; \tau} (t_1, t_2) + i q_{\epsilon, j}(t_1) e_{i \alpha \mu} \mathcal{G}^{\alpha \alpha_2 ; \mu} (t_1, t_2) = 0, \]
\[ -i \partial_t \mathcal{G}^{\alpha \alpha_2 ; \tau} (t_1, t_2) + i q_{\epsilon, j}(t_2) e_{i \alpha \mu} \mathcal{G}^{\alpha \alpha_2 ; \mu} (t_1, t_2) = 0. \]

(A16)

Subtracting the equations from one another, setting $t_2 = t_1 = t$, and using Eq. (7), we finally obtain

\[ \partial_t \langle \hat{S}_j (t) \rangle = \varphi_{e,j} \times \langle \hat{S}_j (t) \rangle, \]

(A17)

which is the Bloch equation as anticipated.

**The NLO approximation.**—Including self-energy corrections, the time convolutions appearing in the KB equations prohibit us from arriving at a closed equation for the equal-time Green’s functions and we inevitably need to solve for the complete unequal-time Green’s function. For concreteness, we consider the case of spin spirals hereafter. The spatial structure of the KB equations can be significantly simplified by applying the unwinding unitary transformation, either directly on Eqs. (A14a) and (A14b) or on the spin Hamiltonian. Either way, the initial spiral state transforms into an uncorrelated $x$-polarized FM state $| \bar{\Psi}_0 \rangle \equiv \otimes_j \langle j |$ at the expense of an anisotropic interaction [see Eq. (13)]. The two-point correlator of Majorana fermions at $t = t_0$ is easily found as

\[ \mathcal{G}^{\alpha \alpha_2 ; \tau} (t_0, t_0) = \delta_{j_1 j_2} \left( \begin{array}{cccc} -i/2 & 0 & 0 & -i/2 \\ 0 & -i/2 & 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1/2 & -i/2 & 0 \\ -i/2 & 0 & 0 & -i/2 \end{array} \right). \]

(A18)

The exchange-field correlator at $t = t_0$ is not an independent degree of freedom and is determined by $\mathcal{G}(t_0, t_0)$; see Eq. (A12). For translationally invariant initial states as such, $\mathcal{G}$ and $\Sigma$ further become independent of the lattice site. Furthermore, $\mathcal{D}_{j_1 j_2}$ depends only on the distance, and at the NLO level, $\Pi_{j_1 j_2}$ is local as well. The simplified structure of the correlators and self-energies is summarized as follows:

\[ \mathcal{G}(1, 2) \to \delta_{R_1 R_2} G^{\alpha \beta} (t_1, t_2), \]
\[ \Sigma(1, 2) \to \delta_{R_1 R_2} \Sigma^{\alpha \beta} (t_1, t_2), \]
\[ \mathcal{D}(1, 2) \to D_{R_1 \to R_2}^{\alpha \beta} (t_1, t_2) \overset{\text{V.T.}}{\longrightarrow} D_k^{\alpha \beta} (t_1, t_2), \]
\[ \Pi(1, 2) \to \delta_{R_1 R_2} \Pi^{\alpha \beta} (t_1, t_2). \]

The KB equations can be written explicitly in terms of $\mathcal{G}$ and $D$ using the Langreth rules [80]. We quote the final result, setting $N = 1$:
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