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ABSTRACT

The performance of MPI implementation operations still presents critical issues for high performance computing systems, particularly for more advanced processor technology. Consequently, this study concentrates on benchmarking MPI implementation on multi-core architecture by measuring the performance of Open MPI collective communication on Intel Xeon dual quad-core Gigabit Ethernet and InfiniBand clusters using SKaMPI. It focuses on well known collective communication routines such as MPI-Bcast, MPI-AlltoAll, MPI-Scatter and MPI-Gather. From the collection of results, MPI collective communication on InfiniBand clusters had distinctly better performance in terms of latency and throughput. The analysis indicates that the algorithm used for collective communication performed very well for all message sizes except for MPI-Bcast and MPI-Alltoall operation of inter-node communication. However, InfiniBand provides the lowest latency for all operations since it provides applications with an easy to use messaging service, compared to Gigabit Ethernet, which still requests the operating system for access to one of the server communication resources with the complex dance between an application and a network.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Over the past few years, clusters have become the main architecture used for high performance computing systems. The emerging trend of using cluster as High Performance Computing (HPC) has led to much research in this field, particularly the standard approach utilized for communication between nodes; Message Passing Interface (MPI) (Isaila et al., 2010; Balaji et al., 2009). MPI is a library of routines provides a portable programming paradigm for existing development environments with a fundamental message management service and standard message passing API. Since MPI is used to program parallel machines, the performance of most clusters depends critically on the performance of the communication routines provided by the MPI library.

Cluster interconnect is another important factor that can influence the communication performance of clusters. Slower interconnects may cause processes to run slowly. The ideal cluster interconnect should provide low latency high bandwidth and non-blocking interconnect architecture. Consequently, numerous protocols have been designed and proposed to maximize the MPI standard implementation in high performance clusters such as InfiniBand and Gigabit Ethernet.
Currently, InfiniBand and Gigabit Ethernet are the most popular interconnect employed in High Performance Computers (HPC). Based on statistic on November 2012 from the top 500 supercomputers site, InfiniBand came on top with 44.8% while Gigabit Ethernet was the close second with 37.8%. Gigabit Ethernet provides LAN technology with a latency range between 40-300 µs and is able to deliver up to 1 Gbit/sec (or 1000 MBytes/sec) bandwidth of full duplex communication using TCP/IP. Meanwhile, InfiniBand is able to provide lower latency and higher bandwidth than Gigabit Ethernet. It has latency range between 1-10 µs and can support network bandwidth up to 10 Gbit/sec (or 10000 MBytes/sec). InfiniBand with multi path provides much better throughput as compared to Gigabit Ethernet since latency effects throughput in HPC network. However, high speed InfiniBand network is more expensive than Gigabit Ethernet.

As most clusters use these two types of interconnect for communicating data between the nodes, it is important to implement the MPI on top of the cluster interconnect efficiently in order to achieve the optimal performance. Therefore, the analysis and evaluation of the MPI routines performance on clusters are indispensable. This study discusses the benchmarking results of Open MPI collective communication on Gigabit Ethernet and InfiniBand clusters of UPM Biruni Grid. The measurements were done using SKaMPI, one of the most commonly used MPI benchmark tools. The outcome would be beneficial for further research related to the Open MPI implementation on multi-core clusters.

2. RELATED WORKS

There has been considerable previous research focusing on the performance analysis of MPI implementation on different parallel machines and different interconnects. Some studies provide performance evaluation of MPI communication on clusters with ccNUMA nodes (Hamid and Coddington, 2010; Kayi et al., 2008) and multi-core architecture such as dual-core and quad-core nodes (Gu et al., 2013; Cheng and Gu, 2012; Kayi et al., 2009).

Other studies provide performance analysis of point to point or collective communication on different interconnects (Ismail et al., 2011; Rashiti and Afsahi, 2007) while some provide comparison and analysis of multiple algorithms for collective communication in order to find the best solution for different parallel systems (Nanri and Kurokawa, 2011; Hamid and Coddington, 2007). Other related studies focused on optimizing the performance of MPI collective communication by proposing topology aware mechanisms (Gong et al., 2013; Subramoni et al., 2013; Kandalla et al., 2010) and process arrival patterns aware mechanisms (Qian and Afsahi, 2009; 2011; Patarasuk and Yuan, 2008) to achieve the best performance in terms of time.

However, there have been no studies on the comparison and measurement of MPI collective communication for Open MPI in Gigabit Ethernet and InfiniBand technology, particularly on a cluster with dual quad-core nodes. Unlike previous works, the work presented in this article provides the measurement of the MPI collective communication performance on clusters with Intel Xeon II dual quad-core processor using two different types of interconnect: Gigabit Ethernet and InfiniBand. This study discusses the results of Open MPI for collective communication. All findings are discussed and highlighted.

3. CLUSTER CONFIGURATION

The experiments in this study were conducted on clusters of Biruni Grid. Biruni Grid is a project that was developed and managed by the InfoComm Development Centre (iDEC) of UPM as part of the HPC clusters for A-Grid. This project was initiated in 2008 with the funding from EuAsiaGrid. Biruni Grid consists of three clusters: Khaldun, Razi and Haitham which consist of six, twenty and eight worker nodes respectively. Each cluster node uses IBM Blade HS21 Servers running Scientific Linux 5.4 64 bit Operating Systems and uses a GCC compiler to compile the benchmark programs.

Each node has dual Intel Xeon quad-core processors E5405, 2 GHz with 8 GB RAMs. For MPI implementation, Khaldun uses Open MPI-1.3.3 while Razi and Haitham Open MPI-1.4.3. The inter-node interconnects for Khaldun and Razi were done through Gigabit Ethernet with a Maximum data transfer of $2 \times 1$ Gb/sec while Haitham through high speed InfiniBand technology with a maximum of $2 \times 10$ Gb/sec of data transfer. All nodes were connected together using a 48-port switch employing star topology.

However, this study only provides a comparison of MPI collective communication conducted on the Razi and Haitham clusters as both have identical configuration except their inter-node interconnection. The different configuration of the clusters is listed in Table 1 while Fig. 1 represents the block diagram of Intel Xeon II dual quad-core processor E5405.
4. COLLECTIVE COMMUNICATION

A group of processes can exchange data by collective communication. MPI-Bcast is one of the most commonly used collective communication routines. It enables the root process to broadcast the data from the buffer to all processes in the communicator. A broadcast has a specified root process and every process receives one copy of the message from the root. All processes must specify the same root. The root argument is the rank of the root process. The buffer, count and data type arguments are treated as in a point-to-point send on the root and as in a point-to-point receive elsewhere. The process for MPI-Bcast is shown in Fig. 2. MPI-Alltoall routine refers to the operation of sending distinct data from all processes to all other processes in the same group. In this operation, each process performs a scatter operation in order. Data distribution is to all processes of two data objects from each process. The process for MPI-Alltoall is shown in Fig. 3.

MPI-Scatter is used to distribute distinct data from the root process to all processes in the group including itself. In this case, each process including the root process sends the contents of its send buffer to the root process. It specifies a root process and all processes must specify the same root. The main difference from MPI-Bcast is that the send and receive details are in general different and therefore, both must be specified in the argument lists. The sendbuf, sendcount, sendtype arguments are only significant at the root. The process for MPI-Scatter is shown in Fig. 4.

MPI-Gather does the reverse operation of MPI-Scatter by recombining the data back from each processor into a single large data set. The argument list is the same as for MPI-Scatter. It specifies a root process and all processes must specify the same root. The recvbuf, recvcount, recvtype arguments are only significant at the root. However the data in recvbuf are held by rank order. The process for MPI-Gather is shown in Fig. 5.

5. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

This section describes the SKaMPI results for MPI-Bcast, MPI-Alltoall, MPI-Scatter and MPI-Gather operations on 16 and 32 cores on Gigabit Ethernet (GBE) and InfiniBand (IB) quad-core clusters as shown in Fig. 6-9. As expected from Fig. 6, the MPI-Bcast on 16 and 32 cores on GBE gave the highest result as compared to IB. The results show that the InfiniBand has the lowest latency, approximately 24.2% compared to the Gigabit Ethernet for both cores. This happened since the multi path of high speed InfiniBand allows transmission of data to be completed faster than the Gigabit Ethernet.

As predictable from Fig. 7, the MPI-Alltoall operation on larger core on both clusters gave the highest latency compared to the smaller number of cores. The results show that the InfiniBand has the lowest latency, approximately 8.8% compared to the Gigabit Ethernet for both sizes. InfiniBand gives every application direct to the messaging service which means that an application does not rely on the operating system to transfer data. This contrasts with Gigabit Ethernet, which must rely on the involvement of the operating system to move data.
Fig. 1. Block diagram of Intel Xeon processor E5405

Fig. 2. MPI_Bcast process

Fig. 3. MPI_AlltoAll process
**Fig. 4.** MPI_Scatter process

**Fig. 5.** MPI_Gather process

**Fig. 6.** SKaMPI results for MPI Bcast on different cores
The results on Fig. 9 show that IB has the lowest latency, approximately 7.9% compared to GBE for both sizes. From Fig. 8 and 9, it can be concluded that MPI Scatter and MPI Gather with 16 and 32 cores on GBE provided higher results compared to IB as it will take a longer time to complete since it needs to distribute and gather data to/from processors using a lower bandwidth compared to IB. Consequently, it produces more overheads. It was also noted that the results trend for MPI-Scatter and MPI-Gather were consistent for both clusters, which means that the selection of multiple algorithms used to gather and scatter message performed very well for all message sizes.
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