Drug Hypersensitivity Reactions in Hospital-Admitted Children: A Single Center Study in Southern Iran
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Abstract

Background: Drug allergy has always been a major issue concerning physicians worldwide. Drug reactions are serious and may even cause hospital admissions leading to unwanted expenses for the patient and insurance companies. New drugs have been presented in the 21st century, and their profound use has increased the incidence of drug allergies. Drug reactions can be categorized to 2 major categories: A, predictable adverse reactions for specific drugs (e.g. liver failure at high doses of acetaminophen). B, Unpredictable adverse reactions (e.g. tinnitus in aspirin use) (1). Some of the latter reactions can be attributed to specific HLAs in humans; therefore, the term B adverse reactions might be gradually replaced by genetic causes. In order to describe adverse drug reactions, terms such as immediate and late were used. Immediate drug reactions occur within 1 hour after consumption and are IgE-mediated. In this type, urticaria, angioedema, rhinitis, conjunctivitis, bronchospasm, and gastrointestinal symptoms (nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea), or even anaphylaxis may be seen. Late adverse reactions are seen from 1 hour to days after consumption, presenting as late urticaria, maculopapular rashes, fixed drug eruptions, vasculitis, toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN), stevens johnson-syndrome (SJS) (Table 1), drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms (DRESS) syndrome was the most common and toxic epidermal necrosis (TEN) was the least common cause of admission.
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1. Background

Drug allergy has always been a major issue concerning physicians worldwide. Drug reactions are serious and may even cause hospital admissions leading to unwanted expenses for the patient and insurance companies. New drugs have been presented in the 21st century, and their profound use has increased the incidence of drug allergies. Drug reactions can be categorized to 2 major categories: A, predictable adverse reactions for specific drugs (e.g. liver failure at high doses of acetaminophen). B, Unpredictable adverse reactions (e.g. tinnitus in aspirin use) (1). Some of the latter reactions can be attributed to specific HLAs in humans; therefore, the term B adverse reactions might be gradually replaced by genetic causes. In order to describe adverse drug reactions, terms such as immediate and late were used. Immediate drug reactions occur within 1 hour after consumption and are IgE-mediated. In this type, urticaria, angioedema, rhinitis, conjunctivitis, bronchospasm, and gastrointestinal symptoms (nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea), or even anaphylaxis may be seen. Late adverse reactions are seen from 1 hour to days after consumption, presenting as late urticaria, maculopapular rashes, fixed drug eruptions, vasculitis, toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN), stevens johnson-syndrome (SJS) (Table 1), drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symp-
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2. Objectives

The goal of the current research was to study drug hypersensitivity reactions in hospital-admitted children.

3. Methods

3.1. Study Population

The current researchers studied documents of 33 pa-
tients admitted between 2006 and 2014 to a referral hos-
pital in southern Iran, using their medical charts. It was found that the culprit drugs in patients were differentiated by age, gender, drug, side effect, and treatment received. According to DRESS scoring system, patients with a score of 4 or more were included in the current study (7). The exclusion criteria included positive blood cultures, positive hepatitis markers and positive test results for mycoplasma and chlamydia infections. The diagnosis was based on the idea of the physician and the chart (Table 1). Serum sickness was mainly caused by drugs and presented with malaise, urticaria, and fever with arthritis, arthralgia, nephritis, and vasculitis. These patients were also included in the current study. Erythema multiform presents with different types of rash-like papules, macules, bullas, vesicles, or plaque-like rashes, which sometimes have pruritus and a burning sensation. Diagnosis is based on the presence of classic target-like lesions. Oral lesions extend to the vermilion border and don’t involve the buccal mucosa. These lesions look like a rash but do not disappear within 24 hours. Patients presenting maculopapular rashes following drug consumption without other manifestations were classified as having drug reactions.

3.2. Statistical Analysis

The current study was retrospective and T-test and Chi-Square tests were used to compare different groups. A P value of < 0.05 was considered clinically significant in all cases.

4. Results

The results showed that of the 33 patients, 19 were females (57.9%) with a mean age of 7.41 ± 3.684 years old and 14 were males (42.1%) with a mean age of 4.58 ± 3.856 years. Males were 7 months to 14 years old and females were 22 months to 12 years old. Overall, 17 patients had reactions after using anticonvulsants (51.5%) and 16 after antibiotics (48.5%) (Table 2).

Comparing males and females using Chi-square analysis showed no significant difference in cough, abdominal pain, jaundice, conjunctivitis, pruritus, infection with EBV, vesicular lesions, edema, seizure, mucosal, and genitalia involvement. The P values were above 0.05 in all of the above. Hepatomegaly was seen in 3 cases (9.1%), and 2 had splenomegaly (6.1%). Hepatomegaly was seen in 3 of the females (15.8%), and 2 males had splenomegaly (14.3%), where Chi-square showed no significant difference between the genders (P value 0.085). Maculopapular rashes were seen in 30 of 33 patients (90.9%) without a significant difference between the 2 genders (P value 0.478). Erythematous lesions were seen in 23 patients (69.7%), 50% of males and 84.2% of females, with a P value of 0.087. The mean temperature was 38.2 degrees Celsius in both males and females. Developmental delay was seen in 4 patients (12.1%), and it was mainly seen in those receiving anticonvulsants with
Table 1. Diagnostic Criteria of Stevens Johnsons-Syndrome and Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis

| Diagnosis                          | Mucosal Lesions                        | Typical Skin Lesions                                                                 |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Stevens Johnsons syndrome (SJS)    | Erosion at > two sites                 | Groups of lesions on skin, conjunctiva, mouth and genitalia, detachment of < 10% of body surface area |
| Toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN)   | Erosion at > two sites                 | Lesions similar to those with SJS, confluent epidermis separate readily with lateral pressure, detachment of > 30% of body surface area |

Table 2. The Principal Types of Adverse Drug Reactions in Females and Males

| Adverse Drug Reactions (ADR)       | Total, % | Male | Female | Male Ratio, % | Female Ratio, % | P Value |
|------------------------------------|----------|------|--------|---------------|-----------------|---------|
| Maculopapular rash                 | 90.9     | 12   | 18     | 85.7          | 94.7            | 0.478   |
| Erythematous rash                  | 69.7     | 7    | 16     | 50.0          | 84.2            | 0.087   |
| Itching                            | 42.4     | 4    | 10     | 28.6          | 52.6            | 0.167   |
| Mucositis                          | 33.3     | 3    | 8      | 21.4          | 42.1            | 0.213   |
| Conjunctivitis                     | 24.2     | 2    | 6      | 14.3          | 31.6            | 0.252   |
| Cough                              | 21.2     | 2    | 5      | 14.3          | 26.8            | 0.403   |
| Abdominal pain                     | 15.2     | 2    | 3      | 14.3          | 15.8            | 0.905   |
| Genital involvement                | 12.1     | 1    | 3      | 7.1           | 15.8            | 0.452   |
| Vesicular rash                     | 12.1     | 1    | 3      | 7.1           | 15.8            | 0.452   |
| Icterus                            | 9.1      | 0    | 3      | 0             | 15.8            | 0.039   |
| Hepatomegaly                       | 9.1      | 0    | 3      | 0             | 15.8            | 0.085   |
| EBV infection                      | 6.1      | 1    | 1      | 7.1           | 5.6             | 0.854   |
| Erythema multiform                 | 6.1      | 1    | 1      | 7.1           | 5.6             | -       |
| Splenomegaly                       | 6.1      | 2    | 0      | 7.1           | 0               | -       |

drug side effects. Six of these patients were male (42.9%) and 11 female (57.9%). Table 3 shows age, temperature, and laboratory data of the patients.

Table 4 reveals culprit drugs and the number of patients with different drug reactions and their prevalence. Maculopapular rash was seen in 90.9%, DRESS syndrome in 27.3%, SJS in 24.2%, and maculopapular rashes were seen in 90.9% of the patients. Furthermore, TEN was seen in 6.1% of the patients. Prednisolone with a dose of 1mg/kg was used as treatment in 21 patients (63.6%) and IVIG in 8 patients (24.2%). Hydroxyzine and cetirizine were also used in some patients. Only 1 patient died of DRESS syndrome (3%). Personal and family history for drug allergies was an important factor in drug reactions of children.

5. Discussion

Adverse drug reactions (ADR) as a cause of admission to hospital can happen in variable degree and with most of drugs (9, 10). In the current study, drug allergy was seen in females (57.9%) more than males (42.1%) and the mean age was higher in females. A systematic study of 76 patients with adverse drug reactions showed that it was mostly seen between birth and 4 years of age (10). According to a previous study, drug allergy was seen in females more than males, and the mean age was higher in females. This might have been due to the low number of patients studied. Beta-lactams and phenobarbital were the drugs that caused the most adverse reactions (Table 4). Turk et al. reported a 2% to 3% incidence of cutaneous adverse drug reactions (CADR), and antibiotics, NSAIDs, and anticonvulsants were the most common adverse reactions (11). The most common CADR was morbilliform or maculopapular exanthema. These CADR were seen more commonly in females. The higher incidence of drug reactions in females may be attributed to the fact that their immune systems were more sensitive to stimulations, just as autoimmune diseases. Fernandez et al. revealed that beta-lactams and cephalosporins were the main antibiotics with drug reactions. They also showed a cross-reaction between these 2 drugs due to their side chains (12). In the current study, phenobarbital was the anticonvulsant with the most drug reactions. Sodium valproate and lamotrigine demonstrated the least reactions with a 3% rate in this group (Table 4). In a descriptive study conducted by Karimzadeh et al., children under 4 years of age were studied regarding adverse reactions with antiepileptic drugs in 2010 to 2012. Most reactions were maculopapular and the main culprit...
Table 3. The Patients’ Demographic and Laboratory Data

| Parameter     | No. | Minimum | Maximum | Mean ± SD     |
|---------------|-----|---------|---------|---------------|
| Age, y        | 33  | 1       | 14      | 6.21 ± 3.962  |
| Temperature, °C | 33  | 37      | 90      | 30.66 ± 32.369|
| ESR           | 32  | 1       | 90      | 30.66 ± 32.369|
| CRP           | 32  | 1       | 150     | 35.55 ± 40.515|
| WBC count     | 32  | 2500    | 27700   | 9696.88 ± 6044.431|
| PLT count     | 32  | 24000   | 546000  | 29756.52 ± 148730.39|
| Eosinophilia, %| 13  | 1       | 42      | 8.85 ± 13.613 |

Table 4. The Culprit Drugs and Number of Patients with Reaction to These Drugs

| Culprit Drug       | Male and female | Male | Female | DRESS | SJS | Erythema Multiform | Serum Sickness | TEN | Drug Reaction |
|--------------------|-----------------|------|--------|-------|-----|-------------------|----------------|-----|--------------|
| Phenobarbital      | 13 (39.4)       | 7    | 6      | 9     | 1   | -                 | -              | -   | 3            |
| Carbamazepine      | 2 (6.1)         | -    | 2      | -     | 2   | -                 | -              | -   | -            |
| Beta lactams and cephalosporin | 14 (42.1) | 7    | 7      | -     | 4   | 2                 | 3              | 2   | 3            |
| Cotrimoxazole      | 2 (6.1)         | 1    | 1      | -     | -   | 1                 | -              | -   | 1            |
| Lamotrigine        | 1 (3.0)         | -    | 1      | -     | -   | -                 | -              | -   | 1            |
| Sodium valproate   | 1 (3.0)         | -    | 1      | -     | 1   | -                 | -              | -   | -            |
| Total              | 33 (100)        | 15   | 18     | 9 (27.3) | 8 (24.2) | 3 (9.1) | 3 (9.1) | 2 (6.1) | 8 (24.2) |

Abbreviations: DRESS, Drug Reaction with Eosinophilia and Systemic Symptom; TEN, Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis; SJS, Stevens Johnsons-Syndrome.

Values are expressed as No. (%).

Drug was phenobarbital (70%), whereas the least important was lamotrigine (1.4%). Reactions were seen in aromatic drugs more than non-aromatic ones. It is probable that high consumption and low price made phenobarbital the most common cause of drug reactions (13). Maculopapular lesions were the most common reaction seen in the current study (90.9%). Systemic reactions had no significant difference between males and females. Developmental delay was seen in 4 patients (12.1%), mainly in patients receiving antiepileptic drugs. One of the patients had head trauma due to a car accident and received carbamazepine causing SJS. Head trauma is a risk factor for drug reactions related to antiepileptics. Systemic adverse drug reactions were seen more in females (Table 2). Langerova et al. conducted a study in Czechoslovakia on 2093 admitted patients; 2.2% had ADR. Anti-cancer agents (35%) and antibiotics (18%) had the most reactions (14). In this study, female gender and having an oncologic cancer were the risk factors for ADR. Kourouma et al. conducted a retrospective study of 10 years on African children with a diagnosis of SJS and TEN, found in 63% and 33.3%, respectively. Sulfonamides had the highest incidence and 3 children died of TEN (15). In the patients of the current study, the most common causes of admission were DRESS syndrome, SJS, and maculopapular reaction; TEN is a rare syndrome. Prednisolone with a dose of 1 mg/kg was used as treatment in 21 patients (63.6%) and IVIG in 8 patients (24.2%). Hydroxyzine and cetirizine were also used in some patients. Overall, 32 out of 33 patients showed a good response to treatment (97%) and all their symptoms had resolved. Only one patient died due to liver failure with DRESS syndrome. In another study, cutaneous adverse drug reactions (CADR) were studied in 122 patients. The most prevalent ones were urticaria and angioedema. The most common drug involved in CADR was antibiotics (16). In the current study, a 5-year-old male had HIV and tuberculosis and other family members also had HIV. He developed skin lesions after starting cotrimoxazole. Immunologic skin reactions with sulfonamides can be seen in HIV patients. If the current study had more cases, a closer distribution to the population would have been achieved. Patients with drug reactions, who did not need admission were not included in the current study. Limitation of this study is low number of cases. Genetic basis is also probable etiology for higher rate of severe drug allergy in susceptible patients and evaluating specific human leukocyte antigens (HLAs) in these patients might be helpful (17, 18). Underlying disease such as immunodeficiency can be a possible etiology for overuse of antibiotics that leads to increase risk of drug allergy (19, 20).
5.1. Conclusion

Beta-lactams and phenobarbital were the most culprit drugs causing adverse reactions. Maculopapular rashes were the most common of drug reactions. In our study, DRESS syndrome was the most and TEN the least cause of admission in our patients.
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