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Abstract

IMPORTANCE Delayed graft function (DGF) is a risk factor for acute rejection and graft failure after kidney transplant. Previous studies have suggested that dexmedetomidine may be renoprotective, but whether the use of dexmedetomidine would improve kidney allograft function is unknown.

OBJECTIVE To investigate the effects of perioperative dexmedetomidine on DGF following a donation-after-cardiac-death (DCD) kidney transplant.

DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS This single-center, double-blind, placebo-controlled randomized clinical trial was conducted at The First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University in Suzhou, China. Adults (18 years or older) who were scheduled for DCD kidney transplant were enrolled between September 1, 2019, and January 28, 2021, and then randomized to receive either dexmedetomidine or normal saline (placebo). One-year postoperative outcomes were recorded. All analyses were based on the modified intention-to-treat population.

INTERVENTIONS Patients who were randomized to the dexmedetomidine group received a 24-hour perioperative dexmedetomidine intravenous infusion (0.4 μg/kg/h intraoperatively and 0.1 μg/kg/h postoperatively). Patients who were randomized to the normal saline group received an intravenous infusion of the placebo with the same dose regimen as the dexmedetomidine.

MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES The primary outcome was the incidence of DGF, defined as the need for dialysis in the first posttransplant week. The prespecified secondary outcomes were in-hospital repeated dialysis in the first posttransplant week, in-hospital acute rejection, and serum creatinine, serum cystatin C, estimated glomerular filtration rate, need for dialysis, and patient survival on posttransplant day 30.

RESULTS Of the 114 patients enrolled, 111 completed the study (mean [SD] age, 43.4 [10.8] years; 64 male patients [57.7%]), of whom 56 were randomized to the dexmedetomidine group and 55 to the normal saline group. Dexmedetomidine infusion compared with normal saline reduced the incidence of DGF (17.9% vs 34.5%; odds ratio [OR], 0.41; 95% CI, 0.17-0.98; P = .04) and repeated dialysis (12.5% vs 30.9%; OR, 0.32; 95% CI, 0.13-0.88; P = .02, which was not statistically significant after multiple testing corrections), without significant effect on other secondary outcomes.

Dexmedetomidine vs normal saline infusion led to a higher median (IQR) creatinine clearance rate on postoperative days 1 (9.9 [4.9-21.2] mL/min vs 7.9 [2.0-10.4] mL/min) and 2 (29.6 [9.7-67.4] mL/min vs 14.6 [3.8-45.1] mL/min) as well as increased median (IQR) urine output on postoperative days 2 (106.5 [66.3-175.6] mL/h vs 82.9 [271-141.9] mL/h) and 7 (126.1 [98.0-151.3] mL/h vs 107.0 [82.5-137.5] mL/h) and at hospital discharge (110.4 [92.8-121.9] mL/h vs 97.1 [77.5-113.8] mL/h).

Key Points

Question Does perioperative use of dexmedetomidine reduce delayed graft function following a donation-after-cardiac-death (DCD) kidney transplant?

Findings In this randomized clinical trial of 111 adults who underwent a DCD kidney transplant, delayed graft function occurred in 17.9% of patients who received the 24-hour perioperative dexmedetomidine infusion, which was significantly lower than the 34.5% occurrence in patients who received normal saline.

Meaning The findings of this trial support the perioperative use of dexmedetomidine for reducing delayed graft function in DCD kidney transplants.
Abstract (continued)

Three patients (5.5%) from the normal saline group developed allograft failure by the post hoc 1-year follow-up visit.

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE This randomized clinical trial found that 24-hour perioperative dexmedetomidine decreased the incidence of DGF after DCD kidney transplant. The findings support the use of dexmedetomidine in kidney transplants.

TRIAL REGISTRATION Chinese Clinical Trial Registry Identifier: ChiCTR1900025493
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Introduction

The point prevalence of end-stage kidney disease in the US increased from 727 per million in 1990 to 2206 per million in 2016.1 Kidney transplant is an established effective treatment for end-stage kidney disease,2,3 with the number of US patients who received kidney allografts increasing from 10 011 in 1991 to 19 355 in 2016.1 However, various complications can emerge during the posttransplant course, such as delayed graft function (DGF).4,5 The incidence of DGF is about 4% to 10% in living-donor kidney transplant and 20% to 50% in deceased-donor kidney transplant.6 Delayed graft function has been associated with ischemia-reperfusion injury7 as well as a higher risk of acute rejection and reduced long-term allograft survival.5,8

Dexmedetomidine is a selective α2-adrenoreceptor agonist with sedative, anxiolytic, sympatholytic, and analgesic effects.9 Dexmedetomidine may be renoprotective, which is likely associated with the attenuation of ischemia-reperfusion injury.10,11 A recent meta-analysis suggested an association between perioperative dexmedetomidine and reduced acute kidney injury after cardiac surgery.12 A retrospective cohort study suggested that dexmedetomidine use was associated with decreased incidence of DGF after isolated kidney transplant or multiorgan transplant.13 However, to date, no randomized clinical trial has investigated the effect of dexmedetomidine on kidney allograft function.

In this single-center, double-blind, placebo-controlled randomized clinical trial, we investigated the effects of perioperative dexmedetomidine on DGF following a donation-after-cardiac-death (DCD) kidney transplant. We hypothesized that perioperative dexmedetomidine infusion reduces the incidence of DGF after DCD kidney transplant. We compared the incidence of DGF between patients with kidney allograft who received dexmedetomidine and patients who received normal saline (placebo) during and after surgery for a total of 24 hours.

Methods

This randomized clinical trial was conducted at The First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University in Suzhou, China. The trial was approved by the ethics committee of The First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients. We followed the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) reporting guideline. The trial protocol and statistical plan are provided in Supplement 1.

Organ Donation and Procurement

The procedures of organ donation and transplant conformed to the National Guidelines for Donation after Cardiac Death in China.14 All of the donors were controlled donors after cardiac death.15,16 Apnea test was performed to determine donor suitability for DCD.17,18 Details of organ donation and procurement are presented in the eMethods in Supplement 2.
Donor Data Collection and Risk Assessment

Donor data were obtained from the Organ Procurement Organization records. The time between withdrawal of life-sustaining treatment and asystole, asystolic warm ischemic time (defined as the interval from asystole to the start of cold preservation),\(^1\) cold ischemic time (defined as the interval from the start of cold preservation to the start of graft reperfusion) were collected. Donor kidneys were assessed for the expanded-criteria donor\(^2\) subgroup and evaluated using the donor-only US Kidney Donor Risk Index (KDRI) and the Chinese-donor DGF risk prediction model (eMethods in Supplement 2).\(^3\)

Patients, Randomization, and Blinding

Patients who were 18 years or older, diagnosed with end-stage kidney disease, undergoing kidney replacement therapy, and scheduled for DCD kidney transplant were eligible for inclusion. Patients who had sick sinus syndrome, an atrioventricular block, a left ventricular ejection fraction less than 30\%, or a multiorgan transplant were excluded.

All of the patients were of Han Chinese ethnicity. Race and ethnicity data were not collected because, we believe, they would have had no impact on the perioperative care and study outcomes.

Eligible adults were enrolled from September 1, 2019, to January 28, 2021. Patients were randomized to either dexmedetomidine or normal saline (Figure 1) using a 1:1 ratio and permuted block sizes of 2 and 4. Randomization was concealed using identical opaque envelopes that were sealed and stored in a locked cabinet. An independent research nurse prepared the medications according to the randomization results. Dexmedetomidine and normal saline were each kept in syringes that were labeled only with the patient number. There was no way to distinguish the contents of the syringes because both dexmedetomidine and saline are colorless and the syringes were identical. The patients, clinicians, and outcome assessors were all blinded to the randomization.

Anesthesia and Dialysis Treatment

Intraoperative monitoring included noninvasive cuff blood pressure, electrocardiography, pulse oximetry, end-tidal carbon dioxide, radial artery blood pressure, central venous pressure, and bispectral index. Intravenous infusion of lactated Ringer solution was provided. After anesthesia induction, patients were endotracheally intubated and mechanically ventilated. Anesthesia was
maintained using sevoflurane titrated to bispectral index values of 40 to 60. Hypotension (mean arterial pressure <65 mm Hg or a decrease of \( \geq 20\% \) from baseline) and bradycardia (heart rate <50 beats/min) were treated. A sufentanil-based patient-controlled analgesia was used for postoperative pain relief. Additional information on anesthetic care is available in the eMethods in Supplement 2.

The perioperative care of patients who underwent kidney transplant was based on the Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes guideline.\(^4\)\(^2\)\(^4\) The perioperative dialysis treatment is presented in the eMethods in Supplement 2.

**Interventions and Outcomes**

The dexmedetomidine group received an intravenous infusion of dexmedetomidine, 0.4 \( \mu \)g/kg/h, immediately after anesthesia induction and continued throughout the procedure. After surgery, all patients were transferred to the designated transplant unit and received dexmedetomidine, 0.1 \( \mu \)g/kg/h. Dexmedetomidine was administered for a total of 24 hours. The dose regimen of dexmedetomidine was based on the dose used in previous studies in cardiac surgery\(^2\)\(^5\) and older patients who underwent noncardiac surgery.\(^2\)\(^6\) The control group received an intravenous infusion of normal saline administered in the same dose regimen as dexmedetomidine.

The primary outcome was the incidence of DGF, defined as the need for dialysis during the first posttransplant week.\(^4\)\(^5\) The prespecified secondary outcomes were in-hospital repeated dialysis during the first posttransplant week; in-hospital acute rejection; and serum creatinine, serum cystatin C, estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), need for dialysis, and patient survival on posttransplant day 30. Repeated dialysis was defined as 2 or more dialysis sessions during the first posttransplant week.\(^2\)\(^7\) Acute rejection was confirmed by biopsy of the kidney allograft. The eGFR was calculated using the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration equation.\(^2\)\(^8\)

Several in-hospital and long-term outcomes were assessed post hoc: (1) creatinine reduction ratio on posttransplant day 2\(^2\)\(^9\), (2) proportion of creatinine reduction ratio on posttransplant day 2 that was less than 30%\(^10\); (3) number of dialysis treatments in the first posttransplant week; (4) timing of acute rejection diagnosis; (5) acute rejection in the first posttransplant week; (6) pneumonia; (7) deep vein thrombosis; (8) incidence of DGF in donor criteria subgroups and KDRI subgroups; and (9) serum creatinine, serum cystatin C, eGFR, acute rejection, allograft failure (defined as the return to regular dialysis, graft removal, or patient death),\(^6\)\(^3\) and patient survival at 1 posttransplant year.

**Perioperative Data**

The perioperative data were (1) graft function–related parameters: baseline serum creatinine and serum cystatin C before surgery as well as serum creatinine, serum cystatin C, creatinine clearance rate, and urine output on postoperative days (PODs) 1, 2, 3, 5, and 7, and at the time of hospital discharge; (2) arterial blood gas and electrolytes at the end of surgery; (3) visual analog scale pain score (range: 0-10, with 0 indicating no pain and 10 indicating most severe pain) at 30 minutes, 24 hours, and 48 hours postoperatively; (4) sufentanil consumption over 24 hours and 48 hours postoperatively; (5) perioperative bradycardia and hypotension; (6) transplant induction therapy and immunosuppressive medications; (7) duration of surgery, anastomosis time, time to extubation, and length of hospital stay; (8) intraoperative infusion of lactated Ringer solution; (9) furosemide use in the first posttransplant week; (10) level of postoperative nursing care (level I indicating intensive care, and level II indicating conventional care) on PODs 1 to 3; and (11) level of physical activity assessed using the Barthel index score (range, 0-100, with lower scores indicating increased disability)\(^3\)\(^2\)\(^3\) on PODs 1 to 3.

The creatinine clearance rate, urine output, and immunosuppressive medications are presented in the eMethods in Supplement 2. A single multidisciplinary team provided perioperative care to ensure consistency and efficiency. Adherence by clinicians and outcome assessors to the study protocol was achieved by training the research personnel and reviewing the case report forms.
Statistical Analysis

According to the literature, the incidence of DGF after DCD kidney transplant was 45% to 55%. The (unpublished) pilot study we conducted showed that 9 of 20 patients (45%) who did not receive dexmedetomidine experienced DGF, which was in line with the previous report. The therapeutic effect of dexmedetomidine on DGF is unknown. We hypothesized that dexmedetomidine would reduce the incidence of DGF by 50%. Therefore, this trial required 54 patients in each group with a power of 80% at a significance of α = .05. We decided to recruit 114 patients (with 57 in each group) with consideration of a possible dropout rate of 5%.

Continuous variables were presented as means (SDs) or medians (IQRs), depending on data distribution. The categorical variables were presented as numbers (percentages). The between-group difference in the DGF incidence was analyzed using the \( \chi^2 \) test, and the therapeutic effect was assessed with odds ratios (ORs) and 95% CIs. A 2-sided \( P < .05 \) indicated a statistically significant difference. As appropriate, the secondary outcomes were analyzed with the unpaired, 2-tailed \( t \) test; Mann-Whitney rank sum test; \( \chi^2 \) test; or Fisher exact test. The therapeutic effect was assessed using the OR (or difference) and 95% CI. Multiple testing was corrected using the Bonferroni method, with \( P < .007 \) regarded as statistically significant. Because multiple testing corrections were not planned for the nonoutcome perioperative data and post hoc analyses, these results should be considered exploratory. The effects of interventions on DGF and 1-year postoperative acute rejection, allograft failure, and patient survival were assessed using the Kaplan-Meier curve and the log-rank test, and the therapeutic effect was analyzed with the hazard ratio (HR) and 95% CI.

All analyses were based on the modified intention-to-treat population principle, which included any randomized patient who had undergone kidney transplant and for whom the result of the primary outcome was available. Neither an interim analysis nor missing data imputation was planned a priori. Statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS software, version 23.0 (IBM SPSS).

Results

Of the 122 patients screened for eligibility, 8 were excluded and 114 were randomized (Figure 1). One patient did not undergo the planned transplant because of intraabdominal infection, and 2 patients withdrew their informed consent. The remaining 111 patients (56 in the dexmedetomidine group, and 55 in the normal saline group) underwent the scheduled transplant and had available primary outcome data. One patient in the normal saline group was lost to the 1-year postoperative follow-up.

In total, 47 (42.3%) female and 64 (57.7%) male individuals with a mean (SD) age of 43.4 (10.8) years participated in the trial (Table 1). The donors had a mean (SD) age of 37.4 (13.9) years. Approximately 80% of donors after cardiac death became unstable during the 10-minute apnea test. The panel reactive antibody was less than 10% for all patients. No patients had previous transplants or a preemptive transplant. The median (IQR) time between treatment withdrawal and asystole was 8.0 (6.0-11.8) minutes and 9.0 (7.0-13.0) minutes (ranging from 4 to 48 minutes), and the median (IQR) asystolic warm ischemic time was 9.5 (8.0-10.0) minutes and 10.0 (6.0-11.0) minutes, in the dexmedetomidine and normal saline groups, respectively.

The blood gas and electrolyte parameters at the end of surgery were within the normal ranges in both groups (Table 2). The 2 groups had comparable incidences of bradycardia and hypotension, induction therapy, immunosuppressive medications, intraoperative fluid infusion, posttransplant use of furosemide, postoperative nursing care, and Barthel index scores. The mean (SD) anastomosis time was 37.2 (10.0) minutes in the dexmedetomidine group and 38.2 (11.0) minutes in the normal saline group. The median length of hospital stay was 25 days in both groups.

The graft function-related results are shown in Figure 2 and eTable in Supplement 2. The median (IQR) creatinine clearance rate was higher in the dexmedetomidine group than in the normal saline group on POD 1 (9.9 [4.9-21.2] mL/min vs 7.9 [2.0-10.4] mL/min; difference, 2.0 [95% CI, 0.5-6.8] mL/min) and POD 2 (29.6 [9.7-67.4] mL/min vs 14.6 [3.8-45.1] mL/min; difference, 15.0 [95% CI, 0.4-18.5] mL/min). In addition, the dexmedetomidine group compared with the normal saline
| Table 1. Baseline Characteristics | Patients, No. (%) | Dexmedetomidine group (n = 56) | Normal saline group (n = 55) |
|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| **Patient characteristics**    |                  |                               |                               |
| Age, mean (SD), y               |                  | 43.5 (10.7)                   | 43.3 (10.9)                   |
| Sex                             |                  |                               |                               |
| Female                          |                  | 20 (35.7)                     | 27 (49.1)                     |
| Male                            |                  | 36 (64.3)                     | 28 (50.9)                     |
| BMI, mean (SD)                  |                  | 21.8 (3.2)                    | 21.1 (3.2)                    |
| **Comorbidity**                 |                  |                               |                               |
| Hypertension                    |                  | 56 (100)                      | 55 (100)                      |
| Diabetes                        |                  | 3 (5.4)                       | 3 (5.5)                       |
| Obesity (BMI >30)               |                  | 1 (1.8)                       | 0                             |
| COPD                            |                  | 3 (5.4)                       | 2 (3.6)                       |
| **Cause of end-stage kidney disease** |          |                               |                               |
| Glomerulonephritis              |                  | 12 (21.4)                     | 14 (25.5)                     |
| Nephrotic syndrome              |                  | 8 (14.3)                      | 9 (16.4)                      |
| Polycystic kidney disease       |                  | 1 (1.8)                       | 1 (1.8)                       |
| Other                           |                  | 35 (62.5)                     | 31 (56.4)                     |
| **Kidney replacement therapy before transplant** |          |                               |                               |
| Hemodialysis                    |                  | 34 (60.7)                     | 38 (69.1)                     |
| Peritoneal dialysis             |                  | 22 (39.3)                     | 17 (30.9)                     |
| Length of dialysis, median (IQR), mo |     | 22.5 (10.3-36.8)              | 24.0 (12.0-57.0)              |
| **ABO blood type**              |                  |                               |                               |
| A                               |                  | 15 (26.8)                     | 17 (30.9)                     |
| B                               |                  | 13 (23.2)                     | 13 (23.6)                     |
| AB                              |                  | 9 (16.1)                      | 8 (14.5)                      |
| O                               |                  | 19 (33.9)                     | 17 (30.9)                     |
| **Baseline hemodynamics**       |                  |                               |                               |
| Blood pressure, mean (SD), mm Hg|                  | 117.1 (20.1)                  | 120.4 (20.8)                  |
| Heart rate, mean (SD), bpm      |                  | 82.3 (13.1)                   | 80.5 (12.9)                   |
| Baseline serum creatinine, mean (SD), mg/dL | | 10.52 (3.00) | 10.28 (3.41) |
| **Donor characteristics**       |                  |                               |                               |
| Age, mean (SD), y               |                  | 38.1 (12.6)                   | 36.7 (15.2)                   |
| Sex                             |                  |                               |                               |
| Female                          |                  | 13 (23.2)                     | 13 (23.6)                     |
| Male                            |                  | 43 (76.8)                     | 42 (76.4)                     |
| BMI, mean (SD)                  |                  | 23.8 (2.7)                    | 23.4 (3.1)                    |
| **Comorbidity**                 |                  |                               |                               |
| Hypertension                    |                  | 18 (32.1)                     | 15 (27.3)                     |
| Diabetes                        |                  | 3 (5.4)                       | 2 (3.6)                       |
| Obesity (BMI >30)               |                  | 0                             | 0                             |
| **ABO blood type**              |                  |                               |                               |
| A                               |                  | 15 (26.8)                     | 17 (30.9)                     |
| B                               |                  | 13 (23.2)                     | 13 (23.6)                     |
| AB                              |                  | 9 (16.1)                      | 8 (14.5)                      |
| O                               |                  | 19 (33.9)                     | 17 (30.9)                     |
| **Primary cause of death**      |                  |                               |                               |
| Traumatic brain injury          |                  | 38 (67.9)                     | 35 (63.6)                     |
| Cerebral hemorrhage             |                  | 14 (25.0)                     | 15 (27.3)                     |
| Other                           |                  | 4 (7.1)                       | 5 (9.1)                       |
| Last serum creatinine, median (IQR), mg/dL | | 0.98 (0.66-1.51) | 1.00 (0.64-1.55) |
group had higher median (IQR) urine output on POD 2 (106.5 [66.3-175.6] mL/h vs 82.9 [27.1-141.9] mL/h; difference, 23.6 [95% CI, 0.2-59.0] mL/h), POD7 (126.1 [98.0-151.3] mL/h vs 107.0 [82.5-137.5] mL/h; difference, 19.1 [95% CI, 1.7-36.3] mL/h), and hospital discharge (110.4 [92.8-121.9] mL/h vs 97.1 [77.5-113.8] mL/h; difference, 13.3 [95% CI, 4.2-22.5] mL/h).

**Primary Outcome**

Delayed graft function occurred in 10 of 56 patients (17.9%) in the dexmedetomidine group and 19 of 55 patients (34.5%) in the normal saline group (OR, 0.41; 95% CI, 0.17-0.98; \( P = .04 \)) ([Table 3](#table-3)). The scheme of dialysis for each patient with DGF is depicted in eFigure 1 in [Supplement 2](#supplement-2). In the Kaplan-Meier analysis, the risk of DGF was significantly lower in the dexmedetomidine group (HR, 0.48; 95% CI, 0.23-0.99; \( P = .04 \)) (eFigure 2 in [Supplement 2](#supplement-2)).

**Secondary Outcomes**

Dexmedetomidine reduced the need for repeated dialysis during the first posttransplant week (7 of 56 [12.5%] vs 17 of 55 [30.9%]; OR, 0.32; 95% CI, 0.13-0.88; \( P = .02 \)) (Table 3), without significant between-group difference after multiple testing corrections. In-hospital biopsy-proven acute rejection occurred in 5 patients (8.9%) in the dexmedetomidine group and 7 patients (12.7%) in the normal saline group. One patient (1.8%) in the dexmedetomidine group and 3 patients (5.5%) in the normal saline group needed dialysis on posttransplant day 30.

**Post Hoc Analyses**

The median (IQR) creatinine reduction ratio on posttransplant day 2 was 36.5% (1.4%-53.5%) in the dexmedetomidine group and 23.6% (−0.1% to 51.2%) in the normal saline group (difference, 12.9%;
### Table 2. Perioperative Data

| Variable                                | Median (IQR) | Normal saline group (n = 55) | Difference or OR (95% CI) | P value |
|-----------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| **Postoperative blood gas and electrolytes levels** |              |                              |                          |         |
| pH, mean (SD)                           | 7.37 (0.07)  | 7.38 (0.06)                  | Difference, −0.01 (−0.04 to 0.01) | .39     |
| PCO₂, mm Hg                             | 37.9 (35.9 to 40.9) | 37.0 (34.0 to 40.0) | Difference, 0.9 (−0.5 to 2.6) | .17     |
| PO₂, mean (SD), mm Hg                   | 256.8 (70.2) | 271.0 (68.6)                 | Difference, −14.2 (−40.3 to 11.9) | .28     |
| Hemoglobin, mean (SD), g/dL             | 10.4 (1.5)   | 10.2 (1.8)                   | Difference, 0.2 (−0.4 to 0.8) | .53     |
| Potassium, mean (SD), mEq/L             | 4.8 (1.0)    | 4.6 (0.8)                    | Difference, 0.2 (−0.2 to 0.5) | .28     |
| Sodium, mean (SD), mEq/L                | 138.3 (2.2)  | 138.6 (2.5)                  | Difference, 0.3 (−1.2 to 0.6) | .48     |
| Bicarbonate, mean (SD), mEq/L           | 22.8 (2.2)   | 22.4 (2.0)                   | Difference, 0.4 (−0.4 to 1.2) | .33     |
| Lactic acid, mmol/L                     | 1.0 (0.8 to 1.1) | 1.0 (0.7 to 1.3) | Difference, 0 (−0.1 to 0.2) | .79     |
| **Postoperative pain and analgesic consumption** |              |                              |                          |         |
| VAS pain scores*                        |              |                              |                          |         |
| at 30 min                               | 2 (2 to 3)   | 3 (2 to 4)                   | Difference, −1 (−1 to 0) | .004    |
| at 24 h                                 | 3 (2 to 3)   | 3 (3 to 4)                   | Difference, 0 (−1 to 0) | .001    |
| at 48 h                                 | 3 (3 to 3)   | 3 (3 to 3)                   | Difference, 0 (0 to 0) | .15     |
| Sufentanil consumption, μg              |              |                              |                          |         |
| 0-24 h                                  | 48 (45 to 50) | 49 (46 to 50)                | Difference, −1 (−2 to 0) | .32     |
| 0-48 h                                  | 96 (94 to 98) | 96 (94 to 98)                | Difference, 0 (−1 to 1) | .97     |
| **Perioperative hemodynamic event**      |              |                              |                          |         |
| Bradycardia, No. (%)                    | 9 (16.1)     | 5 (9.1)                      | OR, 1.92 (0.59 to 5.39) | .27     |
| Hypotension, No. (%)                    | 8 (14.3)     | 6 (10.9)                     | OR, 1.36 (0.41 to 4.38) | .59     |
| **Induction therapy**                   |              |                              |                          |         |
| Anti-CD25, No. (%)                      | 41 (73.2)    | 39 (70.9)                    | OR, 1.12 (0.50 to 2.57) | .79     |
| Antithymocyte globulin, No. (%)         | 15 (26.8)    | 16 (29.1)                    | OR, 0.89 (0.39 to 2.02) | .79     |
| **Immunosuppressive medication**        |              |                              |                          |         |
| Tacrolimus, No. (%)                     | 52 (92.9)    | 50 (90.9)                    | OR, 1.30 (0.33 to 5.12) | .74     |
| Cyclosporine, No. (%)                   | 4 (7.1)      | 5 (9.1)                      | OR, 0.77 (0.20 to 3.03) | .74     |
| Mycophenolate mofetil, No. (%)          | 37 (66.1)    | 38 (69.1)                    | OR, 0.87 (0.41 to 2.00) | .73     |
| Mycophenolic acid, No. (%)              | 19 (33.9)    | 17 (30.9)                    | OR, 1.15 (0.50 to 2.44) | .73     |
| Methylprednisolone, mg                  | 480 (423 to 480) | 480 (400 to 480) | Difference, 0 (0 to 0) | .14     |
| Intraoperative fluid infusion, mL       | 1100 (895 to 1300) | 1000 (900 to 1250) | Difference, 100 (−100 to 110) | .90 |
| Posttransplant furosemide use, No. (%)  | 33 (58.9)    | 38 (69.1)                    | OR, 0.64 (0.29 to 1.40) | .26     |
| Furosemide dose in first posttransplant week, mean (SD), mg | 164.2 (92.6) [n = 33] | 165.8 (74.1) [n = 38] | Difference, −1.5 (−41.7 to 38.7) | .94 |
| **Level of postoperative nursing care (I or II), No. level I/No. level II** |              |                              |                          |         |
| POD 1                                   | 56/0         | 55/0                         | NA                        | > .99    |
| POD 2                                   | 56/0         | 55/0                         | NA                        | > .99    |
| POD 3                                   | 4/52         | 5/50                         | OR, 0.77 (0.23 to 2.79) | .74     |
| **Level of physical activity (Barthel index score)** |              |                              |                          |         |
| POD 1                                   | 25 (25 to 30) | 30 (25 to 30)                | OR, −5 (−5 to 0)          | .48     |
| POD 2                                   | 40 (31 to 50) | 40 (30 to 45)                | OR, 0 (0 to 5)            | .32     |
| POD 3                                   | 85 (75 to 85) | 85 (75 to 85)                | OR, 0 (0 to 0)            | .63     |
| Duration of surgery, min                | 180 (155 to 200) | 185 (160 to 210) | Difference, −5 (−20 to 5) | .24     |
| Anastomosis time, mean (SD), min        | 37.2 (10.0)  | 38.2 (11.0)                  | Difference, −1.0 (−4.9 to 3.0) | .63 |
| Time to extubation, min                 | 16 (13 to 25) | 18 (15 to 22)                | Difference, −2 (−3 to 1) | .82     |
| Length of hospital stay, d              | 25 (22 to 28) | 25 (22 to 29)                | Difference, 0 (−2 to 1) | .74     |

Abbreviations: NA, not applicable; OR, odds ratio; POD, postoperative day; VAS, visual analog scale.

SI conversion factors: To convert PCO₂ and PO₂ levels to kilopascal, multiply by 0.133; hemoglobin level to gram per liter, multiply by 10.0; potassium, sodium, and bicarbonate levels to millimoles per liter, multiply by 1.0.

* VAS pain score range: 0 to 10, with 0 indicating no pain and 10 indicating most severe pain.

** Level of postoperative nursing care: I indicating intensive care and II indicating conventional care.

* Barthel index score range: 0 to 100, with lower scores indicating increased disability.
Figure 2. Serial Changes in Graft Function Parameters During the Hospitalization Period

Serum creatinine, mg/dL

Baseline POD 1 POD 2 POD 3 POD 5 POD 7 Hospital discharge

Normal saline

Dexmedetomidine

Creatinine clearance rate, mL/min

POD 1 POD 2 POD 3 POD 5 POD 7 Hospital discharge

Urine output, mL/h

POD 1 POD 2 POD 3 POD 5 POD 7 Hospital discharge

Serum cystatin C, mg/L

Baseline POD 1 POD 2 POD 3 POD 5 POD 7 Hospital discharge

Boxplot indicates IQR; dots, outliers; line within the box, median data; whiskers, 5th and 95th percentile values; POD, postoperative day. To convert serum creatinine levels to micromole per liter, multiply by 88.4.
95% CI, −7.8% to 14.7%) (Table 3). The proportion of patients with a creatinine reduction ratio on posttransplant day 2 less than 30% was 46.4% in the dexmedetomidine group vs 56.4% in the normal saline group. Acute rejection was diagnosed on mean (SD) POD 15.0 (5.2) in the dexmedetomidine group and 12.4 (2.8) in the normal saline group. Two patients (3.6%) in the dexmedetomidine group and 5 (9.1%) in the normal saline group developed pneumonia. No patient had deep vein thrombosis. The effect of dexmedetomidine on DGF was similar in donor criteria

### Table 3. Study Outcomes

| Outcome | Patients, No. (%) | OR, Difference, or HR (95% CI) | P value |
|---------|------------------|---------------------------------|--------|
| **Primary**<sup>a</sup> | | | |
| DGF incidence in first posttransplant week | | 10 (17.9) | 19 (34.5) | OR, 0.41 (0.17 to 0.98) | .04 |
| **Secondary**<sup>b</sup> | | | |
| In-hospital | | | |
| Repeated dialysis in first posttransplant week | | 7 (12.5) | 17 (30.9) | OR, 0.32 (0.13 to 0.88) | .02 |
| Acute rejection | | 5 (8.9) | 7 (12.7) | OR, 0.67 (0.22 to 2.14) | .52 |
| 30-d Posttransplant | | | |
| Serum creatinine, mean (SD), mg/dL | | 1.42 (0.92) | 1.57 (1.39) | Difference, −0.15 (−0.60 to 0.29) | .50 |
| Serum cystatin C, median (IQR), mg/L | | 1.74 (1.26 to 2.28) | 1.75 (1.35 to 2.29) | Difference, −0.01 (−0.31 to 0.17) | .65 |
| eGFR, mean (SD), mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> | | 65.1 (24.1) | 63.3 (27.4) | Difference, 1.82 (−7.89 to 11.52) | .71 |
| Need for dialysis | | 1 (1.8) | 3 (5.5) | OR, 0.32 (0.03 to 3.13) | .36 |
| Patient survival | | 56 (100) | 55 (100) | NA | >.99 |
| **Post hoc**<sup>c</sup> | | | |
| In-hospital | | | |
| CRR2, median (IQR), % | | 36.5 (1.4 to 53.5) | 23.6 (−0.1 to 51.2) | Difference, 12.9 (−7.8 to 14.7) | .65 |
| CRR2 <30% | | 26 (46.4) | 31 (56.4) | OR, 0.67 (0.32 to 1.38) | .29 |
| No. of dialysis in first posttransplant week, median (IQR) | | 2 (1 to 5) [n = 10] | 3 (2 to 5) [n = 19] | Difference, −1 (−2 to 1) | .49 |
| Timing of acute rejection diagnosis, mean (SD), POD | | 15.0 (5.2) [n = 5] | 12.4 (2.8) [n = 7] | Difference, 2.6 (−3.8 to 8.9) | .35 |
| Acute rejection in first posttransplant week | | 0 | 0 | NA | >.99 |
| Pneumonia | | 2 (3.6) | 5 (9.1) | OR, 0.37 (0.07 to 2.00) | .27 |
| DVT | | 0 | 0 | NA | >.99 |
| **Subgroups, No. (%) [total No.]** | | | |
| DGF incidence in donor criteria subgroups | | | |
| Expanded-criteria donor | | 1 (25) [4] | 2 (50) [4] | OR, 0.33 (0.02 to 5.17) | >.99 |
| Standard-criteria donor | | 9 (17.3) [52] | 17 (33.3) [51] | OR, 0.42 (0.18 to 1.08) | .06 |
| DGF in KDRI subgroups, KDRI quintiles | | | |
| 1-3 | | 5 (19.2) [26] | 8 (34.8) [23] | OR, 0.45 (0.13 to 1.60) | .33 |
| 4-5 | | 5 (16.7) [30] | 11 (34.4) [32] | OR, 0.38 (0.13 to 1.29) | .15 |
| **1-y Posttransplant outcomes** | | | |
| Serum creatinine, mean (SD), mg/dL | | 1.18 (0.35) | 1.57 (1.83) [n = 54] | Difference, −0.39 (−0.88 to 0.11) | .12 |
| Serum cystatin C, median (IQR), mg/L | | 1.25 (1.08 to 1.67) | 1.35 (1.08 to 1.54) [n = 54] | Difference, −0.10 (−0.18 to 0.013) | .80 |
| eGFR, mean (SD), mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> | | 70.6 (20.2) | 66.8 (24.3) [n = 54] | Difference, 3.8 (−4.6 to 12.3) | .37 |
| Acute rejection<sup>d</sup> | | 6 (10.7) | 8 (14.5) | HR, 0.71 (0.25 to 2.04) | .53 |
| Allograft failure<sup>e</sup> | | 0 | 3 (5.5) | HR, 0.13 (0.01 to 1.26) | .08 |
| Patient survival<sup>d</sup> | | 56 (100) | 55 (100) | NA | >.99 |

Abbreviations: CRR2, creatinine reduction ratio on posttransplant day 2; DGF, delayed graft function; DVT, deep vein thrombosis; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HR, hazard ratio; KDRI, Kidney Donor Risk Index; NA, not applicable; OR, odds ratio; POD, postoperative day.

<sup>a</sup> For the primary outcome, statistical significance was P < .05.

<sup>b</sup> For the secondary outcomes, statistical significance was P < .007 after multiple testing correction.

<sup>c</sup> No multiple testing correction was planned a priori; thus, these data should be interpreted as exploratory.

<sup>d</sup> P value was calculated by Kaplan-Meier curves with log-rank tests.
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subgroups (expanded-criteria donor vs standard-criteria donor) and KDRI subgroups (KDRI quintiles 1-3 vs KDRI quintiles 4-5).

At 1 year postoperatively, the mean (SD) serum creatinine level was 1.18 (0.35) mg/dL in the dexmedetomidine group and 1.57 (1.83) mg/dL in the normal saline group (difference, −0.39 mg/dL; 95% CI, −0.88 to 0.11 mg/dL) (Table 3); to convert serum creatinine levels to micromole per liter, multiply by 88.4. Of the 4 patients with a 30-day posttransplant need for dialysis, 3 patients (5.5%) in the normal saline group were still on regular dialysis at 1 year postoperatively, and 1 patient (1.8%) in the dexmedetomidine group had the last dialysis on posttransplant day 43 and did not require dialysis after that. The Kaplan-Meier analysis of 1-year allograft failure showed an HR of 0.13 (95% CI, 0.01-1.26) for the dexmedetomidine group vs the normal saline group.

Discussion

To our knowledge, this trial was the first to show that 24-hour perioperative dexmedetomidine administration reduced the incidence of DGF after DCD kidney transplant. Decreased need for repeated dialysis further suggested the favorable effects of dexmedetomidine on kidney allograft during the first posttransplant week, a higher creatinine clearance rate on PODs 1 and 2, and increased urine output on PODs 2 and 7 and at hospital discharge. The dexmedetomidine infusion neither led to concerning adverse events nor adversely affected postoperative recovery.

Delayed graft function is associated with an increased risk of acute rejection, inferior graft function, prolonged hospital stay, and reduced long-term graft survival and patient survival.6,8,35 Interventions, including eculizumab, dopamine, epoetin alfa, and hypothermic machine perfusion, had minimal or no effect on reducing DGF after kidney transplant.36-39 A retrospective cohort study found an association between dexmedetomidine and decreased incidence of DGF, overall complications, infection, acute rejection in the early posttransplant phase, and length of hospital stay.13 That study had several limitations, however, including its cohort design, the heterogenous donor sources, the mixture of kidney-only and combined kidney-pancreas transplants, lack of multiple testing corrections, and potentially inadequate confounder control.

In contrast, the present trial was based on a randomized design and showed the DGF reduction effect exerted by dexmedetomidine in DCD kidney transplant. The finding was corroborated by previous studies reporting that dexmedetomidine decreased acute kidney injury in cardiac surgery.25,40 Dexmedetomidine has a favorable safety profile and is currently used in perioperative and critical care. A recent study found an association between dexmedetomidine and improved 5-year survival after cardiac surgery.24 The infusion rate and duration of dexmedetomidine in this trial were in concordance with those in current practice. Dexmedetomidine infusion (0.4 μg/kg/h intraoperatively and 0.1 μg/kg/h postoperatively) has been used in the perioperative setting.25,26,40 The low infusion rate may enhance postoperative recovery via anxiolysis, analgesia, sleeping promotion, and delirium reduction.26 The pilot study and this trial found neither concerning adverse effects nor delayed postoperative recovery associated with dexmedetomidine. The 1-year postoperative outcomes suggested that the dexmedetomidine treatment may improve longer-term kidney allograft function. The dexmedetomidine group had lower serum creatinine and cystatin C levels, higher eGFR, and a lower allograft failure rate up to 1-year postoperatively, although these between-group differences were not statistically significant. The present trial was likely underpowered for these long-term outcomes. The overall risk-benefit profile supports the use of dexmedetomidine in kidney transplants.

Several potential mechanisms may underlie the favorable effects of dexmedetomidine on kidney transplants. As an α2-adrenoreceptor agonist, dexmedetomidine engages in the α2-adrenoreceptors that are widely populated in kidney tubules and peritubular vascular structures. The activation of the α2-adrenoreceptor pathway decreases sympathoadrenal hyperactivity. It induces vasodilatation via endothelial nitric oxide regulation, leading to enhanced glomerular filtration and increased urine output.41,42 Preclinical studies reported that dexmedetomidine
attenuated inflammation, reduced kidney endothelial chemokines, inhibited reperfusion-induced cell death signaling, and enhanced cell survival signaling. 10,11,43,44 A meta-analysis found that dexmedetomidine as an adjuvant during anesthesia attenuated surgical stress and inflammation, 45 which may enhance postoperative recovery and improve overall outcomes.

Limitations
This trial has several limitations. First, although the sample size agreed with the result of the power analysis, including more patients would have enhanced the power. Second, the long-term outcomes were based on post hoc analysis. Third, although dexmedetomidine may reduce the length of hospital stay after kidney transplant, 13 we did not observe such an effect. The median length of hospital stay of 25 days in this trial was in line with the data originated in China 46,47 but appeared much longer than that in the US and European countries. 48-50 This difference may be attributed to the different health care systems in various countries. Fourth, as a single-center trial based on controlled donors after cardiac death and characterized by a relatively short warm ischemic time, the generalizability of its findings should be tested in future studies.

Conclusions
In this randomized clinical trial, the 24-hour perioperative dexmedetomidine infusion reduced the incidence of DGF without incurring adverse effects after DCD kidney transplant. The findings of this trial support the use of dexmedetomidine in kidney transplants. Further trials are needed to determine the effects of dexmedetomidine on long-term outcomes and on different kidney transplant scenarios.
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