A double-blinded, randomized controlled clinical trial of hydrogen inhalation therapy for idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss
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Background: Hydrogen (H2) has been reported to be effective in reducing hearing loss due to several causes in animal studies. However, no study has examined the effectiveness of H2 in treating hearing loss in humans. Thus, we investigated whether H2 is effective for the treatment of idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss (ISSNHL).

Materials and methods: We conducted a double-blind randomized controlled trial at six hospitals between June 2019 and March 2022. The study protocol and trial registration have been published in the Japan Registry of Clinical Trials (jRCT, No. jRCTs06119004). We randomly assigned patients with ISSNHL to receive either H2 (H2 group) or air as a placebo (control group) through inhalation combined with the administration of systemic glucocorticoids and prostaglandin E1. The primary outcome was the hearing threshold and changes in hearing threshold 3 months after therapy. In contrast, the secondary outcomes included the proportion of patients with a good prognosis (complete recovery or marked improvement).

Results: Sixty-five patients with ISSNHL (31 and 34 in the H2 and control groups, respectively) were included in this study. The hearing threshold 3 months after treatment was not significantly different between the groups: 39.0 decibels (dB) (95% confidence interval [CI]: 28.7–49.3) and 49.5 dB (95% CI: 40.3–58.7) in the H2 and control groups, respectively. In contrast, the changes in hearing threshold 3 months after treatment was 32.7 dB (95% CI: 24.2–41.3) and 24.2 dB (95% CI: 18.1–30.3) in the
H₂ and control groups, respectively. Consequently, the changes in hearing threshold were significantly better in the H₂ group than in the control group ($P = 0.048$). However, no adverse effects due to the inhalation of H₂ gas have been reported.

**Conclusion:** This is the first study to investigate the efficacy of H₂ for the treatment of ISSNHL in humans. The results suggest that H₂ therapy may be effective for ISSNHL treatment.

**Clinical trial registration:** [https://jrct.niph.go.jp/re/reports/detail/10442], identifier [jRCTs06119004].
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### Introduction

Idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss (ISSNHL) is a sensorineural hearing dysfunction of unknown etiology characterized by a sudden onset and mostly unilateral hearing loss. A recent epidemiological survey in Japan showed that the incidence of ISSNHL occurs in 60.9 per 100,000 of the population (Nakashima et al., 2014). The pathogenesis of ISSNHL is still unknown; however, administration of corticosteroids, hyperbaric oxygen therapy, vasodilators, and intratympanic steroid injections are often used to treat ISSNHL (Kitoh et al., 2017; Chandrasekhar et al., 2019; Herrera et al., 2019). Although these treatments have been proposed to constitute the possible intracochlear mechanisms of the disease, the prognosis of ISSNHL remains insufficient (Kitoh et al., 2017).

Molecular hydrogen (H₂) is an antioxidative agent that can prevent oxidative stress-induced diseases, such as brain infarction (Ono et al., 2017), myocardial infarction (Katsumata et al., 2017), post-cardiac arrest syndrome (Tamura et al., 2020), respiratory function in post-COVID-19 patients (Botek et al., 2022), and rheumatoid arthritis (Ishibashi et al., 2014), in human clinical trials. In addition, H₂ has been reported to be effective in reducing hearing loss due to transient cochlear ischemia (Ogawa et al., 2018), loud sound (Lin et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2014; Kurioka et al., 2014; Fransson et al., 2021), and ototoxic agents (Qu et al., 2012; Kikkawa et al., 2014; Fransson et al., 2017). Ogawa et al. (2018) reported that H₂ was effective for hearing loss induced by cochlear ischemia in an animal model, which is thought to be the main cause of ISSNHL.

In previous animal studies, transient cochlear ischemia induced hearing loss via the depletion of the adenosine triphosphate (ATP) supply, glutamate excitotoxicity, and cell damage by the generation of free radicals (Hakuba et al., 1997, 2000; Maetani et al., 2003; Morizane et al., 2005). As H₂ can selectively scavenge peroxynitrite and hydroxyl radicals produced after transient ischemia (Ohsawa et al., 2007), it has potential effectiveness for ISSNHL treatment. However, the effectiveness of H₂ in sensorineural hearing loss has not yet been reported in humans.

In previous clinical studies using H₂ inhalation, 1.3–3% of H₂ was used for various diseases (Ishibashi et al., 2014; Katsumata et al., 2017; Ono et al., 2017; Tamura et al., 2020; Botek et al., 2022). A randomized controlled study reported that 3% H₂ gas (1 h twice a day) was effective in patients with acute cerebral infarction (Ono et al., 2017). In addition, no adverse effects due to H₂ gas have been reported in the previous studies (Ishibashi et al., 2014; Katsumata et al., 2017; Ono et al., 2017; Tamura et al., 2020; Botek et al., 2022) which is in contrast to the conventional treatments, including glucocorticoids, which have side effects. Our hypothesis is that H₂ gas inhalation is a safe and effective treatment for ISSNHL. Thus, we investigated the effects of 3% H₂ gas inhalation in patients with ISSNHL.

### Materials and methods

**Study design**

This multicenter, double-blind, randomized clinical trial was approved by the Certified Review Board of Ehime University (No. 19EC002) and was performed from June 2019 through March 2022 at six hospitals in Ehime Prefecture, Japan. The study protocol and trial registration have been published in the...
Japan Registry of Clinical Trials (jRCT, No. jRCTs06119004; as it is written in only Japanese, details in this website are mentioned below). Furthermore, written informed consent was obtained from the patients.

Study population

Patients who were diagnosed with ISSNHL at six hospitals in Japan (Ehime University Hospital, Ehime Prefectural Central Hospital, Ehime Prefectural Niihama Hospital, Uwajima City Hospital, Takano Hospital, and Matsuyama Red Cross Hospital), aged ≥ 18 years, and visited the hospital within 14 days of onset were included in this study. ISSNHL was defined as a unilateral sensorineural hearing loss of approximately 30 decibels (dB) over at least three test frequencies that developed within 3 days. All patients underwent magnetic resonance imaging and distortion products of otoacoustic emissions to prevent retrocochlear pathologies or functional hearing loss. Patients who were diagnosed with other diseases, including vestibular schwannoma, Meniere's disease, or peylymphatic fistula, were excluded from this study. Patients whose data were incomplete were also excluded from this study.

Study intervention

Patients were randomly assigned (1:1) to receive either H₂ by inhalation (H₂ group) or placebo (inhalation of air, control group). Randomization was performed with stratification based on the hearing threshold (≥ 60 dB vs. < 60 dB) and age (≥ 65 vs. < 65 years).

All patients were treated with systemic glucocorticoid and prostaglandin E1 (PGE1). Prednisolone in doses of 60, 40, and 20 mg was administered sequentially at intervals of 3 days, respectively, while intravenous PGE1 was administered for 6 days. However, when the hearing was insufficiently recovered after the systemic administration of glucocorticoids, intratympanic injection of dexamethasone (thrice weekly) was performed if requested. In addition, H₂ gas was inhaled through a cannula attached to the nose for 1 h twice daily for 6 days. Particularly, H₂ gas for the inhalation was generated by electrolysis of water using a hydrogen gas supply apparatus (MHG-2000a, MiZ Company Limited, Kamakura, Japan), which comprises an electrolysis chamber, membrane, and electrode plates. The H₂ gas was generated from the cathode surface and adjusted to a volume of 2 L per minutes to maintain the H₂ gas concentration at 3%. In contrast, the air was inhaled using the placebo equipment in the control group instead of H₂ gas. The data, including age, complications, affected side, days from onset to treatment, and hearing threshold, were collected at each hospital by investigators.

Randomization

Investigators at each hospital registered patients to the Clinical Therapeutic Trial Center at Ehime University Hospital using fax. Research coordinators at the center then generated a unique number and randomized the patient to group “A” or “B.” The randomization schedule was stratified by the hearing threshold (≥ 60 dB vs. < 60 dB) and age (≥ 65 years vs. < 65 years) in blocks of four, using Research Randomizer. The randomization schedule was blinded to the investigators and patients. The coordinator faxed the allocated group to the researcher. Patients were treated with gas supply apparatus labeled “A” or “B.” This labeling was performed by MiZ company Limited. Study investigators, research coordinators, patients, and nursing staff were blinded to this labeling.

Study outcomes

The hearing outcomes were estimated 1 and 3 months after the treatment using a pure tone audiogram (PTA). This study defined severe and mild as the initial hearing threshold (a mean of 250, 500, 1 k, 2 k, and 4 k Hz thresholds) ≥ 60 dB and < 60 dB, respectively. The primary outcomes in this study were the hearing threshold and its changes from onset to 3 months after treatment. Contrarily, the secondary outcomes were as follows: (1) hearing threshold and its changes from onset to 1 month after treatment, (2) ratio of good hearing prognosis (complete recovery and marked improvement), (3) hearing outcomes based on the severity of hearing threshold at the initial visit (< 60 dB vs. ≥ 60 dB), (4) hearing outcomes, which depend on complications including hypertension, hyperlipidemia, and diabetes, and (5) side effects and safety of inhaling H₂ gas. We used the hearing improvement criteria for ISSNHL defined by the Ministry of Health and Welfare in Japan (Kitoh et al., 2017).

Statistical analysis

The data are expressed as mean and a 95% confidence interval (CI). The distribution normality was assessed using the Shapiro–Wilk test. The differences in the hearing outcomes between the H₂ and control groups were analyzed using a one-tailed t-test or Fisher’s exact test to investigate the additional effects of H₂ gas inhalation. Other parameters between groups were analyzed using a two-tailed t-test or
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1 https://jrct.niph.go.jp/re/reports/detail/10442

2 http://www.randomizer.org/form.htm
Fisher’s exact test. In addition, multiple linear regression was used to predict hearing outcomes at each frequency based on age and treatment (H\textsubscript{2} or placebo). All analyses were performed using JMP for Macintosh (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). The statistical tests were based on a significance level of \( P < 0.05 \).

Results

Overall, 82 patients consented to participate in the study (Figure 1) and were randomly assigned to either the H\textsubscript{2} or control groups between June 2019 and December 2020. One patient in the H\textsubscript{2} group and one in the control group withdrew consent, while 39 and 41 patients in the H\textsubscript{2} and control groups, respectively, completed the intervention. In addition, eight patients in the H\textsubscript{2} group were excluded from the analysis due to the missed 3-month follow-up (\( n = 3 \)), incomplete data (\( n = 4 \)), and diagnosis of Meniere’s disease (\( n = 1 \)). In contrast, seven patients in the control group were also excluded because of the missed 3-month follow-up (\( n = 6 \)) and incomplete data (\( n = 1 \)). Ultimately, 65 patients (31 and 34 in the H\textsubscript{2} and control groups, respectively) were included in the analysis.

Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of the two groups. Days from onset to treatment were 6.2 (95% CI: 5.1–7.4) and 4.7 (95% CI: 3.7–5.7) days in the H\textsubscript{2} and control groups, respectively, which show statistical difference between the two groups (\( P = 0.049 \)). Other parameters such as age, affected side, diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, vertigo/dizziness, PTA threshold of the affected and contralateral sides, and intratympanic steroid injection as salvage therapy were not significantly different between the two groups.

The primary outcome

The primary outcome was the hearing threshold and changes in the hearing threshold 3 months after treatment. Notably, the hearing threshold 3 months after treatment was 39.0 dB (95% CI: 28.7–49.3) and 49.5 dB (95% CI: 40.3–58.7) in the H\textsubscript{2} and control groups, respectively, with no statistical difference between the two groups (\( P = 0.06 \)) (Figure 2A).

### Table 1 Baseline patient characteristics.

| Characteristics | \( H_2 \) group \((n = 31)\) | Control group \((n = 34)\) | \( P \)-value |
|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| Age (years, mean ± SE) | 60.3 ± 2.8 | 60.7 ± 2.9 | 0.91 |
| Days from onset to treatment (95% CI) | 6.2 (5.1–7.4) | 4.7 (3.7–5.7) | 0.049 |
| Affected side (Lt/Rt) | 16/15 | 25/9 | 0.08 |
| Diabetes (N, %) | 6 (19.4%) | 13 (38.2%) | 0.11 |
| Hypertension (N, %) | 13 (41.9%) | 17 (50.0%) | 0.62 |
| Hyperlipidemia (N, %) | 8 (25.8%) | 11 (32.4%) | 0.60 |
| Vertigo/Dizziness (N, %) | 7 (22.6%) | 13 (38.2%) | 0.19 |
| PTA threshold (dB) | \( 71.7 \) (64.8–78.6) | 73.7 (65.6–81.8) | 0.71 |
| Affected side (mean, 95% CI) | 23.5 (18.7–28.4) | 24.0 (19.8–28.2) | 0.88 |
| Intratympanic steroid injection as a salvage therapy (N, %) | 7 (22.6%) | 11 (32.4%) | 0.42 |

SE, standard error; CI, confidence interval; Lt, left; Rt, right; N, number; PTA, pure tone audiogram; dB, decibels; \( H_2 \), molecular hydrogen.
However, changes in the hearing threshold from the initial visit to 3 months after treatment were 32.7 dB (95% CI: 24.2–41.3) and 24.2 dB (95% CI: 18.1–30.3) in the H₂ and control groups, respectively, which were significantly better in the H₂ group than in the control group (P = 0.048) (Figure 2B and Supplementary Table 1).

The secondary outcomes

The hearing threshold 1 month after treatment was 39.8 dB (95% CI: 29.6–49.9) and 51.5 dB (95% CI: 42.9–60.2) in the H₂ and control groups, respectively. The changes in hearing threshold 1 month after treatment were 31.9 dB (95% CI: 23.5–40.4) and 22.1 dB (95% CI: 15.9–28.4) in the H₂ and control groups, respectively. Notably, the hearing threshold and changes in hearing threshold 1 month after treatment were significantly better in the H₂ group (P = 0.04 and 0.03, respectively) than those in the control group (Figures 2A,B and Supplementary Table 1).

Furthermore, the ratio of good hearing prognosis (complete and partial recoveries) 3 months after treatment was 64.5% (n = 20) and 58.8% (n = 20) in the H₂ and control groups, respectively, indicating no significant difference between the two groups (P = 0.42) (Figure 3).

Figure 4 shows the hearing outcomes according to the hearing severity at the initial visit (Supplementary Table 2). The mild (PTA < 60 dB) and severe (PTA ≥ 60 dB) hearing loss groups included 22 (10 and 12 in the H₂ and control groups, respectively) and 43 (21 and 22 in the H₂ and control groups, respectively)
FIGURE 4
Hearing outcomes depending on the severity of hearing loss at the initial visit. (A) PTA threshold among patients with mild hearing loss. (B) Changes in the PTA threshold among patients with mild hearing loss. (C) PTA threshold among patients with severe hearing loss. (D) Changes in the PTA threshold among patients with severe hearing loss. Both the PTA threshold and changes in PTA threshold were significantly better in the $H_2$ group 1 month after treatment among patients with mild hearing loss. However, these significant differences disappeared 3 months after treatment. There were no significant differences between the $H_2$ and control groups in patients with severe hearing loss. *$P < 0.05$.

FIGURE 5
Hearing outcomes depending on the severity of hearing loss at the initial visit. (A) Hearing outcomes among patients with mild hearing loss. The ratio of good hearing prognosis (complete recovery and marked improvement) did not vary between the groups. (B) Hearing outcomes among patients with severe hearing loss. The ratio of good hearing prognosis did not vary between the groups. However, the ratio of complete recovery was significantly greater in the $H_2$ group than in the control group ($P = 0.02$).

groups, respectively) patients, respectively. Among the patients with mild hearing loss at the initial visit, the PTA threshold 1 month after treatment was significantly better in the $H_2$ group than in the control group ($P = 0.04$). However, this significant difference disappeared 3 months after treatment ($P = 0.13$) (Figure 4A). In addition, a significant difference was observed...
between the groups in the changes in hearing threshold 1 month after treatment ($P = 0.04$). However, this difference disappeared 3 months after treatment ($P = 0.12$) (Figure 4B). Furthermore, among the patients with severe hearing loss, there were no significant differences between the groups at the initial visit and 1 and 3 months after treatment ($P = 0.35$, 0.07, and 0.07, respectively) (Figure 4C). The changes in hearing thresholds showed no significant differences between the groups at 1 and 3 months after treatment ($P = 0.11$ and 0.10, respectively) (Figure 4D). Moreover, among the patients with mild hearing loss at the initial visit, the ratio of good hearing prognosis was 60.0% and 58.3% in the H$_2$ and control groups, respectively, which shows no significant difference between the groups ($P = 0.64$) (Figure 5A). Among the patients with severe hearing loss at the initial visit, the ratio of good hearing prognosis was 66.7 and 59.1% in the H$_2$ and control groups, respectively. Accordingly, there was no significant difference between the groups at the initial visit ($P = 0.42$). However, the ratio of complete recovery was 52.4% and 18.2% in the H$_2$ and control groups, respectively, with a significant difference between the groups ($P = 0.02$) (Figure 5B).

Figure 6 shows that hearing outcomes depend on diabetes (Supplementary Table 3). The PTA threshold was not significantly different at the initial visit among the patients with diabetes. In contrast, the PTA threshold and changes in PTA threshold were significantly better in the H$_2$ group 1 and 3 months after treatment than in the control group. However, these significant differences were not observed among patients without diabetes. $*P < 0.05$, $**P < 0.01$.

Figure 6 shows that hearing outcomes depend on diabetes (Supplementary Table 3). The PTA threshold was not significantly different at the initial visit among the patients with diabetes. In contrast, the PTA threshold and changes in PTA threshold were significantly better in the H$_2$ group 1 and 3 months after treatment than in the control group. Among patients with diabetes, both the PTA threshold and changes in PTA threshold were significantly better in the H$_2$ group 1 and 3 months after treatment than in the control group. However, these significant differences were not observed among patients without diabetes. $*P < 0.05$, $**P < 0.01$. Notably, among the patients without diabetes, the hearing outcomes were not significantly different between the groups (Figures 6C,D). Figure 6 also shows that hearing outcomes are dependent on hypertension. Among the patients with hypertension, hearing outcomes were not significantly different between the H$_2$ and control groups (Figures 7A,B); however, those at 1 and 3 months after treatment were significantly better in the H$_2$ group among patients without hypertension (Figures 7C,D and Supplementary Table 4). Figure 8 shows that hearing outcomes depend on hyperlipidemia (Supplementary Table 5). Remarkably, among patients with hyperlipidemia, the hearing outcomes were not significantly different between the groups (Figures 8A,B). In contrast, among patients without hyperlipidemia, the hearing outcomes 1 month
FIGURE 7
Hearing outcomes depending on hypertension. (A) PTA threshold among patients with hypertension. (B) Changes in the PTA threshold among patients with hypertension. (C) PTA threshold among patients without hypertension. (D) Changes in the PTA threshold among patients without hypertension. Although the effect of H₂ gas inhalation was not observed in patients with hypertension, hearing outcomes were significantly improved in the H₂ group among patients without hypertension. *P < 0.05.

after treatment were significantly better in the H₂ group than in the control group; however, hearing outcomes 3 months after treatment were not significantly different between the groups (Figures 8C,D).

Lastly, side effects due to the inhalation of H₂ gas were not reported in this study.

Additional analysis

Figure 9 shows the PTA thresholds at initial visit, 1 and 3 months after treatment at each frequency. The PTA threshold 3 months after treatment at 1 k Hz was significantly better in the H₂ group than in the control group (P = 0.04) (Supplementary Table 6). The changes in PTA thresholds at each frequency are summarized in Supplementary Table 7. The changes in PTA threshold 3 months after treatment were significantly better at 500, 1 k, and 8 k Hz in the H₂ group than in the control group (P = 0.04, 0.02, and 0.03, respectively).

The results of multiple linear regression analysis are summarized in Supplementary Table 8. The changes in PTA threshold at 1 k Hz were significantly associated with treatment (H₂ or placebo) (P = 0.04), suggesting that H₂ gas inhalation therapy was effective, especially at middle frequencies.

Discussion

This study proposes that inhalation of H₂ gas and treatment with systemic glucocorticoids and PGE1 effectively improve hearing outcomes in patients with ISSNHL. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to examine the effectiveness of H₂ gas therapy in ISSNHL treatment.

However, the pathogenesis of ISSNHL remains unknown. Although various causes have been proposed, circulatory disturbance is recognized as the most plausible cause of the disease (Kim et al., 1999). Steroids and vasoactive agents are often used for ISSNHL treatment (Kitoh et al., 2017; Okada et al., 2017). Nevertheless, approximately 40% of patients with ISSNHL have a poor hearing prognosis (Kitoh et al., 2017; Okada et al., 2017). Interestingly, intratympanic steroid (ITS) therapy has recently been used as initial or salvage therapy for ISSNHL; however, the treatment effect of ITS is inadequate (Chandrasekhar et al., 2019).

Inhalation of H₂ gas was effective in changes in hearing threshold, although the duration from onset to the initial visit was significantly longer in the H₂ group than in the control group. In animal studies, it has been reported that transient cochlear ischemia causes inner hair cell loss through the depletion of the ATP supply, glutamate excitotoxicity, and...
cell damage by the generation of free radicals (Hakuba et al., 1997, 2000; Maetani et al., 2003; Morizane et al., 2005). In addition, cochlear blood flow disturbance causes a significant increase in nitric oxide (NO) production in the perilymph, which is attributed to the inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) pathway (Kim et al., 1999). NO production through iNOS is believed to cause injury, which follows ischemia and contributes to neuronal damage through peroxynitrite production (Niwa et al., 1999). Furthermore, our previous study showed that immunostaining for iNOS was strongly expressed on days 1 and 4 after transient cochlear ischemia (Morizane et al., 2005). Notably, H₂ can selectively scavenge peroxynitrite and hydroxyl radicals produced following transient cochlear ischemia (Ohsawa et al., 2007). In our previous animal study, we also reported that H₂ is effective for acute hearing loss prevention due to transient cochlear ischemia (Ogawa et al., 2018). Moreover, the therapeutic effects of H₂ on the inner ear have been reported in animal studies related to noise-induced hearing loss (Lin et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2014; Kurioka et al., 2014; Fransson et al., 2021) and ototoxic agents (Qu et al., 2012; Kikkawa et al., 2014; Fransson et al., 2017) through its antioxidative or anti-inflammatory effects (Lin et al., 2011; Qu et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2017). Therefore, H₂ therapy may be effective for ISSNHL through its antioxidative or anti-inflammatory effects, which include those of the iNOS pathway.

The effect of H₂ was remarkable in patients with diabetes. Generally, diabetes and hypertension have been reported to contribute to the causes of ISSNHL through microcirculatory disturbances and cellular stress (Teranishi et al., 2007). Both diabetes and hypertension are associated with endothelial dysfunction and oxidative stress (Petrie et al., 2018). Yet, H₂ was effective only in patients with diabetes whereas ineffective in those with hypertension and hyperlipidemia. Since the mechanisms by which diabetes, hypertension, and hyperlipidemia affect the inner ear pathologies in ISSNHL remain unknown, we cannot explain these results. In addition, further large-scale study in human and animal studies are warranted because of the small number of patients.

Furthermore, the ratio of complete recovery was significantly higher in the H₂ group among patients with severe hearing loss, although this outcome was not included in the secondary outcomes. Since the severe hearing loss at the initial visit is believed to be a poor prognostic factor, inhalation of H₂ gas may improve the ISSNHL prognosis. Notably, in this study, there were no adverse effects due to the inhalation of H₂.
gas. In addition, no side effects have been reported in previous studies that administered H$_2$ gas to humans (Ishibashi et al., 2014; Katsumata et al., 2017; Ono et al., 2017; Tamura et al., 2020; Botek et al., 2022). This study’s results suggest that the inhalation of H$_2$ gas is a safe and effective treatment for severe ISSNHL. However, large-scale studies are required to verify the efficacy of H$_2$ gas in treating ISSNHL because of the small number of patients.

This study has several limitations. First, since the number of patients was small, the study population may be insufficient for analysis. In the power analysis of our primary outcome (1-fl), was 0.51, suggesting that large-scale studies are required. In addition, multivariate analysis is more suitable for analyzing the effect of complications on hearing outcomes. However, the number of patients was insufficient for the analysis. Further large-scale studies are needed to confirm the effect of complications. Second, randomization was performed according to the hearing thresholds at the initial visit and age. Although other factors that might influence the hearing outcomes were not significantly different between the H$_2$ and control groups, excluding the duration from onset to initial visit, further studies that randomize by including these factors will be required. Third, the optimal concentration of H$_2$ and duration of inhalation of H$_2$ gas are still unknown for treating ISSNHL. Therefore, further studies are needed to verify these findings. Fourth, we did not perform a speech perception test, as it is not included in the Japanese criteria for hearing outcomes.

This is the first clinical report to analyze the efficacy of H$_2$ for ISSNHL. In animal studies, there have been reports that H$_2$ alleviates hearing loss due to various causes (Lin et al., 2011; Qu et al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2014; Kikkawa et al., 2014; Kurioka et al., 2014; Fransson et al., 2017, 2021; Ogawa et al., 2018). However, prior to the present study, no other study had evaluated the efficacy of H$_2$ in humans. Further clinical trials to confirm the efficacy of H$_2$ in hearing loss by other causes, such as noise-induced or drug-induced, are required. The route of H$_2$ administration is roughly classified into three types: inhalation of H$_2$ gas, drinking H$_2$-dissolved water, and injection of H$_2$-dissolved saline (Iketani and Ohsawa, 2017). Inhalation of H$_2$ gas is an easy, safe, and reliable way to administer H$_2$. No side effects of H$_2$ inhalation were reported in this study or in other clinical trials (Ishibashi et al., 2014; Katsumata et al., 2017; Ono et al., 2017; Tamura et al., 2020; Botek et al., 2022). Since there are few disadvantages to inhalation of H$_2$ gas, it is expected to be applied to various types of hearing loss.

In conclusion, inhalation of H$_2$ gas was effective for treating ISSNHL, and the changes in hearing threshold were significantly
better in the H₂ group than in the control group. The effect of H₂ gas inhalation was remarkable in patients with diabetes and in those with severe hearing loss. However, H₂ gas inhalation was ineffective in patients with hypertension but was effective in those without hypertension. Furthermore, no side effects were observed after the inhalation of H₂ gas. Therefore, these results suggest that the inhalation of H₂ gas may be safe and effective in ISSNHL treatment.

**Data availability statement**

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

**Ethics statement**

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Certified Review Board of Ehime University. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

**Author contributions**

MO and NHa designed the study. MO, HO, TT, EN, TY, JH, YS, NHo, MT, and HY collected the data. MO and TF analyzed the data. S-IH prepared the equipment. MO wrote the draft of this manuscript. NHa revised the manuscript. All authors contributed to the manuscript and approved its submission.

**References**

Botek, M., Krešić, J., Valenta, M., McKune, A., Sládečková, B., Konečný, P., et al. (2022). Molecular hydrogen positively affects physical and respiratory function in acute post-COVID-19 patients: A new perspective in rehabilitation. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 19:1992. doi: 10.3390/ijerph19041992

Chandrasekhar, S. S., Tsai Do, B. S., Schwartz, S. R., Bontempo, L. J., Faucett, E. A., Finestone, S. A., et al. (2019). Clinical practice guideline: Sudden hearing loss (update). *Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg.* 161, S1–S45. doi: 10.1177/0194599819859885

Chen, L., Han, M., Lu, Y., Chen, D., Sun, X., Yang, S., et al. (2017). Molecular mechanisms underlying the protective effects of hydrogen-saturated saline on noise-induced hearing loss. *Acta Otolaryngol.* 137, 1063–1068. doi: 10.1080/00016489.2017.1328743

Chen, L., Yu, N., Lu, Y., Wu, L., Chen, D., Guo, W., et al. (2014). Hydrogen-saturated saline protects intensive narrow band noise-induced hearing loss in guinea pigs through an antioxidant effect. *PLoS One* 9:e100774. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0100774

Fransson, A. E., Kissel, M., Pirttilä, K., Pettersson, C., Videhult Pierre, P., and Laurell, G. F. E. (2017). Hydrogen inhalation protects against ototoxicity induced by intravenous cisplatin in the guinea pig. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 11:280. doi: 10.3389/fncel.2017.00280

Fransson, A. E., Videhult Pierre, P., Rissing, M., and Laurell, G. F. E. (2021). Inhalation of molecular hydrogen, a rescue treatment for noise-induced hearing loss. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 15:658662. doi: 10.3389/fncel.2021.658662

Hakuba, N., Gyo, K., Yanagihara, N., Mitani, A., and Kataoka, K. (1997). Efflux of glutamate into the perilymph of the cochlea following transient ischemia in the gerbil. *Neurosci. Lett.* 230, 69–71. doi: 10.1016/s0304-3940(97)00462-x

Hakuba, N., Koga, K., Shudou, M., Watanabe, F., Mitani, A., and Gyo, K. (2000). Hearing loss and glutamate efflux in the perilymph following transient hindbrain ischemia in gerbils. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 418, 217–226. doi: 10.1002/(sici)1096-9861(20000306)418:2<217::aid-cne1085>3.0.co;2-1

Herrera, M., García Berrocal, J. R., García Arumi, A., Lavilla, M. J., and Plaza, G. (2019). Grupo de trabajo de la comisión de audiológia de la SEORL. Update on consensus on diagnosis and treatment of idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss. *Acta Otorrinolaringol. Esp.* 70, 290–300.

Ibetani, M., and Ohbayashi, I. (2017). Molecular hydrogen as a neuroprotective agent. *Curr. Neuropharmacol.* 15, 324–331. doi: 10.2174/1570159x14666160607205417

Ishibashi, T., Sato, B., Shibata, S., Sakai, T., Haru, Y., Naritomi, Y., et al. (2014). Therapeutic efficacy of infused molecular hydrogen in saline on rheumatoid arthritis: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled pilot study. *Int. Immunopharmacol.* 21, 468–473. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2014.06.001

**Acknowledgments**

We thank the support in the randomization process provided by the Clinical Therapeutic Trial Center at Ehime University Hospital.

**Conflict of interest**

Author S-IH was employed by MiZ Company Limited.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be constructed as potential conflicts of interest.

**Publisher’s note**

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

**Supplementary material**

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnins.2022.1024634/full#supplementary-material
Katsumata, Y., Sano, F., Abe, T., Tamura, T., Fujisawa, T., Shiraishi, Y., et al. (2017). The effects of hydrogen gas inhalation on adverse left ventricular remodeling after percutaneous coronary intervention for ST-elevated myocardial infarction - first pilot study in humans. Circ. J. 81, 940–947. doi: 10.1253/circj.CJ-17-0105

Kikkawa, Y. S., Nakagawa, T., Taniguchi, M., and Ito, J. (2014). Hydrogen protects auditory hair cells from cisplatin-induced free radicals. Neurosci. Lett. 579, 125–129. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2014.07.025

Kim, J. S., Lopez, I., DiPatre, P. L., Liu, F., Ishiyama, A., and Baloh, R. W. (1999). Internal auditory artery infarction: Clinicopathologic correlation. Neurology 52, 40–44. doi: 10.1212/wnl.52.1.40

Kito, R., Nishio, S. Y., Ogawa, K., Kanzaki, S., Hato, N., Some, M., et al. (2017). Nationwide epidemiological survey of idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss in Japan. Acta Otolaryngol. 137, 505–511. doi: 10.1080/00016489.2017.1279537

Kurioka, T., Matsunobu, T., Satoh, Y., Niwa, K., and Shiotsani, A. (2014). Inhaled hydrogen gas therapy for prevention of noise-induced hearing loss through reducing reactive oxygen species. Neurosci. Res. 89, 69–74. doi: 10.1016/j.neures.2014.08.009

Lin, Y., Kashi, A., Sakamoto, T., Suzukiwa, K., Kakigi, A., and Yamashita, T. (2011). Hydrogen in drinking water attenuates noise-induced hearing loss in guinea pigs. Neurosci. Lett. 487, 12–16. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2010.09.064

Maetani, T., Hakuba, N., Taniguchi, M., Hyodo, J., Shimizu, Y., and Gyo, K. (2003). Free radical scavenger protects against inner hair cell loss after cochlear ischemia. Neuroreport 14, 1881–1884. doi: 10.1097/00001756-200310060-00025

Morizane, I., Hakuba, N., Hyodo, J., Shimizu, Y., Fujita, K., Yoshida, T., et al. (2005). Ischemic damage increases nitric oxide production via inducible nitric oxide synthase in the cochlea. Neurosci. Lett. 391, 62–67. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2005.08.038

Nakashima, T., Sato, H., Gyo, K., Hato, N., Yoshida, T., Shimon, M., et al. (2014). Idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss in Japan. Acta Otolaryngol. 134, 1158–1163. doi: 10.3109/00016489.2014.919406

Niwa, K., Takizawa, S., Kawaguchi, C., Kamiya, U., Kusubara, I., and Shinobara, Y. (1999). Expression of inducible nitric oxide synthase immunoreactivity in rat brain following chronic hypoxia: Effect of aminoguanidine. Neurosci. Lett. 271, 109–112. doi: 10.1016/s0304-3940(99)00354-0

Ogawa, H., Okada, M., Shidou, M., Gyo, K., and Hato, N. (2018). Prevention of ischemia-induced hearing loss by intravenous administration of hydrogen-rich saline in gerbil. Neurosci. Lett. 665, 195–199. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2017.12.013

Obasa, I., Ishikawa, M., Takahashi, K., Watanabe, M., Nishimaki, K., Yamagata, K., et al. (2007). Hydrogen acts as a therapeutic antioxidant by selectively reducing cytotoxic oxygen radicals. Nat. Med. 13, 688–694. doi: 10.1038/nm1577

Okada, M., Hato, N., Nishio, S. Y., Kitoh, R., Ogawa, K., Kanzaki, S., et al. (2017). The effect of initial treatment on hearing prognosis in idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss: A nationwide survey in Japan. Acta Otolaryngol. 137, 530–533. doi: 10.1080/00016489.2017.1296970

Ono, H., Nishijima, Y., Ohta, S., Sakamoto, M., Kinone, K., Horikoshi, T., et al. (2017). Hydrogen gas inhalation treatment in acute cerebral infarction: A randomized controlled clinical study on safety and neuroprotection. J. Stroke Cerebrovasc. Dis. 26, 2587–2594. doi: 10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2017.06.012

Petrie, J. R., Guzik, T. J., and Touyz, R. M. (2018). Diabetes, hypertension, and cardiovascular disease: Clinical insights and vascular mechanisms. Can. J. Cardiol. 34, 575–584. doi: 10.1016/j.cjca.2017.12.005

Qu, J., Li, X., Wang, J., Mi, W., Xie, K., and Qiu, J. (2012). Inhalation of hydrogen gas attenuates cisplatin-induced ototoxicity via reducing oxidative stress. Int. J. Pediatr. Otorhinolaryngol. 76, 111–115. doi: 10.1016/j.ijporl.2011.10.014

Tamura, T., Suzuki, M., Hayashida, K., Kobayashi, Y., Yoshizawa, J., Shibusawa, T., et al. (2020). Hydrogen gas inhalation alleviates oxidative stress in patients with post-cardiac arrest syndrome. J. Clin. Biochem. Nutr. 67, 214–221. doi: 10.3164/jcbn.19-101