A history of previous childbirths is linked to women's white matter brain age in midlife and older age
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Abstract
Maternal brain adaptations occur in response to pregnancy, but little is known about how parity impacts white matter and white matter ageing trajectories later in life. Utilising global and regional brain age prediction based on multi-shell diffusion-weighted imaging data, we investigated the association between previous childbirths and white matter brain age in 8,895 women in the UK Biobank cohort (age range = 54–81 years). The results showed that number of previous childbirths was negatively associated with white matter brain age, potentially indicating a protective effect of parity on white matter later in life. Both global white matter and grey matter brain age estimates showed unique contributions to the association with previous childbirths, suggesting partly independent processes. Corpus callosum contributed uniquely to the global white matter association with previous childbirths, and showed a stronger relationship relative to several other tracts. While our findings demonstrate a link between reproductive history and brain white matter characteristics later in life, longitudinal studies are required to establish causality and determine how parity may influence women's white matter trajectories across the lifespan.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Maternal brain adaptations have been shown during pregnancy and postpartum, with dynamic alterations across brain regions at different time windows since pregnancy (Duarte-Guterman, Leuner, & Galea, 2019; Hoekzema et al., 2017; Kim et al., 2010; Kim, Dufford, & Tribble, 2018; Luders et al., 2020). Some of these alterations involve regions implicated in empathy, mentalising, and emotion regulation, and may thus represent adaptations to meet the needs and demands of the offspring, and to secure adequate expression of maternal caregiving (Barha & Galea, 2017; Djalovski, Dumas, Kinreich, & Feldman, 2021; Feldman, 2016; Ho & Swain, 2017; Hoekzema et al., 2020). Recent studies also indicate that some effects of pregnancy may be long-lasting (Duarte-Guterman et al., 2019; Hoekzema et al., 2017), potentially influencing brain trajectories later in life (Barha et al., 2016; de Lange et al., 2019; Ning et al., 2020; Pawluski, Lambert, & Kinsley, 2016). However, neuroimaging studies of the maternal brain have largely focused on grey matter (GM) volume (de Lange et al., 2019; de Lange, Barth, Kaufmann, Anatürk, et al., 2020; Hoekzema et al., 2017; Lisofsky et al., 2016; Lisofsky, Gallinat, Lindenberger, & Kühn, 2019; Luders et al., 2020; Zhang, Wang, Zhang, Du, & Chen, 2019) and cortical thickness (Kim et al., 2018; Orchard et al., 2020), and less is known about the effects of pregnancy on brain white matter (WM).

Emerging evidence from animal models suggests that pregnancy may induce WM plasticity (Chan et al., 2015; Gregg et al., 2007; Kalakh & Mouihate, 2019). Specifically, pregnant mice exhibit increases in oligodendrocyte progenitor cell proliferation, oligodendrocyte generation, and in the number of myelinated axons, indicating an enhanced capacity for myelination in the maternal brain (Gregg et al., 2007). Pregnancy-induced remyelination may partly explain why pregnancy seem to cause remission of multiple sclerosis (MS), an autoimmune disease that attacks the myelin sheath (Confavreux et al., 1998). In line with this, slower disability progression has been found in parous MS patients after 18 years, compared with nulliparous patients (D’hoooghe & Nagels, 2010). This effect was strongest in patients that gave birth after disease onset, indicating favourable effects of pregnancy-related adaptations on disease mechanisms in MS.

While the influence of childbirth on WM trajectories in healthy women is largely unknown, one study reported larger regional WM volumes in mothers compared to non-mothers, as well as maternal WM increases that were linked to changes in empathetic abilities during the postpartum period (Zhang et al., 2019). In line with these findings, a diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) (Basser, Mattiello, & LeBihan, 1994) study in rats found that fractional anisotropy (FA), which quantifies the degree of diffusion directionality, increased significantly in the dentate gyrus during pregnancy. However, whole-brain diffusivity also increased in pregnant rats compared to nulliparous rats (Chan et al., 2015), indicating global changes in the characteristics of molecular water movement—potentially linked to increased extracellular water in the brain during pregnancy (Oatridge et al., 2002).

Recent research assessing longitudinal changes in human brain morphology during pregnancy found no WM changes in mothers (Hoekzema et al., 2017), nor in female adolescents in a follow-up study comparing longitudinal changes in mothers and two years of pubertal development (Carmona et al., 2019). However, as adolescence is known to involve substantial WM remodelling (Asato, Terwilliger, Woo, & Luna, 2010; Barnea-Goraly et al., 2005; Giorgio et al., 2008; Giorgio et al., 2010; Paus, 2010), the lack of effects could possibly reflect insensitivity of the methods used to assess WM changes (T1-weighted estimation of WM volume (Hoekzema et al., 2017) and gyral WM thickness (Carmona et al., 2019)). In development and ageing studies, WM is commonly investigated using DTI (Basser et al., 1994), which yields metrics that are highly sensitive to age (Cox et al., 2016). However, the accuracy of the DTI approach is limited by factors such as crossing fibres. These obstacles have motivated the development of advanced biophysical diffusion models including WM tract integrity (WMTI) (Fierenmans, Jensen, & Helpern, 2011), which is derived from diffusion kurtosis imaging (DKI) (Jensen, Helpern, Ramani, Lu, & Kaczynski, 2005), and spherical mean information about the microstructural environment (Jellescu & Budde, 2017). However, the WMTI model does not consider the non-straight and non-parallel nature of fibre crossings and orientation dispersion, something that is factored out in the SMT model to overcome this limitation (Kaden, Kelm, et al., 2016; Kaden, Krugell, & Alexander, 2016). In contrast to DTI, the DKI model yields metrics estimating the degree of non-Gaussian diffusion, believed to better reflect the complexity of WM tissue structure (Jellescu & Budde, 2017). In the current study, we utilised four diffusion models (DTI, DKI, WMTI, SMT) to predict WM brain age, and investigated associations between brain age estimates and previous childbirths in a sample of 8,895 UK Biobank women (mean age ± SD = 62.45 ± 7.26). In line with studies suggesting that distinct and regional brain age estimates may provide additional detail (de Lange, Barth, Kaufmann, Anatürk, et al., 2020; Eavani et al., 2018; Kaufmann et al., 2019; Smith et al., 2020), we estimated (a) global WM brain age, (b) global GM brain age to test for modality-specific contributions, and (c) WM brain age in 12 major WM tracts in order to identify regions of particular importance.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Sample characteristics

The initial sample was drawn from the UK Biobank (www.ukbiobank.ac.uk), and included 9,899 women. Then, 899 participants with known
brain disorders were excluded based on ICD10 diagnoses (Chapters V and VI, field F; mental and behavioural disorders, including F00–F03 for Alzheimer’s disease and dementia, and F06.7 “Mild cognitive disorder,” and field G; diseases of the nervous system, including inflammatory and neurodegenerative diseases (except G55-59; “Nerve, nerve root and plexus disorders”). An overview of the diagnoses is provided in the UK Biobank online resources (http://biobank.ndph.ox.ac.uk/showcase/field.cgi?id=-41270), and the diagnostic criteria are listed in the ICD10 diagnostic manual (https://www.who.int/classifications/icd/icdonlineversions). In addition, 99 participants were excluded based on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) outliers (see Section 2.2) and 11 participants were excluded based on missing data on the number of previous childbirths, yielding a total of 8,895 participants that were included in the study. Sample demographics are provided in Table 1.

### 2.2 MRI data acquisition and processing

A detailed overview of the UK Biobank data acquisition and protocols is available in Alfaro-Almagro et al. (2018) and Miller et al. (2016). For the diffusion-weighted MRI data, a conventional Stejskal-Tanner monopolar spin-echo echo-planar imaging sequence was used with multiband factor 3. Diffusion weightings were 1,000 and 2,000 s/mm² and 50 non-coplanar diffusion directions per each diffusion shell. The spatial resolution was 2 mm³ isotropic, and five anterior–posterior versus three anterior–posterior images with b = 0 s/mm² were acquired. All diffusion data were processed using an optimised diffusion pipeline (Maximov, Alnaes, & Westlye, 2019) consisting of six steps: noise correction (Veraart, Novikov, et al., 2016; Veraart, Fieremans, & Novikov, 2016), Gibbs-ringing correction (Kellner, Dhital, Kiselev, & Reisert, 2016), estimation of echo-planar imaging distortions, motion, eddy-current and susceptibility-induced distortion corrections (Andersson, Graham, Zsoldos, & Sotiropoulos, 2016; Andersson & Sotiropoulos, 2016), spatial smoothing using fslnets from FSL (version 6.0.1) (Smith et al., 2004) with a Gaussian kernel of 1 mm³, and diffusion metrics estimation. DTI and DKI derived metrics were estimated using MATLAB R2017a (MathWorks, Natick, MA) as proposed by Veraart, Sijbers, Sunaert, Leemans, and Jeurissen (2013). The DTI metrics included mean diffusivity (MD), FA, axial diffusivity (AD), and radial diffusivity (RD) (Basser et al., 1994). The DKI metrics included mean kurtosis, axial kurtosis, and radial kurtosis (Jensen et al., 2005). WMTI metrics included axonal water fraction, extra-axonal AD, and extra-axonal RD (radEAD) (Fieremans et al., 2011). SMT metrics included intra-neurite volume fraction, extra-neurite MD, and extra-neurite RD (Kaden, Kelm, et al., 2016). See Maximov et al. (2019) for details on the processing pipeline.

Tract-based spatial statistics (TBSS) was used to extract diffusion metrics in WM (Smith et al., 2006). Initially, all maps were aligned to the FMRIB58_FA template supplied by FSL using non-linear transformation in FNIRT (Andersson, Jenkinson, & Smith, 2007). Next, a mean FA image of 18,600 UK Biobank subjects was obtained and thinned to create a mean FA skeleton. The number N = 18,600 was obtained from the processing of the two first UKB data releases. The maximal FA values for each subject were then projected onto the skeleton to minimise confounding effects due to partial volumes and any residual misalignments. Finally, all diffusion metrics were projected onto the

**Table 1.** Sample demographics. For variables with missing data, sample size (N) is indicated in parentheses

|                          | Total N |          |
|--------------------------|---------|----------|
| Age                      | 8,895   |          |
| Mean ± SD                | 62.40 ± 7.25 |    |
| Range (years)            | 45.13–80.66     |    |
| Number of childbirths (live) | 1.74 ± 1.15 |    |
| Range                    | 0–8     |          |
| Age at first birth (N = 7,066) | 26.82 ± 4.99 |    |
| Range                    | 14–47   |          |
| Years since last birth (N = 5,875) | 32.41 ± 9.21 |    |
| Range                    | 6.77–55.19 |    |
| Menopausal status (N = 8,888) |          |          |
| Yes                      | 2,745   |          |
| No                       | 4,767   |          |
| Not sure, had hysterectomy | 925     |          |
| Not sure, other reason   | 451     |          |
| Ethnic background (N = 8,872) |          |          |
| % White                  | 97.59   |          |
| % Black                  | 0.54    |          |
| % Mixed                  | 0.50    |          |
| % Asian                  | 0.62    |          |
| % Chinese                | 0.35    |          |
| % Other                  | 0.38    |          |
| % Do not know            | 0.02    |          |
| Education (N = 8,868)    |          |          |
| % University/college degree | 42.04   |    |
| % A levels or equivalent | 13.97   |    |
| % O levels/GCSE or equivalent | 22.62 |    |
| % NVQ or equivalent      | 3.23    |          |
| % Professional qualification | 5.79    |    |
| % None of the above      | 6.47    |          |
| Assessment location (imaging) |          |          |
| Newcastle                | 1,419   |          |
| Cheadle                  | 7,476   |          |

Abbreviations: GCSE, General Certificate of Secondary Education; NVQ, National Vocational Qualification; SD, standard deviation.
subject-specific skeletons. WM features were extracted based on John Hopkins University atlases for WM tracts and labels (with 0 thresholding) (Mori, Wakana, van Zijl, & Nagae-Poetscher, 2005), yielding a total of 910 WM features including mean values and regional measures for each of the diffusion model metrics. For the region-specific brain age models, 12 tracts of interest used in previous ageing and development studies were extracted (Krogsrud et al., 2016; Westlye et al., 2010); anterior thalamic radiation (ATR), corticospinal tract (CST) cingulate gyrus (CG), cingulum hippocampus (CING), forceps major (FMAJ), forceps minor (FMIM), inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus (IFOF), inferior longitudinal fasciculus (ILF) superior longitudinal fasciculus (SLF), uncinate fasciculus (UF), superior longitudinal fasciculus temporal (SLFT), and corpus callosum (CC). The diffusion MRI data passed TBSS post-processing quality control using the YTIUM algorithm (Maximov et al., 2021), and were residualised with respect to scanning site using linear models.

For the GM data, raw T1-weighted MRI data for all participants were processed using a harmonised analysis pipeline, including automated surface-based morphometry and subcortical segmentation. In line with recent brain age studies (de Lange et al., 2019; de Lange, Anatürk, Kaufmann, Cole, et al., 2020; de Lange, Barth, Kaufmann, Maximov, et al., 2020; Kaufmann et al., 2019), we utilised a fine-grained cortical parcellation scheme (Glasser et al., 2016) to extract cortical thickness, area, and volume for 180 regions of interest per hemisphere, in addition to the classic set of subcortical and cortical summary statistics from FreeSurfer (version 5.3) (Fischl et al., 2002). This yielded a total set of 1,118 structural brain imaging features (360/360/360/38 for cortical thickness/area/volume, as well as cerebellar/subcortical and cortical summary statistics, respectively). Linear models were used to residualise the T1-weighted MRI data with respect to scanning site, intracranial volume (Voevodskaya et al., 2014), and data quality using Euler numbers (Rosen et al., 2018) extracted from FreeSurfer. To remove poor-quality data likely due to motion, participants with Euler numbers of SD ± 4 were identified and excluded (n = 80). In addition, participants with SD ± 4 on the global MRI measures mean FA, mean cortical GM volume, and/or subcortical GM volume were excluded (n = 10, n = 5, and n = 4, respectively), yielding a total of 8,895 participants with both WM (diffusion-weighted) and GM (T1-weighted) MRI data.

### 2.3 Brain age prediction

Brain age prediction is a method in which a machine learning algorithm estimates an individual's age based on their brain characteristics (Cole et al., 2017). This estimation is then compared to the individual's chronological age to estimate each individual's brain age gap (BAG), which is used to identify degrees of deviation from normative ageing trajectories. Such deviations have been associated with a range of clinical risk factors (Cole, 2020; de Lange, Anatürk, et al., 2020; Smith et al., 2020) as well as neurological and neuropsychiatric diseases (Cole et al., 2019; Cole, Marioni, Harris, & Deary, 2019; Franke & Gaser, 2019; Kaufmann et al., 2019). They have also been assessed in previous studies of parity and brain age (de Lange et al., 2019; de Lange, Barth, Kaufmann, Anatürk, et al., 2020; de Lange, Barth, Kaufmann, Maximov, et al., 2020; Ning et al., 2020).

Separate brain age prediction models were run for global WM and GM, and for each of the WM tracts using the XGBoost regressor model, which is based on a decision-tree ensemble algorithm (https://xgboost.readthedocs.io/en/latest/python). XGBoost includes advanced regularisation to reduce over-fitting (Chen & Guestrin, 2016), and uses a gradient boosting framework where the final model is based on a collection of individual models (https://github.com/dmlc/xgboost). For the global WM and GM models, principal component analyses (PCAs) were run on the features to reduce computational time. The top 200 PCA components, explaining 97.84% of the total variance, were used as input for the WM model, and the top 700 components, explaining 98.07% of the variance, were used as input for the GM model. The model parameters were set to maximum depth = 4, number of estimators = 140, and learning rate = 0.1 for the global and tract-specific WM models, and maximum depth = 5, number of estimators = 140, and learning rate = 0.1 for the global GM model, based on randomised searches with 10 folds and 10 iterations for hyper-parameter optimisation.

The models were run using 10-fold cross-validation, which splits the sample into subsets (folds) and trains the model on all subsets but one, which is used for evaluation. The process is repeated 10 times with a different subset reserved for evaluation each time. Predicted age estimates for each participant were derived using the Scikit-learn library (https://scikit-learn.org), and BAG values were calculated using (predicted – chronological age). To validate the models, the 10-fold cross validations were repeated 10 times, and average R², root mean square error, and mean absolute error were calculated across folds and repetitions.

### 2.4 Statistical analyses

The statistical analyses were conducted using Python 3.7.6. All variables were standardised (subtracting the mean and dividing by the SD) before entering into the analyses; and p-values were corrected for multiple comparisons using false discovery rate correction (Benjamini & Hochberg, 1995). Chronological age was included as a covariate in all analyses, adjusting for age-bias in the brain age predictions as well as age dependence in number of childbirths (de Lange & Cole, 2020; Le et al., 2018).

#### 2.4.1 Previous childbirths and global WM brain age

To investigate associations between number of previous childbirths and global WM brain age, a linear regression analysis was run using global WM BAG as the dependent variable, and number of childbirths as the independent variable. To control for potential confounding factors, the analysis was rerun including variables known to influence
brain structure in ageing or number of childbirths; assessment location (Takao, Hayashi, & Ohtomo, 2011), education (Cox et al., 2018; Ho et al., 2011), IQ (fluid intelligence) (Cox et al., 2018), ethnic background (Farrer et al., 1997), body mass index (BMI) (Ho et al., 2011), diabetic status (Beck et al., 2021; de Lange, Anatürk, et al., 2020), hypertension (Beck, de Lange, Pedersen, et al., 2021; de Lange, Anatürk, et al., 2020), smoking and alcohol intake (Beck, de Lange, Pedersen, et al., 2021; de Lange, Anatürk, et al., 2020), menopausal status (“yes,” “no,” “not sure, had hysterectomy,” and “not sure, other reason”) (Brinton, Yao, Yin, Mack, & Cadenas, 2015; Fjell et al., 2009), oral contraceptive (OC) (De Bondt et al., 2013; Fox, Berzuini, & Knapp, 2013) and hormonal replacement therapy (HRT) status (previous or current user vs. never used) (Fox et al., 2013; Kantarci et al., 2016; Resnick et al., 2009), and experience with stillbirth, miscarriage, or pregnancy termination (“yes,” “no”) (Fox, Berzuini, Knapp, & Glynn, 2018; Laisk et al., 2020) as covariates. In total, 6,977 women had data on all variables and were included in these analyses. To test for potential non-linear associations, we added number of childbirths squared as an additional independent variable to the previously defined multiple linear regression model. In addition, we tested for differences in WM BAG by number of childbirths by fitting another linear regression model with WM BAG as the dependent variable and number of childbirths (0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5–8) as a fixed factor instead of continuous variable (adjusting for age). Women with zero childbirth served as the reference group. Women with 5–8 childbirths were merged due to low numbers in each group (5 = 55, 6 = 26, 7 = 4, 8 = 1). Cohen’s d effect sizes (Cohen, 1988) were estimated for each comparison.

2.4.2 | Previous childbirths and WM versus GM brain age

To compare the contributions of global WM and GM brain age to the association with previous childbirths, a multiple regression analysis was run with both WM and GM based BAG estimates as independent variables and number of childbirths as the dependent variable, before eliminating one modality at a time to compare the log-likelihood of the full and reduced models. The significance of model differences was calculated using Wilk’s theorem as described in Section 2.4.2. In addition, the reduced $\chi^2$ values for each of the models were calculated to account for the difference in number of input variables to the full and reduced models (13 for the full model including 12 tracts + age, vs. 11 for the reduced models where each of the tracts were eliminated one by one). Next, we performed separate regression analyses for each tract-specific BAG estimate versus number of childbirths, before testing for differences between the associations using pairwise Z tests for correlated samples (Equation (1); Section 2.4.2).

3 | RESULTS

The age prediction accuracies for the global WM and GM models, as well as each of the tract-specific WM models are shown in Table 2. The correlations between predicted and chronological age for the global models are shown in Supplementary Information (SI) Figure 1. The associations between number of previous childbirths and BAG estimates based on each of the predictions are shown in Table 3.

3.1 | Previous childbirths and global WM brain age

Global WM BAG showed a negative association with number of previous childbirths, indicating a younger-looking brain in parous women (see Table 3). As shown in Figure 1, mean WM BAG was positive in nulliparous and primiparous women (0.39 and 0.03, respectively) and negative in multiparous women (−0.13). The model including potential confounding factors showed a corresponding association of $\beta = −0.030$, $SE = 0.007$, $t = −4.06, p = 4.94 \times 10^{-5}$, indicating that assessment location, education, IQ, ethnic background, BMI, diabetic status, hypertension, smoking and alcohol intake, menopausal status, and OC and HRT use could not fully explain the association between number of childbirths and global WM BAG. The correlations between global WM BAG and demographics, covariates, and number of childbirths are shown in SI Figure 2. Number of previous childbirths and age at first birth correlated $r = −0.30, p = 2.82 \times 10^{-138}$ (adjusted for age). To test for an association with global WM brain age, an analysis was run with WM BAG as the dependent variable and age at first birth as
### TABLE 2

Average $R^2$, RMSE, MAE, and correlation ($r$) between predicted and chronological age for the age prediction models.

| Modality | $R^2$ | RMSE | MAE | $r$ [95% CI] | $p$     |
|----------|-------|------|-----|--------------|--------|
| WM       | .51 ± .02 | 5.06 ± 0.11 | 4.10 ± 0.09 | .72 [0.71, 0.73] | <.001 |
| GM       | .32 ± .02 | 5.98 ± 0.13 | 4.97 ± 0.11 | .57 [0.55, 0.58] | <.001 |

### Predictions for each WM tract

| Tract | $R^2$ | RMSE | MAE | $r$ [95% CI] | $p$     |
|-------|-------|------|-----|--------------|--------|
| ATR   | .31 ± .02 | 6.03 ± 0.13 | 4.92 ± 0.12 | .56 [0.54, 0.57] | <.001 |
| CST   | .15 ± .02 | 6.69 ± 0.14 | 5.53 ± 0.13 | .38 [0.37, 0.40] | <.001 |
| CG    | .19 ± .02 | 6.54 ± 0.13 | 5.38 ± 0.12 | .44 [0.42, 0.45] | <.001 |
| CING  | .12 ± .02 | 6.81 ± 0.14 | 5.64 ± 0.12 | .34 [0.32, 0.36] | <.001 |
| FMAJ  | .14 ± .02 | 6.71 ± 0.13 | 5.55 ± 0.12 | .38 [0.37, 0.41] | <.001 |
| FMIN  | .26 ± .02 | 6.24 ± 0.13 | 5.09 ± 0.12 | .51 [0.49, 0.52] | <.001 |
| IFOF  | .25 ± .02 | 6.29 ± 0.13 | 5.16 ± 0.12 | .50 [0.48, 0.51] | <.001 |
| ILF   | .18 ± .02 | 6.55 ± 0.14 | 5.40 ± 0.13 | .43 [0.41, 0.44] | <.001 |
| SLF   | .18 ± .02 | 6.54 ± 0.13 | 5.40 ± 0.12 | .43 [0.41, 0.45] | <.001 |
| UF    | .18 ± .03 | 6.56 ± 0.13 | 5.42 ± 0.12 | .42 [0.40, 0.44] | <.001 |
| SLFT  | .17 ± .02 | 6.58 ± 0.14 | 5.42 ± 0.13 | .42 [0.41, 0.44] | <.001 |
| CC    | .25 ± .02 | 6.26 ± 0.13 | 5.13 ± 0.12 | .50 [0.49, 0.52] | <.001 |

### Abbreviations:
- ATR, anterior thalamic radiation
- CC, corpus callosum
- CG, cingulate gyrus
- CI, confidence interval
- CING, cingulum hippocampus
- CST, corticospinal tract
- FMAJ, forceps major
- FMIN, forceps minor
- GM, grey matter
- IFOF, inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus
- ILF, inferior longitudinal fasciculus
- MAE, mean absolute error
- RMSE, root mean square error
- SLF, superior longitudinal fasciculus
- SLFT, superior longitudinal fasciculus temporal
- UF, uncinate fasciculus
- WM, white matter

### TABLE 3

Associations between each of the brain-age gap estimates and number of previous childbirths ($\beta_{CB}$, SE, $t$, $p$, and $p_{corr}$). Chronological age was included in the analyses for covariate purposes and $p$-values are reported before and after correction for multiple comparisons, with corrected $p$-values <.05 highlighted in bold.

| Modality | $\beta_{CB}$ | SE  | $t$  | $p$      | $p_{corr}$   |
|----------|--------------|-----|------|----------|--------------|
| WM       | -.037        | 0.007 | -5.44 | 5.46 x 10^{-8} | 2.31 x 10^{-7} |
| GM       | -.029        | 0.005 | -5.41 | 6.43 x 10^{-8} | 2.31 x 10^{-7} |

### Associations for each WM tract

| Tract | $\beta_{CB}$ | SE  | $t$  | $p$      | $p_{corr}$   |
|-------|--------------|-----|------|----------|--------------|
| ATR   | -.022        | 0.006 | -3.66 | 2.51 x 10^{-4} | 5.03 x 10^{-4} |
| CST   | -.006        | 0.004 | -1.44 | .15       | .16          |
| CG    | -.013        | 0.005 | -2.73 | .01       | .01          |
| CING  | -.013        | 0.004 | -3.17 | .00       | .00          |
| FMAJ  | -.009        | 0.005 | -2.02 | .04       | .06          |
| FMIN  | -.021        | 0.006 | -3.73 | 1.90 x 10^{-4} | 4.26 x 10^{-4} |
| IFOF  | -.012        | 0.006 | -2.24 | .03       | .04          |
| ILF   | -.008        | 0.005 | -1.59 | .11       | .14          |
| SLF   | -.006        | 0.005 | -1.18 | .24       | .24          |
| UF    | -.007        | 0.005 | -1.46 | .14       | .16          |
| SLFT  | -.011        | 0.005 | -2.15 | .03       | .04          |
| CC    | -.029        | 0.006 | -5.25 | 1.60 x 10^{-7} | 4.81 x 10^{-7} |

### Abbreviations:
- ATR, anterior thalamic radiation
- CC, corpus callosum
- CG, cingulate gyrus
- CING, cingulum hippocampus
- CST, corticospinal tract
- FMAJ, forceps major
- FMIN, forceps minor
- GM, grey matter
- IFOF, inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus
- ILF, inferior longitudinal fasciculus
- SLF, superior longitudinal fasciculus
- SLFT, superior longitudinal fasciculus temporal
- UF, uncinate fasciculus
- WM, white matter
independent variable, including all covariates (assessment location, education, IQ, ethnic background, BMI, diabetic status, hypertension, smoking and alcohol intake, menopausal status, and OC and HRT use).

No association was found ($\beta = 0.002$, SE = 0.009, $t = 2.07$, $p = 0.037$, $N = 5,515$). In addition to a linear effect, we also found evidence for a non-linear association between number of childbirths and global WM BAG: $\beta = 0.015$, SE = 0.004, $t = 3.41$, $p = 0.001$. Differences in WM BAG were found between nulliparous women and women with one, two, or three previous childbirths. The differences were not significant for women with four or more childbirths (shown in Figure 1 and Table 4).

### 3.2 Previous childbirths and WM versus GM brain age

The age prediction based on the WM model showed higher accuracy compared to the GM prediction ($R^2$ of .51 vs. .32), as shown in Table 3. To directly compare the model predictions, a post hoc $Z$ test for correlated samples (Equation (1); Section 2.4.2) was run on the model-specific fits of predicted versus chronological age (Pearson’s $r$ values). The result showed a significant difference in model performance in favour of the WM model; $Z = 11.90$, $p = 1.06 \times 10^{-22}$. 

![Global white matter (WM) brain age gap (BAG) for groups of women based on number of previous childbirths. Left plot: WM BAG in nulliparous, primiparous, and multiparous women are displayed as raincloud plots, which combines raw data points (scatterplot) and the distributions of the data (histogram) using split-half violins. The mean for each group is displayed as a dot and text. Right plot: Cohen’s $d$ effect sizes for differences between each group of parous women (1, 2, 3, 4, 5–8) versus nulliparous women.](image-url)
When comparing regression models including both WM and GM-based BAG estimates to models including only one of the modalities, both the WM-based and the GM-based estimates were found to contribute uniquely to the association with number of previous childbirths, as shown in Table 5. The $Z$ test for differences in associations (Equation (1); Section 2.4.2) revealed similar associations between number of childbirths and WM-based versus GM-based BAG estimates, as shown in Table 6. The follow-up tests of mean FA, mean MD, and total GM volume showed positive associations between number of childbirths and mean FA as well as total GM volume, and a negative association with mean MD, as shown in SI Table 1. Only the association with MD was significant after adjusting for multiple comparisons.

### 3.3 | Previous childbirths and regional WM tracts

Significant ($p < .05$) negative associations between number of previous childbirths and WM BAG estimates were found for ATR, CG, CING, FMIN, IFOF, SLFT, and CC, as shown in Table 3. The correlations between the tract-specific BAG estimates are shown in Figure 2. CC contributed uniquely to the global WM association with number of previous childbirths, as shown in Table 7. Pairwise Z tests for differences in associations revealed that ATR and FMIN had significantly stronger associations with previous childbirths compared to SLF, while CC was more strongly associated with previous childbirths than CST, CG, FMAJ, IFOF, ILF, SLF, UF, and SLFT, as shown in Figure 3. As CC showed the most prominent contribution to the association with previous childbirths, we extracted the feature importance ranking from the CC-specific age prediction. WMTI-radEAD showed the highest gain (SI Table 2), indicating that this diffusion metric was most important for generating the prediction.

### 4 | DISCUSSION

The current study investigated the association between previous childbirths and WM brain age by utilising global and region-specific brain age prediction. The results showed that a higher number of previous childbirths was associated with lower brain age in global WM, as well as in WM tracts including ATR, CG, CING, FMAJ, FMIN, IFOF, SLFT, and CC. CC contributed uniquely to the global WM association with previous childbirths, and showed a stronger relationship with
previous childbirths relative to several other tracts. When assessing
global WM compared to GM brain age estimates, both modalities
showed unique contributions to the association with previous child-
births. Taken together, these results indicate an association between
previous childbirths and global WM ageing later in life, with regional
effects that may be particularly prominent in CC.

4.1 Previous childbirths and global WM age

During pregnancy, several adaptations in the female body and brain take
place in order to meet the needs and demands of the offspring, and to
secure adequate expression of maternal caregiving (Barha &
Galea, 2017; Feldman, 2016). Maternal adaptation in WM may thus be
induced to meet these new demands, by promoting myelination to
ensure increased efficiency of neural transmission in relevant WM tracts.
While speculative, our results may reflect a long-term benefit of
pregnancy-induced WM plasticity, potentially promoting favourable WM
trajectories later in life (Hill, Li, & Grutzendler, 2018). In support of long-
term positive effects of childbirth on WM health, parity is associated
with protective effects on age-related decline in learning, memory, and
brain health in rats (Gatewood et al., 2005). Further evidence for benefi-
cial effects of parity on brain ageing stems from a study showing that
telomeres are significantly elongated in parous relative to nulliparous
women (Barha et al., 2016), suggesting that parity may slow down the
pace of cellular ageing.

The current results are also in line with previous studies in MS
patients showing beneficial effects of pregnancy on WM health (Chan
et al., 2015; D’hooghe & Nagels, 2010; Gregg et al., 2007; Kalakh &
Mouihate, 2019; Zhang et al., 2019). Oestradiol, a type of oestrogen
that increases 300-fold during pregnancy (Schock et al., 2016), has
been linked to pregnancy-induced MS remission (Voskuhl, 2003),
due to its anti-inflammatory and neuroplastic properties
(Barha & Galea, 2010). Further evidence for protective effects of
oestradiol stems from hormonal replacement studies in postmeno-
pausal women: long-term oestrogen use has been associated with
greater WM volumes (Ha, Xu, & Janowsky, 2007), indicating a protec-
tive effect on WM loss in ageing. Postnatally, oestradiol levels drop
rapidly and may promote a pro-inflammatory immune environment
(Pfeilschifter, Kditz, Pfohl, & Schatz, 2002), which has been linked to a
risk of relapse or worsening of symptoms in women suffering from
MS (Langer-Gould et al., 2009; Tutuncu et al., 2013). However, in a
long-term perspective, pregnancy does not increase the risk of exacer-
bated disability (Dobson et al., 2019), and some evidence suggests
that long-term disability progression improves in MS patients follow-
ing childbirth (D’hooghe & Nagels, 2010). Any influence of pregnancy-
related oestrogen fluctuations (i.e., perinatal surge, postpartum drop)
on brain ageing is likely to involve a complex interplay of neurobiolog-
ical processes, and evidence suggests that genetic factors may modu-
late how oestrogen exposure affects brain health (de Lange, Barth,
Kaufmann, Maximov, et al., 2020; Manly et al., 2000; Yaffe, Haan,
Byers, Tangen, & Kuller, 2000). Beside oestrogen, other hormones
such as progesterone, prolactin, oxytocin, and cortisol also fluctuate
during pregnancy and may regulate WM plasticity (Barth & de
Lange, 2020; Baulieu & Schumacher, 1997; Gregg, 2009). For
instance, emerging evidence from animal models suggests protective
effects of progesterone and prolactin on WM structure due to its pro-myelinating properties (Baulieu & Schumacher, 1997; Faheem et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2020). Prolactin signalling during pregnancy has been linked to increases in oligodendrocyte precursor cells and oligodendrocyte production in the maternal central nervous system, resulting in an enhanced ability to regenerate WM damage (Gregg, 2009). While the influence of hormone exposure on brain ageing trajectories is currently unclear, other pregnancy-induced adaptations such as the proliferation of regulatory T cells or foetal microchimerism may also represent mechanisms underlying potential long-term benefits of pregnancy on brain ageing (for a review, see Barth & de Lange, 2020). Future studies should target the links between hormone- and immune-related neuroplasticity in pregnancy, and the potential effect of these processes on women’s brain ageing trajectories.

Experience-dependent brain plasticity due to parenting is another possible mechanism that may underlie individual differences in WM BAG between parous and nulliparous women. Becoming a parent represents a significant transition in life, including extensive lifestyle changes and brain adaptations in regions relevant for caregiving behaviour (Barha & Galea, 2017; Djalovski et al., 2021; Feldman, 2016; Ho & Swain, 2017; Hoekzema et al., 2020; Langer-Gould et al., 2009; Pfeilschifter et al., 2002). For instance, studies have found a link between caregiving behaviour, altered brain activation, and levels of oxytocin in both fathers and mothers (Abraham et al., 2014), and parity has been associated with brain age and cognitive function in both men and women (Ning et al., 2020). While experience-dependent brain plasticity related to parenting may influence WM trajectories later in life, animal research has demonstrated that WM adaptations are also induced by pregnancy itself (Chan et al., 2015; Gregg et al., 2007; Kalakh & Mouihate, 2019). Hence, pregnancy- and parental experience-induced plasticity are not mutually exclusive, and may together shape WM brain trajectories later in life. To disentangle the effects of pregnancy and parental experience on WM brain ageing trajectories, further research may aim to include fathers as well as women who have experienced adoption (parenting experience without the pregnancy experience) and stillbirth (pregnancy experience without the parenting experience).

While the results showed a negative linear relationship between parity and brain age estimates, follow-up analyses also indicated a quadratic effect in line with what we observed in one of our previous studies based on GM brain age (de Lange et al., 2019). However, this non-linear GM effect was not replicated in a follow-up study conducted in 8,800 new UK Biobank participants (de Lange, Barth, Kaufmann, Anatürk, et al., 2020), and given the small number of women with >4 children, further studies are needed to conclude on whether any protective effects of parity may be less pronounced in grand-parous women.

Previous childbirths also showed associations with mean FA, mean MD, and total GM volume, but with lower t values compared to the associations with BAG. Relative to more traditional MRI summary measures, age prediction models have the advantage of encoding normative trajectories of brain differences across age, and condensing a rich variety of brain characteristics into single estimates per individual. Hence, brain age prediction provides a useful summary measure that may serve as a proxy for brain integrity across normative and clinical populations (Cole & Franke, 2017; Cole, Marioni, et al., 2019; Kaufmann et al., 2019; Rokicki et al., 2020; Smith et al., 2020).

4.2 Modality-specific and regional effects

In line with recent studies demonstrating high age prediction accuracy based on diffusion-weighted imaging data (Beck et al., 2021; Cole, Marioni, et al., 2019; Richard et al., 2018; Smith et al., 2020; Tanniesen et al., 2020), the WM prediction showed higher accuracy compared to the GM model, of which the accuracy corresponded to our previous UK Biobank studies (de Lange et al., 2019; de Lange, Barth, Kaufmann, Anatürk, et al., 2020; de Lange, Barth, Kaufmann, Maximov, et al., 2020). Importantly, we found unique contributions by both models, suggesting that the diffusion-based WM model may pick up variance not explained by the T1-based GM model. These findings highlight the relevance of assessing brain characteristics using different MRI modalities to increase our understanding of possible long-term effects of pregnancy on the brain.

The most prominent regional WM effect of childbirth was seen in the CC, showing both a unique contribution and a stronger association relative to several other tracts, potentially indicating regional variations. While the volume of most WM tracts increase from childhood to young adulthood, peaks around the fifties, and subsequently declines (Cox et al., 2016; Davis et al., 2009; Krogsrud et al., 2016; Storsve, Fjell, Yendiki, & Walhovd, 2016; Tanniesen, Roaf, Goddings, & Lebel, 2018; Westlye et al., 2010), CC volume has been shown to peak already in the beginning of the thirties, exhibiting an earlier onset of age-related decline relative to other WM tracts (Westlye et al., 2010). Sex differences have also been found in CC ageing, with steeper volumetric decline in men relative to women (Armstrong et al., 2019). Although speculative, our findings could potentially reflect a mitigating effect of parity on age-related CC volumetric decline. While little is known about pregnancy-induced alterations in specific WM regions, an increased number of myelinated axons in the CC have been found in healthy pregnant rats (Gregg et al., 2007), and increased CC remyelination has been observed in pregnant rat models of demyelination (Gregg et al., 2007; Kalakh & Mouihate, 2019). Interestingly, radEAD from the WMTI diffusion model was found to be the most important feature for the CC-specific WM age prediction. WMTI-radEAD has been related to degree of myelination in both ex vivo (Kelm et al., 2016) and in vivo animal histology models (Jelescu et al., 2016), as well as in an ex vivo human model of CC (Zhou et al., 2020). While this may potentially indicate that the CC association with previous childbirths could be driven by individual differences in myelin-related ageing processes, the precise underlying neural substrates of diffusion metrics remain to be clarified. Furthermore, CC is also the most accessible WM structure to investigate given its size and location in the brain, and the relatively simple and coherent microstructural milieu may be easier to resolve using
diffusion MRI compared to other pathways with more complex tissue structure. The tract extraction procedure could thus result in higher signal-to-noise ratio for the CC than for the remaining tracts, rendering it more sensitive to tests of WM associations with childbirth.

### 4.3 Study limitations

The cross-sectional design of the current study represents a major limitation, and longitudinal studies following women through pregnancy, postpartum, and into midlife and older age are required to infer causality between the observed associations. Furthermore, a complex interplay of numerous underlying processes likely influence the link between parity and WM trajectories. While the current study controls for a range of confounding factors including neurological disease, mental disorders, education, lifestyle behaviours, and cardiovascular risk, the number of children a woman gives birth to, as well as their brain health across the lifespan, may also depend on genetic predispositions, life circumstances, and additional aspects of general health. While information on breastfeeding was not available in the current dataset, this factor is relevant for future studies as it is known to influence oestrogen exposure (Bernstein, 2002) and maternal health (Ciampo & Ciampo, 2018).

Our results could potentially reflect long-term effects of pregnancy-related processes such as myelination. However, the exact neurobiological underpinnings of diffusion metrics cannot be directly inferred, and although we utilised advanced diffusion modelling which is sensitive to biophysical tissue properties (Jelescu & Budde, 2017), the biological substrates underlying these metrics remain to be elucidated by future studies. In addition, controlling for the effect of extracellular water or indices of hydration (Jones & Cercignani, 2010) as well as including measures of WM hyper-intensities (Anatürk et al., 2020; Habes et al., 2016) could potentially provide more accurate models of WM ageing.

The effect sizes for differences between groups of parous and nulliparous women ranged from 0.06 to 0.12, which is generally considered small. Small effects are common in large datasets (Dick et al., 2021; Paulus & Thompson, 2019), and while parity may explain only a small portion of the variance in brain age, our findings emphasise the importance of including female-specific variables in studies of women’s brain ageing, as well as sex differences in risk factors and disease (de Lange, Jacobs, & Galea, 2021). While the UK Biobank dataset enables detection of subtle effects due to its large sample size, the cohort is homogeneous with regard to ethnic background (97% white participants in the current study), preventing any conclusion the cohort is homogeneous with regard to ethnic background (97%) lept to healthcare, social welfare benefits, and maternity leave policies differ significantly across the world, such factors are important to address in future studies including multiple cohorts. The UK Biobank is also characterised by a “healthy volunteer effect” (Fry et al., 2017), suggesting that it is not representative of the general population (Keyes & Westreich, 2019). Hence, the presented results may not apply to populations beyond those represented in this cohort. However, in context of the historical lack of research on women’s brain health (Taylor, Pritschet, Yu, & Jacobs, 2019), the current results may prompt further study into how female-specific factors such as pregnancy influences neural processes involved in normal ageing—as well as autoimmune conditions and Alzheimer’s disease, of which the risks are higher for women relative to men (Natri, Garcia, Buetow, Trumble, & Wilson, 2019; Nichols et al., 2019).

### 4.4 Conclusion

In summary, the current study found an association between a higher number of previous childbirths and lower WM brain age, in line with previous studies showing relationships between parity and brain characteristics in midlife and older age (de Lange, Barth, Kaufmann, Anatürk, et al., 2020; Ning et al., 2020; Orchard et al., 2020). As outlined above, a complex interplay of numerous underlying processes likely influence the link between previous childbirths and brain health in older age. Thus, while our results may suggest that reproductive history influences women’s WM ageing trajectories, prospective longitudinal studies assessing this multi-factorial relationship are greatly needed to increase the knowledge about women’s brain health across the lifespan.
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