Discovery of new Longin and Roadblock domains that form platforms for small GTPases in Ragulator and TRAPP-II
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Guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs) control the site and extent of GTPase activity. Longin domains (LDs) are found in many Rab-GEFs, including DENNs, MON1/CCZ1, BLOC-3 and the TRAPP complex. Other GEFs, including Ragulator, contain roadblock domains (RDs), the structure of which is closely related to LDs. Other GTPase regulators, including mglB, SRX and Rags, use LDs or RDs as platforms for GTPases. Here, we review the conserved relationship between GTPases and LD/RDs, showing how LD/RD dimers act as adaptable platforms for GTPases. To extend our knowledge of GEFs, we used a highly sensitive sequence alignment tool to predict the existence of new LD/RDs. We discovered two yeast Ragulator subunits, and also a new LD in TRAPPCC10 that may explain the Rab11-GEF activity ascribed to TRAPP-II.

Introduction

Every small GTPase is turned on by a guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF), and inactivated by a GTPase activation protein (GAP). Despite the large number of GEFs now known, many GTPases have no identified GEF, indicating that more remain to be discovered. For example, there are 66 Rabs that regulate membrane traffic in humans, with GEFs identified for only 75% of these.13 A recurrent theme among Rab-GEFs in particular, but other GEFs as well, is the presence of a longin domain (LD), and a careful analysis of LDs and their complexes indicates some useful rules.

LDs are α-β-α sandwiches of approximately 100–120 aa consisting of a 5 sheet β-meander (⇑⇑⇑⇑⇓ pattern) with one helix on one side (which we arbitrarily define as the top), and two helices on the other side (bottom). RDs, described in more detail in the next section, are almost identical. LDs and RDs are protein interaction modules, but the range of their interactions is highly restricted. The majority of complexes that contain LDs or RDs also contain GTPases (Fig. 1). The exceptions to this include the LDs found as N-terminal extensions of a sub-family of SNAREs called longins because they are the longest SNAREs. Here the LDs fold back to bind the SNARE helix.4,5 Also, small and medium subunits of major coat complexes contain LDs, the function of which is generally unknown, although one binds Arf1.6 Finally, DYNNLRB1 is an RD-only Dynein Light Chain-7 that has many different binding partners, one of which is a Rab-GTPase.7

Here we have surveyed recent advances in our knowledge of the solved and predicted structures of complexes containing LD/RDs, leading to the general rule that pairs of LD/RDs dimerize to form a platform for a GTPase. We also discovered distant homologs of LD/RDs, leading to the general rule that pairs of LD/RDs dimerize to form a platform for a GTPase. We also discovered distant homologs of LD/RDs in two cases, finding a new pair of RD subunits for Ragulator in yeast, and a new LD in the transport protein particle (TRAPP)-II complex. This LD in TRAPP-II completes a pair of LDs, and may therefore contribute to a platform for an extra GTPase.

Current Knowledge

MglA/B: Roadblock domains as the ancestors of Longin domains. Most proteins with LDs are conserved in metazoal, fungal and plant homologs,6 indicating that LD diversification was present in the last eukaryotic common ancestor. Looking at more distantly related cells, there are no LDs in prokaryotes. However, a simple circular permutation switches the last element of an LD (ββαβββαα) to become the first element of a Roadblock domain (RD) (αββαβββα) (Fig. 2A), and RDs are found in complexes with small GTPases in bacteria and archaea as well as in eukaryotes.7,8,10 Thus, LD/RD-GTPase complexes are ubiquitous, and RDs are good candidates for the ancestral domain from which LDs developed. Can anything
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be said about the arrangement of subunits in the ancient complex?

The sole bacterial RD with a solved structure is mglB, an RD-only protein that binds mglA, a GTPase that regulates cell gliding (Fig. 1).\(^{10}\) MglB homodimerizes as a result of two intermolecular interactions: (1) \(\beta\)-sheet dimerization by \(\beta\beta\)3, forming a ten-stranded \(\beta\)-meander; (2) interactions between the side chains of the helices on the top surface that form the binding site for GTPase (Fig. 2B).\(^{10}\) MglB facilitates reorientation within mglA so that an arginine (Arg53) intrinsic to the GTP-binding domain participates in the GTP-hydrolysis reaction.\(^{10}\) Thus, mglB is not catalytically active, though it facilitates GAP activity.

The indirect way in which mglB affects the GDP/GTP state of mglA is typical of how LDs and RDs interact with GTPases in general. They are not active catalysts that directly interact with nucleotide, instead they are platforms for GTPases, often with extra subunits or domains recruited either as GAP,\(^{10,11}\) or (more typically) as GEF.\(^{12,13}\) Another aspect of the mglB-mglA complex that is shared with other LD/RD complexes, is that it contains an LD/RD dimer and has a binding site for GTPase binding on its top surface (the surface containing a single helix from each LD or RD). This relationship applies to LD/RDs throughout evolution (Fig. 2C).\(^{12-16}\) However, as with many rules, there are exceptions: the Signal recognition particle Receptor (SR) \(\alpha\) subunit contains a monomeric LD adapted so that it cannot dimerize, although a GTPase still binds to its top surface (Fig. 1).\(^{11}\)

Rag-GTPases: Intrinsic RDs as a platform for GTPases without regulation of GTP:GDP binding. Target of rapamycin (TOR) kinases form two conserved complexes TORC1 and TORC2 that regulate many cellular functions in response to nutritional status. TORC1 responds to cellular levels of amino acids after recruitment to lysosomal membranes by raptor, a TORC1 component that in its turn is recruited by a dimer of Rag-GTPases.\(^{17}\) In this dimer either RagA or RagB binds to one of RagC or RagD, and the most active conformation is RagA/B-GTP plus RagC/D-GDP (equivalent to Gtr1p-GTP plus Gtr2p-GDP in yeast).\(^{15,17}\) The four Rag-GTPases differ from all other small GTPases by having an intrinsic RD in cis, C-terminal to the GTPase (Fig. 1).\(^{15,16}\) There is one difference between Rags and other known LD/RD-GTPase complexes: the Rag-GTPase domains are rotated so that the GTP pockets are far away from the RD platform.\(^{14,15}\) This rotation may have evolved here because the GTPase domains interact not just with these RDs, but also with RDs in the Ragulator complex (see below).

DENNs: An expanding superfam-

ily of GEFs. The largest family of Rab-GEFs are the DENNs (standing for differentially expressed in normal cells and neoplasia). There are 17 DENNs in human, all sharing a domain of 400-500

---

**Figure 1.** Complexes with longin or roadblock domains that are proposed to interact with small GTPases. Diagram of 8 complexes that contain small GTPases and longin domains (LD) or roadblock domains (RD), with associated Protein Data Bank (PDB) codes if structures have been solved: mglB dimer: mglA (3T1Q); SR\(\alpha\): SR\(\beta\) (2FHS); Rag C-termini: Rag N-termini (4ARZ); DENND1B: Rab35 (3TW8); MON1/CCZ1: Rab7; NPRL2/3: GTPase not known; Ragulator, which contains two dimers: MP1/p14 (IVET, 4FTX) and HBXIP/C7orf59: Rag-GTPase dimer (specific relationship not known); TRAPP-C1/C4: Rab1 (3CUE). Domains of known and predicted structure are colored as follows: LDs and RDs, light blue with dimerization interfaces in dark blue, GTPases, red; DENN C-terminus, green; helix-turn-helix, orange. Domains of unknown structure (yellow) include: MON1 C-terminus, CCZ1 C-terminus and the fifth subunit of Ragulator, p18/Ego1p. Black lines link domains in multidomain proteins. For each complex, the effect of the LD/RD on GTPase activation is indicated: +, GEF; (-), GAP (indirectly); 0, neither GEF nor GAP; ?, not known. For TRAPP, the LD dimer is surrounded by multiple other subunits (indicated by dashed lines), described in detail in Figure 4.
aa.18 The domain sub-structure was previously defined as a three-way division into u-DENN/c-DENN/d-DENN “domains,” but a the solved structure of DENND1B together with Rab35 has made this nomenclature obsolete. The structure consists of two intimately associated lobes.13 The N-terminal lobe is an LD, and the C-terminal lobe is a complex α-β fold with no known relatives. Thus, DENNs resemble half of an LD platform where one of the LDs has been replaced with an alternate partner (Figs. 1 and 2D). Rab35 interacts mainly with the DENN C-terminal lobe, and also with α1 and the β3-β4 loop of the LD. This results in a conformational change in the switch I and II regions of Rab35, displacing the conserved Phe35 residue from the nucleotide-binding pocket. These changes reduce the affinity for GDP, which is normally stabilized by interactions with the aromatic side chain of Phe35.13

The DENN superfamily was recently expanded when the structure of the C-terminus of folliculin (FLCN) was found to be similar to the C-terminal lobe of DENN; in addition this portion of FLCN had Rab-GEF activity in vitro.19 FLCN is a tumor suppressor that is mutated in Birt-Hogg-Dubé syndrome, leading to renal cancer and other tumors, partly through deregulation of TOR kinase.20 The physiological target of FLCN is not known, but it may act on TOR indirectly via a Rab that regulates membrane traffic of components of TORC1 or TORC2.21

The activity of DENN-like proteins tends to be restricted to particular target Rab GTPases, though there is a single DENN-like protein in yeast that binds an Arf GTPase.22 While DENND1B is specific for Rab35, other DENNs are only weakly active toward this Rab.13 DENND2-DENND5 display specific GEF activity toward other Rab GTPases.1 Despite this restricted in vivo activity, when DENNs are presented with a range of Rabs in vitro they can nevertheless show weak non-specific GEF activity. For example, the C-terminal portion of FLCN shows some activity as a Rab35-GEF, even though Rab35 is not the physiological substrate.19 In another example, the fruit fly DENND4 ortholog CRAG, which specifically activates Rab10 in fly and human cells to regulate baso-lateral secretion,1,23,24 has weak activity toward Rab11. CRAG stimulated nucleotide exchange 1.25-fold in Rab11 compared with 35-fold in Rab10, its likely physiological substrate.
form the BLOC-3 complex, which we recently identified as a Rab32/38-GEF. In addition to sequence relationships between MON1/CCZ1 and BLOC-3, the complexes are functionally related, in that both complexes act on late endocytic compartments, and Rab7 is phylogenetically related to Rab32 and Rab38. Since the components of BLOC-3 dimerize in part through their LDs, it is possible, though not yet tested experimentally, that the LDs of both complexes form β-sheet dimers (Fig. 1). The GEF mechanism is also not yet known, but analogous to DENNs, we consider it likely that one or both C-terminal regions make key contacts that activate GDP:GTP exchange.

**NPR2/NPR3 complexes as candidates for a novel Rab GEF regulating TORC1.** Searches for distant homology have not only identified new full-length homologs of DENNs, but also new proteins with an LD, which by itself suggests interaction with a GTPase. LDs were found in two proteins that form a complex: nitrogen permease-like-2 (NPR2), and its partner (and homolog) NPR3. The LDs are at the N-termini of these proteins, and their C-termini contain two or more repeated ααααββ winged helix turn helix (HTH) domains (Fig. 1; Fig. S1). Winged HTH repeats might bind DNA, RNA or protein, and in this case there is some evidence for a DNA partner, because one fungal NPR2 homolog has a C-terminal extension containing an Exonuclease III domain.

In yeast, amino acid starvation activates Npr2p/Npr3p (the homologs of NPR2/NPR3) to inhibit TORC1, possibly by direct interaction with TORC1 on either the vacuole or on phagophore assembly sites, where Npr2p/Npr3p complexes have different sets of binding partners. In humans NPR2 and NPR3 also dimerize, though it is yet to be tested if this is through β-sheet LD dimerization. Could the LDs act as a platform for a GTPase involved in TORC1 signaling? Since RagC/D deliver a negative signal directly to TORC1, one possibility is that NPR2/NPR3 is a RagC/D-GEF.

**New Discoveries**

**Ragulator: Prediction of a new pair of RDs in yeast Ragulator homologous to HBXIP and C7orf59.** Ragulator is a complex that activates the Rag GTPase heterodimer. There are five subunits in mammalian Ragulator, all small (14–20 kDa) single domain proteins, four of which are RD-only proteins that form two dimers (Fig. 1). MPI1 and p14 form an RD dimer with a structure highly similar to mglB. C7orf59 and HBXIP are recently described Ragulator subunits, and bind each other, so may also form a β-sheet dimer. The fifth Ragulator subunit, p18, anchors the other subunits on lysosomes. It is possible that each RD heterodimer interacts with one Rag, although no functional studies have been reported. The Ragulator complex is conserved in yeast as the Ego complex (also called the Gse complex), which consists of Ego1p (also called Meh1p and Gse2p) that is distantly homologous to p18, and the RD-only protein Ego3p (also called Slim4p and Gse1p) that forms homodimers equivalent to the MPI1/p14 heterodimer. Ego3p dimers swap the α helices on the bottom surface of the RDs, which involves a long unstructured loop between α1 and β1 (Fig. 2E). This radically alters the dimer interface even though the overall α-β-α sandwich is conserved.

Current analysis has not identified yeast counterparts of C7orf59 or HBXIP. Since it is possible that small RD-only proteins are hard to find with standard techniques such as PSI-BLAST, we searched for distant structural yeast homologs of these human Ragulator subunits. We found that HBXIP and C7orf59 are both homologous to a pair of closely related small yeast proteins Ycr075wc-ap and Ynr034w-ap (Fig. 3A). Because of their small size, these have been largely overlooked, and their interactions are poorly studied compared with other yeast proteins. However, the structure of Ynr034w-ap has been solved, and it adopts an RD-like fold, strongly supporting the evolutionary link we have uncovered (Fig. 3B). The structure of Ynr034w-ap (and that predicted for HBXIP and C7orf59) is αββαβββ-like, which is RD-like but missing α3. Ycr075wc-ap is even shorter (ββαβββ), lacking both helices on the bottom surface. This is similar to DYNLRB1, which lacks α3, but where the helix is provided by its binding partner.
It is possible that the helices missing from Ragulator RDs are provided by p18/Ego1p, which is predicted to be largely helical (data not shown).

The close relationship between Ycr075w-ap and Ynr034w-ap suggests the possibility that they bind each other, as do C7orf59 and HBXIP. Looking at other relationships, all four human RD-only proteins are more similar to each other than to any of the yeast subunits, while in yeast Ego3p is not related to Ycr075w-ap or Ynr034w-ap (Fig. 3A). This suggests that early in evolution, ancestral Ragulator may have had one RD, which has duplicated repeatedly in fungi and metazoa, but it is also possible that there were two ancestral RDs, with gene conversion in the metazoal line. Neither Ycr075w-ap nor Ynr034w-ap has been studied directly, but our predictions may guide experiments into Ragulator function in a genetically tractable organism.

TRAPP: Prediction of a new LD in TRAPPC10 that may explain the Rab11-GEF activity of TRAPP-II. After finding that NPRL2 has an outlier LD distantly related to previously known LDs, we used that sequence to search for other LDs. The top hits matching the NPRL2 LD with probability of the same structure (pss) between 55% and 100% were (with one exception) either NPRL2 homologs (pss ≥ 99%, n = 10) or known members of the DENN superfamily (97 ≥ pss ≥ 57%, n = 16). The single exception was the N-terminus of human TRAPP-II subunit TRAPPC10. Residues 1–157 of TRAPPC10 aligned with NPRL2 (residues 1–142) with pss = 78%. Sequence conservation was maximal in the structural elements, and the largest difference was the insertion of a small extra β-sheet in TRAPPC10 between α2 and α3 (Fig. 4A). The match to the NPRL2 LD was found in vertebrate TRAPPC10, but not in homologs from D. melanogaster, C. elegans, S. cerevisiae or plants. Forced alignment here showed that in these species TRAPPC10 lacks the β1, which weakens the overall alignments (Fig. S2A). We also checked if the LD is a general characteristic of TRAPP subunits, and although the N-termini of TRAPPC8 and TRAPPC9 have mixed α and β elements (Fig. S2B), the TRAPPC8 and TRAPPC9 sequences are so dissimilar from TRAPPC10 that a forced alignment could not be obtained between them and the NPRL2 LD. Therefore, we predict a new LD only for TRAPPC10 in vertebrates, although for other species the possibility remains that β1 may be supplied from elsewhere in TRAPPC10.

The discovery of any new domain in TRAPPC10 is significant because of TRAPP’s major role as a regulator of membrane traffic, but a new LD might specifically indicate an interaction with a GTPase. TRAPP is a highly conserved Rab1-GEF with several complexes (TRAPP-I/II/III) depending on the presence of optional

Figure 3. Structural homologies between known Ragulator subunits and new RD proteins in yeast. (A) Pair-wise matches obtained in HHpred searches seeded with MP1, p14, HBXIP, C7orf59, Ego3p, Ycr075w-ap and Ynr034w-ap (number of residues in brackets). The probabilities of shared structure (pss) obtained using HHpred are shown, together with the number of aligned residues (in brackets), and shading to indicate extent of pss, as previously. Asterisks indicate where hits to proteins with solved structures in PDB (MP1/P14: 1VET; HBXIP: 3MSH; Ego3p: 4FTX; and Ynr034w-ap: 2GRG) were stronger than to the proteins and their structures predicted by HHpred. Yellow boxes show that HBXIP and C7orf59 are related to Ycr075w-ap and Ynr034w-ap. The Ycr075w-ap and Ynr034w-ap proteins are highly related to each other (orange boxes), with primary sequence homology detectable by PSI-BLAST (2nd iteration). pss = 100% for self-searches. (B) The NMR structure of Ynr034w-ap, available in PDB as 2GRG. In the RD-like fold, helix α3 is replaced by a segment of 15 highly mobile residues (white, other colors as Fig. 2)
from the extended C-terminus of one of the TRAPPC3 (Bet3p) subunits which invades the LD-LD-GTPase interface (Fig. 4B).

TRAPPC2 (also called sedlin, Trs20p in yeast) is a third TRAPP-I core subunit that contains an LD. Two structures of TRAPPC2 have been solved; one is a monomer, the other is a homodimer. The dimerization is facilitated in part by a conformational switch in TRAPPC2 (Fig. 2A).

In TRAPP-I in the early secretory pathway, TRAPPC2 may homodimerize, and this form has been proposed to bind Sar1.

In TRAPP-II, the LD in TRAPP10 provides a potential alternative partner LD for TRAPPC2 (Fig. 4B). Although TRAPPC2 has been reported to interact with mammalian TRAPPC9, this is inconsistent with a structural analysis of yeast TRAPP-II using EM of single particles, which placed the dimerization interface of TRAPPC2 adjacent to TRAPP10 (Fig. 4C).

We propose that the LD in TRAPPC10 dimerizes with TRAPPC2, which would provide an additional platform for GTPases in TRAPP-II compared with TRAPP-I (Fig. 4C). This is interesting because it has been suggested that TRAPP-II in yeast is the GEF for Ypt31p or Ypt32p, homologs of human Rab11, although alternative explanations have been proposed.

Since it is clear that Rab11 is not activated by the core TRAPP subunits, and there is no other good candidate for a specific Rab11-GEF (see DENNs, above), we predict that TRAPPC2 and TRAPPC10 form a second LD dimer in TRAPP-II that could interact with Rab11, and this prediction can be tested experimentally.

Conclusion

LDs and RDs are highly conserved interactors with small GTPases, mainly but not exclusively Rabs. While isolated single LD/ RDs can interact with GT Pases, usually a second domain is present, and most often this is another LD/RD. The characteristic side-by-side dimerization produces LD/ RD platforms for regulators of membrane trafficking throughout evolution, with two major surfaces: the bottom, with four helices, which is often hydrophobic and may interact with membranes; and the

subunits. TRAPP-I is required for ER to Golgi traffic, tethers COP-II vesicles, and contains the six invariant short subunits that form the heptameric core for all TRAPP complexes (Fig. 4B). Recently two extra-long subunits have been found in human TRAPP-I: TRAPPC11 and TRAPPC12 are widespread in eukaryotes, including fungi, but absent from budding yeast. TRAPP-II is involved in post-Golgi traffic and contains the heptameric core and three optional long subunits: TRAPPC9, TRAPPC10 and C5orf44 (Trs120p, Trs130p and Trs65p in yeast).

TRAPP-II is involved in autophagy, and contains a different optional long subunit TRAPPC8 (Trs85p in yeast). All three TRAPP complexes act as a GEF for Rab1 (Ypt1p), with the TRAPP1/ TRAPPC4 LD dimer forming a platform (Fig. 2C), very similar to mglB (Fig. 2B). GEF activity derives

Figure 4. A new LD at the N-terminus of human TRAPPC10 may dimerize with TRAPPC2. (A) Alignment of the N-termini of human NPRL2 (residues 1–142) and TRAPPC10 (residues 1–157). PSI-BLAST profiles for each sequence were aligned by HHalign. Conserved and structural residues are colored according to the Clustalx scheme, gaps are indicated by dots, and predicted structural elements are boxed: helices red, sheets blue, with an extra sheet (β') in TRAPPC10. (B) Diagram of the TRAPP-I heptamer; with the addition of the proposed LD dimerization between the N-terminus of TRAPPC10 (red) and TRAPPC2 (cyan). Other TRAPP-I subunits are: TRAPPC5 (yellow), TRAPPC3 (coral), TRAPPC4 (magenta), TRAPPC1 (green), TRAPPC6 (blue). Rab1 (gray) binds TRAPPC4/C1 at a 45° angle from behind. The C-terminal extension of TRAPPC3A that produces GEF activity is indicated, and the PDZ domain in yeast TRAPPC4 is omitted. Subunit names are abbreviated to T-Cn. (C) Position of the TRAPPC2-TRAPPC10 dimer within TRAPP-II. The crystal structures of TRAPP-I (PDB: 3CUE and 2J3W) are superimposed on the TRAPP-II complex that was visualized by EM particle analysis. Colors indicate the gross composition of TRAPP-II (for clarity only in the bottom half of the rotationally symmetrical particle): TRAPPC10 = magenta, TRAPPC9 = purple, C5orf44 = green, TRAPP-I core heptamer = red. Also indicated: a key residue in TRAPPC2 (Asp47, spheres). The N-terminus of TRAPPC10 is a model of NPRL2 (residues 1–142), which was created using Modeler using four known LD/RD structures.
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