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Abstract
This article introduces Universal Quantum Relativity which is a simple Theory of Everything. It relies on an ultimate doctrine that is the absence of absolute existence. This generalizes relativity principles up to a mother quantum theory. Then it is applied to the Universe as a unique inseparable quantum system, without external observer, using a no-collapse interpretation of quantum mechanics. Assuming infinite divisibility suffices to explain through a single model the theories of all fundamental interactions: general relativity for gravitation, and the standard model of elementary particle physics for electromagnetism, the weak and strong nuclear interactions.

1 Introduction and Motivation
Theoretical physics is attempting to unify quantum mechanics with general relativity in a self-consistent manner for many years. There are a number of proposed quantum gravity theories and other so-called theories of everything. Currently, there is still no complete and consistent theory, and the candidate models still need to overcome major formal and conceptual problems. Most advanced developments are String theory and Loop quantum gravity. String theory claims to unify all interactions. However it is not a background independent genuine quantum theory and it fails to give testable prediction so far. Loop quantum gravity aims just to be quantum theory of gravity. Hence it does not give a consistent picture regarding cosmology and the unification of the forces. Moreover both String theory and Loop quantum gravity does not address the remaining conceptual problems of quantum mechanics related to its interpretation. This is certainly a major drawback as locality and certain intuitive features of realism have been recently ruled out by experiments violating Bell’s and Leggett’s inequalities.

The motivation of the present work has its root in the belief that Quantum Physics is more fundamental than in Bohr’s Copenhagen interpretation. It develops the idea that the entire Universe is quantum and that no external reality, independent of observation, exists. This suggests that the phenomena of quantum mechanics as well as of gravity, possess no properties corresponding to the idea of absolute existence. This is simply the Einstein’s relativity principle in an universal formulation. Nothing exists by itself, that is to say in relation with anything other than itself. In the absence of something else what “is”, “is not”. This ontological dichotomy may be interpreted as a superposition of quantum states. Thus it imposes to consider the entire universe as a quantum system and to regard the quantum state as the fundamental entities. Physics was regularly pushed to re-evaluate the role of the observer banishing absolutes of the previous physics. Galilee and Einstein have shown that all that is were observations, obtained by observers in different frames of reference, linked through a system of coordinate transformations. Surprisingly, this has seems to fail for Quantum mechanism, where the observer and the system being observed are linked so that the results of any observation is partly determined by the observer. This is indeed not so surprising if one consider the observer and the system being observed as entangled quantum systems. This was a proposition of Everett [1] to introduce quantum observers in Quantum mechanics studies of systems, shifting from a probabilistic to an unitary formal theory. This has directly lead Albert and Loewer [2], in their many-minds interpretation to distinguish between the time evolution of an observer’s physical state, which is continuous and unitary, and the evolution of an observer’s mental state, which is discontinuous and probabilistic. Following these approaches, Universal quantum relativity theory shall be developed based on the quantum information theory since the assertions of any such theory have to do with the relationships between quantum system and observers treated as a coding problem integrating concepts of non-locality, uncertainty and complementarity of observables.

In this article, we study the state of an entire informational universe using a no-collapse interpretations of quantum mechanics combined with the postulate of the "absence of preferred basis". This allow to generalize the classical relational point of view and to apply it to relations between the mind of the observer and a empty scene surrounding it. Doing so, we enter an unknown territory in which space and time does not exist to begin with and where observers are entangled into an unique observer. In the theory, it is considered that spacetime is an emergent abstract background that may, in some approximations, replace relational observations by observations in relation with this background. Thus
spacetime only consists of elements of language constrained by quantum theory. Moreover, among others, we consider gravity as non-fundamental, most likely an entanglement entropic force. In our model, the standard model of particles physics arises from entanglement effects while looking at a local realistic theory. It corresponds to a broken phase of the fundamental theory where the exclusion of some class of relative quantum states remains a good approximation. This class of quantum states corresponds to those verifying criteria for violation of local realism.

In section 2 we present the formal structure of the theory. Then in section 3 we outline, the non-trivial underlying mathematical theory by describing the Hilbert space topology (3.1), the algebra of non-local observable (3.2) and the algebra of local observable (3.3). Finally in section 4 we present an interpretation of quantum mechanics, in the light of Universal Quantum Relativity, that reconciles no-collapse interpretations and Copenhagen interpretation.

2 Theory formal structure

This section describes the formal structure of Universal Quantum Relativity in a logically isolated way. As recommended by Steven Weinberg, a Theory of Everything should rely on the quantum theory together with a symmetry principle and a configuration space. We state that the three axioms below are sufficient to deduce all observable phenomena:

(a) first axiom of QM : State of a quantum system is represented by a vector in its Hilbert space
(b) symmetry principle: Absence of absolute existence
(c) configuration space: Infinitely divisible Universe quantum system

The first axiom of quantum mechanics is uncontroversial and hard to be seriously generalized keeping positive probabilities that sum up to unity. It implies superposition principle and unitary equivalence of all states in the Hilbert space which is the basic symmetry of quantum theory.

The symmetry principle in the quantum framework may be rephrased as the “absence of preferred basis” i.e. laws of Physics must be the same in any computational basis. Any arbitrary introduced by the computational basis must be exactly compensate by a gauge freedom corresponding to the Hilbert space’s automorphism.

Regarding the configuration space, following Everett’s and Bohm’s leads, we consider the state of the entire universe as a unique quantum system without external observer. For such an indivisible Universe questions about outcomes cannot be even posed. The only way forward, in the absence of external reference point, is to use reference points within, creations of the mind of the observer. Let’s assume that the Hilbert spaces corresponding to this type of subsystems have two dimensions. This assumption is related to the ontological dichotomy “Is”, “Is not” and supported by law of parsimony. Without these two states, these two complementary and antagonist trends, these two ideas about things, no other ideas would exist. The Universe pure states in the simplest partitioned Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{p_1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{E_{p_1}}$ reads

$$|\psi\rangle = z_0 |0\rangle_{p_1} |\psi_0\rangle_{E_{p_1}} + z_1 |1\rangle_{p_1} |\psi_1\rangle_{E_{p_1}}$$

(1)

where we have used the Schmidt decomposition which is a consequence of a theorem of linear algebra that predates quantum theory. It demonstrates that any pure entangled bipartite state is a superposition of perfectly correlated outcomes of judiciously chosen measurements on each subsystem: Detecting $|0\rangle$ (resp. $|1\rangle$) on $p_1$ implies, with certainty, $|\psi_0\rangle$ (resp. $|\psi_1\rangle$) for $E_{p_1}$, and vice versa. This formulation of the Universe quantum state as entangled, in terms of relative states, is best represented by the well known Taijitu diagram:

![Taijitu Diagram](image)

This division process initiated in (1) can be pursue indefinitely. Namely, another reference points $p_2$ may be considered in $E_{p_1}$ leading to an environment $E_{p_1p_2}$. Ultimately, this iteration leads to a foam of binary

---

1Without loss of generality we consider that states in $\mathcal{H}_{p_1}$ and in $\mathcal{H}_{E_{p_1}}$ are defined only up to a global phase. Their relative phases with respect to the two terms of the sum has been then included in the generalized coefficient $z_0$, $z_1$ which become complex.
reference points within \( F_{O}^\mathbb{C} = \mathcal{F} \) and its environment \( \mathcal{E}_{F_{O}^\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{F}} \) creation of the mind of the observer \( O \). Following Universal Quantum Relativity principle, ambiguity in choosing computational basis of the composite Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{F}}} \) has to be exactly compensate by a gauge freedom corresponding to its automorphism group.

3 Non-trivial underlying mathematical theory

The information paradigm *it from bit* introduced by John Archibald Wheeler has opened the door to theories where quantum theory sits alone at the foundation of Physics and that everything else, including spacetime and gravity, is emerging from a quantum-information processing. *Universal Quantum Relativity* implements this doctrine. We will show that quantum-information processing is possible using representation in anyone of the Cayley-Dickson algebras. Subsequent analysis makes use of singular, non-generic mathematical structure which is the unique non-associative division algebra called octonions and the subtle Spin(8) outer automorphism called *triality*.

3.1 Hilbert space topology

As unitary equivalent quantum states are the fundamental entities of Universal Quantum Relativity, the topology of the partitioned Universe Hilbert space is of particular interest. According to the first axiom of quantum mechanics, the state of the entire Universe is represented by a vector \( |\psi\rangle \) in its Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H} \) defined up to a phase. This identifies the topology of \( \mathcal{H} \) to the 1-dimensional sphere \( S^1 \): "One Spinning" or in the Latin word -Uni- and -Versus-. In order that from the "non-thing" arises the Whole, Universe quantum system can be partitioned into subsystems through the *division process* introduced in \([1]\)*. For any integer \( k \geq 1 \), corresponding to a number of reference points within, such as \( F_{\mathbb{O}}^k = \{ p_1, \cdots , p_k \} \), the Universe quantum pure state can be represented as the sum of correlated outcomes labeled by bits sequences \( s \) of length \( k \):

\[
|\psi\rangle = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{O}}^k} z_s |s\rangle_{p_1 \cdots p_k} |\psi_s\rangle_{\mathcal{E}_{p_1 \cdots p_k}}
\] (2)

The states labeled by bit sequences literally live in the mind of the quantum observer and represent perfectly distinguishable states \( \langle s| s'\rangle = \delta_{ss'} \) whereas correlated states, generally non-distinguishable, live in the observer environment. The observer environment is an empty scene which does not have absolute existence nor properties such as dimensions. The coherence factor \( \langle \psi_s| \psi_{s'}\rangle \) are arbitrary \(^2\) and is characteristic from interference phenomenon. *All of the physics comes from these interferences alone*.

Unitary equivalence of all states implies that the topology of the partitioned Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H}_{p_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_{p_k} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{E}_{p_1 \cdots p_k}} \) has to be identify with \( S^{2^{k+1}-1} \) hypersphere as the sum over \( s \) contains \( 2^k \) terms with complex coefficients. Remarkably, two consecutive ranks of representations following a division step are related by what we call the *Taijitu* equation:

\[
|\psi_s\rangle_{\mathcal{E}_{p_1 \cdots p_k}} = z_s|0\rangle_{p_{k+1}} |\psi_s|0\rangle_{\mathcal{E}_{p_1 \cdots p_{k+1}}} + z_s|1\rangle_{p_{k+1}} |\psi_s|1\rangle_{\mathcal{E}_{p_1 \cdots p_{k+1}}}
\] (3)

where \( s|s'\rangle \) represents two bits sequences concatenation.

From a topological stand point, which is the basis of the study of Hilbert space automorphism, our division process appears closely related to the inductive doubling process that leads to the infinite family of finite-dimensional Cayley-Dickson algebras \( A_k \). One can trade the usual Hypermatrix description of quantum propositions in \([\mathbb{C}^2]_k \) with matrix description with values in \( A_k \). Also called hypercomplex algebra \([4]\), the \( A_k \), \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) have dimension \( 2^k \) on \( \mathbb{R} \). Each \( A_k \) is the complexified of the previous algebras \( A_{k-1} \), thanks to the existence of a vector in \( A_{k-1}^1 \), taken as the complex unity \( \hat{1} = (0,1) \). Hence, the topology of the partitioned Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H}_{p_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_{p_k} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{E}_{p_1 \cdots p_k}} \) may be identify to \( S^1_{A_k} = \{ (\alpha, \beta) \in A_k^2|\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1 \} \). The consecutive partitioned Hilbert spaces present a nested *bundle* structure that is mapped to an *octonionic* structure.

\(^2\)This may be seen with a little thought from unitary condition in \([2]\) and \( \langle s| s'\rangle = \delta_{ss'} \).
The kind of map allowing this identification will be made explicit for octonions in section 3.3.3. Indeed the limit of the Cayley-Dickson doubling process when $k \to \infty$ contains zero-divisors whose annihilator is 'almost' the whole algebra.

The complexity of the structure of Cayley-Dickson algebras may be described using two sub-algebras. Any $\alpha \in A_k$ may be written as

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=0}^{2^k-1} \xi_i e_i$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{2^k-1} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{2^{m-1}} \xi_{2^m j+l} e_l \right) \times e_{2^m j}$$

where $e_i, 0 \leq i \leq 2^k - 1$ is the canonical base of $A_k$ and $\beta_j(\alpha) \in A_m$. The decomposition is unique.

For our purpose which is the study of the topology of the Hilbert space, let’s remark that for $m = k - 3$ in (6), the $A_k$ displays an octonionic structure that link four level of algebras, if one identifies $A_{k-2}$ to $C_{g_{k-2}}$. Then, a self-similarity based on recursion appears at the level of the Hilbert spaces bundle structure in (6).

$$S_{R}^1 \leftrightarrow S_{C_{g_{k-2}}}^1 \leftrightarrow S_{H}^1 \leftrightarrow S_{O}^1 \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow$$

$$C_{g_{k-2}}P^1 \leftrightarrow H\!\!\!\!P^1 \leftrightarrow O\!\!\!\!P^1$$

We call this feature Universal fractal. We will see that this bundle structure has the richness to explain the standard model of elementary particle physics together with emerging spacetime and gravity.

3.2 About algebra of non-local observables

We have seen in the previous section that states can be represented by matrix with values in $A_k$. The fundamental representation of $A_k$ with maximal complexity is obtained using coefficients in the largest alternative division algebra, the octonions, by setting $m = 3$ in (6). In other words we have to use octonions to represent components if one wants to be able to divide. This fact combined with the structure in (6) suggest that Fundamental observables of the composite Hilbert space $H_x \otimes H_{\xi x}$ can be faithfully represented by elements of the exceptional Jordan algebra $J_3^O$ that is by Hermitian $3 \times 3$ matrices with octonionic entries and trace 1 corresponding to points in the octonionic projective plane. Indeed the octonionic projective plane $O\!\!\!\!P^2$ or Cayley-Moufang plane is the only choice where one can exploit simultaneously the three possible hopf fibrations to $O\!\!\!\!P^1$ as lines in $O\!\!\!\!P^2$. Moreover $J_3^O$ elements satisfy necessary algebraic conditions to represent projection operators associated to quantum
mechanical states. Notably, $J_3^O$ is compatible with Loop quantum gravity as it can reproduce Chern-Simons-like phases [9]. In addition $J_3^O$ structure is known to code the degrees of freedom of string theory in a purely algebraic framework, under the form of the 8 dimensional vector, spinor and conjugate spinors [9].

In the absence of a preferred basis, only invariant quantities have a physical meaning. There is a unique symmetric trilinear form $(\ldots): J_3^O \times J_3^O \times J_3^O \to \mathbb{R}$ such as $(A,A,A) = \text{det}(A)$. $E_6$ transformations, interpreted as $\text{SL}(3,\mathbb{O})$, leave invariant the determinant of $J_3^O$ matrices. Among subgroup, $\text{SO}(8)$ or its double cover $\text{Spin}(8)$ plays a singular role as it preserves the diagonal of all $J_3^O$ matrices [10]. Indeed $\text{Spin}(8)$ group has an outer automorphism of order three that have the effect of permuting the vector, left-handed spinor, and right-handed spinor representations. This special features is called triality. This symmetry is non-local in essence as it is relative to three distinct references.

### 3.3 About algebra of local observables

If one wants to apply this formalism to particle physics, one must break the full $E_6 = \text{SL}(3,\mathbb{O})$ symmetry. In our approach the breaking to $\text{SL}(2,\mathbb{O}),\text{SL}(2,\mathbb{H}),\text{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ subgroups arise naturally while looking at local observables thanks to Hopf fibration as each base space in [6] is related to a single reference. There is only three local topological patterns: $\mathbb{C}P^1,\mathbb{H}P^1$ and $\mathbb{O}P^1$. The automorphism groups of these patterns are precisely $\text{SL}(2,\mathbb{O}),\text{SL}(2,\mathbb{H})$ and $\text{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$. There is a second level of breaking from $\text{SL}(2,\mathbb{O})$ and $\text{SL}(2,\mathbb{H})$ to $\text{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ that consists in isolating the entanglement contributions to local references.

#### 3.3.1 Triality breaking

First, we ask about manifestation of triality symmetry within the algebra of local observables. The three possible fibrations [9] to the octonionic projective line in [8] can be related to the fact that a matrix in $J_3^O$ contains three local projections matrix of $J_2^O$, corresponding to the three ways of embedding a $2 \times 2$ matrix inside a $3 \times 3$ matrix. Thus there is three distinct overlapping copies of $\text{SL}(2,\mathbb{O})$ in $\text{SL}(3,\mathbb{O})$. However, there is only one $\text{SO}(8)$ transformation, subgroup of the 3 copies of $\text{SL}(2,\mathbb{O})$, in an $E_6$ transformation [10]. Hence one can say that the fibrations down to local structure break the triality symmetry. Reminiscence of triality in the local world are the spinors and vectors interaction which is just the action of $J_3^O$ on $\mathbb{O}^2$ by matrix multiplication. We consider that this is the correct formulation of Supersymmetry breaking in a Theory of Everything.

#### 3.3.2 Spacetime and classical gravity emergence

Then, we consider the possibility of spacetime emergence. $\text{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ group is embedded in $\text{SL}(2,\mathbb{H})$ and $\text{SL}(2,\mathbb{O})$ groups. This shows that The absence of a preferred basis for the quantum Universe is to be related to usual Lorentz symmetry associated to a single reference [6]. The so-called local Lorentzian references are elements of the observer. Thus they are good candidates to represent a dynamical abstract background with 3+1-dimension that we can call spacetime. Obviously, in our model local references store information. In the mean time, they are related to $\mathbb{C}P^1 \cong S^2$ topology in the Hilbert space. Following Penrose hint [11], we map $S^2$ on null ray over our emerging spacetime. We then assume that information is defined on null rays. This is compatible with an holographic description [12] [13] [14] that allow to recover gravity as an entropic force [15] [16]. Therefore, our approach is compatible with a thermodynamic interpretation of the field equations of gravity obtained from an entropy maximization principle. Let’s add that all local states map inside a standard ball, where the set of separable states forms the $S^2$ boundary. Therefore it is mapped to the time-like region inside the light-cone. This suggest an entanglement origins of inertia in our emergent spacetime. Thus it offers the possibility to consider the Lorentz frame associated to a reference as a rest frame. It is crucial to emphasis that the possibility to have a rest frame associated to a reference is equivalent to the existence of a clock. This is of course a mandatory step to be able to measure distance between local Lorentzian references.

---

5 Although the tensor-product nature of the $k$-references Hilbert space constrains the definition of $A_k^2$ from $[\mathbb{C}^2]^k$, there is still $k$ possibilities with the net effect to interchange the role of the $k$ references. This leads to $k$ different orientations for the fibration whose base is associated to a single reference.

6 This local reference may be composite if one has identified $A_{k-2}$ to $\mathbb{C}_{q_{k-2}}$ in [6].
3.3.3 Standard model of elementary particle physics

Finally, we have a look at the entanglement contribution on local Lorentzian references. We have seen that \( SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \), \( SL(2, \mathbb{H}) \) and \( SL(2, \mathbb{O}) \) are the only possible realized local symmetries. Our strategy is to factorized the Lorentz transformation in order to isolate subgroup associated to entanglement. The guess of using Lorentz group as a preferred subgroup is not new in a dimensional reduction context [17]. The difference here is that extra degrees of freedom are not related to any 10-dimensional or 6-dimensional spacetime.

First let’s clarify our complex units. Quaternions are generated by two generators \( g_0 = i, g_1 = j \) and a third generator \( g_2 = l \) generates octonions. The canonical basis such as \( g_n = e_{2n} \) reads \( e_0 = 1, e_1 = i, e_2 = j, e_3 = ij, e_4 = l, e_5 = ijl, e_6 = il, e_7 = jil \). So that any quaternion may be written as \( q = \sum_{n=0}^{3} g_n e_n, q_n \in \mathbb{R} \) and any octonion may be written as \( o = \sum_{n=0}^{7} o_n e_n, o_n \in \mathbb{R} \). The maps

\[
\begin{align*}
    h_j(q) &= 1/2(q + jq^*) = q_0 + q_2 j \\
    h_l(o) &= 1/2(o + lol^*) = o_0 + o_4 l
\end{align*}
\]

project \( \mathbb{H} \) and \( \mathbb{O} \) to a complex subalgebra. Hence it breaks \( SL(2, \mathbb{H}) \) and \( SL(2, \mathbb{O}) \) symmetries to an \( SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \) subgroup. We may also define the maps

\[
\begin{align*}
    t_j(q) &= 1/2(q - jq^*) = q_1 + q_3 j i \\
    t_l(o) &= 1/2(o - lol^*) = o_1 i + o_2 j + o_3 ij + o_5 ijl + o_6 il + o_7 j il
\end{align*}
\]

so that any quaternion or octonion have the decomposition \( h + t \). The first term is called the head. The second term is called the tail and are doubly pure quaternion or octonion.

We are now looking at the subgroups \( G_1 \) (resp. \( G_2 \)) such as \( SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \times G_n \) transformations of \( SL(2, \mathbb{H}) \) (resp. \( SL(2, \mathbb{O}) \)) preserve the \( h + t \) decomposition. \( G_1 \) (resp. \( G_2 \)) corresponds to transformations that preserve the multiplication table of the doubly pure quaternions (resp. octonions). In the case of the quaternions, such transformation are know to be its 3-dimensional automorphism group \( SO(3) \). For the octonions, the transformation that preserve the multiplication table with one octonionic fixed units is known to be \( SU(3) \) subgroup of the exceptional group \( G_2 \). Thus \( G_1 = SO(3) \) and \( G_2 = SU(3) \).

Another way of envisioning this is to remark that \( SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \times G_1 \) (resp. \( SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \times G_2 \)) are \( \mathbb{H} P^1 \) (resp \( \mathbb{O} P^1 \)) automorphisms that have the 2-sphere \( \mathbb{C} P^1 \) as its fixed point set. \( SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \times G_0, \) where \( G_0 = U(1) \), has also the 2-sphere \( \mathbb{C} P^1 \) as their fixed point set. Thus , up to some double-covering issues, factorizing the Lorentz transformation to isolate the symmetries associated with entanglement left us with \( SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1) \) group.

To motivate this symmetry breaking, let’s say that it is a mandatory step to consider entanglement effect locally if one wants to use a model with quantum field, as a collection of (infinitely many) quantum systems, each at every local Lorentzian references. Once the entanglement effect has been considered as internal, spinors and vectors interaction are represented by the action of \( J_3 \) on \( \mathbb{C}^2 \) by matrix multiplication at every local Lorentzian references. Thus this approach gives an explanation of the general features of the standard model of elementary particle physics. It explains why forces, except gravity, are described by a gauge theory with group \( SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1) \). It provides a physical understanding on the origin of the split between Lorentz and internal symmetry. Internal symmetry origins in non-locality and appears as internal while looking at a local effective theory.

4 Discussions

In summary, we have adopted the point of view that reverses the usual classical notion that the independent elementary parts of the world and particular arrangements of these parts are the fundamental reality and rather say that inseparable quantum interconnectedness of the whole Universe is the fundamental reality [3]. Moreover it has been understood that all the concepts we use to describe nature are not features of reality, but creations of the mind; parts of the map, not of the territory. The territory features all latent forms and power through the negative form which by not affirming anything allows all potentiality. This was summarized as an ultimate doctrine that is the absence of absolute existence. Assuming infinite divisibility of the Universe, this symmetry principle, combined with the uncontroversial part of quantum mechanics, leads (without too much effort) to the known thermodynamic interpretation of the field equations of gravity and to the general features of the standard model of elementary particle physics. The symmetry breaking patterns used for the derivation have a clear explanation in terms of the observer’s will to reach a local comprehension of the fundamental non-local reality.
A direction for future works is to exploit the additional non-local algebraic structure that we have highlighted as embedding the standard model structure. In particular, one shall look for the constraints from the non-local algebraic structure that lead to the local anomaly-free theory. One shall check in details that the 'two parties' local topological pattern leads to a force that allow spontaneous symmetry breaking and that the 'three parties' local topological pattern leads to a confining force featuring asymptotic freedom. One shall also understand the relation between the Universal Fractal feature and fermions flavors and families. Moreover, connections with algebraic description of the String theory [9] or black hole thermodynamics [18] shall be of particular interest.

Last, but not least, we will also need to better understand how the entirely quantum universe appears, for all practical purposes, to behave classically at the macroscopic level. In order to achieve this, we propose the following interpretation. We have seen that the distinguishable states labeled by bit sequences live in the separable mind of the unique observer represented by system $F$. Correlated states or waves, generally non-distinguishable, live in the observer environment $E_F$ relative to $F$. Usually, in no-collapse interpretations of quantum mechanics, the various many correlated outcomes, that sum-up to the Universe wave function, would lead to either many-world or many-mind interpretation. We’d rather propose again an analogy with Holography. The superposition of two or more waves in $E_F$ interfere to produce new waves (or wavefronts). All that can be observed, are these interferences. This may be thought as fundamental spontaneous collapses generating the hologram or world we have the experience of. The following picture describe in details the proposed analogy with holography.

One observes wavefront recorded in $E_F$ that are collectively created. The observer needs at least a quantum binary reference point $p$ in his mind, possibly composite, to see. Without these two ideas about things, no other ideas would exist. Then what is observed may be interpreted as quantum wavefront $|φ_{s,s'}⟩$ associated to an open quantum systems, living on Lorentzian local references. Note that the reference point $p$ is part of the system $F$. Therefore the observation is partly determined by the observer in inverse proportion to the length of the bit sequences $s$ and $s'$. Information redundancy give rise to objective existence.

As we have been doing global assumptions, implications of this work have also a cosmological meaning. Using the decoherence paradigm [19], we would expect a decay or vanishing of the coherence factors $⟨ψ_s|ψ_s⟩$ which code the wavefronts of the hologram. This gives a direction to explain the problem of time in cosmology and the phenomena of an observed Universe in accelerated expansion.
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