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A B S T R A C T

A field experiment was carried out on Influence of FYM, Inorganic Fertilizers and Micronutrients on soil nutrient status and plant nutrient contents and their uptake by African marigold. The experiment was laid out in factorial randomized block design with three replications consisting three level of FYM (0, 20 and 30 t/ha), four levels of NPK (RDF @ 75, 100 and 125%) and four levels of micronutrients (Control, ZnSO$_4$ @ 0.50%, FeSO$_4$ @ 0.50% and ZnSO$_4$ 0.50% + FeSO$_4$ 0.50%) thus, making 48 treatment combinations. Application of FYM up to 30 t ha$^{-1}$ significantly improved N, P, K, Zn and Fe content in plants, available in soil and uptake by plants. Among the different doses of NPK, application of NPK @ 125% RDF recorded significantly higher N, P and K content in plants, available in soil and uptake by plants over rest of treatments during 2011-12 and 2012-13, but uptake of Zn and Fe by plants recorded significantly higher with the treatment of NPK @ 100% RDF during both the years. However, application of ZnSO$_4$ @ 0.5% + FeSO$_4$ @ 0.5% recorded maximum Zn and Fe content in plant and N, P, K, Zn and Fe uptake by plants during the year of 2011-12, 2012-13.

Keywords
FYM, NPK, RDF, ZnSO$_4$, FeSO$_4$, Zn and Fe etc.

Introduction

African marigold (Tagetes erecta Linn.) has a prominent place in ornamental horticulture, is one of the commercially exploited flower crops belonging to the family Asteraceae. It is used in Hindu religious ceremonies. An account describes the marigold being used as garlands to decorate village gods during the harvest festival. It has also medicinal properties viz., (i) leaf extract is good remedy for ear-ache, (ii) flower extract is used as blood purifier and against bleeding piles, (iii) good remedy for eye diseases and ulcers, (iv) carotenoids are used to treat cancer and photosensitivity diseases, (v) oil extract is used in perfumery industry and (vi) oil has an odour which acts as repellent to flies (Chopra, et al., 1963). African marigold plants are hardy, easy to culture, wide adoptability to different soil and climatic conditions and easy to transportation. It is useful for decoration, landscaping, industries and medicinal sector and is also suitable for potted plants, bedding, edging, garland making, religious offerings and also for making different products. It has
important role in preparation of garlands, bouquets and for floral decoration at the time of marriages and other ceremonies. It has also gained industrial importance due to its potential in value addition. African marigold is one of the important commercial flower crops of Rajasthan but yield levels are quite low, because in Rajasthan, NPK consumption ratio is 34.9: 15.9: 1 and use of Micronutrient is very low in all crops during 2011-12 (Anonymous, 2013).

Farmyard manure is considered as organic source of nutrient. They are also rich in micronutrients besides having plant growth promoting substances viz., hormones, enzymes and humus forming beneficial microbes. Organic sources, on application to the soil, improve the physical properties of soil such as aggregation, aeration, permeability and water holding capacity which promote growth and development of plants (Idan et al., 2014). Chemical fertilizers and manures are very costly, thus efficient nutrient management not only help in increasing the present agricultural production level but also sustain the production and protect the environment from different types of hazards occurring due to mismanagement of costly fertilizers. Enrichment of the crop with micronutrients, especially iron and zinc is effective in regulating flowering in crops. This availability of micronutrient directly or indirectly affects the yield of flowers. Therefore, it seems essential to standardize the “Effect of FYM, Inorganic Fertilizers and Micronutrients on soil nutrient status & plant nutrient contents and their uptake by African marigold (Tagetes erecta Linn.)” under arid ecosystem of Western Rajasthan.

Materials and Methods

The field experiment was conducted at Niche Area of Excellence Farm, SKRAU, Bikaner during 2011-12 and 2012-13 in factorial randomized block design with three replications. The randomization of the treatments was done with the help of random number table. Crop was raised during rabi season and plot size was 3.6 x 2.5 m = 9.00 square meters maintaining 50 x 50 cm spacing. The treatments comprised of three level of FYM (0, 20 and 30 t/ha), four levels of NPK (RDF @ 75, 100 and 125%) and four levels of micronutrients (Control, ZnSO₄ @ 0.50%, FeSO₄ @ 0.50% and ZnSO₄ 0.50% + FeSO₄ 0.50%) thus, making 48 treatment combinations. The recommended dose and fertilizers were used for Nitrogen (200 kg ha⁻¹ and urea), Phosphorus (100 kg ha⁻¹ and single super phosphate-SSP) and Potassium (100 kg ha⁻¹ and murate of potash-MOP). Application of FYM was done at 30 days before transplanting of seedlings. Full dose of SSP, MOP and half dose of urea was applied just before transplanting and remaining half dose of urea was applied through drip irrigation. Two foliar sprays of micronutrients were given at 30 days after transplanting and 45 days after transplanting as per treatment. The plant sample collected after harvest of crop each plot, then dried and ground.

The soil samples were drawn randomly from 0-15 cm depth from different plots of the experimental field just after harvesting of crop. Methods given by following scientists were used for estimation nutrient contents in plant and available nutrients in soil are presented in table 1. Total uptake of nutrients (N, P, K, Fe and Zn) by the African marigold crop was estimated by the formula given below. Then parameters were subjected to statistical analysis (Panse and Sukhatme, 1995).

Total Uptake (kg ha⁻¹) =

\[
\text{Nutrient content in plant (%)} \times \text{Dry matter content (g/plant)} \div 100
\]
Results and Discussion

The results regarding to nutrient content in plant, uptake of nutrient by plants and nutrient content in soil were recorded of two year crops are presented at the end in Tables 2, 3 and 4, respectively.

**FYM (Farmyard manure)**

The result indicated that the nutrient content in plants, availability in soil and uptakes with application of farmyard manure increased significantly upto 30 t FYM ha\(^{-1}\) (F2). The application of farmyard manure recorded significantly higher nitrogen (2.30%, 2.35% and 2.32%), phosphorus (0.32%, 0.34% and 0.33%), potassium (1.57%, 1.66% and 1.61%), zinc (0.234ppm, 0.244ppm and 0.239ppm), and ferrous (2.28ppm, 2.27ppm and 2.28ppm) content with 30 t FYM ha\(^{-1}\) (F2) during 2011-12, 2012-13 and in mean also, respectively. Whereas, nitrogen (272.14, 293.05 and 282.59 kg ha\(^{-1}\)), phosphorus (38.36, 42.58 and 40.47 kg ha\(^{-1}\)), potassium (185.29, 206.67 and 195.98 kg ha\(^{-1}\)), zinc (27.60, 30.28 and 28.94 mg ka\(^{-1}\)), and ferrous (268.92, 283.29 and 276.11 mg ka\(^{-1}\)) uptake by plants were also recorded significantly higher with 30 t FYM ha\(^{-1}\) (F2) during 2011-12, 2012-13 and in mean calculation, respectively.

However, the application of FYM @ 30 t FYM ha\(^{-1}\) (F2) recorded maximum organic carbon (0.087%, 0.088% and 0.088%) and available nitrogen (86.71, 90.20 and 88.45 kg ha\(^{-1}\)), phosphorus (24.64, 27.69 and 26.17 kg ha\(^{-1}\)), potassium (225.59, 224.98 and 225.29 kg ha\(^{-1}\)), zinc (0.439, 0.470 and 0.455 ppm) and ferrous (3.31, 3.50 and 3.41 ppm) in soil after harvest recorded during both the year and mean. The lower nutrient content in plant and availability in soil and uptake by plants were found in the treatment of FYM @ 0 t ha\(^{-1}\) (F1). This increase in nutrient content in plants and soil because FYM is a organic source of nutrient, which when applied to the soil, improve the physical properties of soil such as aggregation, aeration, permeability and water holding capacity (Idan et al., 2014). The high phytase and nucleosidase activity provided at the rhizosphere by addition of organic manure resulted in better utilization of the nutrients by crop, thus increasing its concentration and its uptake by plants. The results are in agreement with the findings of Hangarge et al., (2002). Increase in availability of micronutrients coupled with higher dry matter accumulation with the addition of FYM might be the reason for higher micronutrient uptake by grain (Kafle and Sharma, 2015).

### Table 1

| S.No. | Nutrients | Analysis in plant | Analysis in soil |
|-------|-----------|------------------|-----------------|
| 1     | Organic carbon | -                | Walkley and Black (1934) |
| 2     | Nitrogen     | Peach and Tracey (1956) | Subbiah and Asija (1956) |
| 3     | Phosphorus   | Black (1965)      | Olsen et al., (1954) |
| 4     | Potassium    | Williams and Twine (1960) | Jackson (1973) |
| 5     | Zinc         | De Varies and Tiller (1980) | Lindsay and Norvell (1978) |
| 6     | Ferrous      | De Varies and Tiller (1980) | Lindsay and Norvell (1978) |
**Table 2** Effect of FYM, NPK and micronutrients on nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, zinc and ferrous
Content in plants dry matter content of plants

| Treatments | Nitrogen (%) | Phosphorus (%) | Potassium (%) | Zn (ppm) | Fe (ppm) | Dry matter content (g/plant) |
|------------|--------------|----------------|---------------|----------|----------|-------------------------------|
|            | 2011-12      | 2012-13 Mean   | 2011-12       | 2012-13  | Mean     | 2011-12 | 2012-13 Mean | 2011-12 | 2012-13 Mean | 2011-12 | 2012-13 Mean |
| FYM        |              |                |               |          |          |      |              |        |              |        |              |
| F0         | 1.97         | 1.98           | 1.97          | 0.28     | 0.29     | 0.29  | 1.23         | 1.24    | 1.23        | 0.185   | 0.208       | 0.196   | 1.891   | 1.99      | 1.94    | 87.64 | 93.03     | 90.34 |
| F1         | 2.18         | 2.2            | 2.19          | 0.31     | 0.32     | 0.31  | 1.48         | 1.55    | 1.52        | 0.211   | 0.239       | 0.225   | 2.18    | 2.16      | 2.17    | 89.86 | 95.29     | 92.58 |
| F2         | 2.3          | 2.35           | 2.32          | 0.32     | 0.34     | 0.33  | 1.57         | 1.66    | 1.61        | 0.234   | 0.244       | 0.239   | 2.28    | 2.27      | 2.28    | 98.93 | 99.22     | 99.55 |
| SEm+       | 0.03         | 0.03           | 0.02          | 0        | 0        | 0     | 0.02         | 0.02    | 0.01        | 0.003   | 0.003       | 0.003   | 0.03   | 0.03      | 0.02    | 1.06  | 1.12      | 0.91  |
| CD (P<0.05)| 0.07         | 0.07           | 0.06          | 0.01     | 0.01     | 0.01  | 0.05         | 0.05    | 0.04        | 0.007   | 0.008       | 0.007   | 0.07   | 0.07      | 0.06    | 2.97  | 3.14      | 2.55  |
| RDF        |              |                |               |          |          |      |              |        |              |        |              |        |        |           |        |       |           |      |
| R0         | 1.92         | 1.84           | 1.88          | 0.25     | 0.27     | 0.31  | 1.3          | 1.34    | 1.32        | 0.205   | 0.22        | 0.213   | 2.08    | 2.1       | 2.09    | 74    | 79.65     | 76.83 |
| R1         | 2.1          | 2.11           | 2.11          | 0.3      | 0.31     | 0.31  | 1.4          | 1.44    | 1.42        | 0.209   | 0.227       | 0.218   | 2.12    | 2.14      | 2.13    | 83.6  | 89.27     | 86.44 |
| R2         | 2.24         | 2.31           | 2.27          | 0.32     | 0.33     | 0.31  | 1.47         | 1.54    | 1.5         | 0.211   | 0.233       | 0.222   | 2.13    | 2.16      | 2.14    | 90.36 | 94.66     | 92.51 |
| R3         | 2.35         | 2.43           | 2.39          | 0.34     | 0.35     | 0.32  | 1.54         | 1.61    | 1.58        | 0.215   | 0.24        | 0.228   | 2.14    | 2.16      | 2.15    | 92.04 | 96.43     | 94.24 |
| SEm+       | 0.03         | 0.03           | 0.02          | 0        | 0        | 0     | 0.02         | 0.02    | 0.02        | 0.003   | 0.003       | 0.003   | 0.03   | 0.03      | 0.02    | 1.22  | 1.29      | 1.05  |
| CD (P<0.05)| 0.09         | 0.09           | 0.07          | 0.01     | 0.01     | 0.01  | 0.06         | 0.06    | 0.05        | NS      | NS          | NS     | NS     | NS        | NS     | 3.43  | 3.63      | 2.95  |
| Micronutrients |          |                |               |          |          |      |              |        |              |        |              |        |        |           |        |       |           |      |
| M0         | 2.15         | 2.16           | 2.15          | 0.3      | 0.32     | 0.26  | 1.41         | 1.47    | 1.44        | 0.207   | 0.226       | 0.217   | 2.08    | 1.92      | 2       | 75.84 | 81.55     | 78.7  |
| M1         | 2.15         | 2.18           | 2.17          | 0.31     | 0.32     | 0.31  | 1.43         | 1.48    | 1.45        | 0.209   | 0.23        | 0.22    | 2.12    | 2.14      | 2.13    | 85.95 | 91.14     | 88.55 |
| M2         | 2.15         | 2.17           | 2.16          | 0.3      | 0.32     | 0.33  | 1.43         | 1.47    | 1.45        | 0.211   | 0.231       | 0.221   | 2.13    | 2.19      | 2.16    | 84.68 | 90.18     | 87.43 |
| M3         | 2.15         | 2.19           | 2.17          | 0.31     | 0.32     | 0.35  | 1.44         | 1.51    | 1.48        | 0.213   | 0.233       | 0.223   | 2.14    | 2.31      | 2.23    | 93.53 | 97.14     | 95.34 |
| SEm+       | 0.03         | 0.03           | 0.02          | 0        | 0        | 0     | 0.02         | 0.02    | 0.02        | 0.003   | 0.003       | 0.003   | 0.03   | 0.03      | 0.02    | 1.22  | 1.29      | 1.05  |
| CD (P<0.05)| NS           | NS             | NS            | NS       | NS       | NS    | NS           | NS      | NS          | NS      | NS          | NS     | 0.005  | 0.005     | 0.04   | 0.05  | 0.05      | 0.04   | 3.43  | 3.63      | 2.95  |
| CV         | 8.48         | 8.48           | 9.34          | 8.5      | 8.48     | 9.74  | 8.52         | 8.51    | 9.7        | 8.469   | 8.534       | 11.202  | 8.53   | 8.58      | 9.42   | 8.62  | 8.61      | 10.23 |
Table 3: Effect of FYM, NPK and micronutrients on uptake by plants of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, zinc and ferrous.

| Treatments | Nitrogen (kg/ha) | Phosphorus (kg/ha) | Potassium (kg/ha) | Zn (mg/kg) | Fe (mg/kg) |
|------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|
|            | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | Mean | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | Mean | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | Mean | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | Mean |
| FYM        |         |         |      |         |         |      |         |         |      |         |         |      |
| F0         | 191.41  | 198.74  | 195.08 | 27.71   | 29.25   | 28.48 | 119.57  | 124.23  | 121.9 | 17.92   | 20.77   | 19.35 | 183.13  | 199.89  | 191.51 |
| F1         | 253.36  | 268.99  | 261.17 | 35.81   | 39.3    | 37.56 | 172.46  | 189.2   | 180.83 | 24.44   | 29.08   | 26.76 | 252.55  | 264.3   | 258.42 |
| F2         | 272.14  | 293.05  | 282.59 | 38.36   | 42.58   | 40.47 | 185.29  | 206.67  | 195.98 | 27.6    | 30.28   | 28.94 | 268.92  | 283.29  | 276.11 |
| SEM        | 3       | 3.2     | 2.62   | 0.43    | 0.47    | 0.41  | 2.01    | 2.2     | 1.91   | 0.29    | 0.34    | 0.34  | 2.97    | 3.18    | 2.59   |
| CD (P=0.05)| 8.43    | 9       | 7.31   | 1.2     | 1.31    | 1.14  | 5.66    | 6.19    | 5.35   | 0.82    | 0.95    | 0.95  | 8.34    | 8.93    | 7.22   |
| RDF        |         |         |        |         |         |      |         |         |      |         |         |      |
| R0         | 189.81  | 190.87  | 190.34 | 24.93   | 28.21   | 26.57 | 129.27  | 139.96  | 134.61 | 20.35   | 22.8    | 21.57 | 206.28  | 218.62  | 212.45 |
| R1         | 230.83  | 240.2   | 235.52 | 33.27   | 35.57   | 34.42 | 154.23  | 164.6   | 159.42 | 23.07   | 25.83   | 24.45 | 233.76  | 244.32  | 239.04 |
| R2         | 259.38  | 282.11  | 270.75 | 37.62   | 40.76   | 39.19 | 171.33  | 188.35  | 179.84 | 24.53   | 28.46   | 26.49 | 247.42  | 264.42  | 255.92 |
| R3         | 275.87  | 301.19  | 288.53 | 40.03   | 43.63   | 41.83 | 181.6   | 200.57  | 191.08 | 25.34   | 29.75   | 27.55 | 252.01  | 269.28  | 260.65 |
| SEM        | 3.47    | 3.7     | 3.02   | 0.5     | 0.54    | 0.47  | 2.33    | 2.55    | 2.21   | 0.34    | 0.39    | 0.39  | 3.43    | 3.67    | 2.99   |
| CD (P=0.05)| 9.74    | 10.39   | 8.44   | 1.39    | 1.52    | 1.32  | 6.53    | 7.15    | 6.17   | 0.95    | 1.1     | 1.1   | 9.63    | 10.31   | 8.34   |
| Micronutrients |         |         |        |         |         |      |         |         |      |         |         |      |
| M0         | 204     | 212.67  | 208.34 | 28.74   | 30.98   | 29.86 | 134.3   | 144.79  | 139.54 | 19.64   | 22.15   | 20.89 | 197.1   | 187.6   | 192.35 |
| M1         | 244.27  | 254.89  | 249.58 | 34.69   | 37.02   | 35.85 | 162.44  | 173.46  | 167.95 | 23.7    | 26.77   | 25.23 | 240.1   | 248.3   | 244.2   |
| M2         | 237.91  | 257.06  | 247.48 | 33.69   | 37.75   | 35.72 | 158.95  | 174.56  | 166.75 | 23.31   | 27.24   | 25.28 | 235.05  | 257.45  | 246.25  |
| M3         | 269.7   | 289.75  | 279.72 | 38.74   | 42.42   | 40.58 | 180.74  | 200.66  | 190.7  | 26.63   | 30.68   | 28.66 | 267.22  | 303.29  | 285.25  |
| SEM        | 3.47    | 3.7     | 3.02   | 0.5     | 0.54    | 0.47  | 2.33    | 2.55    | 2.21   | 0.34    | 0.39    | 0.39  | 3.43    | 3.67    | 2.99   |
| CD (P=0.05)| 9.74    | 10.39   | 8.44   | 1.39    | 1.52    | 1.32  | 6.53    | 7.15    | 6.17   | 0.95    | 1.1     | 1.1   | 9.63    | 10.31   | 8.34   |
| CV         | 8.71    | 8.75    | 10.4   | 8.75    | 8.75    | 11.28 | 8.77    | 8.81    | 11.28  | 8.7     | 8.78    | 13.31 | 8.76    | 8.84    | 10.47  |
Table 4: Effect of FYM, NPK and micronutrients on organic carbon in soil and available nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, zinc and ferrous in soil after harvesting

| Treatments | Organic Carbon in soil (%) | Available N (kg/ha) | Available P (kg/ha) | Available K (kg/ha) | Available Zn (ppm) | Available Fe (ppm) |
|------------|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
|            | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | Mean | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | Mean | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | Mean | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | Mean | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | Mean |
| FYM        |          |          |      |          |          |      |          |          |      |          |          |      |          |          |      |      |
| F₀         | 0.071    | 0.075    | 0.073 | 76.04    | 78.54    | 77.29 | 21.29    | 24.15    | 22.72 | 203.45   | 206.71   | 205.08 | 0.359   | 0.41   | 0.385 | 2.65   | 2.9    | 2.77 |
| F₁         | 0.081    | 0.083    | 0.082 | 83.25    | 86.27    | 84.76 | 23.07    | 26.14    | 24.61 | 215.96   | 216.31   | 216.14 | 0.409   | 0.44   | 0.425 | 3.07   | 3.28   | 3.17 |
| F₂         | 0.087    | 0.088    | 0.088 | 86.71    | 90.2     | 88.45 | 24.64    | 27.69    | 26.17 | 225.59   | 224.98   | 225.29 | 0.439   | 0.47   | 0.455 | 3.31   | 3.5    | 3.41 |
| SEM+       | 0.001    | 0.001    | 0.001 | 1.01     | 1.04     | 0.82  | 0.28     | 0.32     | 0.31  | 2.63     | 2.64     | 2.05   | 0.005   | 0.005  | 0.005 | 0.04   | 0.04   | 0.03 |
| CD (P=0.05)| 0.003    | 0.003    | 0.002 | 2.82     | 2.92     | 2.29  | 0.79     | 0.89     | 0.87  | 7.39     | 7.42     | 5.72   | 0.014   | 0.015  | 0.013 | 0.1    | 0.11   | 0.09 |
| RDF        |          |          |      |          |          |      |          |          |      |          |          |      |          |          |      |      |
| R₀         | 0.079    | 0.081    | 0.08 | 74.38    | 77.26    | 75.82 | 20.44    | 23.75    | 22.09 | 193.93   | 196.9    | 195.41 | 0.393   | 0.43   | 0.411 | 2.97   | 3.2    | 3.08 |
| R₁         | 0.08     | 0.082    | 0.081 | 80      | 82.98    | 81.49 | 22.71    | 25.57    | 24.14 | 211.78   | 211.18   | 211.48 | 0.403   | 0.435  | 0.419 | 3.01   | 3.2    | 3.11 |
| R₂         | 0.08     | 0.082    | 0.081 | 85      | 87.76    | 86.38 | 23.9     | 26.76    | 25.33 | 222.37   | 223.02   | 222.7  | 0.403   | 0.445  | 0.424 | 3.03   | 3.23   | 3.13 |
| R₃         | 0.081    | 0.083    | 0.082 | 88.62    | 92       | 90.31 | 24.95    | 27.9     | 26.43 | 231.93   | 232.9    | 232.41 | 0.412   | 0.45   | 0.431 | 3.04   | 3.28   | 3.16 |
| SEM+       | 0.001    | 0.001    | 0.001 | 1.16     | 1.2      | 0.95  | 0.32     | 0.37     | 0.36  | 3.04     | 3.05     | 2.37   | 0.006   | 0.006  | 0.006 | 0.04   | 0.05   | 0.04 |
| CD (P=0.05)| NS       | NS       | NS    | 3.26     | 3.38     | 2.65  | 0.91     | 1.03     | 1.01  | 8.54     | 8.57     | 6.61   | NS      | NS     | NS    | NS     | NS     | NS     |
| Micronutrients |          |          |      |          |          |      |          |          |      |          |          |      |          |          |      |      |
| M₀         | 0.079    | 0.081    | 0.08 | 80.83    | 83.79    | 82.31 | 22.67    | 25.61    | 24.14 | 211.93   | 212.91   | 212.42 | 0.395   | 0.43   | 0.413 | 2.96   | 3.19   | 3.08 |
| M₁         | 0.08     | 0.082    | 0.081 | 81.61    | 84.6     | 83.11 | 22.89    | 25.88    | 24.39 | 213.98   | 214.97   | 214.48 | 0.405   | 0.442  | 0.424 | 3.03   | 3.24   | 3.14 |
| M₂         | 0.08     | 0.082    | 0.081 | 82.39    | 85.4     | 83.9  | 23.11    | 26.12    | 24.62 | 216.02   | 217.03   | 216.53 | 0.4     | 0.438  | 0.419 | 3.02   | 3.2    | 3.11 |
| M₃         | 0.081    | 0.083    | 0.082 | 83.17    | 86.21    | 84.69 | 23.33    | 26.37    | 24.85 | 218.08   | 219.09   | 218.59 | 0.41    | 0.45   | 0.43  | 3.04   | 3.27   | 3.16 |
| SEM+       | 0.001    | 0.001    | 0.001 | 1.16     | 1.2      | 0.95  | 0.32     | 0.37     | 0.36  | 3.04     | 3.05     | 2.37   | 0.006   | 0.006  | 0.006 | 0.04   | 0.05   | 0.04 |
| CD (P=0.05)| NS       | NS       | NS    | NS       | NS       | NS    | NS       | NS       | NS    | NS       | NS       | NS    | NS       | NS       | NS    | NS     | NS     | NS     |
| CV         | 8.499    | 8.497    | 9.48 | 8.49     | 8.49     | 9.64  | 8.47     | 8.48     | 12.49 | 8.48     | 8.48     | 9.32   | 8.499   | 8.49   | 11.123 | 8.51   | 8.5    | 10.43 |
NPK

Among the different recommended dose (RDF) of NPK (nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium), application of NPK recorded significantly nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium content in plant and availability in soil up to 125% RDF (R_3) during both the year. The maximum contents in plants of nitrogen (2.35%, 2.43% and 2.39%), phosphorus (0.34%, 0.35% and 0.32%) and potassium (1.54%, 1.61% and 1.58%) and as well as availability in soil of nitrogen (88.62, 92.00 and 90.31 kg ha\(^{-1}\)), phosphorus (24.95, 27.90 and 26.43 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) and potassium (231.93, 232.90 and 232.41 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) were observed in the treatment of 125% RDF (R_3).

The application of FYM increased significantly nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium uptake by plants up to 125% RDF (R_3) and zinc and ferrous up to 100% RDF (R_2) during both the years. The mean percent increases in nitrogen (6.57, 14.96 and 23.74%), phosphorus (6.76, 13.86 and 29.48%), potassium (6.26, 12.81 and 18.42%), zinc (3.98, 8.34 and 13.38%) and ferrous (4.57, 8.65 and 12.64%) uptake by plants with application of inorganic fertilizers @ 125% RDF (R_3) over 100% RDF (R_2), 100% RDF (R_2) over 75% RDF (R_1) and 75% (R_1) RDF over control (R_0), respectively.

This might be due to the fact that both nitrogen and phosphorus improve the K nutrition and enhance the uptake of K by the plant. One peak rate of uptake was observed in nitrogen, phosphorus and potash at peak flowering stage followed by a decline at final harvest stage (Konnerup and Brix, 2010). In the responsive zone, increasing nitrogen supply enhances both shoot and root growth. The roots become finer (higher branching) and the surface area increases (Marschner, 1995). With an increase in root surface area as well as volume at a higher level of nitrogen (200 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) might have increased due to greater foliage area. By increasing the photosynthetic activities and cell formation process, nitrogen application at 200 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) increased the dry matter content of plant as determined by the dry weight of plant, thereby resulting in greater uptake of nutrients. Application of phosphorus at higher level might have increased the absorption of NPK due to increased root density. Further, by exacerbating the photosynthetic activities of plants, phosphorus at higher level resulted in greater plant dry weight. The increase in P and K uptake was due to the fact that nitrogen promotes phosphorus and potassium uptake by increasing top and root growth, altering plant metabolism and increasing P and K solubility and availability. Thus, the increase in absorption of N, P and K with greater dry matter accumulation with higher level of phosphorus ultimately resulted in greater N and P uptake in chrysanthemum (Joshi et al., 2012). The Zn and Fe content in plant and availability in soil was found non-significant because micronutrient was applied as foliar spray, whereas Zn and Fe uptake by plant was differ significantly because uptake is correlated with dry matter accumulation. This result is also in conformity with Naik (2015) in African marigold and Polara et al., (2014).

Micronutrients

The application of micronutrient as foliar spray significantly increased the zinc and ferrous content in plant and nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, zinc and ferrous uptake by plants. But N, P and K content in plants and N, P, K, Zn and Fe availability in soil were found non-significant with foliar spray of micronutrient. However, application of singly ZnSO\(_4\) @ 0.5% and FeSO\(_4\) @ 0.5% was observed non-significant difference each other.

The zinc (0.213, 0.233 and 0.223 ppm) and ferrous (2.14, 2.31 and 2.23 ppm) content in plant and nitrogen (275.87, 301.19 and 288.53
kg ha\(^{-1}\)), phosphorus (40.03, 43.63 and 41.83 kg ha\(^{-1}\)), potassium (181.60, 200.57 and 191.08 kg ha\(^{-1}\)), Zinc (25.34, 29.75 and 27.55 mg ka\(^{-1}\)) and Ferrous (252.01, 269.28 and 260.65 mg ka\(^{-1}\)) uptake by plants were recorded maximum with foliar application of ZnSO\(_4\) @ 0.5% + FeSO\(_4\) @ 0.5% during the year of 2011-12, 2012-13 and in mean, respectively. The application of ZnSO\(_4\) and FeSO\(_4\) increased dry matter of plants which help in increasing the uptake of nutrients. Zinc is essential micronutrient for proteins production in plants; also zinc is main composition of ribosome and is essential for their development. Amino acids accumulated in plant tissues and protein synthesis decline by zinc deficit. One of the sites of protein synthesis is pollen tube that amount of zinc in their tip is 150 micrograms per gram of dry matter (Pandey et al., 2006). Zinc deficiency is lead to iron (Fe) deficiency, due to reduced transfer of Fe from root to shoot in zinc deficiency conditions (Rengel and Romheld, 2000). Similar result was reported by Alloway (2008) and Mousavi et al., (2012). Application of FYM up to 30 t ha\(^{-1}\) was superior in respect of N, P, K, Zn and Fe content in plants, available in soil and uptake by plants. The N, P and K content in plants, available in soil and uptake by plants was recorded maximum with application of NPK @ 125% RDF over rest of treatments during 2011-12 and 2012-13, therefore uptake of Zn and Fe by plants were also recorded highest with the treatment of NPK @ 125% RDF during both the years. However, application of ZnSO\(_4\) @ 0.5% + FeSO\(_4\) @ 0.5% recorded maximum Zn and Fe content in plant and N, P, K, Zn and Fe uptake by plants during the year of 2011-12, 2012-13.

References

Alloway, B.J. 2008. Zinc in soils and crop nutrition. Second edition, published by IZA and IFA, Brussels, Belgium and Paris, France.
Anonymous. 2013. Directorate of Horticulture, Pant Krishi Bhavan, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
Black, C.A. 1965. Method for soil analysis, part 2. Chemical and microbiological properties. American Society of Agronomy inc. Madison Wisconsin, p. 242.
Chopra, I.C., Nigam, M.C. and Handa, K.L. 1963. Oil Res., 54: 238-240.

De Vries, M.P.C. and Tiller, K.G. 1980. Routine procedures for determining Cu, Zn, Mn and Fe in the plant materials. Common Wealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, Australia.

Hangarge, D.S., Rault, R.S., Malewar, G.V., More, S.D. and Keshbhat, S.S. 2002. Response on yield attributes and nutrient uptake by chilli due to organics and inorganics on vertisol. J. Maharashtra Agri. Univ., 127(1): 109-110.

Idan, R.O., Prasad, V.M. and Saravanan, S. 2014. Effect of organic manures on flower yield of African marigold (Tagetes erecta L.) Cv. Pusa Narangi Gainda. Int. J. Agri. Sci. Res., 4(1): 39-50.

Jackson, M.L. 1973. Soil Chemical Analysis, Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi.

Joshi, N.S., Varu, D.K. and Barad, A.V. 2012. Effect of different levels of nitrogen, phosphorus and potash on quality, nutrient content and uptake of chrysanthemum cultivars. Asian J. Horticult., 7(2): 497-503.

Kafle, S. and Sharma, P.K. 2015. Effect of Integration of organic and inorganic sources of nitrogen on growth, yield and nutrient uptake by Maize (Zea mays L.). Int. J. Appl. Sci. Biotechnol., 3(1): 31-37.
Konnerup, D. and Brix, H. 2010. Nitrogen nutrition of Canna indica. Effects of ammonium versus nitrate on growth, biomass allocation, photosynthesis, nitrate reductase activity and N uptake rates. *Aquatic Bot.*, 92: 142-148.

Lindsay, W.L. and Norvell, W.A. 1978. Development of DTPA soil test for zinc, iron, manganese and copper. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc.*, 15: 149-151.

Marschner, H. 1995. ‘Mineral nutritional of higher plants’. (2nd Edn). Academic Press Ltd., London, U.K., pp. 889.

Mousavi, S.R., Galavi, M. and Rezaei, M. 2012. The interaction of zinc with other elements in plants: a review. *Int. J. Agri. Crop Sci.*, 4(24): 1881-1884.

Naik, M.R. 2015. Influence of nitrogen and phosphorus on flowering, N and P content of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) var. Cracker Jack. *Int. J. Farm Sci.*, 5(1): 42-50.

Olsen, S.R., Cole, V.C., Watanable, F.S. and Dean, L.A. 1954. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. Circular of United State Department of Agriculture, pp. 939.

Pandey, N., Pathak, G.C. and Sharma, C.P. 2006. Zinc is critically required for pollen function and fertilisation in lentil. *J. Trace Elements Med. Biol.*, 20: 89-96.

Panse, V.G. and Sukhatme, P.V. 1985. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. Indian Council of Agriculture Research, New Delhi.

Peach, K., and M.V. Tracey. 1956. Modern methods of plant analysis, Vol. I, Springer Verlag, Berlin, pp. 477.

Polara, N.D., Gajipara, N.N. and Barad, A.V. 2014. Effect of Nitrogen and Phosphorus on nutrient content and uptake in different varieties of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.). *Bioscan*, 9(1): 115-119.

Rengel, Z. and Romheld, V. 2000. Root exudation and Fe uptake and transport in wheat genotypes differing in tolerance of Zn deficiency. *Plant Soil*, 222: 25-34.

Subbiah, B.V. and Asija, G.L. 1956. A rapid procedure for the determination of available nitrogen in soils. *Curr. Sci.*, 25: 259-260.

Walkley, A. and Black, I.A. 1934. An examination of wet acid method for determining soil organic matter and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Sci.*, 37: 29-38.

Williams, C.H. and V. Twine, 1960. In: K. Peach and M.V. Tracey (eds.): Modern Methods of Plant Analysis, Vol. V, Springer Verlag, Berlin, pp. 3-5.

---

**How to cite this article:**

Bairwa, S. and Yadav, P.K. 2017. Influence of FYM, Inorganic Fertilizers and Micronutrients on Soil Nutrient Status and Plant Nutrient Contents and their Uptake by African Marigold (*Tagetes erecta* Linn.). *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* 6(6): 1362-1370. doi: [https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcemas.2017.606.160](https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcemas.2017.606.160)