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Abstract

During the 2018 season, superficial dry and firm black spots, where sometimes an aerial mycelium developed, appeared on the rind of easy peeler mandarins causing high economic losses in fresh citrus exports from Perú. In this work, we have identified the causal agent, a species of Cladosporium not previously reported as a citrus pathogen. The pathogen was isolated from rind lesions of affected fruit and was identified by sequencing as Cladosporium ramotenellum; and fulfillment of Koch postulates was proven. This species was present on the surface of immature fruit in the groves, indicating that the infection is likely initiated before harvest. Cladosporium ramotenellum is resistant to the postharvest fungicides imazalil, pyrimethanil, and thiabendazole, but sensitive to propiconazole, prochloraz, and ortho-phenylphenol. We designed a postharvest industrial treatment to decrease the Cladosporium sp. load on the fruit surface that limited the incidence of infection and reduced the postharvest losses caused by the fungus. Although this species is quite ubiquitous, this is the first description of C. ramotenellum causing decay of citrus fruit, being the symptoms of this disease similar to the ones described previously and caused by Cladosporium cladosporioides in cv. Satsuma mandarins from Japan.

Introduction

Fresh citrus are an important part of the human diet worldwide, and citrus shipments between continents are generally increasing (https://worldcitrus.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/Citrus-Market-Trends-2019.pdf). In 2019, Perú exported 200,000tn of fresh citrus fruit. In this work, we have identified the causal agent, a species of Cladosporium not previously reported as a citrus pathogen. The pathogen was isolated from rind lesions of affected fruit and was identified by sequencing as Cladosporium ramotenellum; and fulfillment of Koch postulates was proven. This species was present on the surface of immature fruit in the groves, indicating that the infection is likely initiated before harvest. Cladosporium ramotenellum is resistant to the postharvest fungicides imazalil, pyrimethanil, and thiabendazole, but sensitive to propiconazole, prochloraz, and ortho-phenylphenol. We designed a postharvest industrial treatment to decrease the Cladosporium sp. load on the fruit surface that limited the incidence of infection and reduced the postharvest losses caused by the fungus. Although this species is quite ubiquitous, this is the first description of C. ramotenellum causing decay of citrus fruit, being the symptoms of this disease similar to the ones described previously and caused by Cladosporium cladosporioides in cv. Satsuma mandarins from Japan.

shape superficial dry and firm black spots over the entire fruit skin without any identifiable pattern (Figure 1a-c). Lesions were not detectable at origin, when the fruit were being packed, but developed during the 15 to 45 days of refrigerated transportation and after container unloading at destination. Once transferred to higher temperatures, some fruits developed a grey-olivaceous or black mycelium on top of the black spots (Figure 1d-f). Although no maceration of the rind or flesh was noticed, the fruit could not be sold because of the highly unpleasant appearance of the rind. The cultivar most affected was W. Murcott, but there were also reports of cvs. Nadorcott and Tango mandarins with the same decay problem. A similar problem was previously described in shipped greenhouse grown Satsuma mandarins in Japan in 2006 [1]. The causal agent was identified as Cladosporium cladosporioides, and the disease was named sooty spot.
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*Cladosporium* species are broadly distributed and can be found on many types of substrates (plants, soil, food, organic matter, etc.) [2]. Recent phylogenetic studies recognised more than 170 *Cladosporium* species, belonging to three species complexes: the *herbarum* complex, the *cladosporioides* complex, and the *sphaerospermum* complex [2]. *Cladosporium* spp. can infect citrus fruit when spores are deposited onto weakened areas of the rind and germinate in storage conditions of high relative humidity. The fungus can grow at low temperatures of close to 0˚C. The decay affects mainly the rind, producing firm but flexible areas that become dark. Later, a gray-olivaceous or black mycelial growth may cover the black spots [3]. In citrus, the *Cladosporium* species associated with decay have been *C. herbarum* [4] and *C. cladosporioides* [1,3]. However, *Cladosporium* sp. is not a common citrus pathogen. The frequency of natural infections caused by this fungus is very low and affects mainly to fruits with injuries and rind disorders, as the infection of the fruit starts through fissures or cracks in the rind [3].

The aim of this work was to isolate the causal agent of the black spots on the rind of easy peeler mandarins exports from Perú, that caused such high economic losses during the 2018 season. To that end we have isolated, by microbiological techniques, and identified, by genomic sequencing, a *Cladosporium* species not previously reported as a citrus pathogen. Additionally, in order to design a successful postharvest treatment of the fruit aimed to reduce the incidence of the disease, we tested the sensitivity of the causal pathogen to the postharvest fungicides currently registered worldwide and tested different industrial application programmes with regard to the survival of the *Cladosporium* sp. inoculum on the fruit rind.

**Material and methods**

**Fruits**

Samples of 10 to 15 W. Murcott mandarins were collected from two packinghouses in the Chinchá region of Perú at the end of the 2018 season. Collected fruit were left in cold storage so that the damage could be investigated. These fruit had a high incidence of black spots, some of them with aerial mycelium (Figure 1c-f).

Additionally, to check the origin of the infection, green immature W. Murcott mandarins were collected from Chinchá fields in December 2018 (Figure 1g,h).

**Chemical compounds and preparation of disinfection and fungicide solutions**

The following chemical compounds used in this study were supplied by PRODUCTOS CITROSOL S.A. (Valencia, Spain): Citrocide® Plus (peracetic acid (PAA) 15% weight/weight (w/w) + H2O2 23% w/w), Citrocide® PC (PAA 5% w/w + H2O2 23% w/w), Imacide® 7.5 LS (Imazalil (IMZ) 7.5% weight/volume (w/v)), Ortocil® (orthophenylphenol (OPP) 10% w/v), Citropyr® 40 SC (pyrimethanil (PYR) 40% w/v), Tiabendazol 60 (thiabendazole (TBZ) 60% w/v), Citrocil® (IMZ 7.5% w/v + OPP 10% w/v), Fortisol® Ca (14.44% w/v of a mix of water-soluble salts of calcium (CaO), sodium (Na2O) and phosphorus (P2O5)), Citrosol Sunseal® UE IMAD 2 (Polyethylene + Shellac wax supplemented with IMZ 0.2% w/w), Citroset 45 SC (TBZ 45% w/v), Ortosol 6500 (OPP 28.6% w/v).

Prochloraz (PCL; ≥95.0% w/v) and propiconazole (PPZ; ≥95.0% w/v) were purchased from Molekula (Molekula Ltd, Darlington, United Kingdom).
The disinfection solution used to surface disinfect the fruit was prepared using a 0.3% v/v solution in water of Citrocide® Plus to achieve a final concentration of 450 ppm PAA + 690 ppm of H₂O₂.

The 400 ppm fungicide solutions used to test the fungicide resistance or sensitivity of the isolates were prepared by diluting the above chemicals compounds in sterile water as follow: IMZ, 0.53% v/v Imacide® 7.5 LS; OPP, 0.4% v/v Ortolci®, PCL, 0.042% v/v prochloraz; PPZ, 0.042% v/v propiconazole; PYR, 0.1% v/v Citropyr® 40 SC; and TBZ, 0.067% v/v Tiabendazol 60. The concentration of the solutions was checked by High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) before its use.

The postharvest treatments used in the packinghouse were applied as described in table 1.

**Table 1: Postharvest treatments applied to the fruit and methods of application in an industrial packinghouse.**

| Sample Id | Sampling Point | Postharvest Treatment | Concentrations of active Ingredients |
|-----------|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1         | None           | Citrocide® 0.6%       | 450 ppm IMZ + 600 ppm OPP           |
| 2         | After drenching A | Citrocide® 0.6% | 150 ppm PAA + 230 ppm H₂O₂ |
|           |                 | Fortisil® Ca 1.2%     | Calcium (CaO), Sodium (Na₂O) and phosphorus (P₂O₅) salts |
| 3         | After drenching B | Citrocide® 0.6%       | 450 ppm IMZ + 600 ppm OPP           |
|           |                 | Ortolci® 0.6%         | 600 ppm OPP                         |
|           |                 | Citrocide® Plus 0.1%  | 150 ppm PAA + 230 ppm H₂O₂         |
|           |                 | Fortisil® Ca 1.2%     | Calcium (CaO), Sodium (Na₂O) and phosphorus (P₂O₅) salts |
| 4         | After drenching A + washer | (drenching A) + Citrocide® PC 0.5% | (drenching A) + 250 ppm PAA + 1150 ppm H₂O₂ |
| 5         | After drenching A + washer + waxing | (drenching A + washer) + Citrosol Sunseal® UE IMAD 2 wax supplemented with Citotec 45 SC 1.1% + Fortisil 6500 1.25% | (drenching A + washer) + Citrosol Sunseal® UE IMAD 2 + 4950 ppm TBZ + 3575 ppm OPP |

The two different drenching treatments were applied after harvesting, and the washing and waxing treatments were applied one day afterwards in an industrial packingline. Fruit was sampled as stated.

Species identification was performed by amplification, partial Sanger sequencing and bioinformatic analysis by the company Darwin Bioprospecting (https://darwin-bioprospecting.com/; Valencia Spain).

For this, the purity of the cultures was assured by light microscopy and the genomic DNA of the isolates was extracted by heat shock following the methodology described by Pascual, et al. [5]. Subsequently, three molecular markers proposed by Bensch, et al. [2] for the accurate taxonomic identification of strains belonging to the genus *Cladosporium* were sequenced: (i) elongation factor 1α (EF-1α), (ii) actin (ACT), and (iii) ribosomal genes and their corresponding intergenic regions (ITS1-5S-ITS2-28S). Amplification and sequencing of the EF-1α marker was performed using the primer pair EF1-728F(5’-CATCGAGAAGAAGTTGCAGAAGAAGG-3’) and EF1986R (5’-ACTTGAAGAGGGAACCTTAC-3’), while for the actin gene the pair ACT-512F (5’-ATGGCA-AGGCCGGGTGGTCCGC-3’) and ACT-783R (5’-TACCTGCTCTTCTGTGCCCAT-3’) was used according to Carbone and Kohn [6]. The primers V9G (5’-TTACGTCCC-TGCCCTTTGTA-3’) following De Hoog and van den Ende [7] and LR5 (5’-ATCTGAGGAGGAACCTTACC-3’) as described by Vilgays and Hester [8] were used to amplify the region from the 3’ end of the 18S ribosomal gene, the first intergenic region ITS1, the 55 ribosomal gene, the second intergenic region ITS2 and approximately the first 900 bases of the 28S ribosomal gene. PCR conditions and thermal cycles used are reported in Bensch, et al. [2]. Amplification products were checked by electrophoresis on 1.2% (w/v) agarose gels. Subsequently, the microscopic spore morphology. The fungal load was calculated as cfu/gr (colony forming units/gram) for each fruit.

Identification of the pathogen genus was initially performed based on the macroscopic colony morphology and the microscopic spore morphology.
amplicons were sequenced using the Sanger methodology. The same forward and reverse primers used for amplification were used for sequencing. In the specific case of the ITS region, the internal primers ITS4 (5'- TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATATGC-3') and LROR (5'- GTACCCGCTGAACTTAAAGC3') were also used for the sequencing of the amplicon. Nucleotide sequences were edited with the UGENE program to remove low-quality regions and subsequently assembled [9]. Nucleotide and amino acid distances among strains were calculated as p-distances by applying the complete deletion parameter. Multiple alignments were performed using the ClustalW tool available in MEGA [10]. Taxonomic assignment was performed with the Blast tool using the nucleotide collection nr/nt database [11].

### In vivo infection

We assayed an *in vivo* infection with the two *C. ramotenellum* isolates recovered from affected fruit and identified by genomic sequencing (Isolates 1 and 2, Table 2).

For the *in vivo* infections W. Murcott mandarins harvested in a Valencia (Spain) area grove were used. Immediately after harvest, fruits were surface disinfected by dipping for 30 seconds in a 0.3% Citroicide® Plus (450 ppm PAA + 690 ppm H₂O₂) aqueous solution, then randomized and infected.

Two different inoculation methods were used. In the first method, a plastic rod with a 2-mm-long x 0.5-mm-wide steel tip was dipped into a spore suspension and used to make a single wound in each fruit. In the second method, mandarins were immersed in a spore suspension 1 minute.

For both methods, the spore suspension of each isolate was prepared at a concentration of 5 x 10⁵ spores/mL from 7 to 10 day old colonies grown on PDA. Conidia were harvested by adding a small amount of sterile distilled water with 0.05% Tween 20 to each plate and gently rubbing the sporulating mycelial mat with a sterile wire loop. The conidial concentration was adjusted by microscopic counting using a haemocytometer and confirmed by dilution plating. Each inoculation method was assayed twice with both isolates.

### Evaluation of fungicide resistance or sensitivity

To test the sensitivity of the isolates of *C. ramotenellum* to...
postharvest fungicides, we incubated a spore solution of $1 \times 10^4$ spores/mL of each isolate (Isolates 1 and 2, Table 2) in water supplemented with 400 ppm of each fungicide for 18 h. As a control, the isolates were incubated under the same conditions in water without fungicide. The fungicides tested were IMZ, OPP, PCL, PPZ, PYR, and TBZ. After incubation fungicide was removed from the incubation mix by centrifugation at 17000 g for 10 min of a 1mL sample, the pellet obtained was washed once with sterile water, and then resuspended in 1mL of sterile water. Afterwards, aliquots of 100μl were plated on triplicate on PDA petri dishes. Plates were incubated at 22 °C for 2 to 4 days, and the sensitivity/resistance to the corresponding fungicide was evaluated visually. This assay was performed twice with both isolates.

Postharvest treatments

To test the efficacy of selected postharvest treatments used in the packinghouse to eliminate the inoculum load on the fruit, thus limiting the infection by *Cladosporium* sp., an assay at industrial level was performed in a packinghouse in Chincha, Perú. Cv W. Murcott mandarins were collected in a field in Chincha and two different postharvest drenching treatments (Table 1) were applied immediately after harvesting. The additional treatments applied in the washer (drenching A + washer) and by waxing (drenching A + washer + waxing) were applied in an industrial packingline the following day. The *Cladosporium* sp. load on the surface of the fruit was evaluated in 10 fruits randomly selected at different points of the postharvest treatment process, using the method described above for green immature W. Murcott mandarins. Sampling points evaluated were: (1) field fruit without any treatment (as a control to check the basal fungal load); (2) after the drenching treatment A; (4) after the drencher treatment A and washing with Citrocide® PC 0.5% (drenching A + washer); (5) and after the drencher treatment A, the washing with Citrocide® PC 0.5% and the waxing process with Citrosol Sunseal® UE IMAD 2 (drenching A + washer + waxing). Additionally, we tested the effectiveness of another drenching treatment with a higher dose of OPP (3: drenching treatment B). The treatments applied in each point are described in table 1.

Statistical analysis

The assay to compare the effect of the different postharvest treatments on *Cladosporium* sp. inoculum load on the fruit surface was a one-factor design experiment subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using XLSTAT software (vs. 2019.1.1. Addinsoft Inc, NY, USA). Statistical significance was assessed at the level $p = 0.05$, and Fisher multiple range test was used to separate means.

Results and discussion

Pathogen isolation and identification

Seven isolates in pure culture were obtained from naturally infected W. Murcott mandarins. The fruit, showing the symptoms of the rot as described, and as showed in figure 1, were taken from two packinghouses in the Chincha region. After 7 to 10 days at 22 °C all the isolates grew on PDA as olivaceous to grey-olivaceous colonies due to extensive sporulation. The reverse of the colonies appeared almost black. Microscopically conidiophores of both isolates were pigmented and arose terminally from ascending hyphae. Conidia were slightly curved and globose in shape, pigmented and were produced in branching chains that easily broke apart.

The genetic analysis of the pure cultures of two representative isolates (Isolates 1 and 2) gave the sequences listed in table 2. The sequences obtained were identified by bioinformatics analysis by homology with sequences annotated in the nucleotide collection nr/nt database and revealed that the three sequences of both isolates shared 100 % identity with *C. ramotenellum*.

*Cladosporium ramotenellum* presence on immature field mandarins

*Cladosporium* sp. was recovered in all the analysed fruits taken from the field (fruits coming from a field in Chincha; Figure 1g,h). All the isolates had similar colony and microscopic appearance as the isolates of *C. ramotenellum* obtained from diseased fruit. Three of the field isolates were subjected to genetic identification (Isolates numbered 3 to 5). The sequences obtained are listed in table 3. Again, the analysis revealed that the three sequences of the isolates shared 100% identity with *C. ramotenellum*.

Fullfillment of Koch’s postulates

To check the ability of the two *C. ramotenellum* isolates recovered from affected fruit (Isolates 1 and 2, Table 2) to cause infection, two different *in vivo* infection models were tested. In both models the percentage of infection was low; 3% - 5% by wound infection, and 5% - 7% by immersion. First we used the wound inoculation method and since the percentage of fruit successfully infected was low we tried to inoculate by dipping the fruit in a spore suspension. The inoculated fruit developed the same symptoms that were present in the fruit diseased by natural infection, and, in both cases, we were able to recover both isolates in pure culture from the fruit diseased by *in vivo* inoculation. The recovered isolates after the infection had the same macroscopic colony characteristics and microscopic conidiophores and conidia morphology as the isolates inoculated.

These results -isolation, identification, and fulfilment of Koch’s postulates- establish that this pathogen is the causal agent for the disease described that took place in the Peruvian mandarin shipments in 2018, and again in 2020. The performed isolations and *in vivo* experiments show that *Cladosporium ramotenellum* is the causal pathogen of this...
Table 3: Sequences obtained for the isolates recovered from field fruit.

| Isolate | Gene | Sequence alignment |
|---------|------|--------------------|
| ACT     |      |                     |
| EF-1a   |      |                     |
| ITS1-5S-ITS2-28S |      |                     |
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Since we have established that *C. ramotenellum* was present on immature fruits in the field, the groves would be the site of the initial contamination of the fruit rind. However, although *Cladosporium* sp. is reported to be a pathogen for citrus fruit, its incidence is very low when one compares it to the prevalent *Penicillium* molds, and the stem end rots [3,12]. Additionally, since this pathogen requires injuries or weakened areas of the rind for its spores to penetrate [3], one wonders how this pathogen could cause such heavy losses. One hypothesis can be that being citrus fruit Chilling Injury (CI) sensitive, the time and temperatures required for the quarantines have caused the rind damage that has been the gate for the pathogen to penetrate and finally originate the disease. CI is dependent on the binomials time/temperature, usually more time at a lower temperature more damage [13] and in Florida, it is well known that grapefruit harvested late in the season is much more sensitive to CI [14]. The fact that late season, and quarantine shipments were more affected is in accordance with the hypothesis that CI damage to a rind contaminated with *C. ramotenellum* spores originated the decay.

Although *C. ramotenellum* was originally isolated from hypersaline water in Slovenia and belongs to the *herbarum* species complex [15], and recent studies have shown that it is a very common species found in diverse substrates being widely distributed [16,17], this is the first description of *Cladosporium ramotenellum* causing decay in citrus. The symptoms of this decay have similarity to the decay symptoms caused by *Cladosporium cladosporioides* described by Tashiro, et al. [1], so *Cladosporium ramotenellum* is another *Cladosporium* species associated with the described sooty spot disease. However, the name “sooty spot” may not be completely appropriate for this disease because resembles to “sooty mold”, a well-known citrus cosmetic problem that although is caused by the fungi *Capnodium citri*, *Cladosporium* sp., or *Meliola* sp. [18], can be easily cleaned out from the fruit in the postharvest washing since the affected fruits are not diseased. The similarity between the “sooty spot” and “sooty mold” denominations may bring some confusion to the fresh citrus industry.

**Fungicide resistance/sensitivity**

An evaluation of the resistance/sensitivity of the two isolates recovered from affected fruit (isolates 1 and 2, Table 2) to the most-commonly used fungicides applied postharvest on citrus was performed *in vitro*. The results of these qualitative tests are shown in figure 2. Both isolates were resistant, or mildly resistant, to IMZ, PYR, and TBZ, as an overnight incubation with a 400ppm solution with these fungicides

![Figure 2: Fungicide resistance/sensitivity of the *C. ramotenellum* isolates recovered from affected fruit. Images show representative PDA agar plates of isolates 1 and 2 grown on PDA after a 18 h incubation in a 400 ppm solution of the fungicide indicated above the image, or in sterile water (control). Images show one representative plate of the triplicates evaluated in each experiment.](https://www.heighpubs.org/jpsp)
did not reduce the survival of the isolates. However, the fitness of both isolates was severely impaired when they were incubated with PPZ, OPP, and PCL; some growth was still evident in the plates, but the number of colonies were very low when compared with the control, and the size of the colonies was significantly smaller.

Postharvest treatments reduce spore load and the incidence of the infection

We checked if some of the postharvest treatments applied in the packinghouse could be useful in reducing the *Cladosporium* sp. load in the fruit rind. To accurately simulate the fruit postharvest process, we carried out the assays in a packinghouse in the Chincha area (Perú), using its complete processing line, applying the treatments listed in table 1.

As shown in Figure 3, the drenching A treatment reduced by 93.3% (*p* - value < 0.0001) the presence of *Cladosporium* sp. in the rind. When a higher dose of OPP (1200 ppm of OPP in the drenching B treatment) was used in the drencher treatment the reduction was even bigger, a reduction of 97.8% (*p* - value < 0.0001) was achieved.

The postharvest treatments applied after the drenching, the washing performed also with PAA, and the waxing, reduced further the *Cladosporium* sp. load. After the drenching A treatment, the washing with PAA and the waxing, the reduction was almost complete, a 99.9% of reduction (*p* - value < 0.0001) (Figure 3). Additionally, as shown in table 4, after these treatments the presence of *Cladosporium* sp. was not detectable in some of the fruits analysed; in 50% of the fruits after the washing treatment, and in 80% of the fruits after waxing. These data are of paramount relevance since is difficult to reckon how this disease caused by a *Cladosporium* species can develop if no spore load of *Cladosporium* sp. is found in the fruit surface.

The treatments applied in this experiment were the more frequently used in Perú to control the usual citrus decay caused mainly by *P. digitatum* and *P. italicum*, but were supplemented with OPP and two broad spectrum peracetic acid (PAA) disinfectants (Citrocide® Plus in the drenching and Citrocide® PC in the washer). We supplemented the usual postharvest treatment with OPP and PAA because *C. ramotenellum* is sensitive to both OPP (Figure 2) and PAA (data not shown).

Industrial results of the postharvest treatments applied appeared to be good. Although the pathology didn’t appear in the 2019 season it did take place again in 2020, but only in some mandarin shipments from packinghouses that didn’t follow these treatments.

Although the contamination and colonization of the fruit by *Cladosporium* sp. occurs in the field, the treatments tested postharvest limit the extend of the contamination measured both in reduction of cfu/gr (Figure 3) and in reduction of the percentage of fruit contaminated (Table 4). If confirmed in following seasons that the treatments applied (Table 1) are successful in the control of this decay, this would be another manifestation of the importance of the spore load reduction on the fruit rind to control postharvest diseases. This relationship was clearly established and discussed at length by Eckert and Eaks [19] for *P. digitatum* and for other pathogens to citrus fruit.

**Conclusion**

In summary, we have described and identified for the first time a disease appearing in the long distance shipments of citrus mandarins cv W. Murcott, Nadorcott and Tango. Causal agent is *Cladosporium ramotenellum* being this proved by application of the Koch postulates. Additionally, we have determined how OPP, PCL and PPZ are effective in *vitro* in the control of this pathogen. Using this information, and since OPP is, from these three fungicides, the only one whose residues can be legally present on fresh citrus in almost any country of the world [20], we supplemented our usual postharvest treatments for the control of citrus decays with OPP and broad spectrum biocide PAA. The treatment program applied (Table 1) reduced *Cladosporium* sp. spore load in the fruit rind at least 3 log (Figure 3) and appeared to be successful in the control of the disease.
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