OBJECTIVE: The aim of this study was to quantify the interaction between increased intra-abdominal pressure and Positive-End Expiratory Pressure.

METHODS: In 30 mechanically ventilated ICU patients with a fixed tidal volume, respiratory system plateau and abdominal pressure were measured at a Positive-End Expiratory Pressure level of zero and 10 cm H₂O. The measurements were repeated after placing a 5 kg weight on the patients’ belly.

RESULTS: After the addition of 5 kg to the patients’ belly at zero Positive-End Expiratory Pressure, both intra-abdominal pressure (p<0.001) and plateau pressures (p=0.005) increased significantly. Increasing the Positive-End Expiratory Pressure levels from zero to 10 cm H₂O without weight on the belly did not result in any increase in intra-abdominal pressure (p=0.165). However, plateau pressures increased significantly (p< 0.001). Increasing Positive-End Expiratory Pressure from zero to 10 cm H₂O and adding 5 kg to the belly increased intra-abdominal pressure from 8.7 to 16.8 (p<0.001) and plateau pressure from 18.26 to 27.2 (p<0.001). Maintaining Positive-End Expiratory Pressure at 10 cmH₂O and placing 5 kg on the belly increased intra-abdominal pressure from 12.3 +/- 1.7 to 16.8 +/- 1.7 (p<0.001) but did not increase plateau pressure (26.6+/-1.2 to 27.2 +/-1.1 -p=0.83).

CONCLUSIONS: The addition of a 5kg weight onto the abdomen significantly increased both IAP and the airway plateau pressure, confirming that intra-abdominal hypertension elevates the plateau pressure. However, plateau pressure alone cannot be considered a good indicator for the detection of elevated intra-abdominal pressure in patients under mechanical ventilation using PEEP. In these patients, the intra-abdominal pressure must also be measured.
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INTRODUCTION

Respiratory system impairment caused by an increase in the intra-abdominal pressure >15 mmHg has been explored by multiple authors. It has become necessary to assess the behavior of the respiratory system in the presence of mild or even moderate degrees of intra-abdominal hypertension and its interaction with positive-end expiratory pressure (PEEP). It has been observed that mechanical ventilation in patients with elevated abdominal pressure is impaired by increased airway pressure, with important alterations in respiratory mechanics and gas exchange. Hence, many respiratory system measurement results, which were previously attributed to pulmonary conditions alone, may also be the result of alterations in the mechanics of the thoracic cage - of which intra-abdominal pressure alterations are the most important. Measurements of the mechanics of the respiratory system must be interpreted in the context of airway pulmonary parenchyma and thoracic cage mechanics. When adjusting the mechanical ventilator, especially for those with an increased respiratory system plateau pressure, the intra-abdominal conditions must be monitored in addition to the...
pulmonary parenchyma conditions. The clinical goal must be to identify conditions that could lead to an increase in intra-abdominal pressure and must be corrected to improve the patient’s prognosis.

The lung, thoracic cage, and the abdominal cavity comprise a closed system with the diaphragm as the connecting interface. When there is an increase in the abdominal and diaphragmatic pressure, the pleural pressure may be altered and a decrease in total lung capacity, pulmonary compliance, and pulmonary volume may follow.

The adjustments in the PEEP applied to the airways can also be transmitted to the abdomen, contributing to an increase in intra-abdominal pressure.5-6

A diagnosis of increased intra-abdominal pressure is rarely made in Intensive Care Units, which leads to poorer prognosis for these patients due to the lack of appropriate and timely interventions.1 To date, there is no consensus on the actual value that distinguishes normal and pathological pressure. It is known that the effects of increased abdominal pressure have implications on other organs systems, such as the cardiovascular system,6-8 the central nervous system,9 liver and visceral systems,10 and the renal system.11 In cases where the intra-abdominal pressure is increased above normal values, the term “intra-abdominal hypertension” (IAH) is used.5,12-14

Clinical examination of the abdomen or abdominal perimeter is not appropriate for calculating IAP.15 Measurement of intra-abdominal pressure through the measurement of intravesical pressure is considered to be the gold standard for the measurement of intra-abdominal pressure.16-19

Accurately measuring intra-abdominal pressure is extremely important in critically ill patients and in those under mechanical ventilation. Measurement values can alter patients’ prognoses by increasing mortality rates.12,16,20 Readings of above 20-25 mmHg may indicate the need for surgical abdominal decompression.21 Hence, we hypothesized that placing an external weight on the abdomen of critically ill patients would increase the intra-abdominal and airway plateau pressures and that these alterations in the PEEP, in addition to altering the increase in the airway plateau pressure, would also impact the increase in intra-abdominal pressure. We performed a study to quantify these alterations. Our aims were as follows:

- 1 - To observe the effects of placing a 5 kg weight on the abdomen on intra-abdominal and airway pressures
- 2 - To observe the effects of PEEP variation from 0 to 10 cm H\textsubscript{2}O on airway pressure and intra-abdominal pressure in mechanically ventilated patients admitted to an intensive care unit (ICU).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Thirty patients, who have been admitted to the Intensive Care Unit of the Intensive Care Unit of the Disciplines of Trauma Surgery and Medical Clinics of the Emergency Department of Instituto Central of Hospital das Clínicas - ICHC, were sedated and placed under mechanical ventilation and long-term vesical catheterization instituted during routine care of the patient at our facility. Family members and/or tutors agreed to the study protocol by signing a written Informed Consent Form, which was approved by the Ethics Committee for Research Project Analysis – CAPesq of the Clinical Board of Hospital of Clínicas and School of Medicine of the University of São Paulo, protocol #668.

The inclusion criteria were: patients who were sedated, exhibited stable hemodynamic mean arterial pressure (MAP) > 65 mmHg, were under invasive mechanical ventilation, had been catheterized in the urinary bladder during routine care at the hospital, were aged 18 or older, and had a family member or guardian who had signed an informed consent form.

In our study, we considered the following classifications for intra-abdominal pressure (IAP):

- Zero grade: IAP: 0-7 mmHg (0-9 cm H\textsubscript{2}O);
- Grade I: IAP: 8-11 mmHg (11-15 cm H\textsubscript{2}O), is an ambiguous condition where there is the possibility of developing intra-abdominal hypertension (IAH);
- Grade II: IAP: 12-15 mmHg (16.3-20.4 cm H\textsubscript{2}O): moderate IAH;
- Grade III: IAP: 16-20 mmHg (22-27 cm H\textsubscript{2}O): severe IAH;
- Grade IV: IAP: 21-25 mmHg (28.5-34 cm H\textsubscript{2}O): moderate abdominal compartment syndrome (ACS);
- Grade V: IAP > 25 mmHg (34 cm H\textsubscript{2}O): severe abdominal compartment syndrome (ACS).12-14

We excluded patients with hemodynamic instability, elevated intra-cranial pressure, pulmonary diseases such as acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), which causes alveolar instability and requires special attention, PEEP higher than 10 cm H\textsubscript{2}O, unstable thorax, drained or non-drained pneumothorax, congestive cardiac failure, or disease that did not allow for the variation of PEEP from 0 to 10 cm H\textsubscript{2}O. Additionally, we excluded patients who had undergone abdominal or thoracic surgeries, had unresolved abdominal or thoracic trauma, those who presented a “Bogotá bag” abdomen or who had other abdominal pain that contraindicated the placement of weight on the abdomen.

After this selection, the parameters of each patient’s ventilator were recorded and vital signs were observed through ICU monitors, pulse oximeters, cardiac monitors, and noninvasive arterial pressure measurements. All patients
were ventilated with a constant current volume according to the standard protocol used at our facility for the measurement of respiratory plateau pressure and intra-abdominal pressure in cm H\textsubscript{2}O. As soon as the measurements were recorded, the initial parameters of the ventilator were restored. In order to measure intra-abdominal pressure we used the original method developed by Kron\textsuperscript{22} as our reference. We used cm H\textsubscript{2}O as our preferred unit (1 mmHg = 1.36 cm H\textsubscript{2}O). We also measured the plateau pressure with PEEP = 0 cm H\textsubscript{2}O and again with PEEP = 10 cm H\textsubscript{2}O with no weight on the abdomen after a stabilization period of 5 minutes. Our measurements of intra-abdominal pressure and respiratory system plateau were then repeated with a 5kg weight placed on the patient’s abdomen. The intra-abdominal and plateau pressures were measured across all four phases of the protocol (Figure 1).

To obtain the respiratory system plateau pressure, the parameters of the mechanical ventilator were adjusted to match a tidal volume of 10 mL/kg (ideal body weight), a 2-sec pause, respiratory rate of 10 rpm, flow of 60L/min, square wave, and a FiO\textsubscript{2} value sufficient to maintain SatO\textsubscript{2} > 90\%. The patient’s weight was obtained from the ideal weight calculated using the formula 50 + 0.91 x (height – 152.4) for men and 45.05 + 0.91 x (height – 152.4) for women. Plateau pressure was obtained with a PEEP of zero and a PEEP of 10 cm H\textsubscript{2}O, with and without the 5kg weight on the abdomen, consistent with the four phases of the protocol.

Deriving the intra-abdominal pressure by measuring the intra-vesical pressure is an indirect approach. The measurement uses a sterile deactivated system at the patient’s bedside - measurements are taken using the water column technique\textsuperscript{10} and a vesical catheter. We used the original method developed by Kron, with the patient in the dorsal decubitus position. We set the system equal to zero on the pubic symphysis. We used central venous pressure (CVP) equipment connected to a 1,000 mL 0.9% saline solution bag, two taps, and a 60 mL Luer-Lok syringe. An 18-gauge needle was placed at the distal end of the equipment. The needle was inserted into the end of a Fowley catheter during culture collection and was promptly removed after the measurement. The Fowley catheter was clamped at its distal end for liquid outflow into the diuresis collector. The system was then filled with saline solution and was set to zero at the level of the pubic symphysis with a ruler that was used to measure central venous pressure. The taps were turned off for the patient and water column. 50 mL of saline solution was subsequently aspirated from the 1,000 mL bag. The first tap was turned on and 50 mL of the saline solution was infused into the patient’s bladder through the vesical catheter. The taps were turned off both at the syringe and for the saline solution bag.

After registering the initial and actual values of each patient consistent with the protocol, these measurements were repeated at different PEEP pressures (0 and 10 cm H\textsubscript{2}O) and abdominal weights (0 and 5 kg). Before each measurement, a 5-minute interval was allowed for the patient to stabilize and acclimate to the new condition. Measurements for each phase took no more than 7 minutes. The weight consisted of a 5kg bag with a surface that measured 35 X 27 cm (an area of 945 cm\textsuperscript{2}) with the objective of maintaining a consistent area of abdominal compression and elevating the intra-abdominal pressure (Figure 2).

After data collection, the individuals were readapted to the initial ventilation parameters and hemodynamic conditions, and their other vital signs were checked. The nurse in charge of the patient was advised to subtract the 50 mL volume of infused saline solution from the patient’s urinary output. In case of any instability during data collection, the procedure...
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was interrupted and the patient was excluded from the protocol. However, such an instability did not occur for any of the patients. The results presented in this study are expressed in terms of means and standard deviations.

To compare the four protocol phases, ANOVA (analysis of variance) was utilized for repeated measurements and Bonferroni’s correction was used for multiple comparisons (Software: SPSS, version 11). Statistical significance was set to \( p<0.05 \).

RESULTS

Thirty patients (26 males, 4 females) were enrolled in the study. Patients had a mean age of 47.30 ± 23.46 (18-92) years, mean height of 1.73±0.1m, and exhibited comorbidities including pulmonary contusion (n=4), blunt abdominal trauma (n=4), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) (n=3), cranial-encephalic trauma (n=3), firearm wound (n=2), septic shock (n=2), bronchopneumonia (n=1), aspiration pneumonia (n=1), cholecystectomy (n=1), thoracoplasty (n=1), gastrectomy (n=1), splenectomy (n=1), stroke (n=1), esophagectomy (n=1), high digestive hemorrhage (n=1), and appendicectomy (n=1).

Phase I of the protocol: Measurements of the abdominal and airway pressures showed that the patients presented normal mean IAP values of 8.70 ± 4.48 cm H\(_2\)O and that 10% of the patients met the criteria for intra-abdominal hypertension. None of the patients exhibited a respiratory plateau pressure of above 35 cm H\(_2\)O. The mean Plateau pressure was 18.27 ± 6.12 cm H\(_2\)O (Tables 1 and 2).

When the Phase II measurements were performed after placing the 5kg weight on the patients’ abdomen and maintaining the airways at zero PEEP, the intra-abdominal pressure in all patients increased significantly from 8.70±4.48 to 14.33±4.82 (\( p < 0.001 \)), reaching mild intra-abdominal hypertension. This IAP elevation influenced the plateau pressure, which increased significantly from 18.27±6.12 to 20.00 ± 6.57 (\( p= 0.005 \)).

In phase III, during which PEEP was raised from 0 to 10 cmH\(_2\)O without the abdominal weight, the intra-abdominal pressure did not show a statistically significant increase, going from 8.70±4.48 to 12.30 ± 9.62 cmH\(_2\)O (\( p=0.165 \)), whereas the plateau pressure increased significantly, from 18.30 ± 6.12 to 26.60 ± 6.45 cmH\(_2\)O (\( p< 0.001 \)).

When shifting from Phase II to Phase III by increasing PEEP from 0 to 10 cmH\(_2\)O and removing the abdominal weight, the decrease in the intra-abdominal pressure

| Table 1 - Intra-abdominal and plateau pressures for each phase of the protocol |
|--------------------------------------|
| **INTRA-ABDOMINAL PRESSURE (cmH\(_2\)O)** | **PLATEAU PRESSURE (cmH\(_2\)O)** |
| PEEP 0 0 10 10 0 0 10 10 | |
| WEIGHT 0 5 0 0 5 0 5 0 5 | |
| PHASE I II III IV I II III IV | |
| MEAN 8.70 14.33 12.25 16.83 18.27 20.00 26.57 27.20 | |
| SD 4.48 4.90 9.62 9.51 6.12 6.57 6.45 6.04 | |
was not statistically significant (Figure 2), going from 14.33±4.90 to 12.25±9.62 \((p=1.00)\). However, the plateau pressure increased significantly, from 20.00±6.57 cmH\(_2\)O to 26.57±6.45 cmH\(_2\)O \((p<0.001)\). We kept the 5 kg weight on the patients’ abdomen and increased PEEP from 0 to 10 cmH\(_2\)O to move from phase II to phase IV. During this time (Figure 2) there was no significant increase in intra-abdominal pressure, from 14.33±4.90 to 16.83±9.51 cmH\(_2\)O \((p=0.47)\). However, the plateau pressure increased significantly with PEEP going from 20.00±6.57 to 27.20±6.04 cmH\(_2\)O \((p<0.001)\).

Finally, when moving from phase III to phase IV by placing a 5 kg weight on the abdomen of the patients associated with a PEEP of 10 cmH\(_2\)O (Figure 2), we observed significantly increased intra-abdominal pressure (moving from 12.30±9.62 in the initial phase to 16.83±9.51 cmH\(_2\)O \((p<0.001)\)). However, the plateau pressure showed a statistically non significant increase, going from 26.60±6.45 cmH\(_2\)O to 27.20±6.04 cmH\(_2\)O \((p=0.83)\).

**DISCUSSION**

The aim of the present study was to verify the association between the intra-abdominal pressure, which is influenced by many factors, such as for instance hemodialysis,\(^{23}\) and the airway plateau pressure in mechanically ventilated ICU patients with different PEEP values and weights on the abdomen to simulate intra-abdominal hypertension. We simulated increasing IAP by placing a weight varying from 0 to 5 kg on the patients’ abdomen, which elevated IAP means from the normal Grade to values that reached Grade III.

At the initial conditions, with a volume control of 10 mL/kg without PEEP and at a respiratory frequency of 10 rpm, we observed that 10% of the patients (3 out of 30) presented an IAP> 15.5 cm H\(_2\)O. This value is characteristic of intra-abdominal hypertension; none of our patients presented a diagnosis of abdominal compartment syndrome. After the 5 kg weight had been placed on the abdomens of our patients, we observed a significant increase in intra-abdominal pressure and in the plateau pressure. This was used to simulate situations that can occur in ICU patients, such as gastric or abdominal distension, urinary retention, or the effects of compression bandages.\(^{15}\)

Along with IAH, it is common to observe an increase in the intra-thoracic and pleural pressure, leading to the formation of atelectasia and edema as well as decreasing the functional residual capacity. Ventilated patients may also present conditions such as auto-PEEP, increasing airway pressure, barotraumas, decreases in respiratory system compliance, hypoxia, hypercapnia, and infection risk, all of which can hinder mechanical ventilation and weaning in such patients.\(^{22,26}\)

Patients under mechanical ventilation, with a PEEP of 10 cm H\(_2\)O, and with increased IAP following the placement of a 5-kg weight on the abdomen, showed a significant increase in intra-abdominal pressure, although the plateau pressure did not increase significantly. Thus, we suggest that it is advisable to measure the intra-vesical pressure in mechanically ventilated ICU patients. We argue that this would allow for early detection of intra-abdominal hypertension and prophylaxis of its complications, such as intracranial pressure and multiple organ and system dysfunction.\(^{16}\) In patients admitted to the ICU, it is important to verify the presence of gastric distension, inadvertent urinary retention, signs and symptoms of mesenteric ischemia, liver and pancreas dysfunction and ascites, as well as to monitor the volume of intra-cavitary infusion in patients undergoing peritoneal dialysis.\(^{31,26-29}\) Compression bandaging on the abdomen must be avoided, gastric and vesical emptying are critical, and computer tomography CT / angio-resonance of the abdomen is needed in cases of suspected intra-abdominal disease. If possible, intra-vesical pressure should be measured during the patient’s stay in the ICU. In cases where intra-abdominal pressures exceed 20-25 mmHg, surgical decompression of the abdomen becomes necessary. After surgical decompression, an improvement in the PaO\(_2\)/FIO\(_2\) ratio as well as in pulmonary volume will often be observed.\(^{16}\)

The present study showed that when PEEP was augmented from 0 to 10 cm H\(_2\)O with no weight on the abdomen, patients exhibited a significant increase in airway plateau pressure and a non-significant increase in the intra-vesical pressure. However, 10% of our patients (3 of 30) presented an intra-abdominal pressure of more than 15 cm H\(_2\)O after PEEP, typical of an intra-abdominal hypertension condition with potential clinical complications.

Thus, every time the respiratory system is pressurized, it is necessary to check the vesical pressure and, if necessary, readjust the mechanical ventilator pressures. When adjusting the mechanical ventilator, one must take into account whether the patient is under controlled or assisted ventilation, as the ventilatory mode directly interferes with

---

**Table 2 - Intra-abdominal pressure grade at the beginning of the protocol**

| IAP (cmH\(_2\)O) | IAP grade | Total (n=30) |
|------------------|-----------|-------------|
| 0 - 10           | Normal    | 21 (70%)    |
| 10.5 - 15        | Intermediary | 6 (20%)   |
| 15.5 - 21        | IAH       | 3 (10%)     |

IAP: intra-abdominal pressure, IAH: intra-abdominal hypertension.
the intra-thoracic and intra-abdominal pressure levels. The use of assisted ventilation makes the patient contract their diaphragm musculature, thereby decreasing the pleural pressure, whereas controlled ventilation makes the pleural and abdominal pressure go positive.\textsuperscript{16,29,30}

In several cases in our study, intra-abdominal hypertension was caused by the 5kg weight placed on patients’ abdomen. In these instances, when a 10 cm H\textsubscript{2}O PEEP was added the increase in intra-abdominal pressure was not statistically significant. However, in two of these patients, the IAP was greater than 15 cm H\textsubscript{2}O, suggesting aggravated intra-abdominal pressure. Thus, we recommend that patients in ICUs under mechanical ventilation be monitored to measure IAP and airway pressure whenever the parameters of the mechanical ventilator are adjusted. The goal should be to optimize ventilatory support and to avoid elevated intra-abdominal pressure and potential associated deleterious effects.

Many studies have recently emphasized that the occurrence of intra-abdominal hypertension in the ICU leads to a decrease in blood flow to the intra-abdominal organs, resulting in tissue hypoxia, interstitial edema, and multiple organ failure, and increasing the morbidity and mortality of critically ill patients.\textsuperscript{23,25,31,32} The renal system also suffers with the elevation of the intra-abdominal pressure due to decreased renal blood flow, glomerular filtration, and urinary output, which may lead to increased renal vascular resistance as a consequence of mechanical compression.\textsuperscript{27} Hemodialysis can significantly reduce intra-abdominal pressure and may also cause a loss of blood volume.\textsuperscript{29,33}

Hence, we recommend that all mechanically ventilated patients should have their hourly diuresis rates carefully monitored. We recommend regular assessment of renal function. In case of oliguria that is non-responsive to volemic replacement, the possibility of the presence of intra-abdominal hypertension must be taken into account and intra-vesical pressure must be monitored. In positive cases, the possible cause of intra-vesical pressure increments must be investigated and an immediate attempt must be made to decrease the airway pressure, if possible, as a means of improving the renal response.

The respiratory dysfunctions that result from increased intra-abdominal pressure may include the onset of atelectasia, a decrease in functional residual capacity, as well as a respiratory system pressure-volume curve shift and a lowering of the inflexion point.\textsuperscript{16,26,27,34} In the present study, we used volume control ventilation of 10 mL/kg in order to verify the alterations in the plateau pressure and intra-vesical pressure without the influence of possible variations in pulmonary and thoracic cage compliance due to variations in the instantaneous volume. Thus, situations that alter pulmonary and/or thoracic cage elasticity will interfere with the measurement of airway plateau pressure.

The monitoring of mechanically ventilated ICU patients must include intermittent or continuous measurement of intra-abdominal pressure. The goal should be to maintain intra-abdominal pressures that are safe for patients, thereby preventing the occurrence of multiple organ dysfunction due to a non-detected increase in intra-abdominal pressure.\textsuperscript{16}

The concomitant monitoring of airway plateau pressure and intra-abdominal pressure guarantees that the hemodynamic and ventilatory supports will be optimized. This in turn may lead to a decrease in morbidity/mortality for this population of critically-ill patients.\textsuperscript{26,27,31,32,35,36}

CONCLUSIONS

The placement of a 5kg weight on the abdomen significantly increased the intra-abdominal pressure and the airway plateau pressure, confirming that intra-abdominal hypertension elevates the plateau pressure. A PEEP of 10cm H\textsubscript{2}O did not significantly increase the intra-abdominal pressure when its values were < 15 cm H\textsubscript{2}O. The placement of a 5kg weight on the abdomen concomitant with a PEEP of 10 cmH\textsubscript{2}O under mechanical ventilation increased the risk of intra-abdominal hypertension in only 36.6% of the patients. When a 5kg weight was placed on the abdomen of patients under mechanical ventilation with a PEEP of 10cm H\textsubscript{2}O, there was a significant increase in the intra-abdominal pressure but no corresponding increase in airway plateau pressure. Consequently, we suggest that the plateau pressure alone cannot be considered a good indicator for the detection of elevated intra-abdominal pressure in patients under mechanical ventilation using PEEP. In these patients, we must measure the intra-abdominal pressure as well.
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