Grasses of the subfamily Pooidae, including important cereal crops and pasture grasses, are widespread in temperate zones. Seasonal regulation of developmental transitions coordinates the life cycles of Pooidae with the passing seasons so that flowering and seed production coincide with favorable conditions in spring. This review examines the molecular pathways that control the seasonal flowering responses of Pooidae and how variation in the activity of genes controlling these pathways can adapt cereals or grasses to different climates and geographical regions. The possible evolutionary origins of the seasonal flowering responses of the Pooidae are discussed and key questions for future research highlighted. These include the need to develop a better understanding of the molecular basis for seasonal flowering in perennial Pooidae, and in temperate grasses outside the core Pooidae group.
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**OVERVIEW**

Grasses from the Pooidae subfamily, family Poaceae, occur widely in temperate regions (Hartley, 1973). In addition to being important ecologically this group includes economically important pasture grasses and cereal crops, as well as invasive species and weeds. Adaptations that allow Pooid grasses to survive the seasonal extremes of temperate climates are of interest since these are central to the success of this sub-family across temperate zones. Such adaptations are also important to agriculture and are critical to the success of temperate cereal crops including wheat, barley, oats, and rye.

A key factor underlying the adaptation of grasses to temperate climates is timing. Timing biological events to occur during specific seasons is the basis for stress avoidance strategies. For example, delaying flowering until after winter allows many grasses to avoid frost damage to cold sensitive reproductive organs (see Fowler et al., 1996). Alternatively, activation of tolerance mechanisms at particular times of year can prime plant physiology for predictably harsh seasonal conditions before these occur. An example of seasonally timed tolerance is the cold acclimation response, where freezing tolerance increases during autumn to maximize chances of winter survival (Thomashow, 2010).

The study of the seasonal timing of biological phenomena is known as phenology. The broad topic of this review is the contribution of phenology to the success of Pooidae in temperate climates. We will highlight how different seasonal cues can adjust the developmental program of grasses to match seasonal cycles, using temperate cereals as examples, and then examine how naturally occurring variation in these responses drives adaptation to different geographical regions and climates. Then we will discuss how the molecular pathways controlling the seasonal flowering responses of temperate grasses might have evolved.

**DEVELOPMENTAL PHASES OF TEMPERATE CEREALS AND GRASSES**

The developmental phases of temperate cereals and related temperate grasses can be divided into two broad stages: vegetative and reproductive. During the vegetative phase the shoot apex produces only leaf primordia. Then, when the transition to reproductive growth occurs, inflorescence primordia develop above leaf primordia at the apex. This stage is referred to as inflorescence initiation (Evans, 1964). Subsequently the inflorescence primordia develop into lateral inflorescence branches, called spikelets, whereas the development of the leaf primordia ceases (Figure 1). As inflorescence development proceeds floral primordia appear on the developing spikelets and these develop into flowers, referred to as florets (see Barnard, 1964). Various arrangements of spikelet number, branch length, and floret number exist, giving rise to diverse inflorescence shapes, from compact spikes (e.g., wheat and barley) to panicles (e.g., oats). Internodes beneath the developing inflorescence elongate to form the stem, carrying the inflorescence upwards inside a sheath of leaves. The inflorescence emerges from the leaf sheath shortly before anthesis. In annual grasses the transition from vegetative to reproductive development occurs once. The situation for perennial grasses is more complex and will be discussed later.

**SEASONAL REGULATION OF DEVELOPMENT PHASES IN TEMPERATE GRASSES**

The different developmental phases of temperate grasses (limited to Pooid grasses in this review) are timed to optimally coordinate the plant life cycle with the changing seasons. This timing is achieved through the influence of seasonal changes in temperature and daylength on specific points in the developmental program (Figure 1). Initially there is a delay of inflorescence initiation prior to winter. This delay is removed when plants over-winter,
allowing inflorescence initiation to occur. Subsequently, increasing daylength and warmer temperatures accelerate development of the inflorescence in spring (Purvis, 1934; Evans, 1964). The overall combination of these seasonal developmental responses ensures that plants remain vegetative before winter, avoiding frost damage to developing reproductive organs, but then flower rapidly in spring, to allow flowering and seed production before the onset of heat and water limitation in summer.

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN DEVELOPMENTAL PHASES AND OTHER TRAITS

Seasonal timing of developmental phases influences other traits. Sometimes this is simply a matter of timing. For example, if there is limited water at the end of the growing season the timing of flowering (heading date) will influence grain yield of cereal crops because early flowering lines can produce grain before water becomes limiting whereas late flowering lines encounter drought stress, which reduces yield. There are many field studies that demonstrate indirect effects caused by the timing of flowering (Kuchel et al., 2007, for example). In other instances there is a direct relationship between development and other traits. The best understood example is the relationship between flowering and frost tolerance in wheat and barley. During the vegetative growth phase wheat and barley plants can acclimate to cold if exposed to non-freezing low temperatures for a period of weeks and will subsequently survive extreme winter conditions. This capacity to acclimate to cold decreases as plants develop toward flowering (Fowler et al., 2001; Limin and Fowler, 2006). Thus, seasonal regulation of development coordinates the potential for cold acclimation with seasonal conditions; vegetative plants can acclimate to cold during autumn and winter, but this capacity is lost as plants begin to flower in spring, when there is less risk of frost. The influence of developmental phases on the seasonal activation of abiotic and biotic stress tolerances is an important component of the adaptation of grasses to temperate climates.

MOLECULAR PATHWAYS CONTROLLING SEASONAL FLOWERING RESPONSES IN Arabidopsis

Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) is adapted to temperate climates and, like temperate grasses, flowers in response to vernalization (the prolonged cold of winter) and long days (see Amasino, 2010). Arabidopsis provides a useful guide to the types of genes/proteins that regulate flowering and, although there are important differences, the molecular mechanisms controlling seasonal flowering responses of grasses can be viewed as variations of those that also occur in Arabidopsis.

Seasonal flowering of Arabidopsis is controlled through regulation of the floral transition, the point when the floral primordia appear at the shoot apex and flowering begins. In winter annual Arabidopsis ecotypes the floral transition is delayed until plants experience vernalization. This delay is caused by FLOWERING LOCUS C (FLC), which encodes a MADS box transcription factor that represses flowering (Michaels and Amasino, 1999; Sheldon et al., 1999). FLC is expressed at high basal levels prior to vernalization (Michaels and Amasino, 1999; Sheldon et al., 1999). Prolonged exposure to cold represses transcription of FLC, through mechanisms that act at the chromatin of the FLC gene to limit transcription. For example, tri-methylation of histone-3-lysine 27 (H3K27Me3) in nucleosomes at the FLC locus is required to maintain repression of FLC in vernalized plants (Bastow et al., 2004; Schubert et al., 2006; Finnegan and Dennis, 2007). Stable repression of FLC after vernalization provides a molecular memory of winter. Genetic variation in FLC activity, either due to mutations in the FLC gene itself or in regulators of FLC expression, can reduce or eliminate the ancestral vernalization requirement of Arabidopsis and adapt ecotypes to different climates (see Amasino, 2010).

Long days accelerate the floral transition of Arabidopsis. The long-day flowering response depends on an internal timekeeping mechanism, the circadian clock, which activates expression of CONSTANS (CO) in the late afternoon (Suarez-Lopez et al., 2001). The CO protein is stable in light (Valverde et al., 2004). Thus, when days are long CO expression coincides with light and the protein is active. This activates transcription of FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT) in the leaves. FT encodes a phosphatidyl-ethanolamine binding protein (PEBP) that is translocated through the phloem from the leaves to the shoot apex (Kardailsky et al., 1999; Kobayashi et al., 1999; Corbesier et al., 2007). At the shoot apex the FT protein interacts with FLOWERING LOCUS D, a bZIP transcription factor that maintains repression of FLC in the shoot.
factor (Abe et al., 2005; Wigge et al., 2005). The resulting complex activates transcription of genes that promote floral development, including API, thus triggering the floral transition. Activation of FT by CO is likely to be mediated through interactions with nuclear factor Y proteins (NF-Y) – also known as heme activator proteins (HAP) or CCAAT binding factors (referred to hereafter as NF-Y proteins; Ben-Naim et al., 2006; Wenkel et al., 2006). These are components of a conserved eukaryotic transcriptional activation complex. Interactions between CO and NF-Y proteins are mediated by the CCT domain; a protein domain first identified in CO, CO-like and TIMING OF CAB1 EXPRESSION1 proteins (Wenkel et al., 2006). Long-day activation of FT is suppressed in Arabidopsis plants that have not been vernalized (Lee et al., 2000; Michaels et al., 2005). This is due to direct repression of by FLC, which binds to the intron of the FT gene (Helliwell et al., 2006).

High temperatures (>25°C) can activate expression of FT in short days and accelerate the floral transition (Blázquez et al., 2003; Balasubramanian et al., 2006; Turck et al., 2008). Elevated temperatures during the night activate FT expression. Activation of FT by high-temperatures is largely independent of CO and instead PHYTOCHROME-INTERACTING FACTORS (PIF4 and PIF5) are required (Kumar et al., 2012; Thines et al., 2014). The FLC-like gene FLOWERING LOCUS M1 (FLM1) has also been implicated in the regulation of the high-temperature flowering response of Arabidopsis (Balasubramanian et al., 2006). This gene is differentially spliced in a temperature dependent manner, producing a splice variant that represses flowering at lower temperatures (Lee et al., 2013; Posé et al., 2013).

As outlined in the next section, many of the classes of genes/proteins that regulate seasonal flowering responses in Arabidopsis also play important roles in regulating reproductive development in cereals. There are, however, few direct overlaps between Arabidopsis and cereals, with fundamental differences in the physiology of seasonal flowering responses and in the underlying molecular networks.

MOLECULAR NETWORKS CONTROLLING SEASONAL FLOWERING RESPONSES IN TEMPERATE CEREALS

There exists considerable diversity in seasonal flowering responses amongst temperate cereals. Some lack the requirement for vernalization, others are daylength insensitive. For the purpose of this review we will consider the presence of a vernalization requirement combined with daylength sensitivity as being the archetypal flowering behavior of temperate cereals. This view is supported by molecular evidence (see subsequent sections) that reduced vernalization requirement and reduced daylength sensitivity are acquired states that have arisen on multiple occasions through mutation.

THE VERNALIZATION REQUIREMENT DELAYS INFLORESCENCE INITIATION BEFORE WINTER

A core feature of the flowering behavior of temperate cereals and related grasses is the delay of inflorescence initiation before winter; the vernalization requirement. For example, many wheats and barleys will grow vegetatively for extended periods and will not flower without overwintering. Genetic studies of wheat and barley have identified the VRN2 locus as a key gene controlling vernalization requirement in the cereals (Takahashi and Yasuda, 1971). Duplicated zinc finger-CCT domain proteins are found at the VRN2 locus (Yan et al., 2004a). Some accessions of barley and diploid wheats lack a functional copy of VRN2 due to loss-of-function mutations in the VRN2 coding sequence or due to naturally occurring deletions of the entire VRN2 locus (Yan et al., 2004a; Dubcovsky et al., 2005). These accessions can undergo rapid inflorescence initiation without vernalization. This only occurs in long days, however, where rapid flowering is associated with elevated expression FT-like 1 (FT1), the functional equivalent of FT in cereals (Karsai et al., 2005; Turner et al., 2005; Hemming et al., 2008). This suggests that the normal role for VRN2 is to block long-day induction of FT1. Consistent with this hypothesis, transcription of VRN2 occurs in long days (12 h light or longer) and constitutive expression of VRN2 down-regulates FT1 (Treviskas et al., 2006; Hemming et al., 2008). The VRN2 protein is distantly related to CO and, like CO, can interact with NF-Y proteins via a CCT domain (Li et al., 2011). Binding of VRN2 to NF-Y proteins might inhibit transcription of FT1 by blocking binding of other CCT domain proteins that normally activate transcription of FT1, such as the cereal CO homologs.

SHORT DAYS ALLOW INFLORESCENCE INITIATION

Cereal varieties that are unable to flower without vernalization when grown in long days can flower in short days, suggesting that inhibition of inflorescence initiation is weaker in short days (Evans, 1987). This is also evidenced by “short-day vernalization,” where plants grown in short days for several weeks will flower when shifted to long-days (Purvis and Gregory, 1937). Low-levels of VRN2 expression in short-days might allow inflorescence initiation in short-days (Dubcovsky et al., 2006; Turner et al., 2013). This is unlikely to occur through FT1, which is expressed mainly in long days. Another FT-like gene (FT3) might be important. FT3 is normally expressed in short but not long days, and loss-of-function mutations in FT3 delay flowering primarily in short days (Faure et al., 2007; Kikuchi et al., 2009). Interestingly, FT3 is expressed at high levels in long days in lines that lack VRN2. So daylength specificity of FT3 expression might be mediated by VRN2 (Casao et al., 2011). It is not known whether loss-of-function mutations in FT3 block the short-day vernalization effect. The mechanisms controlling inflorescence initiation in short-days are an interesting area for future research.

THE PROLONGED COLD OF WINTER PROMOTES INFLORESCENCE INITIATION

Prolonged exposure to low-temperatures (vernalization) promotes inflorescence initiation (Choura, 1960). Vernalization can be applied to imbibed seeds or to actively growing plants and is effective irrespective of daylength, even in total darkness (Gassner, 1918; Gott et al., 1955). The effect of vernalization on plant development can be separated from the actual low-temperature treatment. For example, vernalization of imbibed seeds promotes rapid inflorescence initiation when plants are subsequently shifted to normal glasshouse conditions (Sasani et al., 2009, for example). Thus, there is a memory of prolonged cold treatment. Typically temperatures between 0 and 10°C are effective for vernalization.
and the effect of cold is quantitative, with longer cold treatments causing more rapid inflorescence initiation until a point when further cold causes no further reduction in the time taken to flower; the vernalization saturation point (Gassner, 1918; Gott et al., 1955).

The promotion of inflorescence initiation by vernalization is stronger than the effect of short days. For example, in experiments performed by Allard et al. (2012) wheat plants exposed to prolonged low-temperatures (5°C for 30 days) flowered with 8 leaves (primary tiller). Typically there are four to five leaf primordia present at the time of germination and these develop into leaves irrespective of conditions during subsequent growth, so a final leaf number of 8 is indicative of a rapid progression toward flowering. In comparison, plants grown without vernalization in long days flowered with 16 leaves. Plants grown in short days for 3–10 weeks then shifted to long days flowered with 12 leaves, showing that short days can promote inflorescence initiation, though the effect is weaker than that of prolonged cold (12 leaves following short day treatment versus 8 leaves after vernalization). At a broader level the effect of prolonged cold (vernalization sensu stricto) is profoundly different to that of short-day treatment because vernalization at low temperatures slows growth. This is particularly evident with vernalization of imbibed seeds where plants can emerge from prolonged cold treatment with only one or two expanded leaves. In comparison plants exposed to several weeks of short days will have many leaves on the main stem and also secondary tillers. Thus, the term “short-day vernalization” should be interpreted with caution, as noted by Evans (1987) who preferred the term “short-day induction.”

The central gene controlling vernalization in cereals is VERNALIZATION1 (VRN1), a MADS box transcription factor gene related to the AP1/FRUITFULL genes of Arabidopsis (Danyluk et al., 2003; Trevaskis et al., 2003; Yan et al., 2003; Trevaskis, 2010). VRN1 promotes inflorescence initiation but is expressed at low levels prior to vernalization and this limits the rate of progression toward inflorescence initiation (Danyluk et al., 2003; Murai et al., 2003; Trevaskis et al., 2003; Yan et al., 2003). Exposure to low temperatures induces transcription of VRN1 (Danyluk et al., 2003; Murai et al., 2003; Trevaskis et al., 2003; Yan et al., 2003). This begins rapidly with the onset of cold, within 12 h, but initial expression is weak and several weeks of cold are required to elevate VRN1 transcript levels to a level that promotes rapid inflorescence initiation (Sasani et al., 2009; Oliver et al., 2013). There is a strong relationship between the length of cold experienced, VRN1 expression levels, and the degree to which inflorescence initiation is accelerated post-vernalization (Sasani et al., 2009). These observations are all consistent with a model where stable induction of VRN1 provides a quantitative memory of vernalization (Trevaskis et al., 2007; Trevaskis, 2010). Indeed, transcriptome analyses show that VRN1 is one of a limited number of genes that show lasting changes in expression levels in response to seed vernalization (Greenup et al., 2011). Low temperatures induce changes in histone modification of nucleosomes associated with VRN1, which might provide a mechanism for stable activation of this gene (Oliver et al., 2009, 2013).

Mutations that disrupt VRN1 function greatly reduce the impact of vernalization, supporting a central role for VRN1 in the vernalization response (Chen and Dubcovsky, 2012). Conversely, naturally occurring mutations in the promoter or large insertions/deletions in the first intron of VRN1 are associated with elevated basal transcription and cause rapid flowering without vernalization (Yan et al., 2004b; Fu et al., 2005). These mutations have been used to breed and select cereal cultivars with reduced vernalization requirement, which are grown in regions or at times of year when vernalization does not occur (see below).

### LONG DAYS ACCELERATE INFLORESCENCE DEVELOPMENT AFTER VERNALIZATION

Daylength can influence both the timing of inflorescence initiation and the rate of inflorescence development after vernalization. In long days vernalized plants will progress rapidly through inflorescence initiation and subsequent stages of inflorescence development until head emergence and anthesis. In short days inflorescence initiation occurs, though not as rapidly as in long days, and thereafter inflorescence development occurs slowly and there is a strong delay of head emergence and anthesis (e.g., Limin and Fowler, 2006; Sasani et al., 2009).

Although long days can accelerate inflorescence initiation in glasshouse experiments this is unlikely to occur in the field for autumn sown varieties (vernalization requiring cultivars, see comments above regarding archetypal flowering behavior), which typically undergo inflorescence initiation in short days toward the end of winter. Instead longer days are likely to coincide with the inflorescence development and stem elongation stage (Figure 1). Daylength shift experiments, where wheat plants are shifted from short to long days, or vice versa, at different time points, show that the time from inflorescence initiation to the point when the terminal spikelet develops is a critical window for the acceleration of inflorescence development by long daylengths (Miralles and Richards, 2000).

Key genes controlling the long-day flowering response are PHOTOPERIOD1 (PPD1) and FT, the cereal FT equivalent (Turner et al., 2005; Shaw et al., 2012). Expression of FT1 is induced by long days, analogous to FT in Arabidopsis, and it seems that the role for the FT protein as a leaf expressed mobile flowering signal is conserved in cereals (Turner et al., 2005; Tamaki et al., 2007). Long-day induction of FT1 requires PPD1. PPD1 encodes a pseudo response regulator related to components of the circadian clock and includes a CCT domain that can interact with NF-Y complexes, similar to CO (Turner et al., 2005; Li et al., 2011). Transcription of PPD1 follows a distinctive diurnal profile, though current models suggest that PPD1 does not play a role in circadian clock per se, and instead regulates outputs of the clock under long days to induce expression of FT1 (Turner et al., 2005; Campoli et al., 2012).

Deletions in the promoter of the wheat PPD1 gene are associated with elevated transcript levels of this gene at night, in short daylengths (Beales et al., 2007). These deletions are linked to elevated FT1 expression and reduced long-day requirement...
(photoperiod insensitivity), such that plants flower early irrespective of daylength (Beales et al., 2007). Mutations that alter circadian clock rhythms can also up regulate FT1 and reduce photoperiod sensitivity, loss of EARLY FLOWERING 3 (ELF3, MALa8) or PHYTOCLOCK1/LUX1 function, for example (Faure et al., 2012; Mizuno et al., 2012; Zakhrabekova et al., 2012; Campoli et al., 2013; Gawroński et al., 2014). Genetic activation of the FT1 gene itself reduces daylength sensitivity, triggering constitutive early flowering (Yan et al., 2006). Activation of FT1 can also bypass the normal requirement for vernalization; a strongly active allele of this gene was first identified as Spring Growth Habit 3, a locus linked to reduced vernalization requirement (subsequently named VRN3; Takahashi and Yasuda, 1971; Yan et al., 2006). This active allele has increased FT1 gene copy number (four copies), which might cause increased transcriptional activity (Nitcher et al., 2013). A recent study suggests that structural alterations also occur amongst the four gene copies in this allele, so the exact cause of increased FT1 transcription remains unclear (Loscos et al., 2014).

The FT1 protein of wheat interacts with FD-like proteins, analogous to FT and FD in Arabidopsis (Li and Dubcovsky, 2008). This is likely to activate expression of API-like genes at the shoot apex, including VRN1 but also two other API-like genes (Preston and Kellogg, 2008). FT1 also interacts with FDL2 in leaves (Li and Dubcovsky, 2008). This potentially allows long-day induction of VRN1 in leaves. This pathway operates in cereal varieties where activation of FT1 triggers inflorescence initiation without prior vernalization, such as those that lack VRN2 or that carry active FT1 (VRN3) alleles (Yan et al., 2006; Hemming et al., 2008; Shimada et al., 2009). Long-day induction of VRN1 via FT1 is unlikely to play a major role in triggering inflorescence initiation in response to vernalization, however, since VRN1 is expressed at high levels in leaves and at the shoot apex of vernalized plants irrespective of daylength (Sasani et al., 2009). It is possible that a FT-FD dependent pathway might activate expression VRN1 after short-day induction, or activate expression of other API-like genes in the leaves of vernalized plants.

Gibberellins play a role in the long-day flowering response of grasses (King and Evans, 2003). A shift to long days can trigger a rapid increase of gibberellin biosynthesis in leaves and application of gibberellins can accelerate inflorescence development in short days, mimicking the effect of longer daylengths (Evans et al., 1990; King et al., 2006). Leaf produced gibberellins might act as florigens in grasses, providing a mobile florigenic signal that is transported from the leaves to shoot apex to accelerate flowering, in addition to the FT protein (King and Evans, 2003). Applying gibberellins only accelerates inflorescence development when applied to vernalized plants or to accessions that carry active alleles of VRN1, placing the gibberellin response downstream of vernalization (MacMillan et al., 2003; Pearce et al., 2013; Boden et al., 2014). Paclobutrazol, an inhibitor of GA biosynthesis, slows the constitutive early flowering of ELF3 loss-of-function mutants in short days without influencing FT1 expression (Boden et al., 2014). This shows that gibberellins likely act parallel to, or downstream of, FT1.

### HIGH TEMPERATURES ACCELERATE INFLORESCENCE DEVELOPMENT IN LONG DAYS

Elevated growth temperatures can accelerate flowering of cereals. This occurs in long days, where elevated temperatures accelerate inflorescence development (Rawson and Richards, 1993). In short days high temperatures have the opposite effect, slowing inflorescence development (Rawson and Richards, 1993; Hemming et al., 2012). The acceleration of inflorescence development by high temperatures when days are long makes sense in a seasonal context, since this would further accelerate flowering toward the end of spring and allow grain production to occur more rapidly in warmer conditions that might accompany increased risk of heat stress and water limitation. Overall the physiology of the high-temperature flowering response in cereals is markedly different to that of Arabidopsis; accelerating inflorescence development post-initiation in long days, versus promoting floral initiation in short days.

Gene expression studies suggest that neither FT1 nor other FT-like genes are high-temperature responsive in cereals (Hemming et al., 2012). Furthermore, activation of FT1 by miss-expression of PPD1 or by mutations in components of the circadian clock do not allow high-temperatures to accelerate inflorescence development in short-days (Hemming et al., 2012). This suggests that FT1 is not the long-day activated factor that allows high-temperature acceleration of inflorescence development. Instead, it seems that an as yet unidentified long-day activated pathway allows high-temperatures to accelerate inflorescence development.

Transcriptome analyses have been used to identify high-temperature responsive developmental regulators in barley (Hemming et al., 2012). A series of genes thought to act downstream of VRN1 in the vernalization response show altered expression at elevated temperatures, including the MADS box gene ODDSO2 (Hemming et al., 2012). This gene has no direct equivalent in Arabidopsis but might be related to the FLC gene family (Ruelens et al., 2013). ODDSO2 is down-regulated in vernalized barley plants and represses flowering when expressed constitutively, likely through down-regulation of FLOWERING PROMOTER FACTOR1-like genes (FPF1-like; Greenup et al., 2010). ODDSO2 is expressed at elevated levels when vernalized plants are grown at high temperatures (constant 25°C) in short-days, where inflorescence development is retarded (Hemming et al., 2012). This highlights a potentially interesting parallel with the role of FLC-like MADS box genes (FLM) in regulating temperature responses in Arabidopsis and other plants (Lee et al., 2013; Posé et al., 2013). FPF1-like genes, a potential downstream target of ODDSO2, also show temperature-responsive expression in barley (Hemming et al., 2012). Further research is required to confirm a role for ODDSO2 and FPF1-like genes in regulating temperature-induced flowering responses of cereals.

### MAPPING MOLECULAR NETWORKS CONTROLLING SEASONAL FLOWERING: CONSIDERATIONS FOR CEREALS VERSUS Arabidopsis

As outlined above, multiple pathways controlling developmental responses to seasonal cues are integrated to determine the timing of a single developmental transition in Arabidopsis. These
pathways can be mapped together as a single network. The situation is more complex in grasses, where seasonal cues have varying effects at different developmental stages and/or different times of year. We suggest that molecular pathways controlling seasonal developmental responses in grasses should be considered in a developmental stage and season specific manner (Figure 2). The concept of sub-dividing networks controlling developmental responses to specific phases/seasons is also important for the development of gene based models to predict flowering behavior of cereals and related grasses (Brown et al., 2013).

**VARIATION IN FLOWERING BEHAVIOR ADAPTS CEREALS TO DIFFERENT GEOGRAPHICAL REGIONS**

Diversity in the VRN1 gene is a major driver of variation in vernalization requirement in temperate cereal crops. Different mutations in the promoter, insertions or deletions in the first intron, amino acid substitutions and copy number variation all occur at the VRN1 locus (Table 1). Similar, though not identical, variation occurs in non-domesticated wheats, so variation in vernalization requirement mediated by VRN1 occurs independently of crop domestication (Golovnina et al., 2010).

The extent of diversity in VRN1 suggests that variation in this gene might be useful to adapt accessions to different environments and there is strong historical evidence that this is the case. The history of the Australian wheat industry provides an excellent example. The first wheats grown in Australia were English wheats that required both vernalization and long days to flower rapidly. These wheats were ill-suited to warm Australian growing environments, taking too long to flower in the field, and suffered from end of season heat stress and water limitation, and also strong disease pressure (see Evans, 1980; Eagles et al., 2009). In the late 19th century William Farrer had the foresight to realize that selective breeding could be used to improve the adaptation of Australian wheats. He imported early flowering wheats from India, which he then crossed with European wheats to select better adapted strains. His first cultivar “Federation” was released in 1901 and proved enormously successful. Indeed, the germplasm he developed was the basis for adaptation of Australian wheat cultivars until the 1960s (see Eagles et al., 2009).

Molecular characterization of the Australian wheat pedigree, using...
Table 1 | Variation in the VRN1 gene of cultivated cereals.

| Variation                                      | Genome | Effect                                      | Reference                  |
|------------------------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Promoter mutations, insertion deletions       | A<sup>m</sup> | Elevated expression, Reduced vernalization req. | Yan et al. (2003)           |
| Large deletions, first intron                  | A, B, D, H | Elevated expression, Reduced vernalization req. | Fu et al. (2005),          |
| Insertion, first intron                        | H      | Elevated expression, Reduced vernalization req. | Cockram et al. (2007),     |
| Promoter SNP (and intron deletion)             | D      | Reduced expression, Increased vernalization req. | Zhang et al. (2012)        |
| CNV (3 x), coding region SNP                   | A      | Reduced expression, Increased vernalization req. | Chen et al. (2009),        |
| CNV (2 x) with promoter insertion              | A      | Elevated expression, Reduced vernalization req. | Yan et al. (2004b)         |

<sup>A<sup>m</sup> = Tritium monococccum.  
<sup>H</sup> = Hordeum vulgare.  
A, B, D = subgenomes of hexaploid bread wheat (Triticum aestivum).  
CNV = copy number variation.

DNA extracted from seeds held in stock centers, shows that the reduced vernalization requirement of Federation was caused by an allele of VRN1 that has a mutation in the promoter (Eagles et al., 2009).

Variation in VRN1 can also increase the duration of vernalization required to trigger rapid flowering. A multi-copy allele of VRN1, with a substitution of a conserved amino acid residue in at least one copy, occurs in winter wheats grown in regions with cold winters (Chen et al., 2009; Díaz et al., 2012; Cane et al., 2013). This allele is associated with low-transcriptional activity and a slow transcriptional response to vernalization, and is linked to increased requirement for vernalization (Díaz et al., 2012). An association screen suggests that frost tolerant wheats have this allele of VRN1 together with an expanded number of C-REPEAT BINDING FACTOR genes at the FROST TOLERANCE 2 locus (Zhu et al., 2014). It seems that variation in VRN1 allows the vegetative growth phase to be lengthened in cultivars grown in regions with extreme winters and this has been co-selected with genes that enhance frost tolerance.

There is also extensive variation in daylength sensitivity amongst cereals (Table 2). In wheat, a constitutive long-day flowering response is mediated mainly by active alleles of PPD1 that allow crops to be grown at times of year or at latitudes where short daylengths would otherwise limit cultivation. For example, breeding programs that are located at low-latitudes and focus on rapid cycling varieties (e.g., International Maize and Wheat Improvement Centre, CIMMYT, Mexico) are likely to select cultivars with photoperiod insensitivity, which allows rapid flowering without long days. Conversely, a mutated version of the barley PPD1 gene occurs in many European barleys (Turner et al., 2005; Jones et al., 2011). These barleys have a reduced response to long-days, which lengthens the growing season and allows more biomass to accumulate, increasing grain yield (see Cockram et al., 2007). Another example of adaptation through altered photoperiod sensitivity is the use of a loss-of-function mutation in the ELF3 gene (MATa8) to breed barley cultivars that flower rapidly irrespective of daylength, which are well suited to short summer growing seasons at high latitudes (Lundqvist, 2009; Faure et al., 2012; Zakhrabekova et al., 2012).

Variation that influences development irrespective of environmental cues, often referred to as the EARLINESS PER SE (EPS), has also been utilized in crop breeding. The EPS2 gene (HvCENTORADIALIS, HvCEN) of barley encodes a PEBP that is distantly related to the FT gene family (Comadran et al., 2012). An amino acid substitution in the HvCEN gene (Ala135 to Pro) delays flowering, and has been used to lengthen the inflorescence development phase of European spring barleys. Conversely, the wildtype allele predominates in autumn sown vernalization responsive barleys (Comadran et al., 2012). Thus, HvCEN1 can be considered as a modifier of seasonal flowering responses.

The examples above highlight how different developmental regulators have been used to modify the crop life cycle to suit the growing environments encountered at particular location and sowing dates. These examples also show that such variation influences the duration of specific developmental phases (Figure 3). Altering the duration of different developmental phases can have profound effects on plant physiology and plant architecture, and strongly influences major components of yield such as grain number per spike and grain size (e.g., Stelmakh, 1993; Eagles et al., 2014). There is now considerable interest in understanding how different combinations of genetic variation in genes controlling flowering behavior can be used to breed cereal varieties that are suited to specific climates but that also have high yield potential (Reynolds et al., 2009).

**SEASONAL FLOWERING RESPONSES OF TEMPERATE GRASSES**

Temperate grasses such as poa (Poa sp.), ryegrass (Lolium sp.), fescue (Festuca sp.), and timothy grass (Phleum pretense) flower in response to vernalization and long days, though there is naturally occurring variation in vernalization and daylength requirements...
Table 2 | Variation in the PPD1 gene of cultivated cereals.

| Variation                      | Genome | Effect                             | Reference                                      |
|--------------------------------|--------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| Promoter deletion              | A, D   | Reduced long day requirement        | Beales et al. (2007), Wilhelm et al. (2009), Shaw et al. (2013) |
| Promoter insertion             | A      | Reduced long day requirement        | Nishida et al. (2013)                          |
| CNV (2–4.5 x)                  | B      | Reduced long day requirement        | Diaz et al. (2012)                             |
| CCT domain mutation            | H      | Reduced long-day response           | Turner et al. (2005)                           |
| Promoter deletion              | A      | Reduced long-day response           | Shaw et al. (2013)                             |
| Deletion, transcribed sequence | A      | Reduced long-day response           | Beales et al. (2007), Shaw et al. (2013)        |
| Deletion, transcribed sequence | D      | Reduced long-day response           | Beales et al. (2007), Shaw et al. (2013)        |
| Intron insertion               | D      | Reduced long-day response           | Beales et al. (2007), Shaw et al. (2013)        |

H = Hordeum vulgare.
A, B, D = subgenomes of hexaploid bread wheat (Triticum aestivum).
CNV = copy number variation.

FIGURE 3 | Tailoring the crop life cycle to fit different environments by modifying the duration of discrete developmental phases. (A) A vernalization responsive and daylength sensitive cereal cultivar can be sown and germinate “G” in early autumn but will remain vegetative until late winter, when inflorescence initiation occurs “I.” Anthesis “A” will occur during spring, at a date determined by the photoperiod sensitivity. (B) A near-isogenic line derived from the hypothetical wheat has reduced vernalization requirement. This line can be sown at a later date and will have a shortened vegetative growth phase (blue sector). Such a line might be useful in regions where there is a late onset of autumn rain, requiring a delayed sowing of crops. (C) A second near-isogenic line has reduced photoperiod sensitivity. This line can be sown in autumn, and still requires vernalization to flower, but will progress through inflorescence development (purple sector) more rapidly in spring. Such a line would be useful where there is a rapid onset of hot/dry conditions, allowing plants to avoid heat and drought stress during the grain filling stage.

both between species of specific genera and within populations of individual species (Evans, 1964). The molecular biology of seasonal flowering-responses has been studied in some temperate grasses. Orthologs of VRN1 appear to function in the vernalization responses of perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne), timothy grass (Phleum pratense), and fescue (Festuca pratensis; Petersen et al., 2004; Andersen et al., 2006; Seppänen et al., 2010; Ergon et al., 2013). The VRN1 ortholog of Brachypodium is also likely to play a key role in maintaining a memory of winter cold (Ream et al., 2014). Similarly, FT1-like genes are likely to play a central role in promoting flowering in response to long days in perennial ryegrass and Brachypodium (King et al., 2006; Lv et al., 2014; Ream et al., 2014). Genetic variation in vernalization requirement or daylength sensitivity has also been linked to VRN1 and FT1-like genes in perennial ryegrass (Jensen et al., 2005; Asp et al., 2011; Skøt et al., 2011; Shinozuka et al., 2013). Thus, knowledge of the molecular pathways controlling seasonal flowering responses in temperate cereals is relevant to Pooid grasses and can provide insights into how variation in genes controlling vernalization and daylength requirements can adapt temperate grasses to different climates or geographical regions.

EVOLUTION OF SEASONAL FLOWERING-RESPONSES IN THE GRASSES

Most of the ~10,000 species of the grass family are members of one of two monophyletic clades (groups of species including a single common ancestor and all its descendents). The first clade includes tropical grass subfamilies and is known as the PACMAD (Panicoideae, Arundinoideae, Chloridoideae, Micrairoideae, Aristidoideae, Danthonioideae), of which the Panicoideae subfamily includes crops such as maize (Zea mays), sorghum (Sorghum bicolor), and sugar cane (Saccharum officinarum). The second clade includes the Pooidae subfamily, together with the subfamilies Ehrhartioideae (including rice, Oryza sativa) and Bamboideae (the bamboos) and is known as the BEP clade, with Bamboideae widely accepted as the sister group to the Pooidae (Figure 4; Grass Phylogeny Working Group [GPWG] II, 2012; Wu and Ge, 2012; Zhao et al., 2013). Of the grass subfamilies,
FIGURE 4 | A simplified phylogeny of subfamily Pooideae. Relationships between major tribes of Pooideae grasses, represented by selected genera, are shown based on Davis and Soreng (2007) and Schneider et al. (2009). Tribes are in shaded gray boxes and 11 out of 14 tribes are included following classification of Soreng et al. (2000). All genera represented in Brachypodieae, Bromeae, Triticeae, and Poeae are known to have at least some species flowering in response to vernalization and long days (shaded blue rectangle; Fejer, 1966; Sampson and Burrows, 1972; Richardson et al., 1986; Heide, 1994; Schwartz et al., 2010), whereas the remaining genera in Pooideae have unknown flowering requirements (open blue rectangle). The two closest non-Pooideae subfamilies (Ehrhartoideae and Bambusoideae) are also shown (BEP clade).

Pooideae is one of the most species rich and dominates the grass flora in temperate regions with as much as 90% of the grasses in northern temperate regions belonging to this subfamily (Hartley, 1973).

Current knowledge of the molecular pathways that control flowering of temperate grasses comes from a restricted selection of cereals and pasture grasses, all members of a group informally termed the “core Pooideae” (Davis and Soreng, 1993), or from Brachypodium, the sister group to the core Pooideae (Figure 4). The vernalization response and long-day flowering are conserved features of the core Pooideae and Brachypodium (Figure 4). In contrast, rice does not require vernalization and flowers in response to shorter daylengths, similar to many grasses of the Panicoideae. Thus, it has been hypothesized that the vernalization and long-day flowering responses of grasses evolved in an ancestor of the Pooideae.

THE EVOLUTION OF THE VERNALIZATION RESPONSE
As outlined above, orthologs of the cereal VRN1 gene function in the vernalization responses of temperate grasses such as ryegrass, fescue, and timothy grass (all core Pooids), and the VRN1 gene of Brachypodium seems to have the same function. This suggests that the central role for VRN1 in the vernalization response of temperate grasses evolved early during the radiation of the Pooideae. Vernalization-induced flowering likely co-evolved with increased freezing tolerance (see Sandve et al., 2011).

There are two regulatory features of VRN1 that are likely to have been pivotal to the evolution of the vernalization response in temperate grasses. The first is that activity of VRN1 is maintained at a low basal level before winter to delay inflorescence initiation. The second is low-temperature induction. Low basal activity of VRN1 appears to be mediated by the large (∼10 kb) first intron, which has expanded relative to the equivalent region in the rice ortholog of VRN1 (OsMADS14, ∼5 kb first intron; Fu et al., 2005; von Zitzewitz et al., 2005; Szűcs et al., 2007; Hemming et al., 2009; Oliver et al., 2013). Low-temperature induction of VRN1 seems to be controlled by the promoter, possibly by pathways that activate transcription of other cold-induced genes (Alonso-Peral et al., 2011; Oliver et al., 2013). A deeper understanding how the first intron limits VRN1
transcription, or of the mechanisms mediating low-temperature induction of VRN1, could potentially contribute to a better understanding of how the vernalization response evolved in grasses.

**THE EVOLUTION OF THE LONG-DAY FLOWERING RESPONSE**

One explanation for the evolution of different daylength flowering responses is that the activity of the daylength response can be inverted. For example, the short-day flowering response of rice might have arisen through inversion of activity of the long-day response pathway first identified in *Arabidopsis*. This has been suggested to occur through modification of CO activity (see Simpson, 2003). A similar inversion in the daylength response could also be suggested to account for the evolution of long-day grasses from tropical grass ancestors that flowered in short days. We suggest, however, that complete inversions of daylength responses are unlikely to occur because daylength responses influence other aspects of plant physiology aside from flowering time. For example, daylength regulates the rate of starch breakdown during the night so that starch is depleted shortly before dawn (Graf and Smith, 2012). CO strongly influences expression of genes that control diurnal starch metabolism (Ortiz-Marchena et al., 2014). Unlike flowering behavior, daylength regulation of starch metabolism is likely to be conserved between short and long day grasses, which will need similar daylength dependent regulation of starch metabolism. This potentially limits evolutionary flexibility to invert daylength-induced flowering responses by modifying CO activity.

An alternative model is that a common daylength response mechanism elicits different outputs to trigger flowering under either short or long days in different plants. According to this model a conserved daylength response mechanism operates in all plants. Then, there are both short and long day output pathways that influence flowering, but these have evolved to be stronger or weaker in different lineages (Greenup et al., 2009). For example, the temperate grasses might have evolved to flower in long days through the loss of ancestral genes that accelerated flowering under short days (Greenup et al., 2009).

There are similarities between the molecular pathways controlling daylength responses of rice and temperate cereals that suggest there has not been an inversion of the activity of pathways controlling daylength-induced flowering responses. For example, a VRN2-like gene, *GHD7*, is expressed in long days in rice, where it represses expression of *FT*-like genes, similar to VRN2 in the temperate cereals (Xue et al., 2008). In rice plants that lack *GHD7* function there is expression of *FT*-like genes in long-days and this accelerates flowering (Xue et al., 2008). Similarly, the maize (*Z. mays*) ortholog of *GHD7* represses flowering in long-days and a similar function has been suggested for a Sorghum (*S. bicolor*) equivalent (Ducrocq et al., 2009; Hung et al., 2012). Thus, a role for VRN2-like genes in blocking flowering under long-days occurs across the grass lineage, though is not necessarily related to a vernalization requirement. A recent study of sorghum shows that CO activates expression of *FT*-like genes in long-days in lines that lack *GHD7*, consistent with the idea that CO activity has not been inverted to repress flowering in long-days in tropical grasses (Yang et al., 2014). The weak inhibition of inflorescence initiation of temperate grasses in short-days also suggests that Pooid grasses retain ancestral pathways that allow flowering under short-days, though these pathways are weaker than the long-day response that is activated in vernalized plants (see Sections “Short Days Allow Inflorescence Initiation” and “The Prolonged Cold of Winter Promotes Inflorescence Initiation”).

Novel daylength regulators might also have evolved to suppress flowering in short-days in the temperate grasses. A novel modifier of the daylength flowering response has been identified in *Brachypodium*, where a daylength regulated microRNA (miR5200) down-regulates *FT1* and *FT2* in short days (Wu et al., 2013). This microRNA is expressed in leaves in short days, where it triggers cleavage of *FT1* and *FT2* mRNA molecules (Wu et al., 2013). Whether similar microRNA molecules are active in other temperate grasses or in cereal crops will be an interesting topic for future research.

**ARE PERENNIALS THE MISSING LINK?**

Perenniarity occurs throughout the Pooidae (Watson and Dallwitz, 1992) and it seems that monocarpic annual grasses have evolved repeatedly from perennial ancestors. This raises the question of whether evolution of the seasonal flowering responses of the Pooidae should be considered from perennial perspective?

Molecular studies of perennial temperate grasses are less common than those focussed on annual species. The best characterized are perennial ryegrass and timothy grass, which have both been the focus of physiological and molecular studies (MacMillan et al., 2005; Seppänen et al., 2010; Jokela et al., 2013). Like other members of the core Pooidae, perennial ryegrass flowers in response to vernalization and long-days, and VRN1 and FT1 homologs play key roles in each of these responses, respectively (Petersen et al., 2004; King et al., 2006). The perennial growth habit arises from the retention of vegetative meristems at the base of the plant during flowering, allowing vegetative growth to continue after a period of summer dormancy. It is not clear whether these buds do not respond to vernalization or whether there is a loss of the memory of vernalization.

Timothy grass is also a member of the core Pooidae that flowers in response to vernalization then long days (Seppänen et al., 2010). Unlike annual grasses, only a percentage of tillers flower in spring, allowing vegetative growth to continue even when some tillers flower. The reason why some tillers flower while others remain vegetative is unclear, but this is an important question for understanding the perennial growth habit of this grass. Timothy grass produces a second flush of elongating tillers in summer. Unlike the tillers produced in spring, the inflorescence of these tillers does not develop fully. Expression of *VRN1* is activated by vernalization in the spring tillers but is not maintained in the summer tillers (Seppänen et al., 2010). This might be one reason that inflorescence development stalls in summer tillers. An inability to maintain *VRN1* expression might also contribute to the perennial growth habit of timothy grass.

Another interesting finding from timothy grass is that expression of a VRN2-like gene increases as daylength increases following vernalization (Seppänen et al., 2010). This contrasts with the expression behavior of VRN2 in other core Pooidae, where VRN2...
is repressed following vernalization. A similar observation has been made in Brachypodium, where a VRN2-like gene is activated by long days in vernalized plants (Ream et al., 2014). Perhaps VRN2-like genes have a broader role in perennial grasses to repress flowering in the long days of summer and to restore a vegetative growth habit before autumn.

**FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

The Pooideae are a worthwhile target for the study of natural variation in seasonal flowering responses. There are large collections of different accessions from many Pooid species and this provides a rich source of genetic diversity, from wild species and domesticated crops. Rapidly developing genomic resources are allowing this diversity to be explored. Surveying diversity alone will not provide mechanistic insights into the molecular pathways controlling flowering or how variation in these pathways influences adaptation to different climates. The development and study of focussed genetic resources including mapping populations, near-isogenic lines, mutants, and transgenic plants will be a priority for future research, since these tools can resolve gene function and assess the impact of genetic variation.

Studying the molecular pathways controlling seasonal flowering responses in a broader range of Pooid species, particularly grasses outside the core Pooid group, will provide a clearer view of how these pathways evolved. Research into basal Pooids, such as Stipa or Melica for example (Figure 4), might provide some indication of when the vernalization and long day flowering responses evolved during the radiation of the Pooideae. Additionally, a deeper understanding of the pathways controlling flowering in perennial Pooids can potentially offer further insights into the functions of key genes. Most importantly, ongoing research focussed directly on the Pooidae is essential if we are to develop a deeper understanding of the reproductive biology of this important group of plants.
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