Chronic myeloid leukemia: cytogenetics and molecular biology’s part in the comprehension and management of the pathology and treatment evolution
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Abstract

Background: Chronic myelogenous leukemia (CML) is a type of blood cancer that affects hematopoietic stem cells and is often characterized by the presence of the Philadelphia chromosome. The Philadelphia chromosome encodes for a protein with high tyrosine kinase activity which acts as a tumorigenic factor.

Main body: This review article reports an update on the pathophysiology of CML and highlights the role of cytogenetic and molecular biology in screening, diagnosis, therapeutic monitoring as well as evaluating patients’ response to treatment. Additionally, these genetic tests allow identifying additional chromosomal abnormalities (ACA) and BCR-ABL tyrosine kinase domain mutations in intolerant or resistant patients. Thus, therapeutic advances have enabled this pathology to become manageable and almost curable in its clinical course. The scientific literature search used in the synthesis of this paper was carried out in the PubMed database, and the figures were generated using online software named BioRender.

Conclusion: The role of cytogenetic and molecular biology is crucial for the diagnosis and medical monitoring of patients. In-depth knowledge of molecular mechanisms of the BCR-ABL kinase facilitated the development of new targeted therapies that have improved the vital prognosis in patients. However, the emergence of ACA and new mutations resistant to tyrosine kinase inhibitors constitutes a real challenge in the quest for adequate therapy.
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Background

Chronic myeloid leukemia is a clonal myeloproliferative disease whose origin involves an alteration in the genome in the hematopoietic stem cell compartment. This change subsequently results in excessive production of granulocytes in the bone marrow causing both splenomegaly and hyperleukocytosis [1, 2].

On a cytogenetic level, it is characterized by the presence of the Philadelphia chromosome, originating from a balanced reciprocal translocation t (9; 22) (q34; q11) in more than 90% cases of CML.

This translocation causes the fusion of the Abelson (ABL) proto-oncogene on chromosome 9 with the interrupted end of the breakpoint cluster region (BCR) of chromosome 22. The chimeric gene encodes a protein with high tyrosine kinase activity which acts as a tumorigenic factor [3, 4].

The etiological factors are globally not very known. Several epidemiological studies have demonstrated the
effect of ionizing radiation on the development of CML in exposed people, while others suggest a possible role of exposure to benzene in the onset of the disease [5].

The median age of people affected is approximately 56 years and it accounts for about 15–20% of leukemia in adults. CML incidence worldwide varies from 0.6 to 2.8/100,000 individuals per year [6]. The age-standardized incidence rate for males was reported to be, respectively, 1.8 in Australia, 1.7 in France, 1.3 in the United States, and 0.7 in Egypt (per 100,000 individuals). For females, it was, respectively, 1 in Australia, 0.8 in France, 0.8 in the United States, and 0.8 in Egypt (per 100,000 individuals) [7].

The WHO’s recent definition of CML stages divides disease progression into two phases: An accelerated phase (AP) with continuous or increasing splenomegaly, persistent or increasing leukocytosis. Blast cells count from 10 to 19%, basophils count exceeds 20% and platelets count exceeds 1000 × 10/L. AP is also characterized by the presence of additional chromosomal aberrations (trisomy 8, isochromosome 17q, trisomy 19…) during treatment or at diagnosis as well as the occurrence of mutations (two or more) during therapy (mainly T315I). The blastic phase is characterized by 20% of blasts (in blood, bone marrow, extramedullary sites), splenomegaly and genomic evolution (emergence of ACA) [8, 9].

Cytogenetic and molecular biology techniques play a key role in the detection of chromosomal abnormalities and mutations involved in the oncogenesis of the disease. They also allow detailed cytogenetic and molecular monitoring which will contribute to the choice of the appropriate therapeutic strategy for each patient [10].

As for the treatment of CML, advances in science have led to the introduction of tyrosine kinase inhibitors, as a targeted therapy, which acts directly on the kinase activity of the BCR-ABL oncoprotein. These breakthroughs have radically changed the vital prognosis in patients with CML in terms of efficacy and survival [11].

This article aims to provide an updated review on CML and to underline the importance of cytogenetic and molecular biology in the diagnosis and therapeutic monitoring of patients, as well as to discuss the evolution of treatment in the TKI era.

**Main text**

**Cytogenetics of chronic myeloid leukemia**

The Philadelphia chromosome is formed as a result of a reciprocal translocation between chromosome 9 at position q34 and chromosome 22 at position q11. This chromosome aberration leads to the formation of a chimeric gene BCR-ABL1 responsible for the oncogenic activity of the kinase (Fig. 1) [12]. According to the literature, there are several variants of simple or complex chromosomal rearrangements involved in CML (Table 2). We first mention complex translocations involving chromosomes 9 and 22 and at least one additional chromosome (from 5 to 10%) [13]. In this case, the third chromosome is the recipient of the deleted part of 22q—while 9 is the recipient of the deleted part of the third chromosome. Then, simple translocations of the distal part of chromosome 22 (22ql-qter) to another chromosome than 9. A variant characterized by a Ph chromosome which has lost its typical 22q- aspect and finally cases considered as Ph negative (Ph−) or atypical where chromosome 22 is intact with a breakpoint at 9q34 [14]. The most frequent variants reported in a study were the complex translocations involving chromosomes 1 and 16 [15].

![Fig. 1 Reciprocal translocation between chromosome 9 and chromosome 22 results in the formation of the Philadelphia chromosome](Created on BioRender)
The prognostic impact of variant Ph translocations differs from a study to another. In one study, it was found that a variant translocation and ACA indicated a poor prognosis. Accordingly, a study suggests that the variants with four breakpoints or more confer unfavorable prognostic value [16].

While in other studies, it is suggested that variant Ph chromosomes have no significant effect on vital prognosis in a way that CCyR and MMR were similar in patients with or without Ph Variant [17, 18].

In the course of CML progression, additional chromosomal abnormalities (ACA) appear in particular during AP and BP and can cause genetic instability.

These abnormalities (Table 1) which are found in the cells where the Philadelphia chromosome is visible (Ph+) are classified into major and minor. Major pathway ACA present 10% of ACA and include mainly trisomy 8, additional Ph derivation (+der (22) t (9; 22), isochromosome 17 (i (17) (q10)), trisomy 19 and others [19]. Minor pathway ACA is less common and not sufficiently studied. It includes five aneuploidies (−7, −17, +17, +21, and −Y) and one balanced structural abnormality t (3; 21) (q26; q22) described by Mitelman [20]. In patients with Ph− cells, ACA found could be a reciprocal translocation t (6; 9) (p21; q34.1), a chromosomal marker (+mar), a trisomy 8 and others. On the other hand, the absence of the Ph chromosome and the presence of − 7 (monosomy 7) contribute to the evolution towards a myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) or acute myeloid leukemia (AML) [12, 19].

Cytogenetic diagnosis
In the study of hematologic malignancies, chromosome abnormalities are detected by different cytogenetic techniques. The standard karyotype aims primarily to analyze metaphases, using a light microscope, of cells blocked in mitosis [16].

When performing a karyotype, it is recommended to analyze at least 20 metaphases to assess the percentage of cells carrying the abnormality [10, 21]. For complex karyotypes presenting additional chromosome aberrations (the presence of 3 or more chromosomal abnormalities in the same clone), the number of metaphases observed must be greater to recognize the primary and secondary abnormalities [22, 23]. In particular, a pathological karyotype indicates the presence of a Philadelphia chromosome in more than 90% of cases (Ph+) [14]. The Ph chromosome is found in all cell lines of the bone marrow: myelocytic, monocytic, megakaryoblast and erythroblast precursor cells.

The majority of B lymphocytes are Ph+ unlike T lymphocytes which are Ph− (otherwise very rare Ph+ T cells) [12].

In molecular cytogenetics, the fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) technique is relatively simple and rapidly detects chromosome abnormalities. In case of masked translocation in CML suspicion, the BCR-ABL fusion is sought using a complementary FISH [24]. Interphase FISH does not require cellular culture and plays an essential role in the routine analysis since some leukemic cultures do not proliferate (almost 5% of bone marrow samples) [16]. Thus, interphase FISH permits the evaluation of a large number of cells without the need for cell culture [25]. Moreover, to detect the genes involved in the pathogenesis of CML, FISH can identify all forms of the fusion gene: t (9; 22), variant translocations, hidden translocations or insertions, using a set of two-color probes: a probe of green color to mark the BCR gene, another of red color for the ABL1 gene. The fusion of

| Table 1 | Cytogenetic variants of CML and examples of some additional chromosomal abnormalities in Ph+ cells and Ph− cells |
|------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Cytogenetic variants** | **Additional chromosomal abnormalities** |
| Complex translocations including chromosome 9, 22 and at least one additional chromosome | | |
| Simple translocations of 22q1l-qter to a chromosome other than 9 | t(6; 9) (p21; q34.1) |
| Ph chromosome which has lost its typical 22q− aspect | +mar |
| Ph negative (Ph−) | |
| **Ph+ cells** | **Ph− cells** |
| Major pathway | trisomy 8 |
| trisomy 8 | |
| +der (22) t(9; 22) | t(6, 9) (p21; q34.1) |
| i (17) (q10) | |
| trisomy 19 | |
| Minor pathway | +mar |
| t(3; 21) (q26, q22) | | |
| (− 7, − 17, + 17, + 21, and − Y) | |
both probes gives a chimeric BCR-ABL1 gene colored in yellow [26]. Studies indicate that, in bone marrow or peripheral blood, the FISH technique can identify less than 1% of cancer cells among 200–500 interphase nuclei [16, 27]. On the other hand, it fails to detect additional anomalies [27]. The FISH technique may also be useful in confirming complete cytogenetic remission [24].

Cyto genetic response monitoring and assessment of minimal residual disease (MRD)
The cytogenetic response is a decrease in the percentage of Ph+ cells evaluated by analysis of residual metaphases according to conventional or molecular cytogenetics. The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) recommends to perform the analysis at 3–6 months intervals until a complete (CCyR) or major cytogenetic response (MCyR) is obtained [28]. CCyR is marked by the absence of Ph+ cells which is considered as a positive prognosis for CML.

The response could be partial (1–35% Ph+), minor (36–65% Ph+) or minimal (66–95% Ph+). The loss of complete cytogenetic response is defined as the increase in Ph+ metaphases (≥ 30%) and serves as an indicator for therapy failure with susceptibility of disease progression [11, 29, 30].

According to recent NCCN 2021 guidelines, cytogenetic assessment is maintained for diagnosis, failure to achieve response, loss of hematologic or cytogenetic response and thus qPCR has become the preferred method to monitor response to treatment [31].

Treatment resistance
TKI resistance is defined as a patient’s non-response after treatment. There are two types of resistance: Primary resistance which is resistance to a medication taken for the first time and secondary resistance which is a relapse that takes place after a previous response to the treatment [29].

TKI resistance occurs through two different pathways: a BCR-ABL1 dependent or independent pathway.

Moreover, point mutations in the ABL1 domain, increase with the evolution of the pathology and become very important during the blastic phase; they can also affect biological processes leading to low TKI bioavailability [32–34].

For BCR-ABL1-dependent resistance, amplification typically through the acquisition of a second Ph chromosome or upregulation at the transcriptional level of BCR-ABL1 implies the presence of additional clones and therefore a high oncoprotein level, generating resistance. Moreover, point mutations of the ABL1 domain increase with disease progression mostly during blast crisis. They also can affect biological processes leading to a low TKI bioavailability [32–34].

These mutations are less detected in primary resistance than in secondary resistance to TKIs and act through various mechanisms such as (1) a change in the three-dimensional conformation of the TKI-binding site, (2) stabilization of the active form of the oncoprotein so that the TKI cannot bind to its site, elevating the TKI concentrations required for inhibition above the clinically achievable range, or (3) with induction of destabilizing interactions that will abolish self-inhibition of the BCR-ABL1 tyrosine kinase [32, 33].

BCR-ABL1-independent pathways may be related to drug pharmacokinetics possibly influenced by CYT450 and CYP3A4 which will elevate hepatic metabolism, induce changes in the activity of efflux protein (PgP) and impulse protein (hOCT1). The outcome would be a decrease in the intracellular concentration of the drug [35]. In addition, leukemia cells are usually characterized by genomic instability. These cancer cells can act by activating the phosphorylation of STAT3 through the cytokines of the stroma which stimulates cell growth, or by secretion of FGF2 which activates the MAPK signaling, thereby promoting leukemia cells proliferation [36]. ATP-binding cassette transporters are also involved in the development of resistance when they are overexpressed [37, 38]. Another explanation for resistance is the quiescence of LSCs, allowing these cells to persist and enter a resting phase regardless of TKI treatment. The JAK2/STAT3 and BMP4 / BMPR1B pathways are considered to be important players in signaling and promoting the persistence of residual leukemic stem cells in the bone marrow of patients [39].

A subpopulation of LSCs has been identified due to overexpression of signaling molecules such as TNF, CTNNB1, etc., considered as targets of several therapies [38]. The microenvironment is also involved in resistance via surrounding stromal cells which act on LSCs by stopping their cell cycle through growth factors and cytokines [39]. For example CXCR4, a chemokine receptor, is inhibited by the oncoprotein BCR-ABL1, causing the modification of the interactions between the medullary stroma and the LSCs [40].

TKI resistance generates TKI failure which is manifested on the cytogenetic level by the appearance of ACA. For example, the appearance of the chromosome abnormality 3q26.2 in some patients was associated with a poor vital prognosis while its presence was associated with a high frequency of the ABL1 domain mutations thus supporting TKI resistance [35]. Another study suggests that other additional major chromosomal aberrations that appear following the failure of imatinib treatment such as the addition of an extra Ph chromosome, or the
isochromosome of the long arm of chromosome 17, are considered as a signal of disease progression [41].

In a randomized CML-study IV, 1510 CML patients treated with imatinib were analyzed for ACA and it was found that ACA such as (+8, +Ph, i(17q), 3q26.2, −7/7q abnormalities) also called high-risk ACA’s had a negative impact on survival [42].

In another study, ACA’s such as trisomy 8, loss of Y and an extra Ph chromosome were associated with a relatively good prognosis. In contrast, the isochromosome of the long arm of 17 i(17)(q10) and 3q26.2 rearrangements were associated with a relatively poor prognosis. Furthermore, the presence of 2 or more ACA conferred an inferior survival prognosis and can be categorized into the poor prognostic group [43].

Survival results were not statistically significant or different in patients with ACA based on treatment with imatinib versus second-generation TKI following a survey [31].

Molecular biology’s part in the comprehension and management of CML

Pathophysiology of CML

In CML, breakpoints mainly occur in intronic regions, at the level of the ABL1 and BCR genes. On the ABL1 gene (Fig. 2a), breakpoints are quite common between exon 1b and 1a. They can also occur upstream of exon 1b or downstream of exon 1a. At the level of the BCR gene (Fig. 2b), breakpoints occur mainly in the M-BCR region either between exons e13 and e14, between e14 and e15, rarely in the m-BCR region between exon e1 and e2 or in the u-BCR region between exon e19 and e20 [44]. This recombination between ABL1 and BCR is responsible for the production of a variety of hybrid mRNAs (Fig. 2c). Overall, if the break takes place in the M-BCR region, two types of transcripts are obtained, the first e14a2 (exon 14 of BCR and exon a2 of ABL1) also called b3a2 and the second e13a2 (exon e13 BCR and exon a2 of ABL1) also called b2a2. These variants encode the typical protein of CML p210. Interruptions in the m-BCR or u-BCR regions lead, respectively, to e1a2 transcript encoding the p190 and e19a2 transcript encoding the p230.

P190 is mainly involved in acute lymphoblastic leukemia with Philadelphia chromosome (Ph+), while p230 is incriminated in 0.1% of chronic myeloid leukemia with polymorphonuclear cells (CML-PN) [45].

Other atypical but rare transcript variants such as e6a2, e8a2, e1a3, e13a3 and e14a3 have been reported [46].

In Table 2, studies performed in different countries have reported the frequencies of fusion transcripts among patients with Ph chromosome.

In the studies conducted in Indonesia, Nigeria and Iran, the b3a2 (e14a2) variant was the most commonly found in patients with respective frequencies of 74.4%, 67.3% and 63%. The studies established in Mexico and Sudan reveal a preponderance of the b2a2 (e13a2) with frequencies of 48% and 19.3%, respectively.

![Fig. 2](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

**Fig. 2** The breaking points at the level of the ABL1 gene (a) and the BCR gene (b) lead to the formation of recombinant RNA transcripts e1a2, e13a2,e14a2 and e19a2 (c) (Created on BioRender)
The co-expression of b3a2/b2a2, was found in studies carried out in Mexico and Sudan, with an approximately equal frequency. In Indonesia, the frequency of these two co-expressed variants reaches 1%.

The e1a2 variant was found in Iran with a frequency of 1%.

These variations in frequencies may be linked to geographic differences or ethnic and genetic profiles.

Studies have suggested that the b3a2 and b2a2 transcripts are different in their impact on disease outcome. B3a2 appeared to have lower tyrosine kinase activity than b2a2 and patients who expressed the b3a2 transcript treated with imatinib responded very well to treatment compared to those expressing the b2a2 transcript which appeared to have lower levels of MMR and MR4.5 [47, 48]. It has also been reported that the presence of e14a2 is associated with a high molecular response to imatinib. Overall, e14a2 transcript has better survival outcomes and patients expressing the e13a2 transcript receiving dasatinib or nilotinib responded very well to second-generation TKI compared to those treated with imatinib. Additionally, e1a2 and e19a2 were associated with poor cytogenetic/molecular response after TKI treatment and poor survival outcomes [47, 49].

The mechanism of this homologous recombination between ABL and BCR genes, is suggested to be related to their juxtaposition during the cell cycle (between S phase and G2 phase) [44].

The p210BCR−ABL oncoprotein is strongly involved in the leukemic transformation of hematopoietic stem cells thanks to the overactivation of its tyrosine kinase. It is localized in the cytoplasm and although it retains the nuclear localization sequence (NLS) and the nuclear export sequence (NES), it cannot enter the nucleus. During the blast crisis, p210 is endowed with increased activity due to the absence of negative feedback [55].

This fusion protein comprises an oligomerization domain and a tyrosine residue at position 177 which are important for the oncogenic activity of BCR-ABL1. The domain of serine-threonine kinase activity includes two binding sites to Src Homology 2 (SH2) domains, a central domain of Dbl homology (DH) that functions as a guanine exchange factor (GEF), a domain of homology SRC1 (SH1) responsible for the tyrosine kinase activity, an activation loop (major autophosphorylation site), a substrate-binding site, an ATP-binding site, a SH2 domain positive regulator of the SH1 domain and an SH3 domain negative regulator of the SH2 domain. Lastly, a proline-rich (PxxP) region that interacts with the CT10 regulator of kinase-like protein (CRKL) or Growth factor receptor-bound protein 2 (GRB2), NLS and NES sequences, a DNA binding domain and an actin-binding domain in the C-terminus of the ABL kinase (Fig. 3) [56, 57].

There are four main mechanisms involved in the induction of oncogenesis. These mechanisms are implicated in the continuous activation of the tyrosine kinase receptor (TKR) in CML: (1) gain-of-function mutations, (2) genome amplification, (3) chromosome aberrations and (4) autocrine activation by CML stem cells. BCR-ABL1 activates a variety of signaling pathways leading to cell proliferation, promotion of survival, inhibition of apoptosis, alteration of cell adhesion to the extracellular matrix and leukemic cells capability of self-renewal (Fig. 4) [57, 58].

Molecular diagnosis
Mutations are detected by qRT-PCR, a hyper-sensitive technique used to detect and quantify mRNA transcribed into complementary DNA (cDNA) which will undergo PCR amplification [59]. DNA can be labeled in a non-specific way (without a probe) or in a specific way (with a probe). SYBR Green I is non-specific radioactive DNA marker that binds to double-stranded or single-stranded DNA. With the Taqman probe, it hybridizes to its complementary sequence (a single-stranded DNA) and in the course of polymerization, Taq polymerase degrades this probe and a fluorescent signal is released. The PCR product fluoresces as it polymerizes in both ways [60].

Some patients may express variant transcripts, which makes the diagnosis more difficult. Hence the use of the RT-multiplex PCR allows to amplify more than one target sequence using multiple primer pairs and improve the detection of Typical and atypical BCR-ABL

---

**Table 2** Frequencies of expression of the BCR-ABL rearrangements in patients with CML from different studies

| Types of BCR-ABL fusion transcripts | [50] Mexico N = 250 | [51] Iran N = 75 | [52] Sudan N = 112 | [53] Nigeria N = 42 | [54] Indonesia N = 183 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| b3a2 (e14a2)                      | 35%             | 63%            | 5.5%            | 67.3%           | 74.3%           |
| b2a2 (e13a2)                      | 48%             | 20%            | 19.3%           | 21.4%           | 22.4%           |
| b3a2/b2a2                         | 7%              | –              | 7.3%            | –               | 1%              |
| e1a2                              | –               | 1%             | –               | –               | –               |

(N): Number of patients included in the study; (%): Frequency of the variant
This multiplexing can be non-specific (isoguanosine and isocytosine together) or specific by using several oligonucleotides per target [62].

**Molecular response monitoring and assessment of minimal residual disease (MRD)**

Molecular response is evaluated by either quantitative RT-PCR or RQ-PCR, both consisting in amplifying and quantifying the BCR-ABL fusion transcript. The RQ-PCR gives the possibility of detecting a leukemia cell out of 1 million cells allowing easy evaluation of minimal residual disease (MRD). MRD is considered to be a strong indicator of remission or relapse after treatment and an important factor in determining strategies for treatment [29, 30]. Recently, a new method has been developed by a Japanese team, entitled in-house RQ-PCR due to its conception in their laboratory, for MRD detection and monitoring. The clinical trials revealed that this technique appears to be well standardized, very effective and sensitive in the detection of MRD and could eventually be advantageous for hospital laboratories [63].

Early molecular response (EMR) is determined by a rate of BCR-ABL1 IS ≤ 10% at 3 or 6 months of TKI therapy [64]. According to ELN, molecular monitoring can be classified as an optimal response post-treatment if by 3 months BCR-ABL1 ≤ 10%, by 6-months BCR-ABL1 < 1% and by 12 months BCR-ABL1 ≤ 0.1% marking a major molecular response (MMR), associated with a higher probability of reaching deep molecular response (DMR) [65]. A stable molecular response is defined by persistence of the same molecular response in international scale (IS) (MMR; BCR-ABL1 IS ≤ 0.1%, MR4; BCR-ABL1 IS ≤ 0.01%, and MR4.5; BCR-ABL1 IS ≤ 0.0032%) at three consecutive assessments [66]. When the response fails post-TKI, it is defined by BCR-ABL1 > 10% at 6-months and BCR-ABL1 > 1% at 12-months [11].

**Treatment resistance**

In molecular biology, the search for mutations is carried out by conventional Sanger sequencing and more recently by next-generation sequencing (NGS) [67]. NGS allows distinguishing between polyclonal mutations that exist in different clones and compound mutations that exist within the same clone [68]. According to an investigation, the non-response to treatment in patients with CML revealed mutations undetectable by Sanger sequencing in 34% of patients knowing that these mutations are relevant to find adequate treatment [69]. Thus, NGS is used to reveal these mutations as well as their co-occurrence with somatic mutations in patients who do not respond to treatment [70].

Point mutations in the ABL1 domain are found in 50% of patients resistant to imatinib and lead to a loss of affinity between the TKI and its binding site in the ABL1 domain [71]. More than 80 amino acid substitutions have been reported in association with resistance to imatinib. In contrast, dasatinib, nilotinib and other TKIs have a much smaller spectrum of mutations of ABL1 domain...
Some mutations of ABL1 resistant to TKIs are cited in Fig. 5. Branford et al. demonstrated that somatic variants included gene fusions, splicing abnormalities in addition to the BCR-ABL1 fusion, requiring multiple modes of variant detection [72].

Recently, some other mutations have been discovered in the polycomb repressive complex (PRC) pathway: PRC2 participates in gene silencing especially for the genes involved in myeloid cell line differentiation and PRC1 acts similarly on novel tumor suppressors [75].

Common mutant genes at blast crisis reported in the study of Branford were RUNX1, ASXL1 and IKZF1 exon deletions [76].

**Advances in treatment of chronic myeloid leukemia**

The management of chronic myeloid leukemia with Ph+ has undergone significant progress over a relatively short period, starting with allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation and recombinant interferon alfa (rIFNα) or drugs used as initial treatment such as Hydroxyurea, Cytarabine or Busulfan, and more recently tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) [77]. These inhibitors (Fig. 6) act directly on the kinase activity of the BCR-ABL oncoprotein, changing radically the vital prognosis in CML patients in terms of remission and survival [78]. Approved TKIs may contribute, when combined with other antineoplastic drugs (such as interferon-α), to enhancing the efficacy of the treatment [79].

In addition, new targeted BCR-ABL1 therapies are under development, focusing primarily on third-line therapy and carriers of T315I mutation [80].

For example, Vodobatinib is a new drug studied in CML patients who are resistant/intolerant to ≥ 3 TKIs or in the presence of comorbidities limiting the use of some TKIs. Its efficacy has been proven in vitro against most BCR-ABL mutations and it is under study as a potential new agent in CML therapy [81].

Olveremabatinib is a third-generation TKI proven to be effective in a phase I study in particular for patients with T315I mutation or refractory/intolerant to other TKIs [82].
PF-114 is a 4th generation TKI, structurally similar to ponatinib that acts against BCR-ABL1 mutations at nanomolar concentrations. This drug is effective in patients with treatment failure to 2 or more TKIs or with T315I mutation [83].

Another new targeted therapy, asciminib (ABL001), the first allosteric inhibitor of BCR-ABL1 that acts on the myristoylated site of the ABL protein and allosterically inhibits the kinase activity of the oncoprotein BCR-ABL1 by stabilizing the inactive conformation of the protein [79, 84].

Many clinical trials were elaborated in terms of testing the efficiency of Asciminib. Overall, they have demonstrated the effectiveness of Asciminib for patients who were resistant or intolerant to a previous type of tyrosine kinase inhibitor. In each trial, the major molecular response (MMR) was reached within a period of 24–48 weeks and the side effects noticed for the drug were approximately similar in all the studies [85–88].

Regarding therapeutic advances in hematologic malignancies, targeting non-BCR-ABL1 mediated leukemia stem cells (LSCs) offers interesting prospects to address disease persistence [89]. These leukemia stem cells are considered to be the main cause for relapse or resistance to TKIs after treatment discontinuation, because TKIs alone are unable to eradicate them [80]. The mechanism of action upon these cells aims to inhibit other molecular pathways signaling by combining TKIs with other agents to help accelerate the elimination of residual leukemia stem cells and reduce the risk for adverse events [90]. As an example of these agents: Hypomethylating agents in combination with dasatinib and BCL-2 inhibitors in combination with ponatinib which have shown encouraging results [91, 92].

Along with the development of treatment, treatment goals have evolved from improving the vital prognosis, preventing disease progression, minimizing treatment-related toxicities to treatment-free remission (TFR). Remission without treatment requires discontinuation of TKIs, which is possible in patients with prior TKI treatment for 5 years and sustained DMR for ≥ 24 months. If these latter conditions were present, the potential to stop treatment and achieve TFR becomes possible [66, 93].
In one study, DMR and duration of treatment played an important role in the success of TKI discontinuation in a group of patients [94]. Despite the possibility of treatment interruption, some patients experience molecular relapses after the loss of the MMR within the first 6 months after stopping TKIs. Recurrent patients have been reported to have fluctuating MRD measures during TFR. The rate of these patients has reached 14% after 2 years of TFR [95].

In the event of disease recurrence, a close long-term MRD monitoring is recommended to assess the molecular response in these patients, by a monthly qRT-PCR required for 6–12 months to allow rapid intervention [96]. In clinical trials for TKIS, scoring systems have been used to stratify patient risk into 3 risk groups (low, intermediate and high): the Sokal score relies on several clinical variables (number of blasts, platelets, size of the spleen and age of the patients). The Euro score relies on the same clinical variables in addition to the percentage of basophils and eosinophils in the bloodstream [97].

More recently, European Leukemia Network validated a new risk score the “European treatment and outcome study long-term survival” (ELTS) which assesses the likelihood of death from CML in patients treated with TKI, taking into account the age parameter as a negative prognostic value [98].

Another component of treatment for CML is hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSC) which involves transplanting these cells (from a healthy donor to a patient) to eradicate the malignant clones. Over the decades, this treatment option has been improved, deaths caused by complications after cell transplantation have decreased, and transplantation at an early age with increased safety has been allowed [80, 99]. In the TKI era, it is considered to be a salvage therapy for patients in BP after responding to treatment, for patients in AP not responding to therapy or progressing towards BP phase and also for resistant/intolerant patients to multiple TKIs or at least one 2nd generation TKIs [11, 100, 101].

Conclusion
CML is among the most studied myeloproliferative syndromes given its early discovery in the twentieth century. Following the understanding of CML’s pathogenesis, scientists were able to implement new therapies for long-term remission. Cytogenetic and molecular tests, however, are crucial in the diagnosis of CML, monitoring MRD and observing the emergence of ACA or ABL1 mutations prognostically significant. In the TKI era, new drugs and drug combinations were elaborated to overcome resistance, intolerance and eliminate residual LSCs. For patients refractory to TKI in the advanced phase of the disease, allogeneic transplantation remains the best alternative solution. In general, chronic myeloid leukemia has become manageable and curable over the decades thanks to advances in cancer therapy. However, achieving remission without treatment is still controversial and remains a challenge after treatment discontinuation.
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