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Abstract

Chinese named entity recognition (NER) has recently been viewed as a classification or sequence labeling problem, and many approaches have been proposed. However, they tend to address this problem without considering linguistic information in Chinese NEs. We propose a new framework based on probabilistic graphical models with first-order logic for Chinese NER. First, we use Conditional Random Fields (CRFs), a standard and theoretically well-founded machine learning method based on undirected graphical models as a base system. Second, we introduce various types of domain knowledge into Markov Logic Networks (MLNs), an effective combination of first-order logic and probabilistic graphical models for validation and error correction of entities. Experimental results show that our framework of probabilistic graphical models with first-order logic significantly outperforms the state-of-the-art models for solving this task.

1 Introduction

Named entity recognition (NER) is the task of identifying and classifying phrases that denote certain types of named entities (NEs), such as person names (PERs), locations (LOCs) and organizations (ORGs) in text documents. It is a well-established task in the NLP and data mining communities and is regarded as crucial technology for many higher-level applications, such as information extraction, question answering, information retrieval and knowledge management. The NER problem has generated much interest and great progress has been made, as evidenced by the inclusion as an understanding task to be evaluated in the Terrier Evaluation Task (TBL) (Brill, 1995) or variants of them) might be unsatisfactory to learn linguistic information in Chinese NEs. Current state-of-the-art models often view Chinese NER as a classification or sequence labeling problem without considering the linguistic and structural information in Chinese NEs. They assume that entities are independent, however in most cases this assumption does not hold because of the existing relationships among the entities. They seek to locate and identify named entities in text by sequentially classifying tokens (words or characters) as to whether or not they participate in an NE, which is sometimes prone to noise and errors.

In fact, Chinese NEs have distinct linguistic characteristics in their composition and human beings usually use prior knowledge to recognize NEs. For example, about 365 of the highest frequently used surnames cover 99% Chinese surnames (Sun et al., 1995). Some LOCs contain location salient words, while some ORGs contain organization salient words. For the LOC "香港特区/Hong Kong Special Region", "香港/Hong Kong" is the name part and "特区/Special Region" is the salient word. For the ORG "香港特区政府/Hong Kong Special Region Government", "香港/Hong Kong" is the LOC name part, "特区/Special Region" is the LOC salient word and "政府/Government" is the ORG salient word. Some ORGs contain one or more PERs, LOCs and ORGs. A more complex exam-
ple is the nested ORG "北京市海淀区清华大学计算
机学院/School of Computer Science, Tsinghua Univer-
sity, Haidian District, Beijing City" which contains two
ORGs “清华大学/Tsinghua University” and “计算
机学院/School of Computer Science” and two LOCs “北京
市/Beijing City” and “海淀区/Haidian District”. The two
ORGs contain ORG salient words “大学/University” and
“学院/School”, while the two LOCs contain LOC salient
words “市/City” and “区/District” respectively.

Inspired by the above observation, we propose a new
framework based on probabilistic graphical models with
first-order logic which treats Chinese NER
as a statistical relational learning (SRL) problem and makes use
of domain knowledge. First, we employ Conditional Random
Fields (CRFs), a discriminatively trained undirected graph-
ical model which has theoretical justification and has been
shown to be an effective approach to segmenting and label-
ing sequence data, as our base system. We then exploit a
variety of domain knowledge into Markov Logic Networks
(MLNs), a powerful combination of logic and probability,
to validate and correct errors made in the base system. We
show how a variety of domain knowledge can be formu-
lated as first-order logic and incorporated into MLNs. We
use three Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithms,
including Gibbs sampling, Simulated Tempering, as well as
MC-SAT, and Maximum a posteriori/Most Probable Expla-
nation (MAP/MPE) algorithm for probabilistic inference
in MLNs. Experimental results show that our framework
based on graphical models with logic yields substantially better NER results, leading to a relative error reduction of
up to 23.75% on the F-measure over state-of-the-art mod-
els. McNemar’s tests confirm that the improvements we
obtained are statistically highly significant.

2 State of the Art

2.1 CRF Model for Chinese NER

Conditional Random Fields (CRFs) (Lafferty et al., 2001)
are undirected graphical models trained to maximize the
conditional probability of the desired outputs given the corre-
sponding inputs. CRFs have the great flexibility to en-
code a wide variety of arbitrary, non-independent features
and to straightforwardly combine rich domain knowledge.
Furthermore, they are discriminatively trained, and are of-
ten more accurate than generative models, even with the
same features. CRFs have been successfully applied to a
number of real-world tasks, including NP chunking (Sha
and Pereira, 2003), Chinese word segmentation (Peng et
al., 2004), information extraction (Pinto et al., 2003), Peng
and McCallum (2004), named entity identification (Mc-
Callum and Li, 2003), Settles (2004), and many others.

Recently, CRFs have been shown to perform excep-
tionally well on Chinese NER shared task on the third
SIGHAN Chinese language processing bakeoff (SIGHAN-06)
(Zhou et al., 2006). We follow the state-of-the-art CRF models using features that have been shown to be very effective in Chinese NER, namely the current character and its part-of-speech (POS) tag, several characters surrounding (both before and after) the cur-
rent character and their POS tags, current word and several
words surrounding the current word.

We also observe some important issues that significantly
influence the performance as follows:

Window size: The primitive window size we use is 5 (2
characters preceding the current character and 2 follow-
ing the current character). We extend the window size to 7 but
find that it slightly hurts. The reason is that CRFs can deal
with non-independent features. A larger window size may
introduce noisy and irrelevant features.

Feature representation: For character features, we use
character identities. For word features, BIES representa-
tion (each character is beginning of a word, of a word, end of a
word, or a single word) is employed.

Labeling scheme: The labeling scheme can be BIO, BIOE
or BIOES representation. In BIO representation, each char-
acter is tagged as either the beginning of a named entity
(B), a character inside a named entity (I), or a character
outside a named entity (O). In BIOE, the last character in
an entity is labeled as E while in BIOES, single-character
entities are labeled as S. In general, BIOES representation
is more informative and yields better results than both BIO
and BIOE.

2.2 Error Analysis

Even though the CRF model is able to accommodate a large
number of well-engineered features which can be easily
obtained across languages, some NEs, especially LOCs and
ORGs are difficult to identify due to the lack of linguistic
or structural characteristics. Since predictions are made
token by token, some typical and serious tagging errors are
still made, as shown below:

- **ORG is incorrectly tagged as LOC**: In Chinese, many
  ORGs contain location information. The CRF model only
tags the location information (in the ORGs) as LOCs. For
example, “唐山理工学院/Tangshan Technical Insti-
tute” and “海南省/Beijing Hainan Provincial Committee” are
ORGs and they contain LOCs “唐山/Tangshan” and “海南
省/Hainan Province”, respectively. “唐山/Tangshan” and
“海南省/Hainan Province” are only incorrectly tagged as
LOCs. This affects the tagging performance of both ORGs and
LOCs.

- **LOC is incorrectly tagged as ORG**: The LOCs “悉尼歌剧院/Sydney Opera” and “北京体育馆/Beijing Gymnasium”
  are mistakenly tagged as ORGs by the CRF model with-
out taking into account the location salient words “歌剧院/Opera” and “体育馆/Gymnasium”.

---

1 In this paper we only focus on PERS, LOCs and ORGs. Since
temporal, numerical and monetary phrases can be well identified
with rule-based approaches.
• The boundary of entity is tagged incorrectly: This mistake occurs for all the entities. For example, the PER "汤姆·克鲁斯/Tom Cruise" may be tagged as a PER "汤姆/Tom"; the LOC "不来梅/Bremen" may be tagged as a LOC "来梅/Laimei", which is a meaningless word; the ORG "华为公司/Huawei Corporation" may be tagged as an ORG "华为/Huawei". The reasons for these errors are both complicated and varied. However, some of them are related to linguistic knowledge.

• Common nouns are incorrectly tagged as entities: For example, the two common nouns "现代数学/Modern Mathematics" and "格兰士微波炉/Galanz Microwave Oven" may be improperly tagged as a LOC and an ORG. Some tagging errors could be easily rectified. Take the erroneous ORG "市委组织，/City Committee Organizes," for example, intuitively it is not an ORG since an entity cannot span any punctuation.

3 Our Proposed Framework

3.1 Overview

We propose a framework based on probabilistic graphical models with first-order logic for Chinese NER. As shown in Figure 1, the framework is composed of three main components. The CRF model is used as a base model. Then we incorporate domain knowledge that can be well formulated into first-order logic to extract entity candidates from CRF results. Finally, the Markov Logic Network (MLN), an undirected graphical model for statistical relational learning, is used to validate and correct the errors made in the base model. We begin by briefly reviewing the necessary background of MLNs, including weight learning and inference.

3.2 Markov Logic Networks

A Markov Network (also known as Markov Random Field) is a model for the joint distribution of a set of variables \( \mathcal{X} \) [Pearl 1988]. It is composed of an undirected graph \( G = (V, E) \) and a set of real-valued potential functions \( \phi_k \). A First-Order Knowledge Base (KB) [Genesereth and Nilsson 1987] is a set of sentences or formulas in first-order logic.

A Markov Logic Network (MLN) [Richardson and Domingos 2006] is a KB with a weight attached to each formula (or clause). Together with a set of constants representing objects in the domain, it species a ground Markov Network containing one feature for each possible grounding of a first-order formula \( F_i \) in the KB, with the corresponding weight \( w_i \). The basic idea in MLNs is that: when a world violates one formula in the KB it is less probable, but not impossible. The fewer formulas a world violates, the more probable it is. The weights associated with the formulas in an MLN jointly determine the probabilities of those formulas (and vice versa) via a log-linear model. An MLN is a statistical relational model that defines a probability distribution over Herbrand interpretations (possible worlds), and can be thought of as a template for constructing Markov Networks. Given different sets of constants, it will produce different networks. These networks will have certain regularities in structure and parameter given by the MLN and they are called ground Markov Networks. Suppose \( P(x, y) = \text{Person}(x), \text{Company}(y) \) are 3 constants, a KB and generated features are listed in Table 1. The formula \( \text{Employ}(x, y) \Rightarrow \text{Person}(x), \text{Company}(y) \) means \( x \) is employed by \( y \) and \( \text{Colleague}(x, y) \Rightarrow \text{Employ}(x, z) \land \text{Employ}(y, z) \) means \( x \) and \( y \) are colleagues if they are employed by the same company. Figure 2 shows the ground of the Markov network defined by the formulas in Table 1 and the 3 constants \( P(x, y) = \text{Person}(x), \text{Company}(y) \) and \( \text{Employ}(x, y) \). The probability distribution over possible worlds \( x \) specified by the ground Markov Network \( M_{L,C} \) is given by

\[
P(X = x) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left(\sum w_i n_i(x) \right) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{i} \phi_i(x) n_i(x)
\]

where \( n_i(x) \) is the number of true groundings of \( F_i \) in \( x \), \( x \) is the true value of the atoms appearing in \( F_i \), and \( \phi_i(x) = e^{w_i} \).

In the case of Chinese NER, a named entity can be connected to another named entity for instance, because they share the same location salient word. Thus in an undirected graph, two node types exist, the LOC nodes and the location salient word nodes. The links (edges) indicate the relation (LOCs contain location salient words) between them. This representation can be well expressed by MLNs.

However, one problem concerning relational data is, how to extract useful relations for Chinese NER. There are many kinds of relations between NES, some relations are critical to the NER problem while others not. Another problem that we address is whether these relations can be formulated in first-order logic and combined in MLNs. In Section 3.3 we exploit domain knowledge. We will show how these knowledge can capture essential characteristics of Chinese NES and can be well and concisely formulated in first-order logic in Section 3.4.

![Figure 1: Framework Overview](image-url)
3.2.1 Learning Weights

Given a relational database, MLN weights can in principle be learned generatively by maximizing the likelihood of this database on the closed world assumption. The gradient of the log-likelihood with respect to the weights is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w_i} \log P_w(X = x) = n_i(x) - \sum P_w(X = x')n_i(x')$$

(2)

where the sum is over all possible databases $x'$, and $P_w(X = x')$ is $P(X = x')$ computed using the current weight vector $w = (w_1, ..., w_i, ...)$. Unfortunately, computing these expectations can be very expensive. Instead, we can maximize the pseudo-log-likelihood of the data more efficiently. If $x$ is a possible database and $x_i$ is the $i$th ground atom’s truth value, the pseudo-log-likelihood of $x$ given weights $w$ is

$$\log P_w^*(X = x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log P_w(X_i = x_i \mid MB_x(X_i))$$

(3)

where $MB_x(X_i)$ is the state of $X_i$’s Markov blanket in the data. Computing Equation 3 and its gradient does not require inference over the model, and is therefore much faster. We can optimize the pseudo-log-likelihood using the limited-memory BFGS algorithm [Liu and Nocedal, 1989].

3.2.2 Inference

If $F_1$ and $F_2$ are two formulas in first-order logic, $C$ is a finite set of constants including any constants that appear in $F_1$ or $F_2$, and $L$ is an MLN, then

$$P(F_1 \mid F_2, L, C) = P(F_1 \mid F_2, M_{L,C})$$

$$= \frac{P(F_1 \wedge F_2 \mid M_{L,C})}{P(F_2 \mid M_{L,C})}$$

(4)

$$= \frac{\sum_{x \in \chi_{F_1 \wedge F_2}} P(X = x \mid M_{L,C})}{\sum_{x \in \chi_{F_2}} P(X = x \mid M_{L,C})}$$

where $\chi_{F_i}$ is the set of worlds where $F_i$ holds, and $P(x \mid M_{L,C})$ is given by Equation 1. The question of whether a knowledge base entails a formula $F$ in first-order logic is the question of whether $P(F \mid L_{KB}, C_{KB,F}) = 1$, where $L_{KB}$ is the MLN obtained by assigning infinite weight to all the formulas in $KB$, and $C_{KB,F}$ is the set of all constants appearing in $KB$ or $F$.

A large number of efficient inference techniques are applicable to MLNs. The most widely used approximate solution to probabilistic inference in MLNs is Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) [Gilks et al., 1996]. In this framework, the Gibbs sampling algorithm is to generate an instance from the distribution of each variable in turn, conditional on the current values of the other variables. The key to the Gibbs sampler is that one only considers univariate conditional distributions—the distribution when all the random variables but one are assigned fixed values. One way to speed up Gibbs sampling is by Simulated Tempering [Marinari and Parisi, 1992], which performs simulation in a generalized ensemble, and can rapidly achieve an equilibrium state. Poon and Domingos (2006) proposed MC-SAT, an inference algorithm that combines ideas from MCMC and satisfiability. MC-SAT works well and is guaranteed to be sound, even when deterministic or near-deterministic dependencies are present in real-world reasoning. Besides MCMC framework, maximum a posteriori (MAP) inference can be carried out using a weighted satisfiability solver like MaxWalkSAT. It is closely related to maximum likelihood (ML), but employs an augmented optimization objective which incorporates a prior distribution over the quantity one wants to estimate. MAP estimation can therefore be seen as a regularization of ML estimation.

3.3 Domain Knowledge

We incorporate various kinds of domain knowledge via MLNs to predict the newly extracted NE candidates from...
CRF hypotheses. We extract 165 location salient words and 843 organization salient words from Wikipedia\footnote{http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/} and the LDC Chinese-English bi-directional NE lists compiled from Xinhua News database, as shown in Table\footnote{\ref{table2}}\ref{table2}. We also make a punctuation list which contains 18 items and some stopwords which Chinese NEs cannot contain. The stopwords are mainly conjunctions, auxiliary and functional words. We extract new NE candidates from the CRF results according to the following consideration:

- Definitely, if a chunk (a series of continuous characters) occurs in the training data as a PER or a LOC or an ORG, then this chunk should be a PER or a LOC or an ORG in the testing data. In general, a unique string is defined as a PER, it cannot be a LOC somewhere else.

- Obviously, if a tagged entity ends with a location salient word, it is a LOC. If a tagged entity ends with an organization salient word, it is an ORG.

- If a tagged entity is close to a subsequent location salient word, probably they should be combined together as aLOC. The closer they are, the more likely that they should be combined.

- If a series of consecutive tagged entities are close to a subsequent organization salient word, they should probably be combined together as an ORG because an ORG may contain multiple PERs, LOCs and ORGs.

- Similarly, if there exists a series of consecutive tagged entities and the last one is tagged as an ORG, it is likely that all of them should be combined as an ORG.

- Entity length restriction: all kinds of tagged entities cannot exceed 25 Chinese characters.

- Stopword restriction: intuitively, all tagged entities cannot comprise any stopword.

- Punctuation restriction: in general, all tagged entities cannot span any punctuation.

- Since all NEs are proper nouns, the tagged entities should end with noun words.

- The CRF model tags each token (Chinese character) with a conditional probability. A low probability implies a low-confidence prediction. For a chunk with low conditional probabilities, all the above assumptions are adopted (The marginal probabilities are normalized, and probabilities lower than the user-defined threshold are regarded as low conditional probabilities).

All the above domain knowledge can be formulated as first-order logic to construct the structure of MLNs. And all the extracted chunks are accepted as new NE candidates (or common nouns). We train an MLN to recognize them.

### 3.4 First-Order Logic Representation

We declared 14 predicates \((\text{person}(\text{candidate}), \text{location}(\text{candidate}), \text{organization}(\text{candidate}), \text{endwith}(\text{candidate}, \text{salientword}), \text{contains}(\text{candidate}, \text{salientword}), \text{containstopword}(\text{candidate}), \text{containpunctuation}(\text{candidate})\), etc) and specified 15 first-order formulas (See Table\footnote{\ref{table3}}\ref{table3} for some examples) according to the domain knowledge described in Section 3.3. For example, we used \(\text{person}(\text{candidate})\) to specify whether a candidate is a PER. Formulas are recursively constructed from atomic formulas using logical connectives and quantifiers. They are constructed using four types of symbols: \textit{constants}, \textit{variables}, \textit{functions}, \textit{and predicates}. \textit{Constant symbols} represent objects in the domain of interest (e.g., “北京/Beijing” and “上海/Shanghai” are LOCs). \textit{Variable symbols} (e.g., \(x\) and \(p\)) range over the objects in the domain. To reduce the size of ground Markov Network, variables and constants are \textit{typed}; for example, the variable \(x\) may range over candidates, and the constant “北京/Beijing” may represent a LOC. \textit{Function symbols} represent mappings from tuples of objects to objects. \textit{Predicate symbols} represent relations among objects (e.g., person) in the domain or attributes of objects (e.g., endwith). A \textit{ground atom} is an atomic formula all of whose arguments are ground terms (terms containing no variables). For example, the ground atom \textit{location}(北京市) conveys that “北京市/Beijing City” is a LOC.

For example in Table\footnote{\ref{table3}}\ref{table3} “鸟市/Wu City” is mis-tagged as an ORG by the CRF model, but it contains the location salient word “市/City”. So it is extracted as a new entity candidate, and the corresponding formula \(\text{endwith}(r, p) \land \text{locsalientword}(p) \Rightarrow \text{location}(r)\) means if \(r\) ends with a location salient word \(p\), then it is a LOC. Besides the formulas listed in Table\footnote{\ref{table3}}\ref{table3} we also specified logic such as \(\text{person}(p) \Rightarrow ! (\text{location}(p) \lor \text{organization}(p))\), which means a candidate \(p\) can

| Table 2: Domain Knowledge for Chinese NER |
|------------------------------------------|
| Location Salient Word | Organization Salient Word |
| 北京区/ municipality | 百货公司/ Department Store |
| 火车站/ Railway Station | 理工学院/ Technical Institute |
| 宾馆/ Hotel | 旅行社/ Travel Agency |
| 公园/ Park | 出版社/ Press |
| 高原/ Plateau | 人事部/ Personnel Department |
| 省/ Province | 银行/ Bank |
| 市/ City | 大学/ University |
| 委/ City Committee |
| Stopword | Punctuation |
| 仍然/ still |  |
| 但是/ but | ? |
| 非常/ very | : |
| 的/ of | : |
| 等/ and so on | : |
| 那/ that | ! |

3http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/
only belong to one class.

We assume that the relational database contains only binary relations. Each extracted NE candidate is represented by one or more strings appearing as arguments of ground atoms in the database. The goal of NE prediction is to determine whether the candidates are entities and the types of entities (query predicates), given the evidence predicates and other relations that can be deterministically derived from the database. As we will see, despite their simplicity and consistency, these first-order formulas incorporate the essential features for NE prediction.

4 Experiments

4.1 Dataset

We used People’s Daily corpus (January-Jun, 1998) in our experiments, which contains approximately 357K sentences, 156K PERs, 219K LOCs and 87K ORGs, respectively. We did some modifications on the original data to make it cleaner. We enriched some tags so that the abbreviation proper nouns are well labeled. We preprocessed some nested names to make them in better form. We also processed some person names. We enriched tags for different kinds of person names (e.g., Chinese and transliterated names) and separated consecutive person names.

4.2 The Baseline NER System

We use CRFs to build a character-based Chinese NER system, with features described in Section 2.1. To avoid overfitting, we penalized the log-likelihood by the commonly used zero-mean Gaussian prior over the parameters. In addition, we exploit clue word features which can capture non-local dependencies. This gives us a competitive baseline CRF model using both local and non-local information.

For clue word features, we employ 412 career titles (e.g., President, Professor, Police), 59 family titles (e.g., Father, Sister), 33 personal pronouns (e.g., you, we) and 109 direction words (e.g., North, South) to represent non-local information. Career titles, family titles and personal pronouns may imply a nearby PER and direction words may indicate a LOC or an ORG. Figure 3 illustrates an example of non-local dependency.

We do not take the advantage of using the golden-standard word segmentation and POS tagging provided in the original corpus, since such information is hardly available in real text. Instead, we use an off-the-shelf Chinese lexical analysis system, the open source ICTCLAS [Zhang et al., 2003], to segment and POS tag the corpus. This module employs a hierarchical Hidden Markov Model (HHMM) and provides word segmentation, POS tagging (labels Chinese words using a set of 39 tags) and unknown word recognition. It performs reasonably well, with segmentation precision recently evaluated at 97.58%. The recall of unknown words using role tagging is over 90%.

We use one-month corpus for training and 9-day corpus for testing. Table 4 shows the experimental results.

4.3 NER System Based on Graphical Models with Logic

To test the effectiveness of our proposed model, we extract all the NEs (19,879 PERs, 25,661 LOCs and 11,590 ORGs) from the training corpus. An MLN training database, which consists of 14 predicates, 16,620 constants and 97,992 ground atoms was built.

The MLNs were trained using a Gaussian prior with zero mean and unit variance on each weight to penalize the pseudo-likelihood, and with the weights initialized at the mode of the prior (zero). During MLN learning, each formula is converted to Conjunctive Normal Form (CNF), and a weight is learned for each of its clauses. The weight

| Mis-tagged NEs                  | New NE Candidates                  | First-Order Logic                  |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 340                            |                                   |                                   |

Table 3: Examples of NE Candidates and First-Order Formulas

Susam is an American economics professor

Figure 3: An Example of Non-local Dependency. The Career Title “教授” Indicates a PER “苏珊”
of a clause is used as the mean of a Gaussian prior for the learned weight. These weights reflect how often the clauses are actually observed in the training data.

We extract 529 entity candidates to construct the MLN model, which contains 2,543 entries and these entries are used as evidence for inference. Inference is performed by grounding the minimal subset of the network required for answering the query predicates. We employed 3 MCMC algorithms: Gibbs sampling (GS), Simulated Tempering (ST) as well as MC-SAT, and the MAP/MPE algorithm for inference and the comparative NER results are shown. The probabilistic graphical models greatly outperform the CRF model stand-alone by a large margin. It can be seen from Table 5, the probabilistic graphical models integrating first-order logic improve the precision and recall for all kinds of entities, thus boosting the overall F-measure. We achieve a 23.75% relative error reduction (RER) on F-measure by using 3 MCMC algorithms and a 20.54% RER by using MAP/MPE algorithm, over an already competitive CRF baseline. We obtained the same results using GS, ST and MC-SAT algorithms. MCMC algorithms yields slightly better results than the MAP/MPE algorithm.

### 4.4 Significance Test

Ideally, comparisons among NER systems would control for feature sets, data preparation, training and test procedures, parameter tuning, and estimate the statistical significance of performance differences. Unfortunately, reported results sometimes leave out details needed for accurate comparisons.

We give statistical significance estimates using McNemar’s paired tests on labeling disagreements for CRF model and graphical probabilistic models that we evaluated directly.

Table 6 summarizes the correctness of the labeling decisions between the models with a 95% confidence interval (CI). These tests suggest that the graphical probabilistic models are significantly more accurate and confirm that the gains we obtained are statistically highly significant.

### 5 Related Work

As a well-established task, Chinese NER has been studied extensively and a number of techniques for this task have been reported in the literature. Most recently, the trend in Chinese NER is to use improved machine learning approaches, or to integrate various kinds of useful evidences, features, or resources.

Pu and Luke (2005) presented a lexicalized HMM-based approach to unifying unknown word identification.

---

Table 4: Chinese NER by CRF Model

|               | Precision | Recall | \(P_{\beta=1}\) |
|---------------|-----------|--------|-----------------|
| **Character features** |           |        |                 |
| PER           | 92.88%    | 79.42% | 85.62           |
| LOC           | 90.95%    | 82.88% | 86.73           |
| ORG           | 88.16%    | 83.86% | 85.96           |
| Overall       | 90.92%    | 82.07% | 86.27           |
| **Character+Word** |           |        |                 |
| PER           | 93.27%    | 82.99% | 87.83           |
| LOC           | 91.49%    | 85.16% | 88.21           |
| ORG           | 88.94%    | 84.79% | 86.82           |
| Overall       | 91.48%    | 84.46% | 87.83           |
| **Character+Word+POS** |       |        |                 |
| PER           | 92.17%    | 90.64% | 91.40           |
| LOC           | 90.62%    | 89.74% | 90.18           |
| ORG           | 89.72%    | 85.44% | 87.53           |
| Overall       | 90.89%    | 89.16% | 90.02           |

Table 5: Chinese NER by Graphical Models with Logic

|               | Precision | Recall | \(P_{\beta=1}\) | \(RER\) |
|---------------|-----------|--------|-----------------|--------|
| **CRF Baseline** |           |        |                 |        |
| PER           | 92.12%    | 90.57% | 91.34           |         |
| LOC           | 90.62%    | 89.74% | 90.18           |         |
| ORG           | 89.72%    | 85.44% | 87.53           |         |
| Overall       | 90.89%    | 89.16% | 90.02           |         |
| **Graphical Models (GS Inference)** |       |        |                 |        |
| PER           | 93.52%    | 93.32% | 93.42           |         |
| LOC           | 93.19%    | 91.91% | 92.55           |         |
| ORG           | 90.16%    | 90.71% | 90.43           |         |
| Overall       | 92.70%    | 92.09% | **92.39**       | 23.75% |
| **Graphical Models (ST Inference)** |       |        |                 |        |
| PER           | 93.52%    | 93.32% | 93.42           |         |
| LOC           | 93.19%    | 91.91% | 92.55           |         |
| ORG           | 90.16%    | 90.71% | 90.43           |         |
| Overall       | 92.70%    | 92.09% | **92.39**       | 23.75% |
| **Graphical Models (MC-SAT Inference)** |       |        |                 |        |
| PER           | 93.52%    | 93.32% | 93.42           |         |
| LOC           | 93.19%    | 91.91% | 92.55           |         |
| ORG           | 90.16%    | 90.71% | 90.43           |         |
| Overall       | 92.70%    | 92.09% | **92.39**       | 23.75% |
| **Graphical Models (MAP/MPE Inference)** |       |        |                 |        |
| PER           | 92.87%    | 93.15% | 93.01           |         |
| LOC           | 93.15%    | 91.61% | 92.37           |         |
| ORG           | 90.56%    | 89.10% | 89.82           |         |
| Overall       | 92.57%    | 91.58% | **92.07**       | 20.54% |
and NER as a single tagging task on a sequence of known words. Although lexicalized HMMs was shown to be superior to standard HMMs, this approach has some disadvantages: it is a purely statistical model and it suffers from the problem of data sparseness. And the model fails to tag some complicated NEs (e.g., nested ORGs) correctly due to lack of domain adaptive techniques. The F-measures of LOCs and ORGs are only 87.13 and 83.60, which show that there is still a room for improving.

A method of incorporating heuristic human knowledge into a statistical model was proposed in [Wu et al., 2005]. Here Chinese NER was regarded as a probabilistic tagging problem and the heuristic human knowledge was used to reduce the searching space. However, this method assumes that POS tags are golden-standard in the training data and heuristic human knowledge is often ad hoc. These drawbacks make the method unstable and highly sensitive to POS errors; and when golden-standard POS tags are not available (this is often the case), it may degrade the performance.

Cohen and Sarawagi (2004) proposed a semi-Markov model which combines a Markovian, HMM-like extraction process and a dictionary component. This process is based on sequentially classifying segments of several adjacent words. However, this technique requires that entire segments have the same class label, while our technique does not. Moreover, compared to a large-scale dictionary, our domain knowledge is much easier to obtain.

However, all the above models treat NER as classification or sequence labeling problem. To the best of our knowledge, MLNs have not been previously used for NER problem. To our knowledge, we first view Chinese NER as a statistical relational learning problem and exploit domain knowledge which can be concisely formulated in MLNs, allowing the training and inference algorithms to be directly applied to them.

6 Conclusion and Future Work

The contribution of this paper is three-fold. First, we formulate Chinese NER as a statistical relational learning problem and propose a new framework incorporating probabilistic graphical models and first-order logic for Chinese NER which achieves state-of-the-art performance. Second, We incorporate domain knowledge to capture the essential features of the NER task via MLNs, a unified framework for SRL which produces a set of weighted first-order clauses to predict new NE candidates. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first attempt at using MLNs for the NER problem in the NLP community. Third, our proposed framework can be extendable to language-independent NER, due to the simplicity of the domain knowledge we could access. Directions for future work include learning the structure of MLNs automatically and using MLNs for information extraction (e.g., entity relation extraction).
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