Many-body phase transitions in a non-Hermitian Ising chain
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We study many-body phase transitions in a one-dimensional ferromagnetic transversed field Ising model with an imaginary field and show that the system exhibits three phase transitions: one second-order phase transition and two PT phase transitions. The second-order phase transition occurring in the ground state is investigated via biorthogonal and self-normal entanglement entropy, for which we develop an approach to perform finite-size scaling theory to extract the central charge for small systems. Compared with the second-order phase transition, the first PT transition is characterized by the appearance of an exceptional point in the full energy spectrum, while the second PT transition only occurs in specific excited states. Furthermore, we interestingly show that both of exceptional points are second-order in terms of scalings of imaginary parts of the energy. This work provides an exact solution for many-body phase transitions in non-Hermitian systems.

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum phase transitions, which represent changes in the ground state of a system controlled by external parameters, are a fundamental concept in the field of condensed matter physics[1]. Most quantum phase transitions can be understood via Ginzburg-Landau Symmetry breaking[2, 3] or Wilson renormalization group theory[4, 5]. Phase transitions caused by symmetry breaking are usually characterized by order parameters[1, 3]. Finite-size scaling theory[6, 7] can be used as a powerful method to analyze phase transitions based on finite-system order parameters.

Thermal phase transitions (TPTs), caused by thermal fluctuations rather than quantum fluctuations, are well-known finite-temperature phase transitions [1] in statistical mechanics. In addition to TPTs, dynamic quantum phase transition (DQPTs) [8, 9] and excited-state quantum phase transitions (ESQPTs) [10–15] are two other quantum phase transitions beyond the ground state quantum phase transition. DQPT concerns the excited states of a system in its time evolution[8, 9], but in contrast, ESQPT depends directly on the structure of the full spectrum[10–15].

In non-Hermitian systems, the PT phase transition, which reveals the full energy spectrum as well [16, 17], is another fascinating phase transition that has attracted great interest in recent years [18, 19]. As critical points of PT phase transition and a unique feature of non-Hermitian systems, many unknown properties of non-Hermitian exceptional points remain to be explored. Recently, it has been argued interestingly that phase transitions may occur in specific excited states, such as the first excited state rather than the ground state [20–22]. For non-Hermitian systems, this phase transition corresponds to a PT transition between the first and second excited states [20, 21]. These PT phase transitions, dubbed as first excited-state PT transitions, are caused by nearest-neighbor interactions and strongly supported by numerical simulations [20, 21]. The understanding of first excited-state PT transitions is an interesting issue.

In the paper, we show that first excited-state PT transitions can also be caused by imaginary fields in a PT symmetric system. We provide exact solutions for the emergence of first excited state PT transitions in a one-dimensional non-Hermitian ferromagnetic transverse
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FIG. 1. The phase diagram of the NHTI model with respect to h and γ. The ground-state, full PT, first excited-state PT transitions are marked by the dashed magenta, red solid and red dash-dot lines, respectively. Here, the white dotted line indicates the system is Hermitian at h = 0. The color bar represents imaginary parts of first excited-state energies obtained with L = 8 and J = 1. The notations FM and PM denote ground-state phases, PT and BR represent PT symmetric and PT broken phases in full many-body energy spectra. While PT and BR indicate PT symmetric and PT broken phases of first excited states.
field Ising (NHTI) model. Furthermore, we show that both the first excited-state and the full $\mathcal{PT}$ transitions belong to second-order exceptional points from the finite-scaling of imaginary parts of the energy. Our results indicate that the occurrence of first excited-state $\mathcal{PT}$ transitions may be a universal feature of $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetric many-body systems. In addition, we investigate the entanglement entropy of the second-order phase transition in the real-energy regime and the $\mathcal{PT}$ transition of the full energy spectrum, and develop an approach that allows performing the finite-size scaling theory to extract the central charge even for small systems.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec.II, we introduce the NHTI model in one dimension. In Sec.III, we discuss the concept of entanglement entropy. In Sec.IV, we study the phase transitions of the NHTI model. In Sec.V, we summarize our results.

II. MODEL

The NHTI model in one dimension is given by [23–27],

$$
H = -\sum_{j=1}^{L} J \sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+1}^x + \sum_{j=1}^{L} \hbar (\sigma_j^z + i \gamma \sigma_j^y),
$$

(1)

where $\sigma_j^x, \sigma_j^y, \sigma_j^z$ are three Pauli matrices along $\alpha = x, y, z$ directions at the site $j$. We assume that the coupling strength $J$ and the amplitudes $h, \gamma$ of transversal fields are real numbers. The notation $i = \sqrt{-1}$ is the imaginary unit. The periodic boundary conditions (PBCs) are imposed by $\sigma_{L+1}^z = \sigma_1^z$ throughout the paper, with $L$ is the length of the chain.

When $\gamma = 0$, the model in Eq.(1) is the well-known Hermitian ferromagnetic transverse-field Ising model, which undergoes a second-order phase transition between the ferromagnetic (FM) phase and the paramagnetic (PM) phase. In the case of $\gamma \neq 0$, the Hamiltonian in Eq.(1) is non-Hermitian as $H \neq H^\dagger$ due to the imaginary field along the $y$ direction. However, the NHTI model has the $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry [26], thus the eigenvalues are either real or complex in conjugate pairs [16, 17]. The NHTI model in Eq.(1) can be transformed into the transverse-field Ising model,

$$
H = -\sum_{j=1}^{L} J \tau_j^x \tau_{j+1}^x + \sum_{j=1}^{L} \sqrt{1-\gamma^2} \tau_j^z,
$$

(2)

through a similarity transformation [23, 26],

$$
\sigma_j^x = S_j^{-1} \tau_j^x S_j,
$$

(3)

on each site $j$, with $S_j = e^{i \beta \sigma_j^z}$ and $\beta = \frac{1}{2} \ln(\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma})$ denoting the transformation matrix and the non-Hermiticity. Consequently, when $\gamma < 1$, the system has real-valued energies and undergoes an Ising transition at [23–26],

$$
\gamma_{\text{crit}} = \sqrt{1-(J/h)^2},
$$

(4)

analogous to the Hermitian Ising model [c.f. Fig.1]. As a simple non-Hermitian spin model, the NHTI model in Eq.(1) has been studied as a benchmark for topological degeneracy [23], biorthogonal fidelity susceptibility [24], biorthogonal Loschmidt echo [25] and phase transitions without gap closing [26] in the regime of real eigenenergies. In the following, we will instead investigate quantum entanglement, and focus mainly on phase transitions in the complex energy regime in PBCs.

III. ENTANGLEMENT

If a system is divided into two parts, denoted as $A$ and $B$, then the von Neumann entanglement entropy $S$ of the system is defined as [28, 29],

$$
S = -\text{Tr}[\rho_A \ln \rho_A] = -\text{Tr}[\rho_B \ln \rho_B],
$$

(5)

where the reduced density matrices $\rho_A = \text{Tr}_B(\rho)$ and $\rho_B = \text{Tr}_A(\rho)$. It is known that the entanglement entropy of a one-dimensional system with length $L$ near a critical
point scales as \[ S \propto \frac{c}{3} \ln L. \] (6)

under PBCs, where \( c \) represents the central charge. We will show that this definition of the entanglement entropy holds for non-Hermitian systems as well. However, the eigenstates \( |\phi_R \rangle \) and \( |\phi_L \rangle \) of \( H \) and \( H^\dagger \) are usually different as \( H \neq H^\dagger \) in non-Hermitian systems. This indicates that two types of density matrices can be defined.

First, let us introduce the self-normal density matrix \( \rho^{RR} \) using only the right eigenstates \( |\phi_R \rangle \), which is given by,

\[ \rho^{RR} = \frac{|\phi_R \rangle \langle \phi_R|}{\text{Tr}(|\phi_R \rangle \langle \phi_R|)}. \] (7)

The definition of \( \rho^{RR} \) is the same as in Hermitian systems, which describes the physics originating from right eigenvectors. It should be noted that right eigenvectors are not all orthogonal in non-Hermitian systems, which in principle can have certain effects. In the framework of biorthogonal quantum mechanics [31], one can also introduce the biorthogonal density matrix \( \rho^{RL} \) defined as,

\[ \rho^{RL} = \frac{|\phi_R \rangle \langle \phi_L|}{\text{Tr}(|\phi_R \rangle \langle \phi_R|)}, \] (8)

where \( \langle \phi_L | = (|\phi_L \rangle) \). For certain phase transitions or phases, it is argued that both the biorthogonal entanglement entropy and the self-normal entanglement entropy defined respectively by \( \rho^{RL} \) and \( \rho^{RR} \) can work in the real-energy regime [21]. In the next section, we will demonstrate that only biorthogonal entanglement entropy can characterize the phase transitions under study.

IV. PHASE TRANSITIONS

A. Phase transition in real-energy regime

In order to verify whether these two entanglement entropies can characterize the phase transitions in the real-energy regime, we calculate the half-chain entanglement entropy \( S_{L/2}^{RL} \) and \( S_{L/2}^{RR} \) of the ground state using Eq.(7) and Eq.(8) by exact diagonalization. Numerical results of \( S_{L/2}^{RL} \) and \( S_{L/2}^{RR} \) as a function of \( h \) for \( L = 10 \) to \( L = 20 \) are presented in Fig.2, where we find that the peaks of \( S_{L/2}^{RL} \) obey the logarithmic scaling law [c.f. Fig.2(a) and (b)] predicted in conformal field theory, while the peaks of \( S_{L/2}^{RR} \) exhibit exponential decay [c.f. Fig.2 (c) and (d)]. However, as the lattice size is too small, the central charge we obtained \( c = 0.346 \) is inconsistent with the analytical solution \( c = 0.5 \). To achieve a more precise determination of the central charge, we compute \( S_{L/2}^{RL} \) by mapping the spin model in Eq.(2) to the free fermionic Kitaev chain. The central charge \( c = 0.495 \) [c.f. Fig.2(e) and (f)] of this free fermionic chain is determined using correlation functions [32]. However, simulations for large interacting non-Hermitian systems are nontrivial because of the non-Hermiticity, which are under development [33, 34]. Therefore, it would be helpful to develop an approach that use exact diagonalization to investigate non-Hermitian models in small systems.

To achieve it, we attempt to study the scaling behavior of \( h \cdot S_{L/2}^{RL} \) instead of \( S_{L/2}^{RL} \) as done in Ref.[24] for the second derivative of the ground-state energy [c.f. Fig.3]. We find surprisingly that \( S_{L/2}^{RL} \) obeys a logarithmic scaling law [c.f. Fig.3(a) and (c)] perfectly with the central charge \( c = 0.501 \), which matches with the analytical value \( c = 0.5 \). In contrast, \( S_{L/2}^{RR} \) continues to decay exponentially and converge [c.f. Fig.3(b) and (d)]. Consequently, in the real-energy regime of the NHTI model, the phase transition is characterized by biorthogonal entanglement entropy rather than self-normal entanglement entropy, which is different from the phase transition in the hard-core bosonic Hatano-Nelson model, where both \( S_{L/2}^{RL} \) and \( S_{L/2}^{RR} \) are valid [21].

B. Full \( \mathcal{PT} \) transition

In a non-Hermitian system, even if the \( \mathcal{PT} \) symmetry exists, real energy spectra cannot be always ensured as the \( \mathcal{PT} \) symmetry can be spontaneously broken [16, 17]. Thus, a \( \mathcal{PT} \) transition with an exceptional point as its critical point can in principle occur between a \( \mathcal{PT} \) symmetric phase with a real energy spectrum and a \( \mathcal{PT} \) broken phase with a complex energy spectrum. This kind
of $\mathcal{PT}$ transition that characterizes the change of the full many-body energy spectrum is dubbed as full $\mathcal{PT}$ transition. In this section we will study the full $\mathcal{PT}$ transition by looking at the energy spectrum and the biorthogonal entanglement entropy $S_{L/2}^{RL}$.

The full $\mathcal{PT}$ transition of the NHTI model is expected to occur at

$$\gamma_{c2} = \pm 1,$$

as the coupling coefficient of the transverse field $\tau_j$ in Eq.(2) becomes complex when $\gamma > 1$. To confirm this, we take $L = 8$ as an example to calculate the total eigenenergies of the system. As shown in Fig.4, we find that all energy levels are real when $0.8 < \gamma < 1$, while complex energy levels appear when $\gamma > 1$ except for $h = 0$ which corresponds to the Hermitian Ising model. The complete phase diagram based on the maximum imaginary energy of the system is shown in Fig.1. As expected, the eigenenergies of the system are entirely real for $|\gamma| < 1$ but become complex when $|\gamma| > 1$ independent of $h$. This clearly indicates that $\mathcal{PT}$ transitions occur at $|\gamma| = \pm 1$.

To investigate the properties of exceptional points, we calculate $S_{L/2}^{RL}$ near the exceptional point ($\gamma = 0.98$, $h = 1$) in the $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetric phase [35–40]. However, we observe that $S_{L/2}^{RL}$ exhibits large and meaningless values. Further investigation revealed that this anomaly stems from the ground state’s degeneracy, which results in the orthogonality between the left and right eigenvectors of the ground states. We illustrate the normalization factors of the biorthogonal eigenvectors of the ground states in Fig. 5 and observe that the normalization coefficients of biorthogonal eigenvectors near the exceptional point are small and decrease rapidly as $L$ increases. This suggests that the computation of $S_{L/2}^{RL}$ may fail due to the ineffective determination of biorthogonal eigenvectors.

### C. First excited-state $\mathcal{PT}$ transition

Phase transitions in the regime of real energies can be understood by transforming non-Hermitian Hamiltonians to their Hermitian counterparts via similarity transformations [23, 26, 41]. The universality class of the phase transition under the biorthogonal basis of the NHTI model should be identical with that in the Hermitian transverse-field Ising model. The imaginary field in the NHTI model shifts the transition position through the real transverse field [23]. A fundamental question is whether a phase transition can occur in the regime of imaginary energies. Naively speaking, this argument makes no sense, since non-Hermitian systems will amplify or attenuate if the system has complex eigenvalues. However, we argue that it remains useful to explore the structures of energy spectra because they are related to many non-Hermitian physics, such as the non-hermitian skin effect [42–56], exceptional points [57–68] and non-Bloch dynamics [69].

To reveal the physics of the NHTI model in the regime with imaginary energies, we rewrite the Hamiltonian in Eq.(2) as

$$H = -\sum_{j=1}^{L} J\tau_j^+\tau_j^- + \sum_{j=1}^{L} ig\tau_j^z,$$

in the case of $\gamma > 1$, where $g = h\sqrt{\gamma^2 - 1}$. We arrive at a ferromagnetic Ising model with a purely transverse field along the $z$ direction [70–75], which can be transformed into a fermionic Kitaev chain with an imaginary potential [70, 71],

$$H = -\sum_{j=1}^{L} J(c_j^\dagger c_{j+1} + c_j^\dagger c_{j+1} + \text{H.c.}) - \sum_{j=1}^{L} ig(2c_j^\dagger c_j - 1),$$

by the Jordan–Wigner transformation. The Hamiltonian in Eq.(11) can be rewritten in the Bogoliubov-de-Gennes
form as,
\[ H = \sum_{k>0} \psi_k^\dagger H_k \psi_k, \]  
(12)
using the Fourier transformation in momentum space under PBCs, where
\[ H_k = (-2J \cos k - i2g)\sigma^z + (2J \sin k)\sigma^y, \]  
(13)
and \( \psi_k = (c_k, c_k^\dagger)^T \). The energy spectrum is given by
\[ E_k = 2\sqrt{(-J \cos k - ig)^2 + (J \sin k)^2}. \]  
(14)

This spectrum has zero values in \( k = \pi/2 \) for the normal Kitaev model with a real potential. The energy gap closes at,
\[ \gamma_{c3} = \pm \sqrt{1 + (J/h)^2}. \]  
(15)

Hence, the system undergoes a phase transition [71] from the FM phase (|\( \gamma | < \gamma_{c3} \)) to the PM phase (|\( \gamma | > \gamma_{c3} \)) in the spin language. It seems that this transition is the same with the traditional Ising transition in the real regime (\( \gamma < 1 \)). However, we argue that this is a phase transition at which a many-body \( \mathcal{PT} \) transition occurs between the first and the second excited states. Since the single-particle energy spectrum \( E_k = \sqrt{J^2 - h^2(\gamma^2 - 1)} \) has a \( \mathcal{PT} \) transition [72] at \( k = \pi/2 \), the eigenvalues of the first and the second many-body excited states would appear in complex conjugate pairs in the broken regime if single-particle eigenstates are labelled and analyzed according to the real parts of their energies via the Aufbau principle [76]. We note that this transition is characterized by two features: (1) the ground-state phase transition from the FM phase to the PM phase, and (2) the \( \mathcal{PT} \) transition between the first and second excited states.

To verify our analytical argument, we demonstrate first excited-state \( \mathcal{PT} \) transitions in Fig.1 with \( L = 8 \) at \( J = 1 \), which is obtained from many-body energy spectra as shown in Fig.4. It can be clearly seen that the system undergoes a \( \mathcal{PT} \) transition (not located at \( \gamma_{c2} = \pm 1 \)) between the first and the second excited states. In the case of \( \gamma < \gamma_{c3} \), the first and the second excited states are separated, but when \( \gamma > \gamma_{c3} \), the second excited states become degenerate. This \( \mathcal{PT} \) transition is validated for various lattice sizes, indicating that it is a robust phase transition. In addition, we find that the critical points \( \gamma_{c3} \) (the positions of exceptional points) are independent of the lattice size \( L \), which is different with the case discussed in Ref.[20]. Interestingly, we find that the exceptional points of the first excite-state \( \mathcal{PT} \) transition and the full \( \mathcal{PT} \) transition are second-order from the scaling of imaginary parts of the energies near the critical points \( \gamma_{c3} \) and \( \gamma_{c2} \), respectively. In conclusion, this phase transition, dubbed as the first excited-state \( \mathcal{PT} \) transition before, is a universal and unique feature of \( \mathcal{PT} \) symmetric systems. We note that spectral transitions and the properties of the steady state with the largest imaginary part have been discussed in Ref.[70]. Our results contribute to a comprehensive understanding of these spectral transitions, particularly focusing on the properties of the ground state and low excited states.

V. CONCLUSION

In summary, we study the biorthogonal and self-normal entanglement entropy for one-dimensional NHTI model. Through comparison, we find that the biorthogonal entanglement entropy is more suitable for characterizing the ground state phase transition than the self-normal entanglement entropy in the \( \mathcal{PT} \)-symmetric regime. The biorthogonal entanglement entropy exhibits logarithmic scaling behavior with the central charge \( c = 0.5 \), while the self-normal entanglement entropy decays exponentially and converges.

Furthermore, we investigate the full \( \mathcal{PT} \) phase transition. We show that the system is the \( \mathcal{PT} \)-symmetric phase when |\( \gamma | < 1 \), and the \( \mathcal{PT} \)-broken phase when |\( \gamma | > 1 \). Meanwhile, we study the ground-state biorthogonal entanglement entropy near the exceptional points. It is found that due to the degeneracy of the ground state, the entanglement entropy near the \( \mathcal{PT} \) transition may be difficult to calculate.

In addition, we explore a many-body phase transition that is neither a ground-state phase transition nor a \( \mathcal{PT} \) transition of the full spectrum in the \( \mathcal{PT} \)-broken regime. This transition is characterized by a second-order exceptional point between the first and the second excited states, where the critical point is independent of the system size. Therefore, it would be interesting in the future to search for the first excited-state \( \mathcal{PT} \) transition in high dimensions and to understand the universality of the transition.
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