The L-rhamnose-dependent regulator RhaS and its target promoters from *Escherichia coli* expand the genetic toolkit for regulatable gene expression in the acetic acid bacterium *Gluconobacter oxydans*
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For regulatable target gene expression in the acetic acid bacterium (AAB) *Gluconobacter oxydans* only recently the first plasmids became available. These systems solely enable AraC- and TetR-dependent induction. In this study we showed that the L-rhamnose-dependent regulator RhaS from *Escherichia coli* and its target promoters P<sub>rhaBAD</sub>, P<sub>rhaT</sub>, and P<sub>rhaSR</sub> could also be used in *G. oxydans* for regulatable target gene expression. Interestingly, in contrast to the responsiveness in *E. coli*, in *G. oxydans* RhaS increased the expression from P<sub>rhaBAD</sub> in the absence of L-rhamnose and repressed P<sub>rhaBAD</sub> in the presence of L-rhamnose. Inserting an additional RhaS binding site directly downstream from the −10 region generating promoter variant P<sub>rhaBAD</sub>(+RhaS-BS) almost doubled the apparent RhaS-dependent promoter strength. Plasmid-based P<sub>rhaBAD</sub> and P<sub>rhaBAD</sub>(+RhaS-BS) activity could be reduced up to 90% by RhaS and L-rhamnose, while a genomic copy of P<sub>rhaBAD</sub>(+RhaS-BS) appeared fully repressed. The RhaS-dependent repression was largely tunable by L-rhamnose concentrations between 0% and only 0.3% (w/v). The RhaS-P<sub>rhaBAD</sub> and the RhaS-P<sub>rhaBAD</sub>(+RhaS-BS) systems represent the first heterologous repressible expression systems for *G. oxydans*. In contrast to P<sub>rhaBAD</sub>, the *E. coli* promoter P<sub>rhaT</sub> was almost inactive in the absence of RhaS. In the presence of RhaS, the P<sub>rhaT</sub> activity in the absence of L-rhamnose was weak, but could be induced up to 10-fold by addition of L-rhamnose, resulting in a moderate expression level. Therefore, the RhaS-P<sub>rhaT</sub> system could be suitable for tunable low-level expression of difficult enzymes or membrane proteins in *G. oxydans*. The insertion of an additional RhaS binding site directly downstream from the −10 region increased the non-induced expression strength and reversed the regulation by RhaS and L-rhamnose from inducible to repressible. The P<sub>rhaSR</sub> promoter appeared to be positively auto-regulated by RhaS and this activation was increased by L-rhamnose. In summary, the interplay of the L-rhamnose-
binding RhaS transcriptional regulator from *E. coli* with its target promoters \(P_{rhaBAD}\), \(P_{rhaT}\), \(P_{rhaSR}\) and variants thereof provide new opportunities for regulatable gene expression in *G. oxydans* and possibly also for simultaneous \(\lambda\)-rhamnose-triggered repression and activation of target genes, which is a highly interesting possibility in metabolic engineering approaches requiring redirection of carbon fluxes.
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### Introduction

The acetic acid bacterium (AAB) *Gluconobacter oxydans* harbors the beneficial ability of regio- and stereoselective incomplete oxidation of a variety of sugars, sugar alcohols and other substrates in the periplasm by membrane-bound dehydrogenases (mDHs) and release of resulting products into the cultivation medium (Mamlouk and Gullo, 2013; Pappenberger and Hohmann, 2014; Mientus et al., 2017). Therefore, *G. oxydans* is industrially used for oxidative biotransformations of carbohydrates to produce, e.g., the tanning lotion additive dihydroxyacetone, the vitamin C precursor \(l\)-sorbose, and 6-amino-\(l\)-sorbose for production of the anti-diabetic drug miglitol (Ameyama et al., 1981; Saito et al., 1997; Gupta et al., 2001; Tkac et al., 2001; Hekmat et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2016). The industrial versatility of *G. oxydans*, current applications and future perspectives have been reviewed recently (da Silva et al., 2022).

For target gene expression in *G. oxydans*, only constitutive promoters were used in the past due to the lack of a regulatable promoter. For expression, derivatives of the pBBR1MCS plasmid family obtained from the endogenous plasmid pBBR1 from *Bordetella bronchiseptica* were the most successful shuttle and expression vectors used (reviewed in Fricke et al., 2021a). Since pBBR1MCS-2 conferring kanamycine resistance typically results in an abnormal cell morphology of *G. oxydans* in the presence of kanamycin and potentially also in reduced expression performance, pBBR1MCS-5 and the use of gentamicin is advantageous (Fricke et al., 2021b). However, both plasmid backbones recently enabled high functionality of transferred heterologous expression systems for regulatable target gene expression in *G. oxydans* for the first time. Firstly, the \(l\)-arabinose-dependent AraC-\(P_{araBAD}\) system from *Escherichia coli* MC4100, which exhibits a better araC codon usage in *G. oxydans* than araC from *E. coli* MG1655, was tunable and inducible up to 480-fold (Fricke et al., 2020). Interestingly, in *G. oxydans* the AraC target promoter \(P_{araBAD}\) from *E. coli* was not active in the absence of AraC. This indicated that \(P_{araBAD}\) alone is not recognized by the *G. oxydans* RNA polymerase. Therefore, the typical repression of \(P_{araBAD}\) by AraC in the absence of the inducer \(l\)-arabinose was not required to ensure non-induced tightness of \(P_{araBAD}\) in *G. oxydans*.

Secondly, the TetR-\(P_{tet}\) system in its native divergent organization as present in the *E. coli* transposon Tn10 exhibited extremely low basal expression in *G. oxydans* and achieved more than 3,500-fold induction according to reporter assays using the fluorescence protein mNeonGreen (Fricke et al., 2021b). In contrast to \(P_{araBAD}\) and AraC, \(P_{tet}\) highly required the repression by its regulator TetR for tightness of the system; otherwise the expression from \(P_{tet}\) was very strong in *G. oxydans* without TetR. Moreover, in cases where the native divergent organization \(tetR-P_{tet}-P_{tet}\)-gene-of-interest is leaky, modifying the genetic organization that the target gene and \(tetR\) expression both are under control of \(P_{tet}\) and therefore expressed as an operon and auto-regulated by TetR, can improve the non-induced tightness and the resulting inducibility of \(P_{tet}\) in *G. oxydans* (Bertucci et al., 2022).

In this study, to expand the still very limited genetic toolbox for regulatable target gene expression in *G. oxydans* we chose to test the \(l\)-rhamnose-dependent RhaSR system from *E. coli* (Baldoma et al., 1990; Egan and Schleif, 1993, 1994; Via et al., 1996; Bhende and Egan, 1999; Wickstrom et al., 2010). Compared to the AraC-\(P_{araBAD}\), TetR-\(P_{tet}\), and LacI-based systems from *E. coli*, the RhaRS system offers special features that could be particularly interesting and useful for applications in *G. oxydans* or AAB in general (Supplementary Figure S1). Firstly, the system comprises not only one, but two transcriptional regulators, RhaR and RhaS, both responding to \(l\)-rhamnose. They are encoded by the rhaSR operon and are expressed from the promoter \(P_{rhaSR}\). In *E. coli*, basal expression from \(P_{rhaSR}\) is positively auto-regulated by RhaR in the presence of \(l\)-rhamnose, resulting in increased expression of the *rhaSR* operon and in turn \(P_{rhaSR}\) is negatively auto-regulated by RhaS since RhaS is also able to bind to the RhaR binding site at \(P_{rhaSR}\), competing with RhaR and blocking *rhaSR* expression. Secondly, the major target promoters of RhaS are \(P_{rhaSR}\) and \(P_{rhaSR}\) and \(P_{rhaSR}\) drives transcription of the structural *rhaBAD* genes encoding the \(l\)-rhamnose catabolic enzymes \(l\)-rhamnulose kinase, \(l\)-rhamnose isomerase and \(l\)-rhamnulose-1-phosphate aldolase. \(P_{rhaS}\) drives transcription of *rhaT* encoding a \(l\)-rhamnose transport system. In *E. coli*, RhaS activates transcription from \(P_{rhaSR}\) and \(P_{rhaSR}\) in the presence of \(l\)-rhamnose. Furthermore, in *E. coli* the \(l\)-rhamnose metabolism is under catabolite repression by glucose, which is overcome by the binding
of the cAMP receptor protein (CRP) to consensus recognition sequences found in all three P_{rhaB} promoters and interaction of CRP with the RNA polymerase, which depends on the binding of the promoter DNA by RhaS or RhaR. In G. oxydans CRP is absent since the predicted CRP gene (GOX0974/GOX_RS06010) was shown to encode an iron–sulfur cluster protein termed GoxR, an FNR-type transcriptional regulator of genes involved in respiration and redox metabolism (Schweikert et al., 2021). Overall, it seemed very interesting to analyze how RhaS, RhaR, and the promoters P_{rhaBAD}, P_{rhaSR}, and P_{rhaT} perform in G. oxydans and if they could be useful for regulatable gene expression in this AAB.

We found that in G. oxydans the RhaS-dependent regulation of P_{rhaBAD} surprisingly was reversed compared to E. coli. In the absence of L-rhamnose, RhaS increased expression from P_{rhaBAD} and in the presence of L-rhamnose RhaS repressed P_{rhaBAD} enabling complete repression of a genomically encoded P_{rhaSR} promoter variant, thereby potentially providing a dynamic knock-down system for genes in G. oxydans. The effects and properties of the l-rhamnose-binding RhaS regulator and the promoters P_{rhaBAD}, P_{rhaSR}, and P_{rhaT} from E. coli exhibit very interesting characteristics in G. oxydans and provide new opportunities for regulatable gene expression, both in fundamental research and metabolic engineering approaches.

Materials and methods

Bacterial strains, plasmids, media and growth conditions

Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study and their relevant characteristics are listed in Table 1. G. oxydans cells were routinely cultivated in n-mannitol complex medium containing 40 g L\(^{-1}\) n-mannitol, 5 g L\(^{-1}\) yeast extract, 1 g L\(^{-1}\) KH\(_2\)PO\(_4\), 1 g L\(^{-1}\) (NH\(_4\))\(_2\)SO\(_4\), and 2.5 g L\(^{-1}\) MgSO\(_4\) \(\times\) 7 H\(_2\)O at 30°C. The initial pH of the medium was set to 6 by the addition of KOH (5 M stock). Because G. oxydans possesses a natural resistance toward cefoxitin, 50 mg ml\(^{-1}\) of the antibiotic was routinely added to the medium as a precaution to prevent bacterial contaminations. Stock solutions of cefoxitin (50 mg ml\(^{-1}\)) and n-mannitol (200 g L\(^{-1}\)) were sterile-filtered and added to autoclaved medium. Unless stated otherwise, for shake flask cultivations cells from 10 ml overnight pre-cultures were used to inoculate 50 ml n-mannitol medium in 500 ml shaking flasks with three baffles to an initial optical density at 600 nm (OD\(_{600}\)) of 0.3 (UV-1800, Shimadzu). All shake flasks cultures were grown on a rotary shaker at an agitation speed of 180 rpm. G. oxydans cells harboring pBBR1MCS-5-based plasmids were supplemented with 10 μg ml\(^{-1}\) gentamicin (Kovach et al., 1994). Escherichia coli strains were cultivated at 37°C and 160 rpm in lysogeny broth (LB) medium. Medium of E. coli carrying pBBR1MCS-5-based plasmids was supplemented with 10 μg ml\(^{-1}\) gentamicin. Escherichia coli S17-1 was used as donor strain to transform G. oxydans by conjugation (Kieller et al., 2017). Competent E. coli S17-1 were prepared and transformed by CaCl\(_2\) procedure as described (Hanahan, 1983).

Recombinant DNA work

All DNA oligonucleotides used in this study were obtained from Eurofins Genomics and are listed in Supplementary Table S1. All enzymes required for recombinant DNA work were purchased from Thermo Scientific. Polymerase chain reactions (PCR) used for DNA manipulation and plasmid verification followed standard protocols as described (Sambrook et al., 1989). For amplification of DNA fragments Q5 DNA polymerases was utilized as recommended by the manufacturer (New England Biolabs). All reporter plasmids were constructed in a one-step isothermal Gibson assembly (50°C, 1 h) by integrating amplified DNA fragments into the restricted broad-host vector derivative pBBR1MCS-5-T_{p_{phl}}-MCS-T\(_{GDH26}\) (Gibson et al., 2009). All DNA modifications to create the desired plasmids were conducted in E. coli S17-1. For plasmid isolation a QIAprep spin miniprep kit (Qiagen) was used according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The correctness of the plasmid inserts was checked by DNA sequencing (Eurofins MWG).

Construction of plasmids

In this study, all plasmids were constructed using the vector pBBR1MCS-5-T_{p_{phl}}-MCS-T\(_{GDH26}\) that we created previously for the TetR-Pes system (Fricke et al., 2021b). The terminator sequences of GOX0265 (T\(_{phl}\)) and GOX0268 (T\(_{GDH26}\)) flank the multiple cloning site (MCS) to reduce potential interferences caused by genetic elements on the plasmid backbone. Unless stated otherwise, pBBR1MCS-5-T_{p_{phl}}-MCS-T\(_{GDH26}\) was restricted for insert integration with the restriction endonucleases BamH1 and EcoRI. Furthermore, in all constructs using the promoters P_{rhaBAD}, P_{rhaSR}, P_{gdhM} and P_{GOX28} to express the reporter gene mNeonGreen (mNG), the ribosome binding site (RBS) AGGAGA was placed upstream of mNG and downstream from the naturally occurring RBS of the respective promoter region. For construction of plasmid pBBR1MCS-5-rhaSR-P_{rhaBAD}-mNG, two DNA fragments were inserted in pBBR1MCS-5-T_{p_{phl}}-MCS-T\(_{GDH26}\): DNA fragment with rhaSR-P_{rhaBAD}-RBS amplified with the primer pair P3/P4 from the genome of E. coli LJ110 and DNA fragment with mNG-T\(_{BBA_B1002}\) amplified with the primer pair P3/P4 from pBBR1MCS-5-arac-P_{gdhM}-mNG (Fricke et al., 2020). The latter DNA fragment included the terminator BBA_B1002 from the iGEM parts library directly downstream from the reporter gene mNG.

The plasmid pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P_{rhaBAD}-P_{rhaSR}-mNG lacking rhaR was constructed with a DNA fragment amplified with the primer pair P5/P6 from pBBR1MCS-5-rhaSR-P_{rhaBAD}-mNG resulting in fragment rhaS-P_{rhaBAD}-RBS-mNG-T\(_{BBA_B1002}\) and subsequent integration of this fragment into pBBR1MCS-5-T_{p_{phl}}-MCS-T\(_{GDH26}\).

The plasmid pBBR1MCS-5-rhaR-P_{rhaBAD}-P_{rhaSR}-mNG lacking rhaS was constructed with a DNA fragment containing rhaR and a DNA fragment containing P_{rhaSR}-P_{rhaBAD}-RBS-mNG-T\(_{BBA_B1002}\).
TABLE 1 Strains and plasmids used or constructed in this study.

| Strain | Relevant characteristics | Reference/Source |
|--------|--------------------------|------------------|
| E. coli S17-1 | ΔrecA, endA1, hsdR17, supE44, thi-1, trp | Simon et al. (1983) |
| Gluconobacter oxydans 621H | DSM 2343 | |
| G. oxydans mNG | Derivative of G. oxydans 621H with reporter gene mNG under control of P ΔpAadA-PaadA | This work |
| G. oxydans mNG igr2::P regulon-rhaS | Derivative of G. oxydans mNG with rhaS under control of P regulon integrated into igr2 (GOX0028/GOX_RS01280 - GOX0029/GOX_RS01285) | This work |
| G. oxydans mNG igr2::P regulon-rhaS | Derivative of G. oxydans mNG with rhaS under control of P regulon integrated into igr2 (GOX0028/GOX_RS01280 - GOX0029/GOX_RS01285) | This work |
| G. oxydans mNG igr1::P regulon-rhaS rhaS igr2::rhaS | Derivative of G. oxydans mNG igr2::P regulon-rhaS with a second copy of rhaS under control of P regulon integrated into igr1 (GOX0013/GOX_RS01200–GOX0014/GOX_RS01205) | This work |
| G. oxydans mNG igr1::P regulon-rhaS rhaS igr2::rhaS | Derivative of G. oxydans mNG igr2::P regulon-rhaS with a second copy of rhaS under control of P regulon integrated into igr1 (GOX0013/GOX_RS01200–GOX0014/GOX_RS01205) | This work |

| Plasmid | Relevant characteristics | Reference/Source |
|---------|--------------------------|------------------|
| pBBR1MCS-5 | Derivative of pBBR1MCS, Gm<sup>+</sup> | Kovach et al. (1995) |
| pBBR1MCS-5-T<sub>pBAD</sub>-MCS-T<sub>Citribius</sub> | Derivative of pBBR1MCS-5 with terminator sequences of GOX0265 (T<sub>pBAD</sub>) and GOX0028 (T<sub>Citribius</sub>) controlling expression of the fluorescent reporter gene mNG | Fricke et al. (2021b) |
| pBBR1MCS-5-rhaSR-P<sub>pAadA</sub>-P<sub>aadA</sub>-mNG | Derivative of pBBR1MCS-5-T<sub>pBAD</sub>-MCS-T<sub>Citribius</sub> with DNA fragment rhaSR-P<sub>pAadA</sub>-P<sub>aadA</sub>-mNG from E. coli with rhaS regulatory promoter P<sub>pAadA</sub> controlling expression of the fluorescent reporter gene mNG | This work |
| pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P<sub>pAadA</sub>-P<sub>aadA</sub>-mNG | Derivative of pBBR1MCS-5-rhaSR-P<sub>pAadA</sub>-P<sub>aadA</sub>-mNG lacking the regulator gene rhaR | This work |
| pBBR1MCS-5-rhaR-P<sub>pAadA</sub>-P<sub>aadA</sub>-mNG | Derivative of pBBR1MCS-5-rhaSR-P<sub>pAadA</sub>-P<sub>aadA</sub>-mNG lacking the regulator gene rhaS | This work |
| pBBR1MCS-5-P<sub>pAadA</sub>-rhaS | Derivative of pBBR1MCS-5-rhaSR-P<sub>pAadA</sub>-P<sub>aadA</sub>-mNG lacking the rhaSR operon | This work |
| pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P<sub>pAadA</sub>-P<sub>aadA</sub>-mNG | Derivative of pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P<sub>pAadA</sub>-P<sub>aadA</sub>-mNG with rhaS constitutively expressed from strong promoter P<sub>pCitribius</sub> | This work |
| pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P<sub>pCitribius</sub>-P<sub>aadA</sub>-mNG | Derivative of pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P<sub>pAadA</sub>-P<sub>aadA</sub>-mNG with rhaS constitutively expressed from moderate promoter P<sub>pCitribius</sub> | This work |
| pBBR1MCS-5-mNG-P<sub>pCitribius</sub>-rhaS | Derivative of pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P<sub>pAadA</sub>-P<sub>aadA</sub>-mNG with mNG expressed from P<sub>pAadA</sub> and, in opposite direction, rhaS constitutively expressed from strong promoter P<sub>pCitribius</sub> | This work |
| pBBR1MCS-5-mNG-P<sub>pCitribius</sub>-rhaS | Derivative of pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P<sub>pAadA</sub>-P<sub>aadA</sub>-mNG with mNG expressed from P<sub>pAadA</sub> and, in opposite direction, rhaS constitutively expressed from moderate promoter P<sub>pCitribius</sub> | This work |
| pBBR1MCS-5-mNG-P<sub>pCitribius</sub>-rhaS | Derivative of pBBR1MCS-5-mNG-P<sub>pCitribius</sub>-rhaS lacking P<sub>pCitribius</sub>, rhaS | This work |
| pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P<sub>pCitribius</sub>-P<sub>aadA</sub>-RhaS binding site | Derivative of pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P<sub>pCitribius</sub>-P<sub>aadA</sub>-mNG with an additional copy of the RhaS binding site (+ RhaS-BS) in P<sub>aadA</sub> directly downstream from the −10 region | This work |
| pBBR1MCS-5-P<sub>aadA</sub>-rhaS | Derivative of pBBR1MCS-5 to expresses rhaS under control of P<sub>aadA</sub> (pBBR1MCS-5-T<sub>pBAD</sub>-P<sub>aadA</sub>-rhaS-T<sub>Citribius</sub>) | This work |
| pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P<sub>pCitribius</sub>-P<sub>aadA</sub>-mNG | Derivative of pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P<sub>pCitribius</sub>-P<sub>aadA</sub>-mNG with P<sub>aadA</sub> controlling mNG expression | This work |
| pBBR1MCS-5-pCitribius | Derivative of pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P<sub>pCitribius</sub>-P<sub>aadA</sub>-mNG lacking regulator gene rhaS | This work |
| pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P<sub>pCitribius</sub>-P<sub>aadA</sub>-mNG | Derivative of pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P<sub>pCitribius</sub>-P<sub>aadA</sub>-mNG with P<sub>pCitribius</sub> controlling rhaS expression | This work |
| pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P<sub>pCitribius</sub>-P<sub>aadA</sub>-P<sub>aadA</sub>-RhaS binding site | Derivative of pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P<sub>pCitribius</sub>-P<sub>aadA</sub>-mNG with additional RhaS binding site in P<sub>aadA</sub> directly downstream from the −10 region | This work |
| pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P<sub>pCitribius</sub>-P<sub>aadA</sub>-P<sub>aadA</sub>-RhaS binding site | Derivative of pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P<sub>pCitribius</sub>-P<sub>aadA</sub>-mNG with additional RhaS binding site in P<sub>aadA</sub> directly downstream from the −10 region | This work |
| pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P<sub>pCitribius</sub>-P<sub>aadA</sub>-P<sub>aadA</sub>-RhaS binding site | Derivative of pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P<sub>pCitribius</sub>-P<sub>aadA</sub>-mNG with additional RhaS binding site in P<sub>aadA</sub> directly downstream from the E. coli transcriptional start | This work |
| pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P<sub>pCitribius</sub>-P<sub>aadA</sub>-P<sub>aadA</sub>-RhaS binding site | Derivative of pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P<sub>pCitribius</sub>-P<sub>aadA</sub>-mNG with additional RhaS binding site in P<sub>aadA</sub> directly downstream from the E. coli transcriptional start | This work |
| pKOS6b | Derivative of pA63a, upp removed, codA integrated, Km<sup>+</sup>, confers 5-fluorocytosine sensitivity (FC+) | Kostner et al. (2013) |

(Continued)
Derivative of pKOS6b for genomic integration of P_{rhaS}
Derivative of pKOS6b for genomic integration of P_{rhaSR}
Derivative of pKOS6b for genomic integration of P_{rhaSR} mNG

Reference/Relevant characteristics

pKOS6b-igr1::P_{GOX0264} Derivative of pKOS6b genomic integration of P_{rhaS-T_{igr1}} into igr1 (GOX0039/GOX_RS01335)

pKOS6b-igr2::P_{GOX0264} Derivative of pKOS6b for genomic integration of P_{rhaS-T_{igr2}} into igr2 (GOX0028/GOX_RS01285)

pKOS6b-igr2::P_{GOX0264} Derivative of pKOS6b for genomic integration of P_{rhaSR-T_{igr2}} into igr2 (GOX0028/GOX_RS01285)

pKOS6b-igr1::P_{GOX0264} Derivative of pKOS6b for genomic integration of P_{rhaSR-T_{igr1}} into igr1 (GOX0013/GOX_RS01205)

pKOS6b-igr1::P_{GOX0264} Derivative of pKOS6b for genomic integration of P_{rhaSR-T_{igr1}} into igr1 (GOX0013/GOX_RS01205)

pKOS6b-igr3::mNG This work

pKOS6b-igr2::P_{GOX0264}rhaS This work

pKOS6b-igr2::P_{GOX0264}rhaS This work

pKOS6b-igr1::P_{GOX0264}rhaS This work

pKOS6b-igr1::P_{GOX0264}rhaS This work

amplified with the primer pairs PF1/PF6 and PF7/PF4 from template pBBR1MCS-5-rhaSR-P_{rhaBAD}-P_{rhaBAD}-mNG and from DNA fragment P_{GOX0264-rhaS} integrated into the primer pair PF21/PF19 from template pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P_{GOX0264}-P_{rhaBAD}-mNG.

The plasmid pBBR1MCS-5-mNG-P_{rhaSR} was constructed with DNA fragment T_{rhaS-B_31022}+mNG amplified with the primer pair PF16/PF22 and DNA fragment RBS-P_{rhaS}-P_{rhaSR} amplified with the primer pair PF23/PF24, both fragments generated from plasmid pBBR1MCS-5-rhaSR-P_{rhaSR}-mNG as PCR template. In the resulting construct pBBR1MCS-5-mNG-P_{rhaSR}, the P_{rhaSR} region next to P_{rhaSR} was included to retain the native P_{rhaSR} upstream region.

For construction of plasmid pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P_{rhaSR}-P_{rhaSR}-mNG containing an additional RhaS binding site (+RhaS-BS) directly downstream from the –10 region of P_{rhaSR}, a DNA fragment consisting of (+RhaS-BS)-RBS-mNG-T_{rhaS-B_31022} was included to retain the native P_{rhaSR} upstream region.

Similarly, plasmid pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P_{GOX0264}-rhaS was constructed from three DNA fragments: Again, the first fragment contained rhaS and was amplified with the primer pair PF3/PF9 from pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P_{rhaSR}-P_{rhaBAD}-mNG. The second fragment contained RBS-P_{GOX0264} and was amplified with primer pair PF10/PF11 from the genome of G. oxydans 621H. The third fragment contained P_{rhaSR}-RBS-mNG-T_{rhaS-B_31022} and was amplified with primer pair PF12/PF4 from pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P_{GOX0264}-P_{rhaBAD}-mNG.

Similarly, plasmid pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P_{GOX0264}-rhaS was created with the DNA fragment T_{rhaS-B_31022}+mNG-RBS-P_{rhaBAD} amplified with the primer pair PF16/PF17 from pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P_{rhaSR}-P_{rhaBAD}-mNG and with fragment P_{GOX0264}-RBS-rhaS amplified with the primer pair PF18/PF19 from pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P_{GOX0264}-P_{rhaBAD}-mNG.

Similarly, plasmid pBBR1MCS-5-mNG-P_{rhaSR}-P_{GOX0264}-rhaS was generated from DNA fragment T_{rhaS-B_31022}+mNG-RBS-P_{rhaBAD} amplified with the primer pair PF16/PF20 from template pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P_{rhaSR}-P_{rhaSR}-P_{GOX0264}-mNG and from DNA fragment P_{GOX0264-rhaS} integrated into the primer pair PF21/PF19 from template pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P_{GOX0264}-P_{rhaBAD}-mNG.

The plasmid pBBR1MCS-5-mNG-P_{rhaSR} was constructed with DNA fragment T_{rhaS-B_31022}+mNG amplified with the primer pair PF16/PF22 and DNA fragment RBS-P_{rhaS}-P_{rhaSR} amplified with the primer pair PF23/PF24, both fragments generated from plasmid pBBR1MCS-5-rhaSR-P_{rhaSR}-mNG as PCR template. In the resulting construct pBBR1MCS-5-mNG-P_{rhaSR}, the P_{rhaSR} region next to P_{rhaSR} was included to retain the native P_{rhaSR} upstream region.

For construction of plasmid pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P_{rhaSR}-P_{rhaSR}-mNG containing an additional RhaS binding site (+RhaS-BS) directly downstream from the –10 region of P_{rhaSR}, a DNA fragment consisting of (+RhaS-BS)-RBS-mNG-T_{rhaS-B_31022} was included to retain the native P_{rhaSR} upstream region.
containing \( \text{P}_{\text{rhaT}} \)-mNG was amplified from template pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-\( \text{P}_{\text{rhaT}} \)-mNG with the primer pair PF4/PF37.

The plasmid pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-\( \text{P}_{\text{rhaS}} \)-GOX0264-\( \text{P}_{\text{rhaS}} \)-mNG was constructed using two fragments. The fragment containing \( \text{rhaS} \)-\( \text{P}_{\text{GOX0264}} \) and the 5’ part of \( \text{P}_{\text{rhaT}} \) was amplified from template pBBR1MCS-5-\( \text{rhaS} \)-\( \text{P}_{\text{GOX0264}} \)-\( \text{P}_{\text{rhaT}} \)-mNG with the primer pair MM14/PF5. The fragment containing the remaining 3’ part of \( \text{P}_{\text{rhaT}} \) followed by mNG was amplified from template pBBR1MCS-5-\( \text{rhaS} \)-\( \text{P}_{\text{GOX0264}} \)-\( \text{P}_{\text{rhaT}} \)-mNG with the primer pair MM13/PF4. The additional RhaS binding site directly downstream from the −10 region of \( \text{P}_{\text{rhaT}} \) was created and introduced by the primers MM13 and MM14.

The plasmid pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-\( \text{P}_{\text{GOX0264}} \)-\( \text{P}_{\text{rhaT}} \)-mNG was constructed using two fragments. The fragment containing \( \text{rhaS} \)-\( \text{P}_{\text{GOX0264}} \) and the 5’ part of \( \text{P}_{\text{rhaT}} \) was amplified from template pBBR1MCS-5-\( \text{rhaS} \)-\( \text{P}_{\text{GOX0264}} \)-\( \text{P}_{\text{rhaT}} \)-mNG with the primer pair MM14/PF5. The fragment containing the remaining 3’ part of \( \text{P}_{\text{rhaT}} \) followed by mNG was amplified from template pBBR1MCS-5-\( \text{rhaS} \)-\( \text{P}_{\text{GOX0264}} \)-\( \text{P}_{\text{rhaT}} \)-mNG with the primer pair MM13/PF4. The additional RhaS binding site directly downstream from the −10 region of \( \text{P}_{\text{rhaT}} \) was created and introduced by the primers MM13 and MM14.

The plasmid pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-\( \text{P}_{\text{GOX0264}} \)-\( \text{P}_{\text{rhaT}} \)-mNG was constructed using two fragments. The fragment containing \( \text{rhaS} \)-\( \text{P}_{\text{GOX0264}} \) and the 5’ part of \( \text{P}_{\text{rhaT}} \) was amplified from template pBBR1MCS-5-\( \text{rhaS} \)-\( \text{P}_{\text{GOX0264}} \)-\( \text{P}_{\text{rhaT}} \)-mNG with the primer pair MM14/PF5. The fragment containing the remaining 3’ part of \( \text{P}_{\text{rhaT}} \) followed by mNG was amplified from template pBBR1MCS-5-\( \text{rhaS} \)-\( \text{P}_{\text{GOX0264}} \)-\( \text{P}_{\text{rhaT}} \)-mNG with the primer pair MM13/PF4. The additional RhaS binding site directly downstream from the −10 region of \( \text{P}_{\text{rhaT}} \) was created and introduced by the primers MM13 and MM14.

The plasmid pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-\( \text{P}_{\text{GOX0264}} \)-\( \text{P}_{\text{rhaT}} \)-mNG was constructed using two fragments. The fragment containing \( \text{rhaS} \)-\( \text{P}_{\text{GOX0264}} \) and the 5’ part of \( \text{P}_{\text{rhaT}} \) was amplified from template pBBR1MCS-5-\( \text{rhaS} \)-\( \text{P}_{\text{GOX0264}} \)-\( \text{P}_{\text{rhaT}} \)-mNG with the primer pair MM14/PF5. The fragment containing the remaining 3’ part of \( \text{P}_{\text{rhaT}} \) followed by mNG was amplified from template pBBR1MCS-5-\( \text{rhaS} \)-\( \text{P}_{\text{GOX0264}} \)-\( \text{P}_{\text{rhaT}} \)-mNG with the primer pair MM13/PF4. The additional RhaS binding site directly downstream from the −10 region of \( \text{P}_{\text{rhaT}} \) was created and introduced by the primers MM13 and MM14.

The plasmid pKOS6b-igr1::\( \text{P}_{\text{GOX0264}} \)-\( \text{rhaS} \) for genomic integration of a \( \text{P}_{\text{GOX0264}} \)-\( \text{rhaS} \) copy into igr1 of \( G. \text{oxydans} \) mNG was constructed with three fragments. The upstream and downstream flanking regions of igr2 were amplified from genomic DNA of \( G. \text{oxydans} \) 621H with the primer pairs PF40/PF46 and PF42/PF43, respectively. The fragment containing \( \text{P}_{\text{rhaSR}} \)-\( \text{rhaS} \) was amplified from plasmid pBBR1MCS-5-\( \text{rhaS} \)-\( \text{P}_{\text{GOX0264}} \)-\( \text{P}_{\text{rhaSR}} \)-mNG with the primer pair PF44/PF47.

The plasmid pKOS6b-igr2::\( \text{P}_{\text{GOX0264}} \)-\( \text{rhaS} \) for genomic integration of a \( \text{P}_{\text{GOX0264}} \)-\( \text{rhaS} \) copy into igr2 of \( G. \text{oxydans} \) mNG was constructed with three fragments. The upstream and downstream flanking regions of igr2 were amplified from genomic DNA of \( G. \text{oxydans} \) 621H with the primer pairs PF40/PF46 and PF42/PF43, respectively. The fragment containing \( \text{P}_{\text{rhaSR}} \)-\( \text{rhaS} \) was amplified from plasmid pBBR1MCS-5-\( \text{rhaS} \)-\( \text{P}_{\text{GOX0264}} \)-\( \text{P}_{\text{rhaSR}} \)-mNG with the primer pair PF44/PF47.

Construction and selection of genomically modified \textit{Gluconobacter oxydans} strains

Integrations of expression cassettes into the genome of \( G. \text{oxydans} \) 621H and selection of excised plasmid backbones were carried out using pKOS6b plasmid derivatives and counterselection by cytosine deaminase, encoded by \textit{cda} from \textit{E. coli}, in the presence of the fluorinated pyrimidine analogue 5-fluorocytosine (FC). The cytosine deaminase converts nontoxic FC to toxic 5-fluorouracil, which is channeled into the metabolism by the uracil phosphoribosyltransferase, encoded by the chromosomal \textit{upp} gene of \textit{Gluconobacter}. The details of the method are described elsewhere (Kostner et al., 2013). According to this method, strain \( G. \text{oxydans} \) mNG was constructed and selected from \( G. \text{oxydans} \) 621H using the plasmid pKOS6b-igr3::mNG. The \( G. \text{oxydans} \) strains mNG igr2::\( \text{P}_{\text{GOX0264}} \)-\( \text{rhaS} \) and mNG igr2::\( \text{P}_{\text{rhaSR}} \)-\( \text{rhaS} \) were constructed and selected from \( G. \text{oxydans} \) mNG using the plasmids pKOS6b-igr2::\( \text{P}_{\text{GOX0264}} \)-\( \text{rhaS} \) and pKOS6b-igr2::\( \text{P}_{\text{rhaSR}} \)-\( \text{rhaS} \), respectively. The \( G. \text{oxydans} \) strains mNG igr1::\( \text{P}_{\text{GOX0264}} \)-\( \text{rhaS} \) and mNG igr1::\( \text{P}_{\text{rhaSR}} \)-\( \text{rhaS} \) were constructed and selected from
G. oxydans mNG igr2::P_{G::OS624}-rhaS using the plasmids pKOS6b-igr1::P_{G::OS624}-rhaS and pKOS6b-igr1::P_{rhaSR}-rhaS, respectively.

Measurements of fluorescence protein

The regulation and relative strength of the promoters on constructed plasmids was monitored in G. oxydans by means of expressing mNG encoding the fluorescent reporter protein mNG (Shaner et al., 2013). For analysis of mNG expression with various promoters by mNG signals, G. oxydans cultures were supplemented with l-rhamnose at the indicated concentrations (w/v) using a 40% (w/v) stock solution. Equal volumes of medium were added to non-supplemented reference cultures. Throughout the cultivation, growth (OD$_{600}$) and fluorescence emission were monitored in intervals using a spectrophotometer (UV-1800, Shimadzu) and an Infinite M1000 PRO Tecan reader (λ$_{ex}$, 504 nm/λ$_{em}$, 517 nm, ex/em bandwidth 5 nm, infinite M1000 PRO Tecan). For microscale BioLector cultivations, overnight starter cultures were used to inoculate 800 μL batches of d-mannitol medium in 48-well Flowerplates (m2p-labs) to an initial OD$_{600}$ of 0.3. Sealed with disposable foil (m2p-labs), plates were cultivated for 24 h at 1,200 rpm, 85% humidity and 30°C. Growth was monitored in each well as backscattered light at 620 nm (A$_{abs}$, nm) and protein fluorescence was monitored as emission (λ$_{em}$, 510 nm/λ$_{em}$, 532 nm). For backscatter signal amplification, gain 20 was applied. Signal amplification of fluorescence emission varied (gain 40–70) and is indicated in the figure legends. All BioLector data shown in a diagram were measured in the same run of a growth experiment.

Cell flow cytometer analysis

For single cell analysis, a FACSARia™ cell sorter controlled by FACSDiva 8.0.3 software (BD Biosciences) was used to analyze the mNG reporter protein signals in G. oxydans 621H harboring either plasmid pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P$_{rhaSR}$-mNG or pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P$_{rhaSR}$-mNG. The FACS was operated with a 70 μm nozzle and run with a sheath pressure of 70 psi. The forward scatter (FSC) and side scatter (SSC) were recorded as small-angle scatter and orthogonal scatter, respectively, by means of a 488 nm solid blue laser beam. For analysis, only particles/events above 200 a.u. for FSC-H and above 300 a.u. for SSC-H as the thresholds were considered. The mNG fluorescence emission was detected from the SSC through the combination of a 502 nm long-pass and 530/30 nm band-pass filter. Prior to data acquisition, the FSC-A vs. SSC-A plot was employed to gate the population and to exclude signals originating from cell debris or electronic noise. In a second and third gating step, from the resulting population, the SSC-H signal was plotted against the SSC-W signal and this population was subsequently gated in a FSC-H vs. FSC-W plot to exclude doublets. From this resulting singlet population, 100,000 events were recorded at a rate of <10,000 events/s for fluorescence data acquisition. For data analysis and visualization of all gated events (n = 100,000) FlowJo 10.7.2 for Windows (FlowJo, LLC) was applied.

L-rhamnose biotransformation test assay and GC-TOF-MS analysis

G. oxydans cells were grown to an OD$_{600}$ of 1.3, centrifuged (4,000 × g, 5 min) and washed twice with 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 6). After the second washing step, cells were resuspended in biotransformation buffer (6.6 g L$^{-1}$ Na$_2$HPO$_4$, 3 g L$^{-1}$ KH$_2$PO$_4$, 1 g L$^{-1}$ NH$_4$Cl, 0.5 g L$^{-1}$ NaCl, 0.49 g L$^{-1}$ MgSO$_4$, 0.02 g L$^{-1}$ CaCl$_2$) supplemented with 2% (w/v) l-rhamnose and incubated for 24 h at 30°C and 200 rpm. Then, the cells were removed from the buffer (4,000 × g, 5 min) and the supernatant was used for analysis by gas chromatography (Agilent 6,890 N, Agilent Technologies) coupled to a Waters Micromass GCT Premier high-resolution time-of-flight mass spectrometer (Waters). Sample handling for derivatization, GC-TOF-MS operation, and peak identification were carried out as described (Paccia et al., 2012). As a control, samples from biotransformation buffer with l-rhamnose and without cells as well as biotransformation buffer without l-rhamnose yet with cells were prepared.

Total DNA extraction, library preparation, illumina sequencing, and data analysis

Total DNA was purified from a culture aliquot using a NucleoSpin Microbial DNA Mini kit (MACHEERY-NAGEL). DNA concentrations were measured using a Qubit 2.0 fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Illumina sequencing and data analysis of the indicated P$_{rhaSR}$-DNA sample was carried out as described (Fricke et al., 2021b). For the read mapping, the improved genome sequence from G. oxydans 621H and the indicated P$_{rhaSR}$-plasmid sequence were used (Kranz et al., 2017).

Determination of transcriptional starts

G. oxydans cells carrying plasmid pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P$_{rhaSR}$-mNG were cultivated in shake flasks with 50 ml complex d-mannitol medium. Cells were harvested at OD$_{600}$ of 1.5 in the mid-exponential phase and total RNA was extracted as described (Kranz et al., 2018). The RNA sample was sent to the company Vertis Biotechnology AG (Germany) for further sample processing and data generation. For Cappable-seq RNA, the RNA sample was enriched by capping of the 5’ triphosphorylated RNA with 5’-desethylbiotin-TEG-guanosine 5’ triphosphate (DTBGTP; NEB) using the vaccinia capping enzyme (VCE; NEB) for reversible binding of biotinylated RNA species to streptavidin. Then, streptavidin beads were used to capture biotinylated RNA species followed by elution to obtain highly enriched 5’ fragment of the
primary transcripts. The Cappable enriched RNA sample was poly(A)-tailed using poly(A) polymerase. In order to remove residual 5’-P-ends, the RNA was treated with Antarctic Phosphatase (NEB). Then, the 5’-PPP cap structures were converted to 5’-P using the RppH enzyme (NEB). Afterwards, an RNA adapter was ligated to the newly formed 5’-monophosphate structures. First-strand cDNA synthesis was performed using an oligo(dT)-adapter primer and the MMLV reverse transcriptase. The resulting cDNA was PCR-amplified to about 10–20 ng/μL using a high fidelity DNA polymerase. For Illumina sequencing, 100–300 bp long 5’ fragments were isolated from the full-length cDNA. For this purpose the cDNA preparation was fragmented and the 5’-cDNA fragments were then bound to streptavidin magnetic beads. The bound cDNAs were blunted and the 3’ Illumina sequencing adapter was ligated to the 3’ ends of the cDNA fragments. The bead-bound cDNAs were finally PCR-amplified. The library was sequenced on an Illumina NextSeq 500 system using 75 bp read length. The fastq file output was used for data analysis with CLC Genomics Workbench (v21.0.3). Imported reads were trimmed and quality filtered. Passed reads were used for strand-specific mapping to the G. oxydans genome and the pBBR1MCS-5-rhaSR-P_mNG-mRNA construct sequence using the RNA-seq analysis tool implemented in the CLC software. Read mapping settings used were 80% length fraction and 80% similarity fraction. The starts of mapped reads and total nucleotide coverage according to the mappings were used to assess transcriptional starts on the genome. The presence of reads that correspond to the positions of promoters P_rhaSR, P_rhaBAD, and P_rhaBAD:rhaBAD:BS were detected in 24 h samples, and the areas of the GC-TOF peaks assigned to l-rhamnose were very similar for the samples at 0 h and after 24 h (Supplementary Table S2; Supplementary Figure S2). Hence, if at all, l-rhamnose is degraded or converted by strain 621H so slowly that this effector is hardly diminished during potential applications. To check if l-rhamnose somehow affects the growth of G. oxydans 621H, we added l-rhamnose to the complex medium. With 1% (w/v) l-rhamnose instead of D-mannitol, there was no growth of G. oxydans 621H and the initial start OD_{600} of 0.04 did not change within 24 h (Supplementary Figure S3). In 4% (w/v) D-mannitol medium supplemented with 1% (w/v) l-rhamnose, the strain 621H grew very similar and without a significant difference compared to the growth in the D-mannitol complex medium without l-rhamnose supplement. Furthermore, with and without l-rhamnose the initial pH 6 of the growth medium was acidified to pH 4.3 after 24h, suggesting no relevant oxidation of l-rhamnose to a corresponding acid. Therefore, there was no negative or supportive effect of l-rhamnose on the growth of G. oxydans 621H up to 1% (w/v).

Results

L-Rhamnose does not affect growth and is not oxidized by Gluconobacter oxydans 621H

The RhaSR-P_rhaBAD system from E. coli responds to the monosaccharide rhamnose in the uncommon l-conformation, which is similar to the AraC-P_aRbad system and its effector l-arabinose. Like l-arabinose, the inducer l-rhamnose needs to enter the cell to interact with its targeted regulators RhaR and RhaS (Tobin and Schleif, 1987). In contrast to l-arabinose, which is readily oxidized by Gluconobacter already in the periplasm (Peters et al., 2013; Fricke et al., 2022), for more than 90% of the strains of the genus Gluconobacter no acid formation from l-rhamnose has been reported (Kersters et al., 1990). G. oxydans 621H whole-cell enzyme activity assays using the artificial electron acceptor DCPIP also revealed no detectable activity with l-rhamnose as substrate (Peters et al., 2013). To exclude a hitherto unrecognized consumption or oxidation of the inducer l-rhamnose by G. oxydans 621H, we carried out biotransformation assays followed by GC-TOF-MS analysis, and a growth experiment.

The results confirmed that G. oxydans does not consume or oxidize l-rhamnose. In the GC-TOF-MS analysis, no new peaks were detected in 24 h samples, and the areas of the GC-TOF peaks assigned to l-rhamnose were very similar for the samples at 0 h and after 24 h (Supplementary Table S2; Supplementary Figure S2). Hence, if at all, l-rhamnose is degraded or converted by strain 621H so slowly that this effector is hardly diminished during potential applications. To check if l-rhamnose somehow affects the growth of G. oxydans 621H, we added l-rhamnose to the complex medium. With 1% (w/v) l-rhamnose instead of D-mannitol, there was no growth of G. oxydans 621H and the initial start OD_{600} of 0.04 did not change within 24 h (Supplementary Figure S3). In 4% (w/v) D-mannitol medium supplemented with 1% (w/v) l-rhamnose, the strain 621H grew very similar and without a significant difference compared to the growth in the D-mannitol complex medium without l-rhamnose supplement. Furthermore, with and without l-rhamnose the initial pH 6 of the growth medium was acidified to pH 4.3 after 24h, suggesting no relevant oxidation of l-rhamnose to a corresponding acid. Therefore, there was no negative or supportive effect of l-rhamnose on the growth of G. oxydans 621H up to 1% (w/v).

In Gluconobacter oxydans, P_rhaBAD from Escherichia coli is repressed in the presence of l-rhamnose

First, we tested the inducibility of P_rhaBAD in G. oxydans by constructing a pBBR1MCS-5-based plasmid placing all the genetic elements in the same order as in E. coli. The rhaSR operon was under the control of its native promoter P_aRbad in divergent orientation to P_mNG. The fluorescent reporter mNeonGreen (mNG) was used to measure the P_rhaBAD-controlled expression by placing the mNG gene downstream from P_mNG. On the plasmid, the elements rhaSR-P_rhaBAD-mNG were flanked by three terminators, T_{pBAD}, downstream from rhaR, and T_{rsu}, T_{rsu}, and T_{cad} downstream from mNG (Figure 1A). Furthermore, downstream from the native ribosome binding site (RBS) present in P_mNG the RBS 5’-AGGAGA was inserted upstream from mNG. This RBS appeared strong in G. oxydans and was also used in the regulatable AraC-P_aRbad and TetR-P_{tet} expression systems (Fricke et al., 2020, 2021b). The inducibility of the resulting plasmid pBBR1MCS-5-rhaSR-P_rhaBAD-mNG was tested in G. oxydans 621H with 1% (w/v) l-rhamnose. Overnight pre-cultures were split to inoculate main cultures in D-mannitol medium with and without l-rhamnose. Growth and mNG fluorescence was monitored in a BioLector.

As expected from the previous growth tests in shake flasks, all BioLector microscale cultures exhibited very similar growth regardless of l-rhamnose supplementation (Figure 1B). However, surprisingly and contrary to our expectation, the mNG fluorescence of the cultures without l-rhamnose strongly increased during growth and peaked ~6 h after inoculation when cells entered the stationary phase, while in cultures with l-rhamnose a much lower level of mNG fluorescence (~28%) was
observed (Figure 1C). Thus, mNG expression from P_rhaBAD
appeared to be strongly repressed in the presence of l-rhamnose,
suggesting that in G. oxydans the responsiveness of the RhaSR-
P_rhaBAD system is inverted compared to E. coli. Furthermore,
according to the absolute mNG fluorescence in the absence of
l-rhamnose, the promoter P_rhaBAD appeared to be very strong in
G. oxydans compared to P_araBAD and P_tet (Fricke et al., 2020, 2021b).

To test whether the rhaSR-P_rhaBAD-mNG expression plasmid
shows l-rhamnose-inducibility in E. coli, the plasmid-carrying
E. coli S17-1 used for transformation of G. oxydans was tested. As
expected, in LB medium supplemented with 1% (w/v) L-rhamnose, the mNG fluorescence was ~2,200-fold higher compared to the mNG fluorescence in cultures without L-rhamnose (data not shown). To verify that the reversed responsiveness of RhaSR-P$_{rhaBAD}$ indeed was observed in *G. oxydans* 621H carrying the intended plasmid without mutations possibly acquired later during growth, *G. oxydans* cells of an induced culture were harvested at the end of the cultivation (24 h) for isolation of total DNA and Illumina sequencing. The read data analysis excluded unexpected contamination of the culture, since 99.48% of 1,402,738 trimmed and quality-filtered reads mapped to the updated reference sequences of the *G. oxydans* 621H genome (88-fold coverage), the 5 endogenous plasmids, and the mNG expression plasmid with rhaSR-P$_{rhaSR}$-P$_{rhaBAD}$-mNG (1.011-fold coverage; Kranz et al., 2017). Besides, the sequencing results corroborated three DNA point mutations in rhaSR already observed before by Sanger sequencing when checking the insert of the plasmid after cloning in *E. coli*. In rhaR there was the silent mutation of CGC to CGT (Arg56). In rhaS there was the silent mutation of CTG to CTT (Leu166) and the mutation of GGG to TGG resulting in the exchange Gly136Trp in RhaS. All three mutations were present already on the plasmid when it was cloned in *E. coli*. To exclude an effect of these mutations on the reversed responsiveness in *G. oxydans*, the plasmid was cloned again using a new rhaS DNA template from *E. coli* MG1655. This plasmid lacked the two point mutations in rhaS and also showed the reversed responsiveness in *G. oxydans* 621H with the same extent of repression (data not shown). Thus, the DNA point mutations in rhaS did not affect the regulatory properties of the system in *G. oxydans*. In summary, these results showed that in *E. coli* the P$_{rhaBAD}$ promoter is repressed in *G. oxydans* in the presence of L-rhamnose.

**RhaS is responsible for L-rhamnose-dependent repression of P$_{rhaBAD}$ in *Glucnonobacter oxydans***

To analyze whether RhaS and/or RhaR, or an interfering endogenous *G. oxydans* protein is responsible for the reversed responsiveness of the RhaSR-P$_{rhaBAD}$ system, we constructed derivatives of the expression plasmid either lacking in-frame a substantial part of rhaS, or lacking rhaR, or lacking both genes, yet keeping all the elements upstream and downstream from rhaS and rhaR (Figure 1A). *G. oxydans* clones carrying one of these plasmid derivatives were grown in D-mannitol medium without and with 1% (w/v) L-rhamnose and cultivated in a BioLector to monitor growth and mNG fluorescence. Regardless of the plasmid used, all *G. oxydans* cultures exhibited very similar growth with and without L-rhamnose (Figure 1D). The differences in mNG fluorescence with and without L-rhamnose clearly indicated that RhaS alone is either directly or indirectly responsible for the regulation of P$_{rhaBAD}$. All clones with the plasmid lacking only rhaS exhibited a moderate maximal mNG fluorescence after ~6 h (220–228 a.u.), regardless of L-rhamnose supplementation (Figure 1E). The clones with the plasmid lacking both rhaS and rhaR also showed no response of the mNG fluorescence to L-rhamnose, yet the maximal mNG fluorescence was 50% higher compared to the plasmid still containing rhaR. Without rhaSR, the mNG signals of all clones peaked at 6 h and reached a higher intensity (314–338 a.u.), suggesting a general negative effect of RhaR on the P$_{rhaBAD}$ activity regardless of the presence or absence of L-rhamnose. This is in line with the observation that with the plasmid lacking only rhaR, expression from P$_{rhaBAD}$ increased in the absence of L-rhamnose by ~20% (513 a.u.) compared to the plasmid with both regulator genes (431 a.u.). Furthermore, with 1% (w/v) L-rhamnose the mNG expression from P$_{rhaBAD}$ was more reduced with the rhaS-P$_{rhaBAD}$ construct (94 a.u.) than with the rhaSR-P$_{rhaBAD}$ construct (122 a.u.).

In summary, these data indicated that RhaS activates the P$_{rhaBAD}$ promoter in the absence of L-rhamnose and represses P$_{rhaBAD}$ in the presence of L-rhamnose, and thus is exerting a dual role in *G. oxydans* (Figure 1E).

**In the absence of L-rhamnose P$_{rhaBAD}$ activity is stimulated by RhaS***

The clear differences in the mNG fluorescence observed with the previous plasmid derivatives with or without rhaS suggested that RhaS activates P$_{rhaBAD}$ in the absence of L-rhamnose in *G. oxydans*. If so, the apparent strength of P$_{rhaBAD}$ in the absence of L-rhamnose could partially be tuned by the strength of rhaS expression. To test this and the resulting down-regulation of P$_{rhaBAD}$-derived mNG expression in the presence of L-rhamnose starting then from different initial expression levels, we constructed derivatives of pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P$_{rhaBAD}$-mNG expressing rhaS constitutively either from the *G. oxydans* promoter P$_{GOD2324}$ or P$_{GOD2284}$ (Figure 2A). P$_{GOD2324}$ and P$_{GOD2284}$ have been shown to be strong and moderate promoters in *G. oxydans*, respectively (Kallnik et al., 2010). With the resulting plasmids pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P$_{GOD2324}$-P$_{rhaBAD}$-mNG and pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P$_{GOD2284}$-P$_{rhaBAD}$-mNG, the mNG expression was compared to that with pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P$_{rhaBAD}$-mNG in microscale BioLector cultivations (Figure 2B). Without L-rhamnose, constitutive expression of rhaS from P$_{GOD2324}$ reduced P$_{rhaBAD}$-derived mNG expression by more than half and from this latter level the mNG expression was reduced by half when expressing rhaS from P$_{GOD2284}$ (Figure 2C). Thus, expression of rhaS from its native promoter P$_{rhaS}$ led to the highest P$_{rhaBAD}$-derived mNG signals (514 a.u. after ~7 h) in the absence of L-rhamnose. These results suggested that P$_{rhaS}$ is a very strong promoter per se, or because it is positively auto-regulated by RhaS. However, the RhaS protein was reported to severely aggregate when overexpressed (Wickstrum et al., 2010), and biochemical analysis of RhaS binding to the promoter DNA had
not been possible due to the extreme insolubility of the overproduced RhaS protein (Egan and Schleif, 1994). Therefore, it appears more likely that \( P_{rhaSR} \) is a weak promoter also in \( G. \) oxydans resulting in sufficient levels of functional RhaS protein activating \( P_{rhaBAD} \), while stronger \( rhaS \) expression via \( P_{GOX0264} \) and \( P_{GOX0452} \) likely resulted in aggregated non-functional RhaS protein.

In the presence of 1% (w/v) \( \alpha \)-rhamnose, the strong \( mNG \) expression obtained with \( rhaS-P_{GOX0264}-P_{rhaBAD}-mNG \) was reduced by ~82% (from 514 to 90 a.u.). The \( mNG \) expression obtained with \( rhaS-P_{GOX0452}-P_{rhaBAD}-mNG \) was reduced by 77% (from 212 to 48 a.u.) and by 68% (from 95 to 30 a.u.), respectively (Figure 2C).

\( P_{rhaSR} \) is weak in \textit{Glucobacter oxydans}, stimulated by RhaS and further stimulated by \( \alpha \)-rhamnose

To check the strength of \( P_{rhaSR} \) in \( G. \) oxydans and the influence of RhaS on \( P_{rhaSR} \) activity, we created plasmids with \( mNG \) under the control of \( P_{rhaSR} \) and with \( rhaS \) under the control of the constitutive promoters \( P_{GOX0264} \) or \( P_{GOX0452} \), or lacking \( rhaS \) (Supplementary Figure S4A). The respective \( G. \) oxydans strains were cultivated in a BioLector and showed similar growth (Supplementary Figure S4B). In the absence of \( \alpha \)-rhamnose, moderate \( P_{GOX0452} \)-derived \( rhaS \) expression resulted in a similar low \( mNG \) expression from \( P_{rhaSR} \) as without \( rhaS \), while the stronger \( P_{GOX0264} \)-derived \( rhaS \) expression resulted in a two-fold higher \( mNG \) expression from \( P_{rhaSR} \), suggesting a positive effect of the RhaS level on \( P_{rhaSR} \) activity (Supplementary Figures S4C,D). In the presence of \( \alpha \)-rhamnose, \( mNG \) expression from \( P_{rhaSR} \) was always increased with \( rhaS \), while there was no effect by \( \alpha \)-rhamnose when \( rhaS \) was absent. With moderate \( rhaS \) expression in \( G. \) oxydans harboring pBBR1MC5-5-\( mNG-P_{rhaSR}-P_{GOX0452}-rhaS \), the \( mNG \) fluorescence increased ~2.5-fold from 74 to 189 a.u. with 1% (w/v) \( \alpha \)-rhamnose. This \( \alpha \)-rhamnose-dependent increase was less pronounced with \( rhaS \) under control of the stronger \( P_{GOX0264} \) where the RhaS level was expected to be higher. Here, the \( mNG \) fluorescence increased only 1.3-fold from 144 to 187 a.u. (Supplementary Figure S4C). Together, \( P_{rhaSR} \) is also
Repression of P_{rhaBAD} is sensitive to low L-rhamnose levels and is homogeneous

Since from all tested plasmid variants the one lacking rhaR and containing rhaS under the control of its native auto-regulated P_{rhaSR} promoter exhibited the highest P_{rhaBAD} activity in the absence of L-rhamnose and the highest grade of repression in the presence of L-rhamnose, the construct pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P_{rhaBAD}-P_{rhaBAD}-mNG was analyzed further. The sensitivity of repression and residual mNG expression was tested in n-mannitol medium with 0.3%, 1% and 3% (w/v) L-rhamnose in a BioLector (Figures 3A,B). Already 0.3% (w/v) L-rhamnose strongly reduced the mNG fluorescence after ~7 h by 75% (225 vs. 55 a.u.). This indicated that the RhaS-P_{rhaBAD} system is quite sensitive and already low L-rhamnose concentrations should enable a tuning of target gene repression. Supplementation with 1% and 3% (w/v) L-rhamnose reduced mNG fluorescence by 83% (38 a.u.) and 85% (34 a.u.), respectively. This suggested that already 1% (w/v) L-rhamnose was sufficient to reach almost maximal possible repression of plasmid-based P_{rhaBAD} copies in G. oxydans.

This responsiveness of P_{rhaBAD}-based expression toward relatively low L-rhamnose concentrations was also observed in shake flask cultivations. When grown in 50 ml n-mannitol medium supplemented with 0.25% L-rhamnose, the mNG fluorescence was reduced to 24% (from 3,267 to 783 a.u.) after 9 h (Figures 3C,D). In shake flask cultures with 1% (w/v) L-rhamnose, the mNG fluorescence was reduced to 17% (from 3,267 to 553 a.u.).

Flow cytometry was used to analyze the repression of P_{rhaBAD}-derived mNG expression on the single cell level. In the absence of L-rhamnose, 7h after inoculation 95.5% of the analyzed cells showed strong mNG fluorescence (~100,000 a.u.), while when grown with 1% (w/v) L-rhamnose, 96.4% of the analyzed cells showed a 89% reduced fluorescence (~11,000 a.u.; Figure 3E). Thus, the results of this FACS analysis are in line with the results of the BioLector and Tecan reader (shake flasks) measurements. Additionally, the FACS analysis demonstrated a high population homogeneity in both conditions.

An additional RhaS binding site directly downstream from the −10 region doubled the P_{rhaBAD}-derived expression strength and the dynamic range of repression

In an attempt to reduce the residual expression from P_{rhaBAD} in the presence of L-rhamnose and achieve complete repression, and to possibly lower the L-rhamnose concentrations required, we constructed and tested a plasmid with an additional RhaS binding site (+RhaS-BS) directly downstream from the annotated E. coli −10 region of P_{rhaBAD}. Additional binding of the RhaS-l-rhamnose complex downstream from the −10 region should potentially contribute to the repression of P_{rhaBAD}. Also, it was interesting to see the general impact of this additional RhaS BS on the P_{rhaBAD} activity in the absence of L-rhamnose.

We used plasmid pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P_{rhaSR}-P_{rhaBAD}-mNG as template and created a copy of the 50 bp region comprising the native RhaS-BS present in P_{rhaBAD}. This copy was inserted directly downstream from the −10 region of P_{rhaBAD}. The resulting plasmid was termed pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P_{rhaSR}-P_{rhaBAD}(+RhaS-BS)-mNG and its expression performance was compared with that of the template plasmid (Figure 4). In n-mannitol medium without and with 1% (w/v) L-rhamnose, both strains showed similar growth independent of the plasmids or L-rhamnose supplementation (Figure 4D). Interestingly, in the absence of L-rhamnose, the maximal mNG fluorescence observed for the plasmid carrying +RhaS-BS was almost twice (405 a.u.) that of the parental plasmid (225 a.u.), suggesting additional activation of P_{rhaBAD} by RhaS in the absence of L-rhamnose or a new transcriptional start increasing the mNG expression (Figure 4E). In the presence of 1% (w/v) L-rhamnose, the absolute residual mNG expression were similarly low for both constructs according to the mNG fluorescence. Therefore, the relative residual plasmid-based mNG expression was decreased to 11% by +RhaS-BS due to the doubled absolute expression strength in the absence of L-rhamnose (11% for +RhaS-BS: 405 a.u. reduced to 45 a.u.; 17% for parental: 225 a.u. reduced to 38 a.u.).

The tunability of P_{rhaBAD}(+RhaS-BS) repression was tested with 0.05%, 0.1%, 0.2%, 0.3%, 1%, and 3% (w/v) L-rhamnose (Figures 5A–D). With 1% and 3% (w/v), the reduction of the mNG fluorescence was similarly high (from 405 a.u. to 43 and 41 a.u., respectively), indicating that like P_{rhaBAD}, plasmid-based P_{rhaBAD}(+RhaS-BS) is also almost maximally repressed by 1% (w/v) L-rhamnose. The calculated residual mNG expression from P_{rhaBAD}(+RhaS-BS) was 11% and 10%, respectively. With 0.3% (w/v) L-rhamnose, the residual mNG fluorescence was 17% (405 vs. 69 a.u.). With only 0.05% (w/v) L-rhamnose, the mNG fluorescence was reduced approximately by half (from 406 to 197 a.u.), showing the sensitivity and tunability of the system. In shake flask cultivations with 0.25% and 1% (w/v) L-rhamnose, P_{rhaBAD}(+RhaS-BS) showed a similar repression performance as in microscale BioLector conditions. After 9 h of growth in shake flasks, the maximal mNG fluorescence without L-rhamnose (5,833 a.u.) was reduced to 1,060 and 600 a.u. in the presence of 0.25% and 1% (w/v) L-rhamnose (Figures 5E,F), representing 18% and 10% residual mNG expression.

Plotting the relative maximal P_{rhaBAD} and P_{rhaBAD}(+RhaS-BS) derived mNG fluorescence vs. the L-rhamnose concentrations
illustrates the responsiveness of both promoters toward low $\alpha$-rhamnose concentrations (Figure 6). While the absolute repression of both promoters was similar and down to 10% of the maximal individual expression strength, non-repressed $P_{\text{rhaBAD}^{(+RhaS-BS)}}$ was two-fold stronger than $P_{\text{rhaBAD}}$ and therefore offers a wider dynamic range of expression.
FIGURE 4

Insertion of an additional RhaS binding site downstream from the −10 region doubled the expression strength of $P_{rhaBAD}$ and the range of repression.

(A) Map of plasmid pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P$_{rhaSR}$-$P_{rhaBAD}$-mNG. (B) Schematic illustration of the pBBR1MCS-5 inserts rhaS-P$_{rhaSR}$-P$_{rhaBAD}$-mNG and its variant rhaS-P$_{rhaSR}$-P$_{rhaBAD}$+RhaS-BS-mNG harboring an additional RhaS binding site directly downstream from the −10 region, all flanked by terminators. (C) DNA sequence details of the fragment rhaS-P$_{rhaSR}$-P$_{rhaBAD}$+RhaS-BS-mNG with RhaS and RhaR binding sites as well as terminator sequences adjacent to rhaS and mNG. The promoter elements are given according to Egan and Schleif (1993).

(D) Growth according to backscatter and (E) absolute mNG fluorescence of G. oxydans 621H carrying either plasmid pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P$_{rhaSR}$-P$_{rhaBAD}$-mNG or pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P$_{rhaSR}$-P$_{rhaBAD}$+RhaS-BS-mNG in microscale BioLector cultivations in d-mannitol medium without and with 1% (w/v) l-rhamnose. Data represent mean values and standard deviation from two biological replicates (clones) with three technical replicates each. BioLector settings: backscatter gain 20, fluorescence gain 40.
A genomic single copy of P\textsubscript{rhaBAD}(+RhaS-BS) can be tuned and completely repressed by RhaS and L-rhamnose

We then analyzed if the stronger P\textsubscript{rhaBAD} variant can be completely repressed in a plasmid-free strain when this modified target promoter and rha\textsubscript{S} are genomically integrated and present as a single copy instead of being present on a plasmid with medium copy number (Figure 7A). Therefore, we integrated the reporter gene mNG under control of P\textsubscript{rhaBAD}(+RhaS-BS) into the intergenic region igr3 (GOX0038/GOX_RS01330–GOX0039/GOX_RS01335). The resulting strain was
termed G. oxydans mNG. For single-copy rhaS expression, we tested the promoters P_{rhaS} and P_{GOX0244} and integrated both rhaS constructs in G. oxydans mNG separately into igr2 (GOX0028/GOX_RS01280–GOX0029/GOX_RS01285). The resulting G. oxydans strains mNG igr2::P_{GOX0244}-rhaS and mNG igr2::P_{igr1}-rhaS were cultivated and analyzed in a BioLector (Figures 7B,C). As observed before with the plasmid-based approach, in the absence of l-rhamnose expression of single-copy rhaS under control of P_{rhaS} resulted in higher activity of P_{GOX0244}-rhaS than with P_{GOX0244}-rhaS. However, with rhaS under control of P_{GOX0244} a much higher extent of repression was observed with 1% (w/v) l-rhamnose. Here, the maximal mNG signals were reduced by 64% from 217 to 78 a.u. (Figure 7C). These results indicated that single-copy rhaS expression is not sufficient to completely repress P_{igr1} in the presence of l-rhamnose.

We then tested if a second genomic rhaS copy could be sufficient and integrated both P_{GOX0244}-rhaS and P_{igr1}-rhaS into strain mNG igr1::P_{GOX0244}-rhaS separately into igr1 (GOX0013/GOX_RS01200–GOX0014/GOX_RS01205). The two resulting G. oxydans strains mNG igr1::P_{GOX0244}-rhaS igr2::rhaS and mNG igr1::P_{igr1}-rhaS igr2::rhaS were cultivated and analyzed in a BioLector (Figures 7D,E). The extent of repression in the presence of l-rhamnose was higher with two rhaS copies compared to only one copy and again P_{GOX0244}-rhaS performed better in repression than P_{igr1}-rhaS, yet two genomic rhaS copies were still not sufficient to completely repress P_{igr1}. With one copy of P_{igr1}-rhaS and one copy of P_{GOX0244}-rhaS the maximal mNG signals were reduced by 78% from 435 to 96 a.u. With two genomic copies of P_{GOX0244}-rhaS, the maximal mNG signals were reduced by 84% from 444 to 73 a.u. (Figure 7E).

To test if a genomic single-copy P_{igr1} can be completely repressed at all, we constructed the rhaS expression plasmid pBBRMCS-5-P_{igr1}-rhaS and introduced it into the single-copy rhaS strain G. oxydans mNG igr2::P_{GOX0244}-rhaS already showing 64% promoter repression (Figure 8A). The resulting plasmid-carrying strain was cultivated and analyzed in a BioLector (Figures 8B,C). According to the mNG signals, the genomic single-copy P_{igr1} appeared completely repressed by 3% and possibly also by 1% (w/v) l-rhamnose. To test the tunability of this repression with plasmid-based expression of rhaS, we also tested lower l-rhamnose concentrations (Supplementary Figure S5). In the presence of 0.1% (w/v) l-rhamnose, the maximal mNG signals were reduced by 64% from 216 to 78 a.u.. In the presence of 0.2% (w/v) l-rhamnose, the maximal mNG signals were reduced by 78% from 216 to 47 a.u.. These results indicated a relatively high sensitivity of the system toward lower l-rhamnose concentrations and that a genomic copy of the RhaS target promoter variant can be tuned.

The Escherichia coli promoter P_{nat} is weak, inducible and tunable in Gluconobacter oxydans

As mentioned above, in E. coli RhaS also activates the promoter P_{nat} of the l-rhamnose transporter gene rhaT. Similar to P_{igr1}, P_{nat} contains two regulatory elements, one for RhaS and one for CRP binding. Contrary to P_{igr1}, the RhaS binding site on P_{nat} is differently composed and slightly shifted, so that the binding site does not overlap with the −35 element of P_{nat} (Via et al., 1996; Wickstrom et al., 2010). To analyze the regulation and performance of P_{nat} by RhaS in G. oxydans, we constructed reporter plasmid pBBRMCS-5-P_{nat}-mNG. As a control, plasmid pBBRMCS-5-P_{agr}-mNG lacking rhaS was constructed (Figure 9).

In BioLector cultivations, G. oxydans cells with pBBRMCS-5-P_{nat}-rhaS-P_{agr}-mNG or pBBRMCS-5-P_{agr}-mNG showed very similar growth independent of the presence or absence of l-rhamnose (Figure 10A). Interestingly and in contrast to P_{igr1}, mNG expression controlled by P_{nat} was induced by l-rhamnose. Addition of 1% (w/v) l-rhamnose increased mNG fluorescence ~7.5-fold (from 36 to 266 a.u.) within 8 h. The values indicated a weak or moderate strength of P_{nat} in G. oxydans (Figure 10B). Almost no mNG fluorescence was observed in the strain with plasmid pBBRMCS-5-P_{agr}-mNG without rhaS. Thus, on the one hand P_{nat} was almost not active in G. oxydans without RhaS and an endogenous G. oxydans protein did not interfere. On the other hand, RhaS apparently weakly activated P_{nat} already in the absence of l-rhamnose since with plasmid pBBRMCS-5-P_{agr}-P_{nat}-mNG a low basal mNG fluorescence was observed also in the absence of l-rhamnose exceeding the extremely low mNG signals when rhaS was absent (Figure 10B). Alternatively, a low level of l-rhamnose could be present in the complex medium resulting in a basal RhaS-dependent induction of the system. It should be noted that due to the relatively weak expression from P_{nat} compared to P_{igr1} in these BioLector cultivations the fluorescence signals were monitored with gain 70 instead of gain 40 or 50.
FIGURE 7
Partial repression of genomic single-copy $P_{\text{GOX0264}}$-rhaBAD-NG using genomically integrated copies of rhaS. (A) Schematic illustration of the genomic backgrounds of the G. oxydans 621H strains. The expression cassette $P_{\text{GOX0264}}$-rhaBAD-NG of the reporter gene was genomically integrated into the intergenic region igr3 (GOX0038/GOX_RS01330–GOX0039/GOX_RS01335). The resulting strain was termed G. oxydans mNG.

For single-copy rhaS expression, a rhaS expression cassette either under control of $P_{\text{GOX0264}}$ (a) or $P_{\text{rhaSR}}$ (b) was genomically integrated in G. oxydans mNG into igr2 (GOX0028/GOX_RS01280–GOX0029/GOX_RS01285). A second rhaS expression cassette again either under control of $P_{\text{GOX0264}}$ (c) or $P_{\text{rhaSR}}$ (d) was genomically integrated into igr1 (GOX0013/GOX_RS01200–GOX0014/GOX_RS01205) in strain A with $P_{\text{GOX0264}}$-rhaS in igr2. (B,D) Growth of the strains in d-mannitol medium according to backscatter and (C,E) absolute mNG fluorescence in BioLector cultivations. L-Rhamnose was supplemented as indicated. All data represent mean values and standard deviation from two biological replicates (clones) with three technical replicates each. BioLector settings: backscatter gain 20, fluorescence gain 70.
The tunability of $P_{\text{rhaT}}$ induction was tested with $l$-rhamnose concentrations ranging from 0.25% to 4% (w/v). Again, growth of $G. \text{oxydans}$ cells with pBBR1MCS-5- $rhaS$-$P_{\text{rhaSR}}$-$P_{\text{rhaT}}$-$mNG$ was largely unaffected by up to 2% (w/v) $l$-rhamnose (Figure 10C). With 4% (w/v) $l$-rhamnose, the backscatter data suggested a biphasic growth. The $P_{\text{rhaT}}$-derived $mNG$ expression increased gradually in an inducer-dependent manner (Figure 10D). The maximal induction observed was 9.2-fold (36 vs. 330 a.u.) and required 4% (w/v) $l$-rhamnose. With 0.25% (w/v) $l$-rhamnose already half of the maximal induction was reached showing that the weak to moderate $P_{\text{rhaT}}$-derived $mNG$ expression could be nicely tuned by low $l$-rhamnose concentrations (Figure 10E). The low expression strength of $P_{\text{rhaT}}$ and its tunability could be of particular interest for the synthesis of proteins forming inclusion bodies when expressed at higher levels.

The homogeneity of $P_{\text{rhaT}}$ induction was analyzed by FACS using cells harvested after 7 h of growth in $d$-mannitol medium without or with 1% (w/v) $l$-rhamnose (Figure 10F). In the absence of $l$-rhamnose, 97.4% of the analyzed cells with pBBR1MCS-5-$rhaS$-$P_{\text{rhaSR}}$-$P_{\text{rhaT}}$-$mNG$ showed relatively low fluorescence signals (~1,000 a.u.). In the presence of 1% (w/v) $l$-rhamnose, 96.9% of the population showed approximately 9-fold higher $mNG$ fluorescence signals (~9,000 a.u.). We also tested the inducible $P_{\text{rhaT}}$-derived $mNG$ expression in shake flask cultures with 0.3% and 1% (w/v) $l$-rhamnose. Under these conditions, all cultures with pBBR1MCS-5-$rhaS$-$P_{\text{rhaSR}}$-$P_{\text{rhaT}}$-$mNG$ exhibited very similar growth (Figure 10G). The $mNG$ expression was similarly induced as in the BioLector cultivations (Figure 10H). The maximal $mNG$ fluorescence was reached after 9 h of growth and represented 4-fold and 6-fold induction with 0.3% (50 vs. 210 a.u.) and 1% (w/v) $l$-rhamnose (50 vs. 297 a.u.), respectively.

To test the influence of $rhaS$ expression strength from different promoters on the performance of the RhaS-$P_{\text{rhaT}}$ system, we replaced $P_{\text{rhaSR}}$ and constructed plasmid variants with $P_{\text{GOX0264}}$-$rhaS$ and $P_{\text{GOX0452}}$-$rhaS$ (Figure 11A). The $G. \text{oxydans}$ strains with either of the reporter plasmids were cultivated and analyzed in a BioLector to compare the basal expression level and the induction performance with that of cells expressing $rhaS$ under the control of $P_{\text{rhaSR}}$ (Figures 11B–E). For both tested $G. \text{oxydans}$ strains with either of the reporter plasmids were cultivated and analyzed in a BioLector to compare the basal expression level and the induction performance with that of cells expressing $rhaS$ under the control of $P_{\text{rhaSR}}$ (Figures 11B–E). For both tested $G. \text{oxydans}$ strains with either of the reporter plasmids were cultivated and analyzed in a BioLector to compare the basal expression level and the induction performance with that of cells expressing $rhaS$ under the control of $P_{\text{rhaSR}}$ (Figures 11B–E). For both tested $G. \text{oxydans}$ strains with either of the reporter plasmids were cultivated and analyzed in a BioLector to compare the basal expression level and the induction performance with that of cells expressing $rhaS$ under the control of $P_{\text{rhaSR}}$ (Figures 11B–E).
higher with \( \text{P}_{\text{GOX0264}-\text{rhaS}} \) (46 a.u.) and were approximately 3-fold higher with \( \text{P}_{\text{GOX0452}-\text{rhaS}} \) (104 a.u.) compared to \( \text{P}_{\text{rhaSR}-\text{rhaS}} \) (36 a.u.), the maximal induction fold changes with 4% (w/v) \( \text{l}-\text{rhamnose} \) were only 5-fold with \( \text{P}_{\text{GOX0264}-\text{rhaS}} \) and 2.4-fold with \( \text{P}_{\text{GOX0452}-\text{rhaS}} \). Thus, compared to \( \text{P}_{\text{rhaSR}-\text{rhaS}} \) the non-induced basal expression level was not lowered and the induction fold changes of the \( \text{RhaS-P}_{\text{rhaT}} \) system were not improved by using \( \text{P}_{\text{GOX0264}} \) or \( \text{P}_{\text{GOX0452}} \) for \( \text{rhaS} \) expression.

**Insertion of an additional RhaS binding site can reverse the regulation making \( \text{P}_{\text{rhaT}} \) repressible by \( \text{RhaS} \) and \( \text{l}-\text{rhamnose} \)**

To test the influence of an additional RhaS binding site on the expression performance of \( \text{P}_{\text{rhaT}} \), we inserted the RhaS binding site sequence from \( \text{P}_{\text{rhaBAD}} \) on the one hand directly downstream from the \( \text{E. coli} \) –10 region (–10-RhaS-BS) and on the other hand downstream from the \( \text{E. coli} \) TSS (TSS-RhaS-BS), and constructed for both \( \text{P}_{\text{rhaT}} \) variants expression plasmids with \( \text{rhaS} \) under control of either \( \text{P}_{\text{GOX0264}} \) or \( \text{P}_{\text{GOX0452}} \) (Figures 12A, B). In case of the –10-RhaS-BS, the regulation was reversed and \( \text{P}_{\text{rhaT}(–10-\text{RhaS-BS})} \) was repressible. The maximal mNG signals in the absence of \( \text{l}-\text{rhamnose} \) for both \( \text{rhaS} \) constructs \( \text{P}_{\text{GOX0264}-\text{rhaS}} \) (250 a.u.) and \( \text{P}_{\text{GOX0452}-\text{rhaS}} \) (214 a.u.) were reduced by 65% (87 and 77 a.u.; Figures 12C, D). In contrast, the variant \( \text{P}_{\text{ATG(TSS-BS-BS)}} \) was still inducible, yet showed increased and relatively high non-induced mNG signals in the absence of \( \text{l}-\text{rhamnose} \), which could maximally only be doubled by induction with 4% (w/v) \( \text{l}-\text{rhamnose} \) (Figures 12E, F).

**Discussion**

In this study, we found that the promoters \( \text{P}_{\text{rhaBAD}} \) and \( \text{P}_{\text{rhaT}} \) together with the transcriptional regulator \( \text{RhaS} \), all derived from \( \text{E. coli} \), exhibit interesting characteristics for the control of gene expression in the AAB \( \text{G. oxydans} \). These characteristics are affected by the \( \text{rhaS} \) expression strength and additional \( \text{RhaS} \)
FIGURE 10
Performance of the RhaS-P<sub>rhaT</sub> system in G. oxydans 621H. (A) Growth according to backscatter and (B) absolute mNG fluorescence of G. oxydans 621H carrying plasmid pBBRIMCS-5-P<sub>rhaS</sub>-P<sub>rhaSR</sub>-P<sub>rhaT</sub>-mNG or pBBRIMCS-5-P<sub>rhaT</sub>-mNG lacking rhaS in microscale BioLector cultivations without and with 1% (w/v) l-rhamnose. (C) Growth (backscatter) and (D) absolute mNG fluorescence of G. oxydans 621H carrying plasmid pBBRIMCS-5-P<sub>rhaS</sub>-P<sub>rhaSR</sub>-P<sub>rhaT</sub>-mNG in microscale BioLector cultivations with l-rhamnose concentrations from 0.25% to 4% (w/v) as indicated. BioLector settings: backscatter gain 20, fluorescence gain 70. (E) Correlation between the relative n-fold P<sub>rhaT</sub> activity in G. oxydans 621H carrying plasmid pBBRIMCS-5-P<sub>rhaS</sub>-P<sub>rhaSR</sub>-P<sub>rhaT</sub>-mNG and the l-rhamnose concentrations. For the calculation, the maximal mNG fluorescence in the absence of l-rhamnose was set to 1. (F) FACS analysis of G. oxydans 621H carrying plasmid pBBRIMCS-5-P<sub>rhaS</sub>-P<sub>rhaSR</sub>-P<sub>rhaT</sub>-mNG or empty vector pBBRIMCS-5 (MCS-5) as a control. Cells were grown in shake flasks with α-mannitol medium without and with 1% (w/v) l-rhamnose. FACS analysis was performed 7 h after inoculation (induction). Total counts per sample represent 100,000 events. (G) Growth (OD<sub>600</sub>) and (H) l-Rhamnose-induced mNG fluorescence of G. oxydans 621H carrying plasmid pBBRIMCS-5-P<sub>rhaS</sub>-P<sub>rhaSR</sub>-P<sub>rhaT</sub>-mNG in shake flask cultivations with α-mannitol medium. The mNG fluorescence was measured in a Tecan reader (gain 60). All data represent mean values and standard deviation from two biological replicates (clones) with three technical replicates each.
binding sites in $P_{rhaBAD}$ and $P_{rhaT}$. With RhaS-$P_{rhaBAD}$ we found the first system for \textit{G. oxydans} that permits controlled down-regulation in an effector-dependent manner exhibiting tunability and enabling complete repression of a genomically encoded target gene. Furthermore, the regulation of $P_{rhaT}$ could be reversed from inducible to repressible by inserting an additional RhaS binding site. Altogether, these features provide novel opportunities expanding the genetic toolbox for regulatable gene expression in \textit{G. oxydans} and are possibly also interesting for other AAB.

In \textit{E. coli} the $l$-rhamnose-induced regulation of $P_{rhaBAD}$ requires both RhaR and RhaS (Egan and Schleif, 1993, 1994; Kelly et al., 2016). In \textit{G. oxydans}, only RhaS played an effective role for the regulation of the system. In \textit{E. coli}, first RhaR activates expression of the $rhaSR$ operon in the presence of $l$-rhamnose, which is a prerequisite to provide sufficient RhaS levels for the induction of...
Insertion of an additional RhaS binding site directly downstream from the E. coli $-10$ region of $P_{rhaT}$ reversed the regulation in G. oxydans making the modified RhaS-$P_{rhaT}$ system repressible in the presence of l-rhamnose.

(A) Schematic illustration of the pBBR1MCS-5 plasmid inserts to test the effects of an additional RhaS binding site (RhaS BS) in $P_{rhaT}$ directly downstream from the E. coli $-10$ region ($-10$ RhaS BS) or downstream from the E. coli transcriptional start site (TSS RhaS BS) together with rhaS expression from $P_{GOX0264}$ or $P_{GOX0452}$.

(B) Sequence details of $P_{rhaT}$ with the positions and RhaS binding site sequence from $P_{rhaBAD}$ inserted either directly downstream from the E. coli $-10$ region or downstream from the E. coli transcriptional start site (TSS +1) according to Vía et al. (1996).

(C) Growth of the G. oxydans 621H strains with rhaS expression plasmid and modified $P_{rhaT}$ in $d$-mannitol medium according to backscatter and (D) absolute mNG fluorescence in BioLector cultivations. l-Rhamnose was supplemented as indicated. All data represent mean values and standard deviation from two biological replicates (clones) with three technical replicates each. BioLector settings: (C,E) backscatter gain 20, (D,F) fluorescence gain 60. (F) fluorescence gain 70.
P$_{\text{BAD}}$ by RhaS. This stimulation of rhaSR expression by RhaR is proposed to be achieved by bending the P$_{\text{rha}}$ promoter DNA so that P$_{\text{rha}}$-bound cAMP receptor protein (CRP) can interact with the RNA polymerase (RNAP) and thereby activates transcription of rhaSR (Wickstrum et al., 2010). Activation of P$_{\text{rha}}$ by RhaR in such a manner is not possible in G. oxydans since CRP is absent. The protein showing the highest similarity to CRP was shown to function as an iron–sulfur cluster-containing FNR-type transcriptional regulator (GOX0974/GOX_RS86010) of genes involved in respiration and redox metabolism (Schweikert et al., 2021). In G. oxydans, the presence of RhaR even decreased the RhaS-dependent P$_{\text{rha}}$ activity (Figure 1). This might be caused by a decreased expression of rhaSR, resulting in a lower RhaS level. In E. coli, 1-rhamnose only affects the RhaR-dependent DNA bending and thereby activates transcription from P$_{\text{rha}}$, yet the binding of RhaR to its target DNA per se was not affected by 1-rhamnose (Kolin et al., 2008). RhaS can also bind to the RhaR binding site of P$_{\text{rha}}$ leading to lowered expression of the rhaSR operon in E. coli, thereby providing a negative feedback loop since the RhaS-dependent DNA bending of P$_{\text{rha}}$ is different from the binding by RhaR and prevents CRP-dependent activation of rhaSR expression (Wickstrum et al., 2010). In G. oxydans, RhaS alone activated P$_{\text{rha}}$ already in the absence of 1-rhamnose and 1-rhamnose further stimulated this effect (Supplementary Figures S4A,C). Therefore, in G. oxydans RhaS likely binds to P$_{\text{rha}}$ and competes with RhaR, causing an inhibition of P$_{\text{rha}}$ activation by RhaS and consequently lowered the RhaS level, resulting in the lower P$_{\text{rha}}$ activity. Alternatively, or partially, the data obtained with the constructs omitting only rhaS and both rhaSR suggested that in G. oxydans RhaR could also bind to P$_{\text{rha}}$ and competes with Rha in binding to P$_{\text{rha}}$, resulting in the lowered reporter signals in the absence of 1-rhamnose (Figure 1). Hence, omitting rhaR and using only rhaS provides advantages when using these regulatable E. coli promoters for gene expression in G. oxydans.

A surprising outcome of this study was the reversed regulation of P$_{\text{rha}}$ by RhaS in G. oxydans, while P$_{\text{rha}}$ was still inducible as in E. coli. RhaS belongs to the AraC/XylS family of transcriptional regulators (Tobin and Schleif, 1987). Within this protein family most members interact with the C-terminal domain (CTD) of the α-subunit of the RNAP to activate transcription (reviewed in Ebright and Busby, 1995). It was shown that deletion of the RNAP α-CTD reduced expression 180-fold, suggesting a direct interaction of RhaS and the α-CTD of the E. coli RNAP (Holcroft and Egan, 2000). Nevertheless, some members of the AraC/XylS family may also activate transcription through interaction with the sigma 70 factor (σ$^{70}$) subunit RpoD of the RNAP. This mode of activation is often indicated by regulator binding sites overlapping with the −35 element of the target promoter (Lonetto et al., 1998; Bhende and Egan, 2000). Within P$_{\text{rha}}$, 4 bp of the RhaS binding site overlap with the −35 hexamer of this promoter (Figure 4), while within P$_{\text{rha}}$ the RhaS binding site does not overlap and ends 1 bp upstream from the −35 element (Figure 9). Among the family of σ$^{70}$ transcription factors, the C-terminus is highly conserved as it contains DNA-binding domains and well-defined functional regions (Hakimi et al., 2000; Paget, 2015). In alanine substitution experiments, it was shown that D241 and D250 of RhaS and K593 and R599 of σ$^{70}$ are likely interacting residues required for RhaS-dependent activation of P$_{\text{rha}}$ in E. coli (Bhende and Egan, 2000; Wickstrum and Egan, 2004). While the entire σ$^{70}$ amino acid sequences from G. oxydans and from E. coli K12 exhibit only 49% identity, primarily due to little similarities in the N-terminal part, the C-terminal regions share 84% identity. In the two regions likely involved in −10 and −35 recognition, only two residues are different (Supplementary Figure S6). R448 and R599 in σ$^{70}$ from E. coli correspond to K486 and K637 in σ$^{70}$ from G. oxydans. R599 is involved in the recognition of the −35 hexamer and in interaction with RhaS in E. coli (Bhende and Egan, 2000; Wickstrum and Egan, 2004). Although the exchange is conservative, K637 might contribute to the reversed responsiveness in G. oxydans.

As mentioned above, in P$_{\text{rha}}$, the RhaS binding site overlaps with most of the −35 region by 4 bp while in P$_{\text{rha}}$ the RhaS binding site does not overlap with the −35 region and ends 1 bp upstream (Via et al., 1996). These different distances in DNA binding positions result in different radial orientations of RhaS toward σ$^{70}$-RNAP along the longitudinal DNA axis. Theoretically, with a turn of 36° per bp, a distance of 5 bp turns the radial orientation by 180°, putting RhaS (or σ$^{70}$-RNAP) to the other side of the DNA strand when comparing the theoretical binding of RhaS and σ$^{70}$-RNAP to P$_{\text{rha}}$. Because of this theoretical difference in the orientation of RhaS toward σ$^{70}$-RNAP, RhaS possibly interacts with the α-CTD of the RNAP in the case of P$_{\text{rha}}$ and with σ$^{70}$ in the case of P$_{\text{rha}}$. Since the α-CTD and σ$^{70}$ from G. oxydans and E. coli differ to some extent, the conformational changes of RhaS induced by the binding of 1-rhamnose may affect the interactions of RhaS with the α-CTD and with σ$^{70}$ from G. oxydans differently compared to the interactions with the α-CTD and with σ$^{70}$ from E. coli, finally resulting in the different modes of the regulation of P$_{\text{rha}}$ and P$_{\text{rha}}$ in G. oxydans. Interestingly, in the case of P$_{\text{rha}}$, the RhaR binding site also overlaps with the −35 region as the RhaS binding site in P$_{\text{rha}}$. Moreover, one of the major groove regions of each RhaR half site on P$_{\text{rha}}$ is nearly identical to the corresponding half site for RhaS binding on P$_{\text{rha}}$ and RhaS can also bind to the RhaR binding site in P$_{\text{rha}}$ as mentioned above (Egan and Schleif, 1994). Despite these similarities between P$_{\text{rha}}$ and P$_{\text{rha}}$, in contrast to P$_{\text{rha}}$, P$_{\text{rha}}$ was still inducible by RhaS and 1-rhamnose in G. oxydans. These differences in G. oxydans cannot be explained without further experimental data. For example, the recognition by and the affinity of σ$^{70}$ to potential −35 and −10 regions in the absence and in the presence of RhaS and therefore the positional binding of the host RNAP to the E. coli promoter DNA relative to the RhaS binding position might differ in G. oxydans because of different DNA sequence specificities of σ$^{70}$. Therefore, knowledge about the transcriptional starts sites (TSSs) within the three E. coli promoter regions P$_{\text{rha}}$, P$_{\text{rha}}$, and P$_{\text{rha}}$ in G. oxydans is required to better explain the effects, including the activation of P$_{\text{rha}}$ by RhaS in the absence of 1-rhamnose, the repression, and the effects of the additional RhaS binding site inserted into P$_{\text{rha}}$ and P$_{\text{rha}}$. 


In a first and preliminary attempt to obtain such TSS data, we prepared a total RNA sample from *G. oxydans* 621H with plasmid pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P<sub>rhaS</sub>-P<sub>rhaBAD</sub>-rhaS-BS-mNG cultivated in the complex medium with D-mannitol in the absence of l-rhamnose and harvested in the mid-exponential phase. The RNA sample was sent to Vertis Biotechnologie AG for sample processing and Illumina sequencing to obtain high-quality TSS data (see Materials and Methods). The resulting fastq file comprised 10,255,084 reads (75 bp). After reads trimming and quality filtering, 1,023,259 reads mapped to the sequence of pBBR1MCS-5-rhaS-P<sub>rhaS</sub>-P<sub>rhaBAD</sub>-rhaS-BS-mNG. The overall reads mapping showed three prominent reads stacks indicating the three most active transcriptional starts on the plasmid (Supplementary Figure S7). The by far highest stack (~560,000 coverage) corresponded to the annotated promoter region of gmR (aacC1) conferring gentamycin resistance and was oriented toward gmR. The second-highest stack (~175,000 coverage) was upstream from rhaS and oriented toward rhaS. In contrast to the expectation for rhaS, the start position of this stack was not within P<sub>rhaBAD</sub>, but upstream from P<sub>rhaBAD</sub> within the P<sub>rhaBAD</sub> region between its ~35 and ~10 regions from *E. coli*. The third-highest stack (~65,000 coverage) was found within the coding region of rhaS and oriented toward the 3′ end of rhaS. For P<sub>rhaBAD</sub>, the insertion of an additional RhaS binding site could possibly generate an additional transcriptional start site in *G. oxydans* enabling the two-fold increased mNG signals described above for P<sub>rhaBAD</sub>-rhaS-BS. However, in contrast to the expectations, no one or two major TSSs with high coverage toward mNG corresponding to the reported *E. coli* TSS and a potential new TSS could be seen. Instead, the detailed reads mapping showed several reads stacks of only medium coverage, partially with scattering start positions, in the P<sub>rhaBAD</sub>-rhaS-BS region and the 5′ region of mNG (Supplementary Figure S8). Therefore, the mapping data surprisingly suggested several TSSs in this promoter region oriented toward the 3′ end of the reporter gene: 2 or more potential TSSs upstream from mNG and 3 or more potential TSSs in the 5′ region of mNG. The most upstream potential TSS for mNG was very close to the *E. coli* ~35 region of P<sub>rhaBAD</sub>. These unexpected preliminary results require further and more detailed analysis as well as some comparisons, including the analysis of RNA samples from *G. oxydans* grown in the presence of l-rhamnose, from cells without rhaS, and with the other promoters P<sub>rhaSR</sub> and P<sub>rhaT</sub>.

Summing up and looking ahead, in *G. oxydans* the RhaS-dependent regulation of the *E. coli* RhaS target promoters and variants thereof provide new modes for regulatable gene expression in this AAB and possibly also in other AAB species. Inducible and repressible gene expression in response to l-rhamnose could be achieved simultaneously, which may be especially advantageous for combinatorial engineering.

Tunability and complete repression of a genomic promoter copy was tested and shown only with the variant P<sub>rhaBAD</sub>-rhaS-BS, yet it is likely that also with P<sub>rhaBAD</sub> and P<sub>rhaT</sub>-10-RhaS-BS complete repression of a genomic copy could be achieved. These promoters cover different ranges of expression strength, which could be selected according to the requirements of the genomic target gene. Tunable and complete promoter repression is also useful for the functional study of essential genes that cannot be deleted. Optimizing genomic rhaS expression or further increasing the genomic rhaS copy number beyond two to achieve a sufficient RhaS level may finally overcome the necessity of plasmid-based rhaS expression to achieve complete chromosomal promoter repression. Furthermore, more TSS data sets and deeper analysis are required to better understand the regulations of the target promoters by RhaS in *G. oxydans*. The TSS results also suggested to analyze the TSSs of heterologous promoters when they are transferred and used in *G. oxydans* or AAB in general. It can be expected that TSS data sets will help to better understand and overcome the difficulties in getting transferred heterologous regulatable expression systems functional and high-performant in AAB.
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