A Read/Write Mechanism Connects p300 Bromodomain Function to H2A.Z Acetylation

HIGHLIGHTS
- p300 acetylates H2A.Z at multiple N-terminal lysine residues
- Interaction of p300 bromodomain with H4ac enhances H2A.Zac
- H2A.Zac and H4ac co-localize at active regulatory regions
- H4ac and H2A.Zac differential signature can define the enhancer state
Acetylation of the histone variant H2A.Z (H2A.Zac) occurs at active regulatory regions associated with gene expression. Although the Tip60 complex is proposed to acetylate H2A.Z, functional studies suggest additional enzymes are involved. Here, we show that p300 acetylates H2A.Z at multiple lysines. In contrast, we found that although Tip60 does not efficiently acetylate H2A.Z in vitro, genetic inhibition of Tip60 reduces H2A.Zac in cells. Importantly, we found that interaction between the p300-bromodomain and H4 acetylation (H4ac) enhances p300-driven H2A.Zac. Indeed, H2A.Zac and H4ac show high genomic overlap, especially at active promoters. We also reveal unique chromatin features and transcriptional states at enhancers correlating with co-occurrence or exclusivity of H4ac and H2A.Zac. We propose that differential H4 and H2A.Z acetylation signatures can also define the enhancer state. In conclusion, we show both Tip60 and p300 contribute to H2A.Zac and reveal molecular mechanisms of writer/reader crosstalk between H2A.Z and H4 acetylation through p300.
and the Tip60 complex in locus-specific experiments (Dalvai et al., 2012, 2013; Giaimo et al., 2018) and by immunoprecipitation of the Tip60 complex followed by KAT assays with recombinant H2A.Z (too et al., 2018). However, other studies showed that H2A.Zac was independent of Tip60 inhibition at locus-specific sites (Bellucci et al., 2013; Narkaj et al., 2018) and in the context of DNA repair (Samer et al., 2019), suggesting that other KATs may also be responsible for H2A.Zac.

CBP and p300 (also known as CREBBP or KAT3A and EP300 or KAT3B, respectively) are highly homologous proteins that comprise a unique KAT family and are often referred to interchangeably. p300/CBP is a transcriptional co-activator and catalyses lysine 27 acetylation of histone H3 (H3K27ac) at promoters and enhancers (Creyghton et al., 2010; Hilton et al., 2015). p300/CBP is a multifunctional protein that acts as both a “writer” and a “reader” of lysine acetylation through its KAT domain and bromodomain (BD), respectively (Dancy and Cole, 2015; Zeng et al., 2008). p300/CBP is a promiscuous KAT that can acetylate all four canonical histones (Weinert et al., 2018). However, p300/CBP’s ability to acetylate and/or interact with H2A.Z has not been critically evaluated.

In this study, we have interrogated two of the most likely KAT candidates for the acetylation of H2A.Zac: Tip60 and p300/CBP. Here, we provide in vitro evidence, with peptide and recombinant nucleosome substrates, that Tip60 does not efficiently acetylate H2A.Z. In contrast, we demonstrate that p300 can acetylate H2A.Z at multiple lysine residues, both in vitro and in human cells, and H2A.Zac is enhanced by p300 BD-mediated H4ac reader activity. In support of this mechanism, we find a high degree of genomic overlap between H2A.Zac and H4ac at active regulatory regions, preferentially at active promoters. However, at enhancers, we find that H2A.Zac and H4ac nucleosome occupancy is differentially associated with distinct chromatin features and the transcriptional activity of the genomic region. Overall, our findings suggest that in addition to Tip60, p300/CBP is also required for H2A.Z acetylation, providing new insights for the modulation of H2A.Zac pro-oncogenic activity in prostate cancer.

RESULTS

p300 Acetylates H2A.Z In Vitro

To test whether our putative KAT candidates acetylated H2A.Z, we performed in vitro lysine acetyltransferase assays with recombinant Tip60 and p300 (Figures S1 A and S1B). As substrates, we used biotinylated peptides corresponding to the first 19 amino acids of H2A.Z (Figure 1A) and observed acetylation rates by measuring radioactive acetyl group incorporation (Figure 1B). As a negative control, we used an H2A.Z peptide containing the most commonly acetylated lysines (K4, K7, and K11) (Hu et al., 2013; Ishibashi et al., 2009). For positive controls, known substrates for each KAT were used, including an H4 N-terminal peptide for Tip60 (Kimura and Horikoshi, 1998) and an H3 peptide flanking H3K27 for p300 (Jin et al., 2011). Recombinant Tip60 rapidly acetylated the positive control H4 peptide. However, H2A.Z only showed a slight increase in acetylation signal compared with the negative control H2A.ZK4acK7acK11ac (Figure 1B, upper panel and Figure S1 C). These data suggest that H2A.Z peptides are not optimal substrates for recombiant Tip60. In contrast, recombinant p300 (catalytic domain plus BD) (Figure S1 A), which shares 82% of protein domain identity with CBP, acetylated H2A.Z peptides at a similar rate to its most appreciated histone substrate, H3K27 (Figure 1B, bottom panel). We confirmed this activity with full-length recombinant p300 (Figure S1 D). p300 activity toward peptide substrates of H2A.Z isoform 2 (Dryhurst et al., 2009) was similar to isoform 1 (referred herein as H2A.Z) (Figures S1 E and S1 F).

H2A.Z-containing nucleosomes have slightly different biophysical properties than those containing canonical H2A, including an extended acidic path on the nucleosome surface (Suto et al., 2000), which may affect the interactions with KAT domains. To confirm our peptide results on more physiologically relevant substrates, we performed in vitro KAT assays using recombinant mononucleosome substrates (Figures 1 C and 1D). Reactions were separated by gel electrophoresis and incorporation of tritiated acetyl groups was detected using autoradiography, allowing deconvolution of which histones were acetylated. Consistent with our peptide results, recombinant Tip60 acetylated H4 in both canonical and H2A.Z-1-containing nucleosomes (Figure 1C); however, no acetylation of H2A.Z was detected. Moreover, we found Tip60 autoacetylation, which positively regulates Tip60 catalytic activity (Yang et al., 2012), suggesting that the recombinant Tip60 is functionally active. In contrast, p300 acetylated all histones in the canonical nucleosomes after 30 min of incubation (Figure 1 D), as previously reported (Ogryzko et al., 1996; Schiltz et al., 1999). Notably, p300 also acetylated all histones in the H2A.Z-1- and H2A.Z-2-containing nucleosomes, including both H2A.Z isoforms (Figure 1D). Canonical nucleosomes were a slightly better substrate than...
Figure 1. H2A.Z Is a Substrate for p300 In Vitro Assays

(A) H2A.Z-1 N-terminal amino acid sequence for the peptides used in panel (B). All lysines that can be acetylated are shown in red.

(B) In-solution H3-Acetyl-CoA (AcCoA) assays measuring Tip60 (top) and p300 (bottom) activity as a function of time on the following histone peptide substrates: un-acetylated H2A.Z (peptide spans from amino acid 1–19, H2A.Z-1 (1-19)) and the tri-acetylated H2AZ at lysines 4, 7, and 11 (1–19) (H2A.Z-1 (1-19)K4acK7acK11ac) were used for both Tip60 and p300 assays. As controls we used H4 (1–23) and acetylated H4 at lysines 5, 8, 12, and 16 (1–23) (H4(1-23)K5acK8acK12acK16ac) for Tip60 and H3 (15–34) and acetylated H3 at lysine 27 peptides (15–34) (H3 (15-34)K27ac) for p300. Data points are presented as mean count per minute (cpm). Error bars represent the standard deviation (SD) from two measurements.

(C) Coomassie staining (left) and H3 fluorography (right) of recombinant canonical nucleosomes (canonical nuc) and homotypic H2A.Z-1 nucleosomes (H2A.Z-1 nuc) incubated with Tip60 in the presence or absence of H3-AcCoA for 12 h. White bands in the autoradiography are the overlayed molecular weight markers shown in the Coomassie staining. Representative image of two replicates.

(D) H3 fluorography (top) and Coomassie staining (bottom) of recombinant canonical nucleosomes (canonical nuc) and homotypic H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 nucleosomes (H2A.Z-1/H2A.Z-2 nuc) incubated with p300 in the presence or absence of H3-AcCoA for 30 min. Representative image of two replicates.

(E) In-solution H3-AcCoA KAT assays measuring p300 activity as a function of time on nucleosome substrates, as indicated. Data points are presented as mean count per minute (cpm). Error bars represent the SD from two measurements.

(F) Percentage of area under the mass spectrometry (MS) peak of unacetylated, one acetylated lysine (mono-ac), two acetylated lysines (di-ac), three acetylated lysines (tri-ac), or four acetylated lysines (tetra-ac) from H2A.Z peptides at increasing p300 incubation time points, 0, 4, 8, 16, 32, and 64 min (raw data are displayed in Figure S2). Data are represented as mean –/+ SD of two independent replicates.

See also Figures S1 and S2.
variant-containing nucleosomes, but no differences were found in the acetylation rates between nucleosomes containing H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 isoforms (Figure 1E).

Mass spectrometry data from human cells have shown that H2A.Zac is found most commonly as a tri-acetylated form, with lysines 4, 7, and 11 (K4, K7 and K11) carrying acetyl groups (Hu et al., 2013; Ishibashi et al., 2009). To determine whether p300 acetylates these residues, we used mass spectrometry to map acetylation sites on H2A.Z N-terminal peptide after reaction with p300 (Figures 1F and S2A). By four minutes, 90% of all peptides were acetylated, and unacetylated peptides were not detectable in the subsequent time points. Mono-acetylated (mono-ac) peptides were only present after 4, 8, or 16 min, and the proportion decreased by half over the time course (63.6%, 36.9%, and 17.8%, respectively). In contrast, tetra-acetylated peptides were detected (14.4% and 21.1%) at the longest time points (32 and 64 min). Tandem MS analysis showed that all lysines on the N-terminus of H2A.Z (K4, K7, K11, K13, and K15) could be acetylated by p300, but K4 and K7 were preferred (Figure S2B).

In summary, we provide biochemical evidence that the lysine acetyltransferase Tip60 alone is not sufficient to acetylate H2A.Z. In contrast, we show that p300 on its own rapidly acetylates both H2A.Z peptides and H2A.Z-containing nucleosomes at multiple N-terminal lysines.

Inhibition of p300 and Tip60 Decreases H2A.Zac

To determine whether p300 and Tip60 acetylate H2A.Z in cells, we used A-485, a recently developed and highly selective and potent inhibitor for p300/CBP KAT domains (Lasko et al., 2017) (Figure 2A), as well as genetic inhibition of Tip60, p300, and CBP by siRNA in two human cancer cell lines (LNCaP and HCT116) (Figures 2B and 2C). We isolated chromatin fractions from all conditions and performed Western blot analysis. To test changes in H2A.Z acetylation, we used three antibodies against different H2A.Z acetylation forms: H2A.Ztri-ac (K4, K7 and K11), H2A.ZK4ac, and H2A.ZK7ac. We characterized the antibody substrate affinity by peptide microarray, as previously described (Rothbart et al., 2012a). We found that H2A.Ztri-ac specifically recognizes the tri-acetylated form of H2A.Z; H2A.ZK4ac binds to substrates that contain H2A.Z-K4ac but does not distinguish between degrees of acetylation, whereas H2A.ZK7ac binds exclusively to mono-acetylated H2A.Z at K7 (Figure S3).

Using these antibodies, we found that cells treated with A-485 had a strong and consistent dose-dependent reduction of the positive control H3K27ac between cell lines, whereas the less specific substrate, H4tetraAc, did not show a clear reduction (Figure 2A). Signal from the H2A.Ztri-ac antibody did not show a significant reduction in either of the cell lines, whereas H2A.ZK4ac and H2A.ZK7ac showed a clear dose-dependent decrease. At 10 μM concentration of A-485, the mono-acetylated H2A.Z antibodies showed an average reduction of 67% and 92% in LNCaP and HCT116 cells, respectively. No clear differences were detected between the reduction of H2A.ZK4ac and H2A.ZK7ac. These data suggest that inhibition of p300/CBP by A-485 preferentially reduces H2A.ZK4ac and H2A.ZK7ac mono-acetylated forms.

There are currently no selective Tip60 inhibitors commercially available. Therefore, we performed siRNA knockdown (KD) experiments to investigate the effects on H2A.Zac (Figures 2B and 2C). Tip60 mRNA levels were reduced by 87% and protein abundance by 80% following Tip60 KD (Figures 2B and 2C). H2A.Zac was significantly reduced after Tip60 KD, 58% for the triAc, 45% for K4ac, and 79% for K7ac (Figure 2C), as previously reported in Tip60 conditional knockout experiments (Li et al., 2019). We found that the tetra-acetylated form of H4 was reduced by 64%, in line with our cell-free assays. We also performed siRNA experiments for the double p300/CBP KD and a triple p300/CBP/Tip60 KD. Even though the mRNA levels of p300 and CBP in the double KD were moderately decreased (~50% and 32%, respectively, Figure 2B), the positive control H3K27ac was reduced by 92% (Figure 2C), suggesting the HAT inhibition was enough to detect changes in the histone acetylation profile. Interestingly, Tip60 mRNA levels increased by two-fold after p300/CBP KD but this did not translate to a significant change of Tip60 protein level (Figure 2B). The double p300/CBP KD reduced all H2A.Zac forms to a similar ratio as the Tip60 KD (Figure 2C). However, the triple KD of CBP, p300 and Tip60 showed a greater reduction of H2A.Z acetylation in comparison to the single Tip60 or p300/CBP KDs (Figures 2B and 2C). Interestingly, K7 acetylation was the most reduced in all KD combinations, with 97% reduction in the triple KD.

A recent resource paper mapped the p300 acetylome in mammals using quantitative mass spectrometry after genetic or chemical modulation of p300 activity (Weinert et al., 2018). We analyzed this H2A.Z
Figure 2. Inhibition of Tip60 and p300 Decrease H2A.Z Acetylation in Cancer Cell Lines

(A) Western blot analysis of chromatin purified extracts from LNCaP and HCT116 cells treated with A-485 for 24 h at increasing concentrations (0.08, 0.4, 2, and 10 μM). The compound A-486, an inactive analog of A-485, was also used as negative control. The figure shows a representative image of two biological replicates (top) and densitometry quantification (bottom). Densitometry quantification of histone acetylation abundance is shown at the bottom, and it is represented as the relative amount to DMSO control in logarithmic scale. H2A.Z acetylation forms were normalized to total H2A.Z, H3K27ac and H4ac were normalized to H4. Data are represented as mean and SD (n = 2). Student’s t-test was performed to compare each condition to DMSO. *p value<0.05, **p value<0.01, ***p value<0.001.

(B) Quantification of the knockdown (KD) levels of the siRNA experiments by mRNA expression (left panel) and protein abundance of TIP60 (right panel). Cells were transfected with a pool of four siRNAs for Tip60 and CBP and three siRNAs for p300 (See Table S3 for siRNA sequences). The pools were then combined accordingly for the double p300/CBP KD and triple p300/CBP/Tip60 KD. mRNA expression of Tip60, p300, and CBP was measured by real-time qPCR in cells transfected with Tip60, p300, and CBP siRNAs (left). mRNA levels of all conditions were calculated relative to untargeted siRNA control (scramble). Data are represented as mean and SD (n = 2), and Student’s t-test was performed to compare each condition to scramble. Densitometry quantification of each Tip60 band was normalized to the loading control H4 and relative to scramble, and it is represented as logarithmic scale. The mean relative abundance of each band and SD was plotted and Student’s t-test was performed to compare each condition to scramble. *p value<0.05, **p value<0.01, ***p value<0.001. Find list of siRNA and primer sequences in Tables S3 and S4, respectively.

(C) Western blot analysis of the chromatin fractions from LNCaP cells transfected with the scramble control (Scrble) Tip60 siRNA (siTIP60), p300, and CBP siRNAs (sip300/CBP) or p300, CBP, and Tip60 siRNAs (sip300/CBP/TIP60). The figure shows a representative image of at least two biological replicates (top) and densitometry quantification (bottom). Histone acetylation abundance was measured by densitometry and calculated relative to the scramble control.

(D) Relative mRNA and protein abundance of Tip60, p300, and CBP in LNCaP and HCT116 cells treated with A-485, A-486, or a control compound. KO = Knockout; Cmpd-R = Compound; Kasumi-1 = Kasumi-1.
acetylation data and confirmed our in vitro and in-cell results (Figure 2 D). Consistently, H2A.Z acetylation at lysines K4, K7, K11, and K13 was reduced after chemical inhibition of p300 KAT activity in both MEFs and Kasumi-1 cell lines (Figure 2 D). The greatest effect was observed in the p300/CBP double knockout. Taken together, these data strongly support the conclusion that p300/CBP is a major KAT for H2A.Z in cells. We found that although Tip60 can modulate acetylation levels of H2A.Zac, it is not the sole KAT for H2A.Z and that p300/CBP is also essential for the acetylation of H2A.Z (Figure 6 A).

Interaction of p300 BD with H4ac Promotes p300-mediated H2A.Z Acetylation In Vitro
Previous studies have shown that p300 BD binds H4ac, thereby acting as a reader of histone acetylation (Delvecchio et al., 2013; Nguyen et al., 2014). Interestingly, there is high sequence homology between H4 and H2A.Z at their N-termini (Figure S4 A), where the spacing of the lysines follow the same KAc(X)2–3KAc pattern, in which X represents any amino acid, which is reported to have the strongest p300 BD binding (Delvecchio et al., 2013). We questioned whether the p300 BD was also capable of reading H2A.Zac. Using histone peptide arrays printed with approximately 260 uniquely modified histone peptides (Cornett et al., 2016), including major single and combinatorial acetylations on all four core histones and the variant H2A.Z (see Table S1), we revealed that p300 BD binds exclusively to H4-acetylated peptides (Figures 3 A and S4B). No binding was measured for acetylated H2A.Z or any other acetylated histone peptide in the library.

A feedforward mechanism of p300-dependent H3K27 acetylation through BD recruitment to H4ac has been previously proposed (Nguyen et al., 2014). Indeed, in our in vitro KAT assays, the rate of p300 acetylation on nucleosomes pre-installed with H4ac was faster than unmodified nucleosomes (Figure 3 B). Thus, we hypothesized that the p300 BD interaction with H4ac may also enhance H2A.Z acetylation. To test this hypothesis, we first performed a time course radioactive KAT assay with recombinant p300 and H2A.Z-containing nucleosome substrates (Figure 3 C). As expected, we observed time-dependent acetylation on all nucleosomal histones. Notably, H2A.Z acetylation trailed H4 acetylation in this time course.

We next used the p300 BD inhibitor, CBP30 (Conery et al., 2016; Hammitzsch et al., 2015), to further question whether p300 BD activity impacts H2A.Z acetylation. Using the same peptide array approach, we validated that CBP30 completely blocks the p300 BD from binding to H4-acetylated peptides (Figures 3 D, S4C, and S4D). We performed in vitro KAT assays in the presence and absence of CBP30 using recombinant canonical, H2A.Z-containing, and H4ac-containing nucleosomes. Inhibition of the p300 BD resulted in decreased acetylation of all histones, including histone H2A.Z (Figure 3 E). To further validate that BD recruitment enhances p300-mediated H2A.Z acetylation, we treated HCT116 cells with CBP30 for 24 h using a concentration range (1–10μM), recommended by Conery et al., 2016 (Figure 3 F). We observed a reduction in H3K27ac levels, as previously reported (Raisner et al., 2018). Notably, there was also a decrease in H2A.Zac levels, where H2A.ZK7ac showed a striking reduction of up to 90%. Taken together, these data suggest that acetylation of H2A.Z by p300 is enhanced upon recruitment to H4-acetylated nucleosomes via the p300 BD.

H2A.Zac and H4K5ac Co-occur at Promoter Regions
We next sought to determine whether H2A.Zac- and H4ac-marked nucleosomes co-exist in the chromatin. To address this, we determined the genomic distribution of both marks using publicly available ChIP-seq data for H2A.Zac and H4K5ac in LNCaP cells (Table S2). The specificity of both antibodies was characterized with histone peptide arrays (Rothbart et al., 2012a; Dickson et al., 2016) (Figure S3A for H2A.Ztri-ac and http://www.histoneantibodies.com/ for H4K5ac). As previously reported (Rothbart et al., 2012b, 2015), the H4K5ac antibody bound preferentially to H4 peptides that also have acetylation at other sites forming...
Figure 3. Bromodomain Binding to H4 Acetylated Tail Enhances H2A.Z Acetylation

(A) Heatmap showing p300 BD binding preference for H2A.Z and H4 peptides from histone peptide microarray analysis. Scalebar shows the color key to the relative intensity, normalized to the peptide with the highest raw signal (H4K5acK8acK12acK16ac). Data are represented as mean normalized signal (n = 6). Array 1 was used for this experiment. The complete list of peptides included in the histone peptide microarray is in Table S1.

(B) H3 fluorography (top) and Coomassie staining (bottom) of H2A canonical nucleosomes (canonical nuc) or H4ac-canonical nucleosomes (H4ac-canonical nuc) incubated with p300 over time (0, 2, 4, 8, and 16 min). Representative image of two experiments. The right plot shows the quantification of the acetylated band density corresponding to H2A/H2B/H3 in the canonical nucleosome and H4ac-canonical nucleosome over time.

(C) Representative gel for H2A.Z that was used for the experiment shown in (B).

(D) Relative intensity of H2A.Z peptides incubated with p300 for 16 min in the presence of CBP30 (1 µM).

(E) Western blot analysis of H2A canonical nucleosomes (canonical nuc), H2A.Z canonical nucleosomes (H2A.Z nuc), and H4ac-canonical nucleosomes (H4ac-canonical nuc) incubated with p300 for 16 min in the presence of CBP30 (1 µM). DMSO was used as the control.

(F) Densitometric analysis of the Western blot results shown in (E).
We first investigated the co-occurrence of H2A.Zac and H4ac ChIP-seq peaks genome-wide and found a significant overlap, with 51.8% of H2A.Zac peaks coinciding with H4ac (Figure 4A). To consider the predicted functional properties, we used ChromHMM (Ernst and Kellis, 2012) to demarcate six different genomic regulatory regions based on the information from six chromatin marks (Figure S5 A and Table S2). We found that H4ac had a similar functional distribution pattern as H2A.Zac (Valdes-Mora et al., 2017), where it was significantly enriched at promoters and enhancers and depleted at polycomb and transcribed regions (Figure S5 B). To address whether the epigenetic context impacts the coexistence of H2A.Zac and H4ac, we also performed ChromHMM analysis of the overlapping marked peaks (23,904 regions, called common peaks) and unique marked peaks for H2A.Zac or H4ac (20,876 and 15,460 regions, called H2A.Zac- or H4ac-unique peaks, respectively) (Figure 4 B). We found that the common peaks were most significantly located at active promoters: 51.64% of the common peaks (Figure 4 B, upper panel) and 68.74% of all active promoters (Figure 4 C). In contrast, the uniquely marked regions were more prevalent at enhancers (Figure 4 B, middle and bottom panels), where most of the H2A.Zac-unique peaks occurred at active enhancer regions (Figure 4 B, middle panel), whereas H4ac-unique peaks were mostly enriched at poised enhancers (Figure 4 B, bottom panel). However, at distal regulatory regions, we observed that both common and unique regions were present in different proportions (Figure 4 D), suggesting a dynamic interplay of these two marks.

Epigenetic Characteristics of Enhancers with Differential Distribution of H2A.Zac and H4ac
In order to further define the patterns of H2A.Zac- and H4ac-marked nucleosomes at enhancers, we examined different epigenetic features at these regions, including H2A.Z, p300, and H3K27ac, DNA methylation, and chromatin accessibility (Table S2). ChIP-seq data was split by H2A.Zac and H4ac signatures and ordered by H2A.Zac intensity at both poised and active enhancers (Figure 4E). Notably, we found that enhancers lacking H2A.Zac were not enriched for total H2A.Z, suggesting that enhancers with an H4ac-unique signature are also void of H2A.Z. Second, in agreement with a p300 BD-mediated recruitment mechanism proposed by this study and others (Conery et al., 2016; Manning et al., 2001), p300 showed differential occupancy between groups at both active and poised enhancers; in particular, the group lacking H4ac showed less p300 occupancy. Finally, H3K27ac, a histone PTM signature characteristic of active enhancers, did not show differential distribution between the groups, although H3K27ac intensity correlated with H2A.Zac signal. These data suggest a common pattern of acetylation of H3K27ac and H2A.Zac that may be established by p300.

We next used Nucleosome Occupancy and Methylation sequencing (NOMe-seq) data to study DNA methylation and chromatin accessibility at these enhancer sites (Kelly et al., 2012). We found that H2A.Zac-unique enhancers had less DNA methylation and were more accessible than the average of all active and poised enhancers. In contrast, H4ac-unique active and poised enhancers had more methylation and were less accessible (Figure 4F). Enhancers carrying both H2A.Zac and H4ac had similar levels of DNA methylation and accessibility as the average enhancers. In summary, we found that enhancers with differential H2A.Zac and H4ac signatures are associated with distinct epigenetic features (Figure 6B).
Acetylation Dynamics between H2A.Z and H4 at Enhancers Is Associated with Transcription Elongation

Chromatin accessibility and DNA methylation are indicative of enhancer activity (Valdes-Mora et al., 2017). To characterize the functional status of each enhancer H4/H2A.Z acetylation signature, we examined RNA polymerase II (RNApolII) recruitment and enhancer RNA (eRNA) production (Kim et al., 2010) as additional markers of enhancer function (Table S2). Figure 5A shows RNApolII ChIP-seq signal and Global Run-on sequencing (GRO-seq) data across active and poised enhancers. eRNA production is measured as the bidirectional RNA expression detected by GRO-seq around the DNAseI midpoint. Despite no major differences between H2A.Zac/H4ac signatures in eRNA production and the presence of RNAPolII flanking DNAseI sites, we did reveal evidence of differential transcription across the entire 4kb region plotted (Figure 5A). H2A.Zac-unique enhancers had less regional transcriptional activity than the other two groups (common and H4ac-unique) in both active and poised enhancers.

To confirm that the observed transcription signal at expanded enhancers was due to transcription elongation, we grouped the enhancers according to their location inside or outside genes and compared their proportion with the rest of the enhancers (Figure 5B). A higher proportion of H4ac-unique enhancers were located at intragenic regions. H2A.Zac-unique active enhancers did not show differential localisation, whereas H2A.Zac-unique poised enhancers showed a higher presence at intergenic regions (Figure 5B). Common enhancers had similar inter/intragenic distribution to all detectable active and poised enhancers. We also found that genes with H2A.Zac-unique enhancers had significantly lower transcription compared with all genes containing either active or poised enhancers, whereas H4ac-unique enhancers were mostly present at highly expressed genes (Figure 5C). Moreover, the nascent RNA profiles measured by GROseq at intragenic enhancers validated the gene expression pattern (Figures 5D and S5C).

Taken together, these results demonstrate a particular H2A.Zac and H4ac pattern, or enhancer code, that correlates with their genomic localization (inter or intragenic), regional transcriptional activity, chromatin features (p300, chromatin accessibility), and DNA methylation (Figure 6B).

DISCUSSION

A key step to understanding histone PTM function is the characterization of the enzymes and effector proteins that write, erase, and read these marks. Although much progress has been made identifying the core histone PTM modifiers (Voss and Thomas, 2018), the specific enzymes that target histone variants PTMs have not been well studied. In particular, the KATs responsible for acetylation of H2A.Z in mammals are still unresolved. Here, we report that in addition to Tip60, p300 is able to acetylate the histone variant H2A.Z and that p300-dependent H2A.Z acetylation is enhanced through BD recognition of H4ac.

Unlike Tip60, p300 has not been described to interact with H2A.Z (Choi et al., 2009; Link et al., 2018; Obri et al., 2014; Vardabasso et al., 2015), as it potentially follows a hit-and-run kinetic mechanism (Liu et al., 2008). Tip60, however, has been described as the KAT candidate responsible for acetylation of H2A.Z (Corujo and Buschbeck, 2018; Giaimo et al., 2019; Sevilla and Binda, 2014). Tip60 is part of a larger complex, involved in H2A.Z histone exchange (Altaf et al., 2010; Cai et al., 2003; Keogh et al., 2006; Kusch...
enhancers (top) and poised enhancers (bottom) of the H2A.Zac/H4ac groups. The plots show one representative replicate out of four. Profiles are centered at the DNAse I midpoint.

Figure 5. Transcription Elongation Influences H2A.Zac and H4K5ac Landscape at Enhancers
(A) Heatmaps showing phosphoserine 5 RNA polymerase II (RNAPolII) ChIP-seq and global run-on sequencing (GRO-seq) signal across active and poised enhancers. The three groups examined are H2A.Zac and H4ac overlapping peaks (Common, yellow), H2A.Zac-unique peaks (H2A.Zac-unique, light blue), and H4ac-unique peaks (H4ac-unique, dark blue) at active (left) and poised (right) enhancers that are at least 2kb away from any TSS. Heatmaps are ordered based on H2A.Zac intensity from top to bottom. The scale bars show the color key of the ChIP-seq and GRO-seq intensities (counts per million mapped reads, CPM).
(B) Bar plots showing the proportion of intragenic (black) and intergenic (gray) active and poised enhancers in each H2A.Zac/H4ac group. The red dashed line marks the proportion of intergenic and intragenic enhancers found in all active and poised enhancers.
(C) Box plots comparing gene expression as logarithmic transcripts per million (logTPM) measured by RNA-seq of the genes contained on each intragenic active (left) or poised enhancers for each H2A.Zac/H4ac group and all the genes containing at least one enhancer (gray box plot).
(D) Bidirectional enhancer RNAs (eRNAs) profile plots (plus, red, and negative, blue-strands) of GROseq data around intragenic and intergenic active (top) and poised (bottom) of the H2A.Zac/H4ac groups. The plots show one representative replicate out of four. Profiles are centered at the DNase I midpoint.
See also Figure S5.

et al., 2004), which makes it difficult to assess whether Tip60 alone is able to acetylate H2A.Z. In fact, our in vitro results show that Tip60 acetylates peptide and nucleosomal H4 but does not efficiently acetylate H2A.Z. However, we and others (Dalvai et al., 2012; Li et al., 2019) found that genetic inhibition of Tip60 decreases H2A.Zac levels, suggesting that Tip60, in its cellular context, facilitates H2A.Z acetylation. Of note, complete knockout of Tip60 does not abolish H2A.Zac (Li et al., 2019), and other reports found that acetylation of H2A.Z was independent of Tip60 at some locus-specific promoter (Bellucci et al., 2013; Semer et al., 2019), supporting our finding that p300/CBP is also required for the acetylation of H2A.Z. Based on our new data, we propose a model whereby acetylation of H2A.Z is the result of a sequential cascade initiated by Tip60-mediated acetylation of H4, leading to the recruitment of p300 via its BD to acetylate H2A.Z. Alternatively, Tip60 and p300/CBP may acetylate H2A.Z directly through independent pathways (Figure 6A).

We provide biochemical evidence that the presence of acetylated H4 in the nucleosome can have impact on p300-mediated acetylation of H2A.Z, suggesting that these two marks are co-localized. It was previously shown by immunoprecipitation that H2A.Z nucleosomes are enriched for H4ac (Draker et al., 2012; Myers et al., 2006). Based on our analysis of ChIP-seq data, we found that H2A.Zac and H4ac co-occurred predominantly at promoters and enhancers. However, at a third of all enhancers, these marks did not co-occur. After dissecting the epigenetic features of each sub-group of enhancers, we propose a dynamic mechanism for enhancer activation through three states of activity (Figure 6B): poised, poised-active, and active. Each state has a preferential H4ac/H2A.Zac combination that would initiate from H4ac-marked nucleosomes at poised enhancers that enables the recruitment of p300 through its BD. This may trigger H2A.Z acetylation through p300 in a poised/active state and deacetylation of H4 in a fully enhancer-activated state.

Additionally, we also find different transcriptional features of each state. H2A.Zac-unique enhancers are enriched at intergenic regions or within lowly expressed genes; conversely, H4ac-unique enhancers are present in intragenic regions and are associated with highly transcribed genes, whereas common enhancers are characterized by an intermediate state regarding transcription elongation. The lack of H2A.Zac at intragenic enhancers of highly expressed genes could be due to the previously reported active removal of H2A.Z at gene bodies during transcription elongation (Hardy et al., 2009; Lashgari et al., 2017). It is therefore interesting to note that H2A.Zac-marked active enhancers are not present within the gene they activate, as transcription elongation of the gene would remove H2A.Z from the enhancer, suggesting that H2A.Zac enhancers are likely acting as trans-regulatory elements. In this regard, a recent study showed that functionally active intragenic enhancers can attenuate transcription of the host gene (Cinghu et al., 2017), supporting our observation.

In contrast, the lack of H4ac at H2A.Zac-unique enhancers is less clear. Genome-wide mapping of KATs and lysine deacetylases (KDACs) have shown that both enzyme families are targeted to active genes and correlate with transcription levels (Wang et al., 2009), which suggests a high turnover rate of histone acetylation at highly transcribed genes compared with lowly transcribed genes or intergenic regions. Weinert et al. data reported a higher deacetylation rate of H4 compared with H2A.Z (Weinert et al., 2018). We, therefore, propose that these different kinetics could partially explain the lack of H4ac at these H2A.Zac regions. However, we cannot rule out that p300 and Tip60 are able to acetylate H2A.Z without the presence of H4ac at these particular sites.
Collectively, we demonstrate that p300/CBP, complementary to Tip60, are essential KATs for the acetylation of H2A.Z, answering the long-standing question of what enzymes are responsible for this important modification. We reveal a mechanism of histone PTM crosstalk and define an enhancer code based on the patterning of H4ac and H2A.Zac. Both p300 and H2A.Z have been implicated in many human cancers (reviewed in Iyer et al., 2004; Vardabasso et al., 2014); therefore, this study provides p300 as an additional target for H2A.Zac-based chromatin therapy in cancer.
Limitations of the Study

In this study we demonstrate that p300-BD interaction with H4ac enhances H2A.Z acetylation using an inhibitor of p300 BD. However, we were not able to directly compare p300-mediated acetylation in a nucleosomal context with pre-installed H4ac/H2A.Z nucleosomes due to the technical difficulty in generating recombinant H4ac/H2A.Z combinatorial nucleosomes in vitro. Additionally, we found that H2A.Zac levels are reduced after inhibition of both Tip60 and p300, but we were unable in this study to determine if the mechanism that results in H2A.Zac involves a sequential cascade through H4ac or occurs through independent pathways. Future studies will focus on resolving the interplay and order of events that are associated with Tip60 and p300 in driving the acetylation of H2A.Z.

METHODS

All methods can be found in the accompanying Transparent Methods supplemental file.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2019.10.053.
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Figure S1

(A) p300 domain structure map and length information of the recombinant p300 enzymes used. (B) Coomassie staining of recombinant Tip60 and p300 catalytic domain used for the KAT assays. (C) In-solution H^3-Acetyl-CoA (AcCoA) assays measuring Tip60 activity as a function of time on the un-acetylated H2A.Z peptide (amino acid 1-19, H2A.Z-1(1-19)) and the tri-acetylated H2AZ at lysines 4, 7 and 11 (H2A.Z-1(1-19)K4acK7acK11ac). Same data as Figure 1B is shown but the scale of the y-axis has been adjusted to lower numbers to appreciate the discrete changes in H2A.Z acetylation. Data points are presented as counts per minute (cpm). Error bars represent the standard deviation from two measurements. (D) In-solution H^3-AcCoA KAT assays measuring full length p300 activity on the listed histone peptide substrates after 30 minutes of H^3-AcCoA incubation. Data points are presented as counts per minute (cpm). Error bars represent the standard deviation from two measurements. (E) Fluorescence assay measuring p300 activity on the listed histone peptide substrates after 15min incubation with Acetyl-CoA. Data points are presented as fluorescence units (FU). Error bars represent the standard deviation from two measurements. (F) Amino acid sequence of the isoforms H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 N-terminal peptides.

Figure S1. Extended data for in vitro assays and explanatory diagrams. Related to Figure 1. (A) p300 domain structure map and length information of the recombinant p300 enzymes used. (B) Coomassie staining of recombinant Tip60 and p300 catalytic domain used for the KAT assays. (C) In-solution H^3-Acetyl-CoA (AcCoA) assays measuring Tip60 activity as a function of time on the un-acetylated H2A.Z peptide (amino acid 1-19, H2A.Z-1(1-19)) and the tri-acetylated H2AZ at lysines 4, 7 and 11 (H2A.Z-1(1-19)K4acK7acK11ac). Same data as Figure 1B is shown but the scale of the y-axis has been adjusted to lower numbers to appreciate the discrete changes in H2A.Z acetylation. Data points are presented as counts per minute (cpm). Error bars represent the standard deviation from two measurements. (D) In-solution H^3-AcCoA KAT assays measuring full length p300 activity on the listed histone peptide substrates after 30 minutes of H^3-AcCoA incubation. Data points are presented as counts per minute (cpm). Error bars represent the standard deviation from two measurements. (E) Fluorescence assay measuring p300 activity on the listed histone peptide substrates after 15min incubation with Acetyl-CoA. Data points are presented as fluorescence units (FU). Error bars represent the standard deviation from two measurements. (F) Amino acid sequence of the isoforms H2A.Z-1 and H2A.Z-2 N-terminal peptides.
Figure S2. Mass spectrum of H2A.Z acetylated peptides. Related to Figure 1F (A) Time-course mass spectrometry (MS) analysis of p300-H2A.Z peptide reactions from Figure 1B. (B) Tandem-MS analysis of the mono and di-acetyl products at 4 and 8 minutes, respectively.
Figure S3. Antibody validation using histone peptide microarray. Related to Figure 2. Bar plots representing histone peptide microarrays hybridized with (A) H2A.Z K4acK7ack11ac antibody (ab18262), (B) H2A.ZK4ac (ab214725) and (C) H2A.ZK7ac (ab214730). Data are represented as mean +/- SEM (n=6). The inlet in Figure 3C shows the double band detected with the H2A.ZK7ac antibody by western blot imaging. For comparison, we also show images
from total H2A.Z and H4. The signal intensity of two arrays was averaged and normalized to the peptide with the strongest signal in each array. Array 2 was used for those experiments.

See list of peptides in Supplemental Table 1.
Figure S4. Pair-wise alignment and extended representation of histone peptide array experiments. Related to Figure 3. (A) Pairwise sequence alignment using the Smith-Waterman algorithm of H2A.Z-1 and H4. Matching amino acids have a mark-up line between them and the grey area delineates the matching lysines at the N-terminus. (B) Heatmap showing p300 BD binding to all acetylated histone peptides on the peptide microarray. The scalebar shows the color key of the relative intensity normalized to the peptide with the highest signal (H4K5acK8acK12acK16ac). Data are represented as mean normalized signal (n=6) (C) Scatterplots showing histone peptide microarray raw signal of each peptide hybridized with p300 BD in the presence of CBP30 inhibitor or the vehicle control, DMSO (outset). Comparison of two independent microarray experiments for the p300 BD (inset). Data are represented as mean raw signal (n=6). We performed linear regression analysis derived from the average of 6 individual peptide spots printed by two different pins in two very different locations on the slide and r^2 calculation shows the degree of similarity between two different subarrays. (D) Representative image of peptide arrays hybridized with p300 bromodomain in the presence of CBP30 inhibitor or the vehicle control, DMSO. White boxes
mark peptides bound by p300 bromodomain in the vehicle control and yellow boxes demarcate positive controls. The different numbers display the peptides of interest for this study. The Array 1 was used for experiments from panel B, C, and D. A complete list of peptides included in the histone peptide microarray is in Supplemental Table 1.
Figure S5. Extended data for genomic analysis. Related to Figure 4 and 5 (A) Heatmap of the ChromHMM state emissions from LNCaP cells. The x axis shows the different histone marks used for the partition of the genome in 9 chromatin states using the ChromHMM algorithm (Ernst and Kellis, 2012). The emission signal indicates the relative contribution of the histone mark in that state. Redundant states were merged into seven states and manually annotated by comparison to public ChromHMM states for HMEC cells (Ernst et al., 2011). (B) Genomic Association Test (GAT) of H4ac ChIP-seq peaks and ChromHMM regions in LNCaP cells. Pie charts representing the percentage of marked peaks falling in each ChromHMM state (left panel). Observed vs expected log fold enrichment graphs (right panel). *p< 0.0001. (C) Heatmaps showing H2A.Zac, H4ac, RNAPolII ChIP-seq and GRO-seq signal across active and poised enhancers further divided into intergenic and intragenic enhancers. Each enhancer is divided into three groups: H2A.Zac and H4ac common peaks (Common, yellow), H2A.Zac-unique peaks (H2A.Zac-unique, light blue) and H4ac-unique peaks (H4ac-unique, dark blue) and ordered based on H2A.Zac signal.
### Supplemental Tables

**Table S2: Datasets used in this publication.** Related to Figure 4 and 5.

| Target                   | Source                                | Company and Cat Abs | Accession |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| ChIP-seq (H2A.Zac)       | Valdes-Mora et al. Nature Communications 2017 | Abcam ab18262       | GSE76336  |
| ChIP-seq (H2A.Z)         | Valdes-Mora et al. Nature Communications 2017 | Active Motif Cat #39113 | GSE76336  |
| ChIP-seq (H4K5ac)        | Wang et al. Nature 2011               | Upstate #07-327     | GSE27823  |
| ChIP-seq (p300)          | Wang et al. Nature 2011               | Santa Cruz #C-20    | GSE27823  |
| ChIP-seq (H3K4me3)       | Bert et al., Cancer Cell 2013         | Abcam ab8580        | GSE38685  |
| ChIP-seq (H3K27me3)      | Bert et al., Cancer Cell 2013         | Millipore #07-449   | GSE38685  |
| ChIP-seq (H3K4me1)       | Taberlay et al., Genome Res 2016      | Active Motif Cat # 39298 | GSE73785  |
| ChIP-seq (H3K27ac)       | Taberlay et al., Genome Res 2016      | Active Motif Cat #39133 | GSE73785  |
| ChIP-seq (H3K36me3)      | Valdes-Mora et al. Nature Communications 2017 | Abcam ab9050       | GSE76336  |
| ChIP-seq (H3ac)          | Valdes-Mora et al. Nature Communications 2017 | Millipore #06-599   | GSE76336  |
| ChIP-seq (Pol II phosho S5) | Tan et al., Mol Cell Biol 2012     | Abcam, ab5131       | GSE28264  |
| NOMe-seq                 | Valdes-Mora et al. Nature Communications 2017 |                     | GSE76334  |
| DNAseq                   | ENCODE                               |                     | GSE32970  |
| RNA-seq                  | Taberlay et al., Genome Res 2016      |                     | GSE73785  |
| GRO-seq                  | Puc et al., Cell 2015                 |                     | GSE63202  |
| ChromHMM                 | Valdes-Mora et al. Nature Communications 2017 |                     | GSE76337  |
Table S3. Small interfering RNA (siRNA) sequences. Related to Figure 2.

| Gene target   | Target sequence       | Cat number  |
|---------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| KAT5 (Tip60) | CGUAAGAACAAGAGUUAAUU  | J006301-08  |
| KAT5 (Tip60) | AUGAAUUGGGUGACGCAUGA  | J006301-09  |
| KAT5 (Tip60) | GGACAGCUCUGAUUGAAUA   | J006301-10  |
| KAT5 (Tip60) | GACCAAGUGUGACCUCAGA   | J006301-11  |
| EP300 (p300) | GGACUACCCUAUCAAGUAA   | J-003486-11 |
| EP300 (p300) | GUUCAUAUAUGCCUGGUUA   | J003486-13  |
| EP300 (p300) | CGACAGGGAUGCAGCAACA   | J003486-13  |
| CREBBP(CBP)  | GCACAGCUCUUUACCAUGA   | J-003477-06 |
| CREBBP(CBP)  | UCACCAACGUGCCAAAUUA   | J-003477-07 |
| CREBBP(CBP)  | GGGAUGAAGUCACGGUUG    | J-003477-08 |
| CREBBP(CBP)  | AAUAGUAAUCUGGCCAUA    | J-003477-09 |

* All siRNAs were ON-TARGET plus purchased from Dharmacon.
Table S4. Primer sequences. Related to Related to Figure 2.

| Gene target       | Primer sequence                          |
|-------------------|------------------------------------------|
| KAT5_FW (Tip60)   | GACCTACGACATCCTCCAGG                     |
| KAT5_RV (Tip60)   | CAGGTTCGGGAATAACTCTTG                   |
| EP300_FW (p300)   | GCCGAGAATGTGGTGGAAC                      |
| EP300_RV (p300)   | AGCCAAAATCTGTGCCATCG                     |
| CREBBP_FW (CBP)   | TGACAGCAGAGATTTGGATCA                   |
| CREBBP_RV (CBP)   | CGTAGAAGCTCCGACAGTTG                    |
| GAPDH_FW          | CCACATCGCTCAGACACCAT                    |
| GAPDH_RV          | ACCAGGCGCCAATACG                        |

* All primers were purchased from IDT.
Transparent Methods

Recombinant protein production
Bacterial expression constructs for the p300 bromodomain were transformed into Escherichia coli BL21(DE3) and grown in LB media (Caisson) at 37°C. When the OD600 (optical density at 600 nm) reached 0.6 to 0.8, the temperature was lowered to 16°C, isopropyl-β-d-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) was added (0.5 mM), and incubation was continued overnight with shaking. Bacteria were harvested by centrifugation and either frozen at −80°C or used immediately. Protein was purified with either glutathione agarose (GE Healthcare) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

In vitro peptide KAT assays
Reactions (10 µl) containing 1 µg of KAT, 1 µg of peptide substrate, and 1 µCi of H₃-AcCoA (PerkinElmer) in KAT reaction buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 0.05% BSA) were incubated at room temperature as indicated. Reactions were stopped by adding trifluoracetic acid to a final concentration of 0.5%, neutralized by diluting with 135 µl of 50 mM NaHCO₃, and transferred to streptavidin-coated FlashPlates (PerkinElmer). Plates were incubated for 15 min, sealed, and counted in a MicroBeta2 liquid scintillation counter (PerkinElmer) for 1 min per sample. The following KATs were used: Human recombinant p300 catalytic domain (Active Motif #31205), p300 full protein (Active Motif, #31124), Tip60 (Cayman Chemicals #10783). Histone peptide substrates were synthesized on PTI Symphony automated synthesizer using FMOC chemistry solid phase peptide synthesis. Fmoc group deprotection steps (2 x 7 min) were performed with 20% piperidine in N,N-dimethylformamide, coupling steps (2 x 20 min) were performed with 5 equivalents of Fmoc-amino acids and HATU coupling reagent, K(Ac) residues were introduced using Fmoc-Lys(Ac)-OH. After synthesis the peptides were cleaved from the resin by 2h treatment with 2.5% trisopropylsilane, 2.5% water in trifluoracetic acid and precipitated with a cold diethyl ether. After precipitation the peptides were separated by centrifugation washed 3 x with cold ether, air dried, dissolved in 50% acetonitrile and lyophilized. Crude peptides were purified by a preparative reverse phase HPLC on Waters SymmetryShield RP18 column. The purified peptides were characterized using MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry and an analytical reverse phase HPLC.

In vitro nucleosomal KAT Assays
Reactions (10 µl) containing 1 µg of KAT, 1 µg of biotinylated recombinant nucleosome (EpiCypher: unmodified #16-006, H2A.Z.1 #16-0014, H2AZ.2 #16-0015, and H4 Tetraacetyl #16-0313), and 1 µCi of H₃-AcCoA (PerkinElmer) in KAT reaction buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 0.05% BSA) were incubated at room temperature as indicated. Reactions were quenched by the addition of SDS loading buffer and resolved by SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Following the detection of total protein by Coomassie staining, gels were treated with EN3HANCE (PerkinElmer) and dried, and acetylated proteins were detected by autoradiography.

Fluorescence KAT assays
For the fluorescence KAT assay, recombinant human p300 (Active Motif #31205) and a commercial histone acetyltransferase kit (Active Motif # 56100) were used according the manufacture's instructions.

Histone peptide microarrays
Histone peptide microarrays were fabricated by depositing biotinylated histone peptides onto streptavidin-coated glass microscope slides using an Aushon 2470 microarray printer. Briefly, biotinylated peptides were deposited onto streptavidin-coated glass microscope slides using an Aushon 2470 microarray printer. Arrays were blocked for 30 min in cold array hybridization buffer (1× PBS pH 7.6, 0.1% Tween-20, 5% BSA (OmniPur, Fraction V) and GST-tagged proteins (1 µM) were hybridized to the microarray in a humidified chamber overnight at 4°C. Bound protein was labelled with α-GST primary (Sigma #7781) and AlexaFluor 647-conjugated secondary antibody (Invitrogen #A21245). Microarrays images were collected at 20 µm resolution using an Innoscan 1100AL microarray scanner (Innopsys). Image processing and data analysis was performed using ArrayNinja (Dickson et al., 2016). Each unique peptide feature (see Supplementary Table 1 for a full list of peptide features spotted on each array) was spotted in triplicate two times per subarray, and values presented are the
mean of measured signals for these individual peptide spots. Background was subtracted using reference spots with no peptides. Array data was normalized to the peptide with the strongest signal in the array or to an IgG control. Peptide arrays are now commercially available through EpiCypher (Catalog # 11-4001)

MALDI-TOF-MS analysis of KAT reactions
For MS experiments, reactions were performed as described above with one exception: H3-AcCoA was replaced with final concentration of 500µM unlabelled AcCoA. Reactions were quenched with 0.5% trifluoroacetic acid at indicated timepoints, cleaned up using Pierce C18 Spin Columns (#89870), and analyzed by MS. KAT-reacted samples were deposited on a MALDI target plate (4 µl per spot) and mixed with 1 µl of matrix solution (a-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid in 50% acetonitrile). MALDI-TOF-MS and MS/MS (positive ion mode at 1 kV) spectra were collected using SCIEX TOF/TOF 5800 MALDI MS spectrometer. The peptide fragmentation modeling and peak assignments were done using the Peptide Sequence Fragmentation Modeling tool (https://omics.pnl.gov/software/molecular-weight-calculator).

Cell lines, treatments and transfections
LNCaP prostate cancer cells (Cambrex Bio Science Cat. No. CC-2555) were cultured in RPMI 1640 media (Gibco, #11875-093) supplemented with foetal bovine serum (10%, hyclone #SH30084.03), 2mM L-glutamine (Gibco, #25030-081) and Penicillin/Streptomycin (1%, Gibco, #15070-063). HCT116 colorectal carcinoma cells were gifted by Stephen Baylin laboratory and were maintained in McCoy's 5A media (Gibco, #16600-082) supplemented with foetal bovine serum (10%, hyclone #SH30084.03) and Penicillin/Streptomycin (1%, Gibco, #15070-063). All cell lines were authenticated by STR profiling (CellBank Australia, Westmead, NSW, Australia) and cultured for <6 months after authentication. LNCaP and HCT116 cells were seeded at 70% confluence and were treated with A-485 0.08, 0.4, 2 or 10µM and the compound control A-486 and CBP30 1, 2, 5 and 10 µM for 24h. The untreated controls were mocked treated with the equivalent amount of the highest concentration of the vehicle used to dissolve the drugs, 100% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). For siRNA transfections LNCaP cells were seeded at a density of 775,000 cells per 6cm dish. 24hrs post-seeding cells were transfected with 20nM of Dharmacon ON-TARGET plus pooled KAT5 siRNAs, EP300 and CREBBP siRNAs, or EP300, CREBBP and KAT5 siRNAs (listed in Supplemental Table 3) and 8µL Dharmafect 2, according to the manufacturer protocol. In parallel we also transfected cells with 20nM of Dharmacon ON-TARGET plus pooled Non-targeting siRNA (Scramble) as a control. Cells were harvested 72h post-transfection.

Chromatin extraction, western blot and antibodies
Asynchronously growing LNCaP and HCT116 cells were harvested by trypsinization 24 hr after treatment or 72hr after transfection. Pellets were washed once with cold 1x PBS, snap frozen in liquid N2 and either processed immediately or stored at −80°C. Cell pellets were resuspended in 1x volume CSK buffer (10 mM PIPES pH 7.0, 300 mM sucrose, 100 mM NaCl, 3 mM MgCl2, 0.1% Triton X-100 and 1x Complete EDTA-Free protease inhibitor cocktail from Roche) and incubated on ice for 1h. 10% of this total fraction was combined with an equivalent volume of CSK buffer complemented with Universal Nuclease (Thermo, 1:5,000). The remaining cell lysate was centrifuged at 1300 x g for 5 min at 4°C. The supernatant (soluble fraction) was collected. The chromatin pellet was resuspended in 1x volume CSK buffer and kept on ice for 10 min before being spun again at 1300 x g for 5 min at 4°C. The supernatant was discarded and the chromatin pellet was solubilized in Universal Nuclease-CSK buffer. Each protein fraction was quantified by Bradford Assay (BioRad), and 7.5µg of protein from each fraction was resolved by SDS-PAGE, transferred to PVDF membrane (Thermo), and probed with the indicated antibodies. H2A,Ztri-ac (Abcam #18262; 1:500), H2A.ZK4ac (Abcam #214725; 1:1000), H2A.ZK7ac (Abcam #214730; 1:1000), H2A.ZK7ac (Abcam #214730; 1:1000), H3K27ac (Active Motif #39133; 1:1000), H4tetra-ac (Millipore #05-1355;1:2000), H4 (Abcam #10158) and Tip60 (Santa Cruz, #166323, 1:500). The specificity of the antibodies used for ChIP-seq is reported at http://www.histoneantibodies.com/

Fiji ImageJ software was used to quantify western blot images following the Gel Analysis method outlined in their documentation (Schindelin et al., 2012; Schneider et al., 2012).
Briefly, band densities were plotted using their Gels commands and area under the curve was quantified. Band densities of the protein of interest were divided by a loading control protein and further normalized to the control sample. Average and standard error of the mean were calculated for replicates using Prism 7 software and Student's t-test pairwise comparison was applied.

RNA extraction and real time qPCR
Total RNA extraction was performed using TRIZol reagent (Life Technologies, #15596018) following manufacturer's instructions. 10µg of extracted RNA were treated with Turbo DNA-free kit (ThermoFisher Scientific, #AM1907) and complementary DNA (cDNA) generation was performed using SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen, #18080-044) and random hexamer primers (ThermoFisher Scientific #SO142) following manufacturer's instructions. 3µL of diluted cDNA(1:10) was added to 7µL of PCR master mix containing, 5µL of Power Sybr Green (ThermoFisher Scientific, #4367659), 1µL of each primer set (2µM). Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed using the ABI-PRISM 7900HT Sequence Detection System using the following conditions: 1) 50°C for 2 min; 2) 95°C for 10 min; 3) 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 sec and 60°C for 1 min. The data is represented as the fold change of mRNA gene expression normalized to the endogenous reference Gapdh and relative to the scramble condition. The list of all primer sequences used for this manuscript can be found in Supplemental Table 4.

Data analysis:
ChiP-seq reads (see Supplemental Table 2) were mapped to the human genome (hg19) using Bowtie (version 1.0.1) (Langmead et al., 2009), allowing up to three mismatches. Non-uniquely alignable reads were excluded. For H2A.Zac and H4K5ac, broad peaks were called using PeakRanger (version 1.16) (Feng et al., 2011), peaks from replicates, when available, were combined by taking overlapping regions.

For Chromatin-state discovery and characterization, ChromHMM (Ernst and Kellis, 2012) was applied to ChiP aligned reads to partition the genome of LNCaP into 9 chromatin states. The following chromatin marks were used: H3K4Me3, H3ac, H3K4Me1, H3K27Me3, H3K36Me and H3K27ac (Table x). Redundant states were merged into seven distinct states and manually annotated based on the published ChromHMM model for HMEC cells (Ernst et al., 2011). To calculate the significance of overlap between H4K5ac and H2A.Zac or these two marks and ChromHMM states Genomic Association Tester (GAT) (Heger et al., 2013) was implemented using 100 permutation.

DeepTools2 was used to visualize ChiP-seq signal (Ramirez et al., 2016; Shen et al., 2014). Bigwig files were generated using “bamCoverage” function, reads were extended 300bp and normalized based on counts per million mapped read (CPM). Promoter heatmaps were centered on the TSS coordinates from RefSeq hg19 and enhancers were centered at DNAseI midpoints from ENCODE LNCaP data. Enhancers within 2kb of a TSS were discarded. Heatmap bin size was 10bp in a 4000bp window. In some analysis, active and poised enhancer bed files were divided into intragenic or intergenic based on their overlap with the Gencode transcript annotation (hg19).

NOMe-seq analysis were done as previously described (Statham et al., 2015; Taberlay et al., 2014; Valdes-Mora et al., 2017); briefly, raw reads were aligned to hg19 using bw-meth (Pedersen et al., 2014), PCR duplicates were removed and BisSNP v0.82.2 (Hu et al., 2013) was used to obtain methylation status of each WCG and GCH site in each sample. Next, data was transformed into a methylation counts and coverage table. To visualize and compare NOMe-seq profiles across enhancer groups the “methylationPlotRegion” was implemented from aaRon R package.

RNAseq data was processed as previously described (Taberlay et al., 2016), paired-end reads were trimmed using TrimGalore (version 0.11.2) and mapped to hg19 using STAR (version 2.4.0) (Dobin et al., 2013). Mapped reads were counted into genes using RSEM (v1.3.0) and transcript per million (TPM) normalization was used. Box plots were performed using ggplot2 R package.
GRO-seq fastq files were aligned to hg19 using bowtie2 (version 2.2.4). Deeptools was used to plot normalized signal (CPM) as heatmaps and reads per bp per as profiles centered at the DNAsel midpoints.
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