A synthesis-enabled relative configurational assignment of the C31–C46 region of hemicalide†
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With 21 unknown stereocentres embedded in spatially separated stereoclusters, the cytotoxic polyketide hemicalide represents a seemingly intractible structural assignment problem. Herein, through the targeted synthesis of configurationally defined fragments, as well as "encoded" mixtures of diastereomers, the stereochemical elucidation of the C31–C46 region of hemicalide is achieved. Detailed NMR spectroscopic analysis of candidate fragments and comparison with the related hemicalide data strongly supported a 31,32-syn, 32,36-anti and 42,46-anti relationship. In combination with previous work on hemicalide, this reduces the number of possible structural permutations down to a more manageable eight diastereomers.

Hemicalide (1, Fig. 1) is a complex polyketide isolated from the marine sponge hemimycale sp., exhibiting extraordinary picomolar IC50 values against a panel of human cancer cell lines through a putative antimitotic mode of action.1 First reported in the patent literature in 2011, all 21 stereocentres within hemicalide were initially unassigned, leaving over two million possible permutations.

By interrogation of the available 1H and 13C NMR data for hemicalide, our group along with that of Ardisson–Meyer–Cossy have focused on solving this challenging stereochemical conundrum through the synergistic combination of synthetic and computational approaches. To date, this has enabled the confident assignment of the C8–C13 stereohexad,2,3 C18–C24 dihydroxylactone4 and C36–C42 hydroxylactone regions.5,6 In addition, a synthesis-enabled investigation established the relative configuration between the C8–C13 and C18–C24 regions.7 These cumulative efforts served to narrow the possible structural permutations down to 128 stereoisomers, leaving the C27–C32 stereotetrad, the isolated C45 stereo centre, as well as their relationship to the other stereoclusters, unassigned.8–10

Herein, we report a targeted synthesis of the C35–C43 region of hemicalide and its elaboration to generate the candidate fragments 2a, 2b and 2c. Detailed NMR spectroscopic comparison with the natural product then served to assign the previously unknown C31, C32 and C45 stereocentres relative to the C35–C43 region. Through this evolutionary approach,11,12 the C31–C46 region is narrowed down to a single diastereomer, translating to only eight possible diastereomers remaining for hemicalide.

Seeking flexibility in the installation of the C45 hydroxyl-bearing stereocentre, as well as modularity in appending

Fig. 1 Structure of hemicalide (1) and the assigned relative configurations of each region determined to date. Through the stereocontrolled synthesis of the candidate truncate fragments 2a, 2b and 2c, followed by detailed 1H and 13C NMR correlations, this work assigns the C31–C46 region as a single diastereomer as in 2a.
candidate C29–C34 fragments, 2 was disconnected across the internal C34–C35 alkene to afford the terminal alkenes 3 and 4 (Fig. 2).13 Given the isolated nature of C45, we planned to perform a stereoselective carbonyl reduction of the corresponding enone under reagent control followed by hydrogenation. Recognition of the 1,4-syn relationship between C37 and C42 alluded to the execution of a boron-mediated aldol reaction between the Roche ester-derived building blocks 5 and 6. Critically, the antipode of 3 could then be readily obtained by using the enantiomeric components.

Synthesis of the alkene 3 commenced with a (+)-Ipc2BCl mediated aldol reaction between the known ketone 5 and the aldehyde 6 to afford 7 (>20:1 dr).13 Following silyl ether formation (Scheme 1). Preliminary studies towards installing the C39 stereocentre via the conjugate reduction of a cyclic enolate derivative afforded the undesired configuration,16 indicating that a suitable acyclic enolate might be sought. To this end, a tandem aldol reaction on the ketone 7 using the lithium enolate of 8, followed by in situ Peterson olefination gave the Z-enolate 9. Extensive experimentation revealed that the desired C39 configuration was best installed through a hydroxyl-directed reduction under optimised conditions. Thus, following silyl ether cleavage of 9, hydrogenation of the resulting enyne 10 mediated by Crabtree’s catalyst [(Ir(cod)py(PCy3)] [PF6], 13 mol%, H2, 1 atm) at low temperature (−25 °C) and acidic workup delivered the δ-lactone 11 (5:1 dr) now favouring the desired C39 configuration.17 From 11, α-hydroxylation via reaction of the derived potassium enolate with Davis oxaziridine18 cleanly gave the alcohol 12 (>20:1 dr) bearing the required C40 configuration.5 Silyl ether formation then enabled the chromatographic separation of the C39 epimers to obtain the desired C35–C43 fragment 13.

From 13, a sequence of PMB ether cleavage, followed by oxidation of the resulting alcohol to the aldehyde and Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons (HWE) olefination cleanly delivered the requisite E-enone 14.19 A preliminary screen revealed that the flexible installation of the C45 stereocentre was achievable under Terashima asymmetric reduction conditions, with either C45-configuration (42,45-anti 15 and 42,45-syn 16) selectively obtained (6:1 dr) through use of the appropriate antipode of the N-methylphedrine ligand.20

Preliminary reconnaissance next revealed that the C45 alcohol was best derivatised as its TBS ether to avoid any desilylation under subsequent hydrogenation conditions. In a parallel sequence, concomitant alkene hydrogenation and benzyl ether cleavage with RANEY® nickel, oxidation and Wittig methylation afforded both candidate fragments of the full C34–C46 alkene (42,45-anti 3a, 42,45-syn 3b) in readiness for the downstream cross metathesis for chain extension.

At this stage, global desilylation permitted a head-to-head metathesis for chain extension. Recognition of the 1,4-syn relationship between C37 and C42 alluded to the execution of a boron-mediated aldol reaction between the Roche ester-derived building blocks 5 and 6. Critically, the antipode of 3 could then be readily obtained by using the enantiomeric components.

Synthesis of the alkene 3 commenced with a (+)-Ipc2BCl mediated aldol reaction between the known ketone 5 and the aldehyde 6 to afford 7 (>20:1 dr).13 Following silyl ether formation (Scheme 1). Preliminary studies towards installing the C39 stereocentre via the conjugate reduction of a cyclic enolate derivative afforded the undesired configuration,16 indicating that a suitable acyclic enolate might be sought. To this end, a tandem aldol reaction on the ketone 7 using the lithium enolate of 8, followed by in situ Peterson olefination gave the Z-enolate 9. Extensive experimentation revealed that the desired C39 configuration was best installed through a hydroxyl-directed reduction under optimised conditions. Thus, following silyl ether cleavage of 9, hydrogenation of the resulting enyne 10 mediated by Crabtree’s catalyst [(Ir(cod)py(PCy3)] [PF6], 13 mol%, H2, 1 atm) at low temperature (−25 °C) and acidic workup delivered the δ-lactone 11 (5:1 dr) now favouring the desired C39 configuration.17 From 11, α-hydroxylation via reaction of the derived potassium enolate with Davis oxaziridine18 cleanly gave the alcohol 12 (>20:1 dr) bearing the required C40 configuration.5 Silyl ether formation then enabled the chromatographic separation of the C39 epimers to obtain the desired C35–C43 fragment 13.

From 13, a sequence of PMB ether cleavage, followed by oxidation of the resulting alcohol to the aldehyde and Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons (HWE) olefination cleanly delivered the requisite E-enone 14.19 A preliminary screen revealed that the flexible installation of the C45 stereocentre was achievable under Terashima asymmetric reduction conditions, with either C45-configuration (42,45-anti 15 and 42,45-syn 16) selectively obtained (6:1 dr) through use of the appropriate antipode of the N-methylphedrine ligand.20

Preliminary reconnaissance next revealed that the C45 alcohol was best derivatised as its TBS ether to avoid any desilylation under subsequent hydrogenation conditions. In a parallel
Table 1 Determination of the 42,45-anti configuration in hemicalide. Statistical summary of absolute errors $|\delta|$ (ppm) in 17 and 18 compared to the reported $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR chemical shift data (ref. 1) for the corresponding region in hemicalide (1).

| Entry | $^1$H Sum | $|\delta|^1$H Max | $|\delta|^1$H | $^{13}$C Sum | $|\delta|^13$C Max | $|\delta|^13$C |
|-------|----------|--------------------|-------------|-----------|----------------|-------------|
| 1. 42,45-anti 17 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.38 | 0.10 | |
| 2. 42,45-syn 18 | 0.13 | 0.05 | 0.67 | 0.20 | |

Absolute errors taken for NMR shifts between H/C39-H/C46. $^b |\delta| = \delta(\text{experimental shift}) - \delta(\text{reported shift})$, in ppm.

bearing a 31,32-anti configuration, noting significant $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR chemical shift deviations in this region vis-à-vis the natural product (Fig. 3). This provided strong evidence against a 31,32-anti relationship and gave us confidence to concentrate on the alternative and unconsidered 31,32-syn configuration.

Synthesis of the C29–C35 alkene fragment commenced with a Brown syn-crotylation of the aldehyde 19 and silylation to afford the TBS ether 20 and set the 31,32-syn configuration (Scheme 2). Alkene hydroboration, alcohol oxidation and Wittig methylation then provided the homologated alkene 4a. An analogous sequence using the enantiomeric crotylation reagent delivered ent-4a as required to establish the relationship between the stereocentres. From here, a parallel cross-metathesis with 3a (containing the 42,45-anti configuration) mediated by Hoveyda–Grubbs II catalyst (15 mol%), followed by PMB ether cleavage, gave the separate C29–C46 fragments 32,36-anti 21 and 32,36-syn 22. Global desilylation under fluvorosanous conditions then gave the truncated tetraols 2a and 2b for detailed NMR spectroscopic comparison. An analogous sequence with 3b (42,45-syn configuration) and ent-4a provided the 32,36-syn, 42,45-syn diastereomers 2c.

At the outset, we were cognisant that subtle and minute chemical shift differences resulting from 1,4- and 1,5-related stereocentres separated by flexible acyclic linkers could confound conclusions in this study. This was anticipated for the as yet unassigned stereocentres at C31, C32 and C45 in the sidechains appended to the established $\beta$-lactone region. To ameliorate this, we prepared fragment 2c bearing the 32,36-syn, 42,45-syn configuration containing an “encoded” 65 : 35 epimeric mixture at C45, as well as a configurationally pure C35-C46 region coupled with an “encoded” 85 : 15 mixture of the C29–C35 alkene enantiomers to generate 2b as an 85 : 15 mixture of 32,36-syn and -anti diastereomers. Analogously, 2a was synthesised containing an 85 : 15 mixture of 32,36-anti and -syn diastereomers with 2b as the minor component (see the ESIF). With these fragments in hand, detailed $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectroscopic comparison with the corresponding region for the hemicalide spectra (CD$_2$OD) processed in-house could now be conducted (Table 2 and Fig. 4). Initial inspection revealed that all the 31,32-syn diastereomers (entries 1 to 3, $\Sigma |\Delta|_H < 0.11$ ppm; $\Sigma |\Delta|_C < 2.86$ ppm) possessed a much lower chemical shift deviation relative to hemicalide compared with the 31,32-anti configuration previously reported (entry 4: $\Sigma |\Delta|_H = 0.33$ ppm; $\Sigma |\Delta|_C = 8.48$ ppm). This strongly supported the assignment of a 31,32-syn configuration in the natural product.

Next, a comparison of the $^{13}$C NMR data for fragments 2c (42,45-syn) and 2b (42,45-anti) with hemicalide supported the 42,45-anti configuration assigned above (entry 2: $\Sigma |\Delta|_C = 2.72$ ppm) over the alternative 42,45-syn isomer (entry 3: $\Sigma |\Delta|_C = 2.86$ ppm). A final comparison of 32,36-syn 2b (entry 2), 32,36-anti 2a (entry 1) and the hemicalide $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR data conclusively gave lower chemical shift deviations for the 32,36-anti diastereomer (entry 1: $\Sigma |\Delta|_H = 0.06$ ppm; $\Sigma |\Delta|_C = 1.57$ ppm) over the alternative 32,36-syn isomer (entry 2: $\Sigma |\Delta|_H = 0.11$ ppm; $\Sigma |\Delta|_C = 2.72$ ppm), representing the best fit candidate for future targeted synthetic efforts. Importantly, this provides strong evidence for the relative configurational assignment of the C31, C32 and C45 stereocentres.

In summary, we have developed a streamlined synthesis of the C29–C46 region of hemicalide, enabling the flexible installation of both the C45 hydroxyl and the C31–C32 stereocluster to give candidate structural permutations.
Spectroscopic comparison with each diastereomer then provided firm evidence in support of the (i) 31,32-syn, (ii) 32,36-anti and (iii) 42,45-anti configuration. In conjunction with the prior assignment of the C1–C24 region, this serves to reduce the stereochemical conundrum to only eight possible candidate diastereomers (out of >1 million). In addition to reaffirming the undisputed power of chemical synthesis as the arbiter of stereochemical determination, the current work should enable future access to advanced intermediates towards interrogating a now-tractable set of possible diastereomers within the vast stereochemical space occupied by hemicalide. We thank the Herchel Smith Fund (TPS), Woolf Fisher Trust and Trinity Hall, Cambridge (NYSL) for financial support, Dr Georges Massiot for the kind supply of the FID NMR data for hemicalide and, together with Prof Janine Cossy, for helpful discussions.
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Table 2  Statistical summary of absolute errors δ (ppm)ab for each diastereomer compared to the reported NMR spectra (ref. 1) of hemicalide (1)

| Entry | Sum δ | Max δ | Sum δ | Max δ |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 1. 31,32-syn, 32,36-anti, 42,45-anti | 0.06 | 0.04 | 1.57 | 0.81 |
| 2. 31,32-syn, 32,36-syn, 42,45-anti | 0.11 | 0.04 | 2.72 | 0.72 |
| 3. 31,32-syn, 32,36-syn, 42,45-anti | 0.30 | 0.03 | 2.86 | 0.73 |
| 4. Lecourt et al. diastereomer [ref. 9], 31,32-anti, 32,36-syn, 42,45-anti | 0.33 | 0.14 | 8.48 | 1.32 |

a Absolute errors taken for 1H and 13C NMR chemical shifts between H/C31-H/C46. b δ = δ(experimental shift) – δ(reported shift), errors in ppm.