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Abstract

We introduce classes of measures in the half-space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, generated by Riesz, or Bessel, or Besov capacities in $\mathbb{R}^n$, and give a geometric characterization as Carleson-type measures.

1 Introduction

Recall that a Carleson measure is a positive Borel measure $\mu$ on the upper half-space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} = \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$\mu(TB) \leq C|B|$$

for some constant $C < \infty$ and all balls $B$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Here $|B|$ is the $n$-dimensional Lebesgue measure of $B$ and $TB$ is the “tent” over $B$:

$$TB = \{(x, t) : x \in B, t < \text{dist}(x, \partial B)\}.$$

Note that in condition (1.1), the balls may be replaced by cubes. From this, using a Whitney decomposition and the additivity of Lebesgue measure, we can replace balls by any open sets (see [15], Chapter II, Section 2.3.2).

A positive Borel measure $\mu$ on the upper half-space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is called a $\beta$-Carleson measure if

$$\mu(T(B)) \leq C|B|^\beta$$

for some constant $C < \infty$ and all balls $B$. We denote the class of such measures by $C^\beta$. These classes were considered in [9, 11].

When $\beta \geq 1$, we can again replace balls by open sets since we have

$$\sum |B_k|^\beta \leq (\sum |B_k|)^\beta.$$
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However, in the case $\beta < 1$, the condition on open sets is stronger. For example, in the upper-half plane, consider the measure
\[ \mu = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \delta_{\left(k, \frac{1}{k^{1/\beta}}\right)}, \]
where $\delta_P$ denotes the unit mass at point $P$. Then $\mu(T(I)) \leq C |I|^\beta$ for every interval $I$ but one can construct open sets $O_K = \bigcup I_k$ with
\[
|O_K| = \sum_{k=1}^{K} |I_k| \approx \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{1}{k^{1/\beta}} \leq C < \infty
\]
and
\[ \mu(T(O_K)) \approx \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{1}{k} \to \infty. \]

The spaces $V^\beta$ of measures satisfying the condition for all bounded open sets were considered in [5], [4], [7], [10].

We are interested in whether we can modify so as to give a condition on open sets which is equivalent to the $\beta$-Carleson condition on balls. An example of such a result is the following:

**Lemma 1.1 (DX)** For $0 < \beta \leq 1$, $\mu \in C^\beta$ if and only if there exists $C < \infty$ such that for every open set $O \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,
\[ \mu(TO) \leq C \Lambda^{(\infty)}_{n\beta}(O). \]

Here $\Lambda^{(\infty)}$, $0 < d \leq n$, is $d$-dimensional Hausdorff capacity, defined (see [1]) for a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ by
\[ \Lambda^{(\infty)}(E) = \inf \sum_j r_j^d, \]
where the infimum is taken over all countable covers $E \subset \bigcup B_j$, with each $B_j$ a ball of radius $r_j$.

This paper is concerned with investigating and showing that there exist similar relationships between Carleson-type measures and classes of measures generated by capacities. In addition to Hausdorff capacity, we consider classes of positive measures on the half-space generated by Riesz, Bessel, or Besov capacities.

For $0 < \alpha < n$, $1 \leq p < n/\alpha$ denote by $h_p^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the Riesz potential spaces, defined by the Riesz potentials, $R^\alpha$,
\[ (R^\alpha g)(x) = c(\alpha, n) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x - y|^{n-\alpha} g(y) dy, \]
where $c(\alpha, n)$ is a certain positive constant (see [12]), as follows:
\[ h_p^\alpha := \{ f : f = R^\alpha g, g \in L^p \}. \]
with a norm
\[ \|f\|_{h^\alpha_p} := \|g\|_{L^p}. \]
Here \( L^p \) is the Lebesgue space on \( \mathbb{R}^n \).

For any open set \( O \subset \mathbb{R}^n \), the Riesz capacity of \( O \) is defined by (see [2]),
\[ \text{cap}(O; h^\alpha_p) := \inf \{ \|f\|_{h^\alpha_p}^p : f \in \mathcal{S}, f \geq 0, f(x) \geq 1 \text{ if } x \in O \}, \]
where \( \mathcal{S} \) is the Schwartz class of functions on \( \mathbb{R}^n \).

Let \( \Phi \) be a positive function on \((0, \infty)\), \( \Phi(0) = 0 \). We define the classes of measures \( \text{Cap}^\Phi(h^\alpha_p) \) as follows:
\[ \mu \in \text{Cap}^\Phi(h^\alpha_p) \iff \mu(\text{TO}) \prec \Phi(\text{cap}(O; h^\alpha_p)), \]
uniformly for all open sets \( O \subset \mathbb{R}^n \).

Here the short notation \( X \prec Y \) is used for the estimate \( X \leq cY \). If \( X \prec Y \) and \( Y \prec X \) then we write \( X \approx Y \).

This definition is the analogue, for measures on the upper half-space and tents over sets, of a definition given by Maz’ya for measures and sets in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) (see Ch. 8 of [12], also [13] for the original idea). Namely, define the class of Maz’ya measures, \( M^\Phi(h^\alpha_p) \), consisting of all positive measures \( \nu \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) such that
\[ \nu(O) \prec \Phi(\text{cap}(O; h^\alpha_p)). \]

Note that if \( \nu \in M^\Phi(h^\alpha_p) \) and \( \delta_\varepsilon \) denotes the unit mass at some \( \varepsilon > 0 \), then the measure \( \mu = \nu \times \delta_\varepsilon \) on \( \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \) belongs to \( \text{Cap}^\Phi(h^\alpha_p) \), since
\[ \mu(\text{TO}) = \nu(O_\varepsilon) \leq \nu(O) \prec \Phi(\text{cap}(O; h^\alpha_p)), \]
where \( O_\varepsilon = \{ x \in O : \text{dist}(x, \partial O) > \varepsilon \} \).

The main goal of this paper is to give a more geometric characterization of the classes \( \text{Cap}^\Phi(h^\alpha_p) \) in terms of Carleson-type measures \( C^\Phi \), defined as follows:
\[ \mu \in C^\Phi \iff \mu(\text{TB}_r) \prec \Phi(r), \]
uniformly for all balls \( B_r \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) of radius \( r \). For example, if \( \Phi(r) = r^{n\beta}, \beta > 0 \), then \( C^\Phi \) is the class \( C^{\beta} \) of \( \beta \)-Carleson measures, as in [1,2].

We now state a typical result, proved in the paper. Suppose that \( \Phi \) is a function from \([0, \infty)\) onto itself which is equivalent to a strictly increasing function \( \bar\Phi \), i.e. \( \Phi \approx \bar\Phi \), and which satisfies the following conditions:
\[ \Phi(0) = 0, \ \Phi(cs) \approx \Phi(s), \ c > 0, \quad (1.3) \]
(with constants which may depend on \( c \)), and for some \((\alpha, p)\), \( 1 \leq p < \infty \), \( 0 < \alpha < n/p \),
\[ \int_0^s \left( \frac{\Phi(u)}{u^{n-\alpha p}} \right)^{1/p} du \leq \left( \frac{\Phi(s)}{s^{n-\alpha p}} \right)^{1/p} \]
for all \( s > 0 \). \quad (1.4)
Then
\[ \text{Cap}^{\Phi_\alpha}(h^p_\alpha) = C^\Phi \text{ if } \Phi_\alpha(s) = \Phi(\frac{s}{n-\alpha p}). \] (1.5)

For example, if \( \Phi(s) = s^{n\beta}(1 + |\log s|)^\gamma \), then \( \Phi \) satisfies (1.3) and (1.4) for \( 1 \leq p < \infty, \beta > 1 - \alpha p/n, 0 < \alpha < n/p \), and all real \( \gamma \). Note that if \( \gamma \geq 0 \) then \( \Phi \) is strictly increasing, and otherwise \( \Phi \) is equivalent to a strictly increasing function \( \Phi \).

In proving (1.5), we use the property

\[ \text{cap}(B_r; h^p_\alpha) = cr^{n-\alpha p}, \quad 1 \leq p < n/\alpha, \] (1.6)

where \( c \) is the capacity of the unit ball. Hence the embedding

\[ \text{Cap}^{\Phi_\alpha}(h^p_\alpha) \subset C^\Phi \quad 1 \leq p < n/\alpha, \quad \Phi_\alpha(s) = \Phi((s/c)^{n-\alpha p}), \] (1.7)

is always true.

In order to see the inverse embedding, we prove weak-type estimates for certain convolution operators of the form

\[ f \mapsto u, \quad u(x,t) = f \ast \phi_t(x), \quad \phi_t(x) = t^{-n} \phi(x/t), \] (1.8)

for certain kernels \( \phi \), when the domain is \( h^p_\alpha \) and the range is an appropriate Lorentz space in \( R^{n+1} \) with respect to the measure \( \mu \in C^\Phi \). These weak-type estimates are proved using an analogy with the technique applied by Adams (see Adams’ proof of Theorem 2, Section 1.4.1 of [12]) in proving sharp embeddings of \( h^p_\alpha \) into the Lebesgue space built-up over a positive measure in \( R^n \). Moreover, such type of estimates are important for applications (see [11], [16] and [17]), so we devote Section 5 to deriving the corresponding strong-type estimates. They are related to the problem of sharp embeddings. Because of the analogy between our classes of measures and Maz’ya measures, we can try to extend the embedding results (and methods) from [12] to certain convolution operators of type (1.8) and measures \( \mu \in \text{Cap}^{\Phi}(h^\alpha_\nu) \).

For related embedding theorems in the case of measures on the unit ball in \( C^n \), see [8].

2 Measures generated by homogeneous capacities

2.1 Riesz capacities

The main result is the following theorem

**Theorem 2.1** Let the function \( \Phi \) satisfy conditions (1.3) and (1.4). Then

\[ \text{Cap}^{\Phi_\alpha}(h^p_\alpha) = C^\Phi \text{ if } \Phi_\alpha(s) = \Phi(\frac{s}{n-\alpha p}). \] (2.1)
Proof. One embedding is given by (1.7). To see the other, let \( \mu \in C^\Phi \). As it has been already explained, we are going to derive weak-type estimates for the convolution operator \( f \mapsto u, u(x,t) = f * \phi_t(x) \), where \( \phi \) is a positive function such that (see [11])

\[
\phi(x) \prec (1 + |x|)^{-n}, \quad \phi \in L^1.
\] (2.2)

The proof follows the scheme of the proof of Lemma 3.2 in [11]. For \( \lambda > 0 \), let

\[
h(\lambda) = \mu(E_\lambda), \quad E_\lambda = \{(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ : |f * \phi_t(x)| > \lambda\}.
\] (2.3)

If \( f = R^\alpha g, g \in L^p \), then

\[
f * \phi_t(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(t, x-z)g(z)dz,
\]
where

\[
\psi(t, z) = c(\alpha, n) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |y-z|^{\alpha-n} \phi_t(y)dy,
\]
and according to Lemma 3.1 of [11],

\[
\psi(t, x) \prec (t + |x|)^{\alpha-n}, \quad 0 < \alpha < n.
\] (2.4)

Therefore

\[
\lambda h(\lambda) \prec \int_{E_\lambda} |f * \phi_t(x)|d\mu \prec \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(z)| \int_{E_\lambda} \left( t^2 + |x-z|^2 \right)^{\alpha-n} d\mu dz,
\]
whence (cf. [11], proof of Lemma 3.2)

\[
\lambda h(\lambda) \prec \int_0^\infty r^{\alpha-n-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(z)| \mu_{\lambda}(TB(z, r))dzdr,
\] (2.5)

where \( \mu_\lambda \) is the restriction of \( \mu \) to \( E_\lambda \).

Let

\[
T_1(s) = \int_0^s r^{\alpha-n-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(z)| \mu_{\lambda}(TB(z, r))dzdr,
\]

\[
T_2(s) = \int_s^\infty r^{\alpha-n-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(z)| \mu_{\lambda}(TB(z, r))dzdr.
\]

We estimate \( T_1(s) \) for arbitrary \( s > 0 \). Using Hölder’s inequality, we get

\[
T_1(s) \leq \int_0^s r^{\alpha-n-1} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(z)|^p \mu_{\lambda}(TB(z, r))dz \right)^{1/p} (I(r))^{1-1/p} dr,
\]
where \( I(r) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mu_{\lambda}(TB(z, r))dz \). Since

\[
I(r) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{TB(z, r) \cap E_\lambda} d\mu dz = \int_{(x,t) \in E_\lambda} \left( \int_{B(x,t-t)} dz \right) d\mu \prec r^\alpha h(\lambda),
\] (2.6)
and $\mu \in C^\Phi$, we get
\[
T_1(s) \prec \|g\|_{L^p} [h(\lambda)]^{1-1/p} \int_0^s r^{n-\alpha/p} \Phi^{1/p}(r) \frac{dr}{r}. \tag{2.7}
\]

Analogously, now using the estimate $\mu_\lambda(TB(z,r)) \leq h(\lambda)$, we derive
\[
T_2(s) \prec \|g\|_{L^p} h(\lambda) s^{\alpha-n/p} \text{ if } \alpha < n/p, \ 1 \leq p < \infty. \tag{2.8}
\]

In this way, using also (1.4), we have
\[
\lambda h(\lambda) \prec \|f\|_{h^\alpha_{1/p}} \left( [h(\lambda)]^{1-1/p} \frac{\Phi(s)}{\Phi(\lambda)} - \frac{1}{p} \int_0^s r^{\alpha-n/p} \Phi^{1/p}(r) \frac{dr}{r} \right). \tag{2.9}
\]

Replacing, if necessary, $\Phi$ by an equivalent strictly increasing function $\tilde{\Phi}$ in (2.9), we can choose $s > 0$ such that $\Phi(s) = h(\lambda)$. Then
\[
\lambda \left[ \Phi^{-1}(h(\lambda)) \right]^{n/p-\alpha} \prec \|f\|_{h^\alpha_{1/p}}, \tag{2.10}
\]

which is the desired weak-type estimate.

Next we prove that (2.10) implies $\mu \in \text{Cap}_\alpha(h^\alpha_{1/p})$. Let $O \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set and let $f \in S$, $f \geq 0$, $f \geq 1$ on $O$. If $(x,t) \in TO$, then $B(x,t) \subset O$, hence
\[
f * \phi_t(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(z) \phi_t(x-z) dz \geq \int_{B(x,t)} \phi_t(x-z) dz = \int_{\{z:|z|<1\}} \phi(z) dz.
\]

Therefore,
\[
TO \subset \{(x,t): f * \phi_t(x) > d_\phi := \int_{\{z:|z|<1\}} \phi(z) dz\}.
\]

Thus
\[
\mu(TO) \prec h(d_\phi). \tag{2.11}
\]

On the other hand, the above $f$ can be chosen so that $\|f\|_{h^\alpha_{1/p}} \leq 2\text{cap}(O; h^\alpha_{1/p})$. Then (2.10) gives
\[
[\Phi^{-1}(h(d_\phi))]^{n-\alpha/p} \prec \text{cap}(O; h^\alpha_{1/p}), \tag{2.12}
\]

which together with (1.3) implies
\[
h(d_\phi) \prec \Phi \left( \left[ \text{cap}(O; h^\alpha_{1/p}) \right]^{\frac{1}{n-\alpha/p}} \right). \tag{2.13}
\]

Note that if we used the equivalent strictly increasing function $\tilde{\Phi}$ instead of $\Phi$ in (2.10), we can now go back to using $\Phi$ on the right-hand-side. Combining (2.11) and (2.13) gives the desired conclusion:
\[
\mu(TO) \prec \Phi_\alpha(\text{cap}(O; h^\alpha_{1/p})), \ \Phi_\alpha(s) = \Phi(s^{\frac{1}{n-\alpha/p}}).
\]
2.2 Homogeneous Sobolev capacities

The homogeneous Sobolev spaces, $w^m_p$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $m$—positive integer, are defined as the closure of $C_0^\infty$—functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with respect to the norm

$$
\|f\|_{w^m_p} = \sum_{|\kappa|=m} \|D^{\kappa} f\|_{L^p}.
$$

As in the previous subsection we can define the homogeneous Sobolev capacities

$$
cap(O; w^m_p) = \inf \{\|f\|_{w^m_p} : f \in \mathcal{S}, f \geq 0, f(x) \geq 1 \text{ if } x \in O\},
$$

and the classes of measures $\text{Cap}^\Phi(w^m_p)$,

$$
\mu \in \text{Cap}^\Phi(w^m_p) \iff \mu(\text{TO}) \prec \Phi(\text{cap}(O; w^m_p)).
$$

Then Theorem 2.1 implies the following

**Corollary 2.2** Let $\Phi$ satisfy the conditions (1.3) and (1.4). If $1 < p < n/m$, or $p = 1$ and $m < n$ is even, then

$$
\text{Cap}^\Phi(w^m_p) = C^\Phi, \quad \Phi_m(s) = \Phi(s^{\frac{1}{m-mp}}).
$$

Indeed, using the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}$, defined on functions $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$
\mathcal{F} f(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} \, dx,
$$

one can write the Riesz potential of a function $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as

$$
R^a g = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(|\xi|^{-a} \mathcal{F} g),
$$

while the partial derivatives of $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are given by

$$
\partial_j f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(i\xi_j \mathcal{F} f).
$$

If $m$ is an even integer, and $f = R^m g$, we have

$$
g = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(|\xi|^m \mathcal{F} f) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}((\sum |\xi_j|^2)^{m/2} \mathcal{F} f),
$$

which leads to the inclusion $w^m_p \subset h^m_p$, $p \geq 1$.

This containment and the opposite one for any integer $m$ and $1 < p < \infty$, follows from the fact that for this range of $p$ the Riesz transforms $\mathcal{R}_j$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, defined (for $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$) by

$$
\mathcal{R}_j g = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{i\xi_j}{|\xi|} \mathcal{F} g\right),
$$

extend to bounded operators from $L^p$ to $L^p$. If $f = R^m g$, $m$ an odd integer, then

$$
g = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(|\xi|^m (m-1)^2 \mathcal{F} f) = -i\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\sum \frac{i\xi_j}{|\xi|} \xi_j (\sum |\xi_j|^2)^{(m-1)/2} \mathcal{F} f\right),
$$
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which gives again $w_p^m \subset h_p^m$ for $1 < p < \infty$.

For the converse, note that for any integer $m$, if $f = R^m g$ with $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\kappa$ is a multi-index with $|\kappa| = m$, we get

$$D^\kappa f = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left( \frac{(i\xi)^\kappa}{|\xi|^m} \mathcal{F} g \right) = (\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{R}_n)^\kappa g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad 1 < p < \infty.$$  

Thus if $1 < p < n/m$, then $w_p^m = h_p^m$ and (2.14) follows from Theorem 2.1.

If $p = 1$ and $m$ is even then $w_p^1 \subset h_p^1$, hence

$$C^\Phi = Cap^{\Phi_m}(h_1^m) \subset Cap^{\Phi_m}(w_1^m).$$

Finally, since $\text{cap}(B_r; w_1^m) = cr^{n-m}$, we have

$$Cap^{\Phi_m}(w_1^m) \subset C^\Phi.$$

### 2.3 Homogeneous Besov capacities

We can define the homogeneous Besov spaces, $b_{p,q}^\alpha$, $\alpha > 0$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$, by interpolation:

$$b_{p,q}^\alpha = (L^p, w_p^m)_{\alpha/m, q}, \quad 0 < \alpha < m,$$

(2.15)

where $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\sigma,q}$ stands for the real interpolation method (see, for example, [6]).

For any open set $O \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, the homogeneous Besov capacity of $O$ is defined by

$$\text{cap}(O; b_{p,q}^\alpha) = \text{inf} \{ \| f \|_{b_{p,q}^\alpha} : f \in \mathcal{S}, \ f \geq 0, \ f(x) \geq 1 \text{ if } x \in O \},$$

and the classes of measures $C^\Phi(b_{p,q}^\alpha)$ by

$$\mu \in C^\Phi(b_{p,q}^\alpha) \iff \mu(TO) < \Phi(\text{cap}(O; b_{p,q}^\alpha)), \text{ uniformly for all open sets } O \subset \mathbb{R}^n.$$

**Theorem 2.3** Let the function $\Phi$ satisfy conditions (1.3) and (1.4). Then

$$Cap^{\Phi}(b_{p,q}^\alpha) = C^\Phi \text{ if } 1 < p < n/\alpha, \ 0 < q \leq \infty, \ \Phi_\alpha(s) = \Phi(s^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}).$$

(2.16)

**Proof.** Since

$$\text{cap}(B_r; b_{p,q}^\alpha) = cr^{n-\alpha p}, \quad 1 \leq p < n/\alpha,$$

where $c = \text{cap}(B_1; b_{p,q}^\alpha)$, we have the embedding

$$Cap^{\Phi}(b_{p,q}^\alpha) \subset C^\Phi \text{ if } 1 \leq p < n/\alpha \text{ and } \Phi_\alpha(s) = \Phi(s^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}),$$

for any $\Phi > 0$.

To see the inverse, we use the weak-type estimate (2.10) for $\mu \in C^\Phi$ and real interpolation for fixed $p > 1$ and $\Phi$. Since ([6], Theorem 6.3.1),

$$(h_p^\alpha, h_p^{\alpha_2})_{\theta,q} = b_{p,q}^\alpha, \quad 1 < p < \infty, \ 0 < q \leq \infty, \ \alpha = (1-\theta)\alpha_1 + \theta\alpha_2, \ 0 < \theta < 1,$$
we derive from (2.10),
\[ \lambda \left[ \Phi^{-1}(h(\lambda)) \right]^{n/p - \alpha} \prec \| f \|_{b_{p,q}^\alpha}. \] (2.17)

Note that if we had to use the equivalent strictly increasing function \( \tilde{\Phi} \) instead of \( \Phi \) in (2.10), we would now have \( \tilde{\Phi}^{-1} \) in (2.17). As at the end of the proof of Theorem 2.4, we conclude that (2.17) implies \( \mu \in \text{Cap}^\Phi(b_{p,q}^\alpha) \).

Theorem 2.4 (Case \( b_{1,q}^\alpha \)) Let the function \( \Phi \) satisfy conditions (1.3) and
\[ \int_0^s \left( \frac{\Phi(u)}{u^n} \right)^{1/r} \frac{du}{u} \prec \left( \frac{\Phi(s)}{s^n} \right)^{1/r}, \quad 1/r = 1 - \alpha/n, \quad 0 < \alpha < n. \] (2.18)

Then
\[ \text{Cap}^\Phi_{b_{1,q}^\alpha} = C^\Phi_{b_{1,q}^\alpha}, \quad \Phi_{b_{1,q}^\alpha}(s) = \Phi(s^{\frac{1}{n-p}}). \] (2.19)

Note that (2.18) implies (1.4) if \( p = 1 \).

Proof. If \( \mu \in C^\Phi, f \in L^r, \) and \( \Phi \) satisfies (2.18), then the same proof as that of Theorem 2.1 (formally taking \( \alpha = 0 \)), and again replacing \( \Phi \) by an equivalent strictly increasing function if necessary, shows that
\[ \lambda \left( \Phi^{-1}(h(\lambda)) \right)^{n/r} \prec \| f \|_{L^r}, \quad 1 \leq r < \infty. \]

We can interpolate this inequality, hence
\[ \lambda \left( \Phi^{-1}(h(\lambda)) \right)^{n/r} \prec \| f \|_{L^{r,q}}, \quad 1 < r < \infty, 0 < q \leq \infty, \]
where \( L^{r,q} \) is the Lorentz space (see [3]).

Since we have the embedding
\[ b_{1,q}^\alpha \subset L^{r,q}, \quad 1/r = 1 - \alpha/n, \quad 0 < \alpha < n, \]
we get
\[ \lambda \left( \Phi^{-1}(h(\lambda)) \right)^{n/r} \prec \| f \|_{b_{1,q}^\alpha}, \] (2.20)
i.e. the estimate (2.17) for \( p = 1 \). As before, we conclude from (2.20) that \( \mu \in \text{Cap}^\Phi_{b_{1,q}^\alpha} \).

Remark 2.5 The same proofs as those of Theorems 2.1 and 2.3 show the following embeddings for a larger classes of functions \( \Phi \). Namely, let \( \Phi \) satisfy (1.3) and let
\[ F(s) := s \left( \int_0^s \left( \frac{\Phi_{b_{1,q}^\alpha}(u)}{u^n} \right)^{1/r} \frac{du}{u} \right)^p, \quad 1 \leq p < n/\alpha, \quad \Phi_{b_{1,q}^\alpha}(s) = \Phi(s^{\frac{1}{n-p}}). \] (2.21)

Then
\[ C^\Phi \subset \text{Cap}^F(b_{p,q}^\alpha), \quad 1 \leq p < n/\alpha \] (2.22)
and
\[ C^\Phi \subset \text{Cap}^F_{b_{p,q}^\alpha}, \quad 1 < p < n/\alpha. \] (2.23)

For example, if \( \Phi(u) = u^{n-\alpha_p}(1 + |\log u|)^\gamma, \quad \gamma < -p, \) then \( F(s) \approx s(1 + |\log s|)^{\gamma+p}. \)
3 Measures generated by inhomogeneous capacities

3.1 Bessel capacities

We first recall the definition of the Bessel potential spaces, $H^\alpha_p$, $0 < \alpha < n$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ (see [2], [12]). We say that $f \in H^\alpha_p$ iff $f = G_\alpha \ast g$, $g \in L^p$, and the norm is given by $\|f\|_{H^\alpha_p} = \|g\|_{L^p}$, where $G_\alpha$ is the Bessel kernel

$$G_\alpha(x) = |x|^{(\alpha-n)/2}K_{n-\alpha/2}(|x|),$$

and $K$ is the modified Bessel function of third kind:

$$K_{n-\alpha/2}(|x|) = c|x|^{-1/2}e^{-|x|}\left(1 + \frac{u}{2|y|}\right)^{-\frac{n-\alpha}{2}} du.$$

In particular, we have the global estimate

$$G_\alpha(x) \prec e^{-|x|}\left(1 + |x|^{\alpha-n}\right). \quad (3.1)$$

For any open set $O \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we define its Bessel capacity by

$$\text{cap}(O; H^\alpha_p) = \inf \{\|f\|_{H^\alpha_p} : f \in S, f \geq 0, f(x) \geq 1 \text{ if } x \in O\}.$$

For example (see [2]),

$$\text{cap}(B_r; H^\alpha_p) \approx r^{n-\alpha p} \text{ if } 0 < r < 1, 0 < \alpha < n/p, 1 < p < \infty \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$\text{cap}(B_r; H^\alpha_p) \approx r^n \text{ if } r > 1, 0 < \alpha < n/p, 1 < p < \infty. \quad (3.3)$$

The classes of measures $\text{Cap}^\Phi(H^\alpha_p)$ are defined as follows:

$$\mu \in \text{Cap}^\Phi(H^\alpha_p) \text{ iff } \mu(TO) \prec \Phi(\text{cap}(O; H^\alpha_p)),$$

uniformly for all open sets $O \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

Our main result in the nonhomogeneous context is the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1** Let $\Phi$ be a function from $[0, \infty)$ onto itself which is equivalent to a strictly increasing function and satisfies condition (1.3), as well as

$$\int_0^s \left(\frac{\Phi(u)}{u}\right)^{1/p} du \prec \left(\frac{\Phi(s)}{s}\right)^{1/p} \quad (3.4)$$

for every $s > 0$. Set

$$\Phi^\alpha(s) = \begin{cases} \Psi(s^{n-\alpha p}) & \text{if } 0 < s < 1, \\ \Psi(s) & \text{if } s \geq 1. \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

Then

$$\text{Cap}^\Phi(H^\alpha_p) = C^{\Phi^\alpha}, 1 < p < n/\alpha. \quad (3.6)$$
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Proof. Using (3.2), (3.3), we obtain the embedding
\[ Cap^\Psi(H_p^\alpha) \subset C^\Psi, \quad 1 \leq p < n/\alpha. \] (3.7)

Conversely, assume \( \mu \in C^\Psi \). As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we can write
\[ \lambda h(\lambda) \prec \int_{E_\lambda} |f \ast \phi_t(x)|d\mu \prec \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(z)|G_\alpha \ast \phi_t(x-z)d\mu \lambda dz. \] (3.8)

We need the estimate
\[ G_\alpha \ast \phi_t(x) \prec \min\{(t + |x|)^{\alpha-n}, (t + |x|)^{-n}\}, \] (3.9)
if \( \phi \) is a non-negative smooth function with compact support in the unit ball, and \( d_\phi := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x)dx > 0 \).

The first estimate is a consequence of \( G_\alpha(x) \prec |x|^{\alpha-n} \) and (2.4). To prove the second estimate, we use the properties \( G_\alpha \in L^1 \) and \( \Phi_t \prec t^{-n} \). This gives \( G_\alpha \ast \phi_t(x) \prec t^{-n} \), or
\[ G_\alpha \ast \phi_t(x) \prec (t + |x|)^{-n} \text{ if } |x| < 2t. \] (3.10)

If \( |x| > 2t \) and \( y \in \text{support of } \phi_t \) (hence \( |y| \leq t \)), then \(|x| + t < |x|/2 \leq |x-y|\).

Therefore (3.1) implies
\[ G_\alpha \ast \phi_t(x) \prec C_N \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x-y|^{-N} (1 + |x-y|^{\alpha-n}) \phi_t(y)dy, \]
or
\[ G_\alpha \ast \phi_t(x) \prec (t + |x|)^{-n} \text{ if } |x| > 2t. \] (3.11)

In estimating \( h(\lambda) \), we consider two cases. We assume that \( \Psi \) is strictly increasing, otherwise we replace it by a strictly increasing function \( \tilde{\Psi} \) with \( \Psi \approx \tilde{\Psi} \).

Case 1: \( h(\lambda) < \Psi(1) \). In this case we apply the first estimate in (3.9). Thus we get (3.5) so we can argue as in the proof of Theorem 2.1. We take \( s < 1 \) so that in estimate (2.7) for \( T_1(s) \) we can use the fact that \( \mu_\lambda(TB(x,r)) \leq \Psi(r^{n-op}) \). With the change of variable \( r^{n-op} \mapsto r \) (note \( \alpha < n/p \)), and using (3.4), we get
\[ \lambda h(\lambda) \prec \|f\|_{H_p^\alpha} \{s^{-1/p}[\Psi(s)]^{1/p}h(\lambda)\}^{1-1/p} + s^{-1/p}h(\lambda) \}. \] (3.12)

Since \( h(\lambda) < \Psi(1) \), and we are assuming \( \Psi \) is strictly increasing, we can choose \( s < 1 \) such that \( \Psi(s) = h(\lambda) \). In this case we get
\[ \lambda \left[ \Psi^{-1}(h(\lambda)) \right]^{1/p} \prec \|f\|_{H_p^\alpha}. \] (3.13)

Case 2: \( h(\lambda) \geq \Psi(1) \). Here we can assume \( s > 1 \). We start with (3.8) and write
\[ \lambda h(\lambda) \prec I + II + III, \] (3.14)
where

\[ I = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int \{ (x,t) : |x - z| + t < 1/2 \} |g(z)| G_\alpha * \phi_t(x-z) d\mu \lambda dz, \]

\[ II = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int \{ (x,t) : 1/2 < |x - z| + t < s/2 \} |g(z)| G_\alpha * \phi_t(x-z) d\mu \lambda dz, \]

\[ III = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int \{ (x,t) : |x - z| + t > s/2 \} |g(z)| G_\alpha * \phi_t(x-z) d\mu \lambda dz, \] 1 < s.

The first integral can be estimated in the same way as \( T_1(s_0) \) for some constant \( s_0 \), so that (2.7) simplifies to

\[ I \prec \| f \|_{H^p} [h(\lambda)]^{1-1/p}. \] (3.15)

To estimate \( II \), we use the second bound in (3.9), whence

\[ II \prec \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int \{ (x,t) : \rho(x,z,t) < s/2 \} |g(z)| [\rho(x,z,t)]^{-n} d\mu \lambda dz, \]

where \( \rho(x,t) := |x| + t. \) Since

\[ \rho^{-n} \prec \int_0^s r^{-n-1} dr \text{ if } \rho < s/2, \]

now we have, instead of (2.6)

\[ II \prec \int_{1/2}^s r^{-n-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mu \lambda(TB(z,r)) dz dr. \]

Arguing as before and using \( \mu(TB(z,r)) \prec \Psi(r) \prec \Psi(r^n) \) if \( r \geq 1/2 \) (by definition (3.5) and property (1.3) for \( \Psi \)), we get

\[ II \prec \| f \|_{H^p} \int_0^s r^{-n/p-1} \Psi(r^n)^{1/p} dr [h(\lambda)]^{1-1/p}. \]

Again changing variables \( r^n \mapsto r \), and using (3.4), we obtain

\[ II \prec \| f \|_{H^p} s^{-1/p} \Psi(s)^{1/p} [h(\lambda)]^{1-1/p}. \] (3.16)

Analogously,

\[ III \prec \int_{s/2}^{\infty} r^{-n-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mu \lambda(TB(z,r)) dz dr, \]

and using \( \mu \lambda(TB(z,r)) \leq h(\lambda) \) we get, as before (also changing variables \( r^n \mapsto r \)),

\[ III \prec \| f \|_{H^p} s^{-1/p} h(\lambda). \] (3.17)

Unifying (3.14), (3.15), (3.16) and (3.17), we get (3.12) for \( s \geq 1 \) as well. Since now \( h(\lambda) > \Psi(1) \), and again assuming we’ve replaced \( \Psi \), if necessary, by an
equivalent strictly increasing function, we can choose \( s \geq 1 \) to solve the equation 
\( \Psi(s) = h(\lambda) \), and therefore (3.13) holds in this case as well.

In order to prove that (3.13) implies \( \mu \in \text{Cap}_\Psi(H_\alpha^m) \), we start with (2.11). Analogously to (2.12), we derive from (3.13) that
\[
\Psi^{-1}(h(d_\phi)) \prec \text{cap}(O; H_\alpha^m).
\]
Together with (2.11) this means that \( \mu \in \text{Cap}_\Psi(H_\alpha^m) \).

3.2 Inhomogeneous Sobolev capacities

If \( \alpha = m \) is integer and \( 1 < p < \infty \), then \( H_p^m = W_p^m \) - the Sobolev space with norm
\[
\|f\|_{W_p^m} = \sum_{|\kappa| \leq m} \|D^\kappa f\|_{L^p}.
\]
As in the homogeneous case, this can be seen via the Fourier transform, since the Bessel potential \( f = G_m * g \) can be written as
\[
f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}((1 + |\xi|^2)^{-m/2} \mathcal{F}g),
\]
and the operators defined by the Fourier multipliers \( \frac{\xi^\kappa}{(1 + |\xi|^2)^{m/2}} \), \( |\kappa| \leq m \), are bounded on \( L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \) for \( 1 < p < \infty \) (see also [14], Ch. V, Theorem 3.3). When \( p = 1 \) and \( m \) is even, (3.18) shows
\[
W_1^m \subset H_1^m,
\]
but this inclusion fails for \( m \) odd, and equality does not hold (see [14], Ch. V, Section 6.6).

By definition, for any open set \( O \subset \mathbb{R}^n \),
\[
\text{cap}(O; W_p^m) = \inf\{\|f\|_{W_p^m}^p : f \in S, \ f \geq 0, \ f(x) \geq 1 \text{ if } x \in O\},
\]
and
\[
\mu \in \text{Cap}_\Phi(W_p^m) \iff \mu(\text{TO}) \prec \Phi(\text{cap}(O; W_p^m)),
\]
uniformly for all open sets \( O \subset \mathbb{R}^n \).

Theorem 3.1 implies the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.2** Let \( m \) be an integer less than \( n \). With \( \Psi \) and \( \Psi^m \) as in Theorem 3.1, we have
\[
\text{Cap}_\Psi(W_p^m) = C^{\Psi^m}
\]
for \( 1 < p < n/m \) or \( p = 1 \) and \( m \) even.

**Proof.** If \( 1 < p < n/m \) then \( W_p^m = H_p^m \) and (3.20) follows from Theorem 3.1. If \( p = 1 \) and \( m \) is even then the inclusion (3.19) implies
\[
C^{\Psi^m} = \text{Cap}_\Psi(H_1^m) \subset \text{Cap}_\Psi(W_1^m).
\]

(3.21)
On the other hand, for \( m < n \) we have
\[
cap(B_r; W^m_1) \prec r^{n-m} \quad \text{if} \quad 0 < r < 1
\]
and
\[
cap(B_r; W^m_1) \prec r^n \quad \text{if} \quad r \geq 1.
\]
Therefore,
\[
Cap^\Psi(W^m_1) \subset C^\Psi_m, \quad 1 \leq m < n. \quad (3.22)
\]
Combining (3.21) and (3.22) gives (3.20).

3.3 Inhomogeneous Besov capacities

We can define the inhomogeneous Besov spaces, \( B^\alpha_{p,q} \), \( \alpha > 0 \), \( 1 \leq p < \infty \), \( 0 < q \leq \infty \), by interpolation:
\[
B^\alpha_{p,q} := (L^p, W^m_p)_{\alpha/m,q}, \quad 0 < \alpha < m. \quad (3.23)
\]
We need the following formula (see [6])
\[
B^\alpha_{p,q} = (H^{\alpha_1}_p, H^{\alpha_2}_p)_{\theta,q}, \quad \alpha = (1-\theta)\alpha_1 + \theta\alpha_2, \quad 1 < p < \infty, \quad 0 < q \leq \infty. \quad (3.24)
\]
For any open set \( O \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) the inhomogeneous Besov capacity of \( O \) is defined by
\[
cap(O; B^\alpha_{p,q}) = \inf \{ \|f\|^p_{B^\alpha_{p,q}} : f \in S, \ f \geq 0, \ f(x) \geq 1 \text{ if } x \in O \}
\]
and the classes of measures \( C^\Phi(B^\alpha_{p,q}) \) as follows:
\[
\mu \in C^\Phi(B^\alpha_{p,q}) \iff \mu(TO) \prec p \Omega(cap(O; B^\alpha_{p,q})),
\]
uniformly for all open sets \( O \subset \mathbb{R}^n \).

**Theorem 3.3** Let \( \Omega \) be a function from \([0, \infty)\) onto itself, which is equivalent to a strictly increasing function, and satisfies condition (1.3). For \( 0 < \alpha < n/p \) and \( 1 < p < \infty \), assume \( \Omega \) satisfies condition (1.4) whenever \( 0 < s < 1 \), while when \( s \geq 1 \) it satisfies
\[
\int_1^s \left( \frac{\Omega(u)}{u^n} \right)^{1/p} \frac{du}{u} \prec \left( \frac{\Omega(s)}{s^n} \right)^{1/p} \quad \text{and} \quad s^n \prec \Omega(s). \quad (3.25)
\]
Then for \( 0 < q \leq \infty \),
\[
Cap^\Omega_{\alpha}(B^\alpha_{p,q}) = C^\Omega, \quad (3.26)
\]
where
\[
\Omega_{\alpha}(s) = \begin{cases} 
\Omega(s^{\frac{1}{n-p}}) & \text{if } 0 < s < 1, \\
\Omega(s^{\frac{1}{p}}) & \text{if } s \geq 1.
\end{cases} \quad (3.27)
\]

For example, with $\beta_0 > 1 - \alpha p/n$ and $\beta_1 > 1$, we can take

$$\Omega(s) = \begin{cases} s^{n\beta_0}(1 + |\log s|^\gamma) & \text{if } 0 < s < 1, \\ s^{n\beta_1}(1 + |\log s|^\gamma) & \text{if } s \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

(3.28)

**Proof.** Let $\Psi(s) = \Omega_{\alpha}(s)$. Then $\Psi_{\alpha}(s) = \Omega(s)$, where $\Psi_{\alpha}$ is defined by (3.5). Moreover, $\Psi$ satisfies (3.4) and (1.3), and if $\Omega \approx \tilde{\Omega}$ for some strictly increasing function $\tilde{\Omega}$, then $\Psi$ is equivalent to the strictly increasing function $\tilde{\Omega}_{\alpha}$. Therefore, with $\mu \in C_{\Omega}$, we have the estimate (3.13). This estimate can be interpolated for fixed $\Omega$ and $p$. Using (3.24), we derive from (3.13)

$$\lambda \left( \Psi^{-1}(h(\lambda)) \right)^{1/p} < \|f\|_{B_{p,q}^\alpha}.$$  

(3.29)

From (3.29), it follows as before that $\mu \in \text{Cap}_x(B_{p,q}^\alpha)$, i.e.

$$C_{\Omega} \subset \text{Cap}_{\Omega_{\alpha}}(B_{p,q}^\alpha).$$

To see the inverse inclusion, we notice that for $0 < \alpha < n/p$, $\text{cap}(B_r;B_{p,q}^\alpha) \prec r^{n-\alpha p}$ if $0 < r < 1$ and $\text{cap}(B_r;B_{p,q}^\alpha) \prec r^n$ if $r > 1$. Hence

$$\text{Cap}_{\Omega_{\alpha}}(B_{p,q}^\alpha) \subset C_{\Omega}.$$  

4 Relation with Hausdorff capacities

For any open set $O \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and any positive increasing function $w$ on $(0, \infty)$, define the ($w$-)Hausdorff capacity of $O$ by

$$\Lambda_w^\infty(O) = \inf \sum w(r_j),$$

where the infimum is taken over all coverings of $O$ by countable unions of balls of radii $r_j$, $O \subset \cup B_{r_j}$. In particular,

$$\Lambda_w^\infty(B_r) \leq w(r).$$  

(4.1)

If $w(r) = r^d$, $d > 0$, this is the $d$-dimensional Hausdorff capacity (or Hausdorff content) as defined by Adams (see [1]), and we write $\Lambda_w^\infty$ instead of $\Lambda_w^\infty$. Since the set function $O \mapsto \text{cap}(O;h_{p}^\alpha)$ is countably subadditive (see [2], p. 26), we see that

$$\text{cap}(O;h_{p}^\alpha) \prec \Lambda_{n-\alpha p}^\infty(O), \ 1 < p < n/\alpha.$$  

(4.2)

The inverse inequality can not be true uniformly for all open sets $O$ (see [2], p. 148). Using the Hausdorff capacities, we can define classes of positive measures $\text{Cap}_{\Phi}(\Lambda_w^\infty)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ as follows:

$$\mu \in \text{Cap}_{\Phi}(\Lambda_w^\infty) \text{ iff } \mu(TO) \prec \Phi(\Lambda_w^\infty(O)),$$

uniformly for all open sets $O \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.  
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Theorem 4.1 Let the function $\Phi$ be equivalent to a strictly increasing function and satisfy conditions (1.3) and (1.4). Then
\begin{equation}
\text{Cap}^{\Phi} (\Lambda_{n-\alpha}^\infty) = \text{Cap}^{\Phi} (h_p^\alpha) = C^\Phi \text{ if } \Phi_\alpha(s) = \Phi \left( s^{\frac{1}{n-\alpha}} \right).
\end{equation}

Proof. From (4.2) we derive the embedding
\begin{equation}
\text{Cap}^{\Phi} (h_p^\alpha) \subset \text{Cap}^{\Phi} (\Lambda_{n-\alpha}^\infty),
\end{equation}
and using (4.1) we see that
\begin{equation}
\text{Cap}^{\Phi} (\Lambda_{n-\alpha}^\infty) \subset C^\Phi,
\end{equation}
It remains to apply Theorem 2.1.

Note that the equality $\text{Cap}^{\Phi} (\Lambda_{n-\alpha}^\infty) = C^\Phi$ for $\Phi(r) = r^{n-\alpha p}$ is just Lemma 1.1 with $\beta = 1 - \alpha p/n$.

Analogously, for Bessel capacities we have
\begin{equation}
\text{cap} (\Omega; H_p^\alpha) \leq \Lambda_{w_\alpha}^\infty (\Omega), 1 < p < n/\alpha,
\end{equation}
where $w_\alpha(r) = r^{n-\alpha p}$ if $0 < r < 1$, and $w_\alpha(r) = r$ if $r > 1$.

Theorem 4.2 Let the function $\Psi$ satisfy conditions (1.3) and (3.25). Then
\begin{equation}
\text{Cap}^{\Psi} (\Lambda_{n-\alpha}^\infty) = \text{Cap}^{\Psi} (H_p^\alpha) = C^{\Psi\alpha} \text{ if } \Psi_\alpha = \Psi \circ w_\alpha.
\end{equation}

Proof. From (4.4) it follows that
\begin{equation}
\text{Cap}^{\Psi} (H_p^\alpha) \subset \text{Cap}^{\Psi} (\Lambda_{w_\alpha}^\infty),
\end{equation}
and using (4.1) we derive
\begin{equation}
\text{Cap}^{\Psi} (\Lambda_{w_\alpha}^\infty) \subset C^{\Psi\alpha}.
\end{equation}
It remains to apply Theorem 3.1.

5 Related convolution operators

Here we prove strong type estimates for the convolution operators (1.8). Let $\phi$ be positive function on $\mathbb{R}^n$, satisfying the following condition (see [15], Chapter II, Section 2.4):
\begin{equation}
\phi \text{ has a non-increasing radial majorant that is integrable and bounded. (5.1)}
\end{equation}

For any positive strictly increasing function $\Phi$ on $(0, \infty)$ and any positive measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$, let $\Lambda_{\mu}^p (\Phi^{-1})$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, denote the Lorentz space on $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$, consisting of all measurable functions $F(x, t)$ such that
\begin{equation}
||F||_{\Lambda_{\mu}^p (\Phi^{-1})} = \left( \int_0^\infty \Phi^{-1} (h(\lambda)) d\lambda^p \right)^{1/p} < \infty,
\end{equation}
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where \( h(\lambda) := \mu \{ (x,t) : |F(x,t)| > \lambda \} \). If \( \Phi \) is not strictly increasing but only equivalent to a strictly increasing function \( \tilde{\Phi} \), we replace \( \Phi^{-1} \) by \( \tilde{\Phi}^{-1} \) in the above definition (where the size of the norm may depend on the choice \( \tilde{\Phi} \)), but for the sake of simplicity we keep the same notation.

**Theorem 5.1** Let \( \phi \) satisfy (5.1) and let \( \Phi \) be as above and satisfy (1.3). If \( \mu \in \text{Cap}^{\Phi}(h_{\alpha}^n) \), then

\[
\| f \ast \phi_t \|_{\Lambda_{\alpha}^{\Phi}(\Phi^{-1})} \ll \| f \|_{h_{\alpha}^n}, \quad 1 < p < n/\alpha.
\]

Conversely, the estimate (5.2) implies \( \mu \in \text{Cap}^{\Phi}(h_{\alpha}^n) \).

**Proof.** We start with (2.3) and use the relation (see [15])

\[
h(\lambda) \ll \mu(T\{x : Mf(x) > c\lambda\}), \quad (5.3)
\]

where \( M \) is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function and \( c \) is a positive constant depending on \( \phi \).

If \( \mu \in \text{Cap}^{\Phi}(h_{\alpha}^n) \), then (5.3) implies

\[
\Phi^{-1}(h(\lambda)) \ll \text{cap}(\{x : Mf(x) > c\lambda\}; h_{\alpha}^n).
\]

Hence

\[
\int_0^\infty \Phi^{-1}(h(\lambda))d\lambda^{p} \ll \int_0^\infty \text{cap}(\{x : Mf(x) > c\lambda\}; h_{\alpha}^n)d\lambda^{p}.
\]

(5.5)

It remains to apply Dahlberg’s estimate (see [12]).

As a consequence of Theorems 2.1 and 5.1 we get (see also [11], where the case \( \Phi(s) = s^{\beta}, \beta > 1 - \alpha n/p \) is covered)

**Corollary 5.2** Let \( \phi \) satisfy (5.1) and let \( \Phi \) satisfy (1.3) and (1.4). If \( \mu \in \text{Cap}^{\Phi_{\alpha}} \), \( \Phi_{\alpha}(s) = \Phi(s^{\alpha-\alpha p}) \), \( 1 < p < n/\alpha \), then

\[
\| f \ast \phi_t \|_{\Lambda_{\alpha}^{\Phi_{\alpha}}(\Phi^{-1})} \ll \| f \|_{h_{\alpha}^n}. \quad (5.6)
\]

**Theorem 5.3** Let \( \phi \) satisfy (5.1) and let \( \Phi \) satisfy (1.3). If \( \mu \in \text{Cap}^{\Phi}(b_{\alpha}^{p,q}) \), then

\[
\| f \ast \phi_t \|_{\Lambda_{\alpha}^{\Phi}(\Phi^{-1})} < \| f \|_{b_{\alpha}^{p,q}}, \quad 1 \leq p < n/\alpha, \quad 1 < q \leq \infty,
\]

where

\[
a = \max\{p, q\}, \quad b = \max\{1, q/p\}.
\]

Conversely, the estimate (5.7) implies \( \mu \in \text{Cap}^{\Phi}(b_{\alpha}^{p,q}) \).

**Proof.** For \( \mu \in \text{Cap}^{\Phi}(b_{\alpha}^{p,q}) \) we have, analogously to (5.8),

\[
\int_0^\infty |\Phi^{-1}(h(\lambda))|^{b}d\lambda^{a} \ll \int_0^\infty |\text{cap}(\{x : Mf(x) > c\lambda\}; b_{\alpha}^{p,q})|^{b}d\lambda^{a}.
\]

Applying Corollary 1 of [3] and Lemma 4.1 of [17], we get (5.7).
Corollary 5.4 Let \( \phi \) satisfy (5.1) and let \( \Phi \) satisfy (1.3) and (1.4). If \( \mu \in C_{\Phi} \), then

\[
\| f * \phi_t \|_{\Lambda_\mu^q((\Phi^{-1})^\gamma)} < \| f \|_{b_{p,q}^\alpha},
\]

(5.9)

where \( a, b \) are defined by (5.8).

Using Theorems 5.1 and 5.3, and Remark 2.5, we have the following estimates for a larger classes of functions \( \Phi \).

Corollary 5.5 Let \( \phi \) satisfy (5.1) and let \( \Phi \) satisfy (1.3). If \( \mu \in C_{\Phi} \), then

\[
\| f * \phi_t \|_{\Lambda_\mu^q((\Phi^{-1})^\gamma)} \approx \| f \|_{b_{p,q}^\alpha},
\]

(5.10)

and

\[
\| f * \phi_t \|_{\Lambda_\mu^q((\Phi^{-1})^\gamma)} \approx \| f \|_{b_{p,q}^\alpha},
\]

(5.11)

where \( a, b \) are defined by (5.8) and \( F \) is given by (2.21).

The results of Theorem 5.3 and Corollary 5.4 for \( q < p \) are not sharp in the sense that the range space can be taken smaller in general. To see this, we are going to use a slightly different approach.

We need classes of measures \( V_{\Phi} \), generated by the Lebesgue measure in \( \mathbb{R}^n \), as follows:

\[
\mu \in V_{\Phi} \text{ iff } \mu(\{|x|: Mf(x) > c\lambda\}) \approx \Phi(|\{x\}: |\{x\}|^{1/n})
\]

uniformly for all open sets \( O \subset \mathbb{R}^n \), where \(|O|\) is the Lebesgue measure of \( O \).

Using the embedding

\[
b_{p,q}^\alpha \subset L^{r,q}, \quad 1/r = 1/p - \alpha/n, \quad 0 < \alpha < n/p, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty, \quad 0 < q \leq \infty
\]

(5.12)

where \( L^{r,q} \) is the Lorentz space (see [3]), we see that

\[
|O|^{1-\alpha p/n} \approx \text{Cap}(O; b_{p,q}^\alpha).
\]

In particular, if \( \Phi \) satisfies (1.3), then

\[
V_{\Phi} \subset C_{\Phi}((b_{p,q}^\alpha)) \subset C_{\Phi}, \quad \Phi(s) = \Phi(s^{n-\alpha p}).
\]

(5.13)

Theorem 5.6 Let \( \phi \) satisfy (5.1) and let \( \Phi \) satisfy (1.3). If \( \mu \in V_{\Phi} \), then

\[
\| f * \phi_t \|_{\Lambda_\mu^q((\Phi^{-1})^\gamma)} < \| f \|_{L^{r,q}}, \quad 1 < r < \infty, \quad 0 < q \leq \infty,
\]

(5.14)

Conversely, the estimate (5.14) implies \( \mu \in V_{\Phi} \).

Proof. Starting with (5.8), we get

\[
h(\lambda) \approx \Phi(|\{x: Mf(x) > c\lambda\}|^{1/n}).
\]

Hence

\[
\| f * \phi_t \|_{\Lambda_\mu^q((\Phi^{-1})^\gamma)} \approx \| Mf \|_{L^{r,q}} < \| f \|_{L^{r,q}}.
\]

Choosing \( f \) to be the characteristic function of the set \( O \), we derive as before that the estimate (5.14) implies \( \mu \in V_{\Phi} \). (see also [15], Chapter II, Section 5.9, where the case \( \Phi(s) = s^{n\beta}, \beta > 0 \) is considered.)

As a consequence we get
Corollary 5.7 Let \( \phi \) satisfy (5.7) and let \( \Phi \) satisfy (1.3) and let \( \mu \in V^\Phi \). Then
\[
\| f * \phi_t \|_{\Lambda_q((\Phi^{-1})^{n/p})} \prec \| f \|_{b_\alpha p,q}, \quad 1 \leq p < n/\alpha, \quad 0 < q \leq \infty.
\] (5.15)

Corollary 5.8 Let \( \phi \) satisfy (5.7) and let \( \Phi \) satisfy (1.3), (1.4) and
\[
\sum \Phi(t_j^{1/n}) \prec \Phi\left(\sum t_j^{1/n}\right), \quad t_j > 0.
\] (5.16)

If \( \mu \in C^{\Phi_\alpha}, \quad \Phi_\alpha(s) = \Phi(s^{n-\alpha p}), \quad 1 < p < n/\alpha \), then
\[
\| f * \phi_t \|_{\Lambda_q((\Phi^{-1})^{n/p})} \prec \| f \|_{b_\alpha p,q}.
\] (5.17)

Before proving this result, note that the function \( \Phi(s) = s^{n\beta} \log^\gamma(1 + s) \), \( \beta \geq 1, \quad \gamma \geq 0 \), satisfies the conditions (1.3), (1.4), (5.16). Moreover, if \( \beta > 1 \), then we can take \( \gamma \) to be any real number. Indeed, let us check (5.16) for \( \beta > 1 \). Choose \( \epsilon > 0 \) such that \( \beta - \epsilon/n > 1 \), and notice that the function \( s^{\epsilon/n} \log^\gamma(1 + s^{1/n}) \) is equivalent to an increasing function, therefore
\[
t_{j}^{\epsilon/n} \log^\gamma(1 + t_{j}^{1/n}) \prec \left(\sum t_{j}^{\epsilon/n}\right) \log^\gamma(1 + \left(\sum t_{j}^{1/n}\right))
\]
and then
\[
\sum t_{j}^{\beta - \epsilon/n} t_{j}^{\epsilon/n} \log^\gamma(1 + t_{j}^{1/n}) \prec \sum t_{j}^{\beta - \epsilon/n} \left(\sum t_{j}^{\epsilon/n}\right) \log^\gamma(1 + \left(\sum t_{j}^{1/n}\right))
\]
\[
\prec \left(\sum t_{j}^{\beta}\right) \log^\gamma (1 + \left(\sum t_{j}^{1/n}\right)).
\]

To prove Corollary 5.8 we notice that as in [15], we have
\[
V^\Phi = C^\Phi \quad \text{if } \Phi \text{ satisfies (1.3) and (5.16)}.
\] (5.18)

It remains to apply Theorem 5.6, the embeddings (5.12) and (5.13), and the relation (5.18).

6 Negative results

Theorem 6.1 Let the continuous function \( \Phi \) satisfy (1.3) and let
\[
\int_0^1 \left[ \frac{\Phi(u)}{u^{n-\alpha p}} \right] \frac{1}{u} \, du = \infty, \quad 1 < p < n/\alpha.
\] (6.1)

Then
\[
\text{Cap}^{\Phi_\alpha}(h_\alpha^p) \neq C^\Phi, \quad \Phi_\alpha(s) = \Phi(s^{n-\alpha p}).
\] (6.2)

Proof. The condition (6.1) and Theorem 5.4.2 of [2] imply the existence of a compact \( K \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) such that \( \Lambda_\Phi(K) > 0 \) and
\[
\text{cap}(K; h_\alpha^p) = \text{cap}(K; H_\alpha^p) = 0.
\] (6.3)
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By Theorem 5.1.12 of [2], there exists a positive measure $\nu \in M^\Phi(h_p^\alpha)$, such
that $\mu = \nu \times \delta_t \in C^\Phi$ and $\nu(K) \approx \Lambda_\Phi(K)$. In particular,
\[\nu(K) > 0.\] (6.4)

Suppose that $\mu \in \text{Cap}^\Phi(h_p^\alpha)$. Then by Theorem 5.1, where $\Phi$ is replaced by
$\Phi_{\alpha}$, we have the estimate (5.2). For any open set $O \supset K$, let $f \in S$ be such
that $\|f\|_{h_p^\alpha} \leq \text{cap}(O; h_p^\alpha)$. Then by (2.11) and (5.2),
\[\nu(O) \prec h(d_\phi) \prec \Phi_{\alpha}(\text{cap}(O; h_p^\alpha)).\]

Taking the monotone limit $O \mapsto K$, we get $\nu(K) \leq 0$, which contradicts (6.4).

For example, if $\Phi(u) = u^{n-\alpha p}(1+|\log u|)^\gamma$, $\gamma + p - 1 \geq 0$, $1 < p < n/\alpha$, then
$\Phi_{\alpha}(u) \approx u(1+|\log u|)^\gamma$ and $\text{Cap}^\Phi(h_p^\alpha) \subset C^\Phi$, but these spaces are different.
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