Molecular Identification of Viruses Responsible for Severe Symptoms on Potato (Solanum sp.) growing in Assiut Governorate (Upper Egypt)
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Abstract

Severe viral-like symptoms were observed on potato plants growing in Assiut governorate (Upper Egypt) during 2010, 2011 and 2012 growing seasons. Typical viral-like particles were observed under Electron microscopy examination. These particles were either rod shape about 750 nm in length or bacilliform about 130 nm in length, and resemble Potato virus Y (PVY) and Alfalfa mosaic virus (AMV) particles, respectively. Several biological, serological and molecular methods were applied to identify these particles. Serological tests proved that the causal viruses responsible for these symptoms were AMV and PVY. RNA extracted from infected potato as well as healthy potato plants was tested in Reverse Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-PCR) using specific primers to amplify coat protein gene of AMV or PVY. RT-PCR results proved that the symptomatic potato plants are being infected with AMV or PVY, either separately or simultaneously (mixed infection). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first molecular identification of AMV and PVY infecting potato in Assiut governorate, Upper Egypt.
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Introduction

Cultivated potato (Solanum tuberosum spp. tuberosum) is the world’s third most important food crop [19]. In Egypt, potato is considered as one of the most economic crops [15]. The cultivated area in Egypt is 178000 ha producing 4800000 tons [16]. Potato crops are being infected with many viruses which lead to great losses in potato production worldwide. More than 25 viruses have been reported to infect potato worldwide [8] and incur considerable yield losses in potato production, especially in African countries [29]. The average yield in African countries is 11800 Kg/ha compared to the world average yield of 16419 Kg/ha, this reduction is mainly due to viral pathogens and other plant pathogens [29]. Among these viruses, Potato virus Y (PVY) and Alfalfa mosaic virus (AMV) are being considered responsible for great losses in potato production annually. PVY has the potential to reduce potato yields up to 80% [23]. In Egypt, infection with PVY and/or AMV is being considered as one of the most limiting factor of potato production [14, 15, 17]. PVY has been isolated from several locations in Egypt since 1970 and causing devastating disease in potatoes [4]. While, AMV appears in potato fields in several potato production area in Egypt [15, 17].

During 2010-2012 growing seasons, severe viral-like symptoms including mosaic, leaves deformation, yellowing mollting and plant stunting were observed on potato plants growing in Assiut Governorate (Upper Egypt). These viral like symptoms led to great losses in potato production. This study was designed to biologically, serologically and molecularly identify the causal agent of this disease.

Materials and Methods

Source of viruses

Potato plants showing mosaic, mollting, yellowing, leaf deformation and stunting were collected from Experimental Farm, Faculty of Agriculture - Assiut University, Assiut, Upper Egypt during 2010-2013 growing seasons. The viruses were mechanically inoculated into potato plants (Burna variety) and maintained...
under greenhouse conditions.

**Electron Microscopy Examination (TEM)**

Sap of symptomatic potato leaves were examined under electron microscopy (JEM 100CX11-EM at The Electron Microscope Unit, University of Assiut, Assiut, using negative staining method as described by Reynolds, (1963) [26]. The grids were placed on the top of infectious sap drops for one min and left to dry. The grids were immersed in 2 % phosphotungstic acid pH 7.0 for negative staining. Later the stained grids were raised with a fine tip forceps to the electron microscope for examination and description of virus particles shape and to determine their dimensions.

**Serological tests**

Several serological tests were applied to identify the casual viruses responsible for severe symptoms on potato growing in Assiut governorate, including:

**A: Precipitation drop method (PDM):** The sap of symptomatic potato leaves were tested in PDM as described by Dounin and Papova (1937) [13], using different specific antiserum against the most five common viruses infecting potatoes plants worldwide, including Alfalfa mosaic virus (AMV), Potato virus M (PVM), Potato virus S (PVS), Potato virus Y (PVY), and Potato virus X (PVX). The antiserums were obtained from All.UnionRes.Inst.Pl.Prot. Saint Petersburg, Russia, except antiserum of AMV and PVX which were kindly obtained from Dr. Ali M. Abd El-Salam, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University. Crude sap from diseased potato variety was clarified by centrifugation at 300 rpm for 5 min. A drop of clarified leaf sap from infected plant was added on the right or the left slide of a microscopic slide surface. Another drop from the specific antisera was placed onto the first one. Slides were examined under a microscope in dark field at a magnification of 50X. Checks were made using free serum.

**B. Indirect Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA):** To confirm PDM results, the symptomatic potato plants were further serologically tested by using specific antibodies against either AMV or PVY in ELISA tests as described by Clark and Adams (1977) [10]; Converse and Martin (1990) [11] with some modifications as follow: Micro-titer plates were coated with 100 µl/well of leaf extract diluted to 1/10 in coating buffer, pH 9.6, and incubated overnight at 4°C. Wells were washed 3 times with PBST by shaking for 3 min each time. The plates were dried without washing, and cross-absorbed antisera was then added at a dilution of 1/1000 (100µl/well). Plates were then incubated for three hr. at 37°C and then washed and dried. The antigen was detected with anti-rabbit alkaline phosphatase conjugate buffer, pH 7.4, added to the plates using 100µl/well and incubated for 3 hr. at 37°C. The plates were washed and dried. Para-nitro phenyl phosphate, PNPP, (1.0 mg/ml) dissolved in substrate buffer, pH 9.8, was added. An absorbance value at 405 nm of 2.5x the healthy control reading was used as the positive threshold [30].

**Bioassay Test**

AMV & PVY were mechanically inoculated separately in several hosts belong to Amaranthaceae, Fabaceae, and Solanaceae. Leaf sap from infected potato with either AMV or PVY (based on ELISA tests) was inoculated into these hosts to biologically assay the severity of both AMV and PVY viruses. Leaf sap was diluted 1:5 in phosphate buffer 01 M pH 7.2 and inoculated into leaves of tested plants by rubbing carborundum dust leaves. Inoculated plants were grown in a greenhouse at 18 to 22°C and observed every 3 days for symptoms. The plants were watered and nutrients were provided as required.

**Reverse transcription-Polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR)**

Infected potato plants with either AMV or PVY (based on ELISA tests) were collected from experimental farm of faculty of Agriculture-Assiut University, during 2013 growing season. Total RNA was extracted from these symptomatic potato leaves as well as from healthy plants using the Tri-reagent method (Molecular Research Center Inc., USA), as described before in Abdalla and Ali (2012a) [1]. The complementary DNA was synthesized using MMLV-RT reverse transcriptase enzyme (Biochain, USA), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. PCR amplification was carried out using virus-specific forward and reverse primers to amplify the coat protein (CP) gene of either AMV or PVY as described before in Ali et al., (2012) [3]. Primers to amplify CP of AMV included forward AMV: 5’ GTCCGGCATCTCT-TAAAT 3′ and reverse AMV: 5’ GAAAG-TTTGGGTCGAGAGA 3′ as described by Abdalla and Ali (2012b) [2]. While, Primers to amplify CP of PVY included forward PVY: 5’-GATGTTGGC-CCTGGATGATG 3′ and Reverse PVY: 5′-TAAAGTATAGTC-AGGAAA AGCCA as described in Cardin and Mourgry (2005) [9]. The PCR products were analyzed on 1% agarose gel, visualized with ethidium bromide and examined under UV [27].

**Results**

**Electron Microscopy Examination (TEM)**

Examination of symptomatic potato sap under electron microscopy, showed rod shape particles about 730 nm in length x 10 nm in width, Figure (1a), and bacilliform particles about 50 nm in length. These particles resembled typical Potato virus Y and Alfalfa mosaic virus Particles, respectively.

**Serological tests**

Testing sap from symptomatic potato leaves, using antiserum against five different viruses in drop precipitation test, revealed that the sap of infected potato reacted positively with antisera of AMV and PVY. These results were confirmed in ELISA tests using mono-antibodies against AMV and PVY Table (1).

**Bioassay**

Certain Fabaceae and solanaceous hosts, as well as diagnostic plant were mechanically inoculated in separate way with AMV or PVY. Results showed that these two viruses have the potential to cause symptoms in these hosts. These symptoms ranged from moderate to severe symptoms. The most severe symptoms appeared in case of PVY inoculation into tomato or petunia plants, as PVY caused very severe symptoms included mosaic, mottling, vein clearing and leaf deformation in these solanaceous crops Table (2) & Figure (2). Inoculation of Chenopodium amaranticolor L. with PVY produced local lesion as main character of this virus Table (2).
Reverse transcription-Polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR)

Presence of AMV and PVY in symptomatic potato plants was tested in RT-PCR test. Specific primers to amplify CP genes of AMV and PVY separately were used in this test. Analysis of PCR products amplified by these primers through gel electrophoresis, showed that these specific primers amplified the expected size of 800 and 900 bp for AMV or PVY, respectively Figure (3), indicating the presence of these viruses in infected potato plants. Using these specific primers with RNA extracted from healthy potato plants did not produce any PCR products (band), proving that these primers were very specific with either AMV or PVY. Results of RT-PCR also revealed that some of symptomatic potato plants were infected with either only one virus (AMV or PVY) or simultaneously infected with the two viruses Table (3).
Discussion

Severe viral-like symptoms were observed on potato plants growing in Assiut governorate during 2010-2013 growing seasons. These symptoms greatly reduced potato production. Identification of viruses responsible for symptoms is the first step to design strategy to control plant viruses [3].

Examination of infected potato sap under Electron microscopy showed typical bacilliform particles about 130nm length x 40 nm width, and rod shape particles about 730 nm in length and 10 nm in width. These particles are typically resembling Alfalfa mosaic virus and Potato virus Y particles, respectively, as described before by Gibbs et al., (1963) [18]; Varma et al., (1968) [28].

Mechanical inoculation of these viruses into several diagnostic hosts produced local lesion in Chenopodium amaranthicolor, and severe symptoms in several economic fabaceous and solanaceous crops. Both AMV and PVY have a wide host range [22, 24, 31]. AMV can infect more than 400 host [25], while PVY can infect many solanaceous crops, including potato, tomato and pepper [12]. Results showed that inoculation of PVY into Chenopodium

Table 3. RT-PCR results of tested potato plants against AMV and PVY.

| plant | Tested Viruses | AMV | PVY |
|-------|----------------|-----|-----|
| 1     | +              | +   | +   |
| 2     | +              | -   |     |
| 3     | +              | +   |     |
| 4     | -              | -   |     |
| 5     | -              | +   |     |
| 6 (Healthy) | -   | -   |     |

AMV = Alfalfa mosaic virus & PVY = Potato virus Y
aroncincolor produced local lesion as a typical pattern of PVY infection in this host. Using Chenopodium amaranthicolor in diagnosis of plant viruses is useful tool for testing plant viruses [21].

Sap of infected potato plants was serologically tested in drop precipitation test. Six different antiserauses were used in DPT and revealed that the infected potato reacted positively with antisereum of AMV and PVY. These results were further confirmed through testing the infected sap using specific mono-antibodies against AMV and PVY in ELISA test. ELISA is one of the most used serological tests for the detection of potato viruses, mainly because of factors such as rapidity, low cost, and reliability [29]. But false positive is also common in ELISA tests due to that serologically related viruses may react with the same mono-antibody, and high concentration (titer of virus) are still needed for accurate results [6]. Thus, efforts to serologically detect AMV in infected hosts have historically been problematic mainly due to fluctuations in virus concentration, decrease in specific infectivity in tissues of infected plants and plant age [20].

It was found that using RT-PCR is very effective to detect potato viruses even after storage of tuber at 10°C [7]. So, detection depending on nucleic acid method like RT-PCR is the best method to detect potato viruses [29].

RNA extracted from leaves of infected potato with either AMV or PVY (based on ELISA results) was tested in RT-PCR, by using specific primers to amplify the CP genes of AMV or PVY separately. RT-PCR result confirmed the presence of AMV and PVY in symptomatic potato plants. The tested potato plants were either infected with one virus (single infection), while some plants were infected with the two viruses simultaneously. Mixed Infection with AMV & PVY usually resulted in severer symptoms compared with symptoms occurred in case of single infection. May this severity is due to the suppression of post-transcriptional gene silencing by one virus, and this is will allow increase in replication rate of the another virus and severity of the symptoms [5].

These results proved that AMV and PVY are the main viruses infecting potato in Assiut governorate, and are in agreement with previous findings which reported that AMV and PVY are the main viruses infecting potato crop in Egypt and responsible for epidemic infection in potato fields [4, 14, 15, 17].

Although AMV and PVY have been molecularly identified before in Delta region, Egypt, but to the best of our knowledge, this is the first molecular identification of AMV and PVY to infect potato in Upper Egypt. Further studies are required to determine the genetic relationship between AMV and PVY isolates form Upper Egypt and other Egyptian and worldwide isolates.
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