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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND
Thrombosis is a well-recognized and common complication in patients with malignant disease and can contribute significantly to the morbidity and mortality of this disease. We wanted to compare the coagulation parameters in benign and malignant neoplasms.

METHODS
A comparative study was done and a total of 230 cases (75 benign and 155 malignant) and 120 controls were evaluated. After processing the blood samples, various tests like complete blood count, platelet count, prothrombin time (PT), activated partial thromboplastin time (APTT), fibrin degradation product (FDP), and D-Dimer were done.

RESULTS
Significant differences were noted in the coagulation parameters of both the groups. Malignant cases show abnormal coagulation parameters as compared to control group. Mean platelet count - control - 250 +/- 61, cases - 375 +/- 100, mean PT - control - 14.1 +/- 6 s, cases - 15.2 +/- 1.2 s, mean APTT - control - 29.5 +/- 1.3 s, cases - 34.8 +/- 2.5 s.

CONCLUSIONS
Cancer is associated with a high risk of thrombotic complications. Altered coagulation parameters significantly correlate with malignant nature of tumour and also their spread. Preventing this complication is clinically relevant because it significantly contributes to morbidity and mortality in these patients.
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BACKGROUND

Armand Trousseau first reported on the relationship between thrombosis and cancer in 1865. Since then, numerous studies have established that thrombosis is a common complication for cancer patients, contributing to the second-leading cause of mortality in cancer patients. Thrombosis is a well-recognized and common complication in patients with malignant disease and can contribute significantly to the morbidity and mortality of this disease. Thrombotic complications in cancer can vary from arterial or venous thromboembolism to disseminated intravascular coagulation.

Despite the well-known association between cancer and thromboembolic disease, the mechanisms that promote thromboembolic events in cancer patients are not clear and appear to be multifaceted. The tumours through the production of procoagulant factors and the host through the inflammatory response participate in the process. The relation between cancer and coagulation is categorized by some mechanisms signifying that tumour biology and coagulation are closely linked processes. The pathogenesis of blood coagulation activation in cancer is complex and multifactorial.

However, a unique feature in malignancy is the role played by the expression of tumour cell-associated dot promoting properties. These properties lead to the activation of the clotting cascade, with the generation of thrombin and fibrin, and the stimulation of platelets, leukocytes and endothelial cells which expose their cellular procoagulant features. Cancer patients are generally in a hypercoagulable or prothrombotic state, as they usually present with abnormalities in each component of Virchow's triad, thus contributing to thrombosis.

The three components are a stasis of blood flow, endothelial injury, and hypercoagulability, the latter including abnormalities in the coagulation and fibrinolytic pathway and platelet activation. The specific mechanisms leading to abnormalities in Virchow's triad in cancer patients, particularly the effect on the host haemostatic system to promote the prothrombotic state, are not well understood and may be tumour specific as different cancer types have varying risk rates for cancer-associated thrombosis. It is now well known that the clinical manifestation of thrombosis in this condition can be very different and vary from localized venous thromboembolism to disseminated intravascular coagulation. In addition, a subclinical activation of blood coagulation or “hypercoagulable state” is present in almost all cancer patients, even without symptoms of thrombosis.

The activation of blood coagulation in those with malignant disease appears to be dependent upon the elaboration of tumour-derived tissue factors resulting in an activation of extrinsic pathway of coagulation cascade. Assessment of the coagulation profile in cancer might help understanding their relationship with coagulation abnormalities and in the prediction as well as management of complications arising from them.

We wanted to compare the coagulation parameters in benign and malignant neoplasms.

METHODS

This comparative study was conducted in the Dept Of Pathology, Gandhi Medical college, Bhopal from 1st Jan 2018 to 30th June 2019. During the study period, a total of 230 cases (75 benign and 155 malignant) and 120 Control were evaluated. Sample size was taken based on the convince of the study. Patients were selected according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria. After taking informed consent, all the patients were subjected to history and examination after which they were investigated for all the parameters detailed below.

Inclusion Criteria

Patient with benign and malignant lesions and normal individuals attending Hamidia Hospital who gave consent.

Exclusion Criteria

Cases of any disease other than malignancy which can alter the coagulation profile and patients who are on anticoagulant medication or taking medication which can alter coagulation profile were excluded.

Blood sample was collected in ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid (EDTA) vial (9 : 1 ratio of blood and anticoagulant) for evaluation of haematological analysis, (complete blood count, total leucocyte count and platelet count). Blood sample was collected in citrate vial (9 : 1 ratio of blood and anticoagulant) for coagulation parameters. The plasma isolated from the sample was used for evaluation of -

- Prothrombin Time,
- Activated partial thromboplastin time,
- Fibrin degradation products,
- D-Dimer.
- (Plasma was transferred to vials, frozen and stored at -40 degree Celsius until evaluated).
- The reference ranges in our laboratory -
  - Platelet count: 150,000 – 400,000 / mm3
  - PT = 11 – 15 s
  - APTT = 27 – 35 s,
  - Plasma D-dimer concentration < 0.5μg / mL
  - FDP, being a qualitative test, gives result as either positive or negative

Statistical Analysis

Data was managed and analysed by using statistical packages for social sciences (SPSS). Different codes were assigned to them, for categorical variables. Arithmetic mean, Standard
deviation (SD), Student t-test and probability value (P value) was performed.

RESULTS

During the study period, a total of 230 cases (75 benign and 155 malignant) and 120 control were evaluated. Control - It included healthy subjects with age, sex and socioeconomically matched controls from hospital staff and patients relative.

In the control group, the mean ± SD value of Hb was 12.8 ± 1.10 with a range of 11.0 – 15.6 g/dl and in benign cases the mean ± SD value of Hb was 12.1 ± 0.98 with a range of 9.9 – 14.9 g/dl subjects with malignancy, the mean ± SD value of Hb was 10.3 ± 2.18 with a range of 3.9 – 14.2 g/dl. On comparison with control, malignancies showed statistically significant decreased Hb level (P < 0.001). The mean ± SD values of TLC in controls was 7.61 ± 1.46 with a range of 4.5 – 10.2 x 10^9/L and in benign and malignant cases was 7.42 ± 1.60 with a range of 4.2 – 13.3 x 10^9/L and 7.38 ± 1.80 & range 3.5 – 14.0 x 10^9/L respectively, but no significant difference (P value > 0.05).

85.3 % of the benign cases and 40.7 % of the malignant cases have platelet count in the normal range. Only 6.7 % of benign cases whereas 54.2 % of the malignant cases showed thrombocytosis (platelet count more than 4.0 lakhs/Cumm (P value < 0.001) 100 % of the control & 93.3 % of benign cases have PT in the normal range while only 21.9 % malignant cases have PT in the normal range. 78.1 % of the malignant cases have PT above 15 sec. Thus malignancies exhibited significantly elevated PT when compared with control group and benign lesions. (P value < 0.001).

100 % & 97.3 % of control & benign cases have APTT in the normal range respectively, while 27.7 % of malignant cases have APTT in the normal range and 72.3 % have APTT above 35 secs. Thus malignancies exhibited statistically significant elevated APTT when compared with control group and benign lesions (P Value < 0.001). All of the control & benign cases have negative FDP, while 48.4 % of the malignant cases have positive FDP. The χ^2-test was applied on the FDP values, which showed statistically significant difference. (P Value < 0.001). D-Dimer in all the control & benign group were in the range of < 200 ng/ml. In patients with malignancy, 12 out of 155 (7.74 %) have D - Dimer in the range of 200 – 500 ng/ml, 63 out of 155 (40.6 %) have D-Dimer in the range of 500 – 1000 ng/ml, while 80 out of 155 (51.6 %) were in the range of < 200 ng/ml.

Variability in Platelet Count (in lakhs/Cumm) & lymph node status in FDP positive cases

Comparison between the control group & benign lesions were insignificant whereas malignant cases showed statistically significant difference. (P value < 0.001) when compared with control group and benign lesions. 70 % endometrial carcinoma followed by 58.3 % of ovarian carcinoma, 54 % of breast cancers & 50 % of GIT malignancy had a higher FDP positivity. 54 out of 75 FDP positive cases have lymph node involvement and also the mean D-dimer values was higher & statistically significant. (P value < 0.001).

DISCUSSION

Cancer is a prothrombotic state. Experimental and clinical studies have shown an association between cancer and haemostasis, which is altered and provides a growth benefit to tumours, although clinical symptoms occur less often. The pathogenesis of blood coagulation activation in cancer is complex and multifactorial. However, a unique feature in malignancy is the role played by the expression of tumour cell-associated clot promoting properties. These properties lead to the activation of the clotting cascade, with the generation of thrombin and fibrin, and the stimulation of platelets, leucocytes and endothelial cells which expose their cellular procoagulant features. The tumours, through the production of procoagulant factors, and the host, through its inflammatory response, participate in the process. Abnormal coagulation activation encourages endothelial adhesion, metastatic spread, tumor cell growth, and survival.

In this study we studied the hemostatic and coagulation profile in 230 cases (155 haved malignancies, 75 haved benign lesions, and 120 were apparently normal controls). Complete blood count, PT, APTT, D - Dimer, and FDP were done. Mohammed et al. showed that mean platelet count in cancer was 317.8 +/- 23.46 x 10^3/cm and in control group was 260.7 +/- 7.96 x 10^3/cm. Amin et al. showed that the mean platelet count 286 +/- 144 x 10^3/cm in malignancies in comparison with the control group (212 +/- 46 x 10^3/cm). However, Omer and Abdalla showed the mean platelet count in cancer was 249.6 +/- 142.3 x 10^3/cm, while for the control group, it was 279.7 +/- 77.9 x 10^3/cm. Patel et al. showed the mean platelet count in malignancies was 334.14 +/- 104.56 and in controls 273.73 +/- 126.52 x 10^3/cm.
In our study, the mean platelet count in malignancies was 375 +/- 100 x 10^3/cm³, which was higher when compared with apparently normal controls 250 +/- 61 x 10^3/cm³ and benign disease 260 +/- 65 x 10^3/cm³. The comparison between normal & benign group was not significant, whereas comparison between normal & malignant cases was statistically significant (P-value < 0.001).

Mohammed et al.⁹ showed that mean PT in cancer was 15 +/- 0.32 s and in control group was 12.9 +/- 0.27 s. Amin et al.¹⁰ showed that the mean PT was 15 +/- 3s in malignancies group when compared with the control group with a mean PT of 13 +/- 1 s. Omer and Abdalla¹¹ showed that the mean PT in cancer was 13.7 +/- 1.3 s, while in control group, it was 12.2 +/- 0.8 s. Patel et al.¹² showed the mean PT in malignancies was 15.0 +/- 3.2 s and in controls 12.9 +/- 0.27 s.

In our study, the mean PT in malignancies was 15.8 +/- 1.18 s, higher in comparison with the patients with apparently normal controls & benign group (14.1 +/- 6 s and 13.5 +/- 5 s respectively). In our study, malignancies showed statistically significant difference in the mean PT values (P-value < 0.001).

Mohammed et al.⁹ showed that mean APTT in cancer was 37.9 +/- 0.31 s and in control group was 35.1 +/- 0.56 s. Omer and Abdalla¹¹ showed that the mean APTT in cancer was 35.7 +/- 6.6 s and in the control group was 29.6 +/- 2.2 s. Patel et al.¹² showed the mean APTT in malignancies was 15.0 +/- 3.2 s and in controls 12.9 +/- 0.27 s.

In our study, the mean APTT in malignancies was 34.8 +/- 2.5 s, higher in comparison with apparently normal controls 29.5 +/- 1.3 s and benign lesions 30.0 +/- 2.55 s. In our study, there was statistically significant difference in the mean APTT values of the control group and patients with malignancies, (P-value < 0.001).

D-dimer, the main breakdown fragment of fibrin, is a biochemical marker of thrombogenesis and fibrin turnover. High D-dimer is an indirect marker of hyper coagulation activation and thrombolysis. Procoagulant factors in cancer cause constitutive activation of the coagulation cascade leading to thrombin and fibrin generation. Fibrin formation and remodelling process provides extra cellular matrix essential for the initial step of cancer cell to migrate, invade, and metastasize.

Amin et al. showed the mean D-dimer was 3.708 +/- 3.26 μg/mL in malignancies in comparison with the control group (0.325 +/- 0.365 μg/mL). Omer and Abdalla showed the mean D-dimer in cancer was 2.19632 +/- 2.11095 μg/mL, while for the control group mean D-dimer was 0.21365 +/- 0.10357 μg/mL. Mohammed et al. showed D-dimer in cancer was 2 – 4 μg/mL and in control group was < 0.5 μg/mL. Suega and Bakta showed the mean D-dimer was 1.260 μg/mL in malignancies.

In our study, statistically significant difference in the mean D-dimer of patients with malignancies (0.446 +/- 0.26 μg/mL) and the control group (0.2 +/- 0.00 μg/mL) was noted. (P-value < 0.001).

Plasma D-dimer correlates with tumour burden, no. of metastatic sites, progression kinetics, cytokines related to angiogenesis, invasion depth, lymph node metastasis, peritoneal dissemination, distant metastasis, tumor size, and TNM stage. Blackwell et al.¹⁷ showed 75.75 % (N = 25 / 33) of patients with involved lymph nodes had elevated D-dimer. Patel et al. showed (83.33 %, N = 10 / 12) of patients with involved lymph nodes had elevated D-dimer.

In our study, there were 75 patients with malignancies in which the status of lymph node involvement was available. Of the 75 cases, 54 showed tumour involvement in lymph nodes, while 21 were negative. The mean D-dimer was 0.780 +/- 0.24 μg/mL and 0.35 +/- 0.21 μg/mL respectively, which showed a significant difference (P-value < 0.001). Given its sensitivity for predicting positive lymph node involvement, a role of D-dimer, along with other predictive factors to decide whether lymph node dissection is needed may be used.

The χ²-test was applied on the FDP values of control and malignant cases, which was statistically significant. (P-value < 0.001)

### CONCLUSIONS

Tumor cells interact with all parts of the hemostatic system. They can directly activate the coagulation cascade by producing their own procoagulant factors, or they can stimulate the prothrombotic properties of other blood cell components. Additional mechanisms of clotting activation are initiated by cytotoxic chemotherapy or other cancer therapies.⁷ Assessment of the coagulation profile in cancer might help in understanding their relationship with coagulation abnormalities and in the prediction as well as management of complications arising from them.

Present study implies a relation between activation of hemostasis shown by elevated D-dimer, altered coagulation profile and malignancy. D-dimer and deranged coagulation profile might be used as universal surrogate indicator of the relation between cancer and the activation of hemostasis and fibrinolysis, with elevated D-dimer levels indicate the pathogenesis of a more aggressive malignant process associated with poor clinical results.
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