Lactational Exposure to Fipronil Pesticide in Low Dose Impairs Memory in Rat’s Offspring
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Introduction

Pesticides are widely used in developing countries and their use in agriculture and public health impacts environment, through soil, water and food contamination, and reaches humans through occupational and indirect exposure. In this situation, supposed pesticides selectiveness among target organisms it may be lost and health problems for humans are being enhanced in recent decades.

The contact, principally at long-term with pesticides, through environmental or occupational exposure impacts human life and disrupt the function of different organ systems in the body including the nervous, endocrine, immune, reproductive, renal, cardiovascular, hepatic and respiratory tissues [1,2].

Fipronil - pesticide [(±)-5-amino-1-(2,6-dichloro-α,α,α-trifluoro-p-tolyl)-4 trifluoromethylsulfonylpyrazole-3-carbonitrile] is the first member of the phenylpyrazole insecticide class, which has a broad spectrum of activity against insects [3]. It was rapidly adopted as an insecticide for use in agriculture [4] and veterinary [5].

Although it has been designated by Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) as an alternative to the use of organophosphates, several questions about the fipronil adverse effects on public health were raised [3,5]. Additionally, the main metabolite sulfone and its photodegradation product de-sulfinil were reported to be more toxic to insects, mammals, fish and birds than the parent compound [6].

Behavioral investigations contribute to enhance the risk assessment for pesticides and might be very important in cases when animals or humans are exposed to low doses. Animal’s behavior is a product of process integration as cognitive, sensory, motor, and various others functions associated with the nervous system [7]. Recently, it was demonstrated in our laboratories that acute fipronil exposure interferes with neurobehavioral activity in rats [8].

On other hand, it has been suggested that GABA may be related to processes of memory formation [9] and there are also some studies regarding the relevance of GABA to the processes of learning and memory behaviors [10]. The fact of chemical injury to the CNS can change the phases of acquisition, consolidation and recall of the memory [11] contributes to raise questions about GABAergic system involvement. In this sense it was recently demonstrated that reduction of GABA in the prefrontal cortex caused a delay in the activities done by monkeys [12-14].

Although fipronil target glutamate-activated chloride channels present in insects, suggesting a selective action mechanism, it also targets GABAergic receptors present in humans and animals [15,16]. Recent work of our research group shown that memory impairment due to fipronil pesticide exposure occurs at the GABA receptor level, in rats.

Besides GABA, acetylcholine (AcCh) neurotransmitter also has long been implicated in cognitive functions. AcCh plays important function in memory formation in the hippocampus, as evidenced by impaired learning after administration of antagonists to their respective receptors [17]. Additionally, substantial evidence implicates AcCh in the
acquisition of object memories [18-20]. One hypothesis to be tested is that fipronil can modulate memory behavior by interfering simultaneously with the GABAergic and cholinergic systems.

Central nervous system development occurs both in utero and postnatally, and requires an adequate environment. It depends of a complex relation between different factors that have different spatial and temporal roles. Disturbances of development may have genetic as well as external factors acting during any of the phases of development [21].

Recent scientific reports have drawn attention and have shown that there is a relation between use of pesticides and neurodevelopmental disorders in children when exposure occur in pre and perinatal periods [22-24]. In this sense, a reported passage of both, fipronil and it metabolite fipronil sulfone, through the milk in domestic animals [25] increasing concerns regarding exposure on these critical periods.

The absence of systematic studies on the long-term consequences of perinatal pesticide exposure seems risk, especially in the case of pregnant women, their fetuses, and sucking babies. In the case of pregnancy, the lack of routine biological environmental monitoring at the workplace and other preventive measures for the workers' protection in certain professions, such as agricultural workers or in families living near agricultural crops, contribute to real pesticides neurotoxicity risks for these people.

As fipronil acts as a GABAergic antagonist modulating cognitive functions it is important to investigate the hypothesis of that its neurotoxic action could interfere with the memory behavior during developmental period.

Thus, the aim of this study was to evaluate memory behavior in offspring from females rats exposed to fipronil during lactational period. Due to the relation between memory processes and ACh activity, a possible link with fipronil exposure was investigated using acetylcholinesterase enzyme activity as biomarker.

Materials and Methods

All procedures for animal experimentation were approved by the institutional Ethics Committee on Animal Use of Faculty of Medicine Veterinary (FMVZ), Paulista State University (UNESP), which is compiled with international guidelines for the use of experimental animals.

Animals

Thirty females and ten males Wistar rats, acquired with 22 day-old from our institutional colony were kept under standard conditions (up to four rats per cage, controlled temperature of 21 ± 2°C and 70% humidity, 12-h light/dark cycle starting at 6 a.m. with light, continuous exhaustion, receiving water and food ad libitum) until they reached 80 days of age.

Experimental procedure

The animals were mated placing, in the same cage, three females and one male. Females with sperm presence in the vaginal smear were considered pregnant (considered zero-day of gestation period - PND0) and were separated in isolated cases. Pregnant females were randomly assigned into 2 groups of 15 animals named control (Ct) and fipronil (Fip), and were weighed every 3 days. At birth litters were adjusted to eight pups per mother to standardize the litter size and maintained with it, throughout the lactation period, until the 21 postnatal day-old (PND21).

For the experiments, the Topline® (Merial of Brazil) containing fipronil as active ingredient (1% concentration) was used. Fipronil was administered topically to mothers (dorsal region of the neck, after shaving), 1 mg/kg/day, from the 7-14th day of lactation. Control mothers received topically, by the same period, administration of 0.2 ml of corn oil (to allow adherence of control solution to the skin). For the formation of groups for behavioral assessment only one male pup of each mother was used, totalling fifteen animals for each experimental group (Ct and Fip). To evaluate influence of toxicity versus developmental stage, assessments of pups were made at PND23 (young), PND65 (young to adult) and PND80 (adult) ages, according to the experimental design.

The fipronil dose utilized in present experiment it can be considered very low, since the reported LD50 dose for fipronil technical-grade product is greater than 2000 mg/kg (rat, dermal) and reported LD50 doses for fipronil veterinary product formulations are greater than 2000 mg/kg (rabbit, dermal) [25,26].

Behavioral assessment

For memory assessment was used the novel object recognition task according Dix and Aggleton [27], in young (PND23) and adults (PND80) pups, and the eight radial arm maze task according Olton [28], in young to adult (PND65) pups.

The ORT assessment used an open field arena built in white timber, waterproof, measuring 40 × 25 × 15 cm for young and 58 × 43 × 39 cm for adults. For tests rats were subjected to a habituation session on the arena for 5 minutes. The following day the rats returned to the arena to a new training session for 5 minutes being presented now to a two identical objects of wood (A1 and A2), similar in size, color and texture, and having equal shapes. The objects were positioned in two adjacent corners of the box and at 9 cm of the walls. To assess short-term memory retention task (STM), 1.5 hours after the training session, rats were placed to explore the arena for 5 minutes in the presence of two objects: the familiar object A and a novel object B, placed in the same locations as in training period. To assess long-term memory retention task (LTM), 24 hours after training session, rats were placed to explore the arena for 5 minutes in the presence of the familiar object A and now a third different novel object C. Exploration was defined as the time spent in sniffing or touching the object with the nose, sit on the object was not considered exploration. The same animals were used for the testing of STM and the LTM. At the end of each session with an animal the apparatus was cleaned with cotton soaked in ethyl alcohol (5%, v/v) to eliminate traces of the animal predecessor. Using the data obtained about the exploration of three distinct objects, a new object recognition index (NORI) for each animal was calculated as the rate TN/TN+TF (TF = time spent exploring the familiar object A, and TN = time spent exploring the novel object B or C) [27,28].

The 8-RAM assessment used an octagonal radial maze built in white timber, waterproof, and consisted of a central circular platform (20 cm high x 47 cm diameter) coupled to eight identical arms of the same size (47 × 11 × 18 cm), symmetrically distributed around it, all covered with transparent acrylic. In the first day animals were placed directly in the central platform of apparatus for five minutes to recognize it. On the second day of training the animals became for 15 minutes in the apparatus independently of the number of visited arms, to recognize it.
From the subsequent four days, each animal made training sessions for free arms recognition. The animals were withdrawn from the labyrinth until complete one visit in each arm or have completed 15 minutes in the apparatus. Finally, rats previously placed fasting were trained to find a solid food portion placed at the end of one of the arms (always the same). In the room for experimentation, in a round of the 8-RAM apparatus, runways were kept in each wall, which served as the animal’s spatial orientation for preferential entry into any of the arms. Entry in an arm was considered as walk from the central circular platform until the end of the arm extension. For the memory task, the animal was placed in the end of a prefixed arm, different from that of training period, and should meet and interact with food. As memory parameters were recorded: (a) latency time to find food, (b) number of arms visited incorrectly, (c) number of arms revisited. At the end of each session with an animal apparatus was cleaned with cotton soaked in ethyl alcohol (5%, v/v) to eliminate traces of the animal predecessor.

Observations in both apparatus, ORT and 8-RAM, were filmed for subsequent quantification of each behavior exhibited by animals.

Biochemical and histopathological evaluation

After behavioral testing the animals were anesthetized with xylazine + ketamine solution, i.p., to collect blood by direct cardiac puncture, and then animals were decapitated to collect the brain.

The blood was centrifuged at 2,500 rpm for 5 minutes and the serum collected was used for spectrophotometric dosage (Spectrophotometer Ultraspec 2000-Pharmacia Biotech) of AChE activity (Biotech kit - Brazil).

For histopathological evaluation brains were collected (of young and adult rats) and fixed in buffered 10% formalin solution 72 hours and then, processed into histological cassettes to produce paraffin blocks. From these were obtained 4 μm thick sections and mounted consecutively on histological glass slides for this purpose. The slides were stained with Hematoxylin and Eosin (HE) and evaluated microscopically.

Statistical analysis

The results are expressed as means ± S.E.M. Shapiro–Wilk test was applied to verify normality of data distribution. Comparisons between groups were assessed by Student’s paired t-test with Bonferroni’s correction post hoc test, t-distribution and degree of freedom (df) values. For analyze of results was used Graph Pad Prism® 6.0 for Windows (San Diego, CA, USA). Differences were considered significant when P<0.05 [29].

Results

There were not observed in pregnant mothers obvious clinical signs suggesting intoxication by fipronil and change in weight gain during pregnancy (data not shown).

Assessment of the young pups in ORT task, using Novel Object Recognition Index (NORI), showed that there was no significant difference (p>0.05) between the groups analyzed for STMJ (data not shown), however, for STMA, Fip group significantly decreased (p<0.05) the NORI compared to control (Figure 1A). For LTMI, Fip group significantly decreased (p<0.05) the NORI compared to control (Figure 1B). For LTMA, Fip group significantly decreased (p<0.001) the NORI compared to control (Figure 1C).

In 8-RAM it was observed that the PND65 pups of fipronil group had a significant increase (p<0.05) in latency time to find food compared to control group (Figure 2A), demonstrating a failure in memory behavior. Figure 2B shows that PND65 offspring of Fip group had a significant increase (p<0.05) in number of incorrectly visited arms compared to control. This result to confirm the failure in memory previously observed. Figure 2C indicate that there was a significant increase (p<0.05) in the number of incorrect revisitations in the arms of maze in PND65 offspring of Fip group compared to control.
In the results of AChE activity assessment it is observed that there was no significant difference ($p>0.05$) between the Fip and control group for PND23 pups (data not shown) but in PND65 pups is observed that rats of the Fip group had a statistically significant increase ($p<0.05$) in the AChE activity compared to Ct group (Figure 3).

Figure 3: Dosage of acetylcholinesterase enzyme activity in serum of adult offspring (PND80) of mothers exposed to control and fipronil treatments. The values represent the mean ± S.E.M. (n=12). * $p<0.05$ compared to Ct.

Table 1 and Figures 4A - 4C represent the observations obtained in the histopathological assessment in the brains of offspring. In the adult animals (PND60) of the Fip group vascular congestion from moderate to intense degree was observed. The control group did not show this change (Table 1 and Figure 4A). There was presence of edema (Figure 4B) in histological sections from the brains of young (PND23) and adult (PND60) offspring exposed to fipronil. In the young, the Fip group showed this change from moderate to intense. In adults, the change was from slight to moderate in Ct and Fip groups (Table 1). Except for the group Ct (young), all groups showed vacuolization (Figure 4C) in the CNS. In the young rats from Fip group the change was from moderate to intense. In adults, Ct group had change from mild to moderate and Fip group, intense change (Table 1).

Table 1: Histopathological changes in the brain of the offspring of mothers exposed to control and fipronil treatment. [Note: n.c. (no change); + (slight change); ++ (light to moderate change); +++ (moderate to intense alteration); ++++ (Intense alteration)].

| Pathological change   | PND23 offspring | PND80 offspring |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                       | Ct              | Fip             | Ct              | Fip             |
| Vascular congestion   | +               | n.c.            | n.c.            | +++             |
| Edema                 | n.c.            | +++             | ++              | ++              |
| Vacuolization         | n.c.            | +++             | ++              | ++++            |

Discussion

Results of experiments showed that exposure to fipronil during lactation period caused changes in the memory behavior of the young and adult offspring, indicating that the offspring neurobehavioral development was impacted by fipronil.

In experiments using perinatal exposure, changes in the mother, such as weight loss or changes in maternal homeostasis, may interfere indirectly with the development of offspring [30-33]. The non-occurrence of maternal toxicity seen in present experiment indicates that any change occurring with the offspring was therefore exclusively due to exposure to fipronil.

According to previous literature data exposure to pesticides during pregnancy and lactation can cause changes reflected in physical and behavioral offspring aspects, according to the period of animal development [33,34]. Perinatal exposure may involve latent effects which are only observed later, even in cases in which exposure occurs at doses below those which promote evident effects in adults [35].

In the present experiment 8-RAM assessment shows increase in the latency time to find food, in the number of incorrect visits and in the number of arms revisitations. These results clearly demonstrating a defective memory behavior in the offspring exposed to fipronil.

Memory is the retention of information learned [36], and a process that requires the integrated activity of different brain regions and neurotransmitter systems [37]. Learning can be defined as the mechanism by which new information or knowledge is acquired, that is, the acquisition of new information, and the first stage of memory [36]. Toxic chemical injuries on CNS affect the phases of the acquisition, consolidation and evocation of memory. Memory consolidation occurs primarily in the hippocampus [38], being the GABAergic system fundamental for both development and maintenance of the memory in this area [17,39]. As Fip exerts...
antagonistic action on GABA receptor a hypothesis for explanation should be that Fip provoked memory impairment acting on hippocampus area.

According to studies by Stanley et al. [17] when lesions that include the hippocampus are greater than 75%, memory is significantly impaired. Despite of the morphological evaluation in this study not be conducted specifically for the hippocampus, the lesions provoked in the rat brain by Fip, corroborate with the statement of these authors.

In the study of cognitive memory using the ORT task there was no significant difference between the groups analyzed for the STM in young rats. However, for the LTM the young rats of Fip group showed a significant decrease compared to the CT group. In adult offspring, there was a significant decrease in the short- and long-term memory in Fip group compared to controls. The results with ORT confirm, in certain way, the results obtained in the 8-RAM with the spatial memory and seem to suggest an ‘imprinting’ effect by fipronil.

The tasks of ORT and 8-RAM are especially suitable for testing the effects of pharmacological interventions and chemical agents on learning and memory. What is new induces excitement and have motivational effects that are used as a reinforcement to induce learning [38].

According McEown and Treit [40] drugs which activate the GABAergic system promote impairment in memory formation when administered into the lateral ventricle, basal forebrain, hippocampus and amygdala. Our results appear to suggest the opposite, since fipronil is inhibitory on GABA system. These differences can be caused by the form and way of administration, once McEown and Treit made direct administration in specific locations of the CNS, while our results are due to systemic exposure.

In rodents, the tasks to access the memory recognition of a new object are based on the natural tendency of animals to explore what is new [41]. From the further exploration of a novel object in detriment of the already known, it is possible to calculate an index of discrimination using the proportion of time the animal spends investigating a novel object compared to that familiar. A wide range of evidences obtained from studies in rodents lesions involve perirhinal cortex as the brain region necessary for the recognition of a new object, based on visual cues [42] whereas detections of a new object based in suggestions require use of hippocampus function, parahippocampal cortex, and entorhinal cortex [43]. Following this line of reasoning, the evidence obtained from this task in our experiments do not exclude a Fip action affecting the region of the cerebral cortex perirhinal since the task conducted used visual evidences.

Classical neurophysiologic studies including both injury and electrophysiologic assessment in humans and animals have already shown that certain areas of the brain rich in GABA (amygdala, septum, hippocampus and entorhinal cortex) play an important role in the memory processes [44,45].

Experimental evidence demonstrates that in the progression of neurotoxic diseases a biochemical event precedes the structural alterations and permanent damage to the central nervous system [46]. In this regard, the concentration of acetylcholine (ACh) as well as AChE inhibitors is therapeutic tools to improve cognitive deficiencies associated with neurodegenerative diseases [38].

In this study there was a significant increase in blood AChE activity in adult pups of Fip group compared to control.

Changes in dynamics and activity in various neurotransmitters in the hippocampal formation show that some mediators of the learning and memory process, including ACh, play an important role in memory formation in the hippocampus. This is possible to be discovered by preventing the learning/memory following administration of antagonists of respective mediators [47]. According to Schmatz et al. [48], changes in AChE activity has been associated with damage to learning and memory.

Data from the literature regarding the effect of fipronil on biochemical changes, including the activity of AChE, are rare. Dumka and Gill [49] exposed buffalo calves to 0.5 mg/kg/day dose of fipronil, orally for 98 days, and observed no significant change in AChE activity while Gupta et al. [50] which exposed carp (Cyprinus carpio) to Fip in concentrations of 0.01, 0.1, 1.0 and 10 mg/L observed a significant reduction (approximately 50%) in AChE in the brain of these animals. Despite the differences in the profile of experiments, the results obtained in this study with lactational exposition to fipronil, do not corroborate with the data obtained by Gupta et al. [50] and Gill and Dumka [41].

In this study, the increased AChE activity leading to an ACh reduction in adult rats could be implicated in the loss of memory behavior, as observed in 8-RAM and ORT tasks.

The participation of cholinergic system in the learning and memory is well documented. Briefly, results obtained by Castro et al. [51] and Winters et al. [20] indicate an important role for cholinergic tone in motor learning and object recognition memory, suggesting a participation of Ach on memory process. The ORT tasks are capable of inducing synaptic modifications and promoting long-term potentiation in hippocampus [52] and still, provoke increase of Ach liberation [53]. In the present experiments the observed toxicity of Fip on AChE enzyme could have influenced the cholinergic transmission in the CNS modifying memory behavior.

Neurotoxic agents can cause brain injury, especially if the exposures occur during the early development period. If the process of the nervous system development of immature embryo are impaired, the effects tend to be of long duration and possibly permanent [53]. This could be the situation that occurred in this experiment of perinatal exposure to fipronil.

In this study, histological evaluation in the brains of pups exposed to fipronil showed that both young animals as adults had distinct morphological changes including vascular congestion, edema and vacuolization. These morphological changes occurring in several areas of the brain could lead to the impairment of brain functions, including those related to memory behavior.

The lack of literature data about fipronil toxicity on memory behavior difficult a comparison of our results but on the other hand enhances the aggregate value of this study demonstrating a correlation between fipronil pesticide and memory defects. At last, findings provide a strong argument that future studies examining effects and mechanisms of developmental fipronil exposure on brain/behavioral development ought to be designed. A suggestion for an alternative strategy to investigate the mechanistic action of the effects here observed, is to attempt to act on Cl-transporters that determine the effects of GABA, and on cholinergic transmission in the CNS.
Conclusion

Fipronil impacted the neurobehavioral development of rats exposed during the lactational period, causing damage to memory behavior, at short- and long-term, demonstrating a persistent neurotoxic effect. The data offer speculation that the observed damage on memory behavior can be related to the alterations on cholinergic functions but are insufficient to explain it. The histopathological alterations in brain suggest altered functional status in cerebral areas. Still, memory behavior facilitates the finding of potential prediction model for developmental neurotoxicity. These findings together may be a contribution to strengthen the hypothesis of a suggested relation between environmental pesticides and neurodegenerative diseases in which exists damage on memory behavior.
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