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Abstract. In this paper, we introduce a novel fast image reconstruction method for super-resolution (SR) based on sparse coding. This method combines online dictionary learning and a fast sparse coding way, both of which can improve the efficiency of the reconstruction process and ensure the image visual quality. The new online optimization algorithm for dictionary learning based on stochastic approximations, which can drastically advance the learning speed, especially on millions of training samples. Meanwhile, we trained a neural network to speed up the reconstruction process, which based on iterative shrinkage-thresholding algorithm (ISTA), we called learned iterative shrinkage-thresholding algorithm (LISTA). It would produce best approximation sparse code with some fixed depth. We demonstrate that our approach can simultaneously improve the image fidelity and cost less computation.
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0. Introduction

High-resolution image is valuable in many social areas. Such as reconstruct the high-resolution image from low-resolution image which taken in Criminal Investigation, get high-accurate CT, MIR, Ultrasonic wave to help doctor diagnose disease. Additionally, this is also play an important role in HDTV, Video Rip and Military occasion.

The main contribution of this paper is combining the online dictionary learning and approximating sparse coefficient together to reconstruct the high-resolution image, so that the reconstruction rate is splendidly speedup. Learning the dictionary by online method process one element of the millions of training samples at a time, which based on stochastic approximations(Aharon & Elad, 2008). Instead of optimize the l1-norm optimization for accurate sparse coefficients, we employ a feed-forward neural network to construct approximated sparse coefficients(Gregor & LeCun, 2010).

1. Fast image super-resolution

This section describe the two key components of SRIR—learn the over-complete dictionary and find sparse coefficient. Online dictionary learning proposed an iterative algorithm that solves eqn. by efficiently minimizing at each step a quadratic surrogate function of the empirical cost over the set of constraints (Mairal, Bach, Ponce, & Sapiro, 2009). We find the approximation sparse coefficient by train a non-linear, feed-forward neural network instead of find the accurate solution(Gregor & LeCun, 2010).

1.1 Online dictionary learning

According to what we talked above, the dictionary learning can be get by optimize the energy function:

\[
 f_n(\mathcal{D}) \triangleq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} l(\hat{y}_i, \mathcal{D}), \quad \text{where} \quad l(\hat{y}_i, \mathcal{D}) \triangleq \min_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} \| \hat{y}_i - \mathcal{D} \alpha \|_2^2 + \lambda \| \alpha \|_1 \tag{1.1}
\]
As pointed by Bettou and Bousquet (Bousquet & Bottou, 2007), maybe it is not important in a perfect minimization of energy function \( f(D) \), but interested in expected minimization cost:

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(D) = \lim_{n \to \infty} E[z] \left[ \mathcal{I}(\hat{y}, \hat{D}) \right]
\]  

(1.2)

The outline of our algorithm is described in algorithm 1

Algorithm 1: Online dictionary learning

Require: \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n : p(x) \) (random variable and an algorithm to draw i.i.d samples of \( p \)), \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \) (regularization parameter), \( D_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{mk} \) (initial dictionary), \( T \) (number of iterations)

1. Start:
   - \( A_t \leftarrow 0 \), \( B_t \leftarrow 0 \) (reset the “past” information);
   - 2. For \( t=1 \) to \( T \) do
     - 3. Draw \( x_t \) from \( p(x) \).
     - 4. Sparse coding: compute using LARS
       \[
       \alpha_t = \arg \min_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^k} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \| x_i - D \alpha \|_2^2 + \lambda \| \alpha \|_1
       \]
       (1.3)
     - 5. \( A_t \leftarrow A_{t-1} + \alpha_t \alpha_t^T \);
     - 6. \( B_t \leftarrow B_{t-1} + x_t \alpha_t^T \).
     - 7. Compute \( D_t \) using Algorithm 2, with \( D_{t-1} \) as warm restart. So that
     \[
     D_t = \arg \min_{D} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \| x_i - D \alpha \|_2^2 + \lambda \| \alpha \|_1 = \arg \min_{D} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \| x_i - D \alpha \|_2^2 + \lambda \| \alpha \|_1
     \]
     (1.4)
   - 8. End for;

9. Return \( D_T \) (learned dictionary).

We supposed the training set is composed of i.i.d samples of a distribution \( p(x) \), every loop it draws one element from the training set. It alternatively solve \( \alpha \) by classical sparse coding and \( D \) by minimizing the following function:

\[
\tilde{f}_i(D) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \| x_i - D \alpha \|_2^2 + \lambda \| \alpha \|_1
\]

(1.5)

The quadratic function \( f_i(D) \) is a surrogate. This function aggregates the previous information by the process of computing \( \alpha \).

The convergence analysis has shown that \( \tilde{f}_i(D) \) and \( f_i \) convergence almost to the same limit (Mairal et al., 2009). Because of \( \tilde{f}_i(D) \) is close to \( f_i \), so it can be efficiently computed via \( D_{t-1} \) as warm restart.

Algorithm 2: Dictionary update

Require: \( D = [d_1 \ d_2 \ K \ d_{k-1} \ d_k] \in \mathbb{R}^{mk} \) (input dictionary),

\[
A = [a_1 \ a_2 \ K \ a_k] \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i \alpha_i^T, B = [b_1 \ b_2 \ K \ b_k] \in \mathbb{R}^{mk} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i \alpha_i^T,
\]

1. Repeat; 2. For \( j=1 \) to \( k \) do
   - 3. Update the \( j \)-th column to optimize for eqn. :
     \[
     u_j \leftarrow \frac{1}{A_j} (b_j - D \alpha_j) + d_j, \ d_j \leftarrow \frac{1}{\max(\|u_j\|_2, 1)} u_j
     \]
     (1.6)
   - 4. End for; 5. Until convergence; 6. Return \( D \) (update dictionary).

The algorithm 2 uses block-coordinate descent with warm restart to update the dictionary. The benefits of this method is parameter-free and does not require any learning rate tuning. It sequentially update \( D \) column by column when update the \( j \)-th column, when set the other ones fixed under constraint \( d_d^T \leq 1 \). Recent works shows that separable constraint could make the function convergence to a global optimum. Since we use \( D_{t-1} \) to warm restart \( D_t \), so the block-coordinate descent will more efficient.
1.2 Approximation sparse coefficient

In this section, we employ the same neural network structure in paper (Gregor & LeCun, 2010) to reconstruct the HR patches.

In paper (Gregor & LeCun, 2010), the approach of train a neural network is inspired by the iterative shrinkage-thresholding algorithm (ISTA). The outline of ISTA is described in algorithm 3

Algorithm 3 ISTA

Require: L > largest eigenvalue of $W_d^T W_d$.

Initialize: $Z = 0$.

1: Repeat
   $Z = h_\theta ( h_{\alpha/L} \left( Z - \frac{1}{L} W_d^T (W_d Z - X) \right) )$

2: Until convergence;

3: End function.

The elements of the eqn. are defined as:
- Filter matrix: $W_e = \frac{1}{L} D_y^T$.
- Inhibition matrix: $S = I - \frac{1}{L} D_y^T D_y$.
- Shrinkage function: $h_\theta (z) = \text{sign}(z)(|z| - \theta)_+ .$

Here, $L$ is a constant, which is larger than the largest eigenvalue of $D_y^T D_y$, function $h_\theta (z)$ is a component-wise shrinkage function and $\theta$ is usually set as $\lambda / L$. In practice, because of this algorithm have to solve thousands of optimizations and take tens of iterations to convergence, it's too slow for practical applications. We use for reference paper (26), proposed a new idea which only need a fixed number of iteration $T$, they do not use fixed parameter in ISTA. The algorithm through minimize the following energy function to get the parameter $W = (W_e, S, \theta)$:

$$E(w) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} C(W, y_i), \text{ with } C(W, y_i) = \frac{1}{2} \|z_i - f_e(W, y_i)\|_2^2.$$ (1.8)

Here, $z_i$ is the accurate sparse coefficient of $y_i$ which from traditional sparse method. And $f_e(W, y_i)$ is the transformation of eqn.

Extensively, in our super-resolution algorithm we rewrite the energy function as:

$$C(W, y_i, x_i) = \frac{1}{2} \|z_i - f_e(W, y_i)\|_2^2 + \frac{V}{2} \|D_y z_i - x_i\|_2^2.$$ (1.9)

This will work better to predict the HR image. The outline of LISTA is describe in algorithm 4 and algorithm 5.

Algorithm 4

Require: $W_e, X$. Initialize: $B = W_e X$; $Z(0) = h_\theta (B)$. Arguments are passed by reference. Variables $Z(t)$, $C(t)$ and $B$ are saved for bprop.

1: For $t=1$ to $T$ do;
   2: $C(t) = B + S Z(t-1)$;
   3: $Z(t) = h_\theta (C(t))$;
4: End for; 5: Return $Z = Z(T)$

Algorithm 5

Require: $Z^*$ (accurate sparse coefficient from traditional methods); $Z(t)$, $C(t)$, $B$ (saved in fprop).

Initialize: $\delta B = 0; \delta S = 0; \delta \theta = 0; \delta Z(T) = (Z(T) - Z^*)$
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2. Experiment results

In the following, we first compare the SRIR image with Bicubic interpolation method (Yang et al., 2010), which is a splendid approach. Then we discuss the efficiency with other splendid approaches.
2.1 Comparison with joint dictionary learning

We compare our algorithm with Bicubic interpolation in visual result and other index. In order to make the fair comparison, we adopt the same training set and initial \( D \) for both methods.

a) Visual result: fig. 1(a)-(d) compared the results of Bicubic interpolation method with our fast image super-resolution four test images. From the left to right are the original HR images, the reconstructed images by Bicubic interpolation method and the reconstructed images by fast super-resolution method. From the results, we can easily see that our recovery are closed to the original images, the edge is more sharper than the Bicubic recovery, such as the moustaches of the cat, the texture of the freckles of the girl, the texture of the leaves and the hair of lena.
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Fig. 1 from left to right: original image, Bicubic interpolation recovery, our recovery

b) Numerical result: now we compute the Peak signal-to-noise ratio (PSNR) of the fast super-resolution image. PSNR is most easily defined via the mean squared error (MSE), MSE is defined as:

\[
MSE = \frac{1}{MN} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} [I(m,n) - f(m,n)]^2
\] (2.1)

Here, \( I \) and \( K \) are respectively represent the original image and our recovery. The PSNR is defined as:

\[
PSNR = 10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{MAX^2}{MSE} \right) = 20 \log_{10} \left( \frac{MAX}{\sqrt{MSE}} \right) = 20 \log_{10} (MAX) - 10 \log_{10} (\sqrt{MSE})
\] (2.2)

Here, MAXI is the maximum possible pixel value of the image. When the pixels are represented using 8 bits per sample, this is 255. Typical values for the PSNR in lossy image and video compression are between 30 and 50 dB, where higher is betters.
Table I shows the PSNR of test images. From table I we can see that our recovery outperforms the Bicubic interpolation recovery well with high PSNR. When the iteration times is fixed as 3, the fast sparse coding is converging to optimal.

### 2.2 Algorithm efficiency

| Image   | Metrics | Bicubic | Yang at el. | Fast recovery |
|---------|---------|---------|-------------|--------------|
| Girl    | PSNR(db)| 31.699140 | 33.34565 | 33.336294 |
|         | Time(s) | 0.012371 | 285.496759 | 34.6597 |
| Cat     | PSNR(db)| 28.3597 | 28.8658 | 28.8669 |
|         | Time(s) | 0.017776 | 446.296511 | 48.6235 |
| Leave   | PSNR(db)| 37.197505 | 38.0611 | 38.060146 |
|         | Time(s) | 0.012091 | 246.902859 | 41.3629 |
| Statuary| PSNR(db)| 26.0253 | 26.3064 | 26.2923 |
|         | Time(s) | 0.007996 | 180.382172 | 23.26 |

Table II processing time of different SR method

From table II we can see that our algorithm speedup the reconstruction process significantly. In addition, we can ensure both of the PSNR and the cost of compute time, since our algorithm adopt the approximate sparse coefficients and train the online dictionary. From fig. 2 for “statuary” image, Yang’s algorithm has the higher PSNR than our algorithm, but both of two images almost have the same visual quality.

![Fig. 2 top: original, Bicubic; bottom: Yang at el. Fast recovery.](image)

### 3. Conclusions

In this paper, we propose a novel approach to reconstruct the high-resolution image based on online dictionary learning and approximate sparse coefficient. The preliminary experiment prove that our algorithm not only ensure the visual quality but faster than other state-of-art approaches. However, our algorithm is not have the optimal recovery than other SR approaches, so, our future research is to train accurate dictionary to represent the sparse coefficients.

### References

[1] Aharon, M., & Elad, M. (2008). Sparse and Redundant Modeling of Image Content Using an Image-Signature-Dictionary. Siam Journal on Imaging Sciences, 1(3), 228-247.

[2] Beck, A., & Teboulle, M. (2009). A Fast Iterative Shrinkage-Thresholding Algorithm for Linear Inverse Problems. Siam Journal on Imaging Sciences, 2(1), 183-202.
[3] Bousquet, O., & Bottou, L. (2007). The Tradeoffs of Large Scale Learning. Paper presented at the Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems.

[4] Candes, E. J., Romberg, J. K., & Tao, T. (2006). Stable signal recovery from incomplete and inaccurate measurements. Communications on Pure And Applied Mathematics, 59(8), 1207-1223. doi: Doi 10.1002/Cpa.20124

[5] Daubechies, I., Defrise, M., & De Mol, C. (2004). An iterative thresholding algorithm for linear inverse problems with a sparsity constraint. Communications on Pure And Applied Mathematics, 57(11), 1413-1457. doi: Doi 10.1002/Cpa.20042