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ABSTRACT

Objectives: To identify and compare the stressors in the work environment experienced by employees in primary health care and secondary health care, among physicians and nurses.

Patients and methods: The survey was conducted to identify types of stressors by assessing health care workers employed in the primary and secondary health care services of the Public Institution, the Health Centre of the Sarajevo Canton, using a questionnaire about stress in the workplace.

Results: Among all study participants stressors connected to the organization of work, finance and communication were found to affect their mental health most strongly. The results show a significant difference between primary and secondary health care in experience of stressors related to the organization of work, communication, and stressors related to the emotional and physical risks. Primary health care physicians report a significantly higher experience of stress and impact on mental health compared with other physicians related to emotional difficulties when working in the field of palliative care. Our results also indicate a significant difference between primary and secondary health providers in experiencing stressors related to the organization of work, such as: on-call duty shifts, an inadequate working environment and in the assessment of administrative work overload.

Conclusion: The survey identified the most intense stressors for doctors and nurses at primary and secondary levels of health care services. The results of the study indicate that doctors and nurses have a different hierarchy of stressors, as well as subjects at Primary and Secondary Health Care. The results of the study indicate that subjects at Primary Health Care perceive more stressful organizational, emotional and communicational problems.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Stress is a response of the organism to various stimuli, positive or negative, real or perceived. These stimuli can be short-term, long-term or recurring, and regardless of their nature, they cause changes in the body. The short-term effects of stressors have certain benefits, but long-term exposure to stress results in an increase in blood pressure, consequent heart failure or suppression of the immune system (1, 2). Different types of stressors, the length of exposure to stress and personality characteristics are the elements that influence the response of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis, which is considered responsible for the development of various conditions caused by the effects of chronic stress (3). High stress levels can contribute to development of health-related impairments, including mental and behavioral disorders, as well as other physical impairments. Stress caused by work environment factors falls into the category of daily stress, and because of its consequences, requires special attention and evaluation, and calls for the definition of adequate preventive measures. According to its most common definition, stress at work results from the imbalance between demands and the ability to satisfy those demands. Karasek’s demand-control model of occupational stress presents the two dimensions in which the stress level increases with the increasing demands of the workplace while reducing the level of decision-making (4). The factors that cause occupational stress are divided into general and specific stressors. General stressors include those that
are present in all or at least most working environments. In some professions, along with the general stressors, there are also specific stressors that are typical of the environment and the specific profession (5). Occupational stress has become a growing problem in health care workers in recent years, and given this trend, a number of different tools have been developed to identify the main causes of stress (6).

2. AIM

The aim of this study was to identify the types and intensity of stressors in the workplace for health care providers at primary and secondary levels of health care, to compare them in relation to physicians and nurses and health care providers at different levels of the health care system.

3. PATIENTS AND METHODS

The study was cross-sectional, epidemiological and observational, performed as an anonymous survey of health care workers in primary health care services, and in specialist-consultative services in secondary health care, at the Public Institution the Health Centre of the Sarajevo Canton, in the period between April 2014 and September 2014. The study involved a total of 489 participants, 418 (85%) from Primary Health Care (PHC) and 71 (14%) from Secondary Health Care (SHC).

The questionnaire used in this study was created and designed as part of the project “Occupational health and a healthy environment” (6). After obtaining the permission of the author, the questionnaire was adapted into the Bosnian language, and a panel of experts validated its content. The panel was organized as a focus group formed of five members. The questionnaire adoption was formed of the author, the questionnaire was adapted into the Bosnian language, and a panel of experts validated its content. The panel was organized as a focus group formed of five members. The questionnaire adoption was done using the principles adapted from Geisinger (1994) and Van de Vijver & Hambleton (1996) for cross-cultural researchers to ensure satisfactory reliability and validity of the cross-cultural study. After the internal validation, the questionnaire contained 31 questions assessed using three scales (groups of questions for measuring workplace stressors) divided on the basis of three main factors. In this paper the software package Microsoft Excel 2010 was used. The hypothesis was tested by the correlation method. The difference between samples was considered significant if p<0.05.

Cronbach’s alpha reliability coefficient was used for stressors related to emotional and physical risks (8 questions).

Ethics statement

The study was conducted under the principles of the applicable revision of the Declaration of Helsinki, after approval had been given by the Ethics Committee of the Medical Faculty of the University of Sarajevo (7). The data were collected in accordance with bioethical standards, ensuring the privacy of the subjects involved in the research, and protection of data confidentiality.

Statistical analyses

Statistical analysis of the collected data was performed by the descriptive biostatistics method, using statistical software program SPSS * version 22.0 for Windows, and calculating the descriptive measures: count, percentage, minimum, maximum, arithmetic mean, median and standard deviation and appropriate statistical tests such as χ2- test.

During the study, n = 600 questionnaires were distributed, 512 were returned (response rate 85.3%). Out of 512 questionnaires, 489 (95.5%) were fully useful for the analysis. Most subjects were employed in General Primary Health Care - Family Medicine, n=279 (57.1%), and in other services belonging to primary health care, n=139 (28.5%). Most subjects were employed in the Primary Health care n=418 (85.5%), and there were Secondary Health Care subjects 71 (14.5%), seeing that the Secondary Health Care is a numerically smaller branch in an organizational sense.

| Gender | n = 105 | Age        |
|--------|---------|------------|
| Men    |         | Mean 42,31 |
|        | SD      | 11,041     |
|        | Minimum | 19         |
|        | Maximum | 65         |
| Women  | n = 384 | Mean 44,78 |
|        | SD      | 10,233     |
|        | Minimum | 20         |
|        | Maximum | 65         |
| Total  | n = 489 | Mean 44,25 |
|        | SD      | 10,449     |
|        | Minimum | 19         |
|        | Maximum | 65         |

Table 1. Sociodemographic data of stressors in our sample
Table 2. Most intensive stressors related to the organization of work, communication, emotional and physical risks

| Stressors related to the organization of work | Mean | Min | Max | Range | Variance | Number of questions |
|---------------------------------------------|------|-----|-----|-------|----------|------------------|
| Work overload                               | 4.09 | 1.053 | 489 |
| Limited time to examine patients            | 3.74 | 1.299 | 489 |
| Lack/non-functionality of medical diagnostic tools | 3.17 | 1.345 | 489 |
| Inadequate personal income                  | 4.19 | 1.114 | 489 |
| Overload of administrative work             | 4.22 | 1.125 | 489 |
| Insufficient number of workers/staff with your service | 4.16 | 1.217 | 489 |
| Problems in communication with patients and family members | 3.35 | 1.272 | 489 |
| Negative public attitude towards health profession | 3.79 | 1.286 | 489 |
| Unrealistic expectations of patients        | 3.60 | 1.275 | 489 |
| Wrong media information about health profession | 3.74 | 1.299 | 489 |
| Fear of job loss/notice                     | 3.09 | 1.470 | 489 |
| Possibility of legal claims and litigation  | 3.32 | 1.433 | 489 |

Table 3. Comparison of the three groups of questions (Central tendency and dispersion measures)

| Group of questions | Mean | Min | Max | Range | Variance | Number of questions |
|--------------------|------|-----|-----|-------|----------|------------------|
| Stressors related to the organization of work | 3.310 | 2.456 | 4.219 | 1.763 | 1.718 | 0.502 | 14 |
| Stressors related to communication             | 2.898 | 2.129 | 3.791 | 1.663 | 1.781 | 0.504 | 9 |
| Stressors related to emotional and physical risks | 2.893 | 2.519 | 3.319 | 0.800 | 1.317 | 0.099 | 8 |

Deletion any of questions will not increase Cronbach alpha in the group of questions related to the organization of work (Table 3).

In the group of questions related to communication, deletion of only one question - “problems in communication with patient or family member” would slightly increase Cronbach alpha value for only 0.1. Because of that, we did not delete it and were counted Cronbach alpha value with this question.

Deletion any of questions will not increase Cronbach alpha in the group of questions related to emotional and physical risks (Table 4).

5. DISCUSSION

The results of this study indicate the exposure of health care workers to a wide range of occupational stressors. Among all study participants, stressors connected to the organization of work, finance, and communication were found to affect their mental health most strongly.

The results seem to identify some common stressors which will help in recommending a set of interventions for all health care workers and some stressors more common in study groups which will lead to another set of measures which are more in line with the level of care and hierarchy. Primary health care physicians report a significantly higher experience of stress and impact on mental health compared with other physicians related to emotional difficulties when working in the field of palliative care. The same results were shown in a study in the United Kingdom (9).

Our results also indicate a significant difference between primary and secondary health providers in experiencing stressors related to the organization of work, such as: on-call duty shifts, an inadequate working environment, and in the assessment of administrative work overload. Similar results were found in research in Saudi Arabia among health providers at primary and secondary levels of health care (10), where the level among nurses was 45.5%, or 43.1% and 46.2% in primary and secondary care, respectively. Our results show that organizational and funding issues have a high position among stress factors, which is supported by the literature data. Poor organization of work is a stressor mentioned by health care workers in developed countries, but also in health care systems such as, for example in Croatia (11). In studies in Taiwan (12) and Australia (13) it was noted that specialist physicians, general practitioners/family
physicians and registered nurses had a statistically elevated likelihood of work stress relative to other health care providers, similar to what we noted in our research. Financial constraints are typical for countries in transition, as well as in physicians in developed countries who work in public institutions with limited financial, material and spatial resources, which results in the development of stress. Considering the fact that significant economic and political reforms took place in the countries of South Eastern Europe over the past two decades and, as a result, the reform of the health care system is still ongoing in all countries in the region, including Bosnia and Herzegovina, it is to be expected that there is a somewhat different hierarchy regarding the most important stressors related to the working place here, compared to developed countries (15).

Considering the fact that Bosnia and Herzegovina is a country in transition and these changes in the organization of the health system and education of professionals occurred in the 1990-ies, it is expected for us to witness new occupational stressors and their obvious connection with these changes. The importance of organization at the level of institutions is not negligible, as it can be a source of stress for employees. In the field of the organization of the work of an institution, the usual prominent stressors are the insufficient number of workers/staff, poor communication with superiors or colleagues, work overload, overload of administrative work or little possibility of promotion at work (15), and all of these stressors were also identified and assessed as stressful or very stressful in our research.

6. CONCLUSIONS

The results of this research identify specific stressors related to the working environment. There is a significant difference in the assessment of the intensity of stressors between the PHC and SHC employees. PHC employees experience stressors more intensely in the field of organization of work, such as: work overload, overload of administrative work, on-call duty shifts, daily unforeseen and unplanned for situations, lack of adequate continuous education, and inadequate working space. Primary health care is also characterized by more intense emotional stressors, such as: emotional difficulties in the field of palliative care, the inability to separate private and professional life, inaccurate media information about the health care profession, unrealistic expectations of patients and their families, and the fear of a physical attack by a patient. Secondary health care employees assess little possibility of promotion at work as a more intense stressor. From the results of the research it may be concluded that physicians and nurses/technicians have a different hierarchy of stressors. Physicians strongly perceive stressors in the field of organization of work, such as work overload and limited time for examination of the patient, the lack of adequate continuous medical education, lack of literature, public criticism and the possibility of legal claims.
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