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Abstract

Steganography is a technique to improve the security of data, which is by inserting messages or confidential information using a medium called the host or carrier or cover. A wide variety of digital media can be used as a host, among others audio, image, video, text, header, IP datagram, and so forth. For audio steganography, the embedded audio is called stego-audio. Steganography can be cracked by using steganalysis. By exploiting the weaknesses of each steganography method, Many steganography method has been developed to increase its performance. This work proposed audio steganography scheme called MELSB which is modified version of ELSB. This method use Modified Bit Selection Rule to increase SNR and robustness of stego-audio. SNR result after applying MELSB scheme is increased. MELSB scheme also increase robustness of stego-audio. MELSB still work fine until amplification level 1.07. MELSB also work fine against noise addition better than ELSB and LSB. It give BER and CER with value 0 at SNR 33 dB. MELSB work fine in real-time condition on 802.11n WLAN if there is no transcoding and noise addition between sender’s and recipient’s computer
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1. Introduction

In this era of technology, electronic communication has become a necessity in human life. Internet growth accelerated to encourage various researches to improve the security of data, whether they are public or private. There are three techniques used, namely cryptography, steganography and watermarking.

Steganography is a technique to improve the security of data, which is by inserting messages or confidential information using a medium called the host or carrier or cover. A wide variety of digital media can be used as a host, among others audio, image, video, text, header, IP datagram, and so forth. As cryptography that can be solved by cryptanalysis, steganography can be solved by using steganalysis. By exploiting the weaknesses of a steganography method, steganalysis continue to be developed in order to solve steganography for a variety of media and methods of insertion.

For this reason, it is necessary to develop a new steganographic method or develop from existing methods. Modified Enhanced Least Significant Bit (MELSB) is a method developed from the Enhanced Least Significant Bit (ELSB) method which is included in the temporal domain insertion method category. The differences that owned by MELSB is the Modified Bit Selection rule and Sample Selection rule making confidential information is not easily detected. MELSB method will be tested on 802.11n channel to determine the performance and resistance in wireless channel.

2. Basic Theory

2.1 Steganography

Steganography comes from the Greek Stegano, which means "hidden" and graphein which means "to write" [7]. Media used to hide secret messages referred to as the host and confidential information that would embed into the host called a message. Media used as a host or a message in the form of multimedia files such as images, audio, video, or text. Steganography is used to send a very important message so as not to be stolen in transmission or to give a sign to a file that can contain information about copyright or hidden serial number.
2.2 Enhanced Least Significant bit (ELSB)
Enhanced Least Significant Bit is a modification of the method of Least Significant Bit. This method can be done in two ways. The first way is to randomize the number of bits of the host file that is used for embedding secret messages. While the second way is to randomize the sample host containing a secret message bits the next. In Enhanced Least Significant Bit, where the bits on the host used to embed message is not always the same. Ashima Wadhwa [16] identifies that ELSB work fine against steganalysis attacks and has advantages compared to the LSB.

| 1st MSB | 2nd MSB | Secret message bit |
|---------|---------|--------------------|
| 0       | 0       | 3rd LSB            |
| 0       | 1       | 2nd LSB            |
| 1       | 0       | 1st LSB            |
| 1       | 1       | 1st LSB            |

Table 1. ELSB bit selection rule [12]

| 1st MSB | 2nd MSB | 3rd MSB | Sample containing next secret message bit |
|---------|---------|---------|-------------------------------------------|
| 0       | 0       | 0       | i + 1                                     |
| 0       | 0       | 1       | i + 2                                     |
| 0       | 1       | 0       | i + 3                                     |
| 0       | 1       | 1       | i + 4                                     |
| 1       | 0       | 0       | i + 5                                     |
| 1       | 0       | 1       | i + 6                                     |
| 1       | 1       | 0       | i + 7                                     |
| 1       | 1       | 1       | i + 8                                     |
2.3 Modified Enhanced Least Significant Bit (MELSB)

Bit selection rule from ELSB method, in this work will be changed. Changes made when 1st MSB and 2nd MSB are 0 and 0. In ELSB when first two MSB is 0 and 0, 3rd LSB is used to embed message bit. In MELSB when first two MSB is 0 and 0, 1st LSB is used to embed message bit. This is done in order to make changed value on the host are not too visible when message insertion process is done. MELSB has complexity like ELSB. For N message characters to embed, MELSB need $8N_m$ to $64N_m$ substitution operation which needs 3-cycles per operation. If 1 sample need 1 Byte, MELSB need $8N_m$ to $64N_m$ Bytes of memory.

| 1st MSB | 2nd MSB | Secret message bit |
|---------|---------|--------------------|
| 0       | 0       | 1st LSB            |
| 0       | 1       | 2nd LSB            |
| 1       | 0       | 3rd LSB            |
| 1       | 1       | 3rd LSB            |

Table 3. MELSB bit selection rule

| Method       | Complexity     | Memory             |
|--------------|----------------|--------------------|
| LSB          | $8N_m$         | $8N_m$ Bytes       |
| ELSB         | $8N_m$ to $64N_m$ | $8N_m$ to $64N_m$ Bytes |
| MELSB        | $8N_m$ to $64N_m$ | $8N_m$ to $64N_m$ Bytes |

Table 4. Complexity and Memory Comparison of LSB, ELSB, and MELSB. $N_m$ is Number of Message Characters

| Method                     | Strong Points                                                                 | Weak Points                                                                 |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Lowest Bit Coding (LSB)    | • Low computational complexity                                               | • Less prone to attacks                                                       |
|                            | • High bit rate                                                                | • Amplifying, noise addition and compression of audio will destroy the data  |
|                            | • Easier implementation                                                        | • Extraction is easy                                                         |
| Enhanced Least Significant Bit (ELSB) | Randomness in the bit selection and sample selection providing more security. | Compression will destroy the data                                             |
| Modified Enhanced Least Significant Bit (MELSB) | Modified Bit Selection give higher SNR to stego-audio. | Compression will destroy the data                                             |

Table 5. Comparison of LSB, ELSB, and MELSB insertion method
2.4 MELSB Steganography Block Diagram

A block diagram of steganography of this thesis can be seen in Figure 2. Input host data is speech signal mono with a sampling frequency 8000 Hz and each sample is encoded to 8 bits. The use of sampling frequency 8000 Hz and 8-bit encoding in order MELSB insertion pattern in Table 2 and Table 3 can be met. In this Thesis using 802.11n Wireless LAN with 1 spatial stream and 20 MHz channel.

Fig 2. MELSB Steganography Block Diagram

3. Implementation and Testing

3.1 Non Real-Time Implementation

3.1.1 Message embedding process

The message that will be inserted into the host is prepared beforehand. Decimal ASCII value of each character is obtained. Then the decimal ASCII value of each character is converted into 8 bits binary number. While user talk through a microphone each voice samples are converted into 8 bits binary form and message bits embedded at the same time. After embedding process done stego-audio will be stored in a variable. This variable can be send to recipient computer at different time.

Fig. 3 Message Embedding Process

3.1.2 Non Real-Time Sending Process

Transmission between two computers using TCIP / IP which is works on the client-server principle. The sender computer will act as the server and the recipient’s computer acts as the client. The network used is Wireless-LAN 802.11n. After sender and recipient is connected in network, sender will load the variable that contain stego-audio data. This variable is then sent to the recipient’s computer.

Fig 4. Non Real-Time Sending Process
3.1.3 Non Real-Time Extraction

Variable contains the stego-audio data that has been received in the recipient’s computer will go through the process of extraction. The extraction process is done when stego-audio data is completely received, given the real condition of the recipient does not know how long the message is sent. The extraction process is the process of taking all the bits along the received stego-audio data with following the pattern in Table 1 and Table 3.

3.2 Real-Time Implementation

3.2.1 Real-Time Embedding and Sending

Real-time embedding and sending process is similar to the process of non-real-time sending. The message that will be inserted is prepared beforehand and converted into 8 bits binary for each character. Once the sender and receiver are connected, the user at the sender will speak at the microphone and embedding process will be carried out simultaneously. The voice that already contains the message will be sent directly to the recipient without being stored into a memory or a variable.

3.2.2 Real-Time Extraction

Extraction process in recipient computer will be carried simultaneously while Recipient’s computer receive stego-audio data from sender’s computer. The received data will get straight through extraction process by taking the message bits in the received stego-audio data based on the pattern in Table 2 and Table 3.

3.3 Robustness Test

This test is conducted to measure MELSB robustness compared with LSB and ELSB method. Bit Error Rate (BER) and Character Error Rate (CER) will be calculated in this test.

3.3.1 SNR Testing

This test was conducted to prove that the SNR of audio after embedding messages is larger when using the MELSB scheme (ELSB with modified bits selection rules). This test was conducted using LSB, ELSB, and MELSB scheme. SNR is obtained by using this formula

\[
\text{SNR} = 10 \log_{10}
\]

3.3.2 Amplification Test

Amplification test conducted to determine the robustness of the MELSB methods against amplification compared with ELSB and LSB. Amplification here is increasing the amplitude of the audio signal that had been inserted by a message. Stego-audio is amplified with amplification level from 1 to 2 with interval 0.01. After amplified, message will be extracted from stego-audio depend on how stego-audio was made. If stego-audio was made using LSB method, the message will be extracted using LSB extraction scheme. If it was made using ELSB method, the message will be extracted using ELSB scheme, and as well as when using MELSB method. BER and CER of the extracted message will be calculated.

Fig 5. Real-time Implementation Block Diagram

Fig 6. Amplification Test Block Diagram
3.3.3 Testing Against Noise Addition
Testing is conducted by add AWGN noise in the audio signal that had been inserted with a message (stego-audio) using LSB, ELSB, and MELSB insertion method. SNR of stego-audio continuously increased from 1 dB to 50 dB. After adding noise, message in stego-audio will be extracted depend on how stego-audio is made. BER and CER of the inserted message will be calculated.

3.3.4 Compression / Transcoding Test
Stego-audio is compressed from 64 Kbps to ADPCM 32 Kbps. After stego-audio is compressed, message in stego-audio will be extracted, then BER and CER of extracted message is calculated.

3.4 MELSB Steganography Testing on 802.11n WLAN
Testing was performed using 802.11n WLAN network in the campus of the Faculty of Engineering, Telkom University to measure MELSB method performance and latency. Tests carried out at three different places using two computer act as sender and recipient using Real-time scheme without transcoding and noise addition. The message that will be embedded is **The quick brown fox jumps over a lazy dog 1234567890**.

4 Result and Analysis
4.1. SNR Result after Embedding
The results of 30 attempts of SNR test can be seen in the table 6.

| Attempt | SNR (dB) | LSB | ELSB | MELSB |
|---------|---------|-----|------|-------|
| 1       | 44.36173| 35.99525 | 40.63282 |
| 2       | 46.65434| 33.80618 | 40.15372 |
| 3       | 40.87727| 36.28362 | 44.21818 |
| 4       | 43.2815 | 37.17845 | 40.86791 |
| 5       | 41.99188| 34.13527 | 41.3105 |
| 6       | 38.92647| 33.24726 | 39.17588 |
| 7       | 41.01622| 33.14977 | 40.84485 |
| 8       | 43.90634| 37.20582 | 42.06382 |
| 9       | 37.84235| 34.53976 | 40.69677 |
| 10      | 39.4386 | 35.54426 | 42.48434 |
| 11      | 38.70683| 37.42117 | 40.53558 |
| 12      | 47.17619| 39.3466 | 42.74927 |
| 13      | 43.26216| 33.07516 | 41.107 |
| 14      | 41.38815| 38.06389 | 38.62263 |
| 15      | 41.50903| 41.08978 | 38.74961 |
| 16      | 44.02229| 36.82805 | 44.90617 |
As seen in Table that average SNR after embedding using MELSB scheme is smaller than LSB scheme but larger than ELSB. Modified Bit Selection Rule in MELSB method replace 1st LSB of host-audio when the first two MSB is “00”, while Bit Selection Rule in ELSB replace 3rd LSB. So the difference value between host-audio and stego-audio is smaller when using MELSB, with the result that MELSB scheme give larger SNR than ELSB.

4.2 Amplification Test Result

Result of amplification test can be seen in table 7 and table 8. As seen in table, MELSB method still works well until amplification 1.07, which means amplitude value is enlarged by 7% from previous value. It means amplification mostly affecting 1st LSB of stego-audio. When the amplitude value of stego-audio is enlarged by amplification 1st LSB of stego-audio is mostly changed. Modified Bit Selection Rule in MELSB have better robustness against amplification, because it replace 3rd LSB when first two MSB is “10” or ”11”, while Bit Selection Rule in ELSB replace 1st LSB.

Table 7. BER after Amplification Testing Result for file ’rekamanlagi.wav’

| Amplification | Message BER |
|---------------|-------------|
|               | LSB    | ELSB   | MELSB |
| 1             | 0      | 0      | 0      |
| 1.01          | 0      | 0      | 0      |
| 1.02          | 0      | 0      | 0      |
| 1.03          | 0      | 0      | 0      |
| 1.04          | 0      | 0      | 0      |
| 1.05          | 0      | 0      | 0      |
| 1.06          | 0.018868 | 0.023585 | 0    |
| 1.07          | 0.044811 | 0.051887 | 0    |
| 1.08          | 0.153302 | 0.134434 | 0.113208 |
| 1.09          | 0.283019 | 0.20283 | 0.113208 |
| 1.1           | 0.384434 | 0.264151 | 0.113208 |
Table 8. CER after Amplification Testing Result for file ‘rekamanlagi.wav’

| Amplification | Message CER |
|---------------|-------------|
|               | LSB | ELSB | MELSB |
| 1             | 0   | 0    | 0     |
| 1.01          | 0   | 0    | 0     |
| 1.02          | 0   | 0    | 0     |
| 1.03          | 0   | 0    | 0     |
| 1.04          | 0   | 0    | 0     |
| 1.05          | 0   | 0    | 0     |
| 1.06          | 0.056604 | 0.113208 | 0 |
| 1.07          | 0.056604 | 0.150943 | 0 |
| 1.08          | 0.301887 | 0.245283 | 0.528302 |
| 1.09          | 0.320755 | 0.264151 | 0.528302 |
| 1.1           | 0.566038 | 0.471698 | 0.528302 |

4.3 Result Against Noise Addition

The test results of noise addition test can be seen in the image below. All of method work fine if SNR is above 30 dB. MELSB produce BER and CER with value 0 at SNR 33 dB, ELSB at SNR 34 dB and LSB at SNR 35 dB. Sample Selection Rule combined with Modified Bit Selection Rule in MELSB method increase the robustness against noise addition.

Fig. 9 BER Result after Noise Addition

Fig 10. CER Result after Noise Addition
4.4 Compression / Transcoding Test Result
All of embedding method is weak against compression / transcoding because the amplitude value is changed after compression, so when it encoded into 8 bits binary form, the binary value of amplitude and embedded messages is changed as well.

Table 9. Message BER and CER after Compression Result for file ‘rekamanlagi.wav’

| Method  | BER     | CER     | Extracted message |
|---------|---------|---------|-------------------|
| LSB     | 0.466981| 0.981132| ‘A’               |
| ELSB    | 0.436321| 0.981132| ‘’                |
| MELSB   | 0.474057| 1       | ‘’                |

4.5 MELSB Steganography Testing Results on 802.11n WLAN
As seen in Table 10 MELSB scheme works fine in 802.11n WLAN in real time condition. It gives CER with value 0, if there’s no transcoding and noise addition between sender and recipient. Total average latency in real time condition on 802.11n is between 900 milliseconds to 1200 milliseconds, while WLAN latency is between 0.8 milliseconds to 5.8 milliseconds. This means MELSB scheme (embedding and extracting process) takes more time be processed. Latency also affected by speech data retrieval process from microphone and 8 bit encoder/decoder in sender and recipient side.

Table 10. Steganography Testing Result on 802.11n Network

| Attempt | Room/Place                  | WLAN Bit rate | Number of User | Sender-recipient distance | Result          | Average total Latency (second) | WLAN latency (second) |
|---------|-----------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|
| 1       | DSP Research Lab, Telkom University | 65 Mbps       | 49             | ±3m                       | Voice is clean, CER = 0 | 0.968906574                 | 0.000984625          |
| 2       | DSP Research Lab, Telkom University | 11 Mbps       | 94             | ±3m                       | Voice is clean, CER = 0 | 1.135028181                 | 0.005818192          |
| 3       | DSP Research Lab, Telkom University | 11 Mbps       | 94             | ±3m                       | Voice is clean, CER = 0 | 1.159217845                 | 0.005818192          |
| 4       | B Building, Telkom University   | 72.2 Mbps     | 9              | ±3m                       | Voice is clean, CER = 0 | 1.219979404                 | 0.000886437          |
| 5       | B Building, Telkom University   | 72.2 Mbps     | 9              | ±3m                       | Voice is clean, CER = 0 | 1.158339406                 | 0.000886437          |
| 6       | B Building, Telkom University   | 72.2 Mbps     | 9              | ±3m                       | Voice is clean, CER = 0 | 1.147673246                 | 0.000886437          |
| 7       | Graduate School G206, Telkom University | 21 Mbps   | 6              | ±7m                       | Voice is clean, CER = 0 | 1.160236403                 | 0.003047642          |
| 8       | Graduate School G206, Telkom University | 21 Mbps   | 6              | ±7m                       | Voice is clean, CER = 0 | 1.149752728                 | 0.003047642          |
| 9       | Graduate School G206, Telkom University | 21 Mbps   | 6              | ±7m                       | Voice is clean, CER = 0 | 1.153833861                 | 0.003047642          |

5 Conclusion
a) Modified bit selection rule in MELSB can increase SNR of stego-audio better than ELSB. Because it replace 1th LSB of host-audio when the first two MSB is “00”, while Bit Selection Rule in ELSB replace 3th LSB. So the difference value between host-audio and stego-audio is smaller when using MELSB, with the result that MELSB scheme give larger SNR than ELSB.

b) MELSB is stronger than ELSB against amplification. Amplification mostly affecting 1st LSB of stego-audio. When the amplitude value of stego-audio is enlarged by amplification 1st LSB of stego-audio is mostly changed. Modified Bit Selection Rule in MELSB have better robustness against amplification, because it replace 3th LSB when first two MSB is “10” or “11”.

c) MELSB is stronger than ELSB and LSB against noise addition due to combination of Sample Selection rule and Modified Bit Selection rule.

d) LSB, ELSB, and MELSB method is cannot work against transcoding. Transcoding changed the amplitude value of stego-audio. When amplitude value is encoded into 8 bits binary form, the binary value of amplitude and embedded messages bits is changed as well.

5 Conclusion
a) Modified bit selection rule in MELSB can increase SNR of stego-audio better than ELSB. Because it replace 1st LSB of host-audio when the first two MSB is “00”, while Bit Selection Rule in ELSB replace 3rd LSB. So the difference value between host-audio and stego-audio is smaller when using MELSB, with the result that MELSB scheme give larger SNR than ELSB.

b) MELSB is stronger than ELSB against amplification. Amplification mostly affecting 1st LSB of stego-audio. When the amplitude value of stego-audio is enlarged by amplification 1st LSB of stego-audio is mostly changed. Modified Bit Selection Rule in MELSB have better robustness against amplification, because it replace 3rd LSB when first two MSB is “10” or “11”.

c) MELSB is stronger than ELSB and LSB against noise addition due to combination of Sample Selection rule and Modified Bit Selection rule.

d) LSB, ELSB, and MELSB method is cannot work against transcoding. Transcoding changed the amplitude value of stego-audio. When amplitude value is encoded into 8 bits binary form, the binary value of amplitude and embedded messages bits is changed as well.

e) MELSB can work fine in real time transmission using 802.11n Network if there is no transcoding and noise addition between sender and recipient.

f) MELSB scheme takes more times to be processed in real-time condition. As seen in Table 5.9, total average latency is larger than WLAN latency.
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