Oral labetalol versus oral nifedipine for the management of postpartum hypertension a randomized control trial
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ABSTRACT
Objective: To compare the efficacy of oral Labetalol versus oral Nifedipine for the treatment of postpartum hypertension.

Methods: A prospective randomized controlled trial with parallel assignment was conducted in the department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Dow University of Health Sciences Karachi, Pakistan, 124 patients with post partum hypertension were selected and randomized into two groups with 62 patients receiving Labetalol and 62 receiving long acting nifedipine. Initial blood pressures were recorded, and the respective drug was administered. Dose adjustments were performed in the initial 24 hours. The outcome was measured in the form of drug efficacy by lowering of systolic blood pressure less than 140mm of Hg and diastolic less than 90mm of Hg up to 48 hours after starting treatment. Data was entered and analyzed through SPSS version 20.

Results: Our study randomized 62 women to oral labetalol and 62 women to oral long acting nifedipine. The time required to achieve blood pressure control was 35.6±2.8 hours in labetalol group and 30.4±1.9 hours in nifedipine group (p=0.04). Length of hospital stay, need of additional antihypertensive medications were same in both groups. Minor side effects were observed more in nifedipine group.

Conclusion: We conclude that both oral labetalol and oral long acting nifedipine are effective and well tolerated interventions for the management of post-partum hypertension. However we found Nifedipine more effective in the management of postpartum hypertension.
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INTRODUCTION
Hypertension complicates 6–10% of all Pregnancies and is a major cause of maternal morbidity and mortality. Blood pressure (BP) levels remain low immediately post delivery but gradually rise, reaching a peak three to six days after delivery. Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy may persist post-partum or appear for the first time after delivery. The national institute of health and care excellence (NICE) and American college of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) propose treatment of post-partum hypertension when BP levels are ≥150/100mmHg checked on two consecutive occasions 4 to 6 hours apart. Failure to treat severe hypertension in the post-partum period may cause eclampsia and fatal intracranial hemor-
rhage. Therefore effective and urgent treatment of post-partum hypertension is recommended. A Cochrane review done in January, 2013 showed a paucity of available clinical evidence in terms of randomized controlled trials comparing treatment options for postpartum hypertension.

Available pharmacological interventions for treatment of post-partum hypertension include intravenous hydralazine, intravenous or oral labetalol, nifedipine as short acting and extended long acting preparations, amlodipine and ACE inhibitors. NICE recommends that due to side effects of associated sedation, postural hypotension and depression methyldopa should be switched to an alternative agent in the post-partum period, although it was considered as the preferred drug in breast feeding mothers. Hydralazine is associated with more side effects is better not used as first line therapy to control hypertension in pregnancy and the post-partum period. The ACOG committee (February 2019) recommends using immediate release oral nifedipine as first line therapy when intravenous access is not available or obtained for treatment of severe intra-partum or post-partum hypertension. An alternative option was oral labetalol for management of severe hypertension.

Previous studies have compared intravenous labetalol, hydralazine, methyldopa and immediate release oral nifedipine for the management of post-partum hypertension. In our limited resourced healthcare setting we see a large number of women with hypertension during pregnancy or in the postpartum period. In these patient’s oral labetalol and oral extended release or long acting nifedipine would be the most convenient medications for management of post-partum hypertension. The aim of our study was to evaluate the efficacy of oral labetalol versus oral nifedipine for the management of post-partum hypertension.

METHODS

A prospective randomized controlled trial with parallel assignment was conducted in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Dow University of Health Sciences Karachi, Pakistan, between January, 2015 and December, 2015. The trial was registered at clinical trial.gov registration no. NCT02426177.

All women with any parity and age, who delivered at ≥20 weeks of pregnancy with persistent post-partum hypertension defined as systolic blood pressure (SBP) blood pressure of ≥ 150mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) of ≥100mmHg requiring an antihypertensive medication were included in the study. Eligible patients had persistent hypertension following gestational hypertension, pre-eclampsia, or had denovo post-partum hypertension without previous history. Exclusion criteria were women with a history of heart block or arrhythmias, heart failure, asthma, uncontrolled diabetes, hypothyroidism, chronic hypertension with history of other pregnancy antihypertensive medication intake, renal disease with Serum Creatinine level >1mg/dl, those with allergies to either nifedipine or labetalol and those not willing to participate in the study.

Eligible candidates provided written informed consent at enrollment and the study protocol was approved by the Dow University of Health Sciences Institutional Review Board. (Ref. No. IRB-S41/ DUHS/14).

Participants of the study were randomized into two groups (1) labetalol and (2) long acting nifedipine. The study was not blinded due to different types of medications and their dosage schedule. However, the selection of drug was blinded as the patients enter the study after randomization. Envelopes with drugs were numbered consecutively and sealed and jumbled up, half containing labetalol and the other half containing nifedipine. The envelopes were used in patients as they entered the study after simple randomization. The Patients initial blood pressure was recorded using a mercurial sphygmomanometer and the drug assigned to that patient was given. Serial blood pressure measurements were done hourly for six hours and then four hourly till 24 hours. Labetalol was initiated 100mg per orally Q1D and increased to 1200mg as needed to control blood pressure. Nifedipine long acting (nifedipine LA 30mg) was given 30 mg per orally once daily and increased to 90mg daily as needed to control the blood pressure. If blood pressure was not controlled with the maximum dose of one medication, the other medication was added at the lowest starting dose and incremental doses given accordingly till the desired blood pressure was achieved. Desired blood pressure taken as adequate control was systolic blood pressure below 150mmHg and diastolic blood pressure between 80 and 100mmHg. Additional medications as intravenous antihypertensive and magnesium sulphate for seizure prophylaxis were used as required on an individual patient according to decision of managing team.

The primary outcome measure was drug efficacy in terms of time required to achieve blood
pressure control (SBP < 150mmHg and DBP between 80 – 100mmHg), and sustained blood pressure control defined as absence of spikes of severe hypertension (SBP≤160mmHg &/or DBP ≤ 110mmHg) for at least 72 hours. Secondary outcome measures include the need of additional antihypertensive medications, length of hospital stay and drug related side effects.

Baseline demographic data including age, parity, BMI family history of hypertension, mode of delivery were collected and recorded for all participants.

Using open Epi method, the sample size was calculated with the efficacy of labetalol and nifedipine set to 81% and 58% respectively. A confidence interval of 95% with 1-beta error of 80% was set and sample size of study is N=124 was determined with 62 individuals to each group. A non-probability consecutive sampling technique was used. A dropout rate of 10% was anticipated with the target size of n=144.

Intention to treat analysis was performed and t-tests or analysis of variance were used for continuous variables and chi-square tests for categorical variables. Data was analyzed using IBM SPSS Version 20. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

A total of 124 women were included in our study. Sixty-two women were randomized to the oral labetalol group and 62 to the oral long acting labetalol v/s nifedipine for Postpartum H/T: A RCT.
nifedipine group. The women ranged from 18 - 48 years of age. Baseline maternal characteristics were similar in both groups in terms of age, parity, body mass index and family history of hypertension. Vaginal delivery and cesarean section rates were also similar in two groups. (Table-I).

Women in both groups completed the study. The time required to achieve blood pressure control was 35.6 ± 2.8 hours in the labetalol groups and 30.4 ± 1.9 hours in nifedipine group (p=0.041) indicating nifedipine achieved control earlier that labetalol. Similarly, blood pressure control was maintained more effectively with initial starting dose for next 72 hours in the nifedipine group compared to the labetalol group. Labetalol group required more dose increments from 100mg QDS starting dose compared to the 30mg OD nifedipine starting dose. (p=0.001) (Table-II). The need of additional oral and /or intravenous antihypertensive interventions in both groups were similar (Table-III).

In the labetalol Group-4 patients required the addition of nifedipine to control blood pressure and in the nifedipine group, three patients required the addition of labetalol once maximum drug dose was given. 58 women in labetalol group and 59 in the nifedipine group were discharged on the single agent treatment regimen initially started. The mean hospital stay was 3.5 ± 1.3 days for the labetalol group and 3.4 ± 1.5 days for the nifedipine group. Twelve women in labetalol group and 10 in nifedipine group required one or two doses of intravenous antihypertensive drug for initial control of severe hypertension ≥ 160 / 110 mmHg. (Table-III), but later controlled on oral antihypertensive drug of the respective group. Only one woman in labetalol group required admission on 5th postnatal day for uncontrolled hypertension and received an ACE inhibitor for blood pressure control.

No major side effects were observed in either group. One woman in labetalol group had bronchospasm which was managed adequately. Headache, hypotension and palpitations were common side effects noted with nifedipine. (Table-IV).

DISCUSSION

Our study compared oral labetalol and oral long acting nifedipine for the treatment of post-partum hypertension. We found that both interventions are effective in achieving good blood pressure control with the starting dose. However, oral nifedipine achieved control earlier than oral labetalol in our study. Side effects were found to be lower in women who were given labetalol than those given nifedipine. Both drugs have minimal excretion in breast milk.15 Labetalol should be avoided in women with asthma as it worsens bronchospasm. A study conducted in Pakistan

| Characteristics                  | Labetalol (n = 62) | Nifedipine (n = 62) | P-Value |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------|
| --                               | Mean ± SD          | Mean ± SD           |         |
| Age (Years)                      | 26.7 ± 1.9         | 25.9 ± 1.8          | 1       |
| Parity                           | 3.1 ± 0.3          | 3.7 ± 0.7           | 0.57    |
| BMI (kg/m²)                      | 25.7 ± 4.05        | 25.5 ± 3.9          | 0.91    |
| Family history of hypertension   | 22 (35%)           | 24 (39%)            | 0.97    |
| Mode of Delivery                 |                    |                     |         |
| Vaginal Delivery                 | 38 (61%)           | 36 (58%)            | 1       |
| Cesarean Section                 | 24 (39%)           | 26 (42%)            | 0.98    |

DISCUSSION

Our study compared oral labetalol and oral long acting nifedipine for the treatment of post-partum hypertension. We found that both interventions are effective in achieving good blood pressure control with the starting dose. However, oral nifedipine achieved control earlier than oral labetalol in our study. Side effects were found to be lower in women who were given labetalol than those given nifedipine. Both drugs have minimal excretion in breast milk.15 Labetalol should be avoided in women with asthma as it worsens bronchospasm. A study conducted in Pakistan

| Characteristics                  | Labetalol (n = 62) | Nifedipine (n = 62) | P-Value |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------|
| --                               | Mean ± SD          | Mean ± SD           |         |
| 1. Time Required (hours) to achieve blood pressure control (≤150/100mmHg) | 35.6 ± 2.8 | 30.4 ± 1.9 | 0.041   |
| 2. Sustained blood pressure control for 72 hours          | 38 (61%)           | 54 (87%)            | 0.001   |

Reported as mean (SD), n (%).

| Characteristics                  | Labetalol (n = 62) | Nifedipine (n = 62) | P-Value |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------|
| --                               | Mean ± SD          | Mean ± SD           |         |
| 1. Additional oral antihypertensive drug required for control | 4 (6.4%) | 3 (4.8%) | 0.74    |
| 2. Additional intravenous antihypertensive drugs required for acute control | 12 (19.4%) | 10 (16.17%) | 0.81    |
| 3. Length of hospital stay (days) | 3.5 ± 1.3          | 3.4 ± 1.5           | 0.98    |

Reported as mean (SD), n (%).
suggests that prevalence of asthma and related problems are high, so beta- blockers should be used with caution in our population. Similarly there are concerns regarding nifedipine related cardiovascular morbidity and mortality outside pregnancy and neuromuscular blockade with adjuvant use of magnesium sulphate in pregnancy but these were reported more with short acting nifedipine and were reported at less than 1% in controlled RCTS. The chochrane review on drugs for treatment of hypertension in pregnancy also concluded that the choice of antihypertensive agents should depend on clinician experience, discretion and suitability of patient with known adverse effects until a better evidence becomes available.

Previously conducted trials compared the efficacy and safety of oral nifedipine and intravenous labetalol, hydralazine and methyldopa and found these medications effective. However there is no adequate evidence to support a particular step wise approach in the management of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy in post-partum period especially in low resource and busy settings, when long term control is desired and patient is sent back to community. Oral hypertensive agents like long acting nifedipine and labetalol are beneficial and efficacious for the long term out patient management of post-partum hypertension till adequate blood pressure control is achieved. Raheem A et al., Vermillion et al. and Veena et al. compared the use of oral nifedipine to intravenous labetalol for managing hypertension in pregnancy and found it superior. However, there is a paucity of data comparing both oral agents. Our study demonstrates that nifedipine achieved significantly earlier and sustained post-partum blood pressure control than oral labetalol in women with elevated blood pressure. Interestingly, Sharma et al. found oral labetalol to be more effective but showed no significant difference in blood pressure control in both groups.

Both studies reported no major side effects related to either drug. Previous studies also reported hypotensive episodes, palpitation and headache as common side effects associated with nifedipine use (compared to labetalol use) and these observations were noted in our study.

The need for additional intravenous antihypertensive intervention for acute blood pressure control was nearly the same in both groups, as also noted in the study by Sharma et al. Length of stay and discharge time was also similar in both groups. However, Bealty et al. reported shorter hospital stay in patients treated with oral nifedipine for post-partum hypertension than those given oral labetalol.

Limitation of our study: A limitation of our study was the small number of patients and a difficulty in arranging post-discharge follow up. As a result, we cannot draw any conclusions regarding long-term management of women on either oral labetalol or nifedipine for post-partum hypertension. However, we present the first study where oral agents labetalol and nifedipine are used for the management of post-partum hypertension in a developing, resource-poor country. In addition, both patients and researchers were blinded to medication selection.

CONCLUSION

We conclude that both oral labetalol and oral long acting nifedipine are effective and well tolerated interventions for the management of post-partum hypertension. However, nifedipine may be slightly superior due to earlier blood pressure control achieved and easier administration with once daily oral use.

Recommendation: Oral agents are particularly appropriate in the out-patient setting of resource constrained institutions with busy delivery suites of public hospitals in developing countries. Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy and related complications can be a major cause of maternal morbidity and mortality in these settings. The use of oral labetalol and nifedipine for the management of post-partum hypertension reduces the risk of hypertensive complications and the burden of maternal morbidity and mortality.
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