Global Formulation of the Synthetic Storm Technique Oriented to Satellite Link–Budget Design
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Abstract: We have updated the global Synthetic Storm Technique (referred to as the global SST) by reformulating it according to a larger database of rain rate time series collected in several sites in different climatic regions. For each site, the average annual probability distribution of rain attenuation obtained with the global SST, $P_{SST,glo}(A)$, in a slant path, was compared with that given by the full SST, $P_{SST}(A)$, which we have considered as experimental data. The test was performed for frequency ranging from 10 to 100 GHz, for elevation angle $\theta$ ranging from 20° to 60° and for annual probabilities 10% to 0.01%. The global SST tends to underestimate the attenuation by approximately 10% for elevation angle $\theta \leq 30^\circ$ and about 20% for $30^\circ < \theta < 60^\circ$ in the probability range 10% to 0.1%, and approximately 15% in the probability range 0.1% to 0.01%. For any probability, the error is zero for $\theta = 90^\circ$ because at the zenith, the global SST coincides with the full SST.
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1. Introduction

Satellite communication links at centimeter and millimeter wave frequencies can be affected by large rain attenuation. For a reliable link budget design, we need to know the annual probability distribution function, $P(A)$, of rain attenuation $A$ (dB) measured/predicted in the up- or down-link to the satellite. Instead of long and expensive measurements of beacon attenuation, prediction models are used for estimating $P(A)$ from a locally measured or estimated annual probability distributions function, $P(R)$, of 1-min rain rate $R$. However, after more than 40 years of modeling experimental results, there is no consensus on which prediction model is the most accurate and reliable. The Synthetic Storm Technique (SST) [1] is a powerful and accurate tool, as is now recognized, that can produce all the necessary statistics of rain attenuation, not only $P(A)$, but also fade durations and rate of change of attenuation because it provides reliable rain attenuation time series $A(t)$ [2]. From knowing rain rate time series $R(t)$ (mm/h, averaged in 1 min intervals), recorded at a site, the SST can generate $A(t)$, at any frequency and polarization, and for any slant path above about $10^\circ$. Because it reproduces reliable $A(t)$, it has been used in the last 30 years for many purposes, by several researchers [3–33], as Table 1 summarizes.

However, 1-min rain rate time series $R(t)$ are seldom available at a site. At most, at satellite ground stations, only the $P(R)$ of 1-min $R$ might be available. When these on-site measurements are not available, the ITU-R worldwide model can be used [34], although now it is possible to estimate the $P(R)$ of 1-min $R$ from historical (long term) rainfall averaged in much longer intervals, up to 24 h [35,36]. Therefore, based on the full SST, the previous version of the global SST model was studied many years ago [37]. From locally measured/estimated $P(R)$ it calculates $P(A)$ in a slant path, according to a simple relationship and variable transformation. The relationship was derived by studying how the rain attenuation time series $A(t)$ calculated with the SST, in a slant path of precipitation...
path length $L$, is built up by partial values $\Delta A(t)$ in partial precipitation path lengths $\Delta L$ [38].

Table 1. References and applications of the Synthetic Storm Technique (SST).

| Reference, Year | SST Application |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1996 [1]        | Mathematical theory of the Synthetic Storm Technique (SST), with two vertical layers of precipitation: $0 \degree C$ (melting layer), $20 \degree C$ (rainfall) |
| 2005 [2]        | SST-derived $A(t)$ can substitute missing experimental data (Italsat). |
| 1994 [3]        | The power spectrum of experimental $A(t)$ falls off as $-20 \text{ dB/dec}$ (anticipation of the SST theory). |
| 1997 [4]        | Fade durations. |
| 1998 [5]        | Satellite communications with mobile transmitters/receivers. |
| 1998 [6]        | Diurnal distribution of rain attenuation in communication and broadcasting satellite systems. |
| 1998 [7]        | Wide area joint probability of rain attenuation in satellite systems with a common on-board resource. |
| 1998 [8]        | Worst-month statistics of rain attenuation. |
| 1999 [9]        | Large distance site diversity. |
| 2000 [10]       | Matching service quality and system design to the time of the day. |
| 2003 [11]       | Small scale ("micro") site diversity. |
| 2003 [12]       | Statistics of interruption time due to rain attenuation. |
| 2004 [13]       | Service oriented statistics of interruption time. |
| 2006 [14]       | Annual and diurnal satellite rain attenuation statistics in Athens. |
| 2006 [15]       | Low elevation angle ($5\degree$) terrestrial paths. |
| 2006 [16]       | Time diversity as a rain attenuation countermeasure. |
| 2007 [17]       | Rain storms speed and temporal properties of precipitation. |
| 2007 [18]       | Time diversity gain in satellite links. |
| 2007 [19]       | SST as part of a time series generator. |
| 2008 [20]       | Tropical attenuation statistics. |
| 2009 [21]       | Phase delay and attenuation in deep-space tracking. |
| 2011 [22]       | Phase delay and differential attenuation in phased array antennas for deep-space communications. |
| 2013 [23]       | Small scale site diversity in Guam. |
| 2013 [24]       | Efficiency of satellite channels and ideal fade-countermeasure. |
| 2016 [25]       | Space communications to the Sun–Earth Lagrangian point L1 from Spino d’Adda. |
| 2016 [26]       | Space communications to the Sun–Earth Lagrangian point L1 from Madrid. |
| 2016 [27]       | A method to achieve clear-sky data-volume download in satellite links affected by tropospheric attenuation. |
| 2016 [28]       | Rain Attenuation Statistics at Ka and Q band in Athens. |
| 2017 [29]       | Linear combining techniques using time diversity. |
| 2018 [30]       | Rain attenuation statistics for micro and millimeter wavelengths. |
| 2018 [31]       | Fade dynamics in equatorial Malaysia. |
| 2019 [32]       | Space diversity in Attica, Greece. |
| 2021 [33]       | Time series of rain attenuation in a tropical location. |

The purpose of this paper is to update the global SST according to the results obtained from a larger database of rain rate time series collected at several sites in different climatic regions than those used many years ago. For each site, the average annual probability distribution of rain attenuation obtained with the global SST, $P_{\text{SST, glo}}(A)$, is compared with that given by the full SST, $P_{\text{SST}}(A)$, which we consider as experimental data. The test is conducted for frequencies ranging from 10 to 100 GHz, for elevation angle $\theta$ ranging from $20\degree$ to $90\degree$, and for all annual probabilities 10% to 0.01%.

Finally, we recommend that whenever 1-min rain rate time series $R(t)$ are available at a site the full SST [1] be used.

After this Introduction, Section 2 describes the full SST and the global SST, Section 3 models the fundamental parameter $m$ of the global SST, Section 4 reports the results of the comparison between the full SST and the global SST, Section 5 reports the overall error and
2. The Full SST and the Global SST

In this section we first sketch a brief summary of the theory of the full SST [1] and then recall the global SST [37,38].

2.1. The Full SST

A synthetic storm is obtained by converting a rain rate time series $R(t)$, recorded at a site by a rain gauge and averaged over 1 min, to a rain rate space series $R(x)$ along a line ($x$ axis), by using an estimate of the storm translation speed $v$ to transform time to distance. Then, the specific rain attenuation $Y(x,t)$ (dB/km), calculated from the rain rate time series, is integrated along the path to obtain the rain attenuation time series $A_{SST}(t)$. The mathematical operation to obtain $A_{SST}(t)$ is a convolution from which, through Fourier transforms, useful theorems and conservation properties have been derived [1].

The SST can generate rain attenuation time series at any frequency and polarization, and for any slant path above about $10^\circ$, as long as the hypothesis of isotropy of the rainfall spatial field holds, in the long term. The statistical properties of rain attenuation derived from a large sample of rain rate time series closely agree with those of the actual measured rain attenuation, and the predictions are significantly insensitive to a very precise value of $v$. If the motion of the rain storm is along the satellite path, then the measured $A(t)$ practically coincides with $A_{SST}(t)$, as shown for the ITALSAT experiment [39].

Rain attenuation time series can be simulated by using the value of $v$ obtainable from measurements of wind speed, e.g., at 700 mbar height, extracted from the database of the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecast [40]. The average horizontal rain-storm speed at Spino d’Adda is about 10.6 m/s, estimated directly from a meteorological radar [1], and in 1 min the rain storm moves a linear distance of 636 m.

The vertical (zenith) structure of precipitation is modelled with two layers of precipitation of different depths, a model taken from [41]. Starting from the ground there is rain (hydrometeors in the form of raindrops, water temperature of 20 °C, called layer A), followed by a melting layer, i.e., melting hydrometeors at 0 °C, layer B. The vertical rain rate $R$ (mm/h) in layer A is assumed to be uniform and given by that measured at the ground, i.e., by the rain gauge, $R_A = R$. By assuming simple physical hypotheses, calculations show that the vertical precipitation rate in the melting layer, termed “apparent rain rate”, can also be supposed to be uniform and given by $R_B = 3.134 \times R_A$ [41]. The height of the precipitation (rain and melting layer, $H_R$) above sea level to be used in the simulation is the latest estimate of the ITU-R [42]. The layer B depth is 0.40 km, regardless of the latitude [41].

Let $H_S$ be the altitude of the site, $\theta$ be the elevation angle of the slant path, then the precipitation path length $L$ (km) is given by

$$ L = \frac{H_R - H_S}{\sin \theta} = \frac{H_R - 0.4 - H_S}{\sin \theta} + \frac{0.4}{\sin \theta} = L_A + L_B \quad (1) $$

For example, at Spino d’Adda ($H_S = 0.084$ km), $H_R = 3.341$ km (see Table 2), therefore the length of the rainy path is $L = L_A + L_B = 8.353 + 1.170 = 9.523$ km at $\theta = 20^\circ$, $L = 5.714 + 0.800 = 6.514$ km at $\theta = 30^\circ$, $L = 3.299 + 0.462 = 3.761$ km at $\theta = 60^\circ$, and, of course, $L = [(3.341 - 0.084) - 0.4] + 0.4 = L_A + L_B = 2.857 + 0.4 = 3.257$ km at $\theta = 90^\circ$. In the zenith path ($\theta = 90^\circ$), the rain rate is uniform, see Equation (29) of [1].
Table 2. Geographical coordinates, altitude (m), rain height $H_R$ (km) [42], number of years $n_Y$ of continuous rain rate measurements and wind speed $v$ (m/s) at 700 mbar altitude [40], except for Spino d’Adda whose rain storm speed was estimated from radar measurements [1].

| Site                  | Lat. N (°) | Long. E (°) | Altitude $H_S$ (m) | Precipitation Height $H_R$ (km) | Years of Rain Rate Measurements $n_Y$ | Wind Speed at 700 Mbar $v$ (m/s) |
|-----------------------|------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Spino d’Adda (Italy)  | 45.4       | 9.5         | 84                 | 3.341                         | 8                                    | 10.6                             |
| Gera Lario (Italy)    | 46.2       | 9.4         | 210                | 3.483                         | 5                                    | 8.2                              |
| Fucino (Italy)        | 42.0       | 13.6        | 680                | 2.905                         | 5                                    | 10.4                             |
| Madrid (Spain)        | 40.4       | 356.3       | 630                | 3.001                         | 8                                    | 10.9                             |
| Prague (Czech Republic)| 50.0       | 14.5        | 250                | 3.051                         | 5                                    | 12.6                             |
| Tampa (Florida)       | 28.1       | 277.6       | 50                 | 4.528                         | 4                                    | 9.6                              |
| Norman (Oklahoma)     | 35.2       | 262.6       | 420                | 4.145                         | 4                                    | 12.4                             |
| White Sands (New Mexico)| 32.5       | 253.4       | 1463               | 4.744                         | 5                                    | 9.1                              |
| Vancouver (British Columbia)| 49.3      | 236.8       | 80                 | 2.612                         | 1                                    | 8.9                              |
| Fairbanks (Alaska)    | 64.9       | 212.2       | 184                | 2.688                         | 3                                    | 12.4                             |

2.2. The Global SST

Let us recall the first version of the global SST. In [37], we found that the $P_{SST}(A)$ generated by the SST can be approximately reproduced by calculating rain attenuation as

$$A = [C_0 k_A R^a + (1 - C_0) k_B (3.134 \times R)^{a_B}] L^m$$

(2)

where $k$ and $a$ are the constants that give the specific rain attenuation in the two layers from the rain rate $R$ measured at the ground, calculated at 20 °C in layer A and at 0 °C in layer B [1]; values obtained from [43]. The constant $C_0$ is given by

$$C_0 = \frac{L_A}{L}$$

(3)

For example, at Spino d’Adda $C_0 = 0.877$ at $\theta = 20^\circ$, therefore, a fraction 0.877 of the attenuation is given by layer A and a fraction $1 - C_0 = 0.123$ by layer B. Finally, notice that $L$ is not an equivalent path length because it does not depend on radio electrical parameters but it is calculated from Equation (1). The parameter $m$ is referred to as the average exponent of the global SST.

Now, by knowing the annual probability exceeded by $R$, $P(R)$, from (2) we can calculate the rain attenuation exceeded with the same probability and, therefore, $P(A)$, because of the simple transformation of the variable.

Of course, there are significant differences between $P_{SST}(A)$, obtained with the full SST, and $P_{SST,glo}(A)$, obtained from Equation (2), as shown in the previous version of the global SST [37]. In particular, the value $P_{SST,glo}(A > 0) = P(R > 0)$, is smaller than that calculated with the full SST, i.e., $P_{SST}(A > 0) > P_{SST,glo}(A > 0)$, because of the physical relationship described by Equation (18) of [1].

The aim of this paper is to estimate more reliable and global values of the exponent $m$ as a function of the elevation angle (in 5° steps) and frequency (in 5 GHz steps). Our actual estimates refer to the sites listed in Table 2, for which we have calculated the average value of $m$ and modelled it as reported in the next section.

3. Model of the Average Exponent of the Global SST

Following the observations and calculations reported in [37,38], and based on the rain attenuation time series $A_{SST}(t)$ obtained from the rain rate time series of the database recorded at the sites listed in Table 2, we have derived the following model of the average value of the parameter $m$, approximately valid in the range $20^\circ \leq \theta \leq 90^\circ$ and in the range
$10 \leq f \leq 100$ GHz. In the following, we have further distinguished three ranges of the elevation angle. The base of the logarithm is 10, the angle is in degrees, and frequency is in GHz.

**Range (a):** $70^\circ \leq \theta \leq 90^\circ$, $m = 1$. No other action is required.

**Range (b):** $30^\circ < \theta < 70^\circ$.

1. Calculate the value at 10 GHz, $m_{f10}(\theta)$

   \[
   m_{f10}(\theta) = 2.34 \times 10^{-4} \theta^2 - 2.21 \times 10^{-2} \theta + 1.38 \tag{4}
   \]

2. Calculate the value at 100 GHz $m_{f100}(\theta)$

   \[
   m_{f100}(\theta) = 1.22 \times 10^{-4} \theta^2 - 1.15 \times 10^{-2} \theta + 1.2 \tag{5}
   \]

3. Calculate the normalized average value $m_n(f)$ see Figure 1

   \[
   m_n(f) = \frac{-7.07 \times (\log f)^4 + 44.73 \times (\log f)^2 - 104.57 \times (\log f)^2 + 107.69 \times \log f - 40.77}{4} \tag{6}
   \]

4. Calculate, finally, the denormalized value $m(f, \theta)$ to use in Equation (2)

   \[
   m(f, \theta) = m_n(f) \left[ m_{f100}(\theta) - m_{f10}(\theta) \right] + m_{f10}(\theta) \tag{7}
   \]

**Range (c):** $20^\circ \leq \theta < 30^\circ$.

1. Calculate the probability (%) $p$

   \[
   p = \left[ 0.1 + 0.005 \times (f - 20) \right] \tag{8}
   \]

This probability ranges between $p = 0.1$ at $f = 20$ GHz, and $p = 0.5$ at $f = 100$ GHz.

2. For $p \leq \left[ 0.1 + 0.005 \times (f - 20) \right]$, calculate $m(f, \theta)$

   \[
   m(f, \theta) = d_2 \log(p) - d_3 \log(p) + d_0 \tag{9}
   \]

   with

   \[
   d_2 = m_1 \times \log(0.8) + 0.99 \times (b + 2) - 2 - a / 4 \times \log(0.8) + 2(a^2 - b^2) + a^2 b - ab^2
   \]

   \[
   d_3 = m_1 (b^2 - a^2) + 0.99 \times (4 - b^2) + a^2 - 4 / 4 \times \log(0.8) + 2(a^2 - b^2) + a^2 b - ab^2
   \]

   \[
   d_0 = m_1 a b (a - b) - 1.98 \times b (b + 2) + 4a + 2a^2 / 4 \times \log(0.8) + 2(a^2 - b^2) + a^2 b - ab^2
   \]

   \[
   m_1 = 2.30 \times \left( 1.71 \times 10^{-3} f + 8.46 \times 10^{-2} \right) \times \log(\theta) - 9.11 \times 10^{-3} f + 0.643
   \]

   \[
   a = \log(0.8 \times \left[ 0.1 + 0.005 \times (f - 20) \right])
   \]

   \[
   b = \log(0.1 + 0.005 \times (f - 20))
   \]

3. For $p > \left[ 0.1 + 0.005 \times (f - 20) \right]$, no correction is required.
Figure 1. Normalized value of the average exponent of the global SST, between 10 GHz and 100 GHz. The thin lines give the average value for each elevation angle. The thick red line gives the model $m_n(f)$. Notice that the normalized value of each elevation angle is practically the same, regardless of frequency and locality, a fundamental result that allows the modelling reported in step (3) of range (b). The superposition of the thin colored lines indicates that the global SST model can be applied to every site.

4. Results

Because of its reliability, we assume $A_{SST}(t)$ as experimental, calculate its annual average probability $P_{SST}(A)$ and compare (test) to it the probability $P_{SST, glo}(A)$, calculated according to the modelling of Section 3. The test is explicitly conducted for the elevation angle in the range $20^\circ \leq \theta \leq 60^\circ$ ($5^\circ$ steps) and for the frequency ($5^\circ$ GHz steps) in the range $10 \leq f \leq 100$ GHz ($5$ GHz steps). At the boundary between any two ranges modelled in Section 3, we suggest considering the average of the two limit values.

Figures 2 and 3 show $P_{SST}(A)$ and $P_{SST, glo}(A)$ obtained at Spino d’Adda, for different elevation angles and frequencies (circular polarization in the following). We can see that the global SST tends to underestimate the full SST.

Figure 2. $P_{SST}(A)$ (black lines) and $P_{SST, glo}(A)$ (blue lines) at 20 GHz (a) and at 30 GHz (b) at Spino d’Adda, for $\theta = 20^\circ$ (continuous lines) and $\theta = 60^\circ$ (dashed lines).
Figure 3. $P_{SST}(A)$ (black lines) and $P_{SST,glo}(A)$ (blue lines) at 40 GHz (a) and at 80 GHz (b) at Spino d’Adda, for $\theta = 20^\circ$ (continuous lines) and $\theta = 60^\circ$ (dashed lines).

We define a synthetic index of the test the error $\varepsilon$ (dB), defined at equal average annual probability $P$

\[ \varepsilon(P) = A_{SST,glo}(P) - A_{SST}(P) \]  

(10)

The relative error is given by

\[ \varepsilon_r(P) = 100 \times \frac{A_{SST,glo}(P) - A_{SST}(P)}{A_{SST}(P)} \]

(11)

For Spino d’Adda, Figure 4 shows the scatter plots of $\varepsilon$, in the indicated range of elevation angles $\theta \leq 30^\circ$ and $\theta > 30^\circ$ without distinguishing frequency, probability and elevation angle. In Appendix A, we report the scatter plots for the other sites.

In the next section we calculate and discuss the overall error.

Figure 4. Scatter plots of the error $\varepsilon = A_{SST,glo} - A_{SST}$ versus $A_{SST}$: (a) $\theta > 30^\circ$; (b) $\theta \leq 30^\circ$. Spino d’Adda.
5. Overall Error and Discussion

From the scatter plots shown in Figure 4 for Spino d’Adda and in Appendix A for the other sites, it is useful to calculate the average value and standard deviation of the error versus attenuation, in two disjoint ranges of probability, namely 10% to 0.1% and 0.1% to 0.01%, and in two disjoint ranges of elevation angle, $\theta \leq 30^\circ$ and $30^\circ < \theta \leq 60^\circ$, without distinguishing frequency, which plays a very minor role in error statistics, as the parameter $m$ depends very little on frequency (see the modelling in Section 3, or [38]).

These different ranges are useful because communication systems may be designed in the upper range (10% to 0.1%) instead of the more common lower range (0.1% to 0.01%), or with low elevation angles (in low satellite orbits, such as in LEO constellations). Our choice is due to the extremely large values of rain attenuation found at low probabilities or in low elevation angles, which would require, therefore, unavailable system power margins, if site diversity or other fade countermeasures are not used.

Figure 5 (probability range 10% to 0.1%) and Figure 6 (probability range 0.1% to 0.01%) show these statistics, calculated by considering all sites, in the range $0 < A_{\text{SST}} \leq 40$ dB, which should be a realistic range of tolerable attenuations and therefore power margins to provide to the link budget. From these results, we can derive the following conclusions.

In the probability range 10% to 0.1% (Figure 5):

1. The error is always negative; in other words, the global SST tends to underestimate the attenuation.
2. There is a significant difference between the elevation angle ranges, with the lower elevation angle range more accurate.
3. The relative error is approximately $-10\%$ for $\theta \leq 30^\circ$ and about $-20\%$ for $\theta > 30^\circ$.
4. The standard deviation is about 5% for both elevation angle ranges.

In the probability range 0.1% to 0.01% (Figure 5):

1. The error is always negative; the global SST tends to underestimate the attenuation.
2. There is not a significant difference between the two elevation angle ranges.
3. The relative error is approximately $-15\%$.
4. The standard deviation is about 5% for $\theta > 30^\circ$ and reaches 15% for $\theta \leq 30^\circ$.

Finally, notice that, for any probability, the error is negligible in the range $70^\circ \leq \theta < 90^\circ$ and it is zero for $\theta = 90^\circ$ because at the zenith, the global SST coincides with the full SST [1].

Figure 5. (a) Average (continuous lines) and standard deviation (dashed lines) of the error $\epsilon$ versus $A_{\text{SST}}$; (b) $\epsilon_r$. Probability range 10% to 0.1%.
Figure 6. (a) Average (continuous lines) and standard deviation (dashed lines) of the error \( \varepsilon \) versus \( A_{SST} \); (b) \( \varepsilon_r \). Probability range 0.1% to 0.01%.

6. Conclusions

We have updated the global SST by reformulating it according to a larger database of rain rate time series collected in several sites in different climatic regions (Table 2). For each site, the average annual probability distribution of rain attenuation obtained with the global SST, \( P_{SST, glo}(A) \), has been compared with that given by the full SST, \( P_{SST}(A) \), which we have considered as experimental data. The test has been conducted for frequencies ranging from 10 to 100 GHz, for elevation angles \( \theta \) ranging from 20° to 60° and for annual probabilities 10% to 0.01%.

To present the results oriented specifically to the link budget design, we have studied the probability ranges 10% to 0.1% and 0.1% to 0.01% separately because of the extremely large values of rain attenuation at high frequencies, which would require unavailable system power margins, if site diversity or other fade countermeasures are not used.

The global SST tends to underestimate the attenuation by approximately 10% for elevation angle \( \theta \leq 30^\circ \) and about 20% for \( 30^\circ < \theta < 60^\circ \) in the probability range 10% to 0.1%, and approximately 15% in the probability range 0.1% to 0.01%.

For any probability, the error is zero for \( \theta = 90^\circ \) because at the zenith the global SST coincides with the full SST.
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Appendix A

Scatter plots of the error $\varepsilon = A_{\text{SST,glo}} - A_{\text{SST}}$ (dB) for all other sites.

Figure A1. Scatter plots of the error $\varepsilon = A_{\text{SST,glo}} - A_{\text{SST}}$ versus $A_{\text{SST}}$: (a) $\theta > 30^\circ$; (b) $\theta \leq 30^\circ$. Gera Lario.

Figure A2. Scatter plots of the error $\varepsilon = A_{\text{SST,glo}} - A_{\text{SST}}$ versus $A_{\text{SST}}$: (a) $\theta > 30^\circ$; (b) $\theta \leq 30^\circ$. Fucino.

Figure A3. Scatter plots of the error $\varepsilon = A_{\text{SST,glo}} - A_{\text{SST}}$ versus $A_{\text{SST}}$: (a) $\theta > 30^\circ$; (b) $\theta \leq 30^\circ$. Madrid.
Figure A4. Scatter plots of the error $\epsilon = A_{SST,glo} - A_{SST}$ versus $A_{SST}$: (a) $\theta > 30^\circ$; (b) $\theta \leq 30^\circ$. Prague.

Figure A5. Scatter plots of the error $\epsilon = A_{SST,glo} - A_{SST}$ versus $A_{SST}$: (a) $\theta > 30^\circ$; (b) $\theta \leq 30^\circ$. Tampa.

Figure A6. Scatter plots of the error $\epsilon = A_{SST,glo} - A_{SST}$ versus $A_{SST}$: (a) $\theta > 30^\circ$; (b) $\theta \leq 30^\circ$. Norman.
Figure A7. Scatter plots of the error $\varepsilon = A_{SST,glo} - A_{SST}$ versus $A_{SST}$: (a) $\theta > 30^\circ$; (b) $\theta \leq 30^\circ$. White Sands.

Figure A8. Scatter plots of the error $\varepsilon = A_{SST,glo} - A_{SST}$ versus $A_{SST}$: (a) $\theta > 30^\circ$; (b) $\theta \leq 30^\circ$. Vancouver.

Figure A9. Scatter plots of the error $\varepsilon = A_{SST,glo} - A_{SST}$ versus $A_{SST}$: (a) $\theta > 30^\circ$; (b) $\theta \leq 30^\circ$. Fairbanks.
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