The Nitric Oxide-Cyclic GMP Pathway Regulates FoxO and Alters Dopaminergic Neuron Survival in Drosophila
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Abstract

Activation of the forkhead box transcription factor FoxO is suggested to be involved in dopaminergic (DA) neurodegeneration in a Drosophila model of Parkinson’s disease (PD), in which a PD gene product LRRK2 activates FoxO through phosphorylation. In the current study that combines Drosophila genetics and biochemical analysis, we show that cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP)-dependent kinase II (cGKII) also phosphorylates FoxO at the same residue as LRRK2, and Drosophila orthologues of cGKII and LRRK2, DG2/For and dLRRK, respectively, enhance the neurotoxic activity of FoxO in an additive manner. Biochemical assays using mammalian cGKII and FoxO1 reveal that cGKII enhances the transcriptional activity of FoxO1 through phosphorylation of the FoxO1 S319 site in the same manner as LRRK2. A Drosophila FoxO mutant resistant to phosphorylation by DG2 and dLRRK (dFoxO S259A corresponding to human FoxO1 S319A) suppressed the neurotoxicity and improved motor dysfunction caused by co-expression of FoxO and DG2. Nitric oxide synthase (NOS) and soluble guanylyl cyclase (sGC) also increased FoxO’s activity, whereas the administration of a NOS inhibitor L-NAME suppressed the loss of DA neurons in aged flies co-expressing FoxO and DG2. These results strongly suggest that the NO-FoxO axis contributes to DA neurodegeneration in LRRK2-linked PD.

Introduction

PD, one of the most common movement disorders, is characterized by age-dependent impairments of several nervous systems including the midbrain DA system. The degeneration of DA neurons in the substantia nigra produces the prominent motor symptoms of PD. Postmortem inspections and studies with neurotoxin-based PD models suggest a multifactorial etiology involving inflammation, mitochondrial dysfunction, iron accumulation and oxidative stress. NO, a free gaseous signaling molecule, has also been implicated in PD [1,2]. The signaling function of NO is dependent on the dynamic regulation of its synthase, NOS. There are three types of NOS, neuronal NOS (nNOS), endothelial NOS (eNOS) and inducible NOS (iNOS), in humans whereas the Drosophila genome has only a single orthologue, dNOS. High levels of nNOS and iNOS have been reported in the substantia nigra of PD patients [3,4] and animal models of PD [5,6]. Overproduction of NO is suggested to cause DNA damage, protein modifications and cell toxicity mainly mediated by the reactive species peroxynitrite, which may be generated with dopamine metabolism in DA neurons. In the etiology of PD, overproduction of NO could be caused either by upregulation of iNOS in activated glia cells [3,5] or by an increase in intracellular calcium, for example, after glutamate excitotoxicity [7].

The discovery of genes linked to rare familial forms of PD has provided vital clues to understanding the cellular and molecular pathogenesis of the disease. Missense mutations in the Leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (LRRK2)/Dardarin gene cause autosomal dominant late onset familial PD as well as sporadic PD [8,9,10]. The clinical symptoms and pathology caused by LRRK2 mutations closely resemble those of the sporadic form of PD, suggesting that the LRRK2 pathogenic pathway may underlie the general PD etiology. The LRRK2 gene encodes a large protein with multiple domains including a GTPase domain and a kinase domain [8,9]. Several amino acid substitutions are identified as pathogenic mutations linked to PD [11]. Mutations in the kinase domain of human LRRK2 such as G2019S and I2020T have been reported to produce enhanced kinase activity in vitro, suggesting that gain-of-function mutations of LRRK2 cause neurodegeneration [12,13,14]. However, how these mutations present in the LRRK2 gene lead to the progressive loss of DA neurons and other associated pathologies is still unknown.
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Because various key signaling pathways are conserved between humans and Drosophila, genetic and functional studies using Drosophila models for familial PD have revealed crucial signal transductions that affect the pathogenesis of PD [15]. We have previously reported that a Drosophila LRRK2 orthologue, dLRRK phosphorylates Drosophila FoxO (dFoxO) at Ser259, which stimulates the expression of a pro-apoptotic dFoxO target, hid, and leads to neurodegeneration in Drosophila [16]. The event was further enhanced by transgenic expression of pathogenic dLRRK proteins such as dLRRK 11915T (corresponding to I2020T in humans). However, a kinase-dead form of dLRRK (dLRRK 3KD) did not completely suppress a synergic effect caused by the co-expression of dFoxO with dLRRK, suggesting that some other factor(s) modulates this pathway. Here, we report that cGKII also phosphorylates FoxO and activates FoxO-transcriptional activity in the same manner as LRRK2/dLRRK by using biochemical studies of mammalian cGKII and FoxO1. Moreover, by using Drosophila models, our data suggest that NO signaling and its downstream effector cGKII/DG2 contribute to DA neurodegeneration.

**Results**

cGK genetically interacts with FoxO and activates FoxO activity

We previously reported a genetic interaction between FoxO and LRRK2/dLRRK in Drosophila [16]. To identify components of the LRRK2-FoxO signaling pathway, we screened for modifiers (Fig. 1 and Fig. S1A). Kinases reported to affect the activity of FoxO were expressed with dFoxO in the Drosophila eye. As reported, transgenic expression of AKT suppressed FoxO-mediated developmental defects in the eye. The expression of MST/Hippo resulted in extensive degeneration, which did not appear to be dependent on FoxO (Fig. 1). Expression of one of the Drosophila cGMP-dependent kinases (cGKs), DG2, leads to strong optic degeneration in conjunction with dFoxO (Fig. 1 and Fig. S2A), while the other kinases had little effect on the developmental defects caused by FoxO (Fig. 1). Removal of one copy of the dG2 gene improved the defects, suggesting that endogenous DG2 activity contributes to the dFoxO-mediated neurodegeneration (Fig. 2H compared with B).

Next we examined whether DG2 is an upstream kinase of dLRRK, or whether DG2 acts independently of dLRRK by means of a combination of genetic interaction tests, reporter assays for FoxO and in vitro kinase assay. Co-expression of dLRRK harboring a PD-related mutant I1915T together with DG2 dramatically enhanced the toxicity of dFoxO (Fig. 2D compared with C). However, expression of dLRRK 3KD or removal of the dLRRK gene did not suppress the eye phenotype caused by dFoxO-DG2 at all (Fig. 2E and J compared with C). Co-expression of DG2 and dLRRK 11915T produced a normal eye, suggesting that the phenotype is dependent on the level of dFoxO protein (Fig. 2I compared with D).

Co-expression of dFoxO with DG2, but not GFP or DG1, in Drosophila eyes caused appearance of a slower migrated dFoxO protein in western blot analysis (Fig. 3A), which indicates phosphorylation of dFoxO [16]. Consistent with the result, knockdown of DG2 decreased a phosphorylated form of endogenous dFoxO in Drosophila brain tissue (Fig. 3B). In Drosophila S2 cells, transient expression of DG2 together with 8-bromoguanosine-3’5’-cyclic monophosphate (8-Br-cGMP), a membrane permeable analogue for cGMP, also stimulates phosphorylation of endogenous dFoxO (Fig. 3C, lane 3).

Two groups of cGKs, the soluble type I (cGKI α and β) and the membrane-bound type II (cGKII), have been reported in vertebrates. In Drosophila, there are two genes encoding cGK, namely dg1 and dg2 [17]. As reported [18], the gene products DG1 and DG2 are located in the cytoplasm and at the cytoplasmic membrane, respectively (Fig. 3E and F). Interestingly, expression of DG1 had little effect on the degeneration of the eye mediated by dFoxO, suggesting that DG1 and DG2 have different roles in vivo (Fig. S1B, S2B and S2C). Although predictions of amino acid sequence indicate that DG2 is more similar as a cGKI to cGKII [18,20,21], their subcellular distribution suggests that DG2 is functionally more similar to cGKII [Fig. 3G–J]. [18,20,21]. Consistent with the idea, transgenic expression of human cGKII exacerbated eye degeneration by dFoxO (Fig. 2G compared with B) whereas expression of cGKI alone did not affect the eye development (Fig. 2F). Interestingly, cGKII appeared to recruit FoxO1 to the cytoplasmic membrane of human cultured cells (Fig. 3K–M) while there was no evidence that cGKI associates with cGKII in vivo (Fig. S3). In addition, we observed that cGKII is
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*Figure 1. Screening of kinases that affect the eye phenotypes caused by dFoxO. Drosophila orthologues of reported FoxO kinases were expressed with (upper row) or without (lower) dFoxO in Drosophila eyes using the GMR-GAL4 driver. GFP served as a control. The Drosophila DG2 is presumably functionally equivalent to the vertebrate cGKI. Reported phosphorylated sites and newly identified sites that are phosphorylated by cGKI (S152–155 and S319) in human FoxO1 are indicated by black and red lines, respectively. FHD, forhead domain; NLS, nuclear localization signal; NES, nuclear export signal; TA, transactivation domain. Overexpressing lines used for crosses are: UAS-GFP (GFP), UAS-AKT1 (AKT), UAS-DG2 (DG2), UAS-hippo (MST), UAS-CDK1-Myc (CDK1), UAS-CDK2-Myc (CDK2), UAS-dLRRK (dLRRK), CKH/Y553P (CK1α), mnb/Y4260 (DYRK1), UAS-bsk (JNK), UAS-dIKKβ (Ikkβ). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0030958.g001*
Figure 2. DG2 as well as dLRRK additively enhances FoxO-mediated developmental defects in the Drosophila eye. (A–J) SEM images of the eye of flies expressing the indicated genes. The genotypes are: GMR-Gal4 (A), GMR-Gal4/UAS-EGFP (B), UAS-DG2; GMR-Gal4, UAS-dFoxO (C), UAS-DG2; GMR-Gal4, UAS-dFoxO; UAS-dLRRK 3KD (D), UAS-DG2; GMR-Gal4, UAS-dFoxO; UAS-dLRRK 1915T (E), GMR-Gal4; UAS-cGKII (F), GMR-Gal4, UAS-dFoxO; UAS-cGKII; UAS-DG2 (G), GMR-Gal4, UAS-dFoxO/DG2k04703 (H), UAS-DG2; GMR-Gal4; UAS-dLRRK 1915T (I), UAS-DG2; GMR-Gal4, UAS-dFoxO; e03680/e03680 (J). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0030958.g002

Figure 3. DG2 modulates FoxO in vivo. (A) dFoxO and the indicated transgenes were expressed in the Drosophila eye using the GMR-GAL4 driver. Extracts from brain tissues were subjected to western blot analysis. dFoxO-P, a phosphorylated form of dFoxO. (B) DG2 RNAi or GFP RNAi constructs were expressed in the Drosophila brain using the elav-GAL4 driver. Western blot analysis for endogenous dFoxO was carried out as in (A). (C) Drosophila S2 cells were transfected with or without C-terminally Myc-tagged DG2 (DG2-Myc). Thirty-six hrs post transfection, cells were treated with or without 10 μM 8-Br-cGMP for 30 min. Cell lysate were then subjected to western blot analysis. (D) Human 293T cells were transfected with or without cGKII-Myc, and were treated with 8-Br-cGMP as in (C). Phosphorylation of the S319 site in endogenous FoxO1 was detected with phospho-specific antibody. (E, F) S2 cells expressing DG2-Myc (E) or DG1-Myc (F) were visualized with anti-Myc antibody (green), by counterstaining with DAPI (blue color). (G–J) HeLa cells expressing AKT-PH-GFP (green) along with cGKII-Myc (G, H) or cGKI-Myc (I, J) were visualized with anti-Myc antibody (red), by counterstaining with DAPI (blue color). AKT-PH-GFP was used for a marker protein of the plasma membrane [62]. (K–M) Flp-In T-REx-293 cells harboring EGFP-FoxO1 gene were transiently transfected with cGKII-Myc, and EGFP-FoxO1 was induced with doxycycline. Enlarged views of the plasma membrane regions in cGKII-positive (Box1) and negative (Box2) cells are also shown in (M). Accumulation of FoxO1 along with cGKII in the plasma membrane is indicated by arrowheads. Scale bars = 5 μm for (E, F), 25 μm for (G–J) and 10 μm for (K–M). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0030958.g003
abundantly expressed in DA neurons in the substantia nigra of mice (Fig. S4). We then focused on mammalian cGKII as a cGK that might be associated with the pathology of PD. Reporter assays for FoxO transcriptional activity revealed that cGKII stimulated FoxO activity in cultured mammalian cells and that co-expression of hLRRK2 with cGKII caused a 5-fold increase in FoxO activity (Fig. 4A). A kinase-dead form of hLRRK2 (hLRRK2 3KD) did not suppress the activation of FoxO by cGKII to the control level. Similarly, a kinase-dead form of cGKII (cGKII KD) failed to suppress FoxO’s activation by LRRK2 (Fig. 4B). The results of the genetic interaction tests and the reporter assays suggested that cGKII and LRRK2 have additive effects on the regulation of FoxO activity.

cGK directly phosphorylates FoxO in vitro

Previously, we have demonstrated that LRRK2 phosphorylates, and enhances the neurotoxic activity of, FoxO. Using in vitro kinase assays, we tested whether cGKII stimulates the kinase activity of LRRK2 through phosphorylation, or whether cGKII directly activates FoxO as shown in a study on LRRK2 [16]. We transfected HEK293 cells with a FLAG-tagged cGKII or FLAG-cGKII KD plasmid and affinity-purified these proteins using anti-FLAG columns (Fig. 5B). We observed that cGKII WT but not KD specifically phosphorylated GST-FoxO1 in the presence of cGMP (Fig. 5C), and that cGKII targeted at least two sites of FoxO1, which were in FoxO-N and FoxO-C (Fig. 5A and D). A previous report has shown that cGKI phosphorylates the human FoxO1 forkhead domain mainly at S152–155 and S184, by which the DNA-binding activity of FoxO1 is abolished [22]. We found that cGKII also phosphorylates FoxO1 at S152–155 and that these residues are major sites of phosphorylation in FoxO-N (Fig. S5A and B). However, the replacement of serine with alanine at S152–155 had little effect on the FoxO-transcriptional stimulation by cGKII and the binding to 14-3-3ε protein, which regulates the cytosolic localization of FoxO1, in this context (Fig. S5C and D). Next, we determined phosphorylation sites in FoxO-C. Experiments with several truncated FoxO1 mutants narrowed down the phosphorylation sites in FoxO-C and identified S319 as a major phospho-residue targeted by cGKII (Fig. 5E and F). We also confirmed that overexpression of cGKII in the presence of 8-Br-cGMP stimulates the phosphorylation of the FoxO1 S319 site in human cultured cells (Fig. 3D, lane 2). Although cGKI also phosphorylated GST-tagged full-length FoxO1 in vitro, the S319 site did not appear to be a major phosphorylation site (Fig. S6). The S319 site was also targeted by LRRK2 as shown previously (Fig. 5F) and co-incubation of cGKII and LRRK2 enhanced phosphorylation of the FoxO-C fragment in in vitro kinase assays (lane 5 compared with lane 1 in Fig. 5G). In contrast to the phosphorylation of FoxO at S152–155, the replacement of serine with alanine at S319 suppressed FoxO-transcriptional activity and abolished cGKI-mediated stimulation of FoxO, suggesting that phosphorylation at S319 has a major effect on the activity mediated by cGKII as well as LRRK2 (Fig. 4C) [16].

Figure 4. cGKII stimulates FoxO-transcriptional activity. (A, B) cGKII and LRRK2 additively stimulate FoxO-transcriptional activity. FoxO-transcriptional activity was measured in extracts prepared from 293T cells transfected with the indicated plasmids and a plasmid for FoxO1, a FoxO reporter plasmid containing Firefly luciferase, and a plasmid for Renilla luciferase to monitor the transfection efficiency. The relative FoxO-transcriptional activity (Firefly luciferase activity) normalized to Renilla luciferase activity is presented. Data are presented as the mean ± SE for three independent experiments. β-galactosidase (Mock) served as a transfection control. (C) Introduction of the S319A (SA) mutation in FoxO1 reduced FoxO activity. Data are presented as the mean ± SE for three independent experiments. *, p<0.05; **, p<0.01. Co-transfection of kinase-dead forms of cGKII and LRRK2 also stimulated FoxO (#, p<0.05 vs. Control in B). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0030958.g004
Figure 5. cGKII phosphorylates FoxO1 in vitro. (A) The recombinant FoxO1 proteins used as substrates. GST, GST-tag. Numbers indicate corresponding amino acid residues of FoxO1. (B) FLAG-tagged cGKII and FLAG-cGKII KD were immunoprecipitated from FLAG-tagged cGKII or FLAG-cGKII KD-transfected 293T cells as kinase sources. Western blotting confirmed that the amounts of the two proteins obtained were similar. (C, D) In vitro kinase assays of cGKII using recombinant GST-FoxO1 as a substrate. In the presence of cGMP, cGKII WT but not cGKII KD phosphorylated GST-FoxO, GST-FoxO-N, and GST-FoxO-C. Autoradiography (P32) and Coomassie brilliant blue (CBB) staining of the gels are shown. Note cGKII proteins by
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Phosphorylation of FoxO by DG2 as well as dLRRK causes DA neurodegeneration

We next examined the pathological consequence of the phosphorylation of FoxO by DG2 and dLRRK in Drosophila. Ubiquitous or pan-neuronal expression of DG2 or dFoxO using GAL4 drivers for constitutive expression caused death. We then employed the gene-switch-induced GAL4 system (GeneSwitch-GAL4) that drives the tissue-specific expression of upstream activating sequence (UAS)-constructs in post-mitotic cells. Pan-neuronal co-expression of dFoxO with DG2, but not the expression of either dFoxO or DG2 alone, caused significant neuronal loss in the PPM1/2 cluster Tyrosine hydroxylase (TH)-positive neurons of the adult brain (Fig. 6A). Expression of dLRRK I1915T exacerbated the neurotoxicity mediated by dFoxO and DG2 co-expression (Fig. 6A). In this context, the introduction of the S259A mutation, which corresponds to S319A in human FoxO1, attenuated the toxic interaction of dFoxO with DG2 (Fig. 6B). Consistent with the viability of TH-positive neurons, the motor activity of the flies expressing dFoxO and DG2 was impaired (Fig. 6C). Co-expression of dLRRK I1915T further worsened the motor dysfunction (Fig. 6C). Treatment with 1 mM L-3,4-dihydroyphenylalanine (L-DOPA) significantly improved the locomotor activity of dFoxO and DG2-coexpressing flies (Fig. 6D), suggesting that the reduction in motor activity reflects DA degeneration. The expression of only DG2 mildly affected lifespan (Fig. 6E), whereas the co-expression of DG2 and dFoxO significantly shortened lifespan (Fig. 6E). However, the dFoxO S259A mutation failed to suppress the decrease in lifespan caused by the co-expression of dFoxO and DG2, suggesting that the toxic interaction of DG2 with dFoxO that affects lifespan is produced by a different mechanism rather than phosphorylation at S259 by DG2 (Fig. 6F). We then examined whether endogenous dFoxO contributes to DG2-mediated toxicity in Drosophila (Fig. 7A and B). Pan-neuronal expression of DG2 alone by the GeneSwitch-GAL4 driver caused mild motor defect (Fig. 7A). Removal of one copy of functional FoxO allele had little effect on the motor function (Fig. 7A) and lifespan (Fig. 7B) whereas it partly suppressed DG2-mediated motor dysfunction (Fig. 7A) and reduction in lifespan (Fig. 7B). These results suggested that endogenous dFoxO is also involved in neurodegeneration by DG2.

NO signal leads to DA neurodegeneration through DG2-FoxO

The activation of cGK requires cGMP, cGMP is generated by the NO-mediated activation of sGCs as well as ligand-mediated activation of receptor GCs [23,24,25]. However, as NO generated by NOS has been implicated in PD, the role of NOS-sGC was investigated via functional assays in Drosophila. We tested whether the Drosophila NO signal components dNOS and sGC are indeed involved in FoxO and DG2-mediated DA neurodegeneration in Drosophila (Fig. 8). Genetic interaction tests showed that co-expression of dNOS enhances the FoxO-mediated degeneration in the eye (Fig. 8B). In contrast, knockdown of sGC α or β subunits partially improved the phenotype of dFoxO expression (Fig. 8C and D). Moreover, knockdown of sGCζ or removal of one copy of the DG2 genes improved the eye degeneration caused by co-expression of dFoxO with dNOS (Fig. 8E and F compared with B). In the context of pan-neuronal expression of FoxO and DG2 in Drosophila, treatment with a NOS inhibitor, Nω-Nitro-L-Arginine-Methyl-Ester (L-NAME), but not the inactive D-enzyme L-NAME, significantly suppressed loss of the PPM1/2 and PPL1 cluster DA neurons (Fig. 9A-E). In this setting, L-NAME treatment specifically reduced phosphorylation of dFoxO (Fig. 9F). The endogenous function of dNOS-DG2 signaling in DA neurodegeneration was estimated by survival assays of DG2 or dNOS mutant flies administrated with a PD-related toxin, paraquat, where both mutant lines showed significant resistances to paraquat (Fig. 9G). These results suggested that DG2/cGKII activated by NO signal could affect the survival of DA neurons through FoxO.

Discussion

We have previously demonstrated that dLRRK/LRRK2 phosphorylates and stimulates FoxO, which confers neurotoxic activity to FoxO, activating the expression of pro-apoptotic proteins such as Bim/Hid [16]. Searching for LRRK2-FoxO signaling components, we found that Drosophila cGK DG2 also exacerbates FoxO-mediated neurotoxicity. The current study suggests that cGKII/DG2 activates FoxO similar to, but independently of, LRRK2. However, in spite of the similar activation mechanism, the genetic results suggested that the Hid-DIAP-Dronc pathway is not a major cause of the optic degeneration by DG2-FoxO (Fig. S8A–D). Supporting this result, a quantitative RT-PCR analysis showed that DG2 or DG2-/FoxO does not effectively stimulate FoxO-mediated transactivation of hid as well as 4E-BP (Fig. S8E and F). We attempted to determine downstream effector(s) of DG2-dFoxO using a combination of microarrays, real-time PCR and Drosophila genetic screening, but could not identify any candidate genes, suggesting...
Figure 6. Neuronal activation of dFoxO by DG2 affects the maintenance of DA neurons in Drasophila. (A) The number of protocerebral posterior medial (PPM) 1/2 clusters of Tyrosine hydroxylase (TH)-positive DA neurons in 24-day-old adult flies. Neuron-specific expression of dFoxO, dLRK 11915T and/or DG2 was induced following the administration of the activator RU486 (25 μg/mL) in the elav-GeneSwitch-GAL4 (elav-GS) crosses. elav-GS/+ served as a control. Data are presented as the mean ± SE for three repeated experiments (*, P<0.05; **, p<0.01). (B) Co-expression of the dFoxO S259A (SA) mutant with DG2 suppressed the loss of PPM 1/2 TH-positive neurons. Flies were treated as in (A). (C) Adult aged flies expressing dFoxO and DG2 under the control of elav-GS showed motor defects, while the expression of dFoxO alone had little effect. The values represent means ± SE for 20 trials in six independent experiments (*, p<0.05; **, p<0.01). (D) Treatment with 1 mM L-DOPA in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), but not with PBS alone, for 4 days rescued the loss of climbing ability in dFoxO and DG2-expressing flies. dFoxO served as a control. The values represent means ± SE for 20 trials in six independent experiments (**, p<0.01). (E) Flies from each genotype were subjected to survival assays.
that DG2 has more complex functions in gene regulation. For example, DG2 might modulate another transcription regulator through phosphorylation along with dFoxO.

Activation of the NOS-sGC pathway leads to increased cGMP levels [26], which in turn has physiological consequences by regulating cGMP effector proteins such as cGMP-regulated ion channels, cGMP-regulated phosphodiesterases, and cGKs [25,27].

It is widely appreciated that cGKs have a variety of roles in tissues, and in the central nervous system. For instance, cGKs regulate neurotransmitter release/uptake and receptor trafficking, neuronal differentiation and axon guidance, synaptic plasticity and memory through the phosphorylation of substrates [27,28,29]. There are two cGK isoforms, cGKI α/β and cGKII, in vertebrates. While cGKI α/β is cytosolic and mainly found in the cerebellum, cerebral cortex, hippocampus, hypothalamus, and olfactory bulb of the brain, cGKII is located in the cellular membranes and widely distributed in the brain [30,31,32]. Here, we demonstrated that cGKII is abundantly expressed in DA neurons in the substantia nigra of the murine midbrain, suggesting that cGKII has a pathogenic role similar to DG2.

What signal mediates stimulation of cGMP synthesis and subsequent cGKII activation in PD remains unclear. The activation of microglia is believed to be one of the pathological processes [33,34], which might begin with the release of aggregated proteins such as oligomeric α-synuclein from neurons into the extracellular space [35]. Inflammation will be amplified by microglial activation and the release of proinflammatory cytokines and inducible NOS [5]. Similarly, dNOS, the only NOS orthologue in Drosophila, is involved in an immune response [36]. Thus, inducible NOS responding early to inflammation could be a trigger of the cGKII-FoxO-mediated neurotoxic pathway in humans. In this context, pathogenic LRRK2 with increased kinase activity might potentiate the above pathogenic mechanism. We found that cGKII physically interacts with LRRK2 (Fig. S9), and that they are co-localized at the endosomes (Fig. S10) although our current study suggests LRRK2 and cGKII act independently in the context of FoxO activation. However, we observed that co-expression of cGKII KD and LRRK2 3KD partially stimulates FoxO (Fig. 4B). These kinases have been reported to form a dimer when activated [29,37,38]. Thus overexpression of kinase-dead forms of cGKII and LRRK2 may accidentally recruit and activate the endogenous kinases in 293T cells although we could not detect the endogenous expression of cGKII in this cell line.

The involvement of NO signaling in PD has been suggested by the findings of higher levels of nNOS and iNOS in the nigrostriatal region and basal ganglia in post mortem PD brains [3,4]. The emerging evidence for pathogenic roles of microglia and astrocytes in PD now supports the idea that glia-induced inflammation and NO production promote the disease’s development. However, most studies with post mortem samples or PD models showed only that NO could be a generator of oxidative stress since NO is a free radical involved in a wide range of physiologic events [39]. A very recent study on rodent models of PD have shown that specific inhibition of sGC-cGMP signaling improves basal ganglia dysfunction and motor symptoms, suggesting that NO signaling could act specifically on PD etiology [40]. Our study here provides the possibility that NO signaling downstream to cGK along with FoxO has a pathogenic role in PD.

The relationship between the NO signal and FoxO has been pointed out in a report on a tail suspension-induced model of muscle atrophy, where nNOS-N0 is suggested to induce muscle atrophy by upregulating the muscle-specific E3 ubiquitin ligases MuRF-1 and atrogin-1/MAFbx through FOXO activation. Since, the AKT signal is not involved in this mechanism, the molecular mechanism by which FOXO is regulated by nNOS-N0 remains unknown [41]. Considering our finding regarding neurodegeneration, cGK may regulate FoxO as a mediator of the NO signal in the atrophic muscles as well. Studies have shown that cGK

**Figure 7. Reduction of endogenous dFoxO activity suppresses DG2-mediated toxicity.** (A) The climbing activity was measured as in Figure 6C. The values represent means ± SEM for 20 trials in three independent experiments (*, p<0.05). (B) Survival assays of female adults (n=105–106) were performed as in Figure 6E. DG2 vs. Control, p<0.01; DG2, dFoxO (+/−) vs. Control, p<0.01. The genotypes are: elav-GS/+(Control), UAS-DG2; elav-GS (DG2), elav-GS/dFoxO (+/−) (dFoxO (+/−)); UAS-DG2; elav-GS/dFoxO (+/−) (DG2, dFoxO (+/−)).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0030958.g007
indirectly activates FoxO by phosphorylation, in conjunction with FoxO, widely affecting a variety of cellular mechanisms. This idea could explain why the FoxO SA mutant failed to suppress the DG2-mediated decrease in lifespan of Drosophila (Fig. 6E and F).

Although more studies are needed in mammalian systems, our finding of a novel link between the NO signal and FoxO in neurodegeneration suggests that appropriate pharmacological control of the NO pathway would prevent or diminish pathological problems in PD.

Materials and Methods

Drosophila genetics

The Drosophila cultures and crosses were performed on standard fly food containing yeast, cornmeal and molasses, and flies were raised at 25°C unless otherwise stated. General fly stocks and Gal4 lines were obtained from the Bloomington Drosophila stock center. These flies have been described previously: UAS-dFoxO [47], UAS-dFoxO S259A [16], UAS-DG1 [18], UAS-DG2 [18], UAS-dNOS [48], UAS-hLRRK2 WT [49], UAS-hLRRK2 E2097T [49], UAS-dLRRK WT [14], UAS-dLRRK 1191ST [14], UAS-dLRRK 3KD [14], e03690 (dLRRK null) [14], elav-GeneSwitch [50], UAS-hs-DIRK1 [51], UAS-dIKKβ [52], UAS-Cdk5 RNAi [53], dFoxO [54], dNOSA57 [55], UAS-AKT1 (Bloomington stock #1891), UAS-Cdk5-Myc (#6642), UAS-CDK2-Myc (#6654), UAS-hs/JNK (#6407), mnh [56], dDrk [57], UAS-CKI [58], UAS-dFoxO [59], and UAS-Dronc RNAi (NIG-fly 8091R-2 III). UAS-human cGKII was generated in the Davies lab.

Antibodies

The anti-α-Tubulin (DM1A), anti-β-Tubulin (Tub2.1) and anti-FLAG (M2) antibodies were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. The anti-FoxO1 (#9454) antibody was obtained from Cell Signaling Technology. The anti-Myc (A6), anti-Actin (MAb1501) and anti-phospho-FoxO1 (Ser319, 51136-1) antibodies were purchased from Millipore, Chemicon and Signalway, respectively. The rabbit anti-Drosophila TH and anti-dFoxO polyclonal antibody has been described previously [16,57]. Anti-cGKII [30] and anti-cGKIz [31] were kindly provided by Drs. M. Hoffmeister and P. Weinmeister, respectively. The rabbit anti-hLRRK2 polyclonal antibodies were raised against GST-hLRRK2 (823–1004 aa) and (1686–2138 aa) produced in E. coli BL21(DE3)pLysS (Novagen).

Plasmids

cDNA for human cGKIz and rat cGKII, kindly provided by Drs. S. Lohmann and A. Smolenski, was subcloned into pCDNA3-Myc or pCDNA3-FLAG. A plasmid for EGF-FoxO1 was a kind gift from Dr. T. Unterman. A plasmid for AKT-PH-GFP was from Addgene. Plasmids for Flag-hLRRK2 and Flag-dLRRK [14], mouse FoxO1, and human 4E-BP1 and the luciferase reporter plasmid for FoxO (TK.IRS) have been reported elsewhere [58]. The plasmid for DG2 was also reported previously [18]. Mutations were introduced using the QuickChange II XL Site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene). Although we used mouse FoxO1 cDNA as a mammalian FoxO gene, the numbering is based on the human sequence to avoid confusion. Thus, Ser149–152, Ser181 and Ser319 in mouse FoxO1 correspond to Ser152–155, Ser184 and Ser319 in human FoxO1, respectively. The kinase-dead form of rat cGKII (cGKII KD) was generated by replacing Asp549 with alanine, which corresponds to bovine cGKIIz D501A mutation described in [59].

In vitro phosphorylation assay

FLAG-cGKII, FLAG-hLRRK2, and mock fractions immunopurified from transfected and mock-transfected 293T cells were used as kinase sources. The same batches of kinase fractions were used throughout the experiments, and their quality and quantity was confirmed by western blot as shown in Fig. 5B and S6. Five micrograms of GST-FoxO1, mutant forms of GST-FoxO1 and His-4E-BP1 were incubated with the kinase sources in a kinase reaction buffer containing 20 mM HEPES (pH7.4), 15 mM MgCl2, 5 mM EGTA, 0.1% Triton X-100, 0.5 mM DTT, 1 mM β-glycerophosphate, and 2.5 μM [γ-32P]-ATP in the presence or absence of 30 μM cGMP for 30 min at 30°C. The reaction mixture was then suspended in SDS sample buffer and subjected to SDS-PAGE and autoradiography.

Cell culture, immunopurification and western blotting

Transfection of human embryonic kidney 293T and Drosophila Schneider 2 (S2) cells, immunopurification from the transfected cell or mouse brain lysate, and western blotting were performed as described previously [16,60,61]. Flip-In T-REx-293 cell line

Figure 8. NO signal is involved in FoxO-mediated neurodegeneration. (A–D) SEM images of the eyes of flies expressing the indicated genes. The genotypes are: GMR-Gal4/UAS-dNOS (A), GMR-Gal4, UAS-dFoxO/UAS-dNOS (B), GMR-Gal4, UAS-dFoxO; UAS-sGCα99RNAi (C), GMR-Gal4, UAS-dFoxO; UAS-sGCα100RNAi (D), GMR-Gal4, UAS-dFoxO/UAS-dNOS; UAS-sGCα99RNAi (E), GMR-Gal4, UAS-dFoxO/UAS-dNOS, DG2 [6634] (F). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0030958.g008

(ABCD)
A harboring doxycycline-inducible EGFP-FoxO1 gene was generated according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Invitrogen).

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

Adult flies were processed as described previously [14]. SEM images were obtained at The Biomedical Research Core of Tohoku University Graduate School of Medicine.

Lifespan and survival assays

Twenty female adult flies per vial were maintained at 29°C, transferred to fresh fly food vials containing 250 μl of yeast paste and 25 mg/ml of RU486, and scored for survival every 4 days. To control for isogeny, all fly lines were backcrossed to the w2 wild-type background for six generations or were generated on the w2 background, and thus have matched genetic backgrounds. Survival assays of flies treated with 2 mM paraquat were performed as described previously [14].

Climbing assay

The climbing assay was performed as described previously [14]. Briefly, twenty flies were placed in a plastic vial (18.6 cm in height x 3.5 cm2 in area) and gently tapped to bring them down to the bottom of the vial. Flies were given 18 s to climb and the number of flies more than 6 cm from the bottom was counted. Twenty trials were performed for the same set of flies. Flies at 20 days of age were left untreated or treated with 1 mM L-DOPA for 4 days, then subjected to climbing assays.

Whole-mount immunostaining

Total number of TH-positive neurons were calculated following whole-mount immunostaining of brain samples as described previously [57]. All immunohistochemical analyses were performed using a Carl Zeiss laser scanning microscope system.

Statistical analysis

The one-way repeated measures ANOVA was used to determine significant differences between multiple groups unless otherwise indicated. If a significant result was achieved (p<0.05), the means of the control and the specific test group were analyzed using the Tukey-Kramer test. For lifespan assays, a Kaplan-Meier analysis with the log-rank test was performed.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Evaluation of mnb and dg1 expression in mnb22111229 and UAS-DG1 fly lines in the presence of the GAL4 driver. Total RNA was extracted from the Da-Gal4 crosses. The mnb, an orthologue of mammalian DFRK1, dg1 and rp49 transcript levels were measured by real-time PCR. mnb (A) or dg1 (B) transcript levels normalized to those of rp49 are presented. (TIF)
Figure S2 DG1 does not exacerbate dFoxO-mediated eye degeneration. Transgenic expression of DG2 alone did not produce eye degeneration, and DG1 had little effect on the eye phenotype caused by expression of dFoxO (when compared to Figure 2B). The numbers of ommatidia per fly eye (from 3 flies) were quantified. *, p<0.05; N.S., non-significant. The genotypes are: UAS-DG2; GMR-Gal4 (A), GMR-Gal4, UAS-dFoxO; UAS-DG1 (B), GMR-Gal4/UAS-EGFP (EGFP), GMR-Gal4, UAS-dFoxO/UAS-EGFP (dFoxO, EGFP), GMR-Gal4, UAS-dFoxO; UAS-DG1 (dFoxO, DG1) (C).

Figure S3 cGKII does not form a stable complex with cGKI or FoxO1. Lysate from 293T cells transfected with cGKII-FLAG together with or without FoxO1-Myc or cGKI-Myc was immunoprecipitated with anti-FLAG antibody (FLAG-IP). Immunoprecipitates and total soluble lysates (Lysate) were analyzed by western blotting.

Figure S4 cGKII is expressed in DA neurons of the murine midbrain. Immunolocalization of cGKI (green in A, C), cGKII (green in B, D) and TH (red) in coronal sections of the substantia nigra (A, B) and striatum (C, D) of the brain. Yellow in B indicates the expression of cGKI in TH-positive neuronal processes (arrowheads) as well as cell bodies (arrows). The right columns of each panel show high-magnification images of the boxes in the left columns. Scale bars = 20 μm.

Figure S5 Mutations of cGKII phosphorylation sites localized in FoxO1-N do not affect the FoxO-transcriptional activity. A, B) Reportedly phosphorylation sites in FoxO1 by cGKI are depicted [22]. Phospho-resistant mutants, where the indicated Ser or Thr residues are replaced with alanine, are also shown. B) The phospho-signal by cGKI was decreased in GST-FoxO4-M compared with GST-FoxO-N WT (lane 3 vs. lane 2), but was no longer decreased in GST-FoxO-5M (data not shown), suggesting that S184 is not a major phosphorylation site by cGKI. C) The FoxO1 4M mutation had little effect on FoxO-transcriptional activity stimulated by cGKII and/or LRRK2. D) Effects of the 4M mutation on physical interaction between FoxO1 and 14-3-3 were estimated in 293T cells. FoxO1-Myc-6x His was pulled down with Ni-NTA beads from the lysate of cells expressing the indicated transgenes.

Figure S6 The Ser319 site of FoxO1 is not a major target of cGKI in vitro. In vitro kinase assay was performed as in Fig. 5. P3 SA; a P3 mutant in which the Ser319 residue is replaced with alanine. Autophosphorylation signals of cGKI and cGKI are also shown in the upper panel.

Figure S7 cGKII phosphorylates LRRK2. A) cGKI WT but not cGKI KD phosphorylates LRRK2 3KD (lane 9) as well as LRRK2 WT (lane 6) in in vitro kinase assay. In vitro kinase assay was performed as in Fig. 5. B) Western blot analysis with anti-FLAG indicates similar amounts of FLAG-LRRK2 WT and FLAG-LRRK2 3KD were used in the kinase assay.

Figure S8 Hid is not a major gene responsible for FoxO-DG2-mediated optic degeneration. Introduction of loss-of-function alleles of a pro-apoptotic gene hid (B) or anti-apoptotic DLIP (C), or knockdown of Dronc, a caspase downstream of Hid (D), had little effects on the eye phenotype by co-expression of dFoxO and DG2 (A). The genotypes are: UAS-DG2; GMR-Gal4, UAS-dFoxO (A), UAS-DG2; GMR-Gal4, UAS-dFoxO; hid (B), UAS-DG2; GMR-Gal4, UAS-dFoxO; Dronc RNAi (C), UAS-DG2; GMR-Gal4, UAS-dFoxO; UAS-DroncRNAi (D).
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