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We theoretically study thermally-activated phase slips in superfluid spin transport in easy-plane magnetic wires within the stochastic Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert phenomenology, which runs parallel to the Langer-Ambegaokar-McCumber-Halperin theory for thermal resistances in superconducting wires. To that end, we start by obtaining the exact solutions for free-energy minima and saddle points. We provide an analytical expression for the phase-slip rate in the zero spin-current limit, which involves detailed analysis of spin fluctuations at extrema of the free energy. An experimental setup for a magnetoelastic circuit is proposed, in which thermal phase slips can be inferred by measuring nonlocal magnetoresistance.
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Introduction.—A wire can carry an electrical current without dissipation under favorable conditions in the superconducting state, which is characterized by a complex-valued function of position, $\Psi(\mathbf{r})$, referred to as the superconducting order parameter describing a condensate of constituent particles. When density fluctuations of the condensate are energetically suppressed and thus the magnitude of the order parameter is constant, an electrical supercurrent is proportional to the gradient of the phase of the order parameter. In some circumstances, e.g., for thin wires or in the presence of strong magnetic fields, finite resistances arise, of which understanding has required both theoretical and experimental efforts to be made over the last decades. In particular, the theory for intrinsic thermal resistances in thin superconducting wires has been pioneered by Little and the Langer-Ambegaokar-McCumber-Halperin (LAMH) theory.

No superconductivity has been observed at room temperature, challenging its practical utilization, whereas magnetism—another phenomenon resulting from spontaneous ordering—is ubiquitous in nature even at elevated temperatures. Being integrated with the information processing technology, it has spawned the field of spintronics. A spin analog of an electrical supercurrent, superfluid spin transport, has been proposed in magnets with easy-plane anisotropy, where the direction of the magnetic order parameter within the easy plane plays a role of the phase of the superfluid order parameter. Here, dissipationless spin current (polarized normal to the easy plane) is sustained by a planar spiraling texture of the magnetic order. The absence of strict conservation laws for spin, e.g., due to Gilbert damping, rules out faithful analogy to electrical supercurrent, which requires us to consider superfluid spin transport as being distinct from conventional charge superfluid.

In this Rapid Communication, we theoretically study TAPS in superfluid spin transport in easy-plane magnetic wires within the Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert (LLG) phenomenology. In equilibrium, the magnetic order is kept within the easy plane and, thus, can be characterized by its winding number, the total azimuthal-angle (phase) change along the wire. At a finite temperature, the winding number can increase or decrease due to thermal spin fluctuations via events that can be identified as TAPS. The most probable path for the dynamics of the magnetic order parameter during TAPS traverses the saddle point of the free energy, where a few localized spins develop significant out-of-easy-plane components. We obtain the exact solution for these saddle points by solving the time-independent Landau-Lifshitz equation. We also provide an analytical expression for the rate of TAPS in the zero spin-current limit, which involves detailed analysis of spin fluctuations at extrema of the free energy. To observe TAPS in magnetic wires, we adopt a magnetoelastic circuit proposed in Ref., in which detection of nonlocal magnetoresistance can yield signatures of TAPS.

Main results.—We consider a thin easy-xy-plane magnetic wire with free energy $F(\mathbf{n}) \equiv \int dV (A \nabla \mathbf{n})^2 + K_n z^2/2$, where positive constants $A$ and $K$ parametrize the stiffness of the order parameter and the easy-plane anisotropy, respectively. Here, the unit vector $\mathbf{n}(x)$ is the direction of the order parameter: the local spin angular-momentum density for ferromagnets and the local Néel order for antiferromagnets. When the wire is narrow compared to the magnetic coherence length $\xi \equiv \sqrt{A/K}$, variations of the order parameter across the wire (of cross section $\xi$) can be neglected, which allows us to treat the order parameter $\mathbf{n}$, at a given time, as a function of position $x$ along the wire. It is convenient to parametrize $\mathbf{n}$ in spherical coordinates, $\theta$ and $\phi$, defined by $\mathbf{n} \equiv (\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta)$, with a rescaled free energy:

$$f(\theta, \phi) \equiv \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} dx \left( \sin^2 \theta \phi^2 + \cos^2 \theta / 2 \right)$$

measured in units of $F_0 \equiv \xi^2 K$ (which is the maximum anisotropy energy that can be stored within the coher-
with the free energy: 

\[ f = \text{const} \text{ (for positive } \nu) \]

and \( \bar{k} \) (saddle points) for the wire length \( l = 48 \). A solid line is a guide to the eye. A dashed line shows the free energy of the metastable states for an infinitely long wire: \( f = k^2/2 \). Points corresponding to the three configurations, (a)-(c), are denoted accordingly. A dotted line illustrates transitions between nearby metastable states (a) and (c).

Extrema of the free energy are solutions of the time-independent Landau-Lifshitz equation:

\[ \frac{\delta f}{\delta \theta} = -\theta'' + \sin \theta \cos \theta \phi'^2 - \sin \theta \cos \theta = 0, \quad (2a) \]

\[ \frac{\delta f}{\delta \phi} = -(\sin^2 \theta \phi')' = 0. \quad (2b) \]

The second equation is the consequence of the invariance of the free energy under spin rotations about the \( z \) axis. For static configurations, the associated conservation law describes spatial independence of the \( z \)-component of the spin current, \( I_z \equiv -A \phi' \sin^2 \theta \phi' \), so that the dimensionless constant parameter \( k \equiv -I_z / A \phi' \) can be used to index solutions of Eqs. (2). There are two types of solutions of interest to us. The first is a local minimum of the free energy:

\[ \theta(x) = \pi/2, \quad \phi(x) = \phi_0 + kx \quad (|k| < 1), \quad (3) \]

with \( \phi_0 \) an arbitrary reference angle. There is a critical current, \( |k| = 1 \), for stable superfluid spin transport according to the Landau criterion\(^7,8,14\), above which spin fluctuations destabilize superfluidity. When the wire is long enough \( l \gg 1 \) (which is assumed henceforth), actual boundary conditions at the ends of the wire are not important. Imposing periodic boundary conditions on the order parameter, \( n(x = -l/2) = n(x = l/2) \), quantizes the total azimuthal-angle change: \( \Delta \phi \equiv \phi(l/2) - \phi(-l/2) = 2\pi \nu \), in terms of integer \( \nu \). The allowed values of \( k \) are thus \( k_\nu = 2\pi \nu / l \). Figures 1(a) and 1(c) show the free-energy minima with winding numbers \( \nu = 1 \) and \( \nu = 0 \), respectively.

At zero temperature, thermal spin fluctuations are frozen out. Persistent spin current in a closed magnetic ring, therefore, can be sustained indefinitely, when disregarding quantum spin fluctuations\(^15\). Finite temperature, however, agitates spins and opens transition channels between the metastable states carrying different spin current [see a dotted line in Fig. 1(d)]. The total azimuthal-angle change \( \Delta \phi = 2\pi \nu \) is quantized and well defined provided that the order parameter \( n \) avoids the poles, \( |n_z| = 1 \), where the azimuthal angle \( \phi \) is ambiguous. In continuous transitions between two minima with different winding numbers, \( \nu \neq \nu' \), the order parameter must hit one of the poles; this is analogous to the vanishing of the superconducting order parameter during TAPS\(^3\). Supposing \( T \ll T_0 \), the transitions between metastable states are rare, which we assume throughout.

The most probable path of the order parameter during the transition between two metastable states will pass over the intervening saddle point of the free energy, which is the second kind of solution of Eq. (2) that we obtain with spatially varying \( \bar{\theta}(x) \):

\[ \bar{\theta}(x) = \cos^{-1} \left( \sqrt{1 - k^2} \text{sech}(\sqrt{1 - k^2} x) \right), \quad (4a) \]

\[ \bar{\phi}(x) = \phi_0 + kx + \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{1 - k^2} \tanh(\sqrt{1 - k^2} x)}{k} \right), \quad (4b) \]

indexed by spin current \( \bar{k} \), and any spatial translation thereof. This exact saddle-point solution constitutes our first main result. The periodic boundary conditions on \( n \) displace allowed values of \( k \): \( \Delta \phi = \bar{k}_\nu l + 2 \tan^{-1}[(1 - \bar{k}_\nu^2)^{1/2} / \bar{k}_\nu] = 2\pi \nu \), where the quantities exponentially small for large \( l \) are ignored here and hereafter. Figure 1(b) depicts the saddle-point solution with \( \nu = 1 \), which mediates the transition between two minima with \( \nu = 1 \) and \( \nu = 0 \). The spin currents of the metastable states and the saddle-point solutions interlace: \( \bar{k}_{\nu-1} < \bar{k}_\nu < \bar{k}_\nu \) (for positive \( \nu \)), meaning that there always exists the unique saddle point between two nearest metastable states. See Fig. 1(d) for an illustration.

The rate of transitions, respectively increasing or decreasing spin-current magnitude, may be written in the form

\[ \Gamma_\pm = \Omega e^{-\Delta F_{\pm}/T}, \]

where

\[ \Delta F_{\pm} = \frac{\pi}{\nu} z_{\nu} + \frac{\pi}{\nu} z_{\nu-1} \]

for increasing (\( \nu \)) and decreasing (\( \nu \)) spin current, respectively, where \( z_{\nu} \) is the energy difference for the transition between the \( \nu \)- and \( \nu \)-winding states. However, the saddle-point solutions are valid only for \( \nu = 1 \) because \( \bar{k}_0 = 2\pi \nu / l \) and \( \nu = 0 \) do not allow for a saddle point with \( \bar{k}_0 = 2\pi \nu / l \).
where temperature is measured in energy units so that $k_B = 1$. Here, $\Delta F_{\pm} \equiv F_0 - \Delta f_{\pm}$ is the free-energy barrier to reach the intermediate saddle point, and $\Omega$ is the prefactor that depend on details of spin fluctuations around the extrema. Specifically, for the transitions between the two metastable states [Eq. (3)] with $k_{\nu}$ and $k_{\nu-1}$ via the saddle point [Eq. (4)] with $k = k_{\nu} > 0$, the free-energy barriers can be directly obtained by evaluating the differences in the free energy $f$ [Eq. (1)]:

$$\Delta f_-(k) = 2\sqrt{1 - k^2} - 2k \tan^{-1}\sqrt{1 - k^2/k},$$ (6a)
$$\Delta f_+(k) = \Delta f_-(k) + 2\pi k.$$ (6b)

Since $\Delta f_- \leq \Delta f_+$, fluctuations tend, on average, to reduce the spin-current magnitude and thus give rise to equilibration. In the limit of zero current, $k \to 0$, the free-energy barrier is $\Delta F \equiv 2F_0 = 2\xi \omega K$, which roughly represents the energy cost due to the out-of-easy-plane component of the order parameter in the phase slip region localized within the magnetic coherence length $\xi$.

Our second main result, which is derived in the supplemental material, is the analytical expression of the prefactor $\Omega$ for ferromagnets in the zero spin-current limit:

$$\Omega(T) = \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{\alpha K}{(1 + \alpha^2)s} \xi \sqrt{\frac{\Delta F}{T}},$$ (7)

which is analogous to the result for the superconducting wire in the LAMH theory, where $\alpha$ is the Gilbert damping constant and $s$ is the local spin angular-momentum density. Here, $\alpha K/(1 + \alpha^2)s$ is the inverse of the relaxation time for the perturbed uniform easy-plane ferromagnet to return to the equilibrium state; $L/\xi$ represents the number of possible independent phase-slip locations; $\sqrt{\Delta F/T}$ stems from the breaking of the translational invariance of the system by the saddle point. The prefactor for antiferromagnets on bipartite lattice can be obtained by replacing $\alpha K/(1 + \alpha^2)s$ with $K/\alpha s$ for overdamped dynamics, where $s$ is the local spin angular-momentum density per each sublattice.

For quantitative estimates, let us take following material parameters of YIG thin film: the spin angular momentum density $s = 10h/\text{nm}^3$, the Gilbert damping constant $\alpha = 10^{-4}$, the stiffness coefficient $A = 5 \times 10^{-12} \text{J/m}$, and the coefficient for easy-plane anisotropy (created by demagnetizing field) $K = 4 \times 10^7 \text{J/m}^3$. For a wire with cross section $\mathcal{A} = 50 \text{nm}^2$, the energy barrier for TAPS is $\Delta F = 5 \times 10^{-20} \text{J}$, which yields the Boltzmann factor $\exp(-\Delta F/T) = 10^{-5}$. When the length of the wire is $L = 1000 \text{nm}$, the typical rate of TAPS is $\Gamma = 2/\text{ns}$.

**Decay of persistent spin current.**—The persistent spin current in a closed ring will decay via TAPS at a finite temperature. From Eq. (5), the winding number $\nu = \Delta \phi/2\pi$, which characterizes metastable states, decays with the rate

$$\Gamma_+ - \Gamma_- = -4\pi^2(\xi F_0/LT)\Omega(T)e^{-2F_0/T}\nu,$$ (8a)
$$\equiv -\kappa(T)\nu.$$ (8b)

**FIG. 2.** (color online) (a) Schematics of an experimental setup for detecting TAPS, in which two identical metals, parallel in the electric circuit, are connected by a magnetic insulator supporting superfluid spin transport. (b) Schematics illustrating the origin of an electromotive force in the metals. TAPS unwind the equilibrium spiraling structure (at $t = t_1$), resulting in the uniform state (at $t = t_2$). As the magnet returns to the equilibrium spiraling structure, the magnetization at the left (right) interface rotates counterclockwise (clockwise), which in turn induces a detectable electromotive force in the metals.

The spatially-averaged spin current $I_s \equiv 2\nu A\sigma/L$ decays with the rate $\kappa(T)I_s$. Note that $\kappa(T)$ is independent of the length of the wire since $\Omega(T) \propto L$.

The dissipation of the spin current dictates the presence of the effective random force on the spin current to meet the fluctuation-dissipation theorem. The resultant stochastic dynamics of the spin current is described by

$$\dot{I}_s(t) = -\kappa(T)I_s(t) + \eta(t),$$ (9)

where the white-noise Langevin term $\eta(t)$ with the correlator $\langle \eta(t)\eta(t') \rangle = 2(\xi A\sigma/L)\kappa(T)\delta(t - t')$ is introduced to yield the thermal variance of the spin current, $\langle I_s^2 \rangle = (\xi A\sigma/L)T$, which we obtain from the thermal expectation value of the free energy.

**Discussion.**—TAPS in superfluid spin transport can be detected in an experimental setup proposed in Ref., in which two identical metals connected parallel in the external electric circuit are linked by a thin easy-plane magnetic insulating wire (see Fig. 2). In the presence of spin-orbit coupling at metal/magnet interface, current in the metal gives rise to a torque in the magnet, and, as an Onsager reciprocal effect, dynamics of magnetic moments induces an electromotive force in the metal.
interfaces are \( \dot{\phi}_i(t) = -\dot{\phi}_i(t) = -\pi \kappa(T) \nu(t) \). Induced electromotive force reduces the effective resistivity of the circuit (following the derivation of Ref.\(^\text{11}\)):

\[
\rho \rightarrow \rho + \rho_{\text{m}}
\]

with \( \rho_{\text{m}} = -\dot{\vartheta}^2 \kappa(T) L/2A \) (considering TAPS as perturbation to uniform spin-current states), where \( \rho \) is the resistivity of the metal and \( \vartheta \) is related to the effective interfacial spin Hall angle \( \Theta \) via \( \vartheta \equiv (h/2eT) \tan \Theta \), with \( -e \) being the electric charge of a single electron and \( t \) being the thickness of the metals in the \( x \) direction\(^\text{11}\). Observation of the characteristic dependence of the effective resistivity of the circuit on the length of the wire (algebraic) or temperature (exponential) would provide experimental signatures of TAPS.

Spins are treated classically in our theory for TAPS. Quantum aspect of spins would become important in the low-temperature regime, where quantum phase slips may become a dominant source of dissipation of spin supercurrent. Two of us recently studied such quantum phase slips in quantum antiferromagnetic spin chains\(^\text{27}\), in which decaying rate of the spin supercurrent is shown to qualitatively differentiate between integer and half-odd-integer spin chains.

Our analysis on TAPS is based on the LLG equation, which is applicable at temperatures much lower than the magnetic ordering temperature. Note that Golubev and Zaikin\(^\text{28}\) revised the LAMH theory for superconducting wires, pointing out parametric enhancement of the pre-exponential factor near the critical temperature.
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