Porous 3D graphene-based bulk materials with exceptional high surface area and excellent conductivity for supercapacitors
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Until now, few sp² carbon materials simultaneously exhibit superior performance for specific surface area (SSA) and electrical conductivity at bulk state. Thus, it is extremely important to make such materials at bulk scale with those two outstanding properties combined together. Here, we present a simple and green but very efficient approach using two standard and simple industry steps to make such three-dimensional graphene-based porous materials at the bulk scale, with ultrahigh SSA (3523 m²/g) and excellent bulk conductivity. We conclude that these materials consist of mainly defected/wrinkled single layer graphene sheets in the dimensional size of a few nanometers, with at least some covalent bond between each other. The outstanding properties of these materials are demonstrated by their superior supercapacitor performance in ionic liquid with specific capacitance and energy density of 231 F/g and 98 Wh/kg, respectively, so far the best reported capacitance performance for all bulk carbon materials.

Generally, crystalline sp² carbon materials (except fullerenes) such as carbon nanotubes and graphene have much better electrical conductivity than amorphous carbon materials, but have rather limited specific surface area (SSA) in bulk states in spite of their high theoretical SSA. This is contrary to the bulk amorphous sp² carbon materials such as activated carbon (AC), which have much lower conductivity but higher SSA. So the question is that if there is a way to build such carbon materials with both high SSA and conductivity in the bulk state? Obviously, such materials, if prepared in a facile and cost-effective way, shall have significant implications for both academic and industry communities, for example, employed as the electrode materials for the increasing important energy storage/conversion device applications.

Here we introduce such a simple and green but very efficient and industrially scalable approach (Fig. 1a) using two standard industry steps including: 1) in-situ hydrothermal polymerization/carbonization of the mixture of cheap biomass or industry carbon sources with graphene oxide (GO) to firstly get the 3D hybrid precursor materials and then 2) a chemical activation step to achieve the desired SSA and conductivity. It is important to note that the carbon atom yield is almost 100% from the first step, which is a significant and important improvement compared to the conventional direct carbonization process used in industry due to both the cost and environmental issues. And both steps are very industrially scalable and efficient to make truly large quantity of products. Various structural and morphology analyses demonstrate that these materials consist of almost entirely defected/wrinkled single layer graphene sheets in the dimensional size of a few nanometers, with ultrahigh SSA (up to 3523 m²/g) and excellent bulk conductivity (up to 303 S/m). The pore size of these materials mainly distributes in the mesopore size range, significantly different from the conventional AC materials. These combined outstanding properties make them superior candidate materials for various applications, as demonstrated by their so far the best supercapacitor performance.

Results
Synthesis of 3D porous graphene-based materials. We firstly tested our idea using the mixture of water soluble, cheap basic industry material sucrose and GO. A series of products (Supplementary Table S1) denoted as SU8G-HA, SU16G-HA, SU24G-HA and SU32G-HA have been prepared with different ratios of sucrose/GO, where the
numbers represent the feed ratio of sucrose/GO (see Supplementary Information for details). For comparison, SU-HA and G-HA were also prepared with the same process from pure sucrose and GO, respectively. Indeed, the products obtained through these two simple steps exhibit both ultrahigh SSA and good conductivity, with the best values reaching up to 3355 m²/g and 77 S/m for the optimized product SU24G-HA prepared from mixture of sucrose and only ~4 wt.% addition of GO. In the contrary, the products derived from pure sucrose or GO exhibit significantly lower SSA. The SSA of optimized product is much higher than that (1739 m²/g) of one of the most used high end industry AC products, RP207. And the conductivities of these products (~10² S/m) are not only about two orders higher than that of RP20 (~5 S/m) at the pristine state, and even significantly higher than that (~47 S/m) of RP20 mixed with 10% of conductive carbon black (CB). The conductivity of our products also increases with more GO addition as expected, indicating a great role of graphene for the improvement of electrical conductivity. More detailed discussion about this will be presented below in the morphology and structural analysis. Similar products were prepared from other two commercially most available biomass materials, cellulose and lignin. With the same simple process, surprisingly, all of them gave very similar properties as those from sucrose/GO (Supplementary Table S2).

Some of the cheap industry polymer materials have also been widely studied and used to prepare AC materials, and one of the typical polymers is phenolic resin. So next, we used the simple mixture of phenol (P) and formaldehyde (F) as the carbon source (denoted as “PF”), and followed the same procedure as above to obtain the PF/GO products with different PF/GO ratios. The obtained products also exhibit excellent results for both SSA and conductivity (Supplementary Table S3). For example, product PF16G-HA, in which the weight ratio of PF/GO is 16, gave a SSA of 3523 m²/g and conductivity of 303 S/m, respectively. To further test the applicability of our method, another widely used and low-cost polymer polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) was tested in the similar way with different ratios of PVA/GO. The optimized product PVA20G-HA (the weight ratio of PVA/GO is 20) also exhibits similar and excellent performance with both high SSA (3192 m²/g) and conductivity (67 S/m) (Supplementary Table S4). Table 1 has summarized all the results for the products from the optimized carbon source/GO ratios, which all give similarly ultrahigh SSA and excellent conductivity. These results indicate that our approach can be applied to a wide range of biomass and polymer carbon source materials and generate bulk porous materials at industry scale with both ultrahigh SSA and excellent conductivity with the addition of only a few percent of GO.

Morphology and structural analysis. As mentioned above, the ratios of carbon source to GO have great impact for both the conductivity and SSA (Supplementary Table S1-S4). In all cases, when only the carbon source or GO is used, the SSA is much lower. The products prepared from carbon source/GO mixtures always have better conductivity which increase with the ratios of GO/carbon source, indicating the key role played by the addition of GO. Due to the excellent molecular-level dispersion at the very beginning and the nature of the two reaction steps, the added graphene sheets should have been distributed homogeneously in the whole carbon matrix and work as a conducting filler to enhance the overall conductivity. Only a small amount of the filler is needed to achieve the required percolation and high conductivity. This is similar to the composites with low percolation values where

Table 1 | SSA and conductivity of all the 3D graphene-based products from the mixtures of GO and various cheap carbon sources. “PF”, “PVA”, “SU”, “CE” and “LI” are short names to represent the corresponding carbon sources of (phenol + formaldehyde), polyvinyl alcohol, sucrose, cellulose and lignin, respectively. All the experimental values presented are the averaged values and the statistical deviation is about ±5%.

| Products     | SSA (m²/g) | Conductivity (S/m) |
|--------------|------------|---------------------|
| PF16G-HA     | 3523       | 303                 |
| PVA20G-HA    | 3192       | 67                  |
| SU24G-HA     | 3355       | 77                  |
| CE24G-HA     | 3117       | 68                  |
| LI24G-HA     | 3026       | 75                  |

Figure 1 | (a) A schematic show of the simple and green process of synthesizing porous 3D graphene-based materials. (b) Low magnification and (c) high-resolution scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of products from the mixtures of PF and GO with optimized ratios, which exhibited sponge-like morphology and porous structure. (d) Low magnification and (e) high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of products from the mixtures of PF and GO with optimized ratios, which also showed a dense 3D pore structure with highly curved or wrinkled surface.
molecular level distribution of conducting fillers is achieved in the matrix. To achieve both high SSA and conductivity, there is an optimized ratio for the carbon source/GO, which is not always the same for different carbon sources. Why can a few percent of GO in the starting materials make so much difference? This is because the added graphene sheets from GO leads to significant structural difference of the intermediate products at the first step (Supplementary Fig. S1). When small amount of GO was added, the added graphene sheets from GO could block the stacking of the AC precursor generated from the matrix carbon sources and thus thinner and smaller AC particles are formed with more pores in the next activation step. As shown in Figs. 1b–d, the products with the optimized ratio show sponge-like morphology and porous structure, significantly different from the rather firm/solid structure derived from pure carbon source (Supplementary Fig. S2h). When more GO is added, the chance of graphene sheet stacking from the added GO increases, which is the result of the average size (L_{out-in-plane size}) of the graphene domains. Based on this, we found that the approximate dimensional size of the optimized products is around 4–6 nm with some changes depending on different starting materials (Supplementary Fig. S8), which is also consistent with the size of graphene domains from the AFM characterization (Supplementary Fig. S7b) and much smaller than those of products prepared from pure carbon source (~9.7 nm) or pure GO (~11.9 nm). It is well known that the thickness of individual single layer graphene sheet from mechanical exfoliation and CVD methods is ~0.4 nm and that for GO is the range of ~0.8–1.2 nm. Considering the graphene sheets in our products should mostly exist in more seriously wrinkled and ridged and even folded states, which is supported by the HR-TEM images (Fig. 1e and Supplementary Fig. S9), we believe that all these data suggest that the basic structure units in our optimized products are small highly defected (wrinkled and/or twisted) graphene sheets in the size of a few nanometers and most of which should be single-layer graphene sheets and possibly some few-layer sheets. While it is hard to see clearly how these graphene domains are connected or stacked at next level, it can be concluded that among these sheets, there is almost no long-range structure ordering or best an extremely weak long-range order (in the Z direction). In the contrast, the products prepared from pure carbon source or GO have both larger and thicker (multi-layered) graphene domains and more ordered long-range packing than the optimized products, which result in a much lower SSA as we will discuss below.

Structural modeling for their ultrahigh SSA. Next question is whether this model matches their observed properties such as ultrahigh SSA. Therefore, we carried a series of modeling for various structures using defined graphene sheets with different size and thickness (layers) as the building blocks to calculate the theoretical SSA, which were obtained using a Monte Carlo integration technique where the probe molecule is “rolled” over the framework surface. The details for the modeling are described in the Supplementary Information.

First, with single layer, as shown in Fig. 2a, the calculated results show that the accessible solvent (N_{2}) SSA of graphene domains are significantly higher than the infinite ideal graphene sheet (2675 m\(^2\)/g) and increase remarkably with the decrease of graphene domain size, which means that when the graphene domains are small, significant contribution for the SSA comes from the edge part. This is the critical factor of breaking through the theoretical upper limit of the SSA for graphene. As an extreme case for the impact of edge portion to the SSA, benzene ring gives a calculated SSA of 875 m\(^2\)/g when not considering its edge part, while with the consideration of its edge, the SSA reaches up to 26000 m\(^2\)/g, indicating the overwhelming contribution of the edge when the graphene sheets get smaller. Similar results have also been found for larger aromatic systems. Therefore, if assuming the dimensional size of graphene domains are mostly in the range of 4–6 nm and in single-layer state as indicated above, the corresponding calculated SSA are roughly in the range of 3600–3900 m\(^2\)/g (Fig. 2a), with the corresponding edge/total carbon ratios in the range of 7–10%. This modeled SSA is in line with our experimental SSA data (3200–3500 m\(^2\)/g, Table 1). Second, stacking of graphene sheets greatly reduces the SSA. With the same sheet size, the SSA values decrease dramatically with more layers for the graphene domains as shown in Fig. 2b. These results are consistent with earlier modeling results. For example, for...
the same size of graphene domains in the range of 4–6 nm, their SSA goes down dramatically from 3600–3900 m²/g to 2081–2243 m²/g when the number of layers increases from one to two. Another possible defect structure is the existence of damaged holes on the main graphene plane in the real graphene materials. Modeling results give the same conclusion (Supplementary Fig. S10).

In addition to the intrinsic wrinkling of the graphene sheets as has been observed in many reports37–39, the graphene domains in our products could also be expected to be curved in practice, which can be caused by the existence of pentagons and/or heptagons39 as in the Schwarzite model12 and/or mechanical folding38. The modeling results also indicate that the tortuosity caused by those graphene curvatures will actually reduce the accessible solvent SSA44 (Supplementary Fig. S11).

So one conclusion we can draw is that the ultrahigh SSA of our materials cannot be due to the pentagon and/or heptagon, neither from folded structure or negative curvature caused by (Schwarz Model) structures12,40. Rather, the observed ultrahigh SSA over limit from folded structure or negative curvature caused by (Schwarzite model) structures is likely some few-layer sheets. The results from Raman (Supplementary Fig. S9) indicate that most of graphene sheets in our products should probably be highly wrinkled and ridged single-layer graphene sheets with likely some few-layer sheets. The results from XRD (Supplementary Table S6 and Fig. S6), AFM (Supplementary Fig. S7a), and HRTEM (Supplementary Fig. S8) indicate that most of graphene sheets in our products should probably be highly wrinkled and ridged single-layer graphene sheets with likely some few-layer sheets. The results from Raman (Supplementary Fig. S8) and AFM (Supplementary Fig. S7b) both indicate that the single-layer graphene sheets in our products are mainly in the dimensional size of 4–6 nm. And the results from EELS (Supplementary Fig. S4) and the good electrical conductivity suggest primarily sp²-bonded carbon in our products. Thus, a possible 3D structure model of single-layer graphene sheets at the bulk state is proposed and shown in Fig. 3 to rationalize the structural analysis results, where the units are sp² graphene domains selected with 4–6 nm of dimensional size. This structure was first optimized using molecular mechanics method, and then its SSA was calculated to be 3536 m²/g36. These results are consistent with both the experimental and the modeling SSA results above for our products. Note such a model can give agreeable SSA data and support the analysis results presented above such as XRD, EELS, EPR, Raman and etc. Other possible models should also be pursued and studied.

**Pore size distribution of the ultrahigh SSA.** Next, we analyzed the pore size distribution (PSD) of these materials, as it is another key to decide their performance for many applications such as for absorption and supercapacitor materials utilizing their ultrahigh SSA, since if the pore size is too small, the guest molecules or electrolyte ions will not be able to access them36. Based on experimental nitrogen adsorption data, the PSD of our materials were analyzed using a non-local density functional theory (NL-DFT) method with a slit pore model44. As
shown in Fig. 4a, our porous 3D graphene-based materials are made of most with pores > 1 nm but < 10 nm and there is significantly less contribution for the micropores with size < 1 nm. This is significantly different from the PSD of the commercial RP20 and other high SSA materials in literatures5,6,45, where the micropores make up most of the total pores (Supplementary Table S7). This larger-size-side shifted PSD indicates these materials should provide better accessibility and more effective SSA (E-SSA) for guest molecules or ions46. Detailed values of E-SSA are shown in Fig. 4b, which were calculated based on the positive ion EMIM\(^+\) with size of \(0.76 \text{ nm}\) when EMIMBF\(_4\) was used as electrolyte for supercapacitor48. Thus, our materials not only have ultrahigh overall SSA, but also have much higher E-SSA for guest ion or molecule access compared with the conventional AC and other materials46.

Discussion
As one example of the applications taking all those advantages of these materials, we studied their applications for supercapacitors, as two of the most important requirements of the current electrode materials used in the state-of-the-art supercapacitors are to have both high E-SSA and good conductivity to achieve both high energy density and power density1,49.

Before we discuss the capacitance performance of our materials, we want to point out that recently, there are strong calls for reporting the supercapacitor performance data following the "clear rules for reporting the performance of new materials"50,51 as many claimed data in recent literatures were not obtained following the reliable measurement method/practice and losing comparison capability and...
reliability. We want to emphasize that our performance data are based on the practical industry devices (Supplementary Fig. S14) and calculated using the most recommended reliable method (details shown in the Supplementary Information) rather than the three-electrode CV method.

Using these best recommended industry practice and method, we have fabricated and tested supercapacitors using these materials as the electrode materials without any addition of CB due to their both ultrahigh SSA and excellent conductivity. Both the most popular organic electrolyte of 1 M TEABF₄/AN and ionic liquid (IL) EMIMBF₄ were used as the electrolyte systems. Supercapacitor performance data of these porous 3D graphene-based materials prepared with the optimized ratios of carbon source/GO are listed in Table 2 and Supplementary Table S8. All the products give similar but excellent supercapacitor performance, with the best results coming from the product PF16G-HA which gives a specific capacitance of 202 F/g in 1 M TEABF₄/AN and 231 F/g in neat EMIMBF₄ electrolyte systems, respectively, at the current density of 1 A/g. The corresponding volumetric capacitance is 80 F/cm³ and 92 F/cm³, based on the volume of electrode films. These are significantly higher than those of commercial RP20 and G-HA and are the best results so far for bulk carbon materials. The detailed galvanostatic charge/discharge curves of the supercapacitors based on these materials in 1 M TEABF₄/AN and IL electrolyte systems are shown in Supplementary Figs. S15 and S16. These materials also have superior supercapacitor performance for other electrolyte systems, as shown in Supplementary Table S9 and Fig. S17.

For the devices based on product PF16G-HA, the corresponding gravimetric energy densities are as high as 51 Wh/kg in 1 M TEABF₄/AN and 98 Wh/kg for neat EMIMBF₄ electrolyte, based
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**Figure 5 | Rate performance of porous 3D graphene-based materials.** Galvanostatic charge/discharge test results of supercapacitors based on the optimized porous 3D graphene-based materials in (a) 1 M TEABF₄/AN and (b) neat EMIMBF₄ electrolytes under different current densities. Galvanostatic charge/discharge curves of PF16G-HA based supercapacitor under different constant currents in (c) 1 M TEABF₄/AN and (d) neat EMIMBF₄ electrolyte. Even under a high current density of 10 A/g, PF16G-HA based supercapacitors still show a very high specific capacitance of 174 F/g in 1 M TEABF₄/AN and 210 F/g in neat EMIMBF₄, indicating excellent capacitance retention and rapid ion transport characteristics; CV curves of PF16G-HA based supercapacitor under different scan rates in (e) 1 M TEABF₄/AN and (f) neat EMIMBF₄ electrolyte. The CV testing of supercapacitors based on our materials in 1 M TEABF₄/AN and in neat EMIMBF₄ electrolyte exhibit nearly rectangular curves over a wide range of voltage scan rates (50-200 mV/s), also indicating an excellent rate performance.
on the active material total weight. For a practical packaged supercapacitor device, the energy density could be estimated to be \( \sim 1/3 \) for the electrode material, thus this material can exhibit up to \( \sim 17 \) Wh/kg and 33 Wh/kg for a practice industry device in 1 M TEABF4/AN and neat EMIMBF4 electrolytes, respectively. These are much higher than that of the current state-of-the-art commercial supercapacitors\(^5\), and comparable with that of lead acid and nickel-metal hydride batteries\(^6\), so far the best capacitance performance for all bulk carbon-only materials\(^3,7,54,55\).

The devices based on these materials also have excellent rate performance under high current densities in both 1 M TEABF4/AN (Fig. 5a) and neat EMIMBF4 electrolytes (Fig. 5b). For example, even under a high current density of 10 A/g, PF16G-HA based supercapacitors still show a very high specific capacitance of 174 F/g in 1 M TEABF4/AN (Fig. 5c) and 210 F/g in neat EMIMBF4 (Fig. 5d), indicating excellent capacitance retention and rapid ion transport characteristics\(^6\). The CV testing of supercapacitors based on our materials in 1 M TEABF4/AN and in neat EMIMBF4 electrolyte exhibit nearly rectangular curves over a wide range of voltage scan rates (30–200 mV/s), also indicating an excellent rate performance (Figs. 5e and 5f, Supplementary Figs. S18 and S19)\(^6\).

Further understanding for the high supercapacitor performance of these materials comes from the studies of the powerful electrical impedance spectroscopy (EIS)\(^6\). The Nyquist plots for product PF16G-HA in EMIMBF4 systems are shown in Fig. 6a, together with several corresponding samples of PF-HA (from PF only), G-HA and RP-20 for comparison. At high frequency (close to 100 kHz), the corresponding value of the intercept on the X axis gives the intrinsic ohmic resistance of the internal resistance or equivalent series resistance (ESR) of the electrode material and electrolyte\(^6\). As shown in Fig. 6a, PF16G-HA based device shows a quite low value (\( \sim 0.26 \) Ω) in neat EMIMBF4 electrolyte even with no CB, which is also much lower than the control materials (\( \sim 0.40 \) Ω, 1.59 Ω, and 1.61 Ω for G-HA, PF-HA, and RP20 respectively). Also, the much more inconspicuous loop in the high- to mid-frequency region with a rather lower interfacial charge transfer resistance \( R_{ct} \sim (0.5 \) Ω) for PF16G-HA than the control materials (\( \sim 0.8 \) Ω, 0.6 Ω, and 1.6 Ω for G-HA, PF-HA, and RP20 respectively) indicate a well-connected interface nature of electrolyte ions and electrode\(^6\). Probably because PF16G-HA has the unique graphene based 3D networks and ultra-high SSA. Compared with other corresponding samples, product PF16G-HA also demonstrates both the shortest Warburg region portion (inset in Fig. 6a) for an excellent ion diffusion efficiency\(^6\) and most vertical curve at the low frequency range for an ideal supercapacitor\(^6\). As shown in the expanded view provided in the inset of Fig. 6a, such a closely ideal supercapacitor behavior is even observed at the frequency of \( \sim 2 \) Hz for PF16G-HA based device. This indicates the capacitance could be reached fully at very fast recharging (\( \sim 0.5 \) s vs. 2 Hz), which is also consistent with results of frequency response (Fig. 6b)\(^6\). Similar EIS and frequency response studies of PF16G-HA obtained in 1 M TEABF4/AN electrolyte are shown in Supplementary Fig. S20, which also demonstrates an ideal supercapacitor behavior with even a smaller internal resistance due to the better conductivity of AN electrolyte system\(^5\). Furthermore, all other optimized products such as SU24G-HA, LI24G-HT, CE24G-HA and PVA20G-HA demonstrate a similar and excellent rate and frequency response in both organic and IL systems (Supplementary Figs. S21 and S22, Table S10).

For practical applications, the cycling/life stability of these materials are studied, which show the industry-level supercapacitor devices from these materials all have excellent cycling stability in various electrolyte systems. Fig. 6c shows the cycling performance data for the PF16G-HA. No obvious decay of capacitance was observed after 5000 constant current charge/discharge cycles in all the tested electrolytes at a current density of 1 A/g. No obvious decay of capacitance was observed after 5000 constant current charge/discharge cycles in all the tested electrolytes. For instance, the devices still keep > 99% capacitance in 1 M TEABF4/AN system and 94% in EMIMBF4 system after 5000 cycles.
**Methods**

**Materials.** Graphite (average particle diameter of 44 μm, 99.95% purity, Qingdao Huarun Graphite Co., Ltd.), sucrose, lignin, cellulose (Tianjin Guangfu Chemical Co., Ltd.), FVA (Alfa Aesar), phenol (>99% purity, Tianjin Guangfu Co., Ltd.), formaldehyde aqueous solution (37 wt.%, Tianjin Guangfu Co., Ltd.) and potassium hydroxide (KOH, Wuhan Chuijiang Chemical Co., Ltd.) were used as raw materials. 2,2,6,6-Tetramethyl-4-hydroxypiperidin-1-oxyl (TEMPOL, >98% purity, Alfa Aesar), Poly (tetrafluoroethylene) (PTFE, solid powder, Dupont), commercial activated carbon RP20 (Kuraray Chemicals) and electrolytes including 1.0 M 1-Butyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate (BMIMBF4, Novolyte) were all used as chemical reagents. Conductive carbon black named Super P (TIMCAL) was used as conducting additives for supercapacitors if necessary. Graphite oxide was prepared using modified Hummers method from flake graphite as-well.

**Preparation of 3D graphene-based materials.** The detailed recipe of all the porous 3D graphene-based materials from different carbon sources include a hydrothermal process followed by an in-situ KOH activation step as shown in detail in the Supplementary Information. As a typical example, the preparation of the 3D graphene-based materials from sucrose and GO are described as following. Briefly, according to different weight ratios of sucrose to GO, different volume of sucrose aqueous solution (250 mg/mL) and GO aqueous solution (5 mg/mL) was homogeneously mixed together and transferred to a 100 mL Teflon-lined autoclave, and heated to 180 °C, then kept for 12 h. After the autoclave was cooled to room temperature, the solid product was washed with distilled water and dried in vacuum at 120 °C for 24 h to get the intermediate product. Then, this intermediate product (1 g) was mixed with KOH (4 g) and placed in a horizontal tube furnace, heated to 800 °C at 5 °C/min and kept for 1 h under Ar. After cooling down to the room temperature, the obtained product was thoroughly washed with 0.1 M HCl and distilled water until the pH value reached 7. The final product was obtained after dried in vacuum at 120 °C for 24 h.

**Characterization.** The morphology of all porous 3D graphene-based materials was analyzed by Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) using LEO 1530 VP field emission scanning electron microscope with acceleration voltage of 10 kV. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and Electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS, Gatan) were carried on a FEI Tecnai T200 electron microscope at acceleration voltage of 200 kV. Typical tapping-mode atomic force microscopy (AFM) and scanning tunneling microscope (STM) measurements were taken using Multimode SPM from Digital Instruments with a Nanoscope IIIa Controller. X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were performed on a Rigaku D/max-2500 diffractometer with Cu Kα radiation. Raman spectra were examined with a LabRAM HR Raman spectrometer using laser excitation at 514.5 nm. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was carried out using AXIS HXS 165 electron spectrometer (Kratos Analytical) with a monochromatized Al Kα X-ray source (1846.71 eV photons) to analyze the chemical composition of the products. Combustion elemental analysis was also done at Vario Micro cube, (Elementar, Germany) for determination of the C, H, O and N content. Electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) measurements were done with a Bruker EMX-6/1 with TEMPOL as a standard, and the samples were measured in 4 mm tubes under the same number of scans. The nitrogen adsorption/desorption analysis was done at 77 K on a Micromeritics ASAP 2020 apparatus.

**Fabrication of working electrode and supercapacitor.** Briefly, 90 wt.% products and 10 wt.% PTFE were homogeneously mixed in the agate mortar. Then the mixture was rolled into 100–120 μm thickness sheets and punched into 16 mm diameter electrodes. After dried at 120 °C for 6 h under vacuum, the electrodes were weighted and hot pressed onto the current collectors (aluminum foils with conducting carbon coating) and then dried at 180 °C for 6 h under high vacuum to completely remove water. The dry electrodes/collectors were transferred into a glove box filled with Ar to construct two-electrode symmetrical supercapacitors, which consisted of two current collectors, two electrodes with identical weight and a porous cellulose separator sandwiched in a test fixture consisting of two stainless steel plates. 30 wt.% KOH aqueous solution, 1.0 M TEABr/NH4, EMMIMBrF6, EMIMTFSI and BMIMBF4 were used as electrolytes, respectively.

**Electrochemical measurements for supercapacitor performances.** All the electrochemical tests were carried out at room temperature. Galvanostatic charge-discharge cycle tests were measured using an Arbin testing system (Arbin MSTAT, America) Cyclic voltammetry (CV) and electrical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) studies were performed using Autolab (Metrohm).

Details of the experimental process, including the preparation procedures/conditions, various characterization and measurement, are described in the Supplementary Information.
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