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ABSTRACT

VLC technology is one of the most promising technologies in the generations of communications, especially 5G after the significant development of optical semiconductors, specific laser diode, and the use of FBMC modulation in the system. DCO-FBMC modulation surpasses all the disadvantages of DCO-OFDM modulation techniques, as it is characterized by high speed and large bandwidth, but this technique consumes high energy when converting a real bipolar signal into a positive unipolar by adding DC-bias. Besides the challenge of illumination distribution indoor typical room, where the drop of the illumination appears in the middle of the room, besides the power consumption. The Flip-FBMC technique was proposed to solve this challenge, which helps convert the bipolar signal into unipolar by separating the positive part from the negative part and converting it into positive and merging it using Multiplexer and then sending the signal. Besides this, the authors presented in this paper a new lighting model with 5 luminescent units, using LD instead of LED. The Flip-FBMC profile gave better energy savings and BER performance than DCO-FBMC, besides a lighting model that covers dark areas and gives high SNR for the blind area and give save power 13.14% from consumed power.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Communications are the major focus of information transmission, and as a result of the significant development, portable devices have increased the need for higher data rates, transmission efficiency, and bandwidth usage [1]. Here, the choice of modulation and effective demodulation must be vital in improving the effectiveness and reliability of the signal. The real challenge appears as wireless communication has become a very important technology, and one of the most important communication methods is very important is the visible light communication (VLC) [2]-[4]. The VLC system uses solid-state optical semiconductors such as light-emitting diodes (LED) [5] and lasers diode (LD) [6], [7] that are used to achieve lighting and data transmission. VLC has shown that it is a major part of existing 5G networks. VLC providing wide bandwidth density solves the increasing high-bandwidth demand problem face d by RF-based networks [8]. These features and advantages present in the VLC system, make it a promising technology for the 5G system as it comes with many new challenges that open new research topics [9].

The limited bandwidth is one of the major problems facing the VLC system, so choosing the appropriate modulation is very important [10]-[12]. It must be highly efficient, as many modulations methods
have been used, the most prominent of which is the OFDM system, the real signal and to achieve this, hermitian symmetry (H.S) is used [13]-[16]. The signal must be unipolar, so the OFDM scheme uses DC-bias to make the signal positive. There are other types of ways to make the signal unipolar, but each scheme has positives and negatives, but there are major weaknesses that OFDM modulation suffers from as it suffers from narrow bandwidth due to cyclic prefix (CP) OFDM addition of leakage spectrum because of great side lobes [2], [6], [15], [17]-[19]. Recently, filter bank multi-carrier (FBMC) systems have gained a lot of popularity due to their high bandwidth, power efficiency, flexibility, and spectrum use. Optical FBMC compatible intensity modulation/direct detection (IM/DD) technology [15], [20]. There are several proposed methods for using optical FBMC in VLC systems. Modulation systems must comply with IM/DD [21], [22], meaning that the signal must be real unipolar, so the FBMC modulation system H.S was used to obtain the real signal [23], but this method increases the complexity of IFFT/FFT analysis, where to obtain the number N symbol 2N symbol is used in the frequency field [24], which increases the power consumption, besides that the signal must be unipolar as DC bias is used to convert the bipolar signal to unipolar and this causes power consumption [14], [25]. Modelling the distribution of illumination units in the room is an important factor affecting the distribution of illumination, optical power and SNR [26], [27]. The researchers explained many methods of modelling, whether using 4 [28] lighting units or 16 lighting units [29], but these models suffer from low lighting in the middle of the room beside the use of LED with High power consumption and low luminous intensity.

This research, best our knowledge, this the first time that a new scheme for a unipolar FBMC system that does not consume high power is investigated, which is Flip-FBMC, as after the real bipolar signal, the positive part is separated from the negative part, and then the negative part is flipped and made positive and then it is done the real signal is sent positive. Also, a new lighting model was proposed in the room using five lighting units arranged geometrically instead of the traditional model, where the lighting in the centre of the room is less than in the sides, so the new scenario was simulated that covers the inside of the room and the signal reaches the blind area in addition to that it reduces power consumed.

2. DCO-FBMC IN VLC

FBMC is one of the most promising technologies for 5G systems, and since VLC is part of 5G, as mentioned above, the real signal must be positive, and for the real signal to be positive without using H.S through the complex signal generated in the classic FBMC system in the time domain, it is of two parts, real and imaginary as in (1) [6], [30].

\[
x_n = \sum_{n=\infty}^{+\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} a_{m,n} g_{m,n}(t)
\]

(1)

Where \( n \) is the subcarrier index, \( m \) is the time index, \( a_{m,n} \) is the massage being transmitted, \( N \) is the number of subcarriers; and \( g(t) \) is the synthesis function which maps \( a_{m,n} \) into the signal space \( g_{m,n}(t) \) is the shifted version in time and frequency [14]. After this, the real part is separated from the imaginary part and the imaginary part is converted into real and combined with two consecutively and transmitted, but this real signal is bipolar, so the second condition of the signal is not satisfied, as shown in Figure 1 [23].

![Figure 1. Block diagram of real signal without H.S](image)

The time-domain complex signal can be expressed after passing P/S (parallel to serial) as in 2:

\[
x_n = a_n + jb_n \ldots, n = K(N - 1)
\]

(2)
Where \(a\) and \(b\), respectively, represent the real and imaginary part of the complex signal \(X_n\). \(K\) is the overlapping factor. From here, the length of the pulse modulating filter \((L_p)\) can be calculated by \((L_p = KN)\).

\[
X_{2L_p} \begin{cases} 
a_n & n = 0, \ldots, K(N - 1) 
b(n - L_p) & n = KN, \ldots, 2K(N - 1) 
\end{cases}
\]

After obtaining the real signal without using H.S by applying the real signal adjacent to the imaginary signal, and thus it is noticed that the signal length is \(2L_p\) and the signal will be bipolar as in Figure 2. Since this signal after this stage is a real signal but it is bipolar if it does not comply with IM / DD so it must be positive so DC bias was added to make the signal unipolar.

![Figure 2. Real signal FBMC without H.S](image)

The negative peaks in the signal must be cut first, so a suitable DC bias is added to the \(X_n\) signal to eliminate the negative peaks. This adds intermittent noise when the DC bias is high, and this noise decreases affecting the sub-carriers [17].

\[
x_{dc} = X_n + B_{dc} + n_c
\]

\(x_{dc}\) is the DC-bias unipolar signal, \(X_n\) is real FBMC bipolar signal, \(B_{dc}\) and \(n_c\) are the DC-bias and noise clipping consecutive when the DC-bias becomes large the clipping noise is neglected. the value of DC bias to be set comparable to the power

\[
B_{dc} = K_b \sigma_n
\]

\[
K_b = \sqrt{10^{R/10} - 1}
\]

\(K_b\) is the clipping factor and \(R\) is the bias level in dB, Figure 3 show the DCO-FBMC block diagram.

![Figure 3. DCO-FBMC block diagram without H.S](image)
3. FLIP-FBMC MODULATION

Optical wireless communication is one of the most important types of communication systems and the VLC system is one of the best types of optical communications as it is inexpensive and has a very wide range of frequencies, so the modulation must be fast and without losses in spectral efficiency or energy consumption. DCO-FBMC is an efficient modulation system that does not suffer from any losses in spectral efficiency, but it suffers from high power consumption, for this reason, it was necessary to find another method that is fast and efficient without losses in spectral efficiency and energy losses.

The Flip-FBMC modulation system is done by separating the positive part from the negative part. The second step is the inverting, the negative part to be positive. As for the third step, the two parts are merged together so that the signal is unipolar and then the real unipolar signal is transmitted to be sent by the optical laser transmitter. Figure 4 shows the block diagram of the Flip-FBMC system from the transmitter to the receiver. As for Figure 5, it shows the shape of the real unipolar signal after leaving the transmitter.

\[ x_{2Lp} = x_{Lp}^+ + x_{Lp}^- \quad (7) \]

The real bipolar signal is of length \(2Lp\) and can be expressed as \(x_{2Lp}\). As mentioned earlier, the positive \(x_{Lp}^+\) part is separated from the negative \(x_{Lp}^-\) part and they can be defined as follows.

\[ x_{Lp}^+ = \begin{cases} x_{Lp} & x_{2Lp} > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (8) \]

\[ x_{Lp}^- = \begin{cases} x_{Lp} & x_{2Lp} < 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (9) \]

![Figure 4. Flip-FBMC block diagram in VLC](image)

![Figure 5. Real unipolar Flip-FBMC signal VLC system](image)
Since the signal complies with IM/DD, when the optical signal is sent, it is received by the optical detector and converted into an electrical signal, after which it is decoded into two parts. The second part of the positive sign (which is basically negative) is flipped back to its original shape (i.e. negative). After this step, the first part (which is originally positive) is combined with the negative inverted part, and this bipolar signal is restored.

\[ y_{2lp} = y_{lp}^- - y_{lp}^+ \]  

(10)

4. CHANNEL MODEL

In this research, the effect of semi-angle at half power, field of view (FOV), and the way the lighting is distributed using a laser were also investigated. Table 1 shows the information used in the simulations through which the classic scenario was verified using four light units and the proposed scenario using five modules for the typical room with dimensions of 5\( \times \) 5\( \times \) 3m as shown in Figure 6.

![Figure 6. Typical room by using 5 light units](image)

The intensity of radiation in the receiving level can be measured by (11) [9] and after that the luminance is calculated as the light level that the human eye accepts is 300Lx to 1500Lx as in (13) as well as calculating the DC gain in (14) [31]-[33].

\[ I(\varphi) = I_o \cos^m(\varphi) \]  

(11)

\[ m = \frac{-\ln 2}{\ln(\cos \varphi_c)} \]  

(12)

\[ E_{hor} = \frac{I_o \cos^m(\varphi)}{D^2} \cos(\psi) \]  

(13)

\[ H(0) = \begin{cases} \frac{(m+1)A}{2\pi d^2} \cos^m(\varphi)T_s(\psi)g(\psi) \cos(\psi), & 0 \leq \psi \leq \varphi_1/2 \\ 0, & 0, \psi > \varphi_1/2 \end{cases} \]  

(14)

Where \((\varphi)\) is expresses the intensity of the radiation, and so \(m\) is the Lambertian order, \(A\) is the optical detector area, \(\varphi\) is the FOV angle of the photodetector, \(T_s\) indicates the optical filter gain, \(g(\varphi)\) is the optical concentrator gain and it is defined by the semi-angle at half the illumination of the laser, as in (12), \(E_{hor}\) represents the level of horizontal illumination as in (13), on the other hand, the total transmitted and received power is calculated as in (15 and 16) respectively [31].

\[ P_t = P_{chip} \times [S_1 \ldots S_2 \ldots S_5 \ldots S_3] \]  

(15)

\[ P_r = H_o \cdot P_c \]  

(16)

There is a direct relative relationship between the transmitted optical power and the current of the LD lamp, in which the signal and limitations are described as the optical power.
The performance of VLC is appropriately evaluated through an evaluation of the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), which gives the quality of the connection link, and in order to simplify the process, the reflections of the walls are not considered because LoS is dominant in terms of the response of the optical detector [5]. In this way, it is defined as an expression of the quality of communication so the SNR is given as in 17.

$$SNR = \frac{[R \times P_c]^2}{S_{\text{thermal}} + S_{\text{shot}}}$$

when the received optical signal strength $\xi$ in the optical communication as in 18:

$$\xi = (\delta_{\text{con}} P_{R(\text{signal})})^2$$

Where the optical to electrical conversion efficiency is $\delta_{\text{con}}$, while the signal intensity in the VLC device is that the time difference between the optical energy of all the contacts reaching the receiver and the first light energy reaching the optical detector is negligible or equal to half the time span of the symbol, the optical power $P_{R(\text{sig})}$ can, on the other hand, be measured.

$$P_{R(\text{sig})} = \int_0^T \sum \sum (x \cdot \text{Flip} \otimes h_o) \ dt$$

It is therefore possible to determine the SNR of the transmitted Flip-FBMC signal using the following equation.

$$SNR = \frac{[\delta_{\text{con}} R P_{R(\text{sig})}]^2}{S_{\text{thermal}} + S_{\text{shot}}}$$

Where the detector response is represented by $R$, add the thermal noise and shot noise representation as $S_{\text{thermal}}$ and $S_{\text{shot}}$ respectively [34].

$$S_{\text{shot}}^2 = 2qR P_c B + 2qI_{0g} I_3 B$$

$$S_{\text{thermal}}^2 = \frac{8nkT_g}{g} \eta A I_2 B^2 + \frac{16\pi^2 kT_k \Gamma}{g} \eta^2 A^2 I_3 B^3$$

All parameters mentioned in equations is presented in Table 1.

| Parameters          | Values          |
|---------------------|-----------------|
| Typical room        | 5x5x3 m$^3$     |
| LD unit array       | 5x5             |
| LD $P_c$            | 2W              |
| $I_0$               | 162 cd          |
| $A$                 | 1cm$^2$         |
| $T_c$               | 1               |
| $n$                 | 1.5             |
| FOV                 | 40, 60, 70, 80, 90 |
| $\vartheta$         | 10 – 70         |
| $c$                 | 3x10$^7$ m/s    |
| $q$                 | 1.602x10$^{-19}$|
| Data rate           | 30Mb/s          |
| $I_2$               | 0.562           |
| $I_3$               | 0.0868          |
| $k$                 | 1.38066 e-23    |
| $T_k$               | 295[K]          |
| $G$                 | 10              |
| $\Gamma$            | 1.5             |
| $I_{0g}$            | 5100e-6         |
| $\eta$              | 112[ps/cm$^2$]  |
| $g$                 | 30[ms]          |
| $T$                 | 10 ns           |
| $R$                 | 0.4 A/W         |

The positions of the luminaires in the proposed model are in five units arranged as follows [(1, 1, 3), (1, 4, 3), (4,1,3), (4,4,3), (2.5,2.5,3)].
5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The authors in this paper highlight enhance FBMC modulation in a VLC system as well as the proposed luminance model optimization.

5.1. Flip-FBMC BER performance

BER is considered one of the most important factors in evaluating the system, as shown in Figure 7, as BER is a function of SNR in dB unit, although the two techniques Flip-FBMC and DCO-FBMC, although they are of the same length, it was noticed that there is a slight difference in BER that gives preference to the Flip-FBMC technology for different M-OQAM as shown in Figure 7.

5.2. Power consumption unipolar FBMC modulation

As previously explained, the main reason for suggesting Flip-FBMC technology is to make the signal compatible with IM/DD and reduce the consumed power compared to the power consumed in DCO-FBMC technology. Figure 8 shows the average power consumed per M-QAM (4QAM, 16QAM, 64QAM, 128 QAM, 256QAM), where Flip-FBMC technology got the lowest power consumed this confirms that Flip-FBMC is more efficient in using power.

Figure 7. Performance BER of Flip-FBMC vs DCO-FBMC

Figure 8. Difference in average power consumption between DCO-FBMC and Flip-FBMC
5.3. Horizontal illumination model

The illumination of the model in the proposed model covers the horizontal area of the ideal room and almost eliminates the blind areas in the room and also making sure that the lighting is within ISO standards. Figure 9 shows the distribution of luminance in the traditional model that uses four luminaires ((1.25, 1.25,3), (1.25,3.75,3), (3.75,1.25,3), (3.75,3.75,3)) [35]-[39], and the proposed model that uses five luminaires, as the figure shows the difference in luminance in the center of the room, as the new model is more preferred as it covers dark areas in different transmitter angles. As for Figure 10, the horizontal lighting shape of the room is displayed for the LD lighting units, as it provides sufficient lighting and covers dark areas in the horizontal room area.

![Figure 9. Illuminance distribution in the middle of the room](image)

![Figure 10. Distribution horizontal luminance at average lighting 754.76491x](image)

5.4. Optical power

The proposed model for lighting units contributed really to the case of energy consumption as it consumes less energy than the classic model as the LD units comprise a 5×5 array for each lighting panel, meaning that the number of LD elements is 125 elements with a total power of 250W. Unlike the conventional one which consists of four illumination units and each lighting unit consists of 60×60 LEDs [40], meaning that the entire number of lighting units is 14400 LEDs with a total power of 72W [8], and this indicates that the consumption of the traditional model for energy is higher than that of the new model that has been simulated by MATLAB. Figure 11 shows the distribution of the received optical power of the directed radiant light as the rate of the received power at half semi angle 30 is 2.6134 W. Figure 12 shows the relationship between the luminance rate and its correlation with the value of the light power transmitted by the LD light, as it was observed that the increase in brightness leads to an increase in the received light power.

![Figure 11. Optical power distribution in the typical room](image)

![Figure 12. Explains the relationship between luminance and optical power](image)
5.4. Signal to noise ratio

The VLC system includes three main parts of the transmitter source (which includes modulation and optical transmitter (LD)), the transmitter channel and direct detection devices where the system performance is evaluated in terms of the average SNR in the receiver where the SNR values were simulated using Flip-FBMC modulation where they were obtained in exchange the transmitted optical power for each LD is as in Figure 13 and Figure 14 shows the distribution of SNR in the typical room. It is observed in Figure 14 that the average relationship of the SNR with the average optical power has an increased relationship, so the higher the optical power value, the more the SNR value.

![Figure 13. Shown the relationship between average power and average SNR](image1)

![Figure 14. SNR distribution for the typical room at average SNR 88.2278 dB](image2)

Figure 15 shows the effect of the half semi angle on the SNR level, as it was tested from 10-70 degree angle, it was observed when the 10 angle was very low and then the SNR value increased at the 20°, but the SNR value decreased as after that it was observed that the SNR level decreased as the half value increased semi angle, where the illuminating circuit is expanded, where it is scattered and is not projected, where the lowest value is recorded at angle 10° and the highest value at angle 20°.

![Figure 15. Effect of half semi angle on SNR value](image3)

6. CONCLUSION

This paper, the authors review the great developments in VLC technology, and at the same time they discussed the improvement of the Flip-FBMC model that was presented in this paper and a comparison with DCO-FBMC technology as the Flip-FBMC technology does not consume additional energy, in addition to it
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gives better BER performance as well. A new illumination distribution model consisting of five lighting units geometrically distributed to eliminate dark areas and provide less energy than the conventional model consumes and deliver the signal to blind areas, where the model gave the best results as the lighting is within ISO, with an average illumination of 754.761lx, the highest optical power 5.1432W, and the maximum SNR 93.1173dB.
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