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Abstract

Background. Breast cancer is one of the most common cancers in women worldwide. The germline mutations of the BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes are the most significant and well characterized genetic risk factors for hereditary breast cancer. Intensive research in the last decades has demonstrated that the incidence of mutations varies widely among different populations. In this study we attempted to perform a pilot study for identification and characterization of mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes among Armenian patients with family history of breast cancer and their healthy relatives. Methods. We performed targeted exome sequencing for BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes in 6 patients and their healthy relatives. After alignment of short reads to the reference genome, germline single nucleotide variation and indel discovery was performed using GATK software. Functional implications of identified variants were assessed using ENSEMBL Variant Effect Predictor tool. Results. In total, 39 single nucleotide variations and 4 indels were identified, from which 15 SNPs and 3 indels were novel. No known pathogenic mutations were identified, but 2 SNPs causing missense amino acid mutations had significantly increased frequencies in the study group compared to the 1000 Genome populations. Conclusions. Our results demonstrate the importance of screening of BRCA1 and BRCA2 gene variants in the Armenian population in order to identity specifics of mutation spectrum and frequencies and enable accurate risk assessment of hereditary breast cancers.
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Introduction
Breast cancer (BC) is one of the most common cancers in females worldwide and particularly in Armenia. Despite the high prevalence of this disease in developed countries, it has become highly prevalent in developing countries (50% of all cancer cases) and is characterized by high mortality rate (58% of all breast cancer related deaths).

The germline mutations of the \textit{BRCA1} and \textit{BRCA2} genes are the most significant and well characterized genetic risk factors for hereditary breast cancer, which constitutes about 5–10% of all cases. Inherited mutations in \textit{BRCA1} and \textit{BRCA2} genes account for 30–50% of all known mutations associated with this disease. Women who carry \textit{BRCA1} mutations are particularly susceptible to the development of breast cancer before the age of 35–40 with a probability rate of 45%–60%, whereas women who inherit a \textit{BRCA2} mutation have a 25%–40% risk of developing breast cancer. The association of \textit{BRCA1}/\textit{BRCA2} gene mutations with breast cancer was first well described in Ashkenazi Jews.

Intensive research in the last decades has demonstrated that the incidence of mutations in high-risk families varies widely among different populations. For example, the mutations in \textit{BRCA1} and \textit{BRCA2} were each estimated to account for 45–50% of families with multiple cases of breast and ovarian cancer in UK and USA, whereas mutation prevalence among African–Americans with family breast and ovarian cancer history was 16.3% for \textit{BRCA1} and 11.3–14.4% for \textit{BRCA2}, which is significantly lower compared to Caucasian populations. Identification of the \textit{BRCA1}/\textit{BRCA2} mutations in different populations and ethnic groups is an important endeavor, which enables geneticists and oncologists to make more specific choices in genetic testing of members of high-risk families.

Here we have attempted to perform a pilot study for identification and characterization of mutations in \textit{BRCA1} and \textit{BRCA2} genes among Armenian patients with family history of breast cancer and their healthy relatives.

Materials and methods

Samples
Six patients with confirmed family history of breast cancer (at least two cases in a family) and their first-degree healthy relatives were recruited in this study (except for the BC10 patient, see Table 1). Patients were admitted to the National Center of Oncology MH RA and ARTMED Medical Rehabilitation CJSC. Written informed consent forms were obtained from all the study participants. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB00004079) of the Institute of Molecular Biology NAS RA.

Blood samples were collected in EDTA-containing tubes and genomic DNA was extracted according to the protocol described elsewhere. A260/A280 ratio measured for evaluation of quality and quantity of extracted DNA was in the range of 1.8–2.

Exome sequencing
\textit{BRCA1} and \textit{BRCA2} exome sequencing was performed by an external service provider (Admera Health LLC, South Plainfield, NJ, USA) using the proprietary breast cancer panel \textit{iBRCA}, which detects genetic variations in all exons of \textit{BRCA1} and \textit{BRCA2}. According to the service provider’s description, this panel utilizes the targeted amplicon (166 amplicons) sequencing method, based on Seq-Ready™ TE Panels protocol (WaferGen Biosystems Inc, Freemont, CA, USA). Reagent cocktails and samples were aliquoted into a 384-well sample source plate. The source plate and

Table 1. Family structure of the studied subjects.

| Family | Sample | Agec (Age at Diagnosis) | Disease | Family history | Healthy relative in study |
|--------|--------|------------------------|---------|----------------|--------------------------|
| FAM1   | BC01   | 67                     | BC      | Yes (daughter) | BC02                     |
| FAM1   | BC02   |                        | HC      |                |                          |
| FAM2   | BC03   | 41                     | BC      | Yes (sister [BC04], grandmother) | BC05                     |
| FAM2   | BC04   | 40                     | BC      | Yes (sister [BC03], grandmother) | BC05                     |
| FAM2   | BC05   |                        | HC      |                |                          |
| FAM3   | BC06   | 42                     | BC      | Yes (mother, aunt) | BC07                     |
| FAM3   | BC07   |                        | HC      |                |                          |
| FAM4   | BC08   | 36                     | BC      | Yes (aunt)     | BC09                     |
| FAM4   | BC09   |                        | HC      |                |                          |
| FAM5   | BC10   | 38                     | BC      | Yes (mother, aunt) | NA                      |
BRCA1/2 SmartChip™ were pre-dispensed with Seq-Ready™ TE BRCA1/2 Primers and were placed into the SmartChip™ Multisample Nanodispenser. The SmartChip™ was then amplified with Bio-Rad T100 SmartChip™ TE Cycler. PCR product was then purified with Agencourt AMPure XP (Beckman Coulter, Inc.), according to manufacturer’s instructions. Samples were then quantified with Qubit® 2.0 Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and quality analyzed with Tapestation (Agilent Technologies). Sequencing was performed with Illumina MySeq platform on a single lane. Raw reads for each sequenced sample were stored in separate fastq files. DNA samples were shipped on ice to avoid degradation and were passed internal quality check before processing.

Short-read alignment
For each sample, raw sequences were aligned to the human reference genome sequence (hg19, see Public genome data section) using Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA) version 0.7.10 with default parameters. The resulting bam files were used in downstream variant discovery analysis.

Variant discovery
Variant discovery was performed using Genome Analysis Tool Kit (GATK) version 3.6 according to recommended workflows for germline single nucleotide variations (SNVs) and indel discovery in whole genome and exome sequencing data6. Base quality score recalibration, indel realignment and mate pair fixing were performed in bam files. Variant calling was performed without duplicate read removal. SNV and indel discovery and genotyping were performed simultaneously across all samples using standard hard filtering parameters11.

Public genome data
For the alignment, we have used the human reference genome sequence (NCBI build 36.1/hg19) from the UCSC (University of California, Santa Cruz) database (http://genome.ucsc.edu). Known SNPs (single nucleotide polymorphisms) were annotated using the UCSC database (single nucleotide polymorphism database, dbSNP version 135). 1000 Genomes phase 1 genotype data was used for human genetic variations filtration (ftp://ftp.1000genomes.ebi.ac.uk/vol1/ftp/). Allelic frequencies of detected variants were compared against 1000 Genomes phase 3 genotypes, as well as with the genome-wide association study (GWAS) data from 54 healthy Armenian females that were genotyped in the framework of population genetics study by Harber et al.20 (ftp://ngs.sanger.ac.uk/scratch/project/team19/Armenian). The Data on clinically significant BRCA1 and BRCA2 variants were obtained from Breast Cancer Core DataBase maintained by National Human Genome Research Institute (https://research.nhgri.nih.gov/bic/).

Statistical analysis and functional annotation
Comparison of allele frequency distributions in the study group with 1000 Genomes and healthy Armenians was performed using Fisher’s exact test available in R 3.3.2 base package. Variant functional annotation was performed using ENSEMBL Variant Effect Predictor tool21.

Results
In this study we have performed exome sequencing of BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes in patients with a positive family history of breast cancer and their healthy relatives of Armenian origin. Patients’ clinical data and family structure of the studied subjects are presented in the Table 1. The aligned sequencing data is available in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra) under accession SRP095082. For each sample, a total of 16 different primer pairs were used to amplify all the coding regions of BRCA1 and BRCA2 (as described in the Methods section). The average sequencing depth per base per sample was 6696±606. Detailed NGS statistics are presented in Table 2 and Supplementary file S1.

In total, variant calling resulted in detection of 232 sequence variations (200 SNVs and 32 indels, Supplementary datasets S2 and S3). Thirty-nine SNVs and 4 indels passed the thresholds after applying hard filters (Table 3).

From these variants, 18 were novel (15 SNV and 3 indels), and the rest have already been described in 1000 Genomes populations (Table 4). The novel variants were detected only in one or two subjects (8 in healthy relatives and 7 in patients). We identified 12 missense variants (5 in BRCA1 and 7 in BRCA2), 8 synonymous variants (5 in BRCA1 and 3 in BRCA2), 15 intronic variants (8 in BRCA1 and 7 in BRCA2) and 4 in untranslated regions of BRCA2. The frequency distributions of known BRCA1/2 variants were similar to those in 1000 Genomes populations and/or GWAS of healthy Armenians, except for the g.32914236 C>T (pFisher=4.7E-05). No known clinically significant variants were detected in breast cancer patients and their healthy relatives.

| Table 2. Overall NGS statistics. |
|----------------------------------|
| Number of samples | 10 |
| Total aligned reads (percent aligned reads) | 1106492 (90%) |
| Target aligned reads (percent aligned reads) | 1041136 (94%) |
| Mean coverage depth | 6696.9 |
| Target coverage at 1x | 100% |
| Target coverage at 10x | 99.99% |
| Target coverage at 50x | 99.95% |
Table 3. Polymorphic variants in BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes in patients and their healthy relatives. This table provides functional annotation of mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes that passed filters during variant calling with GATK.

| HGVSg  | Consequence | Impact | Gene  | HGVSp | SIFT         | PolyPhen | Clinical significance |
|--------|-------------|--------|-------|-------|--------------|----------|-----------------------|
| 13:g.32889775 G>T | 5' UTR | MD | BRCA2 | - | - | - | - |
| 13:g.32889792 A>G | 5' UTR | MD | BRCA2 | - | - | - | - |
| 13:g.32890572 G>A | 5' UTR | MD | BRCA2 | - | - | - | - |
| 13:g.32899159 C>T | intronic | MD | BRCA2 | - | - | - | uncertain significance, not provided |
| 13:g.32900933 T>A | intronic | MD | BRCA2 | - | - | - | - |
| 13:g.32906729 A>C | missense | MO | BRCA2 | p.Asn372His | tolerated | benign | not provided, benign |
| 13:g.32910561 A>G | missense | MO | BRCA2 | p.Glu690Gly | deleterious | possibly damaging | - |
| 13:g.32910594 T>G | missense | MO | BRCA2 | p.Asn690Tyr | tolerated | benign | - |
| 13:g.32913055 A>G | missense | MO | BRCA2 | p.Glu690Gly | deleterious | possibly damaging | - |
| 13:g.32913081 A>G | missense | MO | BRCA2 | p.Lys1530Arg | deleterious | probably damaging | not provided |
| 17:g.41223094 T>C | missense | MO | BRCA1 | p.Ser1634Gly | tolerated | benign | - |
| 17:g.41226601 G>C | missense | MO | BRCA1 | p.Lys1634Gly | tolerated | benign | - |
| 17:g.41231516 C>T | intronic | MD | BRCA1 | - | - | - | - |
| 17:g.41234470 A>G | intronic | MD | BRCA1 | p.Asp1436Tyr | tolerated | benign | - |
| 17:g.41244000 T>C | missense | MO | BRCA1 | p.Lys1183Arg | tolerated | benign | benign |
| 17:g.41244429 C>T | missense | MO | BRCA1 | p.Ser1040Gly | tolerated | benign | benign |
| 17:g.41244434 T>C | synonymous | L | BRCA1 | p.Glu1038Glu | - | - | - |
| 17:g.41244644 T>A | synonymous | L | BRCA1 | p.Pro968Pro | - | - | - |
| 17:g.41244936 G>A | missense | MO | BRCA1 | p.Pro871Leu | tolerated | benign | - |
| 17:g.41245237 A>G | synonymous | L | BRCA1 | p.Leu771Leu | - | - | - |
| 17:g.41245466 G>A | synonymous | L | BRCA1 | p.Ser694Ser | - | - | - |
| 17:g.41245471 C>T | missense | MO | BRCA1 | p.Asp693Asn | deleterious | benign | benign |
| 17:g.41249324 A>G | intronic | MD | BRCA1 | - | - | - | uncertain significance, likely benign |
| 17:g.41251906 T>A | intronic | MD | BRCA1 | - | - | - | - |
| 17:g.41251931 G>A | intronic | MD | BRCA1 | - | - | - | not provided |
| 17:g.41256032 G>C | intronic | MD | BRCA1 | - | - | - | - |
| 17:g.41256037 C>T | intronic | MD | BRCA1 | - | - | - | - |
| 17:g.32931732 delC | frameshift | H | BRCA2 | - | - | - | - |
| 17:g.41249364 delA | intronic | MD | BRCA1 | - | - | - | - |
| 17:g.41256076 delA | intronic | MD | BRCA1 | - | - | - | - |

HGVSg – genomic position of mutation notation by Human Genome Variation Society; Consequence – consequence of mutation; Impact – functional impact of mutation (MD – modifier, MO – moderate, L – low, H – high); HGVSp - protein sequence name notation by Human Genome Variation Society; SIFT - prediction of protein function change depending on amino acid substitution using SIFT software (http://sift.jcvi.org); PolyPhen - prediction of protein function change depending on amino acid substitution using PolyPhen software (genetics.bwh.harvard.edu/pph2).
Table 4. Distribution of identified variants in healthy Armenians and in 1000 genomes populations. The frequency distributions of identified mutations in the study group were compared with data from 1000 Genomes population, as well as the genome-wide association study from 54 healthy Armenian females\textsuperscript{35}.

| HGVSg       | RAF 1000 Genomes | MAF 1000 Genomes | RAF Armenians | MAF Armenians |
|-------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|
| 13:g.32889775 G>T | 0.90             | 0.10             | -             | -             |
| 13:g.3289792 A>G | 0.75             | 0.25             | 0.85          | 0.15          | -             |
| 13:g.32890572 G>A | 0.75             | 0.25             | 0.79          | 0.21          | 0.73          | 0.27          |
| 13:g.32899159 C>T | 0.95             | 0.05             | -             | -             | -             |
| 13:g.32900933 T>A | 0.70             | 0.30             | 0.65          | 0.35          | -             | -             |
| 13:g.32906729 A>G | 0.70             | 0.30             | 0.75          | 0.25          | 0.72          | 0.28          |
| 13:g.32910561 A>G | 0.95             | 0.05             | -             | -             | -             |
| 13:g.32910594 T>G | 0.95             | 0.05             | -             | -             | -             |
| 13:g.32911888 A>G | 0.70             | 0.30             | 0.73          | 0.27          | 0.71          | 0.29          |
| 13:g.32913055 A>G | 0               | 1                | 0.03          | 0.97          | -             | -             |
| 13:g.32913081 A>G | 0.95             | 0.05             | -             | -             | -             |
| 13:g.32913609 A>G | 0.95             | 0.05             | -             | -             | -             |
| 13:g.32914236 C>T | 0.90             | 0.10             | 0.99          | 0.01          | 0.98          | 0.02          |
| 13:g.32918825 T>C | 0.95             | 0.05             | -             | -             | -             |
| 13:g.32920905 T>C | 0.95             | 0.05             | -             | -             | -             |
| 13:g.32929232 A>G | 0.70             | 0.30             | 0.77          | 0.23          | -             | -             |
| 13:g.32929387 T>C | 0               | 1                | 0.02          | 0.98          | 0               | 1             |
| 17:g.41216021 G>A | 0.95             | 0.05             | 0.99          | 0.01          | -             | -             |
| 17:g.41223094 T>C | 0.50             | 0.50             | 0.64          | 0.36          | -             | -             |
| 17:g.41226601 G>C | 0.50             | 0.50             | 0.65          | 0.35          | -             | -             |
| 17:g.41231516 C>T | 0.50             | 0.50             | 0.65          | 0.35          | -             | -             |
| 17:g.41234470 A>G | 0.50             | 0.50             | 0.66          | 0.34          | 0.55          | 0.45          |
| 17:g.41244000 T>C | 0.50             | 0.50             | 0.65          | 0.35          | 0.55          | 0.45          |
| 17:g.41244429 C>T | 0.95             | 0.05             | 0.99          | 0.01          | 0.98          | 0.02          |
| 17:g.41244434 T>C | 0.90             | 0.10             | -             | -             | -             | -             |
| 17:g.41244644 T>A | 0.95             | 0.05             | -             | -             | -             | -             |
| 17:g.41244936 G>A | 0.50             | 0.50             | 0.46          | 0.54          | 0.53          | 0.47          |
| 17:g.41245237 A>G | 0.50             | 0.50             | 0.66          | 0.34          | 0.55          | 0.45          |
| 17:g.41245466 G>A | 0.50             | 0.50             | 0.66          | 0.34          | 0.61          | 0.39          |
| 17:g.41245471 C>T | 0.70             | 0.30             | 0.97          | 0.03          | 0.92          | 0.08          |
| 17:g.41249324 A>G | 0.95             | 0.05             | -             | -             | -             | -             |
| 17:g.41251906 T>A | 0.95             | 0.05             | -             | -             | -             | -             |
| 17:g.41251931 G>A | 0.80             | 0.20             | 0.90          | 0.10          | 0.78          | 0.22          |
| 17:g.41256032 G>C | 0.95             | 0.05             | -             | -             | -             | -             |
| 17:g.41256037 C>T | 0.95             | 0.05             | -             | -             | -             | -             |
| 13:g.32913172 delC | 0.95             | 0.05             | -             | -             | -             | -             |
| 17:g.41249364 delA | 0.50             | 0.50             | 0.67          | 0.33          | -             | -             |
| 17:g.41256076 delA | 0.25             | 0.50             | -             | -             | -             | -             |
| 17:g.41256087, 41256101 delGAAAAAAAAA | 0.50             | 0.18             | -             | -             | -             | -             |

MAF – minor allele frequency; RAF – reference allele frequency.
Discussion

This study provides preliminary characterization of variations in BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes in Armenian patients with family history of breast cancer. Our data suggest that no known clinically significant variants contribute to the disease development in these patients. Meanwhile, two other frequent mutations were identified that cause missense substitutions in coding regions of BRCA1 and BRCA2 and were predicted as having pathogenic consequence. The results of this study are in agreement with a previous report, which also failed to identify known high risk mutations of BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes in Armenian patients using high-resolution melting PCR approach.

Mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes are known markers for hereditary breast/ovarian cancer. Currently more than 100 clinically important mutations and polymorphisms have been described. Genetic testing of these mutations was among the first included in the guidelines for cancer prognostics. Nowadays, in many countries genetic testing is routinely prescribed to patients in high-risk groups for hereditary breast and ovarian cancer. However, it has also become apparent that the distribution and appearance of particular risk alleles in BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes is population dependent, and in many cases population specific mutations are being identified. This is especially relevant to populations that have for a long time remained culturally and genetically isolated, as in the case of Armenians. Recent research has demonstrated that the genetic structure of Armenians “stabilized” about 4000 years ago and has remained almost unchanged since that time. Furthermore, our own data indicate that the frequencies of genetic variations associated with various complex human diseases share similarities both with European and Asian populations. From the other side, Armenian genomes are highly underrepresented in the current human genome sequencing initiatives and little is known about genetic predisposition to complex diseases in this particular population.

In conclusion, despite the small sample size limitation, our results demonstrate the importance of screening of BRCA1 and BRCA2 gene variants in the Armenian population in order to identify specifics of mutation spectra and frequencies and enable accurate assessment of the risk of hereditary breast cancers.
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This is a very important pilot study characterizing variations in BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes in Armenian patients with family history of breast cancer. It provides a good background for further large-scale study in Armenia.

I have few notes to consider:

1. In the section Methods, the authors used 1000 Genomes phase 1 genotype data for variations filtration. Is there any reason why they prefer phase1 data but not phase 3, which they used for assessing allelic frequencies?

2. I noticed that the authors did not verify the NGS detected variants by other methods, e.g. by Sanger sequencing. It is especially important to confirm the detected novel mutations to exclude that they could be false positive.

3. In the Table 3, the authors report a frameshift variant 13:g.32913172delC, which has a high functional impact on BRCA2. Is it detected in a patient or in a healthy relative? Could it be a novel BRCA2 mutation specific for the Armenian population? It is known that PolyPhen and SIFT may fail to predict the impact for some variants. The authors might consider to verify this mutation by other methods, e.g. Sanger sequencing, and report it to the appropriate databases. I would suggest to mention about this variant under the section Discussion.
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The breast cancer is an important health problem in Armenia and identifying specific genetic factors that may predispose to breast cancer development, especially in the families of patient that were already diagnosed with this condition may improve significantly the dire situation with breast cancer prevention in Armenia. Although in the small number of patients and family members, the manuscript presents a good step forward and sets an example how genetic studies in the larger cohort of breast cancer patients and members of their families could identify clinically relevant variants in BRCA1/2 gene mutations that known elsewhere outside of Armenian population as well identify variants that could be specific for Armenian populations only.

The title of manuscript is appropriate and the abstract summarizes well the reported findings. Study design is appropriate, albeit with small number of patients. Materials and methods and data analyses are suitable for the design and conclusion are justified. Methodology provides sufficient information and references for replication of the experiments as well as to build up the data base with the larger cohort of patients and their family members.

A few suggestions to make the discussion of the results better:

1. In this study there were no known clinically relevant variants identified. Could this be because of small number of patients in addition to the conceived notion that genetic structure of Armenians is “stabilized” 4000 years ago? Could there be other predisposing factors, as well? Needs a bit more discussion.

2. What is the value of the novel variants identified in this study? Could these novel variants be specific for Armenian population? Are there any other “close ethnic groups” that have shown novel variants that are not clinically relevant for the “mainstream population” but became relevant for the specific ethnic group. Brief discussion will suffice.

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.