Background: Mesonephric-like adenocarcinoma (MLA) is a recently characterized, rare, and aggressive neoplasm that mostly arises in the uterine corpus and ovary. MLA shows characteristic pathological features similar to mesonephric adenocarcinoma of the cervix. The origin of MLA is still controversial and recognition of it remains challenging for pathologists. The aim of this study was to enrich the clinicopathological features of MLA in the uterine corpus and explore its molecular alterations by targeted next-generation sequencing (NGS).

Methods: Four cases of MLA were identified among a total of 398 endometrial carcinomas diagnosed in our institution between January 2014 and December 2021. Immunohistochemistry and targeted NGS spanning 437 cancer-relevant genes were performed.

Results: The most common symptom was abnormal vaginal bleeding, and the average age was 68 years. Histologically, the tumors showed a mixture of varied growth patterns including papillary, glandular, tubular, cribriform, solid, and slit-like architectures, which were lined by columnar to cuboidal cells with overlapping vesicular nuclei and sometimes nuclear grooves. Intraluminal eosinophilic colloid-like secretions were focally evident in three of the four cases. Immunohistochemically, the MLAs were positive for GATA3 (4/4), TTF-1 (3/4), luminal CD10 (3/3), calretinin (2/3), and patchy P16 (3/3) and were negative for ER (0/4) and PR (0/4). P53 was "wild type" (4/4). By targeted NGS, 3/4 (75%), 2/4 (50%), and 1/4 (25%) cases harbored PIK3CA, KRAS, and PTEN mutations, respectively. None of the tumors had mutations in DNA mismatch repair genes, ARID1A/B, POLE, CTNNB1, SMARCA4, or TP53. At the time of diagnosis, three were presented with FIGO IB stage and one with IIC stage. Two patients received postoperative chemotherapy and radiotherapy and they were alive without evidence of disease at 8 and 56 months follow-up, respectively. One patient developed pulmonary...
INTRODUCTION

Mesonephric adenocarcinoma (MA), originating from normal or hyperplastic mesonephric remnants, is an unusual and aggressive tumor most commonly occurring in the lateral walls of the cervix (1–3). Mesonephric-like adenocarcinoma (MLA) is a recently characterized, rare subtype of gynecologic carcinoma that has been included in the 5th edition World Health Organization (WHO) Classification of Female Genital Tumours (4–6). MLA frequently arises in the uterine corpus and ovary and shares overlapping features with MA in morphology, immunophenotype, and molecular changes without the presence of mesonephric remnants (7–11). It is important to recognize MLA in the uterine corpus due to its well-established aggressive behavior (12–15). Patients frequently present at an advanced International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) stage (II to IV) with a tendency toward early recurrence and distant metastasis (12–15).

Histologically, akin to MA, MLA is characterized by a variety of growth patterns including tubular, glandular, papillary, retiform, glomeruloid, sex-cord like, and solid. Tubular and glandular patterns often predominate, and a frequent finding is the presence of small tubules containing luminal eosinophilic colloid-like materials (4, 9, 10, 13, 16). Squamous and mucinous differentiation are generally absent (9, 13, 16). The immunophenotype of MLA is also similar to MA with the expression of PAX8, GATA3, TTF1, calretinin, luminal CD10, patchy P16, and “wild type” P53, and negative staining for estrogen and progesterone receptors (4, 8, 13, 15, 16). Molecular genetic findings show both MLA and MA are characterized by recurrent KRAS mutations, gain of chromosome 1q, microsatellite stability, as well as alterations in chromatin remodeling genes (ARID1A/B and SMARCA4) (7, 9, 10). However, accumulated evidence discloses MLAs do not infrequently harbor PIK3CA and PTEN alterations which are commonly seen in endometrial endometrioid carcinomas (EECs) but are very rare in MAs (11, 13, 16). Furthermore, extra-uterine MLAs are often associated with Müllerian lesions such as endometriosis and serous tumors (17–20). This evidence has led some pathologists to suggest that MLA may represent mesonephric-like transdifferentiation of a Müllerian tumor rather than a true mesonephric tumor (9, 11, 13, 16). Nevertheless, the definite relationship between MLA and MA has not been firmly established.

The purpose of this study was to add cases of uterine corpus MLA diagnosed at our institution with morphologic and immunohistochemical as well as detailed molecular analyses to the existing literature and to provide further evidence to support its Müllerian origination.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Case Selection

Among a total of 398 endometrial carcinomas (ECs) of the uterine corpus diagnosed in Zhejiang Provincial People’s Hospital between January 2014 and December 2021, four cases of MLA were identified, which accounts for approximately 1% of all ECs. The clinical details and follow-up data were obtained from a review of the electronic medical records. For all four cases, the hematoxylin-eosin (HE) stained and immunohistochemical slides were reviewed by two of the authors (TM and MZ) and the diagnosis of MLA was further confirmed according to the diagnostic criteria proposed by the recently published 5th edition WHO Classification of Female Genital Tumours (6). This study was approved by the institutional ethics committee of Zhejiang Provincial People’s Hospital.

Immunohistochemistry

All specimens were formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded. Tissues were sliced into 3-μm sections. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was performed on a Ventana Benchmark autostainer (Ventana Medical Systems, Tucson, AZ, USA). All the used primary antibodies were purchased from Beijing Zhong Shan Gold Bridge Biological Technology Co., Ltd (Beijing, China), including PAX8 (Clone EP298), GATA3 (Clone EP368), TTF1 (Clone 8G7G3/1), P53 (Clone DO-7), estrogen receptor (ER, Clone OT11B1), progesterone receptor (PR, Clone OT12E2), P16 (Clone 1C1), calretinin (polyclonal), and CD10 (Clone SP67). The staining process was performed in accordance with the instructions and established positive and negative controls. Stains were considered positive if there was nuclear staining for PAX8, GATA3, TTF1, P53, ER, and PR, nuclear & cytoplastic staining for calretinin and P16, and luminal staining for CD10. Positive staining in less than 50% of tumor cells or glands was considered focally positive, and positive staining in ≥50% of tumor cells or glands was considered diffusely positive.
Targeted Next-Generation Sequencing
Targeted NGS was performed by Nanjing Geneseeq Technology Inc. (Nanjing, China) on Illumina HiSeq4000 platform. All procedures were conducted according to the manufacturer’s protocols. This detection covers a total of about 1.53Mb nucleotide sites of exons, fusion-related introns, alternative splicing regions, and specific microsatellite sites of 437 cancer-specific genes. The sequencing results include point mutations, small indel mutations, gene fusions, copy number variations, microsatellite analysis results, and tumor mutational burden.

RESULTS
Clinical Details
The clinical details of the four patients are summarized in Table 1. The age at diagnosis ranged from 56 to 80 years, with an average of 68 years. Three patients were admitted to the hospital for postmenopausal bleeding with a duration from 2 months to 3 years and one presented with abdominal pain for 1 year. Radical hysterectomy and bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy were performed on all four patients and additional pelvic or para-aortic lymph node dissection was performed for three patients (cases 2-4). Grossly, all the tumors involved the uterine corpus and presented as intrauterine mass with invasion to more than one half of the myometrium. The neoplasms ranged in maximum dimension from 2 to 6 cm, with an average of 3.7 cm. Specimen sections revealed solid and soft masses that were white to gray in color (Figure 1). The original diagnoses included EEC (case 1), mixed carcinoma (case 2), and MLA (cases 3 and 4). At the time of presentation, three of four (75%) patients were FIGO stage IB with tumor invasion into the outer half of the myometrium and one (25%) was stage IIIC with multiple pelvic nodal metastases. After the surgery, patients 2 and 4 received both chemotherapy and radiotherapy and they were alive without evidence of disease at 56 and 8 months follow-up, respectively. Patient 3 was treated with chemotherapy and she developed pulmonary metastasis 13 months postoperatively; she subsequently underwent resection of the metastatic lesion and was disease-free after 5 months of follow-up. Patient 1 was dead of the disease (due to extensive pelvic spread) 24 months after the operation without adjuvant therapy.

Morphological Characteristics
The morphological features are illustrated in Table 2. Histologically, at lower magnification, the tumors showed combinations of various growth patterns, including papillary, glandular, tubular, cribriform, solid, and slit-like architectures, in a fibrous and hyalinized matrix. In the present case series, papillary (Figure 2A) and glandular (Figure 2B) patterns represented the two most seen patterns, and both were presented in all four tumors. Two cases (cases 3 and 4) exhibited small tubular (Figure 2C), back-to-back cribriform (Figure 2D), and solid (Figure 2E) patterns. Case 2 showed foci of polycystic pattern with dilated tubules and intracytoplasmic micropapillae, similar to those seen in low-grade serous carcinoma (SC) of the ovary (Figure 2F). Case 4 displayed focal slit-like architectures (Figure 2G). Dense, eosinophilic colloid-like materials (Figure 2H) were focally evident in the tubules in three cases. No cases had intracytoplasmic mucin or unequivocal squamous differentiation although intracystic extracellular mucinous depositions (Figure 2A) were noted in one case (case 1). Lung metastasis of case 3 exhibited a 0.8-cm, well-defined nodular lesion composed of tubules and glands (Figures 3A, B) closely mimicking a primary invasive lung adenocarcinoma except for lacking of an in situ component.

Cytologically, the tumor cells were mainly columnar to cuboidal, and occasionally hobnail (Figures 4A–C), had scant palely eosinophilic cytoplasm, and exhibited in general moderate

TABLE 1 | Clinical features of uterine corpus mesonephric-like adenocarcinomas.

| Patient No. | Age  | Symptoms                  | Size (cm) | FIGO stage | Treatments                                                                 | Follow-up (mo)          |
|-------------|------|---------------------------|-----------|------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1           | 80ys | Postmenopausal bleeding for 3 ys | 6         | IB         | HYS+BSO                                                                   | Dead of disease (24)    |
| 2           | 63ys | Postmenopausal bleeding for 1 ys | 3.3       | IIIIC      | HYS+BSO+PL+RT+CT(carboplatin+doxorubicin)                                | NED (58)                |
| 3           | 56ys | Abdominal pain for 1 ys    | 2         | IB         | HYS+BSO+PL+CT(carboplatin+taxol)                                         | Lung metastasis (13), NED (18) |
| 4           | 73ys | Postmenopausal bleeding for 2 mo | 3.5       | IB         | HYS+BSO+PL+CT(carboplatin+doxorubicin)                                   | NED (6)                 |

BSO, bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy; CT, chemotherapy; HYS, hysterectomy; NED, no evidence of disease; PL, pelvic lymphadenectomy; RT, radiotherapy.

FIGURE 1 | Gross findings of uterine corpus MLA. The tumor presents as a solid and soft mass with white to gray coloring (white arrow), protruding into the endometrial cavity (case 4).
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nuclear atypia. The nuclei were vesicular, overlapping, and sometimes angulated with nuclear grooves, resembling those seen in papillary thyroid carcinoma (Figures 4D, E). Spindle cells were noted in the solid areas in two cases (Figure 2E). Mitotic activity was conspicuous, and intraluminal comedo-like necrosis was identified in three cases (Figure 4F). Lymphovascular invasion was noted in three out of the four cases. For all four cases, the tumor arose in the endometrium and invaded the outer half of the myometrium with a destructively infiltrating pattern. No evidence of endometrial hyperplasia or mesonephric remnants was found, and the cervix was not involved. Twenty-three of 59 pelvic lymph nodes were positive for metastatic lesions in case 2, and no nodal metastatic lesions were identified for cases 3 or 4.

**Immunohistochemical Features**

The immunohistochemical results are shown in Table 3. Not every case was stained for all markers. In all cases tested, the tumor cells were positive for PAX8 (2/2, diffusely in both) (Figure 5A), GATA3 (4/4, diffusely in two and focally in two) (Figures 5B, C), and TTF1 (3/3, focally in two and diffusely in one) (Figures 5D, E), and the one (case 3) which was diffusely positive for TTF1 also diffusely expressed GATA3. Three of three tumors showed focal luminal expression of CD10 (Figure 5F), and two out of three exhibited focal calretinin positivity (Figure 5G). Patchy P16 expression was noted in three cases analyzed. All cases exhibited a wild-type pattern of P53 expression, and all tumors were negative for both ER (Figure 5H) and PR (Figure 5I). For the metastatic MLA in the lung in case 3, the tumor cells were positive for PAX8, GATA3, and TTF1 (Figures 6A–C), in accordance with the immunoprofile of the primary tumor of the uterine corpus.

**Molecular Genetic Findings**

The molecular genetic findings are listed in Table 4. Genetic testing using targeted NGS spanning 437 cancer-relevant genes was performed on all four cases. The tumor mutational burdens were overall low and varied from 2.1 to 7.4 mutations per megabase. A total of 14 genes with 18 mutations were identified across all cases and contained 14 missense, one nonsense, one frameshift, one nonframeshift deletion mutation, and one splice site mutation. Five genes (KRAS, PIK3CA, PTEN, ATM, MYCN) of the mutations have been previously reported in MLA. KRAS activating mutations were found in two of four (50%) cases harboring G12A (case 1) and G12V (case 2) (Figures 7A, B), and PIK3CA mutations (all missense mutations) were identified in three of four cases (75%) harboring alterations including R93W (case 2), Q546R (case 3), and G1049R (case 4) (Figures 7C–E). Case 2 had concurrent mutations of KRAS and PIK3CA. PTEN missense mutation (L325R) (Figure 7F) was identified in case 1 which was accompanied by KRAS mutation. Both the KRAS-nomutated tumors harbored PIK3CA mutations (cases 3 and 4). Copy number variation was only identified in case 3, which exhibited a gain of NKX2-1 (TTF1, copy number, CN: 4.2). None of the tumors had mutations in DNA mismatch repair genes, ARID1A/B, POLE, CTNNB1, SMARCA4, or TP53.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we used previously characterized knowledge of the morphologic and immunohistochemical features of MLAs to retrospectively identify these tumors in the archives of our institution. Among a total of 398 ECs diagnosed in our institution between January 2014 and December 2021, four cases of MLA were retrieved, accounting for approximately 1% of all ECs, in accordance with the recently reported prevalence of 0.7% (4/570) by Kolin et al. in 2019 (16), and 0.7% (2/300) by Mills et al. in 2022 (21). Despite being very rare, uterine corpus MLA may be under-recognized due to its diverse morphology and close resemblance to other commonly encountered endometrial cancers such as EEC, clear cell carcinoma (CCC), SC, and carcinosarcoma. In fact, for the four cases presented here, only two had been correctly diagnosed as MLA originally, with the other two diagnosed as EEC and mixed carcinoma, respectively. Similarly, in the retrospective case series study by Euscher et al. (13), of the 23 cases of uterine corpus MLA analyzed (most were referral cases), 18 were initially classified as carcinomas other than MLA, including EEC in 13 cases, adenocarcinoma not otherwise specified in four cases, and SC in one case.

The four cases of uterine corpus MLA presented here showed morphologic, immunohistochemical, and molecular features consistent with those in previously described cases (Table 5). In general, the most useful morphological clues for diagnosing MLA include: a variety of growth patterns seen in combination and within the same tumor; the presence of distinctive nuclear
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**TABLE 2 | Histomorphological characteristics of uterine corpus mesonephric-like adenocarcinomas.**

| Case No. | Architectural patterns | Cytologic features | Intraluminal | LVI |
|----------|------------------------|------------------|--------------|-----|
|          | Papillary | Glandular | Tubular | Cribriform | Solid | Slit-like | Columnar to cuboidal cells with nuclear grooves | Hobnail | Spindle | eosinophilic secretions | comedo-like necrosis | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | YES |
| 1         | 80%      | 20%       |          |           |        |          | YES            | NO     | NO | NO | Extracellular mucus | YES | NO | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| 2         | 90%      | 10%       |          |           |        |          | YES            | YES    | NO | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| 3         | 10%      | 10%       | 40%      | 30%       | 5%     | 5%       | YES            | NO     | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| 4         | 15%      | 30%       | 30%      | 20%       | 5%     |          | YES            | NO     | NO | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES |

LVI, lymphovascular invasion.
features that resemble those seen in papillary thyroid carcinoma, such as nuclear grooves, nuclear overlap, and open chromatin; the presence, at least focally, of densely eosinophilic intraluminal secretions in the tubules; and the lack of marked nuclear atypia and pleomorphism, and unequivocal squamous differentiation (4, 9, 10, 13, 16). Foci of solid architecture composed of spindle cells can be noted in MLAs, which should not be regarded as a sign of sarcomatoid differentiation, given the lack of heterologous differentiation in these areas and the consistent absence of TP53 mutations in these tumors (16). MLAs typically have no intracytoplasmic mucin frequently seen in EECs but they can contain mucin-like material within the luminal spaces (13). Rare features that have been documented in MLAs include sex cord-like/trabecular pattern reminiscent of the corded and

FIGURE 2 | Histopathologic findings of uterine corpus MLA. (A) Papillary pattern with extracellular mucinous depositions (case 1, HE×20). (B) Glandular pattern (case 4, HE×100). (C) Tubular pattern (case 3, HE×100). (D) Cribriform pattern (case 4, HE×100). (E) Solid pattern with spindle-shaped cells (case 3, HE×100). (F) Intracytic micropapillary pattern (case 2, HE×100). (G) Silt-like architecture (case 3, HE×100). (H) Dense, eosinophilic colloid-like materials (arrows, case 4, HE×100).
immunohistochemical stains should be employed to facilitate a diagnosis of MLA and included first-line markers GATA3, TTF1, ER, and PR, with CD10 and calretinin serving as supplemental immunohistochemical stains. Most recently, Kim et al. (15) found that in 25 cases of endometrial MLA, 68% showed at least moderate nuclear GATA3 immunoreactivity in more than 25% of tumor cells, and 81% of examined cases expressed TTF1 in a heterogeneous pattern. In that study, all cases expressed at least one of the two markers, with an inverse staining pattern of GATA3 and TTF1 noted in 30% of cases (15). Loss of ER is typically observed in MLAs, however, this is not a universal finding among reported cases. In the study by Euscher et al. (13), focal positivity of ER (usually in less than 10% of tumor cells) can be seen in up to 30% of MLAs. Hence some positivity of ER does not necessarily preclude the diagnosis of MLA. PR was negative in almost all reported cases; thus, it can be concluded that PR is a more reliable negative marker for MLA (5).

Increasing use of mutational analysis techniques in an effort to improve understanding of tumor biology and identify potential therapeutic targets has led to the identification of recurrent KRAS mutations in MLAs. Although results from smaller series suggest that KRAS mutation is a consistent finding among MLAs, several previously reported larger series reported KRAS mutation in 76 to 89% of cases (10, 11, 13). All the cases lacking KRAS mutation had histologic and immunohistochemical features diagnostic of MLA. In this study, KRAS activating mutations were only found in two of four (50%) cases harboring G12A (case 1) and G12V (case 2), respectively. In our study, the lower proportion of KRAS mutation may be related to the small number of samples included. Our two cases without a KRAS mutation both had histologic features of MLA as well as diagnostic immunohistochemical support including expression of GATA3 and TTF1. In most of the reported cases in the literature, MLAs have only had mutations in KRAS, typically affecting the hotspot codon 12 including G12D, G12V, G12C, and G12A (9–13, 15). A small number of cases have been noted in the literature with concurrent genetic alterations, including mutations in PIK3CA, PTEN, and CTNNBI (9, 10, 13, 16), which are characteristic of the hyalinized variant of EEC (22), papillary pattern covered by hobnail-type cells or cytoplasmic clearing cells mimicking CCC (13), and dedifferentiation to sheets of anaplastic discohesive cells (23). In addition, EC with architectural and cytologic features suggesting low-grade SC of the ovary (such as polycystic pattern with dilated tubules and intracystic micropapillae, as two of our cases have illustrated), should also prompt consideration of MLA. In three out of the four MLAs, intraluminal comedo-like necrosis resembling that seen in intraductal carcinoma of the breast was noted; this morphology has previously been documented in a subset of MLAs (13). While careful attention to histology can help flag tumors for potential mesonephric-like classification, ultimately ancillary studies are often required for confident classification as MLA. In this study, for all cases tested, the tumor cells are positive for PAX8, GATA3, TTF1, and luminal CD10, and are negative for ER and PR, consistent with the immunohistochemical results previously reported. Pors et al. (8) compared the sensitivity and specificity of GATA3, TTF1, CD10, and calretinin in the diagnosis of MLAs and reported GATA3 to be the best overall marker, but staining can be weak to moderate in intensity and positive in only a minority of cells (<10%). In their study, TTF1 had high sensitivity and specificity comparable to GATA3 for MLAs, however, it was expressed in only 12.5% of MAs, suggesting MLA and MA may be biologically different entities. TTF1 and GATA3 can show an inverse staining pattern in a minority of MLAs (8). It is worth noting that both GATA3 and TTF1 can be seen in 6% and 1% of non-MLA ECs, respectively (8). Previous studies have found that both TTF1 and GATA3 expression are poor prognostic factors for ECs, and some of these TTF1 or GATA3-positive cases may in fact represent MLAs (24, 25). Euscher and colleagues (13) investigated the immunophenotypic features in 23 cases of MLA of the endometrium and found similar results. In their study, 15/16 (94%) and 11/16 (69%) of cases expressed GATA3 and TTF1, respectively, and the intensity and number of cell staining of GATA3 were greater than that of TTF-1 in most cases. These authors suggested that a panel of immunohistochemical stains should be employed to facilitate a
molecular alternations of EECs (26). Among the small number of endometrial MLAs without a KRAS mutation reported, one harbored a mutation involving BRAF, another gene in the RAS/MAPK pathway (11), and one had mutations in PIK3CA, PTEN, and CTNNB1 (13). Our findings reflect the literature experience. Of the two cases with a KRAS mutation, both had additional mutations, including PIK3CA and PTEN in each case. For the two cases lacking KRAS mutation, both had mutations in PIK3CA, and one of which had a gain of NKK2-1 (TTF1, copy number, CN: 4.2), which had previously been reported in MLAs.
The presence of concomitant PIK3CA and/or PTEN mutations in some cases of endometrial MLA has led to the hypothesis that these tumors are Müllerian or endometrioid derived but differentiating along mesonephric lines, representing a distinctive variant of EC (9, 11, 13, 16). The findings of our study lend further support to this assertion.

Although KRAS mutations had been identified in the vast majority of reported MLAs, a KRAS mutation is usually not required for the diagnosis of MLA. Furthermore, KRAS mutation is not unique to MLA in endometrial cancer. In their evaluation of 570 ECs which had undergone molecular profiling, Kolin et al. (16) have identified KRAS mutation in up to 17% (98/570) of ECs, in which most were endometrioid histotype, followed by carcinosarcoma (nine cases), and SC and MLA (both were four cases). Thus, the diagnosis of the MLA variant of EC remains based primarily on histologic and immunohistochemical grounds. The main differential diagnosis of MLA is EEC, and many of the previously reported endometrial MLA were initially mistaken for EEC (13, 14). This is not surprising given that the various architectural patterns characteristic of MLAs may also

FIGURE 5 | Immunohistochemical features of uterine corpus MLA. (A) Diffuse PAX8 positivity (case 3, ×100). (B) Diffuse GATA3 positivity (case 4, ×40). (C) Focal GATA3 positivity (case 1, ×100). (D) Diffuse TTF1 positivity (case 3, ×40). (E) Focal TTF1 positivity (case 4, ×100). (F) Luminal CD10 positivity (case 3, ×100). (G) Focal calretinin positivity (case 2, ×40). (H) ER negativity (case 3, ×40). (I) PR negativity (case 4, ×40).

FIGURE 6 | Immunohistochemical findings of metastatic MLA to the lung in case 3. The tumor cells are positive for (A) PAX8 (×40), (B) GATA3 (×40), and (C) TTF1 (×40).
Lastly, as mentioned above, the lack of heterologous CCCs and only focally expressed in a minority of MLAs (27). 

A and Alpha methyacyl CoA racemase can be helpful for their use in distinguishing MLA from CCC, the combination of napsin mesonephric origin suggests this marker could be of limited renders it difficulty to differentiate MLA from endometrioid CCC. Although expression of HNF1B in carcinomas of mesonephric origin suggests this marker could be of limited use in distinguishing MLA from CCC, the combination of napsin A and Alpha methyacyl CoA racemase can be helpful for their distinction, as both markers are usually diffusely expressed in CCCs and only focally expressed in a minority of MLAs (27). Lastly, as mentioned above, the lack of heterologous

differentiation and the consistent absence of TP53 mutations can distinguish MLAs containing solid areas with spindle cells from Mullerian carcinosarcomas. For metastatic MLA to the lung, the positive TTF1 staining and negative hormone receptors staining can be confused with a primary lung adenocarcinoma. In patients with a history of gynecological malignancy, one should always keep in mind to perform PAX8 staining. The presence of small tubules with eosinophilic secretions and lack of thyroid carcinoma (28), given their shared histologic and immunophenotypic features (e.g., nuclear grooves, eosinophilic secretions within tubules, positive staining of PAX8 and TTF1, and negative staining of hormone receptors). In this setting, adding IHC for GATA3, luminal CD10, and calretinin can further help support the diagnosis. Furthermore, metastatic MLA in the lung may closely resemble a metastatic thyroid carcinoma (28), given their shared histologic and immunophenotypic features (e.g., nuclear grooves, eosinophilic secretions within tubules, positive staining of PAX8 and TTF1, and negative staining of hormone receptors). In this setting, adding IHC for GATA3 and thyroglobulin may help distinguish the two lesions, however, comprehensive clinicopathologic correlation is critical for the distinction.

Although MLAs are difficult to diagnose, their accurate identification is important due to their relatively poor prognosis. According to the previous reports, MLA displays an aggressive biological behavior with more than half of the published cases presenting with advanced stage (FIGO ≥ II stage) at diagnosis (12).

### TABLE 4 | Molecular genetic findings of uterine corpus mesonephric-like adenocarcinomas.

| Case No. | Case 1 | Case 2 | Case 3 | Case 4 |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Mutations | PTEN: exon 8, c.974T>G(p.L325R), VAF:78.85%, Likely pathogenic | DUSP2: exon2, c.504C>G(p.Y168*), VAF:77.55%, Uncertain significance | PIK3CA: exon9, c.1637A>G(p.G546R), VAF:52.6%, Likely pathogenic | PIK3CA: exon20, c.3145G>G(p.G1049R), VAF:45.9%, Likely pathogenic |
|                | ATM: exon50, c.7466C>T(p.S2489F), VAF:35.14%, Uncertain significance | ATM: exon10, c.1372_1382dup(p.E461Dfs*16), VAF:23.68%, Uncertain significance | SMARCB1: exon8, c.1091_1093del(p.K364del), VAF:14.87%, Likely pathogenic | ChD4: exon25, c.5740T>C(p.I1247T), VAF:2.03%, Uncertain significance |
|                | ATM: exon10, c.1372_1382dup(p.E461Dfs*16), VAF:23.68%, Uncertain significance | ATM: exon10, c.1372_1382dup(p.E461Dfs*16), VAF:23.68%, Uncertain significance | ATM: exon10, c.1372_1382dup(p.E461Dfs*16), VAF:23.68%, Uncertain significance | ATM: exon10, c.1372_1382dup(p.E461Dfs*16), VAF:23.68%, Uncertain significance |
| CNV | 7.4 mutations | 7.4 mutations | 3.2 mutations | 2.1 mutations |
| TMB (per megabase) | 7.4 mutations | 7.4 mutations | 3.2 mutations | 2.1 mutations |
| Microsatellite analysis | No mutations in DNA mismatch repair genes were detected | No mutations in DNA mismatch repair genes were detected | No mutations in DNA mismatch repair genes were detected | No mutations in DNA mismatch repair genes were detected |

CN, copy number; CNV, copy number variation; TMB, tumor mutational burden; VAF, variant allele frequency.
It is associated with a considerable risk of recurrent disease with a tendency to metastasize beyond the pelvis, especially to the lungs. Euscher et al. (13) have disclosed several frequent adverse prognostic indicators in uterine corpus MLAs, including large tumor size, deep myometrial invasion, cervical stromal invasion, and lymphovascular invasion, as compared with other histologic variants of EC, such as EEC and SC. In that study, the authors also documented that MLA was an independent risk factor for adverse patient outcomes and showed shorter progression-free survival and overall survival compared to low-grade EEC as well as SC.

**FIGURE 7** Molecular genetic findings of uterine corpus MLA detected by targeted next-generation sequencing, as shown by the Integrative Genomics Viewer screenshot. (A) KRAS exon2: c.35G>C (p.G12A) (case 1). (B) KRAS exon2: c.35G>T (p.G12V) (case 2). (C) PIK3CA exon1: c.277C>T (p.R93W) (case 2). (D) PIK3CA exon9: c.1637A>G (p.Q546R) (case 3). (E) PIK3CA exon20: c.3145G>C (p.G1049R) (case 4). (F) PTEN exon8: c.974T>G (p.L325R) (case 1).

**TABLE 5** The most useful histopathologic and molecular genetic features for the diagnosis of uterine corpus mesonephric-like adenocarcinomas.

| Morphological features | Immunohistochemical features | Molecular genetic features |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| In combination of a variety of growth patterns including tubular, glandular, papillary, retiform, glomeruloid, sex-cord like, spindle cells, and solid; | Mostly positive for GATA3 and TTF1, and less commonly for calretinin and luminal CD10; | Somatic KRAS mutations (in up to 90% cases), with or without mutations in PIK3CA, PTEN, and CTNNB1. |
| Columnar to cuboidal cells with distinctive nuclear features resembling those seen in papillary thyroid carcinoma, such as nuclear grooves, nuclear overlap, and open chromatin; | Patchy P16 and "wild type" P53 expression; | |
| The presence, at least focally, of densely eosinophilic intraluminal secretions in the tubules; | Negative for estrogen receptor (can be focally positive) and progesterone receptor. | |
| The lack of marked nuclear atypia and pleomorphism, and unequivocal squamous differentiation. | | |
The aggressive biology of MLA has further been confirmed by two recently published large series of studies. In the first study that included 44 MLAs of the uterine corpus and 25 MLAs of the ovary, 59% of the former and 42% of the latter developed recurrences, most commonly distant. The 5-year disease-specific survival (DFS) for MLA of the uterine corpus and ovary was 72% and 71%, respectively (14). In the second study including 25 MLAs of the uterine corpus, among the 23 cases with follow-up periods of more than 3 months, 72% showed local or metastatic recurrences, with a mean DFS of 22.6 months (15). In our study, three of the four patients presented with advanced-stage disease, developed tumor metastasis, or died of the disease. On the basis of integrated genomic characterization, endometrial cancers are classified into four molecular groups indicating stratified prognosis (26). Since MLAs have an absence of POLE mutation, TP53 mutation, and mismatch repair-deficient, they belong to the group with no specific molecular profile and are probably responsible for the proportion of poor prognosis in this group.

Given their marked propensity for aggressive behaviors, and given that patients who receive surgery for early-stage disease have a tendency to suffer a recurrence and metastasis, previous studies have recommended that uterine MLAs should not be graded by the FIGO grading system but should all be automatically regarded as high-grade and therefore treated by algorithms utilized for high-grade ECs (13, 14). Because of its rarity, there are not yet clear clinical guidelines for the management of MLA, and the efficacy of adjuvant radiation and/or chemotherapy remains largely unknown (12). However, a recently published report showed sustained response to a combination of lenvatinib and pembrolizumab in two patients with recurrent KRAS-mutated MLAs (29), providing evidence that uterine corpus MLAs may merit a specialized therapeutic approach. There may be a role for targeted inhibitors of the RAS/MAPK pathway in MLAs, given their underlying KRAS mutations and a clinical study had shown that thyroid carcinomas with KRAS mutations were very sensitive to lenvatinib (30). Furthermore, because the current standard of surveillance strategies for EC patients usually does not include chest imaging, some authors have proposed chest imaging could be prospectively incorporated into the surveillance strategies for patients with MLAs, given the reported high risk for pulmonary metastases, whether at diagnosis or during disease progression (21).

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, our study confirms that MLA is a rare and aggressive malignancy, representing approximately 1% of all ECs. It exhibits mixed architectures associated with distinctive immunophenotype and recurrent KRAS and PIK3CA mutations. Our findings provide further evidence to support the concept that uterine corpus MLA is derived from a Müllerian substrate with differentiation along mesonephric lines. Increasing prospective and retrospective recognition of this unusual variant of EC is critical to better understanding the clinical and therapeutic implications of this diagnosis.
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