Two-peaked and flat-top perfect bright solitons in epsilon-near-zero nonlinear metamaterials: novel Kerr self-trapping mechanisms
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We analytically investigate transverse magnetic (TM) spatial bright solitons, as exact solutions of Maxwell’s equations, propagating through nonlinear metamaterials whose linear dielectric permittivity is very close to zero and whose effective nonlinear Kerr parameters can be tailored to achieve values not available in standard materials. Exploiting the fact that, in the considered medium, linear and nonlinear polarization can be comparable at feasible and realistic optical intensities, we identify two novel self-trapping mechanisms able to support two-peaked and flat-top solitons, respectively. Specifically, these two novel mechanisms are based on the occurrence of critical points at which the effective nonlinear permittivity vanishes, the two mechanisms differing in the way the compensation between linear and nonlinear polarization is achieved through the non-standard values of the nonlinear parameters.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Metamaterials have recently attracted a large attention since they are characterized by unconventional electromagnetic properties and have recently suggested novel and fascinating applications [1–3]. In the context of nonlinear optics, metamaterials have suggested two different ways to overcome its fundamental limit consisting in the fact that, due to the weakness of the nonlinear response, nonlinear behavior is observed at large optical intensities. In the first scheme the material is tailored in such a way that the nonlinear medium is placed at the region where a large field enhancement occurs [6, 7], whereas in the second scheme the linear dielectric permittivity is chosen to be so small to allow the nonlinear polarization to fully rule the electromagnetic behavior (extreme nonlinear regime) [8]. Such an extreme nonlinear regime has been recently allowed to predict genuinely novel and intriguing phenomena as, for example, beam transverse power flow reversing [9] where Poynting vector flips its sign along the transverse profile of an optical beam since optical radiation nonlinearly produces a region (around the beam propagation axis) where the longitudinal electric field component (i.e. the component parallel to the soliton shape, allowing us to obtain both two-peaked and flat-top solitons. The occurrence of such points literally provides novel physical self-trapping mechanisms (much more involved than standard compensation between self-focusing and diffraction) where the longitudinal electric field component (i.e. the component parallel to the soliton propagation direction) is the basic mean to produce the above mentioned balance between linear and nonlinear polarizations. The full exploitation of such novel self-trapping mechanisms is possible since the nonlinear parameters of the metamaterial Kerr response (as discussed in Ref.[8]) can be tailored to assume values not available in standard materials. In order to prove the feasibility of the two novel soliton families, we propose a realistic composite medium characterized by a sufficient number of parameters which can be independently tuned to allow the overall homogenized medium response to meet the conditions required for observing both two-peaked and flat-top solitons. In addition, as a consequence of
the small value of the linear permittivity, two-peaked and flat-top solitons turn out to be observable at feasible optical intensities.

The paper is organized as follow. In Section II we discuss epsilon-near-zero nonlinear metamaterials, we introduce the basic theory for investigating bright solitons 

and we derive their existence conditions even for values of the nonlinear parameters not available in nature but available through the proposed nonlinear metamaterial. In Section III and Section IV we consider two-peaked and flat-top solitons, respectively, and we focus on their own underlying self-trapping supporting mechanisms by discussing their mutual differences and the role played by the unconventional values of the nonlinear parameters. In Section V we propose a feasible and realistic composite medium (nonlinear metamaterial) suitable for observing two-peaked and flat-top solitons. Finally, in Sec. VI, we conclude with a discussion and summary of our results.

II. BRIGHT SOLITONS IN NONLINEAR EPSILON-NEAR-ZERO METAMATERIALS

Consider monochromatic transverse magnetic (TM) fields $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E} \exp(-i \omega t)$, $\mathbf{B} = \text{Re} \left[ \mathbf{B} \exp(-i \omega t) \right]$ (of frequency $\omega$), where

\begin{align}
\mathbf{E} &= E_x(x, z)\hat{e}_x + E_z(x, z)\hat{e}_z, \\
\mathbf{H} &= H_y(x, z)\hat{e}_y,
\end{align}

(1)

propagating through a nonlinear metamaterial whose effective medium response is described by the constitutive relations

\begin{align}
\mathbf{D} &= \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon \mathbf{E} + \varepsilon_0 \chi \left[ |\mathbf{E}|^2 \mathbf{E} + \gamma (\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E}) \mathbf{E}^* \right], \\
\mathbf{B} &= \mu_0 \mu \mathbf{H},
\end{align}

(2)

where $\varepsilon$ and $\mu$ are the linear dielectric permittivity and magnetic permeability, respectively, whereas $\chi$ and $\gamma$ are parameters characterizing the overall effective nonlinear Kerr response. As opposed to conventional media where $\varepsilon \simeq 1$, $\mu \simeq 1$ and $\gamma$ assumes three values depending on the physical mechanism supporting the Kerr nonlinear response \[13\] (i.e., $\gamma = 0$ for electrostriction, $\gamma = 0.5$ for nonresonant electronic response and $\gamma = 3$ for molecular orientation), we hereafter focus on suitable metamaterials designed to exhibit a very small dielectric permittivity $|\varepsilon| \ll 1$ \[16\], an arbitrary $\mu$ and with $\gamma$ attaining, in principle, any real value. The possibility of tailoring both linear and nonlinear medium responses has been investigated in the pioneering paper by J. E. Sipe et al. of Ref.\[17\] where the authors consider a composite structure comprised of spherical inclusion particles embedded in a host material. Remarkably, if the inclusions respond linearly and the host material responds nonlinearly, the composite is characterized by a nonlinear effective Kerr response whose parameter $\gamma$ can span the range $0 < \gamma < 3$ \[17\]. Recently, A. Ciattoni et al. \[8\] have improved these results by showing that TM optical waves travelling through a nonlinear layered medium, in the long wavelength limit, experience a nonlinear optical response described by Eqs.\[2\] whose electromagnetic parameters can be independently tailored. In particular, using positive and negative (linear and nonlinear) dielectric layers, they have shown that a suitable composite tailoring allows $\gamma$ to achieve any prescribed value (even encompassing negative values) and $\epsilon$, at the same time, to assume a value very close to zero. The evident consequence of operating with a very small dielectric permittivity is that the extreme nonlinear regime can be observed where the nonlinear polarization can be comparable with the linear part \[8\], i.e. the condition

\begin{equation}
\chi \left[ |\mathbf{E}|^2 \mathbf{E} + \gamma (\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E}) \mathbf{E}^* \right] \approx \epsilon \mathbf{E}
\end{equation}

(3)
can be satisfied at small and feasible optical intensities. Note that in standard media where $\epsilon \approx 1$, condition of Eq.\[2\] is strictly meaningless since the Kerr nonlinearity is always a perturbation to the linear polarization and, for very high intensities, it always displays a form of saturation thus departing from the cubic behavior and never reaching values of the order of $\epsilon \mathbf{E}$. Therefore, even though Eqs.\[2\] formally coincide with the standard nonlinear Kerr response, the considered media host a marked and hitherto unexplored nonlinear behavior (extreme nonlinear regime) accessible at feasible optical intensities. It is worth stressing that, since the very small value of the permittivity is here achieved by averaging negative and positive dielectric permittivities, it is evident that suitable gain media have to be inserted among the underlying medium constituents in order to compensate losses due to the presence of negative dielectrics (see Sec. V).

We focus on non-diffracting solitary waves propagating along the $z$-axis of the kind

\begin{align}
E_x &= \sqrt{|\varepsilon/\chi|} u_x(\xi) \exp(i\beta \xi), \\
E_z &= i \sqrt{|\varepsilon/\chi|} u_z(\xi) \exp(i\beta \xi),
\end{align}

(4)

where $\xi = \sqrt{\mu \varepsilon} k_0 x$, $\zeta = \sqrt{\mu \varepsilon} k_0 z$ (dimensionless spatial coordinates), $k_0 = \omega / c$ and we require both $\beta$ (dimensionless propagation constant) and $u_x$ and $u_z$ (dimensionless field amplitudes) to be real quantities. Note that, using dimensionless field amplitudes introduced through Eqs.\[1\], the extreme nonlinear regime condition of Eq.\[3\] can be stated as

\begin{align}
u_x^2 &\approx 1, \\
u_z^2 &\approx 1.
\end{align}

(5)

Maxwell equations $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = i \omega \mathbf{B}$, $\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = -i \omega \mathbf{D}$ for the fields of Eqs.\[1\] and Eqs.\[4\] and with the constitutive relations of Eqs.\[2\] yield, after some algebra, the system of first order differential equations

\begin{equation}
\beta \frac{d u_x}{d \xi} = \left[ \beta^2 - \sigma \mu e^{(NL)} \right] u_x,
\end{equation}

where
\[
\beta \frac{du_x}{d\xi} = \frac{\beta^2 \varepsilon_z^{(NL)} u_z - \left( \beta^2 - \sigma_\varepsilon \varepsilon_x^{(NL)} \right) \frac{\varepsilon_x^{(NL)}}{\partial u_x} u_x^2}{\varepsilon_x^{(NL)} + \frac{\partial \varepsilon_x^{(NL)}}{\partial u_x} u_x},
\]

where \( \sigma_\varepsilon = \epsilon/|\epsilon| \), \( \sigma_\mu = \mu/|\mu| \) and we have defined
\[
\varepsilon_x^{(NL)} = \sigma_\varepsilon + (1 + \gamma) u_x^2 + (1 - \gamma) u_z^2,
\]
\[
\varepsilon_z^{(NL)} = \sigma_\varepsilon + (1 - \gamma) u_x^2 + (1 + \gamma) u_z^2,
\]
as effective normalized nonlinear dielectric permittivities. We note that the system of Eqs.(6) is equivalent to Maxwell’s equations if the condition
\[
\varepsilon_x^{(NL)} + \frac{\partial \varepsilon_x^{(NL)}}{\partial u_x} u_x \neq 0,
\]
holds along the whole field profile. It is worth stressing that the system of Eqs.(6) is integrable since it admits the first integral
\[
F(u_x, u_z) = [(\beta^2 - \sigma_\varepsilon \sigma_\mu) u_x^2 - \sigma_\varepsilon \sigma_\mu u_z^2]
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{2} \sigma_\mu (1 + \gamma) (u_x^2 + u_z^2) - \sigma_\mu (1 - \gamma) u_x^2 u_z^2
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{\beta^2} ((\beta^2 - \sigma_\varepsilon \sigma_\mu) - \sigma_\mu ((1 + \gamma) u_x^2 + (1 - \gamma) u_z^2))^2 u_x^2,
\]
\]
i.e. the relation \( dF/d\xi = 0 \) is satisfied along the solutions \( u_x(\xi), u_z(\xi) \) of Eqs.(6) (see Ref.3 for details). We here investigate bright solitons which normalized electric field components \( u_x \) and \( u_z \) asymptotically vanish at infinity and are spatially even \( (u_x(\xi) = u_x(-\xi)) \) and odd \( (u_z(\xi) = -u_z(-\xi)) \), so that we consider the boundary conditions \( u_x(0) = u_x(0), u_z(0) = 0, u_x(+\infty) = 0 \) and \( u_z(+\infty) = 0 \). The vanishing of the field at infinity implies that the first integral \( F \) has to vanish along the whole soliton profile, i.e. \( F(u_x, u_z) = 0 \), a relation which, evaluated at \( \xi = 0 \), allows us to analytically evaluate the propagation constant
\[
\beta^2 = 2\sigma_\mu \frac{\sigma_\varepsilon + (1 + \gamma) u_x^2}{2\sigma_\varepsilon + 3(1 + \gamma) u_x^2}.
\]

Remarkably, bright solitons are represented in the phase space \( (u_x, u_z) \) by the special level curves \( F(u_x, u_z) = 0 \) which are orbits of the dynamical system of Eqs.(6) (known as homoclinic orbits) joining the equilibrium point \( (u_x, u_z) = (0, 0) \) to itself. The main consequence of this identification is that bright solitons asymptotically coincide for \( \xi \to \pm \infty \) with the linear inhomogeneous transverse plane waves \( e^{\pm \sqrt{\beta^2 - \sigma_\varepsilon \sigma_\mu} \xi} \), respectively, so that the relation
\[
\beta^2 - \sigma_\varepsilon \sigma_\mu > 0
\]
is a necessary condition for soliton existence. The existence of at least one turning point (i.e. where \( du_x/d\xi = 0 \)) occurs along the orbit corresponding to a bright soliton is an additional condition to be met and it is fulfilled by requiring the curves \( F(u_x, u_z) = 0 \) and \( \beta^2 - \sigma_\varepsilon \sigma_\mu \varepsilon_x^{(NL)} u_x = 0 \) (see the first of Eqs.(6)) to have an intersection. These necessary conditions for the existence of bright solitons, together with Eq.(3), allow us to obtain the results of Table I where we report, for each possible combinations of \( \sigma_\varepsilon \) and \( \sigma_\mu \), the range of \( u_x^2 \) (depending on \( \gamma \)) where bright solitons can be excited.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{c|c|c|c}
\hline
\( \gamma \) & \( \sigma_\varepsilon \) & \( \sigma_\mu \) & \( \gamma \) \\
\hline
\( > -1 \) & \( 1 \) & \( -1 \) & \( = -1 \) \\
\hline
\( \leq -1 \) & \( \leq 1 \) & \( > 1 \) & \( \leq -1 \) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Bright soliton existence ranges of \( u_x^2 \) depending on \( \gamma, \sigma_\varepsilon, \sigma_\mu \). The parameter \( \alpha \) is defined in Eq.(14).}
\end{table}

FIG. 1: Profiles of \( u_x(\xi) \) (panel (a)), \( u_z(\xi) \) (panel (b)) and normalized Poynting vector \( S_z(\xi)/S_0 \) (panel (c)) of various bright solitons with \( \sigma_\varepsilon = 1, \sigma_\mu = 1 \), \( \text{sign}(\chi) = 1 \), \( u_{x0} = 2 \) and \( \gamma \) spanning the range \( -0.8 < \gamma < 10 \).

III. TWO-PEAKED SOLITONS

A first group of bright solitons (see Table I) exists for any values \( u_{x0}^2 \) in the range \( \gamma > -1 \) and for \( \sigma_\varepsilon = 1, \sigma_\mu = 1 \) (i.e. for right-handed metamaterial with focusing nonlinearity, \( \chi > 0 \), or for left-handed metamaterials with defocusing nonlinearity, \( \chi < 0 \)). Note that this group of bright soliton encompasses the perfect optical solitons described in Ref.12 where authors solely considered the standard Kerr nonlinearity arising from the electronic nonresonant response (\( \gamma = 0.5 \)). In panels (a) and (b) of Fig.1 we report the bright soliton profiles of \( u_x \) and \( u_z \), respectively, for \( u_{x0}^2 = 2, -0.8 < \gamma < 10 \) and \( \sigma_\varepsilon = 1, \sigma_\mu = 1 \). For completeness, in Fig.1(c) we report the profiles of the normalized Poynting vector \( S_z(\xi)/S_0 = \text{sign}(\mu)(|\beta u_x - du_x/d\xi|) \) corresponding to the solitons reported in Fig.1(a) and Fig.1(b). From Fig.1, we note
that two-peaked bright solitons can be excited, i.e. solitons whose electric field $x$-components and Poynting vectors show two pronounced peaks along their transverse profiles, the higher the value of $\gamma$ the more pronounced the two peaks. In order to grasp the underlying mechanism producing such an atypical (for the Kerr nonlinearity) two-peaked soliton profile, we consider the equilibrium points of the system of Eqs.(6) (i.e. $d\nu_x/d\xi = 0$ and $d\nu_z/d\xi = 0$) and hence necessarily coinciding with the critical points of the first integral $F(u_x, u_z)$ which, in addition to the origin $(u_x, u_z) = (0,0)$, are given by the relations

$$
\beta^2 - \sigma_\mu^{(NL)} = 0,
$$

$$
\epsilon_z^{(NL)} u_z = 0.
$$

Note that the number of the critical points of $F$ depends, in addition to $u_{x0}^2$, on the value of the nonlinear parameter $\gamma$. In fact, for $|\gamma| < 1$ (see Fig.2(a)) $F$ has only a maximum at the point $(u_x, u_z) = (\sqrt{(\beta^2 - 1)/(1 + \gamma)}, 0)$ (belonging to the axis $u_z = 0$) and a saddle point at the origin $(u_x, u_z) = (0,0)$; on the other hand, in the case $\gamma > 1$ and $u_{x0}^2 > \delta$ (see Fig.2(b)) where

$$
\delta(\gamma) = \frac{(\gamma + 2) + \sqrt{5\gamma^2 + 2\gamma}}{2(\gamma^2 - 1)},
$$

$F$ has two saddle points (located at $(u_x, u_z) = (\sqrt{(\beta^2 - 1)/(1 + \gamma)}, 0)$ and the origin $(u_x, u_z) = (0,0)$) and two maxima not belonging to the axis $u_z = 0$ (and hence characterized by the condition $\epsilon_z^{(NL)} = 0$, see Eqs.(12)). From Fig.2 it is evident that, in the considered situations, the homoclinic (soliton) orbits make a turn around the maxima of the function $F(u_x, u_z)$ so that the shape of the orbits (and consequently the soliton profiles) depends on the number of maxima enclosed by the homoclinic loop. Therefore, since there are one and two maxima for $|\gamma| < 1$ and $\gamma > 1$ (for $u_{x0}^2 > \delta$), respectively, we conclude that homoclinic orbits undergo a qualitative structural change at $\gamma = 1$. Correspondingly, for $|\gamma| < 1$ homoclinic orbits are simple loops (see Fig.2(a)) and solitons are bell-shaped whereas for $\gamma > 1$ (for $u_{x0}^2 > \delta$) homoclinic orbits are structured loops (see Fig.2(b)) and two-peaked solitons occur. In other words (in a language more close to nonlinear optics) the discussed two kinds of bright solitons are literally supported by two different self-trapping mechanisms, and the nonlinear metamaterials employed in this paper provide the additional parameter $\gamma$ to switch from one mechanism to the other. It is worth noting that the first of Eqs.(12) globally holds for all the considered maxima whereas the second of Eqs.(12) is differently fulfilled since, for $|\gamma| < 1$ and $\gamma > 1$ (for $u_{x0}^2 > \delta$), maxima occur for $u_z = 0$ and $\epsilon_z^{(NL)} = 0$, respectively. Therefore, bell-shaped soliton are not constrained by additional specific requirements and they actually coincide with perfect solitons of Ref.[12]. On the other hand, two-peaked solitons supported by the novel self-trapping mechanism are due (for $u_{x0}^2 > \delta$) to the existence of off-axis maxima of $F$ corresponding to the condition $\epsilon_z^{(NL)} = 0$. However, the normalized effective nonlinear permittivity $\epsilon_z^{(NL)}$ can vanish only if the nonlinear contribution to the polarization $(1-\chi)u_z^2 + (1+\gamma)u_z^2$ exactly balances the linear part $\sigma_\epsilon = 1$ (see the second of Eqs.(7)) and it is worth repeating that this condition (equivalent to Eqs.(8)) is realistically achievable solely by means of the nonlinear metamaterials with dielectric permittivity very close to zero we are considering in this paper.

IV. FLAT-TOP SOLITONS

A second group of bright solitons (see Table I) exists for $u_{x0}^2 < \alpha$ where

$$
\alpha(\gamma) = \frac{\sqrt{|\gamma| - 2 + \sqrt{5|\gamma| - 4\sqrt{|\gamma|}}}}{2(|\gamma| - 1)(\sqrt{|\gamma| + 1})},
$$

in the range $\gamma < -1$ and for $\sigma_\epsilon = -1$ and $\sigma_\mu = -1$ (i.e for right-handed metamaterials with defocusing nonlinearity, $\chi < 0$, or for left-handed metamaterial with focusing nonlinearity, $\chi > 0$). In Fig.3(a) and Fig.3(b) we report the soliton profiles (for various $u_{x0}^2$) of $u_x$ and $u_z$, respectively and in Fig.3(c) we plot the corresponding normalized Poynting vector $S_z/S_0$, for $\text{sign}(\epsilon) = 1$, $\text{sign}(\mu) = 1$, $\text{sign}(\chi) = -1$, and $\gamma = -1.5$. Note that, for each $\gamma < -1$, if $u_{x0}^2$ is very close to $\alpha$ (i.e. for $u_{x0}^2 > 0.99\alpha$), flat-top bright solitons occur since their electric field $x$-component and Poynting vector profiles are characterized by a flat and sharp core region (where the intensity is almost constant) which abruptly stops being surrounded by lateral regions where the field approximately vanishes. On the other hand, for smaller in-
and this is particularly evident from Fig. 4(a) and Fig. 4(b) where we plot such saddle points (marked with the stars) together with the first integral $F$ on the phase space $(u_x, u_z)$ for $u_x^2 = 0.8\alpha$ and $u_z^2 = 0.99\alpha$, respectively (for the parameters $\sigma = -1$, $\sigma_\mu = -1$, $\gamma = -7$). Analogously to the case of the above discussed two-peaked solitons, the off-axis critical points of $F$ have an incisive impact on the structure of the homoclinic orbits and, in the case $\gamma < -1$ we are considering, the fact that they are saddle points implies that homoclinic orbit can not make a turn around them. Therefore, by varying $u_x^2$ (for each $\gamma < -1$) the mutual position (and distance) between the homoclinic orbit and the saddle points can be changed (see Fig. 4) to the point that, for $u_x^2$ very close to $\alpha$, the homoclinic orbit almost collides with the saddle points. When this happens, at the points $(u_x, u_z)$ of the homoclinic orbit which are very close to the saddle points, the RHS of Eqs. (6) are very small (since at the saddle they exactly vanish) and therefore $d u_x / d\xi$ and $d u_z / d\xi$ are very small as well, thus explaining the obtained flat-top soliton behavior. In the language of nonlinear optics, we can state that, for $\gamma < -1$, Kerr nonlinearity provides an additional self-trapping mechanism very different from the above discussed two mechanisms supporting bell-shaped ($|\gamma| < 1$) and two-peaked ($\gamma > 1$) solitons. It is worth stressing again that, since the two saddle points occur where the effective nonlinear permittivity vanishes ($\epsilon^{(NL)} = 0$), the overall discussed flat-top soliton phenomenology can be uniquely observed by means of the extreme nonlinear metamaterials we are considering in this paper.

V. NONLINEAR METAMATERIAL DESIGN AND SOLITON FEASIBILITY

The possibility of observing both two-peaked and flat-top solitons is substantially based on the availability of nonlinear Kerr media characterized by a very small dielectric permittivity and a parameter $\gamma$ in the ranges $\gamma < -1$ and $\gamma > 1$, respectively. In order to discuss the feasibility of metamaterials exhibiting such unconventional properties, consider the composite structure reported in Fig. 5 and consisting of alternating, along the $y-$ axis, two kind of nonmagnetic layers of thicknesses $d_1$ and $d_2$, respectively. We here consider monochromatic radiation whose free-space wavelength is $1550$ nm and whose electromagnetic TM field geometry is also reported in Fig. 5 from which it is evident that such an electromagnetic field experiences an isotropic response. The layers of kind 1 are filled with a suitable semiconductor which can be doped to lower its plasma frequency to the point that, at the considered wavelength, its dielectric permittivity has a negative real part and a considerably small imaginary part [18] (Zinc oxide is a good candidate that can be doped sufficiently high, for example with aluminium or gallium, to satisfy these requirements [19]); besides, semiconductors are characterized by a standard nonresonant electronic Kerr response (for which $\gamma = 0.5$). Therefore, for the layers of kind 1, we can choose $\epsilon_1 = -8 + 0.1i$, $\epsilon_\chi = -5 \cdot 10^{-20} m^2/V^2$ and $\gamma_1 = 0.5$ [20, 21]. The layers of kind 2 have to be filled with a nonlinear medium whose Kerr mechanism is different from the nonresonant electronic one (otherwise the whole structure would exhibit $\gamma = 0.5$) and they have to host a gain mechanism for compensating losses produced by the semiconductor (negative dielectric) layers of kind 1. Therefore, for the...
layers of kind 2, we choose a background medium for which \( \epsilon_b = 2.66 \), \( \kappa_b = 3 \cdot 10^{-20} m^2/V^2 \) and \( \gamma_b = 3 \) (the nonlinear parameters being typical of media characterized by molecular orientation Kerr response and hosting dispersed semiconductor quantum dots). Note that 

\[
\gamma > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma < -1. \]

The layers are stacked perpendicularly to the impinging TM wave.

The layers of kind 1 are filled with a doped semiconductor (as \( \text{ZnO:Al or ZnO:Ga} \)) whose doping is tuned to largely reduce the plasma frequency and hence to provide a negative dielectric with small absorption. Layers of kind 2 are filled with a nonlinear medium characterized by molecular orientation Kerr response and hosting dispersed semiconductor quantum dots.

In order to obtain the effective electromagnetic linear and nonlinear parameters of the whole structure of Fig.5, we exploit a two-step homogenization approach \([23]\) where, first, the effective parameters of layers of kind 2 are evaluated from the background medium and quantum dots properties and, second, the effective parameters of the whole structure are obtained from those of the layers of kinds 1 and 2. Layers of kind 2 can be homogenized by exploiting standard Maxwell Garnett approach (for evaluating the effective linear permittivity) and its nonlinear extension proposed by J.E. Sipe and R. Boyd in Ref.\([17]\) (for deducing the effective nonlinear parameters of the composite) so that, choosing the macroscopic volume filling fraction of the quantum dots \( f = 0.03 \), we obtain for the layers of kind 2, \( \epsilon_2 = 2.79 - 0.03i \), \( \kappa_2 = (3.61 \cdot 10^{-20} - 1.6 \cdot 10^{-21}i) m^2/V^2 \) and \( \gamma_2 = 2.68 + 0.07i \).

If the period \( d = d_1 + d_2 \) (see Fig.5) is much smaller than the wavelength, effective linear \([24]\) and nonlinear \([25]\) parameters can be introduced to describe the overall layered composite electromagnetic response so that, choosing \( d_1 = 52 nm \) and \( d_2 = 148 nm \), we obtain \( \epsilon = 1.5 \cdot 10^{-3} + 1.4i \), \( \chi = (1.38 \cdot 10^{-20} - 1.21 \cdot 10^{-21}i) m^2/V^2 \) and \( \gamma = 4.70 + 0.32i \) (where the nonlinear parameters have been evaluated exploiting the approach of Ref.\([8]\)).

Note that \( Re(\epsilon) = 0.0015 < 1, Re(\gamma) = 4.70 > 3 \) (different both from the standard values 0, 0.5 and 3 that achieved in Ref.\([17]\)) and, remarkably, that all the imaginary parts can be neglected so that the conditions for observing the above discussed two-peaked solitons are met. It is worth stressing that the fulfilling of all these conditions has been achieved by exploiting both the number of available constituent materials and, most importantly, the freedom of tuning the parameters characterizing the composite (semiconductor doping, nanoparticle size and volume filling fraction, layers’ thicknesses, etc.). A two-peaked soliton, propagating through the above considered medium and characterized by \( w_0^2 = 0.5 \), requires the realistic and feasible peak intensity \( 775 \text{MW/cm}^2 \) to be excited, value which should be compared with the unphysical peak intensity \( 1.93 \cdot 10^7 \text{MW/cm}^2 \) required for exciting a similar (i.e. with \( w_0^2 = 0.5 \)) two-peaked soliton through carbon disulfide (for which \( \epsilon_{CS_2} = 2.65, \chi_{CS_2} = 3 \cdot 10^{-20} m^2/V^2 \) and \( \gamma_{CS_2} = 3 \)). Therefore, the extremely high nonlinear behavior of the considered two-peaked soliton would require, in conventional media, optical intensities so high to prevent their observation both because the nonlinear response would depart from the simple Kerr model and, most importantly, because the very high intensity would literally damage the sample.

If in the above discussed composite structure the nonlinear susceptibility of layers of kind 1 is changed into \( \chi_1 = -2 \cdot 10^{-19} m^2/V^2 \), by following the above described two-step homogenization approach, we obtain for the overall composite medium \( \epsilon = 1.5 \cdot 10^{-3} + 1.4i, \chi = (2.5 \cdot 10^{-20} - 1.21 \cdot 10^{-21}i) m^2/V^2 \) and \( \gamma = -1.83 + 0.14i \). Therefore the dielectric permittivity is very small, the overall nonlinear character is defocusing (since \( Re(\chi) < 0 \) and, most importantly, \( Re(\gamma) < -1 \) so that all the conditions required for observing flat-top solitons are met.

A flat-top soliton propagating through the considered medium and characterized by \( w_0^2 = 0.99 \alpha(\gamma) = 0.32 \) requires the feasible optical intensity \( 119 \text{MW/cm}^2 \) to be excited.

**VI. CONCLUSION**

In conclusion we have shown that a medium characterized by the constituent relations of Eqs.\([8]\), with \( |\gamma| \ll 1 \) and \( |\gamma| \) assuming values different from the three available in nature (i.e. 0, 0.5 and 3), is able to support the propagation of two-peaked (for \( |\gamma| > 1 \)) and flat-top (for \( |\gamma| < 1 \)) solitons. These two families of electromagnetic (perfect) solitons are supported by two distinct self-trapping mechanisms whose main difference from the standard self-focusing process lies in the availability of critical points where the effective nonlinear response vanishes (condition made possible by the very small value of the linear permittivity), points able to deeply affect the soliton shape. Since the whole predicted soliton phenomenology is based on unconventional values of \( \gamma \) we have proposed a realistic and feasible composite metamaterial exhibiting such exotic nonlinear properties. It is worth stressing that, as a consequence of the small value of the linear dielectric permittivity, the intensities required for exciting solitons are not unfeasible.

---

**FIG. 5:** (Color online) Composite nonlinear metamaterial for achieving \( \epsilon \ll 1 \) and \( \gamma > 1 \) or \( \gamma < -1 \). The layers are stacked along the magnetic field direction of the impinging TM wave. Layers of kind 1 are filled with a doped semiconductor (as \( \text{ZnO:Al or ZnO:Ga} \)) whose doping is tuned to largely reduce the plasma frequency and hence to provide a negative dielectric with small absorption. Layers of kind 2 are filled with a nonlinear medium characterized by molecular orientation Kerr response and hosting dispersed semiconductor quantum dots.
the considered solitons (characterized by highly nonlin-
er and highly nonparaxial behaviors) are physically ac-
cessible. Therefore the soliton benchmark considered in
the present paper allows us to argue that the two novel
self-trapping mechanisms can be efficiently exploited for
fine-tuning the shape of an optical beam, shape control
which can even become active by inserting electro-optic
or liquid-crystal based constituents within the nonlinear
metamaterial.
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