Efficacy and Safety of Adding Sitagliptin in Type 2 Diabetes Patients on Insulin: Age-Stratified Comparison at One Year in the ASSIST-K Study
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Abstract

Background: Sitagliptin, the first dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor, has demonstrated efficacy and safety as monotherapy and as add-on therapy to oral antidiabetic agents or insulin. However, there have been few reports about sitagliptin in elderly patients. The ASSIST-K observational study was performed in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) receiving sitagliptin as add-on therapy to insulin. Changes of hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), body weight, and the estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), as well as adverse events, were investigated over 12 months in age-stratified groups.

Methods: Among outpatients with T2DM treated at member institutions of Kanagawa Physicians Association, those starting sitagliptin as add-on therapy to insulin were followed for 12 months. HbA1c (National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program), body weight, and eGFR were the efficacy endpoints, while adverse events were investigated to assess safety. Patients were stratified into three age groups (≤ 64 years, 65 - 74 years, and ≥ 75 years) for comparison of the endpoints.

Results: Among 937 patients on insulin before starting sitagliptin, 821 patients were analyzed after excluding those without HbA1c data at baseline and 12 months. The two groups of elderly patients (65 - 74 years and ≥75 years) had more complications and their HbA1c was lower at initiation of sitagliptin therapy. The dose of sitagliptin, daily number of insulin injections, and number of concomitant oral anti-diabetic agents were all lower in the elderly patients. HbA1c showed a significant decrease after initiation of sitagliptin in all age groups, and there were no significant intergroup differences in the change of HbA1c at 12 months. Body weight did not change significantly in any group. eGFR decreased significantly in all groups, with no significant intergroup differences at 12 months. Regarding adverse events, there were no significant intergroup differences in the incidence of severe hypoglycemia, gastrointestinal symptoms, or constipation.

Conclusions: Despite baseline differences in demographic factors and medications, sitagliptin showed good efficacy and safety in all age groups of patients receiving it as add-on therapy to insulin during routine management of T2DM. Adding sitagliptin to insulin achieves similar efficacy and safety outcomes at 12 months in both elderly and non-elderly T2DM patients.
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Introduction

Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitors are oral hypoglycemic agents (OHAs) that increase endogenous incretin levels and promote insulin secretion in a blood glucose-dependent manner by selectively inhibiting DPP-4, an enzyme that degrades incretins (glucagon-like peptide 1 and glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide) [1]. In 2017, nine DPP-4 inhibitors were available in Japan, including two for once-weekly administration [2, 3]. Meta-analyses have not found any significant differences in the hypoglycemic effect of different DPP-4 inhibitors [4, 5]. These drugs have a favorable safety profile that is characterized by a low risk of hypoglycemia and weight gain [6]. Sitagliptin was the first DPP-4 inhibitor to become available clinically and it was launched in Japan in 2009 [7].
Its efficacy has been confirmed as monotherapy for drug-naive patients and as add-on therapy to other OHAs or insulin [8].

To assess the efficacy and safety of sitagliptin in the setting of routine management of diabetes by diabetologists, we investigated the 12-month course after initiation of sitagliptin therapy in outpatients with poorly controlled type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). We have already reported the results obtained in patients not receiving concomitant insulin therapy (ASSET-K study) [9-14] and in patients receiving insulin (ASSIST-K study) [15, 16]. In addition, a related study (ATTEST-K study) was conducted in T2DM outpatients not receiving insulin who were managed by non-diabetologists, and factor analysis of the 12-month changes in hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), body weight, and estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was performed using combined data from all three studies (ASSET-K, ASSIST-K, and ATTEST-K) [17].

Compared with younger patients, elderly T2DM patients have a higher risk of developing complications of diabetes (microangiopathy and macroangiopathy), osteoporosis, and dementia [18, 19]; and their mortality rate was reported to be twice that of non-diabetic elderly persons [20]. Since the incidence of hypoglycemia is also higher in elderly T2DM patients, careful patient education and prudent drug selection are required to provide appropriate treatment [21, 22]. However, there have only been a few reports about the efficacy and safety of sitagliptin in elderly patients with T2DM.

We previously reviewed the ASSET-K study data for patients not receiving insulin and found that add-on sitagliptin therapy demonstrated comparable efficacy and safety in elderly T2DM patients (≥ 75 years old) compared to non-elderly patients [14]. In the present study, we stratified patients from the ASSIST-K study into three age groups to investigate the efficacy of add-on sitagliptin therapy in elderly T2DM patients receiving insulin, based on the changes in HbA1c, body weight, and eGFR over 12 months, as well reviewing adverse events to assess safety.

Materials and Methods

Study design

The ASSIST-K study was a 1-year multicenter observational study conducted at member institutions of Kanagawa Physicians Association that specialized in managing diabetes.

Subjects

Outpatients with T2DM over 20 years old attending member institutions of Kanagawa Physicians Association were eligible to be enrolled in this study if they had shown poor glycemic control on insulin therapy for at least 1 month before initiation of sitagliptin. The following patients were excluded: patients with a history of hypersensitivity to any of the ingredients of sitagliptin; patients who had experienced severe ketoacidosis, diabetic coma, or precoma within 6 months before starting sitagliptin; patients with severe infection; patients in the preoperative or postoperative period; patients with severe trauma; patients using glinides; and other patients who were judged to be inappropriate for this study by the investigator.

Endpoints

Demographic factors were investigated, including the sex, age, height, duration of diabetes, family history, smoking, alcohol intake, and medical complications. Treatment with other antidiabetic agents was assessed before initiation of sitagliptin, at the start of add-on sitagliptin therapy, and after 12 months of sitagliptin treatment. The following efficacy endpoints were examined at each specified time point: HbA1c (National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program), blood glucose (fasting/postprandial), body weight, blood pressure (systolic/diastolic), liver function parameters (glutamate oxaloacetate transaminase, glutamate pyruvate transaminase, and gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase), renal function parameters (serum creatinine and eGFR), serum lipids (total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and triglycerides), and serum amylase. As the safety endpoint, adverse events were investigated at each specified time point.

Statistical analysis

Among patients enrolled in the ASSIST-K study, those receiving sitagliptin as add-on therapy to insulin were analyzed if they had HbA1c data at both the start and after 12 months of sitagliptin treatment (i.e., the population in which the change in HbA1c over 12 months could be calculated).

Appropriate descriptive statistics were calculated for demographic factors, such as sex and diabetic complications, in all patients using insulin before starting sitagliptin and in the patients with data allowing the 12-month change in HbA1c to be calculated. Fisher’s exact test or the t-test was used for comparisons stratified by age (≤ 64 years, 65 - 74 years, and ≥ 75 years) among the patients in whom the 12-month change in HbA1c could be calculated.

Fisher’s exact test or the Wilcoxon test was conducted for age-stratified comparison of the daily number of insulin injections, use of other oral antidiabetic agents (sulfonylureas, biguanides, thiazolidinediones, alpha-glucosidase inhibitors, and glinides), and number of antidiabetic agents used before initiation of add-on sitagliptin therapy, at the start of sitagliptin therapy, and after 12 months of sitagliptin treatment. Descriptive statistics were calculated for the daily dose of sitagliptin at the start and after 12 months of add-on therapy, and the Wilcoxon test was employed for comparison between age groups.

Graphs were prepared that displayed HbA1c, body weight, and eGFR at the start of add-on sitagliptin therapy and after 3, 6, and 12 months of treatment, as well as graphs showing the changes at each time point, and the one-sample and two-sample t-tests were carried out for intra-group and inter-group comparisons. Fisher’s exact test was used to compare the occurrence of adverse events (severe hypoglycemia, gastrointestinal symptoms, constipation, and other events) between age groups.

P values less than 0.05 were considered to be statistically
Results

Subjects

Of the 1,168 patients enrolled in the ASSIST-K study, 937 patients were using insulin before initiation of add-on sitagliptin therapy. Among them, HbA1c was measured at the start of sitagliptin therapy and after 12 months in 821 patients (389 aged ≤ 64 years, 267 aged 65 - 74 years, and 165 aged ≥ 75 years), who were subjected to detailed analysis.

Demographic factors

Table 1 shows the demographic profile of the 937 patients using insulin before initiation of sitagliptin therapy and the 821 patients in whom HbA1c was measured at the start and after 12 months of sitagliptin treatment. All of the items assessed displayed a comparable distribution in the two patient populations.

Table 1. Baseline Demographic Factors: All Patients on Insulin Receiving Add-on Sitagliptin Versus Patients With Sufficient HbA1c Data

|                      | All patients on insulin | Patients with HbA1c data |
|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| No. of patients      | 937 (100.0%)            | 821 (100.0%)            |
| Sex                  |                         |                         |
| Male                 | 508 (54.2%)             | 440 (53.6%)             |
| Female               | 429 (45.8%)             | 381 (46.4%)             |
| Age at enrollment    | 63.9 ± 12.3             | 64.2 ± 11.9             |
| Diabetic complications|                         |                         |
| Retinopathy          | 315 (33.6%)             | 285 (34.7%)             |
| Neuropathy           | 324 (34.6%)             | 296 (36.1%)             |
| Nephropathy          | 367 (39.2%)             | 336 (40.9%)             |
| Arteriosclerotic diseases |                     |                         |
| Cerebrovascular disease | 72 (7.7%)             | 65 (7.9%)               |
| Myocardial infarction/angina pectoris | 162 (17.3%)         | 142 (17.3%)             |
| Arteriosclerosis obliterans | 87 (9.3%)            | 81 (9.9%)               |
| Other complications  |                         |                         |
| Hypertension         | 531 (56.7%)             | 478 (58.2%)             |
| Dyslipidemia         | 588 (62.8%)             | 523 (63.7%)             |
| Fatty liver          | 308 (32.9%)             | 272 (33.1%)             |
| History of smoking   | 238 (25.4%)             | 207 (25.2%)             |
| History of alcohol intake | 231 (24.7%)       | 192 (23.4%)             |
| Duration of diabetes (years) | 17.0 ± 9.2         | 17.2 ± 9.1              |
| Weight (baseline)    | 66.23 ± 15.51           | 66.15 ± 14.99           |
| BMI (baseline)       | 25.38 ± 4.61            | 25.38 ± 4.48            |
| HbA1c (baseline) (NGSP, %) | 8.50 ± 1.35         | 8.49 ± 1.34             |
| eGFR (baseline) (mL/min/1.73 m²) | 75.851 ± 22.905    | 75.588 ± 22.502         |

Table 1 shows the demographic profile of the 937 patients using insulin before initiation of sitagliptin therapy and the 821 patients in whom HbA1c was measured at the start and after 12 months of sitagliptin treatment. All of the items assessed displayed a comparable distribution in the two patient populations.

In the 821 patients with complete HbA1c data, demographic factors were compared among the three age groups (≤ 64 years, 65 - 74 years, and ≥ 75 years). The proportion of men was lower in the elderly group aged 65 - 74 years (47.2%) and the very elderly group aged ≥ 75 years (46.7%) compared with the non-elderly group aged ≤ 64 years (60.9%) (Table 2). In addition, the frequency of diabetic complications (retinopathy, neuropathy, and nephropathy) was higher in the two elderly groups (65 - 74 years and ≥ 75 years) compared with the non-elderly group. The prevalence of arteriosclerotic diseases (cerebrovascular disease, myocardial infarction/angina pectoris, and arteriosclerosis obliterans) and other complications (hypertension, hyperlipidemia, and fatty liver) was also higher in the two elderly groups, but the rates of drinking and smok-
### Table 2. Demographic Factors of Each Age Group

|                              | Age at enrollment | Intergroup comparison: P value |
|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|
|                              | A) ≤ 64 years     | B) 65 - 74 years | C) ≥ 75 years | A vs. B | A vs. C | B vs. C |
| No. of patients analyzed     | 389 (100.0%)      | 267 (100.0%) | 165 (100.0%) |         |         |         |
| Sex                          |                   |                 |               |         |         |         |
| Male                         | 237 (60.9%)       | 126 (47.2%) | 77 (46.7%) | Fisher’s exact test |         |         |         |
| Female                       | 152 (39.1%)       | 141 (52.8%) | 88 (53.3%) | < 0.001*** | 0.003** | 0.921   |
| Age at enrollment            | 54.0 ± 8.3        | 69.6 ± 2.9 | 79.2 ± 3.4 | t-test |         |         |
| N                            | 389               | 267          | 165         | < 0.001*** | < 0.001*** | < 0.001*** |
| Diabetic complications       |                   |               |             |         |         |         |
| Retinopathy                  |                   |               |             |         |         |         |
| No                           | 222 (57.1%)       | 131 (49.1%) | 84 (50.9%) | Fisher’s exact test |         |         |         |
| Yes                          | 124 (31.9%)       | 102 (38.2%) | 59 (35.8%) | 0.057 | 0.261 | 0.668   |
| Neuropathy                   |                   |               |             |         |         |         |
| No                           | 223 (57.3%)       | 128 (47.9%) | 68 (41.2%) | Fisher’s exact test |         |         |         |
| Yes                          | 114 (29.3%)       | 108 (40.4%) | 74 (44.8%) | 0.004** | < 0.001*** | 0.244   |
| Nephropathy                  |                   |               |             |         |         |         |
| No                           | 194 (49.9%)       | 124 (46.4%) | 69 (41.8%) | Fisher’s exact test |         |         |         |
| Yes                          | 146 (37.5%)       | 113 (42.3%) | 77 (46.7%) | 0.27 | 0.048* | 0.346   |
| Arteriosclerotic diseases    |                   |               |             |         |         |         |
| Cerebrovascular disease      |                   |               |             |         |         |         |
| No                           | 311 (79.9%)       | 199 (74.5%) | 121 (73.3%) | Fisher’s exact test |         |         |         |
| Yes                          | 16 (4.1%)         | 32 (12.0%) | 17 (10.3%) | < 0.001*** | 0.009** | 0.752   |
| Myocardial infarction        |                   |               |             |         |         |         |
| No                           | 287 (73.8%)       | 176 (65.9%) | 96 (58.2%) | Fisher’s exact test |         |         |         |
| Angina pectoris              |                   |               |             |         |         |         |
| Yes                          | 40 (10.3%)        | 60 (22.5%) | 42 (25.5%) | < 0.001*** | < 0.001*** | 0.336   |
| Arteriosclerosis             |                   |               |             |         |         |         |
| No                           | 285 (73.3%)       | 199 (74.5%) | 118 (71.5%) | Fisher’s exact test |         |         |         |
| Obliterans                   |                   |               |             |         |         |         |
| Yes                          | 33 (8.5%)         | 29 (10.9%) | 19 (11.5%) | 0.414 | 0.335 | 0.752   |
| Other complications          |                   |               |             |         |         |         |
| Hypertension                 |                   |               |             |         |         |         |
| No                           | 157 (40.4%)       | 80 (30.0%) | 35 (21.2%) | Fisher’s exact test |         |         |         |
| Yes                          | 192 (49.4%)       | 167 (62.5%) | 119 (72.1%) | 0.002** | < 0.001*** | 0.041*  |
| Dyslipidemia                 |                   |               |             |         |         |         |
| No                           | 106 (27.2%)       | 68 (25.5%) | 57 (34.5%) | Fisher’s exact test |         |         |         |
| Yes                          | 252 (64.8%)       | 178 (66.7%) | 93 (56.4%) | 0.648 | 0.076 | 0.035*  |
| Fatty liver                  |                   |               |             |         |         |         |
| No                           | 152 (39.1%)       | 129 (48.3%) | 83 (50.3%) | Fisher’s exact test |         |         |         |
| Yes                          | 146 (37.5%)       | 82 (30.7%) | 44 (26.7%) | 0.024* | 0.008** | 0.486   |
| History of smoking           |                   |               |             |         |         |         |
| No                           | 167 (42.9%)       | 125 (46.8%) | 84 (50.9%) | Fisher’s exact test |         |         |         |
| Yes                          | 120 (30.8%)       | 59 (22.1%) | 28 (17.0%) | 0.041* | 0.002** | 0.237   |
The mean duration of diabetes was 13.6 years in the non-elderly group, 19.8 years in the elderly group, and 21.3 years in the very elderly group, showing an increase in both elderly groups. In contrast, mean body weight was lower and mean body mass index was smaller in the elderly groups. Mean baseline HbA1c was 8.80% in the non-elderly group, 8.24% in the elderly group, and 8.19% in the very elderly group, being lower in the elderly groups. Mean baseline eGFR (mL/min/1.73m²) was 85.1 in the non-elderly group, 70.0 in the elderly group and 63.2 in the very elderly group, showing a decrease in elderly groups.

**Antidiabetic agents**

Antidiabetic agents used by the patients are summarized in Table 3 and are compared between the age groups. Before initiation of sitagliptin therapy (baseline), the mean daily frequency of insulin administration was 2.8 times in the non-elderly group, 2.7 times in the elderly group, and 2.4 times in the very elderly group, and it was significantly lower in the elderly groups. Mean baseline HbA1c was 8.80% in the non-elderly group, 8.24% in the elderly group, and 8.19% in the very elderly group, being lower in the elderly groups. Mean baseline eGFR (mL/min/1.73m²) was 85.1 in the non-elderly group, 70.0 in the elderly group and 63.2 in the very elderly group, showing a decrease in elderly groups.

At initiation of sitagliptin therapy (baseline), the mean dose of sitagliptin was 47.1 mg in the non-elderly group, 45.1 mg in the elderly group, and 45.0 mg in the very elderly group, being significantly higher in the non-elderly group. The daily frequency of insulin administration at baseline was similar to that before baseline. The mean number of concomitant OHAs was decreased at baseline in all age groups (1.0 in the non-elderly group, 0.8 in the elderly group, and 0.6 in the very elderly group). Concomitant use of the following OHAs decreased by at least 3%: thiazolidinediones (13.9% to 8.5%) and alpha-glucosidase inhibitors (26.2% to 19.0%) in the non-elderly group, thiazolidinediones (9.0% to 4.9%) and alpha-glucosidase inhibitors (26.6% to 21.3%) in the elderly group, and biguanides (23.6% to 18.8%), alpha-glucosidase inhibitors (26.1% to 18.2%), and glinides (3.0% to 0.0%) in the very elderly group.

After 12 months of sitagliptin treatment, the mean dose of sitagliptin was increased in all age groups (52.8 mg in the non-elderly group, 51.7 mg in the elderly group, and 50.3 mg in the very elderly group). While the mean daily number of insulin injections was almost unchanged in each group, the mean number of concomitant OHAs showed a decrease from baseline (0.9 in the non-elderly group, 0.7 in the elderly group, and 0.6 in the very elderly group). Among concomitant OHAs, use of biguanides was decreased by at least 3% versus baseline in all age groups (from 50.4% to 44.2% in the non-elderly group, 35.2% to 27.7% in the elderly group, and 18.8% to 15.2% in the very elderly group), while use of sulfonylureas was reduced by ≥ 2% in the non-elderly and elderly groups (from 19.5 to 17.0 and 22.5% to 17.6%, respectively), but increased in the very elderly group (from 18.8% to 21.8%).

**Changes of HbA1c**

The HbA1c profile after initiation of sitagliptin treatment is displayed in Figure 1. After 12 months, mean HbA1c was reduced from 8.80% to 8.18% in the non-elderly group, from
### Table 3. Antidiabetic Agents

| Age at enrollment | Intergroup comparison: P value | A vs. B | A vs. C | B vs. C |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| A) ≤ 64 years     |                                |         |         |         |
| B) 65 - 74 years  |                                |         |         |         |
| C) ≥ 75 years     |                                |         |         |         |
| No. of patients analyzed | 389 (100.0%) | 267 (100.0%) | 165 (100.0%) |

#### Before sitagliptin therapy

**Daily no. of insulin doses**

| Daily no. of insulin doses | A vs. B | A vs. C | B vs. C |
|----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| 0                          |         |         |         |
| 1                          |         |         |         |
| 2                          |         |         |         |
| 3                          |         |         |         |
| 4                          |         |         |         |
| Mean                       | 2.8     | 2.7     | 2.4     |

**OHAs**

| OHAs                  | A vs. B | A vs. C | B vs. C |
|-----------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Sulfonylureas         |         |         |         |
| Biguanides            |         |         |         |
| Thiazolidinediones    |         |         |         |
| Alpha-glucosidase inhibitors |         |         |         |
| Glinides              |         |         |         |

**Number of OHAs**

| Number of OHAs | A vs. B | A vs. C | B vs. C |
|----------------|---------|---------|---------|
| 0              |         |         |         |
| 1              |         |         |         |
| 2              |         |         |         |
| 3              |         |         |         |
| Mean           | 1.1     | 1       | 0.8     |

#### At the start of sitagliptin therapy

**Sitagliptin dose**

| N     | 388   | 267   | 165   |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Mean  | 47.1 ± 9.7 | 45.1 ± 11.3 | 45.0 ± 10.0 |

**Daily no. of insulin doses**

| Daily no. of insulin doses | A vs. B | A vs. C | B vs. C |
|----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| 0                          |         |         |         |
| 1                          |         |         |         |
| 2                          |         |         |         |
| 3                          |         |         |         |
| Mean                       | 2.7     | 2.6     | 2.4     |

**OHAs**

| OHAs                  | A vs. B | A vs. C | B vs. C |
|-----------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Sulfonylureas         |         |         |         |
| Biguanides            |         |         |         |
| Thiazolidinediones    |         |         |         |
| Alpha-glucosidase inhibitors |         |         |         |
| Glinides              |         |         |         |

**No. of concomitant OHAs**

| No. of concomitant OHAs | A vs. B | A vs. C | B vs. C |
|-------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| 0                       |         |         |         |
| 1                       |         |         |         |
| 2                       |         |         |         |
| 3                       |         |         |         |
8.24% to 7.64% in the elderly group, and from 8.19% to 7.66% in the very elderly group. The mean change in HbA1c at 12 months (mean ± standard deviation (SD)) was -0.62±1.32%, -0.60±0.92%, and -0.52±1.31%, respectively. The HbA1c values at 3, 6 and 12 months after initiation of sitagliptin administration showed significant reduction compared with the baseline value, while no significant difference was observed in the change of HbA1c among the three groups.

### Changes of body weight

Data on body weight are displayed in Figure 2. After 12 months of sitagliptin treatment, the mean body weight showed minor

---

**Figure 1.** Changes of HbA1c. SD: standard deviation.
variation from 72.1 kg to 71.9 kg in the non-elderly group, 62.4 kg to 62.5 kg in the elderly group, and 58.2 kg to 58.0 kg in the very elderly group. The mean change in body weight at 12 months (mean ± SD) was -0.17 ± 5.29 kg, 0.09 ± 2.91 kg, and -0.13 ± 3.42 kg, respectively. There was no significant change in body weight at 12 months in any group and also no significant difference in the extent of body weight change.

**Changes of eGFR**

Figure 3 shows the changes of eGFR. Between baseline and 12 months, mean eGFR (mL/min/1.73m²) declined from 85.1 to 81.8 in the non-elderly group, from 70.0 to 65.1 in the elderly group, and from 63.2 to 60.3 in the very elderly group. The change of eGFR at 3, 6 and 12 months (mean ± SD (P values by the one-sample $t$-test)) was respectively -2.0 ± 10.9 (P = 0.005), -2.1 ± 11.2 (P = 0.004), and -3.6 ± 12.9 (P < 0.001) in the non-elderly group. In addition, the change of eGFR at these times was respectively -2.1 ± 8.3 (P = 0.003), -2.8 ± 9.2 (P < 0.001), and -4.0 ± 13.2 (P = 0.002) in the elderly group, while it was respectively -3.4 ± 11.7 (P = 0.003), -3.8 ± 11.6 (P < 0.001), and -5.7 ± 13.7 (P < 0.001) in the very elderly group. eGFR showed a significant decrease at 12 months compared with baseline in all three groups, and there were no significant intergroup differences in the changes of eGFR.

**Adverse events**

Among the 821 patients analyzed, severe hypoglycemia, gastrointestinal symptoms, constipation, and other events occurred in 24 patients (2.9%), nine patients (1.1%), 20 patients (2.4%), and 20 patients (2.4%), respectively (Table 4). There

![Figure 2. Changes of body weight. SD: standard deviation.](image)

![Figure 3. Changes of eGFR. SD: standard deviation.](image)

**Table 4. Adverse Events**

|                     | All patients | Age at enrollment | Intergroup comparison: P value |
|---------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|
|                     | A) ≤ 64 years | B) 65 - 74 years  | C) ≥ 75 years                 |
| No. of patients analyzed | 821 (100.0%) | 389 (100.0%)      | 267 (100.0%)                  |
| Severe hypoglycemia  | 24 (2.9%)   | 12 (3.1%)         | 8 (3.0%)                      |
| Gastrointestinal symptoms | 9 (1.1%)   | 5 (1.3%)          | 3 (1.1%)                      |
| Constipation         | 20 (2.4%)   | 9 (2.3%)          | 4 (1.5%)                      |
| Others               | 20 (2.4%)   | 5 (1.3%)          | 9 (3.4%)                      |
were no significant intergroup differences in the incidence of any adverse events.

**Discussion**

The efficacy of sitagliptin as add-on therapy in T2DM patients receiving insulin has already been verified, but little has been reported about its use in elderly patients. Therefore, we compared the efficacy and safety of sitagliptin between elderly and non-elderly T2DM patients receiving insulin in routine medical practice.

In a previous study of sitagliptin for T2DM patients managed by diabetologists (ASSIST-K), 937 patients received add-on sitagliptin therapy. In the present study, we analyzed 821 of these patients with HbA1c data up to 12 months. Demographic factors (complications and duration of diabetes) were similar between the original 937 patients and the 821 patients we analyzed, suggesting that it was reasonable to extract these patients for assessment of sitagliptin as add-on therapy to insulin.

Age-stratified comparison of demographic factors at baseline showed that elderly patients (aged ≥ 65 years) had more complications, a longer duration of diabetes, and a lower body weight, HbA1c, and eGFR compared with non-elderly patients. Thus, there were intergroup differences in demographic factors, as would be expected.

With regard to treatment of diabetes, the elderly patients received a lower dose of metformin, fewer daily insulin injections, and fewer concomitant OHAs at all times of assessment. There were significant differences between the concomitant OHAs used by elderly and non-elderly patients. Accordingly, significant differences were noted between the elderly and non-elderly patients with respect to their demographic factors and treatment of diabetes.

After 12 months of sitagliptin treatment, HbA1c was significantly reduced from the baseline level in all three groups, with no significant intergroup differences in the extent of its reduction. We found that eGFR also decreased significantly from baseline to 12 months in all groups, again with no significant intergroup differences in the change. In contrast, there was no significant change in body weight in any group. With regard to adverse events, there were no significant intergroup differences in the incidence of severe hypoglycemia, gastrointestinal symptoms, and constipation. These efficacy and safety data for sitagliptin corresponded with those obtained by a previous study performed in insulin-naive T2DM patients (ASSET-K) [14].

The present study had some limitations. First, it was a retrospective observational study without a control group. Second, information on concomitant drugs other than hypoglycemic agents was not obtained.

In conclusion, efficacy and safety were found to be acceptable in all three age groups of T2DM patients receiving sitagliptin as add-on therapy to insulin during routine management, despite intergroup differences in demographic factors and antidiabetic agents. Accordingly, add-on therapy with sitagliptin showed similar efficacy and safety in both elderly T2DM patients and younger patients.
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