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Abstract
Primary progressive aphasia (PPA) is a focal neurodegeneration of the brain affecting the language network. Patients can have isolated language impairment for years without impairment in other areas. PPA is classified as primary progressive nonfluent aphasia (PNFA), semantic dementia (SD), and logopenic aphasia, which have distinct patterns of atrophy on neuroimaging. PNFA and SD are included under frontotemporal lobar degenerations. PNFA patients have effortful speech with agrammatism, which is frequently associated with apraxia of speech and demonstrate atrophy in the left Broca’s area and surrounding region on neuroimaging. Patients with SD have dysnomia with loss of word and object (or face) meaning with asymmetric anterior temporal lobe atrophy. Logopenic aphasics have word finding difficulties with frequent pauses in conversation, intact grammar, and word comprehension but impaired repetition for sentences. The atrophy is predominantly in the left posterior temporal and inferior parietal regions. Recent studies have described several progranulin mutations on chromosome 17 in PNFA. The three clinical syndromes have a less robust relationship to the underlying pathology, which is heterogeneous and includes tauopathy, ubiquitinopathy, Pick’s disease, corticobasal degeneration, progressive supranuclear palsy, and Alzheimer’s disease. Recent studies, however, seem to indicate that a better characterization of the clinical phenotype (apraxic, agrammatic, semantic, logopenic, jargon) increases the predictive value of the underlying pathology. Substantial advances have been made in our understanding of PPAs but developing new biomarkers is essential in making accurate causative diagnoses in individual patients. This is critically important in the development and evaluation of disease-modifying drugs.
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Introduction
Primary progressive aphasia is a slowly progressive language disorder due to a primary neurodegenerative disease of the brain. It was brought to the attention of contemporary literature only a quarter century back but there have been tremendous advances in our understanding of it’s neurolinguistics, neuroanatomy, neuroimaging, pathology, and molecular genetics. Originally described by Pick in 1892, there was renewed interest in this subject with the description of six patients with insidious onset of dysphasia over 5–11 years by Mesulam in 1982.[1] As the patients had no other cognitive deficits he labeled the disorder “slowly progressive aphasia”. Subsequently, the term “primary progressive aphasia” (PPA) was introduced and the neuropsychological features and longitudinal course were reported.[2,3] Snowden and others coined the term “semantic dementia” (SD) to describe patients with fluent aphasia and loss of semantic knowledge.[4] There followed papers on the neuropsychology, neuroimaging, and neuropathology of PPA.[5,6] Consensus criteria for the diagnosis of frontotemporal lobar degeneration (FTLD) were formulated in 1998 and primary nonfluent aphasia (PNFA) and SD were included under the rubric of FTLD along with frontotemporal dementia (FTD).[7] The diagnosis of PPA can be quite challenging and the confusing terminology and classification have made it more so. The earlier papers on PPA emphasized the nonfluent aphasias and their distinction from Alzheimer’s disease (AD).[2,3] However, recent studies are focusing on developing biomarkers for refining the diagnosis using fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (FDG-PET), voxel-based morphometry (VBM), and other techniques and correlating with molecular genetics and the underlying pathology. This article will review the different clinical syndromes of PPA with emphasis on the recent advances in neuroimaging, genetics, and pathology.

Genetics and Risk Factors
Vasectomy rates were significantly higher in PPA compared to normal controls (40% vs 16%) in one study.[8] The authors...
Definition and Classification

Diagnostic criteria for PPA specify that there has to be an insidious onset and progressive language difficulty for at least two years without behavioral changes, memory or visuospatial impairments. Ideomotor apraxia and mild impairments in calculation or copying can be present but activities of daily living should not be affected by any of these cognitive or behavioral changes. Neuroimaging should rule out a stroke or tumor. Some patients may have only dysphasia for 10–14 years before developing impairments in other cognitive functions.

PPA can be classified into three distinct clinical variants based on language profiles, progressive nonfluent aphasia (PNFA), semantic dementia (SD), and the recently characterized logopenic or phonological variant (LPA). These variants are associated with signature patterns of atrophy and glucose hypometabolism in the language network and with different neuropathologies. Though they are distinct clinical syndromes, some patients can show features of more than one variant and there may be an overlap in syndromes over the progressive course of disease. Both SD and PNFA are included under FTLDs. This is justified by the UK researchers as they share similar behavioral changes and there is significant overlap in the pattern of atrophy on neuroimaging and pathology.

There are no epidemiological studies on the prevalence of PPA. Depending on the age range and the clinical setting, 5–20% of all dementias have FTD. The prevalence of FTD in the 45–65 years age group is 15/100,000 and is similar to that of AD. In the clinic setting, of the FTLDs, SD and PNFA account for 25% each and FTD accounts for 50% of patients.

Progressive Nonfluent Aphasia

Patients with PNFA are usually older than patients with FTD or SD. Age of onset is usually in the early sixties and it appears to be more common in women. The median survival is around 10 years. The consensus criteria for diagnosis of PNFA specify that agrammatism, phonemic paraphasias or anomia should be present along with a nonfluent speech. The speech is effortful, agrammatic, telegraphic and may be associated with stuttering, dysarthria or apraxia of speech. Single word comprehension is good but syntactic comprehension is impaired. Phonemic paraphasias are noted on naming tasks.

Episodic memory, visuospatial function, attention and executive functions are normal early in the course. Patients are usually independent and have intact social skills. Some patients maintain or even intensify their involvement in complex hobbies even during late stages, when the patient is mute and is unable to communicate.

Associated Deficits

Acalculia, buccofacial, and ideomotor apraxia may be present. Mild deficits in visuospatial function can also be seen. A few patients may develop features of motor neuron disease late in the course of the disease. Associated mild pyramidal signs on the right side may be present. Speech and language deficits remain isolated for many years but development of a generalized extrapyramidal syndrome compatible with a diagnosis of CBS is common.

Neuroimaging

There is left perisylvian atrophy on neuroimaging. Magnetic resonance imaging using VBM reveals atrophy in the inferior and middle frontal gyri, dorsal motor and premotor cortex, anterior insula, basal ganglia, and supplementary motor area in the left hemisphere. Selective involvement in specific areas leading to distinct clinical symptoms has been demonstrated using VBM. For instance, patients with

Figure 1: Magnetic resonance imaging brain T1W axial (a) and coronal (b) images showing left perisylvian and temporal lobe atrophy in a 77-year-old patient with progressive nonfluent aphasia.
pure motor speech deficits show dorsal left premotor and supplementary motor area atrophy, nonfluent aphasics left inferior frontal atrophy, agrammatic atrophy in the left middle frontal and inferior frontal gyri and patients with early mutism left frontal opercular and basal ganglia damage. These studies also validate that different neural substrates subserve processing of different components of the speech and language. PET studies demonstrate left frontal and anterior insular hypometabolism.

Neuropathology

A number of clinicopathological studies have now documented that tauopathies are the most common underlying cause of PNFA, particularly PSP, CBD, and Pick’s disease. When AOS is dominant, PSP appears to be common and when AOS occurs with aphasia, CBD pathology is predominant. [Figure 2] Focal AD pathology is found in as many as 30% of cases. Tau-negative, ubiquitin-positive inclusions, and Pick disease pathology are less common and each account for approximately 10% of typical PNFA cases.

Semantic Dementia

The usual age of onset is between 55–70 years with no sex predilection. In a recent paper on 100 patients with SD, the mean age at diagnosis was 64.2 years with a range of 40–79 years. The authors emphasized that contrary to expectations, 46% of patients were diagnosed after 65 years and 7 after the age of 75. Family history appears to be less common as compared to FTD and only 2–10% had a family history of early onset dementia or Pick’s disease. The 50% survival rate was 12.8 years, more benign than hitherto believed.

Patients with SD present with word finding difficulties or dysnomia. Speech may be fluent but circumlocutory and contains semantic paraphasias. As word finding difficulties increase, patients substitute the target word with a generic word for instance “animal” for “cat” and “thing” for “pen”. Single word comprehension is also affected but may not be recognized in the early stages. Sentence comprehension, repetition, and grammar are relatively intact.

Patients may not be able to name pictures and also cannot point to the correct picture when named. They cannot describe the nature of the object or its use suggesting a deficit in semantic memory. Patients have been described with predominant involvement of the right temporal lobe who have prosopagnosia.

On neuropsychological testing, working memory, calculations and visuospatial abilities are preserved. Category fluency is reduced but letter fluency is better. Recent memory is preserved but patients may do poorly on verbal learning tasks because of impaired semantic memory. Visual memory is intact. Surface dyslexia and dysgraphia are common.

The widely used consensus criteria for SD also include that associative agnosia and/or prosopagnosia be present. Mesulam believes that there are two distinct groups of patients – fluent aphasics with anomia and impaired word comprehension with involvement of the language network in the left posterior temporo-parietal area but without agnosia and others with fluent aphasia and agnosia with involvement of bilateral inferotemporal-fusiform network in the temporal lobes. Hodges and others suggest that all patients with so called fluent aphasia and anomia evolve into semantic dementia where they exhibit multimodal object recognition deficits. They emphasize that such deficits may be missed early in the course if impact of concept familiarity and typicality of objects are not taken into account. They argue that this is a reflection of the progressive deterioration of an amodal integrative semantic memory system in the rostral temporal lobes rather than involvement of two distinct areas as postulated by Mesulam.

Associated Features

Associated behavioral changes like apathy, clockwatching and interest in jigsaw puzzles, rigidity, repetitive behaviors, lack of empathy and food fads, have been reported. A few patients may show amyotrophy very late in the course but usually the neurological examination is normal. Rosen et al. (2006) compared the behavioral features of SD with other PNFA and AD and found that eating disorders, aberrant motor behavior and disinhibition were more common in SD and increased in severity with duration of illness.

Repeat and Pointing Test

This is a simple test which can be done in the clinic to differentiate PNFA from SD. Patient is asked to repeat a multisyllabic noun (usually a tool/vehicle or an animal/bird) and after repeating it, point to its picture among an array of 4–6 semantic and perceptual distractors. Patients with PNFA are impaired on the repetition task but show no deficit on the pointing component of the task. The converse is true of patients with semantic dementia.
Neuroimaging

There is bilateral though asymmetrical atrophy of the anterior and medial temporal lobes, which is more evident on the coronal MRI especially in the early stages.[57] The polar and perirhinal cortices and the anterior fusiform gyri are particularly atrophic.[19,57,58] There appears to be a rostral-caudal gradient in SD, with anterior portions of the temporal lobes most affected in contrast to AD which has a caudal-rostral gradient. The preserved episodic memory in spite of the atrophy of the medial temporal lobe is striking and one of the explanations is the involvement of posterior cingulate cortex in AD and not FTD which may have an important role in episodic memory.[59,60] The regional atrophy and hypometabolism are closely coupled in SD but not so in AD, where there is extensive hypometabolism in regions that are not obviously atrophic.[61]

Neuropathology

Reported literature suggests that 70% of patients have tau-negative ubiquitin positive pathology with a TAR DNA binding protein (TDP-43), 20% tau-positive Pick’s disease, and 10% have AD pathology.[23,39,62,63] In a recent study of 100 patients with SD, 24 had autopsy – 18 had FTD-U (13/13 TDP-43 positive), 3 had classical tau pathology, and 3 had AD. There were no intranuclear lentiform TDP-43 inclusions in any of the patients.[40]

Logopenic or Phonological variant of PPA

This variant has been recently characterized by Gorno-Tempini and others and this accounts for 30% of cases of PPA in their series.[19,20] Patients have slow, hesitant speech with word-finding pauses, which is well articulated and grammatical (differentiating from PNFA) and with good single-word comprehension (differentiating from semantic dementia). There is moderate dysnomia and sentence comprehension is affected. Single word repetition is preserved but sentence repetition is severely impaired. Digit span is reduced. LPA resembles conduction aphasias seen after stroke. Neuroimaging using VBM shows atrophy of the left posterior temporoparietal region with involvement of the left superior, middle temporal gyri, and inferior parietal lobule. [Figure 3]. The cognitive defect appears to be in the phonological loop component of the auditory verbal-working memory. There is a high frequency of apolipoprotein E4 genotype in LPA.[19]

A recent report of patient with features of logopenic PPA who had a mutation in the progranulin gene also had some deficits in grammar, repetition, and semantic memory suggesting that PGRN mutation can cause overlapping PPA syndrome.[64]

Alzheimer’s Disease and Primary Progressive Aphasia

Many patients with AD have language impairment during the course of dementia which is especially evident on neuropsychological testing. A minority can present with predominant aphasia. Initially it was believed that only fluent aphasia can occur in AD.[19] However, clinicopathological studies have shown that AD can cause both PNFA and SD.

Recent literature suggests that most patients with AD have logopenic aphasia.[68] Because accurate diagnosis is essential for appropriate therapy, there has been considerable interest in identifying clinical predictors of FTLD versus AD pathology in PPA. On cognitive testing, associated deficits in attention and memory maybe evident, which are more suggestive of AD. Mendez et al. (2003) compared 15 patients with PNFA and AD and found that literal paraphasias, stuttering, and decreased speed of utterances in PNFA.[65] A recent study found that AD patients with aphasia had better processing speed than PPA patients and they had temporoparietal atrophy with sparing of the anterior temporal lobe and hippocampi on VBM, in contrast to the FTD group who had anterior and medial temporal lobe atrophy with sparing of the parietal lobe.[66] Involvement of the posterior cingulate or involvement of parietal lobe on structural or functional imaging may also support the diagnosis of AD.[59,66,66]

Some investigators have reported a disproportionately high burden of plaques and tangles in the left temporal and inferior parietal cortex (language areas) in AD presenting as PPA.[59,67] Others, however, do not find a consistent asymmetry.
Clinicopathological Correlations – Recent Studies

Each PPA syndrome is associated with a specific pattern of atrophy on neuroimaging but appears to have less robust relationship with underlying neuropathology compared to a few reports that FTD-U correlates with right temporal lobe atrophy or FTD-T with striatal atrophy. The heterogeneity of pathological picture is possibly the result of different methodologies applied to patient population with variability in the use of language tests and variations in the definitions of semantic dementia, fluency, and agrammatism. PNFA was largely and SD exclusively associated with TDP-43 proteinopathy in a recent study from Manchester. PNFA was associated with FTD-U type 3 and SD with FTD-U type 1. In this study, only 11% PNFA had tauopathy and none of the SD patients had tauopathy in contrast to the earlier literature quoted above. The authors argue that tauopathies reported in PNFA are probably associated with AOS rather than aphasia. They also believe that the SDs included in their study were “pure” SD without behavioral changes. Behavioral variants of FTD, which are associated with tau pathology and in particular, microtubule-associated tau mutations (MAPT), may demonstrate semantic memory impairment during the course of the disease. Predictive value of clinical diagnosis can be improved by subtyping PNFA into agrammatic and logopenic suggested one recent study as most of the agrammatistics had FTLD-T and the logopenics had AD. Similar robust clinicopathological correlations are reported by a longitudinal study which investigated 18 patients of PPA over a 15 year period and classified PPA into 5 different types. Patients with pure dysarthria had tau pathology (CBD, PSP, and pick’s disease), agrammatic and typical SD had an ubiquitin positive TDP-43 proteinopathy, jargon and logopenic PPA had AD and atypical SD had CBD or argyrophilic grain disease. In this study, 4 of the 6 agrammatic patients had progranulin mutations.

Treatment

There are no treatments available at present for PPA. Bromocriptine was used in a double-blind placebo controlled trial in six subjects with PNFA and showed a mild improvement in the mean length of utterances. There is one case report of PPA showing some improvement with the use of oral steroids. The improvement was mild and the patient received steroids for only three months. An open label trial of memantine for 26 weeks in FTD, SD, and PPA patients failed to show significant benefit.

Cholinesterase inhibitors have not been specifically evaluated in PPA-AD but if the patient has aphasia compatible with AD for instance the logopenic type, these can be tried.

Evaluation by speech therapist to improve and explore alternative communicative strategies may be useful. Non therapeutic measures like learning sign language and use of voice synthesizers or laminated cards to communicate may be beneficial. As many patients continue to be independent and active, it is important to explain the disease and the prognosis to the patient and the family to help cope with the impairment better.

Conclusions

There have been significant advances in our understanding of the PPAs in the last decade. The definition and the subtyping of PPA continue to evolve but refinement in clinical and pathological characterization is required for better diagnosis of the different clinical syndromes. In future besides structural and functional neuroimaging, cerebrospinal fluid and molecular markers may be available for better diagnosis during life. Differentiating the clinicopathological types is important to evaluate new therapeutic strategies in FTLD or to use disease modifying treatments in AD. As PPA is a focal neurodegeneration with a prolonged longitudinal course it offers an excellent opportunity to develop disease modifying therapies to slow or arrest progression.
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