LOCAL AIR AND SOIL TEMPERATURE MODELING USING HIMAWARI 8 SATELLITE IMAGERY
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ABSTRACT

Himawari 8 satellite image, which was launched in October 2014 and began the operational in July 2015, serves to identify and track the phenomenon of rapid changes in weather. The purpose of this research was to determine the model of local air and soil temperatures using Himawari-8 satellite image. Local air and soil temperatures information were collected from the Climatology Station of Semarang district, Central Java, Indonesia. Interpretation of the Himawari-8 satellite image was performed, as well as the statistical tests of correlation and regression, according to the sun's pseudo motion. Pair correlation and regression analysis on satellite image with air temperature; and air temperature with soil temperature (bare and grass). The results showed the satellite imagery of Himawari-8 could predict the air and soil temperatures, especially bare soil. In specific, the accuracies were higher on soil temperature at 0 (surface) and 5 cm depth. But each period produced vary accuracy, due to many weather elements had may affect the air and soil temperatures.
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INTRODUCTION

The soil temperature is less used as an indicator of land feasibility for crop cultivation. Soil temperatures support plant productivity processes (Jungqvist et al., 2014). However, observation of soil temperature requires more as it affects the hydrological and biogeochemical equipment and observers, so it is less practical. The regional soil temperature measurement can be estimated from standard meteorological data (Kätterer &
Employing the satellite imagery to facilitate observation / predicting soil temperature, is an approach.

Himawari 8 is the latest generation of Japan Meteorological Agency (JMA), a meteorological geostationary satellite that carries the latest optical sensors with radiometric, spectral, and spatial resolution much higher than those previously in geostationary orbit. Launched from Japan's Tanegashima Space Center using H-IIA rocket on 7 October 2014, the new Himawari 8 was in its geostationary orbit on 16 October 2014, and starting operations from 7 July 2015 to 2022. Position in geostationary orbit 140.7°E; 35,800 km in over the equator, and become part of The Space-based Global Observing System (GOS) program of the World Meteorological Organization (WMO). Himawari 8 provides water and cloud images to more than 30 countries and regions, contributes to weather forecasts and natural disaster relief, and supports safer transportation in the East Asia and Pacific region (Bessho et al., 2016).

Geostationary meteorological satellites are recognized and proven to be useful in monitoring and predicting weather such as tornadoes, tropical cyclones and flash floods in the short run as well as climatic trends indicated by long-term sea temperatures, biomass burning, cloud cover and long-range rays (Menzel, Tobin, & Revercomb, 2016). Indonesian Meteorology, Climatology and Geophysics Agency (BMKG) cooperated with the Japan Meteorological Agency (JMA) of utilizing Himawari satellite image to support BMKG for meteorological purposes. However, BMKG has not utilized Himawari satellite imagery for climatological purposes. According to (Forsythe et al., 2015) clouds play an important role in the hydro-climatological variability by altering the balance of surface energy, air temperature, and soil temperature.

From a regional-scale agricultural perspective, it is important to know the approximate the air and soil temperatures to estimate the water balance and evapotranspiration, but many weather stations do not provide such data (Flores P & Lillo S, 2010). Climate change today can already be identified using technological assistance, research related to climate component changes is growing. One way to monitor the condition of air and soil temperatures is by using remote sensing technology. Therefore, the air and soil temperatures monitoring can be carried out easier and practically, without always plunging into the field or waiting, the data can be obtained and updated. Until now no research has been done regarding the analysis of satellite images of Himawari for air and soil temperatures modeling, so no information has been found. As the remote sensing technology on weather continues to grow, it is necessary to analyze the Himawari satellite imagery for monitoring air and soil temperatures. It is expected that this monitoring can minimize losses in agriculture caused by temperature fluctuations. Therefore, the purpose of this research was to determine the model of local air and soil temperatures using Himawari-8 satellite image.
MATERIALS AND METHOD
Data

The data of satellite images of Himawari 8 are obtained from the climatology station of Semarang district (6°59'05.87"S and 110°22'51.47"E), Indonesia. The image obtained was hourly data, using band 13 with wavelength 10.4 μm and spatial resolution 2 km. The band 13-15 are the band specifically to observe the atmospheric conditions (Bessho et al., 2016). Since not all the Himawari 8 satellite image data were available, an interpolation was carried out to predict the missing data. Interpolation was conducted when maximum 3 data were missed. The data then were converted into local Indonesian time (GMT+7). The satellite images of MTSAT-2 employed were from 1 January at 1 am until 6 July 12 am, 2015. The Himawari 8 satellite images used were from 7 July 2015 at 1 am until 30 September 2016 at 11 pm.

Air and soil temperatures data were obtained from the Climatology Station of Semarang district. The available air temperature data were 2-hourly-interval, from 2 am until 12 pm every day. On the other hand, the soil temperature data were only available at 7 am, 1 pm, and 5 pm. Therefore, the interpolation between air and soil temperatures data was conducted to meet the time series. The air temperature data used were from 1 January 2015 to 30 September 2016. Meanwhile, the soil temperature data available were from bare and grass soil each at the depth of 0, 5, 10, and 20 cm.

Data Analysis

Regression analysis was employed to determine the pattern of each parameter, while Pearson’s correlation analysis was conducted to find the correlation between each parameter. To simplify the correlation analysis, the data were divided into 4 groups according to the sun’s pseudo motion (Patkó, Szeder, & Patkó, 2013), i.e.: December-January-February (DJF), March-April-May (MAM), June-July-August (JJA), and September-October-November (SON).

Interpretation of Himawari 8 satellite images on pixels was classified into 15 temperature interval scales of air temperature (scale 1-15), according to the Himawari 8’s standard estimation on air temperature (Bessho et al., 2016). The correlation analysis was carried out between Himawari image with air temperature, air temperature with soil temperature, and Himawari image with soil temperature. The regression analysis was also conducted on those with significantly correlated parameters.

RESULTS
Interpretation of Himawari 8 Image

Figure 1 shows the Himawari 8 image classification scale for 21 months. There were 15,336 total images, but only 13,426 images (87.55%) were available, whereas 1,910 images (12.45%) were not available. 654 of the missing data (4.26%) were interpolated, but mostly data of April, May, and June 2015 were missed out and cannot be interpolated.

Ambient air temperature

The ambient air temperature data, which were recorded every 2 hours is presented in Figure 2. The highest ambient air temperature reached 40°C at 4 pm on 2
August 2015, while the lowest was 18°C at 0 am on 3 August in the same year. It can be seen in Figure 2 that the air temperature started to increase from April to October, then decreased in November. The air temperatures in 2016 were rather lower than those in 2015.

**Figure 1:** Air temperature scale classification of Himawari 8 images

**Figure 2:** Ambient air temperature of 2-hourly recorded data (taken from Climatology Station of Semarang Regency, Indonesia)

**Figure 3:** Soil temperatures (bare and grass) at 0 cm (taken from Climatology Station of Semarang Regency)
Figure 4. Soil temperature (bare and grass) at 5 cm (data were taken from Climatology Station of Semarang Regency).

Figure 5. Soil temperatures of bare and grass at 10 cm (data taken from Climatology Station of Semarang Regency).

Figure 6. Soil temperature (bare and grass) at 20 cm depth (taken from Climatology Station of Semarang Regency, Indonesia).
Table 1. Model of Satellite Himawari 8 image and air temperature

| Period | R²   | Model                                   |
|--------|------|-----------------------------------------|
| 2015   |      |                                         |
| JF     | 0.1232 | y = -1.104ln(x) + 27.372               |
| MAM    | 0.0829 | y = -0.866ln(x) + 27.305               |
| JJA    | 0.1688 | y = -2.547ln(x) + 28.301               |
| SON    | 0.1477 | y = -1.914ln(x) + 28.711               |
| 2016   |      |                                         |
| DJF    | 0.1487 | y = -1.185ln(x) + 28.127               |
| MAM    | 0.0886 | y = -0.946ln(x) + 28.194               |
| JJA    | 0.1062 | y = -1.241ln(x) + 28.366               |
| Overall| 0.1472 | y = -1.269ln(x) + 28.172               |

Table 2. Pearson’s Correlation between Himawari 8 images with ambient air temperature

| Period | Coeff. | Sig.  | n  |
|--------|--------|-------|----|
| 2015   |        |       |    |
| JF     | -.227**| .000  | 708|
| MAM    | -.230**| .000  | 1104|
| JJA    | -.212**| .000  | 1104|
| SON    | -.226**| .000  | 1092|
| 2016   |        |       |    |
| DJF    | -.303**| .000  | 1092|
| MAM    | -.175**| .000  | 1104|
| JJA    | -.185**| .000  | 1104|
| Overall| -.257**| .000  | 7668|

Soil temperature

Figure 3 shows the soil temperature at 0 cm (surface). The bare and grass soil reached the highest temperatures at 1 pm on 9 October (55.5°C) and 1 pm on 2 October (52°C) 2015, respectively. Meanwhile, the lowest was recorded at 7 am on 29 September (23.4°C) and 7 am on 3 August (23.6°C) 2016, respectively.

Figure 4 presents the soil temperature at 5 cm depth of bare and grass soil. The highest temperature was 56°C (on 21 October 2015, at 1 pm) and 40.4°C (on 2 November 2015, at 1 pm) under the bare and grass soil, respectively. In the meantime, the lowest was 22.6°C (on 3 August 2015, 7 am) and 25.4°C (on 24 June and 3 August 2017, at 7 am), respectively.

Figure 5 shows the soil temperature at 10 cm depth of each bare and grass soil. The highest and the lowest soil temperatures at bare soil were 46.6°C (on 21 October 2015 and 17 March 2016, at 1 pm) and 24.6°C (on 24 June 2015, at 7 am), respectively. On the other hand, it was recorded that the highest and the lowest soil temperatures under grass soil were 35.4°C (on 29 November 2015, at 1 pm) and 26.4°C (on 13 February 2015, at 7 am), respectively.

The soil temperatures of bare and grass soil at 20 cm depth is shown in Figure 6. It can be seen 39.2°C (on 27 January 2015 at 1 pm and 17 October 2015 at 4 pm) and 37.5°C (on 7 November 2015, at 5 pm) where the highest temperature under bare and grass soil, respectively. Meanwhile, the lowest was 25.6°C on (on 19 July 2016 at 7 am) and 27°C (on 5 May 2015 at 7 am), respectively.

In general, Fig. 3 to 6 shows that bare soil temperatures at all depths (0, 5, 10, and 20 cm) started to increase from July to October and declined in November. Grass soil temperatures started to increase from August to November and declined in December. The pattern of soil temperatures fluctuation at bare and grass soil was relatively similar, but only the distribution was different. The bare soil temperature was more vary than that of grass soil.

DISCUSSION

Air temperature modeling using Himawari 8 satellite images

Air temperature modeling using Himawari 8 satellite images was formulated using regression analysis (Table 1) if the
correlations between air temperature and Satellite Himawari 8 images were significant (Table 2). It is shown in Table 2 that the Himawari 8 satellite images per sun motion pseudo-period very significantly correlated (Sig. < 0.01) with air temperatures at all periods. The correlations were negative with the coefficient (r) ranged from -0.303 to -0.175. Since the correlation was very significant, hence the following model can be employed to predict air temperature using satellite Himawari 8 images after the regression analysis (Table 1).

\[
y = -1.269 \ln(x) + 28.172 \quad .............. (1)
\]

note: \(y \) = air temperature  
\(x\) = class of Himawari 8 imagery

Himawari 8 satellite imagery can be used to estimate the air temperature. This result is line with (Sadeghi et al., 2017), which states that since remote sensing provides excellent results for large-scale characteristics and monitoring of soil near the surface (0-5 cm). Because soil optical reflection, thermal emissions, and microwave losses are highly correlated with soil observations. According to (Rahaman & Hassan, 2017), remote sensing can be used to determine air temperature and is useful when applied to understand local heating trends if station-based weather data (annual average temperature and air temperature) is available. However, according to (Forsythe et al., 2015), clouds play an important role in the hydro-climatological variability by altering the balance of surface energy and air temperature, hence the coefficient of determination \((R^2)\) of the models are small. The correlation between local observations of near-surface temperatures and cloud cover fractions with satellite imagery strengthens the linkage between local atmospheric conditions and climate variability (air temperature) near the surface.

Modeling of Himawari 8 Satellite Image and Soil Temperature

The modeling equations to predict bare soil temperature at each depth (0, 5, 10, and 20 cm) using the Himawari 8 satellite images are presented in Table 3. It can be seen in Table 3 that the model equation of 0 and cm depth was well produced at all seasons, but not at 10 and 20 cm depths. That is because nearly half of the root biomass was founded at a soil depth of less than 20 cm (Fan et al., 2016), after a depth of 30 cm the soil temperature fluctuation is smaller (Geiger, 1959). The regression analysis could not produce a model equation for 10 and 20 cm of bare soil temperatures at some seasons because Himawari 8 satellite images did not correlate (Sig. > 0.05) with soil temperatures at those specific depths and seasons (Table 4).

In the meantime, the modeling equations for Himawari 8 satellite images and grass soil temperatures at 0, 5, 10, and 20 cm depths are displayed as Table 5. Table 5 shows that in general, predicting soil temperatures with grass covers is difficult by using the Himawari 8 satellite images, due to only surface (0 cm) soil temperatures at specific seasons produced equation from the regression analysis. This is proven by the dominant insignificant correlations between Himawari 8 satellite images and grass soil temperatures (Table 6).
Table 3. Modeling bare soil temperature with Himawari 8 satellite imagery

| Period | 0 cm | 5 cm | 10 cm | 20 cm |
|--------|------|------|-------|-------|
| 2015   |      |      |       |       |
| JF     | $y = -3.342\ln(x)+36.557$ | $y = -1.79\ln(x)+33.976$ |       |       |
| MAM    | $y = -2.999\ln(x)+36.465$ | $y = -1.992\ln(x)+34.54$ | $y = -0.87\ln(x)+32.196$ | $y = -0.266\ln(x)+30.726$ |
| JJA    | $y = -6.11\ln(x)+35.971$ | $y = -6.734\ln(x)+36.525$ | $y = -4.135\ln(x)+33.942$ | $y = -0.795\ln(x)+31.281$ |
| SON    | $y = -2.856\ln(x)+36.808$ | $y = -3.203\ln(x)+38.554$ | $y = -1.85\ln(x)+36.55$ |       |
| 2016   |      |      |       |       |
| DJF    | $y = -3\ln(x)+36.482$ | $y = -1.817\ln(x)+34.33$ | $y = -0.937\ln(x)+32.253$ |       |
| MAM    | $y = -2.986\ln(x)+36.216$ | $y = -1.825\ln(x)+34.521$ | $y = -0.1738\ln(x)+31.069$ |       |
| JJA    | $y = -2.747\ln(x)+35.865$ | $y = -2.234\ln(x)+33.851$ | $y = -1.268\ln(x)+32.2$ |       |
| Overall| $y = -2.944\ln(x)+35.856$ | $y = -2.777\ln(x)+35.755$ | $y = -1.775\ln(x)+33.83$ | $y = -0.585\ln(x)+31.679$ |

Note: Grey cell means no significant correlation hence regression analysis was not conducted.

Table 4. Pearson’s Correlation between Himawari 8 satellite images and bare soil temperatures at 0, 5, 10, and 20 cm depths

| Period | 0 cm | 5 cm | 10 cm | 20 cm | n |
|--------|------|------|-------|-------|---|
|        | r    | Sig  | r     | Sig  | r | Sig |
| 2015   |      |      |       |       |   |
| JF     | -.282** | .000 | -.159* | .034 | -.028 | .713 | .021 | .785 | 177 |
| MAM    | -.206** | .001 | -.181** | .003 | -.133* | .208 | -.185** | .002 | 276 |
| JJA    | -.224** | .000 | -.274** | .000 | -.259** | .000 | -.177** | .003 | 276 |
| SON    | -.174** | .004 | -.177** | .003 | -.163** | .007 | -.065 | .286 | 273 |
| 2016   |      |      |       |       |   |
| DJF    | -.352** | .000 | -.225** | .000 | -.128** | .034 | .002 | .987 | 273 |
| MAM    | -.334** | .000 | -.200** | .001 | -.106 | .077 | .172** | .004 | 276 |
| JJA    | -.276** | .000 | -.211** | .000 | -.141* | .019 | .013 | .824 | 276 |
| Overall| -.280** | .000 | -.246** | .000 | -.206** | .000 | -.167** | .000 | 1917 |

Note: Grey cell means no significant correlation.

Table 5. Modeling grass soil temperatures with Himawari 8 satellite imagery

| Period | 0 cm | 5 cm | 10 cm | 20 cm |
|--------|------|------|-------|-------|
| 2015   |      |      |       |       |
| JF     | $y = -0.736\ln(x)+29.846$ |       |       |       |
| MAM    |       |       |       |       |
| JJA    | $y = -1.872\ln(x)+29.846$ | $y = -0.905\ln(x)+29.321$ |       |       |
| SON    | $y = -1.868\ln(x)+34.723$ |       |       | $y = 0.322\ln(x)+31.298$ |
| 2016   |      |      |       |       |
| DJF    | $y = -0.52\ln(x)+30.875$ |       |       |       |
| MAM    |       |       |       |       |
| JJA    |       |       |       |       |
| Overall| $y = -1.049\ln(x)+31.139$ |       |       |       |

Note: Grey cell means no significant correlation hence regression analysis was not conducted.
Table 6. Correlation of Himawari 8 satellite imagery and grass soil temperature

| Period | 0 cm   | N     | 5 cm   | 10 cm  | 20 cm  | 10 cm  | 20 cm  | 10 cm  | 20 cm  | 10 cm  | 20 cm  | 10 cm  | 20 cm  | 10 cm  | 20 cm  |
|--------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|        | P      | Sig   | P      | Sig    | P      | Sig    | P      | Sig    | P      | Sig    | P      | Sig    | P      | Sig    | N      |
| 2015   |        |       |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| JF     | -.162''| .031  | -.047  | .531   | -.014  | .853   | .036   | .637   |        |        |        |        |        |        | 177    |
| MAM    | -.028  | .643  | .084   | .162   | .050   | .411   | .043   | .476   |        |        |        |        |        |        | 276    |
| JJA    | -.175''| .004  | -.120* | .047   | -.071  | .239   | .030   | .616   |        |        |        |        |        |        | 276    |
| SON    | -.134' | .026  | -.066  | .274   | .020   | .741   | .222'' | .000   |        |        |        |        |        |        | 273    |
| 2016   |        |       |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| DJF    | -.146' | .016  | -.081  | .181   | -.036  | .554   | -.032  | .600   |        |        |        |        |        |        | 273    |
| MAM    | -.003  | .954  | .118   | .050   | .145'  | .016   | .074   | .223   |        |        |        |        |        |        | 276    |
| JJA    | -.095  | .116  | .014   | .822   | .029   | .635   | .029   | .626   |        |        |        |        |        |        | 276    |
| Overall| -.130''| .000  | -.042  | .068   | .006   | .797   | .017   | .454   |        |        |        |        |        |        | 1917   |

Note: Grey cell means no significant correlation

Table 7. The Coefficient of Determination (R²) of Himawari 8 satellite images and soil temperatures equation models at bare and grass soil at each depth

| Period | Bare soil | Gras soil |
|--------|-----------|-----------|
|        | 0 cm      | 5 cm      | 10 cm     | 20 cm     | 0 cm      | 5 cm      | 10 cm     | 20 cm     |
| 2015   |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| JF     | 0.149     | 0.073     |           |           | 0.075     |           |           |           |
| MAM    | 0.134     | 0.096     | 0.042     | 0.033     |           |           |           |           |
| JJA    | 0.152     | 0.226     | 0.215     | 0.110     | 0.123     | 0.079     |           |           |
| SON    | 0.047     | 0.051     | 0.041     |           | 0.032     |           | 0.039     |           |
| 2016   |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| DJF    | 0.191     | 0.102     | 0.055     |           | 0.053     |           |           |           |
| MAM    | 0.191     | 0.093     | 0.016     |           |           |           | 0.005     |           |
| JJA    | 0.131     | 0.097     | 0.063     |           |           |           |           |           |
| Overall| 0.147     | 0.143     | 0.121     | 0.093     | 0.066     |           |           |           |

Note: Grey cell means no significant correlation hence regression analysis was not conducted

Table 5 and 6 inform that Himawari 8 satellite images cannot be used to predict grass soil temperatures because vegetation cover and litter block the solar radiation, acting as a buffer of soil temperature changes (Liang et al., 2014). According to Song et al. (2013) the height and density of vegetation are inversely proportional to the soil temperature, which is associated with the increased reflection of vegetation, and decreased absorption of solar radiation by the underlying soil. Vegetation cover on the soil significantly affects the temperature and soil moisture (Özkan & Gökbulak, 2017). Soil temperatures are more stable at the deeper parts compared to surface-surface soil temperatures (Yener et al., 2017). However, the coefficient of the determinant (R²) of the models are small as shown in Table 7, may due to many other climate factors also influencing the models but had not been included in the analysis. Further works on involving other
weather elements in creating the model of the Himawari 8 satellite imagery for predicting air and soil temperatures will be necessary.

CONCLUSION

Himawari 8 satellite imagery can be used to predict the air temperature, and bare soil temperature especially at 0-5cm depths. But Himawari 8 satellite imagery cannot be employed to estimate grass soil temperatures at all depths. Further works on involving other weather elements in creating the model of the Himawari 8 satellite imagery for predicting air and soil temperatures will be necessary.
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