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Abstract
Let $S$ be a fixed finite symmetric subset of $SL_d(\mathbb{Z})$, and assume that it generates a Zariski-dense subgroup $G$. We show that the Cayley graphs of $\pi_q(G)$ with respect to the generating set $\pi_q(S)$ form a family of expanders, where $\pi_q$ is the projection map $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$.

1 Introduction
Let $G$ be a group and let $S \subset G$ be a symmetric (i.e. closed for taking inverses) set of generators. The Cayley graph $\mathcal{G}(G,S)$ of $G$ with respect to the generating set $S$ is defined to be the graph whose vertex set is $G$, and in which two vertices $x,y \in G$ are connected exactly if $y \in Sx$. Let $q$ be a positive integer, and denote by $\pi_q : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ the residue map. $\pi_q$ induces maps in a natural way in various contexts, we always denote these maps by $\pi_q$. Consider a fixed symmetric $S \subset SL_d(\mathbb{Z})$ and assume that it generates a group $G$ which is Zariski-dense in $SL_d$. The purpose of this paper is to show that for any such fixed set $S$ and for $q$ running through the integers, the family of Cayley graphs $\mathcal{G}(SL_d(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}), \pi_q(S))$ is an expander family. More precisely we prove
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Theorem 1. Let \( S \subset SL_d(\mathbb{Z}) \) be finite and symmetric. Assume that \( S \) generates a subgroup \( G < SL_d(\mathbb{Z}) \) which is Zariski dense in \( SL_d \).

Then \( G(\pi_q(G), \pi_q(S)) \) form a family of expanders, when \( S \) is fixed and \( q \) runs through the integers. Moreover, there is an integer \( q_0 \) such that \( \pi_q(G) = SL_d(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}) \) if \( q \) is prime to \( q_0 \).

We remark that if \( G \) is not Zariski dense, then \( \pi_q(S) \) does not generate \( SL_d(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}) \) for any \( q \). Several papers are devoted to the study of this problem, see [4]–[7], [28], each obtaining the above statement in some special cases. More precisely, [4] established the case when \( d = 2 \) and \( q \) is prime, [5]–[6] deals with moduli \( q = p^m \) the powers of fixed prime \( p \), and [7] settled the case when \( d = 2 \) and \( q \) is square-free. In [28] the statement was proved for any \( d \) when \( q \) restricted to the set of square-free integers under the assumption that there is a \( \delta > 0 \) depending on \( d \) such that for any prime \( p \) and for any generating set \( A \subset SL_d(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \), we have

\[
|A.A.A| \geq \min\{|A|^{1+\delta}, SL_d(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})\}.
\]

(1)

Here, and everywhere in this paper we write \( A.B \) for the set of all products \( gh \) where \( g \in A \) and \( h \in B \). Helfgott proved (1) first for \( d = 2 \) [16, Key Proposition] and later for \( d = 3 \) [17, Main Theorem]. Very recently Breuillard, Green and Tao; and Pyber and Szabó obtained this result in great generality, in particular for arbitrary \( d \). For further details we refer to the papers [9] and [24]. Therefore combining the result of [9] or [24] with [28], we now have unconditionally the following

Theorem A (Breuillard-Green-Tao; Pyber-Szabó; Varjú). Let \( S \subset SL_d(\mathbb{Z}) \) be finite and symmetric. Assume that \( S \) generates a subgroup \( G < SL_d(\mathbb{Z}) \) which is Zariski dense in \( SL_d \).

Then there is an integer \( q_0 \) such that \( G(SL_d(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}), \pi_q(S)) \) form a family of expanders when \( S \) is fixed and \( q \) ranges over square-free integers prime to \( q_0 \).

We are going to use the above theorem as a black box in our proof as well as the following result. Consider a group \( G < SL_d(\mathbb{R}) \). We say that it is strongly irreducible, if any subspace of \( \mathbb{R}^d \) which is invariant under some finite index subgroup of \( G \) is either of dimension 0 or \( d \). We say that \( G \) is proximal if there is an element \( g \in G \) with a unique eigenvalue of maximal modulus, and this eigenvalue is of multiplicity one. If \( G < SL_d(\mathbb{Z}) \), then we can study its action on the torus \( \mathbb{R}^d/\mathbb{Z}^d \). Bourgain, Furman, Lindenstrauss and Moses [3] proved a strong quantitative estimate about the equidistribution of orbits of this action.

Theorem B (Bourgain, Furman, Lindenstrauss, Moses). Let \( G < SL_d(\mathbb{Z}) \) be strongly irreducible and proximal, and let \( \nu \) be a probability measure supported on a finite set of generators of \( G \).

Then for any \( 0 < \lambda < \lambda_1(\nu) \) there is a constant \( C = C(\nu, \lambda) \) so that if for some \( a \in \mathbb{R}^d/\mathbb{Z}^d \) and \( b \in \mathbb{Z}^d \backslash \{0\} \), we have

\[
\int_S e((ga, b)) db^{(l)}(g) > t > 0, \quad \text{with} \quad l > C \log(2\|b\|/t),
\]
then a admits a rational approximation
\[ \|a - p/q\| < e^{-\lambda l} \quad \text{with} \quad |q| < (2\|b\|/t)^C. \]

Here \( \nu^{(l)} \) denotes the \( l \)-fold convolution of the measure \( \nu \), and \( e(x) \) is the usual shorthand for the function \( e^{2\pi i x} \).

We point out that our argument is independent of the papers [5]–[6], hence we give an alternative proof for the case when the moduli \( q = p^m \) are the powers of a fixed prime. We also remark that in a subsequent paper of Salehi Golsefidy and the second author [25], Theorem A will be generalized to the case when \( G \) is not Zariski dense, but the connected component of its Zariski closure is perfect. The only difficulty which prevents us from relaxing the requirement of Zariski density in Theorem 1 is that the adjoint representation, for which we apply Theorem B, is neither irreducible nor proximal in general. It is an open problem if the Cayley graphs of \( SL_n(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}) \) form an expander family when the generators are arbitrary, not necessarily the projections of a fixed subset of \( SL_n(\mathbb{Z}) \). A partial result in this direction is given in [8]. Expanding properties of groups of Lie type with respect to random generators are studied in [10]–[11].

2 Notation and outline of the proof

We introduce some notation that will be used throughout the paper. We use Vinogradov’s notation \( x \ll y \) as a shorthand for \( |x| < Cy \) with some constant \( C \). Let \( G \) be a discrete group. The unit element of any multiplicatively written group is denoted by 1. For given subsets \( A \) and \( B \), we denote their product-set by

\[ A.B = \{ gh \mid g \in A, h \in B \}, \]

while the \( k \)-fold iterated product-set of \( A \) is denoted by \( \prod_k A \). We write \( \bar{A} \) for the set of inverses of all elements of \( A \). We say that \( A \) is symmetric if \( \bar{A} = A \). The number of elements of a set \( A \) is denoted by \( |A| \). The index of a subgroup \( H \) of \( G \) is denoted by \( [G : H] \). Occasionally (especially when a ring structure is present) we write groups additively, then we write

\[ A + B = \{ g + h \mid g \in A, h \in B \} \]

for the sum-set of \( A \) and \( B \), \( \sum_k A \) for the \( k \)-fold iterated sum-set of \( A \) and 0 for the unit element.

If \( \mu \) and \( \nu \) are complex valued functions on \( G \), we define their convolution by

\[ (\mu * \nu)(g) = \sum_{h \in G} \mu(gh^{-1})\nu(h), \]

and we define \( \bar{\mu} \) by the formula

\[ \bar{\mu}(g) = \mu(g^{-1}). \]
We write \( \mu^{(k)} \) for the \( k \)-fold convolution of \( \mu \) with itself. As measures and functions are essentially the same on discrete sets, we use these notions interchangeably, we will also use the notation

\[
\mu(A) = \sum_{g \in A} \mu(g).
\]

A probability measure is a nonnegative measure with total mass 1. Finally, the normalized counting measure on a finite set \( A \) is the probability measure

\[
\chi_A(B) = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A|}.
\]

We write \( \Gamma = SL_d(\mathbb{Z}) \) and denote by \( \Gamma_q \) the kernel of \( \pi_q : SL_d(\mathbb{Z}) \to SL_d(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}) \).

The proof of Theorem 1 follows the same lines as in any of the papers [4]–[7], [28]. This approach goes back to Sarnak and Xue [26]; and Bourgain and Gamburd [4]. The new ingredient is the following

**Proposition 2.** Let \( S \subset \Gamma \) be symmetric, and assume that it generates a group \( G \) which is Zariski-dense in \( SL_d \). Then for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there is a \( \delta > 0 \) such that the following hold. If \( A \subset \Gamma \) is symmetric and \( Q \) and \( l \) are integers satisfying

\[
\chi_S^{(l)}(A) > Q^{-\delta}, \quad l > \delta^{-1} \log Q \quad \text{and} \quad |\pi_Q(A)| < |\Gamma/\Gamma_Q|^{1-\varepsilon},
\]

then \( |A.A.A| > |A|^{1+\delta} \).

For the reader’s convenience we include an outline how Proposition 2 implies Theorem 1. More details can be found in any of the papers [4]–[7], [28], in particular see sections 3.1 and 5 in [28]. One ingredient is [28, Lemma 15] that we recall now, because it will be also used later. It is based on the non-commutative version of the Balog–Szemerédi–Gowers theorem, and is essentially contained in [4].

**Lemma C (Bourgain, Gamburd).** Let \( \mu \) and \( \nu \) be two probability measures on an arbitrary group \( G \) and let \( K > 2 \) be a number. If

\[
\|\mu * \nu\|_2 > \frac{\|\mu\|_2^{1/2} \|\nu\|_2^{1/2}}{K}
\]

then there is a symmetric set \( S \subset G \) with

\[
\frac{1}{K^R \|\mu\|_2^2} \ll |S| \ll \frac{K^R}{\|\mu\|_2^2},
\]

\[
|\Pi_1 S| \ll K^R |S| \quad \text{and} \quad \min_{g \in S} (\bar{\mu} * \mu)(g) \gg \frac{1}{K^R |S|},
\]

where \( R \) and the implied constants are absolute.
Proof of Theorem 1. First we note that by the Tits alternative [27, Theorem 3], there is a free subgroup $G' < G$ that is Zariski dense in $SL_d$. In light of [19, Claim 11.19], it is enough to prove the theorem for any set of generators of $G'$, in particular we can assume that $S$ generates a free group.

Denote by $T = T_q$ the convolution operator by $\chi_{\pi_q(S)}$ in the regular representation of $\Gamma/\Gamma_q$. I.e. we write $T(\mu) = \chi_{\pi_q(S)} * \mu$ for $\mu \in l^2(\Gamma/\Gamma_q)$. We will show that there are constants $c < 1$ and $C \geq 1$ independent of $q$ such that $T$ has at most $C$ eigenvalues larger than $c$. If $\mu \in l^2(\Gamma/\Gamma_q)$ is constant on cosets of $G/(G \cap \Gamma_q)$, then $T(\mu) = \mu$, hence $[\Gamma/\Gamma_q : G/(G \cap \Gamma_q)] < C$. Consider $\Gamma/\Gamma_{q_1 \cdots q_k}$ for such relatively prime integers $q_i$ for which $[\Gamma/\Gamma_{q_i} : G/(G \cap \Gamma_{q_i})] > 1$. Our claim implies $k < C$, so if $q_0$ is the product of a maximal family of such $q_i$, then $\pi_q(S)$ indeed generates $\Gamma/\Gamma_q$ when $q$ is prime to $q_0$. Moreover, consider the subspace $l^2_0(G/(G \cap \Gamma_q)) \subset l^2(\Gamma/\Gamma_q)$, i.e. the space of functions supported on $G/(G \cap \Gamma_q)$ with integral 0. This space is invariant for $T$ and by a result of Dodziuk [13]; Alon [1]; and Alon and Milman [2] (see also [19, Theorem 2.4]) $G(G/(G \cap \Gamma_q), \pi_q(S))$ is a family of expanders if and only if we have $\lambda < c < 1$ for all eigenvalues of $T$ on $l^2_0(G/(G \cap \Gamma_q)) \subset l^2(\Gamma/\Gamma_q)$ for some constant $c$ independent of $q$. This follow from our claim, since all eigenvalues of $T_{q_2}$ is an eigenvalue of $T_{q_2}$ when $q_1 | q_2$, and the second eigenvalue of a connected graph is less than 1.

Consider an eigenvalue $\lambda$ of $T$, and let $\mu$ be a corresponding eigenfunction. Consider the irreducible representations of $\Gamma/\Gamma_q$; these are subspaces of $l^2(\Gamma/\Gamma_q)$ invariant under $T$. We can assume that the irreducible representation $\rho$ that contains $\mu$ is faithful, otherwise we can consider the quotient by the kernel, and we can replace $q$ by a smaller integer. By [5, Lemma 7.1] any faithful representation of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$ is of dimension at least $q/3$. Considering the restriction of a faithful representation of $\Gamma/\Gamma_q$ to an appropriate subgroup isomorphic to $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$, we can get the same bound. This in turn implies that the multiplicity of $\lambda$ in $T$ is at least $q/3$, since the regular representation $l^2(\Gamma/\Gamma_q)$ contains $\dim(\rho)$ irreducible components isomorphic to $\rho$.

Using this bound for the multiplicity, we can bound $\lambda^{2l}$ by computing the trace of $T^{2l}$ in the standard basis:

$$\lambda^{2l} \leq \frac{3}{q} \text{Tr}(T^{2l}) = \frac{3}{q} |\Gamma/\Gamma_q| \|\pi_q[\chi_S^l]|_2^2,$$

where $\| \cdot \|_2$ denotes the $l^2$ norm over the finite set $\Gamma/\Gamma_q$. This proves the theorem, if we can show that

$$\|\pi_q[\chi_S^l]|_2 \ll |\Gamma/\Gamma_q|^{-1/2 + 1/10d^2}$$

for some $l \ll \log q$. For this, we first note that there is an integer $l_0 \geq c_0 \log q$ (if $q$ is large enough) such that all entries of all elements of $\prod_{2l_0} S$ is less then $q$. Then for $g \in \prod_{2l_0} S$, $\pi_q(g) = 1$ implies $g = 1$. By Kesten’s bound [20] we get

$$\pi_q[\chi_S^{(2l_0)}](1) \leq \left(\frac{4|S| - 4}{|S|^2}\right)^{l_0}.$$

(3)
This in turn implies
\[ \|\pi_q[\chi_S^{(2l_0)}]\|_2 \ll |\Gamma/\Gamma_q|^{\varepsilon} \]
for some \( \varepsilon > 0 \) depending on \( c_0 \) and \( |S| \). Next we claim that there is a \( \delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0 \) such that if
\[ \|\pi_q[\chi_S^{(2l)}]\|_2 > |\Gamma/\Gamma_q|^{-1/2 + 1/10d^2}, \]
with \( l > \delta^{-1} \log q \) then
\[ \|\pi_q[\chi_S^{(4i)}]\|_2 < \|\pi_q[\chi_S^{(2i)}]\|_2^{1+\delta}. \]  
(4)

Applying this repeatedly we can get the theorem.
Assume to the contrary that (4) does not hold. Then using Lemma C, we get that there is a symmetric set \( A \subset \Gamma \) with \( \chi_S^{(4i)}(A) > q^{-\delta_2} \) and \( |\pi_q(A)| < |\Gamma/\Gamma_q|^{-1/10d^2} \) and \( |A.A.A| < |A|^{1+\delta_2} \), where we can make \( \delta_2 \) arbitrarily small if the \( \delta \) for which (4) does not hold is small enough. This contradicts to Proposition 2. □

The rest of the paper is devoted to the proof of Proposition 2. From now on \( S, A, Q, l, \) and \( \varepsilon \) will be fixed and we assume that they satisfy the hypothesis of the proposition. We also assume that \( \delta \) is sufficiently small, and it will depend on \( S \) and \( \varepsilon \). We aim to prove that
\[ |\pi_Q(A.A.A.A)| \geq |\Gamma/\Gamma_Q|^{1-\varepsilon/2}, \]
where the number of factors in the product set \( A.A.A.A \) is bounded by a constant depending on \( S \) and \( \varepsilon \). This proves the proposition, since by [16, Lemma 2.2] we have
\[ |\prod_i A| < \left( \frac{|A.A.A|}{|A|} \right)^{1-2} |A|. \]  
(5)

There are some key properties of the groups \( \Gamma/\Gamma_Q \) that we will use in several places in the paper. These were also exploited in the papers [4], [5], [12] and [14]. For an integer \( q \), denote by \( \mathfrak{sl}_d(Z/qZ) \) the \( d \times d \) matrices with trace 0 and entries in \( Z/qZ \), and we write \( [u,v] = uv - vu \) for the Lie bracket of \( u, v \in \mathfrak{sl}_d(Z/qZ) \). For \( g \in \Gamma \) and integers \( q_1, q_2 \), write
\[ \Psi_{q_2}^{q_1}(g) = \pi_{q_2/q_1} \left( \frac{g-1}{q_1} \right). \]

It is an easy calculation to check that if \( q_2 | q_1^2 \), then
\[ \Psi_{q_2}^{q_1} : \Gamma_{q_1}/\Gamma_{q_2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}_d(Z/\langle q_2/q_1 \rangle Z) \]
is a bijection and satisfies the following identities:
\[ \Psi_{q_2}^{q_1}(xy) = \Psi_{q_1}^{q_2}(x) + \Psi_{q_1}^{q_2}(y), \]  
(6)
\[ \Psi_{q_1}^{q_2}(gxg^{-1}) = \pi_{q_2/q_1}(g) \Psi_{q_1}^{q_2}(x) \pi_{q_2/q_1}(g^{-1}), \]  
(7)
for integers $q_1|q_2|q_1^2$, $x, y \in \Gamma_{q_1}$ and $g \in \Gamma$, furthermore
\[
\Psi_{q_1,q_2}^{q_3}(xyx^{-1}y^{-1}) = [\Psi_{q_1}^{q_3}(x), \Psi_{q_2}^{q_3}(y)],
\]
for integers $q_1, q_2, q_3$ with $q_3|q_1$ and $q_3|q_2$ and for $x \in \Gamma_{q_1}$ and $y \in \Gamma_{q_2}$.

We introduce some further notation that will be used henceforth. Write
\[
Q = \prod_{p|Q} p^{m_p}
\]
for the factorization of $Q$. If $q|Q$ and $p|q$ is a prime, we write
\[
E_p(q) = \max_{m: p^m | q} m \quad \text{and} \quad w(q) = \sum_{p|q} m_p \log p.
\]
Intuitively, $w(q)$ measures "how large piece" of $Q$ we can recover from $q$ by taking a sufficiently high power of it. Finally if $a$ is an integer, or more generally a vector or matrix with integral entries, then we write $q\|a$ if $q|a$ and $pq \nmid a$ for any prime $p/q$.

## 3 Primes with small exponents

In this section we prove Proposition 2 in the case, when the exponents of the prime factors of $Q$ are bounded. Set
\[
Q_s = \prod_{p|Q: m_p \leq L} p,
\]
where $L$ is an integer whose value will be set later depending on $\varepsilon, S$ and $Q$, but it can be bounded by a constant depending on $\varepsilon$ and $S$ only. We prove

**Proposition 3.** Let $S \subset \Gamma$ be symmetric, and assume that it generates a group $G$ which is Zariski-dense in $\text{SL}_d$. Then for any $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ there is a $\delta_1 > 0$ such that the following hold. If $A \subset \Gamma$ is symmetric and $Q$ and $l$ are sufficiently large integers satisfying
\[
\chi_{s}^{(l)}(A) > Q^{-\delta_1} \quad \text{and} \quad l > \delta_1^{-1} \log Q
\]
then there is an integer $q_0 < Q^{\varepsilon_1}$ such that
\[
(\prod_{C(d,L)} A)_\Gamma Q_s^* \supset \Gamma_{q_0}^*
\]
holds for any integer $L$.

In this section we assume that any prime divisor of $Q_s$ is larger than a sufficiently large constant. The general case follow from this, if we make $q_0$ bigger. This proposition can be quite easily deduced from Theorem A, but we also need two Lemmata about the groups $\Gamma/\Gamma_{p^2}$. 
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Lemma 4. Let $p$ be a prime, $\psi : \Gamma/\Gamma_p \to \Gamma/\Gamma_{p^2}$ be any function such that $\pi_p \circ \psi = 1d$. Choose two elements $x, y \in \Gamma/\Gamma_p$ at random independently with uniform distribution. Then the probability of $\psi(xy) = \psi(x)\psi(y)$ is less than $p^{-c}$ for some absolute constant $c > 0$.

Proof. Assume that the Lemma fails for some $c > 0$. We will get a contradiction if $c$ is small enough. Denote by $\nu$ the push-forward of $\chi_{\Gamma/\Gamma_p}$ by $\psi$. Then $\nu \ast \nu(\text{supp } \nu) \geq p^{-c}$. Hence

$$||\nu \ast \nu||_2 > p^{-c}||\Gamma/\Gamma_p||^{-1/2} = p^{-c}||\nu||_2.$$  

By Lemma C, there is a symmetric $S \subset \Gamma/\Gamma_{p^2}$ such that $||S|| < p^{Rc}||\Gamma/\Gamma_p||$, $\bar{\nu} \ast \nu(S) > p^{-Rc}$ and $|S.S.S| < p^{Rc}|S|$. Since $\pi_p(\bar{\nu} \ast \nu)$ is the normalized counting measure on $\Gamma/\Gamma_p$, we get $|\pi_p(S)| > p^{-Rc}|\Gamma/\Gamma_p|$. Any nontrivial representation of $\Gamma/\Gamma_p$ is of dimension at least $p/3$, hence by a theorem of Gowers (see also [23, Corollary 1]) we have $\pi_p(S.S.S) = \Gamma/\Gamma_p$. Since there is no subgroup of $\Gamma/\Gamma_{p^2}$ which is isomorphic to $\Gamma/\Gamma_p$, there is an element $x_0 \in \bigcap S \cap \Gamma_p/\Gamma_{p^2}$. The stabilizer of $\Psi_p^*(x_0)$ in $\Gamma/\Gamma_p$ acting by conjugation on $\mathfrak{sl}_d(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ is a proper subgroup, hence is of index at least $p/3$. This shows using (7) that

$$|\bigcap S \cap \Gamma_p| \geq |\{gx_0g^{-1} : g \in S.S.S\}| > p/3$$

and $|\bigcap S| > p|\Gamma/\Gamma_p|/3$. If $c$ is sufficiently small, then in light of (5), this contradicts to $|S.S.S| < p^{Rc}|S|$ and $|S| < p^{Rc}|\Gamma/\Gamma_p|$. \qed

Some ideas of the proof of the following lemma is taken from [12].

Lemma 5. Let $p$ be a prime which is larger than a constant depending on $d$, and let $x \in \mathfrak{sl}_d(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ be nonzero. Then

$$\sum_{100d^2} \{gxg^{-1}, -gxg^{-1} : g \in \Gamma/\Gamma_p\} = \mathfrak{sl}_d(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}).$$

Proof. In this proof we use some special notation that we do not need elsewhere. We write $F_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. If $x$ is a $d \times d$ matrix, we write $x(i, j)$ for its $(i, j)$'th entry, and we write diag$(x)$ for the vector formed from the diagonal elements of $x$. If $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d \in F_p$, then we write diag$(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d)$ for diagonal $d \times d$ matrix containing $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d$ in the diagonal. We write

$$\{\ldots\} = \{gxg^{-1}, -gxg^{-1} : g \in \Gamma/\Gamma_p\}$$

Note that $\sum_k \{\ldots\}$ is closed under conjugation by elements of $\Gamma/\Gamma_p$ for any $k$. Finally, we write $E_{i,j}$ for the matrix whose $(i, j)$'th entry is 1 and the rest is 0. Note that

$$\{E_{i,j} : i \neq j\} \cup \{E_{i,i} - E_{i+1,i+1} : 1 \leq i < d\}$$

is a basis for $\mathfrak{sl}_d(F_p)$. Replacing $x$ by a conjugate if necessary, we can assume that $x(1, 2) \neq 0$.

We show that

$$\sum_{100} \{\ldots\} \supset F_p \cdot E_{1,2}.$$
Lemma 5.2]) that there is a set 

$A
$

such that for any $\lambda \neq \pm 1$, we have $x_1(1,2) \neq 1$ and $x(i,j) = 0$ if $i \neq 1$ or $j \neq 1$ or $i = j = 1$. Then, take

$$x_2 = g_2x_1g_1^{-1} + x_1 \in \sum_4\{\ldots\},$$

where $g_2 = \text{diag}(-1,-1,1,\ldots,1)$. Then $x_2 = a_1E_{1,2} + a_2E_{2,1}$ with $a_1 \neq 0$. Choose elements $\lambda_3, \lambda_1, \lambda_5 \in \mathbb{F}_p$ such that $\lambda_3^{-2} + \lambda_1^{-2} + \lambda_5^{-2} = 0$ but $\lambda_3^2 + \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_5^2 \neq 0$. Define $g_3, g_4, g_5$ by $g_i = \text{diag}(\lambda_i, \lambda_i^{-1}, 1, 1, \ldots, 1)$ and set

$$x_3 = g_3x_2g_3^{-1} + g_4x_2g_4^{-1} + g_5x_2g_5^{-1} \in \sum_4\{\ldots\}.$$

Then $x_3 = a_3E_{1,2}$ for some $a_3 \in E_{1,2}$. Now consider an arbitrary $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$ and let $\lambda_6, \lambda_7, \lambda_8 \in \mathbb{F}_p$ be such that $\lambda_6^2 + \lambda_7^2 + \lambda_8^2 = a/a_3$. Observe that

$$aE_{1,2} = g_6x_3g_6^{-1} + g_7x_3g_7^{-1} + g_8x_3g_8^{-1} \in \sum_4\{\ldots\},$$

where $g_i = \text{diag}(\lambda_i, \lambda_i^{-1}, 1, 1, \ldots, 1)$, which we wanted to show.

Notice that $E_{i,j}$ are conjugate to one another for $i \neq j$, hence we have also

$$\sum_{100}\{\ldots\} \supset \mathbb{F}_p \cdot E_{i,j},$$

for $i \neq j$. Finally note that for any $1 \leq i < d$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p$, there is $g \in \sum_{100}\{\ldots\}$ such that $\text{diag}(g) = \text{diag}(\lambda E_{i,i} - \lambda E_{i+1,i+1})$. For example

$$\text{diag}((1 - E_{2,1})E_{1,2}(1 - E_{2,1})^{-1}) = \text{diag}(E_{1,1} - E_{2,2}).$$

This finishes the proof, since

$$\{E_{i,j} : i \neq j\} \cup \{E_{i,i} - E_{i+1,i+1} : 1 \leq i < d\}$$

is a basis for $\mathfrak{s}_d(\mathbb{F}_p)$.

Proof of Proposition 3. As in the proof of Theorem 1 we consider the convolution operator $T(\mu) = \chi_{\pi_{Q_s}(S)} * \mu$ on $L^2(\Gamma/\Gamma_{Q_s})$. As we mentioned there, the expander property of the graphs $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma/\Gamma_{Q_s}, \pi_{Q_s}(S))$ is equivalent to the existence of a constant $c > 0$ such that the second largest eigenvalue of $T$ is less than $c$. Then by Theorem A, if $l$ is a large constant multiple of $\log Q$ (i.e. if $\delta_1$ is small enough), we have

$$\|\chi^{(l)}_{\pi_{Q_s}(S)} - \chi_{\Gamma/\Gamma_{Q_s}}\|_2 < |\Gamma/\Gamma_{Q_s}|^{-1},$$

whence

$$\lambda^{(l)}_s(g\Gamma_{Q_s}) < 2|\Gamma/\Gamma_{Q_s}|^{-1}$$

for any $g \in \Gamma$. This implies $|\pi_{Q_s}(A)| > |\Gamma/\Gamma_{Q_s}|Q^{-\delta_1}/2$.

Write $Q_s = p_1 \cdots p_n$ for the factorization of $Q_s$. One can show (see [7, Lemma 5.2]) that there is a set $A' \subset A$ such that for any $g \in A'$ and for any $0 \leq i < n$ we have

$$|\{x \in \Gamma/\Gamma_{p_{i+1}} \cap h \in A' : \pi_{p_1 \cdots p_i}(h) = \pi_{p_1 \cdots p_i}(g) \text{ and } \pi_{p_{i+1}}(h) = x\}| = K_{i+1},$$

for some constant $K_{i+1}$.
where \( K_i \) is a sequence of integers satisfying
\[
|A'| = \prod_{i=1}^{n} K_i \geq (\prod_{i=1}^{n} (2 \log p_i))^{-1}|A|.
\]
This means that
\[
q_0 := \prod_{i: K_i < 3|\Gamma/\Gamma_p|/p_i^{1/3}} p_i < Q^{6\delta_1}
\]
(if \( Q \) is large enough). Since the multiplicity of an irreducible representation of \( \Gamma/\Gamma_p \) is at least \( p/3 \) (much better bounds are known in fact, see [18]) we get that
\[
A.A.A.\Gamma_{Q_s} \supset A'.A'.\Gamma_{Q_s} \supset \Gamma_{q_0}.
\]
This follows from a theorem of Gowers (see also [23, Corollary 1]) which implies that \( B_1, B_2, B_3 = \Gamma/\Gamma_p \) if \( B_1, B_2, B_3 \subset \Gamma/\Gamma_p \), and \( |B_i| > 3|\Gamma/\Gamma_p|/p_i^{1/3} \) for each \( i \). For more details see the argument on page 26 in [28].

Write \( Q'_s = Q_s/q_0 \). The next step is to show that
\[
(\prod_{C(d)} A).\Gamma_{Q'_s} \supset \Gamma_{q_0'}
\]
for a not too large integer \( q_0' \). Let \( \psi: \Gamma/\Gamma_{Q'_s} \to \Gamma \) be a map such that \( \pi_{Q'_s} \circ \psi = Id \) and
\[
\psi(\Gamma/\Gamma_{Q'_s}) \subset A.A.A.
\]
We choose two elements \( x, y \in \Gamma/\Gamma_{Q'_s} \) independently at random according to the uniform distribution. By Lemma 4, we have
\[
\mathbb{E}(\sum_{p|Q'_s: \pi_{Q'_s}(\psi(x)\psi(y)) = \pi_{Q'_s}(\psi(xy))} \log p) < \sum_{p|Q'_s} p^{-\varepsilon} \log p < \varepsilon_1 \log Q/2,
\]
(if \( Q \) is large enough) where \( \mathbb{E} \) denotes expectation. Then there is an integer \( q_0' < Q^{1/2} \) and there are elements \( x, y \in \Gamma/\Gamma_{Q'_s} \) such that \( \pi_{Q'_s}(\psi(x)\psi(y)) \neq \pi_{Q'_s}(\psi(xy)) \) for \( p|Q'_s/q_0' \). Write \( Q''_s = Q'_s/q_0' \) and let
\[
z = \Psi(Q''_s)^2(\psi(x)\psi(y)\psi(xy)^{-1}).
\]
Then \( \pi_p(z) \in \mathfrak{sl}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \) is nonzero for every prime \( p|Q''_s \). Using (6) and (7), Lemma 5 shows that
\[
(\prod_{C(d)} A).\Gamma_{Q''_s} \supset (\prod_{1000p} \{g(\psi(x)\psi(y)\psi(xy)^{-1})g^{-1}: g \in A\}).\Gamma_{Q''_s} = \Gamma_{Q''_s}.
\]
It follows from [12, Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2] (see also [14]) that if \( A_1, A_2 \subset \Gamma \) are sets with \( A_1.\Gamma_{p_i+k} = \Gamma_{p_i} \) and \( A_2.\Gamma_{p_i+k} = \Gamma_{p_i} \), then we have
\[
(\prod_{2} \{a_1 a_2 a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1}: a_1 \in A_1, a_2 \in A_2\}).\Gamma_{p_i+j+k} = \Gamma_{p_i+j}.
\]
Note that if \( a_1 \in A_1 \) and \( a_2 \in A_2 \), then \( \pi_{p_i+j+k}(a_1 a_2 a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1}) \) depends only on \( \pi_{p_i+k}(a_1) \) and on \( \pi_{p_i+j}(a_2) \). This implies that if \( B_1, B_2 \subset \Gamma \) are symmetric and \( B_1.\Gamma_{Q''_s} = \Gamma_{Q''_s} \) and \( B_2.\Gamma_{Q''_s+j+1} = \Gamma_{Q''_s} \) for some \( j \geq 1 \) then
\[
(\prod_{2} B_1. B_2).\Gamma_{Q''_s+j+2} \supset \Gamma_{Q''_s+j+1}.
\]
Iterating this we can get the proposition. \( \square \)
4 Primes with large exponents

In this section we prove Proposition 2 in the case, when the exponents of the prime factors of $Q$ are all greater than some fixed constant. Set

$$Q_l = \prod_{p|Q: m_p > L} p^{m_p},$$

where $L$ is an integer whose value will be set later depending on $\varepsilon, S$ and $Q$, but it can be bounded by a constant depending on $\varepsilon$ and $S$ only. We prove Proposition 6.

Let $S \subset \Gamma$ be symmetric, and assume that it generates a group $G$ which is Zariski-dense in $SL_d$. Then for any $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ and for $1 > c_2 > c_1 > 0$ there is a $\delta_2 > 0$ such that the following hold. Let $A \subset \Gamma$ be symmetric and let $Q$ and $l$ be integers satisfying

$$\chi_s^{(l)}(A) > Q^{-\delta_2} \quad \text{and} \quad l > \delta_2^{-1} \log Q.$$

Assume that there is an element $x_0 \in A$ with $x_0 \in \Gamma_{Q'}$ for some $Q'|Q$ and there is an integer $q|Q_l$ with $q|(x_0 - 1)$, $c_1 < E_p(q) < c_2$ for $p|q$, and $w(q) > w(Q_l) - c_2 \log Q$.

Then

$$|\pi_{Q_l}([\prod_{C(S,c_1)} A] \cap \Gamma_{Q'})| > Q^{-\varepsilon_2 - 2c_2 d^2 Q_l^{d^2}}.$$

Theorem B, as it is stated, is only useful to estimate Fourier coefficients close to the origin, i.e. those with $\|b\| < Q^{1/C}$. However it implies the following refined version of itself:

**Lemma 7.** Let $S \subset \Gamma$ be symmetric and assume that it generates a group $G < \Gamma$ which acts a proximally and strongly irreducibly on $R^d$. Assume further that any finite index subgroup of $G$ generates the same $R$-subalgebra of $Mat_d(R)$ as $G$.

Then there is a constant $c_0$ depending only on $S$ such that for any $a, b \in Z^d$ we have

$$\int e(i \langle \frac{qa}{q}, b \rangle) d\chi_s^{(l)}(g) \ll (q/\lcm(q, b))^{-c_0},$$

if $a$ is prime to $q$ and $l > C \log q$ for some sufficiently large constant $C$.

**Proof.** We assume without loss of generality that $b$ is prime to $q$. Denote by $R$ the $R$-subalgebra of $Mat_d(R)$ generated by $S$. Since $R$ is generated by integral matrices, $\Lambda = Mat_d(Z) \cap R$ is a lattice in $R$. Denote by $R^*$ the dual space of $R$ and by $\Lambda^*$ the dual lattice, i.e. the set of functionals that take integral values on $\Lambda$. For $g \in R$ and $\varphi \in R^*$, denote by $(g, \varphi)$ the pairing between them.

For an element $g \in R$ write $l_g$ and $r_g$ for the left and right multiplications by $g$ in $R$. Let

$$S' = \{l_g, r_g | g \in S \} \subset SL(\Lambda),$$

where $L$ is an integer whose value will be set later depending on $\varepsilon, S$ and $Q$, but it can be bounded by a constant depending on $\varepsilon$ and $S$ only. We prove Proposition 6.
where $SL(\Lambda)$ stands for those linear transformations of $R$ with determinant 1 that preserves $\Lambda$. Denote by $G'$ the subgroup of $SL(R)$ generated by $S'$. If $\gamma = l_{g_1} \cdots l_{g_r} r_{h_1} \cdots r_{h_m}$ is a typical element of $G'$ and $h \in R$, then we write

$$\gamma, h = g_1 \cdots g_r h h_m \cdots h_1.$$

We study the action of $G'$ on $R$. First we show that the action is irreducible. Assume that $V$ is an invariant subspace, i.e. invariant under left and right multiplications by elements of $G$. By the very definition, this means that $V$ is an ideal of the algebra $R$. Since $R^d$ is a simple $R$ module, i.e. there is no subspace of $R^d$ invariant under all elements of $R$, by Wedderburn’s theorem (see Lang [21, Corollary XVII.3.5]), $R$ is isomorphic to the endomorphism ring of a vectorspace over a division algebra. Therefore $R$ is simple (see Lang [21, Theorem XVII.5.5]), and $V$ is either $\{0\}$ or $R$. Hence the action of $G'$ is irreducible. Now we show that it is actually strongly irreducible. Note that $G'$ is naturally isomorphic to the direct product $G \times G$. Then for any finite index subgroup $H' < G'$ there is a finite index subgroup $H < G$ such that $H \times H$ is contained in $H'$ under the above isomorphism. Since $H$ generates the same $R$-algebra $R$ as $G$, the same proof shows that the action of $H'$ is irreducible, too.

Next we show that $G'$ acts proximally. Let $g \in G$ be an element which is proximal on $R^d$, and denote by $\lambda$ the top eigenvalue, and by $v$ and $u^T$ the corresponding right and left eigenvectors with $gv = \lambda v$ and $u^T g = \lambda u^T$. Now consider the element $\gamma = l_g r_g \in G'$. It is easy to see that on $\text{Mat}_d(R)$ it is proximal, and $vu^T$ is an eigenvector corresponding to the top eigenvalue $\lambda^2$. We still need to show that $vu^T$ is in the algebra $R$. For this, note that the rows of $\lambda^{-k} g^k$ tend to scalar multiples of $u^T$, and the columns tend to scalar multiples of $v$. Hence $\lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda^{-k} g^k \in R$ is a scalar multiple of $vu^T$ proving the claim.

This shows that we can apply Theorem B for the action of $G'$ on $R$ and for the measure $\nu = \chi_{S'}$. We actually use it for $G'$ acting on $R^*$. Denote by $g^*$ the adjoint of an element $g \in G'$ acting on $R^*$. We have

$$\int e((\gamma, \frac{\varphi}{q}))d\chi_{S'}^{(m)}(\gamma) = \int e((1, \gamma^*, \frac{\varphi}{q}))d\chi_{S'}^{(m)}(\gamma) \ll q^{-c_0},$$

where $1$ is the unit element of $R$, and $\varphi \in \Lambda^*$ is any functional prime to $q$, i.e. $\varphi/p \notin \Lambda^*$ for any prime $p|q$. By Theorem B, the failure of this inequality would imply a good rational approximation of $\varphi/q$ with denominator less than $q$, a contradiction. By induction it is easy to show that the push-forward of the measure $\chi_{S'}^{(m)}$ under the map $\gamma \mapsto \gamma.1$ is $\chi_{S'}^{(m)}$. We define the functional $\varphi \in \Lambda^*$ by $(g, \varphi) = (ga, b)$, where $a$ and $b$ are the same as in the statement of the lemma. Since $a$ ad $b$ are prime to $q$, so is $\varphi$. Then we get

$$\int e((\gamma, \frac{\varphi}{q}))d\chi_{S'}^{(m)}(\gamma) = \int e((\frac{ga}{q}, b))d\chi_{S'}^{(m)}(g)$$

proving the lemma.
Corollary 8. Let $S \subset \Gamma$ be symmetric, and assume that it generates a group $G$ which is Zariski-dense in $SL_d$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that the following hold. Let $A \subset \Gamma$ be symmetric and let $Q$ and $l$ be integers satisfying

$$\chi_S^{(l)}(A) > Q^{-\delta} \quad \text{and} \quad l > \delta^{-1} \log Q.$$ 

Then for any integer $q|Q$ and for any $\nu_0 \in \mathfrak{sl}_d(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$ such that $p \nmid \nu_0$ for any prime $p|q$, we have

$$|\pi_q(\sum_{C(S)} \{ g\nu_0g^{-1} : g \in A \})| > Q^{-\varepsilon} q^{d^2-1}.$$ 

Proof. We apply Lemma 7 for the adjoint action of $G$ on the Lie-algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_d(\mathbb{R})$, i.e. $g \in G$ acts by $x \mapsto gxg^{-1}$. Denote by $\nu$ the push-forward of $\chi_S^{(l)}$ via the map $g \mapsto \pi_q(g\nu_0g^{-1})$. Then Lemma 7 gives the following bound for the Fourier coefficients of $\nu$:

$$\hat{\nu}(b) \ll (q/lcm(q,b))^{-\varepsilon}.$$ 

Denote by $\nu^{[C]}$ the $C$-fold additive convolution of $\nu$ with itself. Then there is a constant $C = C(S)$ such that

$$(\nu^{[C]})^{-1}(b) \ll (q/lcm(q,b))^{-d^2}.$$ 

By Parseval

$$\|\nu^{[C]}\|_2^2 \ll q^{-d^2+1} \sum_{q|q} q_0^{d^2-1} \cdot q_0^{-2d^2} \ll q^{-d^2+1}.$$ 

Let now $\mu$ be the push-forward of $\chi_S^{(l)}|_A$ (the normalized restriction of $\chi_S^{(l)}$ to $A$) via the map $g \mapsto \pi_q(g\nu_0g^{-1})$. Then $\mu(x) \ll Q^\delta \nu(x)$ and hence $\mu^{[C]}(x) \ll Q^{C\delta} \nu^{[C]}$ for any $x \in \mathfrak{sl}_d(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$. The above bound for $\nu$ gives

$$\|\mu^{[C]}\|_2^2 \ll Q^{C\delta} q^{-d^2+1}.$$ 

Since

$$\pi_q(\sum_{C(S)} \{ g\nu_0g^{-1} : g \in A \})$$

is the support of $\mu^{[C]}$, the claim follows by Cauchy-Schwartz.\[\Box\]

Lemma 9. Let $X \subset \mathfrak{sl}_d(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$ be a set, and assume that $|X| > q^{d^2-1}/K$ for some $K > 2$.

Then

$$|\sum_{d(d-1)/2} [X - X, X - X]| \gg q^{d^2-1}/K^{3d(d-1)}.$$ 

Proof. Throughout the proof we assume that $2 \nmid q$, the general case requires trivial modifications only. Let $p > 2$ be a prime and for $v \in \mathfrak{sl}_d(\mathbb{Z})$ write

$$A_m(v) = \{(u_1, u_2) \in [\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z})]_2^2 | \pi_{p^m}(v) = [u_1, u_2]\}.$$ 

First we show that if $p^k || v$, then

$$|A_m(v)| = \begin{cases} p^{3m+k} + p^{3m+k-1} - p^{3m-1} - p^{3m-2} \quad & \text{if } k < m, \\ p^{4m} + p^{4m-1} + p^{4m-2} - p^{3m-1} - p^{3m-2} \quad & \text{if } k = m. \end{cases}$$
Moreover (10) is in fact equivalent to (9), hence we have

\[ \pi_{p^{-1}}([u_1, u_2]) = \pi_{p^{-1}}(v) \] (9)

for some \( u_1, u_2, v \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \). If \( p^l \parallel u_1 \), then we must have \( p^l \parallel v \) and

\[ \pi_{p^{-l}}([u_1/p^l, u_2]) = \pi_{p^{-l}}(v/p^l). \] (10)

Moreover (10) is in fact equivalent to (9), hence we have

\[ |A_m(v) \cap \{(u_1, u_2) \in [\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z})]^2 : p^l \parallel u_1 \}| = p^{2l}|A_{m-l}(v/p^l) \cap \{(u_1, u_2) \in [\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^{m-l}\mathbb{Z})]^2 : p \parallel u_1 \}|. \]

For this reason we first concentrate on

\[ |A_m(v) \cap \{(u_1, u_2) \in [\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z})]^2 : p \parallel u_1 \}|. \]

With the notation in the proof of Lemma 5, we write

\[
\begin{align*}
    v &= a_1E_{1,1} - a_1E_{2,2} + a_2E_{1,2} + a_3E_{2,1}, \\
    u_1 &= x_1E_{1,1} - x_1E_{2,2} + x_2E_{1,2} + x_3E_{2,1} \quad \text{and} \\
    u_2 &= y_1E_{1,1} - y_1E_{2,2} + y_2E_{1,2} + y_3E_{2,1}.
\end{align*}
\]

Then we are looking for the number of solutions of the system of equations

\[
\begin{align*}
    x_2y_3 - x_3y_2 &= a_1, \\
    2x_1y_2 - 2x_2y_1 &= a_2, \\
    2x_3y_1 - 2x_1y_3 &= a_3.
\end{align*}
\] (11) (12) (13)

in \( x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3 \in \mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z} \) with \( p \parallel (x_1, x_2, x_3) \). Adding 2\( x_1 \) times (11) and \( x_3 \) times (12) to \( x_2 \) times (13), we get

\[ 2x_1a_1 + x_3a_2 + x_2a_3 = 0. \] (14)

On the other hand if (14) holds for some fixed \( p \parallel (x_1, x_2, x_3) \), then we always have \( p^m \) solutions in \( (y_1, y_2, y_3) \). Say if \( p \parallel x_1 \), the solutions are \( y_1 = t, y_2 = (a_2 + 2x_2t)/2x_1 \) and \( y_3 = (2x_3t - a_3)/2x_1 \) for \( t \in \mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z} \). A similar direct calculation shows that (14) has \( p^{2m+k} \) solutions in \( x_1, x_2, x_3, \) recall that \( p^k \parallel (a_1, a_2, a_3) \). Out of these solutions, \( (p^2 - 1)p^{2m-2+k} \) satisfies \( p \parallel (x_1, x_2, x_3) \) when \( k < m \), and \( (p^3 - 1)p^{3m-3} \) when \( m = k \). Therefore when \( l \leq k < m \), we have

\[ |A_m(v) \cap \{(u_1, u_2) \in [\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z})]^2 : p^l \parallel u_1 \}| = p^{3m+k-l} - p^{3m-2+k-l}, \]

and the claim follows by summing over \( 0 \leq l \leq k \).

Now we show how to deduce the Lemma from the claim. For \( 1 \leq i < j \leq d \), denote by \( \mathfrak{h}_{i,j} \subset \mathfrak{sl}_d(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}) \) the Lie-subalgebra spanned by \( E_{i,j} - E_{j,i}, E_{i,j} \) and
By the pigeon hole principle, for each \(i, j\) there is a coset \(a + h_{i,j}\) such that \(|X \cap (a + h_{i,j})| > q^3/K\), hence \(|(X - X) \cap (a + h_{i,j})| > q^3/K\). Write \(Y = (X - X) \cap h_{i,j}\), and for \(q_0|q\) let

\[Y_{q_0} = \{v \in [Y,Y] : q_0|v \text{ but } q_0p \nmid v \text{ for } p|q \text{ prime}\}.

Since

\[\prod_{p|q_0 \text{ prime}} \frac{p + 1}{p} \ll \log q_0,
\]

we have

\[q^6/K^2 < |Y|^2 < C \sum_{q_0} \log(q_0)q^3q_0|Y_{q_0}|\]

for some constant \(C\) by the above claim and the Chinese Remainder Theorem. Clearly \(|Y_{q_0}| < (q/q_0)^2\), hence

\[\frac{q^6}{2K^2} < C \sum_{q_0 < C'K^2 \log K} \log(q_0)q^3q_0|Y_{q_0}| \ll \frac{q^6}{2K^2}
\]

for some constant \(C'\). This gives

\[\frac{q^6}{2K^2} < C \sum_{q_0 < C'K^2 \log K} \log(q_0)q^3q_0|Y_{q_0}| \ll \frac{q^6}{2K^2}
\]

from where we get

\[|Y,Y| \gg \frac{q^3}{K^4 \log^2 K}.
\]

Since \(\text{sl}_d(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}) = h_{1,2} + \ldots + h_{d-1,d}\), the lemma follows.

**Proof of Proposition 6.** Recall the identities (6)–(8). Apply Corollary 8 for \(v_0 := \Psi q^2(x_0)\), and write

\[B_0 := \prod \{gx_0g^{-1} : g \in A\},
\]

where \(C\) is the constant from the corollary. Then \(B_0 \subset (\prod_{S \subset A} A) \cap \Gamma qQ'\) and \(|\pi q^2(B_0)| > Q^{-\varepsilon}q^{d^2-1}\). Define recursively

\[B_i = \prod_{d(d-1)/2} \{xyx^{-1}y^{-1} : x \in B_0, y \in B_{i-1}\}
\]

Then \(B_i \subset \Gamma q^{i+1}Q'\) and we get

\[|\pi q^{i+2}(B_i)| > Q^{-(3d(d-1))\varepsilon}q^{d^2-1},
\]

if we use Lemma 9 inductively. Note that

\[B_0B_1 \ldots B_{[1/c_1]} \subset (\prod_{C(S,c_1)} A) \cap \Gamma Q'
\]
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and 
\[ |\pi_{[1/c_1]}(B_0, B_1 \ldots B_{[1/c_1]})| > \left( \prod_i Q^{-(2d(d-1))^i} \right) |\Gamma_q/\Gamma_{[1/c_1]}|. \]

The assumptions on \( q \) imply 
\[ |\pi_q(B_0 B_1 \ldots B_{[1/c_1]})| > \left( \prod_i Q^{-(2d(d-1))^i} \right) |(\Gamma_q \Gamma_{[1/c_1]})/\Gamma_{Q_i}|^{-1} |\Gamma_q/\Gamma_{Q_i}| \]
\[ > \left( \prod_i Q^{-(2d(d-1))^i} \right) Q^{-2c_2d^2} Q_l^{d^2-1} \]

and this proves the proposition if we made the choice \( \varepsilon = \varepsilon_2/\sum_{i \leq [1/c_1]} (2d(d-1))^i \).

5 Proof of Proposition 2

Let \( \varepsilon, Q, I, \) and \( A \) be as in the proposition. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 1 (see (3)) we can show that there is a positive constant \( c_3 > 0 \) depending on \( S \), such that \( \chi_S^{(l)}(\Gamma_q) < q^{-c_3} \) for every \( q \) \( Q \). In the course of the proof we will use several parameters \( \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, c_1, c_2, L, \) and \( L' \) that depend on each other and on \( S, \varepsilon \) and \( Q \) in a complicated way. We emphasize the crucial property that although the parameters depend on \( Q \), they can be bounded by constants depending only on \( S \) and \( \varepsilon \). We give now the definition of the parameters, but the reader may want to skip to the next paragraph and refer to the definitions when the parameters are used. First we define a sequence for each parameter recursively. Let \( L^{(0)} = 1, \) and once \( L^{(i)} \) is defined for some \( i \geq 0, \) we proceed as follows: Let \( \varepsilon_1^{(i+1)} = \varepsilon/4L^{(i)}d^2 \) and pick \( c_2^{(i+1)} < \varepsilon/8d^2 \) in such a way that Proposition 3 holds with \( \delta_1 = 2c_2^{(i+1)}d^2 \) and \( \varepsilon_1^{(i+1)} \). Now set \( c_1^{(i+1)} = (c_2^{(i+1)})^2c_3/d^2, \) \( L^{(i+1)} = \left[ 1/c_1^{(i+1)} \right] \). Now if 
\[ \prod_{p|Q: L^{(i+1)} > m_p > L^{(i)}} p^{m_p} < Q^{\varepsilon/4d^2} \]

then we stop and set \( \varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1^{(i+1)}, c_1 = c_1^{(i+1)}, \varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon/4, c_2 = c_2^{(i+1)}, L = L^{(i+1)}, \) and \( L' = L^{(i)}. \) Otherwise we continue with computing the next iterate of all parameters. Note that this process always ends in at most \( 4d^2/\varepsilon \) steps, so the constants are bounded independently of \( Q \). We also assume that \( \delta \) is sufficiently small and \( Q \) is sufficiently large depending on all these parameters. Recall that 
\[ Q_s = \prod_{p|Q: m_p \leq L} p \quad \text{and} \quad Q_l = \prod_{p|Q: m_p > L} p^{m_p}, \]

First choose an integer \( q_1|Q_l \) such that \( c_1 < E_p(q_1) < 2c_1, \) which is possible, since \( L > c_1^{-1}, \) and set \( A_1 = (A.A) \cap \Gamma_{q_1}. \) If \( \delta \) is sufficiently small, we have 
\[ \chi_S^{(l)}(A_1) > q_1^{-d^2} > Q^{-2c_1d^2}. \]
Next, we take an element \( g_1 \in A_1 \) such that \( g_1 \notin \Gamma_q \) for any \( q > Q^{\frac{c_3}{2}} \). This is possible, since \( \chi_S^{(l)}(\Gamma_q) < q^{-c_3} \) and the number of \( q \)'s we need to consider is at most \( Q^{\frac{c_3}{2}} \) (if \( Q \) is large enough) and we chose our parameters in such a way that \( 2c_3d^2 = 2c_2^2c_3 \). This shows that there is an integer \( q \mid Q_1 \) with \( w(q) > w(Q_1) - c_2 \log Q \) and \( c_1 < E_p(q) < c_2 \) for \( p/q \), and \( q \| (g_1 - 1) \). This element \( g_1 \) will be used in the construction of \( x_0 \) to be used when we apply Proposition 6 below.

Before that, similarly as above, we choose an integer \( q \mid Q_1 \) such that \( c_2 < E_p(q_2) < 2c_2 \) and set \( A_2 = (\Lambda \Lambda) \cap \Gamma_{q_2} \). Note that \( \chi_S^{(l)}(A_2) > Q^{-2c_2d^2} \). We apply Proposition 3 for the set \( A_2 \), and we get that

\[
(\prod_{C(d, L)} A_2) \Gamma_{Q}^L \supset \Gamma_{q_0^L}
\]

for some \( q_0 < Q^{\varepsilon_1} \). This implies

\[
|\pi_{Q/Q_1}(\prod_{C(d, L)} A_2)| > (\prod_{p \mid q_0} p^{m_p} - d^2|\Gamma_{Q/Q_1}| > Q^{-\varepsilon/2}Q^{-L'|d^2|\Gamma_{Q/Q_1}| = Q^{-\varepsilon/2}|\Gamma_{Q/Q_1}| \tag{15}
\]

since our choice \( \varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon / 4L'd^2 \) and

\[
\prod_{pQ > m_p > L'} p^{m_p} < Q^{\varepsilon/4d^2}.
\]

Choose

\[
g_2 \in (\prod_{C(d, L)} A_2) \cap \Gamma_{q_2}
\]

with \( \pi_{Q/Q_1}(g_2) = \pi_{Q/Q_1}(g_1) \), and take \( x_0 = g_1g_2^{-1} \). Recall that \( q \| (g_1 - 1) \) with \( c_1 < E_p(q) < c_2 \) for \( p/q \) and \( g_2 \in \Gamma_{q_2} \) with \( E_p(q_2) > c_2 \) for \( p/Q_1 \), hence \( q \| (x_0 - 1) \). We also have \( x_0 \in \Gamma_{Q'} \) with \( Q' = Q_2^L / q_0^L \). Now we can apply Proposition 6 for \( A \cup \{x_0, x_0^{-1}\} \). Set

\[
B = (\prod_{C(S, c_1, L)} A) \cap \Gamma_{Q_2^L / q_0^L}.
\]

Proposition 6 implies that \( |\pi_{Q_1}(B)| > Q^{-c_2 - 2c_2d^2}Q_1^{-d} \), if \( \delta \) is small enough. Combine this with (15) and we finally get

\[
|\pi_{Q_1}(B)| \cdot |\pi_{Q/Q_1}(\prod_{C(d, L)} A)| > Q^{-\varepsilon/2 - c_2 - 2c_2d^2 |\Gamma_{Q_1}|}.
\]

By (5) this implies the proposition, since \( \varepsilon_2 < \varepsilon / 4 \) and \( c_2 < \varepsilon / 8d^2 \) and \( |\pi_{Q}(A)| < |\Gamma_{Q_1}|^{1\varepsilon} \).
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