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Abstract

Background: Endogenous peptides such as neuropeptides are involved in numerous biological processes in the fully developed brain but very little is known about their role in brain development. Japanese quail is a commonly used bird model for studying sexual dimorphic brain development, especially adult male copulatory behavior in relation to manipulations of the embryonic endocrine system. This study uses a label-free liquid chromatography mass spectrometry approach to analyze the influence of age (embryonic days 12 vs 17), sex and embryonic day 3 ethinylestradiol exposure on the expression of multiple endogenous peptides in the developing diencephalon.

Results: We identified a total of 65 peptides whereof 38 were sufficiently present in all groups for statistical analysis. Age was the most defining variable in the data and sex had the least impact. Most identified peptides were more highly expressed in embryonic day 17. The top candidates for EE\(_2\) exposure and sex effects were neuropeptide K (downregulated by EE\(_2\) in males and females), gastrin-releasing peptide (more highly expressed in control and EE\(_2\) exposed males) and gonadotropin-inhibiting hormone related protein 2 (more highly expressed in control males and displaying interaction effects between age and sex). We also report a new potential secretogranin-2 derived neuropeptide and previously unknown phosphorylations in the C-terminal flanking protachykinin 1 neuropeptide.

Conclusions: This study is the first larger study on endogenous peptides in the developing brain and implies a previously unknown role for a number of neuropeptides in middle to late avian embryogenesis. It demonstrates the power of label-free liquid chromatography mass spectrometry to analyze the expression of multiple endogenous peptides and the potential to detect new putative peptide candidates in a developmental model.

Background

Bird models have been instrumental to the overall understanding of neural sex differences and endocrine influences on brain development [1,2]. Japanese quail is a commonly used bird model for studying sex-specific brain development and behavior, especially the influence of the hormonal milieu during early brain development on sexual behaviour [3-5]. Sex-specific neural development has traditionally been associated with sex hormones produced by the gonads. Exogenous estrogen exposure before embryonic day 12 (ed12) causes feminization of the male sexual behaviour in Japanese quail [3,5], which shows the important role of sex hormones in brain differentiation. Several studies in songbirds have shown that there are exceptions to this classical model [6-9], implicating an intrinsic genetic influence on sex-specific neural development. Our previous studies on gene expression in early chicken [10] and quail embryos (ongoing) also indicate a sex-specific brain development that is at least partly independent of the influence of gonadal hormones. Quail ed12 corresponds roughly to chicken ed14 which is close to the developmental stage when gonad derived plasma testosterone levels peak (~ed13.5) in male embryos and the endocrine hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal (HPG) axis becomes established [11-13]. Endogenous gonad derived plasma estradiol levels increase gradually in female chicken embryos until ~ed13.5 where they increase markedly [14,15]. Male chicken embryo plasma estradiol levels are significantly lower than female levels at all
Although genome information from different species has facilitated prediction of putative precursor cleavage sites and peptide/precursor amino acid sequences, little is known about the expression levels of different peptides under different conditions. This is especially true regarding the peptidome content in the developing brain. The sequencing of the chicken genome has enabled prediction and expression analysis of neuropeptides in avian models such as Japanese quail. Neuropeptidomics is the technological approach for detailed analysis of endogenous peptides in the brain. Recently, a novel approach to study a large number of neuropeptides using nL/min flow liquid chromatography (nanoLC) electrospray ionization mass spectrometry was developed to investigate the endogenous neuropeptide content of brain tissue samples from rats and mice [22-24].

The aims of this study were to characterize the endogenous peptide content of the diencephalon including the sexually dimorphic area POM in the developing brain and to analyze how its expression is related to age, sex and the effect of ethinylestradiol (EE2) exposure. Quail ed12 diencephalon was chosen as both the stage of development and the tissue are of interest in this context. Ed12 is at the end of the sensitivity period of embryonic estrogen exposure leading to behavioral alterations in male copulatory behavior [5] and likely in the time period when the male endocrine HPG axis becomes established and a more marked increase of female estradiol synthesis occurs. Quail ed17 is somewhat before hatching and a time where the male embryos lost their sensitivity to exogenous estradiol and their sex specific brain and neuroendocrine development has become established. The detection of neuropeptides and the quantification of their expression levels were done using liquid chromatography and ion trap mass spectrometry together with a label-free approach.

Results
Peptide identification
Japanese quail embryonic diencephalon protein samples from 38 individuals were separated by molecular weight, obtaining < 10 kD samples. These samples were then examined using nano LC coupled to an ESI-LTQ MS system or an LTQ-FTICR system. A total of 65 peptides were identified (Table 1) of which 38 (Table 2) were present in a sufficient number of individuals to enable expression profiling (see below). Among the 65 peptides, 61 were derived from neuropeptides or neuropeptide precursor proteins. The four remaining peptides belonged to CRMP-2, FKBP5 (also called PPIA), Stathmin and Thymosin beta.

Expression profiling
A subset of the LTQ-MS samples were divided into eight groups by sex (male vs female), age (ed12 vs ed17) and EE2-exposure (tissues collected from ed12 and ed17 males and females) with four to five samples in every group. The samples were ed12 male controls (n = 5), ed12 female controls (n = 4), ed12 male EE2 exposed (n = 4), ed12 female EE2 exposed (n = 4), ed17 male controls (n = 4), ed17 female controls (n = 4), ed17 male EE2 exposed (n = 4) and ed17 female EE2 exposed (n = 5). The alignment of peptide spectra was performed using the DeCyder MS software. Expression data for 204 peptides, whereof 38 identified, was first analyzed by unsupervised methods (hierarchical clustering, principal component analysis, PCA) and then by a supervised method (Partial Least Squares Discriminant Analysis, PLS/DA). A clear separation between ed12 and ed17 peptide expression was visible in a hierarchical clustering (Figure 1A) of all eight experimental groups with the majority of the identified peptides being more highly expressed in ed17 than in ed12 diencephalon (Figure 1B). A similar pattern was detected with PCA (Figure 1C, additional file 1).

Both analyses indicate a weak separating effect of EE2 exposure in ed12 samples. PLS/DAAs were conducted to explore the maximized separation between groups regarding age, sex and EE2 exposure. There were no successful models separating all three parameters (sex, age and EE2) leading to a PLS/DA model for age and EE2 exposure (Figure 2, additional file 2). The effect of EE2 exposure was more distinct on ed12 than on ed17 (Additional file 3). A three-dimensional PLS/DA score plot (Figure 2A) shows the separation of age (ed12 and ed17) and EE2 exposure (controls, C and exposed, E), independently of sex (ed12C, ed12E, ed17C, ed17E), with the second and third component being most
Table 1 Peptides identified by LTQ and FTICR-MSMS in quail diencephalon.

| Precursor | Peptide                   | MH+    | Sequence                          | Comment   |
|-----------|---------------------------|--------|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| Acyl-CoA binding protein | ODN peptide | 1637.56 | TVGDVDRPGMGDF | Yes       |
| Cerebellin-1 pre. | Cerebellin-1 | 1632.85 | SGSAKAFSARSTNH | Yes       |
| Cerebellin-2 pre. | Cerebellin-2 | 1620.80 | SGSAKAFSARSTNH | Yes       |
| Cerebellin-4 pre. | Cerebellin-4 | 1451.70 | ANSKAFSARSTNH | Yes       |
| Cerebellin-4 pre. | Cerebellin-4 | 1267.36 | SKVAFVARSTNH | Yes       |
| Gastrin-releasing peptide | GRP | 1766.69 | APLQPGSGPALTKYPR | Yes       |
| Gonadotropin-inhibiting hormone | GnIH propep | 1743.83 | SVPLSQVSEQSEPGM | Yes       |
| Glucagon family neuropeptides | PACAP27 | 1573.73 | HIGGDFDSYRSY | Yes       |
| Neuropeptide Y (NPY) pre. | Precursor | 1226.82 | AVASPLQVSELL | Yes       |
| Prepronociceptin (PNOC) pre | Precursor | 2218.94 | MDELHPEDEANGGEILA* | Yes       |
| Proenkephalin A (PENK) pre | Precursor | 2147.91 | MDELHPEDEANGGEIL* | Yes       |
| Protachykinin 1 (PPT) pre | C-term flanking peptide (CTFP) | 1741.07 | SLNGSSERSIAQNYE | Yes       |
| Secretogranin-1 pre | Secretogranin-1 propep | 1725.81 | QYDKMDQLAQLNY | Pyroglutamic Acid |
| Secretogranin-2 pre | Secretoneurin | 2064.99 | YGGFMRSL | Yes       |
| Secretogranin-2 pre | Secretoneurin | 1659.72 | YHPEDEANGGEIL* | Yes       |
| Substance P | Neuropeptide K | 947.42 | DAGYQQIS | Yes       |
| Neurokinin A | Neurokinin A | 1133.87 | HKTDSFGVM | M-amide | Yes       |
| Secretogranin-1 pre | Secretogranin1- propep | 1357.54 | IFHGEQQIS | Yes       |
| Secretogranin-2 pre | Secretoneurin | 1650.79 | TNEVEEQYTPQSL | Yes       |
| Secretogranin-2 pre | Secretoneurin | 1537.70 | TNEVEEQYTPQSL | Yes       |
effective in separating controls from EE2 exposure (Figure 2B-D). Next, a virtual importance in the projection (VIP) (Figure 3) was plotted to see how much the identified and unidentified peptides influence the different class separations in the PLS/DA. The preprotachykinin 1 peptide neuropeptide K (PPT NPK, VIP 1.59) was most important for overall separation among the identified peptides, followed by a peptide derived from CRMP-2 (VIP 1.45). These two peptides also display a significantly interaction effect when using moderated F-statistics (see below) (Table 2). Four unidentified peptides (VIP 1.6-1.85) were more relevant than NPK (data not shown). NPK, the top PLS/DA candidate for overall effects on classes based on age and EE2 exposure, was also the most influential among ed12 samples (see Additional file 3).

Multivariate analysis methods such as PCA and PLS/DA are efficient for illustrating general trends and patterns in complex data but more limited in determining the significance/effect for single factors (peptides). An F-test was used to detect statistically significant (adjusted p < 0.05) differences in singular peptide expression between groups regarding the response variables age, EE2 exposure and sex. The age differences among identified peptides were dominated by a general upregulation between ed12 and ed17 (Figure 1B, Table 2). There were a few exceptions, such as NPK and the cerebellin derived peptides (Table 2). Only few peptides were significantly affected by either sex or EE2 exposure (Table 2). The top EE2 candidates were NPK and the CRMP-2 derived peptide (Figure 4). This was also indicated by their localization (peptides #118, 217) in the PLS/DA VIP (Figure 3) and the loading scatter plot (Figure 2C-D) where they are most prominent in separating control samples (ed12C, ed17C) from EE2 samples (ed12E, ed17E). The CRMP-2 peptide displays EE2 induced downregulation of expression in males at ed12 and ed17 (in average ~57%), whereas NPK is downregulated in both males and females at ed12 and ed17 (in average 50%).

Secretogranins

Peptides from three secretogranins (SCG1, SCG2, and SCG5) were identified (Table 1). Two out of six SCG2 peptides were detected in all samples. The two SCG2 derived peptides (TNEIVEEQYTPQSL and SGKLSFLEDE) behave in different manners as seen by F-test statistics (the former being non-significant and the later significant, F-test statistics, adjusted p < 0.05). Both show an age effect, becoming more highly expressed in ed17 but only SGKLSFLEDE shows a significant interaction effect and approaches significance for a treatment effect (t-test p-value 0.062). SGKLSFLEDE is located between two basic amino acid cleavage sites (KR, RR) and corresponds to human SCG2 285-295 (Figure 5). The full peptide probably includes an additional C-terminal methionine (SGKLSFLEDE-M) if one considers the full sequence between the cleavage sites. Such a peptide was also discovered (Table 1) but its presence across all samples was insufficient form a matching purpose. The amino acid sequence of the secretogranin-1 (chromagrinin B) peptide (IHEGEEDGK) is less conserved between birds and mammals and the peptide as such seemingly unaffected by age. The peptide is located in a non-conserved sequence region of secretogranin-1 (data

Table 1: Peptides identified by LTQ and FTICR-MSMS in quail diencephalon. (Continued)

| Peptide                                      | Formula | Spectral Data | p-value | Treatment Effect |
|----------------------------------------------|---------|---------------|---------|------------------|
| Secretogranin-5 pre C-terminal peptide (CTP) | 1772.96 | SVNLYLGKRDLNVA | Yes     |                  |
| Secretogranin-5 pre C-terminal peptide (CTP) | 1701.63 | SVNLYLGKRDLNW | Yes     |                  |
| Somatostatin pre SMS-propep                  | 1256.71 | SLAAAAGKQELAK | Yes     |                  |
| Somatostatin pre SMS-14                     | 1409.18 | KNNFVKFTFTSC | Yes     |                  |
| Somatostatin pre SMS-14                     | 1019.90 | FKFTFTSC      | Yes     |                  |
| Somatostatin pre SMS-28                     | 1226.61 | SANSNAPALAPRE | Yes     |                  |
| Somatostatin pre SMS-28                     | 1097.57 | SANSNAPALAPR  | Yes     |                  |
| Somatostatin pre SMS-28                     | 1139.58 | ANSNAPALAPRE  | Yes     |                  |
| Vasoactive intestinal peptide VIP           | 1219.7 | AVFTDNYSRF    | Yes     |                  |
| CRMP-2                                       | 121081 | APPGRANITSLG  | Yes     |                  |
| FKBP5                                        | 1712.77 | ANAGPNTNSQFFICTA | Yes |                  |
| Stathmin                                     | 1358.74 | AGFQAFILGPR   | Yes     |                  |
| Thymosin beta                                | 1566.84 | SDKPDAIEIEKFDK| Yes     |                  |

1 Partial Least Square Discriminant Analysis * Propeptide to Met-enkephalin.
**Propeptide to Leu-enkephalin
### Table 2 Differentially expressed peptides

| Precursor | Peptide | Sequence | F-test FDR adj. p-value | Age effect log2 fold change | Sex effect log2 fold change | EE2 effect log2 fold change | Interactions |
|-----------|---------|----------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|
| ACBP ODN-peptide | TVGDVNTDRPGMLDF | p < 0.001 | 3.80*** | p < 0.01 | |
| CBLN1 Cerebellin-1 | SGSKAVFASARSTNH | n.s. | n.s. | n.s. | |
| CBLN4 Cerebellin-4 | ANSKVAFSAVRSTN | n.s. | n.s. | n.s. | |
| CBLN4 Cerebellin-4 | SKVAFSAVRSTN | n.s. | n.s. | n.s. | |
| GRP GRP | APLQFGPSALKYPR | p < 0.001 | 1.05*** | -0.59*** | n.s. | |
| GnIH GnIH-RP2 | ARSSQSSLNLQ | p < 0.001 | 3.41*** | -0.94* | p < 0.01 | |
| PNOC PNOC | AVASPLQVSELL | p < 0.001 | 1.62*** | | |
| PNOC Nociceptin | YGGFIGVRSARKWNQ | p < 0.001 | 1.56*** | | p < 0.05 |
| PNOC Nociceptin | YGGFIGVRSKA | p < 0.01 | 0.75*** | | p < 0.05 |
| PNOC Neuropeptide1 | GSWPAARGQV | p < 0.001 | 1.24*** | | 0.92* |
| PNOC Neuropeptide2 | FSEFLQYGLMSMR | p < 0.001 | 1.17*** | | |
| PENK Precursor | ELYHPSEDEANGGBLA | n.s. | n.s. | n.s. | |
| PENK Precursor | SPELEDEAKEILQ | p < 0.001 | 0.92*** | | n.s. |
| PENK Precursor | SPELEDEAKEL | p < 0.001 | 2.36*** | 0.57* | |
| PENK Precursor | LEDEAKEILQ | p < 0.001 | 1.76*** | | |
| PENK Precursor | VGRPEWMLDYQ | p < 0.001 | 0.96*** | | 0.51* | p < 0.05 |
| PENK MERF | YGGFMRF | p < 0.001 | 1.50*** | | |
| PENK MERSL | YGGFMRLS | p < 0.001 | 0.95*** | | 0.35* | n.s. |
| PENKB Precursor | PNLKWDINQ | p < 0.001 | 2.36*** | | n.s. |
| PPT CTP | SLNSGSGGSSRSAQNYE | n.s. | 0.65** | n.s. | |
| PPT CTP (1P) | SLNSGSGGSSRSAQNYE | p < 0.001 | 1.28*** | | |
| PPT CTP (2P) | SLNSGSGGSSRSAQNYE | p < 0.05 | 0.92*** | | n.s. |
| PPT SP | RPRPQQFFGLM | p < 0.001 | 1.80*** | | |
| PPT NPK | DAGYQGSH | n.s. | -0.99*** | p < 0.05 |
| PPT NKA | HKTDSFVGLM | p < 0.001 | 1.78*** | n.s. | |
| SCG1 SCG1 | HHGEHEGEMEE | n.s. | n.s. | n.s. | |
| SCG2 Secretoneurin | TNEIVEEYTPQSL | n.s. | 0.40* | n.s. | |
| SCG2 SCG2 | SGKLFSLEDE | p < 0.001 | 2.40*** | | p < 0.05 |
| SCG5 CTP | SVNPLQGKRLADV | p < 0.001 | 1.12*** | 0.18* | n.s. |
| SCG5 CTP | SVNPLQGKRLDV | p < 0.001 | 2.64*** | | |
| SMS SMS-14 | KHNFWKFTTSC | p < 0.001 | 2.62*** | | p < 0.05 |
| SMS SMS-14 | FWKFTTSC | p < 0.001 | 2.70*** | | n.s. |
| SMS SMS-28 | SANSNPALAPRE | p < 0.001 | 1.03*** | | |
| VIP VIP | AVFDNYSRF | p < 0.001 | 2.76*** | | |
| CRMP-2 | APPGRANITSGL | p < 0.001 | 0.82** | | p < 0.01 |
| PPIA/FKBP12 | ANAGPNTGQFFICTA | p < 0.001 | -0.55*** | -0.29* | n.s. | |
| Thymosin beta | SDKPDMAEIEKFDK | n.s. | n.s. | |

1. The F-test describes the significance of the linear model that incorporates the three parameters in this study: age (ed12 and ed17, sex (male and female), and exposure (controls and EE2 exposed). There are three levels of statistical significance for the parameter effects: p < 0.05 (*), p < 0.01 (**) and p < 0.001 (**). A minus sign (-) in the age effect column means that the peptide is more highly expressed in ed12 than ed17. A minus sign (-) in the sex effect column means that the peptide is more highly expressed in males compared to females. A minus sign (-) in the EE2 effect column means that the peptide is more highly expressed in controls compared to exposed. 2. The significance of the interaction effects was calculated by Walds F-test. n.s. means non-significant.
not shown) and no deduction about relevance or functionality was possible.

**Protachykinins**

Among the peptides identified, several were part of the protachykinin 1 precursor (designated PPT). Representative peptide sequences for all peptide forms (substance P [SP], NPK, neurokinin A [NKA] and C-terminal flanking peptide [CTFP]) except neuropeptide gamma were detected. FTICR-MS enabled us to detect two previously unknown phosphorylations on the C-terminal flanking peptide (CTFP), on S6 or S7 (single phosphorylated; Figure 6A) and S10 (double phosphorylated with S6/7; Figure 6B). The detection of the truncated NPK sequence DAGYGQISH (Figure 6C) shows that quail has the same sequence deletion in its Protachykinin gene as seen in chicken compared to mammals. The log2 ion intensity levels for non-phosphorylated and

**Figure 1** Unsupervised hierarchical clustering and principal component analysis. (A) Visualization of group differences (ed12MC, ed12FC, ed12ME, ed12FE, ed17MC, ed17FC, ed17ME, ed17FE) for 204 peptides, using two-way clustering of the log2 ratio (Xclass-Xtot) between the group median value (Xclass) and the total median value for every peptide (Xtot). (B) One-way clustering of 38 identified peptides. Positive values are colored red, negative are green. (C) Principal component analysis using two components (C1, C2). The last letter(s) in the group definitions ed12/17XX stand for M = male, F = female, C = controls, E = ethinylestradiol exposed. # designates the peptide numbers in the data set.
single-phosphorylated CTFP isofoms were relatively similar (log2 ion intensity ~18-19) across all groups whereas the expression of the double-phosphorylated isofom was much lower (log2 ion intensity ~12-13).

**Discussion**

There is very little known about neuropeptide expres- 



Figure 2 PLS/DA on age and EE2 exposure using 204 quail peptides (A) Three-dimensional score plot for all observations using the first three components (explaining ~75% of the data) in a model on age and EE2 exposure effects. The PLS/DA model uses the dummy variables DA1 (ed12C, red color), DA2 (ed12E, green color), DA3 (ed17C, blue color), and DA4 (ed17E, black color). In (B), the second and third 



and supervised multivariate analysis and unsupervised hierarchical clustering. These methods demonstrated a clear age separation between ed12 and ed17 individuals. The fact that most identified peptides were more highly expressed in ed17 compared to ed12 was not represen- 



Overall, the data indicates that the ed12 quail diencephalon shows a more distinct EE2 sensitive and sex peptide expression pattern as compared to ed17 (Figure 1, Addi- 
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nature of the diencephalon and the large sex differences observed in birds on the mRNA level [10, 25, 26]. The mRNA differences are mainly based on gene dosage effects from the Z chromosomes (males ZZ; females ZW). Only one peptide (GRP) in our data was derived from a gene located on the Z chromosome, possibly explaining the absence of large scale sex differences. Interestingly, the sex chromosome Z derived GRP peptide was the top candidate for sex specific peptide expression and was significantly more highly expressed in males compared to females in both controls and EE2 exposed individuals. The absence of general sex differences was also unexpected in relation to the detection of candidates for the demasculinizing EE2 effects on the copulatory behavior in adult males following embryonic estrogen exposure [5, 27].

Most of the identified peptides were part of larger neuropeptide precursor proteins. Peptides derived from the same secretogranin-2 precursor displayed expression differences indicating peptide specific regulation. Both peptides were more highly expressed in ed17 but only SGKLSFLEDE was significantly affected over all groups (F-test statistics, adjusted p < 0.001) with a significant interaction effect and near significant EE2 treatment...
effect (p-value 0.062). The positioning of SGKLSFLEDE between basic amino acid pairs (KR and RK, Figure 5A) and its expression pattern compared to Secretoneurin indicates that it possesses a specific biological function and makes it a potential new avian neuropeptide candidate. Besides SGKLSFLEDE, two other forms (SGKLSFLE and SGKLSFLEDEM) were also detected, although not in all the samples. The latter, SGKLSFLEDEM is probably the complete peptide as it represents the complete sequence between the two cleavage sites in the precursor protein.

Previous studies have demonstrated that opioid peptides are involved in aggressive and sexual behaviors in adult Japanese quail [21,28] and also in the establishment of the male chicken embryonic HPG axis [12]. We detected a large number of proenkephalin precursor (PENK) derived peptides (Table 1, Additional file 4), although the majority were propeptides located in front of the opioid peptides. None of the identified opioid peptides (MERF or MERSL) differed significantly between groups except with regard to age. The detection of Met-Enkephalin (Met-Enk) and Leu-Enkephalin (Leu-Enk) propeptides indicates that Met-Enk and Leu-Enk also are expressed in the developing diencephalon. Their absence may be explained by the fact that their short sequences make it more difficult to detect them with LC-MS. The presence of the complete Leu-Enk propeptide (VGRPEWWLDYQ) in all groups and it

**Figure 5 Japanese quail secretogranins.** (A) Overview of avian secretogranin-2 (based on chicken sequence XP_422624) showing its granin protein domain and the position and multiple sequence alignment to other species for two identified peptides: TNEIEEQYTPQSL (Secretoneurin peptide) and SGKLSFLEDE. Bt is *Bos taurus*, Ss is *Sus scrofa*, Hs is *Homo sapiens*, Mm is *Mus musculus*, Gg is *Gallus gallus*, Xi is *Xenopus laevis*, and Dr is *Danio rerio*. (B) MS/MS fragmentation graph for SGKLSFLEDE in GPM browser. RI stands for relative intensity. **C**, Visualization of expression differences between the groups as calculated (*Xclass-Xtot*) for the hierarchical clustering in figure 1. The stars designate significance levels (**p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 and *p < 0.05) for the different factors in the model. **FDR adjusted p-value significance for F-test. Interaction effects were tested using Wald F-test. The last letters in the group definitions ed12/17XX stand for M = male, F = female, C = controls, E = ethinylestradiol exposed.
Figure 6 The preprotachykinin-1 peptides. SLNSGSSERSIAQNYE is similar to the C-terminal flanking peptide (CTFP) in mammalian protachykinin 1. Two previously unknown serine phosphorylations at positions S6 or S7 and S10 were discovered. (A) FTICR derived MS/MS spectra for single phosphorylated form (Mw 1820) at S6 or S7 and (B) S10 (Mw 1900.3). Amino acid sequence alignment (C) between chicken (continuous sequence in black color) and human (red text) preprotachykinin 1 precursor shows the differences between birds and mammals in the detected tachykinins, especially neuropeptide K (NPK). (D) Visualization of differences between the groups as calculated ($X_{class}-X_{tot}$) for the hierarchical clustering in figure 1. The stars designate significance levels (*** p < 0.001, ** p < 0.01 and * p < 0.05) for the different factors in the model. * FDR adjusted p-value significance for F-test. Interaction effects were tested using Wald F-test. The last letters in the group definitions ed12/17XX stand for M = male, F = female, C = controls, E = ethinylestradiol exposed.
being significantly affected by age and EE₂ exposure plus exhibiting interaction effects (Table 2) may indicate that Leu-Enk also is differentially expressed. Among the peptides derived from another large neuropeptide precursor protein, Preprotachykinin 1, we identified two previously unknown phosphorylations on the C-terminal flanking peptide (CTFP), on S6 or S7 (single phosphorylated; Figure 6A) and S10 (double phosphorylated with S6/7; Figure 6B). Quail SP possesses the same Arg for Lys substitution at the third position compared with humans as previously described in chicken [29], alligator [30] and Burmese python [31] whereas quail NKA is identical to chicken, alligator, Burmese python and human NKA [31]. NKA is the ten amino acid long C-terminal part of NPK (36 amino acids) in mammals. The LC-MS detection and identification of the truncated NPK sequence DAGYGQISH (Figure 6C) shows that quail has the same sequence deletion in its Protachykinin gene compared to mammals as seen in chicken. Antiseria against a mammalian NPK fragment which encompasses some of the chicken NPK sequence shows immunoreactivity in the developing chicken embryonic spinal cord which weakens after hatching [32]. The nine amino acid long quail NPK could work in a functionally inert pro-peptide fashion to NKA in quail considering that NPK is converted into NKA in mammals [33]. It is not clear if the truncated avian NPK has a biological function although it is indicative that it is the top candidate for influencing overall separation in the multivariate data-sets with regard to age and EE₂ exposure, especially at ed12 (Figures 2 and 3, Additional file 3) and becomes significantly downregulated in all groups after EE₂ exposure (Figure 4, Table 2). The different age dependent expression patterns seen in our data for NPK and NKA (NPK is unaffected by age whereas NKA is significantly upregulated) can also be interpreted as involvement in separate biological processes during diencephalon development. NPK is known to regulate gonadotropin secretion in the mammalian hypothalamus [34], and our data indicates that this may also be true for avian diencephalon development.

There were only few peptides exhibiting sex differences in expression, the top candidates being the GRP and GnIH-RP2 peptides. The first 17 amino acids of GRP was as previously mentioned the only ChrZ-derived peptide detected in our data and it was generally more highly expressed in males compared to females (in average 66%). GRP is an interesting candidate for sex specific brain development as expression of its receptor has been related to the layering of cells in the chick telencephalon, optical tectum and cerebellum [35]. The GnIH-RP2 peptide is part of the gonadotropin inhibitory hormone (GnIH) precursor protein (UniProt GNIIH_COTJA) whose main peptide (GnIH) inhibits gonadotropin release in quail and is strongly expressed in all avian species studied so far [36,37]. The GnIH-RP2 peptide was significantly differentially expressed between groups with a clear age effect from ed12 to ed17 (Figure 4, Table 2). It also displayed significant sex and interaction effects. It should be noted that the identified sequence (ARSSIQSLLNSQ) is actually somewhat longer than the calculated GnIH-RP2 sequence (SSIQSLLNLQRF) and does not posses the characteristic C-terminal RF-amide. The observed peptide may be part of a larger propeptide-GnIH-RP2 peptide sequence that has become degraded at both the N and C-terminal. The GnIH precursor protein contains a neuropeptide cleavage site (RxnR where n = 2, 4 or 6) [38] adjacent to a somewhat longer sequence (SPLARSSIQSLLNSQ) of the peptide in question (Table 2, Additional file 4). Unlike GnIH itself, there is little known about the role of GnIH-RP peptides. Although this may be the case, the overall expression pattern of this particular peptide implies a biological role in avian embryonic diencephalon development for GnIH-RP2. Both GnIH-RP peptides possess affinity for the GnIH receptor [39], indicating that GnIH-RP2 is involved in the regulation of gonadotropin release from the developing pituitary. NPK and GnIH-RP2 are both potential regulators of gonadotropin regulation during diencephalon development and the male HPG axis formation. The EE₂ effects on GnIH-RP2 expression are not very influential/statistical significant in either type of data analysis (multivariate or univariate) and therefore not conclusive. Still, the fact that EE₂ also seems to modulate GnIH-RP2 expression (Figure 4) is intriguing considering that the NPK expression is EE₂ sensitive. It could indicate that the male quail embryonic window of sensitivity (regarding adult male copulatory behavior) before and around ed12 to exogenous EE₂ exposure is partly influenced by an altered gonadotropin regulation and HPG axis formation.

It is important to note that this study is foremost of hypothesis generating nature. We have analyzed the expression of a large number of neuropeptides (including detailed amino acid sequence information) under different circumstances in the developing avian brain in a manner that is impractical or impossible for the more traditional immunoassay methods. Omics data is valuable when the experimental design includes several variables (in our case age, sex and EE₂ exposure corresponding to three variables or eight experimental groups) but requires at the same time an increasing number of samples and makes the analysis and presentation of the results more difficult. Further studies are needed to better analyze the role of the individual neuropeptides during development using for instance more data points for age or the addition of aromatase inhibitors to better deduce the impact of EE₂. The absence of neuropeptides such as vasotocin was for instance unexpected although this does not provide any conclusions on their presence or role in
embryonic brain development. The absence of proof is not the proof of absence. Peptides such as vasotocin contain two cysteine amino acids and can contain a disulfide bridge, a property that makes them more difficult to fragment and identify with MS/MS. Additional peptides could for instance be detectable in less hydrophilic sample preparation buffer settings than the weakly acidic 0.25% acetic acid buffer used in this study. Future peptidomics studies such as this study may provide even more data when other sample preparations strategies or additional mass spectrometry systems besides LTQ-MS are added.

Conclusion
To summarize, we report the first large scale neuropeptidomic analysis of the developing avian diencephalon at ed12 and ed17 in Japanese quail, a common model for studying sex-specific neural development. The peptidome was analyzed in relation to age, sex and EE2 treatment at ed3. Both age and EE2 treatment affected the quail peptidome, without any clear discernible influence of gender. A potential new avian neuropeptide candidate was discovered in the secretogranin-2 precursor protein and previously unknown phosphorylation sites in the protachykinin C-terminal flanking peptide identified. GnIH-RP2 and GRP are likely to be differentially expressed in a sex specific manner and NPK in an EE2 sensitive manner during embryonic brain development in Japanese quail. Our approach shows the strength of labeling-free LC-MS peptidomics and how to better characterize multiple known and unknown endogenous peptides in the developing organism.

Methods
Embryos and sample collection
Fertilized Japanese quail (*Coturnix coturnix japonica*) eggs were obtained from a local breeder. The eggs were incubated at 37.5°C and 60% relative humidity and turned every 3 h. On day three of incubation, eggs were injected with 300 ng ethinylestradiol (EE2) each. The EE2 was dissolved in an emulsion of peanut oil, lecithin, and water [40]. Controls received emulsion only. The holes in the shells were sealed with melted paraffin wax and the eggs were returned to the incubator. The diencephalon was excised as quickly as possible from embryonic brains on ed12 and ed17 and was placed in pre-weighed Eppendorf tubes. All samples were quickly frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80°C. These samples were then used for neuropeptidomic analysis. A tissue sample from each embryo was also collected for DNA isolation and genetic sexing according to a PCR-based method [41] in which intron sequences of different lengths in the W-linked gene CHD1W (females) and Z-linked gene CHD1Z (both sexes) are amplified. All animal experiments were approved by the local Ethics Committee for Animal Research, Uppsala, Sweden (reference number C 198/6).

Sample preparation
Each frozen tissue sample was weighed and then placed on aluminum foil and put into the Denator Stabilizer

| Run order | Sample Id | Age | Sex | C/T | Blocks |
|-----------|-----------|-----|-----|-----|--------|
| 1         | 52a       | Ed17| Female | C   | B1     |
| 2         | 65        | Ed17| Male  | T   |        |
| 3         | 30 *      | Ed12| Female | C   |        |
| 4         | 64        | Ed17| Female | T   |        |
| 5         | 10 *      | Ed12| Male  | T   |        |
| 6         | 27        | Ed12| Male  | C   |        |
| 7         | 4         | Ed12| Female | T   |        |
| 8         | 52b       | Ed17| Female | C   |        |
| 9         | 3         | Ed12| Male  | T   | B2     |
| 10        | 23        | Ed12| Male  | C   |        |
| 11        | 79        | Ed17| Male  | T   |        |
| 12        | 9         | Ed12| Female | T   |        |
| 13        | 48        | Ed17| Male  | C   |        |
| 14        | 78        | Ed17| Female | T   |        |
| 15        | 26        | Ed12| Female | C   |        |
| 16        | 54        | Ed17| Female | C   |        |
| 17        | 52c       | Ed17| Female | C   |        |
| 18        | 12        | Ed12| Male  | T   | B3     |
| 19        | 49        | Ed17| Male  | C   |        |
| 20        | 57        | Ed17| Female | C   |        |
| 21        | 24        | Ed12| Male  | C   |        |
| 22        | 19        | Ed12| Female | C   |        |
| 23        | 72        | Ed17| Male  | T   |        |
| 24        | 80        | Ed17| Female | T   |        |
| 25        | 2         | Ed12| Female | T   |        |
| 26        | 52d       | Ed17| Female | C   |        |
| 27        | 66        | Ed17| Male  | T   | B4     |
| 28        | 6         | Ed12| Male  | T   |        |
| 29        | 74        | Ed17| Female | T   |        |
| 30        | 29        | Ed12| Male  | C   |        |
| 31        | 21        | Ed12| Female | C   |        |
| 32        | 55        | Ed17| Female | C   |        |
| 33        | 51        | Ed17| Male  | C   |        |
| 34        | 7         | Ed12| Female | T   |        |
| 35        | 52e       | Ed17| Female | C   |        |
| 36        | 1         | Ed12| Male  | T   | B5     |
| 37        | 68        | Ed17| Female | T   |        |
| 38        | 56        | Ed17| Male  | C   |        |
| 39        | 62 ^*     | Ed17| Female | C   |        |
| 40        | 22        | Ed12| Female | C   |        |
| 41        | 25        | Ed12| Male  | C   |        |
| 42        | 52f       | Ed17| Female | C   |        |

* Samples that subsequently were removed as outliers.
instrument (prototype acquired from Denator Biotechnology, Gothenburg, Sweden) for heat denaturation for 45 seconds to protect the samples from post mortem protein degradation [42]. The order for denaturation of the samples was randomized. The tissue samples were then transferred to low-retention Eppendorf tubes and suspended in pre-chilled extraction solution (0.25% acetic acid; 0.2 mg tissue/μl) and homogenized by sonication (Vibra cell 750, Sonics & Materials Inc., Newtown, CT, USA) for 30 seconds [22,23]. Each sample suspension was then centrifuged at 20,000 g for 30 minutes at 4°C to remove insoluble material. The supernatant was transferred to Microcon 10 kDa cut-off spin columns (YM-10, Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA) and centrifuged for 45 minutes at 14,000 g at 4°C. The resulting peptide filtrate was then frozen at -80°C until analysis.

**Experimental design**

All samples were run in a complete randomized block fashion with a total of five blocks (Table 3). Each block included replicates of the same sample (Q52) that was run repeatedly (six times, designated 52a-f). This resulted in a total of 42 runs, using 37 unique samples.

**MS analysis**

Five μl of each sample was desalted on a Nano-Precol-column (LC Packings, Amsterdam, Netherlands) using Ettan MDLC (GE Healthcare). The peptides were then separated by a 40 minutes long run with a gradient from 3 to 80% acetonitrile in 0.25% acetic acid; 0.2 mg tissue/μl and homogenized by sonication (Vibra cell 750, Sonics & Materials Inc., Newtown, CT, USA) for 30 seconds [22,23]. Each sample suspension was then centrifuged at 20,000 g for 30 minutes at 4°C to remove insoluble material. The supernatant was transferred to Microcon 10 kDa cut-off spin columns (YM-10, Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA) and centrifuged for 45 minutes at 14,000 g at 4°C. The resulting peptide filtrate was then frozen at -80°C until analysis.

The raw LTQ-MS data was converted to dta files by Xcalibur 1.4 SRI and assembled by an in-house developed script to Mascot generic files. These files were searched against downloaded peptide rodent databases from the SwePep endogenous peptide database [45] and a precleaved chicken protein database derived from the NCBI RefSeq database. The pre-cleavage was performed as described by Fälth et al [24]. Estimation of false positives was conducted by searching all spectra against reversed databases [24]. Searches using Mascot [46] and X! Tandem [47] (included in the Global Proteome Manager, GPM) against the previously mentioned databases were performed while checking for potential modifications (M oxidation, C-terminal amidation, Q/N deamidation, STY phosphorylations and N-terminal acetylation). Fragment mass error tolerance for both X! Tandem and Mascot was set to ± 1.5 Da and the parent mass error was set to ± 1.5 Da. Two phosphorylated peptides were detected and characterized with FTICR MS and Mascot as described above.

**LC-MS image analysis**

All LTQ-data files were imported into the DeCyder software (version 2.0) for peak detection (PepDetect) and spot matching between samples (PepMatch). PepDetect parameters for peak detection were "Typical peak width: 0.2 min", “Ion-trap mass resolution: 0.7 u”, “charge states: 1-10”. All files were background corrected using a smooth surface model. Identities from the Mascot and X! Tandem searches were incorporated into the DeCyder image files. Peaks that were not present after the initial DeCyder spot detection were added manually for all files.

**Removal of outliers**

MS-data file outliers (see also table 3) were visually detected by the presence of increased protein degradation (Q62), i.e., more peptide peaks, or general low ion
intensity (Q10 and Q30), the later by PCA analysis (see below). Removal of sample outliers left 39 MS-data files, of which six were technical replicates (ed12 female control; Q52a-Q52f) to each other, corresponding to 34 unique samples.

Data normalization and analysis
Sample Q62 was removed from the data set before data normalization and analysis due to increased degradation. Ion intensity peptide match data was then exported for further data analysis. The resulting data was log2 transformed. In order to correct for global intensity differences between peptide runs the data was normalized in two steps as previously described [48]. A linear regression was fitted for each individual run to a median run that were constructed of all median peptide values for peptides that were matched in > 50% of all runs. Based on the linear regression equation new values were predicted for each run. A locally-weighted polynomial regression (Lowess) was then fitted for each matched peptide against the run order and the mean value across all runs were added to retain the native intensity dimension. For each matched peptide a proportion of 0.5 neighbors (runs), weighted by their distance to the measurement, were used for controlling the smoothness of the fit.

The data was grouped into eight groups: ed12 male controls (ed12MC, n = 5), ed12 female controls (ed12FC, n = 5), ed12 male EE2 (ed12ME, n = 5), ed12 female EE2 (ed12FE, n = 4), ed17 male controls (ed17MC, n = 4), ed17 female controls (ed17FC, n = 4), ed17 male EE2 (ed17ME, n = 4), and ed17 female EE2 (ed17FE, n = 5) (Table 4). A minimum matching cut-off (max one missing file per group) resulted in 204 peptides. All 204 peptides had been manually inspected to control for mismatching. An unsupervised multivariate analysis by principal component analysis (PCA) using the SIMCA-P software (version 12, Umetrics AB, Umeå, Sweden) indicated previously mentioned Q10 (ed12ME) and Q30 (ed12FC) to be outliers which led them to be removed. Characterization of normalized log2 peptide ion intensities was performed by hierarchical clustering in the PermutMatrix program [49] using complete linkage and Euclidian distance as the distance metric. To better visualize age differences, the median value from the log2 ion intensities for each peptide and group ($x_{class}$; ed12MC, ed12FC, ed12ME, ed12FE, ed17MC, ed17FC) was calculated and compared to the total average ($x_{tot}$) ion intensity for each peptide ($x_{class}$-$x_{tot}$). A PCA model with two principal components (C1-C2) explained ~42% of the variation in the data (R2X = 0.421; Q2X = 0.298), Eigen values for the components being 11 (C1), 3.33 (C2) (Additional file 1). No patterning was discovered for sex, leaving age and EE2 (corresponding to four classes in the data; combined male and female ed12C, ed12E, ed17C and ed17E) as parameters for further supervised multivariate analysis. An optimized class separation based on the two variables of age and EE2 (corresponding to four classes: ed12C, ed12E, ed17C and ed17E) exposure was conducted by means of Partial Least Square Discriminant Analysis (PLS/DA). The age & EE2 PLS/DA resulted in a model with three components (DC1-3; Eigen values 11, 2.62 and 2.12) explaining ~72% of the variation in the data (R2X = 0.464; Q2X = 0.367 and R2Y = 0.722, Additional file 2). See also additional file 3 for additional information. The most influential peptides for the separation of the four classes were identified by calculating the VIP (variable importance in the projection). Peptides with large VIP, larger than 1, are the most relevant for explaining the separation. Confidence intervals for VIP were derived from jack knifing. Both PCA and PLS/DA models were optimized using Q2 cross validation scores and had the Hotelling’s $T^2$ tolerance region set at 95% and the DmodX critical distance (Dcrit) set to 0.05. The median log2 ion intensity value for each peptide from the six technical replicates (Q52a-Q52f) was used for both PCA and PLS/DA analysis.

To test for differences in peptide expression between groups (not including outlier samples Q10, Q30 and Q62) and using the median value of the six technical

Table 4 Experimental groups and PLS/DA classes for age and EE2 exposure

| Experimental groups | No Individuals$^1$ | PLS/DA classes$^2$ |
|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| ed12 male controls (ed12MC) | 5 | ed12C |
| ed12 female controls (ed12FC) | 4 | ed12E |
| ed12 male EE2 (ed12ME) | 4 | ed17C |
| ed12 female EE2 (ed12FE) | 4 | ed17E |
| ed17 male controls (ed17MC) | 4 | |
| ed17 female controls (ed17FC) | 4 | |
| ed17 male EE2 (ed17ME) | 4 | |
| ed17 female EE2 (ed17FE) | 5 | |

$^1$ Not including technical replicates, and outliers Q62, Q10 and Q30

$^2$ Partial Least Square Discriminant Analysis
replicates (Q52a-Q52f)) a linear model was employed estimating the effects of the fixed effects age, sex and treatment. The linear model used was:

\[ y_{ijk} = \mu + A_i + S_j + T_k + (AS)_{ij} + (AT)_{ik} + (ST)_{jk} + (AST)_{ijk} + \varepsilon_{ijkl} \]

where \( \mu \) was the average peptide expression, \( A_i \) represented the age factor (\( i = 12,17 \)), \( S_j \) the sex factor (\( j = M, F \)), \( T_k \) the treatment factor (\( k = C, T \)) and \( \varepsilon_{ijkl} \) the error term. The interaction factors and \( \varepsilon_{ijkl} \) was the NID(0, \( \sigma^2 \)) error component. Marginal sum of squares were calculated for main effects and interaction effects. An F-test was performed to test the significance of the model and the p-values were adjusted for multiple testing [50]. The joint significance of all interaction terms was tested using Wald F-test. Least squares means for statistical significant (\( p < 0.05 \)) main effects were calculated (see additional file 4). For pair wise t-testing, three levels of statistical significance were used: \( p < 0.05 \) (*), \( p < 0.01 \) (**), \( p < 0.001 \) (***). See Additional file 5). The nlme [51] library available in the R [52] software was used for the statistical analysis. Follow up comparative sequence analysis for specific peptides was done using BLAST 2 sequences alignment and ClustalW.

**Additional file 1:** PCA images. PCA of peptide data with associated Eigen values, and SIMCA Q2 prediction values (cumulative and component specific). Shows how additional principal components relate to the first two in predictive power.

**Additional file 2:** PLS/DA goodness of prediction (Q2X) plot for age and EE2 effects. PLS/DA data including Eigen values, and SIMCA Q2 prediction values (cumulative and component specific). Shows how additional principal components relate to the first three in predictive power. Click here for file.

**Additional file 3:** Ed12 PCA, PLS/DA and Coomans’ plots for EE2 effects. Data on how EE2 exposure influences the separation of samples at ed12 and ed17. A, Coomans’ plots based on ed12 and ed17 PCA models indicate that ed12 is associated with a more distinct EE2 effect. B, C, D, PLS/DA models of ed12 and ed17 also indicate that ed12 is more distinct in its EE2 effects and that the top candidate peptide influencing ed12 EE2 effects is NPK. Click here for file.

**Additional file 4:** Preproenkephalin and GnIH-RP2 peptides. Alignment of identified Japanese quail peptide sequences to precursor protein sequences for Preproenkephalin and GnIH and annotation of likely neuropeptide cleavage sites. Click here for file.

**Additional file 5:** F-statistics. Table with statistic data values. Click here for file.

**Abbreviations**

Ct: Principal component 1; C2: Principal component 2; CRMP-2: Collapsin response mediator protein-2; CTFP: C-terminal flanking peptide; DA: discriminant analysis (variable); DC1: PLS/DA component 1; DC2: PLS/DA component 2; DC3: PLS/DA component 3; ed: embryonic day; ed12: embryonic day 12, ed17: embryonic day 17, ed12C: embryonic day 12 controls, males and females; ed12E: embryonic day 12 ethinylestradiol exposed, males and females; ed17C: embryonic day 17 controls, males and females; ed17E: embryonic day 17 ethinylestradiol exposed, males and females; ed12MC: embryonic day 12 male controls, ed12ME: embryonic day 12 male ethinylestradiol exposed, ed17MC: embryonic day 17 male controls, ed17ME: embryonic day 17 male ethinylestradiol exposed, ed17FC: embryonic day 17 female controls; ed17FE: embryonic day 17 female ethinylestradiol exposed; EE2: Ethinylestradiol, FTICR-MS: Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometer, GnIH: Gonadotropin inhibitory hormone, GnIH-RP2: Gonadotropin inhibitory hormone related peptide 2; GRP: Gastrin releasing peptide; HPG: hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal; LC-MS: Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry; LTQ-MS: Linear ion trap mass spectrometer; MERSL: Met-enkephalin-Arg-Phe; MERSL: Met-enkephalin-Arg-Ser-Leu; NKA: Neurokinin A; NPK: Neuropeptide K; PCA: Principal component analysis; PENVK: Preproenkephalin A; PLS/DA: Partial Least Square Discriminant Analysis; PNC: Preproencoicotin, PPT: Preprotachykinin, SCG1: Secretogranin 1; SCG2: Secretogranin 2; SCG5: Secretogranin 5; SP: Substance P; VIP: Variable importance in the projection.
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