INTRODUCTION

Propofol (2,6-diisopropylphenol) is a substituted isopropylphenol compound that causes a depression in consciousness.1 Propofol is thought to be a relatively safe intravenous anesthetic, and rare cases of anaphylaxis following propofol administration have been reported in the medical literature. Documentation of anaphylaxis is often lacking because the cause and effect relationship is often hard to prove. Only a minority of patients get referred for allergy testing to confirm the offending drug. Here we report a 74-year-old woman who had an anaphylactic reaction with severe oropharyngeal edema and bronchospasm for a few minutes after receiving propofol during endoscopic examination. An allergy skin test was positive for both propofol and soybean. Soybean in the intralipid is one component of propofol, and we concluded that this anaphylaxis was caused by soybean.
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CASE REPORT

A previously healthy 74-year-old woman, 143 cm in height and 49 kg in weight, visited our hospital to undergo esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) for a check-up. She experienced abdominal discomfort to soybean without skin lesion and asthma, but she had no other remarkable medical history including allergy. An EGD procedure using 15 mg propofol was performed twice in this patient prior to this admission without any side effects. Her initial blood pressure (BP) was 130/70 mmHg and heart rate was regular, ranging from 80 to 90 beats per minute. We did not use lidocaine for oropharyngeal anesthesia, and all of the medical team involved in her exam used vinyl gloves. Under standard monitoring, sedation was induced with 15 mg intravenous propofol (Propofol Inj., Jeil Pharm, Seoul, Korea). The patient was then sedated and we began the exam. Approximately 1 minute following administration of intravenous propofol, stridor was heard and oxygen saturation fell to 56% on pulse oximetry. We immediately applied inhaled oxygen (6 L/min) via nasal prong, but oxygen saturation did not increase. We decided to stop the exam, and tried to remove the endoscope. However, the endoscope became stuck in her throat. Thus, we removed the endoscope by compulsion. Ten seconds after endoscope removal, severe wheezing was heard and her oxygen saturation fell to 56%. The larynx was observed using a laryngoscope for endotracheal intubation. At that time, marked swelling and severe edema of the epiglottis extending to the arytenoids cartilage was detected. Epinephrine (1 mg) was im-
mediatedly administered subcutaneously, together with 125 mg intravenous methylprednisolone infusion. Because the patient’s symptoms did not improve after 1 minute, 1 mg epinephrine was administered intravenously. After a further 1 minute, her oxygen saturation recovered to 98% and the wheezing subsided. The patient’s BP never went below 130/70 mmHg during the event. She recovered smoothly from anesthesia without signs of airway obstruction and was transferred to the general ward. Blood samples were drawn immediately after the event. Tryptase level (normal range, 0-13.5 μg/L) was 4.65 μg/L at 5 minutes and 6.61 μg/L at 2 hours. Arterial blood gas analysis showed pH 7.404, pCO₂ 42.5 mmHg, pO₂ 119.6 mmHg, HCO₃⁻ 26 mEq/L, and SaO₂ 98.3%. Total IgE was 111 kU/L. The patient underwent skin-prick testing 14 days after the event. The skin-prick tests of 55 common inhalant allergens were negative. How did she develop IgE-mediated anaphylaxis?

The formulation of propofol as well as its active component contain soybean oil. Soy allergy is one of the most common food allergies in childhood, affecting approximately 0.4% of preschool children. It is considered an early-onset food allergy with most patients developing soy tolerance by late childhood. Soy allergy is occasionally present during adulthood. Refined soy oil, such as that present in propofol, is safe for people with soy allergy because the allergenic proteins are removed during the refining process. Thus, it is unlikely that the soy oil present in propofol will induce allergy, as the dose of protein contained in refined soy oil is too small to provoke a reaction. The few documented IgE-mediated anaphylactic reactions to propofol have been shown to be elicited by the isopropyl or phenol groups rather than the lipid vehicle.

Although IgE-mediated anaphylactic reactions to propofol are rare, the incidence of allergic reaction during anesthesia is in the range of 1:10,000 to 1:20,000, and 1.2% of cases of perioperative anaphylactic shock are attributable to propofol. For this reason, the product was reformulated as an emulsion containing soybean oil and purified egg phosphatide (extracted from egg yolk) to reduce allergenicity. Propofol allergy is often attributed to the presence of a diisopropyl side chain or phenol group or soybean rather than to egg allergy. Allergic reactions to propofol on first exposure are usually due to the isopropyl groups that may act as epitopes and that are present in various medications and cosmetics. Allergic reactions to propofol upon re-exposure are usually due to the phenol molecule.

In Korea, Shin et al. and Chung et al. reported anaphylaxis after exposure to propofol, but they could not determine which component caused the anaphylaxis, and their patients experienced anaphylaxis after first propofol exposure. In this case, we proved through skin-prick testing, without increasing tryptase level, that the soybean component of propofol was an important cause of anaphylaxis during an anesthetic procedure. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first case report of anaphylaxis after re-exposure to propofol in Korea. Referral of these cases to an allergy clinic is important for subsequent anesthetic exposure.
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