Quantum properties of counter-propagating two-photon states generated in a planar waveguide.
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A nonlinear planar waveguide pumped by a beam orthogonal to its surface may serve as a versatile source of photon pairs. Changing pump-pulse duration, pump-beam transverse width, and angular decomposition of pump-beam frequencies characteristics of a photon pair including spectral widths of signal and idler fields, their time durations as well as degree of entanglement of two fields can be changed significantly. Using the measured spectral widths of the down-converted fields and width of a coincidence-count dip in a Hong-Ou-Mandel interferometer entropy of entanglement can be determined.

PACS numbers: 42.50.Dv Quantum optics, 42.65.Wi Nonlinear waveguides, 42.65.Lm Parametric down-conversion and production of entangled photons

I. INTRODUCTION

The process of spontaneous parametric down-conversion as a source of entangled photon pairs has been used in numerous experiments during the last twenty years. The physicists went through a long way from the first pioneering experiments showing basic properties of entangled photon pairs [spectral (temporal) and polarization correlations of photons comprising a pair, see, e.g. 1, 2] to the recent sophisticated experimental setups that demonstrate quantum teleportation 2, quantum cloning 4, test Bell and other nonclassical inequalities 5, 6, or generate Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger states 7. Usefulness of the fragile entangled photon pairs has also been verified in applications like quantum cryptography 8 and absolute metrology 9 to name a few.

Also sources of photon pairs have been improved significantly. Various geometric configurations of usual nonlinear crystals (see, e.g., in 10, 11) are gradually being replaced by new more efficient sources based on quasi-phase-matching 12, 13, 14, 15. Nonlinear photonic-band-gap fibers seem to be extraordinarily interesting as sources of photon pairs (emerging in the process of four-wave mixing) due to a high effective nonlinearity 16, 17. Nonlinear layered structures as sources of photon pairs are under investigation at present 18, 19.

A great deal of attention has been devoted to the generation of two-photon states with specific spectral properties in bulk materials. Entangled two-photon states with coincident frequencies have been obtained using extended phase-matching conditions, i.e. assuming group-velocity phase matching on the top of the usual phase matching 20, 21, 22. Such states have perfect visibility in the usual interferometric setups and moreover allow a very precise clock synchronization 22. Spectrally uncorrelated two-photon states have also been studied extensively because they seem to be extraordinarily useful for linear quantum computation that needs indistinguishable photons with a perfect time synchronization 23, 24. Although such states can be generated from usual bulk crystals for suitable crystal length and pump-beam waist in non-collinear configurations 26, 27, more flexible approaches have been suggested in 24 exploiting phase-matching in the transverse plane. Both coincident-frequency entangled and unentangled two-photon states can be obtained in a nonlinear crystal with achronic phase matching, i.e. when pump-beam frequencies are decomposed such that every frequency propagates along a slightly different angle 28, 29. Also the so-called nonlinear crystal superlattices, i.e. structures composed of several identical pieces of nonlinear material and spacers, have provided additional degrees of freedom for tailoring properties of the generated two-photon states 30, 31. Results appropriate for photonic-band gap structures 32 are reached for a higher number of nonlinear pieces.

Also planar nonlinear structures are promising as sources of entangled photon pairs because, using specific geometric configurations, they provide large possibilities for tailoring properties of the generated photon pairs. One of the most perspective configurations (suggested and elaborated in 33, 34, 35, 36) is based upon pumping a planar waveguide by a beam perpendicular to its surface. Signal and idler photons then emerge as counter-propagating guided waves. Disadvantage of this configuration is that the pump beam propagates through a very thin nonlinear medium which thickness is given by the depth of the waveguide. In order to suppress destructive interference in the three-wave nonlinear process this thickness has to be of the order of pump-field wavelength. Thus, very low generation rates have to be expected. To cope with a low efficiency of the nonlinear process more sophisticated structures have been suggested 37, 38. They use Bragg mirrors both above and below the waveguide. Their properties are chosen such that the pump-beam electric-field amplitude is maximally enhanced inside the nonlinear waveguide. This may result in enhancement of the efficiency by several orders of magnitude. The first experimental demonstration of
this source has already been reported in \cite{39}. The use of pump pulses with frequencies propagating along different angles brings even more flexibility and so photon pairs with an arbitrary shape of a two-photon spectral amplitude can be generated \cite{40}.

As shown in this paper using a simple model of planar waveguide with parabolic index of refraction \cite{33,41}, properties of photon pairs (spectral and temporal widths of the down-converted fields and entanglement) generated from this type of geometry can be modified in broad ranges simply by changing parameters of the pump beam (pump-pulse duration, pump-beam transverse width, angular decomposition of pump-beam frequencies). Spectral widths of the down-converted fields can spread from circa 1 nm up to several tens of nm. Spectrally uncorrelated (separable) states as well as strongly entangled states can be observed. A method for the determination of entropy of entanglement from the measured signal- and idler-field intensity spectra and width of the coincidence-count pattern in a Hong-Ou-Mandel interferometer is also suggested.

The paper is organized as follows. Sec. II is devoted to the determination of a two-photon spectral amplitude of the generated photon pair. This amplitude is later used to derive spectral (Sec. III) and temporal (Sec. IV) properties of the down-converted fields, and characterize entanglement of the signal and idler fields (Sec. V). Experimental determination of entropy of entanglement is discussed in Sec. VI. Conclusions are drawn in Sec. VII. Appendix A contains general formulas describing properties of photon pairs. Appendix B is devoted to Schmidt decomposition of a two-photon spectral amplitude.

II. TWO-PHOTON SPECTRAL AMPLITUDE OF A PHOTON PAIR GENERATED FROM A WAVEGUIDE

We consider the generation of a photon-pair into guided modes of a planar waveguide made of LiNbO$_3$ that is pumped by a travelling-wave pump beam at the wavelength $\lambda_p = 1.064 \times 10^{-6}$ m that propagates under the central angle $\theta_0^p$ with respect to the $x$ axis orthogonal to the surface (see Fig. 1). The pump beam is assumed not to be cross spectrally pure in general, i.e. different pump-beam frequencies can propagate under different propagation angles. The guided signal and idler fields then form counter-propagating beams. For simplicity, we pay attention to the waveguide with a parabolic profile of index of refraction $n(x)$, $n(x)^2 = n_0^2(1 - \alpha^2 x^2)$ ($\alpha$ is parameter of the waveguide) that supports only TE-guided modes with a gaussian profile.

Energy of the nonlinear interaction that produces photon pairs is described by Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$:

$$\hat{H}(t) = \epsilon_0 d \int dV \left[ E_p^{(+)}(r,t) E_i^{(-)}(r,t) + \text{h.c.} \right],$$

where $E_p^{(+)}$ is positive-frequency part of the pump-beam electric-field amplitude and $E_i^{(-)}$ ($E_i^{(-)}$) stands for negative-frequency part of the signal- (idler-) beam electric-field amplitude operator. Symbol $\epsilon_0$ denotes permittivity of vacuum, $d$ is effective second-order nonlinear coefficient, and h.c. means a hermitian conjugated term. Integration in Eq. (1) is over interaction volume $V$. In the considered waveguide, positive-frequency parts of the signal- and idler-beam electric-field amplitude operators $E_s^{(+)}$ and $E_i^{(+)}$ can be decomposed as $|E_p^{(+)}(r,t)|^2 = |E_p^{(-)}(r,t)|^2$:

$$E_a^{(+)}(r,t) = \int d\omega_a e_a(r,\omega_a) \hat{a}_a(\omega_a),$$

$$e_a(r,\omega_a) = C_a(\omega_a) \text{rect}_{-L_y/2,L_y/2}(y) \times \exp(-\gamma_a^2 x^2) \exp(\pm i \beta_a z) \exp(-i \omega_a t),$$

$$|C_a(\omega_a)|^2 = \frac{h \omega_a \gamma_a}{2 \sqrt{\pi} \epsilon_0 n_0(\omega_a) c L_y}, \quad a = s, i;$$

symbol $\hat{a}_a(\omega_a)$ denotes annihilation operator of a mode with frequency $\omega_a$ in field $a$. The sign $+$ ($-$) in the second relation in Eq. (2) is for the signal (idler) field that propagates along the $+z$ ($-z$) axis. In Eq. (2), $n_0(\omega_a)$ is index of refraction of field $a$ with frequency $\omega_a$, $c$ means speed of light in vacuum, $h$ reduced Planck constant, $L_y$ width of the waveguide along the $y$ axis where a rectangular profile is assumed, and $\gamma_a(\omega_a) = \sqrt{n_0(\omega_a) \omega_a \alpha/c}$. Function $\text{rect}_{a,b}(x)$ equals 1 for $a < x < b$ and is zero otherwise. Normalization constant $C_a$ in Eq. (2) has been determined from the condition that a photon emitted into a guided mode has energy $\hbar \omega_a$:

$$2 \epsilon_0 \gamma_a^2(\omega_a) \int dV |e_a(r,\omega_a)|^2 = \hbar \omega_a, \quad a = s, i.$$
\(c / n_0 (\omega_0^0)\) along the \(z\) axis when determining constant \(C_a\) in Eq. (2).

Propagation constant \(\beta_a\) of field \(a\) along the \(z\) axis is given as follows (for details, see [41]):

\[
\beta_a(\omega_a) = \frac{\omega_0(\omega_a) a_0}{c} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\alpha c}{n_0(\omega_a) a_0}}. \tag{4}
\]

It can be approximately expressed as:

\[
\beta_a(\omega_a) = \beta_a^0 + \frac{\omega_a - \omega_a^0}{v_a}, \quad \beta_a^0 = \beta_a(\omega_a^0), \quad \frac{1}{v_a} = \frac{d\beta_a}{d\omega_a}_{|\omega_a=\omega_a^0}, \tag{5}
\]

where \(v_a\) denotes group velocity of field \(a\).

The travelling-wave pump beam with central frequency \(\omega_a^0\) and propagating along central angle \(\theta_a^0\) is assumed to have a gaussian profile along the \(y\) and \(z\) axes characterized by widths \(Y_p\) and \(Z_p\), respectively, and is also gaussian in the time domain with pump-pulse duration \(\tau_p\) and chirp parameter \(\alpha_p\). Different monochromatic components of the pump beam can propagate along different angles \(\theta_p(\omega_p)\), e.g. as a consequence of pump-beam reflection on an optical grating or after propagation through a prism. The pump-beam positive-frequency electric-field amplitude \(E_{p+}(r,t)\) can be written in the form:

\[
E_{p+}^{(+)}(r,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int d\omega_p E_{p+}^{(+)}(r, \omega_p) \exp(-i \omega_p t),
\]

\[
E_{p+}^{(+)}(r, \omega_p) = C_p(\omega_p) \exp(-\frac{z^2}{2Z_p^2}) \exp(-\frac{y^2}{2Y_p^2}) \times \exp[i k_p \sin(\theta_p(\omega_p)) z] \exp[-i k_p \cos(\theta_p(\omega_p)) y] \times \exp\left[-\frac{\tau_p^2}{4} (\omega_p - \omega_a^0)^2\right],
\]

\[
|C_p(\omega_p)|^2 = \frac{\tau_p}{\sqrt{2\pi} \epsilon_0 n_0^2(\omega_p) Y_p^2 Z_p (1 + a_p^2)} \frac{P_p}{v_p \cos(\theta_p(\omega_p)) f}; \tag{6}
\]

where \(g_a = k_p \cos(\theta_p) / 2\)

\[
\phi(\omega_s, \omega_i) = f_{2s} \Delta \omega_s^2 + f_{2i} \Delta \omega_i^2 + f_{2si} \Delta \omega_s \Delta \omega_i + f_{1s} \Delta \omega_s + f_{1i} \Delta \omega_i + f_0. \tag{9}
\]

Coefficients \(f\) occurring in Eq. (9) are expressed as follows:

\[
f_{2a} = \frac{\tau_p^2}{4(1 + i a_p^2)} + \frac{V_p^2 Z_p^2}{4} + \frac{1}{\sigma_a^2} + G_a,
\]

\[
G_a = \frac{k_p \cos(\theta_p)}{2} \left(\frac{k_p \cos(\theta_p) g_{2a}}{v_p} + 2 \frac{\cos(\theta_p)}{v_p} - k_p \sin(\theta_p) D_{\theta_p} \right) g_{1a},
\]

\[
+ 2 \frac{\cos(\theta_p)}{v_p} - k_p \sin(\theta_p) D_{\theta_p} g_{1a},
\]

\[
- \left(\frac{k_p \cos(\theta_p)}{v_p} - 4 \sin(\theta_p) \right) D_{\theta_p} g_{0},
\]

\[
\]

\[
f_{2si} = \frac{\tau_p^2}{2(1 + i a_p^2)} + \frac{V_p^2 Z_p^2}{2} + \frac{1}{\sigma_s^2} + G_{si},
\]

\[
G_{si} = \frac{k_p \cos(\theta_p)}{2} \left(\frac{k_p \cos(\theta_p) g_{2si}}{v_p} + 2 \frac{\cos(\theta_p)}{v_p} - k_p \sin(\theta_p) D_{\theta_p} \right) (g_{1s} + g_{1i}),
\]

\[
+ 2 \frac{\cos(\theta_p)}{v_p} - 4 \sin(\theta_p) \right) D_{\theta_p} g_{0},
\]

where \(v_p\) is pump-field group velocity \([k_p(\omega_p) = k_p^0 + (\omega_p - \omega_p^0)/v_p, k_p^0 = k_p(\omega_p^0), 1/v_p = dk_p/d\omega_p]|_{\omega_p=\omega_p^0}; P_p\) pump-field power, and \(f\) denotes repetition rate of the pulsed pump field.

First-order perturbation solution of the Schrödinger equation using Hamiltonian \(H(t)\) given in Eq. (1) and assuming the incident signal and idler fields in vacuum states provides the following expression for an outgoing two-photon state \(|\psi^{(1)}\rangle\):

\[
|\psi^{(2)}\rangle = \int dw_s \int dw_i \Phi^{1p}(\omega_s, \omega_i) a_s^\dagger(\omega_s) a_i^\dagger(\omega_i)|\text{vac}\rangle. \tag{7}
\]

Two-photon spectral amplitude \(\Phi^{1p}(\omega_s, \omega_i)\) giving the probability amplitude of having a signal photon at frequency \(\omega_s\) and an idler photon at frequency \(\omega_i\) generated from one pump pulse is derived in the form:

\[
\Phi^{1p}(\omega_s, \omega_i) = -i \sqrt{2\pi} \frac{a_0^d}{\hbar} C_p(\omega_s + \omega_i) C_p^*(\omega_s) C_s^*(\omega_i) \times \frac{Y_p Z_p}{\sqrt{\gamma_s^2 + \gamma_i}} \operatorname{erf} \left( \frac{L_p}{2Y_p} \right) \left[ -\frac{\tau_p^2 (\omega_s + \omega_i - \omega_0^0)^2}{4(1 + i a_p)} \right] \times \exp \left[ -\frac{Z_p^2 |k_p \sin(\theta_p(\omega_s + \omega_i)) - \beta_s + \beta_i|^2}{4} \right] \times \exp \left[ -\frac{k_p \cos(\theta_p(\omega_s + \omega_i))}{2(\gamma_s^2 + \gamma_i^2)} \right]. \tag{8}
\]

symbol \(\operatorname{erf}\) stands for error function \(\operatorname{erf}(x) = 2/\sqrt{\pi} \int_0^x \exp(-y^2) dy\).

Using first-order Taylor expansions for propagation constants \(k_p, \beta_s, \beta_i\) and \(\beta_i\) as well as for propagation angle \(\theta_p\) together with second-order Taylor expansion for the expression \(1/(\gamma_s^2 + \gamma_i^2) \approx 0 + g_{1s} \Delta \omega_s + g_{1i} \Delta \omega_i + g_{2s} \Delta \omega_s^2 + g_{2i} \Delta \omega_i^2 + g_{2si} \Delta \omega_s \Delta \omega_i + \Delta \omega_s = \omega_s - \omega_s^0, a = s, i\) we arrive at a two-photon spectral amplitude \(\Phi\) giving contribution from \(f\) pump pulses and having the following gaussian form:

\[
\Phi(\omega_s, \omega_i) = C_p \sqrt{\frac{Z_p \tau_p}{1 + a_p^2}} \exp[-\phi(\omega_s, \omega_i)],
\]

\[
\phi(\omega_s, \omega_i) = f_{2s} \Delta \omega_s^2 + f_{2i} \Delta \omega_i^2 + f_{2si} \Delta \omega_s \Delta \omega_i + f_{1s} \Delta \omega_s + f_{1i} \Delta \omega_i + f_0. \tag{9}
\]
\[ -\frac{k_p^0 \cos(2\theta_p^0)}{\cos(\theta_p^0)} \frac{\sigma_p^2}{2} \left( g_0 \right), \]
\[ a = s, i, \]

\[ f_{1a} = k_p^0 \cos(\theta_p^0) \left( \frac{k_p^0 \cos(\theta_p^0)}{2} g_{1a} \right) + \left[ \cos(\theta_p^0) \nu_p - k_p^0 \sin(\theta_p^0) \bar{D}_{\theta_p} \right] g_0, \quad a = s, i, \]

\[ f_0 = \frac{[k_p^0 \cos(\theta_p^0)]^2}{2} g_0, \] (10)

and

\[ V_{ps} = \frac{\sin(\theta_p^0)}{v_p} + k_p^0 \cos(\theta_p^0) \bar{D}_{\theta_p} - \frac{1}{v_s}, \]
\[ V_{pi} = \frac{\sin(\theta_p^0)}{v_p} + k_p^0 \cos(\theta_p^0) \bar{D}_{\theta_p} + \frac{1}{v_i}. \] (11)

Coefficient \( \bar{D}_{\theta_p} \) describes angular decomposition of pump-beam frequencies; \( \bar{D}_{\theta_p} = d\theta_p(\omega_p)/d\omega_p|_{\omega_p=\omega_p^0}; \)
\( \bar{D}_{\theta_p} = \bar{D}_{\theta_p}(\omega_p^0)^2/(2\pi c) \). Influence of frequency filters with a gaussian shape is described by their widths \( \sigma_s \) and \( \sigma_i \) (for the signal and idler fields, respectively) that occur in Eq. (10).

Normalization constant \( C_\phi \) introduced in Eq. (9) is determined along the expression:

\[ |C_\phi|^2 = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi \sigma_{s,i}^2} \omega_s \omega_i}{\epsilon_0 c^2 n_0^2(\omega_s^0) n_0^2(\omega_i^0) n_0^2(\omega_s^0) n_0^2(\omega_i^0) + n_0(\omega_s^0) \omega_s^0 + n_0(\omega_i^0) \omega_i^0} \times \frac{Y_p}{L_p} \text{erf}^2 \left( \frac{L_p}{2Y_p} \right) \frac{P_p}{v_p \cos(\theta_p^0)}. \] (12)

Phase matching for central frequencies has been assumed when deriving the expression for two-photon spectral amplitude \( \Phi \) written in Eq. (9), i.e.

\[ k_p^0 \sin(\theta_p^0) - \beta_s^0 + \beta_i^0 = 0. \] (13)

Equation (12) represents condition for possible values of central frequencies \( \omega_s^0, \omega_i^0, \omega_s^0 \) and central angle \( \theta_p^0 \) of pump-beam propagation. This condition even with the inclusion of quasi-phase matching has been extensively studied in [32].

The role of pump-pulse duration \( \tau_p \) and pump-beam transverse width \( Z_p \) on the shape of two-photon spectral amplitude \( \Phi \) can be understood when we transform the amplitude \( \Phi \) into new variables \( \Omega \) and \( \omega; \quad \Omega = (\omega_s + \omega_i)/2, \quad \omega = (\omega_s - \omega_i)/2; \)

\[ \Phi(\Omega, \omega) = 2C_\phi \sqrt{\frac{Z_p \tau_p}{1 + a_p^2}} \times \exp \left\{ -\left[ \frac{\tau_p^2}{1 + i a_p} + \frac{Z_p^2 (V_{ps} + V_{pi})^2}{4} + \frac{1}{\sigma_+^2} \right] \Delta \Omega^2 \right\} \times \left[ \frac{Z_p^2 (V_{ps} + V_{pi}) V_{si}}{2} - \frac{1}{\sigma_-^2} \right] \Delta \Omega \Delta \omega, \] (14)

where

\[ V_{si} = \frac{1}{v_s} + \frac{1}{v_i}, \]
\[ \frac{1}{\sigma_+^2} = \frac{1}{\sigma_s^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2}, \]
\[ \frac{1}{\sigma_-^2} = \frac{1}{\sigma_s^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2}. \] (16)

Coefficients \( G_s, G_i, \) and \( G_{si} \) occurring in Eq. (14) have been neglected when the expression in Eq. (14) has been derived because they are small in comparison with those written explicitly in Eq. (13) under the studied conditions. We can see from Eq. (14) that pump-pulse duration \( \tau_p \) influences only the coefficient of quadratic form in sum frequency \( \Omega \), whereas pump-beam transverse width \( Z_p \) and widths of spectral filters \( \sigma_s \) and \( \sigma_i \) modify all of them. The shape of a two-photon amplitude \( \Phi \) can also be controlled using parameter \( \bar{D}_{\theta_p} \) of angular decomposition of pump-beam frequencies that occurs in expressions for the coefficients multiplying \( \Delta \Omega^2 \) and \( \Delta \Omega \Delta \omega \) in Eq. (14). Nonzero values of parameter \( \bar{D}_{\theta_p} \) lead to rotation of the shape of the two-photon amplitude \( \Phi \) in the plane spanned by frequencies \( \omega_s \) and \( \omega_i \) [28, 29].

Considering frequency degenerate case \( (\omega_s^0 = \omega_i^0), \) i.e. \( v_s = v_i \) and \( \theta_p^0 = 0 \), cross spectrally pure pump beam \( (\bar{D}_{\theta_p} = 0) \), and omitting frequency filters \( (\sigma_s, \sigma_i \rightarrow \infty) \) we obtain the two-photon spectral amplitude \( \Phi \) in a simple form:

\[ \Phi(\Omega, \omega) = 2C_\phi \sqrt{\frac{Z_p \tau_p}{1 + a_p^2}} \times \exp \left\{ -\left[ \frac{\tau_p^2}{1 + i a_p} + \frac{Z_p^2 V_{si}^2}{4} \Delta \omega^2 \right] \right\}; \] (17)

i.e. pump-pulse duration \( \tau_p \) determines properties depending on sum frequency \( \Omega \) whereas pump-beam transverse width \( Z_p \) is responsible for properties related to difference frequency \( \omega \). This behavior is similar to that occurring in coincident-frequency entangled two-photon states generated from bulk materials and described, e.g., in [20] (length \( L \) of a crystal plays the role of \( Z_p \)).

III. SPECTRAL PROPERTIES OF PHOTON PAIRS, PHOTON-PAIR GENERATION RATE

Number \( N \) of photon pairs generated in 1 s is determined along the formula:

\[ N = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega_s \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega_i |\Phi(\omega_s, \omega_i)|^2. \] (18)
form written in Eq. (9) can be found in Appendix A [Eq. (A1)]. Neglecting coefficients $G_s$, $G_i$, and $G_{si}$ in Eq. (10), a simplified expression can be derived:

$$N = |C_\Phi|^2 \frac{\pi Z_p \tau_p}{(1 + a_p^2) \sqrt{D_{fr}}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

where

$$D_{fr} = \frac{4}{\sigma_s^2 \sigma_i^2} + \frac{\tau^2_p}{(1 + a_p^2)} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_s^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} \right)$$
$$+ \frac{\tau_p Z_p^2 V_{pi}^2}{4(1 + a_p^2)} + \frac{Z_p V_{pa}^2}{\sigma_s^2} + \frac{Z_p V_{pi}^2}{\sigma_i^2}. \hspace{1cm} (20)$$

If frequency filters are omitted, the expression in Eq. (19) for photon-pair generation rate $N$ gets a simple form:

$$N = |C_\Phi|^2 \frac{2\pi^2}{\sqrt{1 + a_p^2 V_{si}}}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (21)

i.e. the generation rate $N$ does not depend both on pump-pulse duration $\tau_p$ and pump-beam transverse width $Z_p$. This is a consequence of geometry of the considered three-mode interaction. Assuming values of the waveguide parameters as written in Fig. 2 and incident pump-field power $P_p = 1$ W, the generation rate $N$ equals $3 \times 10^4$ s$^{-1}$, i.e. if the pulsed pumping has repetition rate $f = 8 \times 10^7$ s$^{-1}$, a photon pair is generated from one pump pulse with probability $3.8 \times 10^{-4}$. Taking into account the depth of the waveguide of the order of pump-field wavelength, this probability is high. There are two reasons. The nonlinear process exploits the largest element of the nonlinear tensor $d$ of LiNbO$_3$ (this gives 2 orders of magnitude in comparison with commonly used orientations). Also the down-converted fields are confined in their transverse profiles into very narrow regions, so the electric-field amplitude per one photon is high which improves efficiency of the nonlinear process.

Signal-field intensity spectrum $S_s$ determined according to the formula

$$S_s(\omega_s) = |\Phi(\omega_s, \omega_i)|^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega_i |\Phi(\omega_s, \omega_i)|^2 \hspace{1cm} (22)$$
takes a gaussian form:

$$S_s(\omega_s) = s_s \exp \left[ - \frac{(\omega_s - \omega_{s0} - \delta\omega_s)^2}{\sigma_{s0}^2} \right]. \hspace{1cm} (23)$$

Amplitude $s_s$, width $\sigma_{s0}$, and shift $\delta\omega_{s0}$ of the center for the signal-field intensity spectrum assuming negligible coefficients $G_s$, $G_i$, and $G_{si}$ are given as:

$$s_s = |C_\Phi|^2 \exp(-2f_0) \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \hbar \omega_s \tau_p Z_p}{1 + a_p^2}$$
$$\times \left[ \frac{\tau^2_p}{2(1 + a_p^2)} + \frac{2}{\sigma_i^2} + \frac{Z_p^2 V_{pi}^2}{2} \right]^{-1/2}, \hspace{1cm} (24)$$

$$\sigma_{s0} = \sqrt{\frac{\tau^2_p}{2(1 + a_p^2)} + \frac{2}{\sigma_i^2} + \frac{Z_p^2 V_{pi}^2}{2} D_{fr}^{-1/2}}, \hspace{1cm} (25)$$

$$\delta\omega_{s0} = 0; \hspace{1cm} (26)$$

$D_{fr}$ is given in Eq. (20). The general expressions for parameters $s_s$, $\sigma_{s0}$, and $\delta\omega_{s0}$ can be found in Appendix A [Eqs. (A1), (A2)]. Characteristics of a gaussian idler-field intensity spectrum $S_i(\omega_i)$ can be derived from symmetry. When frequency filters are not included, the expression in Eq. (25) for width $\sigma_{s0}$ can be further simplified:

$$\sigma_{s0} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{V_{si}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{Z_p^2} + \frac{(1 + a_p^2)V_{pi}^2}{\tau^2_p}}. \hspace{1cm} (27)$$

This means that the signal-field spectral width $\sigma_{s0}$ (and similarly the idler-field spectral width $\sigma_{i0}$) decreases with increasing pump-pulse duration $\tau_p$ and pump-beam transverse width $Z_p$. Assuming cw pumping, spectral widths $\sigma_{s0}$ and $\sigma_{i0}$ are inversely proportional to pump-beam transverse width $Z_p$:

$$\sigma_{s0} = \sigma_{i0} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{V_{si}} \frac{1}{Z_p}. \hspace{1cm} (28)$$

On the other hand the following expressions hold for the pump-beam transverse width $Z_p$ sufficiently large,

$$\sigma_{s0} |_{Z_p \to \infty} = \frac{\sqrt{2} V_{pi}}{V_{si}} \sqrt{1 + a_p^2}, \hspace{1cm} (29)$$

$$\sigma_{i0} |_{Z_p \to \infty} = \frac{\sqrt{2} V_{pi}}{V_{si}} \sqrt{1 + a_p^2}, \hspace{1cm} (29)$$

i.e. widths $\sigma_{s0}$ and $\sigma_{i0}$ are inversely proportional to pump-pulse duration $\tau_p$. Dependence of the width $\sigma_{s0}$ of the signal-field intensity spectrum $S_s(\omega_s)$ on pump-pulse duration $\tau_p$ and pump-beam transverse width $Z_p$ is shown in Fig. 2 for the considered waveguide. Narrow spectra broad circa 1 nm are generated if pump-pulse duration $\tau_p$ is sufficiently long and also pump-beam transverse width $Z_p$ sufficiently wide. On the other hand, intensity spectra wide several tens of nm can be observed for ultrashort pump-pulses and strongly focused pump beams. The reason for this behavior is that shortening of a pump pulse and focusing of a pump beam lead to weakening of frequency and phase matching conditions.

Ratio $\sigma_{s0}/\sigma_{i0}$ of spectral widths of the signal- and idler-field intensities is important in some applications. For example, photon pairs used in heralded single-photon sources should preferably be composed of one photon with a narrow spectrum (convenient in propagation through an optical fiber) and one photon with a wide spectrum (leading to high detection efficiencies when post-selecting). Provided that the pump beam is cross spectrally pure, the ratio $\sigma_{s0}/\sigma_{i0}$ is given by material constants (group velocities of three fields) for given values of pump-pulse duration $\tau_p$ and pump-beam transverse width $Z_p$. The ratio $\sigma_{s0}/\sigma_{i0}$ equals 1 if the signal
and idler fields are symmetric ($\omega_s^0 = \omega_i^0$). On the other hand, parameter $D_{\theta_i}$ characterizing angular decomposition of pump-beam frequencies can substantially change this ratio. Either narrowing or broadening of an intensity spectrum of a down-converted field can be reached by the change of values of parameter $D_{\theta_i}$, as formulas in Eqs. (28) and (11) indicate. Values around 10 for the ratio $\sigma_{\omega_i}/\sigma_{\omega_s}$ can be obtained for the waveguide with values of parameters defined in Fig. 2 as documented in Fig. 3. We note that high values of this ratio occur when the two-photon spectral amplitude $\Phi$ is nearly spectrally uncorrelated (compare Figs. 2 and 3 later).

IV. TEMPORAL PROPERTIES OF PHOTON PAIRS

Temporal properties of photon pairs can be conveniently described using two-photon amplitude $\Phi$ in the time domain that is given as a Fourier transform of that in the frequency domain:

$$\Phi(\tau_s, \tau_i) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega_s \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega_i \Phi(\omega_s, \omega_i) \times \exp(-i\omega_s \tau_s) \exp(-i\omega_i \tau_i).$$

(30)

Because the two-photon spectral amplitude $\Phi$ as given in Eq. (1) is gaussian, the two-photon amplitude $\Phi$ defined in Eq. (30) takes also a gaussian form, that can be found in Appendix A [Eq. (A10)].

Photon flux $N_s$ in the signal field is then determined along the formula [19]

$$N_s(\tau_s) = \frac{\hbar \omega_s^0}{2} \frac{Z_p}{\sqrt{D_f}} \times \left[ \frac{\tau_s^2}{2} + \frac{2}{\sigma_s^2} + \frac{Z_p^2 V_{ps}^2}{2} \right]^{-1/2},$$

(33)

$$\sigma_{\tau_s} = \sqrt{\frac{\tau_s^2}{2} + \frac{2}{\sigma_s^2} + \frac{Z_p^2 V_{ps}^2}{2}},$$

(34)

$$\delta \tau_s = 0;$$

(35)

coefficient $D_f$ is given in Eq. (A11) in Appendix A. The formula in Eq. (34) shows that the signal-field temporal width $\sigma_{\tau_s}$ increases as the pump-pulse duration $\tau_p$ and pump-beam transverse width $Z_p$ increase. Also the narrower the signal-field frequency filter, the greater the temporal width $\sigma_{\tau_s}$.

Depending on pump-pulse duration $\tau_p$ and pump-beam transverse width $Z_p$ width $\sigma_{\tau_s}$ of the signal-field intensity temporal profile spreads from several tens to several hundreds of fs (see Fig. 3). Width $\sigma_{\tau_s}$ of the signal-field intensity temporal profile has to be greater than that characterizing...
the pump field \((\tau_p / \sqrt{2})\) as the expression in Eq. (43) confirms. Also the greater the pump-beam transverse width \(Z_p\) the greater the width \(\sigma_p\) as a consequence of propagation of a signal photon along the waveguide. Angular decomposition of pump-beam frequencies \((D_{\theta_p})\) can either broaden or shorten the pulsed photon fluxes \(N_s\) and \(N_t\) as follows from formulas in Eqs. \((A21)\) and \((A22)\) in Appendix A:

\[
A \bigg|_{\sigma_p, \sigma_t \to \infty} = \left[ 1 + \frac{Z_p^2 (1 + a_p^2)}{4 \tau_p^2} \left( \frac{V_{2s}^2 - V_{2l}^2}{V_{si}^2} \right)^2 \right]^{-1/2}
\]

(41)

\[
B = \left[ 2 \sigma_s^2 + 2 \sigma_t^2 + 2 \frac{Z_p^2 V_{2s} V_{2l}}{2} \right]^{-1}
\]

(42)

Visibility \(V\) of a coincidence-count pattern described by the formula in Eq. (40) and defined along the expression \((R_{n, \text{min}}\) gives a minimum value of \(R_n\)

\[
V = \frac{R_n(\tau_l \to \infty) - R_{n, \text{min}}}{R_n(\tau_l \to \infty) + R_{n, \text{min}}}
\]

(43)

is obtained in the form:

\[
V = \frac{A}{2 - A}
\]

(44)

For cw pumping \((\tau_p \to \infty)\) and without frequency filters, coefficient \(A \to 1\) and also visibility \(V \to 1\). Visibility \(V\) equal to 1 is also reached for the symmetric case \((\omega_s^0 = \omega_i^0\) leads to \(A = 1\).

On the other hand, coefficient \(B\) given in Eq. (42) determines width \(\Delta \tau_l\) of the coincidence-count dip defined by the condition \(R_n(\Delta \tau_l/2) = 1 - A/2\). This relation can be rewritten into a transcendental equation,

\[
\frac{1}{2} - \exp\left( \frac{B \Delta \tau_l}{4} \right) \cos\left( \frac{\omega_s^0 - \omega_i^0 \Delta \tau_l}{2} \right) = 0
\]

(45)

that has solution in the range \(\Delta \tau_l \in (0, 2\pi/|\omega_s^0 - \omega_i^0|)\). We note that oscillations at frequency \(\omega_s^0 - \omega_i^0\) occur in the coincidence-count pattern of rate \(R_n\). Coefficient \(B\) depends only on pump-beam transverse width \(Z_p\) and widths \(\sigma_s\) and \(\sigma_i\) of frequency filters. The narrower the frequency filters and the wider the pump-beam transverse width \(Z_p\), the smaller the value of coefficient \(B\) and also the larger the width \(\Delta \tau_l\) of normalized coincidence-count rate \(R_n\). We can see that width \(\Delta \tau_l\) of the coincidence-count dip does not depend on pump-pulse duration \(\tau_p\) and pump-beam parameter \(D_{\theta_p}\). The reason is that a Hong-Ou-Mandel interferometer monitors only the difference of the signal- and idler-field frequencies \(2\omega = \omega_s - \omega_i\)

Figure 4: Contour plot of temporal width \(\sigma_p\), of signal-field photon flux as a function of pump-pulse duration \(\tau_p\) and pump-beam transverse width \(Z_p\) is shown; values of the used parameters are given in Fig. 2.
that depends only on pump-beam transverse width $Z_p$ in the considered configuration [compare Eq. (13)].

Width $\Delta \tau_p$ of the coincidence-count dip can be controlled changing pump-beam transverse width $Z_p$ in a broad range of its values, as documented in Fig. 5. This property may be useful in metrology applications (e.g., in measurement of modal dispersion of a waveguiding structure).

\section{V. ENTANGLED AND SEPARABLE STATES}

Entanglement between the signal- and idler-field frequencies can be conveniently quantified using entropy of entanglement \cite{43, 44}. To determine entropy $S_e$ of entanglement we have to decompose a two-photon spectral amplitude $\Phi$ into Schmidt decomposition \cite{45}:

$$\Phi(\omega_s, \omega_i) = \sum_n \lambda_n \phi_s,n(\omega_s) \phi_i,n(\omega_i),$$

where $\lambda_n$ are coefficients of the decomposition and functions $\phi_s,n(\omega_s)$ and $\phi_i,n(\omega_i)$ form the Schmidt basis. This decomposition assuming a gaussian two-photon spectral amplitude $\Phi$ is found in Appendix B and gives coefficients $\lambda_n$ in the form of geometric progression:

$$\lambda_n = \sqrt{1 - \vartheta^{n^2/2}}, \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots, \infty.$$ \hfill (47)

Value of parameter $\vartheta$ is derived from values of parameters characterizing amplitude $\Phi$ using Eqs. (B8) and (B11) in Appendix B. We note that $\sum_n \lambda_n^2 = 1$ as a consequence of assumed normalization of the amplitude $\Phi$: $\int d\omega_s \int d\omega_i |\Phi(\omega_s, \omega_i)|^2 = 1$.

Entanglement between the signal- and idler-field frequencies can be determined using entropy $S_e$ derived from eigenvalues $\lambda_n$ of the Schmidt decomposition,

$$S_e = -\sum_n \lambda_n^2 \log_2(\lambda_n^2);$$ \hfill (48)

symbol $\ln_2$ means logarithm of base 2. Using Eq. (47) we arrive at:

$$S_e = -\log_2(1 - \vartheta) - \vartheta \log_2(\vartheta) \quad \frac{1}{1 - \vartheta}. \hfill (49)$$

As for possible values of entropy $S_e$ there are two boundary cases. If $\vartheta \to 1$ (also $P \to 0$ and $|e_2| = c_{2c}$) then all eigenvalues $\lambda_n$ are equal, i.e. we have a maximally entangled state. On the other hand, if $\vartheta \to 0$ (also $P \to \infty$ and $c_{2c} \to 0$) there is only one nonzero eigenvalue $\lambda_0$; i.e. the two-photon spectral amplitude $\Phi(\omega_s, \omega_i)$ factorizes and describes a separable state useful, e.g., in linear quantum computation \cite{24}.

\subsection{A. Entangled states}

Entangled states with high values of entropy $S_e$ of entanglement are generated if either pump-pulse duration $\tau_p$ is short or pump-beam transverse width $Z_p$ is narrow, as the analysis contained in Appendix B shows. The shorter the pump-pulse duration $\tau_p$ the greater the value of entropy $S_e$. The narrower the pump-beam transverse width $Z_p$ the greater the value of entropy $S_e$. Also the wider the widths $\sigma_s$ and $\sigma_i$ of frequency filters the greater the values of entropy $S_e$.

On the other hand, widths $\sigma_s$, $\sigma_i$ of the signal- and idler-field intensity spectra increase with decreasing pump-pulse duration $\tau_p$ and pump-beam transverse width $Z_p$. The used frequency filters make these spectra narrower. Comparing qualitatively this behavior with that of entropy $S_e$ of entanglement described above, we can conclude that the wider the spectra of the signal and idler fields, the better the entanglement of the signal and idler fields.

Instead of characterizing entanglement by entropy $S_e$ we can judge it by a minimum number $n_{\text{min}}$ of eigenfunctions (modes) from the Schmidt basis that restore a two-photon spectral amplitude $\Phi$ with probability $p_{\text{min}}$.

The number $n_{\text{min}}$ satisfies the following inequalities:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{n_{\text{min}}-1} \lambda_n^2 \leq p_{\text{min}} \wedge \sum_{n=0}^{n_{\text{min}}} \lambda_n^2 \geq p_{\text{min}}. \hfill (50)$$

The value of probability $p_{\text{min}}$ should be chosen with respect to the precision of measurement.

For the considered waveguide, entropy $S_e$ of entanglement as well as minimum number $n_{\text{min}}$ of modes are shown in Fig. 6 as they depend on pump-pulse duration $\tau_p$ and pump-beam transverse width $Z_p$. We can see in Fig. 6 that entanglement of the signal and idler fields is rather weak in a broad area around the bold curve characterizing spectrally uncorrelated (separable) states and so we can approximate the generated state by a separable two-photon spectral amplitude $\Phi$. Entangled states for which several modes in the Schmidt decomposition are necessary occur on the borders of the contour plot, i.e. where the quantity $\tau_p \sigma_s / Z_p$ considerably differs from one
B. Spectrally uncorrelated (separable) states

The condition for a separable state derived from the formula in Eq. \( \text{(49)} \), \( e_{2s} = 0 \), is fulfilled provided that \( f_{2s_i} = 0 \) as follows from the definition of coefficient \( e_{2s} \) in Eq. \( \text{(13)} \) in Appendix B. Separability of the two-photon spectral amplitude \( \Phi \) written in Eq. \( \text{(9)} \) is clearly visible in this case and shows that separable states are generated only provided that the axes in which the quadratic form written in Eq. \( \text{(49)} \) is diagonal coincide with the \( \omega_s \) and \( \omega_i \) axes. Eigenvalues \( \mu_{1,2s} \) of the quadratic form written in the second line of Eq. \( \text{(9)} \) are given as follows:

\[
\mu_{1,2s} = \frac{f_{2s} + f_{2i} \mp \sqrt{(f_{2s} - f_{2i})^2 + f_{2si}^2}}{2}, \tag{51}
\]

whereas angle \( \psi_{si} \) giving declination of the axes of the diagonal quadratic form from the \( \omega_s \) and \( \omega_i \) axes is written as:

\[
\tan(\psi_{si}) = \frac{f_{2s} - f_{2i} \pm \sqrt{(f_{2s} - f_{2i})^2 + f_{2si}^2}}{f_{2si}}. \tag{52}
\]

The limit \( f_{2si} \to 0 \) in Eq. \( \text{(52)} \) leads to \( \psi_{si} = 0 \), i.e. the axes of diagonal quadratic form coincide with the \( \omega_s \) and \( \omega_i \) axes.

Substituting expressions in Eqs. \( \text{(10)} \) and \( \text{(11)} \) into the separability condition \( f_{2si} = 0 \) we arrive at:

\[
\frac{\tau_p^2}{1 + i \tau_\sigma} + \frac{Z^2}{v_p} \left[ \frac{\sin(\theta_p)}{v_p} + k_p^0 \cos(\theta_p) \tilde{D}_{\theta_p} - \frac{1}{v_s} \right]
\]

\[
\times \left[ \frac{\sin(\theta_p)}{v_p} + k_p^0 \cos(\theta_p) \tilde{D}_{\theta_p} + \frac{1}{v_i} \right] + 2\mathcal{G}_{si} = 0. \tag{53}
\]

Assuming fixed values for pump-pulse duration \( \tau_p \) and pump-beam transverse width \( Z_p \) and no chirp \( (a_p = 0) \) the condition in Eq. \( \text{(53)} \) represents a quadratic equation for parameter \( \tilde{D}_{\theta_p} \) of angular decomposition of pump-beam frequencies and its solution takes the form:

\[
\left( \tilde{D}_{\theta_p} \right)_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2k_p^0 \cos(\theta_p)} \left[ -\frac{2\sin(\theta_p)}{v_p} + \frac{1}{v_s} - \frac{1}{v_i} \pm \sqrt{\left( \frac{1}{v_s} + \frac{1}{v_i} \right)^2 - 4\frac{\tau_p^2}{Z_p^2} - \frac{8\mathcal{G}_{si}}{Z_p^2}} \right]. \tag{54}
\]

Solution for \( \tilde{D}_{\theta_p} \) written in Eq. \( \text{(54)} \) exists only when argument of the square root in Eq. \( \text{(54)} \) is non-negative.
Thus, there is a lower limit for possible values of pump-beam transverse width $Z_p$ keeping pump-pulse duration $\tau_p$ fixed.

Considering the symmetric case ($\omega_s^0 = \omega_i^0$) and neglecting coefficient $G_{si}$, the formula in Eq. (54) for $D_{\theta_p}$ simplifies:

$$\left(D_{\theta_p}\right)_{1,2} = \frac{1}{k^2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{v_{s}^2} - \frac{\tau_p^2}{Z_p^2}}$$

and is valid provided that $Z_p \geq v_s \tau_p$. If the pump beam is cross spectrally pure, i.e. $D_{\theta_p} = 0$, the following condition assures the generation of a separable state:

$$\frac{\tau_p}{Z_p} = \frac{1}{v_s}$$

Changing values of parameters $\tau_p$, $Z_p$, and $D_{\theta_p}$ photon pairs with an arbitrary gaussian two-photon spectral amplitude $\Phi$ can be generated \[40\]. An arbitrary orientation of axes of the diagonal quadratic form of two-photon spectral amplitude $\Phi$ can be reached changing the value of parameter $D_{\theta_p}$ of angular decomposition of pump-beam frequencies, as demonstrated in Fig. 8. Parameters $\tau_p$ and $Z_p$ then control spread of the two-photon spectral amplitude $\Phi$ along the axes given by angles $\psi_{si}$ and $\psi_{si} + \pi/2$. Comparison of graphs in Figs. 3 and 8 with special attention to frequency uncorrelated states leads to the conclusion that the greater the pump-beam transverse width $Z_p$ the greater the ratio $\sigma_{\omega_s}/\sigma_{\omega_i}$ of intensity spectral widths of the down-converted fields. Such states have been found useful in quantum communication protocols.

VI. EXPERIMENTAL DETERMINATION OF ENTROPY OF ENTANGLEMENT

If the pump field is not chirped ($a_p = 0$), a generated photon pair is described by a two-photon spectral amplitude $\Phi$ in a gaussian form written in Eq. (9) that, apart from central frequencies, is specified by three real parameters. These parameters can be experimentally determined measuring widths of the signal- ($\sigma_{\omega_s}$) and idler-field ($\sigma_{\omega_i}$) intensity spectra and width $\Delta \tau_1$ of coincidence-count pattern in a Hong-Ou-Mandel interferometer, as shown below.

Coefficient $B$ describing width of the coincidence-count dip in the Hong-Ou-Mandel interferometer can be obtained by fitting the experimental curve $R_\Phi(\tau_1)$ using the prescription written in Eq. (40). The measured widths $\sigma_{\omega_s}$ and $\sigma_{\omega_i}$ of signal- and idler-field intensity spectra provide coefficient $F$ [for definition, see Eq. (A10) in Appendix A]:

$$F = \frac{\sigma_{\omega_s}^2}{\sigma_{\omega_i}^2}$$

Further, Eqs. (A10) and (A22) in Appendix A can be recast into the form:

$$f_{2i}^r = \frac{f_{2si}^r}{F}, \quad f_{2i}^z = \frac{F + 1}{F} f_{2si}^z - \frac{1}{2B}$$

Substitution of Eqs. (58) into Eqs. (A22) and (A30) in Appendix A leads to a quadratic equation for coefficient $f_{2i}^z$:

$$-\frac{(F-1)^2}{F} (f_{2i}^z)^2 + \left(\frac{F + 1}{B} - \frac{2}{\sigma_{\omega_s}^2}\right) f_{2i}^z - \frac{F}{4B^2} = 0.$$  

Solution of Eq. (59) gives the value of coefficient $f_{2i}^z$. For the symmetric case ($\omega_s^0 = \omega_i^0$), $F = 1$ and we have

$$f_{2i}^z = \frac{\sigma_{\omega_s}^2}{8B(\omega_s^2 - \omega_i^2)}.$$  

Values of coefficients $f_{2i}$ and $f_{2si}$ are then given by formulas in Eqs. (58). Coefficients $f_{0i}$ and $f_{1i}$ occurring in Eq. (40) give only shifts on the frequency axes $\omega_s$ and $\omega_i$ and can be neglected. Also coefficient $f_0$ in Eq. (40) giving normalization can be omitted.

Knowing values of coefficients $f_{2i}$, $f_{2i}$, and $f_{2si}$, entropy $S_e$ of entanglement can finally be determined along the formulas given in Eqs. (B1), (B11), (B13), and (19).

VII. CONCLUSIONS

Properties of the down-converted fields can be controlled in wide ranges of values of characteristic parameters using pump-pulse duration, pump-beam transverse...
width, and angular decomposition of pump-beam frequencies in a waveguide with counter-propagating down-converted fields and transverse pumping. Widths of intensity spectra of the down-converted fields may vary from nanometers to tens of nanometers. Durations of the down-converted pulsed fields extend from tens of fs to several ps. Both entangled and separable (spectrally uncorrelated) photon pairs useful in linear quantum computation can be generated. Also attainable widths of a coincidence-count dip in a Hong-Ou-Mandel interferometer lie in a broad interval that is of interest in metrology applications. Using the measured spectral widths of the signal and idler fields and width of the coincidence-count dip, entropy of entanglement as well as parameters characterizing a two-photon spectral amplitude can be obtained.

The considered nonlinear waveguide is promising as a versatile source of photon pairs in near future provided that efficiency of the nonlinear process is increased.
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APPENDIX A: GENERAL FORMULAS FOR PHYSICAL QUANTITIES CHARACTERIZING THE EMITTED PHOTON PAIRS

1. Spectral properties

Substitution of the general form of two-photon spectral amplitude \( \Phi \) as given in Eq. (9) into Eq. (18) results in the following expression for the number \( N \) of generated photon pairs in 1 s:

\[
N = |C_\Phi|^2 \exp(-2f_0) \frac{\pi Z_{p} r_{p}}{(1 + a_{p}^2)} \sqrt{D_{fr}} \mathcal{E}_{fr}, \tag{A1}
\]

where

\[
D_{fr} = 4f_{2s} f_{2i} - (f_{2si})^2 \tag{A2}
\]

and

\[
\mathcal{E}_{fr} = \exp \left( \frac{2f_{2s} f_{2i} + f_{2i} f_{1s} - f_{2si} f_{1i}}{D_{fr}} \right). \tag{A3}
\]

Superscript \( r \) indicates the real part of a given complex coefficient.

A gaussian signal-field intensity spectrum \( S_s(\omega_s) \) as written in Eq. (23) is determined by its amplitude \( s_s \), width \( \sigma_{\omega_s} \), and shift \( \delta\omega_s^0 \) of the center that are given in general by the following expressions:

\[
s_s = |C_\Phi|^2 \exp(-2f_0) \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \omega_s r_{p} Z_{p}}{\sqrt{2(1 + a_{p}^2)}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{D_{fr}}} \mathcal{E}_{fr}, \tag{A4}
\]

\[
\sigma_{\omega_s} = \frac{2f_{2i}^2}{D_{fr}}, \tag{A5}
\]

\[
\delta\omega_s^0 = -\frac{2f_{2i} f_{1s} - f_{2si} f_{1i}}{D_{fr}}, \tag{A6}
\]

where coefficients \( D_{fr} \) and \( \mathcal{E}_{fr} \) are given in Eqs. (A2) and (A3). Expressions for amplitude \( s_i \), width \( \sigma_{\omega_i} \), and shift \( \delta\omega_i^0 \) belonging to the idler-field intensity spectrum \( S_i(\omega_i) \) can be obtained from those written in Eqs. (A4)–(A6) by an exchange of indices \( s \) and \( i \).

Relations among parameters of the signal- and idler-field intensity spectra can be established for a two-photon spectral amplitude \( \Phi \) written in Eq. (9):

\[
s_i = \frac{\omega_i^0}{\omega_s^0} \sqrt{F} s_s, \tag{A7}
\]

\[
\sigma_{\omega_i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{F}} \sigma_{\omega_s}. \tag{A8}
\]

Symbol \( F \),

\[
F = \frac{f_{2i}}{f_{2i}}, \tag{A9}
\]

gives the ratio of parameters that characterize a gaussian two-photon spectral amplitude \( \Phi \).

2. Temporal properties

Substituting the expression in Eq. (9) for two-photon spectral amplitude \( \Phi(\omega_s, \omega_i) \) into the definition of amplitude \( \Phi(\tau_s, \tau_i) \) occurring in Eq. (30), we arrive at

\[
\Phi(\tau_s, \tau_i) = C_\phi \exp(-f_0) \frac{Z_{p} r_{p}}{(1 + a_{p}^2)} \sqrt{D_{f}} \exp \left[ -\frac{f_{2i}(\tau_s - i f_{1s})^2 + f_{2s}(\tau_i - i f_{1i})^2}{f_{2si}} \right] \times \exp \left( \frac{f_{2s}(\tau_s - i f_{1s})(\tau_i - i f_{1i})}{D_{f}} \right), \tag{A10}
\]

and

\[
D_{f} = 4f_{2s} f_{2i} - f_{2si}^2. \tag{A11}
\]

Parameters of the gaussian form of signal-field photon flux \( N_s \) written in Eq. (32) [amplitude \( n_s \), width \( \sigma_{\tau_s} \), and shift \( \delta\tau_s^0 \)] are given for the general form of two-photon spectral amplitude \( \Phi \) in Eq. (9) by the following formulas, similarly as in the case of intensity spectra:

\[
n_s = |C_\Phi|^2 \exp(-2f_0) \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \omega_s r_{p} Z_{p}}{\sqrt{2(1 + a_{p}^2)}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{D_{f}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{f_{2i}}} \mathcal{E}_f, \tag{A12}
\]
\[ \sigma_{r_s} = \sqrt{\frac{2t_{2i}}{D_t}}, \quad (A12) \]
\[ \delta r_s^0 = -\frac{2t_{2i}t_{1x} - t_{2si}t_{1i}}{D_t}, \quad (A13) \]
and
\[ D_t = 4t_{2s}t_{2i} - i_2t_{2si}, \quad (A15) \]
\[ E_t = \exp \left( \frac{2t_{2i}t_{1i} + t_{2si}^2 - t_{2si}t_{1x}t_{1i}}{D_t} \right). \quad (A16) \]

Coefficients \( t \) occurring in the above Eqs. \( A12 \) – \( A16 \) are given as:
\[\begin{aligned}
t_{2s} &= \text{Re}\{f_{2i}/D_f\}, \\
t_{2i} &= \text{Re}\{f_{2s}/D_f\}, \\
t_{2si} &= -\text{Re}\{f_{2si}/D_f\}, \\
t_{1s} &= \text{Im}\{(2f_{3i}f_{1s} - f_{3si}f_{1i})/D_f\}, \\
t_{1i} &= \text{Im}\{(2f_{2s}f_{1i} - f_{2si}f_{1s})/D_f\}, \\
t_0 &= f_0 - \text{Re}\{(f_{2i}f_{1s}^2 + f_{2s}f_{1i}^2 - f_{2si}f_{1s}f_{1i})/D_f\}; \\
&= \text{Re}\{f_{2i}/D_f\}, \\
&= \text{Re}\{f_{2s}/D_f\}, \\
&= -\text{Re}\{f_{2si}/D_f\}, \\
&= \text{Im}\{(2f_{3i}f_{1s} - f_{3si}f_{1i})/D_f\}, \\
&= \text{Im}\{(2f_{2s}f_{1i} - f_{2si}f_{1s})/D_f\}, \\
&= f_0 - \text{Re}\{(f_{2i}f_{1s}^2 + f_{2s}f_{1i}^2 - f_{2si}f_{1s}f_{1i})/D_f\}; \\
\end{aligned}\]

Coefficients \( A \) and \( B \) characterizing a coincidence-count pattern in a Hong-Ou-Mandel interferometer as given in Eq. \( A10 \) are determined for the general form of two-photon spectral amplitude \( \Phi \) as follows:
\[\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{r_s} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sigma_{r_s}, \\
\end{aligned}\]

Parameter \( T \),
\[ T = \frac{t_{2i}}{t_{2s}}, \quad (A20) \]
gives the ratio of parameters that characterize a gaussian two-photon amplitude \( \Phi \) in the time domain. If the pulsed pump field is not chirped, we have \( T = 1/F \).

Coefficients \( A \) and \( B \) determining the coincidence-count pattern can be written in the form:
\[\begin{aligned}
A &= \sqrt{\frac{D_f}{(f_{2s} + f_{2i})^2 - (f_{2si})^2}} \exp \left[ \frac{(f_{1s} + f_{1i})^2}{2(f_{2s} + f_{2i} + f_{2si})} \right] \times \mathcal{E}_f^{-1}, \\
B &= \frac{1}{2(f_{2s} + f_{2i} - f_{2si})}, \quad (A21) \end{aligned}\]

and coefficients \( D_f \) and \( E_f \) are given by formulas in Eqs. \( A2 \) and \( A3 \).

**APPENDIX B: SCHMIDT DECOMPOSITION OF TWO-PHOTON SPECTRAL AMPLITUDE \( \Phi \)**

In order to determine Schmidt decomposition of two-photon spectral amplitude \( \Phi \) as given in Eq. \( A16 \) we need reduced statistical operators belonging to the signal and idler fields. Statistical operator \( \hat{\rho}_s \) of the signal field can be written in the form:
\[\hat{\rho}_s = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega_s' \hat{\Psi}_s(\omega_s', \omega_s) \hat{a}_s(\omega_s') \hat{a}_s(\omega_s). \quad (B1)\]

Weighting function \( \Psi_s \) determined along the formula
\[\Psi_s(\omega_s', \omega_s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega'_i \hat{\Phi}(\omega_s', \omega_i) \hat{\Phi}^*(\omega_s, \omega_i) \quad (B2)\]
takes the following form using Eq. \( A10 \) for the two-photon spectral amplitude \( \Phi \):
\[\Psi_s(\omega_s', \omega_s) = |\hat{\Phi}|^2 \exp \left[-e_2 \omega_s'^2 - e_2' \omega_s'^2 + 2e_{2c} \omega_s \omega_s' \right] \times \exp (e_1 \omega_s + e_1' \omega_s'), \quad (B3)\]

and
\[\begin{aligned}
e_2 &= f_{2s} - \frac{f_{2si}^2}{8f_{2i}}, \\
e_{2c} &= \frac{|f_{2si}|^2}{8f_{2i}^2}, \\
e_1 &= f_{1s} - \frac{f_{1i} f_{2si}}{(f_{2i})^2}. \quad (B4)\end{aligned}\]

Normalization constant \( \hat{\Phi} \) introduced in Eq. \( B3 \),
\[ |\hat{\Phi}|^2 = \sqrt{\frac{2(e_2^2 - e_{2c})}{\pi}} \exp \left[ -\frac{(e_1')^2}{2(e_2^2 - e_{2c})} \right], \quad (B5)\]
guarantees normalization of the signal-field statistical operator \( \hat{\rho}_s \) such that \( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega_s \hat{\Psi}(\omega_s, \omega_s) = 1 \). Statistical operator \( \hat{\rho}_i \) of the idler field can be expressed similarly as that for the signal field.

Coefficients \( \lambda_n \) and functions \( \phi_{s,n} \) and \( \phi_{i,n} \) occurring in the Schmidt decomposition in Eq. \( A16 \) are given as solutions of the following integral equations:
\[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega_a \hat{\Psi}_s(\omega_a', \omega_a) \hat{a}_{s,n}(\omega_a) = \lambda_n^2 \phi_{s,n}(\omega_a), \quad a = s, i. \quad (B6)\]

Using linear substitution the kernel \( \Psi_s \) in Eq. \( B3 \) can be transformed into the form:
\[\Psi(x, y) = \exp[-(1 + P)(x^2 + y^2) + 2xy] \quad (B7)\]
and
\[P = \frac{|e_2|}{e_{2c}} - 1. \quad (B8)\]
It can be shown [46] that the following functions \( \phi_n \) obey the integral equation in Eq. (B6) for kernel \( \Psi \) defined in Eq. (B7):

\[
\phi_n(x) = \frac{\sqrt{1 - \vartheta^2}}{2n! \sqrt{\pi \vartheta}} \exp \left( -\frac{1 - \vartheta^2}{2\vartheta} x^2 \right)
\]

\[
\times H_n \left( \sqrt{1 - \vartheta^2} \frac{x}{\theta} \right), \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots, \infty; \quad (B9)
\]

symbols \( H_n \) denote Hermite polynomials. The corresponding eigenvalues \( \lambda_n^2 \) form a geometric progression:

\[
\lambda_n^2 = \sqrt{\pi \vartheta} \vartheta^n, \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots, \infty \quad (B10)
\]

and parameter \( \vartheta \) is given as follows:

\[
\vartheta = 1 + P \sqrt{P^2 + 2P}. \quad (B11)
\]

A maximally entangled state according to entropy \( S_e \) of entanglement determined in Eq. (B9) is reached if all eigenvalues \( \lambda_n \) are equal, i.e. when \( P \to 0 \). To understand this condition, we express coefficient \( P \) defined in Eq. (B8) in terms of coefficients \( f \):

\[
P = \sqrt{1 + \frac{16f_{2i}^2}{\left( f_{2s} - f_{2i} \right)^2}} \left( 4|f_{2s}|^2f_{2i}^2 - \text{Re}\{f_{2s}f_{2i}^2\} \right) - 1. \quad (B12)
\]

It can be shown that coefficient \( P \) goes to zero if coefficient \( D_f \) defined in Eq. (A11) goes to zero too. Provided that coefficients \( \mathcal{G}_s, \mathcal{G}_i, \) and \( \mathcal{G}_{si} \) can be omitted, the condition \( D_f = 0 \) is fulfilled only in the limit \( P \to 0 \) and \( Z_p \to 0 \). For this reason, we investigate the behavior of coefficient \( D_f \) with respect to pump-pulse duration \( \tau_p \), pump-beam transverse width \( Z_p \), and widths \( \sigma_s, \sigma_i \) of frequency filters in the area around \( \tau_p = 0 \) or \( Z_p = 0 \). For fixed values of \( Z_p, \sigma_s, \) and \( \sigma_i \) and around \( \tau_p = 0 \) the following equality holds:

\[
\frac{\partial D_f}{\partial (\tau^2_p)} \bigg|_{Z_p, \sigma_s, \sigma_i} = \frac{1}{1 + i\alpha_p} \left[ \frac{V^2_{ps}Z^2_p}{4} + \frac{1}{\sigma^2_s} + \frac{1}{\sigma^2_i} \right]
\]

\[
+ \mathcal{G}_s + \mathcal{G}_i - \mathcal{G}_{si}. \quad (B13)
\]

Also fixing values of \( \tau_p, \sigma_s, \) and \( \sigma_i \) and being around \( Z_p = 0 \) we arrive at:

\[
\frac{\partial D_f}{\partial (Z^2_p)} \bigg|_{\tau_p, \sigma_s, \sigma_i} = \frac{1}{1 + i\alpha_p} \left[ \frac{V^2_{ps}Z^2_p}{4} + \frac{1}{\sigma^2_s} + \mathcal{G}_i \right] + V^2_{ps} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma^2_s} + \mathcal{G}_s \right) - V_{ps} V_{ps} \mathcal{G}_{si}. \quad (B14)
\]

If \( (dn_a(\omega_a)/d\omega_a|_{\omega_a=\omega_0}) \omega_0^a < n_a \) for \( a = s, i \) then \( g_{2si} = 2\sqrt{g_{2s}g_{2i}} \) and the derivatives in Eqs. (B13) and (B14) are positive \((a_p = 0 \text{ is assumed})\). This means that if the value of pump-pulse duration \( \tau_p \) is small \([\text{lower than that given by the condition in Eq. (53)}]\) the shorter the pump-pulse duration \( \tau_p \) the more the down-converted fields are entangled. Similarly it holds for sufficiently small values of pump-beam transverse width \( Z_p \) that the narrower the pump-beam transverse width \( Z_p \) the more the down-converted fields are entangled.

We get the following expressions for derivatives of coefficient \( D_f \) with respect to widths \( \sigma_s, \sigma_i \) of frequency filters:

\[
\frac{\partial D_f}{\partial (\sigma^2_s)} \bigg|_{\tau_p, Z_p} = -\frac{1}{\sigma^4_s} \left[ \frac{\tau^2_p}{1 + i\alpha_p} + V^2_{ps}Z^2_p + \frac{1}{\sigma^2_s} + \mathcal{G}_i \right],
\]

\[
\frac{\partial D_f}{\partial (\sigma^2_i)} \bigg|_{\tau_p, Z_p} = -\frac{1}{\sigma^4_i} \left[ \frac{\tau^2_p}{1 + i\alpha_p} + V^2_{ps}Z^2_p + \frac{1}{\sigma^2_s} + \mathcal{G}_s \right].
\]

For our waveguide, \( \mathcal{G}_s > 0 \) and \( \mathcal{G}_i > 0 \) and this means that the derivatives of coefficient \( D_f \) written in Eqs. (B15) are negative \((a_p = 0 \text{ is assumed})\). Thus the wider the frequency filters, the smaller the value of coefficient \( D_f \) and the more the down-converted fields are entangled.
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