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Abstract

We are interested in the algebraic properties of groups of local biholomorphisms and their consequences. A natural question is whether the complexity of solvable groups is bounded by the dimension of the ambient space. In this spirit we show that $2n + 1$ is the sharpest upper bound for the derived length of solvable subgroups of the group $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n,0)$ of local complex analytic diffeomorphisms for $n = 2, 3, 4, 5$.

1. Introduction

It is a well known result of Zassenhaus (1938) that the soluble length (also called derived length) of a solvable group of $\text{GL}(n,F)$ is bounded by a function of $n$ that does not depend on the field $F$ or the group [24]. The sharpest upper bound $\rho(n)$ for the derived length of linear groups in dimension $n$ is called the Newman function [16].

Let $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n,0)$ be the group of germs of complex analytic diffeomorphisms defined in a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$. We are interested in understanding how the algebraic complexity of solvable subgroups of local diffeomorphisms is bounded in terms of the dimension $n$. This is a non-obvious question since the group of local diffeomorphisms is infinitely dimensional. In line with such a goal we study the solvability properties of subgroups of $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n,0)$ and more precisely the sharpest upper bound for the derived length of solvable subgroups of $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n,0)$, i.e. the function

$$\psi(n) = \max\{\ell(G) : G \text{ is a solvable subgroup of } \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n,0)\}$$

where $\ell(G)$ is the derived length of $G$ (cf. Definition 2.14). Michael Martelo and the author proved $\psi(n) \leq \rho(n) + 2n - 1$ in [19]. For example the function $\rho(n) + 2n - 1$ is equal to 2, 7, 10, 13 and 16 for $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and 5 respectively. It is known that 2 is a sharp bound.
for $n = 1$ (cf. [12] [10][Theorem 6.10 and Remark 6.19]), in particular every solvable subgroup of $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ is metabelian. No other value of the function $\psi$ was known. In this paper we prove:

**Main Theorem.** Fix $n \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$. Let $G$ be a solvable subgroup of $\hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ (or $\hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$). Then its derived length $\ell(G)$ is at most $2n + 1$. Moreover there exists a solvable subgroup $G$ of $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ such that $\ell(G) = 2n + 1$.

In other words we obtain $\psi(n) = 2n + 1$ for $2 \leq n \leq 5$. The notation $\hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ stands for the group of formal diffeomorphisms (cf. section 2.1). We just remark now that our techniques work as well in the analytic or the formal category. Notice that $\psi(n) = 2n + 1$ does not hold for $n = 1$.

The integrability properties of differential equations are related to the algebraic properties, and specially solubility, of holonomy groups of local diffeomorphisms. More precisely integrability can be interpreted in terms of certain (Galois, holonomy) groups of symmetries of the equation. This connection is explicit in the context of differential Galois theory. For example Kolchin showed that a linear differential equation admits a liouvillian extension by integrals and exponentials of integrals if and only if its Galois group is solvable [11]. This phenomenon is not restricted to linear differential equations. We can find other instances of the principle in the study of analytic Hamiltonian systems [25, 26, Ziglin] [15, Morales-Ruiz, Ramis, Simó], codimension 1 analytic foliations [14, Mattei and Moussu] [17, Paul] and higher codimension analytic foliations [18, Rebelo and Reis].

The Main Theorem can be applied to study the representations of solvable groups in the group of real analytic diffeomorphisms of a manifold. In particular it provides a negative criterion for a solvable group to be embedded in $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$. It is part of a program intended to describe algebraic properties of solvable groups of (complex, real) analytic diffeomorphisms of (complex, real) analytic manifolds. We present an application in the real analytic case.

**Lemma 1.1.** Let $G$ be a solvable group of real analytic diffeomorphisms of a connected manifold $M^n$ of dimension $n$. Suppose that $G$ has a global fixed point $p$, i.e. $p$ is a fixed point of every element of $G$. Then $\ell(G) \leq \psi(n)$.

**Proof.** We include the proof for the sake of completeness. Consider the sequence of maps

$$G \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} \text{Diff}^\omega(M^n, p) \overset{j}{\rightarrow} \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$$
where $\text{Diff}^\omega(M^n, p)$ is the group of germs of real analytic diffeomorphisms defined in a neighborhood of $p$ in $M^n$. The map $i$ associates to $\phi \in G$ its germ in a neighborhood of $p$. The map $j$ is obtained by complexification of $M^n$, in this way we can interpret germs of real analytic maps as germs of holomorphic maps. Both maps $i$ and $j$ are injective. Hence we obtain $\ell(G) = \ell((j \circ i)(G)) \leq \psi(n)$.

The next result is an immediate consequence of the Main Theorem and Lemma 1.1.

**Corollary 1.1.** Let $G$ be a solvable group of real analytic diffeomorphisms of a connected manifold $M^n$ where $2 \leq n \leq 5$. Suppose that $G$ has a global fixed point $p$. Then $\ell(G) \leq 2n + 1$.

Some interesting results about the algebraic properties of solvable groups of area-preserving real analytic diffeomorphisms of surfaces have been obtained by Franks and Handel. For instance they showed that for the 2-sphere such a group is always virtually-abelian [7].

As a consequence of Corollary 1.1 it is interesting to study the existence of common fixed points or finite orbits for a solvable group of analytic diffeomorphisms (and its derived subgroups). This point of view, finding a finite orbit of the group to profit from the algebraic properties of groups of local diffeomorphisms, was used by Ghys to show that any nilpotent subgroup of real analytic diffeomorphisms of the 2-sphere is metabelian [8]. Another application of groups of local diffeomorphisms to a global problem is the study of faithful analytic actions of mapping class groups of surfaces on surfaces [2].

### 1.1. Pro-algebraic closure of a group of local diffeomorphisms.

One of the main ingredients in the paper is the notion of pro-algebraic group of (formal) local diffeomorphisms. These groups are (possibly) infinite dimensional groups that are obtained as projective limits of affine algebraic groups. The concept of pro-algebraic group is the analogue in the context of local diffeomorphisms of algebraic matrix groups. The upside of this concept is the existence of many similarities between the theories of pro-algebraic groups and linear algebraic groups. Pro-algebraic groups have independent interest besides the study of the derived length, for instance groups defined by invariance properties are typically pro-algebraic (Remark 2.5). Section 2.3 is devoted to introduce pro-algebraic groups, explain their basic properties, characterizing them in certain cases and to provide methods to construct examples (Proposition 2.5).

The main difficulty to work with subgroups of $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ is the infinite dimensional nature of $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$. Anyway any subgroup $G$ of
Diff($\mathbb{C}^n, 0$) is contained in a minimal pro-algebraic group $\overline{G}^{(0)}$ containing $G$, the so called (pro-)algebraic closure of $G$ ([19], see also section 2.3). The pro-algebraic closure is the analogue in the context of local diffeomorphisms of the Zariski closure of linear groups. Moreover the derived lengths of $G$ and $\overline{G}^{(0)}$ coincide [19]. The pro-algebraic closure of Diff($\mathbb{C}^n, 0$) is called group of formal diffeomorphisms. With this point of view we can apply techniques of Lie theory and the theory of linear algebraic groups to $\overline{G}^{(0)}$. For instance we can associate a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ (of formal vector fields) to $\overline{G}^{(0)}$ [19]. We replace $G$ with $\overline{G}^{(0)}$ in the remainder of the introduction. Even if we do not define $\overline{G}^{(0)}$ here, for now the reader can consider it as a technical device intended to place $G$ in the context of algebraic group theory. We prefer to introduce some of the properties of $\overline{G}^{(0)}$ and to give an idea of how they can be applied to prove the Main Theorem instead of a more formal presentation. The details can be found in next sections. We suppose also that $\overline{G}^{(0)}$ is a subgroup of Diff($\mathbb{C}^n, 0$). This is not true in general since $\overline{G}^{(0)}$ is a group of formal diffeomorphisms but the differences between this case and the general one are purely technical.

1.2. First estimation of $\psi$. We make an outline of the paper in the remainder of the introduction. We explain the ideas behind our approach, formal definitions and proofs are delayed for next sections.

The algebraic properties of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of $G$ and $G$ are analogous if $G$ is connected, i.e. if the image of the map $j^1 : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$, that sends every diffeomorphism in its linear part, is connected in the Zariski topology (or equivalently in the usual topology since $j^1G$ is a linear algebraic group). In this way we proved $\ell(G) \leq 2n$ if $G$ is a connected subgroup of Diff($\mathbb{C}^n, 0$); moreover we obtain $\ell(G) \leq 2n - 1$ if $j^1G$ is a group of unipotent matrices [19] Theorem 3.1.

The previous results are proved by showing their mirror versions in the Lie algebra setting. This is a simpler task since we classified Lie algebras of formal vector fields in [19] Theorem 6. Even if $\mathfrak{g}$ is an infinite dimensional complex vector space the key invariant is the dimension of the finite dimensional $\mathcal{K}_n$-vector space $\mathcal{K}_n \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g}$, where $\mathcal{K}_n$ is the field of fractions of the ring of formal power series with complex coefficients in $n$ variables. The other main ingredient of the classification is also going to play a fundamental role in this paper; it is the field of formal meromorphic first integrals

$$\mathcal{M} = \{ g \in \mathcal{K}_n : X(g) = 0 \ \forall X \in \mathfrak{g} \}$$
of \( g \). More precisely, the classification relies on understanding the above invariants for the Lie algebras in the derived series of \( g \).

Of course in general \( j^1 G \) is not connected. A naive approach to the general case is splitting the problem in two simpler ones, restricting the setting to some class of “connected” subgroups of \( \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \) and then trying to reduce the general case to the previous one by solving a linear problem.

Let us explain how to implement the aforementioned approach. Given a subgroup \( M \) of \( \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \) we denote by \( M_u \) its subset of unipotent matrices. Notice that if \( M \) is solvable then \( M_u \) is a normal subgroup of \( M \) (cf. Proposition 4.1). We define the functions

\[
\psi_1(n) = \max\{\ell(M/M_u) : M \text{ is a solvable subgroup of } \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})\}
\]

and

\[
\psi_2(n) = \max\{\ell(G) : G \leq \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0), \ell(G) < \infty \text{ and } j^1 G = (j^1 G)_u\}.
\]

Since \( (j^1 G)_u \) is a normal subgroup of \( j^1 G \), the unipotent part

\[
G_u := \{\varphi \in G : j^1 \varphi \in (j^1 G)_u\}
\]

of \( G \) is a normal subgroup of \( G \) if \( G \) is a solvable subgroup of \( \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \).

It is clear that \( \ell(G) \leq \ell(G/G_u) + \ell(G_u) \). In this way the problem splits in two simpler cases, namely a linear one since \( G/G_u \) is isomorphic to \( j^1 G/(j^1 G)_u \) and the study of the connected group \( G_u \) whose algebraic properties can be interpreted in terms of a Lie algebra of (formal) nilpotent vector fields. We obtain \( \ell(G/G_u) \leq \psi_1(n) \) and \( \ell(G_u) \leq \psi_2(n) \) if \( G \) is solvable.

The groups \( G/G_u \) and \( j^1 G/(j^1 G)_u \) are isomorphic to a completely reducible linear subgroup of \( \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \) (cf. section 4). In fact \( \psi_1 \) coincides with the function \( \sigma \) that determines the sharpest upper bound for the derived length of completely reducible subgroups of \( \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \) (cf. Proposition 4.1). The function \( \sigma \) was calculated by Newman [16], for example we have \( \sigma(2) = 4 \), \( \sigma(3) = \sigma(4) = \sigma(5) = 5 \). The function \( \psi_2(n) \) is equal to \( 2n - 1 \) [19] (Theorem 3.1). The function \( \sigma(n) + 2n - 1 \) can be considered as a baseline upper bound for \( \psi(n) \) in which the reduction of the linear part of \( G \) to a unipotent subgroup and the reduction of the unipotent part \( G_u \) of \( G \) are treated as if they were independent processes. As a consequence it is not surprising that this bound is not sharp. Notice that the Main Theorem implies \( \psi(2) = (\sigma(2) + 2 \cdot 2 - 1) - 2 \) and \( \psi(n) = (\sigma(n) + 2n - 1) - 3 \) for \( n \in \{3, 4, 5\} \).

1.3. Automorphisms of a Lie algebra of local vector fields. In order to calculate \( \psi \) we need to follow the evolution of the unipotent parts of the derived subgroups of \( G \). We can still profit from the rigid
structure of the Lie algebra \( g \) of \( G \) or the Lie algebra \( g_N \) of \( G_u \). Indeed we consider the adjoint representation of \( G \) on the Lie algebra \( g \); it induces a representation of \( G \) on \( g_N \). The study of such action allows to improve the bound \( \sigma(n) + 2n - 1 \) to obtain the sharpest bound \( 2n + 1 \).

A prerequisite in our perspective is understanding the action of the derived groups of \( G \) on the field \( \mathcal{M} \) of formal meromorphic first integrals of \( g_N \). On the one hand this action looks simpler since the transcendence degree \( \text{trdeg}(\mathcal{M}/\mathbb{C}) \) of the field extension \( \mathcal{M}/\mathbb{C} \) is less than \( n \) if \( g_N \neq 0 \) and hence we obtain an analogous problem in lower dimension. On the other hand the group induced in the bijections of \( \mathcal{M} \), by the action of \( G \) on \( \mathcal{M} \), is not necessarily isomorphic to a subgroup of \( \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \) for some \( m < n \) (or even to a subgroup of the formal completion of \( \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^m, 0) \)). For instance \( G \) can act on \( \mathcal{M} \) as a subgroup of Möbius transformations. Therefore the study of the action of \( G \) on \( \mathcal{M} \) requires leaving the natural context of the problem and in particular the local setting. In spite of this we can describe the action of \( G \) on \( \mathcal{M} \) if \( \text{trdeg}(\mathcal{M}/\mathbb{C}) \leq 1 \). The reason is the very simple structure of the fields of meromorphic first integrals of codimension 1 germs of singular foliations defined in a neighborhood of the origin in \( \mathbb{C}^m \) as a consequence of results of Mattei-Moussu [14] and Cerveau-Matei [3]. These results generalize to our formal setting. Let us say a word about the hypothesis \( n \leq 5 \) on the dimension. It is related to the aforementioned issues with fields of first integrals. Indeed in the case \( 2 \leq n \leq 5 \) we can reduce the proof of \( \psi(n) \leq 2n + 1 \) so it suffices to consider actions on fields of (formal) meromorphic first integrals of transcendence degree at most 1. The other inequality, that completes the proof of the Main Theorem is obtained by exhibiting an example \( G^n \) of solvable subgroup of \( \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \) of derived length \( 2n + 1 \) for any \( n \geq 2 \).

1.4. Remarks. We associate to a solvable subgroup \( G \) of \( \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \) some objects, for instance the Lie algebra \( g_N \) and the induced representation of \( G \) on \( g_N \), that make it easier to study its derived length. The tools borrowed from the theory of linear algebraic groups allow to keep an intrinsic point of view. For example at no point we need to resort to the theory of normal forms in order to prove \( \psi(n) \leq 2n + 1 \). Calculations at the jet level are not required either since such structure is embedded in the construction of the pro-algebraic closure of \( G \).

The proof of \( \psi(n) \leq 2n + 1 \) for \( n \leq 5 \) provides clues for possible groups of maximal derived length and it was useful to construct the actual examples. Our techniques provide information about the algebraic properties of a group of local complex analytic diffeomorphisms. Their interest goes beyond the study of the derived length.
2. Fundamental constructions

In this section we introduce the pro-algebraic subgroups of formal diffeomorphisms and their properties. Although there are new bits, many of the notations and results are borrowed from [19].

2.1. Formal diffeomorphisms. First of all we introduce some definitions.

Definition 2.1. We denote by $\hat{O}_n$ the local ring $\mathbb{C}[[x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$ of formal power series in $n$ variables with complex coefficients. We denote by $O_n$ the subring $\mathbb{C}\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ of $\hat{O}_n$ of convergent power series. We denote by $m$ and $\hat{m}$ the maximal ideals of $O_n$ and $\hat{O}_n$ respectively.

In our approach given a subgroup $G$ of $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ we construct its pro-algebraic closure $\overline{G}^{(0)}$. The latter group has the same derived length as $G$ and it is easier to handle since we can apply the theory of linear algebraic groups. Unfortunately $\overline{G}^{(0)}$ is not a subgroup of $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ in general. It is a subgroup of a formal completion of $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ whose elements are called formal diffeomorphisms. For completeness we introduce the construction of formal diffeomorphisms.

Given an element $\varphi \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ we consider its action in the space of $k$-jets. More precisely we consider the element $\varphi_k \in \text{GL}(m/m^{k+1})$ defined by

$$\frac{m/m^{k+1}}{g + m^{k+1}} \mapsto \frac{m/m^{k+1}}{g \circ \varphi + m^{k+1}}$$

where $m/m^{k+1}$ can be interpreted as a finite dimensional complex vector space. In this point of view diffeomorphisms are interpreted as operators acting on function spaces.

Definition 2.2. We define $D_k = \{\varphi_k : \varphi \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)\}$.

The group $D_k$ can be understood as an algebraic group of matrices by noticing that we have

$$D_k = \{\alpha \in \text{GL}(m/m^{k+1}) : \alpha(gh) = \alpha(g)\alpha(h) \forall g, h \in m/m^{k+1}\}$$

and that fixed $g, h \in m/m^{k+1}$ the equation $\alpha(gh) = \alpha(g)\alpha(h)$ is algebraic on the coefficients of $\alpha$.

It is clear that the natural projections $\pi_{k,l} : D_k \to D_l$ for $k \geq l$ define a projective system and hence we can consider the projective limit $\varprojlim D_k$, it is the so called group of formal diffeomorphisms. Indeed given a sequence $(\phi_k)_{k \geq 1}$ such that $\phi_k \in D_k$ and $\pi_{k,l}(\phi_k) = \phi_l$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $l \leq k$ the sequence $(\phi_k(x_j + m^{k+1}))_{k \geq 1}$ defines an element
in $\lim \leftarrow D_k$ for every $1 \leq j \leq n$. Since such a projective limit coincides with $\hat{\mathfrak{m}}$, we can consider $(\phi_k(x_j + m^{k+1}))_{k \geq 1}$ as an element $g_j$ of $\hat{\mathfrak{m}}$. Indeed the formal object

$$\varphi(x_1, \ldots, x_n) := (g_1(x_1, \ldots, x_n), \ldots, g_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n)) \in \hat{\mathfrak{m}}^n$$

behaves as a diffeomorphism since $\phi_1 \in \text{GL}(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)$ implies that the first jet

$$j^1 \varphi = (j^1 g_1, \ldots, j^1 g_n)$$

belongs to $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ where given $f \in \hat{\mathfrak{m}}$ we denote by $j^k f$ the unique polynomial of degree less or equal than $k$ such that $f - j^k f \in \hat{\mathfrak{m}}^{k+1}$. Moreover the $k$-jet $j^k \varphi = (j^k g_1, \ldots, j^k g_n)$ belongs to $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ by the inverse function theorem. Thus we can interpret $\varphi$ as an element of the formal completion of $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ with respect to the filtration $\{\mathfrak{m}^k \times \ldots \times \mathfrak{m}^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. Moreover given $f = \sum a_{j_1, \ldots, j_n} x_1^{j_1} \ldots x_n^{j_n} \in \hat{\mathfrak{m}}$ the composition

$$f \circ \varphi := \sum_{j_1, \ldots, j_n} a_{j_1, \ldots, j_n} g_1^{j_1} \ldots g_n^{j_n}$$

is equal to the element defined by $(\phi_k(f + \mathfrak{m}^{k+1}))_{k \geq 1}$ in $\hat{\mathfrak{m}}$.

We denote by $\hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ the group of formal diffeomorphisms. Resuming we can consider a formal diffeomorphism as either an element $(\phi_k)_{k \geq 1}$ in $\lim \leftarrow D_k$ or as an element $\varphi = (g_1, \ldots, g_n)$ of $\hat{\mathfrak{m}} \times \ldots \times \hat{\mathfrak{m}}$ such that $j^1 \varphi \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$.

**Definition 2.3.** Let $\hat{\phi} \in \hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$. We say that $\hat{\phi}$ is unipotent if $j^1 \hat{\phi}$ is a unipotent linear isomorphism, i.e. if $j^1 \hat{\phi} - \text{Id}$ is nilpotent. We denote by $\hat{\text{Diff}}_u(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ the set of unipotent formal diffeomorphisms. Given a subgroup $G$ of $\hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ we denote by $G_u$ the set of unipotent elements of $G$. We say that $G$ is unipotent if $G = G_u$.

**Remark 2.1.** An element $(\phi_k)_{k \geq 1}$ of $\lim \leftarrow D_k$ is unipotent if and only if $\phi_1$ is unipotent. It would be more natural to define $(\phi_k)_{k \geq 1}$ as unipotent if $\phi_k$ is unipotent for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. It is a simple exercise that these definitions coincide. Indeed the unipotent nature of $\phi_1$, $\pi_{k,1}(\phi_k) = \phi_1$ and the property $\phi_k(gh) = \phi_k(g)\phi_k(h)$ for all $g, h \in \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^{k+1}$ imply that $\phi_k$ is unipotent.

### 2.2. Formal vector fields.

We associate a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ to any subgroup $G$ of $\hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$. Analogously as for diffeomorphisms $\mathfrak{g}$ is not in general a Lie algebra of local vector fields even if $G$ is a subgroup of $\hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$.
Let us denote by $\mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ the Lie algebra of (singular) local vector fields defined in the neighborhood of 0 in $\mathbb{C}^n$. An element $X$ of $\mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ can be interpreted as a derivation of the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra $\mathcal{O}_n$ such that $X(\mathfrak{m}) \subset \mathfrak{m}$. Naturally the Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ of formal vector fields in $n$ variables is the set of derivations $X$ of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_n$ such that $X(\hat{\mathfrak{m}}) \subset \hat{\mathfrak{m}}$. A formal vector field $X \in \hat{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ is determined by $X(x_1), X(x_2), \ldots, X(x_n)$. We obtain
\begin{equation}
X = X(x_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \ldots + X(x_n) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}.
\end{equation}

**Definition 2.4.** We define $L_k$ as the Lie algebra of derivations of the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^{k+1}$.

Analogously as for formal diffeomorphisms the Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ can be understood as a projective limit $\lim_{\leftarrow} L_k$. Given $X \in \hat{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ consider the element $(X_k)_{k \geq 1}$ that defines in $\lim_{\leftarrow} L_k$. Since $L_k$ is the Lie algebra of $D_k$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain that $(\exp(X_k))_{k \geq 1}$ is a formal diffeomorphism $\varphi$. Equivalently given $t \in \mathbb{C}$ the expression
\begin{equation}
\exp(tX) = \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^j}{j!} X^j(x_1), \ldots, \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^j}{j!} X^j(x_n) \right)
\end{equation}
defines the exponential of $tX$ where $X^0(f) = f$ and $X^{j+1}(f) = X(X^j(f))$ for all $f \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_n$ and $j \geq 0$.

**Definition 2.5.** We say that a formal vector field $X \in \hat{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ is nilpotent if $j^1X$ is a linear nilpotent vector field (cf. Equation (2)). We denote by $\hat{\mathfrak{X}}_N(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ the set of nilpotent formal vector fields.

**Remark 2.2.** Analogously as in Remark 2.1 an element $(X_k)_{k \geq 1}$ of $\lim_{\leftarrow} L_k = \hat{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ is nilpotent if and only if $X_k$ is a nilpotent linear map for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

It is easier to deal with unipotent diffeomorphisms, instead of general ones, since the formal properties of formal unipotent diffeomorphisms and formal nilpotent vector fields are analogous.

**Proposition 2.1.** (cf. [6, 13]) The image of $\hat{\mathfrak{X}}_N(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ by the exponential map is equal to $\hat{\text{Diff}}_u(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ and $\exp : \hat{\mathfrak{X}}_N(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to \hat{\text{Diff}}_u(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ is a bijection.

**Definition 2.6.** Given $\varphi \in \hat{\text{Diff}}_u(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ we define its infinitesimal generator $\log \varphi$ as the unique element of $\hat{\mathfrak{X}}_N(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ such that $\varphi = \exp(\log \varphi)$. We define the 1-parameter group $(\varphi^t)_{t \in \mathbb{C}}$ by $\varphi^t = \exp(t \log \varphi)$. 

Generically the infinitesimal generator of a local diffeomorphism is a divergent vector field.

The properties of a unipotent diffeomorphism can be expressed in terms of its infinitesimal generator. We provide some simple examples.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \( \varphi \in \hat{\text{Diff}}_u(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \).

- Let \( X \in \hat{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \). If \( \varphi_* X = X \) then \( [\log \varphi, X] = 0 \).
- Let \( f \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_n \). If \( f \circ \varphi = f \) then \( (\log \varphi)(f) = 0 \).

**Proof.** Suppose \( \varphi_* X = X \). It is clear that \( (\varphi^t)_* X = X \) for any \( t \in \mathbb{Z} \). Since \( \log \varphi \) is nilpotent the expression \( (\varphi^t)_* X = \exp(t \log \varphi)_* X \) is polynomial in \( t \). We deduce \( (\varphi^t)_* X = X \) for any \( t \in \mathbb{C} \) since a polynomial vanishing in \( \mathbb{Z} \) is identically 0. We obtain

\[
[\log \varphi, X] = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{(\varphi^t)_* X - X}{t} = 0.
\]

The proof of the second property is analogous. \( \square \)

2.3. **Pro-algebraic groups of local diffeomorphisms.** In this section we define pro-algebraic subgroups of \( \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \) (or \( \hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \)). Moreover given a subgroup \( G \) of \( \hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \) we define the minimal pro-algebraic subgroup containing \( G \), the so called pro-algebraic closure. Along the way we explain how to adapt some concepts of Lie theory to pro-algebraic groups in our infinite dimensional setting. For instance we define the Lie algebra of a subgroup of \( \hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \), the connected component of the identity of a pro-algebraic subgroup of \( \hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \)...

**Definition 2.7.** Let us define the Krull topology in \( \hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \). Let \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( \varphi \in \hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \); we denote

\[
U_{k,\varphi} = \{ \eta \in \hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) : j^k \eta = j^k \varphi \}.
\]

The family \( \{U_{k,\varphi}\} \) where \( k \) varies in \( \mathbb{N} \) and \( \varphi \) varies in \( \hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \) is a base of neighborhoods for the Krull topology in \( \hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \). A sequence \( (\varphi_j)_{j \geq 1} \) converges to \( \varphi \) if given any \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) there exists \( j_0 \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( j^k \varphi_j = j^k \varphi \) for any \( j \geq j_0 \). The definition of the Krull topology for formal vector fields is analogous.

Our next goal is defining the pro-algebraic closure of a subgroup of \( \hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \). It is the analogue of the algebraic closure of a linear group in the context of formal diffeomorphisms.
Definition 2.8. Let $G$ be a subgroup of $\hat{\text{Diff}} \left( \mathbb{C}^n, 0 \right)$. We define $G_k$ as the smallest algebraic subgroup of $D_k$ containing $\{ \varphi_k : \varphi \in G \}$ (cf. Equation (1)).

Definition 2.9. Let $G$ be a subgroup of $\hat{\text{Diff}} \left( \mathbb{C}^n, 0 \right)$. We define $G^{(0)}$ as $\lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} G_k$, more precisely $G^{(0)}$ is the subgroup of $\hat{\text{Diff}} \left( \mathbb{C}^n, 0 \right)$ defined by

$$G^{(0)} = \{ \varphi \in \hat{\text{Diff}} \left( \mathbb{C}^n, 0 \right) : \varphi_k \in G_k \ \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \}.$$  

We say that $G^{(0)}$ is the pro-algebraic closure of $G$. We say that $G$ is pro-algebraic if $G = G^{(0)}$.

Remark 2.3. The pro-algebraic closure of a subgroup of $\hat{\text{Diff}} \left( \mathbb{C}^n, 0 \right)$ is closed in the Krull topology by construction.

The next two results are technical lemmas that we use to characterize the pro-algebraic subgroups of $\hat{\text{Diff}} \left( \mathbb{C}^n, 0 \right)$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $H_k$ be an algebraic subgroup of $D_k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $\pi_{l,k}(H_l) = H_k$ for all $l \geq k \geq 1$. Then $\lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} H_k$ is a pro-algebraic subgroup of $\hat{\text{Diff}} \left( \mathbb{C}^n, 0 \right)$. Moreover the natural map $\lim_{j \in \mathbb{N}} H_j \to H_k$ is surjective for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. The inverse limit $\lim_{j \in \mathbb{N}} H_j$ is contained in $\hat{\text{Diff}} \left( \mathbb{C}^n, 0 \right) = \lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} D_k$.

An inverse system $(S_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of non-empty sets and surjective maps indexed by the natural numbers satisfies that the natural projections $\lim_{j \in \mathbb{N}} S_j \to S_k$ are surjective for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $(\pi_{l,k})_{H_l} : H_l \to H_k$ is surjective for $l \geq k \geq 1$, the natural map $\lim_{j \in \mathbb{N}} H_j \to H_k$ is surjective for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular we obtain $\{ \varphi_k : \varphi \in \lim_{j \in \mathbb{N}} H_j \} = H_k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and then $(\lim_{j \in \mathbb{N}} H_k)^{(0)} = \lim_{j \in \mathbb{N}} H_k$. $\square$

Lemma 2.3. Let $G$ be a subgroup of $\hat{\text{Diff}} \left( \mathbb{C}^n, 0 \right)$. Then we obtain $\pi_{l,k}(G_l) = G_k$ for all $l \geq k \geq 1$. Moreover the natural map $\lim_{j \in \mathbb{N}} G_j \to G_k$ is surjective for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. The map $\pi_{l,k} : D_l \to D_k$ is a surjective morphism of algebraic groups for $l \geq k$. Moreover the image by $\pi_{l,k}$ of the smallest algebraic group of $\text{GL}(m/m+1)$ containing $\{ \varphi_l : \varphi \in G \}$ is the smallest algebraic group of $\text{GL}(m/m+1)$ containing $\{ \varphi_k : \varphi \in G \} = \pi_{l,k}(\{ \varphi_l : \varphi \in G \})$ (cf. [1][2.1 (f), p. 57]). Hence we have $\pi_{l,k}(G_l) = G_k$ if $l \geq k$. The last statement is a consequence of Lemma 2.2 $\square$

We provide two characterizations of pro-algebraic groups in next proposition.
Proposition 2.2. Let $G$ be a subgroup of $\widehat{\text{Diff}} (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1. $G$ is pro-algebraic.
2. $\{\varphi_k : \varphi \in G\}$ is an algebraic matrix group for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $G$ is closed in the Krull topology.
3. $G$ is of the form $\lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} H_k$ where $H_k$ is an algebraic subgroup of $D_k$ and $\pi_{l,k}(H_l) \subset H_k$ for all $l \geq k \geq 1$.

Proof. Let us prove (1) $\implies$ (2). Suppose $G = \overline{G}^{(0)}$. We obtain $\{\varphi_k : \varphi \in G\} = G_k$ by Lemma 2.3. Moreover since $\overline{G}^{(0)}$ is closed in the Krull topology by construction, $G$ is closed in the Krull topology.

Let us show (2) $\implies$ (1). The group $G_k$ is equal to $\{\varphi_k : \varphi \in G\}$ by hypothesis for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We claim $\overline{G}^{(0)} \subset G$. Indeed given $\varphi \in G$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $\eta(k) \in G$ such that $\varphi_k = (\eta(k))_k$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ since $\overline{G}^{(0)} = \lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \{\varphi_k : \varphi \in G\}$. In particular $\varphi = \lim_{k \to \infty} \eta(k)$ where the limit is considered in the Krull topology. Since $G$ is closed in the Krull topology, we obtain $\varphi \in G$. The inclusion $\overline{G}^{(0)} \subset G$ implies $G = \overline{G}^{(0)}$ and hence $G$ is pro-algebraic. Moreover we obtain $G = \overline{G}^{(0)} = \lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} G_k$ and then $G$ is the form in item (3) by Lemma 2.3. We just proved (2) $\implies$ (3).

Finally let us prove (3) $\implies$ (1). We define $H_{l,k} = \pi_{l,k}(H_l)$ for $l \geq k \geq 1$. The group $H_{l,k}$ is algebraic since it is the image of an algebraic group by a morphism of algebraic groups. Since $\pi_{l,k} = \pi_{l,l} \circ \pi_{l,l}$ for $l \geq l \geq k \geq 1$, the sequence $(H_{l,k})_{l \geq k}$ is decreasing for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The sequence stabilizes by the noetherianity of the ring of regular functions of an affine algebraic variety. We denote $K_k = \cap_{l \geq k} H_{l,k}$. Given $l \geq k \geq 1$ we consider $l' \geq l$ such that $K_l = H_{l',l}$ and $K_k = H_{l',k}$. Since $\pi_{l',k} = \pi_{l,k} \circ \pi_{l',l}$, we deduce $\pi_{l,k}(K_l) = K_k$ for all $l \geq k \geq 1$. The construction implies $\lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} K_k = \lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} H_k$. Thus $\lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} H_k$ is pro-algebraic by Lemma 2.2. \qed

Remark 2.4. Proposition 2.2 is very useful to show that certain groups are pro-algebraic. For example consider a family $\{G_j\}_{j \in J}$ of pro-algebraic subgroups of $\widehat{\text{Diff}} (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$. Let us see that $\cap_{j \in J} G_j$ is pro-algebraic. We have $\pi_{l,k}(\cap_{j \in J} (G_j)_l) \subset \cap_{j \in J} (G_j)_k \forall l \geq k \geq 1$ and $\cap_{j \in J} G_j = \lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \cap_{j \in J} (G_j)_k$.

Since the intersection of algebraic matrix groups is an algebraic group, the group $\cap_{j \in J} G_j$ is pro-algebraic by item (3) of Proposition 2.2.
Remark 2.5. Invariance properties typically define pro-algebraic groups. Item (3) of Proposition 2.2 provides an easy way of proving such property.

For instance consider $f \in \hat{O}_n$ and $G = \{ \varphi \in \hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n,0) : f \circ \varphi \equiv f \}$. We define

$$H_k = \{ A \in D_k : A(f + m^{k+1}) = f + m^{k+1} \};$$

it is clear that $H_k$ is an algebraic subgroup of $D_k$ and $\pi_{l,k}(H_l) \subset H_k$ for all $l \geq k \geq 1$. Moreover since $G = \lim_{\leftarrow k} H_k$, $G$ is a pro-algebraic group by Proposition 2.2. The power of item (3) of Proposition 2.2 is that in order to show that $G$ is pro-algebraic we do not need to find $\{ \varphi_k : \varphi \in G \}$ explicitly; in particular we could have $\{ \varphi_k : \varphi \in G \} \subsetneq H_k$. Moreover, it allows us to exploit that a pro-algebraic group can be expressed in several ways as an inverse limit of algebraic groups.

The group $\overline{G}^{(0)}$ is a projective limit of algebraic groups and closed in the Krull topology by definition. Since $G_k$ is an algebraic group of matrices and in particular a Lie group, we can define the connected component $G_{k,0}$ of the identity in $G_k$. We also consider the set $G_{k,u}$ of unipotent elements of $G_k$.

Definition 2.10. Let $G$ be a subgroup of $\hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n,0)$. We define

$$\overline{G}_0^{(0)} = \{ \varphi \in \hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n,0) : \varphi_k \in G_{k,0} \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \}$$

and

$$\overline{G}_u^{(0)} = \{ \varphi \in \hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n,0) : \varphi_k \in G_{k,u} \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \}.$$

Let us show that membership in $\overline{G}_0^{(0)}$ can be checked out on the linear part and that $\overline{G}_0^{(0)}$ is pro-algebraic.

Proposition 2.3. Let $G$ be a subgroup of $\hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n,0)$. Then we have $\overline{G}_0^{(0)} = \{ \varphi \in \overline{G}^{(0)} : \varphi_1 \in G_{1,0} \}$. Moreover $\overline{G}_0^{(0)}$ is pro-algebraic.

Proof. Lemma 3 of [19] implies $\pi^{-1}_{l,k}(G_{k,0}) = G_{l,0}$ and $\pi_{l,k}(G_{l,0}) = G_{k,0}$ for all $l \geq k \geq 1$. We deduce $\overline{G}_0^{(0)} = \{ \varphi \in \overline{G}^{(0)} : \varphi_1 \in G_{1,0} \}$. Since $\overline{G}_0^{(0)} = \lim_{\leftarrow k \in \mathbb{N}} G_{k,0}$ and $\pi_{l,k} : G_{l,0} \to G_{k,0}$ is surjective for all $l \geq k \geq 1$, the group $\overline{G}_0^{(0)}$ is pro-algebraic by Lemma 2.2. □

We prove next that $\overline{G}_u^{(0)}$ is a pro-algebraic group if $G$ is solvable.

Lemma 2.4. Let $G$ be a solvable subgroup of $\hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n,0)$. Then

- $\ell(\overline{G}^{(0)}) = \ell(G)$. 

• $G_{k,u} \subset G_{k,0}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
• $\exp(t \log \varphi) \in \overline{G}^{(0)}_u$ for all $\varphi \in \overline{G}^{(0)}_u$ and $t \in \mathbb{C}$.
• $G_{k,u}$ is a normal connected algebraic subgroup of the group $G_k$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
• $\overline{G}^{(0)}_u$ is a pro-algebraic normal subgroup of $\overline{G}^{(0)}$. Moreover $\overline{G}^{(0)} = \overline{G}^{(0)}_u$ if $G$ is unipotent.

**Proof.** The three first properties are proved in Lemma 1 of [19]. Indeed these properties hold true even if $G$ is not solvable.

Since $G_{k,0}$ is a connected solvable algebraic group, its subset $G_{k,u}$ of unipotent elements is a connected normal closed subgroup of $G_{k,0}$ (cf. [1][Theorem 10.6, p. 137]). We obtain that $G_{k,u}$ is a subgroup (obviously normal) of $G_k$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

The previous property implies that $\overline{G}^{(0)}_u$ is a normal subgroup of $\overline{G}^{(0)}$. We have $\pi^{-1}_{l,k}(G_{k,u}) = G_{l,u}$ for all $l \geq k \geq 1$ by Remark 2.1. Since $\pi_{l,k}(G_l) = G_k$ by Lemma 2.3 hence $\pi_{l,k}(G_{l,u}) = G_{k,u}$ for all $l \geq k \geq 1$. Therefore $\overline{G}^{(0)}_u = \varprojlim G_{k,u}$ is pro-algebraic by Lemma 2.2. The group $\overline{G}^{(0)}_u$ is unipotent if $G$ is unipotent by Lemma 4 of [19]. □

**Remark 2.6.** Let $G$ be a solvable subgroup of $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n,0)$. A useful feature of the groups $\overline{G}^{(0)}_0$ and $\overline{G}^{(0)}_u$ is that in spite of being infinite dimensional in general, $\overline{G}^{(0)}_u$ has finite codimension and $\overline{G}^{(0)}_0$ has codimension 0 in $\overline{G}^{(0)}$. More precisely, the groups $\overline{G}^{(0)}_u/\overline{G}^{(0)}_u$ and $\overline{G}^{(0)}_0/\overline{G}^{(0)}_0$ are isomorphic to $G_1/G_{1,u}$ and $G_1/G_{1,0}$ respectively by Remark 2.1 and Proposition 2.3. In particular $\overline{G}^{(0)}_u/\overline{G}^{(0)}_0$ is a finite group.

We can associate Lie algebras to pro-algebraic groups.

**Definition 2.11.** Let $G$ be a subgroup of $\hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n,0)$. We define the set

$$\mathfrak{g} = \{ X \in \hat{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{C}^n,0) : X_k \in \mathfrak{g}_k \ \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \}$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_k$ is the Lie algebra of $G_k$. We say that $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of the pro-algebraic group $\overline{G}^{(0)}$.

Suppose $G$ is solvable, we define

$$\mathfrak{g}_N = \{ X \in \hat{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{C}^n,0) : X_k \in \mathfrak{g}_{k,u} \ \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \}$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_{k,u}$ is the Lie algebra of $G_{k,u}$.

Notice that by definition $\mathfrak{g}_N$ is the Lie algebra of the pro-algebraic group $\overline{G}^{(0)}_u$. 
The Lie algebra of a pro-algebraic subgroup of $\hat{\operatorname{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n,0)$ shares analogous properties with the finite dimensional case.

**Proposition 2.4.** [Proposition 2] Let $G \subset \hat{\operatorname{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n,0)$ be a group. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ is equal to $\{X \in \hat{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{C}^n,0) : \exp(tX) \in G(0) \forall t \in \mathbb{C}\}$ and $G(0)$ is generated by the set $\{\exp(X) : X \in \mathfrak{g}\}$. Moreover if $G$ is unipotent (cf. Definition 2.3) the map

$$\exp : \mathfrak{g} \to G(0)$$

is a bijection and $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebra of nilpotent formal vector fields.

**Remark 2.7.** The term “connected component of the identity of $G(0)$” for $G(0)$ is completely justified. On the one hand $G(0)/G(0)^0$ is a finite group by Remark 2.6. On the other hand every element $\varphi$ of $G(0)^0$ is of the form $\exp(X_1) \circ \ldots \circ \exp(X_k)$ where $X_1, \ldots, X_k \in \mathfrak{g}$ by Proposition 2.4. Hence $\exp(tX_1) \circ \ldots \circ \exp(tX_k)$ describes a path connecting the identity with $\varphi$ in $G(0)^0$ when $t$ varies in $[0,1]$.

**Corollary 2.1.** Let $G \subset \hat{\operatorname{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n,0)$ be a solvable group. Then $\mathfrak{g}_N$ is a complex Lie algebra of nilpotent formal vector fields such that

$$\exp : \mathfrak{g}_N \to G(0)$$

is a bijection. Moreover $\mathfrak{g}_N$ is closed in the Krull topology.

**Proof.** Denote $H = G(0)^0$. Then $H$ is a solvable unipotent pro-algebraic group by Lemma 2.4. Since $\mathfrak{g}_N$ is the Lie algebra of $H$, the result is a consequence of Proposition 2.4. Notice that $\mathfrak{g}_N$ is closed in the Krull topology by construction. \(\square\)

Let us present a method to construct unipotent pro-algebraic groups as exponentials of Lie algebras of formal nilpotent vector fields.

**Proposition 2.5.** Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie subalgebra of $\hat{\mathfrak{X}}_N(\mathbb{C}^n,0)$ that is closed in the Krull topology. Then the set $\exp(\mathfrak{g}) := \{\exp(X) : X \in \mathfrak{g}\}$ is a pro-algebraic unipotent subgroup of $\hat{\operatorname{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n,0)$ whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{g}$.

**Proof.** Every element $X$ of $\hat{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{C}^n,0)$ induces a derivation of the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^{k+1}$, i.e. an element of $L_k$. Let $\mathfrak{g}_k$ be the Lie subalgebra of the Lie algebra $L_k$ induced by $\mathfrak{g}$. The elements of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_k$ are nilpotent for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ by Remark 2.2. Hence $\mathfrak{g}_k$ is algebraic, i.e. it is the Lie algebra of a linear algebraic group (cf. [1] p. 106 and Corollary 7.7, p. 108). Let $G_k$ be the connected linear algebraic subgroup of $\operatorname{GL}(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^{k+1})$ whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{g}_k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_k$ is contained in the Lie algebra $L_k$ of $D_k$. Thus $G_k$ is contained in $D_k$. 


Since all elements of \( g_k \) are nilpotent, \( g_k \) is upper triangular up to a linear change of coordinates by Engel’s theorem (cf. [20][chapter V, section 2, p. 33]). In such coordinates the diagonal coefficients of any element of \( g_k \) vanish. In particular \( \exp(g_k) \) is a set of upper triangular matrices whose diagonal elements are all equal to 1. Therefore the group \( G_k = \langle \exp(g_k) \rangle \) is upper triangular and all its elements are unipotent. We deduce that \( \exp : g_k \rightarrow G_k \) is a bijection and in particular \( G_k = \exp(g_k) \) for any \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). Since \( g \) is closed in the Krull topology, we obtain \( \exp(g) = \varprojlim \exp(g_k) \). Thus \( \exp(g) \) is a group that is closed in the Krull topology. Moreover, since \( \exp(g_k) \) is algebraic for any \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), the group \( \exp(g)(0) \) is equal to \( \exp(g) \) and its Lie algebra is equal to \( g = \varprojlim g_k \) by construction. 

We already have two methods to construct pro-algebraic groups, namely as groups defined by invariance properties (Remark 2.5) or as exponentials of Lie algebras of formal nilpotent vector fields.

The previous proposition makes explicit the Lie correspondence in the context of unipotent pro-algebraic groups of formal diffeomorphisms.

**Proposition 2.6.** The map \( g \rightarrow \exp(g) \) is a bijection from Lie subalgebras of \( \hat{\mathfrak{X}}_N(\mathbb{C}^n,0) \) that are closed in the Krull topology to unipotent pro-algebraic subgroups of \( \hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n,0) \).

**Proof.** The map is well-defined by Proposition 2.5. It is surjective by Proposition 2.4. It is injective by Proposition 2.1. □

Let us give a characterization of the pro-algebraic closure of a unipotent group in terms of one-parameter groups.

**Proposition 2.7.** Let \( G \) be a unipotent subgroup of \( \hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n,0) \). Then \( G^{(0)} \) is equal to the closure in the Krull topology of \( \langle \bigcup_{\varphi \in G} \{ \varphi^t : t \in \mathbb{C} \} \rangle \).

**Proof.** Let \( H \) be the closure in the Krull topology of \( \langle \bigcup_{\varphi \in G} \{ \varphi^t : t \in \mathbb{C} \} \rangle \). The group \( H \) is contained in \( G^{(0)} \) by Proposition 2.6. We denote \( C_k = \{ \varphi_k : \varphi \in G \} \) for any \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). It is unipotent, i.e. it consists of unipotent elements by Remark 2.1. Notice that given a unipotent \( A \in \text{GL}(m/m^{k+1}) \) there exists a unique nilpotent \( \log A \in \text{End}(m/m^{k+1}) \) such that \( \exp(\log A) = A \). Moreover if \( A = \varphi_k \) for some \( \varphi \in G \) then \( \log A = (\log \varphi)_k \). Thus we obtain \( \bigcup_{\varphi \in G} \{ \varphi^t : t \in \mathbb{C} \} \) and then \( \langle \bigcup_{\varphi \in G} \{ \varphi^t : t \in \mathbb{C} \} \rangle \).

A theorem of Chevalley implies that a group generated by a union of connected algebraic groups is algebraic (cf. [1][section I.2.2, p. 57]); in particular \( \langle \bigcup_{\varphi \in G} \{ \varphi^t : t \in \mathbb{C} \} \rangle \) and then \( \langle \bigcup_{\varphi \in G} \{ \varphi^t : t \in \mathbb{C} \} \rangle \).
are algebraic. Therefore \( \{ \varphi_k : \varphi \in H \} \) is algebraic for any \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). Since \( H \) is closed in the Krull topology by construction, \( H \) is pro-algebraic by Proposition 2.2. The property \( G \subset H \subset \overline{G}^{(0)} \) implies \( \overline{G}^{(0)} \subset H \subset \overline{G}^{(0)} \) and then \( \overline{G}^{(0)} = H \). □

Proposition 2.7 provides an alternate characterization of unipotent pro-algebraic subgroups of \( \hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n,0) \).

Corollary 2.2. Let \( G \) be a unipotent subgroup of \( \hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n,0) \). Then \( G \) is pro-algebraic if and only if \( G \) is closed in the Krull topology and the one-parameter group \( \{ \varphi^t : t \in \mathbb{C} \} \) is contained in \( G \) for any \( \varphi \in G \).

Proof. Let \( H \) be the closure in the Krull topology of \( \cup_{\varphi \in G} \{ \varphi^t : t \in \mathbb{C} \} \).

We have \( G \subset H = \overline{G}^{(0)} \) by Proposition 2.6.

Suppose that \( G \) is pro-algebraic. Then \( H \) is equal to \( G \) and it is clear that \( \cup_{\varphi \in G} \{ \varphi^t : t \in \mathbb{C} \} \subset G \) and that \( G \) is closed in the Krull topology.

Suppose that \( \cup_{\varphi \in G} \{ \varphi^t : t \in \mathbb{C} \} \subset G \) and that \( G \) is closed in the Krull topology. Hence \( H \) is contained in \( G \). Since \( G \subset H \), we deduce \( H = G \). Finally we remark that \( H = \overline{G}^{(0)} \) is pro-algebraic. □

Remark 2.8. As an example let us see that the pro-algebraic closure of a cyclic group \( \langle \varphi \rangle \) for \( \varphi \in \hat{\text{Diff}}_u(\mathbb{C}^n,0) \) is equal to \( \{ \varphi^t : t \in \mathbb{C} \} \). The group \( \langle \varphi \rangle^{(0)} \) contains \( \{ \varphi^t : t \in \mathbb{C} \} \) by the third property of Lemma 2.4. It suffices to show that \( \{ \varphi^t : t \in \mathbb{C} \} \) is pro-algebraic. This is a consequence of Proposition 2.5 since \( \{ \varphi^t : t \in \mathbb{C} \} \) is the exponential of the Lie algebra \( \langle \log \varphi \rangle \) of dimension less or equal than 1 generated by \( \log \varphi \) which is closed in the Krull topology. It is also an easy consequence of Corollary 2.2 since \( \{ \varphi^t : t \in \mathbb{C} \} \) is closed in the Krull topology.

Remark 2.9. Remark 2.8 provides conceptual explanations for the extension of invariance properties to the one parameter group of \( \varphi \in \hat{\text{Diff}}_u(\mathbb{C}^n,0) \). For example suppose \( f \circ \varphi \equiv f \) for some \( f \in \hat{O}_n \). Since the group \( G = \{ \eta \in \hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n,0) : f \circ \eta \equiv f \} \) is pro-algebraic by Remark 2.5 it contains \( \{ \varphi^t : t \in \mathbb{C} \} = \langle \varphi \rangle^{(0)} \) and hence we deduce \( (\log \varphi)(f) \equiv 0 \).

2.4. Derived series. The goal of this paper is obtaining sharp bounds for the derived length of solvable groups of local diffeomorphisms. Let us introduce some definitions for the sake of clarity.

Definition 2.12. Let \( G \) be a group. We denote by \([\alpha, \beta]\) the commutator \( \alpha \beta \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \) of \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \). Given subgroups \( H, L \) of \( G \) we define \([H, L]\) as the subgroup generated by the elements of the form \([h, l]\) for
all \( h \in H \) and \( l \in L \). We denote \( G^{(0)} = G \). We define by induction the \( j \)-derived group \( G^{(j)} := [G^{(j-1)}, G^{(j-1)}] \) of \( G \) for \( j > 0 \) (or just the derived group if \( j = 1 \)).

**Definition 2.13.** We can define the derived series of a complex Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g} \) analogously. The subgroup generated by the commutators is replaced by the Lie subalgebra generated by the Lie brackets. We define \( \mathfrak{g}^{(0)} := \mathfrak{g} \) and \( \mathfrak{g}^{(j)} := [\mathfrak{g}^{(j-1)}, \mathfrak{g}^{(j-1)}] \) for \( j > 0 \).

**Definition 2.14.** Let \( G \) be a group (resp. Lie algebra). We define the derived length

\[
\ell(G) = \min \{ k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} : G^{(k)} \text{ is trivial} \}
\]

where \( \min \emptyset = \infty \) by convention. We say that \( G \) is solvable if \( \ell(G) < \infty \).

The next definitions were introduced in \[19\].

**Definition 2.15.** Let \( G \) be a subgroup of \( \hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \). By induction we define the \( j \)-closed derived group \( G^{(j)} \) of \( G \) as the closure in the Krull topology of \( [G^{(j-1)}, G^{(j-1)}] \) for any \( j \in \mathbb{N} \).

Next results are straightforward.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let \( G \) be a subgroup of \( \hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \). Then \( \overline{G}^{(j)} \) is the closure in the Krull topology of the \( j \)-derived group of \( \overline{G}^{(0)} \) for any \( j \in \mathbb{N} \). Moreover, the series \( \ldots \triangleleft \overline{G}^{(m)} \triangleleft \ldots \triangleleft \overline{G}^{(1)} \triangleleft \overline{G}^{(0)} \) is normal.

**Corollary 2.3.** Let \( G \) be a solvable subgroup of \( \hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \). Denote \( H = \overline{G}^{(0)}_{\text{u}} \). Then \( \overline{H}^{(j)} \) is the closure in the Krull topology of the \( j \)-derived group of \( H \) for any \( j \in \mathbb{N} \). Moreover, the series

\[
\ldots \triangleleft \overline{H}^{(m)} \triangleleft \ldots \triangleleft \overline{H}^{(1)} \triangleleft \overline{H}^{(0)}
\]

is normal. The group \( \overline{H}^{(j)} \) is a normal subgroup of \( \overline{G}^{(0)} \) for any \( j \geq 0 \).

Given a subgroup \( G \) of \( \hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \) we construct its pro-algebraic closure \( \overline{G}^{(0)} \). The pro-algebraic nature extends to the closed derived groups.

**Proposition 2.8.** Let \( G \) be a subgroup of \( \hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \). Then \( \overline{G}^{(j)} \) is a pro-algebraic group for any \( j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \). More precisely \( \{ \varphi_k : \varphi \in \overline{G}^{(j)} \} \) is the algebraic matrix group \( G^{(j)}_k \) for all \( j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \) and \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) and we have \( \overline{G}^{(j)} = \varprojlim G^{(j)}_k \) for any \( j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \).
The solvable length of groups of local diffeomorphisms

Proof. The derived group of a linear algebraic group is algebraic (cf. [2.3, p. 58]). As a consequence $G_k^{(j)}$ is algebraic for all $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

We define $G_l^{(j)} = \{ \varphi \in \widehat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n,0) : \varphi_k \in G_k^{(j)} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \}$. Since $\pi_{l,k}(G_l) = G_k$, we obtain $\pi_{l,k}(G_l^{(j)}) = G_k^{(j)}$ for all $l \geq k \geq 1$ and $j \geq 0$. The group $G_l^{(j)}$ is pro-algebraic for any $j \geq 0$ by Lemma 2.2.

The remainder of the proof is devoted to show $\overline{G}^{(j)} = \overline{G}^{(j)}$ for any $j \geq 0$. It suffices to prove the result for $j = 1$. The inclusion $\overline{G}^{(1)} \subset \overline{G}^{(1)}$ is clear.

Let $\varphi \in \overline{G}^{(1)}$. Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\varphi_k$ is a product of commutators of elements of $G_k$. Since $\pi_{j,k} : G_j \to G_k$ is surjective for $j \geq k$, we obtain that there exists $\eta(k) \in (\overline{G}^{(0)})^{(1)}$ such that $(\eta(k))_k = \varphi_k$. Therefore $\varphi$ is the limit in the Krull topology of the sequence $(\eta(k))_{k \geq 1}$. We are done since the Krull closure of $(\overline{G}^{(0)})^{(1)}$ is equal to $\overline{G}^{(1)}$ by definition.

Remark 2.10. The previous results justify the definition of $\overline{G}^{(j)}$. On the one hand $\overline{G}^{(j)} = \{ \text{Id} \}$ is equivalent to $G^{(j)} = \{ \text{Id} \}$ by Corollary 2.3 and Lemma 2.4. On the other hand the group $\overline{G}^{(j)}$ is more compatible with the pro-algebraic nature of $G^{(0)}$ than $(\overline{G}^{(0)})^{(j)}$ by Proposition 2.8.

Let us introduce the closed derived series of a Lie algebra.

Definition 2.16. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie subalgebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{C}^n,0)$. We denote by $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}^{(0)}$ the closure of $\mathfrak{g}$ in the Krull topology. We define the $j$-closed derived Lie algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}^{(j)}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ as the closure in the Krull topology of $[\overline{\mathfrak{g}}^{(j-1)}, \overline{\mathfrak{g}}^{(j-1)}]$ for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 2.17. Let $G$ be a solvable subgroup of $\widehat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n,0)$. We denote $\overline{G}^{(j)} = (\overline{\mathfrak{g}}^{(j)}).

The next lemma is the analogue of Lemma 2.5 for Lie algebras.

Lemma 2.6. Let $G \subset \widehat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n,0)$ be a solvable group. Then $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}^{(j)}$ (resp. $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}^{(j)}_N$) is the closure in the Krull topology of $\mathfrak{g}^{(j)}$ (resp. $(\overline{\mathfrak{g}}_N)^{(j)}$) for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover we have $\varphi_\ast \overline{\mathfrak{g}}^{(j)} = \overline{\mathfrak{g}}^{(j)}$ and $\varphi_\ast \overline{\mathfrak{g}}^{(j)}_N = \overline{\mathfrak{g}}^{(j)}_N$ for all $\varphi \in \overline{G}^{(0)}$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$. The series

$$
\ldots \triangleleft \overline{\mathfrak{g}}^{(m)} \triangleleft \ldots \triangleleft \overline{\mathfrak{g}}^{(1)} \triangleleft \overline{\mathfrak{g}}^{(0)} = \mathfrak{g}, \ldots \triangleleft \overline{\mathfrak{g}}^{(m)}_N \triangleleft \ldots \triangleleft \overline{\mathfrak{g}}^{(1)}_N \triangleleft \overline{\mathfrak{g}}^{(0)}_N = \mathfrak{g}_N
$$

are normal. The Lie algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}^{(j)}_N$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$ for any $j \geq 0$.

The next proposition establishes that the derived length of a unipotent subgroup of $\widehat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n,0)$ and its Lie algebra coincide.
Proposition 2.9. [19][Proposition 3] Let $G \subset \widehat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n,0)$ be a solvable group. Denote $H = G^{(0)}_u$. Then $g^{(j)}_N$ is the Lie algebra of $H^{(j)}$ for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular we obtain $\exp(g^{(j)}_N) = H^{(j)}$.

Given a connected Lie group $G$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, the Lie algebra of $G^{(1)}$ is the derived Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$. Proposition 2.9 is an analogue of such result adapted to the context of connected pro-algebraic groups.

2.5. Classical results. Let us introduce classic results, namely theorems by Lie and Kolchin on triangularizable Lie algebras and groups and the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula.

Theorem 2.1. (Lie, cf. [20][chapter V, section 5, p. 36]) Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a solvable Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field $K$ of characteristic 0. Let $\varphi$ be a linear representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ with representation space $V$. Then up to change of base in $V$ the Lie algebra $\varphi(\mathfrak{g})$ consists of upper triangular matrices.

Theorem 2.2. (Lie-Kolchin, cf. [9][section 17.6, p. 113]) Let $G$ be a solvable connected subgroup of $\text{GL}(n,F)$ where $F$ is an algebraically closed field. Then up to a change of base $G$ is a group of upper triangular matrices.

Theorem 2.3. (Kolchin, cf. [20][chapter V, p. 35]). Let $V$ a finite dimensional vector space over a field $K$. Let $G$ be a subgroup of $\text{GL}(V)$ such that each element $g \in G$ is unipotent. Then up to a change of base $G$ is a group of upper triangular matrices.

Remark 2.11. A unipotent matrix group is nilpotent by Kolchin’s theorem.

Remark 2.12. Let $G$ be a unipotent linear algebraic group over $\mathbb{C}$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. The exponential mapping $\exp : \mathfrak{g} \to G$ is a diffeomorphism. Thus $G$ is simply connected.

Remark 2.13. (Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula, cf. [4][chapter 1]). Let $G$ be a simply connected nilpotent Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. The mapping $\exp : \mathfrak{g} \to G$ is an analytic diffeomorphism. Let $X,Y \in \mathfrak{g}$. We have that $\log(\exp(X)\exp(Y))$ is equal to

$$\sum_{n>0} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} \sum_{r_1+s_1>0, \ldots, r_n+s_n>0, 1 \leq i \leq n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (r_i + s_i)\right)^{-1} X^{r_1}Y^{s_1}X^{r_2}Y^{s_2}\ldots X^{r_n}Y^{s_n}$$

where

$$[X^{r_1}Y^{s_1}\ldots X^{r_n}Y^{s_n}] = \left[X,\left[X,\ldots,\left[X,\left[Y,\ldots,Y\right]\ldots]\right]\right].$$
The previous formula is due to Dynkin. Remarks 2.11 and 2.12 imply that we can apply this formula in a unipotent subgroup \( G \) of \( \hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n,0) \). Indeed \( G_k \) is a unipotent simply connected nilpotent matrix group for any \( k \in \mathbb{N} \).

**Remark 2.14.** The study of the action of \( G(0) \) on the Lie algebra \( g_N \) is crucial to study the derived length of solvable subgroups of \( \hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n,0) \). The possibility of using Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula makes \( g_N \) easier to handle than \( g \).

### 3. Algebraic structure of unipotent groups

We have \( \ell(G) \leq \ell(G/G_u) + \ell(G_u) \) for any solvable subgroup \( G \) of \( \hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n,0) \). The group \( G/G_u \) is isomorphic to \( j^1G/(j^1G)_u \). It is natural to study the algebraic properties of \( L/L_u \) for a solvable subgroup \( L \) of \( \text{GL}(n,\mathbb{C}) \) and of unipotent subgroups of \( \hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n,0) \). The former topic is treated in section 4 whereas the latter one is the subject of this section. Of course calculating sharp bounds for the derived length in these two cases does not suffice to find a sharp bound for solvable subgroups of \( \hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n,0) \) since these problems are not independent in our context. In fact \( G^{(\sigma)} \) can be much smaller than \( G_u \) for \( \sigma = \ell(G/G_u) \). Sections 6 and 7 are devoted to understand how these processes interact with each other.

**Definition 3.1.** We define \( \hat{K}_n \) the field of fractions of the ring of formal power series \( \hat{O}_n \).

**Definition 3.2.** Let \( G \subset \hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n,0) \) be a solvable group. We define \( \kappa(p) \) as the dimension of the \( \hat{K}_n \)-vector space \( \mathfrak{g}_N^{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \hat{K}_n \) for any \( p \geq 0 \). It is obvious that \( \kappa(p+1) \leq \kappa(p) \leq n \) for any \( p \geq 0 \).

**Remark 3.1.** In [19] we defined \( \kappa(p) \) as the dimension of \( \mathfrak{g}_N^{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \hat{K}_n \). Here we focus on the Lie algebra of the unipotent part of \( G \).

**Definition 3.3.** Let \( \mathfrak{g} \) be a Lie subalgebra of \( \hat{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{C}^n,0) \). We define the field

\[
\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{g}) = \{ g \in \hat{K}_n : X(g) = 0 \ \forall X \in \mathfrak{g} \}
\]

of “formal meromorphic” first integrals of \( \mathfrak{g} \). Let \( G \subset \hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n,0) \) be a solvable group. We define \( \mathcal{M}_p = \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{g}_N^{(p)}) \) for any \( p \geq 0 \).

Given a solvable subgroup \( G \) of \( \hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n,0) \) the Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g}_N^{(p)} \) is invariant by the action of elements of \( \mathfrak{g}_N^{(0)} \) for \( p \geq 0 \). As a consequence \( g \circ \varphi \in \mathcal{M}_p \) for all \( \varphi \in \mathfrak{g}_N^{(0)} \) and \( g \in \mathcal{M}_p \). The actions defined by \( G \) in
and $\mathfrak{g}_N$ are crucial to understand the algebraic properties of the derived series of $G$.

The next lemmas are key results in order to provide the classification of subgroups of $\hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ whose first jet is a connected matrix group. [19][Theorem 6].

Lemma 3.1. [19] Let $G \subset \hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ be a solvable group. Suppose $\kappa(p+1) < \kappa(p)$. Denote $q = \kappa(p+1)$ and $m = \kappa(p) - \kappa(p+1)$. Let $\{Y_1, \ldots, Y_q\} \subset \mathfrak{g}_N^{(p+1)}$ be a base of the $K_n$-vector space $\mathfrak{g}_N^{(p+1)} \otimes \mathbb{C}K_n$. Let $\{X_1, \ldots, X_m\} \subset \mathfrak{g}_N^{(p)}$ be a set such that $\{Y_1, \ldots, Y_q, X_1, \ldots, X_m\}$ is a base of $\mathfrak{g}_N^{(p+1)} \otimes \mathbb{C}K_n$. Consider $Z = \sum_{j=1}^q b_j Y_j + \sum_{k=1}^m a_k X_k \in \mathfrak{g}_N^{(p)}$. Then $a_1, \ldots, a_m$ belong to $M_p$.

Lemma 3.2. [19] Let $G \subset \hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ be a solvable group. Suppose $\kappa(p+1) < \kappa(p)$ and $\kappa(r) = \kappa(p)$ for some $r < p$. Consider the notations in Lemma 3.1. Let $Z = \sum_{j=1}^q b_j Y_j + \sum_{k=1}^m a_k X_k$ be an element of $\mathfrak{g}_N^{(r+1)}$. Then $a_k$ belongs to $M_{p+1}$ for any $1 \leq k \leq m$ and $X_j(a_k) \in M_p$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m$ and $1 \leq k \leq m$.

The Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 are useful to understand the nature of $\mathfrak{g}_N$.

Proposition 3.1. [19][Proposition 10] Let $G \subset \hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ be a solvable group. Suppose $\kappa(p) > 0$ and $M_p = \mathbb{C}$ for some $p \geq 0$. Then we have $\kappa(p+1) < \kappa(p)$.

Corollary 3.1. Let $G \subset \hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ be a solvable group such that $\kappa(0) = n$. Then we have $\kappa(1) < n$.

Proposition 3.2. [19][Proposition 8] Let $G \subset \hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ be a solvable group. Suppose $\kappa(p) > 0$ for some $p \geq 0$. Then we have $\kappa(p+2) < \kappa(p)$.

Remark 3.2. Let $G \subset \hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ be a unipotent solvable group with $\kappa(0) \leq p$. Then we obtain $\ell(G) \leq 2p$ by Propositions 2.9 and 3.2.

Corollary 3.1 and Propositions 3.2 and 2.9 imply the inequality in next theorem.

Theorem 3.1. [19][Theorem 4] We have $\ell(G) \leq 2n - 1$ for any unipotent solvable subgroup $G$ of $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$. Moreover the bound is sharp, i.e. there exists a unipotent solvable subgroup $H$ of $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ such that $\ell(H) = 2n - 1$. 
4. Linear groups

Fix a field $F$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As explained in section 3 we are interested in calculating $\max\{\ell(G/G_u) : G < \text{GL}(n, F) \text{ and } \ell(G) < \infty\}$. Let us explain how this problem is related to the solvability properties of completely reducible groups.

Consider a subgroup $G$ of $\text{GL}(n, F)$. We introduce a construction that is used by Dixon in [5]. Consider a sequence $\{0\} = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \ldots \subset V_k = F^n$ of $G$-invariant linear subspaces such that $V_j \neq V_{j+1}$ and the action of $G$ on $V_{j+1}/V_j$ is irreducible for any $0 \leq j < k$. It is always possible up to introduce more subspaces in the sequence. We denote by $A|V_{j+1}/V_j$ the action of $A$ on $V_{j+1}/V_j$. We define the map

$$\varpi : G \to \text{GL}(V_1/V_0) \times \ldots \times \text{GL}(V_k/V_{k-1})$$

$$A \mapsto (A|V_1/V_0, \ldots, A|V_k/V_{k-1}).$$

By construction the group $\varpi(G)$ is a completely reducible subgroup of $\text{GL}(n, F)$. The kernel of the morphism $\varpi$ is contained in the set of unipotent elements; this can be very easily proved by considering a base of $F^n$ that contains a base of $V_j$ for any $0 \leq j \leq k$. We can be more precise in the solvable case.

**Proposition 4.1.** Let $G$ be a solvable subgroup of $\text{GL}(n, F)$ where $F$ is a field of characteristic 0. Then we have $\ker(\varpi) = G_u$. In particular $G/G_u$ is isomorphic to a completely reducible subgroup of $\text{GL}(n, F)$. Moreover if $G$ is completely reducible then $G_u = \{\text{Id}\}$.

**Proof.** We already proved $\ker(\varpi) \subset G_u$. Let us show $G_u \subset \ker(\varpi)$.

We consider $G$ as a subgroup of $\text{GL}(n, F)$ where $F$ is the algebraic closure of $F$. Let $\overline{G}$ be the algebraic closure of $G$. The set of unipotent elements $\overline{G}_u$ of $\overline{G}$ is contained in the connected component of the identity $\overline{G}_0$ (cf. [9][Lemma C, p. 96]). We apply Lie-Kolchin’s theorem to obtain that up to a change of base $\overline{G}_0$ is a group of upper triangular matrices. Since $\overline{G}_u$ is the subgroup of $\overline{G}_0$ of matrices whose diagonal elements are all equal to 1, $G_u$ is a normal subgroup of $G$.

The group $\varpi(G_u)$ is a normal subgroup of $\varpi(G)$. A normal subgroup of a completely reducible linear group is completely reducible by Clifford’s theorem (cf. [23][Corollary 1.8, p. 5]). Then $\varpi(G_u)$ is a completely reducible unipotent subgroup of $\text{GL}(n, F)$. A non-trivial unipotent subgroup of $\text{GL}(n, F)$ is never completely reducible by Kolchin’s theorem. We deduce $\varpi(G_u) = \{\text{Id}\}$ (and even $G_u = \{\text{Id}\}$ if $G$ is completely reducible). Hence $G_u$ is contained in $\ker(\varpi)$. \qed
In [16] Newman calculates the maximum derived length \( \sigma(n) \) for completely reducible solvable subgroups of \( \text{GL}(n,F) \) where \( F \) is any field. Indeed we have \( \sigma(1) = 1, \sigma(2) = 4, \sigma(3) = 5, \sigma(4) = 5 \) and \( \sigma(5) = 5 \). This function does not coincide with the Newman function for \( n = 3, 4, 5 \) since \( \rho(3) = 5, \rho(4) = 6 \) and \( \rho(5) = 7 \).

**Definition 4.1.** We define
\[
\psi(n) = \max\{\ell(G) : G \text{ is a solvable subgroup of } \widehat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n,0)\}
\]
for \( n \in \mathbb{N} \).

**Proposition 4.2.** Let \( G \) be a solvable subgroup of \( \widehat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n,0) \). Then \( \ell(G) \leq \sigma(n) + \ell(G_u) \). In particular we obtain \( \psi(n) \leq \sigma(n) + 2n - 1 \).

**Proof.** The natural map \( G/G_u \to j^1G/(j^1G)_u \) is an isomorphism. Therefore \( G/G_u \) is isomorphic to a completely reducible subgroup of \( \text{GL}(n,\mathbb{C}) \) by Proposition 4.1. We deduce \( G^{(\sigma(n))} \subset G_u \) by definition of \( \sigma \). Thus \( \ell(G) \) is less or equal than \( \sigma(n) + \ell(G_u) \). Since \( \ell(G_u) \leq 2n - 1 \) by Theorem 3.1, we obtain \( \ell(G) \leq \sigma(n) + 2n - 1 \). \( \square \)

The function \( \sigma(n) + 2n - 1 \) is equal to 2, 7, 10, 12 and 14 for \( n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \) respectively. Let us remind the reader that we want to show \( \psi(2) = 5, \psi(3) = 7, \psi(4) = 9 \) and \( \psi(5) = 11 \).

In the proof of the Main Theorem we need to understand the group \( G^{(\sigma(n)-1)}/(G^{(\sigma(n)-1)})_u \) for a solvable subgroup \( G \) of \( \text{GL}(n,\mathbb{C}) \).

**Lemma 4.1.** Let \( G \) be a completely reducible solvable subgroup of \( \text{GL}(n,F) \) where \( F \) is a field of characteristic 0 and \( n > 1 \). Then \( G^{(\sigma(n)-1)} \) is a finite abelian group.

**Proof.** We can suppose that \( F \) is algebraically closed. Indeed \( G_u \) is trivial by Proposition 4.1 and \( G = G/G_u \) is isomorphic to a completely reducible subgroup of \( \text{GL}(n,\overline{F}) \) by Proposition 4.1. More generally given fields \( F \subset K \) any completely reducible subgroup of \( \text{GL}(n,F) \) is isomorphic to a completely reducible subgroup of \( \text{GL}(n,K) \) (cf. [5][remark after Lemma 2]).

Let us show the lemma by induction on \( n \). Since \( \sigma \) is an increasing function, it suffices to prove the result for an irreducible group \( G \).

We denote \( \sigma = \sigma(n) \). The group \( G^{(\sigma-1)} \) is commutative. Let us remark that a solvable subgroup \( H \) of \( \text{GL}(n,F) \) is completely reducible if and only if all its elements are diagonalizable (cf. [23][Theorem 7.6, p. 94]). In particular every element of \( G \) is diagonalizable. We denote by \( W_\lambda(A) = \text{Ker}(A - \lambda I_d) \) the eigenspace of \( \lambda \). If \( A \) and \( B \) commute then we have \( B(W_\lambda(A)) = W_\lambda(A) \). The vector space \( F^n \) is of the form \( W_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus W_k \) where every \( W_j \) is defined as follows: for any
Proof. We can suppose that \( G \) is a field of characteristic 0. Then we have \( G^{(3)} = \{ Id \} \) or \( G^{(3)} = \{ Id, -Id \} \).

Corollary 4.1. Consider a solvable subgroup \( G \) of \( GL(2, F) \) where \( F \) is a field of characteristic 0. Then we have \( G^{(3)} = \{ Id \} \) or \( G^{(3)} = \{ Id, -Id \} \).
Consider the cases in the proof of Lemma [4.1]. If \( p = 1 \) and \( k = 2 \) then we obtain again \( G^{(2)} = \{ Id \} \). If \( p = 2 \) and \( k = 1 \) then \( G^{(3)} \) is a subgroup of \( \{ -Id, Id \} \).

**Corollary 4.2.** Let \( G \) be a solvable subgroup of \( \hat{\text{Diff}} \left( \mathbb{C}^n, 0 \right) \) with \( n > 1 \). Then \( G^{(\sigma(n)-1)}/(G^{(\sigma(n)-1)})_u \) is a finite abelian group.

**Proof.** We denote \( L = \hat{G}^{(0)} \) and \( T = L^{(\sigma(n)-1)} \). Notice that \( G^{(\sigma(n)-1)} \) is the Krull closure \( T \) of \( T \) by Lemma [2.5].

The natural map \( L/L_u \to j^1 L/(j^1 L)_u \) is an isomorphism of groups. We deduce that \( T/T_u \) is a finite abelian group by Proposition [4.1] and Lemma [1.1]. The groups \( T/T_u \) and \( j^1 T/(j^1 T)_u \) are isomorphic. We also have that \( T/(T)_u \) is isomorphic to \( j^1 T/(j^1 T)_u \) by construction of \( \hat{T} \). Therefore \( G^{(\sigma(n)-1)}/(G^{(\sigma(n)-1)})_u \) is a finite abelian group. \( \square \)

**5. Codimension one foliations**

Our point of view is based on studying the action of \( G \) on Lie algebras and fields of first integrals. Proposition [5.2] implies that if the first integrals define a codimension 1 foliation then the action of the group on first integrals is of derived length at most 3.

**Proposition 5.1.** Let \( X_1, \ldots, X_q \in \hat{\mathfrak{x}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \). Denote
\[
\mathcal{M} = \{ g \in \hat{K}_n : X_j(g) = 0 \ \forall j \in \{1, \ldots, q\} \}.
\]
Suppose \( \mathcal{M} \) defines a codimension 1 formal foliation, i.e. there exists \( f_1 \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathbb{C} \) and \( df \wedge dg \equiv 0 \) for all \( f, g \in \mathcal{M} \). Then

- If \( \mathcal{M} \cap \hat{\mathcal{O}}_n \neq \mathbb{C} \) then there exists \( f_0 \in \hat{m} \) such that \( \mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[[f_0]][f_0^{-1}] \) and \( \mathcal{M} \cap \hat{\mathcal{O}}_n = \mathbb{C}[[f_0]] \).
- If \( \mathcal{M} \cap \hat{\mathcal{O}}_n = \mathbb{C} \) then there exists \( f_0 \in \mathcal{M} \) such that \( \mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}(f_0) \).

**Proposition 5.2.** Consider the hypotheses in Proposition [5.1]. Suppose that \( G \) is a solvable pro-algebraic subgroup of \( \hat{\text{Diff}} \left( \mathbb{C}^n, 0 \right) \) such that \( \mathcal{M} \circ \varphi = \mathcal{M} \) for any \( \varphi \in G \). Then \( f \circ \varphi = f \) for all \( f \in \mathcal{M} \) and \( \varphi \in G^{(3)} \). Moreover we obtain \( f \circ \varphi = f \) for all \( f \in \mathcal{M} \) and \( \varphi \in G^{(2)} \) if \( \mathcal{M} \cap \hat{\mathcal{O}}_n \) contains non-constant elements.

**Proof of Proposition [5.1].** Denote \( \hat{\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{M} \cap \hat{\mathcal{O}}_n \) and \( \hat{\mathcal{M}}_0 = \mathcal{M} \cap \hat{\mathfrak{m}} \). Suppose that \( df_1 = 0 \) defines a foliation, i.e. there exists a non-vanishing \( \omega \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \) such that \( \omega \wedge df_1 = 0 \). If \( \mathcal{M} \) contains a non-constant element of \( \hat{\mathcal{O}}_n \) then \( \mathcal{M} \cap \hat{\mathcal{O}}_n = \mathbb{C}[[z]] \circ f_0 \) for some \( f_0 \in \hat{\mathcal{M}}_0 \) [14]. Moreover \( f_0 \) can be any element of \( \hat{\mathcal{M}}_0 \) that is reduced, i.e. there are no \( g \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_n \) and \( m \geq 2 \) such that \( f_0 = g^m \). Moreover any element \( g \)
of $\mathcal{M}$ satisfies either that either $g$ or $1/g$ belongs to $\mathcal{M} \cap \hat{\mathcal{O}}_n$. The other case corresponds to $\hat{\mathcal{M}} = \mathbb{C}$. Then there exists a non-constant $f_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}(f_0)$ [3]. The following paragraphs are intended to generalize the Mattei-Moussu and Cerveau-Matei results to the formal setting.

Suppose there exists a pure meromorphic first integral, i.e. an element $f \in \mathcal{M}$ of the form $a/b$ where $a$ and $b$ are coprime elements of $\hat{\mathfrak{m}}$. We reduce the general case to the case $n = 2$ by restricting the elements of $\mathcal{M}$ to a generic linear subspace $L$ of dimension 2.

Notice that even if $df = 0$ does not define a foliation we can desingularize $df = 0$. Indeed it has the same desingularization process than some foliation since equidesingularization of formal integrable 1-forms (in dimension 2) is a finite determination property.

We claim that there exists a sequence $\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_p$ of blow-ups, satisfying that $\pi_1$ is the blow-up of the origin, $\pi_{j+1}$ is the blow-up of a point in $(\pi_1 \circ \ldots \circ \pi_j)^{-1}(0)$ for any $1 \leq j < p$ and there exists an irreducible component $D$ of $(\pi_1 \circ \ldots \circ \pi_p)^{-1}(0)$ such that $(f \circ \pi_1 \circ \ldots \circ \pi_p)|_D$ is not constant. Otherwise the desingularization of $df = 0$ implies that are finitely many formal invariant curves, contradicting that $a - \lambda b = 0$ is a formal invariant curve $((a - \lambda b)|d(a - \lambda b) \wedge df)$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

We can identify $D$ with $\mathbb{CP}^1$. Let $\mathbb{C}(z)$ be the field of rational functions defined in the Riemann sphere. We define the map

$$\tau : \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{C}(z)$$

$$g \mapsto (g \circ \pi_1 \circ \ldots \circ \pi_p)|_D.$$  

Since $D$ is a dicritic component, the kernel of $\tau$ is trivial. We deduce that $\tau : \mathcal{M} \to \tau(\mathcal{M})$ is an isomorphism of fields. Since an element $g$ of $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$ satisfies that $(g \circ \pi_1 \circ \ldots \circ \pi_p)|_D$ is constant, we deduce $\hat{\mathcal{M}} = \mathbb{C}$. A subfield of $\mathbb{C}(z)$, and in particular $\tau(\mathcal{M})$, is of the form $\mathbb{C}(i)$ for some $i \in \mathbb{C}(z)$ by Lüroth’s theorem (cf. [22][p. 198]). Hence there exists $f_0 \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathbb{C}$ such that $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}(f_0)$.

Suppose $\hat{\mathcal{M}} \neq \mathbb{C}$. Since $\mathcal{M} \neq \mathbb{C}$ implies that there are no pure meromorphic first integrals, we obtain that any $f \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfies either $f \in \hat{\mathcal{M}}$ or $1/f \in \hat{\mathcal{M}}$.

Given $g \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_n$ we define $\nu(g)$ as the vanishing order of $g$ at the origin, i.e. we have $g \in \hat{\mathfrak{m}}^{\nu(g)} \setminus \hat{\mathfrak{m}}^{\nu(g)+1}$. Let $f_0$ be an element of $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_0 \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\nu(f_0)$ is minimal.

We claim that $\hat{\mathcal{M}} = \mathbb{C}[[f_0]]$. It suffices to show $\hat{\mathcal{M}} \subset \mathbb{C}[[f_0]]$. Consider an element $g$ of $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$. There exists $c_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $g - c_0 \in \hat{\mathcal{M}}_0$. We have a unique expression $\nu(g - c_0) = q\nu(f_0) + r$ where $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $0 \leq r < \nu(f_0)$. The quotient $g_q := (g - c_0)/f_0^q$ has vanishing order
$r \geq 0$ and it is a formal power series (since it can not be the inverse of a vanishing power series). Since $r < \nu(f_0)$ we deduce $r = 0$ and hence $g$ is of the form $c_0 + g_q f_0^q$ where $g_q$ belongs to $\hat{\mathcal{M}} \setminus \mathcal{M}_0$. We repeat the previous trick to obtain that $g$ is of the form $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k f_0^k$ and as a consequence it belongs to $\mathbb{C}[[f_0]]$.

We obtain $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}[[f_0]][f_0^{-1}]$ since either $f \in \hat{\mathcal{M}}$ or $1/f \in \hat{\mathcal{M}}$ for any $f \in \mathcal{M}$. □

Proof of Proposition 5.2. Given $\varphi \in G$ we obtain $f_0 \circ \varphi = h_\varphi \circ f_0$ for some $h_\varphi$ since $\mathcal{M} \circ \varphi = \mathcal{M}$. Moreover $h_\varphi$ belongs to $\mathbb{C}(z)$ if there exists a pure meromorphic first integral. Since $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_0 \circ \varphi = \hat{\mathcal{M}}_0$ the series $h_\varphi$ belongs to the maximal ideal of $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$ if $\hat{\mathcal{M}} \neq \mathbb{C}$. It is clear that $h_\varphi \circ h_\varphi^{-1} = Id = h_\varphi^{-1} \circ h_\varphi$ and $h_\varphi \circ h_\eta = h_\varphi \circ h_\eta$ for $\varphi, \eta \in G$. We deduce that the map $\Lambda$ defined in $G$ by $\Lambda(\varphi) = h_\varphi$ is of the form

$$G \xrightarrow{\Lambda} \text{Aut}(\mathbb{CP}^1) \quad \text{or} \quad G \xrightarrow{\Lambda} \hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}, 0)$$

depending on wether or not $\hat{\mathcal{M}} = \mathbb{C}$. The map $\Lambda$ is a homomorphism of groups.

In the former case $\Lambda(G)$ is a solvable group of length at most 3 by Corollary 4.1. We deduce that $f \circ \varphi = f$ for all $f \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\varphi \in G^{(3)}$. In the latter case the group $\Lambda(G)$ is metabelian (cf. [10][section 6B1]).

As a consequence $f \circ \varphi = f$ for all $f \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\varphi \in G^{(2)}$. □

6. Soluble lengths of groups in dimension 2

In this section we show that the derived length of a solvable subgroup of $\hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ (or $\hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$) is less or equal than 5. The sharpness of the bound, that completes the proof of the Main Theorem for $n = 2$, is postponed to section 8.

The next lemma is of technical interest. It is a key ingredient in the proof of the Main Theorem for every dimension.

Lemma 6.1. Let $L$ be a solvable pro-algebraic subgroup of $\hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$. Let $I_N$ be the Lie algebra associated to $L_n$ (cf. Definition 2.11). Suppose there exists a set $B = \{Y_1, \ldots, Y_a, X_1, \ldots, X_b\}$ of linear independent elements of $\hat{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \otimes \hat{\mathbb{K}}_n$ such that

1. $I_N$ is contained in the $\hat{\mathbb{K}}_n$-vector space generated by $B$.
2. $[Z, W] \in I'$ for all $Z, W \in B$ where $I'$ is the $\hat{\mathbb{K}}_n$-vector space generated by $\{Y_1, \ldots, Y_a\}$.


(3) Every element \( \alpha_1 Y_1 + \ldots + \alpha_a Y_a + \beta_1 X_1 + \ldots + \beta_b X_b \) of \( I_N \) satisfies \( Y_i(\beta_j) = X_i(\beta_j) = 0 \) and \( \beta_j \circ \varphi = \beta_j \) for all \( 1 \leq j, l \leq b, 1 \leq k \leq a \) and \( \varphi \in L \).

(4) \( \varphi^* Y_k \in \mathfrak{l}' \) and \( \varphi^* X_j - X_j \in \mathfrak{l}' \) for all \( \varphi \in L, 1 \leq k \leq a, 1 \leq j \leq b \).

(5) \( L/L_u \) is either cyclic or a finite abelian group.

Then \( T^{(1)} \) is a unipotent group whose Lie algebra is contained in \( \mathfrak{l}' \cap I_N \).

Proof. Consider \( Z = \sum_{j=1}^a \alpha_j Y_j + \sum_{k=1}^b \beta_k X_k \in I_N \) and \( \varphi \in L \). Conditions (3) and (4) imply

\[
\varphi \circ \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^a \alpha_j Y_j + \sum_{k=1}^b \beta_k X_k \right) = \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^a \tilde{\alpha}_j Y_j + \sum_{k=1}^b \tilde{\beta}_k X_k \right) \circ \varphi
\]

for some \( \tilde{\alpha}_1, \ldots, \tilde{\alpha}_a \in \hat{K}_n \). Let \( Z' = \sum_{j=1}^a \alpha'_j Y_j + \sum_{k=1}^b \beta'_k X_k \in I_N \). We have

\[
\exp(Z) \circ \exp(Z') = \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^a \alpha''_j Y_j + \sum_{k=1}^b (\beta_k + \beta'_k) X_k \right)
\]

where \( \log(\exp(Z) \circ \exp(Z')) - (Z + Z') \in \mathfrak{t}^{(1)}_N \subset \mathfrak{l}' \) by Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula (4) and conditions (2) and (3). The previous formulas imply

\[
\left[ \varphi \circ \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^a \alpha_j Y_j + \sum_{k=1}^b \beta_k X_k \right), \eta \circ \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^a \alpha'_j Y_j + \sum_{k=1}^b \beta'_k X_k \right) \right] =
\]

\[
[\varphi, \eta] \circ \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^a \alpha''_j Y_j \right)
\]

for \( \sum_{j=1}^a \alpha_j Y_j + \sum_{k=1}^b \beta_k X_k, \sum_{j=1}^a \alpha'_j Y_j + \sum_{k=1}^b \beta'_k X_k \in I_N \) and \( \varphi, \eta \in L \).

Since \( \mathfrak{l}' \cap I_N \) is a Lie algebra of formal nilpotent vector fields that is closed in the Krull topology, the group \( \exp(\mathfrak{l}' \cap I_N) \) is a pro-algebraic subgroup of \( L_u \) by Proposition 2.5.

Suppose \( L/L_u \) is cyclic. There exists \( \varphi_0 \in G \) such that any element of \( L \) is of the form \( \varphi_0^j \circ \phi \) for some \( j \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( \phi \in L_u \). The formula (7) implies that any commutator of elements of \( L \) belongs to \( \exp(\mathfrak{l}' \cap I_N) \).

Since \( \exp(\mathfrak{l}' \cap I_N) \) is pro-algebraic, we deduce \( T^{(1)} \subset \exp(\mathfrak{l}' \cap I_N) \).

Suppose \( L/L_u \) is a finite abelian group. Given \( \varphi, \eta \in L \) there exists \( p \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( \varphi^p \) and \( \eta^p \) belong to \( L_u \). Since \( [\varphi, \eta] \in L_u \) we have
\[ [\varphi, \eta] = \exp(\sum_{j=1}^{a} \alpha_j Y_j + \sum_{k=1}^{b} \beta_k X_k) \] and

\[ \varphi \circ \eta \circ \varphi^{-1} = \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^{a} \alpha'_j Y_j + \sum_{k=1}^{b} \beta_k X_k \right) \circ \eta. \]

By iterating both terms of the previous equation \( p \) times and using formulas (5) and (6) we obtain

\[ \varphi \circ \eta^p \circ \varphi^{-1} = \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^{a} \alpha'_1 Y_j + p \sum_{k=1}^{b} \beta_k X_k \right) \circ \eta^p \]

for some \( \alpha'_1, \ldots, \alpha'_a \in \hat{K}_a \). This leads us to

\[ \eta^{-p} \circ \varphi \circ \eta^p = \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^{a} \alpha''_j Y_j + p \sum_{k=1}^{b} \beta_k X_k \right) \circ \varphi \]

by equation (5). We get

\[ \eta^{-p} \circ \varphi^p \circ \eta^p = \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^{a} \alpha'''_j Y_j + p^2 \sum_{k=1}^{b} \beta_k X_k \right) \circ \varphi^p. \]

The infinitesimal generator of \([\eta^{-p}, \varphi^p]\) belongs to \( \ell' \) by equation (7). Therefore we deduce \([\varphi, \eta] = \exp(\sum_{j=1}^{a} \alpha_j Y_j) \in \exp(\ell' \cap \ell_N) \) for all \( \varphi, \eta \in L \). Since \( \exp(\ell' \cap \ell_N) \) is pro-algebraic, \( \ell^{(1)} \) is contained in \( \exp(\ell' \cap \ell_N) \).

The group \( \overline{L}^{(1)} \) is pro-algebraic by Proposition 2.8. Since \( \overline{L}^{(1)} \) is unipotent, the Lie algebra of \( \overline{L}^{(1)} \) consists of the infinitesimal generators of elements of \( \overline{L}^{(1)} \) by Corollary 2.1. Thus it is contained in \( \ell' \cap \ell_N \). \( \square \)

**Proposition 6.1.** \( \psi(2) \leq 5 \) (cf. Definition 4.1).

**Proof.** We can suppose \( G = G^{(0)} \) without lack of generality by Lemma 2.4. Denote \( \ell = \ell(G) \) and \( \ell_u = \ell(G_u) \). We are going to prove the proposition by considering different cases.

Notice that we always have \( \ell(G) \leq \sigma(2) + \ell_u \) by Proposition 4.2. Since \( \sigma(2) = 4 \) we can suppose \( \ell_u \geq 2 \). We claim \( \kappa(\ell_u - 1) = 1 \). Otherwise we have \( \kappa(0) = 2 \) and Corollary 3.1 implies \( \kappa(1) = 0 \) and \( \ell_u = 1 \).

We denote \( \mathcal{M}_j = \mathcal{M}(\overline{B}_N^{(j)} \cap \overline{B}_N^{(\ell_u - 1)}) \). Let \( Y \in \overline{B}_N^{(\ell_u - 1)} \) with \( Y \neq 0 \). Any element \( \varphi \in G \) preserves \( \overline{B}_N^{(\ell_u - 1)} \) by Lemma 2.6. We deduce that \( g \circ \varphi \in \mathcal{M}_{\ell_u - 1} \) for all \( g \in \mathcal{M}_{\ell_u - 1} \) and \( \varphi \in G \). If \( \mathcal{M}_{\ell_u - 1} \neq \mathbb{C} \) then the formal foliation defined by \( \mathcal{M}_{\ell_u - 1} \) has codimension 1 since \( Y(g) \equiv 0 \) for any \( g \in \mathcal{M}_{\ell_u - 1} \). Thus we obtain \( g \circ \varphi = g \) for all \( g \in \mathcal{M}_{\ell_u - 1} \) and \( \varphi \in G^{(3)} \) by Proposition 5.2. The same result obviously holds if \( \mathcal{M}_{\ell_u - 1} = \mathbb{C} \).
Thus we can suppose \( \ell_{u-1} \) implies that \( \varphi^*Y = fY \) for some \( f \in K_2 \). Indeed \( f \) belongs to \( M_{u-1} \) since
\[
[g^{(e_u-1)}, g^{(e_u-1)}] = 0 \implies [Y, fY] = Y(f)Y = 0.
\]
Suppose \( \varphi^*Y = fY \) and \( \eta^*Y = gY \). The equation
\[
[\varphi, \eta]^*Y = (\eta^{-1})^*(\varphi^{-1})^*\eta^*\varphi^*Y = \frac{(f \circ \eta \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ \eta^{-1})(g \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ \eta^{-1})}{(f \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ \eta^{-1})(g \circ \eta^{-1})}Y
\]
and the invariance of \( M_{u-1} \) by the action induced by \( G^{(3)} \) implies \( \varphi^*Y \equiv Y \) for any \( \varphi \in G^{(4)} \).

Let us consider the case \( \kappa(0) = 1 \). We have \( G^{(4)} \subset G_u \) since \( \sigma(2) = 4 \). Fix \( \varphi \in G^{(4)} \). It is of the form \( \exp(hY) \) for some \( hY \in \hat{X}_N(C^2, 0) \). Since \( \varphi^*Y = Y \), Lemma 2.1 implies \( Y(h) = 0 \). We deduce \( [\log \eta, \log \omega] = 0 \) for all \( \eta, \omega \in G^{(4)} \). Therefore \( G^{(4)} \) is a commutative group. We obtain \( \ell(G) \leq 5 \).

Finally let us consider the case \( \kappa(0) = 2 \). Let \( X \in g_N \) such that \( \{Y, X\} \) is a base of \( g_N \). \( hY \), the formal vector field \( W \) is of the form \( \alpha Y + \beta X \). Since \( \kappa(1) < 2 \) by Corollary 3.1 Lemma 3.1 implies that \( \beta \) belongs to \( M_0 = \mathbb{C} \). Hence given \( v \in G \) we have \( v^*X = \gamma Y + tX \) for some \( \gamma \in K_2 \) and \( t \in \mathbb{C}^* \). Since \( v^*Y \) is contained in \( g_{N}^{(e_u-1)} \), we obtain \( v^*X = \gamma Y + X \) for any \( v \in G^{(1)} \) and some \( \gamma \in K_2 \) depending on \( v \). We denote \( L = G^{(3)} \). The group \( L/L_u \) is isomorphic to either \( \{Id\} \) or \( \{Id, -Id\} \) by Corollary 4.1

We apply Lemma 6.1 to \( L = G^{(3)} \), \( Y_1 = Y \), \( X_1 = X \); hence any element \( \varphi \in G^{(4)} \) is of the form \( \exp(\alpha Y) \) for some \( \alpha \in K_2 \) and \( \alpha Y \in \hat{X}_N(C^2, 0) \). We obtain \( \ell(G) \leq 5 \) analogously as for \( \kappa(0) = 1 \).

7. Solvable lengths of groups in dimension 3, 4 and 5.

Proposition 7.1. \( \psi(n) \leq 2n + 1 \) (cf. Definition 4.1) for any \( n \in \{3, 4, 5\} \).

The rest of this section is devoted to show the previous proposition. We divide the proof in several cases. We can suppose \( G = G^{(0)} \) by Lemma 2.1. Notice that the function \( \sigma \) is always equal to 5 for \( n \in \{3, 4, 5\} \) (cf. [10]).

7.1. There is no \( p \) such that \( \kappa(p) = n - 1 \). Corollary 3.1 and Proposition 3.2 imply \( \ell(G_u) \leq 2n - 3 \). If \( \ell(G_u) \leq 2n - 4 \) then \( \ell(G) \leq \sigma(n) + 2n - 4 = 5 + 2n - 4 = 2n + 1 \) by Proposition 4.2. Thus we can suppose \( \ell(G_u) = 2n - 3 \). Again we use Corollary 3.1 and
We can suppose that \( \kappa(2j) = n - j - 1 \) and \( \kappa(2j - 1) = n - j - 1 \) for \( 1 \leq j \leq n - 2 \). Let \( \{Y_1, \ldots, Y_{n-2}\} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_N^{(2)} \) be a base of \( \mathfrak{g}_N^{(2)} \otimes \mathbb{C} \hat{K}_n \) whereas \( X_1, X_2 \) are elements of \( \mathfrak{g}_N \) such that \( \{Y_1, \ldots, Y_{n-2}, X_1, X_2\} \) is a base of the \( \hat{K}_n \)-vector space \( \mathfrak{g}_N \otimes \mathbb{C} \hat{K}_n \). Since \( \mathcal{M}_0 = \mathbb{C} \), Lemma \ref{lem:3.1} implies that any element \( \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \alpha_j Y_j + \beta X_1 + \gamma X_2 \) of \( \mathfrak{g}_N \) where \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-2}, \beta, \gamma \in \hat{K}_n \) satisfies \( \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \). Given \( \varphi \in G \) the property \( \varphi^{N(2)} = \mathfrak{g}_N^{(2)} \) implies
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\varphi^* X_1 \\
\varphi^* X_2
\end{pmatrix}
= A_\varphi
\begin{pmatrix}
X_1 \\
X_2
\end{pmatrix}
+ \begin{pmatrix}
\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} g_j Y_j \\
\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} h_j Y_j
\end{pmatrix}
\]
where \( A_\varphi \in \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \). Since \( \rho(2) = 4 \) we deduce that
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\varphi^* X_1 \\
\varphi^* X_2
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
X_1 \\
X_2
\end{pmatrix}
+ \begin{pmatrix}
\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} g_j Y_j \\
\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} h_j Y_j
\end{pmatrix}
\]
for any \( \varphi \in \overline{G}^{(4)} \).

We denote \( L = \overline{G}^{(4)} \). The group \( L/L_u \) is finite abelian by Corollary \ref{cor:4.2}. Notice that \( [X_1, X_2] \) is of the form \( \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} f_j Y_j \) for some \( f_1, \ldots, f_{n-2} \in \hat{K}_n \) since \( \kappa(1) = n - 2 \). We apply Lemma \ref{lem:6.1} to \( L = \overline{G}^{(4)} \). We obtain that any element \( \varphi \) of \( \overline{G}^{(5)} \) is of the form \( \exp(\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \alpha_j Y_j) \) for some \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-2} \in \hat{K}_n \) and \( \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \alpha_j Y_j \in \mathfrak{g}_N(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \). Hence the Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{h} \) of \( \overline{G}^{(5)} \) satisfies \( \dim(\mathfrak{h} \otimes \hat{K}_n) \leq n - 2 \). Remark \ref{rem:3.2} implies \( \ell(G) \leq 5 + 2(n - 2) = 2n + 1 \).

7.2. There exists \( p \) such that \( \kappa(p) = n - 1 \) but no \( q \) with \( \kappa(q) = n - 2 \). We obtain \( \ell(G_u) \leq 2n - 3 \) by Corollary \ref{cor:3.1} and Proposition \ref{prop:3.2} if \( \ell(G_u) \leq 2n - 4 \) then \( \ell(G) \leq 2n + 1 \) by Proposition \ref{prop:4.2}. Hence we can suppose that \( \ell(G_u) = 2n - 3 \). We obtain \( \kappa(0) = n, \kappa(1) = n - 1, \kappa(2) = n - 1, \kappa(3) = n - 3, \kappa(4) = n - 3 \) and so on. We choose a base \( \{Y_1, \ldots, Y_{n-3}\} \) of \( \mathfrak{g}_N^{(4)} \otimes \hat{K}_n \) contained in \( \mathfrak{g}_N^{(4)} \). We choose \( X_1, X_2 \in \mathfrak{g}_N^{(2)} \) such that \( \{Y_1, \ldots, Y_{n-3}, X_1, X_2\} \) is a base of \( \mathfrak{g}_N^{(2)} \otimes \hat{K}_n \). We have \( \mathcal{M}_1 \neq \mathbb{C} \); otherwise Proposition \ref{prop:3.1} would imply \( \kappa(2) < \kappa(1) \). Moreover \( [X_1, X_2] \) belongs to \( \ell' \) (or vanishes if \( n = 3 \)) since \( [X_1, X_2] \in \mathfrak{g}_N^{(3)} \) and \( \kappa(3) = n - 3 \).

Let \( \varphi \in G \). Since \( \varphi^* = \mathfrak{g}_N^{(2)} = \mathfrak{g}_N^{(2)} \) by Lemma \ref{lem:2.6} we obtain
\[
\varphi^* X_j = \alpha_j X_1 + \beta_j X_2 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-3} \gamma_{j,k} Y_k
\]
where \( j \in \{1, 2\} \) and the coefficients belong to \( \hat{K}_n \). We have \( [\mathfrak{g}_N^{(2)}, \mathfrak{g}_N^{(2)}] \subset \mathfrak{g}_N^{(3)} \) by definition and \( [\mathfrak{g}_N^{(2)}, \mathfrak{g}_N^{(4)}] \subset \mathfrak{g}_N^{(4)} \) by Lemma 2.6. In particular we obtain \( [\varphi^*X_j, X_k] \in \ell' \) and \( [\varphi^*X_j, Y_l] \in \ell' \) for all \( 1 \leq j, k \leq 2 \) and \( 1 \leq l \leq n - 3 \). This implies

\[
X_1(\alpha_j) = X_2(\alpha_j) = Y_1(\alpha_j) = \ldots = Y_{n-3}(\alpha_j) = 0
\]

and analogously for \( \beta_j \) for \( j \in \{1, 2\} \). Thus \( \alpha_j \) and \( \beta_j \) belong to \( \mathcal{M}_2 \) for any \( j \in \{1, 2\} \). We obtain

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\varphi^*X_1 \\
\varphi^*X_2
\end{pmatrix} = A_\varphi
\begin{pmatrix}
X_1 \\
X_2
\end{pmatrix} + \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-3} \gamma_1,k Y_k \right)
\]

where \( A_\varphi \in \text{GL}(2, \mathcal{M}_2) \).

Since \( \mathcal{M}_1 \neq \mathbb{C} \) and \( \kappa(1) = n - 1 \), the foliation defined by \( \mathcal{M}_1 \) has codimension 1. We have \( g \circ \varphi = g \) for all \( g \in \mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{M}_2 \) and \( \varphi \in \overline{G}^{(3)} \) by Proposition 5.2. Hence the mapping \( \Lambda : \overline{G}^{(3)} \to \text{GL}(2, \mathcal{M}_2) \) defined by \( \Lambda(\varphi) = A_\varphi \) is a homomorphism of groups.

Let us show \( \ell(\Lambda(\overline{G}^{(3)})) \leq 3 \). We have \( \Lambda(\overline{G}^{(3)}) \subset \{ -\text{Id}, \text{Id} \} \) by Corollary 4.1. Given \( \varphi \in \overline{G}^{(6)} \) we obtain \( \varphi^t \in \overline{G}^{(6)} \) for any \( t \in \mathbb{C} \) since \( \overline{G}^{(6)} \) is pro-algebraic, \( \overline{G}^{(6)} \subset G_u \) and Lemma 2.4. Given \( j \in \{1, 2\} \) we obtain

\[
(\varphi^t)^*X_j = \mu_j(t) X_j + \sum_{k=1}^{n-3} \gamma_{j,k} Y_k
\]

where \( \mu_j : \mathbb{C} \to \{-1, 1\} \) is a continuous function such that \( \mu_j(0) = 1 \). Thus \( \mu_j \) is constant and equal to 1 for \( j \in \{1, 2\} \).

Fix \( \varphi \in \overline{G}^{(6)} \). The previous discussion implies \( \varphi^*X_j - X_j \in \ell' \) for \( j \in \{1, 2\} \) and \( g \circ \varphi = g \) for any \( g \in \mathcal{M}_1 \). Since \( \overline{G}^{(5)} \subset G_u \) Proposition 2.9 implies \( \log \varphi \in \mathfrak{g}_N^{(1)} \). We deduce \( \log \varphi = \alpha_1 X_1 + \alpha_2 X_2 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-3} \delta_k Y_k \).

It is clear that \( (\varphi^t)^*Y_k \in \ell' \) for any \( t \in \mathbb{C} \) since \( \eta^* \mathfrak{g}_N^{(4)} = \mathfrak{g}_N^{(4)} \) for any \( \eta \in G \). Since \( [\log \varphi, Z] = \lim_{t \to 0} ((\varphi^t)^*Z - Z) / t \), we obtain that \( [X_1, \log \varphi], [X_2, \log \varphi] \) and \( [Y_k, \log \varphi] \) belong to \( \ell' \) for any \( 1 \leq k \leq n - 3 \). We deduce that \( \alpha_1 \) and \( \alpha_2 \) belong to \( \mathcal{M}_2 \). Therefore \( \log[\varphi, \eta] \) belongs to \( \ell' \) for all \( \varphi, \eta \in \overline{G}^{(6)} \) by Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula. Another application of Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff provides that the Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{h} \) of \( \overline{G}^{(7)} \) is contained in \( \ell' \) and in particular \( \text{dim}(\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{C} \hat{K}_n) \leq n - 3 \). We obtain \( \ell(G) \leq 7 + 2(n - 3) = 2n + 1 \) by Remark 3.2.

7.3. There exists \( p, q \) such that \( \kappa(p) = n - 1 \), \( \kappa(q) = n - 2 \) and \( \mathcal{M}_p = \mathbb{C} \). Let us remark that there exists a unique \( p \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \) such that \( \kappa(p) = n - 1 \) by Proposition 4.1. We can suppose that \( \kappa(m) < n - 2 \).
for \( m > q \). Let \( \{Y_1, \ldots, Y_{n-2}\} \subset \mathfrak{g}_N^{(q)} \) be a base of \( \mathfrak{l}': = \mathfrak{g}_N^{(q)} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \hat{K}_n \). We choose \( X_1 \in \mathfrak{g}_N^{(p)} \) such that \( \{Y_1, \ldots, Y_{n-2}, X_1\} \) is a base of \( \mathfrak{g}_N^{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \hat{K}_n \).

**Lemma 7.1.** \([X_1, W] \in \mathfrak{l}' \) for any \( W \in \mathfrak{g}_N \).

**Proof.** If \( \kappa(0) \neq n \) then \( \kappa(0) = n - 1 \) and \( \kappa(1) = n - 2 \). Moreover \( \{Y_1, \ldots, Y_{n-2}\} \) is a base of \( \mathfrak{g}_N^{(p)} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \hat{K}_n \). The Lie bracket \([X_1, W]\) belongs to \( \mathfrak{g}_N^{(p)} \) and then to \( \mathfrak{l}' \). Suppose \( \kappa(0) = n \) from now on; it implies \( p = 1 \). We choose \( Z \in \mathfrak{g}_N \) such that \( \{Y_1, \ldots, Y_{n-2}, X_1, Z\} \) is a base of \( \mathfrak{g}_N \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \hat{K}_n \).

The elements of \( \mathfrak{g}_N^{(p)} \) are of the form \( \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \alpha_j Y_j + \beta X_1 \) where \( \beta \in \mathcal{M}_p = \mathbb{C} \) by Lemma 3.1. The formal vector field \([X_1, W]\) belongs to \( [\mathfrak{g}_N^{(p)}, \mathfrak{g}_N] \subset \mathfrak{g}_N^{(p)} \). Hence \( [X_1, W] = \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} h_j Y_j + tX_1 \) where \( t \in \mathbb{C} \). Moreover \( [Y_j, W] \) belongs to \( \mathfrak{l}' \) for \( 1 \leq j \leq n - 2 \) since \( [Y_j, W] \) belongs to \( \mathfrak{g}_N^{(p)} \). We define \( X_2 = [X_1, W] \) and \( X_{j+1} = [X_j, W] \) for \( j \geq 2 \). Then \( X_j \) is of the form \( \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} h_{j,k} Y_k + t^{j-1} X_1 \) for any \( j \in \mathbb{N} \).

The Lie algebra of \( k \)-jets of \( \mathfrak{g}_N \) is \( \mathfrak{g}_{k,u} \) by definition. Since \( \mathfrak{g}_N \) is solvable, \( \mathfrak{g}_{k,u} \) is a solvable Lie algebra of nilpotent matrices for any \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). Therefore \( \mathfrak{g}_{k,u} \) is nilpotent by Lie’s Theorem 2.1 for any \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). Hence the sequence \( \{X_j\} \) tends to 0 in the Krull topology. This implies \( t = 0 \).

**Lemma 7.2.** \( \kappa(0) = n - 1 \). In particular we have \( \ell(G_u) \leq 2n - 3 \).

**Proof.** Suppose \( \kappa(0) = n \). We use the notations in Lemma 7.1. Let \( W := \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \alpha_j Y_j + \beta X_1 + \gamma Z \in \mathfrak{g}_N \). We have

\[ [Y_j, W] \in \mathfrak{l}' \text{ and } [X_1, W] \in \mathfrak{l}' \]

for any \( 1 \leq j \leq n - 2 \) by \([\mathfrak{g}_N^{(q)}, \mathfrak{g}_N] \subset \mathfrak{g}_N^{(q)} \) and Lemma 7.1. We deduce that \( \beta, \gamma \) belong to \( \mathcal{M}_p = \mathbb{C} \). As a consequence we obtain \( \mathfrak{g}_N^{(1)} \subset \mathfrak{l}' \) and \( \dim(\mathfrak{g}_N^{(1)} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \hat{K}_n) \leq n - 2 \). We obtain a contradiction since there is no \( p \) such that \( \kappa(p) = n - 1 \).

We have \( \kappa(1) = n - 2 \) by Proposition 3.1. Thus Proposition 2.9 and Remark 3.2 imply \( \ell(G_u) \leq 1 + 2(n - 2) = 2n - 3 \).

Any element \( W \) of \( \mathfrak{g}_N \) is of the form \( \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \alpha_j Y_j + sX_1 \) for some \( s \in \mathbb{C} \) by Lemma 3.1. Let \( \varphi \in G \). It satisfies \( \varphi^*\mathfrak{g}_N = \mathfrak{g}_N \) and then \( \varphi^*X_1 \) is of the form \( \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} h_j Y_j + sX_1 \) for some \( s \in \mathbb{C}^* \). We obtain \( \varphi^*X_1 - X_1 \in \mathfrak{l}' \) for any \( \varphi \in \overline{G}^{(1)} \). The group \( \overline{G}^{(4)}/(\overline{G}^{(4)})_u \) is finite abelian by Corollary 4.2. The Lie algebra of the unipotent pro-algebraic group \( \overline{G}^{(5)} \) is contained in \( \mathfrak{l}' \) by Lemma 6.1 applied to \( L = \overline{G}^{(4)} \). We obtain \( \ell(G) \leq 2n + 1 \) by Remark 3.2.
7.4. There exists \( p, q \) such that \( \kappa(p) = n - 1, \kappa(q) = n - 2 \) and \( \mathcal{M}_p \neq \mathbb{C} \). Let \( p \) and \( q \) such that \( \kappa(m) < n - 1 \) if \( m > p \) and \( \kappa(l) < n - 2 \) if \( l > q \). Let \( \{Y_1, \ldots, Y_{n-2}\} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_N^{(q)} \) be a base of \( l' := \mathfrak{g}_N^{(q)} \otimes \hat{K}_n \). We choose \( X_1 \in \mathfrak{g}_N^{(p)} \) such that \( \{Y_1, \ldots, Y_{n-2}, X_1\} \) is a base of \( \mathfrak{g}_N^{(p)} \otimes \hat{K}_n \).

The foliation defined by \( \mathcal{M}_p \) has codimension 1 since \( \kappa(p) = n - 1 \) and \( \mathcal{M}_p \neq \mathbb{C} \). Thus \( g \circ \varphi = g \) for all \( g \in \mathcal{M}_p \) and \( \varphi \in \hat{G}^{(3)} \) by Proposition 6.2.

The elements of \( \mathfrak{g}_N^{(p)} \) are of the form \( \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \alpha_j Y_j + \beta X_1 \) where \( \beta \in \mathcal{M}_p \) by Lemma 3.1. Any \( \varphi \in G \) satisfies \( \varphi^* X_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \alpha_j' Y_j + \beta' X_1 \) where \( \beta' \in \mathcal{M}_p \). Thus \( \varphi^* X_1 \) is of the form \( \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \alpha_j'' Y_j + X_1 \) for any \( \varphi \in \hat{G}^{(4)} \).

Notice that \( \hat{G}^{(4)}/(\hat{G}^{(4)})_u \) is a finite abelian group by Corollary 4.2.

Fix \( Z \in g_N \) with \( \exp(Z) \in \hat{G}^{(4)} \cap G_u \). The property \( g \circ \exp(Z) = g \) implies \( Z(g) = 0 \) for any \( g \in \mathcal{M}_p \) by Lemma 2.1. Given \( g \in \hat{K}_n \setminus \mathbb{C} \), the \( \hat{K}_n \)-vector subspace of \( \hat{K}_n \otimes \hat{\mathfrak{x}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \) of elements having \( g \) as first integral has dimension \( n - 1 \). In particular we deduce

\[
\{ W \in \hat{K}_n \otimes \hat{\mathfrak{x}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) : W(g) = 0 \ \forall g \in \mathcal{M}_p \} = \mathfrak{g}_N^{(p)} \otimes \hat{K}_n.
\]

Thus \( Z \) is of the form \( \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \alpha_j Y_j + \beta X_1 \). The one parameter group \( \{ \exp(tZ) : t \in \mathbb{C} \} \) is contained in \( \hat{G}^{(4)} \cap G_u \) since \( \hat{G}^{(4)} \) is pro-algebraic by Proposition 2.8. Therefore \( \exp(tZ)^* Y_j - Y_j \) and \( \exp(tZ)^* X_1 - X_1 \) belong to \( l' \) for all \( 1 \leq j \leq n - 2 \) and \( t \in \mathbb{C} \). We deduce \([Y_j, Z] \in l'\) and \([X_1, Z] \in l'\) for any \( 1 \leq j \leq n-2 \). Hence \( \beta \) belongs to \( \mathcal{M}_p \). Any element \( \varphi \in \hat{G}^{(3)} \) is of the form \( \exp(\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \gamma_j Y_j) \) for some \( \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \gamma_j Y_j \in g_N \) by Lemma 6.1 applied to \( L = \hat{G}^{(4)} \). We obtain \( \ell(G) \leq 2n + 1 \) by Remark 3.2.

Remark 7.1. It is easy to see that, up to minor changes, Proposition 7.1 implies \( \ell(G) \leq \sigma(n) + 2n - 4 \) for every solvable subgroup \( G \) of \( \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \) with \( n \geq 3 \). Next section provides an example of a subgroup \( G^n \) of \( \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \) such that \( \ell(G^n) = 2n + 1 \) for any \( n \geq 2 \). We deduce

\[ 2n + 1 \leq \psi(n) \leq \sigma(n) + 2n - 4 \ \forall n \geq 3. \]

The inequality \( 2n + 1 \leq \sigma(n) + 2n - 4 \) for \( n \geq 3 \) is an equality exactly for \( n = 3, 4, 5 \), providing \( \psi(n) = 2n + 1 \) for \( n \in \{3, 4, 5\} \). The next best result is obtained for \( n \in \{6, 7\} \) since \( \sigma(6) = \sigma(7) = 6 \). In fact we obtain \((\psi(6), \psi(7)) \in \{(13, 15), (13, 16), (14, 16)\} \) by Proposition 8.2.
8. Examples

We provide examples in [19] of solvable groups $G \subset \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ such that $\bar{j}^1G$ is a connected group of matrices and $\ell(G) = 2n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus a solvable subgroup $G$ of $\hat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ ($2 \leq n \leq 5$) of greatest derived length satisfies $\ell(G) \in \{2n, 2n+1\}$. In this section we prove that the upper estimates are the correct ones.

Let $L$ be a solvable group of $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ such that $\ell(L) = \rho(2) = 4$. We denote $\phi_{\lambda,\mu} = \exp \left( (\lambda x + \mu y) \left( x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \right)$ for $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$. We define the group $N = \{ \phi_{\lambda,\mu} : \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C} \}$.

We consider the group $G^2 = N \rtimes L$ obtained as semidirect product of $N$ and $L$. We have

$$G^2 = \{ T \circ \phi_{\lambda,\mu} : T \in L, \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C} \}.$$  

The group $N$ is a normal commutative subgroup of $G^2$.

**Proposition 8.1.** $\ell(G^2) = 5$ and $(G^2)^{(4)} = N$.

**Proof.** We denote $G = G^2$. The group $G^{(4)}$ is contained in the commutative group $N$. Thus $G$ is solvable. We have $4 \leq \ell(G) \leq 5$. It suffices to prove that $G^{(4)} \neq \{\text{Id}\}$.

Consider $T \in L$ and $\phi_{\lambda,\mu} \in N$. We have

$$[T^{-1}, \phi_{\lambda,\mu}] = T^{-1} \circ \phi_{\lambda,\mu} \circ T \circ \phi_{-\lambda,-\mu} = \phi_{\lambda',\mu'},$$

where $\lambda'x + \mu'y = (\lambda x + \mu y) \circ T - (\lambda x + \mu y)$. Indeed we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda' \\ \mu' \end{pmatrix} = (T^t - \text{Id}) \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ \mu \end{pmatrix}$$

where $T^t$ is the transposed matrix of $T$.

Denote $N_j = G^{(j)} \cap N$ for $j \geq 0$. The previous discussion implies that if $N_j = N$ and $L^{(j)}$ contains a matrix with no eigenvalue equal to 1 then $N_{j+1} = N$. Since $L^{(3)} = \{\text{Id}, -\text{Id}\}$ by Corollary 4.1, we obtain $G^{(4)} = N_4 = N$. \hfill \Box

**Remark 8.1.** For the sake of clarity let us give an example of subgroup $L$ of $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ such that $\ell(L) = 4$. We define the group $L$ generated by

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + i & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - i \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + i & -1 + i \\ 1 + i & 1 - i \end{pmatrix},$$

cf. [21][chapter 2, section 7, p. 44]. The group $L$ is a subgroup of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. It is a finite group with 48 elements. The projection $PL$ of $L$
Proof. The result is trivial if \( n \) is any of the unique element of \( a \). Moreover \( PL \) is isomorphic to the group \( S_4 \) of permutations of 4 elements.

Next, we show the existence of an example of a solvable subgroup of \( \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \) whose length is equal to \( 2n + 1 \) for any \( n \geq 2 \).

**Proposition 8.2.** Let \( H \) be a solvable subgroup of \( \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \) with \( n \geq 1 \). Then there exists a solvable subgroup \( G \) of \( \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0) \) such that \( \ell(G) = \ell(H) + 2 \). In particular we obtain \( \psi(n + 1) \geq \psi(n) + 2 \) for any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \).

Proof. The result is trivial if \( H \) is the trivial group since

\[
\left\{ \left( x_1, \ldots, x_n, \frac{\lambda x_{n+1}}{1 + tx_{n+1}} \right) : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* \text{ and } t \in \mathbb{C} \right\}
\]

is a subgroup of \( \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0) \) of derived length 2. We suppose \( H \neq \{1\} \) from now on.

We define

\[
\chi_{a,b} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n, a(x_1, \ldots, x_n)x_{n+1} + b(x_1, \ldots, x_n))
\]

where \( a(0) \neq 0 \) and \( b(0) = 0 \). Consider the group

\[
G = \{ (\phi(x_1, \ldots, x_n), a(x_1, \ldots, x_n)x_{n+1} + b(x_1, \ldots, x_n)) : \phi \in H, a \in O_n \setminus m \text{ and } b \in O_n \cap m \}.
\]

The group \( G^{(\ell(H))} \) is contained in \( \{ \chi_{a,b} : a \in O_n \setminus m, b \in O_n \cap m \} \). We obtain \( \ell(G) \leq \ell(H) + 2 \).

Fix an element \( \phi_0 \in H^{(\ell(H)) - 1} \setminus \{1\} \). Let \( \vartheta \) be the element of \( G \) defined by

\[
\vartheta(x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}) = (\phi_0(x_1, \ldots, x_n), x_{n+1}).
\]

It is clear that \( \vartheta \) belongs to \( G^{(l)} \) for any \( 0 \leq l < \ell(H) \). We define the operator \( \Delta : O_n \cap m \to O_n \cap m \) by \( \Delta(f) = f \circ \phi_0 - f \).

The equation

\[
[\vartheta^{-1}, \chi_{1,b}] = \chi_{1,b}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \circ \vartheta_{0-b(x_1, \ldots, x_n)} = \chi_{1,\Delta(b)}
\]

implies that if \( \chi_{1,b} \) belongs to \( G^{(l)} \) for \( l < \ell(H) \) then \( \chi_{1,\Delta(b)} \) belongs to \( G^{(l+1)} \). Suppose \( \Delta_k \neq 0 \) for any \( k \geq 1 \); we prove this property later on. Then there exists an element \( \chi_{1,b'} \) of \( G^{(\ell(H))} \setminus \{1\} \).

Consider an element \( a \) of \( O_n \) such that \( a(0) = 1 \). We denote by \( \ln a \) the unique element of \( m \) such that \( e^{\ln a} = a \). We have

\[
[\vartheta^{-1}, \chi_{e^{\ln a}, 0}] = [\vartheta^{-1}, \chi_{a, 0}] = \chi_{e^{\ln a} \circ \phi_0 - \ln(a), 0} = \chi_{e^{\Delta(a) \circ \phi_0 - \ln(a)}, 0}.
\]

Again the non-nilpotence of the operator \( \Delta \) implies the existence of an element \( \chi_{a', 0} \) in \( G^{(\ell(H))} \) with \( a' \neq 1 \). The diffeomorphisms \( \chi_{a', 0} \) and \( \chi_{1,b'} \)
do not commute. Therefore the group $G^{(ℓ(H)+1)}$ is non-trivial. Since $ℓ(G) ≤ ℓ(H) + 2$ we deduce $ℓ(G) = ℓ(H) + 2$.

Let us show that $Δ^k \neq 0$ for every $k \geq 1$. It is clear for $k = 1$ since $φ_0 \neq Id$ implies $Δ(x_j) \neq 0$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n$. We have

$$Δ(fg) = (fg) ◦ φ_0 − f g = (f ◦ φ_0 − f)(g ◦ φ_0 − g) + (f ◦ φ_0 − f)g + f(g ◦ φ_0 − g)$$

and then $Δ(fg) = Δ(f)Δ(g) + Δ(f)g + fΔ(g)$. Given $k \geq 1$ we obtain

$$Δ^k(fg) = \sum_{k \leq m+l, \ 0 \leq m \leq k, \ 0 \leq l \leq k} c_{kml}Δ^m(f)Δ^l(g)$$

where $c_{kml}$ is a positive integer number independent of $f$ and $g$ for $k \leq m + l$, $0 \leq m \leq k$ and $0 \leq l \leq k$. In order to show that $Δ$ is not nilpotent it suffices to prove that if $Δ^k(f) \neq 0$ and $Δ^{k+1}(f) = 0$ then $Δ^{2k}(f^2) \neq 0$. Equation (9) implies $Δ^{2k}(f^2) = c_{2k,k,k}Δ^{k}(f)^2$ and hence $Δ^{2k}(f^2) \neq 0$. □

Remark 8.2. Consider the subgroup $G^n$ of $Diff(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ consisting of elements of the form

$$(φ(x_1, x_2), \ldots, a_j(x_1, \ldots, x_{j-1})x_j + b_j(x_1, \ldots, x_{j-1}), \ldots)$$

where $φ ∈ G^2$ and $a_j ∈ O_{j-1} \setminus m$, $b_j ∈ O_{j-1} \cap m$ for any $3 \leq j \leq n$. The construction in Proposition 8.2 implies that $G^n$ is a solvable group of derived length $2n + 1$ for any $n \geq 3$.
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