Non-radiative transitions in metal-free octaethylporphyrin and 2-nitrofluorene donor-acceptor system
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ABSTRACT

Photosynthetic reaction centre functions through non-covalent incorporation into a well-defined transmembrane proteins. In the context of exploring photoinduced electron transfer (PET) in non-covalent donor-acceptor systems, we have investigated electron transfer from metal-free octaethylporphyrin (OEP) donor to 2-nitrofluorene (2NF) acceptor in acetonitrile, a polar solvent. Steady-state and time-resolved emission spectroscopic studies in conjunction with density functional theory (DFT) calculations were employed to explore the electron transfer process. Quenching of the fluorescence emission intensity as well as fluorescence lifetime of the OEP upon excitation at the Q band of OEP at 300 K, is attributed to the PET from OEP to 2NF. Our DFT [wB97XD functional and 6-31G (d,p) basis set] calculations also support the interaction between donor and acceptor and also reveals the co-facial π-π interaction energy of -24.6 kcal/mol with intermolecular distance less than 4 Å. Our results are expected to shed light on PET in non-covalent donor acceptor systems.
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1. Introduction

The complexity and significance of electron transfer reactions in nature, have drawn the attention of the researchers to study the fundamental chemistry of these progressions in basic model systems. Therefore, a long-term aim of the researchers is to develop an understanding of photoinduced electron transfer (PET) reactions that is adequately advanced to enable one to design laboratory systems for the transformation of solar energy into chemical potential, as plants do efficiently during the photosynthesis. A crucial part of this research is to design the molecular systems, which consist of electron donors and acceptors that mimic the charge separation function of photosynthetic systems. However, till date, several synthetic models have been fabricated by variations in the electron transfer rate constants on donor-acceptor orientation, distance, electronic coupling and free energy of reaction [1].

As we know, during photosynthesis, flows of short-range electron transfer and energy transfer take place between well-organized organic pigments and some other cofactors [2]. The arrangement of the donor–acceptor couples in the photosynthetic reaction center is very simple and proficient via their non-covalent incorporation into well-defined transmembrane proteins. Therefore, control of electron transfer pathways in donor-acceptor systems by employing novel supramolecular concepts is significant not only to enrich our knowledge on the mechanistic details of the complex biological electron transfer processes [3-5], but also beneficial for fabricating molecular electronic devices [6,7] and sensors [8] and also in light energy harvesting systems. Supramolecular approach, both energetically and spatially, are the key factors to have control over the mechanism and the rate of energy transfer or electron transfer processes. The rich and wide absorptions range seen in porphyrinoid systems hold particular promise for increased absorptive cross sections and, thus, an effective use of the solar spectrum [9]. High electronic excitation energy of porphyrinoid systems (>2.0 eV) influences a strong exergonic electron transfer that successively mediates the conversion between light and electrical/chemical energy [10]. Porphyrins play a vital role in photosynthesis due to their strong absorption in the visible region, and the ease of adjusting their chemical structures. Porphyrins are wide class of materials, which are extensively studied for their role in electron transfer phenomenon in photosynthesis, dye sensitized solar cells (DSSCs), etc. In order to develop organic photovoltaic cells and artificial photosynthetic systems, photoinduced energy transfer and electron transfer processes have been widely studied in porphyrin based donor–acceptor (D–A) molecular systems [11-16]. In our present study, we have selected octaethylporphyrin (OEP) as donor and 2-nitrofluorene (2NF) as an acceptor in acetonitrile polar solvent. The degree and mode of electron transfer from donor to the acceptor is a subject of intensive investigation. Different substitutions can be easily attached to porphyrin core to make the molecule more convenient in variety of material applications, including DSSCs. In literature, few reports are available on the use of metallo-octaethylporphyrins as donors in electron transfer studies with various acceptors [17-22]. In the present investigation, fluorescence quenching of OEP is measured in the presence of the quencher 2NF to study possible photoinduced electron transfer processes in this donor–acceptor system by evading the competitive singlet–singlet energy transfer process. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of using metal-free porphyrin as electron donor on PET study in the non-covalent system.

2. Experimental Section

The solute molecule octaethylporphyrin (2,3,7,12,13,17,18-octaethyl-21H,23H-porphyrine, OEP) and quencher 2-nitrofluorene (2NF) (Fig. 1) were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich and used as received.

The steady state absorption spectra of the solute were recorded by using JASCO V-650 absorption spectrophotometer at the ambient temperature (300 K) by using 1 cm path length rectangular quartz cuvette. Steady-state fluorescence
spectra of the samples were recorded by using JASCO FP-6500 fluorescence spectrometer at 300 K. The time-resolved emission measurements were carried out by time correlated single photon counting (TCSPC) spectrometer from IBH (UK). We used 1 MHz repetition rate diode laser of 534 nm wavelength and PMT based detector (TBX4, IBH). The instrument response function (IRF ~ 200 ps) of the TCSPC set-up was measured by collecting the scattered light from a TiO₂ suspension in water and the decays were analyzed using IBH DAS-6 analysis software. The Durbin–Watson (DW) parameter, reduced χ² and residuals were used to judge the perfection of the fit.

The theoretical calculations reported here were carried out using Gaussian09 electronic structure simulation software [23]. The gas phase ground state (S⁰) geometries of sensitizer (donor), quencher (acceptor) and sensitizer-quencher (donor-acceptor) complex are found out by optimizing the guessed structures using long range corrected wB97XD DFT method coupled with a moderate 6-31G (d,p) basis set. Frequency calculations were also carried out to make sure that the computed geometries are indeed minima in the potential energy surface. Frontier molecular orbital (FMO) eigenvalues and their electron density distributions were obtained with B3LYP/6-31G (d, p) /wB97XD/6-31G (d,p) method [24-26]. Singlet excited states were calculated using the TDDFT [wB97XD/6-31G (d,p)] method.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Steady state experimental studies:

Figure 2 shows the absorption spectra of OEP and 2NF in polar solvent acetonitrile. The absorption spectra of OEP showed two bands; one is an intense band called S₂ band or B band or Soret band, which appeared at λ_max = 393 nm, and the other one is a very weak band called S₁ band or Q band, which appeared at λ_max = 496 nm, 528 nm, 564 nm and 618 nm.

The absorption spectra of 2NF showed a peak at 332 nm due to S₀→S₁ transition. These are known features of the present donor and acceptor molecules [27,28]. It is seen that in our present study, there was no ground state complex formation, when OEP and 2NF were mixed together in the solvent ACN, even at high concentrations of the quencher (2NF).

Figure 3 shows the steady state fluorescence intensity curve of the donor OEP in presence of the acceptor 2NF (mol/L) with different concentrations in ACN solvent. The self-quenching effects were minimized by measuring the fluorescence intensity of porphyrin in very dilute solutions (∼10⁻⁶ mol/L) [29]. The donor OEP molecule was excited at 564 nm, where 2NF has negligible absorbance (Fig. 2). Furthermore, the OEP emission spectra and 2NF absorption spectra showed very low overlapping; thus the prospect of the radiative energy transfer or secondary inner filter effect is very less. Conversely, the appreciable quenching of the steady state emission of donors can be seen, when the concentration of 2NF (∼10⁻²–10⁻³ mol/L) is quite high. Yet, 2NF does not show any fluorescence band, when excited around 564 nm, even at very high concentration. The inner filter effects (primary and secondary) by 2NF on the fluorescence of the porphyrins cannot be avoided entirely, exclusively in the lower wavelength region of fluorescence emission spectra. Hence, the steady state fluorescence spectra of the OEP in presence of 2NF were improved from the inner filter effect using the equation 1 [30].

\[
f_{\text{corrected}} = f_{\text{observed}} \text{antilog} \left( \frac{\text{OD}_{\text{exc}} + \text{OD}_{\text{em}}}{2} \right) \quad (1)
\]

Where OD_{exc} and OD_{em} are the optical densities of 2NF at the excitation and emission wavelengths, respectively.
As anticipated, the modified fluorescence emission intensities of porphyrin accompanying 2NF are found to be marginally higher than the equivalent observed fluorescence emission intensities. However, figure 3 is based on the modified emission intensities of OEP in presence of acceptor 2NF.

### 3.2 Time-resolved studies:

Table 1 shows the fluorescence lifetimes of OEP without and with 2NF in ACN solvent, which is found from the single-exponential fit of the decay curves. Table 1 and Fig. 4 show quenching of the fluorescence lifetime of OEP with regular addition of 2NF.

| Concentration of 2NF (mol/L) | 0   | 1.7×10^{-3} | 4.9×10^{-3} | 6.9×10^{-3} |
|-----------------------------|-----|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| \( \tau \) (ns)             | 11.45 | 10.01 | 7.96 | 7.03 |

![Figure 4](image-url)  
**Figure 4.** Fluorescence decay curve of OEP (concentration = 4.0×10^{-6} mol/L) in presence of 2NF in ACN at 300 K (\( \lambda_{ex} = 534 \text{ nm}, \lambda_{em} = 620 \text{ nm} \)).

Table 1. Fluorescence lifetimes of OEP (concentration = 4.0×10^{-6} mol/L) in absence and in presence of 2NF in ACN at 300 K (\( \lambda_{ex} = 534 \text{ nm}, \lambda_{em} = 620 \text{ nm} \)).

### 3.3 Fluorescence quenching rate:

We observed that steady state fluorescence quenching follows the simple linear Stern-Volmer (SV) relation very well (Eq. (2)) [30],

\[
\frac{f_0}{f} = 1 + K_{SV}[Q]
\]

Where \( f_0 \) and \( f \) is the relative fluorescence emission intensities of the OEP donor without and with the quencher (2NF) concentration \([Q]\), respectively. \( K_{SV} = k_q \tau_o \) is the Stern-Volmer constant, where \( k_q \) is the second-order bimolecular fluorescence quenching rate constant and \( \tau_o \) is the fluorescence lifetime of the OEP in absence of the 2NF. The value \( K_{SV} \) for OEP-2NF was obtained from the slope of the linear SV plot, which is 87.61 L/mol from figure 5. The value of \( k_q \) is 7.65×10^9 L/(mol-s) for OEP-2NF system obtained from \( K_{SV} \) and \( \tau_o \). Hence, the calculated \( K_{SV} \) value is slightly less than that of the second-order diffusion-controlled rate constant [1.9 × 10^{10} L/(mol-s)] in ACN solvent.

![Figure 5](image-url)  
**Figure 5.** Stern-Volmer plot from the steady state fluorescence emission of OEP in presence of 2NF in ACN at 300 K (\( \lambda_{ex} = 564 \text{ nm} \)) obtained from figure 4.

This indicates the possibility of photoinduced electron transfer in the present donor–acceptor system.
According to Rehm and Weller, we treat ‘A’ as is as an effective solution phase collision frequency, therefore, $A = 1 \times 10^{11}$ s$^{-1}$ [31]. According to Marcus outer-sphere electron transfer theory, activation energy $\Delta G^*$ is represented by equation 5 [32].

$$\Delta G^* = \frac{(\Delta G^0 + \lambda)^2}{4 \Delta}$$

(5)

Where, $\Delta G^0$ is the Gibbs free energy change and $\lambda$ is the nuclear reorganization energy associated with the electron transfer reaction.

According to the Rehm–Weller relation [33], $\Delta G^0$ is given by the following equation.

$$\Delta G^0 = E_{1/2}^{ox}(D/A^*) - E_{1/2}^{red}(A/A^*) - E_{0,0} - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4 \pi \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_R}$$

(6)

Where, $E_{1/2}^{ox}(D/A^*)$ and $E_{1/2}^{red}(A/A^*)$ are half-wave oxidation potential of the donor and half-wave reduction potential of the acceptor, respectively. $E_{0,0}$ is the (0,0) energy of the donor molecule. A wavelength of 564 nm was considered for the excitation of steady state measurement, while, a wavelength of 534 nm was considered for time-resolved measurements, for the porphyrin in the present investigation. Nevertheless, in either case (steady state or time-resolved measurements), porphyrin molecule excited to higher vibrational levels of the $S_1$ state suffers fast, non-radiative vibrational relaxation to the 0th vibrational level of the $S_1$ state. Consequently, electron transfer takes place between the D–A pairs. This fact validates the use of (0,0) energy of the fluorophore (2.006 eV corresponds to 618 nm for OEP, Fig. 2) in Eq. 6. The last term on the RHS of Eq. 6 is the Coulomb term, where ‘R’ stands for the distance between the oxidized donor and the reduced acceptor. The value of R is generally considered as the sum of the radii of oxidized donor and reduced acceptor. Hence, the radii of the donor OEP and acceptor 2NF were taken as 7.2 Å and 5.1 Å, respectively. The radii values were computed using a MOPAC-Cl (6 × 6) [Version 5 package with AM1 Hamiltonian] for the OEP and 2NF molecules in their ground states. Further, in Eq. 6, ‘$\varepsilon$’ is the charge of an electron, ‘$\varepsilon_0$’ is the vacuum permittivity and ‘$\varepsilon_R$’ is the dielectric constant ($\varepsilon_R$ = 37.5) and the Coulomb term is 0.03 eV only for polar solvent ACN. The oxidation potential value of OEP is taken as 0.81 V [34], while 2NF possesses the reduction potential of about −1.18 V [35]. Hence, the value of $\Delta G^0$ comes out to be −0.0472 eV for OEP-2NF system in the ACN solvent.

### 3.4 Photoinduced electron transfer:

The first-order ET rate constant ($k_{ET}$) is calculated from the Arrhenius equation.

$$k_{ET} = A e^{-\frac{\Delta G^*}{R_b F}}$$

(4)

According to Rehm and Weller, the treatment of ‘A’ is as an effective solution phase collision frequency, therefore, $A = 1 \times 10^{11}$ s$^{-1}$ [31]. According to Marcus outer-sphere electron transfer theory, activation energy $\Delta G^*$ is represented by equation 5 [32].

$$\Delta G^* = \frac{(\Delta G^0 + \lambda)^2}{4 \Delta}$$

(5)

Where, $\Delta G^0$ is the Gibbs free energy change and $\lambda$ is the nuclear reorganization energy associated with the electron transfer reaction.

According to the Rehm–Weller relation [33], $\Delta G^0$ is given by the following equation.

$$\Delta G^0 = E_{1/2}^{ox}(D/A^*) - E_{1/2}^{red}(A/A^*) - E_{0,0} - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4 \pi \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_R}$$

(6)

Where, $E_{1/2}^{ox}(D/A^*)$ and $E_{1/2}^{red}(A/A^*)$ are half-wave oxidation potential of the donor and half-wave reduction potential of the acceptor, respectively. $E_{0,0}$ is the (0,0) energy of the donor molecule. A wavelength of 564 nm was considered for the excitation of steady state measurement, while, a wavelength of 534 nm was considered for time-resolved measurements, for the porphyrin in the present investigation. Nevertheless, in either case (steady state or time-resolved measurements), porphyrin molecule excited to higher vibrational levels of the $S_1$ state suffers fast, non-radiative vibrational relaxation to the 0th vibrational level of the $S_1$ state. Consequently, electron transfer takes place between the D–A pairs. This fact validates the use of (0,0) energy of the fluorophore (2.006 eV corresponds to 618 nm for OEP, Fig. 2) in Eq. 6. The last term on the RHS of Eq. 6 is the Coulomb term, where ‘R’ stands for the distance between the oxidized donor and the reduced acceptor. The value of R is generally considered as the sum of the radii of oxidized donor and reduced acceptor. Hence, the radii of the donor OEP and acceptor 2NF were taken as 7.2 Å and 5.1 Å, respectively. The radii values were computed using a MOPAC-Cl (6 × 6) [Version 5 package with AM1 Hamiltonian] for the OEP and 2NF molecules in their ground states. Further, in Eq. 6, ‘$\varepsilon$’ is the charge of an electron, ‘$\varepsilon_0$’ is the vacuum permittivity and ‘$\varepsilon_R$’ is the dielectric constant ($\varepsilon_R$ = 37.5) and the Coulomb term is 0.03 eV only for polar solvent ACN. The oxidation potential value of OEP is taken as 0.81 V [34], while 2NF possesses the reduction potential of about −1.18 V [35]. Hence, the value of $\Delta G^0$ comes out to be −0.0472 eV for OEP-2NF system in the ACN solvent.
The obtained negative value of $\Delta G^0$ ($\Delta G^0<0$) indicates the existence of photoinduced electron transfer from the OEP to 2NF in ACN upon excitation of the former molecules is exergonic and therefore energetically feasible.

In Equation 5, $\lambda$ is the nuclear reorganization energy associated with the electron transfer reaction and would be expressed by the summation of $\lambda_i$ and $\lambda_o$. $\lambda_i$ is the inner-sphere reorganization energy originated from the intramolecular bond length and outer-sphere reorganization energy $\lambda_o$ is obtained from reorientation of the solvent molecules.

$$\lambda = \lambda_i + \lambda_o$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

$\lambda_i$ can be calculated from the force constants for all the molecular vibrations in both the reactants and products. Though, a fixed value of 0.3 eV is preferred for $\lambda_i$. This value of $\lambda_i$ has been used by many researchers in their articles as a characteristic value for aromatic D–A systems [36,37,38]. The value of $\lambda_o$ was calculated using the dielectric continuum model of two spherical reactants (Eq. 8) [39,40].

$$\lambda_o = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \left[ \frac{1}{\varepsilon_D} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon_A} \right] \left[ \frac{1}{2r_D} + \frac{1}{2r_A} - \frac{1}{R} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Where $\varepsilon_{sp}$ is the solvent refractive index (optical dielectric constant); $r_D$ and $r_A$ are the radii of the oxidized donor and reduced acceptor, respectively, and $R = (r_D+r_A)$ (center to center distance between donor and acceptor molecules). $\lambda_o$ value calculated from the system we used is 0.624 eV. Consequently, the calculated value of the nuclear reorganization energy associated with the electron transfer reaction comes out to be 0.924 eV for the D–A system. Using the equation 5 we can calculate the activation energy for our system (0.028 eV). Hence our calculated $k_{ET}$ value is $3.21 \times 10^7$ s$^{-1}$ for OEP-2NF system in ACN solvent.

3.5 Computational analysis:

Computational DFT studies have been carried out to comprehend the intermolecular PET process. The ground state structures of the donor and acceptor were attained by gas phase geometry optimization process using wB97X/D-31G(d,p) method and the resulting optimized coordinates and the structures were presented in ESI (Figure S2 and S3). To study the interaction between donor and acceptor, various spatially oriented geometrical guesses of donor along with acceptor was optimized at the same level of theory.

| Electronic Transition | Absorption energies (nm) | F |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|---|
| A                     |                          |   |
| S$_0$–S$_1$           | 309                      | 0.00 | H→L 30 %; H→L+1 25 % |
| S$_0$–S$_2$           | 279                      | 0.57 | H→L 88 % |
| S$_0$–S$_3$           | 275                      | 0.00 | H→L+1 25 % |
| S$_0$–S$_4$           | 259                      | 0.03 | H→L 55 %; H→L+1 11 % |
| S$_0$–S$_5$           | 309                      | 0.00 | H→L+1 80 % |
| B                     |                          |   |
| S$_0$–S$_1$           | 584                      | 0.01 | H→L 54 %; H→L+1 146 % |
| S$_0$–S$_2$           | 530                      | 0.02 | H→L+1 57 %; H→L+1 43 % |
| S$_0$–S$_3$           | 363                      | 0.78 | H→L 38 %; H→L+1 38 % |
| S$_0$–S$_4$           | 344                      | 1.31 | H→L+1 43 %; H→L 52 % |
| S$_0$–S$_5$           | 333                      | 0.00 | H→L+1 89 % |
| D                     |                          |   |
| S$_0$–S$_1$           | 585                      | 0.01 | H→L 30 %; H→L+1 23 %; H→L+1 25 % |
| S$_0$–S$_2$           | 534                      | 0.02 | H→L 27 %; H→L+1 32 %; H→L+1 17 % |
| S$_0$–S$_3$           | 380                      | 0.19 | H→L+2 59 % |
| S$_0$–S$_4$           | 369                      | 0.36 | H→L+2 39 %; H→L 16 % |
| S$_0$–S$_5$           | 363                      | 0.02 | H→L+2 92 % |
| D–A Complex           |                          |   |
| S$_0$–S$_1$           | 585                      | 0.01 | H→L 30 %; H→L+1 23 %; H→L+1 25 % |
| S$_0$–S$_2$           | 534                      | 0.02 | H→L 27 %; H→L+1 32 %; H→L+1 17 % |
| S$_0$–S$_3$           | 380                      | 0.19 | H→L+2 59 % |
| S$_0$–S$_4$           | 369                      | 0.36 | H→L+2 39 %; H→L 16 % |
| S$_0$–S$_5$           | 363                      | 0.02 | H→L+2 92 % |

Table 2. Calculated electronic absorption energies (in nm), oscillator strengths (f) and main configuration along with percentage contributions of first five excited states of acceptor A, donor D and donor-acceptor D-A complex calculated using TDDFT-wB97x/6-31G(d,p).
Interestingly, a co-facial π-π interaction between donor and acceptor with an interaction energy of (-24.6 kcal/mol) is obtained for the system studied here (Figure 7). The intermolecular distance is less than 4 Å. The FMO picture along with the energy level alignments of donor, acceptor and donor-acceptor system are shown in figure 8. The HOMO and LUMO eigen value of the acceptor is lower than that of donor by about 1.59 and 0.34 eV, respectively. From the figure it is clearly visible that upon donor-acceptor complexation, the LUMO of the acceptor is destabilized due to orbital overlap between donor and acceptor.

For the donor-acceptor system the electron density distribution of HOMO and HOMO-1 is purely centered on donor moiety, whereas the LUMO is largely distributed on acceptor moiety and LUMO+1 on donor part. This offers an indirect evidence on the viability of photoinduced electron transfer from donor to acceptor. Fascinatingly, one could observe that the HOMO-LUMO gap (HLG) of the donor and HOMO-LUMO+1 gap (HLG+1) of donor and acceptor are almost equal in magnitudes.

In order to get more details on the fluorescence quenching in this studied system, we have calculated the lowest five excitation energies for the possible absorption of UV/visible light of acceptor, donor and donor-acceptor complex using TDDFT/wB97X-D/6-31G(d,p) method (see table 2). The purpose of this study is not to accurately reproduce the magnitudes of experimentally observed results, but to establish the through space charge transfer upon excitation. We have selected wB97X-D method, which is known to take care of the charge transfer excitations [41]. The trends in experimentally observed absorption wavelengths are correlating with the theoretically estimated excitation energies. It is interesting to see that TDDFT has predicted almost same absorption maxima values for the donor and the donor-acceptor complex. This is why we could not experimentally observe this strong coupling in the experimental spectra. It is in line with the fluorescence quenching predictions made by Korzdorfer et al. [42] in perylene-bisimide (donor)-triphenylamine (acceptor) system. The 585 nm S0-S1 excitation shown by donor-acceptor complex involves the configurations, both from LUMO and LUMO-1. Thus, we could clearly ascertain that fluorescence quenching in this type of system is due to charge transfer coupling caused by orbital overlap between the donor and acceptor.

4. Conclusions

We investigate photoinduced electron transfer (PET) from metal-free octaethylporphyrin (OEP) donor to 2-nitrofluorene (2NF) acceptor in acetonitrile using steady-state and time-resolved emission spectroscopy in conjunction with density functional theory (DFT) calculations. The emission intensity as well as fluorescence lifetime of OEP is highly quenched at 300K as we increase the quencher (2NF) concentration in the solution, which is attributed to the PET from OEP to 2NF due to excitation at Q band of OEP. From our corrected linear Stern-Volmer plot, we find good correlations between the second order bimolecular fluorescence quenching constants obtained from steady-state (7.65×10−1 L/mol-s) and time-resolved (7.94×109 L/mol-s) studies. We have computed the lowest five excitation energies of the OEP, 2NF and OEP-2NF complex in the excited state. The donor and acceptor show co-facial π-π interaction and the binding energy of the complex is

Figure 7. The π-π interacting D-A complex geometry predicted by wB97X-D/6-31G(d,p) method.

Figure 8: Molecular orbital diagrams of D, A and D-A complex obtained at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)/wB97XD/6-31G(d,p).
computed to be -24.6 kcal/mol. Therefore, our experimental and quantum chemical studies clearly ascertain the fluorescence quenching of the OEP in the presence of 2NF is due to charge transfer coupling caused by OEP and 2NF molecular orbital overlap. This approach reveals an important feature for photoinduced electron transfer in non-covalent donor-acceptor system.

Appendix A. Supporting information
Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version.
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Supporting Information:

Figure S1. Steady state photoluminescence spectra of a mixture of OEP (concentration = 3.9×10⁻⁶ mol/L) and 2NF in ACN liquid solution at 300 K (λ_ex = 564 nm). Concentration of 2NF (mol/L): (0) 0; (1) 6.3×10⁻⁴; (2) 1.2×10⁻³; (3) 1.7×10⁻³; (4) 2.1×10⁻³; (5) 2.6×10⁻³; (6) 3.2×10⁻³; (7) 3.8×10⁻³; (8) 4.3×10⁻³; (9) 4.9×10⁻³; (10) 5.4×10⁻³; (11) 5.8×10⁻³; (12) 6.2×10⁻³; (13) 6.6×10⁻³; (14) 6.9×10⁻³. All the spectra are modified from inner filter effect.

Figure S2. Geometrical parameter and Coordinates of Acceptor
### Geometrical Parameters and Coordinates of Donor

| Element | X            | Y            | Z            |
|---------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| H       | 0.93162800   | -2.43851300  | -0.88093200  |
| C       | -1.87778200  | 1.41199300   | -0.00007800  |
| C       | -2.36142300  | 0.10697800   | -0.00007800  |
| C       | -1.52853200  | -1.00911600  | -0.00003300  |
| C       | -0.16410600  | -0.78568000  | 0.00001300   |
| C       | 0.34804200   | 0.52374900   | 0.00001000   |
| C       | -0.50536600  | 1.62421500   | -0.00003400  |
| H       | -2.58178400  | 2.23412700   | -0.00011200  |
| H       | -1.96213000  | -2.00172600  | -0.00003500  |
| H       | -0.11202500  | 2.63539700   | -0.00003400  |
| C       | 0.94598900   | -1.78717200  | 0.00007000   |
| H       | 0.93157000   | -2.43857600  | 0.88109700   |
| C       | 2.18999200   | -0.90184600  | 0.00009400   |
| C       | 3.53177000   | -1.25436300  | 0.00014500   |
| C       | 4.49235600   | -0.24416200  | 0.00015900   |
| C       | 4.11627900   | 1.10037400   | 0.00012400   |
| C       | 2.77200900   | 1.45873200   | 0.00007400   |
| C       | 1.81350600   | 0.44982700   | 0.00006000   |
| H       | 3.83246000   | -2.29788200  | 0.00017300   |
| H       | 5.54596700   | -0.50468400  | 0.00020200   |
| H       | 4.87953000   | 1.87153300   | 0.00013500   |
| H       | 2.48136200   | 2.50493800   | 0.00004500   |
| N       | -3.81252200  | -0.10422100  | -0.00012800  |
| O       | -4.21871400  | -1.25730000  | -0.00016800  |
| O       | -4.53320300  | 0.88275800   | -0.00018200  |

![Figure S3. Geometrical parameters and Coordinates of Donor](image-url)
| Atom | X       | Y       | Z       |
|------|---------|---------|---------|
| C    | 2.41637500 | 2.41786500 | -0.39635900 |
| C    | 2.39973500 | -2.43255700 | -0.39619400 |
| C    | 1.06805800 | -2.84482400 | -0.38771800 |
| N    | -0.00622300 | -2.01773300 | -0.39791000 |
| C    | -1.08533100 | -2.83825900 | -0.38784200 |
| C    | -0.69184800 | -4.24973100 | -0.36699000 |
| N    | 0.00623100  | 2.01773000  | -0.39792500 |
| C    | -1.06804900 | 2.84482100  | -0.38775800 |
| C    | -0.66576700 | 4.25387100  | -0.36696100 |
| C    | 0.69185600  | 4.24972800  | -0.36700500 |
| C    | 1.08554000  | 2.83825600  | -0.38784200 |
| C    | -2.41466700 | -2.41786900 | -0.39638700 |
| N    | -2.39972700 | 2.43255500  | -0.39625500 |
| C    | -2.10076700 | 0.00638700  | -0.39913800 |
| C    | -2.88143500 | -1.11142800 | -0.39503800 |
| C    | -4.25289300 | -0.67385000 | -0.38453300 |
| C    | -4.24864300 | 0.69990400  | -0.38440900 |
| C    | -2.87451000 | 1.12900300  | -0.39497800 |
| H    | 3.17695200  | 3.19013300  | -0.39828200 |
| H    | -3.17694400 | -3.19013600 | -0.39831800 |
| H    | -3.15726900 | 3.20947100  | -0.39809400 |
| H    | 3.15727800  | -3.20947400 | -0.39801500 |
| H    | -1.08707100 | 0.00324400  | -0.41186700 |
| H    | 1.08708000  | -0.00324700 | -0.41184400 |
| C    | 1.63415000  | 5.41288400  | -0.29741700 |
| C    | 2.19116500  | 5.64032100  | 1.11448000 |
| H    | 1.12129000  | 6.32020300  | 0.63344900 |
| H    | 2.46434900  | 5.26080800  | 0.99767500 |
| H    | 2.89393900  | 6.47864700  | 1.13096400 |
| H    | 1.38130400  | 5.85643800  | 1.81732900 |
| H    | 2.71137600  | 4.74916700  | 1.47842800 |
| C    | -1.60094800 | 5.42275700  | -0.29738400 |
| C    | -2.15707300 | 5.65323300  | 1.11437600 |
| H    | -2.43180500 | 5.27597600  | -0.99799500 |
| H    | -1.08240100 | 6.32701000  | -0.63295600 |
| H    | -2.85463500 | 6.49590400  | 1.13084200 |
| H    | -2.68294900 | 4.76523000  | 1.47788300 |
| H    | -1.34615400 | 5.86410700  | 1.81759600 |
| C    | -5.42073500 | 1.63227100  | -0.32246100 |
| C    | -5.66956000 | 2.17335100  | 1.09166200 |
| H    | -6.31828300 | 1.11688600  | -0.67844400 |
| H    | -5.26004300 | 2.46933400  | -1.01162100 |
| H    | -6.51350000 | 2.86906900  | 1.10291400 |
| H    | -5.88836300 | 1.35649300  | 1.78547800 |
| H    | -4.78668800 | 2.69802300  | 1.46863400 |
| C    | -5.43073200 | -1.59895700  | -0.32278800 |
| C    | -5.68221000 | -2.13970800 | 1.09099500 |
| H    | -5.27562800 | -2.43641500 | -1.01275200 |
| H    | -6.32523600 | -1.07766500 | -0.67783400 |
| H    | -6.53057200 | -2.83003200 | 1.10209000 |
| H    | -4.80250700 | -2.67035400 | 1.46701500 |
| H    | -5.89537400 | -1.32209900 | 1.78567100 |
| C    | -1.63414300 | -5.41288700 | -0.29741300 |
| C    | -2.19119200 | -5.64031000 | 1.11447300 |
| H    | -1.12127300 | -6.32020900 | -0.63342300 |
| H    | -2.46432500 | -5.26081900 | -0.99769300 |
1. Coordinates of Donor-Acceptor Complex

| Element | X       | Y       | Z       |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| H       | -2.89394600 | -6.47863800 | 1.13094800 |
| H       | -1.38134900 | -5.85641600 | 1.81734500 |
| H       | -2.71116000 | -4.74915300 | 1.47839700 |
| C       | 1.60095500  | -5.42275900 | -0.29732000 |
| C       | 2.15704700  | -5.65373600 | 1.11445400 |
| H       | 2.43192900  | -5.27597700 | -0.99791000 |
| H       | 1.08241800  | -6.32701200 | -0.63290500 |
| H       | 2.85460900  | -6.49590500 | 1.13093500 |
| H       | 2.68291200  | -4.76523200 | 1.47797400 |
| H       | 1.34611100  | -5.86411100 | 1.81765400 |
| C       | 5.42074100  | -1.63227500 | 1.89808100 |
| C       | 5.66954400  | -2.13973200 | 1.09107800 |
| H       | 6.31829600  | -1.11689200 | -0.67832500 |
| H       | 5.26006100  | -2.46931000 | -1.01152000 |
| H       | 6.51348400  | -2.86906500 | 1.10304500 |
| H       | 5.88839000  | -1.35648600 | 1.78592000 |
| H       | 4.78666600  | -2.69818900 | 1.46873600 |
| C       | 5.43073900  | 1.59895500  | -0.32270100 |
| C       | 5.68213800  | 2.13973200  | 1.09107800 |
| H       | 5.27564000  | 2.43639900  | -0.67832500 |
| H       | 6.32525200  | 1.07765500  | -0.67771500 |
| H       | 6.53054900  | 2.83051000  | 1.10218100 |
| H       | 4.80247400  | 2.67039000  | 1.46706500 |
| H       | 5.89532500  | 1.32213500  | 1.78577600 |
H  2.00245100 -5.94978900 -1.30574800
H  0.31296000 -5.65139200 -1.65233900
H  0.58435800 -6.83697400  0.5985600
H  1.58782000 -5.50726600  1.14187100
H -0.12343800 -5.23654600  0.79830300
C  3.99974100 -3.90709700 -1.28990900
C  4.74968000 -3.91024100  0.04727800
H  4.59759000 -3.73392000 -2.03830100
H  3.91167500 -4.93051000 -1.65702000
H  5.73702500 -4.36962000 -0.05792200
H  4.87832400 -2.89193300  0.42528500
H  4.18841000 -4.47350500  0.79944800
C  5.63026800  1.26136000 -1.47615600
C  6.39187100  0.88881100 -0.20008100
H  6.08701500  2.15090100 -1.92210500
H  5.75191300  0.46181500 -2.21580400
H  7.44531400  0.69307500 -0.42006000
H  6.34378300  1.70032400  0.53214000
H  5.96187600 -0.00302500  0.26355600
C  4.15571700  4.07338400 -0.92415900
C  4.23483400  4.49961500  0.54803000
H  3.58589500  4.81800300 -1.49131800
H  5.16204600  4.06673400 -1.35467300
H  4.70339300  5.48249200  0.65099500
H  3.23699300  4.54982700  0.99492600
H  4.82101000  3.77902100  1.12650200