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The Caenorhabditis elegans SUN domain protein, UNC-84, functions in nuclear migration and anchorage in the soma. We discovered a novel role for UNC-84 in DNA damage repair and meiotic recombination. Loss of UNC-84 leads to defects in the loading and disassembly of the recombinase RAD-51. Similar to mutations in Fanconi anemia (FA) genes, unc-84 mutants and human cells depleted of Sun-1 are sensitive to DNA cross-linking agents, and sensitivity is rescued by the inactivation of nonhomologous end joining (NHEJ). UNC-84 also recruits FA nuclease FAN-1 to the nucleoplasm, suggesting that UNC-84 both alters the extent of repair by NHEJ and promotes the processing of cross-links by FAN-1. UNC-84 interacts with the KASH protein ZYG-12 for DNA damage repair. Furthermore, the microtubule network and interaction with the nucleoskeleton are important for repair, suggesting that a functional linker of nucleoskeleton and cytoskeleton (LINC) complex is required. We propose that LINC complexes serve a conserved role in DNA repair through both the inhibition of NHEJ and the promotion of homologous recombination at sites of chromosomal breaks.

Introduction

Our genomes are under constant assault, accumulating DNA damage that must be repaired before the next cell division. It is especially important to precisely repair DNA in the germline to prevent passing deleterious mutations to the next generation. A large number of pathways sense and repair damaged DNA; these pathways are differentially engaged depending on cell cycle stage, cell type, and the nature of the damage induced (Ciccia and Elledge, 2010). DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) are primarily repaired by nonhomologous end joining (NHEJ), which ligates breaks without regard for homology, or by homologous recombination (HR), which requires a template for repair (Daley and Sung, 2014). Because NHEJ is more error prone, cells in the germline use HR when possible. However, the mechanisms underlying how germ cells favor HR over NHEJ repair pathways are not well understood. In this study, we report a mechanism conserved from nematodes to mammals in which the linker of nucleoskeleton and cytoskeleton (LINC) functions with the Fanconi anemia (FA) pathway to suppress NHEJ in favor of HR to safeguard the genome.

FA is a complex disorder characterized by genome instability, a predisposition to cancer, and sensitivity to cross-linking agents (Kottemann and Smogorzewska, 2013; Duxin and Walter, 2015). Over 19 genes have been shown to mutate in FA. Critical among these is FANC D2, which, in response to stalled replication forks or interstrand cross-links, is monoubiquinated and recruits repair proteins, such as the nuclease FAN-1 (Kennedy and D’Andrea, 2005; Kratz et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2010; MacKay et al., 2010; Smogorzewska et al., 2010). Interestingly, cross-link sensitivity of fancd2 mutants in Caenorhabditis elegans, human, and DT40 chicken B cells is suppressed by the inactivation of NHEJ, suggesting that the FA pathway ensures HR repair by inhibiting NHEJ (Adamo et al., 2010; Pace et al., 2010). However, in mice, inactivation of NHEJ exacerbates the cross-linking sensitivity of fancd2, indicating that we do not fully understand the interactions between the FA pathway and repair through NHEJ and HR (Bunting et al., 2012).

The conserved LINC complex physically connects the nucleus to the cytoplasm and is essential for nuclear migration, anchorage, centrosome attachment to the outer nuclear membrane, and mechanotransduction (Tapley and Starr, 2013; Luxton and...
LINC consists of inner nuclear membrane SUN domain proteins and outer nuclear membrane KASH domain proteins. Canonical SUN proteins (C. elegans UNC-84; mammalian Sun-1/2) have a nucleoplasmic domain that interacts with lamins, a single transmembrane pass, and the conserved SUN domain (Malone et al., 1999; Crisp et al., 2006; Haque et al., 2006; Jaspersen et al., 2006; Tapley et al., 2011; Bone et al., 2014; Cain et al., 2014). SUN domains interact in the perinuclear space with KASH domain proteins, which span the outer nuclear membrane to connect to the cytoskeleton (Starr and Han, 2002; Padmakumar et al., 2005; Crisp et al., 2006; McGee et al., 2006; Sosa et al., 2014). LINC has been implicated in various aspects of internal nuclear organization and function. Most striking is the conserved requirement for LINC in chromosome movement and pairing during meiosis (Chikashige et al., 2006; Ding et al., 2007; Penkner et al., 2007, 2009; Minn et al., 2009; Sato et al., 2009), making it difficult to tease apart a role in DNA damage repair. UNC-84 also functions in the repair of interstrand cross-links. Analysis of SUN and KASH protein mutations and inhibition of microtubule polymerization support a model whereby chromosome motion driven by LINC promotes repair.

**Results**

NHEJ is engaged at stalled/collapsed replication forks in the absence of UNC-84. C. elegans has two SUN domain–containing proteins: UNC-84 and SUN-1. SUN-1 is essential for germline organization, meiotic chromosome pairing, and early embryonic viability (Malone et al., 2003; Penkner et al., 2007, 2009; Minn et al., 2009; Sato et al., 2009), making it difficult to tease apart a role in DNA damage repair. UNC-84 is essential for nuclear migration and anchorage in the soma, and a role in the germline...
has not been reported (Malone et al., 1999; Cain et al., 2014). Therefore, we focused on the analysis of unc-84-null mutants (unc-84(n369); Malone et al., 1999; Lee et al., 2002) after challenge with DNA-damaging agents.

We examined the consequences of perturbing DNA replication in PZ germ cells by treating worms with the ribonucleotide reductase inhibitor hydroxyurea (HU). HU depletes nucleotide pools, leading to replication fork stalling/collapse (Petermann et al., 2010). After treatment with 25 mM HU, wild-type PZ nuclei arrest in S/G2 (Fig. S1, C and D) but continue to grow, resulting in enlarged and consequently fewer nuclei (Fig. 1, B and C; MacQueen and Villeneuve, 2001). Inactivation of the DNA damage checkpoint by depletion of checkpoint kinase, CHK1, results in a failure to arrest in response to HU; these animals have smaller and many more nuclei within the PZ (Fig. 1, B and C; Garcia-Muse and Boulton, 2005). Analysis of the PZ in unc-84 mutants after HU revealed an intermediate number of nuclei (Fig. 1, B and C). Thus, unc-84 mutants respond differently to HU than either wild-type or checkpoint-defective mutants, suggesting that UNC-84 is involved in some aspect of DNA damage response (DDR)/repair.

After the release from HU in wild-type worms, germ cells remain arrested (≥3.5 μm in diameter) until repair is completed (Lawrence et al., 2015). 5 h after release from HU, 71.0% of wild-type nuclei were arrested, and 89% of those contained the recombinase RAD-51, a marker of ongoing repair by HR. However, none of the small nuclei (<3.5 μm; 29.0%), which presumably had just divided, had RAD-51 (Fig. 1 D). In contrast, most chk-1(RNAi) germ nuclei challenged with HU bypassed the DNA damage checkpoint and prematurely divided, resulting in significantly more small nuclei (86.4%; Fig. 1 D). A significant fraction (41.5%) of the small nuclei in chk-1(RNAi) contained RAD-51 foci (Fig. 1 D), suggesting that nuclear division occurred despite unrepaired DNA damage. unc-84 PZs had an intermediate number of small nuclei (56.9%), and 28.7% of these nuclei had RAD-51 foci 5 h after HU (Fig. 1 D). These data suggest that a significant percentage of unc-84 mutant nuclei had either completed repair before progressing through the cell cycle or progressed through the cell cycle without completing repair and without loading RAD-51. If repair failed, germ cells damaged in the PZ would be packaged into gametes that, when fertilized, would have decreased viability. We monitored progeny viability 36–72 h after release from HU; this time frame captures progeny derived from PZ germ cells exposed to HU (Jaramillo-Lambert et al., 2007). We observed no difference between wild-type and unc-84 mutants (percentage of progeny inviability mean ± 95% confidence interval [CI]; wild-type: 7.37 ± 2.97%; unc-84: 3.83 ± 1.10%), suggesting that repair was completed in those cells packaged into gametes. As germ line apoptosis eliminates defective germ cells and could mask a defect, we also analyzed progeny viability upon inactivation of the essential cell death caspase CED-3 (Ellis and Horvitz, 1986). Although there was a significant difference between ced-3(n717) and unc-84;ced-3 before HU (1.20 ± 0.47 vs. 3.30 ± 1.5; P < 0.01; Fig. S3 D), there was no difference after HU, although brood size was significantly reduced (percentage of progeny inviability [P = 0.06] and brood size [P = 0.0001]; mean ± 95% CI; ced-3: 2.28 ± 1.37 and 167.10 ± 24; unc-84;ced-3: 5.27 ± 2.87 and 57.0 ± 16.70), suggesting that apoptosis does not explain the low progeny inviability of unc-84 mutants after HU exposure.

To test the hypothesis that repair proceeds at a subset of lesions in unc-84 cells without loading RAD-51, we examined the consequence of inactivating the major RAD-51–independent pathway, NHEJ, by mutation of cku-70 (C. elegans Ku70 orthologue; Clejan et al., 2006) in wild type and unc-84 mutants. Both unc-84;cku-70(tm1524) and cku-70 mutants were proficient for arrest after HU (Fig. 1, B and C). Furthermore, unc-84;cku-70 and, to a lesser extent, cku-70 mutants had reduced numbers of small nuclei containing RAD-51 (unc-84;cku-70: 9.5%; cku-70: 21%) compared with unc-84 mutants (28.7%), but more than wild type (0%; Fig. 1 D). These results suggest that a subset of stalled/collapsed forks is repaired by NHEJ in the absence of UNC-84.

### UNC-84 plays a role in DNA repair independent of tethering breaks to the nuclear periphery

Although the removal of NHEJ improved the response of unc-84 to HU, germ cells were not restored to wild type with respect to RAD-51, suggesting that UNC-84 plays additional roles in DNA repair (Fig. 1 D). To examine the repair of stalled/collapsed replication forks, we treated worms with a 2-h pulse of 5 mM HU and monitored the loading and removal of RAD-51 after release from HU. This dose of HU had no effect on proliferation in either wild-type or unc-84 PZ cells (mitotic index [H3S10-P] 6-h HU recovery: wild type, 5.60 ± 0.30 vs. no HU, 5.00 ± 0.30 [P = 0.12]; unc-84, 4.03 ± 0.40 vs. 3.19 ± 0.40 [P = 0.16]), although we did observe a delay in progression to G2/M in unc-84 in the absence of HU (Fig. S1 B). Nonetheless, labeled nucleotides were incorporated comparably into PZ nuclei (Fig. S1 C), suggesting that S phase is similar in wild type and unc-84. Before HU treatment, there were slightly elevated levels of RAD-51 in unc-84 compared with wild type (P < 0.01); however, immediately after release from HU and 2 h later, unc-84 had significantly fewer RAD-51 foci than wild type (P < 0.001; Fig. 2 A). By 4 h, RAD-51 levels were indistinguishable between unc-84 and wild type. To determine whether the reduced levels of RAD-51 early in the time course were a consequence of channeling repair through NHEJ, we examined RAD-51 in unc-84;cku-70. Immediately after release from HU and 2 h later, the unc-84;cku-70 mutant displayed intermediate levels of RAD-51, and by 4 h there was very little difference between wild type, unc-84, and unc-84;cku-70 (Fig. 2 A). The cku-70 mutant behaved like wild type until 6 h, when more RAD-51 foci were observed (Fig. 2 A). These results suggest that some HU lesions are repaired through NHEJ in the absence of UNC-84.

We also examined the loading and removal of replication protein A (RPA; RPA-1::YFP; Stergiou et al., 2011), which is loaded onto single-stranded DNA during replication and repair and is exchanged for RAD-51 during HR (Sleeth et al., 2007). In wild-type PZ nuclei, RPA-1::YFP peaks 2 h after release from HU and then declined (Fig. 2 A). In unc-84 animals, RPA-1::YFP levels were similar to wild type immediately after release from HU but were slightly higher than wild type at 2 h (P < 0.05) and remained higher than wild type at 4 and 6 h (4 h, P < 0.05; 6 h, P < 0.001; Fig. 2 B). Although RPA-1::YFP was restored to wild-type levels in unc-84;cku-80(RNAi) at 2 h after HU, RPA-1 levels were indistinguishable from unc-84 at 4 and 6 h, suggesting that alteration of RPA levels is not solely a consequence of repair by NHEJ. Surprisingly, depletion of CKU-80 alone...
led to slightly lower levels of RPA-1 at 0 and 2 h, but these levels were similar to wild type at 4 and 6 h. The subtle alterations in RPA-1 compared with RAD-51 loading suggests that only a subset of RPA-1–marked HU events are channeled into repair through RAD-51.

We recently reported that repair of DNA damage in PZ nuclei is dependent on both the DDR and the spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC) and proposed that the SAC facilitated recruitment of damaged DNA to the nuclear periphery for repair (Lawrence et al., 2015). Because Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Schizosaccharomyces pombe SUN proteins are required for the localization of persistent DSBs to the nuclear periphery (Kalocsay et al., 2009; Oza et al., 2009), we explored the possibility that UNC-84 served as a tether for the SAC and/or checkpoint (SAC) and proposed that the SAC facilitated recruitment of damaged DNA to the nuclear periphery for repair (Lawrence et al., 2015). Because Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Schizosaccharomyces pombe SUN proteins are required for the localization of persistent DSBs to the nuclear periphery (Kalocsay et al., 2009; Oza et al., 2009), we explored the possibility that UNC-84 served as a tether for the SAC and/or checkpoint (SAC) and proposed that the SAC facilitated recruitment of damaged DNA to the nuclear periphery for repair (Lawrence et al., 2015). Because Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Schizosaccharomyces pombe SUN proteins are required for the localization of persistent DSBs to the nuclear periphery (Kalocsay et al., 2009; Oza et al., 2009), we explored the possibility that UNC-84 served as a tether for the SAC and/or checkpoint (SAC) and proposed that the SAC facilitated recruitment of damaged DNA to the nuclear periphery for repair (Lawrence et al., 2015). Because Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Schizosaccharomyces pombe SUN proteins are required for the localization of persistent DSBs to the nuclear periphery (Kalocsay et al., 2009; Oza et al., 2009), we explored the possibility that UNC-84 served as a tether for the SAC and/or

Figure 2. RAD-51 and RPA are altered after HU treatment in the absence of UNC84. (A) RAD-51–positive nuclei in wild type (WT), unc-84(n369), unc-84(n369);cku-70(tm1524), or cku-70(tm1524) in the absence of or after recovery from 5 mM HU. n > 30. (B) Number of RPA-1::YFP foci per nucleus in wild type, unc-84(n369), unc-84(n369);cku-80(RNAi), or cku-80(RNAi) in the absence of or after recovery from 5 mM HU. n > 30. (C) MAD-2 (red) staining in wild-type or unc-84(n369) germlines after 25 mM HU treatment counterstained with DAPI (blue). (D) High-resolution SIM images of a single nucleus from unc-84(n369) and wild-type worms treated with 25 mM HU and stained with RAD-51 (red), NPC (Mab414; green), and DAPI (blue). Next to each image is the mean number of RAD-51 foci observed in each genotype as well as the mean area of each RAD-51 focus (n = 4 germlines). Images represent a projection of three z sections. The scatterplot indicates the distance in nanometers between NPC and RAD-51 foci in unc-84(n369) and wild-type worms after HU. Bars, 5 µm. Error bars indicate 95% CI. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.0001, when compared with unc-84(n369) single mutants.
damaged DNA. We found that the SAC component MAD-2 was enriched at the nuclear periphery in unc-84 mutants as in wild type (Fig. 2 C). Furthermore, structured illumination microscopy (SIM) revealed that RAD-51 was closely associated with the nuclear periphery after HU in unc-84 mutants as in wild type (Fig. 2 D). Collectively, these results suggest that UNC-84 plays a role in DNA damage repair independent of tethering MAD-2 or RAD-51 to the nuclear periphery.

**UNC-84 promotes the repair of interstrand cross-links and irradiation (IR)-induced DSBs**

Cisplatin creates DNA interstrand cross-links that block replication fork progression. Repair of interstrand cross-links is regulated by the FA pathway and requires unhooking, translesional synthesis, and HR to repair the resulting replication-dependent DSB (Deans and West, 2011). To determine whether UNC-84 functions in interstrand cross-link repair, we examined the progeny viability, brood size, and developmental progression of unc-84 mutants 36–72 h after cisplatin. We observed a decrease in both progeny viability and brood size as well as an increase in animals with postembryonic developmental defects compared with wild type (Fig. 3 A and Fig. S2, A and B; Adamo et al., 2010). Sensitivity was not specific to cisplatin, as unc-84 mutants were also sensitive to nitrogen mustard (Fig. S2 C).

Inactivation of NHEJ suppresses cross-linking sensitivity in certain FA pathway mutants, suggesting that NHEJ poisons repair of cross-links in the absence of the FA pathway (Adamo et al., 2010; Pace et al., 2010). As NHEJ is differentially engaged after HU-induced damage in the absence of UNC-84, we examined cisplatin sensitivity in mutations in NHEJ, including CKU-70 and LIG-4, a DNA ligase (Clejan et al., 2006), in the presence and absence of UNC-84. cku-70 and lig-4(ok716) mutants displayed wild-type sensitivity to cisplatin. Interestingly, the unc-84;cku-70 and unc-84;lig-4 mutants had elevated levels of progeny inviability in the absence of damage that was not further enhanced by cisplatin (Fig. 3 B), suggesting that although inactivation of NHEJ improved the viability of unc-84 mutant after cisplatin, both NHEJ and UNC-84 play a role in some aspect of meiosis or embryogenesis independent of each other.

To provide insight into why inactivation of NHEJ improved cisplatin sensitivity of unc-84 mutants, we monitored RAD-51 after a 2-h pulse of 100 µM cisplatin in wild-type and unc-84 PZ germ cells. Immediately after release from cisplatin, unc-84 mutants had fewer germ cell nuclei with RAD-51 foci (0 h, P < 0.0001; Fig. 3 C). Over time, RAD-51 levels increased, and by 8 h levels were higher in unc-84 than in wild type (P < 0.01; Fig. 3 C). Furthermore, by 24 h after cisplatin, RAD-51 persisted to a greater extent than wild type in unc-84 mutants (P < 0.05; Fig. 3 C). RAD-51 was restored to wild-type levels immediately after release from cisplatin in unc-84;cku-70 (0 h; Fig. 3 C). However, by 4 h, RAD-51 levels in unc-84;cku-70 were indistinguishable from unc-84. Several hours after release, RAD-51 persisted in a subset of nuclei in the absence of UNC-84 and both UNC-84 and CKU-70.

We also examined RPA loading and removal after cisplatin treatment. In contrast to what was observed in response to HU, RPA-1::YFP levels mirrored RAD-51 and were reduced in the unc-84 mutant; this reduction was partially suppressed by inactivation of NHEJ (unc-84;cku-80). However, over time, RPA-1::YFP levels became elevated in unc-84;cku-80 compared with both wild type and unc-84. These results suggest that a subset of cisplatin-induced lesions is repaired by NHEJ in the absence of UNC-84, and removing NHEJ in unc-84 is sufficient to restore progeny viability. However, the rise in RPA and RAD-51 levels over time in both the presence and absence of NHEJ after cisplatin suggests that UNC-84 also influences repair by impinging on some aspect of HR.

To determine whether UNC-84 contributes to the repair of DSBs, we exposed worms to 10 Gy of γ-IR and monitored progeny viability as well as the loading and disassembly of RAD-51 in wild-type and unc-84 PZ nuclei. Similar to HU, IR had little effect on progeny viability over 36–72 h in unc-84 (wild type, 6.0 ± 1.5% embryo inviability vs. unc-84, 8.6 ± 3.1% [P = 0.51]). However, RAD-51 was perturbed. In wild type, all PZ nuclei had at least a single RAD-51 focus 0.5 h after IR, and foci were gradually disassembled until 16 h when the majority of RAD-51 foci were removed (Fig. 4 A). There was a significant decrease in RAD-51 foci in unc-84–irradiated PZ nuclei at early time points. At 0.5 h after exposure to IR, ~5% of unc-84 nuclei did not contain any RAD-51 foci, whereas every wild-type nucleus contained at least one (blue in unc-84 column; Fig. 4 A; z test, P < 0.01) and the mean number of RAD-51 foci per nucleus was reduced (unc-84, 4.07 ± 0.16 vs. wild type, 5.86 ± 0.20 [P = 0.00011]). Additionally, there were many fewer nuclei with six or more foci in unc-84 compared with wild type (lack of red less orange in unc-84 column; Fig. 4 A; z test, P < 0.01). After 2 h, the mean number of RAD-51 was not significantly different between wild type and unc-84 (unc-84, 4.64 ± 0.20 vs. wild type, 4.88 ± 0.26); however, the distribution remained altered, with many fewer nuclei containing more than six foci (less orange/red in unc-84 column compared with wild type; Fig. 4; z test, P < 0.01), and reduction in mean RAD-51 foci was evident at 4 h (unc-84, 2.86 ± 0.26% vs. wild type, 3.70 ± 0.29% [P < 0.0001]). However, at 6 and 16 h, more RAD-51 foci were observed in unc-84 compared with wild type (6 h: unc-84, 3.19 ± 0.26% vs. wild type, 2.54 ± 0.25% [P = 0.004]; 16 h: unc-84, 0.37 ± 0.09% vs. wild type, 0.23 ± 0.07% [P = 0.018]). Thus, RAD-51 was observed at fewer breaks early after IR, but at later time points, RAD-51 persisted in the absence of UNC-84.

We tested whether removal of NHEJ by mutation of cku-70 altered the pattern of RAD-51 after IR treatment in unc-84 mutants. At 0.5 h after IR, RAD-51 foci were significantly elevated in unc-84;cku-70 mutants compared with unc-84 (6.29 ± 0.22 vs. 4.07 ± 0.16 [P < 0.0001]; Fig. 4 A) but were similar to cku-70 (6.72 ± 0.21 [P = 0.07]; Fig. 4 A). However, at 2 h, there were fewer RAD-51 foci in unc-84;cku-70 (3.4 ± 0.20) compared with unc-84 (4.63 ± 0.20) and even higher levels of RAD-51 in cku-70 alone (6.04 ± 0.25 [P < 0.0001]). These results suggest that in addition to some breaks being repaired by NHEJ, unc-84 mutants have a defect in loading RAD-51 and/or in processing breaks. In the absence of CKU-70, the higher levels of RAD-51 presumably reflect more breaks loaded with RAD-51 because repair by NHEJ is blocked. Over time, the difference diminished, and by 16 h there were more RAD-51 foci in the double mutant than in either single mutant (unc-84;cku-70, 0.95 ± 0.19 vs. unc-84, 0.37 ± 0.09 and cku-70, 0.28 ± 0.08), which could reflect a defect in RAD-51 disassembly or an overall slower resolution of breaks without perturbing RAD-51 removal. Thus, UNC-84 plays multiple roles in DSB repair.
Figure 3. **UNC-84 plays a role in interstrand cross-link repair.** [A] Progeny inviability after 0, 100, 250, or 500 µM cisplatin in wild type (WT) and unc-84(n369) mutants. n > 10. [B] Progeny inviability after 0 or 250 µM cisplatin in wild type, unc-84(n369), cku-70(tm1524), unc-84(n369); cku-70(tm1524), unc-84(n369); lig-4(ok716), and lig-4(ok716). n > 10. [C] Percentages of RAD-51–positive PZ nuclei after recovery for the given times from 100 µM cisplatin for wild type, unc-84(n369), unc-84(n369); cku-70(tm1524), and cku-70(tm1524). The p-value denotes significance compared with wild type at given times, except for unc-84(n369) versus unc-84(n369); cku-70(tm1524) (bracketed). n > 15. [D] Number of RPA-1::YFP foci after recovery for the given times from 100 µM cisplatin.
Human Sun-1 facilitates repair of interstrand cross-links

To determine whether human Sun-1 or Sun-2 plays a role in interstrand cross-link repair, we examined cross-link sensitivity in HeLa cells depleted for Sun-1/2. Inactivation of Sun-1 alone by siRNAs resulted in sensitivity to cross-linking agent mitomycin C (MMC), similar to what has been reported for the inactivation of FANC D2 (Fig. 3 F; Adamo et al., 2010). Sun-2 was not efficiently depleted by siRNA, and as Sun-1 depletion alone resulted in MMC sensitivity, Sun-2 was not examined further. To determine the extent to which sensitivity to MMC is a result of a role for Sun-1 in inhibiting NHEJ, we used a potent and specific DNA-dependent protein kinase (PK) inhibitor NU7026 (Veugel et al., 2003). HeLa cells treated with Sun-1 siRNAs resulted in hypersensitivity to MMC, whereas blocking NHEJ by addition of NU7026 suppressed the MMC sensitivity of Sun-1–depleted cells to levels comparable to the control (Fig. 3 F). We also examined cross-link sensitivity in HeLa cells expressing mouse Sun-1 (Poser et al., 2008) in the presence and absence of human Sun-1 siRNAs. Consistent with Sun-1 siRNAs specifically inactivating human Sun-1, we observed similar levels of sensitivity as in control-treated cells (Fig. 3 F). Therefore, as in C. elegans, human Sun-1 also functions to suppress NHEJ to facilitate repair of DNA cross-links.

UNC-84 plays a role in repair of meiotic DSBs

In addition to DNA damage, DSBs are intentionally induced during meiosis. Meiotic DSBs are processed to promote crossovers in the context of paired and synapsed homologous chromosomes. In C. elegans, pairing is not dependent on recombination, and each of the six homologous chromosome pairs is connected by a single crossover. To determine whether UNC-84 plays a role in the processing of meiotic DSBs or in the pairing of homologous chromosomes, which indirectly affects meiotic DSB repair, chromosomal pairing was analyzed in germlines of worms harboring LacO sequences on chromosome V (LacO-V) with LacI-GFP (Severson and Meyer, 2014). unc-84 mutants paired LacO-V as efficiently as wild type; in the majority of PZ germ cells, two LacI-GFP spots were detected (wild type, 88.48%; unc-84, 86.61%), indicating that homologues were not paired before meiosis. In the transition zone, 51.5% of wild-type and 55.26% of unc-84 nuclei, and by early pachytene, 93.93% of wild-type and 97.64% of unc-84 nuclei, had one spot, indicative of paired chromosome Vs. SYP-1, a central component of the synaptonemal complex, loaded onto chromosomes between axes at the same time during meiotic prophase as wild type (Fig. S3 A). Thus, UNC-84 is not important for chromosome pairing and synopsis.

To determine whether UNC-84 plays a role in meiotic DSB repair, we examined loading and removal of RAD-51 throughout meiotic prophase in unc-84 germlines. In wild type, RAD-51 foci peak in abundance in early to mid-pachytene and are largely disassembled by late pachytene (Colaiácovo et al., 2003). In unc-84 mutants, there was a small but significant reduction of RAD-51 foci in early pachytene (P < 0.0001; Mann-Whitney U test; Fig. 5 A), suggesting that fewer DSBs are formed, that some DSBs are processed independently of HR, and/or that kinetics of the loading/disassembly of RAD-51 are altered in the absence of UNC-84. However, by mid-pachytene, more RAD-51 foci were observed in the unc-84 mutant (P < 0.0001; Fig. 5 A), suggesting that RAD-51 loading is slower or delayed in the absence of UNC-84. We next examined the consequence of inactivating NHEJ in the unc-84 mutant and found that the reduced levels of RAD-51 were partially suppressed at early pachytene; however, by mid- and late pachytene, RAD-51 levels were elevated in the double mutant compared with either wild type or unc-84 (Fig. 5 A). Inactivation of NHEJ alone resulted in elevated RAD-51 in mid- and late pachytene, suggesting that NHEJ functions independently of UNC-84 and that some meiotic DSBs are repaired by NHEJ beginning in mid-pachytene.

Errors in meiotic recombination activate a checkpoint, which leads to elevated apoptosis to cull defective germ cells (MacQueen and Hochwagen, 2011). Consistent with a defect in meiotic recombination, germ line apoptosis was increased in unc-84, unc-84;cku-70, and cku-70 mutants; elevated apoptosis was largely abrogated in the absence of meiotic DSBs (spo-11; Fig. S3 C), suggesting the increased apoptosis is dependent on meiotic recombination. Although unc-84 mutants have slightly elevated levels of progeny inviability, removal of the apoptotic machinery resulted in significantly more inviable progeny (ced-3; Fig. S3 D), suggesting that unc-84 defects in recombination compromise germ cell function. Together, these results suggest that UNC-84 plays a role in meiotic DSB repair.

To elucidate the mechanism underlying the alteration in RAD-51 in the absence of UNC-84, we examined RAD-51 in

100 μM cisplatin for wild type, unc-84(n369), unc-84(n369);cku-80(RNAi), and cku-80(RNAi). n > 15. (E) Progeny viability after 250 μM cisplatin in unc-84(n369), fcd-2(tm1268), fcd-2(tm1268);unc-84(n369), fcd-2(tm1268);unc-84(n369), fcd-2(tm1268);unc-84(n369);cku-80(RNAi). n > 10. (F) Percentages of RAD-51-positive nuclei after recovery for the given times from 100 μM cisplatin for 2 h for wild type, unc-84(n369), fcd-2(tm1268), and cku-80(RNAi); unc-84(n369). n > 15. (G) Viability of HeLa cells with or without mouse Sun-1 (mSun-1) transfected with the indicated siRNA constructs and treated with 0.5 μM DNA-PK inhibitor NU7026 (Veugel et al., 2003) or vehicle before damage induction with 40 ng/ml MMC. Error bars indicate 95% CI. The p-value was determined by two-way analysis of variance. **, P < 0.05; ***, P < 0.01; ****, P < 0.0001. cispl, cisplatin.
rad-54 mutant germlines. During meiosis, inactivation of RAD-54 not only blocks RAD-51 turnover, but, unlike IR, it also extends the time for which DSBs are formed (Mets and Meyer, 2009; Rosu et al., 2013; Stamper et al., 2013). Although levels of RAD-51 foci were higher in rad-54;unc-84 compared with unc-84 germlines as expected, there was a significant reduction in RAD-51 foci in early pachytene in the double mutant compared with rad-54 alone (P < 0.0001; Fig. 5 B). The decrease in RAD-51 foci in rad-54;unc-84 doubles in early pachytene was alleviated by removal of NHEJ (rad-54;unc-84;cku-80 triple mutant; Fig. 5 B). However, in mid- and late pachytene, RAD-51 levels were not statistically different between rad-54 and rad-54;unc-84. Furthermore, the rad-54;cku-80 double had elevated RAD-51 beginning in mid-pachytene, and this increase was only partially alleviated by the removal of UNC-84, suggesting that UNC-84 facilitates RAD-51 loading independently of NHEJ. Collectively, these data are consistent with a subset of breaks being repaired by NHEJ in the absence of UNC-84 in early prophase and that UNC-84 plays additional roles in HR.

The subtle defect in RAD-51 processing in unc-84 mutants suggests that UNC-84 only operates on a subset of meiotic DSBs. Consistent with this, COSA-1, a crossover-promoting protein that concentrates at nascent crossover sites (Yokoo et al., 2012), was observed at six foci in unc-84 mutants as in wild type in late pachytene (wild type, 67.5% nuclei and six foci; unc-84[RNAi], 70.5% nuclei and six foci [P = 0.89]), suggesting that DSBs are efficiently processed into crossovers in the absence of UNC-84. However, during meiosis, many more DSBs are induced than will become crossovers. The contribution and interplay between pathways responsible for the repair of DSBs not destined to become crossovers is not well understood. When homologue interactions are blocked by mutation of the synaptonemal complex (e.g., syp-1 or syp-2 mutants), additional requirements for repair can be uncovered. For example, in fcd-2 (C. elegans FANC D2) mutants, chromosome fusions as analyzed by FISH were observed in the absence of SYP-2 and were dependent on NHEJ (Adamo et al., 2010). In contrast, inactivation of SYP-2 in brc-1 (C. elegans BRCA1) mutants resulted in chromosome fragments caused by an inability to repair a subset of breaks (Adamo et al., 2008). Diakinesis nuclei from wild-type and unc-84 mutants contained six bivalents (Fig. 5, C and D). In syp-1(me17) mutants, 12 univalents were observed (Fig. 5, C and D), as DSBs are efficiently repaired when homologues are not connected in an otherwise wild-type worm (MacQueen et al., 2002). syp-1;unc-84 double mutants had significantly fewer DAPI-stained bodies as compared with syp-1 alone (syp-1;unc-84, 11.25 ± 0.13; syp-1, 11.80 ± 0.06 [P < 0.0001]; Fig. 5, C and D). Furthermore, some of the DAPI bodies were abnormal in shape (Fig. 5 D, arrow), consistent with formation of chiasma. The presence of fewer DAPI bodies is similar to fcd-2 mutants, although in fcd-2 mutants ~70% of diakinesis nuclei contained <12 DAPI bodies (Adamo et al., 2010), whereas 41.2% of unc-84 nuclei had <12 DAPI bodies. To test the hypothesis that the aberrant chromosomes arose because of inappropriate repair by NHEJ, we examined diakinesis nuclei in the unc-84; syp-1; cku-70 triple mutant and observed an increase in DAPI bodies compared with syp-1; unc-84 (syp-1; unc-84, 11.25 ± 1.16; syp-1; unc-84; cku-70, 12 ± 0.69; syp-1; cku-70, 12 ± 0.32 DAPI bodies [P < 0.0001]; Fig. 5, C and D). The presence of nuclei with >12 DAPI bodies suggests that some breaks are not repaired in the absence of UNC-84 even when NHEJ is not present. Collectively, these results are consistent with a role for UNC-84 in meiotic DSB repair.

UNC-84 protein is expressed in proliferating germ cells in response to DNA damage

UNC-84 localizes to the nuclear envelope in the proximal gonad and most somatic cells during embryogenesis, larval, and adult stages (Malone et al., 1999; Lee et al., 2002; Cain et al., 2014). To examine UNC-84 in germ cell nuclei, we engineered a transgenic line expressing UNC-84::GFP from the endogenous unc-84 locus. Homozygous UNC-84::GFP worms were functional and fertile and exhibited a G4-like somatic pattern of UNC-84::GFP in embryos and larvae (data not shown), consistent with the somatic expression of UNC-84 (Malone et al., 1999; Lee et al., 2002). In late L1 animals, UNC-84::GFP was expressed at twice the level in the proximal germline beginning at late pachytene stage (Figs. 6 A and S4 A) and in most somatic cells (Fig. 6 A, distal tip cells/sheath cells). No UNC-84 was detected in the male germ line (Fig. S4 B), suggesting that expression of UNC-84 in late pachytene was specific to oogenesis and most likely important for early embryonic development (Xiong et al., 2011).

To determine whether UNC-84 was regulated in response to DNA damage, we treated worms with cisplatin and examined UNC-84::GFP over time. We observed UNC-84::GFP at the nuclear periphery at 16, 24, and 48 h after release from cisplatin in a subset of PZ cells in hermaphrodite (48 h shown; Fig. 6 B) and male germlines (Fig. S4 C). These cells were large and contained RAD-51, suggesting that they were arrested and were not undergoing DNA replication.
undergoing DNA repair. Treatment with HU or IR also resulted in UNC-84::GFP at the nuclear envelope of PZ germ cells; however, fewer cells were labeled under these conditions (Fig. S4, D and E). We hypothesize that we are not detecting all UNC-84 but are capturing cells in prolonged arrest where UNC-84 accumulates. As the male germline is not surrounded by sheath cells in the distal gonad (Kimble and Hirsh, 1979) and a defect in RAD-51 was also observed in unc-84 mutant males (Fig. S4 F), these results suggest that UNC-84 is expressed in at least a subset of cells and is required cell autonomously in PZ germ cells to promote accurate repair in response to DNA-damaging agents.

Figure 5. UNC-84 facilitates repair at a subset of meiotic DSBs. (A and B) Mean numbers of RAD-51 foci per nucleus in early pachytene (EP), mid-pachytene (MP), and late pachytene (LP) in wild type (WT), unc-84(n369), unc-84(n3690);cku-70(tm1524), cku-70(tm1524)(A); and rad-54(ok615), rad-54(ok615);unc-84(n369), cku-70(tm1524)(B). n > 3 germlines per genotype. (C) Number of DAPI-stained bodies in diakinesis in wild type, unc-84(n369), cku-70(tm1524), syp-1(me17), syp-1(me17);unc-84(n369), syp-1(me17);unc-84(n369);cku-70(tm1524), and syp-1(me17);cku-70(tm1524). n > 60. (D) Images of DAPI-stained diakinesis chromosomes for the given genotypes; the arrow marks a misshapen DAPI body that likely represents a chromosome fusion. Bar, 5 µm. Error bars indicate 95% CI. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.0001.
As the FA pathway is critical for repair of interstrand cross-links and the key FA component, FANCD2, is also required in the presence of NHEJ (Adamo et al., 2010; Pace et al., 2010), we explored the relationship between UNC-84 and FCD-2. The fcd-2-tm1268 mutant is sensitive to cross-linking agents (Collis et al., 2006), displaying sensitivity similar to unc-84 (P = 0.08; Fig. 3 D). The fcd-2;unc-84 double mutant looked similar to fcd-2 (P = 0.89) but was elevated compared with unc-84 (P < 0.03; Fig. 3 D). These results suggest that UNC-84 likely functions in the same pathway as FCD-2 in the repair of interstrand cross-links.

We next examined RAD-51 loading after exposure to cross-linking agents in unc-84;fcd-2 and corresponding single mutants. Surprisingly, fcd-2 mutants had higher levels of RAD-51 foci in PZ germ cells immediately after cisplatin treatment than wild type (Adamo et al., 2010), whereas unc-84 mutants had reduced RAD-51 (Fig. 3 E). Analysis of fcd-2;unc-84 double mutants revealed RAD-51 levels were similar to fcd-2

Figure 6. UNC-84 and ZYG-12 are up-regulated in PZ germ cells in response to DNA damage and can physically associate in vitro. (A) Dissected germ-line from an UNC-84::GFP worm stained with anti–UNC-84 (N-terminal epitope; red) and anti-GFP (C-terminal epitope; green). Insets show staining in all zones of the germ-line from the PZ, transition zone (TZ), early pachytene (EP), mid-pachytene (MP), late pachytene (LP), diplotene (DP), and diakinesis (DI), as well as the somatic distal tip cell (DTC) and sheath cell (Sh). Bars: (main image) 100 µm; (inset) 2 µm. The arrow indicates a somatic sheath cell. The asterisk indicates a distal tip cell. (B) UNC-84::GFP is detected at the nuclear periphery in arrested PZ germ cells after cisplatin treatment. The UNC-84::GFP germ-line after 48 h of 250 µM cisplatin recovery, stained for GFP, RAD-51, and DAPI. (C) ZYG-12::GFP is present in patches in proliferating germ cells in the absence of cisplatin and shows higher intensity in RAD-51–positive nuclei 48 h after removal from 250 µM cisplatin (left). Quantification of pixel intensity plotted as fold GFP fluorescence at the nuclear envelope in RAD-51–positive nuclei compared with RAD-51–negative nuclei in ZYG-12::GFP and ZYG-12::GFP;unc-84(n369) worms (right); n > 90. Error bars indicate 95% CI. ***, P < 0.0001. (D) Image of ZYG-12::GFP (green), UNC-84 (red), RAD-51 (white), and DAPI (blue) localization in the PZ 48 h after 250 µM cisplatin. The arrow indicates a somatic distal tip cell. Bars, 10 µm. (E) MBP::ZYG-12 and UNC-84 coelute as a single complex upon size-exclusion chromatography (Superose 6 column; top). The asterisk represents a degradation product. Fractions were collected and analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie blue staining (bottom).
Thus, fcd-2 is epistatic to unc-84 for RAD-51 and likely functions downstream of UNC-84.

Repair of interstrand cross-links involves the recruitment and coordination of multiple nucleases. One of these, FAN-1, interacts with FANC D2 in mammalian cells and is important for DNA interstrand cross-link repair (Kennedy and D'Andrea, 2005; Kratz et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2010; MacKay et al., 2010; Smogorzewska et al., 2010). C. elegans fan-1(tm423) mutants were more sensitive to cisplatin treatment than either fcd-2 (MacKay et al., 2010) or unc-84 mutants (P < 0.0001; Fig. 3 D). However, cisplatin sensitivity of fan-1 mutants was not suppressed by the inactivation of NHEJ (Fig. 3 D), suggesting that, unlike FCD-2 and UNC-84, FAN-1 does not function to inhibit NHEJ during processing of cross-links.

In mammalian cells, FAN-1 recruitment to cross-links is dependent on FANC D2 (Smogorzewska et al., 2010); however, recruitment is dispensable for cross-link repair (Lachaud et al., 2016). Using a FAN-1::GFP transgene (Kratz et al., 2010), we found that recruitment of FAN-1 after cisplatin was abrogated in the absence of either FCD-2 or UNC-84 (Fig. 7). Thus, UNC-84 and FCD-2 are both important for recruiting FAN-1.

A novel LINC complex mediates repair of interstrand cross-links

SUN proteins in the inner nuclear membrane recruit KASH partners to the outer nuclear membrane to create LINC complexes for microtubule-based motion. Alternatively, N-terminal domains of SUN proteins could function in the nucleoplasm independently of KASH partners. To distinguish between these two hypotheses for the role of SUN proteins in the repair of DNA damage, we analyzed the cisplatin sensitivity of unc-84 alleles. These mutants were grouped into classes based on phenotype, identity of molecular lesion, and intragenic complementation (Fig. 8 A; Malone et al., 1999). One class of mutants maps to the nucleoplasmic N terminus, including unc-84(P91S), and disrupts the interaction between UNC-84 and lamin, leading to a partial defect in nuclear migration (Bone et al., 2014). A second, complementary class of missense mutants map to the perinuclear SUN domain and disrupts interactions between SUN and canonical KASH proteins (Malone et al., 1999; Lee et al., 2002; McGee et al., 2006; Sosa et al., 2012). unc-84(P91S) mutants had a cisplatin-sensitive phenotype similar to unc-84(null) (Fig. 8 A), suggesting that the nucleoplasmic domain of UNC-84 is critical for the repair of interstrand cross-links. Surprisingly, the three SUN domain missense mutants revealed differences in sensitivity, even though they all behave as nulls with respect to nuclear positioning (Malone et al., 1999). Two of the mutants, unc-84(C994Y) and unc-84(G1002D), showed sensitivity at the highest cisplatin concentration, whereas the third mutant, unc-84(S988F), was not sensitive to cisplatin at any concentration (Fig. 8 A). The differences in cisplatin sensitivity in the mutants are not likely caused by changes in protein expression, as the levels of UNC-84 are similar in the P91S, G1002D, and S988F alleles (Lee et al., 2002; Bone et al., 2014) and all of the mutant proteins were detected after DNA damage in the PZ, as well as in late prophase (Fig. S4 H). Furthermore, we observed elevated germline apoptosis (P91S and C994Y) and rescue of the cisplatin sensitivity upon inactivation of NHEJ in P91S, G1002D, and

Figure 7. **UNC-84 and FCD-2 are required for the efficient recruitment of FAN-1 after cisplatin treatment.** (Left) Dissected germlines from FAN-1::GFP; unc-84(n369), FAN-1::GFP; fcd-2(tm1268), and FAN-1::GFP; zyg-12(or577) worms in the absence (−) and presence (+) of 250 µM cisplatin. (Right) Quantification of GFP intensity in the given genotypes where the ratio of nucleoplasmic to cytoplasmic signal was determined in the absence and presence of 250 µM cisplatin. n > 50 nuclei. Bar, 10 µm. Error bars indicate 95% CI. ***, P < 0.0001.
C994Y mutants (Fig. S5). These results suggest that changes to the luminal domain that interfere with KASH interactions for nuclear migration and anchorage do not completely abrogate DNA repair function. The differential effect of SUN mutations suggests that UNC-84 partners with a different KASH protein to promote DNA repair.

There are four known KASH proteins in C. elegans (McGee et al., 2009). UNC-83 and ANC-1 are the canonical UNC-84 partners that mediate nuclear migration and anchorage, respectively (Starr and Han, 2001; Starr and Han, 2002). We found that both unc-83(e1408)– and anc-1(e1873)–null mutants had wild-type levels of cisplatin sensitivity (Fig. 8B), suggesting that UNC-84 does not mediate DNA repair through ANC-1 or UNC-83. The two other C. elegans KASH proteins, ZYG-12 and KDP-1, are both expressed in germ cells. ZYG-12 mediates centrosome attachment in early embryos and ensures proper homologous chromosome pairing during meiosis. Both of these previously described functions of ZYG-12 require the second, divergent C. elegans SUN protein SUN-1 (Malone et al., 2003; Minn et al., 2009; Sato et al., 2009). KDP-1 can interact with either UNC-84 or SUN-1 and is important for cell cycle kinetics in the germline and early embryo (McGee et al., 2009). The KASH domains of ZYG-12 and KDP-1 are poorly conserved when compared with UNC-83 and ANC-1, Drosophila melanogaster Klarsicht and MSP-300, or the mammalian Nesprins (McGee et al., 2009). To explore the possibility that ZYG-12 or KDP-1 functions with UNC-84 to promote DNA repair, we analyzed cisplatin sensitivity in worms partially depleted of these proteins, as both are essential for viability and organization of the gonad.

zyg-12 (RNAi), but not kdp-1 (RNAi), displayed sensitivity to cisplatin (zyg-12 (RNAi) vs. wild type; $P < 0.05$; Fig. 8B). We also observed a significant increase in progeny inviability in a temperature-sensitive mutant (zyg-12(or577)) treated with cisplatin ($P < 0.0001$; Fig. 8B), suggesting that ZYG-12 plays a role in cross-link repair.

ZYG-12 localizes to all germ cells, including in the PZ (Fig. 6C; Sato et al., 2009). After cisplatin treatment, we observed significantly more ZYG-12::GFP at the nuclear periphery of arrested (>3.5 µm) PZ germ cells; these nuclei also contained RAD-51, suggesting that they were engaged in DNA repair. [A] Schematic of UNC-84 domains and mutations (top). TM, transmembrane domain. Percentages of progeny inviability of wild-type (WT) worms and unc-84(n369), unc-84(P91S), unc-84(S988F), unc-84(C994Y), and unc-84(G1002D) after exposure to the given concentrations of cisplatin (bottom). $n > 10$. cispl, cisplatin. (B) Percentages of progeny inviability after exposure to the given concentrations of cisplatin of wild-type and worms harboring mutations in KASH domain–containing proteins unc-83(e1408), anc-1(e1873), kdp-1(RNAi), and zyg-12(RNAi) at 20°C, as well as wild type, zyg-12(or577), and zyg-12(or577);cku-70(tm1524) at the semipermissive temperature of 16°C. $n > 10$. (C) Percentages of RAD-51–positive nuclei after treatment with 100 µM cisplatin in wild type, unc-84(n369), kdp-1(RNAi), zyg-12(or577), and zyg-12(or577);cku-70(tm1524) at 16°C. $n > 30$. (D) Percentages of RAD-51–positive nuclei after treatment with 100 µM cisplatin in wild-type and zyg-12(or577) worms in the presence of the given concentrations of colchicine. $n > 30$. Error bars indicate 95% CI. $P$-values were determined by two-way analyses of variance. *, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.001$; ***, $P < 0.0001$.
repair (Fig. 6 C). The enrichment of ZYG-12::GFP in these cells was dependent on UNC-84, as the increase in fluorescence intensity was abrogated in unc-84 mutants (Fig. 6 C). We also examined the colocalization of ZYG-12::GFP and UNC-84 after cisplatin treatment. Although every cell that contained UNC-84 also had enriched ZYG-12::GFP (Fig. 6 D), not all cells with enriched ZYG-12::GFP were UNC-84 positive, which we attribute to inefficient detection with UNC-84 antibody. Finally, to determine whether UNC-84 and ZYG-12 interact in vitro, tagged versions of the SUN domain of UNC-84 and the KASH domain of ZYG-12 were coexpressed in Escherichia coli and purified on size-exclusion and ion exchange columns. 6xHis::UNC-84(912–1,111) and MBP::ZYG-12(749–777) coeluted as a single complex (Fig. 6 E), showing that they directly interact. Together, these data are consistent with a novel LINC complex consisting of UNC-84 and ZYG-12 mediating the repair of interstrand cross-links. We next monitored RAD-51 and found that, similar to unc-84 mutants (Figs. 3 C and 8 A), zyg-12 PZ germ cells had low levels of RAD-51 immediately after release from cisplatin (Fig. 8 C). Inactivation of both zyg-12 and cku-70 resulted in increased levels of RAD-51 (Fig. 8 C), consistent with ZYG-12 playing a similar role in repair as UNC-84. However, progeny inviability was exacerbated, not rescued, in the zyg-12;cku-70 mutant (Fig. 8 B; compare with Fig. 3 B for unc-84). These results suggest that whereas ZYG-12 plays a role in intrastand cross-link repair, it is not identical to UNC-84. Because mutations in KASH proteins do not disrupt the localization of SUN proteins (Lee et al., 2002), it is likely that UNC-84 still localizes to the inner nuclear membrane in zyg-12 mutants, where it mediates the recruitment of FAN-1, altering response to cisplatin. To test this, we examined FAN-1::GFP in zyg-12 and found that it was localized as in wild type (Fig. 7, left and right). Thus, our data are consistent with an UNC-84–ZYG-12 complex mediating repair, but only UNC-84 is required for FAN-1 recruitment.

Inhibition of microtubule polymerization leads to defects in RAD-51 loading at cisplatin-induced lesions Our data support a model whereby UNC-84–ZYG-12 connects the nucleoskeleton to the cytoskeleton to mediate repair of interstrand cross-links. As ZYG-12 interacts with microtubule motors to drive nuclear, centrosome, and chromosome movements (Malone et al., 2003; Sato et al., 2009; Zhou et al., 2009; Rog and Dernburg, 2015), we hypothesized that similar mechanisms were required for DNA repair. To test this hypothesis, we disrupted microtubule polymerization by treating worms with colchicine, induced interstrand cross-links, and monitored RAD-51. Inhibition of microtubule polymerization in wild-type germ lines resulted in low levels of RAD-51 after cisplatin treatment at all concentrations of colchicine (Fig. 8 D), even though cytological disruption of the microtubule networks was only observed at higher concentrations (Fig. S6 A). The reduced levels of RAD-51 were unlikely to be caused by alteration of the cell cycle, as the G2 marker, phospho–CDK-1/NCC-1 (Moser et al., 2009), was similar to the untreated controls in the presence of the lowest concentration of colchicine (Fig. S6 D). Reduced RAD-51 after colchicine treatment was comparable to what we observed in unc-84 and zyg-12 mutants (Fig. 8, compare D with C), suggesting that the microtubule network is important for repair. By 4 h after treatment, there was no difference between RAD-51 in the presence or absence of colchicine (Fig. S6 B). To determine whether the reduction in RAD-51 observed by preventing microtubule polymerization was relieved by inactivating NHEJ, we monitored RAD-51 loading after treatment with colchicine in the cku-70 mutant and found that RAD-51 levels were similar to those found in wild type (Fig. 8 D). Inhibition of microtubule polymerization by nocodazole had a similar effect (Fig. S6 C). Collectively, these data suggest that microtubules play a role in the inhibition of NHEJ after DNA damage, which we propose is mediated through a UNC-84–ZYG-12 LINC complex.

Discussion In this study, we provide evidence that a novel LINC complex plays a role in DNA damage repair. This function is conserved from C. elegans to humans. LINC contributes to the repair of DSBs associated with IR, the removal of interstrand cross-links, and the resolution of stalled replication forks in mitotically dividing cells and induced DSBs during meiosis. LINC was previously implicated in the repair of DNA damage (Oza et al., 2009; Lei et al., 2012; Swartz et al., 2014; Lottersberger et al., 2015; Ryu et al., 2015), but the mechanisms for how they do so were not clear. Our data indicate that LINC functions to promote HR and, in the presence of interstrand cross-links, recruits FAN-1 nuclease to the nucleoplasm. Collectively, our data highlight the importance of communication between the nucleus and cytoskeleton in the critical transactions of DNA repair and recombination.

Models for LINC complex function in DNA repair We discuss four nonmutually exclusive mechanistic models suggested by our data for how SUN and KASH proteins favor HR. First, LINC could recruit DSBs to the periphery of the nucleus where the environment favors repair by HR. Second, LINC could function in mechanotransduction of a signal across the nuclear envelope to facilitate DNA repair. Third, SUN proteins could inhibit NHEJ by directly interacting with the DNA-PK/Ku70/Ku80 complex. Fourth, LINC could mediate motion along cytoplasmic microtubules to license DNA repair by HR. The data presented here argue against the first and support the latter two models for LINC function in DNA repair in C. elegans germline nuclei and HeLa cells.

Given that LINC complexes are embedded in the nuclear envelope, it is attractive to propose that DSB recruitment to the nuclear periphery through LINC facilitates repair by HR. Consistent with this, in both budding and fission yeast, SUN proteins recruit DSBs to the nuclear envelope. However, these DSBs are unrepairable (Oza et al., 2009; Swartz et al., 2014), and thus recruitment may represent a mechanism to sequester these breaks rather than to promote repair. We show that damaged DNA marked by RAD-51 is recruited to the periphery in the absence of UNC-84 in C. elegans (Fig. 2 D), suggesting that the primary function of LINC is not the recruitment of DSBs to the periphery. Furthermore, other groups have shown that DSBs are not recruited to the periphery in mammalian cells but rather that DSB repair is compartmentalized at the periphery (Soutoglou et al., 2007; Lemaître et al., 2014). Thus, we propose that SUN proteins influence repair independently of recruitment of damaged DNA to the periphery.

An alternative model posits that LINC is critical in maintaining the integrity of the nuclear envelope to promote DDR.
signaling. The checkpoint kinases ATR/ATM are upstream activators of the DDR (Ciccia and Elledge, 2010), and ATM signaling is decreased in the absence of SUN proteins (Lei et al., 2012). We show that *unc-84* mutants respond differently to DNA damage than either wild-type or checkpoint-defective mutants (Fig. 1), suggesting that loss of SUN is not solely explained by defective ATR/ATM signaling. ATR is critical for nuclear envelope integrity and for signaling mechanical stress (Kumar et al., 2014). Given the established role of LINC in mechanotransduction (Chambliess et al., 2013), it is intriguing to hypothesize that in the absence of SUN or KASH, mechanical stress mediated by DNA damage fails to fully activate ATR/ATM, leading to inappropriate use of NHEJ.

We favor a model whereby LINC both directly inhibits the Ku70/Ku80/LIG-4 complex through SUN proteins and licenses repair by HR through microtubules (Fig. 9). Lei et al. (2012) identified an interaction between DNA-PK (C. elegans does not contain DNA-PK), Ku, and the nucleoplasmic domains of Sun-1/2. In this study, we show that inactivation of NHEJ by mutation of Ku70/80 in *C. elegans* or inhibition of DNA-PK in HeLa cells suppress DNA damage-sensitivity phenotypes of *unc-84* mutant animals or Sun-1 siRNA-treated HeLa cells. We propose that SUN proteins interact with the (DNA-PK)/Ku70/Ku80 complex, thereby inhibiting NHEJ.

LINC could promote HR through motion-dependent licensing, whereby chromosomal motion prevents NHEJ from operating on DSBs (Fig. 9). Consistent with this model, inactivation of microtubule dynamics or LINC components led to a defect in RAD-51. The RAD-51 defect was partially suppressed by the removal of NHEJ, suggesting that SUN proteins normally act to inhibit NHEJ. Additionally, we observed both slower accumulation of RAD-51 and persistent RAD-51 in the absence of a functional LINC, suggesting that LINC also facilitates processing of DSBs by HR. This model is attractive, as it is similar to the proposed role for LINC-mediated chromosomal movement in licensing pairing/synapsis during meiosis (Sato et al., 2009). An opposite conclusion was made when it was reported that a LINC complex was important for promoting motion-dependent repair of naked telomeres by NHEJ (Lottersberger et al., 2015). In this study, we show that LINC and microtubules are important for inhibiting NHEJ in response to interstrand cross-links, DNA damage generated by HU, IR, and meiotic DSBs. The disparity between this study and Lottersberger et al. (2015) could be a consequence of the damage examined (i.e., naked telomeres vs. induced damage/meiotic DSBs). Alternatively, there could be inherent differences between humans and mice consistent with the reported suppression (in humans) versus enhancement (in mice) of the FA pathway by inactivation of NHEJ (Adamo et al., 2010; Bunting et al., 2012). Our genetic data show that inhibiting NHEJ machinery partially suppresses DNA damage–sensitive phenotypes of LINC or microtubule disruption. We therefore conclude that motion acts to inhibit NHEJ and license HR repair of DNA damage.

In our model, UNC-84 interacts with ZYG-12 to form a novel LINC complex, and in support of this, we show that these two proteins interact in vitro (Fig. 6 E). Insights into SUN-KASH interactions have been revealed by the crystal structure of human Sun-2 (Sosa et al., 2012), yet our analysis of SUN domain mutants suggests that not all SUN and KASH domains interact in the same way. This could reflect the requirement for different resistance in tension in different physiological processes (i.e., moving nuclei vs. inhibiting NHEJ; Cain et al., 2014). Furthermore, the microtubule motor that generates motion mediated by this novel LINC complex is unknown (Fig. 9). ZYG-12 interacts with dynein through the dynein light chain (Malone et al., 2003). Other KASH proteins interact with kinesin 1 or kinesin 2 (Fan and Beck, 2004; Meyerzon et al., 2009; Roux et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2009). In mouse embryonic fibroblasts, kinesin 2 generates the forces to move naked telomeres (Lottersberger et al., 2015). Thus, any of these motors could couple with LINC to mediate DNA repair.

**Roles of LINC complexes and nucleases in embryonic interstrand cross-link repair**

Unlike other DNA-damaging agents, interstrand cross-links led to embryonic lethality upon inactivation of UNC-84, suggesting that LINC has a more important role in processing these lesions. Previous work has uncovered unique requirements for the repair of interstrand cross-links. The RAD-51 paralogue RFS-1 is required for cross-link repair but not for repair of HU, IR, or meiotic DSBs, suggesting that the nature of the HR substrate is distinct when replication is blocked (Ward et al., 2007). Interestingly, like *unc-84*, *rfs-1* mutants show a reduction of RAD-51 after treatment with cross-linking agents; however, the extent of similarities between *unc-84* and *rfs-1* is unknown, as only a single time point was examined, and we observed a complex pattern of RAD-51 with cross-linking agents. Additionally, although RFS-1 is relatively specific to the repair of replication-blocking lesions, UNC-84 also plays a role in processing lesions induced by HU, IR, and meiotic DSBs.

One possible explanation for UNC-84’s unique role in cross-link repair is that FAN-1, which has a specific requirement in cross-link repair (Kennedy and D’Andrea, 2005; Kratz et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2010; MacKay et al., 2010; Smogorzewska et al., 2010), is not efficiently recruited to the nucleoplasm in the absence of UNC-84 (Fig. 7). Unlike inhibition of NHEJ, FAN-1 localization to the nucleus does not require a functional LINC, suggesting that UNC-84 serves as a tether for FAN-1. Although multiple mechanisms have been described to target SUN proteins to the inner nuclear membrane (Turgay et al., 2010; Gardner et al., 2011; Tapley et al., 2011; Ungricht et al., 2015), how UNC-84 promotes FAN-1 recruitment is not clear. Once in the nucleus, the presence of FAN-1 leads to unproductive repair when NHEJ is not inhibited by LINC (Fig. 8). The role of FAN-1 has been enigmatic; it was identified by several groups as interacting with the FA pathway through FANC D2 (Kennedy and D’Andrea, 2005; Kratz et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2010; MacKay et al., 2010; Smogorzewska et al., 2010), yet it is not a classic FA gene. Adding to its significance, FAN-1 has been postulated to be a tumor suppressor through its role in stabilizing replication forks, and FAN-1 mutations have been identified in pancreatic, colorectal, and breast cancer (Segui et al., 2015; Lachaud et al., 2016; Smith et al., 2016). Biochemically, FAN-1 has several activities, including unhooking interstrand cross-links and strand incision (Gwon et al., 2014; Pennell et al., 2014; Takahashi et al., 2015). Further, multiple other nucleases participate in interstrand cross-link repair (Kottemann and Smogorzewska, 2013; Duxin and Walter, 2015). Consequently, loss of FAN-1 may lead to the inappropriate use of other nucleases, resulting in unproductive and potentially lethal repair products (Figs. 3 and 9). Whether SUN proteins coordinate the activity of these additional nucleases remains an open question.
The complexity of DNA repair pathways

DNA repair pathways are critical for maintaining genome integrity, and, consequently, repair can be abrogated in cancer and neurological diseases (Suberbielle et al., 2015; Ceccaldi et al., 2016). A challenge is in understanding how the large numbers of repair pathways are integrated in different cellular contexts. This is evident in our analyses of FCD-2 and UNC-84. Although many of the phenotypes of fcd-2 and unc-84 are similar, RAD-51 foci are elevated in fcd-2 mutants after cross-linking agents and in meiosis (Adamo et al., 2010), whereas they are reduced in the absence of UNC-84 (Figs. 3 and 5). These results suggest that DSBs formed in repairing cross-links or during meiosis can be processed by many different pathways. Furthermore, it is likely that when one pathway is inactivated, different pathways are engaged and make distinct contributions to the repair profile of the organism. These results highlight our gap in understanding how the large numbers of repair pathways are integrated in different cellular contexts.
understanding the interplay and complexities between different repair pathways, particularly under pathological conditions.

Our studies also highlight the importance of robustly inhibiting NHEJ in meiosis. Meiotic DSB repair must be tightly controlled to ensure that DSBs are repaired by HR to promote chiasma formation. After cutting by the meiosis-specific topoisomerase Spo-11, the MRN complex processes the break, thereby preventing resealing by NHEJ (Yin and Smolikove, 2013). In contrast to MRN, where very few RAD-51 foci are observed, inactivation of UNC-84 has subtle defects, as it appears that only a subset of breaks in early prophase are repaired through NHEJ in the absence of UNC-84 (Fig. 5). A previous study had revealed that RAD-51 levels were only perturbed in lig-4 mutants when both synapsis and sister chromatid cohesion were blocked (Smolikov et al., 2007). Our analysis of cku-70 mutants revealed elevated RAD-51 in mid- and late pachytene in an otherwise wild-type worm. The difference is most likely a result of ends being bound by CKL-70/80 in the absence of LIG-4. Thus, our results suggest that NHEJ is active throughout meiotic prophase and multiple pathways have evolved to ensure it is not inappropriately used.

**LINC and cancer**

The finding that LINC functions in DNA repair opens up exciting possibilities for the treatment of human diseases such as FA and cancer. FA mutants exist for which the gene has not yet been identified, and it is possible that LINC inactivation could be the responsible lesion. Furthermore, the recent finding that SUN expression levels alter sensitivity to cisplatin treatment in lung cancers (Lv et al., 2015) suggests that manipulation of SUN may be an effective chemotherapy.

**Materials and methods**

**Genetics**

Worms were maintained at 20°C under standard conditions except the unc-84 alleles: unc-84(e1408) and zyg-12(or577) worms were maintained at 15°C. The wild-type strain was N2 Bristol. Strains were obtained from the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center unless otherwise noted. We used the following strains in this study. I: unc-81(1753); rad-54-1::snc-3(ok615) I/hT2 [bli-4(e973) let; (q782 qfl-485)] (I:III); II: cku-70(tm1524); cku-80(ok861); liq-4(ok716); brc-1(tm1145), IV: ced-3(n717); fcd-2(tm1268); fan-1(tm423); spo-11(ok79); V: unc-83(e1408); sop-1(n754) let; (q782 qfl-485)] (IV:V); X: unc-84(n369); unc-84(P91S); unc-84(C994Y); unc-84(G1002D); unc-84(S988F) (Malone et al., 1999). RPA-1::YFP(ops1263) and FAN-1::GFP(ops406) were obtained from M. Hengartner (University of Zurich, Switzerland); Kratz et al., 2010; Stergiou et al., 2011). ZYG-12::GFP was obtained from C. Malone (The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA; Malone et al., 2003).

Clustered regularly interspersed short palindromic repeats

The knock-in UNC-84::GFP strain was created using the methods described by Dickinson et al. (2013). In brief, GFP was tagged to the C terminus of UNC-84 by PCR gene splicing by overlapping extension with five overlapping fragments (1.6 kb of the 3' end of the unc-84 coding region, gfp, 373 bp of the unc-84 3' UTR, the unc-119(+)(+) cassette, and a 1.5-kb homologous genomic region downstream of unc-84) and cloning into TOPO- XL to create pSL69. The unc-84 single guide RNA + Cas9 vector (pSL695) was made with the Q5 Site-Directed Mutagenesis kit (New England Biolabs, Inc.). unc-119(ed3) III animals were injected with 10 ng/µl pSL696, 50 ng/µl pSL695, 10 ng/µl pMA122, 10 ng/µl pGH8, 2.5 ng/µl pCF390, and 5 ng/µl pCF3104 (all markers from Addgene) as described previously (Dickinson et al., 2013). To excise the unc-119(+) cassette, the clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeat strain was injected with 50 ng/µl pDD104 and 100 ng/µl pdr1::RFP and then outcrossed to N2 males three times to eliminate off-target mutations.

**Cytological analyses**

Immunostaining of germlines was performed as described by Jaramillo-Lambert and Engebrecht (2010). Germlines were fixed in 1% PFA for 5 min and freeze cracked in liquid nitrogen followed by 1 min of cold (~20°C) methanol. Slides were blocked in 0.7% BSA for 1 h before primary antibodies were incubated at room temperature overnight. Secondary antibodies were incubated for 2 h at room temperature. Specificity of antibody staining was verified by examining the absence of staining in RNAi-depleted or mutant worms.

The following primary antibodies were purchased and used at the indicated dilutions: rabbit anti–RAD-51 (1:10,000; Novus Biologicals), rabbit anti–H3S10-P (1:200; EMD Millipore), mouse anti–nuclear pore complex (NPC) proteins (Mab414; 1:100; Abcam), rabbit anti–GFP (1:500; Novus Biologicals), mouse anti–α-tubulin (1:500; Sigma-Aldrich), and rabbit anti–Cdk-1(pTyr15) (1:100; EMD Millipore). Mouse anti–UNC-84 IgM (1:100) has been described previously (Cain et al., 2014). Rabbit anti–SYP-1 (1:200), guinea pig anti–HTP-3 (1:1,000), and rabbit anti–MAD-2 (1:2,000) were gifts from A. Villeneuve (Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA), A. Dernburg (University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA), and R. Kitagawa (University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, San Antonio, TX), respectively. The following secondary antibodies from Thermo Fisher Scientific were used at 1:500 dilutions: Alexa Fluor 555 goat anti–rabbit IgG, Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti–rabbit IgG, Alexa Fluor 546 goat anti–mouse IgG, Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti–mouse IgG, Alexa Fluor 555 goat anti–mouse IgM, and Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti–guinea pig IgG. 2 µg/ml DAPI (Sigma-Aldrich) was used to counterstain DNA.

Lac-1-His6-GFP, purified as described previously (Checchi et al., 2014), was added directly to dissected gonads after standard fixation at a concentration of 5–25 ng/ml and incubated overnight at 4°C (Severson and Meyer, 2014).

Germlines from COSA-1::GFP, FAN-1::GFP, and RPA-1::YFP worms were dissected and fixed for 1 min in cold 95% ethanol after PFA fixation, and endogenous GFP fluorescence was imaged. Quantification of COSA-1 was performed by counting COSA-1::GFP foci in late pachytene nuclei, excluding the first two rows of nuclei containing COSA-1::GFP foci and the last two rows of late pachytene. Quantification of RPA-1 was performed by counting RPA::YFP foci in all PZ nuclei. Quantification of FAN-1 was performed by acquiring the integrated density of FAN-1::GFP fluorescence in ImageJ (National Institutes of Health), which is the product of pixel intensity and area of the region of interest, in nuclei and cytoplasm within the PZ, and a nucleoplasmic to cytoplasmic signal ratio was calculated.

The fluorescence intensity of ZYG-12::GFP in nuclei was calculated as for FAN-1::GFP using a GFP antibody and was normalized by dividing by cytoplasmic signal. The intensity of normalized ZYG-12::GFP in nuclei containing RAD-51 foci was compared with nuclei lacking RAD-51 in the given genotypes.

Collection of images was performed using a deconvolution microscope (API DeltaVision; GE Healthcare). Images were deconvolved using Applied Precision SoftWoRx image analysis software (GE Healthcare) and were subsequently processed and analyzed using ImageJ Fiji (a gift from W. Rasband, National Institutes of Health,
Young adult worms were incubated in a liquid M9 containing 0, 50, or 100 µM nitrogen mustard (Sigma-Aldrich) and assayed as with cisplatin. For RAD-51 assays, worms were dissected at 0.5, 2, 4, 6, and 16 h.

HU experiments

For high-dose experiments, L4s were placed on NGM plates containing 25 mM HU (Sigma-Aldrich) for 16 h before either dissection, transfer for recovery, or progeny viability assays. Cell cycle arrest was assayed by counting DAPI-stained nuclei with 100 µm of the distal tip of the gonad. For low-dose HU experiments, staged young adults were exposed to 5 mM HU for 2 h before being moved to a plate without HU (−HU) for dissection, recovery, or progeny viability assays. HU was allowed to dissipate into plates for at least 3 h before worms were introduced. For low-dose HU exposure, cell cycle kinetics were assayed by staining with anti–H3S10-P and counting positive nuclei. Progeny viability was monitored for 72 h after release from HU.

Cross-linking agents

For cisplatin sensitivity, young adults were placed on NGM plates containing 0, 100, 250, or 500 µM cisplatin (Sigma-Aldrich) for 16 h. Worms were moved to new NGM plates and allowed to lay progeny for 36 h, after which the parent was moved to a new plate for 36 h. Progeny were counted 24 h after removal of the parent; progeny inviability was recorded for the 36–72-h brood. Nitrogen mustard sensitivity was measured by placing young adults on NGM plates containing 0, 50, or 100 µM nitrogen mustard (Sigma-Aldrich) and assayed with as cephalicin. For RAD-51 assays, worms were placed on 100 µM cisplatin plates for 2 h and allowed to recover for the given times before dissection and staining with RAD-51 antibody. Cross-linking agents were allowed to dissipate into plates for at least 3 h before worms were introduced.

Microtubule inhibition

Young adult worms were incubated in a liquid M9 containing E. coli OP50 and the indicated concentration of colchicine for 16 h with shaking. Worms were recovered on NGM plates and germlines processed as described in the Cytological analyses section. Nocodazole treatment was performed on NGM medium containing the indicated concentrations. The extent of microtubule depolymerization was monitored by α-tubulin staining.

Meiotic RAD-51

Germlines were dissected by PZ, transition zone, and pachytene. Pachytene was partitioned into early, mid, and late by dividing pachytene into three equal parts.

EdU time courses

EdU (Click-it kit; Thermo Fisher Scientific) experiments were performed as in Fox et al. (2011). 10-mm M9 plates were spotted with bacteria that had previously incorporated EdU. For the pulse-chase experiment, wild-type and unc-84(n369) worms were fed EdU bacteria for 30 min, moved to OP50 plates, and dissected at given time points. Germlines were stained for H3S10-P, and the Click-it reaction was performed, followed by DAPI staining. Time 0 is when we put worms onto the EdU plate. To analyze cell cycle kinetics, the percentage of H3S10-P–positive germlines that were also EdU labeled was determined for each time point. For the 2-h EdU exposure, worms were fed EdU bacteria for 2 h and were immediately dissected, and the Click-it reaction was performed.

Apoptosis

Apoptotic germline nuclei were scored in indicated worms ~48 h after L4 larvae by acridine orange (AO) with fluorescence microscopy. 0.5 ml of 50-µg/ml AO in M9 was added to a 60-mm plate containing adult worms and incubated at room temperature for 1 h. Worms were moved to new 60-mm plates, allowed to recover, and then mounted under coverslips in M9 on 3% agarose pads containing 0.2 mM tetramisole (Sigma-Aldrich; Jaramillo-Lambert and Engebrecht, 2010).

Mammalian experiments

HeLa Kyoto cells expressing a localization and affinity purification (LAP)–tagged mouse Sun-1 from a stable bacterial artificial chromosome pool (mSun-1–LAP; ENSMUSG00000035617, MCP_ky_2003) were a gift from A. Hyman (Max Planck Institute of Molecular Cell Biology and Genetics, Dresden, Germany; Poser et al., 2008). HeLa Kyoto cells, also from A. Hyman, were used as controls (Poser et al., 2008). Cells were grown in high-glucose DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and cultured at 37°C and 5% CO2, 20 µM siRNA constructs (DharmaFECT Smartpool siRNAs; GE Healthcare) were transfected with 8 µl/well DharmaFECT 1 transfection reagent (GE Healthcare), as suggested by the manufacturer. For survival assays, cells were transfected with siRNA for 6 h, split 24 h later into 6-well dishes, and allowed to recover for 24 h before being treated with drugs. Cells were treated with 0.5 µM DNA-PK inhibitor (NU7026; Tocris Bioscience) or vehicle control for 2 h before damage induction with 40 ng/ml MMC (Sigma-Aldrich; Adamo et al., 2010). Cells were then harvested 24 h later, and cell survival was assessed with trypan blue.

UNC-84–ZYG-12 protein complex purification

6×Histidine-tagged UNC-84_h22,1_111 and maltose-binding protein (MBP)–fused ZYG-12_ph29_727 from C. elegans were cloned into a modified bicistronic expression plasmid (pETDuet-1) and coexpressed in E. coli LOBSTR-RIL(DE3) (Kerafast; Esra Demircioglu et al., 2016). Transformed cells were grown at 37°C to an OD600 of 0.6 and then shifted to 18°C, and protein expression was induced by the addition of IPTG to a final concentration of 0.2 mM for 16 h. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 6,000 g, resuspended in lysis buffer (50 mM potassium phosphate, pH 8.0, 400 mM NaCl, and 40 mM imidazole), and lysed using an LM20 Microfluidizer processor (Microfluidics). The
pressed by loss of NHEJ. Fig. S6 shows that microtubule destabilizers not display defects in chromosome synapsis but have elevated germ-mutants do.

Statistical analyses

Statistics were determined with an unpaired Student’s t test, z test, two-way analysis of variance, or Mann-Whitney U test, as indicated; 95% CI are included.

Online supplemental material

Fig. S1 shows cell cycle effects. Fig. S2 shows that cross-linking agents reduce unc-84(n369) brood size and progeny viability and increase developmental abnormalities. Fig. S3 shows that unc-84 mutants do not display defects in chromosome synapsis but have elevated germ-line apoptosis. Fig. S4 shows UNC-84::GFP in male germlines and in response to different DNA-damaging agents. Fig. S5 shows the embryonic lethality of UNC-84 point mutants after cisplatin is also suppressed by loss of NHEJ. Fig. S6 shows that microtubule destabilizers affect the microtubule network and RAD-51 levels.
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Figure S1. **Cell cycle effects.** (A) Cell cycle kinetics were monitored by pulse-chase after 30 min of EdU labeling and staining for H3S10-P, a marker of prometaphase/metaphase (Fox et al., 2011); graph shows the percentages of H3S10-P-positive nuclei that are also EdU positive in wild type (WT) and unc-84(n369) over time. Error bars indicate 95% CI between two biological replicates. n > 30. (B) Percentages of EdU-positive nuclei after 2 h of continuous labeling in wild type and unc-84(n369). Error bars represent 95% CI. (C) Phospho–CDK-1/NCC-1 (PCDK-1), a marker of G2 (Moser et al., 2009) after treatment with HU or IR. (D) PCNA::GFP, a marker of S phase (Kisielewska et al., 2005), in the absence or presence of HU or IR. Bars, 10 µm.
Figure S2. Cross-linking agents reduce unc-84(n369) brood size and progeny viability and increase developmental abnormalities. (A) Brood size after 0, 100, 250, or 500 µM cisplatin in wild-type (WT) and unc-84(n369) germlines. n > 10. cispl, cisplatin. (B) Progeny inviability after 0, 50, or 100 µM of nitrogen mustard in wild-type and unc-84(n369) germlines. n > 10. (C) Frequency of postembryonic developmental morphological abnormalities (larval arrest, vulva defects, and retarded growth) in the indicated genotypes observed before and after treatment with cisplatin. Error bars indicate 95% CI. P-values were determined by two-way analyses of variance. ***, P < 0.0001.
Figure S3. **unc-84 mutants do not display defects in chromosome synopsis but have elevated germline apoptosis.** (A) Wild-type (WT) and unc-84(n369) dissected germlines stained with antibodies against SYP-1 (red) and DAPI (blue). In both genotypes, SYP-1 loads after transition zone in the early pachytene (ep) and remains associated with chromatin through the late pachytene. mp, mid-pachytene. (B) Proper SYP-1 loading in unc-84(n369) was also determined by confirming the association of SYP-1 (red) tracks with HTP-3 (green), an axial component, in the transition zone (TZ) and early pachytene (EP). (C) Number of apoptotic bodies visualized with AO 48 h after L4 in wild type [N2], unc-84(n369), unc-84(n369);cku-70(tm1524), cku-70(tm1524), lig-4(ok716), unc-84(n369);spo-11(ok79), spo-11(ok79), and unc-84(n369);ced-3(n717). (D) Percentages of progeny inviability in wild type [N2], unc-84(n369), unc-84(n369);ced-3(n717), and ced-3(n717). Bars: (main images) 10 µm; (insets) 2 µm. Error bars indicate 95% CI. *, P < 0.01; **, P < 0.001; ***, P < 0.0001.
Figure S4. **UNC-84::GFP in male germlines and in response to different DNA-damaging agents.** [A] unc-84(RNAi) in the UNC-84::GFP strain confirms anti-GFP is recognizing UNC-84. [B] UNC-84::GFP–dissected germline stained for anti-GFP (green) and DAPI (blue). UNC-84 does not localize to late pachytene nuclei in the male germline. [A and B] Insets show staining in all zones of the germline from the PZ, transition zone (TZ), early pachytene (EP), mid-pachytene (MP), late pachytene (LP), diplotene (DP), diakinesis (DI), and karyosome (K), as well as the somatic distal tip cell (DTC), sheath cell (Sh), and intestinal cells (I). Anti-GFP staining is only evident in somatic distal tip cells and intestinal cells. Bars: (main images) 100 µm; (insets) 2 µm. (C) UNC-84 is expressed in male PZ germ cells after cisplatin recovery. (D) Percentages of RAD-51–positive nuclei after release from 25 mM HU over the given times in wild-type (WT; N2) and unc-84(n369) hermaphrodites. n > 12. (E) Percentages of RAD-51–positive nuclei in unc-84(n369) male germlines after recovery from 25 mM HU. DNA repair defects in unc-84(n369) are not unique to hermaphrodites. n > 15. (F and G) UNC-84 is expressed in PZ germ cells after HU recovery (F) and IR recovery (G). The arrowhead in F marks another GFP-positive nucleus. (H) UNC-84 localizes to the nuclear envelope of PZ nuclei after damage and diakinesis nuclei in UNC-84 point mutants. Bars, 10 µm. P-values were obtained by t test. *, P < 0.01; **, P < 0.001.
Figure S5. Embryonic lethality of UNC-84 point mutants after cisplatin is also suppressed by loss of NHEJ. (A) Number of apoptotic bodies by AO 48 h after L4 in wild-type (WT; N2), unc-84(n369), unc-84(C994Y), and unc-84(P91S). Germline apoptosis is elevated in UNC-84 point mutants. $n > 20$. (B) Percentages of progeny viability in the given genotypes after 250 µM and 500 µM cisplatin or without cisplatin. $n > 6$. Loss of cku-70 suppresses progeny inviability associated with UNC-84 point mutants after cisplatin. *, $P < 0.01$; **, $P < 0.001$; ***, $P < 0.0001$. 
Figure S6. Microtubule destabilizers affect microtubule network and RAD-51 levels. (A) Germlines dissected from worms treated with the indicated concentrations of colchicine stained with antibodies against α-tubulin (green) and DAPI (blue). Bar, 10 µm. (B) Percentages of RAD-51–positive nuclei in wild type (WT) or cku-70(tm1524) (after 100 μM cisplatin) and the given concentrations of colchicine. RAD-51 levels return to wild type after 4 h of cisplatin recovery after microtubule disruption with colchicine. n > 6. (C) Percentages of RAD-51–positive nuclei in wild-type and cku-70(tm1524) worms treated with cisplatin and nocodazole. Nocodazole also reduces the percentage of RAD-51–positive nuclei, and the reduction can be rescued by the inactivation of NHEJ. n > 14. (D) Percentages of PCDK-1–positive nuclei after treatment with colchicine and cisplatin in wild-type and cku-70(tm1524) worms. Error bars represent 95% CI. **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.0001. WT, wild type.
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