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ABSTRACT

In recent years, there has been a noticeable rise in fungal infections related to non-albicans Candida species, including Candida glabrata which has both intrinsic resistance to and commonly acquired resistance to azole antifungals. Phylogenetically, C. glabrata is more closely related to the mostly non-pathogenic model organism Saccharomyces cerevisiae than to other Candida species. Despite C. glabrata’s designation as a pathogen by Wickham in 1957, relatively little is known about its mechanism of virulence. Over the past few years, technology to analyse the molecular basis of infection has developed rapidly, and here we briefly review the major advances in tools and technologies available to explore and investigate the virulence of C. glabrata that have occurred over the past decade.
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INTRODUCTION TO CANDIDA SPECIES: A SIGNIFICANT HEALTHCARE PROBLEM

Modern technology has helped advance our life expectancy greatly, but this has been accompanied by an increased incidence of fungal infections, which can at least be partially attributed to an increase in both the aged population and the number of immune-compromised individuals. Studies suggest that systemic fungal infections cost the healthcare industry approximately $2.6 billion per year in the USA alone (Wilson et al. 2002). The most frequently encountered systemic fungal infections are those caused by the filamentous fungus Aspergillus species and the yeasts, Cryptococcus and Candida species (Pelz et al. 2000; Wilson et al. 2002; Pfaller and Diekema 2007; Pfaller et al. 2010; Diekema et al. 2012). Candida species are the fourth most common cause of bloodstream infections in the USA (Morgan et al. 2005; Pfaller and Diekema 2007), and of the $2.6 billion spent on treating systemic fungal infections, the total costs are highest for candidosis, at a staggering $1.7 billion (Wilson et al. 2002). In the USA, candidosis accounts for approximately 75% of all systemic fungal infections and is associated with a crude mortality rate of 46–75% (Pelz et al. 2000; Wilson et al. 2002). Although Candida albicans is the most common aetiological agent, the past two decades have shown a rise in the incidence of non-albicans Candida species (Pelz et al. 2000; Wilson et al. 2002; Pfaller and Diekema 2007; Pfaller et al. 2010; Diekema et al. 2012). Of interest, in the rise of non-albicans Candida species, is C. glabrata which has been shown to be associated with longer hospital stays and higher costs than C. albicans (Moran et al. 2010). This could partially be attributed to C. glabrata’s intrinsic and acquired resistance to commonly used azole antifungals (Fidel, Vazquez and Sobel 1999; Pfaller and Diekema 2007; Pfaller et al. 2010; Diekema et al. 2012). Worryingly, C. glabrata isolates exhibiting a decreased susceptibility to echinocandins (considered the first line treatment for C. glabrata infections; Pappas et al. 2009) and Amphotericin B, considered the gold standard treatment for fungal infections, have also been reported (Krogh-Madsen et al. 2006; Pfaller et al. 2012; Alexander et al. 2013). This demands that we understand the molecular
mechanisms underpinning this rise in incidence as a necessary precursor to developing novel durable therapies for infections caused by C. glabrata.

*Candida glabrata* is a commensal of the oral cavity and human gut forming part of the normal microflora of healthy individuals (Fidel, Vazquez and Sobel 1999; Ahmad et al. 2014). Phylogenetically, it is more closely related to *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* than to any other *Candida* species, see Fig. 1, and unlike most well-studied *Candida* species, it belongs to the non-CTG clade species (Dujon et al. 2004; Ahmad et al. 2014). *Candida glabrata* is strictly haploid and grows as a unicellular yeast, and in contrast to *C. albicans* does not form pseudo hyphae at 37°C in vivo or under normal growth conditions in vitro (Fidel, Vazquez and Sobel 1999). However, *C. glabrata* has been reported to form pseudo hyphae growth when grown under nitrogen starvation at both 30 and 37°C in vitro on solid media (Csank and Haynes 2000; Calcagno et al. 2003). Furthermore, *C. glabrata* has no known documented sexual cycle although both mating types are commonly found and its genome contains homologues of the majority of the genes involved in mating in *S. cerevisiae* (Muller et al. 2008). In contrast to the aggressive strategies used by other fungal pathogens, such as *C. albicans*, *C. glabrata* uses a combination of immune evasion and persistence to invade and colonize its host; for example, it evades the host immune system by allowing itself to be taken up by macrophages where it can continue to proliferate (Kaur, Ma and Cormack 2007; Roetzer et al. 2010; Seider et al. 2011; Brunke and Hube 2013) and only induces transient proinflammatory cytokine responses (Jacobsen et al. 2010). Despite these insights, the mechanism of virulence at the molecular level is still not well understood in *C. glabrata* making it difficult to identify candidate proteins as potential drug targets for effective treatment of infections caused by this yeast (Silva et al. 2011; Ahmad et al. 2014).

Compared with the model organism *S. cerevisiae*, *C. glabrata* has been less well studied, and as such there are fewer molecular tools and resources available to interrogate its biology; see Table 1 for a summary. Research into *C. glabrata* has been hampered by the lack of a known sexual cycle, preventing classical genetic analysis, which has been used widely in many fungal species such as *S. cerevisiae* and *Aspergillus* species to dissect genes and pathways (Muller et al. 2008). Similarly, the power of the synthetic genetic array (SGA) analysis, which has revolutionized research by enabling genetic interactions networks to be mapped, cannot be used in *C. glabrata* as the method itself exploits the presence of a sexual cycle (Tong et al. 2001; Costanzo et al. 2010). Furthermore, genetic work involving generation of deletion/tagged strains in *C. glabrata* has been hampered by its preference for non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) over homologous recombination, which is required for targeted integration of a DNA fragment at double-strand breaks (DSB), thus making gene targeting much less efficient than in *S. cerevisiae* (Ueno et al. 2007; Corrigan et al. 2013; Cen, Fiori and Dijck 2015). In the absence of these approaches, much work has exploited knowledge from *S. cerevisiae* as a basis for formulating hypotheses and then extrapolating back to *C. glabrata*. For example, the majority of the annotated genes in *C. glabrata* have
Table 1. Summary of tools and resources available for investigating S. cerevisiae and C. glabrata.

| Tools and resources                                      | Available in S. cerevisiae | Available in C. glabrata | Reference                                     |
|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| Sequenced and annotated genome                           | ✓                          | ✓                        | Skrzypek and Hirschman (2011); Inglis et al. (2012) |
| Tet-regulatable library of essential genes                | √                          | ×                        | Mnaimneh et al. (2004)                        |
| Knockout library of non-essential genes                  | √                          | Partial collection available (2015) | Giaever et al. (2002); Winzeler et al. (1999) |
| Green fluorescent protein (GFP) tagged library           | ✓                          | ×                        | Huh et al. (2009)                              |
| HA-tagged library                                         | √                          | ×                        | Kumar et al. (2002)                            |
| Tag-fusion library                                        | √                          | ×                        | Ghaemmaghami et al. (2003)                     |
| GST-tagged library                                        | √                          | ×                        | Sopko et al. (2006)                            |
| YFP- kinase fusion collection                             | √                          | ×                        | Ma et al. (2008)                               |
| Gateway ORFeome                                           | √                          | ×                        | Gelperin et al. (2005)                         |
| Proteome interactome collection                           | √                          | ×                        | Tarassov et al. (2008)                         |
| Yeast cross and capture system collection                 | √                          | ×                        | Suter et al. (2007)                            |
| Insertional mutant collection                             | √                          | Partial collection available (approx. 25% of the genome) | Ross-Macdonald et al. (1999); Castano et al. (2003) |
| Synthetic histone collection                              | ✓                          | ×                        | Dai et al. (2008)                              |
| DAMP collection                                           | ✓                          | ×                        | Breslow et al. (2008)                          |
| RNA-seq data                                             | ✓                          | ✓                        | Aoyama et al. (2014); Linde et al. (2015); Nookaew et al. (2012) |
| Yeast -2- hybrid data                                     | ✓                          | ×                        | Ito et al. (2001)                              |
| Genetic interaction data                                  | √                          | ×                        | Costanzo et al. (2010)                         |
| CRISPR compatible plasmids                                | √                          | ×                        | Bao et al. (2013); Zalatan et al. (2014)       |
| RNAi compatible plasmids                                  | √                          | ×                        | Crook, Schmitz and Alper (2014); Drinnenberg et al. (2009) |
| Gateway compatible destination plasmids                   | ✓                          | Limited Gateway Destination vectors available – so far for complementation only (2015) | Flaggfeldt et al. (2009); Schwarzmüller et al. (2014); Thorne et al. (2011) |
| Plasmid collection for constructing gene knockouts/ fusions (e.g. GFP integration) | ✓                          | ✓                        | Janke et al. (2004); Schwarzmüller et al. (2014) |
| Generation of the synthetic yeast genome                  | ✓                          | ×                        | Annaluru et al. (2014)                         |

Not been experimentally annotated, rather their encoded functions have been predicted based on homology to their S. cerevisiae orthologues (Dujon et al. 2004). Those that have been experimentally annotated for C. glabrata, such as MN12, MN11, MSN2/4, SHO1 or PBS2, have often been done so by complementing the C. glabrata orthologue into the S. cerevisiae knockout and vice versa to confirm encoded functions (Gregori et al. 2007; Roetzler et al. 2008; West et al. 2013). However, caution should be taken from such approaches as the function of a protein can vary from species to species; for example, C. glabrata Hog1, although having a very similar function to its S. cerevisiae orthologue, has also been found to modulate resistance to weak organic acids in C. glabrata (Gregori et al. 2007). Furthermore, MacCallum et al. (2006) showed that despite a deletion of ACE2 in S. cerevisiae, C. albicans and C. glabrata having a similar phenotype in vitro, when examined in a murine model of disseminated infection, C. glabrata ace2 was found to be hypervirulent while C. albicans ace2/ace2 homozygous null mutants were slightly attenuated. Even more recently, Varshney et al. (2015) discovered that while Sch9 was important for chromosome segregation in C. albicans, its homologue in S. cerevisiae plays no apparent role in chromosome segregation despite their sequence similarity. These observations strengthen the case for investigating genes of interest in the species of interest as well as in model organisms.

The C. glabrata knockout collection

Deletion libraries are a useful tool to investigate gene function. Since the release of the S. cerevisiae Yeast Knockout Collections (YKO), which comprises 5916 individual genes knocked out in one or more of four backgrounds (MATa, MATα, heterozygous diploid, and homozygous diploid) (Winzeler et al. 1999; Giaever et al. 2002), many high-throughput screens have been undertaken using these libraries producing a wealth of information that has led to functions being assigned to previously unannotated proteins. For example, Giaever et al. (2002) identified the previously uncharacterized gene YJL200C, now termed ACO2, to encode the enzyme most likely to be involved in the second step of the lysine biosynthetic pathway (the conversion of homocitrate to homo-Cis-ic-tonitate) when they pooled the YKO library and grew it in media lacking threonine, tryptophan or lysine. Costanzo et al. (2010) used the YKO library in SGA analysis to create a map of the genetic interactions in S. cerevisiae before interrogating connectivity within the map to predict the function encoded by uncharacterized genes. Taking this approach, they successfully predicted that the products of PAR32, ECM30 and UBP15 are involved in Gap1 sorting. While Kemmeren et al. (2005) have developed a bioinformatic tool to predict gene function by integrating 125 high-throughput data sets, including gene-deletion phenotype data generated from screening the YKO.
collection, into one database and mined the data for multiple pairwise relationships under a variety of different criteria. Using this technique, they generated 543 predictions for genes encoding proteins with unknown functions and experimentally confirmed a selection. For instance, they confirmed their prediction that the uncharacterized gene YGR205w is involved in stress response as a ygr205w null resulted in increased thermostolerance (Kemmeren et al. 2005). These types of screens and analyses can easily be extended to any organism in which a deletion collection has been constructed. Indeed, the deletion collection for Schizosaccharomyces pombe, reported by Kim et al. shortly after the S. cerevisiae deletion collection, has been used in genome-wide analyses producing a wealth of novel information including the identification of a new set of genes encoding functions involved in transcription and translation in S. pombe (Kim et al. 2010).

Gene targeting in C. glabrata has been hindered by its high preference for NHEJ at DSB over homologous recombination which is required for target integration of DNA fragments (Ueno et al. 2007; Corrigan et al. 2013). Although attempts have been made to overcome this problem in C. glabrata, for example, Ueno et al. (2007) generated a reversible YKU80 disruption strain (Yku80 is involved in the NHEJ pathway) thereby increasing gene targeting efficiency by 5.1% using 40-bp flanking homologous DNA, this strain has not gained widespread use from the community. This is in contrast to other species where knockout KU70/ KU80 strains (key genes involved in NHEJ in eukaryotes) are frequently utilized to generate knockouts, for example, Magnaporthe grisea, Zymoseptoria tritici and Aspergillus fumigatus (da Silva Ferreira et al. 2006; Villalba et al. 2008; Kershaw and Talbot 2009; Sidhu et al. 2015). One likely reason that the C. glabrata community has not widely used the reversible YKU80 disruption strain for generating knockouts is because the target efficiency is only increased by 5.1% and disruption of YKU80 leads to synthetic sickness or lethality in S. cerevisiae (Ueno et al. 2007); furthermore, deletions of YKU70/80 in C. glabrata have been shown to affect subtelomeric silencing (Rosas-Hernández et al. 2008; Cen, Fiori and Dijck 2015). In contrast, a deletion of KU80/70 in M. grisea leads to an increase in gene targeting efficiency from 5 to 80% and the nulls display wild-type phenotypes with regard to pathogenicity, growth, sporulation and mating (Villalba et al. 2008; Kershaw and Talbot 2009). More recently, Cen Fiori and Dijck (2015) have constructed a lig4 mutant (Lig4 is a DNA ligase involved in NHEJ) and shown that gene targeting efficiency increased up to 35 times compared to the parental strain when using 40-bp flanking homologous DNA fragments. Furthermore, they noted that a deletion of Lig4 posed no deleterious effect on the strain compared to the parental when grown under a variety of different conditions including cell wall stress, antifungals and DNA damage (Cen, Fiori and Dijck 2015). In addition, to avoid any phenotypes caused by the absence of Lig4, Cen Fiori and Dijck (2015) constructed a reintegation cassette that can be used to reintegrate Lig4 at its original locus. As gene targeting can be performed more efficiently in this newly constructed lig4 strain compared to the yku70/80 strains and does not appear to cause any phenotype upon its deletion, it will be interesting to see if the Candida community will adopt this strain for use in high-throughput knockout generation in the near future.

Until recently, no deletion collection existed for C. glabrata; thus, research has focused on C. glabrata genes known to impact virulence in other pathogens, or on orthologues of S. cerevisiae genes that may or may not encode functions important for infection. For example, de Groot et al. (2008) examined the cell wall of C. glabrata for novel adhesin-like cell wall proteins based on the important role the cell wall plays for survival in different environmental conditions and because a number of fungal cell wall proteins have been shown to be instrumental in adhesion to human tissues, such as the Als proteins, Eap1 and Hwp1 in C. albicans. While this approach has revealed some aspects of C. glabrata biology, many genes in this species remain uncharacterized, especially those that are specific to C. glabrata; these genes may be vital for C. glabrata’s pathogenicity and ability to survive within the host. Another approach researchers currently employ to investigate virulence in C. glabrata and that takes into account of genes that may be specific to C. glabrata is to construct pooled libraries of randomly generated mutant strains and then to screen the library for a specific phenotype. Indeed, this approach was used by Cormack, Ghor and Falkow (1999) when they discovered the main adhesin, Epa1, in the Epa family of adhesins for C. glabrata, while generating a random C. glabrata library of insertional mutants and screening them for their ability to adhere to HEp2 cells. Since then, more than 20 genes have been found to belong to the Epa family of adhesins in C. glabrata and some of these genes have been found to encode functional adhesins (Cormack, Ghor and Falkow 1999; De Las Peñas et al. 2003; Castaño et al. 2005). A disadvantage in using this approach is that a specific phenotype believed to be important for virulence is investigated; thus, those phenotypes that may not obviously be deemed to be important for virulence are not examined. In addition, these types of approaches are more time consuming as they require the mutation/deletion/insertion of interest to be identified after isolation via sequencing for example, whereas using a known deletion collection/library omits this step.

In 2014, Schwarzmüller et al. (2014) published the first large-scale phenotypic screen of a C. glabrata deletion collection revealing several novel antifungal tolerance genes. The C. glabrata deletion collection currently comprises 619 strains representing approximately 12% of the predicted genome (Schwarzmüller et al. 2014). Each knockout strain contains molecular barcodes to enable pooling and/or competition experiments. A recyclable NAT1 marker was utilized to generate each knockout allowing the NAT1 marker to be used for further deletions in the same strain. The majority of the knockouts were constructed in C. glabrata HTL, a his3, leu2 trp1 auxotrophic derivative of the type strain C. glabrata ATCC 2001. However, approximately 195 transcription factor mutants were made in a single his3 auxotrophic background (Schwarzmüller et al. 2014). Pertinently, Jacobsen et al. have shown that loss of HIS3, LEU2, TRP1 or a combination of all three in C. glabrata does not impact its virulence, unlike deletion of the URA3 marker in C. albicans which is known to affect its virulence (Lay et al. 1998; Brand et al. 2004; Jacobsen et al. 2010). Thus, these strains can be used to analyse the virulence of C. glabrata. Indeed, Brunke et al. (2015) recently took 416 strains from the C. glabrata deletion collection and examined them for virulence using an immunodeficient Drosophila melanogaster model and discovered that an intact cell wall was important for C. glabrata virulence as many genes involved in the maintenance of cell wall integrity were amongst the most strongly attenuated mutants in their screen. An additional strength of this deletion collection is the optimized gene disruption protocol which was developed for strain construction, and which will facilitate additions to the library.

Evidence of the usefulness of this deletion collection can already be seen in recent published work by Schwarzmüller et al. (2014), who described large-scale phenotypic screens that identified novel genes encoding functions implicated in azole tolerance, including YPK1 and KTR2 where the null strains showed hypersensitivity to azoles, and which have not previously been
associated with antifungal tolerance. Furthermore, they identified 28 knockout strains that are hypersensitive to caspofungin and have not been described in S. cerevisiae nor C. albicans, implying that C. glabrata may have unknown mechanisms of caspofungin resistance (Schwarzmüller et al. 2014). In addition, Aoyama et al. (2014) utilized the deletion collection to identify genes required for environmental alkalinization and showed that protein mannosylation may play a key role in C. glabrata’s ability to alter the phagosomal environment allowing it to survive and proliferate intracellularly. Considering most C. glabrata research is currently based on data from S. cerevisiae and a small number of fungal pathogens, it is likely that these genes would have taken many years to come to light without the aid of a deletion collection. Although the C. glabrata deletion collection currently comprises only 12% of the genome, advances have already been made in understanding the virulence mechanisms of C. glabrata and additional strains have been constructed (K. Haynes et al., unpublished). It is hoped that the community will add to this collection in the future by utilizing the optimized gene disruption protocol and the background strains to deliver a more complete deletion collection for future high-throughput assays and thus facilitate a greater understanding of C. glabrata’s successful pathogenic strategies.

A plasmid toolbox for C. glabrata

Plasmids have formed an essential part of the molecular biology toolkit since Cohen et al. (1973) reported that individual genes can be cloned and isolated by enzymatically fragmenting DNA molecules and ligating them into autonomously replicating circular genetic elements before introducing them into bacteria. Unsurprisingly, the model organism, S. cerevisiae, has one of the most diverse plasmid toolkits available for ease of investigating and manipulating genes, from expression plasmids to reporter plasmids. For example, Janke et al. (2004) released a plasmid suite for PCR-based tagging of S. cerevisiae genes that consisted of new fluorescent proteins (a yeast optimized version of the red fluorescent protein, named RedStar2), additional positive selection markers (hphNtI, natNT2) and nine promoter substitution cassettes. This toolkit has recently been expanded with the introduction of the Gateway® Technology which facilitates high-efficiency transfer of genes between different Gateway vectors by site-specific recombination (Hartley 2000). A drawback to the system is that it requires the initial insertion of the gene of interest into a plasmid with the two flanking Gateway recombination sites (attL1 and attL2) to produce an initial Gateway Entry clone (Hartley 2000). However, once an Entry clone is available, it is possible to shuttle the gene of interest to any available Gateway adapted vector; furthermore, this process is bidirectional (Hartley 2000), see Fig. 2 for an overview of the Gateway Technology. Utilizing Gateway Technology, Gelperin et al. constructed the MORF (Moveable ORF) library, a library containing 5854 S. cerevisiae ORFs as C-terminal His₆-HA fusions under regulated control in Gateway compatible expression plasmids. Using this collection, they performed the first systematic screen for glycosylated proteins in S. cerevisiae and identified 454 new candidate glycoproteins (Gelperin et al. 2005). The MORF library was further complemented by a suite of 288 Gateway vectors, released in 2007 by Alberti et al., specifically designed to facilitate the transfer of ORFs from the MORF library into destination vectors designed for a variety of applications, for example protein localization or protein immunoprecipitation (Flagfeldt et al. 2009). This new suite of Gateway vectors includes a choice of two promoters (inducible or constitutive) and options for N- or C-terminal fusion to various protein affinity tags (HA, TAP) or fluorescent proteins (EGFP, ECFP, Cerulean or DaRed) as well as high- or low-copy origins of replication (Flagfeldt et al. 2009). Furthermore, Gateway ORFeomes and compatible vectors have been created in other species including D. melanogaster, Caenorhabditis elegans and C. albicans (Reboul et al. 2003; Akbari et al. 2009; Chauvel et al. 2012), enabling protein function to be examined in multiple species and thus to assessment of functional conservation between species.

Although a full C. glabrata ORFeome is yet to be published, the Gateway system is already being utilized by C. glabrata researchers to investigate genes of interest. Schwarzmüller et al. (2014) employed Gateway compatible expression vectors to confirm that the caspofungin sensitivity phenotype observed in 12 of their C. glabrata knockout strains was due to the absence of each individual gene, and that the phenotype was restored upon the insertion of an expression plasmid expressing each gene of interest. Similarly, Thorne et al. (2011) utilized a Gateway adapted yeast-2 hybrid system to experimentally validate predicted C. glabrata and S. cerevisiae protein–protein interactions (PPIs) while investigating the utility of evolutionary correlation between presence and absence of genes in a group of species as a method to predict potential PPIs. With the advances in postgenomic tools, large high-throughput data sets are regularly produced; for example, Aoyama et al. (2014) used RNA-seq to investigate transcriptional initiation in C. glabrata and predicted 50 new C. glabrata genes. Yet in the absence of a C. glabrata ORF library, any set of genes that emerge from large-scale experiments have to be prioritized for investigation individually as constructs will have to be produced for each gene. The construction of a C. glabrata Gateway adapted ORFeome would alleviate this, as any gene of interest could easily be shuttled into a range of different destination vectors thereby accelerating research into multiple genes. Indeed, a partial C. glabrata Gateway ORFeome has been used to examine conservation of PPIs between C. glabrata and S. cerevisiae (Ho and Huvet et al., unpublished) and to perform a pooled overexpression screen to identify novel C. glabrata genes important for survival under stress conditions (Ho et al., unpublished).

Invasive infection models to investigate C. glabrata virulence?

The most established invasive infection models for analysis of traits associated with the establishment of candidosis are the intravenous (IV) challenge and gastrointestinal colonization with subsequent dissemination mouse models, reviewed in detail by Szabo and MacCallum (2011). While both mouse models enable host–pathogen interactions to be explored in vivo and allow comparison of the ability of mutants to progress disease via measurement of organ fungal burden, they come with caveats. The use of mammalian models requires careful ethical consideration, and has a significant economic cost. For example, Becker et al. (2010) assayed the virulence of 177 C. albicans strains in a mouse model and identified 102 genes that play a role in host survival and establishment of an infection, including genes that encode known antifungal drug targets such as FKS1 and ERG1, validating the results of the screen for discovering potential novel drug targets. In this study, each C. albicans strain examined required 15 mice necessitating the use of a minimum of 2655 mice, excluding controls, to complete the screen (Becker et al. 2010). To implement this screen for the partial C. glabrata deletion collection would require 9285 mice to assay only 12% of C. glabrata genes. This is clearly impractical on a global scale and
Figure 2. Overview of the Gateway system. The Gateway system facilitates high-efficiency transfer of genes between different Gateway vectors via site-specific recombination. (A) The gene of interest is cloned in between the attP1/2 sites on the pEntry vector via a BP Clonase reaction to produce a pEntry clone. The pEntry vector harbours a bacterial ‘death’ gene (ccdB) that is exchanged for the gene of interest during the generation of the pEntry clone and transformation of E.coli that are sensitive to the ccdB effects allows for selection of the pEntry clones. (B) The gene of interest can also be cloned directly between the attR1/2 sites of a pDestination vector (containing features of interest such as protein tags, etc.) via a LR Clonase reaction to generate an expression vector thereby omitting the initial step of generating a pEntry clone. The pDestination vectors also contain the bacterial ‘death’ gene, ccdB, to enable selection of the pDestination clones. (C) The generated pEntry clone can be mixed with any available pDestination vector via a LR Clonase reaction to generate pDestination clones. This reaction is reversible using the BP Clonase reaction. pDestination clones with the gene of interest can be used to regenerate/generate the pEntry clones.

hence different approaches based on pools of mutants (Hensel et al. 1995; Cormack, Ghori and Falkow 1999; Winzeler et al. 1999; Chan et al. 2000; Gläver et al. 2002; Steinmetz et al. 2002; Kaur, Castaño and Cormack 2004), and/or alternative virulence models are urgently needed if global analyses of virulence are to be undertaken. Furthermore, as C. glabrata seems unable to invade or damage (non-phagocytic) host cells to any measurable extent (Seider et al. 2011; Brune and Hube 2013), there is a lack of good in vitro invasion/damage model systems available to explore C. glabrata virulence. Thus, researchers have started examining other hosts, mostly invertebrates, as alternative model systems for analysis of candidosis. Although, an invertebrate host may not appear relevant to human diseases, they possess an innate immune system that shares many similarities with the innate immune response of mammals (Kavanagh and Reeves 2004). For instance, the immune function of Toll, which led to the discovery of toll-like receptors, key molecules that alert the immune system to the presence of microbial infections, was first described in D. melanogaster as important to mediating fly immunity to A. fumigatus infection, by activating the synthesis of antimicrobial peptides (Lemaitre et al. 1996).

The first insect virulence model developed for Candida infections was Galleria mellonella (wax moth) in which the fungi are injected into the proleg of larvae and survival is monitored over a short time period (Cotter, Doyle and Kavanagh 2000). Subsequent experiments have shown that, with regard to C. albicans infections, the Galleria model produced results similar to those found in mouse infection models (Cotter, Doyle and Kavanagh 2000; Brennan et al. 2002; Fuchs et al. 2010a). For example, Brennan et al. (2002) infected G. mellonella larvae with a C. albicans cdc35/cdc35 mutant strain and found that all larvae survived 48 hours, compared with 100% mortality in larvae infected with the wild-type parental strain, C. albicans SC5314. Similarly, Rocha et al. (2001) have previously shown that mice infected with C. albicans cdc35/cdc35 in the IV model of candidosis had 100% survival 42 days post-infection, while complete mortality was observed in mice infected with a C. albicans cdc35/cdc35 reconstituted with a plasmid expressing CDC35 16 days post-infection. Furthermore, Brennan et al. used the G. mellonella infection model to distinguish between avirulent, virulent and reduced virulence C. albicans strains. They observed that C. albicans hst7/hst7 was as virulent as the wild type (100% mortality at
48 h), while cpp1/cpp1 mutants were reduced in virulence compared to the wild type (90% mortality at 48 h) (Brennan et al. 2002). Again, this correlates with previous mouse data where 50% of the mice survived 35 days post-infection with C. albicans cpp1/cpp1 (Csank et al. 1997), while 100% mouse mortality was observed 6 days post-infection with C. albicans hst7/hst7 (Leberer et al. 1996). These studies clearly demonstrate that the C. mel- lonella virulence model can be used as a proxy for murine infection caused by C. albicans.

The Galleria mellonella is attractive for analysis of virulence for a number of reasons: (1) large numbers of larvae can be infected with each mutant strain increasing the statistical power of the assay; (2) the infection experiments are simple to perform and inexpensive; and (3) unlike many other insect hosts, G. mellonella can be maintained at a temperature range from 25 to 37 °C, so facilitating analysis at or near to human body temperature. This is an important consideration as temperature can affect gene expression and thus the induction/repression of genes encoding functions associated with virulence (Fuchs et al. 2010b). Unfortunately, two previous studies have shown that although the G. mellonella provides an effective model of C. albicans infection, it is not a useful model for C. glabrata disease (Cotter, Doyle and Kavanagh 2000; Bergin, Brennan and Kavanagh 2003). Cotter Doyle and Kavanagh (2000) showed that inoculating G. mellonella with 2 × 10^6 cells of C. glabrata NCFP 4733 had no impact on survival at 30 °C. While Bergin et al. showed that an inoculum of 1 × 10^6 C. glabrata NCFP 4733 resulted in >80% survival of G. mellonella larvae at 30 °C. Analysis of the C. glabrata infected G. mellonella showed a reduced fungal burden compared to C. albicans with little change in the haemocyte density (Bergin, Brennan and Kavanagh 2003). In contrast, Bates et al. have preliminary data that indicate that an inoculum of 5 × 10^7–1 × 10^8 cells of C. glabrata ATCC 2001 was sufficient to observe approximately 80% mortality in G. mellonella 24 h post-infection at 37 °C and that it follows a clear dose response, similar to that seen in a mouse model of dose-dependent killing (Steven Bates, Exeter University, pers. Comm.). Thus, G. mellonella could develop as an important host for examining C. glabrata virulence as at a physiological relevant temperature of 37 °C it is susceptible to C. glabrata infection, but not at 30 °C. This raises the intriguing possibility that a subset of genes important for C. glabrata virulence are switched on at 37 °C.

Drosophila melanogaster has been used as a work horse of classical genetics for well over a century, and in particular following the discovery of the role of Toll in induction of antifungal responses, its innate immune system has been well studied. Recently, it has been adapted for use as a model to analyse microbial virulence (LeMaitre et al. 1996; Alarco et al. 2004; Chamilos et al. 2006; Panayidou, Ioannidou and Apidianakis 2014; Brunke et al. 2015). However, controversy remains over whether wild-type D. melanogaster is susceptible to fungal infections, Alarco et al. (2004) reported that on average 85% of their wild-type D. melanogaster survived when injected with approximately 1 × 10^7 C. albicans cells per fly leading them to conclude that wild-type D. melanogaster is highly tolerant to fungal infection. In contrast, Glittenberg et al. (2011b) reported that by injecting C. albicans cells directly into the D. melanogaster haemolymph they observed high mortality systemic infections that were dose dependent; furthermore, their results strongly correlated with previous mouse infection models using the same C. albicans strains. Despite the controversy surrounding the use of wild-type D. melanogaster as a virulence model for fungal infections, both Toll and Spätzle-deficient D. melanogaster are susceptible to fungal infections (Alarco et al. 2004; Quintin et al. 2013; Panayidou, Ioannidou and Apidianakis 2014).

While the majority of studies investigating the use of D. melanogaster as a virulence model have been carried out with C. albicans, Quintin et al. showed that although wild-type D. melanogaster did not succumb to C. glabrata infection, they were not able to clear C. glabrata even 2 weeks post-infection which is reminiscent of the situation observed in mice where C. glabrata can persist for at least 4 weeks without killing immunocompetent mice (Jacobson et al. 2010; Quintin et al. 2013). Additionally, they were able to show that C. glabrata had triggered the immune system in wild-type D. melanogaster by showing a significant increase in the expression of Drosomycin, the antifungal peptide gene regularly used as a read-out for Toll-pathway activation following immune challenge (Quintin et al. 2013). It is known that C. glabrata evades the host immune system by allowing itself to be taken up by macrophages where it continues to proliferate (Seider et al. 2011; Brunke and Hube 2013; Brunke et al. 2014). Thus, D. melanogaster has the potential to be an attractive choice for large-scale screens of mutants as both attenuated strains and strains that are virulent but do not activate the immune response can be identified relatively quickly, especially since Drosomycin-GFP-tagged Drosophila strains are available allowing Drosomycin expression to be observed using a fluorescent microscope (Glittenberg et al. 2011a). Furthermore, Toll-deficient D. melanogaster do succumb to C. glabrata infection and attenuated C. glabrata null strains can be identified using Toll-deficient flies; for example Quintin et al. observed that Toll-deficient flies injected with a double null C. glabrata yps1 yps7 (Yps1 and Yps7 have previously been shown to be required for cell wall integrity and survival inside macrophages) succumbed more slowly compared to flies infected with the wild-type parental strain (Kaur, Ma and Cormack 2007; Quintin et al. 2013). Brunke et al. have combined the use of Toll-deficient flies and the deletion collection to screen 416 C. glabrata mutants to investigate whether results from this model of virulence can be used to predict the outcome of infections in murine models. They showed that virulence in D. melanogaster was at least partially predictive of fitness in mice. The model performed much better as an indicator of virulence than in vitro growth, which has been used as a proxy for virulence capacity, with slow in vitro growth rates taken to indicate a less virulent strain, based on the assumption that the slow growth rate will persist in the host (Brunke et al. 2015). Specifically, Brunke et al. (2015) observed that although deletion of SSD1 or PKH1 had no effect on in vitro growth, they were less virulent in a Toll-deficient fly model, while a cbk2 mutant was strongly deficient in proliferation in vitro but was not found to be reduced in virulence in both Toll-deficient flies or a mice model. Thus, Toll-deficient flies may be a useful model to measure the fitness of C. glabrata mutants for pre-screening strains for selection for further validation in a mouse model or even for microbial drug target screens, which are currently selected based on in vivo growth rates.

However, a significant drawback to using an insect host is that they do not have an adaptive immune system, and it has been documented that, at least in the case of C. albicans infections, integration of the innate and adaptive immune system occurs, for instance, phagocytic activity is reinforced by Th1 cytokines and impaired by Th2 cytokines (Romani, Bistoni and Puccetti 1997; Romani 2000). This is corroborated in human studies where acquired immunity to C. albicans correlates with Th1 reactivity (La Sala et al. 1996; Fidel et al. 1997; Romani 2000) while susceptibility to candidosis seen in patients with human immunodeficiency virus infection or in patients with chronic
mucocutaneous candidosis correlates with a biased Th2 response (Kobrynski et al. 1996; Leigh et al. 1998; Romani 2000). To try and obviate this problem and develop a system in which adaptive immunity may be interrogated, Chao et al. investigated whether zebrafish (Danio rerio) could be used as a virulence model to analyse C. albicans infection. Zebrafish were chosen as they have an adaptive component to their immune system, a high reproductive rate, low maintenance costs, have transparent embryos that makes in vivo visualization possible and can be manipulated with a comprehensive series of molecular tools (Chao et al. 2010). They found that using an inoculum up to 1 × 10⁶ cells resulted in reproducible high mortality systemic infections that were dose dependent and capable of differentiating attenuated strains, for instance 40% viability of the zebrafish was observed 100 h post-injection with C. albicans hcp1/hcp1 compared to only 10% viability when injected with the parental wild-type C. albicans (Chao et al. 2010). In addition, it was shown that C. albicans is able to colonize, proliferate and invade deep into the organs of zebrafish (Chao et al. 2010). The power behind this virulence model can be seen by the publication of a host–pathogen interaction network for a C. albicans–zebrafish infection model, which identified several important proteins related to C. albicans infection that may prove useful drug targets. At the same time, the study identified important immune defense mechanisms activated in zebrafish in response to C. albicans invasion (Kuo et al. 2013; Gratacap and Wheeler 2014). An additional advantage of the zebrafish model is that many transgenic lines contain immune cells constitutively labelled with fluorescent reporters and as an embryo it is optically transparent allowing real-time visualization of pathogen–host interactions. Brothers, Newman and Wheeler (2011) exploited this to visualize the cellular impact of loss of host phagocyte NADPH oxidase activity in a zebrafish infection model of disseminated candidosis using C. albicans and found that in vivo the phagocyte NADPH oxidase regulates filamentation of C. albicans and that phagocytosis can result in a scenario where C. albicans survives and divides but is unable to germinate or lyse the host cell. A drawback to using zebrafish is that they are ectothermic and prefer temperatures of 28°C, while humans are endothermic and have a normal body temperature of 36.8 ± 0.4°C (Chao et al. 2010; Brothers, Newman and Wheeler 2011; Gratacap and Wheeler 2014). However, in the case of C. albicans, although filamentous growth is enhanced at higher temperatures, fungal germination has been observed in vivo at 28°C and many studies have implicated a role of filamentation in virulence at this temperature (Brennan et al. 2002; Chamilos et al. 2006; Fuchs and Mylonakis 2006; Brothers, Newman and Wheeler 2011). Thus, zebrafish may prove to be a tractable virulence model for real-time visualization of pathogen–host interactions, and although researchers have yet to investigate C. glabrata in a zebrafish model, the need to understand pathogen–host interactions in real time makes zebrafish a serious contender for use in future virulence studies. However, caution should be used when investigating C. glabrata virulence using a zebrafish model as the Galleria virulence data suggests that a subset of genes important for C. glabrata virulence are switched on at 37°C since at a physiologically relevant temperature of 37°C it is susceptible to C. glabrata infection, but not at 30°C. Nevertheless, we believe the zebrafish model could still be useful as a tool to assess/ screen C. glabrata deletion/ mutant strains migration within the host as well as host–pathogen interactions before examining strains further in a mouse model. Thus, it can be seen that alternative hosts for virulence studies are emerging and their relative simplicity could be used in global screens facilitating analysis of C. glabrata infections

RNA-Seq to reannotate the genome and understand regulation of expression in C. glabrata

Because of the recent advances made in next-generation sequencing, such as RNA-seq, which shows a snapshot of the quantity and nature of mRNA expressed in a genome at a given moment in time, the gene prediction models for many species have been corrected and reannotated aiding basic research into these species. Indeed, recently Aoyama et al. performed Cap Analysis Gene Expression (CAGE analysis), a method that was introduced to determine the transcription start sites on a genome-wide scale by isolating and sequencing fragments originating from the 5’ end of RNA transcripts, on RNA isolated from C. glabrata grown in seven different conditions. This analysis suggested the existence of more than 50 previously unannotated C. glabrata genes as well as confirming the identity of 4316 genes previously listed on the Candida Genome Database (Aoyama et al. 2014). This is in line with the Linde et al. (2015) recent work looking at the transcriptional landscape of C. glabrata in nutrient-rich media, following nitrosative stress and during pH shift using RNA-seq, which identified 49 novel C. glabrata genes. These workers went on to verify four of the novel genes, C. glabrata NP4, 11, 32 and 38 via RT-PCR, and showed that their expression was upregulated during a pH shift from pH4 to pH8 suggesting that the encoded proteins play a role in helping C. glabrata regulate and/or adapt to changes in environmental pH (Linde et al. 2015). Candida glabrata NP4 and NP38 were also shown to be downregulated upon interaction with human neutrophils, suggesting that the encoded proteins may be important for C. glabrata’s role as a pathogen (Linde et al. 2015). Interestingly both Aoyama et al. (2014) and Linde et al. (2015) found divergence in the transcriptional regulation between C. glabrata and S. cerevisiae, thereby reinforcing the idea that great care should be taken when extrapolating such regulatory data between species. For example, 252 genes in S. cerevisiae have been reported to contain upstream small open reading frames (uORFs) that can inhibit translation of the downstream ORF by interfering with the initiation of translation from its start codon. None of the C. glabrata orthologous genes were reported to contain uORFs (Aoyama et al. 2014). Instead, based on the CAGE analysis, Aoyama et al. predicted 72 C. glabrata genes to contain uORFs, yet none of the 72 S. cerevisiae orthologs have been reported to harbour uORFs. These data strongly suggest that there is regulatory divergence between these two yeasts (Aoyama et al. 2014). Similarly, Linde et al. (2015) reported that the transcriptional response of C. glabrata to nitrosative stress was different to both C. albicans and S. cerevisiae, and hypothesized that this difference could be due to C. glabrata being able to replicate within macrophages, and thus C. glabrata would require a distinct stress response as macrophages exert nitrosative stress on fungal cells after phagocytosis.

In addition, there are many more annotated fungal genomes available today for comparative genomics analysis. Since the publication of the first genome sequence of a free-living eukaryote, S. cerevisiae, was published 24 years ago by Goffeau et al., by 2011 a total of 108 fungal genomes had been annotated (Goffeau et al. 1996; Haas et al. 2011), and the growing list of annotated fungal genomes continues to grow as sequencing technologies improve. With so many fungal genomes readily available, the possibility now exists of performing comparative genomic analysis with C. glabrata to predict evolutionary pathways that may have evolved to aid its survival as a pathogen, and to experimentally validate these predictions. For example, Tsai et al. (2014) have recently performed comparative genomics on four species of
Taphrina fungi, a plant pathogen that causes plant deformity, and discovered that Taphrina fungi utilized multiple strategies to cope with the host environment that were also found in some yeast species such as aneuploidy of genes. Further advances in next-generation sequencing as well as RNA-seq data in C. glabrata will greatly aid our understanding of the unique changes in transcriptional regulation that occur when C. glabrata encounters a host and the mechanisms underpinning its virulence.

New tools that could be adapted for use in C. glabrata

Since the introduction of the plasmid to the molecular biology tool kit, technology has advanced greatly and experiments that once took weeks or even years, for example sequencing a genome, can now be done in days or in some cases just a few hours. In this section, the expanding field of genome editing on a global scale will be explored. And although the techniques have not yet been adapted for use in C. glabrata research, it is likely that they will be in the very near future.

A technology that has revolutionized and advanced research in the field of artificial gene regulation and genome editing is the Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats (CRISPR)-Cas9 system (Doudna and Charpentier 2014). In nature, CRISPR-Cas systems form part of the adaptive immune system used by archaea and bacteria against foreign DNA. Similar to RNAi, CRISPR-Cas systems utilize short guide RNA (gRNA) strands to direct the degradation of foreign DNA that the system has previously encountered by incorporating fragments of foreign DNA into the CRISPR loci to produce the short gRNAs required to degrade homologous sequences (Mali, Esvelt and Church 2013; Gilles and Averof 2014; Laganà, Shasha and Croce 2014). So far three distinct bacterial CRISPR systems have been identified; Type I, II and III, and it is the Type II system that has mainly been adapted for use in artificial gene regulation and genome editing (Kim and Kim 2014; Shalem, Sanjana and Zhang 2015). The most commonly used CRISPR Type II system consists of a gRNA and an endonuclease, the CRISPR-associated (Cas) nuclease, Cas9, which cleaves the targeted chromosomal DNA in a site-specific manner triggering endogenous DNA repair systems resulting in genome modification (for an in-depth review, see Doudna and Charpentier 2014; Kim and Kim 2014; Shalem, Sanjana and Zhang 2015). Unlike RNAi, the CRISPR-Cas9 system is not limited solely to the silencing or deletion of genes and has been adapted so that it can also be used for editing/modifying the genome via its homology directed repair mechanism, to activate or repress gene expression, to purify regions of genomic DNA and to label genomic DNA for imaging; see Fig. 3 for a schematic and applications of the CRISPR-Cas9 system. For example, Zalatan et al. have recently adapted the CRISPR-Cas9 system to generate synthetic multigene transcriptional programs by repressing and activating genes to redirect flux through the violacein biosynthetic pathway (an important metabolic pathway for bacteria) which they recreated in S. cerevisiae. Furthermore, their system was designed so that it relied on the expression of the protein dCas9, a nuclease deficient form of Cas9, as a single control point for the activation/deactivation of the multigene transcriptional programs in the system (Zalatan et al. 2014). Bao et al. (2015) on the other hand have used the CRISPR-Cas9 system to generate multiple gene disruptions in a single step in S. cerevisiae representing a powerful tool for creating yeast strains with multiple knockouts in less than a week, and they have termed this the Hi-CRISPR system. However, their process will need optimization as they showed that their efficiency varies from disrupting AFT2, GCY1 and YR1 in 6 days with a 100% efficiency to an efficiency range as low as 27% in disrupting CAN1, ADE2 and LYP1 in 4 days, and this they postulated was due to the efficiency of the DSB introduced by the CRISPR-Cas9 system and the efficiency of the subsequent homologous recombination by S. cerevisiae (Bao et al. 2015). In addition, Bao et al. (2015) found that triple gene disruptions were also affected by the CRISPR-Cas9 array (the genome sequence encoding the gRNA strand that directs the degradation/modification of the gene of interest) used; however, this should be addressable in the near future as rules for choosing efficient CRISPR-Cas9 targeting sites emerge with greater understanding of the CRISPR-Cas9 system. Furthermore, their new multigene disruption HI-CRISPR system utilized a recyclable plasmid, so that the plasmid can be reused to generate further multiple knockouts in the same strain (Bao et al. 2015). Potentially, using the HI-CRISPR system a C. glabrata strain with six targeted genes knocked out/disrupted could be created in as little as 12 days, whereas it currently takes several weeks to several months to make a double knockout in C. glabrata, speeding up research as the construction of knockout/disrupted genes is often a rate-limiting step in advancement.

The use of the CRISPR-Cas9 system has already been successfully developed in both C. albicans and S. pombe (Jacobs et al. 2014; Vyas, Barrasa and Fink 2015) and is believed to represent the future of genome editing in both C. glabrata and all other species as it appears to work in most systems tested to date. However, as NHEJ is more dominant over homologous recombination in C. glabrata (Ueno et al. 2007; Corrigan et al. 2013; Cen, Fiori and Dijck 2015) and the CRISPR-Cas9 technology relies on NHEJ for insertions/deletions and homologous recombination for site-specific mutations (Mali, Esvelt and Church 2013; Doudna and Charpentier 2014; Gilles and Averof 2014; Kim and Kim 2014; Sander and Joung 2014), it is probable that using the CRISPR-Cas9 technology to generate site-specific mutations in C. glabrata will be highly inefficient due to its preference for NHEJ. Despite this, we believe that site-specific mutations can still be generated in C. glabrata using the CRISPR-Cas9 technology by utilizing the lig4 C. glabrata strain recently generated by Cen, Fiori and Dijck (2015) as this strain has a disrupted NHEJ pathway and so far appears to be similar to the parental strain on all conditions examined so far. Thus, we believe that CRISPR-Cas9 technology will play a vital role in furthering our understanding of both the biology and virulence of C. glabrata as well as many other species.

CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

Since the designation of C. glabrata as a pathogen in 1957 by Wickerham (1957), research has focused on investigating its virulence, the immune response to C. glabrata and identifying putative drug targets that can be developed for treatment. However, still today relatively little is known about the molecular basis of virulence. Recent advances in the C. glabrata molecular tool box should aid research into its virulence mechanisms, host–pathogen relationship and reveal novel putative drug targets. Thanks to the partial C. glabrata deletion collection, high-throughput screening aimed at elucidating novel drug targets can now take place alongside screens for genes involved in virulence by utilizing one of the new virulence models for preliminary screens before moving to the classical mouse model for further corroboration. Additionally, a partial C. glabrata ORFeome is being constructed (Ho and Huvet et al., unpublished, Ho et al., unpublished) and once complete will facilitate high-throughput
Figure 3. Schematic and applications of the CRISPR-Cas9 system. Archae and bacteria utilize the CRISPR-Cas9 system to degrade foreign DNA by utilizing short RNA strands as guides, and this has been adapted by researchers to (A) edit the genome—gRNA strands are synthesized to complement the target sequence and protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) sequence (the PAM sequence must immediately follow the genomic target sequence for Cas9 to bind). The Cas9 enzyme binds to the gRNA and the target sequence and cleaves both strands to form a DSB. The DSB can be repaired via one of two general repair pathways, the NHEJ DNA repair pathway or the homology directed repair (HDR) pathway. Using the CRISPR system, researchers can either disrupt the gene via NHEJ repair (by not providing a suitable repair template) or edit the gene via HDR repair (by transfecting a suitable repair template into the cell at the same time as the gRNA and Cas9). (B) Activate gene expression—this utilizes a catalytically inactive form of Cas9 (dCas9) fused to a known transcriptional activator such as VP64. The dCas9–transcriptional activator complex binds to a target sequence just upstream from the promoter and causes upregulation of transcription of the target gene. (C) Repress gene expression—by binding dCas9 alone to the target sequence, transcription of the gene is blocked as it prevents the ribosome from binding. Unlike the gene modifications caused by the CRISPR system, both activating and repressing genes using a catalytically inactive form of Cas9 is not permanent as it does not affect the genomic DNA directly.
phenotypic screens and basic research. Furthermore, adaptation of CRISPR-Cas9 for use in *C. glabrata* should revolutionize *C. glabrata* research by simplifying its genome editing, for instance, making a knockdown construction of an essential gene in *C. glabrata* will be less time consuming and difficult, enabling more research into essential gene functions in *C. glabrata*. It is likely that a proportion of the essential genes will play an important role in virulence of *C. glabrata*. Furthermore, current research on *C. glabrata* focuses on orthologues of genes involved in virulence in other species yet an entire subset of *C. glabrata*-specific genes remains unexplored. These genes could be extremely important for *C. glabrata’s* success as a pathogen. With the aid of the CRISPR-Cas9 system and novel virulence models, these *C. glabrata*-specific genes could be screened in a high-throughput manner to identify their role in virulence. In short advances in technology and techniques will continue, and with this will come a better understanding of *C. glabrata’s* mechanism of virulence and the promise that novel drug targets will be discovered and targeted by new therapeutics.
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