Renormalization and Hopf algebraic structure of the five-dimensional quartic tensor field theory
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Abstract
This paper is devoted to the study of renormalization of the quartic melonic tensor model in dimension (=rank) five. We review the perturbative renormalization and the computation of the one loop beta function, confirming the asymptotic freedom of the model. We then define the Connes–Kreimer-like Hopf algebra describing the combinatorics of the renormalization of this model and we analyze in detail, at one- and two-loop levels, the Hochschild cohomology allowing to write the combinatorial Dyson–Schwinger equations. Feynman tensor graph Hopf subalgebras are also exhibited.
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1. Introduction

Random tensor models have in the recent years witnessed a major revival. Tensor models studied in the 90s [1–3] had only $U(N)$ symmetry under simultaneous identical change of basis on every tensor index. The consideration of more general, non-symmetric ‘colored’ tensors [4, 5] and of related ‘uncolored models’ [6, 7] (see also [8] for models interpolating between the colored and uncolored cases) lead to extend this symmetry into a $U(N)^{\otimes D}$ symmetry under independent changes on each of the tensor indices. It allowed to discover an associated tensorial $1/N$ expansion [9]. This expansion is governed by a new integer, the Gurau degree. It is not a topological invariant of the dual triangulated manifold, but the sum of the genera of a canonical set of Heegaard surfaces [10] called jackets. The basic combinatorial invariants which are both the vertices and observables of rank $d$ models and the Feynman graphs of rank $d - 1$ models are the bipartite $d$-regular edge colored graphs. Among the initial results of the theory one can cite the existence of single [11] and double [12–14] scaling limits.

In dimension/rank three, the closely related multi-orientable model [15] can sum over a larger class of graphs (see also [16] for a short review). It also admits a $1/N$ expansion with single and double scaling limits [17, 18].

Tensor models perform sums over triangulations in arbitrary dimension pondered by a discretization of the Einstein–Hilbert action, hence they can be considered the equilateral version of Regge calculus [19]. The tensor track [20] therefore proposes such models as candidates for the quantization of gravity in dimensions higher than two. In particular when slightly broken at the propagator level, the $1/N$ tensorial expansion provides power counting rules for an associated class of non-local quantum field theories, which generalize non-commutative field theories, in particular the Grosse–Wulkenhaar model [21] and the translation-invariant model [22]. Renormalizable models have been defined in this class [23, 24], including a family of models [25, 26] which incorporate the gauge (=trivial holonomy) constraints of group field theory [27]. Asymptotic freedom is a generic property of such models, at least when only quartic interactions are present [28], in contrast with asymptotic safety for non-commutative (=matrix) models of the Grosse–Wulkenhaar type [29]. The situation is more complicated for models with higher order interactions [30, 31]. Recently the numerical exploration of renormalization flows in the tensor theory space [32] has started, using the Wetterich equation [33], in order to discover interesting new phases of continuous random geometries.

Among other popular mathematical tools to analyze renormalization are the Connes–Kreimer Hopf algebra [34] and its Hochschild cohomology [35]. The Connes–Kreimer structure describes in a purely algebraic way the combinatorics of renormalization. Moreover, appropriate Hochschild one-cocycles allow to encode, in a recursive way, the combinatorics of the Dyson–Schwinger equation—the so-called combinatorial Dyson–Schwinger equation (see [36] and references within). Connes–Kreimer-like Hopf algebras have been defined for some renormalizable Moyal [37, 38] and sixth order interaction tensor models [39]. The combinatorial Dyson–Schwinger equation has also been analyzed for Moyal QFT in [38]. For the sake of completeness, let us also mention that, using different perspectives, studies of the Dyson–Schwinger in the tensor model setting have been performed in [14, 40].

In this paper we deepen the analysis of one of the simplest renormalizable tensor field theory, namely the dimension/rank five tensor model with usual propagator $1/(p^2 + m^2)$ and quartic melonic interactions. It is just renormalizable, and has been studied in the melonic approximation, including some numerical analysis, in [41]. We give the power counting of general graphs, recall the self-consistency equations of the melonic sector [41], and check that except in the vacuum sector only melonic graphs diverge. We also check the asymptotic
freedom of the model through a detailed one-loop computation. We then turn on to define the Connes–Kreimer algebra for this model and the Hochschild one-cocycles allowing to write the combinatorial Dyson–Schwinger equations. We show the corresponding diagrams at one- and two-loop levels. Furthermore, Hopf subalgebras are exhibited, again at one- and two-loop levels.

2. The model

2.1. The Bare model

Consider a pair of conjugate rank-5 tensor fields

\[ T_n, \bar{T}_n, \text{ with } n = \{n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5\} \in \mathbb{Z}^5, \quad \bar{n} = \{\bar{n}_1, \bar{n}_2, \bar{n}_3, \bar{n}_4, \bar{n}_5\} \in \mathbb{Z}^5. \quad (1) \]

They belong respectively to the tensor product \( H = H_1 \otimes H_2 \otimes H_3 \otimes H_4 \otimes H_5 \) and to its dual, where each \( H_c \) is an independent copy of \( \ell_1(\mathbb{Z}) = L_2(U(1)) \), and the color or strand index \( c \) takes values \( 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \). By Fourier transform the field \( T \) can be considered also as an ordinary complex scalar field \( T(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4, \theta_5) \) on the five-dimensional torus \( T_5 = U(1)^5 \) and \( \bar{T}(\bar{\theta}_1, \bar{\theta}_2, \bar{\theta}_3, \bar{\theta}_4, \bar{\theta}_5) \) is simply its complex conjugate \[23\]. The tensor indices \( n \) can be identified as the momenta associated to the positions \( \theta \), and we therefore also call momentum basis the canonical basis \( e_n = e_{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{n_5} \) of \( H \).

If we restrict the momenta \( n \) to lie in \([−N, N]^5\) rather than in \( \mathbb{Z}^5 \) we have a proper (finite dimensional) tensor model. We consider \( N \) as the ultraviolet cutoff, and we are interested in performing the ultraviolet limit \( N \to \infty \).

We introduce the normalized Gaussian measure

\[ d\mu_{\partial}(T, \bar{T}) = \left( \prod_{n, \bar{n} \in [−N, N]^5} \frac{dT_n d\bar{T}_{\bar{n}}}{2i\pi} \right) \text{Det}(C)^{-1} e^{-\sum_n C^{-1}(n) \bar{T}_n}, \quad (2) \]

where the bare covariance \( C \) is, up to a bare field strength parameter \( Z \), the inverse of the Laplacian on \( T_5 \) with cutoff \( N \) on each momentum plus a bare mass term

\[ C(n, \bar{n}) = \delta_{n, \bar{n}} C(n), \quad C(n) = \frac{1}{Z n^2 + m_b^2}. \quad (3) \]

In such formulas, letters such as \( n, m, p, q, \ldots \) will be used for elements in \([−N, N]^5\), \( n^2 = \sum n_i^2 \) and \( m_b^2 \) is the square of the bare mass. Figure 1 gives a graphical representation of the propagator of this theory.

We adopt the color notations of \[43\]. \( Tr, \| \) and \( \langle \rangle \) mean the trace, the identity and the scalar product on \( H \). \( \| \) is the identity on \( H_c \), \( Tr_c \) is the trace on \( H_c \) and \( \langle \rangle_c \) the scalar product restricted to \( H_c \). The notation \( \hat{c} \) means ‘every color except \( c \’. For instance \( H_{\hat{c}} \) means

![Figure 1](image-url). The strands represent the five different colors and the box stands for the propagator \( C \) that links them.
is the identity on the tensor product $\mathcal{H}_c$. $\langle \cdot \rangle_c$ is the partial trace restricted to $\mathcal{H}_c$. $T$ and $\bar{T}$ can be considered both as vectors in $\mathcal{H}$ or as diagonal (in the momentum basis) operators acting on $\mathcal{H}$, with eigenvalues $T_n$ and $\bar{T}_n$. An important quantity in melonic tensor models is the partial trace $TT^\dagger$, which we can also identify with the partial product $T \cdot \bar{T}^\dagger$. It is a (in general non-diagonal) operator in $c\mathbb{O}$ with matrix elements in the momentum basis

$$
\langle T, \bar{T}_c \rangle_c(n_c, \bar{n}_c) = \langle \langle \text{Tr}_c TT^\dagger \rangle \rangle_c(n_c, \bar{n}_c) = \sum_{n_c, \bar{n}_c, n'_c, \bar{n}'_c} \prod_{c' \in e} \delta_{n_c n'_c} T_n T_{\bar{n}_c}^\dagger. \quad (4)
$$

The main new feature of tensor models compared to ordinary field theories is the non-local form of their interaction, which is chosen invariant under the action of $U(N)^\otimes 5$. In this paper we consider only the quartic melonic interaction, which is $\frac{\lambda_b}{2} \sum_n V_c(T, \bar{T})$, where $g_b$ is the bare coupling constant and

$$
V_c(T, \bar{T}) = \text{Tr}[\langle \text{Tr}_c TT^\dagger \rangle^2] = \sum_{n_c, \bar{n}_c, n'_c, \bar{n}'_c} \left( T_n T_{\bar{n}_c}^\dagger \prod_{c' \in e} \delta_{n_c n'_c} \delta_{n_m n'_m} \right) \delta_{n_m n'_m} \delta_{n_c n'_c} \left( T_m T_n \prod_{c' \in e} \delta_{m_m m'_m} \right)
$$

for $c = 1, \ldots, 5$ are the five quartic melonic interactions of random tensors at rank five [43] (see figure 2).

The generating function for the moments of the model is then

$$
Z(g, J, J) = \frac{1}{Z} \int \mathcal{D}[J, J] e^{\langle J, T \rangle + \langle J, \bar{T} \rangle} e^{-\frac{g_b}{2} \sum_n V_c(T, \bar{T})} d\mu_c(T, \bar{T}). \quad (6)
$$

where $Z = Z(g, J, J)|_{J=\bar{J}=0}$ is the normalization and the source tensors $J$ and $\bar{J}$ are dual respectively to $\bar{T}$ and $T$. The main problem in quantum field theory is to compute $W(g, J, \bar{J}) = \log Z(g, J, \bar{J})$ which is the generating function for the connected Schwinger functions

$$
S_{2k}(\bar{n}_1, \ldots, \bar{n}_k; n_1, \ldots, n_k) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{J}_{\bar{n}_1}} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{J}_{\bar{n}_k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial J_{n_1}} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial J_{n_k}} W(g, J, \bar{J})|_{J=\bar{J}=0}. \quad (7)
$$

This model is globally symmetric under color permutations and is just renormalizable like ordinary $\phi^4_4$. However the structure of ultraviolet divergencies is simpler, as shown in the next subsection.
2.2. Divergent graphs

A way to determine the divergent degree \( \omega(G) = -2L(G) + F(G) \) of a graph \( G \), where \( L(G) \) and \( F(G) \) are respectively the number edges and faces of \( G \), is by computing the number of its faces. This quantity is given by

\[
F = V + 4 - 2E - \frac{1}{12} \left( \sum_{\mathcal{J}} g_{\mathcal{J}} - \sum_{\partial \mathcal{J}} g_{\partial \mathcal{J}} \right) - \left( C_{\partial G} - 1 \right),
\]

where \( V \) and \( E \) are respectively the number of vertices and the number of external legs of \( G \). The sum is performed on all jackets \( \mathcal{J} \) of \( G \), \( g_{\mathcal{J}} \) is the genus of the pinched jacket \( \mathcal{J} \), \( g_{\partial \mathcal{J}} \) is the genus of the jacket \( \partial \mathcal{J} \) and \( C_{\partial G} \) is the number of connected components of the boundary graph \( \partial G \). For precise definitions of all these notions and a proof of (8), we refer the interested reader to [23, 39, 41].

After substituting the combinatorial relation \( 2L + E = 4V \), the divergent degree of \( G \) can be written as

\[
\omega(G) = 4 - E - \left( C_{\partial G} - 1 \right) - \frac{1}{12} \left( \sum_{\mathcal{J}} g_{\mathcal{J}} - \sum_{\partial \mathcal{J}} g_{\partial \mathcal{J}} \right).
\]

We call 1PI graphs \( G \) with \( \omega(G) \geq 0 \) superficially divergent, and write \( G_{sd} = \{ G : \omega(G) \geq 0 \} \) for the set of superficially divergent 1PI Feynman graphs.

In the following table, we give a list of superficially divergent graphs, all over cases are finite as \( N \to \infty \).

3. Renormalization and \( \beta \) function

The theory is perturbatively just renormalizable and asymptotically free [41]. However divergent subgraphs have a simpler structure than in the ordinary \( \phi^4_4 \), in the Grosse–Wulkenhaar model, or in its translation-invariant renormalizable version.
Melonic graphs with zero, two and four external legs are divergent, respectively as $N^5$, $N^2$ and $\log N$. They are obtained respectively from the fundamental melonic graphs of figure 3, by recursively inserting the fundamental 2-point melon on any dotted line, or, in the case of the four point function, also replacing any vertex by the fundamental 4-point melon so as to create a ‘melonic chain’ of arbitrary length (see figure 4 for a chain of length 2), in which all vertices must be of the same color (otherwise the graph is not divergent).

Beyond melonic approximation there is only one simple infinite family of non-melonic graphs which are divergent. They are vacuum graphs divergent either as $N^2$ or as $\log N$. They are made of a ‘necklace chain’ of arbitrary length $p \geq 1$, decorated with arbitrary melonic insertions. Two such necklace chains, of length 1 and 4, are pictured in figure 5. If all couplings along the chains have same color the divergence is quadratic, in $N^2$. If some couplings are different, the divergence is logarithmic, in $\log N$.

In the rest of this paper we shall no longer further consider the vacuum graphs, as they are not physically observable.

3.1. Melonic sector

Let us call $G_{EE}^{\text{mel}}$ and $\Gamma_{EE}^{\text{mel}}$ respectively the connected and one-particle irreducible melonic functions (i.e. sum over the melonic Feynman amplitudes) of the theory with $E$ external fields. The bare melonic two point function $G_{2,b}^{\text{mel}}(n, \bar{n}) = \delta(n, \bar{n})G_{2,b}^{\text{mel}}(n)$ is related to the bare melonic self-energy $\Gamma_{2,b}^{\text{mel}}(n, \bar{n}) = \delta(n, \bar{n})\Gamma_{2,b}^{\text{mel}}(n)$ by the usual equation

\[ G_{2,b}^{\text{mel}}(n) = C_b(n) \frac{1}{1 - C_b(n)\Gamma_{2,b}^{\text{mel}}(n)}. \]  

(10)

$\Gamma_{2,b}^{\text{mel}}(n)$ is the sum over colors $c$ of a unique function $\Gamma_{2,b}^{\text{mel}}(n_c)$ of the single integer $n_c$:

\[ \Gamma_{2,b}^{\text{mel}}(n) = \sum_c \Gamma_{2,b}^{\text{mel}}(n_c). \]  

(11)

$\Gamma_{2,b}^{\text{mel}}$ is uniquely defined by (10)–(11) and the closed equation

\[ \Gamma_{2,b}^{\text{mel}}(n_c) = -2g_b \sum_p \delta(p_c - n_c)G_{2,b}^{\text{mel}}(p). \]  

(12)

Indeed the combinatoric coefficient for the single loop two-point melonic graph in the center of figure 3 is 1 since it has a single vertex with weight $-g_b$, and two Wick contractions, as we have to choose which of the two melonic pairs of the single vertex is contracted together. This leads to the factor $-2g_b$ in (12).
Similarly the bare melonic four point vertex function \( \Gamma_{4,b}^{\text{mel}}(n, \bar{n}, m, \bar{m}) \) is the sum over colors \( c \) of contributions defined through a unique matrix \( \Gamma^{\text{mel}}_{n,m}(n_c, m_c) \) which corresponds to the melonic invariant \( V_c \):

\[
\Gamma^{\text{mel}}_{4,b}(n, \bar{n}, m, \bar{m}) = - g \sum_{c} \delta(n_c, \bar{n_c}) \delta(m_c, \bar{m_c}) \delta(n_c, \bar{n_c}) \delta(m_c, \bar{m_c}) \Gamma^{\text{mel}}_{4,b}(n_c, m_c). \tag{13}
\]

\( \Gamma^{\text{mel}}_{4,b} \) is uniquely defined by (13) and the closed equation

\[
\Gamma^{\text{mel}}_{4,b}(n_c, m_c) = 1 - 2g_b \sum_{p,q} \delta(p_c - n_c) G^{\text{mel}}_{2,b}(p) \delta(q_c - m_c) G^{\text{mel}}_{2,b}(q) \Gamma^{\text{mel}}_{4,b}(n_c, m_c), \tag{14}
\]

which solves to

\[
\Gamma^{\text{mel}}_{4,b}(n_c, m_c) = \frac{1}{1 + 2g_b \sum_{p,q} \delta(p_c - n_c) G^{\text{mel}}_{2,b}(p) \delta(q_c - m_c) G^{\text{mel}}_{2,b}(q)}. \tag{15}
\]

Indeed, this closed equation expresses the sum over a chain of arbitrary length generalizing the single loop melonic four point graph in the right of figure 3, which is the solution of expanding the denominator in (15) as a geometric series. This chain is decorated with ‘cactus’ melonic insertions on each dotted propagator, which come from expanding the \( G^{\text{mel}}_{2,b} \) functions in (15) according to (10). It remains to check the overall combinatoric coefficient 1 in front of \( g_b \) in (14) and (15). This coefficient can be checked by the following reasoning: adding a vertex at the end of a chain of length \( n \) creates a chain of length \( n + 1 \); the new vertex has two melonic pairs and a factor \(-g_b\), and there are again two Wick contractions corresponding to the choice of the melonic pair \((T, \bar{T})\) which contracts to the chain.

At fixed cutoff \( N \) these equations define \( G^{\text{mel}}_{2,b}, G^{\text{mel}}_{2,b} \) and \( \Gamma^{\text{mel}}_{4,b} \) (hence also \( G^{\text{mel}}_{4,b} \)) at least as analytic functions for \( g_b \) sufficiently small, because the number of melonic graphs is exponentially bounded as the number of vertices increases. However, this does not allow us to take the limit as \( N \to \infty \) since the radius of convergence shrinks to zero in this limit: we need to renormalize.

### 3.2. Perturbative renormalization

The renormalization is given in terms of a melonic-BPHZ scheme which is given by BPHZ-like normalization conditions at zero external momenta, but restricted to the divergent sector, namely melonic graphs.\(^9\)

The standard renormalization procedure expresses \( \Gamma^{\text{mel}}_{2,b} \) in terms of renormalized quantities through a Taylor expansion

\[
\Gamma^{\text{mel}}_{2,b}(n) = \Gamma^{\text{mel}}_{2,b}(0) + n^2 \frac{\partial \Gamma^{\text{mel}}_{2,b}}{\partial n^2} \bigg|_{n=0} + \Gamma^{\text{mel},r}_{2,b}(n) = (Z - 1)n^2 + Zm_b^2 - m_c^2 + \Gamma^{\text{mel},r}_{2,b}(n), \tag{16}
\]

\(\text{This prescription can be described in even simpler terms in the intermediate field representation (see e.g.} \[26\]\) where melonic graphs become simple trees.

\(\text{See [42] for a more detailed study of the combinatoric coefficients of melonic functions with arbitrarily many external legs in this type of quartic model.}\)

\(\text{The BPHZ prescription in standard renormalizable QFT imposes conditions on the full 1PI functions of the theory, not just their melonic part. This is because all 1PI graphs diverge in standard field theory. In this tensorial theory since non-melonic graphs are convergent the full BPHZ prescription is not minimal, and differs from the melonic BPHZ prescription only by unnecessary finite renormalizations.}\)
with
\[ m_b^2 = \frac{m_r^2 + \Gamma_{2,b}^{\text{mel}}(0)}{Z}, \quad Z = 1 + \frac{\partial \Gamma_{2,b}^{\text{mel}}}{\partial m^2} \bigg|_{n=0}. \] (17)

We have the usual renormalization conditions on the self-energy [41]:
\[ \Gamma_{2,b}^{\text{mel},r}(0) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \Gamma_{2,b}^{\text{mel},r}}{\partial m^2} \bigg|_{n=0} = 0. \] (18)

\( Z \) is the (bare) wave function normalization, which can be exchanged for rescaling the bare fields as \( T \to Z^{-1/2}T \), \( T \to Z^{-1/2}T \). The melonic two-point function is therefore
\[ G_{2,b}^{\text{mel}}(n) = \frac{1}{Z\left(n^2 + m_b^2\right) - (Z - 1)n^2 - Zm_b^2 + m_r^2 - \Gamma_{2,b}^{\text{mel},r}(n)} = \frac{1}{n^2 + m_r^2 - \Gamma_{2,b}^{\text{mel},r}(n)}. \] (19)

The renormalized coupling \( g_r \) is then defined through the usual renormalization condition at zero momenta applied to any of the five terms of the color sum for \( \Gamma_{2,b}^{\text{mel}}(0, 0, 0, 0) \) in (13). Hence
\[ g_r = g_b \Gamma_{4,b}^{\text{mel}}(0, 0). \] (20)

### 3.3. One loop \( \beta \) function

In quartic models of this type the bare wave-function renormalization \( Z \) can be absorbed into rescaling bare fields as \( T \to Z^{-1/2}T \), \( T \to Z^{-1/2}T \) so that the acton in terms of the rescaled fields has rescaled bare coupling \( g_b \to Z^{-2}g_b \). At fixed renormalized coupling, we would like to identify how this rescaled bare coupling flows with the cutoff \( N \). The relationship at one loop is
\[ Z^{-2}g_b = g_r + g_r^2 \left[ \beta_2 \log N + \text{finite} \right] + O\left(g_r^3\right), \] (21)

where \( \beta_2 \) is the one-loop coefficient of the beta function. As well-known \( \beta_2 > 0 \) corresponds to an exploding flow in the ultraviolet (‘Landau ghost’) but \( \beta_2 < 0 \) corresponds to the nice physical situation of asymptotic freedom: the (rescaled) bare coupling flows to zero as \( N \to \infty \), so that the (rescaled) theory becomes closer and closer to a Gaussian (free) QFT in the ultraviolet regime. Remembering (20), we can also re-express (21) as
\[ Z^{-2}g_b = g_r + g_r^2 \left[ \beta_2 \log N + \text{finite} \right] + O\left(g_r^3\right). \] (22)

Hence to compute \( \beta_2 \) we can expand \( \Gamma_{4,b}^{\text{mel}}(0, 0, 0) \) and \( Z - 1 \) at first order, neglecting the \( O(g_r^2) \) contributions. Using the equations (13), (15) and (19), we have
\[ \Gamma_{4,b}^{\text{mel}}(0, 0) = 1 - 2g_b \sum_{p \in [-N,N] \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{p^2 + m_0^2} + O\left(g_r^2\right). \] (23)

From the second equation in (17) joined to equations (11), (12) and (19) we have
\[ Z = 1 + \frac{\partial \Gamma_{2,b}^{\text{mel}}}{\partial m^2} \bigg|_{n=0} = 1 + 2g_b \sum_{p \in [-N,N] \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{p^2 + m_0^2} + O\left(g_r^2\right). \] (24)

Indeed there are two minus signs which compensate, one in front of \( g_b \) in (12) and the other coming from the mass soustraction (or equivalently, derivation of a denominator):
The following identity computes the exact coefficient of the logarithmic divergence in $N$ of the momentum sum $p_{m1}$.

\[
\sum_{p \in [-N,N]^d} \frac{1}{p^2 + m_i^2} = 2\pi^2 \log N + f(N).
\] (26)

with $f(N)$ bounded as $N \to \infty$. This identity is proved in the appendix.

Combining (23)–(26) we get

\[
Z^2 \Gamma^{\text{mel}}_\text{4b}(0,0) = 1 + 2g_0 \left[ 2\pi^2 \log N + f(N) \right] + O\left( g_0^2 \right).
\] (27)

Hence comparing with (22), with this normalization convention

\[
\beta_{\text{one loop}} = \beta_2 = -4\pi^2 < 0,
\] (28)

which means that the theory is asymptotically free, as expected for such a quartic melonic renormalizable model, in agreement with [26, 28, 33, 41].

### 4. Algebraic properties of the combinatorics of renormalization

#### 4.1. Hopf algebra

Let us first recall, from [39], the notion of a residue of a tensor graph. A residue $\text{Res}(\bar{G})$ of a tensor 1PI Feynman graph of our model is obtained by contracting all internal edges of $\bar{G}$ to a point.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the vector space freely generated by the 1PI Feynman tensor graphs of our model (including the empty graph, which we denote by $I_H$). We endow this vector space with a product given by the disjoint union and with a unit map $\eta : \mathbb{K} \to \mathcal{H}$ defined by $\eta(1) = I_H$ and zero elsewhere, where we have denoted by $\mathbb{K}$ a field such as $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. We further endow $\mathcal{H}$ with the coproduct $\Delta : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ given by

\[
\Delta(\bar{G}) = \bar{G} \otimes I_H + I_H \otimes \bar{G} + \sum_\gamma \bar{G} \otimes \bar{G}/\gamma,
\] (29)

where the sum is taken on disjoint unions of superficially divergent subgraphs of $\bar{G}$.

Furthermore, we define $\Delta'$ to be the non-trivial part of the coproduct:

\[
\Delta'(\bar{G}) = \bar{G} \otimes I_H + I_H \otimes \bar{G} + \Delta'(\bar{G}).
\]

Note that $\mathcal{H}$ is graded by the loop number. One can then prove that the coproduct above is coassociative. This follows [39]—one needs to check that the set of 1PI Feynman graphs of the model (see table 1) is closed under tensor subgraph contraction and insertion.
The counit $\epsilon : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{K}$ is defined by: $\epsilon (1_H) = 1$ and $\epsilon (\mathcal{G}) = 0$ for all $\mathcal{G} \neq 1_H$. Defining now the antipode in the usual way one concludes that $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta)$ is a Hopf algebra, which is actually the Connes–Kreimer Hopf algebra describing the combinatorics of the renormalization of our five-dimensional quartic tensor models (see again [39] for details).

4.2. Combinatorial Dyson–Schwinger equation and Hochschild cohomology

In this subsection, we show that a primitively divergent graph $\gamma$ can be used to define a Hochschild $1-$ cocycle $B_\gamma$, where the operator $B_\gamma$ is given by the operation of insertion of tensor graphs into $\gamma$. We then use these operators to encode, in a recursive way, the combinatorics of the Dyson–Schwinger equation.

We denote by $|\mathcal{G}|$ the number of loops of $\mathcal{G}$. We then define the operators $B_\gamma : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ by

$$B_\gamma (X) := \sum_{\mathcal{G}} \frac{\text{bij}(\gamma, X, \mathcal{G})}{|X|} \frac{1}{\text{maxf} (\mathcal{G})} \frac{1}{|\gamma|} \mathcal{G}, \quad B_\gamma^{k,r} = \sum_{|\gamma|=k, \text{res}(\gamma)=r} B_\gamma,$$

where $\text{maxf} (\mathcal{G})$ is the number of ways to shrink subdivergencies such that the resulting graph is primitive and $|X|$ is the number of graphs which are equal upon removal of external edges. The quantity $\text{bij}(\gamma, X, \mathcal{G})$ is the number of bijections between the external edges of $X$ and adjacent edges of places in $\gamma$ such that $\mathcal{G}$ is obtained. Finally, the notation $|\gamma|X$ stands for the number of insertion places of the graph $X$ in $\gamma$.

Note that the formal definition of the $B_\gamma$ operators is identical to the commutative or the Moyal non-commutative case. Nevertheless the category of graphs considered is different because of the more involved combinatorics of the tensor model.

We further set

$$B_\gamma (1_H) = \gamma,$$

and

$$h_\gamma := \sum_{|\mathcal{G}|=k, \text{res}(\mathcal{G})=r} \mathcal{G}$$

where the sum runs on the graphs of a given loop number and residue. Finally, let $M_\gamma$ be the set of graphs such that $\text{res}(\mathcal{G}) = r$.

In commutative or Moyal non-commutative scalar QFT, (see [35] or respectively [38]) for any Feynman graph $X_{k,r}$ with $k$ loops and residue $r$, one has the following identities:

$$\mathcal{G}^r \equiv 1 + \sum_{\mathcal{G} \in M_r} \mathcal{G} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_\gamma^{k,r} (X_{k,r}),$$

$$\Delta (B_\gamma^{k,r} (X_{k,r})) = B_\gamma^{k,r} (X_{k,r}) \otimes \mathbb{I} + (\text{id}_H \otimes B_\gamma^{k-1,r}) \Delta (X_{k,r}),$$

$$\Delta (h_\gamma) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \text{Pol}^j (c) \otimes h_\gamma^{k-j},$$

where $\text{Pol}^j (c)$ is a polynomial in the variables $h_\gamma^m$ of total degree $j$.

Let us now mention the meaning of each of these three identities. The first one encodes, in a recursive way, the combinatorics of the Dyson–Schwinger equation—the so-called combinatorial Dyson–Schwinger equation. The second one points out the fact that the operator $B_\gamma$ is, from a purely algebraic point of view, a Hochschild cocycle of the Hopf algebra of the previous subsection. Finally, the last equation shows that one can define Hopf subalgebras at any loop order.
In the rest of this subsection, we will explicitly check under what conditions these algebraic identities hold at the one- and two-loop level, in the case of our five-dimensional quartic tensor model. Note that we do not check them at all loops, but they follow from general algebraic reasoning [35, 36].

We set for simplicity, and .

• **One-loop analysis:** We have

\[ B_+^{\text{I}} = \sum_c B_+^{\text{I},c} \quad \text{and} \quad B_+^{\text{II}} = \sum_c B_+^{\text{II},c}, \tag{36} \]

where the sum \( \sum_c \) runs over all five colors, and by one means the quartic vertex \( V_c \) with special thread of color \( c \) (see figure 2 for the case \( c = 1 \)). Respectively by one means . One then has:

\[ h_1 = B_+^{\text{I},1}(1_H) = \sum_c \]

and

\[ h_1 = B_+^{\text{II},1}(1_H) = \sum_c \] \tag{38} 

• **Two-loop analysis:** As above, one computes

\[ h_2 = \sum_{c,c'} \] \tag{39} 

where the sum over \( c \) and \( c' \) now corresponds to two different types of stranded diagrams. If \( c = c' \) we get . If \( c \neq c' \), we get a different diagram namely . Both types of graphs are quadratically divergent, since they have both eight
inner closed faces and three propagators. Remark however that they do not have the same amplitude: the coefficients in front of the quadratic divergence are different.

The relation in (39) coincides with the diagrammatic expression obtained directly from definition (32). Let us now apply $\Delta$ on each of the graphs in the RHS of (39). For each of these tensor graphs, the non-trivial part of the coproduct writes:

\[
\Delta'(c) = c' \otimes c,
\]

(40)

when \((c = c')\) and

\[
\Delta'(c) = c \otimes c + c \otimes c,
\]

(41)

else. As example, we have:

\[
\Delta'(c) = \otimes + \otimes.
\]

(42)

Adding up all these contributions, we obtain

\[
\Delta'(h_2) = (h_1 + h_1) \otimes h_1,
\]

(43)

which thus checks relation (35) at two-loop level.

For the four-point function, we have

\[
h_2 = \sum_c c' + 2 \sum_{c,c'} c c' ,
\]

(44)

where \(c = c'\) or \(c \neq c'\).

Once again, we apply the coproduct on each of these tensor graphs and we obtain for the non-trivial part
Putting all this together leads to

\[ \Delta'(h_2) = (2h_1 + 2h_1) \otimes h_1. \]  

(47)

As was the case for (43), equation (47) above illustrates identity (35) at two-loop level.

In addition, each of these graphs is in the image of appropriate Hochschild one-cocycles. Indeed, one has

\[ B_+ \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{c} \\ \text{c} \end{array} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{c} \\ \text{c} \end{array} \right), \text{ i.e } B_+ \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{c} \\ \text{c} \end{array} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{c} \\ \text{c} \end{array} \right), \text{ and so on.} \]  

(48)

Note that the combinatorial factors $\frac{1}{2}$ come from the definition (30).

One can check that putting all these expressions together does not give the two-loop quantum corrections of the propagator.

Nevertheless, this issue is fixed by taking into consideration the equivalent, in the tensorial setting, of the so-called planar irregular sector of Moyal quantum field theory (see [38]). In our current setting, the corresponding graphs have external legs breaking faces of different colors. We call them the two-color-breaking sectors. The action of the $B_+$ operator on these graphs is given by:

\[ B_+ \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{c} \\ \text{c} \end{array} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{c} \\ \text{c} \end{array} \right), \]  

(49)

with $c = c'$ i.e explicitly showing strand structure

\[ B_+ \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{c} \\ \text{c} \end{array} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{c} \\ \text{c} \end{array} \right), \quad B_+ \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{c} \\ \text{c} \end{array} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{c} \\ \text{c} \end{array} \right), \text{ and so on.} \]  

(50)

Adding the diagrams in (49) to the ones in (48) (with the appropriate combinatorial factors) leads to identity (33), at two-loop level.
Finally, let us also check identity (34) at two-loop level:

\[
\Delta(B_+^{1+}) = \sum_c \sum_e \Delta(c) \wedge \Delta(e) + \sum_c \sum_e \Delta(c) \wedge \Delta(e) \otimes 1_H
\]

\[
= B_+^{1+} \left( \sum_c \sum_e \Delta(c) \wedge \Delta(e) \right) \otimes 1_H
\]

\[
+ (\text{id} \otimes B_+^{1+}) \Delta(\sum_c \sum_e \Delta(c) \wedge \Delta(e)).
\]

We calculate the LHS of the relation above. One has:

\[
\Delta(B_+^{1+}) = \Delta(\sum_c B_+\sum_e c) = \Delta(\sum_c B_+c)
\]

and

\[
\Delta((B_+\sum_e c) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta(c)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \Delta(c) \otimes 1_H + \frac{1}{2} \Delta(c) \otimes 1_H
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2} \Delta(c) \otimes 1_H + \frac{1}{2} \Delta(c) \otimes 1_H
\]

The expression in (53) helps to compute (52). Analogous expressions are computed for the other tensor Feynman graphs of equation (51).

In order to compute now the RHS of (51), we have

\[
B_+^{1+} \otimes 1_H = \sum_c B_+ \sum_e c \otimes 1_H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_c c \otimes 1_H.
\]
One further has:

\[
(id \otimes B_{+}^{1}) \Delta(\begin{array}{c}
\text{c}
\end{array}) = (id \otimes B_{+}^{1})(\begin{array}{c}
\text{c} \otimes 1_{H} + 1_{H} \otimes 
\end{array})
\]

\[
= \begin{array}{c}
\text{c} \otimes \sum_{c'} \text{c'} + 1_{H} \otimes \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{c'} \text{c'}\right)
\end{array}.
\]

(55)

Summing all the contributions of type (54) and (55), we obtain the RHS of (51). Nevertheless, one checks that this is not equal to the LHS. Once again, the two-color-breaking sector saves the day. Let us compute the LHS of (51) for this two-breaking face sector. One has for \(c = c'\):

\[
\Delta(B_{+}^{1} \begin{array}{c}
\text{c} \end{array}) = \Delta((\sum_{c} B_{+}^{1}) \begin{array}{c}
\text{c}
\end{array}) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta(\begin{array}{c}
\text{c}
\end{array})
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \begin{array}{c}
\text{c} \otimes 1_{H} + \frac{1}{2} 1_{H} \otimes 
\end{array} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{array}{c}
\text{c} \otimes \text{c'}
\end{array}
\]

(56)

Let us now compute the RHS of (51) for the two-color-breaking sector. One has

\[
B_{+}^{1} \begin{array}{c}
\text{c} \end{array} \otimes 1_{H} = (\sum_{c} B_{+}^{1} \begin{array}{c}
\text{c}
\end{array}) \otimes 1_{H}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \begin{array}{c}
\text{c} \otimes 1_{H}
\end{array}
\]

(57)
In a similar way, one can compute the corresponding contributions of all such two-color-breaking Feynman graphs. Adding all such contributions, identity (34) holds!

4.3. The case of sixth order interaction tensor model

In this subsection, we show that the algebraic results of the previous subsection do not hold for sixth order interaction QFT models. We focus here on the tensor model introduced in [23]. In this (renormalizable) model one has both sixth order and fourth order interactions. Thus one has superficially divergent graphs such as

\[
\mathcal{G} = \text{divergent graph}.
\]

A subdivergence of this graph is given by

\[
\gamma = \text{subdivergent graph}.
\]

The contraction of \( \gamma \) in \( \mathcal{G} \) gives

\[
\mathcal{G}/\gamma = \text{contracted graph}.
\]

Nevertheless, the tensor graph \( \mathcal{G}/\gamma \) is not superficially divergent, in the bare theory. Since the tensor graph \( \mathcal{G} \) above belongs to \( h_2 \) and the graph (59) does not belong to \( h_2 \), one can obviously not obtain identities of type (35). The same type of problem appears for identities (33) and (34) illustrated in the previous subsection.

Finally, let us emphasize here that this is not related in any way to the tensor character of the models discussed in this paper—the exact same behavior appears in the case of a standard...
\( \phi^0 \) theory where the one-loop correction to the \( \phi^4 \) term is not superficially divergent in the bare theory.
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**Appendix. Proof of identity (26)**

In the parametric representation

\[
\frac{1}{(p^2 + m^2)^2} = \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha(p^2 + m^2)} \alpha d\alpha. \tag{60}
\]

Commuting the sum and integral we obtain

\[
I = \sum_{p \in [-N,N]^d} \frac{1}{(p^2 + m^2)^2},
\]

\[
= \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha m^2} \alpha d\alpha \sum_{p \in [-N,N]^d} e^{-\alpha p^2},
\]

\[
= \int_0^\infty \alpha d\alpha e^{-\alpha m^2} \left[ \sum_{p=-N}^N e^{-\alpha p^2} \right]^4. \tag{61}
\]

Let us write

\[
I = I_1 + I_2 + I_3,
\]

\[
I_1 = \int_0^{N-2} \alpha d\alpha e^{-\alpha m^2} \left[ \sum_{p=-N}^N e^{-\alpha p^2} \right]^4,
\]

\[
I_2 = \int_{N-2}^1 \alpha d\alpha e^{-\alpha m^2} \left[ \sum_{p=-N}^N e^{-\alpha p^2} \right]^4,
\]

\[
I_3 = \int_1^\infty \alpha d\alpha e^{-\alpha m^2} \left[ \sum_{p=-N}^N e^{-\alpha p^2} \right]^4. \tag{62}
\]

The first term \( I_1 \) is bounded as \( N \to \infty \). Indeed

\[
I_1 \leq (2N + 1)^4 \int_0^{N-2} \alpha d\alpha e^{-\alpha m^2} \leq (2N + 1)^4 \sum_{p=-N}^N e^{-\alpha p^2} \leq (2N + 1)^4 \frac{N^{-4}}{2} \tag{63}
\]

is bounded as \( N \to \infty \).

The function \( e^{-\alpha p^2} \) is decreasing and continuous on \([0, +\infty[\). Therefore

\[
\int_0^{N+1} e^{-\alpha t} dt \leq \sum_{p=0}^N e^{-\alpha p^2} \leq 1 + \int_0^N e^{-\alpha t} dt, \tag{64}
\]
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hence
\[
-1 + \int_{-N}^{N+1} e^{-at^2} dt \leq \sum_{p=-N}^{N} e^{-ap^2} \leq 1 + \int_{-N}^{N} e^{-at^2} dt \leq 1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-at^2} dt = 1 + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{\alpha}}, \tag{65}
\]

The third term \(I_3\) is therefore also bounded as \(N \to \infty\). Indeed,
\[
I_3 \leq \int_{1}^{\infty} \alpha \delta e^{-\alpha \beta^2} \left[ 1 + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \right] \leq (1 + \sqrt{\pi})^4 \int_{1}^{\infty} \alpha \delta e^{-\alpha \beta^2}, \tag{66}
\]
which is a convergent integral for \(m_2^2 > 0\).

We now concentrate on \(I_2\). We need to compute exactly the coefficient of the log divergent part as \(N \to \infty\). First we have from (65)
\[
I_2 \leq \int_{N^{-2}}^{1} \alpha \delta e^{-\alpha \beta^2} \left( 1 + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \right) \leq \int_{N^{-2}}^{1} \alpha \delta \left( 1 + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \right)^4 \]
\[
= \pi^2 \int_{N^{-2}}^{1} \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} \left( 1 + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \right)^4 = 2\pi^2 \log N + f_1(N), \tag{67}
\]
where \(f_1(N)\) is bounded as \(N \to \infty\). Indeed for \(1 \leq q \leq 4\) we have that
\[
\pi^2 \int_{N^{-2}}^{1} \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} e^{-\alpha \beta^2} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\pi}} \leq \pi^2 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d\alpha}{\sqrt{\alpha}}. \tag{68}
\]

Let us remark that
\[
\int_{-N-1}^{N+1} e^{-at^2} dt = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \left[ 1 - \text{Erfc} \left( \sqrt{\alpha} (N + 1) \right) \right], \tag{69}
\]
where \(\text{Erfc}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} dt\) is the complementary error function. Then, again from (65)
\[
I_2 \geq \pi^2 \int_{N^{-2}}^{1} \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} e^{-\alpha \beta^2} \left[ 1 - \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\pi}} - \text{Erfc} \left( \sqrt{\alpha} (N + 1) \right) \right]^4. \tag{70}
\]
But we have, again for \(1 \leq q \leq 4\),
\[
\pi^2 \int_{N^{-2}}^{1} \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} e^{-\alpha \beta^2} \left[ \text{Erfc} \left( \sqrt{\alpha} (N + 1) \right) \right]^4 \leq \pi^2 \int_{N^{-2}}^{1} \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} e^{-\alpha \beta^2} \]
\[
= \pi^2 \int_{1}^{N^{-2}} \frac{du}{u} e^{-u} \leq \pi^2 \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{du}{u} e^{-u}, \tag{71}
\]
where we used the classic bound \(\text{Erfc}(x) \leq e^{-x^2}\) and changed of variables, putting \(u = \alpha N^2\). This last integral is convergent as \(N \to \infty\).

Therefore expanding \([1 - \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{\alpha}} - \text{Erfc} \left( \sqrt{\alpha} (N + 1) \right)]^4\) and bounding all terms except the first in the manner of (68) and (71) we have shown that
\[
I_2 \geq \pi^2 \int_{N^{-2}}^{1} \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} e^{-\alpha \beta^2} - f_2(N), \tag{72}
\]
where \(f_2(N)\) is bounded as \(N \to \infty\).
Finally, since $e^{-\alpha m_i^2} \geq 1 - m_i^2 \alpha$, we have

$$\pi^2 \int_{N^{-1}}^{1} \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} e^{-\alpha m_i^2} \geq 2\pi^2 \log N - f_2(N)$$

(73)

where $f_2(N)$ is bounded as $N \to \infty$. Hence $f_2 = 2\pi^2 \log N + f_3(N)$ with $f_3(N)$ bounded as $N \to \infty$, and $I = 2\pi^2 \log N + f(N)$ with $f(N)$ bounded as $N \to \infty$. This completes the proof of identity (26).
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