Immune adjuvant therapy using Bacillus Calmette–Guérin cell wall skeleton (BCG-CWS) in advanced malignancies

A phase 1 study of safety and immunogenicity assessments
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Abstract

The cell wall skeleton of Bacillus Calmette–Guérin (BCG-CWS) is a bioactive component that is a strong immune adjuvant for cancer immunotherapy. BCG-CWS activates the innate immune system through various pattern recognition receptors and is expected to elicit antigen-specific cellular immune responses when co-administered with tumor antigens. To determine the recommended dose (RD) of BCG-CWS based on its safety profile, we conducted a phase I dose-escalation study of BCG-CWS in combination with WT1 peptide for patients with advanced cancer.

The primary endpoint was the proportion of treatment-related adverse events (AEs) at each BCG-CWS dose. The secondary endpoints were immune responses and clinical effects. A BCG-CWS dose of 50, 100, or 200 μg/body was administered intradermally on days 0, 7, 21, and 42, followed by 2 mg of WT1 peptide on the next day. For the escalation of a dose level, 3 + 3 design was used.

Study subjects were 18 patients with advanced WT1-expressing cancers refractory to standard anti-cancer therapies (7 melanoma, 5 colorectal, 4 hepatobiliary, 1 ovarian, and 1 lung). Dose-limiting toxicity occurred in the form of local skin reactions in 2 patients at a dose of 200 μg although no serious treatment-related systemic AEs were observed. Neutrophils and monocytes transiently increased in response to BCG-CWS. Some patients demonstrated the induction of the CD4+ T cell subset and its differentiation from the naïve to memory phenotype, resulting in a tumor response.

The RD of BCG-CWS was determined to be 100 μg/body. This dose was well tolerated and showed promising clinical effects with the induction of an appropriate immune response.

Abbreviations: AE = adverse event, APC = allophycocyanin, APC = antigen presenting cell, BCG = Bacillus Calmette–Guérin, BCG-CWS = bacillus Calmette–Guérin cell wall skeleton, CLR = C-type lectin receptor, CM = central memory, CTCAE = Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events, CTL = cytotoxic T lymphocyte, DC = dendritic cell, DLT = dose-limiting toxicity, DMSO = dimethyl sulfoxide, DTH = delayed-type hypersensitivity, EM = effector memory, FACS = fluorescence-activated cell sorter, FITC = fluorescein isothiocyanate, GMP = good manufacturing practice, HLA = human leukocyte antigen, MTD = maximal tolerated dose, NSCLC = non-small cell lung cancer, OS = overall survival, PB = peripheral blood, PBMC = peripheral blood mononuclear cell, PE = phycoerythrin, RD = recommended dose, RECIST = response evaluation criteria in solid tumor, TAA = tumor-associated antigen, TLR = toll-like receptor, WBC = white blood cell, WT1 = Wilms tumor gene.
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1. Introduction
An immune adjuvant is a substance that accelerates or enhances antigen-specific immune responses by activating innate immunity when co-administered with an antigen given for a vaccination.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^3\) Most current immune adjuvants function as ligands for toll-like receptors (TLRs) and stimulate dendritic cells (DCs), leading to DC maturation and differentiation into antigen presenting cells (APCs).\(^4\) The clinical use of immune adjuvants in cancer immunotherapy has been expected to overcome the immunosuppressive state in the tumor microenvironment, and to effectively induce or enhance pre-existing host anti-tumor immune responses to eradicate cancer cells.\(^5\)

Bacillus Calmette– GUérin (BCG), an attenuated strain of Mycobacterium bovis, has commonly been used worldwide as a prophylactic vaccine against tuberculosis.\(^5\) BCG strongly enhances the non-specific immune response, thus augmenting specific protection, and has beneficial clinical effects. In the 1970s, Azuma et al isolated the bioactive component of BCG from its cell wall, and termed it the BCG cell wall skeleton (BCG-CWS).\(^6\)\(^-\)\(^9\) BCG-CWS has a basic structure composed of mycolic acid, arabinogalactan, and peptidoglycan, all of which are ligands for TLR2 and TLR4.\(^7\)\(^-\)\(^8\) Furthermore, BCG-CWS also contains trehalose dimycolate and mannose-capped lipoarabinomannan, which are recognized by C-type lectin receptors (CLRs) such as Mincle (macrophage inducible C-type lectin) and dectin-2.\(^9\)\(^-\)\(^10\) The recognition of BCG-CWS by pattern recognition receptors such as TLRs and CLRs causes differentiation of DCs into master APCs and activates them to produce cytokines and proteins that can induce inflammation and an adaptive cellular immune response.\(^7\)\(^-\)\(^9\)\(^-\)\(^13\) Pre-clinical studies of cancer vaccines using BCG-CWS (1 was a DC vaccine,\(^14\) and the other was a peptide vaccine with a tumor-associated antigen (TAA) peptide\(^15\)) showed that BCG-CWS functioned as an effective immune adjuvant that induced tumor-specific T cells to a sufficient degree to eradicate established tumors in mice. The clinical application of BCG-CWS in cancer immunotherapy was initiated for melanoma, lung cancer, and gastric cancer in the late 1970s.\(^16\)\(^-\)\(^18\) Yamamura et al conducted a clinical study in patients advanced lung cancer, and found that overall survival (OS) in patients treated with BCG-CWS was prolonged compared to a historical control group, although the study used outdated methods and statistical analyses.\(^17\) A recent case-control study analyzed BCG-CWS as maintenance therapy after surgery in patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). The 5-year and 10-year survival rates in the BCG-CWS group were higher than those in the control group, although the difference in OS between the 2 groups was not statistically significant.\(^19\) These results suggest that BCG-CWS may be expected to enhance the potency of cancer immunotherapies, including cancer vaccines and immune checkpoint inhibitors.

Many TAAs have been identified and used as therapeutic cancer vaccines.\(^20\) One of the most promising TAAs is a Wilms’ tumor gene (WT1) product.\(^21\) WT1 was originally isolated as a tumor suppressor gene responsible for Wilms’ tumor.\(^22\) Numerous studies, however, demonstrated that WT1 was expressed at high levels in several kinds of cancers,\(^23\)\(^-\)\(^25\) and that WT1 had oncogenic functions, including inhibition of differentiation, promotion of cell growth, cell death resistance, and tumor angiogenesis.\(^25\)\(^-\)\(^29\) Further research demonstrated the beneficial clinical effects of WT1-targeted immunotherapy against several advanced cancers.\(^25\)\(^-\)\(^30\)\(^-\)\(^34\)\(^-\)\(^38\)\(^-\)\(^40\)

No clinical studies thus far have administered BCG-CWS as an immune adjuvant together with any TAA-specific peptides or other cancer antigens. In addition, no definitive studies have evaluated the effects of BCG-CWS on the time course of innate or adaptive immune cells, including immunological phenotypes in CD4\(^+\) or CD8\(^+\) T cell subsets. The purpose of this study was to assess the safety of BCG-CWS in patients with advanced WT1-expressing solid cancers and to determine the recommended dose (RD) of BCG-CWS in combination with human leukocyte antigen (HLA)-A*24:02–restricted WT1 peptide, based on identified dose-limiting toxicities (DLTs) and the estimated maximal tolerated dose (MTD). Another goal was to evaluate the effects of BCG-CWS on the immune system in cancer patients, especially adaptive cellular immunity, by assessing the immunological phenotypes of T cell subsets.

2. Methods

2.1. Study design
This study was designed as an open-label, dose-escalation phase I study to evaluate the safety and immunological effects of BCG-CWS in combination with HLA-A*24:02–restricted 9-mer WT1 peptide in patients with advanced solid cancers who were refractory to standard anti-cancer therapies. The study was conducted at Osaka University Hospital. It was divided into a dose-escalating portion, with a maximum of 18 subjects, and an extended portion to confirm the RD of BCG-CWS, with a maximum of 5 subjects. The primary endpoint was the proportion of treatment-related adverse events (AEs) at each dose of BCG-CWS. The secondary endpoints included assessments of innate and adaptive immune-related cells, the WT1-specific immune response, and clinical response. The protocol was approved by the independent ethics committee of Osaka University Hospital and was conducted according to the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

2.2. Patients
Patients with advanced WT1-expressing solid cancers who had failed standard anti-cancer therapies were eligible. Other major inclusion criteria were measurable disease; age between 16 and 79 years; HLA-A*24:02; European Cooperative Oncology Group performance status of 0 to 1; adequate bone marrow, liver, and renal functions; and life expectancy greater than 3 months. Patients were excluded if they had a significant concomitant disease unrelated to the underlying malignancy, including co-existing malignancies, severe congestive heart failure, active coronary artery disease, uncompensated pulmonary disease, uncontrolled infectious disease, myeloproliferative disease, autoimmune disease, and severe mental disorders. All patients provided written informed consent.

2.3. Dose-limiting toxicity
DLT was defined as the occurrence of any of the followings between 8 and 10 weeks after the beginning of the study treatment:
1. severe skin ulcer at a BCG-CWS injection site, with pus drainage that continued until the next vaccination;
2. a fever of 39.0°C or higher within 48 hours after the administration of BCG-CWS; and
3. any grade 3 or 4 treatment-related adverse event.

2.4. Dose escalation and determination of RD

Dose escalation was conducted using a modified Fibonacci method (3+3 design). At least 3 patients were enrolled at each dose level. If a DLT was observed in one of the initial 3 patients during the study treatment phase (Fig. 1A), 3 additional patients were entered at the same dose. Furthermore, if at least 2 of 6 patients developed a DLT, the associated dose was defined as the MTD. The RD of BCG-CWS was comprehensively determined, taking into consideration both the estimated MTD and the immune response.

2.5. Preparation of the BCG-CWS and WT1 peptide solution

We prepared the BCG-CWS as an oil-in-water emulsion product according to a previous report. Briefly, 2mg of BCG-CWS and 20μl of Drakeol 6VR light mineral oil (Penreco, Karns City, PA) were gently mixed and homogenized for 1 minute. Then, the BCG-CWS/Drakeol solution was homogenized for 4 minutes with 1 ml of emulsion buffer (1% Tween 80 [polyoxyethylene sorbitan monooleate; Sigma, St Louis, MO] diluted by saline [Otsuka Pharmaceutical, Tokyo, Japan]). The BCG-CWS solution was then incubated at 60°C for 30 minutes. The final concentration of BCG-CWS in the oil-in-water emulsion was 1mg/ml.

The sequence of HLA-A∗24:02–restricted modified 9mer WT1_{235} peptide (mp235) was CYTWNQMNL. Good manufacturing practice (GMP)–grade mp235 was synthesized by Peptide Institute (Osaka, Japan). For preparation of WT1 peptide solution, 3mg of mp235 was dissolved in 100μl of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO; Sigma) and then was diluted with 1400μl of 5% glucose (Otsuka Pharmaceutical). The final concentration of WT1 peptide solution was 2mg/ml. A volume of 250μl peptide solution (0.5 mg of mp235) was administered to the patient.

2.6. Treatment

The treatment schedule is summarized in Figure 1A. BCG-CWS and WT1 peptide were inoculated 4 times during a period of 2 months (56 days) (study treatment phase). BCG-CWS (50, 100, or 200μg/body) was administered on days 0, 7, 21 and 42. On the first day of each vaccination, BCG-CWS was administered intradermally in an upper arm, and then on the next day, WT1 peptide solution was administered in the same site, half intradermally and half subcutaneously. Inoculation was alternatively performed in the left and right upper arms (for example, the first and third vaccinations were administered in the left upper arm, and the second and forth given in the right upper arm). Patients were not allowed to receive any other cancer treatments including chemotherapeutic agents during the study treatment. Fever prophylaxis with either non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs or acetaminophen was also not allowed following vaccinations. However, the use of these drugs was not restricted when the indication was treatment of cancer pain. After the final safety assessment in the study treatment phase, all patients were permitted to receive the study treatment until the occurrence of disease progression, unacceptable AEs, or withdrawal of consent.

2.7. Study assessments

At baseline and at the time of every administration, we checked each patient’s general conditions and vital signs, and carried out urine and blood tests, including blood cell counts and serum chemistry tests. AEs were assessed according the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) version 3.0. Tumor response was defined by the investigator assessments according to the response evaluation criteria in solid tumors (RECIST). Radiological evaluation, such as computed tomography, was performed at baseline and at 1 and 2 months after the beginning of the study treatment.

2.8. Immunohistochemical analysis

Immunohistochemical analysis was performed to examine the expression of WT1 protein in malignant tumor cells using a procedure that has been previously described. Briefly, formalin-fixed tissue sections were cut from a paraffin block including the primary resected tumors or sometimes biopsy samples and stained with anti-WT1 mouse monoclonal antibody clone 6F-H2 (Dako cytometry, Carpinteria, CA). Visualization was performed by a standard avidin–biotin complex method using a Vectastain ABC elite kit (Vector Labs., Burlingame, CA). For malignant melanoma, a positive signal was detected by the
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2.9. Immunological assays

For the measurement of blood cell counts, peripheral blood (PB) was collected before and 24 to 48 hours after the administration of BCG-CWS (Fig. 1A). White blood cells (WBC) counts and cellularity in PB were measured with an automatic hemocytometer, and their absolute neutrophil, lymphocyte, and monocyte counts were calculated.

To assess the dynamic change of WT1-specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes (WT1-CTLs) and CD4+ or CD8+ T cells and their immunological phenotypes, peripheral mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were collected on days 0, 28, and 56 (Fig. 1A), and cryopreserved until use. WT1-CTLs, which were defined as WT1-tetramer+CD3+CD8+ T cells, were assessed by the WT1 peptide / monoclonal antibodies were used: PE-conjugated WT1 and anti-CD3-FITC, anti-CD45RA-allophycocyanin (APC) (BioLegend, San Diego, CA); anti-CD16-FITC, and anti-CD45RA-allophycocyanin (APC) (BioLegend, San Diego, CA); anti-CCR7-R-phycocerythrin (PE)-Cy7 (BD Pharmingen, San Jose, CA); anti-CD3-PerCP, anti-CD8-APC-Cy7, and anti-CD14-FITC (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA); and anti-CD56-FITC (eBioscience, San Diego, CA). Data acquisition was performed on a fluorescence-activated cell sorter (FACS) Aria instrument (BD Biosciences), and data analysis was performed with FACS Diva software (BD Biosciences).

Delayed-type hypersensitivity (DTH) to WT1 peptide was examined as a WT1-specific immune response. Thirty micrograms of WT1 peptide diluted in saline were intradermally injected into the forearm on days 0, 21, and 42, and the maximal diameter of erythema was measured after 48 hours (Fig. 1A).

2.10. Statistical analysis

The chi-square test (χ² test) was used to calculate P values for associations between the frequency of each AE and the dose level of BCG-CWS. The Wilcoxon signed-rank test or the Friedman test was used to calculate P values for changes in immune cell counts and frequencies of T cell subsets and WT1-CTLs. For the assessment of adverse events and for the immunological assay, we judged P values of less than .05 and less than .01, respectively, to be significant. The statistical analyses were performed with StatView for Windows version 5.0 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

3. Results

3.1. Patient characteristics

A total of 18 patients with advanced solid cancers (13 in the dose-escalation portion, and 5 in the extended portion) were enrolled between July 2007 and March 2010. Patient characteristics at baseline are listed in Table 1. Eight patients were male, and 10 were females. The median age was 60.5 years (range: 36–79). In the dose-escalation portion of the study, the most common primary cancer types were colorectal cancer (n=5, 38.5%), hepatobiliary cancers (n=4, 30.8%), and melanoma (n=2, 15.4%). In the extended portion, all were melanoma (n=5). The main locations of metastasis were the lymph nodes (n=14), lungs (n=14), skin (n=5), and liver (n=4). The median time since the initial diagnosis of disease was 24.5 months (range 5–116) (median 34.0 [range 5–82] in the dose-escalation portion, and median 10.0 [range 6–116] in the extended portion). Except for 1 individual with intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (#105), all patients had received standard care, including a surgical resection of the primary tumor, and had undergone at least 1 prior treatment, including chemotherapy, for metastatic diseases before study enrollment. Two patients, 1 with colorectal cancer (#202) and the other with lung cancer (#203), had received

Table 1

| BCG-CWS dose level | Patient no. | Gender | Age (years) | ECOG PS | Diagnosis | Disease locations | Prior therapy | Time from initial diagnosis (months) |
|--------------------|-------------|--------|-------------|---------|-----------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|
| **Dose-escalation portion** |
| 50μg   | #101 Female | 61  | 1 | Colorectal | LN, Lung, Liver | Surgery, Chemo | 36 |
| 50μg   | #102 Female | 52  | 1 | Colorectal | LN, Lung, ADR | Surgery, Chemo, RT | 71 |
| 50μg   | #103 Female | 60  | 1 | Ovarian    | LN, Peritoneum | Surgery, Chemo | 70 |
| 50μg   | #104 Female | 61  | 1 | Colorectal | LN, Lung, Liver, Bone | Surgery, Chemo | 16 |
| 50μg   | #105 Male   | 79  | 0 | Intrahepatic CCC | Primary (liver), LN | None | 5 |
| 100μg  | #201 Male   | 47  | 1 | Colorectal | Local relapse, Lung | Surgery, Chemo, RT | 15 |
| 100μg  | #202 Male   | 57  | 1 | Colorectal | Local relapse, LN, Lung | Surgery, Chemo, RT | 82 |
| 100μg  | #203 Female | 57  | 1 | Liver (NSCLC) | LN, Lung, pleura | Surgery, Chemo, RT | 39 |
| 100μg  | #204 Male   | 64  | 0 | Melanoma   | Lung | Surgery, Chemo, RT | 97 |
| 200μg  | #301 Male   | 66  | 1 | Bilary Tract | Local relapse, LN, ADR | Surgery, Chemo | 25 |
| 200μg  | #302 Female | 60  | 1 | Melanoma   | Local lesion (skin), LN | Surgery, Chemo | 24 |
| 200μg  | #303 Female | 71  | 1 | HCC        | Lung, Liver | Surgery, Chemo | 11 |
| 200μg  | #304 Male   | 36  | 0 | Intrahepatic CCC | Local relapse, LN, Lung, Skin | Surgery, Chemo | 34 |
| **Extended portion** |
| 100μg  | E01 Male    | 50  | 1 | Melanoma   | LN, Lung, Liver | Surgery, Chemo | 13 |
| 100μg  | E02 Female  | 73  | 1 | Melanoma   | LN, Lung, Skin, Bone | Surgery, Chemo | 9 |
| 100μg  | E03 Female  | 61  | 1 | Melanoma   | LN, Lung, Skin, Bone, Pancreas, Thyroid, Uterus | Surgery, Chemo, RT | 10 |
| 100μg  | E04 Female  | 67  | 0 | Melanoma   | Lung, Skin | Surgery, Chemo | 6 |
| 100μg  | E05 Female  | 42  | 0 | Melanoma   | LN, Lung | Surgery, Chemo | 116 |

ARD=adrenal gland, CCC=cholangiocellular carcinoma, HCC=hepatocellular carcinoma, LN=lymph node, NSCLC=non-small cell lung cancer, RT=radiotherapy.

* including a brain irradiation.
radiation therapy for brain metastases.

All patients received at least 1 vaccination. Ten of 13 patients (76.9%) in the dose-escalation portion and 2 of 5 patients (40.0%) in the extended portion completed the 10-week of treatment plan, consisting of 4 vaccinations followed by a final safety assessment (Fig. 1B). The remaining 6 patients in both groups, including 2 patients who had received 4 times of vaccinations, were withdrawn from the study due to rapid disease progression (Fig. 1B). Ten of 12 patients who had completed the 10-week of treatment plan continued to receive the study treatment until the occurrence of disease progression (Table 4).

3.2. Dose-limiting toxicities

Two patients at a BCG-CWS dose of 200 μg/body experienced DLTs, specifically severe skin ulcerations at the injection sites and pus drainage that persisted despite local skin treatment (Fig. 2A). There were no other systemic DLTs, including a sustained fever of 39.0°C or higher, even at a BCG-CWS dose of 200 μg/body. Thus, the MTD of BCG-CWS was determined to be 100 μg/body in this clinical setting.

3.3. Safety and tolerability (1): systemic adverse events

The median number of vaccinations per patient was 5.5 times (range 1–31) (Table 4). All treatment-related AEs are summarized in Table 2. No patients discontinued the study treatment due to some treatment-related AEs. The most commonly reported (>15%) treatment-related AEs, excluding local skin toxicity at the vaccine sites, were lymphopenia, anemia, fatigue, pruritus, hypoalbuminemia, hyperkalemia, proteinuria, and hematuria. All treatment-related AEs were grade 1 or 2, and some were readily manageable with usual supportive care. The frequencies of AEs were not significantly associated with BCG-CWS dose levels. Although no patients developed vaccine-related fevers of 38.0°C or higher (≥grade 1), 6 patients (2, 3, and 1 receiving...
BCG-CWS dose of 50, 100, and 200 μg/body, respectively) exhibited transient increases in body temperature (≤37.5°C) within 24 to 48 hours after vaccination.

In total, 5 grade 3 AEs such as gastrointestinal obstruction, hypokalemia, motor paralysis, and hepatobiliary infection occurred in 4 patients (Supplementary Table S1, http://links.lww.com/MD/D168). All of these events, however, were definitely related to a disease progression or cancer-associated complications.

### 3.4. Safety and tolerability (2): local skin reactions

Local skin reactions at vaccine sites, such as redness, induration, or ulcer occurred in all patients; however, 1 patient (#E01) could not be adequately evaluated because he discontinued the treatment after 1 vaccination. The severity of skin reaction was dependent on the dose of BCG-CWS (Fig. 2A). In particular, both the size of induration and the severity of ulceration were positively correlated with the dose of BCG-CWS (Fig. 2B and C). About 70% of patients (11/17) (20, 75, and 100% receiving BCG-CWS doses of 50, 100, and 200 μg/body, respectively) exhibited skin ulcers. In patients whose BCG-CWS dose was 200 μg/body, ulcerations after the second vaccination were severe, and pus drainage persisted for several days. In 2 patients (#303 and #304) the third or fourth vaccination had to be delayed, and their dose of BCG-CWS had to be reduced to 100 μg/body. Some patients complained of vaccine site symptoms such as pruritus and mild pain, which may also have been associated with the dose level of BCG-CWS (Supplementary Table S2, http://links.lww.com/MD/D168).

### 3.5. Effects of BCG-CWS on innate immune cells

We examined WBC counts and their cellularity in PB before and 2 days after the administration of BCG-CWS. In the overall population, the median absolute neutrophil counts before the first, second, third, and fourth vaccinations were 4060, 3500, 3620, and 3740/μl, respectively, and the median absolute monocyte counts before each vaccination were 499, 481, 455, and 411/μl, respectively (Supplementary Table S3, http://links.lww.com/MD/D168). There were no significant temporal changes in these counts. On the other hand, the median neutrophil counts 2 days after the first, second, and third administrations of BCG-CWS were 3475, 3720, and 4435/μl, respectively, and the median monocyte counts at these points were 476, 519, and 411/μl, respectively (Supplementary Table S3, http://links.lww.com/MD/D168). Temporary increases in neutrophils and monocytes were seen after the administration of BCG-CWS, although these changes were not statistically significant (Fig. 3A and C).

Compared to patients receiving 50 μg/body of BCG-CWS, those receiving 100 or 200 μg/body exhibited a greater increase in neutrophils or monocytes or both, especially following the second and the third vaccinations (Fig. 3B and D). The mean differences between each BCG-CWS dose group, however, were not significant (Supplementary Table S3, http://links.lww.com/MD/D168).

### 3.6. Effects of BCG-CWS on adaptive immune cells and T cells subsets

In all patients, the median absolute lymphocyte counts before the first, second, third, and fourth vaccination were 1160, 1110, 1380, and 1130/μl, respectively (Supplementary Table S3, http://links.lww.com/MD/D168). The median lymphocyte counts 2 days after the first, second, and third administrations of BCG-CWS were 1180, 1300, and 1110/μl, respectively (Supplementary Table S3, http://links.lww.com/MD/D168). These pre- and post-vaccination changes in lymphocytes were not significant (Fig. 3E). The fluctuation of lymphocytes in each individual was
relatively small (200 to 300 µl), and there was no significant difference between BCG-CWS dose groups (Fig. 3F).

Next, we evaluated the characteristics of lymphocytes, including both T cell subsets (CD4⁺ or CD8⁻) and their phenotypes, specifically naïve, central memory (CM), effector memory (EM), and effector, which were defined as CD45RA⁺CCR7⁺, CD45RA⁺CCR7⁻, CD45RA⁻CCR7⁻, and CD45RA⁺CCR7⁻, respectively. We performed these analyses using blood samples collected from patients in the dose-escalation portion of the study. Ultimately, 11 patients could be assessed (3, 4, and 4 receiving BCG-CWS doses of 50, 100, and 200 µg/body, respectively). In all patients, the median frequencies of CD4⁺ T cells in whole lymphocytes (%CD4⁺ T cells) at baseline and at 1 and 2 months after vaccination were 40.1, 38.8, and 39.0%, respectively (Table 3). Immunological phenotype analyses showed a slight increase in the CM phenotype and a slight decrease in the naïve phenotype at 2 months after vaccinations (Table 3), but these differences were not significant. Two patients (#203 and #301) exhibited about a 10% increase in %CD4⁺ T cells after vaccination (Fig. 4A). Interestingly, in the analyses of immunological phenotypes, 3 patients (#102, #203, and #301), including 2 who exhibited an increase in %CD4⁺ T cells, demonstrated more than a 7% increase in the CM phenotype, and in 2 patients (#102 and #203) the naïve phenotype decreased proportionally to an increase in the CM phenotype (Fig. 4B).

In all patients, the median frequencies of CD8⁺ T cells (%CD8⁺ T cells) at baseline and at 1 and 2 months after vaccination were 21.9, 23.2, and 21.5%, respectively (Table 3). Immunological phenotype analyses showed an increase in the EM phenotype and a slight decrease in the naïve phenotype at 2 months after vaccination.
vaccination, but these differences were not significant (Table 3). Of note, most patients exhibited minimal changes in %CD8+ T cells and of each immunological phenotype (Fig. 4C and D); large changes in both naïve and EM phenotypes in patient #202 significantly influenced the overall results.

3.7. Effect of BCG-CWS on tumor-associated antigen (TAA)-specific immunity

We used an HLA-A \times 24:02–restricted WT1 peptide (mp235) to assess the induction of TAA-specific immunity by co-administration with BCG-CWS. We evaluated the WT1-specific immune response by a WT1-tetramer assay using PB samples collected from patients in the dose-escalation portion of the study (11 patients total: 3, 4, and 4 patients at BCG-CWS doses of 50, 100, and 200 \mu g/body, respectively). In the overall patient samples, the median percentages of WT1-CTLs in the entire CD8+ T cell population (%WT1-CTLs) at baseline and at 1 and 2 months after vaccination were 0.073, 0.078, and 0.085%, respectively (Table 3). The dose effect of BCG-CWS on the induction of WT1-CTLs was not clear (Supplementary Table S4, http://links.lww.com/MD/D168). At an individual level, 2 of 11 patients (18.2%) (#202 and #302) exhibited a 3-fold or greater increase in %WT1-CTLs compared to baseline, while the remaining patients demonstrated no remarkable change (Figs. 5A and 3B). However, the induction of WT1-CTLs was not necessarily associated with DTH positivity to the WT1 peptide (Fig. 5B).

### Table 3

| CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, and tumor-associated antigen-specific CTLs. |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                         | Baseline (n=11) | 1 month (n=11) | 2 months (n=11) | P-value          |
| **Frequency (%)**        |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| CD4+ T cells             |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Naïve (%)                | 17.3 [12.4, 32.1] | 17.4 [12.4, 28.5] | 17.9 [10.9, 35.6] | .9131           |
| EM (%)                   | 3.70 [2.45, 13.2]  | 4.50 [2.24, 13.1] | 3.84 [2.08, 12.2] | .8498           |
| Effector (%)             | 26.5 [11.9, 66.0]  | 27.9 [12.3, 64.8] | 23.5 [12.5, 65.9] | .9131           |
| CD8+ T cells             |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Naïve (%)                | 18.7 [12.4, 32.1]  | 17.4 [12.4, 28.5] | 17.9 [10.9, 35.6] | .9131           |
| EM (%)                   | 3.70 [2.45, 13.2]  | 4.50 [2.24, 13.1] | 3.84 [2.08, 12.2] | .8498           |
| Effector (%)             | 26.5 [11.9, 66.0]  | 27.9 [12.3, 64.8] | 23.5 [12.5, 65.9] | .9131           |
| WT1-specific CTLs (n=11) |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Frequency (%)            | 0.073 [0.054, 0.138] | 0.078 [0.046, 0.164] | 0.085 [0.059, 0.142] | .3067           |

Values represent median [10%, 90%]. The Friedman test was used for all statistical analyses. The phenotypes of naïve, CM, EM, and effector cells were defined as CD45RA+CCR7+, CD45RA-CCR7+, CD45RA-CCR7-, and CD45RA+CCR7-, respectively. WT1-specific CTLs were defined as WT1-tetramer+CD3+CD8+ T cells.

CM = central memory, CTLs = cytotoxic lymphocytes, EM = effector memory.

Figure 4. Dynamic changes in immune-related cells (2). A, Dynamic changes in percentages of CD4+ T cell in total lymphocytes in all patients (left) and individual cases (right). B, Frequencies of CD4+ T cell immunological phenotypes in individual cases. C, Dynamic changes in percentages of CD8+ T cells in total lymphocytes in all patients (left) and individual cases (right). D, Frequencies of CD8+ T cell immunological phenotypes in individual cases. In the line graphs, each color represents the same case in all panels. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells were collected before (baseline) and 1 or 2 months after the beginning of the study treatment. CM = central memory, EM = effector memory.
3.8. Clinical effects

The overall clinical responses are listed in Table 4. Nine of the 18 patients (50%) had stable disease at 2 months after vaccination although no patients reached complete response or partial response. Four patients (#105, #204, #304, and #E05) survived for more than 1 year from the date of enrollment. The association between BCG-CWS dose and clinical effect was not evident.

3.9. Case report

A 57-year-old female with relapsed NSCLC who was refractory to platinum-based chemotherapies and gefitinib received 100 μg/body of BCG-CWS in combination with WT1 peptide. Pleural invasions and lung metastases in the right upper lobe had gradually progressed from the final chemotherapy to the beginning of the study treatment, and pleural effusions also developed (Fig. 6A). While receiving the study treatment, the patient exhibited mild-to-moderate local skin reactions at vaccine sites but with no systemic treatment-related AEs. Interestingly, the disease involving the lung and pleura stabilized for about 3 months, and pleural effusion gradually decreased (Fig. 6A). Neutrophil and monocyte counts fluctuated with a temporary increase after the administration of BCG-CWS. Interestingly, %CD4+ T cells increased with CM-dominant differentiation. (Fig. 6C). WT1-specific immune responses, however, were not elicited (%WT1-CTLs at baseline and at 1 and 2 months after vaccination were 0.068%, 0.078%, and 0.061%, respectively).

Table 4

| BCG-CWS dose level | Patient no. | Total no. of vaccinations | Best overall response at 2 months | Target lesion(s) | Non-targeted lesion(s) | New lesion(s) |
|--------------------|-------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|--------------|
| Dose escalating portion | #101 | 11 | SD | SD | nonCR / nonPD | None |
| 50 μg | #102 | 4 | PD | SD | PD (ADR) | None |
| 50 μg | #103 | 3 | PD (+symptomatic) | PD | NE | None |
| 50 μg | #104 | 4 | PD | PD | PD | Bone |
| 50 μg | #105 | 13 | PD | SD | SD | None |
| 100 μg | #201 | 6 | SD | SD | nonCR / nonPD | None |
| 100 μg | #202 | 4 | PD | SD | nonCR / nonPD | None |
| 100 μg | #203 | 5 | SD | SD | nonCR / nonPD | None |
| 100 μg | #204 | 31 | SD | SD | nonCR / nonPD | None |
| 200 μg | #301 | 6 | SD | SD | nonCR / nonPD | None |
| 200 μg | #302 | 6 | PD | SD | nonCR / nonPD | Skin |
| 200 μg | #303 | 6* | PD | PD | PD | None |
| 200 μg | #304 | 4* | SD | SD | nonCR / nonPD | None |
| Extended portion | E01 | 1 | PD (+symptomatic) | PD | PD | Lung, Liver |
| 100 μg | E02 | 3 | PD (+symptomatic) | PD | PD | Multiple |
| 100 μg | E03 | 3 | PD (+symptomatic) | PD | PD | Multiple |
| 100 μg | E04 | 10 | SD | SD | nonCR / nonPD | None |
| 100 μg | E05 | 24 | SD | SD | nonCR / nonPD | None |

Overall response was assessed by RECIST criteria.
* DLT related to local skin reactions occurred. #303 and #304 experienced DLT after the second and the third vaccinations, and thereafter received 100 μg of BCG-CWS.
ADR=adrenal gland, CR=complete response, PD=progressive disease, SD=stable disease.
4. Discussion

We planned this phase 1 clinical study to determine the RD of BCG-CWS when co-administered with a TAA-specific peptide to patients with advanced solid cancer. In the dose-escalation portion of the study, local skin reactions occurred as a DLT in 2 patients receiving a BCG-CWS dose of 200 μg/body, while there were no DLTs in other groups. Importantly, there were no severe systemic treatment-related AEs at any BCG-CWS dose. Based on these results, we decided that the MTD of BCG-CWS was 100 μg/body. To determine the RD of BCG-CWS, we further assessed the dynamic changes of several immunological parameters in response to BCG-CWS. Overall, both innate and adaptive immune cells appeared to fluctuate more dynamically in response to a BCG-CWS dose of 100 μg/body than to that of 50 μg/body. There were no significant immunological advantages to 200 μg/body of BCG-CWS that would compensate for the disadvantages in terms of AEs, specifically more serious local skin reactions. Given these findings, we ultimately decided the RD of BCG-CWS as 100 μg/body in our clinical setting.

Immune adjuvants are expected to enhance the anti-tumor immune effects of other immunotherapies such as cancer vaccines, but at the same time there is concern that unacceptable immune-related AEs will develop.\footnote{36} The clinical application of BCG-CWS in cancer patients dates back to the 1970s.\footnote{34} A dose of 300 μg/body or more may cause liver injury (hepatitis), granulomatosis, or interstitial lung disease.\footnote{17} Even at a dose of 200 μg/body or less, BCG-CWS is known to cause severe skin ulcers at the injection site, which are sometimes difficult to manage with local treatments and may affect patients’ quality of life (QOL).\footnote{13} To determine the MTD while taking feasibility into account, we evaluated DLTs encompassing not only serious systemic AEs but also severe local skin reactions. Our final conclusion was that the MTD of BCG-CWS was 100 μg/body; while this was a lower dose than in previous reports, all systemic AEs related to BCG-CWS, including hematological, biochemical, and physiological findings, were mild, even at a BCG-CWS dose of 200 μg/body. Using a similar approach, a phase 1 dose-escalation study evaluated local skin toxicities of IMM-101, an immune adjuvant produced from \textit{Mycobacterium}, in patients with melanoma.\footnote{37} After determining the RD based on consideration of skin toxicity, clinical studies of combination therapies with IMM-101 and chemotherapy or checkpoint inhibitors were conducted.\footnote{38,39} No decline in QOL due to skin toxicity secondary to IMM-101 was observed in these combinations. In the clinical development of immune adjuvants in cancer treatment, researchers should consider not only enhancing the immune response, thus leading to clinical effects, but also minimizing the deterioration of QOL due to immunological side effects.

Another purpose of this study was to assess the immune response induced by BCG-CWS. The immunological effects of BCG-CWS were not clear from the averaged results of the immunological parameters in the overall patient sample. However, a more granular analysis of individual patients revealed various immunological changes in response to BCG-CWS. First, innate immune cells such as neutrophils and monocytes increased over time. These effects seemed to be more pronounced at BCG-CWS dose levels of 100 μg and 200 μg than 50 μg. Second, the induction of the CD4+ T cell subset and its differentiation from the naïve to CM immunological phenotype occurred in some patients, but not all. \textit{Mycobacterium} products exert multiple effects as immunomodulators because they contain various unique bioactive components that affect the host immune system through several types of pattern recognition receptors.\footnote{6,40} Consequently, BCG-CWS directly promotes the maturation of DCs and their differentiation into professional APCs, then indirectly activates the functional differentiation of antigen-specific CD4+ T cells and promotes antigen cross-presentation to antigen-specific CD8+ T cells.\footnote{7,11,12,41} In order to further understand the immunobioactivity of BCG-CWS, it is necessary to evaluate the effects of not only individual components of BCG-CWS, but also those of total components using conventional cytological and serological analyses as well as novel biological technologies, including bioinformatics.

All patients except for 1 (case #105) had advanced cancers that were refractory to all previously administered standard therapies. Nonetheless, these cancers stabilized in 9 of 18 patients after vaccinations, and 4 patients had an overall survival of more than 1 year. Most patients who experienced disease stabilization demonstrated an immune response. One patient (#203), in particular, exhibited disease stabilization and reduction of
pleural effusion after induction and differentiation of CD4+T cells. These clinical effects, which confirmed those of several previous reports,[17–19] suggested that non-specific immune reactions induced by BCG-CWS exerted anti-tumor effects against advanced cancer.

Based on our preclinical results in mice,[13] we expected that DCs would be initially activated by the administration of adjuvant, thus facilitating the effective uptake and presentation of antigens. Accordingly, we designed the vaccine schedule so that the adjuvant was administered first, and the peptide was administered the next day. Unfortunately, we did not identify an increase in TAA-specific WT1-CTLs although we observed a dynamic change in innate and non-specific adaptive immune responses. We also did not found the dose effect of BCG-CWS on the induction of WT1-specific immunity assessed by a WT1-tetramer assay and DTH to WT1 peptide. We, however, observed some WT1-specific immune responses in at least 1 case at all dose levels. Two patients exhibited 3-fold or higher increases in % WT1-CTLs after vaccination, but these elicitation did not always result in better clinical outcomes. There are at least 3 problems that we have to consider regarding future research. The first concerns the antigenicity of the WT1-peptide that we used as the TAA. We and others have reported induction of WT1-CTLs and clinical effects in numerous cancer immunotherapies targeting WT1,[19–34] suggesting that the WT1 peptide itself, namely mp235, is sufficiently antigenic. The second issue is the timing of antigen administration. A WT1 peptide as a TAA might have to be delivered at the same time that BCG-CWS stimulates innate cells, because activated DCs generally migrate immediately into regional lymph nodes.[2] Both an immune adjuvant and an antigenic peptide should be administered at the same time, as in a general prophylactic vaccine. The third problem, thought to be the most important in our current strategy, concerns drug delivery. Freund incomplete adjuvant has been widely used for the preparation of WT1 peptide-based vaccines in most previous clinical studies, including our own. This adjuvant forms a water-in-oil emulsion with an antigen solution, thus preventing WT1 peptide from being immediately transported to lymph nodes, and instead gradually releasing the antigen at local vaccine sites.[49] By contrast, in this study a peptide solution was intradermally administered. Therefore, intradermal spreading and degradation of the WT1 peptide at local sites, or immediate drainage of the WT1 peptide to lymph nodes could inhibit the effective uptake of antigens by activated DCs, resulting in poor induction of WT1-CTLs. Improvement of the antigen delivery system is necessary to exploit the powerful immunity-inducing ability of BCG-CWS in cancer vaccine therapy.[3,30]

This study had 2 main limitations. First, more detailed immunological analyses were not performed, for example, a T cell functional assay (cell-killing assay). Second, we used a small sample size that was insufficient to evaluate clinical and immunological assessments. In order to evaluate the adjuvant effect of BCG-CWS with respect to clinical and immune effects, it is necessary to conduct a verification phase 2 study.

In conclusion, the RD of BCG-CWS as an immune adjuvant co-administered with a TAA-specific peptide was 100 µg/body, taking into consideration the serious skin-related adverse events at vaccine sites. This dose of BCG-CWS was well tolerated and showed promising clinical effects in several patients with advanced cancer, including NSCLC and melanoma. BCG-CWS affected both innate and adaptive immunity. In particular, the induction and differentiation of CD4+ T cells through the direct activation of innate immunity occurred in patients with an advanced cancer. The multi-faceted activity of BCG-CWS in modulating immune systems is expected to overcome the immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment and to elicit a robust and sustained anti-cancer immune response. Further basic and clinical investigations are needed to establish effective treatment based on this approach.
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