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ABSTRACT: In this communication, we report on the use of deep eutectic solvents (DESs) for processing nuclear waste, with a view to selectively recovering minor actinides (MA) from highly active raffinate solutions. DESs are an interesting new class of green and eco-sustainable solvents. Herein, a representative family of DES was tested as a co-solvent for MA/lanthanides partitioning based on Selective Actinide EXtraction (SANEX)-like hydrometallurgical processes. The reference system exploits the CyMe₄-BTBP lipophilic extractant for selective MA recovery, but the slow kinetics is the main limitation toward the industrial implementation. A selection of hydrophilic DESs has been proposed as a phase transfer catalyst and tested to improve the process performances. In this work, the radiochemical stability and the extraction behavior of these DESs have been ascertained. Moreover, a preliminary optimization of system composition has been achieved. This study underlines a catalytic effect of DES that can be proficiently exploited to enhance CyMe₄-BTBP extraction and selectivity.

1. INTRODUCTION

The global energy demand is estimated to grow at a CAGR (compound annual growth rate) of over 4% in the next 5 years.¹ Given the depletion of fossil fuel resources and to reduce greenhouse gases in the atmosphere in which fossil fuel is one of the major responsible, nuclear energy is considered to be one of the most viable options.² Limited emissions are generated during the entire nuclear power plant life, from construction to decommissioning, including the fuel fabrication stage. For this reason, nuclear energy is considered an environmentally friendly and green energy source.³ However, the problem of using nuclear energy is the inevitable production of high-level radioactive waste (HLW).⁴ Many researchers in the world are studying a better and safer management of this radioactive waste.⁵

The PUREX (Plutonium and Uranium Reduction EXtraction) process is currently used in many plants to recover uranium and plutonium from spent nuclear fuel to use them as a new fuel for nuclear plants.⁶ One of the most important goals should be selectively removing (partitioning) the radiotoxic minor actinides (MA) from the PUREX raffinate using a hydrometallurgical process. Several approaches have been proposed, such as TALSPEAK (USA), SETFICS (Japan), TRPO (China), and the European SANEX (Selective Actinide EXtraction) processes.⁷ It is an interesting challenge because the separation of MA from lanthanides (Ln), also present in solution as trivalent cations, is a difficult task for their similar chemical and physical properties (such as size and coordination capabilities). Once removed, MA may be converted by fast neutrons into less radiotoxic or even stable elements (transmutation). This strategy, known as partitioning and transmutation, permits to reduce the environmental footprint and improve the sustainability of nuclear energy production also for the treatment of the existing HLW.⁸

At the beginning of this century, the promising SANEX-like processes have been proposed and then further developed in the framework of European collaborative research projects.⁹,¹⁰ Basically, a lipophilic ligand is exploited to selectively extract MA(III) from an acidic aqueous solution containing also Ln(III).¹⁰ So far, hundreds of polydentate S- and N-donor ligands have been tested.¹¹,¹² Soon, the highly selective and efficient dithiophosphinic acids were abandoned since they do not contain just C, H, O, and N atoms (CHON principle), i.e., they would imply secondary waste generation as they are not fully incinerable. Consequently, most efforts have been focused on CHON and N-donor extractants. In this context, the bis-triazinyl-pyridine (BTP) class of ligands showed outstanding
...alkyl-substitutions on the BTBP backbone 19,20 and use of fast kinetics.27 Since this extractant is relatively recent, several unprecedented features, including high solubility and rather faster kinetics than others, prompted the development of a lipophilic PyTri endowed with di...cations from earlier BTPs, CyMe4-BTP (bis-2,6-(5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-5,9,9-trimethyl-5,8-methano-benzo-1,2,4-triazin-3-yl)pyridine) showed better chemical and radiolytical stability, which would favor longer lifecycle and easier recyclability.14,15 However, it was affected by slow extraction kinetics and too strong ligand–cation complexation. The first drawback would entail higher number of extraction stages (i.e., larger facility, larger volumes, higher costs...), while the second one would hamper cation back-extraction before conversion and fuel fabrication steps. In order to overcome this latter issue, the slightly less efficient bis-triazinyl-bipyridine (BTBP) class of ligands was proposed. In particular, the CyMe4-BTBP (6,6′-bis(5,5,8,8-tetramethyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydrobenzo-1,2,4-triazin-3-yl)-2,2′-bipyridine) ligand is endowed with high, but reversible, extraction affinity for MA and satisfactory hydrolytical and radiolytical stability.16 However, it still suffers from scarce solubility, kinetics, and loading capability. In order to improve these important features, octanol was proposed as a diluent and DMDOHHEMA (N,N′-dimethyl,N,N′-dioctylhexylethoxymalonamide) or TODGA (N,N,N′,N′-tetractyl diglycolamide) extractants were added to the organic phase as phase transfer catalysts.16,17 Anyway, centrifugal contactor tests once again resulted in unsatisfactory extraction kinetics, meaning that several stages would be required to achieve the desired decontamination.18 Several other attempts have been made, rarely with decent results, to improve CyMe4-BTBP kinetics, such as different alkyl-substitutions on the BTBP backbone19,20 and use of different diluents than octanol.21 A real breakthrough has been the discovery of the outstanding extraction and stability properties of the pyridine-bis-triazolyl (PyTri) class of ligands22–26 that has prompted the development of a lipophilic PyTri endowed with unprecedented features, including high solubility and rather fast kinetics.27 Since this extractant is relatively recent, several basic studies are still ongoing to ascertain its full applicability to SANEX-like processes.

At the same time, it seems more worthwhile further investigating the well-studied and well-established CyMe4-BTBP reference system in order to improve its extraction kinetics. The scope of this work is pursuing this challenging result by a novel approach based on green chemistry reagents.

Over the past 20 years, green chemistry has developed rapidly in every branch of chemistry, focusing on the development of green solvents. Ionic liquids, as a class of green solvents, have attracted great attention for their special physical and chemical properties.28 On the other hand, some studies have highlighted their limitations, such as toxicity, poor biodegradability, and high cost, that limit the industrial applications. To overcome these limitations, a new type of green solvent, called deep eutectic solvents (DESs), has been proposed for advanced spent nuclear fuel reprocessing processes.39,40 In this work, we propose a new family of DES based on choline acetate, in compliance to the CHON rule to avoid secondary waste production at the end of life. For the sake of comparison, and in order to have a first evaluation of the performance of the acetate-based DESs, two analogues based on choline chloride are also considered and discussed. The main purpose of this communication is to report on the application of such a DES class as co-solvents in liquid–liquid extraction of MA in SANEX-like processes.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Chemicals. Choline chloride (99%), urea, glycolic acid (99%), diglycolic acid (98%), imidazole (99%), and 1-octanol were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Choline acetate (98%) was purchased from iolitech. Nitric acid (65% w/w) was purchased by FLUKA. CyMe4-BTBP was kindly provided by the University of Reading (School of Chemistry).

2.2. Preparation of DESs. DESs were prepared according to the most used procedure reported in the literature. Briefly, the preparation involved the combination of choline chloride or acetate (HBA) with the different HBDS, urea, glycolic acid, diglycolic acid, or imidazole at 80 °C for 30 min stirring until a homogeneous and transparent solution was formed. The prepared DESs were cooled to 22 °C and used for the extraction tests without any purification.

2.3. Preparation of Solution. For the liquid–liquid extraction experiments, a simplified feed solution was prepared by diluting concentrated nitric acid (purchased from FLUKA, ≥65% w/w) with ultrapure water (Millipore, Billerica, USA; 18.2 MΩ·cm). Weighed amounts of DES, or of its pure components if otherwise specified, were added to the aqueous phase. Then, these solutions were spiked with trace amounts of certified standard solutions: 241Am(NO3)3 in 1 M HNO3 and 152EuCl3 in 1 M HCl solutions supplied by Eurostandard CZ (Czech Republic) and LEA-CERCA (France), respectively. If not otherwise specified, the final concentration of HNO3 was 3 M and the specific activity of each radionuclide was about 2.5 kBq/mL. The organic solvent was prepared by dissolving weighed quantities of extractant in pure 1-octanol (purity of ≥99%, purchased from Sigma-Aldrich) to obtain 0.015 M CyMe4-BTBP concentration. The organic phase was pre-equilibrated with equal volume of 3 M HNO3 before being used in the extraction experiment in order to avoid aqueous phase acidity alteration during the tests.

2.4. Irradiation Condition. The solutions were stored in 1 mL glass vials closed with a plastic lid and sealed with Parafilm in order to avoid sample leakage during irradiation. Between the preparation and the analysis, the samples were kept in the dark. Irradiations were performed at ambient temperature...
using a $^{60}$Co gamma source with a 2.5 kGy/h dose rate, up to 100 g of absorbed dose. At the end of the irradiation of the sample with the highest absorbed dose, all samples were stored in the dark at 4 °C ± 1 °C until further analyses, namely, ESI–MS (electrospray ionization–mass spectrometry) and solvent extraction. Therefore, the same thermal treatment was used for all samples.

**2.5. Electrospray Ionization–Mass Spectrometry (ESI–MS) and NMR Spectroscopy Conditions.** ESI–MS spectra were acquired on a Bruker Esquire 3000 PLUS instrument (ESI Ion Trap LC/MSn System), equipped with an ESI source and a quadrupole ion trap detector (QIT). Samples were diluted in acetonitrile to 10^−2 g/L before being directly infused in the ESI–MS at 4 μL/min rate. After each experiment, both the syringe and loop used for the infusion were abundantly rinsed with acetonitrile and methanol in order to avoid sample cross-contaminations. The analyses were performed in positive ion mode after optimization of the acquisition parameters: 4.5 kV needle voltage, 10 L/h N$_2$ flow rate, 40 V cone voltage, trap drive set to 46, 115.8 V capillary exit, and 13,000 (m/z)/s scan resolution over the 35–900 m/z mass/charge range. The signals of the pristine ligand and byproducts were identified in the spectra of fresh, aged, and irradiated solutions. The assignment of some detected byproducts was confirmed by collision-activated decomposition (CID) tandem mass spectrometry experiments (MS²), with an isolation width of 1 mass unit and a duly optimized collisional fragmentation amplitude between 0.5 and 1.00 V. All data were analyzed using Bruker Daltonics Data Analysis software (version 5.1, 2002).

NMR spectra were acquired at 11.74 T on a Bruker NEO 500 instrument equipped with a direct observe BBFO probe. The spectra were collected at 298 K in D$_2$O. The chemical shift scale is referenced to external sodium trimethylsilylpropanesulfonate (DSS).

**2.6. Liquid–Liquid Extraction Experiments.** All batch extraction experiments were performed by contacting equal volumes (300 μL) of aqueous and organic solutions in closed single-use Eppendorf microtubes. In order to keep the aqueous phase acidity unchanged during the tests, the organic solutions were pre-equilibrated with equal volumes of 3 M HNO$_3$ prior to use. The phases were vigorously mixed at room temperature (22 ± 1 °C) using an orbital shaker (Eppendorf Thermomixer, 1100 rpm). If not otherwise specified, the mixing time was set to 1 h, even if certainly not enough to achieve the chemical equilibrium. In order to ensure complete phase disengagement, all samples were centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 10 min. Then, 200 μL aliquots were sampled from each phase and measured by γ-spectrometry ($2^\prime$ × $2^\prime$ NaI(Tl), Silena SNIP N MCA). The activity concentrations of $^{241}$Am and $^{152}$Eu were quantified by exploiting the γ-lines at 59.5 and 121.8 keV, respectively. System performance was evaluated by calculating distribution ratios ($D_A$, where A is the cation) and separation factors ($SF_{A/B}$, where A and B are the different cations). In particular, the extraction efficiency is evaluated by $D_A$, calculated as the ratio between the concentration of A in the organic and in the aqueous phases. Selectivity is assessed by the $SF_{A/B}$, calculated as the ratio between $D_A$ and $D_B$. In a SANEX-like process, $D_A$ should be above unit and $D_B$ below unit to obtain the required separation between these cations. The selectivity for Am toward Eu is expressed by the $SF_{Am/Eu}$. In a SANEX-like process, $SF_{Am/Ln}$ should be greater than 10 to achieve the required An/Ln separation by a reasonable number of extracting stages. These performance parameters were calculated only if no third-phase formation was observed during the extraction experiments and are considered reliable if the activity balances are 100 ± 10%. In the 0.01 and 100 distribution ratio range, the uncertainty is about ±5%. Consequently, the uncertainty of the SF calculated from these $D$-ratios is about ±7%.

**3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**3.1. Deep Eutectic Solvents.** The family of DES based on choline acetate combined with urea, glycolic acid, diglycolic acid, and imidazole is shown in Figure 1, along with the molecular formula of ChCl. The list of the DESs tested in this work and the corresponding abbreviations are reported in Table 1. Such a list is based on a collection of ChAc-based DESs, ChClU and ChClGlyA, here considered in spite of the fact that they have already been extensively studied in literature for different applications. The latter DESs were chosen on

| HBA       | HBD          | name      | molar ratio |
|-----------|--------------|-----------|-------------|
| choline acetate | urea         | ChAcU     | 1:2         |
| choline acetate | glycolic acid | ChAcGlyA  | 1:1         |
| choline acetate | diglycolic acid | ChAcDigA | 1:1         |
| choline acetate | imidazole    | ChAcIm    | 1:1         |
| choline chloride | urea         | ChClU     | 1:2         |
| choline chloride | glycolic acid | ChClGlyA  | 1:2         |
the basis of the following facts: (i) ChClU is the most popular and the most investigated DES, thus deserving a role of “reference” also in the context of the present investigation. (ii) ChClGlyA can provide information on the role of the HBA—chloride vs acetate—beneficial for the discussion of the partitioning results.

All the DESs were prepared according to the traditional methodology and by using the molar ratios reported in Table 1.

As shown in Table 1, DESs with different HBAs and HBDs were studied to evaluate the possible role of the components and to evaluate the performance of choline acetate-based DESs. The proposed choline acetate-based DESs have been designed in accordance with the CHON rule so that at the end of their life, the DES-containing solvents are completely incinerable without producing secondary waste.

3.2. Screening of Partitioning Properties. The CyMe₄-BTBP molecule (Figure 2, left) is one of the most promising current European reference ligands for the development of An(III) separation processes. It has been designed to have good radiolytic and hydrolytic stability, retaining high selectivity for actinides over lanthanides. It has already been successfully tested in continuous lab-scale counter-current solvent extraction process tests. Unfortunately, the kinetics of the extraction of the CyMe₄-BTBP system is rather slow and requires the addition of a phase-transfer agent. This molecule can improve the transfer kinetics of species of interest across a phase boundary. The most promising in literature are DMDOHEMA and TODGA (see structures in Figure 2, right).

In order to shed light on possible benefits from the introduction of DES, it was decided to preliminarily test ChAcDigA as a DES model for the similar structure of dicarboxylic acid to TODGA. Liquid—liquid extraction was performed following a standard procedure (described in the Experimental Section) to evaluate the performances of the extracting system in the presence of the absence of DES. The aqueous phase contained trace amounts of ²⁴¹Am(III) and ¹⁵²Eu(III), used as representatives of MA and Ln families present in the aqueous feed to be decontaminated, 3 M HNO₃, and 5% v DES as a co-solvent. The organic phase was the CyMe₄-BTBP lipophilic ligand dissolved in 1-octanol (0.015 M).

As shown in Table 2 and as already known from the literature, the results of CyMe₄-BTBP alone (blank sample) are consistent with SANEX-like process requirements: $D_{\text{Am}}$ is above unit and $D_{\text{Eu}}$ is below unit, resulting in $SF_{\text{Am/Eu}}$ around 10.

By comparing all the results obtained, a noticeable beneficial effect is observed in each formulation by introducing DES in the aqueous phase as a co-solvent (just 5% v). In particular, $D_{\text{Am}}$ considerably increases with respect to that of the blank sample, while those of Eu remain constant or slightly increase, still remaining below the unit. Hence, the presence of DES improves both the Am extraction efficiency and the Am/Eu selectivity, as expressed by the reported $SF_{\text{Am/Eu}}$ values.

The best results were obtained with choline acetate-based DES and in particular with choline acetate glycolic acid and diglycolic acid. The use of ChAcDigA allows to increase $D_{\text{Am}}$ by more than four times with respect to the blank. On the contrary, $D_{\text{Eu}}$ is almost constant. This is important because a more efficient extraction process seems to be feasible without complicating the system or introducing expensive reagents and maintaining a good An/Ln selectivity. The presence of acid contributes to increasing the chelation capability of the system. Urea and imidazole have different chelation properties, and the different behavior suggests a different mechanism for the system that should be further investigated.

3.3. Role of DESs in the Extraction System. To better understand the mechanism of the enhanced extraction capability using the DESs as co-solvents, it was decided to perform two types of control experiments: (i) extraction tests using the individual components of DES and (ii) extraction tests with the mixture of the best performing DES components—i.e., choline acetate and glycolic acid, for the sake of brevity indicated as “physical mixture”—independently and separately added to the aqueous solution in the same molar proportion as in Table 1. In test (i), the individual components, choline acetate, diglycolic acid, and glycolic acid were thus added at the same molar concentration of the tests as DES, namely, at 0.2 M. In test (ii), the physical mixture was added to the aqueous medium, maintaining the same molar ratio of the individual DES components and at the same concentration 0.2 M. In assessing the molar concentration, the MW of DES was calculated by considering it as a single compound. A brief discussion of the possible way of calculating the MW of DES is reported by Agieienko and Buchner.

These results are reported in Table 3 and compared with those of the blank (no added DES) and of corresponding DES (i.e., ChAcDigA and ChAcGlyA).

As it can be observed from the data of Table 3, it is the presence of the preformed DES added as a co-solvent that leads to the major enhancement of the extraction capability of the system. In fact, neither the individual components (HBA or HBD alone) nor the physical mixture added to the aqueous

**Table 2. Distribution Ratios of Trivalent Am and Eu and Am/Eu Separation Factors in the Absence/Preservation of Different DESes**

| sample ID | aqueous phase       | $D_{\text{Am}}$ | $D_{\text{Eu}}$ | $SF_{\text{Am/Eu}}$ |
|-----------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| 1 D       | blank (no DES)      | 2.88            | 0.35            | 8.17                |
| 2 D       | ChAcDigA            | 10.86           | 0.50            | 21.60               |
| 3 D       | ChAcGlyA            | 13.06           | 0.53            | 25.00               |
| 4 D       | ChAcU               | 10.28           | 0.55            | 18.66               |
| 5 D       | ChAcIm              | 9.56            | 0.67            | 14.24               |
| 6 D       | ChClU               | 5.22            | 0.43            | 12.13               |
| 7 D       | ChClGlyA            | 5.12            | 0.37            | 13.89               |

“Organic phases: 0.015 M CyMe₄-BTBP in 1-octanol, pre-equilibrated with equal volume of 3 M HNO₃. Aqueous phases: 3 M HNO₃ spiked with ²⁴¹Am(III) and ¹⁵²Eu(III), in the absence (blank, 1 D) or in the presence of 5% v DES (2 D–7 D). Mixing time of 60 min.

**Figure 2. Molecular structures of CyMe₄-BTBP (left), DMDOHEMA (top right), and TODGA (bottom right).**
phase improves the \( D \)-ratios and \( SF \) to a level comparable to the entries related to samples 2 D and 3 D. Interestingly, the data of sample 11 D indicate that the presence of the DES components in the correct molar ratio is \textit{di per sé} not sufficient to reproduce the effect of the DES added as a co-solvent. Overall, the data of Table 3 clearly show that DES is responsible for the increase in separation capability of the system, with a synergistic action of its components. In turn, the individual components of DES do not yield comparable results in the absence of the partner. These results permit to underline that the DES impact on process performance is not only due to an ionic contribution of HBA, but also it seems to be related to a synergic effect of the two components. The comparison of entry 3 D with 11 D highlights unexpected and unprecedented aspects of DES behavior. The current literature proposes distinct regimes of DES/water mixtures that can be sketched as water-in-DES and DES-in-water. The former is characterized by a relatively low water content (up to 42\% wt \( H_2O \) in ChCl–urea DES), which, nevertheless, does not induce the full disruption of the DES nanostructure. The latter, on the contrary, is dominated by water–water and DES–water interactions, leading to disruption of the DES structure. These findings prompted the DES community to focus on the water-in-DES dilution range, neglecting the higher dilution regime, DES-in-water, because “after the rupture of HB complexes, the system becomes a simple aqueous solution of the individual components”\textsuperscript{53}. The data of Table 3, in particular the case of 11 D, seem to indicate that the solvation features of DES, rather than the network of intermolecular H-bonds responsible for the DES nanostructuration and largely

| sample ID | aqueous phase | \( D_{Am} \) | \( D_{Eu} \) | \( SF_{Am/Eu} \) |
|-----------|--------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|
| 1 D       | blank (no DES) | 2.88        | 0.35        | 8.17           |
| 8 D       | diglycolic acid | 3.15        | 0.37        | 8.59           |
| 9 D       | choline acetate | 3.84        | 0.42        | 9.10           |
| 10 D      | glycolic acid  | 3.15        | 0.37        | 8.60           |
| 2 D       | ChAcDigA      | 10.86       | 0.50        | 21.60          |
| 3 D       | ChAcGlyA      | 13.06       | 0.53        | 25.00          |
| 11 D      | physical mixture | 4.83        | 0.42        | 11.6           |

\( ^a \)Organic phases: 0.015 M CyMe\(_4\)-BTBP in 1-octanol, pre-equilibrated with equal volume of 3 M HNO\(_3\). Aqueous phases: 3 M HNO\(_3\) spiked with \( ^{241}\)Am(III) and \( ^{152}\)Eu(III) in the absence (blank, 1 D) or in the presence of 0.2 M diglycolic acid (8 D), 0.2 M choline acetate (9 D), 0.2 M glycolic acid (10 D), and 5\% v DESs (0.2 M, ChAcDigA and ChAcGlyA, 2 D and 3 D, respectively) and 0.2 M of physical mixture (11 D, \textit{vide supra} for the definition). Mixing time of 60 min.
disrupted at the used dilution, may play a role in the partitioning here investigated. Although at this stage, we do not speculate on a possible general model providing a clear-cut rationalization of the results of Table 3, these findings suggest that the DES in water systems might have been overlooked, thus calling for further investigation on the dilution range commonly lacking of interest.

As a final remark, it is worth mentioning that the ester formation between choline and the organic acids used in this work (glycolic and diglycolic acid) was not observed under the experimental conditions used in this work. In their work, Rodriguez Rodriguez et al. showed that in the $^1$H NMR spectrum of ChClGlyA, there is a satellite peak downfield the intense singlet assigned to the trimethylammonium group (see Figure S8 of ref 54). The authors indicated such a satellite singlet as a marker of the ester formation, along with two other, less intense signals due to higher multiplicity. Figure 3 shows the comparison of the NMR spectra in D$_2$O and in D$_2$O + HNO$_3$ 3 M of 5% v ChAcGlyA from the present work. The spectra were recorded immediately after the DES dissolution and after 48 h. The spectra clearly show the absence of products other than the DES components and, noticeably, indicate that the satellite peak mentioned above is not detectable. The NMR spectrum of the acid solution repeated after 48 h confirmed the result mentioned above. In all of the spectra, the reporter NMR signals for the formation of the ester between the cholinium ion and the glycolic acid were not observed. This result is also in line with our recent results on acetate-based DES.55

3.4. Extraction Kinetics. As above reported, the addition of a small amount of DES as a co-solvent permits to increase the extraction and selectivity of the system. A possible role of the DES in the extraction kinetics could be hypothesized. In order to corroborate this hypothesis, the system with ChAcGlyA DES at 5% v, being the best performing DES as shown in Table 3, was tested at different mixing times (10, 30, 60, 120, 180, and 360 min). For proper comparison, the blank system (i.e., without DES) is tested under the same experimental conditions. The comparison between the obtained $D$-ratios and SF is reported in Figure 4 and in Figure 5, respectively. The major difference is evident for the shorter mixing times, i.e., between 10 and 120 min. Under these conditions, the $D_{\text{Am}}$—and $D_{\text{Eu}}$ at a lower extent—increases several times in the presence of DES, if compared with the control, i.e., without DES, as reported in Table 4. The ratios of mixing times above 240 min. This could be indicative of a more complex phenomenon, as selectivity is dominated by short-term kinetic factors and long-term thermodynamic.

Table 4 represent the extraction efficiency (or selectivity) variations since they are calculated by dividing the $D$-ratios (or the SF) obtained in the presence of the DES by those obtained without the DES. In particular, the extraction efficiency of both Am and Eu improves by a factor greater than 1 for mixing times below 120 min. Moreover, the extraction efficiency variation tends toward the unit as the mixing time increases. This means that the extraction equilibrium is reached at shorter mixing times if DES is introduced in the system. This suggests the effect of DES as the phase transfer catalyst in the extraction system and is a first confirmation of the hypothesized improved kinetics. The steeper increase in Am extraction efficiency toward Eu entails the improved selectivity of the system for shorter mixing times (up to three times better), as shown by the SF reported in Figure 5 and in Table 4. On the other hand, a reversal SF trend could be noticed at mixing times above 240 min. This could be indicative of a more complex phenomenon, as selectivity is dominated by short-term kinetic factors and long-term thermodynamic.

![Figure 4](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.0c05109)  
**Figure 4.** Distribution ratios of trivalent Am and Eu as a function of the mixing time. Organic phases: 0.015 M CyMe$_4$-BTBP in 1-octanol, pre-equilibrated with equal volume of 3 M HNO$_3$. Aqueous phases: 3 M HNO$_3$, spiked with $^{241}$Am(III) and $^{152}$Eu(III), with/without 5% v DES (i.e., ChAcGlyA).

![Figure 5](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.0c05109)  
**Figure 5.** Am/Eu separation factor as a function of the mixing time. Organic phases: 0.015 M CyMe$_4$-BTBP in 1-octanol, pre-equilibrated with equal volume of 3 M HNO$_3$. Aqueous phases: 3 M HNO$_3$, spiked with $^{241}$Am(III) and $^{152}$Eu(III), with (red symbols) or without (blue symbols) 5% v DES (i.e., ChAcGlyA).
factors. This intriguing phenomenon could be the subject of a further targeted study.

The above-described outcomes are supposed to show interesting fall-out in view of the implementation of an industrial process. In fact, a sufficiently fast extraction kinetics is a key requirement for a hydrometallurgical separation process on an industrial scale since centrifugal contactors are endowed with residence times of around 2–5 min, depending on flow rate and dimensions. By way of example, the reduction of aqueous and organic phases flow rates may be helpful in obtaining satisfactory results with slow kinetics systems. On the other hand, under these circumstances, improvement of extraction kinetics is preferably pursued by modifying the system composition (e.g., by adding phase transfer catalysts), rather than by excessively reducing the flow rate, otherwise the processing capability would also be compromised.

3.5. DES Concentration. The previous sections showed the identification of the optimal conditions to sufficiently improve the extraction process using CyMe4-BTBP as a selective extractant of An(III) and the DES as a phase transfer catalyst. The next step was the evaluation of the effect of DES concentration on the extraction capability of the system. The ChAcGlyA DES was added at 5, 10, 20, 35, and 50% v, maintaining the final concentration of HNO3 at 3 M. These experiments were performed by keeping 1 h as the mixing time, which is a good compromise for efficiency and selectivity. The results are summarized in Figure 6.

![Figure 6. Distribution ratios of trivalent Am and Eu and Am/Eu separation factors as a function of the Absorbed Dose](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.0c05109)

As shown in the graphic, both \( D_{\text{Am}} \), \( D_{\text{Eu}} \), and \( SF_{\text{Am/Eu}} \) are nearly constant at low DES concentrations, namely, in the 5–20% v range, indicating the robustness of the system toward undesired fluctuation of this parameter that may occur during process operation. Under these conditions, good performance in terms of Am extraction capability and Am/Eu selectivity is obtained. At the same time, \( D_{\text{Eu}} \) remains below the unit, thus allowing the implementation of a selective separation process, resulting in a pure Am product. By further increasing the ChAcGlyA DES concentration, both \( D_{\text{Am}} \) and \( D_{\text{Eu}} \) increase, while the \( SF_{\text{Am/Eu}} \) slightly decreases. Consequently, even if Am extraction efficiency improves, the Am product is not as pure, being also the Eu extraction more favored, resulting in a loss of process selectivity. The results shown in Figure 6 point out that the favorable effect of DES as a co-solvent seems to be independent of the DES nanostructuration but rather connected to the solvation properties of the DES components under the process conditions.

3.6. Radiolytic Stability. The radiolytic stability of the DESs used in the present work was tested according to the following protocol: electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI–MS) analyses were performed on fresh and irradiated (integrated absorbed dose of 25–100 kGy with a 2.5 kGy/h dose rate 60Co source) ChAcDigA as a DES representative, pure and diluted with 3 M HNO3 aqueous solutions (5–50% v). For all the samples, the ESI–MS spectra of irradiated solutions resulted to be unaltered with respect to fresh ones. The irradiated solutions of 5–50% v ChAcDigA dissolved with 3 M HNO3 were spiked with trace amounts of 241Am(III) and 152Eu(III), leading to the final 3.75% v and 37.5% v ChAcDigA concentration, respectively. The final acidity of the aqueous phases was 2.9 and 2.3 M, respectively. The irradiated aqueous phases were in contact with the CyMe4-BTBP-based organic solvent, previously pre-equilibrated with HNO3. These extraction experiments were performed in order to ascertain if the performances of the system are influenced by radiolysis. For proper comparison, not irradiated ChAcDigA solutions were also tested. The results are reported in Table 5. As it could be evinced, at low DES concentration, the distribution ratios of Am and Eu and the Am/Eu separation factor remain stable up to 25 kGy, and they decrease at higher absorbed dose. On the other hand, at higher DES concentration, both Am and Eu distribution ratios already decrease at the lowest absorbed dose tested, but then they remain almost constant up to 100 kGy. This different behavior may be explained by the possibly higher concentration of radiolytic byproducts when high DES concentration solution is irradiated. The reduction of cation extraction into the organic phase may be due to competing complexation capability between CyMe4-BTBP and DES radiolytic byproducts. The apparent contradiction between solvent extraction and ESI–MS experiments may be justified by the intrinsic spectrometer’s limitations in the detection of these byproducts. Even if this is just a preliminary screening of DES radiolytic behavior, a promising radiation resistance has been highlighted, especially at low DES.

| DES [% v] | HNO3 [M] | absorbed dose [kGy] | \( D_{\text{Am}} \) | \( D_{\text{Eu}} \) | \( SF_{\text{Am/Eu}} \) |
|---------|---------|---------------------|--------|--------|----------------|
| 3.75    | 2.9     | 0                   | 10.39  | 0.49   | 21.03          |
| 3.75    | 2.9     | 25                  | 10.54  | 0.47   | 22.24          |
| 3.75    | 2.9     | 100                 | 5.73   | 0.43   | 13.39          |
| 37.5    | 2.3     | 0                   | 24.39  | 1.82   | 13.37          |
| 37.5    | 2.3     | 25                  | 15.37  | 0.93   | 16.51          |
| 37.5    | 2.3     | 100                 | 15.52  | 0.86   | 17.97          |

Two different HNO3 and DES concentrations were tested. Organic phases: 0.015 M CyMe4-BTBP in 1-octanol, pre-equilibrated with equal volume of 3 M HNO3. Aqueous phases: 3 M HNO3 spiked with 241Am(III) and 152Eu(III), in the absence (0% v) or in the presence (5–50% v) of DES (i.e., ChAcGlyA). Mixing time of 60 min.
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concentration. Moreover, CyMe₄-BTBP extraction performance in the presence of the DES is still largely better than that without adding the DES to the aqueous phase, further supporting the introduction of this innovative reagent. In conclusion, the results of the present work suggest that the addition of only 5% v DES is sufficient to reach optimal conditions for both Am extraction and Am/Eu selectivity. This result suggests a perspective of implementation in industrial processes. The addition of 5% v DES acting de facto as a phase transfer catalyst permits to reduce the contact time and to improve the process performance. In addition, the DES is not a classical catalyst or ligand. It is obtained by simple mixing of two components commercially available without synthesis and purification steps required.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have demonstrated the proficient applicability of the selected DESs in SANEX-like processes as co-solvents. A novel extraction system, in which the added DES shows catalytic activity, improved the extraction kinetics and the performance of CyMe₄-BTBP extractant. The role of DES was investigated, resulting in not only an increase in ionic contribution to the system but also mainly a synergic effect of the two components. The use of a preformed DES co-solvent, rather than the independent DES components added separately to the aqueous phase, was demonstrated to play a role in the efficiency and selectivity of the extraction. A selection of DES was tested, and in all the cases, an improvement of extraction capability was observed. The best results were obtained with choline acetate-based DES, in particular with ChAcGlyA. The present study on this new system suggests the capability of DESs to improve the extraction kinetics of CyMe₄-BTBP, which is one of the main weak points of this ligand. This would introduce a more efficient, selective, and compact Am(III) extraction stage. Further investigation about the mechanism should be followed but the results herein report are a good starting point. Moreover, the DES-containing aqueous phase showed promising stability toward radiolysis. Concerning future works, the performance of the proposed system should be verified with a more realistic feed, at least including also Cm(III), all of the Ln and the main fission and corrosion products at real concentration. This will allow to ascertain the applicability of the proposed system to SANEX-like processes.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author
Elena Macerata — Department of Energy - Nuclear Engineering Division, Politecnico di Milano, I-20133 Milano, Italy; orcid.org/0000-0002-8941-0764; Email: elena.macerata@polimi.it

Authors
Greta Colombo Dugoni — Department of Chemistry, Materials and Chemical Engineering “G. Natta”, Politecnico di Milano, I-20133 Milano, Italy
Eros Mossini — Department of Energy - Nuclear Engineering Division, Politecnico di Milano, I-20133 Milano, Italy
Alessandro Sacchetti — Department of Chemistry, Materials and Chemical Engineering “G. Natta”, Politecnico di Milano, I-20133 Milano, Italy; orcid.org/0000-0002-4830-0825

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

G.C.D. thanks Politecnico di Milano for her Inter-Departments PhD scholarship. The authors wish to thank the University of Reading (School of Chemistry) that supplied the CyMe₄-BTBP ligand.

REFERENCES

(1) Now, P. B.; Buying, I. B. Nuclear Energy Market Size, Share, Trends Analysis Strategies and Segment Forecasts (2019-2025). Ind. Rep. 2020, 2019–2025 https://www.grandviewresearch.com.
(2) Poinssot, C.; Bourg, S.; Ouvrier, N.; Combernoux, N.; Rostaing, C.; Vargas-Gonzalez, M.; Bruno, J. Assessment of the Environmental Footprint of Nuclear Energy Systems. Comparison between Closed and Open Fuel Cycles. Energy 2014, 69, 199–211.
(3) Siglobov, D. N.; Yakovlev, R. M.; Myasoedov, B. F. Thorium-Uranium Fuel Cycle for Heat and Power Engineering. Radiochemistry 2007, 49, 441–448.
(4) Choppin, G. R.; Morgenstern, A. Radionuclide Separations in Radioactive Waste Disposal. J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 2000, 243, 45–51.
(5) Modolo, G.; Geist, A.; Migurditchian, M. Minor Actinide Separations in the Reproprocessing of Spent Nuclear Fuels: Recent Advances in Europe. In Reprocessing and Recycling of Spent Nuclear Fuel; Woodhead Publishing; 2015; pp. 245–287. DOI: 10.1016/b978-1-78242-212-9.00010-1.
(6) Mathur, J. N.; Murali, M. S.; Nash, K. L. ACTINIDE PARTITIONING—A REVIEW. Solvent Extr. Ion Exch. 2001, 19, 357–390.
(7) Nash, K. L.; Nilsson, M. Introduction to the Reprocessing and Recycling of Spent Nuclear Fuels. Reproc. Recycl. Spent Nucl. Fuel 2015, 3–25.
(8) Bourg, S.; Hill, C.; Caravaca, C.; Rhodes, C.; Ekberg, C.; Taylor, R.; Geist, A.; Modolo, G.; Cassayre, L.; Malmbeck, R.; Harrison, M.; De Angelis, G.; Espartero, A.; Bouvet, S.; Ouvrier, N. ACSEPT - Partitioning Technologies and Actinide Science: Towards Pilot Facilities in Europe. In Nuclear Engineering and Design; 2011. DOI: 10.1016/j.nucengdes.2011.03.011, 3427.
(9) Bourg, S.; Geist, A.; Narbutt, J. SACSESS – the EURATOM FP7 Project on Actinide Separation from Spent Nuclear Fuels. Nukleonika 2015, 60, 809–814.
(10) Hill, C.; Guilleaune, D.; Berthon, L.; Madic, C. Sanex-Btp Process Development Studies. J. Nucl. Sci. Technol. 2002, 39, 309–312.
(11) Modolo, G.; Odoj, R. The Separation of Trivalent Actinides from Lanthanides by Dithiophosphinic Acids from HNO₃ Acid Medium. J. Alloys Compd. 1998, 271-273, 248–251.
(12) Panak, P.; Geist, A. Complexation and Extraction of Trivalent Actinides and Lanthanides by Tribenzylpyridine N-Donor Ligands. Chem. Rev. 2013, 113, 1199–1236.
(13) Kolarik, Z.; Müllich, U.; Gassner, F. SELECTIVE EXTRAC- TION OF Am(III) OVER Eu(III) BY 2,6-DITRIAZOYL-
Chem. 2012, 55, 1171–1183.

(16) Engdahl, E.; Retegan, T.; Skarnemark, G.; Foreman, M. R. S.; Hudson, M. J.; Englund, S.; Nilsson, M. An Overview and Historical Look Back at the Solvent Extraction Using Nitrogen Donor Ligands to Extract and Separate An(III) from Ln(III). Radiochim. Acta 2008, 96, 225–233.

(17) Modolo, G.; Wilden, A.; Kaufholz, P.; Bosbach, D.; Geist, A. Development and Demonstration of Innovative Partitioning Processes (i-SANEX and 1-Cycle SANEX) for Actinide Partitioning. Prog. Nucl. Energy 2014, 72, 107–114.

(18) Magnusson, D.; Christiansen, B.; Foreman, M. R. S.; Geist, A.; Glatz, J. P.; Malmbeck, R.; Modolo, G.; Serrano-Purroy, D.; Sorel, C. Demonstration of a SANEX Process in Centrifugal Contactors Using the CyMe4-BTBP Molecule on a Genuine Fuel Solution. Solvent Extr. Ion Exch. 2009, 27, 97–106.

(19) Retegan, T.; Drew, M.; Elkerberg, C.; Engdahl, E. L.; Hudson, M. J.; Fermvik, A.; Foreman, M. R. S.; Modolo, G.; Geist, A. Synthesis and Screening of rBu-CyMe4-BTBP, and Comparison with CyMe4-BTBP. Solvent Extr. Ion Exch. 2014, 32, 720–736.

(20) Lewis, F. W.; Harwood, L. M.; Hudson, M. J.; Distler, P.; John, J.; Stambek, G.; Núñez, A.; Galán, H.; Espartero, A. G. Synthesis and Evaluation of Lipophilic BTBP Ligands for An/Ln Separation in Nuclear Waste Treatment: The Effect of Alkyl Substitution on Extraction Properties and Implications for Ligand Design. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 2012, 1509–1519.

(21) Elkerberg, C.; Aneheim, E.; Fermvik, A.; Foreman, M.; Löfström-Engdahl, E.; Retegan, T.; Spendlikova, I. Thermodynamics of Dissolution for Bis(Triazine)-Bipyridine-Class Ligands in Different Diluents and Its Reflection on Extraction. J. Chem. Eng. Data 2010, 55, 5133–5137.

(22) Macerata, E.; Mossini, E.; Scaravaggi, S.; Mariani, M.; Mele, A.; Panzeri, W.; Boubsal, N.; Berthon, L.; Charbonnel, M. C.; Sansone, F.; Arduini, A.; Casnati, A. Hydrophilic Clicked 2,6-Bis-Triazolylpyridines Endowed with High Actinide Selectivity and Radiochemical Stability: Toward a Closed Nuclear Fuel Cycle. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 7232–7235.

(23) Wagner, C.; Mossini, E.; Macerata, E.; Mariani, M.; Arduini, A.; Casnati, A.; Geist, A.; Panak, P. J. Time-Resolved Laser Fluorescence Spectroscopy Study of the Coordination Chemistry of a Hydrophilic CHON [1,2,3-Triazol-4-Yl]Pyridine Ligand with Cm(III) and Eu(III). Inorg. Chem. 2017, 56, 2135–2144.

(24) Mossini, E.; Macerata, E.; Wilden, A.; Kaufholz, P.; Modolo, G.; Iotti, N.; Casnati, A.; Geist, A.; Mariani, M. Optimization and Single-Stage Centrifugal Contactor Experiments with the Novel Hydrophilic Complexant PyTri-Diol for the i-SANEX Process. Solvent Extr. Ion Exch. 2018, 36, 373–386.

(25) Mossini, E.; Macerata, E.; Brambilla, L.; Panzeri, W.; Mele, A.; Castiglioni, C.; Mariani, M. Radiolytic Degradation of Hydrophilic PyTri Ligands for Minor Actinide Recycling. J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 2019, 322, 1663–1673.

(26) Weßling, P.; Trumml, M.; Macerata, E.; Ossola, A.; Mossini, E.; Gullo, M. C.; Arduini, A.; Casnati, A.; Mariani, M.; Adam, C.; Geist, A.; Panak, P. J. Activation of the Aromatic Core of 3,3′-(Pyridine-2,6-diylbis(1H-1,2,3-triazole-4,1-diyl))bis(propan-1-ol)—Effects on Extraction Performance, Stability Constants, and Basicity. Inorg. Chem. 2019, 58, 14642–14651.

(27) Ossola, A.; Macerata, E.; Mossini, E.; Giola, M.; Gullo, M. C.; Arduini, A.; Casnati, A.; Mariani, M. 2,6-Bis(1-Alkyl-1H-1,2,3-Triazol-4-Yl)-Pyridines: Selective Lipophilic Chelating Ligands for Minor Actinides. J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 2018, 318, 2013–2022.

(28) D’Anna, F.; Harper, J. Ionic Liquids: Properties and Applications; Frontiers Media: Lausanne, Ed.; 2019; Vol. 108. DOI: 10.3389/978-2-88683-005-9.

(29) Abbott, A. P.; Capper, G.; Davies, D. L.; Munro, H. L.; Rasheed, R. K.; Tambyrajah, V. Preparation of novel, moisture-stable, Lewis-acidic ionic liquids containing quaternary ammonium salts with functional side chains; Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: plot of conductivity vs. temperature for the ionic liquid formed from zinc chloride and chloro fluoride (2:1). See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b1/b106357j/.

(30) Martens, M. A. R.; Pinho, S. P.; Coutinho, J. A. P. Insights into the Nature of Eutectic and Deep Eutectic Mixtures. J. Solution Chem. 2019, 48, 962–982.

(31) Abbott, A. P.; Capper, G.; Davies, D. L.; Rasheed, R. K.; Tambyrajah, V. Novel Solvent Properties of Chlorine Chloride/Urea Mixtures. Chem. Commun. 2003, 1, 70–71.

(32) Mbou, Y. P.; Hayyan, M.; Hayyan, A.; Wong, W. F.; Hashim, M. A.; Looi, C. Y. Applications of Deep Eutectic Solvents in Biotechnology and Bioengineering—Promises and Challenges. Biotechnol. Adv. 2017, 105–134.

(33) Torregrosa-Crespo, J.; Marset, X.; Guillena, G.; Ramón, D. J.; María Martínez-Espinosa, R. New Guidelines for Testing “Deep Eutectic Solvents” Toxicity and Their Effects on the Environment and Living Beings. Sci. Total Environ. 2020, 704, 135382.

(34) Zhang, Q.; De Oliveira Vigier, K.; Royer, S.; Jérôme, F. Deep eutectic solvents: syntheses, properties and applications. RIC rev. 2012, 41, 7108–7146.

(35) Pätzold, M.; Siebenhaller, S.; Kara, S.; Liese, A.; Syldatz, C.; Holmânt, D. Deep Eutectic Solvents as Efficient Solvents in Biocatalysis. Trends Biotechnol. 2019, 37, 943–959.

(36) Nkuku, C. A.; LeSuer, R. J. Electrochemistry in Deep Eutectic Solvents. J. Phys. Chem. B 2007, 111, 13271–13277.

(37) Mannu, A.; Ferro, M.; Colombo Bugioni, G.; Di Pietro, M. E.; Garroni, S.; Mele, A. From Deep Eutectic Solvents to Deep Band Gap Systems. J. Mol. Liq. 2020, 301, 112441.

(38) Abbott, A. P.; Collins, J.; Dalrymple, J.; Harris, R. C.; Mistry, R.; Qui, F.; Scheier, J.; Wise, W. R. Processing of Electric Arc Furnace Dust Using Deep Eutectic Solvents. Aust. J. Chem. 2009, 62, 341–347.

(39) Gilmore, M.; McCourt, É. N.; Connolly, F.; Nockemann, F.; Swadzba-Kwasny, M.; Holbrey, J. D. Hydrophobic Deep Eutectic Solvents Incorporating Triethylphosphine Oxide: Advanced Liquid Extractants. ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng. 2018, 6, 17133–17132.

(40) Van Osch, D. J. G. P.; Kollau, L. J. B. M.; Van Den Bruinhorst, A.; Asikainen, S.; Rocha, M. A. A.; Kroon, M. C. Ionic Liquids and Deep Eutectic Solvents for Lignocellulosic Biomass Fractionation. Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics; The Royal Society of Chemistry: 2017, pp. 2636–2665. DOI: 10.1039/c6cp07499e.

(41) Gupta, R.; Vats, B.; Pandey, A. K.; Sharma, M. K.; Sahu, P.; Yadav, A. K.; Ali, S. M.; Kannan, S. Insight into Speciation and Electrochemistry of Uranil Ions in Deep Eutectic Solvents. J. Phys. Chem. B 2020, 124, 181–189.

(42) Jablonsky, M.; Haz, A.; Majova, V. Assessing the Opportunities for Applying Deep Eutectic Solvents for Fractionation of Beech Wood and Wheat Straw. Cellulose 2019, 26, 7675–7684.

(43) Sharma, B.; Singh, N.; Jain, T.; Kushwaha, J. P.; Singh, P. Acetonitrile Dehydration via Extractive Distillation Using Low Transition Temperature Mixtures as Entrainers. J. Chem. Eng. Data 2018, 63, 2921–2930.

(44) Li, Z.; Lee, P. I. Investigation on Drug Solubility Enhancement Using Deep Eutectic Solvents and Their Derivatives. Int. J. Pharm. 2016, 505, 283–288.
(45) Manolova, M.; Böck, R.; Scharf, I.; Mehner, T.; Lampke, T. Electrodeposition of Pd Alloys from Choline Chloride/Urea Deep Eutectic Solvents. J. Alloys Compd. 2021, 855, 157462.
(46) Shehata, M.; Unlu, A.; Sezerman, U.; Timucin, E. Lipase and Water in a Deep Eutectic Solvent: Molecular Dynamics and Experimental Studies of the Effects of Water-In-Deep Eutectic Solvents on Lipase Stability. J. Phys. Chem. B 2020, 124, 8801–8810.
(47) Foreman, M. R. S.; Hudson, M. J.; Drew, M. G. B.; Hill, C.; Madic, C. Complexes Formed between the Quadridentate, Heterocyclic Molecules 6,6′-Bis-(5,6-Dialkyl-1,2,4-Triazin-3-Yl)-2,2′-Bipyridine (BTBP) and Lanthanides(ii): Implications for the Partitioning of Actinides(ii) and Lanthanides(ii). Dalton Trans. 2006, 13, 1645–1653.
(48) Drew, M. G. B.; Guillaumeux, D.; Hudson, M. J.; Iveson, P. B.; Russell, M. L.; Madic, C. Lanthanide(III) Complexes of a Highly Efficient Actinide(III) Extracting Agent—2,6-Bis(5,6-Dipropyl-1,2,4-Triazin-3-Yl)Pyridine. Inorg. Chem. Commun. 2001, 4, 12–15.
(49) Welßling, P.; Trumm, M.; Geist, A.; Panak, P. J. Stoichiometry of An(II)–DMDHEOA Complexes Formed during Solvent Extraction. Dalton Trans. 2018, 47, 10906–10914.
(50) Tsipis, A. C.; Tsipis, C. A.; Valla, V. Quantum chemical study of the coordination of glycolic acid conformers and their conjugate bases to [Ca(OH₂)ₙ]²⁺ (n=0–4) ions. J. Mol. Struct.: THEOCHEM 2003, 630, 81–100.
(51) Agieienko, V.; Buchner, R. Densities, Viscosities, and Electrical Conductivities of Pure Anhydrous Reline and Its Mixtures with Water in the Temperature Range (293.15 to 338.15) K. J. Chem. Eng. Data 2019, 64, 4763–4774.
(52) Hammond, O. S.; Bowron, D. T.; Edler, K. J. The Effect of Water upon Deep Eutectic Solvent Nanostructure: An Unusual Transition from Ionic Mixture to Aqueous Solution. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 9782–9785.
(53) López-Salas, N.; Vicent-Luna, J. M.; Imberti, S.; Posada, E.; Roldán, M. J.; Anta, J. A.; Balestra, S. R. G.; Madero Castro, R. M.; Calero, S.; Jiménez-Riobóo, R. J.; Gutiérrez, M. C.; Ferrer, M. L.; del Monte, F. Looking at the “Water-in-Deep-Eutectic-Solvent” System: A Dilution Range for High Performance Eutectics. ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng. 2019, 7, 17565–17573.
(54) Rodríguez Rodríguez, N.; van den Bruijnhorst, A.; Kollau, L. J. B. M.; Kroon, M. C.; Binnemans, K. Degradation of Deep-Eutectic Solvents Based on Choline Chloride and Carboxylic Acids. ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng. 2019, 7, 11521–11528.
(55) Colombo Dugoni, G.; Mezzetta, A.; Guazzelli, L.; Chiappe, C.; Ferro, M.; Mele, A. Purification of Kraft Cellulose in Mild Conditions Using Choline Acetate Based Deep Eutectic Solvents. Green Chem. 2020, 22, 8680–8691.
(56) Magnusson, D.; Christiansen, B.; Glatz, J. P.; Malmbeck, R.; Modolo, G.; Serrano-Purroy, D.; Sorel, C. Towards an Optimized Flow-Sheet for a SANEX Demonstration Process Using Centrifugal Contactors. Radiochim. Acta 2009, 97, 155–159.