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요 약
본 논문에서는 감염된 IP로부터 악성 공격을 감지하고 예방하기 위한 안전하고 효율적인 온칩버스를 기술한다. 대부분의 상호 연결 시스템(온칩버스)은 모든 데이터와 제어 신호가 밀접하게 연결되어 있기 때문에 하드웨어의 공격에 취약하다. 본 논문에서 제안하는 보안 버스는 개선된 아비터, 어드레스 디코딩, 마스터와 슬레이브 인터페이스로 구성되며, AHB (Advanced High-performance Bus)와 APB(Advance Peripheral Bus)를 이용하여 설계되었다. 또한, 보안 버스는 매 전송마다 아비터가 마스터의 점유율을 확인하고 감염된 마스터와 슬레이브를 관리하는 알고리즘으로 구현하였다. 제안하는 하드웨어는 Xilinx ISE 14.7을 사용하여 설계하였으며, Virtex4 XC4VLX80 FPGA 디바이스가 장착된 HBE-SoC-IPD 테스트 보드를 사용하여 검증하였다. TSMC 0.13㎛ CMOS 표준 셀 라이브러리로 합성한 결과 약 39K 개의 게이트로 구현되었으며 최대 동작주파수는 313MHz이다.

ABSTRACT
A secure and effective on-chip bus for detecting and preventing malicious attacks by infected IPs is presented in this paper. Most system inter-connects (on-chip bus) are vulnerable to hardware Trojan (Malware) attack because all data and control signals are routed. A proposed secure bus with modifications in arbitration, address decoding, and wrapping for bus master and slaves is designed using the Advanced High-Performance and Advance Peripheral Bus (AHB and APB Bus). It is implemented with the concept that arbiter checks share of masters and manage infected masters and slaves in every transaction. The proposed hardware is designed with the Xilinx 14.7 ISE and verified using the HBE-SoC-IPD test board equipped with Virtex4 XC4VLX80 FPGA device. The design has a total gate count of 39K at an operating frequency of 313MHz using the 0.13㎛ TSMC process.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The semiconductor industry has grown over the years and with predictions by Moore's Law, they are becoming even more complex with each passing generation of technology. The issue of hardware security was raised by DARPA (Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency), an agency of the U.S. Defense Department which deals with emerging technologies and national security [1].

An increase in complexity of IC processing is an equal increase in security vulnerability. A Trojan attack on an IC can be planted in the various stages of the design life-cycle. Due to the complexity and reduced size of semiconductors, the design process has become independent and also possible to incorporate third party IPs into designs. The aforementioned factors have increased the security risk of the semiconductor process and have widened the avenue for hardware Trojan attacks. Despite the enormous amounts of effort that has been devoted to software security, relatively little attention has been directed to hardware security in general, and much less to the issue of how to detect and respond to run-time Trojan attacks. Hardware Trojan are usually designed in a way that makes it highly difficult to detect. The Trojans are triggered by specific conditions or signals that rarely occur in an IC which makes it difficult to detect the presence of Hardware malware using random and functional stimuli. In some cases, such as when an attack shuts down the system functionality, the presence of an attack may be relatively easy to detect. Other attacks, such as those involving a Trojan that works in the background to exfiltrate data to an off-chip location may be more difficult to detect. The run-time handling of Trojan attacks can be partitioned into the two major tasks of 1) detection, and 2) response. In this research, the main system interconnect which is the location of interest for Trojan attacks is redesigned with additional circuitry to the conventional bus arbiter, address decoder, slave to master multiplexor and master to slave multiplexor for Trojan attack detection and prevention.

The remainder of this paper is organised as follows, related research in section II, proposed bus architecture in section III, experiment and discussion in section IV and finally conclusion in section V.

II. RELATED RESEARCH

The previous work relevant to the current paper lies in two areas: works directly addressing hardware Trojans and those generally addressing issues of reliability, self-repair and regeneration of circuits and finally embedded reconfigurable hardware.

2.1. Hardware Trojan

Hardware Trojan is a piece of malicious hardware which is embedded in a system without the knowledge of the end-user or third party IP user as stated in [2]. This malicious hardware can change the functionality of a system or can leak confidential information or even grant entry into the system from an external location without the permission or knowledge of the user. From figure 1, hardware Trojan typically consists of two main features, stated in [2] that is:

1. It should have a malicious intent and
2. It should evade detection under conventional post-manufacturing test/validation process, hence the general structure with two main parts. The triggering logic part and the payload logic part in [3].

![Fig. 1 General Structure of Hardware Trojans](image)
A Trojan takes inputs from some internal nets of the main circuit to the Trojan payload and re-stitches some other nets of the main circuit through the payload to modify design functionality. The Trigger Logic is the part of the Trojan that determines the conditions under which the payload Logic sends erroneous data into the main circuit. These parts of the Trojan are the main aspects that cause the Trojan to be fully functional. The payload logic cannot function without the trigger logic and the trigger logic's output is useless if there is nothing to trigger. There are two forms of Trojan, The Sequential Trojan and the Combinational Trojan. Combinational Trojan in figure 2, does not contain any state elements such as flip-flops or latches. It depends on the simultaneous occurrence of a set of rare node conditions for its triggering, while the sequential Trojan shown in figure 3, undergoes a series of state transitions that occur on a system clock edge before triggering a malfunction.

Fig. 2 Combinational Trojans

Fig. 3 Sequential Trojans

2.2. Trojan Detection Methodologies

Owing to design complexity and reduction in hardware size of ICs, it can now be designed independently, meaning third-party IPs can be incorporated into designs and designs can also be outsourced and used together with test services and EDA (Electronic Design Automation) tools for a design process. In [4], attacks can happen in any of the untrusted phases or stages in the IC life cycle. The main stages of the IC life cycle are the design and fabrication phases or stages. Each of the stages involved in the life cycle of the IC can be a potential stage for an attack. That is, the IC can be tempered with through the addition, deletion and/or alteration of the circuit structure and also through modification of the steps of the manufacturing process. Objectives of such attacks shown in [5, 6] include

1. Tarnishing the image of a company just to gain competitive advantage in the market
2. Disruption of major national infrastructure by causing malfunction in electronics used in mission-critical systems or leak sensitive information from the chip to illegally access a secure system.

A number of Trojan Detection methodology have been developed over the past years. These methods are either destructive or non-destructive. The destructive is more complex, difficult and time consuming due to processes such as reverse engineering where the whole chip will have to be disintegrated for it to be examined. With the non-destructive one, run-time detection takes place during test-time stage. The Test-time detection include side channel signal analysis and Trojan action analysis.

Agrawal et al worked on the first side channel information used to detect the contribution of Trojan to circuit power consumption in [7]. Trojans typically change a design's parametric characteristics, for example, by degrading the performance, changing power characteristics or introducing reliability problems in the chip. This influences power and/or delay characteristics of wires and gates in the affected circuit and hence side channel signals including timing and power, can be used for Trojan detection. The power signature of Trojan-free (golden circuit) ICs are obtained through the random application of patterns and power measurement. Limited number of ICs are reverse engineered to ensure they are Trojan free. Upon receipt of the reference signature, the same random patterns were performed to the IC under
authentication. The results are then compared. Trojan inserted ICs are seen to consume more power than the Trojan free ICs due to the additional gates. Figure 4 shows an example.

![Fig. 4 Trojan Detection Using Power Analysis](image)

There are also techniques that analyze the impact of Trojans on design performance. Just as additional gates or wiring introduces extra capacitances, any rising or falling on Trojan-inserted paths creates extra time for transition. A Trojan detection scheme using path delay fingerprint which was proposed by Y. Jin and Y. Makris [8] is similar to that carried out by Agrawal et al [7]. A circuit has several paths with each representing one part of the entire circuit characteristic. The method measured the delay of several nominated paths on several chips to bring process variations into account. Then after, the chips are reverse engineered to ensure they are genuine, then their measurements are used as a signature. The procedure is repeated on another chip and the results compared to the signature. Any difference indicate the likelihood of a Trojan insertion.

### III. PROPOSED SECURE BUS ARCHITECTURE

Run-time monitoring of hardware Trojan activities are performed after the IC has been deployed and have several unique advantages. For instance, a Trojan-inserted hardware will perform its intended function for its entire lifetime if the Trojan remains inactive and therefore, a valid way to test this will be to deploy the Trojan infected IC and monitor its behaviour. One of the most common IPs used in most hardware design is an on-chip bus. The system bus, an AMBA AHB bus for this research, is mostly the target of interest for most Trojans [9]. Proposing a secure AHB bus, will help in the run time detection of Trojans.

#### 3.1. Proposed Secure Arbiter

The bus arbiter is the “traffic cop” that determines which master requesting permission to use the bus actually gets to use the bus. Basically the conventional arbiter uses the bus request, HBUSREQx signals and the bus lock, HLOCKx signals which is used by a master that wants to use the bus for an extended period of time, to inform the arbiter of its intention. The arbiter then generates the HGRANT and HMMASTER signals which are the grant and master IDs respectively. A malicious master without the intention to perform a burst transaction can keep the bus locked to itself. Not all, a master with desire to perform a burst transaction can keep the bus longer than the expected time. The proposed architecture shown in figure 5 operates with multiple arbitration schemes. A Trojan infected IP can have its HLOCK signal high. The secure arbiter using a threshold value, a value determined by the system designers either by use of a golden model or by analysis to determines how long a master can keep the bus locked. After the bus is granted to a master, the MLD block begins to monitor the particular master ID using the bus and if the master used or activated its HLOCK signal for more than the threshold. A Malicious Lock Detect signal is generated. This signal serves as an enable signal for the Trojan Mask Reg. This register keeps the ID of the current master that locked the bus when the malicious logic detect signal was asserted. This ID is used to mask out any new bus request coming in every time. If a new request matches the master whose ID has been registered in the Trojan Mask Reg., that particular Master is blocked. The multiple arbitration mentioned earlier has two main schemes.
The fair chance round robin arbitration allows a master to use the bus again only after all other masters have had their turn to use the bus. That is, if there are 3 masters requesting for the bus usage and master one has the bus, it will not get to use the bus again until master 2 and master 3 have all had their chance to use the bus. This scheme is used together with the highest priority arbitration to curb the issue of master starvation while still keeping order of priority in task execution. If there is an instance where a master which has high priority keeps the bus for longer than the allowed, a threshold, which is also determined by the use of a golden model or an extensive examination is provided. A master which occupies the bus without locking the bus to itself is checked again by the MLD block. A master that uses the bus longer than this threshold generates the switch arbitration signal which automatically switches the arbitration scheme to fair chance round robin if the current scheme was the highest priority arbitration. The signal UAD is an Unauthorized Access Detection signal that is generated by the address Decoder. The UAD or Malicious Lock signal is used to generate the enable signal (Malicious Lock Detect) to register the Master ID.

3.2. Proposed Secure Address Decoder

The proposed secure address decoder basically uses a simple ROM in the Comparator where each master and its corresponding restricted address for a slave is set by the system designer. The ROM uses the master ID HMASTER as the row address. The slave select HSEL signal, after it has been decoded into column address, is used to read the particular slaves restricted address. The memory for this research is a 5X144 ROM with each location occupying about 36 bits of data.

3.3. Proposed Secure Master to Slave Multiplexor

The proposed secure master to slave multiplexor is used to monitor control signals HBURST and HSIZE. Simple registers are used to keep the allowable transaction size and number of burst. Master control signals routed to the Master to slave mux is check to find out if any of the signals have been altered by a hardware Trojan prior to getting to the mux. A miss-match will cause the module to replace the erroneous value with the predefined one in the 5 X 3, 5 for the number of slaves.
and 3 for the HBURST size ROM.

Fig. 7 Proposed Secure Master to Slave Mux

3.4. Proposed Secure Slave to Master Multiplexor

Proposed secure slave to master multiplexor monitors the response signals HRESP and HREADY. These signals are used to delay a transaction by some additional cycles. Trojans may use these signals to cause a denial of service attack during runtime. Threshold values for how long a slave can keep its HREADY and HRESP de-asserted are also determined from the golden module. The Mal Resp Detect logic tracks the slave as soon as the HREADY is deactivated and if it gets beyond the threshold value set, it will flag the Malicious Slave Detect Signal. This signal is used as an enable signal for the Malicious Slave Mask register of the secure address decoder. The same monitoring is performed for the HRESP signal.

Fig. 8 Proposed Secure Slave to Master Mux

IV. EXPERIMENTS AND DISCUSSIONS

AMBA AHB was designed and incorporated with the secure features. The test was performed on HBE-SoC-IPD test board equipped with Virtex4 XC4VLX80 FPGA device in figure 9. Dummy threshold values were set for testing the security features and some signals asserted for longer periods of time than that allowed by the threshold.

Fig. 9 HBE-SoC-IPD Test Board

The Modelsim SE version 10.1c simulator was also used to perform some simulation as shown in figures 10 and 11. In figure 10 a transaction is performed to slave 1. Slave 1 has the transaction address marked as an unauthorized address. The transaction is then sent to the
default slave and hence _hsel5 is activated which is the
default slave. From figure 11, a simulation of the
proposed secure slave to master mux is shown. In this
simulation, currently selected slave, slave 1 keeps its
HREADY signal low for more than 10 clock cycles as
specified by the threshold value.

Fig. 11 Simulation of Proposed Secure Slave to Master
Mux

3. Master 2 also keeps the bus locked to itself. it is seen
that master 2 keeps the bus locked for 25 clock cycles
which is threshold value for this simulation. After this
time which can be seen from HCLK, the arbitration mode
changes to fair_chance round robin.

Master 2 with HMMASTER 01000 is registered as
acting malicious in trojan_master_mask. The next
arbitration grants the bus to master 3 with the
HGRANT of 00100. Master 2 is masked out and does not have
access to the bus as the grant remains with the bus master
3 who has not locked the bus.

Table. 1 Result comparison between conventional and
proposed bus architectures

| Architecture Comparison | Conventional | Proposed |
|-------------------------|--------------|----------|
| Size                    | Master 5, Slave 5 | Master 5, Slave 5 |
| Process                 | 130nm        | 130nm    |
| Frequency               | 300MHz       | 313MHz   |
| Gate Count              | 32K          | 39K      |

DC synthesis result shows added security features
increased gate count from 32K to 39K, which accounts
for approximately 22% increase in the area. However,
there is a slight improvement in the operating frequency
from 300MHz to 313MHz accounting for approximately
4.5% gain in operating frequency. This gain is as a result
of shortening the critical path by inserting register slices
to break combinational path to sequential.

V. CONCLUSION

The paper proposes a secured bus architecture for
run-time Trojan detection. IPs embedded with Trojan
circuits are masked out of transactions and are not
allowed to operate through the secured bus.
Transactions made to restricted addresses of a slave are
also routed to the default slave instead. Further studies
will continue on the design to improve its robustness,
and using other signals of an on-chip bus for precise and
efficient Trojan detection.
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