Nonequilibrium phase transition in surface growth
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Abstract. – Conserved growth models that exhibit a nonlinear instability in which the height (depth) of isolated pillars (grooves) grows in time are studied by numerical integration and stochastic simulation. When this instability is controlled by the introduction of an infinite series of higher-order nonlinear terms, these models exhibit, as function of a control parameter, a non-equilibrium phase transition between a kinetically rough phase with self-affine scaling and a phase that exhibits mound formation, slope selection and power-law coarsening.

The nonequilibrium kinetics of the growth of films by the deposition of atoms on a substrate is of considerable experimental and theoretical interest. While the process of kinetic roughening leading to a self-affine interface profile has been extensively studied, there has been much recent interest in a different mode of surface growth, involving the formation and coarsening of “mounds” (pyramid-like structures). The system is said to exhibit slope selection if the typical slope of the sides of the mounds remains constant during the coarsening process. Traditionally, the formation of mounds has been attributed to the presence of an Ehrlich-Schwoebel (ES) step-edge barrier that hinders the downward motion of atoms across the edge of a step. The destabilizing effect of the resulting “uphill” surface current is usually modeled in continuum growth equations as a linear instability arising from a Laplacian of the height variable with a negative coefficient.

In this Letter, we show that mound formation and power-law coarsening with slope selection occurs in a class of well-known, conserved surface growth models as a result of a nonlinear instability which leads to a dynamical phase transition between kinetically rough and mounded morphologies. We consider the conserved, fourth-order, nonlinear growth equation proposed by Lai and Das Sarma and by Villain:

\[
\frac{\partial h'(r,t')}{\partial t'} = -\nu \nabla^4 h' + \lambda \nabla^2 |\nabla h'|^2 + \eta'(r,t'),
\]
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where $h'(r, t')$ represents the height variable at the point $r$ at time $t'$, $\nabla$ and $\nabla^2$ represent, respectively, the spatial derivative and Laplacian operators in $d$ dimensions (the dimension of the substrate), and $\eta'$ is a Gaussian, delta-correlated random noise. This equation is believed \cite{2, 10} to provide a correct description of the scaling behavior of kinetically rough surfaces of films grown by molecular beam epitaxy. Our results are based on numerical integration of this equation in one dimension, using a simple Euler scheme \cite{11}. Choosing appropriate units of height, length and time, and discretizing both space and time, Eq. (1) is written as \cite{11}

$$h_i(t + \Delta t) - h_i(t) = \Delta t \nabla^2[-\nabla^2 h_i(t) + \lambda |\nabla h_i(t)|^2] + \sqrt{\Delta t} \eta_i(t),$$

where $h_i(t)$ represents the dimensionless height variable at the lattice point $i$ at dimensionless time $t$, $\nabla$ and $\nabla^2$ are lattice versions \cite{11} of the derivative and Laplacian operators, and $\eta_i(t)$ is a random number with zero average and variance equal to unity. These equations, with an appropriate choice of $\Delta t$, are used to numerically follow the time evolution of the interface. We have also studied an atomistic model \cite{12} in which the height variables $\{h_i\}$ are integers. This model is defined by the following deposition rule. First, a site (say $i$) is chosen at random. Then the quantity

$$K_i(\{h_j\}) = -\nabla^2 h_i + \lambda |\nabla h_i|^2$$

is calculated for the site $i$ and all its nearest neighbors. Then, a particle is added to the site that has the smallest value of $K$ among the site $i$ and its nearest neighbors. In the case of a tie for the smallest value, the site $i$ is chosen if it is involved in the tie; otherwise, one of the sites involved in the tie is chosen randomly. The number of deposited layers provides a measure of time in this model.

It was found earlier \cite{11} that both these models exhibit a nonlinear instability in which isolated structures (pillars for $\lambda > 0$, grooves for $\lambda < 0$) grow rapidly if their height (depth) exceeds a critical value. This instability can be controlled \cite{11} by replacing $|\nabla h_i|^2$ in Equations (2) and (3) by $f(|\nabla h_i|^2)$ where the nonlinear function $f(x) \equiv (1 - e^{-cx})/c$, $c > 0$ being a control parameter. We call the resulting models “model I” and “model II”, respectively. This replacement, which amounts to the introduction of an infinite series of higher-order nonlinear terms, is physically meaningful. Politi and Villain \cite{8} have shown that the nonequilibrium surface current that leads to the $\nabla^2|\nabla h'|^2$ term in Eq. (1) should be proportional to $|\nabla|\nabla h'|^2$ when $|\nabla h'|$ is small, and should go to zero when $|\nabla h'|$ is large. The introduction of the “control function” $f(|\nabla h_i|^2)$ satisfies this physical requirement.

The time evolution of the height variables in model I is, thus, given by

$$h_i(t + \Delta t) - h_i(t) = \Delta t \nabla^2[-\nabla^2 h_i(t) + \lambda(1 - e^{-c|\nabla h_i(t)|^2}/c)] + \sqrt{\Delta t} \eta_i(t).$$

It was shown in Ref. \cite{11} that if $c$ is sufficiently large, then the instability is absent and both models exhibit the scaling behavior expected in kinetic roughening. In the present work, we show that as the value of $c$ is decreased, these models exhibit a first-order dynamical phase transition \cite{13} to a mounded morphology at a critical value of $c$. The mounded phase exhibits power-law coarsening (interface width $W \sim t^{\beta}$), while the slope of the mounds remains constant. We present results for the phase diagram of these models in the $(\lambda, c)$ plane and describe the results of a stability analysis that provides an understanding of the observed behavior. We also show that this mechanism of mound formation is qualitatively different from
the conventional ES mechanism. Our results are quite general in that the behavior found in our models does not depend crucially on the form of the function \( f(x) \): any monotonic function that is linear for small \( x \) and saturates for large \( x \) leads to similar results. In particular, we have found very similar behavior using the form, \( f(x) = x/(1 + cx) \), suggested by Politi and Villain [3].

Our results are obtained for systems of different sizes (40 \( \leq L \leq 1000 \) – we do not find any significant dependence of the results on \( L \)) with periodic boundary conditions. In most of our studies of model I, we used \( \Delta t = 0.01 \). We have checked that very similar results are obtained for smaller values of \( \Delta t \). If the control parameter \( c \) is sufficiently large, then the nonlinear instability is completely suppressed and the models exhibits the usual dynamical scaling behavior with the expected [9] exponent values, \( \beta \approx 1/3 \), the dynamical exponent \( z \approx 3 \), and the exponent \( \alpha = \beta z \approx 1 \). As the value of \( c \) is decreased with \( \lambda \) held constant, the instability makes its appearance: the height \( h_0 \) of an isolated pillar (for \( \lambda > 0 \)) increases in time if \( h_{\text{min}}(\lambda, c) < h_0 < h_{\text{max}}(\lambda, c) \). The value of \( h_{\text{min}} \) is nearly independent of \( c \), while \( h_{\text{max}} \) increases as \( c \) is decreased. If \( c \) is sufficiently large, \( h_{\text{max}} \) is small and the instability does not affect the scaling behavior of global quantities such as \( W \). As \( c \) is decreased further, \( h_{\text{max}} \) becomes large, and when isolated pillars with \( h_0 > h_{\text{min}} \) are created at an initially flat interface through random fluctuations, the rapid growth of such pillars to height \( h_{\text{max}} \) leads to a sharp upward departure from the power-law scaling of \( W \) with time \( t \). The time at which this departure occurs varies from run to run. Typical results obtained for model I with \( \lambda = 4.0 \) and \( c = 0.02 \) are shown in the inset of Fig.1.

The instability leads to the formation of a large number of pillars of height close to \( h_{\text{max}} \). As the system evolves in time, the interface self-organizes to form triangular mounds of a fixed slope near these pillars. These mounds then coarsen in time, with large mounds growing larger at the expense of small ones. In this coarsening regime, a power-law growth of \( W \) in time is recovered. The slope of the sides of the triangular mounds remains constant during this process. Finally, the system reaches a steady state with one peak and one trough and remains in this state for longer times.

This behavior is illustrated in Fig.1 where the interface profiles in a typical run for a \( L = 200 \) system starting from a flat state are shown at times \( t = 200 \) (before the onset of the instability), \( t = 4000 \) (after the onset of the instability, in the coarsening regime), and \( t = 128000 \) (in the final steady state). The inset shows the time-evolution of \( W \) in this run, as well as the results for \( W \) as a function of \( t \), averaged over 40 runs for \( L = 1000 \) samples.

The averaged data show a power-law growth regime with \( \beta \approx 1/3 \) before the onset of the instability, and a second power-law coarsening regime with \( W \sim t^{\beta'} \), \( \beta' = 0.34 \pm 0.01 \), at long times. The selection of a “magic slope” during the coarsening process is clearly seen in the plots of Fig.1. More quantitatively, the distribution of the nearest-neighbor height differences \( s_i = |h_{i+1} - h_i| \) is found to exhibit a pronounced peak at the selected value of the slope, and the position of this peak does not change during the coarsening process. The steady-state profile for a \( L = 500 \) sample, also shown in Fig.1, illustrates the sample-size independence of the results. The peak and the trough in the steady state are separated by \( \approx L/2 \) if the boundary condition requires the heights at the two ends of the sample to be the same. The occurrence of a peak and a symmetrically placed trough in the steady state is a consequence of using periodic boundary conditions. This symmetry is not present when other boundary conditions (such as “fixed” and “zero flux”) are used. However, the basic phenomenology of mound formation, power-law coarsening and slope selection does not depend on the boundary conditions.

While the saw-tooth-like surface profiles found for small \( c \) is qualitatively different from the self-affine morphology observed for large \( c \), the interface width exhibits very similar power-
law behavior in the two cases ($\beta \approx \beta' \approx 1/3$, and $\alpha' \approx 1 \approx \alpha$). Thus, a measurement of the interface width would not distinguish between the two growth modes. A clear distinction between the two morphologies may be obtained from measurements of the average number of extrema of the height profile [14]. The steady-state profile in the mound-formation regime exhibits two extrema for all values of the system size $L$. In contrast, the number of extrema in the steady state in the kinetic roughening regime increases with $L$ as a power law [14] – we find that for values of $c$ for which the system is kinetically rough, e.g., for $\lambda = 4.0, c = 0.05$, the average number of extrema in the steady state is proportional to $L^\delta$ with $\delta \approx 0.83$. This observation allows us to define an “order parameter” that is zero in the large-$c$, kinetic roughening regime and finite in the small-$c$, mound-formation regime. Let $\sigma_i$ be an Ising-like variable, equal to the sign of the slope of the interface at site $i$. An extremum in the height profile then corresponds to a “domain wall” in the configuration of the $\{\sigma_i\}$ variables. Since there are two domain walls separated by $\sim L/2$ in the steady state in the mound-formation regime, the quantity

$$m = \frac{1}{L} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{L} \sigma_j e^{2\pi ij/L} \right|,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)$$

would be finite in the $L \to \infty$ limit. On the other hand, $m$ would go to zero for large $L$ in the kinetically rough regime because the number of domains in the steady-state profile would increase with $L$. We find numerically that $m \sim L^{-\gamma}$ with $\gamma \approx 0.2$ for $\lambda = 4.0, c = 0.05$.

The behavior described above may be understood from a simple stability analysis. The profile near the top ($i = i_0$) of a mound may be approximated as $h_{i_0} = x_0 + x_1$. 

---

Fig. 1 – Interface profiles at three different times ($t = 200, 4000, \text{and } 128000$) in a run starting from a flat state for a $L = 200$ sample of model I with $\lambda = 4.0$ and $c = 0.02$ (full lines). A profile for $L = 500$ at $t = 1.28 \times 10^7$ for the same parameters is also shown (dashed line) with both axes scaled by 2.5. Double-log plots of the interface width $W$ as a function of time $t$ in the $L = 200$ run (dash-dotted line), and similar data averaged over 40 runs for $L = 1000$ samples (full line) are shown in the inset.

Fig. 2 – Invariant height-profile for a $L = 200$ system with $\lambda = 4.0, c = 0.02$ (full line), and a snapshot of the same system in steady state (dash-dotted line). A snapshot of a $L = 200$ system with $f(x) = x/(1 + cx), \lambda = 4.0, c = 0.01$ in the steady state (dashed line) and an invariant profile of the corresponding continuum equation (dotted line) are also shown. Inset: Zero-crossing of the largest eigenvalue $\kappa$ of the stability matrix as a function of $c$ ($\lambda = 4.0, L = 200$).
These equations admit a non-trivial solution for sufficiently small \( c \), and the resulting values of \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) are found to be quite close to the results obtained from numerical integration. A similar analysis for the profile near the bottom of a trough (this amounts to replacing \( x_2 \) by \( -x_2 \) in Eq. (5)) yields slightly different values for \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \). The full stable profile (a fixed point of the dynamics without noise) with one peak and one trough may be obtained numerically by calculating the values of \( h_i \) for which \( g_i = 0 \) for all \( i \), where \( g_i \) is the term multiplying \( \Delta t \) in the right-hand side of Eq. (4). This calculation shows that the small mismatch between the values of \( x_2 \) near the top and the bottom is accommodated by creating a few ripples near the top. The numerically obtained fixed-point profile for a \( L = 200 \) system with \( \lambda = 4.0 \), \( c = 0.02 \) is shown in Fig. 2, along with a typical steady-state profile for the same system. The two profiles are found to be nearly identical. Fig. 2 also shows a snapshot of a system with \( f(x) = x/(1 + cx^2) \), \( \lambda = 4.0 \), \( c = 0.01 \) in the steady state and an invariant profile of the corresponding continuum equation, obtained using the procedure of Ref. [15]. These results show that the steady-state properties for the two forms of \( f(x) \) are very similar, and the continuum equation admits invariant solutions that are very similar to those of the discretized models.

The local stability of the mounded fixed-point may be determined from a calculation of the eigenvalues of the matrix \( M_{ij} = \partial g_i / \partial h_j \) evaluated at the fixed point. We find that the largest eigenvalue of this matrix crosses zero at \( c = c_1(\lambda) \) (see inset of Fig. 3), signaling an instability of the mounded profile. The structure of Eq. (4) implies that \( c_1(\lambda) \propto \lambda^2 \). Thus, for \( 0 < c < c_1(\lambda) \), the dynamics of Eq. (4) without noise admits two locally stable invariant profiles: a trivial, flat profile with \( h_i \) the same for all \( i \), and a non-trivial one with one mound and one trough. Depending on the initial state, the no-noise dynamics takes the system to one of these two fixed points. For example, an initial state with one pillar on a flat background is driven by the no-noise dynamics to the flat fixed point if the height of the pillar is smaller than a critical value, and to the mounded one otherwise. We did not carry out a stability analysis of mounded fixed-point solutions of the continuum equation (see Fig. 3) because doing such analysis without discretizing space would be extremely difficult.

In the presence of the noise, the perfectly flat fixed point transforms to the kinetically rough steady state, and the non-trivial fixed point evolves to the mounded steady state shown in Fig. 4. A dynamical phase transition at \( c = c_2(\lambda) < c_1(\lambda) \) separates these two kinds of steady states. To calculate \( c_2(\lambda) \), we start a system at the mounded fixed point and follow its evolution according to Eq. (4) for a long time (typically \( t = 10^5 \)) to check whether it reaches a kinetically rough steady state. By repeating this procedure many times, the probability, \( P_1(\lambda, c) \), of a transition to a kinetically rough state is obtained. For fixed \( \lambda \), \( P_1 \) increases rapidly from 0 to 1 as \( c \) is increased above a critical value. Typical results for \( P_1 \) as a function of \( c \) for \( \lambda = 4.0 \) are shown in the inset of Fig. 4. The value of \( c \) at which \( P_1 = 0.5 \) provides an estimate of \( c_2 \). Another estimate is obtained from a similar calculation of \( P_2(\lambda, c) \), the probability that a flat initial state evolves to a mounded steady state. As expected, \( P_2 \) increases sharply from 0 to 1 as \( c \) is decreased (see inset of Fig. 3), and the value of \( c \) at which this probability is 0.5 is slightly lower than the value at which \( P_1 = 0.5 \). This difference reflects finite-time hysteresis effects. The value of \( c_2 \) is taken to be the average of these two estimates, and the difference
between the two estimates provides a measure of the uncertainty in the determination of \( c_2 \).

Our numerical results for model II are very similar. Height profiles of a \( L = 200 \) sample with \( \lambda = 2.0, c = 0.005 \) at three times (before the onset of the instability, during coarsening, and at the steady state) are shown in Fig. 4, along with the profile of a \( L = 500 \) sample in the coarsening regime. The inset shows the time-evolution of the interface width in the \( L = 200 \) run and also the average over 40 runs for \( L = 1000 \) samples. The phase diagram for this model (see Fig. 3) is very similar to that of model I, while the coarsening exponent appears to have a higher value, \( \beta' = 0.50 \pm 0.01 \).

The qualitative behavior found here is very similar to that in canonical first-order transitions in two- and three-dimensional equilibrium systems. In a time-dependent Ginzburg-Landau description \([16]\) of the dynamics of a system exhibiting a first-order transition, the no-noise dynamics exhibits two locally stable fixed points (corresponding to the uniformly ordered and disordered states) in a range of temperatures near the transition temperature. In the presence of noise, the system selects one of the phases corresponding to these two fixed points, except at the transition temperature where both phases coexist. The local stability of the mean-field ordered and disordered states leads to finite-time hysteresis effects near the transition temperature. The behavior we find is very similar, with the rough and the mounded states corresponding to the disordered and the ordered states of equilibrium systems and \( c \) playing the role of the temperature. In analogy with the behavior of equilibrium systems, we find hysteresis and coexistence of rough and mounded morphologies near \( c = c_2 \).

In summary, we have shown that a nonlinear instability in a class of surface growth models leads to mound formation and power-law coarsening with slope selection via a dynamical phase transition. This mechanism of mound formation is very different from the conventional ES
mechanism. The ES instability is usually modeled as a linear one arising from a $\nabla^2 h$ term with a negative coefficient. Such a term is clearly absent in our models. Consequently, a flat interface is locally stable in our models. Since the non-equilibrium surface current in our models vanishes for all values of a constant slope, the slope selection we find is a true example of nonlinear pattern formation. In contrast, slope selection occurs in ES-type models only when the surface current vanishes at a specific value of the slope.

In view of the observation that spatial discretization may drastically affect the behavior of continuum growth equations, it is interesting to enquire whether the truly continuum Eq. (1) with $|\nabla h'|^2$ replaced by $f(|\nabla h'|^2)$ would exhibit the same behavior as that found for the discrete models studied here. While we can not provide a rigorous answer to this question, we expect the continuum equation to behave in a similar way. It was shown in Ref. [1] that the nonlinear instability of the discretized Eq. (2) can not be avoided by making the integration time step sufficiently small, or by using more accurate left-right symmetric definitions of the lattice derivatives. There is no a priori reason to believe that certain left-right asymmetric discretization schemes that avoid this instability provide a more accurate representation of the behavior of the continuum equation. Since the instability does not necessarily imply a divergence of the height, the rigorous proof of the boundedness of the solutions of Eq. (1) without noise does not rule out its occurrence in the continuum equation. Finally, the existence of mounded fixed-point solutions of the continuum equation (see Fig. 2) strongly suggests that its behavior is not qualitatively different from that of the discrete models.
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