Long-Term Stability of $n$-Alkane-in-Water Pickering Nanoemulsions: Effect of Aqueous Solubility of Droplet Phase on Ostwald Ripening
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ABSTRACT: High-pressure microfluidization is used to prepare a series of oil-in-water Pickering nanoemulsions using sterically-stabilized diblock copolymer nanoparticles as the Pickering emulsifier. The droplet phase comprised either $n$-octane, $n$-decane, $n$-dodecane, or $n$-tetradecane. This series of oils enabled the effect of aqueous solubility on Ostwald ripening to be studied, which is the primary instability mechanism for such nanoemulsions. Analytical centrifugation (LUMiSizer instrument) was used to evaluate the long-term stability of these Pickering nanoemulsions over time scales of weeks/months. This technique enables convenient quantification of the fraction of growing oil droplets and confirmed that using $n$-octane (aqueous solubility = 0.66 mg dm$^{-3}$ at 20 °C) leads to instability even over relatively short time periods. However, using $n$-tetradecane (aqueous solubility = 0.386 μg dm$^{-3}$ at 20 °C) leads to significantly improved long-term stability with respect to Ostwald ripening, with all droplets remaining below 1 μm diameter after 6 weeks storage at 20 °C. In the case of $n$-dodecane, the long-term stability of these new copolymer-stabilized Pickering nanoemulsions is significantly better than the silica-stabilized Pickering nanoemulsions reported in the literature by Persson et al. (Colloids Surf. A, 2014, 459, 48–57). This is attributed to a much greater interfacial yield stress for the former system, as recently described in the literature (see P. J. Betramo et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 2017, 114, 10373–10378).

INTRODUCTION

Pickering emulsions can be defined as emulsion droplets that are stabilized by solid particles and have been recognized since the beginning of the last century. Both water-in-oil (w/o) or oil-in-water (o/w) Pickering emulsions are widely reported in the literature, with additional recent examples including water-in-water (w/w) and oil-in-oil (o/o) Pickering emulsions. Examples of suitable Pickering emulsifiers include silica, titania, clays, carbon black, and latex particles. In this context, emulsifier efficacy is governed primarily by surface wettability rather than by bulk chemical composition.

Recently, there have been a few literature reports of the preparation of Pickering nanoemulsions. A nanoemulsion comprises very fine droplets that are less than approximately 200 nm in diameter. Compared to macroemulsions, nanoemulsions are significantly less susceptible to gravitational creaming or sedimentation. In addition, their much higher surface area is expected to lead to more active formulations for cosmetics, drug delivery, food manufacturing, and agrochemical applications.

To date, most nanoemulsion studies have utilized various surfactants as the emulsifier. Significantly, fewer literature examples involve Pickering nanoemulsions, perhaps because their stabilization requires relatively small nanoparticles (typically at least 5–10 times smaller than the mean droplet diameter) which may not be readily available. Nevertheless, Persson et al. used a high-pressure microfluidizer to prepare o/w Pickering nanoemulsions of between 100 and 200 nm diameter stabilized using a commercial 7 nm silica sol. Unfortunately, Ostwald ripening was observed over time periods of hours (for $n$-octane) to weeks (for $n$-tetradecane) when using various $n$-alkanes, even for relatively water-insoluble $n$-alkanes such as $n$-hexadecane. However, this instability mechanism, which is well-known for nanoemulsions owing to their relatively high surface area, could be suppressed by employing a highly water-insoluble oil such as squalene.

Using a similar high-pressure microfluidizer setup as that utilized by Persson et al., we recently reported the preparation of relatively stable $n$-dodecane-in-water Pickering nanoemulsions stabilized by poly(glycerol monomethacrylate-block-2,2,2-trifluoroethyl methacrylate) (PGMA–PTFEMA) diblock copolymer nanoparticles of 25 nm diameter. Nanoemulsions with mean hydrodynamic droplet diameters as small as 220 nm could be prepared that remained stable for up to 4
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months, as judged by dynamic light scattering (DLS) studies. Herein, we extend this preliminary study by comparing the relative long-term stabilities of o/w Pickering nanoemulsions prepared using n-octane, n-decane, n-dodecane, or n-tetradecane. This series of four oils was chosen to examine the extent of Ostwald ripening. These nanoemulsions were characterized using three well-established particle-sizing techniques, namely DLS (Malvern Nanosizer), laser diffraction (Malvern Mastersizer), and analytical centrifugation (LUMiSizer instrument).

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**Materials.** Glycerol monomethacrylate (GMA, 99.8% purity) was obtained from GEO Specialty Chemicals (Hythe, UK) and was used as received. 2-Cyano-2-propyl benzodithioate, 2,2,2-trifluoroethyl methacrylate (TFEMA), 4,4′-azobisis(cyanopentanoic acid) (ACVA), fluorescein O-methacrylate (FluMA), n-dodecane, n-octane, n-tetradecane, dichloromethane, and deuterium oxide were purchased from Aldrich (UK) and were used as received, unless otherwise stated. Ethanol and dimethylformamide (DMF) were purchased from VWR chemicals (UK) while n-decane was purchased from Alfa Aesar (UK).

**Synthesis of PGMA<sub>48</sub>-Macro-CTA via RAFT Solution Polymerization in Ethanol.** A PGMA<sub>48</sub> macro-CTA (hereafter described as PGMA<sub>48</sub>) was synthesized via RAFT polymerization of glycerol monomethacrylate in ethanol at 70 °C, as described previously.²⁻¹⁻⁻⁻¹⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻¹⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻_quadradic density distribution. Moreover, the super-quadric density is somewhat higher than that of the pure n-alkane owing to the presence of the adsorbed monolayer of relatively dense PGMA<sub>48</sub>–PTFEEMA<sub>50</sub> nanoparticles. Nevertheless, the true droplet density must lie between that of the pure n-alkane and water because visual inspection confirmed that droplet creaming behavior occurred during the LUMiSizer analysis. The effective density of the nanoparticle-coated n-alkane droplets can be estimated by using eqs 1–3 (see below). One caveat here is that a density distribution is superimposed on the droplet size distribution for each Pickering nanoemulsion. On the basis of our earlier studies,¹⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻_quadradic density distribution is expected to change over time as the droplet size distribution broadens as a result of Ostwald ripening. Thus, correcting for this secondary effect is rather complex and is considered to be beyond the scope of the present study, which instead focuses on assessing the relative long-term stabilities of Pickering nanoemulsions prepared using four different n-alkanes. In view of this, we chose to estimate the effective droplet density from the initial z-average DLS diameter recorded for each fresh nanoemulsion, and this value was utilized for all subsequent time-dependent LUMiSizer studies.

**Small-Angle X-ray Scattering (SAXS).** SAXS data were acquired using a laboratory-based SAXS instrument (Xeuss 2.0, Xenocs, France) equipped with a liquid gallium MetalJet X-ray source (Excillum, Sweden, wavelength λ = 0.134 nm), with motorized scattering slits for beam collimation and a Dectris PILATUS 1M pixel detector. The sample-to-detector distance (or camera length) was 2.493 m. SAXS patterns were recorded over a q range of 0.04 nm⁻¹ < q < 3.0 nm⁻¹, where q (År sin θ/λ) is the scattering vector and θ is
one-half of the scattering angle. Glass capillaries of approximately 2.0 mm diameter were used for all samples. Data were reduced using the Foxtrot software package developed by SOLEIL and further analyzed using Irena SAS macros35 for Igor Pro.

**Calculation of Nanoparticle and Droplet Densities.** The PGMA_{48}–PTFEMA_{50} nanoparticle density (\(\rho_{\text{particle}}\)) was calculated using eq 1, as described in our earlier study.6

\[
\rho_{\text{particle}} = \rho_{\text{PTFEMA}} R_s^3 + \rho_{\text{PGMA}} [(R_s + 2R_g)^3 - R_s^3]
\]

(1)

Here, \(\rho_{\text{PTFEMA}}\) and \(R_s\) denote the density and radius of the PTFEMA_{50} core-forming block, and \(\rho_{\text{PGMA}}\) and \(R_g\) are the density and radius of gyration of the shell component (PGMA_{48}). \(2R_g\) is equal to the PGMA stabilizer shell thickness. The numerical value of \(\rho_{\text{PTFEMA}}\) was taken to be 1.47 g cm\(^{-3}\), which is the density of nonsolvated PTFEMA, whereas the highly hydrated PGMA shell was estimated to have a density \(\rho_{\text{PGMA}}\) of approximately 1.00 g cm\(^{-3}\). \(R_s = 6.81\) nm and \(R_g = 1.59\) nm were calculated by fitting the SAXS pattern recorded for the PGMA_{48}–PTFEMA_{50} nanoparticles to a spherical micelle model;66 this approach afforded a \(\rho_{\text{particle}}\) of 1.15 g cm\(^{-3}\). The volume-average particle diameter, \(D_{\text{SAXS}}\), was calculated to be 20.0 nm using \(D_{\text{SAXS}} = (2R_s + 4R_g)\).

The effective density of the n-alkane droplets was estimated by first calculating the number of nanoparticles packed around a single droplet (\(N\)).

\[
N = \frac{\text{total number of nanoparticles}}{\text{total number of droplets}}
\]

\[
N = \left(\frac{m_{\text{particle}}N_s/N_{M_m}}{V_{\text{oil}}/\left(4/3\pi r_{\text{oil}}^3\right)}\right)
\]

(2)

Here, \(m_{\text{particle}}\) is the mass of particles used to prepare the nanoemulsion, \(N_s\) is Avogadro’s constant, and \(N_m\) is the number of PGMA_{48}–PTFEMA_{50} chains per particle, determined by SAXS to be 139 using \(N_s = \frac{2\pi r_{\text{PTFEMA}}^2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\). \(M_m\) is the average molecular mass of the PGMA_{48}–PTFEMA_{50} chains, \(V_{\text{oil}}\) is the total volume of oil used to prepare each nanoemulsion, and \(r_{\text{oil}}\) is the radius of the minimum possible droplet diameter. We calculate \(r_{\text{oil}}\) to be the mean DLS droplet radius \((r_{\text{DLS}})\) minus the adsorbed nanoparticle diameter \(r_{\text{particle}}\) to give the minimum bare oil droplet radius \((r_{\text{oil}} = r_{\text{DLS}} - 2r_{\text{particle}})\).

The PGMA_{48}–PTFEMA_{50} nanoparticle diameter \(d\) was determined to be 20.0 nm by SAXS (where \(d = 2R_s + 4R_g\)). However, we consider the effective adsorbed diameter \((2r_{\text{particle}})\) at the oil/water interface to be given by \(2R_{\text{core}} + 2R_g\) (or 16.8 nm). This is because the PGMA_{48} stabilizer chains that are in direct contact with the oil phase are expected to be fully collapsed and hence should occupy negligible volume at the oil/water interface (Scheme 1). For example, a nanoemulsion droplet with an overall diameter of 220 nm should have a core droplet diameter of 220 – (2 \times 16.8) = 186 nm. Several assumptions are made in these calculations: (i) the z-average droplet diameter reported by DLS includes both the oil droplet and the nanoparticle shell and (ii) the nanoparticles adsorb at the o/w interface with an effective contact angle of 0° with respect to the nanoparticle core (see Scheme 1). Clearly, this is not the true nanoparticle contact angle; therefore, the droplet diameter will be slightly overestimated. However, this is likely to be a relatively small systematic error and therefore is not expected to affect the main findings of this study.

The effective density of each nanoemulsion droplet, \(\rho_{\text{nanoemulsion}}\), was then calculated using a core–shell model where the core is composed of the n-alkane (whose density is denoted by \(\rho_{\text{oil}}\)) and the particulate shell comprises a monolayer of adsorbed PGMA_{48}–PTFEMA_{50} nanoparticles with an effective adsorbed particle radius \((r_{\text{particle}})\) of 8.4 nm37 comprising the particulate shell.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The PGMA_{48}–PTFEMA_{50} nanoparticles used in this study were prepared as a 10% aqueous dispersion by RAFT aqueous emulsion polymerization using a technique known as polymerization-induced self-assembly (PISA), as reported previously (see Figure 1A).36 The z-average nanoparticle diameter was determined to be 24 nm by DLS, whereas fitting SAXS data to a spherical micelle model indicated a volume-average diameter of 20 nm; TEM studies confirmed that the nanoparticles possessed a near-monodisperse spherical morphology (see Figure 1B–D). Preliminary scoping experiments suggested that an excess of relatively small nanoparticles were required to maximize the total surface area available during the high-pressure microfluidization processing step and hence ensure the formation of stable Pickering nanoemulsions. For example, utilizing somewhat larger PGMA_{48}–PTFEMA_{150} nanoparticles (51 nm diameter by DLS) merely produced highly flocculated nanoemulsions.34

Initially, a 7.0 w/v % aqueous dispersion of PGMA_{48}–PTFEMA_{50} nanoparticles was used to prepare a relatively coarse Pickering macroemulsion with a mean droplet diameter of 40 μm via conventional high-shear homogenization. Figure 2 illustrates how this precursor macroemulsion was then processed using the LV1 microfluidizer to produce a Pickering nanoemulsion. It is essential to have a substantial excess of PGMA_{48}–PTFEMA_{50} nanoparticles present after formation of the initial macroemulsion, as these non-adsorbed nanoparticles are required to stabilize the new oil/water interface generated during high-pressure microfluidization. In a recent related study,34 it was found empirically that an applied pressure of 20,000 psi was optimal for the preparation of stable Pickering nanoemulsions. Lower pressures let to larger, more poly-
disperse droplets, whereas higher pressures lead to the breakup of PGMA$_{48}$–PTFEMA$_{50}$ nanoparticles into individual diblock copolymer chains, which can then act as an amphiphilic copolymer surfactant to form (non-Pickering) nanoemulsions. Our previous studies focused on $n$-dodecane: for this oil, it was shown that at least eight passes through the microfluidizer were required to achieve a stable nanoemulsion with a unimodal droplet size distribution.$^{34}$ Essentially the same
observations were made for the other three n-alkanes selected for this study (n-octane, n-decane, and n-tetradecane). These four oils were selected for their differing aqueous solubilities, because this parameter is known to be important in determining the extent of Ostwald ripening for nanoemulsions. After 10 passes through the LV1, a mean DLS droplet diameter of around 180 nm was obtained when using n-octane, n-decane, or n-tetradecane. In contrast, using n-dodecane produced a somewhat larger mean droplet diameter of 257 nm under the same conditions. The reason for this minor discrepancy is not known, but such experiments proved to be reproducible. It does not appear to be related to the mean diameter of the precursor Pickering macroemulsions, which was around 40 μm for each of the four oils.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were obtained for dried fresh Pickering nanoemulsions, as shown in Figure 3. Although the volatile droplet phase is no longer present under the ultrahigh vacuum conditions required for TEM, some of the original superstructure of the adsorbed PGMA₈⁸−PTFEMA₅₀ nanoparticles is preserved. Such post-mortem studies provide convincing evidence that spherical droplets corresponding to nanoemulsion length scales were achieved when using each of the four oils, albeit with a significant degree of polydispersity. It is perhaps worth mentioning that the relatively high electron density of the PTFEMA cores provides relatively good contrast for such TEM studies, which is one advantage of using these particular amphiphilic PGMA₈⁸−PTFEMA₅₀ diblock copolymers.

Table 1 summarizes the initial droplet size distributions determined for Pickering nanoemulsions prepared using the four different n-alkanes. DLS and laser diffraction studies were conducted on fresh nanoemulsions within 30 min of their preparation. For three of the oils, the volume-average nanoemulsion diameters reported by laser diffraction are lower than the z-average diameters obtained from DLS, as expected. However, the DLS droplet diameter is actually lower than that reported by laser diffraction for the n-octane-based nanoemulsion. A possible explanation for this unexpected finding is that the latter nanoemulsion already exhibits signs of Ostwald ripening even at this rather early stage and that the DLS technique is surprisingly insensitive to the coarsening droplet size distribution (see the following discussion). The remarkably poor stability of this n-octane nanoemulsion is consistent with findings by Persson et al., who observed the appearance of significantly larger droplet diameters for the same oil after ageing a silica-stabilized nanoemulsion for just 30 min.

Analytical centrifugation was used to further characterize both the initial and ageing nanoemulsions. This particular technique (LUMiSizer instrument) has a much higher resolution compared to DLS or laser diffraction because droplet fractionation occurs during the measurement (prior to detection). Thus, better insight into how the nanoemulsion size distributions change over time for each oil type was anticipated. One drawback of this technique is that the effective particle density is required to obtain an accurate particle size. This critical input parameter was estimated using eqs 1–3 from the initial DLS droplet diameter using the additional data derived from the SAXS analysis of the PGMA₈⁸−PTFEMA₅₀ nanoparticles (see the Experimental Section and the Supporting Information for details). The calculated nanoemulsion densities (ρnanoemulsion) are shown in Table 1 for each n-alkane.

Another important consideration when using analytical centrifugation is the appropriate concentration at which the samples are analyzed. These Pickering nanoemulsions were prepared at a relatively high oil volume fraction (20 v/v % n-alkane). However, analyzing droplets at such high concentrations is known to underestimate substantially owing to the phenomenon of hindered sedimentation. In the present case, the rate of creaming of droplets within a relatively concentrated nanoemulsion when subjected to a centrifugal field is significantly retarded as a result of the close proximity of neighboring droplets; thus, the slower creaming rate leads to a smaller apparent droplet diameter. This artefact was indeed observed in the case of these Pickering nanoemulsions. Figure 4A shows that, as the droplet concentration used for analytical centrifugation studies is systematically reduced, the apparent nanoemulsion droplet diameter increases and approaches a constant plateau value at approximately 1.0 v/v %. Thus, all further studies were conducted at this oil droplet concentration, with the 20 v/v % stock nanoemulsion being diluted immediately prior to analysis. Using droplet concentrations lower than 1.0 v/v % becomes problematic: such dilute emulsions scatter light only rather weakly and hence fall outside of the optimum transmission range required for the LUMiSizer instrument (i.e., below 30% transmission). Figure 4B illustrates the effect that varying the oil droplet concentration has on the apparent droplet size distributions reported by the instrument. Analyzing relatively concentrated nanoemulsions (diluted to only 10 v/v %) leads to a significantly smaller apparent droplet diameter (and an apparently narrower droplet size distribution).

Table 1. Summary of the Pickering Nanoemulsions Prepared Using Four n-Alkanes (n-Octane, n-Decane, n-Dodecane, and n-Tetradecane)

| n-octane | n-decane | n-dodecane | n-tetradecane |
|----------|----------|------------|--------------|
| 179 ± 59 | 182 ± 56 | 257 ± 93 | 180 ± 37 |
| 233 ± 245 | 117 ± 92 | 167 ± 159 | 120 ± 95 |
| 145 | 118 | 223 | 146 |
| 120 | 128 | 438 | 123 |
| 44 | 45 | 74 | 45 |
| 0.70 | 0.73 | 0.75 | 0.76 |
| 0.77 | 0.80 | 0.81 | 0.82 |

*Droplet size distributions were recorded within 30 min of the preparation of each nanoemulsion. The SAXS-derived PGMA₈⁸−PTFEMA₅₀ nanoparticle diameter, Dₛₓsaₓ was determined to be 20.0 nm (where Dₛₓsaₓ = 2Rₒₛ + 4Rₒ), whereas the effective adsorbed diameter at the oil/water interface was taken to be 2Rₒₛ + 2Rₒ (16.8 nm) because the collapsed stabilizer chains that are in direct contact with the oil/water interface occupying a negligible volume. The effective nanoparticle density was estimated to be 1.15 g cm⁻³ using previously reported data. The z-average diameter. Calculated using Dₗ = Dₛ − 4Rₚarticle.*
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and optical microscopy (Figure 5D) after ageing the 20 v/v % nanoemulsion for 1 week at ambient temperature. Given the relatively high solubility of n-octane in water (0.66 mg dm$^{-3}$ at 20 °C), such droplet growth is attributed to Ostwald ripening.43−45 Perhaps surprisingly, DLS analysis indicated only a minimal change in particle size: the z-average diameter actually decreased slightly from 179 ± 59 to 168 ± 72 nm, although a rather small population of micrometer-sized coarser droplets was also detected. However, the latter feature was only observed initially (see Figure 5C); it disappeared in subsequent runs (each measurement comprising an average of three runs). DLS is normally highly biased toward larger populations because the scattered light intensity scales according to the sixth power of the particle radius. This unexpected lack of sensitivity is puzzling, but one possible explanation could be the rapid creaming of the relatively large oil droplets (which were clearly detectable by laser diffraction and analytical centrifugation). This is consistent with the detection of large droplets during the first run but not during the two subsequent runs. Laser diffraction and analytical centrifugation studies indicated that a significant fraction of n-octane droplets (>60% according to analytical centrifugation) became micrometer-sized within 1 week of ageing at 20 °C. This was confirmed by optical microscopy studies performed after 1 week (Figure 5D). According to the analytical centrifugation data, approximately 20% of the droplets had already grown to at least 1−2 μm diameter by the time the fresh nanoemulsion was analyzed. This illustrates the high resolution of this technique: laser diffraction and DLS simply do not have the sensitivity to readily discriminate between a large population of submicrometer-sized droplets and a minor fraction of the micrometer-sized droplets. The former population cannot be observed by optical microscopy because...
Table 2. Change in Mean Droplet Diameter with Ageing Time as Determined by Analytical Centrifugation (LUMiSizer) for the Four n-Alkane Pickering Nanoemulsions Reported in This Study

| oil type     | aqueous solubility of oil at 20 °C (mg dm⁻³) | \(\rho_{\text{nanoemulsion}}\) (g cm⁻³) | mean nanoemulsion diameter by analytical centrifugation (nm) |
|--------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| n-octane     | 0.66                                        | 0.77                                    | fresh: 132 ± 637, 1 week: 234 ± 1850, 4 weeks: 227 ± 1850, 6 weeks: 175 ± 335 |
| n-decane     | 0.0396                                     | 0.80                                    | fresh: 115 ± 219, 1 week: 258 ± 1108, 4 weeks: 186 ± 227, 6 weeks: 156 ± 180 |
| n-dodecane   | 0.0034                                     | 0.81                                    | fresh: 160 ± 92, 1 week: 182 ± 156, 4 weeks: 186 ± 227, 6 weeks: 175 ± 335 |
| n-tetradecane| 0.000386                                   | 0.82                                    | fresh: 145 ± 67, 1 week: 141 ± 87, 4 weeks: 146 ± 146, 6 weeks: 156 ± 180 |

“*All nanoemulsions were prepared with 20 v/v % n-alkane using 7.0 w/w % PGMA₄₈−PTFEMA₅₀ nanoparticles at 20,000 psi after 10 passes through a LV1 high-pressure microfluidizer. Analytical centrifugation studies of the “fresh” nanoemulsions were conducted within 24 h of microfluidization in all cases. (N.B. significant evaporation of the n-octane- and n-decane-based nanoemulsions occurred within 1 week, which meant that no further analysis could be undertaken in these two cases).”

such fine droplets are beyond the optical resolution limit. In the literature, nanoemulsions are typically sized using either DLS or laser diffraction, as such instruments are widely available in many research laboratories. In contrast, there are relatively few reports of sizing nanoemulsions via analytical centrifugation, yet this high-resolution technique appears to offer an important advantage when considering the effect of Ostwald ripening. For this reason, the rest of this article focuses on the characterization of Pickering nanoemulsions via analytical centrifugation. The caveat with this sizing technique is the uncertainty in calculating the effective droplet density for this particular nanoemulsion system and the technical problems associated with the superimposed density distribution on the droplet size distribution (see above).

Table 2 reports the mean volume-average diameter determined by analytical centrifugation for Pickering nanoemulsions prepared using each of the four n-alkanes on ageing at 20 °C for up to 6 weeks. Unfortunately, the relatively high volatilities of n-octane and n-decane meant that significant evaporation of the oil droplet phase occurred within 1 week, even if these nanoemulsions were well-sealed. Hence, it was not possible to accurately size these two nanoemulsions after ageing for 1 week. However, it is nevertheless evident that significant droplet coalescence occurred for these two nanoemulsions over this time frame compared to those prepared under the same conditions using n-dodecane or n-tetradecane. In particular, the width of the droplet size distributions observed for the fresh nanoemulsions became significantly broader from n-tetradecane to n-octane. For the two more volatile oils, these distributions become highly asymmetric, with pronounced tailing toward larger droplet diameters. This suggests that Ostwald ripening commences immediately after preparation of each nanoemulsion, with n-octane droplets coarsening significantly faster than n-decane droplets. After ageing for 1 week, only the n-tetradecane-based nanoemulsion retains its (approximate) original mean droplet diameter. The n-octane and n-decane nanoemulsions exhibited the largest increases in mean diameter, which correlate with the significantly higher aqueous solubilities of these two oils. All four droplet size distributions became broader, with the most pronounced change being observed for n-octane and only a minimal change being detected for n-tetradecane. Figure 6A shows the volume-average cumulative distributions obtained for each of the four Pickering nanoemulsions recorded after ageing for 1 week. The extent of Ostwald ripening is clearly greatest for n-octane: around 60% of the droplets now exceed 500 nm, with approximately 10% being larger than 4 μm. In comparison, the proportion of droplets exceeding 500 nm for the other three oils is 45% for n-decane, 9% for n-dodecane, but less than 0.1% for n-tetradecane. Although substantial Ostwald ripening had occurred within 1 week, significant proportions of the original n-octane (>35%) and n-decane (>50%) formulations can still be classified as nanoemulsions. This represents much better stability compared to the silica-stabilized nanoemulsions reported by Persson and co-workers. 21 In principle, this difference may be simply related to the relatively large 20 nm PGMA₄₈−PTFEMA₅₀ nanoparticles being more strongly adsorbed at the oil/water interface than the 7 nm charge-stabilized “hard sphere” silica nanoparticles utilized by the Swedish group. 4 However, Vermant and co-workers have just reported that using sterically stabilized “soft
spheres” leads to a much greater interfacial yield stress, which is believed to account for the enhanced long-term stability observed for many emulsions (and foams).46

After ageing for 4–6 weeks, the n-dodecane- and n-tetradecane-based nanoemulsions gradually undergo further Ostwald ripening, albeit to a much smaller extent than that observed for the n-octane and n-decane nanoemulsions. Analytical centrifugation studies indicate that the mean droplet diameter remains almost unchanged (Table 2), but the droplet distributions become significantly broader over time, with the n-dodecane-based nanoemulsion being prone to greater coarsening (with 6% of droplets exceeding 1 μm diameter after 6 weeks) than that produced using the less water-soluble n-tetradecane. After 6 weeks ageing at 20 °C, all of the latter oil droplets remained below 1 μm diameter, with around 65% still being classified as a nanoemulsion. In principle, at least some of this droplet coarsening could be attributed to a superimposed density distribution, as discussed earlier.36–38

However, in practice, this is likely to be a secondary effect. Overall, the Ostwald ripening observed for these Pickering nanoemulsions strongly correlate with the aqueous solubilities of the four n-alkanes used in this study. Given the bimodal size distributions observed for these Pickering nanoemulsions on ageing, it was not possible to examine whether the cube of the mean diameter for the ripening droplets depended linearly on the ageing time, as reported previously by Solans and coworkers.49

**CONCLUSIONS**

In summary, a series of oil-in-water Pickering nanoemulsions have been prepared using four different n-alkanes stabilized by PGMA₄₉-PTFEMA₆₀ nanoparticles. The Pickering nature of these nanoemulsions was confirmed by TEM studies because drying led to close-packed spherical superstructures comprising the original nanoparticles. Mean droplet diameters for the fresh nanoemulsions were determined by DLS, laser diffraction, and analytical centrifugation. Perhaps surprisingly, DLS proved to be inadequate for monitoring the Ostwald ripening of these Pickering nanoemulsions over time. This lack of sensitivity may be the result of rapid creaming of micrometer-sized droplets or it could simply reflect the relatively low upper size limit for this instrument. In contrast, laser diffraction was able to detect the growing population of larger oil droplets, but this technique suffered from relatively low resolution. Analytical centrifugation proved to be the most sensitive technique for studying droplet growth because the oil droplets are fractionated according to their size prior to detection. Time-dependent studies using the latter technique indicated that the n-octane nanoemulsions were rather unstable as expected, given the relatively high aqueous solubility of this oil (0.66 mg dm⁻³). These droplets coarsened significantly on ageing for 1 week at 20 °C, with larger mean droplet diameters and a much broader droplet size distribution being obtained, as confirmed by optical microscopy studies. Nanoemulsions prepared using n-decane (aqueous solubility = 0.0396 mg dm⁻³) also proved to be relatively unstable on ageing. In contrast, nanoemulsions prepared using either n-dodecane or n-tetradecane were significantly more stable, as expected given their much lower aqueous solubilities (0.0034 and 0.000386 mg dm⁻³, respectively). After ageing for 6 weeks at 20 °C, only minimal change in the mean droplet diameter was observed for these latter two oils, but significant broadening of their respective droplet size distributions was discernible. Nevertheless, 94% of n-dodecane droplets and 100% of n-tetradecane droplets remained below 1 μm diameter after this ageing period.
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