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Abstract

BACKGROUND

Degree of portal hypertension (PH) is the most important prognostic factor for the decompensation of liver cirrhosis and death, therefore adequate care for patients with liver cirrhosis requires timely detection and evaluation of the presence of clinically significant PH (CSPH) and severe PH (SPH). As the most accurate method for the assessment of PH is an invasive direct measurement of hepatic venous pressure gradient (HVPG), the search for non-invasive methods to diagnose these conditions is actively ongoing.

AIM

To evaluate the feasibility of parameters of endogenously induced displacements and strain of liver to assess degree of PH.

METHODS

Of 36 patients with liver cirrhosis and measured HVPG were included in the case-control study. Endogenous motion of the liver was characterized by derived parameters of region average tissue displacement signal (\(d_{\text{anter}}\), \(d_{\text{retro}}\), \(d_{\text{RMS}}\)) and results of endogenous tissue strain imaging using specific radiofrequency signal processing algorithm. Average endogenous strain \(\mu\) and standard deviation \(\sigma\) of
strains were assessed in the regions of interest (ROI) (1 cm × 1 cm and 2 cm × 2 cm in size) and different frequency subbands of endogenous motion (0-10 Hz and 10-20 Hz).

RESULTS
Four parameters showed statistically significant ($P < 0.05$) correlation with HVPG measurement. The strongest correlation was obtained for the standard deviation of strain (estimated at 0-10 Hz and 2 cm × 2 cm ROI size). Three parameters showed statistically significant differences between patient groups with CSPH, but only $d_{max}$ showed significant results in SPH analysis. According to ROC analysis area under the curve (AUC) of the $d_{max} (0-10 Hz, 2 cm \times 2 cm)$ parameter reached 0.71 ($P = 0.036$) for the diagnosis of CSPH; with a cut-off value of 1.28 μm/cm providing 73% sensitivity and 70% specificity. AUC for the diagnosis of CSPH for $d_{max} (0-10 Hz, 1 cm \times 1 cm)$ was 0.78 ($P = 0.0024$); with a cut-off value of 3.92 μm/cm providing 73% sensitivity and 80% specificity. $D_{max}$ parameter had an AUC of 0.86 ($P = 0.0001$) for the diagnosis of CSPH and 0.84 ($P = 0.0001$) for the diagnosis of SPH. A cut-off value of -132.34 μm yielded 100% sensitivity for both conditions, whereas specificity was 80% and 72% for CSPH and SPH respectively.

CONCLUSION
The parameters of endogenously induced displacements and strain of the liver correlated with HVPG and might be used for non-invasive diagnosis of PH.
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Core Tip: In this study, we aimed to evaluate the feasibility of parameters of endogenously induced displacements and strain of the liver to assess the degree of portal hypertension. Endogenous motion of the liver was characterized by derived parameters of region average tissue displacement signal and results of endogenous tissue strain imaging using specific radiofrequency signal processing algorithm. Our proposed parameters correlated with hepatic venous pressure gradient and statistically significant differences were observed between patient groups with clinically significant and severe portal hypertension. To our knowledge it is the first study to evaluate prognostic potential of endogenous motion parameters to detect clinically significant and severe portal hypertension.

INTRODUCTION
The burden of chronic liver diseases is growing and decompensated liver cirrhosis is responsible for a million of deaths per year worldwide\(^4\). The grade of portal hypertension (PH) expressed by hepatic venous pressure gradient (HVPG) is an important prognostic factor for the decompensation of liver cirrhosis and formation of life threatening complications, such as ascites, gastroesophageal varices and hepatic encephalopathy\(^4\). HVPG value greater than 5 mmHg is considered to be PH. Clinically significant PH (CSPH) is diagnosed when HVPG values exceed 10 mmHg and is associated with the formation of gastroesophageal varices, ascites and hepatorenal syndrome. When HVPG values exceed 12 mmHg severe PH (SPH) is diagnosed with higher risk of variceal bleeding and decompensation. Thus the evaluation of the presence of CSPH and SPH are of utmost importance\(^4\).

Direct measurement of HVPG is regarded as the most accurate method for the assessment of PH, however this procedure is currently reserved for specialized centers, as it is invasive, costly and requires expertise\(^4\).
Ultrasound elastography is one of the most widely used non-invasive alternatives for the diagnosis of PH. The method is appealing due to its low cost, applicability and availability\(^{[6,9]}\). Several ultrasound elastography techniques have been developed: Strain imaging [strain elastography (SE), using internal/external compression stimuli, or acoustic radiation force impulse] and shear wave imaging [point shear wave elastography (pSWE), 2D-SWE and transient elastography (TE)]\(^{[6,9]}\). Out of existing ultrasound elastography techniques TE, using Fibroscan (Echosens, France), has shown most promising results in diagnosing CSPH with area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) of 0.90, sensitivity 87% and specificity 85%, whereas other shear wave techniques showed lower sensitivity in this field\(^{[10-12]}\). The readings of TE, pSWE and 2D-SWE are affected by active inflammation, cholestasis, fatty liver and biliary obstruction thus TE performance is not optimal in patients with obesity, ascites, narrow intercostal space. These limitations encourage the search for another alternative technique that could be applied in this diverse patient population.

SE is an ultrasound elastography technique widely used for the examination of musculoskeletal system\(^{[11,15]}\), breast and thyroid pathologies\(^{[17]}\), however it has been scarcely evaluated in the setting of liver cirrhosis and PH. SE measures axial displacement of tissue caused by manual compression or physiological shifts inside the body (cardiovascular pulsatility or breathing)\(^{[18,20]}\). Elasticity is displayed as color coded elastograms: Areas with lower strain are displayed in blue and areas with higher strain in red\(^{[11,15]}\). The drawback of this technique is that it measures the strain of tissues and the resulting elastogram is not quantifiable\(^{[19]}\). To obtain more accurate and reproducible results various semi-quantitative methods have been developed\(^{[18]}\). Different scores for semi-quantitative interpretation of SE have been proposed: The German Elasticity Score, the Japanese Elasticity Score, the LF Index, calculation of strain\(^{[21,17]}\). Other research groups develop and apply specific algorithms for quantitative evaluation of elasticity using a number of statistical parameters, derived from the distribution of recorded strains within a region of interest (ROI). The key parameters are: Mean strain, standard deviation of the mean, the percentage of blue area, complexity of the blue areas\(^{[22,20]}\). One advantage of this technique is the ability to evaluate the inhomogeneity of strain in larger areas of the liver\(^{[23,24]}\). Also, what is more important, SE readings are not affected by hepatic inflammation, jaundice, liver congestion, fatty degeneration, obesity, ascites or narrow intercostal spaces as is the case with other elastography modalities\(^{[21,22,23]}\).

Studies concerning SE performance in PH are scarce. Ochi et al\(^{[25]}\) reported that SE was useful for the diagnosis of PH in patients with non-alcoholic liver disease. Hirooka et al\(^{[26]}\) evaluated SE performance in CSPH and SPH using elastic ratio. In their study AUROC for the diagnosis of CSPH was 0.83 and for the diagnosis of SPH – 0.78.

Our group has applied SE technique to assess the strain of liver tissue caused by endogenous motion of the beating heart and developed a specific radiofrequency (RF) signal analysis algorithm to calculate the parameters for quantification of strain in liver tissue. We have previously applied this RF ultrasound-based tissue strain imaging method to characterize tissue elasticity in vitro\(^{[27]}\) and demonstrated the feasibility of this method in diagnosing liver fibrosis in patients with hepatitis C virus\(^{[28]}\). The aim of present study was to evaluate the ability and feasibility of endogenously induced displacements and strain on the liver to assess the degree of PH, using this specifically developed RF signal analysis algorithm.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Patients**

Patients with hepatitis C virus and/or alcohol induced liver cirrhosis, hospitalized for the HVPG measurement procedure to the Hospital of Lithuanian University of Health Sciences, Department of Gastroenterology were included in this study. Exclusion criteria were: Pre- or post-hepatic causes of PH, cardiovascular disease, current use of beta-blockers or other vasoactive drugs, presence of ascites. Demographic data, medical history, aethiology of liver disease and HVPG values were recorded. The diagnosis of liver cirrhosis was based on clinical, laboratory and radiologic data and/or histology.

The study was approved by Kaunas Region Biomedical Research Ethics Committee (2015-08-24, No. BE-2-28, Kaunas, Lithuania) and a written informed consent to participate in the study was acquired from all participants.
Ultrasound scanning
We collected data using ultrasound scanner Ultrasonix Sonix Touch (Analogic Ultrasound, Canada), which allows to collect raw RF signals of all scanning lines. The ultrasound scanning was performed on the day of HVPG measurement prior to the procedure. Scanning protocol and the main RF data acquisition parameters were described in previous paper[32].

HVPG measurement
Invasive HVPG measurement procedure was used to assess the degree of PH. The procedure was performed according to standard as described by previous authors[33]. Portal pressure was considered to be normal for HVPG values of 1-5 mmHg. When HVPG values exceeded 6 mmHg, PH was diagnosed. HVPG values of ≥ 10 mmHg represented clinically significant and ≥ 12 mmHg – SPH.

RF signal processing
Endogenous motion of the liver was characterized by derived parameters of region average tissue displacement signal and results of endogenous tissue strain imaging.

Displacement estimation
The displacements along the scanning beam line were estimated applying cross-correlation based technique. The signals were interpolated before calculation of cross-correlation. Length of the correlation window was set to 6 wavelengths and the overlap was 50%. The detailed description of the method could be found in previous publication[32]. The sequences of displacement images were obtained as a result of the displacement estimation stage.

Displacement parameters
The user predefined regions of interest (ROI) in all images of displacements were averaged in space, thus obtaining the region average liver tissue displacement signal. The average displacement signal could be expressed as follows:

\[
\text{Formula 1} \quad \text{where } p = 1 \ldots P, P - \text{number of displacement data points in a ROI of a subsector}, \ d_{[k]} - \text{displacement signal at } p-\text{th spatial position in a ROI, } k = 1 \ldots K, K - \text{acquisition instance (frame number).}
\]

Afterwards, the motion of the liver was characterized by three parameters of the region average tissue displacement signal (assessment example is presented in Figure 1A): (1) Maximal amplitude of motion towards the ultrasonic probe \(d_{\text{antero}}\); (2) Maximal amplitude of motion backwards from the ultrasonic probe \(d_{\text{retro}}\); and (3) Average level of motion by root-mean-square \(d_{\text{RMS}}\) value:

\[
\text{Formula 2} \quad \text{where } k = 1 \ldots K, K - \text{acquisition instance (frame number).}
\]

Strain parameters
The endogenous strain map was derived from the temporal sequence of the displacement images. The strain maps were obtained taking the gradient of integrated spectral coefficient. An example of derived strain map is presented in Figure 1B. The description of data processing methods used for parametric imaging of endogenous strain was presented in detail in previous study[32].

The local quantitative assessment of the strain was performed by analyzing the distribution of derived strain map values in user predefined rectangular ROI of constant size (see assessment example in Figure 1B). The investigation was performed in 2 frequency subbands (integration ranges for lower \(f_1\) and \(f_2\) frequencies 0-10 Hz and higher 10-20 Hz, respectively) and 2 sizes of ROI (1 cm × 1 cm and 2 cm × 2 cm). The ROI selection criteria were based on visual evaluation of B scans and the obtained endogenous strain maps. At first, the sequences of B mode images were carefully revised with the aim to identify appropriate regions. The regions that contained only liver parenchyma without clearly visible blood vessels in B scans were outlined by the observer in the spatially corresponding images of endogenous strain.

All 11 investigated parameters of endogenous motion of liver together with a description are provided in Table 1.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 25.0 and Medcalc softwares. Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used to check data normality. Descriptive statistics are provided as median and range for non-parametric data. Patients were divided into groups according to HVPG values: (1) Patients without CSPH (HVPG < 10 mmHg); (2)
Table 1 Investigated endogenous motion parameters

| No. | Parameter | Description |
|-----|-----------|-------------|
| 1   | \(d_{\text{antero}}\) | Maximal amplitude of endogenous displacements towards the probe, \(\mu m\) |
| 2   | \(d_{\text{retro}}\) | Maximal amplitude of the displacements backward, \(\mu m\) |
| 3   | \(d_{\text{RMS}}\) | Average level of motion, \(\mu m\) |
| 4   | \(\mu_{\text{ROI}[0...10\ Hz, 2\ cm \times 2\ cm]}\) | Average strain [estimated for the 0...10 Hz sub-band of endogenous motion in the 2 cm × 2 cm ROI], \(\mu m/cm\) |
| 5   | \(\sigma_{\text{ROI}[0...10\ Hz, 2\ cm \times 2\ cm]}\) | Standard deviation of strain [0...10 Hz, 2 cm × 2 cm], \(\mu m/cm\) |
| 6   | \(\mu_{\text{ROI}[0...10\ Hz, 1\ cm \times 1\ cm]}\) | Average strain [0...10 Hz, 1 cm × 1 cm], \(\mu m/cm\) |
| 7   | \(\sigma_{\text{ROI}[0...10\ Hz, 1\ cm \times 1\ cm]}\) | Standard deviation of strain [0...10 Hz, 1 cm × 1 cm], \(\mu m/cm\) |
| 8   | \(\mu_{\text{ROI}[10...20\ Hz, 2\ cm \times 2\ cm]}\) | Average strain [10...20 Hz, 2 cm × 2 cm], \(\mu m/cm\) |
| 9   | \(\sigma_{\text{ROI}[10...20\ Hz, 2\ cm \times 2\ cm]}\) | Standard deviation of strain [10...20 Hz, 2 cm × 2 cm], \(\mu m/cm\) |
| 10  | \(\mu_{\text{ROI}[10...20\ Hz, 1\ cm \times 1\ cm]}\) | Average strain [10...20 Hz, 1 cm × 1 cm], \(\mu m/cm\) |
| 11  | \(\sigma_{\text{ROI}[10...20\ Hz, 1\ cm \times 1\ cm]}\) | Standard deviation of strain [10...20 Hz, 1 cm × 1 cm], \(\mu m/cm\) |

ROIs: Region of interest; RMS: Root mean square.

\[
\bar{d}[k] = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{p=1}^{p} d_p[k]
\]

Formula 1

Patients with CSPH (HVPG ≥ 10 mmHg); (3) Patients without SPH (HVPG < 12 mmHg); and (4) Patients with SPH (HVPG ≥ 12 mmHg). Differences between the groups were evaluated using the Mann-Whitney’s test. Correlations were performed using Spearman’s correlation and expressed by Spearman’s coefficient. ROC curves were created to assess the predictive values of RF parameters for the degree of PH. AUC, sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV) were calculated. The cut-off value according to Youden’s index was chosen for the analysis of RF parameters. Statistical significance was established at \(P < 0.05\).

RESULTS

Of 36 patients were included in the study. Mean age (± SD) of the subjects was 54.25 ± 8.82; 58.3% were male. Hepatitis C induced liver cirrhosis was diagnosed in 63.9% of cases. The summary of the demographic data is presented in Table 2.

We determined the correlation between the investigated strain parameters and invasive HPVG measurement. Spearman’s correlation coefficient (\(\rho\)) values and \(P\) value for the assessment of statistical significance are presented in Table 3. Four parameters showed statistically significant \((P < 0.05)\) correlation with HPVG measurement. The strongest correlation was obtained for the standard deviation of strain (estimated at 0-10 Hz integration range and 2 cm × 2 cm ROI size). The directions of correlations met the expectation in almost all the cases. Negative correlation confirmed that the derived strain estimates decreased with an increment of HPVG.

In the next stage we investigated the ability of these four parameters to evaluate CSPH (HVPG ≥ 10 mmHg) and SPH (HVPG ≥ 12 mmHg). The results are presented in boxplots as median and interquartile ranges (Figure 2 and 3). Three parameters (No. 2, 5, 6; Table 1) showed statistically significant differences between the groups.

According to ROC analysis \(d_{\text{retro}}\) parameter had an AUC of 0.86 \((P = 0.0001)\) for the diagnosis of CSPH and 0.84 \((P = 0.0001)\) for the diagnosis of SPH. A cut-off value of \(-132.34 \mu m\) yielded 100% sensitivity for both conditions, whereas specificity was 80% and 72% for CSPH and SPH respectively (Figure 4). AUC of the \(\sigma_{\text{ROI}[0...10\ Hz, 2\ cm \times 2\ cm]}\)
Table 2 Demographic and clinical data of the patients

| Variable                                      | Characteristics (n = 36)   |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Sex (male/female; %)                         | 58.3/41.7                  |
| Age (yr; SD)                                  | 54.25 (8.82)               |
| Aetiology (% of patients)                    |                            |
| Alcohol cirrhosis                             | 36.1                       |
| HCV cirrhosis                                 | 63.9                       |
| Child-Pugh score (A/B/C; % of patients)       | 58.3/36.2/5.6              |
| HVPG (mmHg; SD)                               | 14.3 (5.9)                 |
| HVPG 1-5 mmHg (% of patients)                 | 2.8                        |
| HVPG 6-9 mmHg (% of patients)                 | 25                         |
| CSPH; HVPG ≥ 10 mmHg (% of patients)          | 72.2                       |
| SPH; HVPG ≥ 12 mmHg (% of patients)           | 69.4                       |

HCV: Hepatitis C virus; HVPG: Hepatic venous pressure gradient; CSPH: Clinically significant portal hypertension; SPH: Severe portal hypertension.

Table 3 Correlations between the investigated parameters and hepatic venous pressure gradient

| No.   | Parameter                          | Spearman’s ρ | P value |
|-------|------------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| 1     | \( d_{\text{antero}} \)           | -0.31         | 0.07    |
| 2     | \( d_{\text{retro}} \)            | 0.34          | 0.04    |
| 3     | \( d_{\text{RMS}} \)              | -0.33         | 0.05    |
| 4     | \( \sigma_{\text{ROI}[0...10 \text{Hz}, 2 \times 2 \text{cm}]} \) | -0.38         | 0.04    |
| 5     | \( \sigma_{\text{ROI}[0...10 \text{Hz}, 2 \times 2 \text{cm}]} \) | -0.42         | 0.01    |
| 6     | \( \mu_{\text{ROI}[0...10 \text{Hz}, 1 \times 1 \text{cm}]} \) | -0.38         | 0.02    |
| 7     | \( \sigma_{\text{ROI}[0...10 \text{Hz}, 1 \times 1 \text{cm}]} \) | -0.27         | 0.11    |
| 8     | \( \mu_{\text{ROI}[10...20 \text{Hz}, 2 \times 2 \text{cm}]} \) | -0.19         | 0.28    |
| 9     | \( \sigma_{\text{ROI}[10...20 \text{Hz}, 2 \times 2 \text{cm}]} \) | -0.14         | 0.43    |
| 10    | \( \mu_{\text{ROI}[10...20 \text{Hz}, 1 \times 1 \text{cm}]} \) | -0.16         | 0.34    |
| 11    | \( \sigma_{\text{ROI}[10...20 \text{Hz}, 1 \times 1 \text{cm}]} \) | -0.16         | 0.36    |

ROIs: Region of interest; RMS: Root mean square.

Parameter reached 0.71 (P = 0.036) for the diagnosis of CSPH; with a cut-off value of 1.28 μm/cm providing 73% sensitivity and 70% specificity. AUC for the diagnosis of CSPH for \( \mu_{\text{ROI}[10...20 \text{Hz}, 1 \times 1 \text{cm}]} \) was 0.78 (P = 0.0024); with a cut-off value of 3.92 μm/cm providing 73% sensitivity and 80% specificity (Figure 5). Data on specificity, sensitivity, PPV and NPV are presented in Table 4.

DISCUSSION

Diagnosis of PH is currently based on the invasive procedure requiring high expertise; therefore, the need for an accurate non-invasive diagnostic test is growing. In this study for the non-invasive diagnosis of PH we applied a RF ultrasound-based tissue strain imaging method. We have shown that four out of 11 investigated parameters significantly correlated with HVPG and predicted CSPH and SPH. The \( d_{\text{RMS}} \) parameter was a strong predictor of CSPH and SPH with sensitivity and NPV of 100%. Other authors have described similar performance in non-invasive diagnosis of CSPH of TE\(^{34}\), whereas pSWE and 2D SWE methods were less sensitive (AUC 0.85 and 0.81.
Table 4 Diagnostic performance of parameters for the diagnosis of portal hypertension

| Parameter | Value         | Sensitivity | Specificity | PPV | NPV | P value |
|-----------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-----|-----|---------|
| $d_{\text{retro}}$ | 132.34 µm     | 100         | 80          | 93  | 100 | 0.0001  |
| CSPH      | 132.34 µm     | 100         | 72          | 89  | 100 | 0.0001  |
| SPH       | 132.34 µm     | 100         | 72          | 89  | 100 | 0.0001  |
| $d_{\text{ROI}[0...10 Hertz, 2 cm \times 2 cm]}$ CSPH | 1.28 µm/cm | 73          | 70          | 86  | 50  | 0.036   |
| $d_{\text{ROI}[0...10 Hertz, 1 cm \times 1 cm]}$ CSPH | 3.92 µm/cm | 73          | 80          | 90  | 53  | 0.0024  |

CSPH: Clinically significant portal hypertension; SPH: Severe portal hypertension; PPV: Positive predictive value; NPV: Negative predictive value; ROI: Region of interest.

Figure 1  Example illustrating assessment of the endogenous liver displacements and strain. A: region averaged tissue displacement signal obtained in the subsector at time interval (2...3.8) s (three parameters of region averaged displacement signal $d_{\text{RMS}}, d_{\text{antero}}$ and $d_{\text{retro}}$ were evaluated in this study); B: the obtained strain map [amplitude coded in (µm/cm)] together with regions of interest (red rectangle, size 1 cm × 1 cm) used for the local assessment of endogenous strain. ROI: Regions of interest.

respectively, sensitivity 71% and 85% respectively \(^{35,36}\).

The presented technique has certain limitations. It does not allow the evaluation of strain in real-time differently from commercial SE techniques and the strain parameters are calculated retrospectively, using recorded RF data. The other limitation of the approach is the detection of displacements field only in the direction of ultrasound wave propagation. Our method reveals the estimates of the displacements and strain only in single projection of endogenous motion, which is actually three-dimensional. The second projection of motion could be estimated by updating the
$$d_{RMS} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{K} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{K} d^2[k]}$$

Formula 2

Figure 2  The boxplots and $P$ values representing the derived parameters of endogenous displacements and strain in patients with and without clinically significant portal hypertension ($\geq 10 \text{ mmHg}$). A: $d_{ret}$; B: $\mu_{ROI[0…10Hz,2\text{ cm} \times 2\text{ cm}]}$; C: $\sigma_{ROI[0…10Hz,2\text{ cm} \times 2\text{ cm}]}$; D: $\mu_{ROI[0…10Hz,1\text{ cm} \times 1\text{ cm}]}$. HVPG: Hepatic venous pressure gradient.

algorithm for two-dimensional detection, but sector shaped scan requires complicated computations.

In this method we have used an undefined endogenous source for the tissue displacement excitation, which might influence quantified evaluation of liver elasticity. The endogenous motion also has a complex pattern which is composed from the very slow waves, induced by respiratory activity, a bit faster vascular pulsatility and relatively fast mechanical strain induced by the heartbeat and motion artefacts. However, the exact definition of motion inducing sources is beyond this study and will be investigated in future research. Other technical limitations of the method were disclosed in the previous study\cite{32}. Also, despite the promising results, this was a pilot study and our results could be biased by the small size of our sample.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the parameters of endogenously induced displacements and strain of the liver significantly correlated with HVPG and could be a potential diagnostic tool for the non-invasive diagnosis of PH. A proposed method is potentially suitable for other applications of non-invasive diagnostics.
Figure 3 The boxplots and P values representing the derived parameters of endogenous displacements and strain in patients with and without severe portal hypertension (≥ 12 mmHg). A: $d_{\text{retro}}$; B: $\mu_{\text{ROI}[0…10Hz, 2 cm \times 2 cm]}$; C: $\sigma_{\text{ROI}[0…10Hz, 2 cm \times 2 cm]}$; D: $\mu_{\text{ROI}[0…10Hz, 1 cm \times 1 cm]}$. HVPG: Hepatic venous pressure gradient.

Figure 4 Receiver operating characteristic curves of $d_{\text{retro}}$ parameter for the diagnosis of portal hypertension. A: Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve for clinically significant portal hypertension [hepatic venous pressure gradient (HVPG) ≥ 10 mmHg]; B: ROC curve for severe portal hypertension (HVPG ≥ 12 mmHg).
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ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background
Degree of portal hypertension (PH) is the most important prognostic factor for the decompensation of liver cirrhosis and death, therefore adequate care for patients with liver cirrhosis requires timely detection and evaluation of the presence of clinically significant PH (CSPH) and severe PH (SPH).

Research motivation
As the most accurate method for the assessment of PH is an invasive direct measurement of hepatic venous pressure gradient (HVPG), the search for non-invasive methods to diagnose these conditions is actively ongoing. Ultrasound elastography is one of the most widely used non-invasive alternatives for the diagnosis of PH. Strain elastography (SE) is an ultrasound elastography technique widely used for the examination of musculoskeletal system, breast and thyroid pathologies, however it has been scarcely evaluated in the setting of liver cirrhosis and PH. It is an appealing alternative, as it is considered that SE readings are not affected by hepatic inflammation, jaundice, liver congestion, fatty degeneration, obesity, ascites or narrow intercostal spaces as is the case with other elastography modalities.

Research objectives
Our group has applied SE technique to assess the strain of liver tissue caused by endogenous motion of the beating heart and developed a specific radiofrequency (RF) signal analysis algorithm to calculate the parameters for quantification of strain in liver tissue. The aim of present study was to evaluate the ability and feasibility of endogenously induced displacements and strain on the liver to assess the degree of PH, using this specifically developed RF signal analysis algorithm.

Research methods
Of 36 patients with liver cirrhosis and measured HVPG were included in the case-control study. Endogenous motion of the liver was characterized by derived parameters of region average tissue displacement signal ($d_{anter}$, $d_{r}$, $d_{RMS}$) and results of endogenous tissue strain imaging using specific radiofrequency signal processing algorithm. Average endogenous strain $\mu$ and standard deviation $\sigma$ of strain were

Figure 5 Receiver operating characteristic curves of $\sigma$ROI[0–10Hz, 2 cm × 2 cm] and $\mu$ROI[0–10Hz, 1 cm × 1 cm] parameters for the diagnosis of clinically significant portal hypertension (hepatic venous pressure gradient $\geq$ 10 mmHg).
assessed in the regions of interest (ROI) (1 cm × 1 cm and 2 cm × 2 cm in size) and different frequency subbands of endogenous motion (0-10 Hz and 10-20 Hz).

**Research results**

Four parameters showed statistically significant ($P < 0.05$) correlation with HVPG measurement. The strongest correlation was obtained for the standard deviation of strain (estimated at 0-10 Hz and 2 cm × 2 cm ROI size). Three parameters showed statistically significant differences between patient groups with CSPH, but only $d_{sph}$ showed significant results in SPH analysis. According to ROC analysis area under the curve (AUC) of the $\sigma_{ROI[0…10Hz, 2 cm × 2 cm]}$ parameter reached 0.71 ($P = 0.036$) for the diagnosis of CSPH; with a cut-off value of 1.28 μm/cm providing 73% sensitivity and 70% specificity. AUC for the diagnosis of CSPH for $H_{ROI[0…10Hz, 1 cm × 1 cm]}$ was 0.78 ($P = 0.0024$); with a cut-off value of 3.92 μm/cm providing 73% sensitivity and 80% specificity. $D_{sph}$ parameter had an AUC of 0.86 ($P = 0.0001$) for the diagnosis of CSPH and 0.84 ($P = 0.0001$) for the diagnosis of SPH. A cut-off value of -132.34 μm yielded 100% sensitivity for both conditions, whereas specificity was 80% and 72% for CSPH and SPH respectively.

**Research conclusions**

The parameters of endogenously induced displacements and strain of the liver significantly correlated with HVPG. This shows that parameters of endogenous motion could be a potential diagnostic tool for the non-invasive diagnosis of PH. A proposed method is potentially suitable for other applications of non-invasive diagnostics.

**Research perspectives**

The presented technique has certain limitations. It does not allow the evaluation of strain in real-time differently from commercial SE techniques and the strain parameters are calculated retrospectively, using recorded RF data. The other limitation of the approach is the detection of displacements field only in the direction of ultrasound wave propagation. In this method we have used an undefined endogenous source for the tissue displacement excitation, which might influence quantified evaluation of liver elasticity. Also despite the promising results, this was a pilot study and our results could be biased by the small size of our sample. We are conducting further research of this method, which will include larger groups of patients, also allowing subgroup analysis of patients with ascites and obesity.
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