We report an extraordinary case in which the venous route for pulmonary artery catheterization was unusual. A 41 year-old woman with an end-stage liver disease underwent a living-donor liver transplantation. After induction of anesthesia, the pulmonary artery catheter was revealed to be advanced into the left brachiocephalic vein and then slipped into another vein that drains into the left brachiocephalic vein. In this case, we assumed that the catheter had most likely slipped into the left pericardiophrenic vein since the catheter follows the left heart border similarly to the route of this vein according to the chest X-ray. Patients with liver cirrhosis develop many collateral vessels and have enlarged veins due to portal hypertension, which makes this vascular route possible. We present this case for anesthesiologists to be aware of the possibilities of unusual venous route due to dilated collateral vessels especially in liver transplant patients. (Korean J Anesthesiol 2014; 67: 57-60)

Key Words: Liver cirrhosis, Pulmonary artery catheterization.

Complications regarding PAC insertion include arrhythmia, complete heart block, infections, catheter knotting, and pulmonary artery rupture [2]. In addition, inadvertent insertion of the catheter into unwanted position due to aberrant venous anatomy may be another complication which can end up in deleterious events.

In liver cirrhosis patients with esophageal varix, dilatation...
of collateral vessels is very common. Thus, venous anatomy of these patients tends to be quite different from normal patients in which can result in malposition of central venous catheterization. Here, we report an extraordinary case in which the venous route for the insertion of PAC in a liver transplantation recipient was very unusual.

Case Report

A 41-year-old woman diagnosed with hepatitis B-related liver cirrhosis was scheduled for elective living-donor liver transplantation. The patient’s height and weight were 157 cm and 58 kg, respectively. Her Child-Pugh classification grade was A with a model for end-stage liver disease (MELD) score of 8. She had no specific medical history. Her laboratory findings included hemoglobin 9.6 g/dl, platelet 47,000 /mm$^3$, INR 1.19, albumin 3.7 g/dl, and total bilirubin 0.7 mg/dl. Her preoperative chest X-ray revealed no active lung lesion. The dynamic liver CT scan showed liver cirrhosis with portal hypertension. Esophagogastroduodenoscopy revealed esophageal varix which was treated by propranolol.

The patient did not take any premedication before surgery. Upon arrival in the operating room, routine monitoring including five-lead electrocardiogram, non-invasive blood pressure, and pulse oximetry was started. Her blood pressure was 115/75 mmHg, heart rate was 65 beats/min, and the oxygen saturation was 100%. Before induction of anesthesia, the right radial artery was cannulated to monitor continuous arterial pressure. The anesthesia was induced using thiopental 250 mg, fentanyl 100 μg, and midazolam 5 mg. Vecuronium 10 mg was injected for the ease of endotracheal intubation. The patient was aseptically prepared and draped for central venous catheterization. A 7.5- Fr pulmonary artery catheter (Swan Ganz CCOmbo V; Edwards Lifesciences, Irvine, CA) was inserted via a 9.0- Fr introducer (AVA HF; Edwards Lifesciences) through the right subclavian vein. The right subclavian vein was chosen because an 11.5 Fr. two-lumen central venous catheter (Mahurkar, Covidien, Mansfield, MA, USA) had been inserted in the right internal jugular vein (IJV) preoperatively for the purpose of therapeutic plasmapheresis owing to ABO incompatible transplantation. The tip of the PAC was ballooned and advanced through the introducer without resistance. While observing the waveforms of the PAC, the pressure wave on the monitor continuously showed a form of central venous pressure although the catheter was nearly 40 cm inside the vein. The catheter was pulled back outside the sheath for reinsertion. Further attempts were made, but the waveform did not change to a right ventricular pressure waveform. The vital signs were stable and no arrhythmia was present. Thus, to avoid any complications, an anteroposterior chest X-ray was taken when the catheter was about 40 cm inside the vein. The X-ray revealed that the PAC had erroneously advanced straight into the left brachiocephalic vein and turned to its opposite direction to slip into another vein that drains into the left brachiocephalic vein (Fig. 1A). We were convinced that the catheter was not placed extravascularly and was therefore not resting on the mediastinum or in the pleural space, as confirmed by stable vital signs with freely regurgitating blood and intact lung parenchyma in the chest X-ray. Also, a venous anomaly such as bilateral superior vena cava was ruled out by a preoperative chest CT scan. We assumed that the catheter had most likely slipped through the left pericardiophrenic vein from the left brachiocephalic vein since the catheter follows the left heart border similarly to the route of this vein. We cautiously reviewed the chest CT once more with the radiologist and noticed that there were branches stemming out from the left brachiocephalic vein, which appeared to be the left pericardiophrenic vein (Fig. 1B).

![Fig. 1. (A) Chest X-ray shows malposition of the pulmonary artery catheter inserted from the right subclavian vein, which follows along the left heart border. (B) CT scan reveals enlarged branches (arrow) that drain into the left brachiocephalic vein, suggesting either the pericardiophrenic vein or the highest intercostal vein.](image-url)
The surgery was processed without the PAC insertion. Fortunately, the surgery was finished uneventfully and the patient had discharged from the hospital after 17 days.

Discussion

PAC is performed in most of the patients undergoing liver transplantation to monitor central venous pressure, pulmonary artery pressure, cardiac output, systemic venous resistance, pulmonary vascular resistance, pulmonary capillary wedge pressure, and mixed venous oxygen saturation. These parameters are useful in managing massive fluid shifts that occur in liver transplantation. However, this catheterization is very invasive and comes with several complications that may threat the patient’s life. These severe complications include dysrhythmia (~62.7%), tricuspid regurgitation (~17%), complete heart block (~8.5%), pulmonary artery rupture (~1.5%), pulmonary infarction (~5%), and death (~1.5%) [2,3].

In our case, the venous route for pulmonary artery catheter was unusual. We assumed that the catheter had most likely slipped through the left pericardiophrenic vein from the left brachiocephalic vein since the catheter follows the left heart border similarly to the route of this vein [4]. The ostium of the left pericardiophrenic vein is usually in the left brachiocephalic vein. Inferiorly, the right and left pericardiophrenic veins join the inferior phrenic veins and branch to continue on to inferior vena cava, hepatic veins, or left renal veins [5]. We suspected that the catheter had been placed in the left pericardiophrenic vein which has the highest possibility among other veins such as internal mammary vein or highest intercostal vein which all drain into the left subclavian vein [6]. Also, one possibility of the reason why the PAC catheter had turned its direction oppositely may be due to venous valve that had interrupted the advancement. However, the possibility of this branch to be the highest intercostal vein or the internal mammary vein cannot be excluded since neither lateral X-ray nor contrast imaging was performed [7]. Angiography would have confirmed the exact position of the misplaced catheter.

Usually, right IJV is preferred over left IJV since the right IJV has the shortest route to the right atrium, and the angle between the right IJV and the brachiocephalic vein is less that those with the left IJV. Other sites such as right subclavian vein or left IJV have shown more complications regarding insertion of central venous catheterization [8]. However, our patient already had a central venous catheter inserted in the right internal jugular vein. Thus, we chose the right subclavian vein for the insertion of the pulmonary artery catheter. While cannulating the right subclavian vein with the PAC sheath, the already inserted IJV catheter may have blocked the PAC and would have misled the PAC straight into the left brachiocephalic vein instead of guiding it down the superior vena cava. To the best of our knowledge, this unusual venous route of pulmonary artery catheterization cannulated from the right side has never been previously reported in the literature, although cases of cannulation from the left IJV with inadvertent placement of the pulmonary artery catheter into left pericardiophrenic vein have been published [9]. In another report, cannulation of central venous catheter in the left pericardiophrenic vein had led to cardiac tamponade due to vessel rupture [10].

Patients with liver cirrhosis develop many collateral vessels with enlarged veins due to portal hypertension, which makes this vascular route possible [11]. Portal hypertension leads to changes in the systemic and portosystemic collateral circulation which results in engorged veins [12]. Thus, patients with portal hypertension depict different venous anatomy which may affect the outcome of the cannulation of central veins. There was a debate regarding whether the cannulation was in the persistent left superior vena cava or the pericardiophrenic vein in a liver transplant patient [13]. We agree with the suggestions by Verniquet et al. [14] of the possibilities of having cannulated the left pericardiophrenic vein, as with our case.

In conclusion, in portal hypertension patients with a history of esophageal varix, dilated collateral vessels should always be considered during cannulation of central lines which is a very invasive procedure. Anesthesiologists should be aware of the chances of unusual routes of the pulmonary artery catheter in these patients.
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