The Canadian Diabetes Association and the American Diabetes Association recommend self-monitoring of blood glucose for patients with diabetes to monitor their glycemic control.1,2 Although guidelines exist about the use of blood glucose test strips for patients taking insulin, the effectiveness and optimal frequency of self-monitoring of blood glucose in patients not taking insulin is controversial.3,4 Several lines of evidence suggest increased discomfort, inconvenience and worsening of depression scores with regular self-monitoring, along with a lack of clinically relevant improvement in diabetes-related outcomes in patients who self-test and are not taking insulin.4,12 Indeed, the Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health has recommended against the routine use of blood glucose test strips for most adults with type 2 diabetes using oral hypoglycemic agents.11,13

The impact of translating this evidence to more focused policy decisions for blood glucose testing is unknown but may be considerable. In Ontario in 2007/08, blood glucose test strips represented the third largest expenditure by the Ontario Public Drug Programs, after atorvastatin and amlodipine besylate, accounting for over $100 million, or 3.3% of total drug expenditures.14 We sought to characterize the patterns of test strip use among patients aged 65 and older according to the type of diabetes therapy received and to estimate the impact of several scenarios related to testing frequency on the use of test strips.

Methods

Study design and data sources

We conducted a cross-sectional analysis of annual prescription claims for test strips between 1997 and 2008 for patients in Ontario aged 65 and older with diabetes. Patients were stratified into 1 of 4 hierarchical groups according to the most intensive glucose-lowering treatment received during each calendar year. Test strip use was calculated annually for each group over the study period, and the effects of 5 hypothetical policy scenarios of more selective test strip use were assessed.

Results: Test strip use increased by almost 250% from 1997 to 2008, with 52.6% ($n = 263513$) of included patients receiving a prescription during 2008. Almost half of these patients were at low risk for drug-induced hypoglycemia. In 2008, over 117 million test strips were dispensed in Ontario; however, more focused policy scenarios could have reduced this number by between 9.5 million and 74.5 million test strips.

Interpretation: Many people who self-monitor their blood glucose are at relatively low risk for drug-induced hypoglycemia. The economic benefits associated with more selective testing could be redirected to more effective interventions for patients with diabetes.
2008. Patients were assigned to yearly cohorts if they filled at least 1 test strip prescription during the calendar year. They were then stratified into 1 of 4 hierarchical and mutually exclusive groups each year according to the most intensive diabetes therapy received during that year using drug claims from the Ontario Drug Benefit database (Table 1).

**Table 1:** The use of blood glucose test strips in Ontario in 2008 among patients with diabetes aged 65 and older

| Diabetes therapy received | No. (column %)* |
|---------------------------|-----------------|
|                           | Patients prescribed test strips (row %) | Claims for test strips | Test strip claims per patient, mean (SD) | Test strips dispensed | Test strips per patient per day, mean (SD) |
| Group 1: Insulin† n = 65 792 | 56 772 (86.3) | 311 415 (33.8) | 5.49 (3.82) | 43 121 314 (36.7) | 2.08 (1.71) |
| Group 2: Hypoglycemia-inducing oral glucose-lowering drugs‡ n = 134 758 | 83 059 (61.6) | 283 915 (30.8) | 3.42 (2.80) | 35 283 961 (30.0) | 1.16 (1.01) |
| Group 3: Nonhypoglycemia-inducing oral glucose-lowering drugs§ n = 130 807 | 75 134 (57.4) | 213 252 (23.1) | 2.84 (2.35) | 25 817 898 (22.0) | 0.94 (1.19) |
| Group 4: No glucose-lowering drug therapy** n = 169 449 | 48 548 (28.7) | 113 445 (12.3) | 2.34 (2.09) | 13 380 519 (11.4) | 0.75 (0.74) |
| All diabetes therapy groups | 263 513 | 922 027 | 3.50 (3.04) | 117 063 692 | 1.22 (1.30) |

Note: SD = standard deviation.
*Unless otherwise indicated.
†At least 1 prescription for insulin, regardless of other diabetes drug therapy
‡At least 1 prescription for an oral glucose-lowering drug that may induce hypoglycemia (repaglinide or sulfonylureas) but not insulin.
§At least 1 prescription for an oral glucose-lowering drug not generally associated with hypoglycemia (metformin, thiazolidinediones or acarbose) but not insulin or a hypoglycemia-inducing oral glucose-lowering drug.
**No prescription for an oral glucose-lowering drug or insulin.

**Table 2:** Reduction in the use of glucose test strips associated with 5 scenarios related to testing frequency

| Scenario | 1 n = 56 722 | 2 n = 83 059 | 3 n = 75 134 | 4 n = 48 548 | All n = 263 513 | Total reduction, no. of strips |
|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| Calendar year 2008 | 43 121 314 | 35 283 961 | 25 817 898 | 13 380 519 | 117 063 692 | NA |
| Unlimited use in group 1; no use of blood glucose test strips in groups 2, 3 and 4 | 43 121 314 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 121 314 | 74 482 378 |
| Unlimited use in group 1; a maximum of 100 strips per person per year in groups 2 and 3; no use of blood glucose test strips in group 4 | 43 121 314 | 8 297 060 | 7 501 897 | 0 | 58 920 271 | 58 683 421 |
| Unlimited use in groups 1 and 2; a maximum of 100 strips per person per year in groups 3 and 4 | 43 121 314 | 35 283 961 | 7 501 897 | 4 837 027 | 90 744 199 | 26 859 493 |
| Unlimited use in groups 1 and 2; a maximum of 200 strips per person per year in groups 3 and 4 | 43 121 314 | 35 283 961 | 12 738 704 | 7 604 377 | 98 748 356 | 18 855 336 |
| Unlimited use in groups 1 and 2; a maximum of 400 strips per person per year in groups 3 and 4 | 43 121 314 | 35 283 961 | 19 130 621 | 10 539 705 | 108 075 601 | 9 528 091 |

Note: group 1 = insulin; group 2 = hypoglycemia-inducing oral glucose-lowering drug; group 3 = nonhypoglycemia-inducing oral glucose-lowering; group 4 = no glucose-lowering drug therapy. NA = not applicable.

**Statistical analysis**

For each year, we determined the number of prescriptions for test strips and the total number of test strips dispensed to patients in each diabetes therapy group. To calculate the rates of test strip use within each diabetes therapy group, we used as the denominator all patients aged 65 years or older who...
met the criteria outlined in Table 1. For patients receiving no glucose-lowering drug therapy, the denominator was determined from the Ontario Diabetes Database after excluding patients receiving diabetes therapy.13

Despite evidence that self-monitoring of blood glucose does not improve glycemic control in patients not requiring insulin, self-monitoring may promote patient engagement and awareness of diabetes.14 Furthermore, patients who receive oral hypoglycemic agents may find periodic monitoring useful to detect hyperglycemia or hypoglycemia. We modelled the implications of 5 different self-monitoring testing scenarios (Table 2) within our 4 diabetes therapy groups. The first 2 scenarios were guided by the recent Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health recommendations,11 allowing limited or no self-monitoring of blood glucose testing for patients taking oral glucose-lowering drugs. In both scenarios, we imposed no limit on the number of strips dispensed to patients taking insulin. The last 3 scenarios were directed at test strip use among patients at low risk for drug-induced hypoglycemia. The thresholds (100, 200 or 400 strips per year) correspond to approximate testing frequencies of twice weekly, every second day and daily, respectively. The potential impact on the use of blood glucose test strips associated with each of these scenarios was estimated for calendar year 2008.

Results

During the study period, the use of blood glucose test strips among patients aged 65 years or older increased by almost 250%, from 76,320 people in 1997 to 263,513 in 2008. About 53% of the included patients had received diabetes test strips by 2008. The total number of blood glucose test strips dispensed increased almost 5-fold, from 24.9 million strips in 1997 to 117.6 million strips in 2008. These trends in increasing use were observed in all diabetes therapy groups, with the prevalence of the use of blood glucose test strips more than doubling among patients not taking glucose-lowering drugs and exceeding 80% among patients using insulin.

On average, patients using insulin were dispensed more than 5 prescriptions for test strips in 2008 (mean 5.49, standard deviation 3.82), reflecting the use of more than 2 test strips per day on average (Table 1). Although these patients received almost twice as many test strips on average than patients in any other group, patients not receiving insulin accounted for 63.3% of all blood glucose test strips used in 2008.

Under the 5 scenarios considered, the use of test strips would be reduced substantially among older patients (Table 2). Even with the most conservative approach, in which patients at low risk of drug-induced hypoglycemia tested daily and with no other restrictions, test strip use could have been reduced by 9.5 million test strips or roughly 8.1% of total use. Under the most stringent criteria, the reduction would be almost 75 million strips (63% of total use).

Interpretation

Over the last 12 years in Ontario, the self-monitoring of blood glucose among older patients has increased by more than 250%, with use increasing among all diabetes patient groups, including those at low risk of drug-induced hypoglycemia. Overall, 30% of patients who did not use drug therapy to control their diabetes and 60% of patients taking oral glucose-lowering drugs not known to cause hypoglycemia were dispensed blood glucose test strips, despite evidence of no clear clinical benefit and some suggestion of harm. This may reflect an increased focus on blood glucose monitoring as part of routine care or increased licensing, formulary approval and marketing of new blood glucose test strips.

In light of the overall costs and questionable benefits for many patients, more focused policy decisions about the use of test strips have been proposed in several jurisdictions.13,19 We estimate that under some of these policies, test strip use among older patients could have been reduced by between 9.5 million and 74.5 million in 2008. Without such policies, over the next 5 years the growing costs of self-monitoring will undoubtedly exceed $1 billion in Canada. The cost implications of such policies are therefore substantial.

Although our estimates are derived from hypothetical scenarios, they illustrate the potential impact of self-testing in a manner more consistent with the available evidence. Substantial changes in use and costs could be realized without altering test strip use among patients taking insulin or oral agents that can cause hypoglycemia.20 It should be emphasized that in all but 1 of the scenarios described, the reported changes would result from a lowering of potentially unnecessary use of blood glucose test strips, while still permitting blood glucose monitoring by all patients receiving drug treatment. This would continue to engage patients in their own care and allow them to gather data that may aid clinical decision-making.

Conclusion

Even modest changes in the frequency of self-monitoring of blood glucose among selected patients with type 2 diabetes through focused policy decisions could lead to substantial reductions in test strip use. Any associated cost reductions could be used to improve diabetes care in ways that are better supported by evidence, without limiting reimbursement for blood glucose test strips for patients at risk of drug-induced hypoglycemia.
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