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1.Introduction

The use of complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) is growing and will continue to affect healthcare delivery in the foreseeable future [1, 2]. Over 100 million Europeans are currently CAM users, with one-fifth of them using it exclusively and the same number preferring health care including CAM. There are numerous users of CAM in Africa, Asia, Australia, and North America [2].

More specifically, patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) consider CAM because of the lack of effective conventional drugs [3, 4]. They use CAM with vague hopes and beliefs of improvement, despite knowing that it is considered an unorthodox method with no definite evidence of efficacy [5, 6]. It has been reported that 54% of ALS patients have tried CAM methods including acupuncture and homeopathy in a survey conducted by the German Association for Neuromuscular Diseases [7], while 42% used herbal supplements or other alternative therapies (15%) at the Utah Motor Neuron Disease Clinic in the United States [8]. In Shanghai, China, a higher number of ALS patients (99%) reported the use of at least 1 CAM treatment [9]. However, there are few studies focusing on the utilization of CAM in ALS cases [3], and there have been no published attempts to investigate the prevalence of CAM in South Korea. Therefore, this study investigated CAM use in
patients with ALS in South Korea to determine the prevalence, motives, information sources, adverse effects, and cost of CAM.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sample. This study comprised a face-to-face cross-sectional survey. The participants were recruited through home care services for mechanical ventilation. Because of the paralysis of respiratory muscles, all ALS patients need ventilators in the late stages. The South Korean government supports ventilator rental services for patients with ALS, and the ventilators are managed by home care services. Sampling through a home care service was a feasible method to recruit a representative population with ALS.

The purpose of the study was described, and written informed consent was obtained; then, willing participants took part in the survey during the visit with staff available to address any queries. All participants were informed that participation was voluntary.

Specific inclusion criteria for patients with ALS included the ability to express oneself or communicate through caregivers. Patients with stroke, dementia, or any cognitive disorders were excluded.

2.2. Questionnaire. A structured questionnaire for patients with ALS was developed and revised by 3 Korean medicine doctors, based on previous qualitative research [6] and the Home Remedy questionnaire developed by the Korean Institute of Oriental Medicine [10, 11]. In the questionnaire, CAM was defined as either self-treatment, or a recommendation, or the administration of a procedure by a noninstitutional practitioner for health management or disease treatment [11]. The questionnaire is presented in Supplementary Appendix 1.

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Wonkwang University Hospital (WKIRB2013-3). Data were collected in 2013.

2.3. Statistical Analysis. Microsoft Excel software (Microsoft Office Professional Plus 2013, United States) was used for data entry, data documentation, and descriptive statistical analysis [12]. Individual CAM use was categorised into 3 types following the National Centre for Complementary and Integrative Health (NCCIH); natural products, mind and body medicine, and other complementary health approaches. CAM use among respondents was measured as a percentage.

3. Results

A total of 55 participants were included in the survey (men: 39 (70.9%); women: 16 (29.1%); limb type: 47 (85.5%); bulbar type: 6 (10.9%); mean age: 55.96 ± 11.31 years; duration from onset: 3.74 ± 4.04 years; duration from diagnosis: 2.72 ± 3.56 years). The most common onset symptom was limb weakness (42.9%), followed by fasciculation (14.3%) and muscle cramps (10.2%). Only 1 (1.8%) participant was married, and 27 (49.1%) were religious; urban dwellers constituted the majority (n = 23, 41.8%) of the population.

Forty-three (78.1%) of the participants were high school or college graduates, and the monthly household income was mostly between 1,010,000 and 2,000,000 Korean won (KRW; n = 18, 32.7%). In terms of past or present occupation, office workers constituted the majority (n = 33, 60.0%) of the population (Table 1).

3.1. Prevalence and Pattern of CAM Use. Among the 55 survey respondents, all had used more than 1 CAM therapy for the symptoms related to ALS. The average number of CAM treatment methods used was 3.54 per person.

A total of 195 CAM types were used by the respondents. Mind and body medicine (50.3%) was the most commonly used category followed by natural products (46.7%) (Table 2). Among natural products, dietary treatments (23.6%) were the most commonly used. Among mind and body medicine, massage (9.7%) was the most commonly used (Supplementary Appendix 2).

The main reason for initiating CAM use was the vague expectation that symptoms would improve (n = 40, 72.7%). This was followed by poor results from conventional medicine (n = 9, 16.4%) and the high expense of conventional medicine (n = 6, 10.9%). Users expected increase in physical strength in most cases (n = 24, 43.6%), followed by reduction in disease progression (n = 23, 41.8%) and strengthening of muscles (n = 18, 32.7%). Among 195 cases of CAM use, patients were unsure about the effects in 146 cases (74.9%); most of the others stated that they had experienced the effects (n = 39, 20%). Thirty-four (61.8%) patients had previously discontinued CAM use. Among them, 70.6% had discontinued CAM because of unsatisfactory effects. Most participants were not sure about recommending CAM to other patients (n = 24, 43.6%) (Table 2).

3.2. Duration of and Expenditure for CAM Use. In the 195 cases of CAM use, the mean expenditure was 288,385.28 ± 685,265.14 won ($249.58) per month and the mean duration of CAM use was 11.54 ± 20.09 months.

3.3. Origins of CAM Use. Of the 55 respondents, 35 (63.6%) obtained information from family members or friends. This was followed by the Internet, mass media, and books (n = 11, 20.0%) and healthcare providers such as doctors (n = 4, 7.3%).

When using CAM, 15 patients (25%) did not consult anyone, 15 (25%) consulted family members, and 12 (22%) consulted authorised healthcare providers. The most commonly cited reason for not consulting with healthcare providers was “no need to consult with healthcare providers” (n = 22, 51.2%), followed by “not asked about CAM use by healthcare providers” (n = 7, 16.3%) and “fear of CAM use restriction by healthcare providers” (n = 5, 11.6%) (Table 3).
3.4. Adverse Effects of CAM among Patients with ALS.

Among 55 patients, 11 (20%) experienced adverse effects from CAM use for a total of 22 events. Most adverse effects were mild \((n = 16, 72.7\%)\), and most patients continued using the same CAM method \((n = 14, 63.6\%).\) Most patients responded that they were recovering from the adverse effects \((n = 10, 45.5\%)\) (Table 4).

### Table 1: Characteristics of participants \((n = 55)\).

| Characteristics                  | \(n\) (%) |
|----------------------------------|-----------|
| Age (years)                      | 55.96 ± 11.31 |
| 30–39                            | 5 (9.1)   |
| 40–49                            | 9 (16.4)  |
| 50–59                            | 18 (32.7) |
| 60–69                            | 17 (30.9) |
| 70–79                            | 5 (9.1)   |
| 80–89                            | 1 (1.8)   |
| Sex                              |           |
| Male                             | 39 (70.9) |
| Female                           | 16 (29.1) |
| Type                             |           |
| Limb type                        | 47 (85.5) |
| Bulbar type                      | 6 (10.9)  |
| Missing data                     | 2 (3.6)   |
| Duration from onset (years)      | 3.74 ± 4.04 |
| Duration from diagnosis (years)  | 2.72 ± 3.56 |
| Onset symptom* \(^{\ast}\) \((n = 98)\) | |
| Limb weakness                    | 42 (42.9) |
| Fasciculation                    | 14 (14.3) |
| Muscle cramps                    | 10 (10.2) |
| Numbness                         | 9 (9.2)   |
| Weight loss                      | 9 (9.2)   |
| Dysarthria                       | 7 (7.1)   |
| Pain                             | 5 (5.1)   |
| Loss of appetite                 | 1 (1.0)   |
| Difficulty swallowing            | 1 (1.0)   |
| Marital status                   |           |
| Married                          | 1 (1.8)   |
| Not married                      | 50 (90.9) |
| Others (divorced, etc.)          | 4 (7.3)   |
| Religious                        |           |
| Yes                              | 27 (49.1) |
| No                               | 24 (43.6) |
| Missing data                     | 4 (7.3)   |
| Region                           |           |
| Metropolitan                     | 20 (36.4) |
| Town                             | 23 (41.8) |
| Village                          | 10 (18.2) |
| Missing data                     | 2 (3.6)   |
| Level of education               |           |
| No high school diploma           | 12 (21.8) |
| High school diploma              | 22 (40.0) |
| College or university            | 21 (38.2) |
| Occupation (past or present)     |           |
| Office worker                    | 33 (60.0) |
| Manual labour                    | 15 (27.3) |
| Others                           | 6 (10.9)  |
| Missing data                     | 1 (1.8)   |
| Monthly household income\(^{\dagger}\) |           |
| \(\leq 200\)                      | 30 (54.5) |
| 201–300                          | 8 (14.5)  |
| 301–400                          | 7 (12.7)  |
| \(\geq 400\)                     | 10 (18.2) |

* Allowed duplicate responses; \(^{\dagger}\) unit: 10,000 KRW.

### Table 2: Prevalence and pattern of CAM use \((n = 55)\).

| Questionnaire                          | \(n\) (%) |
|----------------------------------------|-----------|
| CAM type \((n = 195)\)                 |           |
| Natural products                       | 91 (46.7) |
| Mind and body medicine                 | 98 (50.3) |
| Others                                 | 6 (3.1)   |
| Main reason for CAM use \((n = 55)\)   |           |
| Vague expectations from CAM            | 40 (72.7) |
| Poor results from conventional medicine| 9 (16.4)  |
| High expense of conventional medicine  | 6 (10.9)  |
| Expectations from CAM use* \((n = 195)\) |           |
| Increasing physical strength           | 24 (43.6) |
| Arrest of disease progression          | 23 (41.8) |
| Strengthening of muscles               | 18 (32.7) |
| Psychological stability                | 13 (23.6) |
| Promoting immune function              | 11 (20)   |
| Pain                                   | 7 (12.7)  |
| Insomnia                               | 4 (7.3)   |
| Others                                 | 5 (9.1)   |
| Subjective effects \((n = 195)\)       |           |
| Being effective                        | 39 (20.0) |
| Unsure about effectiveness             | 146 (74.9)|
| Disease progression                    | 2 (1.0)   |
| Adverse effects                        | 8 (4.1)   |
| Experience of discontinuing CAM use \((n = 55)\) |           |
| Yes                                    | 34 (61.8) |
| No                                     | 19 (34.5) |
| Missing data                           | 2 (3.6)   |
| Reasons for discontinuing CAM use \((n = 34)\) |       |
| No satisfactory effect with CAM        | 24 (70.6) |
| Restrained by healthcare providers     | 3 (8.8)   |
| Adverse effects with CAM               | 3 (8.8)   |
| Inconvenience of CAM use (time, distance, etc.) | 3 (8.8) |
| Doubt of CAM effectiveness             | 1 (2.9)   |
| Intention of recommending CAM use \((n = 55)\) |           |
| Yes                                    | 8 (14.5)  |
| No                                     | 23 (41.8) |
| Do not know                            | 24 (43.6) |

* Allowed duplicate responses; CAM, complementary alternative medicine; ALS, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis.

responded that they were recovering from the adverse effects \((n = 10, 45.5\%)\) (Table 4).

### 4. Discussion

This study, involving a survey regarding CAM use in ALS patients, is the only nationwide survey available in South Korea. It provides preliminary evidence for the extent, types, motives, expenditure, and adverse effects of CAM use.

The type or prevalence of CAM tends to depend on the country [7]. Similar to a survey in Shanghai, China, all participants used CAM for symptoms related to ALS. We speculate that such a high prevalence is partly due to the Korean healthcare system. Provisions for traditional forms of medicine and medical treatment, such as acupuncture and herbal therapy, are an accepted part of conventional health care and are covered by the national healthcare system in Korea [13]. Therefore, South Koreans are familiar with CAM. Dietary treatment or functional foods are popular forms of CAM. Perhaps, CAM users consider these therapies only as food and perceive that there is no associated risk.
Here, we defined CAM as the recommendation or administration of a procedure by a noninstitutional practitioner for health management. Acupuncture, cupping, or Chuna manipulative treatment can be covered by an authorized Korean medicine doctor. The rate of these types of treatments is relatively low compared to that in the survey in Germany [7].

The main reason for CAM use was a vague expectation of improvement, though patients were unsure about its effectiveness; nevertheless, they used CAM for an average of 11.54 months. Perhaps, the concept of “hope” is fundamental among the reasons for seeking CAM [14].

Regarding consultation, 25% of the patients did not consult with anyone about CAM, with many of those patients stating that there was “no need to consult with healthcare providers” (51.2%). These results coincide with a previous study indicating that ALS patients have significant levels of nondisclosure to clinicians regarding their CAM use [3]. This may reflect a desire to avoid conflict or embarrassment; also, the response and attitude of the healthcare providers towards CAM may play a significant role in ALS patient decision-making concerning CAM use [6]. Among patients who experienced adverse effects from CAM use, most patients continued using the same type of CAM (63.6%). Interestingly, most patients responded that they were in a state of recovery from the adverse effects (45.5%). This finding indicates that physical and psychological harm may come to patients with ALS via CAM use [4]. Shared decision-making is preferred by both patients and doctors and is associated with improved compliance and better health outcomes in doctor-patient relationships [4]. Therefore, healthcare providers should ask about and discuss CAM use and its adverse effects in a supportive and open manner [6].

The effects of most CAM therapies remain to be elucidated. The ALS research group has built an interactive program known as “ALS Untangled” to identify any potential benefits or harm of CAM [15]. The ALS Untangled team has published several narrative reviews on the effects and risks of various CAM methods for ALS, and there is only 1 review paper focusing upon the clinical efficacy of CAM for ALS [4]. This review reported the effects of CAM as generally unproven and highlighted conflicting evidence regarding the effect of dietary supplements and other options for ALS management. Further evidence for the efficacy of CAM is required considering the high prevalence of CAM use among ALS patients.

This study has several limitations. The sample size is small with respect to the prevalence of ALS, and the sample only includes patients in late stages of ALS who use a ventilator; nonetheless, this study is significant because it is the first survey conducted in South Korea regarding CAM use among ALS patients.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, CAM use is highly prevalent among ALS patients in South Korea. Healthcare providers should
inquire about CAM use and give accurate information about it in an open manner. Further evidence for the efficacy of CAM is required, and specific guidance for consulting with ALS patients concerning CAM use should be developed in future studies.
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Supplementary Materials

Supplementary Appendix 1: a questionnaire survey conducted to understand the treatment behaviours relating to ALS and muscle dystrophy in ALS patients. Based on the results of this survey, we aimed to ascertain the precise state of methods used by ALS patients in Korea to treat the disease and improve health, hoping that data will be used to establish policies that improve treatments for ALS patients in Korea. Supplementary Appendix 2: prevalence of CAM use by CAM type (n = 195). Supplementary Appendix 3: used modalities in dietary treatments (n = 46). (Supplementary Materials)
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