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ABSTRACT

Introduction Endodontic microsurgery is a treatment of last resort for preserving natural teeth. According to radiographic evaluation, the percentage of complete healing after endodontic microsurgery is only 74.3%. The use of regenerative techniques in endodontic microsurgery for large lesions (>10 mm diameter) is therefore recommended. The most frequently used bone graft in endodontic microsurgery is anorganic bovine bone mineral (ABBM) but this only has an osteoconductive effect. Thus, when platelet-rich fibrin (PRF), a reservoir of growth factors, is used together with ABBM, it increases the regenerative effect. This study is devoted to comparing the clinical outcomes of PRF with/without ABBM as grafting biomaterials in endodontic microsurgery cases with large lesion size to provide some valuable reference data for dentists.

Methods and analysis Sixteen patients who are in need of endodontic microsurgery will be recruited. The patients will be randomly assigned to one of two groups: an experimental group, treated with PRF/ABBM complex and collagen membrane, and a control group, treated with ABBM and collagen membrane. Clinical examination including percussion, mobility testing and presence/absence of sinus will be recorded at 7 days, and at 3, 6 and 12 months after endodontic microsurgery. A Visual Analogue Scale will be used by the patients to evaluate pain at 1, 3 and 7 days after endodontic microsurgery. Routine paralleling radiographs will be obtained before and at 3, 6 and 12 months follow-up after endodontic microsurgery. Cone-beam CT (CBCT) scans will be obtained at the 12-month follow-up. Bone formation will be evaluated according to CBCT and paralleling radiographs. The study execute time including follow-ups last from 1 June 2021 to 31 December 2024.

INTRODUCTION

With developments in equipment, instruments and biomaterials, endodontic microsurgery has become available as a treatment of last resort for cases which are no longer suitable for retreatment.1 It is generally accepted that radiographic outcomes can be classified into complete healing, incomplete healing, uncertain healing and unsatisfactory healing.23 Complete bone repair is the ideal therapeutic outcome of endodontic microsurgery, however, the percentage of complete radiographic healing after endodontic microsurgery is only 74.3%.4 Thus, it is imperative to promote healing of periapical bone defects more effectively. The results of endodontic microsurgery can be influenced by several factors, especially lesion size.56 During the healing process, epithelial cells repopulate the wound at the highest rate which results in scar formation.7 Once complete osseous regeneration of a defect cannot occur, the defect will be filled by fibrous connective tissue.8 It has been demonstrated that 26% of defects radiographically larger than 10 mm.
result in scar formation after endodontic microsurgery.\textsuperscript{9} Thus, usage of grafting materials and membrane in cases with large lesion size (>10 mm diameter) is recommended.\textsuperscript{5,6,10}

There are four types of bone grafts: autogenous grafts, allogeneic grafts, xenogeneic grafts and alloplastic materials. Autogenous grafts and allogeneic grafts are osteoinductive materials, while xenogeneic grafts and alloplastic materials are osteoconductive materials due to their lack of growth factors.\textsuperscript{11} It has been reported that a combination of osteoconductive materials (eg, anorganic bovine bone mineral (ABBM)) and growth factors results in faster and better healing of bone in endodontic microsurgery than osteoconductive materials only.\textsuperscript{12,13}

PRF (platelet-rich fibrin) contains platelets, leucocytes and more than 100 types of growth factors including platelet-derived growth factor, transforming growth factor-beta 1, vascular endothelial growth factor and bone morphogenetic protein 2 (BMP-2), which promote the proliferation and differentiation of osteoblasts.\textsuperscript{14} Moreover, the presence of leucocytes is helpful for their anti-infection and immunomodulatory effects.\textsuperscript{15-17} Previously, PRF has been extensively used in dentistry, including the healing of extraction sockets,\textsuperscript{18} ridge preservation,\textsuperscript{19} maxillary sinus augmentation and the regeneration of periodontal lesions.\textsuperscript{20-24} It promotes the healing of soft tissue, and reduces postoperative pain, swelling and the incidence of alveolar osteitis after the extraction of impacted mandibular third molars.\textsuperscript{18} Compared with natural healing, horizontal and vertical dimension ridge preservation is more effective with the use of PRF.\textsuperscript{19} The combination of PRF and deproteinised bovine bone mineral has been shown to increase bone formation in maxillary sinus augmentation compared with deproteinised bovine bone mineral alone.\textsuperscript{20} Plenty of studies have indicated that combinations of PRF and bone grafting materials enhance periodontal regeneration in periodontal intra-bony defects.\textsuperscript{21-24} In a word, PRF has the potential for osseous regeneration and healing of soft tissue, especially when combined with various bone grafting materials.\textsuperscript{25} It was also indicated that PRF reduces postoperative pain and infections due to the improvements in soft-tissue healing and the presence of microbial-fighting immune cells.\textsuperscript{26} Hitherto, there have only been two case reports in which PRF was used together with osteoconductive bone grafts in periapical surgery in order to achieve a better healing outcome.\textsuperscript{27,28} With the exception of these two case reports, there has been no clinical research into the effects of PRF in periapical surgery.

We hypothesised that PRF combined with ABBM and collagen membrane is more effective than using only ABBM and collagen membrane in endodontic microsurgery. The hypothesis will be tested in the present double-masked randomised controlled clinical trial, the design of which is described below.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS

The study is a prospective, single-centre randomised controlled trial. It had been approved by the Ethics Committee of Peking University School and Hospital of Stomatology (PKUSSIRB-202059179). Research procedures including assessments, interventions and follow-ups will be carried out in Peking University School and Hospital of Stomatology (Beijing, China). The main objective of this randomised controlled clinical trial is to compare and evaluate the clinical effects of PRF with or without combination with ABBM in endodontic microsurgery cases with large lesion size (>10 mm diameter). The primary hypothesis is that healing of periapical lesions will be better in the PRF/ABBM complex group.

Inclusion criteria

Patients attending the Department of Cariology and Endodontontology for routine planned endodontic microsurgery will be evaluated for inclusion in this clinical trial. The age of patients to be recruited will range from 18 to 65 years. Patients will have received periodontal treatment before endodontic microsurgery. Only single-rooted teeth will be included in the study, and the periapical lesions should be classified as large periapical lesions (≥10 mm diameter) according to cone-beam CT (CBCT) evaluation.

Exclusion criteria

1. Smokers.
2. Pregnant women.
3. Patients with systemic diseases.
4. Resurgery.
5. Unqualified coronal restoration.
6. Teeth with deep periodontal pockets (probing depth ≥5 mm).

Recruitment

Patients who are willing to participate in this study will be recruited from the Department of Cariology and Endodontontology, Peking University School and Hospital of Stomatology. The necessity of endodontic microsurgery and collecting blood for PRF/ABBM complex will be explained to the participants. A signed informed consent form will be obtained and preserved confidentially in the cabinet. The procedures of this clinical trial are shown in figure 1.

Groups, randomisation and blinding

An experienced endodontist will perform the examination, diagnosis and assessment procedures after clinical and radiographic examinations. The sequence and allocation will be performed by a professor alone with opaque envelopes. The bone grafting material of the experimental group will be a PRF/ABBM composite, while the control group will receive ABBM only.

Interventions

All enrolled patients will be randomly divided into two groups after examination. The endodontic microsurgery...
Preoperative parallel radiographs and CBCT scans will be obtained for each participant.

**Examination during the follow-up period**

Clinical examination including percussion, mobility testing and presence/absence of sinus will be performed at 7 days, 3 months, 6 months and 12 months after the endodontic microsurgery. In addition, a Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) will be recorded at 1 day, 3 days and 7 days after the endodontic microsurgery for the evaluation of postoperative pain. Paralleling radiographs will be obtained at 3 months, 6 months and 12 months. CBCT scans will be performed at the 12-month follow-up. The grouping and the treatment plan will be confidential to the examiners. The primary parameter of the present clinical trial is radiographic bone regeneration in the area of the periapical defect. The paralleling radiographs and CBCT scans will be evaluated both before and after microsurgery. Bone regeneration in periapical osseous defects will be evaluated according to the radiopacity scoring scale of paralleling radiographs by three independent blinded and calibrated examiners at the 3-month, 6-month and 12-month follow-ups after the microsurgery and compared with the paralleling radiograph after microsurgery immediately. According to a previous study, the change in the volume of the periapical defect is the evaluation index of the radiopacity scoring scale. CBCT data before and 12 months after microsurgery will be processed using medical image processing software (MIMICS, Materialise, Leuven, Belgium) to evaluate the changes of volume and density of the periapical defect. The data of the clinical trial will be input and kept in a specific computer and a locked cabinet by two designated members of staffs. The secondary parameters of this clinical trial include percussion, mobility testing and presence/absence of sinus. The VAS scores are also secondary parameters. All the data will be recorded and imputed in the computer simultaneously. Due to lack of data monitoring committee in our hospital, the data will be kept in the cabinet by two different researchers to make sure the accuracy and completeness of the data. An independent inspector will review the incoming data every 3 months. There will be no harms caused by the trial.

**Sample size**

The sample size of this clinical trial is determined by the following formula:

\[ N_1 = N_2 = \frac{\sigma^2 \left( Z_{\alpha/2} + Z_{\beta} \right)^2}{\delta^2} \]

According to the data of a published clinical trial, which is about bone grafting in periapical osseous defects, the \( \sigma/\delta \) is around 0.49. The inspection level (\( \alpha \)) is set to 0.05, and the power is set to 0.9. For bilateral tests, the required sample size in each group is six. Considering a missed follow-up rate of 20%, the sample size should be 7.2. Consequently 16 participants will be needed. Once more than 20% participants withdrawal occur, new participants will be enrolled.

**Examination**

**Baseline examination**

Before treatment, all participants will be examined carefully by a calibrated examiner. Clinical examination including percussion and mobility testing and presence/absence of sinus will be recorded simultaneously.
also promoted tissue healing.14 Although PRF has many advantages, traditional PRF is not liquid, and it is challenging to mix PRF and ABBM evenly. Thus, in previous studies,23 24 the gelatinous PRF had to be minced and then mixed with ABBM, which is time-consuming. Exposing alveolar bone to air could result in bone resorption, and a long duration of surgery is not favourable.36 Therefore, our group developed a new technique to obtain a type of PRF/ABBM complex and thus avoid the need to mince the PRF.23 24 Additionally, PRF/ABBM complex as a whole had better applicability than granular ABBM, and could significantly reduce the time required for bone grafting.24 The complex together with a collagen membrane has been demonstrated to result in enhanced gains in attachment level compared with ABBM alone with a collagen membrane, indicating improved periodontal regeneration.24 To date, there is still a lack of clinical trials investigating the osseous regenerative effect of PRF/bone grafting material composites in endodontic microsurgery. This randomised controlled trial is devoted to the evaluation of the clinical outcomes of the application of a PRF/ABBM complex as a grafting biomaterial in endodontic microsurgery cases with large lesion size, in the hope of providing some scientific evidence to support endodontic microsurgeries for dentists.

DISCUSSION

When PRF is used together with ABBM, it increases the regenerative effect of osseous tissue,31 32 because ABBM only has an osteoconductive effect and osteoinduction is induced due to the growth factors in PRF. In a histological study, bone regenerative effects of PRF-TCP, rhBMP-2-coated TCP and TCP alone were compared, and the results showed that PRF-TCP resulted in more rapid bone healing compared with the other two groups.12 The antibacterial and anti-inflammatory effects of PRF also promoted tissue healing.14 Although PRF has many advantages, the osseous regenerative effect of PRF/bone grafting material composites in endodontic microsurgery. This randomised controlled trial is devoted to the evaluation of the clinical outcomes of the application of a PRF/ABBM complex as a grafting biomaterial in endodontic microsurgery cases with large lesion size, in the hope of providing some scientific evidence to support endodontic microsurgeries for dentists.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

Ethical approval had been approved by the Ethics Committee of Peking University School and Hospital of Stomatology (PKUSSIRB-202059179). The data of the clinical trial will be input and kept in a specific computer and a locked cabinet by two designated member of staffs. The results will be published in a peer-reviewed journal.

Statistical analysis

Data will be analysed using SPSS software V25.0. Statistical significance will be accepted for p values lower than 0.05. Normality and variance equality will be analysed using the Shapiro-Wilk test and the Levene variance homogeneity test, respectively. Normally distributed data will be shown as mean±SD, while non-normally distributed data will be shown as median (lower to upper quartile). Students’ t-test will be used to compare the difference between the two groups for the data with both normality and variance equality. Otherwise, the Mann-Whitney U test will be used.

Withdrawal

The patients have the right to withdraw from this clinical trial without any reason at any point during the treatment. Follow-up treatment will not be affected by the withdrawal.

DISSEMINATION OF RESULTS

The results of this clinical trial will be registered at the International Clinical Trials Registry Platform. In addition, the results will be published in a peer-reviewed journal.

PATIENT AND PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

Neither patients nor the public were involved in the design, recruitment, assessment, conduct and reporting of this research. The results will be disseminated through scientific journals.
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