On the propagation across the big bounce in an open quantum FLRW cosmology
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Recently, solutions of the Ishibashi, Kawai, Kitazawa and Tsuchiya matrix theory have been found, which can be interpreted as 3+1-dimensional quantum geometries describing an effective Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker cosmology with a big bounce. In this paper, we examine the propagation of a scalar field in an open Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker spacetime arising within this framework. The paper is divided into two parts. In the first one, we perform a classical investigation by resorting to general-relativity tools where we show that both massless and massive non-interacting particles can travel across the big bounce. In the second part, we evaluate the scalar field propagator by means of quantum-field-theory techniques. This analysis reveals that in the late-time regime the scalar propagator resembles the standard Feynman propagator of flat Minkowski space, whereas for early times it gives rise to a well-defined correlation between two points on opposite sheets of the spacetime. The paper is based on Ref. [1].
1. Introduction

Standard cosmology relies on two theoretical frameworks: the standard model of particle physics and general relativity [2]. Despite its success, the standard cosmological paradigm suffers from a series of issues such as the cosmological horizon, the flatness problem, the baryon asymmetry, the dark energy and dark matter puzzles, and the initial big-bang singularity. The latter problem can be overcome by resorting to nonsingular bouncing cosmological models, where the big bang is replaced by a big bounce (BB) as the universe goes from a contracting era to an expanding epoch, see e.g. Refs. [3–28].

Recently, bouncing cosmological models have been found in the context of the Ishibashi, Kawai, Kitazawa and Tsuchiya (IKKT) matrix theory [7–9] (see also e.g. Refs. [29–34] for related work). These solutions describe 3+1-dimensional quantum geometries which can be interpreted as an effective Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) cosmology with a BB. In this framework, spacetime along with physical fields emerge from the basic matrix degrees of freedom and the BB singularity of classical geometry is completely under control. The study of scalar fields propagating in such a background has been initiated for the 1+1-dimensional case in Ref. [35] and has been extended to 3+1 dimensions in Ref. [1] (the behaviour of fermion fields in a generic curved background provided by the IKKT model has also been investigated, see Ref. [36]).

In the present paper, we provide a concise summary of the main results in Ref. [1] describing the propagation of a scalar field in an open FLRW bounce-type quantum spacetime in the framework of the IKKT matrix theory. After reviewing the background in Sec. 2, in the first part of the paper we undertake a classical analysis where null and timelike geodesics are studied by exploiting techniques of general relativity (see Sec. 2); the quantum aspects are considered in the second part of the paper, where we exploit quantum-field-theory tools to evaluate the scalar field propagator (see Sec. 4). Finally, we draw our conclusions in Sec. 5.

2. The background geometry

In matrix models, a matrix configuration is a collection of $D$ hermitian matrices $X^a \in \text{End}(\mathcal{H})$, where $a = 1, \ldots, D$ and $\mathcal{H}$ is a separable Hilbert space. The matrices $X^a$ can be viewed as quantized embedding functions

$$X^a \sim x^a : \mathcal{M} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^D,$$

(1)

where $x^a$ are the Cartesian coordinate functions on target space $\mathbb{R}^D$ pulled back to $\mathcal{M}$. This means that the matrices $X^a$ should be viewed as quantizations of the functions $x^a \in C(\mathcal{M})$. This is indicated in the above equation with the symbol $\sim$, which means “semi-classical limit”. The matrices $X^a$ generate a noncommutative algebra which is interpreted as quantized algebra of functions on $\mathcal{M}$. In the semi-classical limit, $\mathcal{M}$ carries a Poisson structure $\{x^a, x^b\} \sim -i [X^a, X^b]$. The embedding map (1) also induces a metric structure on $\mathcal{M}$ via the pull-back of the metric in target space $\mathbb{R}^D$.

In this paper, we consider the spacetime $\mathcal{M}^{3,1}$ which can be described in the semi-classical limit as the projection of fuzzy $H_4^n$ (see Ref. [9] for details). Bearing in mind Eq. (1), this is
obtained from
\[ x^a : \quad H^4 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{4,1} \]

where \( a = 0, \ldots, 4 \). The 4-dimensional hyperboloid can be parametrized as follows
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  x^0 \\
  x^1 \\
  x^2 \\
  x^3 \\
  x^4
\end{pmatrix} = R \begin{pmatrix}
  \cosh(\chi) \\
  \sinh(\chi) \sin(\theta) \cos(\varphi) \\
  \sinh(\chi) \sin(\theta) \sin(\varphi) \\
  \sinh(\chi) \cos(\theta) \\
  \sinh(\eta)
\end{pmatrix},
\]
where \( \eta \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( \chi \) can be restricted to be positive. Projecting this along the \( x^4 \) axis leads to a 2-sheeted cover of the following region
\[ x_\mu x^\mu \leq -R^2, \]
where the upper sheet or “post-BB” is covered by \( \eta > 0 \), while the lower sheet or “pre-BB” is covered by \( \eta < 0 \). The BB separates these sheets, and corresponds to \( x_\mu x^\mu = -R^2 \). This leads to the following parametrization of \( \mathcal{M}^{3,1} \)
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  x^0 \\
  x^1 \\
  x^2 \\
  x^3
\end{pmatrix} = R \cosh(\eta) \begin{pmatrix}
  \cosh(\chi) \\
  \sinh(\chi) \sin(\theta) \cos(\varphi) \\
  \sinh(\chi) \sin(\theta) \sin(\varphi) \\
  \sinh(\chi) \cos(\theta)
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

As shown in Ref. [9], the effective metric on \( \mathcal{M}^{3,1} \) is the \( SO(3, 1) \)-invariant FRW metric
\[
d\tilde{x}^2 = G_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = -R^2 \sinh(\eta)^2 d\eta^2 + R^2 \sinh(\eta) \cosh(\eta) d\Sigma^2
\]
\[ = -dt^2 + a^2(t) d\Sigma^2, \tag{6}\]

where
\[ d\Sigma^2 = d\chi^2 + \sin^2 \chi (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2), \tag{7}\]
is the invariant length element on the space-like hyperboloids \( H^3 \). From Eq. (6), we obtain the form of the cosmic scale parameter \( a(\eta) \) and the relation linking the differentials \( dt \) and \( d\eta \), i.e.,
\[
|a(\eta)| = R \cosh(\eta) |\sinh(\eta)|^{1/2}, \tag{8}
\]
\[ dt = R |\sinh(\eta)|^{1/2} d\eta. \tag{9}\]

3. Classical analysis: the behaviour of null and timelike geodesics

Before studying the behaviour of null and timelike geodesics, it is worth mentioning that the spacetime geometry (6) possesses a curvature singularity at \( \eta = 0 \), as the analysis of the curvature invariant shows (see Ref. [1] for details). For instance, the Kretschmann scalar reads as
\[
R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \frac{3}{32R^4 \sinh^{10}(\eta)} \left[ 171 - 60 \cosh(2\eta) + 25 \cosh(4\eta) \right], \tag{10}\]
and it is seen to blow up at the BB, i.e., at $\eta = 0$. Despite that, we will see that null and timelike geodesics are well-defined at the BB, suggesting the presence of a new type of singularity or what we have dubbed “mild singularity” in Ref. [1].

Let us start with the analysis of null geodesics referring to massless particles whose motion starts at some negative value of $\eta$, reaches the BB at $\eta = 0$, and travels away from it for $\eta > 0$. Starting from Eq. (6), this dynamics is governed by the differential equation

$$\frac{d\chi}{d\eta} = |\tanh \eta|,$$

which, with the boundary condition $\chi(\eta = 0) = 0$, leads to

$$\chi(\eta) = \begin{cases} \log (\cosh \eta), & \eta \geq 0, \\ -\log (\cosh \eta), & \eta < 0. \end{cases}$$

(12)

It is thus clear that null geodesics are continuous at $\eta = 0$ and hence light is able to travel across the BB (see Figs. 1 and 2 in Ref. [1]). The same conclusions are valid for timelike geodesics, which can be parametrized by

$$\frac{d\chi(\eta)}{d\eta} = \frac{|\tanh \eta|}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2(\eta)}/\Pi^2},$$

(13)

where $\Pi$ is the conserved momentum associated to the $\chi$-translational Killing vector field underlying the geometry (6). The numerical analysis of the solution of the above equation reveals that timelike geodesics are well-behaved at the BB (see Fig. 4 in Ref. [1]).

4. Quantum analysis: the scalar field propagator in the IKKT matrix model

In this second part of the paper, we perform a quantum analysis by computing the propagator of a scalar field evolving along the FLRW background (6). The starting point of our study will be the 2-point function defined by a Gaussian integral in the matrix model, i.e.,

$$\langle \phi(x)\phi(y) \rangle = \int dk \langle \phi_k(x)\phi_k(y) \rangle,$$

(14)

where

$$\langle \phi_k(x)\phi_k(y) \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \int d\phi \phi_k(x)\phi_k(y) e^{iS[\phi_k]},$$

(15)

$Z$ being the generating functional and $S$ the action functional. The necessary details for this computation will be provided below. As we will see, some interesting effects due to the presence of the BB at $\eta = 0$ will emerge.

4.1 Eigenfunctions of the d’Alembertian operator

The “matrix” d’Alembertian governing the propagation of a scalar fields $\phi$ is given by [1]

$$\Box \phi = \frac{1}{R^2} \left[ 3 \tanh(\eta) \partial_\eta + \partial_\eta^2 - \tanh^2 \eta \left( \frac{2}{\tanh \chi} \partial_\chi + \partial_\chi^2 \right) \right. \left. - \frac{\tanh^2 \eta}{\sinh^2 \chi} \left( \frac{1}{\tan \theta} \partial_\theta + \partial_\theta^2 + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \partial_\varphi^2 \right) \right] \phi,$$

(16)
and its eigenfunctions are defined by the equation

\[ \Box \phi = \lambda \phi. \]  

(17)

If we solve this equation via the separation ansatz

\[ \phi(\eta, \chi, \theta, \varphi) = \tilde{\phi}(\eta, \chi) Y_l^m(\theta, \varphi), \]  

(18a)

\[ \tilde{\phi}(\eta, \chi) = f(\eta)g(\chi), \]  

(18b)

\( Y_l^m(\theta, \varphi) \) being the spherical harmonic functions of degree \( l \) and order \( m \) (with \( l \geq |m| \)), we end up with the ordinary differential equations

\[ \left( \partial_\eta^2 + 3 \tanh(\eta) \partial_\eta - \beta \tanh^2 \eta - \lambda R^2 \right) f(\eta) = 0, \]  

(19a)

\[ \left( \partial_\chi^2 + \frac{2}{\tanh \chi} \partial_\chi - \frac{2(l+1)}{\sinh^2 \chi} \beta \right) g(\chi) = 0, \]  

(19b)

whose solutions, with the appropriate boundary conditions, are

\[ f(\eta) = (1 - \tanh^2 \eta)^{3/4} \left[ c_1 P_\nu^\mu(\tanh \eta) \right], \]  

(20)

\[ g(\chi) = \sqrt{\coth^2 \chi - 1} \left[ c_2 Q_\nu^\mu(\coth \chi) \right]. \]  

(21)

In the above equations, \( \beta \) is a real-valued constant, \( c_1 \), and \( c_2 \) integration constants, \( P_\nu^\mu(x) \) the associated Legendre function of the first kind with \( x \) lying in the interval \((-1, 1)\), and \( Q_\nu^\mu(x) \) the associated Legendre function of the second kind with \( x \in (1, +\infty) \); moreover,

\[ \nu = \frac{1}{2} \left( 2\sqrt{1 + \beta} - 1 \right), \]  

(22)

\[ \mu = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{9 + 4\beta + 4\lambda R^2}, \]  

(23)

\[ \tilde{\mu} = \sqrt{1 + \beta}. \]  

(24)

In order to have oscillatory (square-integrable) solutions, we suppose that both the order (23) of the solution (20) and the order (24) of the solution (21) are purely imaginary, i.e.,

\[ \mu = \pm is, \]  

(25)

\[ \tilde{\mu} = iq, \]  

(26)

where

\[ s = \sqrt{-\left( \frac{9}{4} + \beta + \lambda R^2 \right)} > 0, \]  

(27)

\[ q^2 = -(1 + \beta) > 0. \]  

(28)

The last equation implies that the degree (22) of the solution (20) is complex and we assume

\[ \nu = -\frac{1}{2} + i|q|. \]  

(29)
Bearing in mind the above equations, the eigenmodes (18) of the d’Alembertian operator (16) having the appropriate boundary conditions are

$$
\mathcal{Y}_{l_{1},m_{1}}^{s_{1},q_{1}}(\eta, \chi, \theta, \varphi) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\cosh^{3} \eta \sinh \chi}} \rho^{l_{1}}_{s_{1}}(\tanh \eta) Q_{l_{1}}^{q_{1}}(\coth \chi) Y_{l_{1}}^{m_{1}}(\theta, \varphi), \quad q \in \mathbb{R}, s > 0,
$$

(30)

where we have assumed $\chi > 0$.

As shown in details in Ref. [1], the above eigenfunctions satisfy the following orthogonality relations:

$$
\langle \mathcal{Y}_{l_{1},m_{1}}^{s_1,q_1}, \mathcal{Y}_{l_{2},m_{2}}^{s_2,q_2} \rangle = \frac{e^{-2\pi q (\pi/2)^{2}}}{q \sinh (\pi s)} \delta_{l_{1}l_{2}} \delta_{m_{1}m_{2}} \delta(q - q') \left[ a(q, s) \delta(s + s') + b(q, s) \delta(s - s') \right],
$$

(31)

where

$$
a(q, s) = \frac{2\pi \cosh(\pi q)}{s \sinh(\pi s)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(iq - is + 1/2) \Gamma(-iq - is + 1/2)} = a(q, -s)^{*},
$$

(32a)

$$
b(q, s) = \frac{2 \sinh(\pi s)}{s} \left[ 1 + \frac{\cosh^{2}(\pi q)}{\sinh^{2}(\pi s)} \right] = b(q, -s),
$$

(32b)

$\Gamma(x)$ being the gamma function and $\delta(x)$ the Dirac-delta function.

For future purposes, it will be important to consider the following “flat” regime, denoted with “FR”:

$$
\text{FR} : \quad \chi < 1, \quad q \gg l,
$$

(33)

where $q$ will be a typical momentum. In this regime and for large times (i.e., $\eta \to +\infty$) the eigenmodes become

$$
\mathcal{Y}_{l_{1},m_{1}}^{s_{1},q_{1}}(\eta, \chi, \theta, \varphi) \underset{\text{FR}}{\sim} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\cosh^{3} \eta}} \frac{e^{-\pi q j_{l}(q \chi)} \Gamma(iq + l + 1)}{q^{l} \Gamma(1 + is)} Y_{l_{1}}^{m_{1}}(\theta, \varphi),
$$

(34)

where $j_{l}(x)$ are the spherical Bessel functions.

4.2 The propagator

In order to calculate the propagator of a scalar field $\phi$ having mass $m$, we recall that its action reads as, in the semi-classical limit,

$$
S_{\psi}[\phi] = \int \Omega \phi^{*}(x) \left( -\Box - m^{2} + ie \right) \phi(x),
$$

(35)

where

$$
\Omega = \cosh^{3}(\eta) d\eta \sinh^{2}(\chi) d\chi \sin(\theta) d\theta d\varphi
$$

(36)

is the $SO(4, 1)$-invariant volume form on $H^{4}$. If we decompose $\phi(x)$ in the basis of the eigenmodes (30) as follows

$$
\phi(x) = \sum_{l,m} \int ds dq \left[ \phi^{+} \mathcal{Y}_{l_{1},m_{1}}^{s_{1},q_{1}}(x) + \phi^{-} \mathcal{Y}_{l_{1},m_{1}}^{s_{1},q_{1}}(x) \right],
$$

(37)
then we can write
\[
S_E[\phi] = \sum_{l,m} \sum_{l',m'} \int ds dq ds' dq' \left[ -\frac{1}{R^2} \left( \frac{q^2 - s^2 - \frac{5}{4}}{m^2 + i\epsilon} \right) \right] e^{-\frac{2\pi q(\pi/2)^2}{q \sinh(\pi q)}} \\
\times \delta_{l,l'} \delta_{m,m'} \delta(q - q') \delta(s - s') \left[ (\phi^+)^* (\phi^-)^* \right] \mathcal{B}(q, s) \left[ \begin{array}{c} \phi^+ \\ \phi^- \end{array} \right],
\]
where we have exploited Eq. (31) and
\[
\mathcal{B}(q, s) = \begin{bmatrix} b(q, s) & a(q, -s) \\ a(q, s) & b(q, -s) \end{bmatrix}.
\]
Therefore, the propagator in momentum space reads as
\[
\left( (\Phi^+) (\Phi^+) \right) = \delta_{l,l'} \delta_{m,m'} \delta(q - q') \delta(s - s') \frac{1}{R^2} \left( \frac{s^2 - q^2 + \frac{5}{4}}{m^2 + i\epsilon} \right) \\
\times \frac{4q \sinh(\pi q)}{e^{-2\pi q\pi^2}} [\mathcal{B}(q, s)]^{-1},
\]
while in position space
\[
\langle \phi(x) | \phi^*(x') \rangle = \sum_{l,m} \sum_{l',m'} \int ds dq ds' dq' \left[ \mathcal{Y}_{l,m}^{s,q}(x) \mathcal{Y}_{l',m'}^{s',q}(x') \right] \left( \left( \Phi^+ \right) (\Phi^+) \right) \\
\left[ \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{Y}_{l',m'}^{s',q'}(x') \\ \mathcal{Y}_{l,m}^{s,q}(x) \end{array} \right],
\]
where we have adopted the compact notation
\[
\Phi^\pm \equiv \left[ \begin{array}{c} \phi^+ \\ \phi^- \end{array} \right].
\]

4.2.1 The propagator in the flat regime and with \( \eta \to +\infty \)
In the flat regime (33) and when \( \eta \) goes to infinity, the eigenmodes (30) reduce to (34). Therefore, starting from Eq. (41) the late-time local propagator can be written as the sum of a leading piece (denoted by “L”) and a subleading part (denoted by “SL”), i.e.,
\[
\langle \phi(x) | \phi^*(x') \rangle \overset{\eta \to +\infty}{\sim} \langle \phi(x) | \phi^*(x') \rangle_{L}^{\eta \to +\infty, \text{FR}} + \langle \phi(x) | \phi^*(x') \rangle_{\text{SL}}^{\eta \to +\infty, \text{FR}}.
\]
The leading contribution is [1]
\[
\langle \phi(x) | \phi^*(x') \rangle_{L}^{\eta \to +\infty, \text{FR}} = \frac{4R^2}{\pi^2} \sum_{l,m} \frac{Y_{l,m}(\theta, \varphi) [Y_{l,m}(\theta', \varphi')]^*}{\sqrt{(\cosh^3 \eta)(\cosh^3 \eta')}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds e^{is(\eta - \eta')} \\
\times \int_{0}^{+\infty} dq \frac{q^2 j_i(q\chi) j_i(q'\chi')}{s^2 - q^2 + \frac{5}{4} - m^2 R^2 + i\epsilon},
\]
whereas the subleading term is

$$\langle \phi(x) \phi^*(x') \rangle_{\eta \rightarrow -\infty, \eta'} = \frac{4R^2}{\pi^4} \sum_{l,m} \frac{Y_l^m(\theta, \varphi) [Y_l^m(\theta', \varphi')]^*}{\sqrt{(\cosh^3 \eta) (\cosh^3 \eta')}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds \ e^{is(\eta+\eta')} \times \int_0^{+\infty} dq \ j_l(q\chi) j_l(q\chi') q^2 s \cosh(\pi q) \sinh(\pi s) \frac{1}{(s^2 - q^2 + \frac{5}{4} - m^2 R^2 + i\epsilon)} \times \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - iq - is\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + iq - is\right) \Gamma^2(is). \tag{45}$$

The most important result of this section is that Eq. (44) resembles, up to an $\eta$-dependent normalization factor, the usual local Feynman propagator on a flat four-dimensional spacetime.

### 4.2.2 The propagator in the flat regime and with $\eta \rightarrow 0$

The behaviour of the scalar field near the BB can be understood by considering the features of the propagator for small times, i.e., when $\eta, \eta' \rightarrow 0$. For early times and in the flat regime (33), the eigenfunctions (30) become

$$Y_{l,m}^{s,q}(\eta, \chi, \theta, \varphi)_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sqrt{\pi} 2^{\pm is} e^{\pm i\eta \gamma}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{iq}{2} + \frac{is}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4} + \frac{iq}{2} + \frac{is}{2}\right)} e^{-\pi q j_l(q\chi) \Gamma(iq + l + 1)} Y_l^m(\theta, \varphi), \tag{46}$$

and hence the early-time propagator assumes the form [1]

$$\langle \phi(x) \phi^*(x') \rangle_{\eta, \eta' \rightarrow 0} = \frac{4R^2}{\pi^4} \sum_{l,m} \frac{Y_l^m(\theta, \varphi) [Y_l^m(\theta', \varphi')]^*}{\sqrt{(\cosh^3 \eta) (\cosh^3 \eta')}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds \int_0^{+\infty} dq \frac{q^2 j_l(q\chi) j_l(q\chi') e^{i\eta(\eta - \eta')}}{(s^2 - q^2 + \frac{5}{4} - m^2 R^2 + i\epsilon)} \times \frac{-is}{\left[\cosh(\pi q) - i \sinh(\pi s)\right]} \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4} + \frac{iq}{2} + \frac{is}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4} + \frac{iq}{2} - \frac{is}{2}\right)} \tag{47}.$$
taken into account that our analysis is restricted to non-interacting test particles on the background geometry. This is of course not entirely satisfactory, due to the singular behaviour of the density of matter near the BB, which would lead to modifications of the background. The inclusion of these effects along with the induced Einstein-Hilbert action deserves further consideration in a separate paper.
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