Nephroblastoma: The Protocols should be Changed
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Abstract

This paper, based on a personal experience of more than 200 Nephroblastoma in the World’s 1st multidisciplinary Pediatric Cancer Unit-1960, where 53 partial nephrectomies were performed (23 bilateral and 30 unilateral cases), compares the likeness of Partial Nephrectomy in Unilateral Nephroblastoma (around 20% instead of the 3% found in the literature, when using the classical International pre-operative chemotherapy Protocols and the more intensive pre-operative chemotherapy proposed), adding an Anthracycline (Doxorubicin or Epirubicin) to the classical Actinomycin D and Vincristine, so demonstrating that the Protocols on pre-operative chemotherapy should be changed.

Also it advises that the invasion of a contiguous organ (like spleen, colon or liver) is not a contraindication for Partial Nephrectomy, provided one can perform mono-block surgery. Finally advises that complete para-aortic-cava lymphadenectomy should be routinely performed.
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Introduction

It was at the first SIOP Meeting in Madrid, in 1969 that for the first time I presented the Pre-Operative Poly-Chemotherapy (VAC) for Nephroblastoma, drastically reducing the size of the tumor, at a time that the French school was proposing pre-operative Radiotherapy [1]. It took around 5 years for it to become the therapy of choice in comparison with the classical Roentgen Therapy proposed by the French School [2]. Then, pre-operative chemotherapy became the rule in the SIOP Protocol (Figure 1).

In 1982, in Berne, at the 14th SIOP Meeting, I presented for the first time, 12 successful Partial Nephrectomies for Unilateral Nephroblastoma (following pre-operative 3 drugs Chemotherapy) but it took more than 20 years for the possibility of performing partial Nephrectomy in Unilateral Nephroblastoma to become acceptable internationally [3,4] (Figure 2). We hope that these new ways (more intensive pre-operative chemotherapy, partial Nephrectomy (provided that mono-block excision is possible!) and para-aortico-cava lymphadenectomy), my take less time to be accepted [5,6].

Material and Methods

We believe to be a clear advantage the use of a different form of pre-operative chemotherapy than the one advised by the SIOP Protocol [2,7,8]. We include the use of an anthracycline (Epirubicin), additionally to the use of the classics Actinomycin D and Vincristine, thus increasing markedly the chances for a more conservative surgery.
(partial and not total nephrectomy), with the preservation of more nephrons. Our Radical/Conservative approach (maximal effect with the least injury to the Patient in the future, thus improving his life perspectives) means that we assure total safe removal of the tumor but at the same time preserve the maximal possible normal kidney parenchyma. We compare the percentage of partial nephrectomies performed in unilateral tumors according to our pre-operative chemotherapy Protocol with the one according to the SIOP's Protocol.

SIOP Protocol (2016) Pre-operative chemotherapy: Actinomycin D 45yg/Kg; Vincristine 1,5mg/m²; Post-operative Doxorubicin: no more than 300mg/m².

Suggested Protocol: Actinomycin D 45y/m² IV; Vincristine 1.2mg/m² IV, weekly, for 4 weeks prior to operation, plus at operation and for further 4 weeks after surgery.

Epirubicin 20mg/m² IV once a week, for 4 weeks prior to operation (total 80mg/sqm) (or Doxorubicin, as SIOP) (taking into account that that dosage is far below the maximal recommended one, referred above, which should never exceed 300mg/m²).

**Surgical technique**

After a transverse supra-umbilical laparotomy, examinations of the contralateral kidney if pre-operative imaging is not completely clear. Placement of moist swabs around the kidney (so isolating the operative filed, as a precaution for an eventual rupture). Placement of a sling around the renal pedicle, not tied, as a precaution for an eventually uncontrollable bleeding during surgery (Figure 3).

Then, only the vessels for the tumor are ligated. In order to maintain as much as possible the renal blood flow to the normal kidney segment, we tend to maintain digital compression of the main vascular pedicle to the kidney (with intermittent occlusion), during the circular incision of the kidney capsule, always performed in good health kidney tissue (away from the tumor margin and not forgetting that the tumor tends to go deeper in the renal parenchyma) (Figure 4).

Then follows deepening the incision (eventually with the help of blunt digital dissection), obliquely inwards, until the conic incision is completed and the tumor removed (Figure 5).

Then follows ligations of any vessels bleeding in the raw kidney surface, suturing of the calyces and renal pelvis and joining together the kidney capsule, so closing the kidney wall.

If there are any doubts about being radical with the excision (when looking at the operative specimen) a further slice of the kidney is removed (or even total Nephrectomy is performed). Eucleation is completely unacceptable, except when there has been a previous Nephrectomy in the opposite side (the tumor is central or very extensive), and in cases of multiples lesions, as in Nephroblastomatoses.

Then we perform the removal of the tissues of the kidney bed (peri-renal fat, etc.) and also perform a radical para-aortic-cava lymphadenectomy (till the level of the homo-lateral iliac vessels), considering that, according to international literature, around 5% of patients, before the use of chemotherapy, have presented lymph glands metastases [9] (Figures 6 and 7). The remaining of the kidney is repositioned, with nephropexy, followed by closure of the laparotomy in layers and leaving a drain in the renal bed, through a separate stab incision (to be kept usually for 2 days).
the 2 vessels, but always starting with the artery). By reducing the easier access to the renal pedicle (ligating almost simultaneously tumor size may make surgery easier and allow for a quicker and it can markedly improve the chances of a good result [2]. Reducing always conclusive), pre-operative Poly-Chemotherapy is a must, as radiology and existing discriminating biomarkers assessment are not including the risk of a wrong diagnoses without histology, as standard deficiencies, as there is no minimal those considered safe. Thus, ECG control is advisable before the 3rd injection particularly taking into account the ejection fraction of the left ventricle, in order to allow for the early detection of an eventual acute cardiac failure [14]. But we also know that 300 mgs/sqm of an Anthracycline is considered to be maxima maximal does to be used in order to avoid cardio-toxicity sequelae [14,15]. The dose we suggest is only 80mgs/m² and it is obvious that, if further anthracycline is required post operatively, the maximal dose advisable would be only 220mgs/m² and not the generally accepted 300mgs/m².

We do not minimize that Anthracyclines, even liposomal, can induce oxidative stress and apoptosis, eventually leading to late cardiac deficiency, as is no minimal those considered safe. Thus, ECG control is advisable before the 3rd injection particularly taking into account the ejection fraction of the left ventricle, in order to allow for the early detection of an eventual acute cardiac failure [14]. But we also know that 300 mgs/sqm of an Anthracycline is considered to be maximal maximal does to be used in order to avoid cardio-toxicity sequelae [14,15]. The dose we suggest is only 80mgs/m² and it is obvious that, if further anthracycline is required post operatively, the maximal dose advisable would be only 220mgs/m² and not the generally accepted 300mgs/m².

One of the more important reasons for the preservation of kidney tissue (even in this era of renal transplantation), is the fact that, in around 5% to 10% of the cases (in our Institution 5 out of 57 in the last 10 years and in our personal experience, before that, even more than 10%), the tumor is bilateral (either initially or some time later) [16]. Also we must not exclude eventual trauma, congenital malformations, syndromic lesions, hyperperfusion nephropathy, infections, etc., as a further reason to try to preserve kidney parenchyma, all patients requiring a careful and complete global evaluation [12,15]. Operative time is only slightly increased, something that is not really relevant. And above all, our aim is not only to cure the patients but to give them the best possible quality of life (avoiding hemodialysis or kidney transplantation), looking always to preserve the maximum kidney tissue compatible with radical surgery (certainly not limited by the 66% of remaining normal parenchyma advised by SIOP 2016) [17-38]. We also completely disagree with the SIOP statement (point 5, of Surgery guidelines) that, when another organ is invaded, partial nephrectomy should not be attempted. For us, the contraindication will only to be limited by the impossibility of a mono-block excision. We had good examples of this, namely a patient with a tumor of the upper pole of the left kidney invading the spleen, in which we performed a mono-block partial nephrectomy/splenectomy, and another with a tumor of the upper pole of the right kidney that invaded the liver, the problem being solved through mono-block partial Nephrectomy/ marginal liver resection (Figure 8).

During partial nephrectomy we initially tended to maintain occlusion of the main renal pedicle during all tumor removal: that might have been the reason why we had 3 patients in which the preserved tumor volume chemotherapy allows for lesser complications, namely tumor ruptures, the vascular invasion (thrombus in the cava) may partially regress and, above all, partial Nephrectomy (conservative but still radical) becomes possible in around 20% of the Patients instead of the 3% found in the literature [13]. It is obvious that the size of the tumor is not the only factor to take into account in order to opt for a partial nephrectomy and more relevant is certainly its technical safety, allowing for excision in normal kidney tissue and at least preservation of a good blood supply.

The main reason for poly-chemotherapy is because one can attack at different phases of the cell cycle: Actinomycin D attacks at G1 and G2, Vincristine at M and finally Epirubicin at S ( an Anthracycline that we believe should also be routinely used pre-operatively but that the SIOP Protocol, unfortunately, does not consider.

Discussion and Results

The diagnoses was always based on clinical and radiological data (namely CT and MRI Scans) and open biopsy or even FNAC were never used or recommended (although we use generally this last approach in the majority of others types of children’s tumors) [10,11]. After surgery, the different histological sub types of Nephroblastoma can be determined (namely Low risk, Intermediate risk and High risk tumors), as well as definitive staging, so that further chemotherapy can be adapted accordingly.

We also have to take into account the problems that may arise with concomitant urological and nephrological disorders as well as genetic syndromes, eventually more relevant than the risk of hyperperfusion nephropathy [12]. In SIOP 2001, it was referred that tumor volume seemed to be a significant risk factor and should be a risk stratification factor for a sub-group of Nephroblastoma.

We believe that, even without histology as yet available ( not excluding the risk of a wrong diagnoses without histology, as standard radiology and existing discriminating biomarkers assessment are not always conclusive), pre-operative Poly-Chemotherapy is a must, as it can markedly improve the chances of a good result [2]. Reducing the tumor size may make surgery easier and allow for a quicker and easier access to the renal pedicle (ligating almost simultaneously the 2 vessels, but always starting with the artery). By reducing the
part of the kidney ceased to function. Although that is not statistically significant, we believe that intermittently decompressing the main renal pedicle can avoid that problem (which might have been the result of a too long period of vascular occlusion). We contraindicate the pre-operative use of radiotherapy and also consider it totally unacceptable after partial Nephrectomy, (even with the low dose proposed by SIOP = 10 to 12 Grays), as it would jeopardize the gain in kidney function obtained through nephron sparing surgery.

Using the SIOP’s recommended pre-operative chemotherapy, and according to SIOP’s literature, partial nephrectomies in unilateral cases were limited to only 3%. In our Institution (IPOFG Lisboa), in the last 10 years, our successors using the SIOP Protocol, found Stage I = 44, Stage II = 1 Stage III = 2 Stage IV = 7 Stage V = 5 patients: But from the 44 Stage I cases, partial nephrectomy were performed only once, when using the SIOP Protocol: In both cases, an obviously much lower figure than ours 20%, with more intensive pre-operative chemotherapy.

**Conclusion**

We believe present Internacional Guidelines should definitely be revised, by adding an Anthracycline to the classical Actinomycin D and Vincristin, in the pre-operative period, because through further reducing pre-operatively the size of the tumor, it becomes more likely the possibility that partial nephrectomy can be performed, with its obvious advantages of the preservation of normal nephrons, that might be of great value in the future (namely in bilateral cases). Comparing our chemotherapy protocol with the figures of the followers quoted in the SIOP documents, gives us a difference of around 20% against just 3%, what we believe to be extremely important and certainly very significant. Those are not simply “personal feelings, but concrete facts”, that it is essential to take into account. Also it is fundamental to consider that the invasion of an adjacent organ certainly not a contraindication for partial nephrectomy provided a “one block excision” can be performed. Finally, a para-aortic-cava lymphadenectomy should also be a routine procedure in the surgery of Nephroblastoma.
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