De novo design of potent and selective mimics of IL–2 and IL–15
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We describe a de novo computational approach for designing proteins that recapitulate the binding sites of natural cytokines, but are otherwise unrelated in topology or amino acid sequence. We use this strategy to design mimics of the central immune cytokine interleukin–2 (IL–2) that bind to the IL–2 receptor βγ heterodimer (IL–2Rβγ) but have no binding site for IL–2Rα (also called CD25) or IL–15Rα (also known as CD215). The designs are hyper–stable, bind human and mouse IL–2Rβγ with higher affinity than the natural cytokines, and elicit downstream cell signalling independently of IL–2Rα and IL–15Rα. Crystal structures of the optimized design neoleukin–2/15 (Neo–2/15), both alone and in complex with IL–2Rβγ, are very similar to the designed model. Neo–2/15 has superior therapeutic activity to IL–2 in mouse models of melanoma and colon cancer, with reduced toxicity and undetectable immunogenicity. Our strategy for building hyper–stable de novo mimetics could be applied generally to signalling proteins, enabling the creation of superior therapeutic candidates.

The considerable potential of the central immune cytokine interleukin–2 (IL–2) for cancer treatment1,2 has sparked numerous efforts to improve its therapeutic properties by mutation and/or chemical modification3–11. Such efforts have sought to simplify manufacturing, extend half–life, and modulate interactions with receptors12–14. However, there are inherent challenges to the development of a new therapeutic agent when starting with a naturally occurring bioactive protein. First, most natural proteins are only marginally stable15–17; hence, amino acid substitutions aimed at increasing efficacy can decrease expression or cause aggregation, making manufacture and storage difficult. More substantial changes, such as deletion or fusion of functional or targeting domains, are often unworkable and can markedly alter pharmacokinetic properties and tissue penetration13. Second, any immune response against the engineered variant may cross-react with the endogenous molecule18–24 with potentially dangerous consequences. Third, the target receptor–subunit interaction profile can be difficult to reprogram. The clinical use of IL–2 has been mainly limited by toxicity25–27 which, although incompletely understood in humans, is considerably reduced in animals deficient in the IL–2Rα chain (CD225). Previous efforts to ablate the interaction between CD25 and IL–2 by either mutation5,8,28,29 (as in super–2) or PEGylation (for example, NKTR–21411), have resulted in markedly reduced stability, binding, and/or potency of these reengineered cytokines, while failing to completely eliminate the interaction with CD25. Here we describe a computational design approach to generate mimetics of natural proteins with improved therapeutic properties that circumvent these challenges, allowing the engineering of hyper–stable de novo cytokine mimics that display specific receptor–binding interfaces that are optimal for treating disease.

IL–2/IL–15 mimics that bind and activate IL–2Rβγ

Cytokines interact with multiple receptor subunits30–33, and like most naturally occurring proteins, contain non–ideal structural features that compromise their stability but are important for function. We developed a computational protein design method in which the structural elements that interact with the desired receptor subunit(s) are fixed in space (Fig. 1a), and an idealized de novo globular protein structure is built to support these elements. De novo design has been used previously to support short linear epitopes34–37; here we support more complex binding interfaces by using a parametric construction of disembodied helices coupled with knowledge–based loop closure38 (Fig. 1b, c). We tested our approach by attempting de novo design of stable idealized proteins with interaction surfaces mimicking those of IL–2 and IL–15 for IL–2Rβγ, but entirely lacking the CD25–interaction surface.

Native IL–2 comprises four helices (Fig. 1a) connected by long irregular loops. The N–terminal helix (H1) interacts with both the β- and γ-subunits, the third helix (H3) interacts with the β-subunit, and the C-terminal helix (H4) interacts with the γ-subunit. The α-subunit (CD25) interacting surface is formed by the irregular second helix (H2) and two long loops, one connecting H1 to H2 and the other connecting H3 to H4. We aimed to build an idealized protein that recapitulates the interface formed by H1, H3 and H4 with β and γ-subunits and to replace H2 with a helix that provides better packing and does not interact with the α-subunit. Two generations of designed mimics were made. In a first generation, we used all helices (H1, H2, H3 and H4) from IL–2 (Fig. 1a) as starting points for structure idealization by (independently) rebuilding each disembodied helix using commonly...
Fig. 1 | Computational design of de novo cytokine mimics. a, Structure of human IL-2 (HsIL-2) (cartoon representation) in complex with its receptor IL-2Rαβγ (surface representation) (Protein Data Bank (PDB) ID: 2BSI). b, The designed mimics have four helices; three (blue, yellow and red) mimic IL-2 interactions with IL-2Rαβγ whereas the fourth (green) holds the first three in place. Top, first generation (gen 1): each of the core elements of IL-2 (helices H1–H4) were independently idealized by assembly of four residue clustered protein fragments. Bottom, second generation (gen 2): the core elements were built using parametric equations that recapitulate the shape of each disembodied helix, allowing changes in the length of each helix by up to ±8 amino acids. c, Pairs of helices were reconnected using ideal loop fragments; representative examples are shown with the newly built elements connecting each pair of helices in magenta. d, Combination of helix hairpins in c to generate fully connected protein backbones. e, Rosetta flexible backbone sequence design. f, Binding and activity of selected designs (solid symbols), the green arrow originates at the parent of the best optimized design Neo-2/15. (see Extended Data Table 1).

occurring protein fragments (see Methods) and connecting helices with fragment-derived loops (Fig. 1c) to generate fully connected backbones (Fig. 1d). Rosetta combinatorial flexible backbone sequence design was carried out40–42 for each backbone (in complex with human IL-2Rαβγ), resulting in a considerably more regular structure for H2 (H2') than in IL-2 (Fig. 1b, top; see Methods). The four lowest-energy designs and eight single-disulfide-stapled variants (Supplementary Table 1) were selected for experimental characterization by yeast display (see Methods). Eight designs bound fluorescently-tagged βγ-chimeric IL-2 receptor at low-nanomolar concentrations (Supplementary Fig. 1), and the highest affinity non-disulfide design (G1_neo2_40_1F) was subjected to site-saturation mutagenesis (Supplementary Table 5) and selection for increased affinity to human IL-2Rαβγ. A second round of selection was then carried out on a library combining the best individual affinity-enhancing substitutions (combinatorial library, Supplementary Fig. 2, Supplementary Table 7). The highest-affinity variants (Supplementary Fig. 4, Supplementary Table 2) were expressed recombinantly in Escherichia coli and found to elicit pSTAT5 signaling in vitro on IL-2-responsive mouse cells at low-nanomolar or even picomolar concentrations (Extended Data Table 1), but had relatively low thermal stability (melting temperature, $T_m < 45^\circ C$, Supplementary Figs. 3, 5). To improve stability, in a second generation of designs, we repeated the computational design protocol starting from the backbone of the highest affinity first-round design (G1_neo2_40_1F topology; H1→H4→H2→H3), but this time coupling the loop-building process with parametric variation of the helix lengths (up to ±8 amino acids, Fig. 1b, bottom). This second-generation approach improved the quality of the models by enabling the exploration of a substantially larger number of combinations of high-quality loops connecting each pair of helices. The 14 second-generation designs with highest predicted affinity and stability, along with 27 Rosetta-sequence redesigns of G1_neo2_40_1F (Supplementary Table 3), were experimentally characterized. All but one of the designs were found to bind the human IL-2 receptor at low-nanomolar concentrations (Fig. 1f, Extended Data Table 1, Supplementary Fig. 6). The three designs with highest affinity and stability (one sequence redesign and two new mimetics) were subjected to site-saturation mutagenesis (Supplementary Table 6), followed by selection on combinatorial libraries of substitutions to increase affinity for mouse IL-2Rαβγ (Supplementary Figs. 8–10, Supplementary Tables 6, 8), which yielded higher-affinity hyper-stable variants of the de novo mimics (Extended Data Table 1, Supplementary Tables 4, 8, Supplementary Figs. 11–13). The second-generation optimized design with highest overall affinity for both human and mouse IL-2Rαβγ, Neo-2/15, is a 100-residue protein with a topology and sequence that is quite different from human or mouse IL-2 (BLASTP sequence identity to human and mouse IL-2 of 14% and 24%, respectively; MICAN structure-based sequence identity to human and mouse IL-2 of 29% and 16% respectively, see Methods and Extended Data Table 1).

Functional characterization of Neo-2/15
Neo-2/15 binds with high affinity to human and mouse IL-2Rαβγ (with dissociation constants, $K_d = 19$ nM and $K_d \approx 38$ nM, respectively), but does not interact with IL-2Rα (Fig. 2a). The affinities of Neo-2/15 for the human and mouse IL-2 receptors (IL-2Rα) and IL-2Rαβγ are higher than those of the corresponding native IL-2 cytokines (Extended Data Table 1). Neo-2/15 activates IL-2Rα human YT-1 cells more potently than native human IL-2 (half-maximal response dose, EC$_{50} = 49$ pM versus 410 pM for the native human IL-2). Similarly, Neo-2/15 activates IL-2Rα mouse primary T cells more potently than native mouse
IL-2 (EC\textsubscript{50} = 130 pM versus 30 nM). These results are consistent with the higher binding affinity of Neo-2/15 for the IL-2 receptors (Fig. 2b, Supplementary Table 9). Neo-2/15 is also more active than super-2, a variant of IL-2 engineered for higher affinity for IL-2R\textsubscript{βγ} (Fig. 3). The inherent low stability of IL-2 and its tightly evolved dependence on cold chain storage, suggests a robustness to mutations (Extended Data Fig. 13) greater than super-2 (Fig. 2c, top). In ex vivo primary cell cultures, Neo-2/15 drives T cell survival effectively after 60 min of boiling at 95 °C, whereas these conditions inactivated both IL-2 and super-2 (Fig. 2c, bottom). This unprecedented stability for a cytokine-like molecule, as well as elimination of the requirement for cold chain storage, suggests a robustness to mutations (Extended Data Fig. 8), genetic fusions, and chemical modification (Supplementary Fig. 14) greatly exceeding that of native IL-2, which could contribute to the development of improved or new therapeutic properties (Extended Data Figs. 3, 4).

Structure of Neo-2/15 and complex with MmIL-2–2R\textsubscript{γc}. The X-ray crystal structure of Neo-2/15 is very similar to the computational design model (root mean square deviation of C\textsubscript{α} atoms, r.m.s.d. = 1.1–1.3 Å for the 6 copies in the asymmetric unit, Fig. 3a). We determined the structure of mouse IL-2R\textsubscript{γc} in complex with Neo-2/15 and found that it aligns very closely to the previously reported human IL-2 receptor complex\textsuperscript{45} (Fig. 3b, Extended Data Table 2). The Neo-2/15 design model and the unbound crystal structure align with the mouse ternary complex structure with r.m.s.d. of 1.27 and 1.29 Å, respectively (Fig. 3c). The order of helices in Neo-2/15 (using IL-2 numbering) is H1→H3→H2′→H4 (Fig. 1a, 3a, d). The H1–H3 loop is disordered in the ternary complex, but helix H3 is in close agreement with the predicted structure; there is also an outward movement of helix H4 and the H2′–H4 loop compared to the monomeric structure (Fig. 3c). Neo-2/15 interacts with mouse IL-2R\textsubscript{β} via helices H1 and H3, and with γ\textsubscript{c} via the H1 and H4 helices (Fig. 3); these regions align closely with both the computational design model (Fig. 3a) and the monomeric crystal structure (Fig. 3c). A shift of about 4.0 Å for helix H4 (see Fig. 3c) in the mouse complex may reflect the optimization for high-affinity binding to both the mouse and human receptors. The design of Neo-2/15 was guided by the human complex structure, and simulations suggest that there is little or no helix shift in this complex (Extended Data Fig. 7). Consistent with this, the helices of apo Neo-2/15 superimpose closely on those of human IL-2 in complex with its receptor (Fig. 3e, f), despite the different topologies of the two proteins (Fig. 3d).

**Therapeutic applications of Neo-2/15**

The inherent low stability of IL-2 and its tightly evolved dependence on CD25 have been barriers to the clinical translation of re-engineered IL-2 compounds. Other efforts have focused on IL-15\textsuperscript{44}, because it elicits similar signalling to IL-2 by dimerizing IL-2R\textsubscript{βγ}, but has no affinity for CD25. However, IL-15 activity is dependent on trans presentation of IL-15R\textsubscript{α}, which is displayed primarily on antigen-presenting cells and NK cells. The low stability of native IL-2 and its dependence on trans presentation have also presented substantial barriers to re-engineering efforts\textsuperscript{44,45}.

Dose-escalation studies on naive mice show that Neo-2/15 causes lower expansion of immunosuppressive T regulatory (T\textsubscript{reg}) cells than mouse IL-2 (Fig. 4a, left), leading to a higher CD8\textsuperscript{+} killer T cell:T\textsubscript{reg} ratio with Neo-2/15 (Fig. 4a, right). The increased expansion of T\textsubscript{reg} cells by mouse IL-2 is expected, because it binds preferentially to CD25\textsuperscript{+} cells\textsuperscript{46,47}. The higher CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cell:T\textsubscript{reg} ratios achieved with Neo-2/15 are generally associated with better tumour killing\textsuperscript{8,11,29}; this functional advantage of Neo-2/15 is likely to stem from its higher affinity for IL-2R\textsubscript{βγ} and lack of bias towards CD25\textsuperscript{+} cells. Similarly, in a mouse model of airway inflammation that normally induces a small percentage of tissue-resident CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells (Thy1.2 CD44\textsuperscript{+} CD8\textsuperscript{+}), Neo-2/15 elicits an increase in the population of tissue-resident CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells without increasing the population of antigen-specific T\textsubscript{reg} (CD4\textsuperscript{+} Foxp3\textsuperscript{+}; Fig. 4b).
To test whether Neo-2/15 is immunogenic, naïve and tumour-bearing mice were treated with Neo-2/15 daily (over a period of 4 weeks and 2 weeks, respectively). Little or no immunogenicity was observed in either case (Fig. 4c, Extended Data Fig. 5). A similar lack of immune response has been observed for other de novo-designed therapeutic candidates\(^\text{5}\) (probably owing to their small size and high stability). Polyclonal antibodies against Neo-2/15 were generated by vaccinating mice with an inactive Neo-2/15 mutant—Neo-2/15(Y14D/F99D), also known as KO Neo-2/15—in complete Freund’s adjuvant. These polyclonal Neo-2/15 antibodies do not cross-react with human or mouse IL-2 (Fig. 4c, Extended Data Fig. 5), suggesting that even if there is an immune response to Neo-2/15 in a therapeutic setting, this response is unlikely to cross-react with endogenous IL-2. This is consistent with the low sequence identity between Neo-2/15 and human or mouse IL-2 (Extended Data Table 1), which makes an autoimmune response against host IL-2 much less likely with Neo-2/15 than with previously engineered human IL-2 variants (for example, super-2 or PEGylated IL-2), which differ from endogenous IL-2 by only a few mutations (the BLASTP sequence identities of Neo-2/15 and super-2 to human IL-2 are 14% and 95%, respectively).

We tested the therapeutic efficacy of Neo-2/15 in B16F10 (melanoma) and CT26 (colon cancer) mouse models. Single-agent treatment with Neo-2/15 led to dose-dependent delays in tumour growth in both cancer models. In CT26 colon cancer, single-agent treatment showed improved efficacy compared to mouse IL-2 (Fig. 4d, Extended Data Fig. 1). In B16F10 melanoma, previous studies have shown that single-agent treatment with IL-2 is only partially effective, and co-treatment with the anti-melanoma cell antibody TA99 (anti-TRP1 monoclonal antibody) is synergistic with IL-2\(^\text{12,14}\) and IL-15 (superagonist complex ALT-803)\(^\text{49}\). In long-term survival experiments (8 weeks), Neo-2/15 in combination with TA99 showed substantially reduced toxicity and an overall superior therapeutic effect compared to mouse IL-2 (Fig. 4e, Extended Data Fig. 2), whereas treatment with TA99 alone had little effect. Mice treated with both mouse IL-2 and TA99 steadily lost weight and their overall health declined to the point of requiring euthanasia, whereas little decline was observed with the combination of Neo-2/15 and TA99 (Fig. 4e). Consistent with a therapeutic benefit, Neo-2/15 treatment led to significantly increased intratumoural CD8: Treg ratios (Fig. 4f, Extended Data Fig. 1), which are known to correlate with effective antitumour immune responses\(^\text{49}\). The increases of CD8: Treg ratios with Neo-2/15 treatment are dose- and antigen-dependent (Fig. 4f), which is in agreement with the enhanced therapeutic effects observed at higher doses and in combination with TA99 (Extended Data Fig. 2). Collectively, these data show that Neo-2/15 exhibits the predicted homeostatic benefit derived from its IL-2-like immunostimulatory activity, but without the adverse effects associated with preferential binding to CD25\(^\text{15}\) cells. These enhanced properties and low toxicity could enable routine use of Neo-2/15 for indications for which IL-2 is not broadly used, such as to enhance CAR-T cell therapies (Extended Data Fig. 4). The activation of pSTAT5 signalling in naive mouse peripheral blood lymphocytes (CD8\(^+\) T and B cells) observed an hour after exposure to Neo-2/15 was markedly reduced after three hours (Extended Data Fig. 6), suggesting that the efficacy of Neo-2/15 can be increased using standard approaches for extending circulation half-life, such as PEGylation\(^\text{10}\).

De novo design of protein mimics has the potential to transform the field of protein-based therapeutics, enabling the development of molecules that improve on biology by enhancing therapeutic properties and reducing side effects, not only for cytokines, but for almost
any biologically active molecule with known or accurately predictable structure. Because of the incremental nature of current traditional engineering approaches (for example, 1–3 amino acid substitutions, chemical modification at a single site), most of the shortcomings of the parent molecule are inevitably passed on to the resulting engineered variants, often in an exacerbated form. By building mimics completely from scratch, these shortcomings can be avoided. Unlike recombinant IL-2 and its engineered variants, Neo-2/15 is highly expressed in E. coli (Supplementary Fig. 13), retains activity at high temperature, and is robust to substantial sequence changes (Extended Data Fig. 8), which may enable the engineering of new functions. Immunogenicity against the de novo designed proteins appears to be low, probably because of their small size and high stability, and in contrast to incremental variants of human IL-2, any antibody response mounted against mimetics is unlikely to cross-react with its natural (cytokine) counterpart. Because of their high stability and robustness, along with their tailored interaction surfaces, de novo designed protein mimetics are likely to be particularly powerful for developing next-generation therapeutics that combine different protein functionalities.
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Computational design of de novo cytokine mimetics. The design of de novo cytokine mimetics began by defining the structure of human IL-2 in the quaternary complex with the human IL-2R\(\gamma\) receptor as the template for the design. After inspection, the residues comprising the binding site were defined as hotspots. The structure was fed into the new mimetic design protocol that is programmed in PyRosetta, which can automatically detect the core secondary structure elements that compose the target template and produce the resulting de novo mimetic backbones. In brief, the mimetic building algorithm works as follows. For the first generation of designs, each of the core elements was idealized by reconstruction using loops from a clustered database of highly ideal fragments (fragment size = 4 amino acids, see Data availability). After idealization, the mimetic building protocol aims to reconnect the idealized elements by pairs in all possible combinations. To do this, it uses combinatorial fragment assembly of sequence-agnostic fragments from the database, followed by Cartesian-constrained backbone minimization for potential solutions (that is, where the N and C ends of the built fragment are close enough to link the two secondary structures). After minimization, the solutions are verified to contain highly ideal fragments (that is, that every overlapping fragment that composes the two connected elements is also contained within the database) and that no backbone clashes with the target (context) receptor. Successful solutions were then profiled using the same database of fragments in order to determine the most probable amino acids at each position (this information was encoded as metadata on each design). Next, solutions for pairs of connected secondary structures were combinatorially recomposed (by using graph theory-connected components) to produce fully connected backbones. Since the number of solutions grows exponentially with each pair of elements, at each fragment combination step we ranked the designs to favour those with shorter interconnections between pairs of secondary structure core elements (that is, effectively with shorter loops), and kept only the top solutions. Fully connected backbone solutions were profiled by layer (interface, core, non-core surface, surface) in order to restrict the identities of the possible amino acids to be layer-compatible. Finally, all the information on hotspots, compatible built-fragment amino acids and layers was combined (hotspot has precedence to amino acid probability, and amino acid probability took precedence to layer) and output as Rosetta resfiles (specific for a given de novo mimic backbone result). These backbones and their corresponding resfiles were then passed to RosettaScripts for flexible backbone design and filtering (Supplementary Information, Appendix A). For the second generation of designs, we followed two approaches. In the first approach, we simply executed Rosetta sequence redesigns of our best first generation optimized design (G1_neo2_40_1F, Supplementary Information, Appendix B). In the second approach, we engineered new mimetics using conformational space and target templates. For this second generation was similar to the one described for the first generation, but with two key differences. First, the core elements (that is, those that are secondary structures) were no longer built from fragments, but instead by discovering parametric equations of repetitive phi and psi angles (omega fixed to 180°) that result in secondary structures) were no longer built from fragments, but instead by discovering parametric equations of repetitive phi and psi angles (omega fixed to 180°) that result in secondary structures that recapitulated each of the target helices as close as possible. Second, a ‘pitch’ on the phi and psi angles was allowed every 3rd residue in order to allow the helices the possibility to have curvature (final angle parameters: H1: phi = −60.4, psi = −45.8, phi_pitch = −1.0, psi_pitch = −2.0; H2: phi = −64.5, psi = −38.4, phi_pitch = 4.0, psi_pitch = −8.0; H3: phi = −64.6, psi = −40.6, phi_pitch = 0.0, psi_pitch = 0.0; H4: phi = −64.3, psi = −41.7, phi_pitch = 0.0, psi_pitch = 0.0). By using these parametric equations, the algorithm can vary the length of each of the core-elements up to ±8 amino acids (compared to the input template). Reductions in the size of the core elements were not allowed to remove hotspots from the binding site. All length variations of the core elements were reconected with loops from a clustered database of highly ideal loops (fragment size of 7 amino acids). The rest of the design algorithm is in essence similar to the one followed in the generation one. However, the implementation of the second generation algorithm is more convenient, because the input PDB incorporates PDBInfoLabels metadata to define the hotspots, and subsequently, it uses this information to integrate the loop amino acids preferences, layers, and hotspots into the final output(s) as PDBInfoLabels metadata. This information can be conveniently used for the subsequent step of sequence design with RosettaScripts (Supplementary Information, Appendix C). The Rosetta energy functions used for sequence design were ‘talaris2013’ and ‘talaris2014’ for the first and second generation of designs, respectively.

The databases of highly ideal fragments used for the design of the backbone fragments for the new mimetics were constructed with the Rosetta application ‘kcenters_clustering_of_fragments’ using an extensive database of non-redundant (publicly available) protein structures from the RCSB protein data bank, which was comprised of 16,767 PDB structures for the 4-mer database used in the first generation of designs, and of 7,062 PDB structures for the 7-mer database used for the second generation designs (see Code availability). The computational algorithm for designing the second generation de novo mimics is available (see Code availability).

Yeast display. Yeast were transformed with genes encoding the proteins to be displayed together with a linearized pETcon3 vector. The vector was linearized by 100-fold overdigestion by NdeI and Xhol (New England Biolabs) and then purified by gel electrophoresis (Qiagen). The genes included 50 bases of overlap with the vector sequence on both the 5’ and 3’ ends, such that homologous recombination would place the genes in frame between the AGA2 gene and the Myc tag on the vector. Yeast was grown in C-Trp-Ura medium before induction in SGCAA medium as previously described14,35,51. After induction for 12–18 h, cells were washed in chilled display buffer (50 mM NaPO₄ pH 8, 20 mM NaCl, 0.5% BSA) and incubated with varying concentrations of biotinylated receptor (either human or mouse IL-2R\(\alpha\), IL-2R\(\beta\) or \(\gamma\)) while being agitated at 4°C. After approximately 30 min, cells were washed again in a chilled buffer and then incubated on ice for 5 min with a FITC-conjugated Myc antibody (1 µg per 3 × 10⁶ cells) and streptavadin–phycocerythrin (1 µg per 100 µl volume of yeast). Yeast was then washed and counted by flow cytometry (Accuri C6) or sorted by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) (Sony SH800).

Mutagenesis and affinity maturation. Site-saturation mutagenesis (SSM) libraries were constructed from synthetic DNA from Genscript containing ambiguous nucleotides and similarly transformed into a linearized pETcon3 vector. For details of the primers used in the creation of SSM libraries, see Supplementary Tables 5, 6.

Protein expression. Genes encoding the designed protein sequences were synthesized and cloned into pET-28b(+) E. coli plasmid expression vectors (GenScript, N-terminal 6x His-tagged followed by a thrombin cleavage site. For all the designed proteins, the sequence of the N-terminal tag used was MGSSSHHHHHHSSGLVPGRSHM (unless otherwise noted), which is followed immediately by the sequence of the designed protein. Plasmids were then transformed into chemically competent E. coli Lemo21 cells (NEB). Protein expression was induced by 1 mM IPTG overnight at 37°C, until A₆₀₀nm reached approximately 0.8, then expression was induced with 1 mM isopropyl-\(\beta\)-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG), and the temperature was lowered to 18°C. After expression for approximately 18 h, cells were harvested and lysed with a Microfluidics M110P microfluidizer at 18,000 psi, then the soluble fraction was clarified by centrifugation at 24,000g for 20 min. The soluble fraction was purified by Immobilized Metal Affinity Chromatography (Qiagen) followed by FPLC size-exclusion chromatography (Superdex 75 10/300 GL, GE Healthcare). The purified Neo-2/15 was characterized by mass spectrometry verification of the molecular weight of the species in solution (Thermo Scientific), size-exclusion chromatography–multi-angle laser light scattering (SEC-MALLS) in order to verify monomeric state and molecular weight (Agilent, Wyatt), SDS–PAGE, and endotoxin levels (Charles River).

Human and mouse IL-2 complex components including human IL-2 (residues 1–133), human IL-2R\(\alpha\) (residues 1–217), human IL-2R\(\beta\) (residues 1–214), human IL-2R\(\gamma\) (residues 1–232), mouse IL-2 (residues 1–149), mouse IL-2R\(\beta\) ectodomain (residues 1–213), mouse IL-2R\(\alpha\) ectodomain (residues 1–215), and IL-2R\(\gamma\) ectodomain (residues 1–233) were secreted and purified using a baculovirus expression system, as previously described34,35. The purified human IL-2R\(\alpha\) heterodimer, the aforementioned extracellular domain residues for the human/mouse IL-2R\(\beta\) and human/mouse IL-2R\(\gamma\), were separately cloned into baculovirus expression constructs containing 3C protease-cleavable basic and acidic leucine zippers, respectively, for a high-fidelity pairing of the receptor subunits, as described previously. The IL-2R\(\alpha\) and IL-2R\(\gamma\) constructs were transfected independently and their corresponding viruses were co-transfected to determine optimal infection ratios for equivalent expression of the two chains. Insect cell secretion and purification proceeded as described for IL-2 cytokine and receptor expression system. The isolates were subsequently reconstituted to >98% homogeneity with a Superdex 200 sizing column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated in Hepes-buffered saline (HBS). Purity was verified by SDS–PAGE analysis. For expression of biotinylated human IL-2 and mouse IL-2 receptor subunits, proteins containing a C-terminal biotin acceptor...
peptide (BAP, LNDIFEAQKIEWHE) were expressed and purified as described by Ni-NTA affinity chromatography and then biotinylated with the soluble BirA ligase enzyme in 0.5 mM bicine pH 8.3, 100 mM ATP, 100 mM magnesium acetate, and 500 mM biotin (Sigma). Excess biotin was removed by size-exclusion chromatography on a Superdex 200 column equilibrated in HBS.

Neo-2/15 crystal and co-crystal structures. C-terminally 6× His-tagged endoglycosidase H (endoH) and mouse IL-2Rα and IL-2Rγ were expressed separately in High Five cells using a baculovirus system as previously described. Cells expressing IL-2Rα were grown in the presence of 5 μM kifensine. After approximately 72 h, the secreted proteins were purified from the medium by passage over a Ni-NTA agarose column and eluted with 200 mM imidazole in HBS buffer (150 mM NaCl, 10 mM HEPES pH 7.3). EndoH was exchanged into HBS buffer by dialfiltration. Mouse IL-2Rα was deglycosylated by overnight incubation with 1:75 (w/w) endoH. Mouse IL-2Rα and IL-2Rγ, were further purified and buffer was exchanged by FPLC using an S200 column (GE Life Sciences).

Monomeric Neo-2/15 was concentrated to 12 mg/ml and crystallized by vapour diffusion from 2.4 M sodium malonate pH 7.0, and crystals were harvested and flash frozen without further cryoprotection. Crystals diffracted to 2.0 Å resolution at Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory beamline 12-2 and were indexed and integrated using XDS. The space group was assigned with Pointless and scaling was performed with Aimless from the CCP4 suite. Our predicted model was used as a search ensemble to solve the structure by molecular replacement in Phaser with three protomers located in the asymmetric unit. After initial rebuilding with AutoBuildd, iterative cycles of manual rebuilding and refinement were performed using Coot and PHENIX. To crystallize the ternary Neo-2/15–mouse IL-2Rα–mouse IL-2Rγ complex, the three proteins were combined in equimolar ratios, digested overnight with 1:100 (w/w) carboxypeptidases A and B to remove purification tags, and purified by FPLC using an S200 column; fractions containing all three proteins were pooled and concentrated to 20 mg/ml. Initial needle-like microcrystals were formed by vapour diffusion from 0.1 M imidazole pH 8.0, 1 M sodium citrate and used to prepare a microseed stock for subsequent use in microseed matrix screening. After 3 weeks, the microcrystals were further purified and buffer was exchanged by FPLC using an S200 column (GE Life Sciences).

In vitro studies: Approximately 2 × 10^5 YT-1, IL-2Ro− YT-1, or starved CTLL-2 cells were plated in each well of a 96-well plate and re-suspended in RPMI complete medium containing serial dilutions of human IL-2, mouse IL-2, super-2, or engineered IL-2 mimetics. Cells were stimulated for 15 min at 37°C and immediately fixed by addition of formaldehyde to 1.5% and 10 min incubation at room temperature. Permeabilization of cells was achieved by 1% Triton X-100, 5% BSA, and 3% FBS in PBS pH 7.4. Permeabilized cells were washed twice with PBS containing 0.1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) at 0.5% non-fat dry milk (for 300 s). Analyte proteins were diluted from concentrated stocks into binding buffer. After baseline measurement in binding buffer alone, the binding kinetics were monitored by dipping the biosensors in solutions containing the target protein at the indicated concentration (association step) and then dipping the sensors back into baseline/buffer (dissociation). For heterodimeric receptor binding experiments for IL-2Rα−IL-2Rγ, γc was functionalized to streptavidin-coated biosensors (SA ForteBio) and processed using the instrument’s integrated software using a 1:1 binding model. Biotinylated target receptors, either human or mouse IL-2Rα, IL-2Rγ, or γc were functionalized to streptavidin-coated biosensors (SA ForteBio) at 1 μg/ml in binding buffer (10 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl, 3 mM EDTA, 0.05% surfactant P20, 0.5% non-fat dry milk) for 300 s. Analyte proteins were diluted from concentrated stocks into binding buffer. After baseline measurement in binding buffer alone, the binding kinetics were monitored by dipping the biosensors in solutions containing the target protein at the indicated concentration (association step) and then dipping the sensors back into baseline/buffer (dissociation). For heterodimeric receptor binding experiments for IL-2Rα−IL-2Rγ, γc was functionalized to the sensor while IL-2Rα was in solution at saturating concentrations (that is, at least ~2.5 fold molar excess over the Kd).

STAT5 phosphorylation studies. To crystallize the ternary Neo-2/15–mouse IL-2Rα–mouse IL-2Rγ complex, the three proteins were combined in equimolar ratios, digested overnight with 1:100 (w/w) carboxypeptidases A and B to remove purification tags, and purified by FPLC using an S200 column; fractions containing all three proteins were pooled and concentrated to 20 mg/ml. Initial needle-like microcrystals were formed by vapour diffusion from 0.1 M imidazole pH 8.0, 1 M sodium citrate and used to prepare a microseed stock for subsequent use in microseed matrix screening. After 3 weeks, the microcrystals were further purified and buffer was exchanged by FPLC using an S200 column (GE Life Sciences).

To crystallize the ternary Neo-2/15–mouse IL-2Rα–mouse IL-2Rγ complex, the three proteins were combined in equimolar ratios, digested overnight with 1:100 (w/w) carboxypeptidases A and B to remove purification tags, and purified by FPLC using an S200 column; fractions containing all three proteins were pooled and concentrated to 20 mg/ml. Initial needle-like microcrystals were formed by vapour diffusion from 0.1 M imidazole pH 8.0, 1 M sodium citrate and used to prepare a microseed stock for subsequent use in microseed matrix screening. After 3 weeks, the microcrystals were further purified and buffer was exchanged by FPLC using an S200 column (GE Life Sciences).
on a BD LSR II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences). Dose–response curves were fitted to a logistic model and EC50 values and corresponding 95% confidence intervals were determined using GraphPad Prism data analysis software after subtraction of the MFI of untreated cells and normalization to the maximum signal intensity. Experiments were performed in triplicate and repeated three times with similar results.

In vivo mouse airway inflammation experiments. Mice (C57BL/6J; The Jackson Laboratory) were inoculated intranasally with 20 µl whole house dust mite antigen (Greer) resuspended in PBS to a total of 23 µg Derp1 per mouse. From days 1–7, mice were given a daily intraperitoneal injection of 20 µg mouse IL-2 in sterile PBS (pH 7.2), a molar equivalent of Neo-2/15 in sterile PBS, or no injection. On Day 8, circulating T cells were intravascularly labelled and tetramer-positive cells were enriched from lymph nodes and lung as previously described. Both the flow column-through and bound fractions were saved for flow cytometry analysis. Cells were surface stained with antibodies and analysed on a BD LSR II flow cytometer with BD FACSDiva software (BD Biosciences). Antibodies used: FITC anti-Ki67, clone SolA15, PerCP-Cy5.5 anti-CD25, clone PC61, eFlour 450 anti-Foxp3, clone FJK-16S, BV510 anti-CD8, clone 53-6.7, BV605 anti-CD-1, clone J43, BV7/11 anti-CD4, clone RM-4, BV786 anti-CD26L, clone ME-14, PE anti-CD69, clone H1.2F3, PE-CF594 anti-B220, clone RA3-6B2, PE-Cy7 anti-CCXCR5, clone 2G8 and BV395 anti-Thy1.2, clone 53-2.1. All flow cytometry files were analysed using FlowJo 9.4.4 and statistical analysis was performed using Prism 7. All experiments were performed in accordance with the University of Washington Institutional Care and Use Committee guidelines.

Colorectal carcinoma in vivo mice experiments. CT26 cells were sourced from the research group at Instituto Gulbenkian de Ciência, Portugal. On day 0, 5 × 10^5 cells were injected subcutaneously into the flanks of BALB/c mice purchased from Charles River with 50 µl of a 1:1 mixture of Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (Gibco) with Matrigel (Corning). Starting on day 6, when tumour volume reached around 100 mm^3, Neo-2/15 and mouse IL-2 (Peprotech) were administered daily by intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection in 50 µl PBS (Gibco). Mice were euthanised when tumour volume reached 1,300 mm^3. BALB/c mice were purchased from Charles River. Flow cytometry: all reagents were purchased from Gibco by Life Technologies (Thermo Fisher Scientific) unless stated otherwise. Excised tumours were minced and enzymatically digested using a mix of collagenase I, collagenase IV (Worthington) and DNase I (Roche) in a shaker for 20 min. 250 r.p.m. at 37°C. After digestion, samples were passed through a 100-µm cell strainer and resuspended in cold complete RPMI 1640 medium, supplemented with 10 mM HEPES buffer, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 50 µM 2-mercaptoethanol, 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin and complemented with 1% non-essential amino acids (NEAA), 1% Glutamax supplement and 10% heat-inactivated FBS (HI FBS). The cell suspensions from the spleens and the inguinal lymph nodes were obtained through the smashing of the tissues against the filter of a 100-µm cell strainer and resuspended in PBS. Individual cells were stained for extracellular markers for 45 min at 4°C. Cell suspensions were then fixed, permeabilized and stained for intracellular markers using the eBioscience Foxp3 Transcription Factor Staining Buffer Set from ThermoFisher Scientific. Samples were analysed in a BD LSRFortessa flow cytometer equipped with a BD FACSDiva software and data were analysed in FlowJo v.10 software and the statistical analysis was performed using Prism 5. Antibodies (BioLegend) used in colon carcinoma experiments were: CD45-BV510 (30-F11), CD3-BV711 (17A2), CD49b-FITC (DX5), CD4-BV605 (RM4-5), CD8-PECy7 (53-6.7), and Foxp3-APC (FJK-16S, eBioscience). Fixable Viability Dye eFluor 780 (eBioscience) was used to detect the negative population. Samples were analysed in a BD LSRFortessa flow cytometer equipped with a BD FACSDiva software and data were analysed in FlowJo v.10 software.

Experiments were performed in triplicate and repeated three times with similar results. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. High-binding 96-well plates (Corning) were coated overnight at 4°C with 100 ng/ml of Neo-2/15, mouse IL-2 (Peprotech), HIL-2 (Peprotech) or ovalbumin (Sigma–Aldrich) in carbonate buffer. Antibody binding to target proteins was detected using HRP-conjugated sheep anti-mouse IgG (GE Healthcare) at 75 ng/ml. Plates were developed with tetramethylbenzidine and HCl. Absorbance was measured at 450 nm with an EnVision Multimode Plate Reader (PerkinElmer).

T cell proliferation assay. Cells were isolated from mice (C57BL/6) purchased from Jackson) spleens using the EasySep T Cell Isolation Kit (StemCell Technologies). Cells were plated in RPMI in 96-well culture plates at a density of 10,000 cells/well. Medium was supplemented with regular or heat-treated Neo-2/15, mouse IL-2, or super-2 (as indicated). After 5 days of incubation at 37°C, cell survival and proliferation were measured by CellTiter-Glo Luminescent Cell Viability Assay (Promega).

In vivo experiments. For Treg expansion experiments (Fig. 4a), naive C57BL/6 mice were treated daily with Neo-2/15 or mouse IL-2 at the indicated concentrations (n = 2–3 per group). After 14 days, spleens were harvested and analysed by flow cytometry using the indicated markers. For immunogenicity experiments (Fig. 4c), C57BL/6 mice were inoculated with 5 × 10^6 B16F10 cells by subcutaneous injection. Starting on day 1, mice were treated daily with Neo-2/15 (10 µg) or equimolar mouse IL-2 by intraperitoneal injection (n = 10 for each group). After 14 days, serum (antiserum) was collected and IgG was detected by ELISA. Serum was treated with 10% fetal bovine serum (negative control), Neo-2/15, mouse IL-2, human IL-2, or ovalbumin as a negative control. Polyclonal mouse IgG against Neo-2/15 (anti-Neo-2/15 polyclonal antibody) was generated using complete Freund’s adjuvant in conjunction with KO Neo-2/15, an inactive double point mutant of Neo-2/15: Y14D, F99D. For colorectal cancer experiments (Fig. 4d), BALB/C mice were inoculated with CT26 tumours. Starting on day 6, mice were treated daily by intraperitoneal injection of mouse IL-2 or Neo-2/15 (10 µg), or left untreated (n = 5 per group). For melanoma experiments (Fig. 4e), C57BL/6 mice were inoculated with the indicated melanoma cells daily by intraperitoneal injection of Neo-2/15 (10 µg) or equimolar mouse IL-2 (n = 10 per group). Twice-weekly treatment with TA99 was added on day 3. Mice were euthanised when weight loss exceeded 10% of initial weight or when tumour size reached 2,000 mm^3. For CD8^+ T cell ratio in melanoma experiments (Fig. 4f), C57BL/6 mice were inoculated with B16 tumours and treated by daily intraperitoneal injection as indicated. Treatment with TA99 was started on day 5 and continued twice-weekly. Tumours were collected from mice when they reached 2,000 mm^3, and were analysed by flow cytometry. The CD8^+ T cell ratio was calculated by dividing the percentage of CD45 TCR^+ cells that were CD8^+ by the percentage that were CD4^+ CD25^+ FoxP3^-.

CAR T cell in vivo experiments. In vitro T cell proliferation assay. Primary human T cells were obtained from healthy donors, who provided written informed consent for research protocols approved by the Institutional Review Board of the FHCRC. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) were isolated by centrifugation over Ficoll–Hypaque (Sigma), T cells were isolated using EasySep CD8 or CD4 negative isolation kits (Stemcell Technologies). To stimulate T cells, T cells were thawed and incubated with anti-CD3/CD28 Dynabeads (Gibco) at a 1:1 ratio in medium supplemented with 50 IU/ml (3.1 ng/ml) IL-2. Beads were removed after four days of incubation. Stimulated or freshly thawed unstimulated T cells were plated at 30,000 or 50,000 cells/well, respectively, in 96-well format and cultured in indicated concentrations of IL-2 or Neo-2/15 in triplicate. Three days later, proliferation was measured using CellTiter-Glo 2.0 (Promega). In vivo RAJI experiment: the FHCRC Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approved all mouse experiments. Six-to-eight-week-old NSG mice were obtained from the Jackson Laboratory. RAJI tumour cells (0.5 × 10^6) transduced with (ffLuc)-eGFP lentiviral transduced anti-CD19 CAR T cells (0.4 × 10^6) were injected intravenously into NSG mice. Seven days after tumour injection, luciferase imaging was performed on the area of the mice later, proliferation was measured using CellTiter-Glo 2.0 (Promega). In vivo RAJI experiment: the FHCRC Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approved all mouse experiments. Six-to-eight-week-old NSG mice were obtained from the Jackson Laboratory. RAJI tumour cells (0.5 × 10^6) transduced with (ffLuc)-eGFP lentiviral transduced anti-CD19 CAR T cells (0.4 × 10^6) were injected intravenously into NSG mice. Seven days after tumour injection, luciferase imaging was performed on the area of the mice.
Disulfide-stapling Neo-2/15 to increase its stability and binding potency. Neo-2/15 is highly modular; this makes it possible to further tune its properties. As proof of concept, we designed stability-enhancing disulfide staples that preserve the function of the protein[2]. Two computational design strategies were tested. First, we designed internal disulfide bridges for all pairs of positions with favourable geometrical arrangements inside of Neo-2/15. The four best disulfide-stapled designs (that is, with the most favourable energy and minimal geometric distortion) were re-combinantly expressed in E. coli. A design that bridges residues 38–75 (stabilizing helices H3–H2) was confirmed to be monomeric by size-exclusion chromatography followed by multi-angle light scattering (SEC–MALDI). In the second approach, we remodelled the N- and C-termini of Neo-2/15 to allow the introduction of a single-disulfide staple encompassing the entire protein. We generated a total of 330 models that were then filtered based on fragment quality and disulfide-bond geometry. Finally, the designs were manually inspected and six were selected (representing a diversity of insertion lengths) and experimentally characterized as described above. One design, replacing the terminal residues P and S with the amino acid sequences CNNS and NPQC at the N and C termini, respectively (Extended Data Fig. 3) was confirmed to be monomeric by SEC–MALDI. The designs from both disulfide-stapling strategies successfully increased the stability of Neo-2/15 (Tm > 95 °C) and its binding potency (Extended Data Fig. 3).

Pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics of Neo-2/15 in mice. We assessed the in vivo duration of pSTAT5 signalling response to Neo-2/15 in peripheral blood lymphocytes of naive mice (CD8 and B cells, Extended Data Fig. 6). As expected, Neo-2/15 has a substantial effect (similar to mouse IL-2) in CD8 cell signalling 1 h after s.c. injection, but as expected from the small size of Neo-2/15, the signalling effect decreases greatly after 3 h (Extended Data Fig. 6) and is undetectable after 8 h (data not shown). This suggests that future engineering of Neo-2/15 to extend half-life may be possible; there are a number of approaches such as Fc fusions, site-specific PEylation (for example, through engineered cysteines, such as those demonstrated in Supplementary Fig. 14), fusions to targeting domains (for example, mAbs, sdAbs or VHAs[2,23], DARPin[3], or de novo designed binding proteins[25,27,28]) that can be used to extend its half-life and would likely translate into improved pharmacokinetics.

Molecular dynamics simulations of apo Neo-2/15 and holo Neo-2/15. Molecular dynamics simulations in explicit water solvent initiated from the computational model of apo Neo-2/15 recapitulated the crystallographic structure of (monomeric) apo Neo-2/15 (average r.m.s.d. to crystal structure = 1.9 Å; Extended Data Fig. 7a). For instance, molecular dynamics simulations initiated from the ternary complex of Neo-2/15 with the mouse IL-2Rβγ were more likely to sample the crystallographic structure observed for Neo-2/15 in the ternary complex with mouse IL-2Rβγ, including the outward movement of helices H2–H4 (Neo-2/15 average r.m.s.d. to crystal structure = 1.4 Å; Extended Data Fig. 7c). The conformation of Neo-2/15 was stabilized in the ternary complexes (either mouse or human receptors; Extended Data Fig. 7c, d). Molecular dynamics simulations were performed using GROMACS 2018[27,28] with the Amber99SB-ILDN force field[27]. Each system consisted of the protein in a solvated dodecachlorobenzenax (minimum initial distance from the protein to the boundary = 1 nm) filled with explicit TIP3P water[29] and neutralized with Cl− or Na+ ions. The solvated systems were energy-minimized using the steepest descent minimization method, followed by equilibration for 200 ps under the NPT ensemble with position restraints (1,000 kJ mol−1 nm−1 applied on all the heavy atoms of the protein). Pressure coupling to 1 atm was performed with the Berendsen barostat[30], and the temperature was coupled to 310 K using the velocity-rescaling thermostat. The equilibrated systems were used as starting conformations for production runs. In the case of the monomers, we performed 5 independent production simulations of 100 ns each, and for the complexes bound to any of the IL-2 receptors, we performed 5 independent simulations of 90 ns each. The production simulations were conducted under the NPT ensemble, with the Parrinello–Rahman barostat[31] for pressure coupling to 1 atm. The cut-off for van der Waals and short-range electrostatic interactions was set to 1 nm. Long-range electrostatic interactions were treated with the particle-mesh Ewald (PME) summation method[32], and the Verlet cut-off scheme was used[33]. The LINCS algorithm was used to constrain all chemical bonds and allow an integration time-step of 2 fs. The simulation trajectories were recorded every 20 ps and were analysed using GROMACS.

Statistical and power analyses. For statistical test a P value of less than 0.05 considered significant unless otherwise noted. For comparison of fitted curves in cellular phospho-STAT5 signalling assays, differences in EIC50 values were considered statistically significant if their 95% confidence intervals did not overlap. In vivo airway inflammation experiments; comparison of cell populations were performed using a two-tailed t-test. In vivo mouse colon cancer experiments; comparisons of the survival of tumour-bearing mice were performed using the log-rank Mantel–Cox test (95% confidence interval). Comparisons of weight loss in tumour-bearing mice were performed using a two-tailed t-test. The minimum group size was determined using G*Power for an expected large effect size (Cohen’s d = 1.75). For all the bar plots, the whiskers represent ±s.d. and individual data points are shown (as dots) for experiments where the n = 5. Unless otherwise noted, results were analysed by one-way ANOVA, if significant (95% confidence interval), post hoc t-tests were performed comparing groups, and P values were adjusted for multiple comparisons are reported.

Software. The design of de novo protein mimics was performed using the custom Python code ‘protein mimic designer’ (https://www.python.org). IPython[34], and using the scientific high-performance modules: PyRosetta[35], nump and sciPy[5,36], matplotlib[37], scikern[38], cython[39] and pandas[40]. Data analyses were performed with custom code in Python and IPytron. Protein sequence design was performed with Rosetta[41,42] and RosettaScripts[43]. Protein visualization was performed using PyMOL[44]. Simple protein–protein sequence alignments were performed using BLASTP and structural sequence based comparisons were performed using MIGAN[45]. Sequence logos were generated with WebLogo[46].

Reporting summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this paper.

Data availability
Structures for Neo-2/15 monomer and its ternary complex with mouse IL-2Rβγ have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank with accession numbers 6DG6 and 6DG5, respectively. Diffraction images have been deposited in the SBGrid Data Bank with accession numbers 587 and 588, respectively, and validation reports are included in the Supplementary Information. Other data and materials are available upon request from the corresponding authors.
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Extended Data Fig. 1 | Therapeutic effect of Neo-2/15 on colon cancer. 

a, BALB/C mice were inoculated with CT26 tumours. Starting on day 9 and ending on day 14, mice were treated daily with intraperitoneal injection of mouse IL-2 or Neo-2/15 at the specified concentrations (n = 4 per group), or were left untreated (n = 6 per group). Top, tumour growth curves show data only for surviving mice and stop if the number of mice in a group fell below 50% of the initial number. Bottom, survival curves. Mice were euthanized when weight loss exceeded 10% of initial weight or when tumour size reached 1,300 mm³. The experiments were performed twice with similar results. 

b–d, Bar plots comparing the T cell populations in BALB/C mice (n = 3 per group) that were inoculated with CT26 tumours and treated, starting from day 6, by daily intraperitoneal injection of 10 µg Neo-2/15, 10 µg mouse IL-2 or no treatment (no tx). On day 14 the percentage of Treg cells (CD4⁺ CD45⁺ FoxP3⁺, top) and CD8⁺ Treg cell ratio (CD45⁺ CD3⁺ CD8⁺ cells:Treg cells; bottom) were assessed in tumours (b), neighbouring inguinal lymph node (LN) (c), and spleen (d). Data are mean ± s.d., except in growth curves, where data are mean ± s.e.m. Results were analysed by one-way ANOVA (95% confidence interval), except for survival curves that were assessed using the Mantel–Cox test (95% confidence interval). Experiments were performed twice with similar results.
Extended Data Fig. 2 | Therapeutic effect of Neo-2/15 on melanoma.
Survival curves (top) and tumour growth curves (bottom) for C57BL/6 mice that were inoculated with B16 tumours (as in Fig. 4a) and treated with low (1 µg per mouse per day) or high (10 µg per mouse per day) doses of Neo-2/15. a, Starting on day 1, mice (n = 5 per group) were treated daily with intraperitoneal injection of single agent Neo-2/15 at 1 µg per mouse or equimolar mouse IL-2 (left), or the same treatments in combination with a twice-weekly treatment with TA99 (started on day 3) (right). Mice were euthanized when tumour size reached 2,000 mm³. Tumour growth curves show data only for surviving mice and stop if the number of mice in a group fell below 50% of the initial number. The experiments were performed twice with similar results. b, Similar to a, but starting on day 4. Mice (n = 5 per group) were treated daily with intraperitoneal injection of single agent Neo-2/15 at 10 µg per mouse or equimolar mouse IL-2 (left), or the same treatments in combination with a twice-weekly treatment with TA99 (started on day 3) (right). Mice were euthanized when tumour size reached 1,000 mm³. The therapeutic effect of Neo-2/15 is dose-dependent (higher doses have a stronger effect) and is potentiated in the presence of the antibody TA99. Tumour growth curves show data only for surviving mice and stop if the number of mice in a group fell below 50% of the initial number. The experiments were performed twice with similar results. c, C57BL/6 mice were immunized with 500 µg KO Neo-2/15 in complete Freund’s adjuvant and boosted on days 7 and 15 with 500 µg KO Neo-2/15 in incomplete Freund’s adjuvant. Reactivity against KO Neo-2/15 and native Neo-2/15, as well as cross-reactivity with mouse IL-2 were determined by incubation of serum (diluted 1:1,000 in PBS) with plate-bound KO Neo-2/15, Neo-2/15 or mouse IL-2 as indicated. Serum binding was detected using an anti-mouse secondary antibody conjugated to HRP followed by incubation with TMB. Data are reported as optical density at 450 nm. Top, naive mouse serum; bottom, immunized mouse serum. The experiments were performed once. In all the growth curves, data are mean ± s.e.m. Results were analysed by one-way ANOVA (95% confidence interval), except for survival curves that were assessed using the Mantel–Cox test (95% confidence interval).
Extended Data Fig. 3 | Single disulfide-stapled variants of Neo-2/15 with higher thermal stability. a, Structural models of disulfide-stabilized variants of Neo-2/15 (grey) are shown superposed on the ternary crystal structure of Neo-2/15 (red) with mutated residues highlighted in magenta and the disulfide bond shown in gold. Two strategies were used to generate the disulfide stapled variants. a, Top, internal placement of the disulfide linking residues 38 and 75. Bottom, experimental CD spectra of the design at 25 °C, 95 °C and then cooled back to 25 °C, showing complete recovery of ellipticity spectrum (full reversibility) upon cooling. b, Top, for the terminal disulfide variant, three residues were added to each terminus in order to allow the disulfide to be formed without distorting the Neo-2/15 structure. Bottom, experimental CD spectra of the design at 25 °C, 95 °C and then cooled back to 25 °C, showing complete recovery of ellipticity spectrum (full reversibility) upon cooling. c, Thermal melting of each disulfide variant in a and b between 25 °C and 95 °C (heating rate ≈ 2 °C min⁻¹) was monitored using circular dichroism at 222 nm. Each of the disulfide-stapled variants shows improved stability relative to native Neo-2/15. d, Binding strength of each disulfide variant was measured by biolayer interferometry, showing that the introduction of disulfide bonds does not disrupt binding. Furthermore, both disulfide variants exhibit improved binding of IL-2Rβγ (K₅ ≈ 1.3 ± 0.49 nM and 1.8 ± 0.26 nM for the internal and external disulfide staples, respectively), compared to Neo-2/15 (K₅ ≈ 6.9 ± 0.61 nM) under the same experimental conditions. These results are consistent with the expected effect of disulfide-induced stabilization on a de novo protein binding site. Thermal denaturation experiments were performed 3 times with similar results; binding experiments were performed once.
Extended Data Fig. 4 | The stimulatory effect of Neo-2/15 on human CAR-T cells. a, b, Human primary CD4 (top) or CD8 (bottom) T cells stimulated with CD3/CD28 antibodies (a) or unstimulated (b) were cultured in indicated concentrations of human IL-2 or Neo-2/15. T cell proliferation was measured as fold change over T cells cultured without IL-2 supplement. Experiments were performed 3 times with similar results. Data are mean ± s.d. c, NSG mice inoculated with $0.5 \times 10^6$ RAJI tumour cells were treated with $0.8 \times 10^6$ anti-CD19 CAR-T cells 7 days post-tumour inoculation. Tumour growth was analysed by bioluminescence imaging. The experiment was performed once.
Extended Data Fig. 5 | Immunogenicity of Neo-2/15 in healthy naive mice. **a**, Naive C57BL/6 mice were treated daily with Neo-2/15 \((n=10)\), KO Neo-2/15 \((n=5)\), mouse IL-2 \((n=5)\) or left untreated \((n=5)\). Blood was collected after 28 days and the serum was diluted 1:100 and analysed for IgG against Neo-2/15, mouse IL-2, human IL-2, KO Neo-2/15 and ovalbumin using ELISA. FBS (10\%) was used as a negative control. Polyclonal antibody against Neo-2/15 was used as a positive control. All statistical comparisons between sera from treated mice and negative control serum were not significant (two-way ANOVA with a 95\% confidence interval). All statistical comparisons between Neo-2/15 and mouse IL-2 treated mice serum were not significant (two-way ANOVA with a 95\% confidence interval). The experiments were performed once. **b**, After 14 days, immune cell populations in the blood of treated mice were quantified by flow cytometry. B cell:T cell ratio (top right) was calculated by dividing the percentage of B220<sup>+</sup> cells by the percentage of CD3<sup>+</sup> cells. CD8<sup>+</sup> cell:CD4<sup>+</sup> cell ratio (top left) was calculated by dividing the percentage of CD3<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup> cells by the percentage of CD3<sup>+</sup>CD4<sup>+</sup> cells. NK cells (bottom left) were identified by their expression of NK1.1. Results were analysed by one-way ANOVA (95\% confidence interval). The experiments were performed once. In all cases, data are mean ± s.d.
Extended Data Fig. 6 | Kinetics of STAT5 phosphorylation with Neo-2/15 treatment. Naive C57BL/6 mice were treated once with 13 µg mouse IL-2 (n = 5) or 10 µg Neo-2/15 (n = 5), or were left untreated (n = 5). Phosphorylation of STAT5 was measured in peripheral blood at the indicated time points by flow cytometry using an anti-pSTAT5 antibody. Mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) is shown at each time point for TCRβ+ CD8+ cells (top) and TCRβ− B220+ cells (bottom). Data are mean ± s.d. Results were analysed by one-way ANOVA (75% confidence interval). The experiments were performed once.
Extended Data Fig. 7 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Fig. 7 | Conformational flexibility of Neo-2/15 in molecular dynamics simulations. **a**, Molecular dynamics simulations started from the computational model of Neo-2/15 (top) converged into structures similar to the crystal conformation. Apo Neo-2/15 is shown in red thick tubes (chain A from PDB ID: 6GD6) and 45 (randomly selected) molecular dynamics conformations from 5 independent simulations are shown in thin grey tubes. Bottom, the plot shows the r.m.s.d. along 5 independent simulations (average r.m.s.d. = 1.93 Å). **b**, Similar to **a**, but for (control) molecular dynamics simulations started from the crystallographic structure of human IL-2. Top, crystal conformation of human IL-2 (chain A from PDB ID: 2BSI) is shown in blue thick tubes and 45 (randomly selected) conformations from 5 independent molecular dynamics simulations are shown in thin grey tubes (average r.m.s.d. = 2.02 Å). **c**, Top, similar to **a** and **b**, but showing molecular dynamics structures for simulations started from the computational model of Neo-2/15 bound to human IL-2Rβγc. The plot shows the r.m.s.d. along 5 independent molecular dynamics simulations (average r.m.s.d. to apo Neo-2/15 (model) = 1.28 Å). The lower structure shows the nearest conformation (to the apo Neo-2/15 computational model) that was sampled on each of the 5 independent simulations (structures from the first 50 ns of molecular dynamics simulations were not considered). Bottom, a 2D scatter plot (and the underlying density plot, in which yellow, blue, green and purple represent decreasing densities) comparing the r.m.s.d. (after discarding the first 50 ns of each simulation) for apo Neo-2/15 (computational model) versus the r.m.s.d. for the holo crystal structure of Neo-2/15 (in complex with the mouse receptor). The conformations sampled by Neo-2/15 when in complex with human IL-2Rβγc are more similar to the apo Neo-2/15 structure (computational model) than to the Neo-2/15 conformation observed in complex with mouse IL-2Rβγc. **d**, As in **c**, but for molecular dynamics simulations started from the computational model of apo Neo-2/15 in complex with the crystallographic structure of mouse IL-2Rβγc. The model of apo Neo-2/15 was generated by aligning (using TMalign) the ternary computational model of Neo-2/15 with human IL-2Rβγc (from **c**) into our crystallographic structure of mouse IL-2Rβγc (PDB ID: 6GD5) (average r.m.s.d. to holo Neo-2/15 (mouse) = 1.43 Å). Bottom, 2D scatter plot (and the underlying density plot, in which yellow, blue, green and purple represent decreasing densities) comparing the r.m.s.d. (after discarding the first 50 ns of molecular dynamics simulation) for apo Neo-2/15 (computational model) versus the r.m.s.d. for the holo crystal structure of Neo-2/15 (in complex with the mouse receptor). Unlike in **c**, the conformations sampled by Neo-2/15 when in complex with mouse IL-2Rβγc are more similar to the Neo-2/15 conformation observed in the crystallographic structure of the ternary complex of Neo-2/15 with mouse IL-2Rβγc (Fig. 3). For clarity, all the r.m.s.d. plots were filtered (running average filter, 5 frames = 100 ps), and points in the 2D scatter plots were subsampled every 25 conformations (that is, every 500 ps); however, the density plot corresponds to all the analysed conformations (that is, the last 40 ns of 5 molecular dynamics simulations that were analysed, and conformations were recorded each 20 ps).
Extended Data Fig. 8 | Overall sequence conservation in binding residues for each of the four common helices, combining information from the three different de novo-designed IL-2 mimics. Sequence logos were generated using combined data from binding experiments (using the heterodimeric mouse IL-2Rβγ, see Methods) from 3 independent SSM mutagenesis libraries for G2_neo2_40_1F_seq27, G2_neo2_40_1F_seq29 and G2_neo2_40_1F_seq36 (Supplementary Figs. 8–10). All of these proteins are functional high-affinity mimetics of mouse and human IL-2 (see Supplementary Figs. 6–11), some having topologies that differ from that of Neo-2/15, but all containing the four Helices H1, H3, H2' and H4. The logos show the combined information for each helix independently.

Below each logo, a line graph shows the probability score (higher means more conserved) for each amino acid in the Neo-2/15 sequence. The red line highlights positions where the Neo-2/15 amino acid has a probability score $\geq 30\%$ (that is, these amino acids contribute more generally to receptor binding as they are globally enriched in the binding populations across all of the de novo IL-2 mimics tested). The topology of each helix in Neo-2/15 is shown left of each logo. The sequences of the Neo-2/15 helices and those of the corresponding helices (structurally aligned) in human IL-2 and IL-15 are shown below the graphs, highlighting the distinctiveness of the Neo-2/15 helices and binding interfaces.
### Extended Data Table 1 | Characterization of several de novo designed mimics of IL-2/IL-15

| De Novo Mimic | Binding Affinity (Kd) to HsIL-2Rβγ(c) and Cell Signaling in Human NK (YT, CD25+) Cells | EC50 (CD25+) | Seq Identity to HsIL-2 | Seq Identity to MmIL-2 | Exp. Optimized | Parent Molecule | a.a. Length |
|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------|
| G1_neo2_40_1F | 260.0                                                                                           | 1437.0                                   | 0.14 (%)               | 47.7 (%)           | N               | -             | 87          |
| G1_neo2_41    | 187.0                                                                                           | 720.6                                   | 0.07 (%)               | 47.7 (%)           | N               | -             | 87          |
| G1_neo2_43    | 533.4                                                                                           | 2861.0                                   | 0.21 (%)               | 50.0 (%)           | N               | -             | 87          |
| G1_neo2_40_1F | 2.3                                                                                             | 2.6                                     | 0.09 (%)               | 44.2 (%)           | 26.6 (%)         | Y             | G1_neo2_40   | 87          |
| G2_neo2_40_1F | 113.9                                                                                           | 27.6                                     | 0.12 (%)               | 33.7 (%)           | N               | De novo mimetic design inspired on template: G1_neo2 40 1F | 100 |
| Neoleukinin-2 | 18.8                                                                                           | 11.2                                     | 0.05 (%)               | 29.2 (%)           | 15.7 (%)         | G2_neo2 40 1F | 100 |

© 2019 Springer Nature Limited. All rights reserved.

The table shows experimental and structural properties for several de novo mimics of IL-2/IL-15 mimics. Human IL-2, mouse IL-2, and super-2 are shown as references. The sequence similarity was calculated by structural alignment against human IL-2 (PDB ID: 2B5I) or mouse IL-2 (PDB ID: 4NQK). The EC50 field refers to pSTAT5 cell signalling measured across 6 independent experiments (denoted by a–f in parentheses). N/S, not significant; N/A, not available. The binding and signalling experiments were performed 3 times with similar results.

© 2019 Springer Nature Limited. All rights reserved.
Extended Data Table 2 | Crystallographic data table for monomeric Neo-2/15 and the quaternary complex of Neo-2/15 with mouse IL-2Rβγc

|             | Neoleukin-2/15 (6DG6) | Neoleukin-2/15 ternary complex with MmIL-2Rβγc (6DG5) |
|-------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| **Data collection** |                       |                                                   |
| Space group  | P 21 21 21            | P 21 2 21                                         |
| Cell dimensions | 73.73, 86.8, 92.31    | 65.125, 67.914, 172.084                           |
| a, b, c (Å)  | 90, 90, 90            | 90, 90, 90                                        |
| α, β, γ (°)  | Resolution (Å)        |                                                   |
|             | 39.28 - 1.999 (2.07 - 1.999) &d | 47.005 - 2.516 (2.828 – 2.516)                     |
| Ellipsoidal resolution limit (Å) | -                  | 3.422 (a) &d                                      |
| (direction) | -                     | 2.407 (b) &d                                      |
|             | -                     | 3.475 (c) &d                                      |
| Rsym        | 0.1027 (1.709)        | 0.3590 (2.516)                                    |
| I / σI      | 12.19 (1.25)          | 6.8 (1.3)                                         |
| Completeness (%) | 92.58 (77.83)    | 52.3 (9.0)                                        |
| Completeness (ellipsoidal) (%) | 93.2 (77.2)  |                                                   |
| Redundancy  | 8.7 (8.1)             | 9.5 (11.2)                                        |
| **Refinement** |                       |                                                   |
| Resolution (Å) | 39.28 - 1.999 (2.07 - 1.999) | 47.005 - 2.516                                    |
| No. reflections | 37747 (3125)      | 13923 (136)                                       |
| Rwork / Rfree | 0.2037 / 0.2260     | 0.2211 / 0.2658                                   |
| No. atoms    | 4791                  | 4100                                              |
| Protein      | 4735                  | 3949                                              |
| Ligand/ion   | 0                     | 138                                               |
| Water        | 56                    | 13                                                |
| B-factors    | 52.56                 | 47.05                                             |
| Protein      | 52.54                 | 46.39                                             |
| Ligand/ion   | 67.79                 |                                                   |
| Water        | 54.21                 | 27.31                                             |
| R.m.s. deviations | Bond lengths (Å) | 0.005                                             |
|              | Bond angles (°)       | 0.004                                             |
|              | 0.88                  | 0.94                                              |

&dStatistics are for data that were truncated by STANISO to remove poorly measured reflections affected by anisotropy.

&dThe resolution limits for three directions in reciprocal space are indicated here. To calculate this, STANISO computed an ellipsoid post-fitted by least squares to the cut-off surface, removing points where the fit was poor. Note that the cut-off surface is unlikely to be perfectly ellipsoidal, so this is only an estimate.

The anisotropic completeness was obtained by least-squares fitting an ellipsoid to the reciprocal lattice points at the cut-off surface defined by a local mean I/σI threshold of 1.0, rejecting outliers in the fit owing to spurious deviations (including any cusp), and calculating the fraction of observed data lying inside the ellipsoid so defined. Note that the cut-off surface is unlikely to be perfectly ellipsoidal, so this is only an estimate.

Values in parentheses are for the highest-resolution shell.
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Statistical parameters

When statistical analyses are reported, confirm that the following items are present in the relevant location (e.g. figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section).

| n/a | Confirmed |
|-----|-----------|
| x   | The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement |
| x   | An indication of whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly |
| x   | The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided |
| x   | Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section. |
| x   | A description of all covariates tested |
| x   | A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons |
| x   | A full description of the statistics including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals) |
| x   | For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. F, t, r) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and P value noted |
| x   | Give P values as exact values whenever suitable. |
| x   | For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings |
| x   | For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes |
| x   | Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen’s d, Pearson’s r), indicating how they were calculated |
| x   | Clearly defined error bars |
|     | State explicitly what error bars represent (e.g. SD, SE, CI) |

Our web collection on statistics for biologists may be useful.

Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code

Data collection: The design of protein mimics was performed using custom code programmed in python and ipython, in combination with the scientific/high-performance modules: pyrosetta, numpy and scipy, matplotlib, sklearn, cython and pandas. Protein sequence design was performed using Rosetta and RosettaScripts. The computer code and examples are provided along with SI.

Data analysis: Data analysis was performed using custom code programmed in python and ipython and Rosetta, in combination with the scientific/high-performance modules: pyrosetta, numpy and scipy, matplotlib, sklearn, cython and pandas. Protein visualization was performed using PyMOL (PyMOL | pymol.org ).

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors/reviewers upon request. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.
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Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

PDBs for Neoleukin-2/15 monomer and for its ternary complex with mIL-2Rβgc have been deposited in the RCSB protein data bank (PDB IDs: 6DG6 and 6DG5, respectively), diffraction images have been deposited in the SBGrid Data Bank (IDs: 587 and 588, respectively) and validation reports for each of the PDBs are part of the supplementary information. The databases of clustered fragments and the algorithms used for designing de novo protein mimetics (programmed as python/pyrosetta scripts) as described in this manuscript are available in the online repository Zenodo (ID: "to be provided with the final manuscript"). Other data and materials related to this manuscript are available upon request to the corresponding authors.
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### Sample size

- Yeast display: Sample size was based on historical data which revealed statistical significance in previous experiments.
- In vitro Stat5 experiments: Sample size was based on historical data which revealed statistical significance in previous experiments.
- Ex vivo Stat5 experiments: Sample size was based on historical data which revealed statistical significance in previous experiments.
- In vivo Airway inflammation experiments: Sample size was based on historical data which revealed statistical significance in previous experiments.
- Colorectal carcinoma in vivo experiments: Sample size was based on historical data for similar colorectal cancer experiments. No statistical methods were used to pre-determine sample size.
- Melanoma in vivo experiments: The minimum group size was determined using G*Power for an expected large effect size (Cohen’s d = 1.75).
- Car-T cells in vivo experiments: Sample size was based on historical data for similar CAR-T cell experiment which revealed statistical significance in previous experiments.

### Data exclusions

- Yeast display: No data were excluded from the analyses.
- In vitro Stat5 experiments: No data were excluded from the analyses for STAT5 phosphorylation studies and surface plasmon resonance studies. Cell populations were identified based on forward/side scatter profiles and singlets were discriminated using forward scatter area versus height plots.
- Ex vivo Stat5 experiments: No data were excluded from the analyses.
- In vivo Airway inflammation experiments: Two samples were excluded from analysis of % lung resident CD8+ T cells in Figure 4b due to failure of intravascular labeling (Thy1.2-BUV395) prior to sacrifice, as determined by the absence of Thy1.2+ cells in the collected sample.
- Colorectal carcinoma in vivo experiments: No data were excluded from the analysis
- Melanoma in vivo experiments: For melanoma tumor model experiments, no data points were excluded from analysis.
- Car-T cells in vivo experiments: No data were excluded from the analysis

### Replication

- Yeast display: All experiments were repeated at least once and all attempts of replication were successful.
- In vitro Stat5 experiments: All STAT5 phosphorylation studies were performed in triplicate and iterated at least 3 times to ensure reproducibility. Surface plasmon resonance studies were performed at least twice to ensure reproducibility.
- Ex vivo Stat5 experiments: All experiments were repeated and all attempts of replication were successful.
In vivo Airway inflammation experiments: All experiments were repeated and all attempts of replication were successful.

Colorectal carcinoma in vivo experiments: Experiments were repeated with similar results that are included in the extended information.

Melanoma in vivo experiments: Findings were conserved across a minimum of two experiments.

Car-T cells in vivo experiments: Experiments were not repeated, but multiple subjects were included in each experimental group.

Randomization

Yeast display: Not used.

In vitro Stat5 experiments: Not used.

Ex vivo Stat5 experiments: Not used. Mice used in the in vivo experiments were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory and randomly allocated into experimental groups upon receipt.

In vivo Airway inflammation experiments: Mice used in the in vivo experiments were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory and randomly allocated into experimental groups upon receipt.

Colorectal carcinoma in vivo experiments: Mice were randomly assigned into each experimental group according to their tumor volumes and in order to attain an even tumor volume average across experimental groups.

Melanoma in vivo experiments: The mice were given tumors and then randomized after tumor injection to specific treatments. In some instances, mice were further randomized if a treatment was started later in the experiments. This is specifically stated in the methods or figure legend when that was the case.

Car-T cells in vivo experiments: Mice were randomly allocated into experimental groups.

Blinding

Yeast display: Investigators were not blinded to the treatment groups.

In vitro Stat5 experiments: Investigators were not blinded to the treatment groups.

Ex vivo Stat5 experiments: Investigators were not blinded to the treatment groups.

In vivo Airway inflammation experiments: Investigators were not blinded to the treatment groups. Blinding was not relevant as mice were not scored throughout treatment.

Colorectal carcinoma in vivo experiments: Investigators were not blinded to the treatment groups.

Melanoma in vivo experiments: Investigators were not blinded to the treatment groups.

Car-T cells in vivo experiments: Investigators were not blinded to the treatment groups.

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

Materials & experimental systems

| n/a | Involved in the study |
|-----|-----------------------|
| ✗   | Unique biological materials |
| ✗   | Antibodies |
| ✗   | Eukaryotic cell lines |
| ✗   | Palaeontology |
| ✗   | Animals and other organisms |
| ✗   | Human research participants |

Methods

| n/a | Involved in the study |
|-----|-----------------------|
| ✗   | ChIP-seq |
| ✗   | Flow cytometry |
| ☑   | MRI-based neuroimaging |

Unique biological materials

Policy information about availability of materials

Obtaining unique materials

Materials related to this manuscript are available upon request to the corresponding authors.
Antibodies

| Antibodies used | Yeast display: FITC-conjugated anti-c-Myc antibody |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| In vitro Stat5 experiments: | - Alexa Fluor® 647-conjugated mouse anti-STAT5 pY694 (BD Biosciences, 612599, Clone 47/Stat5(pY694))  
- AlexaFluor 700 anti-CD44, clone IM7 |
| Ex vivo Stat5 experiments: | - PE anti-pSTAT5 (pY694), clone 47  
- PE-Cy7 anti-CD25, clone PC61  
- PerCP anti-CD4, clone RM4-5  
- AF700 anti-CD44, clone IM7 |
| In vivo Airway inflammation experiments: | - FITC anti-Ki67, clone SolA15  
- PerCP-Cy5.5 anti-CD25, clone PC61  
- eFluor 450 anti-Foxp3, clone FJK-16S  
- BV510 anti-CD8, clone 53-6.7  
- BV605 anti-PD-1, clone J43  
- BV711 anti-CD4, clone RM4-5  
- BV786 anti-CD62L, clone MEL-14  
- PE anti-CD69, clone H1.2F3  
- PE-CF594 anti-B220, clone RA3-6B2  
- PE-CF594 anti-B220, clone IM7 |
| Colorectal carcinoma in vivo experiments: (BioLegend antibodies): | Brilliant Violet 510™ anti-mouse CD45 Antibody, 103138, 30-F11, B235434;  
Brilliant Violet 711™ anti-mouse CD3 Antibody, 100241, 17A2, B245637;  
FITC anti-mouse CD49b (pan-NK cells) Antibody, 108906, DX5, B159570;  
Brilliant Violet 605™ anti-mouse CD4 Antibody, 100548, RM4-5, B244808;  
PE/Cy7 anti-mouse CD8a Antibody, 100721, 53-6.7, B239089;  
FOXP3 Monoclonal Antibody (FJK-16s), APC, eBioscience™, 17-5773-82, FJK-16s, 4303649. |
| Melanoma in vivo experiments: antibodies were validated by BioLegend; citations are available on the manufacturer’s website. |

Validation

| Yeast display: Positive and negative controls of specific-binding (for each of the fluorescent labeled targets) were included in each experiment. |
| In vitro Stat5 experiments: Antibodies were used at the dilutions recommended by the manufacturer and validated using appropriate positive (cytokine-stimulated cells) and negative (unstimulated cells) controls. |
| Ex vivo Stat5 experiments: Positive controls of specific-binding (for each of the fluorescent labeled targets) were included in each experiment. |
| In vivo Airway inflammation experiments: N/A |
| Colorectal carcinoma in vivo experiments: Every antibody was previously validated by the manufacturer. All antibody stainings were previously titrated and tested by single-staining. Numerous references were cited in the product’s web-page. |

Eukaryotic cell lines

| Policy information about cell lines |
|------------------------------------|
| **Cell line source(s)** | In vitro Stat5 experiments: CTLL-2 cells were purchased from ATCC.  
Colorectal carcinoma in vivo experiments: CT26.WT (ATCC® CRL-2638™) .  
Melanoma in vivo experiments: B16 cells were obtained from the ATCC. |
| **Authentication** | In vitro Stat5 experiments: ATCC provided authentication for cell lines.  
Colorectal carcinoma in vivo experiments: no authentication was performed. |
Mycoplasma contamination

In vitro Stat5 experiments: All cells tested negative for mycoplasma contamination via PCR assay.
Colorectal carcinoma in vivo experiments: Cell line was tested negative for mycoplasma using the MycoAlert™ Mycoplasma Detection Kit from Lonza.
Melanoma in vivo experiments: testing for mycoplasma was done every 3-6 months.

Commonly misidentified lines

(See ICLAC register)

None.

Animals and other organisms

Policy information about studies involving animals. ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research

Laboratory animals

Ex vivo Stat5 experiments: Female wild-type C57BL/6J and CD25KO mice aged 6-8 weeks were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory and used in the experiments.

In vivo Airway inflammation experiments: Female wild-type C57BL/6J and CD25KO mice aged 6-8 weeks were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory and used in the experiments.

Colorectal carcinoma in vivo experiments: Mus musculus, BALB/c, female and male, age between 10-12 weeks of age.

Melanoma in vivo experiments: Female C57BL/6 mice between 6 and 8 weeks old were used.

Car-T cells in vivo experiments: NSG mice were obtained from the Jackson Laboratory

Wild animals

None

Field-collected samples

None

Flow Cytometry

Plots

Confirm that:

☑ The axis labels state the marker and fluorochrome used (e.g. CD4-FITC).
☑ The axis scales are clearly visible. Include numbers along axes only for bottom left plot of group (a ‘group’ is an analysis of identical markers).
☑ All plots are contour plots with outliers or pseudocolor plots.
☐ A numerical value for number of cells or percentage (with statistics) is provided.

Methodology

Sample preparation

Yeast display: Yeast were grown in C-Trp-Ura media prior to induction in SGCAA media as previously described. 12-18 hours after induction, cells were washed in chilled display buffer (50mM NaPO4 pH 8, 20mM NaCl, 0.5% BSA) and incubated with varying concentrations of biotinylated receptor (either human or murine IL-2Rα or IL-2Rβ, γc) while being agitated at 4°C. After approximately 30 minutes, cells were washed again in chilled buffer, and then incubated on ice for 5 minutes with FITC-conjugated anti-c-Myc antibody (1 uL per 3x10⁶ cells) and streptavidin-phycoerythrin (1 uL per 100 uL volume of yeast). Yeast were then washed and counted by flow cytometry (Accuri C6) or sorted by FACS (Sony SH800).

In-vitro Stat5 experiments: Cells were stimulated for 15 min at 37ºC and immediately fixed by addition of formaldehyde to 1.5% and 10 min incubation at room temperature. Permeabilization of cells was achieved by resuspension in ice-cold 100% methanol for 30 min at 4ºC. Fixed and permeabilized cells were washed twice with FACS buffer (phosphate-buffered saline [PBS] pH 7.2 containing 0.1% bovine serum albumin) and incubated with Alexa Fluor® 647-conjugated anti-STAT5 pY694 (BD Biosciences) diluted 1:50 in FACS buffer for 2 hr at room temperature. Cells were then washed twice in FACS buffer and MFI was determined on a CytoFLEX flow cytometer (Beckman-Coulter).

Ex vivo Stat5 experiments: Spleens and lymph nodes were harvested from wild-type C57BL/6J or B6;129S4-Il2ratm1Dw (CD25KO) mice purchased from The Jackson Laboratory and made into a single cell suspension in sort buffer (2% Fetal Calf Serum in pH 7.2 phosphate-buffered saline). CD4+ T cells were enriched through negative selection by staining the cell suspension with biotin-conjugated anti-B220, CD8, NK1.1, CD11b, CD11c, Ter119, and CD19 antibodies at 1:100 for 30 min on ice. Following a wash with sort buffer, anti-biotin MicroBeads (Miltenyi Biotec) were added to the cell suspension at 20 μL per 10⁷ total cells and incubated on ice for 20 minutes. Cells were washed, resuspended and negative selection was then performed using EasySep Magnets (STEMCELL Technologies). Approximately 1 x10⁵ enriched cells were added to each well of a 96-well plate in RPMI complete medium with 5% FCS with 10-fold serial dilutions of mIL-2, Super-2, or Neoleukin-T2/15. Cells were stimulated for 20 min at 37°C in 5% CO2, fixed with 4% PFA and incubated for 30 minutes at 4ºC. Following fixation, cells were harvested and washed twice with sort buffer and again fixed in 500 μL 90% ice-cold methanol in dH2O for 30 min on ice for permeabilization. Cells were washed twice with Perm/Wash Buffer (BD Biosciences) and stained with anti-CD4-PerCP (BD Biosciences), anti-CD25-PE-Cy7 (BD Biosciences), and anti-pSTAT5-PE pY694 for 45 min at room temperature in the dark. Cells were washed with Perm/Wash and re-suspended in sort buffer for analysis on a BD LSR II flow cytometer.
In vivo Airway inflammation experiments: Circulating T cells were intravascularly labeled and tetramer positive cells were enriched from lymph nodes and spleen or lung as previously described (Hondowicz et al., Immunity, 2016). Both the column flow-through and bound fractions were saved for flow cytometry analysis. Cells were surface stained and fixed/permeabilized (eBioscience) according to manufacturer’s directions prior to intracellular staining for Foxp3 or Ki67. Samples were then analyzed on a BD LSR II.

Colorectal carcinoma in vivo experiments: Mice tumours were minced, digested using a mix of collagenase I, collagenase IV and D.Nase I for 20 minutes at 37ºC. After digestion the samples were passed through a 100uM cell strainer, and resuspended in cold complete DMEM medium. The cell suspensions from the spleens and the inguinal lymph nodes were obtained through the smashing of the tissues against the filter of a 100uM cell strainer.

Melanoma in vivo experiments: Cell suspensions from spleens, tumors, and tumor-draining lymph nodes were washed once with PBS, and the cell pellet was resuspended in 2% inactivated fetal calf serum then stained.

**Instrument**

Yeast display: Sony SH800, Accuri C6

In-vitro Stat5 experiments: CytoFLEX, Accuri C6

Ex vivo Stat5 experiments: BD LSR II

In vivo Airway inflammation experiments: BD LSR II

Colorectal carcinoma in vivo experiments: BD LSRFortessa™

Melanoma in vivo experiments: special order BD Biosciences LSRFortessa.

**Software**

Yeast display: CytExpert Software for CytoFLEX and Flowjo

In-vitro Stat5 experiments: CytExpert Software for CytoFLEX and Flowjo

Ex vivo Stat5 experiments: BD FACSDiva was used for flow cytometry sample collection, and FlowJo 9.9.4 was used for analysis of the flow samples.

In vivo Airway inflammation experiments: BD FACSDiva was used for flow cytometry sample collection, and Flowjo 9.9.4 was used for analysis of the flow samples.

Colorectal carcinoma in vivo experiments: BD FACSDiva software™ for data collection, parameterization and compensation; FlowJo, LCC for data analysis

Melanoma in vivo experiments: analysis was performed on FlowJo 10.4.2.

**Cell population abundance**

Yeast display: No cell sorting was performed for binding screening assays.

In-vitro Stat5 experiments: No cell sorting was performed.

Ex vivo Stat5 experiments: No cell sorting was performed.

In vivo Airway inflammation experiments: No cell sorting was performed.

Colorectal carcinoma in vivo experiments: No cell sorting was performed.

Melanoma in vivo experiments: No cell sorting was performed.

**Gating strategy**

In-vitro Stat5 experiments: Cell populations were identified based on forward/side scatter profiles and singlets were discriminated using forward scatter area versus height plots. Gating examples are shown in the supplementary information figures.

Ex vivo Stat5 experiments: Gating strategies are shown in the supplementary information figures.

In vivo Airway inflammation experiments: Gating strategies are shown in the supplementary information figures.

Colorectal carcinoma in vivo experiments: FSC and SSC gating was performed in order to specifically select lymphocytes, according to what is defined as the gating strategy for lymphocytes in lymphoid organs (small size, low complexity). Boundaries between positive and negative were defined according to the unstained sample, single stainings and fluorescence minus one controls in order to prevent the selection of unspecific signal, autofluorescence or parasitic fluorescence from other fluorophores. Gating strategies are shown in the supplementary information figures.
Melanoma in vivo experiments: Gating strategies are shown in the supplementary information figures.

Tick this box to confirm that a figure exemplifying the gating strategy is provided in the Supplementary Information.