Redescription of *Schizodon dissimilis* and appraisal of the dark barred species of the genus (Characiformes: Anostomidae)

Júlio Cesar Garavello¹ and Heraldo Antonio Britski²

*Schizodon dissimilis* is redescribed on the basis of syntypes and non-type specimens from the Parnaiba, Jaguaribe and Mearim rivers, and lectotype and paralectotypes are designated. *Schizodon dissimilis* is distinct from the Amazonian and southeastern Brazil congeners by having four dark brown vertical bars without a midlateral dark brown stripe or a dark blotch on caudal peduncle. When compared with the northeastern Brazilian *Schizodon* and those from the São Francisco river, and with the remaining dark barred species *S. australis*, *S. borellii*, *S. corti*, *S. fasciatus* and *S. intermedius*, it is diagnosed by a combination of lateral line scale counts, color pattern and body proportions. The color pattern distinguishes *S. dissimilis* from *S. fasciatus* and the meristic and morphometric data are important in separating *S. dissimilis* from *S. intermedius* and *S. borellii*. *Schizodon dissimilis* and *S. fasciatus* have disjunct distributions, with the first occurring in northeastern basins, and the second widely distributed through the Amazon basin and rivers draining northward from the Guiana Shield. *Schizodon intermedius* and *S. borellii* are respectively native to the upper Paraná and Paraguay river basins while *S. australis* is known from the Paraná-Uruguay system and *S. corti* was described from Maracaibo, Venezuela.
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*Schizodon dissimilis* é redescrita com base em síntipos e outros espécimes coletados nos rios Parnaiba, Jaguaribe e Mearim, e um lectótipo e paralectótipos são designados. *Schizodon dissimilis* é distinta das espécies Amazônicas e de seus congêneres do sudeste do Brasil por possuir quatro barras transversais castanho-escaras sem uma lista longitudinal mediana ou mácula escura no pedúnculo caudal. Quando comparada com as espécies de *Schizodon* do nordeste brasileiro e do rio São Francisco, assim como com as demais espécies com barras transversais escaras *S. australis*, *S. borellii*, *S. corti*, *S. fasciatus* e *S. intermedius*, se distingue pela combinação da contagem de escamas da linha lateral com padrão de colorido e proporções corporais. O padrão de colorido separa definitivamente *S. dissimilis* de *S. fasciatus*, enquanto os dados merísticos e morfométricos são importantes para distinguir *S. dissimilis* de *S. intermedius* e *S. borellii*. *Schizodon dissimilis* e *S. fasciatus* têm distribuição disjunta, a primeira nativa dos rios da região nordeste e a segunda amplamente distribuída pela Bacia Amazônica e Guiana. *Schizodon intermedius* e *S. borellii* são nativas das bacias do alto rio Paraná e do rio Paraguai respectivamente, enquanto e *S. australis* é conhecida da bacia Paraná-Uruguai e *S. corti* foi descrita do Lago Maracaibo na Venezuela.

**Palavras-chave:** Anostomidae, Brasil, Espécies, Rio Parnaiba, Taxonomia.

**Introduction**

The anostomid genus *Schizodon* was created by Agassiz for the species *S. fasciatus* described by Spix, Agassiz (1829) from Brazilian rivers. In his revision of anostomid fishes, Myers (1950) recognized *Schizodon* as a genus with eight serrate teeth on each jaw. That dental character remained the diagnostic feature for *Schizodon* until recently, when Sidlauskas, Vari (2008) added that the second and third teeth of premaxilla have four cusps, and the distal margin of the main lobe of the symphyseal dentary tooth has three distinct cusps. Those authors also proposed two osteological synapomorphies for *Schizodon*, the wide ascending process of the anguloarticular and a torsion in the medial flange of the mesocoracoid. Although the genus is broadly accepted and relatively well defined, its species-level taxonomy and relationships still need further study.

*Schizodon* currently comprises fifteen species: *S. fasciatus* Spix, Agassiz, 1829, *S. vittatus* (Valenciennes, 1850), *S. isognathus* Kner, 1858, *S. nasutus* Kner, 1858, *S. knerii* (Steindachner, 1875), *S. dissimilis* (Garman, 1890), *S. platae* (Garman, 1890), *S. borellii* (Boulenger, 1900), *S. rostratus* (Borodin, 1931), *S. corti* Schultz, 1944,
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*S. jacuiensis* Bergmann, 1988, *S. altoparanae* Garavello, Britski, 1990, *S. intermedia* Garavello, Britski, 1990, *S. australis* Garavello, 1994, and *S. scotorhabdotus* Sidlauskas, Garavello, Jellen, 2007. Previously, species groups of *Schizodon* were identified on the basis of color pattern by Géry (1977), Garavello, Britski (1990), Garavello (1994) and Sidlauskas et al. (2007). Vertical dark brown bars on the flanks characterize the *S. fasciatus* group, which also includes *S. australis*, *S. borellii*, *S. corti*, *S. dissimilis* and *S. intermedius*.

Garman (1890) described *Schizodon dissimilis* from material collected by the Thayer Expedition in the rio Puti [Poti] in northeastern Brazil. Subsequent authors such as Fowler (1941) and Roberts (1973) created some confusion by identifying *Schizodon* from northeastern Brazil as *S. fasciatus*. Furthermore, Géry et al. (1987) identified specimens from the Parana-Paraguay river basin as *Schizodon aff. dissimile* even though Boulenger (1900) had previously described *S. borellii* from that basin. The original description of *S. dissimilis* is brief and does not compare that species with *S. fasciatus*. In the years that followed, these dark-barred species of the genus have never been redescribed, with the lack of clear diagnoses resulting in several misidentifications.

A redescription of *Schizodon dissimilis* (Garman, 1890) is needed, and indeed overdue. In this study, we evaluate the members of *Schizodon fasciatus* species group and redescribe *Schizodon dissimilis* (Garman, 1890) based on examination of the syntypes of this species from the rio Puti and additional specimens from the rivers Parnaiba, Poti, Jaguaribe and Mearim.

**Material and Methods**

**Taxonomic analysis:** Institutional abbreviations include: Museum of Comparative Zoology (MCZ); National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution (USNM); Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de São Paulo (MZUSP); Museu Nacional Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (MNRJ); The Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia (ANSN); Field Museum of Natural History (FMNH); Museu de Ciências e Tecnologia, Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul (MCP); Laboratório de Ichtiologia Sistemática do Departamento de Ecologia e Biologia Evolutiva da Universidade Federal de São Carlos (LISDEBE); Coleção de Peixes do Departamento de Oceanografia e Limnologia da Universidade Federal do Maranhão (CPDOL), and Departamento de Sistemática e Ecologia da Universidade Federal da Paraíba (UFPB).

Meristic and morphometry were taken on four commonly misidentified species in the *Schizodon fasciatus* group (*S. dissimilis*, *S. fasciatus*, *S. borellii* and *S. intermedius*) following Garavello (1994). Meristics included counts of premaxillary and dentary teeth, and counts of scales in the lateral line, predorsal series, transverse series, preanal series and circumpeduncular series. Measurements taken point-to-point with the help of digital calipers included: standard length, head length, trunk length, body depth, predorsal distance, snout length, interorbital distance, orbital diameter, caudal peduncle depth, first anal-fin ray length, ninth anal-fin ray length, interopercular distance, head depth, premaxillary length and mandibular width. Head length was taken from the tip of the snout to the posterior bony margin of the opercle. Meristic and morphometric characters were obtained for a total of 107 specimens of *S. dissimilis* (n=26 specimens) *S. fasciatus* (n=28); *S. borellii* (n=27) and *S. intermedius* (n=26).

**Multivariate morphometric analysis:** Principal component analysis (PCA) was used to explore morphometric differences between *S. borellii*, *S. dissimilis*, *S. fasciatus* and *S. intermedius*. In this analysis fifteen measurements were taken on 81 specimens of *S. dissimilis* (n=13), *S. fasciatus* (15), *S. borellii* (n=27) and *S. intermedius* (n=26). PCA was performed on the natural logarithms of the measurements using the covariance matrix in accordance with Bookstein et al. (1985) and sheared thereafter in accordance with Macleod (1990). This sheared principal component analysis (PCA) removes the influence of a general size factor on the second principal component axis (PC2) and subsequent axes (Humphries et al., 1981). The eigenvectors resulting from the shearing procedure were analyzed to determine whether the species considered in the analysis differ in shape. Results of the sheared PCA on component axes II and III are represented by a scatterplot graph, while meristic and morphometric data on *S. dissimilis* and *S. fasciatus* are presented in a separate table.

**Results**

In the unsheared principal component analysis, PC1 explained 93.9% of the total variance. PC2 and PC3 explained 1.7 and 1.5% of the total variance, respectively (Tab. 1). After shearing, PC2 alone failed to discriminate between the four species analyzed (*S. borellii*, *S. dissimilis*, *S. fasciatus*, *S. intermedius*); however, a scatterplot of the sheared PC2 and sheared PC3 (Fig. 1) separated *S. intermedius* from the other three species, and separated *S. dissimilis* from *S. intermedius* and *S. borellii*. Measurements loading most heavily on the sheared PC2 were: ninth anal-fin ray length (-0.66) and pre-maxillary length (0.40), and in the PC3 were: ninth anal-fin ray length (0.52), body depth (-0.47), head depth (-0.37), orbital diameter (0.33), first anal-fin ray length (-0.28), caudal peduncle depth (-0.24), and head length (0.23) (Tab. 2). Although there are no conspicuous morphometric differences between *S. dissimilis* and *S. fasciatus*, the latter is readily distinguished by the presence of a dark brown blotch on the base of caudal peduncle that is absent in the other three species.
Tab. 1. Eigenvalues (prior to shearing) obtained from the PCA analysis on specimens of *Schizodon fasciatus*, *S. borellii*, *S. dissimilis* and *S. intermedius*.

| PC  | Eigenvalues | Total Variance (%) | Total Variance (cumulative %) |
|-----|-------------|---------------------|------------------------------|
| 1   | 0.188       | 93.869              | 93.869                       |
| 2   | 0.003       | 1.741               | 95.610                       |
| 3   | 0.003       | 1.536               | 97.146                       |
| 4   | 0.001       | 0.650               | 97.796                       |
| 5   | 0.001       | 0.541               | 98.337                       |
| 6   | 0.001       | 0.367               | 98.704                       |
| 7   | 0.001       | 0.295               | 98.999                       |
| 8   | 0.001       | 0.288               | 99.287                       |
| 9   | 0.000       | 0.199               | 99.485                       |
| 10  | 0.000       | 0.178               | 99.663                       |
| 11  | 0.000       | 0.109               | 99.772                       |
| 12  | 0.000       | 0.096               | 99.868                       |
| 13  | 0.000       | 0.074               | 99.942                       |
| 14  | 0.000       | 0.056               | 99.998                       |
| 15  | 0.000       | 0.002               | 100.000                      |

Fig. 1. Scatterplot of the individual scores of combined samples of *Schizodon fasciatus* (X), *S. borellii* (white circles); *S. dissimilis* (diamonds), and *S. intermedius* (black squares) on the second and third axis of the sheared principal component analysis (PCA).

Tab. 2. Sheared Principal Component loadings of the morphometric measurements used in the Principal Component Analysis. Higher absolute values are bolded.

|                      | PC1         | Sheared PC2 | Sheared PC3 |
|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Standard Length      | 0.283544    | -0.087064   | 0.074955    |
| Head Length          | 0.218899    | 0.265713    | 0.225134    |
| Trunk Length         | 0.302780    | -0.179809   | 0.030140    |
| Body Depth           | 0.293461    | -0.277325   | -0.476219   |
| Predorsal Distance   | 0.265318    | -0.064187   | 0.031645    |
| Snout Length         | 0.237604    | 0.268034    | 0.140213    |
| Interorbital Width   | 0.254211    | 0.233138    | 0.108246    |
| Orbital Diameter     | 0.143506    | 0.148294    | 0.329148    |
| Caudal Peduncle Depth| 0.287480    | -0.091232   | -0.236125   |
| First Anal-fin Ray Length | 0.245027 | 0.176532    | -0.283448   |
| Ninth Anal-fin Ray Length | 0.266110 | -0.660060   | 0.520601    |
| Interopercle Width   | 0.259344    | 0.043355    | 0.018184    |
| Head Depth           | 0.275712    | -0.037075   | -0.373728   |
| Premaxillary Length  | 0.249265    | -0.408119   | 0.167753    |
| Mandibular Width     | 0.250833    | 0.123647    | -0.004244   |

Schizodon dissimilis (Garman, 1890)

(Figs. 2, 3)

*Anostomus dissimilis* Garman, 1890: 22 [original description; type-locality: rio Puty (=Poti), Brazil]. —Borodin, 1931: 44 [syntypes examined, rio Poti]. —Vari, Howe 1991: 4 [literature reference]. —Gómez, Chebez, 1996: 53 [literature reference].

*Schizodon dissimilis*. —Eigenmann, 1910: 425 [rio Poti, Piauí, Brazil]. —Fowler, 1954: 211 [catalogue]. —Garavello, Britski in Reis et al., 2003: 80 [catalogue]. — Britski, Garavello in Buckup et al., 2007: 27 [catalogue]. —Abreu et al., 2009: 8 [rio Poti, Teresina, Piauí]. —Ramos et al., 2014: 3 [rio Parnaíba].

*Schizodon fasciatus*. —Fowler, 1941: 175 [rio Jaguaribe, Russas, Ceará, Brazil]. —Roberts, 1973: 226-227 [rio Parnaíba, Barra do Longá, near Buriti dos Lopes, Piauí, Brazil]. —Sales, Nogueira, Lopes, 1984: 57-62 [ açude Joaquim Távora, Jaguaribe, Ceará].

*Schizodon dissimile*. —Géry, 1987: 154 (literature reference).

Fig. 2. *Schizodon dissimilis*, MCZ 19383, Lectotype 264.6 mm SL, rio Poti, Piaui state.
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Fig. 3. *Schizodon* species. (a) *Schizodon dissimilis*, MZUSP 55143, 220.0 mm SL, rio Jaguaribe, Lima Campos, Ceará state; (b) *Schizodon fasciatus*, LISDEBE 6981, 275.0 mm SL, rio Solimões, lake Janauacá, Amazonas state; (c) *Schizodon borellii*, LISDEBE 6977, 245.0 mm SL, Ilha Taimã, rio Paraguay, Mato Grosso state; (d) *Schizodon intermedius*, LISDEBE 6984, 233.0 mm SL, rio Jacaré Guaçu, Tietê river basin, São Paulo state.
Diagnosis. *Schizodon dissimilis* is a member of the *Schizodon fasciatus* species group distinguished by having four conspicuous dark brown bars along the trunk and lacking any sort of dark stripe or elongate blotch along the lateral-line scale row vs. having a dark midlateral stripe running from the opercle to the caudal peduncle (some specimens of *S. knerii*, *S. isognathus*, *S. scotorhabdotus* and *S. nasutus*) or from the vertical through the dorsal-fin origin to the caudal peduncle (*S. vittatus*, and some specimens of *S. knerii, S. altoparanae* and *S. jacuensis*); or a dark elongate blotch on caudal peduncle (*S. altoparanae, S. nasutus, S. plateae, S. rostratus* and some specimens of *S. knerii*). *Schizodon dissimilis* is distinguished by other members of the *S. fasciatus* species group by having 42-43 lateral-line scales vs. 40-42 in *S. borellii* and *S. intermedius*, 44-45 in *S. fasciatus* and *S. corti*, 44-46 in *S. australis*. It is further distinguished from *S. australis* by possessing 4 transverse scale rows above and 4-4.5 below the lateral line (vs. 5.5/5.5 in *S. australis*). *Schizodon dissimilis* is further distinguished from *S. australis, S. corti* and *S. fasciatus* by the lack of a dark blotch on the base of the caudal peduncle (vs. the presence of such a blotch in *S. australis, S. corti* and *S. fasciatus*). *Schizodon dissimilis* is distinguished by *S. intermedius* in relative values of body depth in SL (3.9 to 4.9, mean 4.0 in *S. dissimilis* vs. 2.9 to 3.9, mean 3.5 in *S. intermedius*). The color pattern of *S. dissimilis* is most similar to that of *S. intermedius* and *S. borellii*, and the meristic characters of *S. dissimilis* and *S. borellii* overlap partially. Despite the morphological similarity between these species, both are considered distinct herein based on their morphometric separation in the sheared PCA and their allopatric distribution. Molecular data are also informative on the distinction among *S. dissimilis, S. fasciatus, S. borellii* and *S. intermedius* (see discussion section below).

Description. Morphometric and meristic data of *S. dissimilis* are presented in Tab. 3. Body fusiform; greatest body depth at or just anterior to dorsal-fin origin. Dorsal profile of head gently curved from snout tip to vertical through anterior margin of eye, rising nearly straight to vertical through posterior margin of opercle, then leveling off to dorsal-fin origin; predorsal region from vertical through posterior margin of opercle to dorsal-fin base slightly convex, forming gentle hump; dorsal-fin base straight, slightly descending; profile from dorsal-fin to adipose fin straight, descending more gradually than dorsal-fin base; caudal peduncle slightly concave. Ventral profile from lower jaw to anal-fin insertion slightly convex with point of maximum inflection at pelvic-fin insertion; base of anal-fin straight, ascending; caudal peduncle slightly concave.

Mouth small, upper and lower jaws horizontally aligned with median region of orbit when the mouth is closed. Upper and lower lips smooth. Maxillary slightly curved along ventral margin and widening posteriorly into a flat rhomboidal plate. Each premaxillary and dentary with four serrate teeth (n=26 including lectotype), forming serrated cutting edge. Teeth slightly graded in size; symphysal teeth largest on each jaw; most distant tooth from symphysis much smaller. Anterior and posterior nostrils horizontally aligned with upper lip and upper quarter of orbit. Infraorbital large; supraorbital positioned at anteriodorsal margin of orbit. Branchiostegal rays and opercular membrane connected at isthmus.

**Tab. 3.** Morphometrics of *Schizodon dissimilis* and *S. fasciatus* expressed as percentages of SL and HL. Meristic data with numbers of specimens with each count in parenthesis. All lectotype data in millimeters.

|                     | *Schizodon dissimilis* (n=26) | *Schizodon fasciatus* (n=28) |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| **Lectotype**       | 264.6                         | 281.6                         |
| **Range**           | 141.0 – 292.0                 | 275.0 – 305.0                 |
| **Mean**            | 205.6                         | 276.0                         |
| **SD**              | 41.477                        | 56.879                        |
| **Per cents of SL** |                               |                               |
| Standard length     |                               |                               |
| Head length         | 62.1                          | 73.9                          |
| Trunk length        | 202.5                         | 39.94                         |
| Body depth          | 62.7                          | 19.88                         |
| Predorsal length    | 112.4                         | 13.50                         |
| Caudal peduncle depth | 27.4                          | 13.72                         |
| **Per cents of HL** |                               |                               |
| Snout length        | 25.1                          | 34.2                          |
| Interorbital width  | 31.1                          | 44.28                         |
| Orbital diameter    | 13.3                          | 19.45                         |
| **Meristics**       |                               |                               |
| Lateral-line scales | 43                            | 44                            |
| Transverse scales   | 4/4                           | 4/4                           |
| Predorsal scales    | 11                            | 11                            |
| Pecanal scales      | 35                            | 33                            |
| Circumpeduncular scales | 16                           | 16                            |
| Teeth counts (pmx/dentar) | 4/4                          | 4/4                           |
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All specimens of *S. dissimilis* (n=26 including lectotype) with one extremely small anterior unbranched ray in dorsal fin followed by two major unbranched rays and seven branched rays with the first one longest. Anal fin with two unbranched rays, the first shorter than the second, followed by eight branched rays; first branched anal-fin ray slightly longer than unbranched rays; posterior margin of anal-fin slightly convex. Fleshy cover at base of anterior dorsal and anal fin rays extended. Pectoral fin with two unbranched and 15 branched rays; shape of extended pectoral fin almost triangular. Pelvic fin with one unbranched and eight branched rays; origin at vertical through base of first or second branched dorsal-fin ray. Adipose fin elongate, length approximating three dorsal scales; origin at vertical through base of first or second branched anal-fin ray. Caudal fin with 10 principal rays; rays heavily ramified in adults; lobes blunt with rounded margins.

Lateral line scales: 42-43 (43 in lectotype). All lateral line scales pored, including those over caudal-fin rays; sixteen regular scale series around caudal peduncle just posterior to adipose-fin insertion in all specimens including lectotype. Four major scale rows dorsal to lateral line and 4 to 4.5 below lateral line at dorsal fin origin (lectotype 4/1/4); incomplete series of scales on base of dorsal and anal fins; predorsal scales 11-12 (11 in lectotype); scales in preanal series 33-35 (35 in lectotype); circumpeduncular scales series 16 (including the lectotype).

**Color in alcohol.** Overall ground color brown dorsal to lateral line between opercle and caudal peduncle, light yellowish beginning one or two scale series ventral to lateral line, abdomen and lower caudal peduncle especially pale. Dorsal surface of head brown; ventral surface of head, gular region and branchiostegal membrane yellowish. Sides with four distinct inclined dark brown vertical bars; intensity of dark brown coloration varies among specimens, but number of bars always four. First bar about five scales anterior to dorsal-fin insertion; second bar ventral to dorsal-fin insertion; third situated between end of dorsal-fin base and adipose-fin origin; fourth ventral to adipose fin insertion. First and fourth bars three or four scales wide, second bar two scales wide, third bar two or three scales wide. Opercle brown with hyaline fleshy flap. Unbranched rays of anal, pectoral and pelvic fins occasionally with dark melanophores; fins otherwise hyaline, including adipose fin. Caudal fin with superior lobe slightly brown and inferior lobe conspicuously brown.

**Geographic distribution.** *Schizodon dissimilis* is known from Parnaíba, Poti, Meanim and Jaguariibe rivers in Ceará, Piauí and Maranhão states of northeastern Brazil (Fig. 4).

**Designation of lectotype.** The Thayer Expedition (1865–66) collected 12 specimens from the rio Puty (= Poti), Parnaíba basin, Piauí state, Brazil that were described by Garman (1890) as *Anostomus dissimilis* without indicating a holotype. One of the largest and better preserved of the syntypes, MCZ 19383, 264.6 mm SL, is herein designated the lectotype. The remaining specimens are consequently paralectotypes: MCZ 19381, 5 specimens (3 measured, 152.0, 225.0 and 260.0 mm SL); MCZ 19382, 2 specimens (1 measured 252.5 mm SL); MCZ 19384, 1 specimen (not measured) and the USNM 120238, 3 specimens (166.0, 172.0 and 211.0 mm SL).

**Non-type material: Brazil: Ceará:** ANSP 69491, 3, 40.0–80.0 mm SL, Russias, rio Jaguariibe, R. von Ihering, 1936; ANSP 69494, 1, (c&s), Russias, rio Jaguariibe, R. von Ihering, 1936; MZUSP 55143, 4, 196.0–220.0 mm SL, rio Jaguariibe, 8 Dec 1998, F. A. Bockmann & P. M. Araujo; MZUSP 55144, 1, 155.0 mm SL, Lima Campos, rio Jaguariibe, creek São João, downstream of Lima Campos dam, 7 Dec 1998, F. A. Bockmann, P. M. Araujo; MZUSP 35763, 4, 195.0–292.0 mm SL, Ceará State, Jul 1983, Departamento Nacional de Obras Contra as Secas (DNOCs). **Maranhão:** MZUSP 21386, 4, 141.0–249.0 mm SL, Pedreiras, 5 Jan 1955, P. E. Vanzolini; MZUSP 5074, 1, 272.0 mm SL, Barra do Corda, rio Corda, 16 Jun 1966, Expedição Departamento de Zoologia; MZUSP 3558, 1, 185.0 mm SL, dam at riacho Sangue, Solonópolis, 22 Oct 1944, R. S. Menezes; MNRJ 51527, 1, 181.0 mm SL, rio Meiram, no date, DNOCs (n/c 15); CPDOL 98287, 10, 155.0–187.0 mm SL, Ilha das Pedrinhas, Lago de Viana, rio Pindaré-Mearim system, 8–14 Aug 1998, N. Piorski & L. Pereira; MNRJ 51528, 1, 201.0 mm SL, municipality of Coroatá, rio Ihepecuru, 1976, A. Barros (n/c 24); MNRJ 51529, 1, 274.0 mm SL, rio Meiram, no date, DNOCs (n/c102); MNRJ 51530, 1, 215.0 mm SL, rio Meiram, no date, DNOCs (n/c116). **Piauí:** LISDEBE 6976, 2, 221.0–229.0 mm SL, municipality of Aroazes, rio Tábuas,
On the color patterns of Schizodon species. Garavello (1994) discussed the color pattern of dark bars in Schizodon australis, S. borellii, S. corti, S. dissimilis, S. fasciatus, and S. intermedius. The six species together comprise the Schizodon fasciatus group defined by having dark brown bars throughout ontogeny, although the bars may vary in intensity. Schizodon fasciatus, S. australis and S. corti, exhibit four dark brown bars and an additional dark brown blotch on the caudal peduncle throughout their ontogeny. On the other hand, S. dissimilis, S. borellii, and S. intermedius never possess this dark brown caudal peduncle blotch at any stage of their development.

Sidlauskas et al. (2007) considered the horizontally striped color pattern of S. scotorhabdatus to be stable throughout its development but variable in intensity. That may well be true for the remaining species of Schizodon. Adults of other species, such as S. rostratus, S. nasutus, and S. altoparanae exhibit a dark brown horizontally elongated blotch on the base of caudal peduncle, differentiating them from S. jacuensis, S. isognathous, and some specimens of S. knerii, which normally have an elongate mid-lateral dark stripe aligned with a shortened dark brown blotch at the lateral-line terminus. Schizodon knerii varies in color pattern, with some specimens having only three large inconspicuous brown bars on trunk, not combined with a horizontally elongate blotch on the caudal peduncle.

The similar color pattern of the transversally brown barred Schizodon resulted in misidentifications of species from distinct river basins. Besides Fowler (1941) and Roberts (1973), Sales et al. (1984) applied the name S. fasciatus to specimens from northeastern Brazil, which we consider to be S. dissimilis. The reference of Sarmiento et al. (2014) to S. dissimilis for the Bolivian Amazon drainages may be considered a misidentification. In addition, the barred Schizodon species from the Parana-Paraguay system was misidentified as S. fasciatus fasciatus by Ringelet et al. (1967), using specimens from the Paraná River in Argentina, and also misidentified as Schizodon aff. dissimile by Géry et al. (1987) using specimens from the Paraguay river. This kind of error suggests that those authors did not recognize Schizodon borellii (Boulenger, 1900).

Schizodon dissimilis, S. borellii, and S. intermedius share the same color pattern, but recent molecular studies on Schizodon barred species (Santos, 2018 and Ramirez et al., in preparation) show separation among these three species. Evidence from the COI gene suggests a close relationship between S. borellii and S. intermedius, while S. dissimilis is genetically distant from these two species. These molecular analyses also suggest that the taxonomy of the barred Schizodon species-level still needs further study to elucidate the group’s true diversity.

On the misidentifications of Schizodon barred species in Parana-Paraguay and northeastern basins. Géry (1987: 378) cited Schizodon aff. dissimile from the Paraguay River, perhaps misidentifying specimens of Schizodon borellii (Boulenger, 1900). The problem stems from Boulenger’s (1895: 2, 3) description of the genus Nanognathus and species Nanognathus borelli based on a single specimen from “S. Pablo, Argentina”. Later, Nanognathus was considered a synonym of Characidium (Crenuchidae: see Buckup in Reis et al., 2003: 88), and Characidium borelli is currently a valid species. A few years later, Boulenger (1900: 2) described Anostomus borelli based on a single specimen from Carandasinho (=Carandazinho), MT, Brazil, and this species is currently allocated to Schizodon (Anostomidae). Boulenger named both species after Alfredo Borelli, the collector of the type specimens in the Paraguay River system. The use of the same specific name for both species from the same river basin led to complex misconceptions concerning the barred species of Schizodon, as discussed below.

Eigenmann, Kennedy (1903: 512) identified specimens from the Paraguay River at Asuncion and Estancia La Armonía as Anostomus fasciatus (= Schizodon fasciatus) but Eigenmann, Ogle (1907: 7) later identified specimens from Paraguay as Anostomus borelli (= Schizodon borelli). Thus, Eigenmann apparently recognized that he had incorrectly identified the specimens in the first article co-authored with Kennedy.

Working with other collections from Paraguay, Eigenmann, McAtee, Ward (1907: 124) listed to “Nanognathus borelli” (=Characidium borelli) with reference to pl. 39, fig. 2. However, the figured specimen is a Schizodon, not a Characidium, and is correctly identified as “Schizodon borelli” in the legend (Eigenmann et al., 1907: pl 39, fig. 2). The authors knew how to identify Characidium, because Characidium fasciatus (Crenuchidae) is cited in the same work on the following page. Furthermore, Eigenmann et al. (1907: 124) listed Anostomus fasciatus Eigenmann, Kennedy (1903) in the synonymy of “Nanognathus borelli”. Their comments on “Nanognathus borelli” mention another species of Schizodon, S. dissimilis, and not Characidium.

Myers (1950:196) wrote: “The fish which Eigenmann, McAtee, Ward call [Nanognathus] borelli has nothing to do with Boulenger’s fish. I have examined Paraguayan specimens and the fish is close or identical with one of the Amazonian forms generally referred to Schizodon fasciatus. These comments are correct; the “Nanognathus borelli” of Eigenmann, McAtee, Ward is a species of Schizodon”. Myers apparently was unaware that Boulenger (1900) had also described another species with the same trivial epithet, Anostomus (=Schizodon) borelli. As a result, Myers (1950) erroneously placed Nanognathus as synonym for Schizodon and overlooked the true concept of S. borellii established by Eigenmann, Ogle (1907: 7).
reconsidered by Fowler (1950: 208) recognized Boulenger’s species *Anostomus borellii* but reassigned it to the anostomid genus *Laemolyta*. Confusion persisted, however, as Fowler (1950: 254–255) correctly assigned Boulenger’s *Nannognathus borelli* to *Characidium*, but accompanied it with an illustration based on the photo of *Schizodon borelli* in Eigenmann, McAtee, Ward (1907: pl. 39, fig. 2).

Géry (1977: 155) commented on *Nannognathus borelli* and *Schizodon borelli*, but apparently did not realize that the two names were applicable to separate species in the rio Paraguay basin. Later, Géry et al. (1987: 377) commented: «On notera qu’une erreur de Eigenmann et al. (1907: 124 et pl. 39) a causé une certaine confusion quant au statut de l’espèce de Characididae *Nannostomus borelli* Boulenger. Ces auteurs l’on en effet confondue avec *S. fasciatus* (cf. *dissimilis*), au point de les mettre en synonymie (l’espèce la plus récent étant ici prioritaire!) et en figurant, sous le nom de *Schizodon borelli* Boulenger, un spécimen de *S. dissimilis* (pl. 39, fig. 2)». Essentially, Géry et al. (1987) considered the specimen figured as *Schizodon borelli* by Eigenmann et al. (1907) to be *Schizodon dissimilis*. Consequently, Géry et al. (1987: 377) identified their material from Paraguay as “*Schizodon aff. dissimilis*”. Their material is more likely to be *Schizodon borelli*, although specimens from tributaries of the Paraná might represent the species *S. intermedius*, which was subsequently described by Garavello, Britski (1990).

In conclusion, as herein understand, *Nannognathus borelli* (non Boulenger) Eigenmann, McAtee, Ward (1907) must be referred to *Schizodon borelli* (Boulenger, 1900). Furthermore, Eigenmann, Ogle (1907: 7) correctly considered *Anostomus fasciatus* (non Agassiz) Eigenmann, Kennedy, 1903 as *Anostomus borellii* Boulenger, 1900, a species subsequently transferred to *Schizodon* by Garavello, Britski (1990: 161).

Finally, we examined the specimens from Russas, Ceará, Brazil assigned to *S. fasciatus* by Fowler (1941: 175). Those specimens, ANSP 69491 (3) and ANSP 69494 (1), collected by Ihering in 1936 and sent to Fowler, are herein identified as *S. dissimilis*. Also, the specimen MCZ 46796 (1, cleared and stained), cited by Roberts (1973) as *S. fasciatus* is more likely *S. dissimilis*. Robert’s specimen is from Paraná River in northeastern Brazil whereas *S. fasciatus* is native to the Amazon and north-flowing drainages of the Guyana Shield.

**On the geographic distribution of the *Schizodon transversal brown barred species***. Garavello (1994: 187) discussed the disjoint distributions of the six species with dark bars: *S. australis*, *S. borellii*, *S. corti*, *S. dissimilis*, *S. fasciatus*, and *S. intermedius*. *Schizodon dissimilis* is restricted to the isolated northeastern rivers Itapecuru, Paraíba, Mearim, Poti, and Jaguaribe, while *S. corti* is known only from the type locality in the Maracaibo drainage of Venezuela. *Schizodon fasciatus* occurs in the Guianas and throughout the upper and central Amazon in Peru, Colombia and Brazil. *Schizodon borelli* and *S. intermedius* are restricted to the Paraguay and upper Paraná basins, respectively, while *S. australis* is common in the Uruguay River.

**Schizodon dissimilis, S. rostratus**, and *S. knerii* occur in the north and northeastern rivers of Brazil and have distributions similar to the northeastern species of Curimatidae studied by Vari (1988). In that study, Vari (1988) revealed disjunct distributions for some curimatid genera that suggest two regions of endemism. Vari’s northeastern area of endemism is marked by the endemic species *Curimata macrops* Eigenmann, Eigenmann, 1889 from the Parnaíba River and *Psectrogaster saguiru* (Fowler, 1941) from rivers of Ceará state. In addition, Fowler (1941: 175) reported *Caenotropus labyrinthicus* (Kner, 1858) from Parnaíba River, a species that Vari et al. (1995) also recognized from the Poti River. Vari’s second area of endemism for Curimatidae extends from São Francisco to the coastal rivers of Rio de Janeiro.

The distribution of *Schizodon dissimilis* coincides with the northeastern endemic area proposed by Vari (1988) for curimatids. Furthermore, brown barred *Schizodon* species do not occur in the neighboring São Francisco River or in the isolated coastal basins from Bahia to Rio de Janeiro. However, other species of Anostomidae occur in both northeastern Brazil and in the São Francisco basin, such as *Leporinus piuia* Fowler, 1941 and *Leporinus taeniatus* Lutken, 1875 (Birindelli et al., 2013), blurring the distinctiveness of those endemic areas. This is the expected pattern since, as showed by Dagosta, de Pinna (2017), all regions and South American basins are historically (and compositionally) hybrid. Their ichthyofaunal composition are mosaics of divergent elements of different origins, mostly from neighboring regions/basins.

**Comparative material examined. Schizodon altoparanae: Brazil**. Mato Grosso do Sul: MZUSP 41102, holotype, rio Paraná in front of Jupiá, 15–23 Nov 1962; MZUSP 41103 to 41120, paratypes, 28, same locality as holotype; LISDEBE 5830, 1, 230 mm SL, rio Baía, tributary of rio Paraná at Porto Primavera, Bataporã, 25 Jan 1993. São Paulo: MZUSP 41122, 3, rio Tieté, Barra Bonita, no date; MZUSP 4018, 1, 66 mm SL, rio Paraná, in front of Jupiá (ensecadeira number 1), Jupiá, 4 Dec 1960 [lat.: -21.12 long.: -51.77 WGS84]; LISDEBE 6983, 2, Ilha Solteira, rio Paraná, Ilha Solteira dam, Jul 1980. **Paraguai**: LISDEBE 6988, 4, 128.0–225.0 mm SL, Salto de Guairá, San Ramón, Limoy, Itaipu hydroelectric dam, no date. **Schizodon australis: Brazil**. Rio Grande do Sul: MCP 12931, holotype, São Nicolau, rio Uruguai, Santo Izidro, 3 Jan 1989. Paratypes: MCP, 1, 12793, São Nicolau, rio Uruguai, Santo Izidro, 4 Sep 1988; MCP 12936, 3, São Nicolau, rio Uruguai, Santo Izidro, 11 Mar 1989; MCP 12929, 2, Roque Gonzales, mouth of rio Ijuí, tributary of rio Uruguai, 3 Jan 1989; MCP 13279, 1, São Nicolau, rio Uruguai, Santo Izidro, 21 May 1989; MZUSP 47445, 1, São Nicolau, rio Uruguai, Santo Izidro, 3 Jan 1989; MZUSP 47446, 1, São Nicolau, rio Uruguai, Santo Izidro, 4 Nov 1988. **Schizodon borelli: Brazil**. Mato Grosso: MZUSP 2908, 1, Coxim, rio Piqueri, Oct 1930; MZUSP 62715, 1, Cuiabá, mouth of Varadouro, near 25 km from Barão de Melgaço, 3 May 1977; MZUSP 41146, 2, 290.0–298.0 mm SL, Cuiabá, rio Coxipó da Ponte, São Gonçalo, 16–22 Apr 1981; MZUSP 41147, 2, Cuiabá, rio Cuiabá, Sangradouro Grande, Barão de Melgaço, 15 Mar 1977; MZUSP 41148, 9, Miranda, marginal ponds of Transpantaneira road, 8–12 Nov 1981; MZUSP
62439, 1, rio Cuiabá, Sangradouro Grande, Barão de Melgaço, 14–16 Mar 1977; MZUSP 62714, 2, rio Cuiabá, in front of Barão de Melgaço, 14 Feb 1978; MZUSP 41151, 1, Cuiabá, mouth of Croará, rio Cuiabá, 18–22 Apr 1981; LISDEBE 6982, 15, Poconé, marginal ponds of Transpantaneira, Nov 1978, LISDEBE 6977, 13, Ilha Taimã, rio Paraguay, 1–7 Dec 1980. *Paraguay*: MZUSP 41444, 4, Pararão, Porto Verde and creek Miguaçu, creek Itacoti and rio Monday, Jun/Jul 1977. *Schizodon corti: Venezuela*: USNM 121300, 1, 257.0 mm SL (Holotype of S. fasciatus corti), rio Palmar, near Totuma, about 100 km SW of Maracaju, Maracaju basin. *Schizodon fasciatus: Peru*: Pucallpa, MZUSP 21464, 1, 191.0 mm SL, rio Ucayali, 2 Oct 1971. *Brazil*: Amazonas: MCZ 19365, 3, 172.0–224.0 mm SL, rio Içá; MCZ 19336, 3, 194.0–262.0 mm SL; MZUSP 20972, 15, 170.0–295.0 mm SL, Fonte Boa, Paraná of Iupiá, NW from Fonte Boa, 8–9 Oct 1968; MZUSP 7518, 5, 110.0–130.0 mm SL, Paraná of Urucurá, Urucurá municipality, 9 Dec 1967; MZUSP 62720, 5, 115.0–240.0 mm SL, Lake Castro, Mouth of rio Purus, 7–8 Nov 1967; MZUSP 62722, 3, 240.0–275.0 mm SL, Lake Janauacá, right bank of rio Solmões, 7–25 Jan 1977; LISDEBE 6981 19, 94.4–316.0 mm SL, rio Jacaré Guaçu, near the bridge on road Gavião Peixoto to Boa Vista, at rio Bicudo, tributary of rio das Velhas, Corinto, 19 Apr 2005 [18°07′22″S 44°31′59″W]. *Schizodon nasutus: Brazil*: São Paulo: MZUSP 2023, 5, 87.0–100.8 mm SL, rio Piracicaiba, Piracicaiba, Nov 1966; MZUSP 42844, 17, 96.0–206.0 mm SL, rio Paraná, Ilha Solteira, right bank of the river (ensecadeira) Ilha Solteira, 25–28 May 1972; Minas Gerais: MZUSP 51457, 13, 98.0–115.0 mm SL, Itutinga dam, rio Grande, 23 Oct 1975; LISDEBE 6979, 3, 184.0–216.0 mm SL, Jaguara, rio Grande, downriver from Jaguara hydroelectric dam, 8–15 Jul 1989. *Schizodon platae: Argentina*: MCZ 833, holotype, Rosário, La Plata; MZUSP 47691, 1, 102.0 mm SL, rio de La Plata (OSN Buenos Aires) Buenos Aires, 14 Oct 1965 [lat: -30.95 long: -55.70 WGS84]. *Brazil*: Rio Grande do Sul: MCP 12676, 1, Pirapó, mouth of rio Júil-Mirim, tributary of rio Ijuí, Uruguaí river basin, 2 Nov 1988; MCP 12081, 1, São Borja, rio Uruguai at Garruchos, 9 Apr 1988; Paraná: MZUSP 21103, 1, rio Paraná, downriver from Sete Quedas, no date. *Schizodon rostratus: Brazil*: Piajuí: MZUSP 19380, Holotype, rio Poti, tributary of rio Parnaíba, Terezina (Rio Puty, Terezina), 1865; MZUSP 69542, 8, rio Poti, tributary of rio Parnaíba at Terezina (Rio Puty, Terezina), 1865. *Schizodon scotorhabdotus: Venezuela*: MZUSP 27969, paratypes, 3, 83.0–148.0 mm SL, caño W of road from Calabozo to San Fernando, about 35 km to S of Masaquara farm (Canô Falcon), 20 Jan 1983. *Schizodon vittatus: Brazil*: Pará: MZUSP 21334, 3, 173.0–192.0 mm SL, Tucurui, Lake near rio Tocantins, 29 Sep 1970; MZUSP 36835, 3, 149.0–252.0 mm SL, rio Xingú, Espelho falls, 23–26 Oct 1986; MZUSP 21263, 3, 128.0–159.0 mm SL, rio Tocantins, lake near Baião, between Baião and Tucurui, 11 Sep 1970; Goiás: MZUSP 26528, 1, 135.0 mm SL, rio Resende, tributary of rio Vermelho and Arauguaia, near 10 km from Buenolândia, 7–13 Dec 1981; LISDEBE 6985, 2, 240.0–255.0 mm SL, rio Vermelho, tributary of Arauguaia downriver from municipality of Goiás; Tocantins: LISDEBE 6986, 1, 275.0 mm SL, rio Tocantins between the rio Lageado mouth and Tocantinópolis, 14–18 Jun 1988; LISDEBE 6987, 5, 240–295 mm SL, rio Tocantins, between the rio Lageado mouth and Itaguatinis, 7 Jun 1988. Acknowledgments The late Haroldo P. Travassos (in memoriam) loaned a large collection of MNRI from northeastern river basins including specimens of *Schizodon dissimilis*; Osvaldo T. Oyakawa (MZUSP), Mary Anne Rogers and K. Swagel (FMNH), J. Lundberg and M. Sabaj (ANSP) also loaned specimens. Our late colleague Richard P. Vari (USNM) graciously provided access to the syntypes of *S. dissimilis* under his care; K. Hartel loaned and waited for the completion of our study on the MCZ syntypes of *S. dissimilis* for which we are deeply grateful. Andrew D. Williston (MCZ) provided the lectotype (MCZ19383) photograph. Special thanks to Oscar A. Shibatta (MZUEL) who helped with the shared Principal Component Analysis. Mark H. Sabaj (ANSP), Jose L. Birindelli (MZUEL), Alexandre K. de Oliveira (UFSCar) and the anonymous referees read the manuscript and gave useful suggestions for which we are very grateful. Our gratitude also to Rodrigo T. Cardoso and Camila F. Perez for the preparation of the fish photos and map. The Biota-FAPESP Program (processes 2011/50213-5 and 2018/04388-7) supported this research.
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