Significant Improvement in Shoulder Function and Pain in Patients Following Biologic Augmentation of Revision Arthroscopic Rotator Cuff Repair Using an Autologous Fibrin Scaffold and Bone Marrow Aspirate Derived From the Proximal Humerus
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Purpose: To clinically evaluate patients who underwent a biologic augmentation technique in revision arthroscopic rotator cuff repair using an autologous fibrin scaffold and concentrated bone marrow aspirate (BMA) obtained from the proximal humerus. Methods: This is a retrospective review of prospectively collected data from patients who underwent biologic augmentation of revision arthroscopic rotator cuff repair using an autologous fibrin scaffold and BMA obtained from the proximal humerus between 2014 and 2015. Minimum follow-up was 12 months. Outcome measures were collected preoperatively and postoperatively including range of motion as well as American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons Shoulder Form, Simple Shoulder Test, single assessment numeric evaluation, and visual analog score. In addition, BMA samples of each patient were assessed for the number of nucleated cells and colony-forming units. Regression analysis was performed to investigate whether the number of nucleated cells and colony-forming units had an influence on outcome and failure. Results: Ten patients who underwent biologic augmentation of revision arthroscopic rotator cuff repair using an autologous fibrin scaffold and concentrated BMA obtained from the proximal humerus between 2014 and 2015 were included. The mean follow-up time was 30.7 (range: 12-49) months. Four patients were revised at final follow-up. Postoperative clinical scores improved significantly: American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons (28.1 ± 5.4 to 60.9 ± 9.0; P < .01), single assessment numeric evaluation (6.6 ± 2.3 to 65.1 ± 10.9; P < .01), visual analog scale (7.2 ± 0.9 to 3.1 ± 0.9; P < .01), and Simple Shoulder Test (1.6 ± 0.5 to 10.3 ± 5.7; P < .01). Postoperative range of motion increased significantly with regard to flexion (97.0 ± 13.6 to 151.0 ± 12.2; P < .01) and abduction (88.0 ± 14.0 to 134.0 ± 15.1; P = .038) but not with external rotation (38.0 ± 5.7 to 50.5 ± 6.5; P = .16). Less pain was correlated to an increased number of nucleated cells (P = .026); however, there was no correlation between failure rate and number of nucleated cells (P = .430). Conclusions: Patients who underwent biologic augmentation of revision arthroscopic rotator cuff repair using an autologous fibrin scaffold and concentrated BMA demonstrated a significant improvement in shoulder function along with reduction of pain. However, the overall revision rate for this procedure was 40%. Level of Evidence: Level IV, therapeutic case series.
When approaching arthroscopic rotator cuff (RC) surgery, high failure rates, varying between 15% and 80% of cases, still raise concerns among shoulder surgeons. Of interest, almost 25% of retears are observed within the first 2 years after surgery; however, 50% of these patients are still expected to have satisfactory outcomes. Even though the exact etiology of biologic failure leading to retears after RC repair is not yet fully understood, the formation of tendinous tissue has been shown to be a relevant problem.

As the continuous evolution of arthroscopic techniques has led to excellent biomechanical properties with regard to tendon-to-bone fixation, recent research has focused on improving RC healing with biological augmentation. Various ideas have been proposed to aid and potentially improve the limited endogenous healing potential of the RC tissue including the use of concentrated stem cells isolated from bone marrow aspirate (cBMA). As such, various techniques, and harvest sites, including the proximal humerus, have been demonstrated to be a reliable source of cBMAs for biologic augmentation during arthroscopic surgery. Recently, Hernigou et al. showed a significant improvement in healing in primary RC repair by using bone marrow concentrated mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs) obtained from the iliac crest. In addition, when compared with RC repair alone, a reduced failure rate after 10 years was demonstrated (13% vs 56%).

However, when compared with the proximal humerus, harvesting cBMA from the iliac crest may be limited to possible donor-site morbidity, as the harvest location for bone marrow aspiration from the humerus is the one used for anchor placement. Interestingly, a recent investigation from Otto et al. showed that samples of BMA harvested from the proximal humerus yielded a significantly greater amount of colony-forming units (CFUs) derived from BMA when compared with samples of BMA obtained from the ilium. In addition, when it comes to biologic augmentation in RC repair, delivery of biologic adjuvants can be challenging. Various techniques have been described; however, scaffolds often are noted to be expensive, tend to loosen, or may float away. Finally, when using biologic augmentation of arthroscopic RC repair, the current literature is focused on primary repair, as the high-failure rate in revision surgery may put this technique beyond the confidence of a shoulder surgeon.

The purpose of this study was to clinically evaluate patients who underwent a biologic augmentation technique in revision arthroscopic RC repair using an autologous fibrin scaffold and cBMA harvested from the proximal humerus. The authors hypothesized patients who underwent biologic augmentation of revision arthroscopic RC repair using an autologous fibrin scaffold and cBMA would have a significant improvement in shoulder function at a minimum 1-year follow-up.

Methods

A retrospective review of prospectively collected data from an institutional shoulder registry was performed. All patients included were older than 18 years of age and undergoing revision arthroscopic RC repair augmented using an autologous fibrin scaffold and cBMA harvested from the proximal humerus. Surgeries were performed by a single, shoulder fellowship-trained surgeon (A.D.M.), from January 2014 to March 2015. Institutional review board approval was obtained before initiation of the study by the University of Connecticut (institutional review board #06-577-2). Patients were included regardless of socioeconomic factors or comorbidities. Patients with less than 1 year of follow-up, RC tear arthropathy (Hamada grade >3), irreparable massive tears, previous RC surgery requiring tendon transfers, nerve injuries, preoperative pseudoparalysis, or fatty infiltration greater than Goutallier grade III on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) were excluded from the study. All alternative treatment options were discussed with the patient, including continued conservative treatment. Basic demographic information (age, sex, and body mass index) as well as a thorough medical and surgical history were obtained for each patient.

Clinical and Functional Outcomes

Objective and subjective outcome measures including the American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons (ASES) Score, Constant-Murley score, single assessment numeric evaluation score, Simple Shoulder Test, the visual analog scale for pain, and range of motion in terms of active flexion, abduction, and external rotation were collected preoperatively and at terminal follow-up with a minimum follow-up of 1 year (A.D.M.) Pain scores were obtained from the ASES survey. Previous studies have confirmed these scores in terms of reliability, validity, and responsiveness. In addition, the BMA of each patient was assessed for the number of nucleated cells and CFUs. Failure was defined if patients presented with continuous shoulder weakness and pain at minimum follow-up.

Surgical Technique: Autologous Fibrin Scaffold

Before surgery, all patients received detailed information about the operative technique. All surgeries were performed with the patient in the beach-chair position by a single, shoulder fellowship-trained surgeon (A.D.M.). Following an interscalene block and successful induction of general anesthesia, diagnostic arthroscopy was performed to confirm the presence of
the RC retear and assess mobility for repair. Loose suture material and/or anchors from previous repairs were removed and the torn RC tendons were mobilized. The surgical technique using an autologous fibrin scaffold for biological augmentation has been described recently. BMA was obtained from the proximal humerus during arthroscopic RC surgery. For this study, a nonfenestrated trocar was used as it showed a greater capability to extract progenitor cells from the proximal humerus. The BMA was then transferred to an automated light-absorption system (Angel System; Arthrex, Naples, FL) for cell concentration. For reimplantation of the BMA, the biologic scaffold consisted of platelet-poor plasma (source of fibrinogen), platelet-rich plasma (PRP; source for growth factors), and an external source of bovine thrombin used to activate the clotting cascade. The PRP and platelet-poor plasma preparation was performed as previously described. 

While the fibrin clot was prepared on the back table by the technical nurse, the surgeon mobilized the RC tendon, placed the medial row suture anchors, and shuttled the medial row sutures through the lateral portal. A modified cannula (Arthrex) was used to shuttle down the fibrin scaffolds onto the footprint, followed by passing the sutures through the tendon followed by placement of the lateral row. This sequence of steps is important to ensure that the scaffold is properly positioned between the RC tendon and the footprint. Finally, an arthroscopic glenohumeral confirmation of the tendon repair was performed to check for scaffold loosening. Tendon repair was performed using a double-row suture bridge (Arthrex). Biceps tenotomy/tenodesis was not required, as all 10 patients had previous RC repair with biceps tenodesis or tenotomy. Subscapularis repair was not needed.

Rehabilitation

Patients were placed in 30° abduction sling for a minimum of 6 to 8 weeks with continuous passive and active assisted range of motion. Unrestricted active assisted external rotation and forward elevation was allowed on week 12, and RC muscle strengthening was initiated. Isometric exercises and advance to resisted isotonic exercises were started and continued until postoperative week 18. Focus was set on restoration of scapular stability and strength during the rehabilitation period.

Cell Analysis

One milliliter from each bone marrow aspiration was removed to assess nucleated cell count and the number of CFUs. The cBMA was plated in 100 mm² Primaria culture dishes (Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) and cultured according to a standard protocol. Cells were placed an incubator at 37°C with 5% CO₂. Media was changed after 24 hours and plates were checked every day for the appearance of colonies and contamination. CFUs were counted after 7 to 10 days, where a CFU was defined as a cluster of cells containing ≥8 cells. Cell analysis was performed by N.B. and supervised by M.M.

Statistical Analysis

To analyze differences in the expected values between the 2 points in time (time of treatment and time of follow-up), regression analysis was conducted which regresses the variable of interest on a time dummy which is equal to zero at the initial point in time and equal to one at the point of second measurement. The corresponding slope of the regression line represents the difference in the mean between the 2 time points. An estimate that is significantly different from zero speaks for a significant effect of the treatment. The alpha level was 0.05 for all statistical tests, and the analysis was conducted using Stata (StataCorp 2017. Stata Statistical Software: Release 15; StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX).

Results

In a single surgeon’s practice, 10 patients underwent revision RC repair between January 2014 to March 2015 using the autologous fibrin scaffold augmentation and had a minimum 1-year follow-up. The mean age of patients included in this study was 53.6 ± 9.0 years (range: 35-64 years) with 3 female and 7 male patients. The mean follow-up time was 30.7 ± 14.3 months (range: 12-49 months). Five patients had 1, 3 had 2, and 2 had 3 previous cuff surgeries.

Clinical Outcomes

Overall, postoperative range of motion increased significantly with flexion (P < .01) and abduction (P = .04) but not with external rotation (P = .16) (Table 1, Fig 1). Postoperative clinical scores increased significantly (Table 1, Fig 2). In addition, sex and body mass index had no correlation with clinical outcomes (ASES, single assessment numeric evaluation, Simple Shoulder Test, visual analog scale, flexion, abduction and external rotation; all P > .05).

Biologic Findings and Correlation to Clinical Outcomes

Data analysis regarding the nucleated cells and number of CFUs only revealed a significant correlation for less pain and increased nucleated cells (P = .03), but no correlation could be found between failure rate and the number of cells (P = .43) (Table 2).

Complications and Revisions

None of the 10 patients who underwent revision arthroscopic RC repair augmented using the Fibrin-clot technique had intra- or postoperative complications.
However, 4 patients (40%) showed clinical failure and failure on postoperative MRI with weakness and pain.

**Discussion**

The most important finding of this study was that 60% of the patients undergoing revision arthroscopic RC repair augmented using the Fibrin clot technique achieved significant improvement in functional and clinical outcomes at a minimum 1-year follow-up. Although 40% of the patients showed clinical failure and failure on postoperative MRI with continuous weakness and pain.

Over the past decade, the incidence of arthroscopic RC repairs has increased by almost 600% with high satisfactory rates being reported. However, retears, which are noted to occur in between 13% and 80% of the cases, remain highly challenging for orthopaedic surgeons. To this, almost 25% of those retears are observed within the first 2 years after surgery, and are depending on the initial tendon and muscle quality and tear size.

Also, of clinical importance, up to 50% of these patients are presenting with good-to-satisfactory outcomes.

The current literature is focused on novel augmentation techniques using biological adjuvants to support the healing potential of torn tendons, as the endogenous healing potential of torn tendons appears to be limited. These augmentation techniques include the application of growth factors, PRP, or MSCs. As such, bone marrow remains one of the most commonly used sources of MSCs for biological augmentation. Clinically, its application in patients with RC tears results in promising outcomes including decreasing retear rates and improved healing outcomes.

However, Muschler et al. recently demonstrated that progenitor cells only averaged about 1 per 30,000 nucleated cells in BMA obtained from the iliac crest. Interestingly, endogenous application of PRP was recently demonstrated to prompt the differentiation of tendon stem cells into active tenocytes, exhibiting high proliferation rates and collagen production capability.

When processing bone marrow from the iliac crest, complications such as hematoma and nerve palsy have been reported. As such, novel, more safer techniques to obtain bone marrow emerged in recent years. Although aspiration of bone marrow from the iliac crest is still considered the “gold standard,” Mazzocca et al. first described the proximal humerus to be a more desirable source of MSCs for RC repair due to its ease of attainment. The authors stated that the ability to obtain the sample under direct visualization during RC reconstruction makes the proximal humerus an ideal location, even though the proximity of the axillary nerve and artery make the proximal humerus amenable to similar risks. More importantly, BMA concentrate has been shown to contain more growth factors with anti-inflammatory and anabolic potential as well as up to 3 times more nucleated cells when compared with PRP.

Moreover, harvesting and processing BMA concentrate from either the ilium or the humerus is noted to be an expensive, time-consuming procedure with highly debatable cost-effectiveness. When scanning current literature, only a limited amount of studies with mostly small case series have investigated the effectiveness of biological augmentation using BMA. As such, most of the studies investigated BMA for augmenting single-row RC repairs. To this, these studies only reported on bone marrow stimulation techniques, rather than direct application of BMA. Subsequently, it is still not well understood how these techniques are helping in inducing endogenous RC healing. Also, definite conclusions regarding the clinical efficacy of BMA applications have not been drawn to date. As per Carr and Rodeo, this is mainly due to inconsistent relationships between successful RC healing and clinical outcomes scores as well as disparities in underlying pathologies, repair techniques, lack of control groups, and patient demographics.

In addition, the current literature focuses on patients requiring primary RC repair, as revision RC still remains highly challenging. However, the data from this study show that the fibrin clot technique with cBMA obtained from the proximal humerus may be clinically practicable procedure with no intraoperaitve complications recorded. However, the high failure rate of 40% still arises the question, if future invasive procedures such as superior capsular reconstruction or reverse shoulder arthroplasty may be still avoided in this young patient cohort.

**Limitations**

There were several limitations to the study. Although the data were collected prospectively, the chart review...
was performed retrospectively and may create a selection bias. Also, as this study only reported on outcomes of a single surgeon’s practice, external validity may be limited in terms of both patient population and surgical technique. Moreover, the sample size was small; however, this reflects daily clinical practice, as the number of patients undergoing revision RC surgery remains limited. In addition, the large patient-individual variability in harvested biologic adjuvants, including PRP, and cBMA, also may have influenced the results. In addition, this study only reports on preliminary outcomes after a minimum 1-year follow-up; thus, it remains to be seen if patients will maintain significant improvement in shoulder function over a longer-term. Lastly, MRIs or ultrasound scans were not available to evaluate for the status of the repaired tendon and comorbidities were not taken into account when performing the statistical analysis.

Fig 1. Pre- and postoperative range of motion and pain level at final follow-up. VAS, visual analog scale.

Fig 2. Pre- and postoperative scores at final follow-up. ASES, American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons; SANE, single assessment numeric evaluation.
Conclusions

Patients who underwent biologic augmentation of revision arthroscopic RC repair using an autologous fibrin scaffold and concentrated BMA demonstrated a significant improvement in shoulder function along with reduction of pain. However, the overall revision rate for this procedure was 40%.
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