Evaluation of the Performance of PCR and Hybrid Capture 2 in the Detection of Human Papillomavirus Associated with Cervical Cancer in Algeria

Melhag Mohamed¹, Kerroucha Rabah¹, *, Melouli Hamid², Midoun Nori³, Zemour Lakhdar³, Ayyach Ghassan⁴, Asselah Fatima⁵, Bendissari Kheira⁶, EL Kebir Fatima Zohra¹

¹Biology Department, Faculty of Science of Nature and Life, University of Oran 1 Ahmed Ben Bella, Oran, Algeria
²Institut Pasteur of Algeria, Algiers, Algeria
³Department of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine, EHU of Oran, Oran, Algeria
⁴Gynecology-Obstetrics Department. EPH of Zeralda, Algiers Algeria
⁵Pathology Department, Mustapha Pasha University Hospital, Algiers, Algeria
⁶Pathology Department, Beni Messous University Hospital, Algiers, Algeria

Email address: 
¹mehagmed@yahoo.fr (M. Mohamed), rkerroucha@yahoo.fr (K. Rabah), hmelouli@yahoo.fr (M. Hamid),
²anepehuo@gmail.com (M. Nori), lakhdarzemour@gmail.com (Z. Lakhdar), ghasan8845@gmail.com (A. Ghasan),
³asselahf@hotmail.fr (A. Fatima), kheiraouzidii45@gmail.com (B. Kheira), Ezelkebir@yahoo.fr (E. K. Zohra)

*Corresponding author

To cite this article:
Melhag Mohamed, Kerroucha Rabah, Melouli Hamid, Midoun Nori, Zemour Lakhdar, Ayyach Ghassan, Asselah Fatima, Bendissari Kheira, EL Kebir Fatima Zohra. Evaluation of the Performance of PCR and Hybrid Capture 2 in the Detection of Human Papillomavirus Associated with Cervical Cancer in Algeria. Cancer Research Journal. Vol. 6, No. 3, 2018, pp. 84-91. doi: 10.11648/j.crj.20180603.13

Received: May 28, 2018; Accepted: July 2, 2018; Published: July 27, 2018

Abstract: To evaluate and compare the performance of PCR (polymerase chain reaction) and second-generation capture hybridization (HC2) in the detection of high-risk Human Papillomavirus (HPV-HR) and to estimate their prevalence among normal cervical, dysplastic and cervical cancer in the Algerian population. 264 women were subjected to cyto-histological and colposcopic diagnostics and to the HPV test by PCR and Hybrid capture 2. The search for HPV DNA by conventional PCR was carried out with MY09 / 11 consensus primers and the typing of HPV with the hybridization technique in solution, Digene Sharp Signal for PCR. Hybrid Capture® 2 was performed with the digene HPV HC2 DNA Test kit. The PCR and HC2 performance tests included sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values, Youden’s index, and Yule’s Q coefficient. HPV-HR research has shown that these viruses are associated with 100% high-grade lesions, 88.2% by PCR and 83.3% by HC2 (p = 0.8) with invasive cancer. The PCR and HC2 performance tests were in favor of HCV HPV testing which was more sensitive, more specific, more predictive and more effective. The results on the prevalence of HPV-HR associated with cervical lesions are comparable to those in the literature. In comparison with PCR, the capture hybridization technique 2 is recommended for HPV diagnosis because of its better performance and simplicity.
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1. Introduction

With an estimated global prevalence of 2.3 million women, an estimated annual global incidence of 528 000 new cases and an estimated 266 000 deaths each year, cervical cancer is the world's second largest cancers affecting women [1]. It is preceded for many years by dysplasias that can be detected. Cervical cancer follows the persistence of a virus: the human papillomavirus or HPV that is transmitted through sexual contact [2, 3]. In Algeria, cervical cancer is the second most common cancer in women after breast cancer. 4 women die each day from this cancer and 1600 cases are recorded annually, 80 to 90% of whom have reached advanced stage of the disease [4]. Cervical cancer is the only cancer that can
benefit from true prevention. This prevention is carried out by screening for its precursors thanks to the smear test performed on women aged 25 to 65 years old. This screening is carried out today and for more than 40 years and has led to a reduction in the incidence of cervical cancer and its mortality in most rich countries. While smear is now the most common means of detecting pre-cancerous or cancerous lesions, it is limited by limited sensitivity. In developed countries, nearly 30% of cervical cancers have not been identified.

New screening techniques are emerging that are more sensitive and can further reduce the incidence of cervical cancer. These tests, using molecular biology techniques, propose not to look for the precursors of cervical cancer but directly the presence (or not) of their causative agent: HPV. These tests are beginning to be adopted by some European countries in addition to the smear [5, 6]. They make it possible to identify the presence of the virus, even before the appearance of the lesions. If the test is negative, the risk of developing cervical cancer within 5 years is practically nil, if, on the other hand, the HPV test is positive, it is therefore necessary to look for the possible lesion by the smear which can then lead to colposcopy.

There are two main types of techniques that can be used on cervical uterine specimens: liquid phase hybridization techniques and PCR (Polymerase Chain Reaction) techniques. Both of these techniques are intended to detect high-risk papillomavirus (HPV-HR), agents necessary for the development of the cervical tumor. The sensitivity of these tests to detect high-grade lesions likely to progress to invasive cancer would be greater than 95% for the majority of specialists. This study consists of evaluating and comparing the performance of PCR and second generation capture hybridization (HC2) in the detection of HPV-HR and estimate their prevalence among normal, dysplastic and invasive tumors within a population in the perspective of introducing the HPV molecular test in the diagnosis of cervical cancer, which is based on conventional cytopathology and colposcopy techniques. To do this, it’s proceeded by the comparison of the diagnosis of cervix by cyto-histology and colposcopy and the comparison of HPV typing results obtained by PCR and HC2.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Biological Material

264 women from the Algiers region were the subject of this study during the period 2010-2013. These women were subjected to cyto-histology and colposcopy examinations. The samples taken from these women were also the subject of HPV research and typing using two molecular biology techniques: Capture Hybridization 2 (HC2) and PCR. The samples were transported in the transport medium (STM Digene medium, Qiagen). Nucleic acids are extracted by the phenol-chloroform method, in the same way as for biopsies.

2.2. Methods

2.2.1. Capture Hybridization: Hybrid Capture® 2 (Digene HPV HC2 DNA Test) (QIAGEN)

Hybrid Capture® 2 (HC2) allows the detection of at least one of the 13 types of HPV-HR (16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 56, 58, 59 and 68) and / or at least one of the 5 types of HPV-BR (6, 11, 42, 43, 44). It can detect about 1 µg of HPV genome per ml, or about 5000 copies / ml. The technique has been applied as previously described [8]. HPV DNA is denatured and then hybridized with HPV-BR or HPV-HR specific RNA probes. The DNA-RNA hybrid thus formed is captured by an antihybrid antibody attached to the bottom of a well of a microplate. The presence of the hybrid is revealed by a secondary anti-hybrid antibody coupled to an alkaline phosphatase molecule. In the presence of HPV DNA, the substrate of the cleaved alkaline phosphatase gives a chemiluminescent molecule which can then be detected and quantified in relative light units (RLU). Positive and negative controls are incorporated into each series.

2.2.2. The Chain Amplification Reaction (PCR)

(i) Extraction and Purification of DNA

The biopsy fractions dedicated to the study of the DNA are cut with sterile slides in lysis buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 50 mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Sarkosyl) and treated with RNase A (10 mg / ml) for 2 hours at 37 °C. After digestion with proteinase K, the DNA is extracted by the phenol-chloroform method, this DNA is then precipitated with ethanol, and then solubilized in 20 µl of TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0; mM EDTA).

Scraping is performed by the cytobrush (Cervical Brush, Digene), and then discharged from the cells into the transport medium (STM Digene medium, Qiagen). Nucleic acids are extracted by the phenol chloroform method, in the same way as for biopsies.

(ii) PCR [9]

It is carried out in a HYBEDTM thermal cycler. For this purpose, biotinylated MY09 / 11 consensus primers which make it possible to amplify the HPV L1 gene have used. The sequences of these primers are as follows:

(1) MY11: 5'-GCMCAGGGWCATAAYATGGG-3'.

(2) MY09: 5'-CGTCCMARRGGAWACTGATC-3'.

The reaction is carried out in a total volume of 25 µl containing 0.1 to 1.0 pg of DNA of the sample, 0.4 µM of
each primer, 1.5 mM of MgCl$_2$, 200 µM of each dNTP, 1X, and 0.5 U PCR buffer from AmpliTaq Gold (Applied Biosystems, Roche). Initial denaturation was carried out at 94°C for 10 min, followed by 35 cycles each at 94°C for 30 sec, 64°C for 30 sec, 72°C for 1 min. A final extension is carried out at 72°C for 7 min. This reaction with such primers generates a 450 bp amplicon. High-risk, low-risk HPV was determined by the Digene Sharp Signal TM system for digene hybridization (Digene Diagnostics).

(iii) Agarose Gel Electrophoresis

A volume of 5 µl of each PCR product is mixed with a loading buffer and then subjected to Agarose gel electrophoresis. The concentration of the gel varies, generally from 0.8 to 1.2% depending on the size of the DNA produced. Ethidium bromide (Sigma) is used to reveal the spots. The migration buffer consists of TAE 1X, prepared from a 50 X concentrated solution (242 g Tris, 57.2 ml acetic acid and 100 ml 0.5M EDTA for a final volume of 1 liter). Electrophoresis is carried out in a horizontal tank (Biorad). The DNA samples are deposited in the wells using a 1X loading solution obtained from the 6X solution (50% glycerol, 0.25% bromophenol blue, 0.25% xylene cyanol). The migration takes place at 100 volts and the revelation of the DNA is done by autoradiography.

2.2.3. Statistical Method

For statistical calculations, the Epistema Analysis software V2.2.3.187 has been used. These statistical calculations concerned the following parameters: sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive values (VPP) and negative values (VPN), Youden’s index, Yule's Q coefficient and p value. Values of p≤0.05 are considered statistically significant.

Youden's index measures the diagnostic orientation efficiency of a test. Its diagnostic value is maximum when the index is close to 1. The Q coefficient of Yule measures the intensity of the link between the two variables (disease / sign). It varies from 0 to 1. The intensity of the connection is very strong for Q between 0.7 and 1.

3. Results

3.1. Distribution of Cases Studied by Age Group

For the 264 cases studied, 50% of women are between the ages of 30 and 40, which is the peak age. The lowest percentage (10.2%) is in the over 50 age group. (Table 1, Figure 1).

| Age group     | < 30 years | 30-40 years | 41-50 years | > 50 years | Total |
|---------------|------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------|
| Number        | 36         | 132         | 69          | 27         | 264   |
| Percentage    | 13.6%      | 50%         | 26.1%       | 10.2%      | 100%  |

3.2. Distribution of the Cases Studied According to the Method of Sampling

3.2.1. Distribution of Cases Studied According to Colposcopy

Of the 264 cases studied, colposcopy showed that about half of the cases (43.2%) had a normal cervix and 35.6% an atypical stage 1 (TA1) transformation. Rates of condyloma and stage 2 atypical transformation (TA2) were relatively low (approximately 5.7% and 2.6%, respectively). Finally, 12.9% of our population had invasive squamous cell carcinoma (Table 2, Figure 2).

| Clinical stage                     | Diagnosis                           | Cyto-histology n % | Colposcopy n % | p    |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|------|
| Normal cervix                      | Normal cervix                       | 100                | 37.9           | 114  | 43.2 | NS*  |
| Minor lesion                       | Inflammatory cervix                 | 23                 | 8.7            | /    | /    |     |
| Condyloma                          | Condyoma                            | 13                 | 4.9            | 15   | 5.7  | NS   |
| low grade dysplasia, CIS*          | CIN1/TA1*                           | 80                 | 30.3           | 94   | 35.6 | NS   |
| High grade dysplasia, CIS*         | (CIN2/CIN3)/TA2*                     | 14                 | 5.3            | 07   | 2.6  | NS   |
| invasive cancer                    | invasive squamous cell cancer        | 34                 | 12.9           | 34   | 12.9 | NS   |
| Total                              |                                     | 264                | 100            | 264  | 100  |      |

*TA1, TA2: stage 1, stage 2, atypical transformation. * CIN2 / CIN3: stage 2 / stage 3 cervical intraepithelial neoplasia. CIN1 / TA1 *: CIN1 in cyto-histology corresponds to TA1 in colposcopy. (CIN2 / CIN3) / TA2 *: CIN2 / CIN3 in cyto-histology correspond to TA2 in colposcopy. CIS: carcinoma in situ. NS: not significant (chi-square test).
3.2.2. Cytohistologic Case Distribution

Among the 264 cases studied, 37.9% had a normal cervix, 30.3% had a low-grade cervical lesion or CIN I (stage I cervical intraepithelial neoplasia), and only 5.3% had a high-grade CIN2 / CIN3 cervical lesion (stage 2 and 3 cervical intraepithelial neoplasia). Inflammatory lesions and condylomas were 8.7% and 4.9% respectively. Again, cytology revealed 12.9% invasive squamous cell carcinoma (Table 2, Figure 3).

3.2.3. Comparison of the Results of Colposcopy and Cyto-histology

Of the 264 women studied, 37.9% and 43.2% of women had a normal cervix, according to the results of cyto-histology and colposcopy, respectively. For low grade lesions, 30.3% of women had CIN1 lesions versus 35.6% TA1. As for the high-grade lesions, 5.3% of CIN2 / CIN3 by cyto-histology versus 2.6% of TA2 by colposcopy were obtained. 12.9% of invasive squamous cell carcinomas were diagnosed by both cyto-histology and colposcopy. However, there were no significant differences between cyto-histological diagnosis and colposcopic diagnosis regardless of stage of cervical injury (Table 2).

3.3. Highlighting and Prevalence of HPV by Capture Hybridization 2 and PCR

3.3.1. HPV Detection by Hybridization Capture 2 (Digene HPV Hybrid Capture 2) (HC2)

(i) According to the Cyto-histological Diagnosis

The study involved 236 cervical specimens (scraping or biopsies). The detection of HPV is presented according to the grade of the lesion of the cervix as determined by cyto-histology. Table 1 shows the results obtained by HC2 on all the samples that have been subjected to cyto-histological examination. HPV-BR (low risk virus) was poorly found in minor and low-grade lesions. Their prevalence decreased as
the lesion worsened. The opposite is observed for oncogenic viruses (HPV-HR). High-grade lesions were home to more HPV-HR (including 16 and 18). Indeed, the prevalence of HPV-HR (high risk virus) reached 100% in high-grade lesions and was 83.3% in invasive squamous cell cancers. It should be noted that HPV-HR was found in 15.4% of low-grade lesions and 34.6% of minor lesions, respectively. Normal cervixes had 19.3% HPV-HR. Finally, about 26% of apparently healthy women (normal cervix) had high or low risk viruses (Table 3).

### Table 3. Detection of HPV by Hybrid Capture 2 in the cases studied.

| Number | HPV (HC2) | Cyto-histological test | Normal cervix | Minor lesions | CIN1* | CIN2/CIN3* | Invasive squamous cell cancer |
|--------|-----------|------------------------|---------------|---------------|-------|------------|-----------------------------|
| 236    |           |                        | n=88          | n=26          | n=78  | n=14       | n=30                        |
|        | Negative (%) |                        | 65 (73.9)     | 11 (42.3)     | 60 (76.9) | 00 (0) | 03 (10.0) |
|        | HPV-HR (%)   |                        | 17 (19.3)     | 09 (34.6)     | 12 (15.4) | 14 (100) | 25 (83.3) |

### 3.3.2. Detection of HPV by Digene Sharp System-PCR

(i) According to the Cyto-histological Diagnosis

Compared to Table 3 making use of the capture hybridization technique 2, the results of Table 4 obtained by PCR show that the HPV-HR levels detected are higher in each lesion of the cervix including in normal cervix compared to those detected with the capture hybridization technique 2.

### Table 4. Detection of HPV by PCR in the cases studied.

| Number | HPV (PCR) | Cyto-histological test | Normal cervix | Minor lesions | CIN1* | CIN2/CIN3* | Invasive squamous cell cancer |
|--------|-----------|------------------------|---------------|---------------|-------|------------|-----------------------------|
| 2 60   |           |                        | n=98          | n=36          | n=78  | n=14       | n=34                        |
|        | Negative (%) |                        | 42 (42.8)     | 06 (16.7)     | 30 (38.5) | 00 (0) | 00 (0) |
|        | HPV-BR (%)  |                        | 00 (0)        | 06 (16.7)     | 10 (12.8) | 00 (0) | 4 (11.8) |
|        | HPV-HR (%)  |                        | 56 (57.1)     | 24 (66.7)     | 38 (48.7) | 14 (100) | 30 (88.2) |

The search for HPV-HR by PCR and HC2 found that these viruses were associated with high-grade lesions (CIN2 / CIN3) at 100% but at 88.2% by PCR and 83.3% by HC2 (p = 0.83, not significant difference) to invasive squamous cell cancer. For normal, minor, and low-grade lesions, prevalence rates of HPV-HR infection were significantly higher (p <0.0001, p <0.02, p <0.0001, respectively) in favor of PCR test compared to the HC2 test (Table 5).

### Table 5. Summary and comparative table of prevalences of HPV-HR infection detected by PCR and Hybrid capture 2 in the cases studied.

| Clinical diagnosis | HPV Test | HPV-HR (%) |
|--------------------|----------|------------|
|                    | Normal cervix | Minor lesions | Low grade lesions CIN1 | High grade lesions CIN2/CIN3 | Invasive squamous cell cancer |
| Cyto-histology     | PCR | 56 (57.1) | 24 (66.7) | 38 (48.7) | 14 (100) | 30 (88.2) |
|                    | HC2 | 17 (19.3) | 09 (34.6) | 12 (15.4) | 14 (100) | 25 (83.3) |
|                    | <0.0001 | 0.02 | <0.0001 | NS* | 0.83 (NS) |

### 3.4. HPV Test Performance at CIN2 + / CIN3 + Level

Parameters of HPV testing by HC2 and PCR are estimated by calculating the various parameters between cases of high grade CIN2 + / CIN3 + (gold standard) lesions that include severe dysplasia and carcinoma in situ compared with normal cervix (Table 6).

No significant difference in sensitivity was noted between PCR (91.7%) and HC2 (88.6%). The specificity was significantly in favor of the HPV test by HC2 compared to that obtained by PCR (80.2% versus 44.3%). The VPP for the HPV PCR test (27.2%) was significantly different (p <0.0001) from the VPP for the HPV HC2 test (50.6%). The VPNs were not significantly different between PCR and HC2 (95.9% versus 96.9%, p <0.70). This parameter highlights the etiological role of HPV-HR in the development of high-grade lesions and cervical cancer in the studied population. The Youden index, which provides information on the effectiveness of the test, shows the effectiveness of the HCV HPV test, whose values are close to 1 (0.7) compared to the HPV PCR test (0.4). The Q coefficient of Yule, which measures the intensity of the binding between the two variables (disease / sign), shows a strong binding with the PCR (0.8) even stronger with HC2 (0.9). This coefficient clearly shows the causal role of HPV-HR in the development of high-grade lesions and cervical cancer (Table 6).
Table 6. Summary and comparative table of the performance parameters of the HPV test at CIN2 + / CIN3 + level by PCR and Hybrid capture 2 (HC2).

| clinical Diagnosis | HPV Test | Performance parameters of the HPV test |
|--------------------|----------|----------------------------------------|
| Cyto-histology     | PCR      | Sensitivity (%) 91.7 | Specificity (%) 44.3 | VPP (%) 27.2 | VPN (%) 95.9 | Youden index 0.4 |
|                    | HC2      | Sensitivity (%) 88.6 | Specificity (%) 80.2 | VPP (%) 50.6 | VPN (%) 96.9 | Youden index 0.7 |
|                    | P        | Sensitivity (%) 0.29(NS) | <0.0001 | VPP (%) <0.0001 | VPN (%) 0.70(NS) |

* VPP: positive predictive value; VPN: negative predictive value.

4. Discussion

Among the 264 cases studied, the distribution by age group revealed that 50% of women presenting in a gynecological consultation were between 30 and 40 years old, which is the peak of age in this sample. The age at consultation fell sharply by about half for age groups 41-50 (26.1%) and reached only 10.2% for women over 50 years of age. The results obtained are largely in agreement with the data established in relation to age. Indeed, women under 45 are the most affected because they are usually women in sexual activity. On the other hand, if one considers the variation of the risk to which a woman is exposed according to her age (called longitudinal effect of the age), one observes that the risk of incidence increases with the age until 40 years and decreases then [10].

According to the results obtained, only 13.6% of women consult before age 30, probably because of cultural and traditional factors that do not encourage the precocity of sexual intercourse as is the case in Western countries. The early onset of sexual intercourse is a major risk factor for cervical cancer [11]. Moreover, in most cases, especially in women under 30, HPV infections are transient and are accompanied by the disappearance of the cytological and histological abnormalities they may have induced [12-15]. It is also accepted that 25 to 40% of women, aged 15 to 25, carry HPV-HR without necessarily having underlying lesions [16]. The comparison of the results of the diagnosis of the different cervical lesions by cyto-histology and colposcopy revealed no significant difference for the different grades of cervical lesions. Better still, the diagnosis of an invasive squamous cell cancer by the two techniques in question was established at identical rates, 12.9% in this case. But if the comparison of the results of cyto-histology and colposcopy, according to this study, seems to indicate a good correlation, this does not exclude the reality in medical practice that colposcopy is the essential examination to explore the cervix of the uterus when the smears are abnormal. It makes it possible to pinpoint the abnormal areas, to judge their severity, to map them to locate them and finally to perform a biopsy to obtain a diagnosis of certainty. The major disadvantage of colposcopy is intra- and inter-observer variability. However, it remains a reference technique because it is highly sensitive for recognizing high-grade lesions, although its specificity remains low (less than 50%) [17].

The results for viral screening (HPV-HR) by PCR and HC2 showed a correlation between the severity of the cervical lesion and the test positivity rate for an oncogenic HPV. Indeed, it is shown that the higher the cytological abnormality, the higher the probability of finding viral DNA. At the same time, the higher the cytological abnormality, the higher the probability of histological lesions in the cervix [18, 19]. The results obtained showed that the rate of normal cervical HPV-HR infected was 19.3%. About 12% of women with normal cervical smears show cervical HPV carriage detected by molecular biology, with women in sub-Saharan Africa showing the highest prevalence (24%) compared to women from Eastern Europe (21%) or Latin America (16%) [20]. On the other hand, higher HPV-HR levels detected in normal cervix compared to minor and low-grade lesions seem to be explained by the phenomenon of viral clearance (elimination of viral infection). Indeed, many studies have shown that in most cases, especially in women under 30, HPV infections are transient [12-15]. Viral clearance of HPV is quite fast and frequent, on average 70% of infections disappear in 12 months and 90% in 24 months [21-23]. The search for HPV-HR by PCR and HC2 found that these viruses are associated with high-grade lesions (CIN2 / CIN3) at 100%. Similar results were also reported [24] where all cases of high-grade lesions (100%) were associated with HPV-HR. In invasive squamous cell cancer, this association with HPV-HR is 88.2% by PCR and 83.3% by HC2 (p = 0.8). These results are comparable to those found in Tunisia (87.5%) [25] as well as at the international level where a meta-analysis [26] carried out on 85 studies gives an average HPV-HR prevalence of 86.4%(84.8 to 89.5%) in cervical cancers. In Morocco and Tunisia, this prevalence is only 63% and 66.7% respectively when it is restricted to HPV-HR 16 and 18 [27, 25]. For normal, minor, and low-grade cervical lesions, prevalence rates of HPV-HR infection were significantly elevated in favor of the PCR test compared to the HC2 test. However, these rates are much lower when compared to prevalence rates of HPV-HR in high-grade lesions and invasive cancer.

The comparison of PCR and HC2 techniques in terms of performance in the detection and typing of HPV was based on sensitivity, specificity, VPP, VPN, Youden index and Yule Q coefficient parameters. Both techniques seem to have the same sensitivity to detect HPV-HR. However, HC2 seems more suitable to be integrated into less equipped laboratories because of its simplicity and ease of execution. Especially since the sensitivity of the smear test in first-line screening of cervical lesions does not exceed 58% [28] and 53% according to [29] and [30] in its meta-analysis on the countries of Europe and North America. It is only 51% according to other works [31]. Therefore, the lack of sensitivity of the smear test causes the problem of false
negatives and thus allows the active tumor process to develop.

According to the results obtained, the HCV HPV test is significantly more specific than that obtained by PCR. The specificity is nevertheless lower with the HC2 test compared to cervical-uterine Pap smear (FCU) [38, 32, 24]. Other molecular test parameters that highlight the etiologic role of HPV-HR in cervical oncogenesis and reinforce the specificity parameter, namely, VPP, VPN, Youden's index and Yule's Q coefficient are in favor of the performance of the HC2 test for HPV-HR research compared to the PCR technique. The HC2 test has a near 100% VPN in European studies, as is the case in our results (97%), which means that a negative woman has an extremely low risk of having high lesions, grade within three to five years of the examination [33]. Thus, according to the results obtained, all these parameters make the capture hybridization technique 2 the best choice as a molecular test for the detection and identification of HPV-HR and best suited in unstructured laboratories. This test has a very good clinical significance and the technique is fast, reproducible and applicable to large series [34, 35, 39]. In fact, the significant protection attributed to this screening tool for CIN3 and invasive cancer is one of the powerful arguments for its implementation [36]. However, and to overcome its low specificity compared to the FCU, it can be recommended in the detection of HPV-HR in first intention in association with Pap smear. In fact, the screening associating the HPV test and cytology has a negative prognostic value (NPV) of nearly 100%. Numerous large studies have confirmed these findings in women over 30 years of age [33, 32, 37]. This strategy is currently recommended in the USA. It is being tested in some European regions [26]. This combination gives the hope of increasing the screening interval from 1-3 years to 3-5 years [37].

5. Conclusion

The results obtained on the prevalence of HPV-HR are in agreement with the data from the literature.

The demonstration of the association of 100% high-grade cervical lesions with HPV-HR diagnosed by HC2 according to the results obtained demonstrates the effectiveness of this molecular test compared to PCR and justifies its use as an alternative or in complement to conventional screening methods, Pap smear (FCU) in this case in the diagnosis of precancerous lesions of the uterus. From this point of view, this work related to molecular diagnosis could constitute a basis for the implementation of a prevention program based on the early molecular diagnosis of HPV-HR in normal cervix and the follow-up of this infection in cervical lesions of low and high grade.

The high prevalence rates of HPV-HR in the studied population, which are comparable to the Maghreb and European data, as well as the association rates of these oncogenic viruses to 100% of high-grade cervical lesions, should encourage the health authorities in Algeria to adopt a prevention policy focusing on the introduction of prophylactic anti-HPV vaccination in young girls.
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