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1. Introduction

The TAU parallel configuration is rooted in a series of inventions and was masterminded by Torgny Brogardh [1][2][3][4]. The configuration of the robot simulates the shape of “τ” like the name of the Delta after the “∇” shape configuration of another parallel robot. As shown in Fig. 1, the basic TAU configuration consists of 3 driving axes, 3 arms, 6 linkages, 12 joints and a moving (tool) plate. There are 6 chains connecting the main column to the end-effector in TAU configuration. The TAU robot is a typical 3/2/1 configuration. There are 3 parallel and identical links and another 2 parallel and identical links. Six chains will be used to derive all kinematic equations. Table 1 highlights the features of the TAU configuration.

On the subject of D-H modeling, Tasi [5], Raghavan [6], Abderrahim and Whittaker[12] have applied the method and studied the limitations of various modeling methods. On the subject of forward kinematics, focus has been on finding closed form solutions based on various robotic configurations, and numerical solutions for difficult configurations of robots. It can be found in the work done by Dhingra [8], Shi [14], Didrit [16], Zhang [17], Nanua [18], Sreenivasan [19], Griffis and Duffy [20], Lin [21]. On the subject of error analysis, Wang and Masory [7], Gong [11], Patel and Ehmann [13] used forward solutions to obtain errors. Jacobian matrix was also used in obtaining errors. On the subject of the variation of parallel configurations, from the work done by Dhingra [9][10], Geng and Haynes [15], the influence of the configurations on the methods of finding closed form solutions can be found.

In this paper, the D-H model is used to define the TAU robot, a complete set of parameters is included in the modeling process. Kinematic modeling and error modeling are established with all errors using Jacobian matrix method for the TAU robot. Meanwhile, a very effective Jacobian Approximation Method is introduced to calculate the forward kinematic problem instead of Newton-Raphson method. It denotes that a closed form solution can be obtained instead of a numerical solution. A full size Jacobian matrix is used in carrying out error analysis, error budget, and model parameter estimation and identification. Simulation results indicate that both Jacobian matrix and Jacobian Approximation Method are correct and have an accuracy of micron meters. ADAMS simulation results are used in verifying the established models.

Source: Parallel Manipulators, New Developments, Book edited by: Jee-Hwan Ryu, ISBN 978-3-902613-20-2, pp. 498, April 2008, I-Tech Education and Publishing, Vienna, Austria
|                              | Serial Robot | Stewart Platform | Tau configuration |
|------------------------------|--------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Stiffness                    | Low          | High             | High (simulation) |
| Accuracy                     | Low          | High             | High (simulation) |
| Workspace                    | Large        | Small            | Large             |
| Footprint                    | Small        | Large            | Small             |
| Inverse solution in general  | Easy         | Easy             | Difficult         |
| Analytical inverse solution  | Easy         | Easy             | Difficult         |
| Forward solution in general  | Easy         | Difficult        | Easy              |
| Analytical forward solution  | Easy         | Difficult        | Easy              |

Table 1. Comparison of kinematic properties of TAU and other robots.

![TAU robot configuration diagram]

Fig. 1 TAU robot configuration
2. Kinematic modeling

2.2 The D-H model of TAU robot

For the TAU robot, the D-H model is used for the following purposes:

1. Fully describing the kinematic positional relationship among all the links and joints.
2. Accurately and easily integrating the error model into a full parameter model.
3. Standardizing and parameterizing the TAU model to establish dynamic coupling control model.

With the parameters defined in Fig. 2, the D-H model transformation matrix can be obtained as follows:

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos \theta_i & \sin \theta_i & 0 & -a_i \\
-cos \alpha_i \sin \theta_i & -\cos \alpha_i \sin \theta_i & \sin \alpha_i & -d_i \sin \alpha_i \\
\sin \alpha_i \sin \theta_i & -\cos \alpha_i \sin \theta_i & \cos \alpha_i & -d_i \cos \alpha_i \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]
2.3 Inverse kinematics and forward kinematics
For the TAU robot, the inverse kinematic and forward kinematic are relatively simple. The six equations of kinematic chains remain 3, as shown in Fig. 3, based on the condition of parallel and identical links.

![Diagram of a parallel manipulator](image)

Fig. 3 Tau parallel mechanism
Coordinates of D1 are obtained as,

\[
d_{1x} = a_{11} \cos((\theta_1 + \theta_2) / 2) \cos \theta_3 \\
d_{1y} = a_{11} \cos((\theta_1 + \theta_2) / 2) \sin \theta_3 \\
d_{1z} = -a_{11} \sin \theta_1 + d_{11} \\
c_{1x} = P_x \\
c_{1y} = P_y \\
c_{1z} = P_z
\]

Where \( P_x, P_y, \) and \( P_z \) are the coordinates of C1.

\[
\text{dist}(d_1 - c_1) = a_{12}
\]
Coordinates of D2 are obtained as,

\[
\begin{align*}
    d_{2x} &= a_{21} \cos(\theta_1) \\
    d_{2y} &= a_{21} \sin(\theta_1) \\
    d_{2z} &= d_{21} + d_{23}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
    c_{2x} &= p_x \\
    c_{2y} &= p_y \\
    c_{2z} &= p_z - d_{23} \\
    \text{dist}(d_2 - c_1) &= a_{22}
\end{align*}
\]

Coordinates of D3 are obtained as,

\[
\begin{align*}
    d_{3x} &= a_{31} \cos(\theta_2) - a_{33} \cos(120 + \theta_1) \\
    d_{3y} &= a_{31} \sin(\theta_2) - a_{33} \sin(120 + \theta_1) \\
    d_{3z} &= d_{31}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
    \text{dist}(d_3 - c_1) &= a_{32}
\end{align*}
\]

For inverse kinematics, simplify the Equation 2 and assume next expressions,

\[
\begin{align*}
    \cos(\delta) &= \frac{p_x}{\sqrt{p_x^2 + p_y^2}}, \quad \sin(\delta) = \frac{p_y}{\sqrt{p_x^2 + p_y^2}}
\end{align*}
\] (4a)

The new equation 5a can be obtained from Equation 2.

\[
2a_{21} \sqrt{p_x^2 + p_y^2} \left( \frac{p_x}{\sqrt{p_x^2 + p_y^2}} \cos \theta_1 + \frac{p_y}{\sqrt{p_x^2 + p_y^2}} \sin \theta_1 \right) = a_{21}^2 + (p_x^2 + p_y^2 + p_z^2) - a_{22}^2
\] (5a)

Then substitute the equation 4a into equation 5a to get

\[
\begin{align*}
    \cos(\theta_1 - \delta) &= \frac{a_{21}^2 + (p_x^2 + p_y^2 + p_z^2) - a_{22}^2}{2a_{21} \sqrt{p_x^2 + p_y^2}}
\end{align*}
\]

Thus,

\[
\theta_1 = \cos^{-1} \left[ \frac{a_{21}^2 + (p_x^2 + p_y^2 + p_z^2) - a_{22}^2}{2a_{21} \sqrt{p_x^2 + p_y^2}} \right] + \delta
\] (6a)
where \( \delta = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{p_y}{p_x}\right) \)

Assume next expressions as,

\[
\begin{align*}
p_x' &= p_x - a_{33} \cos(\theta_1 + 120) \\
p_y' &= p_y - a_{33} \sin(\theta_1 + 120)
\end{align*}
\]

\[\cos(\gamma) = \frac{p_x'}{\sqrt{p_x'^2 + p_y'^2}}\]

\[\sin(\gamma) = \frac{p_y'}{\sqrt{p_x'^2 + p_y'^2}}\]  \hspace{1cm} (7a)

Substitute the Equation 7a into Equation 3, the equation 8a can be obtained as,

\[
\theta_2 = \cos^{-1}\left[\frac{a_{31}^2 - a_{32}^2 + (p_x'^2 + p_y'^2 + p_z'^2) - a_{22}^2}{2a_{31}\sqrt{p_x'^2 + p_y'^2}}\right] + \gamma
\]  \hspace{1cm} (8a)

where \( \gamma = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{p_y'}{p_x'}\right) \)

Also the Equation 9a can be obtained by substituting the equation 6a, 8a into equation 1.

\[
\theta_3 = \cos^{-1}\left[\frac{a_{11}^2 + p_x'^2 + p_y'^2 + (p_z - d_{11})^2 - a_{12}^2}{2\sqrt{[a_{11}\cos\left(\frac{\theta_1 + \theta_2}{2}\right) + a_{11}\sin\left(\frac{\theta_1 + \theta_2}{2}\right)]^2 + (p_z - d_{11})^2}}\right] - \phi
\]  \hspace{1cm} (9a)

where \( \phi = \tan^{-1}\left[\frac{p_z - d_{11}}{a_{11}\cos\left(\frac{\theta_1 + \theta_2}{2}\right) + a_{11}\sin\left(\frac{\theta_1 + \theta_2}{2}\right)}\right] \)

For forward kinematics, it is relatively easy. Subtracting equation 2 from Equation 1 for eliminating the square items \( (p_x^2, p_y^2, p_z^2) \), then do the same procedure to Equation 2 and 3, finally three linear equations can be obtained. The three length equations are applied to solve inverse and forward problems. A closed form solution can be obtained from the three equations for both inverse and forward problems.

### 3. Jacobian matrix of TAU robot with all error parameters

In error analysis, error sensitivity is represented by the Jacobian matrix. Derivation of the Jacobian matrix can be carried out after all the D-H models are established. For the TAU robot, the 3-DOF kinematic problem will become a 6-DOF kinematic problem. The kinematic problem becomes more complicated.
In fact, the error sensitivity is formulated through \( \frac{\partial x}{\partial g_i} \), \( \frac{\partial y}{\partial g_i} \), \( \frac{\partial z}{\partial g_i} \) where \( x, y, z \) represent the position of the tool plate and \( dg_i \) is the error source for each component. So the following equations can be obtained:

\[
\begin{align*}
    dx &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial x}{\partial l_i} dg_i \\
    dy &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial y}{\partial l_i} dg_i \\
    dz &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial z}{\partial l_i} dg_i
\end{align*}
\]

The error model is actually a 6-DOF model since all error sources have been considered. It includes both the position variables \( X, Y, Z \) and also rotational angles \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \).

From the six kinematic chains, equations established based on D-H models are

\[
\begin{align*}
    f_1 &= f_1(x, y, z, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, g) = 0 \\
    f_2 &= f_2(x, y, z, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, g) = 0 \\
    & \quad \vdots \\
    f_6 &= f_6(x, y, z, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, g) = 0
\end{align*}
\]

Differentiating all the equations against all the variables \( x, y, z, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \) and \( g \), where \( g \) is a vector including all geometric parameters:

\[
\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x} \cdot dx + \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial y} \cdot dy + \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial z} \cdot dz + \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial \alpha} \cdot d\alpha + \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial \beta} \cdot d\beta + \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial \gamma} \cdot d\gamma + \sum_j \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial g_j} \cdot dg_j = 0 \quad (4)
\]

Rewrite it in matrix as

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \alpha} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \beta} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \gamma} \\
    \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial \alpha} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial \beta} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial \gamma} \\
    \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial \alpha} & \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial \beta} & \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial \gamma} \\
    \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial \alpha} & \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial \beta} & \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial \gamma} \\
    \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial \alpha} & \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial \beta} & \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial \gamma} \\
    \frac{\partial f_6}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_6}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial f_6}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial f_6}{\partial \alpha} & \frac{\partial f_6}{\partial \beta} & \frac{\partial f_6}{\partial \gamma}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
    dx \\
    dy \\
    dz \\
    d\alpha \\
    d\beta \\
    d\gamma
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
    \sum_j \frac{-\partial f_1}{\partial g_j} \cdot dg_j \\
    \sum_j \frac{-\partial f_2}{\partial g_j} \cdot dg_j \\
    \sum_j \frac{-\partial f_3}{\partial g_j} \cdot dg_j \\
    \sum_j \frac{-\partial f_4}{\partial g_j} \cdot dg_j \\
    \sum_j \frac{-\partial f_5}{\partial g_j} \cdot dg_j \\
    \sum_j \frac{-\partial f_6}{\partial g_j} \cdot dg_j
\end{bmatrix} \quad (5)
\]
In a compact form, it becomes

\[ J_1 dX = dG \]  

(6)

Where

\[
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_j -\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial g_j} d_{g_j} \\
&\sum_j -\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial g_j} d_{g_j} \\
&\sum_j -\frac{\partial f_3}{\partial g_j} d_{g_j} \\
&\sum_j -\frac{\partial f_4}{\partial g_j} d_{g_j} \\
&\sum_j -\frac{\partial f_5}{\partial g_j} d_{g_j} \\
&\sum_j -\frac{\partial f_6}{\partial g_j} d_{g_j}
\end{aligned}
\]

\[ dG = J \cdot d_g \]  

(7)

From Eq. (7) above, we have

\[ dG = J_2 d_g \]  

(8)

Substitute Eq.(6) into Eq.(8) to obtain

\[ J_1 dX = J_2 d_g \]  

(9)

\[ dX = (J_1^{-1} J_2) d_g \]  

(10)

The Jacobian matrix is obtained as \( J_1^{-1} \cdot J_2 \)

\[
J = J_1^{-1} \cdot J_2 =
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \alpha} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \beta} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \gamma} \\
\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial \alpha} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial \beta} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial \gamma} \\
\frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial \alpha} & \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial \beta} & \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial \gamma} \\
\frac{\partial f_4}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial \alpha} & \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial \beta} & \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial \gamma} \\
\frac{\partial f_5}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial \alpha} & \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial \beta} & \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial \gamma} \\
\frac{\partial f_6}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_6}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial f_6}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial f_6}{\partial \alpha} & \frac{\partial f_6}{\partial \beta} & \frac{\partial f_6}{\partial \gamma}
\end{pmatrix}
\]  

(11)
4. Kinematic modeling with all error parameters (application 1 of the Jacobian matrix)

4.1 Newton-Raphson numerical method

Because of the number of parameters involved as well as the number of error sources involved, the kinematic problem becomes very complicated. No analytical solution can be obtained but numerical solution. The TAU configuration, as a special case of parallel robots, its forward kinematic problem is, therefore, very complicated. The Newton-Raphson method as an effective numerical method can be applied to calculate the forward problem of the TAU robot, with an accurate Jacobian matrix obtained.

Newton-Raphson method is represented by

\[ X_{n+1} = X_n - [F'(X_n)]^{-1} \cdot F(X_n) \]

With the six chain equations obtained before, the following can be obtained

\[
[F'(X_n)]^{-1} = \text{Inv} \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y_1} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_1} \\
\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial y_1} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial z_1} \\
\frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial y_1} & \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial z_1} \\
\frac{\partial f_4}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial y_1} & \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial z_1} \\
\frac{\partial f_5}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial y_1} & \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial z_1} \\
\frac{\partial f_6}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_6}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial f_6}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial f_6}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_6}{\partial y_1} & \frac{\partial f_6}{\partial z_1}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

This equation is used later to calculate the forward kinematic problem, and it is also compared with the method described in the next section.

4.2 Jacobian approximation method

A quick and efficient analytical solution is still necessary even though an accurate result has been obtained by the N-R method. The N-R result is produced based on iteration of numerical calculation, instead of from an analytical closed form solution. The N-R method is too slow in calculation to be used in on-line real time control. No certain solution is guaranteed in the N-R method. So a Jacobian approximation method is needed.

The Jacobian approximation method is established. Using this method, error analysis, calibration, compensation, and on-line control model can be established. As the TAU robot is based on a 3-DOF configuration, instead of a general Stewart platform, the Jacobian
approximate modification can be obtained based the 3-DOF analytical solution without any errors. The mathematical description of the Jacobian approximation method can be described as follows.

For forward kinematics,

\[ X = F(\theta, \varepsilon) \]
\[ X = F(\theta, 0) + J_{\text{FORWARD}} d\varepsilon \]

Where \( J_{\text{FORWARD}} = F'(\theta, \varepsilon) \) and \( \varepsilon \) represents error.

Thus, the analytical solution \( F(\theta, 0) \) and \( F(X, 0) \), is obtained. Therefore, the Jacobian Approximation as an analytical solution is obtained and solving nonlinear equations using N-R method is not necessary in this case.

5. Determination of independent design variables using SVD method (application 2 of Jacobian matrix)

With the reality that all the parts of a robot have manufacturing errors and misalignment errors as well as thermal errors, errors should be considered for any of the components in order to accurately model the accuracy of the robot. Error budget is carried out in the study and error sensitivity of robot kinematics with respect to any of the parameters can be obtained from the error modeling. This is realized through the established Jacobian matrix. To find those parameters in the error model that are linearly dependent and those parameters that are difficult to observe, the Jacobian matrix is analyzed. SVD method (Singular Value Decomposition) is used in such an analysis.

A methodical way of determining which parameters are redundant is to investigate the singular vectors. An investigation of the last column of the V vector will reveal that some elements are dominant in order of magnitude. This implies that corresponding columns in the Jacobian matrix are linearly dependent. The work of reducing the number of error parameters must continue until no singularities exist and the condition number has reached an acceptable value.

A total of 40 redundant design variables of the 71 design parameters are eliminated by observing the numerical Jacobian matrix obtained. Table 2 in Appendix A lists the remaining calibration parameters.

6. Error budget and results (application 3 of Jacobian matrix)

When the SVD is completed and a linearly independent set of error model parameters determined, the Error Budget can be determined. The mathematical description of the error budget is as follows:

\[ J = U \cdot S \cdot V^T \]
\[ dX = J \cdot dg = U \cdot S \cdot V^T \cdot dg \]
\[ U^T \cdot dX = S \cdot V^T \cdot dg \]
Assume \( U^T \cdot dX = d\bar{X} \) and \( V^T \cdot dg = d\bar{g} \). So we have \( d\bar{g} = d\bar{X} / S_{ii} \), finally,

\[
dg = (V \cdot U^T \cdot dX) / S_{ii}
\]

(12)

Thus if the \( dX \) is given as the accuracy of the Tau robot, the error budget \( dg \) can be determined. Given the D-H parameters for all three upper arms and the main column, the locations of the joints located at each of the three upper arms are known accurately. The six chain equations are created for the six link lengths, as follows:

\[
F = \begin{bmatrix}
    f1(\text{upperarm}_\text{point s},TCP_\text{point s}) \\
    f2(\text{upperarm}_\text{point s},TCP_\text{point s}) \\
    f3(\text{upperarm}_\text{point s},TCP_\text{point s}) \\
    f4(\text{upperarm}_\text{point s},TCP_\text{point s}) \\
    f5(\text{upperarm}_\text{point s},TCP_\text{point s}) \\
    f6(\text{upperarm}_\text{point s},TCP_\text{point s})
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Where \( TCP_\text{point} = f(px, py, pz, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \)

\[
\text{Upperarm}_\text{point} = f(\varepsilon)
\]

and \( \varepsilon \) is a collection of all the design parameters. Thus,

\[
F = \begin{bmatrix}
    F1(\varepsilon, px, py, pz, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \\
    F2(\varepsilon, px, py, pz, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \\
    F3(\varepsilon, px, py, pz, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \\
    F4(\varepsilon, px, py, pz, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \\
    F5(\varepsilon, px, py, pz, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \\
    F6(\varepsilon, px, py, pz, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

An error model is developed based on the system of equations as described above. A total of 71 parameters are defined to represent the entire system, the 71 parameters include all the D-H parameters for the 3 upper arms, as well as the coordinates \((x, y, z)\) of the 6 points at both ends of the 6 links, respectively. Appendix B (Table 3) presents the error budget.

7. Simulation results

The Jacobian approximation method is verified by the following two different approaches: (1) 6-DOF forward kinematic analysis (Newton-Raphson method), and (2) ADAMS simulation results.

Fig. 4 shows the error between Jacobian approximation method and ADAMS simulation results, and Fig. 5 gives the error between the N-R method and ADAMS simulation results.
In Fig. 4, the maximum error is 1.53\,\mu m with an input error of 1\,\text{mm}. The Jacobian approximation method has a very high accuracy compared with simulation results.

![Fig. 4 Error between Jacobian approximation method and ADAMS simulation results](image1)

![Fig. 5 Error between N-R method and ADAMS simulation results](image2)

Based on the D-H model of TAU with all error parameters, inverse and forward kinematic models have been established. From the point of view of mathematics, the TAU kinematic problem is to solve 6 nonlinear equations using Newton-Raphson method with Jacobian approximation.
matrix as the searching direction and accurate results have been obtained up to 0.06 um compared with ADAMS simulation results as shown in Fig. 5. Appendix C (Table 4) gives the comparison between Jacobian Matrix and N-R method.

8. Conclusions

It can be observed from the results, that Jacobian Matrix is effective with an accuracy up to 1.53 um with an input error of 1 mm (Link 1 of lower arm 1). This was verified using ADAMS simulation results. Results from N-R method match very well with ADAMS simulation with a difference of only 0.06 um.

Based on the D-H model and an accurate Jacobian matrix, a series of results have been presented including error analysis, forward kinematic, redundant variable determination, error budget, and Jacobian approximation method. The Jacobian approximation method can be used in on-line control of the robot. For the TAU robot, a closed form solution of a forward kinematic problem is reached with a high accuracy instead of N-R numerical solution. The simulation results are almost perfect compared with that from ADAMS.
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Appendix A

| Parameter Number | Parameter Definition        | Parameter |
|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| 16               | height of the TCP           | a         |
| 22               | joint 3                     | a6        |
| 23               | arm 3                       | a7        |
| 24               | joint 1 & arm 1             | d1        |
| 25               | short arm 1                 | d3        |
| 28               | joint 3                     | d6        |
| 31               | joint_link11_arm1           | y1        |
| 34               | joint_link21_arm1           | y2        |
| 37               | joint_link31_arm1           | y3        |
| 40               | joint_link12_arm2           | y4        |
| 43               | joint_link22_arm2           | y5        |
| 46               | joint_link13_arm3           | y6        |
| 48               | joint_link11p               | x11       |
| 49               | joint_link11p               | y11       |
| 51               | joint_link31p               | x22       |
| 52               | joint_link31p               | y22       |
| 54               | joint_link21p               | x33       |
| 55               | joint_link21p               | y33       |
| 56               | joint_link21p               | z33       |
| 57               | joint_link12p               | x44       |
| 58               | joint_link12p               | y44       |
| 59               | joint_link12p               | z44       |
| 60               | joint_link22p               | x55       |
| 61               | joint_link22p               | y55       |
| 62               | joint_link22p               | z55       |
| 63               | joint_link13p               | x66       |
| 64               | joint_link13p               | y66       |
| 67               | link11                      | L1        |
| 68               | link31                      | L2        |
| 69               | link21                      | L3        |
| 70               | link22                      | L4        |

Table. 2 List of the independent design variables

Appendix B

| Variable No. | Description    | Name     | Budget    |
|--------------|----------------|----------|-----------|
| 1            | drive 1        | Joint 1  | 32 arcsec |
| 2            | drive 2        | Joint 2  | 1.17 arcsec |
| 3            | drive 3        | Joint 3  | 1.2 arcsec |
| 17           | joint 1 and arm 1 | a1 | 1.62 um |
|     |     |        |     |     |
|-----|-----|--------|-----|-----|
| 24  |     |        |     |     |
| 4   |     | d1     | 363 um |
| 10  |     | sit1   | 10.4 arcsec |
| 18  |     | afa1   | 110 arcsec |
| 19  |     | a2     | 373 um |
| 25  |     | a3     | 174 um |
| 5   |     | d3     | 449 um |
| 11  |     | sit3   | 9.24 arcsec |
| 20  |     | afa3   | 9.45 arcsec |
| 26  |     | a4     | 1.9 mm |
| 6   |     | d4     | 485 um |
| 12  |     | sit4   | 1.22 arcsec |
| 21  |     | afa4   | 38.5 arcsec |
| 27  |     | a5     | 430 um |
| 7   |     | d5     | D |
| 13  |     | sit5   | 11.2 arcsec |
| 22  |     | afa5   | D |
| 28  |     | a6     | 0 |
| 8   |     | d6     | D |
| 14  |     | sit6   | 4.64 arcsec |
| 23  |     | afa6   | D |
| 29  |     | a7     | 0 |
| 9   |     | d7     | D |
| 15  |     | sit7   | 6.14 arcsec |
| 30  |     | afa7   | D |
| 31  |     | x1     | D |
| 32  |     | y1     | 43 um |
| 33  |     | z1     | 123 um |
| 34  |     | x2     | D |
| 35  |     | y2     | 49.4 um |
| 36  |     | z2     | D |
| 37  |     | x3     | 115 um |
| 38  |     | y3     | 108 um |
| 39  |     | z3     | D |
| 40  |     | x4     | D |
| 41  |     | y4     | 1.28 mm |
| 42  |     | z4     | D |
| 43  |     | x5     | 2.6 mm |
| 44  |     | y5     | 68.2 um |
| 45  |     | z5     | D |
| 46  |     | x6     | D |
| 47  |     | y6     | 21.6 um |
| 48  |     | z6     | 213 um |
| 49  |     | x11    | 50 um |
|     |     | y11    | 50 um |
Table 3: Error budget

| Joint Link | Error |
|------------|-------|
| joint_link31_platform | 50 um |
| joint_link21_platform | 13.3 um |
| joint_link12_platform | 37.9 um |
| joint_link22_platform | 398 um |
| joint_link13_platform | 50 um |
| Height of the TCP | 436 um |

Appendix C
| Joint1 | Joint2 | Joint3 | X       | Y       | Z       | afa     | bta     | gma     |
|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 11.25  | 11.25  | 8      | 3.54E-01| 3.55E-01| 0.00149511|
| 15      | 15     | 8      | 4.70E-01| 4.71E-01| 0.00111796|
| 18.75   | 18.75  | 10     | 5.83E-01| 5.85E-01| 0.00173003|
| 22.5    | 22.5   | 12     | 6.94E-01| 6.96E-01| 0.00184612|
| 26.25   | 26.25  | 14     | 8.03E-01| 8.04E-01| 0.00099179|
| 30      | 30     | 16     | 9.07E-01| 9.09E-01| 0.00170544|
| 33.75   | 33.75  | 18     | 1.10E+00| 1.10E+00| 0.0004597 |
| 37.5    | 37.5   | 18     | 1.14E+00| 1.14E+00| 0.0007547 |
| 41.25   | 41.25  | 22     | 1.20E+00| 1.20E+00| 0.0002128 |

Table 4 Results of the comparison between Jacobian Matrix and N-R method
Parallel manipulators are characterized as having closed-loop kinematic chains. Compared to serial manipulators, which have open-ended structure, parallel manipulators have many advantages in terms of accuracy, rigidity and ability to manipulate heavy loads. Therefore, they have been getting many attentions in astronomy to flight simulators and especially in machine-tool industries. The aim of this book is to provide an overview of the state-of-art, to present new ideas, original results and practical experiences in parallel manipulators. This book mainly introduces advanced kinematic and dynamic analysis methods and cutting edge control technologies for parallel manipulators. Even though this book only contains several samples of research activities on parallel manipulators, I believe this book can give an idea to the reader about what has been done in the field recently, and what kind of open problems are in this area.
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