Minilaparoscopic ovariohysterectomy in healthy cats
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ABSTRACT: The purpose of this study was to investigate the feasibility of minilaparoscopic (MINI) ovariohysterectomy (OHE) in healthy cats using three portals, one of 5 millimeters (mm) in diameter and two of 3mm diameter, along with bipolar diathermy. Technical difficulty, feasibility of MINI access, use of bipolar diathermy, surgery time, need for enlargement of incisions, trans- and post-operative complications and rate of conversion to open surgery were assessed. One out of 15 animals required incision enlargement, and one animal required conversion to celiotomy. The main postoperative complication observed was subcutaneous emphysema (46.7%). In conclusion, MINI OHE is feasible in healthy cats.
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INTRODUCTION

Laparoscopy is a surgical technique under development in veterinary medicine (BECK et al., 2004). It is used both for elective and therapeutic surgery (HEDLUND, 2005) and for diagnostic purposes (BRUN et al., 2000) on the female reproductive system (SCHIOCHET et al., 2009), male reproductive system (BRUN & BECK, 1999) and different organs (BECK et al., 2004).

Ovariohysterectomy (OHE) is a frequently performed surgical procedure (MAYHEW & BROWN, 2007), especially for elective sterilization (BECK et al., 2004). Ovariohysterectomy is a an effective method for the sterilization of female cats, as well as for ovariectomy, without the inconveniences of uterine disease sometimes related to ovariectomy (LOPES, 2008). Several laparoscopic approaches for OHE have been described, including approaches through a single portal (LESS) (SILVA et al., 2011), two portals (FERREIRA et al., 2011), three portals (SCHIOCHET et al., 2009), and four portals (MALM et al., 2004). However, there are no reports of the minilaparoscopic (MINI) approach (KIM et al., 2011).

MINI, a logical advancement of minimally invasive surgery (MANAZZA et al., 2001; CARVALHO et al., 2013), stands out as it allows delicate and precise movements with maintenance of proper triangulation (CARVALHO et al., 2011). Smaller incisions are created with this approach, which results in less trauma to the abdominal wall (CARVALHO et al., 2011), thereby potentially
The first trocar, which was 5mm in diameter (\( r \)) involved the skin, subcutaneous tissue and linea alba. The incision was enlarged in this same portal. Whenever necessary, removal of tissues as a whole (ovaries and uterus) was performed through access “B”. Whenever necessary, the first trocar, which was 5mm in diameter (\( r \)) and short, was inserted through this incision using an open technique. Pneumoperitoneum was obtained using \( \text{CO}_2 \) and an electronic insufflator at a flow rate of 2.5L/min\(^{-1}\), reaching 11mmHg of pressure. Then, a rigid endoscope with a vision angle of 0\(^\circ\) and 4mm \( r \), attached to a microcamera with an image processor and cold light source was introduced.

The laparoscopic procedure began with inspection of the abdominal cavity and the recording of any alterations. Then, the remaining trocars were placed (two of 3mm \( r \)); the second trocar was longer and was inserted into the right side of the abdomen (B), and the third trocar was shorter and was inserted into the left side (C) (Figure 1B and 1C). They were inserted at a distance of approximately 4 to 5cm lateral and caudal to the initial trocar using a close technique under laparoscopic view.

After guided introduction of the instruments into the abdominal cavity, the uterine body was seized and suspended at the bifurcation area. On both sides of the uterine body, cranial to the cervix in the mesometrial area, a window was created lateral to the uterine vessels for adequate isolation and better positioning of the MINI bipolar electrocautery clamp, with the intensity set to 15 watts. Then, both the uterine vessels and uterine body were immediately electrocoagulated at three locations approximately 0.2cm apart from each other. A transection was made between the second and third electrocoagulation sites using Metzenbaum scissors, leaving the stump. If no uterine horn segments or uterine bleeding was observed, then this surgical phase was concluded.

The right uterine horn was established in suspension traction with a Reddick-Olsen clamp until the right ovary was identified. The ovary was grasped by the ovarian proper ligament, which was subjected to caudal and dorsal traction to expose the ovarian pedicle. Next, a window was created in the mesovarium, on both sides of the ovarian pedicle, topfer form electrocoagulation. Electrocoagulation and transaction of the ovarian pedicle and ovarian suspensory ligament were performed using the exact same technique as that described for electrocoagulation of the uterine vessels. For the contralateral ovary, the sequence of maneuvers was exactly the same. All procedures followed the same sequence and were performed by the same surgeon.

After completion of the OHE procedure, removal of tissues as a whole (ovaries and uterus) was performed through access “B”. Whenever necessary, the incision was enlarged in this same portal.

At the end of each procedure, the muscle fascia at the site of insertion of the first trocar (A) was sutured with absorbable multifilament suture and a Mayo-Smith stitch in a continuous way, with absorbable multifilament suture. The second trocar (B) and the third trocar (C) were removed with a Teflon ring.

Based on the umbilical scar, a distance of nearly 4 to 5 centimeters (cm) was established cranially where the first incision in the ventral midline was created, which was approximately 5mm in length and involved the skin, subcutaneous tissue and linea alba. The first trocar, which was 5mm in diameter (\( r \)) and short, was inserted through this incision using an open technique. Pneumoperitoneum was obtained using \( \text{CO}_2 \) and an electronic insufflator at a flow rate of 2.5L/min\(^{-1}\), reaching 11mmHg of pressure. Then, a rigid endoscope with a vision angle of 0\(^\circ\) and 4mm \( r \), attached to a microcamera with an image processor and cold light source was introduced.

The laparoscopic procedure began with inspection of the abdominal cavity and the recording of any alterations. Then, the remaining trocars were placed (two of 3mm \( r \)); the second trocar was longer and was inserted into the right side of the abdomen (B), and the third trocar was shorter and was inserted into the left side (C) (Figure 1B and 1C). They were inserted at a distance of approximately 4 to 5cm lateral and caudal to the initial trocar using a close technique under laparoscopic view.

After guided introduction of the instruments into the abdominal cavity, the uterine body was seized and suspended at the bifurcation area. On both sides of the uterine body, cranial to the cervix in the mesometrial area, a window was created lateral to the uterine vessels for adequate isolation and better positioning of the MINI bipolar electrocautery clamp, with the intensity set to 15 watts. Then, both the uterine vessels and uterine body were immediately electrocoagulated at three locations approximately 0.2cm apart from each other. A transection was made between the second and third electrocoagulation sites using Metzenbaum scissors, leaving the stump. If no uterine horn segments or uterine bleeding was observed, then this surgical phase was concluded.

The right uterine horn was established in suspension traction with a Reddick-Olsen clamp until the right ovary was identified. The ovary was grasped by the ovarian proper ligament, which was subjected to caudal and dorsal traction to expose the ovarian pedicle. Next, a window was created in the mesovarium, on both sides of the ovarian pedicle, topfer form electrocoagulation. Electrocoagulation and transaction of the ovarian pedicle and ovarian suspensory ligament were performed using the exact same technique as that described for electrocoagulation of the uterine vessels. For the contralateral ovary, the sequence of maneuvers was exactly the same. All procedures followed the same sequence and were performed by the same surgeon.

After completion of the OHE procedure, removal of tissues as a whole (ovaries and uterus) was performed through access “B”. Whenever necessary, the incision was enlarged in this same portal.

At the end of each procedure, the muscle fascia at the site of insertion of the first trocar (A) was sutured with absorbable multifilament suture and a Mayo-Smith stitch in a continuous way, with absorbable multifilament suture.
(polyglycolic acid 4-0) in a Sultan pattern, and the skin was sutured with non-absorbable monofilament suture (polyamide 3-0) in a simple isolated pattern. Only skin suturing was performed at the other trocar insertion sites (B and C).

The main goal of this study was to describe and assess application of the MINI technique for OHE with the use of three portals in healthy female cats, using optic with a 0° viewing angle and 4mm of Ø combined with the use of two portals with 3mm of Ø. The following aspects were also evaluated: access viability, technical difficulty, the use of bipolar diathermy, surgery time, the conversion rate to open surgery, the necessity of enlarging the incision for visceral removal, and post- and trans-surgical complications.
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RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Some divergences about the definition of the MINI technique exist among reports in the literature (MANAZZA et al., 2001; AGRESTA & BEDIN, 2012; KRPATA & PONSKY, 2013). However, this study was conducted based on the assertion of CARVALHO et al. (2011) that the MINI technique uses one 5 or 10mm portal, which must be in the umbilical position, while the other portals must be 3mm or less.

In medicine, CARVALHO et al. (2012) have used an optic of 10mm in three different techniques for inguinal hernia repair through MINI. Further, LEGGETT et al. (2001) performed cholecystectomy using the MINI technique, with a 5mm optic in the umbilical portal, and reported that enlargement of the incision for gallbladder removal was necessary in less than 20% of cases. These results supported the reliability of the MINI technique using any instrument with less than 5mm (MANAZZA et al., 2001).

CARVALHO & CAVAZZOLA (2010) have observed that creation of several small incisions causes less injury than creation of a single larger incision, even if the incisions have equal total lengths when added together. This conclusion was based on a cylindrical geometric model used to calculate the injury volumes of different sizes of laparoscopic incisions.

Considering that a cylinder’s volume (v) is calculated as \( v = \pi r^2 h \) (where “v” is the injury volume in mm\(^3\), “\( \pi \)” is a numerical constant with the value of 3.14, “r” as the radius, and “h” is a constant representing the average value of the abdominal wall height (which is 7.125mm in female cats (LAWALL, 2015)), it was possible to deduce that the injury volume is directly and exponentially proportional to the radius of the incision. Furthermore, the greater the volume, the greater the injury and pain (CARVALHO & CAVAZZOLA, 2010).

Application of this formula to veterinary surgical practice enabled the verification that MINI is advantageous compared with all other laparoscopic techniques used for OHE in female cats that required incisions in relation to the estimated volume of parietal injury.

Considering that three 5mm portals are used in conventional laparoscopy, the estimated parietal injury is approximately of 419.46mm\(^3\). In modified laparoscopy, which uses two 5mm portals and one 3mm portal, the estimated parietal injury is approximately of 329.97mm\(^3\). In single port access, a 15mm incision is created, and the estimated parietal injury is 1258.45mm\(^3\). In video-assisted access, two 5mm portals are used, and the estimated injury volume is 279.64mm\(^3\), whereas the MINI technique uses two 3mm portals and one 5mm portal and has an estimated injury volume of 240.48mm\(^3\). Therefore, the sum of the total length of incisions is not a determining factor for the extent of damage (LAWALL, 2015).

Each animal in this study was administered prophylactic ampicillin prior to surgery, considering that some of the laparoscopic instruments could not be sterilized by autoclaving, although they were subjected to high-level disinfection (SILVA et al., 2003) through immersion in peracetic acid.

During surgery, the laparoscope was inserted approximately 4-5cm cranial to the umbilicus, as described by SCHIOCHET et al. (2009). However, MAYHEW & BROWN (2007) have reported positioning of the laparoscope just below the umbilicus, which allows for good visualization of the abdominal organs. The laparoscope used and its proper positioning provided adequate visualization of anatomical structures, enabling execution of the proposed surgical technique. Although the use of a smaller scope results in reduced parietal injury due to the use of smaller trocars, the use of a 2 or 3mm optic would considerably increase the cost of the procedure because the instruments are moderately durable and expensive; and these features not provide significant benefits to the technique (CARVALHO et al., 2009; CARVALHO et al., 2012).

Bipolar electrocautery enabled excellent coagulation of the tissues. Similar results have been described by SCHIOCHET et al. (2009) and BART et al. (2003) in comparisons to mono and bipolar electrocautery in laparoscopic ovariectomy. The power used in the present study was 15 watts; however, the indications vary at approximately 20 watts (FREEMAN & HENDRINKSON, 1998).

To remove tissues resulting from OHE, FREEMAN & HENDRINKSON (1998) have suggested transferring the laparoscope to one of the lateral portals and removing the agglomerate under direct vision through the portal in which the optic is inserted. However, we did not modify the scope position in the present study. Ovaries and uterus were removed through the incision of the right lateral portal (portal B) under direct vision for all animals.

Only one of the 15 animals required enlargement of the portal “B” incision to remove the uterus, ovaries and adjacent tissues. MALM et al. (2004) reported the same requirement for two of 15 patients in their study. DAVIDSON et al. (2004) also mentioned this possibility and considered incision enlargement to be...
important. Tissue maceration is another option for organ removal (KOLATA & FREEMAN, 1998). However, these procedures were not used in this study because one of the aims was to verify the actual feasibility of whole organ removal through the MINI approach.

Upon completion of the OHE procedure, the abdominal cavity was inspected under reduced abdominal pressure to identify eventual mild or unapparent bleeding sites during pneumoperitoneum (BRUN et al., 2000). Portals were removed under laparoscopic vision (FREEMAN & HENDRINKSON, 1998), and the incisions were sutured after removal of the remaining CO₂.

Insertion sites of 5mm must be sutured to prevent omental herniation (FREEMAN & HENDRINKSON, 1998); therefore, the location corresponding to the scope portal was sutured. The other two lateral portals received only skin suturing as described by MANAZZA et al. (2001). Incisional hernia was not observed in any of the animals.

BRUN et al. (2000), DAVIDSON et al. (2004), and MALM et al. (2004) have reported hemorrhage as a trans-surgical complication. AZEVEDO (2011) has reported that vascular injuries is the most common cause of death in laparoscopic procedures. In our study, only one animal presented hemorrhage of the uterine vessels, which was probably due to insufficient hemostasis; this animal was subjected to new cauterization, which did not stop the bleeding. Thus, conversion to celiotomy was selected, as indicated by BRUN et al. (2000), corroborating the assertion of De LISLE et al. (1995), who reported that hemorrhage due to major injury requires immediate action, such as electrocoagulation or even conversion to laparotomy.

MANAZZA et al. (2001) have reported difficulties in achieving hemostasis using the MINI technique. The conversion of laparoscopic surgery due to hemorrhage has also been described in humans (FANFANI et al., 2012). In small animal laparoscopy, BUOTE et al. (2011) have reported a conversion rate of 21%, which is different from that observed in this study (6.7%).

Fewer postoperative complications were observed in this study compared with previous studies (DAVIDSON et al., 2004; MALM et al., 2005; MAYHEW & BROWN, 2007; SCHIOCHET et al., 2009; MANASSERO et al., 2012). We observed subcutaneous emphysema in seven of the 15 animals in this study. The emphysema resolved in all of these animals within the first 12 post-operative hours. This complication probably occurred due to the leakage of CO₂ gas around the trocars, as described by BRUN et al. (2000) and BECK et al. (2003).

Emphysema has been reported to occur during surgical procedures in clinical medicine (SAVASSI-ROCHA, 1995) and veterinary medicine (BECK et al., 2003), but it does not prevent continuation of the procedures (CAMPOS & ROLL, 2003). In most cases, it resolves spontaneously through gas reabsorption without causing damage to the patient (DELISLE et al., 1995).

The animals in this study did not develop surgical wound complications, in contrast with the findings of MALM et al. (2005). However, in these previous studies (BRUN et al., 2000; MALM et al., 2004; MALM et al., 2005), trocars of 6mm or larger were used.

The operative time, defined as the time from the beginning of the first incision to the last skin suture (COISMAN et al., 2014), was an average of 62 minutes, which is shorter than that reported by SCHIOCHET et al. (2009), who performed OHE on felines with three portals using the same hemostatic method. However, it is longer than that reported by FERREIRA et al. (2011), who used a technique with only two portals, characterized as a video-assisted or hybrid technique (MINAMI et al., 1997). DAVIDSON et al. (2004) have suggested that a maximum surgical time of 60 minutes is appropriate; this time is very close to the average time of the procedures in this study.

**CONCLUSION**

Based on the results of this study, use of the MINI approach for OHE in female cats is feasible and represents another option among the surgical techniques used for routine elective surgical sterilization.
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