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A B S T R A C T
The ongoing pandemic of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) has posed a serious threat to global public health. Drug repurposing is a time-efficient approach to finding effective drugs against SARS-CoV-2 in this emergency. Here, we present a robust experimental design combining deep learning with molecular docking experiments to identify the most promising candidates from the list of FDA-approved drugs that can be repurposed to treat COVID-19. We have employed a deep learning-based Drug Target Interaction (DTI) model, called DeepDTA, with few improvements to predict drug-protein binding affinities, represented as KIBA scores, for 2440 FDA-approved and 8168 investigational drugs against 24 SARS-CoV-2 viral proteins. FDA-approved drugs with the highest KIBA scores were selected for molecular docking simulations. We ran around 50,000 docking simulations for 168 selected drugs against 285 total predicted and/or experimentally proven active sites of all 24 SARS-CoV-2 viral proteins. A list of 49 most promising FDA-approved drugs with the best consensus KIBA scores and binding affinity values against selected SARS-CoV-2 viral proteins was generated. Most importantly, 16 drugs including anidulafungin, velpatasvir, glecaprevir, rifapentine, flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD), terlipressin, and selinexor demonstrated the highest predicted inhibitory potential against key SARS-CoV-2 viral proteins. We further measured the inhibitory activity of 5 compounds (rifapentine, velpatasvir, glecaprevir, anidulafungin, and FAD disodium) on SARS-CoV-2 PLpro using Ubiquitin-Rhodamine 110 Gly fluorescent intensity assay. The highest inhibition of PLpro activity was seen with rifapentine (IC50: 15.18 μM) and FAD disodium (IC50: 12.39 μM), the drugs with high predicted KIBA scores and binding affinities.

1. Introduction
Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) resulting from severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), has become a global pandemic with severe effects on global public health. As of 10th November 2021, more than 251 million confirmed cases of COVID-19 have been reported with an estimated 5 million deaths globally [1]. Despite the availability of multiple different vaccines against SARS-CoV-2, there is still a pressing urgency to make an expedited
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The approach of supervised deep learning can be used to predict drug-target binding affinities. In this stratagem, a deep learning model is trained on the experimentally available binding affinities of several protein-ligand complexes. Several available benchmark protein-ligand complex datasets, providing the experimental binding affinities, are PDB [11], DAVIS [12], and KIBA [13,14] datasets. These have been used in several studies to predict the binding affinities of the complexes. The training of the deep learning model is realized using physical or structural features of protein-ligand complexes on the training dataset. A properly trained model can be employed to predict the binding affinities of unseen protein-ligand complexes. A binary prediction classifier has already been proposed [15,16] which takes into account the several input representations of the protein-ligand complex. In several other deep learning network studies, a protein-ligand interaction scoring has been predicted, training the convolutional neural network (CNN) using the three-dimensional structure of the associated complexes [17,18]. The main source of these complexes is PDB [11]; however, the small number of interactions is a limitation as only 25000 protein-ligand complexes have been documented. DeepDTA [19] is another novel approach that uses SMILES and FASTA sequences of ligands and proteins, respectively. In the DeepDTA approach, two separate CNN blocks have been employed to train the protein and ligand sequences and eventually combined in a fully connected layer called DeepDTA. In this study, we have employed DeepDTA with few improvements.

Another computational approach that is employed to study drug-target interaction is virtual screening. Virtual screening can be performed by using molecular docking - a technique that samples the ensemble of binding modes to predict preferred pose(s) in which the ligand can bind with the receptor at a certain location, known as active/binding site, to form a stable complex [20,21]. A binding mode refers to a unique conformation along with orientation and translation of the ligand. Ranking of the preferred binding modes/poses is carried out by evaluating a mathematical function, known as scoring function, that quantifies the stability of the complex formed by a particular pose of the ligand with the receptor [22,23]. In our work, docking was performed using actual simulation as it is more realistic compared to its alternatives [23,24]. We have used the results of docking simulations to get docking scores of potential candidate drugs with COVID19 viral proteins. To reduce the time required for around 50,000 docking simulations, we made use of a recently published open-source high throughput screening platform virtualflow [25] to parallelize the docking scenarios across multiple machines and CPU cores.

In this study, we have employed a multidisciplinary, multimodal approach combining deep machine learning and large-scale molecular docking experiments sequentially to identify FDA-approved drugs that can be used as an effective treatment against SARS-CoV-2. We have then studied the inhibitory activity of selected drugs against the PLpro enzyme of SARS-CoV-2 to experimentally validate some of the findings of our in-silico analysis.
2. Methods

2.1. Deep learning model overview

In our work, we have employed a deep learning-based DTI-model, called DeepDTA, with few improvements. Our deep learning model automatically incorporates useful and required features from raw ligands and proteins into the model to predict the drug and protein interactions. We have utilized 1-D sequences of ligands (SMILES) and proteins (FASTA) to train our model. SMILES (Simplified Molecular Input Line Entry System) representation of molecules has been exploited rather than physical and chemical properties associated with the ligands. We have employed the Bi-LSTM blocks of neural networks instead of CNN used by DeepDTA to learn the SMILE representation of molecules whereas fully connected CNN has been engaged to learn the FASTA representation of proteins.

2.2. Dataset

We have used a benchmark KIBA dataset for training our model and prediction evaluation of binding affinities, which has been tapped previously in a handful of studies. The KIBA dataset comprises selectivity assays of the kinase proteins family and the associated inhibitors. It predominantly embodies the corresponding KIBA scores. The KIBA values have been contrived by combining \( K_i \), \( K_d \) and \( IC_{50} \) values obtained from several sources. This Dataset has been created from the original 52,498 drugs, which has been filtered to 2111 unique drugs. The pool of all shortlisted drugs has at least 10 measured interactions, yielding a total of 229 proteins out of all 467 targets and a total of 118,254 interactions. In our work, we have employed the same KIBA dataset used by DeepDTA.

2.3. Input representation

In our approach, we have employed byte pair encoding to represent the SMILES of ligands and FASTA sequences of the proteins. SMILES have a varied length based upon the number of atoms and type of bonds in the drug. Since we used Bi-LSTM to learn the representation of drugs, we used an end token to indicate the end of the SMILES. We utilized CNN for learning protein representation, so we set the maximum length of the FASTA sequence to 1000 characters. We truncated larger sequences and padded zeros to smaller sequences.

2.4. Proposed model

Our prediction model is based upon two sub-models. One part takes SMILES as input and the second part takes FASTA as input. SMILES are encoded via byte pair encoding (BPE) and then passed to a novel Bi-LSTM learning approach for sequence analysis. It has been successfully used in recent studies for text sequence analysis. This part yields an effective representation of drugs. FASTA sequences of proteins are also encoded with BPE and then trained with Convolutional neural networks (CNN). This part learns the representation of the proteins. These separate representations are then concatenated and passed through three fully connected layers. Our CNN model greatly relies on the number and size of filters to define dependencies of the sequences and we have chosen appropriate values to fit the scenario. As the size of filters and the number of filters are varied, the performance of CNN also varies with it. All layers of networks are shown in the block diagram (Fig. 1).

The interaction pairs of the KIBA dataset have been split into training and testing datasets. As an activation function, we used Rectified Linear Unit (ReLU) mathematically represented as \( g(z) = \max \{26\} \), which has been widely used in deep learning studies. A learning model is trained to minimize the difference between the expected and actual value. We have formulated it as a regression task, mean squared error (MSE) has been chosen as an appropriate loss function. The learning has been accomplished with the 70 epochs (initial training parameters set at a maximum number of 100 epochs with early stopping criteria of training or validation error more than 10% of the concordance index) and a mini-batch size of 512 is chosen to update the weights and hyperparameters of our networks. The chosen optimization algorithm to train the networks is ADAM which had the default learning rate of 0.001.
2.5. Prediction of KIBA scores for FDA approved and investigational drugs

After training the model, we have predicted the KIBA scores of FDA-approved and investigational drugs. The FDA-approved and investigational drugs have been retrieved from Canadian DrugBank\(^2\). The DrugBank database is a handy pool of drugs that includes detailed drug information and their corresponding interactions. The DrugBank is composed of approved 3546 drugs, including 2630 small molecule drugs and 1372 approved biologics (proteins, peptides, vaccines, and allergens), and over 9000 drugs that are either under investigation or experimentation\(^3\). From DrugBank, we have retrieved SMILE sequences for both FDA-approved and investigational drugs. The SMILE sequences of various drugs were not available on the DrugBank and ultimately based upon available SMILES sequences we have incorporated 2440 FDA-approved drugs whereas 8168 investigational drugs have been analyzed. The FASTA sequences of 24 viral proteins have been acquired from the published genome of SARS-CoV-2 available at C-I-TASSER [27]. Both of them, in combination, have been supplied to the trained deep learning model, which predicted all corresponding KIBA scores.

2.6. Selection of FDA approved drugs for virtual screening

FDA-approved drugs were ranked according to their KIBA scores for each viral protein. Two mutually non-exclusive subsets of drugs were prepared. Subset A comprised of drugs making it to the top 50 drugs with the highest KIBA score for any of 24 viral proteins. Subset B comprised of drugs with KIBA scores of greater than or equal to 11.5 for all 24 viral proteins. Two subsets were then combined, duplicates were removed and drugs with significant interaction with less than 3 viral proteins were removed. The resulting set of FDA-approved drugs were then subjected to Virtual Screening.

2.7. Molecular docking simulations

We made use of recently published structures of 24 viral proteins for COVID19 [27] and the three-dimensional structures of these proteins were retrieved. We performed an extensive literature search for the availability of binding site data for these viral proteins. If the structures are available and their binding site data is elucidated, it is made use in this research work. In the other case, the predicted binding sites for all the proteins are provided as determined by several binding site prediction algorithms e.g. COACH, S-Site, FINDSITE, ConCavity etc [28]. In our simulations for the sake of uniformity, we made use of the predicted binding sites given by C-I-TASSER [27] from which we have obtained our protein structures. For every site, we computed the search box mean by averaging the coordinates of residues forming the site. The size of the search box was set to be the difference of maximum and minimum along all three dimensions. Afterward, we discarded the search boxes that were contained in other search boxes. This resulted in 284 potential sites to be tested in total. In the case of published active site data, we relied on that data completely. Autodock tool was used to preprocess docking files for Autodock Vina docking algorithm [29]. The structure files for ligands were obtained from PubChem [30] and converted to pdbqt. Various tools like Chimera [31] and ChemOffice [32] were used for the refinement and proper energy minimization of the structures of ligands. The refined and best stereochemical quality structures having the suitable number of minimization steps were then docked into the active site of the target proteins using AutoDock and AutoDock Vina. The simulations were executed in parallel on eight compute nodes with eight CPU cores each. To parallelize the whole procedure, we made use of virtualflow [32], an open source platform that automates docking simulations across multiple machines in a scalable manner. Every docking scenario was run once on one CPU core with an exhaustiveness value fixed to 8 for all scenarios. VMD [33] (Visual Molecular Dynamics) and Chimera were used for the analysis of best-docked conformations and interaction of drugs with active residues.

2.8. Molecular Operating Environment

We further validated the results of our AutoDock molecular docking simulations on MOE (Molecular Operating Environment) for selected ligand-protein interactions. By removing water molecules and performing 3D protonation on the protein molecule, the structure was refined. The energy minimization of the 3D protonated protein molecule was carried out using the MOE (Molecular Operating Environment) (http://www.chemcomp.com/) software package’s energy minimizing method. Gradient: 0.05, Force Field: MMFF94X + Solvation, Chiral Constraint: Current Geometry were the energy minimization parameters. The energy minimization was automatically terminated when the root mean square gradient fell below 0.05. The final product (minimized protein structure) was used to simulate molecular docking. Like the protein, the energy minimizing algorithm of the MOE tool was used to carry out energy minimization of all the ligand molecules. All the minimized ligand molecules were saved in mol2 format and exported in a single database of.mdb file format. Finally, the prepared database of ligand molecules was subjected to molecular docking via MOE-Dock. The MOE-Dock methodology was used for molecular docking. The ligX tool within the MOE package was used to predict the ligand-protein interactions.

2.9. Measurement of IC50 of selected drugs against PLpro

SARS Cov-2 PLpro activity was measured in a fluorescence intensity assay with the use of Ubiquitin-Rhodamine Gly110 as a substrate. Experiments were performed in 384 shallow well, black polypropylene (Thermo Scientific Nunc 267461) assay plates. The assay buffer contained 20 mM TRIS (pH8), 0.05% CHAPS, and 2 mM Beta Mercaptoethanol. PLpro at a concentration of 100 nM was preincubated with compounds at a defined concentration for 20 min. Later, 100 nM Ubiquitin-Rhodamine 110 was added to initiate the DUB cleavage assay. Fluorescence intensities were monitored every 5 min interval at excitation 485 nm and emission 535 nm. Increased fluorescence intensities were recorded as a result of enzyme-catalyzed cleavage of quenched Rhodamine 110-Glycine. Inhibitory activity of 5 compounds (rifapentine, glecaprevir, and FAD disodium with high predicted binding affinities; velpatasvir with intermediate binding affinity; and Anidulafungin with low binding affinity) on SARS-CoV-2 PLpro was assessed in these assays.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Modified DeepDTA identified key FDA approved drugs targeting SARS-CoV-2 viral proteins

Using the DeepDTA based model, we predicted drug-protein binding affinities, represented as KIBA scores, for 2440 FDA approved and 8168 investigational drugs against 24 SARS-CoV-2 viral proteins, yielding KIBA scores for a total of 254,592 interactions (Figure S1 and Table S1). These proteins include four structural proteins; spike (S), envelope (E), membrane (M), and nucleocapsid (N) [34]. N protein forms the capsid that protects the viral RNA while E, M, and S proteins make the outer coat of the virus that surrounds the capsid [27,34]. Spike protein plays a crucial role in viral attachment, entry, and fusion into the target host cell [35–37]. Two essential proteins constituting the viral replication-transcription complex are helicase and non-structural protein 12 (nsp12) [38–40]. Main protease (M\(^\text{pro}\)) also called 3CL\(^\text{pro}\) [41,42] and papain-like protease(s) process the huge polyproteins encoded by the SARS-CoV-2 genome. These proteins are key targets for an effective antiviral therapy [38,39,41–44]. Predicted KIBA scores for all these interactions are provided in the supporting Table S1.

By applying our predefined filtering strategy (See Section 2.6 of
Table 1
FDA approved drugs with best predicted KIBA and AutoDock vina binding affinity values against selected SARS-CoV-2 Viral Proteins.

| Sr # | Drug Name | PubChem Drug ID | Drug Action | Protein Name | KIBA Score | Docking Binding Affinity Values (kcal/mol) |
|------|------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------|------------|-------------------------------------------|
| 1    | Anidulafungin | 166548          | Antifungal  | RNA-directed RNA polymerase (RdRp) | 11.7602    | -13.4                                     |
|      |            |                 |             | Helicase (Hel) | 11.7768    | -9.0                                      |
|      |            |                 |             | Guanine-N7 methyltransferase (ExoN) | 11.7908    | -13.2                                     |
|      |            |                 |             | Uridylate-specific endoribonuclease | 11.7605    | -12.3                                     |
|      |            |                 |             | Surface glycoprotein (S) | 11.7623    | -15.3                                     |
|      |            |                 |             | N | 11.6237 | -14.3                                     |
| 2    | Isavuconazonium | 6918606       | Antifungal  | Non-structural protein 2 (ns2) | 11.5497    | -10.9                                     |
|      |            |                 |             | N | 11.6357 | -10.9                                     |
| 3    | Procaine Penicillin G | 5903       | Antibiotic  | Proteinase 3CL-PRO | 11.1248 | -9.3                                      |
| 4    | Quinupristin   | 5388937        | Antibiotic  | RNA-directed RNA polymerase (RdRp) | 11.7965 | -12.2                                     |
|      |            |                 |             | Surface glycoprotein (S) | 11.5520 | -12.1                                     |
|      |            |                 |             | N | 11.6461 | -11.8                                     |
| 5    | Rifapentine   | 125659016      | Antibiotic  | Papain-like proteinase | 11.7672 | -8.6                                      |
|      |            |                 |             | Surface glycoprotein (S) | 11.6241 | -11.4                                     |
|      |            |                 |             | N | 11.7584 | -11.6                                     |
| 6    | Rifabutin     | 135415564      | Antibiotic  | Non-structural protein 2 (ns2) | 11.8004 | -11.0                                     |
|      |            |                 |             | RNA-directed RNA polymerase (RdRp) | 11.8209 | -11.5                                     |
|      |            |                 |             | Helicase (Hel) | 11.8829 | -10.5                                     |
|      |            |                 |             | Surface glycoprotein (S) | 11.7498 | -12.7                                     |
|      |            |                 |             | N | 11.8253 | -11.7                                     |
| 7    | Polymyxin B   | 49800004       | Antibiotic  | RNA-directed RNA polymerase (RdRp) | 11.8949 | -14.2                                     |
|      |            |                 |             | Surface glycoprotein (S) | 11.8941 | -12.6                                     |
|      |            |                 |             | N | 11.7840 | -12.7                                     |
| 8    | Cobicistat    | 25151504       | Antiviral   | Non-structural protein 2 (ns2) | 11.8630 | -10.7                                     |
|      |            |                 |             | RNA-directed RNA polymerase (RdRp) | 11.9491 | -11.4                                     |
|      |            |                 |             | Guanine-N7 methyltransferase (ExoN) | 11.8669 | -14.1                                     |
| 9    | Elbasvir      | 71661251       | Antiviral   | RNA-directed RNA polymerase (RdRp) | 11.9396 | -13.6                                     |
|      |            |                 |             | Guanine-N7 methyltransferase (ExoN) | 11.8797 | -13.5                                     |
|      |            |                 |             | Uridylate-specific endoribonuclease | 11.9112 | -11.7                                     |
|      |            |                 |             | Surface glycoprotein (S) | 11.8991 | -13.7                                     |
|      |            |                 |             | N | 11.8131 | -13.8                                     |
| 10   | Velpatasvir   | 67683363       | Antiviral   | Non-structural protein 2 (ns2) | 11.4887 | -10.8                                     |
|      |            |                 |             | RNA-directed RNA polymerase (RdRp) | 11.5925 | -11.9                                     |
|      |            |                 |             | Guanine-N7 methyltransferase (ExoN) | 11.3040 | -13.5                                     |
|      |            |                 |             | Uridylate-specific endoribonuclease | 11.5538 | -11.2                                     |
|      |            |                 |             | Surface glycoprotein (S) | 11.7373 | -14.5                                     |
|      |            |                 |             | N | 11.5965 | -11.7                                     |
| 11   | Pibrentasvir  | 58031952       | Antiviral   | RNA-directed RNA polymerase (RdRp) | 11.8812 | -12.7                                     |
|      |            |                 |             | Guanine-N7 methyltransferase (ExoN) | 11.7442 | -12.3                                     |
|      |            |                 |             | Uridylate-specific endoribonuclease | 11.8894 | -11.4                                     |
|      |            |                 |             | Surface glycoprotein (S) | 11.0692 | -13.1                                     |
|      |            |                 |             | N | 11.7755 | -12.9                                     |
| 12   | Glecaprevir   | 66828839       | Antiviral   | Papain-like proteinase | 11.7672 | -9.3                                      |
|      |            |                 |             | Helicase (Hel) | 11.9120 | -10.5                                     |
|      |            |                 |             | RNA-directed RNA polymerase (RdRp) | 11.9551 | -11.7                                     |
|      |            |                 |             | 2′-O-Methyltransferase (2′-O-MT) | 11.8422 | -11.8                                     |
|      |            |                 |             | Surface glycoprotein (S) | 11.7496 | -11.8                                     |
|      |            |                 |             | N | 12.0036 | -11.9                                     |

B. Hormonal

(continued on next page)
| Sr # | Drug Name | PubChem Drug ID | Drug Action | Protein Name | KIBA Score | Docking Binding Affinity Values (kcal/mol) |
|------|-----------|-----------------|-------------|--------------|------------|------------------------------------------|
| 13   | Abiraterone| 132971          | Antiandrogen | M            | 11.5199    | −7.7                                     |
| 14   | Aminonide  | 443958          | Corticosteroid | M | 11.8256    | −9.1                                     |
| 15   | Atosiban   | 5311010         | Tocolytic | RNA-directed RNA polymerase (RdRp) | 11.8447    | −12.5                                    |
| 16   | Carbetocin | 16681432        | Uterotonic | Surface glycoprotein (S) | 11.9298    | −11.7                                    |
| 17   | Cortisone  | 5745            | Corticosteroid | M | 11.6792    | −7.3                                     |
| 18   | Danazol    | 28417           | Androgen | M | 11.8513    | −7.2                                     |
| 19   | Deoxycorticosterone | 5952 | Corticosteroid | M | 11.6867    | −7.6                                     |
| 20   | Desmopressin | 5311065      | ADH Analog | RNA-directed RNA polymerase (RdRp) | 11.9439    | −13.6                                    |
| 21   | Ethynodiol diacetate | 9270       | Progesterone Receptor Agonist | N | 11.5968    | −12.3                                    |
| 22   | Pentetreotide | 72128        | Octreotide Analog | M | 11.7367    | −7.5                                     |
| 23   | Somatostatin | 16129706     | Octreotide Analog | M | 11.6792    | −7.3                                     |
| 24   | Progesterone | 5994          | Sex Steroid | M | 11.7184    | −7.3                                     |
| 25   | Prednisone | 5865           | Corticosteroid | M | 11.7184    | −7.3                                     |
| 26   | Terlipressin | 72081         | Vasopressin Analog | M | 11.7700    | −12.5                                    |
| 27   | Vindesina  | 11643449       | Guanine-N7 methyltransferase (ExoN) | RNA-directed RNA polymerase (RdRp) | 11.4910    | −12.8                                    |
| 28   | Nilotinib  | 644241          | Tyrosine-Kinase Inhibitor | N | 11.7376    | −11.6                                    |
| 29   | Exemestane | 60198           | Aromatase Inhibitor | W | 11.7234    | −10.9                                    |
| 30   | Etoposide | 36462           | Topoisomerase Inhibitor | W | 11.7234    | −10.9                                    |
| 31   | Epirubicin | 41867           | Anthracycline antineoplastic antibiotic | W | 11.7234    | −10.9                                    |
| 32   | Enasidenib | 89683805        | Isocitrate Dehydrogenase Inhibitor | W | 11.7234    | −10.9                                    |
| 33   | Daunorubicin | 30223         | Anthracycline antineoplastic antibiotic | W | 11.7234    | −10.9                                    |
| 34   | Cabazitaxel | 9854073        | Microtubule Inhibitors | W | 11.7234    | −10.9                                    |
| 35   | Docetaxel | 148124          | Microtubule Inhibitors | W | 11.7234    | −10.9                                    |

(continued on next page)
Methods for details), 184 out of 2440 FDA-approved drugs (top 7.5%) with high predicted binding affinity scores were identified. Sixteen drugs with either severe toxicity or topical use only (eyes and skin) were excluded. The remaining 168 drugs included 48 antimicrobial, 18 drugs with either severe toxicity or topical use only (eyes and skin) were miscellaneous group of drugs included dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP-4) selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors, and antipsychotic agents. A derivates (Riboflavin monophosphate and FAD), and biotin. Hormonal Vitamin derivatives included vitamin D derivatives and analogs, flavin cistat), 5 anti-HCV drugs (sofosbuvir, elbasvir, pibrentasvir, glecaprevir and velpatasvir), 2 neuraminidase inhibitor (peramivir, oseltamivir) and 36 other agents from miscellaneous classes of drugs. ( Table S2 ). Antimicrobial agents included antibiotics, antiviral, vitamin derivatives, and 36 other agents from miscellaneous classes of drugs. The three highest predicted KIBA inhibitors of selected SARS-CoV-2 proteins (Table 1).

### Table 1 (continued)

| Sr # | Drug Name                  | PubChem Drug ID | Drug Action                  | Protein Name                  | KIBA Score | Docking Binding Affinity Values (kcal/mol) |
|------|----------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|------------------------------------------|
| 36   | Brigatinib                 | 68165256        | Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitor    | Non-structural protein 10 (nsp10) | 11.8086   | -11.8                                    |
|      |                            |                 |                              | RNA-directed RNA polymerase (RdRp) | 11.7737   | -11.4                                    |
|      |                            |                 |                              | Non-structural protein 2 (nsp2) | 11.7242   | -10.7                                    |
| 37   | Selinexor                  | 71481097        | Antineoplastic               | Papain-like proteinase       | 11.5764   | -7.1                                     |
| 38   | Cholecalciferol            | 5280795         | Vitamin D- Steroid           | M                           | 11.7619   | -7.2                                     |
|      | Flavin adenine dinucleotide| 643975         | Coenzyme                     | Papain-like proteinase       | 12.0973   | -11                                      |
|      |                            |                 |                              | RNA-directed RNA polymerase (RdRp) | 11.6978   | -12.8                                    |
|      |                            |                 |                              | Helicase (Hel)               | 11.8304   | -11.2                                    |
|      |                            |                 |                              | Guanine-N7 methyltransferase (ExoN) | 11.3726   | -13.6                                    |
|      |                            |                 |                              | Uridylate-specific endoribonuclease | 11.8032   | -12.3                                    |
|      |                            |                 |                              | Surface glycoprotein (S)     | 12.2218   | -12.9                                    |
|      |                            |                 |                              | N                           | 11.6575   | -13.3                                    |
|      |                            |                 |                              | Papain-like proteinase       | 11.8168   | -7                                       |
| 40   | Riboflavin 5'- monophosphate| 643976         | Vitamin B2 derivative        | Helicase (Hel)               | 11.8329   | -9.7                                     |
|      |                            |                 |                              | Guanine-N7 methyltransferase (ExoN) | 11.7765   | -12.5                                    |
|      |                            |                 |                              | Surface glycoprotein (S)     | 11.6961   | -12.7                                    |
|      |                            |                 |                              | N                           | 11.7036   | -11.2                                    |
| 41   | Dabigatran etexilate       | 135565674       | Anticoagulant                | Host translation inhibitor nsp1 | 11.9048   | -8.2                                     |
|      |                            |                 |                              | Helicase (Hel)               | 11.9629   | -9.2                                     |
|      |                            |                 |                              | Proteinase 3CL-PRO           | 11.5352   | -8.7                                     |
|      |                            |                 |                              | Non-structural protein 2 (nsp2) | 11.8811   | -11.1                                    |
| 42   | Dihydroergotamine          | 10531           | Ergot Derivative             | Host translation inhibitor nsp1 | 11.9048   | -8                                       |
|      |                            |                 |                              | Helicase (Hel)               | 11.9629   | -9.2                                     |
| 43   | Edoxaban                   | 10280735        | Anticoagulant                | Proteinase 3CL-PRO           | 11.3610   | -8.4                                     |
|      |                            |                 |                              | Non-structural protein 2 (nsp2) | 12.0029   | -11.1                                    |
| 44   | Elexacaftor                | 134587348       | Corrector of the CFT1 protein| Non-structural protein 2 (nsp2) | 11.7761   | -12.1                                    |
| 45   | Ergotamine                 | 8223            | Ergot alkaloid with vasoconstrictor and analgesic property. | Host translation inhibitor nsp1 | 11.9048   | -8                                       |
|      |                            |                 |                              | Helicase (Hel)               | 11.9629   | -9.2                                     |
| 46   | Manidipine                 | 4008            | Calcium Channel Blocker      | Non-structural protein 2 (nsp2) | 12.0029   | -11.1                                    |
|      |                            |                 |                              | Guanine-N7 methyltransferase (ExoN) | 11.7171   | -12.1                                    |
| 47   | Mivacurium                 | 5281042         | Neuromuscular Blocker        | Surface glycoprotein (S)     | 11.5691   | -13.2                                    |
| 48   | Tadalafil                  | 110635          | PDE-5 Inhibitor              | Host translation inhibitor nsp1 | 11.7874   | -8.5                                     |
|      |                            |                 |                              | Non-structural protein 2 (nsp2) | 11.6870   | -11.2                                    |
| 49   | Oxatomide                  | 4615            | First-generation H1-antihistamine| Papain-like proteinase       | 12.1627   | -7.3                                     |

3.2. Molecular docking simulations identified the most promising inhibitors of selected SARS-CoV-2 proteins

We obtained the protein structures for docking from the Zhang lab server (https://zhanglab.ccmb.med.umich.edu/COVID-19/). To verify the accuracy of these structures, we performed a comparison with available crystal structures. We found that the root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) of backbone atomic positions was in the range of 0.5–1.9 Å, establishing the reliability of the Zhang lab structures. It is worth mentioning that these structures were determined experimentally [35-37,39,41] and were published when the manuscript was in the process of compilation.

This server has reported 24 proteins or peptides encompassing the complete genome of SARS-CoV-2. We aim to disrupt the pathways where these proteins are involved to inhibit the normal functioning and
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replication cycle of the virus. We ran docking simulations for 168 selected drugs with high predicted KIBA scores against 285 total predicted and/or experimentally proven active sites of all 24 SARS-CoV-2 viral proteins \[27,36,37,39,41\]. This yielded binding affinity values (kcal/mol) for 47,880 docking simulations (For details of all docking sites and docking energies, see supporting Table S3). AutoDock vina binding affinity values were plotted against KIBA scores of these drugs and drugs with the best consensus KIBA scores and binding affinity values were selected. (For visualization of KIBA scores and docking binding affinity values of shortlisted drugs, see our interactive plot in Supporting Figure S2 available online). This provided a list of the top 49 FDA-approved drugs that are predicted to effectively inhibit selected SARS-CoV-2 viral proteins through 134 key drug-protein interactions with a high degree of confidence. These include antimicrobials (n = 12), hormonal agents (n = 14), anti-neoplastic drugs (n = 11), vitamin derivatives (n = 3) and miscellaneous drugs (n = 9) (Table 1). Details of these drugs with the predicted KIBA scores and docking affinity values are provided in Table 1. The results of ligand-protein interactions on molecular docking using AutoDock are summarized in supporting Table S4.

We have shortlisted eighteen docked complexes after extensive analysis and interaction mapping based on their significance in the viral pathways. Complete results for docking energies and active site details are provided in Table S3. The shortlisted complexes include proteins host translation inhibitor (NSP1), papain-like protease (PLpro), protease 3CL-pro, RNA-directed RNA polymerase (RdRp), helicase (Hel), surface glycoprotein (S), and nucleocapsid phosphoprotein (N) with key drugs anidulafungin, velpatasvir, glecaprevir, rifabutin, procaine
penicillin G, tadalafil, riboflavin 5'-monophosphate, flavin adenine dinucleotide, terlipressin, desmopressin, elbasvir, oxatomide, enasidenib, edoxaban, and selinexor. The energy values and other information for the selected complexes are provided in Table 1.

In a broader context, PLpro, 3CL-pro, RdRp, and Hel are involved in virus RNA synthesis and replication. Henceforth, more research is being carried out on these targets due to their biological significance. Their structures are mostly available and well elucidated. The fifth protein, NSP1, is the virulence factor that is related to assisting the virus in gene expression and interfering host immune response. The remaining two proteins, that is, S and N are the structural proteins of the virus assisting it in attachment and binding to the host. Results of molecular docking and screening experiments clearly showed that certain drugs have higher affinities for a particular protein target. Here we briefly discuss the results of docking experiments for these drug-protein complexes.

The active site of PLpro is deduced through superimposition with a crystal structure having PDB code 6W9C.A. The RMSD value for backbone atoms came out to be 0.76 Å. There are 4 domains in the monomer of the PLpro enzyme, i) an extended ubiquitin-like domain, ii) thumb domain, iii) palm domain and iv) fingers domain. The binding site for inhibitors is present in between the thumb and palm domains. Three key drugs namely rifapentine, glecaprevir, and FAD disodium have shown better binding affinity values than others (Fig. 2). Other drugs with good binding affinities include riboflavin 5'-monophosphate, oxatomide, velpatasvir, and selinexor. The active pocket of the enzyme majorly consists of hydrophilic residues as Tyr857, Asp909, Arg911, Glu912, Tyr1009, Asn1012, Tyr1013, Gln1014, Cys1015, His1017, and Tyr1018. Other residues present on the interface of thumb and palm domains are Leu907, Gly908, Val910, Thr913, Met953, Pro992, Pro993, Gly1016, and Thr1046. It is noted that riboflavin 5'-monophosphate binds to more hydrophilic residues as compared to other drugs. However, the best binding affinity is shown by oxatomide having both hydrophilic and hydrophobic interactions in a better fit (Fig. 2 and S2a).

The results of MOE docking are given in Supporting Table S5 and Figure S2b.

3CL-pro contains two chymotrypsin-like β-domains and an α-helical domain [41]. Domains I and II have the substrate-binding domain in between them and it is represented in figure (Fig. 3a). Residues spanning the active site around the drugs procaine penicillin G, enasidenib, and edoxaban are Thr24, Thr25, Thr26, Leu27, His41, Cys44, Thr45, Ser46,
Glu47, Met49, Leu50, Phe140, Leu141, Asn142, Gly143, Ser144, Cys145, His163, Met165, Glu166, Leu167, Pro168 and Gln189 (Fig. 3b–f). The results of MOE docking for these interactions are given in Supporting Table S5 and Figure S3.

Residues His41, Ser46, Met49, leu141, Asn142, Glu166, Pro168, and Gln189 are involved in hydrogen bonding with the inhibitors while Asn142 in all three drugs is forming a salt-bridge interaction as well.

RdRp contains an RdRp domain, a nidovirus N-terminal extension domain, both connected by an interface domain [39] (Fig. 4a). The inhibitor binding site is present on the RdRp domain for RdRp inhibition. Elbasvir, which is a direct antiviral medication, shows good binding with RdRp (Fig. 4b and c). Several hydrophobic residues are involved in the binding of elbasvir and anidulafungin into the binding site of RdRp. Major contributing residues in drug binding are Ile548, Ser549, Arg569, Ile589, Ala685, Ser759, Leu758, Ala688, Glu573, Leu576, Asp760, Asp761, and Cys622. Hydrogen bonding interactions are formed by Ile548, Ser549, Ser759, Cys622, Asp760, Ala550, Lys551, Tyr689, Lys798, Lys777, Cys813, and Ser814. The results of MOE docking for these interactions are given in Supporting Table S5 and Figure S4.

Helicase contains five domains namely N-terminal zinc-binding domain, stalk domain, 1A, 2A, and 1B with inhibitor binding site between domain 1A and 1B (Fig. 5a). The drugs flavin adenine dinucleotide, desmopressin, glicaprevir, and rifabutin inhibit viral helicase protein with the lowest binding affinities. These drugs mainly form hydrogen bonding and electrostatic interactions due to the presence of majority hydrophilic amino acid residues such as Asp260, Glu261, Asn265, His290, Glu319, Lys320, Arg442, Arg443, His464, Lys465, Ser539, Glu540, Asp542, Arg567, and Lys569. Both Arg442 and Arg443 form salt-bridge interactions with the drugs contributing to the tight binding. Fig. 5(b–i) shows a snapshot of all the residues involved in binding. The results of MOE docking for these interactions are given in Supporting Table S5 and Figure S5.

In the second category of targets, Host translation inhibitor or NSP1 is selected for detailed analysis. Tadalafil is a drug that improves exercise capacity by relaxing muscles of the blood vessels and increase of blood flow. Tadalafil and enasidenib show promising results and the best energy values in our docking experiments with NSP1. The binding site contains hydrophobic residues such as Leu39, Leu88, Val89, Leu123, Phe143, and Pro153. Several hydrogen bonds are formed by amino acids: Arg43, Lys72, Glu87, Lys125, Asp144, Tyr145, and Asp144.

Our third category of targets includes S and N proteins. S protein consists of two functional subunits (S1 and S2) (Fig. 6a). It is reported that S protein binds to human ACE2 to enter the cell correlating with its speedy dissemination [36]. A structure for spike protein is reported [35] and deposited in RCSB protein databank (PDB) having PDB ID 6VXX. It was matched with the structure from the Zhang lab server and the RMSD value for backbone atoms came out to be 1.88 Å. We have observed three different drugs to show strong potency for the S protein target: anidulafungin, velpatasvir, and terlipressin. The key residues that are present in the active binding pocket include: Ser45, Ser46, Leu48, Glu281, Leu303, Ser305, Phe306, Thr307, Glu309, Lys310, Arg815, Phe823, Asn824, Thr827, Leu828, Ala829, Ala831, Ala846, Arg847, Leu849, Lys854, Leu945, Gly946, Leu1203, Lys1205, Tyr1209, lle1210, Pro1213, lle1216, and Trp1217. Terlipressin is a large compound covering a wide area over the protein surface. It is involved in majority hydrogen bonds and electrostatic interactions. The results of MOE...
Anidulafungin, a member of the echinocandin class of antifungals, appears to be a promising candidate against SARS-CoV-2 and has high predicted KIBA scores and low docking energies against key viral proteins including RdRp, helicase, exonuclease, S, and N. Anidulafungin is used for the treatment of mucosal and invasive fungal infections [46]. Itraconazole, another triazole antifungal approved for the treatment of invasive aspergillosis and invasive mucormycosis, showed high binding affinities for nsp2 and N proteins (Table 1). Interestingly, anidulafungin was shown in a recent study to exhibit strong in-vitro antiviral activity against the SARS-CoV-2 virus with an IC50 value of 4.64 μmol [47]. Although rare, cases of invasive fungal infections have been reported in the literature in association with severe influenza [26] and severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) virus infection [48]. Whether COVID-19 patients are also at increased risk of invasive fungal infections is yet to be investigated. Anidulafungin with its potential antiviral activity against SARS-CoV-2 and proven antifungal activity against invasive fungal infections appears to be a promising candidate.

To experimentally validate the inhibitory potential of identified drugs against SARS-CoV-2, we ran a fluorescent intensity assay. SARS-CoV-2 PLpro activity was measured in this fluorescence intensity assay with the use of Ubiquitin-Rhodamine GLY110 as a substrate. IC50 of 5 compounds (rifapentine, velpatasvir, glecaprevir, anidulafungin, and FAD disodium) against SARS-CoV-2 PLpro was measured (see methods). FAD disodium, the drug with the highest predicted KIBA score and binding affinity value, demonstrated the highest inhibitory activity against PLpro with an IC50 of 15.18 μM. Other drugs with high inhibitory activity were Rifapentine (IC50 of 15.18 μM) and glecaprevir (IC50 of 36.85 μM) (Fig. 7). Anidulafungin with a relatively low KIBA score and an unfavorable predicted binding affinity for PLpro demonstrated low inhibitory activity (Fig. 7).

Our study highlights the potential of HCV protease inhibitors (elbasvir, velpatasvir, glecaprevir, and pibrentasvir) in inhibiting SARS-CoV-2 proteins. Elbasvir, an HCV NSSA inhibitor, demonstrated the best docking energies with RdRp, a key viral enzyme and an important therapeutic target for COVID-19. In other studies, elbasvir [49] and velpatasvir [50] have been reported to dock well with 3CLpro. Glecaprevir, an HCV NS3/4A protease inhibitor, is often given in combination with pibrentasvir, an NSSA inhibitor, for treatment-experienced cases of HCV infection. While the safety profile of this combination has been well-established [51], the potential of this combination in treating COVID-19 has not been examined so far. Rifabutin and rifapentine belong to the rifamycin group of antibiotics. Both rifabutin and rifapentine inhibit mycobacterial DNA-dependent RNA polymerase, thereby suppressing the initiation of RNA formation, and are used in combination with other drugs for the treatment of tuberculosis [52]. With the demonstrated inhibitory activity against PLpro in our study, rifapentine can be a promising therapeutic option that needs further investigation.

Selinexor, an FDA-approved drug for the treatment of relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma [53], is predicted to inhibit SARS-CoV-2 PLpro in our study. Selinexor is an inhibitor of Exportin-1 (XPO1), which is an important protein involved in the transport of multiple proteins across the nuclear envelope. In addition to its role in cancer, XPO1 also plays an important role in facilitating the transport of viral proteins across the host cell nuclear envelope [54]. Studies have shown that XPO1 plays a critical role in SARS-CoV viral replication by controlling the export of certain SARS-CoV proteins out of the nucleus [55]. We hypothesize that selinexor has both direct antiviral activity through inhibiting PLpro and indirect activity through modulation of host target proteins. A multinational clinical trial has recently been launched to study the efficacy of selinexor in patients with severe COVID-19 disease (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT04349098). Enasidenib, another anti-neoplastic drug, inhibits mutant forms of isocitrate dehydrogenase 2

Fig. 5. 3D representation of helicase structure highlighting N-terminal zinc-binding domain (tan), stalk domain (green), 1A (blue), 2A (red), and 1B (orange) with inhibitor binding site between domain 1A and 1B (a); 2D and 3D representation of active site residues surrounding the drugs flavin adenine dinucleotide (b, c), desmopressin (d, e), rifabutin (f, g), and glecaprevir (h, i). Inhibitor dockings for these interactions are given in Supporting Table S5 and Figure S6.
(IDH2) and is approved for the treatment of refractory form of acute myeloid leukemia (AML) [56]. Here, we have shown that enasidenib demonstrates high binding affinity with two key SARS-CoV-2 viral proteins: 3CLpro and nsp1.

Edoxaban is a rapidly acting selective factor Xa inhibitor and belongs to the Novel Oral Anti-Coagulant (NOACs) class of drugs. In our study, edoxaban has demonstrated the best binding affinity with 3CLpro that is a key enzyme involved in SARS-CoV-2 viral replication and an emerging drug target. Recently, a wealth of clinical data has suggested that COVID-19 is a hypercoagulable state associated with an increased incidence of thrombosis in critically ill patients. Therefore, anticoagulation is being recommended for prophylactic and therapeutic [57]. Given the potential to inhibit 3CLpro, ease of oral administration, and anticoagulant activity, edoxaban appears to be a promising candidate drug for treating COVID-19. Further in vitro and clinical studies are warranted.

Flavin mononucleotide (FMN; also known as riboflavin-5’-phosphate) and flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD) are two coenzymes produced from riboflavin (vitamin B2) and function as a prosthetic group of various oxidoreductases. FMN is predicted to have a high binding affinity with PLpro while FAD has high predicted affinities for RdRp, helicase, S, and N amongst other SARS-CoV-2 viral proteins. FAD is a redox-active cofactor that is essential for the functioning of flavoenzymes that play a critical role in many biochemical processes such as oxidative metabolism of macromolecules and electron transport chain [58]. Studies have shown that the intracellular redox state may play an important role in inhibiting viral replication [59]. In one study FAD was shown to enhance the antiviral activity of interferons against herpes virus-1 and influenza virus type A [59]. FAD can also increase the intracellular activity of glutathione and nitric oxide synthase, both of which may play important roles in inhibiting viral replication. FAD has shown binding affinity with spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 in another docking-based study (vina score −7.3) [60]. In another study using molecular docking, riboflavin was found to interact with Papain-like...
protease (PLpro) and flavin mononucleotide (FMN) interacted with 3C-like main protease (3CLpro) of SARS-CoV-2 virus [43]. Other studies have shown that FAD can decrease lung injury in influenza A H5N1 infected mice by altering the levels of immune response-related genes [61]. In conclusion, the results of our study coupled with evidence from literature dictate that FAD may play an important role against the SARS-CoV-2 virus by directly targeting the virus as well as the host response to the viral replication. However, further evidence from in-vitro and in-vivo studies is required.

We believe that our work can pave way for further studies. We did not include topological information and Physico-chemical properties of the ligands in the training of the model. Further studies incorporating this information are recommended. Additionally, the results of our molecular docking analysis need further validation through molecular dynamic simulations.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, we have combined deep learning and molecular docking simulations to identify the most promising candidates from the list of FDA-approved drugs that can be repurposed to treat COVID-19. These drugs include anidulafungin, velpatasvir, glecaprevir, rifabutin, rifapentine, procaine penicillin G, taladafil, riboflavin 5’-monophosphate, flavin adenine dinucleotide, terlipressin, desmopressin, elbasvir, oxatomide, enasidenib, edoxaban, and selinexor amongst others. FAD and rifapentine demonstrate significant inhibitory activity against PLpro in a fluorescence intensity assay with the use of Ubiquitin-Rhodamine GLY110 as a substrate. Further in-vitro studies are indicated to investigate the antiviral potential of some of these drugs. For drugs with proven in vitro antiviral activity against SARS-CoV-2, clinical trials are warranted.
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