Impacts of discriminated PM$_{2.5}$ on global under-five and maternal mortality
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Globally, it was estimated that maternal and under-five deaths were high in low-income countries than that of high-income countries. Most studies, however, have focused only on the clinical causes of maternal and under-five deaths, and yet there could be other factors such as ambient particulate matter (PM). The current global estimates indicate that exposure to ambient PM$_{2.5}$ (with ≤ 2.5 microns aerodynamic diameter) has caused about 7 million deaths and over 100 million disability-adjusted life-years. There are also several health risks that have been linked PM$_{2.5}$, including mortality, both regionally and globally; however, PM$_{2.5}$ is a mixture of many compounds from various sources. Globally, there is little evidence of the health effects of various types of PM$_{2.5}$, which may uniquely contribute to the global burden of disease. Currently, only two studies had estimated the effects of discriminated ambient PM$_{2.5}$, that is, anthropogenic, biomass and dust, on under-five and maternal mortality using satellite measurements, and this study found a positive association in Africa and Asia. However, the study area was conducted in only one region and may not reflect the spatial variations throughout the world. Therefore, in this study, we discriminated different ambient PM$_{2.5}$ and estimated the effects on a global scale. Using the generalized linear mixed-effects model (GLMM) with a random-effects model, we found that biomass PM$_{2.5}$ was associated with an 8.9% (95% confidence interval [CI] 4.1–13.9%) increased risk of under-five deaths, while dust PM$_{2.5}$ was marginally associated with 9.5% of under-five deaths. Nevertheless, our study found no association between PM$_{2.5}$ type and global maternal deaths. This result may be because the majority of maternal deaths could be associated with preventable deaths that would require clinical interventions. Identification of the mortality-related types of ambient PM$_{2.5}$ can enable the development of a focused intervention strategy of placing appropriate preventive measures for reducing the generation of source-specific PM$_{2.5}$ and subsequently diminishing PM$_{2.5}$-related mortality.

In 2017, it was estimated that the daily maternal deaths (i.e., during pregnancy and childbirth) were over 800, with most of these deaths occurring in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs). The global variation in the maternal mortality ratio between high-income (11 deaths per 100,000 live births) and low-income countries (462 deaths per 100,000 live births) was noticeable, and this highlights the differences between the rich and the poor countries in terms of health outcomes. Moreover, the lifetime risk of maternal death was equally high in low-income countries (1 death in 45 women) than in high-income countries (1 death in 5400 women)$^{1}$. These high maternal death rates in LMICs was also reflected in the deaths of the under-five children, which is estimated to be high in some regions like the Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA, 76 deaths per 1000 live births) as compared to that of other regions like the European region (9 deaths per 1000 live births) in 2018$^{2}$. Nevertheless, most studies on the maternal and under-five deaths are mainly clinically-focused, and yet these deaths might not only be a result of clinical factors such as postpartum hemorrhage and eclampsia. Other factors such as environmental causes like ambient particulate matter (PM) could be related.

The current global estimates indicate that exposure to ambient PM$_{2.5}$ (with ≤ 2.5 microns aerodynamic diameter) has caused about 7 million deaths and over 100 million disability-adjusted life-years$^{3}$. There are also several health risks that have been linked to PM$_{2.5}$, including mortality, both regionally and globally$^{1-4}$; however, PM$_{2.5}$ is a mixture of many compounds from various sources. Globally, there is little evidence of the health effects of discriminated PM$_{2.5}$ (i.e., the major component of PM$_{2.5}$), which may uniquely contribute to the global burden.
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of disease. Currently, there are limited studies that have estimated the effects of discriminated or categorized ambient PM$_{2.5}$ that is, anthropogenic, biomass and dust, on under-five and maternal mortality using satellite measurements, and these studies found a positive association in Africa$^2$ and Asia$^3$. However, these studies$^4,5$ were regional and may not reflect the spatial variations throughout the world. Therefore, in this study, we discriminated ambient PM$_{2.5}$ and estimated the effects on a global scale. Using the generalized linear mixed-effects model (GLMM) with a random-effects model, we found that biomass PM$_{2.5}$ was associated with an 8.9% (95% confidence interval [CI] 4.1−13.9%) increased risk of under-five deaths, while dust PM$_{2.5}$ was marginally associated with 9.5% of under-five deaths. Nevertheless, our study found no association between PM$_{2.5}$ type and global maternal deaths. This result may be because the majority of maternal deaths could be associated with preventable deaths that would require clinical interventions. Identification of the mortality-related types of ambient PM$_{2.5}$ can enable the development of a focused intervention strategy of placing appropriate preventive measures for reducing the generation of source-specific PM$_{2.5}$ and subsequently diminishing PM$_{2.5}$-related mortality.

Studies have indicated that sources of PM$_{2.5}$ may vary and are likely to contribute to the accumulation of various toxic compounds that are suspended in the air, such as sulphur oxides (SO$_x$), carbon monoxide (CO), particulates, and nitrogen oxides (NO$_x$)$^6$−$^10$, which may then contribute to various health problems and subsequently an increase in the global burden of disease. Policy makers have also set global no-threshold limits for exposure to ambient PM$_{2.5}$ (i.e., daily exposure less than 25 μg/m$^3$ while annual exposure less than ≤ 10 μg/m$^3$); yet, there is still an ongoing discussion of the need to harmonize ambient air quality standards since these standards vary greatly among regions and countries$^{4,11,12}$. From these discussions, questions have also arisen as to whether each country or each region should set its own air quality standards. Nevertheless, harmonizing the national and global air quality standards may still be an issue and a challenge worthy of discussion since the point sources of ambient PM$_{2.5}$ vary from place to place. Therefore, ambient PM$_{2.5}$ may be linked to a variety of elements suspended in the air in different areas, which may have diverse health effects. Some authors$^6$−$^{10}$ have developed a modest method for identifying and quantifying different ambient PM$_{2.5}$ types that are suspended in the air$^{13}$, and this method has been applied to study the types of ambient PM$_{2.5}$ and mortality in Africa$^4$.

The types of ambient PM$_{2.5}$ were measured and quantified using the same techniques, and the global effect on mortality was then estimated before a dose–response relationship in the different world regions was determined. We used the most recent satellite-based measurements of country-level annual ambient PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations and country-level annual under-five and maternal mortality. Satellite data are important in this study because most low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) do not have adequate ground-based air quality monitoring sites that could provide real-time data. Several studies from LMICs have also used satellite data$^{14,15}$.

Unlike one of the previous studies that focused on only the African region$^4$, we employed the random-effects modelling technique using a generalized linear mixed-effects model (GLMM) with a spatial covariance structure, Poisson link function, natural cubic spline, and penalized quasi-likelihood (PQL) approach to adjust for the time, season and spatial variations in PM$_{2.5}$ and mortality within and between different countries and regions (“Methods”). Natural spline was used as a smoothing function. The fixed-effects model is only appropriate when there is no variation between different regions or areas. However, in the case of handling global data, we expect variations between and within countries and regions; hence use of the random-effects model would provide true estimates in our analyses. The country and regional boundaries were determined before the annual means of the different types of PM$_{2.5}$ and mortality were estimated for each country and each region (Methods; Table 1). The data over 16 years (i.e., 2000–2015) were analysed to determine the effects of the types of ambient PM$_{2.5}$ on mortality after adjusting for potential confounders.

### Results and discussions

The global frequency distributions of major PM$_{2.5}$ types (Fig. 1a) were significantly different among regions, in particular heavy PM$_{2.5}$ loadings occurred in North America, Central Africa, West Asia, South Asia and East Asia (Fig. 1b). The impacts on human health after long-term exposure could be distinct in each region and should be carefully considered.

A meta-analysis approach was used to estimate the global effects of the discriminated types of PM$_{2.5}$ on under-five deaths (Fig. 2 and Table 2) and maternal deaths (Fig. 3 and Table 2). The results of the random-effects model for the global estimates indicated that biomass PM$_{2.5}$ (Fig. 2b) was associated with an 8.9% (95% confidence interval [CI] 4.1−13.9%; p < 0.001) risk of under-five deaths. This result is consistent with recent evidence on non-discriminated ambient PM$_{2.5}$, which found a 9.2% increase in infant mortality$^4$. Consequently, it could possibly be argued that biomass PM$_{2.5}$ could have contributed to a great portion of the 9.2% increase in infant mortality in the Heft-Neal, et al.$^4$ study. Further testing would be necessary to show this point. The annual average biomass PM$_{2.5}$ levels were, however, greater than 30 μg/m$^3$ in most of the regions (Table 1), but the risk of death increased only in Africa by 1.2%, and the Americas and Asia contributed to the largest proportions at 26.0% and 48.6%, respectively (Fig. 2b and Table 2). The environmental health literature also suggests an association between biomass burning, which is used for cooking, and under-five mortality in different regions$^{4,13,15}$.

The dust PM$_{2.5}$ (Fig. 2c and Table 2) marginally increased the risk of under-five mortality by 9.3% (p = 0.058). However, the increased risk of death was statistically significant in only the Americas and Asia at 23.8% and 45.9%, respectively. Saharan dust events (i.e., African dust storms) inject large amounts of mineral dust into the air over the Atlantic Ocean and have been linked to the increase in PM$_{2.5}$ in North America, Central America, the Caribbean and Europe in the months between June and October$^{16,17}$. Our study also found that the annual mean levels of dust PM$_{2.5}$ were relatively high in North America and Asia compared to other regions (Table 2). Ironically, the desert area of Northern Africa had low levels of annual mean dust PM$_{2.5}$ (26.7 μg/m$^3$), possibly because larger particles settle very fast in the area, while small particles (PM$_{2.5}$) remain suspended in the air and are then transported to other regions by wind$^{18}$. Dust storms are natural phenomena that are not associated with
local economic activity, and the effects can be reduced only when appropriate health and safety measures and environmental control strategies are considered, such as using dust masks, increasing the vegetation cover, and designing buildings appropriately.

We also found no relationship between anthropogenic PM$_{2.5}$ and under-five mortality using the random-effects model (Fig. 2a). There was only an 11.2% increase in the risk of under-five deaths in the Americas region, but this increase was not statistically significant. This finding may be possibly explained by the assumption that under-five children spend much of their time indoors, unlike adults. Notwithstanding this reason, other possible confounders and limitations of actual exposure measurements may help in explaining the results.

The global estimates, however, indicated a lack of association between different types of ambient PM$_{2.5}$ and maternal deaths (Fig. 3), except for a positive relationship between biomass PM$_{2.5}$ and maternal deaths in Asia (Fig. 3b), with a 4.3% increased risk of death. Most mothers from low-income households use biomass fuel for their daily cooking and thus have an increased risk of exposure to biomass PM$_{2.5}$.

It is therefore imperative to think that most maternal deaths are clinically related, which would then require clinical solutions to maternal deaths. It is still important to adequately estimate how much of these deaths are contributed by PM$_{2.5}$.

Finally, to estimate the dose–response relationship between the discriminated ambient PM$_{2.5}$ and the under-five and maternal mortality, we used a generalized additive mixed-effects model (GAMM) with a random-effect estimation procedure (Methods). Since estimations of the dose–response relationship for global data may not be linear, the penalized spline smoothing function was used to determine the non-linear relationship between the discriminated ambient PM$_{2.5}$ and the under-five and maternal mortality (Figs. 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9). However, the results on the dose–response relationship of the global biomass PM$_{2.5}$ and the under-five mortality and maternal mortality indicated a slight increase in the risk of under-five deaths (Fig. 8) and maternal deaths (Fig. 8) after surpassing a biomass PM$_{2.5}$ concentration of approximately 33 μg/m$^3$, suggesting higher levels of exposure than the current global standards, which require daily exposure to be less than 25 μg/m$^3$ while annual exposure should be less than ≤ 10 μg/m$^3$. In our analyses, however, we were unable to determine the global no-threshold levels for air quality standards because of the nonlinearity of the data. Consequently, discussions of global standards and

| Table 1. Descriptive statistics of under-five mortality, maternal mortality, and ambient PM$_{2.5}$ types by region. n Number, SD Standard deviation. |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| **Total countries and islands** | **Under-5 mortality annual mean** | **Maternal mortality annual mean** | **Biomass PM$_{2.5}$, Jan–Dec$^*$** | **Anthropogenic PM$_{2.5}$, Jan–Dec$^*$, μg/m$^3$** | **Dust PM$_{2.5}$, Jan–Dec$^*$** |
| n = 206 | n (SD) | n (SD) | Mean, n (SD) | Mean (SD) | Mean (SD) |
| **Africa** | | | | | |
| Central Africa | 8 | 61,321 (104,706) | 4131 (6862) | 48.2 (11.3) | 36.2 (8.3) | 36.3 (8.2) |
| Eastern Africa | 13 | 68,806 (81,033) | 4613 (5546) | 32.7 (5.9) | 27.4 (3.6) | 26.8 (4.2) |
| Northern Africa | 6 | 22,022 (21,939) | 706 (473) | 27.3 (3.3) | 24.9 (3.6) | 26.7 (3.9) |
| Southern Africa | 10 | 51,982 (53,012) | 2310 (2335) | 29.2 (3.7) | 23.5 (3.4) | 21.5 (2.9) |
| Western Africa | 16 | 97,942 (197,426) | 6061 (13,375) | 41.8 (7.7) | 34.9 (4.8) | 35.2 (5.1) |
| **Americas** | | | | | |
| Caribbean Islands | 19 | 12,401 (17,562) | 579 (817) | 34.1 (1.6) | 27.7 (1.5) | 29.1 (1.3) |
| Mesoamerica (Central) | 8 | 7030 (14,054) | 302 (404) | 36.7 (4.0) | 27.3 (2.2) | 28.7 (3.9) |
| North America | 3 | 24,185 (16,365) | 821 (786) | 32.1 (4.0) | 29.5 (8.3) | 41.1 (16.7) |
| South America | 12 | 12,854 (19,441) | 461 (541) | 33.1 (4.9) | 25.4 (3.8) | 24.7 (5.8) |
| **Asia** | | | | | |
| East Asia | 5 | 73,792 (149,993) | 1446 (2709) | 37.8 (6.1) | 32.5 (5.5) | 34.5 (6.7) |
| North Asia (Russia) | 1 | 23,959 (5463) | 571 (107) | 30.9 (1.8) | 26.7 (2.5) | 42.1 (14.8) |
| Central Asia | 5 | 12,458 (9356) | 118 (66) | 33.2 (3.3) | 28.6 (3.1) | 30.7 (3.3) |
| West Asia | 16 | 11,225 (16,228) | 340 (789) | 34.2 (6.3) | 29.1 (4.5) | 29.9 (4.8) |
| South Asia | 8 | 330,970 (605,292) | 12,618 (23,035) | 38.5 (6.3) | 33.5 (5.9) | 36.5 (7.7) |
| Southeast Asia | 11 | 38,593 (52,828) | 1622 (2672) | 41.5 (6.6) | 30.9 (3.9) | 31.9 (6.1) |
| **Europe** | | | | | |
| Eastern Europe | 12 | 2008 (2335) | 30 (36) | 31.8 (2.0) | 26.1 (2.1) | 29.2 (2.9) |
| Northern Europe | 13 | 6925 (14,182) | 303 (674) | 31.8 (3.0) | 26.2 (5.1) | 29.9 (7.1) |
| Southern Europe | 6 | 1551 (1298) | 23 (26) | 30.8 (3.4) | 24.5 (2.7) | 25.9 (3.2) |
| Western Europe | 16 | 2905 (9610) | 131 (452) | 32.9 (2.8) | 27.7 (2.7) | 28.8 (3.2) |
| **Oceania** | | | | | |
| Australia and New Zealand | 2 | 901 (529) | 14 (6) | 24.3 (0.7) | 19.9 (0.6) | 21.8 (1.5) |
| Melanesia | 5 | 10,957 (15,496) | 490 (728) | 30.8 (3.6) | 24.4 (2.8) | 25.2 (2.7) |
| Micronesia | 6 | 13,386 (18,901) | 644 (878) | 34.8 (0.0) | 28.3 (0.0) | 29.6 (0.0) |
| Polynesia | 5 | 16,022 (19,682) | 763 (917) | 34.7 (0.0) | 28.2 (0.0) | 29.5 (0.0) |
Figure 1. Spatial patterns of frequency and concentration of different PM$_{2.5}$ types globally for 2000–2015. (a) Long-term frequency of PM$_{2.5}$ types with fractions. (b) Long-term average PM$_{2.5}$ concentration (μg/m$^3$) for 2000–2015. The regions of colour in black indicate data absent. (The maps are produced by probability density function of MATLAB (matrix laboratory) software package, version 7.0, https://www.mathworks.com/products/matl.html).
further research are still necessary if revisions are to be made. Moreover, the populations in different regions might also have developed a stronger immune response and resilience to several hazardous elements, thereby increasing the no-threshold limit; this hypothesis warrants more tests and discussions.

Our study has several limitations and strengths. One major limitation is in our study design, which is linked to ecological fallacy—this limits our findings to an aggregate, which cannot be deduced or inferred to an individual. Future studies focused on several high-risk populations and individuals are important to extend the findings of this study. Secondly, we were unable to classify the PM$_{2.5}$-specific mortality, and the use of all-cause mortality is a major limitation in the outcome indicator. Some of the deaths in different countries might have been linked to either clinical or non-clinical factors that are not environmentally-related. This may limit causality. It is therefore necessary that future studies be aligned to cause-specific mortality which can then generate the ambient

Figure 2. Forest plot of the risk of under-five deaths from (a) anthropogenic PM$_{2.5}$, (b) biomass PM$_{2.5}$ and (c) dust PM$_{2.5}$ throughout the world.
PM$_{2.5}$-specific death point estimates. Thirdly, there are other individual and environment factors that we were unable to control for in this study such as demographic characteristics of individuals, precipitation and humidity. These potential confounders were not controlled for because of data limitations. Several studies that would identify and collect several potential confounders are very important. Lastly, the individual level of exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ cannot be adequately determined in this study, and hence it is one of the limitation towards obtaining the true dose–response relationship. There is need of an accurate exposure assessment in a follow-up study so that an accurate dose–response relationship can be determined. Our result should, therefore, be interpreted with a lot of caution since this study only determined a temporal causality.

However, our study had several strengths. First, this is the first study to investigate the link between ambient PM$_{2.5}$ and the global maternal and under-five deaths. Second, the analytical strategy used in this study is very comprehensive. Advanced parametric and nonparametric analytical techniques were used to analyze the data. Estimation of dose–response relationship associated with ambient PM$_{2.5}$ exposures is a major challenge for researchers because of its nonlinearity. Our study used these two approaches to assess the effect of ambient PM$_{2.5}$ in a linear and nonlinear approaches. Finally, the spatial domain in this study covered the entire world wide which is important towards understanding the global effect of ambient PM$_{2.5}$.

### Conclusions

Our study estimated the effects of discriminated ambient PM$_{2.5}$ on the death of the under-five children and their mothers, using the global data of all the countries from different regions of the world, unlike others. The PM$_{2.5}$ from biomass was associated with the global under-five mortality. Close to two decades of satellite and mortality measurements in each country made it possible to estimate the effect of chronic exposure to various types of ambient PM$_{2.5}$ on Earth. Our results suggest that poor air quality is a contributor to global under-five deaths and that appropriate air monitoring techniques and intervention strategies should be put in place to reduce the global burden of disease. It is also of great importance to determine the actual deaths that are attributable to PM$_{2.5}$ to enable a conclusive determination of the no-threshold limits for different regions and, subsequently, the global air quality standards.

With the new development of remote sensing technology for aerosol portioning, the global impacts of discriminated PM$_{2.5}$ on under-five and maternal deaths are carefully examined for the first time using satellite observations. The results illustrated that the different sensitivity of under-five and maternal mortality to the types of PM$_{2.5}$ in regional shown in Figs. 2 and 3 and highly correspondent with the PM$_{2.5}$ concentration as Fig. 1b demonstrated in the regions of Asia and Africa. This research represents a significant advance in public health science related to species of air pollution in daily life, which is currently one of the greatest global issues.

### Methods

**Spatial domain.** The spatial domain included 206 countries and islands that were listed according to the ISO 3166 list of countries maintained by the International Organization of Standardization (Table 3). These countries were grouped according to different geographical locations for our analyses.

| Variable | Adjusted incidence rate ratio (95% CI) | Under-5 mortality | Maternal mortality |
|----------|----------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| **Anthropogenic PM$_{2.5}$** | | | |
| Africa | 1.022 (0.971, 1.075) | 1.042 (0.992, 1.094) |
| Americas | 1.112 (1.052, 1.199) | 1.006 (0.949, 1.066) |
| Asia | 0.911 (0.841, 0.986)* | 0.838 (0.813, 0.864)** |
| Europe | 0.979 (0.968, 0.989)** | 0.992 (0.980, 1.004) |
| Oceania | 1.007 (0.986, 1.029) | 0.998 (0.942, 1.015) |
| **Biomass PM$_{2.5}$** | | | |
| Africa | 1.012 (1.012, 1.012)*** | 0.971 (0.925, 1.020) |
| Americas | 1.260 (1.095, 1.450)** | 1.015 (0.960, 1.074) |
| Asia | 1.486 (1.383, 1.597)*** | 1.043 (1.043, 1.043)** |
| Europe | 1.008 (0.994, 1.022) | 1.028 (0.939, 1.125) |
| Oceania | 1.003 (0.983, 1.024) | 0.937 (0.907, 0.968)** |
| **Dust PM$_{2.5}$** | | | |
| Africa | 0.928 (0.928, 0.928)** | 0.955 (0.923, 0.988)** |
| Americas | 1.238 (1.018, 1.506)** | 0.976 (0.887, 1.063) |
| Asia | 1.460 (1.417, 1.506)*** | 0.898 (0.898, 0.898)** |
| Europe | 0.992 (0.980, 1.005) | 1.005 (0.933, 1.082) |
| Oceania | 1.010 (0.999, 1.022) | 1.022 (1.000, 1.044) |

Table 2. Adjusted incidence rate ratios of under-five deaths and maternal deaths. CI confidence interval. *p ≤ 0.05; **p ≤ 0.01; ***p ≤ 0.001. a In one unit increments of PM$_{2.5}$ concentration. b Generalized linear mixed-effects models (GLMM) random-effect is used with natural cubit spline for smoothing.
**Under-five and maternal mortality data.** The mortality data used in our study were from the World Bank on the annual deaths of children who were 5 years and younger and that of mothers who died during pregnancy. These were count data from 2000 to 2015. Each country reported the total number of deaths during a given year, and this became our outcome of interest.

**Discrimination of ambient PM$_{2.5}$.** The Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) aerosol optical depth products (MYD04/Aqua and MOD04/Terra) were used to derive the PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations. The spectral aerosol optical depth (AOD) was then used to classify different categories of PM$_{2.5}$, that is, anthropogenic, biomass burning and dust. The methods used to derive the spatial and temporal exposure patterns were

---

### Table 1: Fixed effect model vs Random effect model

| Region      | RR     | 95% CI         |
|-------------|--------|----------------|
| Africa      | 1.042  | [0.992; 1.094] |
| Americas    | 1.006  | [0.949; 1.066] |
| Asia        | 0.838  | [0.813; 0.864] |
| Europe      | 1.031  | [0.955; 1.113] |
| Oceania     | 0.978  | [0.942; 1.015] |

**Figure 3.** Forest plot of the risk of maternal deaths from (a) anthropogenic PM$_{2.5}$, (b) biomass PM$_{2.5}$ and (c) dust PM$_{2.5}$ throughout the world.
Figure 4. Penalized spline of anthropogenic PM$_{2.5}$ and under-five deaths by region.

Figure 5. Penalized spline of biomass PM$_{2.5}$ and under-five deaths by region.
Figure 6. Penalized spline of dust PM$_{2.5}$ and under-five deaths by region.

Figure 7. Penalized spline of anthropogenic PM$_{2.5}$ and maternal deaths by region.
Figure 8. Penalized spline of biomass PM$_{2.5}$ and maternal deaths by region.

Figure 9. Penalized spline of dust PM$_{2.5}$ and maternal deaths by region.
| Countries and islands by regions and sub-regions |
|------------------------------------------------|
| Africa                                          |
| Central Africa                                 |
| Burundi, Central African Republic, Chad, Congo, Congo (The DRC), Equatorial Guinea, Gabon, and Sao Tome and Principe |
| Eastern Africa                                 |
| Comoros, Djibouti, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Kenya, Madagascar, Mauritius, Rwanda, Seychelles, Somalia, Sudan (including South-Sudan), Tanzania, and Uganda |
| North Africa                                   |
| Algeria, Egypt, Libya, Mauritania, Morocco, and Tunisia |
| Southern Africa                                |
| Angola, Botswana, Kingdom of Eswatini (formerly Swaziland), Lesotho, Malawi, Mozambique, Namibia, South Africa, Zambia, and Zimbabwe |
| West Africa                                    |
| Benin, Burkina Faso, Cameroon, Cape Verde, Côte d’Ivoire, Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Liberia, Mali, Niger, Nigeria, Senegal, Sierra Leone, and Togo |
| Americas                                       |
| Caribbean Islands                              |
| Anguilla, Antigua and Barbuda, Aruba, Bahamas, Barbados, Bermuda, Bonaire (St Eustatius & Saba), Cayman Islands, Cuba, Curacao, Dominica, Dominican Republic, Grenada, Guadeloupe, Haiti, Jamaika, Martinique, Montserrat, Puerto Rico, Saint Balthemy, Saint Kitts and Nevis, Saint Lucia, Saint Martin (French Part), St Vincent & the Grenadines, Sint Maarten (Dutch Part), Trinidad and Tobago, Turks and Caicos Islands, Virgin Islands (British), and Virgin Islands (U.S.) |
| Mesoamerica (Central)                          |
| Belize, Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Mexico, Nicaragua, and Panama |
| North America                                  |
| Canada, Greenland, and United States           |
| South America                                  |
| Argentina, Bolivia (Plurinational State of), Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Ecuador, Falkland Islands (Malvinas), French Guiana, Guyana, Paraguay, Peru, Suriname, Uruguay, and Venezuela (Bolivarian Rep) |
| Asia                                           |
| East Asia                                      |
| China, Japan, Korea (Dem People’s Rep of), Korea (Republic of), and Mongolia |
| North Asia                                     |
| Russia’s Federation                           |
| Central Asia                                   |
| Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, and Uzbekistan |
| West Asia                                      |
| Bahrain, Cyprus, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Israel, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Oman, Palestine (State of), Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Syrian Arab Republic, Turkey, United Arab Emirates, Yemen, and West Bank & Gaza |
| South Asia                                     |
| Afghanistan, Bangladesh, Bhutan, India, Maldives, Nepal, Pakistan, and Sri Lanka |
| Southeast Asia                                 |
| Brunei Darussalam, Cambodia, Indonesia, Laos People’s Dem Republic, Malaysia, Myanmar, Philippines, Singapore, Thailand, Timor-Leste, and Viet Nam |
| Europe                                         |
| Eastern Europe                                 |
| Albania, Armenia, Azerbaijan, Belarus, Bulgaria, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Georgia, Moldova, Montenegro, Romania, Serbia, and Ukraine |
| Northern Europe                                |
| Denmark, Estonia, Faroe Islands, Germany, Iceland, Ireland, Isle of Man, Latvia, Lithuania, Netherlands, Norway, Sweden, and United Kingdom |
| Southern Europe                                |
| France, Greece, Italy, Malta, Portugal, and Spain |
| Western Europe                                 |
| Andorra, Austria, Belgium, Croatia, Czech Republic, Finland, Hungary, Liechtenstein, Luxembourg, Macedonia (former Yugoslavia), Monaco, Poland, San Marino, Slovakia, Slovenia, and Switzerland |
| Oceania                                        |
| Australia and New Zealand                     |
| Australia and New Zealand                     |
| Melanesia                                      |
| Fiji, Papua New Guinea, Solomon Islands, Vanuatu, and New Caledonia |
| Micronesia                                     |
| Micronesia (Federated States), Guam, Kiribati, Marshall Islands, Nauru, Northern Mariana Islands, and Palau |
| Continued                                      |
explained in one study 26 and applied in another 5. The optical properties of particle size distribution and single scattering albedo (absorption and scattering) are important to distinguish between aerosol types, while the concentrations were calculated using the AOD-PM$_{2.5}$ association for each type of aerosol 27. The PM$_{2.5}$ (μg/m$^3$) types were generated using the following formulas 28:

$$PM_{2.5}^{\text{Biomass}} = 98.3 \times AOD_{660 \text{ nm}} + 15.4;$$

$$PM_{2.5}^{\text{Anthropogenic}} = 62.4 \times AOD_{660 \text{ nm}} + 12.4; \text{ and}$$

$$PM_{2.5}^{\text{Dust}} = 52.8 \times AOD_{660 \text{ nm}} + 9.68.$$

### Potential confounders

The following country-level variables from 2000 to 2015 were used to adjust our model: total number of undernourished, anaemic pregnant women, tuberculosis cases, AIDS deaths, employed, females, population in urban areas, year, country and country’s annual mean temperature. All the data were extracted from the World Bank’s database 24.

### Statistical treatment

After data cleaning, our data were analysed in several stages. First, the monthly PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations were used to generate the annual average concentrations for each country and subsequently for each region. The annual mean mortality and types of PM$_{2.5}$ are presented for each region (Table 1). Second, data were analysed using the penalized quasi-likelihood (PQL) approach in the generalized linear mixed-effects model (GLMM) with a spatial covariance structure and the Poisson link function to obtain the adjusted incident rate ratio (IRR) for each region (Table 2). A natural cubic spline was employed for the smoothing effect while specifying the country and year as the random effects since both the outcome and the exposure were dispersed and correlated over time and across boundaries 26. We also considered spatial variations by employing a spatial covariance structure in our analyses. Third, a meta-analysis approach was used to determine the global estimates of the adjusted risk of death as a result of the PM$_{2.5}$ types (Figs. 2, 3). Finally, the dose–response relationship was determined using the penalized spline and the generalized additive mixed-effects model (GAMM), and year and country were taken as the random effects because of the nonparametric relationship that was exhibited in the global data (Figs. 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9). The degrees of freedom were estimated using generalized cross-validation (GCV). We stratified all our analyses by different geographical regions in the world. The GAMM accounts for the over-dispersion and correlation in an additive non-linear approach, as it considers the random effects in the additive predictor. Moreover, GAMM also uses nested and crossed designs to analyse spatial, clustered and correlated over time and across boundaries 28. We also considered spatial variations by employing a spatial covariance structure in our analyses.

### Data availability

Mortality data used for our analyses are available from the World Bank’s database (databank.worldbank.org) while the PM$_{2.5}$ data were extracted from the MODIS aerosol optical depth satellite products (https://modis.gsfc.nasa.gov/data/dataprod/mod04.php).
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