Multiple Recurrences in Aggressive Forms of Dupuytren’s Disease—Can Patients Benefit from Repeated Selective Fasciectomy?
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Background: In Dupuytren’s disease (DD), limited fasciectomy is the mainstay of surgical therapy in patients at risk of contractures and disease recurrences. New minimally invasive treatments such as injection of collagenase clostridium histolyticum have evolved as a common tool for the preliminary treatment of Dupuytren’s contractures. However, recurrences and their therapy remain controversial. In this study, we evaluate the benefit of repeated limited fasciectomy in patients with aggressive forms of the disease and multiple recurrences of contractures.

Methods: We evaluated the outcome of 16 patients undergoing limited fasciectomy 3 or more times on a single hand.

Results: Postoperatively, 10 of 13 (76.9%) patients were satisfied with the clinical result after the last operation; 10 of 12 (83.3%) patients would choose to have their surgery repeated, if so needed. The mean improvement of proximal interphalangeal joint range of motion was 59.2 degrees (SD 26.8) and 86.2% (SD 19.9). There were no severe complications after treatment within the observed time period.

Conclusions: Our findings demonstrate that patients with recurrence of contractures after multiple previous treatments in aggressive forms of DD can benefit from surgical intervention. In conclusion, repeated limited fasciectomy remains indicated in patients after previous surgeries with DD. (Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open 2017;5:e1247; doi: 10.1097/GOX.0000000000001247; Published online 23 February 2017.)

INTRODUCTION

Dupuytren’s disease (DD) is a hyperproliferative disorder of cellular and connective tissue of the palmar aponeurosis. Its prevalence rates vary from 3% in the US population to 56% of upper age groups in specific European regions.1

About 200 years after the first description of digitopalmal contractures by Guillaume Dupuytren, the etiology of DD is still widely unknown.2 Latest research suggests a greater focus on genetics3,4 and molecular biology.2,5,6 Hindocha et al.7 described 5 statistical risk factors for recurrence of the disease after treatment: family history, bilateral occurrence, ectopic lesions, male sex, and age of onset ≤ 50 years. According to the literature, surgery is the most widely accepted option to treat contractures in DD.8–11 Without treatment, DD can lead to severe flexion contraction at the MCP or PIP joints and cause functional loss of the hand. DD is still a predominant reason for elective finger amputation.12 However, treatment options of DD are changing dramatically toward minimally invasive treatments, for example, injection of collagenase clostridium histolyticum, which are becoming more and more common especially in early stages of the disease. Consequently, the benefit of open surgery in Dupuytren treatment is being challenged medically and socioeconomically.13–18

With open surgery, there is a lower risk for local recurrences in Dupuytren’s contracture in comparison to other...
techniques, but there is no therapy available to avoid recurrences completely. We claim that limited fasciectomy remains the standard therapy for recurrences. Therefore, we examined the outcome of repeated limited fasciectomy in patients with aggressive forms and multiple recurrences of DD.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients
Inclusion criterion was DD with 3 or more surgical interventions on a single hand. Of note, initial surgeries of all patients were not performed in our clinic. Subsequent surgeries were performed in our department.

Measurement of Outcome
For outcome measurement, we examined clinical results including complications, such as infection, circulation disorders of the treated finger, delayed wound healing, and need of extended aftercare. The time from surgical treatment to the end of wound healing (absence of serous spotting on the dressing) for each procedure was recorded.

Mobility of digital joints was examined via range of motion (ROM) and measurement of fingernail to table and fingertip to palm distance. To assess the postoperative clinical outcome of our patient cohort, we took into account the recurrence definition of Felici et al defined as passive extension deficit of more than 20 degrees for at least 1 treated joint, in the presence of a palpable cord, compared with the result at time 0.

Subjective patient satisfaction of hand functional change was queried and objectified by a validated instrument, the Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder, and Hand (DASH) Measure Score. The DASH was scored so that 0 represented the least disability and 100 the most disability.

Indication and Surgical Technique
Our indication for the repeated surgery was the subjectively described and objectively comprehensible functional deficit of the hand or finger due to contraction and associated patient discomfort.

In all cases, the senior author performed a limited fasciectomy of the affected palmar aponeurosis including deep palmar fibers and fibers to subcutaneous tissue. We also performed microsurgical neurolysis and arteriolyis by default. Release of the PIP joint was performed as described by Beyermann et al and Belusa et al. Each patient received a night splint postoperatively for at least the time of wound healing. The procedures performed in the last surgery before examination of clinical results are demonstrated in Table 1.

Ethical Approval
This retrospective study was approved by the ethics committee of Hannover Medical School (No. 2557-2015). All participating patients provided voluntary written informed consent.

RESULTS
We studied 16 patients with a total of 97 invasive treatments including a minimum of 3 limited fasciectomies on a single hand (Fig. 1). Retrospectively, 16 patients [13 male and 3 female, mean age 65 (SD 9)] were examined with DD after more than or equal to 2 previous operations on a single hand. In total, 8 patients underwent fasciec-

Table 1. Left Panel: Patient Characteristics; Right Panel: Procedures Performed in the Last Operation: 14 Arthrolyses, 68 Arteriolyses and Neurolyses

| ID  | Sex | Age | No. Operations | Site of Last Operation | Arthrolysis | Arteriolysis | Neurolysis | Skin Graft | Kirschner-wire | Other Procedures |
|-----|-----|-----|----------------|------------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----------------|
| 1   | m   | 59  | 7 2 9         | Left Open             | 4           | 4           |           |           |              | Arterial anastomosis, local flap |
| 2   | m   | 59  | 1 6 7         | Right Open            | 3           | 3           | 1         |           |              | Local flap          |
| 3   | m   | 51  | 5 2 7         | Left Open             | 2           | 2           |           |           |              | Local flap          |
| 4   | m   | 64  | 2 3 5         | Right Closed          | 4           | 4           |           |           |              | Local flap 2x       |
| 5   | m   | 83  | 0 3 3         | Right Open 3x         | 6           | 6           | 4         |           |              | Local flap          |
| 6   | m   | 66  | 1 3 4         | Right Closed 2x       | 4           | 4           | 1         |           |              | Local flap 2x       |
| 7   | m   | 74  | 3 1 4         | Left Open             | 3           | 3           | 1         |           |              | Arterial anastomosis, local flap 3x |
| 8   | m   | 56  | 1 3 4         | Right Open            | 4           | 4           | 1         |           |              | Local flap 3x       |
| 9   | m   | 78  | 5 1 6         | Left Open 2x          | 4           | 4           | 1         |           |              | Exarticulation DV   |
| 10  | f   | 60  | 5 5 10        | Right                 | 8           | 8           | 1         |           |              | Local flap 3x       |
| 11  | m   | 69  | 2 7 9         | Right Open            | 6           | 6           |           |           |              | Arterial anastomosis, local flap 2x |
| 12  | m   | 53  | 9 2 11        | Left                  |             |             |           |           |              | Resection arthrodesis of PIP joint |
| 13  | m   | 67  | 5 0 5         | Left                  | 4           | 4           |           |           |              | Local flap          |
| 14  | f   | 66  | 3 2 5         | Left                  | 3           | 3           | 2         |           |              | Exarticulation DV   |
| 15  | f   | 62  | 1 3 4         | Right                 | 3           | 3           |           |           |              | Arterial anastomosis, local flap |
| 16  | m   | 72  | 1 3 4         | Right Closed          | 3           | 3           |           |           |              |                 |

Two patients were treated with temporary K-wire fixation. In 4 cases, arterial anastomosis was performed. Sixteen local flaps including z-plastic, jumping-man plastic, and advancement flaps were used to close minor skin defects. One arthrodesis and 2 amputations were performed in one of several affected fingers. In these cases, the other finger rays were treated with limited fasciectomy. DV, little finger.
tomy 3 times and 8 patients underwent surgery and/or minimally invasive treatment between 4 and 8 times. All patients were affected bilaterally. The average age of onset was 39 years (25–50 years). Three patients had known DD in their family history. One patient had Ledderhose disease in his medical history. The mean follow-up was 40 (SD 26) months.

The examination results of subjective patient satisfaction via mail questionnaire are summarized in Figure 2: 76.9% of the examined patients were satisfied with the results of repeat surgery, whereas 83.3% would have another surgical procedure performed if needed. After treatment in our clinic, 3 patients continued their follow-up treatment by other surgeons close to home.

In 13 of 16 patients, fasciectomy was performed on the PIP joint, followed by the metacarpophalangeal joint (MCP) in the remaining cases (n = 3). The mean improvement for the PIP joint was 59.2 degrees (SD 26.8) and 86.2% (SD 19.9; Table 2).

Reviewing the surgical notes, digital blood vessels were often adherent in fibrotic and scar tissue (Fig. 3). In 4 cases, adhesiolysis severed the artery and required arterial anastomosis (Table 1).

In retrospect, we assessed if there were any wound healing disorders, for example, delayed wound healing, infections, or other complications after the last surgery. In 42.9% wound healing disorders and in 64.3% remaining extension deficits (finger nail table distance > 0 cm) were observed in the immediate postoperative period (Fig. 4). Within 4 weeks, all wounds healed completely, and remaining extension deficits were mostly insignificant (Table 2). Furthermore, we examined the resulting ROM 40 months after our last open surgical treatment. Here, the difference in ROM of the last treated joints was less than or equal to 10 degrees in all cases compared with the immediate postoperative result. We observed no major complications (eg, perfusion disorders postoperatively). In one case, with long-term contracture of the little finger, its amputation was performed after preoperative decision according to the preferences of the patient. A single patient with an affected little finger was insensitive to cold after surgery. Another patient continued treatment with a K-wire infection at a different institution.

In average, DASH scores were 15.6 (SD 20.1) in disability and symptom section 40 months after surgery. In high-performance section, mean DASH scores were 13.3 (SD 27.2) in work section (only 8 of 16 patients were scored) and 31.3 (SD 32.3) in sports/music (only 9 patients of 16 were scored; Fig. 5).

**DISCUSSION**

Many patients with DD report surgeons’ hesitation to perform further surgery in cases of multiple recurrences.
Table 2. Pre- and Postoperative Active ROM Measurement

| ID | Joint | Before | After | Difference (degrees) | Difference (%) |
|----|-------|--------|-------|----------------------|---------------|
| 1  | PIP DV | 45     | 30    | 15                   | 33.3          |
| 2  | PIP DIV| 90     | 35    | 55                   | 61.1          |
| 3  | PIP DIII| 80    | 0     | 80                   | 100.0         |
| 4  | MCP DII| 20     | md    | md                   | md            |
| 5  | PIP DV | 90     | 0     | 90                   | 100.0         |
| 6  | PIP DV | 90     | 5     | 85                   | 94.4          |
| 7  | PIP DV | 90     | 20    | 70                   | 77.8          |
| 8  | PIP DV | 90     | 10    | 80                   | 88.9          |
| 9  | PIP DIV| 90     | 0     | 90                   | 100.0         |
| 10 | PIP DV | md     | 0     | md                   | md            |
| 11 | PIP DIV| 60     | 0     | 60                   | 100.0         |
| 12 | PIP DV | md     | md    | md                   | md            |
| 13 | MCP DV | 60     | 10    | 50                   | 83.3          |
| 14 | PIP DIII| 40   | 0     | 40                   | 100.0         |
| 15 | MCP DV | 10     | 0     | 10                   | 100.0         |
| 16 | PIP DIII| 55   | 10    | 45                   | 81.8          |
|    | Mean   | 59.2   | 86.2  |                      | 19.9          |
|    | SD     | 26.8   |       |                      |               |

Extension deficits of last treated joint. Shown are the improvement in degree and the percentage of angle improvement of the operated joints.

DASH scores of 10.1 (SD 14.7) in the general population. In our cohort, we found 5 people with a disability score of greater than or equal to 10.1. We report very good DASH scores in the disability and work section and higher scores in the sports/music section by trend. Ultimately, we demonstrate that patients can benefit from repeated limited fasciectomy. In addition, the functionality of the affected hand can be kept high (Fig. 5).26

Considering that contracture recurrence (not disease recurrence) can be predicted as early as 6 months after surgery for DD,27 we observe excellent medium-term results after limited fasciectomy. Unfortunately, due to our study design, average follow-up varied greatly between 14 and 40 months. However, using the Felici et al.24 definition of recurrence, we did not find any recurrences after 40 months of follow-up. We postulate this is due to the surgical technique as described in the Patients and Methods section performed by our senior surgeon.

The average age of onset of DD was 39 (SD 10) years. However, the exact age may have been earlier, as patients often remembered the date of their first operation but not the onset of their first clinical symptoms. In this cohort, patients had at least 2 risk factors for recurrence as proclaimed by Hindocha et al.,7 which are family history, bilateral occurrence, ectopic lesions, male sex, and age of onset less than 50 years.

As digital blood vessels are often adhered or walled in cords and scar tissue, there is a risk of perfusion disorders, especially under treatment of local contracture recurrence. We found enormous scarring with indistinguishable tissues including vessels. To correct recurrent contractions, walled vessels had to be severed in 4 cases. After removing the cords completely, these vessels were reconstructed. It would be interesting to compare these results with those of collagenase-treated recurrences. In contrast to collagenase treatment, open surgical techniques seem safer because structures can be dissected distinctly and preserved. Vessel injuries can be detected and treated immediately as shown in our studies.

Long-term results for treatment with collagenase injection have yet to be reported.13 Little is known about the quality of tissue after collagenase treatment. To date, few studies demonstrate higher recurrence rates compared with open surgery28 (van Rijssen).

Delayed wound healing was found in every second patient (42.9%), but all wounds healed completely within 4 weeks (closed and epithelialized wounds). In 64.3% of our patients, remaining extension deficits were observed. We explain this high rate with the long time of contracture and skin tissue quality. Nevertheless, contractures were corrected as far as possible, and overall patient satisfaction was as high as 76.9%.

Unfortunately, we could not include DASH score differences in this study because of missing DASH scores before our first surgery. Additionally, new alternative patient-reported outcome measurements like The Unité rhumatologique des affections de la main scale have not been applied consistently at time of treatment and there-
fore was not collected. However, our results demonstrate that the majority of our patients with rapidly progressive forms of DD can benefit from repeat surgeries.

We want to highlight that the patients observed for this study do not represent the average patient with DD. Our small sample stands for a cohort with highly aggressive forms of the disease with early age of onset and rapid progress. In summary, for these patients, we showed excellent results after recurrent fasciectomies. Patients have to be informed about the increased but acceptable risk for delayed wound healing in cases of repeated fasciectomies. Remaining extension deficits are to be expected but limited fasciectomy is still likely to be superior to alternative minimal invasive treatments.

Our results suggest that decision for repeated surgery should not depend upon the number of previous surgeries but should rather be an individually based decision taking into account the clinical symptoms and expectations of each patient.
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