INTRODUCTION

Critical to elucidating mechanisms of leukemogenesis is the identification of genes and pathways crucial to leukemic activity. Many such genes have been revealed by their aberrant expression in patient samples or murine leukemia models. Prominent among such genes are numerous members of the HOX transcription factor family, HOX co-factors of the TALE class of Homeobox genes such as PBX1, PBX2 and MEIS1, and key upstream regulators of HOX and HOX co-factors such as MLL.

Overexpression of the transcriptional co-factor meningioma 1 (MN1) has also been observed in a broad spectrum of acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and has been identified as an independent prognostic marker for AML with normal karyotype. High expression of MN1 is associated with poor prognosis, shorter overall and relapse-free survival, and poor response to treatment. In experimental systems, human MN1 overexpression induces aggressive, fully penetrant AML through the promotion of leukemic cell self-renewal in both human and murine cells, as well as impairment of myeloid differentiation, resistance to all trans retinoic acid-induced differentiation, and repression of the differentiation-promoting transcription factors C/EBPα and PU.1. We have previously reported that MN1-induced leukemias are also associated with upregulation of Hoxa genes and Meis1, as notably apparent when comparing gene expression of Hoxa genes and Meis1, as notably apparent when comparing normal karyotype and murine leukemia models utilising wild-type and variant forms of MN1 with differing leukemogenic potencies, as well as analysis of gene expression differences associated with phenotypic and functional heterogeneity in MN1 leukemic cells. We identify a wider set of genes relevant to MN1 leukemic activity. Most notable among these is Meis2, which we find to be strikingly upregulated in MN1 leukemic cells and essential for MN1 leukemogenic activity in the murine leukemia model. Preliminary analysis of gene expression data from patient samples reinforces the likely and previously unrecognised importance of this additional MEIS family member in human AML. These novel findings complement work identifying MEIS2 as differentially expressed and functionally critical in RUNX1-RUNX1T1-mediated AML, possibly extending the relevance of Meis2 to a range of leukemic subgroups.

METHODS

Detailed methods can be found in Supplementary Materials.

shRNA viral vectors

shRNA sequences were selected based on previously published sequences and ordered as non-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis purified ultramers (Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, IA, USA) for PCR amplification and insertion via Gibson assembly into a lentiviral vector with a spleen focus forming virus promoter and miR-E framework for co-expression of the shRNA with a modified monomeric Kusabira Orange 2 fluorescent protein (meKO2). Primer amplification sequences are provided in Supplementary Table S1 and the shRNA vector (pPRL.PPT. SFFV.meKO2.miR-E) schematic is provided in Supplementary Figure S3A.
In vitro proliferation assays

Cytokine-dependent cell lines were generated from transduced sorted bone marrow cells or from the cKit⁺ fraction of primary MN1-induced leukemic bone marrow after sorting and cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium supplemented with 15% fetal bovine serum, 10 ng ml⁻¹ human IL6 (hIL6), 6 ng ml⁻¹ murine IL3 (mIL3) and 100 ng ml⁻¹ murine stem cell factor. For in vitro growth and proliferation assays, cells were sorted in triplicate 3 days after shRNA transduction using the BD FACS Aria or BD FACS Aria Fusion (both from BD Biosciences, San Diego, CA, USA) and counted using the Vi-Cell XR Cell Viability Analyzer (Beckman Coulter,
Fullerton, CA, USA). For in vitro competitive assays, equal numbers of shRNA-transduced cells and untransduced MN1 cells were sorted by fluorescence-activated cell sorting, and the proportion of meKO2 cells was analysed using the fluorescence-activated cell sorting LSRSFortessa (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA).

Cell cycle and apoptosis assays
Cells were sorted into triplicate wells by flow cytometry 3 days after shRNA transduction or into phosphate buffered saline (PBS) supplemented with 2% fetal bovine serum (FBS) for immediate analysis. Cell cycle analysis was performed on day 0, 3 and 7 after sorting using the APC BrdU Flow Kit (eBioscience, San Diego, CA, USA) and apoptosis assays were performed 0 and 4 days after sorting using 1 x 10^6 unsorted cells and the APC Annexin V Apoptosis Detection Kit (eBioscience). Assays were analysed using the FACS LSRSFortessa (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA).

Bone marrow transplantation and monitoring of mice
Subfractionated or shRNA-transduced bone marrow cells, accompanied by a life-sparing dose of 1 x 10^7 freshly isolated bone marrow cells from congenic mice, were intravenously injected into irradiated recipient mice (single dose of 810 cGy total-body x-ray irradiation). Engraftment of transplanted cells in peripheral blood was monitored every 2–4 weeks as previously described.14 Sick or moribund mice were killed and tissues (e.g., liver) were harvested and fixed in formalin. DNA was extracted and the proportion of meKO2 cells was determined using PCR-based assays.21

RNA extraction, cDNA generation, Agilent gene expression array and gene set enrichment analysis
Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Life Technologies, Burlington, Canada) from MN1 cell subpopulations upon euthanasia, from sorted shRNA-transduced MN1 bone marrow cell lines 72 h after transduction, or frozen cell pellets. RNA cleanup was performed using the GeneJET RNA Cleanup and Concentration Micro Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) for gene expression profiling, which was conducted using the Agilent Mouse GE 8x60K microarray (Agilent Technologies, Mississauga, Canada), GraphPad Prism 6 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA), the Bloodspot database,24 and FLOWJO (Tree Star Inc., Ashland, OR, USA). P-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS
Establishing an experimental framework to explore genes and pathways critical to MN1-induced leukemia
We and others have previously exploited MN1 models of varying leukemic potencies to better understand molecular mechanisms underlying leukemogenesis.26–29 Additionally, our group and others have described phenotypic and functional heterogeneity in MN1 leukemic cells,6–9 suggesting the existence of functionally distinct subpopulations within MN1-induced leukemia. The ability to distinguish between functionally distinct subsets, as well as comparisons of functional and gene expression differences in leukemic cells, have helped identify differentially expressed genes and gene signatures associated with leukemic stem cell (LSC) activity in other AML models.6–8,26–30 Thus, to help identify additional genes relevant to MN1-induced leukemia, we performed gene expression comparisons using models with varying leukemic activity: the non-leukemic MN1 variant lacking the N-terminus (MN1Δ1);14 MN1 fused to the transcriptional activation domain VP16 (MN1VP16), which induces AML with a longer latency and a more mature myeloid phenotype;28 and functionally and phenotypically distinct subpopulations within MN1 leukemic cells, first described in this manuscript. These gene expression data

Figure 1. Primary murine MN1 leukemic cells can be separated into phenotypically distinct populations that are functionally heterogeneous. (a) Experimental design for generation of MN1-transduced 5-FU bone marrow and fractionation of primary bone marrow from moribund mice into three distinct subpopulations based on the cell surface markers cKit and CD11b (cKitnegCD11bneg, cKitnegCD11b+, cKit+CD11b+) by flow cytometry. (b) Serial replating of sorted MN1 bulk, cKit and CD11b bone marrow cells from moribund MN1 mice, represented as cumulative colony counts. Subpopulations from two independent mice, n = 4; error bars represent ± s.e.m.; **P < 0.05, ***P < 0.01 (unpaired t-test versus MN1 bulk). (c) Survival curve of mice transplanted with sorted MN1 bulk, cKit, and CD11b bone marrow subpopulations from leukemic mice transplanted with MN1-transduced cells, n = 6 for MN1 bulk, n = 8 for cKit and CD11b cells; **P < 0.01 (Mantel–Cox). (d) Engraftment in bone marrow of moribund secondary mice transplanted with MN1 bulk, cKit and CD11b cells. n = 6 for MN1 bulk, n = 8 for cKit and n = 4 for CD11b. Unpaired two-tailed t-test in MN1 bulk versus cKit/CD11b. Error bars represent ± s.e.m.; **P < 0.05, ***P < 0.01 (unpaired t-test versus MN1 bulk). (e) Survival curve of mice transplanted with sorted MN1 bulk, cKit, and CD11b bone marrow subpopulations from leukemic mice transplanted with MN1-transduced cells, n = 6 for MN1 bulk, n = 8 for cKit and CD11b cells; **P < 0.01 (Mantel–Cox). (f) Engraftment in bone marrow of moribund secondary mice transplanted with MN1 bulk, cKit and CD11b cells. n = 6 for MN1 bulk, n = 8 for cKit and n = 4 for CD11b. Unpaired two-tailed t-test in MN1 bulk versus cKit/CD11b. Error bars represent ± s.e.m.; **P < 0.05, ***P < 0.01 (unpaired t-test versus MN1 bulk). (g) Representative flow cytometric analysis of cKit and CD11b cell surface markers on GFP+ bone marrow from moribund mice transplanted with MN1 bulk or cKit cells. (h) Heatmap of unsupervised hierarchical clustering of the top 500 differentially expressed annotated gene between cKit and CD11b cells. From three mice representing two independent transductions, unpaired t-test, fold change ≥ 1.5, corrected P-value < 0.05 (Benjamini–Hochberg correction). (i) GSEA of differentially expressed annotated gene sets in cKit versus CD11b cells. NES, normalised enrichment score; FDR, false discovery rate and P-value calculated as previously referenced.17
Phenotypic heterogeneity of primary murine MN1 leukemic cells reflects functional heterogeneity

Bone marrow from moribund leukemic mice transplanted with cells overexpressing MN1 (MN1 mice) is phenotypically heterogeneous, with cells showing variable expression of the immature cell surface markers cKit and Sca1 and myeloid markers Gr-1 and CD11b. To determine if this phenotypic heterogeneity is associated with differential leukemic activity, we subfractionated bone marrow from individual moribund MN1 mice into two following subpopulations: cKit+/CD11b+ henceforth known as ‘cKit’; cKitneg/CD11bneg henceforth known as ‘CD11b’; and cKit+/CD11b+ henceforth known as ‘cKitCD11b+’ (Figure 1a). Functional assessment of these subpopulations’ colony-forming ability reveals that the cKit and CD11b fractions generate significantly fewer colonies with replating ability (unpaired two-tailed t-test, P < 0.05). To investigate the leukemogenic activity of these subpopulations, we transplanted equal numbers of MN1 bulk cells, cKit and CD11b cells into secondary recipients. Cells from the cKit subpopulation retain full LSC activity, with engraftment levels and median leukemia latency identical to bulk MN1 cells (Mantel–Cox test, n.s.) (Figures 1c and d). Immunophenotyping of bone marrow arising from cKit cells also reveals regeneration of the spectrum of cell types seen in bulk bone marrow from MN1 leukemic mice (Figure 1e, Supplementary Figure S1A). Additionally, mice transplanted with MN1 bulk or cKit cells display splenomegaly, elevated white blood cell numbers, and depressed red blood cell and platelet counts compared to CD11b-transplanted mice (unpaired t-test, P < 0.01) (Supplementary Figures S1B and C). In contrast, mice transplanted with CD11b cells largely fail to develop leukemia after 120 days post-transplant, a significant divergence from bulk MN1-transplanted mouse survival (P < 0.01, Mantel–Cox test). Most CD11b-transplanted mice show no engraftment of GFP+ donor cells (Figure 1d), with GFP bone marrow containing CD19+ B cells, CD4+/CD8+ T cells, CD11b+ monocytes, low expression of immature cKit+ cells, few blasts, normal spleen weights, and blood cell counts (Supplementary Figure S1). These data provide support for functional heterogeneity among MN1 leukemic cells and reveal a hierarchical structure consistent with a stem cell model, with the cKit fraction containing leukemia-initiating cell activity and the CD11b subset severely depleted or absent of such cells.

Gene expression analysis of primary murine MN1 leukemic cell subpopulations supports dichotomous functional identities

Having determined that the cKit and CD11b subpopulations contain and are depleted of leukemia-initiating cell activity, respectively, we performed Agilent microarray mRNA gene expression profiling on matched subpopulations from three leukemic mice from two independent experiments. Analysis of this gene expression data reveals 9796 differentially expressed probe sets or 5516 unique annotated genes with a minimum 1.5-fold difference in expression between the cKit and CD11b subpopulations. Unsupervised hierarchical clustering of the top 500 differentially expressed probes provides evidence for differential gene expression between the two subpopulations (Figure 1f). Furthermore, gene set enrichment analysis reveals that CD11b cells are enriched in genes associated with leukocyte maturation and inflammatory and immune signalling, while the cKit fraction is enriched in genes associated with leukemic, HSC-related (HSC-R) and LSC-related (LSC-R) gene signatures (Figure 1g).

Hlf and Hoxa9 are critical to MN1 growth and self-renewal in vitro

To functionally assess the roles of the candidate genes in MN1-induced leukemogenesis, we generated optimised lentiviral shRNA vectors expressing a modified monomeric Kusabira Orange (meKO2; Supplementary Figure S3A) to perform functional assays for in vitro proliferation, competitive growth, self-renewal and differentiation in two independently derived MN1 cell lines. Transduced cells stably expressed an established non-targeting control shRNA directed against Renilla luciferase (shRenilla), or shRNAs against Hlf and Hoxa9 as monitored by meKO2 expression (Supplementary Figure S3B). Knockdown efficiencies of 70–72% (shHlf) or 50–63% (shHoxa9) (Supplementary Figure S3Bii) led to significantly impaired MN1 growth kinetics compared to shRenilla-transduced cells within 14 days (unpaired t-test, P < 0.01; Figure 3a-iii). Major impairments in growth following Hlf or Hoxa9 knockdown is further evident in in vitro competition assays, seeded with equal numbers of GFP+ meKO2− shRNA-transduced MN1 cells and untransduced GFP− MN1 cells, where the contributions of MN1 cells transduced with meKO2− shHlf or shHoxa9 to the total cell population significantly decreases within 7 (unpaired t-test, P < 0.01) and 4 days (unpaired t-test, P < 0.01), respectively, consistent with roles for both genes in MN1 cell growth properties (Figure 3a-iii). Additionally, knockdown of Hlf or Hoxa9 results in a slight increase in CD11b+ cells after 9 days (unpaired t-test, P < 0.01), accompanied by a brief concordant decrease in cKit expression in shHoxa9 cells, providing evidence for a role of HOXA9 in the characteristic myeloid differentiation block seen in MN1 leukemia (Figure 3b). Knockdown of Hlf or Hoxa9 also results in a significant decrease in colony-forming ability during the first two rounds of serial replating (unpaired t-test, P < 0.01) and decreasing percentages of shRNA-expressing...
cells comprising these colonies at later timepoints, suggesting selection against shHlf- and shHoxA9-transduced cells (Figure 3c).

**Meis1 is not essential for MN1 leukemic maintenance**

Given the significant upregulation of Meis1 in leukemic MN1 cells and subpopulations and its demonstrated ability to cooperate with MN1 in leukemic transformation of granulocytic-macrophage progenitor, we assessed the impact of Meis1 knockdown on MN1 leukemic properties. Unlike Hlf and Hoxa9, 38% knockdown of Meis1 (Supplementary Figure S3C) results in only mild impairments in cell growth and short-term colony-forming ability (unpaired t-test, P < 0.01) (Figures 3d and e). Of note, there is a marginal decrease in the proportion of cells expressing shMeis1 over the 14 days of the growth assay, as evident by the decrease in meKO2² cells (unpaired t-test, P < 0.05) (Supplementary Figure S3Cii), although there was no effect on in vitro competitive ability, CD11b expression or cKit expression (unpaired t-test, n.s.) (Supplementary Figures S3Ciii–D). Together, these data suggest that Meis1 is not required for the maintenance of MN1 cell in vitro growth, self-renewal or impairment of differentiation.

**Meis2 is upregulated in immature hematopoietic and MN1 leukemic populations**

Given the prevalence of MEIS1 overexpression in AML, its critical role in MN1 leukemic transformation, and its upregulated gene expression in the two MN1 leukemic versus non-leukemic data sets examined (MN1 versus MN1Δ1 and cKit versus CD11b), the minimal effects of Meis1 knockdown on growth, self-renewal,
and impairment of differentiation stimulated closer examination of a possible role for the MEIS family member MEIS2. Meis2 is expressed at significantly lower levels than Meis1 in normal hematopoietic cell compartments although, like Meis1, it is expressed at higher levels in more immature subsets (Figure 4a). In primary MN1 leukemic cells, although Meis2 is equally expressed between the MN1 cKit and CD11b subpopulations (unpaired t-test, n.s.), expression in these subsets is upregulated
by several orders of magnitude compared to murine CMPs (unpaired t-test, $P < 0.05$) and over 200-fold over whole bone marrow (unpaired t-test, $P < 0.05$) (Figure 2b). Further highlighting Meis2 is its previous identification as among the top-ranked genes upregulated between both MN1 and MN1Δs (ref. 14) and MN1 and MN1VP16.25 Moreover, MEIS2 is upregulated in the leukemia-initiating cell-containing CD34* GPR56* (ref. 33) fraction of a human cord blood model of AML characterised by overexpression of MN1 and NUP98-HOXD13 (ref. 15) (Figure 4bi), as well as two independently derived MN1 murine cell lines (Figure 4bii). Together, these data suggest that MN1 leukemia is associated with substantial upregulation of Meis2 and this upregulation may play a key role in MN1 leukemia.

Knockdown of Meis2 critically impairs MN1 cell growth, self-renewal and impairment of differentiation in vitro

To test the effect of Meis2 knockdown on MN1 leukemia, we used two different murine models. The leukemia-derived MN1 cell line is a leukemic cell line established from in vitro culture of ckit+ primary MN1 bone marrow cells. The second model was generated by culturing 5-FU-treated bone marrow cells retrovirally transduced with MN1 to establish a primary leukemic MN1 cell line. Three shRNAs12 against Meis2 provide gene knockdown individually ranging from 26 to 54% as assessed by quantitative RT-PCR analysis 3 and 6 days post-transduction (unpaired t-test, $P < 0.01$) (Supplementary Figure S3E). In both models, transduction with each of the three Meis2 shRNAs significantly impairs cell growth, apparent as early as 5 days after plating (unpaired t-test, $P < 0.01$) and resulting in an average of 16-fold fewer cells after 14 days (Figure 4ci, Supplementary Figure S4Ai). Cells transduced with shMeis2 also show a decreasing proportion of shMeis2 vector over the 14 days of culture, as assessed by meKO2 expression (unpaired t-test at day 14, $P < 0.01$) (Figure 4cii, Supplementary Figure S4Aii), suggesting cells with downregulated Meis2 are at a competitive disadvantage and are rapidly eliminated from the population. This is supported by in vitro competitive assays, in which the contribution of shMeis2-transduced MN1 cells decreases rapidly, with significantly fewer cells than their untransduced counterparts within 7 days (unpaired t-test, $P < 0.01$), indicative of severe growth impairment in vitro (Figure 4d). To measure the effect of Meis2 knockdown on in vitro self-renewal ability, we functionally assayed transduced cells for colony-forming ability. Cells transduced with shMeis2 show impairments in colony formation over four successive platings in the colony-forming cell assay (unpaired t-test, $P < 0.05$ or $P < 0.01$), providing evidence for impaired in vitro self-renewal upon knockdown of Meis2 (Figure 4ei, Supplementary Figure S4Bi). Importantly, the proportion of cells comprising these colonies that express the shMeis2, measured by proportion of meKO2+ cells, drastically decreases compared to shRenilla-transduced control cells in secondary platings and beyond (unpaired t-test, $P < 0.01$) (Figure 4eii, Supplementary Figure S4Bii). This significant decrease suggests that the numbers of colonies produced by shMeis2-transduced MN1 cells is an overestimate of their colony-forming ability, and is thus consistent with rapid removal of MN1 leukemic cells upon downregulation of Meis2. Moreover, Meis2 knockdown leads to increases in CD11b (unpaired t-test, $P < 0.01$ for leukemia-derived MN1 cell line, $P < 0.05$ for primary MN1 cell line) (Figure 4f, Supplementary Figure S4Ci) and, to a lesser degree, Gr-1 expression in vitro (unpaired t-test, n.s.) (Supplementary Figure S4Cii), suggesting that Meis2 may also contribute to the myeloid differentiation block. Together, these data suggest that there is a strong negative selection against MN1 cells lacking upregulated Meis2 expression, with knockdown of Meis2 impairing in vitro growth, self-renewal ability and survival, and the myeloid differentiation block characteristic of MN1 leukemic cells.

Knockdown of Meis2 increases apoptosis of MN1 cells

To further investigate the impairment seen in MN1 cell growth and proliferation upon knockdown of Meis2, we examined the effect of Meis2 downregulation on cell cycle and apoptosis. Studies in other tissues have pointed to a regulatory role for MEIS2 at G2-M cell cycle checkpoints16 and in S phase.17 However, BrdU assay show no changes in MN1 cell cycle distribution upon knockdown of Meis2 (Supplementary Figure S4D). In contrast, apoptosis assays based on Annexin V binding show significant increases in both early and late apoptosis, with an 8.1 ± 0.3% increase in total apoptotic cells after 4 days in culture (Figure 4g). Concurrently, a 5.1 ± 1.1% decrease in the proportion of live cells is observed, suggesting a negative selection pressure against loss of Meis2 in MN1 cells that results in their rapid removal from the population (unpaired t-test, $P < 0.01$). Together, these data provide new evidence that Meis2 contributes to the block in in vitro apoptosis characteristically seen in MN1 leukemia.

Knockdown of Meis2 impairs MN1 leukemic cell engraftment kinetics in vivo

To evaluate the role of Meis2 in engraftment and leukemogenicity of MN1 cells, we transplanted $1 \times 10^5$ shRenilla- or shMeis2-transduced MN1 cells into lethally irradiated recipient mice. Knockdown of Meis2 significantly increases the latency of disease in both MN1 leukemic models, with median latency of disease onset increasing from 41 to 50 days in the leukemia-derived MN1 model (Mantel–Cox, $P = 0.001$) and from 47 to 55 days in the primary MN1 cell line model (Mantel–Cox, $P = 0.0119$) (Figure 5a). Analysis of engraftment kinetics in peripheral blood also reveals significant impairments in the ability of shMeis2-transduced cells

Figure 3. Investigation of the functional role of Hoxa9, Hif and Meis1 on MN1 leukemic properties. (a) (i) Growth kinetics of shRenilla-, shHIF- and shHoxa9-transduced MN1 cell lines after flow cytometric sorting. (ii) Cumulative viable cell count of shRenilla-, shHIF- and shHoxa9-transduced MN1 cell lines 14 days after flow cytometric sorting. $n = 3$ from three independent experiments, multiple two-tailed t-test in shRenilla versus shRNA; error bars represent ± s.d.; *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$. (iii) Competitive growth assay containing mixed populations of 50% sorted untransduced MN1 cells and 50% sorted shRenilla-, shHIF-, or shHoxa9-transduced (meKO2+) MN1 cells, visualised as meKO2+ proportion relative to starting population. $n = 3$ from three independent experiments, multiple two-tailed t-test in shRenilla versus shRNA; error bars represent ± s.d.; *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$. (b) (i) CD11b expression in shRenilla-, shHIF- and shHoxa9-transduced MN1 cell lines 0, 7 and 9 days after flow cytometric sorting. (ii) ki67 expression in shRenilla-, shHIF- and shHoxa9-transduced MN1 cell lines 0, 7 and 9 days after flow cytometric sorting. Sorted meKO2+ cells; $n = 3$ from four independent experiments, multiple two-tailed t-test in shRenilla versus shRNA; error bars represent ± s.d.; *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$. (c) (i) Serial colony replating of shRenilla- and shHIF- and shHoxa9-transduced MN1 cell lines post-sort, represented per 1000 cells plated. (ii) Percentage of meKO2+ expressing cells from colony-forming cell assay-derived colonies of shRNA-transduced MN1 cells. Sorted meKO2+ cells; $n = 3$ from four independent experiments, multiple two-tailed t-test; error bars represent ± s.d.; *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$. (d) Growth kinetics of shRenilla-, shMeis1-transduced MN1 cell line after flow cytometric sorting. (e) (i) CFU assay of shRenilla- and shMeis1-transduced MN1 cell lines 10 days after flow cytometric sorting. (ii) Percentage of meKO2+ expression of cells comprising colonies of transduced MN1 cells in CFU assay. Sorted meKO2+ cells; $n = 4$ from three independent experiments, represented per 1000 cells plated; multiple two-tailed t-test in shRenilla versus shRNA. Error bars represent ± s.e.m.; *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$. Blood Cancer Journal
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to engraft at early timepoints (Figure 5b), and is thus consistent with delayed leukemia onset. This delay is especially prominent in the first 6 weeks post-transplant, as mice transplanted with shMeis2-transduced cells display significantly lower levels of engraftment 4 weeks post-transplant (unpaired t-test, \( P < 0.01 \)). During the first 6 weeks, mice transplanted with shMeis2-transduced cells also show modest but insignificant increases in Gr-1\(^+\), Gr-1\(^+\)CD11b\(^+\), and CD11b\(^+\) cells and decreases in cKit\(^+\) cells (all n.s.), suggesting that decreased Meis2 alone is insufficient to relieve the block in myeloid differentiation in vivo (Supplementary Figures S5A–D). At time of euthanasia, engraftment levels had plateaued and signs of leukemia (high proportion of donor-
derived cells, splenomegaly, elevated white blood cell counts, depressed red blood cell and platelet counts) were similar between experimental and control conditions (Supplementary Figures S5E and F). However, examination of the proportion of meKO2 \(^*\) cells within engrafted donor cells showed markedly fewer shMeis2-transduced cells compared to shRenilla-transduced control cells, demonstrating strong selection against cells with downregulated Meis2 (Figure 5c). Together, these data demonstrate that Meis2 is critical to MN1 in vivo leukemogenic ability, with knockdown severely compromising engraftment kinetics, increasing time to onset of disease and rapid depletion of shMeis2-transduced cells from the population.

Exploring the relationship between MEIS1, MEIS, and MN1 Previous studies with patient samples have identified an inverse relationship between HOXA and HOXB cluster genes and MEIS1 compared to MN1.\(^{10}\) However, upregulation of HOXA9 also correlates to high MN1 expression in AML patients with complex karyotype/loss of chromosome 5 or 7.\(^{23}\) As Meis2 was recently reported in the context of patients with the RUNX1-RUNX1T1 translocation,\(^{1,11}\) we were interested in further assessing relationships between MEIS1, MEIS2 and MN1. Analysis of an in-house data set comprised of patients with AML, MDS, therapy-related AML (tAML) or therapy-related MDS (tMDS), AML subsequent to MDS was conducted with an inverse correlation between HOXA9 and MEIS1 expression compared to expression of MN1 (Welch's two-sample t-test, \(P < 0.05\)) (Figure 5d). Additionally, there is a wide range of MEIS2 levels in patients with lower MN1 expression, although, interestingly, some patients with high MEIS2 expression also exhibit lower MEIS1 expression. However, the number of patients in this category is insufficient to determine statistical significance (data not shown).

To investigate if MEIS2 upregulation could be detected in broader data sets of malignant hematopoietic subsets, we examined the TCGA AML and Leukemia MILE data sets.\(^{24,25}\) These larger data sets show upregulation of MEIS2 in both AML characterised by inv16 and RUNX1-RUNX1T1 (Figure 5e, Supplementary Figure S6A). As high MN1 is associated with inv16 we hypothesise there is a subset of patients who display inv16 with both high MN1 and MEIS2 expression. Intriguingly, data from patients with normal karyotype AML (n = 48) from the Leucogen RNA-Seq data set\(^{26}\) show that IRF8, which is repressed by MN1,\(^{27}\) is among the top 10 genes negatively correlated with MEIS2 expression (\(r = -0.61\)) (Figure 5e, Supplementary Figure S6B). Additionally, many of the other top 10 negatively correlated genes have important roles for immune function and thus, underline the immune suppressive function of MN1 signalling.

Gene expression from Valk and colleagues\(^{2}\) show no significant correlation between MEIS2 and AML characterised by inv16 or high MN1 expression. However, across AML karyotypes, MEIS1 and MEIS2 are inversely and significantly correlated (Tables 1–3). As MEIS1 and MEIS2 are highly similar at the amino acid level, these family members may share some downstream targets. Gene expression kinetics of sorted MN1 subpopulations from secondary transplantation murine leukemic bone marrow show that low levels of Meis1 in the first 7–14 days in culture are accompanied by increases in Meis2 expression, which is reversed as Meis1 levels increase after 14 days in culture (Figure 5f). Furthermore, knockdown of Meis2 in our leukemia-derived MN1 cell line results in an approximately 10-fold increase in Meis1 expression (unpaired t-test, n.s.), supporting compensatory expression between these family members (Figure 5g).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we sought to identify and characterise additional genes underlying leukemias derived from overexpression of the potent oncogene MN1. We demonstrate that MN1 murine leukemic cells reflect a phenotypic and functional hierarchy in which LSCs reside predominantly in the cKit subset and can regenerate the full spectrum of phenotypic and functional heterogeneity. Gene expression profiling of these MN1 subpopulations, combined with comparisons of cells transduced with wild-type MN1 versus variants with differing leukemic activity, identified a shortlist of genes differentially expressed in multiple data sets that are potentially critical to MN1-induced leukemogenesis. Among these genes, we focused on a subset including genes previously known to be involved in cancer or leukemia, HOX and MEIS family co-factors, and genes differentially expressed in multiple comparisons. Knockdown of Hif or Hoxa9 significantly blunts leukemic cell growth and colony formation in vitro, demonstrating key roles of these genes in these in vitro MN1 leukemic properties. Surprisingly, Meis1 knockdown has minimal effects on in vitro measures of leukemic activity. In contrast, Meis2 is instrumental for the maintenance of MN1 cells. Knockdown of Meis2 profoundly impairs in vitro proliferation and colony-forming ability, owed in part to increased apoptosis, and partially restores myeloid differentiation ability. Transplantation of shMeis2-transduced MN1 cells increases the latency of disease onset due to delayed engraftment kinetics and rapid depletion of shMeis2-expressing cells during leukemic development. Together, we provide further support for the roles of Hoxa9 and Meis1 in leukemic maintenance, demonstrate a functional role for Hif in
AML, and identify Meis2 as a novel, essential player in MN1-induced leukemogenesis.

Previous literature has utilised phenotypic heterogeneity in leukemic cells to demonstrate corresponding functional heterogeneity, providing insight into target cells of transformation and mechanisms of leukemic activity. Furthermore, demonstrable heterogeneity within a leukemic population has been critical to the identification of gene signatures relevant to leukemic development. For the first time, we demonstrate phenotypic and functional heterogeneity in MN1 leukemic cells and exploit this and other MN1 expression models to identify genes differentially regulated between MN1 models of varying
leukemic activity. Among genes differentially expressed in two or more data sets are Hoxa9 and Meis1, known for their role in leukemic transformation, self-renewal, proliferation and differentiation impairment.\(^{40-44}\) Hif, a key player in HSC engraftment, apoptosis inhibition and B-ALL,\(^{45,46}\) Hes1, linked to FLT3 and Notch signalling in AML, themselves highlighted genes in leukemia;\(^{37}\) and Gpr56, recently identified to mark primary human AML cells with high repopulating potential.\(^{35}\) Interestingly, we also identify genes differentially expressed between MN1 and normal blood subpopulations, most notably Meis2, which was found to be critical to MN1 leukemic activity.

Previous work has identified MEIS1 as essential for leukemic transformation\(^{11}\) and maintenance.\(^{58}\) Co-engineered over-expression of MEIS1 and Hoxa9 in granulocytic-macrophage progenitor cells renders them susceptible to MN1 transformation and ChIP-Seq analysis has documented striking overlap between the genomic binding sites of MN1 and MEIS1.\(^{19}\) It was thus intriguing that knockdown of Meis1 had only minor effects on in vitro growth kinetics and short-term colony-forming ability of MN1 cells and no effect on in vitro competitive ability or myeloid differentiation block. These findings are consistent with MN1 requiring upregulation of Meis1 for disease initiation but not maintenance, although the possibility remains that the magnitude of Meis1 downregulation achieved was insufficient to block the effects of Meis1.

Closer examination of other MEIS family members also identify Meis2 as significantly upregulated in MN1 cells compared to MN1Δref.\(^{14}\) or MN1VP16-transduced cells,\(^{25}\) and upregulated in MN1 leukemic cells over normal CMPs and bone marrow, as well as the leukemia-initiating cell-containing fraction of a human cord blood model of MN1-induced leukemia.\(^{13}\) This raises the interesting possibility that Meis2, rather than Meis1, is most critical to MN1 leukemogenesis, a possibility further supported by reports of upregulated Meis2 in AML and ALL cell lines,\(^{49}\) a murine AML model driven by co-overexpression of Hoxa9 and Meis1,\(^{50}\) and patient samples and human cell lines characterised by the RUNXI-RUNX1T1 translocation.\(^{11}\)

Supporting this, knockdown of Meis2 in MN1 leukemic cell lines results in a significant impairment in growth kinetics and in vitro self-renewal. This is consistent with the role of high Meis2 expression in proliferation and regulation of fate specification in retinal progenitor cells,\(^{51}\) as well as decreased proliferation and

### Table 1. Correlation of MN1, MEIS1, MEIS2 and MEIS3 gene expression in patients with AML

|                  | MN1   | MEIS1_p1 | MEIS1_p2 | MEIS1_p3 | MEIS2   | MEIS3_p1 | MEIS3_p2 |
|------------------|-------|----------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|
| Pearson correlation | 1     | 0.349    | 0.330    | 0.330    | 0.261   | 0.263    | 0.284    |
| N                | 293   | 293      | 293      | 293      | 293     | 293      | 293      |
| N (two-tailed)   | 293   | 293      | 293      | 293      | 293     | 293      | 293      |
| MEIS1_p1 Pearson correlation | -0.073 | -0.311   | -0.046   | -0.226   | -0.189  | 0.097    | 0.087    |
| Sig. (two-tailed) | -0.073 | -0.311   | -0.046   | -0.226   | -0.189  | 0.097    | 0.087    |
| N                | 293   | 293      | 293      | 293      | 293     | 293      | 293      |
| MEIS1_p2 Pearson correlation | 0.152  | 0.712    | 0.001    | 0.128    | 0.001   | 0.001    | 0.001    |
| Sig. (two-tailed) | 0.152  | 0.712    | 0.001    | 0.128    | 0.001   | 0.001    | 0.001    |
| N                | 293   | 293      | 293      | 293      | 293     | 293      | 293      |
| MEIS1_p3 Pearson correlation | 0.001  | 0.001    | 0.001    | 0.001    | 0.001   | 0.001    | 0.001    |
| Sig. (two-tailed) | 0.001  | 0.001    | 0.001    | 0.001    | 0.001   | 0.001    | 0.001    |
| N                | 293   | 293      | 293      | 293      | 293     | 293      | 293      |
| MEIS2 Pearson correlation | 0.001  | 0.001    | 0.001    | 0.001    | 0.001   | 0.001    | 0.001    |
| Sig. (two-tailed) | 0.001  | 0.001    | 0.001    | 0.001    | 0.001   | 0.001    | 0.001    |
| N                | 293   | 293      | 293      | 293      | 293     | 293      | 293      |
| MEIS3_p1 Pearson correlation | -0.010 | -0.005   | -0.005   | -0.005   | -0.005  | -0.005   | -0.005   |
| Sig. (two-tailed) | -0.010 | -0.005   | -0.005   | -0.005   | -0.005  | -0.005   | -0.005   |
| N                | 293   | 293      | 293      | 293      | 293     | 293      | 293      |
| MEIS3_p2 Pearson correlation | 0.001  | 0.001    | 0.001    | 0.001    | 0.001   | 0.001    | 0.001    |
| Sig. (two-tailed) | 0.001  | 0.001    | 0.001    | 0.001    | 0.001   | 0.001    | 0.001    |
| N                | 293   | 293      | 293      | 293      | 293     | 293      | 293      |

**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (two-tailed).**

---

**Figure 5.** Knockdown of Meis2 increases latency and delays engraftment kinetics of MN1 cells due to loss of shMeis2-transduced cells. (a) Survival curve of mice transplanted with shRenilla- and shMeis2-transduced (i) leukemia-derived and (ii) primary MN1 cell lines. Leukemia-derived: n = 13 for shRenilla, n = 8 for shMeis2; primary: n = 9 for shRenilla, n = 10 for shMeis2; Mantel–Cox test. (b) Engraftment kinetics of mice transplanted with shRenilla- and shMeis2-transduced (i) leukemia-derived and (ii) primary MN1 cell lines, as determined by bi-weekly peripheral blood analysis. Leukemia-derived: n = 13 for shRenilla, n = 8 for shMeis2; primary: n = 9 for shRenilla, n = 10 for shMeis2; multiple two-tailed t-test in shRenilla versus shMeis2; error bars represent ± s.d.; † indicates all mice were killed after this timepoint due to disease, \( P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01.\) (c) Proportion of meKO2\(^+\) cells within engrafted cells of mice transplanted with shRenilla- and shMeis2-transduced (i) leukemia-derived and (ii) primary MN1 cell lines, as determined by bi-weekly peripheral blood analysis. Leukemia-derived: n = 13 for shRenilla, n = 8 for shMeis2; primary: n = 9 for shRenilla, n = 10 for shMeis2; multiple two-tailed t-test in shRenilla versus shMeis2. Error bars represent ± s.d.; † indicates all mice were killed after this timepoint due to disease, \( P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01.\) (d) Distribution of HOXA9, MEIS1, MEIS2 and MN1 expression in patients with AML or MDS, categorised by MN1 expression level. \( P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01 \) (two-sided Welch's two-sample t-test). (e) Waterfall plot visualising single gene correlation of MEIS2 and top 10 positively and negatively correlated genes based on RNA-Seq from Leucegene normal karyotype AML data set. (f(i) Meis1 and (ii) Meis2 gene expression kinetics of primary MN1 mouse bone marrow cells sorted into MN1 bulk, cKit and CD11b subpopulations and cultured in vitro. n = 3 from three independent experiments. Error bars represent ± s.d.; (g) Relative gene expression of Meis1 and Meis2 6 days post-transduction in shRenilla- or shMeis2-transduced MN1 cells. Sorted meKO2\(^+\) cells, n = 3, unpaired t-test. Error bars represent ± s.d.; \( P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01.\)
colony-forming ability upon MEIS2 knockdown in RUNX1-RUNX1T1 containing cell lines.11
Knockdown of Meis2 in leukemic cells is also associated with a shift to more differentiated cells expressing CD11b in vitro and increased mature myeloid cells (Gr-1+, CD11b+, Gr-1+CD11b+) during the first 6 weeks post-transplantation in vivo. Similarly, downregulation of Meis2, which is normally expressed with Meis1, Hoxa9 and Hoxb4 in undifferentiated 32Dc13 cells, is required for 32Dc13 cell differentiation in the presence of IL3 and G-CSF.52 Additionally, knockdown of MEIS2 in RUNX1-RUNX1T1 containing cell lines show decreased proliferation and colony-forming ability and increased CD11b expression,11 supporting a role for MEIS2 in the maintenance of immature hematopoietic cells.

We also documented that downregulation of Meis2 leads to increases in the proportion of cells in early and late apoptosis. These observations are consistent with examinations of embryonic lethal Meis2 knockout mice, which exhibit large-scale cellular destruction and apoptosis,53 and the marked decrease in cell

| Table 2. Correlation of MN1, MEIS1, MEIS2 and MEIS3 gene expression in patients with normal karyotype AML |
| Pearson correlations |
| MN1 | MEIS1_p1 | MEIS1_p2 | MEIS1_p3 | MEIS2 | MEIS3_p1 | MEIS3_p2 |
| MN1 Pearson correlation | 1 | 0.091 | 0.095 | 0.041 | −0.062 | 0.044 | 0.061 |
| Sig. (two-tailed) | 0.292 | 0.271 | 0.637 | 0.475 | 0.61 | 0.484 |
| N | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 |
| MEIS1_p1 Pearson correlation | 1 | 0.0967** | 0.912** | −0.244** | 0.052 | −0.145 |
| Sig. (two-tailed) | 0.292 | 0 | 0.004 | 0.546 | 0.094 |
| N | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 |
| MEIS1_p2 Pearson correlation | 0.095 | 0.967** | 1 | 0.874** | −0.237** | 0.08 | −0.158 |
| Sig. (two-tailed) | 0.271 | 0 | 0.006 | 0.356 | 0.067 |
| N | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 |
| MEIS1_p3 Pearson correlation | 0.041 | 0.912** | 0.874** | 1 | −0.245** | 0.065 | −0.095 |
| Sig. (two-tailed) | 0.637 | 0 | 0.006 | 0.356 | 0.067 |
| N | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 |
| MEIS2 Pearson correlation | −0.062 | −0.244** | −0.237** | −0.245** | 1 | −0.052 | 0.102 |
| Sig. (two-tailed) | 0.475 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.549 | 0.24 |
| N | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 |
| MEIS3_p1 Pearson correlation | 0.044 | 0.052 | 0.08 | 0.065 | −0.052 | 0 | −0.081 |
| Sig. (two-tailed) | 0.61 | 0.546 | 0.356 | 0.455 | 0.549 | 0.353 |
| N | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 |
| MEIS3_p2 Pearson correlation | 0.061 | −0.145 | −0.158 | −0.095 | 0.102 | −0.081 | 1 |
| Sig. (two-tailed) | 0.484 | 0.094 | 0.067 | 0.273 | 0.24 | 0.353 |
| N | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 | 135 |

**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (two-tailed).

| Table 3. Correlation of MN1, MEIS1, MEIS2 and MEIS3 gene expression in patients with AML with other karyotypes |
| Pearson correlations |
| MN1 | MEIS1_p1 | MEIS1_p2 | MEIS1_p3 | MEIS2 | MEIS3_p1 | MEIS3_p2 |
| MN1 Pearson correlation | 1 | 0.033 | 0.033 | −0.044 | 0.035 | 0.104 | −0.139 |
| Sig. (two-tailed) | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 |
| N | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 |
| MEIS1_p1 Pearson correlation | 0.003 | 0.980** | 0.940** | −0.269** | −0.011 | −0.141 |
| Sig. (two-tailed) | 0.974 | 0.753 | 0.676 | 0.739 | 0.188 |
| N | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 |
| MEIS1_p2 Pearson correlation | 0.033 | 0.980** | 1 | 0.922** | −0.287** | 0.019 | −0.135 |
| Sig. (two-tailed) | 0.753 | 0 | 0.006 | 0.86 | 0.203 |
| N | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 |
| MEIS1_p3 Pearson correlation | −0.044 | 0.940** | 0.922** | 1 | −0.210* | −0.016 | −0.116 |
| Sig. (two-tailed) | 0.676 | 0 | 0.046 | 0.878 | 0.273 |
| N | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 |
| MEIS2 Pearson correlation | 0.035 | −0.269** | −0.287** | −0.210* | 1 | −0.021 | −0.031 |
| Sig. (two-tailed) | 0.739 | 0.01 | 0.006 | 0.845 | 0.771 |
| N | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 |
| MEIS3_p1 Pearson correlation | 0.104 | −0.011 | 0.019 | −0.016 | −0.021 | 1 | −0.115 |
| Sig. (two-tailed) | 0.325 | 0.914 | 0.86 | 0.878 | 0.845 | 0.279 |
| N | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 |
| MEIS3_p2 Pearson correlation | −0.139 | −0.141 | −0.135 | −0.116 | −0.031 | −0.115 | 1 |
| Sig. (two-tailed) | 0.188 | 0.184 | 0.203 | 0.273 | 0.771 | 0.279 |
| N | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 |

*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (two-tailed). **Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (two-tailed).
viability following siMEIS2 depletion in a primary patient sample characterised by the RUNX1-RUNX1T1 translocation.11 This is also consistent with the marked impairment in leukemogenic activity of transplanted MN1 cells in vivo combined with the rapid depletion of shMEIS2-transduced MN1 cells immediately following transplantation observed, suggesting that MN1 cells expressing shMEIS2 are rapidly removed from the in vivo environment through a combination of apoptosis, terminal differentiation, and an inability to compete with untransduced MN1 cells.

MEIS1 and MEIS2 share 85.7% identical amino acid sequence, with nearly identical DNA binding and transcriptional activation domains.12 Consequently, these protein family members may bind to similar DNA sequences and likely overlap in some target genes. MEis1 conditional knockout mice generate all hematopoietic compartments, albeit at lower cell numbers,16,55,56 suggesting that other transcriptional pathways, and notably MEis2, can compensate for the loss of MEis1. Consistent with this, we noted that expression levels of MEis2 in MN1 cKit subsets isolated from MN1 leukemic bone marrow increase over the first 7 days in culture, whereas MEis1 expression levels decrease concurrently. This inverse expression of MEis1 and MEis2 suggests a degree of redundancy, such that upregulation of one MEIS family member—typically MEIS1—may be sufficient for leukemogenesis. This is supported by data from the human acute myelomonocytic ML2 cell line, which has substantial expression of MEIS2 compared to MEIS1, in which knockdown of MEIS1 has no effect on in vitro clonogenic ability.17 In addition, Valk and colleagues report that MEIS1 and MEIS2 are inversely and significantly correlated across AML subtypes,7 providing support for a compensatory relationship between MEIS1 and MEIS2 where AML may only require upregulation of one MEIS family member, typically MEIS1. Interestingly, the TCGA AML data set shows that high MEIS2 expression is associated with improved overall survival, suggesting that MEIS2 may serve as a novel clinical prognostic marker, and that upregulation may also activate sufficient overlapping pathways to induce less-aggressive AML. Consistent with this idea, knockdown of MEIS2 in our leukemia-derived MN1 cell line also shows concurrent upregulation of MEis1. However, this did not mediate a growth advantage or rescue the phenotype, suggesting that not all functions are shared between the two proteins. This provides evidence that the expression of MEIS1 and MEIS2 may be modulated to the activity of other family members and provides impetus to develop models to further investigate differential regulation of MEIS family members in a leukemic context.

In summary, these models provide a platform to identify and functionally assess genes critical to MN1 leukemic activity. We describe a phenotypic and functional hierarchy in MN1 leukemic cells and, through comparisons of gene expression profiling of these subpopulations with existing expression data sets of MN1 models with varying leukemic activity, identify a subset of genes potentially relevant to MN1 leukemic activity. These genes include Hoxa1, HIf and Meis2, which were functionally validated, although there are likely additional genes to be found within the MN1 gene expression comparisons reported here. The novel discovery of Meis2 as critical to MN1-induced leukemic activity compels further investigation to unravel the basis for the profound upregulation of MEIS2 in MN1 leukemias, delineate potential functional differences between MEIS2 and MEIS1, and stimulates further study into the role of MEIS2 in additional leukemic settings.
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