Dynamic adhesions and MARCKS in melanoma cells
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Summary
Cell motility necessitates the rapid formation and disassembly of cell adhesions. We have studied adhesions in a highly motile melanoma cell line using various biochemical approaches and microscopic techniques to image close adhesions. We report that WM-1617 melanoma cells contain at least two types of close adhesions: classic focal adhesions and more extensive, irregularly shaped adhesions that tend to occur along lamellipodial edges. In contrast to focal adhesions, these latter adhesions are highly dynamic and can be disassembled rapidly via protein kinase C (PKC) activation (e.g. by eicosanoid) and MARCKS phosphorylation. MARCKS overexpression, however, greatly increases the area of close adhesions and renders them largely refractory to PKC stimulation. This indicates that nonphosphorylated MARCKS is an adhesion stabilizer. Unlike focal adhesions, the dynamic adhesions contain α3 integrin and MARCKS, but they do not contain the focal adhesion marker vinculin. Overall, these results begin to define the molecular and functional properties of dynamic close adhesions involved in cell motility.
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Introduction
Motility enables metastatic cancer cells to migrate rapidly and invade the surrounding matrix (Pinner and Sahai, 2008). This translocation depends on coordinated regulation of the actin cytoskeleton and cell adhesion. The leading edge of the cell must form adhesions so that traction forces can work against the substratum (Broussard et al., 2008; Lock et al., 2008; Webb et al., 2002). To maintain their distal position, these adhesions must be turned over rapidly as the leading edge advances. Therefore, we will refer to them as ‘dynamic adhesions’. However, the composition and regulation of these adhesions are not well understood.

Cell adhesion and migration on extracellular matrix (ECM) depend on integrins, heterodimeric transmembrane proteins that link ECM components, such as laminin, to the cytoskeleton. The cytoplasmic tails of integrins can interact with several adaptor and signaling proteins (Hynes, 2002; Luo and Springer, 2006; Takada et al., 2007). Once bound to an extracellular ligand, integrins generate an intracellular signal; conversely, their affinity can be regulated from within the cell (Hynes, 2002; Takada et al., 2007).

The participation of myristoylated alanine-rich C-kinase substrate (MARCKS) in cell adhesion and motility is known (Disatnik et al., 2004; Gatlin et al., 2006; Li et al., 1996; Manenti et al., 1997). MARCKS is a widely distributed peripheral membrane protein that binds calmodulin and actin and is found at the adherent surface of lamellipodia (Rosen et al., 1990). It contains two conserved regions involved in membrane association: a myristoylation and a phosphorylation domain. An unidentified additional domain targets the nonphosphorylated protein to adhesions (Gatlin et al., 2006). PKC-mediated phosphorylation of serine residues decreases MARCKS affinity for the plasma membrane and causes its translocation to the cytosol (Kim et al., 1994; McLaughlin and Aderem, 1995; Thelen et al., 1991).

In recent years, adhesions have been classified based on their composition and morphology (Lock et al., 2008; Webb et al., 2002; Worth and Parsons, 2008). Among them, focal adhesions are the best characterized by far. However, they inhibit migration rather than being a part of the mechanism of locomotion (Burrage et al., 1988; Izzard and Lochner, 1976; Lee and Jacobson, 1997). Dynamic adhesions, the subject of this report, most closely relate to focal complexes. These are small, transient structures seen immediately behind the leading edge of spreading or migrating cells (Lock et al., 2008; Webb et al., 2002; Worth and Parsons, 2008). They are often viewed as precursors of focal adhesions (Webb et al., 2003; Webb et al., 2002; Worth and Parsons, 2008).

The goal of the present study was to examine and characterize dynamic adhesions in highly motile cell lines (the metastatic melanomas, WM1617 and B16; glioblastoma, 10-08) by investigating the role of MARCKS in the regulation of adhesion and pseudopod attachment and detachment. We found that dynamic adhesions are not precursors of, or related to, the vinculin-containing focal adhesions, but instead contain MARCKS, which stabilizes them.
not move during the observation period. In the periphery of the lamellipodium, another, morphologically distinct type of close adhesion was visible. This large, ribbon-like structure closely followed the cell edge and moved rapidly relative to the white reference line. As the leading edge of the adhesion advanced its proximal rim was disassembled, resulting in net translocation of the adhesive ribbon. The advancing ribbon did not leave any adhesive structures behind except for a few dense puncta, which disappeared as one moved closer to the focal adhesions. Adhesive ribbons were also present along the leading edge of other cancer cells. Fig. 1B shows an immobile focal adhesion (arrow) as well as closely packed adhesive spots forming an irregular ribbon along the leading edge of a human melanoma cell (WM-1617). This ribbon moved away from the focal adhesion. Thus, highly dynamic adhesions are present at the leading edges of different cell types.

Previous studies have shown that some adhesions are sensitive to the intracellular messenger 12(S)-hydroxyicosatetraenoic acid [12(S)-HETE] (Gatlin et al., 2006; Szekeres et al., 2002; Tang et al., 1995). To test the effect of this eicosanoid on cell adhesion, we exposed individual cultured cells (WM-1617) to gradients of 12(S)-HETE (pipette tip located 100 μm away, near the upper left hand corner in Fig. 1C) and imaged them over time by IRM.

Before eicosanoid application WM-1617 cells appeared spread out and exhibited multiple focal adhesions (Fig. 1C, black arrows). 12(S)-HETE gradient application caused the cell to contract, primarily on the left side, leaving behind several retraction fibers. They remained attached for some time, mostly via contacts that were brighter than background. Although close contacts other than focal adhesions disappeared rapidly (white arrow in Fig. 1C,C’), focal adhesions (black arrows) persisted much longer. However, they had also started to dissociate by 20 minutes. As a measure of cell adhesion, we determined cell contact areas using IRM before and after control or 12(S)-HETE challenge (Fig. 1D). The eicosanoid induced a highly significant decrease in attached cell area of about 20% within the first 10 minutes of exposure (P<0.005; n=10) and of over 30% after a total incubation time of 20 minutes (P<0.0005; n=10).

Because 12(S)-HETE acts via PKCe in growth cones, we assessed the effect of 12(S)-HETE on cell contact area after pre-incubation for 10 minutes with 10 nM bis-indolyl maleimide I (Bis), a selective inhibitor of PKCe, PKCb and PKCe (Toullec et al., 1991). Bis completely inhibited 12(S)-HETE-induced reduction in adherent cell area [relative to 20 minutes of 12(S)-HETE, P<0.0005; n=10; Fig. 1D]. These results indicate that 12(S)-HETE triggers rapid detachment of non-focal contacts in WM-1617 melanoma cells via a Bis-sensitive, thus PKC-dependent, mechanism.

PKC isoforms, activation by 12(S)-HETE and PKC substrates 12(S)-HETE, directly and selectively activates PKCe in nerve growth cones (Mikule et al., 2003). Therefore, we screened WM-1617 cells for PKC isoforms. Fig. 2 shows western blots of equal amounts of WM-1617 protein probed with isoform-specific PKC antibodies. The most prominent isoforms were PKCe and PKCι. PKCa, PKCb and PKCd were less abundant but clearly present, whereas PKCβ and PKCb were barely visible. PKCγ and PKCe were not detectable.

Next, we examined the activation and major substrates of PKC. WM-1617 cells were permeabilized and phosphorylation assays carried out. After phosphorylation, we collected sequentially the cytosolic supernatant, a Triton-X-100-soluble extract of the cell ghosts, and the Triton-insoluble fraction for gel electrophoresis and storage phosphor imaging. The representative images in Fig. 3A indicate that the major phosphoproteins were present almost exclusively in the cytosolic fraction. In terms of radiolabeled bands, the Triton extract appeared to consist mainly of a small residue of the cytosolic fraction. The Triton-resistant fraction exhibited numerous but relatively minor bands, and 12(S)-HETE, phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (TPA) and Bis had only small effects on phosphorylation levels.

The cytosol contained one major band (or doublet) of about 80 kDa. The PKC inhibitor, Bis, almost completely inhibited phosphorylation of this band, whereas 12(S)-HETE and TPA stimulated it. The low-molecular-mass polypeptides of this gel were probed by western blot for lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) as a
As Fig. 3A shows, sample loading was uniform, so that quantitative comparison of radiolabeling of the 80 kDa polypeptide was feasible. Quantitative results (percentage of change from control) are shown in Fig. 3B. Although TPA was a more potent activator, 12(S)-HETE nevertheless significantly increased phosphorylation of the 80 kDa polypeptide, by about 20%. Bis, alone or in conjunction with TPA or 12(S)-HETE, reduced phosphorylation levels by over 30% relative to control and by greater amounts relative to 12(S)-HETE or TPA.

Identification of MARCKS and phosphorylation-dependent MARCKS translocation

The apparent molecular mass, the stimulation of phosphorylation by 12(S)-HETE, as well as the cytosolic location, all suggested that the 80 kDa phosphopolypeptide was MARCKS (Aderem, 1995; Blackshear, 1993). This was tested by immunoprecipitation from the cytosolic fraction with an anti-MARCKS antibody (Fig. 3C). The storage phosphor image shows that the 80 kDa band resided exclusively in the supernatant in controls (without MARCKS-specific antibody), whereas the antibody precipitated it almost quantitatively. Therefore, most, if not all the 80 kDa phosphopolypeptide was MARCKS (recoveries of total labeled protein were not equal).

Phosphorylated MARCKS resided primarily in the cytosolic fraction. We wanted to confirm that MARCKS is translocated in phosphorylation-dependent manner from the plasma membrane to the cytosol (Kim et al., 1994; Thelen et al., 1991) rather than being phosphorylated in the cytosol. Using western blots instead of radiolabeling, we assayed for the distribution of MARCKS protein in a membrane pellet relative to the cytosol of WM-1617 cells, under different experimental conditions. A representative experiment is shown in Fig. 4A and quantitative data are shown in Fig. 4B. In control conditions, only about 20% of total MARCKS protein was detected in the cytosol. In the presence of Bis, this value was about 15%. Upon TPA stimulation, however, about 65% of MARCKS protein was in the cytosol. Similarly, 12(S)-HETE increased the amount of cytosolic MARCKS more than twofold relative to the control. These results demonstrate that MARCKS is the primary PKC substrate, and that 12(S)-HETE causes translocation of phosphorylated MARKS (MARCKS-P) from the membrane to the cytosol.

Function of MARCKS in WM-1617 melanoma cells

To study the role of MARCKS in melanoma cells, we performed gain-of-function experiments by overexpressing a fusion protein of MARCKS and enhanced green fluorescent protein (MARCKS-GFP). Because MARCKS is highly conserved between humans and rodents, we expressed rat MARCKS, which allowed us to assess expression with species-specific antibodies. Cells untransfected (control), transfected with GFP alone, or transfected with...
In Fig. 5F, the scan is roughly bell-shaped, as expected for a μ process (average length: 26.4±1.0 μm across the cell was uniform, except for perinuclear fluorescence. Image (Fig. 5G). Edge fluorescence was enhanced, whereas labeling thickness. MARCKS-GFP expression generated a quite different protein (Fig. 5E): fluorescence intensity corresponded to cell alone exhibited a distribution pattern typical for a largely cytosolic protein; by contrast, Fig. 5H shows enhanced label near the cell perimeter. To analyze these scans quantitatively, profiles were normalized for intensity maxima (set to 50 arbitrary intensity units). We then determined for each normalized scan: (1) the separation between the two highest intensity peaks (in a bell-shaped profile, they result from data noise and are very close together, but they are widely separated if edge labeling is prevalent); and (2) the intensity in the middle between the maxima. This would be near the maximum for a bell-shaped curve, but significantly below in the case of edge labeling. The results (Fig. 5I) reveal quantitatively that GFP-only profiles were distinct from those for MARCKS-GFP, and that MARCKS-GFP was predominantly associated with the plasma membrane. MARCKS-GFP fluorescence also highlighted a large number of filopodial processes, which seemed to be lacking in GFP-only cells.

To confirm that overexpressed MARCKS was localized at the cell membrane, we used total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscopy. This technique enables examination of a zone, about 100 nm deep, and adjacent to the glass coverslip, that includes the intercellular space and adherent plasma membrane (Groves et al., 2008; Toomre and Manstein, 2001). We combined two different TIRF approaches: (1) imaging the extracellular space between glass and adjacent plasma membrane using rhodamine-dextran, which reveals close adhesions by dextran exclusion (Gingell et al., 1985); and (2) imaging plasma-membrane-associated MARCKS-GFP (Groves et al., 2008; Toomre and Manstein, 2001).

Fig. 6A (left) shows exclusion of rhodamine-dextran along the edge of a WM-1617 cell transfected with GFP alone. The dark areas indicate narrowed cell-substratum contacts. GFP fluorescence was spread throughout the cell, and, as shown in the merged image, extended beyond the close adhesions along the cell edge. This generated a yellow border (indicated by arrows), about 0.8±0.1 μm wide, because of the enhanced overlap of GFP and rhodamine-dextran (the fine adherent filopodia seen by dextran exclusion were not filled with GFP and, thus, not visible with this cytosolic marker). Fig. 6B illustrates this labeling pattern schematically. When MARCKS-GFP was expressed, the cell edge was decorated with an increased number of filopodia, which excluded dextran (and, thus, were adherent) and emitted strong MARCKS-GFP fluorescence. The merged image shows precise overlap between the two images, indicating that MARCKS colocalized with the close adhesions along the cell edge (see also Fig. 6A,B). These results also suggested that the leading edge forms a small ‘overhang’ that is not attached to the substratum and devoid of membrane-associated MARCKS (Fig. 6C, profile).

IRM images revealed further differences. GFP-only cells exhibited the close contact areas, including focal adhesions (black arrows), that we had observed in nontransfected cells. MARCKS-GFP cells, however, had larger close-contact areas suggesting increased attachment. This was assessed by measuring total adherent area (Fig. 5D). Quantitative analysis demonstrated a highly significant (P≤0.002), almost twofold increase in cell area for the MARCKS-GFP cells. To determine whether enhanced cell spreading was related to an increase in focal adhesions, we counted these adhesions in IRM images. The mean number of focal adhesions in GFP-only cells (18.4±1.5 per cell; mean ± s.e.m., n=14) was essentially identical to that in MARCKS-GFP-expressing cells (18.9±1.7 per cell, n=14). Furthermore, focal adhesions in control and MARCKS-GFP transfectants seemed to have the same size and configuration. In other words, MARCKS-GFP expression did not affect focal adhesions.

MARCKS-GFP was lysed and western blots prepared. In Fig. 5A,B, blots were probed with anti-rat and anti-human MARCKS, respectively. Although expression levels of endogenous (human) MARCKS were the same for all three samples (B), the anti-rat MARCKS antibody recognized a strong band only in the MARCKS-GFP transfectants, at a M, reflecting the size of MARCKS plus GFP. The antibody was weakly crossreactive with human MARCKS (80 kDa). Because the relative affinities of the anti-rat and anti-human MARCKS antibodies are unknown, we can only estimate (assuming equal affinities) the increase in MARCKS expression in the transfectants. Densitometric analysis of the blots (Fig. 5A,B) suggested that this increase was about 4.5-fold. Blots from the same samples also were probed with anti-GFP, together with anti-actin as a loading control. At constant actin levels, GFP-only cells exhibited the close contact areas, including focal adhesions (black arrows), that we had observed in nontransfected cells. MARCKS-GFP fluorescence also highlighted a large number of filopodial processes, which seemed to be lacking in GFP-only cells.

Cells transfected with MARCKS-GFP and GFP alone were examined by fluorescence microscopy and IRM (Fig. 5E,G). GFP alone exhibited a distribution pattern typical for a largely cytosolic protein (Fig. 5E): fluorescence intensity corresponded to cell thickness. MARCKS-GFP expression generated a quite different image (Fig. 5G). Edge fluorescence was enhanced, whereas labeling across the cell was uniform, except for perinuclear fluorescence. For quantitative analysis, we prepared intensity scans across cell processes (average length: 26.4±1.0 μm for GFP alone and 26.3±1.4 μm for MARCKS-GFP). Representative sample scans are shown. In Fig. 5F, the scan is roughly bell-shaped, as expected for a predominantly cytosolic protein; by contrast, Fig. 5H shows enhanced label near the cell perimeter. To analyze these scans quantitatively, profiles were normalized for intensity maxima (set to 50 arbitrary intensity units). We then determined for each normalized scan: (1) the separation between the two highest intensity peaks (in a bell-shaped profile, they result from data noise and are very close together, but they are widely separated if edge labeling is prevalent); and (2) the intensity in the middle between the maxima. This would be near the maximum for a bell-shaped curve, but significantly below in the case of edge labeling. The results (Fig. 5I) reveal quantitatively that GFP-only profiles were distinct from those for MARCKS-GFP, and that MARCKS-GFP was predominantly associated with the plasma membrane. MARCKS-GFP fluorescence also highlighted a large number of filopodial processes, which seemed to be lacking in GFP-only cells.

To confirm that overexpressed MARCKS was localized at the cell membrane, we used total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscopy. This technique enables examination of a zone, about 100 nm deep, and adjacent to the glass coverslip, that includes the intercellular space and adherent plasma membrane (Groves et al., 2008; Toomre and Manstein, 2001). We combined two different TIRF approaches: (1) imaging the extracellular space between glass and adjacent plasma membrane using rhodamine-dextran, which reveals close adhesions by dextran exclusion (Gingell et al., 1985); and (2) imaging plasma-membrane-associated MARCKS-GFP (Groves et al., 2008; Toomre and Manstein, 2001).

Fig. 6A (left) shows exclusion of rhodamine-dextran along the edge of a WM-1617 cell transfected with GFP alone. The dark areas indicate narrowed cell-substratum contacts. GFP fluorescence was spread throughout the cell, and, as shown in the merged image, extended beyond the close adhesions along the cell edge. This generated a yellow border (indicated by arrows), about 0.8±0.1 μm wide, because of the enhanced overlap of GFP and rhodamine-dextran (the fine adherent filopodia seen by dextran exclusion were not filled with GFP and, thus, not visible with this cytosolic marker). Fig. 6B illustrates this labeling pattern schematically. When MARCKS-GFP was expressed, the cell edge was decorated with an increased number of filopodia, which excluded dextran (and, thus, were adherent) and emitted strong MARCKS-GFP fluorescence. The merged image shows precise overlap between the two images, indicating that MARCKS colocalized with the close adhesions along the cell edge (see also Fig. 6A,B). These results also suggested that the leading edge forms a small ‘overhang’ that is not attached to the substratum and devoid of membrane-associated MARCKS (Fig. 6C, profile).

IRM images revealed further differences. GFP-only cells exhibited the close contact areas, including focal adhesions (black arrows), that we had observed in nontransfected cells. MARCKS-GFP cells, however, had larger close-contact areas suggesting increased attachment. This was assessed by measuring total adherent area (Fig. 5D). Quantitative analysis demonstrated a highly significant (P≤0.002), almost twofold increase in cell area for the MARCKS-GFP cells. To determine whether enhanced cell spreading was related to an increase in focal adhesions, we counted these adhesions in IRM images. The mean number of focal adhesions in GFP-only cells (18.4±1.5 per cell; mean ± s.e.m., n=14) was essentially identical to that in MARCKS-GFP-expressing cells (18.9±1.7 per cell, n=14). Furthermore, focal adhesions in control and MARCKS-GFP transfectants seemed to have the same size and configuration. In other words, MARCKS-GFP expression did not affect focal adhesions.
To evaluate whether overexpressed MARCKS was phosphorylated in response to TPA treatment, untransfected cells (control), mock-transfected cells (mock), GFP-only cells, and MARCKS-GFP-transfected cells were treated with 1 μM TPA for 10 minutes at 37°C, lysed, and processed for western blot. As a loading control for MARCKS protein, we used actin (left). Human MARCKS served as control for the amount of endogenous MARCKS protein in the phosphorylated sample (right). Fig. 7A documents expression of MARCKS-GFP in MARCKS- versus control-transfected cells. The blot on the right, probed with antibody to rat MARCKS-P, shows that treatment with TPA increased (about twofold) the amount of MARCKS-P-GFP (prominent upper band). Weak crossreactivity of the antibodies also revealed a faint lower band of endogenous (human) MARCKS (left). A corresponding band of human MARCKS-P (right) was barely visible in the TPA-stimulated MARCKS-GFP lane. Precise quantitative comparison between the MARCKS and MARCKS-P blots was impossible because the antibody affinities were unknown. Assuming similar affinities, scans would suggest that less than 20% of MARCKS-GFP was phosphorylated.

The effects of MARCKS gain-of-function were explored further in experiments using PKC stimulation to trigger dissociation of...
adhesion. Because of its strong activation of the kinase, we used TPA for these experiments. First, we examined the effects of TPA on MARCKS-GFP distribution. To capture GFP distribution, we fixed cultures after 5 minutes of TPA exposure by rapid addition of formaldehyde fixative, before image acquisition. In contrast to control cells, which retracted rapidly (Fig. 7B, left) MARCKS-GFP cells remained spread out and exhibited small fluorescent patches along the plasma membrane and the cell edge (Fig. 7B, right). However, between the patches, edge labeling had disappeared, as indicated by intensity scan (Fig. 7B, far right; see Fig. 5E-H for comparison). Thus, strong PKC activation moved some MARCKS-GFP (except for that contained in membrane patches) away from the plasma membrane. We monitored the effects of TPA on cell contact by IRM. Before bath application of 1 μM TPA, GFP-only cells exhibited the familiar image of close adhesions near the cell margin, interspersed with focal adhesions (–3 and 0 minutes, top panels in Fig. 7C; see also insets). By 3 minutes after TPA application, cellular retraction was evident, and close adhesions, except for some of the focal adhesions, had given way to wider, IRM-bright contacts (Izzard and Lochner, 1976). By 6 minutes, most of the cell contact area had disappeared, leaving behind only filamentous elements attached via wider contacts (n=6). The same experiment performed with MARCKS-GFP cells (bottom panels in Fig. 7C; n=6) revealed large expanses of close contacts before TPA application, as described above (Fig. 5G). These close contacts remained essentially unchanged 3 and even 6 minutes after TPA application. In addition, total cell area did not obviously decrease. It follows that MARCKS gain-of-function rendered WM-1617 cells refractory to TPA-induced detachment, for at least 6 minutes.

Immunolocalization of adhesion markers

The results described above suggested a unique role of MARCKS in cell adhesion. Therefore, we studied its distribution, relative to integrin α3 and the classic focal-adhesion markers, vinculin and paxillin, in cells plated on laminin. Immunofluorescence micrographs were acquired as z-stack images and digitally deconvolved to extract images of the adherent plasma membrane. WM-1617 cells are shown in Fig. 8A-C. Double-labeling of MARCKS and integrin α3 showed a spotted distribution of both labels with substantial colocalization along the distal edges of spread-out cellular areas (Fig. 8A). This overlap was analyzed quantitatively in deconvolved images of the leading edge of 10 cells
thresholding approaches were used to calculate overlap coefficients for either the combined channels or for each channel separately. Manders’ coefficient $R$ ranges from 0 to 1, indicating no or complete colocalization, respectively. Values for $R$ are influenced by the channel ratio (green for α3 integrin, red for MARCKS) and should be near 1. In our analysis, the average channel ratio was 1.36±0.38 (± s.e.m.), and Manders’ coefficient $R$ was 0.78±0.04. The more stringent threshold overlap coefficients, $R_T$ (calculated separately for each channel), were 0.57±0.07 for integrin α3 and 0.45±0.07 for MARCKS. This meant that 57% of integrin-α3-positive pixels colocalized with MARCKS-positive pixels, and that 45% of MARCKS-positive pixels overlapped with integrin-α3-positive pixels (all above background). Thus, both analyses indicated substantial colocalization.

The fine punctate distribution of the label was at variance with that of MARCKS-GFP in live cells (Fig. 5) and might have been caused by fixation and/or antibody labeling. This punctate pattern does not affect the localization data, but its significance is unclear. Focal adhesions were not positive for integrin α3 and could not be discerned in these samples. By contrast, labeling with antibodies to paxillin or vinculin clearly revealed focal adhesions, but there was no colocalization with MARCKS (Fig. 8B,C). In thinly spread areas, paxillin and vinculin label was spotted outside of focal adhesions, with some MARCKS colocalization for paxillin, but very little for vinculin.

If this labeling pattern was characteristic of dynamic adhesions, it had to be consistent for different cell types. Therefore, we examined the distribution of MARCKS, α3 integrin, paxillin and vinculin in B16 melanoma and 10-08 glioblastoma cells. MARCKS was absent from focal adhesions, and a ribbon of colocalization of MARCKS and integrin α3 was also evident along the lamellipodial edge in these cells (Fig. 8D). In fact, the adhesive ribbons were more prominent than those in WM-1617 cells. These results show that the ribbon-like adhesive structure observed in Fig. 1 correlates with immunocolocalization of α3 integrin and MARCKS in several cell types.

### Discussion

IRM imaging of melanoma cells revealed the presence of two prominent types of close contact, focal adhesions and the more transient dynamic adhesions. The latter generally cover larger areas and often are located along the edges of the thinned-out cell periphery. So-called focal contacts, which are small, punctate close adhesions, also may have been formed by the melanoma cells but were not analyzed. Our results provide insights into the microscopic and biochemical properties that characterize dynamic adhesions and into the mechanisms that regulate their detachment. Of particular interest was the role of MARCKS in dynamic adhesions.

### PKC activation and cell detachment

It has been reported that the arachidonic acid metabolite 12(S)-HETE promotes metastatic behavior of tumor cells (Szekeres et al., 2002; Tang et al., 1995). Exogenous application of the eicosanoid causes ‘non-focal’ close adhesions, i.e. dynamic adhesions, to detach rapidly, whereas focal adhesions change slowly. This differential sensitivity to 12(S)-HETE functionally distinguishes focal adhesions from dynamic adhesions.

PKC is known to participate in cell motility and adhesion (Brandt et al., 2002; Disatnik et al., 2004; Woods and Couchman, 1992). Protein phosphorylation assays and IRM demonstrated that 12(S)-HETE, similarly to TPA, activated PKC in melanoma cells, and that this effect was blocked by Bis, which selectively inhibits the isoforms PKCα, PKCβI, PKCβII, and PKCε (Toullec et al., 1991). Our results demonstrate that, in WM-1617 cells, PKCε is the much more prominent isoform than PKCα, and that PKCβ and PKCγ are essentially absent. This is consistent with the reported reduction or
absence of these isoforms in different melanoma lines (Becker et al., 1990; Gilhooly et al., 2001; Linnenbach et al., 1988; Oka and Kikkawa, 2005; Oka et al., 1996). Based on our previous results (Mikule et al., 2003) and the data on PKC isoform abundance shown here, the effect of 12(S)-HETE is likely to be mediated by PKCε.

MARCKS is a major PKC substrate that has been implicated in cellular migration and adhesion (Aderem, 1992; Blackshear, 1993; Disatnik et al., 2004). The data reported here identify MARCKS as the predominant PKC substrate. They demonstrate that nonphosphorylated MARCKS is membrane associated, and that phosphorylation triggers its translocation into the cytosol. MARCKS needs to move, in PKC-dependent manner, between membrane and cytosol to promote adhesion (Gatlin et al., 2006; Kim et al., 1994; needs to move, in PKC-dependent manner, between membrane and cytosol to promote adhesion (Gatlin et al., 2006; Kim et al., 1994; McLaughlin and Aderem, 1995; Rombouts et al., 2008). Our microscopic and biochemical observations suggest that eicosanoid-induced detachment of dynamic adhesions is paralleled by PKC activation, MARCKS phosphorylation and dissociation of MARCKS-P from the plasma membrane.

Role of MARCKS in cell adhesion
Cell adhesion and motility are initiated by the binding of integrins to their ligands in the extracellular matrix (Hynes, 1992). Integrin activation is accompanied by the formation of adhesion complexes and the reorganization of the actin cytoskeleton (Disatnik et al., 2004; Woods and Couchman, 1992). In WM-1617 and B16 melanoma cells, as well as in 10-08 glioblastoma cells, deconvolved fluorescence micrographs of the adherent plasma membrane show enrichment of MARCKS immunoreactivity in the periphery of well-spread processes, where close adhesions are often found (compare Fig. 1A and Fig. 8A). These are the same regions where integrin α3 immunofluorescence is concentrated and, indeed, colocalized with MARCKS. This colocalization in dynamic adhesions suggests that MARCKS is involved in integrin-mediated cell attachment.

It is technically not possible at present to image close adhesions (by IRM) and immunoreactivity of their components simultaneously, because dynamic adhesions change too rapidly relative to the effect of aldehyde fixation, and aldehyde fixation induces extensive IRM artifacts. However, our TIRF images of dextran exclusion and MARCKS-GFP indicate that MARCKS localizes to plasma membrane domains involved in dynamic adhesion.

The association of MARCKS with dynamic adhesions suggests that MARCKS gain-of-function should increase adhesive area, but not the number or size of focal adhesions. We demonstrate that MARCKS-GFP is associated largely with the plasma membrane of WM-1617 cells, and that increased MARCKS expression broadens total cell area to almost twice that observed in GFP-only controls. However, focal adhesions do not increase in number and apparently not in size. These results were not an artifact of the fusion protein because MARCKS-GFP cycles normally between plasma membrane and cytosol (Ohmori et al., 2000; Sawano et al., 2002). Adhesion of MARCKS-GFP-transfected cells was also more resistant to PKC stimulation. MARCKS-GFP cells showed no signs of detachment upon application of TPA at a time when GFP-only controls had detached almost completely. This resistance to PKC activation suggests that overexpressed MARCKS stabilizes dynamic adhesions, namely integrin attachment to the substratum, and that PKC activity might be insufficient to phosphorylate and cytosolically translocate the amounts of MARCKS necessary for triggering detachment. Indeed, although MARCKS-GFP was phosphorylated in our experiments, the amount of phosphoprotein relative to total protein appeared to be small.

Our results suggest that MARCKS stabilizes dynamic adhesions. This conclusion seems to contradict the observations that expression of constitutively membrane-associated mutant MARCKS inhibited initial stages of cell attachment (Myat et al., 1997; Spizz and Blackshear, 2001; Swierczynski and Blackshear, 1995). However, studies on well-attached, widely spread cells and nerve growth cones suggested that MARCKS enhanced cell to matrix adhesion (Gatlin et al., 2006; Iioka et al., 2004; Manenti et al., 1997), as in our melanoma experiments. Thus, MARCKS seems to both inhibit cell spreading and stabilize adhesion of attached cells. This paradox remains to be explained. MARCKS might maintain integrins in their high-affinity state, thus stabilizing adhesions. It also might limit their lateral mobility, which is necessary for de-novo adhesion formation, and thus inhibit attachment (Li et al., 1996).

Focal adhesions versus dynamic adhesions
Adhesions have been classified based on their composition and morphology (Lock et al., 2008; Webb et al., 2003; Webb et al., 2002; Worth and Parsons, 2008). Table 1 shows recent classifications and characteristics. However, the use of the term ‘focal’ for several of the adhesions causes confusion, and the distinctions among the categories are not always clear.

Comparison of focal adhesions and dynamic adhesions reveals major structural and functional differences. Focal adhesions are anchor sites for actin cables that inhibit cell migration rather than supporting it (Broussard et al., 2008; Lock et al., 2008; Webb et al., 2002). They have a characteristic, elongated configuration and resist extraction by Triton X-100. They contain integrins, in particular α5β3 integrin, which binds fibronectin and vitronectin, and cytoplasmically associated proteins such as pavillin and, especially, vinculin (Webb et al., 2003; Webb et al., 2002). By contrast, dynamic adhesions are irregularly shaped and larger, and typically located along the edges of widely spread cell processes. Physiologically, focal adhesions that form near the front of migrating cells remain fixed to the substrate as the cell moves. This stationary status helps the cell to resist the contraction that allows the cell body to move (Sastry and Burridge, 2000). In studies of fibroblasts, focal adhesions could move relative to the substrate (Smilenov et al., 1999). However, these cells were not migrating, making it difficult to compare the results with those in highly motile cells. Overall, focal adhesions do not support locomotion, are sparse or absent from migratory cells, and are most prominent in stationary cells (Burridge and Chrzanowska-Wodnicka, 1996; Burridge et al., 1988; Sastry and Burridge, 2000).

In contrast to focal adhesions, dynamic adhesions change rapidly, and they detach within minutes of exposure to the PKCε-activating eicosanoid, 12(S)-HETE. In addition to eicosanoid sensitivity, our results indicate further molecular differences between focal and dynamic adhesions, such as the solubility of dynamic adhesions in Triton X-100. (A.E.-B. and K.H.P., unpublished).

Dynamic adhesions are most similar to focal complexes and nascent adhesions (Table 1). Focal complexes have been described as small, punctate adhesions near the leading edge, beneath the actin meshwork (Kaverina et al., 2002; Nobes and Hall, 1995; Webb et al., 2003). They are induced by Rac1 and Cdc42 activation, contain β1 integrin and can mature into focal adhesions (Webb et al., 2003; Webb et al., 2002). Nascent adhesions are very similar to focal complexes and form near the leading edge of migrating cells (Choi et al., 2008). They contain pavillin and vinculin and can mature to focal adhesions in a myosin-II-dependent manner. Even though dynamic adhesions resemble focal complexes and nascent
adhesions, they differ significantly in that they do not contain vinculin and do not mature to focal adhesions. They maintain their position at, and advance with, the leading edge of the cell, and do not seem to spawn other adhesive structures. This suggests that these dynamic structures are subject to the highly active turnover taking place at the leading edge of the cell.

Lee and Jacobson described a different type of adhesion in fish keratocytes that they described as close contacts containing β1 integrin, talin, vinculin, α-actinin, paxillin and FAK (Lee and Jacobson, 1997). They also reported that close contacts and focal adhesions were interconvertible because the continued accumulation of additional molecules in close contacts could originate focal adhesions. Thus, the keratocyte close contacts closely resemble focal complexes and nascent adhesions. Nevertheless, keratocyte close contacts, like dynamic adhesions, form an adhesive rim at the leading edge, which is enriched in β1 integrin. Based on these observations, we suggest that what these authors reported as close contacts (Lee and Jacobson, 1997) are actually focal complexes and nascent adhesions. Dynamic adhesions, they do not mature into focal adhesions, which distinguishes them from focal complexes and nascent adhesions. Dynamic adhesions, unlike focal adhesions, are highly sensitive to PKCε activation, for example, by the eicosanoid 12(S)-HETE. Eicosanoid-induced cell detachment seems to be triggered by MARCKS phosphorylation and dissociation from the plasma membrane. Thus, MARCKS is a stabilizer of dynamic adhesions, regulated via PKCε-mediated phosphorylation.

### Materials and Methods

Reagents were obtained from the following suppliers: 12(S)-HETE from Biomol; tetradecanoyl phorbol acetate (TPA) and bisindolylmaleimide I (Bis) from Sigma (St Louis, MO); fetal bovine serum (FBS) from Atlanta Biologicals (Lawrenceville, GA). Antibodies: anti-MARCKS for western blot from Upstate-Millipore (Billerica, MA) and Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA); anti-MARCKS for immunofluorescence from Proteintech Group (Chicaco, IL); anti-phosphoMARCKS from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA); anti-α3-integrin from Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (University of Iowa, IA); anti-GFP from Abcam (Cambridge, MA); anti-actin and anti-vinculin from Sigma; anti-paxillin from Biosource (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA); PKC isoform-specific antibodies from BD Biosciences (Franklin Lakes, NJ); anti-lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) from Rockland (Gilbertsville, PA); horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated antibody from Vector (Burlingame, CA); all other secondary antibodies from Molecular Probes (Eugene, OR). Additional chemicals, unless stated otherwise, were from Sigma and were of the highest quality available.

### Cell culture

WM-1617 melanoma cells, a generous gift from David A. Norris at the Department of Dermatology (University of Colorado School of Medicine, Aurora, CO), were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS), 2 mM L-glutamine and 100 U/ml penicillin-streptomycin. B16 (F10) mouse melanoma cells were from ATCC (Manassas, VA) and cultured in DMEM-Glutamax supplemented with 4.5 g/l glucose, 110 mg/l sodium pyruvate, 10% heat-inactivated FBS, 10-08 glioblastoma cells (generous gift from Carol Kruse, Sidney Kimmel Cancer Center, San Diego, CA) were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FBS and 2 mM L-glutamine. Cells were grown in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2.

### Cell transfection

A plasmid (pEGFP-N1; Clontech, Mountain View, CA) containing wild-type MARCKS fused at its C-terminus with GFP was generated previously (Gatlin et al., 2006). The same plasmid without the MARCKS insert was used as a control. Cells plated on laminin were transfected at 60-80% confluence using FuGene 6 (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis IN) and 2 μg plasmid DNA, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were incubated for 24 hours before microscopic or biochemical analysis.

### Microscopy

Images were acquired with a Zeiss Axiovert 200M microscope with Zeiss optics, Cooke Sencam digital camera, and Metamorph software (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA). Cells plated for 24 hours on laminin-coated coverslips were placed in an open chamber with medium, layered over with inert mineral oil (embryo tested, sterile filtered) to avoid evaporation and maintain pH, and examined under convective heating at 37°C. For certain experiments, effectors were introduced into the cultures

---

**Table 1. Classification and characteristics of adhesion complexes**

| Adhesion type         | Characteristics                                                                 | References                                                                 |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Focal adhesions       | Large oval-shaped structures; include paxillin, vinculin, αvβ3 integrin and tyrosine-phosphorylated proteins; inhibit migration | (Burridge and Chrzanowska-Wodnicka, 1996; Katz et al., 2000; Lock et al., 2008; Webb et al., 2003; Zamir and Geiger, 2001) |
| Fibrillar adhesions   | Elongated, central structures; contain tensin and α3β1 integrin; low levels of paxillin, vinculin and tyrosine-phosphorylated proteins | (Lock et al., 2008; Pankov et al., 2000; Webb et al., 2003)               |
| Focal complexes       | Small adhesions near leading edge; induced by Rac activation; can mature into larger, more organized focal adhesions; postulated to drive migration | (Beningo et al., 2001; Lee and Jacobson, 1997; Lock et al., 2008; Nobes and Hall, 1995; Rottner et al., 1999; Webb et al., 2003) |
| Podosomes             | Invasive ring structures composed of adhesion machinery and filamentous actin | (Lock et al., 2008; Webb et al., 2003)                                      |
| Focal points          | ‘Nascent adhesions’ formed in the cellular periphery that link to an F-actin meshwork | (Lock et al., 2008)                                                       |
| Nascent adhesions     | Contain vinculin; often maturation to focal adhesions in a myosin II dependent manner | (Choi et al., 2008)                                                      |
| Dynamic adhesions     | No vinculin; no conversion into focal adhesion; contain MARCKS and α3β1 integrin | This report                                                                |
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by repetitive pulse application with a micropipette (inner tip diameter 1-2 μm) and Procoating glass pipettes (10 μm; Calcoval Valve, Fairfield, NJ) to create a gradient (Gatlin et al., 2006; Lohof et al., 1992). The micropipette was filled with 10 μM 12(S)-HETE and its tip placed 100 μm away from the cell. Repetitive pulse ejection of the reagent generated a stable, shallow gradient decreasing to about 10-10 M near the cell (Lohof et al., 1992). Alternatively, effectors were diluted into the medium.

Adhesions were analyzed by IRM, which generates images based on the distance between the plasma membrane and the growth substratum (Izzard and Lochner, 1976). Images were captured with a digital camera at different times before and after application of reagents. Close adhesions were quantified using area measurement (ImageJ). To determine areas of cell-substratum contact we examined cells in phase contrast.

To establish edge labeling of cells quantitatively, we analyzed intensity scans across cellular processes. Because of the considerable differences in fluorescence intensities, scans were normalized by setting intensity maxima to 50 arbitrary units. Intensities were plotted against the distance between half-maximal intensities (the ‘flanks’ of cell process scans). Next, we determined for each profile the distance between the two highest peaks and the intensities of the scans in the middle between the two maxima.

For TIRF microscopy, cells plated on laminin-coated glass bottom dishes were examined with a Zeiss Alpha Plan- apo×100 objective (NA=1.46), in combination with a TIRF illuminator coupled to an argon ion laser and filter cubes optimized for GFP, Alexa Fluor 488 and rhodamine (Chroma Technology). For dextran-exclusion analysis, the medium contained 100 mg/ml of rhodamine-dextran (M, 10,000) and cells were incubated for 2 hours before analysis.

Fixation and immunofluorescence

Cells were fixed using slow infusion of 4% (wt/vol.) formaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, with 120 mM glucose and 0.4 mM CaCl2 (Pfenninger and Maylie-Pfenninger, 1981). Cultures were rinsed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 1 mM glycine, permeabilized with blocking buffer [PBS, 3% (wt/vol.) bovine serum albumin] plus 1% (vol/vol.) Brij 99 detergent for 2 minutes at room temperature, and placed in blocking buffer for 1 hour at room temperature. Cultures were incubated with the primary antibodies for 1 hour at room temperature and washed three times with blocking buffer. This process was repeated with the appropriately tagged secondary antibodies [Alexa Fluor 488 (green) and Alexa Fluor 594 (red)]. Coverslips were mounted on slides with Slow-Gold antifade reagent (Invitrogen-Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR). Where indicated, we digitally deconvolved image stacks to reveal the optical section that included the attached plasma membrane and adhesion-associated proteins.

Colocalization analysis

Digitally deconvolved (nearest neighbor) images of WM-1617 cells were analyzed using ImageJ software. To exclude background in an unbiased manner, we proceeded in two ways: (1) We thresholded all images by limiting the eight-bit display range to 10-255 and calculated Manders’ coefficient (R) by using the appropriate plug-in. (2) We performed automatic threshold calculation in conjunction with overlap analysis (R coefficient) using the Co-localization (COI) plug-in ([Costes et al., 2004); ImageJ web site, Wright Cell Imaging Facility, Toronto Western Research Institute; www.uhnresearch.ca/wei]/. In both cases, zero-zero pixels were excluded from the analysis.

Kinase assays

In whole-cell assays we analyzed PKC activity using endogenous substrate, in the absence of Ca2+. The protocol was essentially as described by Katz et al. (Katz et al., 1985). Briefly, 3×105 cells were plated in culture dishes for 2 hours, washed with ice-cold Hank’s balanced salt solution (HBSSS) and collected in ‘kinase buffer’ (20 mM HEPES pH 7.0, 10 mM MgCl2, 1 mM EGTA, 200 mM NaF, 100 mM Na3VO4, and protease inhibitor cocktail). Effectors were added for 10 minutes at 4°C and incubated at 4°C for 2 hours. Effectors were added for 10 minutes at 37°C. Subsequently cells were washed with cold HBSS and scraped off in cold homogenization buffer (50 mM Tris- HCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 200 mM NaF, 100 mM Na3VO4, and protease inhibitor). This suspension was passed through a 22-gauge needle. After spinning at 100,000 g for 30 minutes at 4°C, the cytosolic fraction was collected and the membrane pellet solubilized in RIPA buffer. Both samples were mixed with Laemmli buffer and resolved on 7.5% SDS-polyacrylamide gels. MARCKS levels were determined by western immunoblot analysis.

Fractionation analysis of MARCKS

Procedures were modified from Disatnik et al. (Disatnik et al., 2002). 3×105 cells were plated on each culture dish and incubated at 37°C for 2 hours. Effectors were added for 10 minutes at 37°C. Subsequently cells were washed with cold HBSS and scraped off in cold homogenization buffer (50 mM Tris- HCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 200 mM NaF, 100 mM Na3VO4, and protease inhibitor). This suspension was passed through a 22-gauge needle. After spinning at 100,000 g for 30 minutes at 4°C, the cytosolic fraction was collected and the membrane pellet solubilized in RIPA buffer. Both samples were mixed with Laemmli buffer and resolved on 7.5% SDS-polyacrylamide gels. MARCKS levels were determined by western immunoblot and fluorescence scanner analysis.

Gel electrophoresis and western blot analysis

Samples were resolved by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and electro-transferred onto polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes. Blots were blocked with 5% non-fat milk and 0.1% Tween-20 in TBS for at least 1 hour and then incubated with primary antibody for 1 hour at room temperature. After three rinses with TBS-Tween-20, blots were incubated with secondary antibody (HRP or fluorophore conjugated) for 1 hour and rinsed. Bound antibody was detected by enhanced chemiluminescence on film or by fluorescence imaging.
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