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Abstract

We calculated the carrier density, space charge and internal electric field distribution in CdZnTe planar detectors with the consideration of four kinds of defect traps to understand its detection properties under ultrahigh X-ray flux. The results showed that severe space charge collection occurred, and the internal electric field was distorted when the X-ray flux was ultrahigh. This could strongly influence detector performance.
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Introduction

Photon counting CdZnTe (or Cadmium Zinc Telluride) detectors have been accepted as a new generation device for X-ray imaging in the last decades [1]. In this case, CdZnTe detector may work under extremely high X-ray dose [2-4]. For example, in medical CT, X-ray flux can be as high as $10^8$ Photons/s/mm$^2$ to obtain images with enough contrast [5-7]. However, CdZnTe crystal was found to have polarization effect under such high doses of X-ray [7,8]. On the other side, the amplitude of the charge signal generated by a detector can be extremely low, even at the same level of noise [9,10]. In this case, the detector will be in a “stagnation” state and cannot work properly [11-13]. Therefore, the application requires X-ray detector to possess high resolution at low X-ray dose as well as to bear high X-ray flux without deterioration. Such a task of CZT X-ray detectors is usually hindered by the existence of high-density non-equilibrium carriers in the crystal, particularly holes with a low mobility lifetime [14-16]. The electrostatic repulsion force of the crystal defects accumulates space charge. When the accumulated space charge reaches a certain value, the electric field will be distorted, which will severely impede the transport process of carriers and deteriorate the performance of the detector [17-19]. In the present paper, the space charge accumulation behaviors and their effects on the detector performance will be evaluated by analyzing the trap and de-trap process of X-ray stimulated charges.

Methods and Calculations

Theoretical method was proposed for the calculations of point defect effects, particularly deep-level point defects, on the space charge accumulation under extremely high doses of X-ray radiation. We used a planar detector as an example to simplify the calculation of space charge accumulation. It is assumed that $E_{A_{1-m}}$ and $E_{D_{1-m}}$ are the acceptor levels and donor levels in the energy band structure of CdZnTe crystal, $N_{A_{1-m}}$ and $P_{D_{1-m}}$ are the defect concentrations and $\sigma_{A_{1-m}}$ and $\sigma_{D_{1-m}}$ are the captured cross sections, $p$ and $n$ are the concentrations of holes and free electrons, and $m$ are the concentrations of the trapped electron and hole in the $m^{th}$ defect level, respectively. The capture rate of electrons at the $m^{th}$ donor level is

$$W_{\alpha m} = \sigma_{Dm} V (N_{fm} - n_{m}^m)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The de-trapping rate is

$$W_{ed} = N_c \sigma_{Dm} V \exp\left(-\frac{(E_c - E_{Dm})}{kT}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $V$ is the average rate of carrier thermal motion.

Corresponding to the holes, the trapping rate and de-trapping rate at the $m^{th}$ acceptor level are

$$W_{\alpha m} = \sigma_{Am} V (P_{fm} - p_{m}^m)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)
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The corresponding Poisson equation can be rewritten as

$$\frac{d\varphi}{dx} = \frac{dE}{dx} = \frac{E - E_{\text{in}}}{\varepsilon}$$

(12)

where $E_i$ is the electric field strength at the $i^\text{th}$ and $i+1^\text{th}$ cell boundaries. It can be obtained using the boundary conditions given by equation (10).

In CdZnTe crystal elements, as shown in Figure 1, the crystals are intrinsically neutral and thermal equilibrium state in the initial state, and the internal electric field is uniform. After time $\Delta t$, the change in the electron concentration in the $i^\text{th}$ cell concentration caused by trapping/complexing and the reverse process can be expressed as

$$n_i (1 - \exp(W_{\text{en}} \Delta t)) + n_i (1 - \exp(W_{\text{en}} \Delta t)) + n_i (1 - \exp(W_{\text{en}} \Delta t)) + n_i (1 - \exp(W_{\text{en}} \Delta t)).$$

By analogy, the change of the hole concentration in the $i^\text{th}$ cell can be obtained due to direct recombination. Based on the initial state, the space charge distribution after every time evolution $\Delta t$ can be calculated. According to the changed internal electric field, the space charge distribution after the next $\Delta t$ is calculated. This step is repeated until the steady state is reached.
Results and Discussion

It is assumed that the thickness of CdZnTe crystal is 2mm, the applied external voltage is 500V, X-ray is incident from the cathode sides. \( \mu_e = 1000 \text{cm}^2/\text{Vs}, \mu_h = 100 \text{cm}^2/\text{Vs} \) and the electron–hole pair ionization energy is 4.7eV. Four representative defect levels are selected in CdZnTe crystal, as shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Defect level parameters.

| Trap | Trap Level/eV | Trap Density/cm\(^{-3}\) | Capture Cross-Section/cm\(^2\) | Recombination Cross-Section /cm\(^2\) |
|------|---------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| D\(_1\) | 1.48 | 5.46\times10^{15} | 1.0\times10^{-13} | 3\times10^{-14} |
| D\(_2\) | 0.96 | 3.90\times10^{13} | 1.2\times10^{-14} | 3\times10^{-14} |
| A\(_1\) | 0.11 | 4.40\times10^{15} | 1.54\times10^{-16} | 3\times10^{-14} |
| A\(_2\) | 0.21 | 1.02\times10^{15} | 4.83\times10^{-16} | 3\times10^{-14} |

Let X-ray tube voltage, tube current, and action time be 120kV, 1.00mA, and 20ms, respectively, the carrier concentration distribution is calculated with the results shown in Figure 2.

There is evidence that the trapped hole enriched in the area close to the cathode. As the distance to the anode increases, the number of enriched holes decreases, and the number of electrons increases. Positive space charge regions appear close to the cathode region. The reason on one hand, X-rays are incident from the cathode. On the other hand, owing to the relatively poor transport properties of holes, the mobility of holes is low and easy to be captured. Negative space charge distributes in a wide region and more homogeneous owing to relatively long electron transport distances. The total carrier distribution and internal electric field distribution in the crystal are shown in Figure 3.

![Figure 2: Carrier density distribution (tube voltage: 120kV; tube current: 1.00mA).](image)

![Figure 3: Simulation results at the X-ray tube voltage 120KV and the tube current 1.00mA (a) distribution of electric field and (b) distribution of total carrier density.](image)
The dotted line indicates the uniform electric field under the action of the external bias. It can be seen from Figure 3(a) that the internal electric field is significantly distorted. The electric field at the cathode has a maximum value and drops rapidly with the increase of the distance from the cathode. After a certain point, it increases again. The internal electric field determines the transit time of electrons in the crystal. The variation of the total charge density is shown in Figure 3(b). High density of the charges is generated near the cathode, which decreases with the increase of the distance. The lower density of the charges in the region away from the cathode is the sum of the native charges and those transported from the region near the cathode. To understand the charge distribution and electric field distribution in the crystal at higher doses, the X-ray tube voltage was set as 120KV and the tube current was set as 1.60mA. The results are shown in Figure 4. It is seen that the concentration of the positive space charge accumulated close to the cathode increases with X-ray dose. Accordingly, the charge concentration in the negative space charge region increases, and the distribution of the internal electric field of the crystal changes. In addition, the distortion of the internal point field is more pronounced, particularly at a distance of 0.391mm from the cathode, and zero points appear in the internal electric field. This shows that, at this point, the electrostatic force generated owing to the space charge accumulation is equivalent to the electric field force generated in the field of external power. When the electrons generated by a photon are close to the cathode, they are not only affected by the electric force of the external voltage but also by the electrostatic force of the space charge. At the zero point of the electric field, two kinds of electrons are balanced by each other. After the zero point toward the anode, the externally biased electric field force plays the dominant role and the magnitude of the internal electric field recovers gradually. Under such an electric field distribution, the transport of electrons in the crystal is more severely hindered and the transit time is considerably increased, which leads to further deterioration in the detector’s counting performance.

![Figure 4](image_url)

**Figure 4:** Simulation results at the X-ray tube voltage 120KV and the tube current 1.60mA (a) distribution of total carrier density and (b) inner electric field.

![Figure 5](image_url)

**Figure 5:** Variation in relative transit time of electrons with the depth of interaction (a) at different bias voltage, (b) at different X-ray flux.
Figure 5(a) shows the variation in the relative transit time of electrons in the crystal at different doses of lower radiation, where η=\frac{L}{\mu V}, that is, the transit time under the uniform electric field generated by the external bias. The X-ray tube voltage is 120kV, and the external bias is 500V. Owing to the presence of a positive space charge region close to the cathode, the transit time of the electrons is significantly increased. The accumulated space charge concentration and the transit time of the electrons generated at the same position increase with X-ray dose. Larger transit times indicate an increased likelihood of trapping, which leads to reduced detector performance. Figure 5(b) shows the variation in the relative transit time of electrons in the crystal under different external bias voltages. Increasing external bias decreases the transit time of electrons, thereby reducing the probability of capture and recombination and improves collection efficiency. However, the leakage current and noise increase with the external voltage. Therefore, the external bias voltage is selected according to the resistivity of wafers.

Conclusion

The carrier distribution, space charge accumulation, and internal electric field distribution in CdZnTe crystals were calculated with consideration of four kinds of defect traps under extremely high X-ray doses. It was revealed that under extremely high doses of radiation, space charge accumulated in the region close to the cathode. This affected the distribution of the internal electric field and thus the performance of the detector. Electron transit time increased with X-ray dose and applied bias voltage.
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