Stability of multielectron bubbles in high Landau levels
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We study multielectron bubble phases in the $N = 2$ and $N = 3$ Landau levels in a high mobility GaAs/AlGaAs sample. We found that the longitudinal magnetoresistance versus temperature curves in the multielectron bubble region exhibit sharp peaks, irrespective of the Landau level index. We associate these peaks with an enhanced scattering caused by thermally fluctuating domains of a bubble phase and a uniform uncorrelated electron liquid at the onset of the bubble phases. Within the $N = 3$ Landau level, onset temperatures of three-electron and two-electron bubbles exhibit linear trends with respect to the filling factor; the onset temperatures of three-electron bubbles are systematically higher than those of two-electron bubbles. Furthermore, onset temperatures of the two-electron bubble phases across $N = 2$ and $N = 3$ Landau levels are similar, but exhibit an offset. This offset and the dominant nature of the three-electron bubbles in the $N = 3$ Landau level reveals the role of the short-range part of the electron-electron interaction in the formation of the bubbles.

The two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG) exposed to perpendicular magnetic fields is a rich model system that hosts a variety of electronic phases. Perhaps the most well known of these phases are the fractional quantum Hall states\textsuperscript{11} which harbor topological order. Electron solids possessing charge order form yet another distinct group of phases. Examples of electronic solids are the Wigner solid\textsuperscript{2}, electronic bubble phases, and quantum Hall nematic or stripe phase\textsuperscript{3,12}.

Bubble phases are among the most recently discovered phases of 2DEGs which have not yet revealed all their properties. They were predicted by a Hartree-Fock theory\textsuperscript{13} and confirmed by exact diagonalization\textsuperscript{14} and density matrix renormalization group studies\textsuperscript{15} to be a periodic arrangement of clusters or bubbles of electrons. In linear transport bubble phases are identified by reentrant integer quantum Hall behavior\textsuperscript{16}. In addition microwave absorption\textsuperscript{17,18}, non-linear transport\textsuperscript{19,20}, surface acoustic wave propagation\textsuperscript{21,22}, temperature dependence\textsuperscript{23,24}, and thermopower measurements\textsuperscript{25,26} also support the formation of bubbles. However, we still lack direct probes of the morphology of the bubbles.

Bubble phases are commonly observed in 2DEGs in GaAs/AlGaAs\textsuperscript{27,28,29,30,31} and have also been recently seen in graphene\textsuperscript{32}. In the former system, bubbles form in high Landau levels, at orbital Landau level index $N$ greater or equal to 1. Here we used the customary labeling of quantum numbers of energy levels associated with cyclotron motion, $N = 0$ being the lowest Landau level. Theories allow for different types of bubble phases within a given Landau level\textsuperscript{33,34}. The different types of bubble phases are distinguished by the number of electrons per bubble $M$; a modest change in the Landau level filling factor was predicted to result in a phase transition between two different types of bubble phases. Measurements for nearly two decades did not resolve such distinct bubble phases. Only recently were distinct bubble phases observed in the $N = 3$ Landau level\textsuperscript{34,35}, the Landau level filling factors of these bubble phases were in excellent agreement with calculations. These observations allowed the assignment of the number of electrons per bubble for each bubble phase and cemented the bubble interpretation of the reentrant integer quantum Hall states.

Recent observations of distinct multielectron bubble phases \textit{within} one Landau level\textsuperscript{13,14,36} that at $N = 3$, opened up the possibility for their qualitative and quantitative analysis both within one Landau level and also across different Landau levels. We found that, in our high mobility GaAs/AlGaAs sample bubble phases in the $N = 3$ Landau level exhibit sharp peaks in the longitudinal magnetoresistance versus temperature curves, as measured at fixed magnetic fields. Similar peaks were detected in the $N = 1$ and $N = 2$ Landau levels in high mobility GaAs/AlGaAs\textsuperscript{28,29,32} and also in a graphene sample\textsuperscript{37}, but such peaks appear to be absent in a low mobility GaAs sample containing alloy disorder\textsuperscript{38}. We think these peaks are due to scattering through the bulk of the sample when the bulk consists of interpenetrating and fluctuating domains of a bubble phase and a uniform uncorrelated liquid. Within this interpretation, the temperature of the peak is identified with the onset temperature of the bubble phase. We found that the onset temperatures of the bubble phases determined this way have a linear trend with the filling factor and a particular dependence on the number of electrons per bubble. Within the $N = 3$ Landau level, the onset temperatures of $M = 3$ bubbles are higher than those of $M = 2$ bubbles and exhibit different trends with the filling factor. Furthermore, when comparing the $M = 2$ bubble phases across $N = 2$ and $N = 3$ Landau levels, we find that onset temperatures are similar but exhibit an offset. These measurements offer information on bubble energetics that may be used for a qualitative comparison to
Theories and reveal details of the short-range part of the effective electron-electron interaction.

We measured a 2DEG confined to a 30 nm wide GaAs/AlGaAs quantum well. This sample has an electron density $n = 2.8 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$ and mobility $\mu = 15 \times 10^6$ cm$^2$/Vs and it is the same as the one reported on in Ref. $^{11}$. In order to stabilize the temperature of the sample, we took advantage of the large heat capacity of liquid He-3 by mounting our sample in a He-3 immersion cell $^{15}$. The temperature in this experiment is measured by a common resistive ruthenium oxide thermometer. The sample is grown on the (100) face of GaAs and it is cleaved into a $4 \times 4$ mm$^2$ square shape.

In Fig.1 we show magnetotransport against the Landau level filling factor $\nu$ in the $N = 2$ and $N = 3$ Landau level. Here $\nu = h n / e B$, $h$ is the Planck constant, $e$ the elementary charge, and $B$ the applied magnetic field. Regions of vanishing longitudinal resistance $R_{yy}$ in this figure are associated with a variety of phases. At integer values of the Landau level filling factor $\nu = i$, $R_{yy} = 0$ and the Hall resistance is quantized to $h / ie^2$, indicating integer quantum Hall states $^{12}$. Here $i = 4, 5, 6, 7$. At half integer values $\nu = i + 1/2$ there are quantum Hall nematics or stripes $^{16}$. Finally, at other non-integer values of $\nu$ bubble phases form. In the $N = 2$ Landau level only one type of multielectron bubble phase develops $^{17,18}$. We extensively report on $R_{yy}$, the longitudinal magnetoresistance along the [110] crystallographic axis of our sample. In the region of bubble phases, the magnetoresistance is nearly isotropic $^{17}$. Based on an excellent agreement of the measured filling factors of these phases with those predicted by the theory, the number of electrons per bubble was identified for each bubble phase. In Fig.1 we shaded and labeled the two-electron ($M = 2$) and three-electron ($M = 3$) bubble phases. Multielectron bubble phases of the $N = 3$ Landau level are separated by a small magnetoresistive feature $^{13,14}$. The Hall resistance of bubble phases was found to be quantized to integer values of the nearest integer quantum Hall plateau $^{17,18}$. Using techniques other than transport, in these Landau levels $M = 1$ bubbles also form as part of plateaus of integer quantum Hall states $^{10,11}$. However, our transport experiments cannot distinguish them from other localized states and thus in this Article we will not be further discussed.

Similarly shaded bubble phases in Fig.1 appear to form at particle-hole conjugated filling factors $^{29,30}$. In the following we examine this apparent symmetry to a greater detail. Bubble phases in high mobility samples, such as ours, form in a range of filling factors. We define $\nu_c$, the central filling factor of a bubble phase, as the filling factor of its highest stability. Thus the central filling factor is the filling factor of the local minimum in the longitudinal magnetoresistance in the bubble phase region that may confidently be detected at the highest possible temperature $^{29,30}$. For example, in Fig.2a we observe that for the $R7a$ bubble phase there is a local minimum in $R_{yy}$ isotherms that persists to temperatures as high as $T = 97$ mK. This local minimum is observed at $\nu_{c,R7a} = 7.30$. Similarly, for the
FIG. 2. (a) Isothermal evolution of $R_{yy}$ versus $B$-field for the $R7a$ and $R7b$ bubble phases. Numbers on each trace are the temperature in units of mK. Arrows mark the central filling factors $\nu_c$ of these phases. (b) Evolution of $R_{yy}$ versus $T$ of the $R7a$ and $R7b$ bubble phases at their respective central filling factors $\nu_c^{R7a} = 7.30$, and $\nu_c^{R7b} = 7.22$. Arrows indicate the onset temperatures $T_c$ of these phases near the peak region of the $R_{yy}$ versus $T$ curves.

more fragile $R7b$ phase there is a local resistance minimum developed at $\nu_c^{R7b} = 7.22$ at temperatures as high as $T = 75$ mK. These and central filling factors of other multielectron bubble phases of the $N = 3$ Landau level are shown in Table I. Errors for filling factors are ±0.01. We notice that the central filling factors of the family of $M = 3$ bubble phases can be written in the form $\nu_c = 6 + 0.30, 7 - 0.30, 7 + 0.30, 8 - 0.30$ for $R6a$, $R6d$, $R7a$, and $R7d$, respectively. Furthermore, the filling factors of the family of $M = 2$ bubble phases can be written in the form $\nu_c = 6 + 0.22, 7 - 0.23, 7 + 0.22, 8 - 0.22$ for $R6b$, $R6c$, $R7b$, and $R7c$, respectively. We thus found that, similarly to the bubble phases of the $N = 1$ and 2 Landau level, these of the $N = 3$ Landau level also form at central filling factors related by particle-hole conjugation.

While the isotherm at $T = 97$ mK in Fig.2a exhibits a local minimum near $\nu_c^{R7a} = 7.30$, that at $T = 104$ mK exhibits a local maximum. We define $T_c^{R7a}$, the onset temperature of $R7a$, as the average of highest temperature at which there is a local minimum in $R_{yy}$ and the next highest temperature of measurement. The difference between these two temperatures signifies the error in determining $T_c$. Values obtained from such an analysis of this and other multielectron bubble phases of the $N = 3$ Landau level are found in Table I. Errors for $T_c$ are ±5 mK. We note that the local maximum in the $T = 104$ mK $R_{yy}$ isotherm measured near $\nu_c^{R7a} = 7.30$, shown in Fig.2a, may still be associated with the bubble phase $R7a$; this local maximum indicates a precursor of the bubble phase $R7^{[30]}$.

In Fig.2b we plot the evolution of $R_{yy}$ with $T$ as measured at the central filling factor $\nu_c$ for the bubble phases $R7a$ and $R7b$. We denote such curves as $R_{yy}(T)_{\nu = \nu_c}$. These $R_{yy}(T)_{\nu = \nu_c}$ curves may be thought of as cuts along a constant filling factor $\nu = \nu_c$ in the $R_{yy}(\nu, T)$ manifold having two independent variables $\nu$ and $T$. As expected, $R_{yy}(T)_{\nu = \nu_c}$ is vanishingly small at the lowest measured temperatures, indicating well developed bubble phases. In addition $R_{yy}(T)_{\nu = \nu_c}$ has a finite and nearly $T$-independent value at $T > 200$ mK. However, near $T = T_c^{R7a} = 101$ mK, $R_{yy}(T)_{\nu = \nu_c}$ for the $R7a$ phase exhibits a sharp peak. Similar sharp peaks in $R_{yy}(T)_{\nu = \nu_c}$ curves were measured at the onset temperatures of bubble phases in the $N = 1$ and $N = 2$ Landau level. As seen in Fig.3, we now detect such peaks for all multielectron bubble phases of the $N = 3$ Landau level. We conclude that, in high mobility samples there is a sharp peak present in the $R_{yy}(T)_{\nu = \nu_c}$ curves near the onset of multielectron bubble phases, irrespective of the Landau level they develop in.

Data available for bubble phases in the $N = 3$ Landau

| \( \nu_c \)  | \( R6a \) | \( R6b \) | \( R6c \) | \( R6d \) | \( R7a \) | \( R7b \) | \( R7c \) | \( R7d \) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $\nu_c$ [mK] | $T_c$ [mK] | $R_{yy}$ [$\Omega$] |
| $T_e$ [mK] |

FIG. 3. Temperature dependence of the longitudinal magnetoresistance measured at fixed filling factor $\nu = \nu_c$ for the eight bubble phases in the $N = 3$ Landau level. Curves exhibit a sharp peak near the onset temperatures $T_c$ of the bubble phases.
level in an alloy sample offer a chance for comparison. Because of the deliberately introduced Al into the GaAs channel during the sample growth process, thereby forming a dilute AlGaAs alloy, the alloy sample in Ref. had a mobility of \( \mu = 3.6 \times 10^6 \) cm\(^2\)/Vs. This number is about a factor of 4 times less than that of our sample. Quite interestingly, in the alloy sample bubble phases of the \( N = 3 \) Landau level develop at the same filling factors and also in a similar temperature range as those in our work. A consequence of the reduced mobility, which can be seen at temperature much above bubble onset, is the enhanced longitudinal magnetoresistance of \( \approx 80 \) \( \Omega \) in the alloy sample compared to \( \approx 18 \) \( \Omega \) in our sample. Another consequence is the conspicuous absence of the sharp peak in the \( R_{yy}(T) \) curve. Indeed, as the temperature increases in the sample with added disorder, the longitudinal resistance of the bubble phase increases and saturates past 135 mK, without the development of a sharp peak. Transport in bubble phases is currently understood as follows: at \( T \ll T_c \) the bubble phase is pinned by the disorder present in the sample, whereas at \( T \gg T_c \) electrons form a uniform uncorrelated liquid. In this interpretation, near \( T = T_c \) these two phases compete by forming an interpenetrating network of domains throughout the bulk of the sample. The presence of a peak in \( R_{yy}(T) \) in our high-mobility GaAs sample and also in graphene is partly because cohesive energies are calculated under idealized conditions, such as no disorder and no Landau level mixing. Discrepancies of more than two orders of magnitude between the onset temperatures and calculated cohesive energies in the \( N = 1 \) and \( N = 2 \) Landau levels were indeed attributed to these idealized conditions. We found that these discrepancies persist in the \( N = 3 \) Landau level.

Nonetheless, comparisons of onset temperatures and cohesive energies provide useful insight to the nature of electronic interactions. It is well-known that the clustering of electrons into bubbles is promoted by competing short-range and long-range electronic interactions. The long-range interaction is Coulombic in nature, while the short-range interaction is a softened Coulomb potential. At the root of such a potential softening we find overlapping single particle wavefunctions and finite layer thickness effects. At first sight, the onset temperatures in the \( N = 3 \) Landau level listed in Table.I. do not seem to follow a particular trend. However, a closer inspection reveals some interesting properties. Within one Landau level, onset temperatures of a given type of bubble phase form an approximately linear trend. In Fig.4 we show onset temperatures \( T_c \) for multielectron bubble phases in the \( N = 2 \) and \( N = 3 \) Landau levels. The three colored bands in Fig.4 indicate these linear trends for phases with the same number of electrons per bubble. Near \( \nu = 6 \), the dimensionless onset temperatures of the \( M = 2 \) bubble phases exhibit an offset marked by the double arrow.

![FIG. 4. Dependence of the onset temperature \( T_c \) on the central factor of the \( M = 2 \) and \( M = 3 \) bubble phases in the \( N = 2 \) and \( N = 3 \) Landau levels. Shaded bands illustrate trends of onset temperatures for phases with the same number of electrons per bubble. Near \( \nu = 6 \), the dimensionless onset temperatures of the \( M = 2 \) bubble phases exhibit an offset marked by the double arrow.](image-url)
the layer thickness parameter \( \lambda \), while keeping the long-range Coulombic potential unchanged. It was found that in the \( N = 3 \) Landau level the energy balance can be significantly tilted: the \( M = 3 \) bubbles are dominant for \( \lambda = 0 \), whereas the \( M = 3 \) bubbles have nearly the same energy with \( M = 2 \) bubbles at \( \lambda = 1 \), i.e. when the electron-electron interaction was softened at short distances. We then surmise that a further softening of the potential may reverse the energy balance of the \( M = 3 \) and \( M = 2 \) bubble phases and therefore may yield the experimentally observed dominant bubble phase.

A comparison of the energetics of \( M = 2 \) bubble phases in the \( N = 2 \) and \( N = 3 \) Landau levels reveals that the electronic short-range interaction is dependent on the Landau index \( N \). We discussed earlier the linear trend of both \( T_c \) versus \( \nu_c \) for the \( M = 2 \) bubble phases. These linear trends exhibit a vertical offset when \( N \) changes from 2 to 3 in the vicinity of \( \nu = 6 \). Indeed, as seen in Fig.4, the two colored bands associated with \( M = 2 \) bubble phases in the \( N = 3 \) Landau level acquired an offset when compared to that for \( M = 2 \) bubble phase in the \( N = 2 \) Landau level. We attribute this offset to a variation of the effective electron-electron interaction, specifically its short-range part, with the Landau index \( N \). While finite layer thickness effects soften the electron-electron interaction, they are not expected to depend on the Landau level index. In contrast, a short-range potential that results from the overlapping single electron wavefunctions is Landau index dependent. This is because the number of nodes in these wavefunctions directly influences bubble energetics. The comparison of the energetics of the \( M = 2 \) bubble phases in the \( N = 2 \) and \( N = 3 \) Landau levels thus provides direct evidence that the overlapping electronic wavefunctions play a role in shaping the short-range part of the electron-electron interaction.

In the following, we examine properties of the dimensionless onset temperatures \( t_c = k_B T_c / E_C \), a quantity closely related to the dimensionless cohesive energy of Hartree-Fock calculations. Here \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant, \( E_C = e^2 / 4 \pi \epsilon l_B \) the Coulomb energy, and \( l_B \) is the magnetic length. Dimensionless onset temperatures \( t_c \) for the multielectron bubble phases in the \( N = 2 \) and \( N = 3 \) Landau levels are plotted in Fig.5. Trends already discussed for \( T_c \) are also observed for \( t_c \) within one Landau level, both of these quantities have a linear trend with \( \nu_c \) and these linear trends exhibit a vertical offset when \( N \) changes from 2 to 3 in the vicinity of \( \nu = 6 \). In addition, we find that across the different Landau levels, the linear trend of \( t_c \) versus \( \nu_c \) for the \( M = 2 \) bubble phases have a similar slope, \( \partial t_c / \partial \nu_c \approx -2.5 \times 10^{-4} \) in both the \( N = 2 \) and \( N = 3 \) Landau levels. We thus found that bubble phases with the same number of electrons forming in different Landau levels share a similar \( \partial t_c / \partial \nu_c \) slope. In contrast, the \( M = 3 \) bubble phases in the \( N = 3 \) Landau level have a significantly diminished \( \partial t_c / \partial \nu_c \) slope, reduced by about a factor 5 as compared to that of the \( M = 2 \) bubble phases.

In conclusion, we observed qualitative and quantitative aspects of bubble formation in the \( N = 2 \) and \( N = 3 \) Landau levels. We found that in our high mobility sample the longitudinal magnetoresistance versus temperature curves exhibit sharp peaks in the multielectron bubble regions both in the \( N = 2 \) and \( N = 3 \) Landau levels. We used these peaks to extract the onset temperatures for the bubble phases. The recent assignment of the number of electrons per bubble to these phases allowed an analysis of the measured onset temperatures. We found that within the \( N = 3 \) Landau level, onset temperatures of different bubble phases exhibit linear trends with the filling factor. However, the onset temperatures of the \( M = 3 \) bubbles are higher than those of \( M = 2 \) bubbles. Furthermore, when comparing the \( M = 2 \) bubble phases across \( N = 2 \) and \( N = 3 \) Landau levels we found that they are similar, but they exhibit an offset. These measurements offer information on bubble energetics that is expected to lead to refinements of existing theories and offer evidence that short-range electron-electron interactions originating from overlapping wavefunctions are at play in bubble formation.
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