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1 abstract

In just a matter of weeks, a rapidly spreading corona virus that originated in Wuhan, China, has infected more than 20,000 people and killed at least 427 worldwide. The virus is capable of spreading from person to person, and Chinese authorities are scrambling to treat a flood of new patients successfully. The virus has spread from an initial outbreak in Wuhan, China, to 25 other countries, and has resulted in the quarantine of at least 16 Chinese cities. In this article, we considered the mathematical model [1] in which Bats-Hosts-Reservoir-People and their transmission was taken, while we introduced the population of susceptible Bats and visitors to Wuhan city or any country in same mathematical model. Now two types of population are: first in [1] introducing susceptible Bats and second visitors to Wuhan city, china or any country. We used Caputo-Fabrizio derivative with provided result that the addition of susceptible Bats and visitors are not responsible in spread of infection. The numerical result also supposed our model.
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Background:
The people of the world seen a new epidemic thread in 2020. After the middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS), in middle east, a new virus Corona attacked the "Wuhan city, China". The Corona virus was first emerged in late December and has killed more than 2,500 people there, this means "Wuhan", China alone accounted for nearly 80 percent of the countrys with total deaths occur 3,299 or more. Before this, the World Health Organization (WHO) China Country Office informed in 31, December 2019 about pneumonia (unknown cause) detected in "Wuhan" city, Hubei China and WHO announced novel Corona virus (2019-nCoV). The International Committee of Taxonomy assigned it severe acute respiratory syndrome Corona virus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) on 11 February, 2020 [2, 18, 23]. All the country then infected, and an perception was drawn that visitors involve in this transmission of new virus mostly.

Scientists of all the world then felt an urgent mathematical model to estimate the transmission of this disease in China. Several researches focusing and developed mathematical models for MERS[3,4,5]. In these mathematical model the scientists trying the reproductive number which responsible for whole model [3, 19, 20]. The people are awarded from different methods, and control strategies to make possible precautions against Corona virus. Here the main aim is to estimate positivity, equilibrium, and Boundedness of these models [6, 21]. One of the best approach towards mathematical models is optimal control [7, 22]. Many different methods are adopted for optimal purpose.

In our work we try to vanish and prove the wrong perception that susceptible Bats and visitors are the spreading agent of Corona virus in "wuhan" or any other country. Here we focus on the study done in [1] which is Bats-Hosts-Reservoir-People (BHRP) and its different transmission ways of Corona virus in any population. We just interesting in human-to-human transmission, so for that issue we introduced Bats population and visitors population who visit Wuhan China or any other country. We developed a mathematical model for the transmission of Corona virus Reservoir-People-Visitors (RPV) with introducing susceptible bats and estimate the value of $R_0$. We apply Caputo-Fabrizio derivative approach towards this issue, and a numerical simulation in the last.

Methodology For Our Model
We using Fixed point theory for the existence for the model. Now the Caputo-Fabrizio fractional derivative for the system (1),

$$S_B(t) - S_B(0) = C_0 F_{I_t} \{ A_B - m_B S_B - \beta_B S_B I_B \},$$

$$S_p(t) - S_p(0) = C_0 F_{I_t} \{ A_B - m_B S_B - \beta_B S_B (I_P + \kappa A_p) - \beta W S_p W \},$$

$$E_p(t) - E_p(0) = C_0 F_{I_t} \{ \beta_B S_B (I_P + \kappa A_p) + \beta W S_p W - (1 - \delta_p) W_p E_p - \delta_p W_p E_p - m_p E_p \},$$

$$I_p(t) - I_p(0) = C_0 F_{I_t} \{ (1 - \delta_p) W_p E_p - (\gamma_p + m_p) I_p \},$$

$$A_p(t) - A_p(0) = C_0 F_{I_t} \{ \delta_p W_p E_p - (\gamma'_p + m_p) A_p \},$$

$$R_p(t) - R_p(0) = C_0 F_{I_t} \{ \gamma_p I_p + \gamma'_p A_p - m_p R_p \},$$

$$W(t) - W(0) = C_0 F_{I_t} \{ \mu_p I_p + \mu'_p A_p - \epsilon W \}.$$
Similarly Caputo-Fabrizio fractional derivative for equation (4) provide the following,

\[
\begin{align*}
S(t) - S(0) & = C_0FI^\tau_t \{ \mu_N - \sigma(t)SI - \alpha S \}, \\
E(t) - E(0) & = C_0FI^\tau_t \{ \sigma(t)SI - \lambda E - \alpha E \}, \\
I(t) - I(0) & = C_0FI^\tau_t \{ \lambda E - \eta I - \alpha I \}
\end{align*}
\]

Now we using the idea in [15] on equation (6) as well as for equation (7), through we obtain equation (8) and (9),

\[
\begin{align*}
S_B(t) - S_B(0) & = \frac{2(1 - \tau)}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \{ A_B - m_BS_B - \beta BS_IB \} \\
& \quad + \frac{2\tau}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \int_0^t \{ A_B - m_BS_B - \beta BS_IB \} dy, \\
S_p(t) - S_p(0) & = \frac{2(1 - \tau)}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \{ A_B - m_BS_B - \beta BS_B(IP + \kappa A_p) - \beta W S_p W \} \int_0^t \{ A_B - m_BS_B \\
& \quad - \beta BS_B(IP + \kappa A_p) - \beta W S_p W \} dy, \\
E_p(t) - E_p(0) & = \frac{2(1 - \tau)}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \{ \beta BS_B(IP + \kappa A_p) + \beta W S_p W \\
& \quad - (1 - \delta_p)W_p E_p - \delta_p W_p E_p - m_p E_p \} \int_0^t \{ A_B - m_BS_B \\
& \quad - \beta BS_B(IP + \kappa A_p) - \beta W S_p W - (1 - \delta_p)W_p E_p - \delta_p W_p E_p - m_p E_p \} dy, \\
I_p(t) - I_p(0) & = \frac{2(1 - \tau)}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \{ 1 - \delta_p \} W_p E_p - (\gamma_p + m_p) I_p \\
& \quad + \frac{2\tau}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \int_0^t \{ 1 - \delta_p \} W_p E_p - (\gamma_p + m_p) I_p \} dy, \\
A_p(t) - A_p(0) & = \frac{2(1 - \tau)}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \{ \delta_p W_p' E_p - (\gamma_p' + m_p) A_p \} \\
& \quad + \frac{2\tau}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \int_0^t \{ \delta_p W_p' E_p - (\gamma_p' + m_p) A_p \} dy, \\
R_p(t) - R_p(0) & = \frac{2(1 - \tau)}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \{ \gamma_p I_p + \gamma_p' A_p - m_p R_p \} \\
& \quad + \frac{2\tau}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \int_0^t \{ \gamma_p I_p + \gamma_p' A_p - m_p R_p \} dy, \\
W(t) - W(0) & = \frac{2(1 - \tau)}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \{ \mu_p I_p + \mu_p' A_p - \epsilon W \} \\
& \quad + \frac{2\tau}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \int_0^t \{ \mu_p I_p + \mu_p' A_p - \epsilon W \} dy.
\end{align*}
\]
Now using the new idea of Losada and J. Nieto [15] on the system above, we get,

\[ S(t) - S(0) = \frac{2(1-\tau)}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \{\mu_N - \sigma(t)S - \alpha S\} + \frac{2\tau}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \int_0^t \{\mu_N - \sigma(t)S - \alpha S\}, \]

\[ E(t) - E(0) = \frac{2(1-\tau)}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \{\sigma(t)S - \lambda E - \alpha E\} \]

\[ + \frac{2\tau}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \int_0^t \{\sigma(t)S - \lambda E - \alpha E\}dy, \]

\[ S_B(t) - S_B(0) = \frac{2(1-\tau)}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \{\lambda E - \eta I - \alpha I\} \]

\[ + \frac{2\tau}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \int_0^t \{\lambda E - \eta I - \alpha I\}dy. \]

We get the simplified form of the equations (10) and (11), after equations (8) and (9),

\[ \Phi_1(t, S_B) = \{AB - m_BS_B - \beta BS_BB\}, \]

\[ \Phi_2(t, S_p) = \{AB - m_BS_B - \beta BS_B(I_P + \kappa A_p) - \beta W S_p W\}, \]

\[ \Phi_3(t, E_p) = \{\beta BS_B(I_P + \kappa A_p) + \beta W S_p W - (1 - \delta_p)W E_p - \delta_p W E_p - m_p E_p\}, \]

\[ \Phi_4(t, I_p) = \{(1 - \delta_p)W E_p - (\gamma_p + \mu_p)I_p\}, \]

\[ \Phi_5(t, A_p) = \{\delta_p W' E_p - (\gamma_p' + \mu_p)A_p\}, \]

\[ \Phi_6(t, R_p) = \{\gamma_p I_p + \gamma_p' A_p - m_p R_p\}, \]

\[ \Phi_7(t, W) = \{\mu_p I_p + \mu_p' A_p - \epsilon W\}. \]

\[ \prod_1(t, S) = \{\mu_N - \sigma(t)S - \alpha S\}, \]

\[ \prod_2(t, S) = \{\sigma(t)S - \lambda E - \alpha E\}, \]

\[ \prod_3(t, S) = \{\lambda E - \eta I - \alpha I\}. \]

**Theorem 1.1.** The Kernels of \( \Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Phi_3, \Phi_4, \Phi_5, \Phi_6 \) and \( \Phi_7 \) fulfill the Lipschitz condition and contraction if the following inequality hold. \( 0 \leq (m_B + \beta_m \psi)e + I_B < 1 \), where \( \psi = 1 \) and \( e = \frac{1}{2} \).

**Proof:** We prove the theorem for \( \Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Phi_3, \Phi_4, \Phi_5, \Phi_6 \) and \( \Phi_7 \) respectively. First suppose that \( S \) and \( S_1 \) are two function for \( \Phi_1 \), then,

\[ \| \Phi_1(t, S_B) - \Phi_1(t, S_1B) \| = \| -m_BS_B\{S_B(t) - S_B(t_1)\} - \beta BS_B\{S_B(t) - S_B(t_1)\} \|. \]
For equation (12) we apply triangle inequality, we get,

\[
\| \Phi_1(t, S_B) - \Phi_1(t, S_{1B}) \| \leq \| -m_B S_B \{ S_B(t) - S_B(t_1) \} \| \\
+ \| \{ -\beta_B I_B \{ S_B(t) - S_B(t_1) \} \} \|.
\]

(8)

\[
\leq \| m_B \| + \| \{ \beta_B \} \| \{ \| S_B(t) - S_B(t_1) \| \}.
\]

\[
\leq (m_B + \beta_m) \{ \frac{1}{2} \} + I_B \| S_B(t) - S_B(t_1) \|.
\]

\[
\leq \varphi \| S_B(t) - S_B(t_1) \|
\]

While here we use \( \varphi = (m_B + \beta_m) \) with \( \psi = 1 \) and \( e = \frac{1}{2} \)

This shows that the given function is a bounded function, so we have,

\[
\| \Phi_1(t, S_B) - \Phi_1(t, S_{1B}) \| \leq \varphi \| S_B(t) - S_B(t_1) \|
\]

(9)

Hence, we see that the Lipschitz condition for equation (12) is satisfied, also \( 0 \leq (m_B + \beta_m \psi) e + I_B < 1 \), where \( \psi = 1 \) and \( e = \frac{1}{2} \), which also emphasized that the said equation (12) is contraction. The Lipschitz condition for other equations by the similar way are given below,

\[
\| \Phi_2(t, S_p) - \Phi_2(t, S_{1p}) \| \leq \varphi \| S_p(t) - S_p(t_1) \|.
\]

\[
\| \Phi_3(t, E_p) - \Phi_2(t, E_{1p}) \| \leq \varphi_1 \| E_p(t) - E_p(t_1) \|.
\]

\[
\| \Phi_4(t, I_p) - \Phi_2(t, I_{1p}) \| \leq \varphi_2 \| I_p(t) - I_p(t_1) \|.
\]

\[
\| \Phi_5(t, A_{1p}) \| \leq \varphi_3 \| A_p(t) - A_p(t_1) \|.
\]

\[
\| \Phi_6(t, R_p) - \Phi_2(t, R_{1p}) \| \leq \varphi_4 \| R_p(t) - R_p(t_1) \|.
\]

\[
\| \Phi_7(t, W) - \Phi_2(t, W) \| \leq \varphi_5 \| W(t) - W(t_1) \|.
\]

Theorem 1.2. The Kernals of equation (10) \( \prod_1, \prod_2 \) and \( \prod_3 \) fulfill the Lipschitz condition and contraction if the following inequality hold. \( 0 \leq (\sigma(t) + \alpha \psi) e + I < 1 \), where \( \psi = 1 \) and \( I = e = \frac{1}{2} \).

Proof: To prove the concern condition suppose that \( D \) and \( D_1 \) are any two function then, from \( \prod_1 \) we write as,

\[
\| \prod_1(t, D) - \prod_1(t, D_1) \| = \| \sigma(t) S I - \alpha S \|.
\]

(11)

By triangle inequality equation (15) becomes,

\[
\| \prod_1(t, D) - \prod_1(t, D_1) \| \leq \| -\sigma(t) S I \| + \| -\alpha S \|.
\]

\[
\leq \| \sigma(t) S I \| + \| \alpha S \|.
\]

\[
\leq \| \sigma(t) I S \{ D(t) - D_1(t) \} \| + \| \alpha S \{ D(t) - D_1(t) \} \|.
\]

\[
\leq \| \sigma(t) \| \| I \| + \| \alpha \| \| \{ D(t) - D_1(t) \} \|.
\]

\[
\leq \{ \sigma(t) + \alpha \cdot 1 \} \{ \frac{1}{2} \} \| \{ D(t) - D_1(t) \} \|.
\]

\[
\leq \Omega \| \{ D(t) - D_1(t) \} \|
\]

(12)
Where $\Omega = \sigma(t) + \alpha$, while again we see that $\psi = 1$ and $I = e = \frac{1}{2}$, showing the same effect of disease spread rate through visitors to "Wahan" city or the people living there in "Wahan". Hence, Lipschitz condition for equation (16) is satisfied, and by similar way we find the remaining equations (10) are below, as,

$$\| \prod_{1}^{(t, D)} - \prod_{1}^{(t, D_1)} \| \leq \Omega_1 \| E(t) - E(t_1) \| .$$

$$\| \prod_{2}^{(t, D)} - \prod_{2}^{(t, D_1)} \| \leq \Omega_2 \| I(t) - I(t_1) \| .$$

(13)

Taking equations (8) and (9) with kernal notation becomes,

$$S_B(t) = S_B(0) + \frac{2(1 - \tau)}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \{ \Phi_1(t, S_B) \} + \frac{2\tau}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \int_{0}^{t} \{ \Phi_1(y, S_B) \} dy,$$

$$S_p(t) = S_p(0) + \frac{2(1 - \tau)}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \{ \Phi_2(t, S_p) \} + \frac{2\tau}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \int_{0}^{t} \{ \Phi_2(y, S_p) \} dy,$$

$$E_p(t) = E_p(0) + \frac{2(1 - \tau)}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \{ \Phi_3(t, E_p) \} + \frac{2\tau}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \int_{0}^{t} \{ \Phi_3(y, E_p) \} dy,$$

$$I_p(t) = I_p(0) + \frac{2(1 - \tau)}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \{ \Phi_4(t, I_p) \} + \frac{2\tau}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \int_{0}^{t} \{ \Phi_4(y, I_p) \} dy,$$

(14)

$$A_p(t) = A_p(0) + \frac{2(1 - \tau)}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \{ \Phi_5(t, A_p) \} + \frac{2\tau}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \int_{0}^{t} \{ \Phi_5(y, A_p) \} dy,$$

$$R_p(t) = R_p(0) + \frac{2(1 - \tau)}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \{ \Phi_6(t, R_p) \} + \frac{2\tau}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \int_{0}^{t} \{ \Phi_6(y, R_p) \} dy,$$

$$W(t) = W(0) + \frac{2(1 - \tau)}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \{ \Phi_7(t, W) \} + \frac{2\tau}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \int_{0}^{t} \{ \Phi_7(y, W) \} dy.$$  

Like equation (19), we obtain net equations of (17) and (18) as a Eqs.(20) and (21),

$$S(t) = S(0) + \frac{2(1 - \tau)}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \{ \prod_{1}^{(t, S)} \} + \frac{2}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \int_{0}^{t} \{ \prod_{1}^{(y, S)} \} dy,$$

$$E(t) = E(0) + \frac{2(1 - \tau)}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \{ \prod_{2}^{(t, E)} \} + \frac{2}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \int_{0}^{t} \{ \prod_{1}^{(y, E)} \} dy,$$

(15)

$$I(t) = I(0) + \frac{2(1 - \tau)}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \{ \prod_{3}^{(t, I)} \} + \frac{2}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \int_{0}^{t} \{ \prod_{1}^{(y, I)} \} dy.$$
The recurrence formula for equations (19) and (20) we get,

\[
S_{Bn}(t) = \frac{2(1 - \tau)}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \{\Phi_1(t, S_B(n - 1))\} + \frac{2\tau}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \int_0^t \{\Phi_1(y, S_B(n - 1))\} dy,
\]

\[
S_{pn}(t) = \frac{2(1 - \tau)}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \{\Phi_2(t, S_p(n - 1))\} + \frac{2\tau}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \int_0^t \{\Phi_1(y, S_p(n - 1))\} dy,
\]

\[
E_{pm}(t) = \frac{2(1 - \tau)}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \{\Phi_3(t, E_p(n - 1))\} + \frac{2\tau}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \int_0^t \{\Phi_1(y, E_p(n - 1))\} dy,
\]

\[
I_{pn}(t) = \frac{2(1 - \tau)}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \{\Phi_4(t, I_p(n - 1))\} + \frac{2\tau}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \int_0^t \{\Phi_4(y, I_p(n - 1))\} dy,
\]

\[
A_{pn}(t) = \frac{2(1 - \tau)}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \{\Phi_5(t, A_p(n - 1))\} + \frac{2\tau}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \int_0^t \{\Phi_5(y, A_p(n - 1))\} dy,
\]

\[
R_{pn}(t) = \frac{2(1 - \tau)}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \{\Phi_6(t, R_p(n - 1))\} + \frac{2\tau}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \int_0^t \{\Phi_6(y, R_p(n - 1))\} dy,
\]

\[
W_n(t) = \frac{2(1 - \tau)}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \{\Phi_7(t, W(n - 1))\} + \frac{2\tau}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \int_0^t \{\Phi_7(y, W(n - 1))\} dy.
\]

and

\[
S_n(t) = \frac{2(1 - \tau)}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \left\{ \prod_1(t, S(n - 1)) \right\} + \frac{2}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \int_0^t \left\{ \prod_1(y, S(n - 1)) \right\} dy,
\]

\[
E_n(t) = \frac{2(1 - \tau)}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \left\{ \prod_2(t, E(n - 1)) \right\} + \frac{2}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \int_0^t \left\{ \prod_2(y, E(n - 1)) \right\} dy,
\]

\[
I_n(t) = \frac{2(1 - \tau)}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \left\{ \prod_3(t, I(n - 1)) \right\} + \frac{2}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \int_0^t \left\{ \prod_3(y, I(n - 1)) \right\} dy.
\]

With the initial conditions for Eqs.(21) and (22) given by,

\[
S_B^0(t) = S_B(t), S_p^0(t) = S_p(t), E_p^0(t) = E_p(t), I_p^0(t) = I_p(t), A_p^0(t) = A_p(t), R_p^0(t) = R_p(t), W^0(t) = W(t).
\]
Now to calculate the successive terms, we use the following difference formula,

\[
\Gamma_1 n(t) = S_B n(t) - S_B(n-1)t = \frac{2(1-\tau)}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \{\Phi_1(t, S_B(n-1) - \phi_1(t, S_B(n-2))}\} + \\
\frac{2\tau}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \int_0^t \{\Phi_1(y, S_B(n-1) - \phi_1(y, S_B(n-2))\} dy,
\]

\[
\Gamma_2 n(t) = S_p n(t) - S_p(n-1)t = \frac{2(1-\tau)}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \{\Phi_2(t, S_p(n-1) - \phi_2(t, S_p(n-2))\} + \\
\frac{2\tau}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \int_0^t \{\Phi_2(y, S_p(n-1) - \phi_2(y, S_p(n-2))\} dy,
\]

\[
\Gamma_3 n(t) = E_p n(t) - E_p(n-1)t = \frac{2(1-\tau)}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \{\Phi_3(t, E_p(n-1) - \phi_3(t, E_p(n-2))\} + \\
\frac{2\tau}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \int_0^t \{\Phi_3(y, E_p(n-1) - \phi_3(y, E_p(n-2))\} dy,
\]

\[
\Gamma_4 n(t) = I_p n(t) - I_p(n-1)t = \frac{2(1-\tau)}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \{\Phi_4(t, I_p(n-1) - \phi_4(t, I_p(n-2))\} + \\
\frac{2\tau}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \int_0^t \{\Phi_4(y, I_p(n-1) - \phi_4(y, I_p(n-2))\} dy,
\]

\[
\Gamma_5 n(t) = A_p n(t) - A_p(n-1)t = \frac{2(1-\tau)}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \{\Phi_5(t, A_p(n-1) - \phi_5(t, A_p(n-2))\} + \\
\frac{2\tau}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \int_0^t \{\Phi_5(y, A_p(n-1) - \phi_5(y, A_p(n-2))\} dy,
\]

\[
\Gamma_6 n(t) = R_p n(t) - R_p(n-1)t = \frac{2(1-\tau)}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \{\Phi_6(t, R_p(n-1) - \phi_6(t, R_p(n-2))\} + \\
\frac{2\tau}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \int_0^t \{\Phi_6(y, R_p(n-1) - \phi_6(y, R_p(n-2))\} dy,
\]

\[
\Gamma_7 n(t) = W n(t) - W(n-1)t = \frac{2(1-\tau)}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \{\Phi_7(t, W(n-1) - \phi_7(t, W(n-2))\} + \\
\frac{2\tau}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \int_0^t \{\Phi_7(y, W(n-1) - \phi_7(y, W(n-2))\} dy.
\]
While the recurrence formula for the visitors population in equation (22) are given by,

\[
\mathcal{R}_1 n(t) = S_n(t) - S(n-1) t = \\
\frac{2(1 - \tau)}{(2 - \tau) M(\tau)} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{n} (t, S(n-1) - \prod_{i=1}^{n} (t, S(n-2))) \right\} + \\
\frac{2}{(2 - \tau) M(\tau)} \int_0^t \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{n} (y, S(n-1) - \prod_{i=1}^{n} (y, S(n-2))) \right\} dy,
\]

\[
\mathcal{R}_2 n(t) = E_n(t) - E(n-1) t = \\
\frac{2(1 - \tau)}{(2 - \tau) M(\tau)} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{n} (t, E(n-1) - \prod_{i=1}^{n} (t, E(n-2))) \right\} + \\
\frac{2}{(2 - \tau) M(\tau)} \int_0^t \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{n} (y, E(n-1) - \prod_{i=1}^{n} (y, E(n-2))) \right\} dy,
\]

\[
\mathcal{R}_3 n(t) = I_n(t) - I(n-1) t = \\
\frac{2(1 - \tau)}{(2 - \tau) M(\tau)} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{n} (t, S(n-1) - \prod_{i=1}^{n} (t, I(n-1))) \right\} + \\
\frac{2}{(2 - \tau) M(\tau)} \int_0^t \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{n} (y, I(n-1) - \prod_{i=1}^{n} (y, I(n-1))) \right\} dy.
\]

Here we have the following equation (25) with \( i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 \) and equation (26) with \( j = 1, 2, 3 \) are given,

\[
S_B n(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \Gamma_1 i(t), S_B n(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \Gamma_2 i(t), E_B n(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \Gamma_3 i(t),
\]

\[
I_B n(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \Gamma_4 i(t), A_B n(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \Gamma_5 i(t), R_B n(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \Gamma_6 i(t),
\]

\[
W n(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \Gamma_7 i(t).
\]

\[
S n(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{i=1}^n i(t), E n(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{i=1}^2 i(t),
\]

\[
I n(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{i=1}^3 i(t).
\]

Here we use the same strategy and assume the following,

\[
\| \Gamma_1 n(t) \| = \| S_B n(t) - S_B(n-1)(t) \| =
\]

\[
\| \frac{2(1 - \tau)}{(2 - \tau) M(\tau)} \{ \Phi_1(t, S_B(n-1) - \phi_1(t, S_B(n-2))) \} + \\
\frac{2\tau}{(2 - \tau) M(\tau)} \int_0^t \{ \Phi_1(y, S_B(n-1) - \phi_1(y, S_B(n-2))) \} dy.
\]
Similarly from equation (24) we have below result,

\[ \| S_B n(t) - S_B(n-1)(t) \| \leq \frac{2(1-\tau)}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \{ \Phi_1(t, S_B(n-1) - \phi_1(t, S_B(n-3))) \} \]

\[ + \frac{2\tau}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \int_0^t \| \{ \Phi_1(y, S_B(n-1) - \phi_1(y, S_B(n-3))) \} \| dy. \]

Using triangle inequality for above equation we get,

\[ \| S_B n(t) - S_B(n-1)(t) \| \leq \frac{2(1-\tau)}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \{ \Phi_1 \| \{ S_B(n-1) - S_B(n-2) \} \| \]

\[ + \frac{2\tau}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \Phi_1 \int_0^t \| \{ S_B(n-1) - S_B(n-2) \} \| dy. \] (22)

But we have proved that the kernel satisfied the Lipschits criteria then the above becomes,

\[ \| S_B n(t) - S_B(n-1)(t) \| \leq \frac{2(1-\tau)}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \{ \Phi_1 \| \{ S_B(n-1) - S_B(n-2) \} \| \]

\[ + \frac{2\tau}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \Phi_1 \int_0^t \| \{ S_B(n-1) - S_B(n-2) \} \| dy. \] (22)

From simplifying form equation (27) implies,

\[ \| \Gamma_1 n(t) \| \leq \frac{2(1-\tau)}{2 - \tau M(\tau)} \Phi_1 \| \Gamma_1(n-1)(t) \| + \frac{2\tau}{2 - \tau M(\tau)} \Gamma_1 \int_0^t \| \Gamma_1(n-1)y \| dy. \] (23)

By the same way we get the following result,

\[ \| \Gamma_2 n(t) \| \leq \frac{2(1-\tau)}{2 - \tau M(\tau)} \Phi_2 \| \Gamma_2(n-1)(t) \| + \frac{2\tau}{2 - \tau M(\tau)} \Gamma_2 \int_0^t \| \Gamma_2(n-1)y \| dy, \]

\[ \| \Gamma_3 n(t) \| \leq \frac{2(1-\tau)}{2 - \tau M(\tau)} \Phi_3 \| \Gamma_3(n-1)(t) \| + \frac{2\tau}{2 - \tau M(\tau)} \Gamma_3 \int_0^t \| \Gamma_3(n-1)y \| dy, \]

\[ \| \Gamma_4 n(t) \| \leq \frac{2(1-\tau)}{2 - \tau M(\tau)} \Phi_4 \| \Gamma_4(n-1)(t) \| + \frac{2\tau}{2 - \tau M(\tau)} \Gamma_4 \int_0^t \| \Gamma_4(n-1)y \| dy, \] (24)

\[ \| \Gamma_5 n(t) \| \leq \frac{2(1-\tau)}{2 - \tau M(\tau)} \Phi_5 \| \Gamma_5(n-1)(t) \| + \frac{2\tau}{2 - \tau M(\tau)} \Gamma_5 \int_0^t \| \Gamma_5(n-1)y \| dy, \]

\[ \| \Gamma_6 n(t) \| \leq \frac{2(1-\tau)}{2 - \tau M(\tau)} \Phi_6 \| \Gamma_6(n-1)(t) \| + \frac{2\tau}{2 - \tau M(\tau)} \Gamma_6 \int_0^t \| \Gamma_6(n-1)y \| dy. \]

Similarly from equation (24) we have below result,

\[ \| \mathcal{R}_1 n(t) \| \leq \frac{2(1-\tau)}{2 - \tau M(\tau)} \prod_1 \| \mathcal{R}_1(n-1)(t) \| + \frac{2\tau}{2 - \tau M(\tau)} \mathcal{R}_1 \int_0^t \| \mathcal{R}_1(n-1)y \| dy, \]

\[ \| \mathcal{R}_2 n(t) \| \leq \frac{2(1-\tau)}{2 - \tau M(\tau)} \prod_2 \| \mathcal{R}_2(n-1)(t) \| + \frac{2\tau}{2 - \tau M(\tau)} \mathcal{R}_2 \int_0^t \| \mathcal{R}_2(n-1)y \| dy, \] (25)

\[ \| \mathcal{R}_3 n(t) \| \leq \frac{2(1-\tau)}{2 - \tau M(\tau)} \prod_3 \| \mathcal{R}_3(n-1)(t) \| + \frac{2\tau}{2 - \tau M(\tau)} \mathcal{R}_3 \int_0^t \| \mathcal{R}_3(n-1)y \| dy. \]

now considered the theorem given below,
Theorem 1.3. The model defined in system (1) has exact coupled solution if the condition below hold that is we find that

\[
\frac{2(1-\tau)}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \Phi_1 + \frac{2\tau}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \Phi_1 < 1
\]

Proof: We shown that all the equations in (28) and (29) are bounded and the functions 
\(S_B, S_p, E_p, I_p, A_p, R_p, W\) fulfill the Lipschitz condition, so Eqs. (28) and (29) by recursive method its succeeding relation are given below,

\[
\begin{align*}
\| \Gamma_1 \| &\leq \| S_{Bn}(0) \| \left[ \frac{2(1-\tau)}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \Phi_1 + \frac{2\tau}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \Phi_1 \right]^n, \\
\| \Gamma_2 \| &\leq \| S_{pn}(0) \| \left[ \frac{2(1-\tau)}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \Phi_2 + \frac{2\tau}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \Phi_2 \right]^n, \\
\| \Gamma_3 \| &\leq \| E_{pn}(0) \| \left[ \frac{2(1-\tau)}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \Phi_3 + \frac{2\tau}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \Phi_3 \right]^n, \\
\| \Gamma_4 \| &\leq \| I_{pn}(0) \| \left[ \frac{2(1-\tau)}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \Phi_4 + \frac{2\tau}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \Phi_4 \right]^n, \\
\| \Gamma_5 \| &\leq \| A_{pn}(0) \| \left[ \frac{2(1-\tau)}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \Phi_5 + \frac{2\tau}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \Phi_5 \right]^n, \\
\| \Gamma_6 \| &\leq \| R_{pn}(0) \| \left[ \frac{2(1-\tau)}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \Phi_6 + \frac{2\tau}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \Phi_6 \right]^n, \\
\| \Gamma_7 \| &\leq \| W_n(0) \| \left[ \frac{2(1-\tau)}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \Phi_7 + \frac{2\tau}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \Phi_7 \right]^n.
\end{align*}
\]

which shows that the existence and as well as the continuity of the concern solutions is valid and proved. Furthermore, to ensure that the above function is a solution of Eq. (3), we proceed as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
S_B(t) - S_B(0) &= S_{Bn}(t) - T_1(t), \\
S_p(t) - S_p(0) &= S_{pn}(t) - T_2(t), \\
E_p(t) - E_p(0) &= E_{pn}(t) - T_3(t), \\
I_p(t) - I_p(0) &= I_{pn}(t) - T_4(t), \\
A_p(t) - A_p(0) &= A_{pn}(t) - T_5(t), \\
R_p(t) - R_p(0) &= R_{pn}(t) - T_6(t), \\
W(t) - W(0) &= W_n(t) - T_7(t).
\end{align*}
\]
Where the terms $T_1(t), T_2(t), T_3(t), T_4(t), T_5(t), T_6(t)$ and $T_7(t)$ are classified as below,

$$
\| T_1(n) \| = \| \frac{2(1 - \tau)}{2 - \tau M(\tau)} \Phi_1(t, S_Bn) - \Phi_1(t, S_B(n - 1)) + \frac{2\tau}{2 - \tau M(\tau)} \int_0^t (\Phi_1(y, S_Bn) - \Phi_1(y, S_B(n - 1))) \, dy.
$$

Now for Eq.(34) using limit $n \to \infty$, we get,

$$
\| T_1(t) \| \leq \| \frac{2(1 - \tau)}{2 - \tau M(\tau)} \| \Phi_1(t, S_Bn) - \Phi_1(t, S_B(n - 1)) \| + \frac{2\tau}{2 - \tau M(\tau)} \int_0^t \| (\Phi_1(y, S_Bn) - \Phi_1(y, S_B(n - 1))) \| \, dy.
$$

$$\leq \frac{2(1 - \tau)}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \| S_B - S_B(n - 1) \| + \frac{2\tau}{2 - \tau M(\tau)} \Gamma_1 \| S_B - S_B(n - 1) \| \tag{28}
$$

In recurrence manner we write as

$$
\| T_1(t) \| \leq ((\frac{2(1 - \tau)}{2 - \tau M(\tau)}) + \frac{2\tau}{2 - \tau M(\tau)} t_0)^{n+1} \Gamma_1^{n+1} \tag{29}
$$

Now using limit $n \to \infty$ on Eq.(34)

$$
\| T_1(t) \| \to 0.
$$

The same procedure using for Eq.(32) we get,

$$
\| T_2(t) \| \to 0, \| T_3(t) \| \to 0, \| T_4(t) \| \to 0, \| T_5(t) \| \to 0, \| T_6(t) \| \to 0, \| T_7(t) \| \to 0.
$$

To show system (3) having unique solution, we suppose that there exists another solution of system (3) are $S_{1B}(t), S_{1p}(t), E_{1p}(t), I_{1p}(t), A_{1p}(t), R_{1p}(t)$ and $W_1(t)$, such that,

$$
S_B(t) - S_{1B}(t) = \frac{2(1 - \tau)}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \Phi_1(t, S_B) - \Phi_1(t, S_{1B}) + \frac{2\tau}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \int_0^t \Phi_1(y, S_B) - \Phi_1(y, S_{1B}) \, dy \tag{30}
$$

Now for Eq(34) using $\| . \|$, and applying Lipschitz condition of kernel we,

$$
\| S_B(t) - S_{1B}(t) \| \leq (1 - \frac{2(1 - \tau)}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \Gamma_1 - \frac{2\tau}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \Gamma_1(t)) \leq 0 \tag{31}
$$

**Theorem 1.4.** The model (3) solution will unique if

$$
(1 - \frac{2(1 - \tau)}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \Gamma_1 - \frac{2\tau}{(2 - \tau)M(\tau)} \Gamma_1(t)) > 0
$$

Proof: If condition defined in above theorem hold then Eq.(36) written as,

$$
\| S_B(t) - S_{1B}(t0) \| = 0. \tag{32}
$$
We easily get that,

\[ S_B(t) = S_{1B} \]  \hspace{1cm} (33)

Provided that the following solution of all concern,

\[ S_p(t) = S_{1p}(t), E_p(t) = E_{1p}(t), I_p(t) = I_{1p}(t), \]
\[ A_p(t) = A_{1p}(t), R_p(t) = R_{1p}(t), W(t) = W_{1}(t), \]

Similarly apply the same theorem (above) and procedure we also find the visitors population as below, provided that the following solution of all concern,

\[ S(t) = S_{1}(t), E(t) = E_{1}(t), I(t) = I_{1}(t). \]

**Results**

In this subsection we derived two types of results that both the population showing the same effect on the new disease "Corona Virus" spread in China "Wahan" city. The equations (13), (14), (16), and (17) providing the same values i.e., \( \psi = 1 \) and \( e = \frac{1}{2} \), indicated that if the visitors visit the particular city or no visitors there in the concern city the spreading ratio of the disease remain same from the fixed values taken both population. These fixed values of both the population \( \psi \) and \( e \) create a perception that visitors have not a key role in this epidemic disease which spread in particular region of the "Wahan" city.

The model defined in system (4) by using the strategies of Eqs.(28) and (29), we see that the succeeding relation with continuity like in Eq.(32) the terms \( \tilde{T}_1, \tilde{T}_2, \tilde{T}_3 \), showing same behavior of Eq.(34) with assigning new supposition of solution \( \tilde{S}_1, \tilde{S}_2, \tilde{S}_3 \), provides us Eq.(36) of the form of Eq.(35) revealed that the spreading of Corona virus in "Wahan city" do not effected by visitors.

**Conclusion**

considering the published data with calculating all parameters, we concluded that the models "BHRP", and "RP" showed that the spread of Corona virus is very high then MERS in any population. But the addition of our model to published data model showed that the susceptible Bats and visitors to Wuhan or any country having same estimation as that population, more specially visitors of any country are not responsible in the spread of more infection in that area. Since the objective of this mathematical model is to estimate the role of susceptible Bats and visitors in spread of "Corona virus" in any population.

2 Simulation methods and statistical analysis

For curve fitting we used Runge-Kutta-fourth-order method. the reproductive number was considered 0.5 for (BHRP) model while for Bats and visitors we considered \( R_0 = 1 \).
3 Mathematical Model And transmission of Bats-Hosts-Reservoir-People (BHRP)

On 19 January, 2020 [9] published BHRP mathematical transmission model in bioRxiv, with the following certain assumptions:

**Bats population:** 1:) Divided into four section, susceptible, exposed, infected, and removed are \((S_B), (E_B), (I_B)\) and \((R_B)\) respectively. But in our model we considered susceptible Bats \((S_B)\) only, and ignored the remaining because of no concern in human population. While \(n_B\) and \(m_B\) are taken the birth and death rate. \(B\) is the rate of infection from \(S_B\) when contact with \(I_B\).

**Host Population:** 2:) Population of host is also divided in four compartments: \((S_H), (E_H), (I_H)\) and \((R_H)\) denoted susceptible, exposed, infected, and removed hosts. Here we leave all the compartments of the Hosts population, due to no role in human population infection.

**Reservoir Population:** Here \(W\) denoted SARS-CoV-2 in reservoir (the seafood market). In our study the rate of asymptomatic infected people and symptomatic infected people export virus with \(\mu_p\) and \(\hat{\mu}_p\) respectively from markets or any other source.

**People Population:** This population is divided in to five classes, there five compartments are: \((S_p), (E_p), (I_p), (A_p)\) and \((R_p)\) denoted susceptible, exposed, symptomatic infected, asymptomatic infected, and removed peoples compartments. Here \(m_p\) represent death rate. \(\delta_p\) was defined for the proportion of asymptomatic infection, also the \(S_p\) infected from \(W\) and \(I_p\) with the transmission rate \(\beta_W\) and \(\beta_p\).

4 The New Bats And Visitors Model

Here we drawn some more assumptions for our model:

**Assumption 1:** In our study we considered the Bats population only.

**Assumption 2:** We ignored the transmission of Bats-Host population due to unknown spreading source.

**Assumption 3:** Hosts population of Bats is totally ignored in this study because of its unknown relation and infection.

**Assumption 4:** We leave other related terms and contact in reservoir population in this article.

**Assumption 5:** We taken the class of susceptible Bats to check weather this class spread any infection in the concern model.

**Assumption 6:** In this study we introduced visitors population compartment separately. During in any outbreak the death rate is normally high, therefore, the model BHRP converted
into BRP and then in BRV (Bats-reservoir-visitors) model by the following,

\[ S_B^\bullet = A_B - m_B S_B - \beta_B S_B I_B, \]
\[ S_p^\bullet = A_B - m_B S_B - \beta_B S_B (I_P + \kappa A_p) - \beta W S_p W, \]
\[ E_p^\bullet = \beta_B S_B (I_P + \kappa A_p) + \beta W S_p W - (1 - \delta_p) W_p E_p - \delta_p W_p E_p - m_p E_p, \]
\[ I_p^\bullet = (1 - \delta_p) W_p E_p - (\gamma_p + m_p) I_p, \]
\[ A_p^\bullet = \delta_p W_p' E_p - (\gamma_p' + m_p) A_p, \]
\[ R_p^\bullet = \gamma_p I_p + \gamma_p' A_p - m_p R_p, \]
\[ W^\bullet = \mu_p I_p + \mu_p' A_p - \epsilon W. \]

In outbreak the visitors and hosts interaction was very slow in "Wuhan" or other country. Now introducing dynamic population of the visitors to any city or country is as in separate for estimation of infection,

\[ S^\bullet = \mu N - \sigma(t) SI - \alpha S, \]
\[ E^\bullet = \sigma(t) SI - \lambda E - \alpha E, \]
\[ I^\bullet = \lambda E - \eta I - \alpha I. \]

5 Transmissibility of Corona Virus or SARS-CoV-2 Based on the BRP And Bats-visitors model

In the article, \( R_0 \) is assessed for transmissibility of Corona virus or SARS-CoV-2. The, \( R_0 \) we defined as, the expected number of secondary infections with introducing any single infected individual to susceptible population [10, 11, 12]. The value of \( R_0 > 1 \) or \( R_0 < 1 \), showing different characteristics of the model in outbreak to in control or out of control. In this study, \( R_0 \) was deduced from the BRP model and Bats-visitors population by next generation matrix approach [13].

6 Some Results

Here in this subsection, we given some basic definition of the fractional calculus, which we used later,

**Definition A:**
Let \( H \in G^1(c, d) \) and \( d \) is greater then \( c \), also \( \tau \in [0, 1] \) then CFF derivative [14] is given as,

\[ P^\tau_t (h(t)) = \frac{M(\tau)}{1 - \tau} \int_0^\tau \hat{h}(x)e^{-\tau(t - \frac{x}{1 - \tau})} dx. \]

Here \( M(\tau) \), which implies normality with \( M(0) = M(1) = 1 \) [14]. But if \( H \) is not contain in \( G^1(c, d) \) then we get,

\[ P^\tau_t (h(t)) = \frac{\tau M(\tau)}{1 - \tau} \int_a^\tau (h(t) - h(x))e^{-\tau(t - \frac{x}{1 - \tau})} dx. \]
Definition B:
When \( \nu = \frac{(1-\tau)}{\tau} \cdot \in [0, \infty) \) and \( \tau = \frac{1}{1+\nu} \cdot \in [0, 1] \) we have the following result,
\[
P^\tau_t(h(t)) = \frac{K(\nu)}{\nu} \int_a^t \hat{h}(x)e^{(\tau - \frac{x}{\nu})}dx.
\]
With
\[
K(0) = K(\infty) = 1.
\]
Applying \( \lim \nu \to 0 \) we get,
\[
P^\tau_t(h(t)) = \lim_{\nu \to 0} \frac{1}{\nu} e^{(\tau - \frac{x}{\nu})}dx = \nu(x - t).
\]
This integral definition was provided by Losada and J.Nieto [15].

Definition C:
Suppose that "0 < \tau < 1" then CFFD integral of given function \( h \) is as,
\[
I^\tau_t h(t) = \frac{2(1-\tau)}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} h(\tau) + \frac{2\tau}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} \int_0^t h(s)ds, t \geq 0.
\]
The above equation will also be written as,
\[
\frac{2(1-\tau)}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} + \frac{2\tau}{(2-\tau)M(\tau)} = 1. \tag{36}
\]
This gives that \( M(\tau) = \frac{2}{(2-\tau)} \), with 0 < \tau < 1. From Eq.(3) a new form of the "Caputo–Fabrizio fractional derivative" of order 0 < \tau < 1 is further investigated by Losada and J.Nieto [15].
\[
P^\tau_t h(t) = \frac{1}{(1-\tau)} \int_0^t \hat{h}(x)e^{(\tau - \frac{x}{(1-\tau)})}dx.
\]
This above form is used in mathematical model of HBV and diabetes model [16, 17].

7 Formulation of Model BVP from BRPV

Now we replacing system (1) by new CF fractional derivative, given below as,
\[
\begin{align*}
C_0FD^\tau_t S_B &= A_B - m_B S_B - \beta_B S_B I_B, \\
C_0FD^\tau_t S_p &= A_B - m_B S_B - \beta_B S_B (I_p + \kappa A_p) - \beta W S_p W, \\
C_0FD^\tau_t E_p &= \beta_B S_B (I_p + \kappa A_p) + \beta W S_p W - (1 - \delta_p) W_p E_p - \delta_p W_p E_p - m_p E_p, \\
C_0FD^\tau_t I_p &= (1 - \delta_p) W_p E_p - (\gamma_p + m_p) I_p, \\
C_0FD^\tau_t A_p &= \delta_p W_p E_p - (\gamma_p' + m_p) A_p, \\
C_0FD^\tau_t R_p &= \gamma_p I_p + \gamma_p' A_p - m_p R_p, \\
C_0FD^\tau_t W &= \mu_p I_p + \mu_p' A_p - \epsilon W.
\end{align*}
\]
Similarly replacing equation (2) by CF fractional derivative as,

\[ C_0 F^\tau_i S = \mu_N - \sigma(t)SI - \alpha S, \]
\[ C_0 F^\tau_i E = \sigma(t)SI - \lambda E - \alpha E, \]
\[ C_0 F^\tau_i I = \lambda E - \eta I - \alpha I. \] (38)

8 Basic Reproductive Number

The basic reproductive number of the system (1) is given by,

\[ R_0 = \frac{A_B(1 - \delta_p)W_p + (\gamma_p I_p)A_p}{\mu_p + \mu_p A_p - W} + \frac{\beta_W A_p}{(\gamma_p + m_p)}. \]

9 Table1: Parameters Used In BHRP And BRP Visitors Model

| Notation | Parameter description |
|----------|-----------------------|
| n_B      | Bats Birth rate       |
| n_H      | Hosts Birth rate      |
| n_p      | People Birth rate     |
| m_B      | Bats death rate       |
| m_H      | Hosts death rate      |
| 1/\omega_B | Bats incubation period |
| 1/\omega_H | Host incubation period |
| 1/\omega_p | People incubation period |
| 1/\omega_B | Latent people period |
| 1/\gamma_B | Bats infection period |
| 1/\gamma_H | Hosts infection period |
| 1/\gamma_p | The symptomatic people infectious period |
| 1/\gamma_p | The asymptomatic people infectious period |
| \beta_B  | I_B to S_B transmission rate |
| \beta_{BH} | I_B to S_H transmission rate |
| \beta_H  | I_H to S_H transmission rate |
| \beta_p  | I_p to S_p transmission rate |
| \beta_W  | W to S_p transmission rate |
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