Integrated tRNA, transcript, and protein profiles in response to steroid hormone signaling
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ABSTRACT
The accurate and efficient transfer of genetic information into amino acid sequences is carried out through codon–anticodon interactions between mRNA and tRNA, respectively. In this way, tRNAs function at the interface between gene expression and protein synthesis. Whether tRNA levels are dynamically regulated and to what degree tRNA abundance influences the cellular proteome remains largely unexplored. Here we profile tRNA, transcript, and protein levels in Drosophila Kc167 cells, a plasmatocyte cell line that, upon treatment with 20-hydroxyecdysone, differentiates into macrophages. We find that high abundance tRNAs associate with codons that are overrepresented in the Kc167 cell proteome, whereas tRNAs that are in low supply associate with codons that are underrepresented. Ecdysone-induced differentiation of Kc167 cells leads to changes in mRNA codon usage in a manner consistent with the developmental progression of the cell. At both early and late time points, ecdysone treatment concomitantly increases the abundance of tRNAThr(CGU), which decodes a differentiation-associated codon that becomes enriched in the macrophage proteome. These results together suggest that tRNA levels may provide a meaningful regulatory mechanism for defining the cellular proteomic landscape.
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INTRODUCTION
By surveying tRNA gene copy numbers across several model organisms, studies have shown that the frequency of codon usage positively correlates with the relative abundance for most tRNA species (Percudani et al. 1997; Kanaya et al. 1999; Qian et al. 2012). Highly expressed genes are often enriched for optimal, high-frequency codons (Duret 2002; Goetz and Fuglsang 2005), and optimization of codon usage in a particular transcript can significantly alter the level of protein expression (Gustafsson et al. 2004), suggesting that cellular concentrations of tRNAs may influence the rate of translation. Although a relationship between tRNA abundance and translational efficiency has been generally accepted (Novoa and Ribas de Pouplana 2012), recent ribosome profiling studies do not capture increased ribosomal pausing at rare codons (Ingolia et al. 2011), leading to a renewed debate over this general assumption. A recent alternative hypothesis argues that if aminoacylated tRNAs are in short supply to translating ribosomes, the concentration for each tRNA isoacceptor would become equal when tRNA abundance is balanced with codon usage (Qian et al. 2012), providing a possible explanation for the uniformity in ribosome occupancy while accounting for the importance of tRNA abundance in translation efficiency.

The observation that tRNA levels are finely tuned with codon usage across diverse organisms strongly suggests that tRNA abundance plays an important role in optimizing the translational output of the cell. Taking the next step, it is conceivable that within an organism, different cell types may express a unique codon bias compared with differentiated cells or those with induced senescence. Accordingly, tRNA abundance in differentiated cells is better matched for differentiated codon bias, and vice versa. However, to what degree tRNA expression is modulated in a
single cell type in response to differentiation, and whether or not changes in tRNA abundance produce differences in translational output, remains unsettled.

Here we integrate profiles for tRNA, transcript, and protein levels, and demonstrate that high abundance tRNAs associate with codons that are overrepresented in the proteome of Drosophila melanogaster, providing evidence in support of the translational efficiency hypothesis. Induction of steroid-hormone signaling in Kc167 cells, a plasmatocyte cell line characterized for its robust and temporal-specific response to 20-hydroxyecdysone (ecdysone, 20HE), leads to cellular differentiation into macrophages. This is accompanied by changes in codon usage that mirror the differentiation status of the cell. By profiling the transcriptional, small RNA, and proteomic responses to ecdysone at both early and late time points, we identify changes in proteomic codon representation that mirror earlier changes in transcript and tRNA levels. Specifically, we find that tRNA\(^{\text{Thr(CGU)}}\), which decodes a codon enriched in differentiation genes, increases in response to 20HE at both early and late time points, correlating with an increase in proteomic codon representation. Ecdysone also increases the levels of three tRNAs that decode differentiation-associated codons, and decreases the level of one tRNA decoding a proliferation-associated codon. These results suggest that tRNA abundance may represent an important regulatory mechanism for shaping the proteome of a cell, and that variation in tRNA levels between cell types and individuals may underlie proteomic diversity across populations.

**RESULTS**

**Estimation of tRNA abundance in Drosophila Kc167 cells**

To characterize the relationship between tRNA levels, transcript codon usage, and proteomic output, we carried out an integrated analysis of transcript and protein levels in Drosophila Kc167 cells. For analysis of small RNAs, which include low molecular weight noncoding RNAs such as micro RNAs (miRNA), small nucleolar RNAs (snoRNA), ribosomal RNAs (rRNA), and transfer RNAs (tRNA), we enriched for RNA species <200 nucleotides (nt) long (Supplemental Fig. S1) and constructed indexed libraries for multiplex RNA sequencing (RNA-seq). RNA-seq reads were mapped to the release 6 reference sequence of the Drosophila melanogaster genome (Hoskins et al. 2015), and the relative abundance for each tRNA and miRNA was determined by the enrichment of sequencing reads mapping to each annotated region.

With respect to tRNA genes, 44 tRNA types, including the selenocysteine isoacceptor, are currently annotated in D. melanogaster and were considered in our analyses. Comparison of tRNA gene copy number with the abundance of tRNAs in Drosophila Kc167 cells, as determined by small RNA-seq, demonstrates a strong relationship between tRNA levels and the number of gene copies for those currently annotated (Fig. 1A, \(P = 8.1 \times 10^{-6}\), Spearman’s rank correlation). Previous comparisons between codon usage and tRNA levels
relied on organismal tRNA gene copy number as a proxy for tRNA abundance, and these results support this generalized relationship.

We next asked whether tRNA levels are finely tuned toward codon usage, defined as the frequency in which a particular codon is used with respect to all codons. For most organisms, codon usage is determined using the annotated coding sequence within the genome. Though this approach is necessary where the levels of individual transcripts have not been measured, accurate estimation of codon usage must take into consideration the relative number of copies for each messenger RNA (mRNA) within any given cell. Therefore, to accurately quantify codon usage in Drosophila Kc167 cells, we counted the number of occurrences for each specific codon triplet in the transcriptome, and corrected for the relative abundance of each transcript (Supplemental Fig. S2). Comparison of genomic and transcriptomic codon usage reveals that, overall, the representation frequency of each codon is similar in either case (Supplemental Fig. S3). However, differences in codon usage have been discovered in studies comparing proliferative and differentiated tissues (Gingold et al. 2014), and small changes in transcription may have significant effects on codon usage.

We find that the frequency of codon usage specific to Kc167 cells correlates significantly with the abundance of tRNAs measured by RNA-seq (Fig. 1B, P = 3.2 × 10^{-6}, Spearman’s rank correlation). The frequency of codon usage also shows a significant, albeit weaker, correlation with tRNA gene copy number (Fig. 1C, P = 3.1 × 10^{-4}, Spearman’s rank correlation), suggesting that while tRNA gene copy numbers may generally correlate with genomic codon usage in Drosophila, the relative abundance of each tRNA species is more finely tuned to the frequency of codon usage specific to Kc167 cells. Together, these results validate the estimation of tRNA abundance by small RNA-seq and suggest that tRNA levels may be tightly regulated to match the codon representation of a particular cell type. Given the wide range in relative abundance across tRNA molecules, we next asked whether tRNA levels might also influence the proteomic signature of Kc167 cells, potentially increasing the translational efficiency for codons paired with high abundance tRNA types.

TnA abundance scales with biased codon representation in the Drosophila proteome

To compare tRNA abundance with proteomic codon representation, we integrated the small RNA-seq analysis with protein quantification experiments performed on matched cellular lysates (Fig. 2A). We used label-free quantitative mass spectrometry to determine the level of each protein present in Kc167 cells. We then calculated codon representation in the proteome by taking into consideration the number of occurrences for each codon triplet in the translated proteome weighted by the relative abundance for each polypeptide (Supplemental Fig. S2). Comparison of codon usage in the transcriptome with codon representation in the proteome reveals a significant correlation (Fig. 2B, P < 2.2 × 10^{-16}, Spearman’s rank correlation), as one might expect if the proteome is in large part influenced by the relative abundance of mRNAs. However, we find that specific codon triplets are observed in the proteome more or less frequently than expected based simply on transcript codon usage (Fig. 2C). For example, codons AAG (lysine) and GAG (glutamic acid) are counted far more frequently, and codons UGU (cysteine) and AUA (isoleucine) far less frequently in the proteome than their respective codon usage frequencies in the transcriptome. Instead, we find that tRNA abundance is a strong predictor of biased codon representation in the proteomic data (Fig. 2D, P = 6.9 × 10^{-6}, Spearman’s rank correlation). For example, in agreement with the abundance of the respective codons in the proteome, tRNA^{Leu(UAA)} and tRNA^{Cys(CUA)} are two of the most abundant tRNAs in Kc167 cells, whereas tRNA^{Cys(CCA)} and tRNA^{Ile(UAU)} are two of the least abundant. More generally, we find that all codons with increased representation in the proteome correlate with tRNAs that are, on average, significantly more abundant, and vice versa (Fig. 2E, P = 5.9 × 10^{-4}, Wilcoxon rank sum test). Furthermore, although we do observe a general relationship between transcript codon usage and biased codon representation, we find examples in which high abundance tRNAs increase proteomic codon representation despite low transcript codon usage (e.g., tRNA^{Arg(ACG)}, Fig. 2C,D). The observed relationship between tRNA levels and over- or underrepresentation of cognate codons in the proteome suggests that tRNA abundance may influence the translational flow from transcript to protein.

Transcriptional and proteomic response to steroid-hormone signaling in Kc167 cells

We next asked whether codon usage and tRNA abundance are significantly altered in response to changes in transcription. In Drosophila, Kc167 cells provide a powerful system for assaying the effects of steroid-hormone signaling, as 20-hydroxyecdysone induces robust morphological changes and transcriptional dynamics that are broken into temporal-specific early and late responses (Li and White 2003; Gauhar et al. 2009). We therefore profiled small RNA, mRNA, and protein levels throughout the ecdysone response (Fig. 2A). Specifically, small RNA-seq was conducted at 0 h, 3 h (early response), and 48 h (late response) after treatment with ecdysone, and protein levels determined by label-free quantitative mass spectrometry at 0 and 48 h. We have previously characterized the mRNA dynamics at 0, 3, and 48 h that we consider here in our analysis (Wood et al. 2011). Transcriptional profiling studies suggest that Drosophila Kc167 cells are plasmatocytes (Cherbas et al. 2011), a subclass of hemocytes involved in immune surveillance that may further differentiate into lamellocytes, podocytes, or macrophages (Stofanko et al. 2010). To examine whether ecdysone
treatment has an effect on the differentiation state of Kc167 cells, we analyzed expression markers for these relevant cell types at 0, 3, and 48 h after treatment with ecdysone. Label-free quantitative mass spectrometry was performed at 0 and 48 h on cellular lysates matched to small RNA-seq experiments. (B) Correlation plot for transcriptional codon usage (x-axis) and codon representation in the proteome at 0 h. \( p = 0.982; \text{Spearman’s rank correlation}, P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}. \) (C) Biased codon representation in the proteome compared with transcriptomic codon usage frequencies (y-axis) as a function of transcriptomic codon usage (x-axis). (D) Correlation plot for biased proteomic codon representation (y-axis) with tRNA levels (log10 tRNA abundance, as measured by small RNA-seq experiments; x-axis). \( p = 0.639; \text{Spearman’s rank correlation}, P = 6.9 \times 10^{-6}. \) (E) Box and whisker diagram comparing the abundance for all tRNAs matching underrepresented codons (pCR < tCU, blue) with tRNAs matching overrepresented codons (pCR > tCU, red), comparing the proteome with the transcriptome. (pCR) Proteomic codon representation, (tCU) transcriptomic codon usage. \( P = 5.9 \times 10^{-4}, \text{Wilcoxon rank sum test.} \)

FIGURE 2. tRNA abundance scales with biased codon representation in the Kc167 cell proteome. (A) Experimental design for integrated tRNA, transcript, and protein profiling in Drosophila Kc167 cells during the temporal-specific early and late ecdysone responses. Small RNA and mRNA analyses were performed on biological replicates at 0, 3, and 48 h after treatment with ecdysone. Label-free quantitative mass spectrometry was performed at 0 and 48 h on cellular lysates matched to small RNA-seq experiments. (B) Correlation plot for transcriptional codon usage (x-axis) and codon representation in the proteome at 0 h. \( p = 0.982; \text{Spearman’s rank correlation}, P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}. \) (C) Biased codon representation in the proteome compared with transcriptomic codon usage frequencies (y-axis) as a function of transcriptomic codon usage (x-axis). (D) Correlation plot for biased proteomic codon representation (y-axis) with tRNA levels (log10 tRNA abundance, as measured by small RNA-seq experiments; x-axis). \( p = 0.639; \text{Spearman’s rank correlation}, P = 6.9 \times 10^{-6}. \) (E) Box and whisker diagram comparing the abundance for all tRNAs matching underrepresented codons (pCR < tCU, blue) with tRNAs matching overrepresented codons (pCR > tCU, red), comparing the proteome with the transcriptome. (pCR) Proteomic codon representation, (tCU) transcriptomic codon usage. \( P = 5.9 \times 10^{-4}, \text{Wilcoxon rank sum test.} \)
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study indicates a significant increase in the abundance of specific miRNAs that are significantly up-regulated during the late ecdysone response (Bashirullah et al. 2003). Accordingly, we observe significant enrichment of small RNA-seq reads at the Let-7 cluster specifically after 48 h (Fig. 3C). Conversely, miRNAs miR-14 and miR-34, which target the ecdysone receptor and other ecdysone responsive genes, are significantly down-regulated after 48 h. These results suggest that our transcript, protein, and small RNA profiles accurately reflect the ecdysone response in Drosophila Kc167 cells.
Dynamic codon usage and codon usage bias in response to ecdysone-induced differentiation

Analysis of transcript codon usage across 0, 3, and 48 h ecdysone time points reveals significant changes in the frequency with which specific codons are used by the Kc167 transcriptome, most notably after 48 h (Fig. 4A,B). In particular, we find that codon triplets enriched in differentiation-associated genes, obtained using the Gene Ontology Consortium (GO:0030154) increase in codon usage after 48 h, whereas codon triplets enriched in proliferation-associated genes (cell cycle, GO:0007049) decrease after 48 h (Fig. 4B, \( P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16} \), Spearman’s rank correlation). This result is consistent with the differentiation of Kc167 cells into macrophages after 48 h, and with recent reports suggesting that differentiation- and proliferation-associated genes are enriched for distinct codons (Gingold et al. 2014).

Aggregate analysis of amino acid enrichment suggests that, compared with proliferation genes, differentiation-associated genes are also enriched for specific amino acids that increase after treatment with ecdysone (Fig. 4C,D). Since increasing or decreasing the frequency of specific amino acids is likely to have a significant influence over general codon usage, we next analyzed whether the ecdysone-induced transcriptome favored specific synonymous codons, a phenomenon called Codon Usage Bias (CUB). Instead of comparing the frequency of a given codon to all other codons, CUB takes into account the relative frequency of a codon to all other synonymous codons that encode for the same amino acid (Fig. 4E, F; Supplemental Fig. S2). This analysis reveals that, after 48 h,
FIGURE 4. Dynamic codon usage in the *Drosophila* transcriptome correlates with cellular differentiation status. (A) Correlation analysis for changes in codon usage in the transcriptome after 3 h ecdysone treatment, with codons that are enriched or depleted in differentiation genes. $\rho = 0.528$; Spearman’s rank correlation, $P = 1.7 \times 10^{-5}$; (y-axis) Codon usage frequency for genes with differentiation gene ontology (GO) term divided by codon usage frequency for genes with proliferation (cell cycle) GO. Individual codons are color-coded by the relevant amino acid. (B) Analogous correlation plot for changes in transcriptional codon usage after 48 h. $\rho = 0.773$; Spearman’s rank correlation, $P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$. (C) Correlation plot for changes in amino acid usage (aggregate of all synonymous codons) in the transcriptome after 3 h with amino acid usage in differentiation versus proliferation genes. $\rho = 0.746$; Spearman’s rank correlation, $P = 2.4 \times 10^{-4}$. (D) Analogous correlation plot for changes in amino acid frequency in the transcriptome after 48 h. $\rho = 0.729$; Spearman’s rank correlation, $P = 3.9 \times 10^{-4}$. (E) Correlation analysis for differences in codon usage bias across the 3 h transcriptome compared with codon usage bias in differentiation versus proliferation genes. $\rho = 0.296$; Spearman’s rank correlation, $P = 0.021$. (F) Correlation analysis for differences in codon usage bias in the 48 h transcriptome compared with codon usage bias in differentiation versus proliferation genes. $\rho = 0.653$; Spearman’s rank correlation, $P = 1.2 \times 10^{-8}$. 
the Kc167 transcriptome favors synonymous codons that are enriched in differentiation genes (Fig. 4F, $P = 1.2 \times 10^{-6}$, Spearman’s rank correlation). Together, these results provide evidence suggesting that ecdysone-induced differentiation influences both codon usage and codon usage bias, most notably in the transcriptome during the late 48 h time point (Fig. 4B,D,F). We therefore next asked whether these changes become evident in the proteome and to what degree tRNA levels are influenced by ecdysone.

**Codon representation in the late proteome mirrors the early transcriptional response**

As described for transcript codon usage analyses, we compared codon representation in the Kc167 proteome before treatment and 48 h after treatment with ecdysone, taking into account the abundance of each protein. Correlation analysis for changes in proteomic and transcriptomic amino acid frequencies reveals a significant relationship between the late proteomic and early transcriptional response (Fig. 5A, $P = 2.4 \times 10^{-4}$, Spearman’s rank correlation), but not between the late proteomic and late transcriptional response (Fig. 5B, $P > 0.05$). Similarly, changes in transcript codon usage and proteomic codon representation significantly correlate between the early transcript/late proteomic response (Fig. 5C, $P = 1.2 \times 10^{-4}$, Spearman’s rank correlation), but not between the late transcript/late proteomic response (Fig. 5D, $P = 0.035$). These results suggest that whereas early changes in transcription are reflected in the late stage proteome, changes in transcript abundance after 48 h may require more time to become efficiently translated and evident in the proteomic landscape. A second possibility is that tRNA abundance becomes a limiting factor in how efficiently changes in codon usage can be translated into protein. Therefore, we next asked whether ecdysone influences the relative abundance for each tRNA type, and whether a relationship may exist between tRNA dynamics and proteomic codon representation.

**Early and late tRNA dynamics in response to 20-hydroxyecdysone**

Analysis of tRNA levels after a 3 h treatment with ecdysone shows that minimal changes occur for most tRNA types, and that the relative hierarchy of tRNA abundance is maintained (Fig. 5E; Supplemental Fig. S5). However, the most significantly increasing tRNA molecule, tRNA\textsubscript{Thr(CGU)}, increases ~85% after 3 h of ecdysone treatment, and specifically decodes a differentiation-gene enriched codon, ACG, that increases in proteomic codon representation after 48 h despite a slight decrease in transcriptional codon usage (Fig. 5C). The level of tRNA\textsubscript{Thr(CGU)} continues to increase after 48 h (Fig. 5F), during which the transcript codon usage for ACG also increases compared with nontreated Kc167 cells (Fig. 5D). We speculate that by increasing the level of tRNA\textsubscript{Thr(CGU)}, one of the least abundant tRNAs in Kc167 cells, the translational efficiency for transcripts carrying this suboptimal codon may also increase, leading to an increase in proteomic codon representation.

In general, tRNA levels fluctuate more dramatically after 48 h, though the relative abundance for most tRNAs continues to be maintained. In addition to tRNA\textsubscript{Thr(CGU)}, tRNAs corresponding to codons Leu(CUU), Gly(GGA), Gly(GGC), and Asn(AAC) increase >40% after 48 h (Fig. 5F), and all but Leu(CUU) are enriched in differentiation genes. Only tRNA\textsubscript{Ile(UAU)}, which corresponds to a proliferation-gene enriched codon, Ile(AUA), decreases >40% after 48 h (Fig. 5F). While these results suggest some bias in the up- and down-regulation of specific tRNAs, we find no statistically significant correlation between changes in codon usage or proteomic codon representation with changes in tRNA abundance. We speculate that ecdysone-induced changes in transcript codon usage may not require significant adjustment of tRNA levels, but that other cell types with more distinct codon repertoires may inherently require unique tRNA programs. Nevertheless, the correlation between tRNA abundance and proteomic codon representation in untreated Kc167 cells continues to hold true after 48 h (Supplemental Fig. S6), suggesting that tRNA levels are an important player in proteomic output.

**DISCUSSION**

We present an integrated analysis describing a relationship between tRNA abundance, codon usage, and protein synthesis. High abundance tRNAs correlate with codons that are overrepresented in the Drosophila proteome compared with the observed transcript codon usage. Conversely, tRNAs that are in low supply are represented in the proteome with lower frequency than observed in the transcriptome, together suggesting that tRNA abundance influences the translational output of the cell. These results are in agreement with recent studies identifying tRNA levels as an important feature in the rate of translation elongation. Inhibition of histidine biosynthesis in yeast, for example, causes a significant increase in ribosome occupancy specifically at histidine codons CAU and CAC (Lareau et al. 2014). Similarly, oxidative stress in yeast leads to increased ribosome pausing at certain aspartic acid and serine codons and a loss of ribosome occupancy at others, suggesting that this effect is governed by tRNA availability rather than amino acid synthesis (Pelechano et al. 2015). Indeed, fluctuation of tRNA levels in response to oxidative stress has been observed in Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Pang et al. 2014), and additional studies suggest that the levels of specific tRNA modifications also change dramatically in a stress-response-specific manner (Chan et al. 2010, 2012).

We speculate that, in addition to changes in tRNA abundance, reprogramming of tRNA modifications may also represent an important mechanism for regulating cell-type-specific translation patterns in Drosophila. For example, exposure of yeast to distinct toxicants leads to reprogramming
FIGURE 5. Codon representation in the late ecdysone proteome closely mirrors the early ecdysone transcriptional response. (A) Correlation analysis for changes in amino acid representation across the 48 h proteome with changes in amino acid frequency (aggregate usage of all synonymous codons) in the transcriptome at 3 h. $\rho = 0.746$; Spearman’s rank correlation, $P = 2.4 \times 10^{-4}$. (B) Analogous correlation plot for changes in amino acid representation after 48 h with changes in amino acid frequency in the transcriptome after 48 h. $\rho = 0.361$; Spearman’s rank correlation, $P > 0.05$. (C) Correlation plot for changes in codon representation in the 48 h proteome with transcriptional codon usage after 3 h. $\rho = 0.477$; Spearman’s rank correlation, $P = 1.2 \times 10^{-4}$. (D) Analogous correlation plot for transcriptional codon usage after 48 h. $\rho = 0.271$; Spearman’s rank correlation, $P = 0.035$. (E) Correlation analysis for codon representation in the proteome after 48 h with changes in tRNA abundance during the early, 3 h ecdysone response. (F) Analogous correlation analysis for changes in tRNA abundance during the late, 48 h ecdysone response.
of tRNA wobble modifications and altered translation patterns (Chan et al. 2015), consistent with reports suggesting that wobble base-pairing otherwise causes significant ribosome pausing (Stadler and Fire 2011). Studies probing tRNA modification in Drosophila melanogaster have identified modification of guanosine to queuosine in the wobble-binding position (Owenby et al. 1979), with varying levels of modification present during development and across other Drosophila species (Zaborske et al. 2014). Queuosine modification depends on nutrient availability and leads to increased accuracy of codon recognition, suggesting that environmental context can dramatically influence tRNA modification and consequently translation. Though a tRNA-specific adenosine deaminase, ADAT1, has been identified in D. melanogaster (Keegan et al. 2000), the effects of A to I tRNA editing in Drosophila have remained largely uncharacterized.

A recent study measuring transcript and tRNA levels during tissue development similarly identified a tight correlation between codon usage and tRNA abundance in mice (Schmitt et al. 2014). Codon representation was reported to be largely invariant during development, suggesting a remarkably stable interface between codon usage and tRNA pools in the tissues tested. By profiling the tRNAome, transcriptome, and proteome in Drosophila Kc167 cells in response to ecdysone, we however demonstrate that steroid-hormone signaling induces plasmatocyte-to-macrophage differentiation in Kc167 cells and, in so doing, shifts codon usage and codon usage bias in favor of codons preferred by differentiation genes. This effect becomes most pronounced in the transcriptome after 48 h, reflecting the differentiation state of the cell. The dynamic, differentiation-associated codon usage we observe is consistent with a recent report describing distinct codon preferences in proliferative and differentiated cells (Gingold et al. 2014). We similarly demonstrate that, like proliferative and differentiated cell types, ecdysone-induced differentiation of Kc167 cells leads to changes in tRNA abundance in a pattern also consistent with the developmental progression of the cell. For example, tRNA$^{Thr}_{CGU}$, which increases at both early and late time points, correlates with increased proteome codon representation despite minimal fluctuation in transcript codon usage, suggesting that by changing the abundance of tRNA$^{Thr}_{CGU}$, translational efficiency of the cognate codon may be improved. One limitation of our analysis is that nearly one-third of all tRNA types remain missing in the current annotation database for Drosophila tRNA genes, which rely on predictive algorithms for assigning gene identity. This suggests that either current algorithms cannot accurately predict all Drosophila tRNA types or that the current reference genome sequence is missing genomic regions corresponding to tRNA genes. Despite this limitation, analysis of tRNA dynamics for codons in which annotations for all synonymous codons are available (Gln, Glu, and Lys) suggests that, after 48 h, tRNA abundance is dynamically altered in a manner that relates to differentiation-associated codon usage bias.

Potential differences in mRNA and protein stability must also be considered as mechanisms contributing to biased codon representation. Genome-wide RNA decay profiling in yeast has revealed strong biases for optimal and nonoptimal codons in stable and unstable mRNAs, respectively (Presnyak et al. 2015). However, codon usage predicts both messenger half-life and translation elongation rate, suggesting that changes in tRNA abundance, and consequently codon optimality, may itself drive changes in mRNA stability, independent of transcription. Our analysis also cannot discriminate between changes in transcription and changes in tRNA stability, as tRNAs are heavily modified post-translationally in a manner that affects both the stability and maturity of the tRNA isoacceptor molecule (Phizicky and Hopper 2010). Nevertheless, the variation in tRNA abundance after treatment with ecdysone suggests that different cell types may possess unique tRNA repertoires that are perhaps tuned toward cell-type-specific transcriptional codon usage. Because tRNA abundance appears to play a role in translational efficiency, differences in tRNA levels between cell types would likely also have a significant impact on the diversity of the proteome. Recent whole-genome sequencing studies have identified significant tRNA gene copy variation (tgCNV) between individuals (Iben and Maraia 2014). Whether tgCNV leads to differences in tRNA abundance among human populations, and consequently, whether tgCNV influences proteome diversity remains an important and unaddressed avenue for future research.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture and 20-hydroxyecdysone treatment

Kc167 cells were grown in CCM3 serum-free insect media (HyClone SH30065.01) at 25°C. For ecdysone treatment, cells were plated to 0.5 × 10^6 cells/mL and grown overnight. Cells were treated the following morning with 20-hydroxyecdysone (Sigma) at a final concentration of 5 × 10^−7 M for 3 or 48 h. Cells in both 0 h experiments were treated with ethanol as a control for ethanol-dissolved ecdysone treatment. Proteomics and small RNAs were then harvested from Kc167 cellular lysates.

Small RNA-seq

Small RNAs <200 nt were enriched from total RNA samples purified from Kc167 cells using the mirVana Isolation Kit (Life Technologies; cat # AM1560), without any subsequent size selection. Small RNA library preparation and sequencing was performed by the Genomic Services Laboratory at HudsonAlpha. Small RNA-seq sequencing reads and adaptor sequences were trimmed using the ngsShort toolkit (Chen et al. 2014). Sequencing reads were subsequently mapped to the Dm6 release of the Drosophila genome (Hoskins et al. 2015) using bowtie1.0.0 (Langmead 2010), allowing one mismatch and randomly assigning multmapping reads a single alignment to the highest scoring region. Exact read duplicates, which arise from PCR amplification were removed using samtools
(Li et al. 2009). Sequencing replicates (2) within each biological replicate (2) were combined and tRNA read counts for each annotated tRNA gene, including 15 nt upstream and downstream, were then extracted using bedtools (Quinlan 2014). Differential expression normalization and analysis was performed using DESeq (Wang et al. 2010). Cumulative fold change for each codon was calculated by summing normalized read counts across each tRNA isoacceptor pool and taking the average fold change between conditions across all biological replicates. For miRNA analyses, small RNA-sequencing reads were mapped to annotated miRNAs, and differences in miRNA levels expressed as log2 normalized read ratios.

**Label-free quantitative mass spectrometry**
Whole cell lysates for each biological replicate, matched with small RNA-seq samples, were submitted for label-free quantitative mass spectrometry analysis by the Emory University Proteomics Core as recently described (Donovan et al. 2013). Briefly, protein extracts were separated by SDS-PAGE in a 10% acrylamide gel, which was subsequently cut into three molecular weight regions. Gel slices were digested overnight with trypsin and peptides extracted with 5% formic acid, 50% ACN, and dried in a SpeedVac concentrator. Purified peptides were analyzed for each biological replicate by reverse-phase liquid chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). A total of 405 proteins were identified as significantly changing after 48 h, with log2 fold change cutoffs (48 h/0 h) set at the 95% confidence interval for a Gaussian fit to the experimental data. Filtering criteria required that one or more peptides be sequenced across four samples, signal-to-noise ratio maximum measurements for each protein be greater than 10, and coefficient of variation <50%. Using these filtering criteria, FDR for significantly changing proteins is estimated to be 5.2%

**Codon usage and codon usage bias calculations**
Codon usage was calculated by assigning the coding sequences for all transcripts and proteins detected in transcriptomic and proteomic analyses, and counting the occurrence of every nucleotide triplet for each gene, requiring that coding sequences end with a stop codon and are a multiple of three. Transcriptomic codon usage and codon frequencies in proteomic data were normalized by the abundance of mRNAs and proteins, respectively. Specifically, codon counts for each coding sequence were multiplied by log2 microarray expression values (Wood et al. 2011) or by spectral counts. Codon counts were subsequently pooled for all coding sequences within specific biological replicates and conditions, and percent codon usage calculated as the frequency of using any given codon with respect to all codons. Codon usage bias was similarly calculated, and represented as the frequency of using any given codon with respect to all synonymous codons for a specific amino acid.

**tRNA analyses**
tRNA sequencing reads were mapped to annotated tRNA gene loci, including 15 nt upstream and downstream from the annotated start and stop locations, and normalized log2 ratios between each condition were calculated using DESeq (Wang et al. 2010). Analysis of tRNA levels was restricted by the current annotation set for tRNA species using the reference 6 release of the *Drosophila melanogaster* genome (Hoskins et al. 2015). To date, only 44 tRNA types, including the Selenocysteine isoacceptor, are currently annotated, whereas annotations for 19 tRNA types are currently missing, presenting a major limitation in our ability to estimate the abundance of all tRNA levels. This limitation also prevents us from calculating the relative abundance of tRNAs with respect to all synonymous codon-tRNAs decoding for all but three amino acids: Glu, Glu, and Lys. Estimates of tRNA abundance for annotated tDNAs were compared with reference genome gene copies, and with transcriptome and proteome codon usages as described above, using Spearman’s rank correlation analysis. tRNA abundances for codons that were observed with greater or lesser frequency in the proteome than the transcriptome were compared using the Wilcoxon-rank sum test.

**DATA DEPOSITION**
RNA-seq data have been deposited in GEO under accession number GSE69934.
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Supplemental material is available for this article.
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