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Abstract

Purpose The planar dynamical motion of a double-rigid-body pendulum with two degrees-of-freedom close to resonance, in which its pivot point moves in a Lissajous curve has been addressed. In light of the generalized coordinates, equations of Lagrange have been used to construct the controlling equations of motion.

Methods New innovative analytic approximate solutions of the governing equations have been accomplished up to higher order of approximation utilizing the multiple scales method. Resonance cases have been classified and the solvability conditions of the steady-state solutions have been obtained. The fourth-order Runge–Kutta method has been utilized to gain the numerical solutions for the equations of the governing system.

Results The history timeline of the acquired solutions as well as the resonance curves have been graphically displayed to demonstrate the positive impact of the various parameters on the motion. The comparison between the analytical and numerical solutions revealed great consistency, which confirms and reinforces the accuracy of the achieved analytic solutions.

Conclusions The non-linear stability analysis of these solutions have been examined and discussed, in which the stability and instability areas have been portrayed. All resonance cases and a combination of them have been examined. The archived results are considered as generalization of some previous works that are related to one rigid body and for fixed pendulum’s pivot point.

Keywords Vibrating systems · Perturbation methods · Stability · Nonlinear analysis · Numerical methods

Introduction

The non-linear dynamical systems are studied in many research works due to its importance in many fields, for example in industrial applications, biology, and medicines, see [1–4]. Perturbation approaches [5] can be used to obtain the analytic approximate solutions of the equations of motion (EOM) of such systems. Among these approaches, the multiple scales method (MSM) has been attracted the attention of many researchers to find the approximate solutions (AS) of these systems owing to its powerful accuracy. It is known that the pendulum’s models are considered, to some extent, as simple systems that can be used to emulate the dynamics of a variety of engineering devices and machine parts. Various types of pendulums are widely analyzed in many scientific works as a substantial source of several practical applications in the field of non-linear dynamics.

The chaotic motion of a simple pendulum have been discussed in [6] and [7], while its approximate periodic motion is investigated in [8] utilizing the method of small parameter [5] when the pendulum’s suspension point moves on an elliptic trajectory. In [9], the motion of a weakly non-linear two degrees-of-freedom (DOF) dynamical system is investigated, in which its chaotic behavior for the case of internal resonance is examined. In [10], authors utilized the MSM to elucidate the steady behavior of a non-linear spring pendulum, whereas the author in [11] presented an improvement of
the considered work [10] through the effects of an external force on the dynamical motion of the spring pendulum.

Furthermore, other types of pendulums have been studied in several works e.g. [12–15]. In [12], the authors used a dynamic absorber that can be moved along the longitudinal of transverse direction of the excited pendulum. High efficiency of this absorber is found for very little damped systems. The influence of the fluid flow on the motion of simple pendulum and a spring one is examined in [16] and [14] respectively. On the other hand, the motion of a vibrating absorber pendulum with a rotating base is investigated in [14]. The stability analysis is performed on a linear system where the rotatory motion is adjusted according to the law of proportional control. The chaotic motion of an undamped spring pendulum is examined in [16] when its connected point moves in a circular trajectory. The extension of this work is found in [17] when the authors relied on the fact that the motion of the suspension point is on an ellipse. Some special examples for various motion of this point are presented. Authors of [18] have turned their attention to investigate the movement of the suspension point of a damped spring with linear stiffness on a Lissajous curve under the action of harmonically force and moments. In [19], the motion of a tuned absorber connected with simple pendulum is examined close to resonance. The MSM is applied to obtain the approximate solutions of [14, 16–19] and represented in various figures to show the significance of the applied forces and moments on the considered motion of each work.

The motion of a single damped rigid-body-pendulum (RBP) is examined in different research works such as [20–25], in which its suspension point is considered to be fixed in [20], while it was considered in motion on different paths as in [21–24]. The stiffness coefficients of the spring are constraint to be linear and non-linear in [20, 21, 23] and [22, 24] respectively. The investigated motions are influenced by external forces and moments and studied analytically using the MSM. Resonance cases are categorized and examined to obtain the solvability conditions and the modulation equations (ME). The non-linear stability analysis of the ME is examined and discussed through the stability and instability ranges of the plotted curves of the frequency responses. The time histories of the solutions are graphed as well as the curves of resonance to explore the significance of various values of the physical parameters on the systems’ behavior. One of the most important applications of this work in our daily life is to utilize the vibrational motion for the robotic devices, pump compressors, rotor dynamics, and transportation devices.

**Dynamical Modeling**

The investigated dynamical model in this work consists of two pivotally connected double RBP of mass $m_j (j = 1, 2)$ from the points $O_j$. The first pivot at $O_1$ is restricted to move in a Lissajous curve in the direction of anticlockwise, while the second one at $O_2$ is considered a connection point between the two bodies, see Fig. 1. Therefore, the kinematic coordinates of $O_1$ are

\[
\begin{align*}
x &= R_x \cos(\Omega_x t), \\
y &= R_y \sin(\Omega_y t),
\end{align*}
\]

where $R_x, R_y, \Omega_x$, and $\Omega_y$ are known parameters. (1)

It is taken into consideration that the geometric quantities $\epsilon_j$ and $l_j$ express the distance of the gravities centers $z_j$ (that are calculated from the rotation’s centers) and the pendulum’s link length, respectively. Moreover, let $J_i$ represent the moment of inertia, $\gamma_j$ are the angular position variables that represent the chosen generalized coordinates of the model, and $g$ is the acceleration of gravity.
To study the motion, we consider that the motion of the model is planar in addition to action of two kinematic moments \( M_{\dot{y}_1}(t) = M_{\dot{y}_1}(t) \cos(\Omega_1 t) \) and \( M_{\dot{y}_2}(t) = M_{\dot{y}_2}(t) \cos(\Omega_2 t) \) around the points \( O_1 \) and \( O_2 \) respectively. Here \( \Omega_1 \) and \( \Omega_2 \) denote the forcing frequencies of \( M_{\dot{y}_1}(t) \) and \( M_{\dot{y}_2}(t) \) respectively.

Referring to above, we can introduce the potential and kinetic energies \( V \) and \( T \) as follows

\[
V = -g[(m_1 + m_2) R_x \cos(\Omega_1 t) + (e_1 m_1 + l_1 m_2) \cos\gamma_1 + m_2 e_2 \cos\gamma_2],
\]

\[
T = \frac{1}{2} \left[ |J_1 + (m_1 e_1^2 + m_2 l_1^2)| \gamma_1^2 + |J_2 + m_2 e_2^2| \gamma_2^2 + (m_1 + m_2)|\Omega_2^2 R_x^2 \cos^2\Omega_1 t
\]
\[
+ R_2^2 \Omega_1^2 \sin^2\Omega_1 t\right] + \dot{\gamma}_1 (m_1 e_1 + m_2 l_1) [R_x \Omega_1 \cos\gamma_1 \cos\Omega_1 t + R_x \Omega_1 \sin\gamma_1 \sin\Omega_1 t]
\]
\[
+ e_2 l_1 m_2 \dot{\gamma}_1 \gamma_2 \cos(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) + e_2 m_2 \dot{\gamma}_2 [R_y \Omega_2 \cos\gamma_2 \cos\Omega_2 t + R_y \Omega_2 \sin\gamma_2 \sin\Omega_2 t],
\]

where \( \dot{\gamma}_j \) represents the derivative with respect to time \( t \).

According to the Lagrangian \( L = T - V \), the governing EOM were obtained from the following second type of Lagrange’s equations

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\gamma}_j} \right) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \gamma_j}; \quad (j = 1, 2)
\]

where \( \dot{\gamma}_j \) are the generalized velocities corresponding to the generalized coordinates \( \gamma_j \) and \( \dot{Q}_j \) are the generalized forces which can be written in the forms

\[
\dot{Q}_j = M_{\dot{y}_j}(t) \cos(\Omega_j t); \quad (j = 1, 2).
\]

Introducing the dimensionless forms of the generalized coordinate, parameters, frequencies and time \( \tau \) as follows

\[
\sigma = \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1}, \quad \omega^2_1 = \frac{m_1 e_1 g}{J_1}, \quad \omega^2_2 = \frac{m_2 e_2 g}{J_2}, \quad p_1 = \frac{\Omega_1}{\omega_1}, \quad p_2 = \frac{\Omega_2}{\omega_1},
\]
\[
p_x = \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2}, \quad p_y = \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2}, \quad r_{1x} = \frac{R_x}{l_1}, \quad r_{1y} = \frac{R_y}{l_1}, \quad B_1 = \frac{m_1 e_1^2 + m_2 l_1^2}{J_1}, \quad B_2 = \frac{m_2 e_2^2}{J_2}, \quad s_1 = \frac{g m_1 l_1}{J_1 \omega_1^2}, \quad a_1 = \frac{m_1 e_1 l_1 + m_2 l_1^2}{J_1},
\]
\[
N_1 = \frac{c_1 l_1 m_2}{J_1}, \quad N_2 = \frac{m_2 e_2 l_1}{J_2}, \quad f_1 = \frac{M_1(t)}{J_1 \omega_1^2}, \quad f_2 = \frac{M_2(t)}{J_2 \omega_2^2}, \quad \tau = \omega_1 t.
\]

Making use of Eqs. (2), (3), (4), and (5) we get the following dimensionless forms of the EOM

\[
(1 + B_1) \ddot{\gamma}_1 + N_1 \ddot{\gamma}_2 \cos(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)
\]
\[
+ a_1 [r_{1x} \dot{p}_x \cos(p_x \tau) \sin(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) - r_{1y} \dot{p}_y \sin(p_y \tau) \cos(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)]
\]
\[
+ N_1 \ddot{\gamma}_2 \sin(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) + (1 + s_1) \sin\gamma_1 = f_1 \cos(p_1 \tau),
\]

\[
(1 + B_2) \ddot{\gamma}_2 + N_2 \ddot{\gamma}_1 \cos(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)
\]
\[
- N_2 \ddot{\gamma}_2 \sin(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) + N_2 [r_{1x} \dot{p}_x \cos(p_x \tau) \sin(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) - r_{1y} \dot{p}_y \sin(p_y \tau) \cos(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)]
\]
\[
- r_{1y} \dot{p}_y \sin(p_y \tau) \cos(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) + \sigma^2 \sin\gamma_2 = f_2 \cos(p_2 \tau).
\]

It must be noted that the derivatives in the previous system of Eqs. (6) and (7) are considered with respect to the non-dimensional time parameter \( \tau \). Moreover, This system has two second-order non-linear differential equations regarding \( \gamma_1 \) and \( \gamma_2 \).

**The Approved Method**

This section presents the MSM that has been used to estimate the analytic solutions of the EOM and to categorize the resonances cases. Consequently, we can approximate the trigonometric functions of \( \gamma_1 \) and \( \gamma_2 \) up to third-order using Taylor’s series, which are valid at the position of static equilibrium. Then Eqs. (6) and (7) will take the forms...
\[
(1 + B_1)\gamma_1 + N_1 \frac{\gamma_1}{\tau_0^2} \left[ 9(\gamma_1^2 - 2)(\gamma_2^2 - 2) + \gamma_1 \gamma_2 (\gamma_1^2 - 6)(\gamma_2^2 - 6) \right] + \frac{\gamma_1}{\tau_0^2} \left[ r_1 \nu \gamma_1^2 \cos(p \tau) \times \left( \frac{\gamma_1^2}{\tau_0^2} \right) - r_1 \nu \gamma_1^2 \sin(p \tau) \times \left( 1 - \frac{\gamma_1^2}{\tau_0^2} \right) \right] \\
+ N_1 \frac{\gamma_1}{\tau_0^2} \left[ r_1 \nu \gamma_1^2 (\gamma_1^2 - 2)(\gamma_2^2 - 2) + r_1 \nu (\gamma_1^2 - 2)(\gamma_2^2 - 6) \right] + \frac{\gamma_1}{\tau_0^2} \left[ r_1 \nu \gamma_1^2 \cos(p \tau) \times \left( \frac{\gamma_1^2}{\tau_0^2} \right) - r_1 \nu \gamma_1^2 \sin(p \tau) \times \left( 1 - \frac{\gamma_1^2}{\tau_0^2} \right) \right] \\
+ (1 + s_1) \left( \gamma_1 - \frac{\gamma_1^2}{6} \right) = f_1 \cos(p \tau),
\]

(8)

\[
(1 + B_2)\gamma_2 + \frac{N_2 \gamma_2}{\tau_0^2} \left[ 9(\gamma_1^2 - 2)(\gamma_2^2 - 2) + \gamma_1 \gamma_2 (\gamma_1^2 - 6)(\gamma_2^2 - 6) \right] \\
- \frac{N_2 \gamma_2}{\tau_0^2} \left[ r_1 \nu \gamma_1^2 (\gamma_1^2 - 2)(\gamma_2^2 - 2) + r_1 \nu (\gamma_1^2 - 2)(\gamma_2^2 - 6) \right] \\
+ N_2 \left[ r_1 \nu \gamma_1^2 \cos(p \tau) \times \left( \frac{\gamma_1^2}{\tau_0^2} \right) - r_1 \nu \gamma_1^2 \sin(p \tau) \times \left( 1 - \frac{\gamma_1^2}{\tau_0^2} \right) \right] \\
+ m^2 \left( \gamma_2 - \frac{\gamma_1^2}{6} \right) = f_2 \cos(p \tau).
\]

The previous Eqs. (14)–(19) constitute a system of six nonlinear PDE. The solutions of these equations can be gained consecutively. Therefore, the solutions of Eqs. (14) and (15) are

\[
\mu_1 = A_1 e^{im_1} + \overline{A}_1 e^{-im_1}; \quad \phi_1 = A_2 e^{im_1} + \overline{A}_2 e^{-im_1},
\]

where \(A_1, A_2, m_1, \overline{A}_1, \overline{A}_2, \) and \(m_1\) are parameters of order unity.

Inserting Eqs. (10)–(13) into Eqs. (8) and (9), equaling the coefficients of the same power of \(\epsilon\) to get the following three groups of partial differential equations (PDE) according to the orders of \(\epsilon\).

The first group of PDE of order \(\epsilon\)

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \mu_1}{\partial \tau_0^2} + \mu_1 = 0,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \phi_1}{\partial \tau_0^2} + \epsilon^2 \phi_1 = 0,
\]

The second group of PDE of order \(\epsilon^2\)

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \mu_2}{\partial \tau_0^2} + \mu_2 = \tilde{A}_1 \tilde{f}_1 \mu \gamma_2 \cos(p \tau_0) - \tilde{s}_1 \mu_1 - 2 \frac{\partial^2 \mu_1}{\partial \tau_0^2} \partial \tau_1,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \phi_2}{\partial \tau_0^2} + \epsilon^2 \phi_2 = \tilde{N}_2 \tilde{f}_1 \mu \gamma_2 \cos(p \tau_0) - \tilde{B}_2 \frac{\partial^2 \phi_1}{\partial \tau_0^2} - \tilde{N}_2 \frac{\partial^2 \mu_1}{\partial \tau_0^2} - 2 \frac{\partial^2 \phi_1}{\partial \tau_0^2} \partial \tau_1.
\]

The third group of PDE of order \(\epsilon^3\)

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \mu_3}{\partial \tau_0^2} + \mu_3 = \tilde{f}_1 \cos(p \tau_0) - 2 \frac{\partial^2 \mu_2}{\partial \tau_0^2} \partial \tau_1 - 2 \frac{\partial^2 \mu_1}{\partial \tau_0^2} \partial \tau_2 - \frac{\partial^2 \mu_1}{\partial \tau_0^2} \partial \tau_1
\]

\[
- \frac{\partial^2 \mu_2}{\partial \tau_0^2} \partial \tau_1 - \frac{\partial^2 \mu_1}{\partial \tau_0^2} \partial \tau_1
\]

\[
- \tilde{N}_1 \frac{\partial^2 \phi_2}{\partial \tau_0^2} - 2 \tilde{N}_1 \frac{\partial^2 \phi_1}{\partial \tau_0^2} \partial \tau_1
\]

\[
- \tilde{A}_1 \tilde{f}_1 \mu \gamma_2 \cos(p \tau_0) + \frac{m^3}{6} - \tilde{s}_1 \mu_2.
\]
Introducing Eqs. (20) and (21) into Eqs. (16) and (17), then omitting terms that yield the secular ones to obtain the conditions for omitting these terms as follows

\[
2i \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial \tau_1} + A_1(\tilde{\tau}_1 - \tilde{B}_1) = 0, \tag{22}
\]

\[
2i \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial \tau_1} - \sigma A_2 \tilde{B}_2 = 0, \tag{23}
\]

Based on these conditions, the second-order solutions become

\[
\mu_2 = \frac{\sigma^2 N_1 A_2}{(1 - \sigma^2)} e^{i \sigma \tau_0} + \frac{\tilde{A}_1 \tilde{B}_1}{(1 - \sigma^2)} A_1 - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 A_1 = 0, \tag{24}
\]

and

\[
\frac{\partial^2 A_1}{\partial \tau_1^2} + 2i \left( \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial \tau_1} + \tilde{B}_1 \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial \tau_1} \right) - \frac{\tilde{N}_1 \tilde{N}_2}{(\sigma^2 - 1)} A_1 - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 A_1 \tilde{A}_1 = 0, \tag{25}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 A_2}{\partial \tau_1^2} + 2i \sigma \left( \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial \tau_1} + \tilde{B}_2 \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial \tau_1} \right) - \frac{\sigma \tilde{N}_1 \tilde{N}_2}{(1 - \sigma^2)} A_2 - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} A_1 \tilde{A}_2 = 0. \tag{26}
\]

Thus, the third-order approximations \( \mu_3 \) and \( \varphi_3 \) can be written as follows

\[
\mu_3 = \frac{\tilde{f}_1 e^{ip_1 \tau_0}}{2(1 - p_1^2)} - \frac{A_j^0 e^{3i \tau_0}}{48} + \frac{\tilde{A}_1 \tilde{B}_1}{2p_1 (p_1 + 2)} A_1 + \frac{\tilde{A}_1 \tilde{B}_1}{2p_1 (p_1 - 2)} A_2 - \frac{e^{i \sigma \tau_0}}{(1 - \sigma^2)^2} \left[ \tilde{N}_1 \tilde{B}_1 A_2 \right] + \ldots + \tilde{N}_1 \tilde{B}_2 A_2, \tag{27}
\]

\[
\varphi_3 = \frac{\tilde{f}_1 e^{i \sigma \tau_0}}{2(\sigma^2 - p_1^2)} - \frac{A_j^0 e^{3i \tau_0}}{48} + \frac{e^{i \tau_0}}{(\sigma^2 - 1)^2} \left[ \tilde{N}_1 \tilde{B}_1 A_1 - \tilde{N}_2 \sigma^2 A_1 (\tilde{B}_1 - \tilde{\tau}_1) \right] + \frac{\tilde{A}_1 \tilde{B}_1}{2i(\sigma^2 - p_1^2)} \right) + \ldots + \tilde{N}_1 \tilde{B}_2 A_2, \tag{28}
\]

It is worthy to mention that the functions \( A_j \) (\( j = 1 \), 2) can be calculated from the eliminating conditions of secular terms Eqs. (22), (25), and (26).

**Modulation Equations (ME)**

This section’s purpose is to characterise resonance cases, to gain the conditions of solvability, and to obtain the ME. It is known that if the denominators of the solutions Eqs. (27) and (28) approach to zero [33], then these solutions break down and the resonance cases are noticeable. As a result, it falls under the following categories:

(i) The major external resonance appears when \( p_1 \approx 1, p_2 \approx \sigma \).

(ii) The internal resonance arises when \( \sigma \approx 1, p_1 \approx 2, p_2 \approx 2\sigma \).

If any of the preceding conditions are met, the system’s dynamical performance will be extremely tough. It’s worth noting that when oscillations escape from resonances positions, the AS in the previous section are still valid.

First, we’ll look at two major external resonances that are presenting at the same time, in which the nearness of \( p_1 \) to 1, \( \sigma \) can be expressed by using the detuning parameters \( \sigma_1 \) and \( \sigma_2 \) (which refer to the distance between vibrations and the stringent resonance [34]) as follows

\[
p_1 = 1 + \sigma_1, \quad p_2 = \sigma + \sigma_2. \tag{29}
\]

Therefore, we can introduce them in terms of \( O(\varepsilon) \) as follows

\[
\mu_3 = \frac{\tilde{f}_1 e^{ip_1 \tau_0}}{2(1 - p_1^2)} - \frac{A_j^0 e^{3i \tau_0}}{48} + \frac{\tilde{A}_1 \tilde{B}_1}{2p_1 (p_1 + 2)} A_1 + \frac{\tilde{A}_1 \tilde{B}_1}{2p_1 (p_1 - 2)} A_2 - \frac{e^{i \sigma \tau_0}}{(1 - \sigma^2)^2} \left[ \tilde{N}_1 \tilde{B}_1 A_2 \right] + \ldots + \tilde{N}_1 \tilde{B}_2 A_2, \tag{27}
\]

\[
\varphi_3 = \frac{\tilde{f}_1 e^{i \sigma \tau_0}}{2(\sigma^2 - p_1^2)} - \frac{A_j^0 e^{3i \tau_0}}{48} + \frac{e^{i \tau_0}}{(\sigma^2 - 1)^2} \left[ \tilde{N}_1 \tilde{B}_1 A_1 - \tilde{N}_2 \sigma^2 A_1 (\tilde{B}_1 - \tilde{\tau}_1) \right] + \frac{\tilde{A}_1 \tilde{B}_1}{2i(\sigma^2 - p_1^2)} \right) + \ldots + \tilde{N}_1 \tilde{B}_2 A_2. \tag{28}
\]
Substituting Eqs. (29)–(30) into Eqs. (16)–(19), and eliminating terms that lead to secular ones to get the conditions of solvability of the second-order of approximation as in Eq. (22) and the third-order of approximation as follows

Let us consider the following modified phases [35]

\[ \theta_j(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \bar{\sigma}_j \tau_1 - \psi_j(\tau_1, \tau_2), \quad \sigma_j = \varepsilon \bar{\sigma}_j; \quad j = (1, 2). \]  

Eqs. (22) and (32) reveal that \( A_j \) depend upon the slow time parameters \( \tau_1 \) and \( \tau_2 \). Then we can represent \( A_j \) as in the following polar form

\[ A_j(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \frac{\bar{a}_j(\tau_1, \tau_2)}{2} e^{i \psi_j(\tau_1, \tau_2)}, \quad a_j = \varepsilon \bar{a}_j; \quad (j = 1, 2). \]  

\[ \frac{\partial^2 A_1}{\partial \tau_1^2} + 2i \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial \tau_2} + 2i \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial \tau_1} - \frac{N_1 N_2}{(\sigma^2 - 1)} A_1 - \frac{1}{2} A_1^2 - \bar{f}_1 \frac{2}{\varepsilon} e^{i \bar{\sigma}_1 \tau_1} = 0, \]  

\[ \frac{\partial^2 A_2}{\partial \tau_1^2} + 2i \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial \tau_2} + 2i \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial \tau_1} - \frac{\sigma^2 N_1 N_2}{(1 - \sigma^2)} A_2 - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} A_2^2 - \bar{f}_2 \frac{2}{\varepsilon} e^{i \bar{\sigma}_2 \tau_1} = 0. \]  

Eqs. (22) and (32) reveal that \( A_j \) depend upon the slow time parameters \( \tau_1 \) and \( \tau_2 \). Then we can represent \( A_j \) as in the following polar form

\[ A_j(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \frac{\bar{a}_j(\tau_1, \tau_2)}{2} e^{i \psi_j(\tau_1, \tau_2)}, \quad a_j = \varepsilon \bar{a}_j; \quad (j = 1, 2). \]  

Fig. 2 Sketches the temporal variation of the amplitude \( a_1 \) when \( \sigma_1 = 0.002 \) and \( \sigma_2 = 0.001 \): a \( f_1 = (0.001, 0.002, 0.003) \), \( f_2 = 0.0002, \sigma = 0.74 \), b \( f_1 = (0.0009, 0.004, 0.01) \), \( f_2 = 0.002, \sigma = 0.74 \), c \( \sigma = (0.67, 0.69, 0.74) \), \( f_1 = 0.002 \).
Fig. 3 Describes the temporal variation of the amplitude \(a_2\) when \(\sigma_1 = 0.002\) and \(\sigma_2 = 0.001: \ a\) \((f_1 = 0.001, 0.002, 0.003), f_2 = 0.0002, \sigma = 0.74\), \(b\) \((f_2 = 0.0009, 0.004, 0.01), f_1 = 0.002, \sigma = 0.74\), \(c\) \((\sigma = 0.67, 0.69, 0.74), f_1 = 0.002\).

Fig. 4 Describes the temporal variation of the modified phase \(\theta_1\) when \(\sigma_1 = 0.002\) and \(\sigma_2 = 0.001: \ a\) \((f_1 = 0.001, 0.002, 0.003), f_2 = 0.0002, \sigma = 0.74\), \(b\) \((f_2 = 0.0009, 0.004, 0.01), f_1 = 0.002, \sigma = 0.74\), \(c\) \((\sigma = 0.67, 0.69, 0.74), f_1 = 0.002\).
Substituting Eqs. (13), (33), and (34) into Eqs. (22) and (32), and separating real and imaginary parts to obtain the following four first-order ODE constituting the ME

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d\theta_1}{dr} &= a_1\sigma_1 + \frac{N_1N_2}{2(\sigma^2 - 1)}a_1 + \frac{a_1^2}{16} + \frac{a_1B_1}{8}(4 - 3B_1) \\
&\quad + \frac{a_1}{8}s_1(2B_1 - 4 + s_1) + \frac{f_1}{2}\cos\theta_1, \\
\frac{d\theta_2}{dr} &= \frac{f_1}{2}\sin\theta_1, \\
\frac{da_1}{dr} &= a_2\sigma_2 + \frac{\sigma B_2}{8}(a_2(4 - 3B_2) + \frac{\sigma}{16} \\
&\quad + \frac{\sigma^2N_1N_2a_2}{2(1 - \sigma^2)} + \frac{f_2}{2\sigma}\cos\theta_2, \\
\frac{da_2}{dr} &= \frac{f_2}{2}\sin\theta_2.
\end{align*}
\]

This system has been inspected to show that it has the solutions \(a_j\) \((j = 1, 2)\) and \(\theta_j\) which describe the modulations of the amplitudes and the phases, respectively. These solutions are graphed for various selected values of the system’s parameters as displayed in Figs. 2, 3, 4 and 5 in accordance with the following data

\[
\begin{align*}
a_1 &= 0.001, 0.002, 0.003, \quad f_2 = 0.0002, \quad \sigma = 0.74, \quad b, \quad f_1 = 0.002, \quad \sigma = 0.74, \quad \sigma = 0.67, 0.69, 0.74, \quad f_1 = 0.002. \\
\end{align*}
\]

A closer examination of Figs. 2, 3, 4 and 5 reveals that these figures are plotted at \(\sigma_1 = 0.002, \quad \sigma_2 = 0.001 \text{ when } f_1 = 0.001, 0.002, 0.003, \quad f_2 = 0.0009, 0.004, 0.01, \quad \sigma = 0.67, 0.69, 0.74 \text{ in parts (a), (b), and (c) of these figures, respectively.} \text{ These figures describe the time histories of the amplitudes } a_j \text{ (} j = 1, 2 \text{) and the modified phases } \theta_j \text{ as seen in Figs. 2, 3, 4, and 5 respectively. It is clear that when the value } f_1 \text{ increases, periodic waves are produced, in which the amplitudes of the waves describing } a_1 \text{ increase and the number of oscillations increases to some extent as seen in Fig. 2a, contrary with the } \theta_1 \text{ decreasing behaviour as drawn in Fig. 4a. Moreover, there is no significant change of the waves characterizing } a_2 \text{ and } \theta_2 \text{ with the change of } f_1 \text{ values as observed from parts (a) Figs. 3 and 5. The reason is due to the third and fourth equations of Eq. (35). The same conclusion can be applied on the parts (b) of Figs. 2 and 4 which is owing to that the first two equations of Eq. (35) don’t depend on } f_2 \text{ explicitly.}
\]
Fig. 6 The time history of the approximate solutions $\gamma_1$ when $\sigma_1 = 0.002$ and $\sigma_2 = 0.001$: a $f_1 = (0.001, 0.002, 0.003)$, $f_2 = 0.0002$, $\sigma = 0.74$, b $f_2 = (0.0009, 0.004, 0.01)$, $f_1 = 0.002$, $\sigma = 0.74$, and c $\sigma = (0.67, 0.69, 0.74)$, $f_1 = 0.002$.

Fig. 7 The time history of the approximate solutions $\gamma_2$ when $\sigma_1 = 0.002$ and $\sigma_2 = 0.001$: a $f_1 = (0.001, 0.002, 0.003)$, $f_2 = 0.0002$, $\sigma = 0.74$, b $f_2 = (0.0009, 0.004, 0.01)$, $f_1 = 0.002$, $\sigma = 0.74$, c $\sigma = (0.67, 0.69, 0.74)$, $f_1 = 0.002$. 
The change of the $f_2$ values on the behavior of $\sigma_2$ and $\theta_2$ has a good impact in which periodic waves are yielded as in parts (b) of Figs. 3 and 5. Here, the amplitudes of plotted waves increase and decrease with the increasing of $f_2$ values as drawn in Figs. 3a and 5a respectively. On the other hand, the different values of $\sigma$ has an excellent impact on the behavior of $\sigma_j$ ($j = 1, 2$) and $\theta_j$ as plotted in Figs. 2, 3, 4, and 5 respectively.

The attained AS of the rotation angles $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$ via time $\tau$ are plotted in Figs. 6 and 7 to explore the variation of these solutions with time when $f_1, f_2,$ and $\sigma$ have various values.
at $\sigma_1 = 0.002$ and $\sigma_2 = 0.001$, in which the above data are used to sketch both of them.

A closer look at the drawn curves in the parts of Fig. 6 shows that we have increasing amplitude waves due to the increasing of the selected values of $f_1$ and $f_2$ as explored in parts (a) and (b). Moreover, this increment becomes slightly with the increasing of $\sigma$ values as seen in parts (c) and (b) while the change becomes constant when $\sigma$ increases, see part (c). Regarding parts of Fig. 7, we can say that the waves describing $\gamma_2$ when $f_1(= 0.001, 0.002, 0.003)$ as in part (a) have no noticeable change with the values of $f_1$, while the waves amplitudes increasing with the increasing of $f_2$ and $\sigma$ as seen Fig. 7b,c. At all, periodic waves are produced.
which express about the steady state behavior and the stability of the acquired AS. These solutions are compared with the numerical solutions (NS) which are obtained using the method of Runge–Kutta from fourth-order when $\sigma_1 = 0.002$, $\sigma_2 = 0.001, \omega = 0.74, f_1 = 0.002$, and $f_2 = 0.0009$ to reveal high matching between them and to indicate about the great accuracy of the utilized perturbation method, as shown in Figs. 8 and 9 for the generalized coordinated $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$ respectively. The relations between the AS and their derivatives from first-order are portrayed in Figs. 10, 11 and 12 to constitute the phase planes figures when $f_1, f_2$, and $\sigma$ take different values. It is notable that, we have closed symmetric trajectories to some extent which assert that the gained AS have a stable behaviors, which are predicted before, during the tested interval of time.

**Steady-State Solutions for the Case of External Resonances**

The purpose of the present section is to inspect the steady-state oscillations of the scrutinized dynamical system when the oscillations of the transitory processes have disappeared. Therefore, the conditions of the steady-state can be obtained when $\frac{d\gamma_j}{dt} = \frac{d\theta_j}{dt} = 0$ ($j = 1, 2$) [36]. As a result, regarding the unknowns, $a_j$ and $\theta_j$, we can obtain a system of four algebraic equations as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} a_1 \left[ \sigma_1 + \frac{N_1 N_2}{2(\omega^2 - 1)} + \frac{a_1^2}{16} + \frac{B_1}{8}(4 - 3B_1) + \frac{s_1}{8}(2B_1 - 4 + s_1) \right] + \frac{f_1}{2} \cos \theta_1 &= 0, \\
\frac{f_1}{2} \sin \theta_1 &= 0, \\
\frac{1}{2} a_2 \left[ \sigma_2 + \omega \left( \frac{B_2}{8}(4 - 3B_2) + \frac{a_2^2}{16} + \frac{\omega^2 N_1 N_2}{2(1 - \omega^2)} \right) \right] + \frac{f_2}{2\omega} \cos \theta_2 &= 0, \\
\frac{f_2}{2\omega} \sin \theta_2 &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]
Omission of the modified phases $\theta_j$ from this system produces the next two non-linear algebraic relationships between amplitudes $a_j$ and the frequency response functions described by the detuning parameters $\sigma_j$ in the following forms

$$f_1^2 = 4a_j^2 \left[ \frac{N_1N_2}{2(\sigma^2 - 1)} + \frac{a_j^2}{16} + \frac{B_j}{8}(4 - 3B_j) + \sigma_j + \frac{s_j}{8}(2B_j - 4 + s_j) \right]^2,$$

$$f_2^2 = 4\sigma^2a_j^2 \left[ \sigma^2 \left( \frac{B_j}{2} - \frac{3}{8}B_j^2 + \frac{a_j^2}{16} + \frac{\sigma^2N_1N_2}{2(1 - \sigma^2)} \right) + \sigma_j \right]^2.$$

(37)

It should be noted that, the steady-state part is considered as a significant part in the procedure of stability examination. Therefore, we concentrate our investigation on a region that close to the fixed points. To achieve this goal, let us consider the following forms of amplitudes and phases [37]

$$a_1 = a_{10} + a_{11}, \quad \theta_1 = \theta_{10} + \theta_{11},$$

$$a_2 = a_{20} + a_{21}, \quad \theta_2 = \theta_{20} + \theta_{21},$$

(38)

where $a_{10}, a_{20}, \theta_{10},$ and $\theta_{20}$ are the solutions of steady state, while $a_{11}, a_{21}, \theta_{11},$ and $\theta_{21}$ are the corresponding perturbations. Inserting Eq. (38) into Eq. (35), after linearization we can obtain

Fig. 13 The resonance curves of the amplitudes $a_j$ ($j = 1, 2$) as a function of $\sigma_1$ at $\sigma_1 = -0.2, \sigma = 0.74,$ and $f_1 = 0.001, 0.002, 0.003$

Fig. 14 Resonance curves of the amplitudes $a_j$ ($j = 1, 2$) as a function of $\sigma_2$ when $\sigma_1 = -0.2, \sigma = 0.74,$ and $f_1 = 0.001, 0.002, 0.003$

Fig. 15 Resonance curves of $a_j(\sigma_1)$ ($j = 1, 2$) at $\sigma_2 = -0.2, \sigma = 0.74,$ and $f_2 = 0.0009, 0.004, 0.01$
To achieve the solutions of the given system Eq. (39), we can write the unknown functions $a_j (j = 1, 2)$ and $\theta_j$ in exponential forms as $q_j e^{\lambda t}$ where $q_j (s = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ are constants and $\lambda$ is the appropriate eigenvalues of these functions. In this case, the steady-state solutions $a_j$ and $\theta_j (j = 1, 2)$ are stable asymptotically if the real parts of the roots of the following characteristic equations must be negative [38]

$$\lambda^4 + \Gamma_1 \lambda^3 + \Gamma_2 \lambda^2 + \Gamma_3 \lambda + \Gamma_4 = 0,$$

where

$$\lambda = -\frac{\sigma_1}{2} + \frac{N_1 N_2}{2(\sigma_2 - 1)} + \frac{3\sigma_1}{16} + \frac{B_1}{8}(4 - 3B_1) + \frac{\sigma_2}{8}(2B_1 - 4 + \sigma_2).$$
\[\Gamma_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{f_1 \sin \theta_{10}}{a_{10}} + \frac{f_2 \sin \theta_{20}}{a_{20}} \right).\]

\[\Gamma_2 = -\frac{1}{32 \sigma (\sigma^2 - 1) a_{10} a_{20}} \left\{ -8 f_1 f_2 (\sigma^2 - 1) \sin \theta_{10} \sin \theta_{20} + \sigma f_1 a_{20} \cos \theta_{10} [3 a_{10}^2 (\sigma^2 - 1)\right. \]
\[+ 2 (-3 B_1 (\sigma^2 - 1) + 4 N_1 N_2 + 2 B_1 (\sigma^2 - 1)(s_1 + 2) + (\sigma^2 - 1)((s_1 - 4)s_1 + 8 \sigma_1))]
\[+ a_{10} f_2 \cos \theta_{20} (\sigma (\sigma^2 - 1)(3 a_{20}^2 + 2 B_2 (4 - 3 B_2) - 8 \sigma^3 N_1 N_2 + 16 \sigma_2 (\sigma^2 - 1)) \}, \]

\[\Gamma_3 = \frac{f_1 f_2}{64 \sigma (\sigma^2 - 1) a_{10} a_{20}} [\cos \theta_{10} \sin \theta_{20} [-3 a_{10}^2 (\sigma^2 - 1) + 2 (3 B_1^2 (\sigma^2 - 1) - 4 N_1 N_2 \]
\[- 2 B_1 (\sigma^2 - 1)(s_1 + 2) - (\sigma^2 - 1)((s_1 - 4)s_1 + 8 \sigma_1)] + \cos \theta_{20} \sin \theta_{10} (-3 \sigma (\sigma^2 - 1) a_{20}^2 \]
\[+ 2 B_2 \sigma (\sigma^2 - 1)(-4 + 3 B_2) + 8 \sigma^3 N_1 N_2 - 16 \sigma_2 (\sigma^2 - 1))], \]

\[\Gamma_4 = \frac{f_1 f_2 \cos \theta_{10} \cos \theta_{20}}{1024 \sigma (\sigma^2 - 1)^2 a_{10} a_{20}} [3 a_{10}^2 (\sigma^2 - 1) + 2 (-3 B_1^2 (\sigma^2 - 1) + 4 N_1 N_2 + 2 B_1 (\sigma^2 - 1)\]
\[\times (s_1 + 2) + (\sigma^2 - 1)((s_1 - 4)s_1 + 8 \sigma_1)][(\sigma (\sigma^2 - 1)(3 a_{20}^2 + 2 B_2 (4 - 3 B_2)) \]
\[+ 8 \sigma^3 N_1 N_2 + 16 \sigma_2 (\sigma^2 - 1)]. \]

It is noted that \(\Gamma_j (j = 1, 2, 3, 4)\) depend on some parameters like \(a_{10}, \theta_{10}\), and \(f_j\). The stability conditions at steady-state solutions can be written as follows using the criterion of Routh-Hurwitz [39]

\(\Gamma_1 > 0,\)

\(\Gamma_3 (\Gamma_1 \Gamma_2 - \Gamma_3) - \Gamma_1^2 \Gamma_4 > 0,\)

\(\Gamma_1^2 \Gamma_2 - \Gamma_3 > 0,\)

\(\Gamma_4 > 0.\)

---

**Table 1** The critical and peaks fixed points for different values of \(f_1\).

| Figure   | Peaks of fixed points             | Critical fixed points | \(f_1\)  |
|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| Figure 13a | (-0.07959, 0.04676), (-0.06, 0.0518704), (-0.07064, -0.2092) | (-0.06, 0.0518704) | 0.001   |
| Figure 13b | –                                 | (-0.06, 0.00874021) | 0.001, 0.002, 0.003 |
| Figure 14a | –                                 | (-0.02, 0.00391468) | 0.001   |
| Figure 14b | (-0.03244, 0.03803)               | (-0.02, 0.025389)    | 0.001, 0.002, 0.003 |

**Table 2** The critical and peaks fixed points for different values of \(f_2\).

| Figure     | Peaks of fixed points             | Critical fixed points | \(f_2\)  |
|------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| Figure 15a | (-0.07936, 0.1021), (-0.06, 0.099229) | (-0.06, 0.099229) | 0.0009, 0.004, 0.01 |
| Figure 15b | –                                 | (-0.06, 0.0034961)   | 0.0009  |
| Figure 16a | –                                 | (-0.06, 0.0174818)   | 0.004   |
| Figure 16b | (-0.03, 0.351874)                 | (-0.04, 0.635683)    | 0.004, 0.001 |
| Figure 16c | (-0.05, 0.842501)                 | (-0.05, 0.842501)    | 0.01    |
The Stability Analysis for the Case of External Resonances

In the current section, the approach of the non-linear stability is utilized to study the motion of the investigated dynamical system of the double RBP that subjected to the harmonic external moments $M_{\text{1}}(t)$ and $M_{\text{2}}(t)$. In addition to simulating the equations of the non-linear system, the stability criteria are also performed. It is observed that the parameters of detuning $\sigma_1$, $\sigma_2$, and the frequency $\omega$ have a positive impact on the destabilization of the stability criteria. To sketch the stability areas or ranges of system Eq. (37), a specified procedure with various settings of the system was tested. The changes of the amplitudes $a_1$, $a_2$ via time are plotted for distinct parametric regions. Therefore, Figs. 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 18 is drawn to show how the variations of the values of $\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_2$ effect on the possible fixed points, in which we considered the selected values of the parameters $f_1(=0.001, 0.002, 0.003)$, $f_2(=0.0009, 0.004, 0.01)$, and $\sigma(=0.67, 0.69, 0.74)$ are used.

The influence of the selected values of $f_1(=0.001, 0.002, 0.003)$, on the frequency responses curves in the planes $a_j\sigma_j$ ($j = 1, 2$) and $a_j\sigma_2$ is described in Figs. 13 and 14, respectively. A closer look at these figures explores that we have only one critical fixed point with three peaks for each value of $f_1$ as shown in Fig. 13a, while no peaks are observed in Fig. 13b for the curves in the plane $a_2\sigma_1$ with the variation of $f_1$. The variation of $a_1$ via $\sigma_2$ in Fig. 14a reflects the number of critical fixed points which is one distinct point for each value of $f_1$, whereas in Fig. 14b there exists one stationary critical point for the plotted curves of $f_1$. Overall, the areas of stable and unstable fixed points of Fig. 13 lie in the range just $\sigma_1 \leq -0.06$ and $-0.06 < \sigma_1$, respectively. According to the curves depicted in Fig. 14, we observe

### Table 3 The critical and peaks fixed points for different values of $\sigma_1$

| Figure | Peaks of fixed points | Critical fixed points | $\sigma_1$ |
|--------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| Figure 17a | $(-0.08, 0.279982)$ | $(-0.08, 0.279982)$ | 0.67 |
| | $(-0.08, 0.318593)$ | $(-0.08, 0.318593)$ | 0.69 |
| | $(-0.07936, 0.1)$ | $(-0.07, -0.260666)$ | 0.74 |
| | $(-0.07, -0.260666)$ | $(-0.05891, 0.08965)$ | |
| Figure 17b | – | $(-0.08, 0.000745528)$ | 0.67 |
| | | $(-0.08, 0.000775041)$ | 0.69 |
| | | $(-0.07, 0.000874021)$ | 0.74 |
| Figure 18a | – | $(-0.01, 0.00841571)$ | 0.67 |
| | | $(-0.01, 0.00828679)$ | 0.69 |
| | | $(-0.02, 0.00782953)$ | 0.74 |
| Figure 18b | $(-0.01894, 0.0375)$ | $(-0.01, 0.0217523)$ | 0.67 |
| | | $(-0.01961, 0.1146)$ | 0.69 |
| | | $(-0.01, 0.0169547)$ | 0.69 |
| | | $(-0.0303, 0.03803)$ | 0.74 |

![Fig. 19](image_url) Frequency response when $\sigma_2 = -0.2$: a at $\sigma = 0.67$, b at $\sigma = 0.69$, c at $\sigma = 0.74
that the stable and unstable regions are $-0.3 \leq \sigma_2 \leq -0.02$ and $-0.02 < \sigma_2 \leq 0.3$, respectively. It is worthwhile to mention that the solid curves describe the domain of stable points while the dashed ones represent the unstable ranges.

On the other hand, Figs. 15 and 16 show the frequency responses curves in the planes $a_j \sigma_1$ ($j = 1, 2$) and $a_j \sigma_2$ when $f_2 (= 0.0009, 0.004, 0.01)$. It can be seen that there exists only three typical and different critical fixed points as seen in Fig. 15a, b, respectively. The stable and unstable areas of fixed points are found in Fig. 15 at the ranges $-0.3 \leq \sigma_1 \leq -0.06$ and $-0.06 < \sigma_1 \leq 0.3$, respectively. The observed curves in Fig. 16 indicate that we identical response curves with the same critical point as in part (a), while in part (b) three various critical fixed points are obtained with the variation of $f_2$ values. The areas of fixed points whether stable or not for different values of $f_2$ are classified according to:

1- At $f_2 = 0.01$, we observed that the fixed points have stable and unstable ranges $-0.3 \leq \sigma_2 \leq -0.05$ and $-0.05 < \sigma_2 \leq 0.3$ respectively.
2- When $f_2 = 0.004$, the stability and instability regions are found in the domains $-0.3 \leq \sigma_2 \leq -0.04$ and $-0.04 < \sigma_2 \leq 0.3$, respectively.
3- When $f_2$ has the value 0.0009, one found the stable fixed points lie in the range of $-0.3 \leq \sigma_2 \leq -0.03$ while the unstable ones occurs in the range of $-0.03 < \sigma_2 \leq 0.3$.

However, the influence of the values of the natural frequency $\omega(= 0.67, 0.69, 0.74)$ on the frequency responses are portrayed in parts of Figs. 17 and 18. There is only one critical fixed point for each value of $\omega$ as plotted in Figs. 17 and 18 as follows, in which two pints are directed upward at $\omega = 0.67$ and $\omega = 0.69$ while the third one is directed downward at $\omega = 0.74$ with three peaks as explored in part (a) of Fig. 17. Moreover, three different critical points are found in the plane $a_j \sigma_1$ with the considered values of $\sigma_1$ as shown in Fig. 18. Contrary, we have three different critical points at the different values of $\omega$ as seen in parts (b) and (a) of Figs. 17 and 18, respectively. The stability ranges and instability ones have the following categorization:

1. At $\omega = 0.74$, the stable and unstable points are existing in the domains $-0.3 \leq \sigma_1 \leq -0.07$ and $-0.07 < \sigma_1 \leq 0.3$ respectively, as graphed in Fig. 17. Whereas the stable points takes place in the range $-0.3 \leq \sigma_2 \leq -0.02$ and the unstable ones occur in the range $-0.02 < \sigma_2 \leq 0.3$ as shown in Fig. 18.
2. At $\omega(= 0.67, 0.69)$, one found stable fixed point in the range $-0.3 \leq \sigma_1 \leq -0.08$ while the unstable ones are exists in the range $-0.08 < \sigma_1 \leq 0.3$ as plotted in Fig. 17. Moreover, in Fig. 18 the stable points take place in the domain $-0.3 \leq \sigma_2 \leq -0.01$ and the unstable ones are found in the domain $-0.01 < \sigma_2 \leq 0.3$.
Tables 1, 2, and 3 show the relation between the critical and peaks fixed points and the values of the parameters $f_1$, $f_2$, and $\mu_1$, respectively.

The inspection of Figs. 19 and 20 shows the frequency responses when $\mu_2 = -0.2$ and $\mu_1 = -0.2$ respectively. These figures are calculated at $\sigma = 0.67, 0.69, 0.74$, $f_1 = 0.002$, $m_1 = 6$, and $m_2 = 5$. It is clear that from curves of Fig. 19, there are no intersection of fixed points when $\sigma_1 = 0.67$ and $\sigma_1 = 0.69$, i.e. no common solutions while at $\sigma = 0.74$ there are two stable unique solutions. Whereas curves of Fig. 20 reflect that we have two unique common fixed points of the solutions, in which one of them is stable and the other is unstable. It is notable that through the regions $-0.03 \leq \sigma_1 \leq 0.03$ and $-0.03 \leq \sigma_2 \leq 0.03$ there is a high resonance among the frequency which in turn leads to a notable increase in the amplitudes of the steady-state solutions.

**Non-linear Analysis for the External Resonance Case**

This section aims to study the characteristic of the non-linear amplitude for the system of Eq. (35) and explores their stabilities. Therefore, the accompanying transformation is taken into account [40]

$$A_j(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \frac{1}{2} [\bar{u}_j(\tau_1, \tau_2) + i \bar{v}_j(\tau_1, \tau_2)]e^{i\beta_j \tau_1};$$

$$u_j = \epsilon \bar{u}_j, \quad v_j = \epsilon \bar{v}_j \quad (j = 1, 2).$$  

![Fig. 21](image-url) The time histories of $u_1$ and $v_1$, and the corresponding paths of the modulation equations on $u_1v_1$ plane when $f_1(=0.001, 0.002, 0.003)$, $\sigma_1 = 0.002, \sigma_2 = 0.001$, and $\sigma = 0.74$. 

---

**Note:** The image in the document is not provided in this transcription. The image is mentioned to be Fig. 21, showing the time histories of $u_1$ and $v_1$, and the corresponding paths of the modulation equations on $u_1v_1$ plane when $f_1(=0.001, 0.002, 0.003)$, $\sigma_1 = 0.002, \sigma_2 = 0.001$, and $\sigma = 0.74$. The figure is used to illustrate the non-linear analysis for the external resonance case.
Substituting Eqs. (13) and (43) into Eqs. (22) and (32), then splitting the real parts and imaginary ones to obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dv_1}{d\tau} + u_1 \left[ \sigma_1 + \frac{1}{8} \left( B_1 (4 - 3B_1 + 2s_1) + s_1 (s_1 - 4) + \frac{4N_1 N_2}{(\sigma^2 - 1)} + \frac{1}{2} (u_1^2 + v_1^2) \right) \right] + \frac{f_1}{2} &= 0, \\
\frac{du_1}{d\tau} + v_1 \left[ -\sigma_1 + \frac{1}{8} \left( B_1 (3B_1 - 2s_1 - 4) + s_1 (4 - s_1) - \frac{4N_1 N_2}{(\sigma^2 - 1)} - \frac{1}{2} (v_1^2 + u_1^2) \right) \right] &= 0, \\
\frac{dv_2}{d\tau} + u_2 \left[ \sigma_2 + \frac{1}{8} \left( \sigma B_2 (4 - 3B_2) + \frac{4\sigma^3 N_1 N_2}{(1 - \sigma^2)} + \frac{\sigma}{2} (u_2^2 + v_2^2) \right) \right] + \frac{f_2}{2\sigma} &= 0, \\
\frac{du_2}{d\tau} + v_2 \left[ -\sigma_2 + \frac{1}{8} \left( \sigma B_2 (3B_2 - 4) - \frac{4\sigma^3 N_1 N_2}{(1 - \sigma^2)} - \frac{\sigma}{2} (v_2^2 + u_2^2) \right) \right] &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

The plotted curves of Figs. 21, 22, 23, 24, 25 and 26 illustrate the change of the modified phases \(u_1, u_2, v_1\), and \(v_2\) versus time \(\tau\) when \(f_1, f_2\), and \(\sigma\) have the above values as in parts (a) and (b) respectively. The behaviour of the represented waves of \(u_1, v_1\) and \(u_2, v_2\) behave periodic attitude, in which the amplitudes of these waves increase with the

\[
\begin{align*}
&f_1(= 0.001, 0.002, 0.003), \ f_2(= 0.0009, 0.004, 0.01), \ \sigma(= 0.67, 0.69, 0.74), \\
&\sigma_1 = 0.002, \ \sigma_2 = 0.001, \ u_1(0) = 0.07, \ v_1(0) = 0.02, \ u_2(0) = 0.02, \ v_2(0) = 0.02.
\end{align*}
\]
increasing of the values of $f_1$ and $f_2$, respectively, (see parts (a) and (b) of Figs. 21 and 24). Therefore, we can predict that the system have a stable behavior. The influence of the various values of $\omega_1$ on the trajectories of $u_1, v_1$ and $u_2, v_2$ is drawn in Figs. 25 and 26 respectively. Periodic waves are portrayed as in parts (a) and (b) of these figures, in which the number of oscillations increases to some extent with the increasing of the values of natural frequency $\omega$ besides decreasing of the wavelengths. On the other hand, there is no significant change in the behavior of the describing waves of $u_1, v_1$ and $u_2, v_2$ with each change of the values of $f_1$ and $f_2$ as seen in parts (a) and (b) of Figs. 22 and 23 respectively. The reason is due to the formal construction of the system of Eq. (44). Moreover, the projections of the trajectories of the ME on the phase planes $u_1, v_1$ and $u_2, v_2$ are represented in parts (c) of Figs. 21, 22, 23, 24, 25 and 26 for the selected values of $f_1, f_2, \omega$. A closed symmetric trajectories curves are observed which assert our prediction for the steady motion of the investigated system.

**A Combination Case of Internal and External Resonance**

We are going to study the case of combination of external and internal resonances. The closeness of $\omega, p_2$ to 1, $\omega$ is expressed through introducing the detuning parameters of $\delta_1$ and $\delta_2$ as follows

$$\omega = 1 + \delta_1, \quad p_2 = \omega + \delta_2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (45)

Therefore, we can introduce them in terms of $O(\epsilon)$ as follows

$$\delta_j = \epsilon \tilde{\delta_j} \left( j = 1, 2 \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (46)

Substituting Eqs. (45)–(46) for Eqs. (16)–(19) and removing terms that lead to secular ones, the following solvability criteria are obtained as in Eq. (22) and as in the following conditions.

![Figure 23](image-url)
Fig. 24 The variation of $u_2$ and $v_2$ via $\tau$, and the trajectories of the modulation equations on the plane $u_2$-$v_2$ when $f_j(=0.0009, 0.004, 0.01)$, $\sigma_1 = 0.002, \sigma_2 = 0.001$, and $\sigma = 0.74$.

\[
\frac{\Gamma_1}{4} \left[ \tilde{B}_1(2\tilde{s}_1 - 3\tilde{B}_1) + \tilde{s}_1^2 + \frac{4\tilde{N}_1\tilde{N}_2}{(m^2 - 1)} + 2\Gamma_1 \tilde{A}_1 \right] - 2i \frac{\partial \Gamma_1}{\partial \tau_2} \\
+ \frac{\sigma^2 \tilde{N}_1 \Gamma_2}{(1 - \sigma^2)} \left( \tilde{B}_1 \sigma^2 - \tilde{s}_1 - \tilde{B}_2 \right)e^{i\delta_1} = 0,
\]

\[
\frac{\sigma^2 \Gamma_2}{4 \left( \frac{4\sigma^2 \tilde{N}_1 \tilde{N}_2}{(1 - \sigma^2)} - 3\tilde{B}_2^2 + 2\Gamma_2 \tilde{A}_2 \right)} - 2i \sigma \frac{\partial \Gamma_2}{\partial \tau_2} + \frac{\tilde{f}_2}{2}e^{i\delta_2} = 0.
\]

Conditions (22) and (47) show how functions $\Gamma_j$ are dependent on $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$ that can be stated in polar form as

\[
\Gamma_j(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \frac{\tilde{B}_j(\tau_1, \tau_2)}{2}e^{i\phi_j(\tau_1, \tau_2)}, \quad \phi_j = \epsilon \tilde{b}_j; \quad j = 1, 2.
\]

Let us consider the following modified phases [35]

\[
\phi_1(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \delta_1 \tau_1 + \beta_2(\tau_1, \tau_2) - \beta_1(\tau_1, \tau_2),
\]

\[
\phi_2(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \delta_2 \tau_1 - \beta_2(\tau_1, \tau_2).
\]

Inserting Eqs. (13), (48) and (49) into Eqs. (22) and (47), and separating the real and imaginary parts, we obtain the ME of four first-order ODE as follow

\[
b_1 \frac{d \phi_1}{d \tau} = b_1(\delta_1 + \delta_2) - b_1 \frac{d \phi_2}{d \tau_2} \\
+ \frac{b_1}{16} \left[ \frac{8N_1N_2}{(m^2 - 1)} + \tilde{b}_1^2 + 2B_1(4 - 3B_1) + 2s_1(2B_1 + s_1 - 4) \right]
\]

\[
+ \frac{\sigma^2 N_2 \tilde{b}_2}{2(1 - \sigma^2)}(B_1 \sigma^2 - s_1 - B_2) \cos \phi_1,
\]

\[
b_2 \frac{d \phi_2}{d \tau} = b_2 \frac{d \phi_1}{d \tau_2} \\
+ \frac{b_2 \sigma^2 N_2 \tilde{b}_2}{2(1 - \sigma^2)}(B_1 \sigma^2 - s_1 - B_2) \sin \phi_1,
\]

\[
b_2 \frac{d \phi_2}{d \tau} = b_2 \frac{d \phi_1}{d \tau_2} \\
+ \frac{b_2 \sigma^2 N_2 \tilde{b}_2}{2(1 - \sigma^2)}(B_1 \sigma^2 - s_1 - B_2) \sin \phi_1.
\]

The solutions $b_j (j = 1, 2)$ and $\phi_j$ of this system describes represent the modulations of the amplitudes and the phases, respectively. These solutions have been graphed for various selected values of the system’s parameters as shown in Figs. 27 and 28 using the following data
A closer look at Figs. 27 and 28 reports that these figures are plotted at \( \varepsilon_1 = 0.11, \varepsilon_2 = 0.001, \) and \( f_1 = 0.002 \) when \( f_2(= 0.001, 0.0015, 0.002) \) to reveal the time histories of the amplitudes \( b_j (j = 1, 2) \) and the modified phases \( \phi_j \). It is clear that when the value \( f_2 \) increases, periodic waves are produced, in which the amplitudes of the waves describing \( b_1 \) and \( b_2 \) increase and the number of oscillations remain stationary to some extent as seen in Fig. 27, while the oscillation's number of \( \phi_1 \) increases with the increasing of time as drawn in Fig. 28b. Moreover, there is no significant change of the waves characterizing \( \phi_2 \), and the behavior of the waves is decreasing with the time as observed from Fig. 28b.

The achieved AS of the rotation angles \( \gamma_1 \) and \( \gamma_2 \) through time \( \tau \) are drawn in Fig. 29 (for the combination case of internal and external resonance) to examine the variation of these solutions with time when \( f_2 \) have various values at \( \varepsilon_1 = 0.11 \) and \( \varepsilon_2 = 0.001 \). This figure has been drawn according to the previous data. An inspection of the plotted curves in parts (a) and (b) of Fig. 29 explores that we have periodic waves with some package, in which there is no noticeable change of the waves describing \( \gamma_1 \) when \( f_2(= 0.001, 0.0015, 0.002) \), while the amplitudes waves describing \( \gamma_2 \) increase with the increasing of \( f_2 \) as explored in Fig. 29b. These waves express that the motion has a steady behavior and the AS has a manner of stationary behavior. The phase plane figures that describe the stability of the system’s motion have been portrayed in Fig. 30, which reveal the relation between the AS and their first-order derivatives at \( f_2(= 0.001, 0.0015, 0.002) \). Closed trajectories are observed to indicate that the gained AS have a stable behaviors.

**Verification of the Steady State Solutions**

To examine the solutions at the case of steady state, we consider as studied previously in Sect. 5 the zero values of the first derivatives of amplitudes and modified phase i.e.,
Fig. 26 The change of $u_2$ and $v_2$ with time $\tau$, and the trajectories of the modulation equations on the plane $u_2v_2$ when $\sigma(=0.67, 0.69, 0.74)$, $\sigma_1 = 0.002, f_1 = 0.002, f_2(=0.0001, 0.0001, 0.0002)$ and $\sigma_2 = 0.001$.

Fig. 27 The temporal variation of the amplitudes $b_1, b_2$ when $f_2(=0.001, 0.0015, 0.002)$, $f_1 = 0.002, \delta_1 = 0.11$, $\delta_2 = 0.001$, and $\sigma = 1.11$

Fig. 28 The temporal variation of the modified phase $\phi_1, \phi_2$ when $f_2(=0.001, 0.0015, 0.002)$, $f_1 = 0.002, \delta_1 = 0.11$, $\delta_2 = 0.001$, and $\sigma = 1.11$
Then Eq. (50) produce the following system

\[
\frac{db}{d\tau} = \frac{db}{d\tau} = 0; \quad j = 1, 2 \]

[36]. Then Eq. (50) produce the following system

Fig. 29  Time history of the approximate solutions \( f_1, f_2 \) via \( \tau \) when
\( f_2(\delta_1 = 0.001, 0.0015, 0.002) \),
\( f_1 = 0.002, \delta_1 = 0.11, \delta_2 = 0.001, \) and \( \sigma = 1.11 \)

Fig. 30  The phase plane portraits when \( f_2(\delta_1 = 0.001, 0.0015, 0.002) \), \( f_1 = 0.002, \delta_1 = 0.11, \delta_2 = 0.001, \) and \( \sigma = 1.11 \): a for the plane \( \gamma_1 \dot{\gamma}_1 \) and b for the plane \( \gamma_2 \dot{\gamma}_2 \)

Fig. 31  Resonance curves for the amplitudes \( b_1 \) and \( b_2 \) as functions of \( \delta_1 \) for combination resonances case at
\( f_2(\delta_1 = 0.001, 0.0015, 0.002) \) and \( \delta_2 = -0.2, \sigma = 1.11. \)
Table 4  Critical and peaks fixed points when $f_2$ have different values for combination resonance case

| Figure | Peaks of fixed points | Critical fixed points $f_2$ |
|--------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| Fig. 31a | (0.1827, -0.7342) | (0.16, -0.0242) | 0.001 |
| | (0.17, -0.0452452), (0.17, -0.0452452), (0.1894, 0.08595), (0.2092, -0.3332), (0.1894, -0.6433) | (0.57, 0.00521922) |
| Fig. 31b | – | (0.16, -0.00196522), (0.17, 0.00294783), (0.17, 0.00393045), (0.17, 0.00393045) | 0.002 |
| Fig. 32a | (0.006156, -0.02673), (0.04426, 0.1285), (0.2856, 0.7253), (0.03166, 0.6488), (0.2666, 0.8241), (0.2922, 0.09138), (0.311, 0.3117), (0.03791, 0.2408), (0.2602, -0.05145), (0.2856, 0.7418) | (−0.18, 0.00277735) | 0.001 |
| Fig. 32b | (0.03633, 0.05668), (0.03643, 0.26), (0.0298, 0.1049) | (−0.18, 0.00215043), (−0.17, 0.00338518), (−0.14, 0.0052999) | 0.001 |

$b_1(\delta_1 + \delta_2) + \frac{1}{16} \left[ \frac{8N_i N_{i+1}}{(\omega^2 - 1)} + b_i^2 + 2B_i(4 - 3B_i) + 2s_i(2B_i + s_i - 4) \right]$

$+ \frac{\omega^2 N_i b_{2}^2}{2(1 - \omega^2)} \left( B_i \omega^2 - s_i - B_2 \right) \cos \phi_1 = 0,$

$\frac{\omega^2 N_i b_{2}^2}{2(1 - \omega^2)} \left[ B_i \omega^2 - s_i - B_2 \right] \sin \phi_1 = 0,$

$b_2 \delta_2 + \frac{b_2 \omega}{16} \left[ 8B_2 - 6B_2^2 + b_2^2 + \frac{8\omega^2 N_i N_{i+1}}{(1 - \omega^2)} \right] + \frac{f_2}{2\omega} \cos \phi_2 = 0.$

Cancellation of the modified phases $\phi_j$ from preceding system Eq. (51), two non-linear algebraic relationships in terms of $b_j$, $\delta_j$ and the frequency response functions are obtained in the forms

$\frac{\omega^4 N_i^2 b_{2}^2}{4(1 - \omega^2)^2} \left( B_1 \omega^2 - s_i - B_2 \right)^2$

$= h_i \left( \delta_1 + \delta_2 + \frac{1}{16} \left[ \frac{8N_i N_{i+1}}{(\omega^2 - 1)} + b_i^2 + 2B_i(4 - 3B_i) + 2s_i(2B_i + s_i - 4) \right] \right)^2,$

$f_2^2 = 4\omega^2 h_i \left[ \frac{\omega}{16} \left[ 8B_2 - 6B_2^2 + b_2^2 + \frac{8\omega^2 N_i N_{i+1}}{(1 - \omega^2)} \right] + \delta_2 \right]^2.$

Let us consider the following forms of amplitudes and phases [37]

$b_1 = b_{10} + b_{11}, \quad \phi_1 = \phi_{10} + \phi_{11},$

$b_2 = b_{20} + b_{21}, \quad \phi_2 = \phi_{20} + \phi_{21},$

where $b_{10}, b_{20}, \phi_{10},$ and $\phi_{20}$ are the solutions of steady state, while $b_{11}, b_{21}, \phi_{11},$ and $\phi_{21}$ are the corresponding perturbations. Substituting Eq. (53) into Eq. (50), and making linearity we can obtain

![Fig. 32](image-url)  

Resonance curves for the amplitudes $b_1$ and $b_2$ as functions of $\delta_2$ for combination resonances case at $f_2 = 0.001, 0.0015, 0.002$ and $\delta_1 = -0.3, \omega = 1.11.$
**Fig. 33** Resonance curves for the amplitudes $b_1$ and $b_2$ as functions of $\delta_1$ for combination resonances case when $\omega(=1.08, 1.11, 1.29)$ and $\delta_2 = -0.2$.

**Fig. 34** Resonance curves for the amplitudes $b_1$ and $b_2$ as function of $\delta_2$ for combination resonances case when $\omega(=1.08, 1.11, 1.29)$ and $\delta_1 = -0.3$.

**Table 5** Critical and peaks fixed points when $\omega$ have different values for combination resonance case

| Figure   | Peaks of fixed points                          | Critical fixed points | $\omega$ |
|----------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------|
| Figure 33a | (0.1298, −0.8047), (0.16, −0.24247), (0.31, −0.0263871) | (0.1, −0.0271466), (0.16, −0.024247), (0.31, −0.0263871) | 1.08     |
|          | (0.1827, −0.7262), (0.6, 0.00321081)          | (0.16, −0.024247), (0.6, 0.00321081) | 1.11     |
|          | (0.3354, −0.337), (0.51, 0.00377562)          | (0.31, −0.0263871), (0.51, 0.00377562) | 1.29     |
| Figure 33b | –                                              | (0.1, 0.00167782), (0.16, 0.00196522), (0.31, 0.00277461), (0.51, 0.00277461) | 1.08     |
|          | (0.69, 0.00167782)                             | (0.16, 0.00196522) | 1.11     |
|          | (0.6, 0.00196522)                              | (0.31, 0.00277461), (0.51, 0.00277461) | 1.29     |
| Figure 34a | (0.08236, 1.614), (0.184, 1.099), (0.2411, 0.5662) | (−0.36, 0.00160435), (−0.02, 0.0284925), (−0.02, 0.0284925) | 1.08     |
|          | (0.006156, −0.02673), (0.04426, 0.1245), (0.2792, 0.7133) | (−0.18, 0.00277735) | 1.11     |
|          | (−0.02, 0.0284925), (0.4253, 0.4921)          | (−0.02, 0.0284925) | 1.29     |
| Figure 34b | (0.06247, 0.1132), (0.03633, 0.05638), (−0.02, 0.0544651) | (−0.36, 0.00104283), (−0.18, 0.00215043), (−0.02, 0.0544651) | 1.08     |
|          | (−0.36, 0.00104283)                           | (−0.18, 0.00215043) | 1.11     |
|          | (−0.02, 0.0544651)                            | (−0.02, 0.0544651) | 1.29     |
Fig. 35  The frequency response when $\delta_2 = -0.2$: a at $\sigma = 1.08$, b at $\sigma = 1.11$, c at $\sigma = 1.29$

Fig. 36  The frequency response when $\delta_1 = -0.3$: a at $\sigma = 1.08$, b at $\sigma = 1.11$, c at $\sigma = 1.29$
Fig. 37 Six possible steady-state amplitudes at $f_1 = 0.002$, $f_2 = 0.001$, $\delta_1 = 0.11$, $\delta_2 = 0.001$, and $\nu = 1.11$, where red points refer to stable points and green points refer to unstable points.

Fig. 38 Time histories of $n_1$ and $q_1$ besides the modulation’s equations projection on the plane $n_1q_1$ when $f_2 (= 0.001, 0.0015, 0.002)$, $f_1 = 0.002$, $\delta_1 = 0.11$, $\delta_2 = 0.001$, and $\nu = 1.11$.
Fig. 39 Time histories of $n_2$ and $q_2$ in addition to the projection of the modulation equations on the plane $n_2 q_2$ when $f_2(=0.001, 0.0015, 0.002)$, $f_1 = 0.002$, $\delta_1 = 0.11$, $\delta_2 = 0.001$, and $\sigma = 1.11$

\[
\begin{align*}
 b_{10} \frac{d\phi_{11}}{d\tau} &= b_{11} \left[ \delta_1 + \delta_2 + \frac{1}{16} \left( \frac{8N_1 N_2}{(\sigma^2 - 1)} + 3b_{10}^2 + 2B_1 (4 - 3B_1) + 2s_1 (2B_1 + s_1 - 4) \right) \right] \\
 &+ \frac{\sigma^2 N_1}{2(1 - \sigma^2)} (B_1 \sigma^2 - s_1 - B_2) (b_{21} \cos \phi_{10} - b_{20} \phi_{11} \sin \phi_{10}) - b_{10} \frac{d\phi_{21}}{d\tau}, \\
 \frac{db_{11}}{d\tau} &= \frac{\sigma^2 N_1}{2(1 - \sigma^2)} (B_1 \sigma^2 - s_1 - B_2) (b_{20} \phi_{11} \cos \phi_{10} + b_{21} \sin \phi_{10}), \\
 b_{20} \frac{d\phi_{21}}{d\tau} &= b_{21} \left[ \delta_2 + \frac{\sigma}{16} \left( 8B_2 - 6B_2^2 + 3b_{20}^2 + \frac{8\sigma^2 N_1 N_2}{(1 - \sigma^2)} \right) \right] - \frac{f_2}{2\sigma} \phi_{21} \cos \phi_{20}, \\
 \frac{db_{21}}{d\tau} &= \frac{f_2}{2\sigma} \phi_{21} \cos \phi_{20}.
\end{align*}
\]  

The solutions of the preceding system can be obtained if we express the unknown functions $b_j$ and $\phi_j$ ($j = 1, 2$) in an exponential form as $C e^{\sigma \tau}$. Here $C_j$ ($s = 1, 2, 3, 4$) are constants and $\sigma$ is the functions’ eigenvalues. In such case, the steady-state solutions $b_{j0}$ and $\phi_{j0}$ ($j = 1, 2$) will be asymptotically stable, if the roots’ real parts of the following characteristic equations have negative values [38]

\[ h^4 + \Sigma_1 h^3 + \Sigma_2 h^2 + \Sigma_4 h + \Sigma_4 = 0, \]  

where
Taking into account the stability conditions at steady-state solutions by using the criteria of Routh-Hurwitz [39] are

\[ \begin{align*}
\Sigma_1 &= 0, \\
\Sigma_3 &> 0, \\
\Sigma_4 &= 0. \\
\end{align*} \]

The Stability Analysis for the Case of Combination Resonances

In the present section, the approach of the non-linear stability can be used to study the mentioned dynamical system of the investigated problem. The frequency \( \sigma \) and the parameters of detuning \( \delta_1, \delta_2 \) play a significance role on the destabilization of the stability criteria. The stability diagrams have been drawn for the system Eq. (50) using various selected values of these parameters. The changes of the amplitudes \( b_1, b_2 \) via time are drawn for various parametric regions. The response of the frequency of them as a function of \( \delta_1 \) is plotted in Fig. 31, in which the different values of \( f_2 = 0.001, 0.0015, 0.002 \) have been taken into consideration. It can be seen that, there are two fixed points corresponding to every value of \( f_2 \). The stability and instability areas of these points are classified in Table 4 and described according to:

1. At \( f_2 = 0.001 \), the unstable fixed points have been explored in the range \(-1 \leq \delta_1 \leq 0.16 \) and the stable ones have been detected in the range of \( 0.16 < \delta_1 \leq 0.6 \), in which they are followed by unstable fixed points in the range \( 0.6 < \delta_1 \leq 1 \).
2. When \( f_2 = 0.0015 \), the unstable fixed points exist in the area \(-1 \leq \delta_1 \leq 0.31 \), while the stable points occur in the zone \( 0.31 < \delta_1 < 0.51 \) which are followed again with unstable fixed points in the range \( 0.51 < \delta_1 \leq 1 \).
3. At \( f_2 = 0.002 \), one found the unstable fixed points in the range \(-1 \leq \delta_1 \leq 0.1 \), while the stable ones lie in the range \( 0.1 < \delta_1 \leq 0.69 \), and latter it followed by the unstable fixed points in the zone \( 0.69 < \delta_1 \leq 1 \).

The variation of \( b_1 \) and \( b_2 \) via \( \delta_1 \) is displayed in Fig. 32 when \( f_2 \) has the values (0.001, 0.0015, 0.002). A closer look at this figure explores that we have only one critical fixed point for each value of \( f_2 \) as shown in Fig. 32. The domains of fixed points whether stable or not for \( f_2 \) values are classified in Table 4 which can be illustrated according to:

1. At \( f_2 = 0.001 \), the stability and instability regions are found in the domains \(-1 \leq \delta_2 \leq -0.18 \) and \(-0.18 < \delta_2 \leq 1 \), respectively.
2. When \( f_2 = 0.0015 \), the stable and unstable points are existed in the areas \(-1 \leq \delta_2 \leq -0.17 \) and \(-0.17 < \delta_2 \leq 1 \) respectively, whereas at \( f_2 = 0.002 \), the stable and unstable areas are \(-1 \leq \delta_2 \leq -0.14 \) and \(-0.14 < \delta_2 \leq 1 \), respectively.

On the other side, Fig. 33 represents the frequency responses curves of \( b_1 \) and \( b_2 \) as a function of \( \delta_1 \) when \( \omega \) takes the values (1.08, 1.11, 1.29). There are two critical fixed points corresponding to each value of \( \sigma \). The unstable and stable areas of fixed points are found in ranges \(-1 \leq \delta_2 \leq 0.1 \), \(-1 \leq \delta_2 \leq 0.16 \), \(-1 \leq \delta_2 \leq 0.31 \) and \( 0.1 < \delta_2 \leq 0.69 \), \( 0.16 < \delta_2 \leq 0.6 \), \( 0.31 < \delta_2 \leq 0.51 \) respectively, in which it is followed by unstable ranges \( 0.69 < \delta_2 \leq 1 \), \( 1.06 < \delta_2 \leq 1.051 \leq \delta_2 \leq 1 \).
\[ \frac{dq_1}{dr} + n_1 \left[ (\delta_1 + \delta_2) + \frac{B_1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{3}{4} B_1 + s_1 \right) + \frac{s_1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{4} s_1 \right) + \frac{N_1 N_2}{2(\sigma^2 - 1)} + \frac{1}{16} (n_1^2 + q_1^2) \right] \\
+ \frac{\sigma^2 N_2 n_1}{2(1 - \sigma^2)} (B_1 \sigma^2 - s_1 - B_2) = 0, \\
\frac{dn_1}{dr} + q_1 \left[ B_1 \left( \frac{3}{4} B_1 - s_1 - 1 \right) - (\delta_1 + \delta_2) + \frac{s_1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{4} s_1 \right) - \frac{N_1 N_2}{2(\sigma^2 - 1)} - \frac{1}{16} (q_1^2 + n_1^2) \right] \\
- \frac{\sigma^2 N_2 q_1}{2(1 - \sigma^2)} (B_1 \sigma^2 - s_1 - B_2) = 0, \]

(58)

According to the curves of Fig. 34 there exists one critical fixed point appropriate to each value of \( \sigma \). The stable and unstable ranges of fixed points are \(-1 \leq \delta_2 \leq -0.36, -1 \leq \delta_2 \leq -0.18, -1 \leq \delta_2 \leq -0.18, -1 \leq \delta_2 \leq -0.02, \) and \(-0.36 < \delta_2 < 1, -0.18 < \delta_2 < 1, -0.02 < \delta_2 < 1 \) respectively.

Tables 4 and 5 describe the relation between the critical, peaks fixed points of the different values \( f_2 \) and \( \sigma \).

The frequency responses can be seen in Figs. 35 and 36 when \( \delta_1 = -0.2 \) and \( \delta_2 = -0.3 \) respectively, in which they are drawn at \( \sigma = (1.08, 1.11, 1.29), f_1 = 0.002, m_1 = 6, \) and \( m_2 = 5 \). It is obvious from curves of Fig. 35 that there are no intersection of fixed points when \( \sigma = 1.08 \) and \( \sigma = 1.29 \), i.e. no common solutions, while at \( \sigma = 1.11 \) there are two different solutions. Whereas curves of Fig. 36 reflect that we have two unstable unique common fixed points of the solutions. It is observed that in the regions \(-1 \leq \delta_1 \leq 1 \) and \(-1 \leq \delta_2 \leq 1 \), there is a significant rise in the amplitudes of the steady-state solutions due to a high resonance among the frequencies.

Based on Fig. 37 and Routh–Hurwitz stability conditions, there exists six possible intersection points, four of them are unstable and the others two points (1.58528, -0.660867) and (1.60119, -0.675496) are stable. The stable and unstable points are marked with red and green colours, respectively.

Now, we will look at the properties of the non-linear amplitudes of system Eq. (50) and their stability according to the following transformation \[40, 41]\n
\[ \Lambda_1 (r_1, r_2) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \tilde{r}_1 (r_1, r_2) + i \bar{q}_1 (r_1, r_2) e^{i (\delta_1 + \delta_2) r_1} , \right. \]
\[ \Lambda_2 (r_1, r_2) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \tilde{r}_2 (r_1, r_2) + i \bar{q}_2 (r_1, r_2) e^{i \delta_2 r_1} . \right. \]

Substituting Eqs. (13), (57) into Eqs. (22), (47) and then separating the real and imaginary parts to obtain

The amended amplitudes were evaluated in various parameter regions over the specified time interval, and the amplitude characteristics were displayed in phase-plane curves as in Figs. 38 and 39 using the following data

\[ f_2 = 0.001, 0.0015, 0.002, \quad f_1 = 0.002, \quad \delta_1 = 0.11, \quad \delta_2 = 0.001, \quad \sigma = 1.11, \quad n_1(0) = 0.07, \quad q_1(0) = 0.06, \quad n_2(0) = 0.02, \quad q_2(0) = 0.02. \]

The impact of the various selected values of \( f_2 \) on the paths of \( n_1 \) and \( q_1 \) is drawn in Fig. 38. Quasi-periodic decelerating waves are portrayed in parts (a) and (b) of this figure, in which the number of oscillations increases to some extent with the increasing of \( f_2 \) values besides decreasing of the wavelengths. On the other hand, the depicted curves of Fig. 39 reflect the change of the modified phases \( n_2 \) and \( q_2 \) with time \( t \) when \( f_2 \) has the above values as in parts (a) and (b), respectively. The behaviors of the represented waves of \( n_2 \) and \( q_2 \) have periodic attitude, in which the amplitudes of these waves increase with the increasing of \( f_2 \) values. Moreover, the projections of the trajectories of the ME on the phase planes \( n_1 q_1 \) and \( n_2 q_2 \) are represented in parts (c) of Figs. 38 and 39. A closed symmetric trajectories curves are observed which confirm our prediction for the steady motion of the studied system.

**Conclusions**

A dynamical system with 2DOF double RBP is investigated as a novel model. The motion of its suspension point is restricted to be along a Lissajous curve in the presence of two subjected external harmonic moments. The general EOM are derived using the second kind of Lagrange’s equations and solved analytically up to the third-order of approximation using the MSM. The solvability conditions and the
ME have been obtained in light of the categorized resonance cases. Two mainly external resonances are examined together in addition to a combination case of both the internal and the external resonance. The numerical results of the EOM have been obtained using Runge–Kutta method from fourth-order to draw the solutions. It has been found that the numerical results agree with analytical ones which show the efficiency of the used perturbation method. Moreover, the time-dependent of the gained analytic solutions, besides both of the resonance curves, amplitudes and modified phases are plotted. The stability areas of the fixed points at the steady-state have been examined in accordance with the non-linear analysis approach of Routh–Hurwitz. The properties of the modified amplitude of the non-linear analysis of the external resonance cases are examined and discussed. This model is very distinctive for its use in many engineering applications, for example, the vibrational of the motion of the robot as a dynamic system and analysis the control aspects of flexible arm robotics, pumps compressors, rotor dynamics, transportation devices, shipboard cranes, and human or robotic walking analysis.
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