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Abstract—We review recent results [1–3] on string coupling selection rules for heterotic orbifolds, derived using conformal field theory. Such rules are the first step towards understanding the viability of the recently obtained compactifications with potentially realistic particle spectra. They arise from the properties of the worldsheet instantons that mediate the couplings, and include stringy effects that would seem “miraculous” to an effective field theory observer.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The last decade has seen the discovery of a rich landscape of explicit string compactifications with potentially realistic particle spectra. We now have to understand the dynamics of these constructions, starting with their moduli stabilization, decoupling of exotics, phenomenologically relevant couplings, and eventually addressing cosmology. Heterotic orbifold compactifications provide tractable global theories in which the low energy effective field theory (LEEFT) can in principle be computed, and dynamical issues can be addressed, explicitly. However, it is noteworthy that 25 years after the first string coupling computations [4, 5], we still only have a good understanding of trilinear superpotential couplings between massless ground states, moreover, in the absence of the discrete Wilson lines that are necessary for realistic particle spectra.

In this review, we describe recent progress in understanding L-point couplings between massless ground and excited states. Our focus is on contributions to the holomorphic super-potential, which can be directly inferred from string tree-level correlation functions. Couplings between twisted states, typically located at different fixed points in the orbifold geometry, are mediated by classical worldsheet instantons stretching between the participating strings. Properties of the worldsheet instantons lead to several stringy selection rules. One of these can be interpreted as an R-charge conservation law, and point out an important open question regarding its consistency.

2. INTERACTIONS ON ORBIFOLDS

We focus on $\mathbb{T}^6/\mathbb{Z}_N$ orbifolds, where the generator of the $\mathbb{Z}_N$ point group can be written as $\theta = \text{diag}(e^{2\pi i/\gamma_1}, e^{2\pi i/\gamma_2}, e^{2\pi i/\gamma_3})$, using complex coordinates for the torus. When compactifying the heterotic string on an orbifold, new twisted states emerge. For example, the internal space bosonic coordinates for states in the $k$th twisted sector close under the twisted boundary conditions ($i = 1, 2, 3$):

$$X^i(e^{2\pi i}, e^{2\pi i}) = (gX)^i(e^{2\pi i}, e^{2\pi i}) = (\theta^k X)^i(e^{2\pi i}, e^{2\pi i}) + \lambda^i,$$

with $g = (0^i, \lambda^i) \in S$ and $\lambda^i \in \Lambda$, for $S$ the space group $\mathbb{Z}_N \rtimes \Lambda$ and $\Lambda$ the torus lattice. Strings closed by $g$ and conjugate elements $(hgh^{-1}|h \in S)$ are physically equivalent. For orbifolds with non-prime ordered point groups, this implies that physical states may be linear combinations of states localized at different fixed points on the torus:

$$(|\psi\rangle = |\theta\rangle + e^{-2\pi i/\gamma}|\theta\rangle + \ldots + e^{-2\pi(\gamma - 1)/\gamma}|\theta\rangle),$$

with $l$ the smallest integer such that $\theta^l = f + \lambda$ and the $\gamma$-phase, fixed by the condition that the full heterotic string state be twist invariant.

The vertex operators describing the emission of a twisted field are given, in the limit of vanishing 4D momentum, by

$$V_a = e^{\phi} \prod_{i=1}^{3} \langle \bar{c} \mathcal{X}_i^\dagger \rangle \mathcal{N}_i \mathcal{N}_i^\dagger e^{\mathbf{Q}^a \cdot \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{X}^i} e^{\mathbf{Q}^a \cdot \mathbf{m}^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{X}^i} \sigma_{(k,f)},$$

\footnote{The article is published in the original.}
where \( a = -1, -1/2 \) for spacetime bosonic and fermionic fields respectively. Here \( X^i \) are the left-moving gauge degrees of freedom (carrying shifted gauge momentum \( p_{\text{sh}} \)), \( H^m \) represent the right-moving fermions after bosonization (carrying shifted \( H \)-momentum \( q_{\text{sh}}^m \)) and there are a number \( N^y_L, \overline{N}^y_L \) of left-moving bosonic oscillator excitations. The twist fields, \( \sigma^{(k,\nu)}_{(k,\nu)} \), implement the non-trivial boundary conditions of twisted states, and may be decomposed into a number of auxiliary twist fields in analogy to (2):

\[
\sigma^{(k,\nu)}_{(k,\nu)} = \sum_{r=0}^{l-1} e^{-2\pi \nu i r} \sigma^{(k,\nu)}_{(k,\nu)},
\]

where from now on \( k, \nu \) refer to the total number of oscillators. By using (4), \( \Phi \) can be decomposed into a sum of auxiliary correlation functions, weighted by the \( \gamma \)-phases.

### 3. Worldsheet Instanton Selection Rules

To make further progress, we split the bosonic coordinates into their classical instanton solutions to the equation of motion, \( \phi = 0 \), and their quantum fluctuations: \( X^i(z, \bar{z}) = X^i(z, \bar{z}) + X^i_q(z, \bar{z}) \) and in the path integral we sum over all instantons. For 3-point couplings (\( \alpha = 1, 2, 3 \); see [1] for L-point couplings), they are given by:

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial X^i(z) &= \prod_{\alpha=1}^{3} \alpha^i(z - z_\alpha)^{k^i_{\alpha} - 1}, \\
\partial \bar{X}^i(z) &= \prod_{\alpha=1}^{3} \bar{b}^i(z - z_\alpha)^{-k^i_{\alpha}},
\end{align*}
\]

where the functional form was determined by the local monodromy conditions, whereas the constant coefficients, \( a^i, b^i \), are determined by the global monodromy conditions to be proportional to torus coset lattice vectors, \( v = (g_2 - f_1 + (1 - \theta^i + k^i) (1 - \theta^i k^i)^{-1} \lambda) \).

Also, we have defined \( k^i_{\alpha} = k^i_{\alpha} \mod 1 \), such that \( 0 < k^i_{\alpha} \leq 1 \) (\( 0 \leq k^i_{\alpha} < 1 \)) in the first (second) equation of (7).

Depending on the twisted sectors involved, it may be the only way to satisfy the local monodromy conditions with a convergent classical action, is with a vanishing solution. Meanwhile, if the fixed points involved in two instanton solutions are related by the orbifold twist, then so will be the coefficients \( a^i, b^i \). Consequently, symmetries in the orbifold geometry are observed in the set of classical solutions. We must also consider the quantum part of the correlation function. There, the basic OPEs of the theory imply that \((\partial X^i_q \bar{b}^j) (\partial X^j_q \bar{b}^k) \sigma \sigma = 0 \) unless \( s = t + u \).

Note also that, as far as quantum properties go, the auxiliary twist fields \( \sigma^{(k,\nu)} \) with various \( \nu \) are indistinguishable. By plugging these results into the correlation function and performing some elementary manipulations, we find that the correlation functions vanish unless certain conditions are satisfied. For factorizable orbifolds we have (see [2] for non-factorizable orbifolds):

**Forbidden instanton selection rule (rule 5):** Applies when twisted sectors are such that there are no non-trivial worldsheet instantons [1]. Non-trivial holomorphic (anti-holomorphic) instantons exist if and only if \( 1 + \sum_{\alpha} (-1 + k^i_{\alpha}) < 0 \) \( (1 + \sum_{\alpha} (-k^i_{\alpha}) < 0 \). If no instantons are allowed, then we require \( N^{\nu L} = N^{\nu L} + N^{\nu R} \) if only holomorphic instantons are
allowed, then $\mathcal{N}_L^i \geq \mathcal{N}_R^i$. If instead only anti-holomorphic instants are allowed, then $\mathcal{N}_L^i \leq \mathcal{N}_L^i + \mathcal{N}_R^i$.

**R-charge conservation:** Due to symmetries relating fixed points, generated by $\theta_j$ for planes $j$ with prime ordered twists, and $\prod_{i \neq j} \theta_i$ for non-prime planes $i \neq j$ [2]. These imply respectively $\mathcal{N}_L^i - \mathcal{N}_L^i - \mathcal{N}_R^i = 0 \mod N'$ and $\sum_{i \neq j} N' \mathcal{N}_L^i - \mathcal{N}_R^i) - N \sum \gamma_\alpha = 0 \mod N$.

**Coset vector selection rule (rule 6):** For couplings with $K < N$, for $K$ the lowest common multiple of the twisted sectors (or their conjugates), instants enjoy a further symmetry generated by $\theta_K$, leading to $\mathcal{N}_L^i - \mathcal{N}_L^i - \mathcal{N}_R^i = 0 \mod N'K$ [3].

**Torus lattice selection rule (rule 4):** When all twisted sectors are at the same fixed point in plane $j$ for every auxiliary coupling, the symmetry is enhanced from the point group to that of the full torus lattice (order $M'$), and we have $\mathcal{N}_L^i - \mathcal{N}_L^i - \mathcal{N}_R^i = 0 \mod M'$ [6, 1].

Notice that, because rules 4 and 6 depend on the relative properties of the states that are coupling, they cannot be interpreted in terms of symmetries in the LEEFT. Rule 5 also appears to evade field theoretic interpretation; due to the dependence on the right-moving oscillators, it is difficult to assign meaningful, picture-independent charges to the states that are coupling. Therefore, among the worldsheet instanton selection rules, only the R-charge conservation law admits an interpretation as a symmetry within the LEEFT.

4. MORE ON R-CHARGE CONSERVATION LAWS

Above, we described the derivation of an R-charge conservation law by explicit computation of vanishing correlation functions. One can also derive R-charge conservation laws by studying directly how the twisted states transform under the remnants of the 10D Lorentz symmetries surviving the orbifold compactification [7, 3]. In this way, we can also study models that include discrete Wilson lines, for whom explicit correlation functions are not yet understood. Moreover, one should obtain the conservation law directly for the physical couplings, not necessarily superpotential ones. E.g. consider rotations $\varrho$ of the torus lattice, which leave the fixed-points on the orbifold invariant ($\theta_j$ and $\prod_{i \neq j} \theta_i$ above; see [3] for more general orbifold isometries leading to new R-symmetries). By definition, given a $g \in S$, $\varrho(g)$ is conjugate to $g$, and hence there exists a space group element $h_g$ easily found, such that

$$\varrho(g) = h_g g h_g^{-1}.$$  (8)

Using (8) it is straightforward to derive the corresponding R-charges. The result is $\mathcal{N}_L^i - \mathcal{N}_L^i - \mathcal{N}_R^i = 0 \mod N'$ for prime planes, and $\sum_{i \neq j} N' \mathcal{N}_L^i - \mathcal{N}_R^i) - N \sum \gamma_\alpha = 0 \mod N$ for non-prime planes [3]. Surprisingly, although the origin of both our R-symmetries lies in the orbifold geometry, their R-charges are different.

We can compute the anomalies for both R-symmetries. Discrete symmetries are global, but if they arise as remnants of some spontaneously broken gauge symmetry like Lorentz invariance, they should be anomaly free. In heterotic orbifolds, anomalies are generally expected to be universal if they are to be cancelled via the Green-Schwarz mechanism (an exception is discrete target space modular invariance whose anomalies are partially cancelled by threshold corrections). Beautifully, the R-symmetries derived by directly computing R-charges [3] turn out to have universal anomaly coefficients. In contrast, the R-symmetries derived by computing explicitly vanishing correlation functions [2] have non-universal anomalies, suggesting that they might be stringy zeroes that are not observed in the final physical couplings of the full quantum LEEFT. It remains an essential task to verify the universally anomalous R-symmetry by explicit computation of string couplings, and also to identify the physics behind the discrepancy in the two R-charge conservation laws. Another important issue is that in order to identify allowed couplings in the final LEEFT, including e.g. effective holomorphic couplings after moduli stabilization, we must also understand the allowed couplings in the Kahler potential.
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