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Context: Endocrine-metabolic disease (EMD) risk following spinal cord injury (SCI) is associated with significant multi-morbidity (i.e. fracture, diabetes, heart disease), mortality, and economic burden. It is unclear to what extent rehabilitation interventions can modify EMD risk and improve health status in community-dwelling adults with chronic SCI.

Objectives: To characterize rehabilitation interventions and summarize evidence on their efficacy/effectiveness to modify precursors to EMD risk in community-dwelling adults with chronic SCI.

Methods: Systematic searches of MEDLINE PubMed, EMBASE Ovid, CINAHL, CDSR, and PsychInfo were completed. All randomized, quasi-experimental, and prospective controlled trials comparing rehabilitation/therapeutic interventions with control/placebo interventions in adults with chronic SCI were eligible. Two authors independently selected studies and abstracted data. Mean differences of change from baseline were reported for EMD risk outcomes. The GRADE approach was used to rate the quality of evidence.

Results: Of 489 articles identified, 16 articles (11 studies; n=396) were eligible for inclusion. No studies assessed the effects of rehabilitation interventions on incident fragility fractures, heart disease, and/or diabetes. Individual studies reported that exercise and/or nutrition interventions could improve anthropometric indices, body composition/adiposity, and biomarkers. However, there were also reports of non-statistically significant between-group differences.

Conclusions: There was very low-quality evidence that rehabilitation interventions can improve precursors to EMD risk in community-dwelling adults with chronic SCI. The small number of studies, imprecise estimates, and inconsistency across studies limited our ability to make conclusions. A high-quality longitudinal intervention trial is needed to inform community-based rehabilitation strategies for EMD risk after chronic SCI.
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Introduction

Endocrine-metabolic disease (EMD) risk is associated with adverse changes in body composition and cardiometabolic biomarkers in individuals with spinal cord injury (SCI). These changes often lead to multi-morbidity, specifically; fracture, diabetes and heart disease, in the chronic phase after injury (≥ 2 years). Significant bone and muscle losses¹-⁴ alongside increases in fat mass and inflammatory stress⁵ in the first 3–6 months following...
motor-complete SCI are linked to an increased risk of sublesional osteoporosis and lower-extremity fragility fracture. Lower-extremity fracture risk among individuals with chronic SCI is estimated to be ~25%–46%, which is higher than 10-year fracture risk estimations in the general population. Individuals with SCI may also have a clustering of cardiometabolic risk factors, including but not limited to hyperlipidemia, reduced high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), insulin resistance, hypertension, and elevated C-reactive protein (CRP). Myocardial infarction, type II diabetes, stroke, and cardiac death have been shown to occur in individuals with SCI years before their age-matched non-SCI peers, without major differences in life expectancy. Declines in areal bone mineral density (BMD) occur rapidly at the hip, distal femur and proximal tibia between 1.1% to 47% within the first year and up to 73% by 24–84 months post-SCI. Reductions in trabecular and cortical volumetric BMD at the tibia occur progressively and persist for more than three years following SCI, compromising bone structure and increasing the annual risk of fragility fracture. Hip and knee region areal BMD are indicators of fracture risk, such that every one standard deviation (SD) decrease in femoral neck and distal femur areal BMD below the young adult mean is associated with up to two times greater risk of fracture. Additionally, declines in muscle cross-sectional area (CSA) (~50% decrease), preferential atrophy of type I fibers, and increased fatty infiltration of muscle contribute to mitochondrial deficiency and sarcopenic obesity after SCI. Excessive visceral adipose tissue (VAT) is a known risk factor for insulin resistance, glucose intolerance, and cardiovascular disease, and is linked with all-cause mortality. Thus, rehabilitation interventions that specifically target improvements in BMD and bone turnover, and reduction in VAT and intramuscular fat infiltration may mitigate risk progression for fragility fractures, diabetes, and heart disease in individuals with chronic SCI.

Rehabilitation interventions after SCI typically involve neuromuscular stimulation and weight-bearing exercise along with nutritional modification to attenuate muscle and bone loss and cardiometabolic disease risk. Neuromuscular electrical stimulation (NMES) elicits muscle contractions in cyclic patterns at the lower-extremity (e.g. quadriceps, hamstrings, glutei) followed by a functional electrical stimulation (FES) training regimen, such as walking, cycling, or rowing. Passive or active weight-bearing activity (e.g. tilt-table, standing frame, robotic walking, body-weight supported treadmill training (BWSTT) and whole-body vibration) have shown promising results for attenuating muscle atrophy, reducing fat mass, and improving BMD after SCI. Aerobic exercise training has been evaluated for efficacy/effectiveness to modify aerobic capacity and fitness, muscular performance, and to a lesser extent, cardiometabolic health. Modification of dietary intake is necessary for weight loss in individuals with SCI, due to the high prevalence of obesity and related secondary complications. Nutrition intervention may also be needed to address nutrient/vitamin deficiencies, with important implications for health status and chronic disease prevention. However, community-based rehabilitation interventions with the potential to modify the morbidity and mortality associated with EMD risk in the chronic phase of SCI remain elusive, with limited data and consensus on efficacy/effectiveness to improve outcomes of interest.

Few studies have focused on evaluating community-based strategies to combat modifiable precursors to EMD risk in individuals with chronic SCI, versus a larger body of evidence that has linked acute and subacute inpatient rehabilitation to functional recovery and neurorepair. It is unclear to what extent improving EMD risk translates to reductions in risk for fragility fractures, heart disease, and diabetes; in turn, these conditions impact functional capacity, social participation, and life satisfaction in community-dwelling adults living and aging with chronic SCI. Thus, there is an urgent need to identify inter-professional community-based rehabilitation solutions to reduce EMD risk expression. The objectives of this systematic review and scoping perspective were to characterize rehabilitation interventions and summarize evidence on their efficacy/effectiveness to modify precursors to EMD risk in community-dwelling adults with chronic SCI.

Methods
We used the framework proposed by Arksey and O’Malley to guide the methodology for Research Question 1. We used a systematic review approach in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) Statement to guide the methodology for Research Question 2. The research questions and outcomes of interest are specified in Table 1. The RIISC team met in December 2015 (Toronto, ON, Canada) and February 2016 (Montreal, QC, Canada) and defined the key concepts, target population, interventions, and outcomes of interest, that are reflected in the two research questions.
The RIISC team identified the need to evaluate rehabilitation interventions with high-level evidence to prevent or treat modifiable precursors to EMD risk in community-dwelling adults with chronic SCI.

Study eligibility criteria for this review
Types of studies
Articles published in the peer-reviewed, scientific literature in English or in French in a full-text version in the year 2000 or later were considered for inclusion in this review. We excluded literature published before the year 2000 to identify the most applicable and best level of evidence related to rehabilitation interventions to reduce EMD risk after chronic SCI. Experimental randomized controlled trials (RCTs), quasi-experimental/non-randomized or prospective controlled trials or cohort studies using at least two groups with one exposed to a condition (Spinal Cord Injury Rehabilitation Evidence (SCIRE)- Levels 1 and 2) were included.32 All other study designs or types of articles, i.e. longitudinal single-group trials, prospective or cross-sectional observational studies, case studies, case series (N<5 subjects), clinical commentaries, reviews, editorials, interviews, lectures, legal cases, letters, newspaper articles, patient education handouts, or unpublished literature, were excluded.

Types of study participants
To be eligible for inclusion in the review, study participants must have been adults (18 years and older) with chronic SCI (a mean duration of injury ≥12 months), and resided in the community defined as a community-based independent living model typically after discharge from an institutionally-based physical restoration model. We included studies involving men and/or women with traumatic or non-traumatic SCI (American Spinal Injury Association Impairment Scale (AIS) A-D equivalent), and individuals whom were able or not able to ambulate.

Types of study interventions
Eligible rehabilitation interventions for EMD risk included: physical or occupational therapy; exercise or physical activity; mobility, transfer, or falls prevention training; NMES or FES; vibration; robotics/exoskeleton devices; nutritional prescription; and dietary supplementation, specifically, calcium, vitamin D, omega 3 fatty acid, alpha-lipoic acid or coenzyme Q10. Studies must have evaluated the efficacy/effectiveness of the intervention to modify precursors to EMD risk (i.e. fracture, diabetes, heart disease). Rehabilitation was defined in accordance with the Canadian SCI rehabilitation programs,32,33 SCI US Model System rehabilitation programs, 34 and the World Health Organization.35

Types of outcome measures related to EMD risk
Eligible studies evaluated the effects of a rehabilitation or therapeutic intervention on EMD risk outcomes in adults with chronic SCI (Table 2). Primary outcomes included incident fragility fractures, diabetes mellitus, heart failure, myocardial infarction, hypertension, and adverse events related and unrelated to the intervention. Secondary outcomes included BMD, bone turnover markers, body composition/adiposity, bone microarchitecture and bone geometry, skeletal muscle and fat CSA, mass, or density, muscle fiber type composition, biomarkers of lipid and carbohydrate metabolism, diastolic and systolic blood pressure (DBP, SBP), anthropometric measures, and inflammatory, antioxidant, and other endocrine biomarkers.
Table 2. Primary and secondary outcomes of interest related to endocrine-metabolic disease risk (EMD).

| Outcomes of interest | Primary outcomes |
|----------------------|------------------|
|                      | 1. Incident fragility fractures of the hip, vertebra or other sites confirmed by X-ray; |
|                      | 2. Incident diabetes mellitus was defined as self-report of physician-diagnosed diabetes, recent diabetes medication use, a blood glucose level of $\geq 126$ mg/dL fasting, or a blood glucose level of $\geq 200$ mg/dL non-fasting; |
|                      | 3. Incident HF defined as the first occurrence of either (1) a hospitalization that included an International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) discharge diagnosis code for HF beginning with “428” or (2) a death certificate ICD-9 code beginning with “428” HF or ICD-10 code “I50” in any position; |
|                      | 4. Incident MI or coronary heart disease defined as self-report of physician-diagnosed MI or silent MI identified by electrocardiography, coronary revascularization, or coronary artery bypass surgery; |
|                      | 5. Incident hypertension was defined by either a DBP $\geq 90$ mmHg or a SBP $\geq 140$ mmHg measured with random-zero mercury manometers, or recent antihypertensive medication use; |
|                      | 6. Adverse events related and unrelated to the intervention |

| Secondary outcomes |
|---------------------|
| 1. BMD of lumbar spine, hip, or knee via DXA; |
| 2. Bone turnover markers using urinary/blood analysis; |
| 3. Body composition/adiposity of whole body, trunk, and limbs using DXA, bioelectrical impedance analysis, or magnetic resonance imaging; |
| 4. Bone microarchitecture and bone geometry of the radius or tibia using pQCT; |
| 5. Skeletal muscle and fat CSA, mass, or density of the legs using pQCT; |
| 6. Muscle fiber type composition via muscle biopsy; |
| 7. Lipid and carbohydrate metabolism biomarkers using blood sample analysis, including, but not limited to, triglycerides, cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol, fasting insulin and glucose, and homeostatic model assessment; |
| 8. DBP and SBP measured at rest with random-zero mercury manometers; |
| 9. Anthropometric measures of body weight, body mass index, or site circumference/girth (e.g., waist, thigh); |
| 10. Inflammatory, antioxidant, and other endocrine biomarkers using blood analysis. |

Note: HF = Heart failure; MI = Myocardial infarction; BMD = Bone mineral density; DXA = Dual energy X-ray absorptiometry; pQCT = Peripheral quantitative computed tomography; DBP = Diastolic blood pressure; SBP = Systolic blood pressure.

Electronic search for identification of studies

Systematic searches for peer-reviewed articles were conducted in the following licensed databases: MEDLINE PubMed, EMBASE Ovid, CINAHL, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews/Cochrane Clinical Trials Registry, and PsychInfo. All searches were conducted from database inception to May 16, 2016. The searches were limited to full-text articles in English and French. The search strategies used text and indexing terms to capture the key concepts: SCI, rehabilitation or therapeutic interventions, and outcomes related to precursors to EMD (i.e. fractures, diabetes, heart disease) (Supplementary Table 1). Concepts were combined using the Boolean Operator AND, and the search terms within each concept were combined with OR. Keywords were searched using truncation and phrase symbols when appropriate to ensure precise and comprehensive results. One final search strategy was used for each database.

Data collection and analysis

Selection of studies

Two team members (JG, AB, TC or other RIISC team members) independently reviewed the title, abstract, and descriptors of each identified citation and applied the eligibility criteria during Level 1 screening. If there was insufficient information to make an informed decision, the full-text article was retrieved and further screened for inclusion at Level 2. Two team members (JG, JA, or RE) then independently assessed all full-text articles for inclusion by applying the eligibility criteria again. Following Level 2 screening, disagreement was resolved through consensus or third-party adjudication. All abstract and full-text screening was performed using the Covidence online systematic review platform (Veritas Health Innovation Ltd, Australia, 2016).

Data extraction/management

Data were independently abstracted from each of the included studies by two team members (JG, AB, JA, TC, RE, or other RIISC team members) using the registered Covidence online systematic review platform (Veritas Health Innovation Ltd, Australia, 2016) (Table 3). Risk of bias was assessed independently by two review authors (JG, AB, JA, TC, RE, or other RIISC team members). The Cochrane Collaboration’s Risk of Bias tool was used to evaluate each study. Each study was reviewed for the presence or absence of each criterion, and coded for risk of bias as low, unclear/uncertain or high risk. Disagreements regarding abstraction and risk of bias were resolved by consensus or third-party adjudication.
Data analysis
Mean differences and SD values were reported for continuous outcomes. Risk ratios and the corresponding 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) would have been reported for binary outcomes, but there were none to report. Study results were grouped by type of intervention (exercise or nutrition). \( \chi^2 \) test and \( I^2 \) statistic would have been used to quantify any unexplained heterogeneity, where an \( I^2 \) of less than 25% was considered low heterogeneity, an \( I^2 \) of 25 to 50% was considered moderate heterogeneity and an \( I^2 \) greater than 50% was considered high heterogeneity. However, there was an insufficient number of studies to pool results of rehabilitation intervention with comparable outcomes using a random-effects approach (95% CI). In almost all cases, data were not pooled because of the heterogeneity across studies, the low number of studies, or the lack of available data within the selected articles. The GRADE approach was used to rate the quality of the body of evidence for each outcome of importance.

Results
The search identified 9,623 records following removal of duplicates and articles published before 2000 (Fig. 1). After reviewing the titles and abstracts, 489 full-text articles were retrieved. Full-text screening identified 16 articles for inclusion in our synthesis.

Included studies
Sixteen full-text English articles (11 studies) with a total of 396 participants were eligible for inclusion. Of these eleven studies, four exercise studies and three nutrition studies included women. Four studies were from North America, two studies were from Europe, four studies were from Asia, and one study was from South America. Low risk of bias was found across most domains for 9 articles, and unclear/high risk of

Table 3. Data extraction for research questions.

| Research questions                  | Data to be extracted                                      |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| Descriptive information             | • Title and date of study                                  |
|                                     | • Authors of study                                         |
|                                     | • Location of study (country)                              |
|                                     | • Description of participants (age, sex, duration of injury, injury severity, injury level, ambulatory status) |
|                                     | • Study design                                             |
|                                     | • Eligibility criteria (inclusion/exclusion)               |
|                                     | • Description of intervention                              |
|                                     | • Frequency                                               |
|                                     | • Intensity                                               |
|                                     | • Time                                                    |
|                                     | • Duration of follow-up                                   |
|                                     | • Type                                                    |
|                                     | • Supervision                                             |
|                                     | • Setting                                                 |
|                                     | • Adherence                                               |
| Research question 1: interventions  | • Description of comparator (if applicable)               |
|                                     | • Outcomes from studies                                    |
|                                     | • Description of data source                              |
|                                     | • Evidence of efficacy/effectiveness (e.g., mean difference, percent change) |
| Research question 2: efficacy/effectiveness |                             |
|                                     | • Outcomes from studies                                    |
|                                     | • Description of data source                              |
|                                     | • Evidence of efficacy/effectiveness (e.g., mean difference, percent change) |

Figure 1. PRISMA study flow diagram.
Bias was found across most domains for 7 articles (Tables 4 and 5).

Excluded studies
Four hundred and seventy-three full-text journal articles identified during the search were not included in the review. The majority were excluded because they did not measure outcomes relevant to EMD risk (n = 171) or were not an RCT or quasi/non-RCT (n = 122). Six articles were study protocols; 47 articles were literature reviews; and 47 articles were systematic reviews. Seven abstracts/conference proceedings were excluded. Twelve articles did not focus on an eligible intervention. Thirty-three articles studied individuals with SCI (< 1 year post-injury) of whom were participating in an inpatient tertiary rehabilitation. Four articles were neither English nor French.

Study participant characteristics
All studies had chronic SCI (≥ 1 year post-injury) as an inclusion criterion, but the mean duration of injury (years) varied across studies. One study each included participants with mean duration of injury between 1-2 years38,39 and 2-5 years43,44. Five and four studies included participants with a mean duration of injury between 5-10 years41,42,47-49 and >10 years, respectively.41,42,47-49 Three studies were in individuals with complete SCI only,43,44,46,50-52 two studies were in motor complete SCI only,38,39,45 one study was in incomplete SCI only,37 and five studies were in both complete and incomplete SCI.40-42,47-49 Four studies had injury level as an inclusion criterion: cervical only,50-52 cervical/thoracic only,37 thoracic/lumbar only43,44 or cervical/thoracic/lumbar.38,39 Seven studies did not specify injury level as an inclusion criterion.40-42,45-49 Two studies stated individuals must have the ability to ambulate;37,50-52 whereas, two studies included only individuals whom were wheelchair-dependent.38,39,42 Studies primarily included men (336/396 participants).38,39,43,44,46,50-52 However, seven studies included women, but to a lesser extent.

Table 4. Summary of risk of bias from randomized or quasi/non-randomized controlled trials of exercise/physical activity interventions to modify EMD risk in community-dwelling adults with chronic SCI.

| First Author, Year | Sequence generation | Allocation concealment | Blinding of participants and personnel | Blinding of outcome assessment | Incomplete outcome data | Selective reporting |
|--------------------|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Bakkum 2015        | Low                 | Low                    | High                                   | Low                         | High                   | Low                 |
| de Abreu 2006      | High                | High                   | High                                   | Unclear                     | Low                    | Low                 |
| de Abreu 2008      | High                | High                   | High                                   | Unclear                     | Low                    | Unclear             |
| de Abreu 2009      | High                | High                   | High                                   | Unclear                     | Low                    | Low                 |
| Giangregorio 2012  | Low                 | Low                    | High                                   | Low                         | High                   | Low                 |
| Gorgey 2012        | Low                 | Unclear                | High                                   | Unclear                     | Low                    | Low                 |
| Gorgey 2013        | Low                 | Unclear                | High                                   | Unclear                     | Low                    | Low                 |
| Kim 2015           | Low                 | Low                    | High                                   | Unclear                     | Low                    | Low                 |
| Ordoniz 2013       | Unclear             | Low                    | High                                   | Unclear                     | Unclear                | Low                 |
| Rosety-Rodriguez 2014 | Unclear             | Low                    | High                                   | Unclear                     | Unclear                | Low                 |
| Totosy de Zepetnek 2015 | Low                 | Low                    | High                                   | Unclear                     | Low                    | Low                 |

Note: EMD = Endocrine-metabolic disease; SCI = Spinal cord injury.

Table 5. Summary of risk of bias from randomized or quasi/non-randomized controlled trials of nutrition interventions to modify EMD risk in community-dwelling adults with chronic SCI.

| First Author, Year | Sequence generation | Allocation concealment | Blinding of participants & personnel | Blinding of outcome assessment | Incomplete outcome data | Selective reporting |
|--------------------|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Allison 2015       | Low                 | Low                    | High                                   | Unclear                     | Low                    | Low                 |
| Mohammadi 2015     | Low                 | Low                    | Low                                    | Unclear                     | Low                    | Low                 |
| Sabour 2015         | Low                 | Unclear                | Low                                    | Unclear                     | Low                    | Unclear             |
| Sabour 2015         | Low                 | Unclear                | Unclear                                | Unclear                     | Low                    | Unclear             |
| Sabour 2012         | Low                 | Unclear                | Unclear                                | Unclear                     | Low                    | Unclear             |

Note: EMD = Endocrine-metabolic disease; SCI = Spinal cord injury.
(60/396 participants). Five studies specified that the SCI must be of traumatic causes. Detailed participant characteristics and eligibility criteria are provided in Tables 6 and 7 and Supplementary Tables 2 and 3.

Research Question 1: Study Interventions
There was considerable diversity in the frequency, intensity, time, type, length, setting, and co-interventions of the rehabilitation interventions, and comparator groups (Tables 6 and 7, Supplementary Tables 2 and 3).

Exercise Interventions
Seven studies involved an exercise intervention with reported exercise frequency of 2 times/week or 3 times/week. All seven exercise studies reported a specific intensity based on rating of heart rate, perceived exertion, or 1-repetition maximum. Exercise interventions were of a short or moderate length: 4–12 weeks and 16–24 weeks, with no intervention >24 weeks in length. All exercise studies were delivered in an outpatient rehabilitation facility, clinical research setting, or community centre. Study supervision varied with one study each by a physiotherapist, trainer/exercise instructor, kinesiologist, and research staff. Three studies did not clarify the type and level of supervision. Two studies reported adherence data, wherein 88% and 98% of the 16-week intervention sessions were completed. Three studies reported 100% adherence. However, no data were provided in those studies, and the interventions were ≤16 weeks in length. One study did not report their adherence data, and stated that only participants whom attended 90% of intervention sessions were eligible for study inclusion, while another failed to report adherence altogether.

Nutrition Interventions
Four studies focused on a nutrition intervention or supplementation. One study involved an anti-inflammatory diet, excluding foods with high glycemic indices, and provision of daily supplements with anti-inflammatory benefits (e.g. omega-3, chlorella, coenzyme-Q10) and vegetable-based protein powder. One study involved alpha-lipoic acid supplementation. Two studies involved omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid supplementation. All nutrition interventions were held in a clinical research environment or community centre. Only one intervention reported supervision by a dietician. One study reported 89% adherence (70–100%) to their 12-week anti-inflammatory intervention. One study reported 80% adherence to omega-3 supplementation over 16 weeks; whereas, another study did not report adherence to 14-month omega-3 supplementation. One study excluded participants if their adherence was <80% over 14-month alpha lipoic acid supplementation.

Research Question 2a: Outcomes
The outcomes and associated measures evaluated from the included studies, and their duration of follow-up after baseline assessment are reported in Tables 6 and 7, and in Supplementary Tables 2 and 3.

No studies measured incident fragility fractures, heart disease, and/or diabetes. Four studies reported on adverse events. Limited data on BMD and bone turnover markers were identified, with only one study reporting on lumbar (L1-L4) spine, proximal femur, and total hip BMD via DXA and bone turnover markers via serum and urinary assays. No studies reported on bone microarchitecture and bone geometry measured by peripheral quantitative computed tomography (pQCT). Body composition, muscle CSA, and adiposity data were more frequently reported; yet, the measures (DXA n = 4 studies; pQCT, n = 1 study; BIA, n = 1 study; or MRI, n = 2 studies), sites (whole body versus regional), and outcome units (absolute versus percentages) varied across studies. No studies measured muscle fiber type composition.

Lipid profiles, glucose metabolism/insulin resistance, waist circumference, and BMI were the most frequently reported cardiometabolic risk outcomes. Resting SBP and DBP, body weight, and anthropometry index were reported on to a lesser extent. Five studies measured inflammatory markers via blood serum analysis. Two studies measured plasma leptin and adiponectin.

Individual studies measured endocrine-metabolic biomarkers, including: glycated hemoglobin (Hb1ac) and plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1), malondialdehyde as a marker of lipid peroxidation, plasmatic carbonyl group level as a marker of protein metabolism, erythrocyte GPX activity, total antioxidant status, follicular stimulating hormone, luteinizing hormone, testosterone, estradiol, amino acids (tryptophan, phenylalanine, tyrosine) and branched-chain amino acids (valine, leucine, isoleucine) via blood serum analysis.
Table 6. Summary of results from randomized or quasi/non-randomized controlled trials of exercise/physical activity interventions to modify EMD risk in community-dwelling adults with chronic SCI.

| Author, Year, Design | Methods | Results |
|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Bakkum 201542 RCT Netherlands | Participants: 18 men and 1 woman, age: 28-65 years with chronic SCI (≥10 years post-injury), wheelchair dependent  
Intervention: Hybrid FES cycling (n=9), 2 d/wk (18-32 min/session), 16 weeks  
Comparator: Hand cycling (n=10), 2 d/wk (18-32 min/session), 16 weeks  
Outcomes: WC; BP via manometer; lipid and carbohydrate metabolism, and inflammatory markers via blood analysis; visceral adiposity via DXA  
Time-points: Baseline and 16 weeks | No statistically significant group x time interactions for WC, BP, trunk and android fat, metabolic/inflammatory markers |
| de Abreu 200650 Non-randomized controlled trial Brazil | Participants: 21 men, mean±SD age 32±8 yr with chronic complete tetraplegia  
Intervention: NMES-30-50% BWS TST (n=8), 2 d/wk, 20 min/session, 24 weeks  
Comparator: Conventional physical therapy (n=20), 2 d/wk, 24 weeks  
Outcomes: Bone turnover markers via serum and urinary assay; lumbar spine, proximal femur, total femur BMD via DXA  
Time-points: Baseline and 24 weeks | Intervention: 82% ↑ bone formation; 67% ↓ bone resorption |
| de Abreu 200851 Non-randomized controlled trial Brazil | Participants: 15 men, mean±SD age 32±8 yr with chronic complete tetraplegia  
Intervention: NMES-30-50% BWSTT (n=8), 2 d/wk, 20 min/session, 24 weeks  
Comparator: Conventional physical therapy (n=20), 2 d/wk, 24 weeks  
Outcomes: Quadriceps CSA via MRI  
Time-points: Baseline and 24 weeks | Intervention: Quad CSA ↑15% (Δ=7.5 cm²)  
Control: No Δ quad CSA |
| de Abreu 200952 Non-randomized controlled trial Brazil | Participants: 15 men, mean±SD age 32±8 yr with chronic complete tetraplegia  
Intervention: NMES-30-50% BWSTT (n=8), 2 d/wk, 20 min/session, 24 weeks  
Comparator: Conventional physical therapy (n=20), 2 d/wk, 24 weeks; followed by 30-50% BWSTT (without NMES), 24 weeks  
Outcomes: Quadriceps CSA via MRI  
Time-points: Baseline and 24 weeks | Intervention: Quad CSA ↑15%  
Control group 1: No Δ quad CSA  
Control group 2: No Δ quad CSA |
| Giangregorio 201237 RCT Canada | Participants: 15 men, mean±SD age 55±15 yr with chronic traumatic, incomplete SCI (mean±SD DO 10±10 yr, C2-T2)  
Intervention: FES-BWSTT (n=17), 3 d/wk, 45 min/session for 16 weeks  
Comparator: Active control (n=17), tailored progressive exercise program 3 times/week, 45 min/session for 16 weeks  
Outcomes: Calf muscle and fat CSA via pQCT; total body, leg fat and lean mass via DXA  
Time-points: Baseline, 6 months, 12 months (controls only) | Statistically significant between-group difference for change in calf muscle CSA (MD=348 mm²) |
| Gorgey 201239 Pilot RCT United States | Participants: 9 adult men, age: 18-50 yr with chronic traumatic motor complete SCI (>1yr post-injury, C5-L1), wheelchair reliant  
Intervention: NMES-assisted lower-extremity resistance training, 2 d/wk + diet (45% carbohydrates, 30% fat, 25% protein) (n=5) for 12 weeks  
Comparator: Diet (45% carbohydrates, 30% fat, 25% protein) (n=4) for 12 weeks  
Outcomes: Anthropometric outcomes; thigh, knee muscle CSA, thigh, trunk SAT CSA; trunk VAT CSA, intramuscular fat via MRI; total, trunk, leg fat and fat-free mass via DXA; lipid and carbohydrate metabolic markers via blood analysis  
Time-points: Baseline and 12 weeks | Statistically significant between-group differences for change in thigh (MD=20 cm²), knee extensor (MD=10 cm²) and flexor muscle CSA (MD=3 cm²), leg fat-free mass (MD=1 kg), leg fat mass (MD=7%), IMF (MD=16%), triglycerides (MD=67 mg/dL), C/HDL-C ratio (MD=1.1)  
No significant between-group differences for weight, BMI, adiposity, and body composition (whole body, trunk) |
| Study | Participants | Intervention | Comparator | Outcomes | Time-points | Notes |
|-------|--------------|--------------|------------|----------|-------------|-------|
| Gorgey 2013<sup>38</sup> | 7 adult men, age: 18–50 yr with chronic traumatic motor complete SCI (>1-yr post-injury, C5-L1), wheelchair dependent | NMES-assisted lower-extremity resistance training, 2 d/wk + diet (45% carbohydrates, 30% fat, 25% protein) (n=4) for 12 weeks | Diet (45% carbohydrates, 30% fat, 25% protein) (n=3) for 12 weeks | Thigh and trunk muscle CSA by MRI | Baseline and 12 weeks | Statistically significant between-group difference in gracilis and sartorius muscle CSA (MD = 1.1 cm²) |
| Kim 2015<sup>45</sup> | 9 men and 6 women, mean age 33±5 yr with chronic SCI (AIS A/B, 2-16 yr post-injury, C5-T11) | Indoor hand cycle ergometry (n=8), 3 d/wk, 6 weeks | Control (n=7) | Anthropometric outcomes; body composition via BIA; lipid and carbohydrate metabolic markers via blood sample analysis | Baseline and 6 weeks | No significant between-group difference in adductor, hip flexor and back extensor muscle CSA |
| Ordonez 2013<sup>43</sup> | 17 men, age: 20–36 years with chronic traumatic complete SCI below T5 (4-5 years post-injury) | Arm cycle ergometry (n=9), 3 d/wk, 35–55 min/session, 12 weeks | Control (n=8) | Anthropometric outcomes; antioxidant, lipid and protein oxidation markers via blood sample analysis | Baseline and 12 weeks | Statistically significant between-group difference in testosterone levels (MD = 21.8 ng/dL) and WC (MD = 4 cm) |
| Rosety-Rodriguez 2014<sup>44</sup> | 17 men, age: 20–35 years with chronic traumatic complete SCI below T5 (4-5 years post-injury) | Arm cycle ergometry (n=9), 3 d/wk, 35–55 min/session, 12 weeks | Control (n=8) | WC; testosterone, estradiol, luteinizing hormone, and follicular stimulating hormone via blood sample analysis | Baseline and 12 weeks | Statistically significant between-group differences for estradiol, luteinizing hormone, and follicular stimulating hormone |
| Totosy de Zepetnek 2015<sup>40</sup> | 21 men and 2 women, mean age 41±12 years with chronic SCI (AIS A-C, mean DOI 12±10 years, C3-T11) | Physical activity guidelines training (n=12), aerobic + resistance exercise 2 d/wk, 60 min/session for 16 weeks | Active control (n=11) | Blood pressure via manometer; Hb1ac and lipid profile via blood sample analysis; anthropometric outcomes; total body fat and lean mass, and visceral adiposity via DXA; adiponectin, leptin, and inflammatory cytokines via blood sample analysis | Baseline and 16 weeks | Statistically significant group x time interactions for whole body mass (ES = 1.07) and fat mass (ES = 1.00), visceral adiposity (ES = 1.02) |

EMD = Endocrine-metabolic disease; SCI = Spinal cord injury; DOI = Duration of injury; AIS = American Spinal Injury Association impairment scale; RCT = Randomized controlled trial; MD = Mean difference of change or percentage change from baseline; ES = Effect size; NMES = Neuromuscular electrical stimulation; FES = Functional electrical stimulation; BWSTT = Body weight-supported treadmill training; BMI = Body mass index; WC = Waist circumference; VAT = Visceral adipose tissue; SAT = Subcutaneous adipose tissue; DBP = Diastolic blood pressure; IL-6 = Interleukin-6; IL-10 = Interleukin-10; CSA = Cross-sectional area; IMF = Intramuscular fat; DXA = Dual energy X-ray absorptiometry; MRI = Magnetic resonance imaging; BIA = Bioelectrical impedance analysis; HOMA-IR = Homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance; Hb1ac = Glycated hemoglobin; AUC = Area under the curve.
Research Question 2b: Efficacy/Effectiveness of Interventions

A summary of the results related to the efficacy/effectiveness of rehabilitation interventions to modify EMD risk in community-dwelling adults with chronic SCI was reported in Tables 6 and 7. The results of the higher quality studies ≥16 weeks (low risk of bias, adequate follow-up and sample size) were reported below.

Primary outcomes

Since no studies measured incident fragility fractures, diabetes, and/or heart disease, the efficacy/effectiveness of rehabilitation interventions to modify the incidence of EMD clinical end-points in community-dwelling adults with chronic SCI was not estimable. Adverse events were reported for two 16-week exercise intervention studies. Seven adverse events were reported during a FES-BWSTT intervention, including bruising/blistering in groin area (n=2); loss of footing on treadmill, no fall (n=1), fall (n=1), sharp pain in left heel/ankle (n=1), pain/discomfort in the hip/groin area (n=1), and one was unknown. Five adverse events were reported in the control group (fainting/loss of consciousness, pectoral muscle strain, swollen knees, left elbow pain, dizziness/ringing ears, n=1 each).37 One adverse event unrelated to the intervention was reported during physical activity guideline training.40 No adverse events were reported in association with the 12-week anti-inflammatory diet.41 Gastrointestinal problems were reported in two participants taking omega-3 supplementation for 16 weeks47 and an unknown number of individuals taking omega-3 supplementation for 14 months.48,49 No other studies reported adverse events.

BMD and bone turnover markers

de Abreu et al. evaluated the efficacy of a 24-week NMES-treadmill training intervention (2 times/week, 20 min/session) to modify outcomes related to BMD and bone turnover outcomes.50 However, de Abreu et al. did not statistically evaluate between-group differences for these outcomes, and therefore, the effects of rehabilitation interventions on BMD and bone turnover markers in community-dwelling adults with chronic SCI were not estimable.

Body composition/adiposity

There was very low-quality evidence that rehabilitation interventions can improve body composition/adiposity in community-dwelling adults with chronic SCI (downgraded due to imprecise estimates, important inconsistency, and limitations to study quality). For DXA-measured outcomes, Totosy de Zepetnek et al. observed a significant interaction effect (group x time) for whole body mass (data values not reported, effect size=1.07, P = 0.03), fat mass (data values not reported, effect size=1.00, P = 0.04), and VAT (data values not reported, effect size=1.02, P = 0.04) after 16 weeks adhering to the physical activity guideline training.40 However, Totosy de Zepetnek et al. found non-significant changes in lean mass or leg fat mass.40 Giangregorio et al. found non-significant differences in DXA-measured whole body fat mass and lean mass, and leg lean mass in intention-to-treat and per-protocol analyses after 16 and 52 weeks.37 For pQCT-measured outcomes, Giangregorio et al. found a significant between-group difference for pQCT-measured calf muscle CSA (intervention mean change ± SD: 212 ± 517 mm² versus control mean change ± SD: −136 ± 268 mm², P = 0.026) after 52 weeks of FES-BWSTT intervention, but not after 16 weeks (P = 0.083).37 Also, there were no significant interaction (group x time) or main effects (time) for pQCT-measured calf fat CSA (P > 0.05) in intention-to-treat and per-protocol analyses.37 No studies evaluated the efficacy of rehabilitation interventions to change muscle fiber type composition.

Cardiometabolic risk-related outcomes

There was very low-quality evidence that rehabilitation interventions can improve cardiometabolic risk-related outcomes in individuals with chronic SCI (downgraded due to imprecise estimates, important inconsistency, and limitations to study quality). For lipid profile outcomes (triglycerides, HDL-C, LDL-C, C/HDL-C ratio), Totosy de Zepetnek et al. found no statistically significant interaction (group x time) or main effects (time) after 16 weeks.40 Totosy de Zepetnek et al. also reported no statistically significant interaction (group x time) or main effects (time) for SBP and DBP after 16 weeks.40 However, Totosy de Zepetnek et al. found a significant between-group difference for BMI (intervention mean change: −0.3 kg/m² versus control mean change: 0.9 kg/m², P = 0.02) and waist circumference (intervention mean change: −1.0 cm versus control mean change: 3.5 cm, P = 0.02) after 16 weeks.40

Inflammatory, antioxidant, and other endocrine biomarkers

There was very low-quality evidence that rehabilitation interventions can improve inflammatory, antioxidant, and other endocrine biomarkers in community-dwelling adults with chronic SCI (downgraded due to imprecise estimates, important inconsistency, and limitations to study quality). Allison and Ditor demonstrated a significant interaction (group x time) effect for plasma tryptophan/
Table 7. Summary of results from randomized or quasi/non-randomized controlled trials of nutrition interventions to modify EMD risk in community-dwelling adults with chronic SCI.

| First author, Year | Methods | Results |
|---------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Allison 2015        | Participants: 10 men and 10 women, mean age 48.7 ± 13.9 yr with chronic SCI (4–37 yr post-injury) between C2-L4 | ● Statistically significant group x time interaction: TRP/LNAA ratio (MD = 76.9), pro-inflammatory composite score, IL-1β (MD = 0.6 pg/mL), IFN-Υ (MD = 39.4 pg/mL) |
| RCT Canada          | Intervention: Anti-inflammatory diet (n = 12) for 12 weeks | Comparator: Control (n = 8) |
|                     | Outcomes: Inflammatory markers and blood glucose via blood sample analysis | Time-points: Baseline and 12 weeks |
| Mohammadi 2015      | Participants: 58 men, age 30–50 yr with chronic complete SCI (1–10 yr post-injury) | ● Statistically significant between-group differences for weight (MD = 4.1 kg), BMI (MD = 1.1 kg/m²), WC (MD = 4.1 cm), systolic and diastolic BP (MD = 9 mmHg), blood sugar (MD = 21.8 mg/dL) |
| Double-blind RCT Iran | Intervention: Alpha-lipoic acid supplementation (n = 28) for 14 months | Comparator: Placebo (n = 30) |
|                     | Outcomes: Lipid profile and glucose via blood analysis | Time-points: Baseline and 14 months |
| Sabour 2015         | Participants: 85 men and 19 women, mean age 51.1 ± 13.4 yr (treatment) and 54.1 ± 11.7 yr (placebo) with chronic traumatic SCI (>1-yr post-injury) | ● No statistically significant group x time and main effects for lipid profiles, blood glucose, weight |
| Double-blind RCT Iran | Intervention: Omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid supplementation (n = 54) for 14 months | Comparator: Placebo (n = 50) |
|                     | Outcomes: Lipid profiles and blood glucose via blood sample analysis, anthropometric outcomes | Time-points: Baseline and 14 months |
| Sabour 2012         | Participants: 69 men and 13 women, mean age 40 ± 15 yr (treatment) and 38 ± 12 yr (placebo) with chronic traumatic SCI (>1-yr post-injury) | ● Statistically significant between-group difference for adiponectin (MD = 1.37 ng/dL), not leptin |
| Double-blind RCT Iran | Intervention: n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids + calcium w/ vitamin D (n = 43) for 16 weeks | Comparator: Placebo + calcium w/ vitamin D (n = 39) |
|                     | Outcomes: Inflammatory markers via blood analysis | Time-points: Baseline and 16 weeks |

EMD = Endocrine-metabolic disease; SCI = Spinal cord injury; RCT = Randomized controlled trial; MD = Mean difference of change or percentage change from baseline; TRP/LNAA = Tryptophan/large neutral amino acids; IL-1β = Interleukin-beta; IFN-Υ = Interfeuron-gamma; BMI = Body mass index; WC = Waist circumference; BP = Blood pressure
large neutral amino acids ratio (intervention mean change: 41.8 versus control mean change: −35.1, Cohen’s d=0.90, P = 0.04) over the 12-week anti-inflammatory intervention.41 There were no significant interaction or between-group effects for any other serum amino acids after 12 weeks. Allison and Ditor found a significant interaction (group x time) effect for the composite score of pro-inflammatory cytokines (P=0.04), interleukin-1-beta (intervention mean change: −0.6 pg/mL versus control mean change: 0 pg/mL, P = 0.04), and interferon-gamma (intervention mean change: −17.9 pg/mL versus control: 21.5 pg/mL, P = 0.03).41 There were no significant interaction or between-group effects for any other inflammatory markers after 12 weeks. Totosy de Zepetnek et al. found no significant interaction (group x time) and main effects (time) for metabolic biomarkers (HbA1c, PAI-1, leptin, and adiponectin) (P > 0.05) after 16 weeks.40 Totosy de Zepetnek et al. found no significant interaction (group x time) or main effects (time) for inflammatory biomarkers (tumour necrosis factor-alpha, interleukin-6) (P > 0.05) after 16 weeks.40

Discussion

Our systematic review and scoping perspective provides a comprehensive synthesis of 11 RCTs or quasi/prospective controlled trials from 16 articles that evaluated the effects of rehabilitation interventions on EMD risk among adults with chronic SCI. Despite the plethora of rehabilitation interventions, few studies have been adequately powered or of sufficient duration to determine efficacy for reducing EMD risk in the chronic phase after injury (≥2 years).37,40,41 No trials assessed adherence (89%).37,40,41 However, there was a potential lower likelihood of detecting incidence of the primary outcomes across studies, due to the smaller sample sizes and shorter study timeframes (<12 months follow-up). Among the studies with similar outcomes and adequate duration of follow-up, not all studies were affirmative for changes in EMD risk, contrary to the investigators’ a priori hypotheses. Overall, there was very low-quality evidence that rehabilitation interventions can improve secondary outcomes related to EMD risk, especially BMD and bone turnover markers. Some adverse events were directly associated with the interventions, yet reporting of harms was negligible, inconsistent or absent. Adherence to interventions varied across studies; adherence appeared higher among studies that were shorter-term (≤16 weeks) and included structured supervision by health professionals with exercise/nutrition expertise. Also, there was a lack of evidence regarding the effects of vibration and robotic treadmill/over-ground interventions to modify precursors to EMD risk.

Despite identifying numerous studies of rehabilitation interventions targeting EMD risk in individuals with chronic SCI, few interventions made high-quality inferences and had adequate duration of follow-up and sample size.37,40,41 Giangregorio et al. demonstrated that FES-BWSTT was not associated with changes in whole-body and regional body composition in individuals with incomplete SCI after 4 months.37 However, lower-extremity muscle CSA was maintained after 12-month follow-up. Alternatively, Totosy de Zepetnek et al. found that physical activity guidelines counseling was linked to favorable changes in whole-body fat mass and VAT in adults with chronic SCI after 4 months, but not other cardiometabolic risk-related outcomes.40 Both exercise interventions were of similar length and sample size (n=23–37) with a focus on weight-bearing, moderate-to-vigorous aerobic training (2–3 times/week; 20–45 min/session) and had acceptable adherence levels (89–98%).37,40 However, the physical activity guidelines counseling intervention also involved upper-body strengthening exercises (≥2 times/week), which likely contributed to greater improvements in adiposity. Allison and Ditor showed favourable changes in inflammatory and serum amino acid biomarkers following a 12-week anti-inflammatory diet in individuals with chronic SCI,41 with adequate adherence (89%). Future RCTs or prospective controlled trials should consider implementing similar exercise and nutrition interventions for longer durations in larger samples and conducting a broad evaluation of the effects of community-based rehabilitation interventions on EMD risk outcomes.

Our review highlights the lack of consensus in body composition/adiposity measures and cardiometabolic biomarkers across studies, and the need to determine SCI-specific clinometric properties for these outcomes. Although muscle atrophy and fat accumulation have been well-documented after motor-complete SCI,2,5 relevant thresholds or degrees of muscle loss or fat infiltration associated with EMD risk have yet to be established. Standard BMI and waist circumference cut-off values used in the able-bodied population underestimate obesity in individuals with SCI.53 While the amount of VAT is correlated with waist circumference in able-bodied individuals, an increase in VAT is not correlated with an increase in waist circumference in individuals with SCI.54 As well, there is limited data to inform the sensitivity of bone turnover and
cardiometabolic biomarkers\textsuperscript{55} to change in individuals with chronic SCI, which would be useful in assessing intervention effectiveness. Also, BMD and/or bone microarchitecture data are mostly absent from the included studies, likely due to measurement challenges (e.g. availability and cost of equipment, infrastructure, and expertise to support image analysis) and longer follow-up required.

The high/unclear risk of bias due to poor methodological rigor and small sample sizes of the included studies downgraded the quality of the evidence on the effects of rehabilitation interventions on EMD risk in individuals with chronic SCI. All exercise studies had a high risk of experimental and performance bias due to the inability to blind participants or personnel delivering the intervention. All studies were rated as unclear/high risk for bias for at least one other quality assessment criterion (i.e. allocation concealment, blinding of outcome assessment); issues which could be readily addressed. Several studies demonstrated possible selective reporting bias, such that two or more articles were published from the same dataset, and specific outcomes were reported in multiple instances, which may reflect system or funding pressures on authors. Although most studies were transparent in reporting incomplete outcome data (i.e. reasons for exclusion and loss to follow-up), the majority did not discuss how missing data were addressed, or more importantly whether the primary analysis was intent-to-treat or per-protocol. The quality of reporting data was not consistent for all outcomes, limiting our capacity to pool data and make conclusions. Few studies reported a sample size calculation.\textsuperscript{37,42} All studies, but one,\textsuperscript{48,49} had less than 100 participants, and only three studies had more than 50 participants (all dietary supplementation interventions).

Participant selection or inclusion, and study design eligibility influenced the quality and validity of our results. Variability within or between samples in sex, SCI severity (Neurological Level and ASIA Impairment Scale), duration of injury, comorbidities, ambulatory status, and medication use for osteoporosis, diabetes or another metabolic dysfunction, may have affected the observed effects and generalizability of the data. More studies evaluating intervention effects in women with chronic SCI as a single group or as a subgroup of a larger cohort, and in correlation with duration of injury are necessary to make any conclusive inferences. Also, the review was restricted to randomized/quasi-RCTs, and prospective controlled studies in an attempt to summarize the best evidence for our research questions (SCIRE– Level 1 and 2).\textsuperscript{32} We acknowledge that our review excludes a significant portion of the lower-level evidence on effects of community-based rehabilitation interventions to modify EMD risk in individuals with chronic SCI. Our exhaustive search will enable production of a similar review focusing on the pre-post trials, case-control studies, and case series (SCIRE– Level 3 and 4) to identify intervention opportunities, EMD risk outcomes sensitive to change, and gaps for future research.

In conclusion, there was very low-quality evidence that rehabilitation interventions can modify our secondary outcomes related to EMD risk (body composition, biomarkers) and no evidence that rehabilitation interventions can alter the incidence of EMD clinical end-points (fragility fractures, diabetes, heart disease) in community-dwelling adults with chronic SCI. The small number of studies, and heterogeneity in study design (participants, interventions, and outcomes) profoundly limited our ability to pool outcomes or make generalizable conclusions. Evidence regarding the effects of rehabilitation interventions on EMD risk after chronic SCI, particularly for women, is scarce. Adequately powered, high-quality, prospective exercise and nutrition interventions preferably RCTs (\(\geq 12\) months) are needed to evaluate their effects alone or in combination for mitigating multi-morbidity associated with EMD risk in a representative sample of adults with chronic SCI. To date, superiority, equivalence, and non-inferiority trial designs have rarely been used and no design tools/algorithms exist to identify the best possible intervention for a given individual at a specific time. Future research should investigate whether multi-modal and inter-professional community-based rehabilitation solutions contribute to change in health outcomes across tissues, and quantify these effects on EMD risk, including biomarkers.
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