An update on autoimmune retinopathy
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Autoimmune retinopathy (AIR) refers to a group of rare autoimmune retinal degenerative diseases presumably caused by cross-reactivity of serum autoantibodies against retinal antigens. The pathogenesis of AIR remains largely presumptive and there are a significant number of antiretinal antibodies that have been detected in association with AIR. The diagnosis of AIR is largely based on the demonstration of antiretinal antibodies in the serum along with suggestive clinical features and ancillary investigations. A high index of suspicion along with early diagnosis and treatment may play a critical role to lower the risk of irreversible immunological damage to the retinal cells in these patients. A multi-disciplinary approach for complete management and evaluation is helpful in such conditions. Various therapeutic options have been described for the treatment of AIR, though there is no consensus on standard treatment protocol.
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Autoimmune retinopathy (AIR) is an umbrella term for a group of rare autoimmune retinal degenerative diseases presumably caused by aberrant cross-reactivity of serum autoantibodies directed against retinal antigens. The spectrum of AIR is broad and contains various clinical entities with an overlapping clinical and immunological phenotype. Many conditions in this spectrum have common clinical features such as rapidly progressive, bilateral, painless deterioration of vision. However, despite the common features, AIR remains one of the most challenging diagnoses because of the lack of definitive tests and standardized criteria.

AIR can be broadly classified as paraneoplastic and non-paraneoplastic (npAIR). Paraneoplastic AIR includes cancer-associated retinopathy (CAR) and melanoma-associated retinopathy (MAR). npAIR represents AIR that occurs in the absence of malignancy and is a diagnosis of exclusion. In this review, we aim to discuss three primary forms, i.e., CAR, MAR, and npAIR. Throughout the review, the term AIR has been used in a generalized manner to encompass all these three clinical subtypes. AIR or retinal degeneration secondary to conditions such as retinitis pigmentosa, ocular trauma or white dot syndromes, and paraneoplastic conditions that predominantly involve the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) such as bilateral diffuse uveal melanocytic proliferation and the optic nerve are not included in this review.

AIR was first described in 1976 by Sawyer et al. when degenerative retinopathies were diagnosed in three elderly female with bronchial carcinoma following the onset of symptoms such as transitory visual obscuration and visual field loss.[1] The term paraneoplastic retinopathy was first used by Klingele et al. in 1984.[2] In the same year, Gass described a case of MAR in a patient with cutaneous melanoma.[3] It took almost a decade from the description of the first case of AIR, to correlate the presence of serum antibodies against an antigen of molecular weight 23 kDa, named later as recoverin.[4] npAIR was first described in 1997 as AIR similar in phenotype and electrophysiology to CAR, which was by then a well-established entity.[5]

**Epidemiology**

There is a lack of population-based epidemiological study on AIR. AIR has been estimated to constitute less than 1% of all cases seen at a tertiary eye clinic.[6] Presumed npAIR remains the most prevalent AIR, and CAR is the most common type of paraneoplastic AIR.[7] Females are affected twice as commonly as men by CAR and npAIR, whereas MAR occurs more frequently in men.[8–10] The mean age of onset of AIR ranges from 55 to 65 years; patients diagnosed with npAIR are relatively young.
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Pathogenesis

The pathogenesis of AIR remains largely presumptive because there are very few histopathological studies and animal models of the disease. The salient feature of AIR is the presence of circulating antiretinal antibodies which can target and attack certain retinal antigens. Usually, these retinal antigens are retinal proteins with immunogenic properties. AIR is believed to be triggered by the formation of antibodies against these retinal proteins. Till date, more than 17 different antiretinal antibodies have been identified.[14] Among these, two retinal proteins have been studied extensively – recoverin and enolase. Recoverin is a 23 kDa neuronal calcium-binding protein that is primarily detected in the photoreceptor cells of the eye.[15,16] Recoverin plays a key role in the inhibition of rhodopsin kinase, which regulates the phosphorylation of rhodopsin. Antibody against recoverin has been found to cause degeneration of rods and cones by apoptosis after binding with these photoreceptors.[17]

Enolase is another 48 kDa glycolytic enzyme present in various tissues in the human body. In the retina, it is found in cell membranes of ganglion cells, Muller cells, rods, and cones.[18] It is not clear what triggers these antibodies to recognize retinal proteins as antigens – molecular mimicry between tumour antigens and retinal proteins can be one probable cause.[19]

For example, recoverin has been found to be expressed by the tumor cells in patients with AIR.[19] An infectious etiology such as viral or bacterial infection can also act as the inciting event by triggering cross-reaction between retinal protein and bacterial/viral protein.[9] Retinal toxicity may result as these cytotoxic antibodies can induce apoptosis leading to death of the retinal cells. Almost all the retinal cell line can be affected; photoreceptors, ganglion cells, and bipolar cells are primarily affected. Caspase-dependent and intracellular calcium influx apoptotic mechanisms have been implicated in retinal damage due to AIR.[16] However, vision loss in recoverin-associated retinopathy has been observed to be more severe when compared with enolase-associated retinopathy and usually has an acute onset with relatively faster progression [Fig. 1].[9]

However, many questions remain unanswered. For example, we do not know yet why selected individuals with such antibodies manifest the retinal degenerative disease whereas others do not. Normal individuals may have antiretinal antibodies which may originate due to degradation of cells, following exposure of self-antigens to the immune system.[19] In a study by Ko et al.,[20] antiretinal antibodies were detected in 42% normal healthy controls. Also, antiretinal antibodies have been detected in various systemic autoimmune diseases like Behçet’s disease, systemic lupus erythematosus, and degenerative disease such as age-related macular degenerations.[16] The significance of the presence of these autoantibodies is not exactly known.

Also, it is unclear why toxicities of these retinal antibodies are only limited to the retina when retinal antigens are ubiquituous.

Clinical Course

The typical AIR patient would be an adult female in her fifth to sixth decade of life (a female predominance 63-66%) with a history of autoimmune disease.[8,21] most commonly hypothyroidism.[22] Patients with AIR typically present with bilateral (but often asymmetric) subacute vision loss, scotomas, photopsia, nystagmus in the absence of intraocular inflammatory cells.[8,6,23] However, in the early stages of the disease, most patients’ visual acuity is preserved[24] and diagnostic assessment of AIR is challenging and often delayed given its rarity and variety of clinical manifestations, including an unrevealing examination in many of the early states.[7]

When such patients present and a diagnostic suspicion arises, a family history of retinitis pigmentosa (RP) should be excluded as well as other degenerative eye diseases. Patients with RP can have similar clinical features compared to AIR and approximately 10–37% of patients with RP may have circulating antiretinal antibodies, which makes differentiating these two entities with overlapping findings and symptoms difficult.[25,26]

On examination, a subset of eyes (22%) have unremarkable fundus examination, a finding which appears to be more common early in the disease course, prior to the development of irreversible retinal and pigmentary degenerative changes [Fig. 1].[22] This might not only make the recognition of AIR more challenging but could also lead to delay in both diagnosis and initiation of systemic evaluation for autoimmune or neoplastic disease.

As might be expected for an entity with no consensus in diagnosis, retrospective studies in patients with npAIR, CAR and MAR show that clinical features vary considerably. The most common fundus findings are related to RPE changes in the form of hyperplasia, bony spicules, or atrophy. Because the RPE is a major component of the blood-retinal barrier, it contributes to immune regulation, and it is a target of the degenerative progress.[27] As such, damage to the RPE tends to mirror the processes affecting the neurosensory retina and can serve clinically as a tool for gauging the course of this condition over time. The fundus may demonstrate also retinal vascular
attenuation and waxy disc pallor. Posterior pole changes do not show an association with vision.

**Ancillary Investigations**

The presence of autoimmune retinal antibodies is not considered a stand-alone, pathognomonic finding given that they are found in unaffected individuals as well as in patients suffering from other systemic or ocular conditions. Multimodal imaging, including spectral-domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT) and fundus autofluorescence (FAF), can often reveal non-specific changes, especially early in the disease course.

**Fundus autofluorescence imaging**

Damage to the RPE is reflected in the patterns noted on FAF imaging. The majority of patients with abnormal FAF demonstrate a characteristic pattern of either diffuse or granular, stippled hyper-autofluorescence throughout the posterior pole, primarily centered in the macula and the peripapillary region. This pattern of increased autofluorescence has been shown to be a result of metabolically hyperactive RPE due to the abnormal accumulation of lipofuscin derivatives. It has also been shown that hyper-autofluorescence can stem from a disrupted inner-outer segment junction and thinned outer nuclear layer, which allows for increased visibility of underlying RPE autofluorescent signal. Because the FAF can detect even subtle structural changes that are difficult to recognize on ophthalmoscopy, it represents an important tool in analyzing the progression of patients with AIR and should be part of the standard imaging protocol for patients with this condition [Fig. 2].

**Spectral-domain optical coherence tomography**

SD-OCT provides objective measures of retinal damage and may offer clues toward the diagnosis of AIR [Fig. 2]. Prior studies have shown that retinal abnormalities seen on SD-OCT including loss of the outer retinal complex or disruption of the ellipsoid zone (EZ) may indicate a diagnosis of AIR before electoretinography (ERG) results and laboratory tests are available. Lima et al. described EZ loss in four patients with AIR which corresponded to a hyper-autofluorescent ring. Outer retinal abnormalities and/or decreased central macular thickness on SD-OCT were seen in all patients in a study by Abazari et al. Similarly, Sepah et al. showed that patients with AIR had statistically significant loss of retinal tissue, particularly of the photoreceptor layer. In the study by Khanna et al., the most common pattern of retinal damage on SD-OCT was attenuation of the outer nuclear layer (ONL) and EZ, with relative foveal preservation of the outer retinal elements.

Treatment does not appear to be associated with an increase in the anatomic extent or robustness of the EZ in any of the reports. Because the photoreceptor outer segments can undergo renewal, it is possible that the treatment initiation and duration of the current published cases was not long enough to assess for anatomic changes on SD-OCT. All published data seem to agree that the longer time to diagnosis can lead to both visual acuity deterioration and greater reduction in the sub-foveal EZ extent on SD-OCT measurements over time and the delay in diagnosis frequently reported in the literature could represent the amount of time at which regeneration of the photoreceptor outer segments cannot occur anymore.

![Figure 2: Ultra-wide field fundus imaging of a patient with autoimmune retinopathy (non-paraneoplastic subtype) in a 45-year-old female (a and b). The fundus does not show any significant clinically visible changes. The fundus autofluorescence images (c and d) show very subtle changes especially in the nasal part of the left eye (d). There are stippled areas of hypo-autofluorescence corresponding to the retinal pigment epithelial damage](image)

Interestingly, though on average AIR is associated with a decrease in EZ extent over time, the integrity of the external limiting membrane (ELM) seems to predict regeneration of the EZ. Matsui et al. did demonstrate in acute zonal occult outer retinopathy (AZOOR) that at 6 months, the ELM and EZ can regenerate; however, they did not correlate their findings with treatment. Other articles have shown recovery of the EZ after intravitreal injection of dexamethasone (in a patient with AZOOR) and recovery of the EZ reflectivity with difluprednate (in a patient with npAIR). In one series, eyes where there was regeneration of the EZ had milder forms of npAIR and had intact ELMs overlying the EZ, suggesting a common pathway to other similar maculopathies. This shows that in rare cases experiencing some degree of recovery, there are potentially other variables influencing this process, such as the time to diagnosis, choice and duration of treatment, and management of the associated systemic disease [Fig. 3].

These studies have shown that EZ disruption and loss on OCT is a frequent finding in AIR and one that can be both used to help diagnose and monitor disease progression. Cystoid macular edema (CME) is observed relatively frequently in eyes with AIR. In a recent abstract, Larson et al. described prevalent fundus findings among 17 patients with AIR, 13 with npAIR, and 4 with CAR/MAR. Among their patients, CME was one of the most common fundus findings, seen in 24% of eyes. Similarly, Ferreyra et al. described CME as a prominent feature of patients with npAIR; 11 of 24 (45.8%) such patients had CME. However, the reported frequency of this finding in SD-OCT is quite variable and ranges from 24 to 50%. In the series by Khanna, over half (66%) of the eyes had CME on presentation. Antiretinal antibody testing in their study revealed a higher percentage of anti-enolase antibody and photoreceptor layer staining in eyes that developed CME compared to eyes that did not have CME. Overall, in
the published studies, when CME is identified in eyes with AIR at initial presentation or when it develops subsequently, the retinal disease is more severe and more aggressive, as manifested by decreased ERG a- and b-wave amplitudes compared to eyes without CME at initial presentation.[38] Moreover, eyes with CME at initial presentation seem to have a greater rate of loss in the EZ length between baseline and final follow-up compared to eyes without CME.[22]

Visual field and electrophysiology

Visual field testing shows constriction and central or paracentral scotomas [Fig. 3].[39] There are no pathognomonic electrophysiological findings in AIR [Fig. 2]. The literature has few data and the small studies and reports of existing cases demonstrate and suggest heterogeneity in the electrophysiological features. Full-field ERG shows abnormalities and specific findings depending on the predominance of cone, rod, and other neural elements dysfunction.[25,40] There are reports with delayed b-wave, reduced amplitudes of both a- and b-waves, reduced b-wave, and an electronegative ERG, in patients with CAR.[41] CAR is typically associated with anti-recoverin antibody, and ERG in CAR typically shows involvement of cone responses.[42,43] MAR is characterized by a negative waveform on standardized full-field ERG due to reduction in b-wave amplitudes.[10] Full-field ERG was extinguished in one patient and selective b-wave loss in other patients with npAIR.[3] In one AIR series, all eyes showed abnormal findings on full fields ERG at presentation, compared to 73% of eyes with abnormal results on mf ERG.[22]

Systemic evaluation

An extensive investigation to rule out any malignancy should be undertaken in any patient that presents with clinical or imaging signs and symptoms suggestive of AIR. This investigation may be facilitated by an internist or primary care physician, who would take an inventory of the patients’ history, review of systems, physical exam findings, and basic laboratory investigations in order to determine the patients’ individual risk factors and, as such, determine the need to image with brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), chest, abdomen and pelvis computerized tomography (CT), colonoscopy and other age and gender appropriate testing such as mammogram, prostate evaluation, among others. Because of significant implications, it is important to differentiate the paraneoplastic type from the nonparaneoplastic subtype. Paraneoplastic retinopathies, similar to nonparaneoplastic, are characterized by vision loss, photopsia, nystagmus, and scotomas with a more rapid decline. CAR is most commonly associated with small cell carcinoma of the lung, whereas MAR is commonly associated cutaneous melanoma. Therefore, examination and investigations can be directed toward these commonly encountered entities. CAR can precede the diagnosis of cancer, whereas MAR typically presents after the diagnosis of melanoma, usually metastatic melanoma.

If these features, and the circulating antiretinal antibodies are present, and if there is no malignancy at presentation or following a thorough investigation, a tentative diagnosis can be made.

Differential Diagnosis

Differential diagnosis of AIR includes white dot syndrome spectrum disorders (particularly AZOOR), retinal degenerative disorders (such as RP and cone-rod dystrophy), and non-infectious and infectious uveitis syndromes.

AZOOR can present with similar symptoms, visual field, and ERG findings. This entity is typically bilateral but can be asymmetric. Most patients either stabilize or show partial recovery without treatment. Multiple evanescent white dot syndrome (MEWDS), despite having similar symptoms, is a unilateral retinopathy which is characterized by afferent pupillary defect, optic nerve swelling, and spontaneous recovery; hence it is more readily differentiated from autoimmune retinopathy. Both AZOOR and MEWDS may show enlarged blind spot on visual fields. In addition, the majority of eyes affected by AZOOR may show characteristic and striking FAF abnormalities with well-demarcated areas of hypo-autofluorescence which have not been observed in AIR.[41,44]

Diagnostic Approaches

Diagnosis of AIR is a challenging task, relying on clinical and ERG signs of retinal damage supported by the detection of antibodies against specific retinal antigens.[14] In this setting, laboratory investigations have a significant role in the diagnosis of AIR. Antiretinal antibodies might help to gain insights into the pathogenesis of AIR and contribute to explaining the protean clinical manifestations observed. Different methods can be used to detect the presence of autoantibodies, including immunohistochemistry, Western blot, and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. Each method has its limitations and controls are often required to assess the reliability of the analysis. Many specific antiretinal antibodies have been identified using these techniques. However, their presence should be correlated with clinical findings and ERG. Some antiretinal antibodies might rather be an epiphenomenon, being present in different disorders and even in healthy subjects. Overall, antiretinal antibodies are more often observed in patients with a significant history of autoimmune disorders, which may predispose toward autoimmune responses.[14]

Diagnostic aspects in CAR

In CAR, a significant number of antibodies have been described. These antibodies often result from an over-expression of specific antigens by cancers, which can elicit an immune response and antibodies cross-reacting with retinal cells. Anti-recoverin antibodies are among the first described in AIR and showed evidence for their use.[45] Recoverin is a protein found in photoreceptors with calcium-binding properties. Increased expression of recoverin has been found in cancers associated with AIR, which included small cell lung cancer, breast cancer, cervical cancer, ovarian cancer, and other tumors.[41] Predisposed individuals may develop an immune response cross-reacting with recoverin photoreceptors leading to their apoptosis. When associated with tumors, the presence of anti-recoverin antibodies is suggestive of CAR.[25] However, anti-recoverin antibodies have been also found in npAIR in the absence of cancer.[42] It is difficult to assess whether these antibodies developed in the absence of tumor or after its spontaneous remission associated with the immune response. Anti-enolase antibodies are another type of antiretinal antibodies that showed sufficient evidence for their use in CAR and have been described in association with breast, prostate, and hematologic cancers among others.[14] However,
they have also been detected in other autoimmune conditions not associated with cancer. Enolase presents three different isoforms (α, β, and γ) and mostly anti-α-enolase antibodies have been reported in CAR. Patients with CAR and anti-enolase antibodies often show a characteristic presentation; profound abnormalities can be detected on multifocal electoretinogram, contrast-sensitivity and visual acuity, with an almost normal full-field ERG. Among others, antiretinal antibodies associated with CAR, anti-TULP1 antibodies, anti-heat shock cognate protein antibodies, and anti-carbonic anhydrase II antibodies have been reported.

**Diagnostic aspects in MAR**

In MAR, different antiretinal antibodies have been described but these should be correlated with clinical findings. The majority of patients with MAR shows characteristic ERG findings with reduced B-wave and preserved dark-adapted A wave, revealing bipolar cells dysfunction similar to congenital stationary night blindness. Indeed, antibodies against retinal bipolar cells have been observed using indirect immunohistochemical staining in some patients with MAR. Besides, circulating anti-TRPM1 (transient receptor potential cation channel, subfamily M, member 1) antibodies were found in MAR patients. TRPM1 gene anomalies were also observed in some forms of congenital stationary night blindness and contribute to explaining some retinal similarities between these two disorders. TRPM1 gene product is a cation channel on bipolar cells, known with the same name of the gene TRPM1, and have been found also in melanocytes where is named MART1. It is possible that uncontrolled melanocytes grow leads to MART1 overexpression and favors immune responses.

Despite the typical normal fundus appearance in MAR, other possible presentations thought to be part of its clinical spectrum include paraneoplastic vitelliform retinopathies, with multiple serous retinal detachments and vitelliform material. It is not surprising that circulating autoantibodies against bestrophin-1 have been found in patients with MAR. These can show ocular findings similar to best macular dystrophy, including anomalous electrooculogram. Among others, anti-aldolase A and C, anti-recoverin, anti-α-enolase, anti-transducin, anti-rod opsin, and anti-interphotoreceptor retinoid-binding protein antibodies have been associated with MAR.

**Diagnostic aspects in npAIR**

In npAIR, the most common subtype of AIR, different antiretinal antibodies have been reported. Clinical presentation, electrophysiologic findings and antiretinal antibodies may overlap with CAR, requiring a careful interpretation. Among the other antiretinal antibodies described in association with npAIR, it is worth mentioning anti-recoverin, anti-carbonic anhydrase II, anti-α-enolase, and anti-rod transducin-α. antibodies. The onset of ocular symptoms may precede by years the diagnosis of cancer and clinicians managing npAIR should always maintain a vigilant attitude toward neoplastic disorders.

**Summary of diagnosis**

There are a significant number of antiretinal antibodies that have been detected in association with CAR, MAR, and npAIR. The presence of these antibodies is not diagnostic per se but should be related to a compatible clinical picture. Anti-recoverin and anti-enolase are those that showed the most significant evidence for their use in this setting. Other antiretinal antibodies, including anti-retinal bipolar cells, anti-TRPM1 and anti-bestrophin-1, showed a plausible correlation with the clinical findings observed in MAR, even if the evidence supporting their use is more limited. Besides, any patients with AIR showed positivity of multiple antiretinal antibodies, complicating the interpretation of each antibody. Standardized assay systems should be used to detect antiretinal antibodies. Further studies are needed to assess the real pathogenicity of many antiretinal antibodies and their role in the development of AIR.

**Treatment**

AIR, a systemic disease, can progress rapidly and cause diffuse retinal degeneration; hence, a high index of suspicion along with early diagnosis and treatment is critical to lower the risk of irreversible immunological damage to the retinal cells. Early diagnosis, although difficult, carries a high visual and systemic prognostic significance. It can be a clue to early detection of metastasis in both CAR and MAR and can even precede the diagnosis of cancer. A multi-disciplinary approach is often needed, working in association with oncology, rheumatology, dermatology, and radiology, for complete management and evaluation.

There is no standard treatment protocol currently but the various therapeutic options described for AIRs, in the previous literature are (1) immunosuppression through systemic and/or topical (intravitreal/sub-tenon/depot) corticosteroids, (2) immunomodulators like cyclosporine (calcineurin inhibitor which prevents IL-2 transcription), infliximab (anti-TNFα antibody), mycophenolate mofetil (IMP dehydrogenase inhibitor, preventing purine synthesis), azathioprine (purine antimetabolite), (3) biologics such as monoclonal antibodies like rituximab (anti-CD20 antibody), alemtuzumab (anti-CD52 antibody), ipilimumab (agonist antibody against cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen-4), tocilizumab (anti-IL-6 receptor antibody), sarilumab (anti-IL-6 receptor antibody), (4) and others like intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG), plasmapheresis. IVIG has been hypothesized to have several mechanisms of action like neutralization of autoantibodies, binding of complement components, inhibition of dendritic cells maturation, modulation of intercellular adhesion, and contribution of IgG4. Antioxidant vitamins like lutein, Vitamin C, Vitamin E, and beta carotene (in non-smokers) have also been advocated to stabilize the retinal degeneration and disease course.

**Corticosteroids**

Once a diagnosis of AIR is established, the best approach is to first reduce the tumor burden using chemotherapy, radiotherapy, and/or surgery as indicated, in paraneoplastic retinopathies. For all cases of AIR, it is generally suggested to begin with steroids (local or systemic) and/or with antimetabolites/T-cell inhibitors, as the first or second-line treatment respectively, after systemic management of the underlying autoimmune disease, if present. A short-term treatment trial with intravitreal or sub-tenon triamcinolone (40-80 mg, two injections over 8 months) has been suggested, before starting systemic steroids (60-80 mg oral prednisone daily), to both confirm the diagnosis as well as to avoid the adverse effects of treatment. Intravenous methylprednisolone has been associated with more favorable
5 days.\textsuperscript{[44]} In MAR, published data have used IVIG 100 g for 2 consecutive days and then every 4 to 8 weeks documenting improved visual outcomes.\textsuperscript{[10,39]} There is no standard dose recommended because of limited literature and evidence to support this as a monotherapy. Plasmapheresis, in MAR, may help to remove the anti-renal antibodies, immune complexes, and cytokines.\textsuperscript{[39]} Some suggest its use only for non-responders or patients who are not eligible for other treatments.\textsuperscript{[73]} Data are conflicting in regard to the benefit of plasmapheresis for npAIR.\textsuperscript{[94]}

**Immunosuppressive therapies and biological agents**

Long-term immunosuppression with triple therapy regimen was studied in a retrospective review\textsuperscript{[21]} consisting of cyclosporine (100 mg/day), azathioprine (100 mg/day), and prednisone (20–40 mg/day) was advocated to a cohort of 30 patients (6 CAR and 24 npAIR), for 3 to 89 months, along with IVIG in three patients. Treatment response was seen in 70% of patients overall, 54% npAIR patients without CME, and 73% of npAIR with CME. There were several limitations in this study design as it was retrospective with no predefined treatment protocols and the baseline characteristics were not comparable. There have been two recent case reports describing the use of anti-interleukin (IL)-6 receptor antibody for refractory CME in npAIR, both resulting in complete resolution. The first used five infusions of tocilizumab at a dose of 8 mg/kg every 4 weeks\textsuperscript{[89]} and the second used four injections of subcutaneous sarilumab at a dose of 200 mg every 2 weeks.\textsuperscript{[10]} MAR, generally refractory to steroids,\textsuperscript{[89]} has shown to be responsive to radiotherapy and cytoreductive surgeries. For patients who cannot undergo surgeries, immunomodulatory treatment is advised.\textsuperscript{[97]} A case report describes ipilimumab (agonist antibody against cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen-4) to be helpful in a case of melanoma relapse, refractory to surgery and chemotherapy.\textsuperscript{[77]}

Multiple case series\textsuperscript{[82-85,86]} and case reports\textsuperscript{[71,75,76,80,81,87,93]} in the recent years describe the potential use of rituximab for improvement in retinal anatomy, function, and an overall good response, in both CAR and npAIR. A retrospective case series of 16 AIR patients (one MAR, six CAR, and nine npAIR) who underwent treatment with rituximab, along with concomitant immunosuppressive agents (mycophenolate/cyclophosphamide/IVIG/bortezomib/topical steroids), demonstrated 77% of eyes having stable or improved visual outcomes. Two different loading regimens were used every 6 months (1) two doses of 1000 mg every alternate week (2) loading dose of 375 mg/m\textsuperscript{2} weekly for 4 weeks.\textsuperscript{[82]} Another retrospective analysis of four npAIR patients suggested rituximab infusion to be useful in stabilizing the progression of retinal dysfunction.\textsuperscript{[80]} Yet another case series of six patients with npAIR, who received rituximab and/or combination treatment, reported stabilization of visual acuity in two-thirds of them and overall improvement of visual fields or ERG parameters in 75% of patients.\textsuperscript{[93]} The potential benefit of alemtuzumab (30 mg IV three times a week for 4 months) has also been described in a CAR patient with up to 8 years of follow-up.\textsuperscript{[87]}

Unfortunately, there is no consensus and there are data suggesting no difference in the clinical outcomes of patients being treated with or without immunomodulatory therapy.\textsuperscript{[62,71,98]} It is difficult to conclude the clinical effectiveness of these approaches due to overall rare incidence, small sample size, lack of randomization, delayed diagnosis, and hence worse prognosis.

**Figure 3:** Spectral-domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT) of the same patient shows presence of intraretinal cystic spaces in the right eye (yellow arrows) in the perifoveal region. There is increase in the overall central macular thickness (a). The left eye shows mild increase in central retinal thickness (b). The visual field analysis (c and d) shows severe generalized field loss especially in the right eye and loss of nasal field in the left eye.
The management course is also guided by follow-up testing\textsuperscript{[20,21]} with ERG, visual field testing, visual acuity, OCT (especially for CME), and color vision testing, generally every 3 to 6 months but can be individualized. The role of follow-up testing with repeat serum antibodies has questionable clinical significance.\textsuperscript{[22,23]} The response to treatment is variable and depends on a lot of factors, and generally worse response have been reported in patients with family history of autoimmune diseases.\textsuperscript{[24]} The patient should be counselled for the prognosis and treatment expectations aiming stabilization or prevention of further deterioration of vision.

**Conclusion**

A high index of suspicion along with early diagnosis and treatment may play a critical role to lower the risk of irreversible immunological damage to the retinal cells in patients with AIR. A multi-disciplinary approach for complete management and evaluation is helpful in such conditions. Various therapeutic options have been described for the treatment of AIR, though there is no consensus on standard treatment protocol.
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