What Did We Learn From Current Progress in Heat Stress Tolerance in Plants? Can Microbes Be a Solution?
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Temperature is a significant parameter in agriculture since it controls seed germination and plant growth. Global warming has resulted in an irregular rise in temperature posing a serious threat to the agricultural production around the world. A slight increase in temperature acts as stress and exert an overall negative impact on different developmental stages including plant phenology, development, cellular activities, gene expression, anatomical features, the functional and structural orientation of leaves, twigs, roots, and shoots. These impacts ultimately decrease the biomass, affect reproductive process, decrease flowering and fruiting and significantly yield losses. Plants have inherent mechanisms to cope with different stressors including heat which may vary depending upon the type of plant species, duration and degree of the heat stress. Plants initially adapt avoidance and then tolerance strategies to combat heat stress. The tolerance pathway involves ion transporter, osmoprotectants, antioxidants, heat shock protein which help the plants to survive under heat stress. To develop heat-tolerant plants using above-mentioned strategies requires a lot of time, expertise, and resources. On contrary, plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPRs) is a cost-effective, time-saving, and user-friendly approach to support and enhance agricultural production under a range of environmental conditions including stresses. PGPR produce and regulate various phytohormones, enzymes, and metabolites that help plant to maintain growth under heat stress. They form biofilm, decrease abscisic acid, stimulate root development, enhance heat shock proteins, deamination of ACC enzyme, and nutrient availability especially nitrogen and phosphorous. Despite extensive work done on plant heat stress tolerance in general, very few comprehensive reviews are available on the subject especially the role of microbes for plant heat tolerance. This article reviews the current studies on the retaliation, adaptation, and tolerance to heat stress at the cellular, organellar, and whole plant levels, explains different approaches, and sheds light on how microbes can help to induce heat stress tolerance in plants.
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INTRODUCTION

Crop growth is the function of temperature, soil fertility, and water status; all at optimum satisfaction. Temperature is the key determining factor of the vegetation of a particular region (Argousubekti, 2020) and a balance between optimal temperature range and time of incidence is important to regulate plant growth. A slight change/deviation in the atmospheric temperature, can disrupt normal biological, structural, and molecular processes in plants, which ultimately results in stunted growth and reduced yield (Argousubekti, 2020). Heat stress is an increase in environmental temperature (above the upper limit of threshold) for a certain period that can cause irreversible plant damage. The level of crop adaptation and productivity affected by heat stress, however, depends upon the temperatures and the phase of plant development.

The onset of industrial revolution and massive mechanization has shown a gradual increase in CO₂ emissions (30–150%) and greenhouse effect over the past two centuries. The global land and ocean surface temperature increased by around 0.85°C from 1880 till 2012 and an annual rise of at least 0.2°C per decade is further anticipated (Change, 2014) while the greenhouse gases will further add 1.1–1.5°C to it. The National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) released a comparative data of average global temperature from with a baseline of 1951–1980 (Figure 1) showing that average monthly temperature has increased >1°C during the past decade (2000–2020) and >1.5°C during the past 5 years (2015–2020). With 1.5–2.0°C change in the global mean temperature, the possibility of suffering from record-breaking, high-impact extreme climate disasters increase significantly, which ultimately cause a significant yield reduction and food supply chain around the globe (Chen et al., 2018; Kong et al., 2018). The IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) reports that the world’s major staple crops and food production have a great impact of climate change (Easterling et al., 2007; Porter et al., 2014) where an increase of one Celsius atmospheric temperature costs a decrease of 6% in crop yield (Asseng et al., 2015). In China, heat stress in the last decade resulted in rice yield losses of 5.18 million tons (Tian et al., 2009) while in South-East Asia upto 14%. In wheat, about 7 million ha in developing countries and 36 million ha in the temperate region had been affected by heat stress in 2001 (Reynolds et al., 2001) causing a yield reduction of 19 million tons (Lobell and Field, 2007) while USDA (United States Department of Agriculture) reported ≈5.5% yield reduction in wheat (Lobell et al., 2011).

Agricultural and global food production is one of the most fragile sectors of society prone to climate uncertainty and changes (Meinke et al., 2006). Detailed multi-locational field analyses have shown that heat and drought stress are more closely linked. Increased heat imposes the drought stress hence, both stresses should be considered together rather than dealing individually. Zia et al. (2021) highlighted the impact of drought along with possible eco-friendly strategies to improve plant growth under drought stress, but the eco-friendly heat-stress management strategies is urgently needed. The plants are substantially affected by high day, low night or high air and surface temperatures. Each cultivar has a temperature optima (threshold) for a significant vegetative and reproductive growth (Zinn et al., 2010; Hatfield and Prueger, 2015) while growth ceases above or below the threshold (Kaushal et al., 2016). The lower threshold temperatures may vary from plant to plant, but is usually lower for most of the tropical, temperate, and cold season crops (Miller et al., 2001). Exposure to high temperature, e.g., 35°C significantly decreases pollen viability (Dupuis and Dumas, 1990), replication of amyloplast and cell division and growth rate ultimately decreasing the size and the total number of the grains (Commuri and Jones, 2001; Rangan et al., 2014). Table 1 describes in detail the growth destructive temperatures of different crops. The higher degree of heat stress (45°C or above) disrupts cellular homeostasis, induces extreme growth retardation, and severely affects the biological activity of proteins due to aggregation or misfolding rendering cells unable to defend themselves (Sarkar et al., 2013; Reddy et al., 2016). The deposition of improper-folded proteins may be permanent that alter the functioning of the cells.

The heat stress causes direct and indirect damage to multiple plant functions resulting in morphophysiological changes, hampering different growth phases and metabolic processes (Wu et al., 2018) and ultimately yield reduction (Mcclung and Davis, 2010; Grant et al., 2011). The detail the impact of heat stress on various plant functions at multiple levels has been described in Figure 2. The direct effect includes; protein denaturation and misfolding, increased membrane fluidity, the inactivation of chloroplast and mitochondrial enzymes, inhibition of protein synthesis and degradation, and loss of membrane integrity (Howarth, 2005). Indirect impact includes the changes in the pathogen behavior and disease pattern. Each pathogen has an optimum temperature for its replication and virulence (Velásquez et al., 2018), e.g., *Globodera pallida* nematode infect potato at 15°C (Jones et al., 2017), *papaya ringspot virus* (PRSV) infect papaya at 26–31°C (Mangrauthia et al., 2009). It has been predicted that many diseases will migrate into new geographical areas as temperatures rise, where they will encounter new hosts (Etterson and Shaw, 2001), cause severe and frequent epidemics (Ma et al., 2015), improve their survival under heat or desiccation, or become dormant for many years (Turkensteen et al., 2000; Ritchie et al., 2013). Change in the temperature also changes the pathogen behavior (Roberts et al., 2018), e.g., new pathogen strains adapted to high temperatures are being reported which are more active, more virulent, and widely transmitted worldwide (Hovmöller et al., 2008; Milus et al., 2009), e.g., *Phytophthora infestans* (cause late blight in potato and tomato) (Mariette et al., 2016) and *Puccinia striiformis* (rust fungus in wheat). Even pathogens can cause an outbreak by transient variations in temperature, e.g., soybean rust can be developed even after 1-h of exposure at 37°C, although, the optimum temperature for disease development is 12–25°C (Bonde et al., 2012).

Plant endophytic bacteria have a symbiotic relationship with their host plant, dwelling within it for the bulk of their life cycle and having the capacity to colonize the plant’s interior tissues via penetrating the seed and root.

Table 1 describes the growth destructive temperatures of different crops. The higher degree of heat stress (45°C or above) disrupts cellular homeostasis, induces extreme growth retardation, and severely affects the biological activity of proteins due to aggregation or misfolding rendering cells unable to defend themselves (Sarkar et al., 2013; Reddy et al., 2016). The deposition of improper-folded proteins may be permanent that alter the functioning of the cells.
These endophytic microbiomes fixed the atmospheric nitrogen, produced phytohormones, solubilized the inorganic phosphorus, zinc, potassium and calcium, produced exopolysaccharides and iron chelating siderophore compounds under heat stress condition which ultimately enhance the plant growth (Hakim et al., 2021). On the other hand, there are also some beneficial fungi, i.e., arbuscular mycorrhiza fungi (AMF) that is also help plants under heat stress condition. These AMF form symbiotic relationship with plant roots and improve photosynthetic process, increase nutrients uptake, secondary metabolites accumulation, regulate the oxidative defense system, and maintain the osmotic balance in plants (Begum et al., 2019). Plant genetic engineering and maker assisted selection breeding and multiple approaches have been published multiple reviews on heat stress and their management which are costly and time consuming. On the other hand, PGPR is cost-effective, eco-friendly, and ecologically safe solution to induce heat stress tolerance. So, current review will give a quick rundown of the current knowledge about the methods and pathways that PGPR use to promote tolerance in plants under heat stress. It will also
TABLE 1 | Growth destructive temperature of different crops.

| Plants                     | Exposure time | Destructive temperature (°C) | References            |
|----------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Cereal crops               |               |                              |                       |
| (Triticum aestivum) Wheat  | 10–15 min     | 45–50                        | CIMMYT, 2020          |
| (Zea mays) Maize, Corn     | 10            | 49–51                        | Argosubekti, 2020     |
| (Oryza sativa) Rice        | 10–15 min     | 38–45                        | Sarsu, 2018           |
| Cash crops                 |               |                              |                       |
| (Gossypium hirsutum) Cotton| 30 min        | 40–45                        | Cotton Info, 2018     |
| (Saccharum officinarum) Sugarcane | 20 min     | 50–55                        | Damayanti and Putra, 2010 |
| Oilseed crops              |               |                              |                       |
| (Brassica napus) Rapeseed  | 10 min        | 49–50                        | Argosubekti, 2020     |
| (Brassica juncea) Mustard  | 7 days        | 40–45                        | Argosubekti, 2020     |
| Vegetables                 |               |                              |                       |
| (Solanum tuberosum) Potato | 1 h           | 42.5                         | Argosubekti, 2020     |
| (Allium cepa) onion        | –             | 30–35                        | Ikeda et al., 2019    |
| (Cucurbita pepo) Squash    | 10 min        | 49–51                        | Argosubekti, 2020     |
| Fruits                     |               |                              |                       |
| (Citrus aurantium) Sour orange | 15–30 min   | 50.5                         | Argosubekti, 2020     |
| (Vitis vinifera) Grape     | –             | 65                           | Argosubekti, 2020     |
| (Solanum lycopersicum) Tomato fruits | –          | 45                           | Argosubekti, 2020     |

discuss the heat stress response factors and genes and how PGPR regulate the expression of such genes to modify plant response under heat stress.

PLANT RESPONSE TO HIGH TEMPERATURE

The response of plants toward heat stress varies with the degree and duration and the plant’s developmental stage (Ruelland and Zachowski, 2010). These heat stress disorders may be recurrent or persistent in nature or both, therefore, plants have evolved different mechanisms to cope with them (Bäurle, 2016). The heat stress response (HSR) is an evolutionarily conserved mechanism that describes the plant’s adaptation and induction of thermotolerance by either by activating the defense mechanisms to stop the disruption at the transcriptomic level in the cell or by the activation of heat shock proteins (HSPs), epigenetic pathways, and DNA methylation (Lämke and Bäurle, 2017). Table 2 describes few major effects of heat stress on plants.

Morphological Response During Early and Reproductive Growth

Of all the growth phases, seed germination is the most adversely affected phase by heat stress, but, the response varies in different crops or even within varieties (Sita et al., 2017). The germination is significantly reduced above 45°C because of denaturation and embryonic cells (Cheng et al., 2009), along with severe impact on seedlings potency, the development of radicle and plumule, and seedling growth (Toh et al., 2008; Sita et al., 2017). At later stage, the effect varies with the time, length, and severity of stress (Fahad et al., 2016) but mainly heat stress decreases the cell water contents, the cell size, the plant size, growth and biomass, net assimilation rate (NAR) and relative growth rate (RGR) (Ashraf and Hafeez, 2004; Wahid and Close, 2007). Other visual symptoms include leaves and twigs scorching, growth inhibition, damaged leaves, early leaf senescence, discoloration of fruits/plants (Vollenweider and Günthardt-Goerg, 2005), reduced number of floret and spikes (Prasad et al., 2006; Fahad et al., 2016), decrease in the internodal length (Siddiqui et al., 2015; Gray and Brady, 2016), plant height, total biomass, and the number of panicles (Modarresi et al., 2010). At the reproductive stage, one degree rise in temperature is detrimental because it degenerates mitochondria and proteins, loss of seed mass, quality, viability and vigor (Nahar, 2013; Balla et al., 2019).

Physiological Responses

Water and Nutrient Uptake

The heat usually correlates with water because it cause dehydration in plant tissues especially in tropical and subtropical ecosystems (Giri, 2013; Giri et al., 2017). High temperature is lethal under both insufficient (Giri et al., 2017) or sufficient soil water because it affect the water conductivity and root permeability (Giri, 2013) which ultimately restrict the water and nutrients supply to the plant causing starvation and reduced growth (Wahid et al., 2007; Huang et al., 2016). The exact basis of the crop nutrient relationships under heat is not clearly known but overall, it lowers the photosynthesis and water viscosity and the activity of enzymes, e.g., nitrate reductase that is involved in the breakdown of nutrients, recycling, absorption, and accessibility to the plants (Kumarathunge et al., 2019).
### TABLE 2 | Effect of heat stress on different stages of plant growth in major cereals.

| Plants                        | Developing stage                          | Temperature                  | Major effect                                                                                                                                                                                                 | References                      |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| *(Triticum aestivum)* Wheat   | 60 days after sowing                      | 30/25°C day/night            | Reduce leaf size, elongation of booting stage, heading stage, pollination process.                                                                                                                         | Djanaguiraman et al., 2010      |
|                               | Maturity and Grain filling                | 37/28°C (day/night), 20 days | Decrease the number of spikelets and reduce final yield. Early maturation and grain filling. Decreased grain weight.                                                                                         | Rahman et al., 2009             |
|                               | Vegetative stage                          | 25–42.5°C                   | Reduce CO$_2$ concentration within plants.                                                                                                                                                                  | Djanaguiraman et al., 2011      |
| *(Oryza sativa)* Rice         | Panicle stage                             | Above 33°C, 10 days         | Reduced the rates of pollen and spikelet fertility.                                                                                                                                                         | Hurkman et al., 2009            |
|                               | Reproductive stage                        | 32°C (night temperature)    | Enhance pollen sterility, decrease grain size and weight which leading to yield reduction.                                                                                                                                     | Suwa et al., 2010               |
| *(Zea mays)* Maize, Corn      | Reproductive stage                        | 35/27°C (day/night), 14 days| Suppress the production of cellulose and hemicellulose which leading to a reduction in photosynthetic supply in plants.                                                                                   | Yin et al., 2010                |
|                               | During pre-anthesis and silking onwards   | 33–40°C, 15 days            | Decrease plant growth and ear formation rate.                                                                                                                                                              | Zhang et al., 2013              |
**Photosynthesis**

Photosynthesis is among the most thermosensitive pathways in plants which is adversely affected due to the heat-induced reduced water contents, numbers of leaves, premature senescence and cell death (Hu et al., 2020). The major impact is the heat-induced injury to the photosynthetic machinery, i.e., stroma and thylakoid lamellae (Kmiecik et al., 2016; Sun and Guo, 2016). This disruption inhibits the thylakoid activity and the functional efficiency of photosystem II (Morales et al., 2003). Other plant processes that hamper photosynthesis under thermal stress include the reduction of photosynthetic pigments (Marchand et al., 2005), leaf moisture, transpiration rate, low CO₂ concentration and supply to photosystem due to stomatal closure (Ashraf and Hafeez, 2004), reduction of amino acids, rubisco binding proteins (Li et al., 2018), carbohydrate depletion and plant malnutrition due to reduced activity of ADP-glucose pyro-phosphorylase, sucrose phosphate synthase, and invertase (Djanaguiraman et al., 2009). The reduction in chlorophyll pigment is linked with the activity of thylakoid membranes, lipid peroxidation of chloroplast, and reduced photochemistry ($F_v/F_m$ ratio) of photosystem II, which ultimately reduce the overall photosynthesis in crop plants (Mohammed and Tarpley, 2010).

Reduction of chlorophyll may be due to the reduced (about 60–90%) biosynthesis under high or low temperature, or increased chlorophyll-pigments degradation, or an accumulative effect of the two (Hemantaranjan et al., 2014).

**Oxidative Damage**

Heat exposure stimulates oxidative stress due to the generation of activated, extremely reactive, and toxic oxygen species. The reaction of reactive oxygen species (ROS) includes supper oxide radical ($O_2^−$), singlet oxygen ($O_2^*$), hydroxide ions (hydroxyl) radical ($OH^−$), and hydrogen peroxide ($H_2O_2$) (Marutani et al., 2012; Suzuki et al., 2012). ROS causes lipid membrane to lose the ability to regulate substance exchange across the cell membrane (Suzuki et al., 2012). Oxidative stress enhance peroxidation and further damage the proteins, lipids, carbohydrates, and DNA contributing to premature aging (Savicka and Škute, 2010). Continuous thermal stress increases the ROS deposition in the plasma membranes with cell membrane depolarization, which leads to the activation of the RBOHD enzyme (respiratory burst oxidase homolog protein-D) that produces ROS and initiates programmed cell death signal (Mittler et al., 2011). The elevated temperature raises the O₂ content in the root by 68%, and leaf
malondialdehyde (MDA) content by 27% at the early and 58% at later stages (Medina et al., 2021). Though, the plant has a special mechanism to avoid unnecessary reactive oxygen species (ROS) by the production of specific antioxidants.

**Respiration**

Heat stress affects mitochondrial functions by influencing respiration. The respiration often rises with increasing temperature, but at a certain duration of photoperiod, the respiration process declines due to damage to the respiratory system (Prasad et al., 2008; Rasmussen et al., 2020). In the heat-prone varieties under heat stress (35/25°C day/night) the respiration rate in the flag leaf of wheat was considerably higher compared to that of the control (23/18°C day/night) (Akter and Islam, 2017). The solubility of O2 and CO2 as well as Rubisco's kinetics, loss of respiratory carbon due to heat enhances the ROS and reduces ATP production and respiration in plants (Cossani and Reynolds, 2012).

**Crop Yield Response**

HS induces significant yield reduction mainly due to decrease in the number, size and quality of grain, starch synthesis and accumulation, protein concentration, pod, fiber content, and breakdown of the Ca content (van Es, 2020). HS usually accelerates the rate of grain-filling but shortens the grain-filling time leading to significant decrease in grain length, width, and weight, grain quality (Högy et al., 2013; Lamauoi et al., 2018) reduced accumulation of storage compounds (Hurkman et al., 2013) and increased male sterility (Sowa et al., 2010). HS also increase proteinogenic amino acid and maltose content and decrease the concentrations of starch, sugars, raffinose, sucrose and lipids (Vasseur et al., 2011). A slight increase in temperature (1–1.5°C) reduces the harvest index and yield up to 10% in different crops (Table 3) (Tubiello et al., 2007; Ahamed et al., 2010; Hatfield et al., 2011). Heat-susceptible cultivars show more yield losses compared with thermo-tolerant cultivars (Ahamed et al., 2010; Hussain et al., 2019).

**PLANT HEAT-ADAPTATION STRATEGIES**

Plant HS adaptation involves a variety of strategies and various processes including basal heat tolerance (BHT), acquired heat tolerance (AHT), and avoidance (Fitter and Hay, 2012; Bäurle, 2016). BHT is the natural capacity of plants to tolerate heat while AHT (also called priming or acclimation), is acquired tolerance via short pre-exposure to heat (Yeh et al., 2012). A sudden heat exposure results in short-term reaction, i.e., leaves orientation, osmotic modification, evaporation, and adjustments in cell membrane structure (Bäurle, 2016; Zhongming and Wei, 2021) whereas, under long-term exposure, multiple adaptation mechanisms work synergistically to minimize the impact of heat stress. Heat tolerance involves the activation of some important immunity pathways, including ions transporters, proteins late embryogenesis abundant (LEA), phytohormones, antioxidant-resistance, and factors implicated in transcriptional and transcriptional control (Li, 2020; Li et al., 2021). AHT is distinguished between short-term acquired tolerance (SAT) and long-term acquired tolerance (LAT) and establish a molecular stress memory state that protect themselves from acute heat damage and death (Sani et al., 2013). The stress-sensitive feature is characterized by an early signal that can be in the form of anionic and osmotic effects or alterations in membrane fluidity which restore homeostasis and sustain defective proteins and membranes (Kumarathunge et al., 2019). Plants HS avoidance includes long-lasting developmental morphological and physiological adaptations or short-lived accommodation strategies (Figure 2), e.g., intensive transpiration from leaves prevents damage by lowering the temperature of the leaves by 6°C or even 10–15°C than the normal temperature (Fitter and Hay, 2012; Li, 2020). Other plant varieties have special features that enable them to escape from warm conditions, e.g., having heat-sensitive buds, leaf abscission, annual desert buds, and by completing the entire regenerative period during the winter (Fitter and Hay, 2012). These adaptations are correlated to each other and increases the net process of photosynthesis at HS (especially for C4 and CAM plants) (Sariev et al., 2010; Li, 2020).

**Antioxidant System**

Plants have evolved a series of detoxification systems to fight against oxidative damage. These systems include peroxidase (POX), ascorbate peroxidase (APX), glutathione reductase (GR), superoxide dismutase (SOD), and catalase (CAT) which show mitigating effects against different kinds of stress including HS (Suzuki et al., 2012; Caverzan et al., 2016). These antioxidants prevent the excessive free radicals and repair the damage effects that function as a catalyst to change the dismutation reaction from SOD anion to H2O2 and O2 molecules. They also affect other physiological phenomena including biosynthesis of lignin, enzyme catabolism, defense against injury and insect/pathogen attack, and physiological damage caused by temperature stress (Wani et al., 2016; Devireddy et al., 2021).

**Production of Metabolites and Hormones**

The production of a wide range of metabolites of low molecular mass including soluble carbohydrates, amino acids, variety of sugars, and sugar alcohols and phenolics have been linked to stabilization of cellular membranes, protection of protein structures, maintenance of the cell water balance, and buffering of the cellular redox potential under abiotic stress in plants (Kumarathunge et al., 2019). Accumulation of different osmoprotectants (e.g., proline, glycine betaine, etc.) and carotenoids (e.g., xanthophylls, terpenoids, etc.) under extreme temperature participate directly in the osmotic adjustment and show positive correlation with more negative leaf osmotic potential and production of protective pigments (Wani et al., 2016; Li et al., 2021). Glycine betaine maintains the activity of Rubisco by preventing its thermal inactivation (Devireddy et al., 2021). Sucrose and other carbohydrates act as antioxidant (Lang-Mladek et al., 2010; Devireddy et al., 2021) as well as regulate carbon allocation and sugar signaling consequently protecting the pollen viability. Plant growth regulators are also involved in enhancing plants’ ability to tolerate stress, e.g., ABA, SA, IAA or CK (Ding et al., 2010; Hsu et al., 2010; Devireddy et al., 2021).
TABLE 3 | Yield losses reported in different crops due to heat stress.

| Plants                      | Yield loss (%age) | References                                      |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Cereal crops                |                   |                                                 |
| (Triticum aestivum) Wheat   | 18–30             | Balla et al., 2011; Djanaguiraman et al., 2020; Dubey et al., 2020 |
| (Zea mays) Maize, Corn      | 42                | Li et al., 2010; Da Costa et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2021 |
| (Oryza sativa) Rice         | 50                |                                                 |
| Cash crops                  |                   |                                                 |
| (Gossypium hirsutum) Cotton | 50                | Zafar et al., 2018; Majeed et al., 2021         |
| (Saccharum officinarum) Sugarcane | 20–40    | Zhao and Li, 2015; Hussain et al., 2018        |
| Vegetables                  |                   |                                                 |
| (Solanum tuberosum) Potato  | 12–35             | Rykaczewska, 2015; Momčilović, 2019            |
| (Allium cepa) onion         | 20–50             | Kandil et al., 2011; Ratnarajah and Gnanachelvam, 2021 |

Heat Shock Factors, Proteins and CRISPR Technology

Many stress-inducible transcriptions factors (known as heat shock factors; HSF, e.g., Hsf6A, DREB1A, OsMYB55), stress-related genes, and proteins (HSP) are synthesized and overexpressed to induce thermotolerance (Lamaoui et al., 2018). The HS response is preceded by HSF that acts further on the transcription of HSP mRNA. HSFs are components of a complex signaling system that control responses not only to high temperatures but many other abiotic stresses. They are transcriptional activators of HS genes and bind specifically to heat shock sequence elements throughout the genome. Figure 3 describes in detail the mechanism of HSP/HSF activation under heat stress in the plant cell. A rapid increase in temperature up to 5–10°C, causes the plant to trigger the “heat-responsive genes” which translate into a special protein called heat shock proteins (HSPs). HSPs protect the plants by activating the chaperons and proper folding of proteins, inhibit the denaturation and aggregation of intercellular proteins and maintain their function by proper folding of proteins (Baniwal et al., 2005). HSPs are miscellaneous, highly diverse and normally present in living cells but under heat stress, different small and large HSPs are produced which help in (i) folding of newly synthesized proteins (Hsp60 and Hsp70), (ii) translocation the proteins between the cellular membrane and from organelle to organelle (Hsp70), (iii) prevent from deterioration, self-aggregation, improper folding, and the production of the polymeric compound of proteins (sHsp, Hsp70, Hsp90, Hsp100), (iv) the breakdown of defective proteins by proteolytic (Hsp70, Hsp100), (v) activation of signaling molecules, transduction, transcriptional factors and transcription (Hsp70, Hsp90), (vi) production of oligomeric complexes of high molecular weight that act as a cellular matrix for unfolded protein stabilization (Hsp20 or small Hsp (sHSP) (Hasanuzzaman et al., 2013).

CRISPR/Cas9 is a genome editing tool, widely use to edit the eukaryotic genome specially in plants. The transient expression of this system is very useful to activate or limit the desired targeted gene in plants (LeBlanc et al., 2018). Recently, the scientist explained the heat-shock inducible CRISPR/Cas9 system for genome editing and demonstrate its effectiveness in rice. They combined the promoter of heat shock protein of rice U3 and soybean to induce the HS-CRISPR/Cas9 system via Cas9 and single guider RNA (Nandy et al., 2019). In another study, the Enhanced response to ABA1 (ERA1) gene present in Arabidopsis thaliana was targeted, that encode the farnesyltransferase and upregulate the abscisic acid (ABA) signaling under heat and drought stress. By using CRISPR/Cas9 system, they knock in the ERA1 gene into rice genome and enhanced the ABA in rice under heat and water deficit condition (Ogata et al., 2020).

MANAGING AGRICULTURE UNDER HEAT STRESS

By proper agronomic management, such as water, the methods and quantity for fertilization, the sowing time and method, the addition of protectants, plants can be grown effectively under heat stress (Ortiz et al., 2008). The usual practices are as follows:

- **Mulching** is done to preserve optimum moisture in soil, because it reduces soil evaporation (Chen et al., 2015), improves nitrogen and water efficiency (Singh et al., 2011), prevents yield loss (Chakraborty et al., 2008; Glab and Kulig, 2008) in temperate and tropical regions under thermal stress (Akter and Islam, 2017).

- **Proper sowing time** is very important for crops as early or late plantation shortens the heading and maturity period, improves pathogen infestation, eventually affecting economic yield and grain quality (Khichar and Niwas, 2007; Al-Karaki, 2012; Hakim et al., 2012; Hossain and Da Silva, 2012).

- **Increasing crop nutrition** (e.g., N, Ca, K, Mg, B, Se, and Mn) alter the stomatal function, activate physiological and molecular processes, improve tissue water potential, decrease ROS toxicity by increasing the antioxidant concentration stimulate heat stress tolerance (Waraiich et al., 2012; Mengutay et al., 2013). The post-anthesis foliar application also improve grain proteins, slows down the ABA synthesis, improves cytokinin production, stimulates the photosynthesis and accumulation of assimilates (Dias and Lidon, 2010; Singh et al., 2011).
The exogenous application of growth promoters [e.g., phytohormones, osmoregulatory, receptor molecules, polyamines along with spermidine, putrescine, spermine, putrescine, alpha-tocopherol (vitamin E), arginine etc.] regulate the ROS and enhance the efficiency of the antioxidant thus protect plants under HS (Farooq et al., 2011; Sharma and Chahal, 2012; Hemantaranjan et al., 2014; Uprety and Reddy, 2016).

Exogenous calcium application activates MDA that enhances the activity of guaiacol peroxidase, CAT, SOD, which ultimately induce heat resistance in plants. It can also protect chlorophyll from solar radiation destruction and sustain stomatal functioning (Dias and Lidon, 2009; Waraich et al., 2012). Activation of different enzymatic, e.g., CAT, SOD, ascorbate peroxidase (APX), and non-enzymatic antioxidants, e.g., tocopherol, and ascorbic acid have a significant effect on oxidative management under multiple stresses (Balla et al., 2007).

Plant treatment with reactive short-chain leaf volatiles (RSLVs also known as oxylipins; a group of C4–C9 straight chain carbonyls categorized by an alpha, β-unsaturated carbonyl bond) show high thermotolerance (Yamauchi, 2018).

Co-polymers of Poly (N-isopropyl acrylamide) (Dimitrov et al., 2007) or poly acrylic acid (PAA) have been used as thermo-tolerant nanoparticle to transport specific chemicals within the plant to develop resistant against HS (Xu et al., 2015).

The use of natural bio-stimulants, e.g., amino acids, microorganisms, fruit extracts, seaweeds, inorganic materials, and chitin or engineered, e.g., plant hormones, phenols, salts, chemical substances, and other elements with plant regulating properties (Calvo et al., 2014; Van Oosten et al., 2017).

Transfer of heat shock regulatory proteins (HSFs, HSPs) or development of heat shock transcriptional elements (HSEs) enhance gene expression within minute and induced heat tolerance in plants.

MICROBE THERAPY FOR HEAT TOLERANCE

Plants are home to large collection of microbes collectively known as plant microbiome (Liu and Tán, 2017; Álvarez-Pérez et al., 2019) which is highly dynamic in nature and show significant shift in the composition in response to external stimuli and environmental stresses, e.g., heat (Santos-Medellín et al., 2017; Timm et al., 2018). This shift is not a passive plant reaction, but a deliberate response because both plants and microbes...
have been coevolved since millions of years (Durán et al., 2018; Kwak et al., 2018). Plants use precise combinations of chemical stimuli under abiotic, or pathogen-induced stress which trigger specific microbes to overexpress particular proteins or enzymes for inducing stress tolerance (Bakker et al., 2018; Kumar and Verma, 2018; Liu and Brettell, 2019; Hakim et al., 2021). Overall, the plant microbiome controls nutrient availability, root growth, plant yield and modulates resistance to stresses (Chialva et al., 2018; Lu et al., 2018).

The microbial application (inoculation) is cheap, eco-friendly, low-input, and time-saving strategy as compared to the development of stress-tolerant crop variety or germplasm screening (Shrivastava and Kumar, 2015). Plant growth-promoting microbes (PGPM) require optimum condition for maximum efficiency (e.g., production of phytohormones, nitrogen fixation, and solubilization of nutrients P, Zn, Ca, Fe, etc.). Even though stress factors (salinity, drought, heat, and heavy metals) decrease their efficacy, but some PGPR modify themselves for maximum efficiency under stress. Species of Enterobacter, Acetobacter, and Pseudomonas solubilize the phosphorus 74%, 75%, and 80% respectively at normal (30–32 ± 2°C) and high temperature (38–40 ± 2°C) (Kachhap et al., 2015). Similarly, the Acetobacter spp. produced 100%, Enterobacter spp. produced 82% and Pseudomonas spp. produced 50% IAA both at high and low temperatures. While high temperature reduced the efficacy of nitrogen fixation in rhizobia. High temperature reduced the symbiotic relationship between plant roots and microbes which ultimately decreased the rate of nitrogen fixation. Heat stress inhibits microbial adherence on root hair and root nodules (Alexandre and Oliveira, 2013) and also disturb the molecular signals between microbes and root hair which leads to forming a weak symbiotic relationship between partners (Sadowsky, 2005). However, some rhizobial species from Acacia have thermostolerance up to 44°C which enable them to fix nitrogen at high temperature and give benefit to plant (Zahran et al., 1994).

PGPM are stable under chilling and heat stress (Barka et al., 2006; Ali et al., 2011) but the molecular and physiological changes connected to this stress management are poorly understood. Inoculation studies reports that systemic effects are involved during heat/chilling stress that change metabolic and regulatory function of plant supporting both growth and stress management (Abd El-Daim et al., 2019). Table 4 describes the role of PGPR in plant under stress condition. Decrease in the ROS production by seed treatment with Bacillus amyloliquefaciens and Azospirillum brasilense has also been reported under HS (Abd El-Daim et al., 2014). A recent review published the meta-analysis of microbe-mediated thermostolerance in plants and their mechanisms from 39 published research articles (Dastogeer et al., 2022). They have reported a significant decrease in accumulation of MDA and H2O2 in colonized plants showing lower oxidation activity but a corresponding increase in the activities of catalase, peroxidase, glutathione reductase under heat stress. However, the activities of SOD, ascorbate oxidase, ascorbate peroxidase and proline were variable. The overall impact of microbial colonization was more pronounced under heat stress.

**Mechanistic Interpretation of Microbe Therapy**

A variety of PGPM have been known to induce heat stress using various mechanisms (Dodd and Pérez-Alfocea, 2012; Ramadoss et al., 2013). For instance, Pseudomonas putida produces heat shock protein that plays a vital role in increasing plant thermal tolerance (Ali et al., 2011) (Figure 4). Many of PGPM activate structural changes in plants that impart tolerance to heat stress, a phenomenon known as induced systemic tolerance (Yang et al., 2009). Apart from inducing direct stress tolerance, several plant-beneficial traits exhibited by these bacteria support plant growth in a synergistic manner under stress (Etesami and Beattie, 2017; Imran et al., 2021). They benefit plants either directly, through the phytohormones production, nutrient mobilization, and nitrogen fixation or indirectly by triggering the signaling cascades in the host plant. Burkholderia phytofirmans strain PsJN is a well-reported PGPR that enhances heat tolerance in tomatoes, cold tolerance in grapevine, water stress tolerance in wheat, salt, and freezing tolerance in Arabidopsis. The same bacterium also has the antifungal property that protects the plant from biotic stress (Issa et al., 2018) revealing that a single bacterium can induce multiple benefits in same or different hosts (Imran et al., 2021).

**Role of Microbial Phytohormones Under HS**

Phytohormones are the key regulators of plant development, and the plants have a natural ability to synthesize, perceive and respond to these hormones. This response is modulated upon exposure to external/internal stimuli (Khan et al., 2014; Hakim et al., 2021), e.g., decreased levels of auxin, cytokinin, and gibberellin and increased ABA level under HS (Wu et al., 2016). One of the mechanisms of PGPM-mediated plant growth improvement is the phytohormone production trait (Etesami et al., 2015) which affect the metabolism of endogenous (Hashem et al., 2016; Sorty et al., 2016) and ultimately play a key role in modulating the plant’s response under stress, uptake etc. (Spaepen et al., 2008; Khan et al., 2011). These facts validate that the phytohormones-producing PGPM reverse the adverse effects of heat stress.

Auxin (IAA) is the most important hormone, imperative for cell division, differentiation that controls seed germination, roots development, and apical dominance (Maheshwari et al., 2015). The majority of the rhizospheric microbes (>80%) synthesize and release IAA in the rhizosphere which elongates plant roots, increases the number of root hairs for enhanced uptake of water and nutrients under normal (Oleńska et al., 2020) as well as stress (Imran et al., 2015; Nawaz et al., 2020; Zia et al., 2021). IAA producing Ochrobactrum sp. and Pseudomonas sp. (volcanos isolates) have shown improved root and shoot length, fresh weight, and biomass of maize under high temperature (40°C), drought (up to 60% Poly Ethylene Glycol 6000), and salt (500 mM NaCl) (Mishra et al., 2017).

Gibberellins (GAs) regulate plant developmental processes such as embryogenesis, leaf expansion, stem elongation,
### TABLE 4 | Role of PGPR in plant under stress condition.

| Bacterial strain                  | Crop                               | Role in plant                                                                                           | References                           |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| **1-ACC Deaminase**               |                                    |                                                                                                         |                                      |
| *Klebsiella* sp.                  | *Triticum aestivum* (Wheat)         | *Klebsiella* sp. SBP-8 protects the plants against adverse effects of salt and heat stress; reduce stress-induced ethylene and regulation of ion transporters | Singh et al., 2015                   |
| *Bacillus cereus*                 | *Triticum aestivum* (Wheat)         | Increase plant growth (root, shoot fresh and dry weight, chlorophyll contents) under heat stress          | Ali et al., 2011                     |
| *Pseudomonas putida*              |                                    |                                                                                                         |                                      |
| **2-Exopolysaccharides**          |                                    |                                                                                                         |                                      |
| *Bacillus cereus*                 | *Solanum lycopersicum* (Tomato)     | Increase the number of flowers and fruits Increase chlorophyll, proline, and antioxidants                | Mukhtar T. et al., 2020              |
| *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens UCMB5113* | *Triticum aestivum* (Wheat)         | Increase HSP26 and chlorophyll accumulate GABA and modulate metabolic pathways                           | Abd El-Daim et al., 2018            |
| *Azospirillum brasiliense NO40*   | *Sorghum bicolor* (Sorghum)         | Enhance tolerance of sorghum seedlings to elevated temperatures                                         | Ali et al., 2011                     |
| *Rhizobium* sp. (Cajanus)         | *Leguminosae* (Legume)              | Heat shock protein (Hsp) of 63-74 kDa                                                                  | Sutherland, 2001                     |
| *Pseudomonas* sp. AKM-P6          | *Solanum tuberosum* (Potato)        | Promote growth                                                                                         | Bensalim et al., 1998               |
| *Bacillus aryabhattai H26-2 and Bacillus siamensis H30-3* | *Brassica oleracea var. capitata* (Cabbage) | Leaf abscisic acid (ABA) content and reduced stomatal opening after stresses treatments, Biocontrol activity against soft rot | Abd El-Daim et al., 2018            |
| *Bradyrhizobium diaeoefficiens USDA110* | *Glycine max* (Soybean)             | Survival in starvation                                                                                  | Nishihata et al., 2018               |
| *Shinorizobium meliloti*          | *Medicago sativa* (Alfalfa)         | Affect symbiosis during heat stress                                                                      | Ogden et al., 2019                   |
Ahmad et al. Microbe Mediated Plant Heat Tolerance

**Figure 4 |** Mechanism of PGPR for growth promotion and abiotic stress tolerance.

Flowering, and fruit ripening (Binenbaum et al., 2018) while abscisic acid (ABA) regulates cell division and elongation, seed dormancy and germination, embryo maturation, floral induction, and responses to stresses (Finkelstein, 2013). PGPM capable to synthesize gibberellins stimulate plant growth and stress tolerance by modulating the endogenous levels of GAs and ABA. For instance, inoculation with GAs producing *Serratia nematophila* and *B. tequilensis* increase the endogenous synthesis of GA4 and ABA while reduced the salicylic and jasmonic acids and improved plant biomass under HS (Kang et al., 2015). Phytohormones-producing endophytic fungi (*Phoma* and *Penicillium* sp.) and *Bacillus* spp. also modulate the level of endogenous abscisic acid, salicylic acid, and jasmonic acid under multiple stresses and improve thermotolerance (Waqas et al., 2012). Inoculation with a multiple hormone (IAA, CK, JA, SA, GAs, and ABA) producing *B. aryabhattai* strain significantly improved nodule number, overall plant growth, and increased stress tolerance of soybean to drought and high temperatures (38°C) (Park et al., 2017).

Cytokinins are involved in processes such as seed germination, apical dominance, roots development, nodule organogenesis, development of vascular tissues, flower and fruit, and plant-pathogen interactions (Osugi and Sakakibara, 2015). Different microbes (such as *Bacillus*, *Escherichia*, *Agrobacterium*, *Methylobacterium*, *Proteus*, *Pseudomonas*, and *Klebsiella*) inhabiting plant rhizosphere are capable to synthesize and release CK in the rhizosphere which stimulate plant growth under stress including heat (Liu et al., 2013). Exogenous application of INCYDE-F (an inhibitor of CK-oxidase/dehydrogenase) in *Arabidopsis* increased the contents of CK trans-zeatin and cis-zeatin in roots and IAA in all tissues after HS. It further reduced the level of ABA in leaves and ethylene in apices of roots which shows that inhibition of CK-degradation helped the *Arabidopsis* to cope with HS (Prerostova et al., 2020).

Ethylene is a gaseous hormone involved in abscission, senescence, reproductive development, and a(biotic) stress response (Liu et al., 2021). Pollen development is the most thermosensitive stage during reproduction, therefore, regulation of ethylene signaling in reproductive tissues is critical to gain reproductive success (Jegadeesan et al., 2018). Various abiotic and biotic stressors enhanced the levels of ethylene in plant tissues which is detrimental for plants. *Enterobacter* sp. SA187-induced thermo-tolerance to wheat in field has been reported (Shekhawat et al., 2021) which is mediated by the ethylene signaling via the TF EN13 and constitutive H3K4me3 modification of HS memory genes, generating robust thermotolerance in plants.

Biosynthesis of ethylene is undertaken by two transcripts (i) *PsACS* [encode enzymes that convert S-adenosyl-L-methionine to 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC)] and (ii) *PsACO* (encode enzymes that convert ACC to ethylene (Savada et al., 2017). Various microbes, i.e., *Methylobacterium*, *Bacillus*, *Alcaligenes*, *Enterobacter*, *Pseudomonas*, *Azospirillum*, *Rhizobium*, and *Bradyrhizobium* have an enzyme “1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) deaminase,” which metabolize ACC (an immediate precursor of ethylene) into
α-ketobutyrate and ammonia ultimately lowering down the ethylene levels and detrimental impact on plants under stress including HS (Saleem et al., 2007). Burkholderia phytofirmans, Pseudomonas frederiksbergensis, P. vancouverensis, P. putida, and B. cereus are few examples of heat resilient microbes that utilize the ACC-deaminase enzyme to reduce the endogenous ethylene levels in plants produced under a range of different stresses including salinity (Liu et al., 2021), drought (Danish et al., 2020), and heat (Mukhtar et al., 2020).

Role of Microbial Exopolysaccharides Under HS
Exopolysaccharides (EPSs) are bacterial extracellular polymers that form 3D structure of complex compound (sugars, enzymes, polysaccharides, lipids, nucleic acids, extracellular DNA structural proteins) which is used in energy exchange mechanism in response to environmental signals (Mishra, 2013) and have a direct role in heat stress tolerance (Nishihata et al., 2018; Ogden et al., 2019). These EPSs are involved in cell aggregation, adhesion, water retention, building a protective barrier and supplying nutrients. They contain high-molecular-weight polymers that enable bacteria to cling with the soil particles via hydrogen bonding, van der wall forces, anionic and cationic bonding, and keep them alive. The inoculation with heat-resistant, ESP-producing B. cereus increased root and shoot length, chlorophyll and proline contents, water intake, number of flowers and fruits in tomato under high temperature (Mukhtar et al., 2020). HS induces changes in EPSs production as well as other cellular proteins, i.e., HSPs which prevents protein aggregation, misfolding, and target abnormally folded proteins for degradation (Parsell and Lindquist, 1993). Nguyen et al. (2014) reported that Pseudomonas sp. strain PsJN produced ESPs at high temperature and enhanced growth of the potato. Application of Bacillus, Pseudomonas and Azospirillum spp. (Ali et al., 2011; Abd El-Daim et al., 2019; Da Jeong Shin et al., 2019) have been shown to induce metabolic and regulatory proteins modulation to develop heat stress tolerance along with the cold and drought stresses. Apart from this, EPS act as chemoattractant and help to develop microbial biofilm matrix on the root surface that protect root surfaces from any damage under any kind of stress including HS.

Microbe-Induced HS Tolerance and Antioxidant Activity
Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria not only produce phytohormones but also help plants by modulation of different genes under heat stress. Heat stress upregulates the genes involved in autophagy (e.g., SlWRKY33b and SlATG5 genes) that are harmful to plants. But the application of Bacillus cereus sp. isolate (SA1) and humic acid (HA) down-regulate the expression of these genes which not only give relief but provide thermotolerance to the tomato plants (Khan et al., 2020) and increase the uptake of potassium (K) phosphorus (P), and iron (Fe). B. cereus SA1 enhanced the activity of antioxidants (CAT, APX, SOD) as well as the efficacy of chlorophyll pigments. The inoculation also resulted in a reduced level of abscisic acid (ABA) and enhanced the level of salicylic acid (SA). The treated plant showed a reduced level of glutathione (GSH).

It has been shown that thermotolerant bacteria (Bacillus spp. actinobacterium Kocuria sp.) and cyanobacteria (Calothrix elenkii and Anabaena laxa) show plant growth-promoting activities (30–50% root and shoot length, biomass, and dry weight) and stimulate peroxidase (5–10%) and endoglucanase activities in a range of different plants (Kumar et al., 2013).

Thermotolerant P. putida strain AKMP7 inoculated to wheat plants increased the plant biomass and dry weight, enhanced root and shoot length, increase the number of tillers and spikelet, and enhance grain formation (Ali et al., 2011). The inoculated bacteria prevent the plant from cellular injury, enhance the antioxidant enzymatic activities (SOD, APX, CAT), and improve cellular metabolism, e.g., the level of protein, proline contents, cellular sugar, amino acid, and starch, and the efficiency of chlorophyll under heat stress condition. AKMP7 formed biofilm on plant roots which help plants to combat adverse heat stress conditions.

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE PROSPECTS
Global warming has become a critical challenge to food security, causing severe yield losses of major crops worldwide. Given the expanding needs for global food supply as well as the extreme pressure of population growth and climate change trajectories, strategies should primarily be focused on the right investigation on different abiotic stresses especially on heat stress that becomes a key problem in the last decade. The plants are more vulnerable to high temperatures because of their sessile nature. They exhibit physio-morphological, biochemical, and molecular adaptations against heat, but further investigations are required to understand the thermotolerance mechanism active in different plant species. The plants themselves produce antioxidants, reduce the stomatal conductance, activate heat-responsive genes, and produce heat shock proteins, but on the other hand, exogenous or foliar application of chemicals like calcium chloride (CaCl₂), salicylic acid, bio-stimulants, nanoparticles, and osmoprotectants are useful in sustainable agriculture. Furthermore, heat-sensitive genes can be targeted through CRISPR-Cas9 to develop heat-insensitive crops in the future. The heat resilient microbes produces phytohormones, ethylene, ACC deaminase, antioxidant enzymes, and HSP under heat stress enabling plants to maintain their growth under stress. A recent review publishes the meta-analysis of 39 published studies in support of PGPR-mediated thermotolerance in plants. This supports that microbe-mediated solutions are more sustainable than developing heat-tolerant varieties as microbes are usually present in the soil and rhizosphere and can form associations with homologous as well as non-homologous hosts. So, a single heat resilient microbe-inoculum may be effective in more than one crop or plants. Furthermore, the microbes are multi-purpose and exhibit many other traits of plant interest apart from giving tolerance against heat stress making the microbe-therapy more effective than chemical or genetic engineering or breeding approaches. However, the molecular mechanisms involved in this tolerance may be studied in detail.
in different crops prone to heat stress. As plants can’t live in isolation in any environment, they have a direct impact of environment and also interact with the microbes present in soil. Whereas microbes also interact with other microbes and to the environment. So, this tri-partite association is very important for the stable functioning of the plants and microbes and over all agricultural sustainability in any given environment.
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