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Abstract This study is primarily intended to verify the effects of service quality on the customer loyalty using the customer satisfaction as a mediator. Focus on the population of Taiwan's Watsons Personal Care Stores, a total of 395, to adopt a Stratified Random Sampling for sampling with totally sending out 850 copies of mailed questionnaires with a receipt of 228 copies valid response questionnaires for a 26.82% effective response rate, and applying a Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) to verify whether the goodness-of-fit of Overall Model, Structural Model and Measurement Model can be established in this study. The results have been found: (1) Making positive effects on the customer satisfaction; (2) Customer satisfaction making significant and positive effects on the customer loyalty; and (3) Excellent service quality making positive but not significant effects on the customer loyalty. Based on the results, the customer satisfaction has an approximately complete mediating effect. Additionally, the study results can not only provide businesses with the knowledge about the significance and generated benefits of service quality and customer satisfaction, but also make a reference for relevant business decision-making. Briefly, it is expected that the study results will provide businesses with effective applications of the service quality and customer satisfaction to enhance the customer loyalty increasing customers' repurchase intention.
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1. Background (Motives and Purposes) of this Research

Along with the rising awareness of public health and emerging beauty industries, drug & cosmetic stores have been everywhere in our daily life that they have become an essential industry. Watsons Personal Care Store, formerly Watsons pharmacy, a chain retailer of personal care products in Asia, affiliated to Hutchison Whampoa Watsons Group, which is the largest personal care and beauty retail chain stores in Asia and Europe, operation across 12 Asian and European markets, including Taiwan. Watsons officially opened in 1987, now the very fast store expansion has been achieved to have more than 400 stores across the whole island. Meanwhile, professional pharmacists and beauty consultants are posted in every store, and enthusiastic staff with friendly, professional and caring brand DNA offer customers the most convenient, full-range and professional shopping options of personal care products.

In regard of service quality and customer satisfaction being important factors for the enhancement of customer loyalty, Michael manifested ‘a causal relationship and interdependence between service qualities, customer satisfaction and customer loyalty, necessarily seen as a whole for evaluation and management’. For all companies, important issues for running a business are meeting customer's needs and making them satisfied. Nevertheless, many researches pointed out, higher customer satisfaction will enhance customer loyalty; next, the enhancement of customer loyalty will contribute to make profits for the business and facilitate the company's growth; therefore, the enhancement of customer satisfaction is very important, and customer loyalty can't be overlooked by the companies. However, in the knowledge economy era, those who can master the service quality for customers, and their satisfaction will grasp the competitive advantages, further enhance their loyalty, which has been one of current key issues worth researching. Hence, this study wants to verify and explore the effects of service quality on customer loyalty, using customer satisfaction as a mediator, and focus on the population of Taiwan's Watsons Personal Care Stores. Therefore, the main purpose of this study consists of three points as follows:

(1) To verify whether excellent service quality of Taiwan's Watsons Personal Care Stores makes positive and significant effects on customer satisfaction.

(2) To verify whether the customer satisfaction of Taiwan's Watsons Personal Care Stores makes positive and
significant effects on customer loyalty.

(3) To verify whether excellent service quality of Taiwan’s Watsons Personal Care Stores makes positive and significant effects on customer loyalty.

2. Literature Review

In regard of the main dimensions of this research including Literature Review of service quality, customer satisfaction and customer loyalty, herewith, respective discussions are made as below:

2.1. Service Quality

2.1.1. Definitions and Dimensions of the "Service Quality"

There are many literatures related to service quality researches. This research has quoted the ‘Conceptual Definition’ from Lin22, that is, ‘The service quality consists of customer's expectation for service and customer cognition after actually serviced, and the difference between both indicates good or bad service quality’. As for the "Operational Definition" of service quality in this study, it referred to five dimensions of service quality proposed by Parasuraman, Zeithaml, and Berry31: (1) Tangibles: supply of service physical facilities, equipment and service staff appearance; (2) Reliability: ability to reliably and correctly fulfill the commitments to consumers; (3) Responsiveness: willingness to assist customers and ability to provide fast service; (4) Assurance: service staff’s knowledge and manners, and their ability to have customers depended; and assured (5) Empathy: ability to provide customers with care and concerns.

Focus on the "service quality" basic concepts quoted from relevant literature, here is a brief explanation as below:

Previous literature about service quality mainly stressed on the production activities in the manufacturing industries, and the discussion focused on the concepts of physical goods. For example, Juran16 classified service quality into five parts: (1) internal qualities; (2) hardware qualities; (3) software qualities; (4) time promptness; (5) psychological qualities. It has been until Parasuraman, Zeithaml, and Berry29 inferred ‘the concepts of service quality from physical product quality’, who defined service quality as "the difference between customer's expectation for service and real perception of service after actually receiving service"; meanwhile, Parasuraman, Zeithaml, and Berry30 further pointed out ‘three features of service quality respectively: (1) It’s more difficult to evaluate service quality than physical goods; (2) Perception of good or bad service quality comes from the difference between customer’s expectation level and actual perception levels of service; (3) The measuring method of service quality does not only depend on service results, but also include measurement of the service delivery process’. Secondly, Lin22 considered ‘the service quality as an evaluation from customers for advantage and disadvantage degrees of the whole service’. Service quality consists of customer's expectation for service and customer cognition after actually serviced, and the difference between both indicates good or bad service quality.

Additionally, Parasuraman et al31 also redefined ‘service quality as "advantage and disadvantage degrees of the service generated from the interaction between service providers and customers during the service delivery process"', meanwhile, a SERVQUAL Scale was proposed, which made total five dimensions respectively: (1) tangibles; (2) reliability; (3) responsiveness; (4) assurance; and (5) empathy'. As a result, the SERVQUAL (SERVICE QUALITY) Scale is currently the most widely used in the measurement of service quality, which comprises five dimensions with excellent reliability, validity and low repetition, and twenty-two items for measuring service quality. It is the reason why this study quoted ‘the five dimensions of SERVQUAL Scale’, proposed by Parasuraman et al31, as a measurement dimension in this study.

2.2. Definitions and Dimensions of the Customer Satisfaction

‘The conceptual definition of "customer satisfaction" in this study quoted the definition’ made by Huang, Tsai, and Xu14, which referred to "customer satisfaction is built upon consumers' expectation toward products before purchase, and their cognition of product performance after purchase, which will show the difference to indicate satisfaction or dissatisfaction. The dissatisfaction occurs when the product performance can't achieve expectation; in contrast, satisfaction occurs when the product performance is successful beyond expectation". Regarding the measurement dimension for customer satisfaction in this study, it followed ‘three of the dimensions’ made by Zeithaml & Binter40: (1) product quality; (2) service quality; and (3) price for research.

In regard of basic concepts of definition and dimension for the above customer satisfaction, they were summarized from the following literature.

As for the definition of customer satisfaction, Cardozo manifested ‘the customer's satisfaction will increase the behavior of repurchase, other products will be purchased, too’. ‘Customer satisfaction is the evaluation result including the evaluation of giving and acquiring’28, and such a satisfaction also encompasses cognitive or emotional elements26. Spreng34 considered ‘customer satisfaction meaning consumers' evaluation of the purchase process after they have bought the products, generating the sensible and rational perception state’. In comparison with grabbing new customers, the relationship can be set up between customer's satisfaction and old customers, which is a cost-saving way to get old customers' higher propensity
for repurchase; meanwhile, 'grabbing new customers by positive word-of-mouth marketing makes significant effects on profitability'. Singh discovered 'something from social psychology and organizational theories that Satisfaction is a multiple-facet dimension with multiple items for measuring the degree of satisfaction, and to indicate the measurement of customer satisfaction making a variation due to different industries or research subjects'. Ostrom & Iacobucci considered 'the evaluation of customer satisfaction as customer's expectation for products or services, and the degree of Satisfaction Judgment generated from cognitive performance, i.e., the customer overall evaluation toward product prices offered by the companies, their internal operational efficiency and service systems, employees' service attitude and professional knowledge, the companies' overall performance and the proximity to an ideal company, and so on'.

Zeng held 'an opinion that customer satisfaction is the interaction between service staff and customers, more smooth interaction, higher customer satisfaction'.

Lu pointed out customer satisfaction is a formation of consumption attitude; exactly, consumers make the overall evaluation after purchasing products or receiving services.

Huang et al manifested 'customer satisfaction is built upon consumers' expectation toward products before purchase, and their cognition of product performance after purchase, which will show the difference to indicate satisfaction or dissatisfaction. The dissatisfaction occurs when the product performance can't achieve expectation; in contrast, satisfaction occurs when the product performance is successful beyond expectation'.

As for the dimensions of customer satisfaction in this study, they referred to the following relevant literature:

Singh discovered 'something from social psychology and organizational theories that Satisfaction is a multiple-facet dimension certainly with multiple items for measuring the degree of satisfaction, further to indicate the measurement of customer satisfaction making a variation due to different industries or research subjects'.

Ostrom and Iacobucci proffered 'the measuring items of consumer satisfaction encompass product price, service efficiency, service staff attitude, company overall performance, the proximity to an ideal company, and so on'. Wiley also proposed 'consumer satisfaction contains: (1) product quality; (2) product delivery speed; (3) sales staff knowledge; (4) friendly service; (5) after-sale service; (6) competitiveness of product prices; (7) values; (8) degree of satisfaction; (9) probability of repurchase for similar products; and (10) timely service'.

Zeithaml & Binter proffered 'the dimensions of overall satisfaction, (1) product quality; (2) service quality; (3) situational factors; (4) personal factors; and (5) prices'.

Westbrook presented 'the measurement dimension of satisfaction, (1) sales staff satisfaction; (2) store environment satisfaction; and (3) product satisfaction'. Chung explored 'the relationship of customer satisfaction between different E-shop types, bringing up its consumer satisfaction dimensions divided into online satisfaction and brand satisfaction'.

2.3. Definitions and Dimensions of the Customer Loyalty

The conceptual definition of "customer loyalty" in this study quoted 'the definition' made by Heskett, which referred to "customer loyalty means that customers repurchase, commit themselves to introduce the company's products or service, willingly convince others using the company's products or service, voluntarily recommend the company's products or willingly help improve the company's service, and so on". The measurement dimension for customer loyalty in this study, it followed the 'perspectives' from Griffin, two dimensions of "preference" and "repetitive support". Regarding conceptual definition of customer loyalty, it is inspired by the following literature.

Oliver considered 'customer loyalty will be affected by external environment or tempted by other marketing tactics'. Nevertheless, customers' favorite products or service in future purchase and commitment will neither be affected nor changed'. Besides, Oliver also followed 'faith, attitude, and intention mode to classify the loyalty into four stages including cognitive loyalty, emotional loyalty, behavioral & intentional loyalty, and action loyalty'.

Bhote pointed out 'customer loyalty is customers being satisfied with the company's merchandise or service that they would like to promote the company's products'.

Oliver believed 'customer loyalty refers to potential conversion behavior probably triggered by environmental effects or marketing tactics though; customers' favorite merchandise or service, future repurchases and commitment to frequent patronage won't be changed easily'.

Heskett also manifested 'customer loyalty means customers' repurchases, commitment to introduce the company's products or service to others, willingness of convincing others to use the company's products or service, voluntary recommendation to the company's products, or willing assistance to improve its service, and so on'.

Sirdeshmukh and Sabol considered 'customer loyalty means customers may want to maintain the relationship with the current company, therefore, they probably adopt various behaviors, including increased usage of one certain supplier's products, praising such a company and repurchases'. Griffin considered 'the second factor' to decide whether the customer is loyal toward products or service other than "Preference", is "Repetitive Support". Thence, these two dimensions were applied to divide loyalty into four types: (1) high loyalty: relatively high preference and highly repurchases; (2) potential loyalty: relatively high preference and low repurchases; (3) dull loyalty: relatively low preference and highly repurchases; and (4) lacking loyalty: relatively low preference and low repurchases.
2.4. Relevant Literature to Service Quality and Customer Satisfaction

Concerning the relevance between service quality and customer satisfaction, this study summed up the following literature to make the hypothesis as below: H1: Whether the excellent service quality of Taiwan’s Watsons Personal Care Stores can make positive effects on customer satisfaction or not.

Taylor & Baker considered ‘service quality will affect customer satisfaction, as well as affect customer's purchase behavior’.

Hurley & Estelami considered ‘the dimension of service quality is different from the one of Satisfaction, meanwhile, they also believed service quality is an antecedent factor for customer satisfaction’.

The research of Chen and Wang discovered ‘the expectation for service quality has direct and positive correlation to customer satisfaction’.

The research of Lin manifested ‘service quality and customer satisfaction can effectively predict the Loyalty’.

Huang et al believed ‘service quality makes significantly positive effects on customer satisfaction’.

With an overview of previous descriptions, although research subjects in each literature are different from this study, the above Hypothesis 1 (H1) proposed by this study, i.e. excellent service quality of Taiwan’s Watsons Personal Care Stores makes positive and significant effects on customer satisfaction, necessarily in use of interview surveys and statistical methods to verify whether the hypothesis substantiated or not.

2.5. Relevant Literature to Customer Satisfaction and Customer Loyalty

Concerning the literature of relevance between customer satisfaction and customer loyalty, this study summed up the following literature to make the hypothesis as below: H2: the customer satisfaction of Taiwan's Watsons Personal Care Stores makes positive and significant effects on customer loyalty.

Lin and Lee considered ‘customer satisfaction will make positive effects on customer loyalty’.

Huang et al considered ‘customer satisfaction makes significantly positive effects on customer loyalty’.

With an overview of previous descriptions, although research subjects in each literature are different from this study, the above Hypothesis 2 (H2) proposed by this study, i.e. customer satisfaction of Taiwan's Watsons Personal Care Stores makes positive and significant effects on customer loyalty, necessarily in use of interview surveys and statistical methods to verify whether the hypothesis substantiated or not.

2.6. Relevant Literature to Service Quality and Customer Loyalty

Concerning the relevance between service quality and customer loyalty, this study summed up the following literature to make the hypothesis as below: H3: the excellent service quality of Taiwan’s Watsons Personal Care Stores makes positive effects on customer loyalty.

The research of Kao indicated that ‘service quality and customer loyalty indeed exist positive correlation’.

Chen et al believed ‘customer satisfaction makes direct and positive effects on customer loyalty, and service quality making indirect and positive effects on customer loyalty via customer satisfaction’.

Chih, Chiu, and Lin considered ‘service quality doesn’t make significantly direct effects on customer loyalty; instead, making indirect effects on customer loyalty via customer satisfaction’.

With an overview of previous descriptions, although research subjects in each literature are different from those of this study, the above Hypothesis 3 (H3) proposed by this study, i.e. the excellent service quality of Taiwan's Watsons Personal Care Stores makes positive and significant effects on customer loyalty, necessarily in use of interview surveys and statistical methods to verify whether the hypothesis substantiated or not.

2.7. Research Framework

According to the described study purposes, hypotheses and literature review as above, the following research framework can be achieved as the Figure 1:
3. Research Method

3.1. Research Subjects and Questionnaire Design

Front line employees of all Taiwan's Watsons Personal Care Stores, a total of 395 as Population - (Keelung 6, Taipei 81, Taipei County 74, Taoyuan 37, Hsinchu 13, Yilan County 6, Taichung 46, Nantou 7, Chiayi 7, Changhua 11, Miaoli 7, Hualien 5, Tainan 28, Kaohsiung 49, Pingtung 15, Taitung 1, Penghu 2) throughout the 19 counties of the island in 2014, were selected to be subjects of this study, adopting the Stratified Random Sampling for testing. To enhance the content validity and reliability of questionnaires, thereby, questionnaires were given out to experts in a pilot-test before working on respective questionnaires, and the Pilot test implemented next to modify or exclude inappropriate questions of the questionnaires. Eventually, the Post-test was operated, totally sending out 850 copies of mailed questionnaires with a receipt of 228 copies valid response questionnaires for a 26.82% effective response rate. The structure table of questionnaire question numbers for variables within the main construct (Conceptual Dimension) and sub-constructs (Operational Measurement Dimension) was shown as Table 1.

3.2. The Data Obtained from Questionnaire and Measurement Model

To validate the research framework, this study uses SEM (structural equation modelling) for a confirmatory factor analysis (CFA). The questionnaire was constructed on the basis of three latent variables (i.e., service quality, customer satisfaction and customer loyalty), each divided into observable/explicit sub-variables that contain several questions. The data collected was processed to create a primary file for the questionnaire. As for the establishment of measurement model, this study designed the questionnaire by way of multi-dimension measurement but adopted the 'dual measurement method ' to ensure successful data processing by computer software. Table 1 shows the number of questions under each implicit and explicit variable, along with the referential sources.

3.3. Linear Structural Model

This study conducted a CFA, an analytical approach contrary to the Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA), on each pair of the three main dimensions (i.e., service quality, customer satisfaction and customer loyalty). Made up of structural and measurement models, SEM effectively addresses the cause-effect relations among implicit variables. Models in this study were verified in three regards: (1) whether the overall model conforms to the goodness-of-fit indicators; (2) goodness-of-fit of the
measurement model; and (3) goodness-of-fit of the structural model.

3.4. CMV Test

The questionnaire in this study didn’t have the CMV problems after applying the CFA test comparison method, and the results have been shown as the Table 2.

|       | χ2  | DF | Δχ2 | ΔDF | P   |
|-------|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|
| Single-Factor | 1326.2 | 97 | 884.8 | 99 | 0.000 |
| Multiple-Factor | 441.4 | 196 | 4.000 | 196 | 0.000 |

4. Analyses and Results

4.1. Test results regarding fit of the overall model

| Indicators                      | Standards of Judgment | Test Results |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| Measures of Absolute Fit       |                       |              |
| GFI                            | >0.9                  | 0.921        |
| AGFI                           | >0.8                  | 0.910        |
| RMR                            | <0.05                 | 0.012        |
| Incremental Fit Measures       |                       |              |
| NFI                            | >0.9                  | 0.933        |
| CFI                            | >0.9                  | 0.921        |
| Parsimonious Fit Measures      |                       |              |
| PNFI                           | >0.5                  | 0.680        |
| PGFI                           | >0.5                  | 0.672        |

This study built ‘the overall model framework’ following a literature review and a factor analysis of sample data and, as recommended by Hair, Anderson, Tatham & Black⁴, measured ‘the overall model’s goodness-of-fit in three different ways: the Measures of Absolute Fit, the Incremental Fit Measures, and the Parsimonious Fit Measures⁴. The test results listed in Table 3 show that this study established a model with good fitting degree.

4.1.2. Measurement model

The factor loading measures the intensity of linear correlation between each item under the manifest/explicit variables (or sub-dimensions) and latent/implicit variables (or main dimensions). The closer the factor loading is to 1, the more capable an observable variable (or sub-dimension) is in measuring the main dimensions. This study proves reliable with an above-0.7 factor loading in any sub-dimension. That is, all sub-dimensions (i.e., explicit variables) in the proposed measurement model properly measure the main dimensions (i.e., implicit variables). Meanwhile, the Average Variance Extracted (AVE) measures the unobservable/implicit variables’ explanatory power of variance regarding observable ones; a higher AVE suggests greater reliability and convergent validity of an implicit variable. It usually takes an ‘above-0.5 AVE to prove a dimension’s explainable variance exceeds the measurement error’.⁹ From Table 4 and Figure 2 reveal that the latent/implicit variables have excellent reliability and convergent validity as AVEs in this study invariably exceed 0.5.

4.1.3. Coefficient of Determination

Also known as Squared Multiple Correlation (SMC), the Coefficient of Determination is an implicit independent variable’s explanatory power regarding an implicit dependent one. That is, the R² values shown in Table 5 indicate that the implicit independent variables have adequate explaining power on the implicit dependent variables respectively.

| Implicit Variables | Measurement Variables | Factor loading | Cronbach’s α | Average Variance Extracted |
|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------------------|
| Service Quality (SQ) | Tangibles (SQ₁)      | .84            | .83          | .63                         |
|                   | Reliability (SQ₂)    | .83            | .82          | .62                         |
|                   | Responsiveness (SQ₃) | .81            | .80          | .61                         |
|                   | Assurance (SQ₄)      | .83            | .82          | .62                         |
|                   | Empathy (SQ₅)        | .82            | .81          | .62                         |
| Customer Satisfaction (CS) | Product Quality (CS₁) | .85            | .84          | .65                         |
|                   | Service Quality (CS₂) | .86            | .85          | .67                         |
|                   | Price (CS₃)          | .83            | .82          | .62                         |
| Customer Loyalty (CL) | Preference (CL₁)    | .86            | .85          | .68                         |
|                   | Repetitive Support (CL₂) | .87            | .86          | .69                         |

| Table 5. Path Coefficient of Determination |
|------------------------------------------|
| Coefficients of Determination | R² |
| Service Quality (SQ)—Customer Satisfaction (CS) | 0.63 |
| Customer Satisfaction (CS)—Customer Loyalty (CL) | 0.58 |
| Service Quality (SQ)—Customer Loyalty (CL) | 0.33 |
4.1.4. Path coefficient of implicit variables in the model

After the model passed an internal goodness-of-fit test, the estimates of standardized path coefficients and Critical Ratio (C.R.) for latent/implicit variables, were calculated (see Table 6). Figure 2 is an illustration of the path analysis results.

4.1.5. Correlation analysis

The results indicate that all the correlations between factors are significant. As can be seen, the relationships between research variables are as follows:

(1) SQ is positively related to CS; (2) CS is positively related to CL; and (3) SQ is positively related to CL; as shown in Table 7.
4.6. Analytical Test of Path Effect for the Structural Model

Focused on the path coefficients between implicit/unobservable variables in the structural model, this study adopted Bayesian Estimation for an analytical test to determine the structural model’s path effect, with customer satisfaction (CS) as the mediator, as shown in Table 8:

1. Service Quality (SQ) versus Customer Satisfaction (CS), Path Coefficient \( a_1 = 0.58 \), 95% Confidence Interval (0.500, 0.662), significant, First-order Significant Efficacy.

2. Customer Satisfaction (CS) versus Customer Loyalty (CL), Path Coefficient \( b_1 = 0.65 \), 95% Confidence Interval (0.551, 0.755), significant, Second-order Significant Efficacy.

3. Service Quality (SQ) versus Customer Loyalty (CL), Path Coefficient \( c = 0.21 \), 95% Confidence Interval (0.068, 0.356), influenced but insignificant, Third-order insignificant Efficacy.

The following details were obtained from Table 9:

1. The estimated Indirect Efficacy \( a_1*b_1 = 0.379 \), 95% confidence interval (0.287, 0.471), significant, significant indirect efficacy; the estimated proportion of indirect efficacy to total efficacy = 64.1%.

2. Due to significant indirect efficacy, direct efficacy was effective but without significant result. Therefore, "customer satisfaction“ made an approximately complete mediation effect. In other words, the results have been found that (1) making positive effects on the customer satisfaction; (2) the customer satisfaction making significant and positive effects on the customer loyalty; and (3) the excellent service quality making positive but not significant effects on the customer loyalty. Based on the results, the customer satisfaction has a complete mediating effect.

From the above results, the following conclusions were made by this study:

1. The excellent service quality of Taiwan's Watsons Personal Care Stores made positive effects on customer satisfaction; with the parameter value of standardized estimate 0.581, the Hypothesis H1 was supported. (Hypothesis is substantiated).

2. The customer satisfaction of Taiwan's Watsons Personal Care Stores made positive and significant effects on customer loyalty; with the parameter value of standardized estimate 0.653, the Hypothesis H2 was supported. (Hypothesis is substantiated).

3. The excellent service quality of Taiwan's Watsons Personal Care Stores made positive but not significant effects on customer loyalty; with the parameter value of standardized estimate 0.212, the Hypothesis H3 was partially supported. (Hypothesis is partially substantiated).

| Table 8. Bayesian Estimation |
|-----------------------------|
| **Regression weights**      | Mean | S.D. | 95% Lower bound | 95% Upper bound | Name  |
| Service Quality (SQ) → Customer Satisfaction (CS) | 0.581 | 0.081 | 0.500 | 0.662 | a1  |
| Customer Satisfaction (CS) → Customer Loyalty (CL) | 0.653 | 0.102 | 0.551 | 0.755 | b1  |
| Service Quality (SQ) → Customer Loyalty (CL) | 0.212 | 0.144 | 0.068 | 0.356 | c   |

| Table 9. Custom estimands |
|--------------------------|
| **Numeric Estimands**     | Mean | S.D. | 95% Lower bound | 95% Upper bound |
| Direct Efficacy a1        | 0.581 | 0.081 | 0.500 | 0.662   |
| Direct Efficacy b1        | 0.653 | 0.102 | 0.551 | 0.755   |
| Direct Efficacy c         | 0.212 | 0.144 | 0.068 | 0.356   |
| Indirect Efficacy (a1*b1) | 0.379 | 0.092 | 0.287 | 0.471   |
| Total Efficacy (c+a1*b1)  | 0.591 | 0.118 | 0.473 | 0.709   |
| Proportion of Indirect Efficacy to Total Efficacy | 0.641 | 0.080 | 0.561 | 0.721   |
6. Conclusion and Suggestions

According to the afore-mentioned analyses and results, this chapter specifies the conclusions and contributions of the present study. The research limitations and suggestions for future research are mentioned in the last passages.

6.1. Conclusions

Taking a panoramic view of previous discussion, front line employees of all Taiwan's Watsons Personal Care Stores were the objects of this study, using the SEM model to verify the effects of excellent service quality on customer loyalty – using the customer satisfaction as a mediator; herewith the study conclusion was specifically described as below:

6.1.1. The Relevance of Service Quality Effects on Customer Satisfaction

The Hypothesis H1 is substantiated from this study results, that is, “service quality makes positively significant effects on customer satisfaction”, such a result being conformity with the opinions from the study results of Taylor & Baker35, Hurley & Estelami15, Chen and Wang5, Lin19, Huang et al14, and so on.

6.1.2. The Relevance of Customer Satisfaction Effects on Customer Loyalty

The Hypothesis H2 is substantiated from this study results, that is, “customer satisfaction makes positively significant effects on customer loyalty”, being conformity with the opinions from the study results of Lin23, Lee and Chen18, Lin and Lee20, Huang et al14 and so on.

6.1.3. The Relevance of Service Quality Effects on Customer Loyalty

The Hypothesis H3 is substantiated from this study results, that is, “service quality makes positive but insignificant effects on customer loyalty”, such a result being partially conformity with the opinions from the study results of Kao17, Chen and Wang5, Chih, Chiu and Lin7; but some different opinions possibly arise from the difference of interviewed industry objects.

Generally, according to three conclusions as above, this study established a model with good fitting degree, and customer satisfaction had the "approximately complete" mediating effect. This conclusion reaches an agreement with Baron and Kenny1 thesis that "complete mediating effect" meaning a weaker or less significant relationship between independent and dependent variables after adding mediating variables.

6.2. Research Contributions

(1) The study results can not only provide businesses with the knowledge about the significance and generated benefits of service quality and customer satisfaction, but also make a reference for relevant business decision-making. Briefly, it is expected that the study results will provide businesses with effective applications of the service quality and customer satisfaction to enhance the customer loyalty increasing customers' repurchase intention.

(2) On the study topic of service quality effects on customer loyalty in Taiwan's Watsons Personal Care Stores used to focus on Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA), and this study will integrate relevant study results achieved in the past to set up a modeling and verify the fit of the model; therefore, such a model can be understood whether it has excellent goodness-of-fit effects. Thus, this study’s topic is one importantly practical topic of the Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA), which deserves more researchers to continue studying further in this field for future research references.

6.3. Research Limitations

This study suffers from limited resources, although it seeks to complete all the stages of research tasks in a manner as robust as possible. Below is a list of research limitations: This study adopted the Stratified Random Sampling for the sampling of the population that may result in a lower effective response rate of valid samples.

6.4. Suggestions to Follow-up Studies

Owing to the studies on the relevance between service quality, customer satisfaction and customer loyalty applicable to various industries, not limited to Taiwan's Watsons Personal Care Stores, additionally researchers having different definitions on service quality, customer satisfaction and customer loyalty, using different measurement indicators, therefore, only front line employees of all Taiwan's Watsons Personal Care Stores were selected to be sampling objects of this study. Future researchers can try out the effects of service quality on customer loyalty in different characteristics and industries for the purpose of a wider-range data or innovation and breakthroughs, and then, they are expected to analyze good qualities and accomplish comparisons between different industries.
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