Awareness regarding Coronavirus pandemic among the population of Sindh, Pakistan: A cross-sectional study
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Abstract:

Methods: This is a cross-sectional descriptive study and was carried out in Sindh, Pakistan. 588 people from different cities of Sindh were asked to fill a questionnaire that tested their attitudes, knowledge, and practices related to the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic.

Results: Television and other social media platforms have led to increased dissemination of knowledge among the population. Their increased understanding has led them to be more compliant with adopting precautionary measures, as frequent handwashing was seen in 89.8% and social distancing in 87.2%. The results also showed a greater prevalence of myths among the population.

Conclusion: Increased access to correct knowledge can help to dissipate misconceptions and help spread accurate knowledge about the role that the public should play in reducing disease transmission.
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1. Introduction

The appearance of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) triggered a severe global pandemic and is a major public health issue [1]. It came in realization in December 2019 in Wuhan, China, when a group of patients was verified to have novel coronavirus infection. Politically and geographically Pakistan and China are closely connected. Several Chinese people are working on multiple developmental projects in Pakistan, Pakistan took strong measures such as closing its border with China, scrutinizing flights from China and arranging for its workforce and students in affected cities to remain there so that potential spread could be avoided. But the situation got out of control when the virus spread in Iran, many Pakistanis are involved in Iran for work and regularly visit the country for religious purposes. For many reasons, a controlled exit from Iran was not possible also Iranians asked other countries to call back
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their nationals. All these factors led to an evident spread of this outbreak into Pakistan affecting many people. According to COVID-19 visualizer, the number of total cases reported globally as of 10th July 2020 was 12,434,830 with 558,416 deaths out of which 243,599 were reported in Pakistan with 5,058 deceased and 149,092 recovered. The number of cases keeps increasing daily causing a huge problem.

According to current evidence, the COVID-19 virus is primarily transmitted between people through respiratory droplets and contact routes [2]. The mean COVID-19 incubation time was a little different in recent publications. Wang et al, reported 5 days, 7 days, and 8 days for median durations from first signs to dyspnea, hospital admission, and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) respectively in 138 cases [3]. The mean incubation period of this infection was estimated to be 4.6 days and 95% of disease onset happened within ten days [4]. The symptoms occurring in the disease are non-specific and range from being asymptomatic to the development of severe pneumonia and even death with fever, cough, myalgia, and fatigue being the most common ones [5-7].

As of now, there’s no approved antiviral treatment or vaccine present for this disease.[8] Thus, proper management of such patients and strict practice of precautions by the general public is critical in saving the lives of people affected and stopping the transmission of the disease to those unaffected by it respectively. The government along with global public health bodies is trying hard to increase the awareness regarding the disease, it’s the transmission and precautionary methods amongst the general public to stop the transmission of this communicable disease [9].

Public health and infection prevention initiatives are desperately required to reduce the damage associated with COVID-19 and minimize the global dissemination of the virus.[10] But, Due to limited data being available on how to increase public awareness and what methods are effective there is a lack of evidence to devise appropriate strategies to increase the public’s awareness. Due to inappropriate usage of technology, false information is being circulated around and as a result, people with limited knowledge are adapting practices that might prove dangerous. This research aims at providing an idea of how aware the people in Pakistan about safe precautionary practices are and help the policymakers in making an effective plan towards spreading the right knowledge regarding this global pandemic.

2. Materials and Methods

This is a cross-sectional, descriptive study carried out in Sindh, Pakistan. The study lasted three months (i.e.) January to April 2020. 588 participants were included in the study. All the chosen subjects had a secondary or higher level of education and were aged ≥ 20 years.

For data collection, a detailed Questionnaire was prepared, and the pilot tested amongst 10 participants to determine the clarity and acceptability of the questions. These responses were not included in the final study. The final questionnaire was distributed amongst the selected group of volunteers. The volunteers were each assigned a city and they approached every participant individually to ensure standardized filling of the questionnaire. Written consent was also obtained from all participants.

The questionnaire was designed in English and included some well-known myths that were taken from WHO’s site and restructured to see if people respond with true or false for each statement. Further, it inquired about precautionary measures being taken during this pandemic and their city of residence. Each participant’s satisfaction level regarding the steps being taken by the government to handle the current health crisis and their stress level related to the lockdown being practiced was also included in the questionnaire. The
questions from the Perceived Stress Scale (PSS) were asked to determine whether the participant was stressed or not.

All the responses collected were then divided into three categories based on the city of residence and its population. If the city of residence had a population of $\geq 0.7$ million it was marked as category 1 (large cities). If the city population was $<0.7$ and $\geq 0.3$ million, it was marked as belonging to category 2 (medium cities). And a population of $<0.3$ million belonged to category 3 (smaller towns).

The data collected, was then transferred to an Excel sheet and further coded and analyzed using IBM SPSS version 23.0. Chi-Square test was used to compare categorical groups and check whether a difference exists between categories. The data was presented using Frequencies and Proportions via Tables and Bar graphs.

3. Results

The results showed that people in all the cities got informed about the latest knowledge on COVID via multiple sources. It shows that television and social media remain the major source of information overall. In larger cities, 390 people out of 474 (82.27%) had social media as one of their sources of information thus catering the majority with television being the second major source (71.5%). In medium cities, 30 out of 42 people (71.4%) had access to the information being shown on television and 27 out of 30 (64.2%) people got their information via social media. In smaller towns, the responses were quite variable with television being the major source of information (62.5%). Government/NGO volunteers contributed the least in all the cities. Moreover, in smaller cities contribution via websites/blogs were also quite low. (Figure 1)

The most frequently observed precautionary measure amongst the study population was regular handwashing (89.8%). Moreover, many participants (82.7%) practiced social distancing. In addition to that, most participants reported avoiding shaking hands (78.7%) and taking precautionary measures in public (69%). Participants also avoided touching, eyes, nose, and mouth (49.2%) along with disinfecting shared surfaces such as doorknobs and table-tops, etc. (47.2%). (Figure 2).

Figure 3 shows whether the participants practicing social distancing find it to be stressful or not. Most people in medium television and social media remain the major source of information overall. In larger cities, 390 people out of 474 (82.27%) had social media as one of their sources of information thus catering the majority with television being the second major source (71.5%). In medium cities, 30 out of 42 people (71.4%) had access to the information being shown on television and 27 out of 30 (64.2%) people got their information via social media. In smaller towns, the responses were quite variable with television being the major source of information (62.5%). Government/NGO volunteers contributed the least in all the cities. Moreover, in smaller cities contribution via websites/blogs were also quite low. (Figure 1)

The most frequently observed precautionary measure amongst the study population was regular handwashing (89.8%). Moreover, many participants (82.7%) practiced social distancing. In addition to that, most participants reported avoiding shaking hands (78.7%) and taking precautionary measures in public (69%). Participants also avoided touching, eyes, nose, and mouth (49.2%) along with disinfecting shared surfaces such as doorknobs and table-tops, etc. (47.2%). (Figure 2).

Figure 3 shows whether the participants practicing social distancing find it to be stressful or not. Most people in medium television and social media remain the major source of information overall. In larger cities, 390 people out of 474 (82.27%) had social media as one of their sources of information thus catering the majority with television being the second major source (71.5%). In medium cities, 30 out of 42 people (71.4%) had access to the information being shown on television and 27 out of 30 (64.2%) people got their information via social media. In smaller towns, the responses were quite variable with television being the major source of information (62.5%). Government/NGO volunteers contributed the least in all the cities. Moreover, in smaller cities contribution via websites/blogs were also quite low. (Figure 1)
Khalida Shaikh (et al.), Awareness regarding Coronavirus pandemic among the population of Sindh, Pakistan: A cross-sectional study (pp. 28 - 36)

Fig 1. Source of information in small, medium and large cities

Fig 2. Percentage of (%) of precautionary measures being taken against SARS-COV-2
Table 1 shows many people believe in myths related to COVID 19, which can result in wrong precautions and prevention procedures. Spraying alcohol or chlorine all over your body will not kill viruses was the most common myth among the study population as the largest number of people responded to this question wrongly, followed by thermal scanners that can detect infected people.

The high Chi-square values for two of the myths i.e. “The new coronavirus CANNOT be transmitted through mosquito bites” ($\chi^2=12.688$, $P<0.01$) and “Thermal scanners CAN detect people who are infected” ($\chi^2=6.672$, $P<0.05$) suggest that there is a significant association between them and division of cities that are statistically significant. For other myths, the situation is more serious as these exist indifferent to any category and are existent in a larger number of people.

4. Discussion

This study revealed that the ongoing pandemic has increased the stress levels amongst the population. There were several studies conducted in the past, assessing the awareness, knowledge, and practices about such infectious disease outbreaks that took place in the past [11]. But the literature search has not found any such study regarding this recent outbreak of SARS-CoV-2. Therefore, the study can provide data to the public health policymakers necessary to devise effective prevention strategies. This research showed that the majority of people are aware of the coronavirus situation.

The participants got their basic necessary information via television and social media, as they remain the top two sources for every category. This was expected as in a developing country like Pakistan, where television and smartphones are a cheap source of entertainment and thus a common source of
acquiring knowledge and information. The pandemic situation and subsequent increase in the number of deaths have resulted in a limited number of people volunteering in government/NGO awareness campaigns and thus their contribution remains the lowest amongst each category.

Table 1. Knowledge about SARS-COV-2 amongst participants of small, medium and large cities

| Myths                                                                 | Category 1 | Category 2 | Category 3 | X²  | P-value |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|-----|---------|
| COVID-19 virus can ONLY be transmitted in areas with cold and dry climates. (True) | 108 (22.8) | 9 (21.4)   | 21 (29.2)  | 0.507 | 0.776   |
|                                                                        | 366 (77.2) | 33 (78.6)  | 51 (70.8)  |     |         |
| The new coronavirus CANNOT be transmitted through mosquito bites. (False) | 63 (13.3)  | 21 (50)    | 12 (16.7)  | 12.688 | 0.002   |
|                                                                        | 411 (86.7) | 21 (50)    | 60 (83.3)  |     |         |
| Hand dryers ARE effective in killing the new coronavirus. (True)       | 99 (20.9)  | 9 (21.4)   | 15 (20.8)  | 0.002 | 0.999   |
|                                                                        | 375 (79.1) | 33 (78.6)  | 57 (79.2)  |     |         |
| Ultraviolet disinfection lamp CAN kill the new coronavirus. (False)    | 147 (31)   | 12 (28.6)  | 21 (29.2)  | 0.063 | 0.969   |
|                                                                        | 327 (69)   | 30 (71.4)  | 51 (70.8)  |     |         |
| Thermal scanners CAN detect infected people. (True)                   | 232 (48.4) | 21 (50)    | 42 (58.3)  | 6.672 | 0.045   |
|                                                                        | 242 (50.6) | 21 (50)    | 30 (41.7)  |     |         |
| Taking a hot bath does NOT prevent the new coronavirus disease. (False) | 189 (39.9) | 18 (42.9)  | 33 (45.8)  | 1.756 | 0.416   |
|                                                                        | 285 (60.1) | 24 (57.1)  | 39 (54.2)  |     |         |
| Spraying alcohol or chlorine all over your body will NOT kill viruses. (False) | 243 (51.3) | 27 (64.3)  | 36 (50)   | 0.919 | 0.632   |
|                                                                        | 231 (48.7) | 15 (35.7)  | 36 (50)   |     |         |
| Vaccines against pneumonia, such as pneumococcal vaccine and Haemophilus influenza type B (Hib) vaccine, do NOT protect against the new coronavirus. (False) | 84 (17.7)  | 9 (21.4)   | 6 (8.3)   | 1.539 | 0.463   |
|                                                                        | 390 (82.3) | 33 (78.6)  | 66 (91.7)  |     |         |
| Eating garlic CAN help prevent infection with the new coronavirus. (False) | 108 (22.8) | 6 (14.3)   | 27 (37.5)  | 3.252 | 0.197   |
|                                                                        | 366 (77.2) | 36 (85.7)  | 45 (62.7)  |     |         |
| New coronavirus infects ONLY older people or people with preexisting medical conditions. (True) | 57 (12)    | 9 (21.4)   | 12 (16.7)  | 1.263 | 0.532   |
|                                                                        | 417 (88)   | 33 (78.6)  | 60 (83.3)  |     |         |
| Antibiotics CAN be used as a means of prevention or treatment. (True)  | 111 (23.4) | 15 (35.7)  | 24 (33.3)  | 1.904 | 0.386   |
|                                                                        | 363 (76.6) | 27 (64.3)  | 48 (66.7)  |     |         |
Social distancing and frequent handwashing are the most emphasized behavioral interventions by health professionals to reduce the risk of transmission. [12] The results of the population seem consistent with this as handwashing (89.8%) and social distancing (82.7%) are practiced the most. A significant number of people practicing social distancing find it stressful. The proportion of those in larger cities was greater than those in medium and smaller cities. Mostly, daily wage workers work in major cities and are most affected by lockdown, which has the primary goal of social distancing, hence for them social distancing is stressful and a difficult pill to swallow [13, 14].

Many people find it to have negative effects on their health. While technology has helped people communicate with their friends and families, for the majority, the main part of their everyday experience is nonverbal contact, and being unable to do so triggers stress in many including those residing in medium and smaller cities. Most women and children in smaller cities are usually home-bound and that may have contributed to lower figures than those in larger cities.

An important finding in this study is the high prevalence of myths amongst participants. We tested the knowledge of participants regarding the most commonly encountered misconceptions that are being circulated on different media platforms. These myths are addressed by WHO and all the information is available on their website. Despite that, at least 20% of participants in each category believe that this virus can only be transmitted in cold and dry climate although there is no indication that COVID-19 cases will decline as the weather gets warmer[15] or humid [16]. In middle cities, people were equally split as to whether mosquitoes act as a vector for this viral disease or not. Studies in the past have shown that it spreads from human to human transmission and that mosquitoes have no role in its transmission [5, 17]. Moreover, ultraviolet disinfection lamps and hand dryers do not play any part in killing this new coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) and the ultraviolet light can irritate the skin and is harmful [18]. A vast number of people believe that thermal scanners are used to identify people infected with the new coronavirus. The role of thermal scanners, however, is restricted to the diagnosis of the people with fever, which is one of the symptoms of this disease [19]. However other people can have the disease and yet go undetected as it takes 2 to 10 days before individuals get ill and develop a fever [20]. Alcohol and chlorine can be used to disinfect surfaces but they have no role in killing the viruses that have already entered the body and these chemicals can prove harmful to the body [21]. Supportive treatment is currently the only treatment option available for patients affected with SARS-CoV-2 virus and although several attempts to develop a vaccine have been made, the effectiveness of pneumococcal vaccines in protecting people against this disease has not yet been proven in any research [22, 23].

In addition to that, the study showed that many people believe antibiotics to be a cure for this disease. Antibiotics are to be used against bacterial infections and they have no role in preventing viral infections. Antibiotics are to be used only when appropriate and not as a treatment of viral infections as this can exacerbate the antibiotic resistance issue [24].

The prevalence of myths in this society shows that people also get the wrong information via some of these platforms and that can be risky because people continue to follow such beliefs and some of them can be detrimental and may cause new issues in these challenging times.

Therefore, this study demonstrates that awareness programs are actually effective in spreading knowledge, but attention must be paid to the attitudes of the local population and their perceptions should also be taken into account when planning such awareness campaigns.
5. Conclusion

The knowledge regarding this pandemic isn’t adequate as the misconceptions continue to exist among a significant percentage of the population. The data gathered in this study could be used to track public opinion and attitudes to develop new health policies and awareness-raising strategies. Increased access to correct knowledge can help to disspate misconceptions and help spread accurate knowledge about the role that the public should play in reducing disease transmission.
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