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ABSTRACT

The new corona virus (nCoV) is aetiological agent responsible for the viral pneumonia epidemic. Three is no specific therapeutic medicines available for the treatment of this condition and also effective treatment choices are few. In this work, authors tried to investigate few potential of repurposing drugs (5-fluorouracil, doxorubicin, methotrexate and paclitaxel) against the main protease (Mpro) of nCoV by the computational tools. Molecular docking was performed to screen out the best compound and doxorubicin was found to have minimum binding energy 121.89 kcal/mol. To further study, molecular dynamics (MD) simulations were performed at 300 K and the result successfully corroborate the energy obtained by molecular docking. Further, temperature dependent MD simulations of the best molecule, that is, doxorubicin based on results of docking, was performed to check the variation in structural changes in Mpro of nCoV at 290 K, 310 K, 320 K and 325 K. It is found that doxorubicin binds effectively with Mpro of nCoV at 290 K. Further, ADME properties of the 5-fluorouracil, doxorubicin, methotrexate and paclitaxel were also evaluated to understand the bioavailability.

1. Introduction

The new public health crisis is threatening the world in December 2019 with the spread of novel Coronavirus. This disease is spread by the inhalation of the droplet from the infected person that shows the symptoms around 2–14 days. The genome of a coronavirus is comprised of a single strand of positive-sense RNA, and it is encased in a membrane envelope. CoV are rather massive viruses. The crown-like look that coronaviruses have is due to the presence of glycoprotein spikes that are embedded in the viral membrane [1]. There are four different classes of coronaviruses, which are denoted by the letters alpha, beta, gamma, and delta, respectively. The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV), the middle east respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MER-S-CoV), and the recently found severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 are all members of the beta-coronavirus class (SARS-CoV-2) [2]. Although, it is part of the beta-coronaviruses category, the SARS-CoV-2 virus is distinct from both the MERS-CoV and the SARS-CoV strains. There have been reports that the genes of SARS-CoV-2 contain fewer than 80% of the same nucleotides as those of SARS-CoV, and that this virus is more contagious than previous SARS-CoV viruses [3].

The genome of the SARS-CoV-2 virus is made up of around 30,000 nucleotides. The glycosylated spike protein (S), envelope protein (E), membrane protein (M), and nucleocapsid protein are some of the structural proteins that it encodes (N). In addition, the genome of the virus encodes a large number of proteins that are not structural, such as RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp), Mpro, and papain-like protease (PLpro) [4]. The viral genome is released when it has successfully entered the host cell, and it is then translated into viral polyproteins by the translation machinery found in the host cell [5]. After then, the viral
proteases PLpro and Mpro cleave the poly-proteins into effector proteins. The Mpro, which is also referred to as 3-chymotrypsin-like protease (3-CLpro), is an enzyme that is essential to the mechanism by which the virus replicates itself. It does this by cleaving the pp1a and pp1b polyproteins, which then results in the release of functional proteins such as RNA polymerase, endoribonuclease, and exoribonuclease. As a result, Mpro is a candidate for investigation as a screening target for anti-coronaviruses. In fact, putting a halt to the activities of Mpro might prevent the infection from spreading [6]. The symptoms are fever, cold, cough, chills, breathlessness, fatigue, and sore throat. This disease is mild for some peoples but those having the comorbidities, causes Pneumonia (Severe acute respiratory syndrome) and organ damage [7–9]. The second wave of SARS-COV-2 causes more fatality in India due to the shortage of oxygen and medical supports. The second wave virus is the mutant virus having additional symptoms like vomiting, diarrhoea and clotting problems [10]. Peoples with severe COVID-19 is treated with remdesivir with a 10-day course while those patients with severe COVID-19 with hypoxia and require oxygen support but don’t require ventilator support can come out of danger within 5–10 days of remdesivir [11]. Advancement in the computational tools in drug discovery is expanding for the drug discovery. Molecular docking is used to find the binding affinity of small ligands against the receptor [12,13], it aims is to obtained ligand-receptor complex formation with the best optimized conformation possess less binding energy [14–16].

Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations is a computational approach to predict the changes in coordinates of atoms of the target. The atoms and molecules are allowed to interact for a fixed period of time, giving a view of the dynamic evolutions of the complex [17,18]. In this approach, the dynamic model is under the force of motion to be investigated. This motion of the stimulation can be studied by a different numerical solution like classical Newtonian dynamic equations, that gives the information about the atom’s sites in the molecule along with the thermodynamic properties of the molecules [19]. 5-Fluorouracil is the generic trade name drug called adrucil. It is an anti-cancer chemotherapy drug and it is classified as an anti-metabolite. It can be used for the treatment of colon, anal, breast, cervical, bladder, gastrointestinal cancers [20,21]. Doxorubicin is a member of the anthracycline antibiotic class. It stops the growth of cancerous cells by inhibiting the enzyme called topo-isomerase-2 [22,23]. Doxorubicin also forms oxygen free radicals that causes the cytotoxicity secondary to lipid peroxidation of cell membrane lipids [24,25]. Methotrexate is also known as amethopterin drug, is an anti-cancerous drugged immune system suppressant. They can be used to treat cancers, auto-immune diseases, ectopic pregnancies, medical abortions, rheumatoid arthritis etc. [26,27] Paclitaxel is an anti-cancerous drug and sold as Taxol in treatment of cancers [28]. The cells treated by the paclitaxel having defects in mitotic spindle, chromosome segregation and cell division [29,30]. In the present work, authors have taken four biological potent molecules, 5-fluorouracil, doxorubicin, methotrexate, paclitaxel and docked them against the Mpro of nCoV using iGemdock. Then, ADME properties of these molecules are determined. Further, the MD simulations of 5-fluorouracil, doxorubicin, methotrexate, paclitaxel against the Mpro of nCoV are performed to understand the structural change in the Mpro of nCoV using the selected molecules.

2. Theoretical calculations

2.1. Designing of ligands

Herein, the ligands (5-fluorouracil, doxorubicin, methotrexate, and paclitaxel) are drawn using chemdraw [31] as in Fig. 1.

2.2. Molecular docking

Before performing the molecular docking, there is a need to do the preparation of ligands (5-fluorouracil, doxorubicin, methotrexate, paclitaxel) and Mpro of nCoV. The crystal structure of Mpro of nCoV is downloaded from RCSB (PDB:6LU7) (https://www.rcsb.org/structure/6LU7). Then pdb was open in Molegro Molecular Viewer where heteroatom, ligand and water molecules were deleted. The addition of hydrogen atoms and charges in the Mpro of nCoV have been done using chimera [32–34]. Further, the ligands were optimized by applying MM2 in Chemdraw to set the orientation of molecules for docking. The molecules were then docked using iGemdock and studied based on the...
binding energy (kcal/mol), obtained due to electrostatic interactions, Van der Waal’s interactions and hydrogen bonding as in Table 1 [35, 36]. Herein the allosteric binding cavity search method was used to find both an effective candidate and a novel allosteric cavity in the receptor [37]. Table 1 shows the docking results in term of energy for 5-fluorouracil, doxorubicin, methotrexate and paclitaxel against Mpro of nCoV and the binding energies of these compounds are −74.5918, −121.89, −111.43, and −99.9097 kcal/mol respectively. From these energies, it is concluded that doxorubicin has minimum energy, and it shows the best interaction with Mpro of nCoV.

Fig. 2 shows the docked poses of 5-fluorouracil, doxorubicin, methotrexate and paclitaxel against the Mpro of nCoV. 5-Fluorouracil clearly showed classical hydrogen bonding interaction with GLY143, CYS145 and SER144 with distances of 2.89, 2.06 and 2.91 Å respectively and non-classical hydrogen bonding with HIS172 and ASN142 with distances of 2.82 and 3.29 Å respectively. It also shows hydrophobic interaction with CYS145 at distance of 5.08 Å. The doxorubicin shows classical hydrogen bonding interaction with GLN110, THR111, ASP295, THR292, SER158 and ASP153 with distances of 2.28, 1.78, 2.98, 2.58, 2.66 and 2.47 Å respectively and non-classical hydrogen bonding with SER158 at distance of 2.48 Å. Methotrexate exhibits classical hydrogen bonding with GLY23 with distance of 2.59 Å and non-classical hydrogen bonding with THR45 and LYS24 at distances of 2.06 and 3.05 Å respectively. The paclitaxel shows classical hydrogen bonding interaction with ASP153 at distance of 3.12 Å and non-classical hydrogen bonding interaction with ILE106 at distance of 2.55 Å. There are four
hydrophobic interactions of paclitaxel with PRO252, ILE249, PRO293 and PHE294 with distances of 4.66, 5.30, 5.32 and 5.53 respectively as given in Table 2.

2.3. Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations

WebGro (https://simlab.uams.edu/) was used to perform the molecular dynamic simulations and it uses the GROMACS simulation program. Before performing MD simulations, the topology of ligand was generated using The GlycoBioChem PRODRG2 Server (http://davapc1.bioch.dundee.ac.uk/cgi-bin/prodrg). Then, the topology for complex of Mpro of nCoV with different ligands has been obtained from the server. Herein, the forcefield used is GROMACS96 43a1, water model is SPC, box type is triclinic, salt type is NaCl. Equilibration type NVT/NPT and MD run parameters used are pressure 1 bar, temperature 300 K, simulation time 100 ns and number of frames per simulation 1000 [38–42]. Further, temperature dependent (290, 310, 320, 325K) MD simulations of molecules (doxorubicin) having minimum binding energy is carried out to check the potential of doxorubicin against Mpro of nCoV. Molecular dynamic (MD) simulations is one the realistic technique which extensively used to characterize the macromolecular system. It provides the information for stability of conformational changes at the precision of nanosecond level. It allows system to show the changes at the precision of the atomic level in term of coordinates [43,44]. It is based on the classical mechanics and easy to use. It provides the trajectories coordinates of the macromolecular system and based on this coordinates hydrogen bonding, root mean square fluctuation (RMSF), root mean square deviation (RMSD) and radius of gyration were calculated and analyzed [45]. The docked view obtained from MD simulations at 1000 number of frame per simulation is given in Fig. 3.

The distance from the centre of mass of a body to the point at which the mass of the body might be concentrated without causing a change in its moment of rotational inertia around an axis that passes through the centre of mass is referred to as the radius of gyration. It shows the compactness of the system and explains the conformational stability. When ligand in induced fit into the active binding cavity of the macromolecular system, the conformational changes occur in it [46]. These conformational changes can be optimized in term of radius of gyration. The overall lesser value of Rg indicates the compact nature of the molecule while the irregularity at any particular point indicates the conformational instability at that point. Herein, radius of gyration analysis for the Mpro of nCoV in the presence of 5-fluorouracil, doxorubicin, methotrexate and paclitaxel were analyzed for 100 ns at 300 K as given in Fig. 4. The average value of Rg for 5-fluorouracil, methotrexate and paclitaxel were found near 2.1 nm while for the doxorubicin at 2.15 nm. This result indicates that the 5-fluorouracil, methotrexate, paclitaxel and doxorubicin showed similar stability of their complexes. No major fluctuation is recorded in the values of Rg during the 100 ns time span. It indicates the conformational stability of the formed complex between SARS-CoV-2 with 5-fluorouracil, doxorubicin, methotrexate and paclitaxel. During the 100 ns time span, the system shows good conformational stability as the Rg values tends to decrease.

Root mean square deviation (RMSD) is the physical parameter to express the changes in atomic coordinates. Calculating the RMSD of proteins permits the quantification of the degree of conformational changes that occur during MD simulations. These RMSD values for the...
system can be used to analyse the conformational stability of the main protease of SARS-CoV-2 in presence of designed ligands/ molecules. Coordinates of the backbone atoms were retrieved from the trajectory points obtained from the MD simulations. When ligand is induced fit into the active binding cavity of the main protease of SARS-CoV-2, the coordinates of the backbone atoms get disturbed the same is reflected in term of RMSD [47]. Small or minor changes in RMSD values are permissible, however, major changes leads to the conformational instability of target [48]. Herein, RMSD analysis for the main protease of SARS-CoV-2 with 5-fluorouracil, doxorubicin, methotrexate and paclitaxel were analyzed for 100 ns at 300 K (Fig. 5). In case of methotrexate and paclitaxel the RMSD values were ranges near 0.3 nm while in case of 5-fluorouracil and doxorubicin it ranges near 0.35 nm. RMSD value shows a slight increase without any major fluctuation indicate some conformational instability towards the 100 ns time span and are in acceptable range.

Root mean square fluctuation (RMSF) is very much similar to the RMSD in term of understanding the structural changes. It is calculated using the coordinates of the individual amino acid residues. Conformational stability of amino-acids of active cavity of Mpro of SARS-CoV-2 with ligand can be explained using RMSF. Fluctuation at particular region of amino acid can be correlated with molecular docking [49,50]. Herein, RMSF analysis for the main protease of SARS-CoV-2 in presence of 5-fluorouracil, doxorubicin, methotrexate and paclitaxel were analyzed for 100 ns at 300 K as given in Fig. 6. Fluctuation in the coordinates of the amino acid in region of 40–75, 130–145, 200–300 was recorded. These fluctuations can also be correlated to the particular docking result. In case of 5-fluorouracil, fluctuations are recorded in amino acid region of 130–160. In case of doxorubicin, fluctuations are recorded in amino acid region of 100–110, 150–160, and 290–300. In case of methotrexate, fluctuations were in 20–30 and 40–70 region. In case of paclitaxel, the fluctuation was around 250–260, 90–110, and 150–160 region. From the result, major fluctuations recorded in that region where docking take place. So, it can be concluded that fluctuation value of each graph corroborates the successful molecular docking.

Hydrogen bonding interaction is the an important parameter in molecular docking analysis. Their stability during a time span can be analyzed by using molecular dynamics simulations. These interactions are of two types: conventional and non-conventional. Conventional hydrogen bonding is more important because it is strong and formed between hydrogen and most electronegative atoms. Non-conventional hydrogen bonds are formed by the other element (except F, N and O) with hydrogen. It is less important but play role in the anchoring of the ligand within the active binding cavity along with conventional hydrogen bonding. The overall binding is measured in term of several bonds with their length. Larger the number of hydrogen bonds and short length of hydrogen bonds, shows effective anchoring [51]. Herein, hydro bonding analysis for the main protease of SARS-CoV-2 with 5-fluorouracil, doxorubicin, methotrexate and paclitaxel were analyzed for 100 ns at 300 K as given in Fig. 7. 5-Fluorouracil, doxorubicin, methotrexate and paclitaxel forms 4, 6, 7 and 5 as maximum number of hydrogen bonds. It was found that number of hydrogen bonds differs in molecular docking and MD simulations. It is due to that MD simulations consider both types of hydrogen bonding and during a simulation time.

2.4. Temperature dependent MD simulations of Mrpo of nCoV with doxorubicin (290, 310, 320 and 325 K)

Herein, the temperature dependent MD simulations of doxorubicin with main protease of SARS-CoV-2 was performed to analyse the changes that occurred on temperature variation. Fig. 8 represent the docked view based on MD simulations for Mpro of SARS-CoV-2 with Doxorubicin at 290, 310, 320 and 325 K. The distance from the center of mass of a body to the point at which the mass of the body might be concentrated without causing a change in its moment of rotational inertia around an axis that passes through the center of mass is referred to as the radius of gyration. It is used to define the stability of the protein ligand complex just like other parameters. The conformational changes in the macromolecules system occur when the ligand is driven to fit into the binding cavity. A smaller the value of Rg indicate more stability of complex [43]. Fig. 9 shows the result of analyzed value of Rg for complex Mrpo-nCoV with doxorubicin at different temperature. From Fig. 9, it is clear that the radius of gyration is less.

The main protease of SARS-CoV-2 in presence of doxorubicin was examined for 100ns at 290, 310, 320 and 325 K as in Fig. 10. At temperature 290 K and 310 K, RMSD values for doxorubicin were between 0.21 and 0.3 nm whereas at 320 K and 325 K, the RMSD values for doxorubicin were in between 0.3 and 0.45 nm. From Fig. 10, it is clear that at 320 K and 325 K, high fluctuations are observed and it indicates large conformational stability. At 290 K and 310 K, short change in RMSD values are observed and indicates stable complex formation. The overall finding shows that at 290 K the complex of main proteas of SARS-CoV-2 with doxorubicin complex is most stable.

Herein, RMSF was examined for the complex of main protease of SARS-CoV-2 with doxorubicin at 290 K, 310 K, 320 K and 325 K for 100 ns time span (Fig. 11). The amino acid coordinates fluctuated in the region 40–65, 130–145, 175–200, 240–265 and 270–290 and indicates docking area. At 290 K, fluctuation recorded in amino acid region of 50–70 and 180–200. At 310 K, fluctuation recorded in amino acid region of 50–75, 90–110 and 210–240. At 320 K fluctuation recorded in amino acid region of 40–70, 90–110 and 175–200. At 325 K, fluctuation recorded in amino acid region of K 40–80, 90–110, 120–140 and 170–200. It is clear from the result that there are significant fluctuation in the area where docking occur. As a result, the value of each graph’s
fluctuation corroborates effective molecular docking. Least fluctuations are observed at 290 K, therefore, indicates the formation of most stable complex of Mpro of nCoV with doxorubicin for maximum inhibition.

The number of bonds are used to determine the average number of a hydrogen bond. The anchoring is tighter as the number of hydrogen bond increases and the length of hydrogen bond decreases. Fig. 12 shows the hydrogen bond study of main proteases of SARS-CoV-2 with doxorubicin at 290, 310, 320 and 325 K. At 290, 310, 320 and 325 K, the maximum number of hydrogen bonds are 5, 4, 5 and 4 respectively. The

Fig. 8. Docked view based on MD simulations for Mpro of SARS-CoV-2 with doxorubicin at 290, 310, 320 and 325 K.

Fig. 9. Trajectory of radius of gyration for the Mpro of SARS-CoV-2 with doxorubicin at 290, 310, 320 and 325 K.

Fig. 10. Trajectory of RMSD fit to backbone for the Mpro of SARS-CoV-2 with doxorubicin at 290, 310, 320 and 325 K.

Fig. 11. Trajectory of RMSF for the Mpro of SARS-CoV-2 with doxorubicin at 290, 310, 320 and 325 K.

Fig. 12. Trajectory of hydrogen bonds for the Mpro of SARS-CoV-2 with doxorubicin at 290, 310, 320 and 325 K.
number of hydrogen bonds gave an idea for the stability of the complex and other factors are also responsible to reach the conclusion for the stability of the formed complexes or the inhibition of Mpro of nCoV.

### 2.5. ADME information

A molecule may be considered as a drug if it has the potential to reach its targeted place with sufficient concentration and amount and should stay in a body for expected time to perform biological reaction. In drug development, ADME property considered to be important in the drug development [52]. Herein, a free web tool swissADME (http://www.swissadme.ch) has been used to predict different physicochemical and pharmacokinetics properties [53,54] of 5-fluorouracil, doxorubicin, methotrexate and paclitaxel. Lipophilicity is one of the most important criteria to predict the molecule as a drug and it is a partition coefficient of n-octanol and water (log P)

| Physicochemical properties | 5 Fluorouracil | Doxorubicin | Methotrexate | Paclitaxel |
|---------------------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|-----------|
| Log S                     | −0.58         | −1.19       | −1.19        | −6.66     |
| Solubility                | Very soluble  | Soluble     | Very soluble | Soluble   |
| Heavy atoms               | 9             | 39          | 33           | 62        |
| Molecular weight (g/mol)  | 130.08        | 543.52      | 454.44       | 853.91    |
| No. of rotational bonds   | 0             | 5           | 10           | 15        |
| No. H-bond acceptors     | 3             | 12          | 9            | 14        |
| Num. H-bond donors        | 2             | 6           | 5            | 4         |
| Log P<sub>o/w</sub> (WLOGP) | −0.38        | 0.13        | 0.13         | 3.41      |

### Table 4

Bioactivity and Drug likeness score of 5-fluorouracil, doxorubicin, methotrexate and paclitaxel.

| C. No. | GI absorption | BBB permeant | Lipinski | Log K<sub>S</sub> (skin permeation) (cm/s) | TPSA (Å) | P-gp substrate |
|--------|---------------|--------------|----------|------------------------------------------|----------|----------------|
| 5 Fluorouracil | High         | No           | Yes; 0 violation | −7.73   | 65.72 | No              |
| Doxorubicin    | Low           | No           | Yes; 3 violations: MW > 500, NorO>10, NHorOH>5 | −8.71   | 206.07 | Yes             |
| Methotrexate   | Low           | No           | Yes; 1 violation: NorO>10 | −10.39  | 210.54 | Yes             |
| Paclitaxel     | Low           | No           | Yes; 2 violations: MW > 500, NorO>10 | −8.91   | 221.29 | Yes             |

### Table 3

Physiochemical properties of all the four compounds.

| Physiochemical properties | 5 Fluorouracil | Doxorubicin | Methotrexate | Paclitaxel |
|---------------------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|-----------|
| Solubility                | Very soluble  | Soluble     | Very soluble | Soluble   |
| Heavy atoms               | 9             | 39          | 33           | 62        |
| Molecular weight (g/mol)  | 130.08        | 543.52      | 454.44       | 853.91    |
| No. of rotational bonds   | 0             | 5           | 10           | 15        |
| No. H-bond acceptors     | 3             | 12          | 9            | 14        |
| Num. H-bond donors        | 2             | 6           | 5            | 4         |
| Log P<sub>o/w</sub> (WLOGP) | −0.38        | 0.13        | 0.13         | 3.41      |

3. Conclusion

Herein, work authors have taken the repurposing drugs (5-fluorouracil, doxorubicin, methotrexate and paclitaxel) and docked them against main protease of SARS-CoV-2 using iGemdock. The docking results were quite significant and the binding energy of 5-fluorouracil, doxorubicin, methotrexate and paclitaxel against Mpro of nCoV are −74.5918, −121.89, −111.43, and −99.9097 kcal/mol respectively. Doxorubicin against the Mpro of nCoV has lowest binding energy −121.89 kcal/mol and the maximum inhibition. For more accurate and reliable results, authors have performed MD simulations of all four compound with main protease of SARS-CoV-2 using WebGro at 300 K. MD simulations corroborates our docking results and the complex formed by doxorubicin is stable. Further, temperature dependent MD simulations were also performed for main protease of SARS-CoV-2 with doxorubicin at 290, 310, 320 and 325 K to analyse changes that occurred in the complexes. It was found a most stable complex of Mpro of nCoV with doxorubicin was formed at 290 K. ADME properties of the said compounds were determined to check the solubility, bioavailability and potential of a molecule to become effective drug.
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