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Comparison Study of Different BOD Tests in the Determination of BOD\textsubscript{7} Evaluated in a Model Domestic Sewage
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The aim of this research was to study the suitability of a manometric respirometric test (OECD 301F) for the determination of biological oxygen demand (BOD) in domestic wastewater. This was done by comparing four different BOD tests (a manometric respirometric test, oxygen sensor determination, iodometric titration, and UV absorption measurement) and by evaluating the BOD\textsubscript{7} value after a short-term measurement applying the manometric respirometric test. Measurements were done in three different laboratories. The respirometric test was performed well on municipal sewage, and the precision of results was good. There were minor deviations between BOD\textsubscript{7} values as determined by the different methods, but the deviations were not essentially big enough to have a practical impact. Evaluation of the BOD\textsubscript{7} value after two or three days' incubation by the respirometric method was shown to work well; average deviations between measured and evaluated values after three days' incubation were 5–18%. Hence, the manometric respirometric test proved to be a rapid and suitable tool for process control purposes.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Biological oxygen demand (BOD) is an important and widely used sum parameter to evaluate water quality. BOD is a measure for the quantity of oxygen required for the biodegradation of organic matter (carbonaceous demand) in water but it is affected by oxygen consumed for oxidising inorganic material such as sulphides and ferrous iron and by the amount of oxygen used to oxidise reduced forms of nitrogen (nitrogenous demand), unless their oxidation is prevented by an inhibitor [1]. However, conventional BOD measuring tests are problematically slow, typically intended to last five or seven days. Management of a wastewater treatment plant can be very difficult using these timeconsuming tests, because conditions in the plant will have been already changed during such test periods. Conventional tests are also affected by factors such as dilution and sample preparation. On the other hand, conventional BOD tests have some benefits as compared to new, rapid, automatic, analytical techniques. The classical methods are used universally and the results are therefore comparable. In addition, they do not require expensive equipment [2]. Nevertheless, due to the increasing demand considering, for example, biodegradability, it is very important to develop automatic, faster, and more precise ways for BOD determination. The new inventions must subsequently be compared with conventional methods in order to detect sources of error.

We recently studied the biological oxygen demand of wastewaters from the Stora Enso Oyj Veitsiluoto (Kemi, Finland) pulp and paper mills, using three methods: the manometric respirometric test (WTW Weilheim, Germany), oxygen sensor determination, as well as iodometric titration [3]. The results showed that the manometric respirometric method was suitable for the BOD determination of the wastewater of the pulp and paper mills. The main difference that was noticed between the results of the three different BOD tests was found to be a consequence of the mineral solution (dilution solution) used in conventional BOD tests. The paper mill samples did not contain a sufficient amount of nutrients. Hence, the results of the manometric respirometric test were much lower than results obtained by conventional tests. When the same mineral solution was used both in the respirometric test as well in conventional test, differences diminished remarkably and the results became similar. The manometric respirometric method also showed practically that shapes of the BOD curves were different for different wastewater types. We have also studied earlier the biodegradation of different oils in groundwater and standard
conditions described in OECD 301F, as well as in various soils using the manometric respirometric method [4–7]. This research still continues. In this study, we tested an automatic respirometric method for the determination of BOD in several stages of wastewater treatment at the activated sludge plant in Oulu, situated in Northern Finland, which treats over 16 million m³ of wastewater per year. The aim was to compare the manometric respirometric test with conventional BOD tests. Additionally, we have included an online UV absorbance measurement as a comparison in the results. An additional objective was to estimate the seventh-day BOD value (BOD₇) after a short-term measuring period (1–3 days) by respirometric test. Predictability of the BOD₇ value will reduce the measurement time, improving, for example, the chemical adjustment of the sewage treatment process.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

Samples were collected from a wastewater treatment plant (Oulu Waterworks, Taskila WTP) during five days. The samples were collected separately from three different stages of the sewage treatment process: after preclarification, after aeration basin (biologically treated), and after final clarification (outflowing water).

A manometric respirometric test was carried out with the OxiTop Control system (WTW Weilheim, Germany). According to preliminary data of the operation laboratory of the plant, the BOD₇ of preclarified water was known to vary between 70–150 mg/L, whereas the other two samples varied between 5–10 mg/L. In respirometric measurements, the measurement range of 0–200 mg/L was chosen for preclarified water and the measurement range of 0–40 mg/L for the other two sample types. Sample volumes were thus 250 mL and 432 mL, respectively. All the respirometric measurements were carried out with undiluted samples, because there were sufficient nutrient salts and suitable microorganisms present in domestic wastewater. The bottles were sealed with a rubber sleeve containing a CO₂ absorber immediately after the bottles were filled with the sample and the nitrification inhibitor n-allylthiourea was added. The measuring heads were screwed onto the bottles and the samples were stabilized in the incubation cabinet (20.0 ± 0.2°C) for two hours before measurements began [8]. The theory of the measurement is described in more detail in our earlier studies [3–7]. The computer programs used in the evaluation of BOD₇ values after a short-term measurement were Excel and TBL Curve.

The used chemical method was Determination of biochemical oxygen demand after n-days (BODₙ). Part 1; Dilution and seeding method with allylthiourea addition (SFS-EN 1899-1) [9]. The residual oxygen after seven days’ incubation was determined according to the standards of Determination of dissolved oxygen, iodometric method (SFS-EN 25813) [10], and electrochemical probe method (SFS-EN 25814) [11]. The analyses with an oxygen sensor (WTW, Stirrox G, WP3-ST) were carried out at the operation laboratory of the wastewater plant, at the Finland’s Environmental Administration in Helsinki, and at the University of Oulu, which also performed all iodometric analysis. Some measurements by the chemical methods used in this study were carried out with diluted samples with a dilution factor from 2 to 50. Nutrient solution was prepared using KH₂PO₄, K₂HPO₄, NaHPO₄ · 2H₂O, NH₄Cl, CaCl₂, MgSO₄ · 7H₂O and FeCl₃ · 6H₂O [9]. The pH values of the wastewater samples were measured with a Consort P600 pH meter.

A UV absorption meter (Endress-Hauser, Stamosens CSM 750/CSS 70) continuously measured the biologically treated wastewater. Measurement is based on the spectral absorption of organic substances in the UV range (the wavelength of measurement λ = 254 nm). Measured spectral absorption coefficient [1/m] was set in correlation to BOD₇ value [mg/L]. The UV absorption measurement is direct measurement of wastewater and does not require chemical addition or sample preparation [12].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Comparison of different methods

Table 1 lists the results measured by the respirometric method, as well as by the conventional methods and UV absorption meter. The UV absorption meter gave the absorption value (BOD₇ value) at every hour (24 measuring data per day). The BOD₇ values of UV measurements presented in Table 1 are the mean values of one day’s continuous measurements.

According to Table 1, there were no considerable differences between the results of the three separate BOD tests: respirometric, iodometric, and oxygen sensor. This is in accordance with our earlier results which we discovered in our study of the wastewater of a pulp mill [3]. Relative standard deviations (RSD) between the three tests were between 1–35%. These deviations can in part be explained to be due to the heterogeneity of the samples. Considering the UV technique, composition of wastewater must remain similar, as varying compositions in the organic matrix will have an effect on results [12], as can be seen in Table 1.

3.2. Evaluation of the BOD₇ values

The precision of the respirometric test was determined by measuring the BOD values of the wastewater samples twice. Figure 1 shows the typical results of the duplication tests for the preclarified, biologically treated, and outflowing wastewaters.

The evaluation of the BOD₇ values was calculated with the Excel or TBL curve programs. In accordance with our earlier study [3], the result showed that the BOD values are mathematically regular in shape. The TBL curve program calculated dozens of different equations from which the most applicable were selected by substituting the time values to equations. Also, the earlier results were used as a suggestive [3]. The evaluation results are represented in Table 2. The BOD graphs formed in the respirometric measurements of biologically treated and outflowing wastewaters were quite linear in shape, whereas the curves of preclarified
Table 1: The BOD\textsubscript{7} results at 20\degree C determined by respirometric test, iodometric titration, oxygen sensor, and UV absorption test for wastewaters from Taskila's WTP, Oulu Waterworks.

| Sample         | Respirometric test [mg/L] | Iodometric test [mg/L] | Oxygen sensor [mg/L] | UV absorption\textsuperscript{(a)} [mg/L] |
|----------------|----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| 18.05. Preclarified | 65                         | 56                     | —                    | —                                       |
| 18.05. Biolog.treated | 4.5                      | 4.8                     | 5.6                  | 5.0                                     |
| 18.05. Outflowing           | 5.6                        | 5.6                     | 5.0                  | —                                       |
| 15.06. Preclarified        | 106                        | 108                    | —                    | —                                       |
| 15.06. Biolog.treated      | 4.0                        | 6.7                    | 5.8                  | 4.0                                     |
| 15.06. Outflowing          | 4.2                        | 4.5                    | 5.0                  | 10.6                                    |
| 24.08. Biolog.treated      | 6.2                        | 4.5                    | 5.0                  | —                                       |
| 24.08. Outflowing          | 7.0                        | 6.0                    | 8.0                  | —                                       |
| 07.09. Preclarified        | 86                         | 66                     | —                    | —                                       |
| 07.09. Biolog.treated      | 5.3                        | 6.0                    | 4.0                  | 2.1                                     |
| 07.09. Outflowing          | 6.0                        | 7.3                    | 6.0                  | —                                       |

\textsuperscript{(a)} Results of the UV measurements (correlated to BOD\textsubscript{7} value) are the mean values of one-day measurement.

![Figure 1: BOD results of duplication tests for pre-clarified, biologically treated and outflowing wastewaters from Oulu Waterworks, Taskila WTP at 20\degree C.](image)

The BOD\textsubscript{7} values of preclarified wastewaters collected at different times ranging between 65–108 mg/L. It would be helpful to have an estimation of the BOD\textsubscript{7} value beforehand to get the BOD : N : P relationship and aeration to right levels. Those factors have a major influence in order to maintain a suitable microbial population, affecting, for example, BOD reduction, nitrification, precipitation, and flotation. The rapid respirometric test could be a useful tool for process control purposes.

4. CONCLUSION

The respirometric test was found to be suitable for the purpose of BOD measurements in domestic sewage plant; the precision of the test was acceptable. There were only minor deviations between BOD\textsubscript{7} values as determined by the different methods studied. The RSD between the respirometric test, iodometric method, and oxygen sensor were 1–35%. The estimation of seventh-day BOD value worked well. The results revealed that the BOD\textsubscript{7} value of the domestic wastewater investigated could be estimated from the respirometric data as early as after two days’ incubation, for example, for process control purposes.
Table 2: Evaluation results of wastewater examined in this research (equation number 1: \( y = ax + b \); equation number 2: \( y = (a + bx + c\sqrt{x}) \); equation number 3: \( y = ((a + cx)/(1 + bx)) \)).

| Sample       | Equation number | Evaluation of BOD\(_7\) after 2 days’ incubation [mg/L] | Evaluation of BOD\(_7\) after 3 days’ incubation [mg/L] | Evaluation of BOD\(_7\) after 4 days’ incubation [mg/L] | BOD\(_7\) value [mg/L] |
|--------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Preclarified | 3               | 67                                                       | 64                                                       | 64                                                       | 65                     |
| Biol.treated | 1               | 4.5                                                      | 4.5                                                      | 4.1                                                      | 3.5                    |
| 18.05        |                 |                                                          |                                                          |                                                          |                        |
| Biol.treated | 2               | 4.3                                                      | 4.1                                                      | 4.4                                                      | 4.5                    |
| Outflowing   | 1               | 6.2                                                      | 5.8                                                      | 5.7                                                      | 5.6                    |
| Outflowing   | 2               | 5.2                                                      | 5.6                                                      | 5.3                                                      | 5.6                    |
| Preclarified | 3               | 98                                                       | 106                                                      | 104                                                      | 108                    |
| Biol.treated | 1               | 3.7                                                      | 4.1                                                      | 4.0                                                      | 4.0                    |
| 15.06        |                 |                                                          |                                                          |                                                          |                        |
| Biol.treated | 2               | —                                                        | 3.3                                                      | 3.4                                                      | 4.0                    |
| Outflowing   | 1               | 3.8                                                      | 4.8                                                      | 4.7                                                      | 4.2                    |
| Outflowing   | 2               | 2.2                                                      | 4.4                                                      | 4.6                                                      | 4.2                    |
| Preclarified | 3               | 92                                                       | 93                                                       | 93                                                       | 100                    |
| Biol.treated | 1               | 8.3                                                      | 8.0                                                      | 7.2                                                      | 6.2                    |
| 24.08        |                 |                                                          |                                                          |                                                          |                        |
| Biol.treated | 2               | 8.3                                                      | 7.1                                                      | 6.3                                                      | 6.2                    |
| Outflowing   | 1               | 9.7                                                      | 9.2                                                      | 8.8                                                      | 7.0                    |
| Outflowing   | 2               | 8.4                                                      | 8.4                                                      | 8.0                                                      | 7.0                    |
| Preclarified | 3               | 113                                                      | 88                                                       | 86                                                       |                        |
| Biol.treated | 2               | 7.2                                                      | 6.0                                                      | 5.6                                                      | 5.3                    |
| Outflowing   | 2               | 8.1                                                      | 6.0                                                      | 6.1                                                      | 5.9                    |

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Pekka Vähäoja (Ph.D.) for stimulating discussions, SuomenYmpäristöpalvelu Oy. (Ilkka Välimäki (M.S.)) for the opportunity to use their WTW OxiTop instrument, and Ilari Sohlo (M.S.) for correcting the English language.

REFERENCES

[1] J. Liu, L. Björnsson, and B. Mattiasson, “Immobilised activated sludge based biosensor for biochemical oxygen demand measurement,” *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, vol. 14, no. 12, pp. 883–893, 2000.

[2] J. Liu and B. Mattiasson, “Microbial BOD sensors for wastewater analysis,” *Water Research*, vol. 36, no. 15, pp. 3786–3802, 2002.

[3] K. Roppola, T. Kuokkanen, H. Nurmesniemi, J. Rämö, R. Pöykö, and H. Prokkola, “Comparison study of manometric respirometric test and common chemical methods in the determination of BOD\(_7\) in a pulp and paper mill’s wastewaters,” *Journal of Automated Methods and Management in Chemistry*, vol. 2006, Article ID 90384, 5 pages, 2006.

[4] T. Kuokkanen, P. Vähäoja, I. Välimäki, and R. Lauhanen, “Suitability of the respirometric BOD OxiTop method for determining the biodegradability of oils in ground water using forestry hydraulic oils as model compounds,” *International Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 84, no. 9, pp. 677–689, 2004.

[5] P. Vähäoja, T. Kuokkanen, I. Välimäki, S. Vuoti, and P. Perämäki, “Biodegradabilities of some chain oils in groundwater as determined by the respirometric BOD OxiTop method,” *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, vol. 381, no. 2, pp. 445–450, 2005.

[6] P. Vähäoja, P. Piltonen, A. Hyvönen, J. Niinimäki, J. Jalonen, and T. Kuokkanen, “Biodegradability studies of certain wood preservatives in groundwater as determined by the respirometric BOD OxiTop method,” *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution*, vol. 165, no. 1–4, pp. 313–324, 2005.

[7] P. Vähäoja, K. Roppola, I. Välimäki, and T. Kuokkanen, “Studies of biodegradability of certain oils in forest soil as determined by the respirometric BOD OxiTop method,” *International Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 85, no. 14, pp. 1065–1073, 2005.

[8] WTW Weilheim, *OxiTop instruction manual*, Germany.

[9] SFS-EN 1899-1, *Water quality. Determination of biochemical oxygen demand after n days (BODn)—Part 1: Dilution and seeding method with allythiourea addition*.

[10] SFS-EN 25813, *Water quality. Determination of dissolved oxygen. Iodometric method*.

[11] SFS-EN 25814, *Water quality. Determination of dissolved oxygen. Electrochemical probe method*.

[12] Endress and Hauser, *StamoSens CSM 750 / CSS 70, users manual*.