The Use of Osteochondral Allograft Transplantation for Primary Treatment of Cartilage Lesions in the Knee
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Abstract
Objective: To assess the outcome of osteochondral allograft (OCA) transplantation as the primary treatment for cartilage injury in patients with no previous surgical treatment. Study Design: Case series. Patients were identified in our outcomes database. Patients undergoing primary OCA transplantation with no prior surgical treatment and a minimum of 2 years follow-up were selected. Pain and function were evaluated preoperatively and postoperatively. Patient satisfaction was assessed. Reoperations following OCA transplantation were captured. Failure was defined as revision OCA or conversion to arthroplasty. Results: Fifty-five patients (61 knees) were included in the analysis. The study consisted of 30 males and 25 females (mean age = 32.9 years; range = 15.7-67.8 years). The most common diagnoses for the OCA transplantation were osteochondritis dissecans (44.3%) and avascular necrosis (31.1%). Pain and function improved preoperatively to postoperatively on all outcome scales (p < 0.01). The majority of patients (86%) were “extremely satisfied” or “satisfied.” OCA survivorship was 89.5% at 5 years and 74.7% at 10 years. At latest follow-up (mean = 7.6 years; range = 1.9-22.6 years), OCA remained in situ in 50 knees (82%). Eighteen knees (29.5%) had further surgery; 11 OCA failures and 7 other surgical procedure(s). Of the failed knees (mean time to failure = 3.5 years; range = 0.5-13.7 years), 8 were converted to arthroplasty, 2 had OCA revisions, and 1 had a patellectomy. Conclusions: OCA transplantation is an acceptable primary treatment method for some chondral and osteochondral defects of the knee. Failure of previous treatment(s) is not a prerequisite for OCA transplantation.
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Introduction
Injury to hyaline cartilage continues to present a difficult clinical problem. Multiple cartilage repair techniques, including loose-body fixation, debridement, microfracture, osteochondral autologous transplantation (OAT), autologous chondrocyte implantation (ACI), and prosthetic resurfacing, have been suggested as possible treatment modalities for various cartilage disorders.¹⁻⁴ Selection of the appropriate repair technique typically requires consideration of numerous factors. In most treatment algorithms, osteochondral allograft (OCA) transplantation is regarded as a salvage procedure when previous treatments have failed¹; thus, outcomes of OCA transplantation, when used as a primary treatment option, is not well known. In OCA transplantation, an equivalent-sized fragment of fresh allograft cartilage, with supportive subchondral bone, is transplanted into the cartilage defect.⁵⁻¹⁵ This technique dates back to as early as 1914¹⁶ and restores the defect to an architecturally accurate, mature hyaline cartilage.¹⁷ Numerous studies have reported the outcome of OCA transplantation; however, most patients in these studies have had previous surgery in an attempt to treat the cartilage disease prior to the OCA transplantation. Nonetheless, the majority of patients experienced improved function and good to excellent overall repair with graft survivorship of >80% at 10 years or less and 74% at 15 years.⁶⁻⁸,¹³ In these studies and others, over 90% of the patients had undergone previous surgical treatment prior to the allograft procedure.
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Patient age (>30 years) and multiple operations have been associated with higher rates of graft failure. From this literature, it is evident that prior treatments may be a confounding factor in assessing OCA repair outcomes. Thus, the objective of this study was to assess the outcome of OCA transplantation when it was used as the primary treatment for cartilage injury in the knee in patients with no previous surgical treatment.

**Methods**

Since 1983, OCA transplantation data have been collected from 877 patients and recorded in an outcomes database under an institutional review board–approved protocol. All patients in the database signed an informed consent prior to any study related procedures. For this study, the database was used to identify patients who underwent OCA transplantation as primary treatment for a chondral or osteochondral defect. Inclusion criteria include patients, of any age, with no prior surgical treatment of an isolated, Grade III or IV chondral or osteochondral defect who were treated with OCA and had a minimum 2-year follow-up. Exclusion criteria included any previous surgery on the affected knee, advanced degenerative arthritis affecting more than one compartment of the knee, uncorrected limb malalignment, or ligamentous instability.

All procedures involved OCA transplantations in the knee, utilizing fresh unmatched tissue. OCA transplantation was selected as the primary treatment option based on disease state, defect size, involvement of bone along with the cartilage lesion, and patient age. Grafts were processed in accordance with standards of the American Association of Tissue Banks either at (1) the regional tissue bank at the local university and stored at 4°C in lactated Ringer solution containing 1 g/L of cefazolin and 10 g/mL of gentamicin for 2 to 6 days (N = 23) or (2) at a commercial tissue bank and stored at 4°C in proprietary tissue culture medium (N = 38). The surgical procedure has been previously described.

Preoperative and postoperative pain and function were obtained using the modified Merle d’Aubigné-Postel (18-point) scale; the subjective International Knee Documentation Committee (IKDC) pain, function, and total scores; the Knee Society function (KS-F) and knee (KS-K) scores; and the Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS). Patient satisfaction was assessed using a 5-point scale from “extremely satisfied” to “extremely dissatisfied.” After the initial OCA transplantation, the number and type of further surgeries on the operative joint were captured. Graft failure was defined as revision of the OCA or conversion to arthroplasty.

**Statistical Analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS version 13.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY). Patient characteristics, allograft details (such as diagnosis, graft size, and graft site), and the number and type of further surgery on the operative knee were summarized using means and frequencies. Wilcoxon signed-ranks tests were used to assess change from preoperative to postoperative (at latest follow-up) outcome scores on the modified Merle d’Aubigné-Postel (18-point), IKDC, KS-F, KS-K, and KOOS scales. The Kaplan–Meier method was used to calculate allograft survivorship with graft failure as the end-point. A P value of 0.05 was used to determine statistical significance.

**Results**

Fifty-five patients (61 knees) met all of the inclusion criteria and none of the exclusion criteria and were included in the final analysis (Table 1). The patient population consisted of 30 males (54.5%) and 25 females (45.5%), with a mean age of 32.9 years (range = 15.7-67.8 years). The most common diagnoses for the OCA transplantation were OCD (44.3%) and avascular necrosis (AVN; 31.1%); other diagnoses occurred at lower frequency and included osteoarthritis (8.2%), traumatic chondral injury (6.6%), degenerative chondral lesions (6.6%), and fracture (3.2%). The mean graft size was 9.6 cm² with a range of 3.2 cm² to 34.8 cm², with graft sites most commonly occurring in the medial femoral condyle (47.5%) or lateral femoral condyle (24.6%). The majority of knees had one OCA (57.4%), with the remaining knees having 2 (36.1%) or 3 (6.6%) grafts. Fourteen of 61
(23.0%) knees had an additional procedure performed at the time of OCA including lateral release (5), marrow stimulation (3), high tibial osteotomy (2), menisectomy (2), diagnostic arthroscopy (1), and ACL reconstruction (1).

At latest follow-up, 50 knees (82.0%) had the OCA in situ, with a mean follow-up duration for such knees of 7.6 years (range = 1.9-22.6 years). Pain and function improved from preoperatively to postoperatively on all of the outcome scales including the modified Merle d'Aubigné-Postel (18-point), IKDC, KS-F, KS-K, and KOOS (all, \( P < 0.01 \), Table 2). In terms of patient satisfaction, 86% of patients reported being “extremely satisfied” or “satisfied.”

OCA survivorship was 89.5% at 5 years and 74.7% at 10 years (Fig. 1). Following the initial OCA transplantation, 18 knees (29.5%) had further surgery. Of these additional surgeries, 11 knees (10 patients) had a surgical procedure to address an OCA failure or progression of arthritis and 7 knees (9 surgeries total) had surgical procedure(s) performed in conjunction with a functional graft. Additional surgeries performed on knees in conjunction with a functional graft included arthroscopic debridement and/or loose body removal (6), OAT (1), meniscus repair (1), and lateral release (1). Of the 11 failed knees, 8 knees were converted to arthroplasty, 2 knees had an OCA revision, and 1 knee had a

**Table 2.** Results of Subjective Outcome Measures.

| Measure                                      | n   | Preoperative Mean (SD) or % | Postoperative Mean (SD) or % | \( P \) Value<sup>a</sup> |
|----------------------------------------------|-----|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Modified Merle d’Aubigné-Postel (18-point)  | 44  | 12.6 (1.9)                 | 16.5 (1.9)                  | \(<0.001\)                |
| Excellent                                    |     | 35.6%                      |                             |                          |
| Good                                         |     | 48.9%                      |                             |                          |
| Fair                                         |     | 13.3%                      |                             |                          |
| Poor                                         |     | 2.2%                       |                             |                          |
| IKDC                                         |     |                            |                             |                          |
| Pain                                         | 35  | 6.2 (1.8)                  | 2.2 (2.5)                   | \(<0.001\)                |
| Function                                     | 36  | 2.8 (1.4)                  | 7.9 (2.1)                   | \(<0.001\)                |
| Total score                                  | 32  | 36.9 (9.7)                 | 80.4 (16.8)                 | \(<0.001\)                |
| Knee Society                                 |     |                            |                             |                          |
| Function                                     | 33  | 66.5 (14.9)                | 89.7 (21.4)                 | \(<0.001\)                |
| Knee score                                   | 12  | 76.7 (18.8)                | 92.3 (11.0)                 | 0.006                     |
| KOOS                                         |     |                            |                             |                          |
| Symptoms                                     | 13  | 59.2 (17.4)                | 84.9 (16.8)                 | \(<0.001\)                |
| Pain                                         | 13  | 57.9 (16.0)                | 88.2 (17.5)                 | \(<0.001\)                |
| ADL                                          | 13  | 63.7 (16.3)                | 91.9 (16.0)                 | \(<0.001\)                |
| Sport/Recreation                             | 9   | 38.3 (28.6)                | 81.1 (11.1)                 | 0.001                     |
| QOL                                          | 13  | 22.2 (17.0)                | 65.5 (22.4)                 | \(<0.001\)                |
| Satisfaction                                 | 44  |                            |                             |                          |
| Extremely satisfied                          |     | —                          | 77.3                        |                          |
| Satisfied                                    |     | —                          | 9.1                         |                          |
| Somewhat satisfied                           |     | —                          | 11.4                        |                          |
| Dissatisfied                                 |     | —                          | 2.3                         |                          |

IKDC = International Knee Documentation Committee; KOOS = Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score; ADL = activities of daily living; QOL = quality of life.

<sup>a</sup>Wilcoxon signed ranks test.
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**Figure 1.** Survivorship of OCA transplant as primary treatment was 89.5% at 5 years and 74.7% at 10 years.
patellectomy (Table 3). The median time to failure was 3.5 years (range = 0.5-13.7 years), and the mean age of patients with failed OCAs was 42.2 years (range = 17.3-66.0 years).

Characteristics distinguishing OCA success versus failure included diagnosis, graft location, and graft size. Nine patients of the cohort were treated for degenerative chondral pathology (e.g., degenerative chondral lesion or osteoarthritis) and 6 of these went on to failure (6/9, 66.7%). Five of the 6 failed OCA were isolated to the patellofemoral compartment. Survivorship in this subset of patients with degenerative pathology was 53% at 5 years and 20% at 10 years. Median time to failure for these 6 knees was 3.1 years (range = 1.1-9 years). In addition, mean graft size of failures was larger than that of OCA, which remained in situ at latest follow-up (18.2 cm² vs. 7.8 cm², respectively).

Table 3. Details of 11 OCA Failures in 10 Patients.

| Year of Surgery | Age at Time of OCA (years) | Number of Grafts | Location | OCA Diagnosis | Time to Failure (years) | Failure Type |
|-----------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------|---------------|------------------------|-------------|
| 1984            | 64.2                      | 1                | Patella  | DCL           | 1.1                    | OCA revision |
| 1993            | 44.0                      | 2                | Patella, trochlea | OA         | 2.3                    | Patellectomy |
| 1998            | 64.2                      | 2                | Patella, trochlea | OA         | 9.0                    | TKA         |
| 1999            | 50.9                      | 2                | Patella, trochlea | DCL           | 3.5                    | TKA         |
| 1999            | 55.8                      | 2                | Patella, trochlea | OA         | 6.9                    | TKA         |
| 2000            | 17.3                      | 1                | LFC      | AVN           | 13.7                   | TKA         |
| 2000            | 30.4                      | 1                | Patella  | AVN           | 0.5                    | TKA         |
| 2001            | 35.8                      | 2                | MFC, LFC | AVN           | 8.9                    | TKA         |
| 2005            | 18.2                      | 2                | MFC, LFC | AVN           | 3.3                    | OCA revision |
| 2005            | 66.0                      | 2                | MFC, MTP | OA            | 2.1                    | TKA         |

DCL = degenerative chondral lesion; OA = osteoarthritis; AVN = avascular necrosis; OCA = osteochondral allograft; TKA = total knee arthroplasty; LFC = lateral femoral condyle; MFC = medial femoral condyle; MTP = medial tibial plateau.

*This patient had bilateral OCA in 2000; both knees were converted to TKA in 2014.

**Discussion**

The results of this study demonstrate that OCA transplantation is an acceptable primary treatment method for some chondral and osteochondral defects of the knee. Postoperative outcomes scores were improved compared to preoperative scores, and the majority of patients (84.5%) were rated as excellent or good at latest follow-up (mean = 7.6 years). OCA survivorship was high with 89.5% at 5 years and 74.7% at 10 years. The incidence of additional surgeries after the initial OCA transplantation was relatively low with 10 patients (10/55, 18.2%) having surgical procedures to address OCA failure and 7 patients (7/55, 12.7%) having surgical procedures performed in conjunction with a functional graft. While the decision to perform an OCA procedure, instead of an alternative surgical cartilage repair treatment, was based on many variables, including size and characteristics of the lesions, OCAs were generally large (mean = 9.6 cm²) and had associated subchondral bone involvement. The decision to use OCA transplantation as a “first-line” treatment for these large and complex lesions appears to be supported by the findings of this study.

The use of this case series to study the acceptability of OCA transplantation as a primary treatment option involved the consideration of a number of issues. Although the study was prospective in nature, no control or comparison group was studied and historical controls in the literature are inadequate given that no studies specifically address the use of OCAs as a primary treatment modality. While postoperative x-rays may provide beneficial radiographic follow-up data, clinical outcomes and failure rates were chosen as the postoperative evaluations to provide a more useful metric of the acceptability of OCA transplantation. Given the relatively small sample size in this study, it is impossible to perform a multivariate analysis to determine factors associated with OCA transplantation success or failure. However, there was a trend in failures associated with older patients that had more advanced disease, particularly in the patellofemoral joint.

The survivorship results (i.e., ~90% at 5 years and ~75% at 10 years) of the current study are generally consistent with previously reported long-term outcome data of OCA transplantation in the literature; however, the majority of patients described in the literature have had one or more surgical interventions prior to OCA transplantation. OCA survivorship was ~95% at 5 years, ~80% to 85% at 10 years, ~75% at 15 years, and ~65% at 20 years in patients with femoral condyle lesions, which was higher than OCA survivorship in patients with patellofemoral lesions (~95% at 5 years, ~70% to 80% at 10 years, and 65% at 15 years).10,11,13 In these long-term follow-up studies of OCA transplantation, the majority of knees had one or more surgeries prior to the OCA; 18 patients had 52 operations,11 122 patients had 207 operations,13 and 14/28 patients had an average of 1.5 previous surgeries.8 Historically, over 90% of patients at our institution undergoing OCA have had a prior surgical intervention. The subset of patients described in this study are
unique only in the fact that patients did not undergo previous surgical treatment of the condition prior to OCA transplantation. Our previously described success with OCA transplantation used in a variety of conditions encouraged us to utilize OCA transplantation as a first-line treatment. The results reported in this study indicate that success is equivalent to other reported results and that failure of a previous surgical intervention is not a prerequisite for OCA transplantation.

The clinical success demonstrated in the patient population studied here suggests that candidates for primary OCA transplantation are unique compared to other cartilage repair procedures (larger lesions, more bone involvement). While success rates of microfracture, ACI, and OAT can be high, these interventions are most often used to treat small chondral defects. The large graft size (mean area = 9.6 cm²) and subchondral bone pathology associated with OCD, and AVN, and DJD diagnoses in this study group may better be served by treatment with OCA transplantation compared to other interventions. Lesions that are degenerative in etiology, large in size, or located in the patellofemoral region typically perform worse than those treated for isolated, focal defects as seen here and in the literature.

Conclusion

OCA transplantation has historically been considered a salvage procedure for use when other repair treatments have failed. The results of this study suggest that OCA transplantation is a safe and effective primary treatment for many large chondral and osteochondral defects of the knee. Thus, previous surgical intervention is not a prerequisite for treatment of patients with OCA transplantation.
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