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Abstract

**Background:** Although many studies emphasize the importance of using oxygen saturation (SpO\(_2\)) targets in the NICUs, there is a wide variability in used saturation ranges among centers. Primary aim was to draw a representative picture on how the management of oxygen monitoring is performed in the Italian NICUs. Second aim was to identify healthcare-professionals related factors associated with oxygen targeting in the preterm population.

**Methods:** Cross-sectional study with data collection via an electronic survey form. A questionnaire containing pre-piloted and open questions on monitoring and management of the SpO\(_2\) was administered to neonatologists across the network of the Italian Society of Neonatology. The questions focused on: the infrastructure, specific training, healthcare professionals and patients-related factors. The results of the survey were anonymously collected, summarized and analyzed.

**Results:** Out of 378 questionnaires, 93 were correctly filled. Thirty-six different SpO\(_2\) ranges were observed. Centers using written standard operating procedures on oxygen management and SpO\(_2\) monitoring maintained a correct average range of SpO\(_2\) 90-95\%, avoided hyperoxia and reconsidered saturation targets in relation to comorbidities. 39.8\% of responders disabled alarms during neonatal care. One center used biomarkers for complete monitoring of neonatal oxygenation status.

**Conclusions:** There is considerable variation in SpO\(_2\) targets for preterm infants in the Italian NICUs. Standard operating procedures and specific training for health care personnel are the main factors playing a role for the correct maintenance of the recommended oxygen targets in preterms.

**Background**

Oxygen is essential for aerobic life, but it can be considered a double-edged sword in the perinatal period having both positive biological benefits and toxic effects. Oxygen toxicity is due to the development of reactive oxygen species (ROS), potent oxidants in biological fluids that may damage tissues, through reaction with lipids, proteins, DNA, amino acids and several other molecules [1]. An imbalance between oxidants and antioxidants is called oxidative stress: a potential cause of cell damage [2]. Newborns, especially if preterm, are particular susceptible to oxidative stress due to the immature antioxidant capacity and the likely exposure to many processes such as hypoxia, hypoxia-ischemia, hyperoxia and infections, leading to high levels of free radicals’ production [3]. In order to avoid an excessive, undesired exposure to the risk of hypoxia/hyperoxia, the careful monitoring of oxygen saturation (SpO\(_2\)) levels during neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) admission, is warranted [4, 5]. Clinical studies underlined how the level of generally accepted saturation targets for children and adults could not be considered acceptable for preterm and low birth weight infants [6, 7]. Recently, multicenter randomized controlled trials support the recommendation to keep SpO\(_2\) between 90 and 95\%, in infants with a gestational age less than 28 weeks, up to 36 weeks post-menstrual age [8–10]. However, wide variability in SpO\(_2\) ranges has been reported and there is no consensus yet on the specific prerequisites for the management of
SpO₂ monitoring in the NICUs [11, 13]. There is current evidence on the presence of the condition of “alarm fatigue” which is the desensitization of health care practitioners to the thousands of alarms of a single day in the NICU [14]. Moreover, there is an increasing tendency to disregard the high alarm limit, with the assumption that hypoxemia is more detrimental than hyperoxemia. A recent survey on pulse oximeter saturation target limits for preterm infants in European NICUs pointed out the present climate of uncertainty regarding the optimum range of pulse oximeter SpO₂ for preterm infants, reporting wide institutional variations on SpO₂ targets [15]. This cross-sectional multicenter study has objective to characterize how SpO₂ is monitored in preterm infants in the Italian NICUs. A further aim is to identify healthcare-professionals related factors associated with different oxygen targeting in preterm newborns.

**Materials And Methods**

This is a national, cross-sectional study, implemented by the scientific working group of “Clinical neonatal Biochemistry” of the Italian Society of Neonatology. Data collection was performed using an electronic survey (eCRF) sent to all the chairs of Italian NICUs or in alternative to one neonatologist per center by Survey Monkey software. Questionnaires were administered and collected anonymously. The eCRF consisted of two parts (Table 1). Part one contained general information about the hospital, the NICU, the patient–nurse ratio and implemented treatment strategies (biomarkers measurement, standard operating procedures, guidelines). Part two enquired specific information about the management of SpO₂ monitoring currently used in the unit. The following variables were also assessed through the eCRF: infrastructure variables, training, technology variables, staff variables and patient-related variables. For each section multiple choice as well as open questions were included. The respondent neonatologists were instructed, via an introductory email, to provide answers reflecting their unit practice, based on local protocols/standard care, and not personal preferences. A reminder questionnaire was sent once to the nonresponding neonatologists.
| **Infrastructure variables** |  |
|-------------------------------|--|
| **1. Your NICU is based in a:** | **2. Your NICU is:** |
| • II level hospital | • South of Italy |
| • III level hospital | • North of Italy Center of Italy |
| **3. Is yours a University hospital?** | **4. Indicate the annual number of births in your hospital** |
| • Yes |  |
| • No |  |
| **5. Indicate the annual number of newborns with gestational age < 32 weeks assisted in your NICU** |  |
| **6. Indicate the annual number of newborns with gestational age < 28 weeks assisted in your NICU** |  |
| **7. How many NICU beds does your NICU have?** | **8. Which are the doctors/beds ratio in your NICU?** |
| **9. Which is the nurses/beds ratio in your NICU?** | **10. Is there a local oxygen management protocol in your NICU?** |
| • Yes | • Yes |
| • No | • No |
| **11. Indicate the minimum and maximum values of the range of SatO2 used in its NICU for newborns with gestational age less than 32 weeks requiring oxygen supply** |  |
| **12. In which conditions may the above ranges vary?** |  |
| • Never |  |
| • Variation in ventilator support mode (e.g. from not invasive to invasive) |  |
| • Presence of associated comorbidity (anemia, congenital cardiopathy, retinopathy of prematurity, need for surgery, sepsis) |  |
| • Other (explain here your answer) |  |
| **13. In case of SpO2 range variation, which of the two alarms is modified?** |  |
| • Lower value alarm |  |
| • Upper value alarm |  |
| • Both |  |

NICU: neonatal intensive care unit; SpO2: oxygen saturation; CVC: catheter venous central; VEGF: Vascular-Endothelial Growth Factor; NIRS: near infrared spectroscopy.
**Infrastructure variables**

14. **Who is in charge of setting the minimum and maximum alarms?**
   - Chief
   - Neonatologist
   - Nurse

15. **Who is in charge to change the alarm value?**
   (You can choose more than one answer)
   - Chief
   - Neonatologist
   - Nurse

16. **Who is in charge to disable the maximum alarm?**
   (You can choose more than one answer)
   - Chief
   - Neonatologist
   - Nurse

17. **In which conditions are the alarms disabled?**
   (You can choose more than one answer)
   - Never
   - During invasive procedures (such as CVC insertion, chest drainage, reintubation)
   - During nursing care (washing, weight evaluation, change of the diaper)
   - Patient respiratory instability
   - Other (explain here your answer)

18. **If the alarms are disabled, which of the two alarms is disabled?**
   19. Lower alarm
   20. Upper alarm
   21. Both

22. **Who responds to the alarm signal?**
   - Doctor on duty
   - Nurse
   - Indifferently the doctor or the nurse

23. **Is there written documentation of the interventions in response to the alarm signal?**
   - Yes
   - No

**Training related variables**

NICU: neonatal intensive care unit; SpO2: oxygen saturation; CVC: catheter venous central; VEGF: Vascular-Endothelial Growth Factor; NIRS: near infrared spectroscopy.
### Infrastructure variables

1. Is there a staff training program on the use of the pulse oximeter and on the rationale for careful monitoring of $\text{O}_2$ saturation?
   - Yes
   - No

2. Is there a formal staff training on how to respond to alarms?
   - Yes
   - No

### Technology variables

1. Indicate the type of the pulse oximeter in use in your NICU

2. Is an $\text{O}_2$ saturation daily plot available for admitted newborns?
   - Yes
   - No

3. If you answered yes to the previous question, is it possible to archive daily data?
   - Yes
   - No

### Staff variables

1. Do you think that a high alarm frequency during the work shift leads to latency in response time to the alarm or a decreased attention to that?
   - Yes
   - No

2. If you answered yes, for what kind of alarm do you think that happens?
   
   3. Lower alarm
   4. Upper alarm
   5. Both

3. In case of severe conditions, with frequent activation of the alarm, is there a progressive latency in the response time to the upper value alarm?
   - Yes
   - No

NICU: neonatal intensive care unit; SpO2: oxygen saturation; CVC: catheter venous central; VEGF: Vascular-Endothelial Growth Factor; NIRS: near infrared spectroscopy.
### Infrastructure variables

| Number | Question                                                                 | Option 1                                      | Option 2                                      | Option 3                                      |
|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 7.     | Is the acoustic intensity of the alarms reduced during the night shift?  | • Yes                                         | • No                                          |                                               |
| 8.     | During the night shift, can the upper value alarm be changed / disabled? | • Yes, it can be changed                      | • Yes, it can be disabled                      | • No                                          |
| 9.     | Are alarms disabled during assistance maneuvers (e.g. washing, suction, weight evaluation, nursing care)? | • Yes                                         | • No                                          |                                               |
| 10.    | If you answered yes, how long are they disabled on average?               | • Less than 5 minutes                         | • From 5 to 10 minutes                        | • More than 10 minutes                        |
| 11.    | During the execution of the assistance maneuvers, does the healthcare professional use supplemental oxygen? | • Yes                                         | • No                                          |                                               |

### Patient-related variables

| Number | Question                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Option 1 | Option 2 | Option 3 |
|--------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| 1.     | How many newborns less than 32 weeks of gestational age with respiratory support are currently hospitalized in your NICU?                                                                               |          |          |          |
| 2.     | How many of the newborns mentioned in the previous question are assisted with non-invasive ventilation?                                                                                                 |          |          |          |
| 3.     | How many of the newborns mentioned in the previous question are assisted with invasive ventilation?                                                                                                       |          |          |          |
| 4.     | Indicate the minimum and maximum values of the SatO2 range used for currently hospitalized newborns with GA < 32 weeks                                                                                      |          |          |          |
| 5.     | Do the clinical conditions of the newborn (anemia, hypotension, apnea, infections, need for mechanical ventilation) influence the saturation range set?                                                          |          |          |          |
| 6.     | In your NICU, do you have NIRS as an additional tissue oxygenation monitoring system?                                                                                                                    |          |          |          |

NICU: neonatal intensive care unit; SpO2: oxygen saturation; CVC: catheter venous central; VEGF: Vascular-Endothelial Growth Factor; NIRS: near infrared spectroscopy.
### Infrastructure variables

| Question                                                                 | Answer       |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| 7. In your NICU, do you have the VEGF dosage as an additional tissue oxygenation monitoring tool? | Yes / No     |
| 8. Is there the possibility to measure oxidative stress by dosing specific biomarkers? | Yes / No     |

NICU: neonatal intensive care unit; SpO2: oxygen saturation; CVC: catheter venous central; VEGF: Vascular-Endothelial Growth Factor; NIRS: near infrared spectroscopy.

---

### Statistical analysis

Data analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 21 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Firstly, a descriptive statistical analysis was conducted. To identify factors independently associated with the use of SpO2 monitoring modalities, multiple logistic regression models was performed. In the multiple regression analysis, all factors were included using a p value of ≤ 0.05 as significant in univariate analysis.

### Results

Out of a total of 378 questionnaires sent, 104 replies were received. Of these only 93 were complete and showed consistent answers and therefore assessable for our study (24.6% of the questionnaires sent).

The characteristics of participant centers are described in Table 2. The SpO2 ranges used in the 93 NICUs were combined in 36 different ranges with wide variability between the minimum and maximum target levels. The most frequently used range of SpO2 is 90–95% (16 centers), 88–95% (8 centers) and 88–94% (seven centers) (Fig. 1). The range of maximum SpO2 used levels varied from 100% (four centers) to 92% (10 centers) (Fig. 2). The range of minimum SpO2 levels varied from 75% (two centers) to 93% (one center) (Fig. 3). NICUs using written standard operating procedures or specific local guideline on oxygen management and SpO2 monitoring, maintained average maximum desired levels of SpO2 of 94% versus 96% of centers that did not have a written local protocol (p = 0.003). Similarly, centers performing specific training of health care personnel on management of oxygen monitoring, would set the desired maximum values of SpO2 on average at 94 compared to the average values of 95% of the centers not performing any training (p = 0.03). SpO2 desired range could be changed in 56% of participating NICU in case of associated comorbidity (e.g. anemia, cardiopathy, ROP, BPD, surgery, sepsis), in 13.2% of cases also if the ventilatory support mode was changed, in 12.1% of cases in other conditions specified apart from some centers: seven centers modified the limits in case of both comorbidity and variation of the ventilatory support mode, three centers modifies the maximum limits in case of no oxygen supplementation and one center modified the maximum limits, by increasing it, in case of corrected gestational age > 32 weeks. In 18.7% of the centers, SpO2 limits were never changed. SpO2 alarms were never turned off in 63.4% of
participating centers (n = 59). They were disabled during care maneuvers in 16% (n = 15), during invasive procedures in 11.8% (n = 11), excessive instability of the patient in 4.3% (n = 4), other reasons in 4.3% of the centers (one center in the assistance of terminal patient to death, two centers in case of no supplemental oxygen, one center in case of both invasive procedures and care maneuvers) (Fig. 4). Centers that did not turn off the alarms during the assistance maneuvers had a specific training for staff members on the monitoring of the SpO₂ compared to those with the opposite attitude, (p = 0.02). Twenty-six centers (five of second level and 21 of third level) had NIRS technology available to study cerebral SpO₂. Four third level centers had the possibility to dose VEGF. Thirteen centers (three of second level and ten of third level) measured biomarkers of oxidative stress. A single third level and university center had the possibility to have in place all three adjunctive technology to the SpO₂ for the complete study of the oxygenation status of the newborn.
| Characteristics of participating centers | N   | Percentage |
|-----------------------------------------|-----|------------|
| Participants NICU                       | 93  | 100%       |
| NICU based in hospital in the           |     |            |
| South of Italy                          | 38  | 41%        |
| Center of Italy                         | 26  | 28%        |
| North of Italy                          | 28  | 30%        |
| Number of births / year (mean)          |     |            |
| Total number of newborns with gestational age < 32 weeks assisted in NICU/year (total n*) | 6628 | – |
| Total number of newborns with gestational age < 28 weeks assisted in NICU/year | 2757 | – |
| Number of NICU beds (mean)              | 10  | –          |
| Median doctors/beds ratio in NICUs      | 1:4 | –          |
| Median nurses/beds ratio in NICU        | 1:4 | –          |
| Oxygen management protocol in NICU      | 59  | 63.4%      |
| Staff training program on the use of the pulse oximeter and on the careful monitoring of O₂ saturation | 49  | 52.7%      |
| Formal staff training on how to respond to alarms | 38  | 40.9%      |
| Who is in charge to set the minimum and maximum alarms? | Neonatologist | 78 | 83.9% |
|                                          | Nurse      | 11 | 11.8%  |
|                                          | Chief       | 4  | 4.3%   |
| Who is in charge to disable the maximum alarm? | Neonatologist | 73 | 88.2% |
|                                          | Nurse       | 13 | 13%    |
|                                          | Chief       | 4  | 4.3%   |
| In which conditions when the alarms can be disabled? | Never | 59 | 63.5% |
|                                          | During the execution of invasive procedures | 11 | 11.8% |
|                                          | During the nursing care | 23 | 24.7% |
| Time-length of alarms disabled during the nursing care | Less than 5 min | 28 | 30.1% |
|                                          | Between 5 and 10 min | 7  | 2.2%   |
### Discussion

The present study allowed to draw a representative picture of how the management of oxygen monitoring is performed in 93 neonatal intensive care units in Italy and which factors independently favored/refrained the use of the recommended targets. The study revealed a wide variability in the utilized ranges for the surveillance of critically ill patients. Failure to comply with the upper and lower limits inevitably exposes the baby to either hypoxia or hyperoxia. Hypoxia and hyperoxia are dangerous for newborns, especially if preterm. They predispose preterm newborns to oxidative stress, through the free radical generation. Antioxidant capacity is lower in the newborn and particularly the premature infant in comparison to term newborn [2, 16]. Therefore, premature infants are especially prone to oxidant injury, in various organs and systems [17–19]. The short-term effects of hyperoxia on lungs determines: alterations of the lung epithelium and activation of surfactant with alveolar edema, interstitial thickening, fibrosis, atelectasis; on retina: activation of various transcription factors including HIF-1α and VEGF, responsible, in a first phase, of the cessation of retinal vascular growth and subsequently of the abnormal proliferation of retinal vessels with the formation of intraretinal ridges, responsible of the increased risk of retinal detachment; on erythrocytes: oxidative hemolysis and anemia [2]. The long-term effects are described in clinical and experimental studies. In newborns hyperoxia leads to persistent inflammation with impaired innate immune response and increased airway reactivity and susceptibility to respiratory virus infections in adulthood [18]. In animal models, hyperoxia leads to heart damage in adulthood, besides to impaired neurological development, with changes in behavior, in memory, in the size of the hippocampus, in the absence of pathology demonstrated in age neonatal as periventricular leukomalacia [20]. To limits the dangerous effects of hypoxia or hyperoxia a strict control of oxygen administration is mandatory. To date there is no standardized SpO\textsubscript{2} interval, universally recognized as excellent by the scientific community, to guarantee tissue oxygenation in the NICU setting. Multicenter, randomized and large-scale clinical trials have shown SpO\textsubscript{2} below 90%, during intensive care stay, are associated with a higher mortality, and saturation values higher than 95% are associated with increased morbidity [8, 9, 21]. Our study showed in 64.4% of the centers the lower limit of SpO\textsubscript{2} is set below 90%, highlighting a propensity to tolerate hypoxia; in contrast, in 24.4% of the centers the upper limit of SpO\textsubscript{2} is set above 95% reflecting a permissive attitude towards hyperoxia. A recent paper investigating the signal type of alarms in the NICU, reported that over 60% of alarms were related to oxygenation monitoring; thus, these represent the major burden of all alarms in newborns population [22]. In this survey, the setting of the maximum value is influenced by the presence or absence of both written operational procedures and staff training, with attention to hyperoxia conditions. NICUs that are particularly sensitive to staff training on the monitoring of SpO\textsubscript{2}, choose more frequently not to disable the alarms during care maneuvers,
compared to the centers with opposite attitude. In this way the risk of missing clinically relevant alarms is reduced. So, it is clear how scientific updating and the active involvement of the trained staff in guiding daily clinical decisions play a decisive role in the quality of patient care. In this context, the so called “alarm fatigue” or alarm desensitization is also a key factor. In the NICU environment, the number of alarm signals may reach several hundred per day determining a huge alarm burden with the effect of staff desensitization, disabling of alarm signals and missing upper signals [23]. The high rates of false or nonactionable alarms may also be involved. In most cases, nurses/neonatologists can adjust the SpO2 alarm limits and that is reasonable to individualize care to specific patients. However, the presence of local standard protocols may be desirable to avoid individual operator changes to alarm settings. The optimal SpO2 range for the newborn is also a dynamic value that can change (minimum, maximum or both) in relation to comorbidity, the inspired fraction of oxygen, the gestational age of the newborn and the corrected age. In 18.7% of the centers, saturation limits were never changed. This data challenge current recommendation to increase the saturation target to > 95% in those newborns who, at 32 weeks of correct gestational age, still need oxygen supplementation or to reconsider saturation targets in babies with pulmonary hypertension [24]. All these aspects are fundamental not only to guarantee optimal neonatal care but also for later follow-up assessment. The use of specific local protocols, personnel training was independently associated with the use of recommended targets limits, indicating the use of these tools as a good local guideline for better neonatal care. A recent European survey reported a wide variability in ranges similar to our data [15]; However, the present study has some important limitations. First, the questionnaire gives an instant picture of only 25% of the Italian centers. Unfortunately, we were only allowed to send a single reminder to the invited responders. This means that the present study gives only a partial view on the actual situation of SpO2 targets in the Italian NICUs. Furthermore, the absence of more detailed background information on the specific SpO2 monitoring protocols used in each individual institution, and above all the lacking information of clinical outcome limits further conclusions. Also, the absence of a standard local protocol cannot be automatically equated with the absence of knowledge on current guidelines or lower quality of patient care. However, the presence of ad hoc protocols was identified as one of the independent factors associated with the use of recommended SpO2 targets.

**Conclusions**

The study reveals that SpO2 monitoring, although available and performed in in all units, still lacks specific local ad hoc protocols which need to be implemented for the correct surveillance of critically ill patients. The use of local protocols, and specific personnel training would possibly allow the wider use of recommended targets limits. These data provide an important overview on the current situation on Italian NICUs on SpO2 monitoring and management.
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ROS: reactive oxygen species; SpO$_2$: oxygen saturation; NICU: neonatal intensive care unit; eCRF: electronic survey;
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