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ABSTRACT

We present high time resolution SDSS-g′ and SDSS-z′ light curves of the primary eclipse in SDSS J141126.20+200911.1, together with time-resolved X-Shooter spectroscopy and near-infrared JHKs photometry. Our observations confirm the substellar nature of the companion, making SDSS J141126.20+200911.1 the first eclipsing white dwarf/brown dwarf binary known. We measure a (white dwarf model dependent) mass and radius for the brown dwarf companion of $M_2 = 0.050 \pm 0.002 M_\odot$ and $R_2 = 0.072 \pm 0.004 M_\odot$, respectively. The lack of a robust detection of the companion light in the z′-band eclipse constrains the spectral type of the companion to be later than L5. Comparing the NIR photometry to the expected white dwarf flux reveals a clear $K_s$-band excess, suggesting a spectral type in the range L7–T1.

The radius measurement is consistent with the predictions of evolutionary models, and suggests a system age in excess of three Gyr. The low companion mass is inconsistent with the inferred spectral type of L7–T1, instead predicting a spectral type nearer T5. This indicates that irradiation of the companion in SDSS J1411 could be causing a significant temperature increase, at least on one hemisphere.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The eclipsing white dwarf binary SDSS J141126.20+200911.1 (also known as CSS21055, hereafter called SDSS J1411) was first noted in the catalogue of Drake et al. (2010), but independently discovered by Beuermann et al. (2013, hereafter B13). The SDSS photometry and spectroscopy are dominated by the white dwarf component, and show no hint of a companion. From the SDSS data, Kleinman et al. (2013) derived an effective temperature of $T_{\text{eff}} = 13074 \pm 1306 \text{ K}$, log $g = 7.89 \pm 0.12$ and a mass of $M_1 = 0.551 M_\odot$, suggesting a carbon-oxygen core white dwarf. From white-light observations, B13 noted the narrow eclipse was indicative of a small companion. Bessel I-band observations with lower resolution allowed B13 to create an in-eclipse I-band image, in which all the light comes from the companion, SDSS J1411 was not detected in this image, setting a model-dependent 1σ upper limit on the companion mass of $0.075 M_\odot$. The faintness of the companion in the optical thus suggests a substellar mass for the companion in SDSS J1411, which would make it the first eclipsing white dwarf/brown dwarf binary identified.

Here we present photometric and spectroscopic observations of SDSS J1411. We use these data to constrain the mass, radius and spectral type of the companion in SDSS J1411. We confirm the substellar nature of the companion, firmly establishing SDSS J1411 as the first detached, eclipsing white dwarf/substellar binary identified. The observations are described in section 2, the results are presented in section 3 and discussed in section 4.
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2 OBSERVATIONS

2.1 Optical Photometry

We observed eclipses of SDSS J1411 on a number of nights between January and March 2014 with the fast photometer ULTRASPEC (Dhillon et al., 2014, MNRAS, submitted) on the 2.4-m Thai National Telescope (TNT) on Doi Inthanon, Thailand. Observations were carried out in the SDSS $ugriz$ bands. A complete journal of observations is presented in Table 1. In addition to observations of the eclipses, we observed the field of SDSS J1411 once with each instrument, applying random offsets to the pointing, which allowed us to median-stack these images to create an image for removal of fringing from the $z'$-band images.

Data reduction was carried out in a standard manner using the ULTRACAM pipeline reduction software, as described in Feline et al. (2004). In addition to the usual application of bias and flat frames, the fringe frame was subtracted from each data frame after being scaled by a constant which was chosen to minimise the variance in the background of the fringe-subtracted data frame. ULTRASPEC on the TNT suffers from a significant ($\approx 10$ percent) contribution from scattered light, thought to originate from inadequate baffling of the tertiary mirror. This scattered light also affects the structure in the fringe frame, and changes in the baffling following the observation of the fringe frame in January meant that fringe removal did not work for the data taken in March. Therefore, we created a fringe frame for the March data using the following recipe. $z'$-band images from a single night (2014 Mar 26$^{th}$) were combined to make a deep image in which stars were detected using Sextractor. Each star in this catalogue was removed from each individual $z'$-band image by fitting and subtracting a Moffat-profile (Trujillo et al. 2001) to the star. The star-subtracted images were then median stacked to produce a fringe frame. The lightcurves of three nearby stars were averaged to produce a comparison star lightcurve in order to correct the data for transparency variations. The effective SDSS $z'$-band magnitude of this comparison light curve was $z' = 14.859$.

Table 1. Journal of observations. For ULTRACAM (UCAM) observations, the dead-time between exposures was 0.025 s, for ULTRASPEC (USPEC) the dead-time was 0.015 s. The relative GPS timestamping on each UCAM/USPEC data point is accurate to 50 µs, with an absolute accuracy better than 1 ms. $T_{\text{mid}}$ gives the time of mid eclipse (see section 3.4). For X-Shooter, we provide exposure times in the UBV/VIS and NIR arms respectively. The column Phot? indicates if the night was photometric.

| Date       | Start Phase | End Phase | Instrument | $T_{\text{mid}}$ (BMJD (TDB)) | Exp. time (seconds) | Data points | Seeing (arcseconds) | Airmass | Phot?   |
|------------|-------------|-----------|------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|---------|---------|
| 2014 Jan 25 | 8179.941    | 8180.106  | USPEC      | 56682.86665(2)              | 6.53                | 124         | 1.6–3.1             | 1.20–1.22 | Yes     |
| 2014 Jan 25 | 8180.850    | 8181.131  | USPEC      | 56682.95116(1)              | 6.53                | 209         | 1.5–2.4             | 1.00–1.01 | Yes     |
| 2014 Jan 26 | 8191.931    | 8192.053  | USPEC      | 56683.88102(1)              | 6.53                | 92          | 1.4–1.6             | 1.14–1.18 | Yes     |
| 2014 Jan 27 | 8202.935    | 8203.046  | USPEC      | 56684.81085(2)              | 6.53                | 84          | 1.7–2.5             | 1.61–1.73 | Yes     |
| 2014 Jan 28 | 8214.904    | 8215.041  | USPEC      | 56685.82528(2)              | 6.53                | 103         | 1.7–3.4             | 1.44–1.55 | Yes     |
| 2014 Jan 29 | 8227.889    | 8228.045  | USPEC      | 56686.92421(2)              | 6.53                | 117         | 1.5–2.6             | 1.02–1.04 | Yes     |
| 2014 Jan 31 | 8251.945    | 8252.032  | USPEC      | 56689.95300(2)              | 8.39                | 66          | 2.0–3.1             | 1.00–1.01 | Yes     |
| 2014 Feb 01 | 8261.959    | 8262.067  | USPEC      | 56689.79835(2)              | 6.53                | 82          | 1.7–2.6             | 1.58–1.70 | Yes     |
| 2014 Mar 02 | 8607.828    | 8608.037  | UCAM       | 56719.04632(6)              | 2.04                | 741         | 1.4–3.4             | 1.09–1.14 | Yes     |
| 2014 Mar 16 | 8775.867    | 8776.125  | UCAM       | 56733.24812(7)              | 4.54                | 412         | 1.2–1.4             | 1.11–1.19 | No      |
| 2014 Mar 26 | 8887.909    | 8888.033  | USPEC      | 56742.71576(2)              | 9.89                | 93          | 1.4–1.6             | 1.18–1.23 | Yes     |
| 2014 Mar 26 | 8889.934    | 8890.048  | USPEC      | 56742.88489(2)              | 9.89                | 85          | 1.2–1.5             | 1.09–1.11 | Yes     |
| 2014 Mar 27 | 8899.939    | 8900.063  | USPEC      | 56743.73021(2)              | 9.89                | 93          | 1.3–1.5             | 1.10–1.14 | Yes     |
| 2014 Mar 27 | 8900.970    | 8901.075  | USPEC      | 56743.81742(2)              | 9.89                | 69          | 1.1–2.7             | 1.00–1.01 | Yes     |
| 2014 Mar 27 | 8901.958    | 8902.077  | USPEC      | 56743.89923(2)              | 9.89                | 89          | 1.2–1.4             | 1.25–1.05 | Yes     |
| 2014 Mar 28 | 8912.904    | 8913.080  | USPEC      | 56744.82912(1)              | 9.89                | 131         | 1.2–1.6             | 1.00–1.02 | Yes     |
| 2014 Mar 28 | 8913.966    | 8914.064  | USPEC      | 56743.91365(2)              | 9.89                | 73          | 1.2–1.7             | 1.23–1.27 | Yes     |
| 2014 Mar 30 | 8936.961    | 8937.090  | USPEC      | 56746.85791(1)              | 9.89                | 96          | 1.2–1.4             | 1.05–1.08 | Yes     |
| 2014 Mar 30 | 8937.847    | 8938.142  | USPEC      | 56746.94244(2)              | 9.989               | 216         | 1.3–2.4             | 1.38–1.62 | Yes     |
| 2014 Mar 16 | 8379.941    | 8380.090  | LIRIS/K     | n/a                        | 10.00               | 136         | Variable            | 1.00–1.10 | Yes     |
| 2014 Mar 17 | 8787.969    | 8788.223  | LIRIS/H     | n/a                        | 10.00               | 125         | Variable            | 1.10–1.30 | Yes     |
| 2014 Apr 08 | 9045.490    | 9045.834  | LIRIS/J     | n/a                        | 20.00               | 60          | Poor                | 1.03–1.10 | No      |
| 2014 Apr 19 | 9165.047    | 9166.955  | X-Shooter   | n/a                        | 450/600/300         | 28/21/44    | 0.7–1.0             | 1.65–1.66 | n/a     |
| 2014 Apr 20 | 9178.202    | 9178.753  | X-Shooter   | n/a                        | 450/600/300         | 9/7/13      | 0.7–9.0             | 1.44–1.65 | n/a     |
STARLINK GAIA package and an aperture equal to 1.5 times the image full-width half-maximum. For each image, five reference stars with magnitudes listed in the 2MASS catalogue were used to derive a zero-point, which was then used to calibrate the photometry. As both LIRIS and 2MASS use the same filter set, no additional colour corrections were applied.

2.3 X-shooter Spectroscopy

We observed SDSS J1411 with the medium resolution spectrograph X-shooter (D’Odorico et al. 2006) mounted at the Cassegrain focus of VLT-UT2 at Paranal. X-shooter is comprised of three detectors: the UVB arm, which gives spectra from 0.3-0.56 microns, the VIS arm which covers 0.56-1 microns and the NIR arm which covers 1-2.4 microns. We used slit widths of 1.0, 0.9 and 0.9 arcseconds in X-shooter’s three arms and binned by a factor of two in the dispersion direction in the UVB and VIS arms, and a factor of two in the spatial direction in the UVB arm, resulting in a spectral resolution of 2500–3500 across the entire spectral range.

The reduction of the raw frames was conducted using the standard pipeline release of the X-shooter Common Pipeline Library (CPL) recipes (version 6.4.1) within ES-OReX, the ESO Recipe Execution Tool, version 3.10.2. The standard recipes were used to optimally extract and wavelength calibrate each spectrum. The instrumental response was measured using the standard pipeline release of the X-shooter Common Pipeline Library. The X-shooter spectrum, and additional constraints on the white dwarf can be found using the SDSS photometry and the cooling models of Holberg & Bergeron (2006). Bivariate cubic-spline interpolation of this model grid provides absolute SDSS magnitudes at a given log $g$ and $T_\text{eff}$. These are then reddened for a given value of $E(g-i)$ using the extinction law of Cardelli, Clayton & Mathis (1989) and converted to apparent magnitudes for a given distance, $d$. The posterior probability distributions for the parameter set {log $g$, $T_\text{eff}$, $E(g-i)$, $d$} are estimated using the same MCMC procedure described above. We use our constraints on log $g$ and $T_\text{eff}$ from the Balmer-line fits as priors on these parameters. A uniform prior for $E(g-i)$ was adopted, with values up to the galactic extinction along this line of sight (B13) allowed. A uniform prior was used for the distance. We find $E(g-i) = 0.05 \pm 0.01$ and $d = 190 \pm 8$ pc. Our estimates of log $g$ and $T_\text{eff}$ are unchanged from the values derived from the spectrum fitting. At each point in the resulting MCMC chains, the cooling models can be used to calculate a mass $M_1$, radius $R_1$ and cooling age $t_\text{cool}$ for the white dwarf. Posterior probability distributions for each parameter are approximately Gaussian and we find most likely values for each parameter of $M_1 = 0.53 \pm 0.03 M_\odot$, $R_1 = 0.0142 \pm 0.0006 R_\odot$ and $t_\text{cool} = 260 \pm 20$ Myr.

For single white dwarfs, it is possible to use an initial-final mass relation for the white-dwarf to estimate the age of the system. However, this should be considered an upper limit on the system age, since SDSS J1411 has certainly evolved through a common envelope phase, which may have occurred prior to the AGB phase of a more massive and short-lived progenitor. Furthermore, there is at present a significant amount of scatter between the predictions of different initial-final mass relations in the literature (e.g. Casewell et al. 2009) Salaris et al. 2009 Catalán et al. 2008). This means it is not currently possible to constrain the age of SDSS1411 by this method.

1 http://www.astro.umontreal.ca/~bergeron/CoolingModels
3.2 The g'-band primary eclipse of SDSS J1411

The g'-band primary eclipse of SDSS J1411 is shown in Figure 2. The ULTRACAM eclipses listed in Table 1 have been phase-folded using the ephemeris of B13. The eclipse is the typical shape seen in total eclipses of a white dwarf primary. Encoded into the shape of this light curve is information about the binary inclination, and the sizes of the two components (scaled relative to the binary separation, a). We fit this light curve with a model which consists of the following parameters:

- $q = M_1 / M_2$, where $M_2$ is the companion mass;
- the scaled radius of the white dwarf, $R_1 / a$;
- the scaled radius of the companion, $R_2 / a$;
- the linear limb-darkening parameter for the white dwarf, $U$;
- the inclination of the binary $i$;
- the flux from the white dwarf outside of eclipse, $F_1$;
- the flux from the companion, $F_2$, and
- an offset of the eclipse centre from phase 0, $\phi_0$.

The model includes Roche distortion of the companion, and computes the visible flux from both components at each phase. Posterior probability distributions for the parameters are obtained by the same fitting procedure described in section 3.1 and are shown in Figure 3. We adopted uninformative priors for most parameters. A Gaussian prior for the linear limb darkening parameter was determined from the tables of Gianninas et al. (2013), using our estimates of $T_{\text{eff}}$ and $\log g$. We also tried using quadratic limb darkening laws, which made no significant difference to the results. There are strong degeneracies in our model between three parameters; the radii of the two components $R_1 / a$ and $R_2 / a$ and the inclination $i$. Nevertheless, we are able to constrain these parameters, with likely values of $R_1 / a = 0.0225 \pm 0.001$, $R_2 / a = 0.107 \pm 0.005$ and $i = 86.5 \pm 0.4^\circ$. Our model fitting provides few constraints on the mass ratio $q$. We can only say that values less than 0.1 are unlikely. This is because for very low mass ratios, Roche distortion becomes important, providing a poor fit to the light curve. There is no evidence for a detection of the companion in the g'-band eclipse.

3.3 The z'-band primary eclipse

We fit the same model described in section 3.2 to the z'-band eclipses, using the same priors as in the g'-band eclipse fitting. The posterior probability distributions for all param-
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Figure 3. Posterior probability distributions for model parameters obtained through fitting the g'-band primary eclipse of SDSS J1411. See section 3.2 for details of model. Greyscales and contours illustrate the joint probability distributions for each pair of parameters, whilst histograms show the marginalised probability distribution for each individual parameter.

Figure 3.

...companion at mid-eclipse, but set a 99 percent upper limit to the companion flux of $F_2 < 1.9 \times 10^{-3}$ mJy. We can combine this with the estimates of the distance and reddening to SDSS J1411 obtained in section 3.1 to set a 99 percent limit on the absolute $z'$-band magnitude of the companion of $z'_2 > 16.6$. Since this limit is derived during mid-eclipse, it is relatively unaffected by irradiation from the white dwarf (see section 3.5).

We can compare these limits to empirical estimates of the absolute $z'$-band magnitudes of low-mass stars and brown dwarfs in order to estimate the spectral type of the companion. Absolute $J$-band magnitudes for field brown dwarfs from Dupuy & Liu (2012) were combined with the observed SDSS and infrared colours from Hawley et al. (2002) to compute the expected absolute $z'$-band magnitudes of field objects. We took account of the scatter in all measured quantities to provide a range of expected absolute $z'$-band magnitudes at each spectral type. The results are shown in Figure 6. The lack of a robust detection of companion in the $z'$-band at mid-eclipse sets a 99 percent upper limit to the companion’s spectral type of L5, and indicates a most likely
spectral type of L8 or later. This estimate is entirely model independent, and rules out a main sequence companion in SDSS J1411.

3.4 Eclipse Times

Mid-eclipse times for each eclipse were determined by fitting the model described in section 3.3 to each $z'$-band eclipse individually. All parameters were held fixed at the best fit values derived from the average $z'$ lightcurve, with the exception of the phase offset $\phi_0$. Mid-eclipse times are shown in Table 1. Variations in the times of mid-eclipse have been used to infer the existence of planets in white dwarf binaries (see Beuermann et al. 2010, Marsh et al. 2014 for examples); our eclipse times show no deviation from the linear ephemeris of B13.

3.5 NIR photometry

We compare the WHT/LIRIS near-infrared (NIR) photometry to the best-fitting white dwarf model in Figure 4. The blue dashed line shows the white dwarf model fit. It is clear that a NIR excess is present in SDSS J1411. Whilst this excess is not significant in the $J$- and $H$-bands, it is highly significant in the $K_s$-band. We calculated the $K_s$ excess, accounting for uncertainties in the NIR photometry and our
white dwarf model fit to give a $K_s$ excess of $0.014 \pm 0.004$ mJy. Combining this estimate with the distance and extinction from the white dwarf fitting implies an absolute $K_s$-band magnitude of $K_s = 12.9 \pm 0.3$. This value is compared

**Figure 4.** The SDSS-z$'$ primary eclipse of SDSS J1411, together with the best-fit model. All data are shown in this light curve, which has been averaged into 205 bins. A dashed line indicates zero flux. The best-fit model has $\chi^2 = 3352$ with 2481 degrees of freedom.

**Figure 6.** Comparison of the absolute z$'$-band magnitude of the companion in SDSS J1411 with empirical estimates of the absolute z$'$-band magnitude of low mass stars and brown dwarfs. The greyscale shows the expected distribution of absolute z$'$-band magnitudes (see section 3.3 for details). The solid line shows the most likely absolute z$'$-band magnitude for the companion in SDSS J1411, whilst dot-dashed and dashed lines show 95 percent and 99 percent limits, respectively.
to the absolute $K_s$-band magnitudes of field brown dwarfs and low-mass stars from \cite{Dupuy2012} in Figure 8. Taking into account the uncertainty in our measurements, and the scatter around the mean absolute magnitude measured by \cite{Dupuy2012} at each spectral type, implies a spectral type in the range L7–T1, and rules out spectral types earlier than L6, consistent with the limits placed by the $z'$-band eclipse.

Also shown in Figure 7 are illustrative combined white dwarf/brown dwarf models produced as follows. For a given spectral type, the spectral-type effective-temperature relations of \cite{Stephens2009} are used to calculate an effective temperature. This is used in combination with an assumed system age of five Gyr and the evolutionary models of \cite{Baraffe2003} to calculate a radius and log $g$ for the companion. The radius is combined with the distance from white dwarf fitting to scale a BT-Settl model spectrum \cite{Allard2011} of appropriate temperature and gravity. The flux from the white dwarf and brown dwarf model spectra are added to produce a combined model spectrum. Once again, comparison of these model spectra to the NIR photometry suggests a late spectral type for the companion. Note that the NIR photometric points represent average flux of low mass stars and brown dwarfs.

While the $J$ and $K_s$ magnitudes are consistent with a companion of spectral type L6, the $H$-band measurement is too faint, even for a comparison spectral type of T0. The $H$-band measurement is consistent with a mid-T spectral type. It is quite possible that this is a result of irradiation of the companion by the much hotter, nearby white dwarf. The average phase of the $J$ and $K_s$-band measurements are 0.66 and 0.30, respectively. Hence these measurements contain significant contribution from the irradiated face of the companion. By contrast, the $H$-band measurement is dominated by observations of the un-irradiated face of the companion, with an average phase of 0.96. Time-resolved NIR photometry on an 8-m class telescope would be useful to determine the influence of irradiation on the companion, which may introduce variability in flux of 10 percent or more \cite[e.g.][]{Sudarsky2003,Gesicki2013}.

### 3.6 Radial Velocity of the White Dwarf

The NLTE-core of the Hα line in the X-Shooter spectrum provides a sharp feature which can be used to measure the radial velocity of the white dwarf. We measured the radial velocities of the Hα line by simultaneously fitting all of the X-Shooter spectra. We used a combination of a straight line and Gaussians for each spectrum (including a broad Gaussian component to account for the wings of the absorption) and allowed the position of the Gaussians to change velocity according to $V = \gamma_1 + K_1 \sin \phi$, where $\phi$ is the orbital phase, determined using the ephemeris of B13. The radial velocity curve of the white dwarf is shown in Figure 9. Our fit implies a radial velocity amplitude for the white dwarf of $K_1 = 35.0 \pm 0.7$ km s$^{-1}$, and a systemic velocity for the white dwarf of $\gamma_1 = 15.5 \pm 0.6$ km s$^{-1}$. Note this systemic velocity does not represent the radial velocity of the binary centre of mass, due to the gravitational redshift of the white dwarf. We use the constraints on the white dwarf mass and radius from section 3.1 to obtain a radial velocity for SDSS J1411 of $\gamma = -9 \pm 3$ km s$^{-1}$. Combining the radial velocity with proper motions for SDSS J1411 \cite{Girard2011} and our distance estimate, we find Galactic space velocities relative to the local standard of rest (LSR) of $(U, V, W) = (31 \pm 3, -13 \pm 3, 13 \pm 3)$ km s$^{-1}$, with the sign of $U$ being positive toward the Galactic anti-center. Following the method of \cite{Bensby2014}, we calculate relative probabilities that SDSS J1411 belongs to the thin disk, thick disk and stellar halo. SDSS J1411 is more than 60 times more likely to belong to the thin disk than the thick disk, and over 40,000 times more likely to belong to the thin disk than the halo. We conclude that SDSS J1411 is likely a member of the thin disk population.
3.7 System Parameters

We can use the constraints from the optical eclipses and X-Shooter spectroscopy to obtain (white-dwarf model dependent) masses and radii for both components in SDSS J1411 as follows. The radial velocity of the white dwarf can be used to construct the mass function of the system

\[ \frac{P}{2 \pi G} K_1 = \frac{M_2^3}{(M_1 + M_2)^2} \sin^3 i. \]  

(1)

Combining equation 1 with the estimate of the inclination from the \( g' \)-band eclipse and the estimate of the white dwarf mass, allows the companion mass to be estimated. Kepler’s 3\textsuperscript{rd} Law is used to calculate the binary separation \( a \) and hence convert the scaled companion radius, \( R_2/a \), to an absolute measurement. The resulting system parameters are shown in Table 2. Note that, due to redundancy in the parameter constraints, we can calculate \( R_1 \) either from the white dwarf spectrum and SED fitting, or by combining \( R_1/a \) from the light curve fitting with the value of \( a \) found above. The two values are consistent within 1\( \sigma \), giving us confidence in our results.

| Parameter | Most likely | 1-\( \sigma \) error |
|-----------|-------------|----------------------|
| \( T_1 \) (K) | 13000 | 300 |
| \( \log g \) | 7.86 | 0.07 |
| \( M_1 \) (\( M_\odot \)) | 0.53 | 0.03 |
| \( R_1 \) (\( R_\odot \)) | 0.0142 | 0.0006 |
| \( r_{cool} \) (Myr) | 260 | 20 |
| \( d \) (pc) | 190 | 8 |
| \( E(g - i) \) | 0.05 | 0.01 |
| \( R_1/a \) | 0.0225 | 0.001 |
| \( R_2/a \) | 0.107 | 0.005 |
| \( i \) (\( ^\circ \)) | 86.5 | 0.4 |
| \( K_1 \) (km s\(^{-1} \)) | 35.0 | 0.7 |
| \( \gamma_1 \) (km s\(^{-1} \)) | 15.5 | 0.6 |
| \( M_2 \) (\( M_\odot \)) | 0.050 | 0.002 |
| \( R_2 \) (\( R_\odot \)) | 0.072 | 0.004 |
| \( a \) (\( R_\odot \)) | 0.68 | 0.01 |
| \( \gamma \) (km s\(^{-1} \)) | -9 | 3 |

Table 2. System parameters for SDSS J1411.

4 DISCUSSION

Both the lack of detection of the companion during the \( z' \)-band eclipse and the NIR photometry place strong constraints on the spectral type of the companion in SDSS J1411. We can rule out companions with spectral types earlier than L3, and the likely spectral type is between L7 and T1. Since the end of the stellar main sequence occurs at a spectral type somewhere in the range L2–L4 [Dieterich et al. 2014], our spectral type rules out any possibility of a substellar mass companion, confirming SDSS J1411 as the first detached, eclipsing white dwarf/brown dwarf binary.

The mass and radius constraints on the companion are shown in Figure 10. Also shown are evolutionary models, for solar metallicity, at a range of ages (Baraffe et al. 2003). If the evolutionary models are correct at these masses, then the relatively small radius for the brown dwarf favours an age in excess of three Gyrs for SDSS J1411. Given the uncertainty in the system age, the measured radius is consistent with the evolutionary models.

Since our measured companion mass and radius both depend on the white dwarf mass, which is itself model dependent, we discuss the accuracy of the white dwarf mass estimate. There is some evidence that spectroscopically determined white dwarf masses can be in error. For example, estimates of the average white dwarf mass from gravitational redshift measurements are up to 0.06\( M_\odot \) higher than spectroscopic determinations [Falcon et al. 2010]. An increase of 0.06\( M_\odot \) in our white dwarf mass would increase the companion mass and radius by 1\( \sigma \), suggesting systematic uncertainties of the same size as our formal errors. Spectroscopic white dwarf masses can also depend on whether 1-D or 3-D models are used. However, adopting the corrections suggested by [Tremblay et al. 2013] for this dependence makes little difference to our adopted white dwarf mass. A measurement of the companion’s radial velocity would allow a model independent mass to be derived, removing these uncertainties.

The inferred companion mass is interesting in the light of the measured \( K_s \)-band excess. The \( K_s \)-band data suggests an absolute magnitude of \( K_s = 12.9 \pm 0.3 \), and a spectral type between L7 and T1. By contrast, at five Gyrs, the evolutionary models of [Baraffe et al. 2003] suggest a 0.05\( M_\odot \) companion would have spectral type nearer T7 and an absolute magnitude near \( K_s = 16.2 \). As mentioned in section 3.5 it is possible that the \( K_s \)-band measurement is brighter than expected because it is dominated by the contribution from the irradiated face of the companion. The \( z' \)-band data do
not suffer from this, since during primary eclipse we are viewing the un-irradiated hemisphere. Although we do not have a robust detection, our \( z' \)-band eclipse suggests a most likely absolute magnitude of \( z' = 18.0 \). By folding a BT-Settl model atmosphere of appropriate temperature through the SDSS \( z' \)-band filter response, we can estimate that a 0.05 \( M_\odot \) companion at five Gyr should have \( z' = 18.2 \), which is not too far from our most likely value.

A rough estimate of the increase in temperature of the irradiated hemisphere can be given by

\[
T_{\text{2,irr}}^4 = T_{\text{2}}^4 + T_{\text{1}}^4 \left( R_1^2 / 2a^2 \right) (1 - A_0),
\]

where \( A_0 \) is the Bond albedo. Adopting a Bond albedo of \( \sim 0.5 \) \cite{Marley1999} and a temperature for the un-irradiated hemisphere of 1000 K (corresponding roughly to a spectral type of T7), suggests the irradiated hemisphere of SDSS J1411 should have an equilibrium temperature around 1400 K. This would correspond to a spectral type near L8, in line with our \( K_s \)-band measurements. Therefore our data suggests that the companion in SDSS J1411 has a significant temperature difference between hemispheres, as a result of irradiation by the white dwarf.

5 CONCLUSIONS

We present high time resolution optical light curves of the primary eclipse in SDSS J1411, together with time-resolved X-Shooter spectroscopy and near-infrared \( JHK_s \) photometry. The X-Shooter spectrum implies the white dwarf in SDSS J1411 has effective temperature \( T_1 = 13000 \pm 300 \) K, log \( g \) = 7.86 \pm 0.07 and a radial velocity of \( K_1 = 35.0 \pm 0.7 \) km s\(^{-1}\). Fitting white dwarf cooling models to the SDSS photometry with the temperature and gravity as constraints provides an estimate of the distance to SDSS J1411 of \( d = 190 \pm 8 \) pc, and suggests the white dwarf has a mass of \( 0.53 \pm 0.03 M_\odot \).

We did not detect the light from the companion during the \( z' \)-band eclipse. This sets an upper limit to the spectral type of the companion of L5. Comparing the NIR photometry to the expected white dwarf flux reveals a significant \( K_s \)-band excess, suggesting a spectral type in the range L7–T1, although this may be dominated by emission from the warm, irradiated hemisphere of the companion.

Combining the white dwarf mass and radial velocity with the scaled radius and inclination constraints from the eclipse light curves allows a (white-dwarf model dependent) mass and radius to be measured for the companion of \( M_c = 0.050 \pm 0.002 M_\odot \) and \( R_c = 0.072 \pm 0.004 M_\odot \), respectively. The radius measurement is consistent with the predictions of evolutionary models, and suggests a system age in excess of three Gyr. The low companion mass is inconsistent with the inferred spectral type of L7–T1, instead predicting a spectral type nearer T5. This indicates that irradiation of the companion in SDSS J1411 could be causing a significant temperature increase, at least on one hemisphere of the companion.
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