Minimum Free Energy Based Evaluation of mRNAs Secondary Structures Constructed by 18 Clinically Significant Exonic Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) and Haplotypes of 5 Missense SNPs of RB1 Gene
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Abstract: Clinically significant 18 Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) from exon regions of Retinoblastoma gene (RB1) were analyzed to find out the structural variations in mRNAs. Online bioinformatic tools i.e., Vienna RNA, RNAfold were used for secondary structure analysis of mRNAs. Predicted minimum Free Energy Change (MFE) was calculated for mRNAs structures. It has been observed that the average of predicted MFE value from 13 nonsense mutations was higher (0.76 kcal/mol) in comparison to 5 missense mutations. Presumably, 13 nonsense mutations are responsible for Nonsense Mediated mRNA Decay (NMD), therefore, excluded from haplotype analysis. From the statistical analysis all the thermodynamic data obtained from four SNP haplotypes are significant \((p \leq 0.05)\), followed by three-SNP haplotype data except Ensemble diversity \((p \leq 0.10)\). Interestingly, MEF of Centroid Secondary Structure is highly significant \((p \leq 0.01)\) in all the cases (Two-SNP haplotypes, Three-SNP haplotypes and Four-SNP haplotypes).
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Introduction

Role of RNAs in regulation of gene networks is now well characterized and the execution of the regulatory activities of the mRNA involves a wide range interaction with proteins, limiting RNA confirmation \textit{in vivo} (Gregory, 2015; Shabalina \textit{et al.}, 2014; 2013; Laederach, 2007). Thereby, DNA variants based structural changes of mRNA secondary structure likely affects the protein translation efficiency (Mita and Kuroiwa, 1989; Schmittgen \textit{et al.}, 1994; Shalev \textit{et al.}, 2002), while majority of mutations are transferred to the transcriptome (Morton, 2008). For example, Catecho-O-Methyltransferase (COMT) is a key regulator of pain, cognitive function and affective mood, modulate the protein expression by altering the mRNA secondary structure in presence of Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP) (Nackley \textit{et al.}, 2006). However, secondary structural analysis is focused on the functional motifs of mRNAs to determine the thermodynamic properties, i.e., Minimum Free Energy (MFE). It is predicted due to stochastic molecular motion and other constraints. Therefore, the secondary structures of mRNAs could be analyzed based on the MFE and the ensemble optimal structures provided by different secondary structure prediction bioinformatic tools (Mathews \textit{et al.}, 1999; Johnson \textit{et al.}, 2011).

Different studies documented that DNA mutations causing variations in mRNA folding is associated with variation in gene expression. Kudla \textit{et al.} (2009) found that various Green Fluorescent Protein (GFP) constructs those differ only in a single synonymous mutation, vary in their GFP expression significantly. The minimum free energy associated with the secondary structure of the first third of the mRNA construct correlates well with GFP expression. In consistent with this finding, Bartoszewski \textit{et al.} (2010) reported a synonymous mutation that alters mRNA structures presumably causing cystic fibrosis. Additionally, it was hypothesized...
that synonymous, nonsynonymous and UTR variants can potentially act in mildly deleterious and, in some cases, pathological fashion on pre- and post-translational levels through changes in RNA structure. Also, about 60% of the human exonic SNPs are predicted to alter RNA structure to some degree, as well as, haplotypes of SNP alleles possibly contribute such changes (Johnson et al., 2011). Recently, single nucleotide induced changes of RNA conformation has been studied and it was recommended that SNPs could be used as a powerful tool study the impact on structural changes of RNAs as well as gene expression and function (Salari et al., 2013). Summarizing these studies, we find scopes to identify putative functional gene-specific or exonic SNPs and their haplotypes within the positional candidate genes for desire traits or diseases those affect the secondary structures of mRNAs through predicted MFE.

It has been found that exonic SNPs of human RB1 gene are clinically significant, which contribute to develop a monogenic genetic disease, Retinoblastoma (RB) (Friend et al., 1986; Yandell et al., 1989). Retinoblastoma is the most familiar primary intraocular malignancy of childhood (Mahoney et al., 1990) which initiates from the mutation in RB1 gene that resides in chromosome number 13. It is also known as embryonic malignant neoplasm of retinal origin, often bilateral and almost always found in early childhood. The RB1 is the first tumor suppressor gene that has been identified and cloned (Weinberg, 1995) and also it found that mutational inactivation of both alleles of the RB1 tumor suppressor gene is responsible for developing retinal tumor causing disease. Retinoblastoma gene encodes a ubiquitously expressed 110-kDa nuclear phosphoprotein called pRb. It is notable that mRNA produced from RB1 gene consists of 4772 base pairs, having 27 exons and one of the long chain mRNA in human transcriptome (Burkhart and Sage, 2008; Leiderman et al., 2007; www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/snp/?term=Retinoblastoma). In the current study, we have evaluated 18 different exonic SNPs and haplotypes of 5 missense SNPs of RB1 those potentially induce structural variations in localized mRNA structure through the estimation of MFE structure and centroid free structure of RNA. Subsequently, we compared the free energy fluctuations of different mRNAs sequence arises from point mutations and haplotypes to find out causative SNPs those contribute to form higher free energy structures, therefore, likely have effect on RB1 gene expression.

Materials and Methods

SNP Data Mining from Genomic Databases

We have accumulated data for clinically significant SNPs of RB1 gene from the different genomic databases through web search. Primarily we were focused on the SNP database found in National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI; www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/snp/?term=Retinoblastoma) which is a part of the United States National Library of Medicine (NLM). Subsequently, we have checked other databases, i.e., Human Gene Mutation Database (www.hgmd.org), Locus Specific Database (LSDB; www.hgvs.org/dblist/glslhd.html) for the gene sequence similarity and SNP details. These databases are considered as open source database and provide information about the variants of the specific locus on any gene across different species. The gene sequence similarity search was performed through Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) (Duan et al., 2003) and the sequences we found in these databases were completely matched. Finally, we used the RB1 gene sequence from NCBI having location number NC_000013.10 and base positions from 48877883 to 49056026. Accumulatively we have found about 3000 gene-specific SNPs for RB1 gene. Among all the SNPs we found 23 as clinically significant/LSDB submission (www.hgmd.cf.ac.uk/docs/oth_mut.html). However, based on literature review as potentially causative18 exonic SNPs has been taken for mRNA secondary structure analysis in the current study. We have excluded intronic SNPs because it is not relate to mRNA of RB1 gene.

Separation of Nonsense Mediated Decay (NMD) Causing SNPs and Haplotypes Reconstruction

Among 18 exonic SNPs we excluded 13 SNPs while constructing haplotypes for structural analysis. These 13 SNPs were predicted to be responsible for NMD, therefore, considered as less important in case of constructing haplotypes. Positions of the nonsense SNPs might cause NMD have been given in Table 3. While constructing haplotypes, no software was used because we didn’t have any real data as or pedigree for this study. Five exonic SNPs were rs137853292, rs121908692, rs137853294, rs121913295 and rs137853296 used and coded as SNP1, SNP2, SNP3, SNP4 and SNP5, respectively. In total, 26 possible haplotypes were constructed using the alleles of 5 SNPs and all of them were subjected to mRNA structure analysis.

mRNA Secondary Structure Analysis

To obtain mRNA secondary structure from the RB1 gene sequence we have used RNAfold (Capon et al., 2004; Shabalina et al., 2006; Altschul et al., 1990; Hofacker et al., 1994; McCaskill, 1990; Zuker and Stiegler, 1981; Lorenz et al., 2011; Turner and Mathews, 2009; Bompfunewerer et al., 2008, Hofacker and Stadler, 2006; Mathews et al., 2004; Jia and Luo, 2006; Jia et al., 2004) version 2.17 online tool (http://rna.tbi.univie.ac.at/cgi-bin/RNAfold.cgi) from Vienna RNA package 2.0 (Hofacker et al., 1994). This web tool gives us information on RNA secondary structure prediction through energy minimization. It
provides three kinds of dynamic programming algorithms for structure prediction (i) the minimum free energy algorithm of which yields a single optimal structure, (ii) the partition function algorithm of which calculates base pair probabilities in the thermodynamic ensemble and (iii) the suboptimal folding algorithm of which generates all suboptimal structures within a given energy range of the optimal energy. For secondary structure comparison, the package contains several measures of distance (dissimilarities) using either string alignment or tree-editing. Finally, it uses algorithm to design sequences with a predefined structure (McCaskill, 1990; Zuker and Stiegler, 1981; Lorenz et al., 2011; Turner and Mathews, 2009). To analyze the RB1 gene sequence and to obtain predicted mRNA secondary structure we have used the default parameters of RNAfold.

Results

From the literature and data bases we found natural and some disease causing variants in the RB1 gene. Although in the dbSNP of NCBI many SNPs has been reported for RB1 gene region, we identified 18 exonic SNPs to be included within our range of study as they are clinically significant in LSDB submission with appropriate research findings. These 18 SNPs resided under the region of 12 exons out of total 27 exons of RB1 gene. Among these, exon 14, 17, 18, 19, 20 and 21 contain multiple SNPs having importance in pathogenesis. The detail of the SNPs information found from databases and used for this study is summarized in the Table 1.

Thermodynamic parameters have been found for mRNAs of RB1 gene. In presence of minor alleles of these 18 exonic SNPs, subsequent secondary structures of mRNAs were obtained through RNAfold analysis. In each case, construct of the secondary structure by mutant allele of the SNPs produces the details of the mRNA structures in the output file of the analysis tool. These included (i) plain secondary structure, (ii) secondary structure with base pair probability, (iii) secondary structure with positional entropy and (iv) mountain plot. In addition to this, thermodynamic information of mRNA secondary structures was found as MFE prediction followed other thermodynamic ensemble prediction. Figure 1 shows the wild type mRNA structure with thermodynamic parameters of RB1 and other structures for mutant alleles have been included in supplemental files (Sup 1).

---

Fig. 1. mRNA secondary structure of RB1 wild type. (a) plain secondary structure (b) secondary structure with base pair probability (c) secondary structure with positional entropy (d) mountain Plot
Table 1. Details of the clinically significant 18 exonic SNPs found in RB1 gene

| Given SNP ID | Exonic and base position in RB1 | NCBI SNP ID and alleles (wild>mutant) | SNP Position and codon changes in RB1 mRNA | SNP Position and changes in pRB protein | Author/Article described the clinical significance |
|--------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| c.575G>T     | Exon 4                           | rs121913296                          | 575                                      | 137                                    | Lohmann et al. (1997)                             |
| c.627T>A     | Exon 5                           | rs121913298                          | 627                                      | 199                                    | Dommering et al. (2012)                          |
| c.1125C>T    | Exon 10                          | rs121913300                          | 1125                                     | 320                                    | Dommering et al. (2012)                          |
| c.1238C>T    | Exon 11                          | rs121913301                          | 1238                                     | 358                                    | Yandell et al. (1989)                            |
| c.1499C>T    | Exon 14                          | rs3092891                            | 1499                                     | 445                                    | Yandell et al. (1989)                            |
| c.1529C>T    | Exon 14                          | rs121913302                          | 1529                                     | 455                                    | dbSNP                                            |
| c.1820C>T    | Exon 17                          | rs121913303                          | 1820                                     | 552                                    | Dommering et al. (2012)                          |
| c.1832C>T    | Exon 17                          | rs121913304                          | 1832                                     | 556                                    | Onadim et al. (1997)                            |
| c.1901C>T    | Exon 18                          | rs121913305                          | 1901                                     | 579                                    | Dommering et al. (2012)                          |
| c.1866C>T    | Exon 18                          | rs137853292                          | 1866                                     | 567                                    | Yandell et al. (1989)                            |
| c.1984T>A    | Exon 19                          | rs137853297                          | 1984                                     | 606                                    | de Jong et al. (2006)                            |
| c.2109C>T    | Exon 19                          | rs121908692                          | 2109                                     | 648                                    | Lohmann and Gallie (2004)                        |
| c.2147C>T    | Exon 20                          | rs137853294                          | 2147                                     | 661                                    | Yandell et al. (1989)                            |
| c.2189G>T    | Exon 20                          | rs137853295                          | 2189                                     | 675                                    | Yandell et al. (1989)                            |
| c.2223G>T    | Exon 21                          | rs121913295                          | 2283                                     | 706                                    | Kaye et al. (1990)                              |
| c.2300T>C    | Exon 21                          | rs137853296                          | 2300                                     | 712                                    | Ottersen et al. (1999)                          |
| c.2408G>T    | Exon 22                          | rs121913297                          | 2408                                     | 748                                    | Yandell et al. (1989)                            |
| c.2525C>T    | Exon 23                          | rs137853293                          | 2525                                     | 787                                    | Yandell et al. (1989)                            |

For single SNP genotypes, we found MFE values of optimal secondary structures and centroid secondary structures (Table 2), while ensembles data were obtained as thermodynamic free energy and ensemble diversity. The wild type structure contain the major alleles of all SNPs has the MEF -1154.64 (kcal/mol) and -827.99(kcal/mol) for optimal and centroid secondary structure, respectively. Interestingly the students t-test (Table 3) showed that, the mean value of the secondary structures of SNPs examined with the minor alleles in the mRNA structure has the mean value -1154 (kcal/mol) showing no significant (p = 0.40) difference with the wild type. In the contrary, centroid secondary structures mean value -783.37 (kcal/mol) was highly significant (p = 0.004) to wild type. The results were difficult to interpret because we found larger standard deviation (64.35 kcal/mol) and larger standard error (15.17 kcal/mol) of mean during centroid secondary structure analysis, which indicate the significance of this structural variation might be false positive. Irrespective of this drawback, the strong level of significance of the centroid secondary structure leads us to proceed with haplotype secondary structure analysis. Additionally, no significant variation of mRNA secondary structure due to mutations has been found for thermodynamic ensemble and ensemble diversity of mRNAs.

The multiple minor alleles of different SNPs constructed the haplotype effects in nascent mRNAs. In total 10 two-SNPs, 10 three-SNPs, 5 four-SNPs and 1 five-SNPs haplotypes has been analyzed similarly as single SNPs and the data presented in Table 4. Five-SNPs haplotype was complete mutant and had distinct values different than wild type and was not considered under statistical analysis. All of other haplotypes containing minor alleles of SNPs were compared with the wild type structure which contains major alleles for all SNPs, therefore, haplotypes as well.
### Table 2. Thermodynamic information of the secondary structure of mRNAs constructed by 18 exonic SNPs of RB1 gene

| RB1 SNP genotypes (minor alleles represent mutants) | MFE of optimal secondary structure (kcal/mol) | MFE of centroid secondary structure (kcal/mol) | Free energy of the thermodynamic ensemble (kcal/mol) | Ensemble Diversity of mRNAs |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Wild type                                           | -1154.6                                       | -827.99                                       | -1244.0                                         | 1370.30                    |
| c.575G>T                                            | -1153.0                                       | -827.14                                       | -1242.0                                         | 1375.07                    |
| c.762T>A                                            | -1156.0                                       | -826.89                                       | -1244.0                                         | 1359.64                    |
| c.1125C>T                                           | -1154.6                                       | -824.22                                       | -1244.1                                         | 1375.66                    |
| c.1238C>T                                           | -1154.6                                       | -756.63                                       | -1244.0                                         | 1475.85                    |
| c.1499C>T                                           | -1153.0                                       | -712.94                                       | -1242.4                                         | 1482.10                    |
| c.1529C>T                                           | -1155.1                                       | -869.42                                       | -1243.8                                         | 1318.78                    |
| c.1820C>T                                           | -1154.6                                       | -699.29                                       | -1242.7                                         | 1483.01                    |
| c.1832C>T                                           | -1153.8                                       | -699.29                                       | -1242.7                                         | 1483.01                    |
| c.1901C>T                                           | -1156.4                                       | -683.77                                       | -1245.3                                         | 1473.74                    |
| c.1866C>T                                           | -1154.0                                       | -792.83                                       | -1243.5                                         | 1390.67                    |
| c.1984T>A                                           | -1155.4                                       | -842.81                                       | -1245.0                                         | 1309.07                    |
| c.2109C>T                                           | -1154.0                                       | -837.57                                       | -1243.1                                         | 1292.25                    |
| c.2147C>T                                           | -1155.8                                       | -829.39                                       | -1245.4                                         | 1376.05                    |
| c.2189G>T                                           | -1153.1                                       | -816.31                                       | -1243.5                                         | 1272.07                    |
| c.2283G>T                                           | -1150.0                                       | -790.71                                       | -1241.2                                         | 1336.22                    |
| c.2300T>C                                           | -1156.0                                       | -769.73                                       | -1244.6                                         | 1462.81                    |
| c.2408G>T                                           | -1155.8                                       | -669.36                                       | -1243.6                                         | 1489.54                    |
| c.2525C>T                                           | -1156.9                                       | -852.45                                       | -1244.5                                         | 1317.53                    |

### Table 3. Single SNP analysis results considering four different assessment criteria

| Assessment criteria of 18 single SNPs | Mean±SE | SD   | t-Stats | p-value |
|--------------------------------------|---------|------|---------|---------|
| MFE of optimal secondary structure (kcal/mol) | -1154.5±0.39 | 1.66 | 0.253   | 0.402   |
| MFE of centroid secondary structure (kcal/mol) | -783.38±15.17 | 64.35 | 2.942   | 0.005*** |
| Free energy of the thermodynamic ensemble (kcal/mol) | -1243.63±0.27 | 1.15 | 1.469   | 0.080*  |
| Ensemble diversity                      | -1392.95±18.08 | 76.69 | 1.253   | 0.115   |

The t-test has been performed with the wild type values mentioned in table 2. Level of significance has been determined as *p≤0.10 = slightly significant, **p≤0.05 = significant, ***p≤0.01 = highly significant.

### Table 4. Thermodynamic information of the secondary structure of mRNAs constructed by haplotypes of 5 nonsense SNPs of RB1 gene

| Haplotype | MFE of optimal secondary structure (kcal/mol) | MFE of centroid secondary structure (kcal/mol) | Free energy of the thermodynamic ensemble (kcal/mol) | Ensemble Diversity |
|-----------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Wild type C_C_C_G_T | -1154.60                                        | -827.99                                       | -1240.00                                         | 1370.30            |
| SNPs 1_2   T_T | -1152.50                                        | -824.27                                       | -1242.60                                         | 1304.52            |
| SNPs 1_3   T_T | -1155.20                                        | -791.84                                       | -1244.90                                         | 1396.63            |
| SNPs 1_4   T_T | -1149.50                                        | -736.86                                       | -1240.60                                         | 1314.93            |
| SNPs 1_5   T_C | -1155.40                                        | -735.40                                       | -1244.00                                         | 1460.28            |
| SNPs 2_3   T_T | -1155.24                                        | -838.77                                       | -1244.48                                         | 1296.78            |
| SNPs 2_4   T_T | -1148.80                                        | -802.58                                       | -1240.40                                         | 1299.94            |
| SNPs 2_5   T_C | -1155.40                                        | -840.89                                       | -1243.50                                         | 1383.19            |
| SNPs 3_4   T_T | -1151.20                                        | -790.41                                       | -1242.50                                         | 1339.85            |
| SNPs 3_5   T_C | -1157.20                                        | -762.03                                       | -1245.90                                         | 1467.58            |
| SNPs 4_5   T_C | -1150.60                                        | -772.57                                       | -1241.70                                         | 1438.66            |
| SNPs 1_2_3 T_T_T | -1153.70                                        | -825.47                                       | -1243.90                                         | 1309.30            |
| SNPs 1_2_4 T_T_T | -1148.30                                        | -743.92                                       | -1239.89                                         | 1285.99            |
| SNPs 1_2_5 T_T_C | -1153.30                                        | -804.50                                       | -1243.00                                         | 1403.43            |
| SNPs 1_3_4 T_T_C | -1150.70                                        | -739.76                                       | -1242.00                                         | 1318.38            |
| SNPs 1_3_5 T_T_C | -1156.60                                        | -729.65                                       | -1245.40                                         | 1463.62            |
| SNPs 1_4_5 T_T_C | -1150.10                                        | -721.82                                       | -1241.10                                         | 1316.28            |
| SNPs 2_3_4 T_T_T | -1150.00                                        | -803.78                                       | -1241.80                                         | 1303.65            |
| SNPs 2_3_5 T_T_C | -1156.60                                        | -843.39                                       | -1244.90                                         | 1388.25            |
| SNPs 2_4_5 T_T_C | -1150.20                                        | -809.02                                       | -1240.90                                         | 1286.39            |
| SNPs 3_4_5 T_T_C | -1151.80                                        | -775.97                                       | -1243.10                                         | 1352.77            |
| SNPs 3_5_4 T_T_C | -1149.70                                        | -751.56                                       | -1240.40                                         | 1269.46            |
| SNPs 3_5_5 T_T_C | -1151.30                                        | -733.55                                       | -1242.50                                         | 1319.95            |
| SNPs 4_3_5 T_T_C | -1151.40                                        | -810.22                                       | -1242.30                                         | 1290.08            |
| SNPs 4_5_3 T_T_C | -1150.94                                        | -752.76                                       | -1241.76                                         | 1273.10            |

SNP 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 was coded to c.1866C>T (rs137853292), c.2109C>T (rs121908692), c.2147C>T (rs137853294), c.2283G>T (rs121913295), c.2300T>C (rs137853296), respectively.
mRNA translation (Jia et al., 2004; Zuker and Jacobson, 1995; Seffens and Digby, 1999) and thereby supports our idea to conduct the study on synonymous, no synonymous and one frame shift mutation for assessment for mRNA structural effects. Moreover, functional role of these SNPs could be the topic of future research while we create foundation for future studies through bioinformatics analysis of free energy structures.

For haplotype study we have excluded the SNPs causes nonsense mediated decay because in mRNA decay rates has proven link with mutations and translation is affected in the downstream (Ikemura, 1985). We think that it might not be worthy to accommodate NMD SNPs to study haplotypes, instead to keep the scope for protein structure analysis in further research it is very likely to find out mRNA secondary structures using non-NMD SNPs. However, it is mentionable that, these NMD SNPs might be highly causative (Sorensen et al., 1989; Sharp et al., 1995; Duan and Antezana, 2003) and may have implications to create retinoblastoma.

A transcriptome-wide in silico analysis of mRNA folding (Pickering and Willis, 2005) signifies the involvement mutations and genetic codes to control the stability and periodicity of mRNA secondary structures in human. Single gene analysis for secondary structures using clinically significant SNPs could be more worthy to find the causative one. Our study could be a good example which includes not only the single SNPs but also the haplotypes of the SNPs for RB1 gene. Findings of our study is limited to the prediction using bioinformatics tools, however, we can explore the protein structures for mutations in further analysis which could prove the value of our results. Conclusively, our study provides footsteps to explore the genetic basis of retinoblastoma and could give confidence to the researchers conducting association analysis of SNPs with retinoblastoma phenotype.
Conclusion

The study gives us comprehensible portrait of the consequence of SNPs on mRNA structure and corresponding free energy changes. Here, structural deterioration and statistical analysis of the data opens a potential explanation on the stability of the mRNA molecules, which plays major role in retinoblastoma formation and initiation. The identified crucial SNPs on the coding region can be further incorporated for proteomic level research. So it requires further expedition to figure out the dynamics as well as to defeat the current limitations to comprehend the biological processes.
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