Framework for detection of probable clues to predict misleading information proliferated during COVID-19 outbreak
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Abstract
Spreading of misleading information on social web platforms has fuelled huge panic and confusion among the public regarding the Corona disease, the detection of which is of paramount importance. To identify the credibility of the posted claim, we have analyzed possible evidence from the news articles in the google search results. This paper proposes an intelligent and expert strategy to gather important clues from the top 10 google search results related to the claim. The N-gram, Levenshtein Distance, and Word-Similarity-based features are used to identify the clues from the news article that can automatically warn users against spreading false news if no significant supportive clues are identified concerning that claim. The complete process is done in four steps, wherein the first step we build a query from the posted claim received in the form of text or text additive images which further goes as an input to the search query phase, where the top 10 google results are processed. In the third step, the important clues are extracted from titles of the top 10 news articles. Lastly, useful pieces of evidence are extracted from the content of each news article. All the useful clues with respect to N-gram, Levenshtein Distance, and Word Similarity are finally fed into the machine learning model for classification and to evaluate its performances. It has been observed that our proposed intelligent strategy gives promising experimental results and is quite effective in predicting misleading information. The proposed work provides practical implications for the policymakers and health practitioners that could be useful in protecting the world from misleading information proliferation during this pandemic.
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1 Introduction
In the recent scenario, a new coronavirus disease spread around the world. The disease emerges as a respiratory infection with significant concern for global public health hazards. Initially, it is suspected that the disease is transmitted from animal to humans, later the paradigm is shifted that the infection is transmitted toward human to human via droplets, close contacts creating huge panic with approximate 6,359,182 confirmed cases and 380,663 deaths1 have been encountered till now and growth rate is still high which has alarmed the global authorities including world health organization (WHO) [1]. The COVID-19 pandemic affects worldwide badly. However, there is no shortage of people who are taking this crisis as an opportunity for malicious activities/gaining profit. Many health-related misleading information some of the fake cures suggested for COVID-19 have been posted by malicious users, creating confusion and misconceptions about the disease. During this pandemic, people have their eye on any new announcement from the government official or some news that can help to get rid of COVID-19. As the disease is deadly, the people are also desperate to know some cure and rush to find a new coronavirus disease. Some of the fake cures posted on social media are harmful and give bad health advice. The recent examples of fake cures are shown

https://in.search.yahoo.com/search?fr=mcafee&type=E211IN885G0&p=coronavirus.
in Fig. 1, where Fig. 1a shows the image with a false claim is gone viral that drinking water a lot and gargling with warm water and salt or vinegar eliminates the coronavirus, however, there is no significant evidence has been found concerning to this claim. Another cure in Fig. 1b reported that the silver solution can kill coronavirus within 12 h. The proliferation of these misleading information creates many misconceptions in the mind-set of people related to coronavirus disease. Some of the users are spreading it without verification and fuelled panic among people regarding the COVID-19. According to [2], misleading or fake can be defined as any post that shares content that does not faithfully represent the event that it refers to. We followed this definition in our work and define “misleading information as the content that does not faithfully represent the event that it refers to and having no significant evidence of proof to validate the claim.” Recent research shows that numerous misleading content is circulating about the coronavirus and it is becoming difficult to differentiate fake news from the real one [3]. The propagation of misleading content on the virus could also be deleterious to mankind. This has led to the dire need for a system that can differentiate fake from real. Earlier, many of the previous research has reported methods of detecting fake news in online social media considering various applications. Most of the previous research has counter fake news problems mainly in the following types: Image-based and Text-based algorithms. Earlier, many researchers have worked on fake news detection by applying a text-based approach. Text-based approaches mainly use text patterns and match them with already existing patterns of fake news. They are sometimes referred to as the linguistic approach. Along with this lots of researchers have shifted their interest in the credibility detection of posts/tweets using text-based features [4]. Like a text-based approach, research has also been done by employing an image-based approach. From the study, it has been seen that researchers have explored images based algorithms for the analysis of fake images or images attached with false claims in mainly following ways, Text additive images, and Manipulated images. The manipulated images are termed as images whose piece/part or certain region is manipulated with respect to visual context. Various image-based features have been explored for the classification of images. The authors of [5] propose 5 visual features and 7 statistical features for the verification of news events. Along with the manipulated images, some researchers have also considered text additive images to analyze misleading content. The text additive images termed as images embedded with false claims instead of having any manipulation from visual context. The authors of [6] have incorporated text additive images, where they have applied a rule-based algorithm for the prediction of fake news. From recent research, it has been observed that none of the works have shown and reported fake news prediction analysis propagated during one of the major pandemic CORONAVIRUS. Many people are sharing fake cures to get rid of coronavirus disease without any verification and create lots of misconceptions. Government and officials have also urged peoples to check the authenticity of the post before sharing [3]. This also motivates us to build an intelligent system for the prediction of fake news spreading during this pandemic. We, therefore, developed a generalized model of detecting misleading content on social media platforms, where we have considered COVID-19 as a special issue which is a huge pandemic and taken as one of the application case studies in this work. However, our model is generalized and works for other applications as well. COVID-19 is an emerging issue and very few research have been reported yet in this context that leads to motivates us to build an efficient framework to predict misleading content spreading during the COVID outbreak. One of the major lacks in this case that there is no dataset concerning corona fake news that is publically available to test our proposed algorithm. One of the novelty and contribution of the work is we have built a dataset with 335 claim samples having 168 fake and 167 real news related to COVID-19, also working to expand the dataset. Along with this to validate the generalizability of our model, it has also been tested over one of the standard dataset “LIAR” that further validates the effectiveness of the model. Three sets of novel features (N-gram, Levenshtein Distance, and Word Similarity) are proposed in this work that is found to be efficient in predicting misleading content and results reveal that the model outperforms the other state-of-the-art method, discussed in detail in the later sections. To the best of our knowledge, none of the proposed work has been employed these features concerning news article headlines and its content. The major key contributions of the work are highlighted in the following points.

- The proposed work gives a significant contribution in providing a novel generalized framework for the collection and annotation of misleading content in an online social network where considering COVID-19 (fake news spreading during Corona outbreak) as one of the special case studies from the application perspective, where considered post in the form of images and text related to unverified cures to get rid out from the disease.
- For the analysis perspective, the data are collected related to Covid-19 and builds a self-generated dataset “Covidfakenews 2019” of misleading post spreading during corona. As the problem is new/emerging, no

2 https://www.buzzfeednews.com/article/janelytvynenko/coronavirus-fake-news-disinformation-rumors-hoaxes.
datasets are publically available for analysis related to this area of research. This leads to giving a significant contribution.

- To the best of our knowledge, the proposed work is first to provide three sets of novel features (N-gram, Levenshtein Distance, and Word Similarity) concerning to news article headlines and its content from the google search results, that further be used as an efficient clue for the prediction of misleading content.

- As the COVID-19 is one of the emerging issues, none of the work has been reported yet to predict the fake news propagating during this phase and gives a major contribution by providing the analysis, which greatly helps researchers for further study.

- We investigate the model performances with different classifiers and comparative analysis reveals that our proposed method outperforms other states-of-the-art on the same dataset.

The remaining of this paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we are going to discuss the previous work that has been done related to this field, where Sect. 3, gives a detailed description of how the data collection and annotation have been employed as well as the strategy/method that we have employed for the misleading information detection, which followed by a discussion of experimental results in Sect. 4. Lastly, the paper is concluded with some suggested future work aspects.

2 Related work

In the current era spreading false information is one of the crucial problems nowadays, where it is quite difficult for the online user to discriminate fake news from the real one and that’s why the development of an intelligent system is required. Most of the methods proposed in earlier states to detect misleading information considered it a task of classification problem intending to associate label as true or false with a particular claim/post. From the survey analysis, it has been observed that the classification approaches are turn divided into approaches based on machine learning and deep learning. A detailed description is given below.

2.1 Machine learning

From the study, it has been proven that machine learning algorithms are extremely useful in countering numerous problems in the information engineering field. In particular, many machine learning approaches implemented for misleading/fake information detection are applied as a supervised learning strategy. In machine learning classification algorithms support vector machines(SVMs) are one of the widely used methods for classifications. The authors of [7] have proposed a method to employ a graph-kernel-based SVM classifier to detect rumors using propagation structure and content features with an accuracy of 0.91 on the Sina-Weibo dataset. Whereas, in [8] the author reported a set of features to distinguish among fake news, real news, and satire. The SVMs are also employed for clickbait detection in [9]. The random forest has also been exploited in
2.2 Deep learning

Deep learning is one of the prominent and widely explored research topics in machine learning. The main advantage of deep learning over traditional machine learning approaches is that they are not based on manually crafted features and reduce feature extraction time. Along with this, the deep learning framework can learn hidden representations from simpler inputs both in context and content variation [22]. The two prominent and widely used paradigms in modern artificial neural networks are RNN and CNN. In [22], authors have proposed novel RNN architectures, namely tanh-RNN, LSTM, and Gated Recurrent Unit (GRU), to detect rumors. The results show that GRU has obtained the best results in both the datasets considered with 0.88 and 0.91 accuracies, respectively. Whereas, in [23], the author has proposed a multi-task learning approach and designed a multi-task learning framework with an LSTM layer shared among all tasks to counter the problem of rumor classification. Like RNN, CNNs have also been explored and widely studied for image recognition and many other fields of computer vision. However, it is now gaining popularity in the NLP field [24]. The authors of [15], have explored a technique using CNN with single and multi-word embedding to counter problems concerning both stance and veracity classification of tweets. The author has reported an accuracy of 0.70 for the stance classification problem and 0.53 for the problem of veracity classification. Whereas, Paragraph embedding is explored to learn the representation of a small group of posts in a specific event and used them as input for their CNN model in [25] and achieved an accuracy of 0.93 for Sina Weibo and 0.77 for Twitter. From the study, it has been observed that most of the recent work has explored the combination of RNN and CNN in their model [26–28]. The authors of [28] proposed an architecture applied on the LIAR dataset that encodes text information via a CNN and metadata about the author of the text using an LSTM layer as well as it has been also found that the hybrid model has proved to outperform all other baselines along with a bi-LSTM architecture with an accuracy of 0.27 on the testing dataset. Whereas, in [26] author has proposed an approach based on repost sequence patterns for the detection of false rumors.

All the different approaches discussed above have considered different machine and deep learning methods for the prediction of misleading content. The previous study shows that very few works have reported the important clues concerning news article headlines and news article content to predict misleading information. The study has observed that crucial pieces of evidence can be identified from News article headlines and its content to predict false information. None of the previous work has been included these three sets of novel clues (N-gram, Levenshtein Distance, and Word Similarity) concerning news articles that were found to be efficient. That gives novelty to our proposed work.

3 Methodology

In this section, we elaborate on each phase of the proposed methodology. We first detail the data creation step adopted for evaluating our model. The self-generated dataset is the collection of claims in the form of images and text posted by users related to coronavirus. Here, images we mean images embedded with text. In the next phase, the given claim has been processed with the removal of stop words, punctuation, and unnecessary words for query building. The query is then given as an input to google search, where the top 10 search results are considered for analysis. To maintain the claim’s relevance and retrieve related results, the top 10 links have been used for processing. In the next phase, important clues are identified from both news article headlines as well as news article content concerning Word Similarity, N-gram, Levenshtein Distance Ratio, and N-gram, Levenshtein Distance Ratio measures, respectively. Lastly, these important clues are used for binary class classification real or misleading.
3.1 Problem definition

In this paper, we have considered a binary class classification problem. We assume that the posted source claim $c = \{c_1, c_2, c_3, \ldots, c_k\}$ can be divided into two classes $\text{Class} = \{M, R\}$: (1) Real (R), namely the posted claim faithfully represents the event it refers to, (2) Misleading (M), namely the posted claim does not faithfully represent the event it refers to. The claims that have been included here are related to coronavirus COVID-19. Take an example of the post related to coronavirus. The post that “chlorine and alcohol products cannot kill viruses within the body” is true information and belongs to the real class since many authoritative and authentic news media have reported relevant news and has also acknowledged by WHO. While one of the posts says that “coronavirus is caused by 5G technology” is absolutely a false rumor, it not only lacks the factual sport but also deviates from the scientific principles. So, the goal is to learn a classifier from the labeled feature set, that is $f : X^k \rightarrow Y^k$, where $Y^k$ takes one of the two fine-grained classes: $\{R, M\}$. Given the input feature set $X^k$, the classifier $f$ can output the classification result for the posted claim $C^k$.

3.2 Dataset creation and query searching

In the coronavirus outbreak, lots of misleading information was posted by users, and most of the misleading information reading fake cures, lockdown, and others have gone viral during the period. The posts have gone viral on different social media platforms like Twitter, Facebook, and others. The dataset is created during the covid-pandemic 2020. The dataset is the collection of post available in the form of text embedded images and text-only form. Here, text embedded images we mean that the claim is inbuilt in an image. Sometimes to represent certain event users post an image embedded with text. The example of text embedded images we can also find from Fig. 1. For building the dataset, the prominent claims are collected related to corona posted during the period from different web sources (News Media, WHO, Buzzfednews) in the form of images and text. Some of the sources of misleading news are Buzzfeed news, Buzzfednews provides many coronavirus hoaxes that are posted over various social web platform in different forms(images, audio, text), along with it they also provide variation, mentioning whether the given claim is fake or not, another source that we have incorporated in this work is WHO (world health organization), the website is providing fake and real claims, the another web sources that are reporting myths regarding coronavirus. The empirical analysis has been done on these web sources for the collection of fake samples (Fig. 2). The sources provide the running list of the latest hoaxes spreading about the coronavirus. Whereas most of the real claims are retrieved from the WHO website for more reliable collection. In total all of 335 queries are collected with having 168 misleading and 167 real claims. As there is no source providing clear-cut veracity until and unless we read the complete text of it. That’s why after empirical analysis we prepare our ground truth dataset consisting of 335 samples, including fake and real as a target class. The world cloud representation of fake and real claims in the proposed dataset is shown in Fig. 3a and b, respectively.

There are 9837 fake samples and 8634 real samples are considered with respect to 335 claims. The text additive images are processed further using the OCR technique, where textual data has been extracted to build the query for further processing shown in Fig. 2. In Fig. 2, the input is taken in the form of either plain text or the form of text additive image. If it is a simple text, then it is directly passed to the query building phase; otherwise, if it is in the form of text additive image, the OCR technique has been applied to extract the text from an image, and further, it goes further to the query building phase. The query is searched on google, where top 10 google results $L_1 = L_2, \ldots, L_{10}$ are retrieved and used for further processing as shown in Fig. 2. Each link is passed to the processing phase, where important clues are identified for prediction.

3.3 Clues identification for misleading information prediction

In this phase, important clues are identified from the retrieved top 10 URLs that further be used to predict the misleading information. The pieces of evidence/clues are identified with respect to news article headlines/titles and news article content/text. In this phase, the clues are identified in two steps. Firstly, the titles are analyzed and important features are extracted with respect to Word Similarity Ratio, N-grams, and Levenshtein Distance Ratio. The LDR and N-gram are the earlier techniques, that helps in retrieving efficient features in our work. LDR (Levenshtein distance ratio) is one of the good method of retrieving similarity among two sentences. Similarly, N-Gram is also useful in finding important phrases in the

3 https://www.indiatoday.in/world/story/drinking-alcohol-will-not-protect-you-from-covid-19-says-who-1653555-2020-03-08.
4 https://www.buzzfeednews.com/article/janelytvynenko/coronavirus-fake-news-disinformation-rumors-hoaxes.
6 Levenshtein distance—Wikipedia.
7 What are N-Grams?—Kavita Ganesan, PhD (kavita-ganesan.com).
sentences concerning false content. Some of the earlier studies have also mentioned that the N-gram method contributes to finding important clues from the news article title [2, 3]. We have created a corpus of most prominently used words to represent false information in our work. The N-Gram technique has been used to extract features (unigram, bigram, and trigram) concerning to each paragraphs. In the same way LDR method is one of the text-similarity measures between words, this gives a ratio indicating how similar the two sentences. Earlier studies have employed many different methods for text similarity (Cosine, LDR, Jaccard, etc.). The LDR is a good method for finding out or measuring the distance between sequence of characters.

The detailed description of the complete process is shown in the following steps.

3.3.1 Clues identification from news article headlines/titles

The effective clues are retrieved from news article title/headlines based on three proposed measures (Word Similarity Ratio, Levenshtein Distance Ratio, and N-Grams). A detailed description of each of these measures have shown below:

3.3.1.1 Word similarity ratio (WSR)

This is the first measure considered for retrieving some important clues from the news headline. This is the ratio of the number of keywords \(K_i\) that are found to be similar in news title and the query to the total number of words in the query \(t_i\). The Ratio helps in identifying useful or relevant titles. The
more the word similarity ratio, the more relevant the title to the query.

\[ WSR = \frac{K_i}{t_i} \quad (1) \]

### 3.3.1.2 Levenshtein distance ratio (LDR)

Levenshtein Distance Ratio has been used to find the similarity between the query and the news article headline/title. To calculate the ratio, Levenshtein distance needs to be calculated. Levenshtein distance is a metric to measure how apart are two sequences of words or in another way can say it is a measure of the minimum number of edits that you need to do to change a one-word sequence into the other.

The formal definition of the Levenshtein distance between two string a and b can be seen as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{lev}_{(a,b)}(i,j) & = \begin{cases} 
\max(i,j) & \text{if } \min(i,j) = 0 \\
\text{lev}_{a,b}(i-1,j) + 1 & \text{if } a_i \neq b_j \\
\text{lev}_{a,b}(i,j-1) + 1 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \\
\end{align*}
\]

where, \( 1_{(a_i \neq b_j)} \) is an indicator function equals to 0 when \( a_i = b_j \) and 1 otherwise. The Levenshtein distance ratio can be retrieved based on the Levenshtein distance and can be calculated using the following formula:

\[
\text{LDR} = \frac{(|a| + |b|) - \text{lev}_{(a,b)}(i,j)}{|a| + |b|} \quad (3)
\]

where, \(|a|\) and \(|b|\) are the length of sequence a and sequence b, respectively. Here a is query and b is news article headline.

The main purpose of including Levenshtein distance is for string matching, and it is helpful to find matches for short strings in many longer texts. In our case, it will be helpful, as the string may not be of the same length. The length of the title and the length of the query may not be the same and quite effective than other distance measures.

### 3.3.1.3 N-grams

N-grams is an ordered n-tuple of characters. N-gram also contributes to finding important clues from the news article title. Unigram takes a sentence and gives all the words in that we fence. Whereas, Bigram takes a sentence and gives us a set of two consecutive words in the sentences. A trigram gives sets of three consecutive words in a sentence. We manually analyzed titles used to represent and find a prominent keyword used in false query search responses and build a false phrases dictionary with 20 keywords. Some examples are (“misleading,” “misinformation,” “is it,” “is it true,” “not known,” “no proof,” “no known,” “no scientific evidence,” “no evidence,” “not verified,” “hoax,” “clickbait,” “not proven,” “denied,” “deny,” “unverified,” “false,” “fake,” “fake news,” “falsely,” “myth,” “ridiculous,” “rumour”).

The word cloud representation of the respective keyword is shown in Fig. 4. The thing to be noted here, the keywords considered here are mainly focused on the news reported related to coronavirus. To extract all possible combinations, we have considered three types of n-gram (Unigram, Bigram, Trigram) to reduce the chance of missing any possible case. The count of unigram, bigram, and trigram is used as a clue, which reveals the number of titles reporting false keyword phrases. The detailed

\[ \text{https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Levenshtein_distance.} \]
description of features belonging to each category concerning to News article headline/title and News article content is shown in Table 1.

3.3.2 Clues identification from news article content

As we have identified the clues in the previous section related to the top 10 news headlines/titles respective to each query. In the same way, the analysis has also been applied over new article content. New article content is one of the important parts that reveals what the article trying to convey, and whether the given content reporting the same thing as it claiming for. Analyzing news article content is needful to extract possible clues to predict whether the query is misleading or not. The article is quite long, analyzing it at once, and summarization is also not quite effective in getting an overview. To resolve this problem instead of analyzing the whole article at once or summarizing it, we extracted each article’s first 8 paragraph \( p \) tags. The reason of considering first 8 \(< p >\) tags as from the analysis it has been found that most of the good articles covering the information within 8 paragraphs, as well as from the manual inspection it has also been observed that the 8 paragraphs are sufficient in getting the overview about an article. As discussed previously, each paragraph is inspected concerning two clue measures Levenshtein Distance Ratio and N-Grams. Both measures are applied in the same way but the context is changed, instead of applying it into news headline/title, news articles paragraphs are considered for fetching the possible clues. For each title, 8 paragraphs are employed to examine with respect to two clue measures as shown below:

3.3.2.1 Levenshtein distance ratio

As we have previously discussed the Levenshtein distance ratio, the same is applied here. The LDR is calculated between the query and each paragraph text of the news article to inspect the similarity among them. This can be defined as for each query \( Q \) there are \( n \) paragraphs where the range is from \( i = 1 \) to \( i = 8 \) and the Levenshtein distance ratio is calculated between \( Q \) and \( n_i \).

3.3.2.2 N-grams

The N-gram measures are used in the same way as applied earlier. The n-grams are employed over each paragraph text. The count of false keyword phrases is identified considering all three types 1-gram, 2-gram, and 3 grams that further be used to predict misleading information. For each \( n_i \) (n paragraphs), where the range is from \( i = 1 \) to \( i = 8 \), and for each paragraph, the count of keywords belonging to false phrases dictionary are calculated.

The proposed algorithm for the prediction of misleading content is given below in Algorithm 1: The proposed algorithm firstly checks for the user input whether it is an image or text. If it is an image embedded with text, then it first processed through the OCR algorithm, and the textual part is extracted which further be pre-processed to build the query for search. In the pre-processing part, stop words and punctuations have been removed. On the other hand, if the given input is text, it will go directly through the processing phase and the query building phase. The important clues for each query have been found out with respect to three feature sets based on word similarity, Levenshtein distance, and N-Gram. Finally, all the features are fed into the classification model for binary class classification and get the status (Misleading or real). Along with this, the
A detailed flow diagram of the proposed approach as discussed above is shown in Fig. 5.

### Experimental results

This section describes the experiments conducted to respond to the questions we draw up in the introduction part (Sect. 1). The performance experiment is made with the self-generated dataset Covid-fakenews2019 dataset and publicly available dataset (Liar Dataset). Currently, no fake news dataset is available for COVID-19, the experi-

---

**Algorithm 1. (Misleading information Detection)**

**Input:** (Text/Text additive image) and **Output:** (Status)

```python
def main():
    if(image)
        claim= OCR(image)
    else:
        claim= raw input(claim)
    query= pre-processing(claim)
    Feature1= Word_Similarity_based_feature (query);
    Feature2= Levenshtein_Distance_based_feature (query);
    Feature3= N-Gram based Feature (query);
    Status= Classification_model (Feature1, Feature 2, Feature 3)
    Output(Status)//Misleading or real
```

4 Experimental results

This section describes the experiments conducted to respond to the questions we draw up in the introduction part (Sect. 1). The performance experiment is made with the self-generated dataset Covid-fakenews2019 dataset and publicly available dataset “Liar.” The metric used in this paper is Accuracy(Acc), Precision(Pre),
Recall(Rec), and F1-Score(F1). The experiment is conducted to analyze the performance of the proposed model. The evaluation results analysis on the self-generated and Liar datasets is discussed in Sects. 4.1 and 4.2.

4.1 Evaluation on self-generated dataset (Covidfakenews2019)

To evaluate the proposed algorithm on the Self-Generated Dataset, the percentage split technique has been
employed with 70% samples are used to train the model and 30% to test the data. The performance has been analyzed based on three different ways. Firstly, the effectiveness of the proposed model is evaluated with respect to news article title/headline using percentage split technique (70:30) as shown in Table 2. Secondly, performance concerning to the news article content is evaluated and lastly, the effectiveness is evaluated by employing percentage split as well as cross-fold validation technique (tenfold and 20 fold) by combining the clues identified from both news article headline and its content as shown in Tables 3 and 4, respectively. The effectiveness of the proposed model has been evaluated with respect to the features employed for the news article headline which shows that the SVM classifier outperforms all other classifiers when considering all sets of features. Form the observation analysis shown in Tables 2, 3, and 4, most of the classifiers perform best on our proposed method by employing different set of categories, which clearly shows the effectiveness of the proposed model. The effectiveness of the proposed model by incorporating all set of features in terms of accuracy measure is shown in Fig. 6. From the figure, it can be clearly seen that most of the classifiers perform well with respect to different testing schemes (tenfold, 20 fold, 70:30 split). The highest value achieved by the different models are shown in Bold text in Table 2, 3, 4, and 5.

### 4.2 Evaluation on Liar dataset

To validates the generalizability of the proposed model and to do a comparative analysis with the state-of-the-art methods to show the effectiveness of the proposed
algorithm for predicting the misleading post on the social media platform, we have employed another standard dataset “Liar Dataset” to evaluate the performance of the proposed algorithm. Liar dataset was created by [28], which is the collection of 12,836 short-statements from Politifact platform\(^9\) (Fact checking website) which verify and label any text claim into six categories “true,” “mostly-true,” “half-true,” “mostly-false,” “false” and “pants-fire.” As per the probabilistic analysis, the Politifact considered the true and mostly true sample in the credible category and labeled as true, whereas half true, mostly false, false and pants-on-fire samples are considered in the false category.\(^10\) This categorization is based on the Politifact description given for the true and false label, which classified true and mostly true as accurate statements and others as inaccurate. Accordingly, we have considered these six label categories into true and false samples for the binary classification. The authors of [1], also applied the same way for the binary classification. The proposed solution has been applied on the given dataset, and the detailed evaluation measure like Accuracy, Precision, Recall, F-Measure, and analysis results is shown in Table 5. From Table 5, it can be observed that the proposed technique outperforms the existing algorithm by employing different classification algorithms with respect to Accuracy, Precision, Recall, and F1score. Most of the classifiers perform best, whereas SVM outperforms all other with an F1 score of 0.99 on both percentages split and cross-validation scheme. The existing work analysis also reports SVM as an effective classifier for the prediction of fake news [29, 30].

In the field of misleading information detection, very few standard datasets are available and one of the popular datasets that have been widely used for analysis is

---

\(^9\) [https://www.politifact.com/](https://www.politifact.com/).

\(^10\) [https://towardsdatascience.com/identifying-fake-news-the-liar-dataset-713eca8af6ac](https://towardsdatascience.com/identifying-fake-news-the-liar-dataset-713eca8af6ac).
the LIAR dataset. The comparative analysis has been performed with the existing algorithm on the same dataset. The authors of [29], have employed lexical and sentiment features as well as also included n-grams features for fake news detection. Lexical and sentiment features have included average word length, article length, and exclamation mark count as lexical. Every article’s positive, negative, and neutral sentiment have been measured. Unigrams, bigrams, and TF-IDF vectorizer functions have been incorporated to generate TF-IDF n-gram features. The performance analysis over traditional machine learning models reveals that Naïve Bayes with n-gram features perform best in comparison with all other classifiers with an accuracy of 0.60. In [28], the author has exploited text-based features by employing SVM and Logistic regression classifier and achieving an accuracy of 0.25 and 0.24, respectively. The proposed algorithm outperforms the state-of-the-art methods that have been discussed above and SVM found to be the best with an F1 score of 0.99. From the proposed feature analysis, it has been found that Levenshtein distance and N-grams based features are quit efficient and significant for the prediction of misleading information [29, 29]. It has also been observed that news article title and paragraph wise content analysis gives efficient clues for the prediction and is useful in improving the model’s performance. None of the previous work has included these paradigms.

It is seen from Table 5, that approximately all given classifiers are performing well on our data. To conclude, the method suggested in the study can identify misinformation at 99% confidence level. The procedure we have applied to show statistical analysis is as follows. Firstly, we identify and depict the nature of our data samples. Next we establish a relation between the data samples concerning to query w.r.t each derived feature $X_1, X_2, X_3, \ldots X_8$. To show the clear pattern of data samples concerning fake and real class, a scatter plot is shown in Fig. 7. As in the diagram, it can be clearly visualize that the data samples are linearly separable. The decision boundary can distinguish the real and fake samples correctly because the data does not contain noise and less overlapping. The classification can be easily done as shown in Fig. 7. Here, green samples are considered as true and the red samples are considered as fake. The feature $X_1, X_2, X_3, \ldots X_8$ are described briefly in Table 6.

A statistical analysis of the result is performed through a hypothesis test, where we have considered the level of confidence is 99%. We considered a Null Hypothesis ($H_0$) and Alternative Hypothesis ($H_1$). The alternative hypothesis is the initial hypothesis that predicts a relationship between variables. Whereas the null hypothesis is a prediction of no relationship between the variables. The hypothesis for our case is as follows:

$H_0$: None of the given features are effective in predicting misinformation.

$H_1$: The given features can predict misinformation effectively.

The confidence interval method has been applied here, the test statistic between or outside the confidence interval. We performed the Z-Test,\footnote{https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/z-test/} were two samples(query_text_ratio, title_query_ratio) for mean on 99% confidence interval, and it can be clearly seen from Table 7 that the test is rejecting the null hypothesis because $p$ (significance value) < 0.01, this implies it accept the alternative hypothesis (The given features can predict misinformation effective).

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline
Feature no & Feature description \\
\hline
$X_1$ & Word_matching_title_query \\
$X_2$ & Word_match_ratio \\
$X_3$ & Query_length \\
$X_4$ & Title_query_ratio \\
$X_5$ & Title_sentiment \\
$X_6$ & Query_text_ratio \\
$X_7$ & Text_sentiment \\
$X_8$ & Query_sentiment \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{List of features and their descriptions}
\end{table}

Fig. 7 Scatter plot of each features corresponding to the query
effectively) with the confidence level of 99%. Hence, the results are statistically significant and accept H1 (The given features can predict misinformation effectively).

### 5 Conclusion

In this paper, we developed an intelligent generalized strategy for identifying possible clues to predict misleading information where fake news proliferated during the COVID-19 outbreak is considered as a special case study and detailed analysis has been discussed. To extract important clues for the verification of news content, the scheme leverages three sets of novel features proposed in this paper that are based on Word Similarity, Levenshtein Distance, and N-Grams. These features are extracted from the news article headlines/titles and their content. The set of features is given as an input to the machine learning model. Performance has been analyzed by considering different variants of feature category by employing a different set of classifier applied on self-generated dataset Covid-fakenews2019 and the Liar dataset. It has been observed that most of the classifiers are performing well by employing both the dataset, where SVM outperforms all other classifiers with an f1-score of 0.99. In future, we are planning to build the real-time application of the proposed work and also extend the proposed dataset by incorporating a large number of samples with different categories of news that proliferated during the COVID-19 outbreak, like in this work, we are more focusing into the fake news propagated with respect to cures/treatment of the disease. In future, one can also include other types of news like false information regarding lockdowns in the cities, fake claims that are pretended to be posted by some government officials, etc.

#### Table 7 Statistical analysis results on Z-Test: Two Sample for Means

| Parameters            | Query_text_ratio | Title_query_ratio |
|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Mean                  | 0.282686586      | 0.402105109      |
| Known variance        | 1.38E−02         | 1.10E−02         |
| Observations          | 22.366           | 22.366           |
| Hypothesized mean difference | 0              |                  |
| Z                     | −113.366         |                  |
| p(Z < = z) one-tail   | 0.000            |                  |
| Z Critical one-tail   | 2.326            |                  |
| p(Z < = z) two-tail   | 0.000            |                  |
| Z Critical two-tail   | 2.576            |                  |
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