Lymphopenia and Elevated Blood C-Reactive Protein Levels at Four Days Postoperatively Are Useful Markers for Early Detection of Surgical Site Infection Following Posterior Lumbar Instrumentation Surgery
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Study Design: Case-control study.
Purpose: To identify the characteristics of candidate indexes for early detection of surgical site infection (SSI).
Overview of Literature: SSI is a serious complication of spinal instrumentation surgery. Early diagnosis and treatment are crucial for the welfare of the patient postoperation.
Methods: We retrospectively reviewed laboratory data of patients who underwent posterior lumbar instrumentation surgery for degenerative spine disease. The sensitivity and specificity of six laboratory markers for early detection of SSI were calculated: greater elevation of the white blood cell count at day 7 than at day 4 postoperatively, greater elevation of the C-reactive protein (CRP) level at day 7 than at day 4 postoperatively, a CRP level of >10 mg/dL at 4 days postoperatively, neutrophil percentage of >75% at 4 days postoperatively, a lymphocyte percentage of <10% at 4 days postoperatively, and a lymphocyte count of <1,000/μL at 4 days postoperatively. Statistical analysis was via Fisher's exact test and a p-value of <0.05 was considered significant.
Results: In total, 85 patients were enrolled. Of these, five patients developed deep SSI. The sensitivity and specificity of each index were as follows: index 1, 20.0% and 77.5%; index 2, 20.0% and 83.8%; index 3, 40.0% and 97.5%; index 4, 40.0% and 86.3%; index 5, 0% and 96.3%; and index 6, 80.0% and 80.0%. A significant difference was noted for indexes 3 and 6.
Conclusions: A CRP level of >10 mg/dL at 4 days postoperatively would be useful for definitive diagnosis of SSI, and a lymphocyte count of <1,000/μL at 4 days postoperatively would be a useful screening test for SSI. Although laboratory markers for early detection of SSI have been frequently reported, we believe that it is important to understand the characteristics of each index for a precise diagnosis.
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Introduction

The implementation of spinal instrumentation surgery has dramatically increased because of its ability to achieve strong fixation and correct deformities. However, this procedure is associated with more complications than surgeries without instrumentation and of these complications, the surgical site infection (SSI) is one of the most serious [1,2]. Infection rates after spinal instrumentation surgery reportedly range from 2.2% to 8.5% [3-6]. Insertion of instrumentation may lead to infection if a relatively small number of bacteria adhere to the surface of the implanted device and form a glycoprotein biofilm. In general, this process is attributable to intractable infections that are resistant to antibiotics and result in increased infection rates [7]. SSI may also affect the final outcome of the surgery including the need for revision surgery, persistent pain or deformity, additional hospitalization, prolonged recovery time, and considerable added expense for treatment [8-11]. Preventing SSI is important, but when an infection does occur, early diagnosis and treatment are very important to prevent worsening of the affected patient [8,12-15]. Diagnosis of SSI is based on the presence of systemic infection indicators such as fever type and laboratory data in combination with local findings such as tenderness, swelling, redness, and purulent discharge [12,15,16]. Of the clinical findings associated with SSI, postoperative laboratory markers are most frequently used because of their objectivity and convenience [8,12-14,17]. There are several laboratory markers available for early detection of SSI, but clinicians often struggle to interpret them because of the different characteristics among these markers [8,13,14]. The aim of the present study was to clarify the characteristics of each marker in allow the precise early detection of SSI.

Materials and Methods

We retrospectively reviewed the medical records of patients who underwent posterior lumbar instrumentation surgery for degenerative spine disease from January 2003 to December 2013. The records were examined for evidence of deep SSI and laboratory data. Diagnosis of SSI was by using the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention criteria [18]. Diagnosis of deep SSI was made only if the attending surgeon diagnosed deep SSI and conducted debridement within 4 weeks. Each patient underwent single or two-segment posterior lumbar instrumentation surgery and laboratory data were collected preoperatively and at 4 and 7 days postoperatively. We excluded patients with trauma, tumors, existing infection, chronic inflammatory disease such as rheumatoid arthritis, current dialysis therapy, or a preoperative C-reactive protein (CRP) level of >2 mg/dL and previous surgery of the lumbar spine. All enrolled patients were categorized into two groups: an SSI group and a non-SSI group. The data of 85 patients were evaluated. Of these patients, five developed deep SSI. We collected laboratory data regarding the CRP level, white blood cell (WBC) count, and WBC differential (neutrophil and lymphocyte percentages) preoperatively and at 4 and 7 days postoperatively. The CRP level was measured using the latex agglutination method, and an automatic cell counter was used to determine the WBC count. Neutrophil and lymphocyte counts were calculated from the WBC count and WBC differential percentages. Data on the operating time and intraoperative blood loss were also collected.

Six laboratory markers for early detection of SSI were part of the review (Table 1) [12,13,17,19]: (1) higher WBC count at day 7 than at day 4 postoperatively, (2) greater level of serum CRP at day 7 than at day 4 postoperatively, (3) a CRP level of >10 mg/dL at 4 days postoperatively, (4) a neutrophil percentage of >75% at 4 days postoperatively, (5) a lymphocyte percentage of <10% at 4 days postoperatively, and (6) a lymphocyte count of <1,000/μL at 4 days postoperatively. We calculated the sensitivity and specificity of each index, and statistical analysis was performed with Fisher’s exact probability test. Quantitative data such

---

**Table 1. Six laboratory markers for early detection of SSI**

|   |                                                                                           |
|---|---------------------------------------------|
|1. | Greater elevation of white blood cell count at 7 than at 4 days postoperatively          |
|2. | Greater elevation of C-reactive protein level at 7 than at 4 days postoperatively       |
|3. | C-reactive protein level of >10 mg/dL at 4 days postoperatively                          |
|4. | Neutrophil percentage of >75% at 4 days postoperatively                                 |
|5. | Lymphocyte percentage of <10% at 4 days postoperatively                                 |
|6. | Lymphocyte count of <1,000/μL at 4 days postoperatively                                |

SSI, surgical site infection.
as age, operating time, and intraoperative blood loss were analyzed with Mann–Whitney’s U test, and the number of fusion segments was analyzed with Student’s t test. Qualitative data such as sex were analyzed using Fisher’s exact probability test. All statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS for Windows, ver. 22.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk NY, USA). A p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

### Results

The data of 85 patients were evaluated in this study. Five patients who developed deep SSI were enrolled in the SSI group, and 80 patients were enrolled in the non-SSI group. The SSI group comprised 1 man and 4 women, and the non-SSI group comprised 50 men and 30 women. The median age at surgery was 73 and 68 years in the SSI and non-SSI groups, respectively. The median operating time was 170 minutes (range, 143–315 minutes) and 243 minutes (range, 80–410 minutes), and the median intraoperative blood loss volume was 305 mL (range, 100–600 mL) and 350 mL (range, 20–2,440 mL) in the SSI and non-SSI groups, respectively. The mean±standard deviation number of fusion segments was 1.4±0.5 and 1.1±0.3 in the SSI and non-SSI groups, respectively. There were no significant differences in age, sex, operating time, intraoperative blood loss, or the number of fusion segments between the two groups (Tables 2, 3). The sensitivity and specificity of each laboratory marker were as follows: (1) greater WBC count at day 7 than at day 4 postoperatively, 20.0% and 77.5%; (2) greater elevation of CRP level at day 7 than at day 4 postoperatively, 20.0% and 83.8%; (3) CRP level of >10 mg/dL at day 4 postoperatively, 40.0% and 97.5%; (4) neutrophil percentage of >75% at day 4 postoperatively, 40.0% and 86.3%; (5) lymphocyte percentage of <10% at day 4 postoperatively, 0.0% and 96.3%; and (6) lymphocyte count of <1,000/μL at day 4 postoperatively, 80.0% and 80.0%. From our analysis there was a statistically significant difference for indexes (3) and (6) (CRP level of >10 mg/dL and lymphocyte count of <1,000/μL both at day 4 postoperatively) (Table 4).

### Discussion

The objective of SSI treatment after spinal instrumentation surgery is not only to resolve infection, but also to maintain spinal stability. Ishii et al. [20] reported that patients who developed SSI and were able to retain their implants...
were diagnosed at an early stage. They concluded that early diagnosis at a low intensity of infection may reduce the need for implant removal. Early diagnosis of SSI may be made from fever type and laboratory markers in combination with local findings such as tenderness, swelling, redness, having a sensation of heat and purulent discharge [8,12-14]. As moist healing, which promotes healing by closing a surgical wound with a wound-covering material, has become mainstream in recent years, the ability to observe a surgical wound directly has decreased and the risk of delayed diagnosis of an SSI complication has increased. Therefore, laboratory markers as indicators of SSI are very useful. The most widely implemented laboratory markers are the CRP level and WBC count, which can easily be measured by most institutions.

In the present study, we reviewed six laboratory markers related to the CRP level and WBC count for early detection of SSI, as previously reported [12,13,17,19]. CRP has been reported to be the most sensitive inflammatory marker [14,17,21,22-24] and is induced by interleukin-6 and synthesized by hepatocytes. Besides the level of inflammation, CRP can also reflect surgical injury [25,26]. Thelander and Larsson [14] and Larsson et al. [22] were the first to report changes in the CRP level after posterior lumbar instrumentation surgery. The CRP level tended to peak after postoperative day 3. However, Takahashi et al. [17] reported that the CRP level peaked on day 2 postoperatively and Aono et al. [13] reported that it peaked at day 4 postoperatively. With the postoperative CRP peak ranging from day 2 to day 4, all four groups agreed that renewed elevation of the CRP level or an increase in the CRP level after the peak day may indicate an onset of postoperative SSI [12,13,27].

Takahashi et al. [12,17] reported that the WBC count and WBC differential were useful for early detection of SSI following spinal instrumentation surgery. Furthermore, the change in the WBC count over time, especially the neutrophil count, served as a useful marker of postoperative progress. Takahashi et al. [17] reported that a second increase in the neutrophil and WBC counts several days after surgery was one of the most important signs of bacterial infection. They concluded that a renewed elevation of the WBC and neutrophil counts after postoperative days 4 to 7 and a neutrophil percentage of >75% after postoperative day 4 may be critical signs of infection [12,17]. However, the numbers of lymphocytes, which are involved in nonspecific biophylaxis, often decrease after invasion regardless of noninfectious or infectious invasion. Takahashi et al. [12,17] demonstrated that in patients with infection, the percentage and number of lymphocytes significantly decreased on day 4 postoperatively. This represents immune depression, indicating the possibility of a predominance of anti-inflammatory cytokines and an attendant compensatory anti-inflammatory reaction syndrome [28,29]. In this condition, patients are more susceptible to infection and often develop postoperative infections. The authors concluded that postoperative lymphopenia (≤10% or 1,000/μL) after day 4 indicates possible SSI [12,17].

In reference to these reports, we calculated the sensitivity and specificity of each laboratory marker for early detection of SSI. Two laboratory markers were found to be statistically significant. The first index was having a CRP level of >10 mg/dL at day 4 postoperatively, and the other index was noting a lymphocyte count of <1,000/μL at day 4 postoperatively. The sensitivity and specificity of the CRP level were 40.0% and 97.5%, and those of the lymphocyte count were 80.0% and 80.0%, respectively. So, Index 3 would be useful for a definitive diagnosis of SSI based on its high specificity and Index 6 could be a

| Laboratory markers for SSI | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | p-value |
|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------|
| (1) Greater elevation of white blood cell count at 7 than at 4 days postoperatively | 20.0 | 77.5 | 0.690 |
| (2) Greater elevation of C-reactive protein level at 7 than at 4 days postoperatively | 20.0 | 83.8 | 0.603 |
| (3) C-reactive protein level of >10 mg/dL at 4 days postoperatively | 40.0 | 97.5 | 0.016 |
| (4) Neutrophil percentage of >75% at 4 days postoperatively | 40.0 | 86.3 | 0.166 |
| (5) Lymphocyte percentage of <10% at 4 days postoperatively | 0 | 96.3 | 0.832 |
| (6) Lymphocyte count of <1,000/μL at 4 days postoperatively | 80.0 | 80.0 | 0.010 |

SSI, surgical site infection.

*Statistically significant (p<0.05).
screening test (i.e., early detection) based on its high sensitivity.

Our study has several limitations. First, it was a retrospective study. As a result, there may have been an inherent bias associated with patient selection and any incomplete patient information. Patients who did not meet the SSI criteria were placed in a non-SSI group, which may have resulted in a notable underestimation of the actual number of patients with SSI. Another limitation is the comparatively small number of patients with SSI might have resulted in a type 2 error. Only indexes 3 and 6 were considered statistically significant; however, if the number of patients with SSI had been larger, another index may have been found to be statistically significant. A prospective study and larger cohort may eliminate these problems. Although many authors have evaluated laboratory markers for early detection of SSI, we believe that it is important to understand the characteristics of each index to precisely diagnose SSI.

Conclusions

We calculated the sensitivity and specificity of various laboratory markers to characterize the predicting capability of each index. “A CRP level of >10 mg/dL at 4 days postoperatively” would be useful as a definitive diagnosis of SSI based on its high specificity. “A lymphocyte count of <1,000/μL at 4 days postoperatively” could be an early detection sign based on its high sensitivity for SSI.
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